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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Ischemic heart disease (IHD) is the main cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, and a considerable part of these patients attend to emergency departments, which increases the burden to these busy departments. The aim of this study was to develop a prediction model enabling prediction of all cause emergency department (ED) visits in patients with documented coronary stenosis in a derivation set, and then to determine its accuracy in a validation set.

METHODS: In a prospective study at outpatient setting of Baqiyatallah hospital, Tehran, Iran, 502 patients with IHD were followed for 6 months for observing the outcome of ED visits for all causes. They were divided in two random groups of derivation set (n = 335) and validation set (n = 167). In the derivation set, to achieve an all cause ED visits prediction model, a prediction model was reached by entering demographic data, clinical variables, somatic comorbidity (Ifudu index), level of anxiety and depression (Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) questionnaire), and angina grade (WHO Rose Angina) to a logistic regression. Then in the validation set, the sensitivity, specificity, and the accuracy of that model was tested.

RESULTS: A novel model for prediction of all cause ED visits in IHD patients in six months was presented with gender, anxiety, WHO angina grade and somatic comorbidity as inputs. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the model were 63.0%, 68.6%, and 67.7%, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: Testing and using the achieved model is suggested to health care providers in other settings.
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Participants and Sampling
This study was conducted in cardiology outpatient clinic of the Baqyiatallah hospital, Tehran, Iran, in 2006 and 2007. The inclusion criterion was having significant IHD defined as ≥ 50% stenosis in at least one major coronary artery, confirmed by angiography.

Measures and Measurement
Baseline Data
Patients’ age, gender, marital status, education level, living place, monthly family income and Body Mass Index (BMI) was registered, in addition to somatic comorbidity, anxiety and depression and angina grade.

Somatic Comorbidity
Somatic comorbidities were assessed using Ifudu Comorbidity Index. The original Ifudu Comorbidity Index is a numerical self-rated questionnaire that investigates the presence of 13 different chronic somatic illnesses in addition to chronic mental comorbidities, and provides a total score between 0 and 42. Higher Ifudu scores demonstrate having more comorbidity. The somatic conditions which are being assessed include: 1) nonischemic heart disease/hypertension, 2) ischemic heart disease, 3) chronic respiratory disease, 4) visual impairment, 5) low back pain, spine or joint disorders, 6) other musculoskeletal disorders, 7) genitourinary diseases, 8) hematological disease, 9) autonomic neuropathy, 10) infections, 11) liver, pancreas or biliary disease, 12) peripheral vascular disease, and 13) other neurologic disease. As all the present patients had IHD, and their somatic comorbidity was measured, the modified version of Ifudu index was composed of 12 non-IHD somatic comorbidities and ranged from 0 to 36. Although this measure is originally developed for patients with chronic kidney disease, this measure has been repeatedly used in a wide range of other chronic conditions such as respiratory diseases, blood diseases, and rheumatologic conditions.

Anxiety and Depression
Anxiety and depression were assessed using a Persian translated and validated version of the Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS). HADS contains 14 items and yields two subscales: anxiety and depression. Scores for each subscale ranges from 0 to 21, with higher scores indicating more severe symptoms.

Angina Grade
For this purpose Rose Angina Questionnaire was used as below:

Angina Grade I: respondents reported pain that met the criteria for any exertional chest pain and that the pain caused them to stop or slow down, relieved when the respondent stood still, disappeared within 10 minutes or less, occurred in the sternum, left arm and/or left anterior of the chest and did not occur when walking at an ordinary pace on the level.

Angina grade II: respondents reported pain that met the criteria for grade I Rose angina, and reported that the pain occurred when walking at an ordinary pace on the level.

All Cause Emergency Department Visit
Number of all cause emergency department visit during six months follow up period was the main outcome of the study and was defined as "all of the patient's visits to ED physician for any reason" and was asked through monthly phone calls.

Codes of Ethics
The study was approved by the ethics board of the university and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Statistical Analysis
In order to establish an accurate model for predicting the all cause ED visits by patients, firstly participants were randomly divided into two groups: 335 patients formed the "derivation set", based on which the prediction model was set, and the other 167 patients made the "validation set", which the accuracy of the
model was tested upon them. These two groups were not significantly different in baseline characteristics such as age, gender, marital status, family income, educational status, living place, BMI, anxiety, depression, angina type and somatic comorbidity (Chi square and independent sample t-test) (Table 1).

In the next step, a logistic regression model was implemented which predicts the probability of a binomial outcome.

The last step of the analysis was the validation process in which the suggested model was tested on "validation set" population to see whether it can predict the outcome (the probability of all cause ED visit) correctly. Then sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the model were calculated.

To know how valid the regression analysis is, sensitivity and specificity curves were plotted for different levels of the probabilities of the estimated model. This was then used to find the model-estimated probability cutoff, at which optimal sensitivity and specificity were achieved, namely maximal sum of sensitivity and specificity point of crossing.\(^\text{19}\) Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 13 for windows and p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

From the 600 consecutive IHD outpatients who were invited, 550 accepted to enroll, and 502 patients successfully completed the study and entered the current analysis.

**Derivation Set**

In the derivation set, 66 (19.7\%) patients visited ED, for any cause. Tables 2 and 3 compare the baseline data among patients with and without ED visit in this patient set.

In brief, the model showed that the estimated probability of all cause ED visit in IHD patients was a function of gender, anxiety symptoms, somatic comorbidity score, and presence of grade I or II of chest pain according to Rose angina questionnaire. The summary of regression analysis (in derivation set) is listed in table 4.

According to the logistic regression analysis, the following statistical equation could predict all cause ED visit in IHD patients:

\[ \text{Log P} = 0.060 \times \text{anxiety} + 0.060 \times \text{somatic comorbidity} + 0.561 \text{ if male gender} + 0.689 \text{ if having grade I or II angina} - 3.501 \]

In this equation, P stands for the probability of six month all cause ED visit, anxiety and somatic comorbidity are the scores of anxiety and somatic comorbidity, respectively.

**Validation Set**

Using this model in the validation set showed that the maximum sum of the sensitivity and specificity was achieved at a cutoff model-estimated probability of all cause ED visit of 0.21. Therefore, sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of the model were 63.0\%, 68.6\% and 67.7\%, respectively. Table 5 shows the results from validation set after setting the cutoff point at the point where optimum sensitivity and specificity were reached.

**Table 1. Comparison between derivation and validation sets**

|                         | Derivation set (n = 335) | Validation set (n = 167) | P value |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)             | 57.5 ± 11.5              | 58.0 ± 10.7              | 0.646   |
| Sex (male)              | 227 (67.8)               | 104 (62.3)               | 0.222   |
| Marital status (married)| 285 (85.1)               | 140 (83.8)               | 0.716   |
| Family income (> 300 USD)| 261 (77.9)               | 133 (79.6)               | 0.657   |
| Educational level (lower than high school diploma) | 229 (68.4) | 115 (68.9) | 0.909 |
| Body Mass Index (≥ 30 kg/m²) | 266 (79.4) | 126 (75.4) | 0.313 |
| Living place (urban)    | 306 (91.3)               | 154 (92.2)               | 0.739   |
| Angina type (grade I or II) | 216 (64.5) | 94 (56.3) | 0.075 |
| Total comorbidity score | 10.8 ± 6.6               | 11.2 ± 7.2               | 0.135   |
| Anxiety score           | 5.2 ± 3.3                | 5.1 ± 3.3                | 0.993   |
| Depression score        | 12.3 ± 7.6               | 12.3 ± 7.4               | 0.619   |
Table 2. Comparison of input variables between participants with and without emergency department visit in the derivation set

| Baseline characteristics | No. (%) of ED visits* | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Gender                   |                       |         |
| Male                     | 43 (18.9)             | 0.613   |
| Female                   | 23 (21.3)             |         |
| Marital status           |                       |         |
| Married                  | 54 (18.9)             | 0.407   |
| Single/divorced          | 12 (24.0)             |         |
| Family income            |                       |         |
| < 300 US$                | 50 (19.2)             | 0.638   |
| ≥ 300 US$                | 16 (21.6)             |         |
| Educational level        |                       |         |
| Less than high school diploma | 46 (20.1) | 0.794 |
| High school diploma or higher | 20 (18.9) |         |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m2)  |                       |         |
| < 30                     | 50 (18.8)             | 0.416   |
| ≥ 30                     | 16 (23.2)             |         |
| Living place             |                       |         |
| Urban                    | 62 (20.3)             | 0.403   |
| Rural                    | 4 (13.8)              |         |
| Chest pain               |                       |         |
| No or non-exertional chest pain | 17 (14.3) | 0.064 |
| Grade I and II angina (Q) | 49 (22.7) |         |

* Data is presented as number (percent)

Table 3. Comparison of baseline data between participants with or without emergency department visit in derivation set

| Baseline characteristics | Emergency department visit* | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
|                          | Positive (n = 66)            | Negative (n = 268) |
| Age (years)              | 56.6 ± 12.2                 | 57.7 ± 11.3 | 0.468 |
| Somatic comorbidity score| 13.2 ± 6.5                  | 10.2 ± 5.6  | 0.001 |
| Depression score         | 5.7 ± 4.3                   | 5.1 ± 3.0  | 0.217 |
| Anxiety score            | 9.5 ± 6.6                   | 6.4 ± 6.5  | 0.001 |

* Data is presented as mean ± SD

Table 4. Significant predictors of emergency department visit based on logistic regression analysis for the patients in derivation set

| Predictor                      | P value | Adjusted odds ratio | 95% confidence interval |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Sex (male)                     | 0.047   | 1.753               | 1.102-2.788             |
| Grade I and II angina          | 0.013   | 1.993               | 1.265-3.138             |
| Anxiety score                  | 0.004   | 1.062               | 1.026-1.099             |
| Somatic comorbidity score      | 0.002   | 1.062               | 1.029-1.096             |

Table 5. Comparison between actual and model-predicted number of emergency department visits in the validation set

| Predicted emergency department visits | Yes | No | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|-------|
| Actual emergency department visits   | 17  | 10 | 27    |
| No                                   | 44  | 96 | 140   |
| Total                                | 61  | 106| 167   |
Discussion
The present study showed that six month all cause ED visit rate among the studied Iranian IHD patients reaches to 37%. Also, it suggested that all cause ED visit in patients with IHD shows an increase in male patients with grades I or II of angina, higher concomitant somatic comorbidities and higher anxiety symptoms.

As expected, higher grades of angina symptoms were associated with more probability of all cause ED visit in this study. Angina type is reported to be associated with the prognosis of a IHD patient\textsuperscript{20,21} and presence of angina substantially increases the risk of death, MI, heart failure and other cardiovascular events in general population.\textsuperscript{22,23}

In addition to angina symptoms, the present study showed other associates with all cause ED visit in IHD patients. Literature reports a gender difference in morbidity related to IHD.\textsuperscript{24,25} With similar angina symptoms, women might report greater functional disability compared to men\textsuperscript{26,27} while men may experience worse outcome after ED visit for unstable angina.\textsuperscript{24} It was found in the present study that men, affected by IHD, irrespective of their other baseline data, are more probable to visit ED for any causes.

Anxiety, from one side, might bring optimism when it prompts an individual to quickly seek treatment for acute cardiac symptoms, and from the other side, may worsen the prognosis of IHD patients. In IHD, anxiety might affect adhering to prescribed medications and lifestyle changes, increase the risk for acute cardiac events and increase the risk for complications after acute coronary syndrome.\textsuperscript{28}

In the present study, higher somatic comorbidity was associated with higher probability of six month all cause ED visit in IHD patients. Somatic comorbidities are reported as a powerful predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with established IHD.\textsuperscript{29} Co-existing illnesses can potentially alter both the efficacy of therapies and the course of the primary disease.\textsuperscript{30} As people age, they are more likely to develop chronic somatic conditions and it is estimated that over 60% of people older than 60 years have two or more of such chronic illnesses.\textsuperscript{31} However, unfortunately, most studies of somatic therapeutics typically focus on the index disease.

Although obesity is a risk factor for IHD development,\textsuperscript{32} several studies have shown that it may not have any additional and independent negative effect on the outcome of these patients.\textsuperscript{33-35} Similarly in the present study no association was found between BMI and ED visits in patient population. The exact cause of such paradox is not defined and several mechanisms could be suggested. Some studies have suggested that obesity-induced hypercholesterolemia might have anti-inflammatory effect against unbound circulating lipopolysaccharides and hence improve long term outcomes.\textsuperscript{36,37} In addition, differences in the effect of various types of obesity on the cardiovascular system could explain other parts of this paradox. Peripheral adiposity (i.e. gynoid obesity) is relatively "inert" regarding metabolic and inflammatory properties. In addition, it has cardiovascular benefits due to an association with lower total body fat content and secretion of adiponectin which has anti-inflammatory, insulin-sensitizing, and antiatherogenic effects.\textsuperscript{38} In contrast, abdominal obesity is associated with higher total body fat content, more insulin-resistance, more comorbid associations, and more metabolic and inflammatory activities.

Although according to the literature, in IHD, depression plays a role as an associated factor for hospitalization and mortality,\textsuperscript{39,40} no predictive value for depression was found in the present study in prediction of six months all cause ED visit in IHD patients. Similarly, some other investigators found no association between depression and mortality risk in IHD patients.\textsuperscript{41}

The current study also presents a method for modeling all cause ED visit and other similar conditions with acceptable sensitivity and specificity. Regarding its reliability and ease of implementation, it is recommended to other healthcare systems, especially in developing countries, to hire such methods.

The presented model is hoped to support
valuable information for healthcare planners. Cardiologists should be more cautious about their male patients, who have higher grade of WHO angina, more somatic comorbid illnesses, and also those with anxiety. In addition, patients may benefit from anxiety reduction interventions and treatment of comorbid conditions. Further research should be conducted to test whether decreased anxiety will decrease ED visit in ACD patients.

It is suggested that further studies assess the efficacy of preventive interventions, with a focus on comorbid conditions and anxiety in ED visits and associated burden and costs in IHD patients.

Limitations
The disorders of anxiety and depression were not defined; instead, HADS questionnaire was used to measure these symptoms in present patient population. However, the internal reliability of HADS have been reported to be acceptable. Evaluation of variables only in the beginning of the study, failing to include the cause and timing of ED visits and being single centric are other shortcomings of this study.

Conclusions
The suggested model with moderate accuracy can be of use for health care providers/planners who are interested to know which patient characteristic affects likelihood of ED visit in IHD patients. However, an external validation of the presented model is needed.

Conflict of Interests
Authors have no conflict of interests.

References
1. Jemal A, Ward E, Hao Y, Thun M. Trends in the leading causes of death in the United States, 1970-2002. JAMA 2005; 294(10): 1255-9.
2. Cassar A, Holmes DR Jr, Rihal CS, Gersh BJ. Chronic coronary artery disease: diagnosis and management. Mayo Clin Proc 2009; 84(12): 1130-46.
3. Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Alternative projections of mortality and disability by cause 1990-2020: Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet 1997; 349(9064): 1498-504.
4. Hall SL, Lorenc T. Secondary prevention of coronary artery disease. Am Fam Physician 2010; 81(3): 289-96.
5. American Heart Association. 2000 Heart and Stroke Statistical Update. Dallas, Texas: American Heart Association; 1999.
6. Steg PG, Bhatt DL, Wilson PW, D’Agostino R Sr, Ohman EM, Röther J, et al. One-year cardiovascular event rates in outpatients with atherothrombosis. JAMA 2007; 297(11): 1197-206.
7. Nawar EW, Niska RW, Xu J. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2005 emergency department summary. Adv Data 2007; (386): 1-32.
8. Abbas Tavallaii S, Ebrahimnia M, Shamspour N, Assari S. Effect of depression on health care utilization in patients with end-stage renal disease treated with hemodialysis. Eur J Intern Med 2009; 20(4): 411-4.
9. Hollisaz MT, Noorbala MH, Irani N, Bahaeloo-Horeh S, Assari S, Saadat SH, et al. Severity of chronic pain affects health care utilization after kidney transplantation. Transplant Proc 2007; 39(4): 1122-5.
10. Ifudu O, Paul HR, Homel P, Friedman EA. Predictive value of functional status for mortality in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Am J Nephrol 1998; 18(2): 109-16.
11. Aslani J, Nouhi S, Azizabadi-Farahani M, Moghani Lankarani M, Saadat SH, Ghanei M. The socioeconomic status and quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Tanaffos 2007; 6(2): 38-51. (Persian).
12. AzarKeyvan A, HajiBeigi B, Moghani Lankarani M, Namdari M, Assari S. Associates of poor physical and mental health-related quality of life in beta thalassemia-major/intermedia. J Res Med Sci 2009; 14(6): 349-55.
13. Assari S, Soroush MR, Khoddami Vishtheh HR, Mousavi B, Ghanei M, Karbalaeismaeili S. Marital relationship and its associated factors in veterans exposed to high dose chemical warfare agents. J Fam Reprod Health 2008; 2(2): 69-74.
14. Ghanei M, Aslani J, AzizAbadi-Farahani M, Assari S, Saadat AR. Logistic regression model to predict chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation. Arch Med Sci 2007; 3(4): 360-6.
15. Alishiri GH, Bayat N, Fathi Ashtiani A, Tavallaii SA, Assari S, Moharamzad Y. Logistic regression models for predicting physical and mental health-related quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Mod Rheumatol 2008; 18(6): 601-8.
16. Montazeri A, Vahdaninia M, Ebrahimi M, Jarvandi S. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS): translation and validation study of the Iranian version. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2003; 1: 14.

17. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983; 67(6): 361-70.

18. Owen-Smith V, Hannafore PC, Elliott AM. Increased mortality among women with Rose angina who have not presented with ischaemic heart disease. Br J Gen Pract 2003; 53(495): 784-9.

19. Khedmat H, Karami GR, Pourfarziani V, Assari S, Rezaallahkajani M, Naghizadeh MM. A logistic regression model for predicting health-related quality of life in kidney transplant recipients. Transplant Proc 2007; 39(4): 917-22.

20. Guize L, Ducimetière P, Consoli SM, Kolsky H, Raynaud P, Walch JM, et al. One-year follow-up of a population of patients with angina. Factors influencing mortality and occurrence of cardiovascular events. Results of the ELAN study. Arch Mal Coeur Vaiss 2000; 93(3): 227-37.

21. Islamoğlu F, Apaydın AZ, Ozbakan M, Yüksel M, Telli A, Durmaz I. Predictors of outcome after coronary bypass surgery in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. Anadolu Kardiyol Derg 2002; 2(1): 26-34.

22. Graff-Iversen S, Selmer R, Lochen ML. Rose angina predicts 23-year coronary heart disease mortality in women and men aged 40-49 years. Heart 2008; 94(4): 482-6.

23. Murphy NF, Stewart S, Hart CL, MacIntyre K, Hole D, McMurray JJ. A population study of the long-term consequences of Rose angina: 20-year follow-up of the Renfrew-Paisley study. Heart 2006; 92(12): 1739-46.

24. Eastwood JA, Doering LV. Gender differences in coronary artery disease. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2005; 20(5): 340-51, quiz 52-3.

25. Möller-Leimkühler AM. Women with coronary artery disease and depression: a neglected risk group. World J Biol Psychiatry 2008; 9(2): 92-101.

26. Pinsky JL, Jette AM, Branch LG, Kannel WB, Feinleib M. The Framingham Disability Study: relationship of various coronary heart disease manifestations to disability in older persons living in the community. Am J Public Health 1990; 80(11): 1363-7.

27. Steingart RM, Packer M, Hamm P, Cogliansan ME, Gersh B, Geltman EM, et al. Sex differences in the management of coronary artery disease. Survival and Ventricular Enlargement Investigators. N Eng J Med 1991; 325(4): 226-30.

28. Shibeshi WA, Young-Xu Y, Blatt CM. Anxiety worsens prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007; 49(20): 2021-7.

29. Chirinos JA, Veerani A, Zambrano JP, Schob A, Perez G, Mendez AJ, et al. Evaluation of comorbidity scores to predict all-cause mortality in patients with established coronary artery disease. Int J Cardiol 2007; 117(1): 97-102.

30. Sachdev M, Sun JL, Tsitsias AA, Nelson CL, Mark DB, Jollis JG. The prognostic importance of comorbidity for mortality in patients with stable coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004; 43(4): 576-82.

31. van den Akker M, Buntinx F, Metsemakers JF, Roos S, Knottnet J. Multimorbidity in general practice: prevalence, incidence, and determinants of co-occurring chronic and recurrent diseases. J Clin Epidemiol 1998; 51(5): 367-75.

32. Buettner HJ, Mueller C, Gick M, Ferenc M, Allgeier J, Comberg T, et al. The impact of obesity on mortality in UA/non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J 2007; 28(14): 1694-701.

33. Auer J, Weber T, Berent R, Lassnig E, Maurer E, Lamm G, et al. Obesity, body fat and coronary atherosclerosis. Int J Cardiol 2005; 98(2): 227-35.

34. Gruberg L, Weissman NJ, Waksman S, Deible R, Pinnow EE, et al. The impact of obesity on the short-term and long-term outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention: the obesity paradox? J Am Coll Cardiol 2002; 39(4): 578-84.

35. Uretsky S, Messerli FH, Bangalore S, Champion A, Cooper-Dehoff RM, Zhou Q, et al. Obesity paradox in patients with hypertension and coronary artery disease. Am J Med 2007; 120(10): 863-70.

36. Galal W, van Domburg RT, Feringa HH, Schouten O, Elhendy A, Bax JJ, et al. Relation of body mass index to outcome in patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol 2007; 99(11): 1485-90.

37. Rauchhaus M, Clark AL, Doehner W, Davos C, Bolger A, Sharma R, et al. The relationship between cholesterol and survival in patients with chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003; 42(11): 1933-40.

38. McCarty MF. A paradox resolved: the postprandial model of insulin resistance explains why gynoid adiposity appears to be protective. Med Hypotheses 2003; 53(495): 784-9.

39. Rutledge T, Reis SE, Olson M, Owens J, Kelsey SF, Pepine CJ, et al. Depression is associated with cardiac symptoms, mortality risk, and hospitalization among women with suspected coronary disease: the NHLBI-sponsored WISE study. Psychosom Med 2006; 68(2): 217-23.

40. Sundquist J, Li X, Johansson SE, Sundquist K. Depression as a predictor of hospitalization due to coronary heart disease. Am J Prev Med 2005; 29(5): 428-33.

41. Jenkinson CM, Madeley RJ, Mitchell JR, Turner ID. The influence of psychosocial factors on survival after myocardial infarction. Public Health 1993; 107(5): 305-17.

42. Levinson CM, Druss BG. Health beliefs and depression in a group of elderly high utilizers of medical services. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2005; 27(2): 97-9.
کارگاه‌های آموزشی مرکز اطلاعات علمی جهاد دانشگاهی

- کارگاه آنلاین اصول تنظیم قراردادها
- کارگاه آنلاین پرورشال نویسی
- کارگاه آنلاین پروGRAF آمارسی در برخی پژوهش

سرویس ترجمه تخصصی
سرویس های ویژه
بناگ
מרכז اطلاعات علمی
سامانه ویرایشی
فیلم‌های آموزشی
STES