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Abstract

Sedilia are the ceremonial seats of the priest, deacon, and subdeacon placed to the south of the altar. In Gothic church architecture, they typically take the form of three deep niches, recessed into the thickness of the wall, surmounted by arches and separated by shafts. These types of sedilia are most well-known from English churches of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. This essay looks to explain why sedilia became so popular in England, through a consideration of trends in English architecture. With the help of Nikolaus Pevsner’s characterization of the country’s art from The Englishness of English Art, it will argue that the basic decorative language of sedilia is entrenched in trends first developed in the Anglo-Norman Romanesque. It will also suggest, however, that regional variations in the distribution of sedilia complicate the idea of a single “national style”.
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Sedilia—the seats for the priest, the deacon, and subdeacon—are a familiar sight in English churches (fig. 1). The vast majority of English medieval sedilia take the characteristic form of three niches, set into the thickness of the south wall by the altar, surmounted by arches and separated by shafts. This architectural feature, which I have dubbed the “classic” sedilia, is widely noted as being considerably more common in England than overseas. Other solutions for adapting the walls of the chancel to seat the officiating clergy are known: single wide niches (fig. 2), stone arm-chairs, and the simple drop-sill sedilia (fig. 3), but these are relatively rare when the corpus of English sedilia is looked at as a whole. Examples of surviving wooden medieval sedilia are very rare, and documentation suggests that where stone sedilia were not present, the furniture was extremely simple. Unlike piscinas—the drains for the sacred ablutions of the Eucharist—stone sedilia were not a liturgical necessity: apparently being somewhat of an architectural luxury. Sedilia in England seem on the whole to have been so consistent in their design that they can be said to have constituted a genre: a category of artwork with a common function, but also characterized by common forms that made their function clearly intelligible.

Figure 1.
Sedilia in the chancel, Parish Church of St James, Audley, Staffordshire, first quarter of 14th century. Digital image courtesy of James Alexander Cameron.
Figure 2.
Single-niche sedilia in the presbytery, Kirkstall Abbey, West Yorkshire, late 1150s. Digital image courtesy of James Alexander Cameron.
Sedilia first appeared sporadically in the twelfth century. By the early decades of the thirteenth century they became standard in most newly built English parish church chancels, such as Cherry Hinton in Cambridgeshire (fig. 4). It is not until the late thirteenth century that sedilia begin to be found in continental Gothic churches, and here they often lack dividing shafts, the almost ubiquitous feature of the English classic sedilia. The heyday of sedilia occurred during the first half of the fourteenth century, when the majority of all medieval sets in Great Britain were made: Audley in Staffordshire is an example of their typical appearance at this time (fig. 1). Sedilia associated with the Perpendicular, our de facto national style by the fifteenth century, are comparatively rare, constituting around one-sixth of the total corpus of medieval English sedilia. Textual sources, such as
liturgical rubrics, diocesan synods, and church inventories throughout the medieval period to the Reformation, do not provide an answer for the proliferation of mural sedilia in England. Rather, the great number of sedilia in England and their distinct appearance seems to be largely determined by regional architectural style and aesthetics, providing both the environment for them to develop and determining the essential grammar of their forms.

Figure 4.
Sedilia in chancel, Parish Church of St Andrew, Cherry Hinton, Cambridgeshire, ca. 1220s–30s. Digital image courtesy of James Alexander Cameron.

Much work has been done regarding the peculiarity of English art, most famously Pevsner’s controversial *The Englishness of English Art* based on his BBC Reith Lectures of 1955. Pevsner ambitiously characterized all English art as essentially linear: the flaming line of William Blake, the Decorated Style and the landscape garden, paralleled with the rectilinear grid of Perpendicular and Georgian architecture. Pevsner’s *Kunstgeographie*, which was descriptive rather than explanatory of causal factors, has been criticized by a number of scholars; especially in relation to the Middle Ages where the concept of the post-Westphalian nation state is anachronistic. Causation aside, Pevsner’s description of formal trends is nonetheless valid. This article will therefore use Pevsner’s characterization of broad trends in the style of English art to help explain the great numbers of sedilia in England; ultimately relating this to the unique situation of the wholesale rebuilding after the Norman Conquest and subsequent reactions to the Gothic style of France.
Sedilia and the English Squared East End

One important element that seems to have contributed to the development of sedilia in England was the wholesale rejection of the apsidal east end after the end of the twelfth century. Rounded apses remained popular on the continent throughout the Middle Ages, both preserved in Romanesque churches and newly built in polygonal form. In England, however, they were frequently demolished and squared-off, and Gothic polygonal terminations are extraordinarily rare. The earliest examples of sedilia from the twelfth century, whether classic or simple single niches, appear exclusively in long square-ended chancels, never those with apsidal east ends. Partly due to the difficulty of recessing a sculptural feature into a curved wall, this must be a major factor in the popularity of sedilia in this country. Therefore to understand the Englishness of English sedilia one must first investigate another peculiarly English genre whose architectural development has been under-considered: the parish church chancel.

By the late thirteenth century, the English parish church was legally defined as having a chancel (also referred to as *cancellum* or *chorum*), for the upkeep of which the rector was financially responsible, and a nave (generally referred to as “the body of the church”, or simply “the church”), which was the responsibility of the laypeople of the parish. By the thirteenth century, the chancel in England also had a generic formal appearance. It was typically unaisled, and practically always with a flat east wall, which by the fourteenth century was generally filled with a large east window representing the most impressive monumental design in the building. The evidence of the altar’s stone furnishings—namely sedilia and piscina—proves that the altar was always towards the east end of the chancel. These stone furnishings may have been an ensemble with a stone altar reredos either built into the east wall or a few feet away from it as a screen for an eastern vestry. However, the near-comprehensive destruction of such furnishings along with stone altars in the Edwardian Reformation leaves sedilia as often the most ornate stonework remaining in the chancel. This makes them the most consistently interesting feature to subject to formal analysis.

Sedilia were originally a utilitarian solution rather than a desirable object. They have the advantage over wooden furniture of keeping the area around the altar uncluttered, by allowing the officiating clergy, in ascending order of hierarchy, to sit inside the wall when not actively involved in the liturgy. Such an arrangement is not required in a cathedral-scale church where the area around the high altar is aisled, and the officiating clergy can retreat to seats placed between the piers of the arcade.
It has been suggested that the change from the apse to the squared east end is the result of the altar being placed flush against the east wall. However, this leaves the question of why the apse did not disappear on the continent, where altars were also moved further east in church buildings as the belief in the Real Presence in the Eucharist strengthened. Also, late medieval altars did not often seem to be completely flush with the east wall—suggested by the position of many wall piscinas a few steps east from the south-east corner of chancels—discouraging a simple causal relationship between altar position and architectural plan.

During the first millennium, church plans were markedly unlike the late medieval type, partly defined by their fundamentally different seating plans. The plans of the earliest Roman state churches were based on the imperial tribunal basilica, and ended in a rounded apse with the altar on its chord, while around the perimeter of the apse ran a bench with a throne in the middle for the use of the celebrant. This plan spread around western Europe, with one modification. Rome’s early churches are unusual in that the main apses are occidented, meaning that when the priest celebrated eastward, he was facing the congregation. Everywhere else, it was standard to build the primary apse facing east, so the priest would pray in the same direction as the congregation.

This layout, known as the basilican plan, was probably used throughout Anglo-Saxon England, although the architectural evidence has largely been found through excavation rather than extant fabric. Two such examples are the great apsed minster church of Reculver in Kent (fig. 5) and the tiny two-celled local church of Raunds Furnells in Northamptonshire (fig. 6). Both of these have been interpreted as having their eastern cell used for seating the clergy, with the altar standing separate from this space in the main body of the church. Seeking evidence for the use of the basilican plan in twelfth-century buildings is difficult, due to the comprehensive reordering of liturgical space that occurred by the late Middle Ages. However, the well-preserved mid-twelfth-century building of Stewkley in Buckinghamshire, consisting of nave, tower, and east cell, has a portion of an armed bench surviving in its eastern cell. The thirteenth-century piscina shows that this became the chancel in the century after its erection, the altar of which would have destroyed the eastern portion of the bench. However, the evidence of a surviving southern return of the bench suggests that this eastern cell was originally a space for the clergy, with the altar further forward under the tower, showing that the presence of an apse is not proof of its sanctuary status.
Figure 5.
Reconstruction of the Anglo-Saxon Minster of Reculver, Kent, 8th century (reconstruction by Peter Urmston for English Heritage). Digital image courtesy of Peter Urmston.
Instead, the presence of a rounded or squared east end should be seen as a stylistic choice. The likelihood that many twelfth-century churches still preserved the basilican plan, keeping the altar further forward, is supported by the phenomenon of the pillar piscina (fig. 7). In Norman times the drain for the sacred ablutions appears to have been typically a drain in the centre of the floor behind the altar, with a hollow pillar above providing easy access. These pillar piscinas have only ever been found either through excavation, such as at Wellingore in Lincolnshire, or as part of Gothic wall piscinas in the late Middle Ages. This latter phenomenon shows how basilican plan churches were refitted for later liturgical trends.
Sedilia are the most important indicator of this new liturgical topography. Simple single-niche sedilia, with little or no decoration and of a width suitable to seat three, appear sporadically in churches across Europe in the twelfth century, especially in the unaisled choirs of the Cistercians, such as Kirkstall Abbey in West Yorkshire, built in the late 1150s (fig. 2). These, along with wall piscinas, are the first proof that an alternative way of laying out the east end of a church was emerging in the twelfth century. The earliest set of classic sedilia can be found in the church of St Mary de Castro, Leicester, probably dating from the 1170s or 1180s (fig. 8). The status of this church as a newly endowed collegiate church is important—it was first provided with a dean and twelve canons by the newly appointed first Earl of Leicester, Robert de Beaumont, around 1107. In 1143 his son transferred the original endowments to the new Augustinian abbey in Leicester, and in 1164–67 the
church was refounded as a college of eight clerks subordinate to the abbey.  
This complicated history of the college of St Mary is reflected in its architectural fabric, which, before the addition of a large south aisle in the thirteenth century, was an unaisled building of at least three Romanesque campaigns.  

![Figure 8. Sedilia in chancel, Parish and former collegiate church of St Mary de Castro, Leicestershire, 1170s-80s. Digital image courtesy of James Alexander Cameron.](image)

The only other evidence for Romanesque classic sedilia are the fragmentary remains at Castle Hedingham in Essex, where the original arches have been filled in with pastiche Romanesque-style sedilia in Henry Woodyer’s restoration of 1870-72; and Hook Norton in Oxfordshire, which has fragments of what appear to be early classic sedilia.  

Castle Hedingham’s name is an indication of its similarity to St Mary de Castro in Leicester, and how endowment from the local castle must have enabled it to have a sizeable clerical staff as well as an ambitious building programme. Hook Norton’s former central tower suggests that it was previously an Anglo-Saxon minster, maintaining its pre-Conquest status as home to a number of resident clerics.

What these churches represent is the modification of the standard basilican plan to place the altar right at the end of the church. The first reason why they may have chosen this new plan was due to the larger number of attending clerics in a college. The new layout flips the arrangement of the clerics and the altar. Instead of the whole staff sitting behind the altar, they
attended in the western half of the chancel, while those involved with celebration of the Mass sat in the sedilia in the sanctuary. This would have been encouraged by the growing belief in the Real Presence in the consecrated Host, and thus the provision of a more exclusive venue for the Eucharistic liturgy away from the laity. In retrospect we can see that this type of building and liturgical topography, which initially emerged from a situation of variety and experimentation, became the accepted plan for the parish church chancel. In the thirteenth century, the number of clergy nationwide began to rise, bringing many parishes to a level that would have been uncommon in the twelfth century, and thereby causing a previously elite design to have wider application. Once we accept the consolidation of the shape of the mature English chancel around 1200—an unaisled box with a flat east end—we can understand the stylistic environment that enabled sedilia to develop and later flourish.

But, if it is not due to liturgical topography and altar placement, what is the essential cause of the English squared east end? The suppression of the apse for the square east end has been seen as part of a re-emergence of an Anglo-Saxon identity following the imposition of a foreign form by the Norman invaders. The change from early Norman architecture in Britain to the mature Anglo-Norman Romanesque has been described as a gradual “naturalisation” into English institutions, 29 or even as part of a conscious formation of a “national” style. 30 The apse was, however, a major element in pre-Conquest church architecture, certainly in the early Kentish churches such as Reculver (fig. 5) and in early Romanesque buildings such as Edward the Confessor’s Abbey at Westminster. The squared east end may have been the most common form in Anglo-Saxon churches, but there were as many rectangular east ends in Normandy before the Conquest as there were in Anglo-Saxon England. 31 This makes the argument of a conscious idea of the square east end as distinctively “Saxon” untenable. Furthermore, it is debatable whether there could have been such a concept of a “national style” of architecture at this period, and such deliberate political intent behind the forms of buildings. 32

In *The Englishness of English Art*, Pevsner considered the square east end as part of his characterization of the English tendency toward the linear, in the tradition of the formal analysis of Heinrich Wölfflin. 33 However, unlike Wölfflin, Pevsner saw art as the unfolding of a Hegelian zeitgeist. 34 He argues for an “irrational” English character that avoids shaping space, instead displaying a preference for flat, linear decoration. 35 Ultimately, the conclusion of *The Englishness of English Art* tries to rationalize the English love of “line” with the geography, climate, and insularity of the island of Britain, not unlike Winckelmann’s eighteenth-century understanding of the climate of Ancient Greece as providing the perfect conditions for Athenian
art. 36 Even if his attribution of the causes are unconvincing, Pevsner’s observations on common trends in English medieval architecture do prove useful: despite the insufficient grounding in historical context, they help to explain the unconscious formation of distinctive national styles. We shall explore more justifiable reasons for the essential aesthetic of English medieval architecture when we investigate the next aspect of the Englishness of English sedilia: their distinctive arched appearance.

**Dado Arcades and the Forms of the Classic Sedilia**

We have seen that the sedilia at St Mary de Castro in Leicester are extremely important as the only preserved classic sedilia in the Romanesque style. Nevertheless, they should not be taken as representative of an invention which had immediate influence. Instead, classic sedilia were probably being built alongside single-niche sedilia for several decades. However, it is undeniable that their basic form ultimately proved overwhelmingly popular as the way to create sedilia. Why did this type of sedilia become so commonplace in England and not elsewhere?

Classic sedilia rarely have ergonomic motifs such as arm-rests common to wooden furniture or thrones, and instead rely on an almost purely architectural vocabulary. 37 The most important formal precedent for classic sedilia is decorative blind arcades, most specifically those at dado level. Blind arcades have been noted as common in Romanesque and Gothic architecture in England, a linear approach to ornament at odds with the Gothic spaces of France. 38 An interest in the decorative potential of miniature arches and shafts was not confined to England, but nevertheless the country does display a particular fondness for the motif. 39 Anglo-Norman twelfth-century church facades stand in particular contrast to the French tradition of the harmonic facade with grand portals encasing figural sculpture. 40 Decorative arcading has been called the “Englishman’s favourite motif”, and the English great church west front referred to as an “orgy of arcading” (fig. 9). 41
Figure 9.
West front of the Augustinian Priory of St Botolph, Colchester, before 1177. Digital image courtesy of Alamy / Photo: Rodger Tamblyn.
More importantly for sedilia, decorative arcades are also used in profusion at dado level in many second-generation Anglo-Norman buildings, such as Durham (begun 1093), Anselm’s choir at Canterbury (c. 1093), Norwich (1096), Ely (in the post-1100 work), and Peterborough (1118) (fig. 10). At Durham and Peterborough such round-arched dado arcading is developed through interlacing into an even more elaborate and noticeable feature. 42 This arcading along the dado level of nave and transepts would of course not have been intended to mark out seats, although the plinth for the shafts in English buildings is frequently large enough for its use as such. Dado arcading is much less common in French Gothic churches, absent from Suger’s formative Early Gothic choir at St Denis (1130s) and many canonical French High Gothic cathedrals. 43 When they are present, French dado arcades are often less like sedilia, either much larger or with no substantial plinth on which to sit, for instance the choir of Saint-Remi in Reims (1170). 44 It is very unusual for English Gothic great churches to exclude dado arcading like those in France: Canterbury choir under William the Englishman (1177–84), Wells (1170s), and Salisbury (1220) are notable exceptions, and significant in that the latter two are in the south west where sedilia did not become widespread in Gothic parish churches, as will be discussed below. 45
The reason this aesthetic developed is once again not due to nationalism, through either a conscious revival of Saxon forms or an irrepressibly “irrational” English character, but instead can be attributed to a way of dealing with the architectural legacy of the Norman Conquest. The Norman rebuilding of the second half of the eleventh century was unprecedented in Europe, with all of the former Anglo-Saxon cathedrals demolished and rebuilt on a heroic scale within a timespan of around fifty years. 46 This gave English architecture an unavoidable inheritance of Romanesque buildings that would govern their appearance for the rest of the Middle Ages. 47 The Gothic style of France, a novel conception of shaping space coupled with a quest for verticality, could not be transplanted on to these massive buildings, and instead an alternative aesthetic solution was developed. For some scholars, Durham and its successors fused the gigantism of the Anglo-Norman Romanesque with an earlier Anglo-Saxon tradition, which had also displayed a general taste for elaborate surface and blind arcading in architecture. 48 However, it was the unique combination of forms and aesthetics in the Anglo-Norman Romanesque, and its subsequent influence on Early English Gothic, that was fundamental for the development and popularization of the classic sedilia.

The use of arcading to demarcate the seats of clerics has its clearest precedent in monastic and cathedral chapter houses. Worcester’s chapter house of around 1100–15 was the first in a long line of the peculiarly English genre of the centrally planned chapter house (fig. 11). 49 The interior is encircled by ninety-five tall, rounded arches which were intended to accentuate the seats of a stone perimeter bench. 50 Yet because the monastic community at Worcester never even approached this number, combined with the narrowness of the arches relative to later chapter houses, these arches were unlikely to be intended as prescribed seats as they were in church sedilia. 51 Above this is another storey of intersecting arcading, and as a whole the building is characteristic of the ornamental treatment of the mature Anglo-Norman Romanesque. 52 It was typical for subsequent twelfth-century chapter houses, such as Bristol (1160s), to have similarly rich treatment of the walls, and a dado level that had the function of backing the mural benches of the attending community. 53
Dado arcading also appears in Anglo-Norman church east ends. The church of St John in Devizes, Wiltshire, is a three-cell Norman church dating from the 1160s. 54 The eastern cell has a lavish display of arcading, six arches with curved chevrons against each wall (fig. 12). With the uncertain position of altars at that date, it is difficult to be sure whether this cell was designed for the altar in a similar position to its current location, or with a now-destroyed clergy bench against the east wall. 55 However, the presence of a pillar piscina relocated into the eastern arch of the south wall suggests that the east end was converted from the basilican plan in the later Middle Ages into the now familiar layout of a late medieval chancel. From this time on, the remaining three arches on the south side would then mark out places for the priest, deacon, and subdeacon. This is suggestive of the link between decorative practice and its practical potential that was instrumental in the development of the familiar classic type of sedilia. In this context, we can see how St Mary de Castro in Leicester may have been attempting to emulate a church such as Stow in Lincolnshire, which is certainly of ex-minster status, with a lavish arcading scheme round the whole late twelfth-century chancel (fig. 13). 56 At St Mary de Castro (fig. 8) only the bare minimum of arches needed for the seating of the officiating clergy were inserted into the dado. As they were not part of a larger decorative scheme, modifications could be made to suit them better to their function. These included making them more deeply recessed to keep the area in front of the altar unencumbered by free-standing furniture, and placing the seats over the chancel steps with the levels of the seats ranked according to the floor levels and also the rank of the officiating priest, deacon, and subdeacon sitting inside them.
Figure 12.
East end (modern chancel), looking south east, Parish Church of St John, Devizes, Wiltshire, third quarter of 12th century. Collection Conway Library, the Courtauld Institute of Art, London. Digital image courtesy of Courtauld Institute of Art.

Figure 13.
Interior of the chancel, Parish and former Prebendal Church of St Mary, Stow, Lincolnshire, third quarter of 12th century. Digital image courtesy of James Alexander Cameron.
Succeeding the Anglo-Norman Romanesque, English Gothic represented continuity rather than a break with the practice of embellishing thick-wall construction with rich and lively decoration. 57 It is with St Hugh’s choir at Lincoln (begun c. 1192), the first true “Early English Gothic” building, that the characteristic Anglo-Norman motif of blind arcading is taken to new levels of development: the famous syncopated arcading of the choir and transept dados take Romanesque interlaced arcading deeper into a third dimension of spatial relationships. 58 The later nave dado, however, continues as richly moulded, pointed trefoiled arcades (dogtoothed on the south) in a manner that is more like the linear richness of Durham. 59 Importantly, this nave may be contemporary with Lincoln’s chapter house, the first extant polygonal example following Worcester’s centrally planned design. 60 Its interior uses the design of the south nave aisle dado arcades, with stiff-leaf capitals and dogtooth to demarcate the seats of the canons.

Decorative arcading from the late twelfth century onward became progressively more detached from the wall, emphasizing and exploiting the three-dimensional possibilities of thick-wall construction. 61 Dado arcades would become an essential motif of English Gothic great-church architecture, such as the retrochoir of Worcester (begun 1224), York transepts, and Beverley Minster (1220s). 62 This would continue through to the fourteenth century with the particularly lavish dados of St Stephen’s, Westminster, and subsequently the Lady Chapels of Lichfield and Ely. It is then the undeniable trend toward surface ornament—the horror vacui—typical of late Anglo-Norman Romanesque architecture, along with thick walls, that led to the embellishment of dado levels with deeply recessed arcading, which endured throughout the Middle Ages in England. This in turn encouraged the development of the simple single-niche sedilia into a more compact version of dado arcading, suitable for churches that could not afford the expense of a full scheme.

**Sedilia and Local Style**

Two factors of national style stemming from the bulky linear aesthetic inaugurated by the Conquest contributed to the Englishness of English sedilia: the environment of the squared-off east end, and the linear aesthetic of dado arcading. However, mapping sedilia distribution across England reveals some surprising patterns (fig. 14). Sedilia appear much more common in central areas and the eastern half of the country, something which does not necessarily correspond with population and wealth. Instead of factors such as these or local liturgy, the distribution of sedilia seems more affected by stylistic variation in church buildings across different regions of England.
Figure 14.
Diagram mapping sedilia distribution across England, 13th, 14th and 15th centuries. Digital image courtesy of James Alexander Cameron.

One particularly high density of sedilia can be found in Northumberland. The Early English Gothic as pioneered in St Hugh’s Choir at Lincoln (begun 1192) took on an exuberant form in the north. Buildings such as Tynemouth Priory (late 1180s) and Hexham Abbey transepts (fig. 15; first quarter of the thirteenth century) demonstrate an enthusiasm for sculptural ornament, wall articulation, and (most importantly for sedilia) dado arcading. York Minster transepts (underway 1225, finished by c. 1250), Fountains Abbey choir, and Durham Cathedral’s great eastern transept of the Nine Altars (begun 1242) use dado arcading extensively.

A survey of parish churches in the medieval archdeaconry of Northumberland articulates the general aesthetic of this style with their more limited resources, resulting in the inclusion of sedilia. Bamburgh in Northumberland could be said to occupy a point of contact between the middle rank and the parish church. It is more securely datable than other parish churches in the diocese of Durham. In 1228 the parish church became a cell of Nostell Priory and was subsequently staffed by five to six Augustinian canons under a master, a plausible reason to build the finest chancel in the county. The five-bay chancel with doubled lancet windows has an interior with blind wall articulation originally with detached shafting. In its south wall, there are sedilia with trefoil heads and continuous arch mouldings that are related to the popularity of dado arcading in the great churches in the area. Chancels in the Wansbeck Valley area further south in Northumberland clearly relate to the model of Bamburgh (fig. 16), with long lanceted chancels and sedilia. Bothal, Hartburn, and Mitford can all be reckoned to be of a date in the second quarter of the thirteenth century, with assured triple classic sedilia integral with their long square-ended lanceted chancels, with moulded arches supported by shafts.
Figure 15.
West wall of south transept, Hexham Abbey, Northumberland, first quarter of 13th century. Digital image courtesy of James Alexander Cameron.
By contrast, in the West Country such examples of thirteenth-century sedilia are difficult to find. This is a particular surprise in the lands surrounding Salisbury Cathedral, well known for its codification of the Sarum Rite, the Order of the Mass for England which came to dominate English liturgical use in cathedral and parish alike by the late Middle Ages. Virginia Jansen has related the noble simplicity of the architectural style associated with Salisbury Cathedral with the straight-forward and logical mental habits of bishops active in liturgical reforms and the codification of the Sarum Rite. Despite this link of reforming clerics with architecture, there are very few sedilia indeed in the orbit of the Cathedral and the wider diocese (Wiltshire and parts of Devon, Dorset, and Berkshire), suggesting instead that architectural form and ritual practice were potentially disconnected.

A church such as Potterne, dating around the same time as Salisbury’s rebuilding at the beginning of the second quarter of the century, has an exceedingly grand and well-preserved interior of uniform date (fig. 17).
The sophistication of the single-build plan of disciplined lancets akin to Salisbury’s episcopal style can surely be attributed to the fact that the prebend was held by the *rector* of the Cathedral fabric, canon Elias of Dereham. 73 Despite being a well-endowed prebend with surely a high number of clergy and level of celebration, Potterne shows no provision for stone seating in the chancel akin to that we find in Northumberland at the same time. There is a niche with a double piscina drain at the east end of the south wall, then a gap, and then a priest’s door. The latter of these is accommodated by raising the sill of the second lancet, showing that practical, liturgical concerns were still considered by the architect. The chancels at Stockton and Berwick St James are further examples of Early English chancels in Wiltshire, displaying the same sober manner with no provision for sedilia. 74 This is attributable to the lack of dado arcading in Salisbury Cathedral, a unique omission for a thirteenth-century English cathedral. 75 It seems that the motif of dado arcading was not provided by the area’s great-church architecture, and thus was not part of the vocabulary of the masons who built parish churches, meaning the opportunity to deploy such arches as sedilia was not available. When decorative practice was not present, the relation between it and ritual could not manifest.

Figure 17.
Interior of the chancel, Parish Church of St Mary and former prebendal church, Potterne, Wiltshire, second quarter of 13th century. Digital image courtesy of James Alexander Cameron.
Conclusion

Sedilia first developed as a utilitarian solution, probably in multiple places all over Europe, as independent innovations facilitating the primary function of the eastern sanctuary: to contain the altar, its ceremonies, and its performers. However, it was only in England, through characteristic tendencies in architectural design, that essential formal elements of this idea were sufficiently reinforced for it to become a visually distinct and widespread genre. This would not have been possible without the cultural permeability that permitted the continental styles of Norman Romanesque and French Gothic to be subsumed. The variations in the distribution of sedilia across England, furthermore, demonstrate how there was not a single national style, and that sedilia, through their presence or absence, reveal that “Englishness” in art in the thirteenth century should be considered as a series of family resemblances rather than a single ideology.
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