Abstract. We make an attempt to dynamically study, in four space-time dimensions, the classical gravitational collapse of a macroscopic circular fundamental string, by a truncation of the Einstein equations that suppresses retarded features but keeps the main self-gravity peculiarities of the relativistic string dynamics, and allows the investigation of a possible infinite red-shift. The numerical solution of the string evolution in the self-induced metric shows an infinite red shift at a macroscopic size of the string, when the string reaches the velocity of light. We further include the back-reaction of the radiation of gravitons which induces energy dissipation: now the velocity of light is not reached, the infinite red shift does not form and the string simply shrinks with damped oscillations.

Introduction and Summary.

We propose to study, in four space-time dimensions, the gravitational collapse of a classical macroscopic fundamental string, with no angular momentum, which in flat space-time is described by a circular pulsating solution, periodically shrinking to zero size. One can expect that self-gravity will accelerate the shrinking of the string, leading to a complete gravitational collapse.

The string dynamics is determined by three kind of forces: 1) the string tension, which would make the string oscillate, 2) the gravitational self-attraction, which would drive the string to zero size and increase its velocity, 3) the back-reaction due to the radiation of gravitons, which is a dissipative, friction-like, force. Forces 2) and 3) include highly non-linear effects.

Self-gravitating strings, including the effect of radiation, have been studied long ago in ref. [1], the results having also being reported in the ”Cosmic String” textbook by Vilenkin and Shellard [2]. This work uses linear gravity to compute the metric and to evolve the string iteratively. In the case considered there, in flat space-time the string size does not go to zero; it is found that including the combined effect of gravity and radiative dissipation does not cause a collapse, rather, the string looses energy by radiation.
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2Here we neglect the coupling of the string to $B_{\mu\nu}$ and to the dilaton.
In our case instead, the string initial state is driven toward shrinking already by
the force 1). This setting is more similar to the case discussed by Hawing in [3]: he
observed that, with the linearized theory, the energy carried away by radiation in a
collapse up to a point seems to be infinite. Then, taking as the initial stage a string
on a null surface, that is having reached the velocity of light, he used geometrical
methods to put a lower bound on the horizon and hence on the final mass, finding an
upper bound of 29% for the energy difference between the initial and the final stage.

We would like to get a dynamical picture of this process. In this paper, as an
attempt to begin with, we introduce some simplifications in the dynamics, however
trying to keep the main physical ingredients including non-linear features. We focus
on the dynamical rather than on the geometrical aspects of the problem. In our
attempt, we make a truncation by taking $g_{\mu\nu} = (g_{00}, -1, -1, -1)$ and keeping only
the $R_{00}$ component of the Einstein equations.

This gives a kind of Newtonian description, where retarded effects are not taken
into account, but it keeps into account the relativistic string dynamics and the grav-
itational role of the string kinetic energy, with the peculiarities of the world-sheet
constraints and related non-linear effects.

Since $g_{00}$ determines the gravitational red-shift (see for instance [4]), our trun-
cation provides a modern "Laplace" \(^3\) criterion for the collapse. It turns out that
automatically $1 \geq g_{00} \geq 0$, reasonably implying that we cannot describe the string
beyond the infinite red-shift defined by $g_{00} = 0$. In our initial conditions $g_{00} = 1$.

Let us stress that our intention is not the description of a Black Hole (or a Black
Ring or whatever Black), a word which we carefully avoid, except when we are forced
to do referring to the literature. Our approach can only indicate the possibility of an
infinite red-shift, and it does not provide theoretical instruments to investigate what
happens after it.

We solve consistently the string evolution in the self-gravitation background met-
ric, regulated with a minimal length $\sqrt{\alpha'}$, to implement in a simple way the absence
of UV-divergences in String Theory.

The string dynamics appears to be rather peculiar. The evolution equation is
almost scale invariant, essentially due to the scale invariance of $M/R$ for $M \sim R$;
that is, the only dependence on the overall size, which is the same as the mass, comes
combined with $\alpha'$, and it is weak, roughly logarithmical. Further, the gravitational
attraction is controlled by the kinetic energy (per unit mass), rather than by the
mass, therefore the string velocity plays a crucial role.

We find that the numerical program stops at a point where the string is still
macroscopic but its kinetic energy diverges and the velocity goes to the velocity of
light, in agreement with [3]: at this point $g_{00} = 0$ on the string. That is, the infinite
red-shift makes the string disappear and we can no longer follow its evolution.

We further include the radiation of gravitons and its back-reaction on the string
evolution. We take the radiation emission, at each moment of the evolution, to

\(^3\) Laplace found the radius of a star to be Schwarzschild when the escape velocity equals $c$. 
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be given by a standard textbook flat-space-time formula (see [5]), assuming it to hold in the locally inertial frame of the free-falling the string. The main effect of the radiation is to provide self-dampening, since the energy dissipation is strong for strong accelerations.

It turns out that this friction force due to radiation does not depend on the overall size or mass, that is, it is scale invariant.

The numerical solution shows that the back-reaction of the radiation is important before the stage of the string reaching the velocity of light, which is in fact never reached. The numerical program does not stop and $g_{00} > 0$ always: in a first interval the kinetic energy is large but not divergent, $g_{00}$ on the string becomes small but not zero; then the string bounces back and it further re-contracts with less large kinetic energy and less smaller $g_{00}$, and so on. Ultimately, after the initial rather violent phase, the string quietly loses energy as in ref. [1], and shrinks indefinitely without catastrophic consequences.

There are many other cases of extended macroscopic strings in which much of the string periodically concentrates to a very small size, just by the tension force 1) in flat space-time. In particular, this happens rather generically as the result of the interconnection of two rotating strings, see [6]. We expect those cases to be quite similar to the case that we have considered.

There is of course a large literature on themes that are more or less related to our problem. We will quote and comment very few of them, which we find to be more near to some aspects of our problem. Any attempt to completeness would be misleading, and we apologize to the many authors which we miss.

The gravitational collapse continues to be extensively studied by the astrophysics community, also with attention to radiation. As relevant examples, we quote the pioneer paper by Stark and Piran [7] dealing with numerical work on the collapse of stars with angular momentum and the more recent papers on similar cases [8], and [9] where, in particular, the results of general relativity are compared with results of Newtonian physics [10], showing an overall agreement although with some quantitative differences (see also [11]). In those studies of collapse, the gravitational radiation appears to carry a tiny fraction of the total mass.

There is another bunch of papers dealing with the possible formation of Black Holes in scattering of very energetic initial states, either Black Holes themselves [12] (and previous work), or elementary particles or wavepackets, see for instance [13], [14] and many others dealing with variations on the theme. In particular, ref. [12] has considered also the gravitational emission during the collision, finding a moderate 16% of the total energy, qualitatively similar to the quoted results of the collapse in astrophysics.

One can then ask how it is that in our case gravitational radiation seems to be so important. Apart from the obvious possibility that our truncation is not adequate, we may observe again the peculiarities of the string with respect to other gravitating bodies: its gravitational potential is controlled by its kinetic energy rather than its
mass, and we find an amplification, through non linear terms, of the gravitational effect of the string kinetic energy. This is due in good part to the role of the world-sheet conformal invariance constraint on the string dynamics. Therefore, the collapse strongly accelerates towards high velocities of the string. But at the same time, this acceleration together with the high velocity triggers a strong dissipative radiation, implying a strong friction which prevents the string to reach the velocity of light, therefore avoiding from being trapped in a horizon.

Other authors have considered self-gravitating fundamental strings, see ref. [15] and [16], however from a completely different point of view; in this case the problem is to study the gravitational effects as a consequence of increasing the string coupling, taking typical string configurations, more near to a random walk, rather than the problem of the collapse at fixed coupling of a smooth extended configuration like our initial state.

Finally, last but not least, we mention the work by Amati, Ciafaloni and Veneziano [17], an attempt to see whether the scattering of gravitons, as described by quantum string theory, can produce a Black Hole (see also [18]). In this case radiation should be automatically taken into account, but, as far as we can understand, there is no concluding evidence whether a Black Hole is formed or not.
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1) Statement of the problem.

We would like to study the gravitational collapse of a macroscopic closed circular string, which can be parametrized as

\begin{align}
X(\sigma, \tau) &= R_0 f(\tau) \cos(\sigma) \\
Y(\sigma, \tau) &= R_0 f(\tau) \sin(\sigma) \\
X^0(\sigma, \tau) &= R_0 g(\tau)
\end{align}

In flat spacetime we get the equation

\[ f'' + f = 0 \]

and we take the solution \( f(\tau) = \cos(\tau) \) representing a circular pulsating string, which has a maximal radius for \( \tau = n\pi \) and shrinks to zero for \( \tau = \pi/2 + n\pi \). The Virasoro constraint fixes \( g'(\tau) = \sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2} \):

\[ \text{indeed } (\partial_\tau X^0 \pm \partial_\sigma X^0)^2 = (\partial_\tau X \pm \partial_\sigma X)^2 = (f')^2 + f^2. \]

Note that \( |\vec{X}|^2, |\partial_\tau \vec{X}|^2, |\partial_\sigma \vec{X}|^2 = |\vec{X}|^2 \) and \( X^0 \) are independent of \( \sigma \).

Now let us try to see how the evolution of the above string is modified by taking into account gravity, including of course the backreaction.

It appears a very complicated problem, because the numerical study of the gravitational collapse is highly nontrivial and took many years for realistic cases (see for instance [7–10]). Here we propose a simplified model based on a consistent truncation of the coupled gravitational equations.

We begin by considering the standard four dimensional Action of describing gravity and a string in the gravitational field

\[ S[g_{\mu\nu}, X^{\mu\nu}] = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \int dt d^4 x \sqrt{-g} R[g_{\mu\nu}] + \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int d\tau d\sigma g_{\mu\nu}[X](\partial_\tau X^\mu \partial_\tau X^\nu - \partial_\sigma X^\mu \partial_\sigma X^\nu) \]

By varying in \( g^\mu\nu \) and \( X^i \), and adding the Virasoro constraint we get:

\[ \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{-g} R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int d\tau d\sigma \{ g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\eta} \mathcal{T}^{\lambda\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{T}^{\lambda\eta} g_{\lambda\eta} \} \delta(x^0 - X^0(\sigma, \tau)) \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X}(\sigma, \tau)) \]

where \( \mathcal{T}^{\lambda\eta} = \partial_\tau X^\lambda \partial_\tau X^\eta - \partial_\sigma X^\lambda \partial_\sigma X^\eta \), and

\[ 2\partial_\tau (g_{ij} \partial_\tau X^j) - 2\partial_\sigma (\partial_\sigma g_{ij} X^j) = \frac{\partial g_{\lambda\eta}}{\partial x^i} \bigg|_{x^\mu = X^\mu} \mathcal{T}^{\lambda\eta} \]

plus the constraint equation

\[ g_{\lambda\eta}(\partial_\tau X^\lambda \pm \partial_\sigma X^\lambda)(\partial_\tau X^\eta \pm \partial_\sigma X^\eta) = 0 \]

\[^4\text{Actually in true String Theory the gravity action should not be "added by hand" to the string dynamics, because String already contains gravity and the coupled equations should come from requiring conformal invariance. However, whereas this has been done in a low-energy approximation for coupling gravity to string fields like the dilaton and } B_{\mu\nu}, \text{ to our knowledge there is not a similar derivation for the case of a macroscopic string, which, even worse, would be needed beyond low-energy. Therefore the above approach of classical equations is a priori a heuristic model, and thus we feel less uncomfortable in making some further truncation.}\]
2) The truncation.

We truncate the above equations by taking the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} = (g_{00}, -1, -1, -1) \) and considering only the \( \mu = 0, \nu = 0 \) component of the Einstein equation (2).

In this case we have \( \sqrt{-g} R_{00} = \sqrt{g_{00}} \nabla g_{00} / 2 - (\partial g_{00})^2 / 4 \sqrt{g_{00}} \), and we get the consistent equations (with \( g_s \equiv \kappa / \alpha' \)):

\[
\nabla g_{00} = 2 g_s \int d\tau d\sigma g_{00}^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{00} T^{00} + T^{ij} \delta_{ij} \right) \right\} \delta(x^0 - X^0(\sigma, \tau)) \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X}(\sigma, \tau)) + \frac{(\partial g_{00})^2}{2g_{00}}
\]

and

\[
\partial_\tau \vec{X} - \partial_\sigma \vec{X} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{00}}{\partial \vec{x}} |_{\vec{x}=\vec{X}} ((\partial_\tau X^0)^2 - (\partial_\sigma X^0)^2)
\]

plus the constraint equation

\[
g_{00} |_{\vec{x}=\vec{X}} (\partial_\tau X^0 \pm \partial_\sigma X^0)^2 = (\partial_\tau \vec{X} \pm \partial_\sigma \vec{X})^2
\]

By writing \( g_{00} = 1 - U \), we recognize \( U \) to be (twice the negative of) the Newton potential. Therefore our truncation corresponds to a Newtonian approximation, in that there are not retarded effects. Like in many other cases, see for instance [9–11], and even in cosmology, the Newtonian approximation can nevertheless capture a good part of the physics of the process.

In eq. (5) the \( \tau \)-integration gives \( \int d\tau \delta(x^0 - X^0) = 1 / |\partial_\tau X^0| \).

We consistently look for a solution with the ansatz eq. (1) and we will see that on the string \( g_{00}[f] \equiv g_{00}[x = X] \) only depends on \( f(\tau) \) and \( f'(\tau) \).

Therefore the constraint eq. (7) gives \( |\partial_\tau X^0| = R_0 \sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2 / g_{00}[f]} \) and \( \partial_\sigma X^0 = 0 \).

Further, \( \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g_{00} T^{00} + T^{ij} \delta_{ij} \right\} = (R_0 f')^2 \). Thus the equation for \( g_{00} \) becomes:

\[
\nabla g_{00} = 2 g_s g_{00}[f] R_0 \frac{(f')^2}{\sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2}} \int d\sigma \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X}(\sigma, \tau)) + \frac{(\partial g_{00})^2}{2g_{00}}
\]

We take the b.c. \( \lim_{|\vec{x}| \to \infty} g_{00} = 1 \) that is at space infinity the spacetime metric is flat and the gravitational potential \( U \) vanishes. Note that for a static string \( f' = 0 \) there is no gravitational potential \( g_{00} = 1 \), in agreement with a well known effect [2].

Also, we can rewrite eq. (6) consistently with our ansatz and the constraint, as

\[
f'' + f = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{00}}{\partial \vec{x}} \bigg|_{\vec{x}=\vec{X}} \frac{(f')^2 + f^2 / g_{00}[f]}{R_0}
\]

We are mainly interested on the evolution of the string and to find it we have to compute \( g_{00} \), and its gradient, on the string, that is on the very location of its source. We will neglect the term \( (\partial g_{00})^2 / 2g_{00} \) on the r.h.s of eq. (8), since it represents a correction to the source, which is diffused over the space and therefore much less important than the source itself (concentrated on the string). This is our final truncation.
3) Solving the equations.

We have now to find \( g_{00} \) from eq.(8) (neglecting the last term on the r.h.s.) and substitute it in eq.(9).

Putting \( g_{00} \equiv 1 - U \), we solve eq.(8), avoiding a spurious short-distance logarithmic divergence, which cannot occur since String Theory is UV-finite, by introducing a minimal cutoff-distance \( \sqrt{\alpha'} \) on the string: \( \int d\sigma'/|\vec{X}(\sigma)-\vec{X}'(\sigma')| \to \int d\sigma'/\sqrt{|\vec{X}(\sigma)-\vec{X}'(\sigma')|^2 + \alpha'} \).

We get:

\[
U(\vec{x}) = 2g_s(1-U[f]) \frac{R_0(f')^2}{\sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \frac{\sqrt{\vec{x}^2 + R_0^2 f(\tau)^2 - 2R_0 f(\tau)(x\cos(\sigma) + y\sin(\sigma)) + \alpha'}}{(f')^2 + f^2 + \alpha'} \tag{10}
\]

We then find on the string \( g_{00}[f(\tau)] \equiv g_{00}(\vec{x} = \vec{X}(\sigma, \tau)) \) (it is \( \sigma \) independent):

\[
g_{00}[f] = 1 - U[f] = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2g_s}{f} \frac{(f')^2}{\sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2}} \frac{H(\alpha'/R_0^2 f^2)}{H(s)}} \tag{11}
\]

where \( H(s) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \frac{\sqrt{2(1-\cos(\sigma)} + s)}{2(1-\cos(\sigma)) + s} \). Note that the only dependence on the initial string size \( R_0 \) is roughly logarithmic, coming from the cutoff.

We take initially \( f'(0) = 0 \) and therefore initially \( g_{00} = 1 \).

We can further evaluate from eq.(10)

\[
\frac{\partial g_{00}}{\partial \vec{x}} \bigg|_{\vec{x} = \vec{X}} = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial \vec{x}} \bigg|_{\vec{x} = \vec{X}} = 2g_s g_{00}[f] \frac{f}{R_0 |f|^3} \frac{(f')^2}{\sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2}} \frac{K(\alpha'/R_0^2 f^2)}{H(s)}
\]

where \( K(s) \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma(1-\cos(\sigma))}{2(1-\cos(\sigma)) + s} \).

From that and from eq.(9), we finally find the evolution equation for \( f(\tau) \):

\[
f'' + f = -g_s \frac{f}{|f|^3} (f')^2 \sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2} K(\alpha'/R_0^2 f^2) \tag{12}
\]

Note that also the evolution depends only logarithmically on the initial size \( R_0 \), through the cutoff function \( K \). Moreover, we get just one uncoupled evolution equation \( 12 \), since \( g_{00}[f] \) does not appear explicitly in it.

We have solved numerically (with Mathematica) the evolution eq.(12), with initial conditions \( f(0) = 1, \ f'(0) = 0 \) taking \( g_s = 0.01 \) and \( R_0 = 10^3 \sqrt{\alpha'} \).

4) Results describing the collapse.

For a sizable initial interval in \( \tau \) the solution looks like the flat one, but, from a certain moment on, it abruptly accelerates. The numerical program stops at \( \tau = \tau_0 = 1.401 : \ f' \) and even more dramatically \( f'' \) show a divergent behavior for \( \tau \to \tau_0 \).
However the string radius at this final $\tau_0$ is still quite large: $f(\tau_0) = 0.053$ and thus $R_0 f(\tau_0) = 53 \cdot \sqrt{\alpha'}$.

In fig.1 we show $f(\tau)$ (red) compared with the flat case $f_{\text{flat}}$ (blue) for $0 \geq \tau \geq \pi/2$ (the non-flat solution stops at $\tau_0 = 1.401$), $|f'|$ compared with $|f'_{\text{flat}}|$ and $f''$ compared with $f''_{\text{flat}}$.

From eq.(11) we can also find the behavior of $g_{00}[f] = 1 - U[f]$, that is the $g_{00}$ metric component on the string. It stays near 1 until it rather suddenly decreases, $g_{00} \to 0$ for $\tau \to \tau_0$. We show $g_{00}[f]$ in fig.2.

Therefore, since at the endpoint $\tau \to \tau_0$ we get $g_{00} \to 0$ (on the string) meaning an infinite redshift for signals coming from the string, we can say (amusingly) that when the numerical program stops also the string disappears.

Note that the infinite red-shift is not due to the string shrinking to zero size (which is not true and in any case would not produce any infinity due to the $\alpha'$ cutoff), rather to the string velocity approaching the speed of light: $|f'|/\sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2} \to 1$, implying the divergence of the "kinetic energy per unit mass" $\sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2}$.

This divergence is due to the non-linearity of the evolution equation (12): $f$ is almost constant and small near $\tau_0$, and the evolution equation takes the form $f'' \sim (f')^3$ giving $f' \sim -1/\sqrt{\tau_0 - \tau}$. 

![Figure 1: $f$, $|f'|$, $f''$](image1)

![Figure 2: $g_{00}$ on the string](image2)
Note also that when $g_{00} \to 0$ on the string, the curvature component $R_{00} \to f(\tau_0)R_0/(2H(\alpha'/R_0^2 f(\tau_0))\int d\sigma \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X}(\sigma, \tau))$ does not diverge, apart from being obviously concentrated on the string.

5) Including the radiation of gravitons.

In the previous discussion we have disregarded the graviton radiation. Since the evolution is quite violent near the end point $\tau_0$ we may suspect that there will be a strong emission of gravitational radiation. This effect can modify quite substantially the picture, since energy is lost because of radiation. Therefore we can expect a dumping due to that dissipation.

We will now reconsider the evolution by including the effects of the radiation.

The treatment of the radiation in curved space-time is a very complicated affair (see for instance [4]). Since we are discussing anyhow a truncated treatment, with the idea of finding a simplified physical guideline, we look for an expression in flat spacetime and adapt it to our case.

A convenient formula for the time development of the energy $E$ of the system, due to the energy loss by gravitational radiation, is given in the Landau-Lifshitz "Classical Field Theory" book [5]:

\[- \frac{dE}{dt}|_{rad} = \kappa c_0 \sum_{ij} |\frac{d^3 D^{ij}}{dt^3}|^2 \quad D^{ij} = \int d^3 x \rho (3x^i x^j - \vec{x}^2 \delta^{ij}) \quad (13)\]

where $\rho$ is the energy density and $c_0$ a numerical constant.

In conclusion eq.(13) can be re-written introducing the friction "Force" due to radiation:

\[f'' + f = F_{rad}, \quad F_{rad} = -g_s c_1 \frac{(f')^2 + f^2}{f'}, \quad |D^{(3)}|^2 \quad (16)\]

with $c_1$ a numerical constant of order 1, where we define

\[D^{(3)} \equiv \frac{d^3}{dt^3} (f^2 \sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2}} \frac{d}{d\tau}\right)^3 \cdot (f^2 \sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2}) \quad (17)\]
Now, the previous evolution equation (12) shows the "Force due to selfgravity":

\[ f'' + f = F_{\text{grav}}, \quad F_{\text{grav}} = -g_s f \frac{f'}{|f|^3} (f')^2 \sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2} K(\alpha'/R_0^2 f^2) \]  

(18)

Therefore, we obtain the full evolution equation by taking both the "Forces due to selfgravity AND radiation":

\[ f'' + f = F_{\text{grav}} + F_{\text{rad}}, \]  

(19)

\[ F_{\text{grav}} + F_{\text{rad}} = -g_s f \frac{f'}{|f|^3} (f')^2 \sqrt{(f')^2 + f^2} K(\alpha'/R_0^2 f^2) - g_s c_1 \frac{(f')^2 + f^2}{f'} |D^{(3)}|^2 \]

We see that the radiation contribution has the sign opposite to the one of \( f' \), consistently giving an effect of friction.

This is still a too complicated equation in \( f \) for a numerical treatment, because we meet higher derivatives, up to \( f''''' \), appearing in \( D^{(3)} \).

In order to proceed, we use the evolution equation in absence of radiation eq.(18) to express \( f'' \) in terms of \( f, f' \), when taking the first derivative in the troublesome term \( D^{(3)} \) eq.(17), and again when taking the second and the third derivative. Thus, in our treatment, the radiation may be somehow under-estimated (because higher derivatives could be much more strong), and possibly over-correlated with the self-gravity.

In this way, the r.h.s. of eq.(19) is expressed in terms of \( f', f \) (we don’t show the non-illuminating final form). We have solved numerically eq.(19), with the same values of \( g_s \) and \( R_0 \) as in the previous study ignoring radiation (and taking \( c_1 = 1 \)).

6) Including radiation: results.

We have first studied the evolution of the string in flat spacetime, but including the radiation, as a kind of benchmark. In this case, we solve the evolution equation:

\[ f'' + f = F_{\text{rad}}, \quad F_{\text{rad}} = -g_s c_1 \frac{(f')^2 + f^2}{f'} |D^{(3)}|^2 \]  

(20)

where, similarly to what we said for the non-flat case, we put \( f'' = -f \) (which holds in absence of radiation), at each step of taking derivatives, in the troublesome term \( D^{(3)} \).

We show in fig.3 \(|f(\tau)|\) from the numerical solution of eq.(20) (with \( c_1 = 1 \)). The result makes sense: the dissipation through radiation makes the amplitude of the oscillations of the pulsating string smaller and smaller.

The solution of the case including self-gravity and radiation, eq.(19), shows a pattern roughly similar to the flat case. Now, contrary to when we did not include the radiation, the numerical program does not stop at some \( \tau_0 \).
At the beginning, the string seems to collapse like when ignoring radiation, but then, contrary to that case, the radiation prevents \( |f'| \) to diverge to infinity; rather, \( |f'| \) passes through a sharp maximum and then goes down and up again to another sharp but smaller maximum, and so on.

Remember that the radius of our circular string is \( R_0|f(\tau)| \); now \( |f| \) passes through zero near to where there are the maxima of \( |f'| \). However, \( \alpha' \) regulates the self-gravity of the string and therefore there are no singularities for \( f = 0 \). Singularities only occur for \( |f'| \to \infty \). The consequence of \( |f'| \) remaining finite is that \( g_{00} \) is never zero, and therefore an infinite gravitational redshift on the string does not occur during the evolution.

When \( f \to 0 \) the result for \( g_{00} \) depends on \( R_0 \) more than logarithmically because \( 1/|f| \cdot H(\alpha'(R_0 f)^2) \to 2\pi R_0/\sqrt{\alpha'} \) (see eq. (11)). However, \( g_{00} \to 1 \) for large \( \tau \), with little dependence on \( R_0 \), because \( |f'| \to 0 \).

We have checked that taking \( R_0 = 10^4\sqrt{\alpha'} \), that is an order of magnitude more, gives the same pattern. We have also checked that taking a higher \( g_s \), say \( g_s = 0.1 \) or \( g_s = 1 \), makes even larger the dissipative effect of radiation over attraction.

In fig.4 we show \( |f| \) in a first interval (compare with fig.1 where the effect of the radiation is not included), and also in further larger interval (note the change of scale) where we see several oscillations with smaller and smaller amplitudes similarly to the flat case.

In fig.5 we show \( |f'| \) in a first interval (compare with fig.1), with a zoom, and in a further larger interval. Finally, we show \( g_{00} \) in fig.6 (compare with fig.2).

Figure 4: \(|f|\) in two intervals
7) Conclusions.

The indication is that since radiation prevents the formation of an infinite redshift, there is no gravitational collapse making the string disappearing from sight. The string size periodically passes through ”zero”, meaning really $\sim \sqrt{\alpha'}$, without catastrophic consequences (at very small scales the string will pass through a quantum phase). The ultimate fate of our string appears to be a quiet shrinking until it completely evaporates by emitting radiation.

In our opinion, this conclusion seems to be reasonable on physical grounds. Of course, the challenge will be to see, beyond our first attempt, how much our truncated model captures the real physics of the string collapse.
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