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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of the study was to compare the platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) and titanium-prepared platelet-rich fibrin (T-PRF) in the management of endo-perio lesions.

Materials and methods: This study was conducted with 140 patients who are affected by endo-perio lesions, and the patients were divided into two groups. In group I, patients were treated with PRF, and in group II, patients were treated with T-PRF. Endodontic treatment was done in all cases, following a standardized aseptic method. Probing pocket and relative attachment level were recorded after 3 months and 6 months in both groups.

Results: After 3 months, the mean change of probing pocket depth (PPD) was 68% in group I and 33.41% in group II. The intergroup distinction was not significant (p > 0.05), whereas the intragroup evaluation was significant (p < 0.05). The mean change after 6 months was 42.59% in group I and 43.90% in group II. The difference was not significant (p > 0.05). After 3 months, the mean% change of relative attachment level (RAL) was 31.20% in group I and 31.60% in group II. The intergroup distinction was not significant (p > 0.05), whereas the intragroup evaluation established a considerable discrepancy (p < 0.05). The mean change after 6 months was 40.82% in group I and 42.12% in group II.

Conclusion: Both PRF and T-PRF were effective in inducing a reduction in pocket depth and useful in gaining attachment level.

Clinical significance: With the use of PRF and T-PRF, the complex case of endo-perio lesions can be efficiently managed.
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INTRODUCTION

The dental pulp and the periodontium are ectomesenchymal in origin; periodontal ligament originates from the dental follicle and the pulp from the dental papilla. There is a direct communication between the both through dentinal tubules, lateral and accessory canals, and apical foramen. In the disease process, it can correspond through deep periodontal pockets, developmental grooves, and dentinal tubules. Thus, there is a transfer of disease process from pulp to the periodontium. Numerous microorganisms are responsible for this infection.

Combined endo-perio lesions are difficult to treat, and those are a challenge for clinicians. Mostly, multi-rooted teeth are prone to involve and they have a poor prognosis. There is a need to treat both the root canal and periodontal tissues. The success rate of conventional root canal therapy is relatively higher in the absence of any periodontal involvement, whereas it is comparatively low in endo-perio cases, especially when regenerative measures are not performed. For the successful management of cases, correct diagnosis is essential. The history of clinical examination along with radiographic evaluation ensures better results.

Concurrent occurrence of pulpal and periodontal diseases can obscure diagnosis and treatment planning. In such cases, the vitality test may not indicate the histological condition of the dental pulp. Occasionally chronic inflammatory reaction of the infected root canal can expand into the gingival sulcus and drain through the sinus tracts. The periodontal manifestation tends to heal soon after endodontic treatment if there are no local periodontal factors. The management of endo-perio lesions is not unidirectional but contains a variety of treatment decisions that will result in optimum resolution of the disease process. For the management of the cases of endo-perio lesions, numerous treatment modalities have been studied.

Researchers have done studies utilizing treatment using open flap debridement, root resection, and retrograde filling. Recent strategies involve regenerative procedures such as guided tissue regeneration (GTR), bone grafts, and growth factors. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is considered a second-generation platelet derivative.
The PRF is an autologous fibrin matrix contains a large number of platelets. They have a potential role in wound healing. Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) enriched with platelets and growth factors encourages periapical tissue regeneration and healing. Titanium-prepared platelet-rich fibrin (T-PRF) is a platelet and leukocyte-rich fibrin similar to that obtained from classical PRF method. Titanium has corrosion resistance and highest strength-to-weight ratios among metals. It has outstanding biocompatibility due to the noncorrosive nature. The titanium also demonstrates an exceptional property of osseointegration. With the available background information, the present study was conducted to compare PRF and T-PRF in the management of endo-perio lesions.

**Materials and Methods**

This study was done in the Department of Periodontology, Hazaribag College of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Hazaribag, after obtaining the approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee. This study comprises 140 patients in the age group of 18–58 years of both genders. Assuming (α) = 0.05 as the incidence of disease 9% margin of error, the formula used was

\[
\alpha = \frac{Z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{pq}}{d}
\]

where \(p\) is the incidence of disease, \(q = 1 - p\), \(d\) is the margin of error, and \(Z_{\alpha/2}\) is the ordinate of standard normal allocation at \(\alpha\%\) level of implication. Thus, a sample size of 140 patients was included in the study.

All patients with endo-perio lesions (primary endo and secondary perio) in multi-rooted mandibular teeth, with no history of antibiotics in the last 3 months, and systemically healthy patients were included. The patients on antibiotics, patients with recent endodontics or periodontal surgery, smokers, pregnant or lactating mothers, and those not giving consent were excluded.

Endo-perio lesions are commonly seen in premolars and molars; hence, mandibular molars were chosen for the study. All enrolled patients were informed regarding the study, and written consent was obtained in vernacular language. This double-blind study was done by two trained investigators to avoid bias in the result. The average of two investigators was taken for statistical consideration.

Patients were divided into two groups. In group I, patients with the PRF were used, and in group II, patients with T-PRF were used. All the patients had undergone endodontic treatment with standardized aseptic procedures by two endodontists. Endodontic treatment was completed for all the teeth involved before the periodontal procedure.

The PRF was prepared by aspirating 10 mL of blood from the antecubital vein in a plain vacutainer tube and the sample was immediately centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes, at room temperature in a tabletop centrifuge. The titanium tubes were used to obtain T-PRF at 2,800 rpm for 12 minutes to prepare fibrin-PRF using the same procedure.

The defect was thoroughly evaluated. In group I cases, the PRF was utilized, and in group II cases, the T-PRF was utilized to fill the defect followed by sutting. Coe-Pack was placed. Postoperative instructions were given to all the patients. Amoxicillin 500 mg, Metronidazole 400 mg, analgesics diclofenac sodium, and 0.2% chlorhexidine mouthwash were prescribed twice daily for two weeks. Infrabony defect (one-, two-, and three-wall defects) was measured on the digital radiographs taken with the grid. Probing pocket depth (mm) and attachment level (RAL) (mm) were recorded after 3 months and 6 months.

### Statistical Analysis

The results thus obtained were analyzed statistically using SPSS version 21.0 (IBM, Chicago, USA) and unpaired t test. The level of implication was set less than 0.05.

### Results

Table 1 shows that the age group 18–28 comprised of 16 (20%) males and 20 (33.3%) females, 29–38 had 18 (22.5%) males and 14 (23.3%) females, 39–48 years had 26 (32.5%) males and 14 (23.3%) females, and 49–58 years had 20 (25%) males and 12 (20%) females. There was no statistically significant difference between the male and female groups of the participants. The percentage of success was higher at age groups 18–28 and 29–38. In the present study, 78 (55.7%) cases had two-wall defects and 62 (44.3%) cases had three-wall defects.

After 3 months, the mean change of probing pocket depth (PPD) was 2.10 mm (32.68%) in group I and 1.91 mm (33.41%) in group II. The results are shown in Table 2 and Figure 1. There was non-significant difference in intergroup dissimilarity \((p > 0.05)\) whereas intragroup comparison showed a significant difference \((p < 0.05)\). The mean change after 6 months was 2.56 mm (42.59%) in group I and 2.51 mm (43.90%) in group II. The results were not significant \((p > 0.05)\).

Table 3 and Figure 2 show that after 3 months, a mean change of relative attachment level (RAL) was 1.92 mm (31.20%) in group I and 1.81 mm (31.60%) in group II. The intergroup distinction was non-significant \((p > 0.05)\), whereas intragroup evaluation established considerable discrepancy \((p < 0.05)\). The mean change after 6 months was 2.52 mm (40.82%) in group I and 2.41 mm (42.12%) in group II. The dissimilarity was not significant \((p > 0.05)\).

### Discussion

The occurrence of endo-perio lesions especially in multi-rooted teeth is not uncommon. Research has delineated that the use of biomaterials in association with bone graft substitutes is found to be a competent treatment method in treating infrabony defects and grade II furcation defects. Though there are numerous regenerative materials available, none is regarded as the gold standard in the treatment of Grade II furcation defects.

The preparation of PRF is a simple and efficient technique. It is available through autologous blood. It will accelerate the healing rate of the grafted bone. In the preparation, minimal blood manipulation is needed. The advantage of PRF is that it can be used exclusively or in combination with other grafts. It is economical in comparison with the other bone grafts. The natural fibrin framework with growth factors within imparts prolonged activity that stimulates tissue regeneration effectively. This study compared the clinical outcome of PRF and T-PRF in the management of endo-perio lesions.

### Table 1: Distribution of patients

| Age groups (years) | Male       | Female     |
|--------------------|------------|------------|
| 18–28              | 16 (20%)   | 20 (33.3%) |
| 29–38              | 18 (22.5%) | 14 (23.3%) |
| 39–48              | 26 (32.5%) | 14 (23.3%) |
| 49–58              | 20 (25%)   | 12 (20%)   |
| Total              | 80         | 60         |
Alveolar bone loss is one of the most significant aspects of periodontal disease. Periodontal disease is described by the periods of disease bustle, in which dental support structures are destroyed by the action of chemical mediators of inflammation followed by attachment loss, and alveolar bone loss. The current methods for diagnosis of periodontal disease include probing of gingival tissues and radiographs. Periodontal bone loss can be either horizontal or vertical. Bone defects can be crater-like, one-wall, two-wall, three-wall defects, or a combination. There are few studies carried out in the prevalence and distribution of infrabony defects. 12,13

Singh et al. evaluated the prevalence of bone defects with chronic periodontitis with 200 sample sizes and found the following results: 37 (36.6%) patients had craters and 4 (4%), one-wall defects, in the age group of 30–40 years; 7 (6.9%), two-wall defects; 19 (18.8%), three-wall defects, in the age group of 41–50 years; 5 (9.3%), craters, 1 (1.9%), two-wall defects; and 8 (14.8%), three-wall defects. In the age group of 51–70, 3 (6.7%) patients had crater defects. Out of 75 females, 16 (21.3%) patients had craters, 3 (4%) had one-wall defects, 4 (5.3%) had two-wall defects, and 2 (2.7%) had three-wall defects. They concluded that prevalence and distribution are with multifactorial etiology for bone defects. 12

Indurkar et al. reported 83.1% prevalence of bone defects with chronic periodontitis with 200 sample sizes and found the following results: 37 (36.6%) patients had craters and 4 (4%), one-wall defects, in the age group of 30–40 years; 7 (6.9%), two-wall defects; 19 (18.8%), three-wall defects, in the age group of 41–50 years; 5 (9.3%), craters, 1 (1.9%), two-wall defects; and 8 (14.8%), three-wall defects. In the age group of 51–70, 3 (6.7%) patients had crater defects. Out of 75 females, 16 (21.3%) patients had craters, 3 (4%) had one-wall defects, 4 (5.3%) had two-wall defects, and 2 (2.7%) had three-wall defects. They concluded that prevalence and distribution are with multifactorial etiology for bone defects. 12

Mitra et al. conducted a study utilizing 20 sites with three-wall defects which were divided equally into 10 sites. Group I patients used T-PRF and group II patients used PRF. Clinical parameters such as probing pocket depth and clinical attachment level were assessed in the beginning, after 3 months, and after 9 months. The authors found a marked reduction in probing pocket depth and a gain in clinical attachment level in both groups from baseline to 9 months in intragroup comparisons similar to our results. 17 T-PRF revealed denser fibril meshwork as compared to PRF on both light and scanning electron microscopy.

We enrolled 140 patients of both genders age ranged from 18 to 58 years with endo-perio lesions in mandibular molar teeth. In the present study, 78 (55.7%) cases had two-wall defects and 62 (44.3%) cases had three-wall defects. Mitra et al. conducted a study utilizing 20 sites with three-wall defects which were divided equally into 10 sites. Group I patients used T-PRF and group II patients used PRF. Clinical parameters such as probing pocket depth and clinical attachment level were assessed in the beginning, after 3 months, and after 9 months. The authors found a marked reduction in probing pocket depth and a gain in clinical attachment level in both the groups from baseline to 9 months in intragroup comparisons similar to our results. 17 T-PRF revealed denser fibril meshwork as compared to PRF on both light and scanning electron microscopy.

We found that 32.68% change was there in probing pocket depth for group I and 33.41% change in group II after 3 months. We observed a nonsignificant (p > 0.05) intergroup dissimilarity, whereas intragroup association demonstrated a considerable distinction (p < 0.05). Similarly, in group I, there was 42.59% change and 43.90% change after 6 months in group II. Our results are in accordance with the results of Chatterjee et al. and Mitra et al. 6,17

Chatterjee et al. evaluated three-wall intrabony osseous defects

| Period | Group I | Group II | t test | p value |
|--------|---------|----------|--------|---------|
| 3 months | 1.92 | 1.81 | 0.174 | 0.871 |
| 6 months | 2.52 | 2.41 | 0.178 | 0.878 |

Table 3: Relative attachment level (mm) in both groups
using open flap debridement (OFD), autologous PRF, and OFD with T-PRF. They observed no statistically significant difference in the result with PRF and T-PRF groups, which is similar to our results.\(^6\)

After using the T-PRF membrane in rabbit samples, Tunali et al. observed newly forming connective tissue, wound healing, and new bone formation in a 30 day time period.\(^5\)

Shashikumar et al. reported a case of endo-periolesion in mandibular central incisor in a 52-year-old female patient. Phase I therapy, that is, scaling and root planning, was planned and followed by the conventional root canal therapy. The periodontal surgery with the use of PRF was done, and the patient was recalled after 3 months and 6 months. On subsequent visits, radiographs showed a significant reduction in pocket depth and there was a gain of attachment level. The author suggested that PRF is an effective regenerative material, especially in the case of endo-perio lesions.\(^18\)

We found 31.20% change after 3 months in RAL group I and 31.60% change in group II. The intergroup distinction was not significant (p > 0.05), whereas intragroup evaluation established considerable discrepancy (p < 0.05). After 6 months, the mean change was 40.82% in group I and 42.12% in group II.

Though there are various advantages of PRF, it has disadvantages also. Since it is autologous, the final amount available is very less.\(^19\)

The success of PRF is altered by the blood collection time and its transferece for the centrifuge. There is a requirement of a glass-coated tube for clot polymerization. It carries the risk of dehydration causing shrinkage and alteration in the structural integrity of PRF.\(^20\)

Re-attachment was observed with PRF. Since it is a reservoir of growth factors and cytokines, it promotes periodontal tissue healing and stimulates cell proliferation.\(^17\)

Tunali et al. observed similar histological findings in both PRF and T-PRF, but denser fibrin clot with T-PRF.\(^5\) T-PRF has an advantage over PRF; it is more bio compatible as it did not contain silica particles, lasts longer in tissue, and produces denser fibrin matrix.\(^3\) There was no statistically significant difference between the groups due to similar histological findings, bone regeneration, and tissue healing capacity, which is in accordance with Mitra et al., Chatterjee et al., and Tunali et al.\(^5,6,17\)

However, T-PRF shows more promising results. The use of PRF has shown to relieve symptoms of pain and swelling.\(^21\)

With the use of PRF and fibrin-PRF, the complex case of endo-perio lesions can be efficiently managed. Monga et al.\(^22\) compared the effectiveness of hydroxyapatite or PRF in a curretted periapical defect in 30 patients in the maxillary anterior region and observed significantly faster healing after 9 months in group III (82.36%) which utilized PRF in the curretted periapical defect. Moreover, there is an evidence of effective clinical and radiographic bone regeneration with PRF.\(^23-25\)

The limitation of the study is the small sample size. Only PRF and T-PRF were compared. The incorporation of different regenerative agents could have been provided useful and different results.

## Conclusion

Authors suggested that both PRF and fibrin-PRF were effective in inducing a reduction in pocket depth and useful in gaining attachment level.
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