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This paper presents the analysis of the friction stir-processed aluminium alloy 5083-H111 gas tungsten arc-welded and friction stir-welded joints. The comparative analysis was performed on the processed and unprocessed gas tungsten arc-welded and friction stir-welded joints of similar aluminium alloy 5083-H111. The results showed a clear distinction between the friction stir-processed joints and unprocessed joints. There is a good correlation observed between the microstructural results and the tensile results. Ultrafine grain sizes of 4.62 μm and 7.177 μm were observed on the microstructure of the friction stir-processed friction stir-welded and gas tungsten arc-welded joints. The ultimate tensile strength for friction stir-welded and gas tungsten arc-welded joints before friction stir processing was 153.75 and 262.083 MPa, respectively. The ultimate tensile strength for friction stir processed friction stir-welded joint was 303.153 MPa and gas tungsten arc-welded joint one was 249.917 MPa. The microhardness values for the unprocessed friction stir-welded and gas tungsten arc-welded joints were both approximately 87 HV, while those of the friction stir-processed ones were 86.5 and 86 HV, respectively. The application of friction stir processing transformed the gas tungsten arc morphology from brittle to ductile dimples and reduced the ductile dimple size of the unprocessed friction stir-welded joints from the range of 4.90–38.33 μm to 3.35–15.59 μm.

1. Introduction

The materials-processing technology is as old as civilization. England started machine automation for forming, shaping, and cutting in the 18th to the 19th century. Since then, materials-processing methods, techniques, and machinery have grown numerously [1]. The material processing was introduced as the method of improving the material properties to suit a specific purpose. The selection of material with specific properties is the key parameter in many manufacturing industries like aircraft industry, automotive industry, and hydrovehicle industry [2]. Aluminium is known to be light in weight and a good corrosion-resistant material. These features have brought attention towards this material such that it became the best material suitable for building the aircraft structures, ship structures, and automotive components. The other benefit of using aluminium is that its weight lightness contributes to the reduction of power consumption [3]. The 5083-H111 aluminium alloy (AA) from the wrought alloy 5xxx series was selected to be utilized in this paper. Generally, the 5xxx offer outstanding corrosion resistance, making them suitable for marine applications. The 5083 alloy has the highest strength of the nonheat treatable alloys but is not recommended for use in temperatures exceeding 65°C. The alloy is highly resistant to be attacked by both seawater and industrial chemical environments [4]. Alloy 5083 also retains exceptional strength after welding in comparison to other alloys. Aluminium alloys are produced in different forms which mean different manufacturing or joining methods are required, i.e., welding and riveting. Welding is known to be the most used metal joining method. Welding is also divided into different types, i.e., gas metal arc welding (GMAW), gas tungsten arc welding (GTAW), friction welding (FW), friction stir welding (FSW), and laser welding.
The GTAW method has been the preferred method for welding aluminium alloys due to its cleanliness, but recently, the preference has shifted towards FSW. This shift is due to the fact that FSW is clean and does not produce any gas to the atmosphere, hence considered as green technology as well as fusion welding techniques such as arc welding result in several problems, namely, porosity formation, loss of strength, and cracking particularly in high strength Al-alloys [5–9]. Friction stir welding (FSW) is a solid-state welding method, which is invented by the welding institute (TWI) and has been well demonstrated particularly suited for the joining of aluminium alloys [10–16]. This then suggests that there are numerous progressive developments that are trying to optimize this new technology (FSW). This includes the introduction of friction stir processing (FSP) technology. FSP is another new technique being used to modify the microstructure of metals [17–21]. The FSP technique works similar to FSW but FSP does not join the materials instead it modifies the material’s microstructure through the pinned or pinless tool. Due to the frictional heat generated, the material undergoes severe plastic deformation, resulting in significant microstructural changes in the processed zone [22–25]. FSP generates three distinct microstructural zones in the processed area, namely, the nugget zone (NZ), thermomechanically affected zone (TMAZ), and heat-affected zone (HAZ) [26, 27]. The first work on FSP was reported by Mishra et al. [28] where FSP was used in enhancing the strain rate plasticity of 7075 aluminium alloy. Since then, FSP was employed to perform various modifications, and this includes the fabrication of surface composite.

Cast aluminium A206 was subjected to FSP with the purpose of modifying its microstructure. The results showed a significant reduction in grain size on the processed regions compared to the unprocessed regions of the plate. The microhardness for the processed regions was increased compared to the unprocessed regions. The processing of this material was also found to have contributed to the improvement of its tensile strength [29, 30]. There are different types of parameters that are involved in FSP. This includes tool shape and geometry, welding speeds, and rotational speeds. The good combination of these parameters advocates the achievement of a good product. SSM 356 aluminium alloy was used to study the impact of welding and rotational speeds towards the mechanical properties of this material [31]. These speeds were varied with the purpose of obtaining the optimum combination. The rotational and travelling speeds of 1750 rpm and 160 mm/min, respectively, were found to be optimal values in obtaining an improved result. A notable increase in microhardness and tensile strength of the processed region compared to the unprocessed one was reported. The microstructural analysis also showed homogeneity on the processed region compared to the unprocessed one [32]. The literature has shown some investigations on the influence of FSP on the microstructure and the tensile properties of Al-Si alloy [33]. Golafshani et al. [34] and Saini et al. [35] performed similar studies with the same alloy subjected to FSP. Process parameters used included tool tilted of angle 3°, while the rotational speed and welding speed were fixed at 1400 rpm and 42 mm/min, respectively. There was a notable increase in ductility on the processed plates compared to the unprocessed one. The ultimate tensile strength (UTS) for the processed plates was found to be higher than those which were unprocessed. Similarly, FSP resulted in fine equiaxed grains improving the tensile properties of the processed alloys [36–38].

This paper reports the analysis of the mechanical properties of the processed friction stir-welded (FSWed) and gas tungsten arc-welded (GTAWed) AA5083-H111 joints. The processed joints are analyzed in comparison to the unprocessed welded joints. This study is performed so as to establish whether FSP technique can be used as a post-processing method in improving the quality of the said welded joints. This topic was carefully chosen after noticing that there is little or no literature available on the friction stir processing of the GTAWed and FSWed joints; most literature studies have been focusing on the friction stir processing of single materials. The outcome of this paper will then give the engineers in the material processing industry an alternative for the enhancing of mechanical properties which will play a huge role in extending life span of welded structures.

2. Materials and Methods

The aluminium alloy 5083-H111 plate with a thickness of 6 mm was used in performing the experiments of this study. Eight rectangular specimens of 530 mm by 70 mm were cut from the supplied aluminium plate. Table 1 presents the chemical composition of the base metal (AA5083-H111). The dimensioned plates were welded together using FSW and GTAW techniques.

Friction stir welding was performed using a semi-automated milling machine. A nonconsumable tool made of high-carbon steel (H13) was used in fabricating the joints. The dimensions of the tool are shown in Figures 1(a) and 1(b). Figure 1(c) shows the image of the tool. The pin was positioned at the centre of the joint line with the purpose of enhancing material and grain refinement [40, 41]. A single-pass welding procedure was used to fabricate the joints [22]. The welding parameters used for this study are presented in Table 2. The sample of the weld produced by FSW is shown in Figure 1(d). The plates used in FSW are similar to the plates used for GTAW. The only difference was that the edges to be GTAWed had to be cut to a double V-groove as set out in ISO 9692. This was done so as to enhance the strength of the welding joint and also to minimize the weld distortion [42]. The sample of the GTAWed plate is shown in Figure 1(e). It should be noted that a ER5356 filler wire with a 2.4 mm diameter was used. Argon shielding gas was used to protect the weld pool from the dissolution of atmospheric gases [36]. Table 3 presents the GTAW parameters. All the welds produced by GTAW and FSW techniques were later friction stir processed.

The processing parameters used for FSP were similar to the ones used for FSW. Figure 2(a) shows the friction stir processing setup for the GTAWed joints, and Figure 2(b) shows the friction stir processing of the FSWed joints. The
Table 1: Chemical composition of the base metal [39].

| Base metal      | Mg  | Mn  | Fe  | Si  | Cu  | Cr  | Zn  | Ti  | Zr  | Al  |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| AA5083-H111     | 4.254 | 0.525 | 0.259 | 0.980 | 0.346 | 0.113 | 0.103 | 0.019 | 0.002 | 93.31 |

Figure 1: (a, b) Tool dimensions (in mm), (c) FSW/P Tool, (d) FSW joint, and (e) GTAWed plate.

Table 2: Friction stir welding parameters.

| Rotational speed (rpm) | Travelling speed (mm/min) | Vertical force (kN) | Tilt angle (°) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 1000                   | 30                        | 4.5                 | 2             |

Table 3: GTAW parameters.

| Welding current (A) | Shielding gas flowrate (l/min) | Voltage (V) | Travel speed (mm/min) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 200                 | 15                             | 16          | 150                   |
single-pass FSP was used in processing the joints. The same tool used for FSW was also used for FSP. The use of FSP tools with pins have been used in previous studies [37, 38, 43–45]. Figure 2(c) shows the friction stir-processed GTAWed joint, and Figure 2(d) shows the friction stir-processed FSWed joint. The two end holes in Figure 2(d) depicts the hole left after FSW, and the second one is the hole left after FSP. FSP hole is the only hole that can be seen in Figure 2(c).

2.1. Experiments. The FSWed, GTAWed, and friction stir-processed plates were cut for different tests. The waterjet cutting technique was used to cut the specimens, and this cutting technique was chosen because it does not involve heat and does not temper with mechanical properties during the cutting process. The tests performed on these specimens include tensile testing, microhardness, and microstructural analysis. The tensile tests were performed using the Hounsfieid tensile testing machine. The ASTM-E8M-04 was used for specimen geometry and tensile testing. The specimen geometry used (dimensions in mm) for tensile testing is shown in Figure 3(a). The tensile test parameters are presented in Table 4. The force versus extension data were logged, and the graphical representation of the logged data is presented under the Results section.

Vicker’s microhardness tests were performed using microhardness testing machine with Vicker’s scale. The ASTM E384-11 standard was used in performing microhardness testing. The tests were performed along the horizontal section of the welded joint specimen. The interval of 2 mm was used from the centre of the weld to either advancing or retreating side of the specimen. The load of 300 g and a dwell time of 15 s were used. The microstructural analysis was performed using a metallurgical microscope. Prior to the microstructural analysis, the specimens were cut according to sizes shown in Figure 3(b). The two lines in Figure 3(b) show where the welded area starts and ends. The cut specimens were mounted on Bakelite, hand polished, and etched. The etchant used was the sodium hydroxide (NaOH) made of 2 g of NaOH and 100 ml of distilled water. The etchant was poured on top of the specimen and immersed for 1 min for the preetch and 10 min for full etching.

It should be noted that there is a start location, middle location, and ending location in the friction stir-processed and the welded plates. So the three specimens were produced from the start, middle and the end of either welding or processing (see Figure 4). The specimen that was cut at the beginning of the plate was marked with A, while B symbolized the middle position and C was the end position of the plate. For unprocessed specimen labelling, formats A, B, and C were used while A2, B2, and C2 symbolized friction stir-processed specimens. This presentation was followed in all the tests that were performed. The test results are presented and discussed in the next section.

3. Results and Discussion

This section gives a detailed discussion of the results that were obtained from different test techniques. The results obtained include macrostructure, microstructure, tensile tests, microhardness, and fracture surface morphology.

3.1. Macrostructure. Figure 5 shows the macrostructure of the unprocessed and friction stir-processed FSWed and GTAWed joints. Figure 5(a) shows macrostructure of
AA5083-H111 BM. The AS is the advancing side and RS is the retreating side in Figures 5(b) to 5(e). Figure 5(b) shows the surface of the unprocessed GTAWed joints. The surface consists of few pores that are appearing on the welded joint with no visible cracks. It is assumed that the distinction between the welded plates and the filler together with the presence of pores on the joint contributes towards the joint weakness [36]. Figure 5(c) shows the friction stir-processed GTAWed joint, where the onion ring structure is present and a small portion of the unprocessed GTAWed structure is also appearing on the edges of the welded joint. There are no pores and cracks observed from the figure. The macrostructure of the unprocessed FSWed joint is shown in Figure 5(d). The joint shows bonding without defects. Figure 5(e) shows the friction stir-processed FSWed joint macrostructure. The unprocessed FSWed and the friction

| Speed (mm/min) | Extension range (mm) | Load range (kN) | Load cell (kN) |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1             | 0–10                 | 0–10            | 50             |

Table 4: Tensile test parameters.

![Figure 3: (a) Tensile test specimen and (b) microstructure and microhardness test specimen.](image)

![Figure 4: Specimen regions.](image)
stir-processed FSWed reveal onion ring microstructure that is also observed on the friction stir-processed GTAW. There is a normal feature of the banded structure [46]. The visibility of the onion ring feature suggests a good bonding or improved joint quality [47–49].

### 3.2. Microstructure

The microstructure of the stir zones of unprocessed and friction stir-processed FSWed and GTAWed joints is presented in Figure 6. Figure 6(a) presents the microstructure of the base metal (AA5083-H111) comprising of the coarse grain structures. Dark dendrites with fine precipitates of Mg3Al2 were noted in the micrograph of the unprocessed GTAWed joint depicted in Figure 6(b), and this is a common phenomenon with filler wire ER5356 microstructure [50]. The application of FSP on the GTAWed joints resulted in very fine grain structure with distinguished boundary layers in comparison to the unprocessed one (see Figure 6(c)). The unprocessed FSWed micrograph in Figure 6(d) shows the uniform arrangement with fine grains. Ultrafine grains with uniform arrangement is also noticed on friction stir-processed FSW. This arrangement was caused by the dynamic recrystallization (DRX) during the friction stir processing. The same behaviour was noted on the friction stir-processed GTAWed joints which resulted in very fine equiaxed grains on the stir zone [51–53].

Three measurements were performed on the stir zones of the welded joints where 1 stands for the beginning, 2 centre, and 3 towards the end of the stir zone in Table 5. Unprocessed GTAWed and FSWed grain sizes were found to be bigger than the friction stir-processed ones. However, the unprocessed joint grain sizes are smaller compared to the base material. The average grain sizes for the unprocessed FSWed joint is 10 μm while an average of 7 μm corresponds with the friction stir-processed FSWed joint. A similar trend is also noticed with the unprocessed and friction stir-processed GTAWed joint. The microstructural results correlate with the tensile properties, hence the ductile fracture. The grain refinement of the friction stir-processed welded joints is in agreement with the Hall–Petch relation which predicts that the grain size decreases with an increase in the UTS. The microstructural smaller grain size resulted in a higher hardness level of the welded region [49, 54]. Additional grain
measurements were performed on the unprocessed GTAWed joint to determine the size of the porosities in Figure 7(a) and were found to range from 11.24 to 20.46 μm. The average grain size for TMAZ on friction stir-processed GTAWed joint (Figure 7(b)) was measured to be 8.06 μm with columnar grains ranging from 40.60 to 83 μm and the HAZ

Table 5: Grain sizes measured.

| Technique   | 1   | 2   | 3   | Mean values | Standard deviation |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|-------------------|
| Base        | 18.63 | 15.63 | 17.16 | 17.14       | 1.50              |
| FSW         | 8.57  | 8.20  | 9.20  | 8.657       | 0.362             |
| FSP-FSW     | 4.74  | 4.44  | 4.68  | 4.62        | 0.127             |
| GTAW        | 10.96 | 10.30 | 11.08 | 10.78       | 0.249             |
| FSP-GTAW    | 7.010 | 7.520 | 7.000 | 7.177       | 0.125             |

Figure 6: Optical micrographs of the NZ regions at 50 μm scale (objective 50x) (a) base material, (b) unprocessed GTAWed joint, (c) friction stir-processed GTAWed joint, (d) unprocessed FSWed joint, and (e) friction stir-processed FSWed joint.
with average grains of 9.99 μm. The average grains for TMAZ and HAZ for the unprocessed FSW (Figure 7(c)) were 9.36 and 11.56 μm, respectively. The friction stir-processed FSWed average grain size (Figure 7(d)) for TMAZ was 7.53 and 8.39 μm for HAZ.

3.3. Microhardness Tests. Figure 8 illustrates Vicker’s microhardness profiles for unprocessed and friction stir-processed GTAWed joints. There is a notable decrease in the microhardness value from the centre to either the advancing or retreating side of the stir zone. This behaviour is the same for processed and unprocessed FSWed joint. The processed GTAWed joint exhibits similar behaviour to processed and unprocessed FSWed. This behaviour is a result of the coarsening of the precipitates on the welded region formed during FSW process, as well as the reheating and restirring during FSP [55, 56]. The unprocessed GTAWed joint shows an approximately horizontal line to the retreating side with a microhardness value of about 87 HV. Additionally, the microhardness profile for the GTAW is not symmetrical around the welds centreline. There is a notable decrease from the centre line to the retreating side. This is a very common behaviour when using the ER5356 filler which is most likely to be caused by the nonuniform melt flow field on both sides of the weld centre [57]. Chaurasia et al. [58] also reported a decrease in the microhardness as a result of little higher heat input in advancing than on the retreating side. There is a decrease in microhardness further away from the centre in both unprocessed and friction stir-processed GTAWed and FSWed joints, which is a result of the joints experiencing less strain and nonuniform grain structures. It was noted that the microhardness values of the processed and unprocessed joints (FSWed and GTAWed) were higher compared to the base metal one. This behaviour of microhardness is influenced by the change in grain sizes and grain distribution [59–61].

3.4. Tensile Tests. Table 6 shows the tensile test results for the friction stir-processed and unprocessed GTAWed joints. The notable trend shown in Table 3 is that the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the friction stir-processed specimens is higher than the unprocessed one. Moreover, the UTS for specimens cut at the beginning of the plate is lower than the UTS of the specimens cut in the middle and at the end of the plate. It is assumed that this trend emerges from the insufficient heat input occurring at the beginning of the welding or processing. A similar trend was also noted by Çevik [62]. It was also noted that the yield strength and the UTS for all the specimens (processed and unprocessed) were lower than those of the base metal. This is mainly due to the effect of heat input which does have an impact on the mechanical properties of the AA5083-H111 alloy [63]. Additionally, the AA5083-H111 base metal is a work hardened alloy in which the microstructure is highly unstable and has unequiaxed grain structures as seen in Figure 6(a); the application of FSW initiated a recrystallization due to high temperature during the process.
destroying the work-hardened state and weakening the mechanical properties [61]. Then, the re-recrystallization that happens during the FSP application comes and modifies the microstructure of the alloy strengthening the mechanical properties [25, 28, 35].

Amongst the tensile properties is the joint efficiency which is a numerical value, which represents a percentage, expressed as the ratio of the strength of a riveted, welded, or brazed joint to the strength of the base material [25]. The joint efficiency was determined by dividing the UTS of the weld by that of the base material. The friction stir-processed GTAWed joint showed maximum joint efficiency of about 71.4% while the unprocessed one was found to be 44%. There is also a notable improvement on the percentage elongation of the friction stir-processed joints compared to the unprocessed ones. This suggests that the ductility of the material has improved. The specimen C2 had highest percentage elongation compared to all specimens (base metal, unprocessed and other friction stir-processed specimens). Figure 9 shows the engineering stress and strain curves of the unprocessed and friction stir-processed GTAWed joints in correlation to Table 6. A similar impact of FSP on tensile properties of materials was also reported in the literature [24, 47, 64].

Table 7 and Figure 10 shows the tensile test results for the friction stir-processed and unprocessed FSWed joints. The trend noted with unprocessed and friction stir-processed GTAWed joint is also noticed with unprocessed and friction stir-processed FSWed results. The only difference is that the UTS and joint efficiency of the unprocessed FSWed joint were higher than those of the unprocessed GTAWed joint. Liu et al. [65] and Ceschini [66] obtained very similar behaviour of the tensile properties. The UTS of 303.153 MPa and joint efficiency of 86.60% for the friction stir-processed FSWed joint shows a clear increment along the joint while the unprocessed shows some fluctuations. The main reason for the increase in tensile properties of the friction stir-processed FSWed joints is that the joints were re-reheated and re-recrystallized using the same parameters used for welding to enhance the mechanical properties and refine grain sizes [44, 45, 67]. A similar behaviour was also reported on the study by Salman et al. [20].

3.5. Fracture Surface Morphology. Figure 11 reveals the SEM micrograph of fractured surface for the unprocessed and the friction stir-processed GTAWed and FSWed joint specimens. Figure 11(a) shows the fractured surface for the base
metal. The unprocessed GTAWed joint shown in Figure 11(b) reveals some rough fracture surface with some notable voids and cleavage facets which correlated with the microstructure results. This kind of observation suggests that the GTAWed joint had a brittle failure. Cleavage facets, dimples, and matrix cracks were noted on the surface of the other three fracture surfaces (see Figure 11(c)–11(e)). This then suggests a ductile failure of the unprocessed FSWed and

Table 7: Friction stir-processed and unprocessed FSWed joints results.

| Sample | Rp0.2 yield strength (MPa) | Ultimate tensile strength (MPa) | Percentage elongation (%) | Joint efficiency (%) |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Base metal | 350 | | 16 | |
| A | 174.528 | 256.806 | 16.54 | 74.88 |
| B | 162.167 | 258.75 | 18.54 | 73.93 |
| C | 179.861 | 262.083 | 17.11 | 73.37 |
| A2 | 139.722 | 286.028 | 30.52 | 81.72 |
| B2 | 156.806 | 299.94 | 29.09 | 85.70 |
| C2 | 118.611 | 303.153 | 24.50 | 86.60 |

Figure 9: Engineering stress-strain curves of the unprocessed and friction stir-processed GTAW specimens.

Figure 10: Engineering stress-strain curves of the unprocessed and friction stir-processed FSW specimens.
friction stir-processed GTAWed and FSWed joints. The dimple sizes measured were ranging from 10.64 to 22.18 \( \mu m \) for the unprocessed GTAWed fracture surface, while for the friction stir-processed GTAWed joints, the dimples ranged from 1.66 to 17.72 \( \mu m \). The unprocessed FSWed joint dimples were found to be about 4.90 to 17.72 \( \mu m \), and the friction stir-processed FSWed joint ones were found to be ranging from 3.33 to 15.59 \( \mu m \). The same ductile behaviour was obtained where the dimple of the fracture is obvious without the phenomenon of intergranular fracture [35, 68–70]. The friction stir-processed GTAWed and FSWed morphology showed a lot of dimples due to recrystallization and reheating of the joint which softened the material.

4. Conclusions

The analysis of the friction stir-processed and unprocessed GTAWed and FSWed joints were successfully fabricated and analyzed in this study. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

(i) The unprocessed GTAWed joint shows very low mechanical properties compared to the friction stir-
processed GTA welded joint. The mechanical properties of the GTA welded joint improved after it has been friction stir processed. Similar behaviour is also observed with friction stir-processed and unprocessed FSWed joints. Maximum tensile properties obtained were found on the friction stir-processed FSWed specimen C. The best results were a UTS of 303.153 MPa, yield strength of 118.611 MPa, percentage elongation of 24%, and a joint efficiency of 86.6%.

(ii) The application of FSP also improved the ductility of the welded joints, with friction stir-processed FSWed joint being more ductile in comparison to the friction stir-processed GTA welded ones. The ductility is correlated by the surface morphology results where the friction stir-processed FSWed results revealed best reduced dimple sizes.

(iii) The macroscopic and microscopic results have shown that FSP technique can be used as a weld joint enhancement technique. The reheating and restirring in the stir zone resulted in significant improvement of the mechanical and microstructural properties of the processed joints. The distinctive grain size refinement occurred in both friction stir-processed FSWed and GTA welded joints. However, the friction stir-processed FSWed joints had the greatest refinement due to the severe re-reheating, re-restirring, and re-recrystallization experienced during FSP.

(iv) The microhardness of the unprocessed and friction stir-processed joints was marginally affected by the friction stir processing technique giving maximum microhardness of 87 HV.
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