h corrections in semiclassical formulas for smooth chaotic dynamics
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The validity of semiclassical expansions in the power of \( \hbar \) for the quantum Green’s function have been extensively tested for billiards systems, but in the case of chaotic dynamics with smooth potential, even if formula are existing, a quantitative comparison is still missing. In this paper, extending the theory developed by Gaspard et al., Adv. Chem. Phys. XC 105 (1995), based on the classical Green’s functions, we present an efficient method allowing the calculation of \( \hbar \) corrections for the propagator, the quantum Green’s function, and their traces. Especially, we show that the previously published expressions for \( \hbar \) corrections to the traces are incomplete.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Gutzwiller’s work has now become a milestone in the understanding of the properties of a quantum system whose classical counterpart depicts chaotic dynamics \([1]\). Starting from Feynman’s path formulation of quantum mechanics, he has been able to complete the early studies of Van Vleck \([2]\), deriving expressions for the semiclassical propagator, and from this, for the quantum level density: the well-known Gutzwiller trace formula. The later is an asymptotic series in \( \hbar \) and can be separated into two parts: the leading order corresponds to the Thomas-Fermi (or extended Thomas-Fermi when including \( \hbar \) corrections) average density of states \([3]\); the other part corresponds to the oscillations around the preceding term and involves contributions from all periodic orbits of the system. This formula has been widely used to obtain approximate values for the quantum energy eigenvalues of classically chaotic systems: the hydrogen atom in magnetic field \([4,5]\), the helium atom \([6,7,8]\), anisotropic Kepler problem \([9]\), resonant tunnel diode \([10]\), billiards \([11,12,13]\), etc. Since then, the Gutzwiller trace formula has also been generalized to take into account contributions of other kinds: diffractive effects \([14]\), continuous families of periodic orbits \([13,14,15]\), ghost orbits, etc.

At the same time, because the trace formula as derived by Gutzwiller only contained the leading term of the asymptotic expansion of the quantum level density, the systematic expansion of the semiclassical propagator in powers of \( \hbar \) has been the purpose of several studies \([12,13,17]\). However, these corrections to the trace formula have only been tested for billiards, for which both classical and quantum properties are easier to calculate. In the present paper, we will show how, for quantum systems whose Hamiltonian separates into kinetic and smooth potential energies, \( \hbar \) corrections can be computed with great accuracy, extending the method described in Refs. \([12,13]\), based on classical Green’s functions. Especially, we will show that the previous derivation \([12,13]\) of the correction to Gutzwiller trace formula is partially wrong.

From a numerical point of view, all quantities involved in the calculation of the \( \hbar \) corrections for a given classical path can be obtained as solutions of sets of first order differential equations to be integrated along this path using standard time integrators like the Runge-Kutta method. The number of equations in these sets can be quite large and can be probably reduced with a deeper analysis of their structures, in the same way that the amplitude in the Gutzwiller trace formula for a two-dimensional (2D) system can be obtained by integrating only a \((2 \times 2)\) matrix and not the whole monodromy matrix \([18]\). However, it would give rise to more complicated expressions and probably to additional difficulties in the numerical implementation, whereas the expressions given in the paper can be put in the computer as they stand. Also, the amount of CPU time and the memory needed by the codes are small enough, so that, on a first stage, the reduction of the number of equations can be skipped.

The paper is divided as follows: in Sec. II, after a brief description of the derivation of \( \hbar \) corrections for the propagator \( K(q,q_0,T) \), we explain how the classical Green’s functions and \( \hbar \) corrections can be efficiently computed. In Sec. III, we explain how to obtain expression of the additional terms, arising from the time to energy domain transformation, in \( \hbar \) corrections for the quantum Green’s function \( G(q,q_0,T) \). In the case of the trace of the propagator, a detailed derivation of the \( \hbar \) corrections is carried out in Sec. IV, leading to the proper formulas, along with the way they can be computed. The time to energy transformation is explained in Sec. V, leading to the \( \hbar \) correction expression in the case of the quantum Green’s function. Finally, section VI shows how to apply theoretical expressions obtained in the four preceding sections in the case of the 2D hydrogen in magnetic field and emphasizes the excellent agreement with numerical coefficients extracted from exact quantum calculation, using

*Electronic address: Benoit.Gremaud@spectro.jussieu.fr
II. THE PROPAGATOR $K(q, q_0, T)$

A. Feynman path integral

The starting point is the Feynman path integral, whose discrete version, for a time independent Hamiltonian which separates into kinetic and potential energies, $\hat{H} = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q)$, reads as follows \[13\]:

$$K(q, q_0, T) = \int dq_1 dq_2 \cdots dq_{N-1} (2\pi i\hbar \Delta t)^{-N/2} \times \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta_{n} \left( \frac{q_{n+1} - q_{n}}{\Delta t} - \frac{q_{n} - q_{n-1}}{\Delta t} \right) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Delta t = T/N$, $q_{N} = q$ and $L(q, q)$ is the classical Lagrangian.

For small values of $\hbar$ (i.e., the semiclassical limit), using the stationary phase approximation, all preceding integrals are expanded around the stationary solutions, that is the classical orbits $q_i^0(t)$ going from $q_0$ to $q$ during time $T$, each of them thus giving a contribution $K_i(q, q_0, T)$ to the propagator, whose final expression reads formally as follows \[13\]:

$$K_i(q, q_0, T) = K_i^{(0)}(q, q_0, T) \{ 1 + ih C_i(q, q_0, T) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \}, \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $K_i^{(0)}(q, q_0, T)$ is the dominant semiclassical contribution to the propagator $K(q, q_0, T)$:

$$K_i^{(0)}(q, q_0, T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i\hbar)^{f/2}} \left| \det \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q \partial q_0} W_i^{cl}(q, q_0, T) \right) \right|^{1/2} \times \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} W_i^{cl}(q, q_0, T) - i \pi \frac{\nu_i}{2} \right], \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $W_i^{cl}(q, q_0, T)$ is the classical action and $\nu_i$ is the Morse index of the orbit. The $C_i(q, q_0, T)$ expression is given by \[13\]:

$$\frac{1}{8} \int_0^T dt V^{(4)}_{ijkl}(t) \mathcal{G}_{ijkl}(t, t) \mathcal{G}_{ijkl}(t, t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{24} \int_0^T dt dt' V^{(3)}_{ijk}(t) V^{(3)}_{mnm}(t')$$

$$\times \left[ 3 \mathcal{G}_{ijk}(t, t') \mathcal{G}_{klm}(t, t') \mathcal{G}_{mn}(t, t') + 2 \mathcal{G}_{ijkl}(t, t') \mathcal{G}_{jkm}(t, t') \mathcal{G}_{ln}(t, t') \right], \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where the $V^{(n)}(t)$ are higher-order derivatives of the potential $V$, evaluated at $q_i^0(t)$.

The classical Green’s function $\mathcal{G}(t, t')$, associated with the classical orbit, is an $(f \times f)$ matrix solution of the following equation \[13\]:

$$\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathcal{G}(t, t') = \mathbb{1} \delta(t - t'),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the Jacobi-Hill operator, controlling the linear stability around the classical orbit in the configuration space \[13\]:

$$\mathcal{D} = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial q \partial q} [q^0(t)]. \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

Furthermore, the fact that both initial and final point are fixed in the propagator $K(q, q_0, T)$ imposes the following boundary conditions on the classical Green’s function \[13\]:

$$\mathcal{G}(0, t') = \mathcal{G}(T, t') = 0 \hspace{1cm} \forall t' \in [0, T]. \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

B. Classical Green’s function

If $q_i(T)$ is a conjugate point of $q_0$, then the determinant $\det (-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q \partial q_0} W_i^{cl})$ in formula \[3\] is formally infinite, but this happens only for restricted values of $T$, so that, in this section, we will focus on the general case, for which $q_i(T)$ and $q_0$ are not conjugate points.

Apart from $t = t'$, $\mathcal{G}(t, t')$ obeying the homogeneous Jacobi-Hill equation $\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$, so that, introducing the notations

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_-(t, t') = \mathcal{G}(t, t') & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t', \\ \mathcal{G}_+(t, t') = \mathcal{G}(t, t') & \text{for } t' \leq t \leq T, \end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

one immediately obtains

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_-(t, t') \\ \mathcal{G}_+(t, t') \end{pmatrix} = M(t) \begin{pmatrix} A_+(t') \\ B_+(t') \end{pmatrix},$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $M(t)$ is the $(2f \times 2f)$ monodromy matrix, depicting the linear stability around the classical orbit in the phase space. $A_\pm$ and $B_\pm$ are four $(f \times f)$ matrices, whose values are determined from the boundary conditions at time $t = t'$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_+(t', t') - \mathcal{G}_-(t', t') = 0, \\ \frac{d\mathcal{G}_-(t', t')}{dt} - \frac{d\mathcal{G}_+(t', t')}{dt} = \mathbb{1} \end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

and at times $t = 0$ and $t = T$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_-(0, t') = 0, \\ \mathcal{G}_+(T, t') = 0. \end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

For a Hamiltonian which separates between kinetic and potential energy $H = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q)$, $M(t)$ has the following simple structure:

$$M(t) = \begin{pmatrix} J_2(t) & J_1(t) \\ \dot{J}_2(t) & \dot{J}_1(t) \end{pmatrix},$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)
which leads us to the following explicit expressions for the four matrices $A_\pm$ and $B_\pm$:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_-(t') &= J_1^{\top}(t') - J_1^{-1}(T)J_2(T)J_1^{\top}(t'), \\
B_-(t') &= J_1^{-1}(T)J_2(T), \\
A_+(t') &= -J_1^{-1}(T)J_2(T)J_1^{\top}(t'), \\
B_+(t') &= 0,
\end{align*}
\] (13)

provided that $J_1^{-1}(T)$ is invertible. $J_1(T)$ being the upper right ($f \times f$) submatrix of the matrix $M$, gives the linear displacement of the final position for a change in the initial position (the initial position being fixed to $q_0$), i.e., $\delta q(T) = J_1(T)\delta p_0$. Thus, $J_1(T)$ is the inverse matrix of $(-\partial_{q_{\mu}}^2 W(t'))$ which has been supposed to be invertible ($q(T)$ and $q_0$ are not conjugate points). Finally the full expression for the classical Green’s function reads:

\[
G(t, t') = \begin{cases} 
J_1(t) & \left[ J_2^{\top}(t') - J_1^{-1}(T)J_2(T)J_1^{\top}(t') \right] \\
& \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t', \\
& \left[ J_2(t) - J_1(t)J_1^{-1}(T)J_2(T) \right] J_1^{\top}(t') \\
& \text{for } t' \leq t \leq T.
\end{cases}
\] (14)

Using the symplectic structure of $M(T)$, one can show that

\[
G(t', t) = G^{\top}(t, t')
\] (15)

as expected because the operator $D$ and the boundary conditions are symmetric as it explicitly appears in the discrete version of the problem (see Ref. [14]). This is also emphasized in Fig. 1 where the four matrix elements of a classical Green’s function $G(t, t')$ (for $t'/T = 0.6$) are plotted with respect to time $t$. This example corresponds to a classical orbit of the 2D hydrogen atom in a magnetic field having initial and final points on the nucleus, namely, the closed orbit having code 0— and whose trajectory in $(u, v)$ coordinates is also shown in the figure. (see Sec. V for all details.) As expected, the Green’s function vanishes at initial and final times (i.e., $G(0, t') = G(T, t') = 0$) and for $t = t'$, the derivatives of each diagonal elements $G_{11}(t', t')$ (continuous line) and $G_{22}(t', t')$ (long dashed line) are discontinuous whereas, from property (14), the two off-diagonal elements are equal (dotted and dashed lines).

C. Getting $C_1(q, q_0, T)$ by integrating a set of first order differential equations

From Eq. (4), there are three contributions to $C_1(q, q_0, T)$, namely:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_1(T) &= \int_0^T dt \, V^{(4)}_{ijkl}(t) G_{ij}(t, t) G_{kl}(t, t), \\
I_2^+(T) &= \int_0^T dt \int_0^T dt' \, V^{(3)}_{ijkl}(t) V^{(3)}_{ilmn}(t') G_{ij}(t, t') G_{kl}(t, t') G_{mn}(t', t'), \\
I_2^-(T) &= \int_0^T dt \int_0^T dt' \, V^{(3)}_{ijkl}(t) V^{(3)}_{ilmn}(t') G_{ij}(t, t') G_{kl}(t, t') G_{kn}(t, t').
\end{align*}
\] (16)

Even if, in principle, one can compute $G(t, t')$ for any $(t, t')$ values using Eq. (14), direct evaluation of the double integrals $I_2^\pm$ would be time consuming and numerically inefficient using standard integration routines, especially because, from its definition, $G(t, t')$ is not a smooth function around the line $t = t'$. In what follows, we will show that the preceding integrals can be transformed in way such that their values can be obtained integrating a set of first order differential equations along the classical orbit, in the same way that, for example, the monodromy matrix $M(T)$ can be computed.

Separating $t > t'$ and $t < t'$ contributions in $I_2^\pm$, using symmetry property (14) of $G(t, t')$ and that the matrix $V^{(3)}$ is fully symmetric under index permutations, one gets, after straightforward algebra,
Figure 1: Example of a classical Green’s function $G(t, t')$ involved in the calculation of the $\hbar$ corrections for the propagator $K(q, q_0, T)$, for the case $q = q_0 = 0$. It is associated with the closed orbit $1234$ of the 2D hydrogen atom in magnetic field, whose trajectory in $(u, v)$ coordinates is inserted in the plot (see Sec. VI for all details). This trajectory starts and ends at the nucleus, depicted by the black circle. Each curve corresponds to a matrix element $G_{ij}(t, t')$ plotted with respect to time $t$, for $t'/T = 0.6$. As expected from boundary conditions (3), the Green’s function vanishes at initial and final times (i.e. $G(0, t') = G(T, t') = 0$) and for $t = t'$, the derivatives of diagonal elements, $G_{11}(t', t')$ (continuous line) and $G_{22}(t', t')$ (long dashed line), are discontinuous whereas, from symmetry property (13) (i.e., $G^T(t, t') = G(t', t)$), the two off-diagonal elements are equal (dotted and dashed lines).

\[
I^+_2(T) = 2 \int_0^T dt' \int_0^T dt' V_{ij}(t) V_{lmn}(t') G_{ij}(t, t') G_{kl}(t, t') G_{mn}(t', t'),
\]

\[
I^-_2(T) = 2 \int_0^T dt' \int_0^T dt' V_{ij}(t) V_{lmn}(t') G_{kl}(t, t') G_{jn}(t, t') G_{kn}(t', t').
\]

(17)

In the preceding expressions the Green’s function $G(t, t')$ is used only for $(t, t')$ values in the triangle $0 \leq t' \leq t \leq T$ and is formally written $G(t, t') = B^T(t) J_0(t')$ (see Eq. (14)), thus separating $t$ and $t'$ contributions:

\[
I^+_2(T) = 2 \int_0^T dt V_{ij}(t) G_{ij}(t, t') B_{pk}(t)
\]

\[
\times \int_0^T dt' V_{lmn}(t') J_1(t') G_{mn}(t', t'),
\]

\[
I^-_2(T) = 2 \int_0^T dt V_{ij}(t) B_{pk}(t) B_{qj}(t) B_{rk}(t)
\]

\[
\times \int_0^T dt' V_{lmn}(t') J_1(t') J_1(t') J_1(t') J_1(t') J_1(t').
\]

(18)

This leads us to introduce two intermediate quantities, namely, $P_p(t)$ and $Q_{pqr}(t)$ (for $p, q$ and $r$ running from 1 to $f$):

\[
P_p(t) = \int_0^T dt' V_{lmn}(t') J_{1lp}(t') G_{mn}(t', t'),
\]

\[
Q_{pqr}(t) = \int_0^T dt' V_{lmn}(t') J_{1lp}(t') J_{1mq}(t') J_{1nr}(t')
\]

(19)

in a way such that $I^+_2(T)$ (and $I^-_1(T)$) are solutions of the following set of differential equations (besides equations
of the preceding differential set is probably not minimal and could be reduced by a deeper analysis of the structure of these equations. However, it allows a fast and easy computation of the correction $C_1(q, q_0, T)$:

- Find a trajectory going from $q_0$ to $q$ in time $T$;
- Integrate the differential set for $X(t)$ and $M(t)$ along the trajectory to obtain the quantity $J_i^{-1}(T)J_2(T)$;
- Integrate the set of Eqs. (21) along the trajectory to get the three quantities $I_1$, $I_2^+$, entering in the $C_1(q, q_0, T)$ expression.

### III. THE GREEN’S FUNCTION $G(q, q_0, E)$

#### A. Going from time to energy domain

since the quantum Green’s function $G(q, q_0, E)$ is related to the propagator $K(q, q_0, T)$, through a semi-sidereal Fourier transform, this relation also holds between semi-classical contributions arising from each classical orbit, more precisely,

$$G_i(q, q_0, E) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^{+\infty} dT \exp \left( i \frac{E}{\hbar} T \right) K_i(q, q_0, T).$$

(21)

Again, a stationary phase approximation is used to perform the integral, which, for a given trajectory going from $q_0$ to $q$, selects its total duration $T_0$ such that the classical motion is made at energy $E$. This operation also gives rise to additional terms in $h$ corrections, to be summed with $C_1(q, q_0, T)$, and whose explicit expressions can be derived starting from Eq. (4) formally written as follows [3]:

$$K_i(q, q_0, T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i\hbar)^{1/2}} \exp \left[ i \frac{W_i(q, q_0, T)}{\hbar} - \frac{i\pi}{2} \nu_i \right] + C_0(q, q_0, T) + i\hbar C_1(q, q_0, T).$$

(22)

$C_0(q, q_0, T)$ being thus the (logarithm of) usual semi-classical amplitude. Then $W_i(q, q_0, T)$ and $C_1(q, q_0, T)$ are systematically expanded around $T_0$:

$$W_i(q, q_0, T) = W_i^{(0)} + \delta T W_i^{(1)} + \frac{\delta T^2}{2} W_i^{(2)} \quad + \frac{\delta T^3}{6} W_i^{(3)} + \frac{\delta T^4}{24} W_i^{(4)};$$

(23)

$$C_0(q, q_0, T) = C_0^{(0)} + \delta T C_0^{(1)} + \frac{\delta T^2}{2} C_0^{(2)}$$

with $\delta T = (T - T_0)$. Terms arising from $C_1(T)$ expansion would contribute only to $h^2$ correction and can be discarded. Performing the imaginary Gaussian integrals leads to the additional $h$ corrections:

$$C_i^{T \rightarrow E}(q, q_0, T_0) = \frac{1}{2W_i^{(2)}} \left[ \left( C_0^{(1)} \right)^2 + C_0^{(2)} \right]$$

$$- \frac{W_i^{(3)} C_0^{(1)}}{2 \left( W_i^{(2)} \right)^2} - \frac{W_i^{(4)}}{8 \left( W_i^{(2)} \right)^2} + \frac{5 \left( W_i^{(3)} \right)^2}{24 \left( W_i^{(2)} \right)^3}.$$  

(24)

The preceding formula is similar to the one in Ref. [3], where the authors have expressed the coefficient $C_i^{T \rightarrow E}(q, q_0, T_0)$ in terms of derivatives of amplitude and action with respect to energy $E$. The full expression of $G_i(q, q_0, E)$ is then given by

$$G_i(q, q_0, E) = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(r+1)/2}} \frac{1}{W_i^{(2)} \det J_1(T_0)^{1/2}}$$

$$\times \exp \left[ i \frac{S_i(q, q_0, E)}{2\hbar} - \frac{i\pi}{2} \nu_i \right]$$

$$\times \left\{ 1 + i\hbar \left[ C_1(q, q_0, T_0) + C_i^{T \rightarrow E}(q, q_0, T_0) \right] + O(h^3) \right\}.$$  

(25)

where $S_i(q, q_0, E)$ is the reduced action and

$$\left\{ \nu_i = \nu_i \quad \text{if} \quad W_i^{(2)} > 0, \right.$$  

$$\nu_i = \nu_i + 1 \quad \text{if} \quad W_i^{(2)} < 0. \right.$$  

(26)

#### B. Getting $C_i^{T \rightarrow E}(q, q_0, T_0)$ by integrating a set of first order differential equations

In Sec. III A, we have shown that $C_1(q, q_0, T_0)$ can be computed by integrating a set of differential equations along the classical orbit going from $q_0$ to $q$ in time $T_0$. In this section we will show that it is also true for $C_i^{T \rightarrow E}(q, q_0, T_0)$, which involves derivatives of both $W_i(q, q_0, T)$ and $\det J_1(T)$ with respect to $T$.

For all $T$, we have the following functional relation ($q_0$ and $q$ being fixed):

$$\frac{\partial W_i(q, q_0, T)}{\partial T} = -E(q, q_0, T),$$  

(27)
where $E(q, q_0, T)$ is the energy of the classical trajectory, $q(t, T)$, going from $q_0$ to $q$ in time $T$, that is, the value of the Hamiltonian $H$ at any time on the corresponding phase space trajectory $X(t, T) = (q(t, T), p(t, T))$.

Writing $T = T_0 + \delta T$, the Taylor expansion of $H(X(t, T_0 + \delta T))$ is easily deduced from the Taylor expansion of $X(t, T_0 + \delta T)$ around the reference trajectory $X(t, T_0)$ (noted hereafter as $X^{(0)}(t)$):

$$X(t, T_0 + \delta T) = X^{(0)}(t) + \delta T X^{(1)}(t) + \frac{\delta T^2}{2} X^{(2)}(t) + \frac{\delta T^3}{6} X^{(3)}(t) + \cdots \quad (28)$$

and from which one obtains the higher derivatives of the classical action $W^{(n)}_t$ at $T = T_0$:

$$
\begin{align*}
W^{(1)}_t &= -H(X^{(0)}(t)), \\
W^{(2)}_t &= -X^{(1)}(H^{(1)}), \\
W^{(3)}_t &= -\left( X^{(2)}(H^{(1)} + X^{(1)}(H^{(2)}), \\
W^{(4)}_t &= -\left( X^{(3)}(H^{(1)} + 3X^{(1)}(H^{(2)} H^{(2)})
\right. \\
&\left. + X^{(1)}(H^{(2)}(H^{(3)}(H^{(2)}),
\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where all derivatives of $H$ are evaluated at $X^{(0)}(t)$.

Equations for $X^{(n)}(t)$ are deduced from Hamilton’s equations governing $X(t, T)$ evolution:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{X}^{(1)}_i &= \Sigma_{ij} H^{(2)}(X^{(1)}_j), \\
\dot{X}^{(2)}_i &= \Sigma_{ij} H^{(2)}(X^{(2)}_j) + \Sigma_{ij} H^{(2)}(X^{(1)}_j X^{(1)}_i), \\
\dot{X}^{(3)}_i &= \Sigma_{ij} H^{(2)}(X^{(2)}_j) + 3\Sigma_{ij} H^{(3)}(X^{(1)}_j X^{(2)}_i) + X^{(1)}(H^{(2)}(X^{(3)}_m X^{(1)}_i X^{(1)}_m),
\end{align*}
$$

where again all derivatives of $H$ are evaluated at $X^{(0)}(t)$. Thus, we are facing three differential sets of the form $X^{(i)} = \Sigma H^{(2)}(X^{(i)} + \Sigma Y^{(i)}$ (i.e., nonhomogeneous linear differential equations), with the important property that the vector $Y^{(i)}$ only depends on vectors $X^{(j)}$ with $j < i$, so that they can be solved one after the other. Solutions of these nonhomogeneous linear differential equations are expressed with the monodromy matrix $M^{(0)}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{(1)}(t) &= M^{(0)}(t)X^{(1)}(0), \\
X^{(2)}(t) &= M^{(0)}(t)X^{(2)}(0) + F^{(2)}(t), \\
X^{(3)}(t) &= M^{(0)}(t)X^{(3)}(0) + F^{(3)}(t).
\end{align*}
$$

Among the $3 \times (2f)$ dimensional space of solutions given by preceding expressions, the relevant one is selected by transposing on initial values $X^{(1)}(0)$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) the two boundary conditions

$$
q(0, T_0 + \delta T) = q_0 \quad \text{and} \quad q(T_0 + \delta T, T_0 + \delta T) = q.
$$

Introducing position $q^{(i)}$ and momentum $p^{(i)}$ parts for vectors $X^{(i)}$, the Taylor expansion of the preceding equations leads to the following boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{(1)}(0) &= 0, \\
q^{(2)}(0) &= 0, \quad \text{and} \quad q^{(1)}(T_0) = -q^{(0)}(T_0), \\
q^{(2)}(T_0) &= -q^{(0)}(T_0) - 2q^{(1)}(T_0), \\
q^{(3)}(T_0) &= -q^{(0)}(T_0) - 3q^{(1)}(T_0) - 3q^{(2)}(T_0).
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the initial values $p^{(i)}(0)$ are implicitly determined by the final values $q^{(i)}(T_0)$, through the integral expressions [33], which for $X^{(1)}$ reads

$$
\begin{pmatrix} q^{(1)}(T_0) \\ p^{(1)}(T_0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J_2(T_0) & J_1(T_0) \\ J_2(T_0) & J_1(T_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p^{(1)}(0) \end{pmatrix}
$$

showing thus that $p^{(1)}(0) = -J_1^{-1}(T_0)q^{(0)}(T_0)$.

Then $F^{(2)}(T_0)$ and $F^{(3)}(T_0)$ are easily computed by integrating sets of differential equations obtained from Eq. (30), allowing us to derive $p^{(2)}(0)$ and $p^{(3)}(0)$ values from Eq. (31), solving systems similar to Eq. (32):

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{(2)}(0) &= -J_1^{-1}(T_0) \left( q^{(0)}(T_0) + 2q^{(1)}(T_0) + f^{(2)}(T_0) \right), \\
p^{(3)}(0) &= -J_1^{-1}(T_0) \left( q^{(0)}(T_0) + 3q^{(1)}(T_0) + 3q^{(2)}(T_0) + f^{(3)}(T_0) \right),
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the notation $(f^{(i)}, g^{(i)})$ for vectors $F^{(i)}$. Quantities like $q^{(1)}(T_0)$, $q^{(1)}(T_0)$, and $q^{(2)}(T_0)$
can also be expressed in terms of $X^{(0)}(T_0)$ and its derivatives.

At this point, from the values of the three vectors $X^{(i)}(T_0)$ and using Eqs. (29) at time $T_0$, all derivatives $W^{(n)}$ of the classical action can be computed.

We now explain how to compute derivatives of $\det J_1(T)$. More precisely one has to calculate the two coefficients $C_0^{(1)}$ and $C_0^{(2)}$, which are derivatives of 

$$ \ln |\det J_1(T)| $$ 

so that, using the well-known formula

$$ \frac{d}{dT} (\ln |\det J|) = \text{Tr} \left( J^{-1} \frac{dJ}{dT} \right) $$

(J being any (invertible) matrix), expressions of $C_0^{(1)}$ and $C_0^{(2)}$ become

$$ C_0^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left( J_1^{-1}(T_0) \frac{dJ_1(T_0)}{dT} \right), $$

$$ C_0^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left( J_1^{-1}(T_0) \frac{d^2 J_1(T_0)}{dT^2} - J_1^{-1}(T_0) \frac{dJ_1(T_0)}{dT} \frac{dJ_1(T_0)}{dT} \right), $$

where $dJ_1(T_0)/dT$ means derivative of $J_1(T_0)$ when changing total time $T$ (and thus the classical orbit), which must not be confused with $J_1$ (time derivative of $J_1$ along a given classical orbit). $J_1(T)$ being the $((f \times f)$ upper right submatrix of the monodromy matrix $M(T)$, $d^nJ_1(T_0)/dT^n$ is also stored at the same position in matrix $d^nM(T_0)/dT^n$, for which we will derive general expressions. For this purpose, we first introduce the explicit notation $M(t, T)$, representing the value of the monodromy matrix at time $t$ along the orbit going from $q_0$ to $q$ in time $T$. Writing $T = T_0 + \delta T$, the Taylor expansion of $M(t, T)$ for a given time $t$ reads

$$ M(t, T_0 + \delta T) = M^{(0)}(t) + \delta T M^{(1)}(t) + \frac{\delta T^2}{2} M^{(2)}(t), $$

where $M^{(0)}(t)$ is the monodromy matrix along the reference orbit (i.e., going from $q_0$ to $q$ in time $T_0$). Then $dM(T_0)/dT$ and $d^2M(T_0)/dT^2$ are the Taylor coefficients of monodromy matrix $M(T_0 + \delta T, T_0 + \delta T)$ and thus have the following expression:

$$ \begin{align*}
\frac{dM(T_0)}{dT} &= M^{(0)}(T_0) + M^{(1)}(T_0), \\
\frac{d^2M(T_0)}{dT^2} &= \dot{M}^{(0)}(T_0) + 2 \dot{M}^{(1)}(T_0) + M^{(2)}(T_0).
\end{align*} $$

Equations governing $M^{(i)}(t)$ evolution are easily deduced from the one for $M(t, T)$:

$$ \begin{align*}
\dot{M}^{(1)}_{ij} &= \sum_k \left[ H^{(2)}_{kl} M^{(1)}_{ij} + H^{(3)}_{klm} \frac{\partial X^{(1)}(T_0 M^{(0)}_{ij})}{\partial M^{(0)}_{ij}} \right], \\
\dot{M}^{(2)}_{ij} &= \sum_k \left[ H^{(2)}_{kl} \frac{\partial M^{(1)}_{ij}}{\partial M^{(0)}_{ij}} + 2 H^{(3)}_{klm} \frac{\partial X^{(1)}_m}{\partial X^{(1)}_m} M^{(1)}_{ij} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + H^{(4)}_{klm} \frac{\partial X^{(2)}_m}{\partial X^{(1)}_m} M^{(2)}_{ij} \right] \\
&+ H^{(4)}_{klm} \frac{\partial X^{(1)}_n}{\partial X^{(1)}_m} M^{(1)}_{ij} M^{(0)}_{ij} + H^{(4)}_{klm} \frac{\partial X^{(1)}_m}{\partial X^{(1)}_m} M^{(1)}_{ij} M^{(0)}_{ij} \right] \\
&+ H^{(4)}_{klm} \frac{\partial X^{(1)}_n}{\partial X^{(1)}_m} M^{(1)}_{ij} M^{(0)}_{ij} \right],
\end{align*} $$

with initial conditions $M^{(1)}(0) = M^{(2)}(0) = 0$. Obviously these equations are similar to those governing $X^{(i)}$ evolution, so that $M^{(1)}(T_0)$ and $M^{(2)}(T_0)$ values will be obtained by integrating similar differential sets. Actually, it can be shown that all these sets (for both $X^{(i)}$ and $M^{(i)}$) can be concatenated in only one (larger) set of differential equations, whose integration can be done at once.

Finally, gathering all quantities in Eq. (39), the two matrices $dJ_1(T_0)/dT$ and $d^2J_1(T_0)/dT^2$ are inserted in Eq. (37) thus giving values for $C^{(1)}_0$ and $C^{(2)}_0$, which, along with the values for $W^{(n)}$, allow us to compute the numerical value for $C^{T\to T_0}_1(q_0, T_0)$.

Obviously, the number of equations in the preceding differential sets can be reduced, especially for Hamiltonian separating into kinetic and potential energy, for which $H^{(3)}_{klm}$ and $H^{(4)}_{klm}$ coefficients are nonvanishing only when $1 \leq j, k, l, m \leq f$. However, these sets are straightforward to implement and need only a small amount of CPU time to be solved using any conventional integrator (4th order Runge-Kutta in the present case).

**IV. TRACE OF THE PROPAGATOR $K(T)$**

The diagonal elements $K(q_0, q_0, T)$ of the propagator are related to classical orbits starting from $q_0$ and returning to this point after time $T$, i.e., closed orbits. Summing all these diagonal elements, that is performing the integral $\int dq_0 K(q_0, q_0, T)$, will select, through another stationary phase approximation, closed orbits for which initial and final momentum are equal: periodic orbits. $\hbar$ corrections to leading order of the semiclassical contribution to $K(T)$ from each periodic orbit can be derived following the same scheme, previously used for the propagator itself.

**A. Feynman path integral**

Adding the integral over the initial and final positions in Eq. (3) yields

$$ K(T) = \int dq_0 \int dq_1 dq_2 \cdots dq_{N-1} (2\pi i \hbar \Delta t)^{-N/2} \times \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} L \left( \frac{q_{n+1} - q_n}{\Delta t}, q_n \right) \Delta t + O(\Delta t) \right] $$

(41)
with $q_N = q_0$.

The stationary phase approximation around a given periodic orbit $q^i(t)$ is made explicit when replacing the preceding $Nf$ integral with $^{13}$

$$
\int dq_0^\parallel dq_1^\parallel \ldots dq_{N-1}^\parallel \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} W_i \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2\hbar} W_{abc} \xi_0^a \xi_0^b \xi_0^c + \frac{1}{24\hbar} W_{abcd} \xi_0^a \xi_0^b \xi_0^c \xi_0^d \right],
$$

with $\xi_n = q_n - q^i(n\Delta t)$. For $n = 0$ (i.e., initial position), only deviations perpendicular to the periodic orbit $\xi_0^\perp$ have been introduced because the classical action $W_i(q_0, q_1, T)$ is constant along the orbit (depicted by $q_0^\parallel$).

The contribution $K_i(T)$ of this periodic orbit to $K(T)$ then reads $^{13}$

$$
K_i(T) = \left( \frac{N}{2\pi i T} \right)^{Nf/2} \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} W_i \right) \int dq_0^\parallel dq_0^\perp \ldots dq_{N-1}^\perp \exp \left( \frac{i}{2\hbar} W_{abc} \xi_0^a \xi_0^b \xi_0^c \right) \times \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2\hbar} W_{abc} \xi_0^a \xi_0^b \xi_0^c + \frac{1}{24\hbar} W_{abcd} \xi_0^a \xi_0^b \xi_0^c \xi_0^d \right],
$$

where $\xi_a = \xi_0^a$ when $a = (0, i)$ and $\xi_a = 0$ when $a = (0, 0)$. $W_i$ is, in the large $N$ limit, the classical action of the periodic orbit. Full expressions for $W_{ab}$, $W_{abc}$, and $W_{abcd}$ can be found in Ref. $^{13}$.

Then, the next step would consist of performing all imaginary Gaussian integrals, leaving out the integral along the orbit. However, in the preceding coordinate transformation $^{12}$, there is an hidden subtlety, affecting only $h$ corrections, which explains why probably it is not mentioned in usual textbooks $^{4,5}$, where authors are only looking at leading semiclassical amplitudes.

Actually, the problem is that the integral over $q_0^\parallel$ corresponds to the length of the classical orbit, only when $\xi_0^\perp = 0$; for a nonzero value, it will correspond to integration on a closed curve, slightly displaced from the original trajectory, whose length will thus depend on the $\xi_0^\perp$ value. To enlighten this, let us suppose that we have a bidimensional system, for which one periodic orbit is a circle of radius $R_0$, traveled at constant speed $V_0 = 2\pi R_0/T$. The coordinate transformation is then easily made using polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$:

$$
r = R_0 - \xi_0^\perp.
$$

The negative sign appears to preserve orientation. The volume element $dx\,dy$ becomes

$$
dx\,dy = rd\theta\,dr = (R_0 - \xi_0^\perp)d\theta\,d\xi_0^\perp,
$$

which shows that, in this case, $dq_0^\parallel$ is not simply $R_0d\theta$, the length on the periodic orbit, but is given by

$$
dq_0^\parallel = (R_0 - \xi_0^\perp)d\theta \neq R_0d\theta.
$$

This simple example shows actually that the variable $q_0^\parallel$ is not independent of $\xi_0^\perp$, whereas $\theta$ is.

For a general system, the variable that can play the $\theta$ role is actually the time $t$, whose variation domain $[0, T]$ is fixed and then obviously independent of $\xi_0^\perp$. Thus one has to generalize the relation $dq_0^\parallel = [q^i]|dt_0$, valid only on the periodic orbit. This is done by writing explicitly the coordinate transformation $q \rightarrow (t_0, \xi_0^\perp)$:

$$
q = q^i(t_0) + \xi_0^\perp n_i(t_0),
$$

where $n_i(t_0)$ are $f - 1$ orthogonal unit vectors lying in the plane perpendicular to the periodic orbit at time $t_0$. The Jacobian of the transformation reads

$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial (t_0, \xi_0^\perp)} = \det \left( \frac{q^i}{\xi_0^\perp} + n_i, n_1, \ldots, n_{f-1} \right) = |q^i| - \frac{1}{|q^i|} \xi_0^\perp \cdot q^i.
$$

Inserting the volume element in Eq. (43), the contribution $K_i(T)$ of the periodic orbit now reads, keeping only terms giving rise to $h$ corrections,

$$
K_i(T) = \left( \frac{N}{2\pi i T} \right)^{Nf/2} \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} W_i \right) \int |\dot{q}^i|dt_0 \, dq_0^\parallel \ldots dq_{N-1}^\parallel \exp \left( \frac{i}{2\hbar} W_{abc} \xi_0^a \xi_0^b \xi_0^c \right) \times \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2\hbar} W_{abc} \xi_0^a \xi_0^b \xi_0^c + \frac{1}{24\hbar} W_{abcd} \xi_0^a \xi_0^b \xi_0^c \xi_0^d \right],
$$

where we have seen that $\dot{q}^i = -\partial_a V$ and we have introduced the index $\tilde{d}$ for $(0, j)$.

As explained in Ref. $^{13}$, the imaginary Gaussian integrals can be expressed in terms of another classical Green’s functions $G(t, t')$, whose boundary conditions are extracted when comparing the detailed expression of $W_{ab}$ with the discrete version of the Jacobi-Hill operator $D$, see Eq. (8). Especially, it can be shown that, in the
large $N$ limit, they become
\[
\begin{split}
\mathcal{G}(0, t') &= \mathcal{G}(T, t'), \\
\mathcal{P}_{t_0} \mathcal{G}(0, t') &= \mathcal{P}_{t_0} \mathcal{G}(T, t') = 0, \quad \forall t' \in [0, T], \\
\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \mathcal{G}(0, t') &= \mathcal{Q}_{t_0} \mathcal{G}(T, t'), 
\end{split}
\]  
(50)

where we have introduced $\mathcal{P}_{t_0}$ the projector along the periodic orbit at time $t_0$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{t_0} = 1 - \mathcal{P}_{t_0}$. In Ref. [3], only the $f^2 + f$ boundary conditions corresponding to the first two lines were given, whereas the $f^2 - f$ ones corresponding to the last line were missing.

Gathering all results and taking the large $N$ limit in Eq. (49), the contribution of the given periodic orbit to the trace of the propagator reads as follows:
\[
K_l(T) = K_l^{(0)}(T) \left\{ 1 + i \hbar \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt_0 C_1(T, t_0) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \right\},
\]
(51)

where $t_0$ represents thus the position $\mathcal{q}_0$ on the periodic orbit at which boundary conditions (on the classical Green’s function $\mathcal{G}(t, t')$) are applied. $\mathcal{q}_0$ is also the initial (and final) position on the periodic orbit for classical motions corresponding to times $t$ and $t'$ entered in the preceding expression.

\[\begin{align*}
C_1(T, t_0) &= \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T dt \ V^{(4)}_{ijkl}(t) \mathcal{G}_{ij}(t, t) \mathcal{G}_{kl}(t, t) + \frac{1}{2} V^{(1)}_{ij}(t_0) \int_0^T dt \ V^{(3)}_{ij}(t) \mathcal{G}_{ik}(0, t) \mathcal{G}_{lj}(t, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{24} \int_0^T dt dt' \ V^{(3)}_{ij}(t) V^{(3)}_{lm}(t') \left[ 3 \mathcal{G}_{ij}(t, t') \mathcal{G}_{kl}(t, t') \mathcal{G}_{mn}(t', t') + 2 \mathcal{G}_{ik}(t, t') \mathcal{G}_{jm}(t, t') \mathcal{G}_{kn}(t, t') \right].
\end{align*}\]  
(53)

\[\begin{align*}
K_l^{(0)}(T) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \delta_{\mathcal{E}T} \mathcal{G}(T, t_0) \\
&\quad \times \exp \left[ i \mathcal{W}(T) - i \frac{\pi}{2} \mu t + i \text{sgn} \partial_E T \right],
\end{align*}\]  
(52)

where $\mathcal{W}(T)$ is the classical action of the periodic orbit and $\mu$ its Maslov index.

The first $\hbar$ correction $C_1(T)$ to $K_l^{(0)}(T)$ is then obtained by averaging over the time $t_0$ (i.e. over the full periodic orbit) the coefficient $C_1(T, t_0)$, given by

\[\begin{align*}
K_l^{(0)}(T) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \delta_{\mathcal{E}T} \mathcal{G}(T, t_0) \times \exp \left[ i \mathcal{W}(T) - i \frac{\pi}{2} \mu t + i \text{sgn} \partial_E T \right],
\end{align*}\]  
(52)

\[\begin{align*}
K_l^{(0)}(T) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \delta_{\mathcal{E}T} \mathcal{G}(T, t_0) \\
&\quad \times \exp \left[ i \mathcal{W}(T) - i \frac{\pi}{2} \mu t + i \text{sgn} \partial_E T \right],
\end{align*}\]  
(52)

where $W_l(T)$ is the classical action of the periodic orbit and $\mu$ its Maslov index.

The first $\hbar$ correction $C_1(T)$ to $K_l^{(0)}(T)$ is then obtained by averaging over the time $t_0$ (i.e. over the full periodic orbit) the coefficient $C_1(T, t_0)$, given by

The preceding set of linear equations, formally written $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathcal{B}$, cannot be solved directly because the $(2f \times 2f)$ matrix $\mathcal{A}$ is obviously singular. More precisely, existence and number of solutions for the system $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ are determined by the two following properties:

- Solutions exists if for all vectors $\mathbf{y}$ such that $\mathcal{A}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$, then $\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$.
- If the preceding condition is fulfilled, and if $\mathbf{x}$ is a solution, then for all vectors $\mathbf{x}_0$ such that $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}_0 = 0$, $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_0$ is also a solution, showing that the dimension of the solution space is that of the nullspace of $\mathcal{A}$.

In the present case, equation $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ leads to, either
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbb{1} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{Q}_{t_0}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}
\end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathbf{y} \propto \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\mathbf{q}(t_0)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(55)

or
\[
(M(T) - \mathbb{1}_2) \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = 0 \text{ with } \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \Sigma \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbb{1} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{Q}_{t_0}
\end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \neq 0.
\]
(56)

For a generic unstable periodic orbit, the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue $1$ of $M(T)$ (T being the period), is of dimension one and is spanned by the vector parallel to the flow (see the Appendix) $\mathbf{X}(t_0) = (\mathbf{q}(t_0), \mathbf{p}(t_0))$, so that, in the second case, one gets $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \propto \mathbf{X}(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{y}$ is a solution of:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbb{1} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{Q}_{t_0}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{y} \propto \begin{bmatrix}
-\mathbf{p}(t_0) \\
\mathbf{q}(t_0)
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(57)

which is impossible unless $\mathbf{q}(t_0) = 0$, which, for Hamiltonian separating into kinetic and potential energies,
corresponds to a self-retracing periodic orbit, for which a slightly modified approach should be developed [18]. Nevertheless, this case is peculiar, and we will suppose in the rest of the section that \( \dot{q} \) never vanishes along the periodic orbit in consideration.

Thus, the nullspace of \( A^T \) being one-dimensional and spanned by the vector \((0, \dot{q}(t_0))\), Eq. (54) immediately shows that for any column of matrix \( B \), we get \((0, \dot{q}(t_0)) \cdot B_j = 0 \), thus fulfilling the first condition. Denoting \( x_0 \) as a solution of Eq. (54), which can be easily obtained using singular value decomposition (SVD) of matrix \( A \), and the nullspace of \( M(T) - I \) being spanned by \( X(t_0) \), the general solution of Eq. (54) reads

\[
X = x_0 + [\alpha_1 X(t_0), \alpha_2 X(t_0), \ldots, \alpha_f X(t_0)],
\]

where \( \alpha_i \) are unknown real parameters still to be determined. Actually, in Eq. (54) one boundary condition has not been taken into account, namely that \( P_{t_0} G_-(0, t') = 0 \) which, using that the projector \( P_{t_0} \) reads

\[
(P_{t_0})_{ij} = \frac{\langle q(t_0) q^T(t_0) \rangle_{ij}}{\| q(t_0) \|^2} = \frac{q_i(t_0) q_j(t_0)}{\| q(t_0) \|^2}
\]

allows us to get \( \alpha_i \) values and, from that, the final expression

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{-}(t') \\
B_{-}(t')
\end{pmatrix} = x_0 - \frac{1}{\| q(t_0) \|^2} \begin{pmatrix}
q_i(t_0) q_j^T(t_0) & 0 \\
\dot{p}_i(t_0) q_j^T(t_0) & 0
\end{pmatrix} x_0,
\]

which, of course, is now independent of the particular solution \( x_0 \).

Whereas in the case of the propagator \( K(q, q_0, T) \), for which we were able to give an explicit expression [14], the classical Green’s function associated with the trace of the propagator \( K(T) \) is only defined through a linear system [14], which nevertheless allows us to obtain its numerical value for any \((t', t)\). Although it clearly appears that matrix \( D \) expression (see Ref. [13]) is symmetric, meaning that the classical Green’s function must fulfill the property \( G^T(t', t) = G(t', t) \), getting the later directly from Eq. (54) is not obvious. However, in the case of the 2D hydrogen in a magnetic field (see Sec. [14] for all details), we have numerically checked that the property holds. For example, in Fig. 2 the four coefficients of classical Green’s function \( G(t, t') \) (for \( t'/T = 0.3 \)) of the periodic orbit 1234 are plotted with respect to time \( t \). The starting point \( t_0 \) on the periodic orbit is depicted by the cross. Actually, we have plotted the coefficient of the rotated matrix \( G(t, t') \), such that its first row corresponds to the direction parallel to the orbit; \( G_{11}(t, t') \) (continuous line) and \( G_{12}(t, t') \) (dotted line) are thus equal to zero for initial \((t = 0)\) and final \((t = T)\) points. The other boundary conditions can also be verified in the figure: the dashed line \( G_{21}(t, t') \) (respectively the long dashed line, \( G_{22}(t, t') \)) has not only the same value at initial and final time, but also the same slope, which means that \( \tilde{G}_{21}(t, t') \) (respectively \( \tilde{G}_{22}(t, t') \)) and its time derivative fulfill the periodic boundary conditions (50). Finally, for \( t = t' \), the off-diagonal coefficients \( G_{12}(t, t') \) (dotted line) and \( G_{21}(t, t') \) (dashed line) are equal, as expected from the symmetry property \( G^T(t, t') = G(t', t) \).

![Figure 2: Example of a classical Green’s function](image)

C. Getting \( C_1(T, t_0) \) by integrating a set of first order differential equations

As seen previously (see Sec. [14]), we will explain how the numerical value of coefficients \( C_1(T, t_0) \) can be obtained by integrating a set of differential equation, using the standard Runge-Kutta method. There are now four contributions to \( C_1(T, t_0) \), namely
\[ I_1(T) = \int_0^T dt \, V^{(4)}_{ijkl}(t) G_{ij}(t, t) G_{kl}(t, t) \]
\[ I_1(T) = \int_0^T dt \, V^{(3)}_{ijk}(t) G_{ik}(0, t) G_{ij}(t, t) \]
\[ I_2^+(T) = \int_0^T dt \, V^{(3)}_{ijk}(t) V_{imn}^{(3)}(t') \]
\[ \times G_{ij}(t, t) G_{kl}(t, t') G_{mn}(t', t') \]

\[ I_2^-(T) = \int_0^T dt \, V^{(3)}_{ijk}(t) V_{imn}^{(3)}(t') \]
\[ \times G_{it}(t, t') G_{jm}(t, t') G_{kn}(t, t'). \]

The two main difficulties now are that \( \mathcal{G}(t, t') \) does not factorize anymore in a product of matrix at time \( t \) and a matrix at time \( t' \), nor does the symmetric property \( \mathcal{G}^T(t, t') = \mathcal{G}(t, t') \) explicitly appear (even if we have numerically checked that it is fulfilled). Nevertheless, as seen previously, separating \( (t > t') \) and \( (t < t') \) contributions in \( I_2^-(T) \) expressions and introducing four quantities \( P^{(i)}_p \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq 4 \), allows us to compute \( I_2^+ \), by integrating the following set of differential equations from \( t = 0 \) to \( T \) (besides equations for \( X(t) \) and \( M(t) \)):

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{P}^{(1)}_p &= A^+_p(t) V_{imn}^{(3)}(t) G_{mn}(t, t), \\
\dot{P}^{(2)}_p &= B^+_p(t) V_{imn}^{(3)}(t) G_{mn}(t, t), \\
\dot{P}^{(3)}_p &= J_{1lp}(t) V_{imn}^{(3)}(t) G_{mn}(t, t), \\
\dot{P}^{(4)}_p &= J_{2lp}(t) V_{imn}^{(3)}(t) G_{mn}(t, t), \\
I_2^+ &= V_{ijk}(t) G_{ij}(t, t) J_{2kp}(t) P^{(1)}_p(t) + V_{ijk}(t) G_{ij}(t, t) J_{1kp}(t) P^{(2)}_p(t) \\
&+ V_{ijk}(t) G_{ij}(t, t) A^-_{pk}(t) P^{(3)}_p(t) + V_{ijk}(t) G_{ij}(t, t) B^-_{pk}(t) P^{(4)}_p(t)
\end{align*}
\]

with vanishing initial conditions for \( P^{(i)}_p \) and \( I_2^+ \). For each time step, one must compute matrices \( A^- \) and \( B^- \) (and from there matrices \( A^+ \) and \( B^+ \)), solving the linear system described in the previous section, using singular value decomposition of matrix \( A \), which, being independent of \( t \), is done before starting the Runge-Kutta integration. Skipping intermediate steps, the differential equations leading to \( I_2^-(T) \) computation reads as follow, introducing another eight quantities \( Q^{(i)}_{pqr} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{Q}^{(1)}_{pqr} &= V_{imn}^{(3)}(t) A^+_{pq}(t) A^+_{qr}(t) A^+_{rm}(t), \\
\dot{Q}^{(2)}_{pqr} &= V_{imn}^{(3)}(t) A^+_{pq}(t) A^+_{qr}(t) B^+_{rm}(t), \\
\dot{Q}^{(3)}_{pqr} &= V_{imn}^{(3)}(t) A^+_{pq}(t) B^+_{qr}(t) B^+_{rm}(t), \\
\dot{Q}^{(4)}_{pqr} &= V_{imn}^{(3)}(t) B^+_{pq}(t) B^+_{qr}(t) B^+_{rm}(t), \\
I_2^- &= V_{ijk}(t) J_{2lp}(t) J_{2jq}(t) J_{2kr}(t) Q^{(1)}_{pqr}(t) + 3 V_{ijk}(t) J_{2lp}(t) J_{2jq}(t) J_{1kr}(t) Q^{(2)}_{pqr}(t) \\
&+ 3 V_{ijk}(t) J_{2lp}(t) J_{1jq}(t) J_{1kr}(t) Q^{(3)}_{pqr}(t) + V_{ijk}(t) J_{1lp}(t) J_{1jq}(t) J_{1kr}(t) Q^{(4)}_{pqr}(t) \\
&+ V_{ijk}(t) A^-_{pq}(t) A^-_{qr}(t) A^-_{rm}(t) Q^{(5)}_{pqr}(t) + 3 V_{ijk}(t) A^-_{pq}(t) A^-_{qr}(t) B^-_{rm}(t) Q^{(6)}_{pqr}(t) \\
&+ 3 V_{ijk}(t) A^-_{pq}(t) B^-_{qr}(t) B^-_{rm}(t) Q^{(7)}_{pqr}(t) + V_{ijk}(t) B^-_{pq}(t) B^-_{qr}(t) B^-_{rm}(t) Q^{(8)}_{pqr}(t)
\end{align*}
\]

with vanishing initial conditions for \( Q^{(i)}_{pqr} \) and \( I_2^- \). Finally, one must add equations leading to \( I_l \) and \( I_1 \) computation, namely

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{I}_1 = V_{ijkl}(t) G_{ij}(t, t) G_{kl}(t, t), \\
\dot{I}_l = V_{ijk}(t) A^-_{lk}(t) G_{ij}(t, t),
\end{align*}
\]
where we have used \( G_{jk}(0, t) = A_{jk}(t) \). Taking into account equations for \( X(t) \) and \( M(t) \), this gives rise to a total of \( 8f^3 + 4f^2 + 7f + 3 \) equations, that is 97 for a 2D system.

In practice, having found a periodic orbit and for a given \( t_0 \) along this orbit, the coefficient \( C_1(T, t_0) \) is computed in two steps:

- Achieve the SVD decomposition of the matrix \( A \), appearing on the left-hand side of Eq. (64), and compute the projector matrix appearing on the right-hand side of Eq. (63).
- Integrate the differential set (63) along the periodic orbit (starting at point \( t_0 \)). At any time \( t \), use the preceding SVD decomposition to obtain a solution \( X_0 \) and the projector matrix to get the true solution \( (A_+(t), B_-(t)) \) and thus \( (A_+(t), B_+(t)) \), using Eq. (63).

Finally, the coefficient \( C_1(T, t_0) \), being a smooth function of \( t_0 \), the average over time \( t_0 \), leading to the \( h \) correction term \( C_1(T) \), can be handled by any conventional integrator.

V. TRACE OF THE GREEN'S FUNCTION \( G(E) \)

Steps leading to the semiclassical contribution \( G_l(E) \) from a given periodic orbit to the trace of the Green’s function \( G(E) \) are identical to those giving the \( G_l(q, q_0, E) \) expression, so that \( G_l(E) \) reads

\[
G_l(E) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\langle T \rangle}{\det (m(T_0) - \mathbb{1})^{1/2}} \exp \left[ i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} S(E) - i \frac{\pi}{2} \mu \right] \times \left\{ 1 + i \hbar \left[ C_1(T_0) + C_{-1}^{T \rightarrow E}(T_0) \right] + O(h^2) \right\}, \tag{65}
\]

where \( C_{-1}^{T \rightarrow E}(T_0) \) is given by

\[
C_{-1}^{T \rightarrow E}(T_0) = \frac{1}{2 W_1^{(2)}} \left[ (C_0^{(1)})^2 + C_0^{(2)} \right] - \frac{W_1^{(3)} C_0^{(1)}}{2 (W_1^{(2)})^2} - \frac{W_1^{(4)}}{8 (W_1^{(2)})^2} + \frac{5}{24} \left( W_1^{(3)} \right)^2. \tag{66}
\]

\( W_1^{(i)} \) (respectively \( C_0^{(i)} \)) are the Taylor coefficients of the \( W_1(T) \) (respectively \( C_0(T) \)) expansion around \( T_0 \).

Computation of \( W_1^{(i)} \) is much the same as in the Green’s function case, because the functional relation

\[
\frac{\partial W_i(T)}{\partial T} = -E(T) \tag{67}
\]

still holds for a given periodic orbit, \( E(T) \) being its energy as function of its period, which is still given by the value of the Hamiltonian \( H \) taken at any point on the corresponding phase space trajectory \( X(t, T) = (q(t, T), p(t, T)) \). Thus, the Taylor expansion of \( X(t, T) \) around the periodic orbit \( X(T_0) \), will lead to the same expressions for \( W_1^{(i)} \) coefficients (Eq. 29) and for \( X^{(n)}(T) \) equations (Eq. 30). The only differences with the preceding section arise from the boundary conditions fulfilled by \( X^{(n)}(t) \), deduced from the equation \( X(0, T) = X(T, T) \), i.e., \( X(T, T) \) is a periodic orbit of period \( T \). The Taylor expansion of this relation leads to the following conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
X^{(1)}(0) = X^{(1)}(0) + X^{(0)}(T_0), \\
X^{(2)}(0) = X^{(2)}(0) + \dot{X}^{(0)}(T_0) + 2X^{(1)}(T_0), \\
X^{(3)}(0) = X^{(3)}(0) + \ddot{X}^{(0)}(T_0) + 3\dot{X}^{(1)}(T_0) + 3\ddot{X}^{(2)}(T_0).
\end{align*}
\tag{68}
\]

Solutions of the differential set (31) still have the following formal expressions (33), which, inserted in the boundary conditions (68), leads to equations on \( X^{(1)}(0) \) only

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mathbb{1} - M(T_0))X^{(1)}(0) &= X^{(0)}(T_0), \\
(\mathbb{1} - M(T_0))X^{(2)}(0) &= \ddot{X}^{(0)}(T_0) + 2X^{(1)}(T_0) + \dot{X}^{(0)}(T_0), \\
(\mathbb{1} - M(T_0))X^{(3)}(0) &= \ddot{X}^{(0)}(T_0) + 3\dot{X}^{(1)}(T_0) + 3\ddot{X}^{(0)}(T_0) + 3\dot{X}^{(1)}(T_0).
\end{align*}
\tag{69}
\]

The matrix \( \mathbb{1} - M(T_0) \) being singular, solving the preceding linear equations need additional discussion, which, for simplicity, will focus on \( X^{(1)}(0) \) only. First, the nullspace of \( \mathbb{1} - M(T_0)^T \) is spanned by \( \Sigma X^{(0)}(T_0) \), which is obviously orthogonal to \( X^{(0)}(T_0) \), the right-hand-side of the equation for \( X^{(1)}(0) \), thus showing that this equation admits solutions. Then, the nullspace of \( \mathbb{1} - M(T_0) \) being spanned by \( \dot{X}^{(0)}(T_0) \), the whole set of solutions reads

\[
X^{(1)}(0) = X^{(1)}(0) + \alpha X^{(0)}(T_0), \tag{70}
\]

where \( X^{(1)}(0) \) is a particular solution of the equation. Actually, the term \( \alpha X^{(0)}(T_0) \) corresponds to a displacement of the initial conditions along the flow, which, of course, gives back the same periodic orbit (at first order in \( T - T_0 \)). We thus expect that this term has a vanishing contribution to \( W_1^{(2)} \), which is easily verified when inserting the general solution in the \( W_1^{(2)} \) expression (taken at time \( t = T_0 \)):

\[
W_1^{(2)} = - \left( X^{(1)}(0) - \dot{X}^{(0)}(T_0) \right) + \alpha X^{(0)}(T_0) \cdot \nabla H \left( X^{(0)}(T_0) \right) = - X^{(1)}(0) \cdot \nabla H \left( X^{(0)}(T_0) \right) \tag{71}
\]

because of the Hamilton’s equations \( \dot{X}^{(0)}(T_0) = \Sigma \nabla H \left( X^{(0)}(T_0) \right) \).
These two properties also hold in the cases of \( X^{(2)}(0) \) and \( X^{(3)}(0) \), but are slightly more complicated to establish because the right-hand sides of the equations involve \( F^{(i)}(T_0) \) and derivatives of \( X^{(i)}(T_0) \).

Thus, integrating the same differential sets that were used for \( G(q, q_0, E) \), one is able to compute the first four derivatives of the action, \( W_l^{(i)} \), with respect to the period.

Starting from the \( C^{(0)}_0(T) \) expression

\[
C^{(0)}_0(T) = \ln T - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\partial_E T| - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\det (m(T) - \mathbb{1})|, 
\]

and using the fact that \( \partial_E T = 1/\partial_T E = -1/\partial_T^2 W_i \), one obtains

\[
\begin{align*}
C^{(1)}_0(T_0) &= \frac{1}{T_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{W_i^{(3)}}{W_i^{(2)}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dT} \ln |\det (m(T) - \mathbb{1})|, \\
C^{(2)}_0(T_0) &= -\frac{1}{T_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{W_i^{(4)}}{W_i^{(2)}} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{W_i^{(3)}}{W_i^{(2)}} \right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dT^2} \ln |\det (m(T) - \mathbb{1})|, 
\end{align*}
\]

which means that one is left with the calculation of derivatives of \( \ln |\det (m(T) - \mathbb{1})| \) with respect to the period \( T \). As shown in the Appendix, \( \det (m(T) - \mathbb{1}) \) is given by the determinant of the \( 2f \times 2f \) matrix \( N(T) \) defined as follows:

\[
N(T) = M(T) - (\mathbb{1} - P_\parallel(T) - P_\perp(T)),
\]

where we have introduced \( P_\parallel(T) \) (respectively \( P_\perp(T) \)) the projector on the direction parallel to the flow (respectively perpendicular to the energy shell), more precisely, the \( P_\parallel(T) \) and \( P_\perp(T) \) expressions are

\[
P_\parallel = e_\parallel \cdot e_\parallel^\top \quad \text{and} \quad P_\perp = e_\perp \cdot e_\perp^\top = -\Sigma P_\parallel \Sigma,
\]

where \( e_\parallel \) is the unit vector tangent to the flow at initial (and thus final) time and \( e_\perp = \Sigma e_\parallel \). Now, using again formula (36), derivatives of \( \det (m(T) - \mathbb{1}) \) with respect to the period read

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dT} (\det (m(T) - \mathbb{1})) &= \text{tr} \left( N(T_0)^{-1} \frac{dN(T_0)}{dT} \right), \\
\frac{d^2}{dT^2} (\det (m(T) - \mathbb{1})) &= \text{tr} \left( N^{-1}(T_0) \frac{d^2 N(T_0)}{dT^2} - N(T_0)^{-1} \frac{dN(T_0)}{dT} N(T_0)^{-1} \frac{dN(T_0)}{dT} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dN(T_0)}{dT} &= \frac{dM(T_0)}{dT} + \frac{dP_\parallel(T_0)}{dT} - \Sigma \frac{dP_\parallel(T_0)}{dT} \Sigma, \\
\frac{d^2 N(T_0)}{dT^2} &= \frac{d^2 M(T_0)}{dT^2} + \frac{d^2 P_\parallel(T_0)}{dT^2} - \Sigma \frac{d^2 P_\parallel(T_0)}{dT^2} \Sigma.
\end{align*}
\]

As seen previously (Sec. III B), \( \frac{dM(T_0)}{dT} \) and \( \frac{d^2 M(T_0)}{dT^2} \) are expressed in terms of the coefficients \( M^{(i)}(t) \) of the Taylor expansion of the monodromy matrix \( M(t, T) \) (associated with the periodic orbit \( X(t, T) \) of period \( T \)) around the periodic orbit \( X^{(0)}(t) \) of period \( T_0 \), see Eq. (59).

Inserting the Taylor expansion of \( \dot{X}(T) \) around \( T_0 \) in the \( P_\parallel(T) \) expression, namely,

\[
P_\parallel(T) = \frac{1}{\|X(T)\|^2} \dot{X}(T) \cdot \dot{X}(T)^\top,
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dM(T_0)}{dT} &= \frac{dM(T)}{dT} |_{T=T_0}, \\
\frac{d^2 M(T_0)}{dT^2} &= \frac{d^2 M(T)}{dT^2} |_{T=T_0}.
\end{align*}
\]
one obtains the derivatives of $\mathcal{P}_\parallel(T)$ with respect to $T$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\mathcal{P}_\parallel(T_0)}{dT} &= \frac{1}{|X(0)|^2} \left( \dot{X}^{(1)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(0)\top} + \dot{X}^{(0)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(1)\top} \right) - 2 \frac{\dot{X}^{(0)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(1)}}{|X(0)|^2} \mathcal{P}_\parallel(T_0) \\
\frac{d^2 \mathcal{P}_\parallel(T_0)}{dT^2} &= \frac{1}{|X(0)|^2} \left( \dot{X}^{(2)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(0)\top} + \dot{X}^{(0)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(2)\top} + 2 \dot{X}^{(1)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(1)\top} \right) \\
&\quad + \left( 8 \frac{\dot{X}^{(0)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(1)}}{|X(0)|^4} - 2 \frac{\dot{X}^{(0)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(2)}}{|X(0)|^2} - 2 \frac{\dot{X}^{(1)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(1)}}{|X(0)|^2} \right) \mathcal{P}_\parallel(T_0) \\
&\quad - 4 \frac{\dot{X}^{(0)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(1)}}{|X(0)|^4} \left( \dot{X}^{(1)} \cdot \dot{X}^{(0)} \right) \mathcal{P}_\parallel(T_0). 
\end{align*}
$$

A. Quantum and classical properties

In atomic units the Hamiltonian of the 2D hydrogen in magnetic field reads

$$
H = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \frac{1}{8} \gamma^2 y^2, 
$$

where $\gamma = B/B_0$, with $B_0 = 2.35 \times 10^5 T$. The classical counterpart of this Hamiltonian has a scaling property, that is, if we define new variables by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\mathbf{r}} &= \gamma^{2/3} \mathbf{r}, \\
\dot{\mathbf{p}} &= \gamma^{-1/3} \mathbf{p}, \\
t &= \gamma t,
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain a new Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ given by

$$
\tilde{H} = \gamma^{-2/3} H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \frac{\tilde{y}^2}{8},
$$

which does not depend on $\gamma$ anymore. The classical dynamics of this Hamiltonian is entirely fixed by the scaled energy $\epsilon$ given by:

$$
\epsilon = \gamma^{-2/3} E.
$$

All properties of the classical trajectories of the original Hamiltonian can be deduced from the scaled dynamics using the scaling transformation (81). From the quantum point of view, this scaling introduces an effective $\hbar$ value, which is easily seen on the scaled Schrödinger equation, $\tilde{H} \psi = \epsilon \psi$, for a fixed scaled energy $\epsilon$:

$$
\left[ -\frac{\gamma^{2/3}}{2} \Delta_r - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \frac{\tilde{y}^2}{8} \right] \psi = \epsilon \psi.
$$

Thus, the effective $\hbar$ is given by $\gamma^{1/3}$ and so at a fixed value of the scaled energy $\epsilon$, the semiclassical limit is obtained when $\gamma$ tends to 0.

VI. APPLICATION TO THE 2D HYDROGEN ATOM IN A MAGNETIC FIELD

The hydrogen atom is one example of quantum system whose classical counterpart depicts a chaotic behavior and has been widely studied (see for, e.g., Ref. 4 for a complete review). It has now become a very useful tool for testing new ideas and tools in the quantum chaos area, both on the semiclassical $23,24$ or universality $24$ points of view, especially because computing very highly excited states has become a standard task on a regular workstation, allowing the semiclassical regime to be reached easily. Even if one would have preferred to work with the real hydrogen atom (i.e., the three-dimensional one), in this paper we will focus on the two dimensional hydrogen atom in a magnetic field, because taking into account invariance by rotation around the magnetic field, gives rise to centrifugal terms in the Hamiltonian (typically $L^2 \hbar^2/2m^2$) which would also contribute to $\hbar$ corrections and would need a study on its own. One must also notice that, even if the classical dynamics are identical for both cases, the fact that the magnetic field axis is no longer a rotation axis in the 2D case gives rise to slight modifications in the Maslov indices $18,23,25$. 

Gathering the preceding expressions into Eq. (76) allows us to compute $\ln \det (m(T) - \mathbb{I})$ derivatives, which, inserted together with derivatives of the action, in Eq. (73) gives the numerical values for $C_0^{(1)}(T_0)$ and $C_0^{(2)}(T_0)$, which finally leads to the additional $\hbar$ correction $C_1^{T \rightarrow E}(T_0)$. 

Notice that, even if the classical dynamics are identical for both cases, the fact that the magnetic field axis is no longer a rotation axis in the 2D case gives rise to slight modifications in the Maslov indices $18,23,25$. 

is regularized using the semiparabolic coordinates \((u = \sqrt{r} + \overline{x}, \nu = \sqrt{r} - \overline{x})\), giving rise to the following effective classical Hamiltonian [4, 26]:

\[
\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} p_u^2 + \frac{1}{2} p_v^2 - \epsilon (u^2 + v^2) + \frac{1}{8} u^2 v^2 (u^2 + v^2),
\]

(85)

the trajectories corresponding to the original problem are obtained when fixing total energy \(\mathcal{H} = 2\). The associated quantum Hamiltonian reads

\[
\hat{H}(h) = -\frac{h^2}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right) - \epsilon (u^2 + v^2) + \frac{1}{8} u^2 v^2 (u^2 + v^2),
\]

(86)

which separates into kinetic and potential energy, so that the semiclassical formula derived in the preceding sections applied to the associated quantum Green’s function \(G(z, h)\), the hydrogen in a magnetic field being recovered for \(z = 2\) (actually \(z/2\) corresponds to the nucleus charge)

\[
G(z, h) = \frac{1}{z - \mathcal{H}(h)} = \sum_{\tau} \frac{|\tau, \hbar\rangle\langle\tau, \hbar|}{z - \lambda_\tau(h)},
\]

(87)

where \(|\tau, \hbar\rangle\) is an (normalized) eigenvector of \(\hat{H}(h)\) for the eigenenergy \(\lambda_\tau(h)\), \(\tau\) representing the set of quantum labels, i.e., level number and symmetry properties (see below), describing \(|\tau, \hbar\rangle\). The matrix element \(\langle q|G(z, h)|q_0\rangle\), where \(q = (u, v)\) then reads

\[
\langle q|G(z, h)|q_0\rangle = \sum_{\tau} \psi_{\tau, \hbar}(q) \psi_{\tau, \hbar}(q_0) \frac{1}{z - \lambda_\tau(h)},
\]

(88)

where \(\psi_{\tau, \hbar}(q) = \langle q|\tau, \hbar\rangle\) has been supposed to be real, with \(\hat{H}(h)\) being invariant under \(p \to -p\). Taking \(z = \lambda\) on the real axis, the imaginary part of \(\langle q|G(z, h)|q_0\rangle\), becomes

\[
-\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \langle q|G(\lambda, h)|q_0\rangle = \sum_{\tau} \psi_{\tau, \hbar}(q) \psi_{\tau, \hbar}(q_0) \delta (\lambda - \lambda_\tau(h))
\]

(89)

to which any classical path going from \(q\) to \(q_0\) at energy \(\lambda\), gives the following contribution (see Eq. \(\text{[22]}\)):

\[
-\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \langle q|G(\lambda, h)|q_0\rangle_l = \frac{2}{(2\pi h)^{3/2}} A_l \left\{ \cos \left( \frac{1}{\hbar} S_l + \phi_l \right) - \hbar C_l \sin \left( \frac{1}{\hbar} S_l + \phi_l \right) \right\}
\]

(90)

provided it is far enough from any bifurcation and that \(q\) and \(q_0\) are not conjugate points for this trajectory. Amplitudes and phases being defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
A_l &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{W_l^{(2)} \det J_1(T_0)}}^{1/2}, \\
S_l &= S(q, q_0, \lambda), \\
\phi_l &= -\frac{\pi}{2} (\tilde{v} + 1),
\end{align*}
\]

(91)

Neglecting \(\hbar\) corrections in Eq. \(\text{[69]}\), the Fourier transform with respect to the variable \(\zeta = 1/\hbar\) of the following function:

\[
g_0(\zeta) = \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{2\zeta^{3/2}} \times -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \langle q|G(\lambda, \zeta)|q_0\rangle =
\]

\[
\frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{2} \sum_{\tau} \psi_{\tau, \zeta}(q) \psi_{\tau, \zeta}(q_0) \zeta^{-3/2} \delta (\lambda - \lambda_\tau(\zeta))
\]

(92)

will depict peaks at the classical actions \(S_l/2\pi\), with complex amplitude \(A_l \exp i \phi_l / 2\), which has been extensively used to compare the exact quantum Green’s function with its semiclassical estimation at the leading order in \(\hbar\). In the same way, the Fourier transform of the following function:

\[
g_1(\zeta) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{2} \sum_{\tau} \psi_{\tau, \zeta}(q) \psi_{\tau, \zeta}(q_0) \zeta^{-1/2} \delta (\lambda - \lambda_\tau(\zeta)) - \zeta \sum_l A_l \cos (\zeta S_l + \phi_l)
\]

(93)

will also depict peaks at the classical actions \(S_l/2\pi\), whose complex amplitude, given by

\[
\frac{1}{2i} A_l C_l \exp i \phi_l
\]

(94)

allows us to extract the numerical value of the \(\hbar\) correction \(C_l\).

The energy \(\lambda\) being fixed, the \(\delta (\lambda - \lambda_\tau(\zeta))\) function selects the values \(\zeta_\tau(\lambda)\) of \(\zeta\) for which \(\lambda\) is an eigenvalue, transforming Eqs. \(\text{[92]}\) and \(\text{[93]}\) into
\[
\begin{align*}
g_0(\zeta) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{4} \sum_{\tau} \frac{\psi_{\tau,\zeta}(q)\psi_{\tau,\zeta}(q_0)}{\langle \tau, \zeta | E^\tau | \tau, \zeta \rangle} \zeta^{3/2} \delta(\zeta - \zeta(\lambda)), \\
g_1(\zeta) &= -\frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{4} \sum_{\tau} \frac{\psi_{\tau,\zeta}(q)\psi_{\tau,\zeta}(q_0)}{\langle \tau, \zeta | E^\tau | \tau, \zeta \rangle} \zeta^{5/2} \delta(\zeta - \zeta(\lambda)) - \zeta \sum_l A_l \cos(\zeta S_l + \phi_l). \tag{95}
\end{align*}
\]

Stepping to the case of the trace of the Green’s function, the preceding relations \([55]\) and \([56]\) becomes

\[-\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \text{ Tr} G(\lambda, \hbar) = \sum_{\tau} \delta(\lambda - \lambda(\hbar)) \tag{96}\]

and, see Eq. \([55]\): 

\[-\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \text{ Tr} G(\lambda, \hbar) = -\frac{1}{\pi \hbar} \mathcal{A}_l^{tr} \left\{ \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} S_l^{tr} + \phi_l^{tr}\right) + \right. \\
-\hbar C_l^{tr} \sin\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} S_l^{tr} + \phi_l^{tr}\right) \right\}, \tag{97}\]

where \(S_l^{tr}\) is the action of the periodic orbit and

\[
\begin{align*}
A_l^{tr} &= \frac{T_0}{|\text{det}(m(T_0) - I)|^{1/2}}, \\
\phi_l^{tr} &= -\frac{\pi}{2} \mu_l, \\
C_l^{tr} &= C_1(T_0) + C_l^{T\to E}(T_0),
\end{align*}
\]

so that the classical quantities \(S_l^{tr}, A_l^{tr}\), and the \(\hbar\) correction \(C_l^{tr}\) can be obtained by taking the Fourier transform of the following expressions with respect to the variable \(\zeta\):

\[
\begin{align*}
g_0^{tr}(\zeta) &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{\tau} \frac{1}{\langle \tau, \zeta | E^\tau | \tau, \zeta \rangle} \zeta^2 \delta(\zeta - \zeta(\lambda)), \\
g_1^{tr}(\zeta) &= -\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{\tau} \frac{1}{\langle \tau, \zeta | E^\tau | \tau, \zeta \rangle} \zeta^3 \delta(\zeta - \zeta(\lambda)) - \zeta \sum_l A_l^{tr} \cos(\zeta S_l^{tr} + \phi_l^{tr}). \tag{99}
\end{align*}
\]

### B. Computing quantum quantities

Focusing on the \(\lambda = 2\) value, the 2D hydrogen in a magnetic field case, one has to find effective \(\hbar\) values for which 2 is an eigenvalue of the Schrödinger equation \(\hat{H}(\hbar)\psi(u, v) = 2\psi(u, v)\), which is conveniently written as follows:

\[
\left[ 2 + \epsilon(u^2 + v^2) - \frac{1}{8} u^2 v^2 (u^2 + v^2) \right] \psi(u, v)
= \hbar^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right] \psi(u, v) \tag{100}\]

such that \(\sigma = \hbar^2\) appears to be a solution of a generalized eigenvalue problem \((A - \sigma B)\psi = 0\), with

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= 2 + \epsilon(u^2 + v^2) - \frac{1}{8} u^2 v^2 (u^2 + v^2), \\
B &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right). \tag{101}
\end{align*}
\]

The preceding operators \(A, B\), and thus \(\hat{H}(\hbar)\) are invariant under all transformations belonging to the symmetry group \(C_{4v}\), leading to four nondegenerate series of energy levels, labeled EEE, EEO, OOE and OOO according to Ref. \([27]\) and a twofold degenerate series EO and OE, where E means even and O means odd, the first two letters referring to the \(u \to -u\) and \(v \to -v\) symmetries, the third letter to \(u \leftrightarrow v\). Actually, because of the definition of the semiperabolic coordinates \((u, v)\), only eigenvectors invariant under the parity symmetry \(\psi(-u, -v) = \psi(u, v)\) correspond to eigenvectors of the 2D hydrogen in a magnetic field, allowing us, in principle, to drop the OE and EO series \([4, 26]\). However, from the semiclassical point of view, one would have to extend all preceding sections to symmetry-projected propagator and Green’s function \([28]\), and thus to take into account symmetry properties of the classical Green’s function, which is beyond the scope of this paper. For this reason, we also include the OE and EO series in the remainder of this paper.

Finally, eigenvalues and eigenvectors are obtained by solving the matrix representation of the generalized eigenvalue problem \((A - \sigma B)\psi = 0\) in sturmian bases (one for each symmetry class) \([4]\), using the Lanczos algorithm. Typically, we have computed effective \(\hbar\) values ranging from 0 to 124, which for scaled energy \(\epsilon = -0.1\) corresponds to roughly 61 000 eigenvalues in total. One
must notice that the generalized eigenvectors $|\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{h}\rangle$, for a fixed $\hbar$ value, are actually orthogonal for the scalar product defined by operator $B = p^2/2$:

$$\langle \tau, h | p^2_p | \tau', h \rangle = \delta_{\tau, \tau'}$$

so that the $|\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{h}\rangle$ and $|\tau, h\rangle$ relations read

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
|\tau, h\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \tau, h | \tau, h \rangle}} |\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{h}\rangle, \\
|\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{h}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \tau, h | B | \tau, h \rangle}} |\tau, h\rangle,
\end{array} \right. \quad (103)$$

\[
\begin{align*}
g_0(\zeta) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{4} \sum_{\tau} \tilde{\psi}_{\tau, \zeta}(q) \tilde{\psi}_{\tau, \zeta}(q_0) \zeta^{3/2} \delta (\zeta - \zeta(2)), \\
g_1(\zeta) &= -\frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{4} \sum_{\tau} \tilde{\psi}_{\tau, \zeta}(q) \tilde{\psi}_{\tau, \zeta}(q_0) \zeta^{5/2} \delta (\zeta - \zeta(2)) - \zeta \sum_i A_i \cos (\zeta S_i + \phi_i), \\
g_{1r}^{(1)}(\zeta) &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{\tau} (\tau, \zeta) \zeta^2 \delta (\zeta - \zeta(2)), \\
g_{1r}^{(2)}(\zeta) &= -\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{\tau} (\tau, \zeta) \zeta^3 \delta (\zeta - \zeta(2)) - \zeta \sum_i A_i^{(1)} \cos (\zeta S_i^{(1)} + \phi_i^{(1)}). 
\end{align*}
\]

As explained previously, the Fourier transform of the two functions $g_1$ and $g_{1r}$ will depict peaks at classical actions and $\hbar$ corrections are obtained from the amplitude of these peaks. However, in the case of a signal given by $c(t) = \sum a_n \exp (i \omega_n t)$, it is now well known that the harmonic inversion method is very well suited and is much more powerful than the conventional Fourier transform to extract unknown frequencies $\omega_n$ and amplitudes $a_n$ [21]. In our case the signals are the two functions $g_1(\zeta)$ and $g_{1r}^{(1)}(\zeta)$, which are of the form $\sum_i A_i C_i \sin (\zeta S_i + \phi_i)$ besides contributions from all other types of orbits (ghost, continuous family, etc.).

C. $\hbar$ corrections for $G(q, q_0, 2)$

Orbits having initial and final points at the nucleus (i.e., $q = q_0 = 0$) are of special interest because they are involved in semiclassical estimation of the photoionization cross-section [22, 29], which can be directly compared to experimental results [30, 31]. Even if the full $\hbar$ expansion of the cross-section does not reduce to $G(0, 0, 2)$ contributions, all closed orbits are well known and classified, so that this case remains a nice example of $\hbar$ corrections for $G(q, q_0, 2)$.

The Fourier transforms of both functions $g_0(\zeta)$ (upper plot, solid line) and $g_1(\zeta)$ (lower plot, solid line), for scaled energy $\epsilon = -0.1$, are displayed in Fig. 1. More precisely, $g_0(\zeta)$ and $g_1(\zeta)$ being known only on a finite interval $[0, \zeta_{\text{max}}]$, we have plotted the modulus of their windowed Fourier transforms, defined as follows:

$$F_0(s) = \frac{6}{(\zeta_{\text{max}})^3} \int_0^{\zeta_{\text{max}}} d\zeta \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\text{max}}} g_0(\zeta)e^{-i 2\pi s \zeta},$$

$$F_1(s) = \frac{6}{(\zeta_{\text{max}})^3} \int_0^{\zeta_{\text{max}}} d\zeta \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\text{max}}} g_1(\zeta)e^{-i 2\pi s \zeta}. \quad (105)$$

As expected, they depict peaks at the classical actions of closed orbits, whose trajectories in $(u, v)$ plane have been inserted in the figure, the black circle corresponding to the nucleus position. In the figure, the dotted lines correspond to the semiclassical estimations of the same functions using the classical properties given by Table 2. The closed orbits being either half of a periodic orbit or a periodic orbit, we label a given close orbit with the four-disk code of the corresponding periodic orbit [32, 33].

For the leading order in $\hbar$ (upper plot), as expected, the agreement between the quantum results and the semiclassical estimation is excellent. For the first order $\hbar$ correction, the agreement is very good, but one can notice that there is a discrepancy for the amplitude of the last two peaks. This is not due to errors or inaccurate calculations in the semiclassical estimation, but rather a
D. $h$ corrections for $\text{Tr} G(q, q, 2)$

Still working at scaled energy $\epsilon = -0.1$, Fig. 3 depicts the modulus of the windowed Fourier transforms of $g_0^q(\zeta)$ and $g_1^q(\zeta)$, $F_0^q$ (upper plot, solid line), and $F_1^q$ (lower plot, solid line), defined, as previously, as follows:

\[
F_0^q(s) = \frac{6}{(s_{\text{max}})^2} \int_0^{s_{\text{max}}} d\zeta \zeta (\zeta_{\text{max}} - \zeta) g_0^q(\zeta) e^{-i2\pi s \zeta}, \\
F_1^q(s) = \frac{6}{(s_{\text{max}})^3} \int_0^{s_{\text{max}}} d\zeta \zeta (\zeta_{\text{max}} - \zeta) g_1^q(\zeta) e^{-i2\pi s \zeta}.
\]

The trajectories in the $(u, v)$ plane associated with the peaks are also plotted in the figure. The classical properties of the corresponding periodic orbits are displayed by Table II. Again the agreement is excellent between the quantum results (solid lines) and the semiclassical estimation (dotted lines). The quantitative comparison
between the classical coefficients $C^R$ and the values $C^{HI}$ extracted from the quantum function $g^{HI}(\zeta)$ is given in Table IV. The agreement is excellent for the amplitude of the coefficients and is rather good for their phases, which emphasizes the validity of the semiclassical formula developed in the preceding sections, especially the additional term arising from the Jacobian describing the change from the Cartesian to local (along the periodic orbit) coordinates (see Eq. (138)) and which contributes to a large part of the $\hbar$ correction for the present orbits.

VII. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have explained in this paper how to effectively compute $\hbar$ corrections in the semiclassical expansions of the propagator $K(q, q_0, T)$, its trace $K(T)$, the quantum Green’s function $G(q, q_0, E)$ and its trace $G(E)$ for chaotic systems with smooth potential. The method is based on the classical Green’s functions associated to the relevant trajectories, that is either going from q to $q_0$ in the propagator case or periodic orbits for $K(T)$, together with adapted boundary conditions. We have shown how all quantities can be obtained by integrating, using the standard Runge-Kutta method, sets of differential equations. We have also shown that in the derivation of the semiclassical expansion for $K(T)$ (and thus $G(E)$), starting from the Feynman path integral, one must take into account additional terms, which affect only $\hbar$ correction coefficients. This is emphasized by the excellent agreement observed when comparing, in the case of the 2D hydrogen atom in a magnetic field, our theoretical results with the numerical coefficients extracted from exact quantum data, using the harmonic inversion. Obviously, there are still many points to be developed. Besides the few cases, such as self-retracing orbits or continuous families of orbits, needing specific extensions, it would be very interesting to understand how to include continuous and discrete symmetries. Also, going into the extended phase space $(q, t, p, -E)$, it would be possible to get a better understanding of similarities observed between the differential sets leading, on one side to the $\hbar$ corrections for the propagator and its trace and, on the other side to the additional terms arising in the $\hbar$ corrections for the quantum Green’s function and its trace.
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Appendix A: FEW PROPERTIES OF $M(T)$

In this appendix, we consider an isolated unstable periodic orbit of period $T$. We shall use the notations $\mathbf{e}_\parallel$ and $\mathbf{e}_\perp$ for the units vectors which are, respectively, parallel to the flow and perpendicular to the energy shell at the initial point. From Hamilton’s equations, we have that $M(T) \cdot \mathbf{e}_\parallel = \mathbf{e}_\parallel$, i.e., $\mathbf{e}_\parallel$ is an eigenvector of the matrix $M(T)$ for the eigenvalue 1. The symplectic equation fulfilled by $M(T)$, namely, $M(T)^\top \cdot \Sigma \cdot M(T) = \Sigma$, implies that, if $\mathbf{e}_i$ and $\mathbf{e}_j$ are two eigenvectors for the eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_j$, we have the following properties:

$$
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
M(T)^\top \cdot (\Sigma \mathbf{e}_i) = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} (\Sigma \mathbf{e}_i), \\
(\lambda_i\lambda_j - 1) \mathbf{e}_i^\top \Sigma \mathbf{e}_j = 0,
\end{array}
\right.
$$

(A1)

showing thus that $1/\lambda_i$ is an eigenvalue of $M(T)^\top$ and, from that, of $M(T)$. In addition, $M(T)$ being a real matrix, $\lambda_j$ and $1/\lambda_j$ are also eigenvalues of $M(T)$, so that the nontrivial eigenvalues (i.e., $\neq 1$) either fall in the $(\lambda, 1/\lambda)$ pair or in quadruplet $(\lambda, 1/\lambda, \lambda, 1/\lambda)$.

In the case of $\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_\parallel$, the two preceding equations (A3) imply that $\mathbf{e}_\perp$ is an eigenvector of $M(T)^\top$ (but not necessarily of $M(T)$) for the eigenvalue 1 and that for every $\lambda_j \neq 1$, $\mathbf{e}_j$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{e}_\perp$. In the basis $(\mathbf{e}_\parallel, \mathbf{e}_\perp, \mathbf{e}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{2f-2})$, $M(T)$ entries then read

$$
M(T) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \alpha_\parallel & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \lambda_{2f-2} \\
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(A2)

where we have supposed that all eigenvalues are simple. For degenerated eigenvalues, $M(T)$ would be block diagonal. For a generic periodic orbit, $\alpha_\parallel$ and $\alpha_i$ are nonvanishing emphasizing thus that $\mathbf{e}_\perp$ is not an eigenvector of $M(T)$. Introducing the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_\perp$ defined as follows:

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_\perp = \mathbf{e}_\perp + \sum_{j=1}^{2f-2} \beta_j \mathbf{e}_j \quad \text{with} \quad \beta_j = \frac{\alpha_j}{1 - \lambda_j}
$$

(A3)

one immediately gets that

$$
M(T)\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_\perp = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_\perp + \alpha_\parallel \mathbf{e}_\parallel.
$$

(A4)

In the case $\alpha_\parallel = 0$, we have thus found another eigenvector for the eigenvalue 1, which means that a small displacement of initial conditions in the $\mathbf{e}_\perp$ direction leads to another periodic motion with the same period $T$, and thus that the periodic orbit is actually embedded in a continuous family. Indeed, using notations from Sec. V, one can show that

$$
X^{(1)}(0) = -\frac{\|\tilde{X}\|}{\alpha_\parallel} \tilde{e}_\perp
$$

(A5)

so that we have

$$
\alpha_\parallel = \|\tilde{X}\|^2 \partial_E T.
$$

(A6)

In Sec. V one needs to compute derivatives with respect to the period $T$ of $\det (m(T) - \mathbb{I})$, whose expression in terms of the nontrivial eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix reads

$$
\det (m(T) - \mathbb{I}) = \prod_{j=1}^{2f-2} (\lambda_j - 1).
$$

(A7)

Introducing $\mathcal{P}_\parallel$ and $\mathcal{P}_\perp$ the projectors on the directions $\mathbf{e}_\parallel$ and $\mathbf{e}_\perp$, more precisely

$$
\mathcal{P}_\parallel = \mathbf{e}_\parallel \cdot \mathbf{e}_\parallel^\top \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_\perp = \mathbf{e}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{e}_\perp^\top
$$

(A8)

ones defines the matrix $N(T)$ as follows:

$$
N(T) = M(T) - (\mathbb{I} - \mathcal{P}_\parallel - \mathcal{P}_\perp).
$$

(A9)

In the basis $(\mathbf{e}_\parallel, \mathbf{e}_\perp, \mathbf{e}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{2f-2})$, using orthogonality between $\mathbf{e}_\perp$ and $\mathbf{e}_j$, entries of $N(T)$ read

$$
N(T) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \alpha_\parallel & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \cdots & \gamma_{2f-2} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \lambda_1 - 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \lambda_{2f-2} - 1 \\
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(A10)

where $\gamma_j = \mathbf{e}_\parallel^\top \cdot \mathbf{e}_j$, which actually could be related to the $\alpha_j$, but this is not necessary in our case. This shows that the determinant of $N(T)$ is exactly $\prod_{j=1}^{2f-2} (\lambda_j - 1)$. The main advantage of the matrix $N(T)$ is that its expression (A9) does not involve the eigenvectors or the eigenvalues of $M(T)$, so that its determinant can be directly computed, without the diagonalization stage required when getting $\det (m(T) - \mathbb{I})$ through the eigenvalues $\lambda_j$. Furthermore, derivatives of $\ln \det N(T)$ with respect to the period $T$ are also straightforward to obtain, knowing derivatives of $M(T)$ and of $X(T)$, whereas derivatives of $\lambda_j$ would require the knowledge of those of the eigenvectors $\mathbf{e}_j$. 
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