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Abstract

A. Takahashi suggested a conjectural method to find mirror symmetric pairs consisting of invertible polynomials and symmetry groups generated by some diagonal symmetries and some permutations of variables. Here we generalize the Saito duality between Burnside rings to a case of non-abelian groups and prove a “non-abelian” generalization of the statement about the equivariant Saito duality property for invertible polynomials. It turns out that the statement holds only under a special condition on the action of the subgroup of the permutation group called here PC (“parity condition”). An inspection of data on Calabi–Yau threefolds obtained from quotients by non-abelian groups shows that the pairs found on the basis of the method of Takahashi have symmetric pairs of Hodge numbers if and only if they satisfy PC.

1 Introduction

Mirror symmetry is the celebrated observation by physicists that there exist pairs of Calabi–Yau manifolds with symmetric sets of Hodge numbers. P. Berglund, T. Hübsch and M. Henningson ([2], [1]) found a method to construct mirror symmetric Calabi–Yau manifolds using so-called invertible polynomials: see details below. They considered pairs \((f, G)\) consisting of an invertible polynomial \(f\) and a (finite abelian) group \(G\) of diagonal symmetries of \(f\). To a pair \((f, G)\) one associates the Berglund–Hübsch–Henningson (BHH) dual pair \((f̃, ̃G)\). These pairs are also considered as orbifold Landau–Ginzburg models. There were found a number of symmetries of invariants corresponding to BHH dual pairs. For example, in [7] and [1], there was discovered a duality of certain elliptic genera of dual pairs. In [3], it was shown that the reduced orbifold Euler characteristics of the Milnor fibres of dual pairs coincide up to sign. In [5], it was shown that the orbifold E-functions (generating functions for the exponents of the monodromy actions on orbifold versions
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of the mixed Hodge structures on the Milnor fibres) of dual pairs possess a certain symmetry property. In [4], there was constructed a duality between the Burnside rings of a finite abelian group and of its group of characters which was interpreted as the Saito duality in some cases. For the groups of diagonal symmetries of invertible polynomials this duality is related to the BHH duality. In [4], it was shown that the reduced equivariant Euler characteristics of the Milnor fibres of dual invertible polynomials with the actions of their groups of diagonal symmetries defined as elements of the Burnside rings of the groups are dual to each other up to sign.

In [11] and [13], there were presented many Calabi–Yau threefolds obtained as crepant resolutions of quotient varieties of smooth quintic threefolds by non-abelian symmetry groups. The data computed for them indicates that there might be mirror dual pairs. The majority of these threefolds are defined by invertible polynomials with the symmetry groups generated by subgroups of the groups of diagonal symmetries and subgroups of the group $S_5$ of permutations of variables.

A. Takahashi (personal communication) suggested a conjectural method to find mirror symmetric pairs. The method associates to a pair $(f, \hat{G})$ with a non-abelian group $\hat{G}$ of a certain type (namely, a semi-direct product $G \rtimes S$ of a subgroup $G$ of the group $G_f$ of the diagonal symmetries of $f$ and a subgroup $S \subset S_n$ of the group of permutations of variables) a dual pair $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\hat{G}})$. An analysis of the data in [13] shows that in some cases the method detects pairs which can be considered as mirror symmetric ones, whereas in some other cases it fails. Here we generalize the Saito duality between Burnside rings to a case of non-abelian groups and prove a “non-abelian” generalization of the statement from [4] about the equivariant Euler characteristics of the Milnor fibres of dual invertible polynomials. It turns out that the statement holds only under a special condition on the action of the subgroup of the permutation group called here PC (“parity condition”). Moreover, an inspection of [13, Table 5] shows that the pairs found on the basis of the method of Takahashi (there are 13 of them with non-trivial groups $S$) might be considered as mirror symmetric ones (have symmetric pairs of Hodge numbers) if and only if they satisfy PC. This indicates that the condition PC seems to be necessary for the mirror symmetry of Berglund–Hübsch–Henningson–Takahashi dual pairs.

The authors are grateful to A. Takahashi for explaining his ideas on non-abelian mirror symmetry.

2 Invertible polynomials and their symmetry groups

An invertible polynomial in $n$ variables is a quasihomogeneous polynomial $f$ with the number of monomials equal to the number $n$ of variables (that is

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{E_{ij}},$$
where $a_i$ are non-zero complex numbers and $E_{ij}$ are non-negative integers) such that the matrix $E = (E_{ij})$ is non-degenerate and $f$ has an isolated critical point at the origin.

**Remark 1** The condition $\det E \neq 0$ is equivalent to the condition that the weights $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ of the variables in the polynomial $f$ are well defined (if one assumes the quasidegree to be equal to 1). In fact they are defined by the equation

$$E \cdot (q_1, \ldots, q_n)^T = (1, \ldots, 1)^T.$$

Without loss of generality one may assume that all the coefficients $a_i$ are equal to 1.

A classification of invertible polynomials is given in [9]. Each invertible polynomial is the direct ("Sebastiani–Thom") sum of atomic polynomials in different sets of variables of the following types:

1) chains: $x_1^{p_1}x_2 + x_2^{p_2}x_3 + \ldots + x_{m-1}^{p_{m-1}}x_m + x_m^{p_m}$;

2) loops: $x_1^{p_1}x_2 + x_2^{p_2}x_3 + \ldots + x_{m-1}^{p_{m-1}}x_m + x_m^{p_m}x_1$.

The group of the diagonal symmetries of $f$ is

$$G_f = \{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n : f(\lambda_1x_1, \ldots, \lambda_nx_n) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \}.$$

One can see that $G_f$ is an abelian group of order $|\det E|$. The Milnor fibre $V_f = \{ x \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(x) = 1 \}$ of the invertible polynomial $f$ is a complex manifold of dimension $n - 1$ with the natural action of the group $G_f$.

The Berglund–Hübsch transpose of $f$ is

$$\tilde{f}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{E_{ji}}.$$

The group $G_f^*$ of the diagonal symmetries of $\tilde{f}$ is in a canonical way isomorphic to the group $G_f^* = \text{Hom}(G_f, \mathbb{C}^*)$ of characters of $G_f$ (see, e. g., [9] Proposition 2]). For a subgroup $G$ of $G_f$, the Berglund–Hübsch–Henningson (BHH) dual to the pair $(f, G)$ is the pair $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{G})$, where $\tilde{G} \subset G_f^* = G_f^* \subset G_f^*$ is the subgroup of characters of $G_f$ vanishing (i. e. being equal to 1) on the subgroup $G$.

Let the permutation group $S_n$ act on the space $\mathbb{C}^n$ by permuting the variables. If an invertible polynomial $f$ is invariant with respect to a subgroup $S \subset S_n$, then it is invariant with respect to the semidirect product $G_f \rtimes S$ (defined by the natural action of $S$ on $G_f$). The Milnor fibre $V_f = \{ x \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(x) = 1 \}$ of the polynomial $f$ carries an action of the group $G_f \rtimes S$.

Let $G$ be a subgroup of $G_f$ invariant with respect to the group $S$. In this case the semidirect product $G \rtimes S$ is defined and the BHH dual subgroup $\tilde{G}$ is also invariant with respect to $S$. 3
**Definition:** The Berglund–Hübsch–Henningson–Takahashi (BHHT) dual to the pair \((f, G \rtimes S)\) is the pair \((\tilde{f}, \tilde{G} \rtimes S)\).

The Burnside ring \(A(H)\) of a finite group \(H\) is the Grothendieck ring of finite \(H\)-sets: see, e.g., [3]. As an abelian group, \(A(H)\) is freely generated by the classes \([H/K]\) of the quotient sets \(H/K\) for representatives \(K\) of the conjugacy classes of subgroups of \(H\). For an \(H\)-space \(X\) and for a point \(x \in X\) the isotropy subgroup of \(x\) is \(H_x := \{ g \in H : gx = x \}\). For a subgroup \(K \subseteq H\) the set of fixed points of \(K\) (that is points \(x\) with \(H_x \subseteq K\)) is denoted by \(X^K\); the set of points \(x \in X\) with the isotropy subgroup \(K\) is denoted by \(X^{(K)}\), the set of points \(x \in X\) with the isotropy subgroup conjugate to \(K\) is denoted by \(X^{([K])}\). The equivariant Euler characteristic of a topological \(H\)-space \(X\) is the element of the Burnside ring \(A(H)\) defined by

\[
\chi^H(X) := \sum_{[K] \in \text{Conj sub } H} \chi(X^{([K])}/H)[H/K].
\]

The reduced Euler characteristic \(\overline{\chi}^H(X)\) is \(\chi^H(X) - \chi^H(pt) = \chi^H(X) - [H/H]\).

If \(H\) is a subgroup of a finite group \(G\), one has the reduction and the induction operations \(\text{Red}_H^G\) and \(\text{Ind}_H^G\) which convert \(G\)-spaces to \(H\)-spaces and \(H\)-spaces to \(G\)-spaces respectively. The reduction \(\text{Red}_H^G X\) of a \(G\)-space \(X\) is the same space considered with the action of the smaller subgroup. The induction \(\text{Ind}_H^G X\) of an \(H\)-space \(X\) is the quotient space \((G \times X)/\sim\), where the equivalence relation \(\sim\) is defined by: \((g_1, x_1) \sim (g_2, x_2)\) if (and only if) there exists \(h \in H\) such that \(g_2 = g_1 h, x_2 = h x_1\); the \(G\)-action on it is defined in the natural way. Applying the reduction and the induction operations to finite \(G\)- and \(H\)-sets respectively, one gets the reduction homomorphism \(\text{Red}_H^G : A(G) \to A(H)\) and the induction homomorphism \(\text{Ind}_H^G : A(H) \to A(G)\). For a subgroup \(K\) of \(H\), one has \(\text{Ind}_H^K [H/K] = [G/K]\). The reduction homomorphism is a ring homomorphism, whereas the induction one is a homomorphism of abelian groups.

For a finite abelian group \(H\), let \(H^* = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^*)\) be its group of characters. Just as for a subgroup of \(G_j\) above, for a subgroup \(K \subseteq H\), the (BHH) dual subgroup of \(H^*\) is

\[
\tilde{K} := \{ \alpha \in H^* : \alpha(g) = 1 \text{ for all } g \in K \}.
\]

The equivariant Saito duality (see [4]) is the group homomorphism \(D_H : A(H) \to A(H^*)\) defined by \(D_H([H/K]) := [H^*/\tilde{K}]\). In [4], it was shown that the reduced equivariant Euler characteristics of the Milnor fibres of Berglund–Hübsch dual invertible polynomials \(f\) and \(\tilde{f}\) with the actions of the groups \(G_f\) and \(G_{\tilde{f}}\) respectively are Saito dual to each other up to the sign \((-1)^n\).

# 3 Non-abelian equivariant Saito duality

Let \(G\) be a finite abelian group and let \(S\) be a finite group with a homomorphism \(\varphi : S \to \text{Aut } G\). These data determine the semi-direct product \(\tilde{G} = G \rtimes S\). Let \(A^\varphi(G \rtimes S)\) be the Grothendieck group of finite \(\tilde{G}\)-sets with the isotropy subgroups
of points conjugate to \( H \ltimes T \subset G \ltimes S \), where \( H \) and \( T \) are subgroups of \( G \) and of \( S \) respectively such that, for \( \sigma \in T \), the automorphism \( \varphi(\sigma) \) preserves \( H \). (The semidirect product structure on \( H \ltimes T \) is defined by the homomorphism \( \varphi_T : T \to \text{Aut} \, H \)). The group \( A^\ast(G \ltimes S) \) is a subgroup of the Burnside ring \( A(\hat{G}) \) of the group \( \hat{G} \). It is the free abelian group generated by the conjugacy classes of the subgroups of the form \( H \ltimes T \). An element of \( A^\ast(G \ltimes S) \) can be written in a unique way as

\[
\sum_{[H \ltimes T] \in \text{Conjsub} \, \hat{G}} a_{H \ltimes T} [G \ltimes S/H \ltimes T]
\]

with integers \( a_{H \ltimes T} \).

**Proposition 1** Subgroups \( H_1 \ltimes T_1 \) and \( H_2 \ltimes T_2 \) are conjugate in \( G \ltimes S \) if and only if they are conjugate by an element \( \sigma \in S \subset \hat{G} \), i.e. \( T_2 = \sigma^{-1} T_1 \sigma \), \( H_2 = \varphi(\sigma) H_1 \).

**Proof.** Each element of \( G \ltimes S \) is the product of an element of \( G \) and an element of \( S \) respectively. To prove the statement, we have to show that, if the conjugation by an element of \( G \subset G \ltimes S \) sends a subgroup \( H \ltimes T \) to a subgroup of the form \( K \ltimes T \), then \( K = H \). We have

\[
g^{-1}(h, \sigma) g = ((g^{-1} \varphi(\sigma(g))) \, h, \sigma) .
\]

The set \((g^{-1} \varphi(\sigma(g))) \, H\) is a coset of the subgroup \( H \). Thus it is a subgroup \( K \) of \( G \) if and only if it coincides with \( H \). \( \square \)

Let \( G^\ast = \text{Hom} \, (G, \mathbb{C}^\ast) \) be the group of characters on \( G \). One has \( G^{\ast \ast} \cong G \) (canonically). The homomorphism \( \varphi : S \to \text{Aut} \, G \) induces a natural homomorphism \( \varphi^\ast : S \to \text{Aut} \, G^\ast \): \( \langle \varphi^\ast(\sigma) \alpha, g \rangle = \langle \alpha, \varphi(\sigma^{-1}) g \rangle \), where \( \langle \alpha, g \rangle := \alpha(g) \). Let \( \hat{G}^\ast := G^\ast \ltimes S \) be the semidirect product defined by the homomorphism \( \varphi^\ast \). One can see that, if \( \varphi(\sigma) \) preserves a subgroup \( H \subset G \), then \( \varphi^\ast(\sigma) \) preserves the subgroup \( \hat{H} \subset \hat{G}^\ast \). Thus for a semidirect product \( H \ltimes T \subset G \times S \) one has the semidirect product \( \hat{H} \ltimes T \subset G^\ast \ltimes S \).

**Proposition 2** Subgroups \( H_1 \ltimes T_1 \) and \( H_2 \ltimes T_2 \) are conjugate in \( G \ltimes S \) if and only if the subgroups \( \hat{H}_1 \ltimes T_1 \) and \( \hat{H}_2 \ltimes T_2 \) are conjugate in \( G^\ast \ltimes S \).

**Proof.** This is a direct consequence of Proposition 1 if the subgroups \( H_1 \ltimes T_1 \) and \( H_2 \ltimes T_2 \) are conjugate by an element \( \sigma \in S \), then the subgroups \( \hat{H}_1 \ltimes T_1 \) and \( \hat{H}_2 \ltimes T_2 \) are conjugate by this element as well. \( \square \)

**Definition:** The (“non-abelian”) equivariant Saito duality corresponding to the group \( \hat{G} = G \ltimes S \) is the group homomorphism \( D^\ast_{\hat{G}} : A^\ast(G \ltimes S) \to A^\ast(G^\ast \ltimes S) \) defined (on the generators) by

\[
D^\ast_{\hat{G}}([G \ltimes S/H \ltimes T]) = [G^\ast \ltimes S/\hat{H} \ltimes T] .
\]
One can see that $D^\times_G \hat{\otimes} G$ is an isomorphism of the groups $A^\times(G \rtimes S)$ and $A^\times(G^* \rtimes S)$ and $D^\times_G \hat{\otimes} G = \text{id}$.

For a subgroup $S' \subset S$ one has the natural homomorphism $\text{Ind}_{G^\times S'}^{G \rtimes S} : A^\times(G \rtimes S) \to A^\times(G^* \rtimes S)$ sending the generator $[G \rtimes S'/H \rtimes T]$ to the generator $[G \rtimes S/H \rtimes T]$. This homomorphism commutes with the Saito duality, i.e. the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A^\times(G \rtimes S) & \xrightarrow{D^\times_{G \rtimes S'}} & A^\times(G^* \rtimes S') \\
\downarrow \text{Ind}_{G^\times S'}^{G \rtimes S} & & \downarrow \text{Ind}_{G^* \rtimes S'}^{G \rtimes S} \\
A^\times(G \rtimes S) & \xrightarrow{D^\times_G \hat{\otimes} G} & A^\times(G^* \rtimes S)
\end{array}
\]

is commutative.

4 Equivariant Euler characteristic of the Milnor fibre

Let $f$ be an invertible polynomial in $n$ variables, let $G_f$ be the group of the diagonal symmetries of $f$, and let $S$ be a subgroup of $S_n$ preserving $f$.

In terms of the representation of $f$ as the direct sum of atomic polynomials (blocks), the action of $S$ can be described in the following way. The group $S$ permutes some of these blocks (all permuted blocks are isomorphic) so that, if a block goes to itself under the action of an element $\sigma \in S$, then $\sigma$ acts on the block identically. In particular, the action of $S$ is like that on all blocks of chain type. The action of the group on other blocks of loop type is such that, if a block goes to itself under the action of $\sigma \in S$, then $\sigma$ acts on the loop as a symmetry of it. A loop can have symmetries of two types. First, a loop

\[
x_1^{p_1} x_2 + x_2^{p_2} x_3 + \ldots + x_{m-1}^{p_{m-1}} x_m + x_m^{p_m} x_1
\]

(2)

can be such that its length $m$ is equal to $k \ell$ and the sequence $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ of its exponents is $\ell$-periodic: $p_{i+\ell} = p_i$. A symmetry sends the variable $x_i$ to the variable $x_{i+\ell}$. (Here the number $i$ is considered modulo $k \ell$.) Symmetries of this sort will be called rotations. Besides that one may have a flip of a loop which sends the variable $x_i$ to the variable $x_{r-i}$ (again the indices are considered modulo $k \ell$). A permutation of variables of this sort preserves the loop (2) if and only if all the exponents $p_i$ are equal to 1. In this case either (2) is not an invertible polynomial (since it has a non-isolated critical point at the origin) or it has a non-degenerate critical point (i.e., of type $A_1$) at the origin. Therefore we will exclude this type of symmetries of loops from consideration.

One can see that the dual polynomial $\tilde{f}$ is invariant with respect to the group $S$ as well.
To have the possibility to discuss a non-abelian equivariant Saito duality for dual invertible polynomials, one has to know that the equivariant Euler characteristic of the Milnor fibre $V_f$ of a polynomial $f$ with the $G_f \times S$-action belongs to the subgroup $A^\alpha(G_f \times S) \subset A(G_f \times S)$. This follows from the following statement.

**Proposition 3** If, for a conjugacy class $[\bar{T}] \in \operatorname{Conjsub}(G_f \times S)$, one has $((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{([\bar{T}])} \neq \emptyset$, then the class $[\bar{T}]$ contains a subgroup of $G_f \times S$ of the form $\{e\} \times T$.

Moreover, subgroups $\{e\} \times T_1$ and $\{e\} \times T_2$ are conjugate in $G_f \times S$ if and only if $T_1$ and $T_2$ are conjugate in $S$.

**Proof.** Let $T = \pi(\bar{T})$ where $\bar{T}$ is a representative of the conjugacy class $[\bar{T}]$ and $\pi : G_f \times S \to S$ is the quotient map. Since the action of $G_f$ on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is free, for any $\sigma \in T$ there exists a unique $\underline{x} = \underline{x}(\sigma) \in G_f$ such that $(\underline{x}(\sigma), \sigma) \in \bar{T}$. Let $x \in ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{([\bar{T}])}$, i.e. $\underline{x}(\sigma), \sigma \underline{x} = \underline{x}$ for all $\sigma \in T$. We shall show that there exists a $\mu \in G_f$ such that $\underline{x}, y \in ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{\bar{T}}$ for all $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^T$, i.e. $y$ such that $y_{\sigma(i)} = y_i$ for all $\sigma \in T$. This will imply that $((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{\{e\} \times T}$ is contained in $((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{\{e\} \times T}$ and, in particular, $\mu^{-1}(\bar{T}) \in ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{(\{e\} \times T)}$, i.e. $\{e\} \times T \in [\bar{T}]$.

The action of the group $T$ on blocks of the polynomial $f$ was described above. It splits into permutations of some blocks (we shall refer to them as blocks of the first type) and permutations with rotations of other blocks of loop type (blocks of the second type). It is sufficient to prove the existence of $\mu$ for a set of blocks from the same $T$-orbit.

Let, first, the orbit consist of blocks $B_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, of the first type. The group $G_{\oplus B_\alpha}$ of the diagonal symmetries of the direct sum of these blocks is the direct sum of the groups $G_{B_\alpha}$ of symmetries of the block $B_\alpha$ (all of them are isomorphic). Let us denote an element $\underline{\lambda} \in G_{\oplus B_\alpha}$ by $\{\underline{\lambda}_\alpha\}$ with $\underline{\lambda}_\alpha \in G_{B_\alpha}$. We shall also write points $y \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ as $\{y_{\alpha}\}$.

Let us fix $\alpha_0 \in A$. A point $y \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ invariant with respect to the $T$-action is determined by its part $y_{\alpha_0}$. The condition for a point $y$ to be fixed with respect to $T$, i.e. $\underline{\lambda}(\sigma), \sigma y = y$, can be written as $\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma(\alpha_0)} y_{\sigma^{-1}(\alpha)} = y_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in A$. The condition on $\underline{\lambda}$ says that

$$\underline{\lambda}_{\sigma(\alpha_0)}(\sigma) \underline{x}_{\alpha_0}, y_{\alpha_0} = \underline{x}_{\sigma(\alpha_0)} y_{\alpha_0}.$$ 

Thus we can take $\underline{\lambda}_{\alpha_0} = \underline{x}_{\alpha_0}$. The coordinates in the block $B_\alpha$ will be denoted by $x_{\alpha,i}$. For a fixed $\alpha_0 \in A$, the subgroup $T_{\alpha_0}$ of $T$ sending the block $B_{\alpha_0}$ to itself acts on this block in the following way. We shall omit the index $\alpha_0$, i.e. we shall denote $x_{\alpha_0,i}$ by $x_i$ for short. The block $B_{\alpha_0}$ is of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k\ell} x_i^p_i x_{i+1},$$

where the index $i$ is considered modulo $k\ell$ and $p_i = p_{i+j}$. An orbit of the action of the group $T_{\alpha_0}$ on the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_{k\ell}$ consists of all $x_i$ with $i$ congruent to
each other modulo \( \ell \). An element \( y \) invariant with respect to the action of \( T_{a_0} \) is determined by its coordinates \( y_1, \ldots, y_k \). Let us first define the components \( \mu_i \) of \( \mu \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \ell \). Let \( \lambda_i(s) = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1 \) be \( \lambda_i(\sigma) \) for \( \sigma \in T_{a_0} \) which acts by the rotation \( i \mapsto i + s \ell \). A point \( (y_1, \ldots, y_{k \ell}) \) is fixed with respect to \( T \cap \pi^{-1}(T_{a_0}) \) if
\[
\lambda_i(s)y_{i+\ell} = y_i
\]
for all integers \( i \) taken modulo \( \ell \).

Let \( \lambda_i := \lambda_i(1), P := p_1 \cdots p_k \). The fact that \( \Lambda \in G_{B_{a_0}} \) is equivalent to \( \lambda_i^{p_i} \lambda_{i+1} = 1 \). The condition on \( \mu \) is \( \lambda_{i+\ell}(s)\mu_i y_i = \mu_{i+s\ell} y_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \ell, \; s = 1, \ldots, k \). It is sufficient to have \( \lambda_{i+\ell}(1)\mu_i = \mu_{i+\ell} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \ell \). This follows from the equation
\[
\lambda_{i+\ell}(s) = \lambda_{i+\ell}(1)\lambda_{i+(s-1)\ell}(1) \cdots \lambda_{i+\ell}(1).
\]

The condition that \( \underline{\mu} \in G_{B_{a_0}} \) means that
\[
\mu_1^{p_1} \mu_2 = \mu_2^{p_2} \mu_3 = \cdots = \mu_{k\ell}^{p_k} \mu_{k\ell+1} = \mu_{k\ell+2}^{p_{k\ell+2}} = \cdots = \mu_{k\ell+1}^{p_{k\ell+1}} = 1.
\]
In particular, \( \mu_{i+1} = \mu_1^{-1}p_i \). On the other hand, \( \mu_{i+1} = \lambda_{i+1} \mu_1 \). Thus
\[
\mu_1^{-1}p_i = \mu_1^{-1}p_{i+1}p_i = 1.
\]
Therefore \( \mu_1 \) should be a root of degree \( ((-1)^{k\ell}p-1) \) of \( \lambda_{i+1} \). Let us define \( \mu_1 \) as any fixed root of this type. The equations (5) \( (\mu_i^{p_i} \mu_{i+1} = 1) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \ell - 1 \) determine all the components \( \mu_i \) of \( \mu \) as powers of \( \mu_1 \). In particular, they give
\[
\mu_{k\ell}^{p_{k\ell}} = \mu_1^{-1}\ell p_{k\ell}.
\]
One has to verify the last equation
\[
\mu_1^{-1}(-1)^{k\ell}p_{k\ell} = 1.
\]
The conditions (4) imply that
\[
\lambda_{i+1} \cdots \lambda_{(k-1)\ell+1} \lambda_1 = 1, \; \text{i.e.} \; \lambda_{k+1} = (\lambda_{2\ell+1} \cdots \lambda_{(k-1)\ell+1} \lambda_1)^{-1}.
\]
The conditions \( \lambda_i^{p_i} \lambda_{i+1} = 1 (\lambda \in G_{B_{a_0}}) \) imply that
\[
\lambda_{2\ell+1} = \lambda_{\ell+1}^{(-1)^{\ell}}, \\
\lambda_{3\ell+1} = \lambda_{\ell+1}^{(-2)^{\ell} p_2}, \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_{(k-1)\ell+1} = \lambda_{\ell+1}^{(-2)^{(k-2)\ell} p_{k-2}}, \\
\lambda_1 = \lambda_{\ell+1}^{(-1)^{(k-1)\ell} p_{k-1}}.
\]
Therefore we have
\[
\lambda_{k+1}^{(-1)^{k\ell}p_{k+1}+(-1)^{2^l}p_{2^l} + \cdots + (-1)^{(k-2)\ell} p_{k-2} + (-1)^{(k-1)\ell} p_{k-1}} = 1.
\]
Together with (7) this gives (9).
5 Condition PC

Let $f$ be an invertible polynomial invariant with respect to a subgroup $S \subset S_m$. From Proposition 3 it follows that $\hat{\chi}^{G_f}(V_f) \in A^*(G_f \times S)$ and also $\hat{\chi}^{G_f}(V_f) \in A^*(G_f \times S)$. If $S = \{e\}$, one has the equivariant Saito duality

$$\hat{\chi}^{G_f}(V_f) = (-1)^n D^*_{G_f} \hat{\chi}^{G_f}(V_f).$$

(12)

Let us show that Equation (12) does not hold in general for $S \neq \{e\}$.

Example 1 Let

$$f(x) = \tilde{f}(x) = x_1^m + x_2^m + x_3^m + x_4^m, \quad S = \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

The group $G_f(\cong G_f)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_m^4$. The isotropy subgroup of a point of the $G_f \times S$-action on the Milnor fibre $V_f$ is conjugate to $\mathbb{Z}_m^r \times T$, where $T$ is one of the subgroups $S, \{e\}, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2', \mathbb{Z}_2''$ of the group $S$ (and $\mathbb{Z}_2', \mathbb{Z}_2''$ are the cyclic subgroups of $S$ of order 2), $0 \leq r \leq 3$. We shall compute the coefficients of $[G_f \times S/\mathbb{Z}_m^r \times T]$ in $\hat{\chi}^{G_f}(V_f)$ with $r = 0$. Only these summands can be Saito dual to the summand $-[G_f \times S/\mathbb{Z}_m^r \times S]$ in $\hat{\chi}^{G_f}(V_f)$.

The isotropy subgroups conjugate to $\{e\} \times T$ can be met only for points from $V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^4$. The points with the isotropy subgroup $\{e\} \times S$ are of the form $(x, x, x, x)$. There are $m$ of them. The points with the isotropy subgroup conjugate to $\{e\} \times S$ are obtained from these ones by the action of the group $G_f \cong \mathbb{Z}_m^4$ (see the proof of Proposition 3). Thus there are $m^4$ of them ($m$ elements of $G_f$ permute the $m$ points described above). Therefore the coefficient of $[G_f \times S/\mathbb{Z}_m^r \times S]$ in $\hat{\chi}^{G_f}(V_f)$ is equal to 1 (see Equation (1) for the equivariant Euler characteristic).

The points with the isotropy subgroup $\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2'$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2' = \langle (12)(34) \rangle$ are of the form $(x, x, y, y)$ with $x \neq y$. The Euler characteristic of the set $\{x \in V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^4 : x_1 = x_2, x_3 = x_4\}$ is equal to $-m^2$. Therefore the Euler characteristic of the union of the shifts of this set by the elements of the group $G_f$ is equal to $-m^4$ (the $m$ elements of $G_f$ leave this set invariant). The Euler characteristic of $V_f^\prime([\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2])$ is obtained from this one by subtracting the Euler characteristic of $V_f^\prime([\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2])$ (equal to $m^4$) and thus is equal to $-2m^4$. Therefore the coefficient of $[G_f \times S/\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2]$ in $\hat{\chi}^{G_f}(V_f)$ is equal to $-1$. The same holds for the coefficients of $[G_f \times S/\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2']$ and $[G_f \times S/\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2'']$.

In the same way one can show that the coefficient of $[G_f \times S/\{e\} \times \{e\}]$ is equal to 1. Thus all these terms sum up to

$$[G_f \times S/\{e\} \times S] - [G_f \times S/\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2] - [G_f \times S/\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2'] - [G_f \times S/\{e\} \times \mathbb{Z}_2''] + [G_f \times S/\{e\} \times \{e\}].$$

The first term in this expression is Saito dual to $[G_f \times S/\mathbb{Z}_m \times S]$ (though with the non-expected sign), whereas the other terms do not have dual counterparts.
The reason for these terms not to vanish is the following one. For an invertible polynomial \( f \) in \( n \) variables with the symmetry group \( G_f \rtimes S \), the sign of the Euler characteristic of the set \( (V_f \cap (C^*)^n)^T \) \((T \subset S)\) is \( (-1)^{\dim(C^n)^T-1} \). This follows, e. g., from a formula of Varchenko [12].

In the example above \( \dim(C^n)^S = 1 \) is odd while \( \dim(C^n)^Z_2 = 2 \) is even. One can say that if the latter dimension would be odd as well, the Euler characteristic of \( V_f^{((\sigma ) \times Z_2^1)} \) would vanish (being equal to \( m^4 - m^4 \)). This gives a hint that the Saito duality for dual invertible polynomials can only hold if the dimensions of the subspaces \( (C^n)^T \) for all subgroups \( T \subset S \) have the same parity.

**Remark 2** We might present a simpler example with \( f(x) = x^m + x^m, S = \langle (12) \rangle \), however, in this case the group \( S \) is not contained in \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) what is the usual condition in mirror symmetry.

The example above and the discussion of it motivate the following condition on the action of the group \( S \).

**Definition:** We say that a subgroup \( S \subset S_n \) satisfies the parity condition (“PC” for short) if for each subgroup \( T \subset S \) one has

\[
\dim(C^n)^T \equiv n \mod 2,
\]

where \( (C^n)^T = \{x \in C^n : \sigma x = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in T\} \).

**Proposition 4** A subgroup \( S \subset S_n \) satisfying PC is contained in the alternating group \( A_n \subset S_n \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma \) be an element of \( S \). The dimension of the subspace \( (C^n)^{(\sigma)} \) \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) is the (cyclic) subgroup generated by \( \sigma \) is equal to the number of cycles in the decomposition of the permutation \( \sigma \) into disjoint cycles. This yields the statement.

\( \square \)

**Examples.**

1. The proof of Proposition 4 implies that a cyclic subgroup of \( S_n \) satisfies PC if and only if its generator (and therefore each element) is an even permutation. In particular, the subgroup \( A_3 \subset S_3 \) satisfies PC.

2. The subgroup \( A_4 \subset S_4 \) does not satisfy PC since \( \dim(C^4)^{A_4} = 1 \). This implies that, for \( n \geq 4 \), the subgroup \( A_n \subset S_n \) does not satisfy PC (since \( A_n \) contains the subgroup \( A_4 \) permuting the first four coordinates).

3. The group \( A_4 \) contains the subgroup \( S = \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \) isomorphic to \( Z_2 \times Z_2 \). The space \( (C^4)^S \) is one-dimensional and therefore the subgroup \( S \) does not satisfy PC. (This was used in the example above.)

4. The group \( \langle (12345), (12)(34) \rangle \subset A_5 \) coincides with \( A_5 \) and therefore does not satisfy PC. The group \( \langle (12345), (14)(23) \rangle \subset A_5 \) is isomorphic to the dihedral group \( D_{10} \) and satisfies PC.
6 Non-abelian Saito duality for invertible polynomials

Let $f$, $\tilde{f}$ and $S$ be as in Section 4 and also let $\hat{G}_f = G_f \rtimes S$, $\hat{G}_{\tilde{f}} = G_{\tilde{f}} \rtimes S$.

**Theorem 1** If the subgroup $S \subset S_n$ satisfies PC, then one has

$$\bar{\chi}^{G_f \times S}(V_f) = (-1)^n D_{\hat{G}_{\tilde{f}} \times S}^{\hat{G}_f \times S}(V_{\tilde{f}}).$$

**Proof.** For a subset $I \subset I_0 = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, let $\mathbb{C}^I := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I\}$, $(\mathbb{C}^*)^I := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \in I, x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I\}$. One has $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigsqcup_{I \subset I_0} (\mathbb{C}^*)^I$. Each torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^I$ is invariant with respect to the actions of the groups $G_f$ and $G_{\tilde{f}}$. Let $G_f^I \subset G_f$ and $G_{\tilde{f}}^I \subset G_{\tilde{f}}$ be the isotropy subgroups of these actions on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^I$. (The isotropy subgroups are the same for all points of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^I$.)

The group $S$ acts on the set $2^{I_0}$ of subsets of $I_0$. For a subset $I \subset I_0$, let $S^I := \{\sigma \in S : \sigma I = I\}$ be the isotropy subgroup of $I$ for the action of $S$ on $2^{I_0}$. One has $S^I = S^I$.

One has the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \bigsqcup_{I \in 2^{I_0}/S} \left( \bigsqcup_{J \in I} (\mathbb{C}^*)^J \right),$$

where the unions are over the orbits $\mathcal{J}$ of the $S$-action on $2^{I_0}$, and over the elements $I$ of the orbit, and therefore

$$V_f = \bigsqcup_{I \in 2^{I_0}/S} \left( \bigsqcup_{J \in I} (V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^J) \right).$$

The subset $\bigsqcup_{J \in I} (V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^J) \subset V_f$ is $(G_f \rtimes S)$-invariant. Therefore

$$\chi^{G_f \times S}(V_f) = \sum_{I \in 2^{I_0}/S} \chi^{G_f \times S} \left( \bigsqcup_{J \in I} (V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^J) \right).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\chi^{G_f \times S} \left( \bigsqcup_{J \in I} (V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^J) \right) = \text{Ind}_{G_f \times S^I}^{G_f \times S} \chi^{G_f \times S^I}(V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^I).$$

We shall show that under the imposed conditions one has:

$$\chi^{G_f \times S}(V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n) = (-1)^{n-1} [G_f \rtimes S/S]$$

(14)
and therefore

$$\chi^{G_f \times S} (V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n) = (-1)^{n-1} D_{G_f \times S}^x \left[ G_f \rtimes S / G_f \times S \right] ; \quad (15)$$

for a non-empty proper subset $I \subset I_0$

$$\chi^{G_f \times S^I} (V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^I) = (-1)^n D_{G_f \times S^I}^x \left[ G_f \rtimes S^I \right]$$

(16)

and therefore

$$\chi^{G_f \times S} \left( \bigsqcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} (V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^I) \right) = (-1)^n D_{G_f \times S}^x \chi^{G_f \times S^I} \left( \bigsqcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} (V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^I) \right) . \quad (17)$$

Equations (15) and (17) (together with (15) with $f$ and $\tilde{f}$ interchanged) give the statement.

For $I \subset I_0$, let $f^I := f|_{\mathbb{C}^I}$; for $I \subset I_0$ and for a subgroup $T \subset S^I$, let $f^{I,T} := f^{I}|_{\mathbb{C}^T}$. Here the set $(\mathbb{C}^I)^T$ of fixed points of $T$ in the coordinate subspace $\mathbb{C}^I$ consists of the points whose coordinates are equal if and only if they can be sent one to the other by an element of $T$. If $f^I$ has an isolated critical point at the origin, then $f^{I,T}$ has an isolated critical point as well. For short we shall denote $f^{I_0,T}$ by $f^T$.

Let us prove (14) first. For short, let $X := V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.

According to Proposition 2 one has

$$\chi^{G_f \times S} (X) = \sum_{[T] \in \text{Conj}_{\text{sub}} S} \chi^{G_f \times S} (X_{\langle \{e\} \times T \rangle}) . \quad (18)$$

For $T = S$ we have

$$X_{\langle \{e\} \times S \rangle} = \text{Ind}_{N_{G_f \times S}(\{e\} \times S)}^{G_f \times S} X^S ,$$

where $X^S = X^{\langle S \rangle}$ is considered as an $N_{G_f \times S}(\{e\} \times S)$-space. The normalizer $N_{G_f \times S}(\{e\} \times S)$ is the semidirect (in fact direct) product $G_f^S \times S$, where $G_f^S \subset G_f$ is the subgroup of $G_f$ consisting of the elements $g$ on which $S$ acts trivially, i.e. $\varphi(\sigma)g = g$ for all $\sigma \in S$. This means that the subgroup $G_f^S$ consists of the elements $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in G_f$ such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for $i$ and $j$ from the same $S$-orbit. Therefore $G_f^S$ coincides with the symmetry group $G_f^S$ of the function $f^S$. Since $G_f^S$ acts freely on $X^S$ and $S$ acts trivially on it, one has

$$\chi^{G_f^S \times S} (X^S) = \chi \left( (V_f^S \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^S) / G_f^S \right) \cdot [G_f^S \times S / \{e\} \times S] .$$

The Varchenko formula (12) implies that

$$\chi \left( V_f^S \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^S \right) = (-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^n)^S-1} \cdot |G_f^S| = (-1)^{n-1} \cdot |G_f^S|$$

(here we use the PC property of $S$) and therefore

$$\chi \left( (V_f^S \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^S) / G_f^S \right) = (-1)^{n-1} .$$
\[ \chi^{G_f \times S} \left( V_f \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^S \right) = (-1)^{n-1} \left[ G_f^S \rtimes S / \{ e \} \rtimes S \right] , \]

and
\[ \chi^{G_f \times S} \left( V_f \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{\{ e \} \times S} \right) = (-1)^{n-1} \left[ G_f \rtimes S / \{ e \} \rtimes S \right] . \]  

(19)

We shall show that, for a proper subgroup \( T \) of \( S \), one has
\[ \chi^{G_f \times S} \left( V_f \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^n)^{\{ e \} \times T} \right) = 0 . \]  

(20)

Equations (19) and (20) imply (14).

We have
\[ \chi^{G_f \times S} \left( X^{\{ e \} \times T} \right) = \text{Ind}_{N_{G_f \times S}(\{ e \} \times T)}^{G_f \times S(\{ e \} \times T)} \chi^{G_f \times S(\{ e \} \times T)} \left( X^{(T)} \right) . \]

The normalizer of \( \{ e \} \times T \) in \( G_f \rtimes S \) coincides with \( G_f \rtimes T \). The group \( G_f \rtimes T \times N_S(T) / \{ e \} \times T \) acts freely on \( X^{(T)} \). Therefore
\[
\chi^{G_f \times T \times N_S(T)} \left( X^{(T)} \right) = \chi \left( X^{(T)} / (G_f \rtimes T \times N_S(T) / \{ e \} \times T) \right) \cdot [G_f \rtimes T \times N_S(T) / \{ e \} \times T] \\
= \frac{|T| \cdot \chi \left( X^{(T)} \right)}{|G_f \rtimes T \times N_S(T)|} .
\]

Let us show that
\[ \chi \left( X^{(T)} \right) = 0 . \]  

(21)

Assume that for all subgroups \( U \) such that \( T \subsetneq U \subsetneq S \) one has \( \chi \left( X^{(U)} \right) = 0 \). (In particular, this holds if \( T \) is a maximal proper subgroup of \( S \).) The equation \( \chi \left( X^{(U)} \right) = 0 \) implies that, for \( g \in G_f \rtimes T \), \( \chi \left( X^{(U)} \cdot g \right) = 0 \) (since \( X^{(U)} \cdot g = g \cdot X^{(U)} \)). Moreover, for \( g_1, g_2 \in G_f \rtimes T \), the spaces \( X^{(U_1 \cdot g_1)} \) and \( X^{(U_2 \cdot g_2)} \) either coincide (if \( g_1^{-1} U_1 = g_2^{-1} U_2 \)) or do not intersect.

One has
\[
X^T \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G_f \rtimes T} X^{g^{-1} S g} = X^{(T)} \cup \bigcup_{U \subsetneq S} \bigcup_{g \in G_f \rtimes T} X^{(U \cdot g)} .
\]

(22)

Due to the assumption one has
\[ \chi \left( \bigcup_{U \subsetneq S} \bigcup_{g \in G_f \rtimes T} X^{(U \cdot g)} \right) = 0 . \]

Therefore \( \chi(X^{(T)}) = 0 \) if and only if \( \chi \left( X^T \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G_f \rtimes T} X^{g^{-1} S g} \right) = 0 \). For \( g_1, g_2 \in G_f \rtimes T \), \( g_1^{-1} S g_1 = g_2^{-1} S g_2 \) if and only if \( g_1 g_2^{-1} \in G_f S \). This implies that
\[ \chi \left( X^T \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G_f \rtimes T} X^{g^{-1} S g} \right) = \chi(X^T) - \frac{|G_f \rtimes T|}{|G_f S|} \chi(X^S) . \]
Due to the Varchenko formula
\[ \chi(X^T) = (-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^*)} |G_f|, \quad \chi(X^S) = (-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^*)} |G_f|, \]
The condition PC gives that signs in these equations are equal to \((-1)^{n-1}\). Therefore
\[ \chi \left( X^T \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G_f} X^g = (-1)^{n-1} \left| G_f - \frac{|G_f|}{|G_f|} |G_f| \right| = 0. \]
This proves (14).

The polynomial \( f^I = f_{|\mathbb{C}^*|^I} \) has not more than \(|I|\) monomials. It has \(|I|\) monomials if and only if the polynomial \( f^T \) has \(|T|\) monomials. Assume first that \( f^I \)
has less than \(|I|\) monomials. We shall construct a free \( \mathbb{C}^* \) action on \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^I \)
which preserves \( f_{|\mathbb{C}^*|^I} \) and commutes with the \( S \)-action. The existence of such an action
implies that \( \chi^{G_f \times \mathbb{C}^*} \left( V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^I \right) = 0. \) One can see that \( f^I \)
is the Sebastiani–Thom sum of some chains, some loops and some polynomials of the form
\[ x_{i_1}^{p_1} x_{i_2}^{p_2} x_{i_3} + \ldots + x_{i_m-1}^{p_{m-1}} x_{i_m} \quad (23) \]
(in different sets of variables). The group \( S^I \) permutes isomorphic blocks and (possibly)
rotates loops (and also permutes variables which do not participate in \( f^I \)).
Moreover, either there are some variables (say, \( x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{j_k}, \ell > 0 \)) which do not participate
in \( f_I \) or there are some blocks of the form (23). In the first case let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \)
act on \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^I \) by multiplying all the variables \( x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{j_k} \) by \( \lambda \) and keeping all the
other variables fixed. In the second case one may have several blocks isomorphic to (23)
permuted by the group \( S^I \). Let \( q = (q_1, \ldots, q_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \setminus \{0\} \) be such that
\( q_i p_i + q_{r+i} = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq r \leq m - 1. \) (Such \( q \) exists since it is defined by \( (m - 1) \)
linear equations with respect to \( m \) variables.) The action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \) on the variables \( x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m} \)
defined by
\[ \lambda \ast (x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m}) = (\lambda^{q_1} x_{i_1}, \ldots, \lambda^{q_m} x_{i_m}) \]
(and keeping all the other variables fixed) preserves \( f^I. \) If we define its action on
the other \( m \)-tuples of variables obtained from these ones by the action of \( S^I \) in the
same way, we get a \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-action which preserves \( f^I \) and commutes with \( S^I \).

Now let \( f^I \) have \(|I|\) monomials. In this case \( f^I \) is an invertible polynomial in
the variables \( x_i \) with \( i \in I. \) If the action of \( S^I \) on \( \mathbb{C}^I \) satisfies PC (in this case the
action of \( S^T = S^I \) on \( \mathbb{C}^T \) satisfies PC as well), one can explicitly compute both sides of
(16) (and in this way prove it) repeating the arguments for \( I = I_0. \) However, in
general, the action of \( S^I \) on \( \mathbb{C}^I \) does not satisfy PC. Nevertheless for any subgroup
\( T \subset S^I, \) one has
\[ \dim(\mathbb{C}^I)^T - \dim(\mathbb{C}^I)_{S^I} \equiv \dim(\mathbb{C}^T)^T - \dim(\mathbb{C}^T)_{S^T} \mod 2. \quad (24) \]
To prove Equation (26), let us describe the left hand side of it. Namely, we shall show that
\[
\chi^{G_{f_1} \rtimes S^I} \left( V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^I \right) = \sum_{[T] \in \text{Consub} \ S^I} a_{[T]} \left[ G_{f_1} \rtimes S^I / \{e\} \times T \right]
\]
and therefore
\[
\chi^{G_{f_1} \rtimes S} \left( \bigcup_{J \in S^I} V_f \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^I \right) = \sum_{[T] \in \text{Consub} \ S^I} a_{[T]} \left[ G_{f_1} \rtimes S / G_{f_1}^I \times T \right],
\]
where the coefficients \(a_{[T]}\) depend only on the poset of subgroups \(T\) of \(S^I\) (which is the same for \(S_T^I = S^I\)) and on the parities of the codimensions of \((\mathbb{C}^I)^T\) in \(\mathbb{C}^I\) and of \((\mathbb{C}^I)^T\) in \(\mathbb{C}^T\) which are also the same due to the condition PC: see Equation (24). We shall call all these data the group data of \(S^I\) on \(\mathbb{C}^I\). This together with the fact that \(G_T^I = G_{f_1}^I\) (see \cite{4} Lemma 1]) implies (26).

One has an obvious analogue of Equation (25) with the pair \((f, S)\) substituted by \((f^I, S^I)\). The summand \(\chi^{G_{f_1} \rtimes S^I} \left( V_{f_1} \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^I)_{\{\{e\} \times T\}} \right)\) is just \(a_{[T]} \left[ G_{f_1} \rtimes S^I / \{e\} \times T \right]\) in (25). For \(T = S^I\) this summand is computed in the same way as the corresponding summand for \(I = I_0\) above and thus is equal to
\[
(-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^I)^{S^I}-1} [G_{f_1} \rtimes S^I / \{e\} \times S^I].
\]
(Pay attention that we do not substitute \((-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^I)^{S^I}-1}\) by \((-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^I)-1}\) because, in general, the action of \(S^I\) on \(\mathbb{C}^I\) does not satisfy PC.) We shall show that, for a proper subgroup \(T\) of \(S^I\), the Euler characteristic \(\chi \left( V_{f_1} \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^I)_{\{\{e\} \times T\}} \right)\) is a multiple of \(|G_{f_1,T}|\) with the factor depending only on the group data of \(S^I\) on \(\mathbb{C}^I\).

For short, let \(X_I := V_{f_1} \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^I)\). Assume that for all subgroups \(U\) such that \(T \subsetneq U \subsetneq S\) the Euler characteristic \(\chi \left( X_I^U \right)\) is a multiple of \(|G_{f_1,U}|\). The union \(\bigcup_{g \in G_{f_1,T}} X_I^{(g^{-1} U g)}\) contains \(|G_{f_1,T}|/|G_{f_1,U}|\) different homeomorphic items. Therefore the Euler characteristic of it is a multiple of \(|G_{f_1,T}|\). Using an analogue of Equation (22), it is sufficient to show that \(\chi \left( X_I^T \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G_{f_1,T}} X_I^{g^{-1} S^g} \right)\) is a multiple of \(|G_{f_1,T}| \setminus |G_{f_1,U}|\) with the factor depending only on the group data of \(S^I\) on \(\mathbb{C}^I\). As above we have
\[
\chi \left( X_I^T \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G_{f_1,T}} X_I^{g^{-1} S^g} \right) = \chi(X_I^T) - \frac{|G_{f_1,T}|}{|G_{f_1,S^I}|} \chi \left( X_I^{S^I} \right),
\]
\[
\chi(X_I^T) = (-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^I)^T-1} |G_{f_1,T}|, \quad \chi(X_I^{S^I}) = (-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^I)^{S^I}-1} |G_{f_1,S^I}|. \quad \text{Therefore}
\]
\[
\chi \left( X_I^T \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G_{f_1,T}} X_I^{g^{-1} S^g} \right) = \left( (-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^I)^T-1} - (-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{C}^I)^{S^I}-1} \right) \cdot |G_{f_1,T}|,
\]
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i. e. it is a multiple of $|G_{f/I,T}|$ with the factor (equal either to 0 or to ±2) only depending on the group data of $S^I$ on $\mathbb{C}^I$. Now

$$a_{[T]} = \chi \left( \frac{X^{(T)}_I}{G_{f/I,T} \rtimes N_{S^I}(T)} \right) = \frac{|T|}{|N_{S^I}(T)|} \cdot \frac{\chi \left( X^{(T)}_I \right)}{|G_{f,I,T}|}$$

what proves the property of the coefficients in (25).

7 Examples of BHHT dual pairs with and without PC

In [13], one has a list of some Calabi–Yau threefolds defined in $\mathbb{CP}^4$ by homogeneous polynomials of degree 5 with actions of certain (in general non-abelian) finite groups with the pairs of orbifold Hodge–Deligne numbers $(h^{1,1}, h^{2,1})$ (and the orbifold Euler characteristics equal to $2(h^{1,1} - h^{2,1})$). These pairs are equal to the Hodge numbers of the crepant resolutions of the corresponding threefolds. The majority of these threefolds are defined by invertible polynomials $f$ and by groups of the form $G \rtimes S$, where $G \subset G_f$, $S \subset S_n$.

In [10], the corresponding computations were made for the same polynomials and the liftings of the corresponding groups to the so-called Landau–Ginzburg orbifolds, i. e. to the quantum cohomology groups in terms of [6]. The corresponding Hodge–Deligne numbers $(h^{1,1}, h^{2,1})$ appeared to be symmetric to those for the corresponding Calabi–Yau threefolds in [13]. The table from [13] is reproduced in [10]: Table 1 therein. We shall use it for reference since it is more convenient: in it the examples are numbered. One can find that the table contains 13 pairs of BHHT dual invertible polynomials with non-abelian symmetry groups. They are listed below in Table 1. (The pairs 21 ↔ 58 and 11 ↔ 43 were detected by Takahashi.)

We use the following notations (partially taken from [13]). The numbers of the examples (the first column) correspond to their numbering in [10, Table 1]. The names of the polynomials $f$ refer to:

\begin{align*}
X_1 : & \quad x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5 + x_4^5 + x_5^5; \\
X_{14} : & \quad x_1^4 x_2 + x_2^4 x_1 + x_3^4 x_4 + x_4^4 x_3 + x_5^5; \\
X_{15} : & \quad x_1^4 x_2 + x_2^4 x_3 + x_3^4 x_4 + x_4^4 x_5 + x_5^4 x_1.
\end{align*}

These polynomials are self-dual, i. e. $\tilde{f} = f$ (up to a permutation of the variables in the latter case). Elements of the group $G_f$ (generators of the subgroups $G$ in the Table) are denoted by $\frac{1}{m}(a_1, \ldots, a_5)$ with integers $a_i$ which is a short notation for the operator

$$\text{diag} \left( \exp \left( \frac{2\pi a_1 i}{m} \right), \ldots, \left( \frac{2\pi a_5 i}{m} \right) \right).$$

The element $J$ is the exponential grading operator $J = \frac{1}{5} (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ (represented by the monodromy transformation of the polynomial $f$).
| N  | $J$                                                                 | $G$                                                                 | $S$                  | $(h^{11}, h^{21})$ |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 2  | $X_1$ $J$ $\{\frac{1}{5}(k_1, \ldots, k_5) : 5|\sum k_i\}$         | $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (12)(34)$                                              | (3, 59)              | (59, 3)             |
| 3  | $X_1$ $J$ $\{\frac{1}{5}(k_1, \ldots, k_5) : 5|\sum k_i\}$         | $\mathbb{Z}_3 : (123)$                                              | (5, 49)              | (49, 5)             |
| 20 | $X_1$ $\frac{1}{3}(1, 4, 1, 4, 0), J$ $\frac{1}{3}(0, 0, 1, 1, 3), \frac{1}{5}(1, 4, 4, 1, 0), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (12)(34)$                                              | (13, 17)             | (17, 13)            |
| 21 | $X_1$ $\frac{1}{3}(1, 4, 0, 0, 0), J$ $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 0, 0, 3), \frac{1}{5}(0, 0, 1, 4, 0), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (12)(34)$                                              | (5, 33)              | (33, 5)             |
| 22 | $X_1$ $\frac{1}{3}(1, 4, 2, 3, 0), J$ $\frac{1}{3}(0, 0, 1, 1, 3), \frac{1}{5}(1, 4, 2, 3, 0), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (12)(34)$                                              | (3, 19)              | (19, 3)             |
| 42 | $X_1$ $\frac{1}{3}(0, 1, 2, 3, 4), J$ $\frac{1}{3}(0, 1, 4, 4, 1), \frac{1}{5}(0, 1, 2, 3, 4), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_5 : (12345)$                                              | (1, 5)               | (5, 1)              |
| 11 | $X_{14}$ $\frac{1}{3}(1, 2, 0, 0, 0), J$ $\frac{1}{5}(3, 3, 5, 10, 9), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (12)(34)$                                              | (5, 33)              | (33, 5)             |
| 12 | $X_{14}$ $\frac{1}{3}(1, 2, 1, 2, 0), J$ $\frac{1}{5}(13, 8, 2, 7, 0), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (12)(34)$                                              | (5, 25)              | (25, 5)             |
| 13 | $X_{14}$ $\frac{1}{3}(1, 2, 1, 2, 0), J$ $\frac{1}{5}(13, 8, 2, 7, 0), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 : (13)(24)$                                              | (11, 19)             | (19, 11)            |
| 80 | $X_{15}$ $\frac{1}{7}(1, -4, 16, 18, 10), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_5 : (12345)$                                              | (21, 1)              | (1, 21)             |
| 82 | $X_1$ $\frac{1}{3}(0, 1, 4, 4, 1), \frac{1}{5}(0, 1, 2, 3, 4), J$ $\frac{1}{5}(3, 1, 4, 2, 0), J$ | $D_{10} : (12345), (25)(34)$                                          | (11, 3)              | (3, 11)             |
| 7  | $X_1$ $J$ $\{\frac{1}{5}(k_1, \ldots, k_5) : 5|\sum k_i\}$         | $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : (12)(34), (13)(24)$ | (7, 35)              | (41, 1)             |
| 25 | $X_1$ $J$ $\{\frac{1}{5}(k_1, \ldots, k_5) : 5|\sum k_i\}$         | $A_4 : (123), (12)(34)$                                              | (7, 27)              | (29, 5)             |
| 62 | $X_1$ $J$ $\{\frac{1}{5}(k_1, \ldots, k_5) : 5|\sum k_i\}$         | $A_5 : (12345), (123)$                                              | (5, 13)              | (15, 3)             |
| 26 | $X_{14}$ $\frac{1}{5}(1, 2, 1, 2, 0), J$ $\frac{1}{5}(13, 8, 2, 7, 0), J$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : (12)(34), (13)(24)$ | (11, 15)             | (21, 5)             |

Table 1: BHHT dual pairs
The first example in each pair was copied from [13] (or from [10]). The descriptions of the dual ones differ from those in [13] by permutations of the coordinates (this was made to have BHHT dual groups) and by presentations of the corresponding groups $G$. In particular, in Examples 44 and 47 we give other generators of the groups to make explicit that the groups are the same as in Example 63. The description of Example 73 is adapted to our notations: we have listed generators of $G$ explicitly.

In this table, the first 9 pairs satisfy PC and the last 4 pairs do not satisfy it: see Section 5. There are added two more pairs: Examples 80 and 82 (satisfying PC) plus their BHHT duals which are not in [13, Table 5]. The Hodge numbers are easily computed in the way used in [13]. (In the case dual to Example 80, the corresponding Calabi–Yau threefold is the quotient of the smooth Klein quintic by a free action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_5$.) One can see that in all the cases satisfying PC the Hodge numbers of the dual pairs are symmetric to each other, whereas in all the other cases (not satisfying PC) they are not. Moreover, almost all pairs of Hodge numbers indicated in [13, Theorem 3.20] without mirror ones correspond to the Calabi–Yau threefolds in [13, Table 5] defined either by non-invertible polynomials or by semi-direct products of groups not satisfying PC. This indicates that the condition PC seems to be necessary for the mirror symmetry of BHHT dual pairs.

**Remark 3** The pair $(3, 11)$ of Hodge numbers of the threefold BHHT dual to Example 82 shows that the list of pairs in [13, Theorem 3.20] is not complete (in contradiction to [13, Remark 3.21]).
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