Reduction in Snap Bean Emergence by Seed Treatment with Dried Canola Residue
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Abstract. Emergence of snap beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) in field soil in 1995 to 1997 was reduced by the addition of dried, ground canola [Brassica napus L. ssp. oleifera (Metzg.) Sinsk. L. biennis] leaves and petioles to the furrow at planting. Soil amendment with the tissue increased the number of nodules on bean roots in all years. In plots with reduced stand, leaf area was increased and yield on a per-plant basis was larger than in plots with a better stand. Total yield was increased in plots with fewer plants only in 1995. Frequency of isolation of fungi that cause damping-off was not affected by the addition of canola at planting. When used as a seed treatment and incorporated at planting, canola residues were detrimental to emergence of snap bean.

Interest in the use of crucifers (Brassica sp.) as soil amendments to control soilborne plant pathogens has increased in recent years. Crucifers (and other genera) produce sulfur-containing compounds called glucosinolates. Although high levels of endogenous glucosinolates render the plants unsuitable for use as animal feed or for human consumption (Auld et al., 1992), they make the plants more useful as green manure crops or soil amendments. Enzymatic hydrolysis of glucosinolates yields organic cyanides, isothiocyanates, oxazolidines, and ionic thiocyanate (Brown and Morra, 1995; Gamliel and Stapleton, 1993). These compounds render the plants unsuitable for use as green manure crops or soil amendments. The cultivar used in the present study, 'Humus', was bred specifically to contain high levels of glucosinolates; it cannot be used for food purposes.

Crucifers, and other genera, might therefore be useful as a means of controlling damping-off. Brassica tissues also reduce population levels of various soilborne fungi (Kirkegaard et al., 1996; Lewis and Papavizas, 1971; Muehlihen et al., 1990; Papavizas, 1968; Papavizas and Lewis, 1971) and nematodes (Mojahedi et al., 1993), but they may be herbicidal to desired plants (Brown and Morra, 1995). For example, processing waste from broccoli (Brassica oleracea L. Botrytis group) was successfully utilized to reduce levels of Verticillium dahliae Kleb. in field soil; no adverse effects on subsequent broccoli crops were reported (Subbarao and Hubbard, 1996). When used as a rotation crop, canola (formerly referred to as rape or rapeseed) moderately reduced symptoms of verticillium wilt of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) (Davis et al., 1996). Canola incorporated into potting media reduced infection by Phytophthora cactorum (Lebert & Cohn) J. Schröt. on tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.), but was phytotoxic to tomato at levels that reduced disease (Smith, 1994). Occurrence of stem lesions caused by P. cactorum was reduced by adding canola residue (20 g L⁻¹ per liter of potting mix), but plants were significantly stunted by the same amount in the absence of P. cactorum. Germination of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) seed in enclosed bioassay chambers was greatly reduced by both volatile and water-soluble extracts of rapeseed meal (Brown and Morra, 1995).

The objective of the present study was to test the efficacy of using canola tissue to reduce damping-off of snap bean in the field, and to determine any phytotoxic effects of the canola itself on the snap beans. A preliminary report has been published (Smith, 1997).

Materials and Methods

Oven-dried leaves and petioles of canola cv. Humus were ground to pass a mesh screen with a 1-mm² opening (20 mesh) in a Wiley mill. Plants were collected just before seed set, when levels of glucosinolates are high (Mayton et al., 1996). Ground canola was stored dry in metal cans at 10 °C, and used as needed.

Each year before planting, germination tests were conducted on the snap bean seed lot to be used that year. In all cases, germination of the seed lots exceeded 99%.

On 17 July 1995, 24 June 1996, and 16 June 1997, ‘Bush Blue Lake’ snap beans were planted in Watchaug fine sandy loam (coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic, Aquic Dystrochrept) in Hamden, Conn. The area planted was the same every year. Initial N levels were 10 to 15 mg kg⁻¹, and no N fertilizer was added at any time. The area to be planted was cultivated with a rototiller, then raked smooth by hand; large stones and clods of earth were removed. Seeds were planted by hand, at a depth of 4 cm and with 4 cm between seeds. Cracked, broken, or discolored seeds were discarded. Between-row spacing was 46 cm, and individual plot rows were 3.1 m long (80 seeds in 3.1 m).

All spacings were measured, not estimated. Treatment consisted of 200 g of dried, ground canola, applied directly to the seeds in a given 3.1-m furrow; seeds in half the rows were left untreated. Treatments were replicated 12 times in 1995 (24 plots total), and eight times in both 1996 and 1997 (16 plots total in both years). Plots were watered immediately after planting and thereafter as needed to supplement incident rainfall, and weeds were removed by hand. Damage by Mexican bean beetles (Epilachna varivestis Mulsant) was reduced in all years by removing larva and adults by hand and by treating plots with Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki de Barjac & Lemille according to label recommendations. Stand counts were taken 14 d after planting and expressed as stand density (number of emerged plants per 3.1 m of row).

In all years, isolations were made from seedlings that did not emerge. Lesioned hypocotyls were plated onto water agar to detect pathogens, and subcultures were made on acidified potato dextrose agar and Komada’s agar (1975) to identify fungi as to genus and species, when possible. Isolations for bacteria were not conducted.

 Marketable pods were harvested beginning on 8 Sept 1995, 15 Aug 1996, and 7 Aug 1997, and at 3- to 4-d intervals until yields declined to <10% of the yield of the second
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picking. Pods were harvested multiple times to detect any differences in timing of maximum yield due to amendment with canola. Yield of marketable pods was recorded from the inner 1.8 m of each row, leaving 0.6 m at each end of each row as a buffer between adjoining rows.

After completion of the harvest, all plots were destructively sampled. Five plants were dug by hand from the middle of the harvested area of each row, and the number of trifoliates (used to estimate leaf area; Aylor 1988), total area of each row, and the number of trifoliates dug by hand from the middle of the harvested row were recorded. The roots of each plant were recorded.

Results

In all 3 years, emergence of snap beans, expressed as stand density, was reduced by at least 64% by the addition of dried, ground canola in the furrow at the time of planting (Table 1). Daily minimum and maximum temperatures were similar in all three years, ranging from 8 to 20, 13 to 27, 15 to 30, and 16 to 30 °C, for the average minimum and maximum temperatures for May, June, July, and August, respectively, for 1995, 1996, and 1997. Detection of pathogens in seeds that did not emerge did not differ with treatment (Table 2).

Average leaf area of plants (estimated by the number of trifoliates) in rows with reduced stand (canola added) was increased in all years; differences were numerically but not statistically greater in 2 of the 3 years. Reductions in stand in all years resulted in larger plants and a corresponding increase in yield on a per-plant basis. Total yield was higher in plots with reduced stand only in 1995; these plots were planted later in the summer and were subject to higher ambient temperatures in July and August. High temperatures may have contributed to an increased volatilization of the isothiocyanates. There was no effect of treatment on timing of maximum yield of marketable pods (data not presented). Nodulation was numerically greater in all 3 years in rows amended with canola.

Conclusions

Since the primary effect of adding canola residue to the furrow at planting was a suppression of bean seedling emergence, the isothiocyanates may be phytotoxic to germination. The cultivar of canola used in the present study was selected to be high in glucosinolates, the precursors to isothiocyanates. In plots with fewer plants, plants were larger (as reflected by a larger leaf area) than those in plots with more plants; decreased stand establishment in canola-treated plots did not affect yield, because of compensation by the remaining plants. The remaining plants in the canola-treated plots were larger and more productive than those in the plots not treated with canola. Reduction in germination probably occurred because of addition of canola at planting. Since we did not explore the effects of nodules per plant (no.) in rows with reduced stand only in 1995; these plots were planted later in the summer and were subject to higher ambient temperatures in July and August. High temperatures may have contributed to an increased volatilization of the isothiocyanates. There was no effect of treatment on timing of maximum yield of marketable pods (data not presented). Nodulation was numerically greater in all 3 years in rows amended with canola.

**Table 1. Effect of treatment with canola residue on stand establishment, number of trifoliates, nodulation, and yield of snap beans, 1995–97.**

| Year       | Criterion                | Canola | With (%) | Without (%) |
|------------|--------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|
| 1995 (24)  | Stand density (plants/3.1 m) | 1996 (16) | 17.3 ± 2.78 | 12.4 ± 2.62** |
| 1996 (16)  | No. of trifoliates†       | 1997 (16) | 9.4 ± 3.13 | 6.6 ± 1.65*  |
| 1995 (24)  | Nodules per plant (no.)‡   | 1996 (16) | 16.4 ± 3.19 | 15.4 ± 3.93*  |
| 1996 (16)  | Total yield (kg)§         | 1997 (16) | 27.3 ± 3.08 | 64.6 ± 0.83*** |
| 1997 (16)  | Plants harvested (no.)∥   | 1995 (24) | 351 | 142* |
| 1996 (16)  | Yield/plant (g)           | 1997 (16) | 27.8 | 34.7 |

**Notes:**

†Mean ± standard error of the mean.
‡Total weight of marketable pods from the inner 1.8 m of all rows of that treatment.
§Total number of plants from which harvest data were taken.
∥Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05 or ≤ 0.1, respectively, by ANOVA. Comparisons are within years.

**Table 2. Effect of canola residues on frequency of isolation of fungi from damped-off snap bean seedlings. In some instances, more than one fungus was isolated from a seedling.**

| Fungus genus | Year   | With (%) | Without (%) |
|--------------|--------|----------|-------------|
| *Fusarium*   | 1995   | 31/132   | 48/96       |
| *Pythium*    | 1995   | 9/132    | 14/96       |
| *Rhizoctonia*| 1995   | 13/132   | 15/96       |
| *Phytophthora*| 1995   | 1/132    | 0/96        |
| *Pythium*    | 1995   | 15/132   | 21/96       |

**Notes:**

| Fungus genus | Year | With (%) | Without (%) |
|--------------|------|----------|-------------|
| *Pythium*    | 1995 | 9/132    | 14/96       |
| *Rhizoctonia*| 1995 | 13/132   | 15/96       |
| *Phytophthora*| 1995 | 1/132    | 0/96        |
| *Pythium*    | 1995 | 15/132   | 21/96       |

**Notes:**

±Numerator is the number of damped-off seedlings from which the fungus was isolated; denominator is the number of damped-off seedlings from which isolations were attempted.
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