Polyamines function in stress tolerance: from synthesis to regulation
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Plants are challenged by a variety of biotic or abiotic stresses, which can affect their growth and development, productivity, and geographic distribution. In order to survive adverse environmental conditions, plants have evolved various adaptive strategies, among which is the accumulation of metabolites that play protective roles. A well-established example of the metabolites that are involved in stress responses, or stress tolerance, is the low-molecular-weight aliphatic polyamines, including putrescine, spermidine, and spermine. The critical role of polyamines in stress tolerance is suggested by several lines of evidence: firstly, the transcript levels of polyamine biosynthetic genes, as well as the activities of the corresponding enzymes, are induced by stresses; secondly, elevation of endogenous polyamine levels by exogenous supply of polyamines, or overexpression of polyamine biosynthetic genes, results in enhanced stress tolerance; and thirdly, a reduction of endogenous polyamines is accompanied by compromised stress tolerance. A number of studies have demonstrated that polyamines function in stress tolerance largely by modulating the homeostasis of reactive oxygen species (ROS) due to their direct, or indirect, roles in regulating antioxidant systems or suppressing ROS production. The transcriptional regulation of polyamine synthesis by transcription factors is also reviewed here. Meanwhile, future perspectives on polyamine research are also suggested.
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INTRODUCTION

As sessile organisms, plants are frequently challenged by a variety of adverse biotic or abiotic environmental factors. Since, unlike animals, plants cannot escape from unfavorable environments, harsh stresses constitute major factors that limit growth and development, and severely restrict the production of high-quality agricultural crops. Exposure to the stressful conditions can therefore lead to a substantial difference in potential and actual crop yields, the size of which largely depends on the severity and duration of the environmental stresses in question. Abiotic stresses, such as drought, flooding, extreme temperatures, high salinity, chemical toxicity, nutrient deficiency and others, are regarded as the predominant causes of crop loss and may account for more than 50% reduction of the yield of the major annual and perennial crops worldwide (Wang et al., 2003). In this regard, understanding how plants adapt to, and survive, the abiotic stresses is important for the efficient exploitation of genetic resources with desirable stress tolerance, and for developing new approaches to enhance stress tolerance.
Plant evolution has been accompanied by the development of complex and highly coordinated systems that allow adaptation to the stresses, involving signaling cascades that start with signal perception, and result in a variety of stress responses. Recently, significant progresses have been made in elucidating the molecular and genetic pathways involved in stress responses, and a number of key components in the stress signaling cascade events have been identified (Yuan et al., 2013; Wisniewski et al., 2014; Gehan et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2015).

In the signal transduction pathway, stress signals are perceived by sensors that are primarily located at the plasma membrane, resulting in the release or activation of various secondary messengers, such as calcium (Ca), ROS (reactive oxygen species), and inositol phosphates, which relay the stress signals and activate downstream components, such as protein kinases and protein phosphatases (Nakashima et al., 2009; Danquah et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014a; Ma et al., 2015). These proteins orchestrate the balance of protein phosphorylation and play a key role in the regulation of transcription factors (TFs), which bind to cis-acting elements in the promoters of their downstream target genes, thereby activating their transcription. This signaling cascade has been shown to be conserved in various plants and allows the plants to survive under the harsh environments (Liu et al., 2014a).

Stress responses are manifested by a range of morphological, physiological, biochemical, and molecular changes. Among these, molecular reprogramming plays a pivotal role, and a large number of studies have described the up- or down-regulation of a wide spectrum of stress-responsive genes (Seki et al., 2002; Thomashow, 2010; Liu et al., 2014a). These genes are generally classified into regulatory or functional types, based on the function of their products. Regulatory genes, encoding protein kinases, phospholipases, and TFs, act as master switches involved in hierarchical signaling cascades, thereby playing vital roles in transcriptional control of downstream stress-responsive genes. The functional genes act directly to mitigate stress-derived injuries via their products, which include a diverse set of metabolites (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2007). These protective approaches include the stabilization of membranes and macromolecules, alleviation of oxidative stresses, and maintenance of water status. One well studied group of metabolites comprises the polyamines, which have long been suggested to protect and maintain the function and structure of cellular components in response to stresses. Since the first report describing the accumulation of the polyamine putrescine as a result of potassium deficiency (Richards and Coleman, 1952), a large number of studies have implicating polyamines in plant responses to a myriad of abiotic stresses, and these have been reviewed elsewhere (Liu et al., 2007; Kusano et al., 2008; Alcázar et al., 2010a; Hussain et al., 2011; Minocha et al., 2014; Shi and Chan, 2014; Tiburcio et al., 2014). Here, we review recent progress in understanding the association between polyamines and stress responses, with an emphasis on their role in maintenance of ROS homeostasis. In addition, recent advances in identifying and characterizing upstream regulatory genes involved in the stress-induced transcriptional regulation of polyamine metabolism are also discussed.

**POLYAMINE SYNTHESIS AND CATABOLISM: CURRENT STATUS**

Polyamines (PAs) are low-molecular-weight, aliphatic polycations that are ubiquitously distributed in all living organisms, including bacteria, animals, and plants (Hussain et al., 2011). There are three major PAs in plants, putrescine (Put), spermidine (Spd), and spermine (Spm), although other types of PAs, such as cadaverine, can also be present. The plant PA biosynthetic pathway has been extensively studied (Kusano et al., 2008; Vera-Sirera et al., 2010; Pegg and Casero, 2011; Gupta et al., 2013) and differs from that of animals in that it involves two precursors, l-ornithine and l-arginine, to generate putrescine, while only l-ornithine is used in animals. In plants, Put is produced via the catalytic actions of ornithine decarboxylase (ODC, EC 4.1.1.17) and arginine decarboxylase (ADC, EC 4.1.1.19) in three steps. Put is then converted into Spd by Spd synthase (SPDS, EC 2.5.1.16), with the addition of an aminopropyl moiety donated by decarboxylated S-adenosylmethionine (dcSAM). dcSAM is synthesized from methionine via two sequential reactions that are catalyzed by methionine adenosyltransferase (EC 2.5.1.6) and S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (SAMDC, EC 4.1.1.50), respectively. Spd is then converted into Spm or thermospermine, again using dcSAM as an aminopropyl donor, in a reaction catalyzed by Spm synthase (SPMS, EC 2.5.1.22) and thermospermine synthase (ACL5, EC 2.5.1.79), respectively (Figure 1). It should be noted that there is no known gene encoding ODC in the sequenced genome of model plant Arabidopsis thaliana (Hanfrey et al., 2001), suggesting that this species may only produce Put via the ADC pathway. Finally, PA synthesis may vary between tissues/organs, one example being that the shoot apical meristem of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) serves as the predominant site of Spd and Spm synthesis, while Put is mostly synthesized in roots (Moschou et al., 2008).

Apart from their de novo synthesis, PAs have been shown to undergo catabolism (Figure 1), catalyzed by two classes of enzymes, copper-containing diamine oxidases (CuAOs) and FAD-containing polyamine oxidases (PAOs; Cona et al., 2006). CuAOs mainly catalyze the oxidation of Put and cadaverine (Cad) at the primary amino groups, producing 4-aminobutanal, peroxide (H₂O₂) and ammonia (Alcázar et al., 2010a; Moschou et al., 2012). Generally, CuAO proteins exhibit high affinity for Put and Cad than for Spd and Spm (Moschou et al., 2012), although it has been demonstrated that A. thaliana CuAO enzymes can also use Spd as a substrate (Planas-Portell et al., 2013). Plant CuAO proteins are present at high level in dicots, especially in Fabaceous species, such as pea, chickpea, lentil, and soybean seedlings (Cona et al., 2006). Until now, CuAO genes have been identified in only a few plant species, such as A. thaliana (Moller and McPherson, 1998; Planas-Portell et al., 2013) and chickpea (Rea et al., 1998). In A. thaliana there are at least 10 putative CuAO genes, and four of these (AtAO1, AtCuAO1, AtCuAO2, and AtCuAO3) have also been identified (Moller and McPherson, 1998; Planas-Portell et al., 2013).

In contrast to CuAOs, PAOs are present at high levels in monocots and have a high affinity for Spd, Spm, and their derivatives (Alcázar et al., 2010a). Plant PAOs are divided into
two major groups, depending on their potential functions in polyamine catabolism. The first group catalyzes the terminal catabolism of Spd and Spm to produce 1,3-diaminopropane (DAP), H$_2$O$_2$, and N-(3-aminopropyl)-4-aminobutanal (Spm catabolism), or 4-aminobutanal (Spd catabolism; Cona et al., 2006; Angelini et al., 2010; Moschou et al., 2012). The second group is responsible for PA conversions, in which Spm is converted back to Spd, and Spd to Put (Moschou et al., 2012). To date, PAO genes have been identified in several plant species, including A. thaliana (Fincato et al., 2011), tobacco (Yoda et al., 2006), rice (Oryza sativa; Ono et al., 2012), barley (Hordeum vulgare; Cervelli et al., 2001), maize (Zea mays; Cervelli et al., 2000), poplar (Tuskan et al., 2006), apple (Malus domestica; Kitashiba et al., 2006), cotton (Gossypium barbadense; Mo et al., 2015), and sweet orange (Citrus sinensis; Wang and Liu, 2015). Plant PAO proteins are encoded by small gene families, as revealed by analyses of fully sequenced genomes. There are five, seven, and six PAO genes in A. thaliana, rice, and sweet orange, respectively. However, only few of the PAO genes belonging to the first group have been characterized, and to date only ZmPAO and OsPAO7, from maize and rice, respectively, have been reported to be involved in PA terminal catabolism (Cona et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2014b). In contrast, many PAO genes belonging to the second group have been identified. For example, all five PAO genes from A. thaliana (AtPAO1–AtPAO5) and four PAO genes from rice (OsPAO1, OsPAO3, OsPAO4, and OsPAO5) have been shown to be involved in the back conversion of polyamines (Tavladoraki et al., 2006; Kamada-Nobusada et al., 2008; Moschou et al., 2008; Fincato et al., 2011; Ono et al., 2012; Ahou et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014b), and recently, a sweet orange PAO gene (CsPAO3) was added to the list of identified genes from this group (Wang and Liu, 2015). As PA catabolism gives rise to the production of H$_2$O$_2$, which may act either as a signaling molecule at low levels or as a toxic compound when the level is high, the ratio of PA catabolism to biosynthesis has been considered as a crucial factor for induction of tolerance responses or plant cell death under...
abiotic stress (Moschou et al., 2008). This suggests that PA-derived H$_2$O$_2$ may play a key role in the maintenance of ROS homeostasis, depending on its cellular levels.

INVolvement of Polyamine Metabolism in Stress Response

Change in PA Levels and Transcript Levels of Genes Involved in Polyamine Metabolism Under Abiotic Stress Conditions

Polyamines have been shown to be involved in various processes associated with plant growth and development, such as embryogenic competence (Silveira et al., 2013), programmed cell death (Kim et al., 2013), fruit ripening (Gil-Amado and Gomez-Jimenez, 2012), xylem differentiation (Tisi et al., 2011), as well as biofilm formation (Lee et al., 2009). Accumulating evidences suggest that plant PAs function in adaptive responses to various environmental stresses, and this is supported by the extensive variation in polyamine levels under stress conditions. Since the first report described Put accumulation under potassium deficiency decades ago (Richards and Coleman, 1952), changes in PA levels have been universally observed in various plant species subjected to a range of abiotic stresses, including drought, high salinity, low and high temperatures, nutrient deficiency, and others (Liu et al., 2007). In some cases, it has been observed that the three most abundant PAs, Put, Spd and Spm, show substantial increases in abundance following abiotic stress (Yang et al., 2007). However, in most cases, only one type of the three PAs shows a significant increase. For example, when apple callus was treated with salt, Put levels increased, while those of Spd and Spm underwent only minor changes (Liu et al., 2006). In contrast, sweet orange callus was reported to show predominant increases in Spd content when exposed to salt and cold stress conditions (Wang and Liu, 2009), and grape (Vitis vinifera) plants showed a dramatic accumulation of Spd and Spm following salt stress (Ikbal et al., 2014). In another study, it was reported that 18 rice varieties exhibited notable changes in Spm levels when grown under long-term drought stress (Do et al., 2014). These findings suggest that PA accumulation is influenced by different factors, such as plant species in question, stress tolerance capacity, stress types and conditions, and the physiological status of the examined tissues/organs. It also indicates the existence of complicated PA dynamics under abiotic stress, which may explain why differing or contradictory results have been reported. The size of PA pool can be correlated with the stress tolerance capacity, further underlining the significance of PAs in providing protection against stresses. Generally, tolerant genotypes accumulate greater amounts of PAs than sensitive genotypes (Hatmi et al., 2015); however, genotypes with contrasting stress tolerance have been shown to display different patterns of PA accumulation under some abiotic stresses. In several studies tolerant genotypes accumulated more Spd and Spm, while the sensitive genotypes from the same plants species accumulated more Put under the same types of stresses (Krishnamurthy and Bhagwat, 1989; Santa-Cruz et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2004). Although whether Spd and Spm play more important roles in counteracting abiotic stress remains to be determined, it is a reasonable hypothesis, since Spd and Spm contain one and two additional primary amino groups (–NH$_2$), respectively, compared to Put, allowing them to be more efficient for executing protective functions.

The accumulation of PAs under abiotic stress conditions is largely due to the increased de novo synthesis of free PAs. Since their synthesis is primarily regulated at the transcriptional level, an understanding of the expression patterns of the biosynthetic genes is important for understanding the regulation of PA levels. To this end, a myriad of studies have been carried out to investigate the steady-state transcript levels of PA biosynthetic genes. Available data to date indicate that most of the PA biosynthetic genes, including ADC, SPDS, SPMS, and SAMDC, are up-regulated by stresses, despite a difference in the timing and degree of induction (Liu et al., 2006, 2008, 2009, 2011; Wang et al., 2011b). Of these genes, ADC is most widely characterized in different plants and has been demonstrated to be a crucial stress-responsive gene (Urano et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011b). Increased transcript levels of the PA biosynthetic genes coincide with the accumulation of free PA in some studies, but inconsistent in others (Liu et al., 2006; Wang and Liu, 2009). One reason for the disparity between gene expression profiles and PA accumulation is likely due to PA catabolism. Notably, the expression patterns of PA biosynthetic genes have also been shown to be correlated with stress tolerance (Pillai and Akiyama, 2004). For instance, citrus genotypes with better salt and cold tolerance displayed earlier and/or greater induction of SAMDC transcript at the initial stages of stress treatment (Wang et al., 2010).

Effects of Modulating PA Content on Stress Tolerance

Polyamine accumulation is usually considered to be a general plant response to abiotic stresses, but the cause-effect relationship between PA accumulation and protection remains unclear. An effective strategy for understanding the roles of PAs in stress tolerance is to modulate their cellular levels, which has been accomplished using three approaches, including their exogenous application, overexpression of their biosynthetic genes and the use of PA synthesis inhibitors.

Exogenous application of Put, Spd, or Spm at different concentrations has been shown to confer enhanced tolerance to various stresses in different plants (Duan et al., 2008). For example, exogenous application of Put considerably enhanced salt tolerance in apple callus and thermotolerance of wheat (Liu et al., 2006; Kumar et al., 2014). A recent study by Zhang et al. (2015b) demonstrated that damage caused by saline-alkaline stress to tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) plants was substantially alleviated when 0.25 mM Spd was applied, and exogenous Spd supplementation can also alleviate salt stress in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) seedlings (Yin et al., 2015). In another study, Harindra Champa et al. (2015) demonstrated that exogenous application of 1 mM Spm reduced chilling injury during low temperature storage of grape berries, leading to maintenance of fruit quality and shelf life.
Apart from exogenous PA application, several elegant studies have shown that the overexpression of PA biosynthetic genes is an effective strategy to elevate the endogenous PA pool and to modify stress tolerance. For example, overexpression of ADC genes from oat (*Avena sativa*) and *Datisca communis* resulted in greater accumulation of Put in the transgenic plants, which displayed enhanced drought tolerance when compared with the wild type (WT) genotypes (Roy and Wu, 2001; Capell et al., 2004). Recently, it was shown that constitutive overexpression of ADC2 in *A. thaliana*, oat ADC in *Lotus tenuis* and *PtADC* of *Poncirus trifoliate* in tobacco and tomato noticeably increased drought tolerance in the transgenic plants (Alcázár et al., 2010b; Wang et al., 2011a; Espasandin et al., 2014). In addition, other PA biosynthetic genes, such as *ODC*, *SAMDC*, and *SPDS*, have also been overexpressed in transgenic plants, resulting in enhanced tolerance to specific stresses, such as drought and salt (Roy and Wu, 2002; Waie and Rajam, 2003). In summary, overexpression of a PA biosynthetic gene has been demonstrated to confer tolerance to various abiotic stresses (Kasukabe et al., 2004, 2006; Wi et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011a,b), indicating that changes in the endogenous PA pool has a profound influence on stress tolerance.

Several inhibitors have been identified that repress different PA biosynthetic enzymes, thereby inhibiting endogenous PA synthesis. Their use has provided useful insights into the role of PAs in stress tolerance. Specific or non-specific inhibitors have been used in order to elucidate the role of different PAs. d-arginine, an inhibitor of ADC, was shown to be effective in reducing Put synthesis and its application to apple callus compromised salt tolerance; an effect that was reversed when exogenous Put was applied, suggesting a role for Put in combating salt stress (Liu et al., 2006). Moreover, treatment of grape plants with methylglyoxal-bis(guanylhydrazone) (MGBG), an inhibitor of SAMDC, which is involved in synthesis of Spd and Spm, led to a greater deterioration of plant growth under salt stress than those without MGBG treatment (Ikbal et al., 2014). Recently, it was shown that treatment of sorghum plants with dicyclohexylammonium sulphate (DCHA), an inhibitor of SPDS and SPMS, ameliorated the silicon-induced salt tolerance, implying the positive role of PA in this process (Yin et al., 2015).

**ROLE OF POLYAMINES IN STRESS TOLERANCE: FROM AN ANTIOXIDANT PERSPECTIVE**

As mentioned above, elevation of endogenous PA levels is one of the metabolic hallmarks of plants exposed to abiotic stresses (Kusano et al., 2008), implying that they are important for protecting plants against harsh environmental conditions. Nevertheless, in spite of many observations of changes in PA levels under stresses, the precise physiological and molecular mechanisms by which they confer protection remain elusive (Marco et al., 2011). The biological function of the polycationic PAs were initially associated with their capacity to bind anionic macromolecules, such as nucleic acids and proteins, a characteristic that allows PAs to play a role in the regulation of transcription and translation (Bachrach, 2010; Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Igarashi and Kashiwagi, 2010; Tiburcio et al., 2014). They have also been suggested to function in maintaining membrane stability under adverse conditions (Liu et al., 2007; Tiburcio et al., 2014); however, besides other than these mechanisms, there is increasing evidence that their role in stress tolerance is associated with modulating antioxidant systems.

Reactive oxygen species are produced under normal growth conditions, but their homeostasis is a highly coordinated balance between generation and detoxification. Under abiotic stresses, ROS production is elevated, causing excessive ROS accumulation and oxidative stress, which is toxic to living cells due to lipid peroxidation and membrane damage, and can eventually result in cell death (Biswas and Mano, 2015). PAs are thought to play a role in modulating ROS homeostasis in two ways. Firstly, they may inhibit the auto-oxidation of metals, which in turn impairs the supply of electrons for the generation of ROS (Shi et al., 2010). They may also directly act as antioxidants and scavenge ROS, although there is no evidence for this mechanism at present. Secondly, PAs may affect antioxidant systems, and a number of studies have demonstrated that priming of plants with polyamines led to increases in endogenous PA contents and concomitant enhanced tolerance to abiotic stresses, such as drought, heat, and cold. The elevation of stress tolerance is concurrent with the activation of antioxidant enzymes. For example, exogenous application of Spm to *P. trifoliata* led to an elevation of POD, SOD, and CAT activities, accompanied by a remarkable decrease in ROS levels under dehydration (Shi et al., 2010). Exogenous supply of Spd to rice seedlings mitigated heat-induced damages, and increased activities of antioxidant enzymes and levels of antioxidant, accompanied by reduced accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ (Mostofa et al., 2014). Similar findings have been observed using other plants, such as tobacco, soybean, cucumber, and pistachio (Xu et al., 2011; Radhakrishnan and Lee, 2013; Shu et al., 2013; Kambi et al., 2014). On the other hand, genetic manipulation of PA biosynthetic genes has been demonstrated to promote stress tolerance via modulation of antioxidant machineries. Overexpression of *MsSPDS1* in European pear (*Pyrus communis*) resulted in an enhanced tolerance to heavy metals, which was largely ascribed to the activation of antioxidant enzymes (Wen et al., 2009). Ectopic expression of *PtADC* in tobacco and tomato also conferred enhanced dehydration and drought tolerance, coincident with a substantial repression of ROS generation in the transgenic plants (Wang et al., 2011a). Another line of evidence supporting the role of PAs in modulating ROS homeostasis is the use of inhibitors of PA biosynthetic enzymes. As an example, it was shown that the use of D-arginine resulted in a decrease in endogenous PA levels and a consequent increase in ROS accumulation (Wang et al., 2011b; Zhang et al., 2015a). These studies demonstrate that PAs may alleviate the oxidative stress of the stressed plants through regulation of antioxidant systems, along with changes in the ROS production and redox status (Shu et al., 2013; Tanou et al., 2014).

However, a direct link between increased PA levels and antioxidant enzyme activity has yet to be proven. One possibility is that the PAs may function as signaling molecules that can activate the antioxidant enzymes, and indeed Spm has been suggested to act as a signaling molecule (Mitsuya et al., 2009). Another link...
may be the production of H$_2$O$_2$ by PAO-mediated PA catabolism. An increase in the endogenous PA levels to a certain threshold may promote their degradation, generating H$_2$O$_2$. It is known that H$_2$O$_2$ plays dual roles in plant responses to abiotic stresses, one being to act as a regulator of signaling cascades at a low cytosolic concentration, which may contribute to the induction of antioxidant enzymes (Moschou et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015a; Figure 1). On the other hand, the PAs may influence various antioxidant enzymes through regulation of their expression. Higher transcript levels of antioxidant enzyme-encoding genes have been detected in tissues treated with exogenous PAs or in the transgenic plants overexpressing PA biosynthetic genes (Tanou et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015b).

**TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATION OF POLYAMINE SYNTHESIS UNDER ABIOTIC STRESS**

Earlier studies demonstrated that the PA biosynthetic genes display disparate expression profiles under abiotic stresses. For example, *PpADC* of peach was up-regulated by dehydration, salt, cold, and cadmium (Liu et al., 2009), but repressed by high temperature. It has to be pointed out that the PA biosynthetic genes can be differentially influenced by a particular stress, as exemplified by *MdADCI*, which is more responsive to salt stress than other PA biosynthetic genes (Liu et al., 2006). In addition, the transcript levels of PA biosynthetic genes can vary significantly between stress tolerant and stress sensitive genotypes. Such findings suggest that the PA biosynthetic genes may be under tight transcriptional regulation during abiotic stress responses, and so identification and characterization of the associated upstream transcriptional regulators will likely be important in connecting stress responses with PA metabolism.

It has been suggested that *ADC* acts as an important polyamine biosynthetic gene in response to abiotic stresses, and it has been more extensively characterized than other genes in the pathway. The expression of *ADC* genes from a number of plant species has been described; in particular those from several species, such as *A. thaliana*, *P. trifoliata*, have been functionally characterized (Uranó et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2011a,b). Thus, *ADC* genes are promising candidates used for identifying potential transcriptional regulators, such as TFs or protein kinases. The identification and bioinformatics analysis of promoter sequences are common first steps toward identifying potential TFs that regulate a PA biosynthetic gene, prior to the use of yeast one-hybrid screening of cDNA libraries. This generally involves characterizing putative cis-acting elements that are present within the promoters. Recently, Basu et al. (2014) reported that in silico analysis of the promoter region of rice *SamDC* gene revealed the presence of several putative cis-acting elements, such as ABRE, LTRE, MYBR, and W-box, which have been shown to be closely associated with various environmental factors, such as drought, cold, and abscisic acid (ABA) signaling. These findings suggest that the PA biosynthetic genes may be controlled by a common set of TFs, or that a given TF may control different genes involved in PA biosynthesis. This idea is congruent with earlier reports that PA biosynthetic genes, such as *PpADC* (Liu et al., 2009) or *PtADC* (Wang et al., 2011b), are responsive to different stresses. In addition, it also suggests that the endogenous PA levels may be modulated by altering the expression of TFs, either through overexpression or repression (Huang et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015).

MYB proteins are TFs that play important roles in plant development and stress responses (Dubos et al., 2010). Sun et al. (2014) reported that a stress-responsive R2R3-type MYB gene of *P. trifoliata*, *PtsrMYB*, regulated its *ADC* gene, *PtADC*. Yeast one-hybrid assay demonstrated that *PtsrMYB* predominantly interacts with two regions of the *PtADC* promoter, indicating the *PtADC* may be a target gene of *PtsrMYB*. Moreover, overexpression of *PtsrMYB* led to an increase in mRNA levels of *ADC* genes in the transgenic lines when compared with WT plants, concurrent with increased PA levels. In a recent study, Chen et al. (2015) showed that overexpression of a cotton MYB TF, *GbMYB5*, also led to up-regulation of three polyamine biosynthetic genes in the transgenic lines. These findings suggest that MYBs might be likely to govern polyamine synthesis under abiotic stresses through regulating the relevant genes.

ABF is a key TF involved in the transduction of signals associated with drought and osmotic stress (Yoshida et al., 2010, 2015). It is known that under abiotic stress conditions, synthesis of ABA is typically increased, which in turn triggers signaling through a network that includes components such as ABA receptors, protein phosphatases, and SnRK proteins. The activated SnRK proteins can in turn phosphorylate ABF TFs, which then regulate downstream target genes (Danquah et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015a). In addition, PA biosynthetic genes, such as those encoding ADC, SAMDC, SPDS, have been shown to be induced under drought stress or following ABA treatment, and this is accompanied by increase in the endogenous PAs. However, whether the induction of PA genes or the accumulation of PAs is directly associated with ABA signaling cascades has not yet been addressed. Recently, *PtrABF* from *P. trifoliata*, an ABF4 homolog, was shown to regulate the expression of an *ADC* gene by interacting with the ABRE elements within the *ADC* promoter. Overexpression of *PtrABF* greatly increased the mRNA levels of *ADC*, and resulted in an increase in endogenous Put levels, whereas treatment of the *PtrABF-*overexpressing lines with an ADC inhibitor resulted in a decrease of Put contents, and compromised dehydration tolerance (Huang et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2015a). These results provide convincing evidence that *ADC* is a candidate target gene of ABF. Characterization of this regulatory cascade may elucidate the transcriptional regulation of *ADC* genes and the associated accumulation of PAs under drought or osmotic stresses.

WRKY proteins comprise a large family of TFs in plants and play important roles in regulating the synthesis of several metabolites, such as lignin, phytoalexins, terpenoid indole alkaloid (Suttipanta et al., 2011). Recently, Gong et al. (2015) reported that overexpression of *FcWRKY70*, a WRKY70 homolog from *Fortunella crassifolia*, led to the increased expression of *ADC* genes, whereas suppression of *FcWRKY70*, using an RNAi approach, down-regulated *ADC* expression. Put levels were prominently increased in *FcWRKY70*-overexpressing lines, but decreased in the RNAi lines when compared to the WT.
In addition, FcWRKY70 can interact with the W-box elements in the ADC promoter. Taken together, these results indicate that FcWRKY70 may also act as a positive regulator of ADC expression and regulate Put synthesis under abiotic stresses.

The above data suggests that ADC genes may be under the control of members from different TF families, including ABF, WRKY, and MYB proteins (Figure 1). However, TFs from other families may also be involved in the regulation of ADC gene expression (Huang et al., 2015). Of note, all of the TFs mentioned above are proposed as positive regulators of PA biosynthesis and ADC expression, while TFs that may negatively regulate PA gene expression have not been characterized. In addition, it is not known whether other PA biosynthetic genes, such as those encoding SAMDC, SPDS and SPMS proteins, are regulated by the same TFs mentioned above.

Apart from the TFs, other regulatory proteins may also control PA biosynthetic gene expression and PA accumulation. One of these is mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), which has been shown to play roles in various signaling pathways related to plant development and stress responses. Huang et al. (2011) reported that the expression of NtADC1 and NtSAMDC, two tobacco genes involved in PA biosynthesis, was induced in transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing PtrMAPK of trifoliate orange. It can be inferred from this result that MAPK may phosphorylate the two corresponding proteins, but this needs to be verified in the future.

**PERSPECTIVES AND CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Polyamines are considered to play important roles in protecting plant cells from stress-associated damages. To date, tremendous progresses have been made in understanding the significance of PAs in stress responses. There is accumulating evidence that PA levels undergo extensive changes in response to a range of abiotic stresses, and physiological, molecular and genetic approaches have been used to identify and functionally characterize PA biosynthetic genes in various plant species. These efforts underpin our understanding of the role of PAs in counteracting adverse environmental cues, and provide valuable information for enhancing stress tolerance through the modulation of cellular PA levels via exogenous PA application, or the transgenic manipulation of PA biosynthetic genes. Nevertheless, many key questions remain unanswered. First, the causal relationship between PA accumulation and stress tolerance has not been determined, despite numerous observations of changes in PA levels in response to abiotic stresses. Second, the cellular compartmentation and transportation of PAs is not well understood, although a few PA transporters have been identified (Fujita et al., 2012; Mulangi et al., 2012). In addition, the mode of action of PAs in enhancing stress tolerance has not been definitely established, although several possible models have been proposed. One example is the scarcity of direct evidence confirming the involvement of PAs in the activation of antioxidant enzymes for ROS detoxification. Last, the signaling cascades linking stress responses and PA genes are still far from being well defined. To date, TFs regulating ADC genes have been identified, but those that regulate other PA biosynthetic genes are unknown. In keeping with these unanswered questions, there are several promising areas of future study. First, the sites of PA production and actions in plant cells need to be identified and to this end, the cellular localization of PAs and their transporters should be determined. Second, the physiological and molecular mechanisms concerning the roles of PAs in stress tolerance need to be elucidated, and in particular, how PAs contribute to the activation of antioxidant enzymes and ROS removal should be clearly deciphered. Last but not the least, the molecular mechanisms underlying the accumulation of PAs in response to abiotic stresses, including the PA biosynthetic genes and the transcriptional regulation network associated with those genes, must be defined. This information will advance our understanding of PA accumulation and gene expression, and can be incorporated with physiological, biochemical, molecular and genetic approaches to better understand the complex regulation of PA synthesis under abiotic stresses, as well as the cross talk between different TF-mediated signaling pathways.
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