A Toolbox for Tuberculosis Diagnosis: An Indian Multicentric Study (2006-2008): Microbiological Results
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this multicentric prospective study in India was to assess the value of several microbiological tools that contribute to the diagnosis of tuberculosis (TB) according to HIV status.

Methods: Standard microbiological tools on individual specimens were analyzed.

Results: Among the 807 patients with active TB, 131 were HIV-infected, 316 HIV-uninfected and 360 had HIV-unknown status. Among the 980 non-active TB subjects, 595 were at low risk and 385 were at high risk of M tuberculosis (Mtb) exposure. Sensitivity of smear microscopy (SM) was significantly lower in HIV-infected (22.2%) than HIV-uninfected (75.9%) (p = 0.0001) and HIV-unknown pulmonary TB patients (61.4%) (p = 0.004). Specificity was 94.5% in non-TB patients and 100% in healthy care workers (HCW) and healthy family contacts. Automated liquid culture has significantly higher diagnostic performances than solid culture, measured by sensitivity (74.7% vs. 55.9%) (p = 0.0001) and shorter median time to detection (TTD) (12.0 vs. 34.0 days) (p = 0.0001). Specificity was 100% in HCW and cured-TB patients, but was lower in non-TB patients (89%) due to isolation of Mycobacteria other than tuberculosis (MOTT). TTD by both methods was related to AFB score. Contamination rate was low (1.4%). AccuProbe hybridization technique detected Mtb in almost all culture-positive specimens, but MOTT were found in 4.7% with a significantly higher frequency in HIV-infected (15%) than HIV-uninfected TB patients (5.0%) (p = 0.0007). Pre-test classification significantly increased the diagnostic value of all microbiological tests in pulmonary TB patients (p<0.0001) but to a lesser degree in extrapulmonary TB patients.

Conclusions: Conventional microbiological tools led to results similar to those already described in India special features for HIV-infected TB patients included lower detection by SM and culture. New microbiological assays, such as the automated liquid culture system, showed increased accuracy and speed of detection.

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a major global health problem. In 2010, 8.8 million incident cases of TB and 1.1 million deaths were reported by the World Health Organization (WHO) [1]. In India 2.3 million new TB cases are estimated to have occurred in 2010, accounting for one-quarter of the world’s new TB cases [1]. This makes India the country with the highest TB burden in the world. It has been estimated that in 2010, only 41% of the 1,522,147 million notified were smear positive, and that two out of five Indians were infected with M tuberculosis [2]. There are an estimated 2.5 million Indians living with HIV infection. Regarding smear-positive TB, HIV infection was 1.4 times more likely to occur among smear-negative patients and 1.3 times more likely among extrapulmonary patients [3].
The basis of TB control programs consists of timely diagnosis and correct treatment of patients with active TB: early diagnosis is essential for controlling the spread of this disease.

Diagnosis of TB relies mostly on the detection of acid-fast bacilli by microscopy (smear) and less frequently by culture. Microscopy is rapid, usually specific, and inexpensive but has low sensitivity [4–5] that may be improved using new sputum processing and fluorescence microscopy [6]. Culture is more sensitive, but it can take several weeks to obtain results. In addition, solid culture may be falsely negative in 10–30% of cases [7]. Faster and more accurate diagnostic tests are needed to better control TB [8]. Nucleic acid amplification tests, which can give results in a few hours, have been developed to address these issues [9–11].

The development of real-time PCR testing platforms has significantly improved PCR technologies, the advantages of which are shortened turnaround time, automation of the procedure that reduces hands-on time and decreased risk of cross-contamination [12]. Recently, Cepheid, (Sunnyvale, CA, USA) developed a real-time PCR test (Xpert MTB/RIF) on the GeneXpert System that simultaneously detects both MTB and Rifampin resistance [13]. Recent studies reported high sensitivity and specificity of the test in respiratory specimens collected from patients living in countries with high and low prevalence of TB [14,15].

Therefore the aim of the first part of the multicentric prospective study in India was to assess the value of several microbiological tools that contribute to TB diagnosis according to

**Figure 1. Flow chart of patients recruited to the multicentric study stratified by patient subgroups.**
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.g001
HIV-status. The second part will involve the immunological assays (manuscript in preparation).

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Populations**

Adults and children with suspected active TB were prospectively enrolled from January 2006 to July 2008 with a real capability of a six month follow-up with antituberculous therapy, as a strict inclusion criterion. Nine centers were involved: four tertiary care centers: 3 public (AIIMS and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi; Nizam’s Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad) and 1 private (P D Hinduja Hospital and medical Research Centre, Mumbai), two referral centers (JALMA, Agra; Tuberculosis Research Centre-TRC, Chennai), one pediatric hospital (SN Medical College, Agra) and two centers caring for HIV-infected patients (JJ hospital, Mumbai; Government Hospital for Thoracic Medicine, Chennai).

Non-active TB controls were also enrolled: blood donors from three centers (AIIMS, Hinduja and Nizam’s), healthy community adults (HCA-check up individuals) from Hinduja outpatients, and patients with active TB who were undergoing an antituberculosis treatment of at least six months.

**Table 1.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with active tuberculosis.

| Patients with active tuberculosis (n = 807) | Pulmonary tuberculosis (n = 656) | Extrapulmonary tuberculosis (n = 151) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| HIV-infected (n = 93) | HIV-uninfected (n = 270) | HIV-unknown (n = 293) | HIV-infected (n = 38) | HIV-uninfected (n = 46) | HIV-unknown (n = 67) |
| Disease Localization | Percentage | Median Age (IQR) | Ratio M/F | Male prevalence | Diabetes | Poor Nutrition | Past TB | TB <2 years | TB 2 to10 years | TB >10 years | Contact with TB |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|---------|---------------|---------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| Cervical lymphadenopathy | 5.3 (1.4–17.6) | 30.4 (18.9–45.1) | 32.8 (22.6–45.0) | 25.2 (18.8–32.8) |
| Mediastinal lymphadenopathy | 7.9 (2.7–21.1) | 0.0 (0.0–8.0) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 2.0 (0.7–5.8) |
| Pleural | 27.8 (13.7–44.3) | 26.1 (15.4–40.6) | 19.4 (11.6–30.7) | 23.2 (17.1–30.7) |
| Abdominal | 29.0 (16.8–45.1) | 17.4 (9.0–31.0) | 7.5 (3.2–16.5) | 15.9 (10.8–22.7) |
| CNS | 15.8 (7.3–30.8) | 15.2 (7.5–28.5) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 8.6 (5.1–14.3) |
| Disseminated | 10.5 (4.1–27.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 3.3 (1.4–7.6) |
| Skeletal | 5.3 (1.4–17.6) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 38.8 (27.9–51.0) | 19.2 (13.6–26.4) |
| Genito-urinary | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |
| Skin | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 0.0 (0.0–8.0) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |
| Rectal | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |
| Pericarditis | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |

TB: tuberculosis; CNS: central nervous system; TB: HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; CI: confidence interval.

**Table 2.** Extrapulmonary TB localizations according to HIV status.

| Extrapulmonary TB localizations (n = 151) | HIV-infected (n = 38) | HIV-uninfected (n = 46) | HIV-unknown (n = 67) | Total (n = 151) |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Percentage (95% CI) | 5.3 (1.4–17.6) | 30.4 (18.9–45.1) | 32.8 (22.6–45.0) | 25.2 (18.8–32.8) |
| Cervical lymphadenopathy | 7.9 (2.7–21.1) | 0.0 (0.0–8.0) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 2.0 (0.7–5.8) |
| Mediastinal lymphadenopathy | 27.8 (13.7–44.3) | 26.1 (15.4–40.6) | 19.4 (11.6–30.7) | 23.2 (17.1–30.7) |
| Pleural | 29.0 (16.8–45.1) | 17.4 (9.0–31.0) | 7.5 (3.2–16.5) | 15.9 (10.8–22.7) |
| Abdominal | 15.8 (7.3–30.8) | 15.2 (7.5–28.5) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 8.6 (5.1–14.3) |
| CNS | 10.5 (4.1–27.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 3.3 (1.4–7.6) |
| Disseminated | 5.3 (1.4–17.6) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 38.8 (27.9–51.0) | 19.2 (13.6–26.4) |
| Skeletal | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |
| Genito-urinary | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 0.0 (0.0–8.0) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |
| Skin | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |
| Rectal | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |
| Pericarditis | 0.0 (0.0–9.5) | 2.2 (0.4–11.6) | 0.0 (0.0–5.6) | 0.7 (0.1–3.8) |

TB: tuberculosis; CNS: central nervous system; TB: HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; CI: confidence interval.
hospitalized non-active-TB patients from three centers (Hinduja, AIIMS and Safdarjung), leprosy patients from one center (JALMA), healthy family contacts (HFC) from 2 centers (JALMA, TRC), health care workers (HCW-laboratory staff and nurses) from three centers (Hinduja, AIIMS and TRC), and cured TB patients from one center (TRC).

All enrolled individuals were stratified by risk for TB (defined as previous exposure to active TB cases, past TB, diabetes, poor nutritional conditions, immunological or other debilitating conditions) and therefore non-active TB controls were divided into “low TB risk” (blood donors and healthy community adults) and “high TB risk” (HCW, healthy family contacts, cured TB and no TB patients). Subjects at risk of TB were included with one condition: a real capability of a six month follow-up to exclude occurrence of active TB. The HIV-infected subjects were not undergoing antiretroviral therapy.

Clinical and Chest X-Ray Examinations

Clinical symptoms and radiological findings were first assessed independently by each clinician taking part in the enrollment. Each individual’s data were initially recorded at each site using a standardized questionnaire involving 3 files (clinical/radiological evaluation, clinical/radiological follow-up and laboratory analysis) for patients with active TB and control groups (except for blood donors). The clinical TB suspicion (CSTB) score was done by the clinician in charge of the patient (3 categories were selected: very high, high, low), as previously reported [16].

Table 3. Respective proportion of patients classified as microbiologically documented and clinical tuberculosis according to disease localization and HIV-status.

|                  | Pulmonary TB | Extrapulmonary TB |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------|
|                  | HIV-infected | HIV-uninfected    | HIV-unknown      | Total          | HIV-infected | HIV-uninfected | HIV-unknown  | Total          |
|                  | (n = 93)     | (n = 270)         | (n = 293)        | (n = 656)      | (n = 38)     | (n = 46)     | (n = 67)     | (n = 151)      |
| **Documented**   | 61.3 (50.6–71.2) | 84.1 (79.2–88.2)  | 83.6 (78.8–87.7) | 80.5 (77.3–83.5) | 47.4 (31.0–64.2) | 63.0 (47.6–76.8) | 41.8 (29.9–54.5) | 49.7 (41.1–57.9) |
| **Clinical**     | 38.7 (28.8–49.4) | 15.9 (11.8–20.9)  | 16.4 (12.3–21.1) | 19.5 (16.5–22.7) | 52.6 (35.8–69.0) | 37.0 (23.2–52.5) | 58.2 (45.5–70.2) | 50.3 (42.1–58.6) |

TB: tuberculosis; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; CI: confidence interval.

(a)p < 0.0001;
(b)p = 0.03; TB: tuberculosis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t003

Table 4. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the non-active TB individuals.

|                  | Individuals without active TB (n = 980) |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                  | Low risk of TB exposure | High risk of TB exposure |
|                  | (n = 559) | (n = 421) |
| Blood donors     | NA | NA |
| Healthy Community Adults | 58.9 | 30.0 |
| Non-TB Patients (a) | 40.0 | 32.0 |
| Health Care Workers | 2.5 | 51.1 |
| Healthy Family Contacts | 1.0 | 75.6 |
| Cured TB         | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| **Percentage**   | 2.0 | 3.1 |
| Pulmonary disease | NA | 58.9 |
| Median Age (IQR) | 44.0 | 40.0 |
| Ratio M/F        | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| Male prevalence  | 63.0 | 71.2 |
| Diabetes         | 0.0 | 20.9 |
| Poor Nutrition   | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Past TB          | 0.0 | 10.9 |
| TB <2 years      | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| TB 2 to 10 years | 0.0 | 5.5 |
| TB >10 years     | 0.0 | 4.1 |
| Contact with TB  | 0.0 | 4.7 |

(a) The hospitalized non-active TB control group presented a pulmonary disease in 58.9% of the 73 enrolled individuals with a definitive diagnosis excluding active TB: bacterial or atypical pneumonia (34.9%); Bronchitis (11.6%), MOTT infection (11.6%), lung cancer (7.0%), COPD (7.0%), complicated Asthma (7.0%), Lung abscess (4.7%), post-TB Lung fibrosis (2.3%), Mediastinal lymphadenopathy (2.3%) and Sarcoidosis (2.3%);
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t004
**Table 5. Frequency of smear microscopy positive results of patients with active-TB according to their disease localization and HIV-status.**

| Status        | Pulmonary TB | Extrapulmonary-TB | Pulmonary vs Extrapulmonary |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
|               | AFB-positive/total number of patients tested per group (Percentage) | Comparison by HIV Status p value | AFB-positive/total number of patients tested per group (Percentage) | Comparison by HIV Status p value | p value |
| HIV-infected  | 38/90* (42.2%) | 0.0001 vs HIV-uninfected 3/31** (9.7%) | 0.34 vs HIV-uninfected 0.0004 | 0.0047 vs HIV-unknown 0.37 vs HIV-unknown |
| HIV-uninfected| 205/270 (75.9%) | 0.0002 vs HIV-unknown 9/46 (19.6%) | 1.00 vs HIV-uninfected 0.002 | |
| HIV-unknown   | 180/293 (61.4%) | – | 13/67 (19.4%) | – |
| Total         | 423/653* (64.8%) | 25/144** (17.4%) | 0.0001 | |

3 patients had no AFB records;
**7 patients had no AFB records.

Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before enrolment. Parents signed the written consent for the children population. The study was approved by the local ethical committees: the Institutional Ethical Committee of Tuberculosis Research Centre in Chennai (TRC-IEC No: 2006005), the Institutional Review Board at Hinduja Hospital, Mumbai (No: 316-05-CR), the Institutional Ethical Committee of AIIMS, New Delhi (AIIMS-IEC No: A-35:05/10/2005), the Institutional Ethical Committee of the Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi (N° Sur./1/2007), the NIMS Institutional Ethics Committee (No.EC/264 (A) /2005) and the Ethical Committee of National JALMA Institute for Leprosy and others Mycobacterial Diseases, Agra (minutes: 27/04/2006).

**Microbiological Tests**

**Conventional assays (SM and solid-culture).** Most patients with suspected pulmonary TB had 3 sputum samples submitted for Smear Microscopy (SM) and culture. Extrapulmonary samples were harvested on at least one occasion (CSF, pericardial, pleural or ascitis fluid, urines). Respiratory specimens were collected in aseptic tubes and processed by the N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC)-NaOH method before SM and culture.

SM: Smears were stained using the hot Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) method according to standard guidelines and read using light microscopy. The semi-quantitative yield of AFB was recorded according to WHO recommendations [17]. Positive results were defined by an AFB number above the threshold for positivity (i.e., 10 AFB/100 HPF on the IUATLD/WHO scale); and negative results by a smear with no AFB/100 HPF (high power field). Moreover, among positive scores, scanty results were included and defined as 1–9 AFB per 100 HPF. The SM results were analyzed by sputum samples at their highest level [18,19].

Diagnostic smears were those performed at enrollment and follow-up smears were those performed during treatment.

- **Mycobacteria growth detection:**

1. Two culture techniques were used:
   - Solid media: 0.2 mL of each decontaminated and reconstituted sample were inoculated in one tube of Lowenstein-Jensen (LJ) (bioMérieux India Inc.) and incubated at 37°C. Tubes were periodically observed for microbial growth. The enumeration of numbers of colonies per tube and time to detection (TTD) were recorded.
   - Automated liquid media: 0.5 mL of reconstituted BacT/ALERT® MAS fluid was added to BacT/ALERT® MP bottle (bioMérieux India Inc.) and 0.5 mL of each decontaminated and reconstituted sample were inoculated to the prepared BacT/ALERT® MP bottle. The inoculated bottles were loaded in the BacT/ALERT® 5D or MB/BacT® system. Detection of mycobacterial growth was monitored automatically and the time of first positivity of the vials during the culture was recorded for each inoculated bottle. The Time to Detection (TTD) of mycobacterial cultures was defined as the day that the bottles gave a positive Growth Index (GI) reading and ZN staining demonstrated AFB bacilli.

2. The AFB growth was confirmed by both the LJ medium and BacT/ALERT® MP flagged positive bottle using smear ZN staining.

3. Identification of the bacilli as *M.tuberculosis* complex was performed using a rapid DNA hybridization test specific for *M.tuberculosis* complex (Accuprobe MTBC; GenProbe)

**Table 6. Frequency of smear microscopy positive results of adult high-risk controls studied.**

| No TB patients | Health Care Workers | Healthy Family contacts | Cured TB patients |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 4/73 (5.5%)    | 0/123 (0.0%)        | 0/135 (0.0%)            | 0/90 (0.0%)      |

TB: tuberculosis; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; a) For comparison of the “no-TB group” with the “Health care group”, or with “healthy family contacts” or “cured-TB patients” the p value were at least = 0.03; AFB: acid fast bacilli.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t006
Table 7. Comparative proportions of the semi-quantitative smear microscopy results (AFB score) obtained in groups of patients with active pulmonary TB enrolled at the different centers independent of their HIV-status.

| Center     | 0    | Scanty | (+)  | (++) | (+++) | (++) and (+++) | p value* | Total AFB(+) |
|------------|------|--------|------|------|-------|----------------|----------|--------------|
| HINDUJA    | 51/162 (31.5) | 12/162 (7.4) | 33/162 (20.4) | 23/162 (14.2) | 43/162 (26.5) | 66/162 (40.7) | 1       | 111/162 (68.5) |
| AIIMS      | 50/90 (55.6)  | 6/90 (6.7)  | 15/90 (16.7)  | 8/90 (8.9)    | 11/90 (11.8) | 19/90 (21.1)  | 0.0003  | 40/90 (44.4)   |
| SAFDARJUNG | 51/95 (53.7)  | 1/95 (1.1)  | 14/95 (14.7)  | 10/95 (12.2) | 29/95 (32.6) | 39/95 (43.8)  | 0.6     | 58/95 (65.2)   |
| JALMA      | 31/89 (34.8)  | 6/89 (6.7)  | 13/89 (14.6)  | 10/89 (11.2) | 29/89 (32.6) | 39/89 (43.8)  | 0.05    | 42/89 (48.5)   |
| SAFDARJUNG | 7/49 (14.3)   | 6/49 (12.2) | 18/49 (36.7)  | 27/49 (55.1) | 29/49 (59.0) | 46/49 (92.8)  | 0.0001  | 76/49 (153.0)  |
| TOTAL      | 230/653 (35.3) | 45/653 (6.9) | 112/653 (17.2) | 104/653 (15.9) | 162/653 (24.8) | 266/653 (40.7) | 0.616   | 423/653 (64.8) |

AFB: acid fast bacilli.
*p value of the cumulative group (++ and ++++) versus the mean of total enrolled patients with the same AFB score.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t007

Table 8. Comparative proportions of the semi-quantitative smear microscopy results (AFB score) obtained in groups of patients with active pulmonary TB according to their HIV-status.

| HIV status          | 0    | Scanty | (+)  | (++) | (+++) | (++) and (+++) | p value* | Total AFB(+) |
|---------------------|------|--------|------|------|-------|----------------|----------|--------------|
| HIV-infected        | 52/90 (57.8) | 6/90 (6.7)  | 11/90 (12.2) | 14/90 (15.6) | 7/90 (7.8) | 21/90 (23.3) | 0.0017  | 38/90 (42.2)   |
| HIV-uninfected      | 65/270 (24.1) | 25/270 (9.3) | 49/270 (18.1) | 51/270 (18.9) | 80/270 (29.6) | 131/270 (48.5) | 0.034   | 205/270 (75.9) |
| HIV-unknown         | 113/293 (38.6) | 14/293 (4.8) | 52/290 (17.7) | 39/293 (13.3) | 75/293 (25.6) | 114/293 (38.9) | 0.616   | 180/293 (61.4) |
| TOTAL               | 230/653 (35.2) | 45/653 (6.9)  | 112/653 (17.2) | 104/653 (15.9) | 162/653 (24.8) | 266/653 (40.7) | 0.616   | 423/653 (64.8) |

AFB: acid fast bacilli; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus;
*p value of the cumulative group (++ and ++++) in HIV-infected versus the mean of total enrolled patients with same AFB score.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t008
### Table 9. Impact of the physicians' clinical suspicion of TB (CSTB) on the sensitivity of smear microscopy in tuberculosis patients according to disease localization and HIV status.

|                      | Pulmonary TB                                                                 | Extrapulmonary TB                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      | N of AFB-positive/N of patients within the group (%)                        | N of AFB-positive/N of patients within the group (%)                              |
|                      | TB diagnosis* CSTB Low CSTB High CSTB Very High                      | p value "TB diagnosis vs very high"                                               |
| HIV-infected         | 38/90 (42.2) 21/156 (18.3) 18/32 (20.1) 0.2                              | 3/31 (9.7%) 0/2 2/19 (10.5) 1/10 (10.0)                                          |
|                      | 0/1 21/56 (43.7) 56.2 (56.2)                                              | 0/1 167/186 (87.0) 58.7 (58.7)                                                   |
| HIV-uninfected       | 205/270 (75.9) 0/1 39/83 (48.0) 167/186 (86.2) 0.0002                     | 9/46 (19.6%) 7/37 (19.9) 2/9 (22.2)                                              |
| HIV-unknown          | 180/293 (61.4) 0/5 48/134 (37.5) 133/154 (87.2) 0.0001                    | 13/67 (19.4%) 0/2 11/58 (19.0) 2/7 (28.6)                                        |
| Total                | 423/653 (64.8) 0/7 107/273 (39.2) 318/372 (84.5) 0.0001                  | 25/144 (16.6%) 0/4 20/114 (17.5) 5/26 (19.2)                                     |

TB: tuberculosis; AFB: acid fast bacilli. *TB diagnosis by SM within the group considered; CSTB: clinical suspicion of TB

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t009

### Table 10. Comparative frequency of positive results of liquid versus solid culture in pulmonary and extrapulmonary active tuberculosis patients according to HIV status.

|                      | Pulmonary TB                                                                 | Extrapulmonary-TB                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      | Liquid culture  Solid culture  Total  p value "Liquid vs solid cultures"* | Liquid culture  Solid culture  Total  p value "Liquid vs solid cultures"*         |
| Positive over total (%) |                                                                              |                                                                              |
| HIV-infected         | 55/86** 38/87** 56/87** 0.3 14/30 1/33 15/33 0.2                              |                                                                                |
| (64.0)               | (43.7) 64.4 (64.4)                                                         |                                                                                |
| HIV-uninfected       | 218/269** 184/268** 225/270 0.0001 27/46 20/45 29/46 0.2                    |                                                                                |
| (81.0)               | (68.7) 83.3 (83.3)                                                         |                                                                                |
| HIV-unknown          | 238/293 164/293 245/293 0.0001 39/67 27/67 39/67 0.03                      |                                                                                |
| (81.2)               | (56.0) 83.6 (83.6)                                                         |                                                                                |
| Total                | 511/648 386/648 526/650 0.0001 80/143 57/146 83/146 0.004                  |                                                                                |
|                       | (78.9) 59.6 (80.9)                                                         |                                                                                |

TB: tuberculosis; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; CI: confidence interval. *p value of Comparison. **missing recorded culture;

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t010
Characteristics of the Enrolled Population

Results

Statistical Analysis

The demographic characteristics of the enrolled population and their risk factors for TB are shown in Table 1–4. Among the enrolled 807 active-TB patients, 81% had pulmonary-TB and 19% extrapulmonary-TB. Clinical diagnosis was retained in 24%, mostly in extrapulmonary-TB (43%) patients and in those HIV-infected (43%). Extrapulmonary TB was significantly more frequent in the HIV-infected (29%) patients compared to HIV-uninfected (14.6%; p = 0.0008) and HIV-unknown patients (18.6%; p = 0.018), with no significant difference between the latter two groups (p = 0.18) (Table 1). The frequency of clinical TB was significantly higher in extrapulmonary-TB patients than pulmonary-TB patients (p<0.0001) and in HIV-infected compared to HIV-uninfected and HIV-unknown pulmonary TB patients (p<0.0001). The final diagnosis of the hospitalized non-active TB control group is reported in Table 4.

Regarding the symptoms, in pulmonary-TB the prevalence of persisting cough was significantly lower in HIV-infected (73.1%) compared to HIV-uninfected (90.3%) or HIV-unknown status (93.0%) patients (p = 0.0001). Regarding the radiological signs the prevalence of infiltrates was significantly lower in HIV-infected (17.7%) compared to HIV-uninfected (35.5%) and HIV-unknown pulmonary-TB patients (61.4%;p<0.0001). In extrapulmonary-TB, pleural effusion was observed in half of the patients independent of the HIV-status. Finally, the rate of major clinical symptoms and radiological findings was not significantly different in confirmed TB compared to possible-clinical TB and was independent of their HIV-status.

Microbiological Results

All specimens were tested by SM and solid and liquid cultures; only a portion of them were evaluated by PCR (manuscript in preparation). Disseminated TB was evaluated using liquid culture from blood and performed only in HIV-infected patients.

Smear microscopy (SM). All the enrolled individuals had an SM examination for at least one specimen (if positive): 49% had two specimens and 23% had three, however no records were found in the 3 with pulmonary TB or the 7 with extrapulmonary TB. Twenty four SM-positive patients were culture-negative (3.0%-24/790), with no significant difference between pulmonary (3.1%) and extrapulmonary TB patients (2.8%). About half of them were classified as scanty SM.

The overall sensitivity was significantly higher in pulmonary TB patients than in extrapulmonary TB patients (p<0.0001) (Table 5). The sensitivity varied according to their HIV-status, being significantly lower in HIV-infected than in HIV-uninfected and HIV-unknown patients. The specificity was significantly higher in HIV-uninfected compared to HIV-unknown pulmonary
Table 12. Influence of the physicians' clinical suspicion score of tuberculosis on the frequency of culture-positive results in active pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB patients according to their HIV status.

| HIV Status   | Pulmonary TB | Extrapulmonary TB |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
|              | CSTB Low     | CSTB High         | CSTB Very High    |
|              | p-value ''TB diagnosis vs very high'' |
| HIV-infected | 15.8 (3.55)  | 156.1 (86.4)      | 156.1 (86.4)      |
| HIV-uninfected| 225.2 (70)   | 164.1 (89.3)      | 151.5 (87.6)      |
| HIV-unknown  | 245.2 (70)   | 151.5 (87.6)      | 145.2 (87.6)      |
| Total        | 526.0 (70)   | 151.5 (87.6)      | 145.2 (87.6)      |

NA: not available; AFB: acid fast bacilli; TB: tuberculosis; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus. *TB diagnosis by culture within the group considered.

Table 13. Diagnostic values: sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected patients with extrapulmonary and pulmonary TUB patients. Similarly, the sensitivity was lower in HIV-infected compared to HIV-uninfected and HIV-unknown extrapulmonary TB patients but the difference was not significant.

No specimens were obtained in the low-risk control groups. Specificity of SM was calculated for each high-risk control group: in the HCW, HFC and cured TB patients it was 100%, whereas in the hospitalized non-active TB patients it was 94.5% (Table 6). A significant difference in specificity was found between the hospitalized non-active TB patients and the other groups. In the hospitalized non-active TB patients, AFB-positivity, detected by culture, was associated with the presence of MOTT.

The Positive Predictive value (PPV) and the Negative Predictive value (NPV) of the SM were calculated with the specificity observed in non-active TB patients. In the pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB patients, the PPV was 0.991 and 0.862, the NPV was 0.233 and 0.367 and the likelihood ratio was 11.90 and 3.17, respectively.

SM sensitivity and the degree of infectivity may vary according to the populations of active TB patients enrolled at different centers: the bacillary yield in sputum being directly related to the degree of infectivity and severity of the pulmonary disease [24]. AFB scores of the pulmonary TB patients enrolled at different centers are shown in Table 7. By combining the “+++” and “++++” results, a gradient of infectivity was observed among the different centers: it was significantly higher in patients enrolled at Nizam’s and TRC, significantly lower at AIIMS and not different at Hinduja, Safdarjung and JALMA compared to the mean infectivity observed in the total enrolled population. AFB scores of the pulmonary TB patients varied also according to their HIV status as shown in Table 8. A significant lower infectivity was observed in HIV-infected than in HIV-uninfected TB patients (p<0.0001). No significant difference was observed between HIV-uninfected and HIV-unknown TB patients (p=0.04).

The effect of the physician’s clinical suspicion of TB (CSTB) on SM sensitivity was evaluated according to TB localization and HIV status (Table 9). Compared to the global SM sensitivity observed in all pulmonary TB patients, a significantly higher sensitivity was observed in patients with a “very high” CSTB (p<0.0001). However, the higher sensitivity observed in the HIV-infected patients with a “very high” CSTB was not significant (p=0.2). No significant differences were found among those with extrapulmonary TB. The pre-test classification significantly increased the likelihood ratio in pulmonary TB patients (to 15.6, p<0.0001) but not in extrapulmonary TB patients (to 3.51, p=0.8).

Culture of specimens. Since solid medium is recommended for culture in India, it was relevant to compare the performance of a solid and an automated liquid culture system using the same specimen from each enrolled patient. Their respective performances were evaluated using sensitivity, TTD and contamination rate.

Diagnostic Values: Sensitivity, Specificity, NPV and PPV

The overall sensitivity of the liquid culture was significantly higher than the solid culture, both for pulmonary and extrapulmonary specimens, independent of the HIV status (Table 10). Among patients with pulmonary TB, the sensitivity of liquid and solid cultures was significantly lower in HIV-infected patients than the other groups (p<0.05); no significant difference was found in HIV-uninfected and HIV-unknown patients (p>0.05). Among patients with extrapulmonary TB, the sensitivity of the liquid and solid cultures was different only in HIV-unknown patients (p=0.03). The cumulative sensitivity for the 2 culture methods.
was higher than that calculated for each methodology, although the difference was not significant \( p = 0.7 \).

No specimens were harvested in the control low-risk groups (blood donors and HCA). The overall specificity (cumulative results of liquid and solid cultures) was calculated in each high-risk control group (**Table 11**). In the hospitalized non-TB patients, the specificity was 89% and was significantly lower than in cured TB patients (100%) \( p = 0.01 \). Culture-positive results were associated with the isolation of MOTTs in the pulmonary specimens. The specificity in the other groups varied from 100% for the HCW group to 66.7% for the HFC group. The positive results were associated again with isolation of MOTTs in pulmonary specimens from the last group. All of these infected individuals were successfully treated, mostly with Clarithromycin.

The PPV and the NPV of the culture were calculated with the specificity observed in non-active TB patients. Respectively, in the pulmonary and extrapulmonary patients, the PPV was 0.985 and 0.922, the NPV was 0.344 and 0.512 and the likelihood ratio was 7.38 and 5.93.

The effect of the CSTB on the cumulative sensitivity of the culture (solid plus liquid) of the TB patients (**Table 12**) was further analyzed according to TB localization and their HIV status. Compared to the overall sensitivity of the culture observed in all pulmonary TB patients, a significantly higher sensitivity was observed in patients with a “very high” CSTB, \( p < 0.0001 \).

### Table 13. Comparative frequency of Mycobacteria other than Tuberculosis Mycobacteria (MOTTs) isolated in culture-positive specimens of pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB patients according to their HIV status.

|                  | Pulmonary TB | Extrapulmonary TB | Total |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------|
| Positive MOTTs   |              |                   |       |
| over total       |              |                   |       |
| culture-positive |              |                   |       |

| HIV-infected     | 7/56 (12.5)  | 4/15 (26.7)       | 11/71 (15.5) |
| HIV-uninfected   | 11/224* (4.9)| 2/29 (6.9)        | 13/253 (5.1) |
| HIV-unknown      | 5/245 (2.0)  | 0/39 (0)          | 5/284 (1.8)  |
| Total            | 23/525 (5.4) | 6/83 (7.2)        | 29/608 (4.8) |

**p value for**

| HIV-infectedBeth HIV-unknown vs HIV-uninfected | 0.06  |
| HIV-infected vs HIV-unknown | 0.002 |
| HIV-uninfected | 0.1 |
| HIV-infected vs HIV-unknown | 0.0001 |
| HIV-uninfected vs HIV-unknown | 0.05 |

**p value for**

| Total pulmonary vs extrapulmonary | 0.2 |

**p value for**

| HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; TB: tuberculosis; MOTTs: Mycobacteria other than Tuberculosis.

*missing recorded identification; TB: tuberculosis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t013

Figure 2. Comparative Time to Detection (TTD) of culture-positive specimens on solid (LJ) medium (grey bar) or with automated liquid (BacT/alert) (white bars) medium in active pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB patients according to their HIV status. TTD in days: median (IQR).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.g002
However, the higher sensitivity observed in the HIV-uninfected patients with a “very high” CSTB was not significant (p = 0.1). No significant differences were found between HIV-infected patients and all subgroups of those with extrapulmonary TB. The pre-test classification increased the likelihood ratio in both pulmonary and extrapulmonary-TB patients (to 8.34 and 6.67, respectively) but the difference was only significant for pulmonary TB patients (p<0.0001).

Species Identification

Identification of *M. tuberculosis* was performed using the Accuprobe assay in all culture-positive specimens. *M. tuberculosis* was found in almost all specimens (577/608, 94.9%) and MOTTs in 29 (4.8%) positive cultures (Table 13). The rate of MOTTs differed according to HIV status but not TB localization, which was significantly higher in the HIV-infected group compared to the HIV-uninfected and HIV-unknown groups. All the 29 active TB patients with MOTT isolation were successfully treated with anti-tuberculous therapy.

Time to Detection (TTD)

The TTD was calculated for the positive culture in each group of active-TB patients according to their TB localization and HIV status. The median TTD (in days) with the solid culture (34.0; IQR: 21.0–0.012.0; IQR: 7.8–18.5) was significantly higher than that of the automated liquid culture (12.0; IQR: 7.8–18.5) and was independent of the TB localization and HIV status (p<0.0001) (Figure 2). The TTD of MOTTs was also significantly shorter with the liquid culture (median and IQR, 12.8 and 8–23 days) than with the solid culture (63.0 and 27–90 days) (p<0.0001).

It has been demonstrated that the TTD with the automated liquid culture is inversely proportional to the sputum bacterial yield [25]. We further evaluated the relationship between the AFB score and the TTD of the two culture techniques (Figure 3). By regression analysis a highly significant inverse relationship was found between the AFB score and the mean TTD of each culture system (BacT/ALERT MP: $R^2 = 0.924$; LJ: $R^2 = 0.885$) (p<0.0001) and a significant difference was observed for all the categories of the mycobacterial yield (p<0.0001) (Figure 3).

Contamination Rate

The overall contamination rate in the TB culture was very low for both the liquid (1.9%) and the solid cultures (1.4%) and the difference between the two systems was not significant (p = 0.5). There was no statistical difference between the different groups (Table 14). No contamination was observed in the 421 specimens from controls. The 26 patients with contaminated culture were treated successfully with anti-TB drugs.

Blood Culture

Among the HIV-infected TB patients, a high frequency of dissemination was reported, evidenced by multi-organ infection and the presence of *M. tuberculosis* in the peripheral blood [26]. In this study (Table 15) the overall sensitivity of the blood culture was low with no statistically significant difference between pulmonary TB and extrapulmonary TB (p = 0.7). The TTD of the blood culture was not significantly different in pulmonary-TB compared to extrapulmonary-TB. The median number of circulating CD4+ cells/μL was lower in patients with positive blood culture than in those with a negative blood culture, but the difference was not significant (p = 0.4). Among the 11 HIV-infected patients with positive blood cultures, 2 were initially classified as “disseminated TB”, 2 as TB meningitis, 1 as pleural effusion, and the remaining 6 as pulmonary TB. Among the 11 HIV-infected patients being positive for *M. tuberculosis* in the blood culture, in 4 cases the positive blood culture was the only microbiological confirmatory test available. The 7 other patients also had culture-positive pulmonary or extrapulmonary specimens.
A particular point to be noted was the significantly higher contamination rate of the blood culture compared to those obtained with the liquid culture on other specimens ($p<0.0001$).

**Discussion**

We present the results of a prospective multicenter study that was designed to investigate the diagnostic values of microbiological tools for active TB in India, a highly endemic country.

Several microbiological tools were systematically applied to each patient with suspected active TB: 2 conventional tools (SM and solid culture) and 2 relatively new tools, the automated liquid culture system (BacT/ALERT MP) and molecular identification on positive culture. Two other new tools were applied on a portion of patients: direct nucleic acid amplification on specimens and a blood culture on HIV-infected patients.

Detection of AFB in specimens has a crucial clinical and epidemiological importance in the diagnostic algorithm for TB in both low-incidence countries [5] and high-burden countries with limited resources [7]. AFB detection in pulmonary secretions identifies patients with the greatest potential for *M. tuberculosis* transmission [27,28]. Sputum SM offers the triple advantage of speed, simplicity and low cost. In many countries with a high TB burden, it is the most appropriate and most accessible diagnostic tool [29].

The sensitivity of SM in our study was within the expected range, detecting about 2/3 of the active pulmonary TB cases in HIV-uninfected and only half of the HIV-infected patients. This confirms data generated in high-burden countries with limited resources [1] and in India where the 0.630 million new cases notified in 2010 were SM-positive pulmonary TB [1,2]. Our study showed slightly higher global results for pulmonary TB with 65.2% SM-positive compared to 51.0% reported in India for the year 2010 [1]. However, the sensitivity of SM varied according to HIV status, TB localization and the site of enrolled patients, which might depend upon the type of population recruited.

As shown here, less than 50% of HIV-infected pulmonary TB patients and around 10% of extrapulmonary TB patients were SM-positive, confirming the concern about its low sensitivity in HIV-infected TB individuals [30,31]. The main issue with HIV-infected TB patients is the inability of the host response to control *M. tuberculosis* replication with low degree of lung lesions and a substantial diagnostic delay that increase of disease severity and a higher death rate [32–35]. Moreover, the magnitude of SM-negative TB in HIV-infected patients is underestimated: many of these patients die before TB is diagnosed, as shown by autopsy studies in Mumbai (India) where TB is the leading cause of death in these patients [36].

Paucibacillary TB is also an important diagnostic issue and we showed that SM detected only 17.3% of extrapulmonary TB cases, and, it was even less efficient in the HIV-infected cases. This low detection rate might be associated with TB diagnosis delay, poorer treatment outcomes and greater mortality. In our study, the mortality rate was significantly higher ($p=0.02$) in HIV-infected patients with extrapulmonary TB (13.2%) compared to those with pulmonary TB (2.2%). The CNS-TB in HIV-infected patients was frequently associated with death (80%).

In our study, the SM specificity was very high when evaluated in two high-risk populations: 100% in both the HCW and HFC groups. However, specificity was 94.5% when evaluated in the hospitalized non-active-TB patients; all SM-positive patients had MOTT infection. In India where TB is highly endemic, the frequency of MOTTs should not be ignored and we observed several mixed infections in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected...
The sensitivity was found to be significantly higher using the BacT/Alert MP liquid culture system compared to the solid LJ culture (p = 0.0001). Moreover, the sensitivity was found to be significantly higher using the BacT/Alert MP system compared to the solid culture in pulmonary TB patients, independent of their HIV status (p < 0.0001). These results confirm the data obtained in several published studies [38–42]. Our study results also showed that using both solid and liquid cultures resulted in incremented sensitivity detecting 83.3% in HIV-uninfected patients and 64.4% in HIV-infected pulmonary TB patients.

In our study, the specificity was 100% in cured TB patients, but was significantly lower (89%) in the hospitalized non-active TB patients (0.01); all patients had MOTT infection. The pre-test probability using the CSTB score showed a significantly higher sensitivity of SM in groups of patients classified with the highest CSTB score (p = 0.001) with a significantly higher likelihood ratio only for pulmonary TB patients. The presence of MOTTs in these active TB patients might be considered as a bystander of mixed infection or bystander contamination apart from possible technical failures during the process of inoculation of the vials.

The frequency of MOTTs in pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB specimens was higher in HIV-infected than in HIV-uninfected and HIV-unknown TB patients. MOTTs were detected earlier and more frequently using the liquid culture than the solid culture. The presence of MOTTs in these active TB patients might be considered as a bystander of mixed infection or bystander contaminants. Because all these patients were treated successfully with conventional anti-tuberculosis drug regimens for 6 months; the second hypothesis seems to be more likely. As discussed above, MOTTs were isolated in around 10% of hospitalized non-active TB patients. These patients were cured using second-line macrolides. Such a high prevalence of MOTTs in non-active TB patients has not been previously reported in India and only few reports reported isolation of MOTTs in HIV-infected [43] and HIV-uninfected patients [44]. In our study, MOTTs were identified using the molecular technique (AccuProbe) and no strain speciation was performed. The use of a combination of genus-specific PCR primers might be useful [37].

Automated non-radio metric liquid culture systems are able to continuously monitor the positive results and data suggested that the TTD of M. tuberculosis using the MGIT960 system was a viable alternative to colony counting [25]. We established that both the BacT/Alert MP system and the LJ medium showed an inverse relationship between TTD and semi-quantitative AFB score of sputum: an increase in TTD was correlated with a decreased yield (Figure 3). Thus, besides the already described advantages of the liquid culture system, the TTD might be considered as an additional internal quality control system for the smear microscopy tool.

The use of an automated blood culture system provided a novel opportunity to diagnose mycobacteremia in HIV-infected patients [45]. In a recent Indian prospective study on 52 HIV-infected patients with suspected active TB, mycobacteria were isolated in sputa or fecal samples from 20 patients and in 9 of these patients mycobacteria were also isolated from their blood specimens [46]; the calculated overall sensitivity in that study was 17.1%, not significantly different (p = 0.6) from our results (Table 15). M. tuberculosis bacteraemia was detected in HIV-infected patients when disseminated disease was not suspected, but we also showed that blood culture was not necessary for the final diagnosis of TB in 2/3 of the HIV-infected patients. Similar results were obtained in a South African study, where 22% of 71 HIV-infected TB patients were positive for M. tuberculosis on blood culture, but 75% of them had AFB+ pulmonary isolates [47].

One of the issues of the liquid culture system is a higher contamination rate compared to those observed with the solid medium [48]. Our study showed a very low overall contamination rate, both with the MB BacT/Alert (1.9%) and with the LJ medium (1.4%) for both the respiratory and extrapulmonary samples. On the other hand, it was almost 10 times higher with the blood cultures using the MB BacT/Alert. There was no clear explanation apart from possible technical failures during the process of inoculation of the vials.

In conclusion, conventional microbiological tools lead to results similar to those already described in India; special features being that the HIV-infected TB patients were less detected by SM and culture. Also, due to high endemicity of TB in India, the detection of MOTT is of high significance: mixed infections of both TB and MOTT found in this study were found in most of the HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected patients with pulmonary TB. This is also supported by some other recent Indian studies. New microbiological assays like the automated liquid culture system

### Table 15. Performances of the blood culture using the BacT/alert automated culture in the HIV-infected TB patients according to their TB localization.

| Tuberculosis patients | Pulmonary TB | Extrapulmonary TB | Total |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------|
| Positive over total (%) | 7/55 (12.7)   | 4/32 (12.5)       | 11/87 (12.6) |
| Median Time of positivity (IQR)(Days) | 23.0 (20.0–28.0) | 27.0 (19.0–27.0) | 23.0 (16.0–27.0) |
| Median CD4+ cell counts/µL(IQR) | 186 (95–232)  | 185 (83–250)      | 188 (79–223)  |
| Negative culture      | 121 (70–199)  | 154 (97–211)      | 215 (42–186)  |
| Positive culture      | 9/55 (16.4)   | 7/32 (21.9)       | 16/87 (18.4)  |

HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; TB: tuberculosis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043739.t015
showed an increase of accuracy and speed of identification without increasing the contamination rate.
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