Cold plasma technology: advanced and sustainable approach for wastewater treatment
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Abstract
Cold plasma has been a potent energy-efficient and eco-friendly advanced oxidation technology which has gained attention in recent decades as a non-thermal approach in diverse forms of applications. This review highlights a comprehensive account of the implementation of this technology in the field of wastewater treatment to resolve certain issues regarding the degradation of numerous aqueous pollutants and water-borne pathogenic microorganisms including viruses up to a significant level. The paper addresses plasma chemistry sources and mechanisms on wastewater treatment and impact on various physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of treated water. Furthermore, studies have revealed that this emerging technology is effective in inactivating SARS-CoV-2 or coronavirus, which serves as a transmission channel for this lethal virus in wastewater. Despite these benefits, the development of cold plasma as a wastewater treatment technique is still hampered by a lack of information like capital investment, proficient application, liveability, and operating cost, thus necessitating additional research for its booming commercialization, as this can be an emerging approach to solving water crises and meeting the demand for fresh or potable water resources.
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Introduction
In recent decades, the presence of numerous kinds of novel contaminants has been recognized and their potential environmental ramifications have been drawn attention. New source contaminants which require new treatment and means of detection are called “emerging.” They are classified based on the probable and apprehensible risk to the environment and human health. The inflating anthropogenic activities results in the continuous release of emerging contaminants into the natural environment (Fatima and Kumar 2020). They originate either from industries or may come from agricultural, municipal (domestic), laboratory, or hospital wastewater, which damage human, terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Shah...
et al. 2020). Accommodating over 44 million people who rely on surface water, the World Health Organisation’s analysis indicates that 785 million people have insufficient drinking water supplies. In addition, about two billion people around the world use a drinking water source contaminated by faecal matter, which can cause around 485 000 fatalities per year from diarrhoea (WHO 2019). Moreover, the reports on SARS-CoV-2 transmission or coronavirus transmission via wastewater provides an urgency for implementation of an adequate wastewater treatment technology (Rimoldi et al. 2020).

Wastewaters can be regarded as untapped resources and, as water and energy resources are lacking worldwide, the focus has switched to remediation of these substances to preserve earthly life. For this reason, it is crucial that new environmentally friendly and highly efficient wastewater treatment methods and clean water generation continue to be the main priority (Oturan and Aaron 2014).

Using sophisticated analytical methods, wastewater is regarded as an efficient resource for balancing water demand on a variety of scales, particularly in arid, semi-arid, metropolitan areas, for drinking water supplies, agriculture, and other activities. Presently, scientists are pushed forward to establish several conventional methods like chemical, biological and physical methods of wastewater treatment. But all these methods have numerous disadvantages such as non-reactive chemical residues and inorganic solids (Zeghioud et al. 2020). In addition, these methods are inefficient against some organic pollutants that have a very little concentration and are not biodegradable easily (Iervolino et al. 2019). For instance, techniques like flocculation, coagulation, ion exchange etc. exhibit high consumption of energy and reagents, low selectivity with high investment and operational cost (Laureano-Anzaldo et al. 2020). Moreover, incineration and thermal oxidation require high running costs and emit various dioxins and other pollutants into the environment. Likewise, biological methods are also related to several flaws like unrestricted breakdown of products, low biodegradability of some pollutants such as dyes and also requires maintenance and management of microorganisms (Ciri and Lichtfouse 2019).

To overcome these limitations of conventional methods, technologists are more concentrated towards establishment of modern oxidation processes like cold plasma technology (Wang et al. 2015; Duan et al. 2015), photo-Fenton techniques (Ameta and Ameta, 2018), photocatalysis (Vaiano et al. 2017; Vaiano and Iervolino 2018), ozonation (Saeid et al. 2018), supernatant (Amoatey and Bani 2011; Laureano-Anzaldo et al. 2020), electrochemical reactions (Garcia-Segura et al. 2018), irradiation technology (Bisht et al. 2021; Pricaz and Uta 2015) or a combination of these for treating wastewater followed by microbial inactivation. All such techniques undergo the process of mineralization, causing decomposition of various organic pollutants, i.e. changing of compounds to inorganic intermediates, water and carbon dioxide. It has been proved that these methods possess the potential of degrading toxic pollutants and recalcitrant materials (García et al. 2017). However, a complete oxidation process is a prior necessity for treatment of wastewater that cannot be achieved by techniques like ultrasonication, UV/ozone, photocatalysis etc. (Thirumdas et al. 2015). As the challenges of wastewater treatment are expanding, cold plasma technology, therefore provides a more viable and emerging advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) option for the solution (Zeghioud et al. 2020).

Cold plasma technology is one type of non-thermal technique which generates several reactive species like O, OH, H2O2, H, O3, and HO2 which interact with molecules of water, simultaneously emitting light and producing shockwaves. In particular, non-thermal plasma offers the advantage of OH radicals’ generation and added reactive species being independent on the inclusion of UV lamps and costly chemicals (Iervolino et al. 2019). Furthermore, it is simple, eco-friendly, economical and easily-to-use technology at room temperature and atmospheric pressure which has the capability of eliminating various toxic components found in wastewater including microorganisms (Zeghioud et al. 2020; Li et al. 2020).

Several traditional approaches and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) experimented in the recent past. This review article is to provide a deep imminent of cold plasma technology encompassing removal efficiencies of numerous toxic aqueous pollutants including microorganisms and viruses for treating wastewater. This article also emphasizes its effect on the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of treated wastewater and long-term application prospects.

Wastewater and its different sources

Water (chemically defined, H2O) is the most liberal and precious compound covering 70% of the earth’s surface existing in dynamic equilibrium between gas and liquid phase at average pressure and temperature. It is an odourless and tasteless liquid reflecting a slight tint of blue colour at room temperature and acts as a dissolving medium for many substances, hence also termed as universal solvent. It is a most commonly known natural compound enduring in all three states, i.e. solid, liquid, and gas (Hossain 2015).

Nevertheless, from the last few years, problems related to water pollution or generation of wastewater in surplus amounts are rising at a very rapid rate due to enhancement in population, industrial activities and economy (Laureano-Anzaldo et al. 2020). The term “wastewater” can be defined as “any water whose biological, physical or chemical composition has been altered due to direct discharge of various pollutants into water bodies either from domestic or industrial sources thus making it unfit for portable and other purposes” (Amoatey and Bani 2011; Laureano-Anzaldo et al. 2020). Figure 1 shows the various sources responsible for generation of wastewater.
Today, release of raw or carelessly treated wastewater is considered as one of the major reasons behind surface water contamination (Edokpayi et al. 2020). It has been stated that in developing countries, nearly 90% of untreated sewage is released directly into water streams. Furthermore, on an annual basis, this direct discharge of industrial effluents and raw sewage is around 730 million tonnes, worldwide (Connor et al. 2017). According to recent statistics of the Central Pollution Control Board of India, about 72368 MLD of sewage is generated in India, annually (National inventory of sewage treatment plants CPCB 2021). Besides this, the water report of the United Nations edition in 2018, concluded that by the year 2050 around 6 billion people will suffer from the problem of water scarcity (Boretti and Rosa 2019). However, such inadequate treatment of wastewater can be hazardous for the environment as well as for human health (Edokpayi et al. 2021).

Rapid industrialization and uncontrolled discharge of wastewater are causing contaminants to persist and bioaccumulate in various ecosystems (Nanda and Kumar 2021). Moreover, due to the persistence of current COVID-19 pandemic, wastewater analysis becomes a crucial step in order to detect coronavirus transmission in communities, thus providing caution about the possible outbursts of such a contagious disease (Ali et al. 2021). Recently, various studies have revealed the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in hospital sewage and community wastewater (Lodder and de Roda Husman 2020). The genomic sequence of SARS-CoV-2 is around 96.2% alike to that of “BatCoV RaTG13” bat coronavirus but its transmission rate in humans is much higher as compared to that of MERS and SARs (Yan et al. 2020). As per the evidences, around 2–35% of COVID-19 patients suffers from gastrointestinal illness like vomiting, diarrhoea, and abdominal pain; however, it is less recurring as compared to respiratory illness (Wang et al. 2020a; Yeo et al. 2020) but this has led to detection of viral RNA in sewage and faecal matter. Coronavirus may find its way into hospital and domestic wastewater through various sources like vomit, handwashing, sputum etc. (Amoah et al. 2020).

Furthermore, it has been stated that around 67% faecal matter of infected persons tested positive for presence of coronavirus (Chen et al. 2020). Several studies have also revealed the shedding of SARS-CoV-2 from urine of infected individuals (Nomoto et al. 2020). However, viral transmission through wastewater can be a major matter of concern especially in areas where there is lack of proper sanitation and water treatment facilities. In underdeveloped countries, domestic wastewater is discharged directly into the environment which ultimately leaches into groundwater and people residing in peri-urban or rural areas depend on groundwater sources to satisfy their water requirements. Thus, there can be the possibility of coronavirus transmission through untreated wastewater (Thakur et al. 2021).
Having regard to the concerns referred to above, implementing suitable wastewater treatment technology is therefore a priority in order to protect our environment and human health, which can be effectively solved with the non-thermal Cold Plasma Technology.

**Prologue to plasma technology**

The phrase “plasma” was introduced by Irving Langmuir in 1928 to highlight a portion, comprising balanced charges of species like electrons and ions (Li et al. 2020). Next to solids, liquids and gases, plasma is considered as the fourth state of matter (Thirumdas et al. 2015) occurring either in ground state or in its excited state possessing a net neutral charge (Mir et al. 2020). To put it another way, it is a fully or partially ionized gas containing neutrals, ions, free radicals and electrons which can be produced by a variety of electrical discharges (Mishra et al. 2016). Nowadays, plasma technology is very well known for its functionalization and decontamination purposes in both abiotic and biotic matrices (Ojha et al. 2020). Usually, it can be classified on the basis of several parameters out of which temperature is the major one, giving it quantitative description. On the basis of temperature, it can be categorized as Hot plasma also known as “Thermal plasma” while Cold plasma also known as “Non-thermal plasma” (Reynamartinez et al. 2018; Li et al. 2020).

Thermal or hot plasma is a type of plasma in which the temperature of heavier species like radicals, ions, molecules and atoms is similar to that of electrons, demonstrating that nearly all of its particles are in thermal equilibrium (Whitehead 2016). It mainly includes radio frequency, torches and arc discharges. This plasma is widely used for processing and treating solid waste including the most recalcitrant waste through plasma gasification or thermal incineration process because in this plasma a high heat flux can be generated by initiating a high amount of power up to 50 MW and extreme pressure levels (≥ 105 Pa) for its propagation (Ekezie et al. 2017).

On the other hand, non-thermal or cold plasma is another type in which the electrons have much higher temperature, compared to that of heavier species because of difference in their mass thus also referred to as non-equilibrium plasma. Furthermore, on the passage of energy, the gas dissociates into various reactive species following ionization, de-excitation or excitation reactions (Ekezie et al. 2017). Earlier, discharges of cold plasma were produced by alternating (AC) or pulsed and stationary (DC) electrical fields and for producing such discharges different types of electrical power supplies like capacitive coupled plasma (CCP), inductively coupled plasma (ICP) or pulsed (DC) plasma were employed (Zainal et al. 2015). But nowadays, atmospheric pressure plasma jets discharge, corona discharge, radio frequency, microwave-induced plasma, gliding arc discharge, dielectric barrier discharge etc. are widely implemented for producing cold plasma discharges (Guo et al. 2015). These discharges possess numerous advantages making their application commercial. Some of those advantages are listed below in Fig. 2. This non-thermal cold plasma is energy efficient and provides high selectivity in resulting chemical reactions because it does not reveal a localized thermodynamic equilibrium. Therefore, considering all these reasons, application of cold plasma technology is increasing at a very rapid rate and attracting the interest of technologists for wastewater treatment purposes (Jiang et al. 2014).

**Different methods of cold plasma discharges used in wastewater treatment**

Dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) is regarded as the “workhorse” of plasma technology (Ojha et al. 2020) as shown in Fig. 3(a). It consists of two flat metal electrodes enclosed tightly in a target chamber out of which, one is usually covered with a dielectric material like mica, glass, ceramics, alumina, quartz etc. generating several micro-discharges due to which there are less chances of electrode etching and spark formation (Ozen and Singh 2020). Between both the electrodes there is movement of any neutral gas or its mixture which undergoes ionization for producing plasma products (Shimizu et al. 2018). But for wastewater treatment purposes, ozone gas along-with air feed or oxygen is used widely (Tichonovas et al. 2013). Furthermore, it is also suitable for decontamination purposes as it offers stability and uniformity in process and avoids the chances of any arc movement from the processing environment (Yong et al. 2015). Additionally, atmospheric pressure plasma jets depicted in Fig. 3(b) is another type of cold plasma discharge consisting of two concentric electrodes in which the outer one is grounded and inner one is coupled with an external power supply like radio-frequency creating radio-frequency energy. On passage of an electric current, it interacts with the gas like oxygen, helium or a mixture of gas causing its ionization (Zhang 2015). Such gases are also helpful in pushing the stream containing active species out of the electrodes through blowing the active portion of the jet (Scholtz et al. 2015). Furthermore, atmospheric pressure plasma jets are simple in construction, commercially available and easy to operate (Ozen and Singh 2020). In this, discharge takes place in a dielectric tube and the electrical energy generally ranges from few kHz to 27 MHz and power ranges from a few W up to kW (Ehlbeck et al. 2010). Figure 3(c) shows the gliding arc discharge of non-thermal plasma which is widely used for wastewater remediation purposes. As compared to other types of discharges, it offers high operating pressure, power and plasma density (Krishna et al. 2016). Moreover, GAD possesses the characteristics of both thermal and non-thermal plasma. It consists of impedance, nozzle, “knife-edge” diverging electrodes between which high
voltage is introduced, insulating cover and high-power supply and when electric field is approximately 3 kV mm⁻¹, the arc discharge generates. Hence, due to high velocity, the arc length increases (thermal plasma) and temperature of ionized gas decreases, becoming non-thermal plasma (Kim et al. 2014). At atmospheric pressure, this technology is very well known for degradation of various organic components present in water (Tiya-Djowe et al. 2015; Slamani et al. 2018). Pulsed corona discharge is a fourth type non-thermal plasma discharge that is regarded as best for wastewater treatment as shown in Fig. 3(d). Usually, these reactors are in stainless steel and are constructed as a needle, a multiple needle plate and wire. Generally, a high voltage is connected to the pin electrode and the plate electrode is connected to the ground. In the case of multi-pin electrodes, pins were distributed uniformly with a circular shape (Taghvaei and Rahimpour 2016). The reactor is energized by high voltage pulses with short rise time and durations and there is an initiation and propagation of discharge towards grounded plates due to its high curvature characteristic (Ajo et al. 2018). A water solution in plasma in the gas phase would obtain the maximum level of decontamination efficiency.

Many studies on direct current glow plasma discharge in and in contact with liquids published within the last couple of years due to the rising interest in waste water treatment applications. It is also termed as electrode effect in some references depending on which electrode glow discharge takes place. According to the positions of both electrodes, it is classified into two types, i.e. submerged glow discharge electrolysis (SGDE) and contact glow discharge reactor (CGDE). Among these, contact glow discharge reactor has been regarded as an efficient reactor for water purification purposes.

In advanced oxidation process, it is an unconventional method in which electrochemical reactions occurs in the course of an electronic conductor, i.e. electrode and neighboring ionic conductor surface, i.e. electrolyte. In this type of discharge, cathode is immersed in water and isolated from anode through a porous glass. A constant direct current voltage is applied to thin wire anode communicating with surface of electrolyte. Direct current glow discharge is initiated in a thin sheath film of vapor covering the electrode surface, where vapor phase water molecules are electrolytically dissociated at a high temperature into hydroxyl radical and hydrogen radical (Jiang et al. 2014). These radicals can diffuse in the surrounding liquid and be used for the removal of dissolved compounds. Especially, OH are able to oxidize any organic molecule into “harmless” carbon dioxide in a non-selective way. This makes CGDE as a commercially viable tool particularly for decontamination and sterilization of wastewater treatment.
Hence, such type of electrical plasma discharges been studied extensively as effective method for the removal of hazardous chemicals in aqueous solution.

**Cold plasma technology working mechanism**

The evolution of an advanced oxidation process for wastewater treatment is a major challenge because for the decontamination of wastewater complete oxidation is needed which cannot be fulfilled by methods like ultrasonication, UV/ozone, photocatalysis etc. (Reddy and Subrahmanyam 2012). Therefore, from the last few years, implementation of non-thermal plasma for wastewater and environmental remediation purposes has gained considerable relevance because of its potential for destroying hazardous organic components in both wastewater and in raw water. The efficiency of this method has been examined for a range of reasons such as decontamination of numerous harmful elements contained in water such as medicinal products, organic dyes, pesticides, herbicides, biomolecules, phenolic compounds, and antibiotic substances. It also works to decrease TOC and COD in treated wastewater by enhancing the biodegradability and eradication of different pathogens including bacteria, fungi, and viruses (Zeghioud et al. 2020). Various studies have revealed the antimicrobial efficacy of cold plasma technology (Lunov et al. 2016; Lu et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014). Furthermore, it is also an emerging technique in the biomedical sector for treating wastewater and inactivating microbes (Patange et al. 2018). Hence, a detailed general mechanism of this novel technology for treating wastewater and inactivating pathogenic micro-organism is discussed below.

a. General mechanism for treating wastewater

Discharge plasma is a very well-known process for total mineralization and elimination of occurring pathogens in wastewater (Abdul-Majeed et al. 2015). The main reason behind the fact is that due to physico-chemical impact of plasma there is generation of oxidizing species: radicals (O^-, OH^-, H^-) that might diffuse into shockwave, UV light, liquids, and molecules (O_3, H_2O_2) and electrohydraulic cavitation may degrade the pollutants present in wastewater or decomposes the pollutants into another compound. In case of a liquid and gas, plasma can be generated either in gas above the liquid or in liquid directly and if there are hybrid reactors, it can be generated in both gas and liquid. But the most effective method that requires less power consumption for treatment is the diffusion of gas phase into liquid phase (Thirumdas et al. 2015). The following diagram explains the working mechanism of cold plasma technology for treating wastewater.

**Fig. 3** Different methods of cold plasma discharges used in wastewater treatment a) dielectric barrier discharge; b) plasma jet; c) gliding arc discharge; d) pulsed corona discharge. (Adopted from Ghezzar et al. 2009; Hoffmann et al. 2013; Taghvaei and Rahimpour 2016)
2015). Figure 4 depicts the working mechanism of plasma discharge for treating wastewater.

b. General mechanism for inactivation of bacteria and fungi

The antimicrobial efficiency of discharge plasma is also due to similar reasons as discussed above, i.e. generation of reactive oxygen species and is considered as the primary mechanism evolved in its inactivation. Studies have revealed that genetic material, proteins and cell envelopes of pathogenic microorganisms are target points for plasma resulting in their inactivation (Sharma et al. 2018). When the microbial cells are treated with plasma, there is formation of malondialdehyde which disrupts their genetic material leading to cell death. Particularly, when produced species interrelate with water, there is generation of hydroxyl ions which are most deleterious and reactive to pathogenic cells. Furthermore, it has been stated that hydroxyl radical is the main reason behind 90% of DNA destruction along with damaging cell components and cell membrane (Thirumadas et al. 2015). Figure 5 depicts the working mechanism of plasma discharge for inactivating pathogenic microorganisms (bacteria and fungi). As soon as the electrical discharge reaches liquid, they generate several physical and chemical effects which are responsible for detrimental inactivation of pathogenic microorganisms.

- Initiation of pores in cell membranes as generated reactive species interact with microbial cell membranes.
- Disruption of cell structure due to shockwaves ranging between 5 and 20 kBar.
- Destruction of DNA strands when exposed to UV-radiation ranging between 200 and 400 nm due to mutation (Abdul-Majeed et al. 2015; Bhatnagar 2019).

iii. General mechanism for viral inactivation

Virus is the most abundantly found microorganism which has a potency to harm all living organisms from humans to plants, animals and bacteria (Nasir and Caetano-Anollés 2015). They are seen as one of the main sources of various illness outbreaks, some of which are life-threatening (Filipić et al. 2020). It can either be transmitted directly from one infected person to another or indirectly such as surfaces, water, air, food, or objects. Amongst all, water is turning as one of the main routes for transmission of pathogenic viruses (Van Doremalen et al. 2020; Shrestha et al. 2018). Today, the foremost reason behind insufficiency of potable water is the conveyance of various waterborne pathogenic viruses.

In addition, the demand for inactivation of the virus was quite rapid compared to previous ones because of the ongoing highly contagious COVID-19 pandemic produced by SARS-CoV-2 or coronavirus as the respiratory virus. Therefore, all these elements can provide an effective, new and promising option for inactivating viruses with the purpose of using cold plasma technology to achieve a better life and stop coronavirus spread. Various studies have also shown how antivirals in different viruses such as respiratory virus A and B, respiratory...
syncytial virus, etc. are effective for deactivation of several viruses (Filipić et al. 2020).

Generation of reactive nitrogen species or reactive oxygen species is considered as the primary mechanism of cold plasma for inactivating viruses. The plasma is aimed at viral capsid, protein and nucleic acid, therefore compromising their genomic and structural integrity. Due to the effects of reactive species, there is loss of virus infectivity damaging the binding of virus with the host cell receptors. In addition, the infectious property of the virus will be lost in the event of a genetic material disruption because the replication and translation of a genome is very crucial. It has been stated that generated reactive species can damage the outer protein layer also by penetrating RONS to the genetic material of virus (Filipić et al. 2020). Figure 6 depicts the working mechanism of plasma discharge for inactivating viruses.

Parameters affecting the efficiency of cold plasma technology in wastewater treatment

Various studies have reported several factors significantly affect the process efficacy of cold plasma discharge in treatment of wastewater. These factors include input power, designing of electrode and reactor systems, pollutant concentration, pH and temperature of solution, conductivity of water, type and composition of feed gas used etc. (Zighoud et al. 2020). For instance, input energy or power plays a very vital role in effective mineralization and degradation of pollutants as with an increase in input power, the rate of removal also increases (Wang et al. 2016). Moreover, the designing of plasma reactor systems should be such that there can be maximum energy utilization at a given power input. Generally, the plasma reactors are made up of Perspex or glass like rectangular parallelepiped vessels or in the form of reaction column type reactors that can be employed for batch, continuous or circulating-flow mode. Similarly, in the case of electrode designing, the main point to be taken into consideration is high compatibility of electrode with reactor and wide zone for electrical discharge. Usually, for plasma generation two asymmetric conductive mediums possessing high curvature are used as electrodes (Jiang et al. 2014).

Furthermore, studies have also observed the effect of initial pollutant concentration on removal efficiency. With an increase in initial concentration of pollutants the reaction rate also increases till the formation of reactive species sets off as a restraining step in treatment (Wang et al. 2016). The pH of the reaction medium also plays a very important role in assessment of plasma discharge potential. A proportional relationship has been revealed between pH and decontamination efficiency of certain aqueous pollutants (Yan et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2013). Similarly, temperature also has a significant effect on degradation potential of several pollutants present in
wastewater (Jiang et al. 2012). The composition and type of feed gas used in cold plasma discharge possess a significant effect on treatment efficiency. Moreover, the electrical conductivity of liquid medium also greatly influences properties of discharge and ultimately formation of reactive species in discharge plasma (Zeghioud et al. 2020). A list of factors affecting process efficiency of cold plasma are mentioned below in Fig. 7.

Implementation of cold plasma technology for treating wastewater and inactivating pathogenic microbes.

As compared to other advanced oxidation methods like electrochemical oxidation (Bakheet et al. 2018), photocatalysis (Zhang et al. 2015), Fenton process (Liu et al. 2018a) etc. non-thermal plasma technology currently has received great attention in the field of wastewater treatment along with inactivating harmful pathogenic microorganisms present in water (Magureanu et al. 2018; Guo et al. 2018; Patange et al. 2018) thus making water suitable for potable purposes. Furthermore, this technology does not demand any precursor or catalyst like hydrogen peroxide or TiO₂ coupling with UV light for generation of hydroxyl radicals. Hence, considered as an eco-friendly technique. Moreover, it also remains unaffected by penetration depth of ultraviolet radiation and turbidity of wastewater (Schneider et al. 2020).

Nonetheless, several studies have revealed the high efficiency of cold plasma technology in removing harmful toxic components from wastewater and inactivating pathogenic microbes. Like, Iervolino et al. (2019) examined the efficiency of dielectric barrier discharge NTP reactor in removing
various water pollutants like phenol, ceftriaxone, caffeine, methylene blue and paracetamol. The study revealed that there was complete mineralization and degradation of ceftriaxone and methylene blue after treating only for 5 min at 20kV voltage with application of oxygen as a process gas while the complete mineralization and degradation time for paracetamol and phenol was 15 min and 25 min for caffeine, respectively. Furthermore, it was concluded that dielectric barrier discharge NTP reactor has a better efficiency in removing organic water pollutants as compared to other non-thermal technologies.

Similarly, Krishna et al. (2016) studied the efficacy of gliding arc plasma discharge system for degradation of verapamil hydrochloride in water. The results revealed that initially, concentration of verapamil in water was $5 \times 10^{-5}$ M and $5 \times 10^{-4}$ M. However, after treating for about 80mins there was a significant reduction in initial concentrations by 97 % and 37 %, respectively. Wang et al. (2020b) also studied the efficacy of dielectric barrier discharge plasma in removing tetrabromo bisphenol A (TBBPA) from wastewater and concluded that the performance for removal of TBBPA was very rapid and highly efficient.

Additionally, Slamani et al. (2018) investigated the efficiency of gliding arc plasma discharge in combination with the Fenton process for degrading paracetamol in aqueous solution. The study revealed that paracetamol was completely degraded after combined GAS at 10 kV and Fenton treatment. Furthermore, after a treatment time of 0.5mins, the mineralization content also increased by 58.7 % from 20.1 % and this increment possessed the same tendency at 30mins and 60mins treatment time. Similarly, the removal efficacy of total organic carbon also got enhanced and this reduction was 3 to 4 times higher as compared to that in single GAS or Fenton treatment. After 10 days, the highest values of TOC removal were 96.8 %, 92.2 % and 95.1 % while it was 49.6 % after 21 days when only GAS treatment was applied.

Similarly, Wang et al. (2020c) designed a micro hollow cathode excited DBD plasma for improving the treatment efficiency of organic wastewater in which the target organic pollutant was P-chlorophenol. It was observed that after treating for 30 min, the highest removal of P-chlorophenol and dichlorination ratio was about 99 %. Hence, the study concluded that micro hollow cathode excited DBD plasma possesses the efficiency of treating organic wastewater. Likewise, García et al. (2017) investigated the efficiency of microwave atmospheric pressure plasma jets for MB-laden water in which argon was applied as a feed gas. The study reported that degradation of methylene dye was greater at low concentration of dye. Additionally, this degradation rate got further increased with an increase in the flow rate of argon gas and it was also found that when multiple plasma applicators were applied instead of single the degradation efficiency was better as compared to those in single applicators under the same input power and total flow.

Similarly, Ekanayake et al. (2021) reviewed the potential of non-thermal plasma for water purification and desalination purposes and concluded that this novel technology can be an effective tool in achieving such goals. It possesses the efficacy of improving water purification and desalination purposes making them more effective and energy efficient.
addition, it will minimize discharge of harmful contaminants into the environment.

Furthermore, Abia et al. (2015) observed the synergistic effect of gliding arc plasma discharge and biosorption system for removing glycine and nitrophenol from an aqueous solution. The study reported that after treating for 1 hour the total organic carbon concentration was 658 mg/L from 950 mg/L. Furthermore, on treating for 6 hours this concentration reached up to 460 mg/L. also, when plasma treatment was combined with a bio sorbent (Jatropha shell) the concentration on total organic carbon was significantly reduced to 530 mg/L and 280 mg/L after 1 and 6 hours of treatment, respectively. Hence, it was also concluded that the efficiency of plasma treatment can be improved by incorporation of such modified horticultural residues. The effect of different cold plasma discharges on pollutants present in wastewater are mentioned in Table 1.

Moreover, Ott et al. (2021) also demonstrated the potential of high voltage atmospheric cold plasma for inactivating spores of Aspergillus flavus. The applied voltage was 70, 80, and 85 kV for 0, 1, 2, 5, and 10 min, respectively. The study concluded that after exposure, the fungal spores were significantly reduced by 46.85 %, 23.86 %, 13.47 %, and 4.54 % with 1, 2, 5, and 1 min treatment time. Furthermore, a change in morphology of fungal spores was also observed after treatment as compared to non-treated spores. There was destruction of the outer cell wall and treated spores were shrunken and irregularly shaped and initially, the colour of fungal culture was yellow to green. But after treatment, a change in colour to pale yellow or white was observed which got increased with exposure time. A decrease in density was also observed in treated spores.

Likewise, Van Nguyen et al. (2020) investigated the efficiency of cold plasma technology (corona discharges) using a high voltage source (18 kV–29 kHz) for treating groundwater to be supplied for domestic purposes. The study revealed that after treatment the E. coli population was less than 3 MPN/100ml while its initial concentrations were 7.33 and 722.67 MPN/100ml at 2L/min flow rate with 30 min water retention time after treatment. Additionally, the arsenic and iron content were also reduced to great extents. However, the efficacy of cold plasma treatment was not high enough in removing arsenic but it got increased in combination with ferric ions. At last, it was stated that the quality parameters of treated groundwater met all the requirements of WHO guidelines and Vietnamese standards.

Similarly, Chandana et al. (2018) studied the efficacy of non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma jets for reducing bacterial load in an aqueous solution and concluded that such non-thermal plasma technology can be a substitute for sterilizing thermo-labile and vacuum sensitive living tissues. Likewise, Kim et al. (2014) investigated the efficiency of gliding arc discharge plasma for inactivating E. coli in water. The study concluded that there was significant inactivation (of around 99.9 % with 5 log reduction) in the population of E. coli during a storage period of 4 h after being exposed to plasma treatment. Production of strong anti-microbial properties by GAD was also reported. A decrease in pH of up to 3.26 was observed in treated water.

Furthermore, Lee et al. (2011) examined the efficiency of streamer corona discharge process for inactivation of MS2 bacteriophage in water. It was observed that after treatment the virus got rapidly inactivated (approximately up to 4log reduction in 5 mins). Hence, the study concluded that streamer corona discharge process can significantly inactivate MS2 bacteriophage. However, with an increase in charge storage capacitance and applied voltage the reduction rate of viral pathogens also increased. The effect of different cold plasma discharges on pathogenic micro-organisms including viruses present in wastewater are mentioned in Table 2.

**Future challenges and scope of cold plasma technology**

Nonetheless, in recent years, there has been a considerable surge in interest in the use of cold plasma technology for wastewater treatment. Today, the problem of shortage of potable water resources is escalating fast for a variety of reasons, and it has the potential to become a serious concern in the near future if the surplus creation of wastewater is not adequately addressed. Furthermore, SARS-Co-V-2 or coronavirus transmission through wastewater has recently been observed, which is a significant issue that might lead to a faster spread of the COVID-19 outbreak.

Cold plasma technology can be seen as a promising approach for resolving the problems related to wastewater treatment but requires more development and research as there are various challenges that are yet to be confronted. Consequently, there is a necessity to further analyze and discuss the shortcomings of this particular process so that its utilization can be commercialized.

In particular, the efficiency of plasma depends upon a number of factors like which type of gas is used, energy input, properties of liquid, source of excitation etc. For instance, high consumption of gas is considered as a drawback towards large scale application of cold plasma technology. Hence, advancement and production of physical effects including reactive species for decontamination purpose needs to be explored further so that the treatment process can be optimized hypothetically along with comprehensive explanation of its oxidation mechanism (Ognier et al. 2009; Dojcinovic et al. 2011).

Aside from the aforementioned criteria, the deployment of cold plasma treatment on a wide scale will also be determined by capital investment, competent application, liveability, and process running costs (Zeghioud et al. 2020). Thus, there is a
Table 1  Effect of different cold plasma discharges on various pollutants present in wastewater

| S. No. | Type of cold plasma discharge used | Treatment (dose and time) | Source of wastewater | Target pollutant | Other properties of treated wastewater | Observed effects | Reference |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| 1.    | High voltage DC pulsed discharge NTP reactor | Sample A (300, 500, 900 pps for 0.2s) Sample B (500 pps for 0.2s) | Viscous oil fields | Silica content | Hardness, pH, Electrical conductivity | Treatment showed reduction of silica content by 50 % and 43 % at 500 pps in sample A and B, respectively. After treatment hardness was reduced by 57 % at 900 pps and 53 % at 500 pps in sample A and B, respectively. pH of sample A and B was slightly reduced by 3 % and 2 % in sample A and B. An increment of electrical conductivity of around 6 % and 19 % at 500 pps was observed in sample A and B. | Wang et al., (2015) |
| 2.    | GAD falling film reactor coupled with TiO$_2$ | 9000 V for 60, 70, 120, 140 180 min | The tested chemical was dissolved in distilled water | Acid Green 25 (AG25) Anthraquinone dye | Total organic carbon (TOC) | After exposure to treatment for 60 mins, significant reduction by 60% and 94% was observed in GAD and GAD-TiO$_2$ treated samples and in GAD process 94 % reduction was achieved after 180 mins. After treating for 180 mins, significant degradation of about 59 % and 85 % in TOC was observed in GAD and GAD-TiO$_2$ treated samples. | Saïm et al. (2015) |
| 3.    | PCDP coupled with natural SPs | 24.1 kV at 75 Hz for 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 min with BS, LS & RS | Purchased TCH was dissolved in water | Tetracycline Hydrochloride (TCH) | Total organic carbon (TOC) | On treating 60 mins, the removal efficacy of TCH was significantly increased by 46.43 %, 36.17 % & 22.79 % in RS, LS & BS coupled with corona discharge. With increase in treatment time, reduction in TOC was observed indicating TCH might be broken down into small inorganic molecules. Initially, the colour of solution was dark blue. But after treatment for 40-50 mins at 2.5L/min flow rate it turned into light blue. Furthermore, at ≥ 40 mins treatment time with 10L/min flow rate there was complete decolorization of MB solution. | Wang et al. 2018 |
| 4.    | APPJ, using He gas | 4.8 kV for 40-50 & ≥ 40 mins at 2.5 and 10 L/min flow rate of He | Aqueous solution of the tested chemical was prepared | Methylene Blue dye | Total organic carbon (TOC) | | Abdel-Fattah 2019 |
| 5.    | Gas phase DBD | 7 kHz, 7 kV for 60, 80, 100, 120 min | Coke plant in Dalian, China | Total phenols, PAHs (like naphthalene, dimethyl phthalate, benzoxquinone) NH$_3$-N | COD, BOD$_5$/COD | With 60 and 80 mins treatment time, removal efficacy of low phenols and high phenols concentration reached around 100 %, respectively. Similarly, complete removal of all PAHs compounds was also observed. Likewise, significant removal of around 21 % was observed in NH$_3$-N and 70 % in COD with 120 mins treatment time. | Duan et al. (2015) |
| 6.    | DBD coupled (Fe-catalyst dosage) for 20 min | 20.0 kV, 14.5 kHz, 2.0 g Ammonia nitrogen and phenol wastewater was prepared | Ammonia nitrogen and phenol wastewater was prepared | Ammonia nitrogen | pH, Conductivity | BOD$_5$/COD showed an increment of around 0.52 from 0.14 after 100 mins treatment time. Thus, showed significant improvement in biodegradability of wastewater. | Wu et al. (2020) |
| S. No. | Type of cold plasma discharge used | Treatment (dose and time) | Source of wastewater | Target pollutant | Other properties of treated wastewater | Observed effects | Reference |
|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| 7.     | Pilot-scale gas phase PCD        | 0.5 kWh m\(^{-3}\) and 1 kWh m\(^{-3}\) | Raw sewage from Etelä-Karjala central hospital and biologically treated wastewater from Rinnekoti foundation | Phenols         | - Colour of treated wastewater          | Initially, concentration of ammonia nitrogen was 100 mg/L but after treatment its removal rate reached up to 75.11%. Similarly, the initial concentration of phenols was 20 mg/L but after treatment their removal rate reached up to 56.67%. Slight increase in pH and conductivity (up to 1.981 mS/cm) was also observed after treatment. After treatment, pharmaceuticals content (excepting biodegradable caffeine) in raw sewage was reduced by 87% at 1 kWh m\(^{-3}\) while this reduction was 100% in biologically treated wastewater at 0.5 kWh m\(^{-3}\). Further, significant reduction of about 58% and 47% was observed in colour and turbidity. Slight increase in conductivity of wastewater was observed after treatment. | Ajo et al. (2018) |
| 8.     | APPJ (zero air, nitrogen & argon gas) | 16 to 20 kV at 50 Hz for 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 min | The tested chemical was dissolved in Milli-Q water | Methylen Blue dye | - pH | Argon plasma showed the highest degradation of MB. It was degraded by 100% for 20 ppm and 97% & 96% for 30, 40 & 50 ppm respectively while, in case of zero air & nitrogen plasma degradation of MB was 88% & 72% for 50 ppm, respectively. pH got reduced by 3.2 in argon plasma after treatment for 40 mins. Conductance was highest (from 2.9 to 1700 μS/cm) in case of zero air plasma. | Chandana et al. 2015 |
| 9.     | NTAPP coupled with Cu-CeO\(_2\) NPs | 31 kV for 30 mins followed by 20 mg addition of Cu-CeO\(_2\) NPs & again plasma treated for 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 mins at 31 kV | The aqueous solution of tested chemical was prepared | Reactive Black-5 (RB-5) dye | - pH | Treatment for 25 mins, maximum degradation of 77.4% was observed in RB-5 while this degradation was only 38% after 30 mins treatment time when plasma alone was used. Initial pH of solution was 7.67 which got reduced by 4.9 after treatment. Hence, reduction of around 36% was observed, while the EC showed opposite behaviour. Similarly, after treatment for 25 mins, a maximum degradation of 55.36% was observed in TOC while this degradation was only 7.52% after 30 mins treatment time when plasma alone was used. | Pandiyaraj et al. 2021 |
| 10.    | NTAPP coupled with Cu-CeO\(_2\) NPs | 31 kV for 30 mins followed by 20 mg addition of Cu-CeO\(_2\) NPs & again plasma treated for 10, 20, 30 min at 35 kV | Junior textile dying, Tirupur, India | Colour | - pH | Initial colour of the received effluent was black. But after treatment for 30 mins with plasma+Cu-CeO\(_2\) NPs, the solution turns colourless. pH of solution got reduced by 7.82 after treatment. | Pandiyaraj et al. 2021 |
| S. No. | Type of cold plasma discharge used | Treatment (dose and time) | Source of wastewater | Target pollutant other properties of treated wastewater | Observed effects | Reference |
|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 11.    | Pulsed corona discharge           | Delivered energy at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 kWh/m³ | WWTP of Guangdong Shaoguan steel company | Biodegradability (BOD₅/COD), Thiocyanate | Total organic carbon (TOC) | An increase in EC from 3.67 to 5.16 μS/cm was observed. Furthermore, after treatment for 30 mins with plasma+Cu-CeO₂ NPs a reduction of 48.2 % was observed in TOC. Biodegradability of wastewater increased by 0.43 after treatment at 42 kWh/m³. Thiocyanate concentration of received effluent got reduced by 86mg/L after treatment at 42 kWh/m³. Hence, a reduction of about 75 % was observed. Decrease in pH of 5.5 was observed after treatment at 50 kWh/m³. Slight increment from 570 to 600 μS/cm was observed in EC of treated samples. | Liu et al. 2018b |
| 12.    | GAD coupled with TiO₂ catalyst    | 9000 V for 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 min | SORTEX plant Two samples were taken (one before treatment in purifying unit & 2nd was locally treated) | Biodegradability (BOD₅/COD), COD | Colour, Turbidity, pH | Change in colour up to colourless state was observed in both samples after 30- & 20-mins but the decolorization process was fast in sample 2 (20 mins). In sample 1, turbidity got reduced by 83.2 % after 180 mins when only GAD was used while this reduction was up to 94 % in presence of TiO₂. Similarly, in sample 2 it was reduced by 81 % and 94.5 % by GAD and GAD TiO₂ treatment, respectively. Likewise, COD of sample 1 & 2 got reduced by 94.6 % & 96 % after 180 mins in presence of catalyst along with GAD. Decrease in pH was observed in both samples after treatment. After 60 mins, pH of sample 1 & 2 was 0.80 & 1.5, respectively. Biodegradability of both samples was improved after 30 mins treatment time. | Ghezzar et al. 2009 |

PAHsPoly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; NH₃-N ammoniacal nitrogen; BS black soil of Jilin; LS Loess soil of Shaanxi; RS red soil of Hunan; APPJ atmospheric pressure plasma jet; He helium; NTP non-thermal plasma; DC direct current; GAD gliding arc discharge; TiO₂ titanium dioxide; PCDC pulsed corona discharge plasma; SPs Soil particles; Fe iron; NETAPP non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma; Cu-CeO₂ NPs copper-doped cerium oxide nanoparticles; WWTP wastewater treatment plant; COD chemical oxygen demand; BOD₅/COD biodegradability
Table 2  Effect of different cold plasma discharges on various pathogenic micro-organisms including viruses present in wastewater

| S. No. | Type of cold plasma discharge used | Treatment (dose and time) | Source of wastewater | Target pathogenic microbe or virus | Other properties of treated wastewater | Observed effects | References |
|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1.     | DBD-ACP system                    | 60, 70, 80 kV for 0,1,2,5 min | Dairy and meat industry | ● E. coli  
● E. faecalis  
● Clostridium perfringens  
● Vegetative cells and spores of Bacillus megaterium | ● Toxicity  
● Significantly reduced by 16%, 30% and 49% was observed in E. coli at 60, 70 and 80 kV with 2 mins respectively.  
● Similarly, C. perfringens was also reduced by 22%, 37% and 29% at 60, 70 and 80 kV with 2 mins treatment time, respectively.  
● Furthermore, with 5 mins treatment time reduction was up to undetectable levels at all three-voltage levels in E. coli and C. perfringens.  
● Likewise, significant reduction of around 24% and 42% was also observed in E. faecalis at 70 and 80 kV with 2 mins, respectively. However, with 5 mins reduction was up to undetectable levels at 70 and 80 kV while, at 60 kV it got reduced by 53%.  
● With 5 mins treatment time, vegetative cells of B. megaterium were completely inactivated while, population of spores was decreased by ±1.9 log₁₀ CFU ml⁻¹.  
● Furthermore, plasma treatment limited the toxic effects but it was dependent on treatment time and concentration of treated effluents. | Patange et al. (2018) |
| 2.     | FE-DBD cold plasma system         | 10 kHz, 18 kV for 10 min  | WWTP of a Greek municipality | ● Salmonella spp.  
● Coliforms  
● Escherichia coli | ● 100% removal of all Salmonella spp. after a treatment for 10 mins.  
● Likewise, Coliforms and E. coli load was less than 40 CFUs g⁻¹ after treatment  
● E. coli population was significantly reduced by 99.99% after treatment.  
● BOD and COD of wastewater got reduced by 18.6 mgL⁻¹ and 23.9 mgL⁻¹, respectively.  
● Turbidity of wastewater was reduced by 5.8 NTU, respectively. Hence, a reduction of around 59% was observed.  
● Slight increase in pH by 8.51, i.e. around 22% was observed.  
● Red colour of contaminated wastewater disappeared after being treated due to oxidation of organic pollutants.  
● Minimal changes in conductivity were observed after treatment. | Svarnas et al. (2020) |
| 3.     | Multi-hole DBD                     | 15 kV at 25 kHz for 20 min | Seaweed processing plant | ● Escherichia coli | ● BOD  
● COD  
● pH  
● Colour  
● Conductivity | ● Concentration of E. coli was reduced by about 100 % after 15 mins treatment time at 6 kV.  
● Similarly, concentration of E. faecalis was also reduced by 100 % at 4 kV & 6 kV with treatment time of 10 & 5 mins, respectively.  
● Decrease in pH (neutral to acidic) and increase in electrical conductivity was observed. | Ma et al. (2020) |
| 4.     | Atmospheric pressure NTP reactor  | 2 kV, 4 kV, 6 kV for 20 mins followed by spreading after every 5 min | The strains were incorporated artificially using distilled water | ● Gram negative E. coli  
● Gram positive E. faecalis | ● pH  
● Electrical conductivity | | Murugesan et al. (2020) |
| S. No. | Type of cold plasma discharge used | Treatment (dose and time) | Source of wastewater | Target pathogenic microbe or virus | Other properties of treated wastewater | Observed effects | References |
|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 5.     | Multi hole DBD                    | 2 kW at 20 kHz for 5 min  | Aqua pathogens were incorporated in artificial saline water | *Vibrio harveyi* <br>*Vibrio ichthyocerci* <br>*Vibrio damsela* <br>*Streptococcus parauberis* <br>*Edwardsiella tarda* | *E. coli* | Initial concentration of all five aqua pathogens was $2.8 \times 10^8$ cells/ml. After one day of treatment, it was reduced by log(N/N₀) -3.8, -7, -7.8, -6.4 & -4 in *Vibrio harveyi*, *Vibrio ichthyocerci*, *Vibrio damsela*, *Streptococcus parauberis*, *Edwardsiella tarda*, respectively. <br>Initially, the population of *E. coli* was $10^8$ CFU/ml. After 5 mins treatment time it significantly reduced by -4.5 log (N/N₀). | Hong et al. (2019) |
| 6.     | DBD plasma reactor                | 5–30 kHz, 0–30 kV for 10 s, 30 s, 60s, 120 s, 240 s | Beijing water treatment plant | *E. coli* <br>*S. aureus* <br>*Bacillus subtilis* | *pH* <br>Natural organic matter | Initial concentration of *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis* was $1.0 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml, $5.7 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml and $7.5 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml, respectively. After treatment for 30s, the log inactivation ratio of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* was 7.0 and 1.1 log, while in *S. aureus* it was 7.8 log after 10s. <br>Decrease in natural organic matter and pH was also observed after treatment. | Zhang et al. (2016) |
| 7.     | DC air liquid discharge plasma    | 10 kV for 0, 5, 10, 15, 20 min | Single colony of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* were overnight cultured in fresh sterile LB and TSB and their 4ml was taken for treatment | *E. coli* <br>*S. aureus* | *pH* <br>Metabolic activity of cells | Initial concentration of both pathogenic microbes was $1 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml. After treatment for 10 mins, there was a significant reduction of more than 99% in the population of both the microbes. <br>Furthermore, after treatment for 20 mins *E. coli* and *S. aureus* were reduced by 6.65±0.19 log 10 CFU/ml and 5.35±0.34 log 10 CFU/ml significantly. <br>Similarly, after 20 mins, 95.5% and 86% of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* lost their metabolic activity. <br>Significant reduction of 8 log from $10^8$CFU/ml was observed in *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* after treating for 15 and 12 mins, respectively. <br>Furthermore, this reduction was 1 log and 3 log in *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* during storage periods of 12 mins and 10 mins, respectively. <br>Sudden decrease by 51% was observed in pH. <br>With an increase in treatment time, the load of live cells was decreased from 95.20% to 10.89% while the number of dead and injured cells increased from 2.25% to 70.96% and 1.94% to 16.89%, respectively. <br>Furthermore, after 10 mins treatment time the decrease in survival rate was maximum (19.0%). <br>Similarly, after 10 mins, a reduction of about 39% was observed in pH. <br>After treatment, an increase in MDA content was observed indicating plasma discharge can affect cell membrane leading to lipid peroxidation. | Xu et al. (2018) |
| 8.     | Spark plasma                      | 10 kV at 30 Hz for 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 15 min | Both strains were cultured in PCA and later artificially incorporated in water | *E. coli* <br>*E. faecalis* | *pH* | Significant reduction of 8 log from $10^8$CFU/ml was observed in *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* after treating for 15 and 12 mins, respectively. | Rashmei et al. (2016) |
| 9.     | Dielectric Barrier Discharge      | 5 kV at 8kHz for 0, 2.5, 5, 10 min | Prepared yeast cells in exponential phase were incorporated in sterile ionized water | Wild-type strain of *S. cerevisiae* | *pH* <br>MDA content | With an increase in treatment time, the load of live cells was decreased from 95.20% to 10.89% while the number of dead and injured cells increased from 2.25% to 70.96% and 1.94% to 16.89%, respectively. <br>Furthermore, after 10 mins treatment time the decrease in survival rate was maximum (19.0%). <br>Similarly, after 10 mins, a reduction of about 39% was observed in pH. <br>After treatment, an increase in MDA content was observed indicating plasma discharge can affect cell membrane leading to lipid peroxidation. | Xu et al. (2021) |
| S. No. | Type of cold plasma discharge used | Treatment (dose and time) | Source of wastewater | Target pathogenic microbe or virus | Other properties of treated wastewater | Observed effects | References |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| 10.   | CAP                               | 0.56 kV for 0, 1, 3 and 5 min | Prepared yeast cells in exponential phase were incorporated in sterile ionized water |  ● Wild-type strain of *S. cerevisiae*<br> ● Cell morphology<br> ● Cell membrane integrity<br> ● MDA content | ● After 1 to 5 mins, a significant reduction from 40.2 % to 1.5 % was observed in survival rate of cells.<br> ● Furthermore, after 5 mins treatment, physical destruction and formation of cell debris was observed in treated cells. MDA content was increased by 2.65-fold compared to controlled samples.<br> ● Leakage ratio of DNA/RNA was also increased significantly with increase in treatment time. Hence a damage in cell membrane integrity was observed. | Xu et al., (2020) |
| 11.   | CAP                               | 8.36 kV at 23 kHz for 5 and 10 min | Prepared culture of virus was incorporated in PAW treated for 5 & 10 mins | Pseudo virus incorporated with SARS-Co-V-2 S protein | ● In controlled samples, RLU value of hACE2-CoS-7 & hACE2-HEK-293T cells were 24,071 & 271,295, while in treated (PAW for 5 & 10 mins) pseudo virus it was 13.4 and 13.2 & 21.2 and 16.8, respectively.<br> ● Significant inactivation of S protein in pseudo virus was observed after treatment leading to its aggregation.<br> ● RLU value of treated pseudo virus was around more than 500 times lower than that in controlled samples.<br> ● Furthermore, RBD of treated samples (PAW for 5 & 10 mins) was about 270 times higher than that in untreated samples (370ng/ml). Hence, a significant destruction of RBD was observed after treatment inhibiting its binding with hACE2.<br> ● Significantly inactivated in PAW treated for 100 s completely destroyed the infectivity of T4 bacteriophage. | Guo et al., (2020) |
| 12.   | CAP                               | 0.2 W/cm² for 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120 s | The purchased strains of bacteriophage were cultured artificially for direct plasma treatment & also incorporated in PAW for the same | ● T4 bacteriophage (double-stranded DNA)<br> ● ø174 bacteriophage (single-stranded DNA)<br> ● MS2 bacteriophage (RNA) | ● Morphology<br> ● T4 got completely inactivated in PAW treated for 120 s, while ø174 and MS2 bacteriophage got inactivated in PAW treated for 80s.<br> ● Disruption of both protein and DNA was observed in bacteriophages due to reactive species generated by plasma.<br> ● T4 was critically aggregated and formation of large complexes was observed. | Guo et al., (2018) |

PCA plate count agar; DBD-ACP dielectric barrier discharge-atmospheric cold plasma; FE-DBD floating electrode dielectric-barrier discharge; WWTP wastewater treatment plant; BOD biological oxygen demand; COD chemical oxygen demand; NTP non-thermal plasma; DC direct current; LB Luria-Bertani; TSB tryptic soy broth; MDA malondialdehyde; PBS phosphate-buffered saline; CAP cold atmospheric plasma; NTU nephelometric turbidity unit; CFU colony-forming unit; PFU plaque-forming unit; RLU relative light unit; RBD receptor binding domain; PAW plasma-activated water; DNA deoxyribonucleic acid; RNA ribonucleic acid.
significant need for comprehensive research to identify the capacity of different plasma equipment since the chemistry of plasma is reliant on its source, producing variance in the process. As a result, the industries will specifically recognize an affordable and scalable plasma system (Gavahian et al. 2019).

Furthermore, there is a need for a thorough investigation into the presence of long-lived oxidants in exposed water, as well as the type of by-products generated during the oxidation process, in order to confirm that there will be an adequate and continuous reduction in overall toxicity after treatment, as the production of highly toxic by-products is a possibility (Zeghioud et al. 2020). Additionally, plasma reactors designed to meet the goal of wastewater treatment are extremely challenging and complicated, necessitating the use of theoretical information in order for plasma reactor scale-up to be efficient. Precisely, some notable issues that should be taken under consideration for designing a wastewater treatment system are as follows:

a. Characteristics of wastewater and pollutants to be treated.

b. Suitability of plasma reactor and type of discharge used.

c. Biodegradability and toxicity analysis of intermediates produced by plasma discharge.

d. Cost-effectiveness of the process (Jiang et al. 2014).

As a result, the accuracy of cold plasma technology should be thoroughly examined for its normal working lifetime, preferable efficient sustainability, and operation safety so that the process of wastewater treatment can be successfully proposed, as there are still several obstacles that must be overcome in order to meet the demand of industries.

Several previous researches have demonstrated the potential effectiveness of plasma discharge in decontaminating wastewater by significantly lowering the amount of certain aqueous pollutants up to a remarkable level. Besides this, researchers have noticed a consequential reduction in several water-borne pathogenic microorganisms including viruses (Wang et al. 2015; Patange et al. 2018; Ma et al. 2020; Guo et al. 2018). Similarly, Guo et al. (2020) recently demonstrated substantial inactivation of S protein in plasma activated water to inhibit coronavirus transmission. However, more study on the effect of plasma discharge on SARS-CoV-2 is required in order to prevent disease transmission.

Looking forward, application of cold plasma in the field of wastewater treatment might be a viable solution to the aforementioned issues. The scope and existence of cold plasma alone or in conjunction with other suitable treatment can be fairly broad for wastewater remediation for future use. However, additional research is needed to have a deeper knowledge of its functioning mechanism and good results. Furthermore, there is a fundamental necessity for resolving the aforementioned problems and overcoming process constraints so that the sustainability of technology may be improved further to be effectively applied on an industrial scale.

**Conclusion**

In recent decades, the plasma technology used for raw and industrial wastewater treatment has been a major focus of academics and technologists due to significant increase of aqueous pollutants. Different plasma discharge methods are provided and also demonstrated general mechanism for degrading a range of contaminants in wastewater and harmful microorganisms have been presented in this review. This manuscript also presented a holistic overview of the advancement of direct current glow plasma discharge in waste water treatment, which exhibits distinctive features and widely examined as an efficient approach for sterilizing and decontamination. Besides, several parameters including input power, pH of reactive medium, gas input, temperature and solution conductivity, plasma reactor and electrodes can influence the performance of plasma systems. It has also shown high efficiency in removing various aqueous pollutants and microorganisms including SARS-CoV-2, a deadly and contagious virus in wastewater as compared to other conventional techniques and advanced oxidation processes. But looking ahead, still many obstacles remain to be surmounted for further research and development in cold plasma technology in order to meet commercial use and industrial application.
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