Epidural anesthesia for labor and delivery in a patient with May–Hegglin anomaly: a case report
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Abstract: We report a case of May–Hegglin anomaly (MHA) in a woman who had a successful labor and delivery under epidural anesthesia. MHA is an inherited thrombocytopenia easily misdiagnosed as idiopathic (immune) thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP). Early and appropriate diagnosis of MHA during pregnancy is essential for optimal maternal and neonatal delivery outcome. Additionally, it can avoid unnecessary diagnostic studies, such as bone marrow aspiration and biopsy, and even harmful therapies with corticosteroids, immunosuppressive agents, and splenectomy. Consequently, the most serious impacts of this disease are iatrogenic managements due to misdiagnosis. It seems that in patients with MHA, adequate clinical coagulation is far more dependent on adequate platelet function than any particular platelet count. The diagnosis of MHA may pose a challenge for clinicians managing pregnant women with thrombocytopenia.
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Introduction

May–Hegglin anomaly (MHA) is a rare hematological disorder, inherited as an autosomal dominant trait, within the family of myosin heavy chain (MHC) disorders, including Fechtner syndrome, Sebastian syndrome, Epstein syndrome, and Alport-like syndromes. This group is also known as MYH9-related disorders (MYH9RDs), because all have largely overlapping phenotypes and result from mutations in the MYH9 gene on chromosome 22, which encodes the nonmuscle myosin heavy chain-IIA (NMMHC-IIA) protein. NMMHC-IIA is a cytoplasmic protein with expression in many tissues, including platelets.

MYH9RDs are characterized by varying degrees of thrombocytopenia, giant platelets, and large (2–5 μm), well-defined, basophilic, cytoplasmic inclusion bodies (resembling Döhle bodies) in the granulocytes. These inclusion bodies result from deposition of MHC within white blood cells. Interestingly, they are not seen in platelets, and their presence in leukocytes can differentiate MHA from idiopathic (immune) thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP).

Top of Form

MYH9RDs are considered very rare. The Italian Registry for MYH9RD indicates that the prevalence of the disorder in Italy is at least 3:1,000,000. Because mild forms are discovered incidentally and severe forms are often misdiagnosed or underreported as other disorders, the actual prevalence is expected to be higher. MYH9RD has been diagnosed worldwide, and there is no evidence of variation in prevalence across ethnic
Thrombocytopenia occurs in approximately 50% of the patients with MHA, and the clinical manifestations vary from mild bleeding not requiring specific treatment up to severe bleeding episodes following trauma or surgery that require blood products. However, despite severe thrombocytopenia in most patients, platelet function is frequently normal. Therefore, they are asymptomatic, discovered incidentally. 

Thrombocytopenia is common during pregnancy; however, MHA is very rare, with 40 cases reported in the literature. Diagnosis has been established prior to pregnancy in some women, but in the majority, the problem is first identified incidentally during pregnancy as thrombocytopenia on routine booking blood tests. Most of such cases, without careful inspection of blood smears and a thorough family and bleeding history, are initially misdiagnosed as refractory-to-treatment ITP. Consequently, the most serious impacts of this disease are iatrogenic managements due to misdiagnosis. The diagnosis of MHA may pose a challenge for clinicians managing pregnant women with thrombocytopenia. Herein, we report a case of MHA in a woman who had a successful labor and delivery under epidural anesthesia. The patient provided written informed consent for her data to be included in this case report.

**Case description**

The history of the patient starts 10 years ago on April 2006, when she presented as primigravida at 36 weeks gestation, booked for antenatal investigations. Patient was not known to have any medical illness or history of previous surgical procedures. Her platelet count was found to be 22\(\times\)10^9/L, and she was admitted as a case of possible ITP. Coagulation profile was within normal limits, and there was no history of bleeding tendency. Family history positive for bleeding disorders was not reported. She was started on steroid therapy (initially with prednisolone and later with dexamethasone); however, the platelet count decreased to 19\(\times\)10^9/L. After transfusion of 6 units of platelets, her platelet count was 20\(\times\)10^9/L. Then, she received four cycles of rituximab (monoclonal antibody) and three doses of immunoglobulin, without response. Bone marrow biopsy revealed thalassemia trait and iron deficiency. Megakaryocytes and erythroblasts exhibited significant dysplasia. Differential diagnosis was between ITP and myelodysplastic syndrome. She had an uncomplicated cesarean section under general anesthesia, due to failure to labor progress. She received 18 units of platelets and 6 units of cryoprecipitate since the cesarean section. No bleeding tendency was observed perioperatively. Postoperatively, her platelet count was 65\(\times\)10^9/L, with normal coagulation profile. She was discharged without any complication.

After 3 months, a second bone marrow biopsy did not reveal additional pathology and confirmed the initial diagnosis (ITP). Her platelet count was 18\(\times\)10^9/L, so the patient was scheduled for splenectomy due to refractory ITP. In August 2006, she was transfused with 8 units of platelets and underwent an uncomplicated laparoscopic splenectomy under general anesthesia, with minimal blood loss. The spleen pathology report was consistent with the clinical diagnosis of ITP. After splenectomy, she had a platelet count 8\(\times\)10^9/L. Two months later, she showed improvement to 50\(\times\)10^9/L and, at 6 months, to 100\(\times\)10^9/L. However, then her platelet count decreased again and ranged between 7 and 20\(\times\)10^9/L.

In December 2007, the patient was then evaluated while she was on her second pregnancy at 2 months’ gestation. Her platelet count was 7\(\times\)10^9/L, and she was advised admission and the need for treatment. However, she signed against medical advice. She was transfused with 6 units of platelets and received intravenous (IV) immunoglobulin 55 g (1 g/kg) and then was discharged with platelet count 45\(\times\)10^9/L and on oral treatment with prednisolone. In June 2008, she had an uncomplicated labor and delivery that did not need any anesthetic management. During regular follow-up evaluations, the patient presented with hypothyroidism and started treatment with oral thyroxine.

About 2 years later, in January 2011, during regular follow-up evaluations, microscopic assessment of a peripheral blood smear showed giant platelets and cytoplasmic inclusion bodies in the granulocytes. Therefore, the patient was diagnosed as suffering from MHA. Hemoglobin electrophoresis revealed findings consistent with alpha thalassemia trait and sickle cell trait with iron deficiency anemia. The patient had another bone marrow examination, which revealed that she did not have ITP and that her thrombocytopenia was due to MYH9 gene-related hereditary macrothrombocytopenia. During her further course, she had two more pregnancies in 2011 and 2012, with spontaneous abortions. Her platelet count ranged between 8 and 20\(\times\)10^9/L.

In 2014, the patient presented for labor and delivery after her fifth pregnancy, with spontaneous rupture of membrane at 39 weeks’ gestation. Her platelet count was 13\(\times\)10^9/L, and she was transfused with 6 units of platelets, which increased the platelet count to 24\(\times\)10^9/L. The hematology consult was that the patient should be treated as a patient with a normal coagulation status and did not require any other specific treatment. Additionally, a neuraxial blockade...
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Figure 1 shows a graphic over a period of 9 years (2006–2014), presenting the range of changes in (A) hematocrit, (B) platelet count, and (C) prothrombin time.

Notes: Platelet count was transiently increased only after massive platelet transfusions, while most of the time, it ranged between 10 and 20×10⁹/L. At the same time, no significant changes were noted in prothrombin time and hematocrit, except during labor and delivery.

Discussion

We have presented a case of MHA in a woman who underwent a successful labor and delivery under epidural anesthesia. Even the very recent (2016) guidelines for obstetrical anesthesia from the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA)¹⁴ avoid suggesting a clear guidance and just state: “A specific platelet count predictive of neuraxial anesthetic complications has not been determined.” In general, a platelet count of ≥50×10⁹/L is recommended for safe delivery.⁴ Therefore, in most cases, general anesthesia is preferred, due to severe thrombocytopenia and considering the risk of possible spinal or epidural hematoma.¹⁴–¹⁶

MYH9RDs result from mutations in the MYH9 gene. Depending on the position of the causative mutation within the gene, the risk increases for syndromic clinical manifestations.³,⁷ Bleeding diathesis, high-tone hearing loss, glomerular nephropathy, and presenile cataract are the clinical features of MYH9RDs in descending order of frequency.⁵ Mutations in the neck region of the NMMHC-IIA protein are more likely associated with these comorbidities than mutations in the N- or C-terminal part of the gene.³,¹⁷ To date, at least 49 mutations of the MYH9 gene have been identified.⁶ More specifically, MHA is caused by abnormalities in the MYH9 gene located on chromosome 22q12–13 and encoding NMMHC–IIA.¹–⁴ The diagnosis of MHA has been conventionally based on morphological criteria alone,
by microscopic assessment of a peripheral blood smear after conventional staining (such as May-Grünwald-Giemsa staining), demonstrating a triad of giant platelets, thrombocytopenia, and inclusions in the cytoplasm of leukocytes.1–4 It is important to note that electronic cell counters work mainly based on the size and therefore they often classify very large platelets as erythrocytes. As a consequence, in MYH9RD, these instruments greatly underestimate mean platelet volume, as well as platelet count.18,19

The pathogenesis of MHA is poorly understood. Reported platelet counts in MHA have ranged from less than 10×10^9/L to normal levels, indicating variable expressivity; however, the platelet structure, function, and life span are usually normal.20 Additionally, no correlation was found between bleeding tendency and platelet count.2 A qualitative defect of platelets may be responsible for mild bleeding diathesis even in the absence of thrombocytopenia, while severe bleeding results from both qualitative and quantitative platelet defects. Bone marrow examination shows normal megakaryocytes. The cause of the thrombocytopenia in MHA is thought to be defective megakaryocyte maturation and fragmentation, which may account for production of large platelets.21

Thrombocytopenia is common during pregnancy. The most common causes include gestational thrombocytopenia, preeclamptic disorders of pregnancy and ITP. However, MHA is rare during pregnancy.9 Whenever a family history of thrombocytopenia is absent or unclear, evaluation of peripheral blood slides is a simple and effective tool to distinguish patients with MHA from those with ITP, as platelets are significantly larger in patients with MHA than in those with ITP. In particular, a mean platelet diameter >3.74 μm distinguishes MHA from ITP with 86% sensitivity and 87% specificity.22

The clinical spectrum of congenital thrombocytopenias in women ranges from severe bleeding tendency, recognized within the first few weeks of life, to mild conditions that may remain undetected even in adulthood. Positive bleeding history may include heavy menstrual bleeding, easy bruising, nose bleedings, bleeding after tooth extraction, and gum bleeding. Clinically, most women with MHA are asymptomatic, discovered incidentally, and require no specific treatment. In a recent systematic review of MHA during pregnancy, it was reported that 33% of women had no history of any bleeding symptoms.9

As the MHA is an autosomal dominant characteristic, the fetus has 50% chance of inheriting the pathogenic variant and presenting thrombocytopenia and MHA.1–5,21 Therefore, vaginal deliveries in women with severe thrombocytopenia should be considered at increased risk for neonatal intracranial bleeding.1,4 Approximately 65% of individuals diagnosed with MYH9RD have an affected parent.3–5 Prenatal diagnosis for pregnancies at increased risk is possible if the pathogenic variant in the family is known.4 The definitive diagnosis of MHA is limited to those with inclusion bodies in granulocytes, due to aggregates of abnormal NMMHC-IIA, and no other organ dysfunction.8 Genetic analysis can be used if the evidences are nondiagnostic, but access to this test is generally only available through specialist molecular testing facilities.

Differentiating between inherited and acquired thrombocytopenias may be difficult, and significant numbers of patients with MHA have been misdiagnosed with refractory ITP.24,25 In most cases, the first presentation is identified during pregnancy as thrombocytopenia during routine antenatal blood tests, with incidental finding of low platelet count. The biggest risk for these patients is that they are subjected to unnecessary, ineffective, and potentially harmful treatments based on the misdiagnosis of ITP.3 Consequently, the most serious impacts of this disease are iatrogenic managements due to misdiagnosis, and many of such patients suffer a lot before the final MHA diagnosis is established. Like in our case, a common scenario includes ineffective immunosuppressive (steroids) and intravenous immunoglobulin-G treatment, unnecessary repeated platelet and cryoprecipitate transfusions, multiple painful bone marrow examinations, and eventually ineffective, unnecessary, and potentially harmful splenectomy for steroid-resistant ITP.26,27

Routine transfusions of platelet concentrates are used in patients with MHA, to transiently increase platelet count. However, this is unnecessary and carries associated risks of possible alloimmunization, producing antibodies against human leukocyte antigen (HLA) and subsequent refractoriness to platelet infusions.3–5 Therefore, platelets and blood should be ready available for use; however, they should be administered only if abnormal active bleeding occurs, which cannot be otherwise managed.4,28 When available, transfusing platelets from HLA-matched donors should be preferred, as this reduces the risk of alloimmunization.4,28

There is no known prevention or treatment for the non-hematopoietic consequences of MHA. Previous therapy with steroids and/or high-dose immunoglobulins had no effect on platelet count or bleeding diathesis.1–5,21 Hemostatic prophylaxis for labor and delivery may include desmopressin (DDAVP®), tranexamic acid, and cryoprecipitate transfusion.4,29 Preoperative use of desmopressin can be considered, because it has been proven to reduce the bleeding tendency in many acquired and congenital disorders of platelet function, including MHA.4,5,29 An assessment of the bleeding risk
should be performed during the third trimester of pregnancy. Appropriate hemostatic cover can then be arranged to minimize the risk of primary postpartum hemorrhage. Women with no bleeding history and platelet count of at least 80–109×10^9/L are at low risk for bleeding and do not require platelet transfusion for labor and delivery.\textsuperscript{4} Desmopressin and/or tranexamic acid are generally adequate as hemostatic cover.\textsuperscript{4,29} However, women with a positive bleeding history or with platelet count less than 50×10^9/L may additionally require prophylactic platelet transfusion. Eventually, splenectomy, which is a treatment for refractory ITP, has not shown any improvement in the platelet count or any decrease in the bleeding tendency.\textsuperscript{26,27} Consequently, splenectomy is contraindicated in all hereditary macrothrombocytopenias, including MHA.\textsuperscript{26,27}

**Conclusion**

MHA is an inherited thrombocytopenia that is easily misdiagnosed as ITP, if careful inspection of blood smear and family history are overlooked. Early and appropriate diagnosis of MHA during pregnancy is essential for optimal maternal and neonatal delivery outcome. Additionally, this can avoid unnecessary diagnostic studies, such as bone marrow aspiration and biopsy, and even harmful therapies with corticosteroids, immunosuppressive agents, and splenectomy. It seems that in patients with MHA, adequate clinical coagulation is far more dependent on adequate platelet function than any particular platelet count. A qualitative defect of platelets may be responsible for mild bleeding diathesis even in the absence of thrombocytopenia, while severe bleeding results from both qualitative and quantitative platelet defects. MHA should be suspected whenever a patient has a low platelet count or a bleeding diathesis of unknown origin. Early and appropriate diagnosis of MHA during pregnancy is essential for optimal maternal and neonatal delivery outcome.
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