Nematic and time-reversal breaking superconductivities coexisting with quadrupole order in a $\Gamma_3$ system
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We discuss superconductivity in a model on a cubic lattice for a $\Gamma_3$ non-Kramers system. In previous studies, it is revealed that $d$-wave superconductivity with $E_g$ symmetry occurs in a wide parameter range in a $\Gamma_3$ system. Such anisotropic superconductivity can break the cubic symmetry of the lattice. In a $\Gamma_3$ system, the quadrupole degrees of freedom are active and the effect of the cubic symmetry breaking should be important. Here, we investigate the coexisting states of the $d$-wave superconductivity and quadrupole order by a mean-field theory. In particular, we discuss possible competition and cooperation between the superconductivity and quadrupole order depending on types of them. We find nematic superconductivity breaking the cubic symmetry and coexisting with quadrupole order. In the present model, we also find $d + id$ superconductivity, which breaks time-reversal symmetry but retains the cubic symmetry. We also discuss the effects of uniaxial stress on these superconducting states.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the vicinity of antiferromagnetically ordered phases, superconductivity is often found such as in cuprate high-temperature superconductors\cite{1,2}, in Fe-based superconductors\cite{3,4}, and in heavy-fermion materials\cite{5,6}. Superconductivity in these materials cannot be explained by the conventional phonon-mediated pairing mechanism, and they are called unconventional superconductors\cite{7,8}. The mechanism of the unconventional superconductivity in these materials has been a central issue in condensed matter physics. In particular, the spin-fluctuation-mediated superconducting mechanism has been widely discussed.

In addition, the orbital degrees of freedom may also play key roles in the superconductivity of orbitally degenerate systems. The importance of the orbital degrees of freedom was suggested for $f$-electron superconductors\cite{9,10}. For the Fe-based superconductors, the importance of the orbital fluctuations has also been discussed\cite{11,12}. Then, the interplay between the spin and orbital degrees of freedom became an important issue in the field of the unconventional superconductivity.

It is also interesting to explore possibility of unconventional superconductivity without spin degrees of freedom. For this purpose, an $f$-electron system with the $\Gamma_3$ non-Kramers doublet state under a cubic crystalline electric field (CEF) is a plausible candidate. The $\Gamma_3$ state has the same symmetry as the spinless $e_g$ electron and possesses quadrupole and octupole moments but does not have the dipole moment. Thus, the $\Gamma_3$ system can be regarded as an ideal system to investigate orbital physics and there may be a route to unconventional superconductivity other than the spin fluctuation mechanism.

In actual $\Gamma_3$ systems, superconductivity has been reported in Pr$\text{T}_2\text{X}_{20}$ (T = transition metal element, X = Zn, Al). In this series of compounds, the CEF ground state of the $f^2$ electronic configuration in a Pr$^{3+}$ ion is the $\Gamma_3$ doublet\cite{13,14} (strictly, in PrRh$_2$Zn$_{20}$, the CEF ground state is the $\Gamma_{23}$ doublet due to symmetry lowering at the Pr site induced by a structural transition\cite{15}). In these materials, quadrupole order often realizes and the relation between the superconductivity and the quadrupole degrees of freedom has been discussed. In PrIr$_2$Zn$_{20}$\cite{16,17,18,19,20}, and PrV$_2$Al$_{20}$\cite{21,22}, superconductivity takes place below the antiferroquadrupole (AFQ) ordering temperature $T_{\text{AFQ}}$. For PrIr$_2$Zn$_{20}$, the order parameter of the AFQ ordering is determined to be $O_2^+ = x^2 − y^2$\cite{23}. On the other hand, superconductivity takes place below the ferroquadrupole (FQ) ordering temperature $T_{\text{FQ}}$ in PrTi$_2$Al$_{20}$\cite{24,25,26,27,28}. The order parameter of the FQ ordering in this compound is determined to be $O_2^− = 3z^2 − r^2$\cite{29}. In PrRh$_2$Zn$_{20}$, superconductivity occurs simultaneously with AFQ ordering\cite{30,31}.

To develop a theory for the $\Gamma_3$ systems, we have constructed models for $f$ electrons with the total angular momentum $j = 5/2$. In these models, we have introduced effective interactions between $f$ electrons to realize the $\Gamma_3$ CEF state as the ground state of an $f^2$ ion. First, we have derived the multipole interactions in the strong coupling limit\cite{32,33}. Then, we have found that two-orbital models are insufficient to discuss multipole physics of the $\Gamma_3$ systems. On the other hand, the derived multipole interactions for a three-orbital model depend reasonably on lattice structure.

We have also investigated superconductivity in the $\Gamma_3$ system by applying a random phase approximation (RPA) to the three-orbital model\cite{34,35}. Then, we have found instability to the $E_g$ spin-singlet superconductivity. Such $d$-wave superconductivity is naturally expected in this model by the following reason. We have introduced an antiferromagnetic interaction between the orbitals belonging to $\Gamma_7$ and $\Gamma_8$ symmetry to stabilize the $f^2$-$\Gamma_3$ CEF state. This interaction also works as an onsite spin-singlet pairing interaction between electrons in these orbitals. The orbital symmetry can be rewritten as $\Gamma_7 = \Gamma_2 \times \Gamma_5$ and $\Gamma_8 = \Gamma_3 \times \Gamma_6$, where $\Gamma_6$ describes the Kramers or spin degeneracy. Thus, the interorbital spin-singlet pairing state composed of the $\Gamma_7$ and $\Gamma_8$ orbitals on the same site has the $E_g$ ($= \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_2 \times \Gamma_3$) symmetry. Such anisotropic superconductivity originating from

\[ \text{[\text{Equation]}} \text{[\text{Equation]}} \]
the orbital anisotropy was already discussed for a simple two-orbital Hubbard model.\textsuperscript{22}

When anisotropic superconductivity occurs in a cubic system, the lattice symmetry lowers with some exceptions such as a $d_{x^2−y^2} + id_{3z^2−r^2}$ state.\textsuperscript{25} In this sense, $d_{x^2−y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2−r^2}$ superconductivities may be called as nematic superconductivity as in Cu$_2$Bi$_2$Se$_4$.\textsuperscript{38,41} and Nb$_2$Bi$_2$Se$_5$.\textsuperscript{42} In a system with the quadrupole degrees of freedom like the $\Gamma_3$ system, the FQ moment should be finite in the nematic superconducting state. Thus, we expect a coexistent state of the $d$-wave superconductivity and FQ order in the $\Gamma_3$ system.\textsuperscript{39} In addition, superconductivity in PrT$_2$X$_2$ occurs in the quadrupole ordered phases in most cases. Thus, the coexistence with superconductivity in the $\Gamma_3$ system may be important to understand the superconductivity in the $\Gamma_3$ system.

However, superconductivity in the $\Gamma_3$ system has been explored theoretically only by RPA in the normal state and characteristics of the coexistent phase is not yet clarified. Thus, theoretical studies for the ordered phase are highly desired. In addition to the coexistent phase, superconducting phase without quadrupole order is also interesting. The $E_g$ superconducting state is degenerate and the time reversal breaking superconductivity of $d+id$ is possible as is discussed for URu$_2$Si$_2$.\textsuperscript{43–45} graphene\textsuperscript{46,47} and SrPtAs.\textsuperscript{48} If the $d+id$ state realizes, the superconducting transition temperature $T_{SC}$ can be increased under uniaxial stress,\textsuperscript{49} as in a $p+ip$ state discussed for Sr$_2$RuO$_4$.\textsuperscript{50,51}

In this paper, we investigate the coexistent state of the $d$-wave superconductivity and quadrupole order in the $\Gamma_3$ system by applying a mean-field theory to the three orbital model. In Sec. II we introduce the mean-field Hamiltonian. In Sec. III we show the calculated results for the coexistence phases of the superconductivity and quadrupole order. We also consider effects of uniaxial stress by introducing an external field to the quadrupole moment in Sec. IV. We summarize the paper in Sec. V.

\section{MEAN-FIELD HAMILTONIAN}

\subsection{A. Basis states and kinetic energy term}

In this study, we consider the $f$-electron states with the total angular momentum $j = 5/2$ as the one-electron states. The $j = 7/2$ states have higher energy due to the spin-orbit interaction and we simply ignore them. The $j = 5/2$ states split into the $\Gamma_7$ and $\Gamma_8$ levels under a cubic CEF. The $\Gamma_8$ states at site $r$ are given by

\begin{equation}
    c^\dagger_{\tau\sigma}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\sqrt{5}a^*_{r_5/2} + a^*_{r_{-3/2}})|0\rangle,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    c^\dagger_{\tau\uparrow}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\sqrt{5}a^*_{r_{7/2}} + a^*_{r_{-1/2}})|0\rangle,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    c^\dagger_{\tau\downarrow}|0\rangle = a^*_{r_{1/2}}|0\rangle,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    c^\dagger_{\tau\downarrow}|0\rangle = a^*_{r_{-1/2}}|0\rangle,
\end{equation}

where $a^*_{\tau j}$ is the creation operator of the electron with the $z$-component $j_z$ of the total momentum at site $r$ and $|0\rangle$ denotes the vacuum state. We will use $\dagger$ to denote the Hermitian conjugate of a matrix, and here, we have used * to represent the creation operators to avoid confusion. The $\Gamma_7$ states are given by

\begin{equation}
    c^\dagger_{\tau\uparrow}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(a^*_{r_{5/2}} + \sqrt{5}a^*_{r_{-3/2}})|0\rangle,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    c^\dagger_{\tau\downarrow}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(a^*_{r_{-5/2}} + \sqrt{5}a^*_{r_{3/2}})|0\rangle.
\end{equation}

In these states, $\sigma = \uparrow$ or $\downarrow$ denotes the Kramers degeneracy of the one-electron states. While it is not a real spin due to the spin-orbit coupling, we call it spin for simplicity in the following.

In general, the conduction bands near the Fermi level are composed not only of the $f$ orbital. However, in the present study, we consider an $f$-orbital only model as one of the simplest models to describe the $\Gamma_3$ systems. The influence of the other orbitals may be partially included in the effective $f$-electron hopping.\textsuperscript{52} We consider the $f$-electron hopping through $\sigma$ bonding ($ff\sigma$) on a simple cubic lattice.\textsuperscript{53,54} Then, the kinetic energy term is given by

\begin{equation}
    H_{kin} = \sum_{k\tau\sigma} c^\dagger_{k\tau\sigma} \xi_{k\tau\sigma} c_{k\tau\sigma},
\end{equation}

with

\begin{equation}
    \xi_k = \begin{pmatrix}
        3t(c_x + c_y) & -\sqrt{3}t(c_x - c_y) & 0 \\
        -\sqrt{3}t(c_x - c_y) & t(c_x + c_y + 4c_z) & 0 \\
        0 & 0 & 0
    \end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}

where $c_i = \cos k_i$ $(i = x, y, \text{or } z)$, $t = 3(f f\sigma)/14$, and we have set the lattice constant as unity. The bandwidth is $W = 12t$.

\subsection{B. Mean-field Hamiltonian for quadrupole ordering}

A one-electron operator and its Fourier transformation are written as

\begin{equation}
    \hat{A}(r) = \sum_{\tau\tau'\sigma} \hat{A}_{\tau\tau'} c^\dagger_{\tau\tau'} c_{\tau\sigma},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    \hat{A}(g) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r} e^{-iqr} \hat{A}(r) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\tau\tau'k} \hat{A}_{\tau\tau'} c^\dagger_{k\tau\sigma} c_{k+q\tau'\sigma},
\end{equation}

respectively. $N$ is the number of the lattice sites. In the $\Gamma_3$ CEF state, the quadrupole moments of $\Gamma_3$ symmetry are active. The matrices for the $\Gamma_3$ quadrupole moments

\begin{equation}
    \xi_k = \begin{pmatrix}
        3t(c_x + c_y) & -\sqrt{3}t(c_x - c_y) & 0 \\
        -\sqrt{3}t(c_x - c_y) & t(c_x + c_y + 4c_z) & 0 \\
        0 & 0 & 0
    \end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}

where $c_i = \cos k_i$ $(i = x, y, \text{or } z)$, $t = 3(f f\sigma)/14$, and we have set the lattice constant as unity. The bandwidth is $W = 12t$.\textsuperscript{52}
are given by
\[
\hat{O}_2^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -4 \sqrt{5} \\ \sqrt{5} & -4 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\hat{O}_2^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \sqrt{5} \\ -\sqrt{5} & -4 \end{pmatrix},
\]
where we have normalized them so as to satisfy \( \text{Tr}(\hat{O}_2^0)^2 = \text{Tr}(\hat{O}_2^2)^2 = 1 \). Here, \( \text{Tr} \) denotes the trace of a matrix. The intersite quadrupole interaction is given by
\[
\text{Tr}(\tilde{\hat{z}}) = \sum_{\langle r, r' \rangle} J_{AB}(r-r') \hat{A}(r) \hat{B}(r'),
\]
where \( \langle r, r' \rangle \) denotes a pair of lattice sites and
\[
J_{AB}(q) = \sum_r e^{-iq \cdot r} J_{AB}(r).
\]

To deal with the effect of a uniaxial stress, we consider an external field to the quadrupole moments:
\[
H_\text{ext}^{(Q)} = -\sum_{\langle r, r' \rangle} H_A(r) \hat{A}(r) = -N \sum_{\langle q \rangle} H_A(-q) \hat{A}(q),
\]
where
\[
H_A(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_r e^{-iq \cdot r} H_A(r).
\]

For a uniaxial stress along the \( z \) direction, we vary \( H_{20} = H_{O_2^0}(q = 0) \).

We apply a mean-field approximation to the quadrupole interaction:
\[
H_1^{(Q)} + H_\text{ext}^{(Q)} \simeq \frac{N}{2} \sum_{\langle AB \rangle} J_{AB}(q) \left( \langle \hat{A}(-q) \rangle \hat{B}(q) \rangle + \langle \hat{A}(q) \rangle \hat{B}(q) \langle \right) + H_\text{ext}^{(Q)}
\]
\[
- \langle \hat{A}(-q) \rangle \langle \hat{B}(q) \rangle \rangle + H_\text{ext}^{(Q)}
\]
\[
= \sum_{q, \sigma \kappa} c_{\kappa \sigma}^\dagger Q c_{\kappa + q \sigma} + E_0^{(Q, 1)}
\]
\[
= H_1^{(MF, Q)} + E_0^{(Q, 1)}.
\]

Here, we have introduced the following notations:
\[
c_{\kappa \sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\kappa \sigma}^0 \\ c_{\kappa \beta \sigma} \\ c_{\kappa \gamma \sigma} \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\Delta_q^Q = \sum_B \left[ \sum_A J_{AB}(q) \langle \hat{A}(-q) \rangle - H_B(-q) \right] \hat{B},
\]
\[
E_0^{(Q, 1)} = -\frac{N}{2} \sum_{\langle AB \rangle} J_{AB}(q) \langle \hat{A}(-q) \rangle \langle \hat{B}(q) \rangle.
\]

The mean fields from the Coulomb interactions are written as the mean fields for charge interactions with \( J_{n, n}(q) = J_{n, \sigma}(q) = U_{8/2}, \) \( J_{n, n}(q) = U_{7/2}, \) and \( J_{n, n}(q) = U_{9/2}. \)

The \( k \) summation runs over the folded Brillouin-zone (FBZ) of the staggered ordering with \( Q. \)

We also consider onsite Coulomb interactions: intraorbital Coulomb interactions \( U_{7/2} \) for the \( \Gamma_7 \) orbital and \( U_{8/2} \) for the \( \Gamma_8 \) orbitals and interorbital Coulomb interaction \( U'_{7/2} \) for the \( \Gamma_8 \) orbitals. These interactions are also included within the mean-field approximation. For this purpose, we define charge operators by the following matrices:
\[
\hat{n}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{n}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{n}_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

In actual calculations, we consider ordering states with the principal axis along the \( z \) direction. Then, we assume interactions purely for \( O_2^0 \) or \( O_2^2 \). We denote them as \( J_{O_2^0}(q) = J_{20}(q) \) and \( J_{O_2^2}(q) = J_{22}(q). \)
C. Mean-field Hamiltonian for superconductivity

For superconductivity, we assume the following interaction for spin-singlet pairing:

\[
H_1^{(SC)} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\tau\tau'kk'q} \frac{V_{\tau\tau'}(q)}{4} \left[ (c_{\tau\tau'}(k) + c_{\tau\tau'}(k)) - (c_{\tau\tau'}(q) + c_{\tau\tau'}(q)) \right] \]

\[
\times \left[ (c_{\tau\tau'}^*(kq) c_{\tau\tau'}^*(kq) + c_{\tau\tau'}^*(kq) c_{\tau\tau'}^*(kq)) \right] \]

\[
\approx -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\tau\tau'kk'q} \frac{V_{\tau\tau'}(q)}{4} \left[ (c_{\tau\tau'}(k) - c_{\tau\tau'}(k)) - (c_{\tau\tau'}(q) - c_{\tau\tau'}(q)) \right] \]

\[
\times \left[ (c_{\tau\tau'}^*(kq) c_{\tau\tau'}^*(kq) - c_{\tau\tau'}^*(kq) c_{\tau\tau'}^*(kq)) \right].
\]  

The superconducting pairing interaction is symmetric with respect to the orbital index: \( V_{\tau\tau'}(q) = V_{\tau'\tau}(q) \). While we will consider only pairing states with total momentum \( \mathbf{q} = 0 \) in actual calculation, here we write down equations keeping both pairing states with \( \mathbf{q} = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{Q} \). Then, the superconducting pairing interaction term is approximated as

\[
H_1^{(SC)} \approx \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \text{FBZ}} \left[ c_{\tau\tau'}(\mathbf{k}) \Delta c_{\tau\tau'}^*(\mathbf{k}) + c_{\tau\tau'}^*(\mathbf{k}) \Delta^\dagger c_{\tau\tau'}(\mathbf{k}) \right] \quad (24)
\]

where \( T \) denotes the transpose of a matrix,

\[
\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^\text{SC} & \Delta^\text{Q} \\ \Delta^\text{Q}^* & \Delta^\text{Q}^* \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\Delta^\text{Q} = \frac{V_{\tau\tau'}(q)}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} \langle c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\tau\tau}\downarrow c_{\mathbf{k}\tau\tau}\uparrow - c_{\mathbf{k}\tau\tau}\uparrow c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\tau\tau}\downarrow \rangle,
\]

\[
E_0^{(SC, I)} = N \sum_{\mathbf{q} \tau\tau', V_{\tau\tau'}(\mathbf{q}) \neq 0} |\Delta^\text{Q}^\tau_q|^2 / V_{\tau\tau'}(\mathbf{q}).
\]

We call the quantity without the factor \( V_{\tau\tau'}(\mathbf{q}) \) in Eq. (28) the pair amplitude.

The total Hamiltonian is approximated as

\[
H = H_{\text{kin}} + H_{1}^{(Q)} + H_{1}^{(Q)} + H_{1}^{(SC)}
\]

\[
\approx H^{(MF)} + \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{FBZ}} T\xi(\mathbf{k}) + E_0^{(Q, I)} + E_0^{(SC, I)}
\]

\[
= H^{(MF)} + E_0,
\]

where the mean-field Hamiltonian is given by

\[
H^{(MF)} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{FBZ}} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\tau}(\mathbf{k}) \xi(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi(\mathbf{k}) \\ \Delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^\dagger - \xi^T(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\tau}^*(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We solve the mean-field Hamiltonian self-consistently. When we obtain different solutions, we select the state with the lowest free-energy. For the evaluation of the free-energy, we need to calculate the constant term \( E_0 \) in Eq. (28).

In previous studies\textsuperscript{33-36}, we have considered an antiferromagnetic interaction \( H_J = J \sum_{\mathbf{r}} s_\uparrow \cdot s_\uparrow \) between electrons in the \( \Gamma_7 \) and \( \Gamma_8 \) orbitals to realize the \( \Gamma_3 \) state as the ground state of an \( f^2 \) ion, where \( s_\uparrow = (1/2) \sum_{\sigma \alpha \beta} \sigma_{\alpha \beta}^\tau c_{\alpha \beta \tau} c_{\alpha \beta \tau}^\dagger \) and \( s_\uparrow = (1/2) \sum_{\sigma \alpha \beta} \sigma_{\alpha \beta}^\tau c_{\alpha \beta \tau} c_{\alpha \beta \tau}^\dagger \). \( \sigma \) are the Pauli matrices. This interaction results in \( q \)-independent pairing interactions \( V_{\gamma}(\mathbf{q}) = V_{\gamma}(\mathbf{q}) = 3f/4 \). However, in this study, we consider ordinary pairing states with total momentum \( \mathbf{q} = 0 \) and then, we include only \( V_{\gamma}(0) \) and \( V_{\gamma}(0) \) for simplicity. We change these pairing interactions independently to investigate the relation between the quadrupole ordering and superconducting symmetry.

The superconducting order parameter \( \Delta_{\text{Q}00} \) transforms as \( k_x^2 - k_y^2 \) under symmetry operations. We consider this \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) superconducting pairing state and denote \( \Delta_{\text{Q}00} = \Delta_{x^2-y^2} \) and \( V_{\gamma0}(0) = V_{x^2-y^2} \). Similarly, we consider the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) superconducting pairing state with \( \Delta_{\text{Q00}} \neq 0 \) and denote \( \Delta_{\text{Q00}} = \Delta_{x^2-y^2} \) and \( V_{\gamma0}(0) = V_{x^2-y^2} \).

III. QUADRUPOLE ORDER AND SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

In the following calculations, we set \( U_7 = 5t, U_8 = 10t \), and \( U_8 = 5t \). We vary \( V_{x^2-y^2}, V_{3y^2-r^2}, J_2(\mathbf{q}), \) and \( J_2(\mathbf{q}) \) with \( \mathbf{q} = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{Q} \), but they are set to zero unless finite values are explicitly given. To realize the \( \Gamma_3 \) state as the CEF ground state of an \( f^2 \) ion, the following conditions should be satisfied:\textsuperscript{2} \( n_\uparrow = \sum_{\sigma}(c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma\tau} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma\tau}) / N \approx 1 \). We tune the chemical potential for each CEF level independently so as to satisfy the conditions \( n_\uparrow = 1 \) and \( n_\uparrow = 1 \). The lattice size is \( N = L \times L \times L \) with \( L = 12 \). We have found that the finite size effect is weak. In evaluating transition temperatures, we extrapolate the order parameters to zero by using data with temperature intervals of \( dT = 0.02t \). The differences in the transition temperatures obtained with \( L = 8 \) and \( L = 12 \) are smaller than the errors in this extrapolation.
FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the quadrupole order parameters (a) for $J_{20}(0) = -8t$, (b) for $J_{22}(0) = -8t$, (c) for $J_{20}(Q) = -8t$, and (d) for $J_{22}(Q) = -8t$.

A. Temperature dependence of order parameters

First, we show the temperature dependence of the quadrupole moment without superconducting interactions. In an ordered state of $O^2_0$ or $O^2_3$ with any periodicity, the $z$ direction becomes equivalent to the $x$ and $y$ directions and the FQ moment $O^2_2(0)$ should be induced. In particular, $O^2_2(0)$ is induced by the FQ order of $O^0_2$ itself, and as a result, the transition becomes of first order. This is also understood from the existence of the third-order term in the Landau free-energy. Due to the self-induced nature of the $O^2_2(0)$ order, the transition temperature of $O^2_2(0)$ is higher than that of $O^2_2(0)$ as long as $J_{20}(0) = J_{22}(0)$, under which the cubic symmetry of the interaction term is retained. Indeed, the order parameter of the FQ order in PrTi$_2$Al$_{20}$ is determined to be $O^2(0)$. However, in the following, we change $J_{20}(0)$ and $J_{22}(0)$ independently to clarify the relation between superconductivity and kinds of the quadrupole order.

In Fig. la, we show temperature dependence of $O^2_2(0)$ for $J_{20}(0) = -8t$. As discussed above, the transition is of first order and $O^2_2(0)$ jumps at the transition temperature. For comparison, we also show $O^2_2(0)$ but it remains zero. Note that the solutions with positive and negative $O^2_2$ are not equivalent. In the present model, we find that the solution with positive $O^2_2$ has lower free-energy. In Fig. 1b, we show temperature dependence of the order parameter $O^2_2(0)$ for $J_{22}(0) = -8t$. The transition temperature is slightly lower than that in Fig. 1a. As discussed above, $O^2_2(0)$ is induced below the transition temperature. The induced $O^2_2(0)$ is negative. As for AFQ ordering cases, we show results for $J_{20}(Q) = -8t$ and for $J_{22}(Q) = -8t$ in Fig. 1c and (d), respectively. The induced moment $O^2_2(0)$ has the opposite sign between these two cases.

Next, we show the temperature dependence of the superconducting order parameters without quadrupole interactions. Although we should set $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2}$ to retain the cubic symmetry in the interaction term, we change them independently to understand the relation between superconducting symmetry and quadrupole order. Figure 2a shows temperature dependence of $\Delta_{x^2-y^2}$ for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$. Below the transition temperature, positive $O^2_2(0)$ is induced. For $V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 2b], $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity occurs at the same transition temperature as in Fig. 2a. The induced $O^2_2(0)$ has the opposite sign between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconducting states. We can understand this from the Ginzburg–Landau theory. At least around the transition temperature, i.e., when the order parameters are sufficiently small, the coupling constant of the superconducting order parameter to $O^2_2(0)$ in the Ginzburg–Landau free-energy has the opposite sign between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconducting states.

Note also that the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconducting state accompanying the FQ order of $O^2_2$ mixes with $s$-wave superconductivity since $3z^2-r^2$ is an identity representation in the tetragonal phase. When we consider the $s$-wave superconducting interaction and if the FQ moment of $O^2_0$ develops sufficiently, the effect of the hybridization between these superconducting states may become strong. For example, line nodes in the gap function in the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity can disappear at lower temperatures due to this hybridization.

For $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2}$, according to the Ginzburg–Landau theory, superconducting symmetry is $d_{x^2-y^2}$, $d_{3z^2-r^2}$, or $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{3z^2-r^2}$ depending on the microscopic model. In the present model, we find $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity as shown in Fig. 2c. In this superconducting state, the cubic symmetry is preserved, since $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{3z^2-r^2} = e^{-2\pi i/3}(d_{y^2-z^2} + id_{3y^2-r^2}) = e^{2\pi i/3}(d_{z^2-x^2} + id_{3y^2-r^2})$. Then, the quadrupole moment
In this subsection, we construct phase diagrams by changing the strength of the quadrupole interaction and temperature with fixing the values of the superconducting pairing interactions. In the following, while we do not explicitly denote, all the ordered phases accompany a FQ moment of $O_2^d$ except for the pure $d+id$ superconducting phase.

In Fig. 3(a) and (b), we show phase diagrams in the $J_{20}(0)-T$ plane for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$ and for $V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$, respectively. The region of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconducting phase in Fig. 3(a) is wider than that of the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconducting phase in Fig. 3(b). As shown in Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(a), both the pure FQ order of $O_2^d$ and the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconducting state accompany positive $O_2^d(0)$ in the present model and they are expected to be cooperative. Indeed, the superconducting transition temperature around $J_{20}(0) = -7t$ is higher than that without the quadrupole interaction, while a too large $|J_{20}(0)|$ suppresses $T_{SC}$. On the other hand, the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconducting state induces negative $O_2^d(0)$ and the FQ order of $O_2^d$ is destructive for this superconducting state as shown in Fig. 3(b). For $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ shown in Fig. 3(c), the $d+id$ state appears for a small $|J_{20}(0)|$. The $d_{x^2-y^2}$ state appears for larger $|J_{20}(0)|$ in a wide range since this superconducting state is cooperative with the FQ order of $O_2^d$. The $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ state appears in a narrow region between the above two superconducting phases. In each of the phase diagrams Fig. 3(a) and (b), only one superconducting phase appears since the FQ moment of $O_2^d$ is always finite even without the FQ interaction. This is in sharp contrast to the other quadrupole interaction cases we will discuss below.

In PrTi$_2$Al$_{20}$ under hydrostatic pressure, $T_{SC}$ seems to have a peak at around pressure where $T_{SC}$ reaches $T_{FQ}$ of $O_2^d$. The phase diagram Fig. 3(a) or (c) may be relevant to PrTi$_2$Al$_{20}$ if a hydrostatic pressure mainly affects the intersite quadrupole interactions. This is a natural assumption when the superconducting pair is mainly composed of the same site and insensitive to the change in the distance between lattice sites.

In Fig. 3(d) and (e), we show phase diagrams in the $J_{22}(0)-T$ plane for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$ and for $V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$, respectively. For $V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ at $J_{22}(0) = -10t$, superconductivity disappears at a very low temperature. There are two superconducting phases with and without FQ order of $O_2^d$ in each phase diagram. The region of the coexisting phase of the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity with FQ order of $O_2^d$ seems slightly larger than that of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductivity. It may be understood from the fact that the induced $O_2^d(0)$ in the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity and FQ order of $O_2^d$ has the same sign. In the FQ ordered phase of $O_2^d$, the symmetry is lower than tetragonal, i.e., orthorhombic. Then, in the coexisting phase of the superconductivity and the FQ order of $O_2^d$, both the pair amplitudes for $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ become finite. Thus, for $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ (Fig. 3(f)), by combining both superconducting order parameters, $d+id$ superconducting phase realizes in a wide region.

For $O_2^d$ AFQ ordering cases, we obtain similar phase diagrams for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$ and for $V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ except for the superconducting symmetry [Fig. 3(a) and (b)]. We suppose that the effect of the induced $O_2^d(0)$ in the AFQ order on superconductivity is weak. Indeed, for $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 3(c)], the $d+id$ state without $O_2^d(0)$ realizes in a wide region. Also for $O_2^d$ AFQ ordering cases, the effect of the induced $O_2^d(0)$ seems weak.

We obtain similar phase diagrams for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$ and for $V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 3(d) and (e)], and the $d+id$ state realizes in a wide region for $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 3(f)]. In all of these AFQ order cases, there are superconducting phases with and without AFQ order.

In a coexisting state of superconductivity and staggered order with ordering vector $Q$, the pair amplitude with momentum $Q$ can be finite. In the AFQ order of $O_2^d$, a matrix element between $(\alpha, k)$ and $(\alpha, k + Q)$ becomes finite [see Eq. (11)]. Here, $(\tau, k)$ denotes the electronic state of orbital $\tau$ with momentum $k$. Then, if the
pair amplitude of \((\alpha, k)\) and \((\gamma, -k)\) is finite, the pair amplitude of \((\alpha, k + Q)\) and \((\gamma, -k)\) is also finite in the AFQ order of \(O^2_0\). In other words, the pair amplitude of \(d_{z^2-y^2}\) with \(Q\) is induced in the coexisting phase of the \(d_{z^2-y^2}\) superconductivity with \(O^2_0\) AFQ order. Similarly, the \(d_{3z^2-r^2}\) superconductivity with AFQ order of \(O^2_0\) accompanies the pair amplitude of \(d_{3z^2-r^2}\) with \(Q\). In the AFQ order of \(O^2_0\), a matrix element between \((\alpha, k)\) and \((\beta, k + Q)\) becomes finite [see Eq. (12)]. Then, in the coexisting phase of the \(d_{z^2-y^2}\) superconductivity and AFQ order of \(O^2_0\), the pair amplitude of \(d_{3z^2-r^2}\) with momentum \(Q\) becomes finite. For the \(d_{z^2-y^2}\) superconductivity with AFQ order of \(O^2_0\), the pair amplitude of \(d_{z^2-y^2}\) with \(Q\) is finite. In the coexisting phase of the \(d + id\) superconductivity with \(O^2_0\) or \(O^2_0\) AFQ order, the pair amplitude for \(d + id\) with \(Q\) becomes finite. We have checked these induced pair amplitudes with momentum \(Q\) in the coexisting phases of the superconductivity with AFQ order in the numerical calculations.

To show the dependence on the strength of the superconducting interaction, we have constructed a phase diagram of \(d_{z^2-y^2}\) superconductivity coexisting with AFQ order of \(O^0_2\) for a smaller value of the superconducting interaction, \(V_{z^2-y^2} = 5t\), as an example (Fig. 5). This phase diagram is qualitatively the same as for \(V_{z^2-y^2} = 10t\) in Fig. 8(a). For example, we observe an enhancement of the superconducting transition temperature at a parameter where \(T_{SC} \approx T_{FQ}\). However, we find that the superconductivity disappears for a much smaller value of the superconducting interaction. Thus, we need a certain strength of the superconducting interaction to discuss superconductivity in the present mean-field model.

IV. UNIAXIAL STRESS

To investigate the effect of uniaxial stress, we vary the external field \(H_{20}\) to the quadrupole moment \(O^2_0\). This field corresponds to a uniaxial stress along the \(z\) direction. From the definition in Eq. (15), positive \(H_{20}\) increases \(O^0_2(0)\) and negative \(H_{20}\) decreases \(O^0_2(0)\).

First, we consider FQ order of \(O^2_0\) without superconductivity. In this case under \(H_{20} \neq 0\), the FQ transition of \(O^2_0\) is not a symmetry breaking transition since \(O^2_0\) is already finite by \(H_{20}\). Then, if the FQ transition exists, it should be of first order. Since the FQ moment is positive without \(H_{20}\), \(H_{20} < 0\) suppresses \(T_{FQ}\). For \(H_{20} > 0\), \(T_{FQ}\) can be enhanced; however, the FQ transition becomes a crossover at a small value of \(H_{20}\) since the discontinuity in the order parameter at the first order transition is small even at \(H_{20} = 0\) [Fig. 1(a)].

For other pure order cases, the transition temperature increases under \(H_{20} > 0\) (\(H_{20} < 0\)) at least for a sufficiently small \(|H_{20}|\) when the induced \(O^0_2(0)\) is positive (negative) at \(H_{20} = 0\). The transition temperature increases under \(H_{20} > 0\) for AFQ order of \(O^2_0\) and for the superconductivity of \(d_{z^2-y^2}\). The transition temperature increases under \(H_{20} < 0\) for FQ of order \(O^2_0\), for AFQ order of \(O^2_0\), and for the superconductivity of \(d_{3z^2-r^2}\). These behaviors seem rather trivial and we do not show phase diagrams for these cases here.

For the \(d + id\) superconductivity, \(T_{SC}\) is expected to split into two by \(H_{20}\). We demonstrate it in the present mean-field model. Figure 8(b) shows a phase diagram in the \(H_{20}-T\) plane for \(V_{z^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t\). The transition temperature is split into two by \(H_{20}\). The higher transition temperature is slightly increased by \(H_{20}\). Below the second superconducting transition temperature, \(d + id\) superconducting state appears. The weights of the
but it does not fulfill the condition $n_7 = n_8 = 1$. It implies that phase separation occurs. While the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductivity may survive to some extent for $H_{20} > 0$, we should consider possible phase separation for this region. For $H_{20} < 0$, the FQ order of $O^0_d$ is suppressed and $T_{SC}$ initially increases. By decreasing $H_{20}$ further, both the FQ order of $O^0_d$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductivity are suppressed as for the pure ordered phases of them. For $J_{22}(0) = -10t$ and $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 7(b)], we find only the FQ phase of $O^0_d$ for $H_{20} > 0$ since a positive $H_{20}$ suppresses the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity. On the other hand, for $H_{20} < 0$, the FQ order of $O^0_d$ is suppressed and the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity is realized in a wide region. For $J_{22}(0) = -10t$ with $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 7(c)], we obtain a phase diagram like a combination of Fig. 7(a) and (b). Then, we expect a change of the superconducting symmetry by applying uniaxial stress for this case.

Figure 7(d) is a phase diagram for $J_{22}(0) = -10t$ and $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$. For $H_{20} > 0$, the FQ order of $O^0_d$ is suppressed and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductivity develops. There are two $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconducting phases with and without FQ order of $O^0_d$. For $J_{22}(0) = -10t$ and $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 7(e)], the FQ order is suppressed and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity takes place for $H_{20} > 0$. However the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity is also suppressed by $H_{20} > 0$ and the region of this superconductivity is narrow. Figure 7(f) shows a phase diagram for $J_{22}(0) = -10t$ with $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$. In the FQ ordered phase of $O^0_d$, the system is orthorhombic and the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivities can mix. Then, by combining these pairing states, a $d + id$ superconducting phase extends in a wide region.

Finally, we discuss the effects of the uniaxial stress on the coexisting phases of superconductivity with AFQ order. Figure 8(a) is a phase diagram for $J_{20}(Q) = -10t$ and $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$. For $H_{20} < 0$, the AFQ order of $O^0_d$ is suppressed and $T_{SC}$ increases. However, a negative $H_{20}$ also suppresses the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductivity and $T_{SC}$ does not become high. For $J_{20}(Q) = -10t$ and $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 8(b)], for $H_{20} < 0$, the AFQ order of $O^0_d$ is suppressed and the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity is enhanced. For $J_{20}(Q) = -10t$ with $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 8(c)], almost the whole superconducting region is the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ phase since it is stable for $H_{20} < 0$, where the AFQ order of $O^0_d$ becomes unstable. For a large $H_{20}$ the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconducting phase appears since this superconductivity is cooperative with a positive $O^0_d$(0).

Figure 8(d) is a phase diagram for $J_{22}(Q) = -10t$ and $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$. For $H_{20} > 0$, the AFQ order of $O^0_d$ is suppressed and the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductivity develops. For $J_{22}(Q) = -10t$ and $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 8(e)], the AFQ order is suppressed and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity takes place for $H_{20} > 0$. However, the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ superconductivity is also suppressed by $H_{20} > 0$ and $T_{SC}$ remains low. Then, for $J_{22}(Q) = -10t$ with $V_{x^2-y^2} = V_{3z^2-r^2} = 10t$ [Fig. 8(f)], we obtain the same phase diagram as in Fig. 8(d) within numerical errors.
FIG. 8. Phase diagrams of superconductivity coexisting with AFQ order in the $H_20-T$ plane: with $J_{20}(Q) = -10t$ (a) for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$, (b) for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$, and (c) for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$, with $J_{22}(Q) = -10t$ (d) for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$, (e) for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$, and (f) for $V_{x^2-y^2} = 10t$.

V. SUMMARY

We have investigated the coexisting phases of the $d$-wave superconducting and quadrupole order in a model for the $T_3$ system.

In the $d$-wave superconducting states, the cubic symmetry is broken by the anisotropic pairing and FQ moment of $O_2^0$ becomes finite except for the $d + id$ superconducting state. Thus, superconductivity can occur cooperatively with the FQ order of $O_2^0$. Indeed, the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductivity is enhanced with the help of the FQ order in the present model. It is in sharp contrast to ordinary cases, where superconductivity is suppressed when another order develops. This case may correspond to the superconductivity in PrRh$_2$Zn$_{20}$.[32]

The other types of quadrupole order are unfavorable for superconductivity. Thus, superconductivity emerges by suppressing the quadrupole order by reducing the quadrupole interaction or by applying uniaxial stress. We could not find a simultaneous transition of superconductivity and AFQ order without fine-tuning of parameters. Thus, we need further studies to explain such a transition observed in PrRh$_2$Zn$_{20}$.[32]

The $d + id$ superconductivity does not accompany a quadrupole moment since the cubic symmetry is retained. By lowering symmetry with a uniaxial stress, either of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconducting transition temperatures rises and at a lower temperature, second superconducting transition breaking time reversal symmetry occurs. Also for the other superconducting states, the superconducting transition temperature and superconducting symmetry can be changed by uniaxial stress.

In any case, the effects of the hydrostatic and uniaxial pressure will be interesting and useful to investigate superconductivity in the $T_3$ systems. Theoretically, a microscopic description of the coexisting states without introducing phenomenological quadrupole interactions is also desirable. It is an important future problem.
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