Dynein is regulated by the stability of its microtubule track
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How dynein motors accurately move cargoes is an important question. In budding yeast, dynein moves the mitotic spindle to the predetermined site of cytokinesis by pulling on astral microtubules. In this study, using high-resolution imaging in living cells, we discover that spindle movement is regulated by changes in microtubule plus-end dynamics that occur when dynein generates force. Mutants that increase plus-end stability increase the frequency and duration of spindle movements, causing positioning errors. We find that dynein plays a primary role in regulating microtubule dynamics by destabilizing microtubules. In contrast, the dynactin complex counteracts dynein and stabilizes microtubules through a mechanism involving the shoulder subcomplex and the cytoskeletal-associated protein glycine-rich domain of Nip100/p150glued. Our results support a model in which dynein destabilizes its microtubule substrate by using its motility to deplete dynactin from the plus end. We propose that interplay among dynein, dynactin, and the stability of the microtubule substrate creates a mechanism that regulates accurate spindle positioning.

Introduction

During eukaryotic cell division, coordination between the mitotic spindle, which partitions duplicated chromosomes, and the cytokinetically contractile network, which partitions the cytoplasm, ensures that each daughter cell inherits a genome (Rappaport and Ebstein, 1965). In animal cells, the position of the spindle determines the site of cytokinesis, which is critical during asymmetric cell divisions that underlie development and tissue homeostasis for guiding the inheritance of cytoplasmic fate determinants and the positions of daughter cells. In unicellular organisms such as budding yeast, the spindle must be brought to a predetermined site of cytokinesis between the mother and bud. In all of these scenarios, the spindle is positioned through mechanisms that integrate polarity signals into force generation by the microtubule cytoskeleton (McNally, 2013).

Spindle movement in many species and cellular contexts is driven by cytoplasmic dynein, a large multisubunit protein complex that uses ATP hydrolysis to power motility toward the minus ends of microtubules. Dynein moves the spindle by generating pulling forces on astral microtubules, which are nucleated at microtubule-organizing centers and project into the cytoplasm, toward the cell cortex (Carminati and Stearns, 1997; Skop and White, 1998; Adames and Cooper, 2000; Grill et al., 2001; Kiyomitsu and Cheeseman, 2013). Observations in different organisms suggest that dynein can generate pulling forces either through end-on interactions with microtubules at the cortex or by sliding microtubules laterally along the cortex. In budding yeast, dynein is delivered on the plus ends of astral microtubules to the cortex, where it attaches to its cortical receptor Num1 (Lee et al., 2005). Then, dynein is activated, and its minus end–directed motility slides the astral microtubule along the cortex and past the anchored motor, drawing the spindle toward the cortex (Adames and Cooper, 2000; Lammers and Markus, 2015). In the Caenorhabditis elegans zygote, dynein accumulates at the anterior cell cortex and captures astral microtubules in an end-on manner (Labbé et al., 2003; Redemann et al., 2010). These microtubule ends quickly undergo catastrophe but remain at the cortex, and their depolymerization is thought to generate force to pull the spindle toward the anterior (Kozloski et al., 2007). Whereas end-on interactions predominate during anaphase in C. elegans zygotes, lateral sliding interactions are observed during telophase and are highly abundant in embryos that generate free microtubule fragments by ectopic expression of the microtubule-severing protein katanin (Kozloski et al., 2007; Gusnowski and Sryako, 2011). This suggests dynein can alternate between different modes of force generation. Consistent with this, experiments by Laan et al. (2012) demonstrate that dynein purified from budding yeast can generate force by capturing plus ends at a fabricated barrier, promoting catastrophe and maintaining attachment as the microtubules depolymerize. In this scenario, dynein is thought to promote catastrophe by holding the microtubule ends close to the barrier. Microtubule ends can be induced to catastrophre by collision with barriers, which is thought to impede the arrival of new tubulin subunits (Janson et al., 2003). Thus, dynein...
can generate force using either of two mechanisms—moving along the sides of microtubules and/or regulating plus-end dynamics—and the predominant mechanism may be determined by physiological context.

Spindle positioning requires the regulation of dynein by accessory subunits of the dynein complex (known as light, intermediate, and light intermediate chains) and interactions with extrinsic regulators (Yoder and Han, 2001; Lee et al., 2005; Pecreaux et al., 2006; Couwenbergs et al., 2007; Stuchell-Brereton et al., 2011). Dynactin is a multisubunit protein complex that links dynein to cargoes and promotes association with its microtubule track (Schoer, 2004; Culver-Hanlon et al., 2006; Ayloo et al., 2014; McKenney et al., 2014; Schlager et al., 2014). Dynactin is required for spindle positioning in diverse contexts and is thought to play important roles in linking dynein to the cell cortex and contributing to its motility (Skop and White, 1998; Moore et al., 2008, 2009; Siller and Doe, 2008). Although we have a detailed inventory of the proteins required for dynein-dependent spindle positioning, we do not understand the interplay between dynein and its dynamic microtubule track or how these activities might be differentially regulated to move the spindle in different contexts.

In this study, we investigate how dynein regulates the stability of its microtubule track and the role of microtubule stability in properly positioning the spindle in budding yeast. In yeast, microtubule dynamics occur exclusively at the plus ends; the minus ends are inert and anchored at the spindle pole bodies (SPBs; the centrosome equivalent in yeast; Byers and Goetsch, 1975; Maddox et al., 2000). We find that astral microtubules undergo a transition to depolymerization during dynein-dependent sliding along the cortex, and this plays a key role in properly positioning the spindle. Inhibiting depolymerization exacerbates dynein-dependent spindle movement, leading to aberrantly positioned spindles. We also find that dynein heavy chain destabilizes astral microtubules and demonstrate that this requires an active motor. In contrast, dynactin stabilizes astral microtubules, and our results indicate that dynein may induce the microtubule to catastrophe by depleting dynactin from the plus end. We propose that dynein’s regulation of plus-end stability is coordinated with its motility, creating a negative feedback loop that terminates spindle movement by destroying dynein’s microtubule track.

**Results**

**Microtubule stability regulates dynein-dependent spindle movement**

How does microtubule stability contribute to dynein’s role in positioning the mitotic spindle and nucleus? To address this question, we analyzed the dynamics of GFP-labeled microtubules (GFP-Tub1) during dynein-dependent microtubule sliding along the cell cortex. We defined sliding events as instances where astral microtubules contact the cell cortex and bend as they move along its concave surface, accompanied by movement of the spindle in the same direction as the microtubule (Fig. 1 A). To prevent anaphase spindle elongation from limiting movement, we arrested cells in S phase by treatment with hydroxyurea (HU). Sliding in arrested cells is similar to that seen in asynchronous cells, but the arrest allows us to measure movement more events (Fig. S1, A–C). We examined microtubules during 39 sliding events in 30 WT cells. Fig. 1 B shows a representative example of microtubule length changes and changes in the association of the microtubule with the cell cortex during a sliding event. Our analysis reveals three prominent characteristics of microtubule dynamics during sliding events: (1) Sliding events are initiated by polymerizing microtubules (in 39 of 39 events, microtubules are polymerizing immediately before the onset of sliding). (2) During a sliding event, the microtubule undergoes catastrophe to switch from a polymerizing to a depolymerizing state. In 10 of 39 events catastrophe coincides with initiation (for example, see Fig. 1, C and D), whereas in 29 of 39 events catastrophe occurs after initiation (Fig. 1, A and B). (3) The microtubule spends most of the sliding event in a depolymerizing state (Fig. 1 E), and all sliding events analyzed end with depolymerizing microtubules (39 of 39 events analyzed). The rate of depolymerization during sliding is slower than that measured for microtubules in the cytoplasm (Fig. S1 C). The dominance of the depolymerizing state led us to ask whether microtubules are more likely to undergo catastrophe when plus ends are sliding along the cell cortex than when they are away from the cortex. Indeed, we find that the frequency of catastrophe is increased 8.6-fold during sliding events (3.17 ± 0.49 events per minute of sliding, compared with 0.37 ± 0.03 events per minute of nonsliding; P < 0.0001; Fig. 1 F). In contrast, rescues are rarely observed during sliding events (4 rescues in 39 events analyzed, with a mean of 28 s of depolymerization per event).

Changes in microtubule stability could play a determining role in the duration of a sliding event and, consequently, the displacement of the spindle and nucleus. Indeed, our analysis reveals a strong positive correlation between the time the sliding microtubule spends in depolymerization and the duration of a sliding event ($R^2 = 0.44$; Fig. 1 G). We also found a positive, albeit weaker, correlation with the maximum length of the sliding microtubule, i.e., the maximum length reached from the beginning to the end of the sliding event, and the duration of a sliding event ($R^2 = 0.20$; Fig. 1 H). In separate experiments, we tested whether longer sliding events exhibit increased dynein at the microtubule plus end; however, our analysis does not reveal a correlation ($R^2 = 0.01$). These results suggest that longer microtubules may sustain longer-lived sliding events.

We tested the hypothesis that microtubule stability determines sliding behavior by using mutants and drugs to stabilize microtubules. We examined sliding events in two mutants: $tub2-430\Delta$, which lacks the C-terminal 27 amino acids of β-tubulin, and $kip3\Delta$, a deletion of the yeast kinesin 8. Both of these mutants exhibit longer and more stable microtubules and more pronounced spindle movements (Fig. 2 A; Gupta et al., 2006; Aiken et al., 2014). In contrast, dynein heavy chain–null mutants exhibit diminished spindle movement and no sliding events (Fig. 2 A). We find that the maximum length of sliding microtubules is increased in both $tub2-430\Delta$ and $kip3\Delta$ mutants, compared with WT controls (Fig. 2 B and Fig. S2 A). Sliding events are longer lived in both $tub2-430\Delta$ and $kip3\Delta$ mutants than in WT controls, but the velocity of spindle movement is unchanged (Fig. 2 C; Fig. S2, B and C; Videos 1–4). We also confirmed that mutants with longer astral microtubules do not increase the amount of dynein at the plus end (Fig. S2 D). These results are consistent with microtubule stability determining the duration of sliding events.

In addition to changes in sliding duration, we find that sliding events occur more often in mutants that increase the stability of astral microtubules (Fig. 2 D and Fig. S2 E). $tub2-430\Delta$
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and kip3Δ mutants also exhibit an increased frequency of astral microtubule plus ends colliding with the cell cortex (Fig. 2 E and Fig. S2 F). This suggests that plus-end interactions with the cell cortex may be a limiting step in the spindle movement mechanism. Interestingly, tub2-430Δ mutants convert these interactions into sliding events at a greater rate than observed in WT controls, whereas kip3Δ mutants convert microtubule–cortex interactions into sliding events at a rate that is similar to WT (Fig. S2 G). Why tub2-430Δ mutants specifically promote the conversion of microtubule–cortex interactions into sliding events is not immediately clear; however, we observed that, whenever plus ends collide with the cortex in these mutants, they tend to dwell rather than quickly depolymerizing (Fig. S2 H).

As an additional test of our hypothesis, we stabilized microtubules using the drug epothilone A (Bode et al., 2002; Prota et al., 2013). Treatment with 10 µM epothilone A caused WT cells to exhibit longer microtubules and longer-lived and more frequent sliding events (Figs. 2, B–D). Collectively, these results support our hypothesis that microtubule stability plays a determining role in the dynein-dependent sliding events that move the spindle and nucleus.

Then, we asked how increased microtubule sliding impacts the position of the mitotic spindle during cell division. First, we measured the position of bipolar, pre-anaphase WT cells. Error bars are SEM, and p-value is determined by t-test. Nonsliding microtubules, n = 24; sliding microtubules, n = 41. (G) Scatter plot depicting the coefficient of determination for microtubule time spent in depolymerization (seconds) and sliding duration. n = 33 sliding events. The dotted line is the best fit to a linear correlation. (H) Scatter plot depicting the coefficient of determination for maximum microtubule (MT) length during a sliding event and sliding duration. n = 35 sliding events.
Regulates the accuracy of spindle positioning, and increasing microtubule stability causes excessive microtubule sliding, which displaces the spindle from the nascent site of cytokinesis.

Dynein destabilizes astral microtubules

We hypothesized that dynein might also destabilize microtubule plus ends at the yeast cell cortex as part of the spindle-positioning mechanism. We tested this by comparing plus-end interactions with the cell cortex in WT controls and in mutants that disrupt dynein function. Whereas WT cells exhibit both lateral sliding interactions and plus-end interactions where only the end of the microtubule contacts the cortex, mutants that disrupt dynein only exhibit the latter (Fig. 3A). Therefore, we compared the frequency and duration of plus-end interactions. In dyn1Δ-null mutants, plus-end interactions are more frequent and dwell longer than in WT controls (Fig. 3, B and C). To determine whether dynein must be attached to the cell cortex to regulate microtubule behavior, we examined num1Δ mutants, which lack dynein’s cortical receptor. In num1Δ mutants, dynein accumulates at the plus end but fails to attach to the cell cortex (Lee et al., 2003). We find that plus ends interact more frequently with the cortex in num1Δ mutants than in WT controls; however, the duration of these interactions is significantly shorter than we observed in dyn1Δ-null mutants (Fig. 3, B and C). We also examined nip100Δ mutants that lack the Nip100/p150glued subunit of the dynactin complex. In contrast to dyn1Δ and num1Δ mutants, nip100Δ mutants exhibit fewer and shorter-lived plus-end interactions with the cortex (Fig. 3, B and C). These results indicate that dynein, but not dynactin, destabilizes microtubules.
If dynein destabilizes microtubules, we predicted that altering dynein levels in the cell would lead to changes in microtubule length and dynamics. Indeed, dyn1Δ mutants show significantly longer astral microtubules, compared with WT controls (dyn1Δ = 1.3 ± 0.18 μm and WT = 0.8 ± 0.05 μm; median ± 95% confidence interval [c.i.]; P < 0.0001; Fig. 3, D and E; and Fig. S3 A). This phenotype was not specific to pre-anaphase cells; dyn1Δ mutants also exhibit longer astral microtubules during G1 (Fig. S3 C). To further confirm that loss of dynein increases astral microtubule length, we tested for an additive effect by combining the dyn1Δ mutation with the tub2-430Δ mutation. This combination is known to be lethal (Aiken et al., 2014); therefore, we examined strains that express tub2-430Δ combined with a conditional ts-degron allele of dynein heavy chain (td-dyn1). As expected, after dynein depletion, these cells exhibit microtubules that are significantly longer than either the dyn1Δ or tub2-430Δ mutants alone (tub2-430Δ td-dyn1 = 3 ± 0.48 μm; tub2-430Δ = 1.7 ± 0.19 μm; median ± 95% c.i.; P < 0.0001; Fig. 3, D and E; and Fig. S3 A). Therefore, loss of dynein heavy chain leads to longer astral microtubules.

To test whether elevating levels of dynein heavy chain would have the opposite effect and lead to shorter astral microtubules, we integrated a galactose-inducible promoter at the 5′ end of the DYN1 coding sequence, replacing the endogenous promoter. After 4-h induction with galactose, we observed significantly shorter astral microtubules in both pre-anaphase and G1 cells, compared with WT (Fig. 3, D and F; and Fig. S3, A and B).

To identify the changes in microtubule dynamics that lead to length differences in dynein mutants, we acquired time-lapse z-series images of pre-anaphase cells and measured astral microtubule lengths over time. Example life plots of individual microtubules over time. Arrows point to catastrophe events. (H) Mean microtubule length in galactose. OE-DYN1, n = 343; native, n = 399; dyn1Δ, n = 221. Error bars are 95% c.i. (G) Representative life plots displaying the lengths of single microtubules over time. Arrows point to catastrophe events. (H) Mean dynamicity displayed in tubulin subunits per second. WT, n = 68 growth or shrinkage events; dyn1Δ, n = 47; OE-DYN1, n = 49. Error bars are SEM. Asterisks denote a significant difference from WT. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.005; ***, P < 0.0006; ****, P < 0.0001; determined by t test.
the dynamicity term (Toso et al., 1993). Astral microtubules in dyn1Δ mutants exhibit significantly lower dynamicity than WT controls, indicating that dynein heavy chain is necessary for the normal turnover of tubulin heterodimers at plus ends (Fig. 3 H and Fig. S3 B; P < 0.005). Cells overexpressing dynein heavy chain show very brief phases of polymerization, more frequent catastrophes, and faster rates of depolymerization (Fig. 3, G and H; Fig. S3; and Table 1). Together, these data suggest that dynein destabilizes astral microtubules in living cells.

**Dynein motor activity destabilizes microtubules**

To investigate the mechanism through which dynein destabilizes microtubules, we used a series of mutants that ablate different domains of the heavy chain (Fig. 4 A). First, we asked whether microtubule-binding activity is required by examining the lar activities 1) and AAA3, which control microtubule binding and are required for motility (Kon et al., 2004; DeWitt et al., 2015). We tested Walker A (WA) mutants in AAA1 or AAA3 at the endogenous DYN1 locus, referred to as dyn1-WA1 and dyn1-WA3, respectively. The dyn1-WA1 mutation increases dynein accumulation at plus ends, along the lengths of astral microtubules, and near the SPBs (Fig. 4 B; Markus et al., 2009). In contrast, the dyn1-WA3 mutation did not strongly alter dynein localization (Fig. 4 B). Astral microtubules in both dyn1-WA1 and dyn1-WA3 mutants are much longer than in WT controls (dyn1-WA1 = 1.3 ± 0.3 µm and dyn1-WA3 = 1.3 ± 0.2 µm; median ± 95% c.i.; Fig. 4 C and Fig. S3 A; P < 0.0001 compared with WT) and exhibit decreased dynamicity in our time-lapse analysis (dyn1-WA1 = 44.2 ± 2.5 subunits/s and dyn1-WA3 = 40.5 ± 2.5 subunits/s; Fig. 4 D; P < 0.0001 compared to those seen in dyn1Δ-null mutants, indicating that dynein requires the MTBD to destabilize microtubules (dyn1Δ MTBD = 1.1 ± 0.2 µm; median ± 95% c.i.; P = 0.6 compared with dyn1Δ; Fig. 4 E).}

| Strain          | Dynamics | Polym rate | Polym duration | Depolym rate | Depolym duration | Rescue frequency | Catastrophe frequency |
|-----------------|----------|------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
|                 | subunits/s | µm/min | min | µm/min | min | events/min | events/min |
| WT (n = 68)     | 62 ± 3    | 2.8 ± 0.2 | 34 ± 2 | 3.2 ± 0.2 | 26 ± 1 | 1.4 ± 0.2 | 1.3 ± 0.2 |
| Dyn1-3GFP (n = 22) | 68 ± 4 | 3.0 ± 0.3 | 26 ± 2 | 3.2 ± 0.2 | 20 ± 1 | 1.5 ± 0.4 | 1.1 ± 0.2 |
| dyn1Δ (n = 47)  | 49 ± 2    | 1.8 ± 0.1 | 51 ± 3 | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 34 ± 1 | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| OE-DYN1 (n = 48) | 52 ± 3 | 2.2 ± 0.2 | 24 ± 3 | 1.9 ± 0.1 | 30 ± 4 | 1.8 ± 0.6 | 2.4 ± 0.5 |
| dyn1ΔMTBD (n = 51) | 56 ± 6 | 1.9 ± 0.1 | 44 ± 2 | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 32 ± 2 | 1.0 ± 0.1 | 0.7 ± 0.1 |
| dyn1-WA1 (n = 52) | 44 ± 2 | 2.0 ± 0.2 | 42 ± 4 | 2.3 ± 0.2 | 39 ± 3 | 1.3 ± 0.2 | 1.4 ± 0.2 |
| dyn1-WA3 (n = 87) | 40 ± 2 | 1.7 ± 0.1 | 51 ± 2 | 2.2 ± 0.1 | 41 ± 3 | 1.3 ± 0.2 | 1.0 ± 0.2 |
| pac1Δ (n = 60)  | 48 ± 2    | 1.5 ± 0.1 | 52 ± 2 | 2.4 ± 0.1 | 32 ± 1 | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 0.8 ± 0.1 |
| numb1Δ (n = 76) | 60 ± 4    | 1.8 ± 0.1 | 41 ± 2 | 2.3 ± 0.1 | 31 ± 2 | 1.2 ± 0.2 | 1.1 ± 0.2 |
| nip1/003 (n = 23) | 54 ± 4 | 1.7 ± 0.1 | 50 ± 3 | 2.6 ± 0.2 | 41 ± 3 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 |
| tub2-430a (n = 55) | 47 ± 3 | 1.8 ± 0.2 | 61 ± 5 | 2.5 ± 0.2 | 44 ± 4 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.7 ± 0.1 |

Sample size [n] indicates the number of GFP-Tub1-labeled astral microtubules analyzed for each genotype. Values are mean ± SEM. Boldface indicates statistical significance (P < 0.05) compared with WT, determined by t test. Bold italics indicates statistical significance (P < 0.01) compared with WT. Depolym, depolymerization; Polym, polymerization. **, P < 0.005; ****, P < 0.0001; determined by t test.
pared with WT). We conclude that the ATP-binding activities of AAA1 and AAA3 that are required for dynein motility are also required for destabilizing microtubules. Interestingly, we find that astral microtubules in dyn1-VA1 mutants are significantly longer than those observed in dyn1Δ-null mutants (Fig. 4 C), and both the dyn1-VA1 and dyn1-VA3 mutants have significantly altered dynamics compared with dyn1Δ (Fig. 4 D and Table 1). This raises the possibility that blocking ATP binding of AAA1 and AAA3 may cause a gain of function that stabilizes microtubules.

Dynactin stabilizes astral microtubules

Our results in Fig. 3 suggest that dynactin might have a role in stabilizing astral microtubules. In support of this notion, we find that astral microtubules are significantly shorter in nip100Δ mutants, which lack the Nip100/p150glued subunit of dynactin (Fig. 5, A and B; and Fig. S3 A). nip100Δ mutants also exhibit a unique phenotype in our dynamics assay: a significantly decreased frequency of rescue events (Table 1 and Fig. S3 D). This difference in the phenotypes of nip100Δ mutants and dyn1Δ mutants is specific to pre-anaphase cells. During anaphase, nip100Δ mutants and dyn1Δ mutants exhibit similar phenotypes: spindles that are mispositioned in the mother compartment and extending long astral microtubules into the bud (Moore et al., 2009). Our result suggests that Nip100/p150glued and dynein heavy chain have opposite effects on microtubule stability during pre-anaphase.

Because dynactin is known to regulate dynein activity, we reasoned that nip100Δ mutants might exhibit shorter microtubules because of changes in dynein regulation. Alternatively, dyn1Δ mutants might exhibit longer microtubules because of changes in dynactin regulation. To distinguish between these possibilities, we examined dyn1Δ nip100Δ double mutants and found that astral microtubules are short, similar to the nip100Δ single mutants (Fig. 5 B and Fig. S3 A). Therefore, the long microtubule phenotype seen in dyn1Δ single mutants depends on dynactin, but the short microtubule phenotype in nip100Δ does not depend on dynein.

Then, we used a series of dynactin mutants to define the components of the dynactin complex that stabilize microtubules. Our results show that the shoulder subcomplex of dynactin, and specifically the cytoskeletal-associated protein glycine-rich (CAP-Gly) domain of Nip100/p150glued, is required to stabilize microtubules (Fig. 5, A and B). In contrast, the Arp1 filament is not required. In fact, we find that arp1Δ-null mutants have significantly longer astral microtubules, reminiscent of dynein-null mutants (Fig. 5, A and B).

Changes in dynein and dynactin localization during microtubule sliding

To reconcile the different effects of dynein and dynactin on microtubule stability, we sought to identify differences in localization of either complex at microtubule plus ends. For these experiments, we used a strain that simultaneously expresses native dynein heavy chain tagged with three copies of GFP and native Jnm1/p50 tagged with tdimer2. This allowed us to measure changes in the amounts of either protein at the same plus ends during 17 microtubule sliding events (Fig. 6, A and B, arrowheads). In the same cells, we also measured stationary cortical foci that contain both dynein and dynactin. These foci are stable over time and are therefore useful for determining the degree of signal loss caused by photobleaching (Fig. 6 A, arrows). We find that the majority of dynactin is lost from the plus end during the first 40 s of sliding (77 ± 17% loss; median ± 95% c.i.; Fig. 6 C). In contrast, the majority of dynein remains at the plus end over the same period of time (20 ± 32% loss; median ± 95% c.i.; Fig. 6 C). Comparing changes in the ratio of GFP and tdimer2 signal intensities also suggests that the balance of dynein and dynactin at the plus end shifts over the course of a sliding event (Fig. 6 D).

In separate experiments, we measured dynein and Nip100/p150glued tagged with the same 3GFP fluor, but in different cells, to estimate the changes in stoichiometry at the plus end (Fig. S4). Our results show that, at the beginning of a sliding event, the fluorescence intensity of native Nip100/p150glued tagged with 3GFP is, on average, approximately half of that measured for dynein heavy chain (Fig. S4 G). This is similar to previous stoichiometry estimates of a 1:3 ratio of dynactin to dynein (Markus et al., 2011). By the end of the sliding event, the amount of plus-end Nip100 decreases by ∼58 ± 9% (median ± 95% c.i.; Fig. S4 H). In contrast, dynein heavy chain exhibits a smaller loss during sliding (15 ± 33%; median ± 95% c.i.) and was similar to that measured for Pac1/LIS1 (Fig. S4 H). Together, these results indicate that both dynactin and dynein are accumulated at the plus end when they reach the cortex, but during sliding, dynactin is depleted, and the balance of dynactin and dynein shifts.

Discussion

Dynein is necessary for spindle movement in many cellular contexts, but the mechanisms that regulate dynein to control the accuracy of spindle positioning are poorly defined. Our study provides three new insights into how dynein is regulated in budding yeast to accurately position the spindle at the nascent site of cytokinesis. First, we show that microtubule stability is a lim-
iting factor of the initiation and duration of dynein-dependent spindle movement. Second, we provide the first evidence that dynein combines both lateral sliding and plus-end destabilization activities to position the spindle, and both require the motor activity of dynein heavy chain. Third, we show that dynactin plays a key role in stabilizing microtubules. We propose that the dynactin complex regulates the balance of dynein’s activities by stabilizing microtubules, thereby promoting microtubule–cortex interactions and transitioning those interactions into force production for spindle movement.

Dynein motor activity destabilizes microtubules

A previous study showed that yeast dynein heavy chain attached to a fabricated barrier can capture and destabilize microtubule plus ends in vitro (Laan et al., 2012). Comparing this study to our results in yeast points to interesting similarities as well as differences. In both scenarios, microtubules that interact with dynein at the cortex exhibit dramatic increases in the frequency of catastrophes (Fig. 1 F, and Fig. 3, A–C; Laan et al., 2012). Furthermore, in both scenarios, dynein’s catalytic activity is necessary to destabilize microtubules (Fig. 4; Laan et al., 2012). How dynein promotes catastrophe is an outstanding question. Laan et al. (2012) attributed the increased catastrophe frequency to dynein holding the plus end against the cortical barrier and preventing access to free tubulin subunits. Although a similar occlusion mechanism may contribute to catastrophe in yeast, this model is not sufficient to explain our results. First, our analysis comparing plus-end interactions at the cortex in num1Δ and dyn1Δ mutants indicates that dynein does not need to attach to the cortex to destabilize microtubule ends. Both mutants exhibit more frequent plus-end interactions at the cortex; however, these interactions are shorter lived in the num1Δ mutant than in the dyn1Δ mutant (Fig. 3, B and C). This sug-
suggests that dynein at the plus end in the num1Δ mutants may still destabilize the microtubules, albeit to a lesser degree than normal. Second, sliding microtubules exhibit more frequent catastrophes, even though the plus ends are presumably parallel to the cell cortex as they move along it (Fig. 1). Therefore, free tubulin subunits would be expected to have better access to the plus end of a sliding microtubule, and a catastrophe by a subunit occlusion model seems unlikely. We therefore favor an alternative model, where dynein motor activity promotes catastrophe by directly destabilizing the microtubule lattice.

Although dynein destabilizes microtubules in yeast, it is unlikely that this contributes force for spindle movement. We find that dynein-dependent spindle movement is initiated by polymerizing astral microtubules. In the majority of cases, these microtubules continue to polymerize as they slide along the cortex and move the spindle and remain in a polymerizing state for a mean of 12 s before undergoing catastrophe and switching to depolymerization (Fig. 1 E and unpublished data). This indicates that polymerizing microtubules support spindle movement. In addition, we find that increasing microtubule stability with mutants or drugs that inhibit depolymerization increases both the frequency and duration of spindle movement. These results are reminiscent of previous findings in C. elegans, where loss of the cortical protein EFA-6 increases the stability of astral microtubules and leads to increased cortical pulling forces by dynein, and studies of nuclear movements in Aspergillus mutants that alter microtubule dynamics (Grava and Philipsen, 2010; O’Rourke et al., 2010; Gibeaux et al., 2017). A simple interpretation of these results is that microtubule depolymerization is not required to move the spindle. This argues that microtubule depolymerization does not provide the energy needed for spindle movement, as has been proposed for kinetochore movement within the spindle (Asbury et al., 2006; Welburn et al., 2009).

Instead, our results argue that stable microtubules promote spindle movement by enhancing lateral microtubule sliding by dynein. We speculate that stable microtubules could enhance sliding either by providing more binding sites for dynein to move along the lateral sides of the microtubule and/or by enabling the microtubule to withstand stresses at the cell cortex, e.g., bending and force from dynein motility.

Dynactin stabilizes microtubules

Dynactin is required for virtually all known cellular functions of cytoplasmic dynein and is regarded as an obligate positive regulator. Typically, null mutations in dynactin subunits elicit phenotypes that are equivalent to null mutations in dynein (Schober, 2004). However, we find that dynein and dynactin have opposite effects on microtubule stability. In contrast to heavy chain mutants, null mutations in the Nip100/p150Glu subunit of dynactin diminish the frequency of microtubule–cortex interactions and lead to abnormally short astral microtubules (Fig. 3, B and C; and Fig. 5 B). Importantly, double mutants combining null alleles of dynein heavy chain and NIP100 also exhibit shorter astral microtubules (Fig. 5 B). Therefore, NIP100 is epistatic to dynein heavy chain with regard to astral microtubule stability, and functional Nip100 must be required to generate the longer astral microtubules found in the single dynein heavy chain null (Fig. 3). These results support a model in which dynactin has a proximal role in stabilizing astral microtubules.

Our results indicate that astral microtubules are stabilized by the shoulder subcomplex of dynactin. The shoulder was first identified in electron microscopy analysis of purified vertebrate dynactin, where it lays across a short filament of the actin-related protein Arp1 (Schafer et al., 1994; Chowdhury et al., 2015; Urnacivicius et al., 2015). The shoulder contains the subunits Nip100/p150Glu, Jnm1/p50, and Ldb18/p24 (Schafer et al., 1994). The Nip100/p150Glu subunit contains an N-terminal CAP-Gly domain, which binds to microtubules and suppresses catastrophes in vitro (Fig. 5 A; Waterman-Storer et al., 1995; Honnappa et al., 2006; Lazarus et al., 2013). We find that mutants lacking the CAP-Gly domain exhibit shorter microtubules, similar to the nip100Δ null (Fig. 5 B). Furthermore, mutants lacking the Jnm1/p50 subunit exhibit the same phenotype (Fig. 5 B). Interestingly, mutants lacking the Arp1 filament exhibit longer microtubules, reminiscent of dynein-null mutants (Fig. 5 B). This indicates that the shoulder stabilizes microtubules through a mechanism that involves the CAP-Gly domain of Nip100/p150Glu but does not require the Arp1 filament. Although the shoulder is known to form a stable complex on its own (Moore et al., 2008), to our knowledge this is the first evidence of an independent cellular function for the shoulder.

We propose that a balance of dynactin and dynein activities controls the initiation and magnitude of spindle movement. As depicted by our model in Fig. 7, dynein and dynactin are first recruited to the plus ends of astral microtubules, with an approximate stoichiometry of two dynein motors for every dynactin complex (Fig. 7, step 1; Markus et al., 2011). Dynactin stabilizes the plus end, promoting microtubule polymerization that eventually delivers dynein–dynactin to the cortex. At the cortex, 1:1 complexes of dynein/dynactin offload by binding to Num1 and begin to move along the microtubule toward the minus end (Fig. 7, steps 2 and 3). In this way, dynein motility depletes dynactin’s stabilizing activity from the plus end. Because the plus end begins with a two-times excess of dynein to dynactin, offloading equal amounts of dynein and dynactin causes an increase in the relative amount of dynein to dynactin that remains at the plus end. This shifts the balance toward the destabilizing activity of dynein and leads to catastrophe (Fig. 7, step 4). Then, the microtubule depolymerizes at a rate of 2.3 μm/min, which is 1.4× faster than dynein motility (unpublished data). This faster rate may allow depolymerization to catch up with dynein–dynactin along the microtubule lattice and terminate spindle movement by destroying its microtubule substrate (Fig. 7, step 5). In summary, our model proposes that the activation of dynein motility creates a negative feedback that leads to destruction of its microtubule track by titrating dynactin away from the plus end.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents were from Thermo Fisher Scientific and Sigma-Aldrich, unless stated otherwise.

Yeast strains and manipulation

General yeast manipulation, media, and transformation were performed by standard methods (Amberg et al., 2005). A detailed list of strains is provided in Table S1. 3GFP fusions to Dyn1, Nip100, and Pac1 were generated using plasmids that integrate at the native locus (Lee et al., 2003; Moore et al., 2008). Jnm1-sdm2-2 was generated by PCR-mediated tagging at the native locus (Sheff and Thorn, 2004). Strains expressing dynein WA mutants at endogenous levels were provided by S. Markus (Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO) and were described previously (Markus et al., 2009, 2011). Deletion
mutants were generated by conventional PCR-mediated methods (Pe-tracek and Longtine, 2002). GFP-Tub1 and mRuby-Tub1 fusions were integrated and expressed ectopically so that the fusion proteins were expressed in addition to the native TUB1 (Song and Lee, 2001; Markus et al., 2015). For overexpression of dynein heavy chain, the GAL1/10 promoter was integrated 5′ of the DYN1 coding sequence, removing 160 bases of the native promoter, and a 3GFP tag was fused to the 3′ end of the DYN1 coding sequence using an integrating plasmid (Lee et al., 2003). Overexpression was achieved by first growing cells to early log-phase in media containing 2% sucrose and then adding galactose to 2% and returning to a 30°C shaking incubator for 4 h. Overexpression was confirmed by assessing the localization of the Dyn1-3GFP.

**Microscopy and image analysis**

Images were collected on a microscope (Ti-E; Nikon) equipped with a 1.45 NA 100× CFI Plan Apo objective, piezo electric stage (Physik Instrumente), spinning-disk confocal scanner unit (CSU10; Yokogawa Electric Corporation), 488- and 561-nm lasers (Agilent Technologies), and an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera (iXon Ultra 897; Andor Technology) using NIS Elements software (Nikon). Cells were grown asynchronously to early log-phase in nonfluorescent media and adhered to slide chambers coated with concanavalin A. Slide chambers were sealed with VALAP (vaseline, lanolin, and paraffin at 1:1:1). During acquisition, the temperature of the stage was 25°C except when imaging td-dyn1, during which the stage was heated to 37°C. Z series consisted of 13 images separated by 500 nm.

**Analysis of microtubule–cortex interactions and microtubule sliding**

Cells expressing an integrated fusion of GFP to the N terminus of α-tubulin (GFP-Tub1) were arrested with HU for 2 h and imaged for 10 min at a 4-s time interval between frames. Microtubule–cortex interactions were identified in 2D projections of Z-series images by the microtubule reaching the cell cortex, which was visualized by the signal from free GFP-tubulin subunits in the cytoplasm. Only interactions where the microtubule reached the edge of the 2D-projected cell cortex were scored. Therefore, our measurements likely underrepresent the total interactions occurring in 3D space. The dwell time of each interaction was defined as time elapsed between the first and last frame of the interaction. Microtubule sliding events were defined as interactions of microtubule plus ends with the cell cortex that transitioned into lateral microtubule movements along the cortex and caused the spindle to move. Termination of sliding was defined by the end of directed spindle movement and the movement of the microtubule away from the cell cortex.

**Microtubule dynamics analysis**

Microtubule dynamics were analyzed by measuring the lengths of astral microtubules labeled with GFP-Tub1 at 4- or 5-s intervals for 10 min. This analysis was conducted in pre-anaphase cells, which typically exhibit one or two astral microtubules emanating from each SPB. Assembly and disassembly events were defined as at least three contiguous data points that produced a length change ≥0.5 µm with a coefficient of determination ≥0.8. Data points that did not meet the criteria for assembly or disassembly were regarded as pauses. Catastrophes were defined as transitions to disassembly. Catastrophe frequencies were determined for individual astral microtubules by dividing the number of catastrophe events by the total lifetime, minus time spent in disassembly. Rescues were defined as transitions to assembly. Rescue frequencies were determined for individual astral microtubules by dividing the number of rescue events by the total lifetime, minus time spent in assembly. Microtubule dynamicity was calculated by the total change in length (growing and shrinking) divided by the change in time and expressed in tubulin subunits changed per second (Toso et al., 1993). At least 23 astral microtubules were analyzed for each genotype. Dynamics measurements for individual microtubules were pooled for the genotype and then compared with pooled data for different genotypes using a Student’s t test to assess whether the mean values for different datasets were significantly different.

**Localization of dynein, dynactin, and Pac1**

Two-color images of cells expressing Dyn1-3GFP and Jnm1-tdimer2 were collected in time-lapse, full-cell Z series separated by 300 nm. Then, images were analyzed using ImageJ (National Institutes of
expressing file and shows the strains used in this study. We thank Dr. Steven Markus for providing multiple dynein mutant crotubules with tub2-430 dependent sliding along the cell cortex. Fig. S2 shows that stabilizing mi-
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Cells were first arrested with HU for 90 min and then were treated with pAGS1 integrating plasmid, provided by J. Nitiss (University of Illinois genes and was generated at the native chromosomal locus using the allele represses transcription of pleiotropic drug resistance DBD-CYC8 pdr1-DBD-CYC8 pdr1-

Drug treatment

Asynchronous cells expressing GFP-Tub1 were imaged with 13 Z slices separated by a 400-nm depth. Then, cells were sorted by pre-anaphase spindle or anaphase at the bud neck, in the mother cell or in the bud. A pre-anaphase spindle is defined as a bipolar spindle of <1.9 μm in length. An anaphase spindle is defined as a bipolar spindle ≥1.9 μm in length. Spindles were characterized as at the bud neck when there was at least one spindle in the neck region (between the mother and daughter cell) or spanning the bud neck region. Spindles were charac-

Spindle location analysis

Asynchronous cells expressing GFP-Tub1 were imaged with 13 Z slices separated by a 400-nm depth. Then, cells were sorted by pre-anaphase spindle or anaphase at the bud neck, in the mother cell or in the bud. A pre-anaphase spindle is defined as a bipolar spindle of <1.9 μm in length. An anaphase spindle is defined as a bipolar spindle ≥1.9 μm in length. Spindles were characterized as at the bud neck when there was at least one SPB in the neck region (between the mother and daughter cell) or spanning the bud neck region. Spindles were charac-

Drug treatment

Cells expressing GFP-labeled microtubules were grown to early log-
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Fig. S1 depicts measurements of microtubule dynamics during dynein-
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