Laparoscopic transcystic common bile duct exploration and laparoscopic transductal common bile duct exploration in elderly patients with cholecystolithiasis combined with choledocholithiasis
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To the Editor: With the development of minimally invasive surgical technology, laparoscopic transcystic common bile duct exploration plus laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LTCBDE + LC) has become the first choice of treatment for cholecystolithiasis combined with biliary calculi. In addition, there is little prospective research.

We compared 150 patients who underwent LTCBDE + LC with 150 patients who underwent laparoscopic transductal common bile duct exploration (LTDBDE) + LC. All patients and participants were informed of the study and voluntarily provided informed consent.

There were no significant differences in the mean blood loss (38.3 ± 8.0 mL vs. 37.3 ± 8.1 mL; t = 0.89, P = 0.282), mean operation time (111.9 ± 10.2 min vs. 113.8 ± 11.2 min; t = 1.63, P = 0.132), and success rate (141/150 vs. 146/150; χ² = 2.01, P = 0.101) between the LTCBDE + LC and LTDBDE + LC groups. However, patients in the LTCBDE + LC group had a shorter stay in the hospital compared to those in the LTDBDE + LC group (4.31 ± 0.69 days vs. 4.73 ± 1.26 days; t = 2.28, P < 0.001). Patients in the LTDBDE + LC group also had a significantly lower average visual analog scale pain score at 8 h after surgery than patients in the LTCBDE + LC group (3.30 ± 1.06 vs. 2.25 ± 1.09; t = 1.86, P = 0.001). In this study, the LTCBDE + LC group experienced anal aerofluxus and removal of the drain tube earlier than did those in the LTDBDE + LC group (1.2 ± 0.4 days vs. 2.3 ± 0.5 days; t = 3.65, P < 0.001 and 2.49 ± 2.31 days vs. 3.85 ± 2.77 days; t = 2.18, P < 0.001). Additionally, patients in the LTCBDE + LC group returned to an oral liquid diet earlier than those in the LTDBDE + LC group (1.2 ± 0.4 days vs. 2.1 ± 0.4 days; t = 2.43, P < 0.001).

In the LTCBDE + LC group, with the assistance of a microincision and electrohydraulic lithotripsy, the transcystic success rate was 93.3%.

The incidence of post-operative complications in the LTCBDE + LC group was lower than that in the LTDBDE + LC group (12% [18/150] vs. 22.7% [34/150], χ² = 6.17, P = 0.015) [Table 1].

The incidence of biliary leakage in the LTCBDE + LC group was lower than that in the LTDBDE + LC group (22.7% [34/150] vs. 5.13% [5/95], P = 0.033). The LTCBDE + LC group had a significantly shorter time before resuming work compared with the LTDBDE + LC group (5.13 ± 1.05 days vs. 6.39 ± 1.15 days; t = 3.82, P < 0.001).

The procedure of LTCBDE and LTDBDE is associated with a shorter hospital stay and is more cost-effective when compared with endoscopic retrograde cholangio pancreatography (ERCP). The most important point is that the transcystic laparoscopic approach gains access to the CBD and avoids choledochotomy or sphincterotomy, resulting in freedom from the T-tube- or ERCP-related complications.
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This research has demonstrated that LTCBDE + LC is associated with a lower total cost, better pain scores, lower complication rate, and shorter hospital stay compared with LTDBDE + LC.
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