Analysis of the Association of Foramen Cecum and Dens in Dente in Maxillary Lateral Incisor
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Abstract

Objectives  The aim of this study was to evaluate the frequency of foramen cecum and dens in dente, and to verify the association of these structures in the maxillary lateral incisor (MLI).

Materials and Methods  The presence of foramen cecum in the lingual surface of 110 MLI was verified, and the teeth were radiographed to observe the presence of dens in dente, being classified according to the literature. An association study between the presence of foramen cecum and dens in dente was performed using the Cramer’s V and chi-square statistical tests.

Results  The association was statistically significant between the foramen cecum and the dens in dente. Concomitant presence was observed in 17.27%, being a high rate when compared with the presence of foramen cecum alone (9.09%) or dens in dente alone (8.18%). In addition, type I (minimal invagination, confined to the crown of the tooth and not extending beyond the level of the cementum–enamel junction) was the most frequent (82.14%).

Conclusions  We emphasize the importance of alerting the dentist to the presence of a foramen cecum on the lingual surface of the MLI, as it is likely that dens in dente is usually of type I. Thus, the foramen cecum is a sign that can assist the dental surgeon in the discovery of dens in dente and clinical conduct to be taken.

Introduction

The term dens in dente, also classified as dens invaginatus, is used to denote an anomaly that affects the tooth during its formation, resulting from invagination of coronary tissues. On radiographs, the enamel appears outlined, giving the impression of a “small tooth inside another.” This anomaly was classified according to its different forms of presentation into three types, regarding depth and communication with periapical tissue or periodontal ligament.

The etiology of this malformation is idiopathic, but the pressure or physical forces such as accidental infection of the tooth germ, traumatic injuries produced in the contact between two developing teeth, the genetic predisposition and ethnic differences, failures in the growth of the internal enamel epithelium, rapid proliferation and aggressive alteration of the enamel, and fusion process were reported as possible causes of the development of this anomaly.

It is also reported in the literature that the dens in dente arises from a deep fold of the foramen cecum during tooth development which in some cases may result in a second apical foramen. The affected tooth demonstrates a deep invagination of enamel and dentin from the foramen cecum and can be deeply extended to the root. Thus, the foramen cecum may
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be the first clinical sign indicating the presence of this malfor-
mation.\(^4\) In many cases, the dens in dente predisposes to pulp
disease, as the dentin of the invaginated area can be exposed
directly to the oral environment.\(^6,8\) So, the foramen cecum can
be a sign to be considered by the dentist who can indicate the
presence of dens in dente and with an initial conservative clinical
treatment, it is possible to preserve the dental element.

The most affected teeth are the maxillary lateral incisors
(MLIs).\(^6,9\) Bilateral occurrence is not uncommon and occurs in
43\% of all cases.\(^10\) MLI usually varies more than any other
tooth, and when those variations are marked, they are called
developmental anomalies.\(^5\)

Researching science bases such as BIREME, Google
Scholar, Scielo, Scopus, and PubMed over the past 20 years,
using the keywords “dens in dente,” “dens invaginatus,”
“lateral incisor anatomy,” “foramen caecum,” “maxillary inci-
sor morphology,” we found that several articles were related.
However, only two articles were related to the association of
foramen cecum in MLI and dens in dente; however, no article
studied and described the frequency of foramen cecum. Most
studies were related to clinical cases, especially in the area
of endodontics. Therefore, based on the scarcity of published
researches, we noted the importance of studying this feature.

Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the fre-
quency of the foramen cecum in the MLI and to verify its
association with dens in dente. It is worth mentioning that
this is an unprecedented study, as no study related to the fre-
quency of the foramen cecum in the MLI was found. The null
hypothesis is that there is no association between foramen
cecum and dens in dente.

Materials and Methods

This project was approved by the ethics committee of the
School of Dentistry, São Paulo State University (UNESP),
Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil (CAAE 01520318.0.0000.5416).

We evaluated 110 MLIs, belonging to the collection of the
Anatomy Laboratory, Department of Morphology, Genetics,
Orthodontics and Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil. Those teeth are used in den-
tal anatomy classes by dentistry students. The teeth have no
identification of the donor’s sex, age, and ethnicity. Healthy
teeth, without caries, restorations, or fractures were included.
The MLIs with any of these conditions were not evaluated.

The teeth were analyzed by direct evaluation for the pres-
ce of cecum foramen on the lingual surface.

The MLIs were radiographed to verify the presence of
dens in dente, using a 70-kVp, 7-mA, and 0.16-second dig-
ital Instrumentarium FOCUS model X-ray machine at the
Department of Diagnosis and Surgery, School of Dentistry, São
Paulo State University (UNESP), Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil.

To obtain the images, the phosphor plate (Dürr Dental)
remained on a flat surface; on this phosphor plate, the fol-
lowing was placed: (1) the tooth, (2) an initial letter for fur-
ther image identification, and (3) a density scale. The X-ray
beam was perpendicular to the tooth being radiographed.
Phosphor plates were scanned and radiographic images were
saved in tiff format for viewing in the image viewing soft-
ware (DBSWIN, Dürr Dental).

The teeth that presented dens in dente were classified
according to Oehler: \(^4\)

Type I: Invagination is minimal, confined to tooth crown
and does not extend beyond the level of the cementum-
enamel junction.

Type II: Invagination extends into the pulp cavity but
remains within the root canal without communication with
the periodontal ligament.

Type III: Invagination extends through the root and later-
ally communicates with the periodontal ligament through a
pseudoformen. There is usually no communication with the
pulp, which is compressed within the root.

An association study between the presence of foramen
cecum and dens in dente was performed using the Cramer’s
V test, and the chi-square test was used to verify statistical
significance.

Results

Table 1 shows the frequency and association of foramen
cecum with dens in dente in the MLI.

The chi-square independence test was performed
between the variables foramen cecum and dens in dente.
All expected frequencies were higher than five. There was a
statistically significant association between foramen cecum
and dens in dente, chi-square test = 27.063, with \(p < 0.005\).
The association was moderately strong with a Cramer’s V
test of 0.528.

The present study provides quantitative data on the fre-
quency of the foramen cecum, which can be seen in 29 MLIs
(26.36%), which is important when the association of foramen
cecum and dens in dente the tooth is observed, as is the case.

Concomitant presence was observed in 17.27%, being a
high rate when compared with the presence of foramen
cecum alone (9.09\%) or dens in dente alone (8.18\%). In addi-
tion, type I (minimal invagination, confined to the crown
of the tooth and not extending beyond the level of the cemen-
tum–enamel junction) was the most frequent (82.14\%).

Figs. 1 to 5 illustrate the MLI morphology and the
absence or presence of dens in dent.

Discussion

Although classic dental anatomy books report the foramen
cecum as a very common structure on the lingual surface
of the MLI, the number of research studies related to this

| Dens in dente | Foramen cecum |
|--------------|--------------|
| Present (%)  | 19 (17.27)   | 9 (8.18)   | 28 (25.36) |
| Absent (%)   | 10 (9.09)    | 72 (65.45) | 82 (74.54) |
| Total (%)    | 29 (26.36)   | 81 (73.63) | 110 (100)  |
structure is rare. In the search for studies in the past 20 years, no research data were found in the literature regarding the frequency of foramen cecum, only observational reports mention that the foramen cecum is located between the cingulum and the lingual fossa presenting like a depression or small furrow shaped.

Based on the results of the present study, the frequency of the foramen cecum is extremely relevant information to verify the association of densities in the tooth and foramen cecum, in addition to understanding the normal morphology and anatomical variations of the MLI.

Understanding how morphological and clinical characteristics of tooth development abnormalities is essential to better understand the possible etiological effects involved and to elucidate preventive and therapeutic solutions. In addition, descriptions of dens in dente are based primarily
on the radiographic findings and gross appearance. Some authors consider the pits in the tooth a deep fold of the foramen cecum during dental development, which in some cases may result in a second apical foramen.\textsuperscript{12-14} Cakici et al\textsuperscript{17} reported that all teeth could be affected by this malformation. However, MLIs are the teeth with the most frequent malformation. This fact was demonstrated by Gündüz et al\textsuperscript{18} who found that the most affected teeth were the MLIs (80.3%), followed by the central incisors (19.02%) and maxillary canines (0.4%).

Generally, men are more affected by dens in dente compared with women in a 2:1 ratio, and may vary by different ethnicities.\textsuperscript{3} In the present study, this relationship could not be verified.

However, dens in dente remains controversial. In the past decades, several theories have been proposed to explain the etiology of this anomaly: the dental arch growth pressure would result in an invagination of the enamel organ. Invagination would be a rapid and aggressive proliferation of a portion of the enamel’s internal epithelium invading the papilla, considering it to be a “benign neoplasm of limited growth”; fusion of two dental germs; infection; trauma; protrusion of a part of the enamel organ that could lead to the formation of an enamel-coated canal ending at the cingulum and may be associated with the crown shape.\textsuperscript{15,19}

This malformation can affect the clinical morphology of the dental crown, promoting an increase in the buccolingual distance, depending on the size of the invagination. Enamel may be hypoplastic and a deep groove that can be noticed and the tooth may have a crown against the case in more severe cases.\textsuperscript{20}

Radiographically, a radiopaque invagination, very similar to enamel, is able to recognize an angle to a variable distance from the root. The defect may vary in size and shape, resembling a pear or a tooth within another tooth.\textsuperscript{20}

Root canal morphology may also be altered.\textsuperscript{21} Alani and Bishop,\textsuperscript{22} examining the root of an extracted tooth with this malformation, observed that the canal was irregular, with constrictions and dilatations.

Due to the anatomical complexity of teeth with dens in dente, the treatment is more complex, ranging from a simple technique to more invasive procedures. Conservative preventive treatment is the application of sealants to prevent caries, pulp infection, and premature tooth loss. Endodontic therapy is indicated when the tooth presents pulp involvement, and some modifications in endodontic therapy should be performed.\textsuperscript{23}

Among the most invasive treatments, surgery with retrograde obturation may be necessary due to the impossibility of apical sealing. Surgical treatment is indicated in cases of conventional endodontic failure and the impossibility of access to the root canal system due to dental anatomy.\textsuperscript{24}

However, due to the failure or inability to treat the root canal system, extraction is eventually necessary. Given the importance of early diagnosis for prevention, treatment, and preservation, it is important to observe anatomical structures such as the foramen cecum that may indicate the presence of dens in dente. With an initial conservative clinical treatment, it is possible to preserve the dental element, it can be seen that the analyzed teeth belonging to the collection, donated by dentists and students, may be the result of the lack of diagnosis and treatment because, if there is a diagnosis, treatment and preservation, perhaps there was no tooth loss due to tooth decay and endodontic problems due to the foramen cecum associated with dens in dente. Thus, it is of great importance to alert dentists about these structures.

In the present study, a statistically significant association between foramen cecum and dens in dente was found. Concomitant presence of foramen cecum and dens in dente was observed in 17.27% (\textsuperscript{4,4,5}) (\textsuperscript{\textbullet}Fig. 4\textsuperscript{,}4)\textsuperscript{,}4), a high rate when compared with the presence of foramen cecum alone (9.09%) (\textsuperscript{\textbullet}Fig. 2\textsuperscript{,}2) or dens in dente alone (8.18%) (\textsuperscript{\textbullet}Fig. 3\textsuperscript{,}3).

In addition to the present study, only two studies published in the 1970s that evaluated the association of cecum foramen and dens in dente were found. Miyoshi et al\textsuperscript{25} analyzed the prevalence of dens in dente by clinical and radiographic examination. Dens in dente was present in 29.9% of the MLI and presence of cecum foramen in 13.3% of cases. Fujiki et al\textsuperscript{26} analyzed 1,532 MLI. The authors reported that 148 (9.66%) MLIs presented dens in dente, and an important finding was the presence of the foramen cecum in ~10% of the cases associated with dens in dente. A higher percentage was found in the present study (17.27%).

According to Çolak et al\textsuperscript{27}, the different types of dens in dente may be related to several factors, including differences in the study sample, geographical locations, gender differences, and chronological age. In a study with a Turkish population, it was observed that this malformation reached 0.17% of individuals, detected in 15 out of 192,150 teeth, with a prevalence of 0.008, occurring in 12 MLIs and 3 maxillary canines. Type I was the most commonly found (73%), followed by type II (20%) and type III (7%). Hamash and Alomari\textsuperscript{28} collected radiographs from a random sample of 1,660 patients from Jordan and found 61 teeth with dens in dente; the frequency was 2.95%, and 90% of the dens in teeth found were in MLI and type I was the most found.

Type I is most commonly found according to Cakici et al (2010), who reported a frequency of type I (81.25%), followed by type III (12.5%). However, Gündüz et al\textsuperscript{18} verified type I preferentially (69.8%), followed by type II (26.6%) and subsequently type III (3.4%). A study by Ceyhanli et al\textsuperscript{29} showed a higher frequency (86.6%) in cases with type I, followed by type II (9.2%) and type III (4.2%). In our study, a higher frequency of type I dens in dente (82.14%) and 17.86% in type II, and no type III dens in dente was observed.

Bilateral cases of dens in dente are also not uncommon, the bilateral incidence with symmetrical distribution was 25% in the study by Çolak et al,\textsuperscript{27} and an evaluation performed by Shi et al\textsuperscript{10} found that the bilateral occurrence was 19.05% of cases with dens in dente.
Conclusions
An association was observed between the foramen cecum and dens in dente in the analyzed teeth. We emphasize the importance of alerting the dentist to the presence of a foramen cecum on the lingual surface of the MLI, as it is likely that dens in dente is usually of type I. Thus, the foramen cecum is a sign that can assist the surgeon–dentist in the discovery of dens in dente and clinical conduct to be taken.
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