Schwarzschild Like Solution with Global Monopole in Bumblebee Gravity
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In this paper, by considering Einstein-Hilbert-Bumblebee (EHB) gravity around global monopole field, we derive exactly a black hole spacetime metric. To test the effect of global monopole field and bumblebee field, which causes the spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking, we calculate the weak deflection angle using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

I. INTRODUCTION

Monopoles which are formed by gauge-symmetry breaking during the phase transitions in the early universe can be the source of inflation [1, 2]. On the other hand, global monopoles result from a global symmetry braking of global $O(3)$ symmetry into $U(1)$ in phase transitions in the universe. The effect of these monopoles on Schwarzschild spacetime are discussed in [3] by analyzing the particle orbit and Hawking radiation. On the other side, the Lorentz symmetry breaking is associated with the idea that the Quantum Gravity (QG) signals may emerge at low energy scales [4]. The natureness and the possibility of Lorentz symmetry breaking is discussed in the context of string theory in [5–15]. The Lorentz symmetry breaking arises in other theories like noncomutative field theories [16–18] and loop quantum gravity theory [19, 20] among other scenarios [21–24].

Einstein’s theory of general relativity, which is a metric theory of gravitation [25], has successfully passed through many experimental tests. One of the important testing technique is a gravitational lensing which helps us to understand galaxies, dark matter, dark energy and the universe [26–28]. This technique firstly was used by Eddington [29], afterwards, various works on gravitational lensing have been done for black holes, wormholes, global monopoles and other objects [30–35]. There are many method to calculate gravitational lensing [36–40]. Recently, new method is derived by Gibbons and Werner which the deflection angle of light can be calculated from non-rotating asymptotically flat spacetimes using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem on the optical geometry of the black hole [41], then it is extended to stationary spacetimes by Werner [42]. Since then, many works have been done, one can see [43]–[92].

The aim of the manuscript is to obtain a Schwarzschild like solution with global monopole of Einstein equation in the presence of spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking. We then study the effect of global monopoles and spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking on the deflection of light. We also discuss the effect of global monopoles on Lorentz symmetry breaking.

The manuscript is organized as follows: In section II, we derive the Einstein fields equations for Einstein-Hilbert-Bumblebee gravity around a global monopole fields. In section III, we obtain new Schwarzschild-like black hole solution for Einstein-Hilbert-Bumblebee (EHB) gravity around a global monopole. Section IV is devoted to computation of the weak deflection angle by Schwarzschild-like black hole solution for EHB gravity around a global monopole using the GBT. We conclude our results in section V.

II. EHB GRAVITY AROUND A GLOBAL MONOPOLE

In this part we review the EHB gravity [4] whose Lagrangian density is introduced as follows

$$L_B = \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} R + \frac{k}{2} B^\mu B_\mu - \frac{1}{2} B^2 - V(B^\mu B_\mu) + \mathcal{L}_M \right),$$

where $B^\mu$ is the bumblebee field with field strength tensor $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$, $V(B_\mu)$ being the potential which has terms responsible for the spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking and $\xi$ is the coupling constant of nonminimal gravity-bumblebee interaction. $\mathcal{L}_M$ is the Lagrangian density of matter, which in our case is the global monopole, and others field contents with their couplings to the bumblebee field.

The equations of motion of (II) is $G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa (T_{\mu\nu}^B + T_{\mu\nu}^M)$ after varying (II) with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. We defined the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R$ and energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^B + T_{\mu\nu}^M$ where $T_{\mu\nu}^B$ is the contribution of the bumblebee field to the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^B \equiv - B_{\mu\alpha} B^\alpha_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta}^2$.
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The metric (III) recovers LSB spherically symmetric solution when $J_\nu = J^B_\nu + J^M_\nu$, with $J^M_\nu$ acting as a source term for the bumblebee field and $J^B_\nu = 2V'B_{\nu} - \frac{\xi}{R} B^{\alpha} R_{\alpha \nu}$ is the current due to self interaction of the bumblebee field.

The trace of (II) is $-\kappa R = -4\kappa (B_\nu B^\nu) + 4V'B_{\nu} B^\nu + \frac{\xi}{R} B^{\alpha} R_{\alpha \nu}$ which results in $V = 0$, $V' = 0$. Also we take $b_\mu = 0, b_r (r), 0, 0$ (2) for which the field strength vanishes, $b_{\mu \nu} = 0$. The condition $b^\mu b_\mu = b^2$ is constant gives the explicit form of the radial background field $b_\nu (r) = |b| e^\nu$. Then, (II) can be written as following $0 = R_{\mu \nu} = -\kappa (T^M_{\mu \nu} - \frac{\xi}{R} g_{\mu \nu} T^M) - \frac{\xi}{2} g_{\mu \nu} b^2 R_{\alpha \beta} + \xi b_\nu b^\mu R_{\alpha \beta} + \xi b_\beta b^\alpha R_{\alpha \nu} - \frac{\xi}{2} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\mu (b_\nu b_\rho) - \frac{\xi}{2} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\mu (b_\nu b_\rho)} \nabla_{\nu)}$ where the trace of the energy-momentum tensor is $T^M = 2 \frac{\eta}{R^2}$. The combination constructed from the energy-momentum tensor and its trace in (III) reads $T^M_{\mu \nu} - \frac{\xi}{2} g_{\mu \nu} T^M = (0, 0, -\eta^2, -\eta^2 \sin^2(\theta))$, and the components of the Ricci tensor in (III) are $R_{tt} = e^{2(\gamma - \rho)} \left( \partial_\rho^2 + (\partial_\gamma)^2 - \partial_\gamma \partial_\rho + \frac{\xi}{R} \partial_\rho \right)$, $R_{rr} = -\partial_\gamma^2 - (\partial_\gamma)^2 + \partial_\gamma \partial_\rho + \frac{\xi}{R} \partial_\rho$, $R_{\theta \theta} = e^{-2\rho} [r (\partial_\rho \partial_\rho - \partial_\gamma \partial_\gamma) - 1] + 1$. The components of (III) become $R_{tt} = (1 + \frac{\xi}{2}) R_{tt} + \frac{\xi}{R} (\partial_\rho \partial_\rho - \partial_\gamma \partial_\gamma) e^{2(\gamma - \rho)}$, $R_{rr} = (1 + \frac{\xi}{2}) R_{rr}$, $R_{\theta \theta} = 0$, $\partial_\rho R_{\theta \theta} = 0$. The following combination can be written to find the function $r (\rho)$ (4) $r^2 e^{-2\rho} R_{tt} + r^2 e^{-2\rho} R_{rr} + 2 R_{\theta \theta} = 0$. Also, a straightforward calculation one reach the following function $e^{2\gamma} = 1 + \eta^2 - \frac{\xi}{R^2}$. Then the Lorentz symmetry breaking spherically symmetric solution for EHB can be written as $ds^2 = - (1 - \mu - \frac{\lambda}{R^2}) dt^2 + (1 + \ell) \left( 1 - \mu - \frac{2M}{R} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2$ where we have defined $\rho_0 = 2M$ and the global monopole term $\mu$ which is defined as $\mu = -\eta^2 - \xi$. The metric (III) recovers LSB spherically symmetric solution when $\eta = 0$ (4) and the usual Schwarzschild metric for limit $\ell \to 0$. 

III. THE SCHWARZSCHILD LIKE SOLUTION OF EHB GRAVITY AROUND GLOBAL MONOPOLE

In this part a static spherically symmetric solution to the Einstein equations is obtained. We take the Birkhoff metric as an ansatz $g_{\mu \nu} = \text{diag} (-e^{2\gamma}, e^{2\rho}, r^2, r^2 \sin^2(\theta))$, where $\gamma$ and $\rho$ are functions of $r$, and fix the Bumblebee field in its vacuum expectation value [93] $B_\mu = b_\nu$, which results in $V = 0$, $V' = 0$. Also we take $b_\mu = (0, b_r (r), 0, 0)$.
IV. WEAK DEFLECTION ANGLE OF SCHWARZSCHILD LIKE SOLUTION WITH GLOBAL MONOPOLE IN EHB GRAVITY

First, we introduce the following coordinate transformation: $r \to (1-\mu)^{-1/2} r, t \to (1-\mu)^{1/2} t, M \to (1-\mu)^{-3/2} M$: The spacetime metric of the Lorentz symmetry breaking spherically symmetric solution for EHB becomes: $ds^2 = -(1-2M/r) dt^2 + (1+\ell)(1-2M/r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$ where $g^2 = 1-\mu$. To obtain the weak deflection angle of Schwarzschild Like Solution with Global Monopole in Bumblebee Gravity, we write the metric in the optical form within equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$, and obtain null geodesics ($ds^2 = 0$):

$$dt^2 = \frac{(1+\ell)dr^2}{f(r)^2} + \frac{g^2 r^2 d\varphi^2}{f(r)},$$

where $f(r) = (1-\frac{2M}{r})$. Afterwards, we calculate the Gaussian optical curvature $K$ in 2-dimensions for the above space, which gives an intrinsic property of the space:

$$K = \frac{R_{\text{ext Scalar}}}{2} \approx -\frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{M\ell}{r^3} - 2\frac{ML^2}{r^3}.$$  

Note, that the Gaussian optical curvature is found as negative in leading order terms, which imply that all the light rays locally diverge. Hence, after converging, to obtain the multiple images, we will use the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for the region $D_R$ in $M$, with boundary $\partial D_R = \gamma_\partial \cap C_R$ [41]

$$D_R K dS + \oint_{\partial D_R} \kappa dt = 2\pi \chi(D_R) - (\theta_O + \theta_S) = \pi.$$  

where $\kappa$ stands for the geodesics curvature. Moreover, $\theta_O + \theta_S \to \pi$ implies that jump angles become $\pi/2$ when $R$ going to infinity. Also one can say that $D_R$ is non-singular region, so that the Euler characteristic is $\chi(D_R) = 1$. Then, $\kappa(\gamma_\partial) = 0$. In addition, the near asymptotic limit of $R$, $C_R := r(\varphi) = R = \text{const.}$, one can write the radial component of the geodesics curvature as follows: [41]

$$\kappa(C_R) = \left| \nabla \hat{C}_R \hat{C}_R \right| = \left( \hat{g}_{rr} \hat{C}_R^r \hat{C}_R^r \right)^{1/2} \to \frac{-1}{R}.$$  

After substitute this result, yielding: $\kappa(C_R)dt = \frac{g}{\sqrt{(1+\ell)}} d\varphi$. Using the straight line approximation for the weak field regions, $r_s = u/\sin \varphi$ at zeroth-order, where $u$ is the impact parameter. Then the Gauss-Bonnet equation becomes:

$$\pi = \int_0^\pi \int_{\varphi_{\min}}^{\varphi_{\max}} K dS + \frac{g}{\sqrt{(1+\ell)}} \int_0^\pi \int_{\varphi_{\min}}^{\varphi_{\max}} d\varphi,$$

where optical surface area is defined as $dS = rdr d\varphi$, and $\alpha$ is a deflection angle.

Afterwards, the optical geometry curvature within the Gauss-Bonnet theorem def1, give us the weak deflection angle:

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{(1+\ell)\pi}}{g} - \pi - \sqrt{(1+\ell)} \int_0^\pi \int_{\varphi_{\min}}^{\varphi_{\max}} K r dr d\varphi.$$  

Hence, the weak deflection angle $\alpha$ of Schwarzschild Like Solution with Global Monopole in Bumblebee Gravity in weak field limits is found as follows:

$$\alpha \approx 4\frac{M}{u} + \frac{b\pi}{2} + 2\frac{ML}{u} + \hat{\mu}\pi.$$  

Note that the bumblebee parameter $\ell$, the mass term and the global monopole term $\hat{\mu}$ all of them increase the deflection angle. The expression of weak deflection angle is consistent with [92] when global monopole term $\hat{\mu} = 0$. 

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated a static spherically symmetric vacuum solution for the EHB gravity in the presence of a global monopole. We have obtained a spherically symmetric solution similar to the Schwarzschild black hole. This solution reduces to spherically symmetric solution in the LSB scenario when $\mu = 0$. We have also calculated the deflection angle of the light in this geometry by using the GBT. It is found that both the bumblebee parameter and the global monopole term increases the deflection angle. If the global monopole term is set to $\mu = -\ell$ then part of the effect of the bumblebee parameter on the deflection angle cancel out. However, the bumblebee parameter still gives a correction to the deflection angle. In addition to this, setting $\mu = -\ell(1 + \frac{2M}{u\pi})$ directly remove the effect of the bumblebee parameter on the deflection angle.
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