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A Potential Wasteform for Cs Immobilization: Synthesis, Structure Determination, and Aqueous Durability of Cs₂TiNb₆O₁₈

Tzu-Yu Chen,*‡ Ewan R. Maddrell,§ Neil C. Hyatt,* and Joseph A. Hriljac*†

Abstract: Cs₂TiNb₆O₁₈ is a potential ceramic wasteform for the long-term immobilization of radioactive cesium. Cs₂TiNb₆O₁₈ was synthesized using the aqueous precursor method and a solid-state reaction, and its crystal structure was determined from the Rietveld refinement of synchrotron X-ray and neutron powder diffraction data. The structure is a pyrochlore analogue, space group P3m1 with Cs in 9-fold coordination. The calculated bond valence sum from analysis of neutron diffraction data of 0.84 and high coordination number suggest that Cs has a strong bonding environment. The chemical aqueous durability was investigated using the MCC-1 and PCT-B standard test methods. The measured Cs leach rates of 3.8 × 10⁻³ and 2.1 × 10⁻³ g m⁻² day⁻¹ obtained via the MCC-1 and PCT-B methods, respectively, demonstrate good promise of a safe long-term immobilization material comparable to, if not better than, hollandite—the material in the multiphase titanate ceramics (Synroc) targeted for cesium sequestration.

Introduction

Several radioisotopes of cesium are produced during uranium fission, and three remain after spent fuel discharge: ¹³⁴Cs, ¹³⁵Cs, and ¹³⁷Cs with half-lives of 2.1, 2.3 million, and 30.2 years, respectively. ¹³⁷Cs and ¹⁰⁶Sr, medium-life fission products, account for the majority of radiation from spent fuel between a few and a few hundred years after use. ¹³⁷Cs is the main medium-term health risk remaining from the Chernobyl and Fukushima accidents, as cesium can biologically substitute for potassium in living organisms. In addition, most common cesium compounds are water-soluble and will transport rapidly in the environment with groundwater. It has been estimated that the Fukushima accident released approximately 10 PBq of ¹³⁷Cs into the environment with approximately 10% of that deposited on land in Japan.¹⁻³ The removal of this radionuclide from the environment continues to be a large part of the cleanup effort, with the main route being removal by inorganic ion exchange materials. After use, these low-density materials are classified as high-level waste and are currently being stored on site. Clearly a better situation would be the safe conversion of A, B, Cs, O₄ₓ where x ≤ 2, the A site is occupied by a large monovalent and/or divalent cations (e.g., Cs⁺ and Ba²⁺), and the B and C sites contain octahedrally coordinated cations such as Ti⁴⁺ and Al³⁺ with a valence between 2 and 5. In spite of the relatively open framework type structure of (Ba, Cs) hollandite, the large Ba²⁺ and Cs⁺ cations are securely locked within the tunnels surrounded by eight oxygen anions, forming a cage that due to the relatively high bonding energy inhibits their free migration. Many studies throughout the years have also demonstrated that the ability of hollandite to immobilize cations over a wide compositional range is likely due to the low ionic conductivity of the cations in the tunnels.⁴⁻⁷

The other advantage of hollandite is that it is a natural electron trap when cesium radioactively decays to barium with reduction of Ti(IV) into Ti(III) or Fe(III) into Fe(II). However, radiation stability is still also of concern. Although hollandite phases do not host actinides, in a multiphase ceramic system it will also experience irradiation from α particles emitted in adjacent actinide-containing phases. When hollandite is irradiated by heavy ions, an expansion in the unit cell volume and a structural transformation from tetragonal to a lower symmetry monoclinic structure, or even an amorphization, can occur.⁸⁻⁹ The anisotropy of the unit cell expansion causes an increase in the size of the channels along the c axis, which could significantly affect the ability of the barium hollandite structure to retain Cs cations in an aqueous environment.¹⁰
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There is a need to develop new geochemically stable materials in the disposal environment to ensure a safer cesium fixation. IONSIV IE-911 is a material commercially available from UOP and has been used to remove cesium from wastewater in the Fukushima efforts as well as at several Magnox storage ponds in the U.K. and various US locations, including Three Mile Island, Savannah River, and Oak Ridge National Laboratory. In previous work we showed that hot isostatic pressing of Cs-exchanged IONSIV IE-911 leads to a dense mixture of ceramic phases with the cesium partitioning into Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ at lower exchange levels and then a mixture of Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ and Cs$_2$ZrSi$_6$O$_{18}$ at higher exchange levels. Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ is a pyrochlore analogue first reported by Desgardin et al. in 1977 but has been little researched since then. These workers also studied related phases of composition A$_2$B$_6$TiO$_{18}$ (A = Cs, Rb, Tl, and B = Ta, Nb) with an interest in ionic conductivity. Other materials with the pyrochlore structure have been studied as ceramic wasteforms for radionuclides, as they have a good coordination environment for large cations such as cesium and actinides. The focus of this work is to study in detail the crystal structure and aqueous

| Table 1. XRF Results of Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ Synthesized via a Solid-State Reaction and the Aqueous Precursor Route |
|---|---|---|---|
| element | theoretical wt % | atom % | solid state wt % | atom % | aqueous precursor wt % | atom % |
| Cs | 22.93 | 7.41 | 23.14 ± 0.28 | 7.47 | 21.29 ± 0.22 | 6.83 |
| Ti | 4.13 | 3.70 | 4.37 ± 0.67 | 3.91 | 4.13 ± 0.53 | 3.66 |
| Nb | 48.09 | 22.22 | 47.68 ± 0.04 | 22.02 | 49.31 ± 0.03 | 22.52 |

![Figure 1. (a–d) XRD patterns showing the phase growth of Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ after one to four times of sintering using the solid-state route. (e) XRD pattern of Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ using an aqueous precursor route. The + and ● symbols denote the positions of the peaks according to ICDD PDF 01-070-0674 (Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$) and 00-005-0379 (Nb$_2$O$_5$), respectively.](image)

![Figure 2. TG/DTA/MS plot for the reaction of the aqueous precursor converting to Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$.](image)
leaching behavior of Cs₂TiNb₆O₁₈ to assess its suitability as a ceramic wasteform.

Cs₂TiNb₆O₁₈ can be synthesized via a solid-state reaction, the easiest and most straightforward way as reported by Desgardin et al.²¹ However, due to the potential volatility of cesium compounds at high temperature, a solid-state reaction which requires high firing temperature and long duration is not ideal. Alternatively, an aqueous precursor route, which creates

Table 2. Refinement Parameters, Unit Cell Parameters, Refined Atom Positions, Occupancies, and Isotropic Displacement Parameters from the Refinement of Synchrotron PXRD for Cs₂TiNb₆O₁₈ Synthesized via the Aqueous Precursor Method

| refinement param | Refinement and Lattice Parameters | lattice param |
|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| R_wp/%           | 17.53                            | a/Å           | 7.53923(2)   |
| R_p/%            | 12.96                            | e/Å           | 8.19426(3)   |
| χ²               | 3.027                            | V/Å³          | 403.361(2)   |

| Refined Atom Positions, Multiplicities, Occupancies, and Isotropic Displacement Parameters |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| x         | y          | z           | mult | occ^a | U_iso(Å²) |
| Cs1      | 0.3333     | 0.6667      | 0.6339(17) | 2   | 1     | 0.0198(3) |
| Nb1      | 0          | 0           | 0.5    | 1    | 0.743(8) | 0.0076(5) |
| Ti1      | 0          | 0           | 0.5    | 1    | 0.257(8) | 0.0076(5) |
| Nb2      | 0.16934(6) | -0.16934(6) | 0.14599(11) | 6   | 0.876(1) | 0.0018(1) |
| Ti2      | 0.16934(6) | -0.16934(6) | 0.14599(11) | 6   | 0.124(1) | 0.0018(1) |
| O1       | 0.4481(4)  | -0.4481(4)  | 0.1640(7) | 6   | 1     | 0.0013(6) |
| O2       | 0.8575(4)  | -0.8575(4)  | 0.0972(7) | 6   | 1     | 0.0013(6) |
| O3       | 0.1234(4)  | -0.1234(4)  | 0.3609(8) | 6   | 1     | 0.0013(6) |

^aSite occupancies for sites Nb1/Ti1 and Nb2/Ti2 both constrained to sum to 1.

Figure 3. Simulated (green line) and experimental diffraction patterns (red dots) as well as difference pattern (purple) for Cs₂TiNb₆O₁₈ (aqueous route) using synchrotron X-ray diffraction data: (a) 2θ 0–100°; (b) 2θ 50–100°.
Table 3. Interatomic Cs–O, Ti–O, and Nb–O Distances (Å) in Cs2TiNb6O18 (Aqueous Precursor Route) Calculated on the Basis of the Refinement Result using Synchrotron X-ray Diffraction Data

| atoms | bond length/Å  | mean distance/Å  | valence sum (vu) | coordination |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Cs1 O1 | 3.300(5) (×3) | 3.39 (for 9 existing Cs–O bonds) | 0.76 | 9 |
| Cs1 O2 | 3.326(5) (×3) | | | |
| Cs1 O3 | 3.538(5) (×3) | | | |
| Cs1 O3 | 3.8115(7) (×2)* | 3.56 (for all Cs–O bonds) | | |
| Cs1 O3 | 3.8123(7) (×2)* | | | |
| Cs1 O3 | 3.8120(7) (×2)* | | | |
| Nb1 O3 | 1.974(6)(×6) | 1.98 | 5.05 | 6 |
| Ti1 O3 | 1.974(6)(×6) | 1.98 | 3.89 | 6 |
| Nb2 O1 | 1.909(1) (×2) | 1.98 | 5.10 | 6 |
| Nb2 O2 | 2.098(2) (×2) | | | |
| Nb2 O2 | 2.023(6) (×1) | | | |
| Nb2 O3 | 1.861(6) (×1) | | | |
| Ti2 O1 | 1.909(1) (×2) | 1.98 | 3.94 | 6 |
| Ti2 O2 | 2.098(2) (×2) | | | |
| Ti2 O2 | 2.023(6) (×1) | | | |
| Ti2 O3 | 1.861(6) (×1) | | | |

**av bond valence sum (vu)**

| Nb Ti | 5.08 | 3.92 |

“Distances considered too long for bonds.”

Experimental Section

**Sample Preparation.** Powder samples of Cs2TiNb6O18 were successfully prepared via both solid-state and aqueous precursor synthesis methods. For solid-state synthesis, Cs2CO3, TiO2, and Nb2O5 with a Cs:Ti:Nb molar ratio of 2:1:6 were ground to mix, pressed into pellets, and then calcined at 1200 °C for 13 h. Repeating grinding and sintering steps were required to increase the amount and purity of the product.

For the aqueous precursor synthesis, the method was modified from the literature procedure reported by Balmer et al. for Cs5ZrSi3O12 synthesis. A solution was prepared by mixing a 50 wt % aqueous solution of cesium hydroxide (CsOH) with an equal volume of ethanol. One milliliter aliquots of the CsOH/ethanol solution were injected into a mixture of titanium isopropoxide and niobium ethoxide with stirring followed by the injection of 1 mL of ethanol. The injections of CsOH/ethanol and ethanol were repeated until the CsOH/ethanol was used up. Then extra 2 mL portions of ethanol and water were added to the mixture. The concentrations of the reactants were based on a final Cs:Ti:Nb cation ratio of 2:1:6. The mixture was aged overnight and then dried in an oven at 100 °C. The precursor was then ground and pressed into pellets; these were placed in a platinum crucible and heated in air at a rate of 10 °C/min to 1200 °C and held for 15 h.

**Characterizations.** Fused borate glass beads were used for the determination of elemental compositions using X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (Bruker S8 Tiger WDXRF). Circular glass beads with flat surfaces were prepared by heating ground mixtures of sample and lithium tetraborate in a 1:10 ratio to 1050 °C in a platinum crucible. A nonwetting agent, ammonium iodide (NH4I), was added to help the bead exfoliate from the crucible. Thermogravimetric analysis and differential thermal analysis (TGA/DTA) experiments with mass spectral analysis were performed on a NETZSCH STA 449FA instrument. A sample precursor which had been dried overnight was heated to 1000 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under an oxygen atmosphere. Laboratory powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected from 5 to 90° in 2θ with a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer operating in transmission mode using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) and a LynxEye detector. An absorption correction was applied to the raw data prior to analysis using the Rietveld method.

Synchrotron (I11, Diamond, U.K.) and neutron (GEM, ISIS, U.K.) powder diffraction data sets of Cs2TiNb6O18 were collected. Rietveld refinements of the synchrotron and neutron diffraction data were performed using the General Structure Analysis System (GSAS) program.21 The starting values of the atomic positions, lattice parameters, and displacement parameters for the Cs2TiNb6O18 framework were taken from the literature.14 The atomic positions and isotropic displacement parameters (Uiso) of Ti and Nb which share the same site were constrained. The Uiso values for all of the oxygen atoms were considered to be the same. The occupancies of the Cs and Ti/Nb sites were also refined. Bond valence sums were determined by the method of Brown and Altermatt.22

For synchrotron data, the specimen displacement and lattice parameters were refined after zero and scale factor had converged and the background was graphically fitted. Then, the peak profiles were fitted to symmetric pseudo-Voigt functions (Type 3). GU, GV, and GW were first refined for Gaussian coefficients, and LX and LY were then refined for Gaussian coefficients.
refined for Lorentzian coefficients. After a satisfactory profile was achieved, the effect of preferred orientation was refined.

**Chemical Durability.** Static leaching tests were carried out using both the 1998 MCC-114 and 2002 PCT-B5 standard methods. First some of the material made by the aqueous precursor route was packed in a mild steel can and hot isostatically pressed at 190 MPa and 1100 °C for 2 h to produce a dense ceramic. Thin slices were cut, and the resultant monolithic specimens were dry-polished to a standard 600 grit surface finish. For PCT-B tests, the ceramic was ground and sieved to 75–150 μm. The sieved ceramic was washed with ASTM type I water and ethanol to remove adhering fines. Cleaned samples were placed in a 90 ± 10 °C oven overnight to dry before the test. For MCC-1 tests, monolithic specimens of known geometric surface area were immersed in DI water in Teflon pots for periods ranging from 3 to 28 days without agitation at 90 °C. The surface area to leachant volume (SA/V) ratio was held constant within 0.5 of 10 m⁻². For PCT-B tests, a 1 g portion of sample and 10 mL of leachant were sealed in the vessel. The estimated ratio of surface area to leachant volume is around 1224 m⁻². Tests for each sample were carried out in triplicate, and two vessel blanks from the same batch were used. Samples and blanks were placed in the oven at 90 °C for 7 days. Solutions were passed through a 0.45 μm syringe filter, and element concentrations were detected using ICP-MS (Agilent 7500ce).

The normalized leach rate is given by the relation

\[ (NR)_i = \frac{(C_{ij} - B_i) \times V_i}{f_i \times SA \times t} \]

where \( C_{ij} \) is the concentration of element \( i \) observed in the leachate from specimen \( j \), averaged over replicate aliquots, and \( B_i \) is the average concentration of element \( i \) observed in the leachate from the blank, averaged over replicate aliquots and blanks, \( V_i \) is the initial volume of leachate in test vessel containing specimen \( j \), \( f_i \) is the mass fraction of element \( i \) in the unleached specimen, \( SA \) is the specimen surface area in m², and \( t \) is the duration of the leach test in days.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Optimisation of Synthesis.** \( \text{Cs}_2\text{TiNb}_6\text{O}_{18} \) has been successfully synthesized using both ceramic solid-state reactions and the aqueous precursor method. The diffraction patterns shown in Figure 1a–d reveal the phase growth of \( \text{Cs}_2\text{TiNb}_6\text{O}_{18} \) with four repeated sinterings of a solid-state reaction, and a highly crystalline end product was obtained. A very small amount of an impurity was found in the final product, likely unreacted \( \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5 \) (it has a complicated monoclinic unit cell, and so it is difficult to unambiguously confirm due to reflection overlap). An attempt was made to identify it by a back-scattered SEM image (Supporting Information), but it could not be observed. The need for several high-temperature firings introduced the risk of Cs loss due to its volatility; therefore, a second sample was made using an aqueous precursor route. In this case, a highly crystalline and pure product was successfully made in one firing, as shown in Figure 1e. The advantages of the aqueous precursor method for this phase are therefore ease of composition control, reduced firing duration, and high quality of the final product. The compositions of both samples were determined using XRF, as shown in Table 1, and the results are consistent with phase-pure materials. For the ceramic sample, the atomic ratio \( \text{Cs}:(\text{Ti} + \text{Nb}) \) is 2.03:7, and for the material made by the aqueous precursor route it is 1.82:7. This is suggestive of a slight Cs deficiency for the latter, although likely this is just at the margins of the accuracy of the determination.

**Thermal Analysis.** The thermal behavior of the precursor was studied using thermogravimetric analysis with mass spectral monitoring of the evolved gases, as shown in Figure 2. The majority of the weight loss (4.77%) occurred below 600 °C and is attributed mainly to the removal of molecular water (<400 °C). This is supported by the mass spectral monitoring of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) emissions at 18 amu. The large exothermic event in the DTA curve starting around 600 °C is attributed to the crystallization of \( \text{Cs}_2\text{TiNb}_6\text{O}_{18} \).

**Rietveld Structure Refinement.** A high-resolution synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the sample made via the aqueous precursor route was collected and analyzed using the Rietveld method, and an excellent fit was obtained, as shown in Figure 3; the refinement results are presented in Tables 2 and 3. \( \text{Cs}_2\text{TiNb}_6\text{O}_{18} \) crystallizes in the trigonal system \( \text{P}3\text{m}1 \), and the refined unit cell parameters \( a \) and \( c \) are 7.53923(2) and 8.19426(3) Å, respectively, consistent with those previously reported.14 The Nb and Ti atoms are disordered over two crystallographically distinct sites, as shown in Figure 4. Completely random occupancies would correspond to site fractions of 0.857 and 0.143 for Nb and Ti, respectively. The refined values suggest a slight preference for Ti site 1, labeled as \( \text{MO}_3 \) in Figure 4a. Neutron diffraction data were collected at room temperature on the sample prepared by the ceramic route in order to further probe the Cs content and Ti/Nb ordering and better define the oxygen position. The scattering contrast of Ti vs Nb is much greater for neutrons, −3.438 and 7.054 fm,23 than for X-rays, 18 (Ti⁴⁺) and 38 electrons (Nb⁵⁺). The model was simultaneously refined against the neutron data and laboratory X-ray data; fits are
shown in Figure 5 and the Supporting Information, and refined parameters are given in Tables 4 and 5. The small amount of impurity is more noticeable in the neutron data but is not at a high enough level to significantly affect the refinement. All refined and derived parameters are essentially the same as those obtained from the analysis of the synchrotron X-ray diffraction data of the sample made via the aqueous precursor route, including the Cs content and slight preference for Ti on site 1. As the site occupancy and isotropic displacement parameters should be less correlated with these data, it does appear that the Cs site is fully occupied.

As noted above, the large difference in neutron scattering factors allows an accurate determination of the occupancies over the two octahedral metal sites. One might expect the Ti to fully reside in site 1 and the Nb in site 2, as they occur in a 1:6 ratio in the unit cell; in actual fact although there is a small preference for Ti on site 1 a significant amount is also found on site 2. There are several other examples of Ti/Nb oxides in the literature with more than one crystallographically independent site for the metal atoms that have been studied using neutron diffraction, and in all but one case there is a similar partial, rather than full, ordering. The first such study is that of TiNb$_2$O$_7$ and Ti$_2$Nb$_{10}$O$_{29}$ where one is found to be Ti rich (64.5%): one has the expected random occupancy, and the other three are Ti-poor (14.0–26.0%). In Ti$_3$Nb$_{10}$O$_{29}$ there are six sites, and again only one is Ti-rich (40.0%), one is essentially random (16.8%), and four are Ti-poor (4.5–15.5%). In Bi$_{1.8}$Sr$_{2.2}$Ti$_{0.8}$Nb$_{2.2}$O$_{12}$ there are two sites and the Ti occupancies are 36 and 22% vs a random value of 27%. In the closely related Ba$_2$LaTi$_2$Nb$_2$O$_{13}$ and Ba$_2$NdTi$_3$Nb$_2$O$_{14.5}$ the Ti shows a preference for the higher symmetry crystallographic 2c site of 45% vs 40% (random) and 80% vs 60% (random). Finally there is one case, Ba$_2$La$_2$NbTi$_3$O$_{19}$ where one site is a 50:50 mix of Ti and Nb and the second is fully occupied by Ti. One factor to consider that could potentially control the Ti/Nb ordering would be the second-order Jahn–Teller effect, as these are both d$^0$ ions. According to Bhunavesh and Gopalskrishnan, smaller cation size and larger cation charge should enhance this effect and lead to a site more distorted from octahedral geometry. In this study of Cs$_5$TiNb$_8$O$_{18}$ and those of Bi$_{1.8}$Sr$_{2.2}$Ti$_{0.8}$Nb$_{2.2}$O$_{12}$, Ba$_2$LaTi$_2$Nb$_2$O$_{15}$, Ba$_2$NdTi$_3$Nb$_2$O$_{14.5}$, and Ba$_2$La$_2$NbTi$_3$O$_{19}$ the Ti atom always prefers a less distorted site. This could be interpreted as arising from a second-order Jahn–Teller effect if the larger cation charge of Nb$^{5+}$ has a more significant role to play in comparison to the smaller size (0.605 vs 0.64 Å) of Ti$^{4+}$.
Table 4. Refinement Parameters, Unit Cell Parameters, Refined Atom Positions, Multiplicities, Occupancies, and Isotropic Displacement Parameters from the Refinement of Joint Neutron Diffraction and Laboratory XRD for Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ Synthesized via a Solid-State Reaction

| Refinement Parameters          | diffraction data | $R_{wp}/\%$ | $R_p/\%$ |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Hstgm 3                       | neutron bank 3   | 4.82        | 4.04      |
| Hstgm 4                       | neutron bank 4   | 4.73        | 4.04      |
| Hstgm 5                       | neutron bank 5   | 5.58        | 4.64      |
| Hstgm 6                       | neutron bank 6   | 4.73        | 3.87      |
| Hstgm 7                       | laboratory X-ray | 8.83        | 5.92      |

$\chi^2 = 9.193$

Lattice Parameters

- $a/\text{Å}$: 7.53 589(8)
- $c/\text{Å}$: 8.19086(10)
- $V/\text{Å}^3$: 402.836(11)

Refined Atom Positions, Multiplicities, Occupancies, and Isotropic Displacement Parameters

| x    | y    | z    | mult | occ$^a$ | $U_{iso}/\text{Å}^2$ |
|------|------|------|------|---------|----------------------|
| Cs1  | 0.3333 | 0.6667 | 0.63336(30) | 2 | 0.999(4) | 0.0286(7) |
| Nb1  | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.728(4) | 0.0045(8) |
| Ti1  | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.272(4) | 0.0045(8) |
| Nb2  | 0.16872(6) | −0.16872(6) | 0.14496(13) | 6 | 0.879(1) | 0.0044(2) |
| Ti2  | 0.16872(6) | −0.16872(6) | 0.14496(13) | 6 | 0.121(1) | 0.0044(2) |
| O1   | 0.44965(6) | −0.44965(6) | 0.15967(13) | 6 | 1 | 0.0074(1) |
| O2   | 0.85720(6) | −0.85720(6) | 0.10177(10) | 6 | 1 | 0.0074(1) |
| O3   | 0.12373(6) | −0.12373(6) | 0.36269(13) | 6 | 1 | 0.0074(1) |

$^a$Site occupancies for sites Nb1/Ti1 and Nb2/Ti2 were constrained to sum to 1.

Table 5. Interatomic Cs−O, Ti−O, and Nb−O Distances (Å) in Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ (Solid State Reaction) Calculated on the Basis of the Joint Refinement Result using Neutron Diffraction Data and Laboratory XRD Data

| atoms | bond length/Å | mean distance/Å | valence sum (vu) | coordination |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|
| Cs1   | O1            | 3.3015(15) ($\times$1) | 3.37 (for 9 existing Cs−O bonds) | 0.84 | 9 |
| Cs1   | O1            | 3.3010(15) ($\times$2) |                         |              |   |
| Cs1   | O2            | 3.2999(18) ($\times$1) |                         |              |   |
| Cs1   | O2            | 3.3004(18) ($\times$2) |                         |              |   |
| Cs1   | O3            | 3.5210(18) ($\times$1) | 3.55 (for all Cs−O bonds) |              |   |
| Cs1   | O3            | 3.5215(18) ($\times$2) |                         |              |   |
| Cs1   | O3            | 3.80959(12) ($\times$2)$^a$ |                  |              |   |
| Cs1   | O3            | 3.80912(12) ($\times$2)$^a$ |                  |              |   |
| Cs1   | O3            | 3.80966(12) ($\times$2)$^a$ |                  |              |   |
| Nb1   | O3            | 1.9681(9) ($\times$6) | 1.97 | 5.14 | 6 |
| Ti1   | O3            | 1.9681(9) ($\times$6) | 1.97 | 3.97 | 6 |
| Nb2   | O1            | 1.9168(6) ($\times$2) | 1.99 | 5.00 | 6 |
| Nb2   | O2            | 2.0843(6) ($\times$2) |                  |              |   |
| Nb2   | O2            | 2.0491(13) ($\times$1) |                  |              |   |
| Nb2   | O3            | 1.8775(14) ($\times$1) |                  |              |   |
| Ti2   | O1            | 1.9168(6) ($\times$2) | 1.99 | 3.86 | 6 |
| Ti2   | O2            | 2.0843(6) ($\times$2) |                  |              |   |
| Ti2   | O2            | 2.0491(13) ($\times$1) |                  |              |   |
| Ti2   | O3            | 1.8775(14) ($\times$1) |                  |              |   |

$^a$Distances considered too long for bonds.

$^a$Distances considered too long for bonds.
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The Ti and Nb atoms adopt regular octahedral coordination. The mean Ti/Nb–O bond distances in Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ are close to the sum of ionic radii of Ti$^{4+}$ (0.605 Å) and O$^{2-}$ (1.40 Å) and of Nb$^{5+}$ (0.64 Å) and O$^{2-}$, respectively. The calculated bond valence sums (BVSs) for each of the cations are given in Tables 3 and 5. For both refinements the Nb and Ti values are close to those expected, i.e. Ti$^{4+}$ and Nb$^{5+}$, with those from the neutron diffraction data more precise, as expected, with the oxygen atom positions being better defined. The crystal structure of Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ consists of layers of (M$_6$O$_{15}$)$_n$ sheets linked by the (MO$_3$)$_n$ octahedra parallel to the c axis by sharing corners (shown in Figure 4a); thus, cavities bounded by 21 oxygen atoms are formed. Cs cations are located between two (M$_6$O$_{15}$)$_n$ layers and almost occupy the entire volume of the “O$_{21}^-$” cages, as shown in Figure 4b. The structure of Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ is rigid with no microporosity; thus, the mobility of the Cs cation is limited. The Cs site exhibits a coordination number of 9, determined by excluding any oxygen atoms at distances that contribute less than 4% to the cation bond valence sum. Although Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ does appear to be slightly underbonded with a BVS of 0.84, the high coordinate number suggests it is tightly bound in the structure. We have calculated the Cs’ BVS values for other potential wastefoms such as the pollucites Cs(AlSi$_2$O$_6$) and Cs(FeSi$_2$O$_6$) and pyroclores CsZr$_{0.5}$W$_{1.5}$O$_6$ and CsTi$_{0.25}$Zr$_{0.25}$W$_{1.5}$O$_6$ from published crystal structures, and these are all similarly less than 1.0 with sums of 0.70, 0.67, 0.92, and 0.95, respectively. We attempted to calculate a Cs + BVS for hollandite, but the complicated disorder of the Cs/Ba in the tunnels and partial occupancy of oxygen sites led to unrealistically high values more suitable for Ba than for Cs, not surprisingly, as in these systems the mixed cation site is predominantly occupied by Ba.

**Aqueous Durability.** The normalized elemental leach rates of HIPed Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ in DI water following the MCC-1 test carried out at 90 °C are shown in Figure 6 and Table 6, and the rates from the PCT-B test are given in Table 7. It was observed that Cs leaches out at a low level but decreases with time. Low leach rates that further decrease with time were also observed in other titanate wastefoms: for example, Cs released from hollandite and actinides released from pyrochlore and zirconolite. The low rate in hollandite was attributed to the presence of a passivating TiO$_2$ layer as a diffusion barrier formed on the particle surface. It is expected that the same mechanism will operate here, although there was no clear evidence of this from SEM/BSE images of the monoliths before and after leaching (see the Supporting Information); this could be due to a very small amount of surface oxide after such a short period.

Generally, Nb and Ti are much less water soluble elements; therefore Ti and Nb showed extremely low concentrations or values below the detection limits in the leachant. The 7 day PCT-B results agree well with the MCC-1 results, even though the SA/V ratios in the two forms of leach tests differ by a factor of ~100–250. The normalized Cs leach rates in the PCT-B tests were roughly the same order of magnitude as those in MCC-1 tests for the same duration performed. The leach rates of the most soluble alkali and alkaline-earth elements in Synroc-C at 90 °C in water are typically below 0.1 g m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ for the first few days. In this work, we have shown that HIPed Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ has excellent chemical durability, even better than that reported in Al-rich hollandites (0.02–0.36 g m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) and Synroc-C (0.028 g m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ displays excellent Cs retention due to its condensed structure leading to no diffusion pathways where Cs can migrate and a chemical composition that is highly insoluble. In comparison with the MCC-1 and PCT-B results of hollandite, the material targeted for Cs sequestration in Synroc, HIPed Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ shows 1–3 orders of magnitude better Cs retention from the MCC-1 results and 3–4 orders of magnitude better from the PCT-B results. In practice, Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ is very comparable with hollandite or is even more leach resistant. For long-term considerations, Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ is a promising candidate for Cs immobilization not only due to the low leach rate but also because it should retain Ba$^{2+}$ produced by transmutation of Cs, as charge compensation to trap the electron emitted during the β decay could occur via reduction of Ti$^{4+}$ to Ti$^{3+}$ or of Nb$^{5+}$ to Nb$^{4+}$. Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ was obtained via both ceramic and aqueous precursor methods. The aqueous precursor method provides an easier and more efficient approach to produce a highly crystalline and pure material. The structural studies based on Rietveld refinements using synchrotron X-ray and neutron diffraction data support a structure with high coordination-number Cs atoms located in the cavities, indicating that Cs atoms are tightly bonded in the structure. Both MCC-1 and PCT-B test results indicate the potential of

---

**Table 6. Normalized Leach Rates (g m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) from MCC-1 Results of HIPed Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$**

| day | Ti | Nb | Cs |
|-----|----|----|----|
| 3   | 1.42 × 10$^{-8}$ | 8.55 × 10$^{-6}$ | 2.34 × 10$^{-2}$ |
| 7   | 6.14 × 10$^{-6}$ | 7.97 × 10$^{-7}$ | 1.32 × 10$^{-2}$ |
| 14  | 0.00 × 10$^{-1}$ | 2.42 × 10$^{-7}$ | 4.38 × 10$^{-3}$ |
| 28  | 2.26 × 10$^{-5}$ | 1.09 × 10$^{-5}$ | 3.75 × 10$^{-3}$ |

*Concentration below the detection limit.*

**Table 7. Normalized Leach Rates (g m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) from PCT Results of HIPed Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ (7 Days Duration)**

| av  | esd |
|-----|-----|
| Ti  | 1.41 × 10$^{-4}$ | 1.083 × 10$^{-4}$ |
| Nb  | 6.66 × 10$^{-5}$ | 4.405 × 10$^{-5}$ |
| Cs  | 2.03 × 10$^{-5}$ | 3.589 × 10$^{-5}$ |

---

**Figure 6. Normalized leach rates of Cs, Ti, and Nb as a function of time using the MCC-1 test on HIPed Cs$_2$TiNb$_6$O$_{18}$ monoliths.**
Cs$_2$TiNb$_2$O$_{18}$ as a highly chemically duraable waste form for Cs immobilization.

**ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b01826.

SEM/BSE images of Cs$_2$TiNb$_2$O$_{18}$ powder, powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the monoliths before and after leach testing, SEM/BSE images of the monoliths before and after leach testing, and observed, calculated, and difference patterns from the Rietveld refinement of the neutron diffraction data from three detector banks (PDF)

Crystallographic data for Cs$_2$TiNb$_2$O$_{18}$ (CIF)

**AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail for T.-Y.C.: t.chen.3@bham.ac.uk.

*E-mail for J.A.H.: j.a.hriljac@bham.ac.uk.

ORCID®

Joseph A. Hriljac: 0000-0001-9978-6530

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Jackie Deans for technical assistance. This research was partially funded by the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority through a studentship bursary to T.-Y.C. and research sponsorship to N.C.H. We gratefully acknowledge the National Nuclear Laboratory (NNL), the EPSRC (EP/L014041/1, DISTINCTIVE), and NCH the Royal Academy of Engineering for additional research sponsorship. We thank Diamond Light Source for access to beamline I11 (EE12092) and the ISIS Pulsed Neutron and Muon Source supported by the Science and Technology Facilities Council for access to GEM that contributed to the results presented here. The Bruker D8, Bruker S8, and Netzsch STA 449FA instruments used in this research were obtained through Birmingham Science City: Creating and Characterizing Next Generation Advanced Materials (West Midlands Centre for Advanced Materials Project 1), with support from Advantage West Midlands (AWM) and partially funded by the European Regional Development Fund (EDRF). The Advanced Materials Facility is part of the Centre for Chemical and Materials Analysis in the School of Chemistry at the University of Birmingham. Data associated with the results shown in this paper are accessible from the University of Birmingham Archive: http://epapers.bham.ac.uk/2217/.

**REFERENCES**

(1) Kobayashi, T.; Nagai, H.; Chino, M.; Kawamura, H. Source Term Estimation of Atmospheric Release due to the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident by Atmospheric and Oceanic Dispersion Simulations. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 2013, 50, 255–264.

(2) Chino, M.; Nakayama, H.; Nagai, H.; Terada, H.; Kataa, G.; Yumazawa, H. Preliminary Estimation of Release Amounts of 131I and 137Cs Accidentally Discharged from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant into the Atmosphere. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 2011, 48, 1129–1134.

(3) Saito, K.; Tanihata, I.; Fujiwara, M.; Saito, T.; Shimoura, S.; Otsuka, T.; Onda, Y.; Hoshi, M.; Ikuchi, Y.; Takahashi, F.; Kinouchi, N.; Saegusa, J.; Seki, A.; Takemiyia, H.; Shibata, T. Detailed Deposition Density Maps Constructed by Large-scale Soil Sampling for Gamma-ray Emitting Radioactive Nuclides from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident. J. Environ. Radioact. 2015, 139, 308–319.

(4) Xu, Y.; Wen, Y.; Grote, R.; Amoroso, J.; Nickles, L. S.; Brinkman, K. S. A-site Compositional Effects in Ga-doped Hollandite Materials of the Form Ba$_x$Cs$_2$Ga$_{2+y}$Ti$_{12−x}$O$_{36}$: Implications for Cs Immobilization in Crystalline Ceramic Waste Forms. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 634414.

(5) Watanabe, M.; Fujiki, Y.; Kanazawa, Y.; Tsukimura, K. The Effects of Cation Substitution on the Hollandite-type Structure. J. Solid State Chem. 1987, 66, 56–63.

(6) Abe, H.; Satoh, A.; Nishida, K.; Abe, E.; Naka, T.; Imai, M.; Kitazawa, H. Electrochemical Immobilization of Cs in Single-crystalline SYNROC. J. Solid State Chem. 2006, 179, 1521–1524.

(7) Leinekugel-Le-Cocq, A. Y.; Deniard, P.; Jobic, S.; Cerny, R.; Bart, F.; Emerich, H. Synthesis and Characterization of Hollandite-type Material Intended for the Specific Containment of Radioactive Cesium. J. Solid State Chem. 2006, 179, 3196–3208.

(8) Bursill, L. A.; Smith, D. J. Electron Irradiation Effects in (Cs, Ba)-hollandites. J. Solid State Chem. 1987, 69, 343–354.

(9) Tang, M.; Tumurugoti, P.; Clark, B.; Sundaram, S. K.; Amoroso, J.; Marra, J.; Sun, C.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y. Q.; Jiang, Y. B. Heavy Ion Irradiations on Synthetic Hollandite-type Materials: Ba$_x$Cs$_2$A$_2$Ti$_5$O$_{16}$ (A = Cr, Fe, Al). J. Solid State Chem. 2016, 239, 58–63.

(10) Ewing, R. C.; Weber, W. J.; Clindar, F. W. Radiation Effects in Nuclear Waste Forms for High-level Radioactive Waste. Prog. Nucl. Energy 1995, 29, 63–127.

(11) Smith, F. G.; Lee, S. Y.; King, W. D.; McCabe, D. J. Comparisons of Crystalline Silicotitanate and Resorcinol Formaldehyde Cemex Removal by In-tank Column Processing. Sep. Sci. Technol. 2008, 43, 2929–2942.

(12) Walker, J. F.; Taylor, P. A.; Lee, D. D. Cesium Removal from High-pH, High-salt Wastewater using Crystalline Silicotitanate Sorbent. Sep. Sci. Technol. 1999, 34, 1167–1181.

(13) Chen, T.-Y.; Hriljac, J. A.; Gandy, A. S.; Stennett, M. C.; Hyatt, N. C.; Maddrell, E. R. Thermal Conversion of Cs-exchanged IONSIV IE-911 into a Novel Caesium Ceramic Wasteform by Hot Isostatic Pressing. MRS Online Proc. Libr. 2013, 1518, 67–72.

(14) Desgardin, G.; Robert, C.; Groult, D.; Raveau, B. New Structural Family - Titanoniobates and Titanotantalates A$_x$Nb$_2$TiO$_{14}$ and A$_x$Ta$_2$TiO$_{16}$. J. Solid State Chem. 1977, 22, 101–111.

(15) Desgardin, G.; Robert, C.; Raveau, B. Etude du Comportement du Thallium dans de Nouvelles Structures a Tunnels Entrecroises: Les Oxydes Ti$_x$Nb$_2$TiO$_{18}$ et Ti$_x$Ta$_2$TiO$_{18}$. Mater. Res. Bull. 1978, 13, 621–626.

(16) Boccaccini, A. R.; Atiq, S.; Grimes, R. W. Hot-pressed Glass Matrix Composites Containing Pyrochlore Phase Particles for Nuclear Waste Encapsulation. Adv. Eng. Mater. 2003, 5, S01–S08.

(17) Ewing, R. C.; Weber, W. J.; Lian, J. Nuclear Waste Disposal-Pyrochlore A$_2$B$_2$O$_7$: Nuclear Waste Form for the Immobilization of Plutonium and “minor” Actinides. J. Appl. Phys. 2004, 95, 5949–5971.

(18) Zhang, Y.; Li, H.; Moricca, S. Pyrochlore-structured Titane Ceramics for Immobilisation of Actinides: Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIPing) and Stainless Steel/Waste Form Interactions. J. Nucl. Mater. 2008, 377, 470–475.

(19) Lumpkin, G. R. Ceramic waste forms for actinides. Elements 2006, 2, 365–372.

(20) Balmer, M. L.; Su, Y. L.; Xu, H. W.; Bitten, E.; McCready, D.; Nvrotvsky, A. Synthesis, Structure Determination, and Aqueous Durability of Cs$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2001, 84, 153–160.

(21) Larsson, A. C.; von Dreele, R. B. GSAS, program, 1990.

(22) Brown, K. L.; Attermann, D. Bond-valence Parameters Obtained from a Systematic Analysis of the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 1985, 41, 244–247.

(23) Standard Test Method for Static Leaching of Monolithic Waste Forms for Disposal of Radioactive Waste. In ASTM International,
Standard Test Method for Static Leaching of Monolithic Waste Forms for Disposal of Radioactive Waste, 1998.
(24) Standard Test Methods for Determining Chemical Durability of Nuclear, Hazardous, and Mixed Waste Glasses and Multiphase Glass Ceramics: The Product Consistency Test (PCT). In ASTM International, Standard Test Methods for Determining Chemical Durability of Nuclear, Hazardous, and Mixed Waste Glasses and Multiphase Glass Ceramics: The Product Consistency Test (PCT), 2002; Vol. C, 1285-02.
(25) NIST Center for Neutron Research, Neutron scattering Lengths and Cross Sections; http://www.ncnr.nist.gov/resources/n-lengths/.
(26) Von Dreеле, R. B. V.; Cheetham, A. K. The Structures of Some Titanium-Niobium Oxides by Powder Neutron Diffraction. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 1974, 338, 311–326.
(27) Hervoches, C.; H.; Lightfoot, P. Cation Disorder in Three-Layer Aurivillius Phases: Structural Studies of Bi$_2$–Sr$_x$Ti$_y$Nb$_z$O$_{12}$ (0 < x < 0.8) and Bi$_{1-x}$La$_x$Ti$_2$O$_{12}$ (x = 1 and 2). J. Solid State Chem. 2000, 153, 66–73.
(28) Miles, G. C.; Stennett, M. C.; Reaney, I. M.; West, A. R. Temperature-dependent Crystal Structure of Ferroelectric Ba$_2$La$_3$Ti$_2$Nb$_3$O$_{15}$. J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 798–802.
(29) Prades, M.; Masó, N.; Beltrán, H.; Cordovencillo, E.; West, A. R. Synthesis, Structural Characterization, and Electrical Properties of New Oxygen-Deficient Tetragonal Tungsten Bronzes Ba$_3$NdTi$_2$La$_{1-x}$O$_{15-x/2}$ Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 1729–1736.
(30) De Paoli, J. M.; Alonso, J. A.; Carbonio, R. E. Synthesis and Structure Refinement of Layered Perovskites Ba$_{3-x}$La$_x$Nb$_3$O$_{15}$ (x = 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4) Solid Solutions. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 2006, 67, 1558–1566.
(31) Bhuvanesh, N. S. P.; Gopalakrishnan, J. Solid-state Chemistry of Early Transition-metal Oxides Containing d$^\text{I}$ and d$^\text{II}$ Cations. J. Mater. Chem. 1997, 7, 2297–2306.
(32) Shannon, R. D. Revised Effective Ionic Radii and Systematic Studies of Intertatomic Distances in Halides and Chalcogenides. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1976, 32, 751–767.
(33) Altermatt, D.; Brown, I. D. The Automatic Searching for Chemical-bonds in Inorganic Crystal-structures. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 1985, 41, 240–244.
(34) Robert, C.; Desgardin, G.; Raveau, B. Ion Exchange Properties of the Oxides Ti$_2$M$_2$O$_{15}$: the Oxides (H$_2$O)$_2$M$_2$O$_{14}$ and A$_2$M$_2$O$_{14}$·2H$_2$O (A = K, Ag). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1979, 41, 893–894.
(35) Brown, I. D. The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry: The Bond Valence Model; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 2006.
(36) Yanase, I.; Kobayashi, H.; Shibasaki, Y.; Mitamura, T. Tetragonal-to-cubic Structural Phase Transition in Pollucite by Low-temperature X-ray Powder Diffraction. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 1997, 80, 2693–2695.
(37) Bell, A. M. T.; Henderson, C. M. B. Rietveld Refinement of the Structures of Dry-synthesized MFe$_{11}$Si$_3$O$_{16}$ leucites (M = K, Rb, Cs) by Synchrotron X-ray Powder Diffraction. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1994, 50, 1531–1536.
(38) Whittle, K. R.; Lumpkin, G. R.; Ashbrook, S. E. Neutron Diffraction and MAS NMR of Cesium Tungstate Defect Pyrochlores. J. Solid State Chem. 2006, 179, S12–S21.
(39) Carter, M. L.; Gillen, A. L.; Oulfson, K.; Vance, E. R. HIPed Tailored Hollandite Waste Forms for the Immobilization of Radioactive Cs and Sr. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2009, 92, 1112–1117.
(40) Finkeldei, S.; Brandt, F.; Rozov, K.; Bukaemskiy, A. A.; Neumeier, S.; Bobnach, D. Dissolution of ZrO$_2$ Based Pyrochlores in the Acid pH Range: A Macroscopic and Electron Microscopy Study. Appl. Geochem. 2014, 49, 31–41.
(41) Zhang, K. B.; Wen, G. J.; Zhang, H. B.; Teng, Y. C. Self-propagating High-temperature Synthesis of CeO$_2$ Incorporated Zirconolite-rich Waste Forms and the Aqueous Durability. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 2015, 35, 3085–3093.
(42) Carter, M. L.; Vance, E. R.; Mitchell, D. R. G.; Hanna, J. V.; Zhang, Z.; Loi, E. Fabrication, Characterization, and Leach Testing of Hollandite, (Ba,Cs) (Al,Ti)$_2$Ti$_6$O$_{16}$. J. Mater. Res. 2002, 17, 2578–2589.
(43) Pham, D. K.; Myhra, S.; Turner, P. S. The Surface Reactivity of Hollandite in Aqueous Solution. J. Mater. Res. 1994, 9, 3174–3182.
(44) Smith, K. L.; Lumpkin, G. R.; Blackford, M. G.; Day, R. A.; Hart, K. P. Durability of Synroc. J. Nucl. Mater. 1992, 190, 287–294.