Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation at 1 Hz modulates locus coeruleus activity and resting state functional connectivity in patients with migraine: An fMRI study
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Background:} Migraine is a common episodic neurological disorder. Literature has shown that transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) at 1 Hz can significantly relieve migraine symptoms. However, its underlying mechanism remains unclear. This study aims to investigate the neural pathways associated with taVNS treatment of migraine.

\textbf{Methods:} Twenty-nine patients with migraine were recruited from outpatient neurology clinics. Each patient attended two magnetic resonance imaging/functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI/fMRI) scan sessions separated by one week. Each session included a pre-stimulation resting state fMRI scan, fMRI scans during real or sham 1 Hz taVNS (with block design), and a post-stimulation resting state fMRI scan.

\textbf{Results:} Twenty-six patients were included in the final analyses. Real taVNS evoked fMRI signal decreases in brain areas belonging to the default mode network (DMN) and brain stem areas including the locus coeruleus (LC), raphe nuclei, parabrachial nucleus, and solitary nucleus. Sham taVNS evoked fMRI signal decreases in brain areas belonging to the DMN. Compared to sham taVNS, real taVNS produced greater deactivation at the bilateral LC. Resting state functional connectivity (rsFC) analysis showed that after taVNS, LC rsFC with the right temporoparietal junction and left secondary somatosensory cortex (S2) significantly increased compared to sham taVNS. The increased rsFC of the left LC-left S2 was significantly negatively associated with the frequency of migraine attacks during the preceding month.

\textbf{Conclusion:} Our results suggest that taVNS at 1 Hz can significantly modulate activity/connectivity of brain regions associated with the vagus nerve central pathway and pain modulation system, which may shed light on the neural mechanisms underlying taVNS treatment of migraine.

1. Introduction

The vagus nerve consists of a complex network that regulates pain, mood, the neuro-endocrine-immune axis, and memory (Kong et al., 2018; Yuan and Silberstein, 2016). Accumulating evidence suggests that transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation may have the potential to relieve symptoms of migraine. In a previous study, Straube et al. (Straube et al., 2015) found that although both 1 Hz and 25 Hz transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) could produce symptom reduction in patients with migraine, those who received 1 Hz...
taVNS had a significantly larger reduction in headache days than patients who received 25 Hz after three months of treatment, highlighting the potential of 1 Hz taVNS for the treatment of migraine. However, the underlying mechanism of 1 Hz taVNS remains unclear.

Studies have suggested that the vagus nerve enters the nucleus tractus solitarius of the central nervous system and projects to the locus coeruleus (LC), parabrachial nucleus (PBN), and raphe nuclei (RN) of the brain stem and then ascends to higher brain regions such as the hippocampus, amygdala, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and hypothalamus (Beekwilder and Beems, 2010). A recent neuroimaging study (Fang et al., 2014) found that, compared with a control condition, taVNS at different frequencies can modulate the activity/connectivity of “classic” central vagal projections. For instance, Kraus et al. found that taVNS at 8 Hz in the left outer auditory canal in healthy subjects significantly decreased the blood oxygen level dependence signal in limbic brain areas such as the amygdala, hippocampus, and para-hippocampal gyrus, and increased activation of the insula, precentral gyrus, and thalamus (Kraus et al., 2007).

To date, few studies have explored the brain response evoked by taVNS in patient populations. In a previous study, we found that taVNS at 20 Hz can produce an fMRI signal increase in the anterior insula and the increase can predict the one-month treatment response in patients with depression (Fang et al., 2017). In addition, we found that continuous taVNS at 20 Hz can significantly modulate the rsFC of the hypothalamus (Tu et al., 2018). In the only taVNS fMRI study with migraine, Garcia et al. investigated brain response to respiratory-gated taVNS at 30 Hz on episodic migraine patients and found that taVNS can modulate brain stem activity in the solitary nucleus and brain response to air-puff stimulation (Garcia et al., 2017). However, the central effect of 1 Hz taVNS, which produced significant symptom relief in patients with migraine (Straube et al., 2015) remains unknown.

In this study, we investigated the brain response to 1 Hz taVNS, as well as resting state functional connectivity (rsFC) changes after taVNS in patients with migraine in the interictal period as compared to sham taVNS. We hypothesized that taVNS may produce brain activity changes through the vagus nerve pathway and modulate the functional connectivity of brain networks associated with chronic pain.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Patients

Twenty-nine patients with migraine were recruited in the present study from outpatient neurology clinics of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine.

Episodic migraineurs without aura were recruited for this study. Similar to our previous study (Li et al., 2017), the diagnosis of migraine was based on the International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd Edition (ICHD-II), as diagnosed by a specialist working at the neurology outpatient service of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1. Aged 18–45 years old; 2. Right-handed; 3. At least six months of migraine duration; 4. Have at least two headache attacks per month; 5. Have not taken any prophylactic headache medications during the past one month; 6. Have not taken any psychoactive or vasoactive drugs during the past three months.

Participants were excluded from the study if any of the following criteria were met: 1. Headache induced by other diseases; 2. Headache attack within 48 h prior to the experiment or during the experiment; 3. Pregnant or lactating; 4. Any other chronic pain conditions; 5. Severe head deformity or intracranial lesions; 6. Score on the Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) or Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) >50.

2.2. Experimental design

A single-blind, crossover fMRI trial design was applied in the present study to investigate the immediate modulation effects of real and sham taVNS. Specifically, each patient attended two MRI scanning visits with identical parameters that were separated by at least one week, one for real taVNS and another for sham taVNS. This crossover design has two advantages compared to a parallel randomized study. First, the influence of confounding covariates is reduced because each crossover patient serves as their own control, and second, crossover designs are statistically efficient and have more power to test hypotheses.

In the present study, we applied auricular vagus nerve electrical stimulation at the left cymba concha (the real stimulation site) (Badran et al., 2018). The control (sham) stimulation site was on the left tail of the helix (Fig. 1). We chose these stimulation sites because a previous study reported that the cymba concha of the ear contains the highest density of auricular vagus nerve projections (100%), while the tail of the helix of the ear is free of cutaneous vagal innervation (Peuker and Filler, 2002). Previous studies also suggested that electrical stimulation of vagal projections in the ear, such as the cymba concha, inner side of the tragus, and outer auditory canal, can produce stronger fMRI signal modulation compared to regions of the ear without vagal innervation, such as the top of the helix (Kraus et al., 2013; Yakunina et al., 2017).

The stimulation was applied with the MRI compatible Electronic Acupuncture Treatment Instrument (SDZII, Huatuo, Suzhou, China) with a continuous wave (frequency: 1 Hz; width: 0.2 ms). Stimulation intensity was adjusted to approximately 1.5–3 mA, the strongest
sensation individuals can tolerate without pain.

All magnetic resonance imaging/(functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) scanning was conducted on a 3.0T Siemens MRI scanner (Siemens MAGNETOM Verio 3.0 T, Erlangen, Germany) with a 24-channel phased-array head coil. Subjects were told to stay awake, remain motionless, and keep their eyes closed during the scan. Each scanning session lasted approximately 30 min. The order of MRI scans was: a high-resolution anatomical image (MPRAGE), an eight-minute resting state functional MRI scan, a five-minute real or sham taVNS fMRI scan (block design), eight minutes of continuous real or sham taVNS (fMRI was not applied during this continuous stimulation period), and another eight-minute resting state functional MRI scan (Fig. 1).

MPRAGE was applied with the following parameters: TR = 1900 ms, TE = 2.27 ms, flip angle = 9°, FOV = 256 mm × 256 mm, matrix = 256 × 256, and slice thickness = 1.0 mm. The resting state functional MRI was acquired with the following parameters: TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, FOV = 224 mm × 224 mm, matrix = 64 × 64, flip angle = 90°, slice thickness = 3.5 mm, interslice gap = 0.7 mm, 31 axial slices paralleled and 240 time points. The real and sham taVNS scans were collected using echoplanar imaging sequences in a block design. The scanning parameters were: TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, FOV = 224 mm × 224 mm, matrix = 64 × 64, slice thickness = 3.5 mm, 31 slices and phases = 150. Each scan consisted of six 20-s “on” conditions separated by 20- or 30-s “off” periods (Fig. 1).

Disease duration, frequency of migraine attacks during the past month, score on the visual analog scale (VAS) before the first MRI scan, and score on the Migraine Specific Quality-of-Life Questionnaire (MSQ) within the ten minutes preceding the first fMRI scan were measured.

3. Data processing and analysis

3.1. Real and sham taVNS fMRI data analysis

Real and sham taVNS fMRI data processing and analysis were performed with SPM12 (https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/) using MATLAB. The first three timepoints were deleted before data preprocessing. The data preprocessing was conducted as follows: slice-timing of functional data to correct for timing differences between slices; realignment to reduce motion-related variance; co-registration of functional and anatomical data and segmentation (grey matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid); spatial normalization to the Montreal Neurological Institute space and smoothing with a 4-mm FWHM kernel. To remove the temporal confounding factors, segmentation of grey matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid areas was employed. A frequency window of 0.01 to 0.089 Hz was used for band-pass filtering.

To eliminate correlations caused by head motion and artifacts, we identified outlier time points in the motion parameters and global signal intensity using ART (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/artifact_detect). Similar to our previous study (Tao et al., 2016), images whose composite movement exceeded 0.5 mm or whose global mean intensity was greater than three standard deviations from the mean image intensity were treated as outliers. The temporal time series of the head motion matrix of outliers was also entered as first-level covariates.

In the first-level analysis, we produced a correlation map for each subject by extracting the BOLD time course from the LC seed and computing Pearson’s correlation coefficients between the time course in the bilateral LC and every voxel of the whole brain. Seed-to-voxel between-group connectivity analyses were performed using a paired t-test (real vs. sham, post-stimulation minus pre-stimulation). A threshold of voxel-wise p < .005 uncorrected and cluster-level p < .05 FDR corrected were applied for comparison between real and sham taVNS.

Given the important role of the ACC, amygdala, and hypothalamus in the pathophysiology of migraine (Brennan and Pietrobon, 2018) and the descending pain modulation system (Li et al., 2016; Millan, 2002), we pre-defined the bilateral amygdala and bilateral ACC as regions of interest (ROIs) including the AAL brain atlas and bilateral hypothalamus from the TD Bradman brain atlas using WFU Pick Atlas toolbox. A threshold of voxel-wise p < .005 was used in data analysis. To correct for multiple comparisons, Monte Carlo simulations using the 3dfWHMx and 3dClustSim [AFNI (https://afni.nimh.nih.gov/) released in July 2017] were applied.

To investigate the association between rsFC change and clinical outcomes (frequency of migraine attack, disease duration, VAS, MSQ scores), we extracted the average z values of the brain regions that had significant rsFC with the LC after taVNS. We then performed a multiple regression analysis between the mean beta values change (real taVNS minus sham taVNS) and the clinical outcomes (frequency of migraine attack, disease duration, VAS, MSQ scores) of all subjects after Bonferroni correction.

3.2. Seed-to-voxel resting state functional connectivity analysis

The seed-to-voxel correlational analysis was applied with CONN toolbox v17.C (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/conn) (Liu et al., 2019a, 2019b; Tao et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2016). The bilateral LC, which was evoked by the taVNS (21 voxels, real < sham, see the Results section and Table 2 for details), was used as the region of interest.

In the first-level analysis, we produced a correlation map for each subject by extracting the BOLD time course from the LC seed and computing Pearson’s correlation coefficients between the time course in the bilateral LC and every voxel of the whole brain. Seed-to-voxel between-group connectivity analyses were performed using a paired t-test (real vs. sham, post-stimulation minus pre-stimulation). A threshold of voxel-wise p < .005 uncorrected and cluster-level p < .05 FDR corrected were applied for comparison between real and sham taVNS.

Given the important role of the ACC, amygdala, and hypothalamus in the pathophysiology of migraine (Brennan and Pietrobon, 2018) and the descending pain modulation system (Li et al., 2016; Millan, 2002), we pre-defined the bilateral amygdala and bilateral ACC as regions of interest (ROIs) including the AAL brain atlas and bilateral hypothalamus from the TD Bradman brain atlas using WFU Pick Atlas toolbox. A threshold of voxel-wise p < .005 was used in data analysis. To correct for multiple comparisons, Monte Carlo simulations using the 3dfWHMx and 3dClustSim [AFNI (https://afni.nimh.nih.gov/) released in July 2017] were applied.

To investigate the association between rsFC change and clinical outcomes (frequency of migraine attack, disease duration, VAS, MSQ scores), we extracted the average z values of the brain regions that had significant rsFC with the LC after taVNS. We then performed a multiple regression analysis between the mean beta values change (real taVNS minus sham taVNS) and the clinical outcomes (frequency of migraine attack, disease duration, VAS, MSQ scores) of all subjects after Bonferroni correction.

4. Results

Twenty-nine patients with migraine were recruited. Among those, one patient refused to participate in the MRI scan, and one subject diagnosed with a cerebral lacunar infarction and another with an arachnoid cyst were withdrawn. Twenty-six (four male and twenty-two female) patients completed the study and were included in data analysis.
4.2. Resting state functional connectivity results

VAS, Visual Analog Scale; MSQ, Migraine Specific Quality-of-Life Questionnaire; SDS, Self-rating Depression Scale; SAS, Self-rating Anxiety Scale.

Frequency: the frequency of migraine attacks during the past month. VAS measures the average attack intensity of the four weeks preceding the first fMRI scanning. The MSQ, SDS, and SAS were administered within the ten minutes preceding the first fMRI scanning.

A whole-brain one sample t-test showed that real taVNS produced fMRI signal changes evoked by real and sham taVNS. A direct comparison between real and sham taVNS showed significantly increased rsFC in the right temporoparietal junction (TPJ), right para-hippocampus/hippocampus, right superior temporal gyrus, and left central operculum/secondary somatosensory cortex (S2) following real taVNS compared to sham taVNS.

ROI analysis showed significantly increased LC rsFC in the left amygdala (k = 20) during real taVNS compared to sham taVNS at the threshold we set (Table 3, Fig. 2B1–B3).

In addition, we extracted the average z values of all five brain regions (Table 3), that had significant rsFC with the LC after taVNS and assessed their association with clinical outcomes (frequency of migraine attack, disease duration, VAS, MSQ scores). We found a significant negative association between the rsFC z value change at the left S2 and the frequency of migraine attacks in the preceding month (p = .002, r = −.58), and a significant positive association between disease duration and rsFC z value change at the right TPJ (p = .002, r = 0.57) across all subjects after Bonferroni correction (0.05/20).

5. Discussion

In the present study, we investigated fMRI signal changes and resting state functional connectivity changes evoked by real and sham 1 Hz taVNS in migraineurs. We found that real taVNS produced fMRI signal increases at the insula, operculum, putamen, and caudate and MRI signal decreases in the brain areas belonging to the default mode network (DMN), bilateral LC, left SN, and right RN/PBN of the brainstem. Sham taVNS also produced deactivation in the brain areas belonging to the DMN. Compared to sham stimulation, real taVNS produced significant fMRI signal decreases at the LC. Using the LC as a seed, we found that real taVNS produced significant rsFC increases with the right TPJ, right para-hippocampus, left S2, and left amygdala compared to sham taVNS. The increased rsFC with the left S2 was significantly negatively associated with the frequency of migraine attacks during the preceding month. These findings suggest that taVNS at 1 Hz can significantly modulate brain regions associated with the vagus system.
Table 2
Change in fMRI signal evoked by real and sham taVNS and group difference between real and sham taVNS (mPFC: medial prefrontal cortex; PCC: posterior cingulate cortex; ACC: anterior cingulate cortex).

| Contrast  | Cluster | Brain region                    | Peak T value | Peak Z value | MNI coordinates |
|-----------|---------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
|           |         |                                  |              |              | X  | Y  | Z    |
| Real taVNS| On>Off  | 506 Right putamen                | 5.99         | 4.67         | 28  | 0  | −2   |
|           |         | Right pallidum                   | 5.43         | 4.37         | 20  | 4  | −2   |
|           |         | Right anterior insula            | 4.59         | 3.87         | 26  | 8  | 12   |
|           |         | Right caudate                    | 4.29         | 3.68         | 18  | 2  | 16   |
|           |         | Right thalamus                   | 4.17         | 3.60         | 4   | −8 | 6    |
|           |         | Left putamen                     | 5.46         | 4.39         | 26  | 2  | 0    |
|           |         | Left frontal operculum           | 3.74         | 3.30         | −6  | 12 | 12   |
| On<Off    | 201     | Bilateral precuneus              | 7.69         | 5.46         | −6  | −46| 52   |
|           |         | Bilateral ACC                    | 7.10         | 5.20         | −8  | −38| 54   |
|           |         | Bilateral hippocampus            | 7.50         | 5.38         | −30 | −26| −12  |
|           |         | Bilateral precenral gyrus        | 7.10         | 5.20         | −8  | −38| 54   |
|           |         | Bilateral mPFC                   | 6.97         | 5.15         | −8  | 38 | −16  |
|           |         | Bilateral ACC                    | 6.96         | 5.14         | 18  | 24 | −12  |
|           |         | Bilateral locus coeruleus        | 4.28         | 3.67         | −3  | −34| −22  |
|           |         | Left solitary nucleus             | 4.20         | 3.62         | −6  | −46| −54  |
|           |         | Right raphe nucleus/parabrachial nucleus | 5.34       | 4.32         | 10  | −26| −30  |
|           |         | Left posterior insula            | 4.97         | 4.11         | −32 | −26| 16   |
|           |         | Bilateral superior frontal gyrus | 4.58         | 3.87         | −20 | 40 | 40   |
|           |         | Bilateral middle frontal gyrus   | 4.02         | 3.50         | −30 | 32 | 32   |
| Sham taVNS| On>Off  | No brain region above the threshold |              |              |     |     |      |
| On<Off    | 3203    | Bilateral mPFC                   | 7.90         | 5.55         | −10 | 42 | −12  |
|           |         | Bilateral ACC                    | 6.41         | 4.88         | −8  | 34 | −12  |
|           |         | Bilateral caudate                | 6.33         | 4.85         | −14 | 20 | −6   |
|           | 19,964  | Bilateral precentral gyrus       | 7.29         | 5.29         | −24 | −24| 68   |
|           |         | Bilateral postcentral gyrus      | 7.12         | 5.22         | −20 | −30| 66   |
|           |         | Bilateral precuneus              | 5.95         | 4.65         | 6   | −38| 52   |
|           |         | Bilateral middle occipital gyrus | 5.84         | 4.60         | 34  | −78| 38   |
|           | 1166    | Left inferior temporal gyrus     | 6.03         | 4.69         | −46 | −22| −26  |
|           |         | Bilateral hippocampus            | 5.91         | 4.63         | −26 | −36| −8   |
|           |         | Bilateral fusiform gyrus         | 5.65         | 4.49         | −36 | −16| −28  |
|           |         | Bilateral lingual gyrus          | 4.19         | 3.61         | −16 | −40| −10  |
|           |         | Left superior frontal gyrus      | 4.47         | 3.80         | −26 | 30 | 46   |
|           | 211     | Left inferior occipital gyrus    | 5.97         | 4.66         | −50 | −70| −18  |
|           |         | Right hippocampus                | 5.73         | 4.54         | 26  | −12| −20  |
|           |         | Right fusiform gyrus             | 4.45         | 3.79         | 34  | −34| −28  |
|           | 456     | Right occipital fusiform gyrus   | 4.60         | 3.88         | 20  | −86| −14  |
|           | 175     | Left middle frontal gyrus        | 5.56         | 4.44         | −40 | 20 | 28   |
|           | 102     | Left middle temporal gyrus       | 5.27         | 4.28         | −58 | −18| −16  |
|           | 114     | Right temporal pole              | 4.87         | 4.04         | 48  | 14 | −30  |
|           | 152     | Left posterior insula            | 4.66         | 3.92         | −30 | −26| 18   |
|           | 266     | Right middle frontal gyrus       | 4.64         | 3.91         | 28  | 38 | 42   |
|           | 4.38    | Right superior frontal gyrus     | 3.73         | 3.73         | 24  | 28 | 44   |
| Real taVNS vs Sham taVNS |       | Real<Sham                         | 3.99         | 3.48         | −2  | −34| −20  |
| Real>Sham | 21      | Bilateral locus coeruleus        |              |              |     |     |      |
| Real>Sham | No brain region above the threshold |              |              |              |     |     |      |

Table 3
Resting state functional connectivity results using the LC as a seed.

| Contrast (post-pre) | Cluster | Brain region                        | Peak T value | Peak Z value | MNI coordinates |
|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
|                    |         |                                    |              |              | X  | Y  | Z    |
| Real>Sham          | 267     | Right temporoparietal junction      | 6.60         | 4.98         | 56  | −64| 30   |
|                    | 187     | Right para-hippocampus              | 4.05         | 3.52         | 32  | −26| −20  |
|                    | 180     | Right hippocampus                   | 3.96         | 3.46         | 34  | −24| −16  |
|                    | 126     | Left central operculum (S2)         | 3.77         | 3.32         | −50 | −8 | 6    |
|                    | 84      | Left amygdala                       | 4.25         | 3.65         | −24 | −6 | −20  |
| Real<Sham          | No brain region above the threshold |              |              |              |     |     |      |
nerve central pathway and pain modulation system.

Our results showing that both real and sham taVNS produced an fMRI signal decrease in the brain areas belonging to the DMN is consistent with studies suggesting that the DMN is a deactivated brain network in specific attention-demanding or stimulus-dependent tasks (Raichle, 2015).

We also found that real 1 Hz taVNS significantly deactivates hubs of the vagus nerve pathway, such as the LC, RN, and PBN. Compared to sham taVNS, real taVNS produced a greater fMRI signal decrease at the LC. These results are consistent with previous studies in which investigators found that VNS can modulate fMRI signals at the LC in healthy subjects (Fang et al., 2014) and also in chronic tinnitus patients (Yakunina et al., 2018). Literature has suggested that stress is a common condition in migraine (Sauro and Becker, 2009) and noradrenaline (NE) may be involved in the neural process of migraine (Wei et al., 2015). The LC is a major node of norepinephrine release in the stress response and plays a key role in different pain conditions via the descending and ascending LC-spinal pathways (Llorca-Torralba et al., 2016). Mokha reported that the inhibitory action evoked by electrical stimulation in the LC plays a role in the modulation of analgesia (Mokha et al., 1986). We thus believe the modulation effect of taVNS on the LC may provide support for applying taVNS to relieve symptoms of migraine.

Compared to sham taVNS, real taVNS produced increases in LC rsFC with the right TPJ, left amygdala, hippocampus/para-hippocampus, and left S2. In addition, we also found a significant positive association between disease duration and the rsFC change at the right TPJ. The TPJ is a key brain region of the ventral frontoparietal network of attention. A study found that, compared to non-migraineurs, migraineurs showed less activation in the right TPJ during both voluntary and reflexive visual spatial orienting (Mickleborough et al., 2016).

The amygdala is a key region in the descending pain modulation system and has a direct connection with the periaqueductal grey (Chen and Heinricher, 2019). Our previous study found that chronic pain is associated with disrupted rsFC between the periaqueductal grey and the amygdala (Yu et al., 2014). In addition, we found that one month of taVNS can significantly modulate the rsFC of the amygdala in patients with depression (Liu et al., 2016), which is partly consistent with the findings of this study.

The hippocampus/para-hippocampus is a key region in the limbic system and plays an important role in memory encoding and retrieval. Recently, studies have suggested that structures of the limbic system, including the hippocampus/para-hippocampus and amygdala, play an important role in the pathophysiology of chronic pain and the modulation of chronic pain (Vachon-Presseau, 2018). The modulation of taVNS on the LC and limbic system further endorses its potential in the treatment of chronic pain.

We also found a significant increase in rsFC of LC-S2 in the real taVNS group. This rsFC between LC and S2 is associated with frequency of migraine attacks in the month preceding the experiment. More specifically, more increased rsFC of LC-S2 is correlated with fewer migraine attacks. We also found that after real taVNS, as compared to sham, LC-S2 functional connectivity significantly increased. These findings imply that taVNS may decrease the frequency of migraine attacks by modulating the rsFC of LC-S2. The S2 is one of the primary hubs of the pain matrix (Kong et al., 2010), and Orenius et al. suggested that it is also involved in the interaction of pain and emotion (Orenius et al., 2017). Taken together, our finding that taVNS modulates LC rsFC with the amygdala, hippocampus/para-hippocampus, and S2 in patients with migraine suggests that taVNS can significantly enhance the descending pain modulation system, cognition, and emotion regulation to relieve symptoms of migraine.

There are several limitations to our present study. First, stimulation frequency is a crucial parameter in taVNS. Investigators have used different frequencies in previous studies, and the optimal frequency may vary for different disorders (Kong et al., 2018). In a randomized clinical trial, investigators found that although both 1 Hz and 25 Hz taVNS can produce clinical improvement, 1 Hz taVNS produced a greater treatment effect than 25 Hz taVNS (Straube et al., 2015). We thus focused on 1 Hz taVNS in this study. Further study is needed to investigate how different frequencies can influence brain activity and connectivity in patients with migraine. Second, a crossover design was used in the present study, in which each patient served as his/her own matched control. All MRI scans were performed when participants were not experiencing migraine, and we thus did not assess the acute treatment effect of real and sham taVNS. In addition, in the present study, we included patients who had not taken any prophylactic headache medication during the past month. However, several of these migraine

Fig. 3. The results of rsFC and frequency of migraine attacks during the preceding month. A. Increased rsFC in the left S2 and LC. B. The scatter plot shows the significant association between left S2-LC rsFC and the frequency of migraine attacks during the preceding month.
medications may have longer half-lives, and medications taken more than one month ago may still affect treatment outcomes. Nevertheless, we have used a crossover design, which may at least partially control for the potential residual effects of medications. Furthermore, this study was performed with migraine patients. Whether the activity/connectivity changes/patterns produced by taVNS in healthy subjects are the same in migraine patients remains unclear. Finally, patients in this study tended to have a relatively low migraine attack frequency. Future studies with larger sample sizes and larger ranges of migraine attack frequency may be more representative.

6. Conclusion

We found that taVNS at 1 Hz can 1) produce a significant fMRI signal decrease at the LC and 2) increase rsFC between the LC and the TPJ, amygdala, hippocampus/para-hippocampus, and left S2 compared to sham tVNS. Our findings indicate that tVNS can modulate the vagus nerve pathway and pain modulation networks in patients with migraine, highlighting the potential for 1 Hz taVNS treatment of migraine.
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