INTRODUCTION

Outpatient procedures remain a popular trend in recent years. In 2020, 82% of cosmetic procedures and 41% of reconstructive procedures were performed in the outpatient setting, compared with 81% and 62% in 2007, respectively.1,2 Internationally, 56% of cosmetic procedures were performed in the outpatient setting in 2020.3 Improved convenience, comfort, and costs benefit both patients and surgeons when compared with the hospital setting.4,6 It is, therefore, reasonable to expect the prevalence of outpatient procedures to continue, or even rise, into the future. Despite such momentum, regulations have been slow to keep pace; fewer than 30 states have laws governing office-based surgery (OBS), and even fewer states require accreditation.7,8 Among those that do, there is a lack of standardization over accreditation.9

Conflicting evidence exists regarding the complication rate in OBS compared with other surgical settings.10–12 Regardless, patient safety remains paramount.4,6 Given the lack of safety regulations for OBS, organizations, such as the American College of Surgeons, the American Society of Plastic Surgeons (ASPS), the Aesthetic Society (formerly ASAPS), and the American Association for Accreditation of Ambulatory Surgery Facilities (AAAASF), have released practice advisories to guide surgeons.13–16 As such, the onus of patient safety lies on the shoulders of surgeons.

This article will summarize and consolidate contemporary, evidence-based practice guidelines to help plastic surgeons understand topics guiding patient safety in OBS. The principles outlined herein are not exhaustive, nor are they hard and fast rules. They also should not be interpreted as the legal standard of medical care. Rather, physicians should use these guidelines to inform their own understanding of the evidence and supplement their best clinical judgment within individual circumstances.

PRINCIPLES GOVERNING OFFICE SAFETY

Accreditation

Accreditation provides validation of safe practices, compares performance against other accredited facilities, and standardizes practice guidelines.12,17 This demonstrates that the practice meets a nationally accepted standard and is committed to patient safety and quality care.17 Current accreditation organizations include AAAASF, the Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care, and the Joint Commission.14,17,18 With expanding medical tourism, reciprocal demand for patient safety has increased international outreach from these organizations.19 AAAASF has modified domestic accreditation standards to accommodate cultural and social differences internationally and been endorsed by the International Society for Aesthetic Plastic Surgery.
All accredited facilities are reevaluated yearly via self-survey and every 3 years by an onsite inspector. While each agency has their own process, they share the goal of ensuring quality health care and patient safety. Membership to plastic surgery societies also demonstrates a commitment to patient safety; ASPS and the Aesthetic Society mandate members operate in accredited outpatient facilities.

**Culture of Safety**

The Institute of Medicine defines safety culture as “individual and organizational behavior, based upon shared beliefs and values that continuously seek to minimize patient harm.” This culture is a foundational element of outpatient surgery. Administering surveys can evaluate perception of safety culture in the office. Physicians and staff are responsible for maintaining and honoring the office culture to ensure a collective commitment to quality improvement and patient safety.

**Personnel and Training**

Physicians should maintain certification as recognized by the American Board of Medical Specialties, the American Osteopathic Association, or a state-approved board with equivalent standards. Office-based physicians are generally subject to less-detailed credential review, predisposing them to “practice drift”; that is, they are more susceptible to providing care outside the scope of their training. Physicians must work within the scope of their licensing, experience level, and the facility’s accreditation guidelines. This also applies to anesthesiologists, who may receive less ambulatory training in residency, as well as nursing and support staff.

**Informed Consent**

Informed consent is the acknowledgement of a discussion between the provider and patient about the proposed procedure, including indications, expectations, risks, and benefits along with alternative options. This includes a corresponding discussion with the anesthesiologist regarding the anesthetic plan. Discussions should consist of nonmedical jargon, with communication performed at a fifth-grade level and translated into the patient’s preferred language. Supplemental use of visual aids can improve understanding and retention of information. Patients should demonstrate their understanding of the discussion and proposed treatment using the teach-back method before signing their consent. Consent should also be thoroughly obtained for legal purposes.

In 2021, the US Food and Drug Administration updated informed consent protocols tying breast implant manufacturers and plastic surgeons to a comprehensive decision-making process with patients. These involve a device-specific label consisting of five components (Table 1), including an additional checklist created by implant manufacturers for obtaining informed consent. This checklist aims to confirm understanding of the risks associated with the operation and implant, and it must be signed by both the patient and implanting plastic surgeon after review. Implant manufacturers are prohibited from selling breast implants to surgeons until they attest in writing their agreement to using the checklist while obtaining informed consent.

ASPS encourages plastic surgeons to become familiar with these new Food and Drug Administration guidelines. For this purpose, both organizations have released examples of implant labels. These examples are not official manufacturer labels and should only be used as reference—not for patient care.

**Digital Content 1**

See figure, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which displays an example of a 28-element, perioperative checklist template for use in the office-based setting developed by Rosenberg et al 2012, http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/C236. However, emergencies may arise, and equipment and established policies should be familiar to all staff to handle both routine and emergency care.

**PROTOCOLS TO ENSURE SAFETY**

Organization is essential to maintaining a safe and successful ambulatory practice. Perioperative patient safety checklists are simple tools that promote safety culture and have helped decrease complication rates. The World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist is one example that can be tailored to its user’s needs, such as for outpatient plastic surgery. (See figure, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which displays an example of a 28-element, perioperative checklist template for use in the office-based setting developed by Rosenberg et al 2012, http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/C236.) However, emergencies may arise, and equipment and established policies should be familiar to all staff to handle both routine and emergency care.

**Fire Safety**

Fires in the OR involve three components: an oxidizer, often oxygen or nitrous oxide; an ignition source, such as cautery; and fuel, which includes sponges and alcohol-based solutions. Proper management of fuel and ignition sources in the OR and perioperative areas is priority. It is crucial to observe proper safety technique of potential ignition devices, allow preparation solution to completely dry to disperse flammable fumes, keep gauze and sponges moist, and minimize oxygen concentration as appropriate (ideally <50% FiO₂).

The major factor behind fire litigation is lack of discussion among the surgical team regarding the risk of fire. As such, fire-safety training and teamwork are necessary. If a fire occurs, the procedure must be stopped, and fire protocols should be executed.

---

### Table 1. The Five Components of Breast Implant Manufacturer Labeling

| Components of Breast Implant Manufacturer Labeling |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1. A “black box” warning regarding potential short-term, long-term, and life-threatening consequences associated with implant use. |
| 2. A patient decision checklist to help confirm patient understanding of the benefits, aforementioned risks, and other information about the implant. |
| 3. Updated recommendations about silicone gel-filled implant rupture-screening protocols. |
| 4. A device description with a list of materials that compose the implant. |
| 5. A patient device card to fulfill medical device tracking requirements. |
EQUIPMENT AND STERILITY

Properly functioning equipment and sharps and sterile technique are crucial for OR safety. For any OBS using sedation, the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) and AAAASF recommend monitoring pulse oximetry, electrocardiogram, blood pressure, capnography, and temperature.5,24 Equipment must be frequently maintained, sanitized, inspected, and sterilized with an autoclave, as appropriate.5,24 Intraoperative events, such as hypothermia or bleeding, increase postoperative morbidity, and equipment should be ready to prevent and treat such incidents.16,24,49–52 The CDC establishes sterility and disinfection protocols adopted by many hospitals; office-based practitioners should use such a model as well.55 Ultimately, meticulous adherence to proper sharps and sterile technique is best for ensuring surgical safety.41,54

Despite this, sharps and needlestick injuries remain among the most common injuries sustained by surgeons. In the event of a sharps injury or exposure to blood-borne infection, staff must wash the area, report the injury, obtain patient samples for source testing, and receive proper and punctual prophylaxis to HIV and hepatitis B or C viruses, as applicable.41,55

Documentation and Quality Improvement

For every procedure, documentation should include indications, procedure-specific information, findings, specimens, complications, and patient tolerance. A procedure should be documented immediately after its completion. Inclusion of all pertinent points is important for continuity of care, protecting patient safety and privacy, and potential legal ramifications.32 Such medical records must be stored within the facility.24

Thorough documentation can also help with quality improvement. Monthly audits of random cases and operative sequelaes should be performed, and adverse events must be analyzed and used to improve systems and prevent recurrences.24,56,57 The National Surgical Quality Improvement Program allows practices to collect and compare patient data with other participating facilities. It also uses data sharing to develop and update best practice guidelines to its member practices.56 Indeed, adherence to National Surgical Quality Improvement Program protocols has decreased the risk of surgical complications and increased patient satisfaction ratings.50–52 More specific to plastic surgery, the Tracking Operations and Outcomes for Plastic Surgeons and ASPS Qualified Clinical Data Registry help plastic surgeons identify areas for improvement and compare quality improvement efforts with their peers. All ASPS members are encouraged to participate in the Tracking Operations and Outcomes for Plastic Surgeons program, and AAAASF requires its member practices to engage in quality improvement programs.57,62

Within the effort to improve patient care, many plastic surgeons have turned to standardized risk stratification during patient evaluations. Postoperative scoring tools such as the LACE+ index and TIME-H objectively evaluate patient characteristics and comorbidities to stratify patients on their risk for developing complications. This information helps providers reallocate resources and attention to better monitor those deemed high risk and avoid excessive care to patients who may not require it.53,54

Postoperative Care

After surgery, minimizing complications should be a priority. Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) leads to multiple morbidities, including dehydration, electrolyte imbalances, aspiration, wound complications, and anorexia. Furthermore, PONV can delay discharge and is a leading cause of unanticipated hospital admission.4,57,65 PONV may be reduced by modifying anesthesia, using pharmacologic prophylaxis, and managing pain. Long-term monitoring of patients at high risk for postoperative complications should be performed.

At discharge, patients should be handed off to an adult who can understand and adhere to postoperative directives. The use of durable materials with basic illustrations is a valuable resource in assisting with this goal.67 Follow-up visits allow physicians to monitor for complications and manage wound care devices such as closed-suction drains.41,57 The timing of follow-up is important; appointments within a week of discharge can reduce readmission rates in inpatients.46 Clinic staff may help improve adherence by emphasizing the importance of follow-up appointments, providing resources that ameliorate socioeconomic barriers, and sending appointment reminders.67,70

EMERGENCY AND TRANSFER PROTOCOLS

Detailed protocols for handling medical and situational emergencies (eg, inclement weather and fire) should be available for reference at any time.26,32,44 Facility premises should be spacious and organized to enable lifesaving interventions and retrieval of equipment.124 A source of emergency power must be present and immediately available.24 At least one physician who is credentialed in the resuscitative techniques advanced trauma life support, advanced cardiovascular life support, or pediatric advanced life support must be present until the patient is ready for transfer. Medical personnel with direct patient contact should be trained in basic life support.17,18 For emergent anaphylaxis, epinephrine or alternative vasoactive drugs should be administered intravenously. Steroids and antihistamines may be used as adjuncts or for mild reactions, and glucagon should be available for rescue treatment for epinephrine nonresponders (eg, due to β-blocker use).71 Physicians should also have admitting privileges or maintain an emergency transfer agreement with a nearby hospital.4,13,14

Periodic inventory checks and simulations are recommended to keep members of the clinical team familiar and coordinated with their roles.4,32,56 These can be done via walk-throughs, role-playing, or practice on mannequins. Debrief sessions provide an opportunity to discuss strengths and areas of improvement to better prepare for the next drill or a real situation.86
PERIPROCEDURAL SAFETY PRINCIPLES

Periprocedural evaluations, including histories and physical examinations, are crucial for determining out-patient surgical eligibility and identifying and planning for potential complications.\textsuperscript{24,32,51,72} Information to elicit includes patient allergies, adverse drug reactions, medications and drug history, nutritional status, and comorbidities, such as obesity, cardiovascular disease, pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus, and obstructive sleep apnea.\textsuperscript{1,5,25,32,41,51,72,73} Preoperative laboratory testing is not recommended.\textsuperscript{72}

Obesity and Procedure Characteristics

Patient BMI must be considered when planning outpatient plastic surgery, as BMI is directly correlated with the risk of perioperative complications.\textsuperscript{51,74–81} The British National Health Service recommends patients undergoing body contouring surgery who have a BMI less than or equal to 28; however, no further clinical guidelines exist for setting BMI limits or contraindicating plastic surgery due to obesity.\textsuperscript{70,90,96} Instead, clinical judgment should account for the combination of procedures to be performed, procedure indication, and the overall health of the patient.\textsuperscript{80–82}

Procedure length is known to impact postoperative morbidity.\textsuperscript{51} Administration of anesthesia is critical for more than 1 hour and operations ending after 3 pm are significant, independent predictors of unanticipated admission following surgery.\textsuperscript{83} Although a procedure duration of less than 6 hours was accepted as a safe cutoff, those lasting more than 4 hours, as well as combined procedures (in particular with abdominoplasty), are significant risk factors for developing venous thromboembolism (VTE).\textsuperscript{51,75,82–85} Similarly, specific to liposuction, a lipoaspirate volume less than 5 L was considered safe.\textsuperscript{82} Two recent reviews found an increased risk of VTE in those with a lipoaspirate more than 3 L,\textsuperscript{83} and an increased risk of VTE and other complications in those with a lipoaspirate more than 3.5 L.\textsuperscript{86} Therefore, further postoperative monitoring can be considered for patients with a BMI more than 30 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, liposuction volume more than 3 L, operative time more than 4 hours, and those undergoing combined procedures.\textsuperscript{51,85} Postoperative monitoring must be supervised by a health care provider with documentation of a course of events.\textsuperscript{74,85} Finally, longer procedures should be scheduled earlier in the day.

Homeopathic Supplements

The increasing popularity of alternative and homeopathic medicine without regulation of product labeling poses potential health risks for surgical patients.\textsuperscript{41,97,24} Screening for these supplements should be part of the preprocedure evaluation.\textsuperscript{88} For homeopathic medications lacking pharmacokinetic data, ASA recommends they be discontinued 2–3 weeks preoperatively and not be resumed for another 1–2 weeks postoperatively.\textsuperscript{41,38,90} Ultimately, an empathetic surgeon can counsel on homeopathic therapies while maintaining cultural respect and patient compliance in preparation for surgery.\textsuperscript{90}

Anesthesia

Preoperative evaluations are necessary to maintain patient safety when administering sedatives, local, or general anesthesia.\textsuperscript{24,95} The chosen anesthetic technique should be appropriate for both the patient’s overall health and the procedure.\textsuperscript{86} The ASA Patient Selection Physical Status Classification System places patients into four categories of health and ability to tolerate anesthesia.\textsuperscript{14,32,51} Categories 1–3 are able to undergo OBS, whereas those in category 4 are not.\textsuperscript{26,41,51,72}

Conscious sedation, characterized by the patient’s ability to self-maintain spontaneous respirations and airway protection, and local anesthesia can be considered in the OBS setting, including for facial and breast surgery and body contouring.\textsuperscript{82–84} These techniques offer multiple advantages over general anesthesia, including shorter recovery, less PONV, improved cost effectiveness, and less equipment and personnel required for administration. Low-dose propofol is a good sedative in OBS because of its anxiolytic and amnestic properties and its manageable pharmacodynamics and side effects. Adjuvants, such as ketamine, fentanyl, and benzodiazepines, may also be used. Oral sedation offers some advantages over intravenous administration, such as relative vital sign stability, but it also lengthens drug onset and duration, which could complicate management.\textsuperscript{82}

Targeted techniques and nerve blocks can also be applied in certain operations. Infiltration of anesthesia between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis (TAP blocks) can reduce the need for postoperative analgesia in abdominal surgery.\textsuperscript{55,86} Pectoralis and intercostal nerve blocks are a strong first choice for local anesthesia in breast procedures, with serratus anterior plane and erector spinae plane blocks as reasonable alternatives or adjuvants.\textsuperscript{23,96–98}

Ropivacaine is commonly used as the local agent.\textsuperscript{96} Liposomal bupivacaine has a duration of action of approximately 72 hours and can, therefore, be effective in reducing postoperative pain.\textsuperscript{99} The aforementioned blocks are similar in efficacy and safety, so surgeons should use whichever block they are most comfortable with.\textsuperscript{96} Surgeons should also be aware of signs of anesthetic toxicity, including agitation, confusion, dizziness, drowsiness, tinnitus, perioral numbness, metallic taste, and dysarthria. Antidotes should be available for administration as necessary, including benzodiazepines in the event of seizures or epinephrine for cardiac arrest.\textsuperscript{100}

Patients considered for conscious sedation should be ASA 1 or 2 and emotionally stable to reduce the risk of intraoperative agitation. Given the nature of conscious sedation and local anesthesia, it is the surgeon’s responsibility to be aware of the patient’s comfort level and be in communication with the anesthesiologist.\textsuperscript{52}

Antibiotic Prophylaxis

Surgical site infections are a risk ubiquitous to all settings. The Surgical Care Improvement Project recommends IV antibiotic prophylaxis between 30 and 59 minutes of incision (2 hours for vancomycin and fluoroquinolones).\textsuperscript{101–103} Preoperative antibiotics should be tailored to the patient;
Antibiotic administration and discontinuation time, and incision time, should be documented. 

While no guidelines exist for antibiotic prophylaxis based on procedural characteristics in plastic surgery, surgeons can refer to the standards recommended by other surgical specialties in combination with their own judgment.

Venous Thromboembolism

Plastic surgeons must assess for VTE risk by recording predisposing conditions and lifestyle factors (Table 2). The Caprini Score uses this information to stratify patients into low-, moderate-, and high-risk categories, allowing systematic administration of VTE prophylaxis based on risk profile. The American Association of Plastic Surgeons released recommendations in 2015 regarding deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism (DVT/PE) prevention in plastic surgery (Table 3). However, there remains no all-encompassing recommendation regarding VTE chemoprophylaxis based on Caprini stratification, and surgeons should use clinical judgment when evaluating patients for VTE management. An exception may be noted for abdominoplasty, which is associated with a higher risk of developing VTE; studies have shown benefit in using VTE chemoprophylaxis in abdominoplasty patients.

Hypothermia

Hypothermia is an intraoperative event associated with increased risks of surgical site infections, myocardial events, and blood loss due to disruption of the coagulation cascade; a 1 °C decrease in core body temperature increases blood loss by as much as 20%, in turn increasing the likelihood of a transfusion. Hypothermia can also potentiate the effects of anesthesia and prolong the duration of postoperative recovery and the hospital stay. Therefore, measures to prevent hypothermia should be available, including but not limited to, ambient temperature optimization, forced air warming blankets (bair huggers), warmed intravenous fluids, and blood products. Strict monitoring of patients’ vitals and temperature is necessary in all practices.

Malignant Hyperthermia

Malignant hyperthermia (MH) is a life-threatening, anesthetic emergency that must be investigated during the preprocedure evaluation. A query of personal and family history of adverse anesthesia reactions, such as intraoperative trismus, unexplained fevers, or deaths during anesthesia, should be performed. If a patient is deemed susceptible to hyperthermia or has history of muscular pathology, he/she may still undergo outpatient surgery with proper precautions. This includes obtaining a baseline serum creatine kinase, potassium, and myoglobin level. Nontriggering anesthetics such as propofol and vecuronium should be used for all susceptible patients, while volatile anesthetics and succinylcholine must be avoided. Early recognition of MH is crucial, with common indicators being end-tidal hypercarbia, sinus tachycardia, and masseter spasm. In the event of a hyperthermic crisis, dantrolene and active cooling methods, such as ice packs and cold IV fluids, should be ready until the patient can be transferred to a hospital. Failure to monitor temperature is associated with mortality in MH, further highlighting the importance of monitoring vitals during and up to 2.5 hours after surgery.

Multimodal Analgesia (MMA)

The use of local anesthesia and adjunctive MMA can provide many benefits pertaining to operative and postoperative anesthesia. Improved comfort and PONV management reduce unanticipated postoperative admissions and promote recovery with increased patient satisfaction. Importantly, use of local anesthesia and MMA could reduce the need for opioids and, thus, reduce the risk of new persistent opioid use. Appropriate supplementation with NSAIDs, acetaminophen, gabapentinoids, and steroids is, therefore, recommended.

CONCLUSIONS

As physicians, patient safety is the foremost priority. In an ever-evolving landscape that favors decentralization, this means the institution, adherence, and continual improvement of culture and protocols to secure high-level patient care. For the plastic surgeon, it also

Table 2. Common Risk Factors for Venous Thromboembolism

| Common Risk Factors for Venous Thromboembolism |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Personal or family history of clotting disorders (eg, factor V Leiden) |
| History of more than three pregnancies |
| Current pregnancy |
| Contraception use |
| Venous insufficiency |
| Chronic heart failure |
| Infectious disease |
| Recent muscular trauma |
| Confinement to a bed and/or armchair |
| Long-distance travel |
| Use of general anesthesia during surgery |
| Standing >6 hours per day |
| Performance of combined procedures |
| Performance of abdominoplasty |

Table 3. American Association of Plastic Surgeons 2015 Recommendations for DVT/PE Prevention in Plastic Surgery

1. Use nongeneral anesthesia when appropriate.
2. All patients should have intermittent pneumatic compression.
3. All patients should have preoperative Caprini risk stratification performed.
4. Chemoprophylaxis for Caprini scores >8 should be considered on an individualized basis.
means assessing whether the patient is suitable for outpatient surgery and knowing and preparing for adverse events that may occur in the facility or after discharge. This article represents a starting point for the outpatient plastic surgeon to reference with the goal of promoting consistent understanding and awareness for patient safety. Indeed, a conscientious physician who exercises prudent clinical judgment goes a long way in ensuring patient safety. (See table, Supplemental Digital Content 2, which displays the main takeaways of each topic section discussed in this article, http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/C237.)

Jeffrey E. Janis, MD, FACS
Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery
Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center
915 Olentangy River Road, Suite 2140
Columbus, OH 43212
E-mail: jeffrey.janis@osumc.edu

REFERENCES
1. American Society of Plastics Surgeons. 2020 Plastic Surgery Statistics Report. Am Soc Plast Surg. 1–23. 2020. Available at http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Plastic Surgery+Statistics#report1#1. Accessed October 5, 2021.
2. American Society of Plastic Surgeons. 2008 Report of the 2007 National Clearinghouse of Plastic Surgery Statistics. Available at https://www.plasticsurgery.org/documents/News/Statistics/2008/plastic-surgery-statistics-full-report-2008.pdf. 2008. Accessed October 5, 2021.
3. International Society of Aesthetic Plastic Surgery. Aesthetic/Cosmetic Procedures Global Survey. 1–60. 2020. Available at www.isaps.org. Accessed October 5, 2021.
4. Urman RD, Punwani N, Shapiro FE. Office-based surgical and medical procedures: educational gaps. Ochsner J. 2012;12:383–388.
5. Schmalbach CE. Patient safety and anesthesia considerations for office-based otolaryngology procedures. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2019;52:379–390.
6. DeFrancisco MS. Patient safety in outpatient procedures. Otol Gynecol Clin North Am. 2019;46:379–397.
7. Kurrek MM, Twersky RS. Office-based anesthesia: how to start an office-based practice. Anesthesiol Clin. 2010;28:353–367.
8. O’Donnell J. States lax in regulating cosmetic surgery. ABC News. Published December 28, 2011. Available at https://abcnews.go.com/Business/states-lax-regulating-cosmetic-surgery/story?id=15243751. Accessed October 12, 2022.
9. Conor Murphy YS. Medical malpractice and asset protection part 7: real risks in today’s headlines. Published 2018. Available at https://www.physicianspractice.com/view/medical-malpractice-and-asset-protection-part-7-real-risks-in-today-s-headlines. Accessed October 5, 2021.
10. Vila H Jr, Soto R, Cantor AB, et al. Comparative outcomes analysis of procedures performed in physician offices and ambulatory surgery centers. Arch Surg 2009;138:991–995.
11. Gupta V, Parikh R, Nguyen L, et al. Is office-based surgery safe? Comparing outcomes of 183,914 aesthetic surgical procedures across different types of accredited facilities. Aesthet Surg J. 2017;37:296–299.
12. Ohlsfeldt RL, Li P, Schneider JE, et al. Outcomes of surgeries performed in physician offices compared with ambulatory surgery centers and hospital outpatient departments in Florida. Health Serv Insights. 2017;10:1178632917710125.
13. Berglas NF, Battistelli MF, Nicholson WK, et al. The effect of facility characteristics on patient safety, patient experience, and service availability for procedures in non-hospital-affiliated outpatient settings: a systematic review. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2018;6:e199975.
14. ACS National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. Available at https://www.facs.org/education/patient-education/patient-safety/office-based-surgery. Accessed October 10, 2021.
15. Patient Safety. The Aesthetic Society. Available at https://www.surgery.org/professionals/patient-safety. Accessed October 10, 2021.
16. Singer R, Keyes GR, Nahai F. American Association for Accreditation of Ambulatory Surgical Facilities (AAAAASF) history: its role in plastic surgery safety. Aesthet Surg J Open Forum. 2019;1:oje008.
17. Urman RD, Philip BK. Accreditation of ambulatory facilities. Anesthesiol Clin. 2014;32:551–557.
18. Levy BS, Ness DL, Weinberger SE. Consensus guidelines for facilities performing outpatient procedures: evidence over ideology. Obstet Gynecol. 2019;133:255–260.
19. McGuire MF. International accreditation of ambulatory surgical centers and medical tourism. Clin Plast Surg. 2013;40:495–498.
20. Peary J, Terranova T. Mandate for accreditation in plastic surgery ambulatory/outpatient clinics. Clin Plast Surg. 2013;40:489–492.
21. Aspden P, Corrigan JM, Wolcott J, et al. Patient Safety: Achieving a New Standard for Care Editors. Committee on Data Standards for Patient Safety. 2004:550. Available at http://www.nap.edu/catalog/10863.html.
22. Diagnostic safety and quality. Published 2021. Available at http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/quality-patient-safety/diagnostic-safety/index.html. Accessed October 12, 2021.
23. Bogan V. Anesthesia and safety considerations for office-based cosmetic surgery practice. AANA J. 2012;80:223.
24. Brownstein GM, Baker PA. Outpatient facility standards: what is necessary for satisfactory outcomes? Clin Plast Surg. 2013;40:363–370.
25. Horton JB, Reece EM, Broughton G II, et al. Patient safety in the office-based setting. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2006;117:61e–80e.
26. Ervon S, Ezri T. Organizational prerequisites for anesthesia outside the operating room. Curr Opin Anesthesiol. 2009;22:514–518.
27. Gupta R, Pyati S. Controversies in office-based anesthesia: obstructive sleep apnea considerations. Minerva Anestesiol. 2018;84:1102–1107.
28. Long EA, Gabrick K, Janis JE, et al. Board certification in cosmetic surgery: an evaluation of training backgrounds and scope of practice. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2020;146:1017–1023.
29. Gabrick K, Mahkoul AT, Riccelli V, et al. Board certification in cosmetic surgery: an analysis of punitive actions. Plast Reconstr Surg 2022;150:713–717.
30. Chen S, Mahkoul AT, Janis JE, et al. Board certification in cosmetic surgery: an examination of online advertising practices. Ann Plast Surg. 2022;88(suppl 5):S461–S465.
31. Hausman LM, Levine AI, Rosenblatt MA. A survey evaluating the training of anesthesiology residents in office-based anesthesia. J Clin Anesth. 2006;18:499–505.
32. Shah PD. Patient safety and quality for office-based procedures in otolaryngology. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2019;52:89–102.
33. Barton N, Janis JE. Missing the mark: the state of health care literacy in plastic surgery. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2020;8:e2856.
34. Morton R. Informed consent: substance and signature. Available at https://www.thedoctors.com/articles/informed-consent-substance-and-signature. Accessed November 1, 2021.
35. Tiourin E, Barton N, Janis JE. Health literacy in plastic surgery: a scoping review. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2022;10:e4247.
36. American Society of Plastics Surgeons. Breast implant check-list requirement: what you need to know. Available at https://
Zhang et al. • Outpatient Plastic Surgery Safety

www.plasticsurgery.org/for-medical-professionals/publications/psn-extra/news/breast-implant-checklist-requirement-what-you-need-to-know. 2021. Accessed January 3, 2022.

37. Food and Drug Administration. Breast implants. https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/implants-and-prosthetics/breast-implants. 2021. Accessed January 3, 2022.

38. Breast implant patient decision checklist example. The American Society of Plastic Surgeons. Published 2021. Available at https://www.plasticsurgery.org/documents/Patient-Safety/Breast-Implant-Patient-Decision-Checklist-Example.pdf. Accessed January 11, 2022.

39. Breast implants—certain labeling recommendations to improve patient communication. Guidance for industry and food and drug administration staff. Food and Drug Administration. 2020;1–22. Available at https://www.fda.gov/media/131885/download. Accessed January 11, 2022.

40. Rosenbaum NM, Urman RD, Gallagher S, et al. Effect of an office-based surgical safety system on patient outcomes. *Epilepsia*. 2012;12:e39.

41. Harrison B, Khansa I, Janis JE. Evidence-based strategies to reduce postoperative complications in plastic surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2016;137:351–360.

42. Newkirk JD. Preventing surgical mishaps: using surgical checklists. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2013;40:475–487.

43. Schroeder SD. Surgical safety checklist. *S D Med*. 2009;62:209.

44. Ahmad S. Office-based—is my anesthetic care any different? Assessment and management. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2010;28:369–384.

45. Roy S, Smith LP. Preventing and managing operating room fires in otolaryngology-head and neck surgery. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am*. 2019;52:163–171.

46. Bansal VK, Dobie HK, Brock EJ. Emergency response in the ambulatory surgery center. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2019;37:239–250.

47. Caplan RA, Barker SJ, Connis RT, et al. Practice advisory for the prevention and management of operating room fires. *Anesthesiology*. 2008;108:786–801.

48. Luba K, Apfelbaum JL, Cutter TW. Airway management in the outpatient setting. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2013;40:405–417.

49. Rajagopalan S, Mascha E, Na J, et al. The effects of mild perioperative hypothermia on blood loss and transfusion requirement. *Anesthesiology*. 2008;108:71–77.

50. Sessler DI. Perioperative thermoregulation and heat balance. *Lancet*. 2016;387:2655–2664.

51. Haeck PC, Swanson JA, Schechter LS, et al; ASPS Patient Safety Committee. Evidence-based patient safety advisory: blood dyscrasias. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2009;124(4 suppl):S85–S95.

52. Tiurin E, Barton N, Janis JE. Methods for minimizing bleeding in facelift surgery: an evidence-based review. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2021;9:e3765.

53. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guideline for disinfection and sterilization in healthcare facilities, 2008; miscellaneous inactivating agents. *CDC website*. 2013;9–13. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection_Sterilization/10_0MiscAgents.html. Accessed December 10, 2021.

54. Waljee JF, Malay S, Chung KC. Sharps injuries: the risks and relevance to plastic surgeons. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2013;131:784–791.

55. Rizk C, Monroe H, Orenigo I, et al. Needlestick and sharps injuries in dermatologic surgery: a review of preventative techniques and post-exposure protocols. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol*. 2016;9:41–49.

56. Gardner R. Office patient safety. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am*. 2019;46:339–351.

57. Soltani AM, Keyes GR, Singer R, et al. Outpatient surgery and sequelae: an analysis of the AAAASF internet-based quality assurance and peer review database. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2013;40:465–473.

58. ACS National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. Published 2004. Available at https://www.facs.org/quality-programs/acsnqsip. Accessed December 10, 2021.

59. Castaldi M, George G, Turner P, et al. NSQIP impacts patient experience. *J Patient Exp*. 2020;7:89–95.

60. Wellin SE, Katz CB, Goldberg MJ, et al. NSQIP versus institutional morbidity and mortality conference: complementary complication reporting in pediatric spine fusion. *Spine Deform*. 2021;9:113–118.

61. Keyes GR, Nahai F, Iverson RE, et al. Evidence-based medicine and data sharing in outpatient plastic surgery. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2013;40:453–463.

62. American Society of Plastic Surgeons. Plastic Surgery Registries Network. Published 2022. Available at https://www.plasticsurgery.org/for-medical-professionals/registries. Accessed March 15, 2022.

63. Winter E, Glauser G, Caplan IF, et al. The LACE+ index as a predictor of 30-day patient outcomes in a plastic surgery population: a coarsened exact match study. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2020;146:2966–3056.

64. Guarro G, Cozzani F, Rossini M, et al. Wounds morphologic assessment: application and reproducibility of a virtual measuring system, pilot study. *Acta Biomimed*. 2021;9:e2021227.

65. Keyes M. Management of postoperative nausea and vomiting in ambulatory surgery: the big little problem. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2013;40:447–452.

66. Krasnyaydseva A, Dalbeth N, Petrie KJ. The effect of different styles of medical illustration on information comprehension, the perception of educational material and illness beliefs. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2020;103:556–562.

67. Khansa I, Khansa L, Meyerson J, et al. Optimal use of surgical drains: evidence-based strategies. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2018;141:1542–1549.

68. Coppa K, Kim EJ, Oppenheim MI, et al. Examination of post-discharge follow-up appointment status and 30-day readmission. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2021;36:1214–1221.

69. Gurrol-Urganci I, Vodopivec-Jamsek V, Atun R, et al. Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;3:CD009748.

70. Aaland MO, Marose K, Zhu TH. The lost to trauma patient follow-up: a system or patient problem. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2012;73:1507–1511.

71. Laguna JJ, Archilla J, Doita I, et al. Practical guidelines for perioperative hypersensitivity reactions. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol*. 2018;28:216–232.

72. Kataria T, Cutter TW, Apfelbaum JL. Patient selection in outpatient surgery. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2013;40:371–382.

73. Janis JE, Teotia SS, Bowen JB, et al. Safety considerations in aesthetic surgery. *Essentials of Aesthetic Surgery*. New York, NY: Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc.; 2018:119–142.

74. Haeck PC, Swanson JA, Gutowski KA, et al. Evidence-based patient safety advisory: liposuction. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2009;124(4 suppl):288–485.

75. Kaoutzianis C, Gupta V, Winocour J, et al. Cosmetic liposuction: preoperative risk factors, major complication rates, and safety of combined procedures. *Aesthet Surg J*. 2017;37:690–694.

76. Winocour J, Gupta V, Ramirez JR, et al. Abdominoplasty: risk factors, complication rates, and safety of combined procedures. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2015;136:597e–606e.

77. van der Beck ES, van der Molen AM, van Ramshorst B. Complications after body contouring surgery in post-bariatric patients: the importance of a stable weight close to normal. *Obes Facts*. 2011;4:61–66.

78. Mioton LM, Buck DW II, Rambachan A, et al. Predictors of readmission after outpatient plastic surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2014;133:173–180.
Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia and Ambulatory Surgical Care Committee of the American Society of Anesthesiologists position statement. *Anesth Analg*. 2019;129:347–349.

122. Litman RS, Joshi GP. Malignant hyperthermia in the ambulatory surgery center: how should we prepare? *Anesthesiology*. 2014;120:1306–1308.

123. Barker JC, Joshi GP, Janis JE. Basics and best practices of multimodal pain management for the plastic surgeon. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2020;8:e2833.

124. Chu JJ, Janis JE, Skoracki R, et al. Opioid overprescribing and procedure-specific opioid consumption patterns for plastic and reconstructive surgery patients. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2021;147:669e–679e.

125. Brummett CM, Waljee JF, Goesling J, et al. New persistent opioid use after minor and major surgical procedures in US adults. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:e170504.

126. Bohnert AS, Valenstein M, Bair MJ, et al. Association between opioid prescribing patterns and opioid overdose-related deaths. *JAMA*. 2011;305:1515–1521.