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Abstract
Validating surgical procedure codes for inflammatory bowel disease in the Swedish National Patient Register

Background: About 50% of patients with Crohn’s disease (CD) and about 20% of those with ulcerative colitis (UC) undergo surgery at some point during the course of the disease. The diagnostic validity of the Swedish National Patient Register (NPR) has previously been shown to be high for inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), but there are little data on the validity of IBD-related surgical procedure codes.

Methods: Using patient chart data as the gold standard, surgical procedure codes registered between 1966 and 2014 in the NPR were abstracted and validated in 262 patients with a medical diagnosis of IBD. Of these, 53 patients had reliable data about IBD-related surgery. The positive predictive value (PPV), sensitivity and specificity of the surgical procedure codes were calculated. Results: In total, 158 codes were registered in the NPR. 155 of these, representing 60 different surgical procedure codes, were also present in the patient charts and validated using a standardized form. Of the validated codes 153/155 were concordant against the patient charts, corresponding to a PPV of 96.8% (95%CI=93.9-99.1). Stratified in abdominal, perianal and other surgery, the corresponding PPVs were 94.1% (95%CI=88.7-98.6), 100% (95%CI=100-100) and 98.1% (95%CI=93.1-100), respectively. Of 164 surgical procedure codes in the validated patient charts, 155 were registered in the NPR, corresponding to a sensitivity of the surgical procedure codes of 94.5% (95%CI=89.6-99.3). The specificity of the NPR was 98.5% (95%CI=97.6-100). Conclusions: Data on IBD-related surgical procedure codes are reliable, with the Swedish National Patient Register showing a high sensitivity and specificity for such surgery.
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Background
The prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in Sweden is estimated at 0.65%[1] with a mean incidence rate of 37/100,000 person-years[2]. Treatment of IBD stands on three pillars: medical treatment, nutritional support and surgery. While pharmacological treatment is the mainstay for IBD,
studies indicate that about 50% of patients with Crohn’s disease (CD) and about 20% of those with ulcerative colitis (UC) undergo surgery sometime during their lifetime[2-8]. Surgery is rarely curative in CD, whereas colectomy can radically reduce the disease burden in UC, improving short- and long-term quality of life[9, 10]. Surgery is also elemental in the treatment of colorectal cancer, a feared complication of long-standing colonic IBD[11].

In Sweden, most diagnoses and procedure codes used for register-based studies are identified through the Swedish National Patient Register (NPR)[12]. The NPR, formed in 1964, is a nationwide register containing data on discharge diagnoses and procedure codes of all patients admitted to hospital. The register became nationwide in 1987. Data on hospital-based outpatient care were added in 2001, lending the NPR a potential coverage of nearly 100%[10]. At the time of forming the NPR, a new standard for classification of surgical procedure codes was introduced. In 1997, it was replaced by an adapted version of the NOMESCO Classification of Surgical Procedures[13] and registration of day surgery was included. The procedure codes have been revised by the Swedish Board of Health and Welfare and listed in the publication Swedish Classification of Surgical and Medical Procedures (in Swedish: “KVÅ” – klassifikation av vårdåtgärder)[14].

The NPR and quality registers in Sweden provide unique opportunities for systematic collection of medical data at the population and individual level. Through the NPR, health care personnel and researchers can determine the incidence and prevalence of IBD as well as evaluate the effects and consequences of surgical interventions in IBD patients.

We have previously validated the medical diagnoses of IBD [15]. Meanwhile, studies validating surgical procedure codes in the NPR are scarce; however, positive predictive values (PPVs) of 99.6% for oesophageal surgery [16] and 97.0% for obesity surgery [17] have been shown. To our knowledge, no study has assessed the validity of IBD-related surgical procedure codes or the sensitivity for those codes in the NPR.

The present study aimed to validate IBD-related surgical procedure codes assessing the PPV, sensitivity and specificity for those codes in the NPR.

Methods
This study was a structured retrospective review comparing IBD-related surgical procedure codes in the NPR with data from patient charts as the gold standard. The study took place in Sweden and review of the patient charts was done between June 2017 and November 2017.

**Study population**

The study sample was extracted from cases of a previous study validating IBD diagnoses in the NPR[15]. These cases (n=370) were randomly identified by the Swedish Board of Health and Welfare, meeting the inclusion criterion of at least one diagnosis of IBD (ICD-9: CD 555, UC 556 or ICD-10: CD K50, UC K51) registered in the NPR between 1987 and 2014[15]. Retrieved data from the NPR included the patients' personal identity number (PIN), hospital, department, date of IBD diagnosis (index date) and surgical procedures. Hospitals and departments having treated the patient were asked to provide all available physicians or surgery notes, discharge summaries, laboratory test results and radiology/histopathology/endoscopy referrals 2 years before and at least 2 years after the index date defined as date of first diagnosis of IBD between 1987 and 2014[15]. However, several of the hospitals submitted patient chart information preceding the requested period, for some patients as far back as 1966. Such data were also included in the validation. In total, 293/370 requested charts were received. Of these, 262 were physically available for this study. The remaining 31 were only accessible in a database to which the main investigator of this study (AF) was not authorised to access.

The charts of 57 patients contained information on IBD-related surgery between 1966 and 2014, whereas the remaining 205 patient charts did not. Four patients were excluded because of insufficient notes about surgery, i.e. the patient charts contained insufficient information to determine type of surgery undertaken and therefore did not allow any reliable validation. Thus, the final study population consisted of 53 patients, an overview of the selection process of patient charts included in this study is described in Figure 1.

**Data Elements**
Extraction of data

Data from the patient charts on IBD-related surgery were abstracted together with existing surgical procedure codes classified as IBD-related (Table S1) using a standardised review form (Figure 2). Abstracted information included date of surgery, surgical procedure code or description of the surgery in surgical or other notes.

Review process of patient charts

The patient charts were reviewed by a single reviewer (AF). The abstracted surgical procedure codes in the charts were compared with the surgical notes, which served as the gold standard to determine which surgical procedure the patient had undergone. If such notes were absent, other notes were used that clearly described the procedure undertaken. In case of ambiguous interpretation each case was discussed with an experienced IBD surgeon (PM). The abstracted data were then compared with the NPR to determine whether any procedure code was registered for the same surgical procedure and whether the code was concordant with the NPR. All abstracted data were reviewed twice before calculations.

Case definition

The surgical procedure codes in the NPR were classified as “confirmed” (yes) if concordant with the information on the surgical procedures in the patient charts or “false” (no) if absent or if not concordant with the patient charts. If the code in the NPR were classified as “false”, the error was then categorised by type of error. (Table S2).

Statistics

We expressed the concordance of surgical procedure codes in the NPR as a positive predictive value (PPV). The sensitivity for those codes in the NPR was expressed as the proportion of patient chart codes also present in the NPR and the specificity as the proportion of patient charts negative for IBD-related surgery also negative for such surgery in the NPR. We calculated 95% confidence intervals
(CIs) for these accuracy measures using a two-step bootstrap approach clustered for hospital in strict hierarchy with 10,000 re-samplings [18, 19]. Data management was performed using Microsoft Excel, STATA software version 14.2 and R software version 3.4.1.

Results
We reviewed patient charts from 262 patients identified as IBD patients in the NPR (Table 1). Surgical procedure codes for IBD surgery were registered in the NPR for 57 (22%) of these patients. The patient charts of these included information about IBD-related surgery, i.e. surgery notes, other notes or surgical procedure codes indicating surgery. After reviewing these charts, four patients were excluded because of insufficient information on type of surgery in the patient charts to allow validation (Figure 1). The remaining 53 charts comprised data on 164 surgical procedures registered between 1966 and 2014 classified as IBD-related (Table S1) in patients with a medical IBD diagnosis in the NPR registered at 27 different hospitals. Of these, nine (5%) codes were missing in the NPR (Table 2). The remaining 155 codes, representing 60 different surgical procedure codes, were validated using a standardized form (Figure 2). In the NPR, 158 codes were registered for the included 258 patients. Of these, three (2%) codes were missing in the charts (Table 2).

In total 153/155 validated surgical procedure codes were concordant, representing a PPV for true positive codes of 98.7% (95%CI=96.3-100) and a PPV of 96.8% (95%CI=93.9-99.1) for any IBD-related surgical procedure code in the NPR (n=158) also being concordant. The two discrepancies between the codes in the charts and the NPR (one registered in 1988 and one in 2000) were both transfer errors (Table S2). In a sensitivity analysis, including the four patients excluded from the main analysis due to insufficient information on type of surgery in the patient charts to allow validation, the PPV ranged between 96.2% (95%CI=93.2-99.2) and 98.7% (95%CI=97.0-100) for true positive codes and between 94.4% (95%CI=90.9-98.0) and 96.9% (95%CI=94.2-99.6) for any IBD-related code also being concordant.

The validated codes were divided into categories according to the anatomic location of the procedure:
abdominal (n=65), perianal (n=36) and other IBD locations (n=54) (Table 3). When examining the validated codes stratified into these categories, the PPVs for any of them also being concordant were 94.1% (95%CI=88.7-98.6) for abdominal, 100% (95%CI=100-100) for perianal and 98.1% (95%CI=93.1-100) for other IBD-related codes (Table 3). All perianal surgical procedure codes (n=36) occurred in patients with a primary diagnosis of CD.

Of the 155 validated surgery codes, 77 were registered up until 1996 and 78 in 1997 or later. Restricting the analyses to codes registered up until 1996 vs. 1997 or later, the corresponding PPVs were 97.4% (95%CI=92.7-100) and 96.2% (95%CI=92.9-100) (Table 3).

Of 164 codes in the patient charts, 155 were found in the NPR corresponding to a sensitivity of 94.5% (95%CI=89.6-99.3) (Table 2). Of these (seen in four patients), eight were registered in 1996 or earlier (Table 3) and consisted of six perianal procedure codes. One procedure code registered in 1997 or later was missing (Table 3). When restricted to up until 1996, the sensitivity was 90.6% (95%CI=84.6-100) and 98.7% (95%CI=95.0-100) in 1997 or later (Table 3). When including the four patients excluded from the main analysis due to insufficient information on type of surgery in the patient charts we found a sensitivity between 92.2% (95%CI=88.2-96.3) and 94.6% (95%CI=91.2-98.1).

Out of the remaining 205 patients without an IBD-related surgical procedure code in the patient charts, 202 had no surgical procedure codes registered and three patients had one surgical procedure code each registered in the NPR. The overall specificity for those patients was calculated to 98.5% (95%CI=97.6-100) (Table 2).

We found 9 surgical procedure codes in the patient charts missing in the NPR (false negative codes). These codes were distributed between 4 different patients out of which 2 also had at least one additional surgical procedure code correctly registered in the NPR for the same surgical session (true positive codes). These 2 patients were both true positives and false negatives. However, through
sensitivity analyses, we calculated the NPV conditioned all 4 patients or 2 patients as false negatives showing NPVs of 98.1% (95%CI=96.2-100) and 99.0% (95%CI=97.7-100) respectively.

We calculated the number of days between admission registered in the NPR and the actual date of surgery according to the patient chart. For 90% of the patients (n=76/84), surgery took place within 7 days (1 week) of the admission date. IBD-related surgery took place at a median of 1 (IQR 1.5) day and on average 2.1 (SD 3.1) days after the admission date.

Discussion

Main findings

This study aimed to validate IBD-related surgical procedure codes and the PPV, sensitivity and specificity of those codes in the NPR. We reviewed the charts of 262 randomly selected patients in Sweden of whom 57 (22%) underwent IBD surgery registered in the NPR between 1966-2014. Of these, 4 were excluded due to insufficient data on type of surgery in the patient charts to allow any reliable validation. For the remaining 53 patients, 158 codes were registered in the NPR. Of these 158, 155 (representing 60 different surgical procedure codes) were also present in the patient charts and validated using the charts as the gold standard for the validation. Our study showed a PPV of 96.8% for concordant codes (n=153) registered in the NPR and a sensitivity for any of the validated codes (n=155) of 94.5%.

Comparison to other studies

Very few studies have validated the quality of data of surgical procedures codes in the NPR. Lagergren and Derogar found an overall PPV of 99.6% (n=1358) for oesophageal cancer surgery[16]; Falkeborn et al, assessing gynaecological surgery, found PPVs ranging from 86-100% (n=1338) depending on the type of surgery[20]; and in the most recent study, Tao et al reported an overall PPV of 97.0% (n=572) for obesity surgery codes[17]. Outside Scandinavia, Ma et al reported PPVs from 80-100% (n=113) for surgical resection procedure codes in patients with CD registered in the Calgary Health Zone discharge administrative database[5]. Our results are similar, although we validated a
larger number of procedure codes compared with that and other studies.

Analyses of subgroups show PPVs of 94.1% for abdominal codes, 100% for perianal codes and 98.1% for other IBD-related surgery codes. These findings correspond well with the overall PPV. Our PPV results for abdominal resection codes could be compared with those reported by Ma et al of 87%, 81% and 100% for partial excision of small intestine, partial excision of large intestine and total excision of large intestine, respectively[5].

The coverage of the NPR has changed over time. From 1997 and onwards, the NPR includes day surgery. In 2007, it became mandatory to register surgical procedure codes (which was done to a considerable extent previously). Our study included codes registered between 1966 and 2014. Falkeborn et al validated codes for gynaecological surgery registered in 1965-1983[20] and Lagergren and Derogar for oesophageal surgery in 1987-2005[16]. The different periods of inclusion may limit comparisons with our study. Tao et al included patients only during 2011, making direct comparisons difficult[17].

Sensitivity studies on the NPR are scarce. A sensitivity of 91% was found for any surgical procedure code during hospital admission of 962 patients in 1986[21] and a sensitivity of over 97% for gynaecological procedure codes in 1965-1983[20] was reported in another study. Both these studies were conducted before it became mandatory to register procedure codes in the NPR, whereas our study included codes both before and after that requirement, although the majority were registered before 2007. The higher sensitivity for gynaecological codes could be related to a smaller number of different codes in that study. We also included minor surgical interventions, such as perianal procedures, which are possibly less likely to be registered in the NPR because of the less complicated nature of the procedures. Our results show a sensitivity of 94.5% for IBD-related surgical procedure codes, which is consistent with studies of similar codes in the NPR. However, it is higher than the
sensitivity of 79-86% reported by Ma et al[5]. The classification system for procedure codes changed in 1997. When comparing procedure codes up until 1996 and 1997 or later, we show sensitivities of 90.6% and 98.7%.

**Strengths and limitations**

Retrospective review of patient charts should be the method of choice when validating surgical procedure codes in the NPR. This methodology has several strengths, including accurately determining the concordance between the charts and the NPR and a possibility to accurately categorise the types of error. Further strengths of our study include the random and nationwide population-based sampling of patients that reduces the risk of selection bias. Moreover, our study included surgical procedure codes registered between 1966 and 2014, allowing for assessment of the PPV and sensitivity of the NPR over time. The Swedish healthcare system, offering free access to equal care regardless of income and place of residency, provides high external validity as compared with similar healthcare systems such as those of the other Scandinavian countries.

This study has some limitations. Because reviewed charts were drawn from a sample of a previous validation study[15] that did not receive all requested charts, we cannot exclude that missing charts have biased our results. In addition, because the classification system for procedure codes changed in 1997, it cannot be excluded that the larger amount of procedure codes introduced after the change influenced the risk of misclassification. However, the notes in the charts served as the gold standard and therefore the risk of misclassification caused by the individual surgeon was minimal.

The proportion of reviewed patient charts that included specific surgical notes was 71% (n=110). Review of the remaining charts was based on other notes, which increases the risk of misclassification of these cases compared with cases confirmed using surgical notes. This limitation, however, was addressed by including only those procedures supported by other unambiguous notes that are equivalent to surgical notes. The manual review of the charts provides a robust reviewing process.
Still, it could introduce misclassification by technical translation errors or by human error. The abstracted data were therefore reviewed twice by AF to minimise transfer errors. Furthermore, the surgical notes used for validation included detailed separate descriptions of the surgical procedures and techniques used, reducing the risk of misclassification. The review was done by a single reviewer. The chart reviewer was not blinded, which might have biased the assessment of the codes.

The number of procedure codes used in IBD-related surgery is larger than the number of validated codes in this study. Nevertheless, we found and validated 60 different types of surgical procedure codes that covered the most frequently used procedures in IBD surgery (Table S1). Although the validation was limited to patients with at least one IBD diagnosis in the NPR, the validity of the investigated procedure codes is likely to be generalisable to patients without IBD.

The 95% CIs for presented accuracy measures was adjusted for clustering only on hospital level using a two-step bootstrap approach. There is to our knowledge no support for clustering also on lower hierarchical levels [18, 19]. The clustering was made in strict hierarchy with the exception of one patient who underwent surgery in two different hospitals.

Finally, because only the admission date is usually listed in the NPR, we explored the actual date of surgery through patient charts. In studies examining outcomes after surgery we recommend that the difference between hospital admission date and the actual date of surgery (in this study median: 1 day, mean: 2.1 days) is taken into account.

Conclusions
This nationwide study of 262 patients having at least one IBD diagnosis found a high positive predictive value and a high sensitivity and specificity of IBD-related surgical procedure codes registered in the NPR. Such a finding indicates that the NPR is a reliable data source for identifying patients that have undergone IBD-related surgery.
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