The impact of factors on the learning profile of orphaned children in particular in Harare, Zimbabwe
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Abstract
Background: The impact assessment of HIV/AIDS on the Education Sector in Zimbabwe (2001-2002) revealed that out of 1009 interviewed students within the range of 15-19 years, 286 (28%) were orphans, 100 (9.9%) had lost their mothers whilst 186 (18.4%) had lost their fathers. The same report stated that the assessment was not able to quantify in any rigorous way the impact that orphanhood had on educational outcomes.

Materials and Methods: The Evaluation-Process and Outcome design was used, with a random selection of a sample of 516 secondary school students and 18 teachers in the Harare Metropolitan. Maslow’s hierarchy of needs, was the theoretical framework. The study was approved by respective ethical review boards. All participants gave written informed consent. The SPSS statistical software was used for analysis.

Results: Students who lost one parent more than four years prior to the study performed better. In the peri-urban area, those orphaned for one year or less performed with a significant difference (p < .023). Accompanying the compromised performance was lack of books, which was significantly different (p < .033). Orphans without mothers lacked financial resources, and there was a significant difference in their performance, according to average coursework marks (p < .001) and average examination marks (p < .005). Those orphaned for one year or less, (40% n = 15) reported absenteeism caused by accompanying the sick people to the clinic. There were significant perceptions in responses to psychological scale statements; ‘I feel lonely all the time’ (p < .000); ‘I feel lonely all the time’ (p < .008). Those orphaned for one year or less (62% n = 29) and those orphaned for two to three years (62.5% n = 48) had less information on HIV/AIDS prevention.

Conclusion: Being orphaned for one year or less presented challenges that impacted negatively on learning; the impact of lack on orphans without mothers influenced their learning outcomes; and that different challenges arose depending on the type of orphan and the length of orphanhood, whilst two to three years category required re-adjustment. In giving assistance, priority must be given to the double orphan
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Introduction
Child population makes up 2.2 billion of 7 billion world populations; 143 to 210 million of child population are orphaned and the regions where most orphans are living include Asia, Africa, Latin America and the Middle East [2]. Orphanhood has remained a huge phenomenon in Zimbabwe. Its size continues to defy instituted measures to curb the spread of HIV, since the report of the first AIDS case in 1985. The prevalence of HIV infection has remained uncomfortably high, adding to a reported 1, 400 000 orphans, 22.67% of the total population [2]. The Government of Zimbabwe has continued to implement the National Case Management System in order to address the needs of the Orphans and Vulnerable Children. School related assistance has improved coverage through Basic Education Assistance Module (BEAM) [3]. Researchers assessed the effects of providing school support on educational outcomes of orphan girls in rural Zimbabwe. Results indicated comprehensive support reduced school dropout and absence but did not improve test scores, further research was needed about contextual factors affecting girls’ school participation and learning [4]. Other causes of orphanhood include road traffic accidents, war (the Syrian war), natural disasters (recent Cyclone Idai, March 2019) and violence [5]. Orphans are exposed to being trafficked, given up for adoption, enlisted to unclear missionary work, child soldier recruitment, child labour, organ mafia, getting involved in criminal activities and substance abuse because of their vulnerability [2]. Loss of loved ones, particularly during childhood, brings with it, depressive thoughts and feelings to include sadness, anger and guilt, which are normal at time of bereavement. With passage of time, the negative emotions are expected to disappear [6]. Psychosocial stresses have been identified in HIV illness with the prospect of death in the family being obvious but, often not discussed with children [6]. The same authority mentioned that children are highly traumatized by
watching parents die and not being able to talk about it, stress and depression could compromise function and well-being in all areas of family life including school performance. Case studies from Eastern and Southern Africa also shed light on consequences of HIV/AIDS for teaching and learning due to large numbers of teachers who have succumbed to HIV [7]. The anticipated behavioural changes attributed to learning outcomes in literacy, numeracy and life skills encompass vision, character and competence. Thus, the moral development theories of both Kohlberg [8] and Gilligan [9], Maslow [10] and Erickson’s [11] psychosocial theories of development are relevant in the acquisition of life skills. These theories underpin the importance of morality and self-worth to learning in orphanhood as young people grapple with distinguishing right from wrong and identifying themselves as unique persons. Orphans must make choices that enhance their self-esteem and self-preservation in order to improve their chances of survival in an environment where they are at great risk of infection with HIV and exposure to aforementioned death-traps. Reviewed literature revealed factors that deter learning and thus unveiled the need for research into the learning of orphans. It is against this backdrop that the study assessed the impact of those factors on the learning profile of orphaned children in particular, providing empirical evidence regarding learning outcomes.

Materials and Method

This was a quantitative study. The Evaluation-Process and Outcome design was used because its strength lay in its ability to assess causal outcomes and impact [12]. Approval for the study was granted by respective ethical review boards. All participants gave written informed consent. Interviews were conducted privately and the researcher had sole access to filled-in questionnaires that were kept in a lockable cupboard. In this study five methods of data collection were used, namely experimental, structured questionnaires for students, observations of teaching sessions, document analysis and interviews with teachers. From randomly selected secondary schools in the Harare Metropolitan, after stratification, a sample of 516 form four students, aged between 14 and 19 years, and eighteen teachers (18) from 18 schools was drawn and interviewed. Maslow’s motivational, humanistic learning theory, was adopted as the theoretical framework for the study [10]. Three experimental groups were created to include those recently orphaned, those who had been orphaned for two to three years and finally those who had been orphaned for four years or more. These were compared to assess any learning differences and whether the impact of identified factors became greater as the years of orphanhood progressed. A total of 261 orphans constituted the experimental groups and the remainder, 245 non-orphaned students, constituted the control group within the sample. The SPSS statistical software was used for analysis. ANOVA was used to compare the means of three or more variables. Post-hoc analysis showed how the groups differed.

Application of Maslow’s theory to the study

The factors that impact on learning in orphanhood, identified in the literature review emanate from basic needs, which Maslow calls deficiency needs and arranges them hierarchically from the lowest need. The next level of needs Maslow calls growth/becoming needs; the lower level needs in this category are cognitive and aesthetic, and the higher-level needs are self-actualisation and self-transcendence ones [12]. According to Maslow one is ready to act upon growth needs only if deficiency needs are met. Orphanhood is characterized by deprivation and identified factors are akin to basic needs through which the impact of factors on learning was assessed.

Results

Coping mechanisms: Within orphanhood, 8 (36.4% n=22) of those orphaned for one year or less, 24(60.0%n= 40) orphaned for two to three years, and 28 (48.3%n= 58) orphaned for four years or more, suggested praying to God. Knowledge of HIV/AIDS: The majority of those orphaned for one year or less 18(62% n = 29) and those orphaned for two to three years 30(62.5% n = 48) and those orphaned for four years and more 39 (50% n=78) had less information on HIV and AIDS prevention. Sexual Relations: Pressurized into having sexual relations; those orphaned for one year or less; 8 (27.6% n=29); those orphaned for two to three years 8 (10.3% n=78) and those orphaned for four years and more 4 (8.9% n=45). Absenteeism: Those orphaned for one year or less; 8(60.0% n= 15); those orphaned two to three years 5(14.7% n=34); and those orphaned for four years and more 10 (20% n=48) were absent from school because they had to accompany sick people to the clinic.

Table 1: Duration of Orphanhood - Period since Parent passed away

| Period         | Mother | Father |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| One year or less | 15.2%  | 13.0%  |
| 2–3 years      | 23.9%  | 23.9%  |
| 4 years and more | 60.9%  | 63.0%  |

Table 2: Loss of either Father or Mother Performance of students according to period since one parent died.

| Subject            | 1 year or less | 2 – 3 years | 4 yrs and above | ANOVA significance Level | Decision       |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| **Arts**           |                |             |                 |                          |                |
| History            | 50.54          | 48.91       | 55.74           | 0.357                    | Means are not different |
| Shona              | 52.21          | 54.06       | 53.08           | 0.900                    | Means are not different |
| English            | 47.77          | 51.11       | 50.28           | 0.780                    | Means are not different |
| English Literature | 57.46          | 51.76       | 58.61           | 0.498                    | Means are not different |
| Religious Education| 38.67          | 47.71       | 38.88           | 0.649                    | Means are not different |
| **Science**        |                |             |                 |                          |                |
| Geography          | 53.09          | 47.88       | 54.43           | 0.370                    | Means are not different |
| Maths              | 57.36          | 54.58       | 60.54           | 0.575                    | Means are not different |
| Integrated Science | 55.00          | 55.90       | 55.07           | 0.989                    | Means are not different |
Table 3: Learning Outcomes in terms of Subject categories Performance of students by orphanhood

| Subject                 | Double orphan | Single orphan | Not orphaned | ANOVA significance Level | Decision       |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| **Arts**                |               |               |              |                          |                |
| History                 | 51.42         | 52.52         | 48.72        | 0.336                    | Means are not different |
| Shona                   | 50.27         | 52.67         | 51.74        | 0.734                    | Means are not different |
| English                 | 45.10         | 50.42         | 49.06        | 0.353                    | Means are not different |
| English Literature      | 59.95         | 55.33         | 59.14        | 0.458                    | Means are not different |
| Religious Education     | 40.75         | 42.63         | 46.48        | 0.559                    | Means are not different |
| **Science**             |               |               |              |                          |                |
| Geography               | 47.70         | 50.42         | 48.10        | 0.591                    | Means are not different |
| Maths                   | 55.81         | 56.71         | 56.91        | 0.988                    | Means are not different |
| Integrated Science      | 43.89         | 54.79         | 52.68        | 0.184                    | Means are not different |
| Physics                 | 33.07         | 51.50         | 49.45        | 0.098                    | Means are not different |
| Biology                 | 44.50         | 59.26         | 64.61        | 0.001                    | Means are different |
| Agriculture             | 61.50         | 51.47         | 52.29        | 0.649                    | Means are not different |
| **Commercials**         |               |               |              |                          |                |
| Commerce                | 53.92         | 54.55         | 48.38        | 0.295                    | Means are not different |
| Accounts                | 52.33         | 61.46         | 55.91        | 0.174                    | Means are not different |
| **Practical**           |               |               |              |                          |                |
| Food and Nutrition      | 62.83         | 53.73         | 53.50        | 0.368                    | Means are not different |
| Fashion and Fabrics     | 50.00         | 45.69         | 45.32        | 0.852                    | Means are not different |

Table 4a: Major problems experienced by students

| Problem                      | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F     | Sig. |
|------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| Unable to pay fees:          |                |    |             |       |      |
| Between Groups               | 8.016          | 3  | 2.672       | .808  | .490 |
| Within Groups                | 1064.294       | 322| 3.305       |       |      |
| Total                        | 1072.310       | 325|             |       |      |
| Lack of books:               |                |    |             |       |      |
| Between Groups               | 21.995         | 3  | 7.332       | 2.955 | .033 |
| Within Groups                | 853.523        | 344| 2.481       |       |      |
| Total                        | 875.517        | 347|             |       |      |
| Lack of uniforms:            |                |    |             |       |      |
| Between Groups               | 2.159          | 3  | .720        | .339  | .797 |
| Within Groups                | 637.390        | 300| 2.125       |       |      |
| Total                        | 639.549        | 303|             |       |      |
| Lack of bus fare:            |                |    |             |       |      |
| Between Groups               | 4.282          | 3  | 1.427       | .647  | .585 |
| Within Groups                | 628.438        | 285| 2.205       |       |      |
| Total                        | 632.720        | 288|             |       |      |
| Repeated academic failure:   |                |    |             |       |      |
| Between Groups               | 11.627         | 3  | 3.876       | 1.688 | .170 |
| Within Groups                | 656.804        | 286| 2.297       |       |      |
| Total                        | 668.431        | 289|             |       |      |
| Break up of home:            |                |    |             |       |      |
| Between Groups               | 3.967          | 3  | 1.322       | .428  | .733 |
| Within Groups                | 904.686        | 293| 3.088       |       |      |
| Total                        | 908.653        | 296|             |       |      |
Table 4b: Lack of Books Post Hoc Tests Homogeneous Subsets

| Lack of books | Tukey B                  |          |            |            |
|---------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|------------|
|               |                          | N        | 1          | 2          |
| A 5 If you lost one parent when did s/he die? |                          |          |            |            |
| one year or less ago |                          | 22       | 2.2727     |            |
| Not orphaned   |                          | 220      | 2.6000     | 2.6000     |
| four years or more ago |                          | 67       | 3.0000     | 3.0000     |
| 2-3 years ago  |                          | 39       | 3.2051     |            |
| Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed. |                          |          |            |            |
| A Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 44.166            |                          |          |            |            |
| B The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed. |                          |          |            |            |

Table 4c: Area of Location: Multiple Comparisons of Means: Average Examination Marks of Orphans

| Area of location | Orphan- 0-1 yr (p< .023) | Orphan- 2-3 yrs (p< .034) | Orphan- > 4 yrs (p< .001) |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Peri – Urban     | 34.8190                   | 39.5546                   | 42.7804                   |

Table 5: Loss of both parents: considering the period since the mother died Performance of students who lost both parents: taking into account the period since the mother died

| Subject            | one year or less | 2 – 3 years | 4 yrs and above | ANOVA Significance Level | Decision |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Arts               |                  |             |                 |                          |          |
| History            | 57.63            | 46.38       | 51.88           | 0.614                    | Means are not different |
| Shona              | 53.25            | 43.43       | 51.40           | 0.520                    | Means are not different |
| English            | 41.50            | 45.80       | 47.82           | 0.829                    | Means are not different |
| English Literature | 60.75            | 56.00       | 62.50           | 0.957                    | Means are not different |
| Religious Education| 24.50            | 34.50       | 58.67           | 0.051                    | Means are not different |
| Science            |                  |             |                 |                          |          |
| Geography          | 34.50            | 46.38       | 51.50           | 0.333                    | Means are not different |
| Integrated Science | 55.50            | 33.07       | 46.75           | 0.301                    | Means are not different |
| Biology            | 48.67            | 24.50       | 57.00           | 0.010                    | Means are different |
| Commercials        |                  |             |                 |                          |          |
| Commerce           | 39.50            | 44.50       | 56.00           | 0.582                    | Means are not different |
| Accounts           | 45.75            | 34.50       | 54.17           | 0.542                    | Means are not different |

Table 6: Descriptive Statistics: Average course work and examination marks of the orphans who had lost their mothers and those whose mothers were alive

| Question A3: Is your mother alive? | N  | Mean | Std Deviation | Std Error |
|------------------------------------|----|------|---------------|-----------|
| Average coursework mark Yes        |    |      |               |           |
| No                                | 391 | 41.887 | 12.875 9.512 | .651      |
| Total                             | 467 | 36.645 | 12.532        | 1.091     |
| Average coursework mark No        |    |      |               |           |
| No                                | 375 | 49.2369 | 15.2117 | .7855    |
| Total                             | 447 | 43.8203 | 13.3689 | 1.5755    |
| Average coursework mark Total     |    |      |               |           |
| No                                | 34.472 | 43.167 | 24.5          | 80.7      |
| Total                             | 39.894 | 38.819 | 24.5          | 70.2      |
| Average examination mark Yes      |    |      |               |           |
| No                                | 40.607 | 50.7815 | 24.5          | 85.45     |
| Total                             | 46.9656 | 49.7633 | 24.5          | 82.94     |
| Average examination mark No       |    |      |               |           |
| No                                | 40.6787 | 50.7815 | 24.5          | 85.45     |
| Total                             | 46.9656 | 49.7633 | 24.5          | 82.94     |

| Question A3: Is your mother alive? | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Lower Bound | Upper Bound | Minimum | Maximum |
| Average coursework mark Yes        | 40.607 | 43.167 | 24.5 | 80.7 |
| No                                | 34.472 | 38.819 | 24.5 | 70.2 |
| Total                             | 39.894 | 42.173 | 24.5 | 80.7 |
| Average examination mark Yes      | 47.6923 | 50.7815 | 24.5 | 85.45 |
| No                                | 40.6787 | 46.9618 | 24.50 | 82.94 |
| Total                             | 46.9656 | 49.7633 | 24.50 | 85.45 |

ANOVA: Average course work marks and examination marks

|                      | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F    | Sig   |
|----------------------|----------------|----|-------------|------|-------|
| Average coursework mark |                |    |             |      |       |
| Between Groups       | 1748.115       | 1  | 1748.115    | 11.379 | .001  |
| Within Groups        | 71438.569      | 465| 153.631     |      |       |
| Total                | 73186.683      | 466|             |      |       |
| Average examination mark |              |    |             |      |       |
| Between Groups       | 1772.226       | 1  | 1772.226    | 7.947 | .005  |
| Within Groups        | 99232.078      | 445| 222.993     |      |       |
| Total                | 101004.30      | 446|             |      |       |
Discussion

Specific factors and their impact on learning in orphanhood

Orphanhood creates a deprived environment that contrasts with the environment Maslow advocates as conducive to learning\(^5\). Table 1, showed that the majority of orphaned students in the study had lost parents in the four years preceding the study. Table 2, showed that students who lost one parent more than four years prior to the study performed better suggesting the use of coping mechanisms and also overcoming of feelings of loss as alluded to earlier in the literature\(^6\). Although means for Religious studies were not significantly different, all students failed this subject and yet faith in God, as their chosen coping mechanism was prayer, requires a sound knowledge-base and relates to Maslow’s higher-level needs of connecting to something beyond the ego.

Table 3, showed that performance of single orphans and double orphans did not differ significantly, but the post hoc analysis revealed that in most subjects, double orphans performed worse than others. Thus, despite location of school, (low density, high density and peri-urban) and period of orphanhood, the double orphan performed poorly. Statistically there was not much difference in the students’ means (single orphans and double orphans) except in Biology where double orphans were the worst performers but in Agriculture; Food and Nutrition; Fashion and Fabrics, they performed better than the single orphans.

In the study, the peri-urban areas in Harare are a low resource setting, and from there, those orphaned for one year or less performed poorly with a significant difference (\(p < .023\)) as depicted in the comparisons of means in Table 4 (c) and accompanying the compromised performance was lack of books, which was also significantly different (\(p < .033\)) in Table 4 (a), showing major problems encountered by students. Post hoc analysis in Table 4 (b) depicted the difference between those orphaned for one year or less and those orphaned for two to three years, with those orphaned for one year or less lacking more than any other category. A majority of them were absent from school accompanying sick relatives to the clinic. At the level of safety and security, the deficiency needs caused by loss of parents as well as poverty, create psychological insecurity and do not motivate creative and critical thinking essential for problem solving, decision making and the acquisition of life skills\(^{13}\) and this exposes orphans to delinquency as stated in literature\(^2\).

Table 5, showed that the performance of double orphans, taking into account the period since the mother had died, there was no difference in means except in Biology. The students who had lost their mothers four years before the study had the highest averages. The post hoc analysis showed that those with mothers who had died two to three years before the study were significantly different from other groups suggesting a salient pressure may be of dealing with change. Failure in Biology relates to the dissatisfaction with HIV/AIDS education reported in this study, because Biology provides basic facts on reproduction, which are an essential component of sexual reproductive health and more orphaned students in the one year or less and two to three years categories were pressurized into having sex. Coercing students into sexual relations amounts to a sexual offence and is punishable by law. Students require training in negotiation skills and in developing self-preservation skills to protect them against sexual abuse of any form. Sexually traumatized students require psychological care as part of counselling as this type of injury can impact negatively on learning\(^{15, 10}\).

Table 6, shows that there was a significant difference in the performance of orphans without mothers and those whose mothers were alive. Orphans without mothers lacked more, as was evidenced by the significant difference in learning outcomes. Maslow states that if the need for psychological comfort, lack of love and acceptance are not met, creative and critical thought processes are unlikely to take place. Those students orphaned for four years or more had the best average performance.

Table 7, has responses to psychological statements which concur with literature that say that orphans received neither death education, bereavement counselling, grief therapy nor

---

Table 7: Psychological Scale adopted from study by Professor Sengendo &Nambi 1997:123

| Item                                      | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F       | Sig. |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|------|
| I feel like crying all the time:          |                |    |             |         |      |
| Between Groups                           | 8,977          | 2  | 4,489       | 11.908  | .000 |
| Within Groups                            | 176,021        | 467| .377        |         |      |
| Total                                     | 184,998        | 469|             |         |      |
| I feel lonely all the time:               |                |    |             |         |      |
| Between Groups                           | 3,301          | 2  | 1,650       | 4.825   | .008 |
| Within Groups                            | 159,740        | 467| .342        |         |      |
| Total                                     | 163,040        | 469|             |         |      |
| Things bother me all the time             |                |    |             |         |      |
| Between Groups                           | 3,027          | 2  | 1,514       | 3.930   | .020 |
| Within Groups                            | 179,867        | 467| .385        |         |      |
| Total                                     | 182,894        | 469|             |         |      |
| I am bad all the time                     |                |    |             |         |      |
| Between Groups                           | 1,536          | 2  | .768        | 3.840   | .022 |
| Within Groups                            | 91,993         | 460| .200        |         |      |
| Total                                     | 93,529         | 462|             |         |      |

Amongst orphans only who responded to significantly different statements; I feel like crying all the time 19(50% n=38) double orphans, 71(42% n=169) single orphans; I feel lonely all the time 20(52.6% n=38) double orphans, 71(42% n=169) single orphans; things bother me all the time, 99 (58.6% n=169) single orphans, 18 (47.4% n=38) double orphans; I am bad all the time 44 (26.2% n=169) single orphans, and 3 (8.3% n=36) double orphans.
emotional rehabilitation sessions, so that their grief and depression remained hidden and unrecognized [16].

Conclusion

- Being orphaned for one year or less presented challenges that impacted negatively on learning.
- Impact of orphanhood on educational performance of BEAM and other measures instituted to help orphans with a view to targeting double orphans first amongst vulnerable children.
- Research is required to explore the teaching learning process of biology as the study does not explain students’ poor performance in Biology.

Recommendations

- There is a need to augment the identified strength of mothers in their excellent support for students’ education. Fathers, especially widowers, require national counselling programmes to assist them to participate actively in their children’s education.
- Research is required to explore double orphans’ building of skills in practical subjects and its link with career development.
- Research is required to explore the impact on educational performance of BEAM and other measures instituted to help orphans with a view to targeting double orphans first amongst vulnerable children.
- Research is required to explore the teaching learning process of biology as the study does not explain students’ poor performance in Biology.

References

1. The Impact Assessment of HIV/AIDS on the Education Sector in Zimbabwe 2001-2002 retrieved from: https://hivhealthclearinghouse.unesco.org/sites/default/files/resources/256_ZimbabweHEATReport.pdf on 30/03/19
2. Reporting on World’s Orphans July 2014 IHH (Turkish NGO) Humanitarian Relief Foundation. Retrieved from: https://www.academica.edu/7765230/Report_on_Worlds_orphans.on 30/03/19
3. Global AIDS Response Progress Report 2018. Zimbabwe 2018 Country Report. Retrieved from: www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/country/documents/Zwe_2018_countryreport.pdf on 30/03/19
4. Educational Outcomes for Orphan Girls in Rural Zimbabwe: Effects of a School Support intervention, Health Care Women Int. 2016; 37(3):301-322. Retrieved from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4540683 on 30/03/19
5. Fox S, Oyosi S, Parker W. Children, HIV/AIDS and communication in South Africa; Johannesburg, South Africa. The Centre for AIDS Development, Research and Evaluation, 2002.
6. Sengendo I, Nambi J. The Psychological effect of orphanhood; A study of orphans in Rakai District.

Health Transition Review Supplement. 1997; 7:105-124. Retrieved from: cceded.org/cdrom/orphelins_sida_2006/pdf/sengendl.pdf on 30/03/19
7. Promoting Quality Education for Orphans and Vulnerable Children Unicef, 2009. Retrieved from: https://www.unicef.org/spanish/education/files/Promoting_Quality_Education_for_orphans_and_vulnerable_children_Programmes_from_Eastern_and_Southern_Africa.pdf on 30/03/19
8. Atkinson RL, Atkinson RC, Smith EE, Bem, DJ, Hilgard, ER. Introduction to Psychology. 10th edition. Florida. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1990-98.
9. Gilligan C. Carol Gilligan (1936- present). Women’s Intellectual Contributions to the Study of Mind and Society, 2001. Retrieved from: http://faculty.webster.edu/woolfilm/gilligan.html on 02/04/19
10. Green D. Classics in the History of Psychology. A Theory of Human Motivation: A. H. Maslow 1943. An internet resource developed. York University, Toronto. Ontario, 2000. ISSN 149two to three713. Retrieved from: https://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Maslow/motivation.htm on 02/04/19
11. Boeree GC. Erik Erickson: 1902-1994-1997. Retrieved from: http://webspace.ship.edu/egboer/erikson.html on 02/04/19
12. Huit W. Educational Psychology Interactive: Maslow’s Hierarchy of Needs, 2007. Retrieved from:-http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/topics/conation/maslow.html on 02/04/19
13. Paul R. Critical Thinking: What every Person Needs to Survive In a Rapidly Changing World. Santa Rosa Cam, 1993.
14. Bryman A, Cramer D. Data Analysis with SPSS release 10 for Windows. A guide for social scientists. East Sussex. Routledge, 2001. ISBN 0-415-24400-5
15. Zimbabwe. Sexual Offences Act (Chapter 9:21) Cap.8.2001. Harare. Government Printers, 2001b.
16. Tswo-Chigubu MS. Exploring Self-esteem of Orphans whose parents died of HIV/AIDS; Examined Social Support through the extended family/kinship caregivers’ economic well-being, networks and child rearing practices in Zimbabwe. Dissertation Abstracts – International: Section B:- The Sciences –and- Engineering. 2001; 62(3-B):1301.