While the existence of a Higgs boson with a mass near 125 GeV has been clearly established, the detailed structure of the entire Higgs sector is yet unclear. Besides the Standard Model interpretation, various possibilities for extended Higgs sectors are being considered. The minimal supersymmetric extension (MSSM) features two Higgs doublets resulting in five physical Higgs bosons, which are subject to direct searches. Alternatively, more generic Two-Higgs Doublet models (2HDM) are used for the interpretation of results. The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Model (NMSSM) has a more complex Higgs sector with seven physical states. Also exotic Higgs bosons decaying to invisible final states are considered. This article summarizes recent findings based on results from collider experiments.
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1. Introduction
The electroweak symmetry breaking mechanism of the Standard Model (SM) predicts the Higgs particle as a scalar boson. The discovery of a Higgs boson with a mass near 125 GeV\(^2\) by the experiments ATLAS\(^3\) and CMS\(^4\) at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is an important milestone, and it is of fundamental interest to study the properties of this state, its quantum numbers and couplings. An equally important aim is the unravelling of the overall structure of the Higgs sector. While at the level of current measurements, the observed state is compatible with the Higgs boson as predicted by the SM, the mass of the Higgs boson is divergent at high energies.\(^5\) For many other open questions, related e.g. to dark matter, naturalness and CP violation in the universe, solutions might evolve through more detailed studies of the Higgs sector. From investigation of the observed boson alone one obtains relatively weak contraints on Higgs decays beyond the Standard Model (BSM): for example, a study of the CMS experiment (Figure\(^6\)) derives an upper limit of 52\% for the branching fraction into BSM decay modes at 95\% confidence level. Significant improvement of such limits can at least be expected to take a long
time. For this reason, direct searches of BSM Higgs signatures are essential to clarify the structure of the Higgs sector.
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**Fig. 1.** 1D test statistics as a function of the Higgs branching fraction into non-SM decay modes. Only the photon and gluon couplings within the loops are modified. The tree-level couplings are fixed at SM level.

2. **The MSSM Higgs Sector**

While the SM features a single complex Higgs doublet resulting in one physical scalar Higgs boson, the Minimal Supersymmetric Model (MSSM) is characterized by an extended Higgs sector with two complex Higgs doublets. This gives rise to five physical Higgs bosons, three of them neutral, labeled h, H (CP-even) and A (CP-odd) and jointly referred to as φ, and the other two charged, named H\(^±\). At tree level, the MSSM Higgs sector is governed by two parameters: the mass \(m_A\) and \(\tan\beta\), which is the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets. Beyond tree level, additional parameters enter via radiative corrections, and benchmark scenarios fixing these parameters are used to compare different measurements. In many cases, the \(m_h^\text{max}\) benchmark scenario is used.

The mass of the CP-odd Higgs boson is usually approximately degenerate with one of the CP-even bosons, either the H for large \(m_A\), or the h if \(m_A\) is small. With the exception of the di-muon channel, this degeneracy cannot be resolved within the experimental mass resolution, and thus the visible cross section effectively doubles. The coupling to the b quark is proportional to \(\tan\beta\). Thus production cross sections in association with b quarks are enhanced by a factor of \(\approx 2\tan^2\beta\). It is important to note that the observation of a Higgs boson near 125 GeV with SM-like properties does not exclude additional heavy Higgs bosons at large \(\tan\beta\), as discussed in detail in Ref.\(^8\). If \(m_A\) is large compared to the Z mass, the light MSSM Higgs boson (h) becomes SM-like, a situation referred to as the decoupling limit. At the current
level of measurements, both SM and MSSM are found to fit the measurements about equally well, see for example Ref. [9].

2.1. MSSM searches in the \( \tau \tau \) channel

The \( \phi \to \tau \tau \) channel can be seen as a good compromise between a relatively large branching fraction and manageable backgrounds. Searches in this channel have been performed by the Tevatron\(^\text{10}\) and LHC experiments; the latter will be described in more detail. The main production mechanisms are associated production with b quarks and gluon-gluon fusion (GGF). In correspondence, the data are sub-divided in event categories with at least one, or no b-tagged jet. The decay modes of the \( \tau \) are grouped into leptonic (\( e \) or \( \mu \)) and hadronic decays (“had”). This results in six decay patterns, five of which are covered by the analyses of ATLAS\(^\text{11}\) and CMS\(^\text{12}\): \( e + \mu \), \( e + \text{had} \), \( \mu + \text{had} \), \( \text{had} + \text{had} \) (only ATLAS), \( \mu + \mu \) (only CMS). The invariant mass of the \( \tau \) pair is reconstructed from the visible decay products and the missing transverse energy, using a likelihood technique (CMS) and a method named Missing Mass Calculator\(^\text{13}\) (ATLAS).
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Fig. 2. Distributions of reconstructed invariant mass of the \( \tau \tau \) system in the btag category; from ATLAS\(^\text{11}\) in the combined \( e + \text{had} \) and \( \mu + \text{had} \) channels (left) and CMS\(^\text{12}\) (right) in the \( e + \mu \) channel. Estimated backgrounds are also shown.

A very important background arises from \( Z \to \tau \tau \) decays. It is addressed with an embedding technique: events with reconstructed \( Z \to \mu \mu \) decays are taken from the data, and the muon objects are replaced with simulated \( \tau \) decays. Additional backgrounds arise from \( Z \to ee(\mu \mu) \) decays, \( tt \) and di-boson production, QCD multijet and W+jets events. Their relevance differs across the various \( \tau \tau \) decay patterns. The results shown here are based on the 7 TeV data sample in the case of ATLAS, while the CMS results include the first part of the 8 TeV data. Figure 2
shows examples of the reconstructed $m_{\tau\tau}$ spectra for selected decay patterns in the b-tag category for ATLAS and CMS together with the estimated backgrounds. The summed background estimates describe the data very well, and there is no indication of a signal.
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**Fig. 3.** Upper limits for $\tan\beta$ vs. $m_A$ obtained in the $\tau\tau$ channel from the ATLAS [11] (left) and CMS experiment [12] (right). The ATLAS measurement also includes the $\phi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ channel.

The results from the various event categories and decay patterns are combined, and are used to compute upper limits on the MSSM parameter $\tan\beta$ at the 95% confidence level as a function of $m_A$. The ATLAS analysis also includes the $\phi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ decay channel, which is not discussed here in detail. The results are shown in Figure 3 in the $m_h^{max}$ scenario. At low masses (below $m_A \approx 250$ GeV), the upper limit on $\tan\beta$ reaches down to values of 5, and touches the lower limit obtained by the LEP experiments. At larger $m_A$, there is still a wide range of $\tan\beta$ allowed. Inclusion of the full set of 8 TeV data can be expected to give further improvements in the sensitivity of both experiments.

### 2.2. MSSM searches in the $b\bar{b}$ channel

In the MSSM, the Higgs decay into two b quarks is the dominating channel for $\tan\beta$ significantly larger than one. Furthermore, the associated Higgs production with b quarks is enhanced by a factor of $\tan^2\beta$ due to the modified coupling. On the other hand, there is a copious background from QCD multi-jet production, which makes this analysis very difficult and in particular challenging from the trigger aspect.

The analyses shown here search for $\phi \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ signatures with at least one additional b-tagged jet. This channel was first successfully analyzed by the Tevatron experiments CDF and D0 [14,15]. The signal is searched in the invariant mass of the two leading jets, which must be b-tagged. CDF uses a second variable which
functions as a global b-tag. The background estimation, which is a key component of the analyses, is performed with different methods. The CDF analysis derives background templates from the double b-tag sample by applying b-tag efficiency weights. The combination of the various background templates, together with a signal template, is fitted to the data. In the D0 analysis, fractional contributions of the various multi-jet processes are determined by fitting $p_T$ distributions from simulation to the data. The invariant mass spectrum from the D0 analysis is shown in Figure 4 (left). The data are shown along with the data-driven background estimate. The combined upper limits on the cross section times branching fraction is shown in Figure 4 (right). Neither experiment sees a signal above the background expectation, but there are modest excesses in the observed vs. the expected upper limits of $\approx 2.8\sigma$ in the CDF and $\approx 2.5\sigma$ in the D0 case, which are both visible in the combination plot at relatively low masses.

The first analysis of this channel at the LHC has been carried out by CMS. The search is performed both in the all-hadronic final state with three b-tagged jets, as well as in semi-leptonic signatures requiring in addition a non-isolated muon in one of the b-tagged jets. The all-hadronic analysis is inspired by the CDF method, using a background model of templates determined from the double-b-tag sample. The invariant mass spectrum of the two leading jets is shown in Figure 5 (left). The observed spectrum can be fitted well with a combination of five background templates, and there is no indication of a signal or excess. Upper limits for the cross section times branching ratio are converted to limits in the MSSM parameter space in the $m_{h}^{max}$ scenario. In Figure 5 (right) these limits are shown as a function of $\tan \beta$ in comparison to the combined Tevatron results. The CMS numbers have
been converted for a Higgsino mass parameter of $\mu = -200$ GeV to allow direct comparison with the Tevatron numbers. The CMS results shows a much higher sensitivity already with the 7 TeV data, with limits ranging between 18 and 32 in the whole mass range up to 350 GeV.

2.3. Charged Higgs boson search

Discovery of a charged Higgs boson would be an immediate indication of physics beyond the SM. For $\tan \beta > 3$, the dominant decay mode for light charged Higgs bosons is $H^+ \to \tau \nu$, for heavy charged Higgs bosons the branching fraction to $\tau \nu$ can still be sizable. The main production modes depend on the mass of the charged Higgs. For $m(H^+) < m_t$, it can be produced in top quark decays, while for $m(H^+) > m_t$, associated production together with top quarks takes over. The ATLAS analysis shown here uses $t\bar{t}$ events with a $\tau$ lepton decaying hadronically in the final state, with veto on any other leptons. The analysis requires at least three or four jets, with at least one of them b-tagged, and large missing $E_T$. The discriminating variable is the transverse invariant mass of the $\tau$ products combined with the missing $E_T$, defined as

$$m_T = \sqrt{2p_T E_T \cos \Delta \phi_{\tau,\text{miss}}}$$

(1)

where $\Delta \phi_{\tau,\text{miss}}$ is the azimuthal angle between the hadronic decay products of the $\tau$ lepton and the direction of the missing transverse momentum. Main backgrounds are general $t\bar{t}$, single top, W/Z+jets and di-boson production, as well as QCD.
Fig. 6. The left-hand plots show the data and background predictions for the ATLAS $H^\pm$ boson search\textsuperscript{133} as function of $m_{T \ell}$, both for the light (top) and heavy (bottom) Higgs mass selections. Expected Higgs signals for Higgs masses of 130 and 250 GeV are also superimposed, scaled up by a factor of ten, assuming $BR(t \rightarrow bH^\pm) = 0.9\%$ and $\tan \beta = 50$, respectively. The right-hand plots show the resulting model-dependent limits in the $m_{H^\pm}$ and $\tan \beta$ parameter plane, again for the light (top) and heavy (bottom) Higgs mass selections\textsuperscript{133}.

The full 2012 dataset is used for this measurement. The low and high mass selections cover $H^\pm$ mass ranges of 90–160 and 180–600 GeV, respectively. Figure\textsuperscript{6} shows the $m_{T \ell}$ spectra as well as the model dependent limits for both selections. No evidence for a $H^\pm$ signal is found. At low masses, large parts of the MSSM parameter space are excluded, while at high masses relatively large values of $\tan \beta$ are still allowed.

3. Generic 2HDM Searches

While the MSSM is a very specific implementation of a Higgs sector motivated by supersymmetry, the Two-Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) is a phenomenological approach, which allows data interpretations based on two Higgs doublets without committing to a particular theory. As opposed to MSSM at tree level, the 2HDM can accommodate CP violation, as well as flavor-changing couplings. Examples for
categories of 2HDM models with natural flavor conservation are the Type-I, in which all quarks couple only to one Higgs doublet, and the Type-II, in which the up-type quarks couple to one and the down-type quarks couple to the other Higgs doublet. Key parameters are $\tan \beta$, which is the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets, and the scalar mixing angle $\alpha$. The MSSM is a special case of a Type-II 2HDM. As the MSSM, the 2HDM feature three neutral and two charged Higgs bosons.

A recent analysis from ATLAS\textsuperscript{19} searches for decays of the scalar Higgs bosons $h$ and $H$ in the channel $h/H \rightarrow WW^{(*)} \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu$, assuming $m_h = 125\text{ GeV}$. The pseudo-scalar $A$ does not decay into $W$ pairs. The analysis requires exactly two leptons of opposite charge and missing energy. In order to cover the GGF and vector boson fusion (VBF) production mechanisms, either zero or two jets are required, respectively. A neural network combining several kinematic variables is trained for each mass point to enhance the signal over the background. The Higgs boson observed near $125\text{ GeV}$ is also treated as background. The resulting distributions of the neural network discriminant are shown in Figure 7 for an assumed Higgs mass of 240 GeV. The data are compared to a model of simulated events representing the various background processes. No indication of a signal is seen. The results are translated into exclusion contours within the 2HDM parameter space. Figure 8 shows examples for such contours for two values of $\tan \beta$, each for Type-I and Type-II 2HDM models. For low masses, significant parts of the $\cos \alpha$ range are excluded.

4. NMSSM Higgs Search

The next-to-minimal supersymmetric model (NMSSM) features two complex Higgs doublets and an additional scalar field. The physical states are mixtures: three CP-even ($h_1, h_2, h_3$), two CP-odd ($a_1, a_2$), and two charged bosons ($h^\pm$). The NMSSM
requires less fine tuning for the Higgs mass, and solves the so-called “μ problem” of the MSSM.

A recent CMS analysis searches for the decay of a non-standard Higgs into two very light bosons, resulting in two boosted pairs of muons. The corresponding NMSSM interpretation is a decay chain $h_{1,2} \rightarrow a_1a_1 \rightarrow (\mu\mu)(\mu\mu)$, where either the $h_1$ or the $h_2$ could correspond to the boson observed near 125 GeV, and $a_1$ is a new CP-odd Higgs boson lighter than twice the τ mass. But also an interpretation within dark-SUSY models is possible, based on the decay chain $h \rightarrow 2n_1 \rightarrow 2n_D + 2\gamma_D \rightarrow 2n_D + (\mu\mu)(\mu\mu)$, where $n_1$ is the lightest visible neutralino, $n_D$ is a light dark fermion and $\gamma_D$ a light massive dark photon with weak couplings to SM particles.

The analysis selects events with two isolated, boosted muon pairs, considering the mass ranges $0.25 < m_a < 3.55$ GeV and $m_h > 86$ GeV. The signal region is defined by requiring the masses of the two muon pairs to be equal. Main backgrounds in the analysis are direct production of $J/\Psi$ pairs, and $b\bar{b}$ production with subsequent di-muon decays, either semi-leptonic or via quarkonia resonances. The $b\bar{b}$ background is estimated from a bb-enriched control sample and the double-$J/\Psi$
production from PYTHIA. Figure 9 (left) shows the distribution in the space of the two di-muon masses with the diagonal signal region still blinded. Eight events are observed in the off-diagonal sideband. After unblinding, only one event is observed in the diagonal signal region (Figure 9 (right)), consistent with an expected background of $3.8 \pm 2.1$ events. The results are interpreted in the context of NMSSM and dark-SUSY models. The NMSSM interpretation (Figure 10 (left)) shows 95%
CL upper limits on the cross section times branching fraction vs. the Higgs mass \( m_{h_1} \) for different values of \( m_{a_1} \). The dark-SUSY interpretation (Figure 10 (right)) displays corresponding limits vs. \( m_h \) for a simplified scenario for \( m_{a_1} = 10 \) GeV and \( m_{n_D} = 1 \) GeV and \( m_{\gamma D} = 0.4 \) GeV. The comparison shows that the experimental limits of this analysis are already able to exclude certain models.

5. Searches for Invisible Higgs Bosons

If a Higgs boson would decay with a significant probability into final states consisting entirely of invisible particles, this might still be measurable if the Higgs is produced in association with other, well detectable particles. Searches of invisible Higgs decays in association with Z bosons have lead to upper limits on the invisible branching fraction of 65% (ATLAS\(^{22}\)) and 75% (CMS\(^{23}\)) for a Higgs with SM cross section at a mass of 125 GeV at 95% confidence level, leaving still plenty of room for such decays.

Recently, invisible Higgs decays have also been searched for by CMS in VBF topology\(^{21}\) which has a higher cross section than associated Z production. The final state is characterized by two scattered jets with a large rapidity gap, very little other activity in the event and large missing \( E_T \). Special triggers combining VBF and missing \( E_T \) signatures are crucial for this analysis, and large efforts have been undertaken to reduce the QCD background. The signal is searched for in the invariant mass of the two jets. The signature of an invisible Higgs should manifest as an excess which is growing with the dijet mass. Figure 11 (left) shows the observed
distribution in the data with the background expectation and the expected signal in case the Higgs near 125 GeV would decay to 100 % into invisible modes. No signal is observed. Figure 11 (right) shows the obtained upper limits on the cross section times branching fraction as a function of the Higgs mass, in comparison with the full VBF cross section. At $m_H = 125$ GeV, the upper limit on the invisible branching fraction is 69 %.

6. Summary

The observation of SM-like properties of the established Higgs state near 125 GeV does not imply that the Higgs sector must have SM structure. The best way of clarification is the direct search for additional Higgs signatures.

A broad attack is launched to clarify whether the Higgs sector reaches beyond the SM. In the context of MSSM, at low masses $m_A$ the limits from LEP and LHC start to close. Large values of $m_A$ and $\tan \beta$ are still possible. The constraints from the $H^+$ searches have significantly improved. Recently, analyses interpret their findings also in the 2HDM approach. Concerning the NMSSM, only few channels have been targeted so far, and there is still a wide open range of possibilities. The search for invisible Higgs particles and decay modes yields first results in vector boson associated production and VBF signatures, but the limits on the invisible branching fraction are still large.

In summary, the Higgs searches beyond the SM have just scratched the surface. Many LHC analyses are being updated with the full 8 TeV statistics, and the Run-II of the LHC at $\approx 13$ TeV will further extend the reach towards higher masses.
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