Microfluidics pushes forward microscopy analysis of actin dynamics
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Since 1981, the change in fluorescence of pyrenyl-labeled actin, and to a lesser extent of NBD-labeled actin, has proven instrumental in the quantitative analysis of actin self-assembly parameters at barbed and pointed ends. The size of the nucleus (a trimer) was derived from the analysis of spontaneous assembly curves; the assembly and disassembly rate parameters at barbed and pointed ends were derived from seeded assembly assays using spectrin-actin seeds and gelsolin-actin seeds, and dilution-induced depolymerization assays. These methods were powerful, in addition to standard sedimentation and other biochemical assays, to quantitatively characterize the activities of G-actin sequesterers and of filament capping, severing, stabilizing or destabilizing factors. Bulk solution studies provide no information on fluctuations in length and conformations of filaments in solution, or on any heterogeneity in dynamics of the filaments that compose the population, which could result from possible structural changes or cooperative binding of some...
regulators. Finally, reactions like filament branching appear in bulk solution as the autocatalytic generation of ends by a molecular mechanism that can be specified, but ignoring the branched structure. Bulk solution methods evidently do not allow to monitor processive assembly by forms. Quantifying all the reactions that regulate filament assembly at the level of individual filaments, important since these processes are essential aspects of their function in vivo.

**Light Microscopy Live Imaging of Individual Filaments: New Insights and Limitations of TIRFM**

Bulk measurements have often been complemented with epifluorescence (or electron) microscopy techniques, which have first provided images of individual filaments, stabilized by regulatory proteins, drugs, or by the presence of unlabeled actin monomers. This has brought information on the mechanical properties of the filament in various ATP hydrolysis states and in the presence of various stabilizing or destabilizing proteins. The branched filament structure was generated by WASP proteins with the Arp2/3 complex, or their fragmentation by Cofilin and trepomyosin, or to filament branching cannot be considered to occur with the same freedom as in a 3D environment.

The microfluidic setup we have used to capture the elongation of individual actin filaments from spectrin-actin seeds, which are adsorbed on the coverslip. The filaments fluctuate away from the coverslip surface when the flow rate is low, while they are maintained close to the surface and aligned with the flow when it is faster (Fig. 1). Today's commercially available microfluidics apparatus allow a fast and reliable control of pressures and flow rates in various ranges. It is therefore possible to vary the flows in the flow-cell at will, and in real time.

Microfluidics offers many features that overcome the technical drawbacks of standard light microscopy. The artifacts that may arise from the flow of fluid, in particular in the interaction of actin filaments, can be circumvented easily—as well as used as a tool in further studies. In the following, we list improvements and resulting achievements brought by this method.

Because the filaments are aligned parallel to the coverslip by the flow, a single attachment point at the pointed end (spectrin-actin seeds) or at the barbed end (geloin-actin seeds) is sufficient and the constraints in filament structure linked to several side-attachment points are avoided.

Protein interactions might be affected by the flow, either because of the flow velocity of the proteins in solution, or because of the tension applied to the anchored filaments. To circumvent this potential problem and appreciate its extent, control assays were performed. For example, filament dynamics were monitored comparatively in a strong constant flow and at a very low flow rate, except during the acquisition of images (when a strong flow facilitates the imaging of the filaments). We verified that a large range of flow rates, up to a few mm/s on average in the chamber, can be used without affecting actin assembly/disassembly dynamics. Higher flow rates, which we have not tested, may affect protein interactions or filament structure, and this could be studied using a similar setup (see below).

**Assets of Microfluidics for the Observation of Single Actin Filaments**

The microfluidic setup we have used to observe single actin filaments is very simple and similar to setups used for the study of individual DNA polymers. Actin filaments are grown from spectrin-actin seeds, which are adsorbed on the coverslip. The filaments fluctuate away from the coverslip surface when the flow rate is low, while they are maintained close to the surface and aligned with the flow when it is faster (Fig. 1). Today's
an artificial solid ADP-Pi-actin cap can be built to mimic vectorial Pi release. One can also build filaments with an embedded non-fluorescent segment, hereby getting insight into the dynamics of non-labeled actin, which so far could not be observed under the microscope. The continuous flow of fresh medium in the flow cell ensures that reactions are monitored at constant protein concentration (in contrast with uncontrolled changes in G/F-actin ratio with time in standard microscopy assays). This improvement allows quantitative interpretation of the collected data.

The alignment of the filaments with the flow, giving them a nearly straight contour, makes the measurement of filament length and position of the end straightforward and accurate. The accumulation of statistically relevant data at the individual filament level is made considerably easier using microfluidics because a field of view typically contains a hundred parallel filaments, which are exposed to identical conditions and have the same history. Taken together, these features contribute to making the data accurate, and reliable.

In our recent work, the performance of microfluidics-assisted microscopy has revealed the molecular mechanism of inorganic phosphate release in actin filaments. The kinetics of change in the depolymerizing rate of actin filament barbed-ends, rapidly switched from an assembly to a disassembly regime, actually reflects the ADP-Pi profile in a growing filament. The data provide clear evidence for a random mechanism, while bulk solution measurements provided the same global slow rate constant of Pi release but could not discriminate, due to averaged measurements, between a vectorial and a random mechanism. The same microfluidics setup was used to monitor filament disassembly and analyze in deeper detail the recently reported "dynamic stabilization" of actin filaments. In this study, the spatial and temporal resolution provided by microfluidics was crucial to determine the molecular mechanism responsible for pauses during the depolymerization of filaments and rule out a stabilizing structural change of the polymer upon aging. How the random nature of reactions on individual actin filaments are used, modified, enhanced or limited by actin regulatory proteins will thus be easily addressed using the
microfluidics approach combined with fluorescence microscopy. The method opens promising perspectives into the molecular mechanisms by which the specificity and efficiency of actin-based processes are achieved.

Analysis of length fluctuations of filaments has been proposed to provide insight into the mechanism of ATP cleavage in actin filaments. Such measurements have been difficult to achieve so far, and should be facilitated in the future by the improved accuracy provided by the microfluidics setup. As we discuss in the following, the present simple setup can be modified and made more sophisticated to broaden the range of applications, in particular addressing the mechanism of regulators of actin dynamics.

Future Developments of the Technique

The first results obtained by implementing microfluidics in TIRF microscopy to monitor filament dynamics open a large variety of perspectives on issues that can easily be addressed, by combining the technological refinements of microfluidics that have been developed in other fields, with the biochemical tools that proved instrumental in solution studies of actin.

For instance, the filaments grown from spectrin-actin seeds anchored to the glass coverslip have a free barbed end and a stabilized pointed end. This configuration can be used to characterize the kinetic and thermodynamic aspects of interaction of filament barbed ends, in various bound nucleotide states, with a large number of barbed end regulators like capping protein, formins or barbed end trackers like VASP. As an example, we characterized the interaction of ADP- and ADP-Pi bound barbed ends with profilin, to quantify its impact on assembly dynamics.20

In order to study the dynamics of the pointed end, one can anchor filaments from stabilized barbed ends, while their pointed ends are free to elongate and depolymerize. This can be achieved by anchoring biotinylated gelsolin to a streptavidin-coated surface.26 The dynamics of the pointed ends can thus be analyzed as was done for barbed ends. The idea that the structure and reactivity of the filament is determined by the protein bound to its end, like formin or gelsolin, can be easily addressed by analyzing the effect of either ADF, tropomyosin, myosin or other filament side-binding protein on actin assembly dynamics when the filaments are anchored by various end-binding proteins.

Comparison between various effectors can be performed using micropatterned chambers, so as to bind different anchoring proteins in different spatially defined regions, thus mimicking a cellular environment in which different filament arrays co-exist and turnover in a coordinated fashion. Additionally, the complexity of the medium flowing over the filaments can be varied at will, to investigate how the observed filaments, in a defined state (with free or bound barbed ends for instance), react to signal-mimicking cues, or to changes in the steady-state of filament assembly. In other words, biomimetics should experience new developments using microfluidics. Alternatively, micropatterning can be used to anchor proteins of interest in specific regions, so that growing filaments could interact with them as they fluctuate in the vicinity of the surface. In that frame of mind, one could take advantage of the microfluidic flows to orient the filaments (Fig. 2A) in order to control the interaction of growing filaments with different functionalized microdomains of the coverslip. Whether filament branching by WASP proteins with Arp2/3 complex occurs via barbed end branching or side branching mechanism can similarly be addressed in a straightforward fashion.

Figure 2. Using the flow to orient and bend the filaments. (A) In a region close to the junction of the entry channels, the filaments will have different orientations, depending on the dominant incoming flow. This provides another possibility for the manipulation of the filaments. It could be used to orient the filaments toward protein-coated patterns, for example. In addition, the spectrin-actin seeds have random orientations, and the filaments bend near the seed when they align with the flow. This bending can be modulated by changing the orientation of the filaments. (B) A fixed obstacle (e.g., a PDMS pillar) will deform the flow lines. This can also be used to bend actin filaments, with a radius of curvature that will be close to the obstacle radius.
Our limitation of the microfluidics setup presented in Figure 1 is the time taken for the solutions to transit from the reservoirs to the flow cell. A serious drawback results when the protein solution undergoes chemical reactions after mixing. For example, maintaining a high concentration of G-actin in high ionic strength polymerizing conditions is hampered by the spontaneous nucleation of filaments. This limitation could be overcome by mixing reagents (G-actin and salts in this case) rapidly enough at the entrance of the flow cell, or directly within the flow cell. Different types of rapid mixing devices (e.g., using multiple adjacent flows of solutions to establish a rapid mix) have been developed. Another possibility is to establish controlled gradients to investigate different protein concentrations simultaneously. Application to Mechanical Studies

We found that over a large range of flow rates, the filaments are aligned close to the surface with no interference of the flow with actin dynamics. On the other hand, the flowing fluid could be used as a tool to exert a significant mechanical force on the filaments. Then the method would become instrumental to address important issues regarding the interplay between the mechanical and biochemical properties of actin filaments, under tensile strengths similar to those experienced in living cells. Recent reports actually point to a modulation of the structure of the flow cell,23 where the local flow curvature on the affinity of actin-binding proteins, or on filament severing25 and branching. The flowing fluid exerts a friction force along the contour of the filament. This force is maximal at the anchoring point, decreases progressively along the filament, and is null at the growing end. This force straightens the filament, and puts it under mechanical tension. In the range of flow rates that we have used (up to a few tens of microliters per minute, for a flow cell cross-section of 600 × 40 microns), this force is smaller than one pico-Newton and does not provoke the detachment of the spectrin-actin seeds from the coverslip. However, these flow rates are sufficient to greatly reduce the lateral fluctuations of the filaments. The microfluidics approach hereby offers a way to study filament fluctuations, which may have physiological relevance, in a way that differs from classical setups used to manipulate individual filaments by holding them from both ends, such as optical or magnetic tweezers.

More significant forces could be applied to filaments either by increasing the flow rate, or by anchoring the filaments a few micrometers above the coverslip surface (for example, by growing the filaments from micro-beads anchored to the bottom of the flow cell26). However, these flow rates are sufficient to greatly reduce the lateral fluctuations of the filaments. The microfluidics approach hereby offers a way to study filament fluctuations, which may have physiological relevance, in a way that differs from classical setups used to manipulate individual filaments by holding them from both ends, such as optical or magnetic tweezers.

More significant forces could be applied to filaments either by increasing the flow rate, or by anchoring the filaments a few micrometers above the coverslip surface (for example, by growing the filaments from micro-beads anchored to the bottom of the flow cell). When the flow rate is lower, or when polymers are stiffer (e.g., actin bundles, or microtubules) the curvature is lower and detectable. Alternatively, fixed obstacles placed downstream from the filament anchor would bend the filament as it follows the deviated flow lines (Fig. 2B).

Flow-induced bending of filaments could be used to study the impact of local filament curvature on the affinity of actin-binding proteins, or on filament severing25 and branching. The flowing fluid exerts a friction force along the contour of the filament. This force is maximal at the anchoring point, decreases progressively along the filament, and is null at the growing end. This force straightens the filament, and puts it under mechanical tension. In the range of flow rates that we have used (up to a few tens of microliters per minute, for a flow cell cross-section of 600 × 40 microns), this force is smaller than one pico-Newton and does not provoke the detachment of the spectrin-actin seeds from the coverslip. However, these flow rates are sufficient to greatly reduce the lateral fluctuations of the filaments. The microfluidics approach hereby offers a way to study filament fluctuations, which may have physiological relevance, in a way that differs from classical setups used to manipulate individual filaments by holding them from both ends, such as optical or magnetic tweezers.

More significant forces could be applied to filaments either by increasing the flow rate, or by anchoring the filaments a few micrometers above the coverslip surface (for example, by growing the filaments from micro-beads anchored to the bottom of the flow cell), where the local flow velocity is higher. Actin filaments could thus be put under significant mechanical tension (in the pico-Newton range and beyond). The gradient of force along the filament, resulting from the flow, can be used as a tool to analyze how proteins like ADF or myosin bind more or less well (in a gradient) along filaments maintained under a variable tension. Quantitative correlations could then be established between protein binding and local tension.

Alternatively, a quasi-constant tension might be applied along the filament by attaching small beads to the filament in a region downstream the flow. In this setup, the drag force applied by the flow to the bead largely predominates over the variable weak force applied along the filament. The ability to perform measurements for tens of filaments in parallel, represents an important advantage of the microfluidics approach as opposed to tweezers techniques in which only one filament is manipulated.

Concluding Remarks

Adding microfluidics to fluorescence microscopy enhances the control of biochemical and mechanical conditions when mimicking the dynamics of individual actin filaments, while eliminating potential sources of artifacts. The resulting improved reliability and accuracy, in space and time, opens broad perspectives for future studies in the actin field. These perspectives will certainly be expanded by further developments of the method, inspired by forthcoming biological issues.
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