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Abstract

We study differential cohomology on categories of globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds. The Lorentzian metric allows us to define a natural transformation whose kernel generalizes Maxwell’s equations and fits into a restriction of the fundamental exact sequences of differential cohomology. We consider smooth Pontryagin duals of differential cohomology groups, which are subgroups of the character groups. We prove that these groups fit into smooth duals of the fundamental exact sequences of differential cohomology and equip them with a natural presymplectic structure derived from a generalized Maxwell Lagrangian. The resulting presymplectic Abelian groups are quantized using the CCR-functor, which yields a covariant functor from our categories of globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds to the category of $C^\ast$-algebras. We prove that this functor satisfies the causality and time-slice axioms of locally covariant quantum field theory, but that it violates the locality axiom. We show that this violation is precisely due to the fact that our functor has topological subfunctors describing the Pontryagin duals of certain singular cohomology groups. As a byproduct, we develop a Fréchet-Lie group structure on differential cohomology groups.
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1 Introduction and summary

In [CS85], Cheeger and Simons develop the theory of differential characters, which can be understood as a differential refinement of singular cohomology. For a smooth manifold $M$, a differential character is a group homomorphism $h : Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{T}$ from smooth singular $k-1$-cycles to the circle group $\mathbb{T} = U(1)$, which evaluates on smooth singular $k-1$-boundaries is given by integrating a differential form, the curvature map $\text{curv}(h)$ of $h$. This uniquely defines the curvature map $\text{curv} : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega^2_\mathbb{T}(M)$, which is a natural group epimorphism from the group of differential characters to the group of $k$-forms with integral periods. To each differential character one can assign its characteristic class via a second natural group epimorphism $\text{char} : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, which is why one calls differential characters a differential refinement of $H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$. In addition to their characteristic class and curvature, differential characters carry further information that is described by two natural group monomorphisms $\iota : \Omega^{k-1}(M) / \Omega^k_{\mathbb{T}}(M) \to \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\kappa : H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T}) \to \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, which map, respectively, to the kernel of char and curv. The group of differential characters $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ together with these group homomorphisms fits into a natural commutative diagram of exact sequences, see e.g. (2.11) in the main text. It was recognized later in [SS08, BB13] that this diagram uniquely fixes (up to a unique natural isomorphism) the functors $\hat{H}^k(\cdot ; \mathbb{Z})$. It is therefore natural to abstract these considerations and to define a differential cohomology theory as a contravariant functor from the category of smooth manifolds to the category of Abelian groups that fits (via four natural transformations) into the diagram (2.11).

Differential cohomology finds its physical applications in field theory and string theory as an efficient way to describe the gauge orbit spaces of generalized or higher Abelian gauge theories. The degree $k = 2$ differential cohomology group $\hat{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ describes isomorphism classes of pairs $(P, \nabla)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{T}$-bundle $P \to M$ and a connection $\nabla$ on $P$. Physically this is exactly the gauge orbit space of Maxwell’s theory of electromagnetism. The characteristic class map $\text{char} : \hat{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ assigns the first Chern class of $P$, and the curvature map $\text{curv} : \hat{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega^2_\mathbb{T}(M)$ assigns (up to a prefactor) the curvature of $\nabla$. The topological trivialization $\iota : \Omega^1(M) / \Omega^2_{\mathbb{T}}(M) \to \hat{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ identifies gauge equivalence classes of connections on the trivial $\mathbb{T}$-bundle $P = M \times \mathbb{T}$ and the map $\kappa : H^1(M; \mathbb{T}) \to \hat{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the inclusion of equivalence classes of flat bundle-connection pairs $(P, \nabla)$. In degree $k = 1$, the differential cohomology group $\hat{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ describes $\mathbb{T}$-valued smooth functions $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{T})$, where $\text{char}(h)$ gives the “winding number” of the map $h : M \to \mathbb{T}$ around the circle and $\text{curv}(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathbb{i}} \text{d} \log h$; this field theory is called the $\sigma$-model on $M$ with target space $\mathbb{T}$. In degree $k \geq 3$, the differential
cohomology groups \( \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) describe isomorphism classes of \( k-2 \)-gerbes with connection, which are models of relevance in string theory; see e.g. [Sza12] for a general introduction.

The goal of this paper is to understand the classical and quantum field theory described by a differential cohomology theory. Earlier approaches to this subject [PMS07a, PMS07b] have focused on the Hamiltonian approach, which required the underlying Lorentzian manifold \( M \) to be ultrastatic, i.e. that the Lorentzian metric \( g \) on \( M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \) is of the form \( g = -dt \otimes dt + h \), where \( h \) is a complete Riemannian metric on \( \Sigma \) that is independent of time \( t \). Here we shall instead work in the framework of locally covariant quantum field theory [BFV03, FV12], which allows us to treat generic globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds \( M \) without this restriction. In addition, our construction of the quantum field theory is functorial in the sense that we shall obtain a covariant functor \( \hat{\mathfrak{A}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to C^*\text{Alg} \) from a suitable category of \( m \)-dimensional globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds to the category of \( C^* \)-algebras, which describes quantized observable algebras of a degree \( k \) differential cohomology theory. This means that in addition to obtaining for each globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold \( M \) a \( C^* \)-algebra of observables \( \hat{\mathfrak{A}}^k(M) \), we get \( C^* \)-algebra morphisms \( \hat{\mathfrak{A}}^k(f) : \hat{\mathfrak{A}}^k(M) \to \hat{\mathfrak{A}}^k(N) \) whenever there is a causal isometric embedding \( f : M \to N \). This in particular provides a mapping of observables from certain subregions of \( M \) to \( M \) itself, which is known to encode essential physical characteristics of the quantum field theory since the work of Haag and Kastler [HK64].

Let us outline the content of this paper: In Section [2] we give a short introduction to differential cohomology, focusing both on the abstract approach and the explicit model of Cheeger-Simons differential characters. In Section [3] we restrict any (abstract) degree \( k \) differential cohomology theory to a suitable category of \( m \)-dimensional globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds \( \text{Loc}^m \), introduce generalized Maxwell maps and study their solution subgroups (generalizing Maxwell’s equations in degree \( k = 2 \)). The solution subgroups are shown to fit into a fundamental commutative diagram of exact sequences. We also prove that local generalized Maxwell solutions (i.e. solutions given solely in a suitable region containing a Cauchy surface) uniquely extend to global ones. In Section [4] we study the character groups of the differential cohomology groups. Inspired by [HLZ03] we introduce the concept of smooth Pontryagin duals, which are certain subgroups of the character groups, and prove that they fit into a commutative diagram of fundamental exact sequences. We further show that the smooth Pontryagin duals separate points of the differential cohomology groups and that they are given by a covariant functor from \( \text{Loc}^m \) to the category of Abelian groups. In Section [5] we equip the smooth Pontryagin duals with a natural presymplectic structure, which we derive from a generalized Maxwell Lagrangian by adapting Peierls’ construction [Pei52]. This then leads to a covariant functor \( \hat{\mathfrak{G}}^k(\cdot) \) from \( \text{Loc}^m \) to the category of presymplectic Abelian groups, which describes the classical field theory associated to a differential cohomology theory. The generalized Maxwell equations are encoded by taking a quotient of this functor by the vanishing subgroups of the solution subgroups. Due to the fundamental commutative diagram of exact sequences for the smooth Pontryagin duals, we observe immediately that the functor \( \hat{\mathfrak{G}}^k(\cdot) \) has two subfunctors, one of which is \( H^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})^* \), the Pontryagin dual of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-valued singular cohomology, and hence is purely topological. The second subfunctor describes “curvature observables” and we show that it has a further subfunctor \( H^{m-k}(\cdot; \mathbb{R})^* \). This gives a more direct and natural perspective on the locally covariant topological quantum fields described in [BDS13] for connections on a fixed \( T \)-bundle. In Section [6] we carry out the canonical quantization of our field theory by using the CCR-functor for presymplectic Abelian groups developed in [M+73] and also in [BDS13 Appendix A]. This yields a covariant functor \( \hat{\mathfrak{A}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to C^*\text{Alg} \) to the category of \( C^* \)-algebras. We prove that \( \hat{\mathfrak{A}}^k(\cdot) \) satisfies the causality axiom and the time-slice axiom, which have been proposed in [BFV03] to single out physically reasonable models for quantum field theory from all covariant functors \( \text{Loc}^m \to C^*\text{Alg} \). The locality axiom, demanding that \( \hat{\mathfrak{A}}^k(f) \)
is injective for any Loc\(^m\)-morphism \(f\), is in general not satisfied (except in the special case \((m,k) = (2,1)\)). We prove that for a Loc\(^m\)-morphism \(f : M \to N\) the morphism \(\mathcal{A}(f)\) is injective if and only if the morphism \(H^{m-k}(M;\mathbb{R})^* \oplus H^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^{m-k}(N;\mathbb{R})^* \oplus H^k(N;\mathbb{Z})^*\) in the topological subtheories is injective, which is in general not the case. This provides a precise connection between the violation of the locality axiom and the presence of topological subtheories, which generalizes the results obtained in [BDHST13] for gauge theories of connections on fixed T-bundles. In Appendix A we develop a Fréchet-Lie group structure on differential cohomology groups, which is required to make precise our construction of the presymplectic structure.

2 Differential cohomology

In this section we summarize some background material on (ordinary) differential cohomology that will be used in this paper. In order to fix notation we shall first give a condensed summary of singular homology and cohomology. We shall then briefly review the Cheeger-Simons differential characters defined in [CS85]. The group of differential characters is a particular model of singular homology and cohomology. Even though our results in the ensuing sections are formulated in a model independent way, it is helpful to have the explicit model of differential characters in mind.

2.1 Singular homology and cohomology

Let \(M\) be a smooth manifold. We denote by \(C_k(M;\mathbb{Z})\) the free Abelian group of smooth singular \(k\)-chains in \(M\). There exist boundary maps \(\partial_k : C_k(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to C_{k-1}(M;\mathbb{Z})\), which are homomorphisms of Abelian groups satisfying \(\partial_{k-1} \circ \partial_k = 0\). The subgroup \(Z_k(M;\mathbb{Z}) := \ker \partial_k\) is called the group of smooth singular \(k\)-cycles and it has the obvious subgroup \(B_k(M;\mathbb{Z}) := \text{Im} \partial_{k+1}\) of smooth singular \(k\)-boundaries. The \(k\)-th smooth singular homology group of \(M\) is defined as the quotient

\[
H_k(M;\mathbb{Z}) := \frac{Z_k(M;\mathbb{Z})}{B_k(M;\mathbb{Z})} = \frac{\ker \partial_k}{\text{Im} \partial_{k+1}}.
\]

(2.1)

Notice that \(H_k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z}) : \text{Man} \to \text{Ab}\) is a covariant functor from the category of smooth manifolds to the category of Abelian groups; for a Man-morphism \(f : M \to N\) (i.e. a smooth map) the Ab-morphism \(H_k(f;\mathbb{Z}) : H_k(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_k(N;\mathbb{Z})\) is given by push-forward of smooth \(k\)-simplices. In the following we shall often drop the adjective smooth singular and simply use the words \(k\)-chain, \(k\)-cycle and \(k\)-boundary. Furthermore, we shall drop the label \(k\) on the boundary maps \(\partial_k\) whenever there is no risk of confusion.

Given any Abelian group \(G\), the Abelian group of \(G\)-valued \(k\)-cochains is defined by

\[
C^k(M;G) := \text{Hom}(C_k(M;\mathbb{Z}),G),
\]

(2.2)

where Hom denotes the group homomorphisms. The boundary maps \(\partial_k\) dualize to the coboundary maps \(\delta^k : C^k(M;G) \to C^{k+1}(M;G)\), \(\phi \mapsto \phi \circ \partial_{k+1}\), which are homomorphisms of Abelian groups and satisfy \(\delta^{k+1} \circ \delta^k = 0\). Elements in \(Z^k(M;G) := \ker \delta^k\) are called \(G\)-valued \(k\)-cocycles and elements in \(B^k(M;G) := \text{Im} \delta^{k-1}\) are called \(G\)-valued \(k\)-coboundaries. The (smooth singular) cohomology group with coefficients in \(G\) is defined by

\[
H^k(M;G) := \frac{Z^k(M;G)}{B^k(M;G)} = \frac{\ker \delta^k}{\text{Im} \delta^{k-1}}.
\]

(2.3)

Notice that \(H^k(\cdot;G) : \text{Man} \to \text{Ab}\) is a contravariant functor.
The cohomology group $H^k(M; G)$ is in general not isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}), G)$. The obvious group homomorphism $H^k(M; G) \to \text{Hom}(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}), G)$ is in general only surjective but not injective. Its kernel is described by the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology (see e.g. [CS85, Theorem 3.2]), which states that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}), G) \longrightarrow H^k(M; G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}), G) \longrightarrow 0.$$ (2.4)

In this paper the group $G$ will be either $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{T} = U(1)$ (the circle group). As $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ are divisible groups, we have $\text{Ext}(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Ext}(\cdot, \mathbb{T}) = 0$. Thus $H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R})$ and $H^k(M; \mathbb{T}) \cong \text{Hom}(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{T})$. However $\text{Ext}(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ and hence in general $H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \neq \text{Hom}(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$. Following the notations in [HLZ03], we denote the image of the Abelian group $\text{Ext}(H_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$ under the group homomorphism in (2.4) by $H^k_{\text{tor}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and call it the torsion subgroup. We further denote by $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) := H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})/H^k_{\text{tor}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ the associated free $k$-th cohomology group with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. By (2.4), the Abelian group $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$ and, by using the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we can regard $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ as a lattice in $H^k(M; \mathbb{R})$.

### 2.2 Differential characters

Let $M$ be a smooth manifold and denote by $\Omega^k(M)$ the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of smooth $k$-forms on $M$.

**Definition 2.1.** The Abelian group of degree $k$ differential characters$^1$ on $M$, with $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$, is defined by

$$\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ h \in \text{Hom}(Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{T}) : h \circ \partial \in \Omega^k(M) \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

By the notation $h \circ \partial \in \Omega^k(M)$ we mean that there exists $\omega_h \in \Omega^k(M)$ such that

$$h(\partial c) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \int_c \omega_h \right) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $c \in C_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$. We further define $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) := H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $0 \geq k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The Abelian group structure on $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is defined pointwise. As it will simplify the notations throughout this paper, we shall use an additive notation for the group structure on $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, even though this seems counterintuitive from the perspective of differential characters. Explicitly, we define the group operation $+$ on $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ by $(h + l)(z) := h(z)l(z)$ for all $h, l \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})$. The unit element $0 \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the constant homomorphism $0(z) = 1 \in \mathbb{T}$ and the inverse $-h$ is defined by $(-h)(z) := (h(z))^{-1}$ for all $z \in Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

There are various interesting group homomorphisms with the Abelian group $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ as target or source. The first one is obtained by observing that the form $\omega_h \in \Omega^k(M)$ in (2.6) is uniquely determined for any $h \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, $\omega_h$ is closed, i.e. $d\omega_h = 0$ with $d$ being the exterior differential, and it has integral periods, i.e. $\int_z \omega_h \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $z \in Z_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$. We denote the Abelian group of closed $k$-forms with integral periods by $\Omega^k_{\text{int}}(M) \subseteq \Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M) \subseteq \Omega^k(M)$, where $\Omega^k_{\text{int}}(M)$ is the subspace of closed $k$-forms. Hence we have found a group homomorphism

$$\text{curv} : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \Omega^k_{\text{int}}(M), \quad h \mapsto \text{curv}(h) = \omega_h, \quad (2.7)$$

which we call the curvature.

$^1$ We use the conventions in [BB13] for the degree $k$ of a differential character, which is shifted by $+1$ compared to the original definition [CS85].
We can also associate to each differential character its characteristic class, which is an element in \(H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})\). There exists a group homomorphism
\[
\text{char} : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})
\] called the characteristic class, which is constructed as follows: Since \(Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})\) is a free \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module, any \(h \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})\) has a real lift \(\tilde{h} \in \text{Hom}(Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R})\) such that \(h(z) = \exp(2\pi i \tilde{h}(z))\) for all \(z \in Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})\). We define a real valued \(k\)-cochain by \(\mu^h : C_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \ c \mapsto \int_c \text{curv}(h) - \tilde{h}(\partial c)\). It can be easily checked that \(\mu^h\) is a \(k\)-cocycle, i.e. \(\delta \mu^h = 0\), and that it takes values in \(\mathbb{Z}\). We define the class \(\text{char}(h) := [\mu^h] \in H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})\) and note that it is independent of the choice of lift \(\tilde{h}\) of \(h\).

It can be shown that the curvature and characteristic class maps are surjective, however, in general they are not injective [CS85]. This means that differential characters have further properties besides their curvature and characteristic class. In order to characterize these properties we shall define two further homomorphisms of Abelian groups with \(\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})\) as target: Firstly, the topological trivialization is the group homomorphism
\[
\iota : \frac{\Omega^{k-1}(M)}{\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{k-1}(M)} \rightarrow \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})
\] defined by \(\iota([\eta])(z) := \exp(2\pi i \int_z \eta)\) for all \([\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{k-1}(M)\) and \(z \in Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})\). This expression is well-defined since by definition \(\int_z \eta \in \mathbb{Z}\) for all \(\eta \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^{k-1}(M)\) and \(z \in Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})\). Secondly, the inclusion of flat classes is the group homomorphism
\[
\kappa : H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})
\] defined by \(\kappa(u)(z) := \langle u, [z]\rangle\) for all \(u \in H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T})\) and \(z \in Z_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})\), where \([z] \in H_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z})\) is the homology class of \(z\) and \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) is the pairing induced by the isomorphism \(H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T}) \simeq \text{Hom}(H_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{T})\) given by the universal coefficient theorem (2.4). (Recall that \(\mathbb{T}\) is divisible.)

As shown in [CS85, BH13], the various group homomorphisms defined above fit into a commutative diagram of short exact sequences.

**Theorem 2.2.** The following diagram of homomorphisms of Abelian groups commutes and its rows and columns are exact sequences:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{k-1}(M) & \rightarrow & d\Omega^{k-1}(M) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T}) & \rightarrow & \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & \text{curv} \: \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^k(M) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & H^k_{\text{tor}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
The Abelian group of differential characters $\tilde{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, as well as all other Abelian groups appearing in the diagram (2.11), are given by contravariant functors from the category of smooth manifolds $\text{Man}$ to the category of Abelian groups $\text{Ab}$. The morphisms appearing in the diagram (2.11) are natural transformations.

**Example 2.3.** The Abelian groups of differential characters $\tilde{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ can be interpreted as gauge orbit spaces of (higher) Abelian gauge theories, see e.g. [BB13, Examples 5.6–5.8] for mathematical details and [Sza12] for a discussion of physical applications.

1. In degree $k = 1$, the differential characters $\tilde{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ describe smooth $\mathbb{T}$-valued functions on $M$, i.e. $\tilde{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq C^\infty(M, \mathbb{T})$. The characteristic class in this case is the “winding number” of a smooth map $h \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{T})$ around the circle, while the curvature is $\text{curv}(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{d}\log h$. Physically the group $\tilde{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ describes the $\sigma$-model on $M$ with target space the circle $\mathbb{T}$.

2. In degree $k = 2$, the differential characters $\tilde{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ describe isomorphism classes of $\mathbb{T}$-bundles with connections $(P, \nabla)$ on $M$. The holonomy map associates to any one-cycle $z \in Z_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ a group element $h(z) \in \mathbb{T}$. This defines a differential character $h \in \tilde{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$, whose curvature is $\text{curv}(h) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} F_\nabla$ and whose characteristic class is the first Chern class of $P$. The topological trivialization $\iota : \Omega^1(M)/\Omega^1_2(M) \to \tilde{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ assigns to gauge equivalence classes of connections on the trivial $\mathbb{T}$-bundle their holonomy maps. The inclusion of flat classes $\kappa : H^1(M; \mathbb{T}) \to \tilde{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ assigns to isomorphism classes of flat bundle-connection pairs $(P, \nabla)$ their holonomy maps. Physically the group $\tilde{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ describes the ordinary Maxwell theory of electromagnetism.

3. In degree $k \geq 3$, the differential characters $\tilde{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ describe isomorphism classes of $k$–$2$-gerbes with connections, see e.g. [Hit01] for the case of usual gerbes, i.e. $k = 3$. These models are examples of higher Abelian gauge theories where the curvature is given by a $k$-form, and they physically arise in string theory, see e.g. [Sza12].

### 2.3 Differential cohomology theories

The functor describing Cheeger-Simons differential characters is a specific model of what is called a differential cohomology theory. There are also other explicit models for differential cohomology, as for example those obtained in smooth Deligne cohomology (see e.g. [Sza12]), the de Rham-Federer approach [HLZ03] making use of de Rham currents (i.e. distributional differential forms), and the seminal Hopkins-Singer model [HS05] which is based on differential cocycles and the homotopy theory of differential function spaces. These models also fit into the commutative diagram of exact sequences in (2.11). The extent to which (2.11) determines the $H^k$-model on $\text{Man}$ $\to \text{Ab}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and it turns out that they are uniquely determined (up to a unique natural isomorphism). This motivates the following

**Definition 2.4** ([BB13]). A differential cohomology theory is a contravariant functor $\tilde{H}^\ast(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Man} \to \text{Ab}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ from the category of smooth manifolds to the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Abelian groups, together with four natural transformations

- $\widetilde{\text{curv}} : \tilde{H}^\ast(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow \Omega^\ast_2(\cdot)$ (called curvature)
- $\widetilde{\text{char}} : \tilde{H}^\ast(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H^\ast(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$ (called characteristic class)
- $\iota : \Omega^{\ast-1}(\cdot)/\Omega^\ast_2(\cdot) \Rightarrow \tilde{H}^\ast(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$ (called topological trivialization)
- $\kappa : H^{\ast-1}(\cdot, \mathbb{T}) \Rightarrow \tilde{H}^\ast(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$ (called inclusion of flat classes)
such that for any smooth manifold $M$ the following diagram commutes and has exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & H^{*}(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \Omega^{*}(M) & d & d\Omega^{*}(M) & 0 \\
0 & H^{*}(M; T) & \tilde{H}^{*}(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \text{curv} & \Omega_{z}^{*}(M) & 0 \\
0 & H^{*}(M; \mathbb{Z}) & H^{*}(M; \mathbb{Z}) & H^{*}_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[\tag{2.12}\]

**Theorem 2.5** ([BB13 Theorems 5.11 and 5.14]).

For any differential cohomology theory $(\hat{H}^{*}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}), \text{curv}, \text{char}, \iota, \kappa)$ there exists a unique natural isomorphism $\Xi : \hat{H}^{*}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow \tilde{H}^{*}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ to differential characters such that

\[
\Xi \circ \iota = \iota, \quad \Xi \circ \tilde{\kappa} = \kappa, \quad \text{curv} \circ \Xi = \tilde{\text{curv}}, \quad \text{char} \circ \Xi = \tilde{\text{char}}. \tag{2.13}
\]

**Remark 2.6.** In order to simplify the notation we shall denote in the following any differential cohomology theory by $(\hat{H}^{*}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}), \text{curv}, \text{char}, \iota, \kappa)$.

### 3 Generalized Maxwell maps

Our main interest lies in understanding the classical and quantum field theory described by a differential cohomology theory $\hat{H}^{*}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Man} \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. For a clearer presentation we shall fix $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and study the differential cohomology groups of degree $k$, i.e. the contravariant functor $\hat{H}^{k}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Man} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. Furthermore, in order to formulate relativistic field equations which generalize Maxwell’s equations in degree $k = 2$, we shall restrict the category of smooth manifolds to a suitable category of globally hyperbolic spacetimes. A natural choice, see e.g. [BFV03, FY12, BG11, BGP07], is the following

**Definition 3.1.** The category $\text{Loc}^{m}$ consists of the following objects and morphisms:

- The objects $M$ in $\text{Loc}^{m}$ are orientated and time-oriented globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds, which are of dimension $m \geq 2$ and of finite-type.\(^{2}\) (For ease of notation we shall always suppress the orientation, time-orientation and Lorentzian metric.)

- The morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ in $\text{Loc}^{m}$ are orientation and time-orientation preserving isometric embeddings, such that the image $f[M] \subseteq N$ is causally compatible and open.

**Remark 3.2.** The curvature $\text{curv} : \hat{H}^{k}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Omega_{z}^{k}(M)$ is only non-trivial if the degree $k$ is less than or equal to the dimension $m$ of $M$. Hence when restricting the contravariant functor $\hat{H}^{k}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ to the category $\text{Loc}^{m}$ we shall always assume that $k \leq m$.

\(^{2}\) A manifold is of finite-type if it has a finite good cover, i.e. a finite cover by contractible open subsets such that all (multiple) overlaps are also contractible. This condition is not part of the original definition in [BFV03, FY12], however it is very useful for studying gauge theories as it makes available Poincaré duality. See also [BDHS13, BDS13] for similar issues.
When working on the category $\text{Loc}^m$ we have available a further natural transformation given by the codifferential $\delta : \Omega^p(\cdot) \mapsto \Omega^{p-1}(\cdot)$. Our conventions for the codifferential $\delta$ are as follows: Denoting by $*$ the Hodge operator, we define $\delta$ on $p$-forms by

$$\delta : \Omega^p(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{p-1}(M), \quad \omega \longmapsto (-1)^{m(p+1)} * d * \omega. \quad (3.1)$$

For any two forms $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega^p(M)$ with compactly overlapping support we have a natural indefinite inner product defined by

$$\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle := \int_M \omega \wedge * \omega'. \quad (3.2)$$

Then the codifferential $\delta$ is the formal adjoint of the differential $d$ with respect to this inner product, i.e., $\langle \delta \omega, \omega' \rangle = \langle \omega, d \omega' \rangle$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^p(M)$ and $\omega' \in \Omega^{p-1}(M)$ with compactly overlapping support.

**Definition 3.3.** The (generalized) Maxwell map is the natural transformation

$$\text{MW} := \delta \circ \text{curv} : \hat{H}^k(\cdot ; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(\cdot). \quad (3.3)$$

For any object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$, the solution subgroup in $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is defined as the kernel of the Maxwell map,

$$\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M) := \left\{ h \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) : \text{MW}(h) = \delta(\text{curv}(h)) = 0 \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

**Lemma 3.4.** $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a subfunctor of $\hat{H}^k(\cdot ; \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Loc}^m \rightarrow \text{Ab}$.

**Proof.** Let $M$ be any object in $\text{Loc}^m$. Then clearly $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)$ is a subgroup of $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, since $\text{MW}$ is a homomorphism of Abelian groups. Let now $f : M \rightarrow N$ be any $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism. We have to show that $\hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z}) : \hat{H}^k(N; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ restricts to an Abelian morphism $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(N) \rightarrow \hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)$. This follows from naturality of $\text{MW}$: for any $h \in \hat{\text{Sol}}^k(N)$ we have $\text{MW}(\hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z})(h)) = \Omega^{k-1}(f)(\text{MW}(h)) = 0$, hence $\hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z})(h) \in \hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)$. \qed

**Remark 3.5.** For any $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ we shall denote the restriction of $\hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z})$ to $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(N)$ by $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(f) : \hat{\text{Sol}}^k(N) \rightarrow \hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)$.

The next goal is to restrict the diagram (2.12) to the solution subgroup $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M) \subseteq \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Let us denote by $\Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}, \hat{\ell}}(M)$ the Abelian group of closed and coclosed $k$-forms with integral periods. From the definition of the solution subgroups (3.4) it is clear that the middle horizontal sequence in (2.12) restricts to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T}) \stackrel{\kappa}{\longrightarrow} \hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M) \xrightarrow{\text{curv}} \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}, \hat{\ell}}(M) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.5)$$

In order to restrict the complete diagram (2.12) to the solution subgroups we need the following

**Lemma 3.6.** The inverse image of $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)$ under the topological trivialization $\iota$ is given by

$$\text{Sol}^k(M) := \iota^{-1}(\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)) = \left\{ [\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}(M) / \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}, \hat{\ell}}(M) : \delta d \eta = 0 \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

**Proof.** This follows immediately from the commutative square in the upper right corner of the diagram (2.12): the equivalence class $[\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}(M) / \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}, \hat{\ell}}(M)$ maps under $\iota$ to $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)$ if and only if $d \eta$ is coclosed. \qed

---

We have denoted the codifferential by the same symbol as the coboundary maps in singular cohomology. It should be clear from the context to which of these maps the symbol $\delta$ refers to.
Denoting by \((d\Omega^{k-1})_\delta(M)\) the space of exact \(k\)-forms which are also coclosed, we obtain

**Theorem 3.7.** The following diagram commutes and has exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Sol}^k(M) & \longrightarrow & (d\Omega^{k-1})_\delta(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{T}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Sol}^k(M) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_k^\delta(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^k_{\text{top}}(M;\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(M;\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^k_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\tag{3.7}
\]

**Proof.** The only nontrivial step is to show that \(\text{char} : \mathfrak{Sol}^k(M) \rightarrow H^k(M;\mathbb{Z})\) is surjective. Let \(u \in H^k(M;\mathbb{Z})\) be any cohomology class. By the middle vertical exact sequence in (2.12) there exists \(h \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})\) such that \(\text{char}(h) = u\). Note that \(h\) is not necessarily an element in \(\mathfrak{Sol}^k(M)\), i.e. in general \(0 \neq MW(h) \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)\). Let us now take \([\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)\) and note that the characteristic class of \(h' := h + \iota([\eta]) \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})\) is again \(u\) as \(\iota\) maps to the kernel of \(\text{char}\). We now show that \([\eta]\) can be chosen such that \(MW(h') = 0\), which completes the proof. By posing \(MW(h') = 0\) as a condition we obtain the partial differential equation

\[
0 = MW(h) + MW(\iota([\eta])) = MW(h) + \delta d\eta,
\tag{3.8}
\]

where \(\eta \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)\) is any representative of the class \([\eta]\). As the inhomogeneity \(MW(h) = \delta(\text{curv}(h))\) is coexact, there always exists a solution \(\eta\) to the equation \((3.8)\), see e.g. [SDH14] Section 2.3. \(\square\)

**Remark 3.8.** In the context of compact Riemannian manifolds, a result similar to Theorem 3.7 is proven in [GM09]. They consider harmonic differential characters on a compact Riemannian manifold, i.e. differential characters with harmonic curvature forms, and prove that these fit into exact sequences similar to the ones in (3.7). However, the proof in [GM09] relies on the theory of elliptic partial differential equations and therefore differs from our proof of Theorem 3.7, which uses the theory of hyperbolic partial differential equations. In particular, the results of [GM09] do not imply our results.

We say that a \(\text{Loc}^m\)-morphism \(f : M \rightarrow N\) is a Cauchy morphism if its image \(f[M]\) contains a Cauchy surface of \(N\). The following statement proves that local solutions to the generalized Maxwell equation (or more precisely solutions local in time) uniquely extend to global solutions, i.e. that \(\text{MW}\) imposes a deterministic dynamical law on \(\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})\).

**Theorem 3.9.** If \(f : M \rightarrow N\) is a Cauchy morphism, then \(\mathfrak{Sol}^k(f) : \mathfrak{Sol}^k(N) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Sol}^k(M)\) is an \(\text{Ab}\)-isomorphism.

**Proof.** Let us start with a simple observation: Any \(\text{Loc}^m\)-morphism \(f : M \rightarrow N\) can be factorized as \(f = \iota_N \circ f[M] \circ f\), where \(f : M \rightarrow f[M]\) is the \(\text{Loc}^m\)-isomorphism given by restricting \(f\) to its image and \(\iota_N : f[M] \rightarrow N\) is the \(\text{Loc}^m\)-morphism given by the canonical inclusion of subsets. As functors map isomorphisms to isomorphisms, it is sufficient to prove that for any
object \( N \) in \( \text{Loc}^m \) and any causally compatible, open and globally hyperbolic subset \( O \subseteq N \) that contains a Cauchy surface of \( N \), the canonical inclusion \( \iota_{N,O} : O \rightarrow N \) is mapped to an Abelian group \( \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O}), \mathcal{O}(N) : \mathcal{O}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(O)) \).

We first prove injectivity. Let \( h \in \mathcal{O}(N) \) be any element in the kernel of \( \mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O}) \). Applying \( \text{char} \) implies that \( \text{char}(h) \) lies in the kernel of \( H^k(\iota_{N,O}; \mathbb{Z}) : H^k(N; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(O; \mathbb{Z}) \), which is an isomorphism since \( O \) and \( N \) are both homotopic to their common Cauchy surface. As a consequence \( \text{char}(h) = 0 \) and by Theorem 3.7 there exists \([\eta] \in \mathcal{O}(N) \) such that \( h = \iota([\eta]) \). Since \( \iota \) is natural and injective, this implies that \([\eta] \) lies in the kernel of \( \mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O}) \).

To prove surjectivity, let \( \iota \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O}), \mathcal{O}(N) : \mathcal{O}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(O)) \) be arbitrary. By Ben14, Theorem 7.8 there exist forms \( \alpha \in \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \) and \( \beta \in \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \) that are homologous to the support condition \( \delta \eta|_O = 0 \) and \( \delta \eta|_O = 0 \). By Ben14, Theorem 7.8 there exist \( \alpha \in \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \) and \( \beta \in \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \) of timelike compact support such that \( \eta|_O = G(\delta \alpha + d \beta) \). Here \( G := G^+ - G^- : \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \rightarrow \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \) is the unique retarded-minus-advanced Green's operator for the Hodge-d'Alembert operator \( \square := \delta \delta + d \delta : \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \rightarrow \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \), see [Ben14]. Since \( O \subseteq N \) contains a Cauchy surface of \( N \), any form of timelike compact support on \( O \) can be extended by zero to a form of timelike compact support on \( N \) (denoted with a slight abuse of notation by the same symbol). Hence there exists \( \rho \in \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(N) \) satisfying \( \rho|_O = 0 \) such that \( \eta = G(\delta \alpha + d \beta) + \rho \) on all of \( N \). As \( \eta \) satisfies \( \delta \eta = 0 \) and the Lorenz gauge condition \( \delta \eta = 0 \), it also satisfies \( \delta \eta = 0 \). Since also \( \square G(\delta \alpha + d \beta) = 0 \), we obtain \( \square \rho = 0 \), which together with the support condition \( \rho|_O = 0 \) implies \( \rho = 0 \). So \( \eta = G(\delta \alpha + d \beta) \) on all of \( N \) and it remains to prove that \( \eta \in \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(N) \).

We now prove surjectivity. Let \( l \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O}), \mathcal{O}(N) : \mathcal{O}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(O)) \) be arbitrary and consider its characteristic class \( \iota(l) \in H^k(O; \mathbb{Z}) \). As we have explained above, \( H^k(\iota_{N,O}; \mathbb{Z}) : H^k(N; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(O; \mathbb{Z}) \) is an isomorphism, hence by using also Theorem 3.7 we can find \( h \in \mathcal{O}(N) \) such that \( \text{char}(l) = H^k(\iota_{N,O}; \mathbb{Z})(\text{char}(h)) = \text{char}(\mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O})(h)) \). Again by Theorem 3.7 there exists \([\eta] \in \mathcal{O}(N) \) such that \( l = \mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O})(h) + \iota([\eta]) \). By Ben14, Theorem 7.4 the equivalence class \([\eta] \) has a representative \( \eta \in \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \) which is of the form \( \eta = G(\alpha) \) for some \( \alpha \in \Omega^k_{tc,\delta}(O) \). We can extend \( \alpha \) by zero (denoted with a slight abuse of notation by the same symbol) and define \( [G(\alpha)] \in \mathcal{O}(N) \). Since \( [\eta] = \mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O})([G(\alpha)]) \) we have \( l = \mathcal{O}(\iota_{N,O})(h) + \iota([\eta]) \). This yields \( \iota(h) = \iota(l) \).

4 Smooth Pontryagin duality

Let \( \hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}), \text{curv}, \text{char}, \iota, k \) be a differential cohomology theory and let us consider its restriction \( \hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Loc}^m \rightarrow \text{Ab}^k \) to degree \( k \geq 1 \) and to the category \( \text{Loc}^m \) with \( m \geq k \). For an Abelian group \( G \), the character group is defined by \( G^* := \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \), where \( \text{Hom} \) denotes the homomorphisms of Abelian groups. Since the circle group \( \mathbb{T} \) is divisible, the Hom-functor \( \text{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{T}) \) preserves exact sequences. Hence we can dualize the degree \( k \) component of the
diagram (2.12) and obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{k}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z})^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{k}(M;\mathbb{Z})^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{k}_{\text{tor}}(M;\mathbb{Z})^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{char}^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{k}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)^* & \xrightarrow{\text{curv}^*} & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{k}(M;\mathbb{Z})^* & \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} & \mathcal{H}^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{T})^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \iota^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (d\Omega^{k-1}(M))^* & \xrightarrow{d^*} & \left(\Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^{\leq}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)\right)^* & \xrightarrow{\left(\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{H}^{\nu}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z})\right)^*} & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]  

(4.1)

The diagram (4.1) contains the character groups of \(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{k}(M;\mathbb{Z})\) and of various groups of differential forms, whose generic elements are too singular for our purposes. We shall use a strategy similar to [HLZ03] (called smooth Pontryagin duality) in order to identify suitable subgroups of such character groups, which describe regular group characters. In order to explain the construction of the smooth Pontryagin duals of the Abelian group \(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{k}(M;\mathbb{Z})\) and the various groups of differential forms, let us first notice that there exists an injective homomorphism of Abelian groups \(\mathcal{W}: \Omega^{0}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p}(M)^*\), \(\phi \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{\phi}\), from the space of compactly supported p-forms \(\Omega^{p}_{0}(M)\) to the character group of the p-forms \(\Omega^{p}(M)\). For any \(\phi \in \Omega^{p}_{0}(M)\), the character \(\mathcal{W}_{\phi}\) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{W}_{\phi} : \Omega^{p}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \omega \mapsto \exp \left(2\pi i \langle \phi, \omega \rangle\right) = \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{M} \phi \wedge \ast \omega\right).
\]  

(4.2)

With this homomorphism we can regard \(\Omega^{0}_{0}(M)\) as a subgroup of \(\Omega^{p}(M)^*\) and we shall simply write \(\Omega^{0}_{0}(M) \subseteq \Omega^{p}(M)^*\), suppressing the map \(\mathcal{W}\) when there is no risk of confusion. We say that \(\Omega^{p}(M)^*_{\mathbb{T}} := \Omega^{0}_{0}(M) \subseteq \Omega^{p}(M)^*\) is the smooth Pontryagin dual of the p-forms. It is important to notice that the smooth Pontryagin dual separates points of \(\Omega^{p}(M)\) since \(\mathcal{W}_{\phi}(\omega) = 1\) for all \(\phi \in \Omega^{0}_{0}(M)\) if and only if \(\omega = 0\).

We next come to the smooth Pontryagin dual of the Abelian group \(\Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^{\leq}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)\). A smooth group character \(\phi \in \Omega^{k-1}(M) = \Omega^{k-1}(M)^{*}_{\mathbb{T}}\) induces to this quotient if and only if \(\mathcal{W}_{\phi}(\omega) = 1\) for all \(\omega \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)\). Hence we have to understand the vanishing subgroups of differential forms with integral periods,

\[
\mathcal{V}^{p}(M) := \{ \phi \in \Omega^{0}_{0}(M) : \mathcal{W}_{\phi}(\omega) = 1 \ \forall \omega \in \Omega^{p}_{0}(M) \}.
\]  

(4.3)

To give an explicit characterization of the subgroups \(\mathcal{V}^{p}(M)\) we require some prerequisites: Any coclosed and compactly supported p-form \(\phi \in \Omega^{p}_{0,\delta}(M)\) defines via the pairing \(\langle [\phi], [\omega] \rangle\) a linear map \(\langle \phi, \cdot \rangle : \Omega^{0}_{0}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \ \omega \mapsto \langle \phi, \omega \rangle = \langle [\phi], [\omega] \rangle\), which depends only on the de Rham class \([\omega] \in \Omega^{0}_{0}(M)/d\Omega^{p-1}(M)\) of \(\omega\) and the compactly supported dual de Rham class \([\phi] \in \Omega^{p}_{0,\delta}(M)/d\Omega^{p+1}_{0,\delta}(M)\) of \(\phi\). By Poincaré duality and de Rham’s theorem, we can naturally identify the compactly supported dual de Rham cohomology group \(\Omega^{p}_{0,\delta}(M)/d\Omega^{p+1}_{0,\delta}(M)\) with the dual vector space \(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(H^{p}(M;\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})\). As \(H^{p}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z})\) is a lattice in \(H^{p}(M;\mathbb{R})\), we have the subgroup \(H^{p}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z})' := \text{Hom}(H^{p}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})\) of \(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(H^{p}(M;\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})\). (By ' we denote the dual \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module.) We then write \(\langle [\phi], [\cdot] \rangle\) restricts (under the isomorphisms above) to a homomorphism of Abelian groups \(H^{p}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\).
Lemma 4.1. \( \mathcal{V}^p(M) = \{ \varphi \in \Omega^p_0(M) : [\varphi] \in H^p_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})' \} \).

Proof. We first show the inclusion “\( \supseteq \)”: Let \( \varphi \in \Omega^p_0(M) \) be cocolored, i.e. \( \delta \varphi = 0 \), and such that \( \langle [\varphi], \cdot \rangle \) restricts to a homomorphism of Abelian groups \( H^p_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \). For any \( \omega \in \Omega^p_0(M) \) we have

\[
\mathcal{W}_\varphi(\omega) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle \varphi, \omega \rangle \right) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle [\varphi], [\omega] \rangle \right) = 1,
\]

where in the second equality we have used the fact that the pairing depends only on the equivalence classes and in the last equality we have used \( [\omega] \in H^p_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) via the de Rham isomorphism.

Let us now show the inclusion “\( \subseteq \)”: Let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{V}^p(M) \). As \( d\Omega^{p-1}(M) \subseteq \Omega^p_0(M) \) we obtain \( \mathcal{W}_\varphi(d\eta) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle \varphi, d\eta \rangle \right) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle \delta \varphi, \eta \rangle \right) = 1 \) for all \( \eta \in \Omega^{p-1}(M) \), which implies that \( \delta \varphi = 0 \) and hence \( \varphi \in \Omega^p_0(M) \). For any \( \omega \in \Omega^p_0(M) \) we obtain \( \mathcal{W}_\varphi(\omega) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle [\varphi], [\omega] \rangle \right) = 1 \), and hence \( [\varphi] \in H^p_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})' \).

Motivated by the definition (4.3) we define the smooth Pontryagin dual of the quotient group \( \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^{k-1}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \) by

\[
\left( \frac{\Omega^{k-1}(M)}{\Omega^{k-1}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)} \right)_* := \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M).
\]

Lemma 4.2. The smooth Pontryagin dual \( \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) \) separates points of \( \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^{k-1}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \).

Proof. Let \( \eta \in \Omega^{k-1}(M) \) be such that \( \mathcal{W}_\varphi(\eta) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle \varphi, \eta \rangle \right) = 1 \) for all \( \varphi \in \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) \). We need to prove that \( \eta \) is closed and has integral periods, which implies that \( \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) \) separates points of the quotient \( \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^{k-1}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \). Since by Lemma 4.1 we have \( \delta \Omega^k(M) \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) \), we obtain in particular the condition \( 1 = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle \delta \xi, \eta \rangle \right) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle \xi, d\eta \rangle \right) \) for all \( \xi \in \Omega^k(M) \), which implies \( d\eta = 0 \). For any \( \varphi \in \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) \) we then get the condition

\[
1 = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle \varphi, \eta \rangle \right) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle [\varphi], [\eta] \rangle \right)
\]

for all \( [\varphi] \in H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})' \), which implies that the de Rham class \( [\eta] \) defines an element in the double dual \( (H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}))'' \) of \( H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \). As \( H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is finitely generated (by our assumption that \( M \) is of finite-type) and free, its double dual \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module is isomorphic to itself, hence the class \( [\eta] \) defines an element in \( H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) and as a consequence \( \eta \) has integral periods.

Using further the natural isomorphism (see e.g. [HLZ03, Lemma 5.1])

\[
\left( \frac{H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{R})}{H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})} \right)^* \simeq \text{Hom}(H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) = H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})',
\]

we observe that the restriction of the lowest row of the diagram (4.1) to smooth Pontryagin duals reads as

\[
0 \longrightarrow \delta \Omega^0(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})' \longrightarrow 0
\]

with the dual group homomorphisms

\[
\delta \Omega^0(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M), \quad \delta \eta \mapsto \delta \eta,
\]

\[
\mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})', \quad \varphi \mapsto [\varphi].
\]
Here we have implicitly used the injective homomorphism of Abelian groups \( W : \delta\Omega^k_0(M) \to (d\Omega^{k-1}(M))^* \), \( \delta\zeta \to W\delta\zeta \), defined by

\[
W\delta\zeta : d\Omega^{k-1}(M) \to \mathbb{T}, \quad d\eta \mapsto \exp\left(2\pi i \langle \zeta, d\eta \rangle \right).
\]  

(4.10)

We suppress this group homomorphism and call \( (d\Omega^{k-1}(M))^*_\infty := \delta\Omega^k_0(M) \subseteq (d\Omega^{k-1}(M))^* \) the smooth Pontryagin dual of \( d\Omega^{k-1}(M) \). The smooth Pontryagin dual \( \delta\Omega^k_0(M) \) separates points of \( d\Omega^{k-1}(M) \): if \( \exp(2\pi i \langle \zeta, d\eta \rangle) = 1 \) for all \( \zeta \in \Omega^k_0(M) \), then \( d\eta = 0 \). Exactness of the sequence (4.8) is an easy check.

We now define the smooth Pontryagin dual of the differential cohomology group \( \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) by the inverse image

\[
\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*_\infty := \iota^{-1}(\nu^{k-1}(M)) .
\]  

(4.11)

Furthermore, by (4.3) it is natural to set \( (\Omega^k_0(M))^*_\infty := \Omega^k_0(M)/\nu^k(M) \), as in this way we divide out from the smooth group characters on \( \Omega^k(M) \) exactly those which are trivial on \( \Omega^k_0(M) \). The diagram (4.1) restricts as follows to the smooth Pontryagin duals.

**Theorem 4.3.** The following diagram commutes and has exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^* & \to & H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^* & \to & H^k_{\text{tor}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^* & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{char}^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & \Omega^k_0(M) & \to & \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*_\infty & \to & H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T})^* & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \iota^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & \delta\Omega^k_0(M) & \to & \nu^k(M) & \to & H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})' & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

(4.12)

*Proof.* By the constructions above and (4.1), we have the following commutative subdiagram with exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^* & \to & H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^* & \to & H^k_{\text{tor}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^* & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{char}^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*_\infty & \to & H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T})^* & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \iota^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & \delta\Omega^k_0(M) & \to & \nu^k(M) & \to & H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})' & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

(4.13)

and it remains to prove that it extends to the diagram of exact sequences in (4.12).
Let us first focus on the left column in (4.12). By (4.11), there exists an injective group homomorphism $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \to \Omega^k_2(M)^*$ and we have to show that its image lies in the smooth Pontryagin dual $\Omega^k_0(M)/\mathcal{V}^k(M)$ of $\Omega^k_2(M)$. The character group $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ is isomorphic to the quotient $\text{Hom}_\mathbb{R}(H^k(M; \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})/H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$. Under this identification, the group homomorphism $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \to \Omega^k_2(M)^*$ maps $\psi \in \text{Hom}_\mathbb{R}(H^k(M; \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$ to the group character on $\Omega^k_2(M)$ given by

$$
\Omega^k_2(M) \longrightarrow T, \quad \omega \mapsto \exp(2\pi i \psi([\omega])) = \exp(2\pi i \langle \varphi_\omega, \omega \rangle).
$$

(4.14)

In the last equality we have used the fact that, by Poincaré duality and de Rham’s theorem, there exists $\varphi_\omega \in \Omega^k_0(M)$ such that $\psi([\omega]) = \langle \varphi_\omega, \omega \rangle$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^k_2(M)$. Hence the image of $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \to \Omega^k_2(M)^*$ lies in the smooth Pontryagin dual $\Omega^k_0(M)/\mathcal{V}^k(M)$ of $\Omega^k_2(M)$. Exactness of the corresponding sequence (the left column in (4.12)) is an easy check.

It remains to understand the middle horizontal sequence in (4.12). From the commutative square in the lower left corner of (4.11) and the definition (4.11), we find that $\text{curv}^* : \Omega^k_2(M)^* \to \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ restricts to the smooth Pontryagin duals: by commutativity of this square, $\iota^* \circ \text{curv}^*$ maps the smooth Pontryagin dual $\Omega^k_0(M)/\mathcal{V}^k(M)$ of $\Omega^k_2(M)$ into the smooth Pontryagin dual $\mathcal{V}^k(M)$ of $\Omega^k_2(M)$, and thus $\text{curv}^*$ maps $\Omega^k_0(M)/\mathcal{V}^k(M)$ to $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ by the definition (4.11). We therefore get the middle horizontal sequence in (4.12) and it remains to prove that it is exact everywhere. As the restriction of an injective group homomorphism, $\text{curv}^* : \Omega^k_0(M)/\mathcal{V}^k(M) \to \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ is injective. Next, we prove exactness of the middle part of this sequence by using what we already know about the diagram (4.12). Let $w \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ be such that $\kappa^*(w) = 0$. As a consequence of the commutative square in the lower right corner and exactness of the middle horizontal sequence in this diagram, there exists $\varphi \in \Omega^k(M)$ such that $\iota^*(w) = \delta \varphi$. We can use $\varphi$ to define an element $[\varphi] \in \Omega^k_0(M)/\mathcal{V}^k(M)$. By the commutative square in the lower left corner we have $\iota^*(w - \text{curv}^*([\varphi])) = 0$, hence by exactness of the middle vertical sequence there exists $\phi \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $w = \text{curv}^*([\varphi]) + \text{char}^* (\phi)$. Applying $\kappa^*$ yields $0 = \kappa^* (\text{char}^* (\phi))$, which by the commutative square in the upper right corner and exactness of the right vertical and upper horizontal sequences implies that $\phi$ has a preimage $\tilde{\phi} \in H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$. Finally, the commutative square in the upper left corner implies that $\text{char}^* (\phi)$ is in fact in the image of $\text{curv}^*$ (restricted to the smooth Pontryagin dual), and hence $\phi$ is $w$. It remains to prove that $\kappa^* : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \to \hat{H}^{k-1}(M; T)^*$ is surjective, which follows from a similar argument based on what we already know about the diagram (4.12). Let $\phi \in \hat{H}^{k-1}(M; T)^*$ and consider its image $\hat{\phi} \in H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ under the group homomorphism in the right column in this diagram. Since $\mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) \to H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ and $\iota^* : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \to \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M)$ are surjective, there exists $w \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ which maps under the composition of these morphisms to $\phi$. Hence by the commutative square in the lower left corner we have $\kappa^* (w) - \tilde{\phi} = 0$, which by exactness of the right vertical sequence implies that there exists $\psi \in H^k_{\text{tor}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $\phi = \kappa^*(w) + \psi$, where $\psi \in H^{k-1}(M; T)^*$ is the image of $\psi$ under the group homomorphism $H^k_{\text{tor}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \to \hat{H}^{k-1}(M; T)^*$. By exactness of the upper horizontal sequence, $\psi$ has a preimage $\tilde{\psi} \in H^{k}(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$, and by the commutative square in the upper right corner we get $\tilde{\psi} = \kappa^* (\text{char}^* (\tilde{\psi}))$. This proves surjectivity since $\phi = \kappa^*(w')$ with $w' = w + \text{char}^* (\psi) \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$. 

\[ \Box \]

It remains to study two important points: Firstly, we may ask whether the association of the Abelian groups $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ to objects in $\text{Loc}^m$ is functorial and, secondly, we still have to

---

4 The isomorphism $\text{Hom}_\mathbb{R}(H^k(M; \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})/H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})^*$ is constructed as follows: Given any $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\psi : H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$, we define a group character on $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq H^k(M; \mathbb{R})$ by $\exp(2\pi i \psi (\cdot))$. This association is surjective since, as $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a free Abelian group, any character $\phi : H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}$ has a real lift $\tilde{\phi} : H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $\tilde{\phi}(\cdot) = \exp(2\pi i \tilde{\phi}(\cdot))$, which further has an $\mathbb{R}$-linear extension to $H^k(M; \mathbb{R})$. The kernel of this association is exactly $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$. 
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prove that $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty$ separates points of $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Let us start with the second point:

**Proposition 4.4.** The smooth Pontryagin dual $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty$ separates points of $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

**Proof.** Let $\omega \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $w(h) = 1$ for all $w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty$. Due to the group homomorphism $\varphi \colon H^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^* \to \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty$ we have in particular

$$1 = \varphi(h) = \varphi(h)$$

(4.15)

for all $\phi \in H^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*$. As the character group $H^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*$ separates points of $H^k(M;\mathbb{Z})$ we obtain $\varphi(h) = 0$ and hence by (2.12) there exists $[\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ such that $h = \iota([\eta])$. The original condition $w(h) = 1$ for all $w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty$ now reduces to

$$1 = w(\iota([\eta])) = \exp(2\pi i \langle \iota^* w, [\eta] \rangle)$$

(4.16)

for all $w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty$. Using (4.12) the homomorphism $\iota^* : \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty \to \gamma^{k-1}(M)$ is surjective, and hence by Lemma 4.2 we find $[\eta] = 0$. As a consequence, $h = \iota(0) = 0$ and the result follows. 

We shall now address functoriality: First, recall that the compactly supported $p$-forms are given by a covariant functor $\Omega^p_V(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$. (In fact, this functor maps to the category of real vector spaces. We shall however forget the multiplication by scalars and only consider the Abelian group structure given by $+$ on compactly supported $p$-forms.) Explicitly, to any object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$ the functor associates the Abelian group $\Omega^p_V(M)$ and to any $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism $f : M \to N$ the functor associates the $\text{Ab}$-morphism given by the push-forward (i.e. extension by zero) $\Omega^p_V(f) := f_* : \Omega^p_V(M) \to \Omega^p_V(N)$. Notice that $\gamma^p(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$ is a subfunctor of $\Omega^p_V(\cdot)$: using the definition (4.3) we find

$$\mathcal{W}_{f_*(\varphi)}(\omega) = \exp(2\pi i \langle f_*(\varphi), \omega \rangle) = \exp(2\pi i \langle \varphi, f^*(\omega) \rangle) = 1$$

(4.17)

for any $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism $f : M \to N$, and for all $\varphi \in \gamma^p(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^p_V(N)$, where $f^*$ denotes the pull-back of differential forms. In the last equality we have used the fact that closed $p$-forms with integral periods on $N$ are pulled-back under $f$ to such forms on $M$. Thus in the diagram (4.12) we can regard $\Omega^p_V(\cdot)/\gamma^k(\cdot)$, $\delta\Omega^p_V(\cdot)$ and $\gamma^k(\cdot)$ as covariant functors from $\text{Loc}^m$ to $\text{Ab}$. Furthermore, as a consequence of being the character groups (or dual $\mathbb{Z}$-modules) of Abelian groups given by contravariant functors from $\text{Loc}^m$ to $\text{Ab}$, we can also regard $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*$, $H^k_{\text{tor}}(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*$, $H^k_{\text{free}}(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*$, $H^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*$, $H^k_{\text{tor}}(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*$, $H^k_{\text{free}}(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*$ as covariant functors from $\text{Loc}^m$ to $\text{Ab}$. (Indeed, they are just given by composing the corresponding contravariant functors of degree $k$ in (2.12) with the contravariant Hom-functor $\text{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{T})$ in case of the character groups or with $\text{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$ in case of the dual $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.) By the same argument, the full character groups $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*$ of $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})$ are given by a covariant functor $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^* : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$.

**Proposition 4.5.** The smooth Pontryagin dual $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty$ is a subfunctor of $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^* : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$. Furthermore, (4.13) is a diagram of natural transformations.

**Proof.** Let $f : M \to N$ be any $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism. Restricting $\hat{H}^k(f;\mathbb{Z})^* : \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^* \to \hat{H}^k(N;\mathbb{Z})^*$ to the smooth Pontryagin dual $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty$, we obtain by naturality of the (unrestricted) morphism $\iota^*$ the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & \hat{H}^k(N;\mathbb{Z})^* \\
\downarrow \iota^* & & \downarrow \iota^* \\
\gamma^{k-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{k-1}(f)} & \gamma^{k-1}(N)
\end{array}$$

(4.18)
Hence the image of $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$ under $\hat{H}^k(f;\mathbb{Z})^\ast$ is contained in the inverse image of $\mathcal{V}^{k-1}(N)$ under $\iota^\ast$, which is by the definition \[4.11\] the smooth Pontryagin dual $\hat{H}^k(N;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$. Thus $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$ is a subfunctor of $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^\ast$.

Finally, \[4.12\] is a diagram of natural transformations since it is the restriction to smooth Pontryagin duals of the diagram \[4.1\] of natural transformations, which is given by acting with the Hom-functor $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot,\mathbb{T})$ on the degree $k$ component of the natural diagram \[2.12\].

**Remark 4.6.** For any $\mathrm{Loc}^m$-morphism $f : M \to N$ we shall denote the restriction of $\hat{H}^k(f;\mathbb{Z})^\ast$ to $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$ by $\hat{H}^k(f;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty : \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty \to \hat{H}^k(N;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$.

## 5 Presymplectic Abelian group functors

As a preparatory step towards the quantization of the smooth Pontryagin dual $\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty : \mathrm{Loc}^m \to \mathrm{Ab}$ of a degree $k$ differential cohomology theory we have to equip the Abelian groups $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$ with a natural presymplectic structure $\hat{\tau} : \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty \times \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty \to \mathbb{R}$. A useful selection criterion for these structures is given by Peierls’ construction \[Pei52\] that allows us to derive a Poisson bracket which can be used as a presymplectic structure on $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$. We shall now explain this construction in some detail, referring to \[BDS13\] Remark 3.5 where a similar construction is done for connections on a fixed $\mathbb{T}$-bundle.

Let $M$ be any object in $\mathrm{Loc}^m$. Recall that any element $w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$ is a group character, i.e. a homomorphism of Abelian groups $w : \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{T}$ to the circle group $\mathbb{T}$. Using the inclusion $\mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the circle group into the complex numbers of modulus one, we may regard $w$ as a complex-valued functional, i.e. $w : \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}$. We use the following notion of functional derivative, which we derive in Appendix $\mathcal{A}$ from a Fréchet-Lie group structure on $\hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

**Definition 5.1.** For any $w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$ considered as a complex-valued functional $w : \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}$, the **functional derivative** of $w$ at $h \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})$ along the vector $[\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)/d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$ (if it exists) is defined by

$$w^{(1)}(h)[[\eta]] := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{w(h + i(\epsilon \eta)) - w(h)}{\epsilon}, \quad (5.1)$$

where we have suppressed the projection $\Omega^{k-1}(M)/d\Omega^{k-2}(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ that is induced by the identity on $\Omega^{k-1}(M)$.

**Proposition 5.2.** For any $w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^\ast_\infty$, $h \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})$ and $[\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)/d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$ the functional derivative exists and reads as

$$w^{(1)}(h)[[\eta]] = 2\pi i \, w(h) \, \langle \iota^\ast(w), [\eta] \rangle. \quad (5.2)$$

**Proof.** We compute \[5.1\] explicitly to get

$$w^{(1)}(h)[[\eta]] = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{w(h) \, w(i(\epsilon \eta)) - w(h)}{\epsilon} = w(h) \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i \, \langle \iota^\ast(w), [\epsilon \eta] \rangle}{\epsilon} \right) - 1 = w(h) \, 2\pi i \, \langle \iota^\ast(w), [\eta] \rangle. \quad (5.3)$$

In the first equality we have used the fact that $w$ is a homomorphism of Abelian groups and in the second equality the group homomorphism \[4.2\].
To work out Peierls’ construction we need a Lagrangian, which we take to be the generalized Maxwell Lagrangian

\[ L(h) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{curv}(h) \wedge \ast (\text{curv}(h)) , \] (5.4)

where \( \lambda > 0 \) is a “coupling” constant and the factor \( \frac{1}{2} \) is purely conventional. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation coincides (up to the factor \( \lambda \)) with the Maxwell map defined in Section \( \square \), so they have the same solution subgroups. Given any solution \( h \in \mathfrak{g} - \mathfrak{k}(M) \) of the Euler-Lagrange equation \( \lambda \delta(\text{curv}(h)) = 0 \), Peierls’ proposal is to study the retarded/advanced effect of a functional \( w \) on this solution. Adapted to our setting, we shall introduce a formal parameter \( \varepsilon \) and search for \( \eta^\pm_w \in \Omega^{k-1}(M) \) such that \( h^\pm_w := h + \iota(\varepsilon \eta^\pm_w) \) solves the partial differential equation

\[ \lambda \delta(\text{curv}(h^\pm_w)) + \varepsilon w^{(1)}(h^\pm_w) = 0 \] (5.5)

up to first order in \( \varepsilon \) and such that \( \eta^\pm_w \) satisfies a suitable asymptotic condition to be stated below. Expanding (5.5) to first order in \( \varepsilon \) (and using \( \delta(\text{curv}(h)) = 0 \)) yields the inhomogeneous equation

\[ \lambda \delta \mathrm{d} \eta^\pm_w + 2\pi i w(h) \iota^*(w) = 0 . \] (5.6)

The requisite asymptotic condition on \( \eta^\pm_w \) is as follows: There exist small gauge transformations \( \mathrm{d} \chi^\pm \in \Omega^{k-2}(M) \) and Cauchy surfaces \( \Sigma^\pm_w \) in \( M \) such that

\[ (\eta^\pm_w + \mathrm{d} \chi^\pm)|_{J^+_M(\Sigma^\pm_w)} = 0 , \] (5.7)

where \( J^+_M(A) \) denotes the causal future/past of a subset \( A \subseteq M \). In simple terms, this requires \( \eta^\pm_w \) to be pure gauge in the far past and \( \eta^\pm_w \) to be pure gauge in the far future. Under these assumptions, the unique (up to small gauge invariance) solution to (5.6) is given by \( \eta^\pm_w = -\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda} w(h) G^\pm(\iota^*(w)) \), where \( G^\pm : \Omega^0_+(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M) \) denote the unique retarded/advanced Green’s operators of the Hodge-d’Alembert operator \( \square := \delta \circ \mathrm{d} + \mathrm{d} \circ \delta : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M) \).

Following further the construction of Peierls we define the retarded/advanced effect of \( w \) on \( v \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast_{\infty} \) (considered also as a functional \( v : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \)) by taking the functional derivative of \( v \) at \( h \) along \( [\eta^\pm_w] \), i.e.

\[ (E_w^\pm(v))(h) := v^{(1)}(h)([\eta^\pm_w]) = \frac{4\pi^2}{\lambda} \left\langle \iota^*(v), G^\pm(\iota^*(w)) \right\rangle v(h) w(h) . \] (5.8)

The difference between the retarded and advanced effects defines a Poisson bracket on the associative, commutative and unital \( * \)-algebra generated by \( \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast_{\infty} \). For two generators \( v, w \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast_{\infty} \) the Poisson bracket reads as

\[ \{v, w\} = 4\pi^2 \hat{\tau}(v, w) v w , \] (5.9)

with the antisymmetric bihomomorphism of Abelian groups

\[ \hat{\tau} : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast_{\infty} \times \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} , \]

\[ (v, w) \mapsto \hat{\tau}(v, w) = \lambda^{-1} \left\langle \iota^*(v), G(\iota^*(w)) \right\rangle . \] (5.10)

In this expression \( G := G^+ - G^- : \Omega^0_+(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M) \) is the retarded-minus-advanced Green’s operator. Antisymmetry of \( \hat{\tau} \) follows from the fact that \( G \) is formally skew-adjoint as a consequence of \( \square \) being formally self-adjoint with respect to the inner product on forms \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \).
By naturality of the Green’s operators $G^\pm$ and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the presymplectic structure $\hat{\tau}$ is also natural. This allows us to promote the covariant functor $\hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})^\ast:\text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$ to a functor with values in the category of presymplectic Abelian groups $\text{PAb}$ defined as follows: The objects in $\text{PAb}$ are pairs $(G, \sigma)$, where $G$ is an Abelian group and $\sigma : G \times G \to \mathbb{R}$ is an antisymmetric bihomomorphism of Abelian groups (called a presymplectic structure), i.e. for any $g \in G$, the maps $\sigma(\cdot, g), \sigma(g, \cdot) : G \to \mathbb{R}$ are both homomorphisms of Abelian groups. The morphisms in $\text{PAb}$ are group homomorphisms $\phi : G \to G'$ that preserve the presymplectic structures, i.e. $\sigma' \circ (\phi \times \phi) = \sigma$.

**Definition 5.3.** The off-shell presymplectic Abelian group functor $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{PAb}$ for a degree $k$ differential cohomology is defined as follows: To an object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$ it associates the presymplectic Abelian group $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(M) := (\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast, \hat{\tau})$ with $\hat{\tau}$ given in (5.10). To a $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism $f : M \to N$ it associates the $\text{PAb}$-morphism $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(f) : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(M) \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(N)$ that is induced by the $\text{Ab}$-morphism $\hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z})^\ast : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast \to \hat{H}^k(N; \mathbb{Z})^\ast$.

The terminology off-shell comes from the physics literature and it means that the Abelian groups underlying $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(\cdot)$ are (subgroups of) the character groups of $\hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$. In contrast, the Abelian groups underlying the on-shell presymplectic Abelian group functor should be (subgroups of) the character groups of the subfunctor $\mathfrak{g}^k(\cdot)$ of $\hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$, see Section 3. We shall discuss the on-shell presymplectic Abelian group functor later in this section after making some remarks on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(\cdot)$.

Our first remark is concerned with the presymplectic structure (5.10). Notice that $\hat{\tau}$ is the pull-back under $\iota^*$ of the presymplectic structure on the Abelian group $\mathbb{V}^{k-1}(M)$ given by

$$\tau : \mathbb{V}^{k-1}(M) \times \mathbb{V}^{k-1}(M) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \tau(\varphi, \psi) = \lambda^{-1} \langle \varphi, G(\psi) \rangle. \quad (5.11)$$

By using this presymplectic structure, the covariant functor $\mathbb{V}^{k-1}(-) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$ can be promoted to a functor $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}(-) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{PAb}$ taking values in the category $\text{PAb}$: For any object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$ we set $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}(M) := (\mathbb{V}^{k-1}(M), \tau)$ with $\tau$ given in (5.11) and for any $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism $f : M \to N$ we set $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}(f) : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}(M) \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}(N)$ to be the $\text{PAb}$-morphism induced by the $\text{Ab}$-morphism $\mathbb{V}^{k-1}(f) : \mathbb{V}^{k-1}(M) \to \mathbb{V}^{k-1}(N)$. By Theorem 4.3 and (5.10), we have a surjective natural transformation $\iota^* : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}(\cdot) \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(\cdot)$ between functors from $\text{Loc}^m$ to $\text{PAb}$. Furthermore, by equipping the Abelian groups $H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast$ with the trivial presymplectic structure, we may regard $H^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})^\ast : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$ as a covariant functor with values in $\text{PAb}$. Theorem 4.3 then provides us with a natural exact sequence in the category $\text{PAb}$ given by

$$0 \longrightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast \xrightarrow{\text{char}^\ast} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(M) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}(M) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5.12)$$

If we pull back $\hat{\tau}$ under the natural transformation $\text{curv}^* : \Omega^k_0(\cdot)/\mathbb{V}^k(\cdot) \Rightarrow \hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})^\ast$, we can promote the covariant functor $\Omega^k_0(\cdot)/\mathbb{V}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$ to a functor with values in the category $\text{PAb}$, which we denote by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{PAb}$. Using again Theorem 4.3 we obtain a natural diagram in the category $\text{PAb}$ given by

$$0 \longrightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^\ast \xrightarrow{\text{char}^\ast} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k(M) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}(M) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5.13)$$

where the horizontal and vertical sequences are exact.
Remark 5.4. The diagram \([5.13]\) has the following physical interpretation. If we think of the covariant functor \(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k^h(\cdot)\) as a field theory describing classical observables on the differential cohomology groups \(\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})\), the diagram shows that this field theory has two (faithful) subtheories: The first subtheory \(H^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*\) is purely topological and it describes observables on the cohomology groups \(H^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})\). The second subtheory \(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_0^h(\cdot)\) describes only the “field strength observables”, i.e. classical observables measuring the curvature of elements in \(\hat{H}^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})\). In addition to \(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k^h(\cdot)\) having two subtheories, it also projects onto the field theory \(\mathcal{G}_k^h(\cdot)\) describing classical observables of topologically trivial fields.

Remark 5.5. In the \(\text{PAb}\)-diagram \([5.13]\) the character group \(H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{T})^*\) (cf. the \(\text{Ab}\)-diagram \([4.12]\)) does not appear. The reason is that there is no presymplectic structure on \(H^{k-1}(\cdot;\mathbb{T})^*\) such that the components of both \(\text{curv}^*\) and \(\kappa^*\) are \(\text{PAb}\)-morphisms: if such a presymplectic structure \(\sigma\) would exist, then the presymplectic structure on \(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k^h(\cdot)\) would have to be trivial as it would be given by \(\sigma\) along \(\kappa^* \circ \text{curv}^* = 0\). This is not the case. We expect that the role of the flat classes \(H^{k-1}(\cdot;\mathbb{T})\) is that of a local symmetry group of the field theory \(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_k^h(\cdot)\). This claim is strengthened by noting that adding flat classes does not change the generalized Maxwell Lagrangian \([5.4]\). In future work we plan to study this local symmetry group in detail and also try to understand its role in Abelian S-duality.

We shall now discuss the on-shell presymplectic Abelian group functor for a degree \(k\) differential cohomology theory. Recall that for any object \(M\) in \(\text{Loc}^m\) we have that \(\mathcal{Sol}_k^h(M,\mathbb{Z})\) is a subgroup. Thus any element \(w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*\) defines a group character on \(\mathcal{Sol}_k^h(M)\). However, there are elements \(w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty\) which give rise to a trivial group character on \(\mathcal{Sol}_k^h(M)\), i.e. \(w(h) = 1\) for all \(h \in \mathcal{Sol}_k^h(M)\). We collect all of these elements in the vanishing subgroups

\[
\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^h(M) := \{ w \in \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty : w(h) = 1 \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{Sol}_k^h(M) \}.
\]  

(5.14)

Notice that \(\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^h(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}\) is a subfunctor of \(\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^h(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty\). In order to characterize this subfunctor, let us first dualize the Maxwell maps \(\text{MW} = \delta \circ \text{curv} : \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega^{k-1}(M)\) to the smooth Pontryagin duals. This yields the group homomorphisms

\[
\text{MW}^* = \text{curv}^* \circ \delta : \Omega^{k-1}_0(M) \to \hat{H}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^*_\infty.
\]  

(5.15)

It is immediate to see that the image \(\text{MW}^*[\Omega^{k-1}_0(M)]\) is a subgroup of \(\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^h(M)\): for any \(\rho \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(M)\) we have

\[
\text{MW}^*(\rho)(h) = \exp\left(2\pi i \langle \rho, \text{MW}(h) \rangle\right) = 1
\]  

(5.16)

for all \(h \in \mathcal{Sol}_k^h(M)\).

Proposition 5.6. \(\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^h(M) = \text{MW}^*[\Omega^{k-1}_0(M)]\).

Proof. The inclusion “\(\subset\)” was shown above. To prove the inclusion “\(\supseteq\)”, let us take an arbitrary \(w \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^h(M)\). By \([3.7]\) we have \(\kappa[H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{T})] \subseteq \mathcal{Sol}_k^h(M)\), which implies that \(\kappa^*(w) = 0\) is the trivial group character on \(H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{T})\). As a consequence of \([4.12]\) (exactness of the middle horizontal sequence), we have \(w = \text{curv}^*(\varphi)\) for some \(\varphi \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(M)\). Furthermore, applying \(\iota^*\) on \(w\) and using again the commutative diagram \([4.12]\) we find \(\iota^*(w) = \delta \varphi \in \mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M)\). Due to the injective group homomorphism \(\iota\) (cf. \([3.7]\)), the group character \(\iota^*(w)\) has to be trivial on \(\mathcal{Sol}_k^h(M)\), i.e.

\[
\exp\left(2\pi i \langle \delta \varphi, [\eta] \rangle\right) = 1
\]  

(5.17)
for all $\eta \in \mathfrak{so}^k(M)$. It is immediate to see that $[G(\alpha)] \in \mathfrak{so}^k(M)$, for any $\alpha \in \Omega^{k-1}_{tc,\delta}(M)$ of
timelike compact support, and by [Ben14] Theorem 7.4 any $[\eta] \in \mathfrak{so}^k(M)$ has a representative
of the form $\eta = G(\alpha)$, with $\alpha \in \Omega^{k-1}_{tc,\delta}(M)$. Hence, we obtain the equivalent condition
\[
\langle \delta \varphi, G(\alpha) \rangle = 0
\]  
(5.18)
for all $\alpha \in \Omega^{k-1}_{tc,\delta}(M)$. For $k = 1$ this condition implies $G(\delta \varphi) = 0$ and hence $\delta \varphi = \Box \rho = \delta \rho$ for
some $\rho \in \Omega^0(\mathcal{M})$ by standard properties of normally hyperbolic operators [BGP07] [Bär13]. For
$k > 1$ we use Poincaré duality between spacelike and timelike compact de Rham cohomology groups
[Ben14] Theorem 6.2] to find $G(\delta \varphi) = d \chi$ for some $\chi \in \Omega^{k-2}(\mathcal{M})$ of spacelike compact
support. Applying $\delta$ to this equation yields $0 = \delta d \chi$ and, again by [Ben14] Theorem 7.4],
there exists $\beta \in \Omega^{k-2}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $d \chi = d G(\beta)$. Plugging this into the equation above yields
$G(\delta \varphi - d \beta) = 0$ and hence $\delta \varphi - d \beta = \Box \rho$ for some $\rho \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(\mathcal{M})$. Applying $\delta$ and using the fact
that $\beta$ is coclosed implies $-\Box \beta = \Box \rho$, thus $\beta = -\rho$. Plugging this back into the original
equation leads to $\delta \varphi = \delta \rho$, just as in the case $k = 1$. As a consequence we obtain
\[
\iota^*(MW^*(\rho)) = \delta \rho = \delta \varphi = \iota^*(w) .
\]  
(5.19)
By exactness of the middle vertical sequence in the diagram [4.12] there exists $\phi \in H^k(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z})^*$
such that $w = MW^*(\rho) + \text{char}^*(\phi)$. Using the fact that both $w$ and $MW^*(\rho)$ are trivial group
characters on the solution subgroups we find
\[
1 = \text{char}^*(\phi)(h) = \phi(\text{char}(h))
\]  
(5.20)
for all $h \in \mathfrak{so}^k(M)$. In order to finish the proof we just have to notice that $\text{char} : \mathfrak{so}^k(M) \to
H^k(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective (cf. Theorem 5.7), so $\phi$ is the trivial group character and $w = MW^*(\rho)$
with $\rho \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(\mathcal{M})$.

For any object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$, the vanishing subgroup $\mathfrak{H}^k(M)$ is a subgroup of the radical in
the presymplectic Abelian group $\mathfrak{S}^k(M)$: given any $\rho \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(\mathcal{M})$ and any $w \in \hat{H}^k(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z})^\infty$,
we find
\[
\hat{\theta}(MW^*(\rho), w) = \lambda^{-1} \langle \iota^*(MW^*(\rho)), G(\iota^*(w)) \rangle
\]  
\[
= \lambda^{-1} \langle \delta \rho, G(\iota^*(w)) \rangle
\]
\[
= \lambda^{-1} \langle \rho, G(\Box \iota^*(w)) \rangle
\]  
\[
= \lambda^{-1} \langle \rho, G(\square \iota^*(w)) \rangle
\]
\[
= 0 ,
\]  
(5.21)
where in the third equality we have integrated by parts (which is possible since $\rho$ is of compact
support) and in the fourth equality we have used the fact that $\iota^*(w) \in \mathfrak{H}^k(\mathcal{M})$ is coclosed
(cf. Lemma 1.1), hence $\delta \iota^*(w) = \Box \iota^*(w)$. The last equality follows from $G \circ \Box = 0$ on
compactly supported forms. As a consequence we can take the quotient of the covariant functor
$\mathfrak{S}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{PAb}$ by the subfunctor $\mathfrak{H}^k(\cdot)$, which yields another functor to the category
$\text{PAb}$.

**Definition 5.7.** The **on-shell presymplectic Abelian group functor** $\mathfrak{S}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{PAb}$
for a degree $k$ differential cohomology theory is defined as the quotient $\mathfrak{S}^k(\cdot) : = \mathfrak{G}^k(\cdot) / \mathfrak{H}^k(\cdot)$.

Explicitly, it associates to an object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$ the presymplectic Abelian group $\mathfrak{S}^k(M) := \mathfrak{S}^k(M) / \mathfrak{H}^k(M)$
with the presymplectic structure induced from $\mathfrak{S}^k(M)$. To a $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism $f : M \to N$ it associates the $\text{PAb}$-morphism $\mathfrak{S}^k(f) : \mathfrak{S}^k(M) \to \mathfrak{S}^k(N)$ that is induced by
$\mathfrak{S}^k(f) : \mathfrak{S}^k(m) \to \mathfrak{S}^k(N)$.

\(^5\) The induced presymplectic structure is well-defined, since, as we have shown above, $\mathfrak{H}^k(M)$ is a subgroup
of the radical in $\mathfrak{S}^k(M)$. 
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We shall now derive the analog of the diagram \([5.13]\) for on-shell functors. By construction, it is clear that we have two natural transformations \(\text{char}^* : H^k(\cdot ; \mathbb{Z})^* \Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(\cdot)\) and \(\text{curv}^* : \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(\cdot) \Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot)\), which however do not have to be injective. To make them injective we have to take a quotient by the kernel subfunctors, which we now characterize.

**Lemma 5.8.** For any object \(M\) in \(\text{Loc}^m\), the kernel of \(\text{char}^* : H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M)\) is trivial while the kernel \(\hat{\mathcal{R}}^k(M)\) of \(\text{curv}^* : \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0^k(M) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M)\) is given by

\[
\hat{\mathcal{R}}^k(M) = \left[ d\Omega^k_0 - 1(M) \right] \subseteq \Omega^k_0(M) / V^k(M) .
\]

**Proof.** To prove the first statement, let \(\phi \in H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*\) be such that \(\text{char}^*(\phi) \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M)\). This means that \(\text{char}^*(\phi)(h) = \phi(\text{char}(h)) = 1\) for all \(h \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M)\). As \(\text{char} : \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M) \rightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^*\) is surjective (cf. Theorem 3.7), we obtain \(\phi = 0\) and hence the kernel of \(\text{char}^* : H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M)\) is trivial.

Next, let us notice that by Theorem 3.7 an element \([\varphi] \in \Omega^k_0(M)/V^k(M)\) is in the kernel of \(\text{curv}^* : \hat{\mathcal{G}}_0^k(M) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M)\) if and only if

\[
1 = \exp \left( 2\pi i \left( \langle \varphi', \omega \rangle + \langle \rho, d\omega \rangle \right) \right)
\]

for all \(\omega \in \Omega^k_0(M)\) and for any choice of representative \(\varphi\) of the class \([\varphi]\). It is clear that for \(\varphi = d\rho \in d\Omega^k_0 - 1(M)\) the condition (5.23) is fulfilled: just integrate \(d\) by parts and use \(d\omega = 0\). To show that any \([\varphi]\) satisfying (5.23) has a representative \(\varphi = d\rho \in d\Omega^k_0 - 1(M)\), we first use the fact that the vector space \(\{G(\text{d}\beta) : \beta \in \Omega^k_0 - 1(M)\}\) is a subgroup of \((d\Omega^k - 1)_d(M) \subseteq \Omega^k_0(M)\).

Then the condition (5.23) in particular implies that

\[
0 = \langle \varphi, G(\text{d}\beta) \rangle = - \langle \text{d}\varphi, \beta \rangle
\]

for all \(\beta \in \Omega^k_0 - 1(M)\). Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5.6, this condition implies that \(\delta \varphi = \delta d\rho\) for some \(\rho \in \Omega^k_0 - 1(M)\). Hence \(\varphi\) is of the form \(\varphi = d\rho + \varphi'\) with \(\delta \varphi' = 0\). Plugging this into (5.23) we obtain the condition

\[
1 = \exp \left( 2\pi i \left( \langle \varphi', \omega \rangle + \langle \rho, d\omega \rangle \right) \right) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle [\varphi'], [\omega] \rangle \right)
\]

for all \(\omega \in \Omega^k_0(M)\), where \([\varphi']\) is a dual de Rham class in \(\Omega^k_0 - 1(M)/\delta \Omega^k_0 + 1(M)\) and \([\omega]\) is a de Rham class in \(\Omega^k_0(M)/d\Omega^k - 1(M)\). As by Theorem 3.7 the de Rham class mapping \(\Omega^k_0(M) \rightarrow H^k_\text{free}(M; \mathbb{Z})\) is surjective, (5.25) implies that \([\varphi']\) is in \(H^k_\text{free}(M; \mathbb{Z})\) and hence that \(\varphi\) is equivalent to \(d\rho\) in \(\Omega^k_0(M)/V^k(M)\).

Taking now the quotient of the covariant functor \(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_0^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \rightarrow \text{PAb}\) by its subfunctor \(\hat{\mathcal{R}}^k(\cdot)\), we get another covariant functor \(\hat{\mathcal{R}}^k(\cdot) : \Omega^k_0(\cdot) / \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \rightarrow \text{PAb}\). By Lemma 5.8 there are now two injective natural transformations \(\text{char}^* : H^k(\cdot ; \mathbb{Z})^* \Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(\cdot)\) and \(\text{curv}^* : \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(\cdot) \Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot)\) to the on-shell presymplectic Abelian group functor \(\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot)\). To obtain the on-shell analog of the diagram (5.13) we just have to notice that, by a proof similar to that of Proposition 5.6, the vanishing subgroups of the topologically trivial field theory are given by

\[
\hat{\mathcal{J}}^k(M) := \{ \varphi \in V^k - 1(M) : \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle \varphi, [\eta] \rangle \right) = 1 \ \forall \ [\eta] \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M) \} = \delta d\Omega^k_0 - 1(M) .
\]
a natural diagram in the category $\mathbf{PAb}$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^k(M;\mathbb{Z})^* & \xrightarrow{\text{char}^*} & \mathfrak{g}^k(M) & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & \mathfrak{g}^k(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow{\text{curv}^*} & & & & & & \\
\mathfrak{g}^k(M) & & & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
$$

(5.27)

where the horizontal and vertical sequences are exact. The physical interpretation given in Remark 5.4 applies to this diagram as well.

We conclude this section by pointing out that the subtheory $\mathfrak{g}^k(\cdot)$ of $\mathfrak{g}^k(\cdot)$ has a further purely topological subtheory. Let $M$ be any object in $\mathbf{Loc}^m$. Recall that the Abelian group underlying $\mathfrak{g}^k(M)$ is given by the double quotient $(\Omega^k_0(M)/\mathcal{V}^k(M))/[d\Omega^k_0(M)]$, classes of which we denote by double brackets, e.g., $[[\varphi]]$. There is a natural group homomorphism $\Omega^k_{0,\alpha}(M) \to \mathfrak{g}^k(M)$, $\varphi \mapsto [[\varphi]]$, which induces to the quotient $\Omega^k_{0,\alpha}(M)/d\Omega^k_0(M) \to \mathfrak{g}^k(M)$ since $[[d\rho]] = 0$ for any $\rho \in \Omega^k_0(M)$. This group homomorphism is injective: if $[[\varphi]] = 0$ for some $\varphi \in \Omega^k_{0,\alpha}(M)$, then $\varphi = d\rho + \varphi'$ for some $\rho \in \Omega^k_0(M)$ and $\varphi' \in \mathcal{V}^k(M)$ (in particular $\delta\varphi' = 0$). Since $d\varphi = 0$ we also have $d\varphi' = 0$ and hence $\square\varphi' = 0$, which implies $\varphi' = 0$. By Poincaré duality and de Rham’s theorem, the quotient $\Omega^k_{0,\alpha}(M)/d\Omega^k_0(M)$ can be canonically identified with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(H^{m-k}(M;\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}) \simeq H^{m-k}(M;\mathbb{R})^*$, the character group of the $(m-k)$-th singular cohomology group with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$. We denote the injective natural transformation constructed above by $q^* : H^{m-k}(\cdot;\mathbb{R})^* \to \mathfrak{g}^k(\cdot)$. The pull-back of the presymplectic structure on $\mathfrak{g}^k(\cdot)$ to $H^{m-k}(\cdot;\mathbb{R})^*$ is trivial. In fact, the presymplectic structure on $H^{m-k}(M;\mathbb{R})^*$ is then given by pulling back $\tau$ in (5.11) via $\iota^* \circ \text{curv}^* \circ q^*$. For any two elements in $H^{m-k}(M;\mathbb{R})^*$, which we represent by two classes $[\varphi], [\varphi'] \in \Omega^k_{0,\alpha}(M)/d\Omega^k_0(M)$ with the isomorphism above, we find

$$
\tau(\iota^* \circ \text{curv}^* \circ q^*([\varphi]), \iota^* \circ \text{curv}^* \circ q^*([\varphi'])) = \tau(\delta\varphi, \delta\varphi') = \lambda^{-1} \langle \varphi, G(d\delta\varphi') \rangle = 0
$$

(5.28)

since $\varphi'$ is closed and hence $G(d\delta\varphi') = G(\square\varphi') = 0$. In order to get a better understanding of $q^*$, let us compute how elements in the image of $\text{curv}^* \circ q^* : H^{m-k}(M;\mathbb{R})^* \to \mathfrak{g}^k(M)$ act on the solution subgroup $\hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)$. For any element in $H^{m-k}(M;\mathbb{R})^*$, which we represent by a class $[\varphi] \in \Omega^k_{0,\alpha}(M)/d\Omega^k_0(M)$ with the isomorphism above, and any $h \in \hat{\text{Sol}}^k(M)$ we find

$$
(\text{curv}^* \circ q^*([\varphi]))(h) = \exp(2\pi i \langle \varphi, \text{curv}(h) \rangle) = \exp\left(2\pi i \int_M \varphi \wedge * (\text{curv}(h))\right).
$$

(5.29)

Since $h$ lies in the kernel of the Maxwell map, the forms $* (\text{curv}(h)) \in \Omega^{m-k}(M)$ are closed and the integral in (5.29) depends only on the de Rham classes $[\varphi] \in H^k_0(M;\mathbb{R})$ and $[* (\text{curv}(h))] \in H^{m-k}(M;\mathbb{R})$. So the observables described by the subtheory $H^{m-k}(\cdot;\mathbb{R})^*$ of $\mathfrak{g}^k(\cdot)$ are exactly those measuring the de Rham class of the dual curvature of a solution.

Remark 5.9. Following the terminology used in ordinary Maxwell theory (given in degree $k = 2$) we may call the subtheory $H^{m-k}(\cdot;\mathbb{R})^*$ electric and the subtheory $H^k(\cdot;\mathbb{Z})^*$ magnetic.

The structures we have found for the on-shell field theory can be summarized by the following
diagram with all horizontal and vertical sequences exact:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \longrightarrow H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{R})^* \\
\text{electric} \quad \downarrow \quad q^* \\
\hat{\mathcal{D}}^k(M) \\
\text{curv}^* \quad \downarrow \\
0 \quad \longrightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \\
\text{magnetic}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{D}}^k(M) \\
\text{char}^* \quad \downarrow \quad \varepsilon^* \\
\mathcal{G}^k(M) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

\[\text{(5.30)}\]

6 Quantum field theory

In the previous section we have derived various functors from the category \(\text{Loc}^m\) to the category \(\text{PAb}\) of presymplectic Abelian groups. In particular, the functor \(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot)\) describes the association of the smooth Pontryagin duals (equipped with a natural presymplectic structure) of the solution subgroups of a degree \(k\) differential cohomology theory. To quantize this field theory, we shall make use of the CCR-functor for presymplectic Abelian groups, see [M*73 and BDHS13 Appendix A] for details. In short, canonical quantization is a covariant functor of the solution subgroups of a degree \(k\) \(C^*\)-algebra homomorphisms (not necessarily injective). To any presymplectic Abelian group \((G, \sigma)\) this functor associates the unique continuous extension of the unital \(C^*\)-algebra \(\mathcal{CCR}(G, \sigma)\), which is generated by the symbols \(W(g), g \in G\), satisfying the Weyl relations \(W(g)W(\tilde{g}) = e^{-i\sigma(g, \tilde{g})/2}W(g + \tilde{g})\) and the \(*\)-inversion property \(W(\tilde{g})^* = W(-g)\). This unital \(*\)-algebra is then equipped and completed with respect to a suitable \(C^*\)-norm. To any \(\text{PAb}\)-morphism \(\phi : (G, \sigma) \rightarrow (G', \sigma')\) the functor associates the \(C^*\)-Alg-morphism \(\mathcal{CCR}(\phi) : \mathcal{CCR}(G, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{CCR}(G', \sigma')\), which is obtained as the unique continuous extension of the unital \(*\)-algebra homomorphism defined on generators by \(W(g) \mapsto W(\phi(g))\).

**Definition 6.1.** The quantum field theory functor \(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \rightarrow \text{C}^*\text{Alg}\) for a degree \(k\) differential cohomology theory is defined as the composition of the on-shell presymplectic Abelian group functor \(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \rightarrow \text{PAb}\) with the CCR-functor \(\mathcal{CCR}(\cdot) : \text{PAb} \rightarrow \text{C}^*\text{Alg}\), i.e.

\[\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot) := \mathcal{CCR}(\cdot) \circ \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot).\]  

**Remark 6.2.** The subtheory structure of the classical on-shell field theory explained in Remark 5.9 is also present, with a slight caveat, in the quantum field theory. Acting with the functor \(\mathcal{CCR}(\cdot)\) on the diagram (5.30) we obtain a similar diagram in the category \(\text{C}^*\text{Alg}\) (with \(\mathcal{CCR}(0) = \mathbb{C}\), the trivial unital \(C^*\)-algebra). However, the sequences in this diagram will in general not be exact, as the CCR-functor is not an exact functor. This will not be of major concern to us, since by [BDHS13 Corollary A.7] the functor \(\mathcal{CCR}(\cdot)\) does map injective \(\text{PAb}\)-morphisms to injective \(\text{C}^*\text{Alg}\)-morphisms. Thus our statements in Remark 5.9 about the (faithful) subtheories remain valid after quantization. Explicitly, the quantum field theory \(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot)\) has three faithful subtheories \(\mathcal{A}_k^\text{mag}(\cdot) := \mathcal{CCR}(\cdot) \circ \hat{\mathcal{D}}^k(M)^*\), \(\mathcal{A}_k^\text{el}(\cdot) := \mathcal{CCR}(\cdot) \circ H^k(M; \mathbb{R})^*\) and \(\mathcal{A}_k^\text{curv}(\cdot) := \mathcal{CCR}(\cdot) \circ \hat{\mathcal{G}}^k(M)\), with the first two being purely topological and the third being a theory of quantized curvature observables.

We shall now address the problem of whether or not our functor \(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot)\) satisfies the axioms of locally covariant quantum field theory, which have been proposed in [BFY03] to single out
physically reasonable models for quantum field theory from all possible covariant functors from \( \text{Loc}^m \) to \( C^*\text{Alg} \). The first axiom formalizes the concept of Einstein causality.

**Theorem 6.3.** The functor \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to C^*\text{Alg} \) satisfies the causality axiom: For any pair of \( \text{Loc}^m \)-morphisms \( f_1 : M_1 \to M \) and \( f_2 : M_2 \to M \) such that \( f_1|M_1 \) and \( f_2|M_2 \) are causally disjoint subsets of \( M \), the subalgebras \( \mathcal{A}^k(f_1)[\mathcal{A}^k(M_1)] \) and \( \mathcal{A}^k(f_2)[\mathcal{A}^k(M_2)] \) of \( \mathcal{A}^k(M) \) commute.

**Proof.** For any two generators \( W(w) \in \mathcal{A}^k(M_1) \) and \( W(v) \in \mathcal{A}^k(M_2) \) we have

\[
[\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f_1)(W(w)), \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f_2)(W(v))] = [W(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f_1)(w)), W(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f_2)(v))] = -2i W(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f_1)(w) + \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f_2)(v)) \sin \left( \frac{1}{2} \tau(f_1'(t^*(w)), f_2'(t^*(v))) \right) = 0 \, ,
\]

where we have used the Weyl relations and the fact that, by hypothesis, the push-forwards \( f_1'(t^*(w)) \) and \( f_2'(t^*(v)) \) are differential forms of causally disjoint support, for which the presymplectic structure \([5.11]\) vanishes. The result now follows by approximating generic elements in \( \mathcal{A}^k(M_1) \) and \( \mathcal{A}^k(M_2) \) by linear combinations of generators and using continuity of \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f_1) \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f_2) \).

The second axiom formalizes the concept of a dynamical law. Recall that a \( \text{Loc}^m \)-morphism \( f : M \to N \) is called a Cauchy morphism if the image \( f[M] \) contains a Cauchy surface of \( N \).

**Theorem 6.4.** The functor \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to C^*\text{Alg} \) satisfies the time-slice axiom: If \( f : M \to N \) is a Cauchy morphism, then \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f) : \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(M) \to \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(N) \) is a \( C^*\text{Alg} \)-isomorphism.

**Proof.** Recall that the Abelian groups underlying \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot) \) are subgroups of the character groups of \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}^k(\cdot) \) and that by definition \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}^k(f) : \hat{\mathcal{O}}^k(M) \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}^k(N) \), \( w \mapsto w \circ \hat{\mathcal{O}}^k(f) \). Using Theorem \([3.9]\) we have that \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}^k(f) \) is an \( \text{Ab} \)-isomorphism for any Cauchy morphism \( f : M \to N \), hence \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f) \) is a \( \text{PAb} \)-isomorphism and as a consequence of functoriality \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f) = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_R(\hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f)) \) is a \( C^*\text{Alg} \)-isomorphism.

In addition to the causality and time-slice axioms, \([BV03]\) proposed the locality axiom which demands that the functor \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to C^*\text{Alg} \) should map any \( \text{Loc}^m \)-morphism \( f : M \to N \) to an injective \( C^*\text{Alg} \)-morphism \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f) : \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(M) \to \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(N) \). The physical idea behind this axiom is that any observable quantity on a sub spacetime \( M \) should also be an observable quantity on the full spacetime \( N \) into which it embeds via \( f : M \to N \). It is known that this axiom is not satisfied in various formulations of Maxwell’s theory, see e.g. \([DLT2, BDS13, BDHS13, SDHT14, FL14]\). The violation of the locality axiom is shown in most of these works by giving an example of a \( \text{Loc}^m \)-morphism \( f : M \to N \) such that the induced \( C^*\)-algebra morphism is not injective. A detailed characterization and understanding of which \( \text{Loc}^m \)-morphisms violate the locality axiom is given in \([BDHS13]\) for a theory of connections on fixed \( T \)-bundles. It is shown there that a morphism violates the locality axiom if and only if the induced morphism between the compactly supported de Rham cohomology groups of degree 2 is not injective. Thus the locality axiom is violated due to topological obstructions. Our present theory under consideration has a much richer topological structure than a theory of connections on a fixed \( T \)-bundle, see Remark \([6.2]\). It is therefore important to extend the analysis of \([BDHS13]\) to our functor \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to C^*\text{Alg} \) in order to characterize exactly those \( \text{Loc}^m \)-morphisms which violate the locality axiom.

We collect some results which will simplify our analysis: Let \( \phi : (G, \sigma) \to (G', \sigma') \) be any \( \text{PAb} \)-morphism. Then \( \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}_R(\phi) \) is injective if and only if \( \phi \) is injective: the direction “\( \Leftarrow \)” is shown in \([BDHS13\text{ Corollary A.7]} \) and the direction “\( \Rightarrow \)” is an obvious proof by contraposition (which is spelled out in \([BDHS13\text{ Theorem 5.2]} \) ). Hence our problem of characterizing all \( \text{Loc}^m \)-morphisms \( f : M \to N \) for which \( \hat{\mathcal{A}}^k(f) \) is injective is equivalent to the classical problem of
characterizing all $\text{Loc}^m$-morphisms $f : M \to N$ for which $\hat{G}^k(f)$ is injective. Furthermore, the kernel of any $\text{PAb}$-morphism $\phi : (G, \sigma) \to (G', \sigma')$ is a subgroup of the radical in $(G, \sigma)$: if $g \in G$ with $\phi(g) = 0$ then $0 = \sigma'(\phi(g), \phi(g)) = \sigma(g, g)$ for all $\tilde{g} \in G$, which shows that $g$ is an element the radical of $(G, \sigma)$. For any object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$ the radical of $\hat{G}^k(M)$ is easily computed:

**Lemma 6.5.** The radical of $\hat{G}^k(M)$ is the subgroup

$$\text{Rad}(\hat{G}^k(M)) = \{ v \in \hat{G}^k(M) : \iota^*(v) \in \delta(\Omega^k_0(M) \cap d\Omega^{k-1}_0(M)) / \delta d\Omega^{k-1}_0(M) \}.$$

(6.3)

**Proof.** We show the inclusion “$\subseteq$” by evaluating the presymplectic structure (5.10) for any element $v$ of the group on the right-hand side of (6.3) and any $w \in \hat{G}^k(M)$. Using $\iota^*(v) = [\delta \rho]$ for some $\rho \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(M)$, we obtain

$$\hat{\tau}(v, w) = \lambda^{-1} \langle \iota^*(v), G(\iota^*(w)) \rangle$$

$$= \lambda^{-1} \langle \delta \rho, G(\iota^*(w)) \rangle$$

$$= \lambda^{-1} \langle \rho, G(\iota^*(w)) \rangle$$

$$= \lambda^{-1} \langle \rho, G(\Box \iota^*(w)) \rangle$$

$$= 0.$$  

(6.4)

We now show the inclusion “$\supseteq$”. Let $v$ be any element in the radical of $\hat{G}^k(M)$, i.e. $0 = \hat{\tau}(w, v) = \lambda^{-1} \langle \iota^*(w), G(\iota^*(v)) \rangle$ for all $w \in \hat{G}^k(M)$. As $\iota^*$ is surjective, this implies that $\langle \varphi, G(\iota^*(v)) \rangle = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}^k(M)$, from which we can deduce by similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 5.6 that $\iota^*(v) = [\delta \rho]$ for some $\rho \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(M)$.

We show that the radical $\text{Rad}(\hat{G}^k(M))$, and hence also the kernel of any $\text{PAb}$-morphism with source given by $\hat{G}^k(M)$, is contained in the images of $\text{curv}^* \circ q^* : H^{m-k}(M; \mathbb{R})^* \to \hat{G}^k(M)$ and $\text{char}^* : H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})^* \to \hat{G}^k(M)$. To make this precise, similarly to [FS14, Section 5] we may equip the category $\text{PAb}$ with the following monoidal structure $\oplus$: For two objects $(G, \sigma)$ and $(G', \sigma')$ in $\text{PAb}$ we set $(G, \sigma) \oplus (G', \sigma') := (G \oplus G', \sigma \oplus \sigma')$, where $G \oplus G'$ denotes the direct sum of Abelian groups and $\sigma \oplus \sigma'$ is the presymplectic structure on $G \oplus G'$ defined by $\sigma \oplus \sigma'(g \oplus g', \tilde{g} \oplus \tilde{g}') := \sigma(g, \tilde{g}) + \sigma'(g', \tilde{g}')$. For two $\text{PAb}$-morphisms $\phi_i : (G_i, \sigma_i) \to (G_i', \sigma_i')$, $i = 1, 2$, the functor gives the direct sum $\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 : (G_1 \oplus G_2, \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2) \to (G_1' \oplus G_2', \sigma_1' \oplus \sigma_2')$. The identity object is the trivial presymplectic Abelian group. We define the covariant functor describing the direct sum of both topological subtheories of $\hat{G}^k(\cdot)$ by

$$\text{Charge}^k(\cdot) := H^{m-k}(\cdot; \mathbb{R})^* \oplus H^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})^* : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{PAb}.$$  

(6.5)

There is an obvious natural transformation $\text{top}^* : \text{Charge}^k(\cdot) \Rightarrow \hat{G}^k(\cdot)$ given for any object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$ by

$$\text{top}^* : \text{Charge}^k(M) \to \hat{G}^k(M), \quad \psi \oplus \phi \mapsto \text{curv}^*(\iota^*(\psi)) + \text{char}^*(\phi).$$

(6.6)

This natural transformation is injective by the following argument: Using the isomorphism explained in the paragraph before Remark 5.9, we can represent any $\psi \in H^{m-k}(M; \mathbb{R})^*$ by a compactly supported de Rham class $[\varphi] \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(M) / d\Omega^{k-1}_0(M)$. Applying $\iota^*$ on the equation $\text{top}^*(\psi \oplus \phi) = 0$ implies $[\delta \varphi] = 0$ in $\mathcal{V}^{k-1}(M) / \delta d\Omega^{k-1}_0(M)$, i.e., $\delta \varphi = \delta \rho$ for some $\rho \in \Omega^{k-1}_0(M)$, which after applying $d$ and using $d \varphi = 0$ leads to $\varphi = d \rho$, i.e., $[\varphi] = 0$ and thus $\psi = 0$. As $\text{char}^*$ is injective, the condition $\text{top}^*(\psi \oplus \phi) = 0$ implies $\psi \oplus \phi = 0$ and so $\text{top}^*$ is injective.
Lemma 6.6. The radical $\text{Rad}(\hat{G}^k(M))$ is a subgroup of the image of $\text{Charge}^k(M)$ under $\text{top}^*: \text{Charge}^k(M) \to \hat{G}^k(M)$. In particular, the kernel of any $\text{PAb}$-morphism with source given by $\hat{G}^k(M)$ is a subgroup of the image of $\text{Charge}^k(M)$ under $\text{top}^*: \text{Charge}^k(M) \to \hat{G}^k(M)$.

Proof. The second statement follows from the first one, since as we have argued above kernels of $\text{PAb}$-morphisms are subgroups of the radical of the source. To show the first statement, let $v \in \text{Rad}(\hat{G}^k(M))$ and notice that by Lemma 6.5 there exists $\rho \in \Omega^{k-1}_{\text{loc}}(M)$ with compact support such that $\iota^*(v) = [\delta\rho]$. As the element $v = \text{curv}^*(q^*([\delta\rho])) \in \hat{G}^k(M)$ lies in the kernel of $\iota^*$, Remark 5.9 implies that there exists $\phi \in H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $v = \text{curv}^*(q^*([\delta\rho])) + \text{char}^*(\phi) = \text{top}^*([\delta\rho] \oplus \phi)$, and hence $v$ lies in the image of $\text{Charge}^k(M)$ under $\text{top}^*: \text{Charge}^k(M) \to \hat{G}^k(M)$.

Remark 6.7. Notice that the converse of Lemma 6.6 is in general not true, i.e. the image of $\text{Charge}^k(M)$ under $\text{top}^*: \text{Charge}^k(M) \to \hat{G}^k(M)$ is not necessarily a subgroup of the radical $\text{Rad}(\hat{G}^k(M))$. For example, for any object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^m$ which has compact Cauchy surfaces (such as $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{m-1}$ equipped with the canonical Lorentzian metric), Lemma 6.5 implies that the radical is the kernel of $\iota^*$, which by Remark 5.9 is equal to the image of $\text{char}^*$. If $H^{m-k}(M; \mathbb{R})^*$ is non-trivial (as in the case $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{m-1}$ for any $1 \leq k \leq m$), then its image under $\text{curv}^* \circ q^*$ is not contained in the radical.

We can now give a characterization of the $\text{Loc}^m$-morphisms which violate the locality axiom.

Theorem 6.8. Let $f: M \to N$ be any $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism. Then the $\text{C}^*\text{Alg}$-morphism $\hat{A}^k(f): \hat{A}^k(M) \to \hat{A}^k(N)$ is injective if and only if the $\text{PAb}$-morphism $\text{Charge}^k(f): \text{Charge}^k(M) \to \text{Charge}^k(N)$ is injective.

Proof. We can simplify this problem by recalling from above that $\hat{A}^k(f)$ is injective if and only if $\hat{G}^k(f)$ is injective. Furthermore, it is easier to prove the contraposition “$\hat{G}^k(f)$ not injective $\Leftrightarrow \text{Charge}^k(f)$ not injective”, which is equivalent to our theorem. Our arguments will be based on the fact that $\text{top}^*: \text{Charge}^k(\cdot) \to \hat{G}^k(\cdot)$ is an injective natural transformation, so it is helpful to draw the corresponding commutative diagram in the category $\text{PAb}$ with exact vertical sequences:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{G}^k(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{top}^*} & \hat{G}^k(N) \\
\text{Charge}^k(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Charge}^k(f)} & \text{Charge}^k(N) \\
0 & \uparrow & 0
\end{array}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6.7)

Let us prove the direction “$\Leftarrow$”: Assuming that $\text{Charge}^k(f)$ is not injective, the diagram (6.7) implies that $\text{top}^* \circ \text{Charge}^k(f) = \hat{G}^k(f) \circ \text{top}^*$ is not injective, and hence $\hat{G}^k(f)$ is not injective since $\text{top}^*$ is injective. To prove the direction “$\Rightarrow$” let us assume that $\hat{G}^k(f)$ is not injective. By Lemma 6.6, the kernel of $\hat{G}^k(f)$ is a subgroup of the image of $\text{Charge}^k(M)$ under $\text{top}^*: \text{Charge}^k(M) \to \hat{G}^k(M)$, hence $\hat{G}^k(f) \circ \text{top}^*$ is not injective. The commutative diagram (6.7) then implies that $\text{top}^* \circ \text{Charge}^k(f)$ is not injective, hence $\text{Charge}^k(f)$ is not injective since $\text{top}^*$ is injective. □

Example 6.9. We provide explicit examples of $\text{Loc}^m$-morphisms $f: M \to N$ which violate the locality axiom. Let us take as $\text{Loc}^m$-object $N = \mathbb{R}^m$, the $m$-dimensional oriented and time-oriented Minkowski spacetime. Choosing any Cauchy surface $\Sigma_N = \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ in $N$, we take
the subset $\Sigma_M := (\mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1-p} \subseteq \Sigma_N$, where we have removed the origin 0 of a $p$-dimensional subspace with $1 \leq p \leq m-1$. We take the Cauchy development of $\Sigma_M$ in $N$ (which we denote by $M$) and note that by [BGP07] Lemma A.5.9 $M$ is a causally compatible, open and globally hyperbolic subset of $N$. The canonical inclusion provides us with a $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism $\iota_{N;M} : M \to N$. Using the diffeomorphism $\mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times S^{p-1}$ with the $p-1$-sphere $S^{p-1}$ (in our conventions $S^1 := \{-1,+1\}$), we find that $M \simeq \mathbb{R}^{m-p+1} \times S^{p-1}$. Using the fact that the singular cohomology groups are homotopy invariant, we obtain

\[
\text{Charge}^k(M) \simeq H^{m-k}(S^{p-1}; \mathbb{R})^* \oplus H^k(S^{p-1}; \mathbb{Z})^*,
\]

(6.8a)

\[
\text{Charge}^k(N) \simeq H^{m-k}(pt; \mathbb{R})^* \oplus H^k(pt; \mathbb{Z})^*,
\]

(6.8b)

where $pt$ denotes a single point. By Theorem 6.8 the $C^*\text{Alg}$-morphism $\widehat{\Phi}^k(\iota_{N;M})$ is not injective if and only if $\text{Charge}^k(\iota_{N;M})$ is not injective. The following choices of $p$ lead to $\text{Loc}^m$-morphisms $\iota_{N;M} : M \to N$ which violate the locality axiom:

- $k = 1$: Since by assumption $m \geq 2$, the second isomorphism in (6.8) implies $\text{Charge}^1(N) = 0$. Since $1 \leq p \leq m-1$, we have $H^{m-1}(S^{p-1}; \mathbb{R})^* = 0$ and hence the first isomorphism in (6.8) implies $\text{Charge}^1(M) \simeq H^1(S^{p-1}; \mathbb{Z})^*$. For $m \geq 3$ we choose $p = 2$ and find that $\text{Charge}^1(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^* \simeq \mathbb{T}$, hence $\text{Charge}^1(\iota_{N;M})$ is not injective (being a group homomorphism $\mathbb{T} \to 0$). The case $m = 2$ is special and is discussed in detail below.

- $2 \leq k \leq m-1$: The second isomorphism in (6.8) implies $\text{Charge}^k(N) = 0$. Choosing $p = m - k + 1$ (which is admissible since $2 \leq p \leq m-1$), the first isomorphism in (6.8) gives $\text{Charge}^k(M) \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus \delta_{k,m-k} \mathbb{T}$, where $\delta_{k,m-k}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. Hence $\text{Charge}^k(\iota_{N;M})$ is not injective (being a group homomorphism $\mathbb{R} \oplus \delta_{k,m-k} \mathbb{T} \to 0$). Alternatively, if $2 \leq k \leq m-2$ we may also choose $p = k+1$ and find via the first isomorphism in (6.8) that $\text{Charge}^k(M) \simeq \delta_{k,m-k} \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{T}$, which also implies that $\text{Charge}^k(\iota_{N;M})$ is not injective.

- $k = m$: The second isomorphism in (6.8) implies $\text{Charge}^m(N) \simeq \mathbb{R}$. Choosing $p = 1$ (which is admissible since $m \geq 2$) we obtain $\text{Charge}^m(M) \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$, hence $\text{Charge}^m(\iota_{N;M})$ is not injective (being a group homomorphism $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$).

**Corollary 6.10.** Choose any $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that $(m,k) \neq (2,1)$. Then the quantum field theory functor $\widehat{\Phi}^k(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^m \to C^*\text{Alg}$ violates the locality axiom.

**Proof.** This follows from the explicit examples of $\text{Loc}^m$-morphisms given in Example 6.9 and Theorem 6.8.

The case $m = 2$ and $k = 1$ is special. As any object $M$ in $\text{Loc}^2$ is a two-dimensional globally hyperbolic spacetime, there exists a one-dimensional Cauchy surface $\Sigma_M$ such that $M \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_M$. By the classification of one-manifolds (without boundary), $\Sigma_M$ is diffeomorphic to the disjoint union of copies of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T}$, i.e. $\Sigma_M \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n_M} \mathbb{R} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{c_M} \mathbb{T}$ (the natural numbers $n_M$ and $c_M$ are finite, since $M$ is assumed to be of finite-type). By homotopy invariance, we have

\[
\text{Charge}^1(M) \simeq H^1(\Sigma_M; \mathbb{R})^* \oplus H^1(\Sigma_M; \mathbb{Z})^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^{c_M} \oplus \mathbb{T}^{c_M}.
\]

(6.9)

As any $\text{Loc}^m$-morphism $f : M \to N$ is in particular an embedding, the number of compact components in the Cauchy surfaces $\Sigma_M$ and $\Sigma_N$ cannot decrease, i.e. $c_M \leq c_N$. As a consequence, $\text{Charge}^1(f)$ is injective and by Theorem 6.8 so is $\widehat{\Phi}^1(f)$.

**Proposition 6.11.** The quantum field theory functor $\widehat{\Phi}^1(\cdot) : \text{Loc}^2 \to C^*\text{Alg}$ satisfies the locality axiom. Thus it is a locally covariant quantum field theory in the sense of [BFV03].
A Fréchet-Lie group structures

In this appendix we show how to equip the differential cohomology groups $\tilde{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, as well as all other Abelian groups in the diagram (2.11), with the structure of an Abelian Fréchet-Lie group such that the morphisms in this diagram are smooth maps. Our notion of functional derivatives in Definition 5.1 then coincides with directional derivatives along tangent vectors corresponding to this Abelian Fréchet-Lie group structure. Furthermore, we show that the contravariant functor $\tilde{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab}$ can be promoted to a functor to the category of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups. For the notions of Fréchet manifolds and Fréchet-Lie groups we refer to [Ham82].

Let $M$ be any smooth manifold that is of finite-type. The Abelian groups in the lower horizontal sequence in (2.11) are finitely generated discrete groups, hence we shall equip them with the discrete topology and therewith obtain zero-dimensional Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups. Next, we consider the upper horizontal sequence in (2.11). We equip them with the discrete topology together with all derivatives on any compact set $K \subseteq M$. An elegant way to describe the $C^\infty$-topology is by choosing an auxiliary Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ and a countable compact exhaustion $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \cdots \subset K_n \subset K_{n+1} \subset \cdots \subset M$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the family of semi-norms

$$||\omega||_{l,n} := \max_{j=0,1,\ldots,l} \max_{x \in K_n} |D^j \omega(x)|$$

(A.1)

for all $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \Omega^p(M)$, where $D^j : \Omega^p(M) \to \Gamma^\infty(M, \bigwedge^p T^* M \otimes \bigwedge^j T^* M)$ is the symmetrized covariant derivative corresponding to the Riemannian metric $g$ and $| \cdot |$ is the fibre metric on $\bigwedge^p T^* M \otimes \bigwedge^j T^* M$ induced by $g$. The $C^\infty$-topology on $\Omega^p(M)$ is the Fréchet topology induced by the family of semi-norms $\| \cdot \|_{l,n}$ with $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to check that this topology does not depend on the choice of Riemannian metric $g$ and compact exhaustion $K_n$, as for different choices of $g$ and $K_n$ the corresponding semi-norms can be estimated against each other.

The subspace of exact forms $d\Omega^{k-1}(M) \subseteq \Omega^k(M)$ is a closed subspace in the $C^\infty$-topology on $\Omega^k(M)$, hence $d\Omega^{k-1}(M)$ is a Fréchet space in its own right. Forgetting the multiplication by scalars, the Abelian group $d\Omega^{k-1}(M)$ (with respect to $+$) in the upper right corner of (2.11) is an Abelian Fréchet-Lie group. Let us now describe the Abelian Fréchet-Lie group structure on $\Omega^{k-1}(M)/d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$. For us it will be convenient to provide an explicit description by using charts. As model space we shall take the Fréchet space $\Omega^{k-1}(M)/d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$. To specify a
The change of coordinates is then given by affine transformations by the finitely generated subgroup $H_{\text{free}}^k(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Let $V_n \subseteq \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a countable neighborhood basis of $0 \in \Omega^{k-1}(M) / d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$, which consists of “small open sets” in the sense that $V_n \cap H_{\text{free}}^k(M;\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the quotient map $q : \Omega^{k-1}(M) / d\Omega^{k-2}(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ is injective when restricted to $V_n$. We may assume without loss of generality that $V_n$ is symmetric, i.e., $-V_n = V_n$. We now define a neighborhood basis around every point $[\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ by setting

$$U_{[\eta],n} := [\eta] + q(V_n) \subseteq \frac{\Omega^{k-1}(M)}{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

The topology induced by the basis $\{U_{[\eta],n} : [\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M), \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ makes the quotient $\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ into a Fréchet manifold: as charts around $[\eta]$ we may take the maps

$$\psi_{[\eta],n} : U_{[\eta],n} \to V_n \subseteq \frac{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)}{d\Omega^{k-2}(M)}, \quad [\eta] + q([\omega]) \mapsto [\omega].$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

The change of coordinates is then given by affine transformations $V \to [\omega] + V$, $[\omega] \to [\omega] + [\omega]$ on open subsets $V \subseteq \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$, which are smooth maps. Fixing any point $[\eta'] \in \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$, consider the map $[\eta'] + (\cdot) : \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$. The inverse image of any open neighborhood $U_{[\eta],n}$ is given by

$$([\eta'] + (\cdot))^{-1}(U_{[\eta],n}) = [\eta] - [\eta'] + q(V_n) = U_{[\eta] - [\eta'],n},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

which is open. Hence $+$ is continuous. Analogously, we see that the group inverse is continuous since the inverse image of any $U_{[\eta],n}$ is $U_{-[\eta],n}$. To see that the group operations are also smooth, notice that for any $U_{[\eta],n}$ the map $[\eta'] + (\cdot) : U_{[\eta] - [\eta'],n} \to U_{[\eta],n}$ induces the identity map $id_{V_n} : V_n \to V_n$ in the charts $\psi_{[\eta] - [\eta'],n}$ and $\psi_{[\eta],n}$. Similarly the inverse $- : U_{-[\eta],n} \to U_{[\eta],n}$ induces minus the identity map $-id_{V_n} : V_n \to V_n$ in the charts $\psi_{-[\eta],n}$ and $\psi_{[\eta],n}$. Hence $\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ is an Abelian Fréchet-Lie group. Since $\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ carries the quotient topology induced from the Fréchet space $\frac{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)}{d\Omega^{k-2}(M)}$ and the exterior differential $d : \frac{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)}{d\Omega^{k-2}(M)} \to d\Omega^{k-1}(M)$ is smooth, the same holds for the induced map $\frac{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)}{d\Omega^{k-2}(M)} \to d\Omega^{k-1}(M)$.

The Abelian Fréchet-Lie group structure on $H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{R}) / H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is modeled on the subspace $H^{k-1}_d(M;\mathbb{R}) \simeq \Omega^{k-1}_d(M) / d\Omega^{k-2}(M) \subseteq \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$ equipped with the subspace topology. Explicitly, we define charts on $H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{R}) / H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ by noticing that

$$\frac{H^{k-1}_d(M;\mathbb{R})}{H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z})} \simeq \frac{\Omega^{k-1}_d(M)}{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)} \subseteq \frac{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)}{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.5)

and setting

$$\tilde{\psi}_{[\eta],n} : \left(U_{[\eta],n} \cap \frac{\Omega^{k-1}_d(M)}{\Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)}\right) \to \left(V_n \cap \frac{\Omega^{k-1}_d(M)}{d\Omega^{k-2}(M)}\right), \quad [\eta] + q([\omega]) \mapsto [\omega].$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.6)

for any $[\eta] \in \Omega^{k-1}_d(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This defines on $H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{R}) / H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ an Abelian Fréchet-Lie group structure. The smooth inclusion $\Omega^{k-1}_d(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ of Fréchet spaces descends to a smooth inclusion $H^{k-1}(M;\mathbb{R}) / H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M) / \Omega^{k-1}_Z(M)$ of
Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups. Hence we have shown that all arrows in the upper horizontal sequence in (2.11) are morphisms of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups.

From the Abelian Fréchet-Lie group structure on the groups in the lower and upper horizontal sequence in (2.11) we can derive an Abelian Fréchet-Lie group structure on the groups in the middle horizontal sequence. Our strategy makes use of the vertical exact sequences in (2.11). As the construction is the same for all three vertical sequences, it is enough to discuss the example of the middle vertical sequence. As char : \( \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is an Abelian group homomorphism to a discrete Abelian Fréchet-Lie group, the connected components of \( \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) are precisely the fibers of the characteristic class map char. Thus we shall take as model space for \( \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) the same Fréchet space \( \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \) as for the group \( \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \) describing the kernel of char. Explicitly, we take the topology on \( \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) which is generated by the basis

\[
\hat{U}_{h,n} := h + (\iota \circ q)[V_n] \subseteq \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) ,
\]

for all \( h \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). The charts are given by

\[
\hat{\psi}_{h,n} : \hat{U}_{h,n} \to V_n , \quad h + (\iota \circ q)([\omega]) \mapsto [\omega] ,
\]

and the proof that the change of coordinates is smooth is the same as above. By construction of the topology on \( \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \), the group operations are smooth, since it suffices to consider them along the connected components and there the proof reduces to the one above for the smoothness of the group operations on \( \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \). Again by construction, the topological trivialization \( \iota : \Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \to \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is a diffeomorphism onto the connected component of \( 0 \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \). The characteristic class map \( \iota \circ q : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is smooth, since \( H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) carries the discrete topology. Likewise, the inclusions \( H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{R})/H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{T}) \) and \( d\Omega^{k-1}(M) \to \Omega^k_{\mathbb{R}}(M) \), as well as the projections \( H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{T}) \to H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \to \Omega^k_{\mathbb{T}}(M) \), in the left and right vertical sequences in (2.11) are smooth maps.

It remains to show that the arrows in the middle horizontal sequence of (2.11) are smooth: A basis for the topology on \( \Omega^k_{\mathbb{R}}(M) \) is given by sets of the form \( \omega + V \), where \( \omega \in \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \) and the sets \( V \) are taken from an open neighborhood basis of \( 0 \in d\Omega^{k-1}(M) \). The inverse image of an open set \( \omega + V \) under \( \text{curv} : \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \) is the union over all \( h \in \text{curv}^{-1}(\omega) \) of the open sets \( h + \iota[d^{-1}(V)] \), hence it is open and curv is continuous. Furthermore, the curvature \( \text{curv} \) is smooth with differential \( D_h\text{curv}(\omega) = d\omega \), where \( h \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( [\omega] \in \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)/d\Omega^{k-1}(M) = T_h\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is a tangent vector. By a similar argument, the group homomorphism \( \kappa : H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{T}) \to \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is smooth with differential given by the inclusion

\[
T[\phi] \left( \frac{H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{R})}{H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})} \right) = H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \frac{\Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)}{d\Omega^{k-2}(M)} = T_{\kappa([\phi])}\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) ,
\]

where \( [\phi] \in H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{R})/H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \).

With respect to the Abelian Fréchet-Lie group structure developed above, the tangent space at a point \( h \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is given by the model space \( \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)/d\Omega^{k-2}(M) \). The functional derivative given in Definition 5.1 is the directional derivative along tangent vectors.

It remains to show that the contravariant functor \( \hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Loc}^m \to \text{Ab} \) can be promoted to a functor with values in the category of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups. For any smooth map \( f : M \to N \) the pull-back of differential forms \( f^* : \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(N) \to \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \) is smooth with respect to the Fréchet space structure on differential forms. The same holds true for the induced map on the quotients \( f^* : \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(N)/d\Omega^{k-2}(N) \to \Omega^k_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)/d\Omega^{k-2}(M) \). Since the characteristic
class is a natural transformation $\text{char} : \hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$, the argument for smoothness of the pull-back directly carries over from the groups in the upper row of the diagram (2.11) to the middle row: As above, by construction of the topology on the differential cohomology groups it suffices to consider $\hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z}) : \hat{H}^k(N; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ on the connected components, i.e. along the fibers of the characteristic class. Thus $\hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z}) : \hat{H}^k(N; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a smooth map with differential

$$D_h \hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z}) : T_h \hat{H}^k(N; \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\Omega^{k-1}(N)}{d\Omega^{k-2}(N)} \rightarrow T_{\hat{H}^k(f; \mathbb{Z})(h)} \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\Omega^{k-1}(M)}{d\Omega^{k-2}(M)} ,$$

$$[\omega] \mapsto f^*([\omega]). \quad (A.10)$$

It follows that $\hat{H}^k(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Loc}^m \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ extends to a contravariant functor to the category of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups, and all natural transformations in the definition of a differential cohomology theory are natural transformations of functors in this sense.

### A.1 Isomorphism types

We shall now identify the isomorphism types of the Fréchet-Lie groups $\Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M)$ and $\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ by splitting the rows in the diagram (2.11). The lower row splits since $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a free Abelian group and all groups in the lower row carry the discrete topology. By construction, all rows in (2.11) are central extensions of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups. In particular, they define principal bundles over the groups in the right column. In the following we denote the $k$-th Betti number of $M$ by $b_k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$; then all $b_k < \infty$ by the assumption that $M$ is finite-type.

For the upper row, notice that $d\Omega^{k-1}(M)$ is contractible, hence the corresponding torus bundle is topologically trivial. In fact, it is trivial as a central extension, i.e. the Fréchet-Lie group $\Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M)$ is (non-canonically isomorphic to) the topological direct sum of the $b_k$-torus $H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{R})/H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ with the Fréchet space $d\Omega^{k-1}(M)$: Any choice of forms $\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k} \in \Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M)$ whose de Rham classes form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ provides us with (non-canonical) topological splittings

$$\begin{align*}
\Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M) &= \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\} + d\Omega^{k-2}(M), \quad (A.11a) \\
\Omega^{k-1}(M) &= \text{span}_\mathbb{Z}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\} + d\Omega^{k-2}(M), \quad (A.11b) \\
\Omega^k(M) &= \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\} + F^k(M). \quad (A.11c)
\end{align*}$$

Here $F^k(M) \subseteq \Omega^k(M)$ is a topological complement of the subspace spanned by the $k-1$-forms $\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k-1}$. By a Hahn-Banach type argument, we may choose the complement $F^k(M)$ such that $d\Omega^{k-2}(M) \subseteq F^k(M)$: Taking de Rham cohomology classes yields a continuous isomorphism

$$[\cdot] : \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\} \rightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{R}). \quad (A.12)$$

Thus we obtain a continuous projection $p : \Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M) \rightarrow \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\}$ with kernel $d\Omega^{k-2}(M)$. Denote by $p_j : \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\omega^j$ the projection to the $j$-th component. Then the continuous linear functionals $p_j := p_j \circ p : \Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\omega^j$, with $j \in \{1, \ldots, b_k-1\}$, have continuous extensions to $\Omega^k(M)$, and so does their direct sum $p = p_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_{b_k-1} : \Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M) \rightarrow \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\}$. Then put $F^k(M) := \ker(p)$ to obtain a decomposition of $\Omega^k(M)$ as claimed. By construction, the exterior differential induces a continuous isomorphism of Fréchet spaces $d : F^k(M)/d\Omega^{k-2}(M) \rightarrow d\Omega^{k-1}(M)$. This yields the decomposition of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{\Omega^k_{\text{free}}(M)}{\Omega^k(M)} &= \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\} \oplus \frac{F^k(M)}{\text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{b_k}\}} \\
&\rightarrow H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \oplus d\Omega^{k-1}(M). \quad (A.13)
\end{align*}$$
Thus $\Omega^{k-1}(M)/\Omega^{k-1}_\mathbb{Z}(M)$ is the direct sum in the category of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups of the $b_k$-1-torus $H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{R})/H^{k-1}_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and the additive group of the Fréchet space $d\Omega^{k-1}(M)$, as claimed.

For the middle row in the diagram $[2.11]$, since the connected components of $\Omega^k(M)$ are contractible, the corresponding principal $H^k(M; \mathbb{T})$-bundle $\text{curv}: \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega^k(M)$ is topologically trivial. We can also split the middle exact sequence in the diagram $[2.11]$ as a central extension: Choose differential forms $\vartheta^1, \ldots, \vartheta^{b_k} \in \Omega^k(M)$ whose de Rham classes form a $\mathbb{Z}$-module basis of $H^k_{\text{free}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$; this yields a splitting of $\Omega^k(M)$ analogous to the one in $[A.11b]$. Thus we may write any form $\sigma \in \Omega^k(M)$ as $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} a_i \vartheta^i + d\nu$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\nu \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$. Now choose elements $h_{\vartheta^i} \in \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ with curvature $\text{curv}(h_{\vartheta^i}) = \vartheta^i$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, b_k$. By the splitting $[A.13]$, we may choose a Fréchet-Lie group homomorphism $\sigma': \Omega^k(M) \to \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $d \circ \sigma' = \text{id}_{d\Omega^{k-1}(M)}$. Now we define a splitting of the middle row in the diagram $[2.11]$ by setting

$$
\sigma : \Omega^k(M) = \text{span}_\mathbb{Z}\{\vartheta^1, \ldots, \vartheta^{b_k}\} \oplus d\Omega^{k-1}(M) \to \hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}),
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{b_k} a_i \vartheta^i + d\nu \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} a_i h_{\vartheta^i} + i(\sigma'(d\nu)). \tag{A.14}
$$

By construction, $\sigma$ is a homomorphism of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups, i.e. it is a smooth group homomorphism. Moreover, for any form $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} a_i \vartheta^i + d\nu \in \Omega^k(M)$ we have $\text{curv}(\sigma(\mu)) = \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} a_i \text{curv}(h_{\vartheta^i}) + d\nu = \mu$. Thus $\sigma$ is a splitting of the middle horizontal sequence of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups in the diagram $[2.11]$, and we have obtained a (non-canonical) decomposition

$$
\hat{H}^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^{k-1}(M; \mathbb{T}) \oplus \Omega^k(M), \tag{A.15}
$$

of Abelian Fréchet-Lie groups.
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