Colon Cancer Cell Secretes EGF to Promote M2 Polarization of TAM Through EGFR/PI3K/AKT/mTOR Pathway
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Abstract

Background: Tumor environment has been recognized to affect cancer cell progression, such as tumor-associated macrophages. However, increasing evidences suggest that tumor cells are capable of regulating polarization of tumor-associated macrophages. In this study, we investigate the mechanism of how colon cancer cell impacts tumor-associated macrophages polarization. Methods: We employed flow cytometry to detect marker molecules on macrophage membrane, such as CD68, CD16, and CD204. In addition, we used enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to examine the level of these cytokines (interleukin-6, interleukin-1β, interleukin-10, and Arginase-1) secreted by colon cancer cells into the culture medium. Western blot was utilized to probe downstream proteins of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)/phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway. Results: We cocultured colon cancer cell lines (HCT8 or HCT116) with human myeloid leukemic mononuclear cells (THP-1) and found that interleukin-6 and interleukin-1β levels were reduced, and instead, interleukin-10 and Arginase-1 levels were elevated, suggesting that colon cancer cells contributed to M2 polarization of THP-1. Meanwhile, high level of various growth factors (transforming growth factor-β [TGF-β], epidermal growth factor [EGF], and hepatocyte growth factor [HGF]) was observed in the medium of THP-1 cocultured with colon cancer cells. Furthermore, the protein level of phosphorylated PI3K, AKT, and mTOR significantly increased in THP-1 cell cocultured with colon cancer cells compared to THP-1 group. Besides, we established that colon cancer cells exerted their stimulatory effect on M2 polarization of macrophage from monocyte THP-1 using EGFR antibody mAb225 and PI3K inhibitor LY294002. Conclusion: We provide evidence that EGF which are secreted by colon cancer cells play contributory role in M2 polarization of macrophages, which support the notion that tumor environment, including tumor-associated macrophages, can be targeted to develop effective strategies for treating cancer.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the leading causes of cancer-associated death in China. Approximately 1.36 million patients are diagnosed with this malignancy each year.¹² Besides of genetic and epigenetic factors, inflammatory microenvironment has been reported to contribute to development of cancers, including CRC.³ Tumor microenvironment consists of
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leukocytes, fibroblasts, and vascular endothelial cells, individually or combinatorially, affecting the growth, invasiveness, and metastasis of tumors.

Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) are one of the important immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. Generally speaking, macrophages are derived from monocytes differentiation in tissues, which can be classified into 2 forms: M1 and M2. The M1 type of macrophages, activated by cytokines such as interferon (IFN), then produce proinflammatory and immuno-stimulatory cytokines. Tumor-associated macrophages resemble the M2 macrophages (also termed alternatively activated macrophages), which are activated by Th2 cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-10. In most cases with cancer, TAMs function to stimulate colon cancer cell growth, invasion, metastasis, and immune evasion. In contrast, colon tumor cells have the ability to promote macrophage polarization; however, it is a scarcity to identify the signal implicated in communication between tumor cells and TAMs.

PI3K was identified as an oncogenic gene to transform normal cells into cancer cells. It has been established that PI3K exerts its effects via activating the downstream protein AKT and mTOR in a cascade manner. PI3K signaling is involved in a wide variety of cellular processes, including cell proliferation, survival, metabolism, and immunity. Interestingly, it has been reported that Smad-PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway mediates polarization of monocytes into M2 macrophages through enhancing anti-inflammatory cytokine expression. In this study, we sought to investigate whether colon cancer cells played the role in M2 polarization of macrophages from monocytes. The results revealed that colon cancer cells secreted EGF to bind EGFR of monocyte and then activate Smad-PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway to contribute to polarization of monocytes into M2 macrophages. Our findings provide insights into the mechanism underlying colon cancer cell–induced M2 polarization of monocytes to macrophages and thereby developing the effective strategies for treating colon cancer by targeting TAMs.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and THP-1 Differentiation

The human colon cancer cell lines HCT8 and HCT116 and the human acute monocytic leukemia cell line THP-1 were obtained from ATCC, and the colon cancer cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; HyClone, Logan, Utah) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin (100 U/mL)/streptomycin (100 U/mL) at 37°C, 5% CO2. For THP-1 cell culture, we used DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin plus 0.05 mM 2-mercaptoethanol.

To differentiate THP-1 monocytes into M1 macrophages, THP-1 cells were subject to treatment of 20 ng/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri), 10 ng/mL LPS (Sigma-Aldrich), and 20 ng/mL IFN-γ (PeproTech, Offenbach, Germany) for 48 hours, in addition to differentiate THP-1 monocytes into M2 macrophages, THP-1 cells were subject to treatment of 20 ng/mL PMA and 15 ng/mL IL-4 (PeproTech) for 48 hours, and then refreshed the culture medium with serum-free DMEM for another 24 hours. The medium or adherent THP-1 cells were collected for the following experiments.

Flow Cytometry

Cells (1 × 10⁶ cells) were digested by trypsin and then washed with 1 × phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice; next, the cells were incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-antihuman CD68 (BD Biosciences, San Diego, California), PE-antihuman CD206 (BD Biosciences) or allophycocyanin (APC)-antihuman CD16 (BD Biosciences) for 30 minutes at 4°C in the dark. Cells were then washed with PBS buffer and subjected to flow cytometry analyses.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

Culture medium was collected for the measurement of cytokine concentration by IL-6 ELISA kit (Sino Biological, Beijing, China), IL-1β ELISA kit (Cusabio, Beijing, China), IL-10 ELISA kit (Sino biological, Beijing, China), and HGF ELISA kit (Abcam, Cambridge, Massachusetts). The results were calculated according to standard curves generated using the standard concentration by IL-6 ELISA kit (Sino Biological, Beijing, China), TGF-β ELISA kit (Abcam, Cambridge, Massachusetts), and HGF ELISA kit (Abcam, Cambridge, Massachusetts) according to manufacturer’s instructions. A series of cytokine concentrations (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 ng/mL) were used to plot the standard curve. The concentrations within the culture medium collected from different experimental groups were determined by applying the optical density value to the standard curve.

Western Blot

Cells were harvested and washed with 1 × PBS buffer and then lysed by 1 × sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) loading buffer. The lysates were boiled at 100°C for 5 minutes. The resultant was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 1 minute. Around 50 μg of total proteins was loaded onto SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis gel and resolved. After that, the proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membrane at 300 mA for 2 to 3 hours. The membrane was blocked with 5% nonfat milk in 1 × Tris Buffered saline Tween (TBST) for 1 hour at room temperature, and the membrane was then incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. The following day, the membrane was washed with 1 × TBST for 3 times, 5 minutes each time. The membrane was incubated with secondary antibodies at room temperature for 1 hour. Finally, the membrane was incubated with electrochemiluminescence (ECL) solution and then exposed using Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Touch Imaging System. The following antibodies were used: anti-p-mTOR (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-mTOR (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-AKT (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-p-AKT (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers,
Massachusetts), anti-PI3K (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-p-PI3K (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-Smad1/5/8 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-p-Smad1/5/8 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-EGFR (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-p-EGFR (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, Massachusetts), anti-GAPDH (Proteintech, Chicago, Illinois).

Statistical Analysis
Each experiment was performed for 3 times, all values were presented as mean (SD), and comparisons of parameters were performed using the 2-tailed unpaired Student t test. *P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Differentiation of THP-1 Cells to Macrophages
To investigate the role of colon cancer cells in polarization of macrophages, we first confirmed that M1 and M2 type of macrophages could be induced from human monocytes THP-1 by defined drugs. Macrophage marker CD68 was expressed in normal colon tissues and human colon carcinoma; CD204 was considered as a marker of M2 macrophage, while CD16 was a marker of M1 macrophage.17-20 Thus, we used PMA, LPS, and IFN treatment to induce M1 polarization and utilized PMA and IL-4 treatment to induce M2 polarization of THP-1 cell, respectively. The flow cytometry analyses showed that CD68 and CD16 levels were markedly upregulated in the cells upon treatment of PMA, LPS plus IFN, suggesting THP-1 cells were transformed into M1 type of macrophages (Figure 1A). On the other hand, CD68 and CD204 levels were higher in the cells upon treatment of PMA plus IL-4 than control, suggesting THP-1 cells were induced to M2 type of macrophage (Figure 1B). All these results confirmed that THP-1 cells could be induced to M1 or M2 polarization of macrophages.

Colon Cancer Cells Promote M2 Polarization of THP-1 Cells
Next, in order to determine whether colon cancer cells had the effect on polarization of macrophages, we cocultured colon cancer cell lines HCT8 or HCT116, 2 well-studied colon cancer cell lines, where EGFR expression is relatively higher than other colon cell lines, with monocytes THP-1 and detected
expression of macrophage type-specific markers by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in culture medium. We found that the level of M1-associated cytokines IL-6 and IL-1β decreased by approximately 25% in THP-1 cocultured with colon cancer cells (Figure 2A and B), whereas the level of M2-associated markers IL-10 and Arginase-1 increased by about 100% in THP-1 cocultured with colon cancer cells compared to THP-1 group (Figure 2C and D). Our findings suggested that colon cancer cells promoted M2 polarization of macrophage from monocytes.

Colon Cancer Cells Activate PI3K/AKT Pathway in THP-1 Cells

To explore the mechanism of how colon cancer cells contribute to M2 polarization of macrophages from monocytes, we hypothesized that cytokines secreted by colon cancer cells were responsible for this phenotype. We examined the level of previously reported cytokines (TGF-β, EGF, and HGF) secreted by colon cancer cells by ELISA approach. Table 1 displayed the levels of TGF-β, EGF, and HGF in HCT8 (195.3 [16.1] pg/mL, 312.4 [35.6] pg/mL, and 109.2 [15.7] pg/mL) and HCT116 (177.5 [32.2] pg/mL, 326.2 [22.6] pg/mL, and 78.1 [14.3] pg/mL), indicating the colon cancer cells have the ability to secrete these cytokines to medium (Figure 3A). In addition, some studies have reported that PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is involved in M2 polarization of macrophages from monocytes, and we examined whether this pathway was activated in THP-1 cells cocultured with HCT8 or HCT116. Western blot analysis exhibited significantly higher level of phosphorylated form of PI3K, AKT, and mTOR in THP-1 cells cocultured with HCT8 or HCT116 cells relative to THP-1 cells alone (Figure 3B). These results revealed that colon cancer cells, more
perhaps, induced M2 polarization of macrophages from monocytes through activation of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway and secretion of cytokines.

Colon Cancer Cells Promote M2 Polarization of Macrophages Via EGF/EGFR Signaling

Because of high level of EGF, the reported cytokine involved in M2 polarization of macrophages, was detected in the medium of colon cancer cells cocultured with THP-1, we hypothesized that colon cancer cells might secrete EGF to exert the stimulatory role in M2 polarization of macrophages by binding EGFR.\textsuperscript{21} To address the question, we examined the effect of colon cancer cells, EGF, or colon cancer cells plus EGFR antibody mAb225 on M2 polarization of human monocytes THP-1 by detecting associated cytokines level. We observed that the level of M1-associated cytokines IL-6 and IL-1β decreased in THP-1 cocultured with colon cancer cells (HCT8 or HCT116) or treated with EGF, compared to THP-1 group. More importantly, we found that THP-1 cells treated with mAb225 (EGFR antibody) exhibited increased level of IL-6 and IL-1β relative to THP-1 cells. In addition, mAb225 could rescue the expression level of IL-6 and IL-1β in THP-1 cells cocultured with colon cancer cells comparable to THP-1 group. However, mAb225 added THP-1 cocultured with colon cancer cells (HCT8 or HCT116) prevented the rescue to some extent (Figure 4A). In contrast, the level of M2-associated markers IL-10 and Arginase-1 increased in THP-1 cocultured with colon cancer cells (HCT8 or HCT116) or treated with EGF, compared to THP-1 group. Besides, we found that THP-1 cells treated with mAb225 displayed decreased level of IL-10 and Arginase-1 relative to THP-1 cells, and mAb225 could also rescue the expression level of IL-10 and Arginase-1; however, mAb225 added colon cancer cells (HCT8 or HCT116) impeded the rescue to some extent (Figure 4A). These data suggested that colon cancer cells promoted M2 polarization of macrophages from monocytes through EGF/EGFR activation.

Next, we determined whether downstream effectors of EGF/EGFR pathway were activated in THP-1 cells cocultured with colon cancer cells (HCT8 or HCT116) or treated
Figure 4. Colon cancer cells promote M2 polarization of macrophage via epidermal growth factor (EGF)/epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling. A, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) assay showing the protein level of M2 macrophage-associated marker interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, Arginase-1, IL-10 in THP-1 alone, THP-1 cocultured with HCT8 or HCT116, THP-1 treated with EGF, THP-1 treated with mAb225, and THP-1 cocultured with HCT8 or HCT116 treated with mAb225. The mean (SD) in the graph presents the relative levels from 3 replications. *P < .05, **P < .01. B, Western blot analyses showing the protein level of phosphorylated form of EGFR, Smad1/5/8, phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), protein kinase B (AKT), and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) in THP-1 alone, THP-1 cocultured with HCT8 or HCT116, THP-1 treated with EGF, THP-1 treated with mAb225, and THP-1 cocultured with HCT8 or HCT116 treated with mAb225. GAPDH acts as internal control. C, Gray level analysis showing the protein level of phosphorylated form of EGFR, Smad1/5/8, PI3K, AKT, and mTOR in THP-1 alone, THP-1 cocultured with HCT8 or HCT116, THP-1 treated with EGF, THP-1 treated with mAb225, and THP-1 cocultured with HCT8 or HCT116 treated with mAb225. GAPDH acts as internal control. The mean (SD) in the graph presents the relative levels from 3 replications. *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001.
with EGF, compared to THP-1 group. We observed that the level of phosphorylated PI3K/AKT/mTOR and Smads were increased in THP-1 cells cocultured with colon cancer cells (HCT8 or HCT116) or treated with EGF, whereas mAb225 abolished the activation of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in THP-1 cells (Figure 4B and C). Together, these results indicated that colon cancer cell lines HCT8 and HCT116 secreted EGF to activate PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway of THP-1 cells binding to EGFR.

**PI3K/AKT/mTOR Pathway Is Essential for Colon Cancer Cells–Stimulated M2 Polarization of Macrophages**

Finally, we attempted to confirm the essential role of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in M2 polarization of macrophages from monocytes using pharmaceutical inhibitor LY294002 of PI3K. The ELISA result showed that level of M1-associated cytokines IL-6 and IL-1β decreased in THP-1 cocultured with colon cancer cells (HCT8 or HCT116) compared to THP-1 group, which was abrogated using PI3K inhibitor LY294002 (Figure 5A). Nevertheless, the level of M2-associated markers IL-10 and Arginase-1 increased in THP-1 cocultured with colon cancer cells (HCT8 or HCT116) relative to THP-1 group, in parallel, the increase in M2-associated markers (IL-10 and Arginase-1) level was blocked by LY294002 treatment (Figure 5A). To validate, we probed whether LY294002 efficiently inhibited activation of downstream effectors. Expectedly, we found that the protein levels of phosphorylated AKT and mTOR, in large part, declined upon LY294002 treatment (Figure 5B and C). Our findings indicated that PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway was critical for M2 polarization of macrophages from monocytes.

**Discussion**

Here, we first illuminate the molecular mechanism of colon cancer cells promoting polarization of monocytes into M2...
macrophages. We found that colon cancer cells secreted EGF to the medium and then activated EGFR/P13K/AKT/mTOR pathway in THP-1 cells. The activated pathway, in turn, increased the expression of M2 macrophage-associated cytokines, which was consistent with previous reports. To confirm, we determined the effect of colon cancer cells on M2 polarization of macrophages by pharmaceutical inhibition.

A number of studies have shown that TAMs have the positive role in cancer cell phenotypes, such as cell proliferation, invasiveness, migration, and metastasis. However, cancer cells also have the roles in regulating polarization of monocytes to macrophages. More recently, colon cancer cells have been found to prevent M1 to M2-macrophage polarization by secreting IGF-1. In this study, the authors confirmed the critical role of EGFR signaling in colon cancer cells. Our findings uncovered the detailed mechanism by which colon cancer cells led to M2 polarization of macrophages from monocytes. Thus, colon cancer cells and TAMs reciprocally affect and enhance malignancy of colon cancer.

It is a longstanding question of how cancer cells impact their neighboring cells. Recently, Colegio et al showed that lung tumor–derived lactic acid induced M2 polarization of macrophages, which was mediated by HIF-1. In this study, they found that lung cancer cells secreted lactic acid–containing vesicles to influence monocytes through HIF-1 signaling. In addition, TAM polarization has also been demonstrated to be modulated by miR-145 secreted by CRC cells. These findings prompt us to hypothesize that other regulators secreted by colon cancer cells may have a critical role in M2 polarization of macrophage. Thus, it will be of significance to identify the potential factors responsible for this phenotype.

Traditionally, cancer treatment approaches are surgical resection, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy. Recently, with the discovery of more targets, targeted therapy has been an important method for treating cancer. EGFR is overexpressed in 60% to 80% of colon cancers, leading to development of EGFR-targeted drugs. Our study offers another mechanism that can be utilized to develop strategies for treating colon cancer, for example, the development of drugs for disrupting the M2 polarization-induced signaling or reprogramming M2 macrophages into M1.

Overall, our data reveal a novel mechanism by which colon cancer cells regulate M2 polarization of macrophages, providing a rationale for targeting macrophage polarization to treating colon cancer in combination with traditional therapies.
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