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The statistical mechanics of quantum-classical systems with holonomic constraints is formulated rigorously by unifying the classical Dirac bracket and the quantum-classical bracket in matrix form. The resulting Dirac quantum-classical theory, which conserves the holonomic constraints exactly, is then used to formulate time evolution and statistical mechanics. The correct momentum-jump approximation for constrained system arises naturally from this formalism. Finally, in analogy with what was found in the classical case, it is shown that the rigorous linear response function of constrained quantum-classical systems contains non-trivial additional terms which are absent in the response of unconstrained systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum-classical formalisms are computationally useful approximations of full quantum mechanics. In the past few years, a mathematical formalism, which permits to derive surface-hopping schemes from full quantum mechanics by means of a number of controlled approximations, has been proposed. Most notably a consistent statistical theory of quantum-classical systems has been first introduced and then used in order to formulate the theory of nonadiabatic rate constants. Numerical calculations of quantum-classical rate constants in nonadiabatic chemical reactions have been performed for some model systems which are relevant for condensed phase. Despite some numerical problems with long-time integration of surface-hopping trajectories, this approach holds promise for the study of more realistic systems with few quantum degrees of freedom but many classical particles. As a matter of fact, just recently, Hanna and Kapral reported the results of a quantum-classical calculation of the nonadiabatic rate constant for the proton transfer process occurring in a two-atom complex immersed in a classical bath of diatomic molecules, which were represented by means of cartesian coordinates and holonomic constraints. This example shows how, within the quantum-classical formalism of Ref., one can easily model classical baths which are, in principle, as complex as the state of art atomistic representation of a protein by means of holonomic constraints and force fields (for an example see Refs.). However, in order to do so rigorously, one must generalize the quantum-classical formalism of Ref. so that it can describe classical baths with holonomic constraints. This issue was not addressed in Ref. Thus, the motivation of the present paper is to formulate a consistent statistical mechanics of quantum-classical systems when holonomic constraints are employed to model the classical bath. Linear response theory for quantum-classical constrained systems is also given because it is particularly relevant for the calculation of nonadiabatic rate constants.

A rigorous formulation of linear response theory for fully classical systems with holonomic constraints has been introduced only recently by means of a reinterpretation of the formalism originally developed by Dirac. This formalism, based on a generalization of the symplectic structure of classical brackets in phase space, showed that unusual terms may appear in the response function of a classical system with holonomic constraints. In light of this result, the extension of the theory of Refs. to the quantum-classical case is a subtle issue. The identification of the symplectic structure of quantum commutators and the generalization of this structure to introduce a non-Hamiltonian quantum bracket, of which the quantum-classical bracket of Refs. is a particular realization, has also been proposed just recently and used to introduce quantum-classical Nosé-Hoover dynamics.

In the present work, the non-Hamiltonian commutator of Ref. and the classical Dirac bracket of Refs. are combined in order to formulate the statistical theory of quantum-classical systems with holonomic constraints. A Dirac quantum-classical bracket, which exactly conserves constraints, is easily introduced and used consistently to define the dynamics and the statistical mechanics of quantum-classical systems with holonomic constraints. In particular, it will be shown how the momentum-jump approximation must be modified in order to consider correctly the back-reaction of the quantum degrees of freedom on the constrained classical momenta. The stationary constrained quantum-classical density matrix will be derived and linear response theory formulated. As already observed in the classical case, non-trivial terms, associated to the action of the perturbation on the phase space measure of the unperturbed constrained system and the phase space compressibility introduced by the perturbation itself, must be considered in general. It results from the derivation that these additional terms are zero if quantum-classical variables, to which the perturbation is coupled, depend only from particle positions. In this case, the rate formulas derived in Refs. can be applied to a constrained systems with the only additional requirement of using the correct constrained stationary density matrix. However, different perturbations could require to evaluate all the terms in the response function.
of quantum-classical constrained systems and one must be aware of their existence.

If the work presented in this paper is considered together with that of Ref. 13, where non-Hamiltonian quantum commutators where introduced and used to introduce quantum-classical Nosé dynamics, it is readily realized that a unified formalism for defining generalized dynamics and statistical mechanics in quantum-classical systems is now available. There are reasonable expectations that this formalism could be used in the future in order to attack the problem of long-time numerical integration of quantum-classical dynamics.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II Dirac formalism, as given in Ref. 8, is shortly summarized. In Sec. III the results of Ref. 13 are quickly presented by showing the generalized symplectic structure of quantum-classical brackets, which are a particular realization of non-Hamiltonian quantum commutators. In Sec. IV the matrix structure of quantum-classical bracket, is used to combine it with Dirac bracket. In such a way the Dirac quantum-classical formalism is introduced and equations of motion which preserves the constraints exactly are given. In Sec. V such equations of motion are represented in the adiabatic basis and the corresponding momentum-jump approximation for a constrained system is derived easily. In Sec. VI the quantum-classical stationary density matrix for a system with holonomic constraints is introduced and equations of motion which preserves the constraints exactly are given. In Sec. VII rigorous linear response for constrained systems is introduced. Finally, conclusions are given in Sec. VIII.

II. DIRAC BRACKET FOR CLASSICAL SYSTEMS WITH HOLONOMIC CONSTRAINTS

Consider the phase space point $X = (R, P)$, where $R$ and $P$ are coordinates and momenta, respectively, of the system under consideration. Let

$$B^s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the symplectic matrix, then it is well known 12, 13 that Poisson brackets can be written as

$$\{a, b\} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial a}{\partial X_i} B^s_{ij} \frac{\partial b}{\partial X_j},$$

where $a(X)$ and $b(X)$ are two arbitrary phase space functions and $2N$ is the dimension of phase space. The structure of Eq. (2) has been exploited to introduce Hamiltonian non-canonical flows 14, non-Hamiltonian 10, 11 and constrained flows 8. Here it is summarized how to generalize the structure of Eq. (2) in order to define equations of motion for systems with holonomic constraints.

Consider a system with Hamiltonian $H_0$ and a set of phase space constraints

$$\xi_\alpha(X) = 0 \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, 2l.$$  

Following Dirac 9, one can introduce the matrix

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \{\xi_\alpha, \xi_\beta\} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial \xi_\alpha}{\partial X_i} B^s_{ij} \frac{\partial \xi_\beta}{\partial X_j}$$

and its inverse $(C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, 2l$. Note that, when considering Poisson brackets of the constraints, the convention of first evaluating the brackets and then imposing the constraints must be followed 8. By defining an antisymmetric matrix $B^D$

$$B^D_{ij}(X) = B^s_{ij} - \sum_{k,m=1}^{2N} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2l} B^s_{ik} \frac{\partial \sigma_\alpha}{\partial X_k} (C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \sigma_\beta}{\partial X_m} B^s_{mj},$$

the Dirac bracket can be introduced as

$$\{a, b\}_D = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial a}{\partial X_i} B^D_{ij} \frac{\partial b}{\partial X_j}.$$  

Equation (7) was originally given by Dirac in the equivalent form 9

$$\{a, b\}_D = \{a, b\} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2l} \{a, \xi_\alpha\} (C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} \{\chi_\beta, b\}.$$  

Constrained phase space flows are then defined by

$$\dot{a} = \{a, H_0\}_D,$$

so that the Hamiltonian and any function of the constraints is conserved because, considering an arbitrary function $f(\xi_\alpha)$ of the constraints, it is easy to verify that $\{f(\xi_\alpha), H_0\}_D = 0$. The above formalism due to Dirac has been specialized to non-relativistic systems with holonomic constraints 8.

In order to see how this can be achieved, consider a system with a number $l$ of holonomic constraints in configuration space $\sigma_\alpha(\{R\}) = 0$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, l$. Consider also the following additional constraints $\sigma_\alpha(\{R, \dot{R}\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2N} (\partial \sigma_\alpha / \partial R_i) \cdot P_i / M = 0$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, l$, where $M$ are the particle masses. The whole set of constraints can be denoted as

$$\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_l, \xi_{l+1}, \ldots, \xi_{2l}\} = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_l).$$

Defining the matrices

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,k=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_\beta}{\partial \dot{R}_i} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_\alpha}{\partial R_i \partial \dot{R}_i} \cdot P_i / M \right),$$

and

$$Z_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_i \left( \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_\alpha}{\partial \dot{R}_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma_\beta}{\partial \dot{R}_i} \cdot P_i / M \right),$$
Then one finds

\[ C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Z \\ -Z & \Gamma \end{bmatrix}, \] (12)

and

\[ C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} Z^{-1} \Gamma Z^{-1} - Z^{-1} & 0 \\ Z^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \] (13)

The time evolution of the phase space point under the constrained dynamics is then given by the Dirac bracket. The explicit equations of motion are

\[ \dot{R} = \{ R, \mathcal{H}_0 \}_D = \frac{P}{M}, \] (14)

\[ \dot{P} = \{ P, \mathcal{H}_0 \}_D = F - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{l} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\alpha}}{\partial R}, \] (15)

where \( F \) are the forces acting on the particles. The \( \lambda_{\alpha} \) are the exact Lagrange multipliers

\[
\lambda_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{l} Z_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} \{ \sigma_{\beta}, \mathcal{H}_0 \},
\]

\[
= \sum_{\beta=1}^{l} \left( \frac{P}{M} \otimes \frac{P}{M} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{\beta}}{\partial R \partial R} + \frac{F}{M} \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma_{\beta}}{\partial R} \right). \] (16)

III. GENERALIZED QUANTUM MECHANICS AND QUANTUM-CLASSICAL BRACKETS

Consider now the realm of quantum mechanics and an arbitrary set of quantum variables \( \hat{\chi}_A, A = 1, \ldots, n \). The commutator \( \{ \hat{\chi}_A, \hat{\chi}_N \}_- = \hat{\chi}_A \hat{\chi}_N - \hat{\chi}_N \hat{\chi}_A \) was written in symplectic form \cite{14} using the matrix defined in Eq. (11):

\[ \{ \hat{\chi}_A, \hat{\chi}_N \} = \left[ \hat{\chi}_A, \hat{\chi}_N \right] \cdot \mathcal{B}^s \cdot \left[ \hat{\chi}_A, \hat{\chi}_N \right]. \] (17)

Considering the Hamiltonian operator of the system \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \), the laws of motion in the Heisenberg picture are written as

\[ \frac{d\hat{\chi}_A}{dt} = i \hbar \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \hat{\chi}_A \right] \cdot \mathcal{B}^s \cdot \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \hat{\chi}_A \right] = i \hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\chi}_A, \] (18)

where the Liouville operator

\[ i \hat{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \ldots \right] \cdot \mathcal{B}^s \cdot \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \ldots \right] \] (19)

has also been introduced.

As it was showed in Ref. \cite{14}, the laws of quantum mechanics can be generalized by defining the antisymmetric matrix operator

\[ \mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{\zeta} \\ -\hat{\zeta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \] (20)

with \( \hat{\zeta} \) arbitrary operator or c-number, and defining non-Hamiltonian quantum brackets (commutators) as

\[ \{ \hat{\chi}_A, \hat{\chi}_N \}_- = \left[ \hat{\chi}_A, \hat{\chi}_N \right] \cdot \mathcal{D} \cdot \left[ \hat{\chi}_A, \hat{\chi}_N \right]. \] (21)

Generalized equations of motion are then defined by

\[ \frac{d\hat{\chi}_A}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \hat{\chi}_A \right] \cdot \mathcal{D} \cdot \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \hat{\chi}_A \right] = i \hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\chi}_A. \] (22)

Quantum-classical systems are defined in terms of quantum variables \( \hat{\chi}_A \) in a Hilbert space which depends from the classical phase space point \( X \), i.e. \( \hat{\chi}_A(X) \). Time evolution in the Hilbert space and in classical phase space are coupled consistently. The energy \( E_0 \) of such systems is defined in terms of a quantum-classical Hamiltonian operator \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0(X) \) so that \( E_0 = Tr' \int dX \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0(X) \).

The dynamical evolution of a quantum-classical operator \( \hat{\chi}_A(X) \) is given by the quantum-classical bracket which is defined in terms of the commutator and the symmetrized sum of Poisson bracket \cite{12,13}. Following Refs. \cite{10,11}, in Ref. \cite{12} the quantum-classical bracket was easily casted in matrix form as a non-Hamiltonian commutator. To this end, one can consider the operator \( \Lambda \) defined in such a way that applying its negative on any pair of quantum-classical operators \( \hat{\chi}_A(X) \) and \( \hat{\chi}_N(X) \) their Poisson bracket is obtained

\[ \{ \hat{\chi}_A, \hat{\chi}_N \} = -\hat{\chi}_A(X) \hat{\chi}_N(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial \hat{\chi}_A}{\partial X_i} B_{ij} \frac{\partial \hat{\chi}_N}{\partial X_j}. \] (23)

If one defines

\[ \zeta^{qc} = 1 + \frac{\hbar \Lambda}{2i} \] (24)

in Eq. (20) then a new matrix operator is introduced as

\[ \mathcal{D}^{qc} = \zeta^{qc} \mathcal{B}^s \] (25)

and the quantum-classical law of motion can be written as

\[ \partial_t \hat{\chi}_A = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \hat{\chi}_A \right] \cdot \mathcal{D}^{qc} \cdot \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \hat{\chi}_A \right] = (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0, \hat{\chi}_A) = i \hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\chi}_A, \] (26)

where the last equality introduces the quantum-classical Liouville operator in terms of the quantum-classical bracket.

IV. QUANTUM-CLASSICAL DYNAMICS WITH HOLONOMIC CONSTRAINTS

Consider a quantum-classical system with Hamiltonian \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0(X) \) and a set of holonomic constraints, as specified by Eq. (22), acting on the classical bath variables \( X \). Then introduce an operator \( \Lambda^{D} \) such that it can be used to...
give the negative of the Dirac bracket of two arbitrary quantum-classical variables
\[
\{\hat{x}_A, \hat{x}_N\}_D = -\hat{x}_A(X)\hat{\Delta}D\hat{x}_N(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial \hat{x}_A}{\partial X_i} B^D_{ij} \frac{\partial \hat{x}_N}{\partial X_j},
\]
where $B^D$ has been defined in Eq. 14. Using $\hat{\Delta}D$, one can define
\[
\zeta^D = 1 + \frac{\hbar \Lambda^D}{2t},
\]
and the constrained matrix operator
\[
\mathcal{D}^D = \zeta^D B^s.
\]
Using $\zeta^D$ and $\mathcal{D}^D$, defined in Eq. 28 and 29 respectively, equations of motion for quantum-classical systems with holonomic constraints are defined by
\[
\partial_t \hat{x}_A = i \hbar [\hat{H}_0, \hat{x}_A] \mathcal{D}^D [\hat{H}_0, \hat{x}_A] = (\hat{H}_0, \hat{x}_A)_D = i \hat{\xi}^D \hat{x}_A.
\]
In Equation 30, the Dirac quantum-classical bracket $(\ldots)_D$ and the Dirac Liouville operator $i \hat{\xi}^D$ have been introduced. Equation 28 is one of the main results of this work. It introduces the correct algebraic quantum-classical evolution for systems with holonomic constraints. In the following, all the other results of this paper will be derived from this equation.

One can derive the fist consequence of Eq. 30 by considering the time evolution on an arbitrary function $f(\xi)$ of the holonomic constraints. This is of course given by the Dirac quantum-classical bracket $(\hat{H}_0, f(\xi))_D$ which can be written explicitly as
\[
(\hat{H}_0, f(\xi))_D = i \hbar [\hat{H}_0, f(\xi)]
= \left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{\hbar \Lambda^D}{2t} \\
-1 - \frac{\hbar \Lambda^D}{2t} & 0
\end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c}
\hat{H}_0 \\
\hat{f}(\xi)
\end{array}\right]
= i \hbar [\hat{H}_0, f(\xi)]_D - \frac{1}{2} (\{\hat{H}_0, f(\xi)\}_D
- \{f(\xi), \hat{H}_0\}_D).
\]

Equation 31 shows explicitly that the Dirac quantum-classical bracket is defined in terms of the symplectic commutator (in the secion equality, the first term on the right hand side) of two variables minus one half of the antisymmetric combination of classical Dirac brackets. The symplectic commutator of the quantum-classical Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$ with the arbitrary function $f(\xi)$ is identically zero because, by hypothesis, the phase space constraints $\xi, \gamma = 1, \ldots, 2l$ do not involve quantum degrees of freedom. Then, in order to see what is the effect of the quantum-classical Dirac bracket on the arbitrary function of the constraints $f(\xi)$, there remains to be considered the action of the classical Dirac bracket $(\ldots)_D$.

For example, take
\[
(\{\hat{H}_0, f(\xi)\})_D = \{\hat{H}_0, f(\xi)\}
- \{\hat{H}_0, \xi_{\beta} \} (C^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} \{\xi_{\alpha}, f(\xi)\}
= \{\hat{H}_0, f(\xi)\}
- \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \mu = 1}^{2l} \{\hat{H}_0, \xi_{\mu} \} (C^{-1})_{\mu \beta} \{\xi_{\beta}, \hat{H}_0\}
= \{\hat{H}_0, f(\xi)\}_D - \sum_{\mu = 1}^{2l} (\{\hat{H}_0, \xi_{\mu} \}) \frac{\partial f(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{\mu}} = 0.
\]

In the same manner, one finds that $(f(\xi), \hat{H}_0)_D = 0$ so that, as desired, the Dirac quantum-classical bracket leaves invariant any function of the holonomic constraints.

V. REPRESENTATION OF THE QUANTUM-CLASSICAL DIRAC BRACKET IN THE ADIABATIC BASIS

Equation 30 is an algebraic equation. In order to actually perform numerical calculations one needs to introduce a basis. The adiabatic basis is particularly suited to represent quantum-classical equations of motion 2, discuss quantum-classical statistical mechanics 3, obtain surface-hopping algorithms 9, 10, and generalize quantum-classical dynamics in order to have constant temperature dynamics on the classical bath degrees of freedom 11. To define this basis, consider the following specific form of the quantum-classical Hamiltonian
\[
\hat{H}_0 = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2M} + \hat{\Phi}(\hat{R})
= \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2M} + \hbar \hat{\Phi}(R),
\]
where $\hat{\Phi}$ is the kinetic energy operator of the quantum degrees of freedom and $\hat{\Phi}(\hat{R})$ is the potential energy operator coupling quantum and classical variables. It is well known that adiabatic states are defined by the eigenvalue equation
\[
\hbar \hat{\Phi}(R)|\alpha; R\rangle = E_\alpha(R)|\alpha; R\rangle.
\]
Before finding the representation in the adiabatic basis, Eq. 30 can be rewritten in a more explicit form
\[
(\hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi})_D = i \hbar [\hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi}] - \frac{1}{2} (\{\hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi}\} - \{\hat{\chi}, \hat{H}_0\})
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\alpha, \beta = 1}^{2l} \{\hat{H}_0, \xi_{\beta} \} (C^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} \{\xi_{\alpha}, \hat{\chi}\} \right)
- \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{2l} \{\xi_{\mu}, \hat{H}_0\} (C^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{\xi_{\nu}, \hat{\chi}\},
\]

Equation 31 shows explicitly that the Dirac quantum-classical bracket is defined in terms of the symplectic commutator (in the secion equality, the first term on the right hand side) of two variables minus one half of the antisymmetric combination of classical Dirac brackets. The symplectic commutator of the quantum-classical Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$ with the arbitrary function $f(\xi)$ is identically zero because, by hypothesis, the phase space constraints $\xi, \gamma = 1, \ldots, 2l$ do not involve quantum degrees of freedom. Then, in order to see what is the effect of the quantum-classical Dirac bracket on the arbitrary function of the constraints $f(\xi)$, there remains to be considered the action of the classical Dirac bracket $(\ldots)_D$. 
where indices with an overbar have been introduced to indicate constraints. The first two terms on the right hand side of Eq. \(35\) give the standard quantum-classical Dirac bracket as given if Ref. \(2\). The other two terms in the right hand side of Eq. \(35\) pertain to the quantum-classical Dirac bracket and were not analyzed in previous works. In order to evaluate these terms, one has to remind the definition of \(C^{-1}\) in Eq. \(13\) and the fact that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial R} &= \left( \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial R} \frac{P}{M} + \frac{\partial^2 \sigma}{\partial R \partial R} \right) \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial P_i} &= \left( 0, \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial R} \right). \tag{36}
\end{align*}
\]

Then consider

\[
\sum_{\alpha, \beta = 1}^{2l} \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\alpha} \}(C^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} \{ \xi_{\beta}, \hat{\chi} \}
= \sum_{\alpha, \beta = 1}^{l} \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\alpha} \}(Z^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} \{ \sigma_{\beta}, \hat{\chi} \}
- \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\alpha} \}(Z^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} \{ \sigma_{\beta}, \hat{\chi} \}
+ \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\alpha} \}(Z^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} \{ \sigma_{\beta}, \hat{\chi} \}. \tag{38}
\]

Recalling that \(\{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\alpha} \} = -\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha} = 0\), Eq. \(38\) becomes

\[
\sum_{\alpha, \beta = 1}^{2l} \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\alpha} \}(C^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} \{ \xi_{\beta}, \hat{\chi} \}
= \sum_{\alpha, \beta = 1}^{l} \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\alpha} \}(Z^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} \{ \sigma_{\beta}, \hat{\chi} \}. \tag{39}
\]

Analogously, the last term in the right hand side of Eq. \(35\) is

\[
\sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{2l} \{ \hat{\chi}, \sigma_{\mu} \}(C^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{ \xi_{\nu}, \hat{H}_0 \}
= - \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{l} \{ \hat{\chi}, \sigma_{\mu} \}(Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{ \sigma_{\nu}, \hat{H}_0 \}. \tag{40}
\]

Using Eqs. \(38\) and \(40\), the Dirac quantum-classical bracket can be rewritten as

\[
\{ \hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi} \}_D = \frac{i}{\hbar} \{ \hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi} \} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \{ \hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi} \} - \{ \hat{\chi}, \hat{H}_0 \} \right)
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{l} \left( \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\mu} \}(Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{ \sigma_{\nu}, \hat{\chi} \}
+ \{ \hat{\chi}, \sigma_{\mu} \}(Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{ \sigma_{\nu}, \hat{H}_0 \} \right). \tag{41}
\]

The last two terms in the right hand side of Eq. \(41\) can be written more explicitly as

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{l} \left( \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\mu} \}(Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{ \sigma_{\nu}, \hat{\chi} \}
+ \{ \hat{\chi}, \sigma_{\mu} \}(Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{ \sigma_{\nu}, \hat{H}_0 \} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{l} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0}{\partial R} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu}}{\partial R} - \frac{P}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu}}{\partial R} \right)
\times (Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\nu}}{\partial R} \hat{\chi} - \frac{\partial \hat{\chi}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\nu}}{\partial R} (Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu}
\times \left( \frac{P}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\nu}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{\nu}}{\partial R \partial R} - \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\nu}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0}{\partial R} \right) \right] \tag{42}
\]

With the above equations, the quantum-classical Dirac bracket can be written finally as

\[
\{ \alpha; R \}(\hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi})_D = \frac{i}{\hbar} \{ \hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi} \} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \{ \hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi} \} - \{ \hat{\chi}, \hat{H}_0 \} \right)
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{l} \left( \{ \hat{H}_0, \sigma_{\mu} \}(Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{ \sigma_{\nu}, \hat{\chi} \}
- \{ \hat{\chi}, \sigma_{\mu} \}(Z^{-1})_{\mu \nu} \{ \sigma_{\nu}, \hat{H}_0 \} \right)
\times \left( \frac{P}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\nu}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{\nu}}{\partial R \partial R} - \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\nu}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0}{\partial R} \right) \tag{43}
\]

Equation \(43\) is in a form suited to be represented in the adiabatic basis. In such a basis one can write

\[
\langle \alpha; R \rangle (\hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi})_D | \alpha'; R \rangle = \sum_{\beta \beta'} iL^D_{\alpha \alpha', \beta \beta'} \chi_{\beta \beta'}
= \sum_{\beta \beta'} (iL_{\alpha \alpha', \beta \beta'} + iL^\text{con}_{\alpha \alpha', \beta \beta'}) \chi_{\beta \beta'}, \tag{44}
\]

where

\[
\sum_{\beta \beta'} iL_{\alpha \alpha', \beta \beta'} \chi_{\beta \beta'} = \langle \alpha; R \rangle \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \{ \hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi} \}
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \{ \hat{H}_0, \hat{\chi} \} - \{ \hat{\chi}, \hat{H}_0 \} \right) \right] | \alpha'; R \rangle . \tag{45}
\]

The Liouville operator \(iL_{\alpha \alpha', \beta \beta'}\) was given in Ref. \(2\)

\[
iL_{\alpha \alpha', \beta \beta'} = i\omega_{\alpha \alpha'} \delta_{\alpha \alpha'} \delta_{\beta \beta'} + iL_{\alpha \alpha'} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{\alpha' \beta'} - J_{\alpha \alpha', \beta \beta'}, \tag{46}
\]

where \(\omega_{\alpha \alpha'} = h^{-1}(E_{\alpha}(R) - E_{\alpha'}(R))\).

\[
iL_{\alpha \alpha'} = \frac{P}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + \frac{1}{2} \left( F^\alpha + F^{\alpha'} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \tag{47}
\]

is a classical-like Liouville operator which makes quantum-classical variables evolve on a constant energy surface with Helmann-Feynman forces given by \((1/2)(F^\alpha + F^{\alpha'})\), and

\[
J_{\alpha \alpha', \beta \beta'} = -\delta_{\alpha \alpha'} \delta_{\beta \beta'} \left[ \frac{P}{M} + \frac{h}{2} \omega_{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \right]
- \delta_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{\alpha' \beta'} \left[ \frac{P}{M} + \frac{h}{2} \omega_{\alpha' \beta'} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \right]. \tag{48}
\]
is an off-diagonal operator, realizing nonadiabatic transitions, which is defined in terms of the nonadiabatic coupling vector \( d_{\alpha\beta} = (\alpha|\partial R/\partial R|\beta) \). The operator \( i\mathcal{L}^{\text{con}}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} \), which imposes the constraints in the quantum-classical dynamics is

\[
\sum_{\beta\beta'} i\mathcal{L}^{\text{con}}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} \chi^{\beta\beta'} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\bar{\nu}} (\alpha; R) \left( \frac{1}{M} \partial R \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} - \frac{P}{M} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R \partial R} \right) \\
	imes (Z^{-1})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial \chi^{\beta\beta'}}{\partial R} \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\bar{\nu}} (\alpha; R) \frac{\partial \chi^{\beta\beta'}}{\partial R} \left( \frac{P}{M} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R \partial R} - \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} \right) (\alpha'; R) .
\]

By defining \( F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}} = -\langle \alpha; R|\partial \hat{H}_0/\partial R|\beta; R \rangle \) and \( F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}} = -\partial E_\alpha(R)/\partial R \), using \( F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}} = F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \hbar \omega_{\alpha\beta} d_{\alpha\beta} \), adding and subtracting the term

\[
\frac{1}{2} (F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}} + F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) \sum_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} (Z^{-1})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial R} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\beta\alpha}}{\partial R} \delta_{\alpha'\beta'}
\]

and doing some algebra one finally obtains

\[
i\mathcal{L}^{\text{con}}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} = -\sum_{\bar{\nu}=1} (\lambda^{\alpha\bar{\nu}}_{\beta\beta'} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha'\beta'} \\
- \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{\mu,\bar{\nu}=1} (\hbar \omega_{\alpha\beta} d_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha'\beta'} + \hbar \omega_{\alpha'\beta'} d_{\alpha'\beta'} \delta_{\alpha\beta}) \frac{\partial \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial R} (Z^{-1})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\beta\alpha}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha'\beta'} .
\]

where the \( \lambda^{\alpha\bar{\nu}}_{\beta\beta'} \), which are the quantum-classical Lagrange multipliers on the energy surface \((1/2)(E_\alpha + E_{\alpha'})\), are defined as

\[
\lambda^{\alpha\bar{\nu}}_{\beta\beta'} = \sum_{\mu,\bar{\nu}=1} \left( \frac{P}{M} \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R \partial R} \right) (Z^{-1})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \\
+ \frac{1}{2M} (F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}} + F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}}) \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} (Z^{-1})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} .
\]

The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (51) defines a diagonal operator

\[
i\mathcal{L}^{\text{con}}_{\alpha\alpha'} = -\sum_{\bar{\nu}=1} (\lambda^{\alpha\bar{\nu}}_{\beta\beta'} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha'\beta'} .
\]

whose action is to enforce the constraints while time evolution takes place adiabatically on the energy surface \((1/2)(E_\alpha + E_{\alpha'})\). The other two terms in the right hand side of Eq. (51) defines an off-diagonal operator

\[
J^{\text{con}}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{\mu,\bar{\nu}=1} \left( \hbar \omega_{\alpha\beta} d_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha'\beta'} \\
+ \hbar \omega_{\alpha'\beta'} d_{\alpha'\beta'} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \frac{\partial \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial R} (Z^{-1})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\beta\alpha}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} 
\]

which couples the constrained dynamics to quantum transitions between the adiabatic states. Its effect is, on the one hand, to modify the probability that quantum transitions take place and, on the other one, to realize the back-reaction of the quantum transitions on the constrained momenta. It is convenient to finally cast the quantum-classical Dirac-Liouville operator of Eq. (49) in the following form

\[
i\mathcal{L}^{D}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} = (i\omega_{\alpha\alpha'} + i\mathcal{L}^{D}_{\alpha\alpha'}) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha'\beta'} - J^{D}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} ,
\]

where

\[
i\mathcal{L}^{D}_{\alpha\alpha'} = i\mathcal{L}^{D}_{\alpha\alpha'} + i\mathcal{L}^{\text{con}}_{\alpha\alpha'}
\]

\[
= \frac{P}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + \frac{1}{2} \left( F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}} + F^{\alpha\bar{\nu}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \\
- \sum_{\bar{\nu}=1} \lambda^{\alpha\bar{\nu}}_{\beta\beta'} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} ,
\]

and

\[
J^{D}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} = J^{D}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} + J^{\text{con}}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'}
\]

\[
= - \left( \frac{P}{M} \cdot d_{\alpha\beta} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{\omega_{\alpha\beta} d_{\alpha\beta}}{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \right] \delta_{\alpha'\beta'} \\
- \left( \frac{P}{M} \cdot d_{\alpha'\beta'} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{\omega_{\alpha'\beta'} d_{\alpha'\beta'}}{\sigma_{\alpha'\beta'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \right] \delta_{\alpha\beta} ,
\]

where the constrained frequency is

\[
\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \omega_{\alpha\beta} \left( 1 + \sum_{\mu,\bar{\nu}=1} \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\mu\bar{\nu}}}{\partial R} (Z^{-1})_{\mu\bar{\nu}} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial R} \right) .
\]

The time evolution of any dynamical variable is given obviously by

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi^{\alpha\alpha'} = \sum_{\beta\beta'} \mathcal{L}^{D}_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} \chi^{\beta\beta'} .
\]

A. MOMENTUM-JUMP APPROXIMATION FOR CONSTRAINED SYSTEMS

When performing numerical calculations on systems with many degrees of freedom [4, 5, 16, 17] the action of
the operator in Eq. (55) is usually evaluated within the momentum-jump approximation [15]. This approximation can be derived easily for the operator in Eq. (57), defined in terms of the constrained frequency \( \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta} \) in Eq. (58). In analogy with what shown in Ref. [15], in order to derive the momentum-jump approximation for constrained system, one can first consider one of the two terms in the right hand side of Eq. (57)

\[
\frac{\hbar}{2} \alpha \beta d_{\alpha \beta} \left( \frac{P}{M} \cdot d_{\alpha \beta} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial P} = \hbar \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta} M \frac{\partial}{\partial (P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta})^2},
\]

(60)

where \( d_{\alpha \beta} \) denotes the unit vector along the direction of \( d_{\alpha \beta} \). Then perform the approximation

\[
1 + \hbar \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta} M \frac{\partial}{\partial (P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta})^2} \approx \exp \left[ \hbar \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta} M \frac{\partial}{\partial (P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta})^2} \right],
\]

(61)

and write the operator \( J^{D}_{\alpha \beta}, \beta \gamma \) as the operator \( J^{D,M-J}_{\alpha \beta}, \alpha \gamma \) evaluated in the momentum-jump approximation

\[
J^{D,M-J}_{\alpha \beta}, \beta \gamma = - \left( \frac{P}{M} \cdot d_{\alpha \beta} \right) \exp \left[ \hbar M \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial (P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta})^2} \right] \delta_{\alpha \beta} - \left( \frac{P}{M} \cdot d_{\alpha \beta} \right) \exp \left[ \hbar M \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial (P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta})^2} \right] \delta_{\alpha \beta}.
\]

(62)

The action of any of the operators on the right hand side of Eq. (62) on an arbitrary function of momenta is a translation (or jump) of the momenta itself. For example, the operator \( \exp \left[ \hbar M \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial (P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta})^2} \right] f(P) = f(P + \Delta P), \)

(63)

with

\[
\Delta P = \text{sign}(P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}) \sqrt{(P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta})^2 + \hbar M \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta}} - (P \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}).
\]

(64)

Equation (64) illustrates the effect of the momentum-jump operator on a function of constrained momenta. It differs from that given in Ref. [15] and used, for example, in Refs. [1, 3, 16] because of the constrained frequency \( \omega^{D}_{\alpha \beta} \) defined in Eq. (58). This result, which should have been used in the nonadiabatic calculations of Ref. [3], is reasonable because constrained momenta cannot be scaled as unconstrained momenta. As a matter of fact, if one follows the empirical procedure of applying the unconstrained momentum-jump of Ref. [15], as it was done in Ref. [3], and uses the RATTLE procedure [1] to impose the constraints on momenta then a result different from that given in Eq. (61) is obtained. Instead, Equation (61), with the momentum-jump operator for the constrained system in Eq. (62), was derived correctly from the fundamental Dirac quantum-classical formalism and it provides the correct formula for constrained systems.

VI. STATIONARY DIRAC DENSITY MATRIX

Write the quantum-classical Dirac density matrix of a constrained system as \( \rho_{D}(X) \). The average value can then be written as

\[
\langle \chi \rangle = \text{Tr}' \int dX \, \hat{\rho}_{D} \chi(t) = \text{Tr}' \int dX \, \hat{\rho}_{D} \exp (i\mathcal{L}^{D} t) \chi .
\]

(65)

The action of \( \exp (i\mathcal{L}^{D} t) \) can be transferred from \( \chi \) to \( \hat{\rho}_{D} \) by using the cyclic invariance of the trace and integrating by parts the term coming from the classical Dirac brackets. One can write

\[
i\mathcal{L}^{D} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{H}_{0}, \ldots \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \{ \hat{H}_{0}, \ldots \} - \{ \ldots, \hat{H}_{0} \} \right).
\]

(66)

In this equation the classical Dirac bracket terms are written

\[
\{ \hat{H}_{0}, \ldots \} - \{ \ldots, \hat{H}_{0} \} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{0} B^{D}_{ij} \partial \hat{H}_{0}}{\partial X_{i} \partial X_{j}} - \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{0} B^{D}_{ij} \partial \hat{H}_{0}}{\partial X_{i} \partial X_{j}} \right).
\]

(67)

When integrating by parts the right hand side, one obtains terms proportional to the compressibility

\[
\kappa^{D}_{0} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial B^{D}_{ij}}{\partial X_{i}} \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{0}}{\partial X_{j}} .
\]

(68)

Using Eqs. (60) and (69), in analogy with Ref. [3], one finds easily that

\[
\kappa^{D}_{0} = - \frac{d}{dt} \ln det Z .
\]

(69)

Because of the compressibility in Eq. (69), it turns out that the Dirac quantum-classical Liouville operator is not hermitian

\[
(i\mathcal{L}^{D})^{\dagger} = -i\mathcal{L}^{D} - \kappa^{D}_{0} .
\]

(70)

The average value can then be written as

\[
\langle \chi \rangle = \text{Tr}' \int dX \, \hat{\chi} \exp \left[ -(i\mathcal{L}^{D} + \kappa^{D}_{0} t) \right] \hat{\rho}_{D} .
\]

(71)

The quantum-classical Dirac density matrix evolves under the equation

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_{D} = -i \hbar \left[ \hat{H}_{0}, \hat{\rho}_{D} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left( \{ \hat{H}_{0}, \hat{\rho}_{D} \} - \{ \hat{\rho}_{D}, \hat{H}_{0} \} \right) - \kappa^{D}_{0} \hat{\rho}_{D} .
\]

(72)
The stationary density matrix $\hat{\rho}_{De}$ is defined by

$$iL^D \hat{\rho}_{De} + \kappa_0^D \hat{\rho}_{De} = 0 .$$  

(73)

To find the explicit expression of $\hat{\rho}_{De}$ one can follow Ref. [3] expand the density matrix in powers of $\hbar$

$$\hat{\rho}_{De} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hbar^n \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)} ,$$  

(74)

and look for an explicit solution in the adiabatic basis. In such a basis the Dirac-Liouville operator is expressed by Eq. (55) and the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_0^\alpha = \frac{p^2}{2m} + E_\alpha(R) .$$  

(75)

Thus, one obtains an infinite set of equations corresponding to the various powers of $\hbar$

$$iE_{\alpha\alpha} \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(0)\alpha\alpha} = 0 ,$$  

$$iE_{\alpha\alpha} \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n+1)\alpha\alpha} = -iL^D \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\alpha\alpha} - \kappa_0^D \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\beta>\beta'} J_{\alpha\alpha,\beta\beta'} \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\beta\beta'} \quad (n \geq 1) .$$  

(76)

(77)

As shown in Ref. [3], in order to ensure that a solution can be found by recursion, one must discuss the solution of the diagonal ones $\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\alpha\alpha}$. To this end, using $\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\alpha\alpha} = (\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\alpha'\alpha'})^*$, $J_{\alpha\alpha,\beta\beta'} = J_{\alpha'\alpha,\beta'\beta}$ and the fact that $J_{\alpha\alpha,\beta\beta} = 0$ when a real basis is chosen, it is useful to re-write Eq. (77) in the form

$$(iL^D + \kappa_0^D) \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\alpha\alpha} = \sum_{\beta>\beta'} 2R \left( J_{\alpha\alpha,\beta\beta'} \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\beta\beta'} \right) .$$  

(78)

One has $[(-iL^D_\alpha - \kappa_0^D)] = iL^D_\alpha$. The right hand side of this equation can be expressed by means of the classical Dirac bracket in Eq. (6). It follows that $H_0^\alpha$ and any general function $f(H_0^\alpha)$ are constants of the motion under the action of $iL^D_\alpha$. Because of the presence of a non-zero phase space compressibility, integrals over phase space must be taken using the invariant measure $[21]

$$dM = \exp(-w_D)dRdP ,$$  

(79)

where $w_D = \int dtL^D_\alpha = detZ$ is the indefinite integral of the compressibility. To ensure that a solution to Eq. (78) exists one must invoke the theorem of Fredholm alternative, requiring that the right-hand side of Eq. (78) be orthogonal to the null space of $(iL^D_\alpha)^\dagger$ [21]. The null-space of this operator consists of functions of the form $[11] f(H_0^\alpha)$, where $f(H_0^\alpha)$ can be any function of the adiabatic Hamiltonian $H_0^\alpha$. Thus the condition to be satisfied is

$$\int dM \sum_{\beta>\beta'} 2R \left( J_{\alpha\alpha,\beta\beta'} \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\beta\beta'} \right) f(H_0^\alpha) = 0 .$$  

(80)

To this end, there is no major difference with the proof given in Ref. [2]: 2$\cal{R}$ $J_{\alpha\alpha,\beta\beta'}^{(n)\beta\beta'}$ and $f(H_0^\alpha)$ are respectively an odd and an even function of $P$; this guarantees the validity of Eq. (80). Thus, one can write the formal solution of Eq. (78) as

$$\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\alpha\alpha} = (iL^D_\alpha + \kappa_0^D)^{-1} \sum_{\beta>\beta'} 2R \left( J_{\alpha\alpha,\beta\beta'} \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\beta\beta'} \right) ,$$  

(81)

and the formal solution of Eq. (74) for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ as

$$\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n+1)\alpha\alpha'} = \frac{i}{E_{\alpha\alpha'}} \left( \frac{iL^D_\alpha + \kappa_0^D}{H_0^\alpha} \right) \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\alpha\alpha'} - \frac{i}{E_{\alpha\alpha'}} \sum_{\beta>\beta'} J_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'} \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(n)\beta\beta'} .$$  

(82)

Equations (81) and (82) allows one to calculate $\rho_{\alpha\alpha}$ to all orders in $\hbar$ once $\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(0)\alpha\alpha}$ is given. This order zero term is obtained by the solution of $(iL^D_\alpha + \kappa_0^D)\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(0)\alpha\alpha} = 0$. All higher order terms are obtained by the action of $E_{\alpha\alpha'}$. the imaginary unit $i$ and $J_{\alpha\alpha,\beta\beta'}$ (involving factors of $d_{\alpha\alpha'}$, $\omega_{\alpha\alpha'}$, $P$, and derivatives with respect to $P$).

One can find a stationary solution to order $\hbar$ by considering the first two equations of the set given by Eqs. (76) and (77).

$$[\hat{H}_0, \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(0)}] = 0 \quad (n = 0) ,$$  

(83)

$$i \left[ \hat{H}_0, \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(1)} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \{\hat{H}_0, \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(0)} \}D - \{\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(0)}, \hat{H}_0 \}D \right) - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0^D \hat{\rho}_{De}^{(0)} .$$  

(84)

For the $\cal{O}(\hbar^0)$ term one can make the ansatz

$$\rho_{De}^{(0)\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{Q} detZ \delta(C - H_0^\alpha) \delta(\xi) \delta_{\alpha\beta} ,$$  

(85)

where $Q$ is

$$Q = \sum_{\alpha} \int dM \delta(\xi) \delta(C - H_0^\alpha)$$  

(86)

and $\delta(\xi)$ is a compact notation for

$$\delta(\xi) = \prod_{\lambda=1}^{l} \delta(\sigma_{\lambda}) \prod_{\mu=1}^{l} \delta(\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}) .$$  

(87)

The following expression for the order $\hbar$ term is obtained

$$\hat{\rho}_{De}^{(1)\alpha\beta} = -i \frac{P}{M} d_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{De}^{(0)\alpha\beta} \left[ \frac{1 - e^{-\beta(E_\alpha - E_\beta)}}{E_\beta - E_\alpha} + \frac{\beta}{2} \right] \left( 1 + e^{-\beta(E_\alpha - E_\beta)} \right)$$  

(88)

for the $\cal{O}(\hbar)$ term.

Equations (58) and (88) give the explicit form of the stationary solution of the Dirac Liouville equation up to order $\cal{O}(\hbar)$. This stationary solution must be used when calculating equilibrium averages in quantum-classical systems with holonomic constraints on the classical variables.
VII. LINEAR RESPONSE THEORY

Consider a perturbed quantum-classical Hamiltonian
\[ \hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1(t) = \hat{H}_0 - A\mathcal{F}(t). \] (89)

Define the perturbed Liouville operator
\[ i\mathcal{L}^D(t) = i\hbar \left[ \hat{H}_1(t), \ldots \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \{\hat{H}_1(t), \ldots\}_D - \{\ldots, \hat{H}_1(t)\}_D \right). \] (90)

In general the perturbation can bring an additional term to the compressibility of phase space
\[ \kappa^D_A = -\left( \frac{\partial \ln \text{det} Z}{\partial R} + \sum_{\nu,\mu=1}^l Z_{\nu\mu} \frac{\partial Z_{\nu\mu}^{-1}}{\partial R} \right) \frac{\partial A}{\partial P}. \] (92)

In this case, the perturbed Liouville operator is not hermitian
\[ (i\mathcal{L}^D(t))^\dagger = -i\mathcal{L}^D(t) - \frac{1}{2}[\kappa^D_A(t), \ldots]_+, \] (93)

where \([\ldots, \ldots]_+\) denotes the anticommutator
\[ [\kappa^D_A, \hat{\rho}_D]_+ = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \kappa^D_A & \hat{\rho}_D \\ \hat{\rho}_D^\dagger & 1 \\ \end{array} \right]. \] (94)

The constrained evolution of the density matrix is obtained from Eq. (102) by replacing \( H_0 \) by \( \hat{H}(t) \)
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_D(t) = -i\hbar \left[ \hat{H}(t), \hat{\rho}_D(t) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left( \{\hat{H}(t), \hat{\rho}_D(t)\}_D - \{\hat{\rho}_D(t), \hat{H}_0\}_D \right) - \kappa^D_A \hat{\rho}_D(t) - \frac{1}{2} \kappa^D_A(t, \hat{\rho}_D(t))_+ . \] (95)

Assuming that the perturbed density matrix is \( \hat{\rho}_D(t) = \hat{\rho}_{D_0} + \Delta \rho_D(t) \) and that the system was in equilibrium in the distant past, linear response theory gives
\[ \Delta \rho_D(t) = -\int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \exp[-i\mathcal{L}^D(t-\tau)]i\mathcal{L}^D(t)\hat{\rho}_{D_0}. \] (96)

If one defines
\[ i\mathcal{L}^A_D(t) = i\hbar \left[ \hat{A}(t), \ldots \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \{\hat{A}(t), \ldots\}_D - \{\ldots, \hat{A}\}_D \right). \] (97)

then \( i\mathcal{L}^D(t) = -\mathcal{F}(t)i\mathcal{L}^D_A \) and Eq. (96) becomes
\[ \Delta \rho_D(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \mathcal{F}(\tau) \exp[-i\mathcal{L}^D_A(t-\tau)]i\mathcal{L}^D_A \hat{\rho}_{D_0} \]
\[ = -\int_{-\infty}^t d\tau \mathcal{F}(\tau) e^{-i\mathcal{L}^D_A(t-\tau)} (i\mathcal{L}^D_A \hat{\rho}_{D_0} + \frac{1}{2}[\kappa^D_A, \hat{\rho}_{D_0}]_+) . \] (98)

The non-equilibrium average of any quantum-classical operator \( \hat{B}(X) \) can be calculated over the density matrix \( \hat{\rho}_D(t) \), \( \mathbb{F} = Tr' \int dX \hat{B}(X) \hat{\rho}_D(t) \) in order to determine the response of the system to the external force.
\[ \mathcal{F}(t) = Tr' \int dX \hat{\rho}_D(t). \] (99)

where the response function is defined as
\[ \Phi_{BA}(t) = -Tr' \int dX \hat{B}(X) \left( i\mathcal{L}^D_A \hat{\rho}_{D_0} + \frac{1}{2}[\kappa^D_A, \hat{\rho}_{D_0}]_+ \right) . \] (100)

Equation (100) gives the response function for quantum-classical systems with holonomic constraints on the classical variables. In analogy with the purely classical case, analyzed in Ref. 3, if \( A(X) \) depends from the momenta \( P \) the response function contains two contributions in addition to the expression of \( \Phi_{BA}(t) \) given in Ref. 3.

One of this contributions arises evidently from \( \kappa^D_A \) and the other comes from the factor \( \text{det} Z \) contained in the expression for \( \hat{\rho}_{D_0} \).

Because a perturbation operator which depends only on particle positions is usually adopted in order to derive expressions for quantum-classical rate constants (typically the Heaviside function), the rate formulas derived in Refs 1, 4, 5 also applies to a constrained system with the exception that the correct constrained stationary matrix, as derived in Sec VII, must be used. However, different calculations and different perturbation operators may require the evaluation of the additional terms of the response function here derived and one must be aware of their existence.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper the theory of quantum-classical systems has been generalized in order to treat rigorously situations where the classical degrees of freedom must obey holonomic constraints. The formalism here presented has been obtained by unifying the classical Dirac bracket with the quantum-classical bracket in matrix form. In this way, a Dirac quantum-classical formalism, which conserves the constraints exactly, has been introduced
and then used consistently to formulate the dynamics and the statistical mechanics of quantum-classical systems with holonomic constraints. A first result has been the derivation of the correct momentum-jump approximation which takes into account that, when a quantum transition occurs, the momenta cannot be scaled as if they were unconstrained because, instead, the holonomic constraints must be satisfied. Moreover, the Dirac quantum-classical bracket allows one to derive easily linear response theory. This has shown that the rigorous response function of constrained systems contains non-trivial terms, which were already noted by this author in classical mechanics, arising from the action of the perturbation operator on the phase space measure of unperturbed constrained systems and from the compression of phase space which may be caused by the perturbation itself. These terms are zero if the external perturbation is coupled only to the position coordinates of the classical degrees of freedom.

If one considers with a wider perspective this work and that of Ref. [15], dealing with the introduction of non-Hamiltonian commutators in quantum mechanics, it can be realized that a unified formalism for defining generalized dynamics in quantum-classical systems is now available. There are reasonable expectations of employing in the future specific forms of such generalized dynamics in order to attack the problem of long time numerical integration of quantum-classical dynamics.
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