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Abstract: This study the main objective presented the geochemical and isotopic characteristics of the barite mineralization at the Doğanşehir (Malatya), located in the Eastern Taurids. These mineralizations observed are included in crack zones of metamorphic rocks. Trace element data of barite in the study area show that Ba, Pb and Zn may have been derived from different sources: these elements come from recrystallized limestones and siliceous rocks. The trends of the REE and the plots of the values of barites on the Ce/Yb and Ce/Sm diagrams indicate that seawater dominated the hydrothermal fluid depositing the barite and supplied the barium and sulfate ions, while a fluid mixture of seawater. Pb isotope studies (206Pb/204Pb=17.04-19.2; 207Pb/204Pb=14.33-17.00 and 208Pb/204Pb=34.19-41.66) indicate that the lead in barite was derived from the mantle, orogen, and crust and was slightly contaminated by the basement rocks. Sulfur isotopic studies indicate that the sulfur in barite has been derived from seawater sulfate sources (δ34S/SVCDT values range from 17.5 ‰ to 30.7 ‰). Microthermometric studies on barite samples range from 85°C to 122°C, with an average of 110°C. Salinities vary from 0.2 to 2.6 NaCl wt%. Homogenization temperatures of the studied fluid inclusions suggest which were probably formed in epithermal conditions.
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Doğanşehir (Malatya)’da ki Barit Cevherleşmelerinin Kökeni: İz ve Nadir Toprak Element, İzotop ve Sıvı Kapanım Bulguları

Öz: Bu çalışmada, Doğu Toroslar’da yer alan Doğanşehir (Malatya) barit cevherleşmesinin jeokimyasal ve izotopik özellikleri ortaya konmuştur. Gözlenen bu cevherleşmeler metamorfik kayaçların çatlamaları içinde yer almaktadır. Çalışma alanında baritlerin eser element verileri, Ba, Pb ve Zn'nin farklı kaynaklardan türetilmiş olabileceğini göstermektedir: bu elementler rekristalize kireçtaşlarından ve silisli kayalardan geldiktenmektedir. REE ve Ce/Yb ve Ce/Sm diyagramlarındaki barit değerlerinin grafikleri, deniz suyunun baritini kökelen hidrotermal sıvıya hükmettiğini ve baryum ve sülfat iyonlarını sağlarken, akışkan bir deniz suyu karışıma sağladığı göstermektedir. Pb izotop çalışmaları (206Pb/204Pb = 17.04-19.2; 207Pb/204Pb = 14.33-17.00 ve 208Pb/204Pb = 34.19-41.66), barit içeren manto, orogen ve kabuktan türetildikini ve taban kayaları tarafından hazırlık yapıldığını göstermektedir. Küürt izotopik çalışmaları, barit içeren deniz suyu sıvıya değerlendirmektedir (δ34S/SVCDT değerleri 17.5 ‰ ila 30.7 ‰ arasındadır). Barit örneklerinde yapılan mikrotermometrik çalışmaları, 85 °C ile 122 °C arasında değişmektedir, ortalaması 110 °C olduğu belirlemiştir. Tuzlu katılar ortalama % 0,2 ila 2,6 NaCl arasında değişmektedir. İncelenen sıvı kapanımların homojenleşme süreçlerini, muhtemelen epitermal koşullarda oluşmuş olduğunu düşündürmektedir.
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1. Introduction

The Tauride in the Alpine-Himalayan orogenic belt, contain iron, manganese, bauxite, barite, barite±lead, barite±lead±zinc and lead±zinc deposits (Isparta, Konya, Antalya, Mersin, Adana, Malatya, Muş and Hakkari). While there are reviews of these deposits of the Tauride Belt [1-8], there are no detailed literature studies on the formation of the barite mineralization in the Doğanşehir.

Mineralizations in the Taurid Belt are generally associated with Paleozoic-Mesozoic carbonate rocks (Fig 1). According to some researchers, carbonated-hosted Taurids (e.g., Isparta, Konya, Kayseri and Antalya) majority show partial similarity to the Mississippi Valley-type [3, 5]. The Bolkardağ mineralizations in the Central Taurids Mountains are relatively close to the igneous source, while the Aladağ mineralizations are relatively remote to the igneous source, and they are defined as vessel type magmatic-hydrothermal deposits [9]. Some researcher suggested that the barite mineralizations in the Eastern Taurids (Adana-Feke) formed with hydrothermal processes [7, 10]. There is a carbonate-hosted Pb-Zn deposit in the Horzum (Adana-Turkey) province, which identified as a carbonate-replacement Pb-Zn deposit (CRD Pb-Zn) due to its higher formation temperature and higher temperature mineral paragenesis [11].

The study region is in the Doğanşehir (Malatya), located in the Eastern Taurids. There and around investigations have been performed many geological, mineralogical and petrographic [12-20]. Barite and Ba±Pb±Zn mineralizations in this region are hosted by limestone and volcanic and along with the contact of these volcanics with metamorphics, it is suggested that mineralizations have hydrothermal source-related with volcanic [12, 21, 22]. The current research presents the geochemical characteristics of the barite mineralization at the Doğanşehir (Malatya). It also presents a description of the physicochemical conditions of fluid inclusion in barite minerals. The main objective is to determine the geochemical features of barite in the Doğanşehir area.

![Figure 1. Distribution of the carbonate-hosted Ba±Pb±Zn districts of Turkey with on host-rock lithology [23, 24].](image)

2. Experimental Methods

2.1. Materials and Methods

Samples were collected from barite and host rocks in the study area. Representative samples of barite and host rocks were analysed for their rare earth and trace element compositions. Acidic
dissolution and filtering processes were carried out at Bureau Veritas Minerals (BVM) Laboratories (Canada). Rare earth and trace element analyses were made using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP–MS). High-resolution ICP-MS (HR-ICP-MS) analysis for Pb/Pb and $^{34}$S isotopes of barites were conducted along with high-precision multi-element analysis at Bureau Veritas Minerals (BVM) Laboratories (Canada). Samples were weighed into tin capsules and the sulphur isotopic composition measured using a MAT 253 Stable Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer coupled with a Costech ECS 4010 Elemental Analyzer. The data obtained were reported in the delta ($\delta$) notation as per mil ($\%$) relative to the Vienna Canyon Diablo Troilite (VCDT) international standard ($\delta^{34}S_{\text{VCDT}}$), with an analytical reproducibility of $\pm 0.2\%$. Microthermometry of the fluid inclusions of barites was carried out using a Linkam THMS 600 heating–cooling stage (Liquid Occupation and Ore Microscopy Laboratory of Pamukkale University Department-Turkey). The temperature of phase changes for eutectic melting ($T_e$), and ice melting ($T_{\text{mice}}$) and homogenization of the vapour phase ($T_h$) were recorded and it was determined that the measurement error is less than $\pm 0.5^\circ$C. The temperature of phase changes for eutectic melting ($T_e$), and ice melting ($T_{\text{mice}}$) and homogenization of the vapour phase ($T_h$) were recorded. The ice-melting temperatures were converted to salinities using the equation given by [25] while assuming that the fluid composition would be represented by the $H_2O$–NaCl system.

3. Geothermal Setting

The study area is located in the Doğanşehir district of Malatya province and the Eastern Tauride belt. From north to south, Turkey can be divided into four major tectonic units: the Pontides, the Anatolides, the Taurids and the Border Folds [26]. The Tauride Belt in southern Turkey is an Alpine Orogen comprising a pile of nappies or tectono-stratigraphic units formed during the closure of Neotethyan oceanic branches in the Eastern Mediterranean region [27, 28]. The study area is located in the Eastern Taurid Belt of Turkey (Fig. 2a). The units in the region consist of the Palaeozoic to Mesozoic, metamorphics, ophiolites, magmatics, sedimentary and volcanic rocks (Fig. 2b). Palaeozoic units associations in the eastern Taurids consist of Cambrian to Permian passive margin sedimentary rocks. These rocks have undergone low-grade metamorphism, and therefore the primary rock structures are still recognizable.

The units in the study area in order of age are Malatya Metamorphics (Permo-Carboniferous), Berite Group (Upper Cretaceous), Granitoids (Upper Cretaceous), Volcanics (Neogene?), Alluviums and slope debris (Plio-Quaternary). The Permo - Carboniferous Malatya Metamorphites [21, 22], which are mainly composed of recrystallized limestone, are located in the region. They are found intercalated with schists (mica-schist, quartz-sericite schist etc.) [12, 21]. Recrystallized limestones are mostly grey, white and black in colour and hard, medium-thick, locally bedded massive and calcite vein in the study area. The Malatya Metamorphics was determined as Permo-Triassic according to fossil findings [29]. Volcanic rocks (Neogene?) in the study area crop out in a narrow area [12]. The metamorphic and the volcanic rocks are the main units of the study region (Fig. 2c). In the Eastern Tauride region, there are important carbonate-hosted Ba±Pb±Zn deposits. There are small-scale known and unknown mining in the study region. The barite mineralizations observed in the study region, Doğanşehir (Malatya), are included in crack zones of metamorphic rocks. Normal faults and strike-slip faults are observed in the study area. These faults may affect their settlement of mineralizations.
Figure 2. a) Geographic distribution of the Taurides [30]; b) Local geological map of the study region [31].

Figure 3. Simplified geological map of the Doğanşehir-Eskiköy (Malatya) (1:250000 scale).
4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Trace and Rare Earth Element Geochemistry

The trace and rare earth element compositions of both mineralized barite and recrystallized limestones samples were analyzed in this study (Table 1 and Table 2). According to the results of the analysis, the average trace element values of the samples were normalized with the average values of the Upper Crust (UC) [32]. Most of the trace element values for the barite and recrystallized limestones samples are below the detection limits of the applied method.

**Table 1.** Trace element (ppm) values of recrystallized limestones samples.

| Sample | ES1 | ES2 | ES3 | ES4 | ES5 | ES7 | ES8 | ES10 | ES11 | ES12 | Average |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|---------|
| Ba     | 8   | 666 | 1536| 1879| 237 | 1766| 126 | 31   | 38   | 18   | 630.5   |
| Co     | -   | 0.9 | 1.3 | -   | 0.6 | -   | 1   | 0.4  | -    | -    | 0.42    |
| Hf     | 0.2 | -   | -   | 0.3 | -   | 0.5 | -   | -    | -    | -    | 0.1     |
| Nb     | 1.7 | -   | 0.3 | -   | 0.7 | -   | 1.8 | 0.2  | 1.6  | -    | 0.63    |
| Rb     | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | -   | 5.9 | -   | 1.1 | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.92    |
| Sr     | 270.5| 1246.9| 277.8| 261.8| 308.5| 709.6| 926.2| 97   | 111.7| 178.2| 483.82  |
| Ta     | 0.2 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    | -    | -    | 0.02    |
| Th     | 0.8 | 0.2 | -   | -   | 0.7 | -   | 0.6 | -    | -    | -    | 0.23    |
| U      | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 3   | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.3  | 1.6  | 0.4  | 1.42    |
| V      | 16  | -   | 9   | -   | 13  | -   | 9   | 9    | -    | 5.6  |
| Zr     | 12.9| 2.9 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 9   | 1.7 | 18.3| 1.4  | 1.1  | 0.8  | 5.05    |
| Cu     | 5.9 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2   | -   | -   | -    | -    | -    | 2.48    |
| Pb     | 3.1 | 3.2 | 38.4| 28.5| 105.4| -   | -   | -    | -    | -    | 2.92    |
| Zn     | 19  | 9   | 374 | 56  | 104 | -   | -   | -    | -    | -    | 35.72   |
| As     | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    | -    | -    | 112.4   |
| Cd     | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    | -    | -    | -       |

**Table 2.** Trace element (ppm) values of barite samples.

| Sample | ES24 | ES25 | ES26 | ES27 | ES28 | ES29 | ES30 | ES31 | ES32 | ES33 | ES34 | ES35 | ES36 | Average |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Ba     | >50000| >50000| >50000| >50000| >50000| 2471 | 328  | >50000| >50000| >50000| >50000| >50000| >50000| 0.8     |
| Co     | -    | -    | -    | 0.6  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.6  | -    | -    | 0.7     |
| Hf     | 3.2  | 2.9  | 2.7  | 2.8  | 2.9  | 2.5  | 0.1  | -    | 2.1  | 2.5  | 2.7  | 1.6  | 1.1   | 2.25    |
| Nb     | 0.1  | -    | -    | 0.4  | -    | -    | 0.3  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.3   | 0.28    |
| Rb     | -    | -    | -    | 0.3  | -    | 0.3  | -    | 0.3  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.3     |
| Sr     | 30566| 30939.5| 31964.7| 19439.2| 21381.6| 21363.6| 1097.1| 1932.2| 6826.3| 9338.3| 7533| 4727.7| 5565| 14821   |
| Ta     | 6.6  | 9.2  | 6.6  | 8    | 6.2  | 6.9  | -    | -    | 5.9  | 7    | 6.4  | 3.5  | 2.3   | 6.23    |
| Th     | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.2  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.2     |
| U      | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.1  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 2.6   | 1.2     |
| V      | 11   | 11   | -    | -    | -    | -    | 12   | 39   | -    | 14   | 30   | -    | 19.5  |
| Zr     | 1.4  | 1.3  | 0.5  | 2.1  | 0.6  | 1.2  | 3.8  | 1.6  | 0.7  | 0.6  | 0.6  | 1.3  | 0.5   | 1.24    |
| Cu     | 8.05 | 5.3  | 16.62| 8.04 | 4.09 | 20.73| 2.36 | 0.2  | 3.93 | 0.92 | 0.58 | 22.97| 8.34 | 5.69    |
| Pb     | 76.01| 3.85 | 19.54| 4.11 | 2.35 | 27.27| 1.1  | 0.89 | >10000| 1074.24| 172.17| >10000| 7.85 |
| Zn     | 4080.9| 33.3| 2139.8| 2548.1| 914.8| 3586| 9.5  | 2.4  | 143.5| 193.1| 138.9| 853.8| >10000| >10000 |
| As     | 0.6  | 1.4  | 1.5  | 0.8  | 0.4  | 4.2  | -    | -    | 1.4  | 2.2  | 0.6  | 13   | 7.7   | >10000  |
| Cd     | 17.47| 0.08 | 9.76 | 8.73 | 2.22 | 21.67| 0.07 | 0.04 | 1.82 | 1.9  | 0.02 | 2.93 | 106.25| 3.07    |
Trace elements of both barite and recrystallized limestones samples in the study region were normalized to the upper crust (Fig. 4). In the study area, both of the recrystallized limestones and barites are enriched in Ba, Sr, Pb and Zn, relative to UC. In this study, Ba, Pb and Zn come from may have been derived from different sources: these elements probably come from recrystallized limestones and volcanic rocks in the study region. The relatively high Sr concentration in the barite sample probably indicates an origin of the Doğanşehir barite mineralization from a low-temperature hydrothermal solution [35, 36].

Figure 4. Normalized to the upper crust patterns of the recrystallized limestones samples in the study area. Upper crust data for normalization are from [32].

According to the results of the analysis, the rare earth element (REE) values of the samples were normalized with values of the chondrite (Table 3 and Table 4). Total REE concentrations have (1.3-119.4 ppm, n=10) in the recrystallized limestones and (5.99-88.53 ppm, n=13) in the barite. ΣLREE/ΣHREE (ratios of the recrystallized limestones and barite are 3.94-13.90 and 0.42-3.79, respectively. Chondrite data for normalisation are from McDonough and Sun (1995).

Table 3. Rare earth element (ppm) values of recrystallized limestones samples

| Sample | ES1 | ES2 | ES3 | ES4 | ES5 | ES7 | ES8 | ES10 | ES11 | ES12 | Average |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|----------|
| La     | 10.1| 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 0.6  | 0.4  | 0.9   | 5.18     |
| Ce     | 4.9 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 12.6| 0.7 | 13.3| 0.5  | 0.7  | 0.6   | 8.96     |
| Pr     | 1.8 | 0.37| 0.25| 0.12| 1.55| 0.05| 1.66| 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.08  | 1.16     |
| Nd     | 7.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 6.3 | -   | 7   | -    | -    | -     | 7.13     |
| Sm     | 1.11| 0.32| 0.22| 0.11| 1.28| -   | 1.17| -    | -    | -     | 1.41     |
| Eu     | 0.22| 0.27| 0.06| 0.05| 0.26| 0.04| 0.12| -    | -    | -     | 0.27     |
| Gd     | 0.99| 0.46| 0.38| 0.19| 1.16| 0.09| 1.1 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.07  | 0.95     |
| Tb     | 0.09| 0.07| 0.05| 0.02| 0.16| -   | 0.15| -    | -    | -     | 0.17     |
| Dy     | 0.48| 0.41| 0.32| 0.16| 0.94| 0.05| 0.75| 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06  | 0.73     |
| Ho     | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.08| 0.04| 0.16| -   | 0.15| -    | -    | -     | 0.21     |
| Er     | 0.25| 0.22| 0.21| 0.13| 0.47| -   | 0.41| 0.04 | -    | 0.04  | 0.45     |
| Tm     | 0.03| 0.03| 0.03| 0.02| 0.06| -   | 0.05| -    | -    | -     | 0.08     |
| Yb     | 0.23| 0.12| 0.13| 0.09| 0.39| -   | 0.32| 0.05 | -    | -     | 0.4      |
| Lu     | 0.04| 0.02| 0.02| 0.01| 0.05| -   | 0.05| -    | -    | -     | 0.06     |
| ΣREE   | 28.04| 8.39| 5.55| 3.14| 31.48|1.53 |33.33|1.38 |1.29 |1.76  |23.91     |
| ΣLREE/ΣHREE| 11.74| 4.97| 3.94| 4.13| 8.26|13.9 |10.47|5.75 |11.5 |7.9   |8.15      |
Table 4. Rare earth element (ppm) values of barite samples.

| Sample | ES24 | ES25 | ES26 | ES27 | ES28 | ES29 | ES30 | ES31 | ES32 | ES33 | ES34 | ES35 | ES36 | Average |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| La     | 1.8  | 1.7  | 1.7  | 1.9  | 1.6  | 3.4  | 6.6  | 2.1  | 2.5  | 2.3  | 1.7  |      |      | 2.45    |
| Ce     | 0.7  | 0.5  | 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 13.9 | 24.5 | 0.2  | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.1  | 0.4  |      | 3.18    |
| Pr     | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0    | 0.05 | 0    | 0.03 | 2.63 | 4.27 | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.55    |
| Nd     |      |      |      |      |      |      | 15.2 | 23.3 |      |      |      |      |      | 19.25   |
| Sm     | 0.78 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 5.37 | 8.06 | 0.47 |      |      |      | 0.41 | 3.35    |
| Eu     |      |      |      |      |      |      | 2    | 3.31 |      |      |      |      |      | 1.66    |
| Gd     | 5.63 | 3.81 | 3.65 | 4    | 3.86 | 3.73 | 5.67 | 7.67 | 3.34 | 2.93 | 3.37 | 2.42 | 2.31 | 3.74    |
| Tb     | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.82 | 1.02 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.18    |
| Dy     | 0.47 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.16 | 4.31 | 5.24 | 1.6  | 1.92 | 2.6  | 1.04 | 1    | 1.78    |
| Ho     |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.76 | 0.86 |      |      |      |      |      | 0.81    |
| Er     |      |      |      |      |      |      | 1.78 | 2.11 |      |      |      |      |      | 1.31    |
| Tm     | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05    |
| Yb     | 0.2  | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 1.25 | 1.22 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.1  | 0.29    |
| Lu     | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.15 |      |      |      |      |      | 0.04    |
| ΣREE   | 9.92 | 7.8  | 7.27 | 8.18 | 7.66 | 7.51 | 57.47| 88.53| 7.84 | 7.15 | 8.84 | 6.42 | 5.99 | 17.74   |
| ΣHREE  | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.5  | 0.47 | 2.84 | 3.79 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.71 | 1.62    |
| Ce/Sn  | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.11 |      | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.10 |      |      |      | 0.05 | 0.26   |
| Ce/Yb  | 0.87 | 0.98 | 1.17 |      | 0.38 | 0.62 | 2.79 | 5.04 | 0.71 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.41 | 1.01 | 1.01    |
| Ce/Ce* | 0.48 | 0.40 |      |      |      | 0.33 | 1.11 | 1.10 |      |      |      |      | 0.07 | 0.42    |

The REE concentrations of the Doğanşehir barite mineralization (Table 4), normalised using the chondrite abundances of [37], show the total REE (ΣREE), varying from 5.99 to 88.53 ppm, with a mean of 17.74 ppm. Rare earth elements normalized to chondrites, the trends of the REE are characterized by generally low concentrations of REE, with the except for Eu (Fig. 5). As such, the results may represent a mixture of multiple, including seawater, hydrothermal fluids and terrigenous input [38]. Ce/Ce* values of recrystallized limestones and barite are between 0.84 and 0.63 respectively in this study (Table 4). The results may represent mixtures of multiple types by including seawater and hydrothermal fluids [39]. Besides, the distribution of normalised values on the Ce/Ny vs Ce/Ny diagram indicate that seawater dominated the hydrothermal fluid depositing the barite and supplied the barium and sulfate ions, while a fluid mixture of seawater (Fig. 6).

Figure 5. Chondrite normalised REE patterns of the Doğanşehir barite mineralization. Chondrite data for normalization are from [37].
Figure 6. The plot of the values of barites on the CeN/SmN versus CeN/YbN diagram [39].

4.2. Microthermometry of Fluid Inclusions

Fluid inclusions were studied in five selected barite samples that had been collected from the barite mineralized main vein and one of the barite veinlets. The initial melting (T_{FM}), final melting (T_{mICE}), and homogenization (T_H) of the samples are shown in Table 5. T_{FM} and T_{mICE} data have been interpreted by using the tables [25, 33]. Primary and secondary fluid inclusions in barite were determined using the criteria of [34].

| Primary inclusion | T_{FM} (°C) | T_{ICE} (°C) | T_{H,0°C} (°C) |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
|                  | -55         | -0.1         | 88            |
|                  | -55         | -0.2         | 85            |
|                  | -55         | -0.4         | 110           |
|                  | -55         | -1.5         | 115           |
|                  | -55         | -0.4         | 110           |
|                  | -55         | -1           | 120           |
|                  | -55         | -1           | 122           |
|                  | -55         | -1.3         | 120           |
|                  | -55         | -0.1         | 120           |
|                  | -55         | -0.7         | 120           |
|                  | -55         | -0.4         | 100           |

The inclusions can be divided into three groups: 1) two-phase liquid-vapour (liquid-rich); 2) monophase (only liquid phase) and 3) monophase (only vapour phase). Primary and pseudo secondary inclusions are consistently simple two-phase liquid-vapour types with no daughter mineral or separated CO2 phase at room temperature. Primary fluid inclusions are interpreted as representing the fluids present at the time of hydrothermal mineral growth. These primary fluid inclusions define by trapping during crystal growth, while the secondary inclusions occur as fracture-controlled arrays after the growth of the host crystal [34, 40]. The vast majority of primary inclusions form single-phase (liquid) inclusions and have fewer two-phase (liquid+vapour)
inclusions (Fig. 7a and 7b). These inclusions are found in sizes ranging from 3 microns to 40 microns and are generally rounded ellipsoidal and irregular shapes. Fluid inclusion studies were mostly done on small and round inclusions as recommended by [41] to minimize the effects of probable stretching of fluid inclusions during heating measurements in barite. According to the petrographic investigations, barite minerals were formed at the earliest stage of mineralization and were followed by sulfide minerals and quartz crystallization. In this context, it was assumed that primary inclusions in barite represent the barite-forming fluid.

The values of TH measured for 11 fluid inclusions of the barite samples studied range from 85°C to 122°C (Fig. 8a), with an average of 110°C. The values of TmICE varied from -1.5°C to -0.1°C, with an average of -10.9°C. The salinity of fluid inclusions (in wt% NaCl eq.) was estimated from the TLM data using the equation of [25]. The TLM data yield fluid salinities ranging from 0.2 to 2.6 wt% NaCl eq. (Fig. 8b), with an average of 1.5 wt% NaCl eq. The values of TFM measured at -55.0°C. This measured temperature value indicates that salts such as NaCl, CaCl2, and MgCl2 are present in the solution, as compared to the eutectic temperatures of various water-salt systems.

Figure 7. Types of fluid inclusions within the barite crystals studied. a) Two-phase (liquid/vapour) inclusions (No:ES-32); b) Two-phase vapour, liquid-rich (No:ES-31).

Figure 8. a) Homogenization temperature (TH) versus frequency histogram, b) Frequency versus wt. % NaCl equivalent salinity histogram in barite in the Doğanşehir (Malatya).
When the relationship between salinity and homogenization temperature is considered, it is observed that the salinity (2.6 %NaCl) of the solutions and the temperature (122°C) is low during the formation of barites. The homogenization temperature and % NaCl equivalent salinity values in this study were compared with the data obtained in similar deposit types. Homogenization temperatures of the studied fluid inclusions suggest which were probably formed in epithermal conditions (Fig. 9). Epithermal deposits are primarily formed from modified, surface-derived fluids that have circulated to a range of depths within the fragile regime of the crust, usually in the field of elevated crustal permeability and heat flow. They are therefore typified by low salinity fluids and a range of homogenization temperatures [42].

![Figure 9. TH plot of homogenization temperature vs. salinity [42].](image)

### 4.3. Pb and S Isotopes

The Pb and S isotopes compositions in barite samples taken from Doğanşehir (Malatya) are presented in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively. The Pb isotope compositions of the study region barite are \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}, ^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}, \) and \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} \) ratios ranging from 17.04 to 19.2, from 14.33 to 17.00, and from 34.19 to 41.66, respectively. The \(\delta^{34}S_{\text{VCDT}}\) values of sulfide samples range from +17.5 to +30.7‰.

Pb isotopes can be used to help decipher the genesis of mineralization and the origin of lead [43]. According to the plumbotectonic model of Zartman and Doe (1981), Pb isotope values were plotted above the orogene curve in the thorogenic (\(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} \text{vs.} ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\)) diagram. Likewise, Pb isotope values were plotted between the mantle, the orogene and the lower crust curve in the uranogenic (\(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} \text{vs.} ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\)) diagram (Fig. 11a and 11b). Pb isotope studies indicate that the lead in barite was derived from mantle, orogen and crust sources (Fig.10). Also, Pb isotopes values indicate that they were slightly contaminated by the basement rocks.

The isotopic compositions of sulfur (\(\delta^{34}S\) values) in sulfide minerals and/or mineralizing fluid (\(\delta^{34}S_{\text{ES}}\)) in the sulfide-bearing mineralizations help us understand both possible sources of sulfur and other metallogenic elements and figure out the conditions of formation of sulfides in mineralizations [44]. The \(\delta^{34}S\) analysis results of the samples from the study area were investigated in order to determine the source of the sulfur contained in the barite.
Table 6. Lead isotope composition of barite samples in the Doğanşehir (Malatya)

| Sample | \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb} | \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb} | \(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb} |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| ES24   | 17.768                    | 15.546            | 36.064              |
| ES25   | 19.200                    | 17.000            | 39.800              |
| ES26   | 18.000                    | 15.703            | 37.666              |
| ES27   | 16.666                    | 14.666            | 36.166              |
| ES28   | 18.666                    | 17.000            | 41.666              |
| ES29   | 17.555                    | 15.361            | 35.902              |
| ES32   | 18.069                    | 15.478            | 38.152              |
| ES33   | 17.045                    | 14.335            | 36.129              |
| ES34   | 16.686                    | 14.852            | 34.193              |
| ES35   | 18.188                    | 15.533            | 36.068              |
| ES36   | 18.292                    | 15.625            | 38.000              |
| ES38   | 18.255                    | 15.679            | 38.816              |
| ES39   | 18.070                    | 15.466            | 37.872              |
| ES40   | 17.965                    | 15.261            | 37.765              |
| ES41   | 17.781                    | 15.384            | 37.749              |
| ES42   | 17.880                    | 15.530            | 38.302              |

Figure 10. Plots of \(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb} vs. \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb} and \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb vs.} \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb for barite samples of the Doğanşehir (Malatya) district. Curves of growth trends for Pb isotope ratios are from the plumbotectonic model of [43].}
Table 7. Sulfur isotope composition of barite samples in the Doğanşehir (Malatya).

| Sample | Mineral | $\delta^{34}$S ‰ vs VCDT |
|--------|---------|--------------------------|
| ES24   | barite  | 30.7                     |
| ES25   | barite  | 28                       |
| ES32   | barite  | 22.5                     |
| ES33   | barite  | 19.9                     |
| ES35   | barite  | 23.4                     |
| ES36   | barite  | 17.5                     |

It has been compared with other barite deposits which have similar formation conditions in age and/or tectonically, showing similarities in terms of mineralogical, textural, and host rock. In this study, $\delta^{34}$S values of barite ores range from 17.5‰ to 30.7‰ (Fig. 11). $\delta^{34}$S values in the study region are different from those of mantle-derived magmatic sulfur (~0‰), whereas close to those of Devonian sulfate (25‰). However, they are appropriate with Cambrian to Triassic seawater sulfate (+15‰ to +35‰) [45, 46, 47].

Figure 11. $\delta^{34}$S values for sulfur-bearing minerals in this study and various deposits [48, 49, 50, 51, 52].

6. Conclusions

This study located at the Doğanşehir (Malatya), located in the Eastern Taurids. Barite mineralizations are included in crack zones of metamorphic (Permo-Triassic) rocks in the study area. Trace elements of both barite and recrystallized limestones samples in the study region were normalized to the upper crust. In the study area, both of the recrystallized limestones and barites are enriched in Ba, Sr, Pb and Zn, relative to UC. Trace element data show that Ba, Pb and Zn may have been derived from different sources: these elements probably come from recrystallized limestones and siliceous rocks in the study area.
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According to the results of the analysis, the rare earth element (REE) values of the samples were normalized with values of the chondrite. Total REE concentrations have (1.3-119.4 ppm, n=10) in the recrystallized limestones and (5.99-88.53 ppm, n=13) in the barite. \( \Sigma \text{LREE} / \Sigma \text{HREE} \) (ratios of the recrystallized limestones and barite are 3.94-13.90 and 0.42-3.79, respectively. \( \text{Ce/} \)\( \text{Ce}^* \) values of recrystallized limestones and barite are between 0.84 and 0.63 respectively in this study. The trends of the REE and the plots of the values of barites on the \( \text{Ce}_N / \text{Sm}_N \) versus \( \text{Ce}_N / \text{Yb}_N \) diagram indicate that seawater dominated the hydrothermal fluid depositing the barite and supplied the barium and sulfate ions, while a fluid mixture of seawater.

The microthermometric studies indicate that the vast majority of primary inclusions form single-phase (liquid) inclusions and have fewer two-phase (liquid+vapour) inclusions. The values of \( T_H \) measured for 11 fluid inclusions of the barite samples studied range from 85°C to 122°C (Fig.8a), with an average of 110°C. The values of \( T_{\text{mICE}} \) varied from -1.5°C to -0.1°C, with an average of –10.9°C, salinities ranging from 0.2 to 2.6 wt% NaCl eq., with an average of 1.5 wt% NaCl eq. The values of \( T_{\text{FM}} \) measured at -55.0°C. When the relationship between salinity and homogenization temperature is considered, it is observed that the salinity (2.6 %NaCl) of the solutions and the temperature (122°C) is low during the formation of barites. Homogenization temperatures of the studied fluid inclusions suggest which were probably formed in epithermal conditions. Epithermal deposits are primarily formed from modified, surface-derived fluids that have circulated to a range of depths within the fragile regime of the crust, usually in the field of elevated crustal permeability and heat flow.

The Pb isotope compositions of the study region barite are \( ^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb}, ^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb}, \) and \( ^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb} \) ratios ranging from 17.04 to 19.2, from 14.33 to 17.00, and from 34.19 to 41.66, respectively. In this study, \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) values of barite ores range from 17.5‰ to 30.7‰. Pb isotope studies indicate that the lead in barite was derived from mantle, orogen and crust sources (Fig.10). Also, Pb isotopes values indicate that they were slightly contaminated by the basement rocks. \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) values in the study region are different from those of mantle-derived magmatic sulfur (−0‰), whereas close to those of Devonian sulfate (25‰). However, they are appropriate with Cambrian to Triassic seawater sulfate (+15‰ to +35‰).
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