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Abstract. The CONNIE Experiment (Coherent Neutrino Nucleus Interaction Experiment) is currently collecting reactor neutrino data to search for the undiscovered standard model process of coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering (CNNS). The detector is composed of a silicon target of thick, fully-depleted, low-noise CCD detectors. Results from data collected in 2015 indicate backgrounds are controlled, and allow an estimate of sensitivity to be presented for a larger scale detector. A 2016 upgrade, adding additional target mass, and reducing readout noise, has been performed, increasing the total yield of signal events by a factor of 30, and already yielding science-quality data. Low-energy nuclear calibrations have been performed, enabling calibration down to the device energy threshold. An estimate of the sensitivity expected for measuring the coherent neutrino process is presented. Future prospects with improved detector energy thresholds are estimated.
1. Introduction

The standard-model process of neutrinos coherently interacting with the nucleons of a nucleus was predicted in 1974 [1], but has not yet been measured. The rate is higher than other neutrino interactions due to the coherent enhancement proportional to the square of the atomic number $Z$ and neutron number $N$. Despite this higher rate, the process has escaped detection, since for neutrino energies in the MeV-range as produced by nuclear reactors, the nuclear recoil energies are only in the keV range,

$$E_r = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{E_\nu}{MeV} \right)^2 A keV. \quad (1)$$

This signal is further stifled by the low ionization signal produced in a nuclear recoil. For detectors composed of silicon nuclei, predictions from the Lindhard theory [2], show a non-linear decrease in this ionization efficiency that decreases to less than 20% for nuclear recoils with energy below 1 keV.

Accounting for the neutrino energy spectra produced in a nuclear reactor, the expected cross-section for neutrino-nucleus interactions, the produced nuclear recoil energy, and the ionization yield that would be produced in silicon, the energy distribution that needs to be measured is mostly below 100 eV, as is shown in Figure 1. The small energy deposits are the reason that this process has not yet been observed. Shown also in this figure are the noise and energy threshold achieved by the CONNIE experiment, which is outlined in the following sections.

2. CONNIE experiment using CCD detectors

The CONNIE Experiment (Coherent Neutrino Nucleus Interaction Experiment) makes use of CCD detectors to detect nuclear recoils of neutrino interactions. The CCDs designed by LBNL[3] are thick, silicon semi-conductor devices with a low-electronics noise of $< 1.8$ e$^-$, making them ideal for searching for low-energy scattering. These CCD detectors have been used in searches for the nuclear recoils of low mass dark matter [4][5]. To suppress this noise, an energy threshold 5 times larger is used to search for signal.

3. 2015 engineering run at Angra-II

Using four 1-gram CCD detectors, a prototype experiment to measure coherent neutrino scattering was conducted at the Angra 2 reactor facility in Brazil. The experiment is located
in a shipping container located 30 meters from the core of Angra 2, which produces 3.8 GWth, such that the flux of neutrinos at the detector is $7.8 \times 10^{-12}$ $\nu$/s/cm$^2$. Data were collected during periods with the reactor on and off ($R_{ON}$ and $R_{OFF}$) in order to identify backgrounds from the reactor. The CCDs were maintained at -140 $^\circ$C inside a copper box in a copper vacuum vessel ($10^{-7}$ torr), that is shielded from radioactive backgrounds. Aspects of the detector are shown in Figure 2. More information on the experimental setup is found in Ref. [6].

4. CCD calibration and backgrounds
The energy scale and energy resolution of the CCD devices have been calibrated using electron recoils from X-rays with an energy range from 277 eV to 60 keV. In addition, an in situ calibration was done during data taking, taking advantage of known K$_\alpha$ and K$_\beta$ copper fluorescence peaks produced by gamma backgrounds. Rate measurements of these peaks show no increase in gamma background was found between the $R_{ON}$ and $R_{OFF}$ datasets. The rate of muon backgrounds, prevalent at a surface detector, have a unique signature of a cluster of pixels in a straight line, and were also verified.

Nuclear recoils from neutron interactions leave an indistinguishable signature from the neutrino signal, and it is important to establish the level of cosmogenic neutrons as well as those from the reactor. However, neutrons also provide a calibration source for the ionization efficiency. Members of our collaboration have produced, with others, two independent calibrations of the nuclear recoil ionization efficiency down to low nuclear recoil energies [8][9]. The results of these indicate a consistent departure from the Lindhard theory at low energies. Whereas Lindhard theory has been assumed for the analysis of the 2015 data, future CONNIE results will utilize these new calibrations.

5. Data analysis
In 2015, the CCD detectors collected 14.8 days of $R_{ON}$ data and 18.8 days of $R_{OFF}$ data. Signal events were selected by summing up the energies of neighboring pixels into circular clusters, and
selecting events with energy in the range between 75 eV and 1 keV. Events occurring near the surfaces of the detector are rejected using cuts on the RMS size of the cluster which depends on the depth in the CCD. Figure 3 shows the event candidates as a function of depth and the energy spectrum of the $R_{ON}$, $R_{OFF}$ and $R_{ON}-R_{OFF}$ data. There is no statistically significant difference in the background rates with the reactor on and off, which is consistent with expectations from the reactor particle flux, with simulated shielding configurations. Results of the 2015 CONNIE experiment can also be found in Ref. [6] and [7].

6. CONNIE 2016/2017 prospects
After the 2015 run, CONNIE was upgraded in 2016. The CCD packaging was modified to remove observed 15 keV peaks in the 2015 energy spectrum that were attributed to $^{238}$U decay chain products in the CCD frame. New thicker, larger CCDs were fabricated, increasing the mass of each detector. The number of CCDs was increased from 4 CCDs up to 14 CCDs. The energy threshold was lowered to 35 eV, after a charge-injection problem was identified in the CCD output stage that was causing the noise to be 2.7 e$^{-}$ in the 2015 run rather than the expected 1.8 e$^{-}$. Using extrapolations based on these improvements, it was estimated that a 3σ detection of CNNS could be possible with 150 days of $R_{ON}$ data. The planned improvements were all implemented in 2016, and data has since been accumulated.

7. CONNIE beyond-2017 prospects
We have demonstrated several methods for reducing readout noise that can be used to improve the sensitivity to CNNS by increasing the accepted signal events above the energy threshold. Digital filtering of the video signal has achieved 0.5 e$^{-}$ [10] noise, and non-destructive "skipper" CCD readout has achieved 0.2 e$^{-}$[11]. Deploying such techniques would allow the CCD detectors to measure more of the low-energy part of the spectrum, allowing them to measure the CNNS process with more precision or with lighter devices. The gains in energy threshold from these techniques are shown in Figure 4.

8. Summary
The engineering run of the CONNIE experiment in 2015 has shown promising results in terms of understanding detector response and backgrounds. An upgrade of the CONNIE experiment in 2016 has increased the expected signal yield by more than a factor of 20, and evidence of the
Figure 4. Improvements in the detector energy threshold, compared to the expected energy spectrum of CNNS interactions.

CNNS process seems soon within reach. Future detectors using energy thresholds a factor of 10 smaller have been demonstrated and would enhance sensitivity significantly, also allowing for smaller devices, that could be capable of detecting neutrinos from reactors.

Table 1. Improvements in CONNIE 2016/2017

| Improvement                  | 2015 | 2016/2017 |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------|
| # CCDs                      | 4    | 14        |
| Single detector mass [g]    | 1    | 5.97      |
| Detector thickness [µm]     | 250  | 675       |
| Detector mass [g]           | 4    | 83        |
| CCD noise [e-]              | 2.7  | 1.8       |
| Energy threshold [eV]       | 75   | 35        |
| #ν/kg/d                     | 8    | 13        |

Total # neutrinos per year ~ 12 ~ 400
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