Helicobacter pylori infection among patients with type II diabetes mellitus

Abstract

Introduction: *H. pylori* is the most common human bacterial pathogen that colonizes the gastric epithelium especially in those habitual Qat chewers; it influence can absorption of glucose which is also abnormal in DM patients, its common infection in diabetic patients who have inadequate metabolic control, this study was conducted to reveal the prevalence of *H. pylori* among T2DM and non-DM patients and potential risk factors.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in some hospitals and clinics in Ibb city, Yemen, and there were 200 patients who included in this study. Data were collected through structural intervals questionnaire and sampling information.

Results: The overall prevalence of *H. pylori* among diabetics and non-diabetes was 39.0%. Its prevalence in diabetics was 49%, while in non-diabetes was 29%. There was strong significant association between *H. pylori* infections and diabetes *P*=0.004, *H. pylori* infections and Qat chewing *P*=0.003 and *H. pylori* infection and the increase in HbA1c *P*=0.001.

Conclusion: Positive *H. pylori* DM patients should update their sugar level values and control the disease. Further research is highly recommended on relationship between *H. pylori* infections and diabetes complications (using a cohort study) enhancing personal hygiene and prevention of chewing Qat is essential to decrease the risk of infection.
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Introduction

T2DM formerly known as non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus or adult-onset diabetes is a metabolic disorder that is characterized by high levels of blood glucose resulting from insulin resistance and relative insulin deficiency. It is an emerging pandemic and is rapidly becoming a serious threat to public health.ν *H. pylori* is a gram-negative, spiral shaped pathogenic bacterium that specifically colonizes on the gastric epithelium, it is one of the most common human bacterial pathogens and infection causes a wide array of gastric disorders, including simple gastritis, peptic ulcers and gastric malignancies. Gastrointestinal inflammation caused by *H. pylori* can influence the absorption of glucose and lipids, which are also abnormal in DM patients.ν It is a common infection in diabetic patients who have inadequate metabolic control, individuals are colonized by *H. pylori* infection in the gastric antrum, probably because of chemotactic factors such as tumor necrotic factor (TNF), interleukins-IL-1, IL-2, and IL-8, which are present in gastric epithelium.ν Beside DM the *H. pylori* is also a well be established cause of dyspepsia, the incidence of *H. pylori* is increased in DM may be due to delay gastric emptying and antraldly smotility, which are important causes of dyspepsia in diabetes, the role of *H. pylori* infection in diabetic dyspepsia is mainly related to blood glucose concentration, reduce of acid secretion and secrete higher of pro-inflammatory cytokines.ν Recent evidence has shown that qat chewing does indeed delay gastric emptying of a semi-solid meal, probably as a result of the sympathomimetic action of cathechim in qat. Inflammation and activated innate immunity have been implicated in pathogenesis of diabetes through insulin resistance, for example, elevated levels of inflammatory cytokines may lead to phosphorylation of serine residues on the insulin receptor substrate, which prevents its interaction with insulin receptors, inhibiting insulin action or altered glucose metabolism may produce chemical changes in the gastric mucosa that promote *H. pylori* colonization So, individuals with diabetes are more frequently exposed to pathogens than their healthy counterparts.ν This study was conducted to reveal the prevalence of *H. pylori* among T2DM and non-DM patients with the association between Qat chewing and potential risk factors.

Subjects and methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted in T2DM and non-diabetic patients attending during a period between Nov 2016 and ending in Dec 2017, This study recruited 200 diabetics and non-diabetic patients there were age ranged 35 and over years old, criteria were studied. Patients of type-1 diabetes, patients already on steroid or immunosuppressive or *H. pylori* eradication therapy, non-cooperative patients who refuse to give consent or participate in the study were excluded from the study. Written informed consent was taken and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee. Diabetics and non-diabetic patients were tested for *H. pylori* infection by stool antigen

Results

The study population comprised 200 patients, 100 apparently non-diabetic patients (29 male and 71 females) and 100 T2DM (34 males and 66 females). The mean of their ages were 45.3±11.1 and 50.9±12.8 respectively, 49(49%) diabetics were positive for H.pylori infection among patients with T2DM and non-DM patients and potential risk factors.
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*Helicobacter pylori* antigen compared to 29 (29%) non-diabetics, which was found to be significant ($X^2=8.4$, $p=0.004$, OR (95%CI) 2.4(1.3–4.2)) Table 1. In the present study, the prevalence of *H. pylori* was found to be higher among 35-45years old of diabetics and non-diabetics and don’t show significant relations among diabetics and non-diabetics with *H. pylori* $P=0.625$, $F$ test=0.7, implying that *H. pylori* is not associated with age. Similarly, the gender distribution was found to be non-statistically significant among diabetics and non-diabetics $P=0.643$, $x^2=0.24$. *H. pylori* is not associated with gender Table 2. It is in contrast to the positive *H. pylori* with smoking, the results show 22.5 % diabetics and 3.5% non-diabetics were smoked and 77.5 % diabetics and 96.5% non-diabetics were non-smoked, there were no associations between smoking and positive *H. pylori* $p=0.529$ and $F$ test=0.3 OR(95%CI)8.1(0.9-66.5). *H. pylori* positivity was more among diabetic patients with Qat chewing group which was statistically significant ($P<0.003$, $F$ test 8.6, OR (95%CI) 5.4(1.6-17.7)). Among diabetics, Qat chewing group had 89.7%, while it was 62.0% in patients without diabetes Table 3. Mean HbA1c among diabetics with *H. pylori* infection was significantly greater than *H. pylori* negative diabetics (10.02±1.5 % and 9.1±1.2 %, respectively, test=3.3 $p=0.0001$) Table 4 & 5.

| **Table 1** The association between positive *H. pylori* with diabetics and non-diabetics groups at hospitals based study, in Ibb city, Yemen 2017 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **H. pylori** | **Diabetic patients** | **Non-diabetic patients** | **Total** | **OR (95%CI)** | **P-value** |
| No (%) | No (%) | No (%) | $X^2$ |
| Positive | 49 (62.8) | 29 (37.2) | 78 (39.0) |
| Negative | 51 (41.8) | 71 (58.2) | 122 (61.0) | 8.4 | 2.4 (1.3–4.2) | 0.004 |
| Total | 100 (50) | 100 (50) | 200 (100) |

OR (95%CI): odd ratio, 95 confidence interval, $p<0$ significant

| **Table 2** The association between positive *H. pylori* and age, gender groups at hospitals based study, 2017 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Age** | **Diabetics patients** | **Non-diabetics patients** | **Total** | **%** | **%** | **%** | **F test** | **p-value** |
| 35-45 | 48.9 | 65.5 | 55.1 | 0.7 | 0.625 |
| 46-55 | 20.4 | 31 | 24.4 | |
| >55 | 30.6 | 3.4 | 20.5 | |
| Total | 62.8 | 37.2 | 100 |

Gender

| **Gender** | **%** | **%** | **%** | **X²** | **p-value** |
| Male | 34.6 | 20.6 | 29.5 | 0.24 | 0.643 |
| Female | 65.3 | 79.3 | 70.5 | |
| Total | 62.8 | 37.2 | 100 |

$p<0.05$ significant

| **Table 3** The association between smoking, Qat chewing and positive *H. pylori* at hospitals based study, 2017 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Smoking** | **Diabetic patients** | **Non-diabetic patients** | **Total** | **OR (95%CI)** | **p-value** |
| % | % | % | **F test** |
| Yes | 22.5 | 3.5 | 15.4 | 0.3 | 0.529 |
| No | 77.5 | 96.5 | 84.6 | 8.1 | |
| Total | 62.8 | 37.2 | 100 | (0.9-66.5) |

Qat-Chewing

| **Qat-Chewing** | **%** | **%** | **%** | **F test** | **OR (95%CI)** | **p-value** |
| % | % | % | **OR (95%CI)** | **p-value** |
| Yes | 89.7 | 62 | 79.5 | 8.6 | 5.4 | |
| No | 10.2 | 38 | 20.5 | (1.6 -17.7) | 0.003 | |
| Total | 62.8 | 37.2 | 100 |

OR (95%CI): odd ratio, 95 confidence interval, $p<0.05$ significant
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**Table 4** Association between *H. pylori* with HbA1c at hospitals based study, 2017

| Variable          | Mean±SD   | Diabetes with *H. pylori* | Diabetes with *H. pylori* | t test | p value |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|---------|
|                   |           | Positive=49               | Negative=51               |        |         |
| HbA1c test        | 10.0±1.5  | 9.1±1.2                   | 3.3                       | 0.001  |

OR (95%CI): odd ratio, 95% confidence interval, p<0.05 significant

**Table 5** Association between Qat chewing, HbA1c as risk factors for *H. pylori* among diabetics at hospitals based study, in Ibb city, Yemen 2017

| Variable          | OR (95% CI) | P value* |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| Qat chewing       | 4.2 (1.2-14.2) | 0.02     |
| HbA1c             | 10.5 (3.2-35.3) | 0.001    |

*Logistic regression

**Discussion**

This study aims to determine the prevalence of *H. pylori* infections in T2DM and non-diabetic patients, and to identify the association between *H. pylori* infections and diabetes mellitus. The overall prevalence of *H. pylori* among diabetics and non-diabetics was 39.0%. Its prevalence in diabetics was 49%, while in non-diabetics was 29%. From these results we can notice that diabetics have higher infections with *H. pylori* than non-diabetics and this is agreement with 88% vs. 76%, 10.5% vs. 29.5%, 64.5% vs. 43.6%, 60% vs. 26.6% 59% vs 31% and 75% vs 25%. Other studies revealed disagreement in the prevalence between *H. pylori* infection in diabetics and non-diabetics 21.3% vs. 20.2%, 42.9% vs. 43.1%, 73.5% vs. 76.5%. This study contradicts our results which may be attributed to the inconsistent measurements used for *H. pylori* positivity. IgG antibodies were used to define infection in most studies. IgG antibodies reflect prior infection, but are not sensitive indicators of current infection. The ethnic heterogeneity and may be small sample size made their results insignificant. In the present study, there is a statistically significant association between positive *H. pylori* and diabetes mellitus P=0.004, pathogenesis of *H. pylori* infections in diabetic patients has not been defined clearly. However, this study may contributed to the belief that *H. pylori* infections are more prevalent among people with diabetes, but it is not clear whether diabetics have more susceptibility to this infection or *H. pylori* infections increases the susceptibility to diabetes. There is no statistically significant association between diabetics and non-diabetics patients and positive *H. pylori* with age (P=0.625), this study is agreement with Saadallah who found that no statistically association, and disagreement with Oldenburg who found statistically significant in all age categories. The reason for this difference, our results are not statistically significant because the age group starts from 35 years while Oldenburg, the age group starts from child to elderly. The reason for this difference is not clear.

Regarding gender, this study reveals no statistically significant association between gender and infection with *H. pylori* for both P=0.643. These results are agreement with study conducted in Turkey, and disagreement with study conducted in Palestine, there was statistically significant P<0.05. The present study shows no significant association between education levels and infections of *H. pylori* for both P=0.625. These results are agreement with study conducted in Palestine. The present study found an insignificant relationship between *H. pylori* infections and smoking P=0.529. These findings are agreement with, who had reported no association between *H. pylori* infections and smoking in Nigeria. This reason may due to our inability to divide the smokers to light smokers, moderate smokers and heavy smokers to find if there is an association between *H. pylori* infections and diabetes mellitus with smoking.

In this study there is a statistically significant association between diabetics infected with *H. pylori* and Qat chewing P=0.003, this statistical significant is may be due to contamination of Qat. Studies on the effect of Qat and infection with *H. pylori* are scarce despite the increase in the knowledge of Qat pharmacology and chemistry. Thus, the need for a study was determined to be useful to illuminate the association between Qat chewing and infection with *H. pylori*. There is no previous study that discussed the relationship between *H. pylori* infections among both diabetics and non-diabetics with Qat chewing, but there is a study that revealed a statistically significant association between *H. pylori* infections and Qat chewing conducted in Sana’a city with P=0.004. In this study there is a statistically significant association between *H. pylori* infections and HbA1c results among diabetics P=0.001. These results are agreement with studies conducted in New York and Taiwan. It may be possible that a good glycemic control could hinder *H. pylori* colonization. Our Limitations in this study, that is, our design was a cross-sectional study instead of a case-control study due to the presence of unmatched group (difficult to get matched group), lack of patients.

**Conclusion**

Positive H. pylori DM patients should update their sugar level values and control the disease. Further research is highly recommended on relationship between *H. pylori* infections and diabetes complications, (using a cohort study). Qat chewing is highly prevalent in Yemeni people with T2DM. There is an association between qat chewing and the development of *H. pylori*. Qat chewers have a more than three times higher risk of developing *H. pylori* than those who do not chew qat.
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