How Does the Increase of Body Mass and Ageing Affect Sprinter’s Results in a 100 m Run?
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SUMMARY: The career of a sprinter is analyzed with U. Bolt achievements as an example. The effects of the increase of body mass and ageing are discussed within the framework of the polynomial models for the velocity, muscular isometric force and age. The analysis presented demonstrates the influence of the BM factor in analyzed racing. The nonlinear increase of the BM for 9 kg in the period 2009 – 2017 in was one of the reasons of Bolt’s unsuccessful attempt to repeat or confirm the time 9.58 s. Another limiting factor was the fact that due to the age, Bolt was not able to increase isometric muscular force which, after the year of maximal efficiency (2009) decreased.
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INTRODUCTION

In the previous paper (Part I) we attempted to quantify the influence of the change of sprinter body mass (BM) to the final time of the run, inspired by the work of Hernández-Gómez et al. (2017). They studied the effects of the increase of BM and the age (G) of the sprinter. These factors act integrally, but in the paper their effects on the final time and sprinter velocity have been considered separately.

One must keep in mind how the sprinter efficiency changes with age: First it increases till some maximal limit which can be approximately determined, and then it decreases. This age limit is individual and it depends on gender and on some psychological, hereditary, kinematical-dynamical and physiological factors. Some of these factors are considered in the papers (Charles & Bejan, 2009; Coh & Bosnjak, 2010; Doder et al., 2012, Alacid et al. 2012a,b; Malacko et al. 2015; Janjic et al., 2017a; Krzysztof & Mero, 2013; Varlet & Richardson, 2015).

The aim of the present research is to define approximately the limit of the maximal efficiency in terms of the age in years G, more precisely in terms of the change of isometric motor force with age. We shall use the models proposed in earlier papers (Janjic et al., 2014, 2017a,b, 2019; Doder et al.; Bayat et al., 2014; Merellano-Navarro et al., 2021).

The test of our approach will be the analysis of some of the results by U.Bolt as an obvious example of the simultaneous non-linear increase of BM and age before Olympic or World competition, from Beijing 2008 to London 2017 (The authors stressed in Part I that the calculations are based on data from various sources, so they should be treated as only approximate, yet sufficiently illustrative.)

MATERIAL AND METHOD

In part I, we tried to quantify the influence of the body mass and here we study the effect of the age onto the final time tfas the crucial result. The authors conducted their research ethically, according to international standards and as required by the journal as described in Harriss & Atkinson (2009).
It is well known that for each sport result, basic importance lies in the force which produces static power and which increases during the adolescence and growth of the sportsman with the age until a maximal limiting value, after which it begins to decrease. This limit is a hypothetical one since it is individual and depends on numerous factors. In our opinion, this limit should be understood as an expected value (expressed in term of years) which signals that there begin to arise biochemical and mechanical changes opposite to the ones appearing during the growth and which can lead to various defects or deformations. So it should not be understood as a limitation for achieving the top results. This is confirmed by many situations in sports where elder beat younger, or vice versa. One obvious example is the World Athletic Championship 2017 in London, were U. Bolt (age 31) lost 100m run race to American sprinters J. Galetine (36) and C. Coleman (23). This example, as well as many others clearly confirms our assumption that this limit of maximal efficiency is an indicator of the possible decrease of the force and velocity of the sprinter.

If a sprinter wishes to resist to the decrease of muscle force and velocity, i.e. power due to ageing, he must induce positive changes within his organism due to overload by increasing the intensity and range of existing exercises, or by introducing the new ones. New exercises for developing power which should be generated in muscles must be approximately in the trend of development and function of the body composition: 40 % of muscular mass, 20 % of bones, 10 – 15 % subcutaneous fat tissue and 20 – 25 % of the rest (internal organs, cartilage, ligaments, tendons etc). If it is not, body composition will be unbalanced so that the amount of the fat tissue will be increased on account of muscular mass, producing the effect opposite to the expected one.

In order to determine the efficiency limit as well as development isometric muscular force (IMF) F depending on the age G, it is necessary to define and analytically present the functional dependence $F = f(G)$ for particular muscular groups. To realize that, we used the results for male sprinters from previous work (Doder et al.). In this work, for a sample of 1857 sportsmen from 20 different sports divided into age groups of 8 – 30 years, dynamometric average value of IMF of various muscular groups was measured: left and right hand flexors (LHF and RHF), upper-body flexors (UBF) and extensors (UBE) and leg extensors (LE). Measurement results were used to fit with the statistical programs Excel and Origin 6.1 the equations of the dependence of IMFF on the age G (in years).

The equations for particular muscular groups whose sum gives the total resulting force are:

$$LHF \quad F = 6.088 - 3.152 G + 0.616 G^2 - 0.0178 G^3$$
$$RHF \quad F = 10.262 - 3.966 G + 0.746 G^2 - 0.019 G^3$$
$$UBF \quad F = -36.66 - 10.466 G - 0.139 G^2 - 0.003 G^3$$
$$UBE \quad F = 48.057 - 10.761 G + 1.847 G^2 - 0.046 G^3$$
$$LE \quad F = -5.489 - 8.041 G + 2.495 G^2 - 0.019 G^3$$

Calculating the derivative of the function $F(G)$ from (1) for each listed muscular group, one can determine the age $G_0$ representing the efficiency limit. The sum of the particular group values of IMF for each age G represents the factor of the static force defined as the endurance ability for particular resistance.

RESULTS

We shall apply above presented theory to the results of Usain Bolt in 100m run in the period 2008 – 2017 in order to answer how much the factors of increased BM and ageing contributed to the fact that U. Bolt never succeeded to repeat or improve his world record (9.58 s) although the experts were very optimistic in their predictions. To provide proper quantification, we shall consider each of the factors separately.

In order to determine the age corresponding to the efficiency limit (EL) $G_0$ as well as developmental isometric force F for the relevant muscular groups, our initial point was the defined and analytically presented functional dependence $F = f(G)$ (1) whose sum gives the resulting force. We determine efficiency limit by calculating the

| Size  | LHF  | RHF  | UBF  | UBE  | LE   | Taken as result |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------------|
| $G_0$ (years) | 22.04 | 23.15 | 23   | 23.44 | 24.20 | 23              |
| $F$ [N]  | 77.27 | 81.82 | 94.03 | 217.94 | 429.23 | 900.28          |
derivative of (1) and also calculate the value of the isometric force at that age. It is presented in Table I.

Small differences in the value for $G_0$ appearing for different muscular groups indicate that efficiency limit is achieved gradually and not instantaneously. However, further analysis will be based on a unique efficiency limit value of $G_0=23$ years with corresponding IMF 900.28 N. Now we use the expression (1) for the age dependence of IMF for various runs (Table II).

**DISCUSSION**

We are reminded that U. Bolt achieved the world record (9.58 s) at the age of 23 years when, according to our calculations, he was in the phase of maximal efficiency. In order to repeat or improve this result, he must produce the necessary energy within his organism by causing positive changes by overload. This overload can be acquired by increasing the scope of existing or new exercises and by the increase of the BM. Bolt decided that by nonlinear increase of BM in the period 2009 – 2017 he will increase the force of the reflexive impulse with a fast and strong hit of the foot at the ground.

We have already mentioned that he did not manage to reproduce or improve his record result and we now continue with a possible explanation why it did not happen. We apologize for repeating some of the arguments from the Part I. Let us focus on the comparative analysis on two runs: one with the mass of 80 kg and wind velocity $+0.9$ m/s (Berlin 2009) and the other one with BM equal 95 kg and wind velocity $-0.8$ m/s (London 2017). It is clear that Bolt with BM of 95 kg and a front wind can achieve the same velocity as with the BM of 86 kg and a back wind, only if he invests more static power into the motor force. This power comes from the organism itself due to the resulting intensity of the isometric forces of individual muscular groups. In the year 2009, when Bolt established the world record, he was at the age of 23 (age of maximal efficiency) and according to our results this force was equal to 900.28 N, while in 2017 its value was 555.69 N, so 341.57 N less.

The approximate equality of the time due to the effect of BM in the accelerating phase of the run, for both runs, implies BM increase and the change of the wind direction was not an obstacle that Bolt could not overcome by introducing additional physical strength. In the second phase of the run, on a distance of proximately 20 m, there is no acceleration. According to eccentric – concentric reversible cycle, this means that at the moment when the muscular extension stops and contraction begins, the muscular force is increased in isometric conditions (Zaciorski & Kramer, 2009). No work is performed in this case, but due to the contraction state there exists a comparatively large spending of the energy reserves in the cells of muscular tissues. To sprinters, it causes fatigue, which arises during and after statically active stress (Opavski, 1982). It is clear that the fatigue grows with the length of the uniform velocity phase. So, in London 2017, Bolt with 95 kg ends the second phase tired, contrary to the run of 2009 (86 kg) when he realized the uniform motion phase in the part of the path between 80 – 100 m. In 2017, he entered the third phase (deceleration) at about 80 m when the finish was expected, but instead he stops and slows down as if he had lost his breath. This deceleration, according to Coh & Bosnjak is the consequence of central and peripheral fatigue of the peripheral nerves and metabolic processes in the sprinter’s muscles. In our opinion, there arose an acute fatigue due to suddenly and too abundant spending of the energy in a short time interval, the energy that the organism could not compensate through its organs. Bolt spent the physical strength to overcome the inertia due the increase of BM and decrease of IMF due to ageing.

In this way we have demonstrated that hitherto neglected effects of BM increase and ageing onto the final time of the run must be taken into account.

### Table II. The variation of IMF F with the age, for various groups of muscles.

| Place, year | G (years) | BM [kg] | Isometric force F [N] | Sum [N] |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
|             |           |         | LHF | RHF | UHF | UBE | LE |         |
| Berlin 2009 | 23        | 86      | 77.27 | 81.82 | 94.03 | 217.94 | 429.23 | 899.69 |
| London 2012 | 26        | 93      | 71.64 | 76.72 | 88.76 | 208.35 | 424.47 | 869.94 |
| Beijing 2015| 29        | 93      | 53.28 | 58.37 | 76.81 | 167.42 | 370.55 | 726.43 |
| London 2017 | 31        | 95      | 32.54 | 37.26 | 64.83 | 119.05 | 301.92 | 555.60 |
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**RESUMEN:** La carrera de un velocista se analiza con los logros de U. Bolt como ejemplo. Los efectos del aumento de la masa corporal y el envejecimiento se discuten en el marco de
los modelos polinomiales de velocidad, fuerza isométrica muscular y edad. El análisis presentado demuestra la influencia del factor MC en el análisis en las carreras. El aumento no lineal de la MC para 9 kg en el período 2009 - 2017 fue una de las razones del intento fallido de Bolt de repetir o confirmar el tiempo 9,58 s. Otro factor limitante fue el hecho de que debido a la edad, Bolt no fue capaz de aumentar la fuerza muscular isométrica que disminuyó luego del año de máxima eficiencia (2009).
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