Histological validation of in vivo assessment of cancer tissue inhomogeneity and automated morphological segmentation enabled by Optical Coherence Elastography
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We present a non-invasive (albeit contact) method based on Optical Coherence Elastography (OCE) enabling the in vivo segmentation of morphological tissue constituents, in particular, monitoring of morphological alterations during both tumor development and its response to therapies. The method uses compressional OCE to reconstruct tissue stiffness map as the first step. Then the OCE-image is divided into regions, for which the Young’s modulus (stiffness) falls in specific ranges corresponding to the morphological constituents to be discriminated. These stiffness ranges (characteristic “stiffness spectra”) are initially determined by careful comparison of the “gold-standard” histological data and the OCE-based stiffness map for the corresponding tissue regions. After such pre-calibration, the results of morphological segmentation of OCE-images demonstrate a striking similarity with the histological results in terms of percentage of the segmented zones. To validate the sensitivity of the OCE-method and demonstrate its high correlation with conventional histological segmentation we present results obtained in vivo on a murine model of breast cancer in comparative experimental study of the efficacy of two antitumor chemotherapeutic drugs with different mechanisms of action. The new technique allowed in vivo monitoring and quantitative segmentation of (1) viable, (2) dystrophic, (3) necrotic tumor cells and (4) edema zones very similar to morphological segmentation of histological images. Numerous applications in other experimental/clinical areas requiring rapid, nearly real-time, quantitative assessment of tissue structure can be foreseen.

Histopathology still remains the most reliable “gold standard” method for assessing the histological changes. However, this method is invasive, rather time-consuming and labor-intensive, requiring both the preparation of histological sections (including staining) and their interpretation/assessment. The histological image assessment and segmentation is performed by a histopathologist, which makes the results operator-dependent. Furthermore, the invasive/destructive nature of the histological examinations, in principle, makes it impossible to perform in vivo non-invasive monitoring of the tissue changes. In addition, taking a biopsy may not fully reflect the overall picture of the tumor, due to its heterogeneity.

In view of this, significant attention has been paid to the development of alternative fast and non-invasive ways of obtaining information about biological tissues similar to the results of histological image interpretation. In...
In a broad sense, the OCE-approach discussed here is also based on OCT-scan analysis, but in an essentially different way. Namely, the OCT imaging is used merely as an auxiliary step to obtain elastographic maps (i.e., maps of stiffness—the Young's modulus of the tissue) by applying quantitative phase-sensitive quasistatic compressional Optical Coherence Elastography (OCE)\(^8,10\), and using its realization as described in previous studies\(^11-14\). Another key feature of the described method is the application of procedures that can be called “elasto-spectroscopy”\(^15,16\), because it is based on assessments of certain specific ranges of stiffness within the reconstructed OCE images, by analogy with the term “mass-spectroscopy”\(^17,18\) in which specific values of masses (or mass/charge ratios) are used to separate different types of molecules. It is known that the Young's modulus of biological tissues may vary by several orders of magnitude. In particular, as various types of tissue are typically stiffer than the surrounding tissues, palpation has been used for centuries to detect tumors as stiffer inclusions embedded in the tissues. With the appearance of quantitative elastography in the 1990s (including such methods as ultrasound- and MRI-based elastography that have become routinely used in clinical situations\(^19,20\)) the possibilities for even more detailed assessment of cancer types have been studied, based on tumor stiffness as estimated with averaging over volumes at ~mm scales or larger, typical of the MRI and US methods\(^21,22\). The appearance in recent years of new elastographic methods with even higher resolution (first of all, OCE) has demonstrated that tumors, themselves, are rather heterogeneous and multi-component in terms of their elasticity\(^23,24\). This OCE-based observation agrees well with histological conclusions that tumor structure comprises various histological components for which different elastic properties can be expected. The proportions of those components strongly depend on the molecular-biological type of the tumor, the stage of tumor development, the applied therapies, etc.

The resolution of OCT-based elastographic methods allows one to resolve stiffness heterogeneities on essentially sub-millimeter spatial scales for both naturally occurring human tumors (e.g., breast cancer)\(^25,27,28,29\), and model tumors studied in animal experiments\(^30\). As shown for patients' breast cancer samples in the previous study\(^31\), and will be even more clearly demonstrated here using model tumors with simpler histology, careful comparison between OCE images and histological images of the same tumor zones reveals high correlations between tissue components and their stiffness (see also\(^32\)). Therefore, quantitative OCE mapping of tumor stiffness with a resolution of several tens of microns opens possibilities to resolve quite fine tissue heterogeneities in OCE images and perform morphological segmentation non-invasively. In the following discussion, it is shown that both methods provide very similar accuracy and resolution of the resultant morphological maps, demonstrating striking correlation between the areas segmented by OCE and those deduced from conventional assessment of the corresponding histology. However, in contrast to time-consuming conventional histology, the proposed OCE-based automated morphological segmentation is non-invasive (albeit contact), applicable in vivo, can be performed at several-minute intervals and is much less labor-intensive in comparison with the conventional procedures of fixation, cutting and staining of histological sections and their interpretation by histopathologist. Certainly, the discussed OCE technique inherits typical of OCT limitations caused by the maximal visualization depth ~1–2 mm, which, however, is not critical for model animal experiments discussed in this paper and other areas where contact OCT is applied.

The proposed OCE-based morphological segmentation can potentially be used in a wide range of biomedical applications, in which the variations in the state of the tissue are conventionally assessed using examination of histological sections. These applications comprise (but are not limited to) evaluation of the results of photodynamic, radiation or other antitumor therapies and monitoring of the natural development of tumor and non-tumor tissues. In terms of the number of detectable morphological constituents and accuracy of the estimated proportions among their areas on the image, the richness of information enabled by the described OCE-based morphological segmentation is comparable with the information about the tissue state/structure provided by conventional segmentation of histological slides. Certainly, due to much higher resolution even individual cells of a given type can be found in histological images; nevertheless, after segmentation by a histopathologist the
proportions among the areas of morphological constituents demonstrate comparable accuracy with the automated OCE-based segmentation. For instructive illustration of the OCE-method capabilities, we present the results of in vivo comparative experimental studies of tumor response to antitumor chemotherapy. The method demonstrates high sensitivity to histological structure with the ability to perform previously unavailable rapid, in vivo quantitative assessment/segmentation of morphological alterations in tumors in the treated and control groups. The high consistency between the proposed OCE-based "elasticity-spectrum" approach and conventional histological segmentation is validated by the described below detailed comparison of the two methods.

Justification of the choice of biomedical demonstration. The potential and efficiency of the new diagnostic method can be convincingly demonstrated using an animal tumor model, which is (1) accessible for in vivo monitoring by OCE, (2) is readily controllable and (3) is characterized by at least several co-existing evolving tissue constituents, variations in which can be produced by the test procedures (in our case under the action of two chemotherapy drugs).

In this context, the choice of chemotherapy is based on the fact that this type of antitumor therapy remains one of the most widely used methods of cancer treatment. The efficacy of conventional chemotherapy is far from satisfactory, this being related to the broad variability of tumor properties and, therefore, their multiple drug-resistance. Thus, control of the effectiveness of chemotherapy is important for the disease prognosis, the choice of the treatment tactics (choice of drugs) and the possible recommendation of surgery. Assessment of chemotherapy efficiency is usually based on evaluation of tumor dimensions (RECIST—response evaluation criteria in solid tumors) measured by MRI or computed tomography. However, these criteria do not reflect other histologic/functional changes that may occur during the tumor evolution.

The development of tumors may be often accompanied by inflammation causing edema. Highly-aggressive tumors with very fast growth often have regions of spontaneous necrosis. The destruction of tumor cells by chemotherapy also mainly has the form of necrosis. Tumors may also have clusters of dystrophic cells. Therefore, assessment of the above four tumor constituents, namely, (1) clusters of viable tumor cells, (2) dystrophic tumor cells, (3) edema and (4) necrotic tumor cells are of key importance from the viewpoint of evaluation of the state of a tumor and its reaction to the applied chemotherapy. There is currently no other means available than histological examination for the assessment of such morphological alterations in the tissue.

In this study, the ability of OCE to visualize and quantify these morphological alterations in tumors was studied for two antitumor chemotherapeutic agents with essentially different mechanisms of antitumor action—anti-angiogenic Bevacizumab, and Cisplatin, with a direct cytotoxic action. Thus, it is important to compare the destructive action of these two principally different drugs by evaluating the corresponding morphological alterations in the treated tumors.

For this purpose the proposed OCE-based approach based on stiffness-spectrum assessment is applied. The high efficiently of OCE for the in vivo quantitative assessment of differences in the histological reaction of the tumor to chemotherapy is demonstrated using the two drugs with different mechanisms of action.

Results
Conventional histological assessment of tumor response to chemotherapy. A macroscopic evaluation of the state of the tumors showed that Cisplatin and Bevacizumab have moderate antitumor activity against the mouse 4T1 breast cancer tumor. The Tumor Growth Inhibition (TGI, see Eq. (2) in "Material and methods") coefficient was ~ 63% for Bevacizumab-treated tumors and about 75% in the Cisplatin-treated group (see Fig. 1b). However, the tumors of these groups did not significantly differ, statistically, in volume. Thus, the standard macroscopic evaluation of the antitumor efficacy of chemotherapy drugs in the inhibition of tumor growth did not allow us to identify appreciable differences between the two agents with different mechanisms of action.

By contrast, histological analysis of tumor sections stained by hematoxylin and eosin revealed distinctive features of the action of the two chemotherapeutic agents, corresponding to specific morphological alterations in the treated tumors. On day 5 (see experimental design Fig. 1a), tumors of the control group consisted of closely spaced, viable tumor cells that occupied over 90% of the histological image area (see Fig. 1d1). In Bevacizumab-treated tumors, in addition to viable tumor cells, extensive areas of pronounced edema were revealed on day 5, occupying up to 47% (see Fig. 1d7). For Cisplatin-treated tumors, along with viable tumor cells, areas of dystrophic tumor cells (irreversibly damaged) and edema, respectively occupying 45% and 20%, were found (see Fig. 1d13).

On day 7 the appearance of regions of dystrophic tumor cells (13%) and small zones of weak edema (3%) were observed in the control group (Fig. 1d3). In Bevacizumab-treated tumors, the zones of pronounced edema occupied over 63% (Fig. 1d9). In Cisplatin-treated tumors in addition to zones of dystrophic tumor cells, necrotic tumor cells zones (27%) were appeared (Fig. 1d15).

Finally, on day 9 the necrotic tumor cells zone was significantly larger in Cisplatin vs Bevacizumab group and amounted to 69% and 20%, respectively (see Fig. 1d11,d17).

Thus, the histology for all three groups consistently indicates the presence of the following main morphological alterations that arise during chemotherapy: (1) necrotic tumor cells, which occurs in both treated groups, but mainly in the Cisplatin-treated group, due to the specific action of this drug; (2) dystrophic tumor cells were found in all the groups, since this state precedes tumor cell necrosis; (3) edema, which was detected in all groups as a result of tumor development, however, it was the most pronounced in the Bevacizumab-treated group, being caused by the specific (targeted) damage to the vessels walls. The initial constituent (4), viable tumor cells, was also found in all three studied groups, however, it dominated only in the control group (compare the histological images for the three groups in Fig. 1d).
Obtaining elastographic stiffness maps and their targeted comparison with histological images. The main physical principles of the realization of compressional OCE were described in previous papers\(^{10,12,38,39}\) and are summarized in the OCE-section of “Material and methods”. Schematically the essence of OCE-examinations is illustrated in Fig. 1c. These panels show that the key step in OCE is the quantitative mapping of mechanical strains in the examined tissue subjected to mild (of the order of a few percent) mechanical compression by the OCT-probe (shown in Fig. 1c1) through a translucent layer of silicone with a pre-calibrated Young’s modulus (see structural OCT image in Fig. 1c2). The axial gradients of interframe phase variations (shown in Fig. 1c3) can be recalculated into local strains over the OCT frame. Then comparison with strains in the reference layer makes it possible to convert the relative strain distribution into a quantified map of the Young’s modulus (stiffness) of the tissue as shown in Fig. 1c4. Of key importance is that a single stiffness map...
as that shown in Fig. 1c4 is actually generated from several tens of raw OCT images obtained during the tissue compression, such that every vertical column in the resulting stiffness map corresponds to the same pre-chosen pressure exerted by the silicone layer on the tissue39. The point is that when acquiring individual OCT images, the stress applied by the silicone to the tissue is usually essentially inhomogeneous over the frame because the tissue boundary is normally curved and the tissue structure is mechanically very heterogeneous. This geometrical/structural inhomogeneity results in significantly different loading of the tissue, which may strongly (e.g., several times) affect the estimated tissue stiffness because of the pronounced nonlinearity of the “stress-strain” dependences for real tissues14,15,39–43, meaning that interpretation of the tissue stiffness may be very ambiguous without specifying (or standardizing) the loading conditions. Thus, the performed pressure standardization in the synthesized OCE scan (see OCE-section of “Material and methods” and Ref.39) ensures unambiguous interpretation of the tissue stiffness over the entire OCE scan, independently of the tissue boundary shape and internal heterogeneities.

Pair-wise examinations of the resulting stiffness maps with the corresponding histological sections similar to those shown in Fig. 1d1–d18 demonstrate that the morphologically differing tumor zones revealed in the histological images pronouncedly correlate with the stiffness variations in OCE images.

Thus, on day 5 of observation the control tumors represented on the OCE images were characterized mainly by maximal stiffness values (see Fig. 1d2) that correspond to densely packed viable tumor cells. On days 7 and 9, the appearance of softer areas on the OCE images was observed, and these softer “patches” probably correspond to zones of dystrophic tumor cells and zones of weak edema and occupy 10–20% of the OCE image (see Fig. 1d4,d6).

By contrast, in the therapeutic groups the stiffness variations are much more pronounced. For Bevacizumab-treated tumors, by day 5 of observation, the appearance of larger areas with reduced stiffness values (see Fig. 1d8) is already characteristic, these corresponding to edema on the histological images. On day 7 of observation, areas with reduced stiffness values (green-yellow color) prevail over areas with high stiffness values (dark-blue color) on the OCE images (Fig. 1d10) and these topographically coincide with zones of pronounced edema in the histological images. The blue-color regions with high stiffness values that persist in Fig. 1d10 coincide with the zones of viable tumor cells in the histological images Fig. 1d9. On day 9, there was a further decrease in stiffness, so that the most stiff blue-color areas disappeared, whereas an area with even stronger-reduced stiffness values near the tumor surface appeared (see the orange-color regions in Fig. 1d12) that topographically coincided with necrotic tumor cells zone on the histological images.

For Cisplatin-treated tumors, by day 5, it was also observed the appearance of large areas with somewhat reduced stiffness value (green-yellow color in Fig. 1d14), corresponding to zones of dystrophic tumor cells and edema in the histological images. On day 7 there were noted: (1) regions with reduced stiffness values (light-blue and greenish colors) consistent with zones of dystrophic tumor cells on histological images; (2) areas with even lower stiffness values (yellow-green color in the OCE-map) consistent with zones of edema in the histological image; and (3) areas with very low stiffness values (orange-color regions), topographically consistent with necrotic tumor cells zone (see Fig. 1d16). OCE images obtained on day 9 were characterized by a predominance of orange-color areas of low stiffness values over yellow-green areas of moderately reduced stiffness values (see Fig. 1d18). These areas in the stiffness maps were topographically consistent with zones of necrotic tumor cells and edema on the histological images.

Thus, comparison between the OCE and the histological images clearly showed that the gradually evolving heterogeneities in the stiffness distribution are closely related to the morphological alterations seen in the histological sections. In what follows we show that deeper comparative analysis of the histological and OCE images makes it possible to use the stiffness maps for quantitative characterization of the morphological constituents of the tumor and detection of the boundaries between the corresponding zones, such that elastographic images can be automatically converted into morphologically segmented images similar to histological images manually segmented by a histopathologist. It can be noted that although the pair-wise examples in Fig. 1d show clear topological similarity of the different-type zones in histological and OCE images, small sub-millimeter details of their shapes do not literally coincide. This is not surprising in view of inevitable deformations caused by fixation, dehydration, paraffinization, etc. during preparation of the samples for histological examination. By this reason, instead of examining fine geometrical details, much more biomedically important and at the same time more robust is the comparison of such characteristics as percentages of the segmented morphological constituents. Thus, the key point of the histological validation of the OCE-based segmentation results should be comparison of percentages of the areas of the segments for the two methods.

### Determining the specific stiffness ranges of the tumor constituents required for automated segmentation of OCE-images.

The next step in the study was the quantitative processing of the OCE images, from which the characteristic stiffness ranges corresponding to the zones of the main morphological constituents of the tumor could be determined.

We compared the areas of the selected tumor zones corresponding to the main four morphological constituents (Fig. 2a) on histological images and the OCE images (Fig. 2b,c) previously obtained in vivo at the same locations. For illustration, in Fig. 2 are presented images with the most complex histological structure, characterizing the tumor state at 7 days after the therapy start. In the histological images, sub-regions corresponding to the most pure areas of the above-mentioned four main morphological constituents of the tumor and the corresponding parts in the OCE-images were selected. In these comparisons, borderslines and transitional tumor zones were excluded. A total of 80 targeted comparisons of tumor zones on the histological images and their corresponding zones on the OCE images were made—these comprise 20 comparisons for each of the four monitored tumor zones (i.e., regions of viable, dystrophic, necrotic cells and edema). The processing-window size was kept the...
same, although the selected-zone sizes could somewhat vary, comprising at least $5 \times 10^5$ pixels. As a result of the comparisons, a total "stiffness spectrum" of the selected four tumor constituents, for which the averaged stiffness-value histograms (similar to Fig. 1c5) were approximated by bell-shape distribution functions that represent their characteristic stiffness values (Fig. 2f). The areas of these bell-shape curves were normalized to unity (or
here) and absence of strong measurement noises, the main factor determining the resolution is the window size. From 231 to 410 kPa (average stiffness index of 335 ± 41 kPa); dystrophic tumor cells has a stiffness range from 411 to 620 kPa (average stiffness of 524 ± 59 kPa). Viable tumor cells have the most rigid structure and is characterized by the highest stiffness values, over 621 kPa, with an average stiffness index of 948 ± 164 kPa (Fig. 2g).

The zones corresponding to the so-determined characteristic stiffness ranges for each tumor constituent can be easily automatically indicated in the OCE images, which makes it possible to perform their morphological segmentation automatically. The results of this segmentation are shown in Fig. 2h, where the corresponding ranges of stiffness values for each tumor zone are encoded in different colors. Segmented OCE images are much more easily readable due to their higher contrast when compared with the corresponding histological images (Fig. 2h).

It is important to note that in Fig. 2b, the histological images were marked by the histopathologist in blind recognition, i.e., without looking at the OCE results. Even with a large magnification allowing to see individual cells in the histological section, the histopathologist cannot always find dystrophic cells (denoted as “D” in Fig. 2) among viable (denoted as “V”) tumor cells, due to their mosaic distribution. Therefore, a common “V + D” zone is noted on histological images. However, on the segmented OCE images, the boundaries of these tumor zones are obvious.

Here, it is important to emphasize that fine details of the boundary shape do not appreciably affect the estimate of total areas of the segmented zones. A well known analogy is that for a big island, the estimated length of the coastline may vary several times depending on the resolution of the used maps, whereas the total estimated area remains nearly invariable. Similarly, small isolated spots visible in the segmented images also weakly (by a few percent and even less) affect the biomedically significant proportions of the segmented areas.

Although for automatic estimation of the segmented-zone areas, the presence of such small specks was not critical, it was interesting to verify whether they may reflect some actual morphological alterations. To this end, we checked the origin of two small zones in Fig. 2h that were automatically identified as dystrophic regions embedded into the large field of viable tumor cells typical of the control group. Initially, the histopathologist identified this entire region as viable cells, although the OCE maps before segmentation showed areas of somewhat reduced stiffness with spatial scales of several hundreds of microns. After a more thorough examination of the histological section, the missed small zones of appearance of dystrophic tumor cells were identified as shown in the zoomed fragments of histological section in Fig. 2d,e. The minimum size of the detected area in Fig. 2e included as few as ten cells (~ 50 μm). In this context it can be noted that in comparison with the initial resolution in structural OCT images (~ 10–15 μm) the distribution of strain in OCE images (even a step-wise transition between contrasting layers10) is spatially smoothed over the window within which the interframe phase gradients (and, therefore, the strains) are estimated. Thus, an indicative estimate of the expected resolution of strain inhomogeneities in OCE images is about 1/2 of the window size10 corresponding to ~ 40–50 μm for the OCT scanner used in this study. In reality this estimate may be degraded by the influence of various noises10,12, the level of strain itself45, the degree of strain contrast46,48 that in turn is determined by the stiffness contrast46–48. However, for a stiffness contrast ~ 2 times and higher (as in Fig. 2f for the morphological components discussed here) and absence of strong measurement noises, the main factor determining the resolution is the window size. Another related notion (which is often confused with resolution) is the sensitivity, i.e., the ability of the method to detect small features (rather than to resolve closely located ones). Such highly-contrast local features even with sizes somewhat smaller than the window size may sufficiently strongly affect the strain field around them to be detected via such strain-field perturbation. Certainly, the mechanical contrast for such a small feature will be smoothed and somewhat underestimated. Nevertheless, if such a small feature exhibits sufficiently high-contrast in stiffness in comparison with the surrounding uniform tissue, it may be detected even if it is comparable with the processing-window size in OCE maps (like the small group of cells in Fig. 2e). Independent examples can be found in the recent study44, where OCE-detection of local stiffness-contrast features down to individual cells embedded in homogeneous hydrogel has been demonstrated.

In Fig. 2, it is noteworthy that automatically segmented OCE images and histological images segmented by a histopathologist have a very high degree of similarity, despite the fact that, topographically, the zones of segmented morphological constituents zones may somewhat differ. These differences can be explained by several factors: (1) OCE examination is performed in vivo with a slight mechanical compression and thus deformation of the tissue, (2) the preparation of histological samples additionally leads to distortion of their shape by osmotic stresses during fixation, dehydration and paraffin embedding, (3) the “same” positions of the OCE scans and histological slices (for which also the thickness is several times smaller than the OCT-beam diameter) prepared after sacrificing the animal inevitably cannot ideally coincide. Furthermore, even for neighboring histological sections taken at a distance as small as 10–30 μm already may differ from each other, especially in areas of small-scale structures15.

As demonstrates Fig. 2, fairly small inhomogeneities (down to ~ 40–50 μm in size) are distinguishable on both initial OCE images and segmented ones. In the latter, even smaller spots may incidentally appear due to thresholding/noises. Unlike the examples in Fig. 2d,e, for which the correspondence in histology was found, in noisier regions such small spots may be artefactual. However, they do not strongly affect the total areas of the segmented zones. The results shown in Fig. 2 confirm that the OCE-visualization for sufficiently low noises and
Thus, it can be reliably said that the OCE method is able accurately to differentiate between highly-contrasting zones of necrotic and viable tumor cells due to the strong separation of their characteristic stiffness ranges that do not have any measurable overlap (see the first and fourth peaks in Fig. 2f). For adjacent peaks in the stiffness spectrum Fig. 2f, the distribution functions of the characteristic stiffness values (Fig. 2f) already have some overlap of ~10%, which leads to some segmentation uncertainty in regions with stiffness values falling in the overlap zone. Note that this overlap is not exclusively related to the finite accuracy of the OCE measurements, but is also caused by the fact that the tumor cells themselves do not instantaneously and simultaneously “switch” from one state to another, so that, for instance, a dystrophic cell may be surrounded by still viable tumor cells and, therefore, the mechanical properties in such zones also gradually evolve within a finite range of stiffness.

In principle, the use of higher-resolution OCT systems (for example, similar to those in studies48,50 with a cellular-level resolution) should make it possible to distinguish the stiffness variations on a smaller scale, down to groups of a few cells or even single histologically changed cells embedded in a mechanically homogeneous strongly contrasting region of another type. However, typically increase in resolution of OCT systems results in a significantly reduced field of view. In this context it can be emphasized that, for “typical” OCT systems a resolution of ~10–15 microns in the structural scans, on the one hand, a reasonably wide field of view up to 5–10 mm can readily be obtained and additionally extended by stitching together several adjacent OCT scans. On the other hand, such a system already makes it possible to automatically segment OCE scans and visualize different tumor zones with an acceptable in practice smoothing on the order of a few tens of microns. As shown in Fig. 2e, with such smoothing even localized inclusions corresponding to ~10 cells in size may be detectable, if they have sufficiently high contrast in stiffness. However, so small spots do not appreciably affect the total areas of the segmented zones. Furthermore, it can be pointed out that even if conventional manually performed segmentation of histological sections starts from microscopic consideration of individual cells, the resultant boundaries between sub-regions do not minutely delineate individual cells in histological sections, but show larger smoothed details also with an accuracy of tens of microns (and often even lower). Thus, the resulting uncertainty in the areas of the segmented morphological zones is comparable for histology and OCE despite an order of magnitude lower resolution of the latter. Bearing in mind the arguments presented in this section, we emphasize that, from the viewpoint of both biomedical importance and robustness, validation of the quality of the OCE-based morphological segmentation should be made by comparing percentages of the areas of segmented zones for OCE and histology. The results of such a comparison are discussed in detail in the following section.

Correlation between OCE-based segmentation and histological data of tumor response monitoring. Using the above described OCE-based technique, we performed monitoring of tumor responses to chemotherapy with parallel verification by histology. As a result of such monitoring, morphologically segmented OCE images were constructed for different time points. For blind verification of the OCE-based segmentation, the corresponding histological images were segmented by an experienced histopathologist without knowing OCE-results. For both types of segmented images (histological and OCE-based), the areas of the revealed tumor zones were calculated and expressed as a percentage of the image area. Morphometric analysis with the calculation of the tumor zones was performed on the corresponding histological images using standard procedures (see “Histological examination” and “Statistical analysis” in “Material and methods”) and compared with the results of automated calculation of the stiffness-map areas corresponding to each of the determined four characteristic stiffness ranges.

Figure 3a shows a comparison of the areas of segmented tumor zones obtained by the OCE and from the histology. From the bar charts in Fig. 3a it is seen that, firstly, there is a high degree of consistency in the percentages of all four main tumor-tissue constituents obtained by the two methods. Secondly, using the OCE, as well as the conventional histological method, one can observe the changes occurring in the tumor in response to chemotherapy. However, using the OCE-segmentation in contrast to standard methods, this can be done non-invasively (albeit in contact mode), in vivo, in nearly-real time, and on the same animal.

In addition to the very close results of the two methods of monitoring the development of the tumors (Fig. 3a), a high correlation was revealed between the area of each of the four tumor zones highlighted by the histopathologist in the routine way on the histological section and those segmented on the OCE image according to the corresponding elastic modulus range. For all four discussed tumor zones (viable tumor cells, dystrophic tumor cells, edema and necrotic tumor cells), the Pearson correlation coefficient between the areas occupied by them on the histological images and the segmented OCE image is very high, \( r = 0.94–0.98 \) (see Fig. 3b). Thus, the results of morphological segmentation of OCE scans based on monitoring of tumor stiffness are highly consistent with the results of histological examination, which confirms the possibility for using OCE to determine the values of specific stiffness ranges corresponding to various tumor-tissue constituents. It should be emphasized that the results shown in Fig. 3 are an experimental fact and do not rely on theoretical estimates of the ultimate resolution and sensitivity of the OCE technique.

Concerning the statistical significance, the high degree of coincidence of the bar heights in Fig. 3a (within ~10% for the majority of the bars for all four segmented components) is additionally characterized in Fig. 3b by the very high correlation coefficient, \( r = 0.94–0.98 \), which agrees with the fact that the overlap of the areas of the neighboring stiffness peaks in Fig. 2f is also ~10%. Furthermore, such an accuracy of agreement of the OCE-based and histology-based segmentation was obtained for each animal of the total cohort \( n = 36 \). For such successful series of limited sizes, a very good estimate of the occurrence of successes in the unlimited population is given by the statistical “rule of three”51,52. According to this rule, the 95% confidence interval for only successes is \([1–3/n,1]\). Consequently, based on \( n = 36 \) in our experiment, in \( > (1–3/n) = 91.7% \) cases the same coincidence...
with histology in population can be expected with statistically significant confidence score of 95%. Moreover, we recall that for each animal we segmented four different morphological constituents, so that we had a series of

**Figure 3.** Quantitative comparison of histological and OCE-based segmentation results. (a) Comparison of the results of histological examination (striped columns) and OCE monitoring (monotone columns). (b) Relationship between the amount of space occupied by each allocated tumor zone and the percentage of the areas on the OCE images with a stiffness value in the range of this tumor zone. A strong and direct correlation is visible (Pearson correlation coefficient for viable tumor cells $r = 0.98$, for dystrophic tumor cells $r = 0.94$, for edema $r = 0.97$, for necrotic tumor cells $r = 0.97$).
36 × 4 = 144 cases for individual subtypes of the tissue. For a series size of n = 144, the successful classification can be expected in > 97.9% cases with 95% confidence score. Finally, it is known that for n ≥ 30, the estimate based on the “rule of three” gives a very good approximation of results based on more sophisticated and sensitive tests. For completeness, using the binomial distribution with equal probabilities \( p = q = 0.5 \) of correct and incorrect outputs, one can readily estimate the probability of purely incidental occurrence of the reported results. For example, for a series of \( n = 36 \), at least 33 successful acts of classification have a negligible probability \( P \sim 10^{-9} \) of occasional occurrence. Therefore, the conclusions about high similarity of the segmentation results based on the proposed OCE method and conventional histology demonstrate high statistical significance.

**Discussion**

In a broad sense the reported results relate to a vast number of studies aimed at finding methods that accelerate and simplify any stage of histological examination, or are a convenient alternative to histological study. Such methods can reduce the cash cost of reagents and save research time. For example, the combination of Raman spectroscopy and autofluorescence in ex vivo diagnosis of human skin cancer samples has made it possible to differentiate melanoma from basal cell carcinoma with high accuracy, which is a convenient and quick alternative to histological examination. The use of multispectral Muller polarimetry in the ex vivo characterization of human colon cancer has made it possible to obtain information on the tissue structure without using routine histological examination.

By using combination of third-harmonic generation methods and three-photon fluorescence microscopy, digitally stained multimodal imaging was recently demonstrated. Color-remapped images mimic H&E staining. The method eliminates the stage of special long-term and expensive staining. Other studies demonstrate the prospect of using a specially trained neural network to generate a morphological conclusion, which makes it possible not to have to resort to the help of a qualified histopathologist and to accelerate the pace of the processing of histological material. In addition, our research team is investigating the possibilities of a cross-polarization OCT method in determining the morphology and boundaries of brain tumors. These studies illustrate the capabilities of optical methods (including OCT-based ones) for producing high-resolution visualization of tissue structure in places where the use of biopsy studies is completely impossible.

Compared with conventional histological examination, the proposed OCE method demonstrates a number of advantages (certainly bearing in mind general limitations on the visualization depth in OCT). This method does not require the use of endogenous agents (reagents) and dyes and can be applied in vivo or to freshly excised tissue samples. OCT study allows information to be obtained about the tissue under investigation within a few minutes (including recording a signal and processing to obtain a segmented OCT image). It is possible to develop real-time OCT, which will even more significantly reduce the time spent on obtaining the results of the study (for the used setup, interferome strains with rate 20 fps can already be calculated in real time, although the segmentation itself presently requires about a minute of post-processing). By contrast, a routine histological examination takes 3–7 days. It is important to note that there are clinical situations requiring intraoperative, urgent morphological examination. For example, determining the boundaries of tumor resection, establishing the histogenesis of neoplasms, etc. In such cases, an urgent biopsy is performed with the preparation of cryosections. This technique is characterized by fast tissue freezing, bypassing the stage of formalin-fixation and paraffin embedded section prepare procedure. A frozen histological examination takes about 30 min from the time the material is taken to the moment the result of the study is obtained, during which time the patient is on the operating table. Moreover, it is known that many studies indicate the significant percentage of false results obtained with such a technique. A further development of the OCE method presented here would open up the possibility of real-time implementation of in vivo diagnostics of the histological structure of the tissue and its morphological alterations/reactions to therapies.

In this context it can be mentioned that ultrasound shear wave elastography (SWE) was applied in previous studies to assess the tumor stiffness during thermal therapy to predict the tumor-responders. As the result, SWE mapping and quantification of tumor stiffness was carried out. However, in comparison with OCE ultrasound elastography has significantly lower resolution insufficient for morphological segmentation on a scale comparable with morphometry of histological images.

It can also be noted that alternative histological methods proposed in the literature, using optical techniques, are often aimed only at isolating one characteristic component (such as elastin or collagen fibers, or the identification of tumor/non-tumor zones). In this context, OCT opens up the possibility of segmenting several histological components at once. In this paper, four typical tumor zones are considered: necrotic tumor cells, edema, viable tumor cells, and dystrophic tumor cells. Moreover, with a similar approach in the recent study on patients with a tumor on the mammary gland made it possible to isolate fibrosis, hyalnosis, inflammatory infiltration and unchanged breast tissue, as well as tumor growth, infiltration of the mammary ducts, and to distinguish between aggregations of tumor cells of different degrees of density. Such results allow us to consider OCE as providing a method for visualizing very fine structures and processes inside highly organized tissues of the human body. More advanced constructions of OCT probes like in Refs. or study should expand the area of application of the described OCE method.

Certainly, the OCE method may be also useful in combination with subsequent conventional histology to facilitate the recognition of areas in the tissue with changes that may be missed during routine microscopic examination. A more complete assessment of the tumor response and thereby the effectiveness of treatment can be achieved by combining OCE with other modalities based on the same OCT setup (angiography, polarization-sensitive OCT, estimation of the optical-signal decay, etc.).
Knowing both the advantages and the limitations of the OCE method (first of all, limited depth of scanning typical of OCT), it can be concluded that the method can be valuable in the study of surface structures (skin and mucous, where a biopsy is limited or not advisable) and the effects of various destructive or therapeutic/cosmetic agents on them. OCE can be successfully applied intraoperatively as an express method for determining the morphology and characteristics of tissues, the results of exposure to various therapeutic agents, for determining the boundaries of resection, etc. It is very likely that OCE will find uses in oncology as a method for accurate determining the boundaries not only for “healthy tissue/tumor” but also for “tumor/necrosis” and “healthy tissue/necrosis” boundaries, including the ability to determine other structural features of the tissue.

Besides encouraging results on application of the OCE-based “stiffness-spectrum” approach to assessment/classification of freshly excised breast cancer samples in our previous study and the in vivo results for 4T1 tumor model reported here, this approach recently was successfully applied in our group to monitor chemotherapy and PDT effects using another murine cancer model CT-26. These results additionally corroborate possibilities of the proposed OCE-based segmentation to monitor in vivo morphological alterations in the same animals in preclinical studies without removing them from the experiment at different time points. Furthermore, besides performing OCE-based morphological segmentation the same OCT setup was used in study to enable angiographic imaging, which made it possible to assess in parallel morphological alterations and functional changes in the treated tumors.

Conclusion

Using a 4T1 breast cancer tumor model treated with two chemotherapeutic drugs with different mechanisms of action, we demonstrated possibilities to perform nearly real-time OCE-based morphological segmentation enabling a high correlation with the histological results in terms of percentages of the segmented zones. For the first time, by rigorous comparison of OCE images and their corresponding histological images, the stiffness ranges of different tumor zones such as necrotic tumor cells, edema, clusters of dystrophic tumor cells and viable tumor cells were obtained. The results of the OCE-based morphological segmentation performed in vivo were highly correlated with histological images in terms of percentage of the segmented tumor zones. In this regard, the OCE method opens unavailable previously possibilities to assessing the state of tumor either using freshly excised samples or even in vivo to monitor morphological changes during treatment. Numerous applications in other experimental/clinical areas requiring rapid quantitative assessment of tissue morphology can be foreseen.

Materials and methods

Multimodal OCT setup. The elasticity characteristics of tissues were measured using a spectral domain multimodal OCT system (custom-made at the Institute of Applied Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences) in the compression-elastography mode. The setup has the central wavelength of 1.3 μm and spectral width 90 nm, with a power of about 15 mW and can provide 20,000 A-scans per second. The device had a spatial resolution of 15 μm laterally and about 10 μm (in air) in depth in structural images. It is also able to perform real-time angiographic imaging and cross-polarization imaging.

OCE imaging. The compression OCE mode is based on estimating the gradients of the inter-frame variation in the signal phases of adjacent OCT scans during tissue deformation. To estimate strains and stiffness, a phase-sensitive technique described in our previous papers was used together with a robust vector method for estimating gradients of interframe phase variations that are proportional to interframe strains. Details of obtaining OCE images can be found in our studies. For the Young’s-modulus quantification, a calibration (silicone) layer (Fig. 1b) with a known stiffness was placed on the tumor surface (Fig. 1a). The silicone used here had a Young’s modulus of about 100 kPa as being the most suitable for a study of tumor characterizing changes in stiffness in the range from 50 to 1,200 kPa or more. During recording, OCT probe slightly compressed the reference silicone and the underlying tissue.

The Fig. 1c schematically shows a typical interframe phase difference in the reference silicon and the underlying tissue, for which the interframe strains were found by estimating the axial gradients of the interframe phase variation with averaging over a small rectangular sliding window. The ½ of this window size is the main factor determining the resolution of the resultant strain/stiffness maps ~ 50 μm. However, the main conclusions on the similarity between the OCE-based and histological segmentation do not rely on the exact estimate of the resolution.

The silicone layer served as a stress-sensor and thus allowed reconstruction of the stress–strain relationship for the tissue at every point over the OCE-image as described in Refs. Obtaining of quasistatic stress–strain curves based on finding cumulative strains for several tens of recorded OCT images is described in detail in papers. For real compressed samples, usually strain (and, therefore, stress) inside the homogeneous reference silicone demonstrate pronounced inhomogeneity across the OCE image as shown in Fig. 4a for gradually increasing cumulative strains. This inhomogeneous stress in homogeneous silicone is caused by mechanical heterogeneity of the underlying tissue and its non-planar boundary with the silicone and means that pronouncedly different stress is applied to different areas of the tissue within the same OCE frame. This fact should be taken into account, because stiffness of real tissues is pronouncedly stress-dependent as illustrated in Fig. 4c by representative stress–strain curves for two different regions within the OCE scan (one for normal tissue and the other for tumor). Both curves are pronouncedly nonlinear with strongly varying local slope that is equal to the local Young modulus (stiffness). Consequently, if the stress applied to the tissue is not specified, interpretation of the observed “stiffness” makes no sense. To exclude this ambiguity in the initial OCE maps with inhomogeneous stress (like in Fig. 4a), we construct synthesized (reassembled) maps of cumulative strains corresponding to the same pre-selected stress (and strain) in the reference silicone over the entire synthesized OCE image as shown...
in Fig. 4b for three pre-selected stress values 3 kPa, 4 kPa and 5 kPa. Such a single reassembled strain map for a pre-selected standardized stress is composed by stitching vertical columns taken from OCE strain-map frames with different numbers in the initially obtained series of OCE maps similar to those in Fig. 4a. For such synthesized maps as in Fig. 4b, every vertical column corresponds to the same pre-selected stress (and thus cumulative strain) in the reference silicone, this stress being applied to the underlying tissue. In such a way we ensure that, for every position on the OCE map, we obtain the stress and strain values corresponding to stresses 4 ± 1 kPa on the nonlinear “stress–strain” curves like those in Fig. 4c. Then, for the central stress value 4 kPa, the local slope of the “stress–strain” curve (i.e., the Young’s modulus for 4 kPa) can be approximated by the chord connecting the pre-selected stress points (say, 4–1 = 3 kPa and 4 + 1 = 5 kPa as in Fig. 4c). The chosen central stress (here, 4 kPa was empirically chosen) can be adjusted for a particular heterogeneous tissue to obtain strains in the examined region from fractions of one percent to several percent (that do not yet damage the tissue and are fairly easily measured). For such a method of stiffness mapping, the ambiguity due to the tissue nonlinearity is excluded and the result is much more robust in comparison with straightforward estimates based on interframe stress and strain increments. The so-constructed map of the Young's modulus standardized for 4 kPa stress over the entire OCE scan is shown in Fig. 4e.

The derived stiffness maps (like in Fig. 4e) could be superimposed with conventional structural OCT images (Fig. 4d) and compared with the corresponding histological slices to find characteristic stiffness ranges (“stiffness spectra”) for various tumor zones as described in detail in the discussion of Fig. 2. Then the stiffness maps (like those in Fig. 2c) can be automatically segmented into zones corresponding to the preliminary found stiffness ranges (see Fig. 2h). Then in any chosen region of interest percentages of pixels corresponding to various ranges of stiffness (i.e., percentages of areas of the segmented zones) could be automatically calculated and used to monitor variations in the tissue morphology (as shown in Fig. 3a). Also, correlation between the results of OCE-based segmentation and morphological segmentation of conventional histological images could be found for the four tumor zones of primary interest: regions of viable tumor cells, dystrophic tumor cells, tumor edema, and necrotic tumor cells (see Fig. 3b).
Experimental animals and cell lines. Balb/c female mice (6–8 week old, n = 36 divided in three groups) were used in the experiments performed in accordance with the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes (ETS 123), the experimental protocol being approved by the Research Ethics Board of the Privolžsky Research Medical University (REB#2, granted January 29, 2018). The cells of murine mammary carcinoma 4T1 were inoculated subcutaneously in auricle tissue of the Balb/c mice at a concentration 2 × 10^5 cells per 20 µl PBS\(^{74}\). The relatively small tumor sizes enable accurate 3D size determination; this is very important to calculate tumor volume accurately. The histological structure and other details of this tumor model are described in previous study\(^7\). As shown earlier\(^{36}\), the 4T1 model is a triple negative tumor, morphologically similar to duct breast cancer and characterized by a high degree of malignancy and high metastatic activity. It is also important that the surface location of the tumor allows for visual assessment of its growth and monitoring of the changes in the tissue elasticity using OCE\(^{24}\).

Chemotherapy drugs. A comparative study of the action of two types of chemotherapeutic drugs was carried out. Bevacizumab belongs to the angiogenesis inhibitor family: it is a monoclonal antibody that targets vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-A). VEGF-A is a growth factor protein that stimulates angiogenesis in a variety of diseases, especially in cancer. Inhibition of VEGF by Bevacizumab affects tumor growth by several mechanisms, including the inhibition of new vessel growth and induction of endothelial cell apoptosis. Moreover, it affects vessel function by vasoconstriction and vessel normalization\(^{38}\).

Cisplatin belongs to the platinum-based antineoplastic family of drugs used for cytostatic antitumor chemotherapy. It works, in part, by binding to DNA and inhibiting its replication. By interfering with DNA replication Cisplatin kills the fastest proliferating cells, which, in theory, are the carcinogenic ones\(^{37}\).

Treatments started 2 days after tumor cell inoculation. Bevacizumab and Cisplatin were administrated in an intraperitoneal manner, in doses of 15 and 6 mg/kg, respectively, according to a previously proposed treatment regimen\(^{38}\). Five cycles were administered over 2 weeks, three times per week (at days 0, 2, 5, 7 and 9).

Macroscopic evaluation of tumors. At each time point (days 0, 2, 5, 7, 9, 12), photographs of the tumors were taken on a stereomicroscope (Axio Zoom v16 ZEISS). During the first 12 days, the therapeutic effect was assessed by following the tumor growth kinetics in the control and therapeutic groups. Tumor growth and progression were monitored by measurement of the tumor size with a caliper device three times per week. Tumor volume (V, mm\(^3\)) was determined by the equation\(^{78}\):

\[
V = \frac{4}{3} \pi abc \text{ mm}^3,
\]

where \(a\) is the length of the tumor, \(b\) is its width and \(c\) is the height. Data was plotted as the average tumor size for the ten mice in each treatment group. Since at the baseline (day 0), the tumor volume varied greatly from mouse to mouse, the values of tumor volume on days 1–12 were normalized per the baseline value to obtain the ratio \(V/V_0\) for the very same mouse. The tumor growth inhibition coefficient (TGI) was calculated on day 12 from the beginning of treatment using the formula\(^{79}\):

\[
\%\text{TGI} = \left( 1 - \frac{V_T - V_{T0}}{V_c - V_{C0}} \right) \times 100\%,
\]

where \(V_T\) is the mean tumor volume on day 12 after the start of chemotherapy, \(V_{T0}\) is the mean tumor volume on the day of the start of chemotherapy; \(V_c\) and \(V_{C0}\) are the corresponding means for the control group. This derived metric quantifies the efficacy of the treatment assessed volumetrically while accounting for volume changes due to natural disease progression over the monitoring time interval. TGI > 100% means that the tumor volume decreased after chemotherapy (high efficacy of treatment); 100% > TGI > 50% means that the tumor volume moderately increased (medium efficacy of treatment), TGI < 50% tumor volume greatly increased (ineffective treatment)\(^{80}\).

Histological examination. Animals were sacrificed by dislocating the cervical vertebrae under anesthesia. The excised tumors were embedded in paraffin. Several cross sections were made from the center of the tumor. Histological sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). The histopathological study included the identification of viable tumor cells, necrotic tumor cells, edema and dystrophic tumor cells (atypical/pathological mitoses, cells with karyopnosis of the nucleus, karyorexis of the nucleus, karyolysis of the nucleus, nucleus vacuoles and cytoplasmic vacuoles).

Quantification of these tumor zones was carried out in QuPath image analysis software (v0.1.2)\(^{81}\). Using this software, a histopathologist identified the above mentioned tumor zones and their boundaries. The percentage of the area occupied by each tumor zones was equal to the ratio of the sum of all the areas of the given structure on the histological image to the total image area (the area corresponding to the size of the OCE images taken under the histological mark). For accurate comparison of the histological images with the OCE images, histological paint had been placed at the center of the tumor. For analysis, one section was taken from each tumor.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed in Statistica 10.0 software. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used for comparison between areas of various tumor zones determined by histology and from the automatic segmentation of OCE images. Statistical analysis of tumor growth was performed using the one-tailed Student’s t-test. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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