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Abstract
This study presents the findings from a systematic review of literature (1990–2020) of mathematics and science transition from primary to secondary education. The purpose of this review was to explore factors that influence students’ experiences of mathematics and science transition from primary to secondary school, implications of these experiences and measures that have been used to support students during these transitions. In total, 73 publications related to mathematics transition and 47 publications related to science transition were analysed. Synthesis of findings identified three factors, namely student self-regulation, school and academic related, and social factors that contribute to shaping students’ positive or negative experiences of mathematics and science transitions. The review findings suggest that no single factor can be attributed to influence students’ experiences of mathematics and science transition and an interplay between various factors contributes to these experiences. The implications of difficult transition experiences were identified as shifts in students’ academic achievement, attitudes towards mathematics and science and constructs related to identity development. Recommendations for future research are proposed to address gaps identified in current literature.
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Introduction
Education systems all over the world place a large emphasis on STEM (science, technology, engineering and mathematics) education. STEM education is recognised as an essential foundation not only for responsible citizenship, but also for twenty-first century challenges (Bybee, 2013; Maass et al., 2019). However, there are some concerns about lower student achievement in STEM subjects (Fraser et al., 2012), low uptake of STEM at the advanced level (Mullis et al., 2012; Perkins et al., 2013) and a shortage of STEM professionals affecting countries’ economic prosperity (Bybee, 2013; Department of Education and Skills [DES], 2017; Kelley & Knowles, 2016). While initiatives for promoting STEM education are undertaken internationally, the policy reforms are still in their embryonic stage (Anderson et al., 2020). As such, there is still an uncertainty about the understanding of effective STEM practices and related curricular supports (Maass et al., 2019). Many education systems still grapple with the challenge of preparing students for applying STEM learning to real-life problems (Bybee, 2013; Ritz & Fan, 2015).

With an aim to inform gaps and identify measures to promote greater participation and interest of students in STEM learning, this review focuses on exploring students’ experiences of two of the STEM disciplines—mathematics and science—across the transition from primary to secondary school. The sections below present an overview of literature on mathematics and science education in schools and on the challenges and opportunities for integrating mathematics and science learning.
The last section discusses mathematics and science education through the lens of transition from primary to secondary school.

**Mathematics education**

The current landscape of mathematics education research draws a complex picture of mathematics as a discipline. While mathematics equips learners with skills required to understand the world around us through modelling both abstract and concrete problems (DES, 2017), the subject is criticised for curricular discontinuities at various education levels, resulting in gaps in student learning. As a result, many students feel disinterested in mathematics and very few students study mathematics at an advanced level or are interested in STEM related careers (West et al., 2010). Furthermore, many students suffer from anxiety in mathematics and lower self-esteem. In a large UK study by Devine et al. (2018), 11% of primary school (8- to 9-year-old) and secondary school (12- to 13-year-old) students scored above average for 'moderate anxiety' on a maths anxiety rating scale. According to the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2015 results, one in five pupils had serious difficulties in developing sufficient mathematics or science skills (European commission, 2018; OECD, 2016).

Surprisingly, the anxiety related to mathematics appears as an age-old issue despite much research in this regard. Guillen (1984, p. 2) described mathematics anxiety as ‘unabashed humility that mathematics evokes in hundreds of millions of people’ (as cited in Deieso & Fraser, 2019). Those who suffer from mathematics anxiety experience intense fear and worry while working with mathematics which impacts their cognitive development (Klee & Miller, 2019). What is most concerning is that mathematics anxiety may begin at an early age and can have long-lasting impact (Aarnos & Perkkila, 2012; Field et al., 2019; Ramirez et al., 2013).

A large body of research also highlights decline in attitudes towards mathematics with progress in levels of education (Deieso & Fraser, 2019; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Paul, 2014; Widlund et al., 2018). It appears that despite many curricular and education reforms in mathematics, students still lack appreciation for mathematics and the picture of mathematics as a difficult subject remains unchanged. The PISA results for 2018 showed that on average across OECD countries, mean performance in mathematics and science did not change over the past two decades (OECD, 2019a). The report also revealed that on average across OECD countries, around one in four 15-year-olds did not attain a minimum level of proficiency in mathematics. These results suggest that a lot more needs to be done in relation to improvement in students’ mathematics learning and attainment.

**Science education**

One of the most significant concerns in science education internationally is the declining numbers of students studying science at the advanced level and considering it for future careers (De Witt et al., 2014; Lyons & Quinns, 2010; Potvin & Hasni, 2014). The low uptake of science and aspirations for science-related careers has often been attributed to students’ attitudes and their perceived utility of school science (DeWitt & Archer, 2015; Regan & DeWitt, 2015; Tai et al., 2006). Negative attitudes may decrease the likelihood of choosing science for future careers (Barmby et al., 2008; DeWitt & Archer, 2015). However, it is also possible that positive science attitudes may sometimes not translate to science aspirations for the future (Jenkins & Nelson, 2005). Archer et al. (2010) termed this phenomenon as the ‘being–doing divide’ articulating the disparity between ‘enjoyment doing science’ and ‘becoming a scientist’.

A substantial body of research has raised concerns about lack of student interest and engagement in science (Anderhag et al., 2016; Osborne et al., 2003; Patrick & Mantzicopoulos, 2015; Potvin & Hasni, 2014; Tytler & Osborne, 2012). It has been noted that a decline in students’ attitudes towards school science occurs during adolescence (Barmby et al., 2008; Tytler & Osborne, 2012). The most recent findings of the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) 2019 for science achievement of pupils in fourth and eighth grades in 64 participating countries also indicated that eighth grade students were less positive about learning science than fourth-grade students. The results indicated that overall, 38% of eighth graders were not confident in science which was twice the percentage of fourth graders who so reported. Furthermore, in countries teaching separate science subjects in eighth grade, students were much less positive and confident in learning Chemistry and Physics than Biology and Earth sciences (Mullis et al., 2020).

Research also highlights significant gender differences in science interest and attitudes with boys showing more preference for science as compared to girls (Barmby et al., 2008; Jenkins & Nelson, 2005). Similar differences have been noted in relation to the career expectations. Even the academically high achieving girls are less likely to choose STEM careers in comparison to boys (Clewell & Campbell, 2002; Wang et al., 2013). The PISA 2018 results indicated that on average across OECD countries, only 14% of girls who were top performers in science or mathematics reported that they expect to work as an
engineer or science professional compared with 26% of top-performing boys who so reported (OECD, 2019b).

Addressing these concerns require effective measures to promote science interest in young students, especially the age group of 10–14 years, the age reported as a critical period for the formation of science aspirations of young children (DeWitt & Archer, 2015; Lindahl, 2007; Tai et al., 2006; Tytler & Osborne, 2012).

Integrated learning of Mathematics and Science
More recent research advocates the integration of STEM subjects to promote greater understanding of these subjects in real-world contexts (e.g. Margot & Kettler, 2019; McLoughlin et al., 2020). Integrated STEM curricula allow the development of twenty-first century skills such as problem-solving and creativity, enabling students to appreciate and apply the learning to novel and unfamiliar situations (Honey et al., 2014). There have been various interpretations of STEM integration with debates on multidisciplinary, interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary approaches. A broad definition by Honey et al. (2014) contextualises integration as “working in the context of complex phenomena or situations on tasks that require students to use knowledge and skills from multiple disciplines” (p. 52). Kelley and Knowles (2016) define integrated STEM education as “the approach to teaching the STEM content of two or more STEM domains, bound by STEM practices within an authentic context for the purpose of connecting these subjects to enhance student learning” (p. 3). A common element in these interpretations is manifested in making transparent and meaningful connections between the subjects and real-world contexts to foster authentic student learning. Explicit connections between these two subjects offer opportunities to promote students’ understanding and motivation for learning (English, 2016). A positive impact of the integration of mathematics and science on students’ achievement has also been reported (Hurley, 2001). However, the complexity of such a process of integration has been realised by researchers (Honey et al., 2014; Kelley & Knowles, 2016). In particular, a lack of flexibility in the secondary education curriculum has been noted (Honey et al., 2014). Some researchers have also pointed to the inequitable representation of the four disciplines in integrated STEM education; for example, criticisms around the underrepresentation of mathematics in STEM have been raised (English, 2016; Fitzallen, 2015). In fact, the STEM acronym is often used in reference to the science discipline only (English, 2016). Additionally, there may be challenges in relation to the integration approaches as the research on STEM integration in relation to curriculum and student learning outcomes is still in a developmental stage (English, 2016; Honey et al., 2014).

McLoughlin et al. (2020) propose an integrated approach to STEM Education to develop a range of STEM competences through addressing and solving real-world problems. The researchers emphasise the importance of innovative curriculum and pedagogical approaches for achieving STEM learning outcomes across the domains of knowledge, skills, attitudes and values. Kelley and Knowles (2016) suggest a greater focus on pedagogical practices along with an increased awareness of research findings on latest initiatives. However, the impact of integration on desired learning outcomes depends on whether these subjects are integrated completely throughout the lesson or one of these dominates in the lesson (Honey et al., 2014).

Mathematics and science education through the lens of transition from primary to secondary school
Transition from primary to secondary school has been described as the most challenging phase for students in their education. This transition involves significant changes in many aspects, such as, adjusting to new school environments, increased workload, change in teaching practices, friendship worries, experiences of bullying and higher expectations of secondary school teachers (Evangelou et al., 2008; Hammond, 2016; Jindal-Snape & Foggie, 2008; Keay et al., 2015; Paul, 2014; West et al., 2010; Zeedyk et al., 2003). Positive experiences of primary to secondary school transition reported by students include making more friends, greater autonomy and freedom and challenging work in secondary school (Mackenzie et al., 2012; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Symonds & Hargreaves, 2016).

Sdrolias and Triandafillidis (2008) comment that transition is both an opportunity and a problem. The experiences of transition, if negative, may impact not only on students’ academic achievement, but also on their psychological well-being and can affect their self-esteem and self-concept (Symonds & Galton, 2014; Yao et al., 2018; Zeedyk et al., 2003). Although these transition issues span across all the curricular disciplines, mathematics and science seem to be the most affected subjects. Studies have reported a significant decline in students’ interest in mathematics and science as they progress to secondary education (Logan & Skamp, 2008; Martin et al., 2015; Murphy et al., 2016; Varley et al., 2013). As a result, motivation and interest for learning mathematics and science may decrease and students develop negative attitudes towards these subjects (Hasni et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2015; Paul, 2014; Tröbst et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2018). These attitudes strongly associate with their aspirations to study these subjects and may bring a significant dip in academic achievement (Barmby et al., 2008; DeWitt & Archer, 2015; Widlund et al., 2018). Moreover,
negative transition experiences also impact on students’ emotional health and well-being, e.g. mathematics anxiety increases as students transition to secondary school (Suren & Kandemir, 2020). Such negative transition experiences can strongly impede the development of students’ mathematical and scientific identities and impact on their academic progression in these subjects.

Scope of this review
While many systematic reviews have explored general transition from primary to secondary school (for example, Jindal-Snape et al., 2019; McGee et al., 2003; Mumford & Birchwood, 2020; Topping, 2011; van Rens et al., 2018), a comprehensive literature review specifically looking at transitions in the context of mathematics and science does not exist. This is particularly important given the international focus on increasing students’ understanding and participation in mathematics and science, and more recently a focus on adopting integrated approaches to STEM teaching and learning (DES, 2017). To address this gap, this review focuses specifically on mathematics and science in the transition from primary to secondary school, and explores the measures indicated in literature for supporting students in their transition in these two subjects.

The key objective of this systematic review is to explore and compare the breadth of research on school transitions in mathematics and science. To achieve this, the review seeks to address the following research questions:

1. What factors influence students’ experiences of mathematics transition from primary to secondary school?
2. What factors influence students’ experiences of science transition from primary to secondary school?
3. What are the implications of the factors that influence students’ experiences in mathematics across the transition from primary to secondary school?
4. What are the implications of the factors that influence students’ experiences in science across the transition from primary to secondary school?
5. What measures have been used to support students in their mathematics and science transitions from primary to secondary school?

Methodology
A qualitative review of research on mathematics and science transition from primary to secondary school published during the period 1990–2020 was conducted. This systematic review was conducted in the following 4 stages, adapted from those proposed by Tranfield et al. (2003). A brief description of the steps involved in each stage is given in Table 1.

Multiple electronic databases were searched for relevant literature on primary to secondary school transition. The databases searched for the review were Academic Search Complete, Education research complete, British Education Index, Education Research Information Center (ERIC), and PsycINFO via EBSCOhost and Web of Science. The search involved the use of several keywords in combination. An example of a search string is

- (school trans*) AND (primary or elementary or junior or post-primary or secondary or middle or grade 7 or grade 8).

Consideration was given to include the multitude of terms used interchangeably in literature. For example, the following string was used to search for the factors influencing transition.

- (issue* OR factor* OR predictor* OR determin* OR concern* OR impact* OR influenc* OR characteristic* OR effect* OR affect* OR challeng* OR barrier* OR support* OR hinder* OR intervention)

The following inclusion criteria was adopted for the search (Table 2).

The initial search yielded 6817 results. These results were then filtered for English language spanning the time 1990–2020 which gave 5075 results. The removal of duplicates from these results yielded 3736 publications.

| Table 1 | Stages of the review process. Adapted from Tranfield et al., 2003 |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stage   | Brief description of steps                                   |
| 1. Planning | Formulation of research questions, planning of search and screening strategy, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of studies |
| 2. Conducting search, screening and mapping | Database search, Title and abstract screening, Classification of studies, Coding and Mapping of studies with relevant research questions |
| 3. Analysing and interpretation | Qualitative analysis of selected studies under each research question |
| 4. Reporting | Presentation of results with respect to the research questions under study. Discussion of potential implications and conclusions |
Table 2 Inclusion criteria for systematic review

| Aspect                | Criteria                                      |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Language              | English                                       |
| Time span             | 1990–2020                                     |
| Relevance             | Primary to secondary school transition focused|
| Age group             | 10–16 years                                   |
| Geographical location | Any                                           |
| Research method       | Quantitative, qualitative, mixed              |
| Publication types     | Peer-reviewed publications                    |

The first step of filtration involved the screening of titles and abstracts of these 3736 publications for their inclusion or exclusion according to the review protocol. The title and abstract screening yielded 758 results. A manual search of literature resulted in 17 additional publications for inclusion. This gave 775 publications for review at the first stage. Figure 1 illustrates the number of publications yielded at each stage of the search process.

The final 775 publications obtained after the screening of titles and abstracts were classified by disciplines. As the main focus of this review is on mathematics and science transitions, studies in other disciplines, e.g. History, Music, Physical education and Visual Arts were all grouped under ‘other disciplines’. Thus, the final classification of studies was reported under five discipline groups—Mathematics, Science, Multidisciplinary (including Mathematics and Science), Other disciplines (excluding Mathematics and Science) and General (non-discipline specific) (in Table 3).

These 775 studies were screened to identify research trends relating to transitions between primary and secondary level. In the first step, open coding was conducted, and initial codes were determined as a result of this process. These initial codes were chosen to reflect the primary focus of each study. Similar initial codes were
combined to identify nine distinct codes, as shown in Table 3. For example, the initial codes of teacher content knowledge, teacher collaboration, teachers’ beliefs and perspectives were combined to form the final code Teachers’ influence.

As illustrated in Table 3, most of the research on transition across primary to secondary school focuses on general aspects and were not discipline focused. There is notably a greater number of research studies focussing on transition in mathematics \((N=98)\) than science \((N=49)\). Also, the majority of the studies report on students’ self-regulation and internalization, such as self-esteem, self-confidence, motivation, attitudes and constructs related to identity development. The code labelled as socio background, family, externalization has the second highest number of research publications in the selected set of literature and includes factors based on relationships with parents and peers, social background, race, ethnicity and socio-economic status (SES).

The next stage of the review involved screening the full text of each publication classified under the disciplines of Mathematics, Science, and Multidisciplinary (including Mathematics and Science). All of the publications coded as Mathematics \((N=98)\), Science \((N=49)\) or Multidisciplinary including Mathematics and Science \((N=25)\), were further screened to map them to the research questions 1–5 of this review. As the focus of the review was on transitions in mathematics and science, it was decided that studies that focussed only on specific or specialised contexts (e.g. special education needs, ethnic groups) would not be included as these findings may not be generalisable. From the 25 studies coded as Multidisciplinary (including Mathematics and Science), 9 studies met the inclusion criteria for the review. None of these 9 studies addressed an integrated learning approach to mathematics and science. Therefore, relevant findings pertaining to both disciplines were extracted from these studies and discussed in relation to transitions in mathematics or science. This screening process resulted in the identification of 73 publications on mathematics transition and 47 publications on science transition that met the review criteria. This formed the corpus of studies that are discussed in this review. The final stage of the review process was to read the full text of each publication. The findings of each of these studies were extracted and analysed in relation to each research question. Some studies addressed more than one research question. In such cases, the studies were coded for all relevant research questions 1–5.

In relation to research questions 1 and 2, initial factors that influence students’ experiences of mathematics/science transition from primary to secondary school were identified. Similar factors were grouped together to form three distinct categories of factors—(i) student self-regulation, (ii) school and academic related and (iii) social factors. For example, factors relating to students’ self-esteem, self-confidence, motivation, etc., were grouped together to form the category Student Self-Regulation (see Tables 6 and 7). A similar process was used to identify the implications of these factors in relation to research questions 3 and 4 (see Tables 8 and 9).

The next section presents an overview of research on transitions in mathematics and science and the findings in relation to each of the research questions 1–5.

**Findings**

An overview of the trends in research publications on transitions in mathematics and science is presented followed by the review findings from research questions 1 to 5.

| Code                          | Mathematics | Science | Multidisciplinary (including mathematics and science) | Other disciplines (excluding mathematics and science) | General/non-discipline specific | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Academic performance context | 18          | 5       | 6                                                    | 5                                                    | 19                             | 53    |
| Learning environment          | 15          | 3       | 4                                                    | 1                                                    | 15                             | 38    |
| Curriculum, content and pedagogy | 14        | 24      | 0                                                    | 1                                                    | 2                              | 41    |
| Teachers’ influence           | 14          | 4       | 0                                                    | 4                                                    | 23                             | 45    |
| School and policy context     | 1           | 0       | 1                                                    | 2                                                    | 26                             | 30    |
| Socio background, family, externalization | 9       | 2       | 3                                                    | 8                                                    | 132                            | 154   |
| Self-regulation and psychological, internalization | 21      | 10      | 10                                                   | 27                                                   | 224                            | 292   |
| Gender                        | 4           | 1       | 1                                                    | 1                                                    | 16                             | 23    |
| Specialised student groups    | 2           | 0       | 0                                                    | 3                                                    | 94                             | 99    |
| **Total**                     | **98**      | **49**  | **25**                                               | **52**                                               | **551**                        | **775** |
Overview of research on transitions in mathematics

The 73 studies identified were analysed to explore research publication trends. Figure 2 illustrates an upward trend in the number of publications in mathematics transition from the year 1990 to 2020 for the selected sample of 73 papers.

However, most of the selected studies focused on students’ negative experiences of transition which echoes the findings from other literature reviews on transitions (e.g. Jindal-Snape et al., 2019). The majority of these studies focussed on the cause–effect relationship between variables for the issues related to transition. Only three studies addressed measures to support student learning across mathematics transitions. From the 73 studies selected for review, around 36% (N = 26) of the studies were conducted in the European context, 30% (N = 22) of the studies were conducted in the US and the remaining 34% (N = 25) spanned diverse international regions. Table 4 shows a summary of the selected set of studies on mathematics transition in terms of their primary focus, research design and research approach.

As depicted in Table 4, more than 60% of the studies (N = 47) studies employed a longitudinal design where students were followed over their transition to secondary school for duration of time ranging from 3 months to 4 years. A cross-sectional research design was used in 26 studies which included seven studies on teachers’ perspectives around transition. The research method used in the majority of the studies (around 70%, N = 50) was quantitative, with the most common tool of data collection as participants’ self-reported questionnaires. Although the reliability of surveys self-reported by students has been questioned in the literature, large sample sizes of participants recruited in most of the longitudinal studies explain this trend. From the remaining studies, 8 studies used qualitative methods of research and 15 studies adopted a mixed methods approach to support their findings from the quantitative analysis. While the majority of studies collected data from students, studies collecting parents’ views are very few. Figure 3 shows the distribution of participants in study samples.

Overview of research on transitions in science

47 studies focused on science transition from primary to secondary school were identified. The trend in publication of research on science transition from 1990 till 2020 is shown in Fig. 4.

The graph suggests that there has been an increasing attention in research on primary–secondary science transition since 2000. From the 47 studies reviewed in science, 23 studies were conducted in the European context—14 from the UK, 3 from Ireland, 2 from Northern Ireland, 3 from Germany and 1 from Sweden. From the remaining 24 studies, 9 studies were conducted in the US and 15 studies spanned diverse international regions. Table 5 displays a summary of the selected studies

---

**Table 4** Summary of reviewed studies on mathematics transition

| Code                          | Number of articles | Research design | Research approach |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                               |                    | Longitudinal    | Cross-sectional   | Quantitative | Qualitative | Mixed |
| Academic context              | 11                 | 10              | 1                 | 8           | 0           | 3     |
| Learning environment          | 16                 | 12              | 4                 | 9           | 2           | 5     |
| Curriculum, content and pedagogy | 8              | 4               | 4                 | 4           | 4           | 0     |
| Teachers’ influence           | 8                  | 1               | 7                 | 4           | 1           | 3     |
| Family, externalization       | 2                  | 2               | 0                 | 2           | 0           | 0     |
| Self-regulation and psychological, internalization | 24              | 17              | 7                 | 20          | 1           | 3     |
| Gender                        | 4                  | 1               | 3                 | 3           | 0           | 1     |
| Total                         | 73                 | 47              | 26                | 50          | 8           | 15    |
on science transition in terms of their primary focus, research design and research approach.

In contrast with the trends in mathematics transition research, more studies employed a cross-sectional research design here (Table 5). A cross-sectional research design was used in 29 studies and there is a balanced proportion of studies using quantitative, qualitative and mixed method approaches for data collection and analysis. Also, the majority of the studies investigated the domain of curriculum, content and pedagogy. This included investigation of the effects of cooperative learning in science, students' understanding for the concepts of Energy, Heat and Matter and the effect of instructional practices on students' science interest and cognitive development across transition. The participant sample mostly consisted of either students or a mix of students and teachers. Figure 5 shows the distribution of participants in the studies selected for review on science transition.

Research Question 1. What factors influence students’ experiences of mathematics transition from primary to secondary school?

As described in the methodology section, the review identified three broad categories of factors that influence students’ experiences of mathematics transition from primary to secondary school. These are—(i) student self-regulation, (ii) school and academic related and (iii) social factors. The category of student self-regulation includes factors related to behaviours, beliefs and/or emotions that could influence an individual's experiences (positive or negative) in transitions. For example, emotions or feelings about self, school belongingness, motivation, and engagement. The school and academic factors include aspects relating to curriculum and content, school and classroom learning environment, instructional and pedagogical practices, teachers’ knowledge base and school culture. The third category considers social factors, related to home and family environment and relationships.

A total of 58 studies were identified that report on the factors influencing mathematics transition from primary to secondary school. Table 6 provides an overview of the

### Table 5: Summary of reviewed studies on science transition

| Key focus                                      | Number of articles | Research design | Research approach |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                                              |                    | Longitudinal    | Cross-sectional   | Quantitative | Qualitative | Mixed |
| Academic context                             | 5                  | 4               | 1                 | 5           | 0          | 0     |
| Learning environment                         | 5                  | 2               | 3                 | 0           | 2          | 3     |
| Curriculum, content and pedagogy             | 17                 | 7               | 10                | 3           | 7          | 7     |
| Teachers’ influence                          | 2                  | 0               | 2                 | 0           | 1          | 1     |
| Family externalization                       | 1                  | 0               | 1                 | 1           | 0          | 0     |
| Self-regulation and psychological internalization | 15                 | 5               | 10                | 8           | 3          | 4     |
| Gender                                       | 2                  | 0               | 2                 | 2           | 0          | 0     |
| Total                                        | 47                 | 18              | 29                | 19          | 13         | 15    |
9 factors identified under the three categories explained above.

**Student self-regulation factors**

Many studies reported negative self-image portrayed by students as they transition to secondary school. As a result, negative attitudes may develop towards mathematics (Silverthorn et al., 2005). These negative attitudes are accompanied by fear of guilt and rejection impacting students’ willingness to engage in mathematics and/or ask for assistance (Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015) and are reported to be more prevalent in low-performing students (Smyth et al., 2004).

Skilling et al. (2020) investigated high- and low-achieving students’ beliefs about mathematics learning and their experiences to explore shifts in their engagement levels as they transition to secondary school. They reported that ‘engaged’ students believed mathematics to be important for their future education and valued mathematics learning. These students had a preference for understanding over performance and had high levels of self-efficacy. In contrast, ‘disengaged’ students placed a lower value on mathematics learning and rated performance over understanding. Such students exhibited lower self-efficacy and negative emotions such as mathematics anxiety.

Beliefs of self-abilities such as self-efficacy, self-esteem and self-confidence that contribute to a sense of mathematical identity, shape positive or negative experiences of transition (Martin et al., 2015; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015). Higher self-efficacy and valuing of mathematics

| Category                              | Influencing factors                                                                 | Source of evidence                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| I. Student self-regulation            | Attitudes (enjoyment, interest, engagement) and motivation                          | Athanasiou & Philippou, 2010; Evans & Field, 2020a, 2020b; Gasco Txabarri et al., 2014b; Lazarides et al., 2020; Silverthorn et al., 2005; Skilling et al., 2020; Stroet et al., 2015; Tytler et al., 2008 |
| Identity development                  |                                                                                     | Athanasiou & Philippou, 2010; Darragh, 2013; Evans et al., 2020; Gasco Txabarri et al., 2014a; Jordan et al., 2010; Klee & Miller, 2019; Lazarides et al., 2020; Ma & Xu, 2004; Madjar et al., 2018; Martin et al., 2015; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Muzzatti & Agnoli, 2007; Ryan & Patrick, 2001; Silverthorn et al., 2005; Widlund et al., 2018 |
| II. School related and academic       | Curriculum and content                                                              | Bicknell & Hunter, 2012; Deliyianni & Gagatsis, 2013; Gniewosz et al., 2012; Jansen et al., 2012; Ma & Xu, 2004; Madjar et al., 2018; Prendergast et al., 2019 |
| Teachers’ knowledge base, communication and collaboration |                                                                                     | Attard, 2010; Demonty et al., 2018; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; O’Meara et al., 2020a; Prendergast et al., 2019 |
| Instructional practices               |                                                                                     | Arens & Moller, 2016; Attard, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013; Fryer & Oga-Baldwin, 2019; Howard et al., 1997; Johnson et al., 2020; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; O’Meara et al., 2020a; Panaoura & Gagatsis, 2010; Paul, 2014; Sdrolias & Triandafillidis, 2008; Tytler et al., 2008 |
| Classroom environment                 |                                                                                     | Anderman & Midgley, 1997; Arens & Moller, 2016; Athanasiou & Philippou, 2006, 2008, 2010; Bicknell & Hunter, 2012; Deieso & Fraser, 2019; Eccles et al., 1993; Friedel et al., 2010; Fryer & Oga-Baldwin, 2019; Lazarides et al., 2020; Lazarides et al., 2019; Mackay, 2006; Martin et al., 2015; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Paul, 2014; Rice, 2001; Ryan & Patrick, 2001 |
| School context/climate                |                                                                                     | Carmichael, 2015; Carolan, 2013; Jansen et al., 2012; Jordan et al., 2010; Kieffer, 2013; Madjar et al., 2018; Martin et al., 2015; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Rice, 2001; Wigfield et al., 1991 |
| III. Social                           | Home and family environment                                                        | Carmichael, 2015; Evans & Field, 2020b; Evans et al., 2020; Friedel et al., 2010; Gniewosz et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2015; Pesu et al., 2018; Rice, 2001 |
| Relationships                         |                                                                                     | Arens & Moller, 2016; Attard, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013; Bicknell & Hunter, 2012; Evans & Field, 2020a; Friedel et al., 2010; Gniewosz et al., 2012; Lazarides et al., 2019; Mackay, 2006; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Semeraro et al., 2020; Stroet et al., 2015; Tytler et al., 2008; Yao et al., 2018 |
are positively associated not only with engagement in secondary school mathematics (Martin et al., 2015), but also contributes to students’ academic well-being and educational aspirations (Widlund et al., 2018). In a qualitative study by Darragh (2013), excerpts from secondary students’ interviews reflected a link between confidence of performing in mathematics and a sense of belonging in the class. Students reported a lack of belonging in the early stages of transition but gradually adjusted to ‘fit’ in the new environment, similar to what has been reported by other studies also (e.g. Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015).

Mathematics anxiety is the most researched construct that influences identity formation during primary–secondary transition. Studies report that mathematics anxiety increases as students progress to secondary school. However, it gets stabilised or drops to the initial levels towards the end of the first year of transition (Darragh, 2013; Gasco Txabarri et al., 2014a; Madjar et al., 2018; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015). Klee and Miller (2019) in a longitudinal study in the US, explored group-based trajectories in mathematics anxiety at different time points from elementary to junior high school and found that there is no one common pattern of mathematics anxiety and it may constantly increase, decrease, fluctuate or even remain constant at all time points. Gender differences in mathematics anxiety have also been reported by some studies with girls experiencing higher math anxiety and lower self-efficacy than boys (Deieso & Fraser, 2019; Klee & Miller, 2019; Madjar et al., 2018).

**School-related and academic factors**

Studies have reported both positive and negative influences of school settings and culture on transition experiences of students. For example, those who transitioned between the schools showed greater mathematics anxiety in comparison to those who did not, i.e. remained in the same school after transition (Madjar et al., 2018). Some studies, on the contrary, found that institutional changes such as school culture and school size have little or no impact on students’ mathematics progress as they transition to secondary school (Kieffer, 2013; Rice, 2001). Carmichael (2015) highlighted that the impact of school settings on mathematics achievement affects adversely only when the change is accompanied by significant curricular changes. Other influential factors related to school settings include larger class size in secondary school and fewer opportunities for student–teacher interaction (Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015), excitement to be in a new school and being taught by specialist maths teachers (e.g. Bicknell & Hunter, 2012).

Factors related to curriculum and content include increased workload in mathematics (more class work and homework), pace of study, lesser time for correctional work, longer duration of classes and independent academic performance (Attard, 2012; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015). These factors however may not contribute much to the difficulties associated with transition. Mudaly and Sukhdeo (2015) noted that despite increased workload reported by secondary students, they preferred high school for greater independence and freedom. In fact, it is the didactical practices and not the increased content/workload that pose challenges to students (Attard, 2012; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015).

A large number of studies investigated factors related to classroom environment and its implications on students’ mathematics learning. Findings from these studies suggest that aspects of classroom environment such as time for teacher–student interaction, teacher enthusiasm, perceived autonomy, class competition and perceived class performance are significantly related with secondary students’ mathematics learning (Eccles et al., 1993; Fryer & Oga-Baldwin, 2019; Lazarides et al., 2020; Mackay, 2006; Martin et al., 2015). In a longitudinal study in Cyprus, Athanasiou and Philippou (2010) followed 331 students over a period of two consecutive school years. The researchers reported that those who experienced a decline in aspects such as teacher friendliness, cooperation and interaction, after transition to a secondary school, reflected negative self-esteem and declined motivation. This incongruence between student-expectations and the actual mathematics classroom environment has also been highlighted in other studies (Athanasiou & Philippou, 2006, 2008; Bicknell & Hunter, 2012; Eccles et al., 1993; Friedel et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2015). A comparison of classroom perceptions of 541 primary and secondary school students in Australia showed that secondary students perceived lesser involvement in mathematics classroom which resulted in deteriorated attitudes toward mathematics, decreased enjoyment and increased mathematics anxiety (Deieso & Fraser, 2019).

Recent focus of research has however shifted on teachers’ knowledge base (gap) and instructional and pedagogical practices employed in mathematics classrooms. A 2019 study on Irish teachers’ views about issues related to primary–secondary transition highlighted a lack of knowledge of each other’s curriculum and a lack of communication between teachers at both levels (Prendergast et al., 2019). A mismatch of pedagogical practices such as, different teaching practices, fast-paced teaching, and lack of drilling and revision in secondary school mathematics teaching has also been highlighted (Attard, 2012; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Paul, 2014; Powell et al., 2006). Another inconsistency is noted in the use of teaching aids in primary and secondary mathematics classrooms. For example, a recent study by O’Meara, Johnson and Leavy (2020b) found that the use of manipulatives in
mathematics teaching is more frequent in primary classrooms than in the secondary classrooms. In their study, manipulatives were defined as ‘concrete materials, and their virtual equivalents, that foster learning by engaging students physically and visually’ (p.837). The researchers noted significant differences between primary and secondary teachers’ confidence in the use of manipulatives. Similar results were reported in a 1997 Australian study that compared primary and secondary mathematics teachers’ views on the usage of manipulatives in mathematics (Howard et al., 1997).

**Social factors**

Within the social factors, the role of relationships is the most researched. Positive relationship with peers has been recognised as a source of support that can enable successful transition for students (Ma & Xu, 2004). Also, being accompanied by old friends after transition to a new school facilitated positive transition experiences in mathematics (Bicknell & Hunter, 2012).

Student–teacher relationships is another influential construct that shapes students’ experiences of mathematics transition. The quality of relationships with teachers has been found to have a direct influence on students’ mathematics engagement and achievement (Attard, 2011, 2013; Semeraro et al., 2020). Positive relationships with teachers also help to develop students’ socio-emotional skills and motivation for mathematics learning (Semeraro et al., 2020; Stroet et al., 2015). Additionally, secondary teachers’ emphasis on mastery goals was found to promote higher self-efficacy in students (Friedel et al., 2010).

The reviewed studies also suggest that parental factors and home environment are strong predictors of mathematics engagement and achievement across transition. Using a secondary analysis of data from a national longitudinal study in the UK, Evans and Field (2020b) found that positive relationship with parents, level of parents’ education and their school involvement play an influential role in mathematics attainment at the transition (at age 11). Additionally, parental emotional support, e.g. students’ perceptions of their parents’ valuing of mathematics is reported to be consistently associated with shifts in mathematics engagement (Martin et al., 2015). Furthermore, parents’ own aspirations and their competence beliefs strongly impact their children’s experiences of mathematics learning during the transitional phase (Becker & Neumann, 2018; Gniewosz et al., 2012; Pesu et al., 2018). Greater emphasis by parents on ‘mastery goals’ (the extent to which children perceive an emphasis on learning and understanding) contributes to increased self-efficacy and motivation for mathematics learning (Friedel et al., 2010). Additionally, parents’ involvement in non-school-related activities also influenced students’ mathematics progress from primary to secondary school (Rice, 2001; Smyth et al., 2004). Other home-related factors such as number of siblings and socio-economic status were reported to have only a little effect on transition experiences (Rice, 2001).

**Research Question 2. What factors influence students’ experiences of science transition from primary to secondary school?**

28 studies were identified that addressed the factors influencing students’ experiences of science transition. Table 7 lists the factors identified within the 3 categories described above.

**Student self-regulation factors**

Studies identified in this category suggest that as students transition to secondary school, they may acquire negative attitudes towards science and feel less motivated to engage in science learning (Bae & DeBusk-Lane, 2018). Hasni et al. (2017) noted that secondary students generally consider science subjects to be more important than social sciences and arts but less important than mathematics and English. Furthermore, boys considered science to be easier compared with other subjects, than girls. Gender differences have also been noted in relation to science aspirations for the future, with higher science aspirations expressed by boys than girls (DeWitt et al., 2014). The preferences and interest in science is also related to students’ academic performance at the school level (Bae & DeBusk-Lane, 2018; Ohle et al., 2015). Studies also suggest a close link between students’ science interest and classroom practices. In a UK study, Braund and Driver (2005) noted a link between science interest and practical work done in science class. It was noted that primary school students enjoyed practical work in science and expected secondary school science to be more enjoyable in terms of experimentation and hands-on activities. While the style of instruction influences situational interest which is temporary, it has implications for the development of individual interest which is a long term and stable construct (Trobst et al., 2016).

Research has also noted a correlation between positive self-perceptions of ability and science achievement (Silverthorn et al., 2005). Self-regulating factors such as the ability to adjust and stress management also influence students’ experiences of transition to secondary school. The transition phase is found to be generally easier for those with good social and emotional adaptability skills (Jordan et al., 2010).

**School-related and academic factors**

Within the school-related factors, the majority of the studies explored aspects of science classroom
environment, instructional practices, and specific topics of science curriculum. 22 studies reported on school-related factors.

A positive correlation between classroom learning environment and students’ science attitudes has been reported (Wang & Lin, 2009). However, studies highlight a mismatch between student-expected and the actual learning environment in secondary science classrooms (Campbell, 2001; Saat, 2010; Speering & Rennie, 1996; Wang & Lin, 2009). These studies reported students’ negative experiences of science transition on account of their perceived dissatisfaction with the traditional learning environment and lack of practical teaching approaches in secondary school science classrooms. Gender differences in how the learning environment is perceived by students has also been highlighted. For instance, while boys prioritised activities in secondary school, girls were more concerned about their relationships with friends and teachers (Ferguson & Fraser, 1998).

12 out of 22 studies explored the role of instructional practices in shaping students’ experiences of science transition from primary to secondary school. Evidence from these studies suggest the importance of conducting practical experiments and inquiry-based hands-on activities to enhance students’ interest and engagement in science (Logan & Skamp, 2008; Trobst et al., 2016; Varley et al., 2013). However, lack of such opportunities for students, e.g. ‘a dominance of prescribed, teacher-directed practical work over independently planned pupil investigations’ (Varley et al., 2013, p.284), excessive note copying reported by secondary students (Logan & Skamp, 2008), lesser use of manipulative skills for science instruction (Fadzil & Saat, 2014a, 2014b) and teacher-centred learning in secondary classroom (Wang & Lin, 2009) is highlighted. Research has noted that formal teaching methods impede the growth of conceptual knowledge and understanding of the relevance of science in daily life (Saat, 2010). Pedagogies that provide opportunities for active classroom participation and social communication (with peers and teachers) instil greater positive attitudes towards science learning (Wang & Lin, 2009).

A smaller number of studies also explored students’ acquisition of content knowledge for specific topics such as heat, matter or energy concepts and the progression of their understanding in these concepts as they move from primary to secondary school (Nakhleh et al., 2005; Opitz et al., 2015; Saat, 2010). These studies highlight a lack of conceptual understanding in science and a gap between students’ general knowledge and scientific knowledge as they transition to secondary school. Only one study (Ohle et al., 2015) from the review investigated teachers’ content knowledge (CK) in physics and explored its impact on students’ interest. Teachers’ self-interest in physics positively predicted their content knowledge of physics. However, no association was found between teachers’ CK and students’ interest in physics.

### Social factors

Only six studies reported on factors related to home and family environment and relationships with peers and teachers. Three studies investigated the factors related to home and family environment (Morgan et al., 2016; Senler & Sungur, 2009). Findings from these studies suggest that factors such as quality of parenting, parental...
involvement in school activities, parents’ education, and the level of family income are the key factors influencing children’s science-related beliefs and their science achievement. Furthermore, parents’ attitudes towards school science impacts their children’s science aspirations for the future (DeWitt & Archer, 2015). However, positive parental attitudes may not always translate to positive science aspirations of children—an important factor is whether or not parents work in science-related jobs (DeWitt & Archer, 2015).

Peer relationships were also found to be very influential in promoting positive transition experiences. Investigating the role of cooperative learning strategies in science across the transition, Thurston et al. (2010a) noted that ‘Pupils tended to focus peer relationships on pupils with whom they worked, rather than more generally with the class’ (p. 30). Two studies (Ferguson & Fraser, 1998; Speering & Rennie, 1996) reported on the influence of student–teacher relationships on students’ experiences of science transition. These studies reported that change in student–teacher relationship was the most difficult one for students when they moved to secondary school. Secondary school students felt a lack of personal interaction with their teachers and reported decreased motivation for learning. These relationship concerns were more prominent in girls, reporting higher levels of dissatisfaction than boys.

**Research Question 3. What are the implications of the factors that influence students’ experiences in mathematics across the transition from primary to secondary school?**

A total number of 41 studies were identified that explored the impact of factors that influence students’ mathematics transition to secondary school. Table 8 shows the implications of transition evidenced in the reviewed studies.

The most prominent impact of students’ (negative) transition experiences, as evidenced from the review, is on students’ mathematics achievement. Nearly 40% of the studies reviewed under this section reported a significant dip in mathematics achievement as students transition to secondary school. It has been asserted that trajectories in mathematics achievement follow a rise–hold–loss pattern, meaning that students show higher mathematics achievement in primary school, remain neutral in middle school and the achievement levels decline in high school (Lee, 2010). Evidence from reviewed studies also suggest that transition solely cannot be associated with declined achievement rather various other contextual factors may come into play. A significant (though small) effect of the impact of mathematics attitudes, school affect, teacher characteristics and working memory on mathematics attainment trajectories have been established (Evans & Field, 2020a; Evans et al., 2020). Carmichael (2015) reported only a small effect of transition on mathematics achievement after controlling for factors of age, sex and parental wealth. Changes in curriculum and pedagogical practices rather than the move to a different school was reported as a major factor that influences students’ academic achievement.

Another significant impact of primary–secondary transition that emerged from the review is a shift in students’ attitudes and motivation in mathematics. More than half of the studies reported a decline in attitudes relating to motivation and engagement and beliefs of mathematics self-abilities in secondary school students. It has been noted that secondary school students show decreased persistence, lesser positive attitudes to mathematical inquiry, describe mathematics as less valuable and perceive lesser enjoyment in mathematics than primary school students (Deieso & Fraser, 2019; Pajares & Graham, 1999). Martin et al. (2015) reported significant decline in students’ mathematics engagement after transition, but the decline was found to be associated with student, home and classroom factors rather than the transition itself.

**Table 8 Implications of mathematics transition experiences**

| Implications of factors | Source of evidence |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Impact on mathematics achievement | Anderman & Midgley, 1997; Carmichael, 2015; Carolan, 2013; Evans & Field, 2020b; Lazarides et al., 2020; Lee, 2010; Mackay, 2006; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Pajares & Graham, 1999; Paul, 2014; Rice, 1997; Semeraro et al., 2020; Smyth et al., 2004; Whittley et al., 2007; Widlund et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018 |
| Shifts in attitudes and motivation | Anderman & Midgley, 1997, 2004; Athanasiou & Philippou, 2006, 2008, 2010; Attard, 2010, 2012, 2013; Darragh, 2013; Eccles et al., 1993; Friedel et al., 2010; GaIlton et al., 2003; Jansen et al., 2012; Lazarides et al., 2019; Martin et al., 2015; Mudaly & Sukhdeo, 2015; Pajares & Graham, 1999; Paul, 2014; Widlund et al., 2018 |
| Identity development | Arens et al., 2013; Athanasiou & Philippou, 2010; Becker & Neumann, 2018; Darragh, 2013; Entwistle et al., 1994; Field et al., 2019; Friedel et al., 2010; Gniiewosz et al., 2012; Ma & Xu, 2004; Madjar et al., 2018; Muzzatti & Agnoli, 2007; Pajares & Graham, 1999; Pesu et al., 2018; Suren & Kandemir, 2020; Widlund et al., 2018; Wigfield et al., 1991; Yao et al., 2018 |
Studies also provide evidence of the impact of transition on aspects related to the development of mathematical identities of students. For example, decline in self-concept and confidence (Darragh, 2013; Widlund et al., 2018), incline in negative self-esteem (Athanasiou & Philippou, 2010) and increased mathematics anxiety (Madjar et al., 2018) after transition to secondary school have been noted. A significant influence on students' self-efficacy beliefs was found to be predicted by students' perceptions of their teachers' and parents' emphasis on mastery and performance goals (Friedel et al., 2010). Gender seems to play a significant role in the development of mathematical identities. It is documented that girls experience greater decline in self-esteem resulting in higher levels of mathematics anxiety than boys (Ma & Xu, 2004; Madjar et al., 2018; Suren & Kandemir, 2020). Evidence also suggests that mathematics anxiety not only influences the school transitional phase, but it can have long-lasting consequences. Findings from a recent UK study by Field et al. (2019) report that pre-transition levels of anxiety and changes during transition are significant predictors of mathematics anxiety at age 18. Additionally, this study reported that mathematics attainment (prior to transition and its trajectories across the transition) also predicts later mathematics anxiety.

**Research Question 4. What are the implications of the factors that influence students' experiences in science across the transition from primary to secondary school?**

19 publications in science transition were mapped with this research question to explore the implications of students’ transition experiences in science. The review studies provided evidence for significant decline in science achievement when students transition from primary to secondary school (Alspaugh, 1998; Bae & DeBusk-Lane, 2018; Morgan et al., 2016; Powell et al., 2006; Rice, 1997, 2001). A longitudinal study by Morgan et al. (2016) in the US reported that large science achievement gaps emerge at an early age and persist till the end of eighth grade. In their study, student’s prior general knowledge emerged as the strongest predictor of these achievement gaps in science. Parental influences such as home environment and the quality of parenting were also associated with academic achievement (ibid). Table 9 lists the implications of science transition evidenced in the reviewed literature.

The review studies also report a shift in students’ attitudes and engagement in science as they transition from primary to secondary school. For instance, science interest and motivation decline significantly in secondary school (Anderhag et al., 2016; Hasni et al., 2017; Reid & Skryabina, 2003; Speering & Rennie, 1996; Trobst et al., 2016; Varley et al., 2013). Anderhag et al. (2016), however, argued that there is a possibility that there is no loss of interest when students enter secondary school rather the interest was not even developed in the first instance. The researchers criticised heavy reliance of research on interviews and questionnaires, doubting the reliability of results. In contrast, Logan and Skamp (2008), in their longitudinal study of students’ science engagement in Australia, found that most of the students in secondary school showed positive attitudes towards science. This was attributed to students’ perceived enjoyment in practical science work and use of new laboratory equipment in secondary school. However, they also acknowledged the possibility of the ‘Hawthorne effect’ where ‘the researcher may have influenced student interest by giving credence to student voice...helping students see the value in school science!’ (pp. 516–517).

Evidence also highlights the effect of transition experiences on constructs related to the development of students’ scientific identities. Lofgran et al. (2015) measured science self-efficacy of students at two transition points—from elementary to middle school and from middle to high school in the US. Their analysis found gradual declines in self-efficacy scores as students progressed from elementary to middle school, with significant declines observed in the ninth grade (high school). Considerable differences in students’ science aspirations before and after transition have also been noted (Speering & Rennie, 1996). On the other hand, it has been argued that there is a disconnect between attitudes to school science and aspirations for future science. Positive science attitudes in school may not necessarily

| Implications of factors                  | Source of evidence                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Impact on science achievement           | Alspaugh, 1998; Bae & DeBusk-Lane, 2018; Morgan et al., 2016; Powell et al., 2006; Rice, 1997, 2001 |
| Shifts in attitudes and motivation      | Aker & Ellis, 2019; Anderhag et al., 2016; Barmby et al., 2008; Galton et al., 2003; Hasni et al., 2017; Logan & Skamp, 2008; Martin et al., 2020; Ohle et al., 2015; Reid & Skryabina, 2003; Senler & Sungut, 2009; Speering & Rennie, 1996; Trobst et al., 2016 |
| Identity development                    | Barmby et al., 2008; DeWitt & Archer, 2015; DeWitt et al., 2014; Lofgran et al., 2015; Reid & Skryabina, 2003; Speering & Rennie, 1996; Trobst et al., 2016 |
translate to future aspirations for science-related careers (DeWitt et al., 2014). Martin et al. (2020) also noted that decreased science engagement was evident only in school and not in terms of students’ aspirations for choosing science careers or science-related activities outside school. Additionally, consistent findings were noted in terms of gender differences in various constructs of identity development. Girls’ attitudes seem to be particularly affected after their transition to secondary school. For example, girls expressed lesser science self-efficacy (Lofgran et al., 2015), greater declines in science interest (Barmby et al., 2008; Reid & Skryabina, 2003; Speering & Rennie, 1996; Trobst et al., 2016) and lower science aspirations than boys (DeWitt et al., 2014; Speering & Rennie, 1996).

Research Questions 5: What measures have been used to support students in mathematics and science transitions from primary to secondary school?

From a total of 73 publications on mathematics transition selected for the review, only three could be mapped with this research question (Table 10). In comparison, review studies on science transition included a greater proportion of studies focused on measures to facilitate transition experiences of students. 11 out of 47 selected publications in science provide evidence of measures taken for supporting students across primary to secondary school transition (Table 11). The studies mapped with this section are listed in Tables 10 and 11.

Two approaches to these measures were identified: a) theoretical measures and b) empirical measures.

Theoretical measures

One study on mathematics transition by Cantley et al. (2020) addressed transition via theoretical measures. The authors provide a framework for analysing continuity in student learning across mathematics transition from primary to secondary school. The framework models five hierarchical levels— Implemented curriculum, Pedagogy, School, Society and Civilisation for transition from primary to secondary level mathematics. While the first two levels ensure didactical continuity in students’ learning experiences, the third, fourth and fifth levels in the model would operate differently in different contexts.

Empirical measures

These include measures such as an intervention or an experiment focused on content and pedagogical practices and the effectiveness is measured via participants’ experiences before and after the intervention. All but one study mapped with this research question addressed transition via these measures. In mathematics, two studies report on the evaluation of a professional development programme for teachers—one of which focused on enhancing formative assessment practices to encourage student engagement.

| Author(s) | Study context | Focus |
|-----------|---------------|-------|
| Beesley et al. (2018) | US | Formative assessment—professional development program for teachers |
| Cantley et al. (2020) | Ireland | A framework for analysing mathematics continuity from primary to secondary school |
| Fernandez and Anhalt (2001) | US | Transition towards algebra—professional development program for teachers |

| Author(s) | Study context | Focus |
|-----------|---------------|-------|
| Braund (2007) | United Kingdom | Bridging work in science programme—scientific inquiry |
| Davies and McMahon (2004) | United Kingdom | Joint planning and implementation of link project by primary and secondary teachers |
| McCormack et al. (2014) | Ireland | Cognitive development during transition |
| Braund (2016) | United Kingdom | Investigation skills in science |
| McCormack (2016) | Ireland | Cognitive development during transition |
| Braund and Hames (2005) | United Kingdom | Bridging units programme—scientific inquiry |
| Morgan (2013) | Australia | Explicit teaching of technical language to improve scientific literacy |
| Thurston et al. (2010a, 2010b) | United Kingdom | Cooperative learning in science |
| Scharf and Schibeci (1990) | Australia | Transition units as curricular reform—science attitudes |
| Kerr (2016) | Northern Ireland | Outdoor science learning |
engagement and persistence and the other focused on effective teaching strategies for transition to algebra. In contrast, studies in science mostly investigated the effectiveness of bridging units in the form of small lessons/tasks to facilitate the gap between primary and secondary science. Positive impacts of these interventions in terms of students’ attitudes to science and teachers’ confidence were reported.

Although STEM integration has been increasingly emphasised in international education policies, no studies in this review reported on measures integrating mathematics and science learning to address the challenges in relation to transition between primary and second level.

**Discussion**

This review identified three key factors that influence students’ experiences in mathematics and science across their transition from primary to secondary school—*student self-regulation factors*, *school and academic related factors*, and *social factors*. Findings suggest that students’ experiences of transition in these subjects cannot be attributed to any single factor as various contextual factors may come into play. For example, mathematics attainment trajectories from primary to secondary school are impacted by a multitude of factors such as mathematics attitudes, school affect, teacher characteristics and working memory (Evans & Field, 2020a; Evans et al., 2020). Figure 6 summarises the factors that have been found to influence the experiences of students across mathematics and science transition from primary to secondary school (Research Questions 1 & 2).

The most common focus of research in both the disciplines appears to be in the category of school-related factors wherein classroom learning environment is the most investigated. A major inconsistency lies in curricular and pedagogical practices employed in primary and secondary classrooms. While students expect secondary classroom learning to be more hands-on and activity-oriented, it is not always the case. This incongruence between student-expected and the actual classroom environment negatively impacts student engagement and motivation in mathematics and science learning. Also, the majority of the studies report negative experiences of students and factors contributing to positive experiences are relatively less discussed, confirming similar findings from previous reviews on general transition from primary to secondary school (Bharara, 2020; Jindal-Snape et al., 2019).

The review found relatively less research on the social factors, i.e., the role of relationships, family and home environment in science than mathematics. Availability of less literature in science than mathematics on family-related factors was also noted by Goos et al. (2020) in their study on gender balance in STEM. Nonetheless, this review provides ample evidence to suggest that family and friends play an influential role in students’ interface with mathematics and science as they transition to their secondary school.

---

**Fig. 6** Factors influencing students’ experiences of mathematics and science transition
The implications of the factors that affect students’ experiences of mathematics and science across this transition (Research Questions 3 & 4) are illustrated in Fig. 7. A significant impact of these combined factors is a shift in students’ attitudes and motivation in learning. More than half of the studies in this review presented evidence of a decrease in student motivation and engagement and an increase in negative attitudes towards mathematics and science among secondary school students. The reviewed studies also indicate that students’ performance in mathematics and science declines as they transition to their secondary school which echoes the findings from other reviews on general transition (Jindal-Snape et al., 2019; McGee et al., 2003). One striking observation is that while many studies in mathematics address mathematics anxiety and investigate it as a factor as well as an impact of transition, no studies in science bring up the issue of science anxiety although decreased science interest and engagement have been reported. This is noteworthy as psychological well-being is an important factor in the development of students’ mathematics and scientific identities and requires further research.

Whilst many studies in this review addressed research questions 1–4, studies that reported on effective measures to support mathematics and science transitions (research question 5) are limited, highlighting the need for further research in this area. Furthermore, these measures reported in the reviewed studies have dealt with mathematics and science disciplines separately. An integrated approach for the teaching and learning of mathematics and science disciplines emerged as a gap in the literature on school transition.

It is also interesting to note that even though separate analyses of the studies reviewed in mathematics and science were conducted, similar findings were obtained in relation to the factors that influence students’ experiences in these two subjects and the implications of these factors. This presents a strong rationale for the integration of mathematics and science to address the challenges faced by students in these two subjects across the transition.

**Recommendations and conclusions**

Findings of this review clearly indicate that most of the existing research identifies that mathematics and science transitions from primary to secondary school are associated with many challenges and negative experiences of students. These negative experiences act as barriers to students’ learning and result in disengagement and disinterest for these subjects. The findings of this systematic review offer recommendations for policy and curriculum development, learning environment, teacher education and curriculum structure.

i) **Increased curricular and pedagogical continuity**

Addressing the negative factors identified in this study requires a greater focus on the continuity between primary and secondary curricula. This continuity needs to be explicitly presented in curricular specifications at both
primary and secondary level. Improved coherence in learning and teaching approaches at both levels can support student engagement in mathematics and science. In addition, increased alignment between primary and secondary curricula can also improve pedagogical continuity across this transition.

ii) Positive learning environments
This review highlights the influence of student self-regulation skills on their experiences of mathematics and science learning across transitions. Creating a supportive learning environment is a significant factor for the development of student’s key skills and attitudes, such as motivation and resilience for learning, and beliefs of self-esteem and self-concept (Athanasiou & Philippou, 2010). Learning environments where students do not worry about making mistakes increase students’ enjoyment and self-confidence (Kaur & Prendergast, 2021), promote a growth mindset and foster resilience for learning (Fraser, 2018). Therefore, greater consideration should be given to creating and promoting positive learning environments that help develop students’ self-regulating skills to support their transition from primary to secondary school.

iii) A greater focus on teacher collaboration and professional learning
Establishing professional learning communities that bring together primary and secondary mathematics and science teachers could lead to increased collaboration and communication between teachers and enable sharing of instructional and pedagogical practices. Findings of this review suggest that school-related factors, such as communication and collaboration between teachers, curricular and pedagogical inconsistencies have significant influence on mathematics and science transitions. Further research is needed to examine the impact of greater continuity between curricular and pedagogical approaches used in primary and secondary classrooms.

iv) Addressing social factors
Interventions that focus on addressing social factors such as peer relationships, parental influences and student–teacher relationships are needed. These may include measures such as increased parental involvement and measures that provide greater emotional support to students. Promoting student and teacher engagement in reflective practices may also help to develop positive identities of students.

Contributions and implications for future research
As noted in this review, integrated learning approaches in mathematics and science for addressing the challenges of primary–secondary transition are under researched. Future research is needed to explore how integration between mathematics and science disciplines at the school level can facilitate smooth transitions from primary to secondary education. Further studies are needed to examine the impact of explicit connections between mathematics and science for promoting student interest and engagement in these subjects. Further research is also needed to examine student learning when explicit connections are made between mathematics and science.

The findings also suggest a dearth of international comparative studies on mathematics and science transitions from primary to secondary school. The reviewed literature contained only one cross-border study by O’Meara et al. (2020a) where teachers’ perceptions of mathematical knowledge for teaching at the transition were examined cross-sectionally from Northern Ireland and Republic of Ireland. It is recommended that future research focuses on international comparisons of the challenges and positive experiences of students and teachers across primary–secondary school transition. Such studies will promote international collaboration to share examples and evidence of best practices to support students during their transition phase. Additionally, the reviewed literature discussed negative experiences more than the positive experiences. Future research should also capture students’ experiences or perceptions of what worked well to support their transition journey meaningfully.

There are potential limitations in the generalisability or transferability of findings of this review. The review included studies that were published in English language only. Studies in other languages may have significant findings which could be a potential lack of evidence to the results. Another limitation is that even though the search strings were applied carefully for database searches, some relevant studies could have been left. Also, while utmost attention and objectivity was ensured by the researchers during the selection and analysis of studies, there is a possibility that some significant studies may have been missed. The findings of the current study should be interpreted in light of these limitations. Despite these limitations, the breadth of the research reviewed provided a broad understanding of the landscape of mathematics and science transitions from primary to secondary school. It is hoped that the findings of this review will inform further research and planning of measures/interventions to support transitions across primary–secondary mathematics and science. Finally, this review did not
include studies which focused on mathematics and science transition experiences of specialised or vulnerable young people. This could be an area of future research.
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