Abstract
The aim of this study was to identify genes and functional pathways associated with damaged cartilage tissues of knee using microarray analysis.

The gene expression profile GSE129147 including including 10 knee cartilage tissues from damaged side and 10 knee non-weight-bearing healthy cartilage was downloaded and bioinformatics analysis was made.

A total of 182 differentially-expressed genes including 123 up-regulated and 59 down-regulated genes were identified from the GSE129147 dataset. Gene ontology and pathway enrichment analysis confirmed that extracellular matrix organization, collagen catabolic process, antigen processing and presentation of peptide or polysaccharide antigen, and endocytic vesicle membrane were strongly associated with cartilage injury. Furthermore, 10 hub differentially-expressed genes with a higher connectivity degree in protein–protein interactions network were found such as POSTN, FBN1, LOX, insulin-like growth factor binding proteins3, C3AR1, MMP2, ITGAM, CDKN2A, COL1A1, COL5A1.

These hub genes and pathways provide a new perspective for revealing the potential pathological mechanisms and therapy strategy of cartilage injury.

Abbreviations: BPs = biological processes, DEGs = differentially-expressed genes, FBN1 = fibrillin-1, GO = gene ontology, IGFBP = insulin-like growth factor binding protein, IGF-I = Insulin-like growth factor-I, LOX = lysyl oxidase, MCODE = molecular complex detection, MHC = major histocompatibility complex, PPI = protein–protein interactions.
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1. Introduction
Cartilage is an avascular, noninnervated and alymphatic tissue with limited intrinsic repair potential. Articular cartilage lesions of the knee are commonly encountered in all ages. A retrospective study of 31,516 knee arthroscopies found 63% of their patients had cartilage injuries.[1] Another retrospective study of 25,124 knee arthroscopies observed 60% of patients who suffered from knee pain had articular cartilage lesions.[3,4] Due to its limited capacity to spontaneously repair largely, focal chondral defects can extend and progress into degeneration of the whole joint.[3,4] So, focal chondral lesions of the knee are regarded as a potential risk factor for later osteoarthritis.[5]

Knee osteoarthritis is the result of imbalance between anabolic and catabolic metabolism of articular cartilage matrix; the main risk factor is aging, but the degeneration can be accelerated by mechanical factors, such as chondral lesions.[6] Surgical treatments for chondral lesions including chondrocyte implantation, osteochondral transplantation, and microfracture, provide not fully satisfying outcomes.[6–9] In this regard, understanding the molecular mechanisms and biological pathways that regulate chondrocyte metabolism and steady of cartilage matrix and gene therapy that enables the transfer of suitable genes into cartilage tissue is necessary for the treatment of cartilage lesions. Mori et al.[10] identified fibroblast growth factor-18 maintain the homeostasis of mature rats articular cartilage by decreasing glycosaminoglycan release and depletion from the cartilage, and enhancing proliferation of articular chondrocytes. Delivery of genes encoding for TGF-β, IGF-1, and BMP-2 by adenovirus vector to chondrocytes has been
demonstrated to enhance proteoglycan synthesis by the cells in culture.\textsuperscript{[11,12]}

Whole-genome microarray analysis is an efficient and high-throughput molecular technique for analyzing general genetic alterations in various tissues.\textsuperscript{[11–13]} For example, Aşık et al\textsuperscript{[16]} compared damaged and undamaged nonweight bearing cartilage and showed differentially-expressed genes (DEGs) and multiple biological processes (BPs) related to these DEGs. And, genome microarray combined with bioinformatic analysis can help us to better understand the underlying mechanisms that involved in development of disease.

The aim of this study was to identify DEGs and hub genes in damaged and healthy cartilage samples using bioinformatics methods and then to investigate the potential BPs and pathways of these changes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Affymetrix microarray data

The microarray data of GSE129147 was downloaded from National Center of Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), based on GPL15207 platform ([PrimeView] Affymetrix Human Gene Expression Array; Affymetrix, Inc.), including 10 knee cartilage samples from damaged side and 10 knee nonweight-bearing cartilage samples. The microarray data of GSE129147 was downloaded from the National Center of Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The aim of this study was to identify DEGs and hub genes in damaged and healthy cartilage samples using bioinformatics methods and then to investigate the potential BPs and pathways of these changes.

The microarray data of GSE129147 was downloaded from National Center of Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), based on GPL15207 platform ([PrimeView] Affymetrix Human Gene Expression Array; Affymetrix, Inc.), including 10 knee cartilage samples from damaged side and 10 knee nonweight-bearing cartilage samples. The microarray data of GSE129147 was downloaded from the National Center of Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).

2.2. Identification of DEGs in damaged and healthy cartilage samples

The DEGs between damaged and healthy cartilage samples were screened by GEO2R (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ge2r/). Genes with the adjusted \( P \)-value \(< .05 \) and \(| \log FC | > 1 \) or \(| \log FC | < -1 \) were regarded as the cutoff value for DEGs screening, which were the signature genes of damaged cartilage of knee.

2.3. GO enrichment function and pathway analysis

To determine the DEGs involved in BPs, cellular components, and molecular functions, gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis were used with The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery 6.8.\textsuperscript{[17]} Pathway analysis of DEGs was also performed with Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery online to learn the significantly altered metabolic pathways.\textsuperscript{[18]} GO enrichment analysis \(( P < .05, \text{FDR} < .05)\) and pathway analysis \(( P < .05)\) of the DEGs was considered significant.

2.4. Construction of the protein–protein interactions (PPI) network

Search tool for the retrieval of interacting genes (STRING, version 11.0; http://string-db.org, ELIXIR, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, CB10 1SD, UK) was used to analyze the interaction between proteins for the DEGs with default parameters.\textsuperscript{[19]} Medium confidence \( > 0.4 \) was defined as the cutoff criterion. Protein–protein interactions (PPI) network was visualized using Cytoscape (version 3.7.2, http://www.cytoscape.org, the U.S. National Institute of General Medical Sciences) after downloading the raw image from search tool for the retrieval of interacting genes.\textsuperscript{[20]} In the network, the node represents a protein, the line represents the interaction, and the degree represents the number of interactions. And connectivity degree was analyzed by statistics in the network to obtain the important nodes, namely hub proteins.\textsuperscript{[21]}

2.5. Module analysis in the PPI network

Molecular complex detection (MCODE) was used to discover dense clique-like structures within a network in the Cytoscape platform. Modules were extracted according to the criteria: degree cutoff \( = 2 \), max. depth \( = 100 \), K-Core \( = 2 \), node score cutoff \( = 0.2 \), and MCODE score \( \geq 4 \).

Table 1

| Table 1 | The enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways for the down-regulated DEGs. |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Category | Term                                      | Description                                      | Count | Genes                                                                 | \( P \) value | FDR         |
| BP      | GO:0030198  | Extracellular matrix organization             | 10    | B4GALT1, COL7A1, FN1, POSTN, COL1A1, LOX, COL8A1, LOXL1, ITGAM, COL5A1 | 1.38E-06     | 2.00E-03    |
| BP      | GO:0030574  | Collagen catabolic process                    | 7     | COL7A1, MMP19, COL1A1, MMP14, COL8A1, MMP2, COL5A1                    | 1.42E-06     | 2.00E-03    |
| BP      | GO:0002504  | Antigen processing and presentation of peptide or polyaccharide antigen via MHC class II | 5     | HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB3, HLA-DRB4, HLA-DRB5, HLA-ORA                     | 1.99E-06     | 3.00E-03    |
| CC      | GO:0031012  | Extracellular matrix                          | 13    | ACTG1, HMCN1, COL7A1, MMP19, FN1, POSTN, COL1A1, LOX2, COL8A1, MMP4, MMP2, COL6A1 | 5.63E-08     | 6.95E-05    |
| CC      | GO:0030666  | Endocytic vesicle membrane                    | 7     | WNT5B, HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB3, HLA-DRB4, HLA-DRB5, HLA-ORA, CD163         | 1.37E-06     | 2.00E-03    |
| CC      | GO:0042613  | MHC class II protein complex                  | 5     | HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB3, HLA-DRB4, HLA-DRB5, HLA-ORA                      | 5.13E-06     | 6.00E-03    |
| CC      | GO:0042605  | Peptide antigen binding                       | 6     | HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB3, HLA-DRB4, HLA-DRB5, SLCO7A9, HLA-ORA             | 2.47E-07     | 5.33E-04    |
| KEGG    | hsa05150    | Staphylococcus aureus infection               | 8     | C3AR1, HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB3, HLA-DRB4, HLA-DRB5, FCGR3A, ITGAM, HLA-ORA | 1.87E-07     | 2.20E-04    |
| KEGG    | hsa05140    | Leishmaniasis                                | 8     | IRAK1, HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB3, HLA-DRB4, HLA-DRB5, FCGR3A, ITGAM, HLA-ORA | 1.26E-06     | 1.49E-03    |
| KEGG    | hsa04145    | Phagosome                                    | 9     | ACTG1, ATPI6V1A, HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB3, HLA-DRB4, HLA-DRB5, FCGR3A, ITGAM, HLA-ORA | 2.17E-05     | 2.55E-02    |

**BP** = biological processes, **DEGs** = differentially-expressed genes, **GO** = gene ontology, **MF** = molecular function, **MHC** = major histocompatibility complex.
3. Results

3.1. DEGs identification and GO and pathway enrichment analysis

In comparing damaged to healthy cartilage samples, a total of 182 DEGs including 123 up-regulated and 59 down-regulated genes were identified from the GSE129147 dataset. After GO functional enrichment analysis, 3 significant BP terms of up-regulated DEGs including: extracellular matrix organization, collagen catabolic process, and antigen processing and presentation of peptide or polysaccharide antigen via major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II; 3 significant CC terms of up-regulated DEGs including: extracellular matrix, endocytic vesicle membrane, MHC class II protein complex; 1 significant molecular function terms of up-regulated DEGs is peptide antigen binding (Table 1). The up-regulated DEGs were enriched in 3 pathways such as *Staphylococcus aureus* infection, leishmaniasis, and phagosome. In terms of down-regulated DEGs, we did not find significant GO terms or KEGG pathways according to stated criteria.

3.2. PPI network construction

The PPI network was screened, comprising 132 nodes and 215 edges (Fig. 1). The top 10 DEGs with a higher connectivity degree are all up-regulated genes (Table 2), including POSTN, FBN1, LOX, insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBP) 3, C3AR1, MMP2, ITGAM, CDKN2A, COL1A1, COL5A1.

![Figure 1. The constructed PPI network of DEGs. Node stand for the protein (gene), edge stand for the interaction of proteins. Red: up-regulated DEGs, Green: down-regulated DEGs. DEGs = differentially-expressed genes, PPI = protein–protein interactions.](image-url)
which are hub proteins. Among these genes, MMP2 (degree = 20) and ITGAM (degree = 20) showed the highest node degree.

### 3.3. Module analysis

A total of 3 significant modules were selected with the parameter of MCODE score ≥ 4. Module A (MCODE score = 8.889), module B (MCODE score = 5.000), module C (MCODE score = 4.000) were shown in Figure 2. Module A had 10 nodes involving 10 up-regulated gene (LOXL1, LOX, MMP14, LOXL2, MMP2, FBN1, COL5A1, POSTN, COL1A1, ADAM12); module B with 5 nodes and 10 edges involving 5 up-regulated gene (CDKN3, MAD2L1, PRC1, TYMS, TK1); module C with 4 nodes and 6 edges involving 4 up-regulated gene (FCGR3A, CD163, VSIG4, MS4A6A).

### 4. Discussion

In the present study, we explored the hub genes and pathways in damaged cartilage tissues of knee by bioinformatics methods. By comparing gene expression profiles between 10 knee cartilage from damaged side and 10 knee nonweight-bearing healthy cartilage tissues, we found 182 DEGs, including 123 up-regulated and 59 down-regulated genes in damaged cartilage tissues. Subsequently, GO enrichment analysis found that DEGs were associated with various BPs and molecular functions, such as extracellular matrix organization, collagen catabolic process, antigen processing and presentation of peptide or polysaccharide antigen via MHC class II, and endocytic vesicle membrane. In addition, a PPI network with these DEGs was constructed, and 10 hub genes, including POSTN, FBN1, LOX, IGFBP3, C3AR1, MMP2, ITGAM, CDKN2A, COL1A1, COL5A1, were identified as the hub genes with higher connectivity degree in damaged cartilage tissues.

In view of the results of GO terms enrichment analysis, we linked the up-regulated gene DEGs with extracellular matrix organization and collagen catabolic process. The structure and organization of cartilage’s extracellular matrix are the primary determinants of normal function. Most diseases involving
cartilage which lead to dramatic changes in the extracellular matrix. Well organized elastic networks have been found in the superficial zone of articular cartilage using immunohistochemistry or multiphoton microscopy together with histochemistry. Fibrillin-1 (FBN1) as the major component of elastic networks, mainly present in the uppermost superficial zone of articular cartilage. Collagens such as COL1A1 and COL5A1 and FBN1 were detectable in damaged cartilage tissues compared to undamaged controls in this study. The significant difference in expression levels of COL1A1, COL5A1, and FBN1 between damaged and undamaged sites of cartilage suggesting the attempt of chondrocytes for recovery of the damaged cartilage. Meanwhile, several matrix degrading proteases previously described to be linked to cartilage degeneration were up-regulated in damaged cartilage, such as MMP2 and POSTN. Study considered POSTN as a catabolic protein that promotes cartilage degeneration through collagen and proteoglycan degradation in OA by up-regulating MMP-13 and ADAMTS4. These DEGs demonstrated the balance between synthetic and catabolic activities of chondrocytes is struggling after cartilage injuries. Lysyl oxidase (LOX), is a copper-dependent amine oxidase, that catalyzes cross-linking of collagen and elastin, which plays an important role in biological functions of extracellular matrix. LOX2 was visualized in tissues from human knee and hip joints by immunofluorescence. LOXL2 is upregulated in cartilage affected by OA, may be a protective response that promotes anabolism while inhibiting specific catabolic responses in the pathophysiology of OA. LOXL3, a downstream of leptin, stimulates the apoptosis, but inhibits the autophagy of chondrocytes, is a potential therapy target for osteoarthritis. In this study, LOX is identified as an up-regulated gene in damaged cartilage tissues, which could promote cartilage maintenance.

IGFBP3 is one of 6 IGFBPs in humans. IGFBP3 modulates IGF signaling by binding to the 2 ligands (Insulin-like growth factor-I [IGF-I] and IGF-II) that primarily signal through IGF-I receptor. In healthy articular cartilage from individuals without OA, IGF-I activates anabolism and inhibits catabolism of cartilage. Higher levels of IGFBP3 have been observed in OA cartilage, leading to the decrease of IGF-I's anabolic activity and could be partially responsible for the reduced responsiveness of OA cartilage to IGF-I. And a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of hip osteoarthritis found suggestive links between IGFBP3 and hip osteoarthritis and IGFBP3 overexpression induced cartilage catabolism and osteogenic differentiation. In this study, IGFBP3 is identified as an up-regulated gene in damaged cartilage tissues, which could promote cartilage degeneration.

Cells release into the extracellular environment different types of membrane vesicles called exosomes or microvesicles. Kim at al. found dendritic cells or vesicles derived from the dendritic cells expressing either secreted IL-4 or membrane-bound IL-4 are able to modulate the activity of APC and T cells in vivo through a MHC class II and partly Fas ligand/Fas-dependent mechanism, resulting in effective treatment of established collagen-induced arthritis. And Kim at al. also found exosomes derived from IL-10-treated dendritic cells can suppress inflammation and collagen-induced arthritis. In this study, we linked the up-regulated gene DEGs in antigen processing and presentation of peptide or polysaccharide antigen via MHC class II and endocytic vesicle membrane through GO terms enrichment analysis, which suggests extracellular vesicle and inflammation implicated in the pathogenesis of cartilage injuries.

In conclusion, our data provide a comprehensive bioinformatics analysis of DEGs and pathways, which may be involved in synthetic and catabolic mechanisms of chondrocytes after cartilage injuries. Nevertheless, additional experiments are needed to further validate the identified genes and pathways.
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