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ABSTRACT. In this paper we give improved, probably not sharp, upper bounds of the Hankel determinant of third order for various classes of univalent functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

A complex-valued function of a complex variable is called univalent in a certain domain if it does not take the same value twice on that domain. The theory of univalent functions is over a century old and is rich of different types of results. There are two major approaches for their study. One is to investigate the properties of the subclasses of univalent functions and the other is to deliver criteria for a function to belong to those subclasses.

In recent period, a problem that follows the first approach, that is the problem of finding upper bound, preferably sharp, of the Hankel determinant for classes of univalent functions, is being rediscovered and attracts significant attention among the mathematicians working in the field.

For a function $f$ from the class $A$ of analytic functions in the unit disk $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ normalized such that $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$, i.e., $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$, the $q$th Hankel determinant is defined for $q \geq 1$, and $n \geq 1$ by

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$
Thus, the second Hankel determinant is \( H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2 \) and the third is

\[
H_3(1) = \left| \begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_2 & a_3 \\
a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\
a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \\
\end{array} \right| = a_3(a_2a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2a_3) + a_5(a_3 - a_2^2).
\]

The concept of Hankel determinant finds its application in the theory of singularities (see [4]) and in the study of power series with integral coefficients.

Finding sharp estimate of the Hankel determinant for the whole class of univalent functions is very difficult task and the most significant result in that direction is the one of Hayman (see [6]) who showed that \( |H_2(n)| \leq A n^{1/2} \), where \( A \) is an absolute constant, and that this rate of growth is the best possible. On the other hand, the sharp upper bound of the second Hankel determinant for subclasses of univalent functions is more accessible. For example, for the classes of starlike and convex functions it turns out to be 1 and 1/8, respectively (Janteng et al., [7]). The classes of starlike and convex functions are defined respectively with

\[
S^* = \{ f \in A : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \}
\]

and

\[
C = \{ f \in A : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \},
\]

where "\( \prec \)" denotes the usual subordination defined by: \( f \prec g \), if, and only if, \( f \) and \( g \) are analytic in \( \mathbb{D} \) and there exists a Schwarz function \( \omega \) (analytic in \( \mathbb{D} \), \( \omega(0) = 0 \) and \( |\omega(z)| < 1 \)), such that \( f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \) for \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). If \( g \) is univalent, then \( f \prec g \) is equivalent to \( f(0) = g(0) \) and \( f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D}) \).

The case of the third Hankel determinant was first studied by Babalao in [1] and it appears to be much more complicated and the existing results are usually not sharp. Some of the few known sharp estimates is 1/9 for the classes \( S^*(1/2) \) (the class of starlike functions of order 1/2, such that \( f \in S^*(1/2) \subset A \) if, and only if, \( \text{Re}[zf'(z)/f(z)] > 1/2, z \in \mathbb{D} \) given by Zaprawa et al. in [23] and 4/135 for the class \( C \) given by Kowalczyk et al. in [8]. For the class \( \mathcal{U} \) defined by

\[
\mathcal{U} = \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{z}{f(z)^2} f'(z) - 1 \right| < 1, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\},
\]

the authors have proven ([15]) sharp bounds to be

\[
|H_2(2)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{4}.
\]

Other results on the second and the third Hankel determinant can be found in [2, 9, 10, 11, 13, 18, 20, 21, 22].
In this paper we will study the class of starlike functions, as well as, the following classes

\[ S^*_s = \left\{ f \in A : \frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} < \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right\}, \]

\[ S^*_e = \left\{ f \in A : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < e^z \right\}, \]

and

\[ S^*_q = \left\{ f \in A : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < z + \sqrt{1 + z^2} \right\}. \]

The class \( S^*_s \) consists of functions starlike with respect to symmetric points introduced by Sakaguchi in [17] where he proved that these functions are close-to-convex, and hence univalent. Mishra et al. in [12] proved sharp bound of \(|H_2(2)|\) on this class to be 1, and non-sharp bound of \(H_3(1)\) to be \(5/2\).

For the class \( S^*_e \), Shi et al. in [19] proved that \(|H_3(1)| \leq 0.50047781\).

In their paper [2] Bello and Opoola considered the class \( S^*_q \) and found that \(|H_2(2)| \leq \frac{29}{48}\) which was improved by the authors ([14]) to its sharp value \(1/4\). No estimate for \(|H_3(1)|\) is known for this class. It can be verified that the function \(z + \sqrt{1 + z^2}\) maps the unit disk into the right half plane, so the class \( S^*_q \) contains only starlike functions, i.e., \( S^*_q \subset S^* \).

For this three classes we improve the existing estimates of the modulus of the third Hankel determinant and we do it by using different approach than the common one.

Namely, the current research on the estimates of the Hankel determinant is done by application of a result about coefficients of Carathéodory functions (functions from with positive real part on the unit disk) that involves Toeplitz determinants. This result is due to Carathéodory and Toeplitz, a proof of which can be found in Grenander and Szegö ([5]). The result itself can be found in [21, Theorem 3.1.4, p.26].

On the other hand, in this paper, as we already did in [13], we use different method, based on the estimates of the coefficients of Shwartz function due to Prokhorov and Szynal ([16]). Here is that result.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \omega(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \cdots \) be a Shwartz function. Then, for any real numbers \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) the following sharp estimate holds

\[ \Psi(\omega) = |c_3 + \mu c_1 c_2 + \nu c_1^3| \leq \Phi(\mu, \nu), \]

where \( \Phi(\mu, \nu) \) is given in complete form in [16, Lemma 2], and here we will use only

\[ \Phi(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & (\mu, \nu) \in D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \{(2, 1)\} \\ |\nu|, & (\mu, \nu) \in \bigcup_{k=3}^{7} D_k \end{cases}, \]
where

\[ D_1 = \{ (\mu, \nu) : |\mu| \leq \frac{1}{2}, -1 \leq \nu \leq 1 \}, \]
\[ D_2 = \{ (\mu, \nu) : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\mu| \leq 2, \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| + 1)^2 - (|\mu| + 1) \leq \nu \leq 1 \}, \]
\[ D_3 = \{ (\mu, \nu) : |\mu| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \nu \leq -1 \}, \]
\[ D_4 = \{ (\mu, \nu) : |\mu| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \nu \leq -\frac{2}{3}(|\mu| + 1) \}, \]
\[ D_5 = \{ (\mu, \nu) : |\mu| \leq 2, \nu \geq 1 \}, \]
\[ D_6 = \{ (\mu, \nu) : 2 \leq |\mu| \leq 4, \nu \geq \frac{1}{12}(\mu^2 + 8) \}, \]
\[ D_7 = \{ (\mu, \nu) : |\mu| \geq 4, \nu \geq \frac{2}{35}(|\mu| - 1) \}. \]

We will also use the following, almost forgotten result of Carleson ([3]).

**Lemma 2.** Let \( \omega(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots \) be a Schwarz function. Then

\[ |c_2| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2, \quad |c_3| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2 - \frac{|c_2|^2}{1 + |c_1|} \quad \text{and} \quad |c_4| \leq 1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2. \]

2. **Main results**

In this section we give improved, probably non-sharp estimates of the third Hankel determinant for classes \( \mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{S}_s^*, \mathcal{S}_e^* \) and \( \mathcal{S}_q^* \).

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) is of the form \( f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots \).

(i) If \( f \in \mathcal{S}^* \), then \( |H_3(1)| \leq 0.777987 \ldots \).

(ii) If \( f \in \mathcal{S}_s^* \), then \( |H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{12 \sqrt{3}} = 0.44245 \ldots \).

(iii) If \( f \in \mathcal{S}_e^* \), then \( |H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{12} = 0.23611 \ldots \).

(iv) If \( f \in \mathcal{S}_q^* \), then \( |H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{17} = 0.23611 \ldots \).

**Proof.** (i) Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}^* \). From the definitions of starlikeness and subordination we realize that there exists a function \( \omega \) analytic in the unit disk such that \( \omega(0) = 0 \), \( |\omega(z)| < 1 \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{D} \) and

\[
\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)},
\]

leading to

\[ zf'(z)(1 - \omega(z)) = f(z)(1 + \omega(z)). \]
Using \( f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots \) and \( \omega(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \cdots \), by equating coefficients, we receive
\[
\begin{align*}
    a_2 &= 2c_1, \\
    a_3 &= c_2 + 3c_1^2, \\
    a_4 &= \frac{2}{3} (c_3 + 5c_1 c_2 + 6c_1^3), \\
    a_5 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( c_4 + \frac{14}{3} c_1 c_3 + \frac{43}{3} c_1^2 c_2 + 2c_2^2 + 10c_1^4 \right),
\end{align*}
\]
and further
\[
H_3(1) = \frac{1}{18} \left[ -8 \left( c_3 - \frac{5}{4} c_1 c_2 \right) + \frac{63}{8} c_1^2 c_2 + 6c_1^3 \left( c_3 + \frac{1}{2} c_1 c_2 \right) + 9(c_2 - c_1^2) c_4 \right].
\]
The last implies
\[
|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{18} \left[ 8 \left| c_3 - \frac{5}{4} c_1 c_2 \right| + \frac{63}{8} c_1^2 |c_2|^2 + 6|c_1|^3 \left| c_3 + \frac{1}{2} c_1 c_2 \right| + 9|c_2 - c_1| |c_4| \right].
\]
Now, from Lemma [1] by using \( \mu = -\frac{5}{4}, \nu = 0 \), \((\mu, \nu) \in D_2\); and \( \mu = \frac{1}{2}, \nu = 0 \), \((\mu, \nu) \in D_1\); we receive respectively
\[
\left| c_3 - \frac{5}{4} c_1 c_2 \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| c_3 + \frac{1}{2} c_1 c_2 \right| \leq 1.
\]
Therefore, using additionally the estimate for \( |c_4| \) from Lemma [2] we receive
\[
|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{18} \left[ 8 + \frac{63}{8} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + 9|c_2 - c_1^2| (1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2) \right]
= \frac{1}{18} \left[ 8 - \frac{9}{8} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 - 9|c_1|^2 |c_2| + 9|c_1|^2 + 6|c_1|^3 - 9|c_1|^4 + 9|c_2| - 9|c_2|^3 \right]
= \frac{1}{18} [8 + h(|c_1|, |c_2|)],
\]
where
\[
h(x, y) = -\frac{9}{8} x^2 y^2 - 9x^2 y + 9x^2 + 6x^3 - 9x^4 + 9y - 9y^3
\]
and \((x, y) \in \Omega = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1 - x^2\}.

We continue with looking for the maximal value of \( h \) on \( \Omega \).

The equation \( h_y(x, y) = -\frac{9}{4} \left[ x^2(y + 4) + 12y^2 - 4 \right] = 0 \) has positive solution \( x = 2 \sqrt{\frac{1 - 3y^2}{4 + y}} \Rightarrow: g(y) \) only when \( 0 \leq y \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} = 0.57735 \ldots \). After replacing it in \( h_y(x, y) \) we receive
\[
h_y(x, y) = \frac{9 \sqrt{1 - 3y^2}}{2(y + 4)^{3/2}} \left[ 16 \sqrt{(y + 4)(1 - 3y^2)} - y^3 + 180y^2 - 24y - 32 \right].
\]
The equation \( h_y(x, y) = 0 \) has only two positive solutions \( y_1 = 0.1541 \ldots \) with \( x_1 = g(y_1) = 0.94567 \ldots \) and \( y_1 > 1 - x_1^2 = 0.1057 \ldots \); and \( y_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} = 0.57735 \ldots \).
with \(x_2 = g(y_2) = 0\), but none of these points \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\) lies in the interior of \(\Omega\). Thus, the function \(h\) attains its maximum on the boundary of \(\Omega\).

For \(x = 0\) we have \(h(0, y) = 9y(1 - y^2) \leq 2\sqrt{3} = 3.4641\ldots\) for \(y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\).

For \(x = 1\) we have \(h(1, y) = -9y^3 - \frac{9y^2}{8} + 6 \leq 6\) for \(y = 0\).

For \(y = 1 - x^2\) we have \(h(x, 1 - x^2) = \frac{9}{8}x^2 (21x^4 - 66x^2 + 16x + 45) =: r(x)\), with \(r'(x) = -\frac{9}{8}x(x - 1) (21x^3 + 21x^2 - 23x - 15) = 0\) having unique solution on \((0, 1), x^* = 0.948542\ldots\). So, \(h(x, 1 - x^2) \leq 6.00376\ldots\).

For all the above analysis we can conclude that \(h(x, y) \leq 6.00376\ldots\) for all \((x, y)\in\Omega\), i.e., \(|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{16} (8 + 6.00376\ldots) = 0.777987\ldots\).

(ii) Similarly as in (i) for a function \(f\) from \(S^*_s\) we have that there exists a Schwarz function \(\omega\) such that

\[
\frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)},
\]

i.e.,

\[
2zf'(z)(1 - \omega(z)) = (f(z) - f(-z))(1 + \omega(z)).
\]

For \(f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \cdots\) and \(\omega(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \cdots\), by equating coefficients, we receive

\[
\begin{align*}
a_2 &= c_1, \\
a_3 &= c_2 + c_1^2, \\
a_4 &= \frac{1}{2} (c_3 + 3c_1c_2 + 2c_1^3), \\
a_5 &= \frac{1}{2} (c_4 + 2c_1c_3 + 5c_1^2c_2 + 2c_1^3 + 2c_2^2 + 2c_1^4),
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
H_3(1) = \frac{1}{4} (-c_3^2 + 2c_1c_2c_3 + c_1^2c_2^2 + 2c_2c_4)
= \frac{1}{4} \left[ -(c_3 - c_1c_2)^2 + 2c_1^2c_2^2 + 2c_2c_4 \right].
\]

Thus,

\[
|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( |c_3 - c_1c_2|^2 + 2|c_1|^2|c_2|^2 + 2|c_2||c_4| \right),
\]
and by applying Lemma 1 (\(\mu = -1\) and \(\nu = 0\)) and Lemma 2

\[
|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + 2|c_1|^2|c_2|^2 + 2|c_2|(1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2) \right]
= \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 - 2|c_1|^2|c_2|(1 - |c_2|) + 2|c_2| - 2|c_2|^3 \right]
\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + 2|c_2| - 2|c_2|^3 \right]
\leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}.
\]

(iii) If \(f \in S_e^x\), then for a Schwarz function \(\omega\), \(zf^\nu(z) = e^{\omega(z)}\) and \(zf^\nu(z) = e^{\omega(z)}f(z)\). Thus, for \(f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \cdots\) and \(\omega(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \cdots\), by equating coefficients, we receive

\[
a_2 = c_1,
\]
\[
a_3 = \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2,
\]
\[
a_4 = \frac{1}{3} \left( c_3 + \frac{5}{2}c_1c_2 + \frac{17}{12}c_1^3 \right),
\]
\[
a_5 = \frac{1}{4} \left( c_4 + \frac{7}{3}c_1c_3 + \frac{10}{3}c_1^2c_2 + \frac{19}{18}c_2^2 + c_1^4 \right),
\]

and

\[
H_3(1) = \frac{5}{72}c_1c_2c_3 - \frac{5}{72}c_1^2c_2^2 + \frac{13}{864}c_1^4c_2 + \frac{17}{432}c_1^3c_3 - \frac{1}{9}c_2^3 - \frac{13}{5184}c_1^6
+ \frac{1}{16}(2c_2 - c_1^2)c_4
= -\frac{1}{9} \left( c_3 - \frac{15}{16}c_1c_2 \right)^2 - \frac{15}{256}c_1^2c_2^2 + \frac{17}{432}c_3^3 \left( c_3 - \frac{13}{34}c_1c_2 - \frac{13}{204}c_1^3 \right)
+ \frac{1}{16}(2c_2 - c_1^2)c_4.
\]

From here,

\[
|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{9} \left| c_3 - \frac{15}{16}c_1c_2 \right|^2 + \frac{15}{256}|c_1|^2|c_2|^2 + \frac{17}{432}|c_1|^3 \left| c_3 + \frac{13}{34}c_1c_2 - \frac{13}{204}c_1^3 \right|
+ \frac{1}{16}(2|c_2| + |c_1|^2)|c_4|.
\]

Choosing \((\mu, \nu) = (-5/16, 0) \in D_1\) and \((\mu, \nu) = (13/34, -13/204) \in D_1\) in Lemma 1 we receive

\[
\left| c_3 - \frac{15}{16}c_1c_2 \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| c_3 + \frac{13}{34}c_1c_2 - \frac{13}{204}c_1^3 \right| \leq 1,
\]
respectively. This, together with the estimate for $|c_4|$ from Lemma 2 gives

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{9} + \frac{15}{256} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + \frac{17}{432} |c_1|^3 + \frac{1}{16} (2|c_2| + |c_1|^2) (1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{9} - \frac{1}{256} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + \frac{17}{432} |c_1|^3 + \frac{1}{8} |c_2| - \frac{1}{8} |c_1|^2 |c_2|$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} |c_2|^3 + \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^2 - \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^4$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{9} - \frac{1}{256} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + \frac{17}{432} |c_1|^3 + \frac{1}{8} (1 - |c_1|^2) - \frac{1}{8} |c_1|^2 |c_2|$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} |c_2|^3 + \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^2 - \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^4$$

$$\leq \frac{17}{72} - \frac{1}{256} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 - \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^2 \left(1 - \frac{17}{27} |c_1| + |c_1|^2\right) - \frac{1}{8} |c_1|^2 |c_2| - \frac{1}{8} |c_2|^3$$

$$\leq \frac{17}{72},$$

since all other terms are negative.

(iv) Again, if $f \in S_q$, then $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \omega(z) + \sqrt{1 + \omega^2(z)}$ for some Schwarz function $\omega$, and for $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$ and $\omega(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \cdots$, by equating the coefficients, we receive

$$a_2 = c_1,$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{2} c_2 + \frac{3}{4} c_1^2,$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{3} \left( c_3 + \frac{5}{2} c_1 c_2 + \frac{5}{4} c_1^3 \right),$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{4} \left( c_4 + \frac{7}{3} c_1 c_3 + \frac{17}{6} c_1^2 c_2 + c_2^2 + \frac{2}{3} c_1^4 \right),$$

i.e.,

$$H_3(1) = -\frac{1}{9} \left( c_3 - \frac{5}{16} c_1 c_2 \right)^2 - \frac{31}{256} c_1^2 c_2^2 + \frac{11}{144} c_1^3 \left( c_3 + \frac{5}{11} c_1 c_2 - \frac{7}{44} c_1^3 \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} \left( c_2 - \frac{1}{2} c_1^2 \right) c_4.$$

So,

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{9} \left| c_3 - \frac{5}{16} c_1 c_2 \right|^2 + \frac{31}{256} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + \frac{11}{144} |c_1|^3 \left| c_3 + \frac{5}{11} c_1 c_2 - \frac{7}{44} c_1^3 \right|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} \left( |c_2| + \frac{1}{2} |c_1|^2 \right) |c_4|$$

Now, Lemma 1 for $(\mu, \nu) = (-\frac{5}{16}, 0) \in D_1$ and $(\mu, \nu) = (\frac{5}{11}, -\frac{7}{44}) \in D_1$ brings

$$|c_3 - \frac{5}{16} c_1 c_2| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |c_3 + \frac{5}{11} c_1 c_2 - \frac{7}{44} c_1^3| \leq 1,$$
which together with the estimate for $|c_4|$ from Lemma 2 implies

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{9} + \frac{31}{256} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + \frac{11}{144} |c_1|^3 + \frac{1}{8} \left( |c_2| + \frac{1}{2} |c_1|^2 \right) (1 - |c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{9} + \frac{15}{256} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + \frac{11}{144} |c_1|^3 + \frac{1}{8} |c_2| - \frac{1}{8} |c_1|^2 |c_2| - \frac{1}{8} |c_2|^3$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^2 - \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^4$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{9} + \frac{15}{256} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + \frac{11}{144} |c_1|^3 + \frac{1}{8} (1 - |c_1|^2) - \frac{1}{8} |c_1|^2 |c_2| - \frac{1}{8} |c_2|^3$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^2 - \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^4$$

$$\leq \frac{17}{72} - \frac{1}{8} |c_1|^2 |c_2| \left( 1 - \frac{15}{32} |c_2| \right) - \frac{1}{16} |c_1|^2 \left( |c_1|^2 - \frac{11}{9} |c_1| + 1 \right) - \frac{1}{8} |c_2|^3$$

$$\leq \frac{17}{72}.$$  

□

Estimates of the third Hankel determinant for classes $S^*$ and $S^*_q$ ((i) and (iv) from the previous theorem) were not given before, while estimates for classes $S^*_s$ and $S^*_e$ (0.26897... and 0.125 from (ii) and (iii), respectively) are better than the currently known obtained by Carathéodory functions (5/2 from [12] and 0.50047781... from [19], respectively).
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