Lessons for Employing Participatory Design When Developing Care for Young People with Cancer: A Qualitative Multiple-Case Study
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Purpose: Participatory design (PD) is a collective creative design process involving designers and non-designers. There is limited reporting on the experience of using PD for adolescent and young adult (AYA) care. This study summarizes lessons from employing PD to develop care for AYAs with cancer.

Methods: A qualitative multiple-case study method was conducted of three PD processes addressing food (FfC), intimacy and sexuality (I&S), and integrative medicine (IM) in caring for AYAs with cancer.

Results: Local key stakeholders, who were exposed to a problem and had not been successful at solving it individually, were recruited to “dream” together. Through this synergy, a shared understanding of the problem and a joint mission emerged to find a solution. PD tools were used to develop a problem definition. An open mind and explorative research helped to understand the problems, and stakeholders were managed such that idea-sharing and learning were enabled. Designers translated ideas into prototypes. The PD process was prolonged due to the hierarchical hospital environment, business considerations, and additionally required evidence. The FfC program produced an effective new food service for the whole hospital. The I&S initiative developed a podcast, two articles, and a prototype website. The IM project developed a pilot study.

Conclusions: For a PD process to successfully develop care for AYAs, one needs to use designers and skilled people, PD tools, and an open-ended approach to visualize and materialize new forms of care. Furthermore, recruitment and facilitation techniques help leverage knowledge and create a synergy in a democratic environment between stakeholders.
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Introduction

Each year, about 2700 adolescents and young adults (AYAs) aged 18-35 are diagnosed with cancer in the Netherlands. Since the Dutch AYA Care Network (AYA Care Network) was established in 2014, specific care for AYAs has been implemented in eight university hospitals and basic AYA care in a growing number of general hospitals across the Netherlands. However, there is still room for improvement in AYA care with many unmet needs during treatment, into adulthood, and beyond. The AYA Care Network has always set out to harness collaboration between health care professionals, AYAs, and their relatives. The AYA Care Network focuses strongly on
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AYA engagement with the vision “Nothing about AYAs without AYAs.” Collaborative design methods, involving AYAs themselves, have been suggested to tackle the complex challenges in cancer care. Participatory design (PD) is a collective creative design process involving three phases whereby designers and nondesigners are considered partners in the design process. The three phases are exploration, creation, and testing. The aim of the exploration phase is to clarify and define the problem by combining the perspectives of all the stakeholders. The creation phase is expected to develop prototypes of potential solutions. The evaluation phase should test these prototypes which, if successful, can be implemented in practice.

Designers, particularly, help to understand and integrate the perspective of different stakeholders, for example, AYAs, into physical solutions, called prototypes of a certain product or a service. These prototypes are initially simple and then further iterated. This iterative process involves creative tools.

In PD, one of the guiding principles is that every relevant stakeholder can and should be involved in the design process. Examples of PD “make” tools, which can be utilized by designers, and also nondesigners are mind-maps, storyboards, and prototypes. Such “make” tools help stakeholders express deeper lying knowledge such as complex emotions, dreams, or needs, which are hard to describe in words. These insights are crucial to develop a successful service or product.

The use of PD to improve cancer care is seen as especially advantageous for AYAs for several reasons. First, AYAs receive treatments that have a life-changing impact as they go through important life events and PD enables exploration of deeper levels of knowledge through these evolving phases. Second, the problems associated with caring for AYAs need to be explored with stakeholders beyond the medical paradigm, which is an inherent strength of PD. For example, not only physicians and specialized AYA nurses but also fertility and sex specialists, social workers, psychologists, and many more are involved. The AYA population is also very active and open to innovation and collaboration to harness their own experience for the common good, and this open mind-set can foster a successful PD project. However, the implementation of AYA care services can be challenging for various reasons, including financial hurdles. Actively involving stakeholders through all the PD design phases can facilitate implementation.

To date, researchers have described two other PD projects where AYAs were involved. They reported that there was good engagement with AYAs in designing a care pathway, and an app was positively evaluated. However, these studies did not provide in-depth lessons about the use of PD as their main focus was on the developed products and services rather than evaluating the PD method.

The aim of this study is to summarize the lessons for employing PD when developing care services for AYAs. These insights may help health care professionals overcome the key challenges when employing PD to develop AYA care.

**Scientific Background to Case Studies**

Three case studies regarding food intake (Food for Care, FfC), intimacy and sexuality (I&S), and integrative medicine (IM) were identified by AYAs during an initial meeting organized by the AYA Care Network.

**Food for care**

The food intake of all oncology patients, including AYAs, tends to change substantially due to changes in taste, appetite, and experiencing pain when consuming food as a consequence of chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Even though 40%–80% of all individuals, including AYAs, with cancer suffer from malnutrition and poorer treatment outcomes, this issue is often overlooked.

**Sexuality & intimacy**

One-third of AYAs experience sexual dissatisfaction and one-third of these desire supportive care in this area. Cancer can interfere with normal sexual development. A combination of physical and psychological issues (body image) result in AYAs feeling less arousal, pleasure, and satisfaction at the beginning of their sexual development phase.

**Integrative medicine**

AYAs not only find the use of complementary therapies such as massage, music, acupuncture, and mindfulness meaningful but also challenging. Patients are often unsupervised by physicians when using complementary therapies. IM aims to combine mainstream medical and complementary therapies. To avoid pitfalls of “alternative medicine,” legal and ethical issues are addressed, for example, to cope with unsupervised administration of treatments, both patients and health care professionals need to be educated about drug interactions, product contaminants, other alternative therapies and evidence on effectiveness and safety using IM interventions.

**Method**

A qualitative multiple-case study method was employed following the COREQ guidelines.

**Methodological framework**

A multiple-case study approach was used to explore how PD is used in real-world applications to develop AYA care. Three case studies (FfC, I&S, and IM) were selected, in which PD meetings were held and PD tools used to develop AYA care. The PD projects were called “dream teams” by the stakeholders, emphasizing the collective creative aspect of developing better AYA care while daring to imagine completely new forms of care.

**Study design**

Data were collected and triangulated using documents, interviews with key stakeholders, and one observation. The data collection was conducted by the lead author (PV), who had experienced leukemia at a young age and was trained in qualitative research before this study. Face-to-face interviews of typically one hour duration were conducted (and audio recorded) at the workplace or by a video call (audio and video recording). The interview questions (see template in Appendix A1) were piloted and adapted if
necessary. Introductions were made at the start of the interviews apart from with the director of the AYA Care Network who was already well acquainted with PV. At the end of each interview, a summary of the interview was discussed for comments or corrections.

The key stakeholders (age range 23–58) interviewed were all intensively involved in one of the three case studies: four FfC, five I&S, and three IM (Table 1). Other stakeholders, who were not involved throughout the entire process, were not interviewed. They were in the FfC case: four AYA and three partners, a clinical manager, a chef, a catering company, and the hospital board of directors; in the I&S case: the Director of the AYA Care Network, six AYAs, a sexologist, a journalist, and several MSc student designers; in the IM case: the Director of the AYA Care Network, four AYAs, a specialist AYA care nurse, a massage therapist, and other complementary care specialists. The AYAs were not reimbursed and received information before the PD process started by the Director of the AYA Care Network about how to leverage their story for the greater good of this project.

Even though the contribution of all stakeholders had equal weight, there were one or more facilitators in each PD project. FfC was directly facilitated by the Director of the AYA Care Network; in I&S, there was cofacilitation with the Director of the AYA Care Network and a sexologist-urologist; and in IM, there was cofacilitation with the Director of the AYA Care Network, an oncologist and a pediatrician. The administration of the PD activities was supported by a secretarial assistant of the Director of the AYA Care Network.

Most of the documents studied (FfC: 100, I&S: 25) were collected through the director of the AYA Care network. No documents were available for IM. In each interview, stakeholders were asked if they could supply additional documents they had any. The documents consisted of meeting notes, photographs or drawings of prototype ideas, presentations, and the master’s dissertation of I&S Designer 1.38 Three further websites were consulted: of the AYA care network,39 of FfC,40 and of I&S.41 Observational data were collected during the most recent national AYA congress on the March 7, 201942 where the I&S project presented and discussed their findings. The researchers discussed the moment of data saturation at the end of the data collection process.

Ethical approval was granted by Erasmus Medical Centre Ethics Committee, no. MEC-2019-0232. The research data were solely managed by the lead researcher (P.V.).

### Data analysis

A timeline and summary of the interviews and documents of each case study was developed and annotated. The data were iteratively coded and analyzed in Atlas.ti by the lead researcher (P.V.) (Appendix A2). Ambiguities were discussed and resolved with other researchers (M.d.D. and A.d.D.). First, the process of the PD projects was mapped over three PD phases: exploration; creation; test; and a fourth phase of implementation was added. Second, thematic and open codes were used to analyze the key events in each phase further. Given the large amount of data available, visualizations were made of the idea process development for FfC in Microsoft PowerPoint. Third, the most emphasized success factors of each case study were identified per PD phase and grouped in themes (Appendix A2). The variation between these themes was compared across case studies. Lessons were drawn from this comparison when success factors were present in all three case studies or if other case studies could benefit from applying insights from another case study.

### Results

The FfC, I&S, and IM PD processes were at different stages at the time of data collection and all projects were still developing. The FfC PD process resulted in a new implemented food service40 for the entire hospital, reaching a much wider target audience than AYAs alone, potentially being implemented in other health care institutions. I&S had developed a podcast,43 two research articles about communication25,44 and a prototype website,38 and IM developed a pilot study about communication about IM topics by caregivers. Across the case studies, seven themes were identified (Table 2).

| Function                  | Education and background                                      | Gender |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Food for care (FfC)       | Director AYA Care Network and facilitator PhD, involved in patient and health care organizations, cancer survivor F |        |
| Dietician                 | AYA 25 years experience at oncology ward, including AYAs F    |        |
| Facility manager          | Trained chef M                                               |        |
| Intimacy and sexuality (I&S)| Sexologist-urologist and facilitator MD, treating AYAs F          |        |
| Oncologist                | AYA MD, treating AYAs                                          | F      |
| Designer 1                | MSc student medicine                                         | F      |
| Designer 2                | PhD student industrial design in health care M                |        |
| Integrative medicine (IM) | Oncologist MD, training integrative medicine, treating AYAs F |        |
| Pediatrician              | AYA MD, training integrative medicine, manager integrative medicine in hospital F |        |
| AYA                        | MSc law F                                                   |        |

AYA, adolescent and young adult.
Table 2. Themes Identified Regarding the Use of Participatory Design to Develop Adolescent and Young Adult Care (Translated from Dutch)

| Theme                                                                 | Example interview quotes (function of key stakeholder, case study)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Recruiting key local stakeholders directly exposed to the problem leads to synergy | ...at the end of the workshop there was a call, that they indeed wanted to start a dream team, and which AYAs would be interested to join, then I registered immediately with [Oncologist, IM], I talked with her and we were immediately enthusiastic, so we thought, we have to do this together... (AYA, IM) [AYA Care Network Director] came at a certain point around the corner, while I was a dietician at oncology and nephrology, and at some point she came to me and said. Can we have a chat? And what I really liked from the very start is that she always put the patient in the centre... (Dietician, FfC) |
| Using design tools helps to explore the problem from different angles | I can recall that in the first meeting we tried to map out the process for the coming 2 years. It started with surveys for AYAs and for care providers. That was the part that the researchers who were in the room were good at. And then, after that, we were kind of sketching out what should be the steps to take beyond those interviews and more sort of knowledge generation, and they kind of liked that. (Designer 1, I&S) Yes, what fascinates me is another look at things,... for example TU Delft, they are looking in a completely other way at different communications channels then us, and they are also looking in a different conceptual way at communication and that is very fascinating... I am very interested in how they look conceptually at the entire problem and how they would deal with the problem in a creative way (Sexologist-Urologist, I&S) |
| By listening and conducting research first a deeper shared understanding was created | I think it is good if you begin broadly and look what the needs are, and I think it is good we immediately sent out a questionnaire, because this allows you to take targeted action, instead of thinking what people want and make something nonsensical, I think this order in the process was important (AYA, I&S) At a conference in 2008,... experts said already 10 years we are trying to make sexuality a subject of conversation in the consultation room... and nothing changes... and now things are getting better, but let’s say you need to change the structure and you need a kind of trial and error to research other things... from the medical profession we always think we know what’s best for the patient... but in reality we are not listening to our patients to hear how they would their care services to be. (Sexologist-Urologist, I&S) |
| Taking small steps toward a vision facilitates imagination          | I do not remember if (AYA Care Network Director) said it in exactly this way, but it was like, to have big dreams, but to do it in small steps. It is also really like that if you want to keep your feet on the ground, as I experienced myself (AYA, IM) Yes, that was one of the biggest challenges for me, because the enthusiasm was there, because we always got a lot of energy from it, but it all has to happen next to our normal job, which already took a lot of time (Oncologist, IM) |
| Respecting stakeholders in an equal collaborative environment fosters learning | Within my department, to my boss, I was the dietician and I remained the dietician. (Director AYA Care Network) saw that, and yes you should leave that to (Dietician). And she showed trust in me when I said, oh I will do that... yes, because when you collaborate you also get this feeling of each other. She really let me keep my own values. (Dietician, FfC) Yes we did that in fact all together, wat we want to achieve, as dream team, it was more like a presentation round, everyone is introducing himself or herself, [Oncologist] also presented a Powerpoint presentation.…. What is integrative medicine, what is integrative oncology...I really liked it that is was an equal group where everyone was sharing their stories (Pediatrician, IM) |
| Designers and skilled people help to translate ideas into prototypes | A group of design students suggested a few ideas as to how they could use their way of looking at things to design for this subject. So this was a kind of assignment, it was a lot of fun, and at a certain moment they gave presentations to us about different options, and they also had interviews with AYAs (Sexologist-Urologist, I&S) We involved a food designer, it all went very organically, when [Facility manager] heard from AYAs how they experienced the food and drinks, they said, let’s go to the kitchen, So, what tastes so bad what food doesn’t taste good? And then they tried all kinds of dishes. (Director AYA Care Network, FfC) |
| A hierarchical hospital environment, business considerations and additionally required evidence prolong the PD process | In hindsight, I think that in the second part, scaling up the pilot to multiple departments and the entire hospital, everyone started to get involved, because it was a very successful project. So, everyone was pursuing their own interests. … Then a sort of hierarchy came into play about who will manage who. (Dietician) had nice beautiful ideas, which she could realize in the dream team and which she successfully concluded the first research pilot with. However, she could not express them adequately in the second research pilot, which no longer belonged to the dream team. (Director AYA Care Network, FfC) After it dissolved in Food for Care, let’s say, actually in the period that the business case was made, … in reality the catering company as a business, because they needed 600k food and drinks, nobody had the money, eventually we found the money with the catering company, so in that phase, it went pretty quickly, they were like thank you for the work...that is where the dream team stopped, because we knew what we wanted [AYA] and [Dietician] were there...but eventually you have to start looking at the business side of things to make it viable for the coming years and then the dreaming is over (Facility manager, FfC) |
Recruiting key local stakeholders directly exposed to the problem leads to synergy

The key stakeholders were interested in solving a problem that they had been previously exposed to. They were recruited in their local environment, where they were in contact with the problem, for example, at their workplace or where they studied, or at an event they attended. The dietician and the facility manager were recruited (FfC) at the hospital ward where the project was taking place. Designers were recruited (I&S) at a design school together with an AYA to present that project more vividly. AYAs were recruited (IM) through a national AYA conference after a presentation on IM. During initial PD sessions, the “dreams” of each stakeholder to solve the problem were made explicit and each stakeholder was motivated to understand each other’s dream. They therefore felt a shared experience of the problem and a joint mission to solve it together, as they realized that they could not solve the problem on their own. Joining all these stakeholders in this way leads to a “synergy.” They were enthusiastic to contribute their extensive experience to solve the problems, and they were motivated to create solutions. They also had networks of people who made important contributions such as a food designer and chef in FfC and a journalist in I&S. In summary, a synergy emerged when stakeholders were recruited with relevant experience in places where they were directly exposed to the problem.

Using design tools helps to explore the problem from different angles

Design tools were used to visualize what was being discussed. Broadly framing a problem can prove challenging, as indeed the IM stakeholders experienced, and it can take considerable time to gather information. In the I&S case, the problem was framed more narrowly with the help of Designers 1 and 2 by visualizing the problem through a mind-map (Fig. 1) and a so-called customer journey, which visualizes the journey of the patient (Fig. 2). The mind-map helped them to more easily discuss both solvable problems, such as improving communication, and more difficult problems, such as a system-wide health care change. To get a better understanding of the place and time of the communication problem, Designer 1 developed a customer journey based on interviews with AYAs and other stakeholders. This helped to pin down the communication problem in the complex referral process (Fig. 2).

By listening and conducting research, first a deeper shared understanding was created

The facilitators in each case explicitly invited everyone to share their own stories and dreams to improve care, and they actively sought AYA input. They facilitated an open and safe atmosphere that allowed empathy to grow between...
stakeholders and between the stakeholders and the problem. In doing so, the stakeholders became aware that their frustrations and dreams were similar and that connecting dreams worked synergistically. To further understand the communication problem identified in the I&S case, a survey was conducted. The survey revealed that there was a misalignment in expectations about who should provide information, and that AYAs preferred to engage about this topic with nurses and sexologists. AYAs further indicated that the preferred mode of conversation was through a website, which contradicted the assumption made before the study that people would prefer an app.

**Taking small steps toward a vision facilitates imagination**

The Director of the AYA Care Network used particular wording to stimulate imagination and collaboration among stakeholders: “taking small steps” to make the progress achievable while holding on to “a vision on the horizon” to maintain a sense of direction. For example, the vision developed in the IM case included specialist nurses for complementary care, websites with reliable information, greater awareness, a referral network, and reimbursement structures. Combining an open approach with a sense of direction was crucial for some stakeholders, who felt that the problem was overwhelming. By having a direction, stakeholders could carry out activities outside the meetings and were seeking feedback in their own communities. A key challenge throughout the process was to keep that sense of direction, given that not everyone could attend every meeting. Health care professionals had conflicting agendas, and many had to combine what was voluntary work with their official job.

**Respecting stakeholders in an equal collaborative environment fosters learning**

Following the cocreation process of the AYA Care Network, the sharing of ideas and experiences was promoted by showing respect and empathy toward all stakeholders (Fig. 3). For instance, to emphasize that everyone would be involved equally in the process, the care professionals would
not be allowed to wear white coats during the meeting and the Director of the AYA Care network, would use a virtual “eraser” at the start of meetings to emphasize the openness toward diverse backgrounds and cultures. This was important as the stakeholders were used to working in the hierarchical settings of health care institutions. The democratic environment that was created equalized the power relationships and stimulated knowledge sharing and knowledge integration, with each stakeholder contributing essential knowledge that others lacked.

Learning from each other about technical topics was important given the range of stakeholders. In the IM case, the oncologist presented an ethical framework (Appendix A3) that helped the other stakeholders understand how physicians define complementary care. In the FfC case, the idea was developed to use a “waiter” to encourage the patients, which was identified through a combination of patients’ needs and the expertise of the Facility Manager (Fig. 4). Here, the knowledge of the Dietician also helped in sourcing ingredients to help patients recover. This was combined with the knowledge of the AYA cancer patients on what flavors and portions were desired.

Designers and skilled people help to translate ideas into prototypes

The involvement of people such as designers or journalists could be decisive in coming up with potential solutions because other stakeholders realized that they needed someone with more creative skills to help them visualize their ideas about a solution. In the I&S case, Master’s student designers took on the challenge to develop solutions, which were further developed by Designer 1. This designer developed further prototypes for an app, which were turned into a website following the survey, to provide information and guidance for AYAs with questions about I&S (Appendix A4). In the FfC case, a designer helped visualize the food dishes (Fig. 5), and a highly rated chef gave crucial input for producing the prototypes. Research has shown that the FfC project did improve the experience and health of patients.45,46 In the I&S case, a journalist experienced in sexuality communication helped to combine the knowledge of AYA cancer patients and sexologists in a podcast containing several episodes.43

FIG. 3. Cocreation process of the AYA Care Network “The AYA Network way of doing things.”

FIG. 4. Presenting food to AYA cancer patients in the Food for Care project: a result of integrating experiences of different stakeholders. AYA, adolescent and young adult. Photo printed with permission.
A hierarchical hospital environment, business considerations, and additionally required evidence prolong the PD process

Due to the success of the initial pilot study of the FfC prototypes service, the board of directors was highly impressed and wanted to explore the possibility to provide FfC for the entire hospital. At this point, there was a long delay in the process for various reasons. First, two extra pilot studies had to be conducted for different patient groups and the results had to be included in a revised business case. Second, negotiations regarding the business case with the board of directors and the catering company took longer than expected. Third, there were additional bilateral discussions between the hospital and the catering company as the food could not be prepared in the hospital kitchen and food assistants would have to be contracted by the catering company to provide the innovative formula for the entire hospital.

To overcome these hurdles of FfC, crucial attributes required of the AYA Director were described by other FfC stakeholders as being solution-oriented, daring and strategic, and being able to connect people. Once the Director of the AYA Care Network could no longer take part in the project, the hierarchical hospital environment resurfaced. Only after 2 years of piloting with other patient groups, developing a business case for the whole hospital and negotiations, was the business case accepted and the FfC ideas embedded in several of the hospital’s wards. Notably, the Facility Manager stressed that upscaling this project only seemed to be successful in those wards where a PD approach was used to redesign the original FfC service to meet the needs of their patients and the setting. Even though I&S and IM were not at the implementation phase, the challenge of the hierarchical hospital environment also resonated with them as they were consciously looking for solutions outside of the hospital frame to experiment with new forms of care. In addition, IM suffers from the image of quackery of “alternative medicine,” which meant that they had to be extra careful when starting a pilot study.

**Discussion**

Table 3 presents the key lessons from the three case studies and makes practical recommendations on how to develop a successful service or product for AYA care. To employ PD to develop care for AYAs, one needs to involve designers and skilled people, PD tools, and an open-ended approach to visualize and materialize new forms of care. Through the initiative of a designer to use PD tools, the I&S stakeholders were able to quickly identify the problem. Furthermore, the designer’s skills were instrumental in both the I&S and FfC projects quickly developing prototypes. Furthermore, the skills of the journalist (I&S) and a highly rated chef (FfC) were crucial in developing prototypes. Using the inputs of a designer and other skilled people, and employing the PD tools in an open-ended way, helped all stakeholders to develop novel solutions they would not have thought of on their own.

**Table 3. Lessons and Practical Implications to Employ Participatory Design to Develop Adolescent and Young Adult Care**

| Key lessons                                                                 | Practical implications                                                                 | PD reference                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Recruiting key local stakeholders directly exposed to the problem leads to  | Identify locations where people are exposed to the problem and recruit those with     | Theory of knowledge in creative process9                                           |
| synergy                                                                    | relevant knowledge and motivated to solve it                                           |                                                                             |
| Using design tools helps to explore the problem from different angles       | Use mind-maps or customer journeys to explore problem                                  | Mind-map,10 journey,12 other tools,14 examples9                                   |
| By listening and conducting research first a deeper shared understanding was| Be empathic with stakeholders and be willing to change assumptions                     | Empathy,9,12 beginner’s mind-set12                                               |
| created                                                                    | Use an open-ended approach without a specific goal to achieve                          | Open-ended approach9                                                             |
| Taking small steps toward a vision facilitates imagination                  | Create a safe space where input is equally respected by all stakeholders (nonhierarchical) | Principles of democracy and mutual learning9,52                                 |
| Respecting stakeholders in an equal collaborative environment fosters      | Involve designers and people who have skills to develop the product or service        | Brainstorming and prototyping techniques10,12 Testing,12 principle of democracy9,52 |
| learning                                                                   | Maintain the PD process by preserving democracy, consider involving implementation     |                                                                            |
| Designers and skilled people help to translate ideas into prototypes      | stakeholders early (i.e., financiers)                                                 |                                                                            |
| A hierarchical hospital environment, business considerations, and additionally required evidence prolong the PD process |                                                                                      |                                                                            |

PD, participatory design.
In addition, recruitment and facilitation techniques helped to leverage knowledge and cooperation among the stakeholders. Stakeholders were brought together who had been exposed to a particular problem and had relevant expertise in the area. Each stakeholder was limited by their own field of knowledge, and the integration of this knowledge proved essential later in the prototyping process. The knowledge integration process was facilitated by creating an open-minded environment and equalizing power relationships by showing empathy and respect. Also, enabling the collaborative development of innovative care, participants found this approach to be highly satisfying and joyful.

Furthermore, the PD tools that the designers used helped to create a shared frame between stakeholders, in which new solutions could emerge. The mind-map in I&S, for example, set out the various ways in which one could frame the problem, that is, as an information “low hanging fruit” problem, as a conversational problem or as a service problem. The visualization of the food dishes in FfC helped the non-designer stakeholders to frame the solutions as food dishes, rather than separate ingredients.

In line with earlier publications, the supportive role of design tools is highlighted as they help to share stories in a mutual language and build trust between staff and patients. The findings favor the use of creative PD tools over a research-focused PD approach that had been used in a previous AYA PD project. Involving a designer avoids “quick-fix” solutions – solutions which do not address the more fundamental underlying issues of a problem. Furthermore, an open-ended approach is recommended in early design phases to enhance the creative process.

Similar to what this study highlights, others have also stressed the importance of recruitment and knowledge generation requires further examination. Facilitating a “beginner’s mind set” is recommended as a way to overcome previous assumptions and iterate in the PD process. To this end, stimulating a democratic environment and enabling mutual learning should be promoted as it is emphasized as a key aspect of PD. Similar to what this study highlights, others have also stressed the importance of recruitment and knowledge integration. However, the relationship of recruitment and knowledge generation requires further examination. Facilitating a “beginner’s mind set” is recommended as a way to overcome previous assumptions and iterate in the PD process. To this end, stimulating a democratic environment and enabling mutual learning should be promoted as it is emphasized as a key aspect of PD.

Turning to the implications for the development of AYA care, the findings highlight the need to integrate tools and techniques from PD in health care innovation practices. The hierarchical and clinical research-focused approach to delivering and developing care, which emphasizes high-level evidence from randomized controlled trials, is in sharp contrast to employing an open-ended creative design mind-set. Even though health care institutions are increasing multidisciplinary activities, these are still far from creative PD practices. As the deeply rooted cultural differences pose challenges, special attention should be given to highlighting the value of PD to health care stakeholders.

Future recruitment strategies for using PD in an AYA setting could focus on identifying effective locations, where AYA care professionals, AYAs, and designers are based. In addition, financial and politically involved stakeholders could be approached at an early stage to hasten the implementation process. To anticipate the implementation process, we would recommend engaging the manager of the cancer center and board members at an early stage. This may require some patience and slow the process down, but this patience may pay off later. The process may speed up due to their help to manage potential financial issues, building a robust business case, and advocating to other decision-makers within the often complex hierarchical hospital management structure. Furthermore, considering their involvement, one should try to explicitly discuss the need to conduct pilot studies early on so that data can be collected to demonstrate the required clinical and organizational value. To secure the longevity of the project, one should make sure that when replacing team members, one should communicate the required competencies clearly to avoid a conflict of interest.

A range of creative, tell, make, and enact tools can be used to leverage the engagement of AYAs. Adopting an open-ended approach to manage these tools may be a significant contribution in the context of AYA care since this age group goes through a continuous identity construction process and a series of important life phases.

Facilitating the PD process should not be underestimated in the AYA context given the need and value in respecting the various stakeholders. Creating a safe and efficient process is perhaps even more crucial, given the limited time available to stakeholders employed in the health care sector. Elsewhere, it has been suggested that momentum in the PD process can be built through ownership and inclusion in ideation, setting expectations, and encouraging a critical attitude to constraints. Furthermore, these facilitation techniques may be particularly crucial in sustaining the PD process when moving from a design testing phase to an implementation phase, as was seen in the FfC project.

The main limitations of this study are related to the data collection process as only key stakeholders were interviewed and there were no direct observations of meetings. Interviewing more stakeholders of each type of stakeholder could enrich the findings and provide more interdisciplinary insights. Possible insights may have been missed due to recall bias, given that this was a complex interactive process. Nevertheless, a comprehensive image of events was reconstructed through triangulating a range of data sources. Although each case study was focused on a different problem, involving different stakeholders, one may still question the generalizability of the lessons drawn. Future research could validate the findings by examining PD applications in other, non-Dutch, AYA projects.

Conclusions

For a PD process to successfully develop care for AYAs, one needs to use designers and skilled people, PD tools, and an open-ended approach to visualize and materialize new forms of care. Furthermore, recruitment and facilitation techniques help leverage knowledge, raise awareness among stakeholders of different perspectives, and create a synergy in a democratic environment between stakeholders.
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Appendix

Appendix 1: Template of interview questions

- General:
  - What phase is the dream team in now?
  - How long have you been a member of the dream team?
  - How did you define the problem at the start of the process?
- Stakeholder recruitment and management (Thematic PD element)
  - What recruitment strategy was used to recruit you and the other members?
  - How were the participants managed during the process?
  - Was there an emphasis on a horizontal process, learning, or clear communication? If so, how was this done?
- Tools (Thematic PD element)
  - Which tools or techniques were used during the sessions to elicit ideas?

(Appendix continues →)
Was a designer introduced to help with using designer tools?

- Dynamics of process
  - Did you move to a side-track process once in a while? For instance, during a problem-solving process, did you decide to clarify the problem or question the original starting point?
  - Can you describe the dynamic you felt in the group?
  - When did you feel there was progress, and when did you feel you were going backwards?
  - Why did you choose to change the process at a certain point? Why did you conduct research?
  - Can you think of any elements you considered or that you took for granted at the start of the process?
  - Can you think of any facts, data or opinions you took with you to the first meeting at the start of the process?

- Idea selection
  - What role do you think the different participants played in your dream team?
  - In which instances did you push your ideas? How did you do it?
  - When did you know there was agreement or disagreement in the group?
  - When did you disagree with the group?
  - Did you at any point actively try to provide as many ideas as you could? Did you actively try to come up with diverse ideas?
  - Did you apply ideas from a colleague, with a different background, to your own thoughts?

- Outcomes (Thematic PD element)
  - What objectives did you have in mind for this dream team? What outcomes did you use to quantify these objectives in an evaluation?

- Evaluation of PD (Thematic PD element)
  - What do you believe are the challenges and successes of your dream team?
  - Did you try to manage certain variables that would maximize the variability of ideas? Did you try to maximize stakeholder recruitment or management, the tools or dynamics in the process, or the selection of ideas? How did this affect the output of the process?
  - Were you, as participants, managed in a way you found useful?
  - What lessons do you draw from your experience?

Appendix 2: Coding examples and most emphasized themes

1. Examples of codes

| Code Type     | Code                           | Description                                                                 |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Thematic codes| Recruitment                    | Activities or strategies related to finding and recruiting stakeholders     |
|               | Tools                          | PD tools used or described<sup>A1,A2</sup>                                  |
|               | Stakeholder management         | Actions related to facilitating and handling the PD process and collaboration |
|               | Success factors                | or conflicts between stakeholders<sup>A3,A5</sup>                           |
|               | Problem definition             | Elements of the PD process contributing to the development of the service or |
|               | Trial and error                | Activities related to identifying the AYA problem                           |
| Open codes    | Open-ended approach            | Actions related to managing stakeholders without providing a specific       |
|               | Importance of patient perception| The perceptions of stakeholders about the role of patients (including AYAs)  |
|               | End of dream team              | Actions related to the end of the PD process                               |

2. Most emphasized themes and occurrence per case study

| PD phase | Most emphasized themes                                      | Related codes (Open = O, Thematic = T) | Food for care | Sexuality and intimacy | Integrative medicine |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Exploration | Recruiting key local stakeholders directly exposed to the problem leads to synergy | Recruitment (T), Success factors (T) | x             | x                      | x                    |
| Exploration | Using design tools helps to explore the problem from different angles | Tools (T), Success factors (T) |               |                        |                      |
| Exploration | By listening and conducting research first, a deeper shared understanding was created | Problem definition (O), Research (O), Success factors (T) | x             | x                      |                      |

(Appendix continues →)
Appendix 3: A Shared Ethical Framework in the Integrative Medicine Project (initially based on the work of Kemper46)

| PD phase | Most emphasized themes | Related codes (Open = O, Thematic = T) | Food for care | Sexuality and intimacy | Integrative medicine |
|----------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Creation | Taking small steps toward a vision facilitates imagination | Stakeholder management (T), Open-ended approach (O), Trial and error (O), Success factors (T) | x | x | x |
| Creation | Respecting stakeholders in an equal collaborative environment fosters learning | Stakeholder management (T), Success factors (T) | x | x | x |
| Creation | Designers and skilled people help to translate ideas into prototypes | Tools (T), Designer (O), Success factors (T) | x | x | |
| Test and Implementation | A hierarchical hospital environment, business considerations, and additionally required evidence prolong the PD process | Hierarchy (O), End of dream team (O), Implementation (O), Success factors (T) | | | x |

Appendix 4: Prototype mock-up screens of app for Intimacy and Sexuality project

(Appendix continues →)
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