Adult weight measurement: decoding the terminology used in literature
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Abstract:
There are various types of names given to describe the adult weight measurement. The most commonly used terms (actual body weight, estimated body weight, ideal body weight and adjusted body weight) have been defined and summarized to assist in their application in therapeutic nutrition.
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Introduction
Anthropometry requires a fundamental grasp of methodology. Weight and height are the most basic forms of anthropometry used in practice; however, clinicians may fail to fully understand the context of its application. In the case of weight, there are several terminologies that have been documented to describe the nature of the adjustment made to the original measurement value. When these terms are not clearly defined by the author, it may lead to confusion and/or incorrect calculations. Therefore, the purpose of this review is to summarise the most frequently used weight anthropometric terminology and abbreviations that are mentioned in the literature to date.

Actual body weight
Actual body weight (ABW), body weight (BW), or simply standardised as weight in the literature, is defined as the measurement taken when the subject is able to stand unassisted using a calibrated scale. The subject should wear minimal clothing, and should also remove shoes and socks. Before starting, the scale should be zeroed and then the subject must stand in the centre of the scale, without support and with equal distribution of weight between both feet. Measurements should be taken to the nearest 0.1 kilogram (kg). To account for diurnal variation, the most accurate measurement is taken in the morning after voiding. When measured correctly, ABW can be used to compare with other anthropometric measurements, for example with height to calculate body mass index (BMI = weight/height)^4.5

In cases where non-ambulatory patients are unable to stand, specialised scales can be used such as a sitting scale or bed scale. However, the downside of using these types of equipment includes that they require training in order to obtain an accurate measurement, they are expensive and are uncommonly available for everyday use. But it is possible to estimate weight using other body measurements. This is known as estimated body weight (EBW).^6

Estimated body weight
When ABW is unable to be measured in non-ambulatory patients, EBW can be calculated using predictive equations. The most commonly used equations that could be used to calculate body weight in an adult have been summarised in Table 1. These equations have not been validated for use in a South African population.

Table 1 describes possible equations that could be used to calculate EBW. The calculations include the use of various body measurements and where appropriate are taken on the right side of the body. The calf circumference is the largest circumference of the calf muscle. Knee height is measured from the heel of the foot to head of the patella (kneecap), ensuring that the subject is in a supine position with the leg bent to form a 90 degree angle under the knee. Arm circumference is measured at the midpoint between the acromion process of the scapula (bony protrusion on the shoulder) and the olecranon process (tip of the elbow). The subscapular skinfold thickness is the site identified by allocating the undermost tip of the inferior angle of the scapula and is 2 cm along a line running laterally and downward. The skinfold thickness is measured using calipers, and at a 45-degree angle to the scapula. Abdominal circumference is measured as the largest circumference between the last palpable rib (10th rib) and the top of the iliac crest (hip bone), perpendicular to the long axis of the trunk.

Ideal body weight
Ideal body weight (IBW) is defined as the goal weight at which nutritional requirements are met. An IBW weight lies within the normal BMI range from 18.5 kg/m^2 to 24.9 kg/m^2. Ideal body weight can be calculated by using body weight as a function of height and a target BMI value (IBW (kg) = (BMI x Ht^2)), if the subject is overweight then the lower limit of the normal BMI range is used in the IBW equation. However, if the subject is overweight then the upper limit of the normal BMI range is used in the IBW equation.

Adjusted body weight
Adjusted body weight (BW-adj), refers to the calculation adjustments made to the body weight measurement and is applicable to subjects with amputations, oedema, ascites or chronic kidney disease, as well as in obesity.

Adjustment for fluid: dry body weight
Dry body weight or oedema-free body weight (BWeF), implies that the ABW has been adjusted to a figure that is minus the weight of excess bodily fluid. This alteration for positive fluid balance is commonly associated with peripheral oedema, ascites and chronic kidney disease (CKD).
Adjustment for fluid in peripheral oedema

Peripheral oedema is a clinical finding, defined as the abnormal excessive accumulation of fluid in the body tissues, i.e. the retention of water and sodium in the extracellular spaces. The possible causes of peripheral oedema include: (i) increased capillary hydrostatic pressure; (ii) regional venous hypertension, e.g. deep vein thrombosis; (iii) systemic venous hypertension, e.g. liver disease; (iv) increased plasma volume, e.g. congestive cardiac failure; (v) decreased plasma oncotic pressure; (vi) protein loss, e.g. malabsorption; (vii) reduced protein synthesis, e.g. malnutrition; (viii) increased capillary permeability, e.g. burns; and (ix) lymphatic obstruction or increased interstitial oncotic pressure, e.g. lymphoedema.

There are two types of peripheral oedema, pitting (PO) and non-pitting (NPO). Non-pitting oedema suggests that when pressure is applied to the affected area, no indentation is identified and therefore can be difficult to grade. The causes of NPO are commonly associated with lymphoedema, lipoedema (abnormal fat deposition in extremities) and myxoedema (severe hypothyroidism). Pitting oedema is measured by pressing one's thumb down onto the affected area, holding for three seconds, and if an indent is left then it is classified as PO. The severity of PO can be classified according to either the site of oedema, measurement of the indent, or the time taken for the skin to rebound (summarised in Table 2). Table 2 describes the adjustment that can be made to ABW to calculate BWef, based on the grade of oedema identified.

### Table 1: Estimated body weight equations

| Author          | Female equation                                                                 | Male equation                                                                 |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chumlea et al.  | Body weight (kg) = ((1.27 x right calf circumference (cm)) + (0.87 x right knee height (cm)) + (0.98 x right arm circumference (cm)) + (0.4 x right subscapular skinfold thickness (mm)) – 62.35) | Body weight (kg) = ((0.98 x right calf circumference (cm)) + (1.16 x right knee height (cm)) + (1.73 x right arm circumference (cm)) + (0.37 x right subscapular skinfold thickness (mm)) – 81.69) |
| Rabito et al.   | Body weight (kg) = ((0.5759 x right arm circumference (cm)) + (0.5263 x abdominal circumference (cm)) + (1.2452 x right calf circumference (cm)) – (4.8689 x 2) – 32.9241) | Body weight (kg) = ((0.5759 x right arm circumference (cm)) + (0.5263 x abdominal circumference (cm)) + (1.2452 x right calf circumference (cm)) – (4.8689 x 1) – 32.9241) |

Notes: cm = centimetres, mm = millimetres, kg = kilograms, EBW = estimated body weight.

### Table 2: Weight adjustment according to grading of peripheral oedema

| Grade | Symbol | Indent measurement | Rebound time | Site of oedema | Weight adjustment equation (BWef) |
|-------|--------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Mild  | +      | Barely detectable impression when finger is pressed into skin, ≤ 2 mm | Immediate rebound | Both ankles and/or feet | BWef (kg) = ABW – 1.0 |
| Moderate | ++  | 3–4 mm | Slight indentation, takes ≤ 15 seconds to rebound | Both feet, hands, lower arms and lower legs | BWef (kg) = ABW – 5.0 |
| Severe | +++  | > 4 mm | Deeper indentation, takes > 15 seconds to rebound | Generalized bilateral pitting oedema, which includes both legs, arms, feet and face | BWef (kg) = ABW – 10.0 |

Notes: ABW = actual body weight, BWef = dry body weight.
Table 3: Weight adjustment according to grading of ascites

| Grade   | Symbol | Definition                                      | Weight Adjustment equation (BWef) |
|---------|--------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mild    | +      | Ascites is only detectable by ultrasound examination | BWef (kg) = ABW – 2.2           |
| Moderate| ++     | Ascites causing moderate asymmetrical distension of the abdomen | BWef (kg) = ABW – 6.0           |
| Severe  | +++    | Ascites causing marked abdominal distension       | BWef (kg) = ABW – 14.0          |

Notes: ABW = actual body weight, BWef = dry body weight.

Table 4 describes how to calculate the weight of individual body parts (WtBP). The WtBP can be added to ABW to obtain BW-adj, and then BW-adj can be used to work out the BMI of the subject.

Table 5: Correction factors for obesity

| Application | Equations for the adjustment for obesity (Adj-IBW) |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| If ABW is ≥ 25% of IBW or, if (ABW ≥ (IBW x 1.25))  | BW-adj = ((ABW – IBW) x 0.25) + IBW (IBW x 1.25) |
| If ABW is ≥ 30% of IBW or, if (ABW ≥ (IBW x 1.30))  | BW-adj = ((ABW – IBW) x 0.50) + IBW (IBW x 1.30) |

Notes: ABW = actual body weight, IBW = ideal body weight, Adj-IBW = adjusted ideal body weight.

Adjustment for fluid in ascites

Ascites is defined as the accumulation of fluid in the peritoneal cavity, causing abdominal swelling. Potential causes of ascites include: (i) liver disease; (ii) cirrhosis; (iii) cardiac failure; (iv) nephrotic syndrome; (v) malignancy; (vi) pancreatitis; and (vii) infection such as tuberculosis. The grade of uncomplicated ascites can be categorised according to clinical findings, which are summarised in Table 3.

Table 3 describes the adjustment that can be made to ABW to calculate BWef, based on the grade of ascites identified.

Adjustment for fluid in chronic kidney disease

Dry body weight for CKD patients receiving haemodialysis (HD) or continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) is defined as the ABW in the absence of oedema, which is measured post-dialysis or post-drainage. In CKD patients, it is recommended that this BWef measurement be compared with standard body weight (SBW). Standard body weight is defined as the median weight measurement should not be used. Rather, the adjusted dry body weight (aBWef) must be calculated (aBWef = BWef + ((SBW – BWef) x 0.25)) and this measurement can be effectively used in nutritional assessment and prescription.

Adjustment for amputation

In subjects with amputations, it is important to account for the percentage of the total body weight that was contributed by the individual body parts that were removed. The percentage weight of these body parts has been summarised in Table 4.

Table 4: Weight of individual body parts

| Body part            | % Contribution of total body weight | Weight of body part (WtBP) |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Hand                 | 0.7                                | WtBP (kg) = (0.7 /100) x ABW |
| Lower arm and hand   | 2.3                                | WtBP (kg) = (2.3 /100) x ABW |
| Entire arm           | 5.0                                | WtBP (kg) = (5.0 /100) x ABW |
| Foot                 | 1.5                                | WtBP (kg) = (1.5 /100) x ABW |
| Lower leg and foot   | 5.9                                | WtBP (kg) = (5.9 /100) x ABW |
| Entire leg           | 16                                 | WtBP (kg) = (16 /100) x ABW  |

Notes: ABW = actual body weight, WtBP = weight of body part.

References

1. Geeta A, Jamaiyah H, Safiza MN, et al. Reliability, technical error of measurements and validity of instruments for nutritional status assessment of adults in Malaysia. Singapore Med J. 2009;50:1013–8.
2. Ulijaszek SJ, Kerr DA. Anthropometric measurement error and the assessment of nutritional status. Br J Nutr. 1999;82:165–77. https://doi.org/10.1079/0007114999001348
3. Lahner CR, Kassier SM. True height and variability in estimates thereof across race and gender. South Afr J Clin Nutr. 2016;29(2):64–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/16070658.2016.1216360
4. World Health Organization (WHO). Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO report expert committee. WHO Technical report series 854. Geneva: WHO, 1995.
5. Marfell-Jones M, Olds T, Stewart A, et al. International standards for anthropometric assessment. Australia: International society for the advancement of kinaanthropometry; 2006. p. 9–59.
6. Buckley RG, Stehman CR, Dos Santos FL, et al. Bedside method to estimate actual body weight in the emergency department. J Emerg Med. 2012;42(1):100–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2010.01.002

7. Chumlea WMC, Guo SS, Roche AF, et al. Prediction of body weight for the nonambulatory elderly from anthropometry. J Am Diet Assoc. 1988;88(5):564–8.

8. Rabito EJ, Vannucchi GB, Suen VMM, et al. Weight and height prediction of immobilized patients. Rev Nutr. 2006;19(6):655–61. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-52752006000600002

9. The Ross Knee Height Caliper. 2002 [cited 2017 Nov 28]. Available from: http://ip.com/pdf/ipcompad/IPCOM000006911D.pdf

10. Muller MJ. Ideal body weight or BMI: so, what's it to be? Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;103:1193–94. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.134221

11. Peterson CM, Thomas DM, Blackburn GL, et al. Universal equation for estimating ideal body weight and body weight at any BMI. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;103:1197–203. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.115.121178

12. Osterkamp LK. Current perspective on assessment of human body proportions for lower extremity amputees. J Am Diet Assoc. 1995;95:215–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8223(95)00050-X

13. Zhu F, Levin NW. Hemodialysis, different aspects: Chapter 16, dry weight and measurements methods. New York, NY: Renal Research Institute; 2011. p. 263.

14. Cho S, Atwood JE. Peripheral Edema. Am J Med. 2002;113:580–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343(02)01322-0

15. Babazadehfarokhrou M. Comparative analysis of diagnosis of edema of pitting edema by micro device and traditional method. Sch J App Med Sci. 2015;3(2F):977–80.

16. UNICEF. Nutrition in Emergencies: Measuring oedema, 2010 [cited Jun 19]. Available from: http://www.unicef.org/nutrition/training/3.1/20.html

17. Webster-Gandy J, Madden A, Holdsworth M. Oxford Handbook of nutrition and dietetics: introduction and nutritional assessment. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006. p. 544.

18. Moore KP, Aithal GP. Guidelines on the management of ascities in cirrhosis. Gut. 2006;55 (supp VI):v1–12.

19. Saxena A, Sharma RK. An update on methods for assessment of nutritional status in maintenance dialysis patients. Indian J Nephrol. 2004;14:61–6.

20. National Kidney Foundation. KDOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines for Nutrition in Chronic Renal Failure, 2000 [cited 2017 Jun 19]. Available from: http://www2.kidney.org/professionals/kdoqi/guidelines_nutrition/nut_a12.html

21. Frisancho AR. New standards of weight and body composition by frame size and height for assessment of nutritional status of adults and the elderly. Am J Clin Nutr. 1984;40(4):808–19. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/40.4.808

22. Krenitsky J. Adjusted body weight, pro: evidence to support the use of adjusted body weight in calculating calorie requirements. Nutr Clin Pract. 2005;20:468–73. https://doi.org/10.1177/0115426505020004468

23. Mogensen KM, Andrew BY, Corona JC, et al. Validation of the society of critical care medicine and American society for parenteral and enteral nutrition recommendations for caloric provision to critically Ill obese patients: a pilot study. J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2016;40(5):713–21. https://doi.org/10.1177/014860715840001

24. Anderegg BA, Worrall C, Barbour E, et al. Comparison of resting energy expenditure prediction methods with measured resting energy expenditure in obese, hospitalized adults. J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2009;33:168–75. https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607108327192

25. Hicks C, Trickett A, Kwan YL, et al. The use of adjusted ideal body weight for overweight patients undergoing HPC mobilisation for autologous transplantation. Ann Hematol. 2012;91:1795–801. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-012-1523-1

Received: 28-06-2017 Accepted: 06-01-2018