Metabolic Reprogramming of Alloreactive T Cells Through TCR/MYC/mTORC1/E2F6 Signaling in aGvHD Patients
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Acute graft-versus-host disease (aGvHD) is the most common complication after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) and significantly linked with morbidity and mortality. Although much work has been engaged to investigate aGvHD pathogenesis, the understanding of alloreactive T-cell activation remains incomplete. To address this, we studied transcriptional activation of carbohydrate, nucleotide, tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and amino acid metabolism of T cells before aGvHD onset by mining the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets. Glycolysis had the most extensive correlation with other activated metabolic sub-pathways. Through Pearson correlation analyses, we found that glycolytic activation was positively correlated with activated CD4 memory T-cell subset and T-cell proliferation and migration. T-cell receptor (TCR), mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), myelocytomatosis oncogene (MYC) signaling pathways and E2F6 might be “master regulators” of glycolytic activity. aGvHD predictive model constructed by glycolytic genes (PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH) through logistic regression showed high predictive and discriminative value. Furthermore, higher expressions of PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH in alloreactive T cells were confirmed in our pre-aGvHD patient cohort. And the predictive value of the aGvHD risk model was also validated. In summary, our study demonstrated that glycolytic activation might play a pivotal function in alloreactive T-cell activation before aGvHD onset and would be the potential target for aGvHD therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is the solitary therapeutic modality for many malignant and non-malignant hematologic disorders. However, the benefits of allo-HSCT are challenged by graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), which affects >50% of patients and remains a major cause of mortality after allo-HSCT. The pathophysiology of acute GVHD (aGVHD) involves donor T cells and inflammatory cytokine-mediated injury to patient’s organ tissues (1). The Centre for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR) reported that within 100 days after allo-HSCT, aGVHD accounts for 13% and 16% of deaths in HLA-matched related and unrelated HSCT, respectively (2). The increased non-relapse mortality associated with GVHD may abrogate the favorable graft-versus-leukemia (GVL) effect on disease relapse.

The clinical outcomes of patients who suffered from grade III/IV aGVHD are dismal with a high mortality rate of 50–70%, even with novel therapy including the Janus kinase (JAK)1/2 inhibitor and interleukin-2-inducible T-cell kinase inhibitors (3, 4). Numerous biomarkers have been identified to have a power to predict transplant-related mortality; however, they are the results caused by uncontrolled alloreactive T-cell activation (3, 5–7).

Metabolic regulation governs the fate and function of T cells, which plays a key role in aGVHD development. A growing body of evidence from multiple reports suggested that metabolic differences in immune cells may have significant association with GVHD pathology (8–10). However, few studies focused on the gene expression profile and metabolic shift in allo-activation of donor-derived T cells during aGVHD development. The influences of metabolic reprogramming and the gene–metabolite networks involved in aGVHD are not well characterized.

In the present study, through integration of T-cell gene expression signatures across different studies of aGVHD, we identified transcriptional activation of carbohydrate, nucleotide, tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and amino acid metabolism in alloreactive T cells before aGVHD onset. Glycolysis had the most extensive correlation with other enriched metabolic sub-pathways and might play a crucial function in alloreactive T-cell activation. Through Pearson correlation analyses, we found that glycolytic activation might contribute to the enrichment of activated CD4 memory T-cell subset and T-cell proliferation and migration. Glycolysis might be regulated by T-cell receptor (TCR), mTORC1, and MYC signaling pathways and transcription factor (TF) E2F6. High predictive value of the aGVHD risk model, which was constructed by glycolytic genes PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH, further emphasizes the crucial function of glycolysis. Our study indicates that glycolytic activation might play a pivotal function in alloreactive T-cell activation for aGVHD development and would be a potential therapy of GvHD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection and Preprocessing

Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) provides an invaluable resource of high-throughput gene expression data that can be integrated and analyzed. Datasets from GEO that were considered eligible in our analysis met the following criteria: 1) Datasets with T-cell transcriptomic data from patients who developed aGVHD later (pre-aGVHD hereafter) and those who did not develop aGVHD (non-aGVHD hereafter); 2) Datasets with group information for each sample (pre-aGVHD vs. non-aGVHD); 3) Datasets with information about the technology and platform used for studies; and 4) Datasets with more than 10,000 probes. Based on the above criteria, two aGVHD datasets were downloaded from the GEO database. Details of each dataset are shown in Table S1.

Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes

Bias of high-throughput experiments were commonly derived from heterogeneity and variables. In this study, we recruited datasets from different platforms and samples and handled by individual researchers. To avoid the possible unreliable results, samples from the two datasets were integrated to increase the number of samples (37 pre-aGVHD samples vs. 48 non-aGVHD samples), followed by batch normalization using R package “sva” (11). Next, gene differential analysis (|LogFC| >1, P < 0.05) was performed by comparing T cells isolated from pre-aGVHD and non-aGVHD blood samples using R package “limma”. Afterward, volcano map and heatmap were depicted by “limma” package and “pheatmap” package, respectively, in the R computing environment (12).

Single-Sample Gene Set Variation Analysis

Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) was applied to calculate the activities of metabolic and signaling pathways for each sample (13). Pathway signatures were obtained from MsigDB of the Broad Institute (https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp) and Reactome annotation (https://reactome.org) (14, 15). For comparison of GSVA scores, the T-cell expression data were multiplied by 1 for aGVHD-dependent lines or by −1 for aGVHD-independent lines to reflect the direction of aGVHD dependency (positive for aGVHD dependency and negative for aGVHD independency). The data were then standardized to z-scores across samples for comparison and the creation of correlation matrix heatmaps.

Pathway and Gene Correlation Analysis

The correlation of gene expression level and pathway score derived from GSEA was evaluated by Pearson correlation. |Correlation coefficient| >0.4 and P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Immune Cell Profiling Analysis

We used the CIBERSORT tools (16) to identify immune cell profile from the RNA expression data.

Prediction of Transcription Factors

TFs potentially driving the expression of glycolytic genes and metabolic reprogramming were predicted by the module “UCSC_TFBS” under the “Protein_Interaction” function of DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp) and Pearson
correlation analysis. TFs regulating the expression of individual gene (such as PFKP, ENO3, or GAPDH) were predicted through R package “TFBSTools” (17) and JASPAR database (http://jaspar.genereg.net/). The target genes of E2F6 were predicted using hematopoietic cell-specific chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) data collected in Cistrome data browser (18).

Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis
Glycolysis-associated genes, PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH, were applied to develop an aGvHD risk model. Nomogram was constructed to predict aGvHD risk with multivariate logistic regression analysis. To assess the calibration of the risk model, calibration curves were plotted. To quantify the discrimination performance of the risk model, Harrell’s C-index was measured, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was drawn. Furthermore, the risk model was subjected to bootstrapping validation (1,000 bootstrap resamples) to calculate a relatively corrected C-index. To determine the clinical usefulness of the risk model, decision curve was plotted through calculating net benefits across different threshold probabilities.

Single-Cell RNA Sequencing
CD3+ T cells were collected from 5 non-aGvHD patients and 9 pre-aGvHD patients by EasySep™ Human T Cell Enrichment Kit (Stemcell Technology, Cat # 19051). For 5’ single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data, raw reads obtained from the 10x Genomics single-cell RNA-seq platform were demultiplexed and mapped to the human reference genome GRCh38 using the CellRanger software (version 3.0.2) (https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-expression/software) with default parameters. In this study, CD3+ cells were retained for downstream analysis. Finally, our study included 48,718 CD3+ T cells. Normalized gene expression values were plotted for each cell as violin plot in R.

Flow Cytometry
For the analysis of cell surface molecules, single-cell suspensions were prepared. CD4+ memory T cells (CD4+CD45RO+) were detected by flow cytometry.

Study Approval
The study was approved by the Ruijin Hospital Ethics Committee, and all processes were consistent with Helsinki Declaration standards.

Statistical Analysis
The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and GSVA results were displayed with P values, fold changes, and ranks. An unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test (for two group comparisons) or a one-way ANOVA was performed, and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test was performed using R package ggplot2. The results of multivariate logistic regression were displayed with odds ratio (OR) and P values. The strength of the Pearson correlation was displayed with correlation coefficient as the following guide: 0.00–0.19, “very weak”; 0.20–0.39, “weak”; 0.40–0.59, “moderate”; 0.60–0.79, “strong”; 0.80–1.0, “very strong.” P value <0.05 was statistically significant. All statistical tests and graphing were performed by R. In the figures, statistical significance was shown as follows: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, and ****P < 0.0001.

RESULTS
Metabolic Reprogramming of Alloreactive T Cells in aGvHD Patients
To explore transcriptional metabolic reprogramming of T cells before full onset of aGvHD, we integrated the T-cell transcriptomic data across different studies (19, 20). The raw data and platform information of GSE4624 and GSE73809 were downloaded from GEO database. Both studies collected T cells prior to aGvHD onset (pre-aGvHD group) and on matched time in control patients who were without aGvHD (non-aGvHD group) and concluded that gene expression signature of alloreactive T cells had a dominant effect on the development of aGvHD. After annotation, 8,455 genes in GSE4624 (GPL3639) and 30,905 genes in GSE73809 (GPL17586) were obtained (Table S1). To reduce the possibility of false positive results, the two datasets were batch normalized and integrated (Figure S1). The expression patterns of metabolic pathway genes have been determined to reflect actual metabolic activities in patients well (14). To analyze the metabolic activities of each sample, we examined the gene sets of seven super-pathways based on Reactome annotation (14, 15) including amino acid metabolism (348 genes), carbohydrate metabolism (286 genes), energy metabolism (110 genes), lipid metabolism (766 genes), nucleotide metabolism (90 genes), TCA cycle (148 genes), and vitamin and cofactor metabolism (168 genes) with GSVA approach (Table S2) (13). Next, we compared the enrichment scores of seven metabolic signatures between T cells from pre-aGvHD and non-aGvHD samples. The results showed that carbohydrate, nucleotide, TCA cycle, and amino acid metabolism were significantly enriched in pre-aGvHD samples (Figure 1A, Table S3). Of note, carbohydrate metabolism was the most significantly enriched (P = 0.0003). To identify the metabolic pathways dysregulated in pre-aGvHD samples, we examined sub-metabolic gene sets of the four enriched super-pathways based on Reactome and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) annotation. The sub-pathways with high activity in pre-aGvHD samples were mainly glycolysis-related, such as glycolysis, pentose phosphate pathway, fructose and mannose metabolism, galactose metabolism, and respiratory electron transport (Figure 1B), of which glycolysis had the most extensive correlation with other enriched sub-pathways (Figure 1C). These results suggested that glycolysis might be the crucial metabolic pathway. The extensively activated pathways might be the results of glycolysis activation before aGvHD full onset.

Glycolytic Genes Differentially Expressed in Alloreactive T Cells Before aGvHD Onset
To further identify the genes involved in the activation of glycolysis employed by T cells in the pre-aGvHD state, we performed differential expression analyses of genes derived from
Reactome Glycolysis and KEGG Glycolysis gluconeogenesis gene sets. Compared with T cells isolated from non-aGvHD samples, 18 glycolytic genes were upregulated and six genes were downregulated in pre-aGvHD group (|Fold Change| >1 and \( P < 0.05 \); Figure 2A, Table S4). The top 5 upregulated genes were \textit{PFKP}, \textit{SOD1}, \textit{ENO3}, \textit{GAPDH}, and \textit{STMN1}. Among them, \textit{PFKP}, \textit{ENO3}, and \textit{GAPDH} have well-known functions in glycolysis.

Phosphofructokinase (encoded by \textit{PFKP}) catalyzes the phosphorylation of fructose 6-phosphate (F6P) to fructose 1,6-bisphosphate (F16BP) by ATP, which is the most important control step of glycolysis (21). Enolase (encoded by \textit{ENO3}), also known as phosphopyruvate dehydratase, catalyzes the transformation of 2-phosphoglycerate (2-PG) to phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) (22). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (encoded by \textit{GAPDH})...
GAPDH (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase) is a glycolytic enzyme that catalyzes the critical step by converting glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (G3P) into 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate (1,3-BPG). Inhibition of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) downregulates glycolysis in both myeloid and lymphoid cells, preventing immune activation (23–25).

Participation of upregulated genes in glycolysis and their interaction with other metabolic pathways are visualized in Figure 2B.

### Metabolic Reprogramming and Glycolytic Genes Are Associated With T-Cell Activation Signature in aGvHD Patients

In the pathogenesis of aGvHD, donor-derived alloreactive T cells are activated by recognition of host antigens, then adopt a pathogenic effector phenotype and migrate to target organs. Activated T cells require more energy to proliferate and mediate effector functions. However, the underlying relationship between metabolic reprogramming and alloreactive T-cell activation is still poorly understood (10). To assess the biological relevance of metabolic reprogramming, we evaluated T-cell subsets and T-cell functional signatures by GSVA. We first scored seven T-cell subsets for their relative abundance by using CIBERSORT (16) and evaluated the correlation of the T-cell subsets with metabolic reprogramming and differentially expressed glycolytic genes. Activated CD4 memory T cell was identified to be the top subset that positively correlated with glycolysis-related pathways and glycolytic genes (Figures 3A, B). The expressions of TXN, ALDOB, GAPDH, STMN1, PSMC4, ENO3, PRKACB, and PFKP had strong correlations with activated CD4 memory T subset (Figure 3B). Furthermore, we validated the positive correlation between CD4 memory T subset with aGvHD development and glycolysis activation in our patient cohort. We found that the proportion of CD4 memory T cells was significantly higher in pre-aGvHD samples than their counterparts in non-aGvHD group (13.16% ± 6.585% vs. 1.378% ± 0.8093%, P < 0.05) (Figure S2A).

Single-cell RNA sequencing data revealed significantly higher expression of glycolytic genes PFKP and GAPDH in CD4 memory T cells of pre-aGvHD patients than non-aGvHD patients (Figure S2B), supporting the important function of glycolytic activation in CD4 memory T cells during the aGvHD development. Next, we examined enrichment of T-cell functional signatures derived from gene ontology (GO) for validation. T-cell proliferation and migration signatures were positively associated with glycolysis-related pathways and glycolytic genes (Figures S3A, B). The expressions of ALDOB, ENO3, PRKACB, STMN1, TXN, PFKP, GAPDH, ALDOA, PFKP, AGRN, PSMC4, and SOD1 had significant correlations with T-cell proliferation and migration (Figure S3B). Overall, these results suggested that metabolic activity was intrinsically coupled with T-cell activation pathways.

### Hallmark Signaling Pathways and Transcriptional Factors Involved in Metabolic Reprogramming and Differentially Expressed Glycolytic Genes

Metabolic reprogramming is largely determined by signaling pathways and TFs. TCR, the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
(PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT), mTOR, MYC signaling, and TFs such as IRF4, SREBP, PGC1α, HIF1α, ATF4, and E2F have been widely involved in anabolic or catabolic metabolism, including glycolysis and REDOX balance (14, 26–28). Thus, we compared the enrichment scores of hallmark signaling and TCR signaling pathways between T cells from pre-aGvHD and non-aGvHD samples. The results showed that MYC, mTORC1, TCR, Hedgehog, and Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathways were significantly enriched in pre-aGvHD groups (Figure S4, Table S5). To identify specific driver signaling pathways, we performed correlation analyses of metabolic reprogramming and differentially expressed glycolytic genes with hallmark signaling and TCR signaling pathways. TCR, mTORC1, and MYC signaling pathways were identified as drivers with top positive correlations (Figures 4A, B). The expressions of PFKP, STMN1, PSMC4, GAPDH, TXN, ENO3, and PRKACB had stronger correlations (Correlation coefficients >0.5 and \( P < 0.05\)) with TCR, mTORC1, and MYC signaling activity (Figure 4B).

Gene expression is generally regulated by TFs directly, which consequently influences metabolic activity. To elucidate TFs that potentially drive the expression of glycolytic genes and metabolic reprogramming, DEGs between pre-aGvHD and non-aGvHD groups (Figures S5, S6) were utilized for the prediction of TFs by the module “UCSC_TFBS” under the “Protein_Interactions” function of DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp). TFs predicted with adjusted \( P < 0.05\) were considered to be significantly enriched (Table S6). The enriched TFs and other well-known metabolism-regulating TFs (26, 27, 29) whose expression data are available to access in this study (E2F1, E2F4, E2F6, MYC, ATF4, ATF6, NFKB1, STAT3, LPIN1, HIF1A, RPS6KB2, YY1, SREBF2) were submitted for the following analyses. We performed correlation analyses of
metabolic reprogramming and differentially expressed glycolytic genes with the abovementioned TFs. E2F6 was identified as the top positively correlated TF (Figures S7A, B), which was consistent with the positive correlation of E2F target signature with metabolism reprogramming and glycolytic gene expression (Figures 4A, B). Furthermore, expressions of MYC, mTOR, and E2F6 were proven to be higher in pre-aGvHD T cells than those in non-aGvHD T cells by our own patient cohort (Figure S8A). These results suggest that TCR, mTORC1, and MYC signaling pathways and E2F6 are potential "master regulators" of metabolic reprogramming and glycolytic activity.

**Development of an Individualized aGvHD Predictive Model**

Regarding the central function of glycolysis in alloreactive T-cell activation in pre-aGvHD samples, we used PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH, which were among the most significantly upregulated glycolytic genes and their expressions were proven to be higher in pre-aGvHD T cells than those in non-aGvHD T cells (Figure S8B), to construct an aGvHD predictive model. The predictive model that incorporated the three predictors was developed and presented in the nomogram (Figure 5A). The area under the ROC curve was 0.806, showing a high predictive value (Figure 5B). The C-index of the nomogram was 0.806 (95% CI: 0.713-0.899) for the cohort and was confirmed to be 0.786 through bootstrapping validation, suggesting that the risk model had good discriminative ability. The calibration curve demonstrated that the nomogram had good concordance to predict aGvHD risk in this cohort (Figure 5C). The decision curve showed that the aGvHD predictive model provided superior net benefit when clinical decision thresholds were between 14% and 91% (Figure 5D). Furthermore, the predictive value of the aGvHD risk model was validated by our own patient cohort (Figures S8C, D).

Using TFBSTools and JASPAR database, we predicted E2F1, E2F4, and E2F6 to be possible TFs promoting the expression of PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH. Moreover, hematopoietic cell-specific ChIP-seq data further supported E2F6 as a TF regulating the expression of PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH (Figure S9), which was in accordance with the positive correlation between E2F6 and their expressions (Figure S7B) (18). Furthermore, we confirmed that T cells with higher E2F6 expression harbored increased expression of
PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH in our patient cohort (Figure S10). Taken together, these results suggest that TCR, mTORC1, and MYC signaling pathways might promote the expression of PFKP, ENO3, and GAPDH through TF E2F6 to activate glycolysis and its related pathways in alloreactive T cells during aGvHD development (Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

The benefits of allo-HSCT are challenged by GvHD, which is one of the most common causes of treatment-related mortality in the early phase after allo-HSCT. Although glucocorticoid is demonstrated as the frontline therapy for aGvHD, 40%–50% is steroid refractory and resulted in 60%–80% mortality. The optimal treatment for steroid-refractory aGvHD is still under exploration. Thus, identification and characterization of novel targets of aGvHD are important for therapy revolution. There is growing evidence that metabolomics play a role in different aspects of aGvHD (30). Therefore, to elucidate the metabolic pathways employed by T cells is important to deepening our understanding of aGvHD pathophysiology. However, the comprehensive metabolic network of allogeneic T cells in aGvHD setting is largely underestimated, particularly in humans.

Since the transcriptional expression patterns of metabolic pathways have been demonstrated to reflect metabolic activities definitely (14), we integrated publicly accessible GEO datasets of human alloreactive T cells and systematically characterized the metabolic programming in correlation with aGvHD based on the expressional heterogeneity of metabolic
genes. To the best of our knowledge, this global perspective has not been used to study aGvHD previously. We found that carbohydrate metabolism was the most significantly enriched metabolic super-pathway in alloreactive T cells in the pre-aGvHD state, and glycolysis had the most extensive correlation with other metabolic sub-pathways (Figure 1). High predictive value of aGvHD risk model constructed by well-known glycolytic genes further iterated the crucial role of glycolysis in alloreactive T cells (Figure 5). In compliance with other in vitro and in vivo studies, activated glycolytic activity is increased in T cells to meet their biomass demand for synthesis of macromolecules during robust proliferation (9, 31).

The modality of metabolic reprogramming in alloreactive T cells is controversial across different GvHD models. Gatza et al. (32) found that alloreactive T cells, in response to allo-antigens, greatly increased both glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation, and the activation of oxidative phosphorylation was due to an increase of fatty acid oxidation via TCA cycle compared to naive T cells (rather than donor T cells in the syngeneic recipients) in unirradiated murine GvHD model. Compared to general T-cell activation, alloreactive T cell experiences the inflammatory milieu of pretransplant conditioning and reconstitution of the immune system. As to distinguish alloreactive from homeostatically proliferating T cells, syngenic T cells are better negative control than naive T cells (33). Byersdorfer et al. (10) showed that alloreactive T cells used fatty acid oxidation as the major fuel source during activation. However, Nguyen et al. (9) demonstrated that inhibition of fatty acid oxidation by etomoxir was not enough to significantly affect alloreactive T-cell proliferation. Given the great differences among the models, the abovementioned studies might not be able to describe metabolic reprogramming of human alloreactive T cells well in a pre-aGvHD state. Our previous study integrated the metabolic and transcriptomic analyses and discovered increased glycerophospholipid metabolism in a pre-aGvHD state (8). However, the study used a non-targeted approach (liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry) to detect plasma metabolites and the transcriptomic data were derived from mononuclear cells in peripheral blood. In the present study, we found that CD4 memory T cell was the top subset that positively correlated with glycolysis activation (Figures 3A, B). Alloreactive CD4 effector memory T cell is the predominant pathogenic subset and highly glycolytic in our clinical observation (Zhang et al., unpublished data) and allo-HSCT murine model (34). Activated T-cell proliferation and migration signatures were positively associated with glycolytic activation (Figures S3A, B). These results indicated the pivotal function of glycolytic metabolism in specified T-cell subset activation and immune function in pre-aGvHD state.

Identification of crucial signaling pathways and TFs that promote glycolysis could help us to discover potential targets to suspend the uncontrolled activation of alloreactive T cells (9, 35). Metabolic reprogramming occurs generally downstream of TCR and the co-stimulatory receptor (such as CD28) signaling following interaction between T cells and APCs in autoimmune disease, which activates mTORC1 and MYC signaling. Consequently, T-cell metabolism shifts from fatty acid and pyruvate oxidation in steady state toward glycolysis during activation (27). mTORC1, a key driver of cell metabolism (36–39), integrated microenvironment cues with T-cell metabolism and activation state. E2F TF family, which is regulated by both MYC and mTOR signaling (40, 41) and promotes glycolytic metabolism (42) as reported, was also activated. The activation of E2F family might bridge MYC and mTORC1 signaling with glycolytic activation (Figure 6). In murine models, alloreactive T cells showed higher expression of glucose transporters Glut1 and Glut3 and glycolytic enzymes hexokinase and lactate dehydrogenase. Furthermore, AMPK and mTOR pathways were activated and subsequently resulted in greater increase of glucose uptake and glycolysis than syngenic T cells (9, 32). The context-specific programming observed in alloreactive T cells is unique and different from other physiological processes.

There are limitations in our study. First, although we integrated and normalized the transcriptomic data, bias and variabilities might also exist due to technical limitations, such as dye effect, hybridization artifacts, and between-sample differences (i.e., different sample acquisition times). Second, due to the limited information provided by the original studies, we could not correlate metabolism reprogramming with patient background, aGvHD severity, and treatment outcome. Moreover, we could not discriminate T-cell subsets, such as Teff, Treg, and Tm, for detailed metabolic signatures. However, with our integrative and robust bioinformatic analyses, we identified glycolysis contributing to the activated allogeneic CD4 memory T-cell subset and T-cell proliferation and migration in a pre-aGvHD state, as well as TCR, mTORC1, and MYC signaling pathways and E2F6 might be “master regulators” of glycolytic activation. Moreover, the increased CD4 memory T-cell subset and glycolytic activation in pre-aGvHD state were validated in our patient cohort. These results are objective and meaningful to provide clues for aGvHD prophylaxis and treatment.

Taken together, we identified metabolic pathways that were enriched in alloreactive T cells in a pre-aGvHD state and highlighted T-cell subsets, T-cell immune functions, key signaling pathways, and TFs that were closely linked with glycolysis activation. The hypothesis of alloreactive T-cell metabolic reprogramming during aGvHD pathogenesis (especially a pre-aGvHD state) is presented in Figure 6. Our data emphasize the pivotal function of glycolysis in alloreactive T-cell activation in a pre-aGvHD state, and glycolysis would be the potential target for GvHD treatment.
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