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Abstract

In the current study, we review the taxonomic status of *Liolaemus nigromaculatus*. Despite being the nominal species of the *nigromaculatus* group and being the second species of the genus *Liolaemus* that was described, this species is of uncertain type locality and its true identification is a matter of discussion. After carefully analyzing several digital pictures of the holotype (juvenile male), reviewing all of the literature concerning the issue, examining specimens of nearly all recognized species of the *nigromaculatus* group, and determining the locations visited by the specimen collector, we are able to point out the following: 1) *L. nigromaculatus* was collected between Puerto Viejo and Copiapó of the Atacama region in Chile, and not in Huasco 2) *L. bisignatus* is a *nomen nudum*, and populations attributed to *L. bisignatus* should be referred to as *L. nigromaculatus*. 3) There is agreement that *L. copiapoensis* is indistinguishable from populations currently referred to as *L. bisignatus* (= *L. nigromaculatus*), 4) Populations found in Huasco (currently considered the type locality of *L. nigromaculatus*) are very similar to those found in Caldera (currently considered *L. bisignatus*) and should be designated as *L. nigromaculatus*, and 5) *L. oxycephalus* and *L. inconspicuus* are not synonymous with *L. nigromaculatus*, although their true identities are difficult to determine. We also detail several characteristic based on the holotype of *L. nigromaculatus*, in addition to drawing diagnostic comparisons between this species and others belonging to the *nigromaculatus* group.
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Introduction

The genus *Liolaemus* is comprised of 230 species (Uetz 2012) distributed throughout the southern portion of South America from the central mountains of Peru to the Tierra del Fuego in Chile. *L. nigromaculatus* (Wiegmann 1834) belongs to the subgenus *Liolaemus* and the *nigromaculatus* group (Lobo 2005). This is the second species that was described for the genus *Liolaemus* and it is the nominal species of the *nigromaculatus* group. However, *L. nigromaculatus* is a species with an uncertain provenance and a muddled taxonomic history (Donoso-Barros 1966, Valladares 2011). Apart from the original description, only Müller and Hellmich (1933a) have indicated data for this species based on the holotype, while the latest revisions either do not indicate the material examined (Ortiz 1981) or the specimens examined were not deposited in an institutional collection (Pincheira-Donoso and Núñez 2005).

Wiegmann (1834) described *Tropidurus nigromaculatus* from Chile based on one juvenile specimen collected by Franz Julius Ferdinand Meyen on his journey around the world during 1830-1832, without making mention of a specific type locality. He pointed out that the species is characterized by a gray color and rhomboid-oval shaped dorsal scales which have a keel but are obtuse. Also, he indicates that the scales of the dorsum are black spotted, “sind die einzelnen schuppen am Grunde schwarz...” (231 p), and that the dorsal pattern presents two series of dark spots which transversely extend to the base of the tail.

Duméril and Bibron (1837) transferred the species to the genus *Proctotretus* and provided a re-description. They show uncertainty about the locality of origin for *P. nigromaculatus*, stating that they examined specimens from Coquimbo (Fig. 1), which were collected by Charles Gaudichaud and deposited in the Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, France. These authors mention that the species has large scales which are strongly keeled and mucronate on the dorsum and flanks, characteristics that do not match with the description made by Wiegmann (1834).

Later, Fitzinger (1843) created the genus *Ptychodeira* and designated *P. nigromaculata* as its type species. The author indicates Chile as the type locality. Although he does not list the specimens examined, he indicates that they are located in the Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, France.

Bell (1843) examined one specimen collected by Charles Darwin in Coquimbo and once again included the species in the genus *Proctotretus*. He points out that the dorsal scales of this species are strongly keeled and with mucrons.

Gray (1845) considered this species a member of the genus *Liolaemus*, based on specimens from Coquimbo. The description of the species is very similar to that made by Duméril and Bibron (1837) and by Bell (1843). Later, Girard (1858a,b) included the species within the genus *Rhytidodeira*, a decision that was rejected by Steindachner (1867), who once again included the species in the genus *Liolaemus*.

Boulenger (1885), examined three specimens from Coquimbo and three specimens from unknown origins, all deposited in the British Museum (London, England),
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and described them similar to those mentioned above for specimens from Coquimbo. Also, he indicated that Liolaemus oxycephalus (Wiegmann 1834), L. inconspicuus (Gray 1845), and L. pallidus (Philippi 1860) are synonyms of L. nigromaculatus, although he did not provide data to support these claims.

Figure 1. Distribution map of specimens used in this study. Blue circle: L. nigromaculatus (1 Caldera 2 Road between Caldera and Copiapó 3 Near to Puerto Viejo 4 Copiapó 5 Llanos de Challe 6 Road between Vallenar and Copiapó and 7 Huasco). Green triangle: L. atacamensis (1 Near to Vallenar 2 Lomas de Buitre 3 El Trapiche 4 Punta Teatinos and 5 Pachingo). Lilac square: L. silvai (Carrizalillo). Red triangle: L. zapallarenis (1 Totoralillo and 2 Las Tacas). The main localities are indicated.
With such uncertainty about the type locality, Müller and Hellmich (1933a) finally examined the holotype deposited in Museum für Naturkunde (Berlin, Germany), stating that the holotype of Tropidurus nigromaculatus is numbered “ZMB 613” and suggesting that the true type locality of this species is Huasco (Fig. 1), as specimens from this locality are those that most resemble the holotype of L. nigromaculatus: Am besten stimmt mit dem typus von n. nigromaculatus ein exemplar unseres materials überein, das von Huasco stammt (128 p).

Unfortunately, Müller and Hellmich (1933a) did not describe the characteristics that led to these conclusions. Also, they indicate that the only known specimen is a juvenile (Müller and Hellmich 1933b). Additionally, these authors described several subspecies of Liolaemus nigromaculatus (L. n. atacamensis, L. n. ater, L. n. copiapensis, L. n. kuhlmanni, and L. n. zapallarensis) and included L. bisignatus (Philippi 1860) as a subspecies of L. nigromaculatus (Müller and Hellmich 1933a,b).

Donoso-Barros (1954) described a new subspecies, Liolaemus nigromaculatus sieversi. Thereafter, in his classic book Reptiles de Chile, Donoso-Barros (1966) states that it “has been very difficult to establish with certainty the status of the L. n. nigromaculatus subspecies, initially described by Wiegmann. Müller and Hellmich considered Huasco as the type locality” (our translations). Faced with this uncertainty, Donoso-Barros (1966) decided to transcribe part of the original description and present it in his book.

Ortiz (1981) performed an analysis of the subspecies of Liolaemus nigromaculatus and concluded that L. n. sieversi and L. n. ater are subspecies of L. zapallarensis, and that L. bisignatus, L. copiapensis, L. kuhlmanni, and L. zapallarensis are full species, so L. nigromaculatus included no subspecies. Unfortunately, he did not include L. atacamensis in his analysis. Although Ortiz (1981) indicated several characteristics for L. nigromaculatus, he did not list the specimens examined and only lists the localities, which are from Huasco to southern Coquimbo. However, Troncoso and Ortiz (1987) list specimens of L. nigromaculatus located in the Museo Regional de Concepción, Chile.

Simonetti and Núñez (1986) recorded specimens of Liolaemus nigromaculatus from Sierra Las Tapias, to the north of Chañaral. They pointed out that L. nigromaculatus can be differentiated from L. atacamensis, but they did not included a comparison with L. bisignatus.

Finally, Pincheira-Donoso and Núñez (2005), in a review of the Chilean species of the genus Liolaemus, redescribe L. nigromaculatus, keeping Huasco as the type locality. However, the authors examined specimens from Pan de Azúcar, Diego de Almagro, and Inca de Oro, localities never before mentioned for this species. In addition, these specimens were not deposited in a formal collection and were instead incorporated in the personal collection of D. Pincheira-Donoso, which is not located in any public or private institution, and so their results are currently unverifiable.

Therefore, in this paper we review the taxonomic status of Liolaemus nigromaculatus through the characterization of the holotype and clarification of the location in which it was collected. In addition, we provide a diagnosis respect of the other species of the nigromaculatus group.
Materials and methods

The characteristics used for descriptions were taken from Ortiz (1981), Etheridge (1995), and Lobo (2001, 2005). Body measurements were taken with a digital vernier caliper (0.02 mm precision). Observations of scales were performed under different magnifying lenses. Through the courtesy of Frank Tillack (Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany), we examined high-resolution pictures from several views of the holotypes of *Liolaemus nigromaculatus* (ZMB 613) and *L. oxycephalus* (ZMB 615). Measurements and midbody scale counts for the ZMB 613 specimen were taken from Müller and Hellmich (1933a). Finally, some specimens were collected with a noose in several locations of the Coquimbo and Atacama Region, Chile: El Trapiche, Lomas de Buitre, Caldera and near to Puerto Viejo (Fig. 1). These specimens were fixed in 95% ethanol, preserved in 70% ethanol, and were deposited in Colección de Flora y Fauna, Profesor Patricio Sánchez Reyes of the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile (SSUC Re). These and other specimens examined are listed in Appendix I. We performed a Student’s *t*-test for comparison of SVL between *L. bisignatus* and *L. copiapensis*. Data for *L. ater* was taken from Donoso-Barros (1966).

Acronyms mentioned in this publication are: MNHN-CL (Museo Nacional de Historia Natural, Chile), MZUC (Museo de Zoología, Universidad de Concepción), MRC (Museo Regional de Concepción), SSUC Re (Colección de Flora y Fauna Patricio Sánchez Reyes, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile) and ZMB (Museum für Naturkunde).

Results

**Characteristics of the *Liolaemus nigromaculatus* holotype, ZMB 613.** The holotype is a juvenile male (Figs 2, 3). The following measurements were taken from Müller and Hellmich (1933a): SVL = 48 mm; Tail length = 76 mm; Head length = 12 mm; Head width = 10 mm; Head height = 7 mm; Forelimb length = 20 mm; Hindlimb length = 33 mm; and Midbody scales = 53. Furthermore, we observed the following: Pentagonal interparietal is smaller than the parietals and surrounded by six scales; seven scales between the interparietal and rostral; orbital semicircles are incomplete; four supraoculars; six supercillaries scales and projected ciliary scales. The subocular is whitish and with a vertical black line at the center. The frontal region is fragmented into four scales. There are five scales between the frontal region and rostral scale; two scales between the nasal and canthal. The nasal is separated from the rostral by one scale and surrounded by five scales. One row of lorilabials between the supralabials and subocular; four supraoculars and four pairs of post-mental shields with the second pair being in contact. Two scales on the anterior edge of the ear, projected onto the meatus but without covering it. Temporal scales are smooth (a few are slightly keeled) and subimbricated. The lateral neck fold is “Y” shaped and an antehumeral
fold is present. Six temporal scales between the level of the superciliaries and commissure. Dorsal scales are rounded or lancelolated, imbricated, slightly keeled, and without mucrons. Ventral scales are rounded, smooth, and subimbricated. There are at least 80 ventral scales and three precloacal pores, two according to Müller and Hellmich (1933a). Dorsal scales of the tail are rounded, imbricated, keeled, and mucronate.

**Color in alcohol.** Dorsal and lateral views of the head are light brown in color, the same tone of the dorsum, and have numerous black spots which do not form a clear pattern. On the neck, these spots become smaller. The color of the dorsum is gray-brown (gray according to Wiegmann 1834). Nine series of dark spots are over the paravertebral fields, from the base of the neck to the base of the tail. These spots are composed of approximately 8-10 scales. Additionally, over the dorsum there are numerous black spotted
scales. The temporal band has seven dark spots, which are smaller than the spots over the paravertebral fields. There is a marked, black antehumeral spot from the shoulder to the humeral zone which shows a constriction in the middle and is divided into two at the base, as forming a “ח” shape (Fig. 4). Forelimbs and hindlimbs have a gray-brown color and black spots. Flanks are of a gray-brown color. The tail has a brown color and few dark
spots. The belly, ventral surface of the tail, and ventral surface of forelimbs and hindlimbs are whitish. The belly has abundant dark spots. The throat has a strong dark reticulation.

**Diagnosis of the nigromaculatus group.** Currently, it is difficult to establish a diagnosis for this group. Preliminary evidence in an ongoing molecular phylogenetic study (Troncoso-Palacios and Schulte, *in prep*) shows that this group is composed of two clades. One clade includes *L. atacamensis*, *L. ater*, *L. kuhlmanni*, *L. melaniceps*, *L. nigromaculatus*, *L. sieversi*, *L. silvai* and *L. zapallarensis*; and the second includes *L. hellmichi*, *L. platei* and *L. velosoi*. A similar proposal is made in Pincheira-Donoso and Núñez (2005). The first clade (*nigromaculatus group, sensu stricto*) can be distinguished from other groups of the *Liolaemus* subgenus through the following combination of characteristics: 1) nasal and rostral scales separated by one scale, 2) an antehumeral black spot whether it is on males, females or juveniles, and 3) a series of black spots on the paravertebral fields at least in juveniles.

However, since a formal study is lacking, the following diagnosis includes the currently considered species as members of the group *nigromaculatus*.

**Diagnosis of Liolaemus nigromaculatus based on the holotype.** *Liolaemus nigromaculatus* can be differentiated from the other species of the *nigromaculatus* group through the following combination of characteristics: 1) nasal and rostral scales separated by one scale, 2) presence of projected ciliary scales, 3) dorsum with abundant, black-spotted scales, 4) series of black spots on the paravertebral fields, from the base of the neck to the base of the tail, 5) marked “∠” shaped antehumeral black spot from the shoulder to the humeral zone, 6) dorsal scales are rounded or lanceolated, slightly keeled, and without mucrons. Diagnosis with respect to other species of the *nigromaculatus* group is listed below.

*Liolaemus nigromaculatus* differs from *L. hellmichi* (Donoso-Barros 1975), *L. platei* (Werner 1898), and *L. velosoi* (Ortiz 1987) because in all of these species the nasal scale always contacts the rostral scale and they never have projected ciliary scales.

*Liolaemus nigromaculatus* differs from *L. melaniceps* (Pincheira-Donoso and Núñez 2005) because in this species the dorsal scales are juxtaposed. In contrast, *L. nigromaculatus* has imbricated dorsal scales. Head color in *L. melaniceps* is remarkably darker than the body, whereas *L. nigromaculatus* maintains the same color.

*Liolaemus nigromaculatus* differs from *L. ater*, *L. kuhlmanni*, *L. zapallarensis* (Müller and Hellmich 1933a, b), *L. sieversi* (Donoso-Barros 1954) and *L. silvai* (Ortiz 1989) because these species have strongly keeled and mucronate dorsal scales (Fig. 4), whereas in *L. nigromaculatus* dorsal scales are not mucronate and are slightly keeled.

Finally, *Liolaemus nigromaculatus* differs from its most similar species, *L. atacamensis* (Müller and Hellmich 1933b), because this latter species never has black spotted scales on the dorsum, which are abundant in *L. nigromaculatus*. The antehumeral spot is rounded in male *L. atacamensis* and does not contact the humeral zone (Fig. 4, Table 1). Moreover, the male *L. atacamensis* has abundant blue-sky scales dispersed over the dorsum, a trait absent in *L. nigromaculatus*. The ventral scales vary between 66-77 for *L. atacamensis* but are at least 80 in *L. nigromaculatus*. 
The relationship between *Liolaemus bisignatus* (Philippi 1860) and *L. copiapoenensis* (Müller and Hellmich 1933b). Philippi (1860) illustrated (without a description, see below) *Proctotetrus bisignatus* (= *L. bisignatus*) without mention of a type locality. Later, Müller and Hellmich (1933b) restricted the type locality of *L. bisignatus* to Caldera, Chile. On the other hand, Müller and Hellmich (1933b) described *L. n. copiapoenensis* as being from Copiapó. Hellmich (1950) indicated that *L. n. copiapoenensis* is very similar to *L. n. bisignatus*, and differs in being smaller and lacking a light green color. Donoso-Barros (1966) also noted the similarities between *L. n. copiapoenensis* and *L. n. bisignatus*, although he considered both species valid and added as a diagnosing characteristic the absence of a keel on temporal scales of *L. n. copiapoenensis*. Conversely, Ortiz (1981) states that the two species do not differ in the size or development of a keel on the temporal scales. Although in his analysis both species appear to be very close, he points out the following diagnostic characteristics: the ventral color of the thighs and cloaca is yellow in *L. bisignatus* and orange in *L. copiapoenensis* (listed as a weak difference), *L. bisignatus* has dorsal color grayish green whereas *L. copiapoenensis* has dorsal color yellowish white, *L. bisignatus* is a coastal species while *L. copiapoenensis* is a valley species, and *L. bisignatus* takes refuge in dunes whereas *L. copiapoenensis* does so in burrows. Lobo (2001, 2005), in a phylogenetic analysis, found both species to be sister taxa, but maintains their status as full species.

Pincheira-Donoso and Núñez (2005), after studying topotypes of both species, concluded that *Liolaemus copiapoenensis* is a synonym of *L. bisignatus*. However, Valdadares (2011) considered *L. copiapoenensis* a valid species.

We agree with Pincheira-Donoso and Núñez (2005) as our examination of topotypes of both populations, including the specimen considered as the holotype of...
Liolaemus bisignatus, shows that: 1) Both species do not differ in size. Adult males of L. bisignatus (n = 11; $\bar{X} = 74.1$ mm; rank = 60.9 – 83.0 mm) do not show significant differences as compared to adult males of L. copiapensis (n = 8; $\bar{X} = 70.1$ mm; rank = 60.7 – 78.1 mm) ($t = 1.27; P = 0.22$). Adult females of L. bisignatus (n = 12; $\bar{X} = 63.7$ mm; rank = 56.6 – 80.7 mm) do not show significant differences compared to adult females of L. copiapensis (n = 4; $\bar{X} = 56.9$ mm; rank = 56.5 – 59.8 mm) ($t = 1.69; P = 0.11$), 2) Both species do not differ in color pattern, as the males of L. bisignatus can have orange color on thighs and cloaca and males of both populations have a gray dorsal color, with green or yellow shades in some specimens, 3) Both species have smooth or slightly keeled temporal scales, with keel more developed in males, 4) The distribution of populations attributable to L. bisignatus or L. copiapensis is continuous from the coast to the valley, and the type of refuge used by these lizards cannot be used to identify a species as this depends on the availability of refuge types in the habitat.

The relationship between Liolaemus nigromaculatus (Wiegmann 1834) and L. bisignatus (Philippi 1860). Philippi (1860), included eight species of reptiles and one amphibian (all numbered) in the “Zoology of the Atacama Desert” section of his book. Among them, Proctotretus nigromaculatus (= L. nigromaculatus, number 2) was briefly mentioned and he pointed out that in the lamina of his book the species is labeled as P. bisignatus: “Tab. VI, Fig. 2, nomine Proct. bisignatus”. Apparently, Philippi (1860) intended to describe the specimen that he collected as a new species (P. bisignatus), but subsequent to the completion of the lamina, he would have realized that the species was already described as P. nigromaculatus. In fact, Philippi (1860) only provides three data for P. nigromaculatus: snout-vent length (SVL), tail length, and shape of dorsal scales. Therefore, L. bisignatus was never described by Philippi (1860). Indeed, according to Article 12.1 of the “Names published before 1931” section of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, L. bisignatus is a nomen nudum because it was never described, as to be available every new name published before 1931 must be accompanied by a description or a definition of the taxon that it denotes, or by an indication (ICZN 1999).

The second publication which deals with this species (Müller and Hellmich 1933b) includes Liolaemus bisignatus as a subspecies of L. nigromaculatus. However, Müller and Hellmich (1933b) indicated that it is probable L. bisignatus could be a synonym of L. nigromaculatus. Later, Ortiz (1981) considered L. bisignatus a full species, a status which remains until today. Although Ortiz (1981) did not list the specimens examined, Troncoso and Ortiz (1987) list several specimens of L. nigromaculatus (from Huasco and Caldera) and L. bisignatus (from Huasco and Caldera). This mixture of locations suggests a difficulty in differentiating both species. Our examination of these specimens shows that all are assignable to L. nigromaculatus (Fig. 5). Although Philippi (1860) did not designate a holotype or type locality for L. bisignatus, Müller and Hellmich (1933b) restrict the type locality to Caldera, Chile, and according to Ortiz and Núñez (1986), the holotype is specimen MNHN-CL 1477 collected by R.A. Philippi in Atacama.

Our review of twenty-three specimens of Liolaemus bisignatus (adults and juveniles, including the holotype) allows us to determine that the populations currently referred
to as *L. bisignatus* should be referred to as *L. nigromaculatus* based on the following: 1) Of the species in the *nigromaculatus* group that have the nasal separated from the rostral, only *L. bisignatus* and *L. atacamensis* (Figs 6, 7) have dorsal scales without mucrons, and of these, only *L. bisignatus* overlaps with the diagnostic characters of *L. nigromaculatus*, 2) The color of the *L. nigromaculatus* holotype is brown-gray with a series of black spots over the paravertebral fields, as in juveniles of *L. bisignatus*, 3) *L. nigromaculatus* has a black”x” shaped antehumeral spot, from the shoulder to the humeral zone, like *L. bisignatus*, 5) *L. nigromaculatus* has abundant black spotted scales on the dorsum, like *L. bisignatus*, 6) The holotype of *L. nigromaculatus* has at least 80 ventral scales, which is
in the range of *L. bisignatus* but not for *L. atacamensis* (Table 1), 7) Of the species in the *nigromaculatus* group with the nasal separated from the rostral, only *L. bisignatus* and *L. atacamensis* are known to be from the zone in which Meyen collected the holotype of *L. nigromaculatus* (see below), and of these only *L. bisignatus* overlaps with the diagnostic characteristics of *L. nigromaculatus*, 8) In Huasco, the location currently accepted as the type locality of *L. nigromaculatus*, it is only possible to find two other species of *Liolaemus* (*L. bisignatus* and *L. fuscus*), this explains why Müller and Hellmich (1933a) assigned Huasco as the type locality of *L. nigromaculatus*. In contrast to *L. nigromaculatus*, *L. fuscus* has the nasal scale always in contact with the rostral.

**The true type locality of *Liolaemus nigromaculatus***. Wiegmann (1834) described *L. nigromaculatus* based on one specimen collected by the doctor and naturalist FJF Meyen. Wiegmann (1834) designated “Chile” as the type locality. Later, Duméris and Bibron (1837) indicated that *L. nigromaculatus* inhabits Coquimbo because the examined specimens from this locality were, according to their criteria, consistent with the description of Wiegmann (1834). Thereafter, Müller and Hellmich (1933a) reviewed the holotype and proposed Huasco as the type locality based on the similarities of *L. nigromaculatus* with the examined specimens from Huasco. For this reason, the subsequent studies that have mentioned this species consider Huasco as the type locality (Donoso-Barros 1966, Ortiz 1981, Pincheira-Donoso and Núñez 2005).

According to Meyen (1834) he traveled for Chile for three months (from January to March 1831) mainly in the central region (San Fernando, Rancagua, Cajón del Maipo, Colina and Valparaíso). On March 6, he sailed from Valparaíso to Coquimbo where he stayed for two days. Later, he went to northern Chile, landing in Puerto Viejo (Puerto de Copiapó) on March 10 and then going to Copiapó and its surround-
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Ings (e.g. Tierra Amarilla, El Checo mine). Ten days later, he returned to Puerto Viejo and sailed to Peru. Meyen’s journey is also detailed in Domeyko (1859).

We can discard the area from San Fernando to Valparaíso as the type locality of Liolaemus nigromaculatus since no species of the nigromaculatus group has been documented for this area. On the other hand, all species of nigromaculatus group with the nasal scale separated from rostral and that inhabit the surroundings of Coquimbo (L. atacamensis, L. kuhlmanni and L. zapallarenensis) are clearly distinguishable from L. nigromaculatus, so L. nigromaculatus is not found in Coquimbo.

Meyen never visited Huasco, so it is impossible that the specimen ZMB 613 was collected by him there. Müller and Hellmich (1933a) restricted the type locality of Liolaemus nigromaculatus to Huasco based on morphological characteristics, but without considering the probable location of collection. Later, this decision was never questioned by another author and the mistake remains today.

In conclusion, the true type locality of Liolaemus nigromaculatus should be restricted to the route and surroundings from Puerto Viejo to Copiapó because in the entirety of this route specimens of L. nigromaculatus can be found and are being by far the most abundant species. Since this route covers approximately 60 Km, it is impossible to obtain a more precise location.

**Variation of the species.** Variation analysis was based on 40 specimens (19 males, 16 females, and 5 juveniles) from a transect of Puerto Viejo – Copiapó and the surroundings, including Caldera. The data are as follows: SVL adult males: $75.7 \pm 5.4$ mm. SVL adult females: $67.4 \pm 7.2$ mm. Tail length in adult males: $84.4 \pm 15.5$ mm.
Tail length in adult females: 80.8 ± 9.7 mm. Head length in adult males: 19.3 ± 1.8 mm. Head length in adult females: 17.6 ± 2.7 mm. Head width in adult males: 14.3 ± 0.9 mm. Head width in adult females: 9.5 ± 1.0 mm. Head height in adult females: 8.0 ± 0.8 mm. Forelimb length in adult males: 25.4 ± 3.8 mm. Forelimb length in adult females: 19.6 ± 1.7 mm. Hind limb in adult males: 40.2 ± 5.5 mm. Hind limb in adult females: 32.1 ± 1.6 mm.

Pentagonal or hexagonal interparietal, smaller than the parietals and surrounded by 6-7 scales; 7-9 scales between the interparietal and rostral; orbital semicircles are complete, formed by 8-10 scales, or incomplete; 3-5 supraoculars and 6 supercilliaries. The subocular is whitish with a vertical black line at the center. Two scales between nasal and canthal. The nasal is separated from the rostral by one scale and surrounded by six scales. There is one row of lorilabials between the supralabials and subocular. There are 4-5 supralabials, the last of which is curved upward without contact to the subocular; 5-6 infralabial scales. The mental is pentagonal and in contact with four scales. There are four pairs of post-mental shields; the second pair is in contact or is separated by one scale. There are 2-3 enlarged scales on the anterior edge of the ear but without covering the meatus. Temporal scales are subimbricated and smooth or slightly keeled. The lateral neck fold is “Y” shaped and antehumeral fold is present. There are 6-7 temporal scales between the level of supercilliaries and commissure. Midbody scales: 50-61. Dorsal scales are rounded or lanceolated, subimbricated or imbricated, slightly keeled and without mucrons, and some specimens have interstitial granules. Dorsal scales are similar or smaller than the ventrals. Ventrals: 77-84. Ventral scales are rounded, smooth, subimbricate, and without interstitial granules. There are 2-3 precloacal pores in the males. The suprafemoral scales are rounded, imbricated, and keeled without mucrons. Infracloacal scales are rounded, smooth, and imbricated. Supraantebrachials scales are rounded, imbricated, and keeled without mucrons. Infraantebrachials are rounded, imbricated, and smooth. The ventral tail scales are lanceolate, imbricated, keeled, and with mucrons.

Color: There is a highly variable color pattern, which varies with the size and sex of individuals. The juveniles have a brown head, with the same shade on the dorsum and with some dark spots or no spots. The dorsum has a brown-yellow color. The vertebral line is fragmented or absent, always disappearing in larger juveniles. There are nine to ten dark spots on the paravertebral fields, from the neck to the base of the tail. These spots have white or yellow on the posterior edge. There is a yellow supraocular line, and black “x” shaped antehumeral spot. The temporal band is formed by four to seven dark spots which have white or yellow on the posterior edge. The limbs are brown with small black and white spots. There is a whitish ventral color, sometimes with small dark spots. The throat is striated or spotted. The tail is brown, with vertebral line and ringed.

For adult males, the following observations were made: The supraoculars lines of juveniles disappear. The head is gray, has the same shade as the body, and which, in some specimens, also has yellow or olive shades. The dark spots of the temporal bands and paravertebral fields progressively merge until disappearing in the larger specimens,
leaving a gray color on the dorsum without design, and sometimes with olive and yellow shades. On the dorsum there are abundant black spotted scales, dispersed and without forming a design. There is a black “λ” shaped antehumeral spot, and in some specimens it is accompanied by three to six round and smaller dark spots from shoulder towards the rear. There is an absence of a vertebral line. In some specimens, the flanks have yellow or orange color. The tail has either a vertebral line or no design. The limbs are gray with small black and white spots, and the belly is whitish. The throat is striated or spotted, and the cloacal region is orange or yellow.

Finally, for females observations were as follows: There is a gray or brown color and abundant black spotted scales dispersed on the dorsum. There is a black “λ” shaped antehumeral spot, but it is more diffuse than in males. Females differ from the males in that they have dark spots over the dorsum with white on the posterior edge. Also, females have a yellow supraocular line. There is an absence of vertebral line. The limbs are gray with small black and white spots. There is a whitish belly, but in some specimens there is orange in the middle. The throat is striated or spotted. The tail has a vertebral line and may be ringed.

Discussion

Without a doubt, one of the most confused issues in the taxonomy of Chilean herpetology is the definition of *Liolaemus nigromaculatus*, whose taxonomic status and type locality have been uncertain for many years (Donoso-Barros 1966, Troncoso-Palacios and Marambio 2011). Furthermore, the species is very polymorphic. The dorsal pattern varies with both size and sex. It is even possible that the yellow and orange color on the flanks of some adult males is related to the reproductive status. In the past, this led to the description of populations from Copiapó as a new species, *L. copiapoensis* (Müller and Hellmich 1933b). Moreover, some species have been placed under synonymy with *L. nigromaculatus* without appropriate justification. Boulenger (1885) indicated that *Liolaemus oxycephalus* (Wiegmann 1834) is a synonym of *L. nigromaculatus*. However, the holotype of *L. oxycephalus* has the nasal in contact with rostral scale, which is always separated in *L. nigromaculatus*. The type locality of *L. oxycephalus* is not indicated in its description, but the holotype of *L. oxycephalus* strongly resembles *L. platei* and *L. velosoi*. However, both of these species are very similar and inhabit localities visited by Meyen, and since the state of conservation of *L. oxycephalus* is poor, it is difficult to indicate a relationship of synonymy, so we propose maintaining the specific names of *L. platei* and *L. velosoi*. Boulenger (1885) also indicated that *Liolaemus pallidus* (Philippi 1860) from Paposo is a synonym of *L. nigromaculatus*. For the moment, it is impossible to clarify this issue because the types of *L. pallidus* are lost (Ortiz and Núñez 1986). Finally, Boulenger (1885) also indicated that *L. inconspicuus* (Gray 1845) is a synonym of *L. nigromaculatus*. The type locality of *L. inconspicuus* is not indicated in the description, but according to Gray (1845) *L. inconspicuus* has strongly keeled and mucronate dorsal scales, so it can not be a synonym of *L. nigromaculatus*. 
Several authors mentioned Coquimbo as the inhabiting locality of *Liolaemus nigromaculatus* (Duméril and Bibron 1837, Bell 1843, Gray 1845, Boulenger 1885). However, these authors describe a lizard with strongly keeled and mucronated dorsal scales, and with a dorsal pattern formed by a series of dark spots. Probably, these authors confused juvenile specimens of *L. zapallarensis* or *L. kuhlmanni* with *L. nigromaculatus*.

The most similar species to *Liolaemus nigromaculatus* is *L. atacamensis*. Müller and Hellmich (1933b) described *L. atacamensis* from Atacama, north of Copiapó, based on two specimens (SVL = 57-55 mm). Later, Hellmich (1950) examined 18 more specimens from Vicuña and La Serena, both in Coquimbo Region, but unfortunately he does not provide SVL data, although he does provide the range of midbody scales: 48-54. We examined 16 specimens of *L. atacamensis* from several locations from both the Atacama and Coquimbo Regions, and the SVL (46.6 – 67.2 mm) and midbody scales (48-54) are in the range of previous data.

Use of digital pictures of type specimens has proved to be a powerful and useful tool for clarifying confusing taxonomic issues. Recently, Langstroth (2011) clarified the taxonomic status of *Liolaemus stolzmanni* (Steindachner 1891) and *L. pachecoi* (Laurent 1995), and Troncoso-Palacios and Etheridge (2012) restrict the distribution of *L. tacnae* (Shreve 1941) using digital pictures of types.

Here, we hope to have contributed to the clarification of the taxonomic identity of *Liolaemus nigromaculatus* in addition to providing new data and correcting some mistakes, all with the end of trying to understand the still uncertain semantics of the *nigromaculatus* group.

**Conclusion**

The type locality of *Liolaemus nigromaculatus* should be restricted to the transect and surroundings of Puerto Viejo – Copiapó, and the populations currently recognized as *L. bisignatus* or *L. copiapensis* are assignable to *L. nigromaculatus*.
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### Appendix I

**Specimens examined**

*Liolaemus atacamensis*. SSUC Re 469. 20 Km al norte de Vallenar. F. Ferri col. 2010. SSUC Re 470-71. El Trapiche, Coquimbo. J. Troncoso-Palacios, Y. Marambio & D. Hiriart cols. Mayo, 2012. SSUC Re 454, 464-68. Lomas de Buitre, Freirina, Atacama. J. Troncoso-Palacios, Y. Marambio & D. Hiriart cols. Mayo, 2012. SSUC Re 455-61. Playa Humedal Pachingo, entre Tongoy y Puerto Aldea, Coquimbo. C. Garin col. 10/12/2009. MZUC 30193, 30196. Punta Teatinos, sector de dunas costeras. J.C. Ortiz col. 14/09/1982.

*Liolaemus fuscus*. SSUC Re 258-59. Altos de Jahuel, Valparaíso. F. Ferri & J. Troncoso-Palacios. 19/12/2010. SSUC Re 255-57. Camino a Farellones. F. Ferri col. 18/12/2010. SSUC Re 260. Camino a Ovalle, Coquimbo. F. Ferri. 17/03/2012. MRC 229-34, 236. Huasco. J. Moreno col. May, 1983.

*Liolaemus hellmichi*. MZUC 25942-52. Cerro Moreno. J.C. Ortiz col. 02/04/2001.

*Liolaemus kuhlmanni*. MZUC 28829, 28838-41. Papudo. J. Simonetti & J.C. Ortiz col. 9/9/1977. SSUC Re 282-84. Dunas de Ritoque. F. Ferri col. 13/11/2010. SSUC Re 285-97. Caleta de La Ligua. F. Ferri col. 26/11/2010. SSUC Re 473. Dunas de Concón. J. Troncoso-Palacios & F. Urra cols. 04/01/2011.

*Liolaemus nigromaculatus*. SSUC Re 478 20 Km al sur este de Puerto Viejo. J. Troncoso-Palacios & Y. Marambio cols. Mayo, 2012. MRC 051-53. Caldera. J. Moreno col. Mayo, 1982. MRC 162, 273, 276, 282-83. Caldera. J. Moreno col. 1983. SSUC Re 306-15, 474-75. Caldera. F. Ferri col. Noviembre, 2011. SSUC Re 476-77. Caldera, Atacama. Y. Marambio col. Mayo, 2012. MNHN-CL 2237-38. 20 km de Caldera entre Copiapó y Caldera. H. Núñez col. 30/09/1991. SSUC Re 007. Llanos de Challe. G. Lobos, A. Channier & J. González cols. 2002. SSUC Re 453, 462. 50 Km al norte de Vallenar. J. Troncoso-Palacios col. 05/06/2011.
Liolaemus melaniceps. MNHN-CL 3601-03. Isla Chungungo. J.C. Torres-Mura col. January, 2002.

Liolaemus platei. MZUC 2152-53. Combarbalá. I. Peña col. Noviembre de 1961. SSUC Re 029. Llanos de Challe. G. Lobos, A. Channier & J. González cols. 2002. SSUC Re 316-20, 335-36, 380. Caldera. Francisco Ferri col. SSUC Re 321. Illapel. Francisco Ferri col. SSUC Re 420. Coquimbo. J. Troncoso-Palacios & Y. Marambio cols. 12/12/2011. SSUC Re 526. Coquimbo. J. Troncoso-Palacios & Y. Marambio cols. Mayo, 2012. MRC 058, 063. Chañaral. J. Moreno col. 28/7/1982.

Liolaemus sieversi. MZUC 8914, 12096-98, 12100, 12119-23, 12126, 12128. Isla Los Locos. R. Donoso-Barros col. 15/02/1954.

Liolaemus silvai. MZUC 30184. Carrizalillo. J.C. Ortiz col. February, 1983.

Liolaemus velosoi. MZUC 36612-14, 36618-20, 36624. Estación Paipote. J.C. Ortiz col. 16/02/1978. MZUC 32695, 32699, 32702, 32704, 32706. Copiapó. R. Moreno col. Febrero de 2000. MRC 054. Copiapó. Unknown collector. 16/06/1982. MRC 055. Copiapó. Unknown collector. 20/04/1982. MRC 061, 062, 066. Copiapó. Unknown collector. 19/07/1982. SSUC Re 322-26. Tierra Amarilla. J. Troncoso-Palacios & F. Ferri. cols. 23/11/2011. SSUC Re 330. Diego de Almagro. F. Ferri, J. Troncoso-Palacios cols. 09/12/2011. SSUC Re 327-29, 331-34, 419. Diego de Almagro. F. Ferri & J. Troncoso-Palacios cols. 12/12/2011.

Liolaemus zapallarensis. MZUC 29118. Las Tacas, Región de Coquimbo. J.C. Ortiz col. 15/10/1976. MZUC 29127. Las Tacas, Lagunillas, sector rocoso de la playa. J.C. Ortiz & J. Simonetti cols. 10/09/1977. SSUC Re 472. Totoralillo, Región de Coquimbo. J. Troncoso-Palacios & Y. Marambio cols. 12/12/2011.