Developmental changes in plasticity, synaptic, glia, and connectivity protein levels in rat medial prefrontal cortex
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The medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) plays a critical role in complex brain functions including decision-making, integration of emotional, and cognitive aspects in memory processing and memory consolidation. Because relatively little is known about the molecular mechanisms underlying its development, we quantified rat mPFC basal expression levels of sets of plasticity, synaptic, glia, and connectivity proteins at different developmental ages. Specifically, we compared the mPFC of rats at postnatal day 17 (PN17), when they are still unable to express long-term contextual and spatial memories, to rat mPFC at PN24, when they have acquired the ability of long-term memory expression and finally to the mPFC of adult rats. We found that, with increased age, there are remarkable and significant decreases in markers of cell activation and significant increases in proteins that mark synaptogenesis and synapse maturation. Furthermore, we found significant changes in structural markers over the ages, suggesting that structural connectivity of the mPFC increases over time. Finally, the substantial biological difference in mPFC at different ages suggest caution in extrapolating conclusions from brain plasticity studies conducted at different developmental stages.

The dorsolateral PFC (dlPFC) in the human brain plays important roles in higher order cognitive functions and disorders. The anatomical connectivity and functions of this region are similar to those of the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) of rodents, which have been widely used to investigate mechanistic questions. The mPFC/dlPFC seems to be involved in many higher order functions by regulating decision-making, learning, integration of emotional and cognitive aspects in memory processing, memory consolidation and remote memory retrieval (Frankland and Bontempi 2005; Rushworth et al. 2011; Arnsen et al. 2012; Euston et al. 2012; Squire and Dede 2015). Given these multiple roles as well as anatomical and electrophysiological evidence, it has been proposed that the mPFC serves to compare the present experience with past memories in order to provide a prediction of the most adaptive response (Euston et al. 2012). To accomplish these functions, the mPFC crosstalks to other brain regions, among which the hippocampus is a most important one. Evidence indicates that the PFC and hippocampus are functionally coupled via oscillatory synchrony that reflects bidirectional flow of information (Hyman et al. 2011; Penagos et al. 2017). In fact, both hippocampus and mPFC have a critical role in memory consolidation, the process that over time strengthens and stabilizes an initially labile memory representation (Frankland and Bontempi 2005; Euston et al. 2012; Giustino and Maren 2018). This hippocampal-mPFC concerted action has been found in many types of memories, including emotional and neutral, aversive and appetitive, spatial, contextual, and social. Both regions seem to be necessary and operate as a system that uses the current context of experience to retrieve relevant memories (Eichenbaum 2017). The mPFC is also critically involved in retrieval-induced memory strengthening (Ye et al. 2017), which occurs via a restabilization process known as reconsolidation that engages, in addition to the mPFC per se, also other brain regions, such as the hippocampus and the basolateral amygdala (BLA).

Furthermore, the PFC has been implicated in the etiology of numerous neuropsychiatric disorders, particularly neurodevelopmental disorders including intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorders, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and schizophrenia (Schubert et al. 2015). Given the importance of the mPFC in higher order cognitive functions and memory processes as well as in brain diseases, particularly in neurodevelopmental diseases, it is surprising that relatively little has been systematically investigated about cellular and molecular biological mechanisms underlying its development and/or maturation of its functional responses. A few studies have carried out proteomic analyses on whole mouse mPFC protein fraction (Laeremans et al. 2013; Gonzalez-Lozano et al. 2016; Agoglia et al. 2017) or on both mouse and rat mPFC synaptosomal fraction (Counotte et al. 2010; Moczulska et al. 2014) over developmental ages. However, much remains to be investigated. Although proteomic analyses have the advantage of offering comprehensive unbiased results, its sensitivity is diminished when it is applied to heterogeneous brain regions such as the mPFC; therefore often it needs to be restricted to fractions such as synaptoneurosomes. Although this strategy provides important insight into the development of synaptic compartments, the rest of the cellular proteins may play an important role in the maturation of the mPFC cortex.
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To increase the knowledge on the molecular mechanisms changing during the development of the mPFC, we focused on rat mPFC (Fig. 1) and used a biased approach aiming at surveying post-translational modification (i.e., phosphorylation), and relative concentrations of numerous biological mechanisms important for synapse maturation, plasticity, glia, and connectivity maturation. We used relative densitometric Western blot analyses to compare two early developmental ages, postnatal day 17 (PN17) and PN24 to the young adult age PN80. The two early developmental ages were chosen because they, respectively, model learning and memory associated with rapid forgetting, reflective of infantile amnesia (PN17) (Campbell and Spear 1972; Josselyn and Frankland 2012), and model a childhood age in which the rat has already acquired the ability to express long-term hippocampal-dependent memory (PN24) (Travaglia et al. 2016a).

Results
Levels of immediate early genes are significantly elevated in early development compared to adulthood
Here we determined the developmental changes of three immediate early genes (IEGs): the activity-dependent immediate-early genes c-Fos (Tischmeyer and Grimm 1999), activity-regulated-cytoskeletal-associated-protein (Arc/Arg3.1), (Bramham et al. 2008) and Zif268 (also known as EGR1 or NGFI-A) (Jones et al. 2001), which represent commonly regulated biomarkers of plasticity and behavioral responses. These IEGs, at both mRNA and protein levels, have in fact a relatively low expression in basal adult brain conditions, but are rapidly and transiently induced in response to stimulations that evoke long-term potentiation (LTP) or long-term depression (LTD), seizure, as well as behavioral experiences leading to long-term memory (Morgan et al. 1987; Cole et al. 1989; Abraham et al. 1991; Worley et al. 1993; Tischmeyer and Grimm 1999; Jones et al. 2001; Bramham et al. 2008). Whereas c-Fos and Zif268 are transcription factors, hence play a regulatory role by controlling the transcription of downstream targets that ultimately drive long-term synaptic plasticity and long-term memory formation, Arc is critical for triggering α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid (AMPA) receptors by accelerating protein endocytosis (Lanahan and Worley 1998; Plath et al. 2006; Bramham et al. 2008; Shepherd and Bear 2011).

As shown in Figure 2, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed that PN17 rat mPFC had a significantly higher level of c-Fos \( F_{(2,20)} = 4.36; P < 0.027 \) compared to adult rats \( P < 0.05 \) with a decreasing trend from PN17 to PN24 and an even stronger decreasing trend from PN24 to adult age, both of which, however, did not reach statistical significance \( P > 0.05 \) (Fig. 2). Both Zif268 \( F_{(2,21)} = 25.23; P < 0.0001 \) and Arc \( F_{(2,17)} = 11.59; P = 0.0007 \) were significantly higher at both PN17 and PN24 compared to adults, with no significant difference between PN17 and PN24 rats in either marker \( P > 0.05 \) (Fig. 2). Thus, the mPFC at PN17 has the highest levels of Arc, c-Fos and Zif268 expression, which decrease with age and reach significantly lower levels in adulthood. These data suggest a state of higher cellular activation of the infant and early developing mPFC compared to the adult.

Phosphorylation of TrkB, CREB, CaMKIIα, and ERK is highest at PN17 and significantly decreases over development and in adulthood
We then determined the levels of post-translational modifications that mark the activation of pathways important in synaptic plasticity, and the relative total protein levels. We investigated the phosphorylation of tropomyosin-related kinase B receptor (TrkB, also known as NTRK2), the receptor for brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). This receptor, when activated via phosphorylation at Tyr 816, regulates the phosphorylation, trafficking and expression of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDA) and AMPA receptors, which are critical receptors of synaptic plasticity (Martinez et al. 1998; Minichielli 2009; Andero et al. 2014). We also assessed the activation of the TrkB-downstream signal transduction pathways, namely, the phosphorylation (and total levels) of the synaptic plasticity-coupled kinases calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II alpha (CaMKIIα) (Lisman et al. 2002), extracellular signal-regulated kinases ERK1/2 (Sweat 2004), and of their target transcription factor CAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) (Yin and Tully 1996; Silva et al. 1998; Mayr and Montminy 2001; Alberini 2009; Kandel 2012). The activation of these signaling and gene expression cascades is critical for long-term synaptic plasticity and memory formation. Both CaMKIIα and ERK-mediated signaling pathways can converge onto the activation of the transcription factor CREB, which plays a fundamental and evolutionarily conserved role in long-term plasticity and memory formation (Frank and Greenberg 1994; Yin and Tully 1996; Silva et al. 1998; Barco et al. 2003; Alberini 2009). Furthermore, disruption of the CREB pathway in the mPFC can result in fear memory inaccuracy between aversive and nonaversive stimuli in an auditory discrimination test (Vieira et al. 2014).

We specifically investigated the autophosphorylation site of CaMKIIα at Thr286 (pCamKIIα), which is known to
be critical for CaMKIIα persistent enzymatic activation and LTP induction (Lisman et al. 2002, 2012). We also assessed the phosphorylation of ERK1 and ERK2 at Thr202/Tyr204 (pERK1/2), which activate ERK enzymatic activity leading to the phosphorylation and activation of downstream targets including transcription factors like CREB (Sweatt 2004; Thomas and Huganir 2004). Finally, we measured the phosphorylation at Serine (Ser) 133 of CREB (pCREB), which is critical for the recruitment of CREB coactivators that are necessary for the transcription of CREB target genes (Mayr and Montminy 2003).

As shown in Figure 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed that phosphorylated TrkB (pTrkB) at Tyr 816 was higher at PN17 compared to both PN24 and adult age ($F_{(2,17)} = 23.26; P = 0.0001$) (Fig. 3) with PN24 groups showing intermediate levels. Total TrkB levels were similar in PN17 and PN24 rats, but decreased significantly in adults ($F_{(2,17)} = 4.340; P = 0.028$, Fig. 3). Therefore, the ratio of pTrkB/TrkB ($F_{(2,17)} = 7.5; P = 0.004$) significantly decreased from PN17 to both PN24 ($P < 0.01$) and adults ($P < 0.05$), while the ratio of PN24 rats was indistinguishable from that of adult rats ($P > 0.05$, Fig. 3).

One-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed that pCREB ($F_{(2,20)} = 9.96; P = 0.001$) compared to both PN24 and adult rats ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 3), which had comparable levels. The level of total CREB was only significantly higher in the mPFC of PN17 compared to adults ($F_{(2,20)} = 5.72; P = 0.011$) (Fig. 3). Despite this change in total CREB level, the ratio of pCREB/CREB in the mPFC remained significantly higher at PN17 compared to both PN24 and adult rats ($F_{(2,20)} = 8.29; P = 0.0024$), without a significant difference between PN24 and adult rats ($P > 0.05$) (Fig. 3).

Furthermore, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed a decreasing trend, but no significant difference in the levels of pCaMKIIα from PN17 to PN24 to adults ($F_{(2,13)} = 2.20; P = 0.15$). Conversely, an increase in total CaMKIIα was observed across all three age groups ($F_{(2,13)} = 48.86; P < 0.0001$) with a significant increase seen between PN17 to PN24 ($P < 0.05$) and also between both these groups and adult rats ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 3). Despite a very strong trend, there was only a significant difference in the pCaMKIIα/CaMKIIα ratio ($F_{(2,13)} = 4.22; P = 0.039$) between PN17 and adult rats ($P < 0.05$), with no difference between PN17 and PN24 or PN24 and adult rats ($P > 0.05$) (Fig. 3).

There was a decreasing trend among the three increasing age groups in PERK1 ($F_{(2,17)} = 0.74; P = 0.50$) and PERK2 ($F_{(2,17)} = 2.49; P = 0.12$). However, their respective total protein levels increase with age; the increase was only a trend for ERK1 ($F_{(2,17)} = 2.197; P = 0.1416$), but reached significance for ERK2 between both PN17 and PN24 groups compared to adults ($F_{(2,17)} = 26.57; P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 3). Hence, the ratio of pERK/ERK significantly decreased for pERK2/ERK2 from PN17 toward both PN24 and adult, with no significant difference between PN24 and adult ($P > 0.05$). Meanwhile, pERK1/ERK1 ratio was not significantly different among the groups, despite showing a strong trend toward a decrease with age (For pERK1/ERK1: $F_{(2,17)} = 3.01; P = 0.076$; For pERK2/ERK2: $F_{(2,17)} = 8.87; P = 0.002$) (Fig. 3).

Together these data show that similar to the expression of IEGs, the activation of several proteins that play critical roles in synaptic plasticity, in the mPFC, is highest at PN17 and decreases with age.

**Developmental increase in GluN2A, GluA1, and GluA2 levels, with significant decrease in GluA1 phosphorylation**

Next we examined the mPFC relative abundance of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) subunits GluN2A and GluN2B, whose ratio in NMDARs affects the kinetics of the excitatory post-synaptic currents (EPSCs) and therefore synaptic plasticity (Sheng et al. 1994; Flint et al. 1997).

One-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed that the mPFC of PN17 rats had a significantly lower level of GluN2A ($F_{(2,17)} = 23.26; P < 0.0001$) compared to both PN24 and adults. The opposite was true of GluN2B ($F_{(2,17)} = 15.29; P = 0.0002$) with PN17 levels significantly higher than those of PN24 ($P < 0.01$) and adult rats ($P < 0.001$), and a significant decrease between PN24 and adult animals ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 4). The observed NMDAR subunit developmental switch in GluN2A/GluN2B ratio ($F_{(2,17)} = 88.55; P < 0.0001$) is in line with literature reporting that postnatal cortical rat brain development is accompanied by an increase in the expression level of the GluN2A subunit, which confers different functional properties to the NMDA receptor (Sheng et al. 1994; Flint et al. 1997). In sum, there was a striking increase of the GluN2A/GluN2B ratio between PN17 to both PN24 and adult groups ($P < 0.001$), as well as a significant increase from PN24 to adults ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 4).

Because of their critical role in regulating synaptic plasticity functions, we next determined the phosphorylation and total levels of the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate receptor (AMPAR) subunits GluA1 and GluA2 (Song and Huganir 2002; Palmer et al. 2005). GluA1 and GluA2 are the predominant AMPA receptor subunits, controlling the majority of rapid, excitatory synaptic transmission and mediating most of the depolarizing effects of glutamate. Furthermore, activity-dependent phosphorylation of GluA1 at Ser 831 is involved in the delivery of AMPARs to synapses and single channel conductivity of the GluA1 subunit (Derkach et al. 1999; Hayashi et al. 2000), whereas its phosphorylation at Ser 845 controls synaptic maturation and strength by regulating the open probability of AMPA receptors (Barria et al. 1997).

One-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed that the mPFC of both PN17 and PN24 rats had a significantly lower level of GluA1 ($F_{(2,17)} = 12.96; P = 0.0004$) and GluA2 ($F_{(2,17)} = 9.905; P = 0.0014$) compared to adult rats ($P < 0.01$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively) (Fig. 5). No significant difference was found between PN17 and PN24 rats for either subunit ($P > 0.05$). There was a significantly higher level ($F_{(2,17)} = 6.78; P = 0.007$) of phosphorylation of GluA1 at Ser 831 at PN17 compared to PN24 rats ($P < 0.01$), but no significant difference between PN17 and PN24 groups compared to adults ($F_{(2,17)} = 26.57; P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 3). Hence, the ratio of pERK/ERK significantly decreased for pERK2/ERK2 from PN17 toward both PN24 and adult, with no significant difference between PN24 and adult ($P > 0.05$). Meanwhile, pERK1/ERK1 ratio was not significantly different among the groups, despite showing a strong trend toward a decrease with age (For pERK1/ERK1: $F_{(2,17)} = 3.01; P = 0.076$; For pERK2/ERK2: $F_{(2,17)} = 8.87; P = 0.002$) (Fig. 3).
or PN24 to adult rats ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 5). The phosphorylation levels of GluA1 at Ser 845 ($F_{2,21}=8.923, P=0.0002$) were similar among all groups ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 5). However, significant and substantial differences among the three age groups emerged when comparing the ratios of pGluA1 (Ser831)/GluA1 ($F_{2,17}=7.80, P=0.004$); PN17 was significantly greater than PN24 ($P<0.01$) and adult rats ($P<0.05$) as well as the ratio pGluA1(Ser845)/GluA1 ($F_{2,17}=5.80, P=0.012$); PN 17 was significantly higher compared to both PN24 and adult rats ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 5). In both GluA1 phosphorylated/total protein ratios, there was no significant difference between PN24 and adult rats ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 5).

Thus, despite the reduced amount of AMPA receptors in the mPFC of PN17 rats, their phosphorylations at Ser 831 and Ser 845 are significantly higher at this age group in comparison to those of PN24 and/or adult rats.

**Figure 3.** Significant decrease in the phosphorylation of TrkB, CREB, CamKII, and ERK 1/2 over development. Examples and densitometric Western blot analyses of mPFC total extracts from naïve rats euthanized at PN17 (white, $n=8$), PN24 (gray, $n=8$) or PN80 (adult; black, $n=4–7$). Data are expressed as mean percentage ± S.E.M. of naïve adult rats. One-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests, (*) $P<0.05$, (**) $P<0.01$, and (***) $P<0.001$.

**Markers of excitatory and inhibitory synapse maturation increase with age**

Next, we determined the expression of pre- and postsynaptic markers that accompany excitatory or inhibitory synapse formation. As a presynaptic marker, we investigated synaptophosphin (Wiedenmann and Franke 1985; Frick and Fernandez 2003; Kwon and Chapman 2011) whereas for a postsynaptic excitatory neuronal marker we analyzed postsynaptic density protein 95 (PSD-95) (Cho et al. 1992; Hunt et al. 1996; Cline 2005). The presynaptic protein synaptophysin regulates exo- and endo-cytosis of neurotransmitter vesicles (Bahler et al. 1990; Kwon and Chapman 2011), and its presence reflects the maturation of functional synapses (Wiedenmann and Franke 1985; Frick and Fernandez 2003; Kwon and Chapman 2011). On the postsynaptic side, glutamate receptors associate with several proteins that constitute a scaffold, which in turn also modulates signal transduction. Of these scaffolding proteins, PSD-95 is the most abundant member of the postsynaptic density, and is involved in assembling, clustering, and recycling AMPA receptors and exerting allosteric control over their partners (Cline 2005; Good et al. 2011). Therefore, the quantification of these two pre- and postsynaptic proteins is generally used as a measure of functional synaptic maturity.

As shown in Figure 6, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed that the mPFC of PN17 rats had a significantly lower level of synaptophysin ($F_{2,17}=52.97, P<0.0001$) compared to both PN24 and adult rats ($P<0.001$). Furthermore, PN24 rats had a significantly lower level of synaptophysin compared to that of adults ($P<0.01$). There was also a significant increase in the level of PSD95 ($F_{2,21}=11.01, P=0.0005$) from PN17 to both PN24 ($P<0.01$) and adults ($P<0.001$) without a significant difference between PN24 and adult rats ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 6).

As correlates of inhibitory synapses, we measured levels of GAD65 and GAD67, isoforms of the enzyme that synthesizes the inhibitory neurotransmitter γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (Erlander et al. 1991; Bu et al. 1992; Soghomonian and Martin 1998), as well as the levels of gephyrin, which anchors the postsynaptic GABA receptor and may be involved in the plasticity of such synapses (Fritschy et al. 2008). Although GABA is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter in the adult brain, early in development (i.e., before PN10 in rats) GABAergic synaptic transmission is actually excitatory (Ben-Ari 2002). GABAergic transmission shapes excitatory/inhibitory circuitry and is necessary for neuronal development (Ben-Ari 2002; Chamberland and Topolnik 2012). GABA is synthesized by glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD), which exists in two isoforms, GAD65 and GAD67 (Erlander et al. 1991; Bu et al. 1992; Soghomonian and Martin 1998). GAD65 is mainly concentrated in axon terminals and bound to synaptic vesicles and catalyzes GABA synthesis when there is an evoked neuronal activity. GAD65 is widely distributed throughout the cell and bound to synaptic vesicles and catalyzes GABA synthesis when there is an evoked neuronal activity. GAD65 is significantly higher compared to both PN24 and adult rats ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 5). In both GAD65 and GAD67 increase gradually during postnatal development (Soghomonian and Martin 1998; Frahm and Draguhn 2001; Pop et al. 2009). In agreement with these studies, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed that the mPFC of both PN17 and...
PN24 rats had a significantly lower level of both GAD65 ($F_{(2,21)} = 67.03; P<0.0001$) and GAD67 ($F_{(2,21)} = 9.49; P=0.0012$) compared to adult rats ($P<0.0011$, $P<0.01$, respectively) (Fig. 6). Furthermore, PN17 rat mPFC had a significantly lower level of GAD66 compared to that of PN24 ($P<0.001$), whereas there was no such difference in the level of GAD67 ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 6).

Gephyrin is an integral protein in the postsynaptic density, capable of self-assembly into a physical scaffold that anchors and stabilizes GABA receptors (Yu et al. 2007; Tretter et al. 2008). It also interacts with many cytoskeletal regulatory proteins and may therefore also be involved in GABA receptor trafficking to the synapse. Thus, gephyrin is a dynamic molecule that can influence inhibitory synaptic plasticity (Tyagarajan and Fritschy 2014). As shown in Figure 6, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed no significant difference in gephyrin levels among the three age groups ($F_{(2,17)} = 1.15; P=0.34$).

We concluded that there is a significant, gradual increase in the levels of both excitatory and inhibitory synapse maturation markers over postnatal development.

### Significant decrease in neuronal morphogenesis markers over development

Neuronal morphogenesis has a critical role in the formation of physical networks in order to shape and support the fine structure of neuronal processes in the developing brain. Many proteins are involved in this formation, including: (i) cofilin, a ubiquitous actin-binding factor, which regulates actin filament polymerization and is activated by de-phosphorylation at Ser 3 (Yang et al. 1998; Bramham 2007); (ii) microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2), a neuronal protein that regulates the structure and stability of microtubules and neuronal morphogenesis (Sanchez et al. 2000) and (iii) growth associated protein 43 (GAP43), a major constituent of the growth cone that regulates cytoskeletal organization in axon terminals and in neurite formation (Aigner et al. 1995; Benowitz and Routtenberg 1997).

As shown in Figure 7, one way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed no significant difference among the three age groups in GAP43 ($F_{(2,16)} = 2.16; P=0.15$). There was also no difference among age groups in cofilin ($F_{(2,20)} = 1.04; P=0.37$), but a remarkable and significantly higher level of pcofilin at PN17 ($F_{(2,20)} = 11.33; P=0.0005$) compared to both PN24 and adult rats ($P<0.0001$). No significant difference in pcofilin was found between PN24 and adult rats, although there was a decreasing trend ($P>0.05$). Likewise, the ratio of pcofilin/cofilin reflected a significant decrease ($F_{(2,20)} = 11.23; P=0.0005$) from PN17 to both PN24 ($P<0.001$) and adults ($P<0.001$) (Fig. 7).

Furthermore, the mPFC of PN17 rats had a significantly higher level of MAP2 ($F_{(2,21)} = 4.39; P=0.027$) compared to PN24 rats ($P<0.05$), yet there was also a significant increase from PN24 to adult rats ($P<0.05$), resulting in similar levels of MAP2 between PN17 and adult rats ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 7).

Next we investigated the levels of the pleiotropic kinase mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) (Brown et al. 1994; Sabatini et al. 1994; Laplante and Sabatini 2012). mTOR is a master growth regulator that senses cellular oxygen, nutrition, and energy levels. It is also involved in a vast variety of metabolic functions, such as activity-dependent synaptic protein translation (Hoeffer et al. 2012). As shown in Figure 7, one way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed a significant increase in MAP2 between PN17 and adult rats ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 7).

![Figure 4](image1.png) Significant increase in the GluN2A/GluN2B receptor subunit concentrations over development. Examples and densitometric Western blot analyses of mPFC total extracts from naïve rats euthanized at PN17 (white, n=8), PN24 (gray, n=8) or PN80 (adult; black, n=4). Data are expressed as mean percentage ± S.E.M. of naïve adult rats. One-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests, (*) $P<0.05$, (**) $P<0.01$, and (***) $P<0.001$.

![Figure 5](image2.png) Significant increase in GluA1 and GluA2 AMPA receptor subunit concentration and decreasing trend in their phosphorylation over development. Examples and densitometric Western blot analyses of mPFC total extracts from naïve rats euthanized at PN17 (white, n=8), PN24 (gray, n=8) or PN80 (adult; black, n=4). Data are expressed as mean percentage ± S.E.M. of naïve adult rats. One-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests, (*) $P<0.05$, (**) $P<0.01$, and (***) $P<0.001$.
and Klann 2010; Costa-Mattioli and Monteggia 2013) autophagy, lipid synthesis, cell survival and proliferation, and oligodendrocyte differentiation and myelination (Mizushima et al. 2008; Laplante and Sabatini 2009, 2012; Narayanan et al. 2009; Wahl et al. 2014). Furthermore, mTOR, whose activation is correlated with phosphorylation at Ser 2448 (Scott et al. 1998; Nave et al. 1999; Inoki et al. 2002; Reynolds et al. 2002) also regulates growth and neuronal differentiation in the developing brain as well as the turnover of misfolded proteins in developed neurons (Graber et al. 2013). Thus, the expression and regulation of mTOR may reflect synapse and neurite functional development and maturation.

One-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed no significant difference in mTOR and pmTOR levels among the three groups (mTOR: $F_{(2,17)} = 3.47; P = 0.055$; pmTOR: $F_{(2,17)} = 2.74; P = 0.093$) (Fig. 7). However, there was a significant decrease in the pmTOR/mTOR ratio from PN17 to PN24 ($F_{(2,17)} = 4.59; P = 0.026$) although there was no significant difference between either PN17 or PN24 to adult rats ($P > 0.05$) (Fig. 7).

Together, these data suggest that neuronal remodeling is significantly higher in early development and decreases with age, which is also in line with the high levels of cell activation reflected by IEG expression.

Levels of myelination markers significantly change over development

Finally we determined the levels of myelination markers such as myelin basic protein (MBP) (Eylar et al. 1971; Readhead et al. 1990; Boggs 2006; Harauz and Boggs 2013), myelin associated glycoprotein (MAG) (McKerracher et al. 1994; Mukhopadhyay et al. 1994; Quares 2007), neurite outgrowth inhibitor (Nogo-A) and Nogo Receptor (Nogo-R) (Fournier et al. 2001). Myelin sheaths are multilayered membranes with a critical role of insulating and stabilizing axonal projections, and increasing the conduction velocity of axonal impulses. Several lipids and proteins are present in the myelin sheath, among them MBP, an essential constituent of myelin (Harauz and Boggs 2013). The formation and survival of myelin sheaths is also regulated by other myelin components such as MAG (Quares 2007) and Nogo-A, and their receptor Nogo-R, which together inhibit axon and neurite outgrowth (Fournier et al. 2001).

One way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests revealed that MBP levels increased over development as PN17 rats had a significantly lower level of MBP ($F_{(2,21)} = 15.26; P < 0.0001$) compared to both PN24 ($P < 0.01$) and adult rats ($P < 0.001$), as seen in Figure 8. Moreover, PN24 rats had a
significantly lower level of MBP than adults ($P<0.05$). Similarly, Nogo-R ($F_{2,17}=52.66; P<0.0001$) also significantly increased from PN17 to both PN24 and adult ($P<0.001$), and from PN24 to adult ($P<0.01$) (Fig. 8). The opposite direction in expression level changes was found for Nogo-A over development ($F_{2,20}=6.827; P=0.0055$), as PN17 had a significantly higher level of Nogo-A than either that of PN24 ($P<0.05$) or adult ($P<0.01$) (Fig. 8). Interestingly, MAG ($F_{2,17}=12.83; P=0.0004$) was significantly higher in PN24 rats compared to both PN17 ($P<0.01$) and adults ($P<0.001$), and although there was a decreasing trend in MAG between PN17 and adult rats, this was not statistically significant ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 8).

Together, these results suggest that cortical myelination drastically increases in the mPFC during postnatal development between ages PN17 and PN24.

**Discussion**

The assessment of changes in biological compositions of brain regions over development is critical for better understanding the maturation of their functions. Here we focused on the mPFC, a region critically involved in higher brain functions, many of which are altered in psychiatric conditions. One of these functions is learning and memory, which fundamentally contributes to the regulation and expression of most behavioral responses. We found that the levels of most synaptic and neural plasticity proteins in the mPFC compared at PN17, PN24 and PN80 (young adult) undergo massive developmental changes. The highest levels of biological changes that decrease over development are the immediate early genes (c-Fos, Arc, Zif268), plasticity markers (phosphoTrkB, phosphoCREB, phospho-ERK$_{1,2}$, and phospho-cofilin), the NMDA receptor subunit GluN2B and the phosphorylation of the AMPA receptor subunit GluA1 in Ser831 and Ser 845. The biological changes that significantly increase over development are markers of inhibitory and excitatory synapse maturation (GAD$_{s}$, synaptophysin and PSD95), and markers of myelination (MBP, Nogo receptor).

The significantly increased levels of IEGs, phospho-CREB, phospho-CamKII$\alpha$, phospho-ERK$_{1,2}$, at PN17 and PN24 compared to adult age suggest a significant higher degree of cellular activation in early developmental stages. We suggest that this activation occurs in response to experience. As indicated by our previous studies (Travaglia et al. 2016a), the early developing brain, although not yet functionally competent (i.e., able to express a long-term memory), is highly sensitive to learning stimuli; we believe that these stimuli, by activating molecular pathways of plasticity, including IEGs and phospho-CREB, lead to maturation of the memory systems. We also believe that this activation occurs in several brain regions. This hypothesis is based on our previous studies on episodic learning in rats at PN17. Although it had been suggested that the hippocampus is not participating in forming and storing hippocampal-dependent memories in early development (Rudy and Morledge 1994; Raineki et al. 2010), our recent results on the critical role of the hippocampus in forming latent memories at PN17 is in disagreement with this hypothesis (Travaglia et al. 2016a). Based on these studies we suggested that the hippocampal memory system is highly responsive to environmental experience during this early developmental phase, which in fact frames a developmental critical period. Because in adulthood the mPFC is highly connected to the hippocampus and critically involved in processing hippocampal-dependent memories (Preston and Eichenbaum 2013), we speculate that the maturation of mPFC and hippocampus are functionally linked throughout the developmental trajectory. Thus, similarly to the dHC (Travaglia et al. 2016b) the high cellular activation at PN17 and PN24 found in the mPFC may reflect a high experience-dependent stimulation, which in fact may be also functionally linked with the hippocampus itself. We suggest that the hippocampus-mPFC system is extremely responsive to early developmental experiences. This idea is also supported by the large number of biomarkers that, as shown by our data, follow similar developmental trajectories in the two regions, such as inhibitory and excitatory synaptic maturation markers, AMPA GluA1 phosphorylation, and a switch to increased GluN2A/GluN2B.

In our previous study on the dHC we investigated the same proteins at the same ages using a similar approach. If we compare the kinetics of protein changes over the three ages in the two regions we found similar changes for many of the biological markers investigated. However, it also emerges that there are differential developmental changes between dHC and mPFC; specifically, these are: phospho-CamKII$\alpha$, phospho-ERK$_{1,2}$, MAP2, GAP43, and Nogo-R (Fig. 9). While phospho-CamKII$\alpha$ is extremely low at PN17 in the dHC compared to PN24 and PN80 (Travaglia et al. 2016b), its levels in the mPFC are highest at PN17 and significantly decrease in PN24 and adult age. Similarly, phospho-ERK$_{1,2}$ levels significantly increase with age in the dHC, but significantly decrease with age in the mPFC. We found a massive decrease in the level of MAP2 between PN17/PN24 and adult age in the dHC, whereas in the mPFC MAP2 shows similar levels at PN17 and adult age with a decrease at PN24. GAP43 does not change in the mPFC whereas it is significantly higher at PN17 and PN24 compared to adult age in the dHC. Nogo-R significantly decreases over development in the dHC but significantly increases over the same ages in the mPFC. Possible explanations for these differences include the differential cell type composition of the two regions,

![Figure 8](image_url) Changes in myelination markers over development. Examples and densitometric Western blot analyses of mPFC total extracts from naïve rats euthanized at PN17 (white, $n=8$), PN24 (gray, $n=8$) or PN80 (adult; black, $n=4–8$). Data are expressed as mean percentage ± S.E.M. of naïve adult rats. One-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc tests, (*) $P<0.05$, (**) $P<0.01$, and (***$P<0.001$.}
Differential molecular changes in rat mPFC

their differential state of maturation and the differential structural stroma. In fact all these differences between the two regions are in structural markers, which suggest that the structural plasticity of the two regions is distinct.

The two early developmental ages compared in this study, PN17 and PN24, both of which were related to adult age (PN80), correspond to a temporal window of maturation in the ability to express episodic memories. In fact, PN17 rats show the rapid forgetting typically associated with infantile amnesia, whereas PN24 rats express long-term memories (Travaglia et al. 2016a, 2018). Biological correlations that we found significantly changed between PN17 and PN24 in the mPFC are: (i) a decrease in the markers of cell activation phospho-TrkB, phospho-CREB, phospho-GluA1-Ser 831, phospho-mTOR, and phospho-cofilin, (ii) an increase in the markers of excitatory synapses synapsin and PSD95 and the switch to higher GluN2A/GluN2B, and, finally, (iii) an increase in axonal maturation and myelination markers (increase in MBP, MAG, and Nogo-R and decrease in Nogo-A). Together, these data suggest that, whereas the brain is more highly activated in early development, a remarkable maturation occurs between PN17 and PN24. Given our finding that infantile amnesia reflects a critical period of development of the hippocampal memory system (Alberini and Travaglia 2017), we propose that the significant developmental maturation occurring between PN17 and PN24 is the result of responses to experience. We also speculate that the dHC and the mPFC mature in a coordinated manner in response to each other’s functional feedbacks. Future studies could investigate whether direct and indirect connectivity form between hippocampus and mPFC over development in response to experience.

Three final interesting observations emerging from our data that further distinguish or link the biological bases of the mPFC compared to those of the dHC are: first, the differential low level of changes in the mTOR and phospho-mTOR over the ages in the mPFC, compared to the significantly higher levels in the dHC at both PN17 and PN24 compared to adult age. Despite these differences, in both regions the ratio phospho-mTOR/mTOR changes only slightly in the mPFC but not in the dHC. Second, the different kinetics of Nogo-A and Nogo-R in the two regions: in the mPFC Nogo-R decreases while Nogo-R increases with age, whereas in the dHC both proteins decrease over the ages. As both proteins in cooperation with others regulate axonal growth inhibition and shape CNS structure and functions, it appears that they differentially titrate these processes and therefore the capabilities of brain plasticity in the two areas. Third, like in the dHC, the axon growth-inhibitory protein MAG is massively increased at PN24 compared to the other two ages indicating a critical functional role of MAG-regulated changes in myelination around PN24 of age in the dHC-mPFC system.

In summary, our biochemical analyses show that over development the mPFC undergoes a dramatic increase in proteins that mark synaptogenesis and synapse maturation, a dramatic decrease in cell activation and a significant change in structural markers that suggest structural stabilization over time. This pattern of biological changes is in line with the idea of a higher level of activation in highly plastic, not organized biological ensembles at PN17, which rapidly matures over a week and further decreases activations while increasing order and structural organization over time. Like for the dHC, the substantial biological difference in plasticity, activity, and neural structural markers found in the mPFC at different developmental ages suggest caution in extrapolating conclusions from brain plasticity studies conducted at different ages. Synaptic plasticity studies have often used early developmental tissues to provide general conclusions about mechanisms of learning and memory. Our data suggest that, in general, the data and interpretations should be limited to the age used. It is clear from these and many other studies that the infant brain is not a small version of the adult brain, but a very distinct biological system.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Seventeen (PN17) and twenty-four day-old (PN24) male and female rats were obtained from pregnant Long Evans female rats (Charles River Laboratories). Rats were housed in 30.80 cm × 40.60 cm × 22.23 cm plastic cages containing ALPHA-dri bedding under a 12 h light–dark cycle (light on at 07.00 a.m.) with food and water ad libitum. All experiments were carried out during the light cycle. The birth date was considered PN0 and the litters were culled to 10–12. Only one male and female per litter was used in any experimental condition. Rats were weaned at PN21. All procedures complied with the US National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the New York University Animals Care Committees.

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was carried out as previously reported (Chen et al. 2012). Rats were euthanized and their brains were rapidly removed and frozen in isopentane. Medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) punches were obtained with a neuro punch (19 gauge; Fine Science Tools) from frozen brains mounted on a cryostat. Samples were homogenized in ice-cold RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris base, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% Na-Deoxycholate, 1% NP-40) with protease and phosphatase inhibitors [0.5 mM PMSF, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM NaF, 1 μM Microcystine, 1 mM Benazmidine, 1 mM Sodium Orthovanadate, and commercial protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Sigma-Aldrich)]. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Equal amounts of total protein (20 μg per lane) were resolved on 4%–20% Criterion TGX gradient gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and transferred to Immobilon-FL Transfer membrane (Millipore) by electroblotting. Membranes were dried, reactivated in methanol, and washed with water before they were blocked in Odyssey blocking buffer (LI-COR Bioscience, Lincoln, NE) for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C in solution according to the protocol and dilution of the manufacturer. Primary antibodies included: anti-Arc (1:10000, Synaptic System, cat# 156 003), anti-c-Fos (1:200, Millipore, cat# PC05, Millipore), anti-Zif268 (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, cat# 4153S), anti-pTrkB (Tyr816) (1:1000, Millipore, cat# ABN1381), anti-TrkB (80E3) (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, cat# 4603S), anti-pCREB(Ser133) (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, cat# 9198), anti-CREB (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, cat# 9104), anti-pCaMKII (Thr286)
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