Stem cell library screen identified ruxolitinib as regulator of osteoblastic differentiation of human skeletal stem cells
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Abstract

Background: Better understanding of the signaling pathways that regulate human bone marrow stromal stem cell (hBMSC) differentiation into bone-forming osteoblasts is crucial for their clinical use in regenerative medicine. Chemical biology approaches using small molecules targeting specific signaling pathways are increasingly employed to manipulate stem cell differentiation fate.

Methods: We employed alkaline phosphatase activity and staining assays to assess osteoblast differentiation and Alizarin R staining to assess mineralized matrix formation of cultured hBMSCs. Changes in gene expression were assessed using an Agilent microarray platform, and data normalization and bioinformatics were performed using GeneSpring software. For in vivo ectopic bone formation experiments, hMSCs were mixed with hydroxyapatite-tricalcium phosphate granules and implanted subcutaneously into the dorsal surface of 8-week-old female nude mice. Hematoxylin and eosin staining and Sirius Red staining were used to detect bone formation in vivo.

Results: We identified several compounds which inhibited osteoblastic differentiation of hMSCs. In particular, we identified ruxolitinib (INCB018424) (3 μM), an inhibitor of JAK-STAT signaling that inhibited osteoblastic differentiation and matrix mineralization of hMSCs in vitro and reduced ectopic bone formation in vivo. Global gene expression profiling of ruxolitinib-treated cells identified 847 upregulated and 822 downregulated mRNA transcripts, compared to vehicle-treated control cells. Bioinformatic analysis revealed differential regulation of multiple genetic pathways, including TGFβ and insulin signaling, endochondral ossification, and focal adhesion.

Conclusions: We identified ruxolitinib as an important regulator of osteoblast differentiation of hMSCs. It is plausible that inhibition of osteoblast differentiation by ruxolitinib may represent a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of pathological conditions caused by accelerated osteoblast differentiation and mineralization.

Background

Bone marrow stromal (also known as mesenchymal or skeletal) stem cells (BMSCs) exist within the bone marrow stromal and are capable for differentiation into mesoderm-type cells including bone-forming osteoblasts [1]. A number of signaling pathways have been implicated in regulating differentiation of human BMSCs (hBMSCs) into osteoblasts that include TGF-B [2], Wnt [3], and several intracellular kinases [4]. However, several other signaling pathways have been reported to regulate different aspects of stem cell biology in a number of stem cell systems [5] but their role in regulating hBMSC differentiation into osteoblastic cells are not well studied.

Chemical biology approaches using small molecules targeting specific intracellular or signaling factors are very important tools for studying stem cell differentiation and in vitro manipulation of stem cells (add ref). In addition, small molecules that induce stem cell differentiation are being employed as an alternative approach...
to classical stem cell differentiation protocols that require complex mixture of growth factors and cytokines, because of their scalable production, stability, ease of use, and low cost [6–8].

We have previously employed small molecule libraries to dissect mechanisms underlying differentiation potential of hBMSCs into osteoblasts [9] [4] and adipocytes [8].

Herein, we conducted an unbiased small molecule stem cell signaling library screen that covers several signaling pathways and identified ruxolitinib as an important regulator of osteoblast differentiation of hBMSCs.

**Materials and methods**

**Stem cell signaling compound library**

A stem cell signaling compound library, purchased from Selleckchem Inc. (Houston, TX, http://www.selleckchem.com) and consisted of 73 biologically active small molecular inhibitors, was employed in the presented study. An initial screen was conducted at a concentration of 3 μM.

**Cell culture**

We employed a telomerized hMSC line (hMSC-TERT) as a model for hBMSCs. The hMSC-TERT line was generated through an overexpression of the human telomerase reverse transcriptase gene (hTERT). hMSC-TERT exhibits the typical features of primary hMSCs including indefinite self-renewal and multipotency, in addition to the expression of all known markers of primary hMSCs [10–12]. The cells were maintained in DMEM, a basal medium supplemented with 4500 mg/L D-glucose, 4 mM L-glutamine, and 110 mg/L 10% sodium pyruvate, in addition to 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin–streptomycin, and 1% nonessential amino acids. All reagents were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA (http://www.thermofisher.com). Cells were incubated in 5% CO2 incubators at 37 °C and 95% humidity.

**Osteoblast differentiation**

The cells were cultured to 80–90% confluence and were incubated in osteoblast induction medium (DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% penicillin–streptomycin, 50 μg/ml L-ascorbic acid (Wako Chemicals GmbH, Neuss, Germany, http://www.wako-chemicals.de/), 10 mM b-glycerophosphate (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 nM calcitriol (1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3; Sigma-Aldrich), and 10 nM dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich)). Each small molecule inhibitor was added at a concentration of 3 μM, in the osteoblast induction medium. The cells were exposed to the inhibitors throughout the differentiation period. Control cells were treated with osteoblast induction medium containing dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as vehicle.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell viability assay was performed using alamarBlue assay according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Thermo Fisher Scientific). In brief, cells were cultured in 96-well plates in 200 μl of the medium for 10 days, then 20 μl of alamarBlue substrate was added, and plates were incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 1 h. Readings were taken using fluorescent mode (Ex 530 nm/Em 590 nm) using a BioTek Synergy II microplate reader (BioTek Inc., Winooski, VT, USA).

**Alkaline phosphatase activity quantification**

To quantify alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, we employed the BioVision ALP activity colorimetric assay kit (BioVision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, http://www.biovision.com/) with some modifications. The cells were cultured in 96-well plates. On day 10, the cells were rinsed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed using 3.7% formaldehyde in 90% ethanol for 30 s at room temperature. Fixative was removed, and 50 μl of p-nitrophenyl phosphate solution was added to each well and incubated for 30–60 min. Optical densities were then measured at 405 nm using a SpectraMax/M5 fluorescence spectrophotometer plate reader. ALP enzymatic activity was normalized to cell number.

**In vivo ectopic bone formation assay**

All animal experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committees of King Saud University. Cells were harvested via trypsinization, washed in PBS, and resuspended in culture medium with or without ruxolitinib. Approximately 5 x 105 cells were mixed with 40 mg of hydroxyapatite–tricalcium phosphate granules per each implant (HA/TCP, Zimmer Scandinavia, Albertslund, Denmark) and implanted subcutaneously into the dorsal surface of 8-week-old female nude mice, as previously described [13]. After 28 days, the implants were recovered, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, decalcified using formic acid solution (0.4 M formic acid and 0.5 M sodium formate), and embedded in paraffin. Tissue blocks were sectioned at 4 μm. Sections of paraffin-embedded implants were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and Sirius Red to identify areas of the formed bone.

**Alkaline phosphatase staining**

Cells were stained on day 10 of osteoblast differentiation. Cells cultured in 12-well plates were washed in PBS and fixed in 10 mM acetone/citrate buffer at pH 4.2 for 5 min at room temperature. The fixative was removed, and the Naphthol/ Fast Red stain [0.2 mg/mL Naphthol AS-TR phosphate substrate (Sigma)] [0.417 mg/mL of Fast Red (Sigma)] was added for 1 h at
room temperature. The cells were then rinsed with water and imaged under the microscope.

Alizarin Red S staining for mineralized matrix formation
Cells cultured in 12-well plates were stained on day 21 of osteoblast differentiation. The cells were washed twice with PBS and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. Fixative was then removed, and the cells were washed with distilled water and stained with 2% Alizarin Red S Staining Kit (ScienceCell, Research Laboratories, Cat. No. 0223) for 20–30 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the dye was washed off with water and cells were imaged under the microscope.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
Total RNA was isolated from cell pellets after 10 and 21 days of osteoblast differentiation using the total RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek Corp., Thorold, ON, Canada, https://norgenbiotek.com/) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentrations of total RNA were measured using NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesized using 500 ng of total RNA and the Thermo Fisher Scientific High Capacity cDNA Transcription Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

qRT-PCR
Expression levels of the mRNAs were validated using SYBR Green-based quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) with an Applied Biosystems ViiA™ 7 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primers used in current study are listed in Table 1. The 2ΔACT value method was used to calculate relative expression, and analysis was performed as previously described [14].

Gene expression profiling by microarray
One hundred fifty nanograms of total RNA was labeled using low input Quick Amp Labeling Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, http://www.agilent.com) and then hybridized to the Agilent Human GE 8 x 60 k microarray chip. All microarray experiments were performed at the Microarray Core Facility (Stem Cell Unit, Department of Anatomy, King Saud University College of Medicine, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia). The extracted data were normalized and analyzed using GeneSpring 13.0 software (Agilent Technologies). Pathway analyses were performed using the single experiment pathway analysis feature in GeneSpring 13.0 as described before [15]. Twofold cutoff and \( P \text{(corr)} < 0.05 \) (Benjamini–Hochberg multiple testing corrected) were used to determine significantly changed transcripts.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis and graphing were performed using Microsoft Excel 2010 and GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA, USA), respectively. Results were presented as mean ± SEM from at least two independent experiments. Unpaired \( t \) test was used to determine statistical significance and \( P \) values < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Stem cell signaling library screen identified inhibitors of osteoblast differentiation of hBMSCs
A stem cell signaling library consisting of 73 chemical compounds was used for the initial screen for their effects on osteoblastic differentiation of hBMSCs using ALP activity quantification as a read-out. All small molecules were tested at a concentration 3 μM. As shown in Fig. 1, the majority of small molecules reduced ALP activity of hBMSCs. Based on this initial screen, we chose 11 compounds (ruxolitinib (INCB018424), LY411575, BMS-833923, sotrastaurin, SB525334, LGK-974, ICG-001, BIO, TWS119, fasudil (HA-1077) HCl, and baricitinib (LY3009104, INCB028050)) for follow-up studies. The name of small molecule and their molecular targets are listed in Table 2. As shown in Fig. 2, several of the tested molecules inhibited osteoblastic differentiation of hBMSCs as evidenced by reduced ALP cytochemical staining at day 10 post-osteoblast differentiation.

Table 1 Real-time PCR primer sequences

| Gene name | Forward primer | Reverse primer |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| ACTB      | 5’AGCCATGTACGTTGCTA | 5’AGTCCGGCTCTGAACCA |
| ALP       | 5’GGA ACT CCT GAC CCT TGA CC3’ | 5’TCC TGT TCA GCT CGT ACT GC3’ |
| RUNX2     | 5’TGA GAT GGA CCT CGG GAA CC3’ | 5’GAG GCG GTC AGA GAA CAA AC3’ |
| COMP      | 5’CGCACACCGCGTGGTCTGCTT3’ | 5’AGGCGGCCGTTGTGCTTG3’ |
| THBS2     | 5’TGGCAGAACCAGGACTGCTG3’ | 5’GGCTTGGGTGGTTGCTG3’ |
| TNF       | 5’ACT TTG GAG TGA TCG GCC3’ | 5’GCT TGA GGG TTT GCT ACA AC3’ |
| LIF       | 5’GCCACCACATGTCAACAAAC | 5’CCCGTCGTCTGTAATAG |
| SOCS3     | 5’TCCGGACAGCAGCCC3’ | 5’TAACTTGGCTGGTGACC3’ |
induction (Fig. 2a) and this was concordant with the reduced ALP activity (Fig. 2b). These molecules did not exert significant effects on hBMSC viability (Fig. 2c). Among these small molecules, we chose ruxolitinib (INCB018424) for more detailed studies as it yielded the most consistent and potent effect on osteoblast differentiation and its effect on osteoblast differentiation of hBMSCs has not been studied before.

Ruxolitinib inhibits mineralized matrix formation
To assess the effects of ruxolitinib on mineralized matrix formation, hBMSCs were treated with ruxolitinib (3 μM)

Table 2 Characteristics of the selected 11 compounds of stem cell signaling library

| Name of compound       | Target                  | Pathway                  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| LY411575               | Gamma-secretase         | Proteases                |
| Sotrastaurin           | PKC                     | TGF-beta/Smad            |
| SB525334               | TGF-beta/Smad           | TGF-beta/Smad            |
| Ruxolitinib (INCB018424)| JAK1/JAK2               | JAK/STAT                 |
| LGK-974                | Wnt/beta-catenin        | Stem cells and Wnt       |
| ICG-001                | Wnt/beta-catenin        | Stem cells and Wnt       |
| BIO                    | GSK-3                   | PI3K/Akt/mTOR            |
| TWS519                 | GSK-3                   | PI3K/Akt/mTOR            |
| Fasudil (HA-1077) HCl  | ROCK                    | Cell cycle               |
| Baricitinib (LY3009104, INCB028050) | JAK         | Epigenetics              |
| BMS-833923             | Hedgehog/smoothened     | GPCR and G protein       |
and induced into osteoblast for 21 days. Alizarin Red staining demonstrated significant reduction in mineralized matrix formation in ruxolitinib-treated hBMSCs compared to vehicle-treated controls (Fig. 3a). Similarly, ruxolitinib reduced the expression of ALP and RUNX2 osteoblast gene markers measured on day 10 (b) or day 21 (c) post-osteoblast induction.

Ruxolitinib affects multiple signaling pathways during osteoblast differentiation of hBMSCs

To understand the molecular mechanism by which ruxolitinib inhibits osteoblast differentiation of hBMSCs, we performed global gene expression profiling and pathway analysis comparing ruxolitinib-treated and DMSO-treated control cells, during osteoblast differentiation. Figure 4a shows the hierarchical clustering based on the differentially expressed genes and demonstrates clear separation of ruxolitinib-treated and DMSO (vehicle)-treated control cells. We identified 847 upregulated and 822 downregulated genes (fold change ≥ 2.0; P (corr) < 0.05) (Additional file 1). Pathway analysis of the downregulated genes revealed strong enrichment for several cellular processes involved in osteoblast differentiation (e.g., TGFβ signaling, insulin signaling, endochondral ossification, and focal adhesion). A number of significantly enriched pathways in ruxolitinib-treated cells are illustrated as a pie chart (Fig. 4b), wherein the size of the slice corresponds to fold enrichment. Among the identified pathways, TGFβ signaling, insulin signaling, and focal adhesion signaling were prominent. These genetic pathways are known for their role in regulating osteoblast differentiation of hBMSCs. A number of genes from the enriched pathways
(TNF, LIF, SOCS3, COMP, and THBS2) were selected and validated using qRT-PCR, which collectively corroborated the microarray data (Fig. 4c).

Effects of ruxolitinib on in vivo ectopic bone formation To determine the regulatory role of ruxolitinib on in vivo bone formation, we implanted hBMSCs loaded on hydroxyapatite–tricalcium phosphate (HA/TCP) granules in the presence or absence of ruxolitinib into nude mice for 4 weeks. Histological analysis of the implants showed significant decrease in the formed ectopic bone in ruxolitinib-treated hBMSCs compared to control hBMSCs (Fig. 5a, b).

Discussion
Small molecules, targeting specific signaling pathways, have recently emerged as a key tool to manipulate stem cell fate and differentiation potential in both mechanistic studies of stem cell biology as well as an approach to generate cells suitable for clinical use [6]. In the current study, we employed a well-characterized stem cell signaling library and performed unbiased functional screen on 73 small molecules targeting a number of signaling pathways relevant for hBMSC biology. These molecules covered a number of proteases, TGF-beta/Smad, JAK/STAT, Wnt, PI3K/Akt/mTor, neuronal signaling, cell cycle, epigenetics, GPCR and G protein, Hedgehog, and GSK-3 inhibitors. Our initial screen identified several small molecule inhibitors, mainly targeting the JAK-STAT pathway, as potent inhibitors of osteoblastic differentiation of hBMSCs. In particular, ruxolitinib, a novel JAK-targeting small molecule inhibitor, was further studied and validated as a potent inhibitor of osteoblast differentiation.

JAK1 and JAK2 modulate the intracellular signaling of significant cytokines and growth factors for hematopoiesis and immune function including activation of signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT). STAT3 is a ubiquitously expressed transcription factor activated by many cytokines and growth factors, including IL-6 family cytokines [16]. The receptors for the IL-6 family cytokines comprise of a ligand-binding subunit and a common signal-transducing subunit, gp130. Upon binding to their receptors, gp130 becomes activated leading to the activation of gp130-associated JAK (JAK1, JAK2, and TYK2) that subsequently lead to
tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT3. Activated STAT3 localize to the nucleus and modulate various gene expression that regulate cell proliferation and differentiation in a cell-specific manner including bone metabolism [17]. The role of JAK-STAT signaling in osteoblastic differentiation is starting to unfold. For instance, inactivation and mutations of STAT3 in osteoblasts and osteocytes lead to distorted craniofacial and skeletal features, recurrent fractures, hyperextensible joints, reduce bone mass, strength, and load-driven bone formation, suggesting a role for STAT3 in osteoblast differentiation [18]. JAK-STAT signaling has been implicated in the maintenance of the stem cell pool in Drosophila and mammals [19]. Our findings from current study provide new evidence of potential involvement of JAK-STAT signaling in hBMSC biology.

Although in current study we did not investigate the downstream targets of ruxolitinib, it is well established that ruxolitinib is an ATP-competitive JAK1/2 inhibitor [20-22]. JAK1-deficient mice weighed less than their heterozygous and wild-type littermates, suggesting an important role for JAK1 in skeletal development [23]. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts derived from JAK2-deficient mice exhibited defects in signaling through a number of cytokine receptors, implying plausible role for JAK2 in skeletal development [24]. Cells treated with ruxolitinib exhibited diminished levels of phosphorylated STAT3, STA4, and STAT5 [25]. Therefore, it is plausible that ruxolitinib regulated osteoblastic differentiation of hBMSCs through inhibition of JAK-STAT3 signaling. Ruxolitinib might additionally inhibit other pathways known to be regulated by JAK, such as PI3K-AKT or...
ERK-JNK-p38, contributing to inhibition of osteoblastic differentiation [26, 27].

Global gene expression profiling of hMSC treated with ruxolitinib revealed multiple differentially regulated signaling pathways including TGF\(\beta\), insulin, endochondral ossification, and focal adhesion signaling, which are known to play an important role in regulating osteoblastic differentiation of hMSCs [28–33]. Those data are concordant with other published reports implicating protease-activated receptors [34], TGF-beta [2], Wnt/\(\beta\)-catenin [35], PI3K/Akt [36], cell cycle [37], and GPCR [38] signaling during osteogenesis.

Ruxolitinib is currently used in the clinic to treat patients with myelofibrosis, a clonal myeloproliferative neoplasm [39]. Ruxolitinib exhibited growth inhibition, apoptosis induction, and drop in inflammatory cytokine, mediated by inhibition of phosphorylate STAT via inhibition of JAK [20, 40]. No previous reports have been published regarding the effects of ruxolitinib on the osteoblast differentiation of hMSCs. We therefore investigated the expression of a selected panel of inflammatory cytokine (CXCL2, TNF, IL6, and CXCL1) from the microarray data during osteogenesis of hMSCs. We therefore investigated the expression of a selected panel of inflammatory cytokine (CXCL2, TNF, IL6, and CXCL1) from the microarray data during osteogenesis of hMSCs exposed to ruxolitinib and observed significant downregulation in the expression of those cytokines. While conceivable that small molecule inhibitors have specific targets, a number of studies have indicated deleterious effects of small molecule inhibitors on the biological function of mammalian cells [41, 42]. In particular, it was shown that hBMSCs are prone to cellular senescence under stress conditions [43]. Although we did not observe a significant change in cell viability of hBMSCs treated with ruxolitinib (3.0 \(\mu\)M) in the current study, it is plausible that inhibition of osteogenesis by ruxolitinib is in part due to possible effect on cellular senescence.

Enhancing bone formation and bone mass is needed in many conditions associated with bone loss such as in post-menopausal osteoporosis and glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, and suppression of osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs by ruxolitinib may be relevant to a number of clinical conditions associated with ectopic bone formation or calcification including craniosynostosis and heart valve calcification [44, 45]. The clinical effectiveness of ruxolitinib in these conditions requires further studies.

**Conclusion**

Our unbiased small molecule screen identified ruxolitinib, a JAK-STAT inhibitor, as potent inhibitor of osteoblastic differentiation of hMSCs. Inhibition of bone formation by ruxolitinib might represent a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of pathological conditions caused by accelerated osteoblast differentiation and mineralization.

**Additional file**

**Additional file 1:** List of differentially expressed genes (2.0 FC, \(p\) cor < 0.05) in human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (hBMSCs) differentiated into osteoblasts (day 10) in the presence of Ruxolitinib compared to DMSO. Differentially expressed genes (2.0 FC, \(p\) cor < 0.05) in human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (hBMSCs) differentiated into osteoblasts (day 10) in the presence of Ruxolitinib compared to DMSO detected using microarray. (XLSX 365 kb)
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