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Abstract
The higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with a tower of fibrations represents a generalization of the classical Leray–Serre spectral sequence of a fibration. In this work, we present algorithms to compute higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences leveraging the effective homology technique, which allows to perform computations involving chain complexes of infinite type associated with interesting objects in algebraic topology. In order to develop the programs, implemented as a new module for the Computer Algebra system Kenzo, we translated the original construction of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence in a simplicial framework and studied some of its fundamental properties.
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1 Introduction

In algebraic topology and homological algebra, spectral sequences are constructions arising in a quite natural way from a filtration of a chain complex, that is a collection \( \{ F_p C_n \}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \) of nested chain subcomplexes indexed over the integers. In a recent work [14], B. Matschke proposed a generalization of the notion of spectral sequence, formulating a theory which allows to construct a generalized spectral sequence, or spectral system, from filtrations indexed over any partially ordered set. This notion is refined in [15] in the special case of spectral systems arising from chain complexes filtered over the integers in several different ways, called higher spectral sequences. One of the main motivations of Matschke was to describe a mathematical object general enough to unify several spectral sequences which usually one would apply in succession. This is the case of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with a tower of fibrations, one of the motivating examples of the work, which generalizes the classical Leray–Serre spectral sequence [22] relating the homology of the base, fiber and total space of a fibration.

Handling spectral systems and higher spectral sequences may be daunting, since they look more technical than usual spectral sequences and they involve more complicated bookkeeping and manipulation of the filtration. On the other hand, computing them is highly desirable, as they are able to detect finer details than ordinary spectral sequences. In particular, higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences contain more information on the involved towers of fibrations than ordinary spectral sequences associated with each fibration of the tower. The overarching purpose of the present work is to allow the use of these finer details in practice, which is conditional on the possibility of explicitly computing higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences.

Like ordinary spectral sequences, spectral systems and higher spectral sequences are not algorithms, meaning that they cannot always be immediately computed from a filtration of a chain complex. In particular, the notion of spectral system and higher spectral sequence involves groups and differential maps which, despite being mathematically well-defined, in many situations cannot be computationally determined. This is the case, for example, that occurs when the considered chain complex is of infinite type, a quite common situation with chain complexes associated with interesting objects, for instance in algebraic topology.

In this work, we present general algorithms to compute the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with a tower of fibrations. Because of the mentioned difficulties, in order to develop our algorithms and programs we use effective homology [19,20], a technique devised to compute the homology of complicated spaces, which allows to perform computations involving chain complexes of infinite type. A key concept for the technique of effective homology is that of a reduction (Definition 13) between two chain complexes, which is a special type of chain equivalence. In short, if a chain complex is connected via a suitable sequence of reductions to a second one, the latter can be used in computations, for instance to determine homology. Differently from [19,20], we consider chain complexes endowed with generalized filtrations; by studying the interaction of the filtrations and the reductions we describe how the effective homology method can be applied to compute spectral systems and higher spectral sequences. In a previous work [9] we presented general results and algorithms...
for successive reductions between filtered chain complexes, under the assumption that both the reductions and the filtrations (on all the involved chain complexes) are known, acknowledging that specific methods are required to compute many relevant spectral systems. In this work, we introduce a specific method for higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences, describing how the appropriate reductions and filtrations can be defined directly from the tower of fibrations. In order to make them computationally treatable, a main accomplishment of the present article is the introduction of a construction of higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences in a simplicial framework, which is more suitable for computational purposes than the original topological framework of [14]. The study of this simplicial version of higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences yields in particular the guarantee of the correctness of our algorithms. The programs described in this work have been implemented as a new module for the Computer Algebra system Kenzo [4] and are available at https://github.com/ana-romero/Kenzo-external-modules.

Let us outline the structure of the paper. In Sect. 2 we present some fundamental notions and results that we use in the rest of the work. Section 3 contains a general introduction to selected aspects of the theory of higher spectral sequences. In Sect. 4 we describe our simplicial version of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence, introducing definitions of generalized filtrations (Definitions 36 and 37; see also Definitions 42 and 57 in the following sections) of chain complexes associated with the tower of fibrations, a fundamental step to explicitly compute higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences. Sections 5 and 6 present the main ideas underlying our algorithms, as well as the description of how the effective homology technique allows them to deal with a wide range of situations that cannot be handled by standard algorithms. The interaction between the generalized filtrations and the reductions of the effective homology technique (detailed in Propositions 44, 46, 48, 50 and 59, 60, 61) yields our first main result (Theorems 51 and 62), which provides a theoretical guarantee of the correctness of our algorithms: from the 2-page on (Definition 31), the higher spectral sequence computed using our algorithms based on the effective homology method coincides with the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence defined from the tower of fibrations. Section 7 contains the proof, in the simplicial setting we adopt throughout this work, of the second main result of this paper (Theorem 64), which identifies the 2-page of higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences. In Sect. 8 we provide examples and computations which highlight some unique features of the programs we developed, and we end the paper with a section of conclusions and ideas for further work.

2 Preliminaries

We devote this section to presenting preliminary notions and results that will be helpful to understand the rest of the work. After introducing the notion of spectral system, we recall some definitions on simplicial sets and principal fibrations, that we will need to introduce our simplicial version of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence. Then, we illustrate the effective homology technique and recall some results in homological perturbation theory that we will need to use effective homology in our context. We conclude the section presenting some algorithms to compute spectral systems in
general situations, which will be useful to better understand the specific methods we developed for the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence.

Throughout this work, we denote the composition of two maps \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to Z \) by \( gf \). If not specified otherwise, all the modules we consider are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules.

### 2.1 Spectral Systems

Spectral systems are a generalization of the classical notion of spectral sequence [17] to the case of filtrations indexed over a poset.

**Definition 1** A partially ordered set or poset \((I, \leq)\) is a set \(I\) endowed with a partial order \(\leq\).

**Definition 2** A chain complex \( C_* \) is a sequence of pairs \( C_* = (C_n, d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \) where \( C_n \) are abelian groups and \( d_n : C_n \to C_{n-1} \) (the differential maps) are group homomorphism of degree \(-1\) such that \( d_{n-1}d_n = 0 \), for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). The \( n \)-homology group of the chain complex \( C_* \) is defined as \( H_n(C_*) := \text{Ker } d_n/\text{Im } d_{n+1} \), for each \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). We denote \( H_*(C_*) := \{ H_n(C_*) \}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \) the graded homology group of the chain complex \( C_* \).

In what follows, we will usually drop the subscript in the notation of differential maps. Homology groups can also be defined with coefficients in an arbitrary module \( M \) rather than \( \mathbb{Z} \), in which case we use the notation \( H_n(C_*, M) \). For this definition and the relation with ordinary (integer) homology see [13].

**Definition 3** A filtration of a chain complex \( C_* \) over a poset \((I, \leq)\), briefly called an \( I \)-filtration, is a collection of subcomplexes \( F = \{ F_i C_* \}_{i \in I} \) such that \( F_i C_* \subseteq F_j C_* \) whenever \( i \leq j \) in \( I \). We call an \( I \)-filtered chain complex \((C_*, F)\) a chain complex \( C_* \) endowed with an \( I \)-filtration \( F = \{ F_i C_* \}_{i \in I} \).

We will often denote the chain subcomplexes \( F_i C_* \) simply by \( F_i \), forgetting about the grading of homology, when we are only interested in the filtration index \( i \).

Now, we recall that for classical spectral sequences, which arise from a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-filtration \( \{ F_p \}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \), we have the formula (see [13]):

\[
E^r_{p,q} = \frac{Z^r_{p,q} + F_{p-1}C_{p+q}}{d(Z^{r-1}_{p+r-1,q+r+2}) + F_{p-1}C_{p+q}},
\]

where \( Z^r_{p,q} := \{ a \in F_pC_{p+q} : d(a) \in F_{p-r}C_{p+q-1} \} \). This expression can be rewritten in a form that highlights the interplay of the four filtration indices \( p-r, p-1, p \) and \( p+r-1 \):

\[
E^r_{p,q} = \frac{F_p \cap d^{-1}(F_{p-r})}{d(F_{p+r-1}) + F_{p-1}},
\]

where for simplicity we do not denote the grading of homology. To be precise, since in (2) the denominator is not necessarily a subgroup of the numerator, the formula has
to be interpreted keeping in mind that by convention the notation \( A/B \) for quotient groups will mean \( A/(B \cap A) \cong (A + B)/B \).

In [14], this formula was imitated and generalized to the case of \( I \)-filtrations as follows.

**Definition 4** Let \((C_*, F)\) be an \( I \)-filtered chain complex. Given a 4-tuple of indices \( z \leq s \leq p \leq b \) in \( I \) we define

\[
S[z, s, p, b] := \frac{F_p \cap d^{-1}(F_z)}{d(F_b) + F_s}.
\]

As an example, in the particular cases \( z = s \leq p = b \) we can observe that \( S[z, s, p, b] \) is the relative homology of \( F_s \subseteq F_p \), that is \( S[z, s, p, b] = H(F_p/F_s) \).

We call the collection \( \{S[z, s, p, b]\}_{z \leq s \leq p \leq b} \) the spectral system associated with the \( I \)-filtration \( F = \{F_i\}_{i \in I} \) of \( C_* \), and we call each abelian group \( S[z, s, p, b] \) a term of the spectral system. For the sake of clarity, reintroducing in the notation (3) the total degree \( n \) gives

\[
S_n[z, s, p, b] := \frac{F_p C_n \cap d^{-1}(F_z C_{n-1})}{d(F_b C_{n+1}) + F_s C_{n}}.
\]

The notion of differential in classical spectral sequences, as well as the way of obtaining terms of the page \( r + 1 \) by taking homology at page \( r \), can be generalized too. Given two 4-tuples of indices \( z_1 \leq s_1 \leq p_1 \leq b_1 \) and \( z_2 \leq s_2 \leq p_2 \leq b_2 \) in \( I \), it is easy to check that \( d \) induces a well-defined differential

\[
d : S[z_2, s_2, p_2, b_2] \to S[z_1, s_1, p_1, b_1]
\]

whenever the additional inequalities \( z_2 \leq p_1 \) and \( s_2 \leq b_1 \) are satisfied. With a small abuse of notation, we denote with \( d \) also the induced differentials. In the case of \( z_2 = p_1 \) and \( s_2 = b_1 \), a direct computation shows that the kernel and cokernel of \( d : S[z_2, s_2, p_2, b_2] \to S[z_1, s_1, p_1, b_1] \) have the following nice expressions as terms of the spectral system:

\[
\text{Ker } d = S[s_1, s_2, p_2, b_2], \quad \text{Coker } d = S[z_1, s_1, p_1, b_2].
\]

The following result from [14] describes how the homology of a sequence of such differentials can be expressed.

**Proposition 5** Consider three 4-tuples of indices in \( I \) satisfying the relations

\[
\begin{align*}
z_3 \leq s_3 \leq p_3 \leq b_3 \\
\| & \| \\
\| & \| \\
z_2 \leq s_2 \leq p_2 \leq b_2 \\
\| & \| \\
z_1 \leq s_1 \leq p_1 \leq b_1
\end{align*}
\]
and the sequence of differentials between the corresponding terms:

\[ S[z_3, s_3, p_3, b_3] \xrightarrow{d'} S[z_2, s_2, p_2, b_2] \xrightarrow{d} S[z_1, s_1, p_1, b_1]. \] (4)

Then the homology at the middle term is given by

\[ \frac{\text{Ker } d}{\text{Im } d'} = S[s_1, s_2, p_2, p_3]. \]

Note that, as the differential \( d \) of \( C_* \) is a graded map of degree \(-1\), so are the induced differentials between terms of the spectral system (with respect to the total degree). Then, for example, making explicit the total degree in (4) one obtains

\[ S_{n+1}[z_3, s_3, p_3, b_3] \xrightarrow{d'} S_n[z_2, s_2, p_2, b_2] \xrightarrow{d} S_{n-1}[z_1, s_1, p_1, b_1], \]

whose homology at the middle term is \( \text{Ker } d/\text{Im } d' = S_n[s_1, s_2, p_2, p_3] \).

The paper [14] introduces some examples of spectral systems (and higher spectral sequences, see Sect. 3) associated with interesting objects in algebraic topology. For example, as we will explain in Sect. 4, the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence is defined by means of a tower of fibrations, and generalizes the classical Leray–Serre spectral sequence of a fibration.

However, the definitions in [14] are formal and the paper does not include a method to compute the spectral systems.

### 2.2 Simplicial Sets and Fibrations

In this section we introduce the definition of fibrations in a simplicial setting, following [16].

**Definition 6** Let \( \mathcal{D} \) be a category. The category \( s\mathcal{D} \) of simplicial objects in \( \mathcal{D} \) is defined as follows. An object \( K \in s\mathcal{D} \) consists of

- for each integer \( n \geq 0 \), an object \( K_n \in \mathcal{D} \);
- for every pair of integers \( (i, n) \) such that \( 0 \leq i \leq n \), face and degeneracy maps \( \partial_i : K_n \to K_{n-1} \) and \( s_i : K_n \to K_{n+1} \) (which are morphisms in the category \( \mathcal{D} \)) satisfying the simplicial identities:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_i \partial_j &= \partial_{j-1}\partial_i & \text{if } i < j \\
s_i s_j &= s_{j+1}s_i & \text{if } i \leq j \\
\partial_i s_j &= \begin{cases} 
\partial_{i-1}s_i & \text{if } i = j, j+1 \\
\text{Id} & \text{if } i > j+1 
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( K \) and \( L \) be simplicial objects. A simplicial map (or simplicial morphism) \( f : K \to L \) consists of maps \( f_n : K_n \to L_n \) (which are morphisms in \( \mathcal{D} \)) which commute with
the face and degeneracy operators, that is \( f_{n-1} \partial_i = \partial_i f_n \) and \( f_{n+1} s_i = s_i f_n \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq n \).

If the objects of \( D \) have elements, the elements of \( K_n \) are called the \( n \)-simplices of \( K \).

**Definition 7** An \( n \)-simplex \( x \in K_n \) is degenerate if \( x = s_j y \) for some \( y \in K_{n-1} \) and some \( 0 \leq j < n \); otherwise \( x \) is called non-degenerate. An element \( x \in K_n \) has degeneracy degree equal to \( p \), denoted \( \text{deg} x = p \), if \( x = s_{i_{n-p}} \cdots s_i y \) for some non-degenerate \( y \in K_p \).

A simplicial set is a simplicial object in the category of sets. In what follows, we will use the notion of 1-reduced simplicial set, that is a simplicial set having a unique 0-simplex and no non-degenerate 1-simplex. A simplicial group \( G \) is a simplicial object in the category of groups; in other words, it is a simplicial set where each \( G_n \) is a group and the face and degeneracy operators are group morphisms.

A simplicial set \( K \) has a canonically associated chain complex \( C_\ast(K) = (C_n(K), d_n) \), where each chain group \( C_n(K) \) is defined as the free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module generated by \( K_n \), and the differential \( d_n : C_n(K) \to C_{n-1}(K) \) is defined as the alternating sum of faces, \( d_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \partial_i \).

**Definition 8** The Cartesian product \( X \times Y \) of two simplicial sets \( X \) and \( Y \) is the simplicial set whose set of \( n \)-simplices is \( (X \times Y)_n := X_n \times Y_n \), with coordinate-wise defined face and degeneracy maps: if \((x, y) \in (X \times Y)_n \), then

\[
\partial_i(x, y) := (\partial_i x, \partial_i y), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n; \\
\sigma_i(x, y) := (s_i x, s_i y), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.
\]

**Remark 9** From the definition of the degeneracy maps of a Cartesian product \( X \times Y \), it is clear that an element \((x, y) \in (X \times Y)_n \) can be expressed as \((x, y) = s_{i_{n-q}} \cdots s_{i_1} (x', y') \) for some non-degenerate \((x', y') \in (X \times Y)_q \), where \( s_{i_{n-q}}, \ldots, s_{i_1} \) are the common degenerations in the expressions of \( x \) and \( y \) with respect to non-degenerate elements, as in Definition 7.

**Definition 10** A twisting operator from a simplicial set \( B \) to a simplicial group \( G \) is a map \( \tau : B \to G \) of degree \(-1 \), that is a collection of maps \( \tau = \{ \tau_n : B_n \to G_{n-1} \}_{n \geq 1} \), satisfying the following identities, for any \( n \geq 1 \) and for any \( b \in B_n \):

\[
\partial_i \tau b = \tau (\partial_i b), \quad 0 \leq i < n - 1, \\
\partial_{n-1} \tau b = \tau (\partial_{n-1} b), \\
s_i \tau b = \tau (s_i b), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n - 1, \\
e_n = \tau (e_n b),
\]

where \( e_n \) is the identity element of \( G_n \).

We defined twisting operators in a slightly different (yet equivalent) way from [16], in order to agree with the definition implemented in the Kenzo system.
Definition 11 Given a simplicial group $G$, a simplicial set $B$ and a twisting operator $\tau : B \to G$, the twisted (Cartesian) product $E(\tau) := G \times_\tau B$ is the simplicial set whose set of $n$-simplices is $E(\tau)_n = (G \times_\tau B)_n := G_n \times B_n$ and whose face and degeneracy maps are defined in the following way: if $(g, b) \in (G \times_\tau B)_n$, then
\[
\partial_i(g, b) := (\partial_i g, \partial_i b), \quad 0 \leq i < n,
\]
\[
\partial_n(g, b) := (\tau(b) \cdot \partial_n g, \partial_n b);
\]
\[
s_i(g, b) := (s_i g, s_i b), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.
\]

It can be easily shown that the identities defining a twisting operator $\tau$ are equivalent to the simplicial identities of $G \times_\tau B$. Notice that Remark 9 applies also to twisted products $G \times_\tau B$.

Definition 12 Given a simplicial group $G$, a simplicial set $B$ and a twisting operator $\tau : B \to G$, we call (principal) fibration a sequence $G \hookrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow B$, where $E := E(\tau) = G \times_\tau B$ and the maps are the inclusion as first factor $G \hookrightarrow G \times_\tau B$ and the projection on the second factor $G \times_\tau B \to B$. In a fibration, $B$ is called the base, $G$ is called the fiber and $E$ is called the total space.

2.3 Effective Homology

The effective homology method, introduced in [21] and explained in depth in [19] and [20], is a technique which can be used to determine the homology of complicated spaces, in particular spaces which are not of finite type. In a previous work [9], we used this technique to develop algorithms and programs for computing some spectral systems, as we will briefly illustrate in Sect. 2.5. We present now the main definitions and ideas of this method.

Definition 13 A reduction $\rho := (C_* \Rightarrow D_*)$ between two chain complexes $C_*$ and $D_*$ is a triple $(f, g, h)$ where: (a) the components $f$ and $g$ are chain complex morphisms $f : C_* \to D_*$ and $g : D_* \to C_*$; (b) the component $h$ is a homotopy operator $h : C_* \to C_{*+1}$ (a graded group homomorphism of degree $+1$); (c) the following relations must be satisfied: (1) $fg = \text{id}_{D_*}$; (2) $g f + d_{C_*} h + h d_{C_*} = \text{id}_{C_*}$; (3) $fh = 0$; (4) $hg = 0$; (5) $hh = 0$.

The relations of Definition 13 express the fact that $C_*$ is the direct sum of $D_*$ and a contractible (acyclic) complex. This decomposition is simply $C_* = \text{Ker } f \oplus \text{Im } g$, with $\text{Im } g \cong D_*$ and $H_n(\text{Ker } f) = 0$, for all $n$. In particular, this implies that the homology groups $H_n(C_*)$ and $H_n(D_*)$ are canonically isomorphic, for all $n$.

Given a chain complex $C_*$, the trivial reduction $\text{Id} = (f, g, h) : C_* \Rightarrow C_*$ is the reduction with $f = g = \text{Id}$ and $h = 0$. We now state two simple results (see [20, Ch. 5]) describing the behavior of reductions with respect to composition and tensor product.

Proposition 14 Let $\rho = (f\, g\, h) : C_* \Rightarrow D_*$ and $\rho' = (f'\, g'\, h') : D_* \Rightarrow E_*$ be two reductions. Then a reduction $\rho'' = (f''\, g''\, h'') : C_* \Rightarrow E_*$ is given by:
\[
f'' := f' f, \quad g'' := gg', \quad h'' := h + gh' f.
\]
Proposition 15 Let $\rho = (f, g, h) : C_* \Rightarrow D_*$ and $\rho' = (f', g', h') : C_*' \Rightarrow D_*'$ be two reductions. Then a reduction $\rho'' := \rho \otimes \rho' = (f'', g'', h'') : C_* \otimes C_*' \Rightarrow D_* \otimes D_*'$ is given by:

$$f'' := f \otimes f', \quad g'' := g \otimes g', \quad h'' := h \otimes \text{Id}_{C_*} + (gf) \otimes h'.$$

Definition 16 A (strong chain) equivalence $\varepsilon := (C_* \iff D_*)$ between two complexes $C_*$ and $D_*$ is a triple $(\hat{C}_*, \rho, \rho')$ where $\hat{C}_*$ is a chain complex and $\rho : \hat{C}_* \Rightarrow C_*$ and $\rho' : \hat{C}_* \Rightarrow D_*$ are reductions: $C_* \iff \hat{C}_* \Rightarrow D_*$.

Definition 17 An effective chain complex $C_*$ is a free chain complex (that is, a chain complex consisting of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules) where each group $C_n$ is finitely generated, and there is an algorithm that returns a $\mathbb{Z}$-base $\beta_n$ for each input degree $n$ (for details, see [19]).

Intuitively, an effective chain complex $C_*$ is a chain complex whose homology groups can be determined by means of standard algorithms for homology, based on matrix diagonalization and on the computation of the Smith Normal Form (see [11]).

Definition 18 An object with effective homology is a triple $(X, D_*, \varepsilon)$ where $X$ is an object (e.g., a simplicial set, a topological space) possessing a canonically associated free chain complex $C_*(X)$, $D_*$ is an effective chain complex and $\varepsilon = (C_*(X) \iff D_*)$ is an equivalence between $C_*(X)$ and $D_*$. The notion of object with effective homology makes it possible to compute the homology groups of “complicated” objects by using the associated effective complexes to perform the computations, which can be easily carried out via standard algorithms for homology. The method is based on the following idea: given some objects $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, a constructor is an algorithm which produces a new object $X$ (in Sect. 2.4 we will detail the case of the total space of a fibration as an example of a constructor). We assume that effective homology versions of the objects $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are known, and we require that the constructor produces also an effective homology version of the space $X$. In this way, the effective chain complex $D_*$ associated with $X$ can be used for the computations, and the relationship with the original chain complex $C_*(X)$ is kept thanks to the equivalence $C_*(X) \iff D_*$. The most significant achievement of the effective homology technique concerns the possibility to compute the homology of chain complexes of infinite type, which are not uncommon in algebraic topology and homological algebra, associated with interesting objects. We say that a chain complex $C_*$ is of infinite type if at least one of its chain groups $C_n$ is not a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-module. For brevity, we say that an object $X$ is of infinite type when the associated chain complex $C_*(X)$ is of infinite type. In this case, since one cannot save a list of all generators and express the differential maps as matrices, standard algorithms for homology are not directly applicable, and the effective homology technique is the only known way to deal with these situations. The effective homology method has been implemented in the system Kenzo [4], a Common Lisp 16,000 lines program devoted to symbolic computation in algebraic topology, which has made it possible to determine homology and homotopy groups of
complicated spaces and has proved its utility successfully computing some previously
unknown results (for example, homology groups of iterated loop spaces of a loop
space modified by a cell attachment, components of complex Postnikov towers; see
[20] for details).

2.4 Homological Perturbation and the (Twisted) Eilenberg–Zilber Reduction

In the context of the present work, the homological perturbation results usually known
by the names of Trivial Perturbation Lemma (TPL) and Basic Perturbation Lemma
(BPL) turn out to be fundamental tools, since they describe how a perturbation (a
modification of the differential of a chain complex) transmits through a reduction.

Definition 19 Let $C_* = (C_n, d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a chain complex. A perturbation $\delta$ of the
differential $d$ is a family of morphisms $\delta = \{\delta_n : C_n \to C_{n-1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that the sum
$d + \delta$ is again a differential, that is $(d + \delta)^2 = 0$ holds (meaning $(d_{n-1} + \delta_{n-1})(d_n + \delta_n) = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$).

We call $C_*' = (C_n, d_n + \delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the perturbed chain complex obtained from $C_*$ by
introducing the perturbation $\delta$.

Theorem 20 (Trivial Perturbation Lemma) Let $C_* = (C_n, d_C)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $D_* = (D_n, d_D)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be two chain complexes, $\rho = (f, g, h) : C_* \Rightarrow D_*$ a reduction, and $\delta_D$ a perturbation of the differential $d_D$. Then a reduction $\rho' = (f', g', h') : C_*' \Rightarrow D_*'$ exists, where:

1. $C_*' = (C_*, d_C + g \delta_D f)$ is the perturbed chain complex obtained from $C_*$ by
   introducing the perturbation $g \delta_D f$;
2. $D_*' = (D_*, d_D + \delta_D)$ is the perturbed chain complex obtained from $D_*$ by intro-
   ducing the perturbation $\delta_D$;
3. The maps of the new reduction $\rho' = (f', g', h')$ are given by $f' := f$, $g' := g$, $h' := h$.

Theorem 21 (Basic Perturbation Lemma, [2]) Let $C_* = (C_n, d_C)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $D_* = (D_n, d_D)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be two chain complexes, $\rho = (f, g, h) : C_* \Rightarrow D_*$ a reduction, and $\delta_C$ a perturbation of the differential $d_C$. Suppose that the composition $h \delta_C$ satisfies the following nilpotency condition: for every $x \in C_*$ there exists a non-negative integer $m = m(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(h \delta_C)^m(x) = 0$. Then a reduction $\rho' = (f', g', h') : C_*' \Rightarrow D_*'$ exists, where:

1. $C_*' = (C_*, d_C + \delta_C)$ is the perturbed chain complex obtained from $C_*$ by introducing
   the perturbation $\delta_C$;
2. $D_*' = (D_*, d_D + \delta_D)$ is the perturbed chain complex obtained from $D_*$ by intro-
   ducing the perturbation $\delta_D := f \delta_C g = f \psi \delta_C g$;
3. the maps of the new reduction $\rho' = (f', g', h')$ are given by
   $f' := f \psi$, $g' := \varphi g$, $h' := \varphi h = h \psi$. 
with the operators \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) given by

\[
\varphi := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (h \delta_C)^i, \quad \psi := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (\delta_C h)^i,
\]

the convergence of these series being guaranteed by the nilpotency condition.

Let us devote the rest of this section to effective homology of the twisted product of simplicial sets, which will be particularly relevant in what follows. In order to state the definitions and the result correctly, we henceforth assume all the chain complexes associated with simplicial sets to be \emph{normalized} (see [16, Ch. 5]), which intuitively means that only non-degenerate simplices are considered as generators of the chain groups. More precisely, for a simplicial set \( X \) with the notation \( C_*(X) \) we actually mean the quotient \( C_*(X)/C^D_*(X) \), where \( C^D_*(X) \) is the subcomplex of degenerate elements. Let \( G \to E \to B \) be a fibration given by a twisting operator \( \tau : B \to G \); we want to consider a constructor which produces the total space of the fibration, \( E = G \times \tau B \). Let us suppose that \( B \) is 1-reduced and that \( G \) and \( B \) are objects with effective homology, that is, there exist two equivalences \( C_*(G) \leftrightarrow DG_* \) and \( C_*(B) \leftrightarrow DB_* \), with \( DG_* \) and \( DB_* \) effective chain complexes. Then it is possible to obtain the effective homology of the total space \( E \). The starting point is the Eilenberg–Zilber reduction, which describes the relation between the chain complex of a Cartesian product of simplicial sets \( C_*(G \times B) \) and the tensor product \( C_*(G) \otimes C_*(B) \).

**Theorem 22** (Eilenberg–Zilber, [6]) \( \) For any simplicial sets \( G \) and \( B \) there exists a reduction

\[
\rho = (f, g, h) : C_*(G \times B) \Rightarrow C_*(G) \otimes C_*(B).
\]

The maps \( f, g, h \), which are called respectively the Alexander-Whitney, Eilenberg–MacLane and Shih maps, are defined as follows:

\[
f(x_n, y_n) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \partial_{i+1} \cdots \partial_n x_n \otimes \partial_0 \cdots \partial_{i-1} y_n,
\]

\[
g(x_p \otimes y_q) := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \{(p, q)\}-\text{shuffles}} (-1)^{sg(\alpha, \beta)} (s_{\beta q} \cdots s_{\beta 1} x_p, s_{\alpha p} \cdots s_{\alpha 1} y_q),
\]

\[
h(x_n, y_n) := \sum_{0 \leq q \leq n-1, \atop 0 \leq p \leq n-q-1, \atop (\alpha, \beta) \in \{(p+1, q)\}-\text{shuffles}} (-1)^{\alpha p + \beta q + sg(\alpha, \beta)} (s_{\beta q} \partial_{n-q+1} \cdots \partial_n x_n, s_{\alpha} \partial_{n-p-q} \cdots \partial_{n-q-1} y_n),
\]

where a \( (p, q) \)-shuffle \( (\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q) \) is defined as a permutation of the set \( \{0, 1, \ldots, p + q - 1\} \) such that \( \alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1} \) and \( \beta_j < \beta_{j+1} \), \( \text{sg}(\alpha, \beta) := \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\alpha_i - i - 1) \), \( s_{\beta} := s_{\beta q+n+p-q} \cdots s_{\beta 1+n-p-q} s_{n-p-q-1} \) and \( s_{\alpha} := s_{\alpha p+1+n-p-q} \cdots s_{\alpha 1+n-p-q} \).
As we are interested in studying the twisted product $G \times_\tau B$ rather than the Cartesian product $G \times B$, we recall that the only difference between them concerns the face operators (see Definition 11). Consequently, the chain complexes $C_\ast(G \times B)$ and $C_\ast(G \times_\tau B)$ have the same underlying graded group, but different differentials. The differential of $C_\ast(G \times B)$ can be seen as a perturbed version of the differential of $C_\ast(G \times_\tau B)$, where the perturbation is given, for any $(g, b) \in G_n \times B_n$, by
\[
\delta(g, b) := (-1)^n [(\tau(b) \cdot \partial_n g, \partial_n b) - (\partial_n g, \partial_n b)] .
\] (5)

A major classical result, known as the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber theorem, is obtained applying the Basic Perturbation Lemma to the Eilenberg–Zilber reduction $\rho = (f, g, h) : C_\ast(G \times B) \Rightarrow C_\ast(G) \otimes C_\ast(B)$.

**Theorem 23** (Twisted Eilenberg–Zilber, [1]) Let $B$ be a simplicial set, $G$ a simplicial group and $\tau : B \to G$ a twisting operator. Then there exists a reduction
\[
\rho' = (f', g', h') : C_\ast(G \times_\tau B) \Rightarrow C_\ast(G) \otimes_\tau C_\ast(B),
\]
where $C_\ast(G) \otimes_\tau C_\ast(B)$ is the perturbed chain complex obtained from $C_\ast(G) \otimes C_\ast(B)$ by introducing the perturbation “induced” (via the application of the Basic Perturbation Lemma) by $\delta$.

Now, from the effective homologies of $G$ and $B$, we can construct a new equivalence from the tensor product $C_\ast(G) \otimes C_\ast(B)$ to $DG_\ast \otimes DB_\ast$ (see Proposition 15), and using again the TPL and BPL (with the perturbation to be applied to the differential of $C_\ast(G) \otimes C_\ast(B)$ to obtain the differential of $C_\ast(G) \otimes_\tau C_\ast(B)$) we construct an equivalence from $C_\ast(G) \otimes_\tau C_\ast(B)$ to a new twisted tensor product $DG_\ast \otimes_\tau DB_\ast$, which is an effective chain complex (see [18,20] for details). Finally, the composition of the two equivalences is the effective homology of $E := G \times_\tau B$. In Sect. 5 we will use and generalize this construction.

### 2.5 Programs to Compute Spectral Systems

In a previous work [9], we developed a set of programs for computing spectral systems based on the effective homology technique and implemented in the Kenzo system. The programs work in a similar way to the method that Kenzo uses to determine homology groups of a given chain complex: if an $I$-filtered chain complex $C_\ast$ is of finite type, its spectral system can be determined by means of diagonalization algorithms on some matrices. Otherwise, the effective homology $C_\ast \Leftarrow \check{C}_\ast \Rightarrow D_\ast$ of the initial chain complex $C_\ast$ is used to determine the spectral system as follows.

Let $C_\ast \Rightarrow D_\ast$ be a reduction. If the chain complexes $C_\ast$ and $D_\ast$ are endowed with $I$-filtrations $F$ and $F'$, respectively, in [9] we proved that, under suitable assumptions on the reduction $\rho$, (some terms of) the spectral systems of $(C_\ast, F)$ and $(D_\ast, F')$, denoted with the letters $S$ and $S'$, respectively, are isomorphic. This allows to compute the spectral system of the chain complex $C_\ast$ by using, to perform the computations, the chain complex $D_\ast$, which in our scenario is assumed to be of finite type. More
concretely, the following results express the conditions that are necessary to ensure that the spectral systems of the $I$-filtered chain complexes $C_*$ and $D_*$ are isomorphic.

**Theorem 24** Let $\rho = (f, g, h) : C_* \Rightarrow D_*$ be a reduction between the $I$-filtered chain complexes $(C_*, F)$ and $(D_*, F')$, and suppose that $f$ and $g$ are compatible with the filtrations, that is, for all indices $i \in I$ one has $f(F_i) \subseteq F'_i$ and $g(F'_i) \subseteq F_i$. Then, given a 4-tuple of indices $z \leq s \leq p \leq b$ in $I$, the map $f$ induces an isomorphism between the spectral system terms

$$f^{z, s, p, b} : S[z, s, p, b] \rightarrow S'[z, s, p, b]$$

whenever the homotopy $h : C_* \rightarrow C_{*+1}$ satisfies the conditions

$$h(F_z) \subseteq F_s \quad \text{and} \quad h(F_p) \subseteq F_b.$$  

**Corollary 25** Let $\rho = (f, g, h) : C_* \Rightarrow D_*$ be a reduction between the $I$-filtered chain complexes $(C_*, F)$ and $(D_*, F')$, and suppose that the maps $f$, $g$, $h$ are compatible with the filtrations. Then the map $f$ induces isomorphisms

$$f^{z, s, p, b} : S[z, s, p, b] \rightarrow S'[z, s, p, b]$$

for any 4-tuple of indices $z \leq s \leq p \leq b$ in $I$.

Making use of these results, in [9] we developed the following algorithms, implemented in the Kenzo system.

**Algorithm 26** Computation of the terms of a spectral system.

**Input:**
- a chain complex $C_* = (C_n, d_n)$ with effective homology $C_* \xrightarrow{\rho_1} \hat{C}_* \xrightarrow{\rho_2} D_*.$
- $I$-filtrations for $C_*, \hat{C}_*$ and $D_*$ such that all the maps of the reductions $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ are compatible with the filtrations,
- elements $z \leq s \leq p \leq b$ in $I$.

**Output:** a basis-divisors representation of the group $S[z, s, p, b]$ of the spectral system associated with the filtered chain complex $C_*$, that is to say, a list of combinations $(c_1, \ldots, c_k + \beta)$ which generate the group, together with the list of non-negative integers $(a_1, \ldots, a_k, 0, \ldots, 0)$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ are the torsion coefficients of $S[z, s, p, b]$ and $\beta$ is its rank. The list of divisors can be seen as the list of the coefficients of the elements that appear in the denominator with regard to the list of combinations that generate the group.

Notice that we have stated this algorithm under the hypotheses of Corollary 25, namely that all the maps of the reductions $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$, including the homotopies $h_1$ and $h_2$, are compatible with the filtrations. Algorithm 26 can be applied also if $h_1$ and $h_2$ are not compatible with the filtrations, but the correctness of the output is guaranteed only for the terms $S[z, s, p, b]$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 24: $h_i(F_z) \subseteq F_s$ and $h_i(F_p) \subseteq F_b$ for both homotopies $(i = 1, 2)$. The same remark applies to the following algorithm.
Algorithm 27  Computation of the differentials of a spectral system.

Input:

• a chain complex $C_* = (C_n, d_n)$ with effective homology $C_* \xrightarrow{\rho_1} \hat{C}_* \xrightarrow{\rho_2} D_*,$

• $I$-filtrations for $C_*, \hat{C}_*$ and $D_*$ such that all the maps of the reductions $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ are compatible with the filtrations,

• elements $z_1 \leq s_1 \leq p_1 \leq b_1$ and $z_2 \leq s_2 \leq p_2 \leq b_2$ in $I$ such that $z_2 \leq p_1$ and $s_2 \leq b_1,$

• a class $a \in S[z_2, s_2, p_2, b_2],$ given by means of the coefficients $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t)$ with respect to the basis $(c_1, \ldots, c_t)$ of the group $S[z_2, s_2, p_2, b_2]$ determined by Algorithm 26.

Output: the coefficients of the class $d(a) \in S[z_1, s_1, p_1, b_1]$ with respect to the basis $(c'_1, \ldots, c'_t)$ computed by means of Algorithm 26.

To improve the efficiency of Algorithms 26 and 27, discrete vector fields [7] can also be used (see [9] for details, where programs computing discrete vector fields which are compatible with generalized filtrations on chain complexes are presented).

The implementation of these algorithms required the definition of a new class called GENERALIZED-FILTERED-CHAIN-COMPLEX which inherits from the Kenzo class CHAIN-COMPLEX and includes two new slots:

```lisp
(defclass generalized-filtered-chain-complex (chain-complex)
  ((pos :type partially-ordered-set )
   (gen-flin :type (function (generator)
                   list-of-filtration-indexes))))
```

The first slot, `pos`, is the poset over which the generalized filtration is defined. The second slot, `gen-flin`, is a function which inputs a generator of the chain complex and returns a list of elements of `pos`. This list represents the generalized filtration index of the element, defined as follows.

**Definition 28** Given a generator $\sigma \in C_*$, we define the generalized filtration index of $\sigma$, denoted $\text{GenFlin}(\sigma)$, as the set of all indices $i \in I$ such that $\sigma \in F_i - \bigcup_{t < i} F_t$.

Given now $p \in I$, the group $F_p$ corresponds to the free module generated by the set of generators $\sigma$ of $C_*$ such that there exists $i \in \text{GenFlin}(\sigma)$ with $i \leq p$. This implementation of generalized filtered chain complexes by means of the generalized filtration index notion is also valid for chain complexes of infinite type. We refer the reader to [9] for further details on these programs and some examples of computations.

### 3 Higher Spectral Sequences

In this section we study some ideas from [14, §3] which are relevant for our work. In particular, we focus our attention on the two types of posets playing a prominent role in the context of spectral systems, namely $\mathbb{Z}^m$ and the poset of its downsets $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. For spectral systems over $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ we illustrate the notion of connection, that is a way...
to relate quotients defined from the filtered chain complex $C_*$ to the homology $H_*(C_*)$ through a series of homology computations, isomorphisms and groups extensions.

First of all, let us consider $\mathbb{Z}^m$ as the poset $(\mathbb{Z}^m, \leq)$ with the coordinate-wise order relation $\leq$, defined as follows: $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \leq Q = (q_1, \ldots, q_m)$ if and only if $p_i \leq q_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

**Definition 29** A downset of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ is a subset $p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that if $P \in p$ and $Q \leq P$ in $\mathbb{Z}^m$ then $Q \in p$. We denote $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ the collection of all downsets of $\mathbb{Z}^m$, which is a poset with respect to the inclusion $\subseteq$.

An example of a downset of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is displayed in Fig. 1.

Both $\mathbb{Z}^m$-filtrations and $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$-filtrations arise in quite common situations. For example, when a chain complex $C_*$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-filtered in $m$ different ways, a $\mathbb{Z}^m$-filtration $\{F_P\}_{P \in \mathbb{Z}^m}$ of $C_*$ can be easily defined (see [14] for details). Canonically associated with a $\mathbb{Z}^m$-filtration $\{F_P\}_{P \in \mathbb{Z}^m}$ there is a $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$-filtration $\{F_p\}_{p \in D(\mathbb{Z}^m)}$ defined by setting, for each $p \in D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$,

$$F_p := \sum_{P \in p} F_P.$$ 

Spectral systems associated with $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$-filtrations are the subject of the present section. We call them higher spectral sequences, adopting the terminology introduced in [15]. An example of a term of a higher spectral sequence is displayed graphically in Fig. 2. Although we have just illustrated a notable situation in which $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$-filtrations can be defined, the results we will state apply to any $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$-filtration $\{F_p\}_{p \in D(\mathbb{Z}^m)}$, with the additional hypothesis that it is distributive: $F_{p \cap q} = F_p \cap F_q$ and $F_{p \cup q} = F_p + F_q$, for all $p, q \in D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. For instance, a $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$-filtration is distributive if $C_*$ admits a decomposition $\bigoplus_{P \in \mathbb{Z}^m} C_P$ as graded abelian group and $F_p := \bigoplus_{P \in p} C_P$ are chain subcomplexes, for all $p \in D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$.

---

**Fig. 1** The set of points of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in the colored region is an example of a downset of $\mathbb{Z}^2$
Fig. 2 Graphical representations of a term $S[z, s, p, b]$ of a spectral system over $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^2)$. On the left, the four downsets $z \leq s \leq p \leq b$ in $D(\mathbb{Z}_2^2)$ defining the term $S[z, s, p, b]$ are colored in decreasing shades. On the right, the same term is represented following a convention we will adopt in what follows: the set difference $s \setminus z$ is colored in light gray, $p \setminus s$ in dark gray and $b \setminus p$ in medium gray. All the figures of this section are inspired by those of [14].

**Definition 30** Given a distributive $D(\mathbb{Z}_m)$-filtration of $C_\ast$, we call connection any procedure which, starting with the 1-page of the higher spectral sequence $\{S[z, s, p, b]\}$ over $D(\mathbb{Z}_m)$, determines $H_\ast(C_\ast)$ via a succession of homology computations, group extensions and natural isomorphisms between terms. In this context, we call 1-page of the higher spectral sequence $\{S[z, s, p, b]\}$ the set of terms $S_n[s, s, p, p] = H_n(F_p/F_s)$ such that $p$ covers $s$, that is $s < p$ and there exists no $x \in D(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ with $s < x < p$. With a small abuse of terminology, we will call 1-page each subset of $\{S[s, s, p, p] \mid s, p \in D(\mathbb{Z}_m), p \text{ covers } s\}$ which can be seen as the starting point of a connection.

Notice that this agrees with the usual notion of 1-page $\{E^1_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{S[p - 1, p - 1, p, p]\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for classical spectral sequences seen as part of a spectral system over $\mathbb{Z}$.

The essence of the study of connections for higher spectral sequences can be intuitively described as follows. First, one selects a suitable collection of downsets in $D(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ to employ as indices of terms of the higher spectral sequence, and uses Proposition 5 to describe how computing homology affects the “shape” of the downsets. Then, applying a technical result [14, Lemma 3.8], one can identify 4-tuples of downsets with different shapes which determine the naturally isomorphic terms of the higher spectral sequence, a method which, if used properly, can allow to iterate the procedure. The use of Proposition 5 limits our choice to 4-tuples of downsets satisfying its hypotheses; for this reason, the downsets we consider are often simply obtained as translations in $\mathbb{Z}_m$ of a single downset.

Here we introduce the secondary connections presented in [14, §3.2], which play a prominent role in the generalization of the Leray–Serre spectral sequence. Even though a more general and flexible framework for this kind of connections was introduced by Matschke in [15], for the purpose of this work we prefer the simpler and more explicit description of [14], to which we address the interested reader also for details on other kinds of connections. In Remarks 55 and 58 we will show that the simplicial version of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence we introduce in this work carries over to...
the additional structure of [15], pointing out, however, that the secondary connections of [14, § 3.2] are ideal from a computational point of view for the application of the effective homology technique.

Having defined the 1-page of a higher spectral sequence over $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$, we want now to introduce a notion of 2-page, which generalizes the usual one for classical spectral sequences and will appear even more “natural” in light of the results we will present later. Secondary connections represent a way to connect the 1-page to the 2-page computing homology $m$ times; the 2-page can be then connected to the homology $H_*(C_*)$ in different fashions, which we will not detail here.

For $1 \leq k \leq m$ define the automorphism $\varphi_k : \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathbb{Z}^m$ as the map sending $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ to

$$\varphi_k(X) := \left(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \ldots, x_m, \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i, \sum_{i=2}^{k} x_i, \ldots, x_k\right).$$

Let $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ denote the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z}^m$. For $P \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$ define the downset

$$T^k_P := \{ X \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid \varphi_k(X) \leq_{\text{lex}} \varphi_k(P) \}.$$

The downsets $T^1_P$ and $T^2_P$ of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are displayed in Fig. 3.

Let $e_i = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ be the element of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ whose only non-null entry is a 1 at position $i$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Given $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$ define the following downsets, where by convention $e_0 := 0$ and $e_{-1} := -e_m$:

$$p(P; k) := T^k_P,$$

$$s(P; k) := p(P; k) \setminus \{P\} = T^k_{P+e_k+1},$$

$$z(P; k) := p(P; k) - e_k = T^k_{P-e_k},$$

$$b(P; k) := s(P; k) + e_k = T^k_{P+e_k+1},$$

$$z^*(P; k) := z(P; k) \setminus \{P-e_k\} = T^k_{P+e_k+1-2e_k},$$

$$b^*(P; k) := b(P; k) \cup \{P+e_k\} = T^k_{P+e_k}.$$  \hfill (7)
The 4-tuples of downsets \( z \leq s \leq p \leq b \) and \( z^* \leq s \leq p \leq b^* \) define, respectively, the terms

\[
S(P; k) := S[z(P; k), s(P; k), p(P; k), b(P; k)], \\
S^*(P; k) := S[z^*(P; k), s(P; k), p(P; k), b^*(P; k)].
\] (8)

In this context, we consider as 1-page of the higher spectral sequence the collection of the terms \( S_n(P; 1) = H_n(F_{P(1)} F_s(1)) = H_n(F_{P(1)} F_{P(1)} (P)) \), for all \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^m \).

**Definition 31** We call 2-page of a higher spectral sequence the collection of terms \( S^*(P; m) \), for all \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^m \).

The following two lemmas describe a way to connect the terms \( S(P; 1) \) of the 1-page to the terms \( S^*(P; m) \) of the 2-page.

**Lemma 32** ([14], Lemma 3.14)

There exist differentials in direction \(-e_k\),

\[
d : S(P; k) \rightarrow S(P - e_k; k),
\]

induced by the differential maps of \( C_* \), such that the homology at the middle term of

\[
S(P + e_k; k) \xrightarrow{d'} S(P; k) \xrightarrow{d} S(P - e_k; k)
\]

is \( S^*(P; k) \).

**Lemma 33** ([14], Lemma 3.15) For any \( 1 \leq k \leq m - 1 \) there is a natural isomorphism

\[
S^*(P; k) \cong S(P; k + 1).
\]

The core idea of secondary connections consists in using Lemmas 32 and 33 alternately: starting from the 1-page \( \{S(P; 1)\} \) and taking homology in direction \(-e_1\) one determines the terms \( S^*(P; 1) \cong S(P; 2) \), then taking homology in direction \(-e_2\) one determines the terms \( S^*(P; 2) \cong S(P; 3) \); continuing alternating between homology and natural isomorphisms one eventually determines the 2-page \( \{S^*(P; m)\} \). As we mentioned before, the 2-page can then be connected to the homology \( H_*(C_*) \) in different ways, for example using lexicographic connections (we refer to [14] for further details).
4 Higher Leray–Serre Spectral Sequences in a Simplicial Framework

The first motivating example of Matschke’s work [14] consists in higher spectral sequences defined from *towers of fibrations*, that is, sequences of fibrations such that the total space of each is the base of the previous one:

\[
\begin{align*}
G_0 &\longrightarrow E_0 \\
\ddots &\ddots \\
G_{m-1} &\longrightarrow E_{m-1} \\
\downarrow &\downarrow \\
B &
\end{align*}
\]

In this situation, as the usual goal of computation is the homology \( H_*(E_0) \) of the total space of the upper fibration, one typically applies several times the Leray–Serre spectral sequence [22], assuming that the homology of \( G_0, \ldots, G_{m-1} \) and \( B \) is known. Leaving aside extension problems, one can think to determine \( H_*(E_{m-1}) \) from \( H_*(B) \) and \( H_*(G_{m-1}) \) via a first Leray–Serre spectral sequence, using then a second Leray–Serre spectral sequence to try to determine \( H_*(E_{m-2}) \) from \( H_*(E_{m-1}) \) and \( H_*(G_{m-2}) \), and so on. A suitable higher spectral sequence, defined over the poset \( D(\mathbb{Z}^m) \), represents a unified framework “containing” all these spectral sequences and offering a larger number of connections to the limit \( H_*(E_0) \). Moreover, the 2-page of the higher spectral sequence satisfies a formula which beautifully generalizes that of Serre for a fibration \( G \to E \to B \), whose 2-page can be expressed in terms of...
the homologies of the fiber $G$ and the base $B$ and converges to the homology of the total space $E$. Let us state here the result in the topological framework, as presented in [14, Theorem 5.1]; in Sect. 7 we will prove an analogous result in our simplicial framework.

**Theorem 34** Consider a tower of $m$ fibrations of topological spaces, in the sense of Serre. There exists an associated higher spectral sequence over $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ with 2-page

$$S^p_n(P; m) \cong H^p_m(B; H^m_{p-1}(G_{m-1}; \ldots H^1_{p_1}(G_1; H^0_{p_0}(G_0)))),$$

with $P := (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $p_0 := n - p_1 - \cdots - p_m$, which under suitable hypotheses (see [14]) converges to $H_*(E_0)$.

As in the case of classical spectral sequences, this formula provides a description of an initial page of the higher spectral sequence; however, other terms $S[\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta]$ can only be determined in some simple cases. A first issue is that the differentials between terms of the 2-page, unlike the terms themselves, are not given explicitly. A second problem to determine the higher spectral sequence computationally arises when the involved chain complexes are not of finite type, as we have mentioned before, since standard algorithms for homology are bound to work with finite bases and matrices. However, the method we introduce in the present work is able to circumvent these obstacles by constructing the tower of fibrations in a simplicial framework and then using the effective homology of $E_0$ (which can be built automatically by Kenzo when $G_0, \ldots, G_{m-1}$ and $B$ are objects with effective homology) in order to determine the higher spectral sequence of the tower of fibrations by means of a higher spectral sequence associated with a chain complex of finite type.

In order to simplify the description of the results and make them more understandable, in Sects. 4 and 5 we consider the simple case of towers of two fibrations, as in diagram (10). All the results we present carry over to the general case of $m$ fibrations, and in Sect. 6 we will provide a sketch of the proofs.

![Diagram](image)

Let us suppose now that the two fibrations of diagram (10) correspond to twisted Cartesian products, introduced in Definition 11. In other words, we assume that $G$ and $M$ are simplicial groups, $B$ is a simplicial set and $N$ and $E$ are defined as twisted Cartesian products $N := M \times_{\tau_1} B$ and $E := G \times_{\tau_0} N$, with $\tau_1 : B \to M$ and $\tau_0 : N \to G$ the corresponding twisting operators. We choose this type of fibrations because they are the ones implemented in the Kenzo system and allow us to define generalized filtrations suitable for computations in the correct way. Furthermore, we assume $M$ and $B$ to be 1-reduced (we explain the role of this hypothesis in Remark 45). Let us also remark that the base $B$ is not assumed to be a Kan complex. In contrast,
the fibers $G$ and $M$ are modeled as simplicial groups, so their underlying simplicial sets are in particular Kan complexes.

The construction of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with (10) requires the definition of a filtration over the poset $D(Z^2)$ of the chain complex associated with $E := G \times_{\tau_0} N := G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B)$. The $D(Z^2)$-filtration we consider for the chain complex $C_*(E) := C_*(G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B))$ is defined by means of the downsets $p(P; 2) := T_P^2$ introduced in Sect. 3. In fact, we define a filtration of the form $\{F_{p(P; 2)}\}_{P \in Z^2}$, whose definition can be extended to produce a $D(Z^2)$-filtration.

**Remark 35** In this section and in Sect. 5 we will use the following strategy to define generalized filtrations on a chain complex $C_*$.

- At first we consider a grading over $Z^2$ which allows us to define a collection $\{C_P\}_{P \in Z^2}$ of abelian groups. Each $C_P$ is generated by the elements of $C_*$ having (filtration) bidegree $P = (p_1, p_2) \in Z^2$ according to the considered grading.
- In the cases we will consider, the simple definition $F_p := \bigoplus_{P \in p} C_P$ for $P \in D(Z^2)$ does not provide a valid filtration of chain complexes, because it does not satisfy $d(F_p) \subseteq F_p$ for all $p \in D(Z^2)$. For example, simple downsets like $s(P) := \{Q \in Z^2 | Q \leq P\}$ may not fulfill $d(F_{s(P)}) \subseteq F_{s(P)}$. We have therefore to consider a different definition.
- We use the downsets of the form $p(P; 2) := T_P^2$, introduced in Sect. 3, to define a filtration $\{F_{p(P; 2)}\}_{P \in Z^2}$, where $F_{p(P; 2)} := \bigoplus_{X \in p(P; 2)} C_X$. We have to prove that this provides a valid filtration of chain complexes, that is the condition $d(F_{p(P; 2)}) \subseteq F_{p(P; 2)}$ is satisfied for all $p(P; 2)$. Also, we show that the use of the downsets of the form $p(P; 2)$ to define our filtrations is a “natural” choice.
- The definition of a filtration $\{F_{p(P; 2)}\}_{P \in Z^2}$ can be extended to a filtration over $D(Z^2)$ defining, for any $P \in D(Z^2)$,

$$\overline{F}_p := \{\sigma \in C_* | \exists P \in Z^2 \text{ such that } \sigma \in F_{p(P; 2)} \text{ and } p(P; 2) \subseteq p\}.$$ 

We introduce the extension of the filtrations $\{F_{p(P; 2)}\}_{P \in Z^2}$ to $D(Z^2)$-filtrations for the sake of generality, but the dependence on the downsets of the form $p(P; 2)$ appears clear. In particular, as we mentioned, $\overline{F}_p$ is not equal to $\bigoplus_{X \in p} C_X$ in general. From now on in this work, we will define and consider filtrations of the form $\{F_{p(P; 2)}\}_{P \in Z^2}$, as the extensions of all definitions and results to the case of generalized $D(Z^2)$-filtrations $\{\overline{F}_p\}$ is straightforward.

**Definition 36** Let $E := G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B)$ as in diagram (10) and $P \in Z^2$. For the downset $p(P; 2) := T_P^2$ we define $F_{p(P; 2)}$ as the chain subcomplex of $C_*(E)$ generated by the elements $\sigma := (g, (m, b))$ in $E := G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B)$ such that $(x_1, x_2) := (deg(m, b) - deg b, deg b) \in p(P; 2)$, where $deg$ denotes the degeneracy degree introduced in Definition 7 and Remark 9.

Notice that, as mentioned in Remark 35, in Definition 36 we introduce a grading over $Z^2$, given by $(x_1, x_2) := (deg(m, b) - deg b, deg b)$, on the generators of $C_*(E)$, and we consider as generators of $F_{p(P; 2)}$ only those satisfying $(x_1, x_2) \in p(P; 2)$.
The reason why we decided to define a filtration on $C_*(E)$ in this way, which may not be intuitively clear, can be better understood considering the following pair of reductions:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_*(G \times \tau_0 (M \times \tau_1 B)) \\
\text{TEZ}_0 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 C_*(M \times \tau_1 B) \\
\text{Id} \otimes \tau_0 \text{TEZ}_1 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))
\end{align*}
$$

(11)

The first reduction TEZ$_0$ is the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber reduction (Theorem 23) of the fibration $G \rightarrow E \rightarrow N$; a reduction from $C_*(G \times \tau_0 (M \times \tau_1 B))$ to $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 C_*(M \times \tau_1 B)$ is obtained, where the symbol $\otimes \tau_0$ represents a twisted (perturbed) tensor product, induced by the twisting operator $\tau_0$. Then, we consider a new reduction given by the tensor product of the trivial reduction $\text{Id}$ of $C_*(G)$ and the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber reduction $\text{TEZ}_1 : C_*(M \times \tau_1 B) \Rightarrow C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B)$, and using the Basic Perturbation Lemma (Theorem 21) (with the perturbation to be applied to the differential of $C_*(G) \otimes C_*(M \times \tau_1 B)$ to obtain the differential of $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 C_*(M \times \tau_1 B)$) we construct a reduction from $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 C_*(M \times \tau_1 B)$ to a new twisted tensor product $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))$.

Let us remark that the bottom chain complex $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))$ in (11) has the same underlying graded module as the (non-twisted) tensor product $C_*(G) \otimes (C_*(M) \otimes C_*(B))$ but the differential map has been perturbed due to the applications of the Basic Perturbation Lemma. The generators of degree $n$ of the chain complex $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))$ are elements of the form $\sigma := g \otimes (m \otimes b)$ such that $g \in G_{p_0}$, $m \in M_{p_1}$ and $b \in B_{p_2}$ for some $p_0$, $p_1$, $p_2 \geq 0$ with $p_0 + p_1 + p_2 = n$. Then, it seems a natural choice to define a filtration on $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))$ over $D(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ by using the points $P := (p_1, p_2)$ to define a grading over $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on the generators. Keeping in mind Remark 35, let us denote by $C_p$ the submodule of $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))$ generated by its generators $\sigma$ of bidegree $P = (p_1, p_2)$. As we will detail below, the perturbed differential of $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))$ applied to a generator of bidegree $P = (p_1, p_2)$ can increase the first or the second coordinate of this grading. This means that we cannot simply define a filtration setting $F_p := \bigoplus_{P \in p} C_p$ for all $p \in D(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, because in general these submodules are not chain complexes since they do not necessarily satisfy $d(F_p) \subseteq F_p$. As explained in Remark 35, we solve this problem defining a filtration (of chain subcomplexes) of $C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))$ by using again the downsets $p(P; 2) := T^2_p$ introduced in Sect. 3.

**Definition 37** Let $C_* := C_*(G) \otimes \tau_0 (C_*(M) \otimes \tau_1 C_*(B))$ obtained as in diagram (11) and let $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. For the downset $p(P; 2) := T^2_p$ we define $F_{p(P; 2)}$ as the chain subcomplex of $C_*$ generated by the elements $\sigma := g \otimes (m \otimes b) \in C_n$ such that $g \in G_{x_0}$, $m \in M_{x_1}$ and $b \in B_{x_2}$ for some $x_0$, $x_1$, $x_2 \geq 0$ with $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 = n$ and $(x_1, x_2) \in p(P; 2)$.
In other words, we can state Definition 37 in the shorter form

\[ F_{p(p;2)}C_n := \bigoplus_{i+j+k=n, (j,k) \in p(P;2)} C_i(G) \otimes_{I_0} (C_j(M) \otimes_{I_1} C_k(B)). \]

The relation between the chain complexes \( C_\ast(E) := C_\ast(G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B)) \) and \( C_\ast(G) \otimes_{I_0} (C_\ast(M) \otimes_{I_1} C_\ast(B)) \) by means of the reductions of (11) motivated our idea to consider the filtration for \( C_\ast(E) \) introduced in Definition 36.

Before proving that the filtrations we defined are valid (that is, the submodules we defined are indeed chain subcomplexes), it is convenient to state a fact that will be often employed in the following proofs and arguments.

**Remark 38** Suppose we have integers \( q' \leq q \) and \( p' \leq p \). It clearly follows that \( (q' - p') - (q - p) \leq p - p' \). If we define \( p_1 := q - p, p_2 := p, x_1 := q' - p' \) and \( x_2 := p' \), we easily see that the previous inequalities are equivalent to

\[ x_1 + x_2 \leq p_1 + p_2, \]

\[ x_2 \leq p_2. \]

Our typical application of this fact will be to maps (between chain complexes) reducing both coordinates of the grading we consider, corresponding here to \( p \) and \( q \), and it is useful if the parameters considered for filtering change to \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \).

**Proposition 39** Definition 36 yields a valid filtration of chain subcomplexes.

**Proof** Consider \( E := G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) and the associated chain complex \( C_\ast(E) := C_\ast(G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B)) \). We have to prove that the differential is compatible with the defined filtration, that is \( d(F_{p(p;2)}) \subseteq F_{p(p;2)} \), for all \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \).

Recall that the differential map \( d_n : C_n(E) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(E) \) is defined as \( d_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \partial_i \), where \( \partial_i \) are the face operators of \( E_n \) (see Sect. 2.2). Let \( \sigma := (g, (m, b)) \in E_n \) such that \( \sigma \in F_{p(p;2)} \), which by Definition 36 means \( (\deg(m, b) - \deg b, \deg b) \in p(P; 2) \). Let us denote \( p := \deg b, q := \deg(m, b) \), and \( p_1 := q - p, p_2 := p \). It follows from the definitions that, for every face operator \( \partial_i \), if we denote \( (g', (m', b')) := \partial_i (g, (m, b)) \) we have \( p' := \deg b' \leq p \) and \( q' := \deg(m', b') \leq q \). Using Remark 38, we see that \( x_1 := q - p' \) and \( x_2 := p' \) must satisfy \( x_1 + x_2 \leq p_1 + p_2 \) and \( x_2 \leq p_2 \). It can be easily shown that all the points \((x_1, x_2)\) with \( x_1 + x_2 \leq p_1 + p_2 \) and \( x_2 \leq p_2 \) belong to the downset \( p(P; 2) = T^P \) (we graphically represented this fact in Fig. 5). For example, we can observe that the points \((x_1, x_2)\) satisfy

\[ (x_1, x_2) = (p_1, p_2) + \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2, \quad \text{for some } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \]

with \( v_1 := -e_1 = (-1, 0) \) and \( v_2 := e_1 - e_2 = (1, -1) \), and show that the translation of \( p(P; 2) \) by \( v_1 \) (resp. \( v_2 \)) is contained in \( p(P; 2) \). The case of \( v_1 \) is trivial, since \( p(P; 2) \) is a downset; in the case of \( v_2 \) we have

\[ p(P; 2) + v_2 = p(P + v_2; 2) = s(P; 2) = p(P; 2) \setminus \{P\}. \]
In conclusion, we have proven that $\partial_i \sigma \in F_{p(P; 2)}$, for all $0 \leq i \leq n$.  \qed

Before showing the validity of the filtration we defined on the chain complex $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{I_0} (C_\ast(M) \otimes_{I_1} C_\ast(B))$, we state a useful result, proved in [20, § 8.3].

**Proposition 40** Let $X, Y$ be simplicial sets and suppose we have a twisted Eilenberg–Zilber reduction $C_\ast(X \times_w Y) \Rightarrow C_\ast(X) \otimes_1 C_\ast(Y)$. Denote $\delta$ the perturbation of the differential of $C_\ast(X \times_w Y)$, defined as in Eq. (5), and denote $\delta'$ the induced perturbation of the differential of $C_\ast(X) \otimes_1 C_\ast(Y)$. Then:

(i) $\delta$ decreases (at least) by 1 the degeneracy degree of the second components (corresponding to simplices of $Y$), that is it sends a generator $(x, y) \in X_n \times Y_n$ with $\deg y = p$ to a linear combination of elements $(x_i, y_i)$, with $\deg y_i \leq p - 1$ for each $i$.

(ii) If we assume $Y$ to be 1-reduced, $\delta'$ decreases the dimension of the factor $C_\ast(Y)$ (at least) by 2, that is it sends $C_i(X) \otimes C_j(Y)$ to $\bigoplus_{k=2}^{\infty} C_i+k-1(X) \otimes C_j-k(Y)$.

**Proposition 41** Definition 37 yields a valid filtration of chain subcomplexes.

**Proof** We have to prove that the differential $d$ of the chain complex $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{I_0} (C_\ast(M) \otimes_{I_1} C_\ast(B))$ is compatible with the defined filtration, that is $d(F_{p(p; 2)}) \subseteq F_{p(P; 2)}$, for all $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

We denote by $p_1$ (dimension of the chain groups of $C_\ast(M)$) and $p_2$ (dimension of the chain groups of $C_\ast(B)$) the coordinates of the bidegree we used to define the filtration in Definition 37, and use the notation $D_\ast := C_\ast(M) \otimes_{I_1} C_\ast(B)$.

We can express the differential $d$ of $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{I_0} (C_\ast(M) \otimes_{I_1} C_\ast(B))$ as

$$d = d^\otimes + d^2 + d^3 + \cdots,$$

where $d^\otimes$ denotes the differential of $C_\ast(G) \otimes D_\ast$ and the sum $d^2 + d^3 + \cdots$ represent its perturbation, with

$$d^k_{p_0, j} : C_{p_0}(G) \otimes (C_\ast(M) \otimes C_\ast(B))_j \rightarrow C_{p_0+k-1}(G) \otimes (C_\ast(M) \otimes C_\ast(B))_{j-k}$$

for all $k \geq 2$, with $j = p_1 + p_2$. The fact that the perturbation concerns only indices $k \geq 2$ is a consequence of Proposition 40 (ii), together with the assumption that $M$ and $B$
Fig. 6 Graphical representation of the proof of Proposition 41.

Here we focus on the point $P \in T^2_P$. Starting from position $P$, the differential $d_D$ (see proof) can only reach the positions marked by $\circ$ (differential $\bar{d}^\otimes$ of $C_\ast(M) \otimes C_\ast(B)$) and by $\times$ (perturbations $\bar{d}^2, \bar{d}^3, \ldots$). As an effect of the perturbations $d_k$ of the differential $d$ (see proof), one possibly reaches the positions marked by $\square$.

$B$ are 1-reduced (in Sect. 5 we present a detailed argument). As it can be easily shown using the definition of the downset $p(P; 2) = T^2_P$, for each point $Q := (q_1, q_2) \in p(P; 2)$, all the points $(x_1, x_2)$ such that $x_1 + x_2 = q_1 + q_2 - k$ (for $k \geq 2$) lie again in $p(P; 2)$. That is, the perturbed part $d^2 + d^3 + \cdots$ of $d$ is compatible with the filtration we defined.

We can then focus on $d^\otimes = d_{C(G)} \otimes Id_D \pm Id_{C(G)} \otimes d_D$, where the differential $d_D$ of $C_\ast(M) \otimes t_0 C_\ast(B)$ is given again by

$$d_D = \bar{d}^\otimes + \bar{d}^2 + \bar{d}^3 + \cdots;$$

$\bar{d}^\otimes$ is the differential of $C_\ast(M) \otimes C_\ast(B)$ and $\bar{d}^2 + \bar{d}^3 + \cdots$ is its perturbation, with

$$\bar{d}^\ell_{p_1, p_2} : C_{p_1}(M) \otimes C_{p_2}(B) \to C_{p_1+\ell-1}(M) \otimes C_{p_2-\ell}.$$ 

Similarly to before, it is easy to show that, for each point $Q := (q_1, q_2) \in p(P; 2)$, the points $(q_1 - 1, q_2), (q_1, q_2 - 1)$ and $(q_1 + \ell - 1, q_2 - \ell)$, for each $\ell \geq 2$, lie again in $p(P; 2)$. This completes the proof, whose idea is schematically represented in Fig. 6.

\[\Box\]

5 Effective Homology for Computing Higher Leray–Serre Spectral Sequences

The filtrations introduced in Definitions 36 and 37 for the chain complexes $C_\ast(G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B))$ and $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C_\ast(M) \otimes_{t_1} C_\ast(B))$ produce two higher spectral sequences that can be directly computed using the programs presented in Sect. 2.5 when the chain complexes are of finite type (which is true if and only if the three simplicial sets $G$, $M$ and $B$ are of finite type). However, when any of the simplicial sets $G$, $M$ and $B$ is not of finite type, the higher spectral sequences associated with the filtrations of $C_\ast(G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B))$ and $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C_\ast(M) \otimes_{t_1} C_\ast(B))$ cannot be directly determined; in order to compute them, we have to resort to the effective homology technique, introduced in Sect. 2.3. In this section we detail how effective homology
can be used to determine the higher spectral sequences also in the infinite type case. As in Sect. 4, for expository purposes we consider the case of towers of two fibrations; all the results we present will be generalized for \( m \) fibrations in Sect. 6.

Suppose that the simplicial sets \( G, M \) and \( B \) in the tower of two fibrations (10) have effective homology, that is to say, there exist equivalences

\[
C_*(G) \cong \hat{C}_G \Rightarrow DG_*, \\
C_*(M) \cong \hat{C}_M \Rightarrow DM_*, \\
C_*(B) \cong \hat{C}_B \Rightarrow DB_*,
\]

where \( DG_*, DM_* \) and \( DB_* \) are effective chain complexes.

Taking into account the tensor product of reductions and applying the Trivial Perturbation Lemma and the Basic Perturbation Lemma (Theorems 20 and 21, respectively), one obtains an equivalence given by the two reductions

\[
\rho^1_1 : \hat{C}_G \otimes_{t_0} \hat{C}_M \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C}_B \Rightarrow C_*(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C_*(M) \otimes_{t_1} C_*(B)), \\
\rho^2_2 : \hat{C}_G \otimes_{t_0} \hat{C}_M \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C}_B \Rightarrow DG_* \otimes_{t_0} (DM_* \otimes_{t_1} DB_*).
\]

Combining it with (11) we obtain the following diagram of reductions connecting the chain complex \( C_*(E) := C_*(G \times_{t_0} (M \times_{t_1} B)) \) to the effective chain complex \( DG_* \otimes_{t_0} (DM_* \otimes_{t_1} DB_*) \):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C_*(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C_*(M) \otimes_{t_1} C_*(B)) \\
\downarrow \text{TEZ}_0 \\
C_*(G) \otimes_{t_0} (M \times_{t_1} B) \\
\downarrow \text{Id} \otimes_{t_0} \text{TEZ} \\
C_*(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C_*(M) \otimes_{t_1} C_*(B)) \\
\end{array}
\]

The reductions of this diagram allow us to use the effective homology technique to carry out computations on the filtered chain complex \( C_*(G \times_{t_0} (M \times_{t_1} B)) \) using the effective chain complex \( DG_* \otimes_{t_0} (DM_* \otimes_{t_1} DB_*) \), on which an appropriate filtration will be defined. We want to show that, from the 2-page of the secondary connection, the effective homology method gives in fact correct results on the terms of the higher spectral sequence defined on \( C_*(G \times_{t_0} (M \times_{t_1} B)) \). For this purpose, we start from the top of the diagram and study the behavior of all the involved reductions. We will also define filtrations \( \{ F_{p_1(p_2,2)} \} \) on all the involved chain complexes, recalling that Definitions 36 and 37 already provide us with filtrations of \( C_*(G \times_{t_0} (M \times_{t_1} B)) \) and \( C_*(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C_*(M) \otimes_{t_1} C_*(B)) \). Remember that, as stated in Sect. 2.4, we always assume all the chain complexes associated with simplicial sets to be normalized. Let us also recall that the simplicial sets \( M \) and \( B \) are assumed to be 1-reduced, which clearly implies that also \( M \times_{t_1} B \) is 1-reduced.

Let us start from the first reduction of the diagram,

\[
\text{TEZ}_0 : C_*(G \times_{t_0} (M \times_{t_1} B)) \Rightarrow C_*(G) \otimes_{t_0} C_*(M \times_{t_1} B),
\]
which is a twisted Eilenberg–Zilber reduction (see Theorem 23). We denote \( \tilde{\delta}_0 \) the perturbation induced via the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber theorem, so that we can express the differential of the chain complex \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) as the differential of \( C_*(G) \otimes C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) plus the perturbation \( \tilde{\delta}_0 \). To study how it behaves with respect to filtrations, we first need to make explicit the filtration we consider on \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \).

**Definition 42** Consider the chain complex \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) and denote its generators by \( \sigma := g \otimes (m, b) \). Let \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \). For the downset \( p(P; 2) := T^2_0 \) we define \( F_p(P; 2) \) as the chain subcomplex of \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) generated by the elements \( \sigma := g \otimes (m, b) \) such that \( (x_1, x_2) := (\deg(m, b) - \deg b, \deg b) \in p(P; 2) \).

Notice that, since we are considering normalized chain complexes, saying \( \deg(m, b) = q \) is equivalent to \( (m, b) \in C_q(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \).

Once again, as described in Remark 35, in Definition 42 we introduce a grading over \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), given by \( (x_1, x_2) := (\deg(m, b) - \deg b, \deg b) \), on the generators of \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \), and we consider as generators of \( F_p(P; 2) \) only those satisfying \( (x_1, x_2) \in p(P; 2) \).

The corresponding definitions of the filtrations introduced in Definitions 36, 37 and 42 for towers of more than 2 fibrations are introduced in Definition 57.

**Proposition 43** *Definition 42 yields a valid filtration of chain subcomplexes.*

**Proof** We have to prove that the differential of \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) is compatible with the defined filtration. Let \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and consider the downset \( p(P; 2) := T^2_0 \). Proceeding in a similar fashion to the proof of Proposition 39, we start by observing that the differential of \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) does not increase the degeneracy degrees \( p := \deg b \) and \( q := \deg(m, b) \), as one can easily see considering the behavior of the differential of \( C_*(G) \otimes C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) and of the perturbation \( \tilde{\delta}_0 \), and recalling Proposition 40.

Since the bidegree we are considering is defined by \( (q - p, p) \), by Remark 38 the differential of \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \) sends a generator of bidegree \( Q := (q_1, q_2) \in p(P; 2) \) to a linear combination of generators whose bidegrees \( (x_1, x_2) \) satisfy \( x_1 + x_2 \leq q_1 + q_2 \) and \( x_2 \leq q_2 \). Since all these points \( (x_1, x_2) \) still belong to \( p(P; 2) \), we have shown that the differential is compatible with the defined filtration. Notice that Fig. 5, which is associated with Proposition 39, depicts also the situation of this proof.

We can now describe the behavior of the reduction \( \text{TEZ}_0 \) with respect to the filtrations we are considering.

**Proposition 44** The reduction \( \text{TEZ}_0 \) in (14) is compatible with the filtrations defined on the chain complexes \( C_*(G \times \mathcal{L}_0 (M \times_{\tau_1} B)) \) and \( C_*(G) \otimes \mathcal{L}_0 C_*(M \times_{\tau_1} B) \).

**Proof** All the maps of the reduction \( \text{TEZ}_0 := (f_{\text{TEZ}_0}, g_{\text{TEZ}_0}, h_{\text{TEZ}_0}) \), as it can be seen from their explicit definition (see Theorems 22 and 23), do not increase the degeneracy degrees \( p := \deg b \) and \( q := \deg(m, b) \). Therefore, since we use the bidegree given by \( (q - p, p) \) to define the filtrations, we can again use Remark 38 and the argument of the previous proof to conclude that \( f_{\text{TEZ}_0}, g_{\text{TEZ}_0}, h_{\text{TEZ}_0} \) are compatible with the considered filtrations.

\[ \Box \]
Let us now turn our attention to the reduction
\[ \text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1 : C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \Rightarrow C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 (C_\ast(M) \otimes t_1 C_\ast(B)). \]

The starting point of this reduction is the Eilenberg–Zilber reduction
\[ \text{TEZ}_1 : C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \Rightarrow C_\ast(M) \otimes t_1 C_\ast(B). \]

Considering its tensor product with the trivial reduction of \( C_\ast(G) \) we obtain
\[ \text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1 : C_\ast(G) \otimes C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \Rightarrow C_\ast(G) \otimes (C_\ast(M) \otimes t_1 C_\ast(B)). \]

Then, we introduce the perturbation \( \tilde{\delta}_0 \), which added to the differential of \( C_\ast(G) \otimes C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \) gives the differential of \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \), and apply the BPL to obtain the reduction \( \text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1 \). Let us denote \( \delta'_0 \) the induced perturbation defining the differential of \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 (C_\ast(M) \otimes t_1 C_\ast(B)) \).

In order to apply the BPL, we have to make sure that the nilpotency condition is satisfied, as stated in Theorem 21. In this case, it is sufficient to observe that the composition \( h_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1} \delta'_0 \) strictly decreases the degree \( q := \deg(m, b) \), since \( h_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1} \) increases \( q \) by 1 (Proposition 15) and \( \delta'_0 \) decreases \( q \) at least by 2 (Proposition 40 (ii)).

**Remark 45** Let us clarify the role of the 1-reducedness assumption in (ii) of Proposition 40, which is used to prove the validity of some of the generalized filtrations of chain complexes we introduce (for example, we have used this assumption in Proposition 41) and, even more importantly, as a sufficient condition for the nilpotency hypothesis of the BPL, to ensure that some reductions can be correctly defined. We have just used this argument for the reduction \( \text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1 \) of (14), and we will apply it again in this section for the reduction \( \rho'_2 \). The 1-reducedness assumption can be relaxed by supposing that an explicit algebraic proof of the simply connectedness of the space is available, which guarantees that the thesis of Proposition 40 (ii) holds. In Remark 56 we provide further details. Note that, from a computational point of view, the 1-reducedness assumption has clear advantages, since it can be easily verified.

We can now study the behavior of the reduction \( \text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1 \) with respect to the filtrations we defined on \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \) and \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 (C_\ast(M) \otimes t_1 C_\ast(B)) \).

**Proposition 46** Consider the reduction \( \text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1 \) in (14) and the filtrations defined on the chain complexes \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \) and \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 (C_\ast(M) \otimes t_1 C_\ast(B)) \). The maps \( {\text{Id}} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1 \) and \( \text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1 \) of the reduction are compatible with the filtrations, while \( h_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}(F_p(P; 2)) \subseteq F_p(P + (1, 0); 2) \), for each \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \).

**Proof** The proof mainly consists in understanding the behavior of the maps of the reduction with respect to the gradings over \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) we used to define the filtrations on \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \) and \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 (C_\ast(M) \otimes t_1 C_\ast(B)) \). Recall that, for the chain complex \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \), we denote \( p := \deg(b) \) and \( q := \deg(m, b) \) for a generator \( g \otimes (m, b) \), and we use the bidegree \( (p_1, p_2) := (q - p, p) \) to define the filtration; for the chain complex \( C_\ast(G) \otimes t_0 C_\ast(M \times _{\tau_1} B) \), instead, we define directly

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{deg}(p) & \text{deg}(q) & (p, q) \\
--- & --- & --- \\
\end{array}
\]
Fig. 7 Graphical representation of an argument of the proof of Proposition 46. The homotopy $h_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ applied to a generator having filtration bidegree $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ can only reach the positions marked by the symbol $\times$. In the figure we shaded the set of these points.

The components $p_1$ (dimension of a chain in $C_*(M)$) and $p_2$ (dimension of a chain in $C_*(B)$) of the bidegree $(p_1, p_2)$ defining the filtration, and then we denote $p := p_2$ and $q := p_1 + p_2$ to correctly keep track of all the indices.

Let us consider at first the maps of the reduction $\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1$. Using the definitions, it is easy to observe that the maps $f_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1}$ and $g_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1}$ do not increase the indices $p$ and $q$, while the map $h_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1}$ does not increase the index $p$ and increases $q$ (at most) by 1. The operators

$$\varphi_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (h_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1} \tilde{\delta}_0)^i,$$

$$\psi_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (\tilde{\delta}_0 h_{\text{Id} \otimes \text{TEZ}_1})^i,$$

of the BPL (see statement of Theorem 21) do not increase $p$ and $q$ as well, hence we can easily deduce the behavior of the maps of the reduction $\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1$: the maps $f_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ and $g_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ do not increase $p$ and $q$, while the map $h_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ does not increase the index $p$ and increases $q$ (at most) by 1. Remembering that we are using the bidegree $(p_1, p_2) := (q - p, p)$ to define the filtrations, we can immediately conclude (recalling Remark 38) that $f_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ and $g_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ are compatible with the filtrations. Since $h_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ does not increase the index $p_2$ but can increase $p_1 + p_2$ by 1, Remark 38 (with obvious modifications) tells us that $h_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ sends a generator of filtration degrees $(p_1, p_2)$ to a linear combination of generators whose filtration degrees $(x_1, x_2)$ must satisfy $x_1 + x_2 \leq p_1 + p_2 + 1$ and $x_2 \leq p_2$ (see Fig. 7). This implies that the image via $h_{\text{Id} \otimes t_0 \text{TEZ}_1}$ of the chain subcomplex of the filtration indexed by the downset $P(P; 2) = T^2_P$ is contained in the one indexed by $P(P + (1, 0); 2) = T^{2}_{P + (1, 0)}$.

We now go on to study the last two reductions $\rho'_1, \rho'_2$ of the diagram (14). First of all, it is convenient to set the notation for the reductions (13) representing the effective homology of $G, M, B$. Let us denote

$$\rho^{(0)} := (f^{(0)}, g^{(0)}, h^{(0)}) : \hat{C}G_* \Rightarrow C_*(G),$$

$$\rho^{(1)} := (f^{(1)}, g^{(1)}, h^{(1)}) : \hat{C}M_* \Rightarrow C_*(M),$$
\[ \rho^{(2)} := (f^{(2)}, g^{(2)}, h^{(2)}) : \mathcal{C} B_* \Rightarrow C_*(B) \]

and

\[ \hat{\rho}^{(0)} := (\hat{f}^{(0)}, \hat{g}^{(0)}, \hat{h}^{(0)}) : \mathcal{C} G_* \Rightarrow D G_*, \]
\[ \hat{\rho}^{(1)} := (\hat{f}^{(1)}, \hat{g}^{(1)}, \hat{h}^{(1)}) : \mathcal{C} M_* \Rightarrow D M_*, \]
\[ \hat{\rho}^{(2)} := (\hat{f}^{(2)}, \hat{g}^{(2)}, \hat{h}^{(2)}) : \mathcal{C} B_* \Rightarrow D B_* . \]

Let us focus at first on the reduction

\[ \rho'_1 : \mathcal{C} G_* \otimes I_0 (\mathcal{C} M_* \otimes I_1 \mathcal{C} B_*) \Rightarrow C_*(G) \otimes I_0 (C_*(M) \otimes I_1 C_*(B)). \tag{15} \]

In order to understand how its maps are defined, we consider how it is constructed. We start from the reduction

\[ r := \rho^{(1)} \otimes \rho^{(2)} : \mathcal{C} M_* \otimes \mathcal{C} B_* \Rightarrow C_*(M) \otimes C_*(B) \]

and we perturb the differential of \( C_*(M) \otimes C_*(B) \) by introducing the perturbation \( \delta_1 \) induced by the Eilenberg–Zilber reduction \( \text{TEZ} \). By Proposition 40, we know that \( \delta_1 \) reduces at least by 2 the filtration degree \( p \) induced by the \( \text{TEZ} \). Now, applying the TPL, we obtain a reduction

\[ r_1 : \mathcal{C} M_* \otimes I_1 \mathcal{C} B_* \Rightarrow C_*(M) \otimes I_1 C_*(B) , \]

and Theorem 20 gives us an explicit expression for the induced perturbation \( \delta_1' \), from which one can easily observe that also \( \delta_1 \) reduces at least by 2 the degree \( p \) (dimension of the chain groups of \( C_*(B) \)).

Now we consider the reduction

\[ \rho_1 := \rho^{(0)} \otimes r_1 : \mathcal{C} G_* \otimes I_1 \mathcal{C} B_* \Rightarrow C_*(G) \otimes (C_*(M) \otimes I_1 C_*(B)) \]

and we perturb the differential of \( C_*(G) \otimes (C_*(M) \otimes I_1 C_*(B)) \) by introducing the perturbation \( \delta_0' \), that is the perturbation induced via the BPL (applied to construct the reduction \( I_0 \otimes I_0 \text{TEZ} \)) by the perturbation \( \delta_0 \) (see above). In other words, the perturbation \( \delta_0' \) is defined as

\[ \delta_0' := f I_0 \otimes \text{TEZ} \delta_0 \varphi I_0 \otimes \text{TEZ} g I_0 \otimes \text{TEZ} , \tag{16} \]

and added to the differential of \( C_*(G) \otimes (C_*(M) \otimes I_1 C_*(B)) \) gives the differential of \( C_*(G) \otimes I_0 (C_*(M) \otimes I_1 C_*(B)) \). From the formula (16) we notice that \( \delta_0' \) decreases at least by 2 the degree \( q \) (dimension of the chain groups of \( C_*(M) \otimes I_1 C_*(B) \)), since as mentioned before the maps \( f I_0 \otimes \text{TEZ} \), \( g I_0 \otimes \text{TEZ} \) and \( \varphi I_0 \otimes \text{TEZ} \) do not increase the degree \( q \). By applying the TPL we obtain the reduction \( \rho_1' \) of (15). Let us denote \( \delta_0 \) the perturbation induced by this application of the TPL, that is the perturbation
which, added to the differential of $\hat{C}G_* \otimes (\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$, yields the differential of $\hat{C}G_* \otimes \delta_0 (\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$. Again, using its explicit definition (see Theorem 20), one can easily observe that $\hat{\delta}_0$ reduces at least by 2 the filtration degree $q$ (dimension of the chain groups of $\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*$).

We can now show that, if we filter the chain complex $\hat{C}G_* \otimes \delta_0 (\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$ mimicking the definition of the filtration of $C_* (G) \otimes \delta_0 (C_* (M) \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$, we obtain a valid filtration of chain complexes.

**Proposition 47** The filtration $(F_{p(P; 2)})$ of the chain complex $\hat{C}_* := \hat{C}G_* \otimes \delta_0 (\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$ defined as in Definition 37, that is

$$F_{p(P; 2)} \hat{C}_n := \bigoplus_{i + j + k = n, (j,k) \in p(P; 2)} \hat{C}G_i \otimes \delta_0 (\hat{C}M_j \otimes \hat{C}B_k),$$

is a valid filtration of chain subcomplexes.

**Proof** The chain complex $\hat{C}G_* \otimes \delta_0 (\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$ is obtained from $\hat{C}G_* \otimes (\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$ introducing two perturbations: the perturbation $\hat{\delta}_1$, which added to the differential of $\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*$ gives the differential of $\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*$, and the perturbation $\hat{\delta}_0$, which added to the differential of $\hat{C}G_* \otimes (\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$ gives the differential of $\hat{C}G_* \otimes \delta_0 (\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*)$. We have showed that $\hat{\delta}_1$ decreases at least by 2 the filtration degree $p$ (dimension of $\hat{C}B_*$) and $\hat{\delta}_0$ decreases at least by 2 the filtration degree $q$ (dimension of $\hat{C}M_* \otimes \hat{C}B_*$). Since this is the same situation of the proof of Proposition 41, that argument carries over. \(\square\)

The next result describes the behavior of the reduction $\rho'_1 = (f_{\rho'_1}, g_{\rho'_1}, h_{\rho'_1})$ of (14) with respect to the defined filtrations.

**Proposition 48** The maps $f_{\rho'_1}$ and $g_{\rho'_1}$ of the reduction $\rho'_1$ are compatible with the defined filtrations. The homotopy $h_{\rho'_1}$ is such that

$$h_{\rho'_1} (F_{p(P; 2)}) \subseteq F_{p(P+(0,1); 2)},$$

for all $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

**Proof** The maps $f_{\rho'_1}$ and $g_{\rho'_1}$ preserve both degrees $p_1 := q - p$ (dimension of the chain groups of $\hat{C}M_*$, resp. $C_* (M)$) and $p_2 := p$ (dimension of the chain groups of $\hat{C}B_*$, resp. $C_* (B)$), as one can easily observe from their explicit definition (obtained by applying twice Proposition 15):

$$f_{\rho'_1} := f^{(0)} \otimes f^{(1)} \otimes f^{(2)}, \quad g_{\rho'_1} := g^{(0)} \otimes g^{(1)} \otimes g^{(2)}.$$

The map

$$h_{\rho'_1} := h^{(0)} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id} + (g^{(0)} f^{(0)}) \otimes h^{(1)} \otimes \text{Id} + (g^{(0)} f^{(0)}) \otimes (g^{(1)} f^{(1)}) \otimes h^{(2)}$$
behaves differently: since the homotopies \( h^{(0)}, h^{(1)}, h^{(2)} \) are graded maps of degree +1, \( h^{(1)} \) sends a generator of \( \hat{C} G_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (\hat{C} M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C} B_\ast) \) of filtration bidegree \((p_1, p_2)\) to a linear combination of generators having filtration bidegrees \((p_1 + 1, p_2)\) and \((p_1, p_2 + 1)\). We obtain the thesis by observing that the points of any (fixed) downset \( p(P; 2) \) translated by \((1, 0)\) or \((0, 1)\) are contained in the downset \( p(P + (0, 1); 2) \).

We can now focus on the last reduction of (14),

\[
\rho_2' : \hat{C} G_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (\hat{C} M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C} B_\ast) \Rightarrow DG_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (D M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} D B_\ast).
\]

Once again, the best way to understand the behavior of its maps is to review how it is constructed. We start from the reduction

\[
\hat{r} := \rho^{(1)} \otimes \rho^{(2)} : \hat{C} M_\ast \otimes \hat{C} B_\ast \Rightarrow D M_\ast \otimes D B_\ast
\]

and we perturb the differential of \( \hat{C} M_\ast \otimes \hat{C} B_\ast \) by introducing the perturbation \( \hat{\delta}_1 \) (see above). Since \( \hat{\delta}_1 \) reduces at least by 2 the filtration degree \( p \) (dimension of the chain groups of \( \hat{C} B_\ast \)), the composition \( \hat{r} \circ \hat{\delta}_1 \) strictly reduces the degree \( p \), ensuring that the nilpotency condition of Theorem 21 is satisfied. We can thus apply the BPL, obtaining a reduction

\[
\hat{r}_1 : \hat{C} M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C} B_\ast \Rightarrow D M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} D B_\ast.
\]

Let us denote \( \delta_0^D \) the perturbation induced on \( D M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} D B_\ast \) which, as it can be deduced from its explicit definition (see again the statement of Theorem 21), reduces at least by 2 the degree \( p \) (dimension of the chain groups of \( D B_\ast \)).

Now let us consider the reduction

\[
\tilde{\rho}_2 := \rho^{(0)} \otimes \hat{r}_1 : \hat{C} G_\ast \otimes (\hat{C} M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C} B_\ast) \Rightarrow DG_\ast \otimes (D M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} D B_\ast)
\]

and perturb the differential of \( \hat{C} G_\ast \otimes (\hat{C} M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C} B_\ast) \) by introducing the perturbation \( \hat{\delta}_0 \) defined above. Since \( \hat{\delta}_0 \) decreases at least by 2 the filtration degree \( q \) (dimension of the chain groups of \( \hat{C} M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C} B_\ast \)), the composition \( \hat{\rho}_2 \circ \hat{\delta}_0 \) strictly reduces the degree \( p \), ensuring that the nilpotency condition of Theorem 21 is satisfied. We can therefore apply the BPL, obtaining the reduction \( \rho_2^H \) of (17). Let us denote \( \delta_0^D \) the perturbation induced by \( \hat{\delta}_0 \) on \( DG_\ast \otimes (D M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} D B_\ast) \), which again reduces at least by 2 the filtration degree \( q \) (dimension of the chain groups of \( D M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} D B_\ast \)).

As we did before for the chain complex \( \hat{C} G_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (\hat{C} M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C} B_\ast) \), we can now show that our usual definition of a filtration for twisted tensor products gives a valid filtration of the chain complex \( DG_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (D M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} D B_\ast) \).

**Proposition 49** The filtration \( \{ F_{p(P; 2)} \} \) of the chain complex \( D_\ast := DG_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (D M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} D B_\ast) \) defined as in Definition 37, that is

\[
F_{p(P; 2)} D_n := \bigoplus_{i + j + k = n, \ (j, k) \in p(P; 2)} DG_i \otimes_{t_0} (D M_j \otimes_{t_1} D B_k),
\]

\( \square \) Springer
is a valid filtration of chain subcomplexes.

**Proof** Similar considerations to the proof of Proposition 47 hold. The chain complex $DG_\ast \otimes I_0 \left( DM_\ast \otimes I_1 DB_\ast \right)$ is obtained from $DG_\ast \otimes \left( DM_\ast \otimes DB_\ast \right)$ introducing two perturbations: the perturbation $\delta^D_1$, which added to the differential of $DM_\ast \otimes DB_\ast$ gives the differential of $DM_\ast \otimes I_1 DB_\ast$, and the perturbation $\delta^D_0$, which added to the differential of $DG_\ast \otimes \left( DM_\ast \otimes I_1 DB_\ast \right)$ gives the differential of $DG_\ast \otimes I_1 \left( DM_\ast \otimes I_1 DB_\ast \right)$. We have showed that $\delta^D_1$ decreases at least by 2 the filtration degree $p$ (dimension of $DB_\ast$) and $\delta^D_0$ decreases at least by 2 the filtration degree $q$ (dimension of $DM_\ast \otimes I_1 DB_\ast$). Since this is the same situation of the proof of Proposition 41, that argument carries over.

We can now study the behavior of the reduction $\rho'_2 = (f_{\rho'_2}, g_{\rho'_2}, h_{\rho'_2})$ with respect to the defined filtrations. As we will see, the role played by the BPL in defining the reduction $\rho'_2$ forces us to use slightly more subtle arguments than in Proposition 48.

**Proposition 50** The maps $f_{\rho'_2}$ and $g_{\rho'_2}$ of the reduction $\rho'_2$ are compatible with the defined filtrations. The homotopy $h_{\rho'_2}$ is such that

$$h_{\rho'_2}(F_P(p;2)) \subseteq F_{P+(0,1);2},$$

for all $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

**Proof** Recall that the maps $f_{\rho'_2}$ and $g_{\rho'_2}$ are defined as

$$f_{\rho'_2} := f_{\tilde{\rho}_2} \varphi_{\tilde{\rho}_2}, \quad g_{\rho'_2} := \psi_{\tilde{\rho}_2},$$

where

$$\varphi_{\tilde{\rho}_2} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (h_{\tilde{\rho}_2} \hat{\delta}_0)^i, \quad \psi_{\tilde{\rho}_2} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i (\hat{\delta}_0 h_{\tilde{\rho}_2})^i. \quad (18)$$

It is easy to see, as a direct consequence of their definitions, that the maps $f_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$ and $g_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$ do not change the filtration degree $q$ (dimension of the chain groups of $\hat{C} M_\ast \otimes I_1 \hat{C} B_\ast$, resp. $DM_\ast \otimes I_1 DB_\ast$) and do not increase the degree $p$ (dimension of the chain groups of $\hat{C} B_\ast$, resp. $DB_\ast$). Regarding the operators $\varphi_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$ and $\psi_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$, we can observe that they do not increase the filtration degree $q$, but we cannot say that they also maintain or decrease $p$. The reason for the last claim appears clear considering $\hat{\delta}_0 := g_{\tilde{\rho}_2} \hat{\delta}_0 f_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$, where $\hat{\delta}_0$ is defined explicitly in Eq. (16) and depends in turn on $\hat{\delta}_0$, which can increase $p$ indefinitely. Nevertheless, we can distinguish the behavior of the summands with $i = 0$ in Eq. (18) from the behavior of the summands with $i > 0$. The summands with $i = 0$ in the equations defining $\varphi_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$ and $\psi_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$ are simply the identity of the chain complex $\hat{C} G_\ast \otimes I_0 \left( \hat{C} M_\ast \otimes I_1 \hat{C} B_\ast \right)$, which clearly maintains both $p$ and $q$ fixed. On the other hand, as we said, the summands with $i > 0$ may increase the degree $p = p_2$, but they strictly decrease the degree $q = p_1 + p_2$ since $\hat{\delta}_0$ reduces $q$ at least by 2. As a result, the operators $\varphi_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$ and $\psi_{\tilde{\rho}_2}$ send a generator of filtration bidegree
Fig. 8  Graphical representation of an argument of the proof of Proposition 50. The homotopy $h_{\rho_2}'$, applied to a generator having filtration bidegree $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ can reach the positions marked by the symbol $\times$. In the figure we shaded the set of these points, corresponding to the downset $p(P + (0,1); 2)$.

$P := (p_1, p_2)$ to a linear combination of generators whose filtration bidegrees can correspond “exactly” to each point of the downset $p(P; 2) = \mathcal{T}_P^2$. This implies that the maps $f_{\rho_2}'$ and $g_{\rho_2}'$ are compatible with the defined filtrations $\{F_{p(P;2)}\}$ of the chain complexes $\hat{C}G_* \otimes t_0 (\hat{C}M_* \otimes t_1 \hat{C}B_*)$ and $DG_* \otimes t_0 (DM_* \otimes t_1 DB_*)$.

We now consider the map $h_{\rho_2}' := \varphi_{\rho_2} h_{\tau_2}$ to prove the last part of the statement. Since we just described the behavior of $\varphi_{\rho_2}$ with respect to the degrees $p = p_2$ and $q = p_1 + p_2$, we only need to focus on $h_{\tau_2}$, which can increase both $p$ and $q$ at most by 1, as one can easily observe from the expression

$$h_{\rho_2}' := \hat{h}^{(0)} \otimes \text{Id}_{\hat{C}M_* \otimes t_1 \hat{C}B_*} + (\hat{g}^{(0)} f^{(0)}) \otimes h_{\tau_1}.$$

Therefore, because of the overall effect of $\varphi_{\rho_2}$ and $h_{\tau_2}$, the map $h_{\rho_2}'$ sends a generator of filtration bidegree $P := (p_1, p_2)$ to a linear combination of generators whose filtration bidegrees correspond to the points of the downset $p(P + (0,1); 2)$ translated by $(0,1)$, that is the downset $p(P + (0,1); 2)$. We display this behavior in Fig. 8. This implies that, considering the filtration $\{F_{p(P;2)}\}$ of $\hat{C}G_* \otimes t_0 (\hat{C}M_* \otimes t_1 \hat{C}B_*)$, the map $h_{\rho_2}'$ is such that

$$h_{\rho_2}'(F_{p(P;2)}) \subseteq F_{p(+0,1);2},$$

for all $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. \qed

We can now prove the result which justifies the use of our effective homology methods to compute the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence.

**Theorem 51** From the 2-page of the secondary connection (see Sect. 3), the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence of the chain complex $C_*(G \times t_0 (M \times t_1 B))$ is naturally isomorphic to the higher spectral sequence we defined via the diagram (14) on the effective chain complex $DG_* \otimes t_0 (DM_* \otimes t_1 DB_*)$.

**Proof** Consider the diagram (14) and the filtrations $\{F_{p(P;2)}\}$ we defined on all its chain complexes. We use the results of this section and Theorem 24 to show that corresponding terms of the 2-pages of all the chain complexes involved in diagram (14) are isomorphic.

We start from the reduction $\text{TEZ}_0$, which by Proposition 44 is compatible with the filtrations. Corollary 25 then tells us that the higher spectral sequences associated with

$\cap$ Springer
the filtered chain complexes $C_\ast(G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B))$ and $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{t_0} C_\ast(M \times_{\tau_1} B)$ are isomorphic.

Consider now the reduction $\text{Id} \otimes_{t_0} \text{TEZ}_1$, whose behavior is described in Proposition 46. Recall that the terms $S^\ast(P; 2)$ of the 2-page of a higher spectral sequence are of the form $S[z, s, p, b]$ with

$$z := T^2_{p + e_1 - 2e_2}, \quad s := T^2_{p + e_1 - e_2}, \quad p := T^2_p, \quad b := T^2_{p + e_2},$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$, see Eq. (7). In order to apply Theorem 24, we have to remember the conditions (6) on the homotopy $h$ of the reduction which guarantee we have isomorphic terms:

$$h(F_z) \subseteq F_s \quad \text{and} \quad h(F_p) \subseteq F_b.$$ 

From (19) we see that for terms of the 2-page the downset $s$ (resp. $b$) is a translation by $e_2 = (0, 1)$ of the downset $z$ (resp. $p$). We know from Proposition 46 that, for each $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$h_{\text{Id} \otimes_{t_0} \text{TEZ}_1}(F_{p(P; 2)}) \subseteq F_{p(P +(1,0); 2)} \subseteq F_{p(P +(0,1); 2)},$$

where the last inclusion is an immediate consequence of the “shape” of the downsets we are considering. The homotopy $h_{\text{Id} \otimes_{t_0} \text{TEZ}_1}$ satisfies therefore the conditions (6) when $z, s, p, b$ are as in (19), allowing us to conclude (by Theorem 24) that the 2-pages of the higher spectral sequences associated with $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{t_0} C_\ast(M \times_{\tau_1} B)$ and $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C_\ast(M) \otimes_{t_1} C_\ast(B))$ are isomorphic.

Thanks to Proposition 48 and Proposition 50 we can apply the argument we just illustrated for $\text{Id} \otimes_{t_0} \text{TEZ}_1$ to the reductions $\rho'_1$ and $\rho'_2$ and conclude that, from the 2-page, the higher spectral sequences associated with the chain complexes $C_\ast(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C_\ast(M) \otimes_{t_1} C_\ast(B))$, $\hat{C}G_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (\hat{C}M_\ast \otimes_{t_1} \hat{C}B_\ast)$ and $DG_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (DM_\ast \otimes_{t_1} DB_\ast)$ are isomorphic.

Let us finish the proof by remarking that the isomorphism between the different terms of the higher spectral sequences of both chain complexes $C_\ast(G \times_{\tau_0} (M \times_{\tau_1} B))$ and $DG_\ast \otimes_{t_0} (DM_\ast \otimes_{t_1} DB_\ast)$ is induced in a natural way by the composition of maps $f$ and $g$ of reductions of diagram (14).

\[\square\]

**Remark 52** In the proof of Theorem 51 we use the fact (for example when we make use of Proposition 48 and Proposition 50) that the homotopy $h$ of a certain reduction of the diagram (14) has a “convenient” behavior, sending a chain subcomplex of the filtration indexed by a downset $p(P; 2)$ to the chain subcomplex indexed by the same downset translated by $e_2 = (0, 1)$. This ensures that the conditions (6) of Theorem 24 are satisfied for the terms $S[z, s, p, b]$ of the 2-page, since we noticed from (19) that the downset $s$ (resp. $b$) is a translation by $e_2 = (0, 1)$ of the downset $z$ (resp. $p$). In Fig. 9 we give an intuitive representation of this property of the 2-page. Note that for the pages “preceding” the 2-page in the secondary connection this is not true in general, as one can observe in Fig. 10.
The three figures represent the term $S^\ast(P; 2)$ of the 2-page of a higher spectral sequence over $D(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, with $P = (3, 2)$. Left: representation of $S^\ast(P; 2)$ with our usual conventions. Center: the downsets $z$ (black solid line) and $s$ (dotted line) are highlighted. Right: the downsets $p$ (solid) and $b$ (dotted) are highlighted.

Fig. 10 Representations of the term $S(P; 2)$ of a higher spectral sequence over $D(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, with $P = (3, 2)$. Left: $S(P; 2)$ represented with our usual conventions. Center: we can notice that the downset $z$ (black solid line) shifted by $(0, 1)$ is not contained in the downset $s$ (dotted line). Right: the same situation holds for the downsets $p$ (solid) and $b$ (dotted).

**Remark 53** It is an easy consequence of the results we presented, relying on the conditions (6) of Theorem 24, that our method based on effective homology allows to compute also terms “following” the 2-page, since by this we mean terms $S[z, s, p, b]$ such that the differences $s \setminus z$ and $b \setminus p$ are larger than for terms of the 2-page (see [14, § 3]).

The results presented in the current section allowed us to develop the following algorithm, which we implemented in the Kenzo system.

**Algorithm 54** Computation of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence.

**Input:**
- a tower of two fibrations $G \rightarrow E \rightarrow N$ and $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow B$ as in (10), defined by twisting operators $\tau_0 : N \rightarrow G$ and $\tau_1 : B \rightarrow M$, where $M$ and $B$ are 1-reduced.
- equivalences as in (13), where $D_{G_\ast}, D_{M_\ast}$ and $D_{B_\ast}$ are effective chain complexes.

**Output:** all the groups and differential maps of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with the tower of fibrations, from the 2-page of the secondary connection on.

**Remark 55** As we mentioned in Sect. 3, secondary connections are only one of the possible ways to connect the 1-page to $H_\ast(E)$. The richer structure presented in [15], consisting in more terms and differentials for higher spectral sequences, provides a wide family of connections from the 1-page to $H_\ast(E)$. We conclude this section with a brief explanation of the following facts:
(i) Our simplicial construction of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence extends to the additional structure of [15] for all terms and differentials following the 2-page.

(ii) The technique of effective homology cannot be used to compute all terms and differentials of [15]. The secondary connection we presented in Sect. 3, based on downsets of the form \(T^2_p\), appears to be ideal from this computational viewpoint.

Despite our effort to make this work self-contained, for the sake of brevity we have to refer the reader to [15] for the notations and definitions we use in this remark.

To prove (i), recall that the simplicial version of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence rests on Definition 36, which introduces a filtration \(\{F_p(P; 2)C_*(E)\}\) by assigning the bidegree \(X = (x_1, x_2) := (\deg(m, b) − \deg b, \deg b)\) to the elements \((g, (m, b)) \in E := G \times \tau_0 (M \times \tau_1 B)\) and setting \(F_p(P; 2)C_*(E) := \bigoplus_{X \in P(P; 2)} C_X\), where \(C_X\) is generated by all elements of bidegree \(X\). A term \(S(P; \omega)\) of [15] can be represented by downsets \(z_\omega, s_\omega, p_\omega, b_\omega \in D(\mathbb{Z}^2)\), meaning that \(S(P; \omega) \cong S[z_\omega, s_\omega, p_\omega, b_\omega],\) see [15, Proof of Thm. 3.6]. We show now that \(F_p\omega C_*(E) := \bigoplus_{X \in p_a} C_X\) defines a chain subcomplexes of \(C_*(E)\) (the case of \(z_\omega, s_\omega, b_\omega\) is completely analogous) for each term \(S(P; \omega)\) following the 2-page, which means \(\omega = 12 * \tau\) with \(\tau \in L^*_a\), together with the differentials naturally induced by the differential of \(C_*(E)\), these terms constitute therefore a simplicial version of the additional structure of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence. Assuming \(P = 0\) to simplify the notations, the downset \(p_\omega\) is defined by \(p_\omega := \{X \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | M_\omega X \leq \text{lex} 0\}\) (in this context, it is not restrictive to assume the permutation \(\sigma\) involved in the definition of \(p_\omega\) in [15] to be the identity). From \(\omega = 12 * \tau\) we obtain \(M_\omega = M_7 M_{12}\), where \(M_\omega, M_7, M_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 \times 2}\) (all entries of the three matrices are non-negative integers).

Now look back at the proof of Proposition 39. The differential of \(C_*(E)\) sends an element \(\sigma := (g, (m, b)) \in E\) of bidegree \((p_1, p_2)\) to a linear combination of elements of bidegree \((x_1, x_2)\) such that \(x_1 + x_2 \leq p_1 + p_2\) and \(x_2 \leq p_2\), which means that \((12)\) holds: \((x_1, x_2) = (p_1, p_2) + \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2\) for some \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\), with \(v_1 := e_1 - e_2\). Therefore, we only need to prove that the translation of \(p_\omega\) by \(v_i\) \((i = 1, 2)\), given by \(p_\omega + v_i := \{X \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | M_\omega X \leq \text{lex} M_\omega v_i\}\), is still contained in \(p_\omega\).

As it can be easily shown (either directly or using the properties of \(M_\omega\) described in [15]), \(M_{12}v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^2\) for \(i = 1, 2\). This implies that \(M_\omega v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^2\), and in particular \(M_\omega v_i \leq \text{lex} 0\), so we have \(p_\omega + v_i \subseteq p_\omega\), for \(i = 1, 2\).

To prove (ii), we provide a counterexample based on the fact that, in order to use effective homology, one must be able to define filtrations (of chain subcomplexes) on all the chain complexes of (14). Here we focus on the chain complex \(C_*(G) \otimes_{l_1} (C_*(M) \otimes_{l_1} C_*(B))\), filtered using the bidegree \((x_1, x_2)\) introduced in Definition 37. Recall that the behavior of the differential of \(C_*(G) \otimes_{l_0} (C_*(M) \otimes_{l_1} C_*(B))\) is summarized in Fig. 6. In Fig. 11 we show that for the word \(\omega = 1212\) the downset \(p_\omega\) is not closed with respect to the differential of \(C_*(G) \otimes_{l_0} (C_*(M) \otimes_{l_1} C_*(B))\).
Fig. 11 Representation of the term $S(P; \omega)$, as defined in [15], with $P = (3, 2)$ and $\omega = 1212$. Left: the term is represented as $S(P; \omega) \equiv S[z_\omega, s_\omega, p_\omega, b_\omega]$, see Remark 55. The downset $p_\omega$ is highlighted with a thicker line. Center: like in (i) of Remark 55, we focus on the downset $p_\omega$ and the point $P$. Starting from position $P$, the differential of $C^*_{\omega}(E)$ can only reach the positions marked by $\times$ (compare with Fig. 5), which still belong to $p_\omega$. This holds starting from each point of $p_\omega$. Right: as we claimed in (ii) of Remark 55, starting from position $Q = (6, 0) \in p_\omega$, the differential of $C^*(G) \otimes_{t_0} (C^*(M) \otimes_{t_1} C^*(B))$ can reach the positions marked by $\times$ (compare with Fig. 6), some of which (for example $(0, 4)$ and $(-1, 5)$) do not belong to $p_\omega$.

6 Sketch of Results in the General Case of $m$ Fibrations

In this section we briefly explain the generalization of our results of Sects. 4 and 5 to the case of any finite number of fibrations. Let us consider again a diagram of $m$ fibrations where the total space of each fibration is the base of the previous one:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G_0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & E_{m-1} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & B \\
\end{array}
$$

(21)

Like in the case of two fibrations, let us suppose that all the fibrations correspond to twisted Cartesian products, that is, $G_0, \ldots, G_{m-1}$ are simplicial groups, $B$ is a simplicial set and each $E_i$ is defined as a twisted Cartesian product $E_i := G_i \times_{\tau_i} E_{i+1}$, for all $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$, with $\tau_i : E_{i+1} \to G_i$ and $E_m := B$. We suppose also that the simplicial sets $G_1, \ldots, G_{m-1}$, $B$ are 1-reduced and that $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_{m-1}$, $B$ have effective homology, that is, there exist chain equivalences $C_*(G_i) \Leftrightarrow \hat{C}G_i \Rightarrow DG_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ and $C_*(B) \Leftrightarrow \hat{C}B \Rightarrow DB$ where all the $DG_i$ and $DB$ are effective chain complexes. We remark that, as in the case of 2 fibrations, the base $B$ is not necessarily a Kan complex but the fibers $G_i$ are modeled as simplicial groups, so their underlying simplicial sets are Kan complexes.

The effective homology of the top total space

$$E_0 := G_0 \times_{\tau_0} (G_1 \times_{\tau_1} \cdots \times_{\tau_{m-2}} (G_{m-1} \times_{\tau_{m-1}} B))$$
is given by a composition of reductions that generalizes diagram (14). To represent them in a diagram, let us introduce the following notations:

\[ C_\ast(0) := C_\ast(G_0 \times \tau_0 (G_1 \times \tau_1 \cdots \times \tau_{m-2} (G_{m-1} \times \tau_{m-1} B))), \]
\[ C_\ast(1) := C_\ast(G_0) \otimes_{t_0} C_\ast(G_1 \times \tau_1 \cdots \times \tau_{m-2} (G_{m-1} \times \tau_{m-1} B))), \]
\[ C_\ast(2) := C_\ast(G_0) \otimes_{t_0} (C_\ast(G_1) \otimes_{t_1} C_\ast(G_2 \times \tau_2 \cdots \times \tau_{m-1} B)), \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ C_\ast(m) := C_\ast(G_0) \otimes_{t_0} \cdots \otimes_{t_{m-2}} (C_\ast(G_{m-1}) \otimes_{t_{m-1}} C_\ast(B))), \]
\[ \hat{C}_\ast := \hat{C}G_0 \otimes_{t_0} \cdots \otimes_{t_{m-2}} (\hat{C}G_{m-1} \otimes_{t_{m-1}} \hat{C}B_\ast), \]
\[ D_\ast := DG_0 \otimes_{t_0} \cdots \otimes_{t_{m-2}} (DG_{m-1} \otimes_{t_{m-1}} DB_\ast). \]

Then, the effective homology of \( E_0 \) is given by:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
\Id \otimes_{t_0} (\Id \otimes_{t_1} \TEZ_2) \\
\vdots \\
\Id \otimes_{t_0} \cdots \otimes_{t_{m-2}} \TEZ_{m-1} \\
\rho_1' \\
\hat{C}_\ast \\
\rho_2' \\
D_\ast \end{array}
\]

The first reduction \( \TEZ_0 \) is simply the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber reduction (Theorem 23) of the top fibration \( G_0 \to E_0 \to E_1 \). The second one \( \Id \otimes_{t_0} \TEZ_1 \) is obtained by applying the Basic Perturbation Lemma (Theorem 21) to the tensor product (Proposition 15) of the trivial reduction of \( C_\ast(G_0) \) and the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber reduction for the second fibration \( G_1 \to E_1 \to E_2 \). In general, the reductions of the type \( \Id \otimes_{t_0} \cdots \otimes_{t_{i-1}} \TEZ_i \) are defined by applying \( i \) times the BPL (with the perturbations represented by \( t_0, \ldots, t_{i-1} \)) to the tensor product of the trivial reduction of \( C_\ast(G_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_\ast(G_{i-1}) \) and the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber reduction of the fibration \( G_i \to E_i \to E_{i+1} \). Lastly, the reduction \( \rho_1' \) (resp. \( \rho_2' \)) is obtained by applying \( m \) times the TPL (resp. BPL) to the tensor product of the \( m + 1 \) reductions forming the equivalences that define the effective homology of \( G_0, \ldots, G_{m-1}, B \).

**Remark 56** As in the case of 2 fibrations (see Remark 45), the 1-reducedness assumption on \( G_1, \ldots, G_{m-1}, B \) guarantees that we can apply the BPL and construct all the reductions in (22). After completing a first version of the present article, the authors discovered that towers of fibrations are studied in a simplicial setting in [12]. The main result of that work corresponds, in our situation, to saying that \( C_\ast(0) \) and \( D_\ast \) are chain equivalent, which is evident from the series of reductions in (22). The result is proven therein under weaker assumptions than in our situation, namely weaker conditions to
ensure that the BPL can be applied repeatedly. We address the interested reader to the cited work, stressing once again the advantages of the 1-reducedness assumption from a computational perspective.

In order to compute the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with \( E_0 := G_0 \times \tau_0 \) \((G_1 \times \tau_1 \ldots \times \tau_{m-2} \ (G_{m-1} \times \tau_{m-1} \ B))\) we need to define generalized filtrations over the poset \( D(\mathbb{Z}^m) \) on all the chain complexes appearing in (22), and we need to prove that all the reductions of that diagram have a “good” behavior with respect to the defined filtrations. To this aim, we use a natural generalization of Remark 35 consisting in defining a multidegree (over \( \mathbb{Z}^m \)) on the generators of each chain complex \( C_\ast \), which produces a collection of abelian groups \( \{C_P \}_{P \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \). Then, considering the downsets of the form \( p(P; m) := T^m_P \), a filtration \( \{F_p(p; m) \}_{P \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \) is defined as \( F_p(p; m) := \bigoplus_{X \in p(P; m)} C_X \). After proving that a filtration introduced in this way is compatible with the differential, that is, \( df(F_p(p; m)) \subseteq F_p(p; m) \), its definition can be extended to a filtration over \( D(\mathbb{Z}^m) \) by setting, for any \( p \in D(\mathbb{Z}^m) \),

\[
\overline{F}_p := \{ \sigma \in C_\ast \mid \exists P \in \mathbb{Z}^m \text{ such that } \sigma \in F_p(p; m) \text{ and } p(P; m) \subseteq p \}.
\]

**Definition 57** Consider the chain complex \( C^{(i)}_\ast \) on the left in diagram (22), that is

\[
C^{(i)}_\ast := C_\ast (G_0) \otimes_{I_0} (C_\ast (G_1) \otimes_{I_1} \ldots \otimes_{I_{l-1}} C_\ast (G_i \times \tau_i \ldots \times \tau_{m-1} - B)),
\]

and let \( \sigma := g_0 \otimes (g_1 \otimes (\ldots \otimes (g_i, (g_{i+1}, \ldots, (g_{m-1}, b)))) \)) be a generator of \( C^{(i)}_\ast \). Denote \( x'_i := \deg (b) \); \( x_j := \deg (g_j) \) for \( i \leq j \leq m - 1 \); and \( x'_i \) the integer such that \( g_j \otimes (g_{j+1} \otimes (\ldots, b)) \) is a chain of dimension \( x'_j \) in \( C_\ast (G_j \otimes_{I_j} (\ldots \times \tau_{m-1} - B)) \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq i - 1 \). Then we set \( x_m := x'_m \) and \( x_j := x'_j - x'_{j+1} \) for \( j \leq m - 1 \), we define the multidegree of \( \sigma \) as \( X := X_\sigma := (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \) and we define \( F_p(p; m) \) as the free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module generated by the elements \( \sigma \) of \( C^{(i)}_\ast \) satisfying \( X_\sigma \in p(P; m) \).

The cases \( i = 0 \) and \( i = m \) in Definition 57, clearly corresponding to the top and bottom-left chain complexes of diagram (22), show that this definition represents a generalization of Definitions 36 and 37, as well as of Definition 42. The proof that this definition produces valid filtrations is not included here, as it is an immediate generalization of the case of two fibrations we detailed in Sects. 4 and 5. Moreover, the definition of the filtration for the particular bottom chain complex \( C_\ast (G_0) \otimes_{I_0} (\ldots \otimes_{I_{m-2}} (C_\ast (G_{m-1}) \otimes_{I_{m-1}} C_\ast (B))) \) can be easily adapted to the chain complexes \( \hat{C} G_{0w} \otimes_{I_0} (\ldots \otimes_{I_{m-1}} \hat{C} B_\ast) \) and \( D G_{0w} \otimes_{I_0} (\ldots \otimes_{I_{m-1}} DB_\ast) \).

**Remark 58** As in the case of towers of 2 fibrations, the simplicial construction of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence for \( m \) fibrations, based on the filtration we defined on \( C^{(0)}_\ast = C_\ast (E_0) \), extends to the additional structure introduced in [15] for all terms and differentials following the 2-page. The argument of Remark 55 (i) can be easily generalized.

We studied the behavior of the reductions appearing in diagram (22) with similar arguments to the ones presented in Sect. 5. Once again, the proofs of the results about
these reductions are an easy generalization of the case of two fibrations, only involving more complicated bookkeeping to describe how the differentials and their perturbations modify the different filtration multidegrees. For this reason, as mentioned, we have chosen to detail the proofs only for towers of two fibrations, and to devote this section to state the general results we obtained for towers of \( m \) fibrations.

We have proved that the reductions on the left in diagram (22) behave as follows.

**Proposition 59** Let \( \rho^i := (f^i, g^i, h^i) \) denote the \( i \)-th left reduction of diagram (22), \( \rho^i : C_\ast^{(i)} \Rightarrow C_\ast^{(i+1)} \). The maps \( f^i, g^i \) and \( h^i \) behave as follows with respect to the filtrations defined on the chain complexes \( C_\ast^{(i)} \) and \( C_\ast^{(i+1)} \): the maps \( f^i \) and \( g^i \) are compatible with the defined filtrations, while \( h^i \) behave as follows with respect to the filtrations defined on the chain complexes \( C_\ast^{(i)} \) and \( C_\ast^{(i+1)} \): the maps \( f^i \) and \( g^i \) are compatible with the defined filtrations, while \( h^i \) are isomorphic, that is \( h_1(F_p(P; m)) \subseteq F_{p+(e_i+e_m); m} \), for each \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^m \) (with the convention \( e_0 := 0 \)).

Regarding the reductions \( \rho_1' \) and \( \rho_2' \) in diagram (22), we have proved the following results.

**Proposition 60** Let \( \rho_1' := (f_1', g_1', h_1') \) be the reduction as in diagram (22),

\[
\rho_1' : \hat{C}_\ast := \hat{C}G_0 \otimes_{I_0} (\cdots \otimes_{t_{m-1}} \hat{C}B_\ast) \Rightarrow \hat{C}_\ast := C_\ast(G_0) \otimes_{I_0} (\cdots \otimes_{t_{m-1}} C_\ast(B)).
\]

The maps \( f_1', g_1' \) and \( h_1' \) behave as follows with respect to the filtrations defined on the chain complexes \( \hat{C}_\ast \) and \( C_\ast^{(m)} \): the maps \( f_1' \) and \( g_1' \) are compatible with the defined filtrations, while \( h_1' \) are isomorphic, for each \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^m \).

**Proposition 61** Let \( \rho_2' := (f_2', g_2', h_2') \) be the reduction as in diagram (22),

\[
\rho_2' : \hat{C}_\ast := \hat{C}G_0 \otimes_{I_0} (\cdots \otimes_{t_{m-1}} \hat{C}B_\ast) \Rightarrow D_\ast := DG_0 \otimes_{I_0} (\cdots \otimes_{t_{m-1}} DB_\ast).
\]

The maps \( f_2', g_2' \) and \( h_2' \) behave as follows with respect to the filtrations defined on the chain complexes \( \hat{C}_\ast \) and \( D_\ast \): the maps \( f_2' \) and \( g_2' \) are compatible with the defined filtrations, while \( h_2' \) are isomorphic, for each \( P \in \mathbb{Z}^m \).

Now, recalling the definition of the downsets \( p(P; k) := T_p^k \) introduced in Sect. 3, it is easy to observe that

\[
p(P + e_i; m) \subseteq p(P + e_{i+1}; m) \subseteq p(P + e_m; m),
\]

for all \( 1 \leq i \leq m - 1 \). Let us also recall that the terms \( S^s(P; m) \) of the 2-page of a higher spectral sequence are of the form \( S[z, s, p, b] \), with

\[
 z := T_{p+e_m-1-2e_m}, \quad s := T_{p+e_m-1-e_m}, \quad p := T_p, \quad b := T_p^{m+e_m}.
\]

Therefore, the conditions of Theorem 24 guaranteeing that the terms of two spectral systems are isomorphic, that is \( h(F_z) \subseteq F_s \) and \( h(F_p) \subseteq F_b \), are satisfied for all the reductions of (22). In this way, we obtain the following general result which allows one to correctly apply the effective homology method to compute higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences of \( m \) fibrations.
**Theorem 62** From the 2-page of the secondary connection, the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence of the chain complex $C_\ast(G_0 \times \tau_0(G_1 \times \tau_1 \cdots \times \tau_{m-2}(G_{m-1} \times \tau_{m-1} B)))$ is isomorphic to the higher spectral sequence we defined via the diagram (22) on the effective chain complex $DG_0^\ast \otimes t_0(DG_1^\ast \otimes t_1 \cdots \otimes t_{m-2}(DG_{m-1}^\ast \otimes t_{m-1} DB_\ast))$.

This leads to the following algorithm, which has been implemented in the Kenzo system.

**Algorithm 63** Computation of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence.

**Input:**
- A tower of $m$ fibrations $G_i \to E_i \to E_{i+1}$ with $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ as in (21), defined by twisting operators $\tau_i : E_{i+1} \to G_i$, where $G_1, \ldots, G_{m-1}, B$ are 1-reduced.
- Chain equivalences $C_\ast(G_i) \leftrightarrow \hat{C}G_i \Rightarrow DG_i, \text{ for each } 0 \leq i \leq m - 1$, and $C_\ast(B) \leftrightarrow \hat{C}B \Rightarrow DB$, where the $DG_i$ and $DB$ are effective chain complexes.

**Output:** all the groups and differential maps of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with the tower of fibrations, from the 2-page of the secondary connection on.

### 7 Study of the 2-Page

We devote this section to the generalization of Serre’s formula for the 2-page of the spectral sequence of a fibration to the context of higher spectral sequences associated with towers of $m$ fibrations. The formula is proved by Matschke [14, Theorem 5.1] in a topological framework, as we have seen in the statement of Theorem 34. Here we present a different proof in the simplicial framework we have adopted throughout this paper. The theoretical results of this section provide a simplicial version of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence, in a similar way to what happened with the ordinary Leray–Serre spectral sequence, introduced by Jean Pierre Serre in 1951 [22] and translated to a simplicial language only in 1962 by Shih Weishu [23].

The result we will prove is the following:

**Theorem 64** Consider a tower of $m$ fibrations in the simplicial framework we introduced, as in (21), and suppose that the simplicial sets $G_1, \ldots, G_{m-1}, B$ are 1-reduced. The terms of the 2-page of the associated higher spectral sequence are

$$S_n^\ast (P; m) \cong H_{p_m} (B; H_{p_m-1}(G_{m-1}; \cdots H_{p_1}(G_1; H_{p_0}(G_0))), \quad (24)$$

with $P := (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $p_0 := n - p_1 - \cdots - p_m$, and the higher spectral sequence converges to $H_\ast(E_0)$.

By virtue of the successive reductions of diagram (22) between the chain complexes $C_\ast(G_0 \times \tau_0(\cdots \times \tau_{m-2}(G_{m-1} \times \tau_{m-1} B)))$ and $C_\ast(G_0) \otimes t_0(\cdots \otimes t_{m-2}(C_\ast(G_{m-1}) \otimes t_{m-1} C_\ast(B)))$, we consider the latter for proving our results in this section. As a first step, we show that the formula (24) holds for non-twisted tensor products $C_\ast(G_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_\ast(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_\ast(B)$. Then, we generalize the results to the case of $(m + 1)$-fold twisted...
tensor products of the form $C_\ast(G_0) \otimes \tau_0 (\cdots \otimes \tau_{m-2} (C_\ast(G_{m-1}) \otimes \tau_{m-1} C_\ast(B)))$, pointing out at last why the reductions (22) guarantee that our results are valid also for the chain complex $C_\ast(G_0 \times \tau_0 (\cdots \times \tau_{m-2} G_{m-1} \times \tau_{m-1} B)))$.

Let us show that the expression (24) for the terms of the 2-page holds for non-twisted tensor products. Recall that we are considering the filtration of Definition 57, but in this case, instead of considering only the downsets $p(P; k)$, we extend the definition to all $p(P; k)$, for $1 \leq k \leq m$. This yields a valid filtration of $C_\ast := C_\ast(G_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_\ast(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_\ast(B)$, as one can easily check, since the tensor product is not twisted. This filtration $\{F_p(P; k)\}$ can be shortly defined as

$$F_{p(P; k)} C_n := \bigoplus_{i_0+i_1+\cdots+i_m = n, (i_1,\ldots,i_m) \in p(P; k)} C_{i_0}(G_0) \otimes C_{i_1}(G_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{i_{m-1}}(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_{i_m}(B),$$

and clearly we have, as usual, a higher spectral sequence associated with it. Even if the next result is included in [8], we provide here a sketch of the proof for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 65** Let $C_\ast(G_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_\ast(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_\ast(B)$ be a (non-twisted) tensor product of chain complexes; consider the filtration $\{F_p(P; k)\}$ introduced in (25) and the associated higher spectral sequence. Then the terms of the 2-page can be expressed as in (24).

**Proof** Consider the homology groups of the form $H_n(F_p(P; 1)/F_s(P; 1))$, introduced in Sect. 3 as the terms $S_n(P; 1)$ forming the 1-page of the higher spectral sequence, where $F_p(P; 1)/F_s(P; 1)$ denotes a quotient of the chain complex $C_\ast(G_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_\ast(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_\ast(B)$. Recall from (7) that $s(P; 1) := p(P; 1) \setminus \{P\}$. As the set difference between the two posets $p(P; 1)$ and $s(P; 1)$ contains only $P := (p_1,\ldots,p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, the homology group $H_n(F_p(P; 1)/F_s(P; 1))$ is isomorphic to the $n$-homology of

$$C_{\ast-p_1-\cdots-p_m}(G_0) \otimes C_{p_1}(G_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{p_{m-1}}(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_{p_m}(B),$$

that is, by virtue of the Universal Coefficient Theorem (see for example [13, Theorem 11.1]),

$$H_{p_0}(G_0) \otimes C_{p_1}(G_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{p_{m-1}}(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_{p_m}(B),$$

with $p_0 := n - p_1 - \cdots - p_m$. Recall now Lemma 32 and note that taking homology in direction $-e_k$ corresponds to taking homology with respect to the differential $d_{G_i} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Id}$. For example, the homology in direction $-e_1$ of (26) is the $p_1$-homology of the chain complex

$$H_{p_0}(G_0) \otimes C_\ast(G_1) \otimes C_{p_2}(G_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{p_{m-1}}(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_{p_m}(B),$$

which is (applying again the Universal Coefficient Theorem)

$$H_{p_1}(G_1; H_{p_0}(G_0)) \otimes C_{p_2}(G_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{p_{m-1}}(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_{p_m}(B).$$
It is now evident that iterating this argument \( m \) times one obtains the formula (24) for the terms of the 2-page. \( \square \)

Now we want to illustrate how the previous result can be used to study the case of twisted tensor products of chain complexes.

**Proposition 66** Let \((C_*, d)\) and \((C_*, d')\) be two chain complexes having the same underlying graded abelian group \(C_*,\) but different differentials \(d\) and \(d'.\) Suppose furthermore that \(\{F_i\}_{i \in I}\) is an \(I\)-filtration (of chain complexes) for both \((C_*, d)\) and \((C_*, d'),\) and denote the associated spectral systems with the letters \(S\) and \(S',\) respectively. Let \(\delta := d' - d.\) If, for a 4-tuple of indices \(z \leq s \leq p \leq b\) in \(I,\) the conditions

\[
\delta(F_p) \subseteq F_z \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(F_b) \subseteq F_s
\]

hold, then \(S[z, s, p, b] \cong S'[z, s, p, b].\)

**Proof** It follows from the definition (3) of the terms of a spectral system as quotient modules. \( \square \)

Notice that in the conditions (27) the involved indices are paired together in a different way from (6) of Theorem 24.

The next result concerns filtrations of the form \(\{F_{p(P;m)}\}\) and terms of the type \(S(P; m)\) and \(S^*(P; m),\) defined as in (8) of Sect. 3.

**Corollary 67** In the situation of Proposition 66, suppose that the filtration of both \((C_*, d)\) and \((C_*, d')\) is of the form \(\{F_{p(P;m)}\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^m}.\) If \(\delta := d' - d\) is such that

\[
\delta(F_{p(P;m)}) \subseteq F_{p(p+e_{m-1}-2e_m;m)}
\]

for \(P := (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m,\) then we have isomorphisms \(S^*(P; m) \cong S'^*(P; m)\) and \(S(P; m) \cong S'(P; m).\)

**Proof** The condition (28) ensures that the inclusions (27) are satisfied for the indices of terms of the form \(S^*(P; m)\) and \(S(P; m),\) as one can easily see recalling (7) and (8). \( \square \)

Now we only need to prove that the two differentials of the non-twisted tensor product \(C_*(G_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_*(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_*(B)\) and of the twisted tensor product \(C_*(G_0) \otimes_{t_0} (\cdots \otimes_{t_{m-2}} (C_*(G_{m-1}) \otimes_{t_{m-1}} C_*(B))))\) behave as stated in the hypothesis of Corollary 67. Notice that now we are considering a filtration of the form \(\{F_{p(P;m)}\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^m},\) since \(\{F_{p(P;k)}\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^m, 1 \leq k \leq m}\) (as defined before in this section) in general is not a valid filtration for the twisted tensor product of chain complexes, as emerges from the results of the previous sections and more intuitively from Fig. 6 (for the case \(m = 2).\)

**Proposition 68** Consider the chain complexes \(C_*(G_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes C_*(G_{m-1}) \otimes C_*(B)\) and \(C_*(G_0) \otimes_{t_0} (\cdots \otimes_{t_{m-2}} (C_*(G_{m-1}) \otimes_{t_{m-1}} C_*(B))))\), with the filtration \(\{F_{p(P;m)}\}\) defined before. Let \(\delta := d' - d\) denote the difference between the two differentials.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{ Springer }
\end{array}
\]
that is, the perturbation associated with the \((m + 1)\)-fold twisted tensor product. Then (28) holds, for all \(P := (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m\); we have therefore isomorphisms \(S^*(P; m) \cong S^*(P; m)\) and \(S(P; m) \cong S(P; m)\), for all \(P := (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m\).

**Proof** The case for \(m = 2\) has already been detailed in the proof of Proposition 41; see also Fig. 6. In order to prove the general case, it is sufficient to iterate the argument presented therein.

**Remark 69** Proposition 68 says that also for the terms of the page \(\{S(P; m)\}\) immediately preceding the 2-page \(\{S^*(P; m)\}\) in the secondary connection (see Sect. 3) we have isomorphisms when comparing the higher spectral sequences associated with the twisted and non-twisted tensor product of chain complexes. Nevertheless, we saw in Sect. 6 that the vertical reductions of (22) are well-behaved (that is, they give isomorphic terms) only from the 2-page on. Therefore, keeping in mind that the higher spectral sequence we are considering is originally defined on the chain complex \(C_*(G_0 \times \tau_0 \left(\cdots \times \tau_{m-2} (G_{m-1} \times \tau_{m-1} B)\right))\), we cannot give an explicit formula for the terms of the page \(\{S(P; m)\}\), but only for the 2-page \(\{S^*(P; m)\}\).

In summation, the results and considerations of the present section provide a proof of Theorem 64, along with an explanation of the reason why the 2-page is the only page of the secondary connection for which the presented arguments give an explicit formula.

### 8 Examples and Computations

The algorithms presented in Sects. 5 and 6 have been implemented as a new module for the Kenzo system to compute higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences associated with towers of fibrations. The module enhances the previous module for computing generalized spectral sequences developed in [9]. All the programs are available at https://github.com/ana-romero/Kenzo-external-modules. In this section we present two different examples of application of our programs.

#### 8.1 Whitehead Tower of the Sphere \(S^3\)

As a first example of application of our programs for computing higher Leray–Serre spectral sequences of towers of fibrations, we consider the first stages of the Whitehead tower [10,17,20] for determining the homotopy groups of the sphere \(S^3\), given by the following tower of fibrations:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G := K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 3) & \longrightarrow & E \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M := K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) & \longrightarrow & N \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B := S^3 & & \\
\end{array}
\]
The sphere $S^3$ is represented in Kenzo as a simplicial set of finite type, with only two non-degenerate simplices: one simplex (the base point) in dimension 0 and another simplex in dimension 3. In other words, the model chosen for the 3-sphere can be seen as the quotient $\Delta^3/\partial\Delta^3$. Let us observe that this model of $S^3$ is not a Kan complex. Eilenberg–MacLane spaces $K(\pi, n)$'s are represented in Kenzo by means of the classifying space constructor (see [16] for details). In particular, if the group $\pi$ is not finite (for instance $\mathbb{Z}$), then the set of $m$-simplices of $K(\pi, n)$ for every $m \geq n$ is infinite and hence $K(\pi, n)$ is of infinite type. This model of Eilenberg–MacLane spaces provides simplicial groups, which satisfy the Kan property.

The simplicial set $N$ can be seen then as a twisted Cartesian product $N := K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times_{\tau_1} S^3$ and the simplicial set $E$ as $E := K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 3) \times_{\tau_0} N = K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 3) \times_{\tau_0} (K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times_{\tau_1} S^3)$, for twisting operators $\tau_0, \tau_1$ suitably defined [20]. One can separately consider the ordinary Leray–Serre spectral sequences of the two fibrations (respectively converging to the homology of $N$ and $E$), whose 2-pages $\{E^2_{p,q}\}$ are represented in Fig. 12. The groups of the first (left) Leray–Serre spectral sequence are given by the formula $E^2_{p,q} \cong H_p(S^3; H_q(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)))$; those of the second (right) spectral sequence satisfy $E^2_{p,q} \cong H_p(N; H_q(K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 3)))$. For a description of the homology groups of $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 3)$, see [5, §23] or [3, §C.2]. Let us observe that, in the second spectral sequence, for total degree $n = p + q = 5$ we obtain in this case only one non-null group $E^2_{0,5} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, which correspond to $\pi_5(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

These fibrations are built in Kenzo with the following statements.

```lisp
> (progn
  (setf B (sphere 3))
  (setf k1 (chml-clss B 3))
  (setf t1 (z-whitehead B k1))
  (setf N (fibration-total t1))
  (setf k0 (chml-clss N 4))
  (setf t0 (z2-whitehead N k0))
  (setf E (fibration-total t0)))
```

![Fig. 12](image-url) Pages $\{E^2_{p,q}\}$ of the Leray–Serre spectral sequences of the fibrations $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \to N \to S^3$ (left) and $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 3) \to E \to N$ (right), represented up to total degree $n = p + q = 7$. 

(K298 Simplicial-Set)
The result is the simplicial set $K_{298}$, corresponding to the total space $E$. The simplicial set $E$ is not of finite type, since one of the factors of the twisted Cartesian product, namely $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$, is of infinite type. Therefore, as we mentioned in Sect. 2.3, it is not possible to apply standard algorithms based on matrix operations for computing homology groups, so one cannot directly compute the associated higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence. However, Kenzo automatically computes the effective homology of the object, given by an equivalence which is stored in the slot `efhm` and can be accessed as follows.

```
> (efhm E)
[K608 Homotopy-Equivalence K_{298} <= K_{598} => K_{594}]
```

Now, in order to determine the associated higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence, we filter the chain complex $C_\ast(E)$ canonically associated with the space $E$ by means of the generalized filtration introduced in Definition 36.

```
>(setf Ef (change-chcm-to-gflcc E (dz2) crpr2-gflin 'crpr2-gflin))
[K611 Generalized-Filtered-Chain-Complex]
```

In a similar way, the effective chain complex must be filtered by means of the generalized filtration introduced in Definition 37.

```
>(setf Ef (change-chcm-to-gflcc E (dz2) crpr2-gflin 'crpr2-gflin))
[K611 Generalized-Filtered-Chain-Complex]
```

By virtue of our results presented in Sects. 5 and 6, we know that all the corresponding terms of the higher spectral sequences of both chain complexes are isomorphic from the 2-page on. In this way, using our algorithms we can determine the terms of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence of the total space $E$ (of infinite type) by computing the corresponding terms of the higher spectral sequence of the associated effective chain complex. To this aim, we use the function `gen-spsq-group` (which receives the filtered chain complex, four elements $z \leq s \leq p \leq b$ in the poset of indices and the total degree $n$), and in particular the 2-page $\{S^a(P; 2)\}$ can be determined in an easy way by means of the function `e2-gspsq-group` (which inputs the filtered chain complex, the point $P = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and the total degree $n$).

For instance, the groups of the 2-page which are non-null for total degree $n = 5$ are the following:

```
> (e2-gspsq-group E '(0 0) 5)
Generalized spectral sequence $S\{((1 -2)),((1 -1)), ((0 0)),((0 1) (1 0))\}_{5}$
Component $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
```

```
> (e2-gspsq-group E '(2 0) 5)
Generalized spectral sequence $S\{((0 0) (1 -1) (3 -2)),((0 1) (3 -1)), ((0 1) (2 0)),((0 2) (2 1) (3 0))\}_{5}$
Component $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
```

```
> (e2-gspsq-group E '(2 3) 5)
Generalized spectral sequence $S\{((0 3) (1 2) (3 1) (4 0)),((0 4) (1 3) (3 2) (4 1))((0 4) (2 3) (3 2) (4 1) (5 0)),((0 5) (2 4) (3 3) (4 2) (5 1) (6 0))\}_{5}$
Component $\mathbb{Z}$
```
Notice that the downsets $z, s, p, b$ indexing each term are stored as lists of points: each list of points in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ represents the smallest downset of $D(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ containing those points. Let us also observe that the obtained groups satisfy the formula $S_n^z(P; 2) \cong H_{p_2}(B; H_{p_1}(M; H_{n-p_1-p_2}(G)))$ of Theorem 64.

As mentioned in Sect. 3, the “following” terms of the higher spectral sequence allow to connect the 2-page of the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence to the homology $H^*(E)$ in many possible ways; in particular we have provided functions computing lexicographic connections, whose terms have indices $z, s, p, b$ which can be defined by giving a point $P \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and another parameter $Q \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, called offset (see [14] for details). The function `lexcon-gspsq-group` inputs the filtered chain complex, the point $P = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the offset $Q = (q_1, q_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and the total degree $n$.

```lisp
> (lexcon-gspsq-group E '(0 0) '(1 1) 5)
Generalized spectral sequence $S[((0 -3)),((1 -1)),((0 0)), ((1 2) (2 1) (3 0))]_{5}
Component $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
```

```lisp
> (lexcon-gspsq-group E '(2 0) '(1 1) 5)
Generalized spectral sequence $S[((0 0) (2 -3)),((0 1) (1 0) (3 -1)), ((0 1) (2 0)), ((0 4) (1 3) (3 2) (4 1) (5 0))]_{5}
NIL
```

```lisp
> (lexcon-gspsq-group E '(2 3) '(1 1) 5)
Generalized spectral sequence $S[((0 1) (2 0)),((0 4) (1 3) (3 2) (4 1) (5 0)), ((0 4) (2 3) (3 2) (4 1) (5 0)))]_{5}
NIL
```

Let us observe that, although we have seen before that in the 2-page of the higher spectral sequence there are three non-null terms of total degree $n = 5$, in the next page, computed with offset $Q = (1, 1)$, only one of these groups survives, namely $S^z_5(P; 2) \cong H_0(B; H_0(M; H_5(G)))$, corresponding to the point $P = (0, 0)$.

We can also determine the “final” groups of the higher spectral sequence, which intuitively are the ones that survive at the end of the lexicographic connection. In this case, for total degree $n = 5$ we obtain exactly one non-null group, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which corresponds again to $S^z_5(P; 2) \cong H_0(B; H_0(M; H_5(G)))$ and reproduces the well-known result $\pi_5(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

```lisp
> (final-gspsq-group E 5)
Generalized spectral sequence $S[((-1 -1)),((-1 -1)),((0 9) (1 8)
(2 7) (3 6) (5 5) (6 4) (7 3) (8 2) (9 1) (10 0)), ((0 9) (1 8) (2 7)
(3 6) (5 5) (6 4) (7 3) (8 2) (9 1) (10 0))]_{5}
Component $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
```

The same programs can be applied to a larger number of fibrations. For instance, if we consider the next fibration of the Whitehead tower, namely $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4) \to X \to E$, we can determine for example the term of the 2-page of the higher spectral sequence corresponding to $P = (0, 6, 0)$, which turns out to be $\mathbb{Z}$. We omit the indices $z, s, p, b$ in the outputs.

```lisp
> (e2-gspsq-group X '(0 6 0) 6)
Generalized spectral sequence $S[...]]_{6}
Component $\mathbb{Z}
```
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However, the following term in the lexicographic connection with offset $Q = (1, 1, 1)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_3$; this represents a step of the convergence to the final group of the higher spectral sequence, which corresponds to the well-known result $\pi_6(S^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$.

As an indication of the efficiency of the algorithms, the last computation required 12 s on a normal laptop (Intel Core i5-8250U, 8Gb RAM). However, the algorithms producing the effective homology of a tower of fibrations in Kenzo have exponential complexity in the number of generators, so that the computation of the higher spectral sequences and homotopy groups are limited to relatively low dimensions (for instance, on the same laptop, Kenzo is able to determine the homotopy groups of $S^3$ up to dimension 7).

As a comparison with the computation of various subsequent spectral sequences, let us remark that the computation of all terms of the 2-page of the higher spectral sequence for degree $n = 6$ (84 groups) took 2 min and 14 s, while the computation of the groups $E^{2}_{p,q}$ of the three Leray–Serre spectral sequences corresponding to the three fibrations (21 groups) took only 6 seconds. This increase in computational cost for the higher spectral sequence is due to the fact that computing the groups of Definition 4 is slower when the indices are elements in a poset like $\mathbb{Z}^m$ or $D(\mathbb{Z}^m)$, with $m > 1$.

8.2 Effective Example

Consider now a second example of tower of fibrations, given by the first stages of the Whitehead tower of the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 2)$:

\[
\begin{align*}
G_0 & := K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 5) \longrightarrow X_5 \\
G_1 & := K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4) \longrightarrow X_4 \\
G_2 & := K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 3) \longrightarrow X_3 \\
B & := K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 2)
\end{align*}
\]

In this case all the associated chain complexes are of finite type (effective), so that we can determine the higher spectral sequence without using the effective homology method. However, due to efficiency problems, it is better to use the effective homology of the space $X_5 \cong K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 5) \times_{\tau_0} (K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 4) \times_{\tau_1} (K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 3) \times_{\tau_2} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 2))),$ which is obtained via the composition of several reductions as in diagram (22).
In accordance with Theorem 51, we have used our programs to verify (up to total degree \( n = 3 \)) that the terms of the 2-page \( \{S^*_n(P; 3)\} \) computed with the two methods coincide. The only non-null terms we obtained (with both methods) are the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
(0 0 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\text{NIL,NIL,((0 0 0)),((0 0 1) (0 1 0) (1 0 0))}]_{(0)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z} \\
(0 0 2) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\{((0 1 0) (1 1 0) (2 0 0)),((0 0 2) (0 1 1) (0 2 0) (1 0 1) (2 0 0)), ((0 0 3) (0 1 2) (0 2 1) (0 3 0) (1 0 2) (1 1 1) (2 1 0) (2 2 0) (3 0 0))\}]_{(2)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 3 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\{((1 0 1) (1 1 0) (2 0 0)), ((1 0 2) (1 1 1) (1 2 0) (2 0 1) (2 1 0) (3 0 0)), ((0 3 0) (1 0 2) (1 1 2) (1 2 1) (1 3 0) (2 0 2) (2 1 1) (2 2 0) (3 0 1) (3 1 0) (4 0 0))\}]_{(3)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(4 0 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(4)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \\
(4 0 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(4)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 0 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(5)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 0 5) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(5)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 3 2) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(5)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 5 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(5)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where the triple of integers at the beginning of a line represents the point \( P = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \) for which we are computing the term \( S^*_n(P; 3) \).

Using effective homology we were able to efficiently compute the remaining non-null terms up to total degree \( n = 5 \) (we omit again the four indices \( z, s, p, b \) in the outputs):

\[
\begin{align*}
(0 0 4) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(4)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \\
(4 0 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(4)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 0 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(5)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 0 5) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(5)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 3 2) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(5)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
(0 5 0) & \text{ Generalized spectral sequence } S[\ldots]_{(5)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\
\end{align*}
\]

We can now show with an example that, for the terms of the pages preceding the 2-page, one does not necessarily obtain isomorphic results using the two methods. For example, we found out that the term \( S_3(P; 1) \) with \( P = (0, 0, 3) \) of the 1-page is different if computed directly:

\[
\begin{align*}
> & (el-eff-gspsq-group X5 '(0 0 3) 3) \\
\text{Generalized spectral sequence } & S[\ldots]_{(3)} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z} \\
\text{Component } & \mathbb{Z} \\
\end{align*}
\]
or using effective homology

```lisp
> (el-eff-gspsq-group effX5 '(0 0 3) 3)
Generalized spectral sequence S[...]_(3)
Component Z
```

9 Conclusions

In this work we introduced specific methods to compute the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence associated with a tower of fibrations. The programs we developed allow to determine terms and differential maps of a higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence, and have been released as a new module for the Computer Algebra system Kenzo. By virtue of the effective homology technique, our programs are able to handle objects of infinite type, and can therefore be employed in a wide variety of situations arising in algebraic topology. A first fundamental step towards making the higher Leray–Serre spectral sequence computable via algorithms was to rephrase its construction in a simplicial framework; in this paper, we include some relevant theoretical results on this simplicial version of the construction.

We are convinced that some of the methods we introduced in this work can be adapted to compute other relevant spectral systems and higher spectral sequences described in [14], such as the Adams–Novikov and Eilenberg–Moore spectral systems or interesting instances of the higher Grothendieck spectral sequence, and we believe this represents an interesting direction for future research.
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