A Locking Plate Designed With Cluster of Head Screws Would Be Biomechanically Superior Than Conventional Buttress Plate For The Fixation of Posteromedial Tibial Plateau Fractures: A Computational Assessment
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Abstract

Background: Dealing with high-energy fractures of the tibial plateau remains a challenge despite advances in implants, surgical approaches, and imaging methods. Posterior buttress plate is most commonly used implant but the fixation stability is still a challenge. Recently, a newly designed tibial locking plate was introduced that aims to provide better fixation strength for tibial plateau split fracture. This study compared the biomechanical strength of three different posteromedial tibial plateau split fracture fixation methods.

Methods: The tibial plateau fractures were simulated using a human tibiae model. Each fracture model was virtually implanted with one of the three following constructs, proximal medial tibial plate (PMT), proximal posterior medial tibial plate (PPMT), and posterior T-shaped buttress plate (TBP). Posteromedial fragment vertical subsidence was measured under 2000 N joint contact force. The maximum Equivalent stress on the bone plate and bone screw and the construct stiffness were determined.

Results: The proximal medial tibial plate (PMT) allowed the least posteromedial fragment subsidence and produced higher construct stiffness than each of the other two constructs. However, the proximal posterior medial tibial plate (PPMT) showed higher stiffness than the T-shaped buttress plate (TBP). The maximum Equivalent stress was the smallest for the proximal medial tibial plate (PMT).

Conclusion: This study showed that the proximal medial tibial locking plate or proximal posterior medial tibial locking plate were biomechanically more stable fixation methods for posteromedial split tibial plateau fractures.

Introduction

A posteromedial tibial split fracture is a notable medial plateau injury pattern. The fracture line appears in the coronal plane with a separate posteromedial osteoarticular fragment of variable size. Clinically, ignoring this fragment can lead to distal displacement, with posterior medial femoral condyle subluxation. Bone plate fixation is commonly employed to fix the fragment bone.

For several years, posteromedial implant popularity has gained some attraction but most surgeons will simply apply the conventional 3.5mm T-plate. Due to insufficient implant stability, bone nonunion sometimes occurs even from direct fixation. Moreover, reduction loss and fixation loss from indirect fixation and insufficient fixation have also occurred. Therefore, a more rigid implant that provides enough stability to initiate bone union would be necessary.

A novel anatomical locking plate (Proximal Posterior Medial Tibia Locking Plate) that provides sufficient stability for posteromedial tibial plateau fracture fixation was designed. This innovative implant is specific to posteromedial coronal fragment osteosynthesis with a cluster of head screws that is designed to firmly purchase the bone fragment (Figure 1). The features of this implant include: 1. Anatomical design that does not require plate bending for fitting. 2. A elongated screw hole is located on the
posteromedial fracture spike to allow buttressing and plate adjustment. 3. Locking mechanism design for angular stability. 4. The plate can be placed juxta-articular for subchondral fixation.

The purpose of the study is: (i) to describe the details of an innovative anatomical locking plate for the posteromedial tibial plateau; and (ii) to evaluate the safety and fixation efficacy of this innovative plate. We used finite element analysis to provide adequate information on this implant stability. By comparing it to other fixation methods, we propose this implant as a possible option for this surgery.

**Methods**

**Model preparation**

The three-dimensional lower leg finite element model included the tibia. The bony structures were generated using a computed topography data set segmentation from the Visible Human Project\(^2\). The 3D tibia model was reconstructed via the cortical shell and cancellous core. The fracture model was made based on the fracture morphology described by Higgins\(^1\). Three different proximal tibial plate designs were employed in this simulation: Proximal Posterior Medial Tibia Locking Plate (PPMT), Proximal Medial Tibia Locking Plate (PMT) (A Plus Biotechnology Co. Ltd., New Taipei City, Taiwan) and the conventional T-shaped Buttress Plate (TBP) (Depuy Synthes, Paoli, PA). In all three plates, three 3.5-mm locking screws were used to affix the posteromedial fragment. For the tibial shaft fixation, the PPMT and the TBP have three 3.5-mm locking screws while it accepts three 5.0-mm locking screws in the PMT. The screw placements for each plate fixation are shown in Figure 2.

**Implant**

All three models were meshed using ANSYS Workbench (ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA, United States). The cortical bone and cancellous bone interface was bonded. The screws were bonded to the corresponding bony structure. The screw heads were bonded to the corresponding screw hole on the bone plate. The bone–plate interface was friction. The friction coefficient between the bone-plate interactions was 0.42\(^3\). The material properties for the bony structure (cortical bone, Young's modulus: 15.1 GPa, Poisson's ratio: 0.3; cancellous bone, Young's modulus: 100 MPa, Poisson's ratio: 0.3) and metallic implants (titanium, Young's modulus: 110 GPa, Poisson's ratio: 0.3)\(^3\) were assigned accordingly.

The distal end of the tibia was fully fixed in all degrees of freedom for the load and boundary conditions in each group. 2000 N force was applied to the proximal tibial plateau to simulate the single leg stand. The knee contact force is not uniformly shared between the tibia condyles. The compression load was divided into 60% applied to the medial side and 40% to the lateral side, as in previous studies (Figure 3).

**Evaluating parameters**

Each group was compared in terms of the maximum von Mises stress in each plate, screw and tibia bone. The plate-bone construct stiffness was calculated. The construct stiffness was derived from the load and vertical displacement data.
Results

For the bone plate equivalent stress, the stress patterns and values on the bone plates in all models are shown in Figure 4. The maximum PPMT plate stress (106.13 MPa) is slightly higher than PMT (87.10 MPa) and TBP plates (93.68 MPa). The PPMT plate stress distribution is similar to that of the TBP plate. The stress concentration was found around the distal end of the thread hole. The PMT stress is distributed around the distal end of the thread hole. The PPMT stress is distributed on the distal end of the plate around the sliding hole. The TBP stress is distributed around the screw hole. The bone screw stress patterns and values in all models are shown in Figure 5. The maximum stress was 297.22 MPa in PPMT plate, 205.53 MPa in PMT plate, and 193.08 MPa in TBP plate, respectively. The maximum bone screw stress in each group occurred on the distal end of the screw (Figure 6). The opposite result was observed on the bone fragment. The maximum PPMT plate stress (27.04 MPa) is slightly inferior with PMT (31.40 MPa) and TBP plates (31.58 MPa).

For bone fragment displacement, similar bone fragment displacement results were observed in all groups. The maximum tibia fragment displacement was 0.16 mm in PPMT, 0.17 mm in PMT, and 0.12 mm in TBP. For the structural stiffness, a similar result was found for PPMT, PMT, and TBP. The stiffness was 1398.60 N/mm in PPMT, 1459.80 N/mm in PMT, and 1379.30 N/mm in TBP.

Discussion

Posteromedial tibial plateau split fractures are common tibial plateau fractures. Approximately one third of bicondylar tibial plateau fractures have a posteromedial fragment in the coronal plane. This is often caused by a high-energy injury mechanism. The mechanism involved in this fracture pattern may be one of knee flexion, knee varus, and internal medial femoral condyle rotation. This type of fracture pattern is worth noting more than others affecting the tibial plateau because this fracture pattern easily causes instability within the knee joint. Previous studies presented that malalignment related to inadequate fixation and the associated soft tissue injuries were the two most important reasons for a poor prognosis.

The operative treatment goal for tibial plateau split fractures are anatomical reduction, especially in articular congruity restoration, stable fixation for early rehabilitation, and avoidance of complications, particularly infection and non-union. The tibial bone plate fixation is a major approach used to fix the fragment. Non-displaced posterior fracture fragments can usually be stabilized through the standard anterolateral approach. However, in the anteromedial approach, the fracture site is shown from the lateral side. However, the medial collateral ligament (MCL) is easily injured during dissection. Therefore, a posteromedial approach is widely applied in the treatment of posterior medial condylar fractures. Satisfactory results have been achieved using this incision to expose the posterior medial condylar tibial plateau fracture. The posteromedial key fragment may displace distally and medially, especially when the knee is flexed. Several reports have illustrated the importance of coronal plane proximal tibial fractures, which are only visible on lateral radiographs or computed tomography scans. If displaced fractures in the
coronal plane are not addressed, they may lead to the use of inappropriate fixation techniques. We have papers that proposed using a reverse L-shape incision that allows more space for reduction and easier implant placement. The T-shaped Buttress plate is a conventional implant for posteromedial tibial fracture fixation. Due to insufficient implant stability, nonunion would occur even using our direct approach and fixation. Therefore, a new implant design to provide enough stability that initiates bone union should be a better solution.

In this present study, three different proximate tibial bone plate designs were compared for stability after implantation. In the three plate fixations, an obvious stress concentration surrounding the screw holes was demonstrated. It is known that a smooth round hole in a plate causes a stress concentration.\(^\text{13}\) The highest peak von Mises stress occurred in the new designed plate. The possible reason might be the oval-shaped screw hole in this implant while the other two designs have a rounded screw hole. The peak von Mises stress among three plate fixations ranged from about 90 to about 110 MPa. There is a big gap between these values and the Titanium alloy fatigue strength (600 Mpa).\(^\text{14}\) However, the commercialized T-Buttress plate is made of pure titanium. The fatigue strength of pure titanium is 230-280 MPa.\(^\text{15}\) We can expect the T-Buttress plate would be at high risk of breakage. As for the screw stress distribution, the peak von Mises stress of the screw in all plate designs was almost twice that of the plate. However, the peak screw stress is much lower than the fatigue strength (600 Mpa)\(^\text{14}\) and the yield strength of Titanium alloy (approximately 800 Mpa).\(^\text{16}\) These outcomes are in agreement with the in vitro experimental test\(^\text{17}\) that demonstrated no screw bending in a tibial plateau split fracture, even with loads as high as 900 N. This study suggests no mechanical damage would be expected for the new design and the medial proximal tibial plate because of the simulated physiological load.

The axial construct stiffness from high to low is in the order of medial proximal tibial plate (1459.8 N/mm), the proximal posterior medial plate (1398.6 N/mm) and the T-Buttress plate (1379.3 N/mm) with the corresponding maximum axial displacement of 1.37, 1.43, 1.45 mm, respectively. Direct comparisons of the calculated fragment movement with the experimental data reported in the literature are not appropriate. On one hand, there are limited experimental studies that focused on such comparisons. The found values in this study were similar with those reported by Zeng et al.,\(^\text{18}\) who used synthetic bone femoral condyles to load tibia specimens with posteromedial tibial plateau split fracture. The measured fragment subsidence ranged from 0.832 mm for the T-shaped buttress plate to 1.559 mm for the lag-screws under 1500 N load. Nevertheless, they indicated that a posterior T-shaped buttress plate produced greater stability in controlling the posteromedial fragment movement than the medial dynamic compression plate and the lateral locking plate. We thought that the medial dynamic compression plate does not have fixed-angle stability while the medial plate and the proximal posterior medial plate involved in the current study both have a locking mechanism to improve the angular and axial stability for fracture fixation. Overall, the maximum fragment movement achieved in the three plate fixations were far below the fragment movement threshold usually considered clinically (3 mm) to evaluate the split tibial plateau fracture reduction success.\(^\text{18}\)
In this study, the PPMT bone plate locking designs have better stability than the conventional TBP plate. The features of this innovated PPMT bone plate include adequate stability for posteromedial fracture fixation, anatomical design that does not require plate bending for fitting. A conventional hole is located on the posteromedial fracture spike to allow buttressing. Locking designs for angular stability can be placed juxta-articular for subchondral fixation. Therefore, this innovative PPMT bone plate offers an alternative option for surgeons to treat posteromedial tibial plateau fractures.

Some limitations were inevitable. The fibula bone was not included. Only one type of posteromedial fracture was evaluated. Further studies should evaluate additional fracture types. The model used sustained only a static load. Nevertheless, the cyclic load usually occurs during daily activities. This study made use of known parameters and deduced information based on previous literature. Further studies using biomechanical testing models will be needed to establish this information to provide better accuracy.

**Conclusion**

This study investigated the structural stability of three different proximate tibial bone plate fixation designs for posteromedial tibial plateau split fractures. Previously, the traditional T-shaped buttress plate was considered using a commonly used implant that has superior structural stability to the traditional medial or lateral compression plate. The most important finding in this study is that the innovated proximal posterior medial tibia (PPMT) Locking Plate and proximal medial tibia (PMT) Locking Plate have comparable structural stability with the traditional TBP plate. The PPMT plate has multiple screw hole designs to offer more surgical implantation flexibility for surgeons. The plate placements for the PPMT and PMT are different. The surgeon could select the more appropriate bone plate to fixation according to different fracture modes.
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Table
Table 1
Maximum equivalent von mises stress (MPa) on PPMT, TBP, PMT on each screw.

|       | Screw 1 | Screw 2 | Screw 3 | Screw 4 | Screw 5 | Screw 6 | Screw 7 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| PPMT  | 297.22  | 69.92   | 167.79  | 129.96  | 121.25  | 93.97   | –       |
| TBP   | 193.08  | 49.36   | 189.84  | 68.15   | 79.51   | 45.82   | –       |
| PMT   | 205.53  | 85.79   | 119.09  | 85.16   | 96.82   | 98.95   | 110.39  |
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Figure 1

Proximal Posterior Medial Tibia Locking Plate (PPMT). (a) Lateral view shows the anatomically precontoured shape of the plate and the screw’s angle and (b) Posterior view shows the cluster of head screws and the elongated screw hole.
Three different type proximal tibia plates were implanted onto a posteromedial plateau fractured tibia (a) Proximal Posterior Medial Tibia Locking Plate System (PPMT), (b) T-shaped Buttress Plate (TBP), and (c) Proximal Medial Tibia Locking Plate System (PMT). (The fracture fragment was shown in gray)
Figure 3

Load and boundary conditions in each group, the distal end of the tibia was fully fixed in all degrees of freedom; the load was applied to the proximal tibial plateau to simulate the single leg stand.
Figure 4

Equivalent Von Mises Stress (EVMS) distribution on three different type of proximal tibia plates; (a) Proximal Posterior Medial Tibia Locking Plate System (PPMT), (b) T-shaped Buttress Plate (TBP), and (c) Proximal Medial Tibia Locking Plate System (PMT).

Figure 5
Equivalent Von Mises Stress (EVMS) distribution on bone screws; (a) Proximal Posterior Medial Tibia Locking Plate System (PPMT), (b) T-shaped Buttress Plate (TBP), and (c) Proximal Medial Tibia Locking Plate System (PMT).

Figure 6

Equivalent Von Mises Stress (EVMS) distribution on posteromedial bone fragment; (a) Proximal Posterior Medial Tibia Locking Plate System (PPMT), (b) T-shaped Buttress Plate (TBP), and (c) Proximal Medial Tibia Locking Plate System (PMT).