Correlates of picky eating behaviour in children and its effect on growth
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ABSTRACT

Background: Picky eating is common in preschool-aged children. Children’s picky eating behaviour has been linked both to being overweight and underweight. Authors objectives was to study the factors associates of picky eating behaviour on growth and nutritional status of children.

Methods: A Cross-sectional study done in 200 parents of children between 1-5 year age visiting outpatient department. Picky eating behaviours were assessed using questions from children eating behaviour questionnaire.

Results: In the study, the estimated prevalence rate was 25%, maximum 38% at 49 to 60 months. 26% of subjects with picky eating behaviour had height for age < -3SD (severely stunted) 38% of subjects with picky eating behaviour had weight for height < -3SD (severely wasted) as compared to only 4% in non-picky eating behaviour subjects. Low birth weight and exclusive breast feeding for less than 6 month, were found to be significant risk factor for development of picky eating behaviour, while no significant association was seen with the time of introduction of semisolid complimentary feeds.

Conclusions: Picky eating is major parental concern and impacts growth of children. The children with picky eating behaviours need to be monitored for growth.
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INTRODUCTION

Rare is the child who will eat pretty much anything. Most toddlers develop habits of eating specific favorite foods and, of more concern, absolute no-go foods. The latter is of major concern for parents. who find picky eating one of the most-difficult-to-deal-with feeding problems.1 During first year, infants’ transition from consuming a single food (i.e., breast milk or formula) to consuming a variety of foods more characteristic of an adult diet? This transition allows infants to learn about food through direct experience, as well as through observation of others’ eating behaviours. Children who exhibit picky eating behaviours typically consume a limited variety of foods, resist trying new foods, eat small portions, skip meals, eat slowly, demonstrate strong food preferences and/or show little interest in food. Consequently, for some children, picky eating behaviours have the potential to adversely affect growth and development.

The development of picky eating may be affected by factors such as pressure to eat, personality factors, and parental practices/ feeding styles, including parental control and social influences, as well as specific factors, such as the absence of exclusive breastfeeding, the introduction of complementary foods before 6 months, and the late introduction of chewy foods (ALSPAC Study Team).2,3 ‘Picky eating’ is a widely used descriptive term, but without a clear or consistent definition. This lack of operationalization has contributed to limitations in
existing research. This study aims to find out prevalence and also correlation of age of introduction of complementary feeding with picky eating behaviour. We also observed effects of picky eating behaviour on nutrition of the child and factors contributing to development of picky eating behaviour.

METHODS
A Cross-sectional study done in 200 parents of children between 1 to 5 year age visiting pediatric outpatient department of a tertiary care centre during July 2017 to June 2018. Children with history of significant NICU stay, major congenital malformations, children with chronic infections and diseases were excluded. Data was collected through face-to-face interview with predesigned questionnaire administered to 200 mothers who consented for the study. It included demographic data on age, religion, place of residence, parent’s employment, education, family’s monthly income and anthropometric parameters. Picky eating behaviours were assessed using survey questions from children’s eating behaviour questionnaire (CEBQ).4 Mothers were asked to respond to each question using a five-point response scale of 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always). The higher score demonstrate greater picky eating behaviour, so the reverse-described questions were transposed. The four specific picky eating behaviours viz, i) Eating small amounts, ii) Neophobic behaviour, iii) Refusal to eat specific food groups (4 food groups) and iv) Preference for a specific food preparation method were considered so as to define it further into particular type as well not to miss any borderline type. It was assumed that the children have potential picky eating characters if the response score to each question was higher than neutral. The responses were summated rating scales to get four construct Therefore, the children whose mean score of responses was >3 were classified as “picky eaters”, for that particular construct. Children who had any three of the picky eating 4 constructs, were classified as Definitive picky eaters and those with any 2 construct were Borderline Picky eater.5

A structured questionnaire was used to assess knowledge and practices towards breast feeding and complimentary feeding and its effect on children’s picky eating behaviour. IYCF (Infant and young child feeding) guidelines 2016

Statistical analysis
All the data were entered in Microsoft Excel Sheet and then transferred to SPSS software version 17 for analysis. Qualitative data was presented as frequency and percentages. Association between variables was assessed using chi square test of Fisher’s exact test (in case of 2 x 2 contingency tables). Quantitative data was presented as mean and SD and P value <0.05 was taken as level of significance.

RESULTS
A total of, 200 mothers visiting pediatric outpatient department who consented to be assessed were included in the study. The demographic profile of study subjects showed maximum number of study cases belonged to age group 12-24 months, 88 in numbers (44%) as seen in (Table 1). The male to female ratio was 1:1.1 and 52.5 % (105) of study subjects were females. The mean age of mothers in study group was 30±4.9 years. Of the total study subjects, 44.5% of mothers were educated up to secondary high school while 47.5 % of fathers were educated up to secondary high school, 12.5 % mothers illiterate and only 7.5% mothers and 20% of fathers were graduate.

Table 1: Demographic profile of parents of study subjects (n=200).

| Age group (months) | Number (n) | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|------------|----------------|
| 12-24              | 88         | 44.0           |
| 25-36              | 38         | 19.0           |
| 37-48              | 39         | 19.5           |
| 49-60              | 35         | 17.5           |
| Total              | 200        | 100            |

| Mothers education  | Total | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|-------|----------------|
| Illiterate         | 25    | 12.5           |
| Primary            | 71    | 35.5           |
| Secondary          | 89    | 44.5           |
| Graduate and       | 15    | 7.5            |

| Fathers Occupation | Total | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|-------|----------------|
| Skilled Worker     | 49    | 24.5           |
| Unskilled Worker   | 151   | 75.5           |

| Modified Kuppuswamy scale | Total | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Upper                     | 3     | 1.5            |
| Upper middle              | 19    | 9.5            |
| Lower middle              | 154   | 77             |
| Upper lower               | 21    | 10.5           |
| Lower                     | 3     | 1.5            |
| Total                     | 200   | 100            |

| Type of eating behaviour | Yes | No |
|--------------------------|-----|----|
| Eating small amount      | 127 (63.5%) | 73 (36.5%) |
| Neophobia                | 145 (72.5%) | 55 (27.5%) |
| Refusal of specific food | 68 (34.0%)  | 132 (66.0%) |
| Preference for a specific preparation | 24 (12.0%) | 176 (88.0%) |
Table 3: Classification of subjects in Picky eating behaviour vs. non picky eating depending on four constructs.

| Responses            | Number (N) | Picky / Non Picky eating |
|----------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| None                 | 16(8%)     |                          |
| One response Yes     | 57(28.5%)  | Total 150 (75%)          |
| Two responses Yes    | 77 (38.5%) | Borderline picky eaters  |
| Three responses Yes  | 47(23.5%)  | Definitive picky eaters  |
| Four responses Yes   | 3(1.5 %)   | Picky Eaters             |
| Total                | 200        |                          |

Table 4: Age group wise distribution of subjects among two groups (n=200).

| Age   | Picky | Non  | Total | p value |
|-------|-------|------|-------|---------|
| 12 - 24 | 13(26%) | 75(50%) | 88(44%) | X² = 34.68 | p < 0.0001 |
| 25 - 36 | 3(6%)  | 35(23.3%) | 38(19%) |         |
| 37 - 48 | 15(30%) | 24(16%) | 39     |         |
| 49 - 60 | 19(38%) | 16(10.7%) | 35     |         |
|       | 50     | 150  | 200    |         |

Table 5: Co-relation of variables with picky eating behaviour (n=200).

| Mothers education          | Picky | Non picky | Total | p Value |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Illiterate                 | 4(8%) | 21(14%)   | 25(12.5%) | X² = 11.45 | p = 0.009 |
| Primary                    | 15(30%) | 56(37.3%) | 71(35.5%) |         |
| Secondary                  | 22(44%) | 67(44.7%) | 89(44.5%) |         |
| Graduate and above         | 9(18%) | 6(4%)     | 15(7.5%)  |         |
| Total                      | 50     | 150       | 200     |         |

| Birth weight               | Picky | Non picky | Total | p Value |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|
| <2.5 kg                    | 38(76%) | 77(51%)  | 115(57.5%) | X² = 9.33 | p = 0.002 |
| >2.5 kg                    | 12(24%) | 73(49%)  | 85(42.5%)  |         |
| Total                      | 50     | 150       | 200     |         |

| Exclusive breast feeding   | Picky | Non picky | Total | p Value |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|
| <6 months                  | 43(28.8 %) | 106 (71.2 %) | 149 | X² = 4.64 | p = 0.03 |
| >6 months                  | 7 (13.7 %)  | 44 (86.3 %)  | 51   |         |
| Total                      | 50 (25%)    | 150 (75%)   | 200  |         |

| Initiation of semisolid foods | Picky | Non picky | Total | p Value |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|
| <6 months                     | 6(40%) | 90(60%)   | 15    | X² = 2.5 | p = 0.27 |
| 6-8 months                    | 28(26%) | 80(74%)  | 108   |         |
| >8 months                     | 16(21%) | 61(79%)  | 77    |         |
| Total                         | 50(25%) | 150(75%) | 200   |         |

| Knowledge of Complementary feeding | Picky | Non picky | Total | p Value |
|------------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|
| 1: No of times complementary feeding should be given at the age of 9 to 11 months | 37(74.0%) | 81(54.0%) | 118(59.0%) | X² = 1.94 | p = 0.16 |
| 2: What should be the consistency of complementary food at the time of weaning? | 13(26 %) | 69(46.0%) | 82(41.0%) |         |
| Correct                           | 18(36.0%) | 40(26.7%) | 58(29.0%) | X² = 1.58 | p = 0.21 |
| Incorrect                         | 32(64.0%) | 110(73.3%) | 142(71.0%) |         |

Preference of food prepared in specific way

There were 108 children would eat vegetable only if made in a specific way. Prevalence of refusal to specific foods, showed that beans was the most common food.
refused by children (49%) followed by milk (35.5%), vegetables (22.5%) and eggs (10%) (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Prevalence of refusal to specific foods.

The prevalence of picky eaters increased as age increased (table 4). Prevalence of picky eaters was more in age group of 49 to 60 months (38%) with p value <0.0001. We studied association between education of mother in picky versus non picky subjects, it was found that picky eating behaviour is more common in subjects with graduate mothers, statistically significant (p =0.009).

As shown in Table 5, among picky eaters, 76% of subjects had birth weight <2.5 kg as against 51% of non-picky eaters (p value of 0.002). There was significant correlation between exclusive breast feeding <6 months while we found no association with age of starting of semisolid foods. Comparison of anthropometric parameters in the two groups, demonstrates weight, height for age, weight for height were significantly associated with Picky eating habit (Table 6).

Table 6: Comparison of anthropometric parameters among picky eaters and non picky eaters (n=200).

| Weight for age | Picky | Non Picky | Total | p Value |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Normal         | 9(18%)| 91(60%)   | 100(50%) |         |
| Underweight(-2SD to -3SD) | 22(44%) | 47(31%) | 69(34.5%) | \(X^2 = 37.17\) p <0.0001 |
| Malnourished(<-3SD) | 19(38%) | 12(9%) | 31(15.5%) |         |
| Total          | 50    | 150       | 200   |         |
| Height for age |       |           |       |         |
| Normal         | 15(30%) | 129(86%) | 144(72%) |         |
| -2SD to -3SD   | 22(44%) | 18(12%)  | 40(20%) | \(X^2 = 62.53\) p <0.0001 |
| <-3SD          | 13(26%) | 3(2%)    | 16(8%) |         |
| Total          | 50    | 150       | 200   |         |
| Weight for height |     |           |       |         |
| Normal         | 25(50%) | 116(77.3%) | 141(70.5%) |         |
| -2SD to -3SD   | 6(12%) | 28(18.7%) | 34(17%) | \(X^2 = 39.63\) p <0.0001 |
| <-3SD          | 19(38%) | 6(4%)    | 25(12.5%) |         |
| Total          | 50    | 150       | 200   |         |

DISCUSSION

Research on picky eating face difficulties due to a lack of widely-accepted definition and appropriate measurement tools. Different definition used by different researchers may indicate that picky eating behaviour is not simple, but rather has complex characteristics that cannot be defined by one single aspect. Thus, in the present study, different aspects of picky eating were evaluated and then measured separately for their associations with nutritional status.

In this study we have used two main constructs as defined in study done by Shim J,E “eating small amounts” and “limited variety” in picky eating behaviours. The later has the three sub-constructs, of “neophobic behaviour”, “refusal of specific food groups”, and “preference for a specific preparation method”. While children show more than one construct behaviour simultaneously and there is overlap in classification of children. We assessed picky eating behaviour knowledge and practices towards breast feeding and complimentary feeding and its effect on children’s picky eating behaviour.6,15

Prevalence

In the present study, the prevalence of picky eating was 25% (50 children). This was similar to other studies in the literature. This figure compares with the rates of 17% in China in 15.6% in USA, 20% in New Zealand , from studies conducted among comparable age groups. In contrast, much lower rates of 5.6% in the Netherlands , 7.3% in Denmark, and 8.3% in UK have been reported.
by other workers among under-fives. In an Indian study the mean prevalence was 58.9%, which is very high as compared to present study.7-11

When divided into four sub constructs of picky eating, eating small amount and neophobia were major problems with 63.5% and 72.5% subjects, respectively. Refusal of specific food was present in 34% subjects and 12% subjects preferred specifically prepared food only. Most children showed more than one kind of picky behaviour. 47% of the children had picky eating behaviour and 1.5% had all of these picky eating behaviours, while 8% had none of the picky eating behaviours.

**Refusal to eat specific food groups**

Majority (49%) of the refusal was seen with Beans, some (35.5%) with milk, few (22.5%) refused having vegetables while few others (10%) refused having Eggs. In a study which showed that the three most frequently refused food groups were shellfish, beans, and vegetables, and the three least refused food groups were fish, fruits, and eggs.5

**Preference for a specific food-preparation method**

It was observed in their study that many children were having preference for certain food items only if they are cooked in a specific way. Out of 200, 47 children (23.5%) for Beans, 108 children (54%) for Vegetables, 30 children (15%) for Meat and 33 children (16.5%) for Eggs. A study by Mascola et al, also stated that picky eaters eat a limited variety of foods and required food be prepared in specific ways which had significant association too.12 The study also found Parents of picky eaters were more likely (58%) to prepare a separate meal for their child than parents of non-picky eaters (18%).

**Nutritional status in children with picky eating behaviour**

This study assessed the effect of picky eating behaviour on nutritional status in the children from 1 to 5 years with peak age between 49 to 60 months. Out of total 200 study population, 50% had normal weight for age and 72% normal height for age and 70.5% were in normal weight for height. On correlating picky eating behaviour with nutritional status, we found that the children in the Picky eating group had significantly lower anthropometric measures weight for age, height for age, weight for height compared with the non-picky eating group in our study. 38% amongst the picky eaters were underweight compared to 12% of non-picky eaters. Picky eaters were more stunted i.e. 26% than 3% in non-picky eaters (p <0.0001). Similarly author found that wasting was more prevalent in picky eaters (38%) than non-picky (4%) with p <0.0001.

These are significant findings, because being underweight is an important risk factor for poor cognitive development, learning disabilities, long-term behavioral problems, micronutrient deficiency increased prevalence and severity of infection, and high mortality rates. A number of studies have examined the possible effect of picky eating on growth, usually in children older than 3 years, with inconsistent results.13 In a large longitudinal study of 1498 children, Dubois et al, assessed eating behaviours at 2.5, 3.5, and 4.5 years of age, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated from children’s measured height and weight only at 4.5 years. The authors found that children with picky eating habits were twice as likely to be underweight at 4.5 years as children who had never had picky eating habits.14

**Birth weight**

In this study authors found a strong association between low birth weight and picky eating with p value 0.002. 76% of children with birth weight <2.5 kg were picky eaters than 24% of >2.5 kg. The similar trend was observed by a study cohort in UK.15

**Duration of breast feeding and initiation of complimentary feeding**

In present study strong correlation was found between duration of exclusive breast feeding and development of picky eating. Out of total 149 children who were exclusively breast fed for less than 6 month 28% were picky eaters compared with 13.7% who were exclusively breast fed till 6 months of age. A study of Canadian children done by Morrison H, illustrated that 4-year-old children who were exclusively breastfed for 3 or more months had significantly higher adjusted odds of consuming 2 or more servings of vegetables per day when compared to children who were formula-fed or partially breastfed.16

**Knowledge and attitude of mother regarding feeding practices and its effect on development of eating behaviours**

All the mothers of study participants had good knowledge about common mealtime, 100% correct response for the question about whether eating together is important for child (No significant association). Fruh et al, reported many benefits of family meals on children and adolescents, including healthy food selection, academic success, having positive values and fewer behavioral issues (e.g., school problems, substance abuse and excessive weight loss).17 Several studies suggested that family meals help to improve dietary intakes and eating behaviours of children or adolescents.18,19

Thus, our study suggests that, there is strong correlation between picky eating behaviour and nutritional status. Children with picky eating behaviour have more probability to be undernourished. Low birth weight, Exclusive breast feeding, and introduction of complementary feeding has significant association with
development of eating behaviours. Our study has some limitations. First, in this study, the prevalence of Picky eating behaviour was based on the mothers’ subjective opinion of their child’s attitudes. Also this study was conducted in a small convenience sample. More studies to define picky eating behaviour, for greater clarity about its core characteristics, to understand whether it is related to temperament of the child, genetic association or if micronutrient deficiency is a cause or effect, are necessary for advance research. Additionally, more longitudinal research is needed to distinguish between clinically significant problem behaviour and behaviour that can be considered part of normal development. The pediatrician needs to guide these parents to handle children with picky eating behaviour to ease worries pertaining it.
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