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Abstract  The present study is a firsthand attempt to decipher the pollen pictures of honey samples from two north- eastern states of India for their botanical origin and geographical source through Melissopalynology. The samples for this study were collected from Sairang Village, Mizoram and Wokha Village, Nagaland respectively maintaining an aseptic condition to avoid any contamination. The study revealed the nature of honey samples, unifloral or multifloral type and their frequencies in each sample. Upon analyses, the samples were also categorized based on the frequencies of the different morphotypes present in the samples which in turn indicated the partial vegetational picture of that area. The botanical origin has been indicated as far as possible of the samples, but it could not be possible to identify the geographical source of origin because of complete absence of any detailed published work on vegetational pictures of these states. The aim of this study is to characterisation and categorisation of honey samples for labelling their quality and quantity of the pollen content in honey samples along with their possible origin could be efficiently utilized for upliftment of financial status of the rural inhabitants of states.
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1. Introduction

The Nature produces four vital sources of elements for survival of honeybees. They are water, resin, nectar and pollen. Pollen is the bee’s major source of proteins, fatty substances, minerals and vitamins Gary [1] for the growth of larvae and young adult bees Dietz [2]. To prevent bacterial growth and defer the pollen germination time, a phytocidal acid is added and packed into the comb by the bees. This “bee bread” (i.e., stored pollen) are ready for later consumption by the young bees. Scientific studies on honeys have been conducted on the contents, including pollen by many workers since the year 1930 Zander [3]. Various workers throughout the world conducted different researchers on various aspects of Melissopalynology such as bee botany, bee genetics and breeding, bee chemistry and pathology, improvement in routine management practices etc. Pollen can be used as an essential tool for the analyses of honey.

Taxa of pollen are used to indicate the floral nectar sources utilized by bees to produce honey Louveaux [4].

Present study is a firsthand attempt on pollen analyses of squeezed honey samples collected from Sairang forest, Aizwai, Mizoram and from a village near Wokha town, Nagaland. Samples were collected aseptically during autumn time from the above-mentioned places.

The present area of work is envisaged to explore the possibility of using melissopalynology for:
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1) Indicating the botanical origin of pollen grains.
2) Identifying the geographical source of origin.
3) Verifying and labelling the honey samples as to the major and minor nectar sources.

This information has commercial value because honey of a dominant floral type can fetch a higher price than the honey of mixed or unknown floral sources.

2. Materials and Methods

Samples were collected aseptically during autumn season. One area of collection was Longsa village of Wokha District, Nagaland. The other area was Sairang village, Aizwal, Mizoram. The honey samples were squeezed from honeycomb of Apies florea.

The technique for extraction of pollen was followed according to the methodology recommended by Internal Commission for Bee Botany Louveaux [4]. Ten grams of honey sample was diluted with 20ml. of distilled water and heated slightly to 38°C in a water bath and then stirred well to ensure a uniform mixing of pollen. It was centrifuged for 10 minutes at approximately 2500 r.p.m to ensure complete sedimentation of the pollen. Some authorities recommend 4000 r.p.m for centrifugation Low [5]. Half of the total samples were acetylised Lieux [6] for 10 to 15 minutes at 100°C to keep the chances of recovering monocot pollen from the samples, if any.

Then glycerine in diluted form was added to store residues (of both acetylised and unacetylised) in vials with screw tops. These residues were thoroughly mixed before preparing microscopic studies for analysis.

Single grain preparations were done mainly for identification of pollen types under oil-immersion lens of a microscope and eventual photomicrography for documentation Faegri [7]. The factor for measurement in most cases was 3.2µm, for one micrometer division. For photomicrography, a Magnus trilocular microscope was used.

3. List of Pollen Grain Types Recovered

Sairang
Inaperturate -- Aristolochia sp (Aristolochiaceae)
Monoporate reticulate – Musa sp (Musaceae)
Tricolpate reticulate – unidentified type
Tricolporate echinate – Bidens sp (Asteraceae)
Monoporate psilate – wild grasses (Poaceae)
Tricolporate echinate– Tridax sp (Asteraceae)
Pentaporate psilate – Alnus sp (Betulaceae)
Hexacolporate reticulate– Hyptis sp (Lamiaceae)
Tetrarporate reticulate– Caprinus sp (Betulaceae)
Triporate – Alangium sp (Alangiaceae)

Wokha
Tricolpate psilate – Prunus sp (Rosaceae)
Tetrarporate reticulate– Caprinus sp (Betulaceae)
Tricolporate reticulate – Gnaphalium sp (Asteraceae)
Tetracolporate prolate – Citrus sp (Rutaceae)
Triporate– Betula sp (Betulaceae)
Hexacolporate reticulate– Hyptis sp (Lamiaceae)
Tricolporate reticulate– Semecarpus sp (Anacardiaceae)
Pentaporate reticulate– Alnus sp (Betulaceae)
Inaperturate echinate – Canna sp (Cannaceae)
Triporate reticulate– Kleinhovia sp (Sterculiaceae)
PLATE 1

Figure 1. C – colpus, P – pore, CP – colporate
4. Descriptions

**Sairang Forest, Aizwal, Mizoram**

*Aristolochia* (Aristolochiaceae)

Pollen grain inaperturate, spheroidal, 40-45µm wide, exine 1-2 µm thick, tectate, sexine reticulate, tectum scabrate.

*Musa* (Musaceae)

Pollen grain monoporate, spheroidal, 20µm-30µm.

Unidentified type

Pollen grain tricolporate, prolate, length 14µm - 18µm, breadth 10µm-12µm, colpi long ¾ of the length of the grain, exin thin 1µm thick, sexine reticulate.

**Bidens** (Asteraceae)

Pollen grain tricolporate, subspheroidal, 35µm-40µm
long X 22µm – 25 µm broad, ora transversely elliptic, colpi long as polar axis, echini 5µm long, exine 2µm thick, sexine granulate, usually with LO pattern.

**Monoporate wild (Poaceae)**

Pollen grain monoporate, spheroidal, 24µm-28µm wide, pores circular, 2µm in diameter with 2µm - 4µm thick annulus, exine 2µm thick, sexine psilate.

**Tridax (Asteraceae)**

Pollen grain tricolporate, subspheroidal, grain 25µm – 30µm wide, colpi 20µm-22µm long x 1µm-2µm broad, ora circular 1.5 µm wide, exine 3µm-4.0µm thick, echini 2.5µm-4µm x 1.5µm-2.5µm, sexine granulate.

**Alnus (Betulaceae)**

Pollen grain tetra pororate, oblate, rectangular in polar view, length 14µm-18µm x 26µm-30µm broad, aperture vestibulum type, ora circular, connected with arcus, exine thick 2µm-3µm differentiated into thin nexine and 1µm thick sexine, psilate.

**Hyptis (Lamiaceae)**

Pollen grain hexacolpate, subprolate, 20µm-30µm wide, exine thickened at two poles, 1.5µm-2µm thick, sexine finely reticulate at two poles, coarse at equator with OL pattern, nexine thinner than sexine.

**Caprinus (Betulaceae)**

Pollen grain tetra porate, oblate spheroidal, 20µm-24µm long x25µm-30µm broad, ora crassimarginate, exine 1µm-2µm thick, tectum striato-reticulate, aperture drop type, nexine as thick as sexine.

**Alangium (Alangiaceae)**

Pollen grain tricolporate, prolate, spheroidal in polar view, 45µm - 55µm long X 25µm - 30µm broad. Colpi 30 µm -35 µm, pores lalongate, exine 1µm-2µm thick, differentiated into thin nexine and 1µm thick sexine, striate.

**Prunus (Rosaceae):**

Pollen grain inaperturate, 65µm -70µm wide, exine 0.5 µm thick, tectum with echinate processes, spines 2µm-3µm longX 2µm-2.5µm, sexine granulate.

**Kleinhovia (Sterculiaceae)**

Pollen grain triporate, oblate, 16µm-20µm longX24µm-28µm broad, aperture atrium type, pores circular 3µm-4µm, exine 1µm thick, sexine reticulate with OL pattern.
POLLEN ANALYTICAL RESULTS SAIRANG FOREST, AIZWAL

| TYPE               | TOTAL NO OF GRAIN/10gm | PERCENTAGE | POLLEN FREQUENCY |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|
| Aristolochia sp.   | 250                    | 1.17       | Rare             |
| Musa sp.           | 6180                   | 29.02      | Frequent         |
| Unidentified type  | 120                    | 0.56       | Rare             |
| Bidens sp.         | 3120                   | 14.65      | Infrequent       |
| Tridax sp.         | 3250                   | 15.26      | Infrequent       |
| Poaceae (Wild)     | 600                    | 2.81       | Rare             |
| Caprinus sp.       | 5620                   | 26.39      | Frequent         |
| Alangium sp.       | 1250                   | 5.87       | Rare             |
| Hyptis sp.         | 405                    | 1.90       | Rare             |
| Alnus sp           | 745                    | 3.49       | Rare             |
| **TOTAL**          | **21540**              |            |                  |

**CATEGORIZATION**

**Pollen frequency according to Louveaux [4]**

Pollen analytical results with their detailed number per 10 gm of sample, percentage of each pollen grain and individual frequencies have been represented in a tabular form separately for two states to distinguish their pollen categories.

Sairang Forest samples revealed a “mixed floral type” of pollen in honey samples. The most prolific two types were well below 45% (The percentage that is considered as a base line to be tagged as a “predominant type”). So a composition of two frequent, two infrequent and two rare types of pollen frequency marked them as a ‘mixed floral type’ and hence placed as “Category II”.

Longsa Village samples from Nagaland on the other hand clearly showing a single predominant pollen grain of Gnaphalium sp. with 46.38% of representation, thus clearly representing as ‘unifloral type’ of honey. Considering the frequencies, pollen samples have been placed in “Category I”

LONGSA VILLAGE, NAGALAND

| TYPE               | TOTAL NO OF GRAIN/10gm | PERCENTAGE | POLLEN FREQUENCY |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|
| Prunus sp.         | 2100                   | 13.08      | Infrequent       |
| Caprinus sp.       | 900                    | 5.60       | Rare             |
| Citrus sp.         | 900                    | 5.60       | Rare             |
| Gnaphalium sp.     | 6480                   | 46.38      | Predominant      |
| Betula sp.         | 1800                   | 11.22      | Infrequent       |
| Hyptis sp.         | 1200                   | 7.47       | Rare             |
| Semecarpus sp.     | 90                     | 0.56       | Rare             |
| Alnus sp           | 2400                   | 14.95      | Infrequent       |
| Canna sp.          | 120                    | 0.74       | Rare             |
| Kleinhovia sp.     | 56                     | 0.34       | Rare             |
| **TOTAL**          | **16046**              |            |                  |

**CATEGORIZATION**

5. Discussion

The present investigation on microscopical study of honey pollen from the two north eastern states of India has been divided into two parts, namely (1) Analyses of honey samples for characterization of palynomorphs to identify their botanical origin along with geographical source and (2) categorization of honey samples of the two states based on pollen analytical results that have some practical implications in apiculture and to the bee botanists.

First part deals with the characterization of honey samples for their floral content for an understanding of the composition of the taxa and their proper identifications. A total of 20 species of pollen have been recorded from the two states including some common types. Out of the total pollen only three types (i.e. Alnus sp, Hyptis sp and Caprinus sp) have been recorded as common types between the two states.
the two states which are altogether geographically situated wide part from each other. All the morphotypes have been described vividly for proper identification. Pollen grains were photomicrographed and presented as documentary evidence. Due to absence of any published account of vegetational picture of those areas, the identification of pollen grains found in samples difficult. Hence identifications have been done up to genera. Even a few pollen grains could not be identified even up to genus category. Some unpublished account of the vegetation of those areas by the Forest Department, Government of India has been consulted to get an idea of the vegetational picture occurring there at present.

The second part of the study dealt with categorization of honey samples based on the results revealed in this first part. The results were compiled in a tabular form have been mentioned earlier. It has been observed that there was floral similarity between these sates (although separated by the state of Manipur in between them) in the presence of *Hyptis* sp, *Alnus* sp and *Caprinusa* sp and dominance of Asteraceae family pollen grains. But on the other hand, Longsa village, Nagaland produces category I type of pollen assemblage that has a greater demand as a honey because of its unifloral type of origin. Sairang village samples are of ‘mixed floral type’ with no dominant pollen grain in sample.

The study could not reveal the geographical origin of pollen grains mainly due to absence of any detailed published work on vegetation of those places. This work is the first report of those places using the meissopalynology for evaluation quality of honey samples. More studies on vegetational picture and melissopalynology could reveal a detail insight of honey samples that could be utilized for Apiculture in future for growth and development of rural economy of those areas.
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