Clinical Benefit of Niraparib to TKI/mTORi-Resistance Metastatic ccRCC With BAP1-Frame Shift Mutation: Case Report and Literature Review
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Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) is the most common subtype of renal cancer. The top four mutant genes affecting the occurrence and progression of ccRCC are VHL, PBRM1, BAP1, and SETD2, respectively. Tyrosine kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors (TKI/mTORis) with or without immunotherapy are the standard and effective therapy to metastatic ccRCC. Once TKI/mTORis fail to ccRCC, there is still a lack of other effective therapies. In this study, we reported a case in which a metastatic ccRCC patient (T2aN1M1) presented resistance after a 28-month treatment by sorafenib–axitinib–everolimus (TKI-TKI-mTORi). Subsequently, a frame shift pathogenic mutation, c.799_800del (p.Q267fs) in the exon10 of BAP1 in ccRCC, was revealed by targeted sequencing. Oral administration of nilapanib (PARP inhibitor) was further given, which may provide a new therapy for TKI/mTORi-resistance metastatic ccRCC. Fortunately, a partial response has been achieved and lasted for 5 months. Since the frequency of BAP1 mutations in ccRCC patients was approximately 10%–20%, as reported previously, we also tried to explore the potential mechanisms benefitting from the nilapanib. Moreover, the literature concerning BAP1 mutation and associated cancers including ccRCC is reviewed.
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INTRODUCTION

Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) is the most common pathological subtype of renal cell cancer, with a proportion of more than 75%. CcRCC is characterized by a high frequency, more than 90%, of von Hippel–Lindau (VHL) gene inactivation, which plays a key role in regulating angiogenesis through a hypoxia-driven pathway and affects the expression of multiple genes, such as...
the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and its receptor (VEGFR) (1–3). Due to the lack of effective chemotherapy, interferon, and cytokines, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), which target those pathways including VEGF and VEGFR genes, played an important role in the treatment of advanced ccRCC in the past 20 years (4). With the development of immunotherapy, especially since 2019, TKI alone is instead by the TKI combined with immunotherapy for the metastatic ccRCC as the first-line therapy (5, 6). Although TKI with or without immunotherapy did prolong metastatic ccRCC patients’ progression-free survival (PFS) time. The second line therapy is limited to change one TKI to another (TKI to TKI) or the mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor (mTORi), and in the absence of precision medicine based on gene sequencing.

Actually, VHL is not the only inactivation gene that appeared in ccRCC. Secondly mutated genes, including PBRM1, BAP1, and SETD2, are also involved in the formation of ccRCC, with a frequency up to 30%–41%, 10%–20%, and 10%–20%, respectively (7–9). Here, we present a metastatic ccRCC patient with a somatic mutation BAP1 detected by targeted genes and next-generation sequencing (targeted sequencing; range 808 genes) (8). After a 28-month treatment of TKI to TKI to mTORi, the patient presented resistance and tumor progression based on RECIST v1.1 (10). Subsequently, nilaparib [poly ADP-ribose polymerase inhibitor (PARPi)] was further given, and partial response was achieved, which has not been reported before. This may provide a new insight and drug therapy for advanced resistance ccRCC. Moreover, we also systematically reviewed the previous literature, and assessed the BAP1 alteration frequency and possible mechanism in cancers, particularly in ccRCC.

CASE DESCRIPTION

A 49-year-old man without urologic symptoms and renal cancer family history received a conventional physical examination in a local hospital in May 2018. A huge hypoechoic mass in the left renal was occasionally showed by urologic Doppler ultrasound (US) examination. Computed tomography (CT) revealed an enhancing mass measuring 9.3 cm × 8.2 cm × 7.7 cm in the left renal (Figure 1A), multiple enlarged lymph nodes in the retroperitoneal, and a quasi-circular mass (maximum size 3.0 cm) in the liver but normal alpha-fetoprotein levels (1.54 ng/ml; normal <5.0 ng/ml). Afterwards, a US-guided fine-needle aspiration (FNA) was performed on the left renal mass. The histological Hematoxylin-Eosin (H&E) examination of biopsy specimens showed features positive for malignancy, and immunohistochemistry revealed that the tumor cells were positive expressions of PAX-8, CAIX, CD10, and negative for CK7, suggesting ccRCC [T2aN1M1 (11)]. Meanwhile blood routine testing showed the patient with a lower serum hemoglobin of 97 g/L, an elevated platelet of 393 × 10⁹/L, and Ca²⁺ 3.05 mmol/L, respectively. Based on these blood markers and less than 1 year from diagnosis to treatment, the patient was classified as a poor-risk group according to the International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium (IMDC) criteria (11). Sorafenib (400 mg, bid) was subsequently taken as the first-line therapy based on the European Association of Urology (EAU) Guidelines–2018 (11). In July 2019, after the patient achieved a partial response confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) after sorafenib-targeted therapy for 14 months (Figure 1B) (10), cyto reductive nephrectomy (CN) was further performed. Histopathological examination revealed ccRCC with lymph node metastases. Two weeks later, a radiofrequency ablation of liver metastases was also conducted. After 2 months of the CN operation, sorafenib was replaced by axitinib (5 mg, bid) due to an adverse event of grade 3 maculopapular erythroderma, and the disease was stable continuously. In May 2020, the patient began to lose weight and have a headache. Chest CT identified the areas of new abnormal metabolism in both lungs and brain MRI showed a mass in the right optic canal. Everolimus (10 mg per day; mTORi) was subsequently given as the third line but showed ineffective treatment.

In September 2020, the patient came to our hospital with a severe headache and dyspnea. The admission assessment showed a 4-grade Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (12) and an 8-grade Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) Pain (13). Chest CT showed atelectasis in the left lung due to the tumor invasion of the left bronchus and metastases in the right lung (Figure 1C). Brain MRI showed a mass in the right optic canal. Targeted genetic
testing was further performed on a ccRCC specimen from nephrectomy [formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE)] by utilizing the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. A frame shift pathogenic mutation c.799_800del (p.Q267fs) in the exon 10 of BAP1 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/1070749/) was found. Owing to the patient’s worse performance status and high cost of drugs, immune checkpoint inhibitors (PD-1/PD-L1/CTLA-4/LAG-3/CD47) were not a priority treatment option and should be refused. On the contrary, based on BAP1 mutation detected in the tumor in the patient, PARPi should be recommended. In October 2020, niraparib (200 mg per day) was taken after obtaining the patient and his family’s full informed consent, followed by CyberKnife radiosurgery (25 Gy; 5 cycles) for the treatment of intracranial metastatic lesion and supplemented nutritional support therapy. To our excited, the patient displayed a partial response in both lungs after niraparib for 2 months (Figure 1D). The intracranial lesion also shrunk due to radiotherapy and the headache were totally released. The Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) and Carcino-Embryonic Antigen (CEA), which elevated to abnormal when the patient admitted to our hospital, returned to normal again (Figure 2). In the following 2 months, the patient received continuous remission (Figure 1E). However, in March 2021, 5 months after taking niraparib, new metastases appeared in the brain and the hilus of the left lung, resulting in atelectasis (Figure 1F). Then, the patient began to suffer from breathing difficulties and refused any further treatment, except palliative care. Eventually, the patient died in June 2021 according to his family’s message.

Moreover, we clustered data that were identified from other patients with cancer and BAP1 alteration by the “cBioPortal” (http://www.cbioportal.org). This identified a total of 32 large-scale TCGA PanCancer Atlas Studies containing 10,967 samples. The data from these publications are clustered for analysis as shown in Figure 3A.

### DISCUSSION

BAP1, which is located on the chromosome 3p21.1, is a ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase (14). It was recognized as a tumor suppressor, and a mass of processes including chromatin modification, programmed cell death, cell cycle control, DNA damage repair, and the immune response were regulated by its deubiquitinating activity (14, 15). BAP1 can undergo germline or somatic alterations, and tumors that are relevant to germline are semblable to those with somatic BAP1 alterations (15). The inactivation of the BAP1 gene (either germline or somatic form) often led to the development of a number of cancers, such as melanoma, malignant mesothelioma, and ccRCC (14, 16). This may indicate the common mechanisms of tumorigenesis and the potential target therapies to BAP1 in these highly relevant tumors (15). ccRCC with a BAP1 mutation often showed clinicopathologic features as a high pathological stage, high renal vein involvement rate, and high metastasis rate and always had a worse prognosis, even in patients with low-risk tumors (17–19). In this study, the presence of the patient by physical examination showed that the occasional ccRCC had advanced and distant (bone and liver) metastasis (T2aN1M1), implying a worse prognosis. Moreover, the traditional targeted therapy for ccRCC with BAP1 mutation, for example, antiangiogenic therapy-TKI, might also show a poor outcome (20). In contrast, this patient received a 24-month PFS time in total by the two TKI (sorafenib to axitinib) therapies, showing a certain degree of efficacy. On the contrary, mTORi

![FIGURE 2](image-url) The patient’s detailed treatment process and the tumor marker changes. The curve showed that the tumor markers is still in the normal level upon first diagnosis. However, they were already higher than normal when the patient was admitted in our hospital. However, after taking nilaparib, the tumor markers returned to normal again. The blue arrow showed the detailed medication information along the treatment. On the other hand, there is still the lack of specific tumor markers for ccRCC. The changes of LDH and CEA may be inconsistent with changes in the tumors.
(everolimus) was used but not effective, lasting 3 months continuously. The treatment options for ccRCC patients regardless with or without the BAP1 alterations are limited to traditional therapies. TKI with or without immunotherapy was still the main treatment method. Once TKI failed, the optimal further treatment is scanty. Immunotherapy was an option for a subsequent treatment; however, patients’ poor performance status and the high cost of immune checkpoint inhibitors always prevented its application, such as this patient. It is interesting that several therapies targeting the BAP1 alterations showed a positive potential in cancers, according to the recent studies (21, 22). The enhancer of zeste homolog 2 inhibitor (EZH2i) and histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACis), which target the mechanism of BAP1 in transcriptional regulation and chromatin modification, respectively, are potential targeted therapies (21, 22). An EZH2i study had positive results obtained in a phase 2 trial conducted in BAP1-altered malignant pleural mesothelioma in vivo (22), as well as HDACi warrants further exploration whether BAP1 aberrations modulate response in VANTAGE 014 study (21), although BAP1 downregulation increases the sensitivity to HDACi in vitro (23). Unfortunately, EZH2i and HDACi were not available in China, potentially, PARPi should be chosen as the further treatment for this TKI/mTORi-resistance advanced ccRCC.

BAP1 is first known as a protein interacted with BRCA1, which is the famous homologous recombination repair gene (24, 25). Nowadays, research has also reported that BAP1 regulates the DNA damage repair in many ways, for instance, binding the BRCA1/BARD1 complex. The BRAC1/BARD1 complex, which functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase, is known to play a significant role in the DNA damage response through recruiting RAD51 to the damaged loci and so on (26, 27). Jensen et al. showed that BAP1 can interact with BRCA1 and augment the tumor.
suppressor activity of BRCA1 (28). Nishikawa et al. showed that BARD1 is the major binding partner of BAP1 (29). By binding to the BRCA1/BARD1 complex, BAP1 can inhibit the E3 ligase activity of BRCA1/BARD1 to protect against additional ubiquitination and deubiquitinate preexisting ubiquitin chains (29). The dual role of PARPi toward BRCA1/BARD1 could be important to ensure the inhibition of ubiquitination in cellular pathways, though the interacting mechanism of these molecules between BAP1 and BRCA1/BARD1 needs further study (Figure 3B) (24–30). Thus, PARPi would have a synthetic lethal effect on BAP1-mutated tumors, theoretically. Given the known experiment of BAP1–mutated cancers, PARPi was effective in the treatment of malignant neoplasm—pleural mesotheliomas, osteosarcomas in vitro (31–34) and mesotheliomas, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in vivo (35–38), and so on (Table 1). The ongoing phase II clinical studies of niraparib and olaparib also react to the safety and feasibility of PARPi in treating patients with BAP1 alterations (NCT03207347, NCT03531840, NCT03786796). As long as the mutated genes are identical, the same targeted drugs are feasible for different diseases (39). To control tumor progression, PARPi may have the potential to target BAP1–altered ccRCC. Based on these findings, niraparib was taken after obtaining the patient’s full informed consent. The exciting part is that the tumors in the lungs achieved a partial response for 5 months, suggesting that niraparib is a relatively effective treatment for TKI-refractory metastatic ccRCC with BAP1 alteration. Although owing the lack of specific tumor markers for ccRCC, the effect of the treatment cannot always be reflected by tumor markers. The reduction of LDH and CEA, which elevated to abnormal when the patient was admitted to hospital, may indicate that niraparib worked to some extent. Moreover, for ccRCC patients with a BAP1 alteration, immunotherapy and/or immunocombination therapy may improve efficacy. Recent reports revealed that BAP1 alterations in cancer confer distinct immunogenic phenotypes that may be particularly susceptible to novel cancer immunotherapies (40). BAP1 mutations in ccRCC correlate with increased CCR5 expression and immunosuppression (41). These studies speculated that both PARPi and immunotherapy seemed to show enormous potential in treating ccRCC with BAP1 alteration. Unfortunately, the patient never received the immunotherapy from the beginning to the end.

**CONCLUSION**

This study demonstrates that PARPi may be another potential therapy for TKI/mTORi-resistance ccRCC with a BAP1 mutation, and, additionally, immunotherapy and/or immunocombination may also have effect on ccRCC with a BAP1 mutation, although this warrants further validation in clinical studies. An individualized comprehensive approach for advanced ccRCC with BAP1 mutation is beneficial.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The 903rd Hospital Ethics Committee. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conception/design: X-PQ and B-JL; Provision of study material or patients: KZ, W-YC, FL, and X-DF; Data collection and analysis: B-JL, KZ, W-YC, X-DF, and ZD; Manuscript...
writing: B-JL and X-PQ; All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank the patient and family members who agreed to publish the case in this study.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.927250/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.927250/full#supplementary-material)
30. Yu H, Pak H, Hammond-Martel I, Ghram M, Rodrigue A, Daou S, et al. Tumor Suppressor and Deubiquitinase BAP1 Promotes DNA Double-Strand Break Repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2014) 111(1):285–90. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1309085110
31. Srinivasan G, Sidhu GS, Williamson EA, Jaiswal AS, Najmunnisa N, Wilcoxen K, et al. Synthetic Lethality in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma With PARP1 Inhibition. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol (2017) 80(4):861–7. doi: 10.1007/s00280-017-3401-y
32. Engert F, Kovac M, Baumhoer D, Nathrath M, Fulda S. Osteosarcoma Cells With Genetic Signatures of BRCAness are Susceptible to the PARP Inhibitor Talazoparib Alone or in Combination With Chemotherapeutics. Oncotarget (2017) 8(30):48794–806. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.10720
33. Borchert S, Wessolly M, Schmeller J, Mairinger E, Kollmeier J, Hager T, et al. Gene Expression Profiling of Homologous Recombination Repair Pathway Indicates Susceptibility for Olaparib Treatment in Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma In Vitro. BMC Cancer (2019) 19(1):108. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5314-0
34. Parrotta R, Okonsa A, Ronner M, Weder W, Stahel R, Penengo L, et al. A Novel BRCA1-Associated Protein-1 Isoform Affects Response of Mesothelioma Cells to Drugs Impairing BRCA1-Mediated DNA Repair. J Thorac Oncol (2017) 12(8):1309–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2017.03.023
35. Ghaffar A, Mian I, Wagner C, Mallory Y, Agra MG, Morrow B, et al. Study of Olaparib in Malignant Mesothelioma and Correlation of Efficacy With Germline or Somatic Mutations in BRCA1 Gene. JTO Clin Res Rep (2021) 2(10):100231. doi: 10.1016/j.jtocrr.2021.100231
36. Dudnik E, Bar J, Moore A, Gottfried T, Moskovitz M, Dudnik J, et al. BAP1-Altered Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma: Outcomes With Chemotherapy, Immune Check-Point Inhibitors and Poly(ADP-Ribose) Polymerase Inhibitors. Front Oncol (2021) 11:603223. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.603223
37. Fennell DA, King A, Mohammed S, Branson A, Brookes C, Darlison L, et al. Rucaparib in Patients With BAP1-Deficient or BRCA1-Deficient Mesothelioma (MiST1): An Open-Label, Single-Arm, Phase 2a Clinical Trial. Lancet Respir Med (2021) 9(6):593–600. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2660(20)30390-8
38. Sabbatino F, Liguori L, Malapelle U, Schiavi F, Tortora V, Conti V, et al. Case Report: BAP1 Mutation and RAD21 Amplification as Predictive Biomarkers to PARP Inhibitor in Metastatic Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. Front Oncol (2020) 10:567289. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.567289
39. Lian B, Zhang W, Wang T, Yang Q, Jia Z, Chen H, et al. Clinical Benefit of Sorafenib Combined With Paclitaxel and Carboplatin to a Patient With Metastatic Chemotherapy-Refractory Testicular Tumors. Oncologist (2019) 24(12):e1437–42. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0295
40. Shrestha R, Nabavi N, Lin YY, Mo F, Anderson S, Volik S, et al. BAP1 Haploinsufficiency Predicts a Distinct Immunogenic Class of Malignant Peritoneal Mesothelioma. Genome Med (2019) 11(1):8. doi: 10.1186/s13073-019-0620-3
41. Zhou Q, Qi Y, Wang Z, Zeng H, Zhang H, Liu Z, et al. CCR5 Blockade Inflames Antitumor Immunity in BAP1-Mutant Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. J Immunother Cancer (2020) 8(1):e000228. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2019-000228
Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.
Copyright © 2022 Lian, Zhang, Fang, Li, Dai, Chen and Qi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.