INTRODUCTION

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is an important cellular organelle involved in Ca^{2+} homeostasis, post-translational modification, and cell death signaling [1, 2]. Physiological or pathological conditions, such as glucose deprivation, disturbance of Ca^{2+} homeostasis, accumulation of abnormally folded protein in the lumen of ER, and exposure to oxidative stress cause ER stress, activating the ER stress response (UPR, unfolded protein responses) within the cells [3, 4]. The ER stress response is a conserved reaction in all mammalian species, protecting the cells from injuries. During the ER stress response, the following reactions occur: 1) translational attenuation to prevent accumulation of abnormal proteins, 2) induction of ER chaperones to increase protein folding activity, 3) elimination of misfolded proteins by protein degradation system, and 4) initiation of the ER stress-induced cell death by the excessive stresses [1, 5]. The activation of ER stress response is also implicated in the numerous diseases including ischemia reperfusion, neurodegenerative diseases, multiple sclerosis, and diabetes [3]. ER stress is closely associated with inflammatory responses. Inflammation is the first biological response to toxic stimuli and
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Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress is involved in many neurological diseases and inflammatory responses. Inflammatory mediators induce neuronal damage and trigger the neuropathic or inflammatory pain. But there is very little data on the role of the ER stress response in pain mechanisms. In this study, we explored whether the ER stress response is involved in orofacial inflammatory pain by using a complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA)-injected rat model. The thermal pain hypersensitivity increased significantly after CFA injection. We found that the protein and mRNA levels of ER stress response genes, GRP78/Bip and p-eIF2α, increased significantly in trigeminal ganglion (TG) of CFA-injected rats compared to control animals. In immunofluorescence analysis, a significant increase of GRP78 and p-eIF2α immunopositive neurons was observed in CFA-injected TG compared to control TG. When we administered an ER stress modulator, salubrinal, CFA-induced thermal pain hypersensitivity was temporally reduced.

Thus, our study suggests that ER stress responses in TG neurons contribute to CFA-induced inflammatory pain, and may comprise an important molecular mechanism underlying the orofacial inflammatory pain pathway.
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tissue injury [6,7]. Inflammatory response occurs with homeostatic metabolic process including ER stress. The cross-talk between ER stress and inflammatory response is not unidirectional, so they can affect each other [7]. The signaling pathway between ER stress and inflammatory response is connected through several mechanisms, including the reactive oxygen species (ROS), Ca\textsuperscript{2+} store, nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) [8,9]. Recent studies suggested that ER stress response might be the key reaction in many inflammatory diseases and neuroinflammation [10,11].

Inflammation of peripheral nerves activates numerous nociceptors and induces pain hypersensitivity [12]. Many proalgesic mediators such as cytokines, neurotrophic factors, prostaglandins, and bradykinin increase during the inflammatory response [13,14]. Inhibition of these mediators reduces the hyperalgesia in inflammatory pain models, indicating their importance in the progression of inflammatory pain [15]. Inflammation itself indicates that the tissue is damaged and not in the normal state. The proinflammatory substances released from the inflammation site can cause damage to the neuronal cells, and may trigger the ER stress responses.

In spite of much knowledge about the inflammatory response, activation of the ER stress and pain, little is known about the relationship between the ER stress response and pain mechanisms in sensory nervous systems. Recently, it has been reported that nerve injury induces ER stress response in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) of neuropathic pain model, and ER stress response occurs with cell type-dependent response in spinal cord injury model [16-18]. However, the role of ER stress response in the trigeminal ganglion (TG) of peripheral inflammatory pain model is poorly understood. TG is a key location of primary afferent neurons for sensing orofacial pain conditions such as dental pain and temporomandibular disorders [19]. To develop effective therapy for orofacial pain, research into the molecular mechanisms of ectopic pain hypersensitivity induced by local inflammation in the orofacial region is important. In this study, we utilized a rodent model of orofacial inflammatory pain where complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) is directly injected into rat face, and investigated the possible involvement of TG ER stress response in pain hypersensitivity evoked by CFA-mediated peripheral inflammation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals and drug treatment**

6-to 8-week old male Sprague-Dawley rats (200–250 g) were used in this study. All animal procedures were performed according to the National Institutes of Health guidelines and approved by the Kyungpook National University Intramural Animal Care and Use Committee. The animals were cared for at controlled temperature and humidity with free access to food and water. All experiments were performed to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering. CFA (Sigma) was mixed with saline (1:1) and 60 μl of CFA solution was injected into the right vibrissal pad, and the TGs were extracted 5 days after CFA injection. The control groups were injected with the same volume of saline in the vibrissal pad. For injection of salubrinal into TG, we performed the cannula implantation using the stereotaxic apparatus. The skull was exposed, and a bur hole was drilled above the location of the TG. A guide cannula was anchored onto the skull using three stainless steel screws and dental cement. At least 5 days were allowed for recovery from surgery before drug injection into the TG [20].

**Western blot analysis**

Rats were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (80 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused with 100 ml of heparinized normal saline. The rat whole TGs were removed and homogenized in extraction buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 5 mM EDTA, 140 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate (Na\textsubscript{3}VO\textsubscript{4}), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 10 mM sodium fluoride (NaF) and 1 μg/ml aprotinin at 4°C. The extracts were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The protein content in the supernatant was measured using a Bio-Rad Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The protein lysates were denatured at 95°C for 5 min after mixing with SDS-loading buffer (5X), applied on an SDS polyacrylamide gel for electrophoresis. Proteins were transferred to Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA). The membrane was soaked in blocking solution (1X TBS, 5% nonfat milk, 0.02% sodium azide) for 2 h and incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies [mouse monoclonal antibody against GRP78 (BD610979, 1:1,000, BD Biosciences) and rabbit monoclonal antibody to p-eIF2α (#3597, 1:1,000, Cell Signaling)]. The membrane was washed with TBS (1X) and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies [goat anti-rabbit IgG (#sc2004, 1:2,000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and goat anti-mouse IgG (#sc2005, 1:2,000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology)] for 1 h at room temperature. Detection of specific proteins was carried out with an ECL Western blotting kit (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and exposed on autoradiography film (Agfa).

**RT-PCR analysis**

For reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR) analysis, rats were
deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (80 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused with 100 ml of heparinized normal saline. The rat whole TGs were removed and total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, single-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Gibco-BRL, Gaithersburg, MD). The cDNA for GRP78 and ATF4 was amplified by PCR with specific primers. The sequences of the primers for GRP78 were 5′-CTG GGT ACA TTT GAT CTG ACT GG-3′ (sense), 5′-GCA TCC TGG TGG CTT TCC AGC CAT TC-3′ (antisense) and ATF4 were 5′-GTG GCC AAG CAC TTC AAA CCT CAT-3′ (sense), 5′-CAT GTG TCA TCC AAC GTG GCC AAA-3′ (antisense). Conditions for PCR reaction were 1×(95°C, 5 min); 27×(95°C, 30 sec; 56°C, 30 sec; and 72°C, 45 sec); and 1×(72°C, 5 min). The relative concentration of GRP78 and ATF4 in the samples was normalized with the expression levels of GAPDH. PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and visualized by ethidium bromide.

**Immunohistochemistry analysis**

For immunofluorescence analysis, rats were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (80 mg/kg, i.p.) and transcardially perfused them with 100 ml of heparinized normal saline, followed by 500 ml freshly prepared fixative containing 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The TG sections were permeabilized with 50% ethanol for 30 min, blocked with 10% normal donkey serum (NDS) for 30 min, and incubated overnight in the primary antibodies [goat polyclonal antibody to GRP78 (sc1050, 1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit monoclonal antibody to p-eIF2α (#3597, 1:500, Cell Signaling) and the neuronal marker, microtubule associated protein (MAP2; #ab11267, 1:1,000, Abcam)]. TG sections were washed with 0.01 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) and incubated with 2% NDS for 30 min, and incubated with secondary antibodies [Cy3-conjugated-donkey anti-goat antibody, Cy3-conjugated-donkey anti-rabbit antibody and FITC-conjugated-donkey anti-mouse antibody (1:200 in PBS; Jackson Immunoresearch)] for 3 h. Finally, sections were rinsed with PBS, mounted on slides, and coverslipped with Vectashield (Vector, Burlingame, CA) solution. Microscopic observation was performed with an Exi digital camera (Q-Imaging Inc, Surrey, CA) attached to a Zeiss Axioplan 2 conventional fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc, Jena, Germany).

**Cell size distribution analysis**

In immunostaining, we analyzed approximately 500 neurons that contained visible nuclei in sections for each TG. Cell size distribution of p-eIF2α-immunopositive neurons and proportion of the immunopositive neurons were measured using a computer image analyzer. We relatively divided the TG neurons into small (<600 μm²), medium (600–1200 μm²) and large neurons (>1200 μm²) by their cross-sectional areas.

**Behavioral test**

To estimate orofacial thermal hyperalgesia, rats were placed in a customized cylinder-type acrylic rodent restrainer (6 × 6 × 12 cm). Those cages had a forward hole so that a head could receive the heat stimulation and give a head withdrawal response. The test was carried out in a quiet darkroom and the animals were habituated to the environment at least 30 min prior to the start of the experiment. After laser heat stimulation was applied to the vibrissal pad, pain behavior was determined by the head withdrawal latency [21]. We used an infrared laser thermal stimulator (Infrared Diode Laser, LVI-810-30; LVI tech, Seoul, Korea) for the heat stimulation. The power of the thermal stimulator was adjusted to 5 W and current to 12.1 A. This heat intensity developed stable head-withdrawal latencies of approximately 12 sec through the vibrissal pad at a distance of 10 cm from the laser source. Each rat received the thermal test twice at 5 min intervals. In this experiment, a cut-off time of 15 sec was used to prevent possible tissue damage in heat exposure-vibrissal pad.

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were performed at least three times. Data are expressed as mean±SD or mean±SE. The Student’s t-test was used to determine the significances between two mean values, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Pain hypersensitivity in CFA-injected rats**

Previous studies have reported that CFA-mediated peripheral inflammation induces pain hyperalgesia and behavioral hypersensitivity [22,23]. We confirmed the pain hypersensitivity with thermal behavioral test following CFA-induced inflammation in rat orofacial regions. After CFA injection in rat vibrissal pad, the thermal pain hyperalgesia was observed in a time-dependent manner. CFA-injected rats showed significantly increased pain response to the thermal stimuli compared to the control rats (Fig. 1). The head withdrawal latency decreased dramatically 1–4 days after CFA injection. This decrease was maintained for 10 days, gradually recovering to the control level by post-injection day 14. Vehicle-injected rats did not respond to heat stimulation, and there was no difference in thermal pain behavior between vehicle-
To investigate the connection between CFA-mediated inflammatory pain and ER stress response in TG, we determined the expression level of ER stress marker proteins at post-injection day 5 showing the maximum pain hyperalgesia. GRP78 is a chaperon protein that plays a critical role in cellular response to ER stress. The expression level of GRP78 in TG was determined by Western blot analysis at 5 days after CFA injection. As shown in Fig. 2A, GRP78 was significantly increased in the CFA-injected rat TG, but not control rats. Phosphorylation of eIF2α, another hallmark of ER stress response, results in attenuation of protein synthesis to prevent the accumulation of abnormal proteins. The p-eIF2α was also significantly increased in the CFA-injected rat TG at 5 days (Fig. 2A). However, such an enhancement of ER stress response gene expression was not observed in the axon treated and naïve rats.

**Induction of ER stress in CFA-injected rat TG**

To investigate the connection between CFA-mediated inflammatory pain and ER stress response in TG, we determined the expression level of ER stress marker proteins at post-injection day 5 showing the maximum pain hyperalgesia. GRP78 is a chaperon protein that plays a critical role in cellular response to ER stress. The expression level of GRP78 in TG was determined by Western blot analysis at 5 days after CFA injection. As shown in Fig. 2A, GRP78 was significantly increased in the CFA-injected rat TG, but not control rats. Phosphorylation of eIF2α, another hallmark of ER stress response, results in attenuation of protein synthesis to prevent the accumulation of abnormal proteins. The p-eIF2α was also significantly increased in the CFA-injected rat TG at 5 days (Fig. 2A). However, such an enhancement of ER stress response gene expression was not observed in the axon treated and naïve rats.

**Fig. 1.** Thermal hypersensitivity in CFA-induced inflammatory pain. Thermal pain hyperalgesia was observed at various time points after CFA injection into rat vibrissal pad. CFA-injected rats show significantly reduced head withdrawal latency time to heat stimulation compared to the vehicle-injected and naïve rats. Data is expressed as means±SE. Asterisks indicate a statistically significant difference between CFA and vehicle. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001 (Student’s t-test).

**Fig. 2.** The levels of ER stress-related proteins and mRNAs in CFA-injected rats. Rat TG and Vc of the brainstem were isolated from CFA-injected and control rats. (A) Equal amounts of cell lysates (50 μg) were subjected to electrophoresis and analyzed by Western blot for GRP78 and p-eIF2α. At fifth day after CFA-injection, GRP78 and p-eIF2α protein levels increased only in TG. Quantification of GRP78 and p-eIF2α based on relative intensity and β-actin normalization (right). (B) Transcription levels of GRP78 and ATF4 mRNA were analyzed by RT-PCR using specific primers. The mRNA levels of GRP78 and ATF4 increased significantly in CFA-injected TG than control TG. GAPDH served as an internal loading control, and quantification of GRP78 and ATF4 was expressed as fold changes compared with levels in control TG (right). Data is expressed as means±SD of three independent experiments. *p < 0.05 (Student’s t-test). TG, trigeminal ganglion; Vc, trigeminal caudal nuclei.
Fig. 3. Immunofluorescence analysis of GRP78 and p-eIF2α in CFA-injected rat TG. On the fifth day after CFA-injection in rat vibrissal pad, we examined the expression of ER stress marker proteins in rat TG. (A) In control rats, GRP78 and p-eIF2α were mostly expressed in TG neurons, as demonstrated by colocalization of GRP78 or p-eIF2α (red) and neuronal marker MAP2 (green). (B-C) GRP78 or p-eIF2α immunoreactivity increased remarkably in CFA-injected TG compared to the control TG. Quantification of the GRP78 or p-eIF2α immunopositive intensity increased in CFA-injected TG compared to control TG (right). (D) A significant increase in the number of p-eIF2α immunopositive neurons was observed in the CFA-injected TG. (E) The expression of p-eIF2α increased significantly in small and medium sized neurons after CFA-induced peripheral inflammation. Data is expressed as means±SD of three independent experiments. Scale bar=50 μm. *p<0.05 (Student's t-test).
ER Stress in Orofacial Inflammatory Pain

We next investigated the effect of CFA-induced inflammation on transcriptional levels of ER stress-related genes in the rat TG by using RT-PCR analysis. As shown in Fig. 2B, GRP78 mRNA significantly increased in the CFA-injected rat TG, similarly to the protein expression level. ATF4, translated during the eIF2α-mediated inhibition of protein synthesis, is also important in ER stress response. RT-PCR analysis showed a significant increase of ATF4 mRNA in the CFA-injected rat TG compared with control TG (Fig. 2B).

Immunofluorescence analysis of ER stress markers in CFA-injected rat TG

The immunoreactivities for ER stress marker proteins, GRP78 and p-eIF2α, were assessed by immunofluorescence analysis following CFA-mediated inflammation in rat TG. In control rats, GRP78 and p-eIF2α expression was colocalized with neuronal marker MAP2, indicating that the ER stress proteins are mostly expressed in TG neurons (Fig. 3A). A significant increase of GRP78 and p-eIF2α immunostaining was clearly observed in CFA-injected rat TG at 5 days compared with control TG (Fig. 3B–E). Interestingly, we observed an increase of GRP78 expression in all neuron of the TG after CFA stimulation (Fig. 3B). In case of p-eIF2α, the expression was mainly augmented in small and medium-sized neurons (Fig. 3C). Peripheral inflammation induced a significant increase in the number of p-eIF2α-immunopositive neurons observed in the CFA-injected TG (Fig. 3D). Size measurements in CFA-injected rats revealed that ER stress response through p-eIF2α signaling pathway specifically occurred in small and medium-sized neurons (Fig. 3E).

Effect of salubrinal in CFA-induced pain hypersensitivity

To determine the effect of ER stress in TG on the inflammatory pain behavior, we used ER stress modulator salubrinal. Thermal test was conducted to measure the pain behavioral response after salubrinal administration through a cannula in TG at 5 days after CFA injection. The CFA-induced heat hyperalgesia was significantly attenuated by salubrinal injection (Fig. 4). The pain inhibitory effect of salubrinal peaked 30 min after injection and gradually diminished over 24 h. These results indicate that ER stress response plays an important role in CFA-induced pain behavior.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that the expression of ER stress-related genes, GRP78, p-eIF2α and ATF4, increased significantly in the TG neurons along with thermal hypersensitivity following CFA-induced inflammation in the rat vibrissal pad. The increase of thermal hyperalgesia was attenuated by the application of salubrinal, ER stress modulator, directly into the TG. This suggests that ER stress response is induced in the primary sensory neurons following orofacial inflammation, which is closely related to inflammatory pain.

ER stress response is a kind of protective mechanism for cell survival against various stresses such as glucose deprivation, Ca²⁺ depletion, oxidative stress and viral infection [3,24]. Until now, many studies related to ER stress have been conducted in various fields. In non-neuronal tissues, induction of ER stress genes due to obesity leads to the insulin resistance, induction of ER stress by transaortic constriction causes heart disease, and ER stress-mediated cell death through PERK/p-eIF2α pathway results in ischemia/reperfusion injury [25–27]. Furthermore, lung cell death through ER stress induced lung inflammation following treatment with TRPV1 agonist in bronchial epithelial and alveolar cells, and activation of the ER stress signaling pathway IRE1/XBP1 regulated the innate immune signaling [28,29]. ER stress also regulated the iron homeostasis through hepcidin expression in liver as well as the chemotherapeutic effect through ATF4 dependent-autophagy [30,31]. In CNS neurons, ER stress-induced cell dysfunction has been suggested as a cause of the neurodegenerative disease like Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease [32,33]. Activation of ER stress response increased the learning, memory and synaptic plasticity by induction of ER stress gene transcription [34]. Recently, induction of ER stress response in the primary...
sensory neurons was studied. Increase of GRP78 expression in DRG following painful facet joint injury and activation of ATF4 by painful facet joint distraction indicate that ER stress may play an important role as a modulator of painful injuries [35].

ER stress can also regulate the cell fate in primary sensory neurons following spinal cord injury [17]. Accumulation of abnormal proteins by Ca^{2+} depletion following nerve injury indicates that ER stress is related to the regulation of Ca^{2+} homeostasis in primary sensory neurons [36]. However, there is no information whether ER stress response occurs in TG neurons following pain-related peripheral inflammation and what is the role of the ER stress response in orofacial regions associated with painful injury. Herein we firstly observed the activation of ER stress response in the TG neurons following CFA-induced orofacial inflammation, and that the thermal hypersensitivity was attenuated by the application of ER stress modulator into the TG. This supports that ER stress response is closely related with painful inflammatory injuries in orofacial regions.

Interestingly, our results indicate that expression of GRP78 increased in all sized TG neuron, while p-eIF2α expression increased mainly in small and medium sized neurons after CFA-induced inflammation. This implies that certain neurons are specifically responsible for pain signaling in cooperation with ER stress response. Generally, pain pathway is activated differently depending on primary afferent nerve types [37]. Medium-diameter myelinated (Aδ) fibers mediate acute, sharp and fast pain. These myelinated afferents differ considerably from the large-diameter myelinated (Aβ) fibers that respond to innocuous mechanical stimuli such as touch, sound and pressure. Small-diameter unmyelinated fibers mediate dull, dispersed and slow pain. Furthermore, ER stress response is activated through three different cascades initiated by three ER-localized protein sensors: IRE1α (inositol-requiring 1α), PERK (double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR)-like ER kinase) and ATF6 (activating transcription factor 6) [38].

Activation of ER stress response increased the expression of GRP78 protein, which activates the three cascades of ER stress response that enhance protein folding, maintain homeostasis and attenuate the translation. Thus, we speculate that ER stress response in the orofacial inflammatory pain may occur with different mechanisms in different TG primary sensory neurons. In other words, GRP78 and p-eIF2α signaling pathway is activated in small and medium sized TG neurons, but GRP78 and other signaling pathways may be activated in large sized TG neurons.

In peripheral sensory nerve system, the fibers sense stimuli from the periphery and convey the information to the central nervous system in the spinal cord [37]. As the ER stress response in TG neurons can affect the axon terminals (Vc) in the brainstem, we evaluated the expression of ER stress-relative genes in Vc. But, we could not detect any change in ER stress-related gene expression in the Vc regions after CFA inflammation. These differences between TG and Vc regions might be due to time points at which the experiment was conducted. Further study at various time points is needed to clarify the effect of inflammation on the ER stress response at the axon terminals.

Under ER stress condition, phosphorylation of the translation initiation factor eIF2α reduces the protein translation to maintain the homeostasis [3]. In this study, we found that ER stress response was activated through the increase of the GRP78 and p-eIF2α in CFA-induced orofacial pain model. Thus, it is speculated that translational attenuation of the analgesic mediators through ER stress response may play an important role in orofacial inflammatory pain.

Taken together, our results show that ER stress response is activated in TG following CFA-induced inflammation in rat vibrissal pad. This suggests that ER stress response may be involved in the regulation of inflammatory pain and play an important role in orofacial pain caused by peripheral inflammation.
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