Room-Temperature Defect Qubits in Ultrasmall Nanocrystals
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ABSTRACT: There is an urgent quest for room-temperature qubits in nanometer-sized, ultrasmall nanocrystals for quantum biosensing, hyperpolarization of biomolecules, and quantum information processing. Thus far, the preparation of such qubits at the nanoscale has remained futile. Here, we present a synthesis method that avoids any interaction of the solid with high-energy particles and uses self-propagated high-temperature synthesis with a subsequent electrochemical method, the no-photon exciton generation chemistry to produce room-temperature qubits in ultrasmall nanocrystals of sizes down to 3 nm with high yield. We first create the host silicon carbide (SiC) crystallites by high-temperature synthesis and then apply wet chemical etching, which results in ultrasmall SiC nanocrystals and facilitates the creation of thermally stable defect qubits in the material. We demonstrate room-temperature optically detected magnetic resonance signal of divacancy qubits with 3.5% contrast from these nanoparticles with emission wavelengths falling in the second biological window (1000–1380 nm). These results constitute the formation of nonperturbative bioagents for quantum sensing and efficient hyperpolarization.

Solid state defect qubits are building blocks for quantum technology.° Room-temperature defect qubits2–4 stand out with their great potential in biology and human diagnosis, by means of sensing at the nanoscale° and hyperpolarization of biomolecules.° The state of these defect qubits is read out by optical means which harness the spin-selective fluorescence of the defect qubits: the electron spin state can be manipulated by applying a resonant microwave field while monitoring changes in the fluorescence. This readout method is known as optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) spectroscopy.° Optical pumping of these ODMR defect qubits results in efficient electron spin polarization which can be transferred toward nuclear spins of the solid. This leads to a nuclear spin polarization around the defect qubits that can be several orders of magnitude larger than that in thermal equilibrium. This way the sensitivity of traditional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)° and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)° techniques with defects in solids can be enhanced down to the few-molecule level. In these applications, it is crucial to use small nanocrystals as nonperturbative agents° with qubits close to the surface for enhanced sensing and effective direct polarization of external nuclear spins. This requirement defines the diameter of the nanocrystals around 5 nm or below; we refer to these crystals as ultrasmall nanoparticles.°°

Despite the fact that preparation of ultrasmall nanoparticles hosting stable qubits is appealing, the creation of such systems still remains a challenge. So far, ODMR signals from defect qubits have been either reported from 7.5 nm diamond nanocrystals, which were created by milling of larger crystals and subsequent electron irradiation,°° or detected in some 5 nm particles separated from detonation nanodiamonds by ultracentrifugation.°° The defect yield values in such systems are about 35% and 0.005–0.012 ppm, respectively. Later, the defect yield has been increased to a few fold°° by electron irradiation and subsequent annealing at high temperature. Both methods create ultrasmall nanoparticles with a low quality of defects which was previously attributed to the presence of high strain and was manifested as a large splitting in the corresponding ODMR spectrum.°° Furthermore, the 5 nm particle size could be a limit of formation of defect qubits in diamond by any preparation technique operating at elevated temperatures,°° because of the competing and highly stable sp2 carbon allotropes at sizes of a few nanometers. Only a single study reported the photoluminescence (PL) signal of a qubit embedded in 1.5 nm diamonds that were found in special meteorites,°° but this qubit only operates at cryogenic temperatures.°° Silicon carbide (SiC), on the other hand, does not exhibit sp2 allotropes which gives hope for the preparation of ultrasmall bioinert°°° SiC nanoparticles (NPs)°°° Stable room-temperature qubits. However, the size of the smallest reported SiC NPs with the room-temperature ODMR signal of defect qubits, created by irradiation and milling, is 600 nm, and only PL signals were found in smaller particles with a size of 35 nm.°°°
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The lack of a suitable synthesis technique may be related, besides surface and crystal reconstruction, to the mechanism of qubit formation in ultrasmall nanoparticles. Indeed, all the known room-temperature defect qubits contain a vacancy, a missing atom in the crystal structure, that has been predominantly created by implantation or irradiation techniques, by knocking out an atom from its lattice site, to precisely control the defect location close to the surface, or to create vacancies uniformly in the bulk system before extensive milling, respectively. Both techniques lead to a cascade process forming unwanted multiple vacancies and other defects, that compromise the quality of the host crystal and affect the key operation parameters of the qubits. Apart from detonation nanodiamonds, qubits in small nanoparticles are often created by top-down methods: first, defect qubits are formed in larger crystallites by irradiation techniques and subsequent annealing, and then, they are milled or laser ablated into smaller crystals. These procedures result in crystal quality dramatically affecting the performance of the qubits.

Here, we report a novel technique for introducing room-temperature defect qubits into small nanoparticles that is similar to the principles of lithography and avoids any largely invasive material fabrication processes such as irradiation, implantation, and milling. We developed a chemical method that creates a high density of defects and a three-dimensional resist structure in the bulk material. The method also protects the defects and results in the formation of stable qubits during the electrochemical etching of this material while preparing the nanoparticles. By this method, we synthesized thermally stable qubits in 3–6 nm SiC nanoparticles with high yield. The ensemble of qubits exhibits room-temperature ODMR signals with 3.5% ODMR contrast, and near-infrared fluorescence, centered at 1180 nm at room temperature, most favorable for biological, medical, and telecommunication applications (Figure 1).

**Defect Synthesis with Chemical Lithography.** We used self-propagated high-temperature synthesis (SHS) starting from Si, C, and Al. A mixture of the precursor powders was placed into an induction furnace and heated up to about 1250 °C where Si and C react to create SiC. The heat generated by the reaction promotes fast conversion with high product yield. Aluminum (Al), on the other hand, reacts with SiC and removes silicon atoms from the crystal. At the same time, Al promotes hexagonal crystal formation to the continuously growing SiC crystal. This method creates cubic SiC powder with in situ generated point defects and a 3-dimensional network of stacking faults (SFs) that are two-dimensional hexagonal inclusions in the cubic matrix.

**Defect-Rich Nanoparticle Synthesis.** SFs act as resists during the etching process known as no-photon exciton generation chemistry (NPEGEC), that dissolves only cubic SiC producing ultrasmall SiC NPs and leaves intact the two-dimensional hexagonal inclusions and the vacancies in the vicinity. We followed the transformations of defects by EPR and PL spectroscopy (see Figure 2). The as-synthesized SiC ceramic powder exhibits a strong EPR signal that corresponds to a silicon vacancy, with $S = \frac{3}{2}$ electron spin and characteristic double peaks due to the hyperfine interaction with $^{29}$Si nuclear spins. The nonzero zero-field splitting value (27 MHz) indicates that these vacancies are close to the hexagonal SF layers that break the tetrahedral symmetry (Figure 2A). $V_\text{Si}$ also has photoemission under excitation, and the PL emission is centered around 900–1000 nm that was reported in hexagonal SiC crystals. (Figure 2B). This fact also implies that the preparation procedure creates these vacancies close to the hexagonal SF layers. NPEGEC etching creates a porous layer on the SiC surface that can be broken down to nanoparticles. The EPR and the PL spectra of the porous SiC and the nanoparticles indicate that $V_\text{Si}$ in SiC is transformed into another type of vacancy after the NPEGEC process. NPEGEC was performed at around 180 °C (see the Supporting Information). Such temperature propagates diffusion of the vacancy at a few Ångström scale, while the redox reactions change the surface creating oxygen-rich groups that shift the Fermi level. The process transforms the negatively charged $V_\text{Si}$ into its more stable tautomer, the carbon antisite-vacancy defect, namely, the E center, which, in its double positively charged state, shows a room-temperature maximum emission around 650 nm (Figure 2B), right in the first biological imaging window. The E center

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the implantation or irradiation-based vacancy formation for preparation of nanoparticles with defect qubits versus chemical lithography techniques. Chemical lithography offers noninvasive nanoparticle synthesis of vacancy-related qubits with high yield. The benefit of chemical lithography is the formation of a three-dimensional resist network that protects the vacancies during nanoparticle synthesis. In the case of cubic SiC, Al additive during the synthesis leads both to stacking fault (SF) generation, i.e., a hexagonal SiC inclusion along a specific direction in the cubic lattice that is resistive against the no-photon exciton generation chemical etching (NPEGEC), and vacancy generation. Here, SFs act as resist, and NPEGEC synthesizes the nanoparticles. These nanoparticles contain an orange emitter (called the E center), originating from the carbon vacancy–antisite pair, $V_\text{C} - V_\text{Si}$. Annealing can transform E centers into divacancies, $V_\text{C} - V_\text{Si}$ defects, that are near-infrared emitters with a room-temperature ODMR signal.
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fluence is detected in both porous SiC and 3–6 nm (Figure 2C) SiC NPs. The PL maximum is narrowed in the nanoparticles compared to that in porous SiC, indicating increasingly uniform surroundings. It should be noted that E centers were characterized as single photon sources in porous SiC. Only the doubly positively charged form of the E centers were characterized as single photon sources in porous SiC.34}

**Transformation of the E Centers into the Paramagnetic Divacancy.** We applied low-temperature annealing to transform the E centers in SiC NPs into an infrared emitter with \( S = 1 \) electron spin state, the divacancy (\( \text{VC} - \text{VSi} \)). The drying process of SiC NPs causes the redistribution of the surface groups,36–38 and decarboxylation39,40 which generates near-surface vacancies.41 A carbon vacancy, formed during annealing within a 3 neighbor distance to an E center, transforms to a divacancy.42 The EPR signal of SiC NPs annealed at 140 °C can be seen in Figure 2A. A strong EPR signal with \( g = 2.0043 \) can be observed that is associated with the Si dangling bond at the interface of SiC and amorphous SiO\(_2\), i.e., a near-interface carbon vacancy (see the main text for additional details).

The EPR signal of SiC NPs annealed at 140 °C can be seen in Figure 2A. A strong EPR signal with \( g = 2.0043 \) can be observed that is associated with the Si dangling bond at the interface of SiC and amorphous SiO\(_2\), i.e., a near-interface carbon vacancy (see the main text for additional details). The EPR signal of SiC NPs transforms to a divacancy.43 The EPR signal of SiC NPs is associated with the Si dangling bond at the interface of SiC and amorphous SiO\(_2\), i.e., a near-interface carbon vacancy (see the main text for additional details). We emphasize that the detected ODMR signals do not show any evidence of splitting due to external perturbation in the few nanometers SiC nanoparticles. We note that a neutral divacancy does not require additional dopants like the negatively charged NV center in diamond. Dopants may create strain or stray electric fields upon illumination causing large splitting in the corresponding ODMR spectra that occurs for NV centers in nanodiamonds.
**Determination of the Defect Yield in Nanoparticles.** We determined the defect yield of the vacancy with the photoluminescence of the E center by using single dot PL spectroscopy on drop-cast samples and PL spectroscopy in aqueous solutions. The first method was applied to 217 individual nanoparticles, whereas the second method samples millions of nanoparticles. Single dot spectroscopy measures the emission spectra of individual NPs (Figure 3A), and the yield can be determined by the frequency ratio between particles.

![Figure 3. Determination of the defect yield.](image)

From PL measurements, it is possible to determine the conversion of the E centers into divacancies, too, by measuring the intensity ratio of the E center emission between the nonannealed and annealed samples. The band-to-band recombination shows the same intensity before and after annealing and the same decrease in alkaline solution (Figure 3D) as divacancies are active only in alkaline solution, too (Figure 3E). The PL intensity of the E center in aqueous solution after the annealing was reduced to 26% of that before annealing (Figure 3D). One can estimate from this observation that the conversion efficiency is around 74%. From the change in the intensity of EPR signals we estimate a similar conversion efficiency (see the Supporting Information).

In conclusion, the advance in the field of detectors for the wavelength region of the second biological window and lock-in techniques makes our silicon carbide divacancy nanoparticles with strain-free ODMR signals very attractive for various quantum-technology-related applications. Our findings pave the way toward quantum biosensing, and efficient hyperpolarization of MRI contrast agents and biomolecules. As the yield of suitable nanoparticles is close to 40% with our present method, this can be directly applied in biochemistry and biolabeling without introducing postselection methods. The divacancy is embedded in ultrasmall cubic silicon carbide nanoparticles; the host nanoparticles were successfully tested in toxicity assays. The efficient polarization of the divacancies’ electron spin by optical pumping and spin polarization transfer toward proximate and distant nuclear spins at low constant magnetic fields has been already demonstrated. All of these facts make these nanoparticles appealing as MRI contrast agents by utilizing the recent new methods of spin polarization transfer that may overcome the bottleneck of the ~1.3 GHz zero-field-splitting pointing at arbitrary angles with respect to the direction of the external magnetic field in the powder samples. The estimated distance between the divacancy and the surface is about 1 nm which makes the direct polarization of external nuclear spins very likely; thus, the idea of a polarizer device can be directly applied for our nanoparticles. We further note that the emission wavelength with the center at the second biological window implies the deepest penetration depth by light among the known fluorophores in biological studies. In particular, the ODMR transition of divacancies is sensitive to the presence of external currents or electric fields, e.g., induced by neuron cell activities, and thus can be an ultimate fluorescent biomarker for brain science.
Complete contact information is available at:
https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcl.0c00052.

Detailed materials and methods and detailed descriptions of analytical techniques such as particle size analysis, ESR, UV–vis absorption and visible photoluminescence spectroscopy, single-dot spectroscopy, near-infrared PL, and ODMR measurements (PDF).
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