Comprehensive analysis of transcriptome data stemness indices identifies key genes for controlling cancer stem cell characteristics in gastric cancer
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Background: Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are the tumor cell of origin with self-renewing ability and multi-differentiation potency. CSCs can play vital roles in gastric cancer (GC) metastasis and relapse. However, the genes that regulate the stemness maintenance of CSCs in GC patients remain largely unknown. In the present study, we sought to determine the key genes associated with stemness in GC patients.

Methods: mRNA expression-based stemness index (mRNA SI) was analyzed with regard to the differential expression levels between normal and GC tissues, as well as clinical features and survival outcomes. Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) was performed to identify modules of interest and key genes. The differences in mRNA expression of key genes between normal and GC tissues were calculated by “ggpubr” package in R. Gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) analysis were carried out to annotate the function of key genes. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) and gene co-expression analyses were conducted using STRING and “corrplot” package in R, respectively.

Results: mRNA SI score was markedly increased in GC tumor compared to normal tissues. High mRNA SI score was remarkably associated with more advanced tumor stage and higher pathologic grade, but longer survival times. Based on the results of WGCNA, 19 key genes (i.e., BUB1, BUB1B, KIF14, NCAPH, RACGAP1, KIF15, CENPF, TPX2, RAD54L, KIF18B, TTX, KIF4A, SGO2, PLK4, ARHGAP11A, XRCC2, C1orf112, NCAPG, ORC6) were identified. GO and KEGG functional analyses revealed that these 19 key genes were mainly related to cell proliferation. From PPI and gene co-expression analyses, these 19 key genes were discovered to be intensively associated with each other at both protein and transcription levels.

Conclusions: Our study identified 19 key genes that play vital roles in the stemness maintenance of CSCs in GC patients. Targeting these key genes may help to control CSC characteristics in GC.
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Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the leading causes of death worldwide, with approximately 1.2 million incident cases and about 865,000 deaths (1). Although many achievements have been obtained for GC treatment, the 5-year survival rate of GC patients with advanced stage still remains low (2,3).

Cancer stem cells (CSCs), the cancer cell-of-origin, are characterized by having the capabilities of self-renewal or differentiation, which may serve as a trigger of tumorigenesis, malignant progression, metastasis and multi-drug resistance (4-7). Cancer stemness index (SI), a parameter for assessing the degree of oncogenic dedifferentiation, has been widely studied with artificial intelligence and deep learning methods. Recently, it has been reported two independent stemness indices, namely, mRNA SI and mDNA SI, can be used to distinguish the molecular profiles of tumor cells with different stemness degrees. mRNA SI is a reflective of gene expression, while mDNA SI can reflect epigenetic changes (8). Both stemness indices are correlated to the biological processes in CSCs, tumor dedifferentiation, and histopathological classification.

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), is an open-access integrated database that provides genomic, epigenomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic data along with histopathological and clinical information (9). It has been demonstrated that the specific molecular subtypes of tumors obtained from TCGA are associated with mRNA SI and mDNA SI features (8).

Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA), is mainly used to construct gene network by identifying and weighing the gene pairs according to the correlation between expression levels (10,11). Additionally, WGCNA can be applied to discern network topology and subnetwork, also known as modules, in order to measure the similarity between genes. Only genes that have a strong weighted connection with each other in the network can establish a gene expression module. In other words, the genes involved in the module are even more significantly associated with sample traits compared to the comparative genes. Thus far, the role of mRNA SI in several cancers has been evaluated to identify stemness-related genes by comprehensive analysis of TCGA data with WGCNA (12-14). Bai and their colleagues (12) identified 21 key genes as candidate therapeutic targets to inhibit the stemness of liver cancer through WGCNA on TCGA database. Pan Shen and their colleagues (13) also identified 13 key genes that could play vital roles in the maintenance of bladder CSCs through WGCNA using TCGA database. However, the key genes that involved in the stemness maintenance of GC stem cells are still not fully understood.

In this work, mRNA SI score and WGCNA were applied to identify potential stemness-related genes in GC patients. Our novel findings indicated a considerable number of genes associated with GC stemness and offered new insights into the molecular features of GC stem cells. The study was based on the TCGA Research Network: https://www.cancer.gov/tcga, thus, ethical approval was not needed.

Methods

Data acquisition and procession

The transcriptomic data of 32 normal tissue and 375 GC samples were obtained from TCGA database (http://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/). Subsequently, the gene IDs were converted to a matrix of gene symbol with the use of Ensembl database (http://asia.ensembl.org/index.html). Next, the clinical data of 443 cases were also retrieved from TCGA database for the subsequent analysis.

mRNA SI scores and clinical significance

To assess the prognostic values of mRNA SI score, survival analysis was carried out by using the “survival” package in R language. Statistical significance was determined by log-rank tests. Next, we evaluated the correlation between mRNA SI scores and GC patients’ clinicopathologic characteristics, including tumor grade, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage, and overall survival (OS).

Differential expression analysis

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between normal stomach and GC tissues were determined using the following selection criteria: (I) |log2 fold change| > 1; and (II) false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05. For genes with similar names, the fold-change values were averaged. This procedure was completed using “edgeR” package in R.

WGCNA analysis

WGCNA and module preservation

WGCNA analysis was conducted by using the WGCNA package in R software. To ensure the accuracy and heterogeneity of subsequent co-expression network analysis,
the genes with the greatest variance (25%) in expression were selected for WGCNA analysis. First, RNA-seq data filtering was carried out to remove outliers. The absolute values of the correlations among mRNA expression levels were determined by a co-expression similarity matrix. The paired genes were constructed through a Pearson’s correlation matrix. A power function $a_{mn} = |c_{mn}|^\beta$ (where $a_{mn} = \text{adjacency between gene } m \text{ and gene } n; c_{mn} = \text{Pearson’s correlation between gene } m \text{ and gene } n$) was adopted to construct the weighted adjacency matrix. The parameter $\beta$ is a soft thresholding estimator that defines a correlation power, and was applied to emphasize and penalize the strong and weak relationships between genes, respectively. Then, a proper $\beta$ value was chosen to enhance the similarity matrix and provide a scale-free co-expression network. Next, the adjacency matrix was converted into a topological overlap matrix (TOM) to measure the network connectivity among genes, as denoted as the sum of adjacent genes obtained from all other networks. Through the use of TOM-based dissimilarity measure, an average linkage hierarchical clustering was carried out with a minimum size (gene group) of 30. Finally, their dissimilarity was calculated through further analysis of modules, and the module dendrograms were constructed.

**Confirmation of significant modules**

To explore the association between genes and sample traits, gene significance (GS) was calculated to evaluate the significance of each module. For each gene module, module eigengenes (MEs) were regarded as the pivotal components in the principal component analysis. Next, the expression pattern of each gene was encapsulated as a unitary feature expression profile with specific modules. Based on the linear regression between clinical data and gene expression, GS was interpreted as the log_{10} conversion of the P value (GS = lgP). Then, module significance (MS) was used to explore the relationship between sample traits and each module, which was defined as the average GS within the module. A cutoff value of less than 0.25 was set to merge all modules with the same length for the sake of increasing the capacity of each module. According to a report by Malta et al. (8), mRNA SI and epigenetically regulated mRNA SI were selected as the clinical phenotypes. Finally, the association between clinical phenotypes and gene expression modules was evaluated.

**Identification of key genes**

Firstly, modules of interest were selected. Then, GS and module membership (MM, the relationship of the module’s genes and expression profiles) were calculated according to the following threshold values: cor.gene GS >0.5 and cor. gene MM >0.8.

**Validation of key genes**

To validate the differential mRNA expression levels of key genes between normal tissue and GC tumors, “ggpubr” package in R software was used to calculate their differences. The scatter distribution plot of key genes was then presented in a heatmap.

**Gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) analysis**

Through the use of “clusterProfiler” package in R software, GO functional annotation and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis were performed with the following threshold values: P<0.01 and FDR <0.05.

**Protein-protein interaction (PPI) analysis**

PPI was analyzed by using STRING version 11.0 (https://www.string-db.org,) with multi-seed based regulatory modules.

**Gene co-expression analysis**

The co-expression relationships between the key genes were determined by Pearson’s correlation analysis. All the calculations were completed using “corrplot” package in R.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses in the present study were carried out in R version 3.6.1. The Kaplan-Meier curve was drawn to analyze the survival difference between the normal group and the tumor group. The correlation between key genes was analyzed by Pearson’s correlation analysis. P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**mRNA SI is correlated with clinical characteristics of GC**

By analyzing the transcriptomic data of 32 normal tissue and 375 GC samples, mRNA SI score was found to be markedly increased in tumor tissue compared to normal tissue.
(Figure 1A). High mRNA SI score was remarkably associated with higher pathological grade, more advanced stage and higher T stage (Figure 1B,C,D). Further, we performed survival analysis between low and high mRNA SI scores. Similar to a recent report by Pan and co-workers (13), GC patients with higher mRNA SI scores exhibited improved OS compared those with lower mRNA SI scores (Figure 1E), which are opposed to our understanding of CSCs.

Screening of DEGs

By comparing the tumor and normal tissues of GC patients, 6,739 DEGs were identified, of which 5,592 were upregulated, and 1,147 were downregulated. Volcano map (Figure 2A) shows the distribution of all DEGs in the dimensions of -log (FDR) and log FC; while heatmap (Figure 2B) demonstrates the numerical data representing the expression profiles of DEGs.

WGCNA analysis

After eliminating outlier samples, the 6,739 DEGs with top 25% of variance were selected for a module. As shown in Figure 3A,B,C, after considering a soft threshold of $\beta=4$ (scale-free $R^2=0.910$), 16 modules were obtained for subsequent analysis.

MS was employed to assess the correlation between gene expression modules and clinical phenotypes. Notably, the most remarkable association was found between mRNA SI and blue module, with a correlation value of $-0.78$. The brown and pink modules, with the correlation values of 0.77 and $-0.56$ respectively, also exhibited relatively high correlations with mRNA SI (Figure 3D). As a result, the blue module was chosen for subsequent analysis.

To screen the potential key genes, the threshold values

---

**Figure 1** The correlations between mRNA SI and clinical characteristic of gastric cancer. (A) mRNA SI scores are significantly different between normal and tumor tissues; (B,C,D) high mRNA SI scores are remarkably associated with higher pathological grade, more advanced stage and higher T stage; (E) GC patients with higher mRNA SI scores had better OS than those with lower mRNA SI scores.
of mRNA SI group were set as cor.MM >0.8 and cor. GS >0.5. A total of 19 key genes, namely, BUB1 mitotic checkpoint serine/threonine kinase (BUB1), BUB1 mitotic checkpoint serine/threonine kinase B (BUB1B), kinesin family member 14 (KIF14), non-SMC condensing I complex subunit H (NCAPH), Rac GTPase activating protein 1 (RACGAP1), kinesin family member 15 (KIF15), centromere protein F (CENPF), TPX2 microtubule nucleation factor (TPX2), RAD 54 like (RAD54L), kinesin family member 18B (KIF18B), TTK protein kinase (TTK), kinesin family member 4A (KIF4A), shugoshin 2 (SGO2), polo like kinase 4 (PLK4), Rho GTPase activating protein 11A (ARHGAP11A), X-ray repair cross complementing 2 (XRCC2), chromosome 1 open reading frame 112 (C1orf112), non-SMC condensing I complex subunit G (NCAPG), origin recognition complex subunit 6 (ORC6) were successfully screened out (Figure 3E,F,G).

Validation of the expression changes in key genes

All the 19 key genes were highly expressed in GC tissues compared to normal samples (Figure 4A). The heatmap demonstrated the scatter distribution plot of key genes (Figure 4B).

GO and KEGG analysis

GO analysis showed that the 19 key genes were related to biological process (e.g., sister chromatid segregation and nuclear chromosome segregation) as well as cellular component (e.g., spindle, chromosome and centromeric region), suggesting that these key genes are involved in cell proliferation (Figure 5A). In addition, KEGG analysis revealed that these 19 key genes are mainly associated with the pathways in cell cycle (Figure 5B).

PPI analysis

The PPI relationships among the 19 key genes were analyzed by STRING, and the results demonstrated a strong relationship between these key genes (Figure 5C). Barplot figure showed that BUB1, NCAPG, TPX2 had the most nodes with other genes (Figure 5D).

Gene co-expression analysis

A strong co-expression association was found among the 19 key genes at the transcriptional level (Figure 6). Notably, the highest correlation (0.88) was observed between KIF14 and CENPF, while the lowest correlation (0.52) was between ORC6 and KIF4A.

Discussion

CSCs are considered to be tumorigenic, along with the capabilities of self-renewal and differentiation (4,7). CSCs
Figure 3 Weighted gene co-expression network of GC. (A) Clustering of samples and removal of outliers; (B) analysis of network topology for various soft-thresholding powers based on scale independence and mean connectivity; (C) identification of a co-expression module in GC; (D) association between the gene modules and clinical traits. Scatter plots of module eigengenes in blue (E), brown (F), and pink (G) modules. GC, gastric cancer.
are believed to have progenitor/stem cell-like features with the loss-of-differentiation state, which play crucial roles in tumor progression, metastasis, and recurrence (4-7). In the present study, CSC stemness-related key genes were identified from WGCNA analysis based on mRNA SI scores. Notably, mRNA SI scores were significantly higher in tumor samples than in normal tissues. Higher mRNA SI scores were associated with more progressive pathological grade, clinical outcomes, and pathological T stage, indicating that CSCs are involved in tumorigenesis and progression.

Furtherly, WGCNA were performed to construct a DEGs co-expression network, in order to uncover biological gene modules relying on average linkage hierarchical clustering and to identify genes associated with GC stemness characteristics. The parameter $\beta$ in WGCNA was selected as 4 (scale-free $R^2=0.910$) for constructing a scale-free network. To identify the modules of interest, GS and MM were calculated for each gene. To further explore the association between gene module and mRNA SI, MS was defined as the overall gene expression level of a certain module for the subsequent analysis. As illustrated in Figure 3D, the most remarkable association was found between mRNA SI and blue module, with a correlation value of $-0.78$. Then, in accordance with other reports, the threshold values of cor.gene GS (0.5) and cor.gene MM (0.8) was established to identify key genes in the blue module, and the results showed that a considerable number of genes were identified. The interactions among the proteins encoded by key genes and co-expression association at the transcriptional level were both strong. These findings are consistent with clinical observations demonstrating that these 19 key genes can affect GC development and progression.

As opposed to our understanding of CSCs, GC patients with higher mRNA SI scores exhibited improved OS compared to those with lower mRNA SI scores, which are similar to the findings of Pan and co-workers (13). They also found that mRNA SI is a stemness comprehensive index, which can be affected by the purity of tumor (13). However, the influence of tumor purity can be eliminated by the corrected mRNA SI (mRNAsi/tumor purity). It has been reported that the patients with higher corrected mRNA SI scores may exhibit significantly worse OS. The corrected mRNA SI scores can be calculated based on tumor purity derived from previous findings (15). However, we failed to calculate the corrected mRNA SI scores in GC due to a lack of necessary data.

GO analysis revealed that the 19 key genes were mainly involved in cell proliferation, while KEGG analysis revealed that the 19 key genes were primarily involved in the pathways of cell cycle. All these key genes have been shown to participate in cancer development and pathogenesis. $BUB1$ is involved in chromosome segregation, and the target inhibition of $BUB1$ kinase can sensitize tumor cells toward taxanes (16). $BUB1B$ is a vital mitotic spindle checkpoint player, and its overexpression may enhance the progression of prostate cancer (PCa) and correlated with unfavorable clinical features (17). Yang and colleagues have reported that $KIF14$ is highly expressed in GC samples and cell line, thus promoting GC progression and metastasis (18). Upregulation of $NCAPH$ has been found

Figure 4 Validation of key genes. (A) Differential expression of the 19 key genes between normal and GC tissues; (B) the heatmap showing the scatter distribution of key genes.
in colorectal cancer tissue. Silencing NCAPH can suppress colon cancer cell migration and proliferation both in vitro and in vivo, as well as promote cell cycle arrest at G2/M phase (19). RACGAP1 is overexpressed in several cancer cell lines following radiation, and the knockdown of RACGAP1 can inhibit cell viability and invasiveness after radiotherapy (20). KIF15 is highly expressed in pancreatic tumor samples, and its overexpression is associated with shorter survival times and pancreatic cancer growth (21). CENPF is involved in cancer proliferation and metastasis (22,23). Knocking down of CENPF can alter the metabolic profiles of PCa cells and thus inhibit their proliferation (23). TPX2, also known as TPX2 microtubule nucleation factor, has been found to promote cancer cell progression. Silencing of TPX2 exerts anti-cancer effects on various cancer cells (24-26). RAD54L has been found to be associated with tumorigenesis and radioresistance in glioblastoma patients (27). KIF18B has been reported to be upregulated in cutaneous melanoma and correlated with poor clinical outcomes (28). Functional experiments have suggested that KIF18B can aggravate the progression of cervical cancer (29). TTK is associated with the malignancy

Figure 5 GO (A) and KEGG (B) enrichment analysis of the 19 key genes. (C) The protein interaction relationships among the key genes. (D) Barplot figure showing the degree of involvement of each key gene in the PPI network.
of PCa, and is thought to be a potential therapeutic target for cancer therapy (30). KIF4A is considered to be a potential gene in mediating tumorigenesis and cancer progression, which is related with shorter OS in a wide variety of tumors (31,32). SGO2 is highly expressed in cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (33). PLK4 is a promising target with potential clinical application, which promotes cancer invasion and metastasis (34,35). Both ARHGAP11A and XRCC2 are highly expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma (36,37), and knockdown of C1orf112 can suppress the growth of HeLa cells (38), indicating these genes may be involved in cancer development. NCAPG has been found to be correlated with shorter disease-free survival and advanced clinical stage. Silencing of NCAPG can suppress the aggressiveness cancer cells (39). ORC6 has been demonstrated to be highly expressed in colorectal cancer tissues, and downregulated expression of ORC6 sensitizes colon cancer cells to chemotherapy (40).

More importantly, some of these key genes have been found to play vital roles in maintaining the stemness of CSCs. Han and colleagues have reported that silencing of BUB1 can reduce the CSC potential of breast cancer cells, demonstrating that this gene is involved in the function of CSCs (41). BUB1B has been found to participate in the stemness maintenance of brain tumor-initiating cells (42). Huang and co-workers have report that TPX2 is associated with the development of breast CSCs, and lovastatin can sensitize breast CSCs to radiotherapy by affecting TPX2 gene expression (43). In addition, RACGAP1 and KIF18B are considered to play critical roles in the regulation of bladder CSCs (13).

Some limitations to the present study should be highlighted. First, the expression and function of these key genes that play vital roles in the stemness maintenance of CSCs in GC patients should be validated in cellular and molecular experiments. Indeed, we will validate our results in the further experiments as soon as possible. Next, non-coding RNA have been recognized to be crucial in maintaining the stemness of CSCs (44-48); however, our study focused on the identification of key genes that...
controlling stemness of GC stem cells, thus non-coding RNA was not included in our analysis.

**Conclusions**

In summary, 19 key genes were identified to play a critical role in the stemness maintenance of gastric CSCs. These key genes can be chosen as therapeutic targets for suppressing the stemness of CSCs in GC patients. Nevertheless, further experimental studies are still required to validate our findings.
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