Diagnostic and prognostic value of the optic nerve sheath diameter with respect to the intracranial pressure and neurological outcome of patients following hemicraniectomy
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Abstract

Background: In cases showing cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) redistribution as a compensatory mechanism in acute intracranial hypertension, the optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) can be used to estimate intracranial pressure (ICP). However, it remains unclear whether the ONSD can be applied in patients with skull defects after a craniectomy, because the primary injury or surgical craniectomy may alter the dynamics of the CSF circulation or structure of the optical nerve sheath. This study explored the value of the ONSD in patients after a hemicraniectomy.

Methods: This prospective observational study enrolled patients after a hemicraniectomy. All patients underwent invasive ICP monitoring and ocular ultrasound within 6 h postoperatively. We followed the patients for 6 months and evaluated them using the Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS), classifying the outcome as favorable (GOS 4–5) or unfavorable (GOS 1–3). We evaluated the ONSD in both according to the ICP and neurological outcome.

Results: Of the 33 enrolled patients, 20 (60.6%) had an unfavorable outcome at 6 months. Disagreement was seen in the ONSD measurements between the eyes [craniectomy side (ONSDips) and opposite side (ONSDcon)]. The intraclass correlation coefficient between ONSDips and ONSDcon was 0.745 (p < 0.001). ONSD had no significant correlation with ICP in Spearman correlation analysis (ONSDips r = 0.205, p = 0.252; ONSDcon r = 0.164, p = 0.362). Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis revealed that the GCS, Helsinki computed tomography (CT) score, pupil reaction, and ONSDcon measured after the craniectomy were significantly associated with a poor outcome. ONSDcon > 5.5 mm predicted a poor outcome, with an area under the ROC curve of 0.717 (95% confidence interval, 0.534–0.860, p = 0.02), 70% sensitivity, and 69.2% specificity.

Conclusions: After hemicraniectomy, the ONSD measured on ultrasound was unreliable for evaluating ICP, but showed potential prognostic value for a poor neurological outcome.
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Background
The optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) is often taken as a proxy of intracranial hypertension in brain injury patients [1–3]. The space surrounding the optic nerve is a continuation of the intracranial subarachnoidal space. With the compensatory redistribution of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) seen in cases of intracranial hypertension, the raised intracranial pressure (ICP) instantaneously distends the ONSD [4]. In traumatic brain injury (TBI) and post-cardiac arrest patients, the ONSD calculated based on ultrasound or computed tomography (CT) image is correlated with the invasive ICP [5, 6]. An increase in ONSD from the baseline CT was associated with an unfavorable neurological outcome [7–9]. Although measurement of ONSD by portable ultrasound is feasible and convenient, there are still obstacles to its widespread clinical application [5, 10]. Moreover, some studies found no correlation between ONSD and ICP [11, 12]. Primary brain injury or decompression craniectomy (DC) may alter CSF hydrodynamics or destroy the optic nerve sheath [13, 14]. Therefore, it remains unclear whether ONSD can be applied in patients with skull defects after DC. This study explored the value of the ONSD calculated based on ultrasound images in patients with skull defects following hemicraniectomy.

Methods
Setting and participants
This observational study was conducted in a 16-bed intensive care unit (ICU) affiliated with an academic hospital in eastern China. The research protocol was approved by the second Hospital affiliated Zhejiang University Institutional Review Board prior to the start of recruitment and data collection. Almost all of the enrolled neurocritical patients had no ability to express themselves at early stage. So after admitted to our unit, the doctor had a talk with the patients’ immediate family members (spouse, children, parents, etc) and then they signed an informed consent about patient-related medical treatment. We screened all patients who underwent invasive ICP monitoring after a hemicraniectomy. Exclusion criteria were age < 18 years, ONSD measurement unavailable within 6 h postoperatively, ocular trauma or pre-existing ocular disease, and unsuitable optic nerve sheath images.

Study protocol
To avoid operator differences, one experienced doctor (WC) performed the ocular ultrasound for every eligible patient and then numbered and stored the ONSD images. Blinded to the ONSD measurements, another doctor (LQ) was in charge of collecting the relevant data from the ocular ultrasound examinations. Before collecting the data, we ensured that the ICP monitors had been zeroed. We followed the patients for 6 months after the injury and evaluated them using the Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS). We classified the patient outcomes as unfavorable (GOS 1, dead; GOS 2, vegetative state; GOS 3, severe disability) and favorable (GOS 4, moderate disability; GOS 5, return to normal life). Two experienced doctors (LS and GY) rechecked the ONSD images and recorded the data. Instead of averaging the values for the two eyes, we classified the ONSD measurements from both eyes into ipsilateral ONSD (craniectomy side, ONSDips) and contralateral ONSD (the side opposite the craniectomy, ONSDcon) according to the side of the craniectomy. Then, we analyzed the ONSD measurements according to the ICP and outcome.

ONSD measurement
A portable ultrasound machine (M9, Mindray, Shenzhen, China) with a linear array probe (13–6 MHz) was used. As described by Blehar et al. [15], we determined ONSD in the visual axis by placing the probe over the closed eyelid. The probe was moved slightly until the optic nerve appeared as a linear hypoechoic object with defined margins behind the globe. After freezing the screen image, we determined ONSD manually 3 mm behind the globe with mechanical calipers (Fig. 1).

Management of neurocritical patients
All postoperative neurocritical patients received standard intensive care according to the guidelines for management of TBI or intracranial hemorrhage. Ventilation was used to maintain normal oxygenation (PaO2 80–120 mmHg, PaCO2 35–45 mmHg). Midazolam, fentanyl, or sufentanil was infused continuously for sedation and analgesia. Hyperosmolar therapy with 20% mannitol or 3% hypertonic saline was applied when the ICP exceeded 20 mmHg. The cerebral perfusion pressure was maintained at 60–70 mmHg with fluids and norepinephrine as needed. Hypothermia treatment, neuromuscular blocking drugs, and barbiturates were used if indicated clinically.

Statistics analysis
Variables with normal distributions are expressed as means ± SD, and those with non-normal distributions as medians with interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables are expressed as n (%). We used Student’s t-test or the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test to compare baseline characteristics between patients with favorable and unfavorable outcomes. We constructed
Bland–Altman plots and used intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) analysis to determine the agreement between ONSDips and ONSDcon. Spearman rank correlation was used to evaluate the association of both ONSD measurements with ICP. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves were used to analyze predictors of an unfavorable outcome at 6 months (GOS 1–3). The cutoff values of predictors of a poor outcome (GOS 1–3) were determined using ROC curves. Then, we calculated the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) for each cutoff. Statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc for Windows software (ver. 11.4; MedCalc Software). P-values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Fig. 1 Illustration of ONSD performance and B-mode image of ONSD measurement. A linear high frequency probe placed on the closed eye in transverse visual axis plane, and then adjusted the depth and angle of ultrasound to show the image of ONSD clearly, measure the width of ONSD at 3 mm behind the globe. ONSD optical nerve sheath diameter

Fig. 2 Flowchart of the included patients. ICP intracranial pressure; ONSD optical nerve sheath diameter; GOS Glasgow outcome score
Results

Baseline characteristics

During the study period, there were 49 potentially eligible patients, 16 of whom were excluded because ocular ultrasound was unavailable \((n = 8)\), they had ocular trauma \((n = 3)\), or the ONSD image was inadequate \((n = 5)\). Figure 2 shows a flowchart of the included patients. Of the 33 enrolled patients, 31 \((93.9\%)\) had TBI. The outcome at 6 months was favorable \((GOS 4–5)\) in 13 patients \((39.4\%)\). Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics according to the 6-month neurological outcome. Univariate analysis revealed that the baseline Helsinki CT score, GCS, pupil light reflex before surgery, and ONSDcon after craniectomy were associated with an unfavorable neurological outcome. We found no significant differences in sex, age, reason for surgery, ICP, or ICU stay duration between the patients with unfavorable and favorable outcomes.

In total, 33 paired ONSD measurements of both eyes were obtained from the patients within 6 h after hemi-craniectomy. Bland–Altman analysis yielded a mean difference in the bilateral ONSD measurements of 0.07 mm. The limits of agreement were −0.91 and 1.05 mm, and 9.1% \((3/33)\) of the plots were outside the limits of agreement (Fig. 3). The interclass correlation coefficient of the two ONSD measurements was 0.745 \((95\% CI: 0.574–0.867, p < 0.001)\).

ONSD vs. ICP

The ICP had a non-normal distribution, with a median \((IQR)\) of 22 \((9–27)\) mmHg. We applied nonparametric Spearman rank correlation to analyze the relationship between ONSD and ICP, and found no significant correlation \((ipsilateral r = 0.205, p = 0.252; contralateral r = 0.164, p = 0.362)\) (Fig. 4). ROC curves for ICP ≥ 20 mmHg were drawn for the ONSD measurements of both eyes. The ONSD of both eyes did not predict a raised ICP \((ipsilateral: AUC = 0.686, 95\% CI: 0.502–0.836, p = 0.05; contralateral: AUC = 0.677, 95\% CI: 0.492–0.828, p = 0.66)\).

ONSD vs. outcome

ROC curve analysis revealed that the cut-off value of ONSDcon for predicting an unfavorable outcome was

| Table 1 Baseline characteristics |
|--------------------------------|
| **Neurological outcome (6 months)** | **All** | **Unfavorable GOS 1–3** | **Favorable GOS4–5** | **p-value** |
| Number of patients | 33 | 20 \((60.6\%)\) | 13 \((39.4\%)\) | – |
| Age, y | 46.6 ± 14.9 | 47.9 ± 15.0 | 46.5 ± 14.1 | 0.552 |
| Sex (male), n (%) | 25 \((75.8\%)\) | 15 \((45.5\%)\) | 10 \((30.3\%)\) | 0.619 |
| Causes of surgery, n (%) | | | | 0.474 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 31 \((93.9\%)\) | 14 \((42.4\%)\) | 17 \((51.5\%)\) | – |
| Hemorrhage stroke | 2 \((6.1\%)\) | 2 \((6.1\%)\) | 0 | – |
| Initial Glasgow coma score (GCS) | | | | 0.008 |
| ≥ 8 | 22 \((66.7\%)\) | 17 \((51.5\%)\) | 5 \((15.2\%)\) | – |
| ≥ 9 | 11 \((33.3\%)\) | 3 \((9.1\%)\) | 8 \((24.2\%)\) | – |
| Initial Pupil light reflex (n %) | | | | 0.039 |
| Both react | 20 \((60.6\%)\) | 9 \((27.3\%)\) | 11 \((33.3\%)\) | – |
| 1 reacts | 3 \((9.1\%)\) | 2 \((6.1\%)\) | 1 \((3.0\%)\) | – |
| None reacts | 10 \((30.3\%)\) | 9 \((27.3\%)\) | 1 \((3.0\%)\) | – |
| Helsinki CT score | 6 ± 3 | 7 ± 2 | 4 ± 3 | 0.013 |
| ICU duration (day) | 8 \((6–12)\) | 9 \((8–13)\) | 7 \((3–12)\) | 0.079 |
| Hospital discharge (day) | 19 ± 9 | 17 ± 8 | 22 ± 10 | 0.134 |

Data during ocular ultrasound performed within 6 h after craniectomy

| **CT** | **ICU** | **ICP** | **MAP** | **CPP** |
|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| \(\text{ICP} \text{ (mmHg)}\) | 22 \((9–27)\) | 26 \((24–32)\) | 14 \((9–16)\) | 0.668 |
| \(\text{MAP} \text{ (mmHg)}\) | 89 \((81–97)\) | 89 \((82–97)\) | 86 \((80–96)\) | 0.337 |
| \(\text{CPP} \text{ (mmHg)}\) | 68 ± 18 | 69 ± 20 | 67 ± 16 | 0.812 |
| \(\text{Ipsilateral ONSD} \text{ (mm)}\) | 5.9 ± 0.7 | 6.0 ± 0.7 | 5.8 ± 0.5 | 0.164 |
| \(\text{Contralateral ONSD} \text{ (mm)}\) | 5.8 ± 0.7 | 6.1 ± 0.7 | 5.5 ± 0.7 | 0.018 |

CT computerized tomography, ICU intensive care unit, ICP intracranial pressure, MAP mean arterial pressure, CPP cerebral perfusion pressure, ONSD optic nerve sheath diameter, GOS Glasgow outcome score, GOS 1 dead, GOS 2 vegetative state, GOS 3 severe disability, GOS 4 moderate disability, GOS 5 back to normal life with or without mild disability.
5.5 mm (AUC = 0.717; 95% CI: 0.534–0.860, p = 0.02). The sensitivity and specificity of this cut-off value were 70 and 69.2%, respectively. The PPV of a poor outcome was 100% when ONSD > 6.6 mm. The cutoff value of the Helsinki CT score for predicting a poor outcome was 5 (AUC = 0.831, 95% CI: 0.660–0.938, p < 0.001), and the sensitivity and specificity were 85 and 69.2%, respectively (see Table 2). On ROC curve analysis, there was no significant difference between the ONSDcon and Helsinki CT score in terms of predicting a poor outcome (p = 0.301).

Discussion

Our data revealed that ONSD was not reliable for non-invasive evaluation of ICP in patients after a hemicraniectomy. First, the ONSD calculated based on postoperative ultrasound images had no correlation with ICP. Second, the diagnostic value of ONSD with respect to a raised ICP (≥ 20 mmHg) was variable in patients after craniectomy. A few studies have reported a weak correlation between ONSD and ICP [11, 12]. Several factors might explain our results; first, the effect of DC complications, such as subdural hygroma and post-traumatic hydrocephalus, on CSF hydrodynamics has been neglected [13]. Surgical craniectomy could reduce the secretion of CSF, affect its outflow, or cause a redistribution of CSF; these altered CSF hydrodynamics might prevent the ONSD from shrinking after the intracranial hypertension relieved by DC [14]. Damage to the optic nerve sheath might also delay or prevent the reversal of ONSD distension [11]. In addition, the standard range of ONSD is inconsistent among studies where a study including a large sample of healthy Chinese adults gave a reference range of ONSD of 4.7–5.4 mm [16].

In TBI and post-cardiac arrest patients, drugs and hypothermia therapy often influence the early prognosis. A few studies have explored the prognostic value of ONSD, calculated based on the initial CT, with respect to the outcome in TBI and post-cardiac arrest patients [7, 8, 17]. In our post-hemicraniectomy patients, increased ONSD was associated with unfavorable outcomes (GOS 1–3). The ONSD reflects the severity of the primary brain injury induced by the initial increase
in the ICP, where primary brain injury plays an important role in the neurological prognosis [18]. Although the ONSD was not correlated with the ICP, ONSDcon was significantly greater in patients with unfavorable outcomes (6.1 ± 0.7 vs. 5.5 ± 0.7 mm, \( p = 0.018 \)). The ROC curve analysis indicated that ONSDcon has potential value for predicting an unfavorable outcome. The cutoff value of ONSDcon was 5.5 mm (AUC = 0.717, \( p = 0.02 \)), with a PPV of 100% when ONSDcon > 6.6 mm. As Rajaee et al. surmised, the relationship between ICP and ONSD is contingent on a close temporal association [11]. In our study, primary brain injury and craniectomy itself altered the dynamics of the CSF circulation and structure of the optical nerve sheath, which may have delayed the reversal of ONSD distension after DC. Increased ONSD measured after a craniectomy with a normal or low ICP indicates either severe primary injury or altered CSF hydrodynamics. Such post-craniectomy patients tend to have a poor prognosis.

The bilateral difference in ONSD measurements was another unexpected finding. We classified the ONSD measurements into ONSDips and ONSDcon according to the side of the craniectomy, rather than the right and left side. The patients who underwent hemicraniectomy had non-diffuse primary brain injuries, where higher pressure and greater ONSD might occur on the side with more severe injury [12]. Therefore, we analyzed ONSDips and ONSDcon separately, rather than using the average, including only the larger value in the analyses, as done in previous studies.

Some errors may have influenced our results, for example in terms of the reliability of the ONSD measurements [5, 10]. The ONSD can be measured in the transverse or sagittal plane. Blehar et al. showed that different ONSD measurement methods yielded different values, where more shadowing artifacts arising from the optic disc were observed in the visual axis plane [15]. Therefore, in our study, a single experienced physician performed the ocular ultrasound and used the visual axis transverse plane for the ONSD measurements. We also rechecked the ultrasound images to ensure that unsuitable images were excluded, to avoid measurement errors.

**Conclusions**

ONSD was not a reliable index in the noninvasive evaluation of ICP in patients after hemicraniectomy. Due to the influence of the primary brain injury or the craniectomy itself, damage to the optic nerve sheath delayed the reversal of ONSD distension, while the altered CSF hydrodynamics prevented CSF redistribution as a compensatory mechanism in acute intracranial hypertension. Ultrasound measurement of ONSD has potential prognostic value for a poor outcome in patients after hemicraniectomy. An increased ONSD induced by initial intracranial hypertension before DC can reflect the severity of the primary brain injury, which plays an important role in the neurological prognosis.
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**Table 2** Parameters in prediction of six months unfavorable outcome (GOS 1–3)

|                          | Cutoff | AUC   | 95% CI          | Sens/Spec(%) | PPV/NPV(%) | \( p \)-value |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Unfavorable outcome (GOS 1–3) |        |       |                 |              |            |              |
| Ipsilateral ONSD (mm)    | 6.2    | 0.642 | 0.457–0.801     | 45/84.6      | 81.8/50    | 0.15         |
|                          | 6.5    |       | 15/100          | 100/43.3     |            |              |
| Contralateral ONSD (mm)  | 5.5    | 0.717 | 0.534–0.860     | 70/69.2      | 73.7/57.1  | 0.02         |
|                          | 6.6    |       | 20/100          | 100/44.8     |            |              |
| ICP (mmHg)               | 17     | 0.638 | 0.453–0.798     | 70/53.9      | 70/53.8    | 0.17         |
|                          | 33     |       | 10/100          | 100/41.9     |            |              |
| Helsinki CT score        | 5      | 0.831 | 0.660–0.938     | 85/69.2      | 81/75      | < 0.001      |
|                          | 7      | 45/100| 100/54.2        |              |            |              |

ONSD optic nerve sheath diameter, ICP intracranial pressure, GOS glasgow outcome score, AUC area under curve, 95% CI 95% confidence intervals, Sens sensitivity, Spec specificity, PPV positive prediction value, NPV negative prediction value.
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