Lessons from *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*: Chromatin Remodeling by Bacterial Effectors
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Abstract: Bacterial pathogens can alter global host gene expression via histone modifications and chromatin remodeling in order to subvert host responses, including those involved with innate immunity, allowing for bacterial survival. *Shigella flexneri*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* express effector proteins that modify host histones and chromatin structure. *A. phagocytophilum* modulates granulocyte respiratory burst in part by dampening transcription of several key phagocyte oxidase genes. The *A. phagocytophilum* protein AnkA localizes to the myeloid cell nucleus where it binds AT-rich regions in the *CYBB* promoter and decreases its transcription. AT-rich regions of DNA are characteristic of matrix attachment regions (MARs) which are critical for chromatin structure and transcription. MAR-binding proteins, such as SATB1, interact with histone modifying enzymes resulting in altered gene expression. With *A. phagocytophilum* infection, histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) expression is increased and histone H3 acetylation is decreased at the *CYBB* promoter, suggesting a role for AnkA in altering host epigenetics and modulating gene transcription, at this, and perhaps other loci. This review will focus on how bacterial pathogens alter host epigenetics, by specifically examining *A. phagocytophilum* AnkA cis-regulation of *CTBB* transcription and epigenetic changes associated with infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Intracellular bacterial pathogens exploit host cells to promote self survival by subverting host responses, especially those related to immunity and defense. A common mechanism among bacterial pathogens is the expression of effector proteins (also known as virulence factors) that are secreted and alter host cell signaling pathways, vesicular trafficking, and cytoskeletal pathways [1]. Alterations to the host cell eventually lead to differential gene expression and ultimately dysregulation of host cells resulting in disease. Microarray analysis of the mammalian transcriptome in the presence of intracellular pathogens reveals large subsets of genes that are similarly regulated with infection [2]. While changes in signaling pathways and transcription factors are known to alter host transcription, global changes in gene regulation are often associated with epigenetic modifications characteristic of transcriptional programs that govern cell function.

Mammalian hosts have evolved mechanisms to detect microbial infection and to initiate protective transcriptional programs by altering chromatin structure. The best-characterized link between a bacterial stimulus and altered histones is the activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade. Three MAPK pathways have been defined according to the kinase that is activated: ERK pathway, JNK/SAPK pathway, and p38 kinase pathway. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) found on the cell surface of Gram-negative bacteria is recognized by Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) which activates the ERK and p38 kinase pathways. Both the ERK and p38 kinases activate the effector kinases MSK1 and MSK2 that phosphorylate Ser10 on histone H3 (H3S10) [3-5]. This allows nucleosome remodeling leading to NF-κB binding and transcription of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines such as IL-12 [6, 7].

Recent investigations have provided compelling evidence that microbes also evolved mechanisms that alter mammalian chromatin structure and result in altered transcriptional programs that increase microbe fitness. The bacterial pathogens *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, *Shigella flexneri*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Chlamydia trachomatis* express effector proteins that modify host histones and chromatin structure by altering signaling pathways, interacting with histone modifying enzymes or by direct modification. This review will focus on bacterial effectors that alter host epigenetics, using *A. phagocytophilum* Ankyrin A (AnkA) nuclear translocation and the resulting changes in host cell transcription and epigenetic state as a model system.

1. DOES EPIGENETICS PLAY A ROLE IN *ANAPLASMA PHAGOCYTOPHILUM* INFECTION?

A. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and Neutrophil Dysfunction

*Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, the causative agent of human granulocytic anaplasmosis (HGA), is a small (0.2 – 1.0 μm in diameter) Gram-negative, obligate intracellular bacterium that is transmitted to humans via tick bites [8, 9]. It has a tropism for granulocytes and their precursors, and may transiently infect endothelial cells [10, 11]. While most mi-
crobes are readily killed by the neutrophil [12], A. phagocyto-
thilum is able to subvert neutrophil killing and propagate
within the infected cell. How this pathogen spends its life
within the hostile environment of the neutrophil is a key
question this review aims to address.

Neutrophils are the primary antimicrobial effectors in
innate immunity and kill microbes using a repertoire of tools.
First, neutrophils engulf microbes resulting in phagosome
formation. The phagosome then acidifies and fuses with a
lysosome to produce a phagolysosome. There are two gen-
eral mechanisms of microbial killing once the phagolysosome has formed: oxygen-independent and oxy-
gen-dependent. Oxygen-independent mechanisms include i) release of hydrolytic enzymes and bactericidal proteins from
the neutrophil, such as elastase, bactericidal permeability-
increasing protein (BPI), defensins [13], and ii) the release of
neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) by dying neutrophils
[14]. Oxygen-dependent killing relies on the generation of
reactive oxygen species by the nicotinamide adenine
dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase; also known as
the phagocyte oxidase [13]. Upon binding and entry, A. phagocytophilum is able to subvert these mechanisms of
killing by residing in an immature vacuole and altering
neutrophil function.

In the neutrophil, A. phagocytophilum survives by alter-
ing key host cell functions. A. phagocytophilum delays spon-
taneous and induced apoptosis in the neutrophil, allowing
the bacteria time to replicate and form morulae (>24hrs). De-
creased apoptosis is the result of continued transcription of
host BCL2 family genes and stabilization of the intrinsic
pathway that prevents procaspase 3 processing [15-17]. Fur-
thermore, A. phagocytophilum upregulates production of
chemokines, including IL-8 which is responsible for neutro-
phil recruitment [18]. By inhibiting its action via a blocking
antibody to CXCR2, Scorpio et al. showed that IL-8 is im-
portant for bacterial propagation via neutrophil recruitment
that results in clusters of infected cells in tissues [19]. Addi-
tionally, the bacterium alters neutrophil adhesion to endothe-

cial cells, transmigration through endothelium, motility, de-
granulation, and phagocytosis. When infected with A. phagocyto-
philum, both neutrophils and HL-60 cells (a hu-
man promyelocytic cell line used to propagate A. phagocyto-
philum) shed PSGL-1 and L-selectin from their cell surface
resulting in decreased adhesion to systemic and brain mi-
crovascular endothelial cells, thus preventing transmigration
[20]. Selectin “shedding” occurs when infected cells de-
granulate, causing the release of an EDTA-inhibitable shed-
dase (metalloprotease), β2-integrins, CD66b, and other in-
flammatory components such as matrix metalloproteases
[20, 21]. Overall, this leads to increased neutrophil concen-
trations in peripheral blood with longer life spans.

How A. phagocytophilum is able to alter the neutrophil
phenotype so dramatically is still an unanswered question.
Transcriptional analyses have identified many defense genes
d and inflammatory pathways that are regulated during infec-
tion [22-24]. Due to the limited genetic and metabolic re-
resources of A. phagocytophilum, it is likely that the bacterium
has developed a global mechanism for altering neutrophil
gene regulation. Epigenetic changes tend to globally regulate
gene expression and impact major cellular programs and
processes such as cell cycle progression and cell differentia-
tion. Dysregulation of epigenetic control mechanisms often
leads to dramatic phenotypic changes, and if pathogen-
controlled, could benefit microbial survival and propagation,
inducing pathologic changes that cause disease. An ideal
example is A. phagocytophilum’s ability to quench neutro-
phil oxidative burst.

B. Subversion of the Oxidative Burst

Decreased production of reactive oxygen by NADPH
oxidase is critical for A. phagocytophilum survival. When
decreases in NADPH oxidase activity are prevented, A. phagocyto-
philum intracellular propagation diminishes [25]. A. phagocyto-
philum subverts NADPH oxidase killing by sequestering reactive oxygen species, inhibiting NADPH
oxidase activity and decreasing expression of NADPH ox-
idase genes [26]. A. phagocytophilum does not activate
NADPH oxidase assembly in the bacterial inclusions of HL-
60 cells. This is in part attributed to the lack of LPS and pep-
tidoglycan synthesis by A. phagocytophilum [26]. In addi-
tion, A. phagocytophilum decreases expression of CYBB
and RAC2, two components of the NADPH oxidase, in infected
neutrophils and HL-60 cells [25]. Genes encoding compo-
ents of the NADPH oxidase are highly regulated during
development. CYBB is exclusively expressed in terminally
differentiated phagocytes and is induced via inflammatory
agents such as IFN-γ and LPS [27]. The proximal −450 to
+12 region of the CYBB promoter is sufficient for IFN-γ
induced expression [28]. The activator PU.1 is phosphorylated
by IFN-γ-activated protein kinase C resulting in PU.1 bind-
ing to the CYBB promoter. PU.1 in turn recruits interferon
regulatory factor 1 (IRF-1) and IFN consensus-sequence
binding protein (ICSBP) to form the hematopoietic associ-
ated factor-1 (HAF1) complex. The HAF1 complex then
recruits CREB binding protein (CBP) resulting in the HAF1a
complex which further recruits RNA polymerase II [29].
Additional activators of CYBB include CCAAT binding pro-
tein (CP1), binding increases during differentiation
(BID)/YY1 factor, HOXA9 and IRF-2 [30-32]. In non-
myeloid cells, CAAAT displacement protein (CDP) inhibits
CYBB expression by preventing activators from binding [33-
35]. Committed myeloid progenitors express special AT-rich
binding protein 1 (SATB-1) which represses CYBB tran-
scription. Upon terminal myeloid differentiation, SATB-1
expression decreases [36, 37]. The HOXA10/PBX1 re-
pressor binds and recruits histone deacetylase (HDAC) 2 to
prevent transcription in myeloid cells until activation. Once
neutrophils are activated by IFN-γ, HOXA10 is phosphory-
lated decreasing its ability to bind the CYBB promoter and
allowing CYBB transcription (Fig. 1) [38].

Downregulation of CYBB expression is associated with
increased binding of CDP to its promoter. A. phagocyto-
philum-infected cells have increased cathepsin L activity lead-
ing to CDP cleavage and increased DNA binding [39]. CDP
binding to promoters of the human neutrophil peptide 1 and
C/EBPα genes, molecules important for neutrophil defense
and maturation, is also increased with infection [40]. Levels
of the transcription factors IRF-1 and PU.1, which are criti-
cal for CYBB expression, are decreased in infected HL-60
cells [39]. We identified that A. phagocytophilum protein
AnkA binds to the CYBB promoter and decreases its transcription in HL-60 cells [41].

**C. AnkA Binds the CYBB Promoter**

AnkA is a 160 kDa protein with several eukaryotic motifs/domains including ankyrin repeats, a putative nuclear localization sequence and a high mobility group N chromatin-unfolding domain (HMGN-CHUD), among others [42]. The ankyrin repeat is a 33-residue motif that occurs in tandem arrays and is common among nuclear proteins that bind transcription factors. The repeats cooperatively fold into structures that allow for protein-protein interactions [43]. The HMGN-CHUD motif is found in proteins that bind nucleosomes and change chromatin structure resulting in altered transcription [44]. AnkA is secreted via a type IV secretion system and translocates to the nucleus of infected myeloid lineage cells including neutrophils [42, 45].

Upon translocation to the nucleus, AnkA binds AT-rich heterochromatic DNA that lacks conserved binding sequences [46]. Using chromatin immunoprecipitation, we showed that AnkA binds to the CYBB proximal promoter. Fine mapping of AnkA binding to the CYBB promoter using electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), showed that AnkA binds 2 specific promoter regions: -48 to +12 and -138 to -109 bp. Remarkably, AnkA binding correlates with predicted matrix attachment regions (MARs) in the CYBB promoter [41]. MARs are regions of DNA responsible for binding nuclear matrix proteins that define chromatin domains. MARs contain base unpairing regions (BURs), AT-rich genomic sequences that allow DNA to easily unwind [47, 48]. CYBB promoter AnkA binding regions that are mutated by replacing adenosine and thymidine with cytosine and guanine nucleotides (to disrupt BURs) have abrogated AnkA binding [41], suggesting that AnkA is a MAR binding protein. SATB-1, another MAR binding protein, also binds the CYBB promoter and represses CYBB expression by altering chromatin structure [36, 37].

In HL-60 cells, infection with *A. phagocytophilum* results in AnkA translocation into the nucleus leading to decreased CYBB transcription. Moreover, cells transfected with a plasmid expressing AnkA or with recombinant AnkA also have decreased CYBB expression, showing that AnkA directly alters CYBB transcription. In addition to AnkA binding, histone H3 acetylation is decreased at the CYBB promoter with *A. phagocytophilum* infection, suggesting that AnkA mediates epigenetic changes at the CYBB promoter (Fig. 2) [41].

**Fig. (1). Control of CYBB expression during myeloid differentiation and neutrophil activation.** Transcription factor binding within the −450 to +12 proximal region of the CYBB promoter is important for CYBB repression during myeloid differentiation and neutrophil activation in response to stimuli including IFN-γ and LPS.

**Fig. (2). Predicted model for AnkA control of the CYBB promoter.** AnkA is secreted by *A. phagocytophilum* and translocates to the nucleus of infected cells. AnkA binds AT-rich regions of the CYBB promoter and decreases its expression. It is predicted that AnkA decreases CYBB transcription by recruiting HDAC1 to decrease histone H3 acetylation and induce formation of heterochromatic DNA.
D. The Role of Epigenetic Change with Infection

AnkA expression decreases transcription of several defense genes including Rac2, Mpo, Bpi, and Mvy. Furthermore, infection of the THP-1 cell line (a human acute monocytic leukemia cell line with myelomonocytic features) with A. phagocytophilum leads to decreased expression at defense gene clusters. A decrease in H3 acetylation with A. phagocytophilum infection is observed in 9 of 11 defense gene promoters [49]. Together, these data suggest A. phagocytophilum globally down-regulates host defense genes, perhaps as a mechanism for survival.

In addition to changes in histone marks, A. phagocytophilum increases expression and activity of HDAC1 and HDAC2. In THP-1 cells treated with trichostatin A (TSA) or sodium butyrate, HDAC inhibitors, A. phagocytophilum’s ability to propagate within the neutrophil is suppressed. In cells treated with HDAC1 siRNA, A. phagocytophilum no longer blocks defense gene expression nor propagates. Silencing of HDAC2 expression alone has no effect on A. phagocytophilum growth [49]. When taken together, these data suggest that A. phagocytophilum decreases expression of host defense genes by increasing HDAC1 expression and activity. However, how HDAC1 is recruited to these promoters is still unknown. AnkA binds to promoters in regions found to be deacetylated at H3 with infection. Could AnkA bound to defense gene promoters be responsible for recruitment of HDAC1 and altered chromatin structure? These are important questions considering AnkA’s similarity to the MAR binding protein, SATB-1, which is known to interact with HDAC1 and alter chromatin structure. Interestingly, if AnkA is found to bind defense gene promoters, and to recruit HDAC1, thereby decreasing expression of defense genes, it would in part explain why HDAC1 expression and activity are indispensable for A. phagocytophilum propagation. Studies from other laboratories also describe bacterial effectors that translocate to the nucleus of host cells. These include a recent report of p200, an ankryin repeat protein of Ehrlichia chaffeensis, a species related to A. phagocytophilum, which binds Alu-Sx elements in DNA [50]. It is tempting to speculate that these effectors will also affect host chromatin using similar mechanisms we describe for AnkA in A. phagocytophilum infection.

2. EPIGENETIC ALTERATIONS IN OTHER BACTERIA: A COMMON DENOMINATOR?

A. Shigella flexneri OspF

Shigella flexneri is a Gram-negative bacterium that infects colonic epithelium causing bacillary dysentery. Epithelial cells are critical for sensing bacterial infection by signaling the immune system via cytokines and chemokines to initiate the inflammatory response [51]. To circumvent this, S. flexneri has developed strategies to modify expression of proinflammatory genes within epithelial cells. LPS induces nucleosome remodeling via phosphorylation of H3S10. S. flexneri OspF, a type III secretion system effector, localizes to the nucleus of infected cells [52]. Through its phosphothreonine lyase activity, OspF irreversibly dephosphorylates ERK1/2 and p38 MAPKs in the nucleus thereby preventing phosphorylation of H3S10. This inhibits nucleosome rearrangement at specific MAPK target genes preventing NF-kB binding and activation of gene transcription. Specifically, OspF inhibits expression of a small set of immune genes including immediate early genes and a subset of NF-kB-responsive genes including IL-8, which is responsible for recruiting neutrophils (Fig. 3) [53, 54]. This corroborates the finding that OspF inhibits neutrophil recruitment [52].

B. Listeria monocytogenes LLO and LntA

The facultative intracellular pathogen, Listeria monocytogenes is well adapted to survive in an extracellular location. However, depending on host environmental factors, it will also infect, survive, and replicate within the cytoplasm of macrophages and nonprofessional phagocytic cells. L. monocytogenes is the causative agent of listeriosis, a foodborne infection that can lead to sepsis and meningitis. Interferons released by infected cells alert neighboring cells to activate defense mechanisms including expression of IFN-stimulated genes. Interferons often lead to rapid clearance of bacterial cells with infection, yet some bacteria survive to cause severe disease, as with L. monocytogenes [55]. While immune defense genes are strongly activated in L. monocytogenes-infected macrophages in vitro and in vivo, some subsets are down-regulated early during infection [56, 57]. Two L. monocytogenes toxins, listeriolysin O (LLO) and Listeria nuclear targeted protein A (LntA), have been identified to globally alter host transcription during the early and late stages of infection via histone modifications and recruitment of histone modifying complexes [58, 59].

LLO is a member of the large pore-forming toxin family known as cholesterol-dependent cytolysins (CDCs), all produced by Gram-positive bacteria [60, 61]. Besides its pore-forming function, LLO is secreted into host cells before bacterial entry and acts as a signaling molecule. LLO induces MAPK and calcium signaling in a pore-forming-dependent manner and NF-kB signaling in a pore-forming-independent manner [62-64]. Additionally, LLO induces K+ efflux ultimately leading to dephosphorylation of H3S10 and deacetylation of H4, resulting in decreased expression of LLO-target genes such as CXCL2 (Fig. 4) [65]. Down-regulation of CXCL2 reduces recruitment of neutrophils, key effectors in the innate immune response against L. monocytogenes [66]. The ability of LLO to alter histone structure is reliant on LLO binding to host cells and forming pores in host cell membranes [58]. The mechanism by which K+ efflux results in dephosphorylation and deacetylation is not fully understood. However, deacetylases are known to be sensitive to changes in K+ concentrations including histone deacetylase 8 (HDAC8) [67]. Other members of the CDC family dephosphorylate H3S10, including PFO (Clostridium perfringens) and PLY (Streptococcus pneumonia) [58].

LntA, another L. monocytogenes toxin, is a 205–amino acid basic protein with an N-terminal signal peptide expressed in pathogenic L. monocytogenes. LntA localizes to heterochromatic DNA in the nucleus where it binds BAHD1. Early in disease IFN-stimulated genes are repressed by a protein complex comprising of BAHD1, HP1, TRIM28 (or KAP-1) and HDAC 1 and 2, which promotes formation of heterochromatin. Late in disease, IFN-stimulated genes are no longer repressed by the BADH1 complex, allowing an immune response to be triggered [59, 68]. LntA prevents...
**Fig. (3). OspF dephosphorylates MAPKs in the nucleus.** Activation of TLR-4 by LPS results in activation of MAPKs which induce phosphorylation of H3S10 allowing for nucleosome remodeling, NF-κB binding and transcription of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines such as IL-8. OspF is secreted by the *Shigella flexneri* type III secretion system and translocates to nuclei of infected cells. In the nucleus, OspF irreversibly dephosphorylates MAPKs including ERK1/2 and p38 resulting in decreased H3S10 phosphorylation and transcription of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines.

**Fig. (4). Schematic of LLO and LntA impact on host chromatin.** Early in *L. monocytogenes* infection, LLO inserts into macrophage cell membrane and induces potassium efflux which leads to histone dephosphorylation and deacetylation. A potassium sensor such as HDAC8 could be responsible for altering the histones. During late infection, LntA is predicted to bind BAHD1 and prevent its repression of IFN-stimulated genes.
BAHD binding to DNA, thus decreasing BAHD1 repression of interferon IFN genes (Fig. 4). Interestingly, mice infected with strains of L. monocytogenes that constitutively express or lack LntA have decreased bacterial burden. This suggests that tight control of LntA expression is critical for L. monocytogenes survival with LntA being expressed only during late infection [59].

**C. Chlamydia trachomatis** NUE

*Chlamydia trachomatis* is the most prevalent sexually transmitted bacterial pathogen. This obligate intracellular and Gram-negative bacterium most often targets epithelial cells. Encoding a type III secretion system, *C. trachomatis* secretes several effectors that have consequences for the host. Recently described by Pennini *et al.*, a SET domain-containing histone methyltransferase called nuclear effector (NUE) is secreted into the cytoplasm for translocation into the nucleus of infected epithelial cells (Fig. 5). *In vitro* methyltransferase assays reveal that NUE methylates histones H2B, H3 and H4. Genomic analysis of other *Chlamydia* species also revealed ORFs with homology to NUE. While target genes of NUE have yet to be identified [69], this is the first known bacterial nuclear effector with the ability to directly alter mammalian histones.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The study of host epigenetic changes in response to bacterial effectors is essential to better understand host-pathogen interactions. Here, we discuss several bacterial effector proteins that alter host histones and chromatin structure and that lead to subversion of the host immune system. The mechanisms by which these effectors alter histone marks need to be further clarified. Additionally, these emerging investigations raise other considerations, such as: do other bacteria express homologous effectors that result in host epigenetic changes or whether there are other global mechanisms by which bacteria alter host epigenetics still to be discovered? Recently, Mombelli *et al.* inhibited HDAC activity in macrophages and observed increased survival of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* due to impaired macrophage phagocytosis and decreased NADPH oxidase activity [70]. This demonstrates the importance of HDAC activity in regulating gene expression that inevitably leads to clearance of bacterial pathogens. The answers to these and other questions will be important as we continue to investigate genetic and epigenetic mechanisms of host response and subversion of these responses by bacterial pathogens.
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