Relevance of MTHFR polymorphisms with response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in oesophagogastric cancer: a meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT
Objective To evaluate the association between methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) polymorphisms and the response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in oesophagogastric cancer.

Design Meta-analysis.

Methods We searched PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases from inception up to October 2017 for relevant studies. The statistical analysis was performed using STATA V.12.0 software. The pooled ORs and 95% CIs were used to assess the strength of the association under the allele, dominant and recessive models. Additionally, the sensitivity analysis was performed by sequential omission of individual studies, and the publication bias was detected using both Beggs’s test and Egger’s test.

Results A total of 2020 patients from 12 studies were included in this meta-analysis. The results showed that there was no significant association between MTHFR C677T (rs1801133) and A1298C (rs1801131) polymorphisms and the clinical response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy under all of the three genetic models (T vs C: OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.76 to 1.15; C vs A: OR 0.88, 95% CI 0.56 to 1.40. CT+TT vs CC: OR 0.94, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.23; AC+CC vs AA: OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.47 to 1.35. TT vs CC+CT: OR 1.02, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.39; CC vs AA+AC: OR 1.15, 95% CI 0.50 to 2.67). When stratified by cancer type, ethnicity or study design, the association was still not significant in all subgroups.

Conclusions This meta-analysis suggested that MTHFR polymorphisms could not be considered as reliable factors for predicting the response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in oesophagogastric cancer.

INTRODUCTION
Fluorouracil (5-FU) is the backbone of treatments for gastric and oesophageal cancers. Oral fluoropyrimidines including capecitabine and tegafur show similar efficacy to 5-FU.1–4 Fluoropyrimidine drugs themselves have no antitumour activity, but they are converted to 5-fluoro-dUMP, which can further form a ternary complex with 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate (5, 10-MTHF) and thymidylate synthase (TS). Formation of this ternary complex results in sustained inhibition of TS; it prevents the conversion of 2′-deoxyuridine-5′-monophosphate into 2′-deoxythymidine-5′-monophosphate, thereby restraining the synthesis of DNA.5 This is considered as the predominant mechanism of the antitumour effect of fluoropyrimidines.

Folate metabolism is an important factor influencing the antitumour activity of fluoropyrimidines. Increased 5, 10-MTHF could produce tighter ternary complexes and improve the efficacy of fluoropyrimidine drugs. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) is a critical enzyme in folate-metabolising pathway. It catalyses the irreversible conversion of 5, 10-MTHF to 5-methyltetrahydrofolate, and reduces the amount of 5, 10-MTHF available for binding to FdUMP and TS.5,6 Therefore, MTHFR plays a key role in the anabolism of fluoropyrimidines to the active metabolites. MTHFR gene locates in chromosome 1p36.3, and is highly polymorphic.7 Two common functional polymorphisms of MTHFR, C677T (rs1801133) and A1298C (rs1801131), have been identified, the main variants that could decrease the activity of MTHFR.8,9 Thus, MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms may contribute to the individual response to fluoropyrimidine chemotherapy in oesophagogastric cancer.

Strengths and limitations of this study
► We adopted the random effects model to analyse the pooled data to allow for a different effect in each population, and conducted stratified analysis to avoid heterogeneity.
► This study was limited by some variables, such as age, gender, diet, living habits, environmental exposure and pathological type of patients.
► This study was also limited by the small sample size in some subgroup analysis.
greatly to the clinical response of fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy.

Theoretically, MTHFR gene polymorphisms are closely related to the efficacy of fluoropyrimidines for the treatment of gastric cancer and oesophageal cancer. However, the available evidence from the gene polymorphism studies in the clinic was weak, and the published results were inconsistent among studies. Therefore, further assessment is needed. In this account, a systematic review and meta-analysis were carried out on the published data in order to comprehensively estimate the association of MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms with the clinical response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in patients with oesophagogastric cancer.

METHODS

Literature search

We conducted a comprehensive search of PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases from inception up to October 2017 using a combination of the following terms: “methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase” or “MTHFR”, “polymorphism” or “pharmacogenetic” or “genotype” or “variant”, “fluoropyrimidine” or “fluorouracil” or “5-Fu” or “capecitabine” or “tegafur”, and “gastric cancer” or “esophageal cancer” or “esophagogastric cancer”. The search was limited to articles reported in English. We have included the full search strategy for PubMed as an example in the online supplementary file. To identify more potentially relevant studies, a manual search for references cited in the eligible articles was also performed.

Selection criteria

The included literature in this study met the following criteria: (1) studies involving gastric cancer and oesophageal cancer; (2) chemotherapy regimens containing 5-FU, capecitabine or tegafur; (3) studies using validated molecular methods for genotyping and (4) studies providing information on MTHFR polymorphism or estimated genetic effects on response to treatment. No restrictions were imposed on the design of the studies, which could have been prospective or retrospective studies. Studies investigating susceptibility, progression or severity, and the case reports, letters, conference abstracts, meta-analysis and reviews were excluded.

Data extraction

The data were independently extracted by two researchers (LZ and QF). For each included study, the following information was collected: first author, publication year, ethnicity of the study population, study design, distribution of gender and age in patients, cancer type, chemotherapy regimen, clinical response, genotype distribution of MTHFR and genotyping methods, and the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium examination result. Any discrepancies in data extraction were resolved by consensus.

Assessment of study quality

The quality of the included studies was evaluated independently by two reviewers according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). The NOS includes three parameters of quality for studies: selection of the study population, comparability of subjects and exposure assessment, with scores ranging from 0 to 9. NOS scores of 0–4 and 5–9 were considered as low-quality and high-quality studies, respectively.

Statistical analysis

The OR and corresponding 95% CI were used to assess the strength of the association between MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms and clinical response. Three genetic models including the allele model (C677T: T vs C; A1298C: C vs A), dominant model (C677T: CT + TT vs CC; A1298C: AC + CC vs AA) and recessive model (C677T: TT vs CC + CT; A1298C: CC vs AA + AC) were compared. The pooled OR and 95% CIs were assessed by the random effects model. The heterogeneity among studies was evaluated by the Q-test. P<0.1 was considered significant heterogeneity. I² statistic was also calculated to quantify the heterogeneity: I²<25%, I²=25%–50%, I²=50%–75% and I²>75%, indicated no heterogeneity, moderate heterogeneity, large heterogeneity and extreme heterogeneity, respectively. Subgroup analysis was carried out based on cancer type (gastric cancer and oesophageal cancer), ethnicity (Caucasians and Asians) and study design (prospective and retrospective). The sensitivity analysis was performed by the sequential omission of individual studies to assess the stability of the results. The publication bias was detected using Begg-Mazumdar adjusted rank correlation test and Egger’s regression test. All statistical analyses were conducted with the software STATA V12.0.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the included studies

As shown in figure 1, a total of 113 relevant publications were retrieved from the databases. According to the inclusion/exclusion criteria, data from 12 studies that investigated the association between the MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms and response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in oesophagogastric cancer were collected for the meta-analysis. The eligible studies were published between 2006 and 2017, and sample sizes ranged from 52 to 369 (table 1). Among these publications, four studies (33.3%) were conducted prospectively; nine studies were in Caucasians, and three in Asians; seven were reports on gastric cancer, four on oesophageal cancer and one on oesophago gastric cancer (table 1). In the studies, responders were defined as patients with complete response, partial response or no recurrence, and non-responders were defined as patients with stable disease, progressive disease or early recurrence. Of the eligible studies, 12 reports including 2020 patients reported tumour response events associated with
The results of Q-test and I² statistic indicated moderate heterogeneity in allele and dominant models (P<0.1, I² ≥50%; table 3). Moreover, as indicated in table 3, when stratified by cancer type, ethnicity or study design, there was no significant association in all subgroups.

Sensitivity analysis
The influence of any single study on the overall results was analysed by gradual deletion of individual studies. As shown in figure 3A,B and online supplementary figure S2A–D, no significant difference was observed when any of the studies was excluded in all of the three genetic models, indicating the reliability and stability of the results.

Publication bias
The Egger’s regression test and Begg’s test were performed to evaluate the publication bias. As shown in figure 4A,B and online supplementary figure S3A–S3D, the shape of the funnel plot was symmetrical, and the p values were all greater than 0.05 in both Begg’s test and Egger’s test under all genetic models (tables 2 and 3), suggesting the absence of significant publication bias in the overall meta-analysis.

DISCUSSION
There are many factors influencing the chemosensitivity to fluoropyrimidine drugs; among them, the polymorphism of metabolism-related genes of fluoropyrimidine is one of the most pivotal factors.24–27 Despite the biological rationale suggesting a role of MTHFR polymorphisms in affecting the efficacy of fluoropyrimidines, the results of genetic polymorphism studies related to the response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in patients with gastric and oesophageal cancer are still conflicting. Zhang et al has conducted a retrospective comparative exploratory study on MTHFR polymorphisms in gastric cancer, and concluded that the homozygous genotypes rs2274976G/G and rs1801131A/A were over-represented in responsive patients; carriers of the rs2274976A allele genotypes (G/A and A/A) and of the rs1801131C allele genotypes (A/C and C/C) were prevalent in non-responsive patients.19 These results suggested that polymorphisms of the MTHFR gene could be used as predictors for the response to fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in gastric cancer. However, the studies performed by several other research groups in oesophagogastric cancer found no significant correlation between them.22 23 To further comprehensively evaluate the effect of MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms on fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in patients with oesophagogastric cancer, a meta-analysis including 12 studies was performed. The results of pooled data suggested that there was no

MTHFR C677T polymorphism, and 5 studies provided 1183 patients for testing the association of MTHFR A1298C variant with response to chemotherapy (table 1). The quality of each eligible article was assessed by the NOS, and all studies received a high NOS score (≥5, data not shown).

Meta-analysis results
The main results of meta-analysis and heterogeneity test for MTHFR C677T were summarised in table 2. No significant correlation was found between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in all of the three genetic models: allele model (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.76 to 1.15) (figure 2A), dominant model (OR 0.94, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.23) (online supplementary figure S1A) and recessive model (OR 1.02, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.39) (online supplementary figure S1B). The results of Q-test and I² statistic indicated moderate heterogeneity in allele and dominant models (P<0.1, 25% < I²<50%), and no significant heterogeneity under the recessive model (P=0.356, I²=9.4%).

In the stratified analysis by cancer type, seven studies were used to evaluate the association of MTHFR C677T polymorphism with response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in gastric cancer, and four studies in oesophageal cancer. As shown in table 2, no significant association was observed in both gastric and oesophageal cancer under all genetic models. The similar results were obtained in the stratified analysis according to ethnicity or study design. The association was still not significantly altered between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in all subgroups (table 2).

For the association between MTHFR A1298C polymorphism and response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy, the pooled results indicated no significant association in all genetic models (table 3, figure 2B, and online supplementary figure S1C,D). Large heterogeneity was observed in allele and dominant contrasts (P<0.1, I² ≥50%; table 3). Moreover, as indicated in table 3, when stratified by cancer type, ethnicity or study design, there was no significant association in all subgroups.
| Study (year) | Ethnicity | Clinical data gathering | Patients, n (male%) | Age, mean (range) | Cancer type | Chemotherapy regimens | Definition of responders | Definition of non-responders | MTHFR SNP | Method of MTHFR SNP analysis | Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium reported and in equilibrium? |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Ott et al 2006 | Caucasian | Retrospective | 135 (71.8)          | 56 (23–70)       | Advanced GC | PLF, E-PLF, paclitaxel-PLF | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | TaqMan assay                | Not reported                                        |
| Sarbia et al 2006 | Caucasian | Retrospective | 68 (-)              |                  | Oesophageal squamous cell cancer | FLEP       | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | PCR-HRM                     | Not reported                                        |
| Goekkurt et al 2006 | Caucasian | Retrospective | 52 (65.4)           | 56 (27–82)       | Advanced GC | 5-FU+ cisplatin+FA      | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | PCR-RFLP                    | Not reported                                        |
| Ruzzo et al 2006 | Caucasian | Prospective | 175 (56.6)          | 61 (38–79)       | Advanced GC | Fluorouracil/ cisplatin | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | PCR-RFLP                    | Not reported                                        |
| Wu et al 2006 | Caucasian | Retrospective | 210 (86.67)         | 61 (32–79)       | Oesophageal cancer | Fu+ cisplatin+ paclitaxel | No recurrence           | Recurrence                | O677T      | TaqMan assay                | Not reported                                        |
| Goekkurt et al 2009 | Caucasian | Prospective | 134 (68.6)          | 64 (27–86)       | Advanced GC | FLO, FLR, TPF           | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | PCR-RFLP                    | Yes                                                 |
| Chen et al 2010 | Asian | Retrospective | 98 (70.4)           |                  | Oesophageal squamous cell cancer | Cisplatin/ fluorouracil | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | Sequencing                  | Yes                                                 |
| Zhang et al 2014 | Asian | Retrospective | 362 (77.3)          | 57.5 (18–82)     | GC           | F, FP, FT, TPF, EOF and others | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | MALDI-TOF-MS                 | Yes                                                 |
| Blank et al 2014 | Caucasian | Retrospective | 369 (83.7)          |                  | Oesophageal gastric cancer | OLF/PLF, EOX, FLOT | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | PCR-based KASP genotyping chemistry | Yes                                                 |
| Liu et al 2016 | Asian | Retrospective | 108 (59.2)          |                  | mGC          | EOF                    | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | TaqMan assay                | Yes                                                 |
| Meulendijks et al 2017 | Caucasian | Prospective | 185 (73)            | 59 (27–77)       | Advanced GC | Cisplatin+ carboplatin | CR, PR                  | SD, PD                     | O677T      | Sequencing/PCR-RFLP         | Yes                                                 |
| Gusella et al 2017 | Caucasian | Prospective | 123 (89.3)          | 60 (42–74)       | Advanced oesophageal cancer | Fluorouracil+ docetaxel+ cisplatin | No recurrence           | Recurrence                |                            | PCR-RFLP                | Yes                                                 |

5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; CR, complete response; EOF, 5-FU/capecitabine/S-1-cisplatin/oxaliplatin+epirubicin; EOX, epirubicin+oxaliplatin+capcitabin; E-PLF, epirubicin+ cisplatin+ leucovorin +5-FU; E-PLF, 5-FU/ capcitabine/S-1; FA, folic acid; FLER, 5-FU+folic acid+etoposide+ cisplatin; FLP, 5-FU+leucovorin+ cisplatin/FLO, 5-FU+leucovorin+oxaliplatin; FP, 5-FU/capcitabine/S-1+c isplatin/oxaliplatin; FT, 5-FU/capcitabine/ S-1+d oxetaxel/paclitaxel; FLOT, 5-fluorouracil+folic acid+oxaliplatin+docetaxel; GC, gastric cancer; HRM, High Resolution Melting; KASP, a competitive allele-specific PCR genotyping system; MALDI-TOF-MS, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry; MGC, metastatic gastric cancer; MTHFR, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; OLF, oxaliplatin/cisplatin+folic acid+fluorouracil; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; PLF, cisplatin-leucovorin+5-FU; RFLP, restriction fragment length polymorphism; TF, 5-FU/capcitabine/S-1+c isplatin/oxaliplatin+docetaxel/paclitaxel; SD, stable disease; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphisms.
significant association between \textit{MTHFR} C677T and A1298C polymorphism and the clinical response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in sufferers with gastric and oesophageal cancer under all three genetic models. In the subgroup analysis based on cancer type, ethnicity or study design, the correlation was still not detected. This result was similar to the meta-analysis performed by Zintzaras \textit{et al} in colorectal cancer, in which it showed that \textit{MTHFR} C677T and A1298C gene polymorphisms could not be considered as reliable predictors of response to fluorouracil chemotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer.\textsuperscript{28}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Models} & \textbf{Population} & \textbf{No studies} & \textbf{Random effects OR (95\% CI)} & \textbf{P values (Q-test)} & \textbf{\(i^2\) (%)} & \textbf{Begg\' test} & \textbf{Egger\' test} \\
\hline
T versus C & All & 9 & 0.93 (0.76 to 1.15) & 0.109 & 38.9 & 0.251 & 0.355 \\
 & GC & 6 & 0.85 (0.61 to 1.17) & 0.058 & 53.3 & 0.452 & 0.495 \\
 & EC & 2 & 1.00 (0.66 to 1.53) & 0.226 & 31.7 & 1.000 & – \\
 & Caucasians & 7 & 0.99 (0.78 to 1.25) & 0.167 & 34.2 & 0.548 & 0.404 \\
 & Asians & 2 & 0.72 (0.37 to 1.41) & 0.081 & 67.1 & 1.000 & – \\
 & Prospective & 3 & 1.06 (0.74 to 1.51) & 0.185 & 40.7 & 1.000 & 0.711 \\
 & Retrospective & 6 & 0.86 (0.65 to 1.14) & 0.105 & 45.1 & 0.452 & 0.190 \\
\hline
 Dominant model & All & 11 & 0.94 (0.72 to 1.23) & 0.131 & 33.4 & 0.533 & 0.836 \\
 & GC & 6 & 0.75 (0.46 to 1.22) & 0.043 & 56.4 & 1.000 & 0.835 \\
 & EC & 4 & 1.15 (0.78 to 1.71) & 0.878 & 0.0 & 1.000 & 0.939 \\
 & Caucasians & 8 & 1.02 (0.76 to 1.37) & 0.278 & 19.2 & 0.108 & 0.400 \\
 & Asians & 3 & 0.78 (0.39 to 1.52) & 0.097 & 57.1 & 1.000 & 0.862 \\
 & Prospective & 3 & 1.31 (0.84 to 2.04) & 0.442 & 0.0 & 0.296 & 0.231 \\
 & Retrospective & 8 & 0.83 (0.61 to 1.14) & 0.155 & 34.2 & 0.902 & 0.588 \\
\hline
Recessive model & All & 10 & 1.02 (0.74 to 1.39) & 0.356 & 9.4 & 1.000 & 0.929 \\
 & GC & 7 & 1.05 (0.75 to 1.47) & 0.454 & 0.0 & 0.764 & 0.944 \\
 & EC & 2 & 0.93 (0.21 to 4.19) & 0.047 & 74.6 & 1.000 & – \\
 & Caucasians & 8 & 0.98 (0.67 to 1.44) & 0.368 & 8.1 & 0.386 & 0.408 \\
 & Asians & 2 & 0.95 (0.39 to 2.29) & 0.147 & 52.5 & 1.000 & – \\
 & Prospective & 4 & 0.87 (0.56 to 1.36) & 0.405 & 0.0 & 0.734 & 0.768 \\
 & Retrospective & 6 & 1.12 (0.71 to 1.77) & 0.298 & 17.8 & 1.000 & 0.924 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{OR with the corresponding 95\% CI, heterogeneity results, Begg\' test and Egger\' test for genetic contrasts of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T.}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\begin{subfigure}{0.45\textwidth}
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig1a.png}
\caption{Forest plot. (A) Forest plot for the allele contrast of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (\textit{MTHFR}) C677T variant and response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy; (B) Forest plot for the allele contrast of \textit{MTHFR} A1298C variant and response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy.}
\end{subfigure} \hspace{0.05\textwidth} \\
\begin{subfigure}{0.45\textwidth}
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig1b.png}
\caption{Forest plot. (A) Forest plot for the allele contrast of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (\textit{MTHFR}) C677T variant and response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy; (B) Forest plot for the allele contrast of \textit{MTHFR} A1298C variant and response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy.}
\end{subfigure}
\end{figure}
Several potential limitations of the present meta-analysis should be acknowledged. First, this study was based on the reported data of the eligible study without adjustment for other covariates such as age and gender, which may result in relatively low power to estimate the real association. This is also a general problem of meta-analysis when pooling data from primary studies. Second, the treatment of oesophagogastric cancer could also be influenced by diet, living habits, environmental exposure and pathological type of patients, while these factors were not considered in this study. Third, some stratified analysis in this account was not sufficiently large (contain only

| Models          | Population | No studies | Random effects OR (95% CI) | P values (Q-test) | $I^2$ (%) | Begg’ test | Egger’ test |
|-----------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| C versus A      | All        | 5          | 0.88 (0.56 to 1.40)       | 0.002            | 76.0     | 0.806      | 0.501       |
|                 | GC         | 3          | 0.72 (0.36 to 1.44)       | 0.022            | 73.7     | 0.296      | 0.070       |
|                 | EC         | 1          |                           |                  |          |            |             |
|                 | Caucasians | 3          | 0.98 (0.69 to 1.40)       | 0.162            | 45.1     | 1.000      | 0.958       |
|                 | Asians     | 2          | 0.84 (0.21 to 3.40)       | 0.007            | 86.5     | 1.000      | –           |
|                 | Prospective| 1          |                           |                  |          |            |             |
|                 | Retrospective | 4       | 0.96 (0.54 to 1.70)       | 0.001            | 81.1     | 0.308      | 0.464       |
| Dominant model  | All        | 5          | 0.80 (0.47 to 1.35)       | 0.007            | 71.8     | 0.462      | 0.332       |
|                 | GC         | 3          | 0.63 (0.30 to 1.35)       | 0.038            | 69.5     | 0.296      | 0.310       |
|                 | EC         | 1          |                           |                  |          |            |             |
|                 | Caucasians | 3          | 0.86 (0.50 to 1.45)       | 0.091            | 58.4     | 1.000      | 0.854       |
|                 | Asians     | 2          | 0.83 (0.19 to 3.63)       | 0.011            | 84.4     | 1.000      | –           |
|                 | Prospective| 1          |                           |                  |          |            |             |
|                 | Retrospective | 4       | 0.92 (0.50 to 1.69)       | 0.007            | 75.5     | 0.308      | 0.218       |
| Recessive model | All        | 5          | 1.15 (0.50 to 2.67)       | 0.207            | 32.2     | 0.462      | 0.516       |
|                 | GC         | 3          | 0.71 (0.14 to 3.59)       | 0.138            | 49.5     | 1.000      | 0.955       |
|                 | EC         | 1          |                           |                  |          |            |             |
|                 | Caucasians | 3          | 1.40 (0.74 to 2.64)       | 0.489            | 0.0      | 0.296      | 0.290       |
|                 | Asians     | 2          | 0.43 (0.03 to 5.73)       | 0.146            | 52.6     | 1.000      | –           |
|                 | Prospective| 1          |                           |                  |          |            |             |
|                 | Retrospective | 4       | 1.08 (0.31 to 3.79)       | 0.120            | 48.6     | 0.308      | 0.606       |

EC, oesophageal cancer; GC, gastric cancer.

Several potential limitations of the present meta-analysis should be acknowledged. First, this study was based on the reported data of the eligible study without adjustment for other covariates such as age and gender, which may result in relatively low power to estimate the real association. This is also a general problem of meta-analysis when pooling data from primary studies. Second, the treatment of oesophagogastric cancer could also be influenced by diet, living habits, environmental exposure and pathological type of patients, while these factors were not considered in this study. Third, some stratified analysis in this account was not sufficiently large (contain only

| Figure 3 | Sensitivity analysis. (A) Sensitivity analysis for the allele contrast of MTHFR C677T polymorphism. (B) Sensitivity analysis for the allele contrast of MTHFR A1298C polymorphism. |
The combination regimen may cause the diversities in efficacy, with other agents. The difference in treatment type and therapy regimens, fluoropyrimidines were all combined with other agents. The difference in treatment type and combination regimen may cause the diversities in efficacy, thus contributing to the heterogeneity among studies. Folate intake status is also a factor influencing the efficacy of fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in patients with oesophageal cancer. However, the results in present meta-analysis should be interpreted cautiously due to the existence of heterogeneity. Therefore, well-designed prospective studies based on larger sample sizes are warranted to validate the present findings.

Multiple factors may contribute to the heterogeneity in this study. Treatment setting may be one of the most pivotal influence factors. The eligible studies covered all stages of management in oesophagogastric cancer, including neoadjuvant (preoperative), adjuvant (postoperative) and palliative therapy. Meanwhile, in the chemotherapy regimens, fluoropyrimidines were all combined with other agents. The difference in treatment type and combination regimen may cause the diversities in efficacy, thus contributing to the heterogeneity among studies. Folate intake status is also a factor influencing the efficacy of fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy. MTHFR is a critical enzyme in folate-metabolising pathway, and folate status may affect the association of MTHFR polymorphisms with response to fluoropyrimidine-based treatment through gene–nutrition interaction. However, this effect cannot be assessed unless specifically sought and accounted for in the individual studies. In addition, the administration mode of fluoropyrimidines may also be one of the causes of heterogeneity. Fluoropyrimidines act in two different ways (bolus/infusion administration). Bolus fluoropyrimidines may incorporate into RNA and preclude protein synthesis, whereas continuous infusion exerts its major effect on TS. The eligible studies in this meta-analysis used both modes of fluorouracil administration.

CONCLUSION
In summary, we demonstrate that MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms cannot be considered as reliable factors for predicting the clinical response to fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy in patients with oesophageal cancer. However, the results in present meta-analysis should be interpreted cautiously due to the existence of heterogeneity. Therefore, well-designed prospective studies based on larger sample sizes are warranted to validate the present findings. Additionally, in view of the fact that fluoropyrimidines exert their effects through a multistep, multigenic cascade, hence, composite pharmacogenomics analysis may be more precise for efficacy prediction of fluoropyrimidine-based regimens.

Figure 4 Publication bias. (A) Begg’s funnel plot of the publication bias in the allele model of MTHFR C677T polymorphism. (B) Begg’s funnel plot of the publication bias in the allele model of MTHFR A1298C polymorphism.
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