Existence Results for Nonlinear Multiorder Fractional Differential Equations with Integral and Antiperiodic Boundary Conditions
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In this paper, we study the solvability of a class of nonlinear multiorder Caputo fractional differential equations with integral and antiperiodic boundary conditions. By using some fixed point theorems including the Banach contraction mapping principle and Schaefer’s fixed point theorem, we obtain new existence and uniqueness results for our given problem. Also, we give some examples to illustrate our main results.

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus has a history of several hundred years, and many valuable results that have contributed to the development of mathematical theories and their application to practice have been created during its historical process (see [1]). Also, fractional differential equations are one of the powerful means to model and solve scientific and technological problems that have been arisen in physics, chemistry, biology, mechanics, and many other fields, and it has developed more and more in-depth (see [2]). In particular, boundary value problems of fractional differential equations are often used as mathematical models for many phenomena in a variety of physical, biological, mechanical, and chemical studies such as analysis of turbulent flow, simulation of chemical reaction, and image processing technique (see [3–6]).

In recent years, antiperiodic boundary value problems have been put forward in various practical phenomena and have attracted the attention of a large number of researchers because of the specific properties of their solutions (see [7]). Based on many works about the solvability of antiperiodic boundary value problems for integer-order differential equations (see [8–10]), a lot of attempts have been made to extend the existence results for them to the case of fractional differential equations (see [11–20]). For instance, Agarwal and Ahmad [11] established the existence of solutions of the following single-term Caputo fractional differential equations with antiperiodic boundary conditions by using the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and Leray-Schauder degree theory:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathring{D}^{q}x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & \quad t \in [0, T], \quad T > 0, \quad 3 < q \leq 4, \\
 x(0) = x(T), \quad x'(0) = x'(T), \quad x''(0) = x''(T), \quad x'''(0) = x'''(T).
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

In [17], Choudhary and Daftardar-Gejji considered the antiperiodic boundary value problem of the nonlinear multiorder Caputo fractional differential equation

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i \mathring{D}^{\alpha_i} u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & \quad t \in [0, T], \\
 u(0) = -u(T),
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 0, 1, \ldots, n, \ \lambda_n \neq 0, \ 0 \leq \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_n < 1 \). They proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions to their problem in terms of the two-parametric functions of Mittag-Leffler type. Their equation in problem (2) is a...
generalization of the classical relaxation equation and governs some fractional relaxation processes.

Analyzing the higher-order fractional differential equations like that in problem (1), some new research papers considered not only antiperiodic boundary conditions but also mixed-type boundary conditions which are composed of both integral and antiperiodic boundary conditions (see [21–25]). Xu [24] obtained new existence and uniqueness results for the following single-term fractional differential equations with integral and antiperiodic boundary conditions by means of the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, contraction mapping principle, and Leray-Schauder degree theory:

\[
\begin{cases}
D^q x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, 1], 1 < q < 2, \\
x(1) = \mu \int_0^1 x(s)ds, x'(0) + x'(1) = 0.
\end{cases}
\] (3)

Taking the previous results together, we can know that very little has been done on the multiterm fractional differential equations with integral and antiperiodic boundary conditions. In particular, as far as we know, the research on the mixed-type boundary value problems of nonlinear multiterm fractional differential equations like that in problem (2) which is of great significance in practice has not been carried out at all.

Motivated by above analysis, in this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following mixed-type fractional boundary value problems of combining the nonlinear multiterm Caputo fractional differential equations, which are similar to the equation in problem (2) and have higher fractional orders, with the integral and antiperiodic boundary conditions in problem (3):

\[
\begin{aligned}
 cD^\alpha_0 u(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(t)cD^\beta_0 u(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(t)cD^\beta_0 u(t) \\
+ \sigma(t)u(t) &= f(t, u(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \\
 u(1) &= \mu \int_0^1 u(s)ds, \\
u'(0) + u'(1) &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\] (4)

where \(1 < \alpha_i < \cdots < \alpha_n < \alpha \leq 2, 0 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_m < 1, 0 < \mu < 1, \lambda_i \in C[0, 1] (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n), \mu_i \in C[0, 1] (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m), \sigma \in C[0, 1], \sigma \neq 0, f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}).\)

2. Derivation of the Integral Equation

The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and the Caputo fractional derivative of order \(\alpha > 0\) of a function \(f : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\) are given by

\[
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{I}_0^\alpha f)(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s)ds, \\
(\mathcal{D}_0^\alpha f)(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^n(s)ds,
\end{aligned}
\] (6)

where \(n = [\alpha] + 1\), provided that the right-hand sides are pointwise defined on \((0, \infty)\) (see [1]).

**Definition 1.** A function \(u \in C[0, 1]\) with a Caputo fractional derivative of order \(\alpha\) that belongs to \(C[0, 1]\) (i.e., \(\mathcal{D}_0^\alpha u \in C[0, 1]\)) is said to be a solution of problem (4)-(5) if it satisfies equation (4) and the boundary conditions (5).

**Lemma 2.** If a function \(u\) is a solution of problem (4)-(5), then \(y(t) := \mathcal{D}_0^\alpha u(t)\) is a solution of the integral equation

\[
y(t) = f(t, y(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(t)\mathcal{I}_0^{\alpha_i} y(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(t)\mathcal{I}_0^{\beta_i} y(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i(t)}{1-t-\beta_i} y(t) \sigma(t) \mathcal{I}_0^t G(t, s)y(s)ds,
\] (7)

in \(C[0, 1]\), and conversely, if \(y \in C[0, 1]\) is a solution of the integral equation (7), then a function \(u\) which is given by

\[
u(t) = \int_0^t G(t, s)y(s)ds,
\] (8)

is a solution of problem (4)-(5), where

\[
G(t, s) = \begin{cases}
\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left[4(1-s)^{\alpha} - 4\alpha(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + a(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}\right] + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[(1-t)(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2} - 2(1-s)^{\alpha-1}\right], & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\
\frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left[4(1-s)^{\alpha} - 4\alpha(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + a(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}\right] + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[(1-t)(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2} - 2(1-s)^{\alpha-1}\right], & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1.
\end{cases}
\] (9)
Proof. Let a function \( u \) be a solution of problem (4)–(5). Applying \( I_0^a \), on both sides of the expression \( y(t) = cD^\alpha_{0^+}u(t) \), it is obvious that

\[
u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)ds, \tag{10}\]

(see [24]).

We can rewrite (10) as

\[
u(t) = \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} - \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} \tag{11}\]

From (11), we have some equalities as follows:

\[
cD^\alpha_{0^+}u(t) = I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t), \quad i = 1, \cdots, n, \]

\[
cD^\beta_{0^+}u(t) = I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) - \frac{1}{2T(2-\beta_i)} t^{1-\beta_i} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \bigg|_{t=1}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{12}\]

Substituting the above \( cD^\alpha_{0^+}u(t) \) and \( cD^\beta_{0^+}u(t) \) into (4), it can be easily seen that

\[
y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(t) I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(t) \left( t^{1-\beta_i} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) - \frac{1}{2T(2-\beta_i)} \right) \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \sigma(t) G(t,s)y(s)ds = f(t,u(t)) \tag{13}\]

This yields the integral equation (7).

Conversely, let a function \( y \in C[0, 1] \) be a solution of the integral equation (7). Substituting the expression

\[
u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)ds, \tag{14}\]

into (7), we can get that

\[
y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(t) I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(t) I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) - \frac{1}{2T(2-\beta_i)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \sigma(t) u(t) = f(t,u(t)). \tag{15}\]

On the other hand, using the expression of Green’s function \( G(t,s) \), we can see that

\[
u(t) = I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) + \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{1 - t}{4(1-\mu)} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} \tag{16}\]

So, we know that \( cD^\alpha_{0^+}u(t) \), \( cD^\beta_{0^+}u(t) \), and \( cD^\beta_{0^+}u(t) \) exist for any \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( cD^\beta_{0^+}u \in C[0, 1] \).

Considering the relations

\[
cD^\alpha_{0^+}u(t) = I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t), \quad i = 1, \cdots, n, \]

\[
cD^\beta_{0^+}u(t) = I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) - \frac{1}{2T(2-\beta_i)} t^{1-\beta_i} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \bigg|_{t=1}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m, \tag{17}\]

we can rewrite (15) as

\[
cD^\beta_{0^+}u(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(t) cD^\beta_{0^+}u(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(t) cD^\beta_{0^+}u(t) + \sigma(t) u(t) = f(t,u(t)). \tag{18}\]

That is, \( u \) satisfies equation (4).

Now, we prove that \( u \) satisfies the boundary conditions (5). By simple calculation, we have

\[
u(1) = \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} - \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} I^{\alpha_1}_{0^+}y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} + \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} \int_{t=1}^{\alpha_1} y(t) \bigg|_{t=1} \tag{19}\]

The expressions in (19) are solved.
This means that $u(1) = \mu \int_0^1 u(s) ds$. Also, since

\[
 u'(0) = \left. \frac{I^{-\lambda_1}_{0+} y(t)}{1-\alpha} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{-1}{2} \left. I^{\alpha-1}_{0+} y(t) \right|_{t=1}, \\
 u'(1) = \left. \frac{I^{-\lambda_1}_{0+} y(t)}{1-\alpha} \right|_{t=1} = \frac{-1}{2} \left. I^{\alpha-1}_{0+} y(t) \right|_{t=1},
\]

we can see that $u'(0) + u'(1) = 0$. Therefore, it is proved that $u$ satisfies the boundary conditions (5). The proof is completed.

**Remark 3.** By Lemma 2, the existence of solutions for problem (4)–(5) refers to the solvability of the integral equation (7) in $C[0, 1]$.

**Remark 4.** As we can see from the expression

\[
 \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds = \frac{I^\alpha_{0+} y(t) + \frac{\mu}{1-\alpha} I^{\alpha+1}_{0+} y(t)}{1-\mu} + \left( \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left. I^{\alpha-1}_{0+} y(t) \right|_{t=1}.
\]

the function $\int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds$ is continuous in $[0, 1]$ for any $y \in C[0, 1]$. Also, for any $y \in C[0, 1]$, the following inequality holds:

\[
 \left\| \int_0^1 G(\cdot, s)y(s)ds \right\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left\| \frac{I^\alpha_{0+} y(t) + \frac{\mu}{1-\alpha} I^{\alpha+1}_{0+} y(t)}{1-\mu} + \left( \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left. I^{\alpha-1}_{0+} y(t) \right|_{t=1} \leq \frac{1}{1-\mu} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)} \frac{1}{1-\mu} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)} + \left( \frac{\mu}{4(1-\mu)} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \|y\| = w_{\alpha, \mu} \|y\|,
\]

where $w_{\alpha, \mu}$ is denoted as

\[
 w_{\alpha, \mu} := \frac{1}{1-\mu} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)} \frac{2-\mu}{4} + \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)}.
\]

### 3. Main Results

Define the operators $P$ and $Q$ on $C[0, 1]$ as follows:

\[
 (Py)(t) = f(t, \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds),
\]

\[
 (Qy)(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left( t_{i+1} \right) I^{\alpha-1}_{0+} y(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \left( t_{i+1} \right) I^{\alpha-1}_{0+} y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_i(t)}{2} \left[ T(2-\beta_i) \right] \left. I^{\alpha-1}_{0+} y(t) \right|_{t=1} - \sigma(t) \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds.
\]

Then, the integral equation (7) can be regarded as the operator equation

\[
 y(t) = (Py)(t) + (Qy)(t).
\]

**Lemma 5.** The following hold:

(i) For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the fractional integral operator $I^\alpha_{0+} : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ is compact.

(ii) For any $d \in C[0, 1]$, the operator $A : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ which is defined by

\[
 (Ax)(t) = d(t) \cdot x(t),
\]

is a bounded linear operator.

**Proof.**

(i) It is sufficient to prove that for any bounded set $\Omega := \{ u \in C[0, 1] \mid \| u \| \leq r \}$, $I^\alpha_{0+} \Omega$ is relatively compact. Obviously, we can see

\[
 \forall u \in \Omega,
\]

\[
 \| I^\alpha_{0+} u(t) \| \leq \frac{1}{I(\alpha + 1)} \| u \|.
\]

So, we can know that $I^\alpha_{0+} \Omega$ is uniformly bounded. Also, we have that for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] (t_1 < t_2)$,

\[
 \| I^\alpha_{0+} u(t_1) - I^\alpha_{0+} u(t_2) \| \leq \frac{1}{I(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} \left( t_2 - s \right)^{\alpha-1} u(s)ds
\]

\[
 \leq \frac{1}{I(\alpha)} \left( \int_0^{t_2} \left( t_2 - s \right)^{\alpha-1} u(s)ds - \int_0^{t_1} \left( t_2 - s \right)^{\alpha-1} u(s)ds \right)
\]

\[
 + \int_t^{t_2} \left( t_2 - s \right)^{\alpha-1} u(s)ds
\]

\[
 \leq \frac{1}{I(\alpha)} \left( \int_0^{t_2} \left( t_2 - s \right)^{\alpha-1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \cdot |u(s)|ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( t_2 - s \right)^{\alpha-1} \cdot |u(s)|ds \right)
\]

\[
 \leq \frac{1}{I(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} \left( (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} \right) \cdot |u(s)|ds
\]

\[
 \leq \frac{1}{I(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \cdot |u(s)|ds
\]

\[
 \leq \frac{1}{I(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \cdot \| u \| ds
\]

\[
 \leq \frac{1}{I(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha-1} t_1^n t_2^n t_2^n.
\]

This yields that $I^\alpha_{0+} \Omega$ is equicontinuous. Therefore, using the Ascoli–Arzelà theorem, it can be easily seen that $I^\alpha_{0+} \Omega$ is relatively compact.

(ii) It is obvious that for any $d \in C[0, 1]$,

\[
 \forall x \in C[0, 1],
\]

\[
 \| (Ax)(t) \| \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \| d(t) \| \cdot \| x \|.
\]

This completes the proof of (ii).
In this article, the following hypothesis will be used:

**H1.** There exist $L, l \in [0, +\infty)$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in (0, 1]$ such that for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(t_1, x_1) - f(t_2, x_2)| \leq l|t_1 - t_2|^{\sigma_1} + L|x_1 - x_2|^{\sigma_2}. \quad (30)$$

**Lemma 6.** Assume that hypothesis H1 holds. Then, the operator $P : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ is compact.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 5, put $\Omega := \{u \in C[0, 1]||u|| \leq r\}$. Then, we have for any $u \in \Omega$,

$$|(Pu)(t)| \leq \left| f\left(t, \int_0^1 G(t, s)u(s)ds\right) \right| \leq \left| f\left(t, \int_0^1 G(t, s)u(s)ds\right) - f(t, 0)\right| + \left| f(t, 0)\right| \leq L\left| \int_0^1 G(t, s)u(s)ds\right|^{\sigma_2} + \|f(\cdot, 0)\|.

(31)

This implies that $Pu$ is uniformly bounded.

On the other hand, we can get that for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ ($t_1 < t_2$),

$$|(Pu)(t_1) - (Pu)(t_2)| = \left| f\left(t_1, \int_0^1 G(t_1, s)u(s)ds\right) - f\left(t_2, \int_0^1 G(t_2, s)u(s)ds\right) \right| \leq l|t_1 - t_2|^{\sigma_1} + L\left| \int_0^1 G(t_2, s)u(s)ds - \int_0^1 G(t_1, s)u(s)ds\right|^{\sigma_2}.

(32)

Since

$$\left| \int_0^1 G(t_1, s)u(s)ds - \int_0^1 G(t_2, s)u(s)ds\right| = \left| \int_0^{t_1} G(t_1, s)u(s)ds - \int_0^{t_2} G(t_2, s)u(s)ds\right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} G(t_2, s)u(s)ds\right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} G(t_1, s)u(s)ds\right| \leq\left| \int_0^{t_1} G(t_1, s)u(s)ds - \int_0^{t_2} G(t_2, s)u(s)ds\right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} G(t_2, s)u(s)ds\right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} G(t_1, s)u(s)ds\right| \leq \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2} \left| f_0^{x-1} u(t)\bigg|_{t_1}^{t_2}\right| + \frac{t_2 - t_1}{2} \left| f_0^{x-1} u(t)\bigg|_{t_1}^{t_2}\right| + \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2} \left| f_0^{x-1} u(t)\bigg|_{t_1}^{t_2}\right|.

(33)

Then problem (4)–(5) has at least one solution.

**Theorem 9.** Assume that hypotheses H1 and H2 hold. If the nonlinear function $f$ satisfies that

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} f(t, x) = 0,$$  

(37)

then

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} \int_0^x G(t, s)u(s)ds = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} f(t, x) = 0.$$
Put $d = 1 - \omega_*$. Then, it holds that
\begin{equation}
\forall r > 0, \\
\forall u \in C[0,1] \quad \left(\|u\| \leq r\right), \\
\left\|f\left(\cdot, \int_0^t G(\cdot, s)u(s)ds\right)\right\| \leq d \cdot \|u\|.
\end{equation}
(39)

Now, consider the ball $B_r := \{u \in C[0,1] \mid \|u\| \leq r\}$, and for any $u_0 \in \partial B_r$, estimate the norm $\|(P + Q)u_0\|$. Since $\|u_0\| = r$, we can see that
\begin{align*}
\|Pu_0 + Qu_0\| &\leq \left\|f\left(\cdot, \int_0^t G(\cdot, s)u(s)ds\right)\right\| + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\lambda_i\| \cdot \|u_0\| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mu_i\| \cdot \|u_0\| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mu_i\| \cdot \|u_0\| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mu_i\| \cdot \|u_0\| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mu_i\| \cdot \|u_0\| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mu_i\| \cdot \|u_0\|.
\end{align*}
(40)

Therefore, the operator $P + Q$ has a fixed point in terms of Lemma 8. This yields the conclusion.

**Example 1.** Consider the following fractional boundary value problem:
\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
\gamma D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) + 0.3 \gamma D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) + 0.01 r^2 \gamma D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) + 0.2 \cdot u(t) = \sqrt{1 + \omega^2(t)} - 1, \\
(1) \quad u(0) = 0.1 \int_0^1 u(s)ds, \quad u'(0) + u'(1) = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
(41)

Check that the conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied. Putting $f(t, x) = \sqrt{1 + x^2} - 1$, it can be easily seen that
\begin{align*}
\left|f(t_1, x_1) - f(t_2, x_2)\right| &\leq \sqrt{1 + x_1^2} - \sqrt{1 + x_2^2} \\
\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(t, x)|}{x} &= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{1 + x^2} - 1}{x} = 0.
\end{align*}
(42)

By simple calculation, we also get $\omega_* = 0.72 < 1$. So, problem (41) has at least one solution.

**Lemma 10** (Schaefer’s fixed point theorem) (see [27]). Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A : X \to X$ a compact operator. Then, either
\begin{itemize}
\item[(i)] the equation $x = \lambda Ax$ has a solution for $\lambda = 1$
\item[(ii)] the set of all such solutions $x$, for $0 < \lambda < 1$, is unbounded.
\end{itemize}

**Theorem 11.** Assume that hypotheses H1 and H2 hold. And suppose that
\begin{equation}
(i) \exists a > 0, \exists \psi \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \text{ and} \\
\lim_{x \to \infty} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{\psi(t, x)}{x} = 0 \forall t \in [0,1], \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |f(t, x)| \leq a + |\psi(t, x)|.
\end{equation}
(43)

\begin{equation}
(ii) \forall t \in [0,1], \quad x_1 \leq x_2 \implies |f(t, x_1)| \leq |f(t, x_2)|
\end{equation}

Then, problem (4)–(5) has at least one solution.

**Proof.** From Lemmas 6 and 7 and hypothesis H1, the operator $P + Q$ is compact. Using condition (i), we can get
\begin{align*}
\forall d_1 > 0, \\
\exists r_1 > 0, \\
\forall x \geq r_1, \\
|f(t, x)| \leq a + d_1 \cdot x.
\end{align*}
(44)

Put $d := (1 - \omega_*)/2\omega_a$. Then, it follows that
\begin{equation}
\exists r > 0, \\
\forall x \geq r, \\
\left\|f(\cdot, x)\right\| \leq a + d \cdot x.
\end{equation}
(45)

Now consider the set $S := \{u \in C[0,1] \mid u = \lambda Pu + \lambda Qu, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$. From Remark 4, it is obvious that for any $u \in S$,
\begin{equation}
\int_0^1 G(\cdot, s)u(s)ds \in C[0,1].
\end{equation}
(46)

There are two cases $\|\int_0^1 G(\cdot, s)u(s)ds\| \leq r$ and $\|\int_0^1 G(\cdot, s)u(s)ds\| > r$.

If $\|\int_0^1 G(\cdot, s)u(s)ds\| \leq r$, then because of condition (ii), we have
\begin{equation}
\left|f(t, \int_0^1 G(t, s)u(s)ds)\right| \leq |f(t, \int_0^1 G(\cdot, s)u(s)ds)| \leq |f(t, r)| \leq a + d \cdot r.
\end{equation}
(47)

Thus, it follows that
\begin{equation}
\|Pu + Qu\| \leq a + d \cdot r + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mu_i\| \cdot \|\omega_{a\mu}\| \cdot \|u\| = a + d \cdot r + \omega_* \|u\|.
\end{equation}
(48)
This yields that
\[
\|u\| \leq \frac{\lambda(a + dr)}{1 - \lambda \omega_*} \leq \frac{a + dr}{1 - \omega_*}.
\] (49)

If \( \|f(t, s)u(s)ds\| > r \), then as in the first case, using condition (ii) and Remark 4, we can see that
\[
\|f(t, \int_0^t G(t, s)u(s)ds)\| \leq \|f(t, \int_0^t G(t, s)u(s)ds)\|_G \leq a + \|G\| \|u\|.
\]

And we obtain
\[
\|Pu + Qu\| \leq a + d \cdot \|w_{\alpha, \mu}\| \|u\|
\]
\[
+ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|\lambda_i\| \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mu_i\| \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \alpha_i + 1)} \right)
\]
(50)

Therefore, it holds that
\[
\|u\| \leq \frac{\lambda a}{1 - \lambda (d \cdot w_{\alpha, \mu} + \omega_*)}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{a}{1 - d \cdot w_{\alpha, \mu} - \omega_*} = \frac{2a}{1 - \omega_*}.
\] (52)

These inequalities (49) and (52) imply the boundedness of the set \( S \). By using Lemma 10, the operator \( P + Q \) has a fixed point. This completes the proof.

Example 2. Consider the boundary value problem
\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{D}_0^\alpha u(t) + 0.3t \cdot \mathcal{D}_0^\beta u(t) + 0.01t^2 \cdot \mathcal{D}_0^\gamma u(t) - 0.8u(t) = \sin t - \sqrt{1 + u^2(t)} + 1, \\
u(1) = 0.1 \int_0^1 u(s)ds, \quad u'(0) + u'(1) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]
(53)

Problem (53) is equal to the following problem:
\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{D}_0^\beta u(t) + 0.3t \cdot \mathcal{D}_0^\gamma u(t) + 0.01t^2 \cdot \mathcal{D}_0^\delta u(t) + 0.2u(t) = \sin t - \sqrt{1 + u^2(t)} + 1 + u(t), \\
u(1) = 0.1 \int_0^1 u(s)ds, \quad u'(0) + u'(1) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]
(54)

Theorem 12. Suppose that the following hold:

(i) There exists \( L \geq 0 \) such that for any \( t \in [0, 1] \) and for any \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
|f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)| \leq L|x_1 - x_2|.
\] (56)

(ii) \( q := (L + \|\sigma\| \cdot w_{\alpha, \mu} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|\lambda_i\| \cdot \Gamma(\alpha - \alpha_i + 1)) + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta_i + 1)} + 1 \right) < 1 \)

Then, problem (4)–(5) has a unique solution.

Proof. Define the operator \( T : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1] \) as
\[
Ty(t) := (Py)(t) + (Qy)(t).
\] (57)
Obviously, we can obtain that for any \( y_1, y_2 \in C[0, 1] \) and for any \( t \in [0, 1] \),

\[
| (Ty_1)(t) - (Ty_2)(t) | 
\leq \left| f \left( t, \int_0^1 G(t, s)y_1(s) \, ds \right) - f \left( t, \int_0^1 G(t, s)y_2(s) \, ds \right) \right| 
+ \sum_{i=1}^m | \lambda_i(t) P_{\alpha^3_0}^{\alpha^3_0} \xi_1(t) - y_2(t) | 
+ \sum_{i=1}^m | \mu_i(t) P_{\alpha^3_0}^{\alpha^3_0} \xi_1(t) - y_2(t) | 
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m | \mu_i(t) P_{\alpha^3_0}^{\alpha^3_0} \xi_1(t) - y_2(t) | \| \sigma(t) \| \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s) \cdot (y_1(s) - y_2(s)) \, ds \right| 
\leq \left( L + \| \sigma \| \right) \cdot w_{\alpha^3, \mu} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \frac{\| \lambda_i \|}{\Gamma(\alpha^3_0 + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha^3_0 + 1)} \right] \| \mu_i \| 
\cdot \| y_1 - y_2 \| = q \| y_1 - y_2 \|. 
\]  

(58)

This means that \( T : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1] \) is a contraction operator. Therefore, the operator \( T \) has a unique fixed point in terms of the Banach contraction mapping principle, and problem (4)-(5) has a unique solution.

**Example 3.** Consider the following boundary value problem:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t^{\alpha^3} u(t) + 0.3 \partial_t^{\alpha^3} u(t) + 0.01 t^2 \partial_t^{\alpha^3} u(t) + 0.2 u(t) = \sin t + 0.03 \sqrt{1 + x^2} - 1, \\
\alpha(0) = 0.1, \ u(0) = 0.1, \ u(1) = 0.1, \ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(59)

Putting \( f(t, x) = \sin t + 0.03 \sqrt{1 + x^2} - 1 \), we can see easily that

\[
| f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2) | \leq 0.03 | x_1 - x_2 |. 
\]  

(60)

This shows that condition (i) of Theorem 12 is satisfied. On the other hand, we can get

\[
q = 0.78 < 1. 
\]  

(61)

Thus, problem (59) has a unique solution.

**4. Conclusion**

In this paper, we consider the solvability of nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equations with integral and anti-periodic boundary conditions. At first, we derive an integral equation, transforming our fractional boundary value problems. Then, using some fixed point theorems such as the Banach contraction mapping principle and Schaefer’s fixed point theorem, we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions. Since the problem considered in [24] is a special case of our problem, the results of this work can be regarded as generalizations of those results.
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