The RNA polymerase II subunit Rpb9 activates ATG1 transcription and autophagy
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Abstract

Macroautophagy/autophagy is a conserved process in eukaryotic cells that mediates the degradation and recycling of intracellular substrates. Proteins encoded by autophagy-related (ATG) genes are essentially involved in the autophagy process and must be tightly regulated in response to various circumstances, such as nutrient-rich and starvation conditions. However, crucial transcriptional activators of ATG genes have remained obscure. Here, we identify the RNA polymerase II subunit Rpb9 as an essential regulator of autophagy by a high-throughput screen of a Saccharomyces cerevisiae gene knockout library. Rpb9 plays a crucial and specific role in upregulating ATG1 transcription, and its deficiency decreases autophagic activities. Rpb9 promotes ATG1 transcription by binding to its promoter region, which is mediated by Gcn4. Furthermore, the function of Rpb9 in autophagy and its regulation of ATG1/ULK1 transcription are conserved in mammalian cells. Together, our results indicate that Rpb9 specifically activates ATG1 transcription and thus positively regulates the autophagy process.
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Introduction

Macroautophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy) is a highly conserved process through which superfluous cytoplasmic components or damaged organelles are delivered to vacuoles (in yeast and plants) or lysosomes (in mammals) for degradation and recycling (Wang & Klionsky, 2003; Onodera & Ohsumi, 2005). Evolutionarily conserved autophagy-related (ATG) proteins are involved in the entire process of autophagy, including initiation, vesicle nucleation, elongation, completion, fusion, and degradation (Takeshige et al., 1992; Tsukada & Ohsumi, 1993; Parzych et al., 2018). The autophagy process starts with the formation of a phagophore (Yu et al., 2018), an initial double-layered membrane structure called the pre-autophagosomal structure (PAS) that sequentially grows into a sealed autophagosome (Suzuki et al., 2001). The completed autophagosome finally fuses with vacuoles/lysosomes and is degraded together with sequestered cargos (Xie & Klionsky, 2007). Autophagy is essential for cell homeostasis and cell survival under hostile conditions, such as starvation (Shi et al., 2012; Nixon, 2013). Dysregulation, especially downregulation of autophagy, is related to various human pathologies, such as neurodegenerative diseases, cancer, microbial infection, and metabolic diseases (Huang & Klionsky, 2007; Fullgrabe et al., 2014; Feng et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2015).

In mammalian cells, autophagy occurs at low basal levels under normal conditions, and under stress conditions, such as nutrient deficiency, hypoxia, pathogen infection, and autophagy are rapidly and dramatically upregulated (Jin et al., 2014; Levine & Kroemer, 2019; Kawabata & Yoshimori, 2020). In yeast, bulk autophagy is inhibited under nutrient-rich conditions. A special type of selective autophagy, the cytoplasm-to-vacuole targeting (Cvt) pathway, occurs in yeast cells when external nutrients are available. The Cvt pathway selectively targets and transfers cytoplasmic hydrolase proteins, including Ape1, Ams1, and Ape4, to vacuole (Klionsky et al., 1992; Harding et al., 1995; Hutchins & Klionsky, 2001; Yuga et al., 2011). The Cvt pathway is biosynthetic rather than degradative, as the hydrolases are transported into vacuoles for biological functions instead of being degraded (Baba et al., 1997). Cvt cargos are recruited into a special type of autophagosome, which is usually smaller (approximately 150 nm) than starvation-induced autophagosomes (approximately 500 nm) (Mizushima & Klionsky, 2007; Sawa-Makarska et al., 2014). The Cvt pathway requires the common core autophagic machinery and specific receptors Atg19 and Atg11 (Yorimitsu & Klionsky, 2005; Sawa-Makarska et al., 2014). As a dynamic process, autophagy is tightly regulated by gene transcription and translation (Delorme-Axford & Klionsky, 2018). At the transcriptional level, many studies have discovered the mechanisms of transcriptional repression on autophagy genes, and how this repression is released by upstream kinases (Jin et al., 2014; Bernard et al., 2015a, 2015b). In particular, Atg8, a lipid-conjugated ubiquitin-like protein that constitutes an expanding structure of autophagy (Xie et al., 2008), is negatively regulated by the transcriptional repressor Ume6, a subunit of the Rpd3 histone deacetylase complex (Bartholomew et al., 2012). Atg9, the only transmembrane...
protein that functions in autophagosome formation by cycling lipid resources (Reggiori et al., 2005), is inhibited by the transcriptional repressor Pho23-Rpd3 histone deacetylase complex (Jin & Klionsky, 2014). Histone demethylase Rph1 is a negative transcriptional regulator of several ATG genes (mostly obvious on ATG7), and this process is dependent on its DNA binding activity but not histone demethylase activity (Bernard et al., 2015a, 2015b). Under autophagy-induction conditions, such as nitrogen starvation, the protein kinase Rim15 inhibits Ume6 and Rph1 by phosphorylation, thus releasing the transcriptional repression of ATG genes, such as ATG7, ATG8, and ATG9 (Bartholomew et al., 2012; Bernard et al., 2015a, 2015b; Kim et al., 2021). Compared to the discovery of transcriptional repressors, the transcriptional activators of ATG genes, on the other hand, remain less characterized. The protein serine/threonine kinase Atg1 is essential for the initiation step of PAS (Suzuki et al., 2007; Cheong et al., 2008; Kawamata et al., 2008) and thus functions as the most upstream factor for autophagy induction. However, the transcriptional activation of ATG1 is largely unknown.

Here, based on a high-throughput screen for novel autophagy factors in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, we identified Rpb9, a subunit of RNA polymerase II, that exerted a critical and conserved role in autophagy. Rpb9 deficiency caused the specific blockage of transcriptional induction of ATG1 and thus led to inhibition of autophagy under starvation conditions. Restoring ATG1 expression restored autophagy in Rpb9-deficient yeast cells. Mechanistically, Rpb9 is bound to the promoter region of ATG1 through transcriptional factor Gcn4. A similar function of the Rpb9 ortholog POLR2I on ULK1 transcription was found in mammalian cells, indicating that the regulation of ATG1/ULK1 by Rpb9/POLR2I was conserved.

Results

Transcriptional activation is pivotal for the autophagy process

Autophagy is basically a stress-responsive process that is maintained at low levels under normal conditions, such as nutrient-rich conditions and is rapidly induced upon conditions of stress, such as starvation. We first tried to verify whether and how much transcription is involved in autophagy activation. Autophagy can be greatly induced by the Tor1 inhibitor rapamycin (Benjamin et al., 2011) or by nitrogen starvation (SD-N) (Tsukada & Ohsumi, 1993; Liu et al., 2021). Under rapamycin treatment or SD-N starvation conditions, cycloheximide (CHX) was added to inhibit the synthesis of new proteins in cells, and autophagy activity was determined by GFP processing assays. When GFP-tagged autophagic substrates, such as polyQ (polyglutamine) protein or Atg8, are transferred into vacuoles by autophagy for degradation, the GFP moiety is released and accumulates, as it is highly stable and resists degradation by hydrolases in vacuoles (Klionsky et al., 2021a, 2021b). Autophagy was greatly induced by rapamycin or SD-N, as shown by the appearance of GFP moieties, and this effect was completely inhibited by the addition of CHX (Fig 1A), indicating that autophagy induction depends on the synthesis of new proteins. Furthermore, we used thiolutin, a potent inhibitor of RNA polymerases (Pelechano & Perez-Ortin, 2008), to block gene transcription under these conditions. The results showed that thiolutin completely inhibited autophagy induced by rapamycin or SD-N (Fig 1B), suggesting that transcriptional activation was indeed essential for autophagy. To determine whether the transcriptional activation of ATGs occurs rapidly, we treated yeast cells with thiolutin followed by autophagy induction by rapamycin or SD-N. The results showed that short-term induction (3 h) was sufficient to activate autophagy, demonstrating that the transcriptional induction of ATGs occurred quite rapidly (Figs 1C–E). Genome mRNA expression sequencing of yeast cells before and after starvation was performed (Fig 1F). The results showed that ATG1 was the most upregulated among the autophagy genes (Fig 1G and Dataset EV1). In addition, we confirmed the transcription of ATG genes by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and the protein levels of Atg proteins, demonstrating upregulation of ATG1 (Figs 1H and EV1A). After blocking the autophagic degradation of Atg1 (Alemu et al., 2012; Kraft et al., 2012; Nakatogawa et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2018), the protein levels of Atg1 were shown to be obviously induced upon starvation (Fig EV1B). Using galactose-controlled promoter, results showed that induced transcription of ATG1 was important for autophagy activities (Fig EV1C–E).

Together, these results suggested that autophagy induction requires prompt transcriptional activation, and ATG1 gene upregulation is the most obvious effect.

Rpb9 is essential for autophagosome formation

To explore new transcription factor functions in autophagy, we performed a genome-wide screen with the yeast gene knockout collection (Zhang et al., 2021) (Fig 2A and Dataset EV2). Using this screen, we identified Rpb9, a subunit of RNA polymerase II (Kaster et al., 2016), as a novel candidate for essential autophagy factors (Dataset EV2). Next, we confirmed that Rpb9 deficiency blocked autophagy to a similar extent as Atg1 deficiency and that re-expression of Rpb9 restored autophagy by detecting the autophagic degradation of different substrates GFP-50Q (Shen et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021), GFP-Atg8 (Araki et al., 2017; Klionsky et al., 2021a, 2021b), and GFP-Pgk1 (Welter et al., 2010) (Figs 2B and EV2A and B). Starvation resistance is deficient in yeast cells with dysfunctional autophagy (Tsukada & Ohsumi, 1993; Zhang et al., 2021). Rpb9 deficiency caused loss of starvation resistance after nitrogen starvation (Fig 2C). Cherry-Atg8 can be transferred into vacuoles by autophagy induced by starvation in WT yeast cells. However, deletion of essential factors for autophagosome formation, such as Atg1, caused the diffuse cytoplasmic distribution of Atg8 and deletion of the SNARE protein Vam3, which is responsible for autophagosome-vacuole fusion (Moreau et al., 2013; van der Beek et al., 2019), caused dot-like (autophagosome) distribution of Atg8 (Figs 2D and E, and EV2C). Rpb9 deletion caused the diffuse cytoplasmic distribution of Atg8 similar to that noted in Atg1 deletion, suggesting that Rpb9 is essential for autophagosome formation instead of fusion (Figs 2D and E, and EV2C). Ape1 (RFP tagged), another classic autophagic substrate, cannot be transported into vacuoles in Rpb9-deleted yeast cells, which is similar to that noted in Atg1-deleted cells (Figs 2F and EV2D). Furthermore, a quantitative alkaline phosphatase assay (Araki et al., 2017) showed that Rpb9 deficiency blocked the autophagic transfer of the substrate Pho8Δ60 to a similar extent as deletion of the essential autophagy factor Atg8 (Figs 2G and EV2E). Vacuole maturation of Ape1 by autophagy under rich-medium conditions was also found to be...
blocked in Rpb9-deleted yeast cells (Fig EV2F). After determining that Rpb9 regulates autophagosome formation instead of fusion steps (Fig 2D and E), we then tried to analyze which processes of autophagosome formation are regulated by Rpb9. Results showed that Atg1/Atg13 puncta but not Atg9 recruitment or membrane elongation (Atg12-Atg5 conjugation system) was regulated by Rpb9 (Fig EV2G–J).

The endocytosis pathway targets and transfers endocytic substrates, such as plasma membrane protein Sna3, to vacuoles for degradation, and the vacuole sorting pathway transfers proteins,
such as carboxypeptidase Y (Cpy1), to vacuoles for hydrolysis function (Yanguas et al., 2019). These two vacuole-based pathways were not affected by Rpb9 deletion (Figs 2H and I, and EV2K), indicating that Rpb9 functions specifically in autophagy.

Collectively, we identified and confirmed that Rpb9 is essential for the autophagy process and functions at the autophagosome formation step.

Rpb9 is a specific transcriptional activator of the ATG1 gene

Although recognized as a subunit of RNA polymerase II, Rpb9 is not an essential factor of RNA polymerase II. Yeast cells with Rpb9 deletion are viable, whereas cells with deletion of other subunits are inviable (Giaever et al., 2002). These results require Rpb9 is not required for the general catalytic activity of RNA polymerase II core enzyme. This notion is supported by the observation that deletion of Rpb9 has no effect on the assembly of the RNA polymerase II complex by other subunits (Hull et al., 1995). Instead, Rpb9 is involved in the selection of the transcription initiation site (Hull et al., 1995; Ziegler et al., 2003), control of fidelity (Walmacq et al., 2009), transcription-coupled nucleotide-excision repair, and termination of piRNA transcription (Berkyurek et al., 2021). We hypothesized that Rpb9 may function in autophagy through specific transcriptional regulation of ATG genes. A transcriptomics analysis of WT and Rpb9-deleted yeast cells subjected to nitrogen starvation was performed (Fig 3A). Clustering of differential genes from the transcriptome data showed that deletion of Rpb9 did not cause substantial transcriptional blockage given that the numbers of upregulated and downregulated genes in Rpb9-deficient cells were generally equal (Fig 3B and C). We then focused on the ATG genes and found that Rpb9 deletion specifically caused downregulation of ATG1 (Figs 3C and EV3A and Dataset EV3). The qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that Rpb9 deletion blocked the transcriptional induction of ATG1 (Figs 3D and EV3B). Consistently, the proteins expression levels of Atg1 were also found to be regulated by Rpb9 (Fig EV3C and D). To further verify that Rpb9 deletion blocked autophagy through the downregulation of ATG1, we exogenously overexpressed several ATG genes, including ATG1, in Rbp9-deleted yeast cells and assessed the restoration of autophagy. The results showed that ATG1 overexpression restored autophagy in Rbp9-deleted cells, whereas other ATGs, such as ATG5, ATG9, ATG13, and ATG17, could not (Fig 3E–I). These results suggest that Rpb9 functions in autophagy through specific upregulation of ATG1 transcription.

Rpb9 functions in autophagy, and the regulation of ATG1 transcription depends on its N-terminal zinc finger domain and linker region

The protein structure of Rpb9 mainly contains two zinc finger domains with zinc finger 1 (Zn1) and a linker region at the N-terminus and zinc finger 2 (Zn2) at the C-terminus (Figs 4A and EV4A). We then tested the functions of different truncated Rpb9 proteins in autophagy and ATG1 transcription. The results showed that Zn1 and the linker region together (Rpb9 1–52) were sufficient to restore autophagy in Rpb9-deficient cells, whereas Zn2 deletion had no effect on Rpb9 function in autophagy, as shown by degradation of the autophagic substrate GFP-50Q and starvation resistance (Fig 4A–C). Similarly, overexpression of Zn1 and the linker region together (Rpb9 1–52) restored starvation-induced ATG1 transcription (Fig 4A and D).

Next, we verified the importance of the Zn1 domain for Rpb9 function in autophagy and ATG1 transcription using two mutants, one with Zn1 mutations (C10/29/32A, N mutation) and another with Zn2 mutations (C75/78/103/106A, C mutation) (Fig 4A). The results further confirmed that Zn1 was essential for Rpb9 function in autophagy and ATG1 transcription, whereas Zn2 was not (Fig 4B–D).

Rpb9 regulates ATG1 transcription through Gcn4

The above results identified Rpb9 as a transcriptional activator of ATG1. We then analyzed which regions in the ATG1 promoter were bound by Rpb9. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed followed by detecting the Rpb9-binding sites in the upstream (–) and downstream (+) regions of ATG1 encoding the start site with primers each spanning approximately 100 nucleotides (Fig 5A). The results showed that the −900 base pair (bp), −800 bp, and −700 bp regions upstream of the ATG1 ORF were enriched in the DNA fragments precipitated by Rpb9 (Fig 5A). This finding indicated that Rpb9 may regulate ATG1 transcription by binding the −900 to −700 bp upstream of the ATG1 ORF. However, an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) revealed no direct interaction between Rpb9 and these regions (Fig EV4B). We thus hypothesized that Rpb9 may bind the promoter of ATG1 through interaction with other transcription factors. It has been suggested that the transcription factor Gcn4 regulates Atg1 transcription by binding to its promoter regions (Bernard et al., 2015a, 2015b), which were also found to be bound by Rpb9 in our study (Fig 5A). Indeed,
while Rpb9 alone could not bind the ATG1 promoter (Fig EV4B), it efficiently binds the ATG1 promoter regions in the presence of Gcn4 (Fig 5B). Consistently, deletion of Gcn4 blocked Atg1 transcription, which is similar to that noted for Rpb9 deletion (Fig 5C). Furthermore, we found that Rpb9 binding to the promoter regions of ATG1 was dependent on Gcn4 (Fig 5D). These results suggested that Rpb9 regulated ATG1 transcription through Gcn4. This finding was strengthened by the observation that Rpb9 directly interacted with Gcn4 (Fig 5E). Interestingly, Gcn4 overexpression in Rpb9-deleted cells did not restore autophagy, and similar results were obtained with the reverse scenario (Fig 5F and G). Notably, overexpression of Gcn4 partly restores the autophagic degradation of GFP-50Q in

Figure 2.
rpb9A cells (Fig 5F), while over-expression of Rpb9 in gcn4Δ cells failed to have the same effect (Fig 5G). Together with results showing that Rpb9 only binds the ATG1 promoter in the presence of Gcn4 (Figs 5B and EV4B), we speculated that Gcn4 binds the ATG1 promoter and interacts with Rpb9; the latter recruits RNA polymerase II complex through interacting with Rpb1 and Rpb2 subunits. There is a possibility that Gcn4 directly and weakly binds the RNA polymerase II complex in the absence of Rpb9 or that unknown factors other than Rpb9 exist to recruit the RNA polymerase II to ATG1 promoter-bound Gcn4. These results indicate that both Rpb9 and Gcn4 are necessary for ATG1 transcription and Rpb9 binds the ATG1 promoter through Gcn4.

Rpb9 function in autophagy is highly conserved across eukaryotes

Autophagy factors are mostly conserved in eukaryotic cells from yeast to mammalian cells. We tried to analyze whether Rpb9 regulation of autophagy is also conserved. First, we searched for Rpb9 orthologs in other eukaryotes through bioinformatics analysis using a sequence homology algorithm (protein–protein BLAST, BlastP) (Boratyn et al, 2012). This search showed highly conserved orthologs of S. cerevisiae Rpb9 in other eukaryotic species (Figs 6A and B, and EV5A). All Rpb9 orthologs presented similar domain architectures (Fig 6A). A simple phylogenetic tree indicated the evolutionary conservation of Rpb9 orthologs (Fig 6B). Strikingly, when expressed in yeast cells, Rpb9 orthologs restored the autophagic degradation of the model substrate GFP-50Q (Fig 6C). Similar to yeast Rpb9, the first zinc finger domain plus linker region from Rpb9 orthologs restored autophagy in Rpb9-deleted yeast cells (Fig 6D). Furthermore, Rpb9 orthologs restored the starvation resistance and ATG1 transcription in Rpb9-deleted yeast cells (Fig 6E and F). We next detected the effects of Homo sapiens POLR2I on ATG genes and found that knockdown of POLR2I in human K562 cells specifically reduced the expression of ULK1 (Figs 6G and EV5B), which encodes ULK1, the human ortholog of yeast Atg1. Autophagic activities were reduced by POLR2I knockdown mammalian cells, which was shown by the reduced degradation of autophagy receptor p62 and less amount of autophagosomes (LC3 puncta) (Fig EV5C and D), indicating the conserved importance of POLR2I for autophagy in mammalian cells.

Together, these results demonstrated that Rpb9 functions in autophagy and that its regulation of ATG1 transcription is highly conserved in eukaryotic cells.

Discussion

Understanding the prompt and efficient induction of autophagy upon stimulation is one of the major topics of focus in this field. As a quick response to stress conditions, transcription activation is pivotal for the quick and efficient induction of autophagy (Fig 1A–E). Interestingly studies on transcriptional regulation of autophagy have revealed transcriptional repressors of autophagy genes. For example, the transcription repressor Ume6 inhibits ATG8 transcription (Bartholomew et al, 2012), the Pho23-Rpd3 histone deacetylase complex inhibits ATG9 transcription (Jin et al, 2014), and the histone demethylase Rph1 inhibits ATG7 transcription (Bernard et al, 2015a, 2015b). However, the transcriptional activators of ATGs are less characterized. In this study, we showed that the RNA polymerase II subunit Rpb9 effectively and specifically regulated ATG1 as a transcriptional activator and thus was essential in the autophagy process.

Given that Rpb9 is an auxiliary subunit of the RNA polymerase II complex (Cramer et al, 2000), it is reasonable to assume that Rpb9 may actually regulate ATG1 transcription by generally regulating transcription in cells. However, several lines of evidence in this study indicated that Rpb9 did not generally affect gene transcription in cells; instead, it exhibited specific regulation of ATG1. First, yeast cells with Rpb9 deletion were viable, whereas most of the other subunits of RNA polymerase II were essential for cell growth. Second, the number of upregulated genes in cells harboring a deletion in Rpb9 was similar to the number of downregulated genes (Fig 3A–C). A dramatic downregulation of total gene expression should be observed in Rpb9-deleted cells if Rpb9 was
Figure 3.
involved in general transcription in cells, which was not the case based on what we observed (Fig 3A–C). Third, among the ATG genes, Rpb9 deletion caused specific downregulation of ATG1 transcription but no other ATGs (Fig 3C), further indicating that Rpb9 regulation of ATG1 was specific. Several ATGs were even transcriptionally upregulated in Rpb9-deleted cells (Fig 3C). The
Figure 5. Rpb9 binds the promoter of ATG1 through Gcn4.

A Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay was performed in Rpb9-HA yeast cells and precipitated DNA was extracted and detected by qRT-PCR analysis with primers spanning the indicated regions upstream and downstream of the ATG1 starting code. TFC1 was used as negative control. The dotted line indicates no enrichment compared to the control. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, *indicates \( P < 0.05 \), **indicates \( P < 0.01 \) (\( n = 5 \) biological replicates).

B His-tagged Rpb9 and GST-tagged Gcn4 were purified from Escherichia coli cells and subject to an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) together with DNA probes spanning the indicated promoter regions of ATG1.

C WT, rpb9Δ, and gcn4Δ yeast cells were grown to log phase in YPD (+N) and shifted to nitrogen starvation (−N) for 3 h. ATG1 mRNA levels were quantified by qRT-PCR. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, *indicates \( P < 0.05 \), **indicates \( P < 0.01 \) (\( n = 5 \) biological replicates).

D ChIP assays were performed with WT Rpb9-HA or gcn4Δ. Rpb9-HA yeast cells followed by qRT-PCR analysis. TFC1 was used as a negative control. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, *indicates \( P < 0.05 \), **indicates \( P < 0.01 \) (\( n = 5 \) biological replicates).

E Purified GST-Gcn4 and His-Rpb9 proteins from E. coli cells were mixed and GST pulldown assays were performed.

F, G Neither overexpression of Gcn4 in rpb9Δ yeast cells nor overexpression of Rpb9 in gcn4Δ yeast cells can restore autophagy. Gcn4 or Rpb9 were overexpressed in rpb9Δ or gcn4Δ yeast cells respectively and autophagic degradation of GFP-50Q was detected.
Figure 6.
reason may be that autophagy blockade by Rpb9 deletion would lead to further nutrient limitation under starvation conditions and thus cause further requirements for the autophagy process, as demonstrated by transcriptional activation of ATGs other than ATG1 (Fig 3C). Considering that the mRNA levels of several ATGs in addition to ATG1, such as ATG8 and ATG9, were dramatically upregulated upon autophagy induction by starvation (Fig 1H), the specific regulation of ATG1 by Rpb9 further indicated that Rpb9-mediated regulation of ATG1 was specific.

Prompt induction ATG gene transcription is involved in and essential for autophagy induction (Fig 1A–E). Atg1 is located at the convergence of multiple signaling pathways that sense intracellular nutrients, including the TOR (Ma & Blenis, 2009), AMPK, and PKA pathways. Furthermore, Atg1 is essential for initiating autophagosome formation as a very upstream factor in autophagy (Jin et al., 2018), and the magnitude of autophagy is partially controlled by the Atg1 levels (Kraft et al., 2012; Nakatogawa et al., 2012). Thus, the transcriptional upregulation of ATG1 could cause a robust and prompt induction of autophagy upon starvation. Protein levels of ULK1, the mammalian ortholog of yeast Atg1, are efficiently and specifically downregulated by the E3 ligase NEDD4L through proteasome degradation (Nazio et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016); therefore, the transcriptional upregulation of ULK1/ATG1 is important for the maintenance of autophagy activity during starvation by maintaining sufficient ULK1/Atg1 protein for the autophagy process. This finding is consistent with the observation that Rpb9 function in the transcriptional regulation of ULK1/ATG1 was conserved, as demonstrated by the observation that expression of Rpb9 orthologs from other eukaryotic species could restore autophagy in Rpb9-deleted yeast cells (Fig 6C). Interestingly, for all the Rpb9 orthologs tested, we found that the first zinc finger domain (Zn1) plus linker region was sufficient to function in autophagy (Fig 6D), and similar results were noted for yeast Rpb9 (Fig 4A). Notably, the Zn1 domain of Rpb9 has been shown to interact with Rpb1 (Cramer et al., 2000), a core component of RNA polymerase II. Therefore, we hypothesized that Rpb9 recruits RNA polymerase II to the promoter of ATG1 by interacting with Rpb1 and DNA-binding protein Ccn4. PLOR21, the Homo sapiens ortholog of Rpb9, is associated with cardiovascular diseases (Talukdar et al., 2016), such as hypertensive nephropathy and colorectal cancer (Long et al., 2016). Considering the close relationship between autophagy and cardiovascular diseases (Martins et al., 2021) and cancers (Kliosny et al., 2021a, 2021b), it is possible that PLOR21 involvement in these diseases is caused by dysregulation of its function in the autophagy process.

In summary, we identified the RNA polymerase II subunit Rpb9 as a pivotal regulator of autophagy that specifically promotes ATG1 transcriptional activation. Together with previous findings on transcriptional repressors of ATG genes, the information provided by this study helps fill the void in our understanding of how ATG genes are selectively regulated. In future studies, it will be interesting to uncover the mechanisms by which other ATGs are transcriptionally activated after repression is released, especially ATG8 and ATG9, two genes that are also highly induced upon autophagy activation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Yeast strains, media, and constructs**

Yeast strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Tables EV1 and EV2. Standard protocols were used for yeast manipulations. Yeast cells were grown in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% glucose) or synthetic complete (SC) medium (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and ammonium sulfate, supplemented with 2% glucose or galactose or galactose containing with raffinose) for indicated times (h). Chromosomally knockout and tagged strains were constructed by a PCR-based strategy. Standard cloning and site-directed mutagenesis techniques were used.

**HEK293T cell culture**

Human HEK293T cells were grown in DMEM (supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin) at 37°C with 5% CO2. Plasmid and siRNA were transfected by Lipofectamine 2000.

**Fluorescence microscopy assays**

Yeast cells were cultured in SC medium (0.17% YNB, 2% glucose, and 0.2% amino acids) at 30°C. When growing to log phase, yeast...
cells were collected and then washed twice in still water, cultured in SD-N media for indicated times at last. Aliquots of liquid culture were collected at indicated time points and allowed to precipitate on concanavalin-A coated cover glass for 5 min. Images were captured by Zeiss Observer 7 machine equipped with Apotome. Representative images from at least three independent repeated experiments were shown. HEK293T cells were grown in confocal wells and observed by Zeiss Observer 7 machine equipped with Apotome. Representative images from at least three independent repeated experiments were shown.

Immunoblotting techniques

Collected yeast cells were added to HU lysis buffer (4 M urea, 2.5% SDS, 100 mM Tris-pH 6.8, 0.05 M DTT). They were heated at 65°C to 15 min to prepare protein samples to be resolved on SDS-PAGE gels followed by applying appropriate antibodies and analysis by standard immunoblotting techniques. Monoclonal antibodies against HA-epitope (F-7) and GFP (B-2) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-7392 and sc-9996). Monoclonal Pgk1 antibody (22C5; ab113687) was from Abcam. Polyclonal POLR2I antibody (17270-1-AP) was from Proteintech. Monoclonal p62 antibody (EPR4844; ab109012) was from Abcam. Monoclonal GAPDH antibody (60004-1-lg) was from Proteintech. Polyclonal Apel antibody was a gift from Dr. Cong Yi (Zhejiang University).

Genome mRNA expression sequencing

Genome transcriptomics analysis was carried out by Beijing Novogene Bioinformation Technology Co., Ltd. (China). Three independent replicates of wild-type yeast cells before and after starvation or wild-type and Rpb9-deleted yeast cells after starvation were collected. RNAs of yeast cell samples were individually extracted followed by quantification and qualification. A total amount of 3 μg RNA per sample was used as input material. Sequencing libraries were generated using NEBNext Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, USA) following the manufacturer’s recommendations and index codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. Briefly, mRNA was purified from total RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. Fragmentation was carried out using divalent cations under elevated temperature in NEBNext First Strand Synthesis Reaction Buffer. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using random hexamer primer and M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase. Second strand cDNA synthesis was subsequently performed using DNA polymerase I and RNase H. Remaining overhangs were converted into blunt ends via exonuclease/polymerase activities. After adenylation of 3‘ ends of DNA fragments, NEB Next Adapter with hairpin loop structure was ligated to prepare for hybridization. In order to select cDNA fragments of preferentially 150-200 bp in length, the library fragments were purified with the AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, USA). Then 3 μl USER Enzyme (NEB, USA) was used with size-selected, adapter-ligated cDNA at 37°C for 15 min followed by 5 min at 95°C before PCR. Then PCR was performed with Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase, Universal PCR primers, and Index (X) Primer. At last, PCR products were purified (AMPure XP system) and library quality was assessed on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system. The Pearson correlation was analyzed between samples suggesting that the biological replicates for each experimental group were reliable for further analysis. The data were processed on an online platform (https://magic.novogene.com). Differential expression analysis was performed using the DESeq R package (1.18.0). DESeq provides statistical routines for determining differential expression in digital gene expression data using a model based on the negative binomial distribution. The resulting P-values were adjusted using Benjamini and Hochberg’s approach for controlling the false discovery rate. Genes with an adjusted P-value < 0.05 found by DESeq were assigned as differentially expressed.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

Yeast cells were grown in YPD to log phase. Then, Yeast cells were collected and washed twice with distilled water and cultured in SD-N media for the indicated time. Cells were collected and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cell walls were lysed with the ZYMOLYASE-20T (MP Biomedicals, 320921) and then FastPure cell/tissue total RNA isolation mini kit (Vazyme, RC101-01) was used to extract total RNA. Extracted RNA was converted to cDNA using HiScript III RT SuperMix for qPCR (Vazyme, R323-01) and qRT-PCR reactions were performed using ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, C711-02) on an iCycler RT-PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Each assay was performed in triplication for each sample. The reaction mix is in a 15-μl final volume consisting of 7.5 μl of SYBR Green Master mix, 0.5 μl of each primer with 333.3 nM final concentration, 1.5 μl of H2O, and 5 μl of the cDNA preparation. The thermocycling program is 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, and 60°C for 1 min. After that, melting curves were analyzed to verify PCR specificity. The transcript abundance was analyzed by a comparative threshold-cycle method. The relative abundance of the reference mRNAs of TFC1 was analyzed and taken for normalization of differences in total RNA amount.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

The strains used in the study were Rpb9-HA, gcn-4Δ Rpb9-HA. After growing to the log phase, yeast cells were added with 1% final formaldehyde to perform cross-link of protein-DNA complexes for 20 min at room temperature, and then a working concentration of 0.2 M glycine was added to stop cross-linking. Sample were collected, washed twice in PBS and resuspended in ice-cold FA lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% SDS). The cells suspended in lysis buffer were added with zirconia/silica beads (BioSpec, 11079105z) for cell disruption on a multitube bead beater (SCIENTZ) and then were centrifuged 1 min at 1,000 g to discard beads. The samples were centrifuged for 15 min at a maximum speed, 4°C. After discarding the supernatant, 1 ml ice-cold FA lysis buffer was added to resuspend the precipitate for sonication (50 cycles of 4 s on, 15 s off, the pulse at 100 W power) at 4°C, and then centrifuged for 15 min at maximum speed 4°C to collect supernatant that was divided into input and IP fractions. One part of the IP fraction was incubated with IgG2a antibody together with protein G-Sepharose beads while the other part was incubated with HA antibody together with protein G-Sepharose beads overnight at 4°C. After immunoprecipitation, the beads were washed serially with FA lysis with 0.5 M NaCl, wash buffer and TE buffer, resuspended in
elution buffer at 65°C metal bath for 10 min. After incubation with RNase A for 1 h at 37°C, the samples were treated with proteinase K for 2 h at 42°C followed overnight at 65°C. The purified DNA was examined by qRT-PCR analysis, which was performed using the ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix.

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as means ± SD from at least three biological replicates. Statistical analyses were conducted using Prism5. Ordinary one-way or two-way ANOVA with Turkey’s multiple comparisons test, or a two-tailed paired or unpaired Student’s t-test was used to determine statistical significance (*: P < 0.05, **: P < 0.01, ***: P < 0.001, NS: no statistical difference).

Data availability
There is no data deposited in public databases.

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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Expanded View Figures

**Figure EV1.** Induced transcription of ATG1 upon starvation is important for autophagy activity.

A Atg1, Atg13, and Atg17 were HA-tagged, respectively, at the C-terminal by chromosome recombination and their expression levels were analyzed before and after nitrogen starvation in SD-N medium.

B Atg1 was HA-tagged at the C-terminal by chromosome recombination in ypt7Δ cells and its protein levels were analyzed before and after nitrogen starvation in an SD-N medium.

C–E Expression of exogenous Atg1 is controlled by the galactose promoter, and thus the expression levels of exogenous Atg1 in atg1Δ cells are controlled by different types of sugar added in the culture medium. Raffinose combined with galactose (2% and 0.01%, respectively) will induce low levels of Atg1 proteins while complete galactose (2%) will induce high levels of Atg1 proteins. Autophagic degradation of GFP-Atg8 was measured.

**Figure EV2.** Rpb9 is essential for autophagy.

A N-terminally GFP-tagged Atg8 was checked for its autophagic degradation in indicated yeast cells by GFP processing assays after 0, 4, and 16 h starvation. Yeast cells with ATG2 deletion were used as positive controls.

B C-terminally GFP-tagged Pgk1 was checked for its autophagic degradation in indicated yeast cells by GFP processing assays after 0, 4, and 16 h starvation. The PVDF membrane was subject to Ponceau staining and used as a loading control.

C–E Expression determination of HA-Rpb9 in experiments Fig 2D, F, and G.

D Autophagic transfer of endogenous Ape1 in indicated yeast cells was analyzed at rich medium conditions.

E Atg13 puncta was regulated by Rpb9. Atg13 was C-terminally GFP tagged in chromosome and its puncta in indicated yeast cells after 4-h SD-N starvation was observed and quantified. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, * indicates P < 0.01 (n = 5 biological replicates). Scale bars: 5 μm.

F Atg13 puncta was regulated by Rpb9. Atg13 was C-terminally GFP tagged in chromosome and its puncta in indicated yeast cells after 4-h SD-N starvation was observed and quantified. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, ** indicates P < 0.01 (n = 5 biological replicates). Scale bars: 5 μm.

G Atg9 recruitment to Atg8 puncta was not regulated by Rpb9. C-terminal Cherry tagged Atg9 and N-terminal GFP tagged Atg8 were expressed in indicated yeast cells and their puncta co-localization was observed and quantified. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (n = 5 biological replicates). Scale bars: 5 μm.

H Atg12-Atg5 conjugation was not regulated by Rpb9. C-terminal HA-tagged Atg5 and N-terminal HA-tagged Atg12 were expressed in indicated yeast cells and the Atg12-Atg5 conjugation levels were analyzed.

I Vacuole transfer of Carboxypeptidases Y (Cpy1) by the secretory pathway was analyzed in WT, vps15Δ, and vps34Δ cells. Scale bars: 5 μm.
Figure EV2.
Figure EV3. Rpb9 is important for ATG1 transcription.

A Data from genome-wide analysis of differential gene expression in rpb9Δ yeast cells compared to WT cells showed Rpb9 deletion caused specific downregulation of the ATG1 gene. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation (n = 5 biological replicates).

B WT, rpb9Δ, and rpb9Δ-HA yeast cells were grown to log phase in YPD (+N) and then shifted to nitrogen starvation (-N) for 3 h. mRNA levels of ATG1, ATG5, ATG13, and ATG17 were quantified by qRT-PCR. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, * indicates P < 0.05, ** indicates P < 0.01, *** indicates P < 0.001 (n = 5 biological replicates).

C Protein expression levels of chromosome tagged Atg1, Atg5, Atg13, and Atg17 in WT and rpb9Δ yeast cells were analyzed before and after SD-N starvation.

D Atg1 was HA-tagged at the C-terminal by chromosome recombination in ypt7Δ cells and in ypt7Δ rpb9Δ cells and its protein levels were analyzed before and after nitrogen starvation in an SD-N medium.
A Schematic diagram of Rpb9 in RNA polymerase II. The 10 subunits of yeast RNA polymerase II were shown as ribbon diagrams (this figure was prepared with PyMOL). Rpb9 was shown with orange color while the other subunits were shown in gray.

B His-tagged Rpb9 was purified from Escherichia coli cells and subject to an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) together with DNA probes spanning the indicated promoter regions of ATG1.
Figure EV5. Rpb9 is conserved in eukaryotes.

A Protein alignment of Rpb9 orthologs from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Drosophila melanogaster, and Caenorhabditis elegans. 

B HEK293T cells were transfected with siRNA targeting POLR2I for 72 h and then the mRNA levels of POLR2I were analyzed. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, ***indicates P < 0.001 (n = 5 biological replicates).

C POLR2I knockdown increased the protein levels of autophagy receptor p62 which was subject to autophagic degradation. HEK293T cells were transfected with siRNA targeting POLR2I for 72 h and then the protein levels of p62 were analyzed.

D POLR2I knockdown reduced the number of autophagosomes shown by LC3 puncta. HEK293T cells with expression of GFP-LC3B were transfected with siRNA targeting POLR2I for 72 h and then the LC3 puncta was observed and quantified. Bars represent mean, error bars represent standard deviation, significance was determined by one-way ANOVA (unpaired) followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, **indicates P < 0.01 (n = 5 biological replicates). Scale bars: 10 μm.