Neural differentiation potential of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells: misleading marker gene expression
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Abstract

**Background:** In contrast to pluripotent embryonic stem cells, adult stem cells have been considered to be multipotent, being somewhat more restricted in their differentiation capacity and only giving rise to cell types related to their tissue of origin. Several studies, however, have reported that bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) are capable of transdifferentiating to neural cell types, effectively crossing normal lineage restriction boundaries. Such reports have been based on the detection of neural-related proteins by the differentiated MSCs. In order to assess the potential of human adult MSCs to undergo true differentiation to a neural lineage and to determine the degree of homogeneity between donor samples, we have used RT-PCR and immunocytochemistry to investigate the basal expression of a range of neural related mRNAs and proteins in populations of non-differentiated MSCs obtained from 4 donors.

**Results:** The expression analysis revealed that several of the commonly used marker genes from other studies like nestin, Enolase2 and microtubule associated protein 1b (MAP1b) are already expressed by undifferentiated human MSCs. Furthermore, mRNA for some of the neural-related transcription factors, e.g. Engrailed-1 and Nurr1 were also strongly expressed. However, several other neural-related mRNAs (e.g. DRD2, enolase2, NFL and MBP) could be identified, but not in all donor samples. Similarly, synaptic vesicle-related mRNA, STX1A could only be detected in 2 of the 4 undifferentiated donor hMSC samples. More significantly, each donor sample revealed a unique expression pattern, demonstrating a significant variation of marker expression.

**Conclusion:** The present study highlights the existence of an inter-donor variability of expression of neural-related markers in human MSC samples that has not previously been described. This donor-related heterogeneity might influence the reproducibility of transdifferentiation protocols as well as contributing to the ongoing controversy about differentiation capacities of MSCs. Therefore, further studies need to consider the differences between donor samples prior to any treatment as well as the possibility of harvesting donor cells that may be inappropriate for transplantation strategies.
Background

Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs), often termed mesenchymal stem cells, may be isolated from bone marrow, adipose and other tissues. They adhere strongly to tissue culture plastic and are capable of multipotent differentiation that can be demonstrated in vitro. MSCs can differentiate into osteoblasts, chondroblasts, adipocytes and myoblasts (reviewed in [1]). Since the survival and migration of human MSCs (hMSCs) grafted into rat brains was demonstrated [2], the possibility that such cells might act as suitable tools for promoting central nervous system (CNS) repair has been raised. This notion was further strengthened by the report that murine MSCs may differentiate into mature astrocytes after implantation into neonatal mouse brains [3]. Donor MSCs have also been reported to give rise to neuronal phenotypes in adult mice brains after transplantation [4,5]. During the last six years, there have been many reports describing in vitro neural transdifferentiation of MSCs derived from a range of different species (e.g. human, mouse, rat). All protocols that have been used for such investigations can be divided into three broad categories: i.e. those using (i) chemical compounds [6-10], (ii) growth factors [11-14], or (iii) neurosphere-like cultivation [15-20]. Furthermore, combinations of these different protocols have also been reported to induce neural differentiation [21,22]. Such reports of in vitro neural transdifferentiation by MSCs derived from experimental animal- or human sources have been based on the detection of neural-related mRNA as well as proteins by the treated cells. In the present investigation, RT-PCR and immunocytochemistry were used to demonstrate the basal expression of several of the commonly used marker genes or proteins by undifferentiated human MSCs. The data obtained by this study revealed a substantial degree of heterogeneity of the basal expression of neural-related genes that had not previously been described.

Results

Characterization of human MSCs

Isolated hMSCs were characterized by three criteria: (i) adherence to tissue culture plastic, (ii) specific surface antigen expression, and (iii) multipotent differentiation as defined by the Mesenchymal and Tissue Stem Cell Committee of the International Society for Cellular Therapy [23].

Fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis demonstrated that the expanded, plastic adherent cells used in the present investigation were positive for the surface markers CD73, CD90 and CD105, but negative for CD11b, CD19, CD34, CD45 and HLA-DR (Figure 1). To demonstrate their multipotent potential, MSCs were differentiated to adipocytes, chondrocytes and osteocytes according to published protocols [24]. Lipid vacuoles in differentiated adipocytes were visualized with Oil Red O (Figure 2A). However, not all cells demonstrated the same degree of staining. Induction of chondrogenic differentiation was performed in cell pellets which developed a proteoglycan-rich extracellular matrix. Thin sections of these pellets were stained with Toluidine Blue (Figure 2B), demonstrating a metachromatic staining that was characteristic of cartilage matrix [25]. Osteogenic differentiation resulted in an immense production of mineral deposits that were stained with Alizarin-Red-S (Figure 2C). Thus, the cells used in this study fulfilled all criteria to be defined as MSCs.

Neural marker expression by undifferentiated human MSCs

The neural specific RNA transcripts, obtained from hMSCs of four different donors, were categorized into four subgroups: (i) neuronal markers, (ii) glial markers, (iii) neural related transcription factors and (iv) others (Figure 3, Table 1). For every marker, both adult and fetal human brain extracts were used as positive controls.

Neuronal markers

One of the neuronal markers investigated was dopamine receptor D2 (DRD2), a marker for dopaminergic neurons [26], which was moderately expressed by three donors (donors 1, 2 and 4). Transcripts for enolase2 (or neuron-specific enolase, NSE), normally found in mature neurons and in cells of neuronal origin [27], were also found in human MSCs (donor 2 moderate, donors 3 and 4 weak). Microtubule-associated protein 1b (MAP1b), that is suggested to play an important cytoskeletal role in development and function of the nervous system [28], was strongly detected in donors 2 to 4 as well as moderately in donor 1. Other widely used markers for neurons are the neurofilaments (NF). The expression of NFH (heavy), NFM (medium) and NFL (light) in human MSCs was analyzed in the present study. Low levels of transcripts for NFL could only be detected in donors 1 and 4, but moderate levels were found in donor 2. The syntaxin (STX1A) functions in the fusion of synaptic vesicles with the synaptic membrane of neurons [29]. However, a moderate amount of STX1A was also detected in the undifferentiated MSCs of donor 3 and 4. Another synaptic vesicle-related protein, synaptophysin (SYP) [30], was only slightly detectable in donors 2 to 4. The expression of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), a neurotransmitter-related enzyme in catecholaminergic neurons, was also only weakly detectable in donor 2. No expression of mRNA for the axonal microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT, [31]) could be observed (for an overview of the case-by-case expression of the markers genes, see Table 1).

Glial markers

Analyzed in this study were glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) [32], myelin basic protein (MBP) [33] and the cal-
Surface marker expression of human MSCs. FACS analysis of the immunophenotypic surface profile for CD11b, CD19, CD34, CD45, CD73, CD90, CD105 and HLA-DR of isolated hMSCs. Red histograms represent the fluorescence from negative-control cells incubated with only secondary antibody; black histograms represent the counts of cells incubated with the relevant primary antibody. The logarithm on the X-axis (FL1-H channel) represents the intensity of the fluorescent signal and the number of cells is given on the Y-axis. HMSCs isolated in this study were positive for the markers CD73, CD90 and CD105, but negative for CD11b, CD19, CD34, CD45 and HLA-DR according to the criteria for MSCs.
Calcium binding protein, S100β [34]. None of the hMSCs were found to express S100β or GFAP, an intermediate filament protein that is normally expressed by astrocytes and Schwann cells. However, 3 of the hMSC population (donors 2–4) were found to express low levels of mRNA for MBP, a major myelin associated protein.

Neural-related transcription factors
Transcripts for achaete-scute complex homolog 1 (ASCL1, also known as Mash1), a transcription factor that plays a role in neuronal commitment and differentiation and in the generation of olfactory and autonomic neurons [35], could not be detected in any of the donor cells. Furthermore, none of the donor cells expressed mRNA for neurogenic differentiation 6 (NEUROD6), a factor suggested to be involved in the development and maintenance of the mammalian nervous system [36]. However, the expression of the homeobox protein Engrailed-1 (important in the formation of interneurons [37] as well as in the regionalization of the developing brain [38]) and Nurr1 (involved in the function of dopaminergic neurons [39]), were detected at moderate (donor 3) and strong levels (donors 1, 2 and 4).

Others
The transcripts investigated in this category were Bag1, nestin and also GAPDH (which served as an internal control). Bag1, which has anti-apoptotic functions in a variety of cell types and plays an essential role in the survival of differentiated neurons [40], was highly expressed in all donor samples. The intermediate filament protein nestin, was initially identified as a marker for neural stem cells [41], but has since been found to have a much broader expression in a range of cell types and tissues [42-47]. Transcripts for nestin were also detected in all human donor MSCs samples, however, there were clear differences in the intensity of the signal; MSCs derived from donor 2 being much stronger than that from donors 1, 3 and 4.
The commercially available samples of total RNA that had been generated from adult and fetal human brain samples showed developmentally related changes in the intensity of expression for certain mRNAs, e.g. stronger bands for the neuronal markers Enolase2, NFH, SYP, the glial markers MBP and S100β, and the transcription factor Engrailed-1 were fall elevated in control adult samples. However, weaker bands for MAP1b, nestin and the transcription factors ASCL1 and NEUROD6 were found in adult control samples. No major differences in the intensity of bands between control adult and fetal brain samples could be found for the other transcripts (i.e. DRD2, NFM, NFL, STX1A, TH, GFAP, Nurr1 and Bag1). The differences in mRNA transcripts resemble the expected shifts in the intensity of expression during the development of the nervous system. The transcription factors of the basic helix-loop-helix family ASCL1 and NEUROD6 are expressed in neuronal progenitors [48,49] and the cytoskeletal constituents nestin and MAP1b were expressed at higher levels in the control fetal samples. On the contrary, Enolase2 [27], NFH [50], SYP [30], MBP [33] and S100β [51] are expressed by more mature cells, reflecting their higher level of expression in the control adult samples. Although the transcription factor Engrailed-1 plays a role in the formation of interneurons as well as in the regionalization of the developing brain [38], it is possible that the developmental stage from which the control fetal sample was obtained had already passed the time point for expression. Alternatively, expression of the transcript is only found in a fraction of cells and is diminished in total brain mRNA extraction. The faint Engrailed-1 band detected in the adult samples may have reflected its anti-apoptotic role in mature neurons [52].

**Immunocytochemical detection of neural marker proteins by undifferentiated human MSCs**

In addition to RT-PCR, a number of non-differentiated donor hMSC samples were chosen for immunocytochemical analysis. Staining of Enolase2 revealed a cytoplasmic distribution (Figure 4A). A cytoskeletal staining was observed with antibodies against MAP1b (Figure 4B) and nestin (Figure 4D). However, staining for Nurr1 was detected at different intensities and revealed a cytoplasmic distribution (Figure 4C). Quantification of immunocytochemical staining from three donors (Figure 4E) revealed that Enolase2 expression was found in 59 ± 27.1% of all cells, Map1b expression was found in 66.7 ± 12.2% of all cells, and Nurr1 expression was found in 46.3 ± 10.8% of the cells expressed. Nestin expression was found to be present in all cells of the three donors analyzed. Thus, this data demonstrates that both protein and mRNAs of a range of neurally-related markers is already expressed by non-differentiated hMSC.

**Discussion**

The potential of adult MSCs to transdifferentiate into neuronal cell types has aroused great interest in research. Such a capacity opens extensive possibilities for autologous therapeutic treatments in a variety of neurological disorders. However, clear and unequivocal data regarding differentiation needs to be generated to provide a solid foundation...
Figure 4
Expression of neural related proteins. Immunofluorescence for neural related proteins in undifferentiated hMSCs. (A) Enolase2, (B) MAP1b, (C) Nurr1 and (D) nestin. Staining revealed cytoplasmic distribution of Enolase2 and Nurr1, whereas the staining of MAP1b and nestin was cytoskeletal. Scale bar 100 μm. (E) Quantification of the percentage of stained cells from three different donors revealed following data: Enolase2 expression was found in 59% ± 27.1% of all cells, 66.7% ± 12.2% Map1b positive cells, and 46.3% ± 10.8% of the cells expressed Nurr1. Nestin expression was found to be present in all cells analyzed.
for further studies. In the present investigation, undifferen-
tiated hMSCs were found to express a number of neu-
rnal-related genes (albeit at rather low levels for some
mRNAs). Significantly, it became clear that there was
considerable donor-related heterogeneity in the expression
pattern of the hMSC populations, even though the criteria
for isolation and identification (as set out by the Mesen-
chymal and Tissue Stem Cell Committee of the International
Society for Cellular Therapy [23]) were followed. It is pos-
sible that such donor heterogeneity might be responsible
for the variable degree of success reported by a number of
research groups in their ability to transdifferentiate
hMSCs to a neural phenotype.

One of the first descriptions of neural differentiation by
hMSCs reported the detection of nestin and GFAP after
differentiation, but importantly failed to report the pre-
differentiated phenotype of the cells [11]. This same ove-
rsight has also occurred in a most recent publication dem-
onstrating the generation of neural cell types from human
bone marrow-derived MSCs [53]. Neurospheres derived
from human MSCs were shown immunocytochemically
to express nestin and Musashi-1, however, the basal
expression profile of the MSCs was not reported. Rapid
morphological changes of rat MSCs have also been
reported to be associated with the detection of enolase2
and NFM (amongst other markers [6]). However, the non-
treated, control cells were not analyzed and the rapid
morphological changes aroused great scepticism because
they did not reflect normal developmental processes [54].
Such changes were later demonstrated to be due to the break-
down of the actin cytoskeleton [55].

Previous attempts to identify the basal expression pattern
of undifferentiated human MSCs using serial analysis of
gene expression (SAGE) has revealed the presence of
mRNA not only characteristic for mesenchymal cells but
also endothelial, epithelial and neuronal lineages [56].
Neural related mRNAs found to be amongst the 50 most
abundant gene products expressed included high molecu-
lar weight neurofilament (NFH), the high affinity nerve
growth factor receptor (TrkA) and glial derived nexin 1
alpha. However, in stark contrast, NFH was undetectable
in all donor hMSC samples of the present investigation.
This observation likely reflects the high degree of donor
sample heterogeneity mentioned earlier.

Heterogeneity of gene expression by rat and human MSCs
has also reported following RT-PCR of cell populations
and clonal cell lines, revealing mesodermal, germinal,
endodermal and ectodermal expression patterns [57,58].
Neurally related transcripts expressed by rat MSC included
NMDA receptor sub-units, syntaxin, amyloid precursor
protein, and both rat and human MSCs were reported to
express GFAP and NeuroD mRNA [57,58]. Both of these
transcript were absent in all of the samples used in the
present investigation. However, Syntaxin 1A was weakly
expressed in 2 of the human samples but was negative in
the remaining 2 donor samples, once again highlighting
the substantial inter-donor variability.

In the present investigation, the expression of nestin
mRNA could be found in all donor samples of undifferen-
tiated hMSCs. Nestin was originally identified as an inter-
mediate filament protein expressed by neural stem cells
[59]. Recent reports using rat MSCs have described undif-
ferentiated MSCs as being nestin-negative, but that expres-
sion increased progressively with increasingly higher
passage numbers [15]. The acquisition of nestin expres-
sion by the higher passage number MSCs has been sug-
gested to be an important stage in the ability of the cells
to form spheres and subsequently undergo neural differ-
entiation [15]. It is possible that such progressive increase
of nestin expression may reflect the culture conditions
selecting for – and enhancing the proliferation of a sub-
population of nestin-positive cells. The presence of such
sub-populations of stem cells or progenitors within MSCs
may contribute to the high degree of heterogeneity
reported by others [56-58,60,61]. Indeed both intrinsic
plasticity of MSCs and contamination by stem cells from
other sources (neural crest-derived stem cells) have been
suggested to be contributory mechanism to the apparent
switch to a neural phenotype by MSCs [58,62]. Although
recent publications have demonstrated the expression of a
number of neural-related genes in non-differentiated pop-
ulation or clones of MSCs, the degree of donor variability
has, until now, remained unclear.

Transplantation of undifferentiated MSCs into experi-
mental models of CNS injury has clearly demonstrated
improved motor and sensory function [63-65], and in a
phase I clinical study, transplantation was proven to be
safe [66,67]. Following engraftment, donor MSCs were
reported to be associated with a range of neuronal and
glial markers suggesting the spontaneous differentiation
of the grafted cells in vivo [5,68-70]. Since it was shown,
that the spontaneous differentiation of grafted cells occurs
only in few cells [68], other reasons for inducing a benefi-
cial outcome should be considered. An on-going discus-
sion revolves around the possible improvement in
function being an outcome of cell fusion events between
donor MSCs with host cells [71,72]. However, cell fusion
also occurs at only a very low frequency [72] and it has
been described that neural differentiation can occur with-
out this phenomenon [15,19]. An alternative, and possi-
ibly more likely, mechanism for improved function after
implantation of MSCs may be due to the local release of
growth factors [73,74]. It has been shown that undifferen-
tiated MSCs express a range of growth factors including
neurotrophin-3 (NT-3), brain-derived neurotrophic factor
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(BDNF), glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), nerve growth factor (NGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) [75], ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) and basic fibroblast growth factors (bFGF) [74,76,77]. These factors could certainly play a role in several processes, including neurogenesis, neuroprotection, vascularisation and scar inhibition as it was already demonstrated for VEGF [78]. Such a donor heterogeneity was also reported by others for growth factor secretion by hMSCs. Transplantation of cells was found to promote a variable, donor-dependent degree of axon growth and functional recovery [74]. Furthermore, it is possible that donor MSCs may also influence axonal or tissue regeneration in- and around the host lesion site by providing an array of growth-supporting extracellular matrix molecules, including fibronectin, collagens, laminin, hyaluronan and proteoglycans. These molecules are involved in migration, cell survival, cell proliferation and cell differentiation (reviewed in [79]) and a change in their local concentrations may influence endogenous neural stem cells [77].

**Conclusion**

The ability of MSCs, in particular human MSCs, to generate neural-related cell types for future transplantation-based intervention strategies has become a topic of considerable controversy. A number of possible mechanisms have been suggested to explain such cellular behaviour, including true transdifferentiation, the presence of multiply-primed stem cells capable of differentiating into a number of lineages, contamination by neural crest-derived stem cells and tissue culture artefacts. The present investigation supports the contention that MSCs selected on the basis of plastic adherence, multipotency and FACS analysis are highly heterogeneous populations of cells. All donor samples investigated in the present demonstrated expression patterns that were different from each other. Such inter-donor variability regarding the expression of neural-related genes has not been previously described, but supports the heterogeneity of hMSCs reported by others. It is possible that such inter-individual variability may affect the ability of donated cells to respond to particular tissue culture conditions. It should therefore be considered that not all donated MSCs may be appropriate for future autograft cell transplantation strategies.

**Methods**

**Sampling of human MSCs**

Bone marrow was aspirated from patients during hip joint replacement following informed consent, according to local ethical board approval of the University Hospital, Aachen.

**Isolation and cultivation of human MSCs**

Bone marrow aspirates were diluted 1:5 in mesenchymal stem cell growth medium (MSCGM, Lonza, Vervieres, Belgium) and immediately seeded into polystyrene plastic 75 cm² tissue culture flasks at 37°C in 5% humidified CO₂. After seven days, non-adherent cells were removed by media replacement and adherent cells were expanded in MSCGM. Media exchange was performed every 3–4 days until cells reached 80% confluence. For passaging, the cells were detached with trypsin/EDTA solution (Lonza, Vervieres, Belgium) and re-seeded with a density of 4000 cells/cm².

**Characterization of isolated human MSCs**

For characterization of human MSCs, three criteria were used: i) adherence to tissue culture plastic, ii) specific surface antigen expression, and iii) multipotent differentiation potential [23]. The morphology of plastic adherent cells was monitored using an inverse microscope (DM IL Invers, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). To detect specific surface antigens, cells were detached and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. After washing with 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), cells were incubated in blocking solution (20% FBS in PBS, Biowest, Nuaille, France) for 20 min. After washing with PBS, the cell pellets (250,000 per antibody) were resuspended in 100 μl PBS, 2 μl primary antibody was added and incubated for 30 min. Monoclonal primary antibodies recognizing surface markers CD11b (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA), CD19, CD34, CD45, CD73, CD90 (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, USA), CD105 (Invitrogen) and HLA-DR (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) were used. After three washing steps with PBS, 2 μl of the secondary antibody (Alexa-488 conjugated goat anti-mouse, Invitrogen) was incubated in 100 μl PBS for 30 min in the dark. After two final washing steps, the cells were resuspended in 400 μl PBS and analyzed using a FACSCalibur and FACSCalibur software (Becton Dickinson).

Multipotency was monitored by in vitro differentiation of MSCs to adipogenic, chondrogenic and osteogenic lineages according to Pittenger et al. [24]. To induce adipogenesis, cells were cultivated in adipogenic induction medium (DMEM (Lonza, Vervieres, Belgium) with 10% FCS, 0.5 μM dexamethasone, 0.5 μM indomethacin, and 0.5 mM isobutyl-methyl-xanthine (all Sigma, Steinheim, Germany)). Medium was changed every 3 days for 3 weeks. To visualise lipid droplets, Oil Red O staining (Sigma) was used as a histologic stain.

Osteogenic differentiation was induced by cultivation of MSCs in osteogenic induction medium (DMEM with 10% FCS, 20 nM sodium β-glycerophosphate, 1 nM dexamethasone, and 50 μg/ml 1-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate, all purchased from Sigma). Medium was changed every 3 days.
for 3 weeks. Osteogenic differentiation was visualised by Alizarin-Red-S staining (Sigma) of matrix mineralization associated with osteoblasts (according to the method of [80]).

For chondrogenic differentiation, 2.5 × 10^5 cells were centrifuged to obtain cell pellets. These pellets were induced with serum free induction media (DMEM) containing 100 nM dexamethasone, 0.17 mM 1-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate, 100 μg/ml sodium pyruvate, 40 μg/ml proline (all Sigma), and 1% ITS-Plus (Becton Dickinson). TGF-β3 (CellSystems, Sankt Katharinem, Germany) was added in a concentration of 10 ng/ml at each medium exchange. After 21 days, pellets were fixed with PFA and paraffin embedded. Thin sections were stained with Toluidine blue (Sigma) to show metachromatic staining which is characteristic of cartilage [25,80].

### Gene expression analysis

RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according the manufacturers protocol. The integrity of isolated RNA was evaluated using the 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, USA). For PCR analysis 2 μg RNA was reverse transcribed using Omniscript Reverse Transcriptase (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according the manufacturers protocol using oligo(dT) primers and random hexamers. Commercially available samples of human adult and fetal brain total RNA were used as positive controls (Ambion, Austin, USA and Clontech-Takara Bio Europe, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). For amplification of target genes, 0.2 units Taq polymerase (Amersham Biosciences, New York, USA) were used with a standard PCR program (denaturation: 10 sec at 96°C; primer hybridisation: 2 min at various target specific temperatures, see table 2; elongation: 2 min at 72°C). PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis on a 1.7% agarose gel and visualized with 0.5 μg/ml ethidium bromide. PCR conditions were established using adult and fetal brain cDNA and best results from at least two independent experiments were chosen for expression analysis of hMSCs. Expression of each marker was monitored by testing cDNA of all four donors using the same master mix, PCR condition and gel electrophoresis.

### Immunocytochemistry

The hMSCs were seeded at a relatively low density to allow the clear identification of individual cells for quantification. After removal of the media and washing in 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS), cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min. Afterwards, the samples were washed three times with PBS and non-specific binding sites blocked by a 1 hour incubation in PBS containing 3% normal goat serum (Sigma), 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA, fraction 5, Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) and 1% Triton X-100. The primary antibodies anti-enolase2 (monoclonal, Dako M0873; 1:500), anti-MAP1b (monoclonal, Sigma M4528; 1:500), anti-Nurr1 (monoclonal, Abnova H00004929-M07; 1:200) and anti-nestin (polyclonal, Chemicon AB5922; 1:200) were diluted in PBS containing 1% BSA and incubated at room temperature overnight. The secondary antibodies, goat-anti-mouse Alexa 594 (1:500, Invitrogen) and goat-anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (1:500, Invitrogen) were also diluted PBS containing 1% BSA and incubated for 2.5 h.

### Table 2: Primer sequences and PCR conditions

| Primer      | Forward (5’-3’)                        | Reverse (5’-3’)                  | Hybridization temperature (°C) | Cycles | Amplicon size (bp) |
|-------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------|
| ASCL1       | aag caa gtc aag cag cag cag            | aggcg tgg agt agt tgg ccg        | 62                             | 35     | 352               |
| Bag1        | tca ccc aca gca at gaga ag             | cag aac acc ctc gat tc           | 66                             | 30     | 344               |
| DRD2        | tca tct ctc tct ctc tct ctc ctc tct   | gat gga gat cat gac gtt gac      | 65                             | 40     | 303               |
| Engrailed-1 | agc cac agg cat caa gaa gaa            | cac ctc ctc gat ctc ttc ttc     | 65                             | 50     | 284               |
| Enolase2    | ggc aaa gtt gtc ctc aa g c             | gtc cgc gtc ctc aac gtt at       | 67                             | 30     | 983               |
| GAPDH       | tga agg gag cag cta cag gat tgg gt     | cat ggg ggc cag cag gtc cgc cgc | 62                             | 30     | 255               |
| GFAP        | gtt cga cct ctc caa gtt tgc ag         | aat ggt gtc cct gtc ctc ctc     | 59                             | 40     | 373               |
| MAP1B       | act gca gga cca gga ac tct             | cag tcg ctc gtc cat gtt gc       | 67                             | 25     | 284               |
| MAPT        | agc tct ggt gaa cct cca aca tc         | cat cca tca aac aag ag tgc      | 58                             | 40     | 361, 454          |
| MBP         | cct ggc cac agc aag tcc                | ggc acc ctc aag gtt ggt ccg aac g | 61                             | 35     | 352               |
| Nestin      | gct gg gaa cag agg tgg ggg             | gca cag gtt ctc cca aag tag      | 65                             | 30     | 385               |
| NEUROD6     | ctc aca tgc cta gga ag cca             | ctc gtc aag aat cta tgc cg       | 62                             | 35     | 433               |
| NFH         | tga aca cag acg cta tgc gcg cag       | cac tct aag ggc cca cag aag g    | 58                             | 35     | 398               |
| NFL         | aac aag gac aag cca gcg ctc            | cat cag ctc cat gca gga cac      | 59                             | 35     | 590               |
| NFM         | gaa gac acc agc gcg tgc gcg gcg gcg   | ctc gcg gta cac aaa act ccg ccg | 61                             | 35     | 592               |
| Nurr1       | agc gct ttc tta agc gca cag            | cga tca gca aag cgg aac ccc aac | 55                             | 25     | 518               |
| S100β       | gga gac aag cag cag cg cag cag cag g  | agc tac aag ggc cag aag aag      | 63                             | 30     | 322               |
| STX1A       | att cca gga acc gat ggg ggg            | agc gtc ggg ggg ctc ggc cgc gcg | 67                             | 30     | 230               |
| SYP         | gtt gct gca aag gtt ctc cgc            | aag ctc aag acc ggc ggt gcg      | 59                             | 35     | 537               |
| TH          | att cac ctc ctc ggg ctc gc             | tcc ctc gtc tca ctc ctc gc       | 63                             | 40     | 824               |
hours at room temperature. Finally, nuclei were stained with diamidinophenylindole (DAPI, 1:1000, Roche), cover-slipped with Fluoroprep (bioMerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) and observed using a Leica DM RX microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) with 20× objective. Omission of primary antibodies served as negative control and resulted in no detectable staining. Immunocytochemistry of cells obtained from three different donors was performed on 2 separate occasions. The proportion of cells immunoreactive for a particular antigen was quantified by counting 100 cells per donor sample. To achieve this, 10 randomly chosen, non-overlapping microscopic fields (each 577 μm × 465 μm) distributed evenly across the whole sample were photographed to provide representative images of the stained and non-stained cells. The 10 fields were believed to be representative of whole donor samples because the immunocytochemistry revealed that there was no heterogeneity of the distributions of the stained and non-stained cells – i.e. there were no clusters of stained cells or non-stained cells.
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