Criterion of unrecognizability of a finite group by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph

Peter J. Cameron* and Natalia V. Maslova†‡

Dedicated to the memory of Jan Saxl

Abstract

The Gruenberg–Kegel graph Γ(G) associated with a finite group G is an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges whose vertices are the prime divisors of |G| and in which vertices p and q are adjacent in Γ(G) if and only if G contains an element of order pq. This graph has been the subject of much recent interest; one of our goals here is to give a survey of some of this material, relating to groups with the same Gruenberg–Kegel graph. However, our main aim is to prove several new results. Among them are the following.

• There are infinitely many finite groups with the same Gruenberg–Kegel graph as the Gruenberg–Kegel of a finite group G if and only if there is a finite group H with non-trivial solvable radical such that Γ(G) = Γ(H).

• There is a function F on the natural numbers with the property that if a finite n-vertex graph whose vertices are labelled by pairwise distinct primes is the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of more than F(n) finite groups, then it is the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of infinitely many finite groups. (The function we give satisfies F(n) = O(n^7), but this is not best possible.)

• If a finite graph Γ whose vertices are labelled by pairwise distinct primes is the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of only finitely many finite groups, then all such groups are almost simple; moreover, Γ has at least three pairwise non-adjacent vertices, and each vertex is non-adjacent to at least one other vertex, in particular, 2 is non-adjacent to at least one odd vertex.

• Groups whose power graphs, or commuting graphs, are isomorphic have the same Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

• The groups 2G_2(27) and E_8(2) are uniquely determined by the isomorphism types of their Gruenberg–Kegel graphs.
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In addition, we consider groups whose Gruenberg–Kegel graph has no edges. These are the groups in which every element has prime power order, and have been studied under the name EPPO groups; completing this line of research, we give a complete list of such groups.

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper we consider only finite groups and simple graphs, and henceforth the term group means finite group, the term graph means simple graph (undirected graph without loops and multiple edges).

Let $G$ be a group. Denote by $\pi(G)$ the set of all prime divisors of the order of $G$ and by $\omega(G)$ the spectrum of $G$, that is, the set of all its element orders. The set $\omega(G)$ defines the Gruenberg–Kegel graph (or the prime graph) $\Gamma(G)$ of $G$; in this graph the vertex set is $\pi(G)$, and distinct vertices $p$ and $q$ are adjacent if and only if $pq \in \omega(G)$.

The concept of Gruenberg–Kegel graph appeared in the unpublished manuscript [41] by K. Gruenberg and O. Kegel, where they have characterized groups with disconnected Gruenberg–Kegel graph. This result was published later in the paper [108] by J. Williams, who was a student of K. Gruenberg, and now this theorem is well-known as the Gruenberg–Kegel Theorem (see Lemma 2.3 in section 2). The concept of Gruenberg–Kegel graph proved to be very useful with connection to research of some cohomological questions in integral group rings: the augmentation ideal of an integral group ring is decomposable as a module if and only if the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of the group is disconnected (see [42]).

Later connected components of Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of simple groups were described. J. Williams [108] has obtained this description for all simple groups except simple groups of Lie type in characteristic 2. Connected components of Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of simple groups of Lie type in characteristic 2 were described by A. S. Kondrat’ev [65], later this result was obtained independently by N. Iyori and H. Yamaki [47, 48]. Unfortunately, all the papers [108, 65, 47, 48] contain rather serious inaccuracies. Most of these inaccuracies was corrected in [73], and then the corrections were finished in [66]. Now the correct description of connected components of Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of simple groups can be found, for example, in [5] or in [50]; finite simple groups $P$ with at least 4 connected components of Gruenberg–Kegel graph can be found in section 2 of this paper, see Table 1. Criteria of adjacency of vertices in Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of simple groups were obtained by A. V. Vasil’ev and E. P. Vdovin in [106] with some corrections in [107]. Moreover, at this moment all the cases of coincidence of Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of a simple group and its proper subgroup are described by the second author [50] and, independently, by T. Burness and E. Covato [16]. In section 2 we provide some known properties of Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of groups as well as some facts from group theory and number theory which we use to prove the main results of this paper.

This paper aims to discuss the questions:

• Which groups $G$ are uniquely determined by their Gruenberg–Kegel graph?
• For which groups are there only finitely many groups with the same Gruenberg–Kegel graph as $G$?

• Which groups $G$ are uniquely determined by isomorphism type of their Gruenberg–Kegel graph?

The concept of Gruenberg–Kegel graph and the Gruenberg–Kegel Theorem proved very useful for recognition questions of a group by its spectrum. It is easy to see that for groups $G$ and $H$, if $G \cong H$, then $\omega(G) = \omega(H)$; and if $\omega(G) = \omega(H)$, then $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$; if $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$, then $\pi(G) = \pi(H)$ and $\Gamma(G)$ and $\Gamma(H)$ are isomorphic as abstract graphs. The converse does not hold in each case, as the following series of examples demonstrates (see, for example, [20]):

• $S_5 \not\cong S_6$ but $\omega(S_5) = \omega(S_6)$;
• $\omega(A_5) \not= \omega(A_6)$ but $\Gamma(A_5) = \Gamma(A_6)$;
• $\Gamma(A_{10}) \not= \Gamma(\text{Aut}(J_2))$ but $\Gamma(A_{10})$ and $\Gamma(\text{Aut}(J_2))$ are isomorphic as abstract graphs and $\pi(A_{10}) = \pi(\text{Aut}(J_2))$, see the picture below.

We say that the group $G$ is

• **recognizable** by its spectrum (Gruenberg–Kegel graph, respectively) if for each group $H$, $\omega(G) = \omega(H)$ ($\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$, respectively) if and only if $G \cong H$;

• **$k$-recognizable** by spectrum (Gruenberg–Kegel graph, respectively), where $k$ is a non-negative natural number, if there are exactly $k$ pairwise non-isomorphic groups with the same spectrum (Gruenberg–Kegel graph, respectively) as $G$;

• **almost recognizable** by spectrum (Gruenberg–Kegel graph, respectively) if it is $k$-recognizable by spectrum (Gruenberg–Kegel graph, respectively) for some non-negative natural number $k$;

• **unrecognizable** by spectrum (Gruenberg–Kegel graph, respectively), if there are infinitely many pairwise non-isomorphic groups with the same spectrum (Gruenberg–Kegel graph, respectively) as $G$.

If a group $G$ contains a non-trivial solvable normal subgroup, then there are infinitely many groups with the same spectrum as $G$. This proposition, formulated first by W. Shi, is well-known, and its proof was published in a number of papers (see, for example, [87]). Moreover, in [87] the following criteria of unrecognizability of a group by spectrum was obtained.
Theorem 1.1 (see [87]) Let $G$ be a group. The following statements are equivalent:

(1) there exist infinitely many groups $H$ such that $\omega(G) = \omega(H)$;

(2) there exists a group $H$ with non-trivial solvable radical such that $\omega(G) = \omega(H)$.

Thus, the question of recognition of a group by its spectrum is interesting only for groups with trivial solvable radical. This question is being actively investigated. We do not pretend to provide a complete survey of this research area but will mention some results which are interesting from our point of view.

A remarkable result is that if $G$ is a simple group and $H$ is a group, then $|H| = |G|$ and $\omega(H) = \omega(G)$ if and only if $H \cong G$. (This was put forward as a conjecture in the paper [97] and the final step of the proof was made in the paper [105].) Moreover, for every nonabelian simple group $G$, apart from a finite number of sporadic, alternating and exceptional groups and apart from several series of classical groups of small dimensions, if $H$ is a group such that $\omega(H) = \omega(G)$, then $H$ is an almost simple group with socle isomorphic to $G$, therefore almost all finite simple groups are almost recognizable by spectrum. This result was obtained in a large number of papers and is still in progress in sense of investigation of recognition of spectrum, by spectrum of low-dimensional classical groups. We recommend surveys of the results in this area in papers [35, 37]; moreover, we recommend the following paper by A. V. Vasil’ev [104], where an important contribution to the solution of the problem of recognition by spectrum for simple classical groups of Lie type was made, and some recent papers as [99, 36, 38, 39]. Moreover, some almost simple groups are recognizable by spectrum, for example, see [31].

It is easy to see that if a group is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph, then it is recognizable by its spectrum. The converse does not hold since, for example, $\Gamma(A_5) = \Gamma(A_6)$, but the group $A_5$ is recognizable by spectrum (see [99]) while the group $A_6$ is not. Some information about groups with the same spectrum as $A_6$ can be found in [76, Theorem 2].

In section 3 we prove the following criterion of unrecognizability of a group by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

Theorem 1.2 Let $G$ be a group. The following statements are equivalent:

(1) there exist infinitely many groups $H$ such that $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$;

(2) there exists a group $H$ with non-trivial solvable radical such that $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$.

Moreover, in section 3 we characterize finite groups which are almost recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 Let $G$ be a group such that $G$ is $k$-recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph for some non-negative integer $k$. Then the following conditions hold:
(1) \(G\) is almost simple;

(2) each group \(H\) with \(\Gamma(H) = \Gamma(G)\) is almost simple;

(3) each vertex of \(\Gamma(G)\) is non-adjacent to at least one other vertex, in particular, 2 is non-adjacent to at least one odd prime in \(\Gamma(G)\);

(4) \(\Gamma(G)\) contains at least 3 pairwise non-adjacent vertices.

Note that a group with non-simple socle can be recognizable by spectrum, therefore Theorem 1.3 cannot be generalized for recognition by spectrum. Up to a recent moment there were only two examples of groups with non-simple socle which are recognizable by spectrum, namely, \(Sz(2^7) \times Sz(2^7)\) (see [35]) and \(J_4 \times J_4\) (see [33]). Recently, I. B. Gorshkov has proved that if \(m > 5\), then the group \(\text{PSL}_{2m}(2) \times \text{PSL}_{2m}(2) \times \text{PSL}_{2m}(2)\) is recognizable by spectrum, a preprint of this paper is available on the arXiv (see [30]).

We conclude from Theorem 1.3 that if a group is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph, then the group is almost simple. The following problem naturally arises.

**Problem 1**: Let \(G\) be an almost simple group. Decide whether \(G\) is recognizable, \(k\)-recognizable for some integer \(k > 1\), or unrecognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

There are some known results on recognition of a group by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph. Here we again will mention some results which are interesting from our point of view; we do not pretend to provide a complete survey of this research area.

The first result on recognition of a group by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph was obtained by G. Chen [19], where it was proved that if \(S\) is a sporadic simple group and \(H\) is a group with \(|H| = |S|\) and \(\Gamma(H) = \Gamma(S)\), then \(H \cong S\). Later M. Hagie [35] described groups with the same Gruenberg–Kegel graphs as simple sporadic groups. In particular, Hagie has proved that the groups \(J_1, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}\), and \(CO_2\) are recognizable by their Gruenberg–Kegel graphs, the group \(M_{11}\) is 2-recognizable, and the groups \(M_{12}\) and \(J_2\) are unrecognizable by their Gruenberg–Kegel graphs; moreover, if \(S\) is one of groups \(O'N, Ly, Fi_{23}, Fi_{24}, M, BM, Th, Ru,\) and \(CO_1\), then \(S\) is quasirecognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph; that is, any group \(H\) with \(\Gamma(H) = \Gamma(S)\) has a unique nonabelian composition factor which is isomorphic to the group \(S\). In 2006, A. V. Zavarnitsine [112] proved that the group \(J_4\) is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph, moreover, \(J_4\) is the unique group whose Gruenberg–Kegel graph has exactly 6 connected components. Later, based on Hagie’s results, A. S. Kondrat’ev [69] has proved that the group \(Ru\) is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph, the group \(HN\) is 2-recognizable, the group \(Fi_{22}\) is 3-recognizable, the groups \(He, McL,\) and \(CO_4\) are unrecognizable by their Gruenberg–Kegel graphs. Recently A. S. Kondrat’ev [70] has proved that the groups \(J_3, Suz, O'N, Ly, Th, Fi_{23},\) and \(Fi_{24}\) are recognizable by their Gruenberg–Kegel graphs, and the group \(HS\)
is 2-recognizable. Thus, at the time of writing, only three large sporadic groups are left for which recognition by the Gruenberg–Kegel graph is not completely settled: $Co_1$, $B$, and $M$. Due to Hagie’s result mentioned above, these groups were known to be quasirecognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. After this paper was submitted to the journal, M. Lee and T. Popiel have proved that finite simple sporadic groups $Co_1$, $B$, and $M$ are recognizable by Gruenberg-Kegel graph.$^4$

M. Hagie $^4$ has proved that the group $\text{PSL}_2(11)$ is 2-recognizable from its Gruenberg–Kegel graph. In $^58$ Behman Kho sravi, Behman Kho sravi, and Behrooz Kho sravi have proved that if $p > 7$ is a Mersenne prime or a Fermat prime, then the group $\text{PSL}_2(p)$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph. In $^57$ the same authors proved that if $p > 11$ is a prime number and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, then the group $\text{PSL}_2(p)$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi $^51$ have proved that if $p$ is a prime not in $\{2, 3, 7\}$, then the group $\text{PSL}_2(p^2)$ is 2-recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

In 2008, B. Khosravi $^52$ proved that if $q = p^k$, where $k > 1$ is odd and $p$ is an odd prime number, then the group $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph. Moreover, Z. Akhlaghi, B. Khosravi, and M. Khatami $^4$ proved that if $p$ is an odd prime and $k > 1$ is odd, then the group $\text{PGL}_2(p^k)$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph. A. Mahmoudifar $^7$ has proved that the group $\text{PGL}_2(25)$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

The question of recognition by Gruenberg–Kegel graph of alternating and symmetric groups was studied in $^61, 34, 100$.

A. V. Zavarnitsine $^112$ has proved that the group $\text{PSL}_3(7)$ is 2-recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. Later the question of recognition by Gruenberg–Kegel graph for simple groups $S$ such that $|\pi(S)| \in \{3, 4\}$ was studied in $^71, 72$.

A remarkable result is that the group $\text{PSL}_{16}(2)$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph. This result was obtained by B. Kho sravi, B. Kho sravi, and B. Khosravi $^59$, however, in this paper there was a flaw in the proof of Lemma 3.4. A complete proof of the result was obtained later by A. V. Zavarnitsine $^114$. The group $\text{PSL}_{16}(2)$ was the first known example of a group with connected Gruenberg–Kegel graph which is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

Z. Momen and B. Kho sravi $^89$ have proved that groups $B_p(3)$ and $C_p(3)$, where $p > 3$ is an odd prime, are 2-recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. Later M. F. Ghasemabadi, A. Iranmanesh, and N. Ahanjideh $^28$ proved that if $n > 5$ is an odd number, then groups $B_n(3)$ and $C_n(3)$ are 2-recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. A. Babai and B. Khosravi $^9$ have proved that the group $^2D_{2n+1}(3)$ is recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. Later in $^27$ M. F. Ghasemabadi, A. Iranmanesh, and N. Ahanjideh proved that if $n \geq 5$ is odd, then the group $^2D_n(3)$ is recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. M. F. Ghasemabadi and N. Ahanjideh $^24$ have proved that if $n \geq 6$ is even, then the group $D_n(3)$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph. Z. Akhlaghi,
M. Khatami, and B. Khosravi [3] have proved that if \( p \) is an odd prime, then the group \( D_p(5) \) is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph, and the group \( D_p(2) \) is quasirecognizable. Later A. Babai and B. Khosravi [11] proved that if \( n \) is odd, then the group \( D_n(5) \) is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph and if \( n \) is even, then \( D_n(5) \) is quasirecognizable.

A. V. Zavarnitsine [112] has proved that the groups \( G_2(7) \) and \( 2G_2(q) \) for each \( q \) are recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. A. S. Kondrat’ev [67, 68] has proved that the groups \( E_7(2), E_7(3), \) and \( 2E_6(2) \) are recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. W. Guo, A. S. Kondrat’ev, and the second author [43] proved that the group \( E_6(2) \) is recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. Recognizability of groups \( E_6(3) \) and \( 2E_6(3) \) by Gruenberg–Kegel graph has been recently proved by A. P. Khramova, the second author, V. V. Panshin, and A. M. Staroletov in frame of realization of a project ”Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of finite groups” of The Great Mathematical Workshop organized by Mathematical Center in Akademgorodok (Novosibirsk, Russia) on July 12–17 and August 16–21, 2021 with an intermodule work in between.

One more remarkable result was obtained in 2013 by A. V. Zavarnitsine [115], it was proved that if \( G \) is a finite group whose Gruenberg–Kegel graph has exactly 5 connected components, then \( G \cong E_8(q) \), where \( q \equiv 0, 1, 4 \) (mod 5). In particular, groups \( E_8(q) \), where \( q \equiv 0, 1, 4 \) (mod 5), are almost recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

There are some other results on recognition of a simple group by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph, in particular, some groups of Lie type are known to be quasirecognizable by their Gruenberg–Kegel graphs. For example, we recommend to see papers [2], [7], [8], [10], [12], [13], [14], [25], [26], [53], [55], [56], [60], [62], [63], [64], [78], [90], [91], [92], [93], [94], [117], and other papers by Behrooz Khosravi et al., Anatoly Kondrat’ev et al., Neda Ahanjideh et al., W. Shi et al, and so on.

It is known that if \( q \) is odd and \( n \geq 3 \), then \( \Gamma(B_n(q)) = \Gamma(C_n(q)) \) and \( |B_n(q)| = |C_n(q)| \) but these groups are not isomorphic. Thus, it is natural to consider the following problem.

**Problem 2** For which simple groups \( S \) is the following true: if \( G \) is a group with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(S) \) and \( |G| = |S| \), then \( G \) is isomorphic to \( S \)?

Problem 2 was formulated by Behrooz Khosravi in his survey paper [54, Question 4.2], by A.S. Kondrat’ev in frame of the open problems session of the 13th School–Conference on Group Theory Dedicated to V. A. Belonogov’s 85th Birthday (see [81, Question 4]), and was independently formulated by W. Shi in a partial communication with the second author.

Let \( \Gamma \) be a simple graph whose vertices are labeled by pairwise distinct primes. We call \( \Gamma \) a labeled graph. Note that there are examples of labeled graphs which are not equal (and not even isomorphic as abstract graphs) to Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of groups. For example, by the Gruenberg–Kegel Theorem (see

\[ \text{A. P. Khramova, N. V. Maslova, V. V. Panshin, and A. M. Staroletov, Recognition of groups } E_6(3) \text{ and } 2E_6(3) \text{ by Gruenberg–Kegel graph, in preparation.} \]
Lemma 2.3 in section 2), any graph with at least 7 connected components is not isomorphic to the Gruenberg-Kegel graph of a finite group. To discuss the question of realizability of a graph as Gruenberg–Kegel graph of a group see, for example, papers 22, 32, 40, 82. However, the results which we obtain in section 3 allow to estimate an upper bound for a number of groups with the same Gruenberg–Kegel graph. In section 4 we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4** There exists a function $F(x) = O(x^7)$ such that for each labeled graph $\Gamma$ the following conditions are equivalent:

1. there exist infinitely many groups $H$ such that $\Gamma(H) = \Gamma$;
2. there exist more then $F(|V(\Gamma)|)$ groups $H$ such that $\Gamma(H) = \Gamma$, where $V(\Gamma)$ is the set of the vertices of $\Gamma$.

The estimate which we obtain in Theorem 1.4 for the function $F$ can certainly be improved. The following problem is of interest.

**Problem 3** Find the exact value for the function $F$, or at least a better upper bound.

Recently M. A. Grechkoseeva and A V. Vasil’ev generalizing our ideas and using their new results on Gruenberg-Kegel graphs of finite groups with unique nonabelian composition factor have improved the upper bound in Theorem 1.4 to $O(x^5)$.

As a part of the solution of Problem 3, the following problem arises.

**Problem 4** Find an improved upper bound for the number of almost simple groups with the same Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

Note that by 111, 113, there is no a constant $k$ such that for any almost simple group $G$, the number of pairwise non-isomorphic almost simple groups $H$ such that $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$ is at most $k$. However, if $G$ is simple, then A. V. Vasil’ev has conjectured that there are at most 4 simple groups $H$ with $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$; see Problem 16.26 in 74.

We say that the group $G$ is **recognizable by isomorphism type of its Gruenberg–Kegel graph** if for each group $H$, graphs $\Gamma(G)$ and $\Gamma(H)$ are isomorphic as abstract graphs if and only if $G \cong H$.

Since by A. V. Zavarnitsine 112, the sporadic group $J_4$ is the unique group whose Gruenberg–Kegel graph has exactly 6 connected components, we have that $J_4$ is recognizable by isomorphism type of its Gruenberg–Kegel graph. We construct some more examples of simple groups which are recognizable by isomorphism type of Gruenberg–Kegel graph: in section 5 we prove the following theorem.

---

3M. A. Grechkoseeva, A. V. Vasil’ev, On the prime graph of a finite group with unique nonabelian composition factor, arXiv:2109.05860v1 [math.GR].
Theorem 1.5 Simple groups $^2G_2(27)$ and $E_8(2)$ are recognizable by isomorphism type of Gruenberg–Kegel graph. In particular, the group $E_8(2)$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

It is easy to see that if a group $G$ is recognizable by isomorphism type of its Gruenberg–Kegel graph, then $G$ is recognizable by its Gruenberg–Kegel graph, therefore by Theorem 1.3 $G$ is almost simple. Thus, the following problem naturally arises.

Problem 5 Let $G$ be an almost simple group. Decide whether $G$ is recognizable by isomorphism type of its Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

Finally in this paper, we show how the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of a group gives information about various other graphs whose vertex sets are the elements of the group (so typically very much larger). The graphs we consider are the following (we give the adjacency rule for distinct elements $g, h \in G$ in each case):

- the commuting graph \[15\]: $gh = hg$;
- the power graph \[50\]: one of $g$ and $h$ is a power of the other;
- the enhanced power graph \[1\]: $\langle g, h \rangle$ is cyclic;
- the deep commuting graph \[18\]: the inverse images of $g$ and $h$ commute in every central extension of $G$.

In section 6 we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.6 For a finite group $G$, let $T(G)$ denote one of the above four types of graph on $G$. If $G$ and $H$ are groups with $T(G) = T(H)$, then the Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of $G$ and $H$ are equal.

Theorem 1.7 Let $G$ be a finite group. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) the enhanced power graph of $G$ is equal to the power graph;
(b) the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of $G$ has no edges;
(c) one of the following statements holds:

1. $|\pi(G)| = 1$ and $G$ is a $p$-group;
2. $|\pi(G)| = 2$ and $G$ is a (solvable) Frobenius group or 2-Frobenius group;
3. $|\pi(G)| = 3$ and $G \in \{A_6, \text{PSL}_2(7), \text{PSL}_2(17), M_{10}\}$;
4. $|\pi(G)| = 3$, $G/O_2(G)$ is $\text{PSL}_2(2^n)$ for $n \in \{2, 3\}$, and if $O_2(G) \neq \{1\}$, then $O_2(G)$ is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of $G$, each of which is of order $2^{2n}$ and as a $G/O_2(G)$-module is isomorphic to the natural $\text{GF}(2^n)\text{SL}_2(2^n)$-module.
5. $|\pi(G)| = 4$ and $G \cong \text{PSL}_3(4)$.
6. $|\pi(G)| = 4$, $G/O_2(G)$ is $\text{Sz}(2^n)$ for $n \in \{3, 5\}$, and if $O_2(G) \neq \{1\}$, then $O_2(G)$ is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of $G$, each of which is of order $2^{4n}$ and as a $G/O_2(G)$-module is isomorphic to the natural $\text{GF}(2^n)\text{Sz}(2^n)$-module of dimension 4.
2 Preliminaries

Let $q > 1$ be a natural number and $r$ be an odd prime such that $(q, r) = 1$. Denote by $e(r, q)$ the multiplicative order of $q$ modulo $r$, that is, the minimal natural number $m$ such that $q^m \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$. For odd $q$ define $e(2, q) = 1$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $e(2, q) = 2$ if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

**Lemma 2.1** (Zsigmondy’s Theorem, see [119]) Let $q > 1$ be a natural number. For each $m$ there exists a prime $r$ such that $e(r, q) = m$, except the following cases: $q = 2$ and $m = 1$; $q = 3$ and $m = 1$; $q = 2$ and $m = 6$. In particular, $r$ divides $q^m - 1$ and doesn’t divide $q^i - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, except for the following three cases: $q = 2$ and $n = 6$; $q = 2^k - 1$ for some prime $k$ and $n = 2$; $q = 2$ and $n = 1$.

In the notation of Lemma 2.1 any prime $r$ which divides $q^n - 1$ and doesn’t divide $q^i - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ is called a primitive prime divisor of the number $q^n - 1$. Note that a primitive prime divisor of a number $q^n - 1$ can be defined non-uniquely. For example, $11^3 - 1 = 2 \times 5 \times 7 \times 19$, $11^2 - 1 = 2^3 \times 3 \times 5$, and $11 - 1 = 2 \times 5$. Thus, primitive prime divisors of the number $11^3 - 1$ are the primes 7 and 19.

**Lemma 2.2** (see [23]) Let $p$ and $q$ be primes such that $p^a - q^b = 1$ for some integer numbers $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Then $(p^a, q^b) \in \{(3^2, 2^3), (2^a, q), (p, 2^b)\}$, where $a$ is a prime and $b$ is a power of 2.

Our graph-theoretic and group-theoretic terminology is mostly standard; but we list a few points here.

Let $\pi$ be a set of primes. Given a natural number $n$, denote by $\pi(n)$ the set of its prime divisors. Then $\pi(|G|)$ is exactly $\pi(G)$ for any group $G$. A natural number $n$ with $\pi(n) \subseteq \pi$ is called a $\pi$-number, and a group $G$ with $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi$ is called a $\pi$-group.

A $n$-clique (resp. a $n$-coclique) is a graph with $n$ vertices in which all the vertices are pairwise adjacent (resp. non-adjacent).

If $G$ and $H$ are groups and $p$ is a prime, then we will denote by $S(G)$ the solvable radical of $G$ (the largest solvable normal subgroup of $G$), by $F(G)$ the Fitting subgroup of $G$ (the largest nilpotent normal subgroup of $G$), by $\Phi(G)$ the Frattini subgroup of $G$ (the intersection of all maximal subgroups of $G$), and by $\text{Soc}(G)$ the socle of $G$ (the subgroup of $G$ generated by the set of all non-trivial minimal normal subgroups of $G$). By $G.H$ we denote any extension of $G$ by $H$, by $G : H$ (or $G \rtimes H$) we denote a split extension (or semidirect product) of $G$ by $H$, by $O_p(G)$ the largest normal $p$-subgroup of $G$, by $O_p(G)$ the largest normal subgroup of $G$ whose order is not divisible by $p$, and by $O(G)$ the largest normal subgroup of odd order of $G$. Denote the number of connected components of $\Gamma(G)$ by $s(G)$, and the set of connected components of $\Gamma(G)$ by $\{\pi_i(G) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s(G)\}$; for a group $G$ of even order, we assume that $2 \in \pi_1(G)$. Denote by $t(G)$ the independence number of $\Gamma(G)$ (the greatest cardinality of a
coclique in $\Gamma(G)$, and by $t(r, G)$ the greatest cardinality of a coclique in $\Gamma(G)$ containing a prime $r$.

**Lemma 2.3** (Gruenberg–Kegel Theorem, [108 Theorem A]) If $G$ is a group with disconnected Gruenberg–Kegel graph, then one of the following statements holds:

1. $G$ is a Frobenius group;
2. $G$ is a 2-Frobenius group;
3. $G$ is an extension of a nilpotent $\pi_1(G)$-group by a group $A$, where $S \triangleleft A \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, $S$ is a simple non-abelian group with $s(G) \leq s(S)$, and $A/S$ is a $\pi_1(G)$-group.

Note that in the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of a nonabelian simple group, the number 2 is usually non-adjacent to at least one odd number [106]. The following generalization of the Gruenberg–Kegel Theorem was an important tool in investigations of recognizability of a group by spectrum.

**Lemma 2.4** ([103, Propositions 2 and 3]) Let $G$ be a non-solvable group such that $t(2, G) \geq 2$. Then the following conditions hold:

1. there exists a simple non-abelian group $S$ such that $S \leq G/S(G) \leq \text{Aut}(S)$;
2. if $\rho \subseteq \pi(G)$ is a coclique in $\Gamma(G)$ with $|\rho| \geq 3$, then at most one of the primes from $\rho$ divides the product $|S(G)| \cdot |G/S(G) : S|$. In particular, $t(S) \geq t(G) - 1$;
3. one of the following conditions holds:
   - (a) every $p \in \pi(G)$ which is non-adjacent to 2 in $\Gamma(G)$ doesn’t divide the product $|S(G)| \cdot |G/S(G) : S|$. In particular, $t(2, S) \geq t(2, G)$;
   - (b) there exists $r \in \pi(S(G))$ which is non-adjacent to 2 in $\Gamma(G)$; in this case $t(G) = 3$, $t(2, G) = 2$ and $S \cong A_7$ or $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ for any odd $q$.

To prove the main results of this paper, we need the following easily-proved assertions.

**Lemma 2.5** Let $G$ be a group with a normal series of length $r$ with cyclic factors. Then any subgroup of $G$ can be generated by at most $r$ elements.

**Proof** Let

$$G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_r = 1$$

be the normal series with cyclic factors. Let $H$ be an arbitrary subgroup of $G$. For each $i$, $G_{i+1} \cap H$ is a normal subgroup of $G_i \cap H$, with factor group

$$(G_{i-1} \cap H)/(G_i \cap H) \cong (G_{i-1} \cap H)G_i/G_i \leq G_{i-1}/G_i$$
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| $s(S)$ | $S$          | Restrictions | $\pi_1(S)$ | $\pi_2(S)$ | $\pi_3(S)$ | $\pi_4(S)$ | $\pi_5(S)$ | $\pi_6(S)$ |
|-------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 4     | $A_2(4)$     | {2}          | {3}        | {5}        | {7}        |            |            |            |
|       | $2B_2(q)$    | $q = 2^{2m+1} > 2$ | {2}        | $\pi(q-1)$ | $\pi(q-\sqrt{q^2+1})$ | $\pi(q+\sqrt{q^2+1})$ |            |            |
|       | $2E_6(2)$    | {2, 3, 5, 7, 11} | {13}       | {17}       | {19}       |            |            |            |
|       | $E_6(q)$     | $q = 2, 3(5)$ | $\pi(q(q^8 - 1)(q^{12} - 1) \frac{q^{10} + q^5 + 1}{q^2 + q + 1})$ | $\pi(q^8 - q^4 + 1)$ | $\pi(q^{10} - q^5 + 1)$ | $\pi(q^8 - q^4 + 1)$ |            |            |
|       | $M_{22}$     | {2, 3}       | {5}        | {7}        | {11}       |            |            |            |
|       | $J_1$        | {2, 3, 5}    | {7}        | {11}       | {19}       |            |            |            |
|       | $O'N$        | {2, 3, 5, 7} | {11}       | {19}       | {31}       |            |            |            |
|       | $L_{78}$     | {2, 3, 5, 7, 11} | {31}       | {37}       | {67}       |            |            |            |
|       | $F_{42}$     | {2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13} | {17}       | {23}       | {29}       |            |            |            |
|       | $F_1 = M$    | {2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 47} | {41}       | {59}       | {71}       |            |            |            |
| 5     | $E_8(q)$     | $q = 0, 1, 4(5)$ | $\pi(q(q^8 - 1)(q^{10} - 1)) \frac{q^{10} + q^5 + 1}{q^2 + q + 1})$ | $\pi(q^{10} - q^5 + 1)$ | $\pi(q^8 - q^4 + 1)$ | $\pi(q^{10} + 1)$ |            |            |
|       | $J_4$        | {2, 3, 5, 7, 11} | {23}       | {29}       | {31}       | {37}       | {43}       |            |

Table 1: Finite simple groups $S$ with $s(S) > 3$
which is cyclic; let \( h_i \) be an element of \( H \) such that \((G_i \cap H)h_i\) generates this quotient.

We claim that \( h_1, \ldots, h_r \) generate \( H \). This is proved by backward induction. For \( i = r \), we have that \( h_r \) generates \( H \cap G_{r-1} \). Suppose that \( h_{i+1}, \ldots, h_r \) generate \( H \cap G_i \). Then it is clear from the above that \( h_i, \ldots, h_r \) generate \( H \cap G_{i-1} \). So the induction goes through, and the claim is proved on putting \( i = 1 \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.6** Let \( G \) be a group with a cyclic normal subgroup \( C \) such that \( G/C \) is \( k \)-generated. Then the number of pairwise distinct supplements of \( C \) in \( G \) (subgroups \( H \) such that \( G = HC \)) is at most \(|C|^{k+1}\).

**Proof** Let \( \overline{g}_1, \ldots, \overline{g}_k \) be generators of \( G/C \), and \( g_1, \ldots, g_k \) be their preimages in \( G \). Define \( C_i = Cg_i \) to be the corresponding right cosets. It is clear that \(|C_i| = |C|\) for each \( i \).

Now let \( H \) be a supplement to \( C \) in \( G \). Consider \( H_1 = H \cap C \). Since \( C \) is cyclic, we have \( H_1 = \langle h \rangle \) is cyclic. Since \( G = HC \), we have \( H/(H \cap C) \cong G/C \). Let \( h_1, \ldots, h_k \) be preimages in \( H \) of the elements \( \overline{g}_1, \ldots, \overline{g}_k \) from \( H/(H \cap C) \cong G/C \).

It is clear that \( h \in C \) and \( h_i \in C_i \) for each \( i \). We claim that

\[
H = \langle h, h_1, \ldots, h_k \rangle.
\]

It is easy to see that \( h, h_1, \ldots, h_k \in H \), therefore, \( \langle h, h_1, \ldots, h_k \rangle \leq H \). Let \( K = \langle h, h_1, \ldots, h_k \rangle \). Show that \(|K| \geq |H|\) and, therefore, \( K = H \). Indeed, \( h \in K \), therefore, \( K \cap C \geq H \cap C = \langle h \rangle \), and \( K/(K \cap C) \geq \langle \overline{g}_1, \ldots, \overline{g}_k \rangle = G/C \).

Thus, \(|K| \geq |H \cap C| \cdot |G/C| = |H|\) and therefore, \(|K| = |H|\).

So, we have proved that each supplement \( H \) to \( C \) in \( G \) can be generated by an element \( h \in C \) and elements \( h_1, \ldots, h_k \) such that \( h_i \in C_i \) for each \( i \). It is easy to see that there are at most \(|C|\) possibilities to chose \( h \) and at most \(|C_i| = |C|\) possibilities to chose each \( h_i \). Thus, there are at most \(|C|^{k+1}\) pairwise distinct supplements to \( C \) in \( G \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.7** Let \( \pi \) be a finite set of primes, and \( S = G_n(q) \), where \( q = p^l \), be a simple group of Lie type of Lie rank \( n \) with base field \( GF(q) \) such that \( \pi(S) \subseteq \pi \).

Then the following statements hold:

1. there are at most \(|\pi|\) choices for \( p \);
2. there are at most \(|\pi|+1\) choices for \( l \);
3. \( d(l) \leq |\pi| + 1 \), where \( d(l) \) is the number of pairwise distinct divisors of \( l \);
4. If \( S \) is a classical group, then \( n \leq 2|\pi| + 3 \).

**Proof** It is clear that \( p \) divides \(|S|\), therefore, \( p \in \pi \) and (1) holds.

Note that \( q - 1 \) divides \(|S|\), and by Lemma 2.1 excluding the cases \( q = 2 \), \( q = 2^5 \), and \( q \) is a Mersenne prime, the number \( p^l - 1 \) has a primitive prime
divisor \( r \in \pi(S) \setminus \{ p \} \). Note that there are at most \(|\pi(S)| - 1\) choices for \( r \) and, therefore, at most \(|\pi(S)| - 1 < |\pi|\) choices for \( l \) (or at most \(|\pi(S)| + 1 \leq |\pi| + 1\) or \(|\pi(S)| \leq |\pi|\) choices for \( l \) if \( p = 2 \) or \( p \) is a Mersenne prime, respectively). Thus, (2) holds.

Note that if \( d(l) \) is the number of pairwise distinct divisors of \( l \), then by Lemma 2.1 there exist at least \( d(l) - 2 \) pairwise distinct primes dividing \( p^l - 1 \), and \( p \) and all these primes are in \( \pi \). Thus, \( d(l) \leq |\pi| + 1 \) and (3) holds.

If \( S = A_n(q) \), then there is a divisor of \(|S|\) of the form \( q^m - 1 \) for each \( 1 \leq m \leq n+1 \), therefore, with possible one or two exceptions due to Lemma 2.1, the primitive divisor of the number \( q^m - 1 \) for each \( m \leq n+1 \) must lie in \( \pi \). For each remainder family of classical groups, there is a divisor of \(|S|\) of the form \( q^{2m} - 1 \) for each \( m \leq m_0 \), where \( m_0 \) grows linearly with the rank. In the five families \( B_n(q), C_n(q), D_n(q), 2A_n(q) \) and \( 2D_n(q) \), we have \( m_0 = n, m_0 = n, m_0 = n-1, m_0 = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \), and \( m_0 = n-1 \), respectively. Now a primitive divisor of the number \( q^{2m} - 1 \) for each \( m \leq m_0 \) with possible one or two exceptions due to Lemma 2.1 must lie in \( \pi \). Thus, at least \( n \leq 2|\pi| + 3 \) in each case and (4) holds.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 2.8 (see \([106\text{ Prop. 2.5, 3.2, 4.5 and } 107\text{ Prop. 2.7}]) \)

Let \( G \cong E_8(q) \), where \( q \) is a power of \( p = 2 \). Let \( r, s \in \pi(G) \) and \( r \neq s \). Then \( r \) and \( s \) are non-adjacent in \( \Gamma(G) \) if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1. \( 2 = p \notin \{ r, s \}, 1 \leq e(r, q) < e(s, q) \), and one of the following conditions holds:

   1a) \( e(s, q) = 6 \) and \( e(r, q) = 5 \);
   1b) \( e(s, q) \in \{ 7, 14 \} \) and \( e(r, q) \geq 3 \);
   1c) \( e(s, q) = 9 \) and \( k \geq 4 \);
   1d) \( e(s, q) \in \{ 8, 12 \} \), \( e(r, q) \geq 5 \), and \( e(r, q) \neq 6 \);
   1e) \( e(s, q) = 10 \), \( e(r, q) \geq 3 \), and \( e(r, q) \neq 4, 6 \);
   1f) \( e(s, q) = 18 \) and \( e(r, q) \notin \{ 1, 2, 6 \} \);
   1g) \( e(s, q) = 20 \) and \( r \cdot e(r, q) \neq 20 \);
   1h) \( e(s, q) \in \{ 15, 24, 30 \} \).

2. \( r = p = 2 \) and \( e(s, q) \in \{ 15, 20, 24, 30 \} \).

Lemma 2.9 Let \( G = E_8(2) \). Then the following statements hold:

1. \(|\pi(G)| = 16 \) and \( \pi(G) = \{ 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 31, 41, 43, 73, 127, 151, 241, 331 \} \).

2. If \( S = E_8(q) \) for \( q > 2 \), then \(|\pi(S)| > |\pi(G)|\).

3. Adjacency in \( \Gamma(G) \) is presented in Table 2 In particular, \( s(4) = 4 \), \(|\pi_1(G)| = 13 \), and \(|\pi_i(G)| = 1 \) for \( i \in \{ 2, 3, 4 \} \).

\[ \text{Proof} \]

1. See, for example, \([20]\).

2. We have \(|S| = q \prod_{i \in \{ 2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30 \}} (q^i - 1) \), therefore, by Lemma 2.1 \(|\pi(S)| \geq 17 > |\pi(G)|\).

3. Note that \( e(3, 2) = 2, e(5, 2) = 4, e(7, 2) = 3, e(11, 2) = 10, e(13, 2) = 12, e(17, 2) = 8, e(19, 2) = 18, e(31, 2) = 5, e(41, 2) = 20, e(43, 2) = 14, e(73, 2) = \)}
Vertex $x$ | Degree | Neighbors of $x$ in $\Gamma(E_8(2))$
--- | --- | ---
2 | 11 | 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 31, 43, 73, 127
3 | 11 | 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 31, 43, 73, 127
5 | 8 | 2, 3, 7, 11, 13, 17, 31, 41
7 | 7 | 2, 3, 5, 13, 17, 31, 73
11 | 3 | 2, 3, 5
13 | 4 | 2, 3, 5, 7
17 | 3 | 2, 3, 7
19 | 2 | 2, 3
31 | 4 | 2, 3, 5, 7
41 | 1 | 5
43 | 2 | 2, 3
73 | 3 | 2, 3, 7
127 | 2 | 2, 3
151 | 9 |
241 | 0 |
331 | 0 |

Table 2: Adjacency of vertices in $\Gamma(E_8(2))$

9, $e(127, 2) = 7$, $e(151, 2) = 15$, $e(241, 2) = 24$, $e(331, 2) = 30$. Now Lemma 2.8 gives adjacency in $\Gamma(G)$. □

Lemma 2.10 (see [109]) Let $G$ be the sporadic group $M$. Then the following statements hold:

1. $|\pi(G)| = 15$ and $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}$.

2. Adjacency in $\Gamma(G)$ is presented in Table 3.

Lemma 2.11 (see [82, Lemma 14]) If $G$ is a group such that $\Gamma(G)$ is a bipartite graph with parts of sizes 1 and 5, then $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 7, 13, 19, 37\}$ and $G/O_2(G) \cong \mathbb{2}G_2(27)$.

Lemma 2.12 (see [112, Theorem A]) Groups $\mathbb{2}G_2(3^{2m+1})$ for $m \geq 1$ are recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

Lemma 2.13 (see [83, Lemma 1]) Let $G$ be a group, $N$ its normal subgroup such that $G/N$ a Frobenius group with kernel $F$ and cyclic complement $C$. If $(|F|, |N|) = 1$ and $F \nsubseteq NC_G(N)/N$, then $s \cdot |C| \in \omega(G)$ for any $s \in \pi(N)$.

Lemma 2.14 (see [116, Lemma 10]) Let $V$ be a normal elementary abelian subgroup of a group $G$. Put $H = G/V$ and denote by $G_1 = V \rtimes H$ the natural semidirect product. Then $\omega(G_1) \subseteq \omega(G)$.

Let $S$ be a simple group of Lie type in characteristic $p$. Let $A$ be any abelian $p$-group with an $S$-action. Any element $s \in S$ is said to be unisingular on $A$ if $s$ has a (non-zero) fixed point on $A$. $S$ is said to be unisingular if every element $s \in S$ acts unisingularly on every finite abelian $p$-group $A$ with an $S$-action.
| Vertex $x$ | Degree | Neighbors of $x$ in $\Gamma(M)$ |
|-----------|--------|---------------------------------|
| 2         | 10     | 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 31, 47 |
| 3         | 10     | 2, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31 |
| 5         | 5      | 2, 3, 7, 11, 19                   |
| 7         | 4      | 2, 3, 5, 17                      |
| 11        | 3      | 2, 3, 5                          |
| 13        | 2      | 2, 3                             |
| 17        | 3      | 2, 3, 7                          |
| 19        | 3      | 2, 3, 5                          |
| 23        | 2      | 2, 3                             |
| 29        | 1      | 3                                |
| 31        | 2      | 2, 3                             |
| 41        | 0      |                                  |
| 47        | 1      | 2                                |
| 59        | 0      |                                  |
| 71        | 0      |                                  |

Table 3: Adjacency of vertices in $\Gamma(M)$

**Lemma 2.15** (see [44, Theorem 1.3]) If $G = E_8(q)$ with $q$ arbitrary, then $G$ is unisingular.

**Lemma 2.16** (see [115, Proposition 2]) Let $G = 3D_4(q)$, where $q$ is a power of a prime $p$. If $G$ acts on a non-trivial vector space $V$ over a field of characteristic distinct from $p$, then each element $x \in G$ of order $q^4 - q^2 + 1$ fixes a non-zero vector $v(x) \in V$.

### 3 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

To prove the main results of this paper, for a given finite set $\pi$ of prime numbers, we need to estimate the number of simple groups $S$ such that $\pi(S) \subseteq \pi$. The following proposition is straightforward, and the main idea of its proof can be found, for example, in [83] (see the remark after Lemma 2 in [83]).

**Proposition 3.1** Let $\pi$ be a finite set of primes. The number of pairwise non-isomorphic non-abelian simple groups $S$ with $\pi(S) \subseteq \pi$ is finite, and is at most $O(|\pi|^3)$.

**Proof** Following the classification of finite simple groups, we divide into the following cases.

*Case S sporadic:* There are clearly at most 26 such groups.

*Case S alternating:* The alternating group $A_m$ has order divisible by all primes less than $m$. So, if $\pi(A_m) \subseteq \pi$, then $m$ does not exceed the $(|\pi| +
1)st prime number \( p_{|\pi|+1} \). By the Prime Number Theorem, \( p_{|\pi|+1} \) is roughly \( |\pi| \log |\pi| \). So the number of alternating groups does not exceed this number.

**Case S of Lie type:** These groups fall into six families \( A_n(p^l) \), \( B_n(p^l) \), \( C_n(p^l) \), \( D_n(p^l) \), \( 2A_n(p^l) \) and \( 2D_n(p^l) \) parametrised by rank (one parameter \( n \)) and field order (two parameters \( p \) and \( l \)), and ten families \( E_6(p^l) \), \( E_7(p^l) \), \( E_8(p^l) \), \( F_4(p^l) \), \( G_2(p^l) \), \( 2E_6(p^l) \), \( 3D_4(p^l) \), \( 2F_4(2^l) \), \( 2B_2(2^l) \) and \( 2G_2(3^l) \) parametrised by field order (two parameters \( p \) and \( l \) and for the last three, only one parameter \( l \)).

By Lemma 2.7, there are at most \( |\pi| \) choices for characteristic \( p \) (except for one-parameter families), at most \( |\pi|+1 \) choices for \( l \), and for classical groups at most \( 2|\pi| + 3 \) choices for their ranks. Thus, there are at most \( O(|\pi|) \) groups in each of the one-parameter families, \( O(|\pi|^2) \) groups in each of the two-parameter families, and at most \( O(|\pi|^3) \) groups in each of the three-parameter families.

Thus, we conclude that there are at most \( O(|\pi|^3) \) simple groups \( S \) of Lie type such that \( \pi(S) \subseteq \pi \). □

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** We show first that (2) ⇒ (1). Assume that a group \( G \) contains a non-trivial solvable normal subgroup. Then by Theorem 1.1 there exist infinitely many groups \( H \) such that \( \omega(G) = \omega(H) \), and Gruenberg–Kegel graphs of all these groups coincide with \( \Gamma(G) \).

Now we show that (1) ⇒ (2). Assume that \( G \) is a group such that there exist infinitely many groups \( H \) such that \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \). Assume that for each group \( H \) with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \), the solvable radical of \( H \) is trivial (otherwise the statement of the theorem holds trivially). If \( 2 \) is adjacent to each odd vertex of \( \Gamma(G) \), then \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(C_2 \times G) \), where \( C_2 \) is the cyclic group of order 2, and \( S(C_2 \times G) \neq 1 \), a contradiction. Thus, for each \( H \) with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \), \( t(2,H) = t(2,G) \geq 2 \) and, therefore, by Lemma 2.4 \( \text{Soc}(H) \) is a non-abelian simple group such that \( \pi(\text{Soc}(H)) \subseteq \pi(G) \). By Proposition 3.1 for each finite set \( \pi \) of primes, the number of simple groups \( T \) such that \( \pi(T) \subseteq \pi \) is finite, therefore the number of almost simple groups \( H \) with \( \pi(\text{Soc}(H)) \subseteq \pi(G) \) is finite, a contradiction. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** Note that \( G \) is non-solvable, since otherwise, by Theorem 1.2 there are infinitely many groups \( H \) with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \). Moreover, in \( \Gamma(G) \), each vertex \( v \) is non-adjacent to at least one other vertex; otherwise \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(G \times C_v) \) and again by Theorem 1.2 there are infinitely many groups \( H \) with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \). In particular, \( t(2,G) \geq 2 \).

By Lemma 2.4 each group \( H \) with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \) is such that \( H/S(H) \) is almost simple. Now again if \( S(H) \neq 1 \) for some \( H \) with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \), then by Theorem 1.2 there are infinitely many groups \( H \) with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \). Therefore, each group \( H \) with \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) \) is almost simple, in particular, \( G \) is almost simple.

By Lemmas 7–14 from [32], if \( G \) is almost simple and \( t(G) < 3 \), then there exists a solvable group \( T \) such that \( \Gamma(G) = \Gamma(T) \). Therefore in this case \( G \) is unrecognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph. □
4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let \( \pi \) be a finite set of primes. We must show that there is a polynomial function \( F \) such that the number of almost simple groups \( G \) such that \( \pi(G) \subseteq \pi \) is at most \( F(|\pi|) \).

By Proposition 3.1, the number of simple groups \( S \) such that \( \pi(S) \subseteq \pi \) is bounded by a polynomial function of \( |\pi| \), moreover the function is at most \( O(|\pi|^3) \). Thus, it is sufficient to show that for each simple group \( S \) such that \( \pi(S) \subseteq \pi \), the number of almost simple groups with socle \( S \) is bounded by a polynomial function of \( |\pi| \). This is clear if \( S \) is sporadic or alternating. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the case when \( S \) is a group of Lie type. Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between almost simple groups with socle \( S \) and subgroups of \( \text{Out}(S) \), we need to prove the following result:

**Proposition 4.1** Let \( \pi \) be a finite set of primes and \( S \) be a simple group of Lie type such that \( \pi(S) \subseteq \pi \). Then there is a polynomial function \( f \) such that the number of subgroups of \( \text{Out}(S) \) is bounded by \( f(|\pi(S)|) \), and it is at most \( O(|\pi|^4) \).

**Proof** Let \( S = G_n(q) \), where \( q = p^l \), be a simple group of Lie type of Lie rank \( n \) with base field \( GF(q) \) such that \( \pi(S) \subseteq \pi \).

Then it is well-known (see, for example [29, Theorem 2.5.12]) that \( \text{Out}(S) = C \rtimes (G_1 \times G_2) \), where \( C = \text{Outdiag}(S) \) and either \( |C| \leq 4 \) or \( S \) is of type \( A_n(q) \) or \( 2A_n(q) \), \( C \) is cyclic, and \( |C| \) is bounded by \( n + 1 \); \( G_1 \) is cyclic and \( |G_1| \in \{l, 2l, 3l\} \); and \( G_2 \) has order at most 2 except in the case when \( S \) is of type \( D_4 \), when it is isomorphic to \( S_4 \).

Let \( N(G) \) be the set of all the subgroups of a group \( G \) with a normal subgroup \( C \). We can define an equivalence relation \( \rho_C \) on \( N(G) \) by setting \( H_1 \rho_C H_2 \) if \( H_1C/C = H_2C/C \). Then \( N(G) \) is the union of equivalence classes of \( \rho_C \); that is, in our case,

\[
N(\text{Out}(S)) = \bigcup_{T \subseteq G_1 \times G_2} \{H \mid HC/C = T\}. \tag{1}
\]

Since \( G_1 \) is cyclic, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the number of subgroups of \( G_1 \) and the number of pairwise distinct divisors of \( |G_1| \). Since by Lemma 2.7, \( d(l) \leq |\pi| + 1 \), it is easy to see that the number of subgroups of \( G_1 \) is bounded by a linear function of \( |\pi| \). Now by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 the number of subgroups of \( G_1 \times G_2 \) is bounded by a linear function of \( |\pi| \). Thus, the number of classes in the union (1) is bounded by a linear function of \( |\pi| \).

By Lemma 2.8, each subgroup \( T \) of the group \( \text{Out}(S)/C \cong G_1 \times G_2 \) is at most 2-generated except in the case when \( S \) is of type \( D_4 \), when \( T \) is at most 3-generated. Therefore by Lemma 2.9 if \( S \) is not of type \( D_4 \), then the number of

---

\(^4\)Here it is sufficient that \( \text{Out}(S) = C.G_2.G_1 \), where groups \( C, G_1, \) and \( G_2 \) are as above.
subgroups \( H \) of \( \text{Out}(S) \) such that \( HC/C = T \) is at most \( |C|^3 \leq n^3 \leq (2|\pi|+3)^3 \); in the case of groups of type \( D_4 \) we have \( |C| \leq 4 \) and possibly need to apply Lemma 2.6 twice. Thus, the number of classes in the union (1) is bounded by a linear function of \( |\pi| \) and the number of subgroups in each class is bounded by a polynomial function of \( |\pi| \) which is at most \( O(|\pi|^3) \), and therefore, \( |N(\text{Out}(S))| \) is bounded by a polynomial function of \( |\pi| \), and it is at most \( O(|\pi|^4) \). \( \square \)

Combining the results of Propositions 3.1 and 4.1, we have proved the following assertion.

**Theorem 4.2** There exists a polynomial function \( F \) such that if \( \pi \) is a finite set of primes, then there are at most \( F(|\pi|) \) pairwise non-isomorphic almost simple groups \( G \) such that \( \pi(G) \subseteq \pi \), and this number is at most \( O(|\pi|^7) \).

So the following corollary completes the proof of Theorem 1.4:

**Corollary 4.3** Let \( G \) be a group such that there are more than \( F(|\pi(G)|) \) pairwise non-isomorphic groups \( H \) such that \( \Gamma(H) = \Gamma(G) \). Then \( G \) is unrecognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph.

**Proof** Suppose that there is only a finite number of groups \( H \) such that \( \Gamma(H) = \Gamma(G) \). Then by Theorem 1.3 each group \( H \) with \( \Gamma(H) = \Gamma(G) \) is almost simple. Thus, there are more than \( F(|\pi(G)|) \) pairwise non-isomorphic almost simple groups \( H \) with \( \pi(H) \subseteq \pi(G) \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

5 Proof of Theorem 1.5

If \( G = 2^2G_2(27) \), then \( \Gamma(G) \) is as follows:

```
3  2  13  19  37
```

If \( H \) is a group such that \( \Gamma(H) \) and \( \Gamma(G) \) are isomorphic as abstract graphs, then \( \Gamma(H) \) is a bipartite graph with parts of sizes 1 and 5. By Lemma 2.11 \( \pi(H) = \{2, 3, 7, 13, 19, 37\} \) and \( H/O_2(H) \cong 2G_2(27) \). In particular, \( \Gamma(H) = \Gamma(G) \). But \( G \) is recognizable by Gruenberg–Kegel graph by Lemma 2.12. Thus, \( H \cong G \).

Let \( G = E_6(2) \) and \( H \) be a group such that \( \Gamma(H) \) and \( \Gamma(G) \) are isomorphic as abstract graphs. By Lemma 2.11(3), \( \Gamma(H) \) is disconnected. Now by Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11 \( H/F(H) \) is almost simple, moreover, if \( S = \text{Soc}(H/F(H)) \), then \( s(S) \geq s(G) \geq 4 \). By Table 1 and Lemma 2.7(2), \( S \) is one of the following groups: \( A_2(4) \cong \text{PSL}_3(4) \), \( ^2B_2(q) \), \( ^2E_6(2) \), \( E_6(2) \), \( M_{22} \), \( J_1 \), \( O'N \), \( LyS \), \( F^2_{24} \), \( F_1 = M \), \( J_4 \).
If \( S \) is one of groups \( A_2(4), 2E_6(2), M_{22}, J_1, O'N, LyS, F_{i24}, J_4 \), then by [20], \( |\pi(\text{Aut}(S))| \leq 10 \), therefore,
\[
|\pi(F(H)) \setminus \pi(H/F(H))| \geq 6.
\]

Note that the primes from \( \pi(F(H)) \) form a clique in \( \Gamma(H) \), therefore, \( \Gamma(H) \) contains at least 6 vertices of degree at least 5, a contradiction to Lemma [20, 3].

Let \( S \cong 2B_2(q) \) for some \( q \). Since \( s(G) = s(S) \), we have \( |\pi_i(S)| = 1 \) for \( i \in \{2, 3, 4\} \). In particular, \( q - 1 \) is a prime power. By Lemma 2.2, \( q = 2^a \), where \( a \) is a prime. Therefore, \( |\pi(H/F(H))| \leq |\pi(\text{Aut}(S))| = 5 \). Again we obtain that
\[
|\pi(F(H)) \setminus \pi(H/F(H))| \geq 11,
\]

therefore, \( \Gamma(H) \) contains at least 11 vertices of degree at least 10, a contradiction to Lemma [20, 3].

Let \( S \cong M \). Since \( \text{Aut}(M) \cong M \) and \( |\pi(M)| = 15 \), there is \( p \in \pi(F(H)) \setminus \pi(H/F(H)) \). Note that by Lemma 2.3 \( p \in \pi_1(H) \). Since \( s(G) = s(S) \), we have \( |\pi_i(S)| = 1 \) for \( i \in \{2, 3, 4\} \). Thus, by Lemma 2.10 the primes 41, 59, and 71 are non-adjacent to \( p \) in \( \Gamma(H) \). By [20, 109], \( M \) contains subgroups \( M_1 \cong 41 : 40, M_2 \cong 59 : 29, M_3 \cong 71 : 35, \) and \( M_4 \cong 23 : 11 \) which are Frobenius groups with cyclic complements. By Lemma 2.13 the prime \( p \) is adjacent in \( \Gamma(H) \) to the primes 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 29, and to at least one of the primes 11 and 23. Thus, degree of vertex \( p \) in \( \Gamma(H) \) is at least 5 as well as by Lemma 2.10, degree of vertex 5 in \( \Gamma(H) \) is at least 6, and degree of vertex 7 in \( \Gamma(H) \) is at least 5. Moreover, by Lemma 2.10 degree of vertex 2 in \( \Gamma(H) \) is at least 11 and degree of vertex 3 in \( \Gamma(H) \) is at least 10. Thus, \( \Gamma(H) \) contains at least 5 vertices of degree at least 5, a contradiction to Lemma [20, 3].

Thus, \( S \cong G = E_8(2) \), therefore \( \Gamma(H) = \Gamma(G) \), \( \pi(F(H)) \subseteq \pi_1(G) \), and \( H/F(H) \cong G \). Let \( F(H) \neq 1 \) and \( r \in \pi(F(H)) \subseteq \pi_1(G) \). We show that \( r \) and 241 are adjacent in \( \Gamma(H) \). Since \( \Gamma(H) = \Gamma(S) = \Gamma(H/\langle O_r(H) \rangle \times \Phi(O_r(H))) \), without loss of generality, we can assume that \( F(H) = O_r(H) \) and \( O_r(H) \) is elementary abelian. By Lemma 2.14 we can assume that \( H = O_r(H) \times E_8(2) \). If \( r = 2 \), then by Lemma 2.15 each element from \( E_8(2) \) has a non-zero fixed point on \( O_2(H) \). In particular, 2 and 241 are adjacent in \( \Gamma(H) \). Thus, \( r \neq 2 \). By [20], the group \( E_8(2) \) has a subgroup isomorphic to \( 3D_4(4) \). Now by Lemma 2.10 each element of order 241 has a non-zero fixed point on \( O_r(H) \). Thus, in any case \( s(H) \leq 3 \), a contradiction.

\[\square\]

6 Other graphs

In this section we give the proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7.

**Proof of Theorem 1.6** For each of the four possible types of graph, \( G \) and \( H \) have the same order, so their Gruenberg–Kegel graphs have the same set of vertices.
We show that in all cases except the power graph, primes $p$ and $q$ are adjacent in the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of $G$ if and only if there is a maximal clique in the graph $T(G)$ with size divisible by $pq$. This is clear in the cases of the enhanced power graph and the commuting graph; for the maximal cliques in these are maximal cyclic subgroups or maximal abelian subgroups of $G$ respectively, and if their order is divisible by $pq$ (where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes), then they contain elements of order $pq$. Conversely an element of order $pq$ is contained in a maximal cyclic (or abelian) subgroup.

Consider the deep commuting graph of a group $G$. It is shown in [18] that this graph is the projection onto $G$ of the commuting graph of a Schur cover of $G$ [95]. Let $K$ be a Schur cover of $G$, with $K/Z \cong G$. A maximal clique has the form $A = B/Z$, where $B$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of $K$ (containing $Z$). So $A$ is an abelian subgroup of $G$, and if $pq$ divides $|A|$ (with $p$ and $q$ distinct primes), then $A$ contains an element of order $pq$. Conversely, suppose that $p$ and $q$ are joined in the Gruenberg–Kegel graph, and let $x$ and $y$ be commuting elements of orders $p$ and $q$ in $G$, and $a$ and $b$ their lifts in $K$. Then $a$ and $b$ are contained in $\langle Z, ab \rangle$, which is an extension of a central subgroup by a cyclic group and hence is abelian; so $a$ and $b$ commute. Choosing a maximal abelian subgroup of $K$ containing $a$ and $b$ and projecting onto $G$ gives a maximal clique in the deep commuting graph of $G$, with order divisible by $pq$.

For the power graph, the assertion that an element of order $pq$ is contained in a clique of size $pq$ fails: for example, the power graph of $C_6$ is not a clique. Instead, we use the fact, shown in [110], that groups with isomorphic power graphs also have isomorphic enhanced power graphs; so they have equal Gruenberg–Kegel graphs, by what has already been proved.

**Proof of Theorem 1.7** The equivalence of (a) and (b) is [1, Theorem 28]; we give the proof for completeness. If the group $G$ contains an element $g$ of order $pq$, where $p$ and $q$ are primes, then $\langle g^p, g^q \rangle = \langle g \rangle$, so $g^p$ and $g^q$ are joined in the enhanced power graph, but not in the power graph. Conversely, suppose that there are no edges in the Gruenberg–Kegel graph of $G$. Then every element of $G$ has prime power order. Suppose that $g$ and $h$ are joined in the enhanced power graph of $G$, so that they generate a cyclic group, necessarily of prime power order. This group must be generated by one of $g$ and $h$, say $g$; then $h$ is a power of $g$, so $g$ and $h$ are adjacent in the power graph.

We now turn to the equivalence of (b) and (c).

Finite groups whose Gruenberg–Kegel graphs do not have edges (these groups are known as EPPO-groups) were investigated by many authors (see, for example, [40, 98, 102], also see [71, 72] and [5, Proposition]). Let us just summarize these results and obtain the explicit list of such groups given in (c). This result does not depend on the classification of finite simple groups.

**Lemma 6.1** (See [17], see also, for example, [118, Lemma 1])

Let $G = FH$ be a Frobenius group with kernel $F$ and complement $H$. Then

(a) $F = F(G)$ is the Fitting subgroup of $G$ and $|H|$ divides $|F| - 1$. 
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(b) Each subgroup of order $pq$ from $H$, where $p$ and $q$ are (not necessary distinct) primes, is cyclic. In particular, each Sylow subgroup of $H$ is either cyclic or a (generalized) quaternion group.

(c) If $|H|$ is even, then $H$ contains a unique involution.

(d) If $H$ is non-solvable, then $H$ contains a subgroup $K = S \times Z$, where $S \cong SL_2(5)$, $(|S|, |Z|) = 1$, and $|H : K| \in \{1, 2\}$.

Lemma 6.2 ([21, Lemma 4]) Let $G$ be a finite simple group, $F$ is a field of characteristic $p > 0$, $V$ is a absolute irreducible $GF$-module, and $\beta$ is a Brauer character of $V$. If $g \in G$ is an element of prime order distinct from $p$, then

$$\dim_{GF} (V) = \left(\beta(g), 1(g)\right) = \frac{1}{|g|} \sum_{x \in (g)} \beta(x).$$

Lemma 6.3 ([16, Theorem 1]) Assume that every non-trivial element of a finite solvable group $G$ of composite order is of prime power order. Then $|\pi(G)| \leq 2$.

The following assertion is easy to prove, and can be found, for example, in [75, Theorem 1].

Lemma 6.4 Let $G$ be a finite group with $t(G) \geq 3$. Then $G$ is non-solvable.

Proof Let $G$ be a finite solvable group. Assume that $\{p, q, r\}$ is an induced 3-coclique in $\Gamma(G)$. By the Hall Theorem, $G$ contains a $\{p, q, r\}$-Hall subgroup $H$. Then $H$ is solvable, $|\pi(H)| = 3$ and $\Gamma(H)$ is a 3-coclique, a contradiction to Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 6.5 (See [102, Theorem 16]) Assume that every non-trivial element of a finite simple group $G$ of composite order is of prime power order. Then $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups: $PSL_2(q)$ for $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 17\}$, $PSL_3(4)$, $Sz(q)$ for $q \in \{8, 32\}$.

Lemma 6.6 (See [101, Proposition 3.2]) Let $G$ be a finite group, $H \leq G$, and $G/H \cong PSL_2(q)$, where $q$ is odd and $q > 5$, and let $C_H(t) = 1$ for some element $t$ of order 3 from $G \setminus H$. Then $H = 1$.

Lemma 6.7 (See [46, Theorem 8.2], [101, Proposition 4.2]) Let $G$ be a finite group, $1 \neq H \leq G$, and $G/H \cong PSL_2(2^n)$, where $n \geq 2$. Assume that $C_H(t) = 1$ for some element $t$ of order 3 from $G$. Then $H = O_2(G)$ and $H$ is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of $G$, each of which is of order $2^n$ and as a $G/H$-module is isomorphic to the natural $GF(2^n)SL_2(2^n)$-module.

Lemma 6.8 (See [75, Theorem, Remark 1]) Let $G$ be a finite group, $1 \neq H \leq G$, $G/H \cong Sz(q)$ for $q \in \{8, 32\}$. Assume that $C_H(t) = 1$ for some element $t$ of order 5 from $G$. Then $H = O_2(G)$ and $H$ is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of $G$, each of which is of order $2^n$ and as a $G/O_2(G)$-module is isomorphic to the natural $GF(2^n)Sz(2^n)$-module of dimension 4.
Let $G$ be a finite group such that $\Gamma(G)$ is a coclique.

The case $|\pi(G)| = 1$ is clear. Let $|\pi(G)| = 2$. In this case $G$ is solvable. Now by Lemma 2.3 $G$ is a Frobenius group or 2-Frobenius group. It is easy to see that for any Frobenius group or 2-Frobenius group $G$ with $|\pi(G)| = 2$, $\Gamma(G)$ is a 2-coclique. Note that a rather detailed description of solvable EPPO-groups can be found in [102, section 2].

Suppose that $|\pi(G)| \geq 3$. Then by Lemma 6.3, $G$ is non-solvable. By Lemma 2.3, either $G/F(G)$ is almost simple or $G$ is a non-solvable Frobenius group. By Lemma 6.1 in the latter case, it is easy to see that $G/O(G)$ contains an element of order 10, therefore $\Gamma(G)$ is not a coclique. Thus, $G/F(G)$ is almost simple. Moreover, $\Gamma(G/F(G))$ is a coclique (that is, $G/F(G)$ is an EPPO-group), and $|F(G)| \leq 1$ since $\pi(F(G))$ form a clique in $\Gamma(G)$. By Lemma 6.5, $\operatorname{Soc}(G/F(G))$ is one of the following groups: $\operatorname{PSL}_2(q)$ for $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 17\}$, $\operatorname{PSL}_2(4)$, $\operatorname{Sz}(q)$ for $q \in \{8, 32\}$. Note that $|\pi(\operatorname{PSL}_2(q))| = 3$ if $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 17\}$ and $|\pi(\operatorname{PSL}_2(9))| = |\pi(\operatorname{Sz}(8))| = |\pi(\operatorname{Sz}(32))| = 4$. Using information in the Atlas of Finite Groups [20] we conclude that $G/F(G)$ is one of the following EPPO-groups: $A_5 \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(4) \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(9)$, $A_6 \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(9)$, $\operatorname{PSL}_2(7)$, $\operatorname{PSL}_2(8)$, $\operatorname{PSL}_2(17)$, $M_{10} \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(9).23$, $\operatorname{Sz}(q)$ for $q \in \{8, 32\}$. This list can be found also in [102, section 3].

Assume that $\operatorname{Soc}(G/F(G))$ is $A_6 \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(9)$, $\operatorname{PSL}_2(7)$, or $\operatorname{PSL}_2(17)$. It is easy to see that in this case if $F(G) \neq 1$, then $F(G) = O_p(G)$ for some $p \in \pi(G)$. If $p \neq 3$, then by Lemma 6.6, $F(G) = 1$. Now suppose that $F(G) = O_3(G)$. Consider an involution $x \in G$. By Lemma 2.3, the subgroup $O_3(G)x$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $O_3(G)$ and complement $\langle x \rangle$. Therefore, by Lemma 6.1, $|O_3(G)|$ divides $|x| − 1 = 1$. Thus, $F(G) = 1$.

If $G/F(G) \cong \operatorname{PSL}_3(4)$, then [20] shows that $G/F(G)$ contains a maximal subgroup isomorphic to $\operatorname{PSL}_2(7)$ and again we conclude that $F(G) = 1$ as in the previous paragraph.

Assume that $G/F(G)$ is $\operatorname{Sz}(8)$ or $\operatorname{Sz}(32)$. Again if $F(G) \neq 1$, then $F(G) = O_p(G)$ for some $p \in \pi(G)$. If $p \neq 5$, then by Lemma 6.8, $F(G) = O_2(G)$ is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of $G$, each of which is of order $2^n$ and as a $G/O_2(G)$-module is isomorphic to the natural $\operatorname{GF}(2^n)\operatorname{Sz}(2^n)$-module of dimension 4. For converse, Lemma 6.2 and tables of the 2-modular Brauer characters of groups $\operatorname{Sz}(8)$ and $\operatorname{Sz}(32)$ (see Pages 63 and 197 in [19], respectively) imply that in this case $\Gamma(G)$ is a 4-coclique. Now suppose that $F(G) = O_3(G)$. As above, considering an involution $x \in G$, we conclude that by Lemma 2.3, the subgroup $O_3(G)x$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $O_3(G)$ and complement $\langle x \rangle$. Therefore, by Lemma 6.1, $|O_3(G)|$ divides $|x| − 1 = 1$. Thus, $F(G) = 1$.

Assume that $G/F(G)$ is $A_5 \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(4)$ or $\operatorname{PSL}_2(8)$. Again if $F(G) \neq 1$, then $F(G) = O_p(G)$ for some $p \in \pi(G)$. If $p \neq 3$, then by Lemma 6.7, we conclude as above that $F(G) = O_2(G)$ is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of $G$, each of which is of order $2^n$ and as a $G/O_2(G)$-module is isomorphic to the natural $\operatorname{GF}(2^n)\operatorname{SL}_2(2^n)$-module. For converse, Lemma 6.2 and tables of the 2-modular Brauer characters of groups $A_5$ and $\operatorname{PSL}_2(8)$ (see Pages 2 and 6 from [23], respectively) imply that in this case $\Gamma(G)$ is a 3-coclique. As above,
we prove that if $F(G) = O_3(G)$, then $F(G) = 1$. □
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