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ABSTRACT

Objectives The aim of this study is to determine the association between length of time in the USA with blood lead (BPb).

Design Population-based cross-sectional study using data from the 2013–2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.

Setting USA.

Participants 5933 men and women (≥15 years); subgroups of men only (n=2867), women only (n=3064) and women of childbearing age (15–45 years) (n=1580).

Primary and secondary outcomes The primary outcome was BPb concentration. The main exposure variable was self-reported number of years spent in the USA, categorised as: born in the USA; 0–4 years; 5–9 years; 10–19 years and ≥20 years. We used linear regression models adjusted for race/ethnicity, education, blood cotinine, age, sex (as appropriate) and accounted for complex survey design.

Results Women of childbearing age who have lived 0–4 years in the USA have, on average, a 54% (95% CI 36% to 75%) higher BPb compared with women born in the USA. Corresponding results for all women, men and the entire population were 49% (95% CI 34% to 66%), 49% (95% CI 28% to 75%) and 49% (95% CI 33% to 66%), respectively. Similar, statistically significant, results were observed for other time periods (5–9 years, 10–19 years and ≥20 years); the magnitude of the association decreased with increasing time in the USA.

Conclusions This study provides additional evidence that newcomers to the USA may be a population at higher risk of elevated BPb.

INTRODUCTION

Lead has a low melting point and high malleability. Due to these characteristics, lead has a long history of widespread industrial use, including as an antiknock agent in gasoline, pigment in paint and glazes, plumbing and medicines. It is estimated that 300 million metric tons of lead was entered into commerce in the past 5000 years.2

Lead exposure continues to be a major public health concern both globally3 and within the USA.4 Lead adversely affects every organ system in the body, inhibiting enzymatic processes and inducing oxidative stress, but is particularly noted for its impact as a neurotoxin.5 Even at doses below the current US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reference level of 5 µg/dL, lead exposure is associated with cardiovascular effects, kidney effects, cognitive dysfunction, adverse reproductive outcomes and increased risk of hypertension and tremors.5 No safe level of lead exposure has been identified, and even a small increase in blood lead (BPb) may have a detrimental impact.8

Studies suggest that most immigrants to the USA are healthier than natural born citizens in that they have lower rates of obesity, hypertension and chronic disease.9,10 However, they may be more likely to have elevated BPb concentrations. Research on children and adults who are newcomers to the USA,11–14...
Canada, Greece and Taiwan consistently report that newcomers have higher BPb than their native counterparts. At the same time, immigration to the USA has been rapidly increasing: 59 million new immigrants have arrived in the USA in the past 50 years, and it is anticipated that by 2060, immigrants will make up 20% of the US population. Therefore, elevated BPb among immigrants could pose a substantial public health burden.

BPb concentrations represent a combination of current lead exposure as well as lead released from long-term storage sites in bone tissue into the bloodstream. There is substantial evidence that the rate at which lead mobilises from bone tissue during pregnancy, as calcium needs increase during pregnancy, is substantially higher than the normal mobilisation rate. More specifically, it has been estimated that 45%–70% of lead in blood of women of reproductive age comes from lead stored in tissues, and during pregnancy and lactation, BPb concentrations may increase by 15%–20%. Additionally, lead can readily cross from a pregnant woman’s blood to the fetus, which is of concern because in utero lead exposure can result in long-term, even lifetime, health impacts.

Taken together, the evidence suggests that newcomers to the USA, particularly women of childbearing age, should be of concern for lead exposure; however, there are still relatively few studies focusing on adult men/women immigrants or newcomers to the USA. This manuscript refers to newcomers as a broader group of immigrants, refugees and others not born in the USA. The aim of this study is to evaluate the relationship of BPb with self-reported length of time within the USA as an indicator of newcomer status using a large, representative population of US adults. We hypothesised that individuals not born in the USA would have higher BPb, particularly the more recent arrivals.

METHODS
Study design and population
This cross-sectional study used data from the 2013–2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES); NHANES is designed and operated by the US CDC.

NHANES is conducted in 2-year cycles: each cycle includes a representative sample of the US population. Data from two cycles (2013–2014, 2015–2016) were included in this analysis. NHANES participants were selected using a stratified multistage probability sampling design. NHANES uses a complex sampling design, which includes sampling weight is used to produce nationally representative data. Oversampling of selected populations is conducted to increase the reliability and precision of estimates of health status indicator for these subgroups. All NHANES participants were asked to complete an in-home interview; a subset of participants also complete a physical examination and have samples collected for laboratory analysis. More details regarding the study design and protocols are available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.

NHANES 2013–2016 included 20146 participants, 10175 from 2013 to 2014 and 9971 from 2015 to 2016. Inclusion criteria for this analysis were having data on BPb, age ≥15 years and data on time in the USA. A total of 7012 persons were excluded for being <14 years, 7110 were excluded for not having BPb data and 91 were excluded for not having data on self-reported time in the USA, leaving n=5933 for analyses on adults and adolescents, of which n=2867 were men and n=3064 were women. Analyses were also conducted on the subgroup of women of childbearing age, 15–45 years; n=1580.

Study variables
We used country of birth and self-reported length of time in the USA as indicators of newcomer status. This was the main exposure of interest and was obtained during the in-home interview. Participants were asked what country they were born in and the length of time they have been in the USA. These were combined into a single variable with five categories: (1) born in the USA, (2) living in the USA for 0–4 years, (3) living in the USA 5–9 years, (4) living in the USA for 10–19 years and (5) living in the USA for ≥20 years.

Whole BPb concentration, measured in µg/dL, was the outcome of interest. A randomly selected ½ subsample of collected blood samples were analysed for BPb. To reduce the potential for sample contamination, collection devices and containers were prescreened for metals before use. Blood samples were transported at cold temperatures (2°C–8°C) and stored at −20°C or lower. Metal assays were performed by the Inorganic Radionuclides and Toxicology Division of Laboratory Sciences, National Center for Environmental Health, CDC (Atlanta, Georgia, USA) using inductively coupled plasma–dynamic reaction cell–mass spectrometry. The lower limit of detection (LLOD) for BPb was 0.07 µg/dL. Lead concentrations below the LLOD were replaced with LLOD divided by the square root of 2 for analyses. There were 3 (0.04%) BPb measurements <LLOD. In 2012, the CDC reduced its action level for BPb to 5 µg/dL, which we use to define elevated BPb in our population.

Demographic and lifestyle data were obtained during the in-home interview. This included age, sex, race/ethnicity and highest level of educational attainment. Race/ethnicity was classified as non-Hispanic (NH) white, NH black, NH Asian, Hispanic and other race/multiracial. Education was classified into five categories: (1) age ≤19 years, (2) <high school, (3) high school degree, (4) some college and (5) ≥4 year college degree. Smoking status was determined using self-reported smoking status from NHANES questionnaire data. Persons who reported smoking at least 100 cigarettes in life and that current smoking were classified as a smoker; otherwise, persons were classified as non-smokers.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using Stata V.13 SE for Windows. The analyses incorporated blood metal weights
and used commands specific to analysing survey datasets. Analysing the data by taking into account the design, non-response and oversampling ensures that the results of our analyses are unbiased estimates with response and oversampling ensures that the results of our analyses are unbiased estimates with accurate statistical significance levels. A p≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Confounding variables were identified based on previously published evidence and initial assessment of the variables’ association with length of time in the USA and BPb. Adjusted models for women of childbearing age controlled for age (continuous), race/ethnicity (categorical), education (categorical) and serum cotinine (smoker/non-smoker). Adjusted models for all adolescents and adults ≥15 years old additionally controlled for sex (male/female).

Descriptive statistics were used to present the distribution of study variables in the sample. Summary statistics were expressed as sample frequency counts as well as population-weighted percentages (95% CI) for categorical variables and population-weighted mean (95% CI) for continuous variables. Linear regression models were used to determine the association between length of time in the USA with BPb. BPb was lognormally distributed; therefore, a natural logarithm transformation of BPb was used for its inclusion as a continuous variable in linear regression models and we present model coefficients (95% CI) or the per cent increase in BPb (95% CI). Per cent increase was calculated by exponentiation of the coefficient, subtracting one, then multiplying by 100.

**Patient and public involvement**
Data used in this analysis were from public use release files available from the NHANES website. Patients and or public were not involved in the development of research questions, protocols or recruitment. Participants were provided examination test results. Preliminary examination results were provided on completion of the examination and a full report was mailed to participants 12–16 weeks after the examination.

**RESULTS**
Table 1 summarises characteristics for the study population (n=5931) and for women of childbearing age (n=1580), men (n=2867) and women (n=3064). Over 80% of all groups were born in the USA, and the majority of the population was NH white. Roughly one-third of groups completed at least a 4-year college degree. The majority of the groups were non-smokers with 83.44% (95% CI 80.87% to 85.74%) among women of childbearing age and 80.9% (95% CI 78.4% to 83.2%) among men ≥15 years and 84.9% (95% CI 83.0% to 86.7%) among women ≥15 years.

Geometric mean (95% CI) BPb was 0.89µg/dL (95% CI 0.85 to 0.93) for the full study population, 1.03 (0.98 to 1.08) for men ≥15 years, 0.76 (0.74 to 0.81) for women ≥15 years and 0.54µg/dL (95% CI 0.51 to 0.57) for women of childbearing age (table 2). Among the whole population, 1.30% (95% CI 0.86% to 1.95%) had Blood lead level ≥5µg/dL. Corresponding proportions for men, women and women of childbearing age were 2.25% (95% CI 1.43% to 3.54%), 0.42% (95% CI 0.21% to 0.84%) and 0.54% (95% CI 0.21% to 1.44%), respectively. Patterns of BPb levels within demographic categories were similar for all groups. Those born in the USA had lower BPb, NH Asians had the highest geometric mean BPb for all groups with 0.86µg/dL (95% CI 0.78 to 0.95) for women of childbearing age, 1.01 (95% CI 0.94 to 1.09) for women ≥15 years, 1.22µg/dL (95% CI 1.11 to 1.34) for men ≥15 years and 1.10µg/dL (95% CI 1.05 to 1.18) for the entire population. On average, those with less than a high school education had significantly higher BPb. Increasing age was associated with significantly higher BPb concentrations.

In adjusted regression models, there is a significant difference in BPb for those not born in the USA compared with those born in the USA among the entire population and all subgroups (table 3). Women of childbearing age living in the USA 0–4 years had, on average, a 54.4% (95% CI 36.0% to 75.3%) higher BPb compared with those born in the USA. This difference was 46.8% (22.0% to 76.7%) for women of childbearing age living in the USA 5–9 years, 28.7% (95% CI 14.2% to 45.1%) for those living in the USA 10–19 years and 20.7% (95% CI 3.0% to 41.5%) for those living in the USA ≥20 years, all compared with women of childbearing age who were born in the USA. Similar results were seen for women, men and the entire population. Results for model covariates are presented in online supplementary file 1.

**DISCUSSION**
According to our results, there is a statistically significant association of higher BPb among those not born in the USA compared with US-born persons; the largest difference appears to be among those who came to the USA more recently. This is true for both the full study population as well as the subgroup of women of childbearing age. Higher BPb was also associated with NH Asians (compared with NH whites), having less than a high school education, smoking and males (compared with females).

The observed difference in geometric mean BPb levels by length of time in the USA is relatively small; however, small changes can have important public health impacts. Even a small shift in a population mean can result in a substantially larger increase in the number of extreme cases. Additionally, an increase of BPb among those with low exposure may have a greater impact than the same increase of BPb among those with higher levels.

The bulk of the research on BPb among newcomers has been conducted among children, particularly refugee children. These studies are generally consistent with our results. A cross-sectional study of Greek children found BPb ≥5µg/dL among 27.7% of migrants but only 1.2%
Table 1  Study population characteristics

| Variable                      | All, ≥15 years | Men, ≥15 years | Women, ≥15 years | Women, 15–45 years |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                               | N*       | % (95% CI)†  | N*       | % (95% CI)†  | N*       | % (95% CI)†  | N*       | % (95% CI)†  |
| **Length of time in USA**     |           |               |           |               |           |               |           |               |
| Born in USA                   | 4230     | 83.2 (80.4 to 85.7) | 2058     | 83.0 (80.5 to 85.3) | 2170     | 83.4 (80.0 to 86.2) | 1161     | 81.6 (78.1 to 84.7) |
| 0–4 years                     | 195      | 2.1 (1.5 to 3.0)  | 96       | 2.3 (1.5 to 3.5)  | 99       | 1.9 (1.3 to 2.7)  | 78       | 3.3 (2.2 to 4.8)  |
| 5–9 years                     | 176      | 1.9 (1.1 to 2.4)  | 93       | 2.3 (1.7 to 3.0)  | 83       | 1.5 (1.2 to 1.9)  | 66       | 2.7 (2.2 to 3.2)  |
| 10–19 years                   | 462      | 4.9 (4.1 to 5.9)  | 209      | 4.9 (4.0 to 3.0)  | 253      | 4.9 (3.9 to 6.2)  | 170      | 7.3 (5.7 to 9.3)  |
| ≥20 years                     | 870      | 7.9 (6.6 to 9.5)  | 411      | 7.5 (6.3 to 9.0)  | 459      | 8.3 (6.7 to 10.2) | 105      | 5.2 (3.9 to 6.9)  |
| **Race/ethnicity**            |           |               |           |               |           |               |           |               |
| NH White                      | 2247     | 64.7 (59.4 to 69.7) | 1101     | 64.7 (59.7 to 69.5) | 1146     | 64.7 (58.7 to 70.4) | 525      | 57.1 (50.2 to 63.6) |
| NH Black                      | 1203     | 11.5 (9.0 to 14.7) | 601      | 10.8 (8.4 to 13.6) | 600      | 12.2 (9.3 to 15.8) | 325      | 13.8 (10.3 to 18.3) |
| NH Asian                      | 687      | 5.5 (4.2 to 7.2)  | 337      | 5.4 (3.9 to 7.4)  | 350      | 5.6 (4.4 to 7.2)  | 191      | 6.5 (5.1 to 8.2)  |
| Hispanic                      | 1586     | 14.9 (11.5 to 19.0) | 722      | 15.4 (12.1 to 19.3) | 864      | 14.5 (10.9 to 19.0) | 466      | 19.0 (14.5 to 24.4) |
| Other/multiracial             | 210      | 3.3 (2.8 to 4.1)  | 106      | 3.7 (2.8 to 5.0)  | 104      | 3.0 (2.3 to 3.9)  | 73       | 3.7 (2.7 to 5.2)  |
| **Education**                 |           |               |           |               |           |               |           |               |
| Age ≤19 years                 | 709      | 8.2 (7.3 to 9.4)  | 344      | 8.4 (7.1 to 9.9)  | 365      | 8.2 (6.9 to 9.6)  | 365      | 16.2 (13.9 to 18.9) |
| <High school                  | 1137     | 13.0 (10.9 to 15.5) | 572      | 13.6 (11.1 to 16.7) | 565      | 12.5 (10.4 to 14.9) | 198      | 10.2 (8.2 to 12.6) |
| High school degree            | 1176     | 19.9 (18.2 to 21.7) | 616      | 21.1 (18.5 to 24.0) | 560      | 18.7 (17.1 to 20.4) | 233      | 15.6 (13.4 to 18.0) |
| Some college                  | 1557     | 29.4 (27.4 to 31.5) | 674      | 26.7 (24.2 to 29.3) | 883      | 31.9 (29.5 to 34.5) | 438      | 30.7 (27.4 to 34.1) |
| ≥4 years college degree       | 1352     | 29.4 (26.0 to 33.2) | 661      | 30.2 (26.1 to 34.6) | 691      | 28.8 (25.3 to 32.5) | 346      | 27.3 (23.3 to 31.8) |
| **Smoking status**            |           |               |           |               |           |               |           |               |
| Non-smoker                    | 4902     | 83.0 (81.1 to 84.7) | 2270     | 80.9 (78.4 to 83.2) | 2631     | 84.9 (83.0 to 86.7) | 1347     | 83.4 (80.9 to 85.7) |
| Smoker                        | 1031     | 17.0 (15.3 to 18.9) | 597      | 19.1 (16.8 to 21.6) | 433      | 15.1 (13.3 to 17.0) | 233      | 16.6 (14.3 to 19.1) |
| **Age**                       |           |               |           |               |           |               |           |               |
| 15–30 years                   | 1647     | 27.2 (25.4 to 29.1) | 799      | 28.2 (25.8 to 30.8) | 848      | 26.3 (24.0 to 28.6) | 848      | 52.1 (48.8 to 55.4) |
| 31–45 years                   | 1370     | 24.1 (22.1 to 26.1) | 638      | 24.0 (21.5 to 26.6) | 732      | 24.2 (22.1 to 26.3) | 732      | 47.9 (44.6 to 51.2) |
| 46–60 years                   | 1293     | 24.9 (23.2 to 26.7) | 634      | 25.9 (23.6 to 28.3) | 659      | 23.4 (22.2 to 25.9) | ND       | ND               |
| ≥61 years                     | 1623     | 23.8 (21.7 to 26.1) | 796      | 21.9 (19.4 to 24.6) | 825      | 25.6 (23.2 to 28.1) | ND       | ND               |
| **Sex**                       |           |               |           |               |           |               |           |               |
| Male                          | 2869     | 48.0 (46.7 to 49.7) | 2867     | 100       | ND       | ND       | ND       | ND               |
| Female                        | 3064     | 52.0 (50.6 to 53.3) | ND       | ND       | 3064     | 100       | 1580     | 100               |

*Survey N.
†Population-weighted percent.
CI, confidence interval; ND, no data; NH, non-Hispanic.
of natives.18 Multiple cross-sectional analyses in the USA have identified that newcomer refugee children settling in Florida,33 Kentucky 34 and New Hampshire14 were significantly more likely to have elevated BPb compared with native children. Additionally, a case–control study of 203 children matched on age, date of test and residential area in New York City found an elevated risk of lead poisoning in foreign-born children relative to US-born children (OR 10.9; 95% CI 3.3 to 36.5).11

Our results are also similar to results from prior studies of BPb in adult women immigrants or newcomers done in other countries. In a study of Taiwanese women, Wu et al report that immigrants from Vietnam, China and Southeast Asia had higher BPb concentrations compared with native born Taiwanese women.20 A study conducted on 164 newcomer South and East Asian women of reproductive age in Canada found that compared with native born Canadians, newcomer women had higher BPb than Canadian women, with south Asians having median (95th percentile) BPb of 1.15 (2.71) µg/dL, and East Asians having BPb of 1.01 (1.81) µg/dL.15 Asian women in New York City have also been reported to have a disproportionate rate of elevated BPb.35 Both the results for newcomer status and ethnicity are consistent with our

Table 2  Geometric mean (95% CI) for blood lead, µg/dL

| Variable                         | All, ≥15years | Men, ≥15 years | Women, ≥15 years | Women, 15–45 years |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Entire population                | 0.89 (0.85 to 0.93) | 1.03 (0.98 to 1.08) | 0.78 (0.74 to 0.81) | 0.54 (0.51 to 0.57) |
| Length of time in USA            |               |                |                  |                   |
| Born in USA*                     | 0.86 (0.82 to 0.91) | 1.00 (0.95 to 1.06) | 0.75 (0.71 to 0.79) | 0.51 (0.48 to 0.54) |
| 0–4 years                        | 1.04 (0.92 to 1.18)† | 1.22 (1.04 to 1.42)† | 0.87 (0.76 to 0.99) | 0.82 (0.72 to 0.93)† |
| 5–9 years                        | 1.06 (0.95 to 1.19)† | 1.20 (1.03 to 1.39)† | 0.91 (0.77 to 1.06)† | 0.83 (0.71 to 0.97)† |
| 10–19 years                      | 0.92 (0.85 to 0.99) | 1.09 (1.01 to 1.18) | 0.78 (0.70 to 0.87) | 0.67 (0.60 to 0.76)† |
| ≥20 years                        | 1.09 (1.03 to 1.16)† | 1.25 (1.15 to 1.35)† | 0.98 (0.90 to 1.06)† | 0.73 (0.63 to 0.84)† |
| Race/ethnicity                   |               |                |                  |                   |
| NH white*                        | 0.91 (0.86 to 0.95) | 1.05 (0.98 to 1.12) | 0.79 (0.75 to 0.84) | 0.52 (0.48 to 0.55) |
| NH black                         | 0.90 (0.83 to 0.98) | 1.08 (1.00 to 1.16) | 0.78 (0.70 to 0.86) | 0.55 (0.49 to 0.61) |
| NH Asian                         | 1.10 (1.03 to 1.18)† | 1.22 (1.11 to 1.34)† | 1.01 (0.94 to 1.09)† | 0.86 (0.78 to 0.95)† |
| Hispanic                         | 0.77 (0.73 to 0.81)† | 0.93 (0.86 to 1.00)† | 0.64 (0.60 to 0.68)† | 0.52 (0.48 to 0.57) |
| Other/multiracial                | 0.82 (0.74 to 0.92) | 0.85 (0.72 to 1.01)† | 0.79 (0.67 to 0.93) | 0.61 (0.52 to 0.72)† |
| Education                        |               |                |                  |                   |
| Age ≤19 years                    | 0.47 (0.44 to 0.51)† | 0.59 (0.52 to 0.66)† | 0.39 (0.35 to 0.42)† | 0.39 (0.35 to 0.42)† |
| < High school*                   | 1.13 (1.07 to 1.19) | 1.39 (1.30 to 1.50) | 0.92 (0.85 to 0.99) | 0.69 (0.61 to 0.77) |
| High school degree               | 0.96 (0.90 to 1.03)† | 1.15 (1.04 to 1.26)† | 0.80 (0.74 to 0.87)† | 0.51 (0.46 to 0.57)† |
| Some college                     | 0.87 (0.83 to 0.91)† | 1.00 (0.94 to 1.07)† | 0.78 (0.74 to 0.82)† | 0.56 (0.51 to 0.60)† |
| ≥4 years college degree          | 0.93 (0.86 to 0.99) | 1.00 (0.93 to 1.09) | 0.85 (0.78 to 0.93) | 0.62 (0.56 to 0.68) |
| Smoking status                   |               |                |                  |                   |
| Non-smoker*                      | 0.84 (0.80 to 0.88) | 0.96 (0.92 to 1.01) | 0.75 (0.71 to 0.79) | 0.51 (0.48 to 0.54) |
| Smoker                           | 1.17 (1.11 to 1.24)† | 1.38 (1.27 to 1.50)† | 0.97 (0.91 to 1.04)† | 0.76 (0.71 to 0.81)† |
| Age                              |               |                |                  |                   |
| 15–30 years                      | 0.57 (0.54 to 0.61)† | 0.71 (0.67 to 0.75)† | 0.46 (0.43 to 0.50) | 0.46 (0.43 to 0.50) |
| 31–45 years*                     | 0.79 (0.74 to 0.83) | 0.97 (0.91 to 1.04) | 0.64 (0.61 to 0.69) | 0.65 (0.61 to 0.69) |
| 46–60 years                      | 1.09 (1.04 to 1.14)† | 1.20 (1.12 to 1.28)† | 0.99 (0.93 to 1.05)† | ND           |
| ≥61 years                        | 1.36 (1.29 to 1.42)† | 1.50 (1.39 to 1.63)† | 1.25 (1.18 to 1.32)† | ND                                 |
| Sex                              |               |                |                  |                   |
| Male*                            | 1.03 (0.98 to 1.08) | ND              | ND                | 0.54 (0.51 to 0.57) |
| Female                           | 0.78 (0.74 to 0.81)† | ND              | ND                |                     |

N=11740 (men and women, ≥15 years); n=2867 (men, ≥ 15 years); n=3094 (women, ≥ 15 years); n=1580 (women, 15-45 years). Values are population-weighted per cents.

*Referent category.
†Wald test from unadjusted regression model p<0.05.
Cl, confidence interval; ND, no data; NH, non-Hispanic.
In our study, we found that elevated BPb was higher among newly arrived adults and adolescents to the USA, and that concentrations were lower with an increased amount of time spent in the USA. This was similar to results found by Wu et al, who conducted a study on immigrant women from China and South East Asia and found that BPb concentrations decrease significantly with time in Taiwan; with immigrants living in country ≤5 years having BPb of 2.6 µg/dL (95% CI 2.45 to 2.89) and immigrants living in the country >5 years having BPb of 2.40 µg/dL (95% CI 2.21 to 2.59) compared with non-immigrant women. This suggests that there is a need for more detailed investigation into the toxic exposures and health status of ‘newcomers’ to any population, examine the related contexts and intervene to address this disparity.

One limitation of this analysis is that we do not have the ability to determine the source of lead exposure in this population. The 2013–2016 NHANES data that was used for this study is cross-sectional and thus lacks the ability to determine the temporal sequence of exposure and outcome; to determine a directional relationship between exposure and outcome a longitudinal study is required. Another limitation of this study design is that participants had blood drawn only once; thus, we could not capture change in BPb over time, as mobilisation of lead from bones especially during turnover and osteoporosis influences the concentration of lead in blood for years. Additionally, our study used BPb, which generally reflects current lead exposure, not necessarily cumulative lead exposure.

In a newcomer population, the source of the lead exposure reflected in BPb may have originated from either the origin or destination country or both. Lead exposure from the country of origin may occur due to continued use of lead in many countries, the situation in which the newcomer lived or other socioecological factors that are associated with BPb levels. Once in the destination country, it is possible that continued use of lead-containing products may contribute to lead exposure. Newcomers may also be likely to live in areas with a higher risk of environmental lead exposure such as areas with elevated industrial activity, proximity to busy roads or increased proportion of older homes that have lead paint. Meanwhile, studies have shown that immigrants are at higher risk of elevated stress as represented from increased allostatic load; this is of concern as it has been demonstrated that health impacts associated with lead exposure may be exacerbated among those with a greater allostatic load. Thus, newcomers may not only have a higher risk of being exposed to lead within the destination country compared with native born individuals, but they may also be more susceptible to lead toxicity.

This study also has several strengths. First, the sample was drawn from a large, representative sample of non-institutionalised civilian population of the USA and incorporated non-response into survey weights, which minimises the potential for selection bias to affect our results. Second, the study population was diverse with regard to age, sex, race/ethnicity and education. Finally, we were one of only a few studies to date which have examined the association between length of time since arrival in the USA and BPb. We also present similar data for all persons ≥15 years or older; to the best of our knowledge, no prior publications have focused specifically on women of childbearing age.

The results from the present study, taken together with the existing literature, suggest that newcomer or immigrant populations may be at risk for elevated lead exposure and thus face greater health disparities. The US CDC has existing recommendations that BPb testing should be conducted on 6 months to 6 years children, 3 to 6 months after resettlement in the USA; extending such screening to at risk population such as women of childbearing age and newcomers to the USA, particularly those from Asian countries, may be warranted.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our study demonstrated that there was a significant association between self-reported length of time in the USA and BPb, with BPb levels highest for those reporting living in the USA for 0–4 years or 5–9 years. These results, along with prior evidence, suggest newcomer populations,
especially newcomer women of childbearing age, are a population of concern for elevated BPs.
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