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A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Healthcare systems must be equipped to handle major incidents. Few have been described in the African setting, including in Rwanda. The purpose of this case report was to describe and discuss two major incident exercises conducted in Rwanda, in 2017. The exercises exemplify two different types of multiple casualty incidents requiring the deployment of extra-ordinary resources, one due to the location of the incident (off-shore), and the other due to the large volume of casualties. Both exercises required extensive multi-agency planning and training beforehand, as part of an increasing awareness of the need for preparedness for these types of incidents.

Case report: We report two recent major incident exercises conducted in Rwanda, in 2017. The exercises exemplify two different types of multiple casualty incidents requiring the deployment of extra-ordinary resources, one due to the location of the incident (off-shore), and the other due to the large volume of casualties. Both exercises required extensive multi-agency planning and training beforehand, as part of an increasing awareness of the need for preparedness for these types of incidents.

Conclusion: The exercises demonstrated the need for a standardised, physiological method of triage based on clinical needs; this is in order to maximise the number of lives saved. Triage training should be an integral part of further major incident exercises, which should be conducted regularly.

African relevance

- These are the first published descriptions of major incident exercises in Rwanda.
- Successful management of major incidents is of growing importance in Africa.
- Major incident response needs to be appropriate to an African context.
- A description and discussion of our experience may encourage further local research into the local management of major incidents.

Introduction

For healthcare responders, a "major incident" is any incident where the location, number, severity or type of casualties require extra-ordinary resources [1]. Examples include events sometimes that can also be described as disasters, mass casualty incidents, or multiple casualty incidents.

Several types of major incident have been reported in Rwanda, including fire, traffic accidents, lightning strikes, floods, earthquakes, and landslides [2]. Service d’Aide Medicale Urgente (SAMU), Rwanda’s Emergency Medical Service (EMS), has been at the forefront of medical major incident management, often working in conjunction with military medical services and the Rwanda Red Cross (RRO) [3].

Healthcare systems need to be thoroughly prepared for all hazards in order to respond appropriately to a major incident; this response must be in collaboration with other emergency services [1,4]. Studies have shown that morbidity and mortality are reduced by appropriate training in hospital and prehospital management of major incidents [5]. Training and practice exercises are essential for equipping first responders to handle the challenges associated with major incidents [6].

One key aspect of successful management of a major incident is triage, the sorting of casualties according to priority for treatment and evacuation. Rwanda uses the standard colour-coded categories for triage: red (category one, for immediate treatment), yellow (category two, for urgent treatment) and green (category three, for delayed treatment) [1].

This paper describes two major incident exercises that took place in Rwanda in 2017. The incidents are summarised in Table 1, according to the METHANE mnemonic used in the Major Incident Medical Management and Support (MIMMS) course [1]. METHANE is a standardised method to assess and report major incidents: “Major incident”, “Exact location”, “Type of incident”, “Hazards”, “Access/egress”, “Number of casualties”, and “Emergency services” (Table 1). Both major incident exercises simulated multiple casualty incidents that required the mobilization of extra-ordinary resources: the Karongi exercise was located off-shore and the Kanobe exercise had a large volume of casualties.

| Type of incident | Number of casualties | Access/egress | Hazards | Number of casualties |
|------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------|---------------------|
| Shore | 28 | None | None | 28 |
| Off-shore | 93 | None | None | 93 |
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The METHANE summary of major incident exercises.

| Major incident | Karongi exercise | Kanombe exercise |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Exact location | Lake Kivu, 1 km off-shore from Karongi (125 km from Kigali hospitals) | Kigali international Airport (less than 10 km from Kigali hospitals) |
| Type of incident | Boat capsize | Plane crash |
| Hazards | Water | Explosion, fire, debris |
| Access/egress | Boat from Red Cross base in Karongi (1 km), SAMU boat ambulance from military marine base (10 km); then land ambulance from those bases to hospitals | Airport road, helicopter |
| Number of casualties | 12 (2 red, 4 yellow, 6 uninjured) | 162 (10 red, 27 yellow, 67 green, 38 uninjured, 20 dead) |
| Emergency services | Military marine, Red Cross boat, SAMU boat ambulance, SAMU land ambulance | Fire, police, ambulance, military, hospital response teams |

**Case report**

The first major incident exercise, referred to as the Karongi Exercise, was conducted in the Karongi district in the Western Province of Rwanda. The aim of the exercise was to assess preparedness of prehospital services, Kibuye District Hospital (KDH), and Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kigali (CHUK), the major referral and teaching hospital in the country. The exercise was conducted as the culmination of a one week training for prehospital staff in the region. Hospital administrators, but not clinical staff, were given advance notice of the exercise.

The incident involved the simulated capsize of a wooden transport boat, with twelve actors taking the role of casualties. The major incident was activated by calling the national emergency dispatch number. This mobilised SAMU, RRC and the military marine and put KDH and CHUK on standby. The RRC dinghy, based one kilometre away from the scene, was a small rescue boat that could accommodate three personnel members and three casualties. Triage started with RRC personnel observing casualties in the water. Able swimmers were considered green or uninjured casualties or category three. Those in the yellow classification, or category two, waited in the water until SAMU mobilised a medic to check them, or category one, included one polytrauma and one peri-arrest; they were retrieved into the dinghy in addition to one yellow casualty who was unable to hold onto the side of the dinghy.

The SAMU boat ambulances arrived from ten kilometres from the site of the accident. The SAMU boat crew comprised of four military marine and four SAMU personnel, and could carry three stretchers or four seated patients. Cardiac arrest was simulated for one red patient in the boat, and cardio-pulmonary resuscitation was performed en route, using a resuscitation mannequin.

Although SAMU had notified the hospitals of a major incident prior to arrival of casualties, there was variation among the hospitals in disseminating this information to relevant staff members. At CHUK, WhatsApp™ was used to inform the emergency centre group; this included lead nurses and all emergency medicine residents and faculty. At KDH, there was no similar system, leading to significant delays in notifying staff. In fact, at KDH, porters were the first to recognise incoming casualties and had to seek medical staff for assistance. The exercise lasted from 1100 to 1300 (Table 2).

The second major incident exercise, referred to as the Kanombe Exercise, was conducted at Kigali International Airport, following two months of preparation and training. The aims were to raise awareness, develop training and prepare for an all-service response to a mass casualty incident at the airport, and to assess the preparedness of the local hospital response. The exercise involved airport fire-fighters, police and military staff, five SAMU and five RRC ambulances staffed with 30 members, and four medical teams from Kigali hospitals with five doctors and twelve nurses. All responders were at the airport when the exercise began. The three referral hospitals in Kigali, CHUK, Rwanda Military Hospital (RMH), and King Faisal Hospital (KFH), were on standby to receive large numbers of casualties. These facilities were not notified it was an exercise.

The exercise simulated an aeroplane crash, with 162 actors playing the roles of passengers and crew. Police established inner and outer cordons to demarcate ‘bronze’ and ‘silver’ areas of control. Patients were triaged by the medical teams using standard colour-coded categories and labels. Casualty-actors were briefed on their injuries and

| Time | Event |
|------|-------|
| 1100 | Boat capsize with 12 people overboard |
| 1101 | Emergency services notified |
| 1104 | SAMU boat ambulance and RRC dinghy mobilised, Karongi District Hospital (KDH) and Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kigali (CHUK) put on standby |
| 1110 | RRC dinghy on scene |
| 1119 | SAMU boat Ambulance on scene |
| 1127 | SAMU boat ambulance departs scene with 2 red casualties |
| 1142 | SAMU boat ambulance remains with 4 yellow casualties |
| 1145 | SAMU boat ambulance leaves base to return to scene |
| 1150 | SAMU land ambulances depart for Karongi District Hospital (KDH) |
| 1155 | SAMU land ambulances arrive KDH |
| 1200 | SAMU boat ambulance on scene |
| 1205 | SAMU boat ambulance departs scene with 4 yellow casualties |
| 1220 | SAMU boat ambulance remains with 4 yellow casualties |
| 1222 | RRC dinghy arrives base, unloads survivors |
| 1230 | Minibus leaves marine base |
| 1235 | Uninjured survivors assessed and discharged |
| 1300 | Stand down |

**Table 2**

The Kanombe exercise timeline.

| Time | Event |
|------|-------|
| 0840 | Aeroplane crash on runway & control tower declares major incident |
| 0843 | Fire service on scene |
| 0845 | Police and pre-hospital teams on scene |
| 0850 | Triaging of casualties |
| 0900 | Helicopter makes first trip to King Faisal Hospital (KFH) |
| 0903 | SAMU ambulances depart for Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kigali (CHUK) |
| 0905 | SAMU ambulances depart for Rwanda Military Hospital (RMH) |
| 0910 | RRC ambulances depart for other hospitals, 10 further ambulances deployed by RRC and SAMU |
| 1030 | Last casualties arrive at hospital |
| 1045 | Stand down |
arrived at hospital. This exercise lasted from 0840 to 1045 (Table 3). with simulated wounds. The exercise concluded after the last casualties from the receiving facilities became aware when previously unstable casualties seated patient. SAMU and RRC mobilised ten additional ambulances to hospitals. Each ambulance could accommodate one stretcher and one remaining green casualties and transported them among the three total of eight red and yellow, and 12 green casualties. CHUK received casualty set up in the airport. Evacuation of casualties were either by military helicopter to KFH or by one of ten ambulances to CHUK and RMH. The helicopter took a total of eight red and yellow, and 12 green casualties. CHUK received 15 red and yellow patients, and RMH received 14. RRC evacuated the remaining green casualties and transported them among the three hospitals. Each ambulance could accommodate one stretcher and one seated patient. SAMU and RRC mobilised ten additional ambulances during the response. On arrival at local hospitals, receiving staff were initially unaware that the situation was an exercise. Providers at receiving facilities became aware when previously unstable casualties from the field had improved vital signs and when casualties arrived with simulated wounds. The exercise concluded after the last casualties arrived at hospital. This exercise lasted from 0840 to 1045 (Table 3).

Discussion

The introduction of emergency medicine in African countries has led to improved morbidity and mortality outcomes, though most African countries continue to lag behind their Western counterparts [8]. One aspect of providing optimal emergency care requires readiness for major medical incidents; this can be achieved by holding exercises [6,7]. In Rwanda specifically, the introduction of emergency medicine in facilitated more opportunities for better training in systems-wide approach to emergencies and major incidents [9].

This report describes two mass casualty exercises that were conducted with a focus on training and on evaluating emergency centres, prehospital staff, and other emergency services. The two exercises were very different types of exercises, demonstrating different challenges faced in multiple-casualty incidents, including a difficult location and a large volume of casualties. Both demonstrated effective responses to these logistical challenges.

The exercises were carefully planned and involved training of key staff beforehand. This is essential to the success of multi-agency exercises. Crucial “management” priorities, such as command and control, safety, communications and assessment, are often challenging without prior training. These management priorities are also necessary for the “medical” priorities of casualties, such as triage, treatment and transport. These priorities together, management and medical, form the ‘CSCATT’ mnemonic, a key concept from the MIMMS course [1].

Furthermore, it is important for different agencies to practice communication and coordination in a controlled and simulated environment before facing a real-life situation. Both exercises demonstrated good team work between pre-hospital and hospital staff, healthcare providers and police, and civilian and military personnel. Fortunately, these exercises showed good working relationships among these groups, which is not true for all contexts. It also demonstrated the need for better systems of communication and triage, for example, at KDH, which has since been addressed.

Perhaps the most important lesson learned regards triage. Although triage was part of the pre-training package in both exercises, the process of triage during the exercises was based on the quick visual assessment of the person, rather than a structured physiological tool such as triage sieve or Simple Triage and Rapid Treatment (START) [1,10]. Moreover, since casualties were artificially given pre-designated triage categories according to their simulated injuries, triage by visual assessment was not ideal for the actual process of triage. Initial triage at the scene needs to be simple, quick, reproducible and reliable; it needs to identify which casualties need life-saving interventions. Different tools have been used in different countries, but recent evidence suggests that the Modified Physiological Triage Tool (MPTT) (Fig. 1) performs best in both military and civilian settings [11,12].

Mass casualty events can overwhelm healthcare systems. We have described two major incident simulation exercises conducted in Rwanda. To our knowledge, there has been no published description of such exercises in Rwanda before. Simulations and exercises are a major part in training and evaluation of staff and systems both in the pre-hospital and hospital settings. Although such exercises are expensive to conduct, they should be run regularly to be better prepared. We strongly recommend the adoption of evidence-based, physiological methods of triage based on clinical need, with the goal of saving the most lives.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Dissemination of results

These exercises have been reported locally in EM training conferences, and in EMS/military debriefing sessions.

Authors’ contributions

GM and EN conceived the original idea, and were instrumental in the planning and execution of the exercises described, wrote reflective notes of those exercises. NP-R supervised GM and EN, and contributed to the editing of the manuscript. GC wrote the manuscript. All authors were involved in revisions of the manuscript, and approved the final version.
References

[1] Mackway-Jones K, editor. Major incident medical management and support: the practical approach at the scene. 3rd ed. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2012.
[2] Ministry of Disaster Management and Refugee Affairs. National disaster risk management plan. Available from: http://midimar.gov.rw/uploads/tx_download/National_Disaster_Risk_Management_Plan_01.pdf [accessed 16th June 2017].
[3] Mbanjumucyo G, George N, Kearney A, Karim N, Aluisio AR, Mutabazi Z, et al. Epidemiology of injuries and outcomes among trauma patients receiving pre-hospital care at a tertiary teaching hospital in Kigali, Rwanda. Afr J Emerg Med 2016;6:191–7.
[4] Branas CC, Sing RF, Perron AD. A case series analysis of mass casualty incidents. Prehosp Emerg Care 2000;4:299–304.
[5] Aylwin CJ, König TC, Brennan NW, Shirley PJ, Davies G, Walsh MS, et al. Reduction in critical mortality in urban mass casualty incidents: analysis of triage, surge, and resource use after the London bombings on July 7, 2005. Lancet 2006;368(9554):2219–25.
[6] Wilkerson W, Avstreih D, Gruppen L, Beier K, Woolliscroft J. Using immersive simulation for training first responders for mass casualty incidents. Acad Emerg Med 2008;15:1152–9.
[7] Biddinger PD, Baggish A, Harrington L, d’Hemecourt P, Hooley J, Jones J, et al. Be prepared – the Boston Marathon and mass-casualty events. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1956–65.
[8] Mulligan T. The development of emergency medicine systems in Africa. Afr J Emerg Med 2011;1:5–7.
[9] Mbanjumucyo G, DeVos E, Pulfrey S, Epino HM. State of emergency medicine in Rwanda 2015: an innovative trainer and trainer model. Int J Emerg Med 2015;8:20.
[10] Benson M, Koenig KL, Schultz CH. Disaster triage: START, then SAVE – a new method of dynamic triage for victims of a catastrophic earthquake. Prehosp Disaster Med 1996;11:117–24.
[11] Vassallo J, Smith J, Bouamza O, Lecky F, Wallis LA. The civilian validation of the Modified Physiological Triage Tool (MPTT): an evidence-based approach to primary major incident triage. Emerg Med J 2017. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2017-206647 online first.
[12] Vassallo J, Beavis J, Smith JE, Wallis LA. Major incident triage: derivation and comparative analysis of the Modified Physiological Triage Tool (MPTT). Injury 2017;48:992–9.