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ABSTRACT

We present the ALMA view of 11 main-sequence DSFGs, (sub-)millimeter selected in the GOODS-S field, and spectroscopically confirmed to be at the peak of Cosmic SFH ($z \approx 2$). Our study combines the analysis of galaxy SED with ALMA continuum and CO spectral emission, by using ALMA Science Archive products at the highest spatial resolution currently available for our sample ($\Delta \theta \lesssim 1$ arcsec). We include galaxy multi-band images and photometry (in the optical, radio and X-rays) to investigate the interlink between dusty, gaseous and stellar components and the eventual presence of AGN. We use multi-band sizes and morphologies to gain an insight on the processes that lead galaxy evolution, e.g., gas condensation, star formation, AGN feedback.

The 11 DSFGs are very compact in the (sub-)millimeter (median $r_{\text{ALMA}} = 1.15$ kpc), while the optical emission extends to larger radii (median $r_{\text{opt}}/r_{\text{ALMA}} = 2.05$). CO lines reveal the presence of a rotating disc of molecular gas, but we can not exclude either the presence of interactions and/or molecular outflows. Images at higher (spectral and spatial) resolution are needed to disentangle from the possible scenarios. Most of the galaxies are caught in the compaction phase, when gas cools and falls into galaxy centre, fuelling the dusty burst of star formation and the growing nucleus. We expect these DSFGs to be the high-$z$ star-forming counterparts of massive quiescent galaxies. Some features of CO emission in three galaxies are suggestive of forthcoming/ongoing AGN feedback, that is thought to trigger the morphological transition from star-forming disks to ETGs.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Dusty Star-Forming Galaxies (DSFGs) at high-redshift ($z > 1$) have been recognised as a crucial population to characterize massive galaxy evolution across the Universe and constrain the Cosmic Star Formation History (SFH) and stellar mass assembly out to redshift $> 3$ (e.g., Madau & Dickinson 2014; Casey et al. 2014). In the last decade, many steps forwards have been taken to obtain a more comprehensive picture of their nature, thanks to the advent of a new generation of (sub-)millimeter/radio telescopes, with increased resolution and sensitivity, e.g. ALMA and JVLA, and to numerous multi-wavelength surveys that are essential to characterize DSFG broad-band emission. For example, the Great Observatories Origins Survey South (GOODS-S, Dickinson & GOODS Legacy Team 2001; Giavalisco et al. 2004) field - one of the most studied - was sampled in the X-ray with Chandra (Xue et al. 2011; Luo et al. 2017b) and XMM-Newton (Comastri et al. 2011); in the optical/near-infrared with HST (i.e., the HUDF survey, Beckwith et al. 2006) and VLT MUSE (Bacon et al. 2017); in the infrared with Herschel (PEP & HerMES, Lutz et al. 2011; Oliver et al. 2012) and Spitzer (Labbé et al. 2015); in the (sub-)millimeter with LABOCA (LESS, Weiβ et al. 2009), AzTEC/ASTE (Scott et al. 2010) and ALMA (Aravena et al. 2016a,b; Walter et al. 2016; Dunlop et al. 2017); in the radio band with VLA (Kellermann et al. 2008; Miller et al. 2013b; Rujopakarn et al. 2016; Fujimoto et al. 2017). However, we are far away from fully physically characterizing the high-$z$ DSFG population. In particular, we lack of a self-consistent explanation of both the mechanisms triggering their intense burst of dust-obscured star formation, with Star Formation Rates (SFRs) $\geq 100 \ M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, and the processes driving their subsequent evolution. In order to reach this goal it is essential, on the one hand, to characterize their integral physical properties (i.e., stellar mass, dust and gas content, gas metallicity, the activity of the central Super Massive Black Hole, SMBH) by sampling their Spectral Energy Distributions (SEDs; see e.g., Béthermin et al. 2014; Magdis et al. 2012; Malek et al. 2018; Bianchini et al. 2019; Donevski et al. 2020; Dudzevičiūtė et al. 2020). On the other
hand, spatially-resolved information provides a detailed and precise description of the main baryonic processes occurring inside these galaxies and can be useful to determine their respective importance in driving DSFG evolution. In particular the latter objective can be reached by exploiting high resolution imaging of objects that do not appear peculiar in their overall behaviour, so that they could represent the bulk of the $z \sim 2$ DSFG population. The detection of molecular spectral lines and the study of galaxy multi-band size and morphology would allow us to investigate galaxy environment and the gas phase properties, such as its kinematics (e.g., Tadaki et al. 2015; Talia et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2017; Hodge et al. 2019). This approach is fundamental to assess the presence of an AGN, characterize its impact on the host galaxy (i.e., AGN feeding & feedback cycle; e.g., Bischetti et al. 2021) and, on a statistical basis, on DSFG evolution.

This work is meant to complement the analysis presented in a previous paper from our group (Pantoni et al. 2021) on 11 (sub-)millimeter selected DSFGs at $z_{\text{spec}} \sim 2$ by focusing on the ALMA view of the galaxies. Our 11 DSFGs are located in the GOODS-S field and have an almost complete sampling of their multi-wavelength broad-band emission, from the X-rays to the radio band, that was extensively studied in Pantoni et al. (2021). In a nutshell, we modelled the galaxy optical-to-millimeter SED by performing a multi-component fitting to the available multi-wavelength photometry with the Code Investigating GALaxy Emission (CIGALE; Boquien et al. 2019). We combined Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar libraries, Lo Faro et al. (2017) double power-law (describing stellar attenuation due to dust extinction) and the mid- and far-IR dust emission model by Casey (2012) with new physical motivated prescriptions for dust absorption in the birth molecular clouds of stars. Then, we included in our analysis other information coming from integrated CO spectroscopy and galaxy emission in the X-rays and radio band, providing a self-consistent picture of the ongoing processes concerning galaxy baryonic components, i.e., stars, inter-stellar medium (ISM) - and its molecular and dusty phases - and central SMBH. To this aim we referred to one possible scenario for galaxy formation and evolution, the so-called in-situ galaxy-BH co-evolution scenario (see e.g. Shi et al. 2017; Mancuso et al. 2016a,b; Lapi et al. 2018; Pantoni et al. 2019).

In the following we present the spatially-resolved analysis of millimeter and (sub-)millimeter continuum and CO emission lines, as observed with ALMA, in order to derive the size of interstellar dust and CO emission, the molecular gas content and its kinematics. We complement the ALMA view of the galaxies with resolved multi-band information (size and morphology) found in the literature (e.g. van der Wel et al. 2012; Targett et al. 2013; Rujopakarn et al. 2016, 2019; Kaasinen et al. 2020). Comparing galaxy morphology and size in different spectral bands is informative of the processes that are driving the ongoing burst of star formation, the accretion of central SMBH and its eventual activity and can tell us if and how the AGN affects the whole host galaxy evolution. The optical rest-frame light samples the stellar component and thus its spatial distribution into galaxy, rest-frame MIR and FIR emission samples the interstellar dust, while the CO emission traces the molecular gas. The relative size of these components provides a hint on their origin, their role in fuelling the star formation and the central SMBH accretion. The multi-band morphology (e.g., isolated or disturbed) is a result of gas condensation and star formation processes occurring inside the galaxies and of eventual interactions with the surrounding ambient and/or galaxy companions (Lacey et al. 2016; Calura et al. 2017; Popping et al. 2017; Lapi et al. 2018; Davé et al. 2019).

By including in our picture the outcomes of the SED analysis presented in Pantoni et al. (2021) we provide a consistent and physically-motivated interpretation of the observations in the broad context of galaxy formation and evolution, that encompasses photometry, spectroscopy and imaging at high-resolution ($\Delta \theta \leq 1$ arcsec).

This article is organised as follows. In Section 2 we summarize the main selection criteria used to build our sample of 11 DSFGs at $z \sim 2$ including a brief characterization of the galaxies based on previous results (Pantoni et al. 2021); in Section 3 and Section 4 we analyse the ALMA continuum and spectroscopic emission of the sources, derive dust and molecular gas size and spatial distribution and the total content of molecular gas, and provide a possible description of the gas kinematics from CO lines, when detected. In Section 5 we discuss the main results for the whole sample in the broad context of galaxy formation and evolution. In Section 6 we summarize the outcomes and outline our conclusions. In Appendix A we include a panoramic analysis of the individual galaxies evolution.

Throughout this work, we adopt the standard flat ΛCDM cosmology Planck Collaboration et al. (2020) with rounded parameter values: matter density $\Omega_M = 0.32$, dark energy density $\Omega_L = 0.63$, baryon density $\Omega_b = 0.05$, Hubble constant $H_0 = 100 \ h \ km \ s^{-1} \ Mpc^{-1}$ with $h = 0.67$, and mass variance $\sigma_8 = 0.81$ on a scale of 8 $h^{-1}$ Mpc.

2 THE SAMPLE

The sample is constituted by 11 DSFGs observed in the GOODS-S (Dicken & GOODS Legacy Team 2001; Giavalisco et al. 2004) field and spectroscopically-confirmed to be at the peak of Cosmic SFH ($z \sim 2$). They were selected in the (sub-)millimeter regime requiring the following criteria to be fulfilled for each galaxy: 3 or more detections in the optical domain ($\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 0.3 \text{--} 1 \mu m$); 6 or more detections in the NIR+MIR bands ($\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 1 \text{--} 25 \mu m$); 2 or more detections in the FIR band ($\lambda_{\text{obs}} \approx 25 \text{--} 400 \mu m$); spectroscopically confirmed redshift in the range $1.5 < z < 3$; one or more detections and/or upper limits in the radio and X-ray regimes. For the detailed description of source selection and multi-wavelength counterparts association we refer to Pantoni et al. (2021).

Thanks to the aforementioned selection criteria, in Pantoni et al. (2021) we achieved a complete and accurate sampling of galaxy multi-wavelength broad-band emission (from X-rays to radio band), that was essential to derive galaxy integral properties (such as galaxy age, stellar mass, dust and gas content, the presence of an accreting/active central BH) by fitting their SED with CIGALE (Boquien et al. 2019), assuming a Chabrier (2003) Initial Mass Function (IMF).

Source IDs are listed in Tab. 1, along with their spectroscopic redshift, their optical size (i.e., circularized radius $r_1$, derived by using the semi-major axis and axes ratio by van der Wel et al. 2012; Rujopakarn et al. 2019), the presence of an AGN (by Luo et al. 2017b), and some of their main astrophysical properties, i.e. stellar mass $M_*$, SFR, interstellar dust mass $M_{\text{dust}}$, already presented in Pantoni et al. (2021). The 11 DSFGs are (almost) main-sequence objects (i.e. staying within its $2\sigma$ scatter ~ 0.4 dex; see Speagle et al. 2014), with median $M_*$ = $6.5 \times 10^{10} \ M_\odot$ (first quartile $5.7 \times 10^{10} \ M_\odot$ and third quartile $9 \times 10^{10} \ M_\odot$) and SFR ~ $250 \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$ (first quartile $90 \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$ and third quartile $400 \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$). They are experiencing an intense and dusty burst of star formation (median $L_{IR} \sim 2 \times 10^{12} \ L_\odot$, with a median duration $t_\alpha \sim 750 \ Myr$ (first quartile $300 \ Myr$ and third quartile $900 \ Myr$). Despite their young age, the interstellar dust content is high ($M_{\text{dust}} > 10^8 \ M_\odot$) and possibly due to a very rapid enrichment of the ISM (on typical timescales of $10^7$ -- $10^8$ yr). The gas mass (i.e. median $M_{\text{gas}} \sim 4 \times 10^{10} \ M_\odot$, derived from
Conservative estimation of flux calibration accuracy, i.e. the quadratic sum of the ALMA continuum map rms and a (2017b); \( \nu \) responding to the frequency range (4196–18500 MHz). Almost all have an X-ray luminous (L_{\text{X}}) and two of them (UDF1 and UDF8) are clearly dominated in the X-rays by the active nucleus emission (L_{\text{AGN}}). Six out of eleven have an X-ray luminous (L_{\text{X}}) and two of them (UDF1 and UDF8) are clearly dominated in the X-rays by the active nucleus emission (L_{\text{AGN}}). Six out of eleven have an X-ray luminous (L_{\text{X}}) and two of them (UDF1 and UDF8) are clearly dominated in the X-rays by the active nucleus emission (L_{\text{AGN}}).

3 CONTINUUM EMISSION

Continuum (sub-)millimeter light of \( z \sim 2 \) star forming galaxies traces the thermal emission coming from interstellar dust grains, that are heated by newly formed stars (e.g. Draine 2003). The size and the spatial distribution of dust thermal emission are essential to locate and characterize galaxy dust-obscured star formation, that in high-z DSFGs occurs in the form of intense bursts (typical SFRs are of the order of 100–1000 \( M_\odot \) yr^{-1}, see e.g. Casey et al. 2014).

We analyse the public ALMA archival maps containing our 11 DSFGs and we select the ones that have the best spatial resolution (\( \Delta \theta \leq 1 \) arcsec) in the wavelength range \( \lambda_{\text{obs}} \sim 500\mu m – 3 \) mm (corresponding to the frequency range \( \nu_{\text{obs}} \sim 100–600 \) GHz). Almost all of the best spatially-resolved continuum maps are in ALMA B6 and B7 that, indeed, constitute a very good compromise between spatial resolution and the sampled wavelength. This allow us to provide the most homogeneous information on dust continuum emission. Just for AzTEC.GS22 the image at the best spatial resolution is in B9.

In Tab. 2 we list the continuum flux densities (S_{\nu}) and sizes (\( \theta_{\nu} \)) of our sources. We estimate the flux density errors as:

\[ eS_{\nu} = \sqrt{(\text{rms})^2 + (0.1 \times S_{\nu})^2} \]

that is the quadratic sum of the ALMA continuum map rms and a conservative estimation of flux calibration accuracy, i.e. \( \sim 10\% \) for the ALMA bands of our interest: B6, B7 and B9.

We measure the source sizes performing a 2D Gaussian fit of the source emission on the ALMA map by using the task \textit{imfit} embedded in the CASA viewer (CASA release 5.4.0-70). Some of the detections (6 out of 11) are not resolved in the corresponding ALMA map: in such a case we indicate the synthesized beam size (labelled with the apex \( sb \)) that provides an upper limit on the source size. The level of noise did not allow us to extract the sizes from a fit in the visibility domains.

We derive the linear circularized size of galaxy (sub-)millimeter emission by using the following expression:

\[ r_{\text{circ}}(\nu) \text{ [kpc]} = \frac{a}{2} \text{ [arcsec]} \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} - c \text{ [kpc/arcsec]} \]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are the major and minor projected axes; \( c \) is the angular-to-linear conversion factor, which depends on redshift and cosmology (see Tab. 12 in Pantoni et al. 2021); \( a \) and \( b \) are listed in Tab. 2 (\( \theta_{\nu} = a \times \theta_{\nu} \)). The resulting circularized ALMA sizes (\( r_{\text{circ}} \)) are listed in Tab. 2. When the source is not (entirely) resolved we indicate just an upper limit on its size (labelled with the symbol “\(<\”) given by the circularized synthesized beam in kpc.

In Fig. 1 we show the ALMA continuum contours (at \( 1, 2, 3, 4 \times 2.5\sigma \)) overlapping the HST (H_{160}) image of the galaxies. Due to the low spatial resolution of Chandra X-ray map (Luo et al. 2017b), we do not show galaxy X-ray emission on the HST maps. We just note that the peak of X-ray emission overlaps both the optical and (sub-)millimeter galaxy counterparts. We corrected the HST images astrometry using the position of Gaia sources (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016) that are located in the same field of our DSFGs, finding a mean error of \( \sim (2+10) \times 10^{-5} \) degrees (\( \Delta_{\text{RA}} \sim 70 \) mas, \( \Delta_{\text{DEC}} \sim 360 \) mas), in agreement with the astrometric error between VLA and HST images shown by Rujopakarn et al. (2016), i.e. \( \Delta_{\text{RA}} = +80 \pm 110 \) mas, \( \Delta_{\text{DEC}} = -260 \pm 130 \) mas (see also e.g. Dunlop et al. 2017; Elbaz et al. 2018). The astrometric correction on AzTEC.GS22 HST H_{160} image is of \( \sim (+15, +5) \times 10^{-5} \) degrees, while we do not apply any correction on AzTEC.GS25 optical coordinates. After the correction, the bulks of the stellar and dust emission coincide within...
Figure 1. Postage stamps of 2.5 arcsec × 2.5 arcsec. ALMA continuum contours at $[1, 2, 3, 4] \times 2.5\sigma$ (green solid lines) are overlaid on F160W HST/WFC3 images (corrected for astrometry). Resolved ALMA sources are labelled as such in the bottom left corner of the panel. In the x-axis and y-axis we show the Right Ascension (RA) in h:m:s and the Declination (DEC) in deg:arcmin:arcsec, respectively, for a reference point on the map.
the uncertainties of the astrometric correction (~ 100 mas) and the beam resolution, for most of the galaxies. An eventual remaining shift tells us that the peaks of optical and (sub-)millimeter emission are not exactly coincident due to dust obscuration of stellar light.

4 SPECTROSCOPIC EMISSION

CO transitions can be used to trace the molecular gas phase inside the galaxy and derive its mass, density and kinetic temperature (e.g. Yang et al. 2017; Joblin et al. 2018; Boogaard et al. 2020). A CO spectral line energy distribution (SLED) peaking at $J > 3$ is typically considered an evidence of shocks and/or nuclear activity, originating from the so called X-ray Dominated Regions (XDRs, see Vallini et al. 2019), while the low-J lines are more commonly associated to star-formation (e.g. Pozzi et al. 2017; Mingozzi et al. 2018; Carniani et al. 2019), originating in the Photo-Dissociation Regions (PDRs, see Hollenbach & Tielens 1999). Therefore, CO lines can be used to characterize both the ongoing star-forming burst (such as the SFR, see e.g. Bayet et al. 2009) and the impact of the activity of the central SMBH on the host galaxy (Cicone et al. 2014), giving some hints, in particular, on the possible connection between high-z Sub-millimeter Galaxies (SMGs) and AGN hosts (e.g. Sharon et al. 2016), even if the CO SLED is not entirely sampled.

In the following we present the five CO $J > 1$ line detections that we found for UDF1, UDF3, UDF8 and ALESS067.1 in the ALMA Archive. Images native spectral resolution in most cases was too small to allow the CO line profile to be clearly detected. In such cases we perform a rebin (using the CASA task `imrebin`) of the line channels. It consents to reduce the noise and boost the source signal by averaging among consecutive channels. We perform a $\times 15$ rebin on UDF1 ALMA data cube and a $\times 5$ rebin on ALESS067.1 ALMA (Project Code 2016.1.00564.S) data cube. We use instead data cubes of UDF3, UDF8 and ALESS067.1 ALMA (Project Code: 2019.2.00246.S) as available in the Archive with clean spectral resolution as indicated in Tab. 3. In Figs. 2 and 3 we show the observed spectral line profiles with their best Gaussian fits and the maps of spectral line distribution momenta (0, 1, and 2). CO intensity maps are overlapped by dust continuum contours. The angular and linear circularized sizes ($\theta_{\nu}$ and $r_{\text{CO}}$) are listed in Tab. 3. Since they all appear unresolved to a 2D Gaussian fit, we provide: an upper limit on UDF1 CO emission size, given by the synthesized beam; a measure of UDF3, UDF8 and ALESS067.1 CO emission equal to the angular distance between the peaks of the two spectral line components. We perform the conversion from angular to linear size exploiting Eq. (2). Then, we measure CO line intensity on mom0 maps and we list the corresponding values in Tab. 3.

In Tab. 3 we list also the CO transition observed for each source and the central observed frequency $\nu_{\text{obs}}$ that we use to compute the source redshift $z$ (Tab. 1). We note that most of the lines (CO(3 – 2) for UDF3 and ALESS067.1; CO(2 – 1) for UDF8; see Figs. 2 and 3) are characterized by an asymmetric double-peaked CO line profile, that could suggest that we are observing a tilted disc of rotating

---

1 In CASA, `imrebin` performs an average over the binned quantities, in our cases the spectral channels.
molecular gas or a molecular outflow produced by the central engine. Both the explanations are consistent with velocity maps (mom1), that are characterized by null central line (i.e., e.g., the rotation axis), and velocity dispersion maps (mom2), that are peaked along the same line. However, the width of the large components does not exceed 400 km/s, favouring the first scenario. Merging events seem to be less probable given the undisturbed appearance of the velocity dispersion on the mom2 maps. UDF1 CO line profile is very narrow (FWHM \( \sim 170 \) km/s) and show just a peak: it means that the galaxy cold gas component is actually not rotating or that we are looking at the galaxy face-on. The latter interpretation is actually consistent with the velocity dispersion map, that is peaked in the centre. These evidences are confirmed also by the velocity range spanned by the CO component in the velocity maps: while for UDF3, UDF8 and ALESS067.1 the CO emission reaches velocities of the order of a few hundreds km/s, the CO velocity in UDF1 does not exceed 60 km/s, resembling the intrinsic chaotic motion of the cold gas phase.

Finally, we focus on the case of ALESS067.1 that has two CO lines detected \((J = 3, J = 6; \text{Tab. 3})\). The velocity map of ALESS067.1 CO(3-2) (mom1; Fig. 3) does not show a clear null line, while the velocity dispersion map (mom2; Fig. 3) peaks in the centre and shows a tail towards the left upper corner. More likely this could be an indication of an early AGN driven molecular outflow or an evidence of interactions (e.g. Targett et al. 2013, claim that ALESS067.1 is actually the central dominant galaxy of a multiple system). However, in the latter scenario we expect the momenta to be much more disturbed. In case we are actually observing a AGN driven outflow, we do not expect it to significantly affect the galaxy properties and its star formation activity at the moment, since the line FWHM is < 400 km/s. CO(6-5) maps are both null in the centre, while mom1 map shows a peak to the right upper corner, peaking at 80 km/s. This could trace either the warm star formation of the galaxy or the central engine activity. The low spatial resolution of the image does not allow to spatially compare the size of the two spectral emission, neither to understand the origin of the \(J = 6\) line (a nuclear origin implies a very compact size of the CO emission, while a stellar one implies a more extended distribution). We need imaging at higher spatial resolution and sensitivity to definitively disentangle the diverse scenarios. Further details on ALESS067.1 CO spectral line emission along with a possible interpretation in the context of galaxy-BH co-evolution are described in Appendix A8.

### 4.1 Molecular gas mass

In the following we exploit the CO line intensity to derive the molecular hydrogen mass content of UDF1, UDF3, UDF8 and ALESS067.1. We derive CO line luminosity using the following conversion by Solomon et al. (1997):

\[
L'_{\text{CO}} \left[ \text{K km s}^{-1} \text{ pc} \right] = 3.27 \times 10^7 I_{\text{CO}} v_{\text{obs}}^{-2} d_L^2 (1+z)^{-3}
\]

where \(I_{\text{CO}}\) is measured in Jy km s\(^{-1}\); \(v_{\text{obs}}\) is the observed central frequency of the line (measured in GHz) and \(z\) is the corresponding redshift. The luminosity distance \(d_L\) in Mpc depends on redshift and cosmology (they are listed in Pantoni et al. 2021, their Tab. 6, first column). In Tab. 4 we list line luminosities. We compute the associated uncertainties by using error propagation theory.

We convert \(CO \ J > 1\) luminosity into the equivalent ground state luminosity \(L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)}\) by using the relation:

\[
L'_{\text{CO}(1-0)} = \frac{L'_{\text{CO}(J-[J-1])}}{r_{J1}}
\]
and assuming the CO excitation ladder by Daddi et al. (2015), i.e. $r_{31} = 0.42 \pm 0.07$ and $r_{21} = 0.76 \pm 0.09$.

We derive the molecular hydrogen mass by using the relation:

$$M_{H_2} [M_\odot] = \alpha_{CO} L_{CO(1-0)}$$

(5)

where $\alpha_{CO}$ is the CO conversion factor in units of $M_\odot$ [K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$]$^{-1}$. We assume $\alpha_{CO} = 3.6$ $M_\odot$ [K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$]$^{-1}$ (e.g. Daddi et al. 2015; Decarli et al. 2016b). The resulting molecular hydrogen masses are listed in Tab. 4. Uncertainties are computed with errors propagation theory.

We note that ALESS067.1 $H_2$ content ($M_{H_2} \sim 1.7 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$; see Tab. 4) is consistent with the molecular hydrogen mass derived from the CO(1-0) line luminosity measured with the Australian Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) by Huynh et al. (2017), i.e. $L_{CO(1-0)} = (9.9 \pm 1.8)^{10}$ K km s$^{-1}$, assuming $\alpha_{CO} = 1.8$ $M_\odot$ [K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$]$^{-1}$ (i.e. $M_{H_2} \sim 1.8 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$; see Chen et al. 2017). The latter conversion factor is often thought to be preferable for compact SMGs (e.g. Chen et al. 2017; Elbaz et al. 2018; Carilli & Walter 2013). However, due to the large uncertainties on $\alpha_{CO}$, we do not favour one or the other value and we suggest just to re-scale the resulting molecular gas in Tab. 4 by a factor $1.8/3.6 = 0.5$. The presence of the direct measurement of the CO(1-0) line luminosity for ALESS067.1 by Huynh et al. (2017) allow us to compute $r_{31}$ and $r_{21}$. We calculate a line luminosity ratio of $r_{31} = L_{CO(3-2)}/L_{CO(1-0)} = 0.20 \pm 0.07$ and $r_{21} = L_{CO(6-5)}/L_{CO(1-0)} = 0.12 \pm 0.07$. We note that the $r_{31}$ are obtained is smaller than the one measured by Daddi et al. (2015), indicating a more excited CO SLED for ALESS067.1 if compared to the normal (near-IR selected BzK) star-forming disk galaxies at $z \sim 1.5$ studied by Daddi et al. (2015). Typical values of $r_{61}$ are $ \geq 0.2$ for SMGs and even higher for QSOs (e.g. Bothwell et al. 2013; Carilli & Walter 2013; Daddi et al. 2015, extrapolations from the latter two). The sightly lower value we find is probably due to sensitivity limit that makes us miss the outskirt of the CO emission, coupled with low resolution that causes the flux to be distributed on a larger image area, while for high-J it is probably mostly concentrated in the central region.

![Figure 3. ALESS067.1 CO lines, i.e. $J = 3$ and $J = 6$, in the order. The panels show: the observed spectral line profile (black solid line), the corresponding uncertainties on flux density (gray shaded area) and the (total) best Gaussian fit (dashed red line; in case of double peaked profile the two components are in cyan); the maps of the spectral line distribution momenta (mom0, mom1 and mom2) overlapped by the continuum contours at [2, 3, 4, 5] $\times \sigma$ detected at the same frequency (ALMA B3 and ALMA B6, respectively). Black filled ellipse in the bottom left corners indicates the beam size.](image)

**Table 4.** CO analysis: $L_{CO}$ and $M_{H_2}$ for UDF1, UDF3, UDF8 and ALESS067.1, by assuming an $\alpha_{CO} = 3.6$ K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$ M$^{-1}$.

| ID     | $z$  | $J_0$ | $L_{CO}$ [10$^8$ K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$] | $M_{H_2}$ [10$^{10}$ M$_\odot$] |
|--------|------|------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| UDF1   | 2.698| 3    | 31 $\pm$ 3                           | 2.6 $\pm$ 0.7                    |
| UDF3   | 2.543| 3    | 170 $\pm$ 10                         | 15 $\pm$ 3                       |
| UDF8   | 1.549| 2    | 122 $\pm$ 9                          | 5.8 $\pm$ 1.1                    |
| ALESS067.1 | 2.1212 | 3 | 196 $\pm$ 31                        | 16.8 $\pm$ 5.4                  |
|        | 2.1212 | 6 | 123 $\pm$ 45                        | –                               |

$H_2$ mass of ALESS067.1 is calculated by exploiting the transition with lower $J_0$.

### 5 DISCUSSION

In this Section we combine our broad-band spatially-resolved and spectral analyses with the results obtained from galaxy SED fitting by Pannuti et al. (2021) and additional information collected from literature and multi-wavelength images from public catalogs, in order to include the whole sample in a broad context of galaxy formation and evolution.

In Tabs. 5 and 6 we list the global astrophysical properties of the individual 11 DSFGs in our sample, while in Tab. 7 we show their median values, with the corresponding first and third quartiles. For reference we compare the outcomes with a well studied $z \sim 2$ DSFG (i.e. UDF2) that is not included in our sample, but presents a similar multi-band sampling of its SED and the same multi-wavelength spatially-resolved and spectral information (see e.g., Boogaard et al. 2019; Rujopakarn et al. 2019; Kaasinen et al. 2020).

In Tab. 6 we compute the ratio between the optical ($H_{160}$) circularized radius and the ALMA size, i.e. $R_{HI}/R_{ALMA}$. We note that the optical size is typically equal or more extended than the (sub-)millimeter one. This trend is actually observed in many recent works on high-z SMGs (e.g. Barro et al. 2013, 2016a,b; Ikarashi et al. 2015; Simpson et al. 2015; Talia et al. 2018; Tadaki et al. 2020; Massardi et al. 2018), and predicted by some theoretical models describing...
massive galaxy evolution, focusing in particular on the star forming progenitors of z < 1 Early Type Galaxies (ETGs). In Fig. 4 we show the size-mass relation as it is predicted in the theoretical scenario by Lapi et al. (2018), in terms of two typical radii: the stability radius for gas fragmentation RQ (a few kpc for ETG star-forming progenitors at z > 1), that is derived from the Toomre stability criterion (see Toomre 1964; Lapi et al. 2018, their Eqs. 9 and 10); the rotational radius Rrot (~ 1 kpc for ETG star-forming progenitors at z > 1), for which the rotational support balances the gravitational pull of the infalling gas (Lapi et al. 2018, their Eqs. 16 and 17). The scenario predicts that the star-forming progenitors of local ETGs experience, at high-z, a dusty burst of star formation in the very central region of the galaxy, at radii ≤ RQ (red solid line with its σ scatter in Fig. 4), that is traced by the size of dust continuum in the (sub-)millimeter band. At greater radii, i.e. Rrot ≤ r ≤ RQ (blue solid line with its σ scatter in Fig. 4) the star formation is less obscured by dust, so that UV/optical radiation from newly formed stars can partially emerge. This trend is present in all the six sources of our sample that are resolved both in the HST Hα (optical) sizes; filled squares in Fig. 4) and ALMA continuum maps (millimeter sizes; filled stars in Fig. 4), i.e., UDF1, UDF3, UDF8, UDF11, ALESS0067.1 and AzTEC.GS25, for which the optical size is more extended than the millimeter one. The median optical-to-millimeter radii ratio, i.e. Ropt/R ALMA = 2.05, may be just a lower limit of the real situation, since a significant part of the stellar emission could be lost, being completely absorbed by dust. Furthermore, the ratio ropt/R ALMA means that for local samples of similar stellar mass (e.g., Lang et al. 2019), being typically larger than 1 for the former and smaller than 1 for the latter.

For reference, we list the same information for UDF2 by Boogaard et al. (2019) (a), Rujopakarn et al. (2019) (b), and Kaasinen et al. (2020) (c).
et al. (2016), i.e. \( R_{\text{e}} \), is obtained from the effective radius by Rujopakarn \( M_\text{★} \) (more details in Pantoni et al. 2021, cf. their Sect. 2). Its physical log by Grazian et al. (2006), within the searching radius of 1 arcsec our sample since it has no counterpart in the GOODS-MUSIC cata-

ASPECS-LP.3mm.07). We stress that the source is not included in HST and ALMA sizes are shown in the legend. The typical outcomes from similar spatially-resolved and panchromatic studies on \( z \sim 2 \) dusty star-forming galaxies. Blue and red shaded areas represent the predicted behaviour (with its 1 or scatter) of \( R_0 \) and \( R_{\text{rot}} \) by the theoretical scenario presented in Lapi et al. (2018). Error bars, consistent with the scatter of the relations, have been omitted for clarity. Typical errors on HST and ALMA sizes are shown in the legend.
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**Figure 4.** \( H_\text{α} \) HST sizes (filled squares) and ALMA continuum sizes (filled stars) for the six galaxy that are resolved in the continuum ALMA maps: UDF1 (illic); UDF3 (green); UDF8 (red); UDF11 (cyan); ALESS067.1 (cyan); AzTEC.GS25 (yellow). Gray filled symbols stand for UDF2 by Boogaard et al. (2019, B+19) and Rujopakarn et al. (2019, R+19), that show as an example of the typical outcomes from similar spatially-resolved and panchromatic studies on \( z \sim 2 \) dusty star-forming galaxies. Blue and red shaded areas represent the predicted behaviour (with its 1 or scatter) of \( R_0 \) and \( R_{\text{rot}} \) by the theoretical scenario presented in Lapi et al. (2018). Error bars, consistent with the scatter of the relations, have been omitted for clarity. Typical errors on HST and ALMA sizes are shown in the legend.

Table 7. Median, first and third quartiles of the following quantities (in the order): redshift (\( z \)), age of the burst (\( \tau_\star \)), SFR; stellar mass (\( M_\star \)); IR luminosity (\( L_\text{IR} \)); dust mass (\( M_{\text{dust}} \)); molecular gas mass (\( M_\text{CO} \)); depletion time (\( \tau_{\text{depl}} \)); AGN fraction in the IR domain (\( f_{\text{AGN}} \)); 2 – 10 keV luminosity (\( L_{\text{X}} \)); HST, ALMA and CO sizes (\( R_\text{H,ALMA} \) and \( R_{\text{CO}} \)); optical-to-ALMA size ratio (\( \tau_{\text{H,ALMA}} \)); optical-to-CO size ratio (\( \tau_{\text{H,CO}} \)); CO-to-ALMA size ratio (\( \tau_{\text{CO,ALMA}} \)). For reference, in the last column we list the values measured for UDF2 by Boogaard et al. (2019)\(^{(a)}\), Rujopakarn et al. (2019)\(^{(b)}\) and Kaasinen et al. (2020)\(^{(c)}\).

| \( z \) | Median | 1st quartile | 3rd quartile | UDF2 |
|-------|--------|-------------|-------------|------|
| SFR \( [M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}] \) | 2.086 | 1.794 | 2.497 | 2.6961 |
| \( \tau_\star \) \( [\text{Myr}] \) | 241 | 91 | 401 | 187 |
| \( M_\star \) \( [10^{10} M_\odot] \) | 746 | 334 | 917 | – |
| \( L_\text{IR} \) \( [10^{12} L_\odot] \) | 6.5 | 5.7 | 9 | 13 |
| \( M_{\text{dust}} \) \( [10^{6} M_\odot] \) | 2.2 | 1.01 | 3.9 | – |
| \( M_\text{CO} \) \( [10^{10} M_\odot] \) | 4.6 | 1.9 | 10 | 7.8 |
| \( M_{\text{dust}} \) \( [10^{10} M_\odot] \) | 4.0 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 23.9 |
| \( \tau_{\text{depl}} \) \( [\text{Myr}] \) | 146 | 74 | 345 | 1300 |
| \( f_{\text{AGN}} \) \( [%] \) | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1 | – |
| \( L_X \) \( [10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}] \) | 1.7 | 2.1 | 6.1 | nd |
| \( H_\text{α} \) \( [\text{kpc}] \) | 2.6 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| \( R_{\text{H,ALMA}} \) \( [\text{kpc}] \) | 1.15 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| \( R_{\text{CO}} \) \( [\text{kpc}] \) | 3.45 | 1.35 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| \( R_{\text{H,ALMA}} \) | 2.05 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 4.3 |
| \( R_{\text{H,CO}} \) | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.97 |
| \( R_{\text{CO,ALMA}} \) | 2.2 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 4.3 |

To compute the gas mass value reported above we preferred the measurements from CO spectral lines (Tab. 6) rather than the ones from dust continuum (Tab. 5).

UDF2 (see Tab. 6), shows a clumpy morphology in the \( H_{\text{160}} \) image. This may be suggestive of interactions within a radius \( \leq 1 \) few tens of kpc from the ALMA counterpart. However, the optical clumps may just indicate that some emitting areas in the star-forming regions are affected more than others by dust extinction (indeed they are often indicated as \textit{star-forming clumps}). In order to discern the most likely scenario, it is essential to take into consideration galaxy multi-wavelength emission and its spatial distribution at comparable resolutions. In Appendix A we provide an insight on this topic, for each galaxy in our sample.

Furthermore atomic and molecular spectral lines provide essential information to investigate the mechanisms triggering star formation in galaxies and galaxy-BH co-evolution. CO lines are very well recog-
nized as tracers of the cold (i.e., molecular) gas phase and the analysis of their resolved emission, both in space and frequency, allow to study gas kinematics and physical conditions and to measure its content in mass. We identified some differences in the molecular gas masses estimated by using the dust optically-thin continuum and CO lines, that obviously imply diverse depletion timescales \( \tau_\star \) (cf. Tab. 5 and Tab. 6). These differences can be traced back to diverse dust and CO sizes, the latter being typically larger than the former and its emission almost optically thick (especially in case of low-J CO lines). As such, gas masses inferred from these measurements may sample different regions or components in the galaxy (e.g., Riechers et al. 2011; Hodge et al. 2015; Spilker et al. 2015; Decarli et al. 2016b).

For the 4 sources in our sample with detected CO lines (i.e., UDF1, UDF3, UDF8, ALESS067.1, and also for UDF2, the cold gas emission is equally or more extended than the ALMA B7 and B6 continuum (typically \( R_{\text{CO,ALMA}} \)) \( \gtrsim 1 \)), while it is equal or more compact than the optical emission (see Tab. 6). This is consistent with the size evolution scenario presented in Lapi et al. (2018) according to which the CO emission traces the rotating cold gas phase that, while inflowing towards the central regions of the galaxy, fuels both the
mildly-obscured star formation at larger radii (traced by the emission in the optical) and the highly-obscured star formation in the innermost regions (sampled by the dust continuum in the (sub-)millimeter).

Furthermore, multi-wavelength observations at high spectral and spatial resolution can reveal the presence of nuclear activity. In particular, structure in the CO emission may trace molecular outflows associated with AGN feedback. For example, the X-ray emission properties combined with the double peak CO line profile of UDF8, are strongly suggestive of the presence of nuclear driven outflows. This interpretation is also consistent with the age of UDF8 and its SFR (i.e., $\tau_{\star} \sim 1$ Gyr and SFR $\sim 100$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$; cf. Tab. 5), in the galaxy-BH co-evolution scenario by Mancuso et al. (2016b, 2017).

A more detailed analysis for UDF8 is given in the Appendix A.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have complemented the panchromatic study of the 11 DSFGs, spectroscopically confirmed to be at the peak of Cosmic SFH, presented in Pantoni et al. (2021), by focusing on the ALMA view of the galaxies. We selected the 11 objects in the (sub-)millimeter regime requiring the following criteria to be fulfilled for each galaxy: 3 or more detections in the optical domain; 6 or more detections in the NIR+MIR bands; 2 or more detections in the FIR band; spectroscopically confirmed redshift in the range $1.5 < z < 3$; 1 or more detections and/or upper limits in the radio and X-ray regimes. The sources are located in one of the deepest multi-band field currently available, the GOODS-S. In Pantoni et al. (2021) we exploited the photometry from the X-ray to the radio band to model galaxy SED and extract the main astrophysical properties of the 11 DSFGs (e.g., SFR, stellar mass, stellar attenuation law by dust, dust temperature, IR luminosity, dust and gas mass, AGN fraction).

In this work we exploited the most recent ALMA continuum maps and spectroscopic images of our 11 DSFGs, selected to have the highest spatial and spectral resolution between the ones publicly available in the ALMA Archive. We derived the dust emission size (or just an upper limit in case of scarce spatial resolution) of the 11 DSFGs in our sample; we analyzed the CO $J > 1$ emission lines that we found in the ALMA archive for four of our galaxies (i.e. UDF1, UDF3, UDF8, ALESS067.1) and derived the molecular hydrogen mass; we compare the outcomes in the (sub-)millimeter regime with galaxy emission in the other spectral bands, such as optical, X-ray and radio. Finally we used our findings to put each galaxy in the broader context of galaxy formation and evolution, mainly by referring to the in-situ galaxy-BH co-evolution scenario (e.g., Mancuso et al. 2016a,b, 2017; Lapi et al. 2018; Pantoni et al. 2019). In the following we summarize our main results.

- We derived the ALMA continuum size of our 11 DSFGs by using the ALMA map at higher spatial resolution currently available in the ALMA Archive (ALMA B6, B7, B9). We performed a 2D Gaussian fitting of each source on the science-ready image using the task imfit embedded in the CASA viewer. More than half of the sources (six out of eleven) are resolved and the median physical size is 1.15 kpc. We interpret this radius as a measure of the region where the bulk of dusty star formation is occurring. It results to be very compact and always $< 5$ kpc.
- We compared the ALMA continuum sizes with the HST/WFC3 $H_{160}$ radii (van der Wel et al. 2012). The latter spectral band samples the optical rest-frame emission from stars, and traces the star formation of the galaxy that is mildly obscured by dust or unobscured. We found a median ratio between HST and ALMA sizes of $r_{H}/r_{ALMA} \approx 2.05$ and it is always $> 1$, in accordance e.g. with the prediction by the in-situ scenario for the evolution of high-$z$ massive star forming galaxies (see Lapi et al. 2018) and with other recent works on high-$z$ DSFGs (e.g. Barro et al. 2013, 2016a,b; Ikari et al. 2015; Simpson et al. 2015; Talia et al. 2018; Takagi et al. 2020; Massardi et al. 2018). After correcting for the astrometric shift between $H_{160}$ HST maps and ALMA maps, the bulks of the stellar and dust emission coincide within the uncertainties of the astrometric correction (~ 100 mas) and the beam resolution, for most of the galaxies. An eventual remaining shift tells us that the peaks of optical and (sub-)millimeter emission are not exactly coincident, due to dust obscuration of stellar light.

- We analysed the five CO $J > 1$ emission lines that we found for four of our 11 DSFGs, i.e. UDF1, UDF3, UDF8 and ALESS067.1, and derived the (sub-)millimeter redshift of the sources. The double-peaked spectral line profile of three CO lines, along with their velocity and velocity dispersion maps, are consistent with both a rotating disc of molecular gas and an AGN outflow. In particular, the latter is the case of ALESS067.1, for which we detected two CO lines ($J = 3$ and $J = 6$). The mom1 and mom2 maps suggest the presence of an outflow, even if the associated velocity that is $< 500$ km/s does not allow us to confirm this scenario. ALESS067.1 CO(6-5) line is narrow and could trace also the warm star formation in the galaxy (to confirm this interpretation it is necessary to reconstruct the CO SLED). The narrow single-peaked CO(3-2) line of UDF1 is consistent with a faced-on rotating molecular gas disc.

- We derive the molecular gas mass of UDF1, UDF3, UDF8 and ALESS067.1 from the lower-J CO emission line luminosity, by assuming the CO excitation ladder by Daddi et al. (2015). The median molecular hydrogen gas mass is $M_{H_2} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$. We measured the CO emission size from the distance between the two components peaks (in case of a double peaked profile) or we give just an upper limit on it, since the sources are not resolved in the mom0 maps. The median CO size is of 3.5 kpc. The CO emission extends over an area greater or equal to the dust continuum size.

- We complemented these results by exploiting multi-wavelength images from public catalogs, that allowed us to include in our final interpretation every signature of galaxy merging/interactions and AGN feedback. The compact FIR and radio sizes ($\lesssim 5 $ kpc) of our DSFGs, together with their optical radii ($\sim 2 - 7$ kpc), suggest that the bulk of their star formation can be traced back to in-situ condensation processes. Almost half of our sources shows an optical isolated morphology, while six galaxies (UDF5, UDF10, UDF11, ALESS067.1, AzTEC.GS21, AzTEC.GS22) have more complex (i.e., clumpy or disturbed) morphologies, but still on scales $\sim 5 - 10$ kpc. They may indicate the presence of minor interactions - that can prolong the star formation in the dominant galaxy by refuelling it with gas - or may be just a signature of the ongoing dusty star formation.

- We can state that most of the galaxies in our sample are caught in the gas compaction phase and models predict that they should be quenched by the AGN feedback in $\sim 10^7$ yr. Three objects show some features that can be interpreted as signatures of nuclear activity by the detection of possible AGN-driven molecular outflows (UDF3, UDF8, ALESS067.1). After quenching, galaxy evolution should be mainly driven by stellar populations aging and mass additions by dry merger events. Ultimately, we expect our 11 DSFGs to become compact quiescent galaxies or massive ETGs.

- We gathered together all the evidences coming from galaxy multi-wavelength emission, gas spectroscopy and imaging at highest resolution currently available, along with a possible self-consistent and physical motivated theoretical model describing massive star forming galaxy evolution (e.g., Mancuso et al. 2016a,b, 2017; Lapi et al. 2018; Pantoni et al. 2019). In such a way, we provide a novel
approach in characterizing the individual DSFGs and predicting their subsequent evolution.

- Finally, we stress the need of more sensitive multi-wavelength maps and higher spatial and spectral resolution images in the diverse spectral bands to definitely clarify the relative impact of in-situ processes, galaxy interactions and AGN feedback in determining massive star forming galaxy evolution at high-z and their morphological transformation.
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- GOODS-MUSIC sample: a multi-colour catalog of near-IR selected galaxies in the GOODS-South field (Grazian et al. 2006), available at the link: https://cdsarc.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/449/951 - VizieR DOI: 10.26093/cds/vizier.34490951;
- combined PEP/GOODS-Herschel data of the GOODS fields by Magnelli et al. (2013, https://www.mpe.mpg.de/ir/Research/PEP/DR1) and publicly available at http://www.mpe.mpg.de/ir/Research/PEP/public_data_releases.php (see also Magnelli et al. 2011, https://cdsarc.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/528/A35 - VizieR DOI: 10.26093/cds/vizier.35280035);
- Herschel Multi-tiered Extragalactic Survey: HerMES (Oliver et al. 2014; Hermes Team et al. 2017), publicly available through the Herschel Database in Marseille, HeDaM, at http://hedam.oamp.fr/HerMES and https://cdsarc.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/VIII/95 and https://cdsarc.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/VIII/103 (VizieR);
- Very Large Array 1.4 GHz survey of the Extended Chandra Deep Field South: second data release (Miller et al. 2013a), https://cdsarc.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/ApJS/205/13 - VizieR DOI: 10.26093/cds/vizier.2050013; Very Large Array 6 GHz imaging by Rujopakarn et al. (2016); Project ID VLA/14A-360 (follow-up of the B6 ALMA sources by Dunlop et al. 2017, in the HUDF-S; ADS/JAO.ALMA#2012.1.00173.S);
- Chandra Deep Field-South survey: 7 Ms source catalogs (Luo et al. 2017a), https://cdsarc.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/ApJS/228/2 (VizieR).
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The panchromatic approach already presented in Pantonietal.(2021) and here enriched, by the analysis of multi-wavelength spatially-resolved emission and CO spectral lines, allows us to provide a self-consistent characterization of the 11 DSFGs, that includes their role in the framework of galaxy formation and evolution. In this Appendix we put together all the observational evidences and give an insight on the possible evolutionary scenario for the individual galaxies that are in our sample.

While characterizing galaxy cold gas phase we preferentially use the gas mass measurements from CO spectral lines (when available; see Tab. 6) rather than the ones from dust continuum (Tab. 5), since the latter can miss the gas distributed over scales larger than the dust continuum size (e.g., Riechers et al. 2011; Hodge et al. 2015; Spilker et al. 2015; Decarli et al. 2016b).

Finally, we note to the reader that all the multi-wavelength radii and sizes presented here are circularized (see Eq. (2)).

APPENDIX A: INDIVIDUAL SOURCE ANALYSIS

UDF1 was detected in the ALMA B6 (λ = 1.3 mm) survey (see Tab. 1) at a flux of 0.16 Jy. In the ALMA archival continuum map at λB3mm (source ID: AGS6). Rujopakarn et al. (2016) detected within the errors, with the redshift of the C[II] emission line at 8600 Å (2330 Å rest frame) by Szokoly et al. (2004; HII region-type spectrum). UDF1 was detected in the ALMA B7; see Tab. 2), the source is detected at a

UDF1 is a DSFG at zspec = 2.698 (see Tab. 1). We measured its spectroscopic redshift from a CO(3-2) line (Tab. 4) that is consistent, within the errors, with the redshift of the C[II] emission line at 8600 Å (2330 Å rest frame) by Szokoly et al. (2004; HII region-type spectrum). UDF1 was detected in the ALMA B6 (λ = 1.3 mm) survey by Dunlop et al. (2017) and more recently by Franco et al. (2018) at λ = 1.1 mm (source ID: AGS6). Rujopakarn et al. (2016) detected its radio counterpart with the VLA at 6 GHz. The corresponding fluxes are S1.3mm = 924 ± 76 μJy, S1.1mm = 1.26 ± 0.16 mJy and S6GHz = 9.02 ± 0.57 μJy. In the ALMA archival continuum map at the best spatial resolution currently available for UDF1 (Project code: 2017.1.00001.S, ALMA B7; see Tab. 2), the source is detected at a

A1 UDF1 (J033244.01-274635.2)
The column density $N_{\text{H}}$ (reported here, but also for other sources in our sample, in the following Sections) is derived on the basis of flux ratios in the hard and soft X-ray bands, by assuming a classical AGN slope of 1.8.

2
formation in a few $10^8$ yr. In the SFR–$M_*$ plot, UDF3 lies to the
top-left side of the main-sequence at the corresponding redshift (even
if it is still consistent with the $2\sigma$ scatter of the relation; see
Speagle et al. 2014; Pantoni et al. 2021). A similar result was found by Elbaz
et al. (2018), who classify UDF3 as a starburst galaxy.

A3 UDF5 (J033236.94-274726.8)

UDF5 is a DSFG detected both in the B6 (~ 1.3 mm) ALMA and 6 GHz
VLA maps of the HUDF by Dunlop et al. (2017) and Rujopakarn
et al. (2016). The corresponding fluxes are $S_{1.3 \text{ mm}} = 311 \pm 49 \mu$Jy and
$S_{6 \text{ GHz}} = 6.26 \pm 0.46 \mu$Jy.

As to the source redshift, Momcheva et al. (2016) report a $z_{\text{spec}} =
1.759$, basing on 3D-HST spectroscopy (Tab. 1).

For this millimeter source we do not find any X-ray counterpart
in the literature, neither by the association with the $\approx 7$ Ms X-ray
catalogue by Luo et al. (2017b) or in the supplementary catalog at
very low significance. This may indicate either that no (i.e. not very
powerful) AGN is present or that it is highly obscured (i.e. Compton-
thick, with $N_H \gtrsim 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$). Since the source lies in a very deep
region of the Chandra map (equivalent exposure time of about 6.22
Ms), we think that the most probable hypothesis to explain the non-
detection is the latter. Following the in-situ scenario predictions,
the central BH must have just started to accrete material from the
surroundings; as such, we do not expect to observe any signature of
its activity on the source morphology. Both ALMA and VLA sizes
can provide a good measure of the region where the bulk of star
activity on the source morphology. Both ALMA and VLA sizes and
surroundings; as such, we do not expect to observe any signature of
the central BH must have just started to accrete material from the
UDF5 is in the vicin-
ty of the main-sequence galaxy at the corresponding redshift. This young
galaxy (age ~ 400 Myr) shows a more moderate SFR (~ 80 – 90
$M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) and a more modest stellar mass (a few $10^{10}$ $M_\odot$) com-
pared to the other galaxies in our sample (cf. Tab. 1). The depletion
timescale is $\tau_{\text{depl}} \lesssim 900$ Myr and the dust content is quite high
($M_{\text{dust}} \sim 4 \times 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$). Following the in-situ scenario, we expect
the star-formation to last longer than what is seen for the bulk of high-
z DSFGs ($\tau_{\text{burst}} \sim$ a few $10^9$ yr). This picture can explain why we do not
have any evidence of an active nucleus: less intense burst of star
formation implies a slower BH Accretion History and at the current
galaxy age (i.e. ~ 400 Myr) the central BH is highly obscured in the
X-ray and the emission is still dominated by star formation.

A4 UDF8 (J033239.74-274611.4)

UDF8 was detected both in the B6 (~ 1.3 mm) ALMA and 6 GHz
VLA maps of the HUDF by Dunlop et al. (2017) and Rujopakarn
et al. (2016). The corresponding fluxes are $S_{6 \text{ GHz}} = 208 \pm 46 \mu$Jy and
$S_{6 \text{ GHz}} = 7.21 \pm 0.47 \mu$Jy. The source is resolved both in ALMA
and VLA maps and the corresponding radii are $r_{\text{ALMA}} \sim 4.1$ kpc
(Tab. 2) and $r_{\text{VLA}} \sim 2.1$ kpc. This dusty galaxy, still compact, is
however more extended in the millimeter than the bulk of high-$z$
DSFGs (typical ALMA radius is found to be $\lesssim 1$–$2$ kpc).

In the rest-frame UV/optical the galaxy appears to be isolated (see
Fig. 1 and Tab. 6), suggesting that the bulk of star formation can be
traced back to local, in-situ condensation processes. The galaxy is
more extended in the optical ($r_H \sim 5.7$ kpc) than in the millime-
ter continuum, consistently with what we expect in the gas compa-
tection evolutionary phase. This is confirmed by the double-peaked
spectral profile of its CO(2-1) line and the velocity and velocity
dispersion maps (Fig. 2), that suggest we are observing a rotating
disc of molecular gas extending over an area of radius $r_{\text{CO}} \sim 3.5$
kcpc. From the CO line luminosity we derive a molecular hydrogen
mass $M_{\text{H}_2} = (5.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ that is consistent within
the uncertainties with the one estimated by Decarli et al. (2016), i.e.
$M_{\text{H}_2} \sim 6.5 \times 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$, under the assumption of the same
$\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 3.6$ K km$^2$ s$^{-1}$ M$_{\odot}^{-1}$.

UDF8 is detected with Chandra. We found its X-ray counterpart
(IDX 748) in the $\approx 7$ Ms CDFS survey catalogue by Luo et al.
(2017b). The source $2 \sim 10$ keV luminosity is $3.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$,
suggesting that UDF8 hosts an active galactic nucleus (see Tab. 1),
which dominates over the X-ray emission of the host galaxy (Pantoni
et al. 2021). Even if the galaxy host an X-ray AGN, Rujopakarn et al.
(2016) claim that its contribution to the radio emission is negligible.
Indeed, they find the radio flux at 6 GHz to be consistent with the
star formation alone, as to the radio morphology. From the analysis
of galaxy SED by Pantoni et al. (2021) we obtain the same outcome.

UDF8 is a main-sequence galaxy of age ~ 1 Gyr, forming stars at a
rate SFR ~ 100 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, with a sSFR ~ 1.5 Gyr$^{-1}$ (Pantoni et al. 2021).
We expect the AGN quenching to be very close: eventually, its
effect could be already seen under the shape of outflows and winds
that can affect the multi-band sizes of UDF8 and broaden its CO(2-1)
spectral line profile (with respect to pure disc rotation). To confirm
this scenario we need multi-band images at higher spatial resolution
of the galaxy nuclear region.

A5 UDF10 (J033240.73-274749.4)

UDF10 is a DSFG at $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.086$ (grism redshift by Momcheva
et al. 2016, based on the detection of an optical rest-frame line in the
3D-HST data; Tab. 1).

The galaxy was detected at 3.6$\sigma$ in the ALMA B6 ($S_{6 \text{ GHz}} = 184 \pm
46$ µJy) in the blind-survey of the HUDF by Dunlop et al. (2017).
In the radio (6 GHz VLA Rujopakarn et al. 2016) it is detected at a
significance level < 3$\sigma$, thus only an upper limit of the 6 GHz radio
flux of the source is available, i.e. $S_{6 \text{ GHz}} \lesssim 0.70$ µJy. The source is
not resolved in the ALMA map, but its size must be < 2.5 kpc (Tab.
2). After the astrometric corrections, the ALMA centroid emission
appears to be shifted of ~ 10 mas from the optical peak (Fig. 1; see
also Dunlop et al. 2017). UDF10 optical radius is $r_H \sim 2.0$ kpc (Tab.
1), that is comparable with the upper limit on ALMA size.

UDF10 is detected with Chandra (IDX 756) in the $\approx 7$ Ms CDFS
catalog by Luo et al. (2017b). The source $> 10$ keV luminosity is then
$6 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Luo et al. (2017b) classified the source to be
a normal galaxy (Tab. 1).

Putting together these evidences with the outcomes from the galaxy
SED analysis presented in Pantoni et al. (2021), UDF10 is a $z \sim 2$
main-sequence galaxy, since it overlaps the corresponding relation by Speagle et al. (2014). Quite old (age ~ 1 Gyr) when compared to the other sources of the sample, it is characterized by a less intense burst of star formation, with SFR ~ 40 M_{\odot} yr^{-1} and sSFR is ~ 1–2 Gyr^{-1}. With a slightly smaller dust content (M_{dust} ~ 2 × 10^{8} M_{\odot}) than the majority of DSFGs, possibly its star formation has not triggered yet the activity of the central nucleus, whose power is still too low to have any kind of impact on the host galaxy. Indeed, the emission coming from star formation dominates both the radio and the X-rays (Rujopakarn et al. 2016; Pantoni et al. 2021). However, multi-wavelength images at higher spatial resolution and sensitivity are crucial to confirm (or reject) this scenario.

A6 UDF11 (J033240.06-274755.5)

UDF11 is a DSFG at z_{spec} ~ 2. The most recent redshift measurement comes from the MUSE HUDF project, i.e. z_{spec} = 1.9962 ± 0.0014 (Bacon et al. 2017; Dunlop et al. 2017), in total agreement with the previous deep-spectroscopy by Kurk et al. (2013), who exploited the red-sensitive optical spectrograph FORS2 installed at the Very Large Telescope. UDF11 was detected both in the B6 (~ 1.3 mm) ALMA and 6 GHz VLA maps of the HUDF by Dunlop et al. (2017) and Rujopakarn et al. (2016). The corresponding fluxes are S_{1.3 mm} = 186 ± 46 μJy and S_{6 GHz} = 9.34 ± 0.74 μJy.

The galaxy is resolved both in ALMA and VLA maps. In the millimeter it results to be more extended (r_{ALMA} ~ 3.4 kpc; see Tab. 2) than the bulk of high-z DSFGs (typical ALMA radius is found to be ≤ 1–2 kpc). The radio morphology is well reproduced by a two-components fit: one is spatially coincident with the central ALMA emission (r_{VLA} ~ 3.4 kpc); the other, more compact (r_{VLA} ≈ 0.7 kpc) but shifted to the sides of the central millimeter emission, could indicate the presence of two radio lobes/hot spots, suggesting the presence of a central radio AGN (Rujopakarn et al. 2016). The radio flux at 6 GHz is solely consistent with the ongoing star formation in the host galaxy, as it is confirmed by the SED analysis presented in Pantoni et al. (2021). Basing on these results we can assert that the central nucleus is experiencing the Radio Quiet (RQ) phase, producing jets that are still not dominant in the radio band. This is partially confirmed by the properties of the X-ray source counterpart (IDX 751) in the Chandra 7Ms catalog by Luo et al. (2017b). The intrinsic absorption column density N_{H} ~ 3.79 × 10^{21} cm^{-2} gives an absorption-corrected intrinsic 2–10 keV luminosity of 1.7 × 10^{42} erg s^{-1}. Luo et al. (2017b) do not find any clear evidence to classify the source as AGN (see Tab. 1). However, an X-ray luminosity > 10^{42} erg s^{-1} could indicate that a (small) fraction of the emission may be traced back to the central engine. We expect the galaxy to host a RQ AGN, still accreting material.

Also the HST/WFC3 H_{60} radius is more extended (r_{H} ~ 4.5 kpc; see Tab. 1) than the aforementioned ALMA and VLA sizes. The rest-frame optical morphology is clearly clumpy/disturbed (Fig. 1). This evidence could be traced back to some interactions with the ambient, replenishing with gas the galaxy at large radii and fuelling the star formation (that is still ongoing, even if a signature of AGN feedback is observed in the radio band). Alternatively, the optical clumpy morphology could be simply ascribed to the combination of quenching and host galaxy star formation. Note that AGN driven winds and outflows can locally have a positive impact on star formation, compressing the gas phase and increasing its density at large radii, i.e. r ≥ 1 kpc (e.g., Cresci & Maiolino 2018; Shin et al. 2019). This may have affected the SED derived age of the galaxy (which appears younger) and could justify the still high SFR. Multi-wavelength imaging at higher resolution are crucial to shed light on this respect.

From the SED analysis by Pantoni et al. (2021), the ongoing star formation burst in UDF11 has an age ~ 400 Myr and it is forming stars at a rate SFR ~ 250 M_{\odot} yr^{-1}, with a sSFR ~ 4 Gyr^{-1}. Showing a more modest gas and dust content (i.e., M_{gas} ~ 6 × 10^{9} M_{\odot} and M_{dust} ~ (1.5 × 10^{8} M_{\odot}) than the majority of our DSFGs, UDF11 is characterized by a depletion timescale of τ_{d} ~ 25 Myr. We expect the AGN quenching to be close, as it is also confirmed by the extended radio size of the galaxy and the disturbed morphology in the optical, that may be affected by the energetic of the central nucleus. Indeed, in the SFR–M_{*} plot, UDF11 is indeed almost on the main-sequence at the corresponding redshift.

A7 UDF13 (J033235.07-274647.6)

UDF13 is a DSFG at redshift z_{spec} = 2.497 ± 0.008 (grism redshift by Momcheva et al. 2016, based on the 3D-HST spectroscopy; see Tab. 1).

UDF13 was detected both in the B6 (~ 1.3 mm) ALMA and 6 GHz VLA maps of the HUDF by Dunlop et al. (2017) and Rujopakarn et al. (2016). The corresponding fluxes are S_{1.3 mm} = 174 ± 45 μJy and S_{6 GHz} = 4.67 ± 0.53 μJy. We measure an ALMA B7 continuum flux of S_{7 mm} = 910 ± 170 μJy and give an upper limit on the ALMA size, i.e. < 0.65 kpc (Tab. 2). We note that the optical radius (r_{opt} ~ 1.2 kpc; Tab. 1) is more extended than the upper limit on the millimeter size. In the UV/optical rest-frame (Fig. 1) UDF13 appears as an isolated object with a smooth (i.e. undisturbed) morphology.

UDF13 has a X-ray counterpart (IDX 655) in the 7 Ms Chandra catalog by Luo et al. (2017b) with a 2 – 10 keV luminosity of 1.3 × 10^{42} erg s^{-1}. Luo et al. classify it as AGN (Tab. 1). From the mutual analysis of FIR and radio fluxes of the source, Rujopakarn et al. (2016) found the radio emission to be enhanced by the central AGN detected in the X-ray. This conclusion is derived comparing the observed S_{5 cm}/S_{1.3 mm} flux ratio with the one predicted by the Rieke et al. (2009) IR SED libraries, that are calibrated on local ULIRGs. This calibration on local Universe dusty galaxies along with the SED libraries intrinsic uncertainties might alter significantly the analysis. From the SED fitting and radio analysis presented in Pantoni et al. (2021), the VLA flux is instead consistent with the ongoing star formation in the host galaxy.

The analysis presented in Pantoni et al. (2021) classifies UDF13 a main-sequence galaxy, confirming the outcome by Elbaz et al. (2018). Quite old (age ~ 900 Myr) when compared to the other sources of the sample, UDF13 forms stars at a rate SFR ~ 100 M_{\odot} yr^{-1}, with a sSFR ~ 1.7 Gyr^{-1}. Showing a more modest modest gas and dust content (M_{gas} ~ 5 × 10^{9} M_{\odot} and M_{dust} ~ 1.2 × 10^{8} M_{\odot}) than the majority of our DSFGs, UDF13 is characterized by a depletion timescale of τ_{d} ~ 45 Myr. We expect this galaxy to be soon quenched by the central AGN and to subsequently become a red and dead galaxy.

A8 ALESS067.1 (J033243.19-275514.3)

ALESS067.1 is a DSFG at redshift z_{spec} = 2.1212400.0014 (this work from CO(3-2) spectral line, see Tab. 1). It is consistent with the one measured from a H_{\alpha} line with the Gemini Near-Infrared Spectrograph (GNIRS) by (Kriek et al. 2007), i.e. z_{spec} = 2.122.

The galaxy (sub-)millimeter counterpart was firstly observed by LABOCA (LESS; Weiß et al. 2009) and then by ALMA as a part of the ALESS project (Smail & Walter 2014). It is a compact millimeter
source, characterized by a radius of $r_{\text{ALMA}} \sim 1.1$ kpc (Tab. 1; but see also Thomson et al. 2014; Fujimoto et al. 2017). We found two continuum detection in ALMA B3 and B4 (Project Code: 2016.1.00564.S and 2015.1.00948.S) and we measure the fluxes $S_{B3} = 60 \pm 20 \mu$Jy and $S_{B4} = 190 \pm 70 \mu$Jy.

In the HST/WFC3 H$_{160}$ image (Fig. 1) the galaxy shows an extended (i.e. $r_{\text{H}} \sim 6.5$ kpc; Tab. 1) and disturbed/clumpy morphology (Targett et al. 2013; van der Wel et al. 2012), that could be interpreted either as a signature of an ongoing heavily obscured and intense star formation episode, or as a possible indication of some companions (Targett et al. 2013, claim it is the dominant galaxy of a multiple system). We can gain some indications on the overall picture by analysing the two CO $J > 0$ emission lines detected for ALESS067.1 (see Tab. 3 and Fig. 3). The velocity map (mom1) of the CO(3-2) line show a clear velocity gradient that could indicate the presence of a rotating disc of molecular gas. However, the velocity dispersion map (mom2) shows an evident central peak with a high-velocity tail towards the upper left corner, that could indicate the presence of an outflow, possibly due to a central AGN. Similarly, the double-peaked line profile (Fig. 3) could be ascribed either to the inclination of the rotation plane with respect to the line of sight or to the presence of a double outflow powered by the active nucleus, where one jet is receding and the other is approaching the observer. Other hints can be gained by the analysis of the position-velocity (pv) diagram (Fig. 1); reference axis go through the centre and follows the velocity gradient in the velocity map with an inclination of $\sim 70$ degrees). The asymmetric and disturbed appearance of the pv plot may confirm the presence of a double AGN-driven molecular outflow, with a $|v| \approx 400$ km s$^{-1}$ and a peak of $v \approx 900$ km s$^{-1}$ (light cyan structure, that actually, might be just noise). A clear interpretation requires higher spectral and spatial resolution in the ALMA cube, that would have allowed to model the CO(3-2) kinematics, exploiting e.g., 3D-Barolo (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015), a tool for fitting 3D tilted-ring models to emission-line data cubes that takes into account the effect of beam smearing. However, we note that the presence of a molecular outflow triggered by a central engine is consistent also with the CO(6-5) velocity map (mom1; Fig. 3), that shows a peak at higher velocities (i.e. $\sim 100$ km/s) in the orthogonal direction with respect the CO(3-2) peak in the mom1 map.

Nevertheless, ALESS067.1 was found to host a central obscured X-ray AGN with intrinsic $L_{2-10}$ keV $\approx 3.8 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, corrected for obscuration (Luo et al. 2017b), whose activity could have an impact on the unclear galaxy morphology. The nucleus emission does not emerge significantly neither in the radio or IR domains (Thomson et al. 2014).

In Fig. A2 we show the full SED of ALESS067.1, already studied in Pantoni et al. (2021). Here we complete the modelling by including the two galaxy radio fluxes available for the source (cf. their Tab. 2 Pantoni et al. 2021) in the SED fitting. CIGALE modules in the radio band include synchrotron and free-free emission. The resulting stellar mass $M_{\star} = (2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{11}$ $M_{\odot}$ and star formation rate SFR $= 485 \pm 24$ $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ place the source on the main-sequence of star-forming galaxies at the corresponding redshift (e.g. Speagle et al. 2014). An almost constant SFH (e.g. Mancuso et al. 2016b) leads to a burst age of $\approx 0.9$ Gyr. The quite huge dust content ($M_{\text{dust}} = (5 \pm 2) \times 10^{8}$ $M_{\odot}$) is consistent both with predictions from theory (e.g. Popping et al. 2017; Pantoni et al. 2019) and measurements on statistical sample of DSFGs (e.g. Magdis et al. 2012). We find a radio spectral index $\alpha = 0.7 \pm 0.1$ and a FIR/radio flux ratio $q_{\text{IR}} = 2.5 \pm 0.1$, in total agreement with the findings by Ibar et al. (2009, 2010) and Thomson et al. (2014) for statistical samples of SMGs.

We derive ALESS067.1 molecular hydrogen mass from the CO(3-2) line luminosity as described in Sect. 4.1, i.e. $M_{\text{H}_2} = (1.68 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{11}$ $M_{\odot}$, while its dust mass is $M_{\text{dust}} \sim 1 \times 10^{9}$ $M_{\odot}$ (Tab. 1). The depletion timescale is $\tau_{\text{depl}} \sim 350$ Myr, in agreement with typical values found in literature for high-z DSFGs Casey et al. (2014), e.g.).

Multiple evidences (such as its X-ray $2-10$ keV luminosity, that is $> 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and the eventual molecular outflow observed in the B3 ALMA map, mentioned before) suggest a forthcoming quenching of star formation in the host galaxy by the AGN.

In order to surely identify (eventual) interactions with minor companions and/or the surrounding ambient and to understand their role and the role of the active nucleus in shaping ALESS067.1 evolution, we need multi-wavelength images at higher sensitivity and spatial/spectral resolution. However, even if we accept the scenario in which the clumpy morphology of the galaxy in the optical is an evidence of an interacting multiple system, we do not expect the presented picture to be significantly altered, since ALESS067.1 is thought to be by far the dominant object (Targett et al. 2013).
A9 AzTEC.GS25 (J033246.83-275120.9)
AzTEC.GS25 is a DSFG galaxy firstly detected at 1.1 mm with AzTEC/ASTE (S1.1 mm = 1.9 ± 0.6 mJy). For this source, Popesso et al. (2009) report a grism redshift $z_{\text{spec}} = 2.292 ± 0.001$ (Tab. 1), based on the detection of rest-frame optical line by the VLT/VIMOS spectroscopy.

We found an ALMA counterpart of the AzTEC source in the DANCING ALMA catalogue by Fujimoto et al. (2017, source ID: 661). The measured a total flux in the ALMA B7 of $S_{1 mm} = 5.9±0.5$ mJy (Tab. 2), in total accordance with the ALMA Band 7 flux by Cowie et al. (2018), i.e. $S_{850 \mu m} = 5.9±0.18$ mJy (source ID: no; 6 name ALMA033246-275120). Both the millimetre and optical counterparts are resolved and compact. The ALMA radius is $r_{\text{ALMA}} \sim 1.2$ kpc, while the optical radius is slightly larger, i.e. $r_{\text{opt}} \sim 1.8$ kpc (tabs. 1 and 2). The smooth morphology of the ALMA continuum and optical emission of the galaxy suggest that this object must be isolated. These evidences support the scenario in which the bulk of star formation can be traced back to local, in-situ condensation processes.

A9 AzTEC.GS25 has a X-ray counterpart (IDX 844) in the $\approx 7$ Ms Chandra catalogue by Luo et al. (2017b). The intrinsic column density $N_H \sim 8.2 \times 10^{25} \text{cm}^{-2}$ gives an absorption-corrected intrinsic 2–10 keV luminosity of $6 \times 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. From the analysis of its X-ray emission, Luo et al. (2017b) classified the source as AGN (Tab. 1).

Yun et al. (2012) found a radio (unresolved) counterpart of the AzTEC source in the deep ($\sigma \approx 8 \mu Jy$) VLA 1.4 GHz imaging survey by Kellermann et al. (2008) and Miller et al. (2013b). The corresponding radio flux is $S_{1.4 \text{GHz}} = 89.5 \pm 6.2 \mu Jy$, and it is consistent with the host galaxy star formation activity. The red IRAC/MIPS source associated with radio emission GS25a is located only 6.8 kpc, while the optical radius is slightly larger, i.e. $r_{\text{opt}} \sim 1.8$ kpc (tabs. 1 and 2). The smooth morphology of the ALMA continuum and optical emission of the galaxy suggest that this object must be isolated. These evidences support the scenario in which the bulk of star formation can be traced back to local, in-situ condensation processes.

A10 AzTEC.GS21 (J033247.59-274452.3)
AzTEC.GS21 is a DSFG galaxy at $z_{\text{spec}} = 1.910 ± 0.001$ (grism redshift by Vanzella et al. 2008, measured with the FORS2 spectrograph (ESO/VLT), ESO/GOODS spectroscopic campaign program in the GOODS-S field). It was firstly detected at 1.1 mm with AzTEC/ASTE (S1.1 mm = 2.7$^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$ mJy). This photometric data was not included in the Sed analysis by Pantoni et al. (2021) since this flux is probably contaminated by other (sub-)millimeter sources in the neighborhood. Indeed, the $H_{160}$ image of its optical counterpart is disturbed (Fig. 1) and Targett et al. (2013) claim that AzTEC.GS21 is the primary dominant component of a multiple system. The authors measure an effective $H_{160}$ radius of $r_{\text{eff}} \sim 2.6$ kpc and a Sérsic index $n_{H} = 1.3$ (close to a disk-like profile). A more recent analysis on the HST image was performed by van der Wel et al. (2012), who find a circularized $H_{160}$ radius $r_{\text{H}} \sim 3.7$ kpc. In this work we have exploited the latter result.

The source was detected by ALMA in B7 (at $\lambda = 850 \mu m$) by Cowie et al. (2018), measuring a flux $S_{850 \mu m} = 3.6 \pm 0.3$ mJy (source ID: no; 20; name ALMA033247-274452). Hatsukade et al. (2018) measured the source flux in the ALMA B6, reporting the value $S_{86} = 1.86 \pm 0.32$ mJy. We found a $>5\sigma$ continuum detection for this source in the ALMA B9 ($\lambda = 400 - 500$ $\mu$m), measuring a flux $S_{90} = 12 \pm 1$ mJy (Tab. 2). AzTEC.GS21 is not resolved in the ALMA maps. For this reason we chose the map with the best spatial resolution available in the ALMA Archive in order to give at least an upper limit on its size, i.e. $r_{\text{ALMA}} < 0.7$ kpc, that we use to trace the bulk of dusty star formation occurring in the galaxy.

The AzTEC source has a radio (unresolved) counterpart (GS21a) in the deep ($\sigma \approx 8 \mu Jy$) VLA map at 1.4 GHz by Kellermann et al. (2008) and Miller et al. (2013b). The corresponding radio flux is $S_{1.4 \text{GHz}} = 43.6 \pm 6.3 \mu Jy$, that we found to be consistent with the radio emission coming from the host galaxy star formation (Pantoni et al. 2021).

The AzTEC source has a X-ray counterpart (IDX 852) in the catalog by Luo et al. (2017b). The intrinsic absorption column density $N_H \sim 2.27\times10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ gives an absorption-corrected intrinsic 2–10 keV luminosity of $1.7 \times 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. Luo et al. (2017b) classified the source as AGN (Tab. 1), even if its X-ray luminosity does not clearly emerge from the host galaxy one (Pantoni et al. 2021).

The Sed analysis by Pantoni et al. (2021) presents AzTEC.GS21 as a main-sequence galaxy. The galaxy is forming stars at a rate SFR $\sim 350 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, with a sSFR $\sim 2 \text{Gyr}^{-1}$. Massive ($M_{\text{gas}} \sim 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$), gas and dust rich ($M_{\text{gas}} \sim 5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$; $M_{\text{dust}} \sim 6 \times 10^8 M_\odot$), AzTEC.GS21 is characterized by a depletion timescale of $\tau_{\text{dep}} \sim 140$ Myr. Notice that its star formation could be fuelled (also) by interactions with some objects in the vicinity, since some works indicate it to be the central, dominant component of a multiple system (Targett et al. 2013). However, the clumpy/disturbed morphology of the source in the optical band may also trace the condensation process of the gas phase towards the center of the galaxy where the dusty star formation is occurring on a radius $< 0.7$ kpc. Even accounting the former scenario, we do not expect eventual interactions to have an important impact on the galaxy subsequent evolution, except for prolonging the star-formation, since AzTEC.GS21 should dominate by far the gravitational potential of the system (Targett et al. 2013). We expect the galaxy to be quenched by the central AGN in some hundreds of Myr and subsequently become a red and dead galaxy. Clearly, to confirm the right scenario for the evolution of AzTEC.GS21 we need deeper multi-wavelength images and at higher resolution.

A11 AzTEC.GS22 (J033212.55-274306.1)
AzTEC.GS22 is a DSFG galaxy firstly detected at 1.1 mm with AzTEC/ASTE (S1.1 mm = 2.1 ± 0.6 mJy). Yun et al. (2012) found a red IRAC/MIPS counterpart for the AzTEC source, with a spectroscopic redshift of $z_{\text{spec}} = 1.794 ± 0.005$ (Tab. 1 Wuyts et al. 2009; Targett et al. 2013), that we adopt in this work.

We found a $>5\sigma$ continuum detection for AzTEC.GS22 in the ALMA B9 ($\lambda = 400 - 500$ $\mu$m) and we measured a flux $S_{0.45 mm} = 5.8 \pm 0.8$ mJy (Tab. 2), that was essential to constrain the dusty peak of galaxy SED in Pantoni et al. (2021), since the lack of the Herschel 500 $\mu$m photometric point. The ALMA source is not resolved in the continuum B9 thus we provide just an upper limit on its size, i.e. $r_{\text{ALMA}} < 1.7$ kpc, tracing the bulk of dusty star formation.

The most recent analysis of the optical emission of AzTEC.GS22 was performed by van der Wel et al. (2012), who measured an optical size of $r_{\text{opt}} \sim 3.2$ kpc, that is more extended than the dust continuum emission (at least of a factor 2).

The faint radio source GS22a, located 7.8 arcsec away from the
AzTEC centroid, is adopted in literature as the most likely counterpart at 1.4 GHz (Yun et al. 2012; Dunlop et al. 2017). Moreover in Pantoni et al. (2021) we found that the VLA flux at 1.4 GHz ($S_{1.4\,\text{GHz}} = 34.6 \pm 6.5 \, \mu\text{Jy}$) can be traced back solely to galaxy star formation.

From the SED analysis of AzTEC.GS22 (cf. Tab. 5), we obtain that the object is a main sequence galaxy. The burst of ongoing star formation in this object is almost 1 Gyr old (age $\sim 900 - 1000$ Myr) and it is forming stars at a rate $\sim 90 - 100$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Massive ($M_\star \sim 6 \times 10^{10}$ M$_\odot$), gas and dust rich ($M_{\text{gas}} \sim 5 \times 10^{10}$ M$_\odot$; $M_{\text{dust}} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{9}$ M$_\odot$), AzTEC.GS22 is characterized by a depletion timescale of $t_{\text{depl}} \sim 550$ Myr. The huge content of gas and dust could be ascribed simply to its past star formation activity and/or to some kind of interactions (e.g., gas stripping/harassment) with possible companions observed in the optical by Targett et al. (2013). We do not expect these interactions to have a huge impact on the galaxy, since it must dominate the potential well of the multiple system. To confirm this scenario we need high-resolution multi-wavelength imaging. Indeed, the clumpy/disturbed optical morphology, extending over a radius of a few kpcs, could also be interpreted as a signature of the intense dusty star formation of the galaxy.

In the literature we did not find any X-ray counterpart for the millimeter source, neither in the deep X-ray catalogue by Luo et al. (2017b). Since the source lies in a very deep region of the Chandra map (equivalent exposure times of $\gtrsim 5$ Ms), we think that the most probable hypothesis to explain the non-detection is that the source is totally obscured in the X-ray. Again, to confirm this interpretation we need spatially-resolved images of the system, at least in the radio and millimeter bands, together with a deeper follow-up in the X-ray.