Activations of muscarinic M1 receptors in the anterior cingulate cortex contribute to the antinociceptive effect via GABAergic transmission
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Abstract

Background: Cholinergic systems regulate the synaptic transmission resulting in the contribution of the nociceptive behaviors. Anterior cingulate cortex is a key cortical area to play roles in nociception and chronic pain. However, the effect of the activation of cholinergic system for nociception is still unknown in the cortical area. Here, we tested whether the activation of cholinergic receptors can regulate nociceptive behaviors in adult rat anterior cingulate cortex by integrative methods including behavior, immunohistochemical, and electrophysiological methods.

Results: We found that muscarinic M1 receptors were clearly expressed in the anterior cingulate cortex. Using behavioral tests, we identified that microinjection of a selective muscarinic M1 receptors agonist McN-A-343 into the anterior cingulate cortex dose dependently increased the mechanical threshold. In contrast, the local injection of McN-A-343 into the anterior cingulate cortex showed normal motor function. The microinjection of a selective M1 receptors antagonist pirenzepine blocked the McN-A-343-induced antinociceptive effect. Pirenzepine alone into the anterior cingulate cortex decreased the mechanical thresholds. The local injection of the GABA_A receptors antagonist bicuculline into the anterior cingulate cortex also inhibited the McN-A-343-induced antinociceptive effect and decreased the mechanical threshold. Finally, we further tested whether the activation of M1 receptors could regulate GABAergic transmission using whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. The activation of M1 receptors enhanced the frequency of spontaneous and miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents as well as the amplitude of spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents in the anterior cingulate cortex.

Conclusions: These results suggest that the activation of muscarinic M1 receptors in part increased the mechanical threshold by increasing GABAergic transmitter release and facilitating GABAergic transmission in the anterior cingulate cortex.
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Background

The anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) is a major brain area which is involved in the processing of both sensory nociceptive information and the anticipation of painful stimuli.1,2 Human neuroimaging studies have proved that the ACC can be activated by different kinds of pain.3-5 In animal studies, in vivo electrophysiological measurements showed that peripheral nociceptive stimulation increases neural activity in the ACC of mice and rats.6-8 Furthermore, chronic pain models activate glutamatergic transmission and produce synaptic plasticity.

1Department of Neurophysiology, Hirosaki University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan
2Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Fukuoka University, Japan
3Department of Drug Informatics, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Fukuoka University, Japan

Corresponding authors:
Kohei Koga, Department of Neurophysiology, Hirosaki University Graduate School of Medicine, Hirosaki 036-8562, Japan. Email: kkoga@hotmail.co.jp
Kenji Honda, Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan. Email: khonda@fukuoka-u.ac.jp
in the ACC\textsuperscript{1,9–11}. In addition to the activation of glutamatergic transmission, \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid (GABA)ergic transmission also plays an important role for nociception and is involved in nerve injury models\textsuperscript{12}.

The cholinergic system has many projections to the central nervous system\textsuperscript{13}, and muscarinic receptors are expressed in many brain areas\textsuperscript{14}. Muscarinic receptors have been classified into five subtypes, M\textsubscript{1}, M\textsubscript{2}, M\textsubscript{3}, M\textsubscript{4}, and M\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{15,16} and the existence of all receptor subtypes in the brain has been reported\textsuperscript{16}. Cholinergic projections play important roles in nociceptive behaviors, and the critical involvement of the cholinergic system in pain inhibitory pathways has long been known. For example, Sullivan et al.\textsuperscript{17} reported that the systemic administration of a muscarinic receptor agonist WAY-132984 produced antihyperalgesic and antiallodynic effects in animal models of neuropathic pain. At the spinal cord level, the activation of muscarinic receptors has been shown to produce antinociceptive effects\textsuperscript{18–22}. Furthermore, muscarinic receptors are involved in formalin-induced nociception\textsuperscript{23} and the muscarinic M\textsubscript{1} receptor is involved in the antinociceptive effect caused by the intrathecal injection of clonidine in mice\textsuperscript{24}. We also reported that the intrathecal injection of the muscarinic receptor agonist McN-A-343 produces antinociceptive effects during thermal and mechanical stimulation\textsuperscript{25,26}. These results suggest that muscarinic receptors are critical for nociception. These findings suggest that cholinergic neurons and muscarinic receptors have important roles in pain signaling at central nervous system levels. However, it is still unclear whether the cholinergic system contributes to antinociception at the supraspinal level.

Here, to understand the role of muscarinic receptors of the ACC in the processing of sensory nociception, we characterized the properties of antinociceptive effects following muscarinic receptor activation in the ACC. We found that the activation of muscarinic M\textsubscript{1} receptors in the ACC can produce antinociceptive effects in rats without affecting locomotor functions. Blocking GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors in the ACC regulates the nociceptive behaviors. Finally, to explore a possible mechanism, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings and revealed that the activation of muscarinic M\textsubscript{1} receptors facilitates GABAergic transmission in the ACC.

## Materials and methods

### Animals

Male Wistar rats (Kyudo, Kumamoto, Japan or CLEA, Hirosaki, Japan), weighing 280–300 g, were used throughout the experiment. Rats were housed at 23 ± 2°C with a 12/12 h light/dark cycle (light on at 07:00 h) and were given free access to commercial food and tap water. Experimental procedures were based on the Guidelines of the Committee for Animal Care and Use of Fukuoka University and Hirosaki University.

### Microinjection surgery into the ACC of rat

Microinjection of drugs was undertaken via a guide cannula (23 gauge) placed into the right ACC (A: 1.9; L: 0.6; V: 3.2 mm to bregma) according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson\textsuperscript{27}. The guide cannula was fixed to the skull with dental cement. After surgery, rats were housed individually and allowed to recover for at least three days before experiments. For ACC microinjections of drugs, a 29-gauge injection needle was attached to a polyethylene tube fitted to a 5-µl Hamilton syringe. Then, the rat was restrained by hand, the stylet was withdrawn, and the injection needle was inserted into the guide cannula. Solutions were injected in a volume of 200 nl, and the injections were delivered slowly over a period of 2 min. For additional confirmation of the placement of the cannula in the ACC of the brain, at the end of experiments, rats were injected in ACC with 200 nl of 0.5% Evans blue and were then deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital (60 mg/kg, intraperitoneal, i.p.) and decapitated. The brains were then removed, sliced, and cannula tip placement and distribution of the dye in the ACC were confirmed. Data from rats with an incorrect placement of the cannula were excluded from the analysis.

### Mechanical pressure stimulation

To assess for the changes in the nociceptive mechanical threshold, paw withdrawal thresholds to pressure stimulation was assessed using the Dynamic Plantar Aesthesiometer (model 37450; Ugo Basile, Italy). Rats were placed individually in a plastic cage (wide × length × height: 9.7 × 16 × 14 cm) with a wire mesh bottom. After at least 30-min acclimation period, the unit raises a straight metal filament (0.5 mm diameter) until it touches the medial plantar surface of the hind paw and begins to exert an upwards force until the paw is withdrawn or the preset cut-off is reached (50 g). The force required to elicit a withdrawal response is measured in grams. The mean value of three trials with at least 5-min intervals between trials was taken as the withdrawal threshold. All behavioral tests were performed during the light portion of the circadian cycle (9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.). After the final behavioral measurement, all rats were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital (60 mg/kg, i.p.) and processed for tissue preparation as described below.

### Rota-rod test

Each rat was subjected to the rota-rod treadmill test once a day for a total period of three days (diameter, 7.6 cm;
Coronal brain slices (400 μm) were cut using an LSM510 Imaging System (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Corp., Carlsbad, CA). The brain sections were analyzed for significance with repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc tests, Dunnett’s test (comparisons to pre-injection 0 min). The differences among the groups were analyzed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA, followed by the Tukey-Kramer test for multiple comparisons or Student’s t test for two comparisons. A value of P < 0.05 was taken to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Microinjection of McN-A-343 into the ACC produced antinociceptive effects in response to mechanical stimulation

We tested whether the activation of muscarinic receptors at the supraspinal level can show antinociceptive effects. A physiological study by Davies et al.30 showed that McN-A-343 has selectivity for the muscarinic M1 receptor. We performed microinjection surgery in right side of the ACC in adult rats and allowed at least three days of recovery postsurgery. Nociceptive thresholds (in grams) were assessed by measuring hindpaw withdrawal responses to mechanical pressure with a sharp tip by

Drugs

McN-A-343 (4-3-chlorophenyl-carbamoyloxy-2-butylnyltrimethylammonium) was purchased from Research Biochemicals (RBI, Natick, MA). Pirenzepine hydrochloride, BMI, CNQX, CGP55845, TTX, and D-AP5 were purchased from Tocris Bioscience. All drugs were dissolved in sterile saline just before the experiment.
dynamic plantar aesthesiometer. We evaluated the mechanical threshold, using the electric von Fey test, in injured rats microinjected with McN-A-343 into the ACC. The microinjection of McN-A-343 (189 pmol and 378 pmol) produced antinociceptive effects at the peak of 15 min and lasted at least for 60 min ($F_{3, 12} = 3.576, P = 0.047$ for 189 pmol, $n = 5$; $F_{3,21} = 12.588, P = 0.0001$ for 378 pmol, $n = 8$) to the hindpaw contralateral to microinjected site, while microinjection of saline did not produce any effect ($F_{3,18} = 0.222, P = 0.879, n = 7$) (Figure 1(a)). Moreover, the withdrawal thresholds to the hindpaw ipsilateral to microinjected site were not affected by the microinjection of McN-A-343 (Figure 1(a)).

We confirmed whether the pharmacological drug into the ACC could affect motor function or sedation. A dose of 189-pmol McN-A-343 into the ACC was used, which caused the antinociceptive effect (Figure 1(a)). The local injection of McN-A-343 into the ACC did not induce significant changes in the motor activity according to a rota-rod treadmill test in rats (Figure 1(b)). The result indicated that ACC injection of McN-A-343 at dose of antinociceptive effect does not produce motor dysfunction or sedation.

Next, we studied whether blocking $M_1$ receptors in the ACC can affect the mechanical threshold (Figure 1(c)). In addition to McN-A-343, we also used a selective $M_1$ antagonist pirenzepine at a previously reported dose. The antinociceptive effect of microinjected McN-A-343 in the ACC did not show the presence of pirenzepine (47 pmol) ($F_{3, 15} = 1.670, P = 0.210, n = 6$) (Figure 1(c)). Moreover, pirenzepine alone decreased paw withdrawal thresholds ($F_{3, 12} = 3.756, P = 0.041, n = 5$) and induced hypersensitivity on the mechanical threshold compared to a control group (Figure 2(a)). We further identified whether $M_1$ receptors express in the ACC (Figure 2(b)) by using immunohistochemistry and observed a clear expression of $M_1$ receptors in the ACC. Taken together, these results suggest that muscarinic $M_1$ receptors in the ACC play critical roles in nociception, without affecting motor function.

**Involvement of the GABAergic system in the McN-A-343-induced antinociceptive effect**

GABAergic transmission in the ACC can modulate excitatory transmission resulting in altered behaviors. We tested whether GABAergic transmission contributes

![Figure 1. Activation of $M_1$ receptors in the ACC dose-dependently increased mechanical pressure threshold. (a) A microinjection of selective muscarinic $M_1$ agonist, McN-A-343 (18.9-389 pmol) dose-dependently enhanced mechanical threshold to the hindpaw contralateral to microinjected site (saline, $n = 7; 18.9 \text{ pmol}, n = 5; 189 \text{ pmol}, n = 5; 389 \text{ pmol}, n = 8$). (b) McN-A-343 (0.189 pmol) into the ACC showed normal locomotor activity. The latency to fall was measured for up to 2 min at rotation speed of 10 rpm in the rota-rod test ($n = 8$). (c) The effects of a selective $M_1$ antagonist, pirenzepine (47 pmol) on McN-A-343 (189 pmol)-induced antinociceptive effect (McN-A-343, $n = 8$; McN-A-343 + pirenzepine, $n = 6$). All values are means ± S.E.M. *$P < 0.05$ vs. 0 min, **$P < 0.01$ vs. 0 min, ***$P < 0.05$ vs. saline (a) or McN-A-343 (c), ****$P < 0.01$ vs. saline (a) or McN-A-343 (c). ACC: anterior cingulate cortex.](image-url)
to nociceptive behaviors in the ACC. To determine whether GABAergic systems are involved in the McN-A-343-induced antinociceptive effect, rats were treated with the GABA A receptors antagonist bicucul- 
line. Bicuculline blocked McN-A-343-induced increases 
in the mechanical threshold ($F_{3, 15} = 0.547, P = 0.657$ for 
150 pmol, $n = 6$) (Figure 3(a)). On the other hand, micro-
injection of bicuculline (100 pmol, 150 pmol) alone sig-
nificantly produced hypersensitivity on the mechanical 
threshold ($F_{3,12} = 20.060, P = 0.001$ for 100 pmol, 
$n = 5$; $F_{3,18} = 13.480, P = 0.001$ for 150 pmol, 
$n = 7$) (Figure 3(b)).

**Activation of M1 receptors regulate GABAergic transmissions in the ACC**

Muscarinic M1 receptors are G-protein-coupled recep-
tors of class Gq.33 It is possible that the activation of 
M1 receptors can facilitate GABAergic transmissions in 
the ACC (Figures 4 to 6). To test this possibility, we 
performed in vitro whole-cell patch-clamp recordings 
from adult rat brain slices. First, we recorded spontane-
ous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) from 
layer II/III pyramidal neurons with a holding membrane 
potential at $-60 \text{ mV}$ ($n = 7$ from five rats). The sIPSCs 
were analyzed while blocking glutamatergic receptors by 
CNQX (10 μM) and AP-5 (50 μM) and GABA B recep-
tors by CGP55845 (3 μM) in the bath solution (Figure 4(a) and (b)). The sIPSCs were inhibited by 
GABA A receptors antagonist bicuculline; therefore, the 
sIPSCs were GABA A receptors-mediated currents. 
McN-A-343 (30 μM) in bath solution significantly pro-
duced enhancement of the frequency of sIPSCs 
(304 ± 47% of control, $n = 7, P < 0.05$) (Figure 4(c) and 
(e)). McN-A-343 enhanced the amplitude of sIPSCs 
(146 ± 19% of control, $n = 7, P < 0.05$) (Figure 4(d) 
and (f)). These results suggest that muscarinic M1 recep-
tors activate spontaneous GABAergic transmission via 
GABA A receptors in the ACC.

We tested whether the activation of muscarinic M1 
receptors could facilitate miniature inhibitory postsynap-
tic currents (mIPSCs) (Figure 5). To block sodium chan-
nels, we applied TTX (1 μM) in the bath solution. We 
gave McN-A-343 (30 μM) on mIPSCs in the bath solution 
significantly produced enhancement of the frequency of 
mIPSCs (268 ± 94% of control, $n = 8, P < 0.05$) 
(Figure 5(c) and (e)). On the other hand, McN-A-343 
did not enhance the amplitude of mIPSCs (126 ± 13% of 
control, $n = 8, P > 0.05$) (Figure 5(d) and (f)). These 
results suggest that muscarinic M1 receptors activate mini-
ature GABAergic transmitter release in the ACC.

**Figure 2.** Inhibiting M1 receptors in the ACC decreased the mechanical threshold. (a) A selective M1 antagonist, pirenzepine (47 pmol) into the ACC reduced mechanical thresholds (saline, $n = 3$; pirenzepine, $n = 5$). All values are means ± S.E.M. *$P < 0.05$ vs. 0 min, **$P < 0.05$ vs. saline. (b) Immunohistochemical method showed that muscarinic M1 receptors expressed in the ACC. The scheme was modified from the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1986) for rats. Coronal sections show with 40-fold ($×40$) under microscope.

ACC: anterior cingulate cortex; Cg1: cingulate cortex area 1; Cg2: cingulate cortex area 2; M2: secondary motor cortex.
Activation of M1 receptors did not affect GABA_A receptors-mediated tonic currents

GABA acting on GABA_A receptors produces not only phasic but also tonic inhibitions by persistent activation of extrasynaptic receptors. Tonic GABA_A receptors-mediated currents have been observed in the cortical area. We examined whether the activation of muscarinic M1 receptors could regulate tonic GABA_A receptors-mediated currents (Figure 6). Blocking glutamatergic transmission, GABA_B receptors, and sodium channels, we applied BMI (100 μM) in bath solution (Figure 6). Tonic GABA_A receptors-mediated currents were observed in a control group (0.18 ± 0.04 pA/pF, n = 6: Figure 6(c)). In the presence of McN-A-343 (30 μM) at least for 10 min, BMI was given in the bath solution (Figure 6(b)). BMI inhibited tonic GABA_A receptors-mediated currents (0.15 ± 0.03 pA/pF, n = 6: Figure 6(c)). GABA_A receptors-mediated tonic currents did not change among control and McN-A-343-treated groups (P > 0.05; Figure 6(c)). BMI significantly reduced the frequency of mIPSCs in a McN-A-343-treated group (4 ± 1% of McN-A-343 group, n = 8, P < 0.05). These results suggest that the activation of muscarinic M1 receptors may not change extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors-mediated currents in the acute-treated condition.

Discussion

In the present study, we combined immunohistochemical, behavioral, and electrophysiological methods and found that the activation of muscarinic M1 receptors in the ACC produces antinociceptive effects on the mechanical pressure threshold in rats without affecting locomotor activity or motor function. We further showed that GABAergic transmission in the ACC was involved in muscarinic M1-mediated behaviors. Finally, the activation of muscarinic M1 receptors increased GABA_A receptor-mediated synaptic transmission in the ACC.

Activation of muscarinic M1 receptors in the ACC produces antinociceptive behaviors

Although the effects of muscarine have been studied by systemic injections or manipulations at the spinal cord level, several studies have reported that supraspinal cholinergic antinociception is mediated by M1 receptors. In this study, we found that in the ACC,
a key brain area for nociception, the activation of muscarinic M₁ receptors produced antinociceptive effects on mechanical thresholds. Anatomical studies have reported that cholinergic neurons project to all layers of the ACC.38–40 ACC injections of the muscarinic M₁ receptor selective agonist McN-A-343 produced antinociceptive behaviors in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1(a)), and the antagonist pirenzepine inhibited McN-A-343-induced antinociceptive effect (Figure 1(c)). In addition, the inhibition of M₁ receptors in ACC caused the nociceptive behaviors (Figure 2(a)). In accordance, human41 and rat42 autoradiographic studies have shown the existence of muscarinic M₁ receptors in the ACC. Indeed, we confirmed that muscarinic M₁ receptors were expressed in the rat ACC. Taken together, our present results suggest that muscarinic M₁ receptors in the ACC are involved in the antinociceptive effects on responses to noxious mechanical stimuli.

Figure 4. McN-A-343 facilitates GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors mediated sIPSCs in the ACC. (a) Bath application of McN-A-343 (30 μM) increased the frequency of IPSCs in a neuron. (b) Histogram of a recording from (a). (c and d) Cumulative curves of inter-event interval and amplitude of sIPSCs before and after the application of McN-A-343 (30 μM). (e and f) The averaged graphs of the frequency and amplitude of sIPSCs (n = 7 from 5 rats). All values are means ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05 vs. Control (E&F).

sIPSCs: spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents.
GABAergic transmission in the ACC involved in nociceptive behavior

We further explored the antinociceptive effects of M₁ receptors in the ACC. It has been reported that acetylcholine and muscarine activate GABA receptors in the spinal dorsal horn via release of the inhibitory neurotransmitter, GABA.⁴³,⁴⁴ Importantly, inhibitory interneurons, such as GABAergic neurons, are involved in antinociceptive processing in the ACC sites.⁴⁵,⁴⁶ In this study, we found that in vivo inhibition of GABA receptors in the ACC reduces mechanical thresholds. On the other hand, the McN-A-343-induced antinociceptive effect was attenuated by co-injection of the GABA antagonist bicuculline. The muscarinic M₁ receptor is coupled to Gq proteins and activates phospholipase C leading to an increase in intracellular calcium, which is able to cause the release of GABA from GABAergic neurons.⁴⁷ Our behavioral data also show that the inhibition of GABA receptors in the ACC caused the nociceptive behaviors. These results suggest that the antinociceptive behaviors by the activation of muscarinic receptors in the ACC involved in nociceptive behavior

Figure 5. Activation of muscarinic M₁ receptors enhanced the frequency of mIPSCs in the ACC. (a and b) Bath application of McN-A-343 (30 μM) increased the frequency of mIPSCs in a neuron. (c and d) Cumulative curves of inter-event interval and amplitude of mIPSCs before and after the application of McN-A-343 (30 μM). (e and f) The averaged graphs of the frequency and amplitude of mIPSCs (n = 8 from 5 rats). All values are means ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05 vs. Control (e).
M₁ receptors may require the facilitation of GABAergic transmission in the ACC.

**Facilitation of muscarinic M₁ receptors in the ACC amplifier GABAergic transmission**

By using whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, we examined the functional roles of muscarinic M₁ receptors on GABAergic transmission in the layer II/III from the ACC (Figures 4 to 6). The activation of muscarinic M₁ receptors amplifies GABAergic transmission (Figures 4 and 5). We found that the enhancement in GABAergic transmission by activating muscarinic M₁ receptors is the result of an increased presynaptic probability of GABA neurotransmitter release in ACC synapses, as demonstrated by the increased sIPSCs and mIPSCs frequency.

For the postsynaptic mechanisms of muscarinic M₁ receptors, the activation of muscarinic M₁ receptors increased the amplitude of sIPSCs; however, the amplitude of mIPSCs did not change by stimulating muscarinic M₁ receptors. Although postsynaptic M₁ receptors may have the modulatory mechanisms on postsynaptic GABA_A receptors, the different mechanisms are still unknown in this study. Further studies are needed to find how postsynaptic muscarinic M₁ receptors modulate GABA_A receptors by the activity-dependent GABA transmitter releases. We further tested whether the activation of muscarinic M₁ receptors can regulate extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors-mediated currents (Figure 6). As a result, McN-A-343 treatment for 10–30 min did affect the amplitude of tonic GABA_A receptors-mediated currents. Therefore, at least acute treatment of the muscarinic M₁ receptors agonist may not affect extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors-mediated functions. Together, these results suggest that the enhanced GABAergic transmission by the activation of muscarinic M₁ receptors results from the increased probability of presynaptic GABA neurotransmitter release and a possible postsynaptic modification of functional transient GABA_A receptors.

These findings show that cholinergic system in ACC produces an antinociceptive effect on responses to mechanical nociceptive stimulation via muscarinic M₁ receptors and, at least in part, through neuronal pathways involving both increased GABA transmitter release and modulating postsynaptic GABA_A receptors in the ACC.

**Conclusion**

We found that the activation of M₁ receptors in the ACC produces antinociceptive effects to the mechanical stimuli. Furthermore, the facilitation of M₁ receptors in the ACC stimulates GABAergic transmission via GABA_A receptors.
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