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Abstract

Background

A combination of multiple biomarkers will be more accurate in predicting the mortality of sepsis patients. Herein, we aimed to assess the ability to predict adverse outcomes of a novel scoring system using the combination of PCT, DDi, and lactate (PDLS) in patients with sepsis from the emergency department (ED) of a hospital.

Methods

The patients’ baseline characteristics, main laboratory data and outcome were collected from the patient’s electronic medical record. A receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis determine the optimal cutoff points for biomarkers PCT, DDi and lactate and establish a PDLS system based on their cutoff points. ROC was used to compare the accuracy of PDLS to Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) and Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II scores in predicting short-term mortality in patients with sepsis.

Results

The analysis cohort included 1001 patients. 117 sepsis patients died in 28 days. An increase in PDLS was associated with higher mortality and adverse events including MV, VD, AICU, and CRRT. PDLS was an independent predictor of 28-day mortality, MV, VD, AICU, and CRRT. The Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (AUROC) of PDLS (0.96; CI=0.94-0.98) was significantly higher than that of SOFA (0.84; CI=0.80-0.89) and APACHE II (0.84; CI=0.79-0.88).

Conclusion

PDLS is an independent prognostic predictor of adverse clinical outcomes for sepsis patients and was superior to other prognostic scores, including SOFA and APACHE II.

Background

Sepsis is defined as a life-threatening organ dysfunction due to an unregulated host response to infection[1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) marked sepsis as the most urgent unmet medical need of our time[2]. The incidence of severe sepsis continues to increase and has become a significant health care problem[3−5]. The mortality rate of severe sepsis was reported to be as high as 30%[6−8]. Notably, the emergency departments (ED) are the main entrance for sepsis patients into the hospital[9, 10]. When targeted treatment and supportive measures are delayed, the mortality rate of sepsis will increase[11, 12]
At present, different warning and classification systems, severity scores, and preventive measures have been used to help clinicians identify sepsis early and improve the management of sepsis.

The severity scoring systems for sepsis mainly include the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score[13], sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score[14], quick sequential organ failure assessment (qSOFA)[14], systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) score[15], Modified Early Warning Score (MEWS)[16] and Mortality in Emergency Department Sepsis Score (MEDS)[17]. APACHE II score is a critical scoring standard for assessing the risk of critically ill patients[18]. However, it is established that this score can provide erroneous values in some patients, such as in elderly patients with chronic organ failure or comatose patients where the score is exceedingly high. Therefore, when the APACHE II score was applied to clinical practice, its negative predictive value for predicting death did not get the clinician's heartfelt satisfaction[13]. Although SOFA shows more remarkable ability in the ICU unit than qSOFA, most patients with sepsis are initially in a non-ICU environment, and for this reason, it is rarely used outside of ICU or in the early stages of sepsis[19]. In addition, the qSOFA score is used as the initial predictor of sepsis outside the ICU, especially in the These data were” in North American and Germany cohorts[20], but were not externally validated. On top of their relative complexity, these scoring systems lack specific biomarkers for diagnosing and monitoring sepsis patients. Therefore, a novel and reproducible prognostic tool for ED sepsis is imperative for swiftly identifying sepsis in patients.

The prevailing theory has been that sepsis represents an uncontrolled inflammatory response[21]. Different cytokines, peptides, and other signaling molecules that act as biomarkers are elevated at different stages of sepsis and can be detected in the bloodstream. More than 100 different biomarkers have been studied, none of which have been used clinically except procalcitonin (PCT) and C-reactive protein (CRP)[22, 23]. PCT has been widely used as a sepsis biomarker in the diagnosis, risk stratification, prognosis, and monitoring of treatment effects in recent years. PCT has the ability to predict the prognosis of sepsis and is correlated with bacterial load[24–26] and severity of the infection[27–29]. Clotting abnormalities often occur in patients with sepsis and may play a significant role in the clinical outcomes of critically ill patients[30]. As a candidate biomarker of sepsis, fibrin D-dimer (DDi) stands apart in its availability in the ED. It demonstrated adequate sensitivity for sepsis in ICU patients[31, 32]. Traditionally, lactate was perceived as the end product of anaerobic metabolism primarily in skeletal muscle, a concept known as the "oxygen debt model" that first emerged in the 1920s[33]. Among its numerous uses as a diagnostic test, lactate level has long been used as a marker of recovery, risk stratification, and a tool for predicting mortality from sepsis. New research identifies lactate as an independent prognostic predictor of mortality for patients with sepsis. Moreover, it has superior discriminative power than qSOFA while showing a discriminative ability similar to that of SOFA[34].

Different clinical scoring systems have been validated for ED. However, when the life parameters are abnormal, the patient may already be in the advanced stage of sepsis. Using biomarkers to detect sepsis can detect the early stage of sepsis before abnormal vital signs are recorded. Since multiple biomarkers have been studied, there is even more potential for combinations of biomarkers and clinical scoring
This study aimed to combine biomarkers into a new PCT + DDI + lactate score (PDLS) to predict short-term adverse outcomes in sepsis patients.

**Methods**

**Study design, setting, and population**

The vital signs on admission, medical history, laboratory data, final diagnosis data, adverse events including mechanical ventilation (MV), vasoactive drugs (VD), admission to intensive care unit (AICU), and continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), demographic data, APACHE II and SOFA data were extracted from the medical database of Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital of Zhejiang University. The single-center database retrospectively included the data of all adults (≥ 18 years old) patients with sepsis in the ED from July 2017 to June 2020. only patients first diagnosed with sepsis under sepsis-3 criteria were eligible for inclusion. In addition, we excluded patients with missing data (no data on PCT, DDi, and lactate levels, or significant laboratory tests were recorded in Table 1 and patients younger than 18 years of age. Finally, we identified 1001 patients who met the inclusion criteria for our cohort. The detailed process of data extraction is shown in Figure 1.

**Establishing Pdls System And Follow-up**

According to the best cut off points of PCT, DDi and lactate to construct a PDLS system with a range of 0-3. Detailed scoring rules are shown in Table 2. All patients with sepsis were followed up for 28 days. The primary endpoint was all-cause mortality during follow-up.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages, whereas continuous variables were presented as medians and interquartile range (IQR) because the data are non-normally distributed. The time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed to compare the prognostic performance and determine the optimal cutoff points for biomarkers including PCT, DDi, and lactate and score system for PDLS, APACHE II, and SOFA. Patient characteristics were then compared based on PDLS using Kruskal-Wallis H tests; categorical data were compared using χ²-tests. Multivariable logistic regression models were used to investigate the relationship between the PDLS and the different end points. A P-value <0.01 was considered statistically significant. Data analyses were performed using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**
A total of 1023 patients with sepsis participated in the study; however, 22 patients were lost during follow-up and were excluded from the study. Therefore, 1001 patients were included in the study; The median age of enrollment was 73 (IQR 61-83) years; 64.2% of patients were male. A total of 117 patients died within 28 days. PCT, DDi and lactate levels were significantly higher in the survivor group, compared to the non-survivor group (PCT median 1.5 ng/ L vs. 24.2 ng/ L; DDi median 1.8 µmol/ L vs. 5.9 µmol/ L; lactate median 1.6 mmol/ L vs. 5.6 mmol/ L, all \( p < 0.01 \)). The survival group had lower APACHE II (median 14 vs. 25, \( p = 0.01 \)) and SOFA (median 3 vs. 11, \( p = 0.01 \)) scores compared to the mortality group and lower rate of MV, AICU, VD and CRRT (19.2% vs. 94.0%, 35.9% vs. 97.4%, 10.2% vs. 88.0%, 6.2% vs. 61.2% respectively). Detailed data are shown in Table 1.

**Calculation of the PDLS**

According to the cut-off value determined by ROC curve analysis, PCT, DDI, and lactate were divided into two groups. Therefore, the recommended cut-off values for PCT (8.12ng/ L), DDi (3.59µmol/ L), and Lactate (2.65mmol/ L) are based on the maximum of Youden's index was used to calculate PDLS. The method of calculating PDLS is shown in Table 2.

**PDLS and the severity of sepsis**

According to PDLS, the clinical characteristics of sepsis patients are shown in Table 3. Heart rates and respiratory rates, temperature, WBC, BUN, and creatinine significantly increased with an increase in PDLS. Conversely, diastolic blood pressure (DBP), systolic blood pressure (SBP), PH, partial pressure of oxygen (PO\(_2\)), Platelet, albumin significantly decreased with increasing PDLS.

Patients with sepsis having higher PDLS also had higher sofa and APACHE II scores than those with lower PDLS. For patients with PDLS of 0, 1, 2, and 3, the median APACHEII scores were 13 (IQR 9-18), 17 (IQR 12-23), 20 (IQR 13-28), and 25 (IQR 20-30), respectively (\( P < 0.01 \)). The median SOFA scores were 3 (IQR 2-4), 4 (IQR 3-6), 6 (IQR 4-11) and 11 (IQR 7-15) (\( P < 0.01 \)), respectively. With the increase of PDLS, the 28-day mortality, MV, AICU, VD and CRRT rates increased gradually. The 28-day mortality rate of patients with scores of 0, 1, 2 and 3 were 0.2%, 2.4%, 18.0%, and 92.2%, respectively (\( p < 0.01 \)); MV were 11.3%, 30.2%, 51.7% and 93.1%, respectively(\( p < 0.01 \)); AICU were 25.6%, 48%, 75.3% and 98.0%, respectively(\( p < 0.01 \)); VD were 4.3%, 16.3%, 46.1% and 85.3%, respectively(\( p < 0.01 \)); CRRT were 2.9%, 8.7%, 29.2% and 61.8%, respectively (\( p < 0.01 \)) (Table 3).

**PDLS is an independent prognostic factor in patients with sepsis**

Univariate logistic regression model revealed that PDLS was positively correlated with the risk of mortality, MV, VD, and AICU. After adjusting for these potential confounders in the multivariate logistic regression analysis, the PDLS was independently associated with 28-day mortality (OR=21.60, 95% CI =11.02-42.34, \( p < 0.01 \)), MV(OR=2.88, 95% CI =2.20-3.77, \( p < 0.01 \)), AICU (OR=2.49, 95% CI =1.99-3.11, \( p < 0.01 \)), VD (OR=2.89, 95% CI =2.22-3.75, \( p < 0.01 \)) and CRRT(OR=1.91, 95% CI =1.44-2.54, \( p < 0.01 \)) (Table 4).
Comparison of PDLS to SOFA and APACHE II scores

The differentiation of 28-day mortality as assessed by AUROC (Figure 2; Table 5) indicates that PDLS (AUROC, 0.96) was significantly higher than SOFA (AUROC, 0.84) and APACHE II (AUROC, 0.84). Next, we selected the critical values of PDLS, SOFA and APACHE II with high sensitivity and specificity in predicting 28-day mortality by ROC curve analysis. The sensitivities, specificities, positive/negative predictive values and positive/negative likelihood ratios (LR+/−) of PDLS, SOFA and APACHE II for mortality are shown in Table 5.

Discussion

Biomarkers and clinical scoring systems can provide powerful assistance for clinicians to identify sepsis in the early stage of ED. In this research, we investigated the combinations of biomarkers including PCT, DDi, and lactate to predict the severity of sepsis. We found that higher PDLS were associated with more severe sepsis and more adverse outcomes. After adjusting for confounding factors, increased PDLS was an independent predictor of 28-day mortality, MV, AICU, VD, and CRRT, regardless of sepsis severity. Compared to SOFA and APACHE II, PDLS can provide more information on predicting 28-day adverse outcomes associated with sepsis. Therefore, our results suggest that PDLS is a valuable tool for identifying high-risk sepsis patients during early ED admissions.

In order to introduce new biomarker combinations into clinical practice, biomarkers should be offered as routine tests in clinical laboratories, along with standard laboratory tests. In addition, only a limited number of parameters are required for the clinical scoring system due to the limited time patients spend in the ED. PCT has been studied as a biomarker for bacterial infections and disease severity in infectious diseases. PCT is the precursor of calcitonin and is physiologically produced by thyroid cells. Moreover, it is also synthesized outside the thyroid gland in bacterial infections and rises rapidly in response to systemic infections. Therefore, it is often referred to as the most likely biomarker to replace CRP[35]. However, PCT has not yet played a role in routine care in ED[36]. Combining PCT with other biomarkers, we found an increase in predictive value on 28-day mortality, MV, VD, AICU, and CRRT. Therefore, as performed in multiple studies, the combination of PCT with other biomarkers may be a key to be adopted as part of routine care.

The proinflammatory response to sepsis results in activation of the coagulation system and inhibition of the anticoagulant mechanism and fibrinolysis[37–39]. During sepsis, platelet activation and thrombosis contribute to developing complications such as DIC, multiple organ failure, and acute lung and kidney injuries[37, 38, 40]. Adamzik et al. have shown that high levels of DDi, a sensitive biomarker for bedside monitoring of thrombi, are associated with 28-day mortality in patients with infection or sepsis found in ED[41]. In some studies, other thrombotic biomarkers, such as fibrinogen and PLT, have been associated with the risk of sepsis; However, reported prognostic values are conflicting[37, 38]. In our study, lactate was simultaneously combined with other biomarkers. We found a high predictive value for 1-month mortality in two studies using lactate combined with other biomarkers or clinical scoring systems[42].
Unlike several novel biomarkers, lactate is widely used as a standard measurement during emergency examinations. Therefore, lactate is a vital biomarker to evaluate the severity of ED sepsis.

In this study, we combined three essential and readily available biomarkers from multiple mechanisms of sepsis pathophysiology, and finally created the PDLS biomarker scoring system. APACHE II and SOFA scores are used to predict mortality in septic patients admitted to the ICU, but these data are not available in the early stage of ED admission. In this current research, PDLS was based on inflammation, thrombosis, and tissue perfusion levels and composed of three simple biomarkers for routine and bedside detection in sepsis patients admitted to ED. PDLS showed higher prognostic accuracy in terms of short-term mortality compared to APACHE II and SOFA (Table 4). Furthermore, our study revealed that PDLS has higher sensitivity and specificity than SOFA and APACHE II (Table 5). Thus, PDLS may help stratify high-risk patients with different diseases; However, this hypothesis needs to be confirmed by larger well-designed studies.

Our study has several limitations. First of all, the study is not prospective but retrospective. Undoubtedly, the inherent bias in retrospective studies is unavoidable. Secondly, this was a single-center, retrospective cohort study that did not investigate long-term follow-up of enrolled patients. Thirdly, we solely recorded biomarkers investigated in the ED; however, the variation of these biomarkers at different time points have not been detected or recorded. Finally, prospective studies are warranted, and better predictors of outcome need to be explored by future researchers.

**Conclusions**

PDLS was an independent prognostic evaluation system for patients with ED sepsis. It may help stratify sepsis patients based on the risk of early 28-day mortality and other adverse outcomes after ED admission.
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Tables

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics, vital signs, laboratory parameters, and outcomes of patients with sepsis.
| Patient characteristics                  | All (n=1001) | Survive (n=884) | Mortality (n=117) | P   |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-----|
| Age, year                                | 73 (61-83)  | 73 (61-83)     | 78 (68-85)        | 0.01|
| Male, n (%)                              | 643 (64.2)  | 558 (63.1)     | 85 (72.6)         | 0.43|
| Lung disease, n (%)                      | 148 (14.8)  | 126 (14.3)     | 22 (18.8)         | 0.19|
| Heart failure, n (%)                     | 147 (14.7)  | 102 (11.6)     | 21 (17.9)         | 0.06|
| Peripheral vascular disease, n (%)       | 43 (4.3)    | 37 (4.2)       | 6 (5.1)           | 0.63|
| Dementia, n (%)                          | 53 (5.3)    | 40 (4.5)       | 13 (11.1)         | 0.03|
| Rheumatologic disease, n (%)             | 87 (8.7)    | 77 (8.7)       | 10 (8.5)          | 0.95|
| Diabetes, n (%)                          | 174 (17.4)  | 152 (17.2)     | 22 (18.8)         | 0.67|
| Renal disease, n (%)                     | 47 (4.7)    | 41 (5.1)       | 6 (4.6)           | 0.81|
| Cerebrovascular disease, n (%)           | 108 (10.8)  | 92 (10.4)      | 16 (13.7)         | 0.63|
| Tumor, n (%)                             | 128 (12.8)  | 102 (11.6)     | 26 (22.6)         | 0.01|
| Hypertension                             | 451 (45.1)  | 400 (45.2)     | 51 (43.6)         | 0.74|
| HR, beats/ min                           | 101 (87-116)| 100 (87-113)   | 108 (101-131)     | <0.01|
| RR, beats /min                           | 21 (20-24)  | 21 (20-23)     | 25 (20-30)        | <0.01|
| SBP, mmHg                                | 125 (110-143)| 127 (113-145) | 90 (78-127)       | <0.01|
| DBP, mmHg                                | 72 (61-84)  | 72 (62-84)     | 58 (45-80)        | <0.01|
| T, °C                                    | 37.3 (36.7-38.3) | 37.3 (36.7-38.1)| 38.5 (37.0-39.3) | <0.01|
| SPO\(_2\), %                            | 90 (86-93)  | 91 (87-93)     | 79 (74-85)        | <0.01|
| WBC, 10\(^9\)/L                          | 13.4 (9.2-16.7)| 13.3 (9.1-16.5)| 14.7 (10.3-20.8) | 0.01|
| Hemoglobin, g/ L                         | 121 (104-139.5)| 121 (106-140) | 120 (90.5-136)   | 0.35|
| Platelet, 10\(^9\)/L                     | 161 (114.5-228.5)| 167 (120-233.5)| 126 (68.5-189)   | <0.01|
| CRP, mg/ L                               | 134 (70.2-215) | 133.9 (67.7-213) | 144.4 (89.4-235.5) | 0.45|
| Albumin, g/ L                            | 33 (28.5-37.4) | 33.6 (29.2-38) | 29 (24.2-33.6)   | <0.01|
| BUN, mmol/ L                             | 6.8 (4.9-11.3) | 6.4(4.7-10.0)  | 13 (7.7-25.0)    | <0.01|
| CR, μmol/ L                              | 70 (60-121)  | 76 (59.0-110.8)| 146 (78.5-310.0) | <0.01|
| PH                                       | 7.40 (7.35-7.45)| 7.41 (7.35-7.45)| 7.26 (7.2-7.37)  | <0.01|
**Table 2:** The method of calculating the combined PCT, DDi, and lactate score (PDLS)

| PCT   | DDi   | Lactate | PDLS |
|-------|-------|---------|------|
| <8.12 | <3.59 | <2.65   | 0    |
| <8.12 | ≥3.59 | <2.65   | 1    |
| <8.12 | <3.59 | ≥2.65   | 1    |
| ≥8.12 | <3.59 | <2.65   | 1    |
| ≥8.12 | ≥3.59 | <2.65   | 2    |
| <8.12 | ≥3.59 | ≥2.65   | 2    |
| ≥8.12 | ≥3.59 | ≥2.65   | 3    |

PDLS, combined PCT, DDi and lactate score; PCT, procalcitonin; DDi, D-dimer.

**Table 3:** Relationships between clinical characteristics and the thrombo-inflammatory prognostic score in patients with sepsis.
| Variable          | PDLS=0 (n = 558) | PDLS=1 (n = 252) | PDLS=2 (n = 89) | PDLS=3 (n = 102) | P  |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----|
| Age, year         | 73 (61-85)       | 71.5 (59-81)     | 73 (56.5-85)    | 78 (68.8-85.3)   | 0.07|
| Male, n (%)       | 353 (63.3%)      | 152 (60.3%)      | 67 (75.3%)      | 71 (69.6%)       | 0.47|
| HR, beats/ min    | 99 (86-110)      | 102 (87-116)     | 108 (97-126)    | 120 (101-130)    | < 0.01 |
| RR, beats/ min    | 21(20-23)        | 21(20-24)        | 21(20-25)       | 24 (20-30)       | 0.01 |
| SBP, mmHg         | 129 (114-145)    | 128 (113-145)    | 120 (90-136)    | 90 (78-125)      | < 0.01 |
| DBP, mmHg         | 74 (64-84)       | 71 (61-83)       | 65 (54-86)      | 58 (45-80)       | < 0.01 |
| T, °C             | 37.3 (36.7-38.1) | 37.3 (36.5-38.0) | 37.5 (36.7-38.7)| 38.5 (37-39.3)   | 0.01 |
| SPO₂, %           | 91 (88-93)       | 89 (85-93)       | 88 (82-93)      | 78 (73-85)       | < 0.01 |
| WBC, 10⁹/ L       | 13.2 (8.8-15.8)  | 13.4 (9.5-17.1)  | 13.3 (8.9-17.8) | 15.1 (10.3-21.0) | < 0.01 |
| Hemoglobin, g/ L  | 124 (110-140)    | 116 (98-134)     | 118 (98-138)    | 123 (90-139)     | 0.04 |
| Platelet, 10⁹/ L  | 176 (131-243)    | 156 (117-221)    | 130 (86-190)    | 124 (67-191)     | < 0.01 |
| CRP, mg/ L        | 121.0 (58.7-187.0)| 144.6 (77.7-234.1)| 199.2 (94.8-261.5)| 154.5 (86.6-249.3)| 0.02 |
| Albumin, g/ L     | 34.3 (30-39)     | 31.7 (27.9-35.8) | 31 (26.2-36.1)  | 28.8 (24.0-34.4) | □ 0.01 |
| BUN, mmol/ L      | 6.1 (4.5-8.4)    | 7.2 (5.1-11.2)   | 10.8 (6.2-18.1) | 14.6 (9.5-25.4)  | □ 0.01 |
| Cr, μmol/ L       | 74 (56-99)       | 80 (61-117)      | 127 (74-234)    | 187 (94-345)     | □ 0.01 |
| PH                | 7.41 (7.36-7.45) | 7.41 (7.35-7.45) | 7.37 (7.31-7.43)| 7.24 (7.14-7.35) | □ 0.01 |
| PCO₂, mmHg        | 33.5 (30.5-39.0) | 32.0 (28.4-37.3) | 30.6 (26.3-37.4)| 33.9 (26.7-43.4) | 0.39 |
| PO₂, mmHg         | 63.2 (56.0-74.2) | 59.0 (55.0-67.0) | 56.0 (50.5-65.0)| 45.5 (42.0-53.0) | □ 0.01 |
| APACHE, score     | 13 (9-18)        | 17 (12-23)       | 20 (13-28)      | 25 (20-30)       | □ 0.01 |
| SOFA, score       | 3 (2-4)          | 4 (3-6)          | 6 (4-11)        | 11 (7-15)        | □ 0.01 |
HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; T, temperature; WBC, white blood cell; CRP, c-reactive protein; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Cr, creatinine; DDI, D-dimer; APACHE, acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; MV, mechanical ventilation; AICU, admission to intensive care unit; VD, vasoactive drugs; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy.

Table 4: Logistic regression analysis regarding correlations between clinical outcomes and PDLS.

| Outcome                  | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                          | OR (95% CI)         | P        | OR (95% CI)         | P        |
| 28-day mortality         | 22.94 (13.64-38.58) | < 0.01  | 21.60 (11.02-42.34) | < 0.01  |
| MV                      | 3.77 (3.15-4.50)    | < 0.01  | 2.88 (2.20-3.77)    | < 0.01  |
| AICU                    | 3.42 (2.85-4.10)    | < 0.01  | 2.49 (1.99-3.11)    | < 0.01  |
| CRRT                    | 3.88 (3.17-4.74)    | < 0.01  | 1.91 (1.44-2.54)    | < 0.01  |
| VD                      | 4.89 (3.98-5.99)    | < 0.01  | 2.89 (2.22-3.75)    | < 0.01  |

PDLS, combined PCT, DDi and lactate score; MV, mechanical ventilation; AICU, admission to intensive care unit; VD, vasoactive drugs; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy.

Table 5 AUROC of PDLS, SOFA and APACHE for mortality.

| Variable | Area Under ROC curve | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | LR+ | LR- |
|----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| PDLS     | 0.96 (0.94-0.98)     | 0.94        | 0.91        | 0.58 | 0.99 | 10.26 | 15.18 |
| SOFA     | 0.84 (0.80-0.89)     | 0.68        | 0.91        | 0.49 | 0.91 | 7.46  | 2.87 |
| APACHE   | 0.84 (0.79-0.88)     | 0.77        | 0.80        | 0.44 | 0.93 | 3.84  | 3.44 |
AUROC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; PDLS, combined PCT, DDi and lactate score; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; APACHE, acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; PPV, positive predictive values; NPV, negative predictive values; LR+, positive likelihood ratios; LR-, negative likelihood ratios.
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A total 1301 patients were eligible for inclusion only if they were firstly diagnosed sepsis according to sepsis-3

Excluded the following patients

1. Less than 18 years old (n=2)
2. Incomplete data on PCT, DDi or lactate (n=56)
3. No other main lab test results (n=183)
4. Without SOFA and APACHE II disease severity scores (n=34)

1023 patients were selected

22 patients were lost to follow-up
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The detailed process of data extraction
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Receiver operating characteristic curves of PDLS, APACHE II, and SOFA for predicting mortality.