Hormone and implant osseointegration: Elaboration of the relationship among function, preclinical, and clinical practice
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As clusters of peptides or steroids capable of high-efficiency information transmission, hormones have been substantiated to coordinate metabolism, growth, development, and other physiological processes, especially in bone physiology and repair metabolism. In recent years, the application of hormones for implant osseointegration has become a research hotspot. Herein, we provide a comprehensive overview of the relevant reports on endogenous hormones and their corresponding supplementary preparations to explore the association between hormones and the prognosis of implants. We also discuss the effects and mechanisms of insulin, parathyroid hormone, melatonin, vitamin D, and growth hormone on osseointegration at the molecular and body levels to provide a foothold and guide future research on the systemic conditions that affect the implantation process and expand the relative contraindications of the implant, and the pre-and post-operative precautions. This review shows that systemic hormones can regulate the osseointegration of oral implants through endogenous or exogenous drug-delivery methods.
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Introduction

Tooth loss is one of the most common oral diseases in the population that seriously affects the quality of life. A meta-analysis published in 2010 pointed out that, although the number of cases has declined when compared with the previous 10 years, the incidence remained high after stratifying by age. In this regard, the worldwide age-standardized incidence rate of severe edentulousness was up to 205 cases per 100,000 person–years (Kassebaum et al., 2014), with the recent systematic review combined with data found that the average annual loss of attachment was 0.1 mm/year (95% CI 0.068, 0.132; I2 = 99%).
and the average annual edentulousness was 0.2 teeth per year (95 % CI 0.10), 0.33; I 2 = 94 % (Needelman et al., 2018).

The causes of tooth loss include tooth decay, periodontal disease, trauma, cancer, wear and tear, and so on, which can cause extra dental issues, nutritional changes, and personal self-esteem and social identity issues. Titanium implants are currently one of the most effective treatments for tooth loss. The 10-year survival rate is as high as 90–97 %. However, a more rigorous meta-analysis published in 2019 suggested that the implant survival rate was overestimated, especially in the elderly, where it was reportedly double (Howe et al., 2019). Increasing osseointegration may still be an important direction to increase the success rate of implants in the population. Osseointegration refers to the direct contact between a living bone and the implant’s surface and was first proposed by the Swedish scientist Per-Ingvar Brånemark and his coworkers. It was later called ‘functional ankylosis’, given that it formed the basis for the success of oral implants. Although the precise molecular mechanism of osseointegration remains unclear, it has been established that successful osseointegration in wound healing can roughly be divided into: 1) The hemostatic phase, where the platelets attach to the wound with the fibrinogen polymerization, lasting from a few minutes to a few hours. 2) The acute inflammation phase, featuring the migration of inflammatory cells and the release of inflammatory mediators, usually lasting from 10 minutes to a few days after surgery. 3) The proliferation phase, during which new extracellular matrix (ECM) and blood vessels are deposited, accompanied by the formation of new bone, which lasts from a few days to several weeks. 4) The remodeling phase, where bones are remodeled according to functional needs under the interaction of osteoclasts and osteoblasts; this process can last for several years. These four stages of osseointegration are not distinct but overlap with individual variations present (Terheyden et al., 2012).

Under physiological conditions, various systemic hormones play a non-negligible role in the metabolic processes of bone growth, repair, reconstruction, and aging. Hormone disorders (e.g., insulin, parathyroid hormone, etc.) are also positively related to skeletal diseases, such as osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, and acromegaly. Correspondingly, targeting these hormones can prevent, regulate, or even reverse the progression of bone-metabolic diseases to a certain extent (August et al., 2001; Cauley, 2015; Bassett and Williams, 2016; Lecka-Czernik, 2017; Compston, 2018a; Maffezzoni and Formenti, 2018; Li T. et al., 2019) (Table 1).

It is widely acknowledged that patients with diabetes, older women, and other patients with hormone metabolism disorders are associated with a higher clinical failure rate, emphasizing the need to investigate the effect of systemic hormone metabolism on osseointegration. As mentioned earlier, osseointegration consists of four periods, among which the osseointegration proliferation period and the process of remodeling are the most critical periods since they directly determine the success of osseointegration. Skeletal anabolism and catabolism are dynamic processes. The remodeling of bone involves trade-offs and homeostasis between osteoblasts and osteoclasts. Hormonal disorders may disrupt the metabolism within these four phases, especially the imbalance between osteoblasts and osteoclasts, leading to structural and functional abnormalities in the bone which may ultimately culminate in implant failure. Few clinical and preclinical experiments have hitherto been reported where hormones have led to significant differences in the success rate of implants (Figure 1).

The hyperglycemic conditions caused by the absolute or relative lack of insulin affects the implant osseointegration rate

Background

Secreted by pancreatic β cells, insulin is a unique hormone in the body that can decrease blood glucose levels. It is widely acknowledged that an absolute or relative deficiency caused by impaired insulin secretion or other factors can lead to diabetes (Kahn, 2003). Epidemiological studies suggest that the prevalence of diabetes has reached 5 % worldwide (Shaw et al., 2010). Diabetes can be divided into two categories. Type 1 diabetes (T1DM) is an autoimmune disease that usually occurs in children and accounts for 5–10 % of diabetes globally. Islet cell antibodies have been associated with the pathogenesis of T1DM, leading to the destruction of β cells. Accordingly, patients require exogenous insulin throughout their lives. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is the most common disease (more than 90 % of diabetic patients suffer from T2DM) and is reported to be highly hereditary, although other risk factors, including age, pregnancy, and obesity, have also been reported. It has been established that in T2DM, β cells secrete sufficient insulin to meet the required functions caused by type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (Rorsman and Braun, 2013). In short, the fact that the homeostasis of insulin and other glucocorticoid hormones is disrupted, resulting in the absolute or relative insufficiency of insulin will lead to diabetes.

Among all the complications of diabetes, adverse effects on bone metabolism affect people’s quality of life in all aspects, including oral implant osseointegration and peri-implant inflammation (de Oliveira et al., 2020). Hyperglycemia usually leads to microvascular and macrovascular diseases and poor wound healing, including the generation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) and the increase of their receptors (receptor for advanced glycation end products, RAGEs) that induce the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), inflammatory cytokines, and the activation of protein kinase C isofoms, eventually leading to vascular damage (Stratton et al., 2000). Moreover, Das et al. proposed that diabetes mellitus-induced long noncoding RNA dmn3os can upregulate the macrophage inflammatory phenotype via
TABLE 1 Animal experiments and/or clinical case evidence related to implants and hormones in the past 2 decades.

| Hormones | Author(s) | Study design/Experimental model | Subjects and number | Results | Year |
|----------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------|------|
| Thyroxine | Ursomanno et al. (2020) | Retrospective study | Patient N = 635 | Patients with HT have a decreased rate of bone loss | 2020 |
|          | Feitosa dd et al. (2008) | animal experiment | Wistar rat N = 43 | Osseointegration | 2008 |
| Estrogen | August et al. (2001) | Retrospective study | Patient N = 526 | Estrogen deficiency may be risk factors for maxillary implant failure | 2001 |
|          | Pan et al. (2000) | animal experiment | female Wistar rats N = 18 | The bone mass around the implant and the BIC in the cancellous bone area↓ | 2000 |
|          | Duarte et al. (2003) | animal experiment | female Wistar rats N = 30 | | 2003 |
| Androgen | Mau et al. (2013) | animal experiment | SD rats N = 20 | Dihydrotestosterone 2 promotes cobalt-chromium implant bone bonding | 2013 |
| Growth hormone | Tresguerres et al. (2002) | animal experiment | New Zealand rabbits N = 8 | (topical application) Periimplant bone reaction and mineralization of osteoid↑ | 2002 |
|          | Stenport et al. (2001) | animal experiment | New Zealand rabbits N = 16 | (Systematic administration) Improving initial implant stability | 2001 |
|          | Calvo-Guirado et al. (2011) | animal experiment | Beagle dogs N = 12 | (Topical application), BIC is not significantly affected | 2011 |
| Melatonin | Calvo-Guirado et al. (2010) | animal experiment | Beagle dogs N = 12 | BIC↑ | 2010 |
|          | Sun et al. (2020) | animal experiment | SD rats N = 30 | | 2020 |
|          | Palín et al. (2018) | animal experiment | Wistar rat N = 18 | | 2018 |
|          | Salomó-Coll et al. (2016b) | animal experiment | American Foxhound dogs = 5 | | |
| Glucocorticoid | Petsinis et al. (2017) | Retrospective study | Patient N = 31 | Glucocorticoid intake did not affect BIC and 3-year survival of dental implants | 2017 |
|          | Keller et al. (2004) | animal experiment | New Zealand rabbits N = 40 | The resulting osteoporosis affects BIC | 2006 |
| Insulin | Ferreira et al. (2006) | Retrospective study | Patient N = 121 | diabetes caused by abnormal insulin metabolism are more likely to cause peri-implantitis | 2006 |
|          | Wang et al. (2011) | animal experiment | Wistar rat N = 30 | Direct insulin infiltration improves BIC | 2011 |
|          | de Melon et al. (2013) | animal experiment | Wistar rat N = 80 | Insulin deficiency made BIC, torque value↓ | 2013 |
|          | Coelho et al. (2018) | animal experiment | Göttingen minipig N = 9 | | 2018 |
|          | Rybacek et al. (2015) | animal experiment | Wistar rat N = 40 | no change in bone area around medullary implants or in bone and BIC | 2015 |
| Adiponectin | Yin et al. (2019) | animal experiment | Female SD rats N = 18 | | 2019 |
| PTH | Kuchler et al. (2011a) | Clinical Randomized Controlled Trial | Patient N = 24 | NBV/TV↑ | 2011 |
|          | Daugaard et al. (2012) | animal experiment | American Hound dogs N = 20 | Osseointegration of cancellous bone↑ | 2012 |
|          | Gomes-Ferreira et al. (2020) | animal experiment | Wistar rat N = 24 | Bone volume, mass and bone turnover↑ | 2020 |
|          | Park et al. (2020) | animal experiment | SD rats N = 30 | Promoting bone formation around implants | 2020 |
| Vitamin D | Guido Mangano et al. (2018) | Retrospective study | Patient N = 822 | Vitamin D deficiency incidence of early implantation failure is increasing | 2016 |
|          | Salomó-Coll et al. (2016a) | animal experiment | Foxhound dogs N = 6 | Topical application of vitamin D to dental implants can reduce alveolar bone loss, BIC↓ | 2016 |
|          | Dvorak et al. (2012) | animal experiment | SD rats N = 51 | Vitamin D deficiency has a negative effect on bone formation around cortical implants | 2012 |

(Continued on following page)
TABLE 1 (Continued) Animal experiments and/or clinical case evidence related to implants and hormones in the past 2 decades.

| Hormones | Author       | Study design/ Experimental model | Subjects and number | Results                                                                                     | Year |
|----------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| IGF-1    | Tang et al. (2015) | animal experiment                | Mongrel dog N = 25 | Implant bone repair after tooth extraction ↑                                                   | 2000 |
| CGRP     | Yuan et al. (2020) | animal experiment                | C57BL/6 or CGRP−/− | CGRP promotes macrophage polarization and enhances osseointegration                           | 2020 |

nuclear mechanisms (M1) (Das et al., 2018), which has a negative impact on osseointegration (Wang et al., 2018). Most importantly, hyperglycemia exerts a regulatory effect on osteoblasts and osteoclasts; chronic hyperglycemia reduces the ratio of bone biochemical markers, including osteocalcin, AKP, and procollagen type 1 N terminal propeptide, runt-related transcription factor (RUNX2) (Compston, 2018b). In addition, the microenvironment of increased plasma glucose generated by insulin disorders can trigger the oxidative stress of osteoblasts and the formation of osteoclasts mediated by the Receptor Activator for Nuclear Factor-κB Ligand (RANKL) (Rathinavelu et al., 2018). Therefore, under hyperglycemic conditions, osseointegration and its maintenance may be impaired with the imbalance of these critical factors.

Clinical cases and animal experiments

Uncontrollable diabetes has consistently been one of the relative contraindications of implants. Numerous clinical prospective and retrospective experiments have also alarmed that, compared with the general population, the bone-healing response after implant placement is impaired, and wound healing is delayed in diabetic patients with uncontrolled blood sugar levels, resulting in a higher implant failure rate, most of which occur in the first year of functional loading, with an increased risk of peri-implantitis (Animbali et al., 2016). In the current clinical studies, in addition to conventional indicators, hemodynamics model assessment (HOMA) was used to quantify insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), HOMA-IR = fasting blood glucose (FBG)× fasting insulin (FINS)/22.5; beta-cell function (HOMA-β), HOMA-β = 20×(FINS/FBG-3.5), and insulin sensitivity (HOMA-IS) HOMA-IS = 1/HOMA-IR, the unit of fasting blood glucose is mmol/L, with μU/mL in fasting insulin, which gradually become the references of short- or long-term clinical cases (Yary et al., 2016). In a 7-year follow-up, compared to non-diabetic patients, patients with type 2 diabetes experienced significantly more average peri-implant bone loss (Al Zahrani and Al Mutairi, 2018), while the implant success rate in patients treated with insulin and other interventions and controlled blood sugar levels was comparable to that of ordinary people. Moreover, the levels of osteopontin, receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand (RANKL), and IL-8 were significantly higher than in diabetic patients (Sundar et al., 2019; Sghaireen et al., 2020).

The aforementioned findings were consistently found in preclinical experiments. In this regard, a study found that the osseointegration of diabetic animals was significantly lower than that in the control group, and the serum osteocalcin level of diabetic animals was increased, while alkaline phosphatase decreased 14 days after implantation (McCracken et al., 2000). An increasing amount of evidence suggests that diabetes leads to a significant reduction in bone formation and bone–implant contact in the cortex and bone marrow areas of Wistar rats, the mRNA levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, RAGE, and AGEs are elevated, and the lowest torque values are associated with implant removal (Kwon et al., 2005; Hasegawa et al., 2008; de Molon et al., 2013; Yamazaki et al., 2020). Furthermore, a study found that the mineral apposition rate was significantly reduced after immediate implantation in the oral cavity of diabetic rats (Shyng et al., 2006). Paulo G Coelho et al. found that diabetes mellitus (DM) piglets showed bone-healing disorders, while no significant alterations in bone formation capacity were observed (Coelho et al., 2018). Similar findings were found in another study (Schlegel et al., 2013), suggesting the negative impact of untreated diabetes in the early osseointegration of dental implants. After insulin treatment, the ultrastructural characteristics and osseointegration rate of the bone–implant interface are reportedly improved (Kwon et al., 2005), suggesting that controlling hyperglycemia by hormone control during dental implant surgery is a key strategy to achieving higher survival rates (Figure 2).

Molecular mechanism

It is well-established that insulin activates multiple cascades of intracellular signaling pathways, characterized by phosphorylation events and then inactivates the forkhead box protein (FOX). Under stress conditions, insulin and growth hormone levels decrease, and FOXOs are activated and mediate the osteocyte function, among which, FOXO1 can mediate the generation of osteoclasts by regulating RANKL. At the same time, the hyperglycemic environment may weaken the autophagy of osteoclasts and increase the production of osteoclasts. For mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), a hyperglycemic microenvironment can promote the production of fat cells and inhibit osteoblasts, which eventually cause bone loss (Botolin et al., 2005). Overwhelming evidence substantiates that
DM can induce hyperphosphorylation of P38 MAPK in osteoblasts on titanium surfaces but does not induce hyperphosphorylation of ERK or JNK, indicating that the P38 MAPK pathway is a candidate ROS-sensitive signaling pathway, inciting impaired bone formation (Wang et al., 2016). Moreover, the Wnt/β-catenin pathway promotes the differentiation of progenitor stem cells into osteoblasts and prevents adipogenesis. However, experiments have shown that this pathway is inhibited in osteoblasts on titanium surfaces and prevents cell attachment and functions through osseointegration (Ma et al., 2014). Diabetes-related osteoporosis is partly due to the formation and accumulation of advanced glycation end products, which can also inhibit the adhesion of osteoblasts to the titanium surface (Fiorellini et al., 2020). Diabetes also reportedly hampers osseointegration by delaying the expression of fibronectin and integrin αvβ3 (Liu et al., 2015).

It is well known that oxidative stress (OS) plays a key role in the pathogenesis and complications of aging and aging-related metabolic diseases such as osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, and diabetes and impairs the process of osteogenesis. Several metabolic pathways stimulate OS development under hyperglycemic conditions, including the glycolytic pathway, advanced glycation end product (AGE) formation, hexosamine pathway, activation of protein kinase C (PKC), and inactivation of the polyol pathway and the insulin-signaling pathway. Current evidence suggests that Sirtuin3 (SIRT3) is mainly located in the mitochondria and strongly impacts mitochondrial homeostasis, oxidative stress, and immune cell function. Interestingly, SIRT3 is reportedly reduced in DM, promoting mitochondrial oxidative stress and delayed fracture healing (Huang et al., 2022). Under diabetic conditions, reactive oxygen species (ROS) induce the phosphorylation of osteoblasts through the P38K/AKT pathway, causing their dysfunction and apoptosis, and can affect osseointegration and implant failure through oxidative stress (Li et al., 2015; Saito et al., 2022). It has recently been shown that the high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), a pathological cytokine, is related to diabetic osseointegration disorders and actively participates in oxidative stress. Moreover, RAGE is upregulated, inciting BMSC function damage, leading to obstacles for osseointegration (Liu et al., 2019).

Current evidence suggests that type 2 diabetes (T2DM) is an inflammatory disease, obesity is a major risk factor for T2DM, and adipose tissue is the main site of inflammation. Leukocyte trafficking dysregulation has been observed in adipose tissue and is associated with a shift in cell populations from being anti-inflammatory to pro-inflammatory in obesity. Shifting to the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines leads to low-grade systemic inflammation leading to impaired insulin signaling, beta-cell dysfunction, and subsequent insulin resistance (Pezhman et al., 2021). In young diabetic mice with periodontal disease, a shift in hyperglycemia has been shown to cause changes in the periodontal status, periodontal inflammation, and macrophage senescence. At the same time, GLUT1, as a typical glucose transporter, plays an important role in it. The expressions of both GLUT1 and the downstream GTPase Rheb are reportedly upregulated in the gingiva of diabetic mice, and mTOR phosphorylation in bone marrow-derived macrophage (BMDM) triggers SASP release and p16/
p21 signaling, limiting glucose uptake by GLUT1 to antagonize −/−BMDM (Wang et al., 2021).

Moreover, its direct impact on bone-related cells, the DM environment indirectly impairs osseointegration by affecting blood vessels (Hu et al., 2018). Likewise, the role of inflammatory factors cannot be ignored. It has been reported that pro-inflammatory factors IL-17 and IL-23 in T1DM patients are increased, which promote the destruction by RANKL., the formation of bone cells, people with both T2DM and periodontitis also significantly elevated the levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 (Jiao et al., 2015). Moreover, under the premise of diabetes, the noncoding RNA Dnm3os is upregulated, its interaction with nucleolin and epigenetic modifications on target genes is disrupted, and these modified genes promote the production of the inflammatory phenotype of diabetic macrophages (Das et al., 2018), which has a negative impact on the acute inflammatory phase of osseointegration (Wang et al., 2018).

**Translation and application**

The past decade has witnessed significant inroads achieved in dental implant treatment for diabetic patients. It has been established that insulin can reverse osteoblast disorders, and the combined use of other agents can accelerate this process.

Resveratrol, metformin, and other related drugs have also been found to promote the osteogenesis and osseointegration of diabetic alveolar bone mesenchymal stem cells (Hua et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). Moreover, material modifications such as micro- and nano-topographically complex implant surfaces can promote early bone anchorage in diabetic patients (Ajami et al., 2016). Intriguingly, Xing Wang et al. invented a new delivery method of uniform-sized, insulin-loaded PLGA microspheres for stable insulin delivery (Wang X. et al., 2019).

**By regulating the calcium and phosphorus levels, the parathyroid hormone affects the implant osseointegration rate**

**Research background related to stomatology**

The parathyroid hormone (PTH) secreted by the parathyroid glands regulates the calcium and phosphorus metabolisms, promoting osteoblast activity and accelerating bone transformation. PTH secretion exhibits an ultradian rhythm consisting of tonic and pulsatile components (70% tonic, 30% pulsatile) to regulate the metabolism of calcium and phosphorus based on their serum levels. The half-life of the
parathyroid hormone in the human body is about 2–5 min. As the PTH binds to the receptor, adenylyl cyclase or phospholipase C is initiated, activating protein kinase A (PKA) or the PKC cascade reaction. It is generally believed that these pathways account for the bone formation and resorption phenotype induced by PTH. However, it should be borne in mind that other pathways may also affect the differentiation of MSC into osteoblast cell lines induced by PTH (Wojda and Donahue, 2018).

Both animal and human models have validated that the continuous increase of serum PTH (1–34) can induce catabolism, while an indirect increase leads to anabolism. Although the osteogenesis effects are similar, continuous administration can cause increased calcification and, ultimately, an increase in the trabecular bone (Tam et al., 1982). Another concept is that continuous versus intermittent PTH administration may induce bone turnover at the cortical and trabecular sections. It has been shown that continuous administration can result in osteoclast excitation and increased cellular span, potentiating endosteal resorption. In contrast, intermittent administration results in increased trabecular bone volume. Intermittent PTH treatment plays a major role in mediating the anabolism of osteoblasts. The main receptor of PTH and PTHrP is the G protein-coupled receptor PTH1R, which is well-recognized to be present on the membranes of osteoblasts, bone cells, stromal cells, T cells, and macrophages. As one of the main drugs broadly approved for osteoporosis therapy, recombinant human PTH(1–34) is used to treat osteoporosis by suppressing bone resorption and promoting bone formation, which reduces the risk of bone fractures (Kaback et al., 2008). One study documented a case of osteoporosis where osteointegration improved significantly after teriparatide (rh [1–34] PTH) treatment in a case of hemiarthroplasty accompanied by signs of aseptic loosening (Oteo-Álvaro et al., 2011).

As the main systemic mediator of phosphate and calcium homeostases in bones, PTH has attracted significant concern in recent years in light of its role in oral health and teeth (Oteo-Álvaro et al., 2011). It is widely thought that by increasing the number of osteoblasts, inhibiting their apoptosis, and reactivating static lining cells, PTH can promote bone formation. Moreover, PTH can indirectly stimulate osteoblast differentiation with the help of insulin-like growth factor 1. Furthermore, PTH produces ODF (also known as the receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B ligand) and the cytokine osteoprotegerin (OPG). There is ample evidence suggesting that PTH positively affects the formation and reduction of the alveolar bone to stimulate osteoclasts to promote bone resorption (Aggarwal and Zavras, 2012). Another study reported that, oral PTH could promote the healing of the alveolar socket (Kuroshima et al., 2013). Given the ability of PTH to improve the bone conversion efficiency, preliminary experiments in rats involving orthodontic treatment have shown that, although PTH cannot promote tooth movement, it can reduce the recurrence rate of osteoporosis (Lee et al., 2018). The topical use of PTH/PTHrP in controlled-release hydrogels is also believed to mediate periodontal bone remodeling and improve orthodontic efficiency, reflecting the potential of PTH in orthodontic treatment. An experiment suggested that, using PTH could affect the eruption of rat teeth (Silva et al., 2016). Dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) have been extensively studied in bone and tooth regenerations. It has been shown that the parathyroid hormone enhances the osteoodontogenic differentiation of dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) and stem cells from the apical papilla (SCAPs) via the P38 MAPK pathways (Ge et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2020). At the same time, more emphasis should be placed on the impact of PTH on the periodontal tissue (Silva et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). Xu et al. proposed that the cementogenesis-enhancing effect of PTH on cementoblasts depended on the interaction of the PKA and p-ERK1/2 pathways (Xu et al., 2019). It has also been suggested that intermittent PTH promotes cementogenesis through ephrinB2-EPHB4 forward signaling (Li et al., 2021). Consistently, the indirect use of PTH can inhibit the infiltration of neutrophils to inhibit the inflammation of the apex, thereby preventing the damage to the root apex of the tooth (Otawa et al., 2015). An RCT showed that, within 72 h after implant surgery, 40 patients exhibited an earlier peak thyroxine loading compared with no prosthesis placement (Prati et al., 2013). Piotr Hadrowicz et al. advocated that the serum level of the parathyroid hormone is an effective indicator for predicting the bone condition around dental implants (Hadrowicz et al., 2017), by comparing the new bone-volume-per-tissue-volume (NBV/TV) and new bone-to-implant-contact (NBIC) parameters. U Kuchler et al.’s clinically controlled experiment in patients who had two implants in the mandible revealed that the NBV/TV of the experimental group and control was 15.4 vs. 17.6 % (11.3 vs. 16.5 % and 7.3 vs. 12.0 % in the cortical and medullary compartments, respectively) after receiving 20 µg teriparatide once a day for 28 days. These results provide the basis for Parrit’s fetal treatment after implantation (Kuchler et al., 2011a).

Animal experiments
PTH has been extensively used for implant osseointegration in experiments in rats, rabbits, dogs, and other experimental animals, yielding positive results. According to micro CT and biomechanical and tissue morphological analyses, the experimental group’s tensile strength or the resistance to reverse torque, bone-to-implant contact (BIC), BV/TV, trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), and trabecular number (Tb.N) were significantly improved compared with those of the control group, fundamentally increasing the quality and initial stability of cancellous bone osseointegration (Shirotai et al., 2003; Corsini et al., 2008; Valderrama et al., 2010). However, other trials showed that, in the case of hyperglycemia, intermittent PTH does not affect the BIC area (16 ± 12 % to 16 ± 8 %; p >
0.05), and no obvious changes were noticed in the cortical compartments of all groups (Kuchler et al., 2011b). This finding provides a basis for clinically improving the success rate of implant surgery in a poor musculoskeletal environment. PTH supplementation can even reduce the effects of poor bone healing and low bone density in a smoking environment (Lima et al., 2013). It is widely believed that the extent to which PTH plays a role is also related to age. The effect of maturity is better on young people, and the effect on older people is also obvious (Mair et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2018). In terms of dosage, the systemic administration of PTH (1–34) can still stimulate bone formation around titanium implants, even at low doses. At the same time, attempts have been made to combine PTH and other drugs to improve the osseointegration rate of implants (Li et al., 2018). It is worth noting that PTH can promote allogeneic bone and autologous bone grafting (Sheyn et al., 2013).

**Molecular mechanism**

mTOR is a non-classical serine/threonine protein kinase belonging to the phosphatidylinositol kinase-related kinase (PIKK) protein family. There are two diverse mTOR complexes in mammalian cells: mTORC1 and mTORC2. Lv et al. revealed that PTH (1–34) promotes BMSC migration and adhesion is dependent on rictor/mTORC2 signal transduction, suggesting that PTH can increase the protein expression of chemokine receptors (CXCR4 and CCR2) and adhesion factors [ICAM-1, fibronectin, and integrin β1], which substantiates the significant therapeutic effect of PTH(1–34) on osseointegration (Lv et al., 2020). In a study by Chen et al., intermittent PTH (1–34) administration could regulate downstream proteins in the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway, especially p-CREB, to stimulate the proliferation, osteogenic differentiation, and mineralization of BMSCs (Chen et al., 2016). Findings reported by Zhang et al. showed that PTH could induce osteoblast differentiation and proliferation, partly through the facilitation of the HSP90-dependent PERK-EIF2α-ATF4 pathway (Zhang et al., 2019). Recent studies by D. Agas et al. emphasized the indelible effect of p62. Compared with WT, p62-deficient mice could not increase their bone formation rate via PTH, and the RUNX2 protein expression decreased, which substantiates the strong effect of the p62 gene on the bones in the presence of PTH (Agas et al., 2020). The scarcity of endogenous PTH may lower the expression of VEGF in osteoblasts by downregulating the PKA/pAKT/HIF1α/VEGF pathway, thus affecting endochondral skeleton formation by causing a decrease in angiogenesis compared to osteogenesis, resulting in delayed healing (Ding et al., 2018). In aging rats with implants, intermittent PTH (1–34) treatment could directly improve the ability of blood vessel formation and the osteogenic potential of aging BMSCs. Furthermore, PTH (1–34) triggers more osteoclasts to participate in bone remodeling by secreting angiogenesis and osteogenic growth factors; accordingly, it can induce early vascularization and indirectly stimulate the migration or differentiation of BMSCs (Jiang et al., 2018). During the process of fracture recovery, endogenous PTH deficiency can reduce the expression of RANKL in osteoblasts to indirectly inhibit osteoclasts, mainly mediated by the classical Wnt/β-catenin signaling, becoming indispensable for normal bone repair. This pathway not only mediates the differentiation of stem cells into osteoblasts, but also the proliferation, maturation, and inhibition of the apoptosis of osteoblast precursors (Figure 3) (Grosso et al., 2015).

**Translation and application**

In recent years, many breakthroughs have been achieved in combining materials and PTH. Xiaohua Yu et al. used coating technology to apply a CaP layer onto titanium implant surfaces as a carrier to complete the delivery of PTH local drugs, thereby increasing osseointegration (Yu et al., 2012). Jiahao Tang et al. deposited a hyaluronic acid/β-polysylne multilayer film containing PTHrP on a titanium implant layer by using layer electro-assembly technology for bone implantation. It was found that the osteoblast cell line MC3T3-E1 showed high levels of ALP activity and osteoblast-related protein expression in vitro (< 0.05), and a significant initial increase in osteogenesis was observed in vivo (Tang et al., 2020). Zhou-Shan Tao et al. used another electrochemical deposition method to increase the strontium-doped hydroxyapatite coating (PTH + Sr-HA) for an intermittent local administration of the human parathyroid hormone (1–34); micro-CT and biomechanical evaluations were used to assess the improvement of osseointegration and to assist in confirming the feasibility of this method to improve dental osseointegration (Tao et al., 2016).

**Vitamin D deficiency: An easily overlooked factor that affects the implant osteointegration rate**

**Vitamin D and bone metabolism**

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble biomolecule required by the human body, usually referred to as vitamin D2 (ergocalciferol) or vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol). Only cholecalciferol can be endogenously generated in the skin. The precursor of vitamin D3 is formed by the photolysis of 7-dehydrocholesterol (provitamin D3) triggered by UV–B radiation from the skin. Vitamin D (D2 and D3) from the skin and diet undergo two sequential steps of hydroxylation: the first step is in the liver and the second one is in the kidney to obtain its biologically active form 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1.25 [OH] D) (Holick, 2006). Importantly, Vitamin D actively participates in the formation, absorption, and mineralization of bones. Interestingly, 1,25 [OH] 2 D in the circulation inhibits serum PTH through negative feedback circulation and elevated serum calcium levels (Holick, 2006).
Correspondingly, the increase in PTH increases calcium re-absorption by the renal tubules, promotes bone calcium mobilization, and enhances the production of 1.25[OH]2 D to restore calcium homeostasis (Holick, 2002). The small intestine can absorb about 10–15 % of dietary calcium at low vitamin D levels, leading to insufficient calcium absorption. Therefore, maintaining adequate levels of vitamin D is essential for normal metabolism, including bone health.

Vitamin D is a steroid hormone that significantly affects bone mineralization. A study found hypocalcemia, hypophosphatemia, and secondary hyperparathyroidism in mice with corresponding gene knockout (VDR−/- and (OH)ASE-/ -) given a normal diet, which was accompanied by skeletal morphological abnormalities, including insufficient mineralization of the cartilage, primary cavernous, and cortical bone, and increased non-mineralized osteoids in the cortical bone and trabecular bone (Panda et al., 2004). The function of vitamin D on skeleton formation, resorption, and turnover is achieved through the regulation of osteoblasts and osteoclasts (Rapuri et al., 2007). By affecting the expression of the growth factors interacting with other growth factors and hormones in the control of osteoblast differentiation, 1.25-(OH)2D3 regulates osteoblasts (Lian and Stein, 1993). Vitamin D sterol directly stimulates the maturation of osteoblasts (Pereira et al., 2019). In vitro, 1.25(OH)2 D is also an effective osteoclast stimulator. Large doses of 1.25(OH)2 D can combine with VDR in osteoblasts and increase the release of RANKL in osteoblasts, which increases the ratio of RANKL to OPG, increases the formation and role of osteoclasts, and affects bone resorption (Kitazawa et al., 2008). Indeed, vitamin D enables the regulation of the coupling of osteoblasts/osteoclasts/osteocytes, making it an extremely important part of implant osseointegration.

**Related clinical reports**

Case report studies have reported that serum vitamin D deficiency (Serum vitamin D level <20 μg/L) is related to the early failure of implant placement (Fretwurst et al., 2016). Retrospective clinical studies have shown that, although the incidence of early implantation failure is on the rise with the exacerbation of vitamin D deficiency, the association between low vitamin D content and early implantation failure is not statistically significant, which may be due to an insufficient amount of related cases (Mangano et al., 2016). Therefore, vitamin D is an important biological risk factor that is easily overlooked. A study was conducted on 90 implant sites in 53 included participants [healthy group around the implant (n = 30), mucositis around the implant (n = 30), and inflammation around the implant (n = 30)] and peri-implant sulcular fluid (PISF) samples were obtained in patients with different probe depth, clinical attachment level, suppuration, modified plaque index, gingival index, modified sulcus bleeding index, and keratinized mucosa width parameters. Analysis of the levels of 25(OH)D 3 by the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay method found that the total amount of 25(OH)D 3 in the peri-implant inflammation group was
significantly lower than in the healthy group (Choukroun et al., 2014).

In vivo and In vitro Experiments

In animal models, the lack of vitamin D caused unexpected serious damage to the osseointegration of titanium plants in the body. Compared with the control group, the BIC of Sprague–Dawley rats in the vitamin D deficiency group was significantly reduced after stopping the vitamin D intake and ultraviolet radiation. The SEM analysis showed that the calcified tissue remaining near the implant’s surface after the push-in test was abnormally fragmented (Kelly et al., 2009). In addition, the weighted gene co-expression network analysis of the SD rat implant femoral specimens showed that a large co-expression network containing Npas2, Bmal1, and Vdr was formed with vitamin D deficiency. Therefore, it was pointed out that the circadian rhythm system and cartilage extracellular matrix may jointly participate in osseointegration under the regulation of vitamin D (Hassan et al., 2017). Systemic administration of vitamin D can significantly increase the percentage of BV/TV, Tb.Th, and Tb.N after implants in osteoporotic rats and mice with chronic kidney disease (Zhou et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014). The combined use of vitamin D3 and insulin can also promote osseointegration of titanium implants in diabetic mice (Wu et al., 2013). Olivares-Navarrete et al. proposed that 1.25(OH)2D3 exerted a sex-dependent regulation of osteoblast differentiation on the morphology of the titanium substrate treated by acid etching and/or sandblasting (Olivares-Navarrete et al., 2015). In a recent prospective randomized controlled clinical trial, the experimental group was divided into three groups: Group A (n = 43) with 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in blood serum <30 ng/ml without vitamin D supplementation), group B (n = 48) with 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in blood serum <30 ng/ml, with vitamin D supplementation, and group C (n = 31) with normal levels of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in blood serum (≥30 ng/ml). The results showed that after 12 weeks of the implant surgery, the bone 25-hydroxycholecalciferol levels around the implants in groups B and C were significantly higher than group A after 6 weeks, while levels in group B were significantly higher than in group A (Kwiatek et al., 2021).

Translation and application

The modification of vitamin D on the surface of implant materials is indispensable in promoting osseointegration. The 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin d3 coating on the implant’s surface has made preliminary progress in achieving osseointegration in animal experiments (Naito et al., 2014). The biocompatible coating of titanium (Ti) implants of 7-dehydrocholesterol (7-DHC) (the precursor of vitamin D) activated by ultraviolet light can promote MSC and osteogenesis differentiation with varying degrees and durations of light, leading to a decreased Rankl gene expression (Naito et al., 2014). Attempts have been made to construct multilayered molecular reservoirs on implants for combinational drug delivery and 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3-loaded hierarchical titanium scaffold. The former could upregulate the calcium-binding protein and BMP2 in osteoblasts, while the latter could promote early osseointegration (Chen et al., 2020; He et al., 2020).

Both locally and systemically, melatonin can regulate the osseointegration rate

Melatonin and oral bone metabolism

Melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) is a neurohormone predominantly synthesized and secreted by the pineal gland in the brain (Reiter, 1991). Furthermore, it is also generated in other organs and tissues, including the spleen, thymus, ovary, testis, retina, intestine, and bone marrow. Only the melatonin secretion by the pineal gland and retina follows a classical circadian rhythm, whose secretion is inhibited by light and regulated by a circadian clock located in the hypothalamus. At the onset of darkness, the reduced retinal input leads to dis-inhibition of the enzymes responsible for melatonin synthesis. This increased nocturnal synthesis peaks in plasma concentrations between 2 and 4 a.m., with levels declining until the onset of the day, where low concentrations are observed (Lalanne et al., 2021). The past decade has witnessed significant scientific advancements, and melatonin has been found to participate in many biological activities in the body in recent years, such as the sleep–wake cycle, gastrointestinal physiology, immune defense, and bone physiology (Wang B. et al., 2019). The action of melatonin is accomplished by binding indoleamine to membrane receptors (MT 1, MT 2, and MT 3) or nuclear receptors (ROR/RZR) (Cutando et al., 2011).
The effect of melatonin on bone physiology is reflected in many aspects; the most direct is bone growth, bone remodeling, and bone repair (Liu et al., 2013). The main mechanisms of the effect of melatonin on bone metabolism include promoting the differentiation and activity of osteoblasts, inhibiting osteoclast differentiation, and scavenging free radicals to rescue osteoporosis (Luo et al., 2019).

First, melatonin directly affects the role of osteoblasts and osteoclasts. At present, many studies have confirmed the regulatory capacity of melatonin on BMSC. It is well-recognized that melatonin’s osteogenesis is mainly mediated via MT2 receptors (Sharan et al., 2017). Moreover, melatonin can activate Wnt5a/β-catenin, phosphorylate JNK and ERK, and enhance cell differentiation, migration, and bone mineralization. At the mRNA level, Runx2, OCN, BMP-2, and BMP-4 are upregulated (Park et al., 2011). Furthermore, melatonin/BMP9 is believed to synergistically initiate the AMPK/β-catenin pathway and contribute to efficient bone formation in BMSCs (Jiang et al., 2019). The PDGF/AKT signaling pathway also participates in osteoblast development (Zhu et al., 2020). Under hypoxic conditions, osteoblastic differentiation and bone-forming capacity are reportedly suppressed, which can be relieved by melatonin by activating the p38 MAPK and PRKD1 signaling pathways to enhance osteoblast differentiation (Son et al., 2014; Zhou R. et al., 2020). In addition to promoting differentiation, melatonin can reverse apoptosis and damage osteoblasts and BMSCs (Qiu et al., 2019). Genetically speaking, melatonin can inhibit the development of adipogenesis of BMSC, which turns into bone development (Wang R. et al., 2019). The accumulation of lipids and LPL during adipogenic differentiation of hMSCs can be eliminated and reduced by melatonin. The logic is that, the receptor can induce degradation of β-catenin; peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ), whose activation is blocked by melatonin. In addition, the levels of cAMP and ROS can be reduced by melatonin. In early adipocyte differentiation, cAMP triggers the activity of CCAAT/enhancer binding proteinβ, a critical inducer of PPARγ and C/EBPa activation, and the production of ROS further influences this activity. Melatonin can also downregulate the expression of lipogenic markers, such as C/EBPa, C/EBPβ, and p-ERK, thus enhancing the inhibition of the adipogenic differentiation of hMSCs (Rhee and Ahn, 2016). At a certain concentration, melatonin can partially inhibit the activation of the specific adipogenic transcription factor—— C/EBPβ (Alonso-Vale et al., 2009).

Further research showed that melatonin significantly inhibited PPARγ expression but promoted the Runx2 expression in the early stages of adipogenesis and osteogenesis of hMSCs, with the synthesis of lipid droplets and the expression of adipocyte marker genes (LPL, adiponectin, aP2, and leptin) reduced to inhibit fat formation in human mesenchymal stem cells and enhance their osteogenic effects (Zhang et al., 2010). Zhou et al. found that melatonin can upregulate the osteogenic effects of BMSCs, but inhibit osteoclastogenesis via the MT2-mediated NF-κB pathway (Zhou Y. et al., 2020) (Figure 4).

It is well-established that melatonin inhibits osteolysis caused by osteoclasts. A study found that melatonin could inhibit the behavior of osteoclast differentiation, F-actin loop formation, and osteoclast absorption induced by RANKL in vitro in a concentration-dependent manner (Cutando et al., 2014). It has been reported that melatonin could inhibit the differentiation of RAW 264.7 cells into osteoclasts induced by light concentrations of RANKL and MCSF at the cellular level (Jarrar et al., 2020). Moreover, Kim et al. indicated that melatonin could inhibit osteoclast differentiation by downregulating the NF-κB pathway and reducing the induction of the NFATc1 transcription factor, though the phosphorylations of ERK, p38, and JNK were not affected. These results suggest that melatonin has an anti-osteoclast activity, which is not associated with MT1/MT2 receptors (Kim et al., 2017). Zhou et al. proposed that melatonin resists osteogenesis in a dose-dependent manner, involving reactive oxygen species (ROS) mediation, but not the silent information modifier type 1 (SIRT1) independent pathway at pharmacological concentrations rather than physiological concentrations (Zhou et al., 2017). Recently, it has been found that in lung cancer and prostate cancer, melatonin can directly inhibit the production of RANKL in cancer cells by downregulating the p38 MAPK pathway, thereby preventing cancer-related osteoclast differentiation, bone resorption, and promoting the apoptosis of mature osteoclasts (Liu et al., 2021).

As an antioxidant, melatonin can scavenge free radicals and thereby affect bone metabolism. The appearance of oxidative stress will occur when the accumulation of free radicals exceeds the limit that the antioxidant system can withstand; in this particular situation, increased bone absorption and loss are accompanied by oxidative stress (Galano and Reiter, 2018). Melatonin pretreatment is reported to effectively reverse all changes in cytotoxicity and mitochondrial dysfunction caused by H2O2-induced oxidative stress in MG63 cells, which indicates that melatonin can maintain the oxidation homeostasis of H2O2-treated cells and the mitochondrial function. (She et al., 2014). Studies on diabetic rats show that melatonin administration can reduce biomarkers related to oxidative stress and has shown beneficial effects on short-term bone formation and healing in rats induced by the streptozotocin (STZ) effect (Kose et al., 2016). It is currently known that melatonin can reduce the ROS caused by autophagy injury through Sirt3/FOXO3a/Parkin signaling or by activating the AKT/mTOR pathway to link the pro-inflammatory effects of different tissues (Ma et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2021). It can activate the intracellular antioxidant Thioredoxin-1 (thereby suppressing the TXNIP/NLRP3 pathway) and inhibiting the impaired mitophagy (upregulating LC3B-II, PINK-1, Parkin, expression) (Ma et al., 2018).
Melatonin and implants

Given the great potential of melatonin in bone physiology, more emphasis has been placed on using melatonin in stomatology (Permuy et al., 2017). As previously mentioned, the key to the success rate of implants is osseointegration. A cell experiment found that melatonin could increase the proliferation and differentiation of MG63 osteoblasts cultured on a titanium sheet (Sola-Ruiz et al., 2017). Melatonin exerts a positive effect on promoting implant osseointegration; after 2 weeks of treatment, melatonin significantly increased the circumference, bone density, new bone formation, and inter-thread bone of the bone in direct contact with the treated implant compared to the control implant (Cutando et al., 2008; Gómez-Moreno et al., 2015). Although the role of MT on the bone-remodeling period has been established, the hematoma and inflammation period in the early stage of implant surgery also affect the success or failure of implant surgery, while melatonin has been reported to protect new bone formation in an inflammatory environment (Li X. et al., 2019). In the early stages after implant surgery, the inflammatory phase can be summarized as the formation of blood clots, ischemia and reperfusion injury, and the infiltration of inflammatory cells. In the meantime, neutrophils produce radicals, triggering a series of linkage effects and cell membrane damages through lipid peroxidation. Pineal melatonin is an important free-radical scavenger and antioxidant both physiologically and pharmacologically. Overwhelming evidence substantiates that the administration of melatonin may inhibit the effect of free-oxygen free-radicals and may regulate the activity of antioxidant enzymes in the process of fracture healing (Halci et al., 2010). During the hyperplasia phase, angiogenesis, differentiation, and infiltrating of osteoblast and fibroblast, collagen deposition, and granulation formation occur. A recent study showed that melatonin actively promotes vasculogenesis during bone defect repair in rabbits (Ramirez-Fernández et al., 2013). Finally, melatonin could improve the periodontal state and reduce gingivitis and periodontitis by reducing inflammatory cytokines, oxidative stress parameters, and periodontal damage (Cutando et al., 2014; Carpentieri et al., 2017). Indeed, melatonin has huge prospects for improving the prognosis of implants and the prevention and treatment of peri-implantitis.

Animal and cell experiments

So far, numerous animal models have confirmed the promotion of osteogenesis by the use of exogenous melatonin in dental implants (Cutando et al., 2008; Takechi et al., 2008; Calvo-Guirado et al., 2009; Calvo-Guirado et al., 2010; Guardia et al., 2011; Muñoz et al., 2012; Tresguerres et al., 2012; Calvo-Guirado et al., 2015; Salomé-Coll et al., 2016b; Dundar et al., 2016; Arora and Ivanovski, 2017; Palin et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020). Parameters used to evaluate the success of implant osseointegration include BIC, BV/TV, Tb.N, the mean connective density (Conn.D), Tb.Th, and so on. Most studies report that within a short period (ranging from 2 to 8 weeks) after implantation, melatonin significantly increases BIC, BV/TV, and Tb.N.
After implant surgery, melatonin was systemically administered in some studies (Takechi et al., 2008; Palin et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020), while others applied melatonin topically (Cutando et al., 2008; Calvo-Guirado et al., 2009; Calvo-Guirado et al., 2010; Guardia et al., 2011; Muñoz et al., 2012; Tresguerres et al., 2012; Salomó-Coll et al., 2016b; Dundar et al., 2016) (Table 2). Palin et al. showed that the RUNX2, ALP, osteopontin (OP), and osteocalcin (OC) expressions were different in pinealectomized rats compared with the control group; after using melatonin, these expression levels could be restored to a certain extent (Palin et al., 2018), Sun et al. reported that the daily use of melatonin after implant surgery resulted in a difference in the bone morphological parameters, as the expression of the runt-related Runx2, OC, and osteoprotegerin genes were significantly upregulated and the RANKL gene was downregulated (Sun et al., 2020). Recently, some experimental studies have found that

| Author             | Animal                  | Number | Postoperative observation time | Whether accompanied by other agents | Result and/or conclusions                                                                 | Time  |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Guardia et al. (2011) | Beagle dogs            | 12     | 5w 8w                          | Alone                               | After 5 and 8 weeks of treatment, melatonin significantly increased inter-thread bone (p < 0.05) and new bone formation (p < 0.05) in 2 weeks compared with the control implant. | 2011  |
| Takechi et al. (2008) | Wistar rat             | 24     | 4w                             | FGF-2                               | These results strongly indicate that melatonin and FGF-2 have the potential to promote osseointegration. | 2008  |
| Cutando et al. (2008) | Beagle dogs            | 12     | 14d                            | Alone                              | Melatonin significantly increased the bone circumference (p < 0.0001), bone density (p < 0.0001), new bone formation (p < 0.0001) and inter-thread bone (p < 0.05) in direct contact with the treatment implant and control implants. | 2008  |
| Calvo-Guirado et al. (2009) | Beagle dogs          | 12     | 3m                             | discrete calcium deposit (DCD) surface | The combination of melatonin and porcine bone on the DCD implant shows more bone-to-implant contact. | 2009  |
| Tresguerres et al. (2012) | New Zealand rabbits | 10     | 4w                             | Alone                              | Local application of melatonin during implant placement may induce more trabecular bone and higher trabecular area density at the implant contact. | 2012  |
| Muñoz et al. (2012) | Beagle dogs            | 12     | 2w 5w 8w                       | Growth hormone (GH)                 | GH and melatonin can synergistically promote the formation of new bone around titanium implants in the early stages of healing. | 2012  |
| Calvo-Guirado et al. (2015) | New Zealand rabbits | 20     | 1w 4w                          | Alone                              | Topical application of melatonin increases the BIC value of titanium and zirconia implants at 1 week. | 2015  |
| Dundar et al. (2016) | New Zealand rabbits   | 6      | 4w                             | Alone                              | Within the limitations of this animal study, topical application of 5% melatonin or 10% vitamin D can improve bone formation around implants placed immediately after tooth extraction and help reduce CBL after 12 weeks of osseointegration. | 2016  |
| Salomó-Coll et al. (2016b) | American foxhound dogs | 5      | 12w                           | Alone                              | Within the limitations of this animal study, the topical application of melatonin improved bone formation around immediate implants and reduced lingual bone and lingual peri-implant mucosa, after 12 weeks of osseointegration. | 2016  |
| Palin et al. (2018) | Wistar rat             | 18     | 60d                            | Alone                              | The loss of the pineal gland impairs the bone repair process during osseointegration, but daily supplementation of melatonin can restore this response. | 2018  |
| Sun et al. (2020) | SD rat                 | 30     | 12w                            | Alone                              | Systemic melatonin can help improve the fixation of HA-coated implants by promoting Runx2, OC and OPG gene expression and inhibiting RANKL gene expression, even in osteopenic rats. | 2020  |
melatonin can inhibit osteolysis and osteoinhibition caused by titanium-wear particles through different signaling pathways (Zhang et al., 2020), which is helpful to further explore the pathway and mechanism of melatonin on the osseointegration of the implant surface.

Translation and application

An increasing amount of evidence from recently published studies suggests that melatonin can be used in implant coatings via sol-gel coatings (70M30T) as a release vehicle for MLT (Cerqueira et al., 2020). A composite adhesive hydrogel system (GelMA-DOPA@MT) was reported to achieve the sustained release of MT in the area of interest (Xiao et al., 2020). Accordingly, melatonin has huge potential for application in implants and related fields.

The relationship between growth hormone levels and the osseointegration rate

Growth hormone

Growth hormone (GH) is a hormone secreted by pituitary somatotrophs in a pulsatile manner and acts on peripheral tissues, stimulating the liver and cartilage tissue to release a variety of bone-growth factors. Systemic GH treatment has been used in osteoporosis patients, reducing the risk of fractures and increasing bone turnover (Ohlsson and Vidal, 1998). The topical application of GH can also promote osteoblast differentiation and improve the mechanical properties and longitudinal growth of bones (Isaksson et al., 1982; Barnard et al., 1991). As for bone defects, the local application of GH can also increase the repair of biological materials (Guicheux et al., 1998).

For periodontal tissue, after 48 h of culture with GH, disparities in the expression of osteogenic markers, e.g., ALP, BMP, BSP, osteocalcin, and osteopontin, have been reported between periodontal cells and alveolar bone cells (Haase et al., 2003), which also lays the foundation for subsequent research (Figure 4).

Continuous administration of the systemic human growth hormone (hGH) to rabbits can reportedly promote the osseointegration of implants to a certain extent and improve the initial stability; nonetheless, long-term studies are lacking due to the rapid formation of antibodies (Stenport et al., 2001). In contrast, the topical use of the growth hormone has greater research prospects. Early research studies found that calcium phosphate-coated implants loaded with the growth hormone improved bone ingrowth and contact in grooves (Blom et al., 1998). Subsequently, further animal experimental studies corroborated that the topical use of the growth hormone could enhance the bone response around the implant, such as an increased periosteal response and corresponding cortical response and mineralization of osteoid (Tresguerres et al., 2002). W for biomechanics such as pull-out stress values, bone morphological parameters such as BIC and bone mineral density (BMD) are increased significantly (Martin-Monge et al., 2017). The local administration of the combination of melatonin and growth hormone could also synergistically enhance the formation of new bone around the titanium implant and increase BIC in the early stages of healing (Muñoz et al., 2012).

Conclusion

Hormones represent high-efficiency information-transmitting chemical substances that undertake the indispensable tasks of coordinating metabolism, growth and development, and other physiological processes. They constitute an information exchange and cascade network, playing an essential role directly or indirectly in the various stages of bone growth, metabolism, damage and repair, and recovery after implant surgery. For example, in addition to the release of pituitary hormones and growth hormones, the pituitary also indirectly affects the release of sex hormones, adrenaline, and glucocorticoids. There is also a large amount of synergy and antagonism between hormones, such as the synergistic effect between leptin and insulin and the treatment of diabetes by melatonin osteogenic differentiation inhibition. More importantly, clinically, the lack of a single hormone is rarely observed and comprehensive and complex multiple endocrine disorders are more common. For example, elderly female patients may have both diabetes and estrogen deficiency. Hormone deficiency can reduce implant osseointegration by influencing the general systematic network. Correspondingly, exogenous hormones can be used as a treatment for diseases characterized by low bone mass and susceptibility to fractures or hormonal disorders as potential risk factors to increase bone mass and osseointegration success rates. Nonetheless, there are still research gaps in the experimental technology in this field. The influence of the complement system and antagonistic effect of hormones on the osseointegration of implants warrant further basic research. Clinically, patients tend to be cautious about hormone supplementation, emphasizing the need to improve our current understanding of hormone substitution and the synergy between hormones. In addition, although the femoral implant models of mice and rats represent the main animal models, no consensus has been reached on the optimal approach to assess the implantation methods so far. Currently available measurement methods are very different and cannot be used to conduct cross-data comparisons.

On the other hand, although animal experiments are relatively mature, there is still a lack of well-designed clinical follow-ups and mature epidemiological studies to positively reflect the relationship between human response hormones and osseointegration. More importantly, a significant emphasis should be placed on quantifying preoperative hormone levels. To improve the prognosis, the preoperative plan for at-risk patients can also be further improved with the
help of endocrinologists. Moreover, the relative contraindications of implants should be redefined.
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