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Abstract

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are ideal scaffolds to design and architect high-performance composites at high CNT volume fractions. In these composites, the CNT alignment determines the level of aggregation and the structure morphology, and thus the load transfer efficiency between neighboring CNTs. Here, we discuss two major solutions to produce high-volume fraction CNT composites, namely the layer-by-layer stacking of aligned CNT sheets and the stretching of entangled CNT webs (networks). As inspired by the growth procedure of natural composites, the aggregation of CNTs can be well controlled during the assembling process. As a result, the CNTs can be highly packed, aligned, and importantly unaggregated, with the impregnated polymers acting as interfacial adhesion or mortars to build up the composite structure. The CNT/bismaleimide composites can yield a super-high tensile strength up to 6.27–6.94 GPa and a modulus up to 315 GPa.
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1. Introduction

Since their discovery in 1991 [1], carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have generated huge activity in most areas of science and engineering due to their unprecedented mechanical, electrical, and thermal properties. For example, lightweight multifunctional composites with enhanced properties can be produced by effectively incorporating individual CNTs into polymer matrices [2, 3]. The first polymer nanocomposites using CNTs as a filler were reported in 1994, where the CNTs were
aligned within the epoxy matrix by the shear forces induced by cutting with a diamond knife [4]. In the following decades, tremendous research has been done to develop CNT-reinforced composites with a high strength and modulus. However, as compared to individual CNTs, the composites produced by these “conventional” fabrication methods usually do not exhibit significantly improved mechanical and electrical performances. This is mainly due to the limited content of CNT (usually under 5 wt%). Furthermore, it is also important to introduce a uniform CNT dispersion in polymer matrix and adhesion between different constituents in improving the composite performances.

Recently, a new type of CNT composite material has been developed using macroscopic CNT assemblies as raw materials, namely high-volume fraction CNT composites, where the volume fraction of CNT is usually much larger than 50%. To obtain these composites, the macroscopic forms of entangled or aligned CNTs, that is, fibers [5–7], forests [8–12], and membranes [13–16] are used as scaffolds, and the polymer is impregnated into the free pores of these CNT network. Of great importance, the super-aligned CNT sheets drawn out from spinnable CNT forests [17] and the entangled CNT webs (networks) grown with an injection chemical vapor deposition method [18] have superb advantages in obtaining super-strong high-volume fraction CNT composites.

Furthermore, to design the structure for high-performance composites at high CNT fractions, nature can offer us with scientific and technological clues from the formation process of biological composites. The natural composite materials are usually built up by common organic components via the naturally mild approaches [19], such as super-tough spider fibers [20], strong hard nut skins [21], and wear-resistant molluscan shells [22]. In these composites, the major components such as proteins, cellulose molecules, and nanometer-sized crystals of carbonated calcium phosphates or calcium carbonates are homogeneously distributed and orientated along with other co-existing components [20, 23]. This provides us new approaches to obtain super-strong CNT composites [24]. For CNT composites, due to the strong tendency to agglomerate between CNTs [25–29], it is still difficult to uniformly disperse CNTs within polymer matrix at a high-volume fraction and thus to mimic the natural composites. Fortunately, CNTs can be treated as linear macromolecules, and thus, the processing on them can be dealt with in a biomimic way. Therefore, it becomes possible to mimic the formation process of biological composites to design new type of CNT composites.

This chapter thus aims at the structural design of super-strong and multifunctional CNT composites at high-volume fractions. Various processing methods are presented in the following sections, namely the layer-by-layer stacking of aligned CNT sheets, stretching on entangled CNT webs, and, most importantly, bio-inspired aggregation control to optimize the composite structure.

2. Conventional composite processing

Solution processing and melt processing have been widely used to prepare composites, where CNTs and polymer matrix can be well mixed together. For the solution treatment, CNT and polymer are mixed in a suitable solvent before evaporating the solvent to form a composite
film. One benefit of such method is that agitation of the CNT powder in a solvent facilitates CNT de-aggregation and dispersion. In general, agitation of CNT or of CNT/polymer mixture is provided by magnetic stirring, shear mixing, reflux, and, as widely used, ultrasonication.

In an early study [30], multiwalled CNTs were dispersed in chloroform by sonication. Then, polyhydroxyaminoether (PHAE) was dissolved in the CNT/chloroform dispersion and mixed by additional sonication. The suspension was poured into a Teflon mould and dried in ambient conditions to obtain the CNT composites. In the following decade, various similar methods have been reported. For example, CNTs were first chemically modified and then dispersed in water [31]. The dispersion was blended with poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA)/water solution to give composite solutions which were used to prepare the composites.

As pristine CNTs cannot be well-dispersed in most solvents, surfactants such as sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), sodium dodecyl sulfonate (SDSA), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), and dodecyl tri-methyl ammonium bromide (DTAB) were also used to assist the CNT dispersion before mixing with the polymer solution [32–38]. This technique results in excellent dispersion with no derogatory effects on film properties observed. However, the existence of surfactant could affect the interfacial strength between CNT and polymer.

For those polymers which are insoluble in the designed solvent, melt processing has become a common alternative. For example, amorphous polymers can be processed above their glass transition temperature, and semi-crystalline polymers can be heated above the melt temperature to induce sufficient softening [39–43]. In general, melt processing involves the melting of polymer pellets to form a viscous liquid. CNTs can be mixed into the melt by shear mixing. Bulk samples can then be fabricated by techniques such as compression molding, injection molding, or extrusion. In such approach, the optimized processing conditions depend on not only the types of CNT, but also the whole range of polymer–nanotube combinations. This is because nanotubes can effect melt properties such as viscosity, resulting in unexpected polymer degradation under conditions of high shear rates [39].

The dispersion and melting treatments have been widely used to obtain the composite structure by well mixing polymer and CNTs. Besides the structure design, interfacial covalent bonding is often applied in these methods in order to increase the composite performances. For example, in situ polymerization enables grafting of polymer molecules onto the wall of CNT and thus allows the preparation of composites with high nanotube loading. It is also particularly important for the preparation of insoluble and thermally unstable polymers, which cannot be processed by solution or melt processing. For example, due to their π-bonds, CNTs can participate in the polymerization of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), which resulted in a strong interface between the CNT and the PMMA matrix [44–46]. Poly(p-phenylene benzobisoxazole) (PBO)/CNT composites were also obtained with in situ polymerization by introducing CNTs in poly(phosphoric acid) (PPA) [47]. For CNT composites using polyamide, in situ polymerization can be realized between carboxylated CNTs and ε-caprolactam monomer [48].

In situ polymerization has been applied for the preparation of composites with CNT and various types of polymer. In this approach, covalent functionalization of CNT surface plays a
special role for CNT processing and applications [49–55]. “Grafting from” and “grafting to” are the two main strategies to introduce covalent bonding between polymers and CNTs [2]. In the former approach, the initiators are immobilized onto CNT surfaces and then, the monomers are in situ polymerized with the formation of covalent bonding between polymer and CNT. The latter approach is based on attachment of already preformed end-functionalized polymer molecules to functional groups on CNT surface via different chemical reactions. There have been many reports on the grafting techniques, where the grafted polymers include PMMA [56–60], polyethylene (PE) [61–64], polystyrene [65–67], chitosan [68, 69], and so on. There have been tremendous development on CNT composites using these conventional processing methods over the past 20 years. However, the dispersion of long CNTs is still hindered by their entanglement and aggregation, and the CNTs are limited to a low fraction and randomly orientated. Consequently, the final composite strength is usually below 400 MPa [70, 71]. Further, in situ polymerization or hot stretching is also not very effective in improving the mechanical properties [2, 28, 72]. Therefore, further development is still of great necessity, especially on the design of composite structure.

3. Layer-by-layer stacking of aligned CNTs

The large mass scale production of CNT has pushed the rapid development of CNT in various applications [73, 74]. However, the random orientation and entanglement hinder the structure design of high-volume fraction and aligned CNT composites. The development on spinnable CNT forests opened a new way towards such composite structure. CNT forests (also called CNT carpets) superficially resemble bamboo forests, except that the CNT “trees” in these forests can be over 50,000 times longer than their diameter, and this very high aspect ratio is useful for optimizing the mechanical and electrical properties. As a unique type of CNT forests, known as spinnable forests, one can continuously transform the vertically aligned CNTs into a horizontally aligned CNT sheet by a simple dry drawing or spinning method [17, 75–79]. Thus, the spinnability (or processability/drawability) is defined by the stable width and continuous length of the spun-out CNT sheets and the available spinning rates [80].

3.1. CNT/polymer composite films

The spinnable CNT forests have been successfully used to fabricate high-performance composite films. At first, the spun-out aligned CNTs were stacked together at different orientations to make a CNT preform [81]. After a resin transfer molding process, homogeneously dispersed CNT/epoxy composites with a CNT loading up to 16.5 wt% were obtained. The Young’s modulus and tensile strength of the composites reached 20.4 and 231.5 MPa, corresponding to 716 and 160% improvement compared to pure epoxy.

To further improve the CNT volume fraction, the CNT sheets were stacked in a continuous winding way with the aid of solution spraying [12]. Figure 1A shows the schematic of spray winding, where a CNT sheet is continuously wound onto a rotating mandrel on which micrometer-sized polymer solutions are deposited. With the long-chain polymer PVA, the aligned composite film exhibited a tensile strength up to 1.8 GPa at a CNT content of 65 wt%
Due to the alignment, the composite film was also stiff (modulus of 40–96 GPa), tough (energy absorbed before fracturing of 38–100 J g\(^{-1}\)), and electrically conductive (conductivity of 780 S cm\(^{-1}\)). By following this method, high-performance CNT composites with epoxy, polyimide (PI), and bismaleimide (BMI) were produced [82–85].

![Figure 1](image_url)

Figure 1. (A) Schematic view of spray winding. A CNT sheet is drawn out from a spinnable forest and continuously wound onto a rotating mandrel on which micrometer-sized droplets of polymer solution are deposited [12]. (B) Normalized intensity of G′-band peak as a function of the angle between the sample’s longitudinal direction and the polarization axis of the incident laser beam. (C) Typical stress–strain curves of pristine CNT sheet, unstretched and stretched composites, demonstrating a significant improvement on mechanical properties through aligning and straightening of CNTs [82]. (D) Schematic presentation of heat conduction mechanism in high-volume fraction CNT composites [83].

The layer-by-layer stacking allowed a high level of CNT alignment in the final composites. The alignment can be quantitatively measured with polarized Raman spectroscopy [8, 86]. Figure 1B shows the normalized intensity of Raman G′-band peak as a function of the angle between the sample’s longitudinal direction and the polarization axis of the incident laser beam; according to the results, we have reported previously [12]. The normalized G′ peak was strongest at 0° (along the CNT orientation) and monotonically decreased from 1 to 0.493 at 90° (perpendicular to the orientation).

To further improve the CNT alignment, a pair of stationary rods was placed between the CNT forest and the rotating mandrel. Due to the surface friction, the rods induced a certain shear stretching on the CNT sheet [82]. As a result, the mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties of the composites were simultaneously improved; the film exhibited a strength of 3.8 GPa, Young’s modulus of 293 GPa, electrical conductivity of 1230 S cm\(^{-1}\), and thermal conductivity of 41 Wm\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\). Figure 1C shows the stress–strain curves of pristine CNT sheet without matrix and CNT composite sheets stretched to various stretch ratios, where the effect of CNT alignment and straightness can be clearly seen.
In these composite films, the longer the CNTs the higher the thermal and electrical conductivities are. However, the mechanical properties including the strength and modulus exhibited no CNT length dependency [83]. (A) Setup for layer-by-layer stacking of aligned CNT sheets. (B) As-prepared CNT film on a mandrel. (C) Flexible CNT film strip. (D,E) Photographs of a shining CNT strip and a strip coated with a 12 nm gold layer. Inset in (D) is the shape of a water droplet on the strip. (F) SEM image of a woven fabric consisting of CNT strips. Figure 1D shows a schematic of thermal (electrical) conducting mechanism for the high-volume fraction CNT composites. Due to the long tube length, thermal conduction across the interfaces is not dominant as that along the CNTs. On the contrary, the thermally insulating polymer restricts the phonon mobility at the interface in short-CNT-reinforced composites. This means that the high interfacial thermal resistance can strongly limit the total thermal conductivity. However, with increasing the tube length, several issues might affect the mechanical performance. First, the average tube diameter and wall number increased with the tube length, leaving the aspect ratio remaining nearly unchanged. Second, the increased tube length usually causes more aggregation between the CNTs. These two issues both hinder the efficiency of interfacial stress transfer.

3.2. Super-strong CNT assembly film

As inspired by the forest-based fiber spinning where macroscopic one-dimensional assembly fiber with pure (neat) CNTs can be produced [75, 76], the layer-by-layer stacking can be also used to fabricate pure CNT films with high mechanical performances. Although no polymer molecules are impregnated, various solvents such as ethanol, acetone, and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) are used to densify the CNTs. The solvent can be either sprayed onto the mandrel during the winding process or dip onto the CNT film after the winding [87]. Figure 2
shows the CNT films obtained using 2–3-walled 4–6-nm-diameter CNTs. The CNT film had a smooth and shining surface, was flexible, and exhibited tensile strengths of 1.1–1.9 GPa and Young’s modulus of 40–90 GPa. Obviously, the alignment allowed a high degree of utilization of the intrinsic properties of CNT.

The CNT alignment was further improved when a stretch-dip-drying approach [88] was applied to the as-spun CNT films (Figure 3A). After such treatment, the CNT film was observed to significantly shrink in width by 22–39%, corresponding to an increased packing density. As compared to the simple solvent densification, the mass density of the film increased from 0.5–0.85 to 1.21–1.35 g cm$^{-1}$. Therefore, with the improved CNT alignment, the obtained CNT films exhibited significantly improved strength, up to 2.58–3.19 GPa.

![Figure 3A](image1)

**Figure 3A.** CNT alignment can be remarkably improved by the stretch-dip-drying method [88].

![Figure 3B](image2)

**Figure 3B.** Schematic view of the microcombing process which can mitigate the CNT waviness [89].

In another study, the as-drawn CNT sheets were “combed” to become straighter and aligned before being layer-by-layer stacked [89]. Figure 3B shows the schematic view of such microcombing. As the microcombing mitigates the CNT waviness and thus reduces the sheet defects, the final CNT film exhibited very high Young’s modulus of 172 GPa and the similar tensile strength of 3.2 GPa. Surprisingly, the electrical conductivity was as high as 1800 S cm$^{-1}$, higher than other CNT films fabricated using the aligned CNT sheets.

All these studies show clearly that the CNT alignment plays the most important role in determining the mechanical and electrical properties. Nevertheless, solvents still have interesting influences on the microstructure of aggregated CNTs. For example, the acetone-densified pure CNT films usually had a higher strain at break than the ethanol-densified CNT films [89]. Such phenomenon is due to the different volatility of solvent [90].
volatile solvent was used, the CNT films exhibited a certain level of networking, which can absorb additional energy during the tensile stretching. As a result, the film’s plasticity and toughness can be improved. This means that, even for the aligned CNT films, it is still possible to tune the internal microstructure of the highly packed CNTs, and thus to influence the film’s mechanical and electrical properties.

4. Stretching on entangled CNT webs

As the CNT length can only reach several hundred micrometers for the forest-based spinning, the aspect ratio of the CNTs is thus limited to be in the range of $10^4$. On solution to increase the aspect ratio is the decrease in diameter, like the spinnable few-walled CNT forests [87, 91]. A different way is to grow super-long CNTs, up to millimeter-long. For example, the millimeter-long and small-diameter (~3–8 nm) 2–5-walled CNTs provided a aspect ratio up to $10^5$ [92]. These CNTs substantially entangled with each other due to the floating catalyst synthesis and aero-gel condense method [18]. The films with entangled CNTs (CNT webs) can reach up to a meter long and are commercially available, which makes them practical for manufacturing bulk composites [93].

4.1. Direct stretching

A simple mechanical-stretch method can be used to align the CNTs in the entangled webs [93]. For example, for a 40%-stretched CNT film (i.e., the post-stretch film was 40% longer than the pre-stretch one), the degree of CNT alignment can be dramatically improved (Figure 4A). Polarized Raman scattering tests were conducted to calculate the alignment degree [94]. From the trend of the best fitting, it was predicted that the near-perfect alignment (more than 95% CNTs aligned along stretch direction) at an approximate 50% stretch ratio [93]. This means that it is still necessary to further improve the plasticity of the CNT webs.

Figure 4. Direct stretching on entangled CNT webs resulted in the remarkably improved mechanical performances [93]. (A) Schematic illustration of mechanical stretching to align CNTs in the entangled webs. (B) Typical tensile stress–strain curves of produced CNT/BMI composites at different stretch ratios.
The mechanical properties of the pure CNT webs were improved with the stretch ratio. The film’s tensile strength and Young’s modulus were just about 205 MPa and 1.10 GPa for the unstretched films. After the stretching, the CNT packing density also increased with the alignment. For the 30, 35, and 40% stretch ratios, the strength increased up to 390, 508, and 668 MPa, respectively, and the modulus along the alignment direction showed even more dramatic improvements, up to 11.93, 18.21, and 25.45 GPa, respectively.

When BMI was introduced into the CNT webs, either as-produced or stretched, there were further improvements on the mechanical properties. The tensile strength of the randomly dispersed CNT/BMI composite (CNT loading of about 60 wt%) was approximately 620 MPa, and the Young’s modulus was 47 GPa. After stretching by 30, 35, and 40%, the strength and modulus became 1600 MPa and 122 GPa, 1800 MPa and 150 GPa, and 2088 MPa and 169 GPa, respectively (Figure 4B).

4.2. CNT functionalization

Although the improvement in CNT alignment benefited the mechanical properties, substantial CNT pull-outs were observed at the fracture of the composites [93], corresponding to weak interfacial bonding. To overcome this problem, a follow-up effort was performed by improving the bonding with epoxidation functionalization [95]. To realize the interfacial reaction between CNT and BMI, the stretched CNT films were placed in peroxide acid (m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid or m-CPBA)/dichloromethane solution for functionalization. The functionalized CNT films were placed in a vacuum oven at 80°C for 30 min to evaporate the residual dichloromethane. Then, the CNT films were impregnated with BMI resin solution to prepare prepregs with approximately 60 wt% CNT loading. During the curing process, the reaction between the functionalized CNTs and BMI resin formed interfacial covalent bonds, whose mechanism is proposed as shown in Figure 5A. First, \(o, o’\)-diallyl bisphenol A reacted with the epoxide groups of the pre-functionalized CNTs, according to the epoxy-phenol reaction mechanism [96]. Then, in ene and Diels–Alder reactions, three-dimensional cross-linked structures were formed.

![Figure 5. CNT functionalization improved the interfacial bonding strength and thus increased the tensile properties of the CNT/BMI composites [95]. (A) Proposed reaction mechanism of functionalized CNTs and BMI resin. (B) Typical tensile stress–strain curves of random and stretch-aligned CNT/BMI composites with and without functionalization.](http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/62810)
between the derivative and the other two BMI components [97, 98]. The covalent bonding between CNT and BMI dramatically enhanced the interfacial adhesion, and thus, the improved load transfer was achieved by the functionalization. 

**Figure 5B** shows typical stress–strain curves of CNT/BMI composite films along the CNT alignment direction. As compared to the pristine random CNT/BMI composites, the tensile strength and Young’s modulus dramatically increased with the increase of alignment. After the functionalization to for interfacial covalent bonds, the mechanical properties of the resultant film were further improved. The strength and modulus of functionalized random CNT/BMI composites were 1437 MPa and 124 GPa, respectively. For the functionalized and 30%-stretched composites, the strength and modulus increased up to 2843 MPa and 198 GPa, respectively. The 40% stretch alignment together with interfacial functionalization resulted in the highest mechanical performances, where the strength and modulus were surprisingly as high as 3081 MPa and 350 GPa, respectively.

5. Bio-inspired aggregation control

The high-volume fraction CNT composites have exhibited exciting advantages in achieving high mechanical and electrical performances. However, due to the aggregation of nanometer-sized components, there is still a severe problem in paving the way to stronger materials [99]. The aggregation control is very necessary and can be demonstrated by a comparison of the structures of carbon fiber-reinforced polymer, aggregated and unaggregated CNTs in composites, as shown in **Figure 6** [24]. The large specific surface area is one important advantage of CNT. When the aggregated CNTs were used to replace solid carbon fibers, as observed in many reports [12, 82, 87, 93, 95], larger interfacial contact area was formed, and tensile strengths of the CNT composites range from 2.08 to 3.8 GPa [82, 93, 95]. However, in the aggregation phase, the load transfer is not as efficient as at the interface. Thus, such aggregation phase might become the weak parts in the composites and hinders further reinforcement. In an ideal structure, the nanometer-sized components should be uniformly distributed in the matrix.
without formation of any aggregation phases. Therefore, all the interfaces can play roles in shear load transfer.

The CNT aggregation arises from van der Waals (vdW) attraction and can be enhanced in wet environment. The situation becomes very severe in the layer-by-layer stacking of aligned CNT sheets with the aid of solution spray [12, 82, 87], where the CNTs (or more commonly, small-sized CNT bundles) usually aggregate first into large-sized bundles and then are surrounded by polymer matrix. Figure 7A and B show the aggregation phase of CNT, which were results of the layer-by-layer stacked aligned CNTs and the highly stretched CNT webs, respectively. Usually, the CNT aggregation was observed in a scale of hundreds of nanometer. Nevertheless, due to the entanglement, the preformed CNT webs were found to be more optimal than the forest-based CNT sheets in realizing the aggregation control.

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** SEM characterization of different CNT assembly structures [24]. (A) CNT aggregation in the layer-by-layer stacking of as-spun aligned CNT sheets. (B) CNT aggregation in the stretched dry films composed by entangled CNTs. (C) Acetone-densified CNT webs maintained the feature of entanglement. (D) Cross section of the optimal CNT/BMI composite structure using focused ion beam treatment, where the aggregation level of CNTs was limited within a dimension of 20–50 nm.

## 5.1. Impregnation without introducing aggregation

As-inspired by the natural composite structures, the entanglement was utilized to produce the optimal composite structure where the CNTs and polymer matrix co-existed and uniformly distributed among each other. This is because that the CNT webs can maintain the CNT entanglement after liquid densification. For example, after being densified with acetone, the pore sizes of the CNT webs decreased from >500 nm to ~100–200 nm, while the CNTs were still unaggregated and randomly distributed (Figure 7C). This is reminiscent of the formation
process of biological composites. During the formation, the matrix and the major components are simultaneously grown from stem cells at the optimized fractions to maximize the interfacial stress transfer. Therefore, we should introduce the polymer matrix into the CNT network prior to any other treatments, just to “grow” an initial composite configuration without CNT aggregations. Here, the BMI resins were dissolved in acetone and impregnated into the CNT webs. After the stretching, densification, and thermal curing, the CNTs were still found to be unaggregated (at least no larger than 50–100 nm), as shown in Figure 7D.

5.2. Multi-step stretching processes

The entangled CNTs can be re-assembled and aligned by a high level of stretching. This requires the CNT film to possess high plasticity. The raw films can be stretched by 10–15% in length. After the stretching, the tensile strength was improved from 180–198 to 500–600 MPa owing to the improved alignment. For the “wet” films which were infiltrated with 1 wt% BMI resin/acetone solutions, their strain at break were up to 20–25%, corresponding to the improved plasticity. This means that the impregnation prior to stretching also resulted in improved processability.

After the hot-pressing to cure BMI resins, the unstretched CNT/BMI films finally exhibited a tensile strength of 478–501 MPa. On the contrast, by first stretching the “wet” film by 20% and

Figure 8. Bio-inspired aggregation control showed great advantages in realizing super-strong CNT composites [24]. (A) The schematic of preparation and stretching processes of CNT/polymer films. (B) The mechanical performances of CNT/BMI composite films under different stretching strategies. (C) A comparison of tensile strength and elastic modulus for CNT/BMI and carbon fiber/epoxy composites.
then curing the film, the strength increased up to 1.74–1.92 GPa. To further improve the mechanical properties, the stretching method was modified to a multi-step way (Figure 8A) and the “wet” films could be stretched by 27–34% after 8 to 10 steps. Notice that, in each step, 3% additional stretching, according to the immediate film length, was applied to the film, and there was always 5–10 min between steps to allow sufficient structure relaxation. After 8 or 10 steps, the total stretching magnitude was $1.03^8 - 1 = 0.267$ or $1.03^{10} - 1 = 0.344$, respectively. In this multi-step way, the CNTs were fully aligned and the packing density during the hot-pressing was also improved. At this stage, the small-sized CNT bundles were well surrounded by the BMI resin molecules and maintained unaggregated phases. After being cured, the CNT/BMI composite films stably exhibited an extremely high tensile strength up to 4.5–6.94 GPa, depending on the CNT-to-resin mass ratio and the total stretching magnitude. At the same time, the elastic modulus was up to 232–315 GPa. Figure 8B shows the typical stress–strain curves for various CNT/BMI composite films, and Figure 8C provides the comparison with carbon fiber/epoxy composites.

5.3. Structural characterization

Based on the aggregation control and high CNT alignment, a big step has been realized towards the ideal composite structure. The tensile strength of >6 GPa is obviously much larger than those of carbon fiber/epoxy composites, in good agreement with their different composite structures (Figure 6). Figure 7D shows the cross-sectional picture of the CNT/BMI composite structure obtained by focused ion beam. Although individual CNTs were difficult to distinguish, CNT bundles with small size were found perpendicular to the cross section and their surfaces were all surrounded by BMI resins. Obviously, the maximized interface contacts can allow the most efficient interfacial stress transfer. Notice that the level of CNT aggregation was within 20–50 nm. This means that there is still a big challenge to obtain the ideal composite structure where individual CNTs are aligned, highly packed, and unaggregated.

5.4. Electrical properties

The ability to conduct electricity of a thin film is usually characterized by sheet resistance or square resistance, in units of “ohms per square”. The square resistance of the as-produced CNT web was 1.194 Ω sq$^{-1}$. After being impregnated with BMI resins, the resistance decreased to 0.926 Ω sq$^{-1}$, as a result of the enhanced densification. After being stretched, the CNTs became aligned and the connection between CNT bundles were separated by the matrix. As a result, the resistance increased to 1.461 and 1.931 Ω sq$^{-1}$ before and safer the curing process. The electrical conductivity of the final film was ~1700 S cm$^{-1}$, about 0.3 or 12% of copper’s or stainless steel’s electrical conductivity.

6. Conclusion

High-volume fraction CNT composites have exhibited exciting mechanical and electrical performances due to the high utilization of the CNT’s intrinsic properties. The layer-by-layer stacking of aligned CNT sheets and the stretching of entangled CNT webs have provided two different solutions to realize the high-strength CNT composite films. Considering the easy
aggregation between CNTs, the bio-inspired aggregation control makes a big step to approach the ideal composite structure where the CNTs are highly packed, aligned, and unaggregated. The highest tensile strength of the CNT/BMI composite film can be up to 6.94 GPa, much higher than the strength of carbon fiber-reinforced polymers. We anticipate that the present fabrication method can be generalized for developing multifunctional and smart nanocomposites.
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