Parents’ Perspectives Toward School Reopening During COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia—A National Survey
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Background: All sectors are affected due to COVID-19 pandemic occurring worldwide, including the education industry. School closure had been taking place for more than a year in Indonesia. Despite the controversies, Indonesian government had decided to begin school reopening.

Objectives: This study aims to assess parental readiness for school reopening, and factors affecting parental attitude toward school reopening.

Methods: A cross-sectional study using online questionnaire distributed via official Indonesian Pediatric Society (IPS) official social media account collected between March and April 2021. The questionnaire contained the general characteristics of study participants, parents’ knowledge, and perspectives on COVID-19, and health protocols for school reopening.

Results: A total of 17,562 responses were collected, of which 55.7% parents were ready to send their children to school should school reopens. Factors significantly contribute to parental decision to keep their child at home were: presence of vulnerable population at home [OR = 1.18 (1.10–1.27), p < 0.001], children with comorbidities [OR = 2.56 (2.29–2.87), p < 0.001], perception of COVID-19 as a dangerous disease [OR = 28.87 (14.29–58.33), p < 0.001], experience with COVID-19 positive cases in the community [OR = 1.75 (1.61–1.90), p < 0.001], COVID-19 related death in the community [OR = 2.05 (1.90–2.21), p < 0.001], approval for adult COVID-19 vaccination [OR = 1.69 (1.53–1.87), p < 0.001], and ownership of private transportation [OR = 1.46 (1.30–1.66), p <0.001].
Conclusion: We identified several factors affecting parental perception on school reopening during COVID-19 pandemic that should be addressed. This study can be used for policy-maker to make further recommendations and health educations prior to school reopening in Indonesia.
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INTRODUCTION

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was declared a pandemic more than 1 year ago (1). It is a systemic disease that mainly affects the respiratory system and is caused by the novel virus severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) (2). The outbreak was first reported in Wuhan, Hubei province, China, at the end of 2019. The route of transmission is mainly through close contact and respiratory droplets (2). As of June 6, 2021, the number of new active confirmed cases of COVID-19 disease reported was 3,016,005 (cumulative cases: 172,637,970). The five countries that had the most cases were India, Brazil, Argentina, Colombia, and the United States of America. In the Asiatic region, Indonesia had the second-highest number of cases after India (3).

In March 2020, the Indonesian government announced its first two cases of COVID-19 (4). Since then, 1,856,038 confirmed cases, 5,832 daily active cases, and 51,612 deaths (case fatality rate of 2.78%) were reported across the country as of June 6, 2021. Notably, 12.6% of all positive cases and 1.2% of deaths were in the pediatric population (4). Cumulatively, the highest proportions of COVID-19 cases among school-aged children occurred in those of elementary school age (7–12 years old; 28%), senior high school age (16–18 years old; 25.2%), and junior high school age (13–15 years old; 19.9%). The case fatality rate among children with COVID-19 was 0.27% (4).

In response to the health emergency caused by the pandemic, adjustments were made to all sectors, including education (5). In March 2020, the Ministry of Education (MOE) distributed guidelines about implementation of education policies during the COVID-19 pandemic (6). All schools throughout Indonesia were closed, and students were urged to carry out virtual learning at their respective homes. Conventional learning was only allowed to be conducted in green and yellow zones (see Indonesia’s zoning guidelines in Supplementary Material 1, Supplementary Table 1), which were deemed to have a considerably lower risk of COVID-19 transmission than other areas (6–8). However, prolonged virtual learning is associated with an increase in the risk of school dropout, a decrease in overall academic ability, and an increase in domestic violence, especially in lower-income households. Many children were forced to work due to economic difficulties during the COVID-19 pandemic, and some households even chose arranged marriage as a way to escape poverty (9, 10).

After ~1 year of virtual learning, the MOE, together with the Ministry of Religion, the Ministry of Health (MOH), and the Ministry of Home Affairs, finally issued a Joint Ministerial Decree on school reopening in all zones (11). In addition, the Indonesian Pediatric Society (IPS) released recommendations that considered the children’s rights, the current development of the pandemic, and the COVID-19 vaccination coverage in Indonesia (12). According to these guidelines (joint decree on school reopening), school reopening is allowed only if the positivity rate in the area is below 5% and the mortality rate is declining. In addition, schools must complete the school readiness checklists issued by the IPS before the initiation of conventional learning (12). If any positive cases are identified, the school must be closed for a minimum of 3 days. Moreover, parents must be given the choice of whether to send their children back to school or continue virtual learning, and schools must guarantee equal rights and obligations for virtual and conventional learning (6). Both the MOH and the IPS stressed the importance of COVID-19 vaccinations among school employees, adequate ventilation of classrooms, and physical distancing when schools reopen (6, 12).

Currently, Indonesia is preparing for school reopening due to declining cases of COVID-19 (13). The government is actively promoting vaccinations, especially for particularly susceptible populations and those who are essential workers. This is the first time since the pandemic started that all schools will resume conventional learning. School reopening requires careful risk assessment, including analysis of the preparedness of the local health protocols and facilities as well as the preparedness of the people involved (students, school officers, and parents). This study was conducted to better understand parents’ readiness for school reopening. It is important to know parents’ concerns, as they are the primary caretakers of the children, and by understanding their concerns and the problems that may arise, stakeholders can make adjustments and provide the information needed to better prepare for school reopening.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional conducted using a digital questionnaire about COVID-19 school reopening. The questionnaire was adopted and modified from several resource and adjusted to local context. All members of The Indonesian COVID-19 Task Force Expert Panel of the IPS approved the content of the questionnaire. An invitation post for a digital survey (Google Form) was advertised through the IPS social media accounts. Participants could access the digital survey remotely with their electronic devices. Parents who had children attending preschool, kindergarten, primary school, secondary school, and/or high school were included in the study. The questionnaire contained four sections: (a) general characteristics of study participants
(children’s age, children’s education level, and presence of individuals with comorbidities or in a vulnerable population at home); (b) parents’ knowledge about COVID-19 (transmission, risk factors, willingness to receive COVID-19 vaccinations, source of information, and reliability of information); (c) perspectives about school reopening in Indonesia (regulation, concerns, and adjustments in the learning process); and (d) health protocols for school reopening. This questionnaire was developed in the local language (Bahasa Indonesia) based on the IPS’ recommendations. The first page of the survey contained an informed consent form, the objectives of the study, and assurance of data confidentiality. If the participant agreed to participate, then they could continue to fill in the questionnaire; otherwise, they were redirected to the final page of the survey. Multiple choice questions were presented, and all questions had to be answered to finish the questionnaire. Data collection was conducted between March 9 and April 30, 2021, and the total population sampling method was used (all respondents were included in the analysis). The survey responses were stored in a private database that could only be accessed by the authors. The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia (Letter No: KET-634/UN2.F1/ETIK/PPM.00.02/2021).

**Statistical Analysis**

SPSS version 25.0 was used to perform statistical analysis in this study. Categorical data are presented as frequencies in tables and figures, analyzed using Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. A p-value of <0.05 for two-sided hypothesis was considered statistically significant. Variables with a p-value of <0.25 were included in the logistic regression multivariate analysis. Participants who chose “equally likely” in response to the question about whether they would send their child to conventional school or continue virtual learning were excluded in the multivariate analysis. Stepwise backward elimination was performed for all variables and the data was presented in the form of adjusted odd’s ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI).

**RESULTS**

A total of 17,562 participants were included in the analysis. The median age of the children of participants was 9 years (IQR 7–12). General characteristics of the study participants are summarized in Table 1. Respondents hailed from all 34 provinces of Indonesia, comprising individuals from Java (52.4%), Kalimantan (4.8%), Sulawesi (3.3%), Sumatra (3.0%), Lesser Sunda Island (0.5%), Maluku Island (0.2%), and Western New Guinea (0.2%). The remaining respondents (35.7%) did not fill in the province of origin.

The parents’ preferred type of learning during the pandemic is shown in Figure 1. Regardless of their children’s age, more than 50% of respondents answered that they would send their children to school after school reopening, with 66.0% of parents of kindergarten students, 60.6% of parents of preschool students, and 58.8% of parents of high school students stating that they would send their children to school. Parents were concerned that the amount of time in school should be reduced or preferably to be split in order to prevent overcrowding (87%). Fifty-one percent of participants supported alternating school days between conventional and virtual classes.

Most parents accessed information from digital media such as TV or radio (78.6%) and social media such as Instagram, Facebook, or WhatsApp groups (70.1%). The COVID-19 information they received was considered clear and comprehensible by 89.2% of the subjects. Overall, the respondents had a median score of four out of five on confidence toward source of COVID-19 information. Knowledge of COVID-19 was assessed to gauge the accuracy of information obtained by parents (Table 2). Almost 95% of subjects knew that asymptomatic COVID-19 patients could be infectious. Incorrect statements (e.g., COVID-19 is a mild bacterial infection) were chosen by only 4% of 17,562 respondents. Most respondents thought that SARS-CoV-2 among children can be transmitted through droplets generated during coughing or sneezing (85.3%) and touching the face after direct contact with contaminated items (83.1%). A total of 91% of participants agreed that everyone, including infants, children, adults, and geriatrics, could transmit and contract COVID-19. Fifty-nine percent of participants of children with chronic illness or comorbidities, confirmed COVID-19 was assessed to gauge the accuracy of information obtained by parents (Table 2). Almost 95% of subjects knew that asymptomatic COVID-19 patients could be infectious. Incorrect statements (e.g., COVID-19 is a mild bacterial infection) were chosen by only 4% of 17,562 respondents. Most respondents thought that SARS-CoV-2 among children can be transmitted through droplets generated during coughing or sneezing (85.3%) and touching the face after direct contact with contaminated items (83.1%). A total of 91% of participants agreed that everyone, including infants, children, adults, and geriatrics, could transmit and contract COVID-19. Fifty-nine percent of participants agreed that everyone, including infants, children, adults, and geriatrics, could transmit and contract COVID-19. Fifty-nine percent of participants supported alternating school days between conventional and virtual classes.

This study found several factors that influence parents’ perspectives toward school reopening during the COVID-19 pandemic, including the presence of a vulnerable individual(s) in their home, children with comorbidities, confirmed COVID-19 cases, death caused by COVID-19 in the community, opinion on vaccination of parents and children and preferred mode of transportation, as shown in Table 3. In summary, 9,774 (55.7%) respondents agreed to send their children to

### Table 1 | General characteristics of respondents’ children (n = 17,562).

| Parameters | Frequency (%) |
|------------|--------------|
| Child’s age in years, median (interquartile range) | 9 (7–12) |
| Education level of the child, n (%) | |
| Preschool (3–4 years) | 518 (2.9) |
| Kindergarten (4–6 years) | 2,606 (14.8) |
| Primary school (7–12 years) | 9,786 (55.7) |
| Secondary school (13–15 years) | 2,916 (16.6) |
| High school (16–18 years) | 1,736 (9.9) |
| Vulnerable individual(s) in the household, n (%) | |
| Yes | 11,540 (65.7) |
| No | 6,022 (34.3) |
| Children with chronic illness or comorbidities, n (%) | |
| Yes | 1,651 (9.4) |
| No | 15,911 (90.6) |
| Parents’ choice about whether to send their child to school, n (%) | |
| Send to school | 9,774 (55.7) |
| Keep at home | 6,386 (36.3) |
| Equally likely | 1,420 (8.1) |
TABLE 2 | Parents’ knowledge about COVID-19.

| Questions (n = 17,562)                                                                 | Response, n (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| What do you think COVID-19 is? (multiple answers will be accepted)                     |                 |
| a. It is a disease caused by the novel coronavirus                                      | 15,116 (86.1)   |
| b. It is a mild bacterial infection                                                    | 795 (4.5)       |
| c. It is a pandemic affecting the entire world                                         | 9,835 (56.0)    |
| d. It is a minor disease that cannot cause death                                       | 862 (2.1)       |
| What is the method of transmission for COVID-19 in children? (multiple answers will be accepted) |                 |
| a. Through respiratory droplets when people cough or sneeze                           | 14,983 (85.3)   |
| b. Direct contact, such as touching objects or shaking hands that are contaminated with the virus and then touching the face area before washing hands | 14,599 (83.1)   |
| c. Through air contaminated with coronavirus in an enclosed place                       | 691 (3.9)       |
| d. Through sweat                                                                        | 1,407 (8.0)     |
| e. Through sexual intercourse                                                          | 1,048 (6.0)     |
| Who can transmit and contract COVID-19? (multiple answers will be accepted)            |                 |
| a. Everyone from babies to older people                                               | 16,060 (91.4)   |
| b. Vulnerable people only (example: those with comorbidities)                          | 941 (5.4)       |
| c. Everyone except babies and children                                                 | 561 (3.2)       |
| Can people who have COVID-19 and are asymptomatic transmit the disease?                |                 |
| a. Yes, they can transmit                                                               | 16,619 (94.6)   |
| b. No, they cannot transmit                                                             | 569 (3.2)       |
| c. Not sure                                                                            | 374 (2.1)       |
TABLE 3 | Final multivariate analysis of factors associated with parents' attitudes toward in-school or virtual learning (n = 16,142).

| Variables                        | Send to school (ref); n (%) | Keep at home; n (%) | p-value     | Adjusted OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Vulnerable population in the household |                             |                     | <0.001*     | 1.18 (1.10–1.27)    |
| None (ref)                       | 3,500 (21.7)                | 2,033 (12.6)        |             |                      |
| Yes                              | 6,274 (36.9)                | 4,335 (26.9)        |             |                      |
| Children with comorbidities      |                             |                     | <0.001*     | 2.56 (2.29–2.87)    |
| None (ref)                       | 9,186 (56.9)                | 5,440 (33.7)        |             |                      |
| Yes                              | 588 (3.6)                   | 928 (5.7)           |             |                      |
| How dangerous is COVID-19?a      |                             |                     | <0.001*     | 28.87 (14.29–58.33) |
| Mild (ref)                       | 510 (3.2)                   | 8 (0.0)             |             |                      |
| Dangerous                        | 9,264 (57.4)                | 6,360 (39.4)        |             |                      |
| Any positive COVID-19 cases in community |                     |                     | <0.001*     | 1.75 (1.61–1.90)    |
| None (ref)                       | 4,253 (26.3)                | 1,441 (8.9)         |             |                      |
| Yes                              | 5,521 (34.2)                | 4,927 (30.5)        |             |                      |
| Any death caused by COVID-19 in community |                     |                     | <0.001*     | 2.05 (1.90–2.21)    |
| None (ref)                       | 6,981 (43.2)                | 3,004 (18.6)        |             |                      |
| Yes                              | 2,793 (17.3)                | 3,364 (20.8)        |             |                      |
| Agree COVID-19 vaccination for parents |                     |                     | <0.001*     | 1.69 (1.53–1.87)    |
| No (ref)                         | 1,864 (11.5)                | 635 (3.9)           |             |                      |
| Yes                              | 7,910 (49.0)                | 5,733 (35.5)        |             |                      |
| Mode of transportationb         |                             |                     | <0.001*     | 1.46 (1.30–1.66)    |
| Public transport (ref)           | 648 (4.0)                   | 606 (3.8)           |             |                      |
| Private transport                | 9,126 (56.5)                | 5,762 (35.7)        |             |                      |

ref, reference group. *Significant p-value. a*Mild is score 1–3, while “dangerous” is 4–5 with 5 as the most dangerous score. bPublic transport include online transport and mass public transport, while private transport include private car, private motorbike, walking, and cycling.

TABLE 4 | Respondents’ reported challenges and recommendations in implementing virtual learning (n = 17,562).

| Challenges                                      | Recommendations                              |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| ◦ Virtual learning negatively impact my child’s academic performance (47.2%) | ◦ Interactive live discussions between teachers and students (79.4%) |
| ◦ Concerned about my child’s mental health (41.9%)   | ◦ Good internet connection and computers (52.9%) |
| ◦ Absence of caregiver (34.2%)                      | ◦ Instructional video materials (50.8%)    |
| ◦ Limited supporting materials such as computer or internet access (31.8%) | ◦ Printed guidebook (36.8%)                |

school when schools reopen, while 6,369 (36.3%) disagreed. The remaining respondents did not give a decisive answer (7.7%). Multivariate analysis of factors associated with parents’ attitudes toward in-school learning was conducted to understand which factors contributed the most in the decision-making process. The most influential factor associated with keeping children in virtual learning was the opinion that COVID-19 is a dangerous disease (adjusted OR = 23.57; 95% CI: 11.56–48.04). Multinomial analysis including respondents who choose equally likely to send their children to school is attached in Supplemental Material 2.

Half of all subjects (51.5%) stated that providing materials required for virtual learning, such as computers and internet connection, as well as the significantly decreased duration of learning activities, was burdensome. The main challenges and recommendations regarding virtual learning are shown in Table 4.

The interactions between respondents and schools are shown in Table 5. Psychological support was regarded as crucial by 68% of participants, particularly for children who feared social interactions during this pandemic. A total of 96% of subjects demanded that the school minimize the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission during regular offline classes and issue clear regulations for student drop-off and pick-up.

Parents’ assessment of their children’s ability to wear masks is shown in Figure 2. Most children were deemed able to use a mask for up to 9 h. However, similar response on decision on whether to send their children to school were found regardless of the amount of time their children can wear masks. Among parents who agreed to send their children to school, the average mask-wearing tolerance was 3–6 h. Additionally, most children were deemed able to use masks without eating or drinking for either 1–3 h (48.8%) or <1 h (36.1%). The rest (15.2%) were considered able to wear masks for more than 3 h.
DISCUSSIONS

Despite rigorous efforts in contact tracing and controlling transmission of SARS-CoV-2, the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases is still rising in Indonesia (8). Since the COVID-19 outbreak reached Indonesia in March 2020, schools, considered environments with a high risk of transmission, were closed until further notice. The government decided to resume conventional learning by July 2021 after 1 year of virtual learning (11). Most of the children of the study participants were primary school students (55.7%), with a median age of 9 years. Those who agreed to send their children to school (rather than continue virtual learning) primarily had younger children (preschool and kindergarten). This is as opposed to the stages of cognitive development in children. After the age of 11, children can exhibit abstract operational thinking, which marks the start of children's ability to make more rational decisions (14). Hence, generally, older children, especially those in secondary school, may better understand the reasons for implementation of the health protocols and exhibit higher adherence to rules and instructions.

Similar to homeschooling, virtual learning demands a commitment to self-directed studying (15). However, younger students still need of guidance to maintain the flow of their studies. A previous study among Chinese parents with young children who underwent online studying during the COVID-19 pandemic found that parents tended to experience difficulties during online learning (16). Most parents did not like online learning, as they felt that their children had more difficulty concentrating, there was a lack of self-regulation among their children, and they faced difficulties in supporting their children's online learning due to lack of time and experience. Parents with full-time jobs and those who were unable to afford daycare or caregiver support preferred conventional learning (16, 17). This might explain why some parents were inclined to send their children to school.

---

**TABLE 5 | The interactions between parents and schools during online school.**

| Parameters (n = 17,561) | Response; n (%) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Frequency of communication between schools and parents | |
| Very often | 4,138 (23.6%) |
| Often | 9,482 (54.0%) |
| Seldom | 3,624 (20.6%) |
| Never | 317 (1.8%) |
| Media for communication between schools and parents | |
| Social media groups | 16,883 (96.1%) |
| Phone | 2,886 (16.4%) |
| Email | 2,721 (15.5%) |
| Short message services | 605 (3.4%) |
| Others | 1,211 (6.9%) |
| Parents' response on school preparation before reopening | |
| Routine body temperature measurement of students and teachers | 13,974 (79.6%) |
| Routine swab test for students and teachers | 9,747 (55.5%) |
| Mandatory mask use in the school area | 16,110 (91.7%) |
| Adequate ventilation in classrooms | 13,523 (77.0%) |
| Limiting the number of students in each classroom | 14,218 (81.0%) |
| Social distancing during recess | 10,739 (61.2%) |
| Bringing packed meals to school | 12,942 (73.7%) |
Nevertheless, whether to resume conventional classes was still under debate due to the high risk of viral transmission. The government's decree requires that schools fulfill a readiness checklist and parental consent is obtained before reopening conventional schools (12, 18). Adequacy, transparency, and accessibility of information certainly affect parents' confidence in and satisfaction with school reopening. Indonesians primarily relied on regular official press releases on digital media (TV or radio) and social media for information about the pandemic (19). Medical hoaxes, which may lead to confusion and excessive fear of COVID-19, had to be resolved quickly in order to gain trust from the public. Given the trust in the government and school credibility regarding school reopening practices, according to IPS and Joint Ministerial Decree recommendations, many students are expected to return to conventional schools. This expectation was supported by the results of our study, which revealed the high readiness level (median of 4 out of 5, with 5 as most ready) of parents regarding school reopening and the finding that communication between parents and schools was well maintained during the pandemic. Kroshus reported that planning to keep children home was negatively associated with confidence in schools ($B = -0.22; p < 0.001$) (20). Thus, comprehensibility and high trust regarding COVID-19 information were significantly associated with the decision to send children to school.

In our study, most parents who disagreed with vaccinating their children and/or themselves still agreed to school reopening. This might occur due to controversy about the efficacy of COVID-19 vaccination, fear of adverse effects of vaccination, and the existence of anti-vaxxers (21, 22). As of today (June 2021), the adult vaccination coverage in Indonesia was still far below the national target (70 vs. 33%; 4.5% of the total population) while vaccination for children were still in talk worldwide (23, 24). Our study shows that parental attitude toward COVID-19 vaccination did not affect their decision on sending their children to school.

Results from the present study showed that both individuals from vulnerable populations and children with comorbidities (asthma, diabetes, and malignancy) are more susceptible to COVID-19 infection (25). Similarly this is a concern for our respondents. As expected, parents with history of positive cases of COVID-19 or death caused by COVID-19 in their community were more likely to keep their children at home. These respondents might be more affected from the impact of COVID-19 compared to those without the experiences. Kroshus reported that disagreement of school reopening was associated with fear of COVID-19 ($B = 0.19; p < 0.001$ (20). These findings are in accordance with our results: In our study, the proportion of parents who supported conventional school reopening was higher among those who viewed COVID-19 as a mild disease compared to those who perceived COVID-19 to be a life-threatening ailment.

In addition, supporting materials such as internet access and electronic devices were not always available in rural areas across Indonesia (26). Similar to the findings in our present study, it has previously been found that adequate teacher's training on virtual learning, virtual teacher–student interactions, instructional videos, and electronic worksheets were required for effective virtual classes (27). Moreover, prolonged virtual learning increases digital screen time, which may cause biopsychosocial issues such as reduction of sleeping hours and quality, depression, anxiety symptoms, and social isolation (28). Opportunities to learn verbal and non-verbal social messages and peer learning were also limited. Furthermore, the absence of extracurricular and physical activities was significantly associated with gross motor delay and obesity (28).

Limbers found that mandatory use of masks for children was significantly associated with parents' selecting conventional class (with mandatory masks) instead of a fully virtual class ($OR = 2.05; p < 0.01; 95\% CI: 1.36–3.11$) (29). The IPS published a recommendation that children aged 2 years and above wear face masks. Permissible exceptions included children with mental and cognitive disorders and those with chronic heart or lung disease. However, given their developmental stage, younger children cannot be expected to wear masks for a long period of time (14).

As shown in Figure 2, opposition to school reopening was more prevalent among parents of children with lower mask-wearing tolerance. Social distancing and limiting the number of students within a classroom could be implemented to overcome this issue.

Our study shows that most parents who had private transportation chose to keep their children at home. In Indonesia, private transportation ownership reflects middle-upper income society, while majority of middle-lower income society use public transportation (30). Although indirectly, this may possibly reflect that those who are socio-economically more advantaged were able to provide a more conducive virtual learning, hence chose to keep their children at home and practice social distancing.

**CONCLUSIONS**

School reopening is currently an inevitable worldwide challenge for formal education. Challenges faced during online education, including limited interactions between teachers and students, students' mental health problem, absence of caregiver during online learning, and limited supporting education materials must be addressed promptly if online school continues. Many countries with a declining number of confirmed COVID-19 cases are considering reinstatement of conventional learning. However, there are many challenges that must be addressed to prevent new COVID-19 clusters. Combined efforts by all stakeholders, including policymakers, schools, parents, and children, must be implemented and enforced. Although overall knowledge about COVID-19 was found to be sufficient, parents' and children's readiness to return to school is another pivotal determinant that should not be overlooked. In our survey, we found an inconclusive response regarding school reopening among parents with school-aged children in Indonesia. Although parents' knowledge about COVID-19 was adequate, many parents were not confident about sending their child for conventional learning. Thus, we believe that the most important factors for increasing parents' confidence is ensuring that there will be a safe environment in schools. We recommend that school reopening commence only when the health and safety protocols are ready. Increasing the reach of vaccination to
the recommended goal via proper distribution of vaccines and promoting the efficacy and benefits of vaccination will also increase the preparedness for school reopening.

**Strengths and Limitations**

To our knowledge, this is the first national survey about school reopening in Indonesia during the COVID-19 pandemic. The use of social media advertisements allowed our survey to receive nationwide responses. The results of this study could perhaps be extrapolated to other developing countries with a consistently high number of confirmed COVID-19 cases. Our study has several limitations. Firstly, cross-sectional study could not provide a direct causal relationship. In addition, most of our study’s respondents were residents of urban areas; thus, the responses to our survey could not accurately represent the rural population. Our respondents were limited to technologically advantaged parents. In addition, we did not record parents’ demographic data including education levels, age, and socio-economic status; however, these should be assessed objectively in future studies because they might be critical behavioral determinants for decisions about school reopening. Furthermore, although our questionnaire was approved by The Indonesian COVID-19 Task Force Expert Panel of the IPS approved, we did not perform validity and reliability analysis of the instrument.
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