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**Abstract**

Pseudomonads are among the most common bacteria in soils, limnic ecosystems, and human, animal, or plant host environments, including intensively studied species such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *P. putida*, or *P. fluorescens*. Various gene expression systems are established for some species, but there is still a need for a simple system that is suitable for a wide range of pseudomonads and that can be used for physiological applications, i.e., with a tuning capacity at lower expression levels.

Here, we report the establishment of the anthranilate-dependent *P. amin* promoter for tunable gene expression in pseudomonads. During studies on *P. fluorescens*, we constructed an anthranilate-inducible AntR/*P.ant* -based expression system, named pUCP20-ANT, and used GFP as reporter to analyze gene expression. This system was compared with the rhamnose-inducible RhaSR/P*rhadr* -based expression system in an otherwise identical vector background. While the rhamnose-inducible system did not respond to lower inducer concentrations and always reached high levels over time when induced, expression levels of the pUCP20-ANT system could be adjusted to a range of distinct lower or higher levels by variation of anthranilate concentrations in the medium. Importantly, the anthranilate-inducible expression system worked also in strains of *P. aeruginosa* and *P. putida* and therefore will be most likely useful for physiological and biotechnological purposes in a wide range of pseudomonads.

**Key points**

- We established an anthranilate-inducible gene expression system for pseudomonads.
- This system permits tuning of gene expression in a wide range of pseudomonads.
- It will be very useful for physiological and biotechnological applications.
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**Introduction**

Pseudomonads play important roles in many ecosystems, and they often occur in mutualistic or pathogenic life styles associated with plants, animals, or humans (Peix et al. 2018). Prominent model organisms are, among others, the plant growth-promoting *P. fluorescens*, the human opportunistic pathogen *P. aeruginosa*, and *P. putida*, which is used for bioremediation and biotechnology (Diggle and Whiteley 2020; Kim and Anderson 2018; Poblete-Castro et al. 2012). Pseudomonads are frequently isolated and often there is the wish to produce functional proteins in these strains to study complementation, interaction, localization, transport, or other physiological aspects related to these proteins. For that purpose, there is a need for a regulated, tightly controlled expression system that is simple and tunable in diverse pseudomonads. Albeit a number of expression systems have been reported for pseudomonads, no single system meets these criteria so far. Benzoate/toluate-inducible (Mermod et al. 1986) as well as a dicyclopropylketone-inducible (Smits et al. 2001) systems have been designed to achieve high expression levels (5–10% of the cell protein), but these levels are not useful for physiological studies. For the toluate-inducible system, induction has been demonstrated to switch from uninduced to fully induced at about 1 μM inducer in *P. putida*, and that the promoter has a leakage of about 5% in *P. putida* and *P. aeruginosa* (Mermod et al. 1986). A LacI/P* lac* -based expression system has been established that is
useful for overproduction of proteins, but this system is very leaky under non-induced conditions (de Lorenzo et al. 1993). A broad host range $P_{lacZ}$ system has been reported, but this promoter gave highest uninduced leaking with pseudomonads ($P. \text{fluorescens}$; Khan et al. 2008). Meisner and Goldberg (2016) compared $\text{LacI}^q/P_{lacZ}$, $\text{AraC}/P_{araB}$, and $\text{RhaSR}/P_{rhad}$ promoter systems in $P. \text{aeruginosa}$ and found that only the $\text{RhaSR}/P_{rhad}$ system showed tight control of expression, and Jeske and Altenbuchner (2010) had demonstrated earlier with the same expression system that it functions in $P. \text{putida}$. However, in no case of these $\text{Pseudomonas}$ expression systems, the induction was monitored on the cellular level to address the aspect whether or not induction is uniform or whether lower inducer concentrations result in increasing populations of uninduced cells versus fully induced cells. Such “all-or-nothing” systems have been described in $\text{E. coli}$ for the $\text{LacI}^q/P_{lacZ}$ and the $\text{AraC}/P_{araB}$ systems (Novick and Weiner 1957; Siegel and Hu 1997). The effect is caused by the up-regulation of the uptake transporters in response to the inducer, and the inducer-independent production of the respective transporter gene is required to avoid this phenomenon (Széliová et al. 2016).

We now constructed an expression vector, based on the $\text{AntR}$ repressor/antA promoter from $P. \text{fluorescens}$ and the widely used uCP20 (West et al. 1994), which uses the natural, non-toxic, and cheap anthranilate as inducer, and compared this system with the currently preferred rhamnose-inducible system. Anthranilate is a common intermediate in the bacterial degradation of many aromatic compounds, including the standard amino acid tryptophan (Arora2015). We demonstrate that anthranilate permits a tunable expression in all cells within the culture, reaching from low to very high expression levels. The anthranilate-based system has several advantages and functions not only in $P. \text{fluorescens}$ but also in other pseudomonads, such as $P. \text{putida}$ and $P. \text{aeruginosa}$.

Materials and methods

Strains and growth conditions

$P. \text{fluorescens}$ A506, $P. \text{aeruginosa}$ PAO1, and $P. \text{putida}$ DSM291$^T$, transformed with indicated expression vectors, were used for analyses. $\text{Escherichia coli}$ DH5α λ pir$^+$ was used for cloning. $\text{E. coli}$ was grown on LB medium (1% tryptone, 1% NaCl, 0.5 g/1 yeast extract). Pseudomonads were grown either on M9 mineral salt medium (Miller 1972), supplemented with 0.4% glucose as carbon source and 100 μM FeCl₃ to avoid iron limitation (this medium is referred to as M9 medium throughout this study), or on complex media. King’s B medium was used for $P. \text{putida}$ (2% peptone, 0.15% $K_2HPO_4$, 0.15% $MgSO_4 \times 7H_2O$, 1% glycerol), and LB medium was used for $P. \text{fluorescens}$ or $P. \text{aeruginosa}$. In addition to their regular constituents, all media for pseudomonads were supplemented with 50 mM $KH_2PO_4/K_2HPO_4$ (pH 7.0). The $P. \text{fluorescens}$ and $P. \text{putida}$ strains were cultivated at 30 °C, and $P. \text{aeruginosa}$ and $E. \text{coli}$ strains at 37 °C. For selection, appropriate antibiotics were used in the following final concentrations: 100 μg/ml ampicillin, 50 μg/ml kanamycin, 200 μg/ml carbenicillin.

For promoter studies, 20 ml cultures (100-ml Erlenmeyer flasks with four bottom baffles) were grown for about 16 h in complex media or up to 30 h (M9 medium). Of these precultures, 2 ml was taken and washed three times with 2 ml of the respective medium by centrifugation (16,000×g for 2 min at room temperature). The optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of the washed cultures was measured and fresh 100-ml Erlenmeyer flasks with four bottom baffles and 20 ml medium were inoculated to a final OD600 of 0.1. Growth of the cultures was continued to an OD600 of 0.3, and gene expression was induced by the addition of anthranilic acid (1 M stock solution in DMSO) or rhamnose (1 M stock solution in distilled water) to different final concentrations (10 mM, 1 mM, 0.1 mM, 0.01 mM, 0.001 mM, and 0 mM). For repression of the rhamnose system, 1% glucose was added instead of rhamnose. Growth was continued for 1, 2, or 3 more hours.

Growth curves were based on measurements of the OD600 and fluorescence (excitation at 488 nm, emission at 509 nm) in 15-min intervals with cultures that were grown at 30 °C in shaking 96-well plates (culture volume: 100 μl), using the Synergy Mx Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, USA).

Construction of plasmids

A survey of the used and constructed plasmids is given in Table 1. The gene 4486 of $P. \text{fluorescens}$ A506 (antR, see “Results”) was PCR-amplified with the promoter region of antA from the genome of $P. \text{fluorescens}$ A506, using the primer pair Pant-fus-F1 (5′-CAC TTA GTA GAC CCA GCC TGA CCT CTC ACG ACC CCA TAC-3′) and PantA-SpeI-SbfI-R (5′-CGC TCC TGC AGG CAG GTC ACA CTA GTT TGA TCA TGG CTA AAC GGT GAG CC-3′), and fused by fusion PCR with a fragment of uCP20 (West et al. 1994) that was generated with the primers pUCP20-SapI-f (5′-TCA TTA CTA GGC ACC CCA GGC TGA GAT CCT CCA GGC ACC CCA TAC-3′) and PantA-SpeI-SbfI-R (5′-CGC TCC TGC AGG CAG GTC ACA CTA GTT TGA TCA TGG CTA AAC GGT GAG CC-3′), and fused by fusion PCR with a fragment of uCP20 (West et al. 1994) that was generated with the primers pUCP20-SapI-f (5′-AGG AAG AGG AGC GCC CAA TAC-3′) and PantA-SpeI-SbfI-R (5′-GTA TGA TGG GCC TGG AGG ATC TCA GCC TGG GTT GCC TAA TGA GTG-3′) to generate a fragment that was then cloned into pUCP20 using the SapI and HindIII restriction sites. A neo/kan$^R$ transcriptional terminator of pK18 (Pridmore 1987) was amplified using primers Ter-SbfI-F (5′-GCT TCC TGC AGG GTT TTC GTT CCA CTG AGC GTC AGA C-3′) and Ter-HindIII-R (5′-GCT CAA GCT TCA GAT TAC GCG GTT CAA AAA AAG-3′), and this PCR-fragment was
cloned into the SbfI/HindIII sites, resulting in pUCP20-ANT1.

For use in _P. fluorescens_, the resistance cassette was changed from ampicillin to kanamycin. The kanR resistance cassette from pK18mobSacB (Schäfer et al. 1994) was amplified using primers KanR-AccI-F (5′-AGC TGG AGG AGG TTT ATC AGG G-3′) and KanR-fus-R (5′-GCA GG-3′), and fused to a PCR-fragment of part of vector backbone (5′-TAC AGG ATG AGG ATC GTT TCG C-3′) as generated with primers KanR-SspI-SD-F and KanR-BsaI-R (5′-GCA GAC TAG TAA AGG AGG AAG GAT CCA TGA G-3′) and GFP-SbiF-R (5′-GCC GAC GCC TGC AGG TTA TTT ATC AGG G-3′), and the ampR resistance cassette of pUCP20-ANT1 was substituted by this kanR resistance cassette using the SspI/PciI restriction sites, resulting in pUCP20-ANT1 with _gfp_ use as a reporter for the rhamnose-inducible system, we cloned the above described SpeI/SbfI-digested _gfp_ cassette from pK18mobSacB (Schäfer et al. 1994) as pUCP20-ANT1, but ampR exchanged by kanR. This study

| Name                  | Characteristics                                                                 | Source          |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| pUCP20                | *Escherichia*-Pseudomonas shuttle vector, ampR, _lacZ alpha_, pMB1 (ColE1) ori  | West et al. 1994|
| pK18                 | pMB1 (ColE1) ori, RP4mob, oriV, oriT, kanR, _sacB_                              | Pridmore 1987   |
| pK18mobSacB          | Derivative of pK18 with _mob_ region of RP4 and a modified _sacB_ of *Bacillus subtilis* | Schäfer et al. 1994 |
| pHTo1-gfp            | Expression vector for *B. subtilis*, ampR, _camR_, ColE1 ori, _repA, lacI, gfp_ | Mulvenna et al. 2019 |
| pUCP20-ANT1          | pUCP20-based *Escherichia*-Pseudomonas shuttle vector for anthranilate-regulated gene expression, ampR, _lacZ alpha_, ColE1 ori (E. coli), _antR, antA_ promoter | This study |
| pUCP20-ANT1-gfp      | pUCP20-ANT1 with _gfp_ as reporter gene for the anthranilate-regulated _antA_ promoter | This study |
| pUCP20-ANT1-MCS      | pUCP20-ANT1 with multiple cloning site of pUCP20                                 | This study |
| pUCP20-ANT2          | As pUCP20-ANT1, but ampR exchanged by kanR                                      | This study |
| pUCP20-ANT2-gfp      | pUCP20-ANT2 with _gfp_ as reporter gene for the anthranilate-regulated _antA_ promoter | This study |
| pUCP20-ANT2-MCS      | pUCP20-ANT2 with MCS from pUCP20                                                | This study |
| pUCP20-RHA1          | pUCP20-based *Escherichia*-Pseudomonas shuttle vector for rhamnose-regulated gene expression, ampR, _lacZ alpha_, ColE1 ori (E. coli), _rhaSR, rhaB_ promoter | This study |
| pUCP20-RHA2          | As pUCP20-RHA1, but ampR exchanged by kanR                                       | This study |
| pUCP20-RHA2-gfp      | pUCP20-RHA2 with _gfp_ as reporter gene for the rhamnose-regulated _rhaB_ promoter | This study |

The region including the _rhaSR_ operon and the _P_{rhaB} promoter of _E. coli_ DH5α λ _pir^+ using the primers Prha-fus-F (5′-CAC TCA TTA GGC ACC CCA GGC AAA GAG TGG AAC AAP GCA GG-3′) and Prha-SpeI-SbiF-R (5′-GGC GCC TGC AGG TTA TTT GTA TAG TTC ATC CAT GCC GCC-3′); the PCR-product was digested with _SacI_ and cloned into the corresponding sites of pUCP20 and the terminator region was amplified and integrated as described for the _P_{antA} constructs, resulting in pUCP20-RHA1.

To exchange the resistance cassette by kanR, the abovementioned _SacI_-digested kanR cassette fragment as generated with primers KanR-SspI-SD-F and KanR-SbiF-R was fused with a PCR-fragment of part of vector backbone that was generated with primers pUCP20-SapI-F (above) and Prha-Fus-R (5′-CTT GCA TTG TTC TAC TGT TCT TGT CCT GGG GTG CCT AAT GAG TG-3′) by DNA polymerase. The resulting PCR-product was digested with _SacI_ and cloned into the corresponding sites of pUCP20 and the _lam_ region was amplified and integrated as described for the _P_{antA} constructs, resulting in pUCP20-RHA2. To use _gfp_ as a reporter for the rhamnose-inducible system, we cloned the above described _SacI_-digested _gfp_ fragment into the corresponding site of pUCP20-RHA2, resulting in pUCP20-RHA2-gfp.
Fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry

For microscopy, 2 μl cell culture was put on an agarose slide. Epifluorescence microscopy was carried out using a Zeiss Axio Imager.M2 (Zeiss, Jena) using 498 nm light for excitation and 516 nm for emission and filter set 13 for GFP fluorescence detection. Photos were taken at × 1000 magnification (Plan-Neofluar × 100 N.A. 1.3 objective) using an AxioCam MRm camera (Zeiss, Jena) and an illumination time of 30 s to obtain comparable results. For flow cytometry, cells were fixed using formaldehyde that was freshly prepared from paraformaldehyde. A total of 1 ml cell culture was harvested at 16,000×g for 2 min at room temperature and resuspended in 1 ml PBS containing 2% (w/v) formaldehyde, and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Next, the cells were sedimented at 16,000×g for 2 min at room temperature and resuspended again in 1 ml PBS supplemented with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5). The OD600 was measured and adjusted to 0.01; samples were placed on ice and used for analyses by flow cytometry according to the manufacturer protocol (Guava EasyCyte Flow Cytometer, Merck, Darmstadt).

Results

The selection and construction of the antR/PantA regulated expression system

In physiological studies in P. fluorescens strain A506, we noted the need for a regulated expression system in pseudomonads that permitted the adjustment of distinct lower or higher protein abundancies (Ringel et al. 2016, 2017, 2018). We screened the known genome of this strain for promoters that are likely to be regulated by an AraC family regulator and that are regulating the expression of genes involved in the degradation of small and cheap molecules that could serve as inducers for the regulator. We wanted to avoid the use of sugars as inducers that may interfere with sugar metabolism or require additional uptake systems for non-metabolized sugars. In the Pseudomonas genome database (pseudomonas.com), 45 of the genome-encoded proteins of P. fluorescens A506 are annotated as AraC family regulators (Suppl. Table S1). Among these, the putative regulator PfA506_4486 is encoded upstream of an operon that is predicted to be responsible for anthranilate degradation. PfA506_4486 has 61% identity to AntR of P. aeruginosa PAO1 (Suppl. Fig. S1), which has been demonstrated to be specifically induced by anthranilate, an intermediate of tryptophan degradation found in any bacterium (Choi et al. 2011). We thus decided to use the AntR regulated PantA promoter for a recombinant expression system in P. fluorescens A506, and to compare this with the rhamnose-induced expression system, which is currently preferred for P. aeruginosa and P. putida (Meisner and Goldberg 2016; Jeske and Altenbuchner 2010).

The anthranilate-regulated pUCP20-ANT system is advantageous over the rhamnose-regulated system for gene expression in Pseudomonas fluorescens

It has never been examined whether an anthranilate-dependent PantA promoter is suitable to induce a homogeneous gene expression in cell populations and whether it can be used to
adjust specific expression levels in *Pseudomonas* cultures. To study this, we cloned the $P_{\text{antA}}$ promoter in pUCP20, a shuttle vector that replicates in *Escherichia coli* as well as in pseudomonads (West et al. 1994). We generated vectors with two different resistance cassettes and with a *gfp* reporter gene or alternatively with a multiple cloning site to facilitate the introduction of desired coding regions. We named this anthranilate-regulated expression system series pUCP20-ANT vectors (Table 1). For comparison, we constructed a rhamnose-inducible $P_{\text{rhaB}}$ promoter–based GFP production system in the same vector (pUCP20-RHA vector). This rhamnose-inducible system originates from *Escherichia coli*. It requires—beside the promoter region—the two regulatory proteins RhaS and RhaR, which are encoded in divergent direction immediately upstream of the $P_{\text{rhaBAD}}$ promoter (Egan and Schleif 1993). The rhamnose-inducible system has been found to function better than the still often used *E. coli* $P_{\text{araB}}$-based system in *P. aeruginosa* (Meisner and Goldberg 2016), and has since then been successfully used in *P. aeruginosa* (Sonnabend et al. 2020).

At first, we analyzed *gfp* expression in *P. fluorescens* strain A506 by fluorescence microscopy 1 h, 2 h, and 3 h after induction with inducer concentrations ranging from 10 to 0.001 mM (Fig. 2). Cells were grown either in LB or in M9 minimal medium. With 10 mM anthranilate as inducer, fluorescence increased in both media over time to the highest levels at the 3-h time point, and 1 mM and 0.1 mM inducer gave lower fluorescence that was similar at 2-h and 3-h time points, indicating that intermediate expression levels could be achieved that were stable for hours (Fig. 2a). In contrast, rhamnose induced *gfp* gene expression strongly at 10 mM, resulting in an increase of fluorescence over time (Fig. 2b). There was also some expression after 3 h with 1 mM rhamnose detectable. However, there was no clear fluorescence detectable at lower inducer concentrations.

Although we had strictly used the identical parameters for the recording of the fluorescence micrographs, such data could only give a first hint. We thus carried out flow cytometry to compare the distribution of cellular fluorescence in the population (Fig. 3). The detection of fluorescence was by far more sensitive by flow cytometry.

When grown in LB medium, the $P_{\text{antA}}$ promoter system reached very high fluorescence levels in the whole population with 1 mM anthranilate, and with 0.1 mM and 0.01 mM anthranilate, we observed stable intermediate expression levels (Fig. 3a). With 0.001 mM inducer, we found the same fluorescence as in a control without inducer, indicating that the useful range of anthranilate inducer concentration is between 1 and 0.01 mM in LB medium. The fluorescence in LB medium showed a very low but clearly detectable leakiness, being without inducer about twofold higher than in the empty vector control after 3-h induction. When grown in M9 minimal medium, this little leakiness was not detectable anymore, and the useful range of inducer concentrations was again between 1 and 0.01 mM anthranilate, with 1 mM for full induction and 0.01 mM for lowest induction. At 0.01 mM anthranilate, there was no induction, as in the case of no inducer or the empty vector control. Together, these data showed that the anthranilate-inducible system permits a fine tuning of expression levels in *P. fluorescens*, and M9 minimal medium can be used to achieve non-detectable leakiness with the GFP reporter under aerobic growth on glucose.

With the rhamnose system, the induction behavior was very different. When grown in LB medium, the induction of the $P_{\text{rhaB}}$ promoter system was strong with 10 mM rhamnose as well as with 1 mM rhamnose after 3 h of induction, whereas there was no induction with 0.1 mM rhamnose or lower concentrations (Fig. 3b). Moreover, the induction by 10 mM or 1 mM rhamnose was continuously increasing over time to reach full or nearly full induction, the highest level being reached only faster with 10 mM than with 1 mM, but there was no intermediate fluorescence level stably established. The same switch occurred with this $P_{\text{rhaB}}$ promoter system in M9 minimal medium, indicating that the absence of complex constituents did not have any influence. Together, these data showed that, in *P. fluorescens*, only at higher rhamnose levels can this inducer switch the promoter on, and this switch occurs in the whole cell population.

Some larger peak width at lower inducer concentrations (also in case of leakage) or prior to steady-state time points (with anthranilate as well as rhamnose systems) is likely due to stochastically initiated expressions at low intracellular inducer concentrations when cells can switch between “on” and “off” states without forming distinct populations. Such low intracellular inducer concentrations exist either for hours (with low externally added anthranilate or with intrinsically formed anthranilate) or at the onset of the induction (with high inducer concentrations). Due to the logarithmic scale in these plots, these broader peaks reflect only slight absolute variations.

The observation of some basal promoter activity in LB medium without added inducer prompted us to examine the potential development of inherent promoter activity with the anthranilate-inducible system during growth in LB medium or M9 minimal medium (Fig. 4). Autonomous expression from the $P_{\text{antA}}$ promoter system was extremely low during exponential growth and strongly induced at the beginning of stationary growth in LB medium (Fig. 4a). This induction during stationary growth was biphasic. Notably, there was no inherent induction of the $P_{\text{antA}}$ promoter when cells were grown in M9 medium (Fig. 4b), which agrees with the above data (Fig. 3).
The antR/P_antA regulated system can also be used in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and in *Pseudomonas putida*

As the *P_antA* promoter–dependent expression system turned out to be useful for *P. fluorescens*, we wanted to know whether this system behaved similarly in other pseudomonads. We chose the commonly used reference strain *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 and *P. putida*–type strain DSM291T for these comparative analyses. Like in the case of *P. fluorescens*, we tested the expression system with the strains grown in either a complex medium or a minimal medium (Fig. 5). *P. aeruginosa* was grown in LB and M9 medium, whereas *P. putida* was grown in King’s B and M9 medium; anthranilate was used at concentrations ranging from 0.001 to 10 mM for induction, and this was compared with controls without inducer or the empty vector control (lacking the *gfp* gene).

When grown in LB medium, *P. aeruginosa* showed a strong autoinduction, independent from the presence of externally added inducer, indicating that *P. aeruginosa*...
generates anthranilate in this complex medium (Fig. 5a).

In contrast, there was no such autoinduction observed with M9 minimal medium, and anthranilate could induce expression when added at a concentration of 0.01 mM or higher. Fluorescence with 0.01 mM anthranilate had its maximum at the 1-h time point and then slowly decreased over time, suggesting a detectable influence of turnover of anthranilate on induction. Induction with 0.1 mM anthranilate resulted in higher fluorescence that was more stable over time, although there was again some reduction of fluorescence at the 3-h time point. With 1 mM anthranilate, induction was highest and in this case fluorescence even somewhat increased over time. Anthranilate of 10 mM had clear toxic effects in M9 medium, as only low fluorescence was detectable at the 2-h time point which had disappeared already at the 3-h time point. Most likely, high concentrations of anthranilate can act as uncoupler negatively on the energetization of the cells. Therefore, anthranilic acid–dependent induction is useful in P. aeruginosa M9 minimal medium at inducer concentrations between 0.01 and 1 mM, which permits some fine tuning of the expression level.

Fig. 3 Flow cytometry analyses of anthranilate- and rhamnose-inducible expression systems in P. fluorescens. a Flow cytometry analysis of GFP reporter fluorescence of strain P. fluorescens A506/pUCP20-ANT2-gfp growing in LB medium (upper diagrams) or M9 medium (lower diagrams) in response to indicated anthranilate concentrations after 1, 2, and 3 h of induction. As empty vector control, plasmid pUCP20-ANT2 was used. B Flow cytometry analysis of GFP reporter fluorescence of strain P. fluorescens A506/pUCP20-RHA2-gfp growing in KB medium (upper diagrams) or M9 medium (lower diagrams) in response to indicated rhamnose concentrations after 1, 2, and 3 h of induction. As empty vector control, plasmid pUCP20-RHA2 was used. The tuned expression levels are indicated by lines in the 3-h diagram.
For *P. putida*, King’s B medium gave stable expression levels, whereas expression in M9 minimal medium shifted over time (Fig. 5b). In King’s B medium, there was no induction with 0.001 mM, low induction with 0.01 mM, and higher induction with 0.1 mM anthranilate. There was no further boost of induction at 1 mM anthranilate, and 10 mM inducer caused toxic effects. In M9 medium, inductions were observed already with 0.001 mM anthranilate, but there was hardly any difference between 0.001 and 0.1 mM inducer, shifting always to strong induction after 3 h. Toxic effects were already obvious at 1 mM anthranilate, and cells did not even grow anymore at 10 mM anthranilate.

**Discussion**

Our data indicate that the anthranilate-inducible P$_{antA}$ promoter of *P. fluorescens* A506 can be useful for regulated gene expression in the three tested *Pseudomonas* species *P. fluorescens*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *P. putida*. Distinct media had distinct effects on autoinduction background and on anthranilate toxicity in the tested strains. LB medium resulted in autoinduction in both tested cases (*P. fluorescens* and *P. aeruginosa*), and LB therefore seems not to be recommendable for the system. The reason for the autoinduction is likely the degradation of the tryptophan-containing peptides in LB as energy source in that medium, which results in intrinsic anthranilate production during exponential and stationary growth when tryptophan is degraded. M9 medium turned out to be suitable for these two species, as there was hardly any detectable autoinduction in this peptide-free medium, and stable induction levels could be achieved at several inducer concentrations. However, *P. putida* was more sensitive towards anthranilate than the other species, and in M9 medium, this sensitivity was most momentous, with cells not growing at all in the presence of 10 mM anthranilate. Importantly, for *P. putida*, often used King’s B medium was suitable for anthranilate-induced expression, as this medium did not result in autoinduction, just as did M9 minimal medium, and a range of distinct expression levels could be achieved. In the case of *P. aeruginosa*, it is important to consider a potential influence of anthranilate addition on the formation of PQS in physiological analyses that are carried out under iron limitation (Farrow and Pesci 2007). Under these specific conditions, which are typical for host environments, anthranilate can be converted by pqsABCDE and pqsH gene products to this acylated quinolone that is involved in multiple aspects of virulence, including iron acquisition, cytotoxicity, and quorum sensing (Lin et al. 2018). Only *P. aeruginosa* possesses pqs genes and produces PQS (pseudomonas.com, Winsor et al. 2016). A related aspect is that the small RNAs PrrF1 and PrrF2, which regulated many genes in response to iron
deficiency, inhibit translation of the antR mRNA in P. aeruginosa. This serves to suppress anthranilate degradation, thereby providing the biosynthetic precursor for PQS (Djapgne et al. 2018). PrrF1/2 effects are modulated by Hfq and Crc in conjunction with the target-titrating sRNA CrcZ, which coordinates iron acquisition with carbon availability (Sonnleitner et al. 2017; Sánchez-Hevia et al. 2018). While the PrrF1/F2/Hfq/Crc/CrcZ regulatory system exists in many pseudomonads, the specific inhibition of antR mRNA translation is restricted to P. aeruginosa as the others do not synthesize PQS. Accordingly, the PrrF1/2 binding site is not conserved in the 5′-UTR of P. fluorescens antR mRNA, and the pUCP20-ANT expression system will therefore be most likely unaffected by these sRNAs.

In conclusion, if the system is to be used with new isolates, we can only recommend to test different media to clarify the...
aspects of anthranilate sensitivity and autoinduction. As we could establish useful conditions for tightly regulated anthranilate-induced expression in any of the three species, it is likely that the system will be useful also in other strains and species. It has to be kept in mind that a leakage below our detection level may well be sufficient and desired for complementation of enzyme functions, as often few enzyme molecules per cell can provide sufficient turnover for metabolic pathways. As in any expression system, altered experimental setups need to be considered to influence the system, such as limited oxygen supply, altered nutrients, or growth in biofilms.

Anthranilate is a ubiquitous metabolic intermediate of tryptophan degradation, and it apparently can readily enter cells and therefore most likely can be used in any species studied. As in the case of the structurally related benzoic acid, the growth inhibitory effect of high anthranilate concentrations could be due to an acidification of the cytoplasm (Salmond et al. 1984), but this will not be caused by the carboxyl group (pKa ~ 2.2) but rather by the neighboring amino group (pKa ~ 4.9) that can enter the cytoplasm in a protonated form from the more acidic periplasmic side of the membrane and release protons inside. To our knowledge, there is no specific anthranilate transporter known. As anthranilate is charged at any physiological pH, it may pass the membrane bilayer via low-specific small acid or amino acid transporters. It has been found that anthranilate derivatives efficiently inhibit sodium/dicarboxylate transporters, which suggests that non-modified anthranilate might be transported by such transporters (Pajor and Randolph 2007). However, anthranilate is secreted in large quantities by rhizobia under iron limitation and can be used for iron uptake (without being assimilated under iron-limiting conditions), indicating that there likely exist anthranilate-specific secretion and uptake pathways, at least in these species (Riouxs et al. 1986).

The anthranilate-based expression system can give almost constant lower expression levels at lower inducer concentrations (Figs. 3 and 5), which can be useful for physiological assays in liquid cultures. With the rhamnose-inducible system, we could not achieve such a constant expression. Instead, at any rhamnose concentration that could induce the system, the expression level increased over time to a similar maximum level at 3 h. Rhamnose therefore appears not to be suitable for tuning the expression level in P. fluorescens A506. In P. putida, the rhamnose-regulated system has been tested with high rhamnose concentrations (~12 mM) and thereby reached high expression levels (Jeske and Altenbuchner 2010). Also for P. aeruginosa, the heterologous E. coli rhamnose system has been reported to function well (Meisner and Goldberg 2016). Neither in P. putida nor in P. aeruginosa where it has been addressed whether the cultures are homogeneously induced, especially at intermediate expression levels. Nevertheless, when just high expression levels are required, the rhamnose-inducible system and the anthranilate-inducible system are both good options, and it can be important to have anthranilate an alternative to sugar.

We found that fluorescence intensity as achieved with anthranilate- or rhamnose-inducible systems was always distributed in a single Gaussian distribution, not showing two populations of cells such as found in all-or-nothing expression systems that have the transporter for the inducer under control of the inducer itself, such as that found in the case of the arabinose system in E. coli (Siegele and Hu 1997; Khlebnikov et al. 2000). For the rhamnose system, this was expected as P. fluorescens has no annotated rhamnose-specific uptake system, nor does it encode homologs of the rhamnose operon genes of E. coli. The requirement of high rhamnose concentrations for the induction of the heterologous rhamnose system in P. fluorescens A506 may thus be explained by the absence of a rhamnose-specific uptake system and therefore the employment of other transporters with only low affinity for rhamnose. Certainly such transporters are not under control of rhamnose as inducer. In the case of the anthranilate system, the data suggest that the natural metabolite anthranilate can be taken up by most if not all strains also at lower concentrations, which is a clear advantage of anthranilate over rhamnose. In conclusion, the herein described anthranilate-based expression system has important advantages over other systems: It permits low as well as high gene expression levels; it is applicable for a wide range of pseudomonads; growth conditions can be found under which this system shows no detectable leakage; and the inducer anthranilate is a cheap, non-toxic, and stable compound. We hope that future physiological and biotechnological applications in many pseudomonads will benefit from this system.
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