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Abstract

In the past decade, more cancer researchers have begun to understand the significance of cancer prevention, which has prompted a shift in the increasing body of scientific literature. An area of fascination and great potential is the human microbiome. Recent studies suggest that the gut microbiota has significant roles in an individual's ability to avoid cancer, with considerable focus on the gut microbiome and colorectal cancer. That in mind, racial disparities with regard to colorectal cancer treatment and prevention are generally understudied despite higher incidence and mortality rates among Non-Hispanic Blacks compared to other racial and ethnic groups in the United States. A comprehension of ethnic differences with relation to colorectal cancer, dietary habits and the microbiome is a meritorious area of investigation. Herein, we explore the differences in the gut microbiota, common short chain fatty acids produced in abundance by microbes, and their association with racial differences in cancer acquisition.
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Core tip: In this paper, we summarize the literature in relation to the gut microbiome and colorectal cancer. We provide unique perspectives and identify new areas of interest that will progress the field with relation to colorectal cancer disparities. This is significant because the comprehension of the microbiome is quickly becoming paramount for personalized medicine and combating disease progression.
INTRODUCTION

It is safe to classify cancer as the disease of the 21st century. Ongoing investigations within the scientific community seek to identify novel approaches to remedy this debilitating disease, and much progress has been made in recent decades. From the discovery of immunologic drugs, to technological advancements in laser therapy, the wide array of research being done to resolve the ever-complicated ailment known as cancer continues to grow as researchers set out to find an effective treatment or cure.[1-6] Both cancer and chemo prevention are areas that have grown considerably as of late.[28-30] The interest in these fields continues to thrive partially because of the high cost of cancer remediation in addition to the numerous side effects associated with chemotherapy drugs on the market.[21-24] In an effort to promote and conserve quality of life, in particular for African American and low income individuals, efforts to alter one’s environment and increase the avoidance of carcinogenesis is a much needed area of investigation.

The microbiome is important in human health and disease, and while the microbiome is extremely complex, can change over time, and varies from person to person, we can still gain pertinent information that will help treat and cure disease. Researchers have undertaken the task of understanding the roles of the microbiome. It is common knowledge that a vast majority of commensal microbes have symbiotic relationships with their host organisms; we now seek to understand those relationships and the information we can gain about disease. Several studies have shown variance in microbial abundance and diversity among healthy and non-healthy individuals.[15-17] According to recent investigations, there are differences and trends of association in the gut microflora based on race and ethnicity, sex, geography, diet, and other such demographics.[22-25] As a result of a study conducted by Chen et al.[15], we now know that there are over 400 genes that can be used to distinguish the specific microbiomes of Asian, European, and American populations. Interestingly, Chen et al.[17] at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester Minnesota report significant associations in microbial β-diversity according to body mass index, race, gender, and alcohol consumption. This study provides supporting evidence for the influence of environmental factors that affect the microbiome.

With respect to cancer and the roles of the microbes indigenous to the human gut, the need for further investigation and application is apparent. While we know there is an association with the microbiota and human health, we do not fully understand the significance of that association. It is believed that microbial transplantation could have some benefit in cancer prevention and or remediation.[26,27] If this is the case, trials need to be launched to assess this. We know that the consumption of certain foods can result in epigenetic modulation of oncogenes and tumor suppressors.[28-30] We also understand that metabolic processes are instrumental in the utilization of foods for nutrient absorption and energy production.[29,30] The question that arises from acknowledging the role microbes have in human health and disease becomes one of understanding how much of the metabolizing of nutrients is dependent on commensal microbes, and how these microbes drive epigenetic processes in the human organism. To begin unraveling these mysteries, we must first have a clear understanding of the typical microbial profile of a diseased and healthy individual. We (the scientific community) must also take on the daunting task of determining the functionality of the by-products of the microbes identified through our efforts.

Understanding the complexities of the microbiome gives rise to a better understanding of the complexities associated with the individuality of disease development and progression among different races and ethnicities. Diseases like cancer are difficult to appropriately address in part due to differences in chemotherapeutic effectiveness and adherence. Non-Hispanic Whites (NHW) tend to have overall better health outcomes when compared to Non-Hispanic Blacks (NHB) and other racial minorities in the United States.[30-32] A full comprehension of the differences among these groups at the microbiome level in concert with epigenetic and genetic differences will help decrease the health disparity in these populations.
Gut health and the influence of gut microbes: Microbial diversity is associated with better health outcomes. A greater abundance of varied microbial species can be influenced by environmental and lifestyle factors. This diversity can be associated with better health outcomes. The above graphic summarizes the relationship between microbes and human health.

RACE AND COLORECTAL CANCER IN THE UNITED STATES

Using incidence data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program, cancer registries and National Center for Health Statistics, incidence rate of colorectal cancer (CRC) was 49.2 per 100,000 in NHB, higher than in NHW (40.2 per 100,000) and Asian/Pacific Islander (API, 32.2 per 100,000). Similarly, mortality rates from the disease using data from 2010 to 2014 were higher among NHB (20.5 per 100,000) compared to NHW (14.6 per 100,000) and APIs (10.3 per 100,000). Among adults younger than 55 years, though mortality rates declined among blacks in the period 1970 (8.1 per 100,000) to 2014 (to 6.1 per 100,000), the rates were higher than for whites (3.6/100,000 in 1970 to 4.1/100,000 in 2014)\(^{[31]}\). Several factors could explain higher CRC rates in NHB. Blacks are usually diagnosed at more advanced stages of the disease and hence have higher mortality rates\(^{[32]}\). Socioeconomic disparities account for a significant proportion of the difference in CRC incidence between racial/ethnic groups, and these socioeconomic differences have been attributed to the higher prevalence of obesity, unhealthy diet and smoking\(^{[11,33]}\). Mortality differentials between racial groups can also be explained by inequalities in screening rates and access\(^{[34]}\), and healthcare access and follow up care following abnormal findings on screening\(^{[35-37]}\).

RACIAL DIFFERENCES IN DIET IN THE UNITED STATES

Several studies have reported a higher prevalence of unhealthy food intake among NHB compared to other racial groups. Dunford et al\(^{[38]}\) examined trends in energy intake among United States adults aged 19 years and above from 1977 to 2012 using multiple national survey data. They found that NHB had a higher prevalence of snacking energy intake and salty snacks in the period reviewed. Using the Healthy Eating Index (HEI), Nowlin et al\(^{[39]}\) analyzed NHANES data of United States adults aged 20 years and older between 2007 and 2012 and showed that among non-diabetics, NHB had significantly lower HEI scores compared to NHW. The authors also reported that the racial disparities were related to age, gender smoking status and time spent in the United States.

Another approach to assessing dietary quality was employed by Rehm et al\(^{[40]}\). The authors computed a composite variable to assess overall diet quality using 7 NHANES cycle from 1999 to 2012. They found that the estimated percentage of non-Hispanic white adults with a poor diet significantly declined (53.9% to 42.8%), while the corresponding figures were 64.7% to 57.7% among NHB and 66% to 58.9% among Mexican American adults. Furthermore, for specific food items, total vegetables, whole grains, unprocessed red meat, and milk consumption remained higher over time among non-Hispanic white adults compared to non-Hispanic black or Mexican American adults. Another noteworthy study conducted by Wang et al\(^{[41]}\) used a composite index of dietary intake. The authors investigated trends in dietary quality...
among adults from 1999 to 2010 using the NHANES dataset and found only minimal improvements in dietary quality over time among NHB. Additionally, in each survey cycle, they found a significantly lower mean Alternate Healthy Eating Index-2010 among NHB compared to Mexican Americans and NHW, though the difference between NHW and NHB disappeared after adjusting for socioeconomic factors such as income and education. The authors suggested that the observed differences between NHW and NHB were likely explained by socioeconomic variables. Among children and adolescents, using the NHANES data over a twenty-year period (1988 to 2008), Kant et al. found a greater increase in the number of eating occasions, the amount of energy from all beverages and non-nutritive beverages in NHB compared to other racial groups. Taken together, these studies indicate the poor dietary quality of NHB compared to other racial groups, and that could explain part of the racial disparities in different cancers, especially those cancers where type of diet is an established risk factor.

The Interplay Between Diet, The Microbiome, and Cancer

Cancer is an extremely complex and life altering disease which brings to rise the urgency to unravel its complexities. Focus areas in the cancer research community encompass a broad range of topics, and there has been a mass effort to minimize cancer’s impact on quality of life and longevity. There are many approaches that can be taken; however, our interests lie in chemo adherence and prevention through modifiable factors. Knowledge about the disease and its causation associated with environmental exposures will help promote awareness and aversion to certain lifestyles that lead to mutations or epigenetic aberrancies, and cancer development. Since epigenetics is a field that focuses on changes in gene expression with no change in the underlying coding sequence[25], the regulation of epigenetic abnormalities is thought to have strong potential in the reversing cancer. One such Food and Drug Administration approved epigenetic therapy currently on the market treats cutaneous T cell lymphoma. Suberanilohydroxamic acid (SAHA), known commercially as Vorinostat, is a well-documented histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor[40].

Researchers have suspected that lifestyle factors contribute to human health and disease for quite some time now. It was in 1964 that the surgeon general, Luther Terry and team, published the report that smoking had a direct impact on cancer development[40]. While this spurred changes in the regulations on tobacco, we believe that this report, and reports like it, placed a higher importance on environmental factors that lead to cancer. Takeshi Hirayama published one of the earlier documents on the impact of nutrition on cancer in 1979. Hirayama placed emphasis on the idea that lifestyle improvement (better diet, more exercise and smoking cessation) could be an effective community cancer plan[41]. Since then, many developments have been made with respect to cancer development and the environment over the decades. Fast-forwarding to 2003, Margaret Mason published a review paper that focused on the idea that diet could potentially modulate molecular signaling in cancer[42]; and to date, several studies have provided further evidence of this[7,24,25,43].

We now know with certainty that the foods we consume can have a direct impact on the state of human health and disease[7,9,23,24,46]. Several studies have begun to explore how these foods modulate gene expression of oncogenes and tumor suppressors[7,24,25,43]. Two recent papers detail how certain natural and dietary compounds promote cell death in breast cancer cell lines[6,29]. They demonstrate that sulforaphane, an isothiocyanate abundantly in cruciferous vegetables, and withaferin A, a steroidal lactone from the Indian winter cherry, are efficient at downregulating epigenetic enzymes that are typically over expressed in breast cancer[29]. Further, this group also found that the prior-mentioned compounds increase the expression of tumor suppressor gene p21 through transcriptional activation at the promoter region through the up-regulation of a histone methylation marker associated with gene activation[30]. With respect to the epigenetic impact of nutritive compounds, other studies have found metabolic links among microbial organisms in the gut and choline consumption. Choline is a vital nutrient that acts as a source for the methyl groups needed for metabolic processes in humans. Apparently, choline utilizing bacteria can compete with the host for this nutrient, thereby impacting global DNA methylation patterns in mice[31]. In 2010, Moestue et al. noted that in breast cancer models, there were elevated levels of choline metabolites, and more recently it was found that while elevated choline metabolites were present in resistant breast cancers, chemotherapy induced an even greater increase in these metabolites[50]. Further study may reveal that choline-consuming bacteria like Escherichia coli 536, are capable of altering
epigenetic aberrancies (i.e., hyper/hypo-methylation and tumor-suppressor silencing and oncogene activation respectively) that lead to cancer.

Interestingly, other studies have also reported anticancer effects at the gene regulatory level with respect to immune responsiveness. Recently, Rubio-Patino et al. published a study on the effects of a low-protein diet on inositol requiring enzyme 1α (IRE1α)-dependent anticancer immunosurveillance. IRE1α is partially responsible for the activation of the endoribonuclease domain that catalyzes splicing of X-box binding protein 1. In addition to this function, IRE1α RNAse activity has roles in IRE1-dependent decay of mRNA, rRNA, and microRNAs which in turn can lead to modulation of adaptive immunity. Mediterranean, vegetable based, Japanese diets, and others all show promise at decreasing cancer risk and mortality. Iida et al. published a study on the effects of a low-protein diet on inositol requiring enzyme 1α (IRE1α)-dependent anticancer immunosurveillance. IRE1α is partially responsible for the activation of the endoribonuclease domain that catalyzes splicing of X-box binding protein 1. In addition to this function, IRE1α RNAse activity has roles in IRE1-dependent decay of mRNA, rRNA, and microRNAs which in turn can lead to modulation of adaptive immunity. Mediterranean, vegetable based, Japanese diets, and others all show promise at decreasing cancer risk and mortality. Soldati et al. detail in their 2018 paper, that diet affects cancer progression through either systemic or local effects within the tumor microenvironment. Higher glucose levels are known to have an impact on immune activity, which in turn destabilized immune functionality. That being said, regulatory T cell function can be regulated by the metabolism, and the metabolism is regulated by patterns of dietary intake and physical activity.

The gut microbiome also has key roles in cancer risk reduction. In this modern era of research and medicine, the comprehension of the microbiome has expanded considerably. Causal mechanisms for cancer have been identified in microbes. Some of these mechanisms are immunologic, and others appear to be epigenetic. Iida et al. published a study on the effects of a low-protein diet on inositol requiring enzyme 1α (IRE1α)-dependent anticancer immunosurveillance. IRE1α is partially responsible for the activation of the endoribonuclease domain that catalyzes splicing of X-box binding protein 1. In addition to this function, IRE1α RNAse activity has roles in IRE1-dependent decay of mRNA, rRNA, and microRNAs which in turn can lead to modulation of adaptive immunity. Mediterranean, vegetable based, Japanese diets, and others all show promise at decreasing cancer risk and mortality. Soldati et al. detail in their 2018 paper, that diet affects cancer progression through either systemic or local effects within the tumor microenvironment. Higher glucose levels are known to have an impact on immune activity, which in turn destabilized immune functionality. That being said, regulatory T cell function can be regulated by the metabolism, and the metabolism is regulated by patterns of dietary intake and physical activity.

Maryann Kwa and co-authors published an article a couple years ago that suggests the bacterial species housed in the human intestine regulate estrogen metabolism. Interestingly, gut microbiota may affect the risk of acquiring estrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer due to certain microbes being capable of metabolizing estrogens in what they coin as the estrobolome. In addition to this unique perspective, other leading minds have discovered that the bacterial organism Clostridium perfringens enterotoxin has the ability to suppress claudin-4 (a regulator of proliferation and cancer cell metastasis) protein expression, kill gastric cancer cells in-vitro, and inhibit tumor growth in mice xenografts. Other studies have suggested that microbes can be used to biosynthesize nanoparticles designed to target and treat various forms of cancer. Considering the broader implications of microbial composition and its utilization in cancer elimination, the field is subject to grow considerably.

Routine exercise and proper nutrition have proven to be effective in reducing the risk of carcinogenic related fatality in cancer patients. Individuals who consume more plant matter have overall better health outcomes according to a number of epidemiological studies. Clear patterns in dietary intake and cancer risk can be observed among different cultures, races, and ethnicities, which have led to the generation of hypotheses centered on the idea that racial and cultural differences are involved in dietary habits. Researchers have found that there are several variables apart from genetic factors that have been associated with increased cancer mortality in minority populations, including socioeconomic status, and the availability of healthy food options. That being said, it is important to recognize the significance these two modifiable factors have in cancer prevention in relation to the microbiome. Monda et al. state that exercise is capable of enhancing the amount of positive microbial organisms resulting in a richer diversity. According to another report, harmful changes with associated polychlorinated biphenyls, pollutants in air and water, are decreases in the gut microbiome of exercising mice. This provides a bit of insight on lifestyle and environmental factors that regulate the abundance or lack of commensal bacteria in host organisms and how they impact health. It would be interesting to conduct an in depth study to determine if microbial diversity is regulated by diet and if microbial abundances attributable to certain diets are in turn responsible for regulation of carcinogenic processes. One study discusses fermentable carbohydrates, or non-digestible carbohydrates that undergo fermentation by microbial organisms to produce short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) that can be utilized by the host. It would be meritorious to observe the impact of SCFAs produced in this manner in cell metabolism. We may yet find that fermentable carbohydrates are capable of reducing the persistent activation of aerobic glycolysis in cancer.
CANCER RISK AND PREVENTION AMONG RACES AND THE INFLUENCE OF THE MICROBIOME

Several studies have indicated that microbial composition is strongly linked to dietary intake and differences among racial and ethnic groups unassociated with diet. We know that there are a number of events responsible for the progression of disease. As research progresses, the scientific community has found there to be trends and correlations with microbial composition, regulation of inflammatory processes, and immune response with microbes playing a significant role; at the very least serving as bio-indicators of some sort. It remains unknown as to whether or not these organisms and their by-products aid in the direct regulation of epigenetic mechanisms that help regulate the human organism’s biological processes; however, it is plausible. With respect to African American susceptibility to cancer and a greater overall disease mortality, we may find a difference in the abundance of microbes in NHB when compared to racial groups with better disease outcomes. Pilot studies conducted by researchers confirm differences in microbial diversity in healthy individuals by race\(^\text{[69-70]}\). Alternatively, we may find that gut equilibrium conducive to individual survival differs from person to person.

Findley et al\(^\text{[64]}\) published a comprehensive review in 2016 that noted the importance of not assuming race and ethnicity to be causal factors in microbial diversity. We recognize that there are numerous cultural differences that could potentially lead to the differences reported in the studies conducted to date. It remains that NHB and persons of low SES have a number of stressors and lifestyle factors that could directly influence the microbiome\(^\text{[66]}\). Despite these cautions (avoiding assumptions), one 2015 study shows, that Western diet consumed by individuals of African lineage can be damaging and creates an environment less capable of seamlessly carrying out biological processes (i.e., cellular division and differentiation)\(^\text{[71]}\). With respect to choline, introduced in the previous section, O’Keefe et al\(^\text{[69]}\) found that Africans on their original diet had a lower level of choline in their fecal water as compared to NHB on the Western diet suggesting the greater microbial diversity reported in the African’s profile results in a greater metabolism of choline. In addition, epigenetic control of the expression or lack of expression of certain genes that are vital in the regulation of tumor suppression and oncogenesis is thought to be linked the gut flora. Histone modifying enzymes are sensitive to microbial metabolites, and they have been shown to mediate phenotype through programing gene expression thereby regulating cellular functionality\(^\text{[78]}\). This leads to the belief that NHB cancer susceptibility can somehow be linked to gut microbial composition, their metabolites, and their influence on the epigenetic regulation of processes that control oncogenes and tumor suppressors. Another paper that begins to establish the link between health disparities and the gut microbiome details key differences in the abundance or lack of certain SCFAs in the stool of African Americans, Caucasians and Asians\(^\text{[64]}\).

We recognize the weakness in some of these studies stems from the fact that these data are self-reported questionnaires, which limit the accuracy of the similarities/differences of diet and environment. However, it is intriguing that preliminary results show significant differences in NHB SCFA production, fecal pH, and microbial patterns compared with other races\(^\text{[69]}\). It can also be noted that there is a clear difference in the microbial profiles of healthy and non-healthy individuals\(^\text{[79]}\). Interestingly, patients appear to be more susceptible to mortality from a disease when they have lower microbial diversity\(^\text{[71]}\). Since efficiency of certain immunotherapies for cancer treatment is thought to be influenced by the gut flora\(^\text{[69]}\), it is becoming increasingly apparent how significant the interaction between the microbiome and cancer prevention and therapy is.

SHORT CHAIN FATTY ACIDS

There are a number by-products and metabolites resultant from the consumption of food products. The abundance and variety of these by-products, much like the abundance and variety of certain microbes, is determined by environmental and lifestyle factors (i.e., diet and exercise). The main products absorbed as a result of digestion are long chain fatty acids, simple sugars like glucose, and monoacylglycerol, a class of glycerides\(^\text{[72]}\). In addition to these products are SCFAs, which are major nutrients resultant of bacterial fermentation. We briefly touched base on these fatty acids in the previous sections. The most studied SCFAs that have shown anti-cancer potential are acetate (much of which may be primarily derived from diet), butyrate and propionate, with acetate being the most abundant SCFA in the colon and propionate and butyrate being found at very low amounts in diseased individuals\(^\text{[73]}\).
Interestingly, Krautkramer et al.\textsuperscript{(74)} conducted a study that demonstrated how the Western diet in mice limits SCFA production of microbes and negatively impacts the host’s chromatin. They further display SCFA supplementation to be sufficient in mimicking epigenetic phenotypes resultant of gut microbiota, thereby demonstrating the importance of these microbial metabolites in host homeostasis\textsuperscript{(75)}. Here on we will discuss the significance of these metabolites in their regulation of common cancers.

**Acetate**

Acetate is a SCFA found in abundance in the human colon. Over the years, this fatty acid has been reported to impact tumor cells as nutrient\textsuperscript{(86,87)} and as an inducer of apoptosis\textsuperscript{(88,89)}. Despite the contradictory reports, there is considerable evidence supporting acetate’s importance in human physiological processes. Acetate is mandatory for histone acetylation\textsuperscript{(90,91)}, an epigenetic mechanism known for its roles regulating chromatin accessibility. Most notably, acetate is partially responsible for the appropriate binding of transcription factors for the activation of tumor suppressor genes. Conversely, inappropriate histone acetylation can allow for the activation of oncogenes. This subsection will delve into the roles of acetate in common cancers that affect the United States.

Colon cancer/CRC is one of the Western world’s most common cancers in men and women with combined total of approximately 140250 new cases per year according to the American Cancer Society. There has been a considerable amount of research conducted over the years suggesting the significant roles of the microbiome in this particular cancer. Since acetate has been reported to be found in abundance in the colon and to be used in cancer cell metabolism, the regulation of this particular SCFA is of extreme intrigue within the scientific community. A number of studies have sought to determine the effect of acetate on CRC cells in-vitro. One in particular shows that this SCFA inhibits cell growth, decreases cell viability and induces apoptosis in two CRC cell lines\textsuperscript{(92)}. Alternatively, in brain cancer, acetate competes with glucose for the generation of TCA cycle intermediates despite glucose being more abundant\textsuperscript{(93,94)}. An interesting review from 2014 highlights the importance of acetate in fueling cancer cells\textsuperscript{(95)}. Interestingly, this does not appear to be the case in CRC\textsuperscript{(96,97,98)}. One paper that attempts to unravel the role of acetate in CRC cell death demonstrates the ability for this SCFA to increase the expression of MCT1 and MCT4, two plasma membrane lactate transporters and CD147, a multipurpose transmembrane protein associated with inflammation and tumor invasion\textsuperscript{(86,99)}. The comprehension of the mechanisms involved in acetate’s ability to influence CRC shows much therapeutic potential and promise for further scientific investigation.

**Butyrate**

A highly sighted review article published in 2011 by Berni Canani et al.\textsuperscript{(100)} highlights the numerous roles of butyrate (microbiome dependent) in modulating human health and disease. They detail how this particular SCFA has “potent regulatory effects on gene expression”. Butyrate has been shown to regulate inflammation by inhibiting NFkB\textsuperscript{(101)}, it can improve memory function in Alzheimer’s disease mouse models\textsuperscript{(102)}, and it has been shown to negatively impact cancer cell progression across a number of tumor types\textsuperscript{(22,90)}. Butyrate also has significant roles in providing energy for colonocytes so that they may multiply and divide appropriately and avoid self-digestion. Interestingly, it accounts for approximately 70% of total colon cell energy utilization\textsuperscript{(103)}, but only comprises about 15% of the SCFA found in the colonic lumen\textsuperscript{(104)}. There is considerable evidence in support of higher levels of butyrate being associated with better health outcomes, lower pH, and diets rich in fiber. Though butyrate has been shown to promote the growth and proliferation of colon cells, it is capable of inducing apoptosis in mutated cancer cells\textsuperscript{(105,106)}. This SCFA has been studied quite a bit as an anticancer agent. A quick search of butyrate and cancer on PubMed will produce thousands of results with relevant material.

Several studies have shown butyrate to be an anti-cancerous agent resultant of bacterial fermentation of non-digestible carbohydrates\textsuperscript{(107)}. Microbial organisms take up fibers that the host organism cannot digest in the colon and produce butyrate as one of three primary SCFAs. In 2017, Li et al.\textsuperscript{(108)} published an interesting study on butyrate in CRC cell lines. They report that this SCFA is capable of decreasing cancer cell migratory ability by inhibiting Akt/ERK signaling with dependency on HDAC3\textsuperscript{(35)}. It is our opinion that the study could have been strengthened had the investigators chose to observe the effects of butyrate on HDAC3 gene and protein expression. There is quite a bit of evidence in support of butyrate’s ability to inhibit HDAC activity across a number of cancer types\textsuperscript{(98-100,102)}. A team recently published an article demonstrating butyrate’s ability to act synergistically with the toxic chemo drug irinotecan\textsuperscript{(109)}.

The mechanisms by which butyrate has been demonstrated to promote CRC cell...
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Propionate
Propionate is an important SCFA produced from the dicarboxylic acid pathway or the acrylde pathway and a substrate for hepatic gluconeogenesis[101]. It appears to play a key role in cholesterol metabolism principally as an inhibitory agent and potentially has a cholesterol lowering function[101,104]. Propionate is also known for its antifungal and antibacterial effects, anti-inflammatory and anti-carcinogenic properties[104,105]. Although butyrate has been consistently shown to be the most potent of the SCFA, propionate also demonstrated anticancer activity in many studies[101,106]. In addition, propionate enhances the anti-proliferative function of butyrate due to its inhibition of cell growth[107]. Concerning histone acetylation, Kiefer et al[108] showed that butyrate and propionate, but not acetate significantly enhanced histone acetylation in colon cancer cells after 24 h incubation. Furthermore, the additive effects of butyrate and propionate when combined in a mixture also amplified histone acetylation[109]. There is compelling evidence of the importance of SCFA including propionate in the cancer prevention and the potential of these chemicals in treatment. A study by Emenaker et al[109] treated fresh surgical colon cancer cells with SCFA and found all SCFA inhibited primary invasive human colon cancer invasion and significantly altered protein expression levels of established cancer genes: p53, Bax, Bcl2 and PCNA. Giardina et al[101] using HT-29 CRC cell lines showed that propionate and butyrate, but not acetate, could alter the metabolism of reactive oxygen species and increase cellular peroxide generation. This ability to produce peroxide enables the induction of apoptosis in CRC cell lines. There is evidence that the anti-carcinogenic effects of propionate could differ from those of other SCFA. For example, Tedelind et al[106] compared the anti-inflammatory properties of butyrate, propionate and acetate and found that propionate and butyrate were equally effective in suppressing NF-KB reporter activity, immune related gene expression and cytokine release in vitro. The authors concluded the SCFA could be useful for treating inflammatory bowel disease. Another study using proteomic and cellomic analysis methods[109] showed that propionate had different mechanisms of action on cellular proteins compared to other SCFA such as butyrate and valerate. Specifically, propionate had less pronounced effects on keratins and intermediate filaments, and on b-tubulin isotypes expression and microtubules compared to butyrate and acetate. Concerning colitis associated CRC, propionate as part of a SCFAs mix prevented development of tumors and attenuated the colonic inflammation in a mouse model of colitis-associated CRC[105], and holds promise in the management of colitis associated CRC.

Racial Differences in the Profile of Gut Microbiota and SCFA

The bacterial composition of the gut and SCFA content has been shown to differ between racial/ethnic groups. Hester et al[69] compared bacteria and SCFA in the stools of a small pilot sample of 20 apparently healthy participants (five each were NHW, NHB, Hispanics and American Indians). The authors found lower acetate, butyrate and total SCFA content and a higher pH in NHB compared to the other racial groups. In addition, levels of Firmicutes bacteria were higher in NHB compared to Whites and Hispanics, and lower levels of Lachnospiraceae, known to be involved in the production of butyrate. Moreover, the ratio of Firmicutes compared to Bacteriodes, that has been associated with obesity was also higher among blacks and could partly explain the links between obesity and colon cancer. Two recent studies have reported that Bacteriodes were more commonly found
We have reviewed the differences between race/ethnic groups concerning dietary
microbiome by race could explain the difference in association between the racial sample. The authors however alluded to the fact that differences in the oral microbiome between individuals of different racial background could be due to differences in dietary intake. A recent study compared colon biopsies of healthy mucosa of CRC cases and tumor free controls and found a greater abundance of sulfidogenic bacteria among NHB compared to NHWs among cases and controls. Additionally, Bilophila wadsworthia (evidenced to be promotes by saturated fats from Western diets low in fiber) and Pyramidobacter spp were significantly higher among AA cases compared to controls. The study also showed a higher consumption of meat, fat and protein intake among NHB. David et al also report that diet has the ability to alter the human gut microbiome. With regards to obesity, a known risk factor for various types of cancer, microbiota of the gut were shown to be instrumental in the regulation of diet induced obesity in lymphotxin deficient mice. This shows that this particular molecule key in gut immunity, is capable of modulating commensal responses enabling diet induced obesity, and we have a better understanding of the pathways involved in microbiota induced weight gain. Comparison of the gut microbiota between populations with wide variations in dietary composition could shed light on the potential mechanisms involved in the role of diet and gut microbiota in carcinogenesis. A small study by Ou et al studied the difference in gut microbiota among native Africans (NA) and NHB and showed that SFCA, total bacteria, microbial genes encoding for methanogenesis and hydrogen sulphide production were higher among NA while secondary bile acid was higher among NHB in fecal samples. The findings point to a higher saccharolytic fermentation and lower proteolytic fermentation among NA compared to NHB. Concerning specific bacteria, Prevotella was more common in NA while Bacteroides were more abundant in NHB. The authors ascribed the observed differences in stool samples to NHB eating more dietary meat and fat and less complex carbohydrate and fiber. The same authors also showed in another study comparing a small sample of high colon cancer risk in NA, NHB and NHW, that the levels of experimentally carcinogenic secondary bile acids (lithocholic and deoxycholic acids) was higher among NHB and NHW compared to NA. A related study also showed that NHB harbored a more diverse population of methanogenic Archaea compared to NA and NHB. The populations of other hydrogenotrophic bacteria such as sulphate reducing bacteria was also more distinct among NA. Another study involving NA examined total colonic evacuants for SCFA, vitamins, nitrogen and minerals and found total SCFA and butyrate were higher, but calcium, iron and zinc were lower among NA compared to NHB and NHW, supporting the mediatory role of these chemicals in the effects of microbiota in colon cancer development.

NA have been shown to have a propensity for methanogenic rather than sulfidogenic disposal of hydrogen generated from microbial fermentation in the human colon. In a South African study, NA excreted more methane in their breath compared to whites in the same country. Furthermore, stool samples of European ancestry populations exhibited more of sulfate reduction activity. The differences observed in the bacterial composition of stool samples of NA and NHB is due to the dietary difference with the Africans consuming more coarse grains and vegetables.

Apart from intestinal microbiome, some other studies have examined the role of the oral microbiome in CRC risk using a multi-ethnic sample. For example, Li et al showed unique differences in the patterns of saliva microbiome between individuals living in Alaska, Germany and Africa. In another study, Yang et al using a nested case control study showed that Treponema denticola and Prevotella intermedia were associated with increased CRC risk among a sample made of predominantly EA and AA. Even though this study showed similar associations in AA and EA, they were more significant among AA, although AA were about three times more than EA in the sample. The authors however alluded to the fact that differences in the oral microbiome by race could explain the difference in association between the racial groups.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

We have reviewed the differences between race/ethnic groups concerning dietary
Royston K et al. Diet, race, and the microbiome

habits, how different diets affect the gut’s environment, and the potential role of these differences in explaining disparities in CRC incidence in the United States and between native African populations. Our review reveals that unique opportunities exist in taking advantage of the racial differences in diet, other diet-related CRC risk factors such as obesity and diabetes, gut microbiome, and the genetic architecture of CRC for a greater understanding of the complexity of CRC etiology and carcinogenesis. Much of the literature we cite includes studies that show that environment, diet, and lifestyle can alter the microbiome and are associated with cancer risk, but whether these co-associations are linked is still unknown.

Several studies revealed that there is a direct link between dietary intake and the microbial profile of an individual, and this ultimately influences the risk of several diseases including cancer. A study we mention earlier in this review corroborates the link between dietary intake and microbiota. The authors switched regular diets between South African blacks and AA during a two-week period. Food exchanges of low fat, high fiber for AA and high fat low fiber for NA resulted in increased saccharolytic fermentation and suppressed secondary bile acid synthesis in AA[11,12]. Studies also consistently showed that AA consume less healthy diets and this could explain the higher rates of CRC among this population. Foods from an unbalanced diet deficient in fiber and high in meat, such as those consumed by AA, promote proteolytic rather than saccharolytic fermentation and this results in branched chain SCFAs such as isobutyrate, isovaleric and 2-methylbutyric acid. Moreover, proteolytic products including nitrogenous metabolites such as hippurate and ammonia have been shown to increase inflammation and carcinogenesis.[10]

Evidence from studies demonstrating direct links between dietary habits, the gut microbiome and CRC risk indicates there is an urgent need for multiethnic studies of gut microbiome and SCFA and CRC. Moreover, such studies need to incorporate dietary intake, blood levels of nutrients and their metabolites, genes involved in the metabolism of various diets, and epigenetic factors. In addition, greater insights into colorectal etiology could be gained by exploring the large differences in diet, and several other environmental factors between native African populations and African Americans living in the United States. Recent estimates revealed greater than 10-fold difference in incidence rates of CRC between the United States and most of sub-Saharan Africa[11,12]. Multipronged approaches (such as integrative molecular epidemiology) to study the associations between dietary habits, profile of the gut microbiome and SCFA and CRC. Moreover, such studies need to incorporate diet, prognosis and approaches to the management of these subtypes.
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