Orbital angular momentum interference of trapped matter waves
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We introduce a matter wave interference scheme based on the quantization of orbital angular momentum in a ring trap. It operates without beam splitters, is sensitive to geometric phases induced by external gauge fields, and allows measuring interatomic scattering lengths. We argue that orbital angular momentum interferometry offers a versatile platform for quantum coherent experiments with cold atoms and Bose-Einstein condensates using state-of-the-art technology.

Introduction — Trapped interference experiments [1–8] are promising platforms for the next generation of force and acceleration sensors. Guiding matter waves enables atom interferometers with long interrogation times, while providing considerable freedom for choosing the geometry [9–12]. Toroidal traps are particularly attractive for fundamental quantum experiments [13–18] and for precision sensing [19–21] with ultracold gases or fluids. The ring geometry implies that the orbital angular momentum of the revolving particles is conserved. As argued in the following, its fundamental quantization can be exploited to realize trapped interference schemes requiring no beam splitters.

We note that the free quantum dynamics in a ring geometry exhibit quantum revivals. An initially well-localized wave packet quickly disperses along the ring on a timescale determined by the orbital angular momentum spread. Only after a much longer quantum revival time, which is independent of the initial state, does the localized wave packet briefly reappear due to the quantization of orbital angular momentum [22]. Similar revival effects are encountered in the orientation of revolving molecules [23–25], and they have been proposed for electromagnetic pulse shaping in semiconductors [26] as well as for macroscopic quantum superposition tests with nanorotors [27].

Here, we propose an interference scheme which exploits the brief emergence of a balanced superposition at half the revival time. By imprinting a relative phase on the superposition, one can coherently control at which antinode the wave packet reappears after the full revival time. The presence of an additional gauge field induces a rotation of the revival determined by the accumulated geometric phase. In contrast to many existing proposals for interference in ring traps [19, 28, 29], orbital angular momentum interference does not rely on atomic spin states or collective excitations. It is thus applicable to all matter-wave experiments with a toroidal geometry, ranging from electrons in solid state quantum rings [30] to nanoparticles in optomechanical traps [31]. Here we discuss the special case of optically trapped atomic clouds or Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), and show that this scheme is sufficiently resilient to be realizable with state-of-the-art technology.

Interference scheme — In order to explain the interference scheme we first consider the idealized case of a point particle of mass $m$ confined to a circle of radius $R$. Its Hamiltonian reads $H = L_z^2/2mR^2$. Since the eigenvalues of the orbital angular momentum operator $L_z$ are integer multiples of $\hbar$, with eigenstates $|\ell\rangle$, the time evolution operator $U_0(t) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(-i\hbar \ell^2/2mR^2) |\ell\rangle\langle \ell|$ is unity for all even multiples of the revival time

$$T_{\text{rev}} = \frac{2\pi m R^2}{\hbar}. \quad (1)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the evolution for the revival time performs a $\pi$ rotation, $U_0(nT_{\text{rev}}) = \exp(in\pi L_z/\hbar)$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In a similar fashion, free evolution for $T_{\text{rev}}/2$ acts as a beam splitter, preparing a balanced superposition of the initial state and its $\pi$-rotated version [24, 32],

$$U_0 \left( \frac{T_{\text{rev}}}{2} \right) = \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbb{1} + i e^{i\pi L_z/\hbar} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the unity operator.

An initially tightly confined wave packet thus first disperses on a short timescale determined by its initial angular momentum uncertainty. The state then remains delocalized over the ring for most of time, showing fractional revivals such as Eq. (2) at fractions of the revival time. The lifetime of these fractional and full revivals is determined by the initial dispersion time, and is thus typically orders of magnitude smaller than the revival time itself.

The dynamical beam splitting described by (2) is exploited by the following interference scheme; see Fig. 1(a): The particle is initially prepared in a well-localized state $|\psi_0\rangle$. After dispersing on a short timescale, the localized state reappears at half of the revival time in a balanced superposition $(|\psi_0\rangle + i |\psi_\ell\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, with the $\pi$-rotated initial state $|\psi_\ell\rangle = \exp(i\pi L_z/\hbar)|\psi_0\rangle$. Then a relative phase $\varphi$ is induced between the two wave packets, for instance gravitationally by tilting the ring, optically via laser illumination, or in the case of an atomic cloud via magnetic control of the scattering length. After imprinting the phase, the state evolves freely for another
The unitary time evolution operator becomes thus modifying the free time evolution of the matter \( \Phi = \mathcal{R} \), coupling and final gauge fields. If the field \( \Phi = \mathcal{R} \), controls final population imbalance at the antipodes \( \Phi = \mathcal{R} \), after short period of time in a balanced superposition of the original momentum interference effect. A localized wave packet (a) is rotated with respect to the field-free case (gray) by an angle \( \gamma \Phi / \hbar \), equal to the Aharonov-Bohm phase \( \Phi = \mathcal{R} \) [see Eq. (3)].

\[
T_{rev}/2, \text{ yielding the final state } |\psi_f\rangle = \cos(\varphi/2) |\psi_x\rangle + i \sin(\varphi/2) |\psi_0\rangle. \text{ The final position of the particle is thus determined interferometrically.}
\]

\text{Gauge fields and external potentials} — The interference effect depends sensitively on the interaction with external gauge fields. If the field \( \mathbf{A}(r) \) is minimally coupled to the canonical angular momentum \( \mathbf{L}_z \), the kinetic angular momentum is \( \mathbf{L}_z - \gamma \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A}(\hat{a}) \). Here \( \gamma \) is the gauge coupling and \( A(\alpha) = \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{R} \mathbf{e}_\rho (\alpha)) \cdot \mathbf{e}_\varphi (\alpha) \) is the azimuthal component of the gauge field evaluated at the angular position \( \alpha \).

The presence of \( \mathbf{A}(r) \) implies a gauge-invariant flux \( \Phi = \int d\mathbf{a} A(\alpha) \) piercing the ring interferometer and thus modifying the free time evolution of the matter wave. The unitary time evolution operator becomes

\[
U_{\Phi}(t) = \mathcal{V} \exp \left( i \frac{\gamma \Phi}{\hbar} t \frac{L_z}{T_{rev}} \right) U_0(t) V^\dagger, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \mathcal{V} = \exp \left( -i \gamma \Phi \hat{a}/2\pi \hbar + i \gamma R/\hbar \int_0 t \hat{a} \mathbf{A}(\alpha') \right) \) can always be set to unity by choosing an appropriate gauge (symmetric gauge in the case of a constant field). Thus, a finite flux induces a rotation of the recurred wave packet by the Aharonov-Bohm-type phase \( \gamma \Phi / \hbar \).

For example, if the particles are electrically charged, \( \gamma = q, \) a magnetic flux \( \Phi \) through the ring will shift the energy levels \([32, 33]\) causing the wave packet to rotate. In a similar fashion, the Aharonov-Casher phase \([34]\) can be measured if a magnetic dipole \( \mathbf{m} = m_0 \mathbf{e}_z \) evolves in presence of the electrostatic field \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R} \mathbf{e}_\rho) = 0 \mathbf{e}_\rho \) produced by a line charge. In this case one has \( \mathbf{A} = m_0 \mathbf{E}/\epsilon^2 \), implying \( \gamma \Phi = 2\pi R m_0 / \epsilon^2 \). Likewise, geometric phases can result for a permanent or induced electric dipole \( \mathbf{p} \) in a magnetostatic field \( \mathbf{B} \), so that \( \gamma \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{B} \) \([35]\), or for a massive particle in a non-inertial frame rotating with angular frequency \( \omega \) around the trap center, so that \( \gamma \mathbf{A} = mR^2 \omega \).

The presence of a weak external potential \( V(\alpha) = V_0 \cos(\alpha - \alpha_0) \), such as that arising from a constant tilt of the ring, leads to phase dispersion. To leading order in \( V_0 \), the energies are shifted by

\[
\Delta E_{\ell}^{(pot)} \approx \frac{m R^2 V_0^2}{4 \hbar^2} \left( \ell^2 - 1 \right)^{-1}. \tag{4}
\]

Since this is not proportional to \( \ell^2 \), a conservative torque affects the shape of the recurred wave packet. This is in contrast to gauge fields, which only shift the position of the revival.

\text{Revivals in 3D torus traps} — The evolution of a particle in a real-world (three-dimensional) torus trap differs from the idealized situation described so far. The dynamics transverse to the ring tangent affect the angular dynamics even if the transverse motion remains in its ground state, since the centrifugal force distorts the level spacing. Shape imperfections and excitations of the transverse degrees of freedom can further affect the interference. We will show next that the proposed orbital angular momentum interference protocol is nevertheless surprisingly robust and remains feasible for realistic trap geometries.

To study the dynamics in a real-world torus trap, we expand the full 3D Hamiltonian of a particle in a torus trap and consider leading-order corrections in the transverse size of the wave packet. For this sake, we use a Frenet-Serret coordinate system \((s, u, v)\) with arc length \( s \) and two transverse coordinates \( u, v \). Thus, the position vector is \( \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{R}(s) + s \mathbf{n}(s) + v \mathbf{b}(s) \), where \( \mathbf{R}(s) \) traces the center line of the torus trap, while \( \mathbf{n}(s) = \mathbf{R}''(s)/\kappa \) and \( \mathbf{b}(s) = \mathbf{R}'(s) \times \mathbf{n}(s) \) span the transverse plane at each position \([36, 37]\). Here, \( \kappa = |\mathbf{R}''(s)| \) is the curvature, where prime denotes derivative with respect to \( s \).

Since the new coordinate system \((s, u, v)\) is curved, coordinate-space normalization of the wave function includes the root of the metric determinant (Jacobian) \( h \). Expressing the latter as \( h = 1 - \kappa u \) and assuming that the trapping potential is separable in the transverse
direction yields the Hamiltonian [36, 37]
\[
H_s = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ \partial_s^2 + \frac{\kappa'' u^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa' u}{2} + \partial_u^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{4\hbar^2} + \frac{5(\hbar')^2}{4\hbar^2} - \frac{\hbar''}{2\hbar^2} \right] + V_u(u) + V_c(v),
\]
(5)
which acts on the rescaled wave function \( \chi = \sqrt{\hbar} \psi \).

If the radially confining potential is harmonic with frequency \( \omega_R \) and assuming that centrifugal distortions and small deviations from the ideal circular trap can be described by expanding the Hamiltonian to first order in the small quantities \( \kappa_\sigma, \kappa'_\sigma / \kappa, \) and \( \kappa''_\sigma / \kappa^2 \) (with \( \sigma_u = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_R} \) the width of the transverse ground state),
\[
H_s \approx -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ (1 + 2\kappa u) \left( \partial_u^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \right) + 2\kappa' u (1 + 3\kappa u) \partial_s + \frac{\kappa'' u}{2} + \partial_u^2 + \frac{m^2 \omega_R^2}{2} u^2 + V_c(v) \right].
\]
(6)

**Centrifugal energy corrections** — For an ideal torus where \( \kappa = 1/R \) the stationary Schrödinger equation becomes separable. It admits solutions of the form
\[
\chi_{\ell kn}(s, u, v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \int ds / R \xi_{\ell k}(u) \Psi_n(v),
\]
(7)
with eigenenergies \( E_{\ell kn} = \hbar^2 \ell^2 / 2mR^2 + E_{\ell k}(u) + E_n(v) \) where \( k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Here, \( \Psi_n(v) \) are normalized eigenstates of the harmonic motion out of the ring plane, whose eigenenergies \( E_n(v) \) are independent of \( \ell \) and thus do not affect the revival structure of the matter wave.

The radially confining harmonic potential in the Schrödinger equation for \( \xi_{\ell k}(u) \) is centrifugally shifted by \( u_e = \hbar^2 \left( \ell^2 - 1/4 \right) / m^2 \omega_R^2 R^3 \),
\[
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_u^2 + \frac{m^2 \omega_R^2}{2} u^2 + 2u u_e \right] \xi_{\ell k}(u) = E_{\ell k}^{(u)} \xi_{\ell k}(u).
\]
(8)
Thus, the eigenenergies
\[
E_{\ell k}^{(u)} = \hbar \omega_R \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{\hbar^4}{2m^3 \omega_R^4 R^6} \left( \ell^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right)^2,
\]
(9)
are lowered due to the centrifugal barrier.

The \( \ell \) dependence in the eigenenergies (9) can shift and diminish the revival. Specifically, the \( \ell^2 \) term in Eq. (9) delays the revival without affecting its visibility, while the \( \ell^4 \) correction decreases the fidelity of the revival and may further modify the revival time. The optimal recurrence time can be determined numerically from this equation.

**Shape imperfections** — In practice, deviations from the perfect circular shape of the torus trap are the most important source of imperfections for optical traps. In particular, residual astigmatism in the focusing optics may introduce a finite ellipticity to the trap, which can be quantified with the help of (6).

We replace the arc length with the eccentric anomaly \( \beta \in [-\pi, \pi] \) used for the standard parametrization of the ellipse. Thus, \( \partial_\beta = h_\beta^{-1}(\beta) \partial_\beta/R \), where \( R = R_0 \) and \( \varepsilon \) are the semimajor and the eccentricity and \( h_\beta(\beta) = \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2 \cos^2 \beta} \) is the Jacobi determinant of the ellipse. In lowest order of \( \varepsilon \), the Hamiltonian reads as \( H_3 = h_\beta^{1/2} \) \( H_\beta^{(0)} + \varepsilon_\beta^2 H_\beta^{(e)} \), where \( H_\beta^{(0)} \) describes the motion on the circle and
\[
H_\beta^{(e)} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4mR^2} \left[ 1 + 3u/R + \left( 1 + 5u/R \right) \cos(2\beta) \right] \partial_\beta^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2mR^2} \left( 1 + \frac{5u}{R} + \frac{9u^2}{R^2} \right) \sin(2\beta) \partial_\beta - \frac{\hbar^2}{16mR^2} \left[ 1 + 3u/R - \left( 1 + \frac{11u}{R} \right) \cos(2\beta) \right] \partial_\beta^2.
\]
(10)
This implies that the eccentricity-induced energy shift reads in first order perturbation theory
\[
\Delta E^{(e)} = \frac{\hbar^2 \varepsilon^2}{8\pi mR^2} \left( 1 + 3u/R \right) \left( \ell^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right).
\]
(11)
Here we expressed the position expectation value of the radial state by the centrifugal shift of the harmonic potential (8), \( \langle u \rangle = -u_e \). The first-order influence of a finite eccentricity is thus to decrease the revival time, while further diminishing the revival due to the \( \ell \) dependence of the radial potential minimum \( u_\ell \).

**Implementation with BECs** — We are now in a position to argue that the orbital angular momentum interference scheme can be realistically carried out with weakly interacting BECs in an optical torus trap. For concreteness, we consider a condensate of \( ^{39} \text{K} \) in a trap formed by two coaxial Gaussian beams, one repulsive and one attractive, intersected with an attractive light sheet, as in Ref. [19]. The wavelengths of the red- and blue-detuned laser beams are assumed to be 830 and 532 nm, respectively, with powers of 2 and 2.5 mW as well as waists of 13 and 5.5 \( \mu \)m. The light sheet with the same wavelength as the red-detuned laser has a power of 10 mW and waists of 5 and 200 \( \mu \)m, so that the trap radius is \( R \approx 5.9 \mu \)m and the transverse confining frequency \( \omega_R \approx 6.4 \) kHz. The necessary coherence time of \( T_\text{rev} \approx 135 \) ms is experimentally within reach [8].

Figure 2 shows the simulated dynamics of the orbital angular momentum interference protocol for (a) a noninteracting and (b) a weakly interacting BEC of \( N = 2 \times 10^4 \) \(^{39} \text{K} \) atoms. We assume in both cases that the Feshbach resonances of \(^{39} \text{K} \) [38] are used to make the interactions (a) negligibly small or (b) equivalent to a scattering length of one Bohr radius. The tightly confined initial wave packet, loaded from three-dimensional harmonic trap of frequency \( \omega_R \), quickly disperses around the torus. It then reappears in a superposition after approximately 65 ms. The presence of interactions diminishes the revival signal. However, even at a realistic
transverse confinement and interaction strength, the effect is still clearly visible in the population imbalance displayed in panel (c). The latter shows that the interference visibility exhibits almost the ideal dependence on the imprinted phase. The numerical calculations are displayed in panel (c). The latter shows that the interference effect is sensitive to the presence of gauge fields. In the presence of a magnetic field flux $\Phi$, for instance, the revival of particles with charge $q$ will be displaced by the angle $\Phi/\hbar$. Assuming that displacements on the size of the initial wave packet can be angularly resolved, fields below $10^{-7}$ T level can be detected with the setup described above.
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