Cervical Hydatidiform Moles Pregnancy: Diagnosis and Treatment
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Cervical partial hydatidiform mole is a rare condition and difficult to diagnose.

CASE DESCRIPTION: A 39-year-old Balinese woman from Sanglah General Hospital, Bali, Indonesia, complained of vaginal bleeding with abdominal pain. The patient was diagnosed with a partial hydatidiform mole based on physical examination, ultrasound, beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) levels, and pathology examinations. Mass evacuation surgery followed by arterial ligation to stop the bleeding and periodically examination of beta-HCG levels was carried out until the 14th week after the procedure. Beta-HCG decreased gradually to normal level and indicates no risk of trophoblastic malignancy.

CONCLUSION: Establishing the early diagnosis significantly affects the outcome of patient.

Introduction

Hydatidiform mole (gestational trophoblastic disease) is a trophoblastic disease that usually occurs in pregnancy, characterized by the growth of abnormal trophoblast tissue. This disease can appear as a benign or malignant disease [1]. The incidence rate of hydatidiform mole in Indonesia was quite high, up to 13.0/1000 pregnancies. This rate was higher than other countries such as Taiwan at (8.0/1000 pregnancies), the Philippines (5.0/1000 pregnancies), and China (1.9–4.9/1000 pregnancies) [1], [2]. The least common incidence was in North America, Europe, and Oceania, ranging from 0.5 to 1.84/1000 pregnancies. The most important risk factor for hydatidiform mole is maternal age >35 years or <20 years. Other risk factors such as previous molar pregnancies, genetic factors, and dietary and socioeconomic factors [1].

Two types of hydatidiform mole based on anatomy pathology examination are complete and partial type. The incidence of malignant transformation (invasive mole, choriocarcinoma, or placental site trophoblastic tumor [PSTT]) was higher in complete type (16%) than partial type (0.5%) [3], [4]. Choriocarcinoma may also be contributed by an aborted or a term pregnancy that occurs several years later as a metastatic disease with elevated beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) even with normal pelvic ultrasound findings [4], [5], [6].

Hydatidiform mole is difficult to diagnose clinically because its signs and symptoms are non-specific. An increase in quantitative beta-hCG levels occurs in hydatidiform moles, meanwhile, it also elevated in normal pregnancies, especially in multiple pregnancies. Ultrasound plays an important role in differentiating mole from a normal pregnancy. However, ultrasound image sometimes resembles a blighted ovum with a gestational sac that has a thin echogenic layer and smaller than the expected size for gestational age [3]. In fact, hydatidiform mole is often not suspected until histopathological examination is performed [7]. Difficulty in diagnose this disease often delays the management of patient, thus affecting the prognosis. Therefore, in this case report, we will discuss the challenges in diagnosis and management of partial cervical hydatidiform mole.

Case Report

A 39-year-old Balinese woman came to Sanglah General Hospital, Bali, Indonesia, with...
complaints of vaginal bleeding, abdominal pain, weakness, and dizziness. Seventeen days before, the patient was diagnosed with blighted ovum based on clinical examination and investigations (Figure 1) and curettage was done. The patient had active bleeding from the cervical tear at 5, 6, and 12 o’clock which was then performed with primary hectar/cervical ligation.

Gynecological examination showed active bleeding from the OUE and the cervix was sutured. The patient then underwent a transvaginal ultrasound examination (Figure 2). The result shows complex mass area on cervix and suspected as cervical cancer.

The patient underwent exploratory laparotomy for the purpose of diagnosis and therapy. Evaluation during the operation showed no hemoperitoneum, large uterus, and normal consistency, both tubes and ovaries were normal, and palpable intracervical mass. The right and left internal iliac (hypogastric) arteries were identified and ligated. Followed by evacuation of the intracervical mass, obtained ± 50 g of tissue and then sent for histopathology examination. Evaluation after evacuation of the intracervical mass did not reveal active bleeding (−) followed by tamponade with a balloon Foley catheter.

The results of histopathology examinations on mass preparations in the cervix can be explained macroscopically and microscopically. Macroscopically: Received one piece of preparation in a small plastic container, containing pieces of tissue. The overall size is 7.5 × 7 × 2 cm; the largest size is 3 × 2.5 × 1.5 cm. Irregular shape, partly brownish-white-gray color, elastic consistency, and partly brittle.

Microscopically, the preparation contains pieces of tissue consisting of large areas of fibrin deposition, areas of hemorrhage, and areas of necrosis. Among them were foci of stromal foci that experienced decidual reactions containing polymorphonuclear and mononuclear inflammatory cell infiltrates and foci of necrosis. Villi chorealis, scattered in various shapes and sizes, are lined by cytotrophoblast cells and focal proliferative syncytiotrophoblast. The villi chorealis show partly avascular edematous villi, partly with a central cisterna. There were also fragments of stratified squamous surface epithelium without connective tissue stroma and no signs of atypia. This histomorphology is suitable for partial hydatidiform mole.

Medication therapy included antibiotics (cefixime and metronidazole), analgesics, and iron supplement. The patient was discharged for polyclinic control after 5 days of hospitalization. Examination of beta-HCG levels was carried out periodically (Table 1). There was a significant decrease in beta-HCG levels, therefore, the risk of malignancy was minimal and chemotherapy was not given to the patient.

**Discussion**

Hydatidiform mole is a trophoblastic disease in pregnancy characterized by abnormal proliferation of trophoblasts and hydrops villi; several factors increase the risk of hydatidiform mole [8]. Maternal age is the most important risk factor. Women aged over 35 years and adolescents significantly increased the risk of hydatidiform mole with a risk of 1.5–2.0 in women younger than 20 years, 2.5 times in women aged 35 years, and 5 times in women over than 40 years [1], [9]. In this case, the patient was 39 years age which was at risk for a molar hydatidiform pregnancy.

Women with a previous history of molar pregnancy had a 13% risk of having a molar pregnancy.
again [10]. The patient in this case had no previous history of hydatidiform mole pregnancy. Genetic factors may also play a role in about 0.6–2.57% of the total incidence of hydatidiform mole. Mutations in the NLRP7 or KHDC3L gene have a genetic etiology [1], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16]. Diet and socioeconomic factors are also as contributing factors. Oral contraceptive use, gravida, parity, smoking, exposure to herbicides, paternal age, and viral infection were not associated with this disease [1]. The patient underwent previous curettage because of blighted ovum. Cervical-uterine instrumentation is a predisposing factor for ectopic pregnancy including cervical hydatidiform mole [17].

Hydatidiform mole is the result of abnormal fertilization. A complete hydatidiform mole occurs when a single haploid sperm fertilizes an ovum without chromosomes. Fertilization is followed by chromosomal duplication and formation of a zygote without maternal genetic material, resulting in a diploid zygote. While in partial type, usually has a triploid chromosome pattern of as a result of fertilization of a normal egg cell by two sperm cells [4], [5], [6]. The most common chromosome patterns are 69 XXX, 69 XXY, and 69 XYY, all of these types come from paternal [1], [2], [10]. In this case, the genetic material of the zygote was not examined because the patient at the first visit had a curettage with a diagnosis of blighted ovum and had not been considered for a hydatidiform mole.

The diagnosis of hydatidiform mole is established through history taking, physical examination, and investigations (quantitative beta-hCG examination, pelvic ultrasound, and histopathology examination). Clinically, hydatidiform mole is difficult to diagnose because the signs and symptoms are not specific. Patients usually come in pregnant with complaints of irregular vaginal bleeding, severe nausea and vomiting, expulsion of vesicles resembling grapes, uterine enlargement, clinically resembling preeclampsia, anemia, or hyperthyroidism [5], [18]. The previous case reports of hydatidiform mole also complained of heavy vaginal bleeding, which lasts 1–2 months, and mainly occurs after curettage [17], [19]. This patient also complained of profuse vaginal bleeding and was previously diagnosed with a blighted ovum and underwent curettage.

The most gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (GTN) secretes beta-hCG hormone, therefore, an increase in its level supports diagnosis of hydatidiform mole. However, some types of chorioncarcinoma and bimorphic tumors also secrete beta-hCG in low titers [20] while the PSTT shows intermediate neoplastic proliferation [4]. In this case, the first examination of beta-hCG levels was performed on day 18 after curettage and beta-hCG levels were 595.40 mIU/ml. This level is still appropriate for a pregnancy over 5 weeks of gestational age. Two previous case reports showed that beta-hCG levels of hydatidiform mole patients were around 8320 and 13,155 IU/L. This level was lower than the results in this study [17], [19].

Investigation with ultrasound in the first trimester of pregnancy is important to assist diagnosis of hydatidiform mole if there are clinical suspicion and abnormal increase in beta-hCG level. This examination can be performed transvaginal or transabdominal. In gestational trophoblastic neoplasms, myometrial invasion is better examined by transvaginal ultrasound method because the interface between the trophoblastic tissues section with the myometrium can clearly visualized [3].

Hydatidiform mole appears as a heterogeneous endometrial mass with variable echogenicity in ultrasound [4], [6], [21]. Classically, it presents as a snow storm or granular appearance because there are multiple foci of echogenicity [3]. Fluid-filled mole vesicles vary in size from 1 to 30 mm which will appear as a small anechoic space. The size of the anechoic space will get bigger and more numerous with increasing gestational age [2], [6]. The image of ultrasound sometimes resembles a blighted ovum with a structure of gestational sac and a thin echogenic layer, smaller than the expected size for gestational age [3]. In this case, ultrasound shows complex mass area which was no typical ultrasound. The previous ultrasound image with the presence of a gestational mole suggested a partial mole that had not been previously identified and suspected a blighted ovum.

The disadvantage of ultrasound examination is inability to certainty determine the level of malignancy. Computed tomography (CT) scan is usually needed to detect metastases of this disease. On examination, it is usually seen as an enlarged uterus with focal irregular lesions or enlargement of both ovaries with multiple theca lutein cysts. Vascular malformations due to trophoblastic neoplasia may also be seen on CT scans. Magnetic resonance imaging examination is not used as a routine examination in hydatidiform moles, but sometimes useful to help determine the depth of invasion into the myometrium [3]. Histopathological examinations should be performed as the gold standard to confirm the diagnosis.

Pathologically, hydatidiform mole consists of abnormal proliferation of syncytiotrophoblast and cytotrophoblast which then cause swelling of the chorionic villi. Complete mole shows severe swelling of the villi resembling grapes but no embryo. Swelling of the villi is milder in partial moles and usually an embryo is formed. The choroidal villi partially show an avascular edematous villus, some with a central cisterna [3]. In this case, a histopathological examination showed a partial hydatidiform mole in the cervix.

The preferred treatment is evacuation by vacuum curettage and medical evacuation is alternative method. Sharp curettage is not recommended to prevent the risk of uterine perforation [7]. Pregnancy testing with urine samples is performed 3 weeks after medical management if the tissue sample is not histologically examined [7], [12]. Treatment of hydatidiform moles with
vacuum evacuation has a cure rate of 84% in complete moles and 99.5% in partial type mole. The readiness of blood components is a consideration in anticipating massive bleeding [3].

In choriocarcinoma and invasive mole, chemotherapy is the mainstay of treatment. This malignancy is chemosensitive, especially if detected at an early stage and classified correctly [3], [4], [6], [20], [22]. In the low-risk group, single chemotherapy with methotrexate or actinomycin-D is recommended (Table 2). While in the high-risk group, EMA-CO combination chemotherapy (etoposide, methotrexate, actinomycin-D, cyclophosphamide, and vincristine) was preferred [3]. In a cervical partial hydatidiform mole, methotrexate is a reported successful treatment [22], [23], [24].

### Table 2: Scoring system from the WHO and International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics for risk of gestational trophoblastic tumor

| Risk factors                                      | Risk score |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Maternal age (year)                              | <40        |
| Previous pregnancy                               | >40        |
| Interval (between end of the previous pregnancy-chemotherapy in month) | <4 | 4–6 | >6 |
| Beta-HCG level (IU/L)                            | <10⁵; 10⁴–10⁵; 10³–10⁴; >10³ |
| Number of metastases                             | 0–1; 2–3; >3 |
| Site of metastases                               | Lung; Spleen; Gastrointestinal; Brain; kidney; liver |
| The biggest tumor size                           | 3–5 cm; >5 cm |
| Previous chemotherapy                            | Single drug; ≥2 drugs |
| Low risk 0–6, high risk >6                       |            |

HCG: Human chorionic gonadotropin.

In two case reports, a cervical partial hydatidiform mole was successfully evacuated by cervical curettage. Bleeding stopped after evacuation with curettage and bimanual pressure and a decrease in beta-HCG levels on serial examinations did not require chemotherapy [17]. However, another report of a complete cervical hydatidiform mole was given methotrexate and the bleeding was heavier, requiring vasopressin and balloon tamponade. In addition, this case report also requires chemotherapy to eliminate cells that produce beta-HCG [25].

In this case, management was carried out by evacuation of intracervical masses and controlling the bleeding that occurred with surgery. Evacuation of intracervical masses as a therapy and at the same time as a diagnosis through histopathology examination of the evacuated mass. Installation of vaginal Foley catheter tampons was performed to control the bleeding source area; this tampon was maintained for 48 h. Blood product (packed red blood cell) was also administrated to patient.

The outcome of hydatidiform mole is very difficult to predict at the time of initial diagnosis, therefore, serial quantitative beta-hCG examination is necessary after evacuation to diagnose trophoblastic neoplasia as early as possible [5], [26]. Recommendations International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics, GTN post-hydatidiform mole is diagnosed according to the following criteria: (1) Beta-hCG levels were constant for 3 weeks in four examinations, days 1, 7, 14, and 21 after evacuation; (2) increased levels of beta-hCG in three consecutive examinations or more in a minimum period of 2 weeks, the 1st, 2nd, and 14th day after evacuation; (3) histopathological diagnosis of choriocarcinoma; and (4) elevated beta-hCG levels for 6 months or more after evacuation [27].

Post-therapy follow-up is usually carried out with serial beta-hCG examinations for at least 1 year, although the follow-up protocol varies by center. In this case, follow-up was carried out based on the patient’s clinical and beta-hCG levels in the first 3 months (12 weeks after cervical mass evacuation/cervical curettage) and showed a suitable decrease so chemotherapy was not given. Monitoring of beta-hCG levels will continue for up to 1 year after curettage. The follow-up is done monthly in the second 3 months and every 2 months in the past 6 months. Furthermore, monitoring is carried out at any time based on clinical and beta-hCG levels.

### Conclusion

Cervical hydatidiform mole pregnancy is a rare case. Based on clinical data and supporting examinations in the form of beta-HCG levels, ultrasound, and histopathology, this patient was diagnosed with cervical partial hydatidiform mole. Evacuation of mass and control of bleeding were conducted in this patient. The beta-HCG of the patient showed a significant decrease based on follow-up; therefore, she did not require chemotherapy. The diagnosis of hydatidiform mole is difficult, but the appropriate early diagnosis greatly affects the patient’s outcome. A hydatidiform mole should always be suspected when there is supportive clinical sign. Periodic examination of beta-HCG level can be used to help determine the patient’s prognosis.
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