CUT-AWAY PEATLAND RE-CULTIVATION WITH FAST GROWING WOODY PLANTATIONS: COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.
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In Latvia peat production is historically important economic sector, giving significant contribution to rural economic development and providing work places in rural areas, where other job opportunities are limited. Every peat extraction field has certain lifespan, based on economic, social or ecological assumptions. Every peat field could be extracted for set period of time, after which peat extraction is not feasible any more. Current legislation in Latvia requires re-cultivating of places, where mineral resources, including peat, were extracted. Aim of the study is to evaluate afforestation as one of the cut-away peatland re-cultivation possibility. Economic analysis were done for extracted peat field afforestation with birch, black alder, grey alder, pine, spruce, hybrid aspen, poplar and willow. The economic calculations were done comparing different timber products from plantations, different planting materials and plantation lifespans. Lowest investment costs for plantation establishment on cut-away peatlands are for pine and spruce plantations and highest for willow plantations. Highest revenues in plantations with 20 years rotation cycle are in poplar plantations with 0.2 m cuttings and lowest in grey alder plantations. In plantations with rotation cycle 40 years highest revenues are in hybrid aspen plantations and lowest in pine plantations.
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INTRODUCTION

In world peatlands cover around 400 mln ha and around 200000 ha are used in peat industry, what is around 0.05% from all peatlands. In European Union (EU) peatlands cover 28.2 mln ha and around 120,000 ha are used in peat industry, which is 0.03% (Altman, 2008). In Latvia there are more than 6800 peat deposits with total volume
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about 5 bln. t, that is about 0.4% of all world peat resources and ranks in 8th place in the world in peat quantity per country resident (Snore, 2013). Peatlands occupy 10% of country’s territory, with total peatland area 691,000 ha. Around 4% from all peatlands are used in peat industry with total area 26,000 ha and 19,200 ha are released from production which is around 3% from all peatlands (Eksperti: kūdras ieguves… 2017; Ozola, 2017). Peat industry (including peat extraction and peat substrate production) in Latvia provides around 10,000 work places, mostly in summer time and most of them in rural areas with limited other work opportunities (Klavins & Krigere, 2016).

After peat extraction cessation erosion and nutrient leaching into watercourses may occur, therefore extracted peatland re-cultivation is recommended (Huotari et al., 2008). Natural regeneration of cut-away peatland areas is complicated and may take several decades (Groeneveld & Rochefort, 2012). Current legislation in Latvia requires re-cultivation of places, where mineral resources, including peat, were extracted. According to legislations, following peat field re-cultivation possibilities are allowed: afforestation, farmland or berry plantation installation, watercourse or recreational areas creation (MK noteikumi Nr. 570, 2016). Cut-away peatlands are significant atmospheric carbon source (Mäkiranta et al., 2007) and afforested sites sequester atmospheric carbon (Clymo, 1984, Hytönen & Aro, 2012, Karki et al., 2016). In Finland, Sweden and Ireland forestry is considered to be the main reuse option for cut-away peatlands (Hanell, 1996, Selin, 1999, Renou & Farrell, 2005). Afforestation is technically easiest marketable cut-away peatlands management practice that could be implemented in Latvia.

Timber products that could be produced in plantation forest or short rotation coppice plantations (SRC) plantations on cut-away peatland are wood chips, pulpwood and roundwood. Products may differ according to forest plantation lifespan and tree species. Promising results showed wood pellet production with small scale pelletizer from different fast growing species (Makovskis et al., 2016).

Silver birch (*Betula pendula*) and downy birch (*Betula pubescen*) in longer rotation periods could be used successfully for biomass production on cut-away peatlands. (Renou et al., 2007). It is recommended to grow willow on cut-away peatlands if base material is mineral soil, peat layer is thinner than 30 cm, soil pH is higher than 4.5 and suitable willow clone is selected (Lazdina, 2009). Two main problems for willow plantations on cut-away peatlands are related to harvesting that is possible mainly in winter time when soil is frozen and to the lack of P and K in soil (that means that fertilization of soil will be needed) (Dimitriou & Rutz, 2014). Alder, aspen and coniferous tree species show good results in cut-away peatland afforestation. Alder is suitable specie when quick cut-away peatland cover is needed and it could be used for growing in mixtures with other, mainly coniferous tree species. (Schaible, 1992, Renou-Wilson et al., 2008).

Because of low potassium and phosphorus concentration in peat, fertilization is recommended (Huotari et al., 2008). Positive effect in fertilization with wood ash is observed within first 1-2 years and in some cases also after 12 years (Klavina et al., 2016, Lazdina et al., 2017). Studies in Finland report positive wood ash effect on tree growing on drained peatlands even 50 years after treatment. In unfertilized plots ground vegetation consisted mostly of mosses and dwarf shrubs, while in treated with wood ash vegetation was typical to upland forest with herbs and grasses (Moilanen et al., 2002). To prevent surface from peat erosion and decomposition, ground vegetation growth and development is desirable, therefore fertilisation is recommended before planting.

The aim of this study was to evaluate afforestation with different tree species in different rotation periods as cut-away peatland re-cultivation possibility.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this study eight different tree species (coniferous and broad leaved) were selected for the calculations: willow (*Salix viminalis*), poplar (*Populus nigra*.) and grey alder (*Alnus incana* L.) with rotation period of 20 years and hybrid aspen (*Populus tremula* L. x *P. tremuloides* Michx.), spruce (*Picea abies*), pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.), birch (*Betula pubescens*) and black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) with rotation period of 40 years. In Poplar calculations two different planting materials (0.2 and 1.2 m) with different planting density (1600 and 800 seedlings per hectare) were taken.

Main costs regarding plantation management on cut-away peatlands are associated with plantation establishment and production costs.

Plantation establishment costs include following cost items: planting site preparation, soil preparation before planting and planting costs. In this study it was considered that planting site, i.e. cut-away peatland, preparation costs are equal for all tree species and willows, as well as estimated that main planting site preparation costs are associated with overgrow harvesting costs. In some cases, site preparation is not needed on cutaway peatlands, however, previous studies shows, that site preparation before afforestation is necessary and leads to growing condition improvement, what later results in better survival rates and biomass increase (Sutton 1993, Savill et al. 1997, Ryans and Sutherland, 2011). Site preparation method should be used according to specific site conditions and should be considered very carefully, because total afforestation costs will be greatly affected by the method (Renou-Wilson et al., 2008). Authors take into consideration that these costs could change between different sites, where overgrow intensity, soil bearing capacity and water level could vary, what could lead to overgrow harvesting costs changes.

Production costs includes following cost items: agrotechnical management, fertilization and harvesting. Beside these costs, in the case of hybrid aspen and poplar plantations costs for animal browsing protection were taken into account, as well as costs for poplar pruning. It was assumed that for animal browsing protection repellents are used in the first 5 years and pruning is done at 5th year of plantation lifespan. Costs for water purification (sedimentation ponds) and site maintenance (cleaning ditches from sediments, holding the water level on the optimal hight, etc.) are not considered in calculations. Trees are planted in sites with suitable water level and after afforestation plantation is managed as forest. For better biomass growth and to ensure optimal growth conditions on cut-away peatlands fertilization is recommended. According to previous studies it was assumed that cut-away peatland should be fertilized with 5 t ha\(^{-1}\) wood ash before planting (Lazdina et al., 2017). In calculations one fertilization per plantation lifespan is foreseen (before planting), except for willows, where 5 fertilizations would be done, one before planting and then every 4th year. Plantation productivity for grey alder, birch, pine, spruce and black alder in calculations was taken from NFI database for trees on peatlands. Wood volume for willows, poplar and hybrid aspen was taken from previous studies.

Fast growing woody plantation benefits reflect whether the plantation cultivation will bring profit or loss. In this study the economic benefit was assessed by the following indicators:

- Gross profit or loss, EUR ha\(^{-1}\)
- Gross profitability, \%
To achieve the aim and tasks of the study, authors used statistical data from Central Statistical Bureau of Latvia (CBS), data from National Forest Inventory (NFI) and research results from previous studies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Highest total investment costs are for willow plantation establishment – 1549 EUR ha\(^{-1}\), due to high planting material costs. Lowest investment costs are for pine – 1042 EUR ha\(^{-1}\) and spruce – 1090 EUR ha\(^{-1}\) plantations, where planting material and planting costs are 31% lower than willow planting material costs (Tab.1.).

| Rotation period | Tree specie          | Planting density, seedlings ha\(^{-1}\) | One seedling price, EUR | Planting material costs, EUR ha\(^{-1}\) | Planting costs, EUR ha\(^{-1}\) | Site preparation for planting, EUR ha\(^{-1}\) | Unforseen costs, EUR ha\(^{-1}\) | Total planting costs, EUR ha\(^{-1}\) |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 20 years        | Willow               | 13000                                  | 0.06                    | 780                                    | 150                             | 590                             | 74                       | 1594                                 |
|                 | Poplar (0.2m)        | 1600                                   | 0.2                     | 340                                    | 110                             | 590                             | 70                       | 1110                                 |
|                 | Poplar (1.2m)        | 800                                    | 0.85                    | 680                                    | 110                             | 590                             | 70                       | 1450                                 |
|                 | Grey alder           | 1600                                   | 0.22                    | 352                                    | 110                             | 590                             | 70                       | 1122                                 |
| 40 years        | Hybrid aspen         | 1600                                   | 0.72                    | 576                                    | 110                             | 590                             | 70                       | 1346                                 |
|                 | Spruce               | 1600                                   | 0.2                     | 320                                    | 110                             | 590                             | 70                       | 1090                                 |
|                 | Pine                 | 1600                                   | 0.17                    | 272                                    | 110                             | 590                             | 70                       | 1042                                 |
|                 | Birch                | 1600                                   | 0.22                    | 352                                    | 110                             | 590                             | 70                       | 1122                                 |
|                 | Black alder          | 1600                                   | 0.22                    | 352                                    | 110                             | 590                             | 70                       | 1122                                 |

Such findings correspond with findings of earlier studies of the economy of wood biomass production focused on short-rotation species, such as willow and poplar, that states that these plantations require considerable large investments in plantation establishment and biomass production (Jylhä et al., 2015).

Production costs were calculated for all plantation lifespan at discount rate 2.5 %. It was concluded that the highest production costs for plantations with 20 years rotation cycle were characteristic for poplar plantations with 0.2 m cuttings - 4753 EUR ha\(^{-1}\), but the lowest - for grey alder (2451 EUR ha\(^{-1}\)). In plantations with rotation cycle 40 years highest production costs were for hybrid aspen plantations – 6608 EUR ha\(^{-1}\); and lowest - for pine plantations (2393 EUR ha\(^{-1}\)). In hybrid aspen plantations 2 harvests could be done due the plantation lifespan, while in other tree species plantations, except willow plantations, only one harvest could be done in the end of plantation lifespan. In willow plantations harvest is possible at least every 4th year, which in total are 5 harvests.
in plantation lifespan. Harvest operation prices are the same for all tree species, except willows, where different harvest operation calculations were used (see fig. 1).

Revenues were calculated according to projected wood volume and nowadays timber prices. Study results show that highest revenues in plantations with 20 years rotation cycle appear for poplar plantations with 0.2 m cuttings – 7557 EUR ha\(^{-1}\), but lowest - in grey alder plantations (3306 EUR ha\(^{-1}\)). In plantations with rotation cycle of 40 years the highest revenues are for hybrid poplar plantations – 10554 EUR ha\(^{-1}\); and lowest - for pine plantations (2393 EUR ha\(^{-1}\)). Hybrid aspen plantation have 2 rotation cycles in lifespan and in each cycle wood volume is about 300 m\(^3\) ha\(^{-1}\) that gives highest revenues compared with other tree species.

Positive NPV indicates that the projected earnings generated by investment exceed the anticipated costs. Investments with higher NPV will be more profitable then investments with lower NPV. It was calculated that the highest NPV value is for hybrid aspen plantations – 5348 EUR ha\(^{-1}\) and lowest for black alder – 771 EUR ha\(^{-1}\) and pine – 1031 EUR ha\(^{-1}\) (Fig.2).
Plantations with shorter life cycle (20 years) show better NPV values than plantations with longer life cycle. Despite long rotation cycle of 40 years, hybrid aspen plantations show best NPV value and the main reason is hybrid aspen superior growth abilities what provides 2 rotation cycles in the same time span as one rotation for slower growing species.

If Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) is greater than 1, it indicates that NPV of the plantation benefits outweigh the NPV of the costs. Highest BCR was noticed for hybrid aspen plantations – 1.6 and poplar with 0.2 m cuttings – 1.5. The species with lowest BCR are black alder – 0.85 and pine – 0.99. (see. Fig. 3).
Plantation establishment on cut-away peatlands with regarded tree species should be considered if the BCR value is higher than 1. If the plantation BCR is less than 1, the costs outweigh the benefits and plantation should be not considered as profitable investment.

CONCLUSIONS

Cut-away peatland afforestation is technically easily marketable practice and main timber products are wood chips, pulpwood and roundwood. Lowest investment costs for plantation establishment on cut-away peatlands are for pine and spruce plantations and highest for willow plantations. Highest production costs for plantations with 20 years lifespan are in poplar plantations with 0.2 m cuttings and lowest for grey alder plantations. In plantations with 40 years lifespan highest production costs are in hybrid aspen plantations and lowest in pine plantations. Highest revenues in plantations with 20 years rotation cycle are in poplar plantations with 0.2 m cuttings and lowest in grey alder plantations. In plantations with rotation cycle 40 years highest revenues are in hybrid aspen plantations and lowest in pine plantations. Plantations with shorter lifespan (20 years) show better Net Present value (NPV) than plantations with longer lifespan. Exception is hybrid aspen plantations which shows highest NPV from all tree species despite long lifespan, while black alder and pine plantations show lowest NPV. Plantation establishment on cut-away peatlands with fallowing tree species should be considered if the Benefit Cost ratio (BCR) value is greater than 1. For all plantations, except black alder and pine plantations, BCR ratio was greater than 1, with highest value of 1.6 for hybrid aspen plantation.
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