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Abstract

Background: We evaluated the association between serum levels of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), bioavailable testosterone, and surgical Gleason score (GS).

Methods: We analyzed 793 patients who underwent radical prostatectomy and 272 men with negative prostate biopsy. Serum levels of IGF-1 and testosterone were measured before surgery or biopsy.

Results: The mean IGF-1 levels of prostate cancer patients and men with a negative biopsy were 143.8 and 118.9 ng/mL, respectively (\(P<0.001\)). Men with high serum IGF-1 were more likely to have prostate cancer (highest vs lowest quartile, odds ratio [OR] = 3.35; \(P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001\)). However, among men with prostate cancer, the mean IGF-1 levels of those with low (GS ≤ 6), intermediate (GS = 7), and high surgical GS (GS ≥ 8) were 151.7, 144.1, and 132.9 ng/mL, respectively (\(P<0.001\)). Using quartile analysis, high serum IGF-1 levels were shown to be associated with a low risk of high surgical GS (OR = 0.464; \(P_{\text{trend}} = 0.006\)). Serum bioavailable testosterone concentration was positively correlated with serum IGF-1 level (\(r = 0.157, P < 0.001\)). High bioavailable testosterone level was also associated with a low risk of high surgical GS in patients without diabetes mellitus (OR = 0.569; \(P_{\text{trend}} = 0.040\)). Among men with biopsy GS ≤ 3 + 4 (\(n = 460\)), upgrading to high surgical GS was more frequent in patients with low IGF-1 level (\(≤ 116.0 \text{ ng/mL}; 9.9\%) or low bioavailable testosterone level (\(≤ 0.85 \text{ ng/mL}; 9.3\%\) than in patients with normal IGF-1 and bioavailable testosterone levels (2.6%; \(P = 0.004\)).

Conclusions: Serum levels of IGF-1 and bioavailable testosterone show inverse associations with high surgical GS. This suggests that high-grade prostate cancer develops independently of these two substances.
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INTRODUCTION

Serum insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) have mitogenic and anti-apoptotic effects on normal and transformed prostatic epithelial cells.1-3 Of these, IGF-1 mainly originates in the liver and shows low inter-individual variability in its circulating levels.4 Binding of ligand to the IGF-1 receptor (IGF1R) leads to the phosphorylation of Src homology 2 domain-containing (SHC)-transforming protein and subsequent activation of the Ras pathway, and phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate (IRS)-1 protein, which induces the activation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT) pathway.5 The relationship between serum IGF-1 level and prostate cancer is of interest, because previous studies have suggested that aspects of energy metabolism and balance are associated with the incidence of prostate cancer,6 and the effect of environmental factors on prostate cancer risk may be mediated by differences in the concentrations of substances including testosterone and prostate-specific antigen (PSA).7

Previous epidemiologic studies report that high circulating IGF-1 is associated with a higher risk of prostate cancer.8,9 Serial reports from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS), a large prospective cohort study comprising 51 529 male health professionals from the United States, aged 40-75 years at enrollment in 1986, demonstrate that circulating IGF-1 level is positively associated with low-grade, but not with high-grade, prostate cancer.10-12 Associations between serum IGF-1 level and low-grade prostate cancer have also been shown by a pooled analysis of 12 prospective studies,13 and the results of other large prospective cohort studies, such as the Physicians’ Health Study (PHS)14 and the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study.15 These findings imply that there are stronger effects of IGF-1 on the development of low-grade prostate cancer than on the development of high-grade disease. However, a convincing biological mechanism that can explain this observation has not been identified, although tumor growth or development in poorly differentiated cancers may be more autonomous.

Since the demonstration of hormonal responsiveness in 1941 by Huggins et al.16 the relationship between serum testosterone and prostate cancer has been thought to be in the form of “fuel for a fire”.17 However, the association between serum testosterone and prostate cancer has come under greater scrutiny over the last decade, and has been shown to be similar to that between serum IGF-1 level and prostate cancer.18-23 Although higher levels of serum testosterone (or bioavailable testosterone) are associated with a greater risk of prostate cancer,18,19 these levels showed an inverse association with tumor grades.19-23 An androgen-depleted environment, with low serum testosterone levels, may foster the development of high-grade prostate cancer by selecting for molecular events that induce aggressive tumor characteristics.22 This mechanism may account for the results of clinical studies in which low testosterone level is associated with high-grade prostate cancer.23

We hypothesized that low IGF-1 levels might be associated with high-grade disease, because IGF-1 induces prostate cancer development via the androgen axis or has similar effects to testosterone. To test this hypothesis, we assessed the relationships among the serum levels of IGF-1, testosterone, and bioavailable testosterone, and the Gleason score (GS), in radical prostatectomy (RP) specimens, using samples collected from RP cohorts, in which the serum IGF-1 and other hormone levels were prospectively measured.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Patients

The study protocol was approved by our institutional review board (no. S2016-2002-0001). The need for informed consent was waived by the institutional review board owing to the minimal risk of harm. All the individual identifiers were anonymized and analyzed. The study population comprised patients who underwent RP between 2011 and 2016 at our institution. The serum IGF-1, IGF binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3), testosterone, albumin, and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) levels, which affect the bioactivity of IGF-124 and prostate cancer,8 were prospectively measured for all patients. The exclusion criteria were as follows: no extended (≥10 cores) systematic biopsy performed, pathologic T0 (vanishing tumor phenomenon), and incomplete clinical or pathologic data on review. A total of 793 patients fulfilled these criteria and were enrolled. Two hundred and seventy-two men who were confirmed negative for malignancy, and 54 men who were initially diagnosed with prostate cancer affecting the non-regional lymph node (M1a), or that had distantly metastasized (M1b or M1c stage), were used as reference groups for the comparison of clinical parameters, including serum IGF-1 level.

2.2 Measurement of serum IGF-1, IGFBP-3, and testosterone levels

For the measurement of glucose, IGF-1, IGFBP-3, and testosterone level, serum samples were obtained by cubital venipuncture in fasting patients between 06:00 and 08:00, immediately before RP. In the reference groups, serum IGF-1 levels were measured on the date of prostatic biopsy in the fasting state. The following hormones were quantified on the date of sampling using the following commercially available kits: IGF-1 (immunoradiometric assay A15729, Immunotech, Prague, Czech Republic), IGFBP-3 (immunoradiometric
2.3 | Data collection and definitions

The following data were collected from the electronic medical record system: medical history, age, height, body mass, total prostatic volume (TPV) measured by transrectal ultrasonography, serum PSA level, biopsy GS, clinical tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging, surgical GS, and pathologic TNM staging. All biopsy and surgical GSs were determined by specialized genitourinary pathologists, who were blinded to the serum IGF-1, IGFBP-3, and testosterone levels. The revised (2005) criteria for GS were adopted, and TNM staging. All biopsy and surgical GSs were determined by specialized genitourinary pathologists, who were blinded to the serum IGF-1, IGFBP-3, and testosterone levels. The revised (2005) criteria for GS were adopted, and TNM staging was performed according to the revised recommendations of the American Joint Cancer Committee in 2010.27

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Clinical parameters, including serum levels of IGF-1, IGFBP-3, testosterone, and free and bioavailable testosterone, were compared according to surgical GS (classified into low [GS ≤ 6], intermediate [GS = 7], and high [GS ≥ 8]). Clinical characteristics were also compared between prostate cancer patients and reference groups. Unconditional logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for localized prostate cancer, high surgical GS, advanced pathologic stage (≥pT3), and metastatic (M1) disease, according to serum IGF-1 quartile. The risk of high surgical GS by quartile of bioavailable testosterone level was also assessed. Using spline curve analysis, the optimal cut-off values for serum IGF-1 and bioavailable testosterone to discriminate high surgical GS were estimated, and the risks of high surgical GS for patients in four categories (normal/low IGF-1 and normal/low bioavailable testosterone) were assessed. In prostate cancer patients with biopsy GS ≤ 3 + 4, the actual prevalences of upgrading to high surgical GS were also compared according to patient bioavailable testosterone and IGF-1 levels. Binary logistic regression analyses were performed in the entire prostate cancer cohort to identify the independent preoperative predictive factors for high surgical GS. Continuous parameters were compared between groups using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test or Student’s t test, and categorical parameters were compared using the χ² test. All tests were two-tailed, and statistical significance was accepted when P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS® version 21.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) or R version 3.2.0 (R Development Core Team, https://www.r-project.org/).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Correlation between serum IGF-1 and prostate cancer risk

Patients with prostate cancer had higher serum IGF-1 (143.8 vs 118.9 ng/mL) and PSA (8.6 vs 5.6 ng/mL) levels than men without prostate cancer (all P < 0.001; Table S1). High serum IGF-1 was associated with a high risk of localized prostate cancer (highest vs lowest quartile, OR = 3.35; 95% CI, 2.40-4.69, P_trend < 0.001; Table 1).

3.2 | Association between serum IGF-1 level and surgical tumor grade

Among the 793 men with localized prostate cancer who underwent RP, low, intermediate, and high surgical GSs was observed in 159 (20.1%), 502 (63.3%), and 132 (16.6%) patients, respectively (Table 2). Serum levels of IGF-1 (P = 0.006) and IGFBP-3 (P = 0.048) tended to be lower, while the serum PSA level (P < 0.001) tended to be higher, in patients with higher surgical GS (Table 2). Serum testosterone, free testosterone, and bioavailable testosterone levels were not significantly different among the three GS groups (P range, 0.210-0.852; Table 2). When men with localized prostate cancer were analyzed in quartiles, high serum IGF-1 level was associated with a low risk of high surgical GS (OR = 0.464; P_trend = 0.006; Table 3), whereas serum IGF-1 showed no significant association with the risk of advanced pathologic stage (P_trend = 0.911; Table S2).

3.3 | Associations among serum levels of IGF-1, testosterone, and bioavailable testosterone

Among the 793 men with localized prostate cancer, associations among serum IGF-1, testosterone, and bioavailable testosterone were assessed (Figure 1). Serum testosterone level was inversely correlated with serum IGF-1 level (r = −0.123, P < 0.001; Figure 1A), while serum bioavailable testosterone level was positively correlated with serum IGF-1 (r = 0.157, P < 0.001; Figure 1B). High serum bioavailable testosterone level tended to be associated with a low risk of high surgical GS without a statistical significance (OR = 0.702; P_trend = 0.173; Table 4), as did serum IGF-1.

3.4 | Relationships between the risk of high surgical GS and serum IGF-1 or bioavailable testosterone level

The optimal cut-off values for serum IGF-1 and bioavailable testosterone to discriminate high surgical GS were shown to
Table 1. Odds ratios for prostate cancer, according to the quartiles of serum insulin-like growth factor-1 levels in men without cancer

| IGF-1 (ng/mL) | Quartile | Continuous variable per 100 ng/mL | P-value |
|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------|
|              | 1Q       | 2Q                               | 3Q      | 4Q      |                                   |
|              | Ca/Co    | OR (95% CI) | Ca/Co    | OR (95% CI) | Ca/Co    | OR (95% CI) | Ca/Co    | OR (95% CI) |                                   |
| ≤89.5        |          |                                    |         |          |                                    |         |          |          |                                    |
| All tumors   |          |                                    |         |          |                                    |         |          |          |                                    |
|              | 104/68   | 1.00 (ref) | 109/70   | 1.02 (0.66-1.56) | 210/67   | 2.05 (1.36-3.09) | 370/67   | 3.61 (2.41-5.39) | 3.35 (2.40-4.69) | <0.001 * |
| Surgical GS  |          |                                    |         |          |                                    |         |          |          |                                    |
| GS ≤ 7      | 81/68    | 1.00 (ref) | 81/70    | 0.97 (0.62-1.53) | 175/67   | 2.19 (1.43-3.36) | 324/67   | 4.06 (2.68-6.15) | 3.70 (2.62-5.23) | <0.001 * |
| GS ≥ 8      | 23/68    | 1.00 (ref) | 28/70    | 1.18 (0.62-2.25) | 35/67    | 1.54 (0.83-2.89) | 46/67    | 2.03 (1.11-3.71) | 2.05 (1.27-3.30) | 0.003 * |
| Biopsy GS   |          |                                    |         |          |                                    |         |          |          |                                    |
| GS ≤ 7      | 72/68    | 1.00 (ref) | 76/70    | 1.03 (0.65-1.63) | 166/67   | 2.34 (1.51-3.62) | 283/67   | 3.99 (2.60-6.10) | 3.63 (2.55-5.16) | <0.001 * |
| GS ≥ 8      | 32/68    | 1.00 (ref) | 33/70    | 1.00 (0.56-1.81) | 44/67    | 1.40 (0.79-2.46) | 87/67    | 2.76 (1.63-4.68) | 2.71 (1.76-4.16) | <0.001 * |
| Tumor stage |          |                                    |         |          |                                    |         |          |          |                                    |
| ≤pT2        | 68/68    | 1.00 (ref) | 65/70    | 0.93 (0.58-1.50) | 128/67   | 1.91 (1.22-2.99) | 235/67   | 3.51 (2.28-5.40) | 3.51 (2.43-5.05) | <0.001 * |
| ≥pT3        | 36/68    | 1.00 (ref) | 44/70    | 1.19 (0.68-2.06) | 82/67    | 2.31 (1.38-3.88) | 135/67   | 3.81 (2.31-6.27) | 3.20 (2.16-4.73) | <0.001 * |

Ca/Co, case per control ratio; CI, confidence interval; GS, Gleason score.; IGF, insulin-like growth factor; OR, odds ratio.

*P < 0.05.
be 116.0 and 0.85 ng/mL, respectively (Figure S1). When the men with prostate cancer were divided into four categories according to these cut-off values (Figure 2), the risk of high surgical GS was highest (OR = 2.285; 95% CI, 1.066-4.897) in patients with low bioavailable testosterone (≤0.85 ng/mL) and low IGF-1 (≤116.0 ng/mL), and was less when the level of either bioavailable testosterone (OR = 1.968; 95% CI, 1.045-3.704) or IGF-1 was low (OR = 2.205; 95% CI, 1.442-3.371; Figure 2). Among patients with biopsy GS ≤ 3 + 4 (n = 460), the prevalence of upgrading to high surgical GS was significantly higher in patients who had low serum bioavailable testosterone (≤0.85 ng/mL; 9.3% or 5/54) or low IGF-1 (≤116.0 ng/mL; 9.9% or 12/121) than in patients who had normal bioavailable testosterone and IGF-1 levels (2.6% or 8/307).

### 3.5 Associations of prostate size, tumor volume, and serum IGF-1 or bioavailable testosterone level

In patients with localized prostate cancer (n = 793), prostate volume, percentage of positive core, and tumor volume...
were not associated with either serum IGF-1 level or bioavailable testosterone level (P range, 0.284-0.833; Table S4). Moreover, after adjustment for preoperative parameters, including prostate volume or the percentage of positive core, a low serum IGF-1 level was an independent predictor of high surgical GS (OR = 1.012; P = 0.018; Table 5). These results suggest that the inverse association between serum IGF-1 and high surgical GS is not driven by the effects of altering prostate or tumor volume.

### 3.6 Association between serum IGF-1 level and distant metastasis

Patients with metastatic prostate cancer had lower serum IGF-1 than those with localized disease (128.0 vs 143.8 ng/mL, P = 0.023). However, almost all the patients with metastatic disease (90.7%) had high biopsy GS (Table S5). In patients with high biopsy GS (196 with localized and 49 with metastatic disease), serum IGF-1 levels for those with localized and for those with metastatic disease were not significantly different (139.6 vs 130.8 ng/mL, P = 0.260). Similarly, among the 793 men with localized prostate cancer and 54 with metastatic disease, the inverse association between serum IGF-1 level and risk of metastatic disease was no longer significant after adjustment for biopsy GS (P<sub>trend</sub> = 0.079; Table S6). These results suggest that the inverse association between serum IGF-1 and metastatic disease is mainly driven by tumor grade, rather than by the extent of the disease.

### 4 DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Previous clinical studies of the relationship between serum IGF-1 and tumor grade

Previous clinical studies investigated the association between serum IGF-1 levels and tumor aggressiveness, but their results were not consistent with the epidemiologic data due to small sample size, such that they had insufficient statistical power to discriminate tumor grade. All three of the quoted studies demonstrated trends toward lower IGF-1 level in high-grade disease, but these fell short of statistical significance. Of these previous studies, the one with the largest sample size (n = 242) used a GS based on prostatic biopsy, rather than surgical pathology. This is significant because a low or intermediate biopsy GS can be associated with a high surgical GS, due to the fact that biopsy scoring underestimates the extent of dedifferentiation.

In our cohort, a mismatch between biopsy and surgical GS was observed in 45.3% of patients (data not shown). This discrepancy was caused by the innate limitation of prostate biopsy: that the characteristics of a biopsy are never fully representative of the characteristics of the entire tumor. The present study had a robust sample size (n = 793) and clearly demonstrated a lower risk of high surgical GS in patients with high serum IGF-1 level (highest vs lowest quartile, OR = 0.494; P<sub>trend</sub> = 0.006; Table 3), whereas the risk of prostate cancer itself was positively associated with serum IGF-1 level (OR = 3.35, P<sub>trend</sub> < 0.001; Table 1). These findings are in agreement with those of previous epidemiologic studies, such as the HPFS, PHS, and EPIC studies.

#### 4.2 Associations among serum levels of IGF-1 and testosterone, and tumor grade

We hypothesized that the inverse association between serum IGF-1 level and tumor grade is due to the autonomy of high-grade prostate cancer, similar to the inverse relationship between testosterone availability and high-grade disease. To test this hypothesis, we assessed the relationship between serum IGF-1 levels and testosterone levels, and found that the serum testosterone level itself is inversely proportional to the IGF-1 level (r = -0.123; Figure 1A), but the bioavailable testosterone level is positively correlated with IGF-1 (r = 0.157; Figure 1B).
High serum bioavailable testosterone tended to be associated with a lower risk of high surgical GS without a statistical significance (OR = 0.702; \( P = 0.173 \); Table 4), as did serum IGF-1. This finding is consistent with that of the previous study by Leon et al.,\textsuperscript{23} which showed that significantly higher rates of surgical intermediate- or high-grade (GS \( \geq 7 \)) disease were observed in patients with low bioavailable testosterone (\( \leq 1.5 \) ng/mL; 44.3 vs 33.1\%; \( P < 0.001 \)).\textsuperscript{23} Many other recent studies support the contention that high availability of testosterone is associated with a lower risk of high-grade disease.\textsuperscript{19-23}

Little is known about whether serum IGF-1 levels affect the availability of testosterone. Our current data show that serum IGF-1 was inversely associated with SHBG (\( r = -0.302, P < 0.001 \); data not shown), suggesting that lower IGF-1 levels might induce an increase in SHBG level, which could limit the bioavailability of serum testosterone. Moreover, bioavailable testosterone enhances the action of
growth hormone (GH), and it was recently reported that the administration of exogenous testosterone to older men increases serum IGF-1 via the GH pathway. Because this study was cross-sectional, we could not determine which of serum IGF-1 and bioavailable testosterone was the primary determinant. However, it confirms that these two hormones are co-regulated and suggests that they have a similar tendency to tumorigenesis in prostate cancer. These findings are consistent with our hypothesis that the inverse association between serum IGF-1 or testosterone and tumor grade is caused by the autonomy of high-grade prostate cancer.

The lack of a relationship between high-grade disease and IGF-1 has also been implied in other reports. The PI3K/AKT pathway is inhibited by phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), which is a tumor suppressor. A previous study reported that complete loss of the PTEN gene is more frequent in high-grade prostate cancer, in which, the PI3K/AKT pathway is constitutively active and requires minimal activation by IGF-1 or other hormones. In men of the HPFS10-12 and PHS14 cohorts, loss of PTEN was significantly associated with higher IGF1R expression (P = 0.03). These findings suggest that high-grade prostate cancer requires minimal activation by IGF-1, and that the IGF-1 threshold level for tumorigenesis might be lower.

### Table 4.
Associations among the serum levels of bioavailable testosterone, insulin-like growth factor-1, and high surgical Gleason score (≥8)

| Quartile | Continuous variable | P-value |
|----------|---------------------|---------|
| 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q | per 1 ng/mL |
| Bioavailable T (ng/mL) | ≤1.00 | 1.00-1.27 | 1.27-1.56 | >1.56 | 0.173 |
| Crude | 1.0 (ref) | 0.459 (0.266-0.793) | 0.689 (0.417-1.140) | 0.702 (0.424-1.162) | 0.734 (0.470-1.146) |
| IGF-1 adjusted | 1.0 (ref) | 0.478 (0.276-0.829) | 0.705 (0.425-1.169) | 0.781 (0.468-1.304) | 0.809 (0.514-1.273) |
| IGF-1 (ng/mL) | ≤110.0 | 110.0-141.0 | 141.0-172.0 | >172.0 | 0.006* |
| Crude | 1.0 (ref) | 0.728 (0.443-1.196) | 0.623 (0.374-1.038) | 0.464 (0.268-0.801) | 0.568 (0.379-0.852) |
| Bioavailable T adjusted | 1.0 (ref) | 0.748 (0.454-1.234) | 0.640 (0.383-1.070) | 0.484 (0.278-0.843) | 0.587 (0.390-0.884) |

IGF, insulin-like growth factor; T, testosterone. *P < 0.05.

**Figure 2.** Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for high surgical Gleason score (≥8), according to serum levels of bioavailable testosterone (bioT) and insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1. The OR for high surgical Gleason score was the highest (OR = 2.285; 95% CI, 1.066-4.897) in patients with low bioT (≤0.85 ng/mL) and low IGF-1 (≤116.0 ng/mL), followed by when one of bioT (OR = 1.968; 95% CI, 1.045-3.704) or IGF-1 (OR = 2.205; 95% CI, 1.442-3.771) was low.

**4.3 Clinical implications**

Serum IGF-1 and bioavailable testosterone levels were inversely correlated with high surgical GS, and the optimal cut-off values for serum IGF-1 and bioavailable testosterone were 116.0 ng/mL and 0.85 ng/mL, respectively (Figure S1). When patients with localized prostate cancer were classified into four categories using these cut-off values, the probability of high surgical GS was greatest when the levels of the two markers were simultaneously low (OR = 2.285), and less when one of bioavailable testosterone (OR = 1.968) or IGF-1 (OR = 2.205) was low (Figure 2). Among patients with biopsy GS ≤ 3 + 4, the prevalence of upgrading to high surgical GS
was significantly higher in patients with low serum bioavailable testosterone or IGF-1 than in patients who had normal levels of each (9.1% vs 9.9 vs 2.6%, respectively; Figure 3).

To confirm serum IGF-1 level as an independent predictor of high surgical GS, multivariate analysis was performed (Table 5). As expected, high biopsy GS was the strongest predictor of high surgical GS (OR = 14.236; \(P < 0.001\)). However, low serum IGF-1 level (≤116.0 ng/mL) was also an independent predictor of high surgical GS (OR = 1.790; \(P = 0.025\)). These findings suggest that serum IGF-1 level may be useful for the prediction of hidden high-grade disease, which presents as a clinically indolent tumor on prostate biopsy. In patients with low biopsy GS in the clinical setting, there are few markers available for the prediction of occult high surgical GS disease. Therefore, we recommend measuring serum IGF-1 to rule out occult high surgical GS in patients with low biopsy GS. We also suggest serum aIGF-1 level of 116.0 ng/mL, as the cut-off values to be used.

### 4.4 Limitations of the current study

First, the actual oncological outcomes could not be related to the preoperative serum IGF-1 level because of the relatively short duration of follow-up. However, the surgical GS is one of the strongest prognostic factors in prostate cancer. Recently, an inverse association was reported between serum IGF-1 and cancer-specific mortality, but this was not statistically significant (\(P_{\text{trend}} = 0.08\)). Second, because all of our study subjects were Asian, the generalizability of our findings is limited. There may well be inter-racial heterogeneity in tumor grades or serum levels of IGF-1, IGFBP-3, or testosterone, and therefore external validation of our findings using a larger cohort is warranted.

### 5 CONCLUSIONS

Low preoperative serum IGF-1 levels were associated with a greater risk of high surgical GS. Serum IGF-1 levels were

---

**Table 5.** Binary logistic regression analysis for high surgical Gleason score (≥8)

|                          | Univariatea | Multivariatea |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|                          | OR (95% CI) | \(P\)-value  |
|                          | OR (95% CI) | \(P\)-value  |
| Age (y)                  | 1.034 (1.006-1.063) | 0.018         | 1.005 (0.970-1.041) | 0.791         |
| Height (cm)              | 0.986 (0.952-1.020) | 0.402         | 1.002 (0.955-1.051) | 0.929         |
| Weight (kg)              | 0.997 (0.976-1.019) | 0.784         | 0.995 (0.967-1.024) | 0.734         |
| PSA (ng/mL)              | 1.071 (1.046-1.096) | <0.001*       | 1.035 (1.005-1.066) | 0.022*       |
| Prostate volume (mL)     | 1.005 (0.994-1.017) | 0.370         | 1.014 (1.001-1.028) | 0.042*       |
| Clinical T stage (≥cT2 vs cTx, ≤cT1) | 1.360 (0.927-1.994) | 0.115         | 0.948 (0.591-1.520) | 0.824         |
| Biopsy GS (≥8 vs <8)     | 14.236 (9.215-21.992) | <0.001*       | 11.682 (7.364-18.532) | <0.001*     |
| Percentage of positive core (%) | 1.024 (1.016-1.031) | <0.001*       | 1.012 (1.002-1.022) | 0.020*       |
| IGFBP-3 (ng/mL)          | 0.999 (0.999-1.000) | 0.049*       | 1.000 (0.999-1.001) | 0.991         |
| Bioavailable testosterone ≤ 0.85 (ng/mL) | 1.583 (0.969-2.588) | 0.068         | 1.406 (0.771-2.567) | 0.266         |
| IGF-1 ≤ 116.0 (ng/mL)    | 2.000 (1.362-2.938) | <0.001*       | 1.790 (1.076-2.979) | 0.025*       |

CI, confidence interval; GS, Gleason score; IGF, insulin-like growth factor; IGFBP, IGF binding protein; OR, odds ratio; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

aBinary logistic regression analysis.

*\(P < 0.05\).*

---

**Figure 3.** Prevalence of upgrading to high surgical Gleason score (≥8) among patients with biopsy Gleason score ≤ 3 + 4, according to serum levels of bioavailable testosterone (bioT) and insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1. \(n = 460\). The actual prevalence of upgrading was significantly higher in patients who had a low bioT (≤0.85 ng/mL; 9.3% or 5/54) or low IGF-1 level (≤116.0 ng/mL; 9.9% or 12/121) than in patients who had normal bioT and IGF-1 levels (2.6% or 8/307)
significantly correlated with serum bioavailable testosterone levels. Low levels of IGF-1 and bioavailable testosterone were similarly associated with high-grade disease. These inverse associations suggest that high-grade prostate cancer develops independently of the concentrations of these two substances. In patients with low or intermediate biopsy GS, upgrading of surgical GS was more frequent when the serum IGF-1 level was low. Therefore, serum IGF-1 may represent a valuable marker of surgical GS.
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