Copepod grazing and its potential impact on the phytoplankton development in the Barents Sea
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Compiled data from published and unpublished sources on copepod grazing of the large-sized copepods in the Barents Sea give wide ranges in grazing rates. Approximate average values indicate daily rations of 7-18% for copepodite stages V and VI and considerably higher values for the earliest copepodite stages. It is demonstrated that individual variability in gut fullness of copepods from a given locality is typically very high and not closely related to variable food abundance or depth of occurrence. There is no diel feeding rhythm during the summer, and even when relating copepod grazing to a number of biotic and abiotic factors through stepwise linear regression analysis, much of the variability remains unexplained. It is suggested that feeding behaviour, food quality and feeding history of the copepods all play important roles as factors which regulate copepod grazing. Model simulations on the phytoplankton succession, using literature data on laboratory-determined growth characteristics for solitary cells and colonies of the prymnesiophyte Phaeocystis pouchetii and large diatoms, indicate that the extent of the mixed layer and selective grazing by zooplankton are important factors that may explain the occurrence of dense blooms of P. pouchetii colonies, frequently observed during the spring.
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Introduction

The Barents Sea is a very important area economically for commercial fisheries; it is therefore of utmost interest to study the biological production in this area. Since the main pelagic fish stocks, capelin (Mallotus villosus) and herring (Clupea harengus), feed on zooplankton, there is a direct relation between production-related studies on zooplankton and the commercial fishery here. The limit for zooplankton production is primarily set by the amount of food consumed, and secondarily by the assimilation efficiency and the metabolic costs associated with life. Quantitative information on feeding by zooplankton may therefore be considered a tool which can be used to estimate zooplankton production, thereby providing important information for an estimation of the carrying capacity for planktivorous fish stocks. Zooplankton is also considered a major regulating factor for lower trophic levels. Zooplankton grazing may influence the development and succession in the phytoplankton community directly by (1) depressing the total stock and (2) depressing part of the stock by selective grazing. Indirectly it may influence the phytoplankton by (3) reducing the grazing pressure from microzooplankton by predation and (4) stimulating algal growth by regenerating plant nutrients. Estimates of the total impact of copepod grazing in the Barents Sea are restricted to point (1) above. These studies suggest that the impact is small in the spring (5–20% of the primary production, Eilertsen et al. 1989a) but considerably larger during summer (65–90% of the primary production, Eilertsen et al. 1989b; 10–400%, Hansen et al. 1990a). In view of the fairly extensive new literature on feeding behaviour and food selectivity in copepods (e.g. Huntley 1988; Jonsson & Tiselius 1990), points (2) and (3) are certainly also important. For example, one of the dominant algal species in the Barents Sea, the prymnesiophyte Phaeocystis pouchetii, may cause a reduction or even inhibition of the feeding in copepods (Dagg et al. 1982; Schnack et al. 1985, Verity & Smayda 1989). Till now, this effect has not been demonstrated in field studies from the Barents Sea (Eilertsen et al. 1989b) or in labora-
Table 1. Calculated individual carbon content (µg) of the copepodite stages of large-sized copepods from the Barents Sea. Reference sources: (1) Protein content from the Barents Sea in May–June 1987 and conversion factors, Bämstedt 1986; (2) Slagstad & Tande 1990; (3) Eilertsen et al. 1989b, Conover & Corner 1968; (4) Dry weight from the Barents Sea in August 1988 and conversion factor, Bämstedt 1986. Stage IV copepodites represent adult females.

| Species/Stage       | c-I | c-II | c-III | c-IV | c-V | c-VI | Ref.  |
|---------------------|-----|------|-------|------|-----|------|-------|
| Calanus finmarchicus| 3   | 8    | 23    | 64   | 193 | 231  | (1)   |
| Calanus glacialis    | 4   | 8    | 25    | 100  | 264 | 576  | (2)   |
| Calanus hyperboreus  | 7   | 16   | 77    | 230  | 743 | 1399 | (3)   |
| Meldrida longa       | -   | -    | -     | -    | -   | 193  | (4)   |

Material and methods

Copepod grazing rates

The original papers should be consulted for a description of the material and methods used for the published data. The unpublished data from 1983 were based on in situ incubations and subsequent analysis with an Elzone™ particle counter (Bämstedt et al. 1985). Unpublished results from 1984 and 1987 were produced as described by Tande & Bämstedt (1985), except that single copepods were analysed in the material from 1984. In 1987, zooplankton was sampled by a slow, vertical haul, using a 1-m diameter WP-2 net with 300 µm mesh and equipped with a non-filtering cod-end, 151 volume. Healthy animals were sorted out and kept in 100 ml of filtered seawater for up to 30 min prior to incubation. Ambient seawater samples from defined depths with the natural assemblage of particles were prepared in advance by mixing $3.7 \times 10^3$ Bq (100 µCi) of NaH$^{14}$CO$_3$, to 41 water in poly-carbonate bottles and incubating them in natural light for 24 hours. The zooplankton samples (100 ml) were mixed with 400 ml radio labelled experimental water, using tissue-culture bottles of 500 ml capacity, and incubated for 0.7–1.0 h at ambient temperature and dim light exposure. Incubation was finished by sieving off the copepods, anesthetising them with MS 222 (ethyl m-aminobenzoate), rinsing them individually and putting them into a scintillation vial with 0.5 ml tissue solubiliser. Standard procedures were used in the subsequent preparation and scintillation counting of the samples. Grazing rate, in terms of consumed food carbon, was calculated from the ambient concentration of particulate carbon and estimated clearance rate, as given below:

$$\mu g \text{C intake h}^{-1} = \mu g \text{ C ml}^{-1} \times \frac{\text{DPM}_{\text{copepod}} \times 2}{(\text{DPM}_{\text{start}} + \text{DPM}_{\text{stop}}) \text{ ml}^{-1} \times h_{\text{incubation}}}$$

Individual content of body carbon for each species/stage (Table 1) was used in the calculation of daily rations (food carbon intake as percentage of body carbon).

Phytoplankton growth

Laboratory-derived data on the growth characteristics of large diatoms and solitary cells and colonies of *Phaeocystis pouchetii* at 0°C (Verity et al. 1991 this volume) were used in the model formulation. These parameter values are summarised below and explained under Model formulation.

| Parameter | Diatoms | Phaeocystis solitary cells | Phaeocystis Colonies | Units |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| $\mu$     | 0.33    | 0.3                       | 0.22                 | d$^{-1}$ |
| $a^b$     | 0.018   | 0.037                     | 0.030                | mg C (mg Chl a)$^{-1}$ (µmol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$h$^{-1}$ |
| $a^c$     | 0.0007  | 0.0005                    | 0.0003               | h$^{-1}$ (µmol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$ |
| Chl a/C   | 0.04    | 0.0125                    | 0.011                |       |
Model formulation

A mathematical model has been designed to assess the effect of grazing on the development of the primary production during the spring. The model contains a two-compartment model for phytoplankton and a population of single species of copepods (*Calanus finmarchicus*). The biological sub-models are driven by a 1-dimensional (1-D) model of the vertical water column.

Phytoplankton growth and distribution is described by a 1-D model of a vertical water column

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( K_v \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \right) = f_{\text{biol}}
\]

where \( P(t, z) \) is the concentration of diatoms or *Phaeocystis pouchetii*, \( w \) is vertical velocity (usually sinking velocity) and \( K_v \) is the vertical eddy diffusion coefficient. \( f_{\text{biol}} \) represents the growth and mortality of the phytoplankton as described by equation 3:

\[
f_{\text{biol}} = P \left\{ \left( \frac{C}{C^*} \right) f^P(I) - r - G^2 \right\}
\]

where \( f^P(I) \) is a function that describes the relationship between photosynthetic rate \([\text{mg C mg}(\text{Chl} a)^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}]\) and light intensity \((I)\), \( r \) is the phytoplankton respiration rate and \( G^2 \) is the zooplankton grazing rate. The phytoplankton respiration constant was set at 0.05 d\(^{-1}\) (Bimstedt & Tande 1985; Sakshaug & Slagstad 1991 this volume). Sedimentation loss was assumed significant only for diatoms and a coefficient of 0.02 d\(^{-1}\) has been applied. Assuming no photoinhibition, the \( P \) vs. \( I \) relationship is described by:

\[
P^C = P^C_m \left\{ 1 - \exp \left( - \frac{\alpha^C}{P^B_m} \right) \right\}
\]

where \( P^C_m \) is maximum carbon-normalised photosynthetic rate and \( \alpha^C \) is the carbon-normalised initial slope of the curve (see Table above, Webb et al. 1974 and Sakshaug & Slagstad 1991). The model simulates a spring situation, which means that there are no nutrient limitations.

During the spring there is a transition from solitary cells to colonies of *P. pouchetii*, which has a somewhat lower growth rate (see above). However, the colonies seem to be controlled differently, and a mass bloom of colonies is a common feature in the late spring. The trigger mechanism for the formation of colonies is unknown, but they usually begin to form simultaneously with the occurrence of diatoms. Results from P-I measurements performed in the field between 1984 and 1989 showed decreased growth rate (based on carbon) of solitary cells of *P. pouchetii* when the amount of diatoms increased (Eilertsen unpubl. data). In the model we have therefore assumed that the production of *P. pouchetii* colonies is triggered by an increase in the diatom concentration and a switching from solitary cells to colonies at a diatom concentration of 1 mg Chl \( a \) m\(^{-3}\) (25 mg C m\(^{-3}\)).

Surface irradiance was calculated from the theoretical high of the sun at 74°N latitude. An average 50% reduction of insolation due to clouds was assumed, as suggested by data from Bjørnøya, provided by the Norwegian Meteorological Institute. Of this light, 50% was assumed to be usable for photosynthesis. A reflection loss of 5-10%, dependent on the solar elevation, was used in the simulations.

The attenuation coefficient, \( k \), of light in the water column is given below (Parsons et al. 1977):

\[
k = \{k_w + 0.0088 \text{Chl}^* + 0.054 (\text{Chl}^*)^{2/3}\}/\bar{\mu}
\]

where \( k_w \) (m\(^{-1}\)) represents the attenuation coefficient for pure seawater, \( \bar{\mu} \) is the average cosine of the light field, which has been set at 0.6 (Kirk 1983), and \( \text{Chl}^* \) is the concentration of light-absorbing pigments calculated by the formula of Sakshaug & Slagstad (1991):

\[
\text{Chl}^* = \text{Chl} a + 1/2 \text{Phaeophytin}
\]

Based on data from the Barents Sea, Sakshaug & Slagstad (1991) described the relationship between chlorophyll \( a \) and phaeophytin as:

\[
\text{Phaeophytin} = 0.45 \text{Chl} a + 0.02
\]

During the early spring, the copepod biomass is dominated by adult females of *C. finmarchicus*. In our model we have therefore simplified the copepod population to consist entirely of adult female *C. finmarchicus*. A detailed model of the grazing impact from this species, based on the energy requirements, has previously been published by Slagstad (1981) and Slagstad & Tande (1990). The ingestion rate is determined by the population size and the clearance rate. The maximum clearance rate \((FR_m)\) for a copepod is (Slagstad & Tande 1990):

\[
FR_m = FR_0 e^{0.11T}
\]

where \( FR_0 \) is the maximum clearance rate
The parameter value of FR₀ for adult female temperature of 3°C in the model simulations.

Table 2. Summary of grazing-rate data, expressed as daily ration (carbon intake per day as percentage of copepod carbon content), for the large-sized copepods in the Barents Sea. Analysis techniques: gut-fluor = based on gut content of algal pigments (e.g. Macas & Bohrer 1976); ¹⁴C = radiolabelling technique (e.g. Conover & Francis 1973); Elzone = in situ incubation and electronic particle counting (e.g. Bämstedt et al. 1985).

| Species            | Stage | Period       | Daily ration | Analysis technique | Reference                      |
|--------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Calanus finmarchicus | c-I   | May          | 0-5.2        | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. finmarchicus    | c-II  | May-June     | 4.9-148.5    | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. finmarchicus    | c-III | May          | 0-1.7        | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. finmarchicus    | c-IV  | May-June     | 0.9-46.6     | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. finmarchicus    | c-V   | May-June     | 6.7-82.1     | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. finmarchicus    | c-V   | May-June     | 0.3-27.6     | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. finmarchicus    | ad. female | May-June     | 0.5-16.8     | gut-fluor          | Tande & Bämstedt 1985          |
| C. finmarchicus    | ad. female | May-June     | 0.3-27.6     | gut-fluor          | Tande & Bämstedt 1985          |
| Calanus glacialis  | c-I   | July-Aug.    | 75.0         | gut-fluor          | Eilertsen et al. 1989b         |
| C. glacialis       | c-II  | July-Aug.    | 27.9         | gut-fluor          | Eilertsen et al. 1989b         |
| C. glacialis       | c-III | July-Aug.    | 15.1         | gut-fluor          | Eilertsen et al. 1989b         |
| C. glacialis       | c-IV  | July-Aug.    | 58.4         | gut-fluor          | Eilertsen et al. 1989b         |
| C. glacialis       | c-IV  | May-June     | 1.9-20.2     | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. glacialis       | c-IV  | May-June     | 1.8-10.6     | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. glacialis       | c-IV  | May-June     | 0.2-10.6     | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. glacialis       | c-IV  | May-June     | 0.1-27.4     | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. glacialis       | c-IV  | May-June     | 1.9-4.5      | gut-fluor          | Tande & Bämstedt 1985          |
| C. glacialis       | c-V   | July         | 2.0-21.2     | gut-fluor          | Hansen et al. 1990a            |
| C. glacialis       | c-V   | July         | 0.1-11.5     | gut-fluor          | Elzone                         |
| C. glacialis       | c-V   | July         | 2.6-29.9     | gut-fluor          | Elzone                         |
| C. glacialis       | c-V   | July         | 1.7-79       | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1984          |
| C. glacialis       | c-V   | May-June     | 0-24.0       | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. glacialis       | c-V   | May-June     | 0.8-13.9     | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1984          |
| C. glacialis       | c-V   | May-June     | 0.2-7.7      | gut-fluor          | Hansen et al. 1990a            |
| C. glacialis       | ad. female | May-June     | 0-19.2       | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1984          |
| C. glacialis       | ad. female | May-June     | 0.3-8.5      | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1983          |
| C. glacialis       | ad. female | May-June     | 0.5-53.8     | gut-fluor          | Tande & Bämstedt 1985          |
| Calanus hyperboreus | c-I   | July-Aug.    | 67.9         | gut-fluor          | Eilertsen et al. 1989b         |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-II  | July-Aug.    | 87.5         | gut-fluor          | Eilertsen et al. 1989b         |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-III | July-Aug.    | 119.8        | gut-fluor          | Eilertsen et al. 1989b         |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-IV  | July-Aug.    | 102.5        | gut-fluor          | Eilertsen et al. 1989b         |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-IV  | July         | 2.2-40       | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1984          |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-IV  | May-June     | 0-7.6        | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1984          |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-V   | May-June     | 4.8-20.2     | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-V   | May-June     | 0.5-36.0     | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-V   | May-June     | 1.2-2.4      | Elzone             | Unpublished from 1983          |
| C. hyperboreus     | c-V   | May-June     | 0.2-3.8      | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1984          |
| C. hyperboreus     | ad. female | May-June     | 0.3-17.4     | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1984          |
| C. hyperboreus     | ad. female | May-June     | 2.4-22.1     | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |
| Calanus spp.       | c-II  | July         | 18.2-72.7    | gut-fluor          | Hansen et al. 1990a            |
| Calanus spp.       | c-III | July         | 14.6-63.7    | gut-fluor          | Hansen et al. 1990a            |
| Metridia longa     | ad. female | May-June     | 0.2-6.3      | ¹⁴C                | Unpublished from 1987          |
| M. longa           | ad. female | May-June     | 0-3.8        | gut-fluor          | Unpublished from 1987          |

(ml h⁻¹) at a temperature (T) of 0°C. We used a temperature of 3°C in the model simulations. The parameter value of FR₀ for adult female C. finmarchicus varies from 4.2 ml h⁻¹ (Huntley 1981) to 12 ml h⁻¹ (Tande & Bämstedt 1985). We have used the value 10.0 ml h⁻¹ throughout our simulations. An index of electivity (E; Ivlev 1961, cited in Valiela 1984) was used in some of the simulations, in order to define a preference towards diatoms, in accordance with current the-
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as given by the $^{14}$C-technique (Table 2), may reflect a greater technical difficulty with the smallest organisms in the analytical procedures. The displayed values given for the early copepodite stages above, especially for C. hyperboreus, might therefore be somewhat biased. Nevertheless, considering the total information given in Table 2, the daily ration of copepodite stages I–IV appears significantly higher than that of for the older stages. This has subsequently been confirmed for C. finmarchicus from Balsfjorden, northern Norway, in laboratory experiments with Thalassiosira nordenskioldii and Phaeocystis pouchetii as food, two algal species that are commonly predominant in the Barents Sea (Hansen et al. 1990b).

The low values on daily rations of Metridia longa (Table 2) indicate that this species does not graze solely on phytoplankton. Data from Balsfjorden, northern Norway (Bämstedt et al. 1985), the west coast of Norway (Bämstedt & Ervik 1984) and the west coast of Sweden (Bämstedt & Tande 1988) all indicate an omnivorous trophic state of this copepod.

Results and discussion

Daily rations of the copepods

The compiled data on grazing rate of the large-sized copepods (Table 2) cover the season from May to August, i.e. most of the production period of the year. The data are expressed as daily rations (carbon intake as percentage of the body-bound carbon) and are given either as ranges or as mean values, dependent on the data available from the different sources. Most of the data are for older developmental stages, and these may also be considered most reliable. If the median values are used from those studies where ranges are given, and used together with the displayed mean values, a representative average value for each species and stage can be calculated. These values are given below:

| Species/Stage          | c-I | c-11 | c-III | c-IV | c-V | c-VI |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------|------|-----|------|
| Calanus finmarchicus   | 40.3| 39.5 | 25.2  | 44.4 | 10.0| 17.6 |
| Calanus glacialis       | 75.0| 27.9 | 15.1  | 14.5 | 16.0| 13.7 |
| Calanus hyperboreus     | 67.9| 87.5 | 119.8 | 35.0 | 7.4 | 10.6 |
| Metridia longa          | —   | —    | —     | —    | —   | 2.6  |

Excluding Metridia longa, the displayed values indicate that the oldest developmental stages (c-V, c-VI) have a typical daily ration of 7–18%, whereas the younger copepodite stages may reach 100% or more. The highest original figures in Table 2 were given for Calanus hyperboreus, taken from Eilertsen et al. (1989b). They used a published single value for the gut evacuation rate of all copepods, and the gut fullness of c-I, c-II and c-III C. hyperboreus was only estimated from similar-sized copepodite stages of C. glacialis. Furthermore, the very wide range in daily rations for the early copepodite stages of C. finmarchicus, copepodite stage IV Calanus hyperboreus (Fig. 1). In the extreme case, shown by copepods from 40 m depth, the individual variability covered a range from 12 to 340 ng pigment individual$^{-1}$, i.e. a factor of 28 in difference between lowest and highest value. By considering these results, together with the curve for in situ fluorescence, it is obvious that food availability alone explains neither the individual variability nor the variation with depth. However, high individual variability may be caused by non-synchronous individual rhythms in feeding, as suggested for C. glacialis by Bämstedt (1984), and this may in turn be

$$E = \frac{(r_i - p_i)}{(r_i + p_i)}$$

where $r_i$ is the proportion of species i in the diet and $p_i$, the corresponding proportion in the food environment.

The energy requirements for a spawning C. finmarchicus female is taken to be $20 \mu g C d^{-1}$ (egg production from Hirche 1990; gross growth efficiency from Peterson 1988). This gives a critical food concentration of $83 \text{mg} C \text{m}^{-3}$. 

$$E = \frac{(r_i - p_i)}{(r_i + p_i)}$$

where $q$ is the proportion of species i in the diet and $p_i$, the corresponding proportion in the food environment.
Published information on possible diel rhythms in feeding activity of the large-sized Arctic copepods suggests the absence of a rhythm during the light season with the midnight sun (Bämstedt 1984; Hansen et al. 1990a; Bämstedt & Skjoldal unpubl. data) but a pronounced diurnal cycle in late summer, with maximum ingestion during the dark period of the day (Head et al. 1985). Our results for *Calanus hyperboreus* c-IV and *C. glacialis* c-V from July 1984 are based on samples consisting of 10 individually analysed copepods (Fig. 2). For the first species, highest gut contents were recorded in the afternoon, but the temporal variation was relatively small in relation to the individual variability at each depth and between depths. *C. glacialis* showed pronounced vertical

**Fluorescence (V)**

Fig. 2. *Calanus hyperboreus* c-IV and *C. glacialis* c-V. Vertical profiles of grazing rate (mean and SE. + or −) of copepods sampled 5 times during a 24-hour period in July 1984. Each point represents 10 individually analysed copepods. The displayed curve represents relative in situ fluorescence (in volts).
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Fig. 3. Calanus finmarchicus and C. glacialis. Copepod grazing rate versus depth of occurrence. The data from May-June 1987 represent vertical profiles from up to 8 different localities, and the wide ranges have necessitated the use of a logarithmic scale.

variation in gut fullness, together with high individual variability. The data therefore support the suggestion that there is no diel rhythm in copepod grazing rate during the summer in the Barents Sea.

Grazing in relation to depth

Reduced copepod gut contents with depth of occurrence has previously been reported from the Barents Sea for Calanus finmarchicus and C. glacialis (Båmstedt 1984; Tande & Båmstedt 1985). New grazing-rate data for these two species, collected during a cruise in May-June 1987 (Båmstedt & Skjoldal unpubl. data) have been plotted versus the depth of sampling (Fig. 3). The data represent results from 8 different stations, classified either as mid-bloom or post-bloom situations. A logarithmic scale has been used in order to get as high a resolution as possible.
of the data. The scatter in the data is very high, irrespective of species or developmental stage, and there is obviously no simple relationship between weight-specific grazing rate and depth of occurrence. This will be further discussed in the section below.

**Grazing rate in relation to environmental factors**

Several investigators have tried to find a simple and close relationship between the amount of available food and the feeding rate of copepods, as is typically found in laboratory experiments (e.g. Frost 1972; Kiørboe et al. 1982; Hansen et al. 1990b). These attempts have generally failed, both when food has been expressed in terms of particulate protein or carbohydrate (Båmstedt 1984) and when expressed as chlorophyll a (Boyd et al. 1980; Dagg & Grill 1980; Dagg & Wyman 1983; Head et al. 1985, 1988; Tande & Båmstedt 1985; Hansen et al. 1990a). However, Huntley (1981), using shipboard incubations, found highly significant relationships ($r^2$ varying from 0.949 to 0.961) between the concentration of natural phytoplankton and grazing rate of the three Arctic *Calanus* species.

In laboratory experiments where the functional relationship of grazing rate to food concentration is recorded, copepods are exposed to different concentrations of homogeneous food, everything else being constant. Such a simple situation is probably not found in the natural habitat and Huntley (1988) lists 7 forcing functions for the net response of *Calanus* to its current environment. Five of these functions (light, body weight, temperature, food concentration and food size) can easily be quantified, whereas two of them (food quality and feeding history) are more difficult to handle quantitatively. Possible effects on *Calanus* of the two latter factors have been reviewed by Huntley (1988), and we will return to food quality in a later section and concentrate on purely quantitative environmental factors here.

Data on environmental parameters and copepod grazing from a cruise in the Barents Sea in May–June 1987 (Båmstedt & Skjoldal unpubl. data) have been taken both from stations where the spring phytoplankton bloom was intense (mid-bloom situation) and from stations where the bloom had terminated (post-bloom situation). The mid-bloom stations had a constant salinity with depth, ranging from 35.00 to 35.10‰ and temperature usually between 1.3 and 2.2°C, indicating Atlantic Water. A strong nutricline at 40
to 60 m depth, with reduced concentrations of nitrate, phosphate and silicate above this, and with high chlorophyll \( a \) concentrations down to at least 60 m depth, including a pronounced subsurface maximum, were common features for these stations. Fig. 4 gives one example by showing the vertical profiles at Station 923, which was a typical mid-bloom station. The post-bloom stations were all influenced by Arctic Water of low salinity and temperature in the surface, the salinity distribution defining a strong pycnocline at about 30 m depth. Typically, nutrients were completely depleted above the pycnocline, and the nutricline therefore corresponded well with the pycnocline. The chlorophyll \( a \) concentration was low in the nutrient-poor surface waters, while there was a maximum at 25 to 50 m depth. Station 961 is given as an example in Fig. 4.

The zooplankton biomass and abundance of copepods, as exemplified by adult females and copepodite stage V *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. glacialis*, differed between mid-bloom and post-bloom stations. Biomass and abundance were both fairly constant at depths down to 100 m in the mid-bloom stations, except for the reduced values in the surface water (Fig. 5, from Station 923). Biomass and abundance were commonly higher in the post-bloom stations, with a pronounced subsurface maximum at depths from 20 to 60 m (Fig. 5, from Station 961).

The grazing rate of copepods, here exemplified by adult females and stage V copepodites of *C. finmarchicus* and *C. glacialis* from two stations, showed great variability at all sampling depths (Fig. 6, from Stns. 923 and 961, respectively). However, the grazing rate was considerably higher in the mid-bloom situation, with maximum values close to 160 ng pigment mg\(^{-1}\) protein h\(^{-1}\), than in the post-bloom situation, where the grazing rate did not exceed 25 ng pigment mg\(^{-1}\) protein h\(^{-1}\). Reduced grazing rate was also recorded in the surface water, and there was a pronounced subsurface maximum especially in the post-bloom situation, situated at 40–50 m depth, closely below the chlorophyll maximum.

The above grazing-rate data have been evaluated against biotic and abiotic environmental conditions through linear stepwise regression analyses, separating stations defined as mid-bloom situation and post-bloom situation,
Fig. 6. Calanus finmarchicus (A) and C. glacialis (B), May-June 1987. Vertical profiles of weight-specific grazing rate of copepodite stage V and adult females. The mid-bloom situation is represented by Stn. 923 and the postbloom situation by Stn. 961. Data from Bämstedt & Skjoldal (unpubl.).

Table 3. Calanus finmarchicus and C. glacialis. Results of stepwise regression analysis on grazing rate (ng Chl a equiv. mg⁻¹ protein h⁻¹) versus four independent variables (depth in m, chlorophyll a concentration in mg m⁻³, primary production in mg C m⁻³ d⁻¹, and water density in sigma-1) of stage V copepodites and adult females. The F-values from the statistical analysis are given, and those variables with F-values exceeding 4.0 (marked by an asterisk) have been included in the regression equations, which resulted in the displayed determination coefficient (R²).

| Period/Stage     | Depth | Chl. a | Prim. prod. | Density | R²  |
|------------------|-------|--------|-------------|---------|-----|
| Calanus finmarchicus |       |        |             |         |     |
| Midbloom         |       |        |             |         |     |
| Stage V copepodites | 7.03* | 15.39* | 0.91        | 29.91*  | 0.743 |
| Adult females    | 47.89*| 5.41*  | 18.30*      | 12.93*  | 0.823 |
| Postbloom        |       |        |             |         |     |
| Stage V copepodites | 2.44  | 58.96* | 0.48        | 12.86*  | 0.826 |
| Adult females    | 0.28  | 14.81* | 0.47        | 22.54*  | 0.296 |
| Calanus glacialis |       |        |             |         |     |
| Midbloom         |       |        |             |         |     |
| Stage V copepodites | 2.77  | 0.18   | 0.01        | 106.97* | 0.764 |
| Adult females    | 0.08  | 0.37   | 0.72        | 11.39*  | 0.655 |
| Postbloom        |       |        |             |         |     |
| Stage V copepodites | 2.90  | 0.44   | 0.49        | 3.80    | —   |
| Adult females    | 1.37  | 1.21   | 0.96        | 3.29    | —   |
feeding behaviour and importance of food quality have been major components in the explanation of grazing control (see e.g. Huntley 1988 and references therein). More recently, attention has also been put to toxicity and unpalatability of algae in Scandinavian and Arctic waters (Huntley et al. 1987; Tande & Båmstedt 1987; Huntley et al. 1987; Eilertsen et al. 1989b). An explanation for this conflict between investigators was given by Estep et al. (1990) who suggested that the physiological condition of the algal colonies determines the palatability for grazers. Their results indicated inhibitory effects upon grazing when the colonies were healthy, but very high grazing rates on susceptible colonies. Such a change with age of algal cells has also been reported for the bloom-forming haptophycean flagellate Chrysochromulina polyepis (Nielsen et al. 1990).

Simulation results
During the spring the mixed layer may vary from 20 m near the ice border to more than 100 m in the Atlantic Water. Fig. 7A shows that the population succession of diatoms and Phaeocystis pouchetii when no grazing occurs, is influenced when using alternatively 25 m, 40 m and 75 m as mixed depth. Diatoms will gradually dominate in the first two cases, whereas P. pouchetii is better adapted than diatoms to the situation with 75 m mixed depth. Figure 7B shows that the relative initial concentration is of great significance for the balance between the two algal forms. With two times higher initial concentration of diatoms ($P_0 = 0.5 \times D_0$) P. pouchetii stabilises at a low level, whereas diatoms increase exponentially. With higher initial concentrations of P. pouchetii ($P_0 = 2 \times D_0$ resp. $4 \times D_0$), a dominance of P. pouchetii colonies will be established (Fig. 7B).

The distribution of the grazing pressure from 2,000 adult females Calanus finmarchicus has a significant influence on the algal community succession (Fig. 7C). With only a slight preference towards diatoms ($E = 0.2$) total algal biomass will be low and slightly dominated by diatoms. A stronger preference towards diatoms ($E = 0.4$ and 0.9, respectively) generates a correspondingly higher dominance of P. pouchetii. In the case with very strong preference towards diatoms ($E = 0.9$), the critical diatom concentration for triggering production of P. pouchetii colonies is not...
reached and production of *P. pouchetii* solitary cells is exponential (Fig. 7C). However, solitary cells of *P. pouchetii* are usually not found in concentrations higher than 0.5 mg Chl *a* m\(^{-3}\) (40 mg C m\(^{-3}\), Eilertsen unpubl. results). Microzooplankton grazing may account for this discrepancy. Thus, T. Dale (pers. comm.) found that the ciliates rapidly increased their biomass during the spring bloom in the Barents Sea, and Eilertsen (unpubl. results) found a high phaeopigment/Chl *a* ratio (ranging from 0.3 to 2.3) during times with dominance of *P. pouchetii* solitary cells. Due to lack of quantitative data on this problem, we have not included it in our model.

None of the above simulations indicate any fast succession in spring towards an extensive dominance of *P. pouchetii* colonies in high concentrations. Preliminary studies in the laboratory (Eilertsen unpubl. results) indicate that the growth characteristics of *P. pouchetii* may be more competitive than shown by Verity et al. (1991 this volume). We have therefore simulated the succession with three alternative values for \(\mu\) and \(\alpha^e\) for the colonial form of *P. pouchetii* (Fig. 8A and B) where the lowest values represent those given by Verity et al. (1991). The simulation assumes a slight grazing selectivity towards diatoms (\(E = 0.2\)) from 2000 copepods. The simulation results show that, under the conditions given, *P. pouchetii* can hardly maintain a high dominance over diatoms with the established growth parameters. An increase in \(\mu\) or \(\alpha^c\) changes this situation considerably, and with a
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Fig. 8. Simulated population succession (mg C m$^{-3}$) in a community with diatoms (broken lines) and Phaeocystis pouchetii (solid lines), mixed depth = 40 m and grazing by 2000 adult females Calanus finmarchicus with $E = 0.2$ (slight preference towards diatoms). A. Variable growth parameter $\mu$ (0.22; 0.33; 0.46) for the colonial forms of P. pouchetii. B. Variable growth parameter $\alpha$ (0.0003; 0.0006; 0.0009) for the colonial forms of P. pouchetii.

combined increase of both parameters the potential for a rapid development of a heavy bloom of P. pouchetii colonies is given.

The simulation results indicate that vertical stratification and grazing by zooplankton are variables of great significance for the numerical balance between diatoms and P. pouchetii. The occurrence of two different modes of P. pouchetii and lack of knowledge about the mechanisms involved in the production of these forms complicate the picture. The small solitary flagellates have a size of 3–8 $\mu$m (Tande & Bämsedt 1987) which indicate low retention efficiency for copepods (cf. Berggren et al. 1988). Microzooplankton can graze upon these with high efficiency (Johnsson 1986), whereas the macrozooplankton grazing on Phaeocystis colonies still is controversial (see above). Therefore, identification of the main micrograzers and quantitative measurements of microzooplankton grazing on microflagellates is an area which should render high priority in future ecological investigations in the Barents Sea. Furthermore, the importance of specific properties of P. pouchetii also needs much more attention. This includes a positive buoyancy of especially large colonies of P. pouchetii (Skreslet 1988), inhibitory effects on its own growth in dense populations (Kayser 1970) and release of dissolved organic matter (Båtje & Michaelis 1986) that may affect growth of microalgae. None of these aspects have been included in our model formulation.
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