Numerical Investigation of a Solar PV/T Air Collector Under the Climatic Conditions of Zarqa, Jordan
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Abstract. The use of hybrid photovoltaic/thermal (PV/T) and low concentrating photovoltaic/thermal (LCPV/T) systems can significantly enhance the overall solar energy conversion efficiency by delivering electricity and thermal energy. This paper presents a case study using a standing PV system’s theoretical and modeling approach that can be modified to adapt to the hybrid technology. Firstly, a single-pass conventional PV/T air-cooled collector is investigated based on heat transfer and electrical models under the climatic conditions of Zarqa, Jordan. The performance parameters are evaluated using thermal and electrical properties of the considered PV installation and measured meteorological data. Results show that the total energy produced varies between a maximum of 134.6 kWh/m$^2$ in July and a minimum of 81.7 kWh/m$^2$ in January. The annual average hourly variation of overall energy efficiency ranges between 78.2% and 88.4%. Moreover, the dissipated thermal energy can meet 63.6% of the total energy required to ventilate the Hashemite University Presidency Building during the winter months. Finally, the performance of the modeled PV/T system air system coupled with flat boosters to provide a low irradiation concentration ratio (CR) is explored. The maximum electric output of the resulting LCPV/T system is compared with the uncooled system. It is found that the percentage improvement due to air cooling ranges between 0.72% at CR=1 and 2.77% at CR=2.5.
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1. Introduction

Jordan has limited conventional energy resources, and imports of energy form oil products and natural gas account for 94% of the primary energy supply in 2018. The cost of consumed energy represented 10% of GDP in 2018 (Energy 2019). Jordan’s energy strategy is centered mainly on expanding the use of natural gas, oil shale, and renewable energy RE, particularly in the electricity production sector. The strategy sets an ambitious target to increase the contribution of RE to 10% in the energy mix (Abu-Rumman et al. 2020). To reach this goal, the Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Law (REEEL) No.13 was adopted in 2012 as a legislative and structural umbrella for the advancement of RE in Jordan (Energy law No. 13 2012). The law permits Independent Power Producers (IPP) to supply RE-generated power to the National Electric Power Company (NEPCO) according to 20 years Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) (Nijmeh et al. 2020). The strategy and subsequent law are bearing fruits, with the contribution of renewable energy to the total electricity generated in 2019 reached 14.9%, of which about 10.4% by solar energy (Annual Report 2019). The total installed RE power is estimated to reach 2400 MWp by 2021, and that will represent 20% of the overall electricity production. The largest and latest solar PV plant in Jordan is the Masdar Company project, with a 200 MWp capacity (Baynouna 2020). Jordan has huge potential for solar energy utilization as it lies within the solar belt of the world with daily average solar irradiance between 4-8 kWh/m$^2$, which adds up to a total of 1400-2300 kWh/m$^2$ annually (Alrwashdeh et al. 2018).

Solar systems can be categorized into two technology groups: thermal and photovoltaic. The hybrid photovoltaic/thermal (PV/T) collector system combines the two technologies in one unit and can produce electricity and thermal energy simultaneously (Mustapha et al. 2018). The heat output can be utilized in different applications, such as drying, solar cooling, desalination, building, and water heating (Rukman et al. 2019). This will result in higher overall efficiency and a reduction in equipment cost and size compared to using separate systems. Another important benefit of the hybrid PV/T system is removing waste heat from the PV module by the cooling medium. This leads to a reduction in the temperature of the PV cell and an enhancement in electrical efficiency. The rising temperature of the PV module causes a reduction in power output which is determined by the temperature coefficient. A simulation study carried out in Amman, Jordan, at various working temperatures shows that the power
output of five PV panels decreased between 0.25% and 0.30% for every 1°C rise (Alrwashdeh 2018).

Another undesirable result of high temperatures is faster power degradation. The elevated temperatures and other external effects cause intrinsic property changes in PV materials and defects in interconnections and solder bonds, which lead to accelerated degradation rates and shorter lifespan (Okorieimoh et al. 2019). For example, research in India calculated that the average power decline of 90 PV m-Si modules over 22 years is 1.9%/year (Rajput et al. 2016). Visual inspection and thermal imaging reveal several defects in cell and string inter-connection ribbon, back-sheet chalking, and other problems.

1.1. Photovoltaic thermal PV/T systems

The PV/T systems can be classified into different types based on the cooling medium: air-based PV/T systems, liquid-based PV/T systems such as water or nanofluids, and a combination of both air and liquid-based system (Fudholi & Sopian 2018). Liquid-based PV/T collectors have higher electrical and thermal efficiencies due to the superior thermal conductivity of the heat transfer medium. However, they are more complex and expensive and can have freezing problems in cold weather. Many studies have been conducted on different types of liquid-based systems over the past few years. For example, experiments were conducted on a PV/T system in Zarqa, Jordan, operating under active water-backside cooling (Eteir et al. 2021). Tests show an increase in electrical efficiency of 6.9%. Researchers carried out an experimental investigation of cooling PV systems using water and two different types of nanofluids under the climate conditions of Jerash, Jordan (Ehaid et al. 2018). The average increase in electrical output for TiO2 nanofluid and water cooling compared to no cooling is 6.05% and 3.75%, respectively.

On the other hand, PV/T air systems are less efficient than liquid-based ones due to the low thermal conductivity of air. However, they are less complex, more cost-effective, and require little maintenance. The classical type is the conventional or back-pass air-based system shown in Fig. 1.

Over the past decade, many attempts have been made to enhance the performance of the PV/T air system by implementing various designs and modifications. For instance, Slimenai et al. presented a comparative numerical study between PV modules and three different PV/T air collectors under the climatic conditions of Algiers. It is found that the glazed double-pass collector achieved the best results with a daily average overall energy efficiency of 74% (Slimenai et al. 2017). Experimental work was conducted in Busan, Korea on a novel PV/T single-pass double-flow air channel collector with a non-uniform cross-section transverse rib attached to the back of the PV module (Choi et al. 2020). The maximum electrical and overall thermal efficiencies attained were 14.81% and 71.54%, respectively, at mass the flow rate of 0.07698 kg/s. Mojunder et al proposed and tested a single pass PV/T air collector integrated with a thin flat metallic sheet and fins. Results show that the maximum electrical and thermal efficiencies achieved were 14.03% and 56.19%, respectively, for the case of four fins, 0.14 kg/s mass flow rate, and 700 W/m2 solar radiation (Mojunder et al. 2016). Pauly et al proposed and numerically studied a novel PV/T air collector with a variable cross-sectional duct to reduce the temperature gradient over the panel surface.

1.2. Applications of PV/T air collector systems

The thermal output of the PV/T air system can be directly used for drying crops, greenhouse heating, building heating, etc. For example, a numerical study was conducted on the performance of a single-pass PV/T air collector used to preheat the supply air of a tertiary building in Fez, Morocco. The main results show an increase in electrical efficiency by 2% and an annual saving of 38.3% in the heating requirement of the building (Hachchadi et al. 2018). Tiwari et al presented thermal modeling of PV/T air collector integrated greenhouse drying system. Simulation results obtained for electrical and thermal efficiencies are 11.26% and 26.68%, respectively, at an air mass flow rate of 0.01 kg/s (Tiwari et al. 2018). Another experimental and parametric study on applications of PV/T air systems was conducted in Tunis (Fterich et al. 2018). The prototype system was utilized to dry tomatoes. Results show enhancement of electric efficiency, improved drying crop quality, and decrease in drying time compared to sun drying. This hybrid PV/T air system may be well-suited for rural areas in Jordan, where farmers can exploit the hot air to preserve agricultural products such as grapes, apricots, tomatoes, etc., by drying. The electrical energy output can be utilized for lighting, irrigation, water pumping, and refrigerated storage.

1.3. Concentrating photovoltaic thermal CPV/T systems

As mentioned earlier, the conventional PV/T collector combines the technologies of PV and solar thermal systems. However, it delivers low-temperature heat which...
has limited applications and relatively small electrical efficiency. The concentrating photovoltaic thermal CPV/T system employs optical parts to enhance solar irradiation and generate significantly higher electrical and thermal outputs than the conventional PV/T system. The medium-grade heat produced can be utilized in various domestic and industrial solar energy applications. The CPV/T systems have an excellent potential to compete with conventional power generation systems in the future (Daneshzarian et al. 2018).

The CPV/T systems can be classified into three categories: Low concentration ratio CR (<10 suns) systems (known as LCPV/T collectors), medium CR (10 – 100 suns) systems (MCPV/T collectors), and high or ultra-high CR (>100 suns) systems (HCPV/T collectors) (Shanks et al. 2016). Simple optical elements such as compound parabolic concentrators (CPC), V-trough concentrators, Fresnel reflectors, and flat planar reflectors or boosters can achieve a low concentration ratio. For low CR, static 2–D plane reflectors are more cost-effective than any other type of optical elements, such as lenses to be applied with fixed PV panels. Ju et al conducted a comprehensive review of LCPV/T systems in the literature. The main outcomes are flat-plate reflectors mounted on one or two sides of PV panel, V-trough solar concentrators and CPC optical elements to achieve CR less than 4 (Ju et al. 2017). These systems are best installed as fixed; tracking can add an unjustified increase in cost and complexity. Passive cooling is a fine choice for the flat-planar LCPV/T systems, although active cooling is more frequently employed. Finally, all commercially available silicon cells are commonly used in LCPV/T systems.

Over the last decade, several studies have been conducted on LCPV/T and LCPV (without heat recovery capabilities) systems using different optical elements to assess their potential. For example, experiments were carried out on stationery and tracking PV panels with different reflectors (Rizk & Nagrial 2008). The tests incorporated aluminum, stainless steel and, chrome film reflectors. It was found that an increase of 40% in power output was obtained using this concentration method. Al-Najideen et al tested a novel double V-trough solar concentrator (DVSC) with CR greater than three suns. It is found that the PV power increased five folds at a reflector tilt angle of 71° (Al-Najideen et al. 2019). Another experimental investigation was recently carried out in India on a mirror integrated PV system (MIPVS). It is concluded that the MIPVS system produces a 30.3% enhancement in yearly energy output compared to the conventional PV system (Ondugat et al. 2020). Amanlou et al investigated theoretically using CFD, an air-cooled LCPV/T system with a new design concave-side walls diffuser. An improvement in electrical, thermal, and overall efficiencies was obtained by 36%, 42.2% and 40.5%, respectively (Amanlou et al. 2018).

Many research papers are presented in the literature to investigate the utilization of LCPV/T air and water systems in various applications. For instance, experimental work was performed on a parabolic trough concentrator LCPV/T collector with CR=5.5 for drying honeysuckle flowers. Drying temperature varied between 65 - 80 °C, and the overall efficiency ranged between 50 - 57% (Geng et al. 2013). Mansy et al presented a simulation study of water-cooled LCPV/T collector with CR=2 to supply electricity and hot water to a small campus at Mansoura University, Egypt. The results indicate that the electrical efficiency increased significantly, and an annual average cogeneration efficiency of 60.5% was achieved (Mansy et al. 2020). Another recent research work on LCPV/T system utilization in buildings was conducted in Chuncheon, South Korea (Hussain & Kim 2019). The water-glycol cooled hybrid system which employs 8 Fresnel lenses reduced building heating costs significantly in cold winter months (34% in December). Comprehensive reviews of air and water based CPV/T systems are presented in several works (Bandaru et al. 2021; Daneshzarian et al. 2018; Ju et al. 2017). The reviews cover detailed descriptions of various design configurations, performance evaluations, and heat recovery applications. The findings are very encouraging and conclude that CPV/T collectors have the capability to be economically viable in the near future.

The medium and high concentration photovoltaic thermal (MCPV/T and HCPV/T) systems are beyond the scope of this work. They are more sophisticated and expensive than LCPV/T systems. An effective heat rejection means it is necessary to avoid heat damage, boost efficiency and lifespan. Examples of HCPV/T system thermal energy applications include solar absorption cooling (Alobaied et al. 2017) and Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) for hydrogen production (Hosseini & Butler 2021).

In this work, a conventional PV/T collector system with an air-channel installed at the backside of the PV panel to remove waste heat is adopted and modeled via MATLAB. This type is chosen due to its simplicity and non-invasive nature that could be applied to existing systems at the Hashemite University. Heat transfer and electrical models required to evaluate the system’s performance are presented. The meteorological data, including solar irradiation and ambient temperature, are obtained from experimental measurements. The exploitation of dissipation heat to fulfill the ventilation load requirement of the Hashemite University Presidency Building in winter is investigated. The electrical and thermal outputs can be further enhanced by integrating the PV/T collector with optical parts to concentrate the solar irradiation. In our case, we are interested in stationary air-cooled LCPV/T systems with optical elements capable of achieving concentration ratios of less than 3 for their simplicity and low cost. The optical elements such as planar reflectors can be easily manufactured locally without needing advanced technology. The temperature rise is usually not large so, the conventional passive or active air-cooling technique is very satisfactory. This simple air heat dissipation system requires little or no maintenance. Tracking is not required, which lowers the initial and maintenance costs significantly. Moreover, all types of commercially available silicon cells are typically used in LCPV/T systems. This work studies the suitability of passive air cooling and improved electrical output at different concentration ratios.

### 2. Methodology

This paper presents a case study using a comprehensive theoretical and modeling approach of an existing PV system that can be modified to adapt the PV/T and LCPV/T technology. The model evaluates the cell temperature, the possibility of passive air cooling, and enhancement in generated electrical output at different concentration ratios. No data have been reported in the literature regarding these significant outcomes.
2.1. Photovoltaic/thermal air-cooled model

A cross-sectional view of the adopted PVT air system model and its corresponding thermal resistance network are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The design parameters and thermophysical properties of the modeled PVT air collector system are presented in Table 1. The modeled PV system is mounted on the rooftop of the Presidency Building at the Hashemite University in Zarqa, Jordan (32.1° N, 36.2° E). It is a fixed installation with a tilt angle of 26° and an azimuth angle of zero. The system consists of 28 Poly-Crystalline cell-type modules with a nominal capacity of 285 W (STP285-24/Vd, SunTech), wired in two strings. The total capacity of the system is 7.98 kWp (DC).

2.2. Thermal analysis

The energy balance equations for the various sections of our considered PVT system are as follows: (Sarhaddi et al. 2010; Pauly et al. 2016; Tiwari & Sudha 2007; Abdullah et al. 2019). For the PV module, the amount of solar energy absorbed after optical losses is the summation of total heat loss from the top surface of the cell to the ambient, the heat loss from the cell to the back surface of Tedlar and the electrical power delivered.

This can be translated by eq (1):

$$\tau \left( \alpha \beta_c + \alpha \beta (1 - \beta_c) \right) \frac{G b d x}{\beta_c} = \left[ U_r (T_{cell} - T_{amb}) + U_T (T_{cell} - T_{bs}) \right] b d x + \eta_G \tau \beta_c G b d x$$

(1)

Where $T_{amb}$, $T_{cell}$, $T_{bs}$, $G$, $b$, $dx$, $\alpha_c$, $\alpha$, $\beta_c$, $\tau$, and $\eta_G$ are the ambient air temperature, solar cell temperature, back surface temperature of Tedlar, incident solar irradiation, width of air collector, elemental length duct, absorptivity of solar cell, absorptivity of Tedlar, packing factor of solar cell, transmittance of glass, and PV cell electrical efficiency, respectively. Moreover, $U_T$ is the convective heat transfer coefficient from solar cell to passing air through Tedlar.

Energy balance for the back surface of Tedlar, is given by Eq (2):

$$U_T (T_{cell} - T_{bs}) b d x = h_f (T_{bs} - T_{air}) b d x$$

(2)

Where $T_{air}$ and $h_f$ are the air temperature in channel and the convective heat transfer coefficient, respectively. Finally, the energy balance for passing fluid through the channel is follows in Eq (3):

$$\dot{m}_a C_p \left( \frac{dT_{air}}{dx} \right) d x + U_h (T_{air} - T_{amb}) b d x = h_f (T_{bs} - T_{air}) b d x$$

(3)

Where $\dot{m}_a$ and $C_p$ are the mass flow rate and specific heat capacity of air. Also, $U_h$ is the bottom heat transfer coefficient from air stream to the ambient. The mass flow rate $\dot{m}_a$ is given by Eq (4):

$$\dot{m}_a = \rho_a V_{in} A_d$$

(4)

Where, $\rho_a$, $V_{in}$, and $A_d$ are the air density, inlet velocity, and cross-sectional area of channel, respectively. The mass flow rate is 0.147 kg/s at inlet velocity of 3 m/s which is equal to the average annual wind velocity for the location.

The heat balance equations can be solved to derive expressions for the key thermal parameters of the

| PV module type | Polycrystalline Silicon, SUNTECH (STP285-24/Vd) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Dimensions (mm) | 1956 x 992 x 50 |
| Duct length (m) | 1.95 |
| Duct breadth (m) | 0.99 |
| Duct cross sectional area (m²) | 0.04455 |
| Thickness of silicon solar cell (m) | 0.0003 |
| Conductivity of silicon solar cell (W/m.K) | 0.036 |
| Thickness of Tedlar (m) | 0.0005 |
| Conductivity of Tedlar (W/m.K) | 0.033 |
| Thickness of solar glass cover (m) | 0.004 |
| Conductivity glass cover (W/m.K) | 1 |
| Thickness of back insulation (m) | 0.05 |
| Conductivity of back insulation (W/m.K) | 0.035 |
| Transmissivity of glass cover | 0.95 |
| Absorptivity of solar cell | 0.85 |
| Packing factor of solar cell | 0.745 |
| Absorptivity of Tedlar | 0.5 |
| Convective heat transfer coefficient in the air duct (W/m².K) | 30 |
| The product of effective absorptivity and transmissivity | 0.619 |
proposed PV/T air collector. The outlet air temperature $T_{air, out}$, average air temperature $T_{air}$, back surface temperature $T_{bs}$, and solar cell temperature $T_{cell}$ are given by (Das et al. 2018; Slimani et al. 2017; Abdullah et al. 2019; Sarhadi et al. 2010):

$$T_{air, out} = \left[ \frac{P_{air}(\tau g)_{eff}G}{U_L} + T_{air, m} \right] \left( 1 - e^{(-bL/m_a c_a)u} \right) + T_{air, m} e^{(-bL/m_a c_a)u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$T_{air} = \left[ \frac{P_{air}(\tau g)_{eff}G}{U_L} + T_{air, m} \right] \left( 1 - e^{(-bL/m_a c_a)u} \right) + T_{air, m} e^{(-bL/m_a c_a)u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$T_{bs} = \frac{P_{bs}(\tau g)_{eff}G + U_{bs}T_{amb} + h_f T_{air}}{U_{bs} + h_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$T_{cell} = \frac{(\tau g)_{eff}G + U_{cell}T_{amb} + h_f T_{bs}}{U_{cell} + h_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The relevant heat loss coefficients in equations (5-8) based are expressed in Eqs (9-11):

The conductive heat transfer coefficient from PV cell to passing fluid $U_T$. It is expressed in Eq (9):

$$U_T = \left[ \frac{K_c}{X_c} + \frac{X_d}{K_d} \right]^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Where $X_c$, $K_c$, $X_d$, and $K_d$ are the thickness of cell, thermal conductivity of cell, thickness of Tedlar, and thermal conductivity of Tedlar, respectively.

The overall heat transfer coefficient at the bottom from passing air to the ambient $U_b$. It is expressed in Eq (10):

$$U_b = \left[ \frac{X_{ins}}{K_{ins}} + \frac{1}{h_{c,b}} \right]^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where $X_{ins}$, $K_{ins}$, and $h_{c,b}$ are the thickness of rear insulator, thermal conductivity of rear insulator and convective heat transfer coefficient at the bottom of the solar device, respectively.

The overall top heat loss coefficient to the ambient $U_t$, incorporates conductive, convective, and radiative modes of heat transfer. It is expressed in Eq (11):

$$U_t = \left[ \frac{X_b}{K_b} + \frac{1}{h_{c,b}} + \frac{1}{h_r} \right]^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The radiative heat transfer coefficient is defined by Eq (12):

$$h_r = \varepsilon_g \sigma (T_s + T_{cell})(T_s^2 + T_{cell}^2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The equilibrium temperature of the sky is determined by the following simple correlation Eq (13):

$$T_s = 0.0552 T_{cell}^{1.5}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The wind convective heat transfer coefficient is described by the following equation Eq (14):

$$h_{w,v} = 5.7 + 2.8 V_w$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Where $X_b$, $K_b$ and $\varepsilon_g$ are the thickness, thermal conductivity, and emissivity of glass cover, respectively.

$s$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, and $V_w$ is the wind velocity.

The overall heat transfer coefficient from glass to Tedlar layer through PV cell $U_{tr}$. It is expressed in Eq (15):

$$U_{tr} = \frac{U_{bs}}{U_{bs} + h_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The overall heat transfer coefficient from glass to air through PV cell and Tedlar layer $U_{tr}$. It is expressed in Eq (16):

$$U_{tr} = \frac{U_{bs} h_f}{U_{bs} + h_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Finally, the overall heat transfer coefficient from the PV/T device to the atmosphere $U_{t}$ is obtained from Eq (17):

$$U_t = U_b + U_{tr}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Additional thermal, optical and parameters presented in equations (5-8) are expressed in Eqs (18-20):

A thermal penalty coefficient due to the existence of PV cell layer, cover and EVA (Ethylene Vinyl Acetate adhesive) is defined in Eq (18):

$$P_1 = \frac{U_{bs}}{U_b + h_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

A thermal penalty coefficient due to the boundary between Tedlar layer and air is defined in Eq (19):

$$P_2 = \frac{h_f}{U_{bs} + h_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

The effective product of absorptance and transmittance is defined in Eq (20):

$$\tau g_{eff} = \tau g (a_\beta \varepsilon_g + a_s (1 - \beta_c) - \beta c \eta_{cell})$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The uncooled working cell temperature $T_{c, uncooled}$ can be computed accurately using Ross simplified linear thermal model as follows in Eq (21) (Bayrakci et al. 2014; Schwingshackl et al. 2013; Umoette et al. 2016):

$$T_{c, uncooled} = T_{amb} + \frac{(NOCT - 20) G}{800}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The Nominal Operating Cell Temperature (NOCT) is defined as the cell temperature reached in free standing PV modules under open-circuit under the following conditions: ambient temperature $T_{amb}$ = 20 °C, solar irradiance G = 800 W/m² and wind speed 1 m/s. The NOCT has a normal value of 45°C (Suntech 2011).

In this work, the reference module temperature is determined based on Skopoli’s advanced model expressed in Eq (22) (Idzkowski et al. 2020):

$$T_{cell, uncooled} = T_{amb} + \frac{(NOCT - 20) G - h_w NOCT}{800 \left[ 1 - \frac{\eta_{ref}}{\tau g} (1 - \beta_c T_{ref}) \right]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

This enhanced model considers the wind speed whereas the original NOCT model is based on wind speed of 1 m/s. Furthermore, it contains additional cell characteristics such as $\eta_{ref}$, $\beta_c$ and $\tau g$.

The product of transmittance of cover system and absorptance of cell $(\tau g)$ is assumed as 0.9 (Idzkowski et al.)
2.3. Electrical and thermal performance

The most common expression for calculating the PV cell electrical conversion efficiency is based on the following linear correlation Eq (23) (Pauly et al. 2016):

\[ \eta_{mp} = \eta_{ref}[1 + \beta_{tc}(T_{cell} - T_{ref})] \]  

(23)

Where \( \eta_{mp} \) is the efficiency of the PV module at its maximum power point, \( \eta_{ref} \) is the efficiency at maximum power point and has a value of 0.147 obtained from manufacturer’s data sheets (Suntech 2011). This is under Standard Test Conditions STC: solar irradiance of 1000 W/m², reference cell temperature \( T_{ref} \) of 25 °C, and air mass 1.5 (Jatoi et al. 2018). Finally, \( \beta_{tc} \) is the temperature coefficient of maximum power with a value of -0.0044 °C.

The actual maximum power generated by the PV module is defined as follows in Eq (24):

\[ P_{mp} = \eta_{mp} A_m G \]  

(24)

Where \( A_m \) is the PV module area (m²) and \( G \) is the incident solar irradiation (W/m²).

The rate of useful thermal energy obtained from the PV/T air collector can be determined as follows in Eq (25):

\[ \dot{Q}_u = m_a C_p(T_{air,in} - T_{air,out}) \]  

(25)

The thermal efficiency is the ratio of the useful heat gain of air to the overall incident solar irradiation. It can be computed as (Slimani et al. 2017; Abdullah et al. 2019) in Eq (26):

\[ \eta_{th} = \frac{P_{mp}}{\dot{Q}_u} \]  

(26)

Finally, the overall energy efficiency of the PV/T air collector can be computed by adding the thermal efficiency and thermal equivalent of electrical efficiency (Tiwari & Sodha 2007; Sarhaddi et al. 2010) in Eq (27):

\[ \eta_{ov} = \eta_{th} + \eta_{th, PV} \]  

(27)

The conventional electrical efficiency is converted to thermal energy equivalent to compensate the high-grade electrical energy to equivalent low-grade thermal energy using the following relation Eq (28):

\[ \eta_{th, PV} = \frac{\eta_{mp}}{C_f} \]  

(28)

Where \( C_f \) is the conversion factor of the thermal power plant and its value is assumed as 0.40 in this work (Tiwari and Sodha 2007; Abdullah et al. 2019).

Therefore,

\[ \eta_{ov} = \eta_{th} + \eta_{mp} \frac{0.40}{0.40} \]  

(29)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Weather Measurements

The solar irradiance on the 26° tilted plane and ambient temperature measurements were recorded over a one-year testing period. They were analyzed to determine the hourly solar irradiation and ambient temperature for a one-year period. These measured hourly climatic data for the Hashemite University are used as the input data of the numerical simulation conducted using MATLAB. The hourly irradiation values are summed to obtain the daily solar irradiation. The peak value of 7.84 kWh/m² is reached on the 1st of July, while the minimum value of 0.87 kWh/m² is captured on the 25th of January. The annual average daily irradiation is about 6.15 kWh/m². The daily values are added up to obtain the monthly irradiation illustrated in Fig. 4. The maximum and minimum values received were 223.6 kWh/m² and 130.8 kWh/m² in July and January, respectively. The total annual irradiation is the sum of the monthly values, which is about 2246 kWh/m². Our total measured value agrees with a simulation study conducted at the Hashemite University (Etier et al. 2010) which reports a total of 2230 kWh/m² at an inclination angle of 30°.
3.2. Performance analysis of PV/T air system

The annual average hourly variations of electrical efficiency and overall energy efficiency of the hybrid PV/T collector with no concentration are shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the electrical efficiency values range between a minimum of 12.71% at 1 PM and a maximum of 15.51% at 7 AM. The hourly averages of overall efficiency vary between 79.2% and 88.4%. These values are consistent with efficiencies reported in the literature. For example, a study conducted in Iraq (Amori & Abd-AllRaheem 2014) on a single duct, single-pass solar PV/T air collector reveals the electrical, thermal, and overall efficiencies at 12 PM reach 8.4%, 53%, and 74%, respectively. The low electrical efficiency is due to the soaring ambient temperatures. An energy review of air-based PV/T collectors found that the overall energy efficiency ranges from 31% to 94% (Fudholi et al. 2019). Another comprehensive study (Diwania et al. 2020) reports from different references that the thermal efficiency of PV/T air collectors varies from 40% to a maximum of 87%.

The monthly thermal, electrical, and total energy values are evaluated for the PV/T system and the results are shown in Fig. 6. It can be observed that the total energy varies between a maximum of 134.6 kWh/m² in July and a minimum of 81.7 kWh/m² in January. This is predicted due to the large variation in solar irradiation, ambient temperature, and no of clear days between these two months.

The exploitation of thermal waste heat in preheating the incoming ventilating air supplied to the presidency building during the winter months is investigated. The building with a total area of 1400 m² requires 3500 m³/h of fresh outside air approximately. The monthly variation of thermal gain and ventilation load during the heating season is shown in Fig. 7. It can be seen that the thermal gain exceeds the ventilation load requirement in November, February, and March. The excess heat can be further utilized in domestic water and space heating. Furthermore, the percentage contribution of the thermal gain during the coldest months of December and January is equal to 61.3% and 49.9%, respectively. Our proposed system produces 500 kWh/m² approximately of thermal energy during the heating season. This is comparable to a conventional PV/T air collector used to heat ventilation air in Fez, Morocco (Hachchadi et al. 2018), which generates 632.3 kWh/m² during the same period. The larger output is due to higher irradiation levels and the utilization of forced air-cooling.

3.3. Performance analysis of LCPV/T air system

As for the LCPV/T air-cooled system, the average hourly cell temperature values are evaluated at different concentration ratios (CR’s) in August during which the highest values are attained as shown in Fig. 8. The average hourly incident radiation and ambient temperature are also presented. It can be noted that the maximum cell temperature touches 68°C at 1 PM for the case CR=1 (no concentration). This is in broad agreement with experimental measurements for conventional PV/T air systems for summer months in Iraq (Amori & Abd-AllRaheem 2014), with a maximum temperature of 75 °C at 1 PM. The cell temperature rises with a higher CR ratio due to increasing irradiation levels, with a peak temperature of 108.2 °C at CR=2.5. It is evident that concentration ratios higher than 1.5 will result in cell temperatures exceeding the maximum permissible operating temperature of 85 °C. The hourly variation of air-cooled cell temperature is compared with the uncooled one in August at concentration ratios of 1 and 2.5 is presented, as shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen from the figure (9) that the PV cell temperatures considerably decrease when cooling the PV cell.
The actual maximum electric power produced by the PV modules is determined and is used to obtain the daily and monthly yield power values in kWh. These calculations are repeated at different concentration ratios to compare the air-cooled CPV/T and uncooled CPV systems.

The resulting percentage improvement in electrical power output due to air-cooling with no concentration for August (Summer), December (Winter), and all-year-round are 1.04%, 0.048%, and 0.72%, respectively, as shown in Fig. 10. This is comparable to a 0.66% increase in predicted annual electrical energy output obtained for a conventional PV/T single pass air collector in Algiers (Slimenai et al. 2017). Another study on a similar system in Morocco (Hachchadi et al. 2018) reveals an average increase of 2%. The larger enhancement is due to the employment of active air-cooling. The effect of air cooling is more pronounced at higher concentration ratios due to the elevated panel temperatures. For example, the corresponding enhancement values at CR=2.5 are 4.24%, 1.68%, and 2.77%, respectively, as shown in Fig. 10.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, a case study of a standing PV installation that can be amended to implement the PV/T and LCPV/T technology was performed. A simulation was conducted using thermal-electrical models of the adopted configuration under the climatic conditions of Zarqa, Jordan. Results show that the total energy delivered varies between a maximum of 134.6 kWh/m² in July and a minimum of 81.7 kWh/m² in January, and the annual average overall energy efficiency is 81.6%. In addition, the extracted thermal energy can be exploited to reduce the ventilation heating load of the Hashemite University Presidency Building during December and January by 61.3% and 49.9%, respectively.

Moreover, results reveal that air-cooling of the LCPV/T system leads to all-year-round enhancement in electrical energy output by 0.72% and 2.77% at a concentration ratio CR of 1 and 2.5, respectively. This increase can reach 1.04% and 4.24% in August. However, CR higher than 1.5 will result in cell temperatures exceeding the allowable operating temperature of 85°C. This indicates that passive air cooling is unsuitable above this value.

In order to validate the results of our numerical simulation, experimental research will be conducted in the near future. Additionally, more work will be carried out on the optimum design of the air duct and optical elements of the LCPV/T system.

Nomenclature

Symbols

- $A_d$: Cross-sectional area of channel (m²)
- $A_{ns}$: PV module area (m²)
- $b$: Width of air collector (m)
- $C_p$: Specific heat capacity of air (J/kg·K)
- $dx$: The elemental length duct (m)
- $G$: Incident solar irradiation (W/m²)
- $h_{in}$: Convective heat transfer coefficient at the bottom (W/m²·K)
- $h_i$: Convective heat transfer coefficient in the air duct (W/m²·K)
- $h_{NOCT}$: Wind convection coefficient at NOCT wind speed (W/m²·K)
- $K_c$: Thermal conductivity of cell (W/m·K)
- $K_d$: Thermal conductivity of Tedlar (W/m·K)
- $K_g$: Thermal conductivity of glass (W/m·K)
- $K_{gs}$: Thermal conductivity of glass cover (W/m·K)
- $K_{rs}$: Thermal conductivity of rear insulator (W/m·K)
- $K_{si}$: Thermal conductivity of silicon solar cell (W/m·K)
- $L$: Duct length (m)
- $L_g$: Thickness of solar glass cover (m)
- $L_i$: Thickness of back insulation (m)
- $L_{si}$: Thickness of silicon solar cell (m)
- $L_T$: Thickness of Tedlar (m)
- $m_a$: Mass flow rate of air (kg/s)
- $P$: Thermal penalty coefficient
- $P_{app}$: Actual maximum power generated by the PV module (W)
- $Q_u$: Rate of useful thermal energy (W)
- $T_{air}$: Air temperature in channel (°C)
- $T_{air, out}$: Outlet air temperature (°C)
- $T_{amb}$: Ambient air temperature (°C)
- $T_{b}$: Back surface temperature of Tedlar (°C)
- $T_{cell}$: Uncooled working cell temperature (°C)
- $T_{cell, uncooled}$: Uncooled working cell temperature (°C)
- $T_{air}$: Equivalent temperature of the sky (°C)

Fig. 9 Comparison of cooled and uncooled cell temperatures in August.

Fig. 10 Percentage improvement in electrical power output at different concentration ratios.
Overall heat transfer coefficient at the bottom from passing air to the ambient (W/m²·K)

Overall heat transfer coefficient from the PV/T device to the atmosphere (W/m²·K)

Overall top heat loss coefficient to the ambient (W/m²·K)

Conductive heat transfer coefficient from solar cell to passing air through Tedlar (W/m²·K)

Overall heat transfer coefficient from glass to air through PV cell and Tedlar (W/m²·K)

Overall heat transfer coefficient from glass to Tedlar layer through PV cell (W/m²·K)

Inlet velocity (m/s)

Wind velocity (m/s)

Thickness of cell (m)

Thickness of Tedlar (m)

Thickness of glass (m)
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Greek:

Electrical efficiency of the PV module at its maximum power point

Overall energy efficiency of the PV/T air collector

Thermal efficiency of the PV/T air collector

Absorptivity of solar cell

Absorptivity of Tedlar

Packing factor of solar cell

Emissivity of glass

PV cell electrical efficiency

Air density (kg/m³)

Stefan-Boltzmann constant (W/m²·K²)

Transmittance of glass cover
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