Contamination and accumulation of heavy metals is a serious problem for the environment and human health worldwide [Hu et al. 2017, Ogbomida et al. 2018] due to its toxicity, dangerousness, persistence and non-biodegradable nature and high potential to enter and accumulate in the food chain [Hu et al. 2017, Abah et al. 2017, Asli et al. 2019, Chen et al. 2015, Islam et al. 2018, Stankovic et al. 2014]. Heavy metals are released into the environment by natural and anthropogenic sources [Lü et al. 2018, Wang et al. 2018] can enter soil, water and air, bioaccumulate
in food webs, to contaminate the food chain and negatively affect the wildlife and human health [Gall et al. 2015, Ali and Khan 2018, Ali et al. 2019]. Metals such as Pb and Cd are highly toxic, their presence in the environment even as traces can cause serious problems in the health of animals and plants [Jadia and Fulekar 2009]. These are toxic to living organisms, excessive amounts of elements such as Pb and Cd could be harmful to living cells, and prolonged exposure to the body can lead to illness or death (Shafiuddin Ahmed et al., 2019). Cd can enter the human body through ingestion of contaminated food and cause damage to multiple organs [Darwish et al. 2019].

The environment where free-living animals remain strongly influences the content of heavy metals in their tissues [Bąkowska et al. 2016] and the grazing of animals on contaminated soils is a source of exposure and accumulation of heavy metals in the meat of animals [Sabir et al. 2003]. The intake of forage and water with contaminants causes the accumulation of toxic elements in meat and in organs such as the liver and kidney of animals [Felix et al. 2016] and is the most likely route of human exposure to metals compared to other forms of exposure such as inhalation and dermal contact [Bortey-Sam et al. 2015, Hembrum et al. 2020].

Peru is the first reference in the breeding of alpacas under the free grazing system, concentrating 80% of the world population [Hinojosa et al. 2019]. It constitutes one of the most important productive activities carried out in the high Andean areas above 3800 masl and is a source of family income under rugged geographical conditions. At the national level the population of alpacas is more than 4 million, the department of Huancavelica concentrates 6% of the national population after Puno, Cusco and Arequipa with 663 tons of annual meat production [Ministry of Agricultural and Irrigation 2019]. The meat of South American camelids (alpaca and llama) is one of the main productive activities in the high Andean areas of the country due to the nutritional characteristics of alpaca meat. Alpaca meat is mainly used for commercialization, barter and self-consumption as fresh or dehydrated meat (“charqui”).

In the camelid grazing areas and areas surrounding the municipal slaughterhouse of Huancavelica, samples of soil and grass were collected in order to determine the presence of Cd, Pb and Zn where alpacas freely graze. In September 2019, in order to obtain representative samples of soil and grass, 5 individual composite samples of soil and grass were collected from 5 different points of the meadow. Soil samples were collected from 0 to 20 cm deep using a small stainless steel...
shovel, which were placed in ziploc polyethylene bags. The grass samples were collected from the aerial part using stainless steel scissors from the same points where the soil was collected.

Fresh samples of muscle of alpaca (*Vicugna pacos* L.) sacrificed were collected in the Municipal Slaughterhouse from Huancavelica, 30 raw samples of muscle were collected from the part of the diaphragm [Miranda et al. 2001] of 2 to 3 years old between 15 females and 15 males, each sample weighed approximately 20 g. The samples were packed in transparent and hermetically sealed plastic bags and transported in boxes with ice to the laboratory of the Faculty of Applied Sciences of the National University of the Center of Peru.

**Sample preparation and analysis**

The soil samples were dried, pulverized and sieved through a 2 mm sieve, then 0.5 g of soil sample was weighed and digested in 10 ml of HNO₃ and 3 ml of HCl for 16 h at room temperature; then, the digested samples were filtered, diluted with ultrapure water and stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C prior to analysis. The grass samples were washed with running water, rinsed with distilled water, then proceeded to dehydrate them in the drying oven at 50 °C for 120 hours, once the samples were dry, they proceeded to grinding using a sprayer, then 1.0 g of pulverized grass was weighed, these were placed in beakers and digested with 5 ml of HCl and 5 ml of HNO₃, the digested samples were filtered, diluted with ultrapure water and transferred into 20 ml flasks, and stored at 4 °C.

The meat samples were cut into small pieces of 8 to 10 mm, removing the fat and tendons, the samples were homogenized with the help of a domestic mixer. The general digestion phase was performed according to the procedure established by USEPA 3051A [USEPA 2007]. One g of muscle was weighed for each sample and they were placed in beakers, to then proceed to acid digestion with 20 ml of nitric acid (HNO₃) to cause the decomposition of the muscles, the solutions were brought to the oven at 150 °C until dry, then allowed to cool, diluted with distilled water, filtered and transferred into 50 ml flasks with ultrapure water. The quantification of the concentration of Cd, Pb and Zn in the selected samples was carried out using the atomic absorption spectrophotometer (VARIAN AA240). Before proceeding with the metal reading, the instrument was calibrated using standard solutions for Cd, Pb, and Zn to establish standard calibration curves for each metal.

**Bioaccumulation factor**

The bioaccumulation factor (BAF) was used to assess the transfer of Cd, Pb and Zn from soil to plant. It was defined as the ratio of heavy metals concentration in edible parts of plants with the metal concentration in soils. It can be calculated as the equation (1) [Cui et al. 2004]:

![Figure 1. Location map of the Municipal Slaughterhouse of Huancavelica and soil and pasture sampling points](image-url)
\[ BAF = \frac{C_{\text{plant}}}{C_{\text{soil}}} \]  

(1)

where: \( C_{\text{plant}} \) and \( C_{\text{soil}} \) represent Pb, Cd and Zn concentration in plants and soils samples, respectively.

**Human health risk assessment**

The human health risk assessment for heavy metals from alpaca meat consumption was calculated based on the methodology proposed by the USEPA [USEPA 1989, 2001]:

**Estimated daily intake**

The estimated daily intake (EDI) of Cd, Pb and Zn depends on the concentration of the metal in the alpaca muscle and the amount of consumption of this food. The EDI of heavy metals through the ingestion route was calculated using equation (2) [USEPA 2001, Anandkumar et al. 2020, El Bayomi et al. 2018]:

\[ EDI = \frac{C_m \times IR}{BW} \]  

(2)

where: \( EDI \) is the estimated daily intake in mg/kg-day, \( C_m \) is the average concentration of metal in food (mg/kg, in fresh weight), \( IR \) is the average daily consumption of alpaca meat in this region (kg/day), \( BW \) is the average body weight (kg). A questionnaire was applied to 45 people to determine the average daily consumption of alpaca meat of children (0.078±0.007 kg/day) and adults (0.182±0.045 kg/day) and the average body weight of children (18.8±0.940 kg) and adults (64.5±9.98 kg).

**Non-carcinogenic risk**

The non-carcinogenic risk by heavy metals in the health of local inhabitants of Huancavelica from alpaca meat intake was assessed on the basis of the hazard quotient (HQ), which was expressed by equation (3) [USEPA 1989, 2001, Korkmaz et al. 2019]:

\[ HQ = \frac{EF \times ED \times EDI \times CFS \times ED}{RfD \times BW \times AT} \]  

(3)

where: \( EF \) is the exposure frequency (350 days/year), \( ED \) is the duration of exposure (70 years) and \( RfD \) (mg/kg-day) is the oral reference dose for each contaminant, the \( RfD \) values for Cd, Pb and Zn are 0.001, 0.0036 and 0.3 mg/kg-day respectively [Chijioke et al. 2020, USEPA IRIS 2019]. \( BW \) is the body weight in kg and \( AT \) is the average time of exposure to non-carcinogenic heavy metals \((ED \times 350 \text{ days})\). If \( HQ \) is less than 1, there is no probable health risk, if \( HQ \) is greater than 1, the consumption of alpaca meat could mean a possible danger to the health of consumers [Djedjibegovic et al. 2020, Kortei et al. 2020].

To estimate the general non-carcinogenic risk to human health of Cd, Pb and Zn, the hazard index (HI) was calculated using equation (4), which consisted of the sum of the hazard quotients of the metals individual [Barath Kumar et al. 2019]:

\[ HI = \text{Total HQ} = HQ_{(Cd)} + HQ_{(Cd)} + HQ_{(Zn)} \]  

(4)

if \( HI < 1 \), the probability of adverse health effects is low, the exposure level is lower than the \( RfD \), if \( HI > 1 \), daily exposure is likely to cause adverse effects during a person’s life [Oyekunle et al. 2020].

**Carcinogenic risk**

The carcinogenic risk (CR) during a lifetime of exposure to Cd and Pb was calculated using equation (5) [USEPA 1989, 2001, Korkmaz et al. 2019]:

\[ CR = \frac{EF \times ED \times EDI \times CFS}{BW \times AT} \]  

(5)

where: \( AT \) is the mean time for carcinogens \((70 \times 365 \text{ days})\), \( CFS \) is the oral carcinogenic risk factor for metals, the \( CFS \) for Cd and Pb is 15 mg/kg-day and 0.0085 mg/kg-day respectively [Miclean et al. 2019, Storelli et al. 2020, L. Zhang et al. 2015]. \( EF, ED, EDI \) and \( BW \) were defined in equations (1) and (2). The acceptable range of the \( CR \) value is between \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) to \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \), if the threshold value of \( CR \) is below \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) it is accepted that there are no significant risks to human health, and if the \( CR \) threshold value exceeds \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) indicates a potential lifetime carcinogenic risk [USEPA 2001]. Cd and Pb were treated as potentially...
carcinogenic contaminants according to the classification order defined by the International Agency for Research on Cancer [IARC 2012].

The total carcinogenic risk (TCR) to human health of Cd and Pb was calculated using equation (6):

$$TCR = \text{Total CR} = CR_{(Pb)} + CR_{(Cd)}$$

(6)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Concentration of Cd, Pb and Zn in soils and grasses**

The results of the concentration of Cd, Pb and Zn in the soil and grass in the grazing areas of the South American camelid (alpaca) are presented in the Table 1. The average concentration of Cd in the soil was 2.78 mg/kg, and these concentrations ranged from 1.32 to 3.87 mg/kg. The mean concentration of Pb in the soil was 22.12 mg/kg and Zn 95.94 mg/kg below the regulated limits. The average concentration of Cd in the soil was higher than the environmental quality standards for soil of the national regulation [Ministery of the Environment 2017] and international [CCME 2007], the concentrations of Pb and Zn in the soil did not exceed the regulated limits. The high concentrations of Cd in the topsoil could be attributed to the presence of abandoned mines surrounding the alpaca grazing area.

**Table 1.** Concentration of Cd, Pb and Zn in soil and grass and bioaccumulation factor in the camelid grazing areas from Huancavelica, Peru

| Samples       | Soil (mg/kg) | Grass (mg/kg) | Soil Standards    | Grasses Standards |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|               |              |               | Canadian CEQG     | European Union Standard-EC (European Commission (EC), 2006b); National Academy of Sciences-NAS (National Academy of Sciences, 2005) |
|               |              |               | Peruvian SQE      |                    |
|                | P1           | 1.790         | 1.4               | EC 1 30            |
|                | P2           | 1.320         | 2.016             | NAS 1 250          |
|                | P3           | 3.160         | 2.463             | -                  |
|                | P4           | 3.870         | 2.980             | -                  |
|                | P5           | 3.760         | 2.876             | -                  |
|                | Mean         | 2.78          | 22.116            | 95.936             |
|                |              | 21.580        |                   | 106.050            |
|                |              | 13.890        | 2.016             | 45.763             |
|                |              | 17.370        |                   | 56.673             |
|                |              | 36.540        | 2.980             | 72.530             |
|                |              | 21.200        |                   | 109.280            |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 95.936             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 56.673             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 11.135            | 65.063             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 11.135            | 65.063             |
|                |              | 98.890        | 72.530            | 64.327             |
|                |              | 95.936        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 98.890        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 95.936        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 98.890        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 95.936        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 98.890        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 95.936        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 98.890        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 95.936        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 98.890        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 95.936        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 98.890        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 95.936        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 77.980        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 98.890        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 95.936        | 53.946            | 53.946             |
|                |              | 106.050       |                   | 53.946             |
|                |              | 87.480        |                   | 53.946             |
Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines-CEQG (CCME, 2007); Standard Quality Environment-SQE (Ministry of the Environment, 2017); European Union Standard-EC (European Commission (EC), 2006b); National Academy of Sciences-NAS (National Academy of Sciences, 2005).

The content of Cd, Pb and Zn in the natural pastures samples collected from the same sites from which the soil samples were taken ranged from 0.59 to 2.90 mg/kg, 0.47 to 5.03 mg/kg and 8.54 to 89.20 mg/kg respectively. The average content of Cd in the grass exceeded that established in international standards. More than 70% of the samples studied exceeded the threshold limit for Cd in forages (1 mg/kg) according to European regulation [European Commission 2006b] which could indicate that alpacas would be exposed to this element by daily intake of pastures with Cd residues. The soil Cd can be easily absorbed by plants and accumulate in animal food through the food chain [Hashemi 2018, Yu et al. 2017]. The concentrations of Pb and Zn in the pasture did not exceed the limit regulated by the European Commission (30 mg/kg) and the tolerable limit (150 mg/d) regulated by the National Academy of Sciences [National Academy of Sciences 2005, Smith et al. 2009].

The results obtained could suggest that the soil is exposed to a large amount of pollutants such as heavy metals, and these could be transferred to plants and affect food security [S. Khan et al. 2008, Xiao et al. 2019].

Translocation from soil to plants

The bioaccumulation factor measures the ability to move metals from the soil to the plants. The BAF values of Cd, Pb and Zn are presented in the Table 1. The BAF for the studied grass samples decreased in the following order Cd> Zn> Pb, higher BAF values were observed in Cd and Zn because these elements are absorbed with extreme ease [Kabata-Pendias 2011]. The BAF values of the three elements studied in the natural pastures were less than 1, these values indicate that the absorption was low to medium intensity. The presence of these chemical substances in the tissues of the pastures would pose a danger to the animal that consumes it daily through free grazing. The roots, shoots and meristems of plants absorb most of Cd and Zn [Wilkinson et al. 2003] due to its greater bioavailability and mobility of these two elements [Kabata-Pendias 2011]. In general, the Cd, Pb and Zn contents in the soil and pastures presented high variability, possibly as a consequence of the presence of sources of contamination related to mining activities, especially the passives of abandoned mining tailings that favor the dispersion of dust with metal content in the area surrounding the alpaca grazing area.

Concentration of Cd, Pb and Zn in alpaca muscle according to sex

The presence of Cd, Pb and Zn was detected in the alpaca muscle, which suggests a possible contamination of the muscle tissue with these chemical elements. The average accumulation of these metals followed the following decreasing order Zn> Cd> Pb, with 28.288, 0.335 and 0.0048 mg/kg respectively (Table 2 and Figure 2). The mean content of Cd in the muscle of male and female alpaca was 0.334 and 0.336 mg/kg, Pb 0.0048 and 0.0049 mg/kg and Zn 29.610 and 26.966 mg/kg respectively.

The Cd content in all the muscle samples studied exceeded the safety threshold allowed by the European Commission [European Commission 2006a]. These Cd accumulation values found in alpaca muscle could be an important indicator of the level of contamination of the place where the cattle are raised [Sobhanardakani 2018]. The presence of Cd in the soil and grass above the regulated limits in the camelid grazing area would corroborate this statement. Cd is an environmental pollutant that plants easily absorb from the soil and is indirectly transferred to animals through pasture intake [Khan et al. 2018], on the other hand, these heavy metals can be found in the air, water, soil, and especially in pastures near industrial areas [Baydan et al. 2017]. No significant differences were observed in the accumulation of Cd, Pb and Zn in muscle tissue according to sex (p>0.05) coinciding with what was reported by Hermoso de Mendoza et al. [2011]. The average content of Pb in the alpaca muscle was below the limits regulated by the European Commission and the Codex Alimentarius [FAO/WHO 2015]. There is no permissible regulation for Zn in meat and the regulation for fish was assumed. Zn is an essential metal for animals, its concentration in muscle would not represent a danger to the health of consumers, but in high concentrations it can be toxic [ATSDR 2005].
These results of Cd content in alpaca muscle were higher than that reported by Huanqui Pérez [2018] in Puno, but similar in the content of Pb. Likewise, the results found were compared with studies of heavy metals found in sheep, cow, buffalo, and camel meat, these were similar to those reported by Khalafalla et al. [2015] in Egypt with Cd concentrations that ranged from 0.2 to 0.9 ppm respectively. In sheep muscle they reported lower contents for Cd, but similar for Pb and Zn [MacLachlan et al. 2016]; in bovine meat they reported higher values for Pb, and lower values for Cd and Zn (Hashemi, 2018); in camel muscle they reported similar values for Pb and Zn and lower for Cd, similarly they did not observe significant differences in the accumulation of these elements between males and females [Asli et al. 2019]; in deer muscle they reported Pb contents higher than that found in this study [Lehel et al. 2015]. In goat muscle they reported lower contents for Cd, higher for Pb and similar for Zn [Ogbomida et al. 2018]. In Ukraine, in wild boar, roe deer and hare muscle they found Cd and Pb values above the permissible limits [Pilarczyk et al. 2020]. In China, in different food groups reported higher average concentration of Cd in animal meat with 0.303 mg/kg [Yu et al. 2017]. The presence of Cd, Pb and Zn in the alpaca muscle would be attributed to the daily and direct intake of pastures with contents of these elements in the plant tissue through the free grazing of camelids in the high Andean areas, which would favor the accumulation of these heavy metals in the animal’s body.

**Estimated daily intake of Cd, Pb and Zn associated with the alpaca meat intake**

The estimated daily intake of Cd, Pb and Zn are presented in Table 3. The trend of daily intake followed the following decreasing order Zn > Cd > Pb for both children and adults, with a

![Figure 2. Accumulation of Cd, Pb y Zn in alpaca muscle according sex](image-url)
maximum exposure of $2.44 \times 10^{-2}$ mg/kg-day of Zn in children and a minimum of $2.82 \times 10^{-6}$ mg/kg-day of Pb in adults.

Alpaca meat is one of the sources rich in protein and low in cholesterol [FAO 2005], the fresh meat contains 21.8% protein, 3.7% fat, 1.4% ash and 70.80% moisture; while the dehydrated meat contains 57.2% protein, 7.5% fat, 3.3% ash and 28.8% moisture [DESCOSUR 2017], so its consumption is recommended. Muscle tissue is the most worrying because it is the most edible part of the camelid and commonly consumed by humans. However, the accumulation of heavy metals in the muscle tissue of the alpaca can be transferred to humans through the consumption of its meat products, and the toxicity of the metals would depend on the rate of daily intake. The daily intakes of Cd, Pb and Zn were higher in children than in adults, results consistent with that reported by Korkmaz et al. [2019], Pilarczyk et al. [2020] and Zeinali [2019]. FAO/WHO recommends the daily intake of these harmful substances and trace elements that ensure a healthy diet, and prevent toxic effects beyond the permitted limits. The calculated non-cancer risk index (HI) was less than 1 for children and adults. From the cancer risk assessment (CR) by exposure to Cd and Pb, the CR values for Pb were lower than the CR values for Cd and the limit threshold value ($1 \times 10^{-6}$).

The hazard quotients of Cd, Pb and Zn for children and adults are shown in Table 3. The estimated HQ values for Cd, Pb and Zn for children and adults decreased in the following order Cd> Zn> Pb with $2.89 \times 10^{-1}$, $8.14 \times 10^{-2}$ and $1.15 \times 10^{-3}$ in children and $1.97 \times 10^{-1}$, $5.53 \times 10^{-2}$ and $7.83 \times 10^{-4}$ in adults respectively, and these values were below 1. The calculated non-cancer risk index (HI) was less than 1 for children and adults, which could suggest that there is a low probability of experiencing non-carcinogenic risk to human health. Cd and Zn were the elements that showed the greatest contribution to HI, the HI values were approximately 1.5 times higher in children than adults, results consistent with that reported by Sam Bortey-Sam et al. [2015], [Shafiuddin Ahmed et al. 2019] and [Zeinali 2019]. Children are more susceptible to the absorption of toxic metals compared to adults, they are susceptible to deficiencies in respiratory function, cardiovascular disease, deficits in neurological development, bone damage and reproductive problems [Zhang et al. 2019]. Therefore, it is important to pay attention to the daily intake of other toxic elements through the consumption of meat foods, giblets, fruits, vegetables, and water intake by local residents and to evaluate the

### Table 3. Estimated daily intake (mg/kg-day), Hazard Quotient, Hazard Index and Total Cancer Risk of Cd, Pb and Zn from consumption of alpaca meat in Huancavelica, Peru

| Heavy metal | Concentration in meat (mg/kg) | RfD (mg/kg-day) | EDI (mg/kg-day) | Hazard Quotient (HQ) | Cancer Risk (CR) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|             | Children | Adult | Children | Adult | Children | Adult | Children | Adult |
| Cd          | 0.335    | 0.001 | 2.89E-04 | 1.97E-04 | 2.89E-01 | 1.97E-01 | 4.34E-03 | 2.95E-03 |
| Pb          | 0.0048   | 0.0036 | 4.14E-06 | 2.82E-06 | 1.15E-03 | 7.83E-04 | 3.52E-08 | 2.39E-08 |
| Zn          | 28.288   | 0.3    | 2.44E-02 | 1.66E-02 | 8.14E-02 | 5.53E-02 | -         | -     |
| Hazard Index (HI) | 3.72E-01 | 2.53E-01 | | | | |
| Total Cancer Risk (TCR) | | | 4.34E-03 | 2.95E-03 | | |

Non carcinogenic and carcinogenic risk

The hazard quotient of Cd, Pb and Zn for children and adults are shown in Table 3. The estimated HQ values for Cd, Pb and Zn for children and adults decreased in the following order Cd> Zn> Pb with $2.89 \times 10^{-1}$, $8.14 \times 10^{-2}$ and $1.15 \times 10^{-3}$ in children and $1.97 \times 10^{-1}$, $5.53 \times 10^{-2}$ and $7.83 \times 10^{-4}$ in adults respectively, and these values were below 1. The calculated non-cancer risk index (HI) was less than 1 for children and adults, which could suggest that there is a low probability of experiencing non-carcinogenic risk to human health. Cd and Zn were the elements that showed the greatest contribution to HI, the HI values were approximately 1.5 times higher in children than adults, results consistent with that reported by Sam Bortey-Sam et al. [2015], [Shafiuddin Ahmed et al. 2019] and [Zeinali 2019]. Children are more susceptible to the absorption of toxic metals compared to adults, they are susceptible to deficiencies in respiratory function, cardiovascular disease, deficits in neurological development, bone damage and reproductive problems [Zhang et al. 2019]. Therefore, it is important to pay attention to the daily intake of other toxic elements through the consumption of meat foods, giblets, fruits, vegetables, and water intake by local residents and to evaluate the
potential of total risk in the health of the inhabitants and in the environment.

The carcinogenic risk for Pb was within acceptable values for children and adults, which could indicate that there is no potential risk of cancer for life from intake of alpaca meat with Pb content. The carcinogenic risk of Cd and Pb was higher in children than in adults. Regarding Cd, there was greater concern, because TCR levels exceeded the established threshold limit $1 \times 10^{-4}$ [USEPA 2001], therefore, so it might be suggested that there is a lifetime carcinogenic risk for Cd as this element is considered a group 1 carcinogen and the intake of alpaca meat would not be safe. Young individuals are more vulnerable to the accumulation of Cd, due to irreversible alterations in the central nervous system [Kicińska et al. 2019]. On the other hand, prolonged exposure to Cd levels causes kidney dysfunction, deficiencies in Ca metabolism causing an increased risk of osteoporosis, Cd accumulates in the human body throughout life and has a half-life of 10 to 33 years [ATSDR 2012, Kicińska et al. 2019].

CONCLUSIONS

The concentrations of Cd, Pb and Zn in the soil-plant-alpaca system showed great variability. The concentrations of Cd in the soil, grass and alpaca muscle exceeded the threshold values of national and international standards, but the concentrations of Pb and Zn were within safe limits. The bioaccumulation factor (BAF) value of the three elements studied was less than 1, Cd was the element with the highest bioavailability and mobility from soil to plant. The estimated daily intake was below the tolerable provisional daily intakes (PTDI). The non-carcinogenic risk values (HI) were less than 1, which would indicate that there is no non-carcinogenic adverse effect for the health of local residents, but they could experience a lifetime carcinogenic risk (CR) from exceeding the limit threshold regulated by USEPA $(1 \times 10^{-4})$ for Cd. The consumption of alpaca meat with high levels of Cd would be a cause of concern for the health of the children and adults from Huancavelica as a highly toxic element. It is recommended to conduct further studies on the accumulation of potentially toxic elements in alpaca tissues in order to determine the possible total risk of heavy metals in consumer’s health.
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