SINGULARITY EXCHANGE AT THE FRONTIER OF THE SPACE

DIRK SIERSMA AND MIHAI TIBĂR

Abstract. In deformations of polynomial functions one may encounter “singularity exchange at infinity” when singular points disappear from the space and produce “virtual” singularities which have an influence on the topology of the limit polynomial. We find several rules of this exchange phenomenon, in which the total quantity of singularity turns out to be not conserved in general.

1. Introduction

More than 20 years ago, Broughton [Br] pioneered the study of the topology of the fibres of complex polynomial functions of a certain type (called B-type here). Even if the study of the singular fibration produced by a polynomial in the affine space took a certain ampleness ever since, families of such polynomial functions have been considered only sporadically. We study here families of polynomial functions by focussing on the transformation of singularities in the neighbourhood of infinity, a phenomenon which we already remarked in [ST2]. This is a natural and challenging topic inside mathematics since the atypical fibres of a polynomial turn out to be not only due to the “visible” singularities, but also to the “bad” asymptotic behaviour at the infinite frontier of the space. We deal here with the evolution and interaction of singularities in deformations at the infinite frontier of the space, in what concerns the phenomenon of conservation or non-conservation of certain numbers attached to singularities (that we recall below).

Let \( \{f_s\}_s \) be a holomorphic family of complex polynomial functions \( f_s : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C} \), for \( s \in \mathbb{C} \). For a fixed polynomial function \( f_0 \) there is a well defined general fibre \( G_0 \), since the set of atypical values \( \Lambda(f_s) \) is a finite set. When specialising to \( f_0 \), the number of atypical values may vary (decrease, increase or be constant) and the topology of the general fibre may change. We consider constant degree families within certain classes of polynomials (F-class \( \subset \) B-class \( \subset \) W-class, cf Definition 3.1) which have the property that the vanishing cycles of \( f_s \) (i.e. the generators of the reduced homology of \( G_s \)) are concentrated in dimension \( n - 1 \) and are localisable at finitely many points, in the affine space or in the part at infinity of the projective compactification of some fibre of \( f_s \). In the affine space \( \mathbb{C}^n \), such a point is a singular point of \( f_s \). The sum of all affine Milnor numbers is the total Milnor number \( \mu(s) \), which has an algebraic interpretation as the dimension of the quotient algebra \( \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}) \). Singularities at infinity.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32S30, 14B07, 58K60.
Key words and phrases. singularities at infinity, deformation of polynomials.
are equipped with so-called Milnor-Lê numbers (cf. [ST1]) and their sum is denoted by $\lambda(s)$. Then the Euler characteristic of the generic fiber $G_s$ is $1 + (-1)^{n-1}(\mu(s) + \lambda(s))$.

A natural problem which arises is to understand the behaviour, when $s \to 0$, of the $\mu$ and $\lambda$-singularities, which support the vanishing cycles of $f_s$. It is well known and easy to see that, for singularities which tend to a $\mu$-singular point, the total number of local vanishing cycles is constant, in other words the local balance law is conservative. However some $\mu$-singularities may tend to infinity and change into $\lambda$-singularities; this is the phenomenon we address here. First, in full generality, for any deformation, we get the:

- **global lower semi-continuity** of the highest Betti number: $b_{n-1}(G_0) \leq b_{n-1}(G_s)$ (Proposition 2.1).

Next, focussing on constant degree deformations inside the B-class, we prove several facts on the singularity exchange at infinity:

- the number of local vanishing cycles of $\mu$ and $\lambda$-singularities tending to a $\lambda$-singular point is **lower semi-continuous**, but it is not conserved in general (Theorem 4.2).
- in $(\mu + \lambda)$-constant deformations, the local balance law at any $\lambda$-singularity of $f_0$ is **conservative** and atypical values cannot escape to infinity (Corollary 5.1).
- in $(\mu + \lambda)$-constant deformations, the monodromy fibrations over any admissible loop (in particular, the monodromy fibrations at infinity) are isotopic in the family, whenever $n \neq 3$ (Theorem 6.5).
- in deformations with constant generic singularity type at infinity, $\lambda$-singularities of $f_0$ are **locally persistent** in $f_s$ but cannot split such that more than one $\lambda$-singularity occurs in the same fibre (Theorem 6.2).
- in deformations inside the F-class, a $\lambda$-singularity cannot be deformed into only $\mu$-singularities (Corollary 6.4).

The semi-continuity results (first two of the above list) are certainly related to the semi-continuity of the **spectrum**, a result proved by Némethi and Sabbah [NS] for the class of “weakly tame” polynomials. Their class excludes by definition the $\lambda$-singularities, but on the other hand the spectrum (defined with Hodge theoretical ingredients) gives more refined information than the total Milnor number. It is also interesting to remark that the lower semi-continuity in all these results is opposite to the **upper semi-continuity** in case of deformations of holomorphic function germs.

We end by supplying with a zoo of examples which illustrate various aspects of the exchange phenomenon.

### 2. Deformations in general

It is well known that the $(n-1)$th Betti number of the Milnor fibre of a holomorphic function germ is upper semi-continuous, i.e. it does not decrease under specialisation. In case of a polynomial $f_s : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, the role of the Milnor fibre is played by the general fibre $G_s$ of $f_s$. This is a Stein manifold of dimension $n-1$ and therefore it has the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\leq n-1$, which is also finite, since $G_s$ is algebraic.
Moreover, the \((n - 1)\)th homology group with integer coefficients is free. We prove the following general specialisation result.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \(P : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}\) be any holomorphic deformation of a polynomial \(f_0 := P(\cdot, 0) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}\). Then the general fibre \(G_0\) of \(f_0\) can be naturally embedded into the general fibre \(G_s\) of \(f_s\), for \(s \neq 0\) close enough to 0. The embedding \(G_0 \subset G_s\) induces an inclusion \(H_{n-1}(G_0) \hookrightarrow H_{n-1}(G_s)\) which is compatible with the intersection form.

**Proof.** It is enough to consider a 1-parameter family of hypersurfaces \(\{f_s^{-1}(t)\}_{s \in L} \subset \mathbb{C}^n\), for fixed \(t\), where \(L\) denotes some parametrised complex curve through 0. We denote by \(X_t\) the total space over a small neighbourhood \(L \varepsilon\) of 0 in \(L\). By choosing \(t\) generic enough, we may assume that \(f_s^{-1}(t)\) is a generic fibre of \(f_s\), for \(s\) in a small enough neighbourhood of 0. Let \(\sigma : X_t \to L \varepsilon\) denote the projection. Now \(X_t\) is the total space of a family of non-singular hypersurfaces. Since \(\sigma^{-1}(0)\) is an affine hypersurface, by taking a large enough radius \(R\), we get \(\partial B_R \cap \sigma^{-1}(0)\), for all \(R' \geq R\). Moreover, the sphere \(\partial B_R\) is transversal to all nearby fibres \(\sigma^{-1}(s)\), for small enough \(s\). It follows that the projection \(\sigma\) from the pair of spaces \((X_t \cap (B_R \times \mathbb{C}), X_t \cap (\partial B_R \times \mathbb{C}))\) to \(L \varepsilon\) is a proper submersion and hence, by Ehresmann’s theorem, it is a trivial fibration. By the above transversality argument, we have \(B_R \cap \sigma^{-1}(0) \cong B_R \cap \sigma^{-1}(s)\). This shows the first claim.

The affine hypersurfaces \(\sigma^{-1}(s)\) are finite cell complexes of dimension \(\leq n - 1\). By the classical Andreotti-Frankel \([\text{AF}]\) argument for the distance function, the hypersurface \(\sigma^{-1}(s)\) is obtained from \(B_R \cap \sigma^{-1}(s)\) by adding cells of index at most \(n - 1\). This shows that \(H_n(G_s, G_0) = 0\), so the second claim. The compatibility with the intersection form is standard.

Under certain conditions we can also compare the “monodromy fibrations at infinity” in the family, see [\text{[S]2}] Proposition \([\text{S}4]\) will actually be exploited through the *semi-continuity of the highest Betti number*, as a consequence of the inclusion of homology groups:

\[
(2.1) \quad b_{n-1}(G_s) \geq b_{n-1}(G_0), \text{ for } s \text{ close enough to } 0.
\]

**3. Compactification of families of polynomials**

We shall now focus on polynomials for which the singularities at infinity are isolated, in a sense that we make precise here.

Let \(P\) be a deformation of \(f_0\), i.e. \(P : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}\) is a family of polynomial functions \(P(x, s) = f_s(x)\) such that \(f_0 = f\). We assume in the following that our deformation depends holomorphically on the parameter \(s \in \mathbb{C}^k\). We also assume that \(\deg f_s\) is independent on \(s\), for \(s\) in some neighbourhood of 0, and we denote it by \(d\). We attach to \(P\) the following hypersurface:

\[
\mathbb{Y} = \{([x : x_0], s, t) \in \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \mid \hat{P}(x, x_0, s) - tx_0^d = 0\},
\]

where \(\hat{P}\) denotes the homogenized of \(P\) by the variable \(x_0\), considering \(s\) as parameter varying in a small neighbourhood of \(0 \in \mathbb{C}^k\). Let \(\tau : \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{C}\) be the projection to the \(t\)-coordinate. This extends the map \(P\) to a proper one in the sense that \(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k\) is embedded
in \( \mathbb{Y} \) (via the graph of \( P \)) and \( \tau_{|C^s \times C^k} = P \). Let \( \sigma : \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{C}^k \) denote the projection to the \( s \)-coordinates.

**Notations.** \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} := \mathbb{Y} \cap \tau^{-1}(s) \), \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} := \mathbb{Y} \cap \tau^{-1}(t) \) and \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} := \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \cap \tau^{-1}(t) = \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \cap \sigma^{-1}(s) \). Note that \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \) is the closure in \( \mathbb{P}^n \) of the affine hypersurface \( f_s^{-1}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}^n \).

Let \( \mathbb{Y}^\infty := \mathbb{Y} \cap \{ x_0 = 0 \} = \{ P_d(x, s) = 0 \} \times \mathbb{C} \) be the hyperplane at infinity of \( \mathbb{Y} \), where \( P_d \) is the degree \( d \) homogeneous part of \( P \) in variables \( x \in \mathbb{C}^n \). Remark that for any fixed \( s \), \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t}^\infty := \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \cap \mathbb{Y}^\infty \) does not depend on \( t \).

**Definition 3.1.** We consider the following classes of polynomials:

(i) \( f \) is a **F-type** polynomial if its compactified fibres and their restrictions to the hyperplane at infinity have at most isolated singularities.

(ii) \( f \) is a **B-type** polynomial if its compactified fibres have at most isolated singularities.

It follows that F-class \( \subset \) B-class. They are both contained into the W-class, which consists polynomials for which the proper extension \( \tau : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{C} \) has only isolated singularities with respect to some Whitney stratification of \( \mathbb{X} \) such that \( \mathbb{X}^\infty \) is a union of strata, see [ST1]. The notation \( \mathbb{X} \) stands for \( \mathbb{Y} \) when a single polynomial is considered (i.e. there is no parameter \( s \)).

In two variables, if \( f \) has isolated singularities in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), then it is automatically of F-type. Deformations inside the F-class were introduced in [ST2] under the name \( \mathcal{F} \)SI deformations. Broughton [Br] considered for the first time B-type polynomials and studied the topology of their general fibers. The W-class of polynomials appears in [ST1]. In deformations of a polynomial \( f_0 \) we usually require to stay inside the same class but we may also deform into a ”less singular” class (like B-type into F-type, Example 3.3).

The singular locus of \( \mathbb{Y} \), \( \text{Sing } \mathbb{Y} := \{ x_0 = 0, \, \frac{\partial P_d}{\partial x}(x, s) = 0, \, P_{d-1}(x, s) = 0, \, \frac{\partial P_d}{\partial s}(x, s) = 0 \} \times \mathbb{C} \) is included in \( \mathbb{Y}^\infty \) and is a product-space by the \( t \)-coordinate. It depends only on the degrees \( d \) and \( d-1 \) parts of \( P \) with respect to the variables \( x \).

Let \( \Sigma := \{ x_0 = 0, \, \frac{\partial P_d}{\partial x}(x, s) = 0, \, P_{d-1}(x, s) = 0 \} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^k \). If we fix \( s \), the singular locus of \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,s} \) is the analytic set \( \Sigma_s \times \mathbb{C} \), where \( \Sigma_s := \Sigma \cap \{ \sigma = s \} \), and it is the union of the singularities at the hyperplane at infinity of the hypersurfaces \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \), for \( t \in \mathbb{C} \).

We denote by \( W_s := \{ [x] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \mid \frac{\partial P_d}{\partial x}(x, s) = 0 \} \) the set of points at infinity where \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t}^\infty \) is singular, in other words where \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \) is either singular or tangent to \( \{ x_0 = 0 \} \). It does not depend on \( t \) and we have \( \Sigma_s \subset W_s \).

**Remark 3.2.** From the above definition and the expressions of the singular loci we have the following characterisation:

(i) \( f_0 \) is a B-type polynomial \( \iff \dim \text{Sing } f_0 \leq 0 \) and \( \dim \Sigma_0 \leq 0 \),

(ii) \( f_0 \) is a F-type polynomial \( \iff \dim \text{Sing } f_0 \leq 0 \) and \( \dim W_0 \leq 0 \).

Let us also remark that \( \dim \Sigma_0 \leq 0 \) (respectively \( \dim W_0 \leq 0 \)) implies that \( \dim \Sigma_s \leq 0 \) (respectively \( \dim W_s \leq 0 \)), whereas \( \dim \text{Sing } f_0 \leq 0 \) does not imply automatically \( \dim \text{Sing } f_s \leq 0 \) for \( s \neq 0 \).
4. Semi-continuity at infinity

Let $P$ be a deformation of $f_0$ such that $f_s$ is of W-type, for all $s$ close enough to 0. It is shown in [Pa, ST1] that the vanishing cycles of $f_s$ (for fixed $s$) are concentrated in dimension $n - 1$ and are localized at well-defined points, either in the affine space or at infinity. We shall call them $\mu$-singularities and $\lambda$-singularities respectively. To such a singular point $p \in Y_{s, t}$ one associates its local Milnor number denoted $\mu_p(s)$ or its Milnor-Lê number $\lambda_p(s)$. Let $\mu(s)$ be the total Milnor number, respectively $\lambda(s)$ be the total Milnor-Lê number at infinity, where $b_{n-1}(G_s) = \mu(s) + \lambda(s)$.

By [ST1], the atypical fibers of a W-type polynomial $f_s$ are exactly those fibers which contain $\mu$ or $\lambda$-singularities; equivalently, those of which the Euler characteristic is different from $\chi(G_s)$. We denote by $\Lambda(f_s)$ the set of atypical values of $f_s$.

The above cited facts together with our semi-continuity result (2.1) show that, for $s$ close to 0 we have:

$$\mu(s) + \lambda(s) \geq \mu(0) + \lambda(0).$$

**Remark 4.1.** The total Milnor number $\mu(s)$ is lower semi-continuous under specialization $s \to 0$. In case $\mu(s)$ decreases, we say that there is loss of $\mu$ at infinity, since this may only happen when one of the two following phenomena occur:

(a) the modulus of some critical point tends to infinity and the corresponding critical value is bounded ([ST2, Example 8.1]);

(b) the modulus of some critical value tends to infinity ([ST2, Examples (8.2) and (8.3)]).

In contrast to $\mu(s)$, it turns out that $\lambda(s)$ is not semi-continuous; under specialization, it can increase or decrease ([Example 8.1, 8.3]). Moreover, the $\lambda$-values may behave like the critical values in case (b) above, see Example 8.6.

To understand the behaviour of $\lambda(s)$ in more detail, we focus on the B-class. The following result extends our [ST2, Theorem 5.4] and needs a more involved proof, which will be given in §7.

**Theorem 4.2. (Lower semi-continuity at $\lambda$-singularities)**

Let $P$ be a constant degree one-parameter deformation inside the B-class. Then, locally at any $\lambda$-singularity $p \in Y_{0, t}$ of $f_0$, we have:

$$\lambda_p(0) \leq \sum_i \lambda_{p_i}(s) + \sum_j \mu_{p_j}(s),$$

where $p_i$ are the $\lambda$-singularities and $p_j$ are the $\mu$-singularities of $f_s$ which tend to the point $p$ as $s \to 0$.

5. Persistence of $\lambda$-singularities

In order to get further information on the $\mu \mapsto \lambda$ exchanges we focus on two sub-classes of the B-class. In this section we define cgst-type deformations and in the next section we study deformation with constant $\mu + \lambda$. 
Let us first remark that for a deformation \( \{f_s\}_s \) inside the B-class the compactified fibres of \( f_s \) have only isolated singularities. The positions of these singularities depend only on \( s \) (and not on \( t \)). When \( s \to 0 \) these singularities can split or disappear.

Let us take some \( x(0) \in \Sigma_0 \). Take \( t \not\in \Lambda(f_0) \) and assume without dropping generality that \( t \not\in \Lambda(f_s) \) for all small enough \( s \). Lazzeri’s non-splitting argument, see [La] and also [AC, LŽ], tells us that the Milnor number of \( Y_t \) is conserved, for any couple \((s, t)\) of the Milnor numbers of \( Y_t \) that localisation of the map \( \tilde{\varphi} \) deforming \( f \) at \((x(0), t)\) is strictly larger than the sum of the Milnor numbers of \( Y_{s,t} \) at all points \((x(s), t) \in \Sigma_s \times \{t\}\) such that \( x_i(s) \to x(0) \), unless there is only one such singular point \( x(s) \) and the Milnor number of \( Y_{s,t} \) at \((x(s), t)\) is independent on \( s \). In the latter case we say that the cgst assumption holds.

**Definition 5.1.** We say that a constant degree deformation inside the B-class has constant generic singularity type at infinity at some point \( x(0) \in \Sigma_0 \) if the cgst assumption holds.

If the cgst assumption holds at all points in \( \Sigma_0 \), then we simply say constant generic singularity type at infinity.

Note that in the B-class the cgst assumption does not imply that \( b_{n-1}(G_s) \) is constant not necessarily constant in the B-class (see Example 8.4). We send to Remark 6.2 for further comments on cgst.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( \Pi \) be a constant degree deformation, inside the B-class, with constant generic singularity type at infinity. Then:

(a) \( \lambda \)-singularities of \( f_0 \) are locally persistent in \( f_s \).

(b) a \( \lambda \)-singularity of \( f_0 \) cannot split such that two or more \( \lambda \)-singularities belong to the same fiber.

**Remark 5.3.** Part (a) means that a \( \lambda \)-singularities cannot completely disappear when deforming \( f_0 \), but of course it may split or its type may change, see [S]. The case which is not covered by part (b) can indeed occur, i.e. that some \( \lambda \) splits into \( \lambda \)'s along a line \( \{x(s)\} \times \mathbb{C} \), see Example 8.2.

**Proof.** (a). Let \((z, t_0) \in \Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{C} \) be a \( \lambda \)-singularity of \( f_0 \). Let us denote by \( G(y, s, t) \) the localisation of the map \( \Pi(x, x_0, s) - tx_0^3 \) at the point \((z, 0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Y} \). Let \( y_0 = 0 \) be the local equation of the hyperplane at infinity of \( \mathbb{P}^n \). The idea is to consider the 2-parameter family of functions \( G_{s,t} : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C} \), where \( G_{s,t}(y) = G(y, s, t) \). Then \( G(y, s, t) \) is the germ of a deformation of the function \( G_{0,t_0}(y) \).

We consider the germ at \((z, 0, t_0)\) of the singular locus \( \Gamma \) of the map \((G, \sigma, \tau) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^3 \). This is the union of the singular loci of the functions \( G_{s,t} \), for varying \( s \) and \( t \). We claim that \( \Gamma \) is a surface, more precisely, that every irreducible component \( \Gamma_i \) of \( \Gamma \) is a surface. We secondly claim that the projection \( D \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \) of \( \Gamma \) by the map \((y_0, \sigma, \tau) \) is a surface, in the sense that all its irreducible components are surfaces. Moreover, the projections \( \Gamma \to D \) and \( D \to \mathbb{C}^2 \) are finite (ramified) coverings.

All our claims follow from the following fact: the local Milnor number conserves in deformations of functions. The function germ \( G_{0,t_0} \) with Milnor number, say \( \mu_0 \), deforms into a function \( G_{s,t} \) with finitely many isolated singularities, and the total Milnor number is conserved, for any couple \((s, t)\) close to \((0, t_0)\).
Let us now remark that the germ at \((z, 0, t_0)\) of \(\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}\) is a union of components of \(\Gamma\) and projects by \((y_0, s, t)\) to the plane \(D_0 := \{y_0 = 0\}\) of \(\mathbb{C}^3\). However, the inclusion \(D_0 \subset D\) cannot be an equality, by the above argument on the total “quantity of singularities” and since we have a jump \(\lambda > 0\) at the point of origin \((z, 0, t_0)\). So there must exist some other components of \(D\). Every such component being a surface in \(\mathbb{C}^3\), has to intersect the plane \(D_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^3\) along a curve. Therefore, for every point \((s', t')\) of such a curve, the sum of Milnor numbers of the function \(G\) on the hypersurface \(\{y_0 = 0, \sigma = s', \tau = t'\}\) (where the sum is taken over the singular points that tend to the original point \((z, 0, t_0)\) when \(s' \to 0\)) is therefore strictly higher than the one computed for a generic point of the plane \(D_0\). Therefore our claim (a) will be proved if we prove two things:

(i). the singularities of \(G\) on the hypersurface \(\{y_0 = 0, \sigma = s', \tau = t'\}\) that tend to the original point \((z, 0, t_0)\) when \(s' \to 0\) are included into \(G = 0\), and

(ii). there exists a component \(D_i \subset D\) such that \(D_i \cap D_0 \neq D \cap \{s = 0\}\).

To show (i), let \(g_k(y, s)\) denote the degree \(k\) part of \(P\) after localising it at \(p\) and note that \(G(y, s, t) = g_d(y, s) + y_0(g_{d-1}(y, s) + \cdots) - ty_d^d\). Then observe that the set:

\[
\Gamma \cap \{y_0 = 0\} = \{\frac{\partial g_d}{\partial y} = 0, g_{d-1} = 0\}
\]

does not depend on the variable \(t\) and its slice by \(\{\sigma = s, \tau = t\}\) consists of finitely many points. These points may fall into two types: (I). points on \(\{g_d = 0\}\), and therefore on \(\{G = 0\}\), and (II). points not on \(\{g_d = 0\}\). We show that type II points do not actually occur. This is a consequence of our hypothesis on the constancy of generic singularity type at infinity, as follows. By choosing a generic \(\hat{t}\) such that \(\hat{t} \notin \Lambda(s)\) for all \(s\), and by using the independence on \(t\) of the set (5.1), this condition implies that type II points cannot collide with type I points along the slice \(\{y_0 = 0, \sigma = s, \tau = \hat{t}\}\) as \(s \to 0\). By absurd, if there were collision, then there would exist a singularity in the slice \(\{G = 0, y_0 = 0, \sigma = 0, \tau = \hat{t}\}\) with Milnor number higher than the generic singularity type at infinity. It then follows that:

\[
\Gamma \cap \{y_0 = 0\} = \Gamma \cap \{G = y_0 = 0\}
\]

which proves (i). Now observe that the equality (5.2) also proves (ii), by a similar reason: if there were a component \(D_i\) such that \(D_i \cap D_0 = D \cap \{s = 0\}\) then there would exist a singularity in the slice \(\{G = 0, y_0 = 0, \sigma = 0, \tau = \hat{t}\}\) with Milnor number higher than the generic singularity type at infinity. Notice that we have in fact proved more, namely:

(ii'). there is no component \(D_i \neq D_0\) such that \(D_i \cap D_0 = D \cap \{s = 0\}\).

This ends the proof of (a).

(b). Suppose that there were collision of some singularities out of which two or more \(\lambda\)-singularities are in the same fibre. Then there are at least two different points \(z_i \neq z_j\) of \(\Sigma_s\) which collide as \(s \to 0\). This situation is excluded by the cgst assumption (Definition 5.1).
6. Local conservation and monodromy in $\mu + \lambda$ constant deformations

In §8 we comment a couple of examples where the inequality of Theorem 4.2 is strict. Here we show that, when imposing the constancy of $\mu + \lambda$, this turns into an equality.

**Corollary 6.1.** Let $P$ be a constant degree deformation inside the $B$-class such that $\mu(s) + \lambda(s)$ is constant. Then:

(a) As $s \to 0$, there cannot be loss of $\mu$ or of $\lambda$ with corresponding atypical values tending to infinity.

(b) $\lambda$ is upper semi-continuous, i.e. $\lambda(s) \leq \lambda(0)$.

(c) there is local conservation of $\mu + \lambda$ at any $\lambda$-singularity of $f_0$.

**Proof.** (a). If there is loss of $\mu$ or of $\lambda$, then this must necessarily be compensated by increase of $\lambda$ at some singularity at infinity of $f_0$. But Theorem 4.2 shows that the local $\mu + \lambda$ cannot increase in the limit.

(b). is clear since $\mu(s) + \lambda(s)$ is constant and $\mu(s)$ can only decrease when $s \to 0$.

(c). Global conservation of $\mu + \lambda$ together with local semi-continuity (by Theorem 4.2) imply local conservation. □

**Remark 6.2.** It is interesting to point out that within the class of B-type polynomials there is no inclusion relation between the properties “constant generic singularity type” and “$\mu(s) + \lambda(s)$ constant”, see Examples 8.4, 8.6. We shall see in the following that in the F-class the two conditions are equivalent because of the relation (6.2).

**6.1. Rigidity in deformations with constant $\mu + \lambda$.** For B-type polynomials, we have the formula:

$$b_{n-1}(G_s) = \mu(s) + \lambda(s) = (-1)^{n-1} (\chi^{n,d} - 1) - \sum_{x \in \Sigma_s} \mu_{x,\text{gen}}(s) - (-1)^{n-1} \chi^\infty(s),$$

where $\chi^{n,d} = \chi(V^{n,d}_{\text{gen}}) = n + 1 - \frac{1}{d} \{1 + (-1)^n(d-1)^{n+1}\}$ is the Euler characteristic of the smooth hypersurface $V^{n,d}_{\text{gen}}$ of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\chi^\infty(s) := \chi(\{f_d(x,s) = 0\})$. We denote by $\mu_{x,\text{gen}}(s)$ the Milnor number of the singularity of $\mathbb{V}_{s,t}$ at the point $(x,t) \in \Sigma_s \times \mathbb{C}$, for a generic value of $t$. The change in $b_{n-1}(G_s)$ can be described in terms of change in $\mu_{x,\text{gen}}(s)$ and $\chi^\infty(s)$. Since the latter is not necessarily semi-continuous (cf Examples 8.4, 8.6), we may expect interesting exchange of data between the two types of contributions.

**Proposition 6.3.** Let $\Delta \chi^\infty$ denote $(-1)^n (\chi^\infty(s) - \chi^\infty(0))$.

(a) If $\Delta \chi^\infty < 0$ then the deformation is not cgst.

(b) If $\Delta \chi^\infty = 0$ and the deformation has constant $\mu + \lambda$ then, for all $x \in \Sigma_s$, $\mu_{x,\text{gen}}(s)$ is constant.

(c) If $\Delta \chi^\infty > 0$ then the deformation cannot have constant $\mu + \lambda$. □
For F-type polynomials, formula (6.1) takes the following form, see also [ST2] (2.1) and (2.4):

\[(6.2)\]

\[\mu(s) + \lambda(s) = (d - 1)^n - \sum_{x \in \Sigma_s} \mu_{x, \text{gen}}(s) - \sum_{x \in W_s} \mu_x^\infty(s),\]

where \(\mu_x^\infty(s)\) denotes the Milnor number of the singularity of \(Y_{s,t} \cap H^\infty\) at the point \((x, t) \in W_s \times \mathbb{C}\), which is actually independent on the value of \(t\). Note that in the F-class we have \(\Delta \chi^\infty \geq 0\).

The relation (6.2) shows that the change in the Betti number \(b_{n-1}(G_s)\) can be described in terms of change in the \(\mu_{x, \text{gen}}(s)\) and change in \(\mu_x^\infty(s)\). Both are semi-continuous, so they are forced to be constant in \(\mu + \lambda\) constant families.

Consequently, the class of F-type polynomials such that \(\mu + \lambda\) is constant verifies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2. It has been noticed by the first named author that in the deformations with constant \(\mu + \lambda\) which occur in Siersma-Smeltink’s lists [SS] the value of \(\lambda\) cannot be dropped to 0. Since these deformations are in the F-class and in view of the above observation, this behaviour is now completely explained by Theorem 5.2(a). More precisely, we have proved:

**Corollary 6.4.** Inside the F-class, a \(\lambda\)-singularity cannot be deformed into only \(\mu\)-singularities by a constant degree deformation with constant \(\mu + \lambda\). □

### 6.2. Monodromy in families with constant \(\mu + \lambda\)

For some polynomial \(f_0\), one calls **monodromy at infinity** the monodromy around a large enough disc \(D\) containing all the atypical values of \(f_0\). The locally trivial fibration above the boundary \(\partial \bar{D}\) of the disc is called **monodromy fibration at infinity**.

The global Lé-Ramanujam problem consists in showing the constancy of the monodromy fibration at infinity in a family with constant \(\mu + \lambda\). Actually one can state the same problem for any **admissible loop** \(\gamma\) in \(\mathbb{C}\), i.e. a simple loop (homeomorphic to a circle) such that it does not contain any atypical value of \(f_s\), for all \(s\) close enough to 0.

The second named author proved a Lé-Ramanujam type result for a large class of polynomials, including the B-class (cf [Ti2, Ti3]), with the supplementary condition that there is no loss of \(\mu\) at infinity of type 4.1(b). This hypothesis can now be removed, due to our Corollary 6.1(a). Moreover, the same result clearly holds over any admissible loop. Therefore, by revisiting the statement [Ti3 Theorem 5.2], we get the following more general one:

**Theorem 6.5.** Let \(P\) be a constant degree deformation inside the B-class. If \(\mu + \lambda\) is constant and \(n \neq 3\) then:

(a) **the monodromy fibrations over any admissible loop are isotopic in the family.**

(b) **the monodromy fibrations at infinity are isotopic in the family.**

□
7. Proof of Theorem 4.2

For the proof, we need to define a certain critical locus. First endow $\mathcal{Y}$ with the coarsest Whitney stratification $\mathcal{W}$. Note that (unlike the case of a single polynomial and its attached space $X$ treated in [ST1]) we do not require here that $\mathcal{Y}^\infty$ is a union of strata. Let $\Psi := (\sigma, \tau) : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ be the projection. The critical locus $\text{Crit} \, \Psi$ is the locus of points where the restriction of $\Psi$ to some stratum of $\mathcal{W}$ is not a submersion. When writing $\text{Crit} \, \Psi$ we usually understand a small representative of the germ of $\text{Crit} \, \Psi$ at $\mathcal{Y}_{0,s}$.

It follows that $\text{Crit} \, \Psi$ is a closed analytic set and that its affine part $\text{Crit} \, \Psi \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})$ is the union, over $s \in \mathbb{C}$, of the affine critical loci of the polynomials $f_s$. Notice that both $\text{Crit} \, \Psi$ and its affine part $\text{Crit} \, \Psi \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})$ are in general not product spaces by the $t$-variable. In case of a constant degree one-parameter deformation in the B-class, the stratification $\mathcal{W}$ has a maximal stratum which contains the complement of the 2-surface $\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$. At any point of this complement, all the spaces $\mathcal{Y}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{s,s}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{s,t}$ are nonsingular in the neighbourhood of infinity. Therefore $\text{Crit} \, \Psi \cap (\mathcal{Y}^\infty \setminus \Sigma \times \mathbb{C}) = \emptyset$. Since our deformation is in the B-class, it follows that the affine part $\text{Crit} \, \Psi \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})$ is of dimension at most 1. Next, the map $\Psi$ is submersive over a Zariski-open subset of any 2-dimensional stratum included in $\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$. It follows that the part at infinity of $\text{Crit} \, \Psi$ has dimension $< 2$. We altogether conclude that $\dim \text{Crit} \, \Psi \leq 1$.

Nevertheless, this fact does not insure that the functions $\sigma$ and $\tau$ have isolated singularity with respect to our stratification $\mathcal{W}$. (It is precisely not the case in “almost all” examples.) Nevertheless, in the pencil $\sigma + \varepsilon \tau$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$, all the functions except finitely many of them are functions with isolated singularity at $p$ with respect to the stratification $\mathcal{W}$. Let us fix some $\varepsilon$ close to zero and consider locally, in some good neighbourhood $\mathcal{B}$ of $(p,0) \in \mathcal{Y}$, the couple of functions $\Psi_\varepsilon = (\sigma + \varepsilon \tau, \tau) : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}^2$.

The function $\eta : (\sigma + \varepsilon \tau)^{-1}(0) \to \mathbb{C}$ defines an isolated singularity at $p$ and $(\sigma + \varepsilon \tau)^{-1}(0)$ is a germ of a complete intersection at $p$. By applying the stratified Bouquet Theorem of [Ti1] we get that the Milnor-Lê fibre of $\eta$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of spheres $\bigvee S^{n-1}$. It follows that the general fiber of $\Psi_\varepsilon$—that is $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(s,t)$, for some $(s,t) \notin \text{Disc} \, \Psi$—is homotopy equivalent to the same bouquet $\bigvee S^{n-1}$; let $\rho$ denote the number of $S^{n-1}$ spheres in this bouquet.

On the other hand, the Milnor fiber at $p$ of the function $\sigma + \varepsilon \tau$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet $\bigvee S^n$, by the same result loc.cit.; let $\nu$ denote the number of $S^n$ spheres.

In the remainder, we count the vanishing cycles (I): along $(\sigma + \varepsilon \tau)^{-1}(0)$, respectively (II): along $(\sigma + \varepsilon \tau)^{-1}(u)$, for $u \neq 0$ close enough to 0, and we compare the results. The vanishing cycles are all in dimension $n - 2$. One may use Figure 11 in order to follow the computations; in this picture, the germ of the discriminant locus $\text{Disc} \, \Psi$ at $\Psi(p)$ is the union of the $\tau$-axis, $\sigma$-axis and some other curves.

(I). We start with the fiber $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(0, \delta)$, where $\delta$ is close enough to 0. To obtain $\mathcal{B} \cap (\sigma + \varepsilon \tau)^{-1}(0)$, which is contractible, one attaches to $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(0, \delta)$ a certain number of $(n - 1)$ cells corresponding to the vanishing cycles at infinity, as $t \to 0$, in the family of fibers $\Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(0,t)$. This is exactly the number $\rho$ defined above and it is here the sum of two numbers, corresponding to the attaching in two steps, as we detail in the following.
One is the number of cycles in $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi^{-1}(s, \delta)$, vanishing, as $s \to 0$, at points that tend to $p$ when $\delta$ tends to 0; we denote this number by $\xi$. The other number is the number of cycles in $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi^{-1}(0, t)$, vanishing as $t \to 0$; this number is $\lambda_p(0)$, by definition. From this one may draw the inequality: $\lambda_p(0) \leq \rho$.

(II). Here we start with the fiber $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi^{-1}(u, \delta)$, which is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi^{-1}(0, \delta)$ and to $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi^{-1}(u, \delta)$. The Milnor fiber $\mathcal{B} \cap \{\sigma + \varepsilon \tau = u\}$ cuts the critical locus $\text{Crit}\Psi$ at certain points $p_k$. The number of points, counted with multiplicities, is equal to the local intersection number $\text{int}_p(\{\sigma + \varepsilon \tau = 0\}, \text{Crit}\Psi)$. When walking along $\mathcal{B} \cap \{\sigma + \varepsilon \tau = u\}$, one has to add to the fiber $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi^{-1}(u, \delta)$ a number of cells corresponding to the vanishing cycles at points $\{\sigma + \varepsilon \tau = u\} \cap \{\sigma = 0\}$, which is just the number $\xi$ defined above, and to the vanishing cycles at points $\{\sigma + \varepsilon \tau = u\} \cap \text{Crit}\Psi \setminus \{\sigma = 0\}$. The intersection number $\text{int}_p(\{\sigma + \varepsilon \tau = 0\}, \text{Crit}\Psi \setminus \{\sigma = 0\})$ is less or equal to the intersection number $\text{int}_p(\{\sigma = 0\}, \text{Crit}\Psi \setminus \{\sigma = 0\})$. Now, when walking along $\mathcal{B} \cap \{\sigma = u\}$, one has to add to $\mathcal{B} \cap \Psi^{-1}(u, \delta)$ a number of cells corresponding to the vanishing cycles at points $p_i$ and $p_j$, which number is, by definition, $\sum_i \lambda_{p_i}(u) + \sum_j \mu_{p_j}(u)$. We get the inequality: $\xi + \sum_i \lambda_{p_i}(u) + \sum_j \mu_{p_j}(u) \geq \rho + \nu$.

Finally, by collecting the inequalities obtained at steps (I) and (II), we obtain:

$$\lambda_p(0) = \rho - \xi \leq \rho + \nu - \xi \leq \sum_i \lambda_{p_i}(u) + \sum_j \mu_{p_j}(u),$$

which proves our claim. \hfill \Box

8. Examples

8.1. F-class examples; behaviour of $\lambda$.

Example 8.1. $f_s = (xy)^3 + sx^2 + x$, see Figure 2(a).

This is a deformation inside the F-class, with constant $\mu + \lambda$, where $\lambda$ increases. For $s \neq 0$: $\lambda = 1 + 1$ and $\mu = 1$. For $s = 0$: $\lambda = 3$ and $\mu = 0$.
Example 8.2. \( f_s = (xy)^4 + s(xy)^2 + x \), see Figure 2(b).
This deformation has constant \( \mu = 0 \), \( \lambda(0) = 2 \) at one point and \( \lambda(s) = 1 + 1 \) at two points at infinity which differ by the value of \( t \) only, namely \([0 : 1], s, 0\) and \([0 : 1], s, -s^2/4\).

Example 8.3. \( f_s = x^4 + s(xy)^2 + y \), see Figure 2(c).
Here \( \lambda \) decreases. For \( s \neq 0 \): \( \lambda = 2 \) and \( \mu = 5 \). For \( s = 0 \): \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( \mu = 0 \).

- \( \sigma \) \( \lambda \) increases
- \( \sigma \) \( \lambda \) is constant
- \( \sigma \) \( \lambda \) decreases

Figure 2. Mixed splitting in (a) and (c); pure \( \lambda \)-splitting in (b).

8.2. B-class examples. We use in this section formula (6.1). We pay special attention to the sign of \( \Delta \chi^\infty \) and illustrate the difference between cgst-type deformations and \((\mu + \lambda)\)-constant deformations.

Example 8.4. \( f_s = x^4 + sz^4 + z^3 + y \).
This is a deformation inside the B-class with constant \( \mu + \lambda \), which is not cgst at infinity (Definition 5.1). We have \( \lambda = \mu = 0 \) for all \( s \). Next, \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \) is singular only at \( p := [0 : 1 : 0] \) and the singularities of \( \mathbb{Y}_{0,t}^\infty \) change from a single smooth line \( \{x^4 = 0\} \) with a special point \( p \) on it into the isolated point \( p \) which is a \( E_7 \) singularity of \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t}^\infty \). We use the notation \( \oplus \) for the Thom-Sebastiani sum of two types of singularities in separate variables. We have:

- \( s = 0 \): the generic type is \( A_3 \oplus E_7 \) with \( \mu = 21 \) and \( \chi(\mathbb{Y}_{0,t}^\infty) = 2 \).
- \( s \neq 0 \): the generic type is \( A_3 \oplus E_6 \) with \( \mu = 18 \) and \( \chi(\mathbb{Y}_{s,t}^\infty) = 5 \).

The jumps of +3 and \(-3\) compensate each other.

Example 8.5. \( f_s = x^4 + sz^4 + z^2y + z \).
This is a \( \mu + \lambda \) constant B-type family, with two different singular points of \( \mathbb{Y}_{0,t} \) at infinity, and where the change in one point interacts with the other. It is locally cgst in one point, but not in the other. We have that \( \lambda = 3 \) and \( \mu = 0 \) for all \( s \), \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \) is singular at \( p := [0 : 1 : 0] \in H^\infty \) for all \( s \) (see types below) and at \( q := [1 : 0 : 0] \in H^\infty \) with type \( A_3 \). The singularities of \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t}^\infty \) change from a single smooth line \( \{x^4 = 0\} \) into the isolated point \( p \) with \( E_7 \) singularity.

For the point \( p \) we have for all \( s \) the generic type \( A_3 \oplus D_5 \) if \( t \neq 0 \), which jumps to \( A_3 \oplus D_6 \) if \( t = 0 \). This causes \( \lambda = 3 \).

At \( q \), the \( A_3 \)-singularity for \( s = 0 \) gets smoothed (independently of \( t \)) and here the deformation is not locally cgst. The change on the level of \( \chi(\mathbb{Y}_{s,t}^\infty) \) is from 2 to 5, so \( \Delta \chi^\infty = -3 \), which compensates the disappearance of the \( A_3 \)-singularity from \( \mathbb{Y}_{0,t} \) to \( \mathbb{Y}_{s,t} \).
Example 8.6. \( f_s = x^2 y + x + z^2 + sz^3 \). This is a cgst B-type family, where \( \mu + \lambda \) is not constant. Notice that \( f_s \) is F-type for all \( s \neq 0 \), whereas \( f_0 \) is not F-type (but still B-type). The generic type at infinity is \( D_4 \) for all \( s \) and there is a jump \( D_4 \to D_5 \) for \( t = 0 \) and all \( s \). For \( s \neq 0 \) a second jump \( D_4 \to D_5 \) occurs for \( t = c/s^2 \), for some constant \( c \).

There are no affine critical points, i.e. \( \mu(s) = 0 \) for all \( s \), but \( \lambda(s) = 2 \) if \( s \neq 0 \) and \( \lambda(0) = 1 \). We have that \( \Lambda(f_s) = \{0, c/s^2\} \) for all \( s \neq 0 \), and that \( \chi^\infty \) changes from 3 if \( s = 0 \) to 2 if \( s \neq 0 \), so \( \Delta \chi^\infty = +1 \).

There is a persistent \( \lambda \)-singularity in the fibre over \( t = 0 \) and there is a branch of the critical locus \( \text{Crit} \Psi \) which is asymptotic to \( t = \infty \).

8.3. Cases of lower semi-continuity at \( \lambda \)-singularities. In Theorem 4.2 we have an inequality which we may write in short-hand as follows, by referring to its proof (formula 7.1):

\[
\lambda = I_{gen} - \nu \leq I_{gen} \leq I_{s=0}
\]

This inequality can have two different sources:

- the nongeneric intersection number \( I_{s=0} \) and its difference to the generic one \( I_{gen} \),
- the number \( \nu \), which is related to the equisingularity properties of \( Y \).

So the excess in the formula is \( \nu + (I_{s=0} - I_{gen}) \). The following examples illustrate the different types of excess: \( \nu \neq 0 \), respectively \( \nu = 0 \) and \( I_{s=0} - I_{gen} > 0 \). In the latter case, the space \( Y \) is singular.

Example 8.7. We start with a F-type polynomial \( f_0 \) and consider a Yomdin deformation \( f_0 - sx_1^d \) for sufficient general \( x_1 \). In this case the space \( Y \) is non-singular and the function \( \sigma + \varepsilon t \) behaves locally as a linear function. It follows that \( \nu = 0 \). Moreover in this case \( I_{s=0} - I_{gen} \) turns out to be positive because of the tangency of some components of the discriminant set to the \( s \)-axis. Compare to [ST2, Theorem 5.4], where the local lower semi-continuity was proved in the case of Yomdin deformations.

Example 8.8. \( f_s = x^2 y^b + x + sxy^k \).

In the range \( \frac{b}{2} < k \leq b \), this has the following data:

- \( s = 0 \): \( \lambda = b, \mu = 0, \lambda + \mu = b \);
- \( s \neq 0 \): \( \lambda = 0, \mu = 2k, \lambda + \mu = 2k \).

Both intersection numbers \( I_{gen} \) and \( I_{s=0} \) are the same and equal to \( 2k \). We read the inequality 8.1 as: \( b = 2k - \nu \leq 2k \leq 2k \). So \( \nu = 2k - b \) and this is positive in case \( \frac{b}{2} < k \leq b \).

For the complementary range \( 1 < k < \frac{b}{2} \) we have a family with an extra \( \lambda \)-discriminant branch at \( t = 0 \). There is the following data here:

- \( s = 0 \): \( \lambda = b, \mu = 0, \lambda + \mu = b \);
- \( s \neq 0 \): \( \lambda = b - 2k, \mu = 2k, \lambda + \mu = b \).

In this range one has \( \nu = 0, \lambda = b = I_{s=0} \), which gives equality in Theorem 4.2. This local conservation is characteristic to families with constant global \( \mu + \lambda \), see Corollary 6.1(c).
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