1. Introduction

Liouville’s theorem from classical complex function theory continues to enjoy new generalizations and interpretations in a wide range of mathematical disciplines, see e.g. [8, 12]. In this article, however, we return to its origin from a different perspective. It is well-known that the classical Liouville theorem for an entire function $f$ can be derived from the vanishing of the coefficients in its Taylor series expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$$

under the boundedness assumption. In view of the different versions of Little Picard’s theorem on meromorphic functions with respect to various difference operators discovered in [2, 15, 5, 7], it is natural to ask if there are corresponding Liouville-type theorems for these difference operators. We formulate a general residue theory in a Weyl algebraic setting that allows us to consider Liouville’s theorem for the (forward) difference operator $\Delta f(x) = f(x + 1) - f(x)$. Our theory can also handle the backward difference operator $\nabla f(x) = f(x) - f(x - 1)$, the $q$-difference operator $D_q f(x) = (f(x) - f(x/q))/(x - x/q)$ (11, 14, 17, p. 196), and of course, the differential operator $D f(x) = f'(x)$.

Here the Taylor series expansions are replaced by expansions in interpolation polynomials appropriate to their respective difference operators. In the case of
difference operator $\Delta$, they are replaced by Newton series expansions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k (x-1) \cdots (x-k+1),$$

(1.1)

which converge in an appropriate half-plane or possibly the whole plane [14, pp. 163-168].

What are the natural growth restrictions that lead to the vanishing of the Newton expansion coefficients?

It turns out that this problem is related, except in historical interests [20, 21, 26], to what appears to be a forgotten work of Boole [4] amongst many British mathematicians [20, p. 157] about series solutions to differential and finite difference equations which is entirely formal. Boole noticed that there is a unified, formal algebraic framework underlying these series solutions. Indeed Boole [4] suggested to consider two operators $\rho$ and $\pi$ which satisfy the relation

$$\rho f(\pi)u = \lambda f(\pi)\rho u$$

(1.2)

for every function $u$ on which those operators act, and every polynomial $f(\cdot)$; and $\lambda$ is another operator acting on $f$, e.g. $\lambda f(\pi) = f(\phi(\pi))$ for some $\phi$. This important relation about the syntax of $\rho$ and $\pi$ is generally being regarded as a prelude to Boole’s foundational work in symbolic logic [20, 21, 26, 22]. However, the relation (1.2) can also be regarded, from today’s viewpoint, as a kind of “generalised commutator relation” involving the symbols $\rho$ and $\pi$. Applying two different semantics to $\rho$ and $\pi$, Boole successfully solved, amongst others, for series solutions to confluent hypergeometric type differential equations [4, p. 236, Ex. 1] and difference equations under the same syntactic context. Boole’s approach gives, to the best of authors’ knowledge, the first unifying treatment for different types of series expansions mentioned above.

For different choices of the $\lambda$ that appears in (1.2) (which “quantifies” the relationship between $\rho$ and $\pi$) against their corresponding (e.g. differential/difference) operators, we provide a unifying modern approach using Weyl algebra and its modifications, including $q$-Weyl algebras. In this approach, when we work with the Weyl algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}(X, \partial)/((\partial X - X\partial - 1),$$

a usual entire function $g$ having Taylor series expansion

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$$

is identified as an element

$$G = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X^k$$

in the left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\overline{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial}$ in an X-adic completion [6]; and the derivative $g'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^{k-1}$ will then be correspondingly identified as the element $\partial G = \partial \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k X^{k-1}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial}$. Here the symbol $X$ need not be interpreted as the usual monomial, but instead, the pair of symbols $X$ and $\partial$ play respectively the roles of $\rho$ and $\pi$ in (1.2). In the classical setting, the extraction of the Taylor coefficients $b_k$ in the proof of Liouville’s theorem was done by
Cauchy’s contour integrals. In the current setting, the extraction of the coefficients $b_k$ is done by measuring the obstruction to the existence of “antiderivatives” of $G/X^{k+1}$ using the map $\text{Res}$ in the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial \overset{\partial X}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial \overset{\text{Res}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0.$$  

The criteria of vanishing of the coefficients will then depend on the map $\text{Res}$ above. In the classical setting, this map is presented as a Cauchy integral and the usual boundedness condition will suffice; in the setting that is appropriate for Newton series expansions, it turns out that one can present this map $\text{Res}$ as a Barnes-type integral, so one needs a growth restriction along infinite vertical straight lines, and a “boundedness” condition in direction parallel to the positive real axis, in order to make the coefficients vanish. Different choices of the maps $\text{Res}$ with respect to their corresponding operators would yield different “boundedness” conditions.

This article is organized as follows. In §2, we first introduce the Weyl-algebraic framework of our theory, together with a realization of it in the context of difference operator. We start with some preliminary constructions with the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in §2.1 which will lead to various kinds of series expansions for entire functions when different left $\mathcal{A}$-modules are considered. In §2.2 the construction will be extended by allowing $1/X$ to come into play, so that one can handle Laurent-type series expansions and talk about the notion of residues. In §2.3 a difference operator analogue of Liouville’s theorem will be proved. The machinery developed so far will help in extracting the coefficients in the series expansions. Following the same structure of §2 the $q$-difference operator will then be investigated in §3 and the classical situation regarding the differential operator will be handled briefly in §4. Finally in §5 we will review our main results, give an application of our difference Liouville’s theorem to strengthen a theorem by de Branges [9] about the periodicity of a Hilbert space of entire functions defined by him [10], and to discuss on possible further generalizations of our theory in special functions.

Throughout this article, we adopt the following notations:

1. $\mathbb{C}^*$ denotes the punctured complex plane $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$.
2. For each open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{O}(U)$ denotes the space of all analytic functions defined on $U$.
3. For each $r > 0$ and each entire function $f$, the maximum modulus of $f$ on the circle of radius $r$ centered at 0 is denoted by $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$.

2. Main results: Difference Liouville Theorem

2.1. The Weyl algebra. We start by introducing the notion of the Weyl algebra. It is the following non-commutative algebra generated by two symbols which we call $X$ and $\partial$. It contains all the essential algebraic information of polynomial bases of various kinds.

Definition 2.1. The Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is the (non-commutative) $\mathbb{C}$-algebra defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}\langle X, \partial \rangle/\langle \partial X - X \partial - 1 \rangle.$$
Fix \( L \in \mathcal{A} \), and denote by \( \mathcal{A}L \) the left ideal generated by \( L \). Then the quotient \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}L \) is a left \( \mathcal{A} \)-module. In this article, we focus on the simplest case when \( L = \partial \). Then the left \( \mathcal{A} \)-module \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial \) becomes the “universal model” for the various spaces of analytic functions each of which is equipped with a specific operator. It is of course possible to study such a quotient with other choices of \( L \), but we will leave this to future works.

In order to handle series expansions of entire functions, it is not enough to just consider the quotient \( \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial \), but to enlarge \( \mathcal{A} \) so that the quotient above contains not only polynomials in \( X \) but also power series in \( X \).

**Definition 2.2.** Fix a \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector subspace \( \mathbb{C}[X] \subset F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]] \) of the space of formal power series in \( X \). We use the notation \( \mathcal{A}_F \) to denote the ring extension of \( \mathcal{A} \) generated by \( F \) and \( \partial \) as a \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra, subject to the relation \( \partial X - X \partial - 1 \). We suppress the emphasis of the dependence of \( \mathcal{A}_F \) on \( F \) in later usages when there is no ambiguity.

As we will see later in §4, when \( \partial \) is interpreted as the usual differential operator \( D \), the above set-up recovers the Maclaurin expansions (and hence the classical Liouville theorem). In fact, the above set-up is completely general, and a slight modification of the algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) would allow us to handle the Jackson \( q \)-difference operator [17, p. 196], to be considered in §3.

### 2.1.1. Application to the difference operator \( \Delta \).

Recall from [14] pp. 163-168 (see also [23], p. 109) that (i) a Newton series (1.1) converges uniformly on every compact subset of \( \mathbb{C} \) if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln|\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (-1)^k k! a_k|}{\ln n} = -\infty,
\]

and that (ii) an entire function \( f \) admits a Newton series expansion if it is of exponential type at most \( \ln 2 \), i.e.

\[
\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\ln^+ M(r, f)}{r} \leq \ln 2.
\]

This motivates the following construction.

As in Definition 2.2 we let \( F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]] \) be the subspace defined by

\[
F = \left\{ \sum_k a_k X^k : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln|\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (-1)^k k! a_k|}{\ln n} = -\infty \right\}
\]

and let \( \mathcal{A}_F \) be the \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra generated by \( F \) and \( \partial \) subject to the relation \( \partial X - X \partial - 1 \). Then \( \mathcal{A}_F \) contains \( \mathcal{A} \) as a subalgebra, and from now on we denote \( \mathcal{A}_F \) by just \( \mathcal{A} \). If \( H \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}) \) denotes the subspace consisting of entire functions of exponential type at most \( \ln 2 \), then \( H \) is endowed with the structure of a left \( \mathcal{A} \)-module by

\[
(X f)(t) = tf(t-1);
(\partial f)(t) = f(t+1) - f(t) \quad \text{for all } f \in H \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

(2.1)
Fix any 1-periodic entire function $p$ (i.e. $p(t + 1) = p(t)$ for all $t$), then we obtain a left $\mathcal{A}$-linear map

$$N : \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial \to Hp.$$  

(2.2)

In particular, when $p$ is the constant function 1, the series

$$\left[ \sum_k a_k X^k \right] \cdot 1 = \sum_k a_k (x - 1) \cdots (x - k + 1)$$

becomes the Newton series expansion of the entire function $N[\sum_k a_k X^k]$. Clearly we obtain a more general expansion when $p$ is chosen to be a non-constant 1-periodic function.

2.2. Residues. In this subsection we discuss the notion of residues. Recall that if $\mathcal{O}$ and $\Omega$ are the sheaves of analytic functions and one-forms on $\mathbb{C}^*$ respectively, then the classical residue is the map $\text{Res}$ which fits into the exact sequence

$$H^0(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(\mathbb{C}^*, \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^1(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}) \to 0,$$

where the map $d$ is the exterior derivative, whose definition originates from the usual derivative $D$. In this article, however, the usual derivative $D$ is just a special interpretation of the symbol $\partial$ in the Weyl algebra, so the topological tool above would be lost if one attempts to interpret $\partial$ alternatively. Local cohomologies (see [16]) turns out to be the pure algebraic tool suitable for this purpose and $\text{Res}$ is now a map which fits into the exact sequence

$$H^1_0(\mathbb{C}[X]) \xrightarrow{\partial X} H^1_0(\mathbb{C}[X]) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^2_0(\mathbb{C}) \to 0.$$

Here the local cohomology $H^1_0(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is the space of principal parts at 0. Just for the narrow purpose in this article, the general theory of local cohomologies is not needed. The first task is to introduce the symbol $X^{-1}$ or $1/X$ so that the discussion of principal parts mentioned above is possible.

Definition 2.3. Choose a $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace $\mathbb{C}[X] \subset F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]]$. We use the notation $\mathcal{A}_0$ to denote the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $F$, $\frac{1}{X}$ and $\partial$, subject to the relation $\partial X - X\partial - 1$. The dependence on $F$ in the notation $\mathcal{A}_0$ is again suppressed for simplicity.

In the rest of this section, a choice of the subspace $F$ is understood without further emphasis.

Lemma 2.4. The cokernel of the map

$$\mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_0\partial \xrightarrow{\partial X} \mathcal{A}_0/\mathcal{A}_0\partial$$

has $\mathbb{C}$-dimension one and is spanned by $1/X$.

Proof. It is easy to establish by induction that the formula

$$\partial X^n = nX^{n-1} \mod \mathcal{A}_0\partial$$

holds for each integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $X^{-1}$ has no “anti-$\partial$". \qed
Definition 2.5. Let $M$ be a left $A$-module. Then we denote $M_0 = A_0 \otimes_A M$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $M$ be a left $A$-module freely generated by a singleton over $F$. Then the cokernel of the map $M_0 \xrightarrow{\partial} M_0$ is one dimensional.

We now come to define the key map.

Definition 2.7. Let $M$ be a left $A$-module freely generated by a singleton over $F$. Then a choice of an isomorphism $\text{Res} : M_0/\partial M_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a residue map. In particular, $\text{Res} f = 0$ if and only if $f = \partial g$ for some $g \in M_0$ (i.e., $f$ has an “anti-$\partial$”).

Corollary 2.6 implies that any two such choices of the residue map differ just by a non-zero complex scalar multiple.

We see immediately that the extraction of Taylor coefficients by the residue map defined above is in general explicitly described in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8 (Cauchy integral formula). Let $M$ be a left $A$-module which is freely generated by a singleton $\{p\}$ over $F$. For each choice of residue map $\text{Res} : M_0/\partial M_0 \to \mathbb{C}$, there exists a scalar $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that if $f \in M$ has the “Taylor series expansion”
\[ f = \left[ \sum_k a_k X^k \right] p, \]
then
\[ a_k = c \text{ Res} \left( \frac{1}{X^{k+1}} f \right), \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.3) \]

2.3. Liouville’s theorem for the difference operator $\Delta$. We emphasise that the development in §2.1–2.2 about residues is completely general where the symbol “$\partial$” is up to interpretation. We finally come to our first version of Liouville’s theorem by considering the left $A$-module effected by the interpretation (2.1), i.e. $(\partial f)(x) = \Delta f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$.

The following classical result of Whittaker states that for any given entire function $f$, we have $\text{Res} f = 0$. That is, an “anti-difference” always exists:

Theorem 2.9 ([27](pp. 22–24)). If $f$ is an entire function, then there exists an entire function $g$ (of the same order as $f$) such that
\[ f(x) = \partial g(x) = g(x+1) - g(x). \]

Theorem 2.10 (Difference Liouville’s theorem). Let $f$ be an entire function. If there exists a 1-periodic meromorphic function $p$ such that
\begin{enumerate}
  \item $\frac{f}{p}$ is entire and is of exponential type at most $\ln 2$, and
\end{enumerate}
(2) there exists a sequence of positive real numbers \( \{x_n\} \) diverging to \(+\infty\) such that

\[
\frac{f}{p}(x_n + iy) = o(x_n)
\]

for every \( y \in \mathbb{R} \) uniformly as \( n \to \infty \),

then \( f \) is 1-periodic.

We note that the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem does not apply to functions described in Theorem 2.10. In fact, these two theorems are independent of each other.

2.3.1. Proof of difference Liouville’s theorem. Theorem 2.10 will be established in several steps below.

Construction of a residue map. Fix a 1-periodic function \( p \), and let \( M = \mathcal{H}p \) be a left \( \mathcal{A} \)-module where \( \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}) \) is the subspace of entire functions consisting of exponential growth of type \( \ln 2 \) at most as defined previously in §2.1.1. For each \( a > 0 \), one defines the residue as the following map

\[
\text{Res} : \frac{M_0}{\partial M_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
\]

\[
f \mapsto \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} \frac{f(x)}{p(x)} e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \, dx,
\]

where the improper integral is understood as its Cauchy principal value. Here the weight function \( e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \) guarantees the convergence of the improper integral. We first show that this residue map is well-defined.

Lemma 2.11. If \( f = \partial g \) for some \( g \in M_0 \), then \( \text{Res } f = 0 \).

Proof. If \( f(x) = g(x + 1) - g(x) \), then

\[
\int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} \frac{f(x)}{p(x)} e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \, dx = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \int_{a-iT}^{a+iT} \frac{f(x)}{p(x)} e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \, dx
\]

\[
= \lim_{T \to +\infty} \left( \int_{a-iT}^{a+1+iT} \frac{g(x + 1) - g(x)}{p(x)} e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \, dx - \int_{a-iT}^{a+1-iT} \frac{g(x)}{p(x)} e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \, dx \right)
\]

\[
= \lim_{T \to +\infty} \int_{\Gamma_T} \frac{g(x)}{p(x)} e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \, dx
\]

\[
= 0
\]

by Cauchy’s integral theorem, since the contour \( \Gamma_T \) is the boundary of the rectangle with vertices \( a + iT \), \( a - iT \), \( a + 1 - iT \) and \( a + 1 + iT \), and the two improper (line) integrals

\[
\lim_{T \to +\infty} \int_{[a \pm iT, a+1 \pm iT]} \frac{g(x)}{p(x)} e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \, dx
\]

both vanish. \( \square \)
Although the choice of the weight function \( w(x) = e^{-\cos(2\pi x)} \) used in the above proof is not unique, Corollary 2.6 ensures that the residue map \( \text{Res} \) is, however, unique up to a constant multiple.

**Lemma 2.12.** Let \( f \) be an entire function of exponential type at most \( \ln 2 \). If there exists a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) of positive real numbers tending to \( +\infty \) such that

\[
f(x_n + iy) = o(x_n)
\]

for every \( y \in \mathbb{R} \) uniformly as \( n \to \infty \), then \( f \) is a constant.

**Proof.** Let \( H \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}) \) be the subspace consisting of entire functions of exponential type at most \( \ln 2 \), which is considered as a left \( A \)-module as in \( \S \) 2.1.1. Now \( f \in H \) admits a “Newton series expansion”

\[
f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X^k \cdot 1
\]

via the map (2.2). Note that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) has either a subsequence \( \{x_{n_j}\} \) such that \( \cos(2\pi x_{n_j}) \geq 0 \) for all \( j \), or a subsequence \( \{x_{n_j}\} \) such that \( \cos(2\pi x_{n_j}) \leq 0 \) for all \( j \). In the former case we choose the residue map to be

\[
\text{Res} f = \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} f(t) e^{-\cos(2\pi t)} \, dt
\]

as before, while in the latter case we choose the residue map to be

\[
\text{Res} f = \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} f(t) e^{\cos(2\pi t)} \, dt
\]

instead (Here \( a \) can be any positive real number because of Cauchy’s integral theorem). Then in both cases, we have

\[
|e^{\mp \cos(2\pi t)}| = e^{\pm \cos(2\pi \Re t) \cosh(2\pi \Im t)} \leq 1
\]

when \( \Re t = x_{n_j} \). So for every \( k \geq 1 \), we have by Theorem 2.8

\[
|a_k| = |c \text{ Res} \left( \frac{1}{X^{k+1}} \right)|
\]

\[
= \left| c \int_{x_{n_j} - k - 1 - i\infty}^{x_{n_j} - k - 1 + i\infty} f(t + k + 1) \frac{(t+1)(t+2)\cdots(t+k+1)}{(t+1)(t+2)\cdots(t+k+1)} e^{\mp \cos(2\pi t)} \, dt \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{|c|}{(x_{n_j} - k)^{k+1}} \max_{Rt=x_{n_j}} |f(t)|,
\]

which tends to 0 as \( j \to \infty \). Therefore \( a_k = 0 \) for every \( k \geq 1 \). \( \square \)

**Completion of the proof of Theorem 2.10.** We simply apply Lemma 2.12 to the function \( f/p \) in order to complete the proof. \( \square \)

3. \( q \)-Liouville’s theorems

We briefly discuss how to modify the Weyl algebra (2.1) into the setting of \( q \)-difference operator. In the whole \( \S \) 3, we let \( q \in \mathbb{C}^* \) and suppose that \( |q| \neq 1 \).
3.1. The $q$-Weyl algebra.

**Definition 3.1.** The $q$-Weyl algebra $A_q$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra defined by

$$A_q = \mathbb{C}(X, \partial)/\langle \partial X - qX\partial - 1 \rangle.$$  

**Remark 3.2.** One can recover the results concerning $A$ from §2 by taking limit $q \to 1$ in those results concerning $A_q$.

Fix $L \in A_q$, and denote by $A_qL$ the left ideal generated by $L$. Then the quotient $A_q/A_qL$ is a left $A_q$-module.

**Definition 3.3.** Fix a $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace $\mathbb{C}[X] \subset F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ of the space of formal power series in $X$. We use the notation $A_q, F$ to denote the ring extension of $A_q$ generated by $F$ and $\partial$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, subject to the relation $\partial X - qX\partial - 1$. We suppress the emphasis of the dependence of $A_q, F$ on $F$ in later usages when there is no ambiguity.

**3.1.1. Applications to Jackson’s $q$-difference operator.** Suppose that $0 < |q| < 1$. As in Definition 3.3, we let $F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ be the subspace defined by

$$F = \left\{ \sum_k a_kX^k : \limsup_n |a_n|^{1/n} < 1 \right\}.$$  

and let $A_q, F$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $F$ and $\partial$ subject to the relation $\partial X - qX\partial - 1$. Then $A_q, F$ contains $A_q$ as a subalgebra, and from now on we denote $A_q, F$ by just $A_q$. Consider the subspace $H \subset O(\mathbb{C}^*)$ consisting of all analytic functions defined on the punctured complex plane which have $q$-exponential growth of order $\ln |q|^{-1}$. Then $H$ is endowed with the structure of a left $A_q$-module by

$$(Xf)(t) = (t-1)f(qt);$$  

$$(\partial f)(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(t/q)}{t - t/q}$$  

for all $f \in H$ and all $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$.  

Fix any “periodic function” $p$ (i.e. $p(qt) = p(t)$ for all $t$, so that $p$ must in fact be a constant), then we obtain a left $A_q$-linear map

$$N_q : A_q/\partial A_q \rightarrow H. \tag{3.3}$$

Similar to the previous application in §2.1.1, take $p$ to be the constant function 1, then we recover

$$\sum_k (-1)^k a_k (1-x)(1-qx)\cdots(1-q^{k-1}x)$$

as the series expansion of the analytic function $N_q[\sum_k a_kX^k]$ in the polynomial base $\{x; q_n\}$. On the other hand, if $|q| > 1$, then in Definition 3.3 we let $F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ be the subspace defined by

$$F = \left\{ \sum_k a_kX^k : \limsup_n |a_n|^{1/n} < |q^{-1}| \right\}.$$
Then the same left \( \mathcal{A}_q \)-module structure as in (3.2) and (3.3) can be equipped on the whole space \( \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}) \) of all entire functions, and we obtain the same kind of series expansions in the polynomial base \( \{(x; q)_n\} \).

In whichever case for \( |q| \) above, if instead of (3.2), we endow \( \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*) \) with a slightly different left \( \mathcal{A}_q \)-module structure
\[
(Xf)(t) = tf(qt);
\]
\[
(\partial f)(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(t/q)}{t - t/q}
\]
for all \( f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*) \) and all \( t \in \mathbb{C}^* \), (3.4) and choose the map
\[
\tilde{N}_q : \mathcal{A}_q / \mathcal{A}_q \partial \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*).
\]
(3.5) instead of (3.3) (note that the definition of the scalar multiplications in (3.3) and (3.5) are different), then it gives a series expansion of the analytic function \( \tilde{N}_q \sum_k a_k X^k \) which assumes the form
\[
\sum_k a_k q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^k.
\]
This includes the well-known basic hypergeometric series [13].

Once we have fixed the basic notations, the following statements which are parallel to those from [23] become self-evident.

### 3.2. \( q \)-Residues.

**Definition 3.4.** Choose a \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector subspace \( \mathbb{C}[X] \subset F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]] \). We use the notation \( \mathcal{A}_{q,0} \) to denote the \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra generated by \( F, \frac{1}{X} \) and \( \partial \), subject to the relation \( \partial X - qX \partial - 1 \). The dependence on \( F \) in the notation \( \mathcal{A}_{q,0} \) is again suppressed for simplicity.

In the rest of this section, a choice of \( F \) is understood without further emphasis.

**Lemma 3.5.** The cokernel of the map
\[
\mathcal{A}_{q,0} / \mathcal{A}_{q,0} \partial \to \mathcal{A}_{q,0} / \mathcal{A}_{q,0} \partial
\]
has \( \mathbb{C} \)-dimension one and is spanned by \( 1/X \).

**Proof.** One easily proves by induction that
\[
\partial X^n = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} X^{n-1} \mod \mathcal{A}_{q,0} \partial
\]
for each \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Definition 3.6.** Let \( M \) be a left \( \mathcal{A}_q \)-module. Then we denote
\[
M_0 = \mathcal{A}_{q,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_q} M.
\]
Corollary 3.7. Let $M$ be a left $\mathcal{A}_q$-module freely generated by a singleton over $F$. Then the cokernel of

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{\partial} M_0$$

is one dimensional.

Definition 3.8. Let $M$ be a left $\mathcal{A}_q$-module freely generated by a singleton over $F$. Then a choice of an isomorphism

$$\text{Res} : M_0/\partial M_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is called a residue map.

Theorem 3.9 (Cauchy integral formula). Let $M$ be a left $\mathcal{A}_q$-module which is freely generated by a singleton $\{p\}$ over $F$. For each choice of residue map $\text{Res} : M_0/\partial M_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a scalar $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that if $f \in M$ has the “Taylor series expansion”

$$f = \sum_k a_k X^k p,$$

then

$$a_k = c \text{ Res} \left( \frac{1}{X^{k+1}} f \right) \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.6)$$

3.3. $q$-Liouville’s theorems. Suppose that $0 < |q| < 1$, let $F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ be the subspace as defined in (3.1) and let $H \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ be the first left $\mathcal{A}_q$-module in §3.1.1, defined by (3.2) and (3.3).

Construction of a residue map. For each $r > 1$, one defines the residue as

$$\text{Res} : H_0/\partial H_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D(0,r)} f(x) dx.$$ 

It is easy to see that this residue map is also well-defined.

Lemma 3.10. If $f = \partial g$ for some $g \in H_0$, then $\text{Res } f = 0$.

Proof. If $f(x) = \frac{g(x) - g(x/q)}{x - x/q}$, then

$$\int_{\partial D(0,r)} f(x) dx = \int_{\partial D(0,r)} \frac{g(x) - g(x/q)}{x - x/q} dx$$

$$= \left( \int_{\partial D(0,r)} - \int_{\partial D(0,r/|q|)} \right) \frac{g(x)}{x - x/q} dx$$

$$= 0$$

by Cauchy’s integral theorem, since $g$ is analytic on the annulus bounded by the circles $\partial D(0,r)$ and $\partial D(0,r/|q|)$. \qed
Theorem 3.11. Let $f$ be a holomorphic function defined on $\mathbb{C}^*$, which has $q$-exponential growth of order $< \ln |q^{-1}|$. If
$$|f(t)| = o(|t|)$$
as $t \to \infty$, then $f$ is a constant.

Proof. $H$ is a left $A_q$-module which is freely generated by $\{1\}$ over $F$. By assumption, the given function $f \in H$ has a $q$-Taylor series expansion
$$f = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X^k \right] \cdot 1$$via the map (3.3). Then for each $k \geq 1$ and $r > 0$, we have by Theorem 3.9
$$|a_k| = \left| \text{Res} \left( \frac{1}{X^{k+1}} f \right) \right|$$
$$= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D(0,r)} \frac{f(t/q^{k+1})}{(t/q - 1)(t/q^2 - 1) \cdots (t/q^{k+1} - 1)} dt \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2\pi r M(r/|q|^{k+1}, f)}{r/(|q| - 1)(r/|q|^2 - 1) \cdots (r/|q|^{k+1} - 1)}$$
$$= o(r^{1-k})$$
which tends to 0 as $r \to \infty$. Therefore $a_k = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$. \square

Remark 3.12. If one considered the left $A_q$-module structure defined by (3.4) and (3.5) in this subsection, then the classical Liouville’s theorem would be obtained instead of Theorem 3.11. Although the same formula $a_k = c \text{ Res} \left( \frac{1}{X^{k+1}} f \right)$ works for both left $A_q$-module structures, its interpretation via (3.4) and (3.5) is different from that via (3.2) and (3.3) currently being considered. Also note that although one can obtain the classical Liouville’s theorem this way, it is still conceptually different from obtaining the same theorem using the left $A$-module structure defined by the differential operator, which will be done in §4.

4. Classical Liouville’s theorem

We now return to the Weyl algebra $A$ introduced in §3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open subset. We denote by $O(U)$ the space of analytic functions defined on $U$. Then $O(U)$ is endowed with the structure of a left $A$-module by
$$(Xf)(t) = tf(t); \quad (\partial f)(t) = f'(t)$$
for all $f \in O(U)$ and all $t \in U$.

Let $F \subset \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ be the subspace defined by
$$F = \left\{ \sum_k a_k X^k : \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 0 \right\},$$
and $\mathcal{A}$ be the algebra generated by $F$ and $\partial$ (the dependence of $F$ has again been suppressed in the notation). The map

$$\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$$

becomes an isomorphism of left $\mathcal{A}$-modules. It is the usual Maclaurin series expansion of entire functions.

**Construction of a residue map.** Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ be the left $\mathcal{A}$-module above. For each $r > 0$, one defines the residue as

$$\text{Res} : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})_0/\partial\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D(0,r)} f(x)dx.$$

Hence we obtain

**Theorem 4.1 (Liouville).** Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ be an entire function such that

$$|f(t)| = o(|t|) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Then $f$ is a constant.

**Proof.** $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ is a left $\mathcal{A}$-module which is freely generated by $\{1\}$ over $F$. Expand the given entire function $f$ into a power series

$$f = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X^k \right] \cdot 1.$$

By the hypothesis, $|f(t)| \leq M_r |t|$ for some $M_r$ such that $\lim_{r \to +\infty} M_r = 0$. Then for each $k \geq 1$ and $r > 0$, we have

$$|a_k| = \left| \text{Res} \left( \frac{1}{X^{k+1}} f \right) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\partial D(0,r)} \frac{f(t)dt}{t^{k+1}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} 2\pi r M_r \frac{r^{k-1}}{r^{k+1}}$$

which tends to 0 as $r \to +\infty$. Therefore $a_k = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$. \hfill \Box

5. Concluding remarks

**Discussion of main results.** In this article, we have found different versions of Liouville’s theorems corresponding to various difference operators by adopting an algebraic approach to understanding series expansions in complex function theory. It is found that various kinds of series expansions of analytic or meromorphic functions can all be unified as different interpretations of the same “symbolic” power series, which is an element in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}\partial$. This new point of view has led to a unified residue theory, which is the main ingredient in this article towards obtaining different kinds of Liouville’s theorems obtained.

Although the residue map (2.3) and the Cauchy integral formula (3.6) are in an abstract algebraic setting, it turns out that for the particular cases of difference or
differential operators that we consider, they can be realized using contour integrals. In the consideration of the (forward) difference operator \( \Delta f(x) = f(x + 1) - f(x) \), the residue map is realized as a Barnes-type contour integral; and in the cases of Jackson’s \( q \)-difference operator \( D_q f(x) = [f(x) - f(x/q)]/(x - x/q) \) and the usual differential operator, the residue map is realized as a Cauchy-type contour integral. These machineries therefore lead to the different analogues of Liouville’s theorem obtained in this article. We emphasize that the boundedness conditions in these new analogues of Liouville’s theorem may not be the same as the traditional “boundedness”. Firstly, in the setting of (forward) difference operator: entire functions \( f \) that grow slower than a specific growth rate in the positive real direction reduce to a 1-periodic function, i.e. \( \Delta f = 0 \). Obviously, periodic functions need not be bounded in the classical sense. This conclusion also agrees with the Little Picard theorem for difference operator \( \Delta \) discovered by Halburd and Korhonen [15]. Secondly, for Jackson’s \( q \)-difference operator, holomorphic functions on \( \mathbb{C}^* \) that grow slower than a specific growth rate reduce to an \( f \) such that \( D_q f = 0 \), i.e. a constant. Finally, for differential operator, we recover the classical Liouville’s theorem: an entire function that is bounded (“traditionally”) reduces to a constant.

Application to de Branges’ space of entire functions. Let \( E \) be an entire function which satisfies
\[
|E(\bar{z})| < |E(z)|
\]
for all \( z \) with \( \Im(z) > 0 \). De Branges [9] considered the set \( \mathcal{H}(E) \) of entire functions \( F \) such that
\[
\|F\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{F(t)}{E(t)} \right|^2 dt < \infty
\]
and
\[
|F(z)|^2 \leq \|F\|^2 \frac{|E(z)|^2 - |E(\bar{z})|^2}{2\pi i(z - \bar{z})}
\]
for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). He showed that \( \mathcal{H}(E) \) is a Hilbert space that enjoys special properties (see [9] (H1–3)) that generalise the classical Fourier series theory. He also showed that if \( \mathcal{H}(E) \) is 1-periodic (i.e. every function in \( \mathcal{H}(E) \) is 1-periodic), then one has the factorization
\[
E(z) = F(z) G(z), \tag{5.1}
\]
where \( F \) is an entire function which has only real zeros and satisfies \( F(z - 1) = \pm F(z) \), and \( G \) is an entire function of exponential type with no real zeros, such that \( \mathcal{H}(G) \) is 1-periodic. Our difference Liouville’s Theorem (Theorem 2.10) shows that if we assume further that \( G \) is “bounded” in the difference sense, (i.e., of exponential type \( < \ln 2 \) and grows slower than \( \Re z \) in the direction parallel to the positive real axis), then \( G \) must reduce to constant and \( E \) itself must therefore be periodic according to (5.1).

D-module approach to solutions of difference/differential equations. The Weyl algebraic point of view appears to be useful in studying almost all aspects of complex function theory, whenever differential and/or difference operators are involved [6]. As an example, each family of classical hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials or basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials in the Askey scheme [17] can be presented as polynomial solutions to differential or \((q)\)-difference equations. One can then make use of the Weyl algebraic machinery introduced in this article to study
these families of polynomials as elements in left $A$-modules $A/AL$ for different choices of $L \in A$. At this point, we should mention that our $D$-module approach is different from the more well-known umbral calculus approach advocated by the Rota school \cite{25} since the 1970’s.

Specifically, from the Weyl algebraic point of view, one realizes that the Charlier polynomial $C_n(x; a)$ is in fact a “difference analogue” of the simple binomial $(1 - x/a)^n$. To see this, one refers to the Rodrigues formula for Charlier polynomials \cite{3}, Chap. 5, \cite{19}, which is

$$\frac{a^x}{x!} C_n(x; a) = \nabla^n \frac{a^x}{x!},$$

where $\nabla$ is the backward shift operator defined by $\nabla f(x) = f(x) - f(x - 1)$. One can then rearrange this to become

$$C_n(x; a) = \frac{x!}{a^x} \nabla^n \frac{a^x}{x!} = \left( \frac{x!}{a^x} \nabla \frac{a^x}{x!} \right)^n$$

One notes that for any function $f$,

$$\left( \frac{x!}{a^x} \nabla \frac{a^x}{x!} \right) f(x) = f(x) - \frac{x}{a} f(x - 1) = \left( 1 - \frac{X}{a} \right) f(x),$$

if $X$ is interpreted using the left $A$-module structure (2.1) regarding the (forward) difference operator. As a result, the Charlier polynomial $C_n(x; a)$ is just the image of the map

$$\left( 1 - \frac{X}{a} \right)^n$$

under a scalar multiplication to the constant function 1, similar to (2.2):

$$C_n(x; a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-a)^{-k} x(x - 1) \cdots (x - k + 1).$$

The orthogonality of these polynomials can also be formulated as a pairing making use of the residue map defined in this article, which is now the obstruction of having “anti-$(X - a)$” and is usually expressed as a sum rather than an integral. Their generating functions can also be derived via $D$-modules, making use of a holonomic system of PDEs. It turns out that the $D$-module approach hinted in this paper not only leads to an alternative organisation of classical theories of special functions, but also gives new discoveries of special functions, which will be pursued in a forthcoming work.
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