Invasive predators induce plastic and adaptive responses during embryo development in a threatened frog
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Abstract

Invasive predators can strongly affect native populations. If alien predator pressure is strong enough, it can induce anti-predator responses, including phenotypic plasticity of exposed individuals and local adaptations of impacted populations. Furthermore, maternal investment is an additional pathway that could provide resources and improve performance in the presence of alien predators. We investigated the potential responses to an alien predator crayfish (Procambarus clarkii) in a threatened frog (Rana latastei) by combining field observations with laboratory measurements of embryo development rate, to assess the importance of parental investment, origin and exposure to the crayfish cues. We detected a strong variation in parental investment amongst frog populations, but this variation was not related to the invasion status of the site of origin, suggesting that mothers did not modulate parental investment in relation to the presence of alien predators. However, cues of the invasive crayfish elicited plastic responses in clutches and tadpoles development: embryos developed faster when exposed to the predator. Furthermore, embryos from invaded sites reached Gosner’s development stage 25 faster than those from non-invaded sites. This ontogenetic shift can be interpreted as a local adaptation to the alien predator and suggests that frogs are able to recognise the predatory risk. If these plastic responses and local adaptation are effective escape strategies against the invasive predator, they may improve the persistence of native frog populations.
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Introduction

Biological invasions are a major threat to biodiversity and exert multiple impacts on the ecosystems on a global scale (Bellard et al. 2016, Nentwig et al. 2018). Invasive predators often cause declines and extinctions of native species (Mooney and Cleland 2001). These negative impacts have been often explained by the lack of common evolutionary history, which can hamper predator recognition in native prey and can limit the expression of effective anti-predator responses (Sih et al. 2010). However, there are several mechanisms that allow native species to implement effective responses against invasive predators (e.g. Freeman and Byers 2006; Weis and Sol 2016; Falaschi et al. 2020).

First, prey can display plastic responses to predator selective pressures acting on morphological, life history, physiological and behavioural features (Peacor et al. 2006, Nunes et al. 2014a, Melotto et al. 2021a). Prey generally express phenotypic plasticity when they are able to recognise the alien predator, for instance, because it shares cues with a native predator or is phylogenetically similar to it (Ferrari et al. 2007). Furthermore, aliens can drive strong selective pressures that induce genetic changes in native populations and may determine an evolutionary response of the prey (Cousyn et al. 2001, Nunes et al. 2014a, Ortega et al. 2017, Melotto et al. 2020). If responses to invasive predators are effective, they may increase prey fitness and, ultimately, can allow long-term persistence of native populations. In addition, in some cases, parents can improve the fitness of their offspring through the modulation of parental investment (Cameron and Martin 2000). Parental investment allows modulating the phenotype of offspring on the basis of the conditions experienced by parents (Pick et al. 2019). In many oviparous taxa, egg volume is a major form of parental investment affecting key traits of offspring’s fitness, such as survival, morphology, stress tolerance, growth and development rate (Mousseau 1998). Nevertheless, the actual usefulness of a parental investment can be highly context dependent and the increase in parental investment is not necessarily associated with a rise in offspring fitness. Indeed, females may not be able to predict the environment in which their offspring will grow, thus the invested resources would be not appropriate to it (Kaplan 1992). While the selective pressures exerted by invasive species can promote the quick evolution of behavioural and morphological traits limiting exposure to predation (Skelly and Freidenburg 2000, Melotto et al. 2020), there is little information available on the role played by parental investment. Importantly, the effectiveness of parental investments in allowing native prey species persistence remains an open question.

Amphibians are an excellent model system to assess plastic and evolutionary responses and to evaluate the role of parental investment, as they show a broad diversity of phenotypic plasticity, they can rapidly adapt to strong selective pressures and many species are easy to handle under experimental rearing conditions (Kaplan 1998, Relyea 2001, Beebee 2005, McCartney-Melstad and Shaffer 2015). For instance, frogs lay clutches that display a strong variation in number of eggs and egg size and these traits are commonly used to determine the parental investment (Kaplan and King 1997); clutch features are closely related to female body condition and to the environment
Invasive predators induce anti-predator responses during frog development

experienced by mothers (e.g. Dziminski and Ross 2005, Sinsch et al. 2015). Populations of native amphibians exposed to invasive predators often show strong variation in developmental rate. For instance, populations of the Italian agile frog (*Rana latastei*) came into contact with the American red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) in northern Italy approximately 20 years ago (Lo Parrino et al. 2020). The red swamp crayfish exerts a strong predator pressure on amphibians, inasmuch as it is a voracious predator on larvae of amphibians (Cruz et al. 2006, Ficetola et al. 2011b). This invasive crayfish also feeds on amphibian eggs and is able to separate eggs from their protective jelly (Gherardi et al. 2001, Renai and Gherardi 2004). This alien predator is listed amongst the “100 worst” invasive alien species in the world (Cruz et al. 2008, Nentwig et al. 2018). Recent research showed that the Italian agile frog tadpoles are able to metamorphose earlier when exposed to the red swamp crayfish in experimental conditions, especially when belonging to populations already invaded (Melotto et al. 2020). This suggests that both phenotypic plasticity and local adaption can accelerate tadpole development in order to limit exposure to invasive predators (Melotto et al. 2020). However, in invaded populations, the faster development is expected to require higher energetic investments for growth and anti-predator behaviours (Burraco et al. 2020, Melotto et al. 2020). Parental investments might allow parents to partially counteract the constraints posed by the red swamp crayfish. Furthermore, we do not have information on potential responses of early development stages, even though the rate of embryo development can be modulated to reduce predation pressure (Warkentin 2005).

The aim of this study is to test the role of parental investment, phenotypic plasticity and adaptations in the interactions between alien predator and native populations and to evaluate whether parents are able to modulate their investment in response to the presence of an alien predator in *Rana latastei*. We first tested: i) whether variability in parental investment exists amongst frog populations and ii) if this could be related to the invasive crayfish presence as a modulation of maternal investment. Furthermore, iii) we tested whether, under controlled conditions, the rate of embryo development is related to differences in parental investment, whether it is faster in populations invaded by the crayfish (potential local adaptations) or when exposed to the crayfish (potential phenotypic plasticity).

To test these hypotheses, we measured several features of egg clutches to evaluate the variability in parental investment between frog populations invaded and not invaded by the crayfish. Subsequently, we used a common rearing experiment to measure differences in development rates across clutches and tadpoles with different origin, parental investment or exposed/unexposed to the red swamp crayfish. As different climate conditions seem to affect clutch laying in anurans and to avoid differences in parental investment and development time amongst populations living in different climatic conditions (Ficetola and Bernardi 2005), we selected multiple populations from the same altitude and with similar local climate. Our study underlines the importance of phenotypic plasticity and rapid adaptation for anti-predator responses during biological invasions.
Methods

Study system.

The target species of this study is the Italian agile frog (*Rana latastei*), which lives from the sea level up to 500–700 m a.s.l. This frog is endemic of northern Italy and nearby areas and is listed by IUCN as vulnerable due to habitat reduction and fragmentation, pollution and alien species introduction of breeding sites (Schmidt et al. 2020). *Rana latastei* females produce their globular clutches from February to mid-April. Each *Rana latastei* female lay a single egg mass that displays strong variability, with the number of eggs per clutch ranging from 300 to > 2700 eggs (Bernini et al. 2007, Ambrogio and Mezzadri 2018). Clutches are laid in ponds and ditches surrounded by woods and they hatch in 12–15 days, while tadpoles metamorphose in about 3 months. Variation in parental investment (egg size) is known to influence multiple fitness-related traits of larvae, including survival and growth rate (Ficetola and De Bernardi 2009, Ficetola et al. 2011a).

The red swamp crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii*, is native from eastern North America and Mexico, but has been introduced worldwide (except in Australia and Antarctica). This crayfish was introduced in Italy thirty years ago and, since then, its range showed an impressive expansion. Nowadays *Procambarus clarkii* is widespread in Italy and it invaded the study area between 2005 and 2009 (Lo Parrino et al. 2020, Melotto et al. 2020). Landscape-level analyses have shown that the crayfish has strong effects on frog populations, reducing tadpole survival in the wild and affecting the dynamics of population networks (Ficetola et al. 2012, Manenti et al. 2020, Falaschi et al. 2021).

We studied frog populations living in the foothills of the Lombardy Region (north-western Italy). To avoid differences amongst populations living in different microclimatic conditions (Morrison and Hero 2003, Ficetola and Bernardi 2005), we focused on foothill populations living at an altitude of 177–295 m above sea level (a.s.l) within the Monza-Brianza, Como and Lecco Provinces (Suppl. material 1: Table S1). To confirm that the variability amongst clutches in parental investment is unrelated to variation of climatic conditions, we downloaded the ChelsaClim maps at 30-arc second resolution (Karger et al. 2017) and analysed them with QGIS 3.4.13 (https://qgis.org/). We considered two key climatic parameters, known to affect frog fitness and phenology: monthly precipitation and annual mean temperature (Ficetola and Maiorano 2016). The study area is heavily populated, but this region also hosts several fragmented broadleaved forests and wetlands. Within the study area, we sampled eight breeding sites. Each site was represented by a wetland (either a pond or a ditch); all clutches from the same wetland were < 50 m from each other. Four of the eight sites considered are colonised by *Procambarus clarkii*, while four are crayfish-free.

Clutch sampling and measurement of parental investment.

Field activities were performed in February 2020, at the beginning of the breeding season of the Italian agile frog. Sites were monitored daily to collect egg clutches laid during the night before. Newly-laid clutches were photographed in the field to obtain two
measures of maternal investment: number of eggs and egg volume. To take pictures, egg masses were removed from the ponds and gently divided in smaller fragments (4 ± 1.5 SD, fragments per clutch) to make eggs individually distinguishable. Clutch fragments were positioned on a white support (mobile table top) wet with the water of the breeding sites. A ruler was placed above the support and photographs were taken with the help of a camera, equipped with a macro lens. The clutch fragments were returned to the breeding sites, except for two small fragments per clutch, which were brought to the laboratory for the common rearing experiment. Overall, we obtained pictures from 50 clutches (total number of pictures processed: 223). We then used ImageJ (Schindelin et al. 2015) to measure the number of eggs and to estimate egg volume (cm³). To count the number of eggs, the photographs were taken in black and white because the Analyze Particles function requires binarised photographs (Moraga and Pervin 2018). We then used the Threshold function to adjust the photos contrast and the Analyze Particles function to automatically count them and to calculate the average diameter of eggs. Egg volume was then calculated assuming a spherical shape. Finally, the value of total parental investment of each clutch was calculated as total number of eggs × average egg volume.

Development and survival under common rearing conditions

We set up a common rearing experiment to measure differences in development and survival across clutches with different origin, parental investment or exposed/unexposed to the crayfish. We used the same experimental set elaborated by Melotto et al. (2020). Two small fragments (40 ± 12 eggs) from each of the 50 sampled clutches were transported in the laboratory the day after deposition. The two fragments from the same clutch were randomly assigned to one of two treatments: absence of the crayfish or non-lethal presence of the crayfish. The fragments of the clutches were housed in containers and containers were stored in six 70 x 48 cm blocks filled with aged tap water. Clutches belonging to the same blocks were arranged nearby the same central compartment. In half of the blocks, we placed one adult crayfish in the central compartment (predator treatment), while in the remaining blocks, the central compartment was empty (controls). The containers hosting the clutches were separated from the crayfish area by a fine wire mesh that allowed the flow of chemical and visual cues by the crayfish. Control and experimental blocks were maintained under identical outdoor conditions; the three crayfishes were randomly re-assigned to the experimental blocks every 7 days. Half of the water in the blocks was changed weekly and crayfish were fed with flaked fish food and rabbit pellets. The containers were monitored daily to record the timing of hatching (as average time elapsed between the hatching of the first and last tadpoles of the fragment) and the reaching of Gosner’s stage 25 (i.e. free-swimming tadpole) (Gosner 1960).

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, it was not possible to complete the research as originally conceived, because a total local lockdown, starting on 9 March 2020, caused the interruption of laboratory activities. However, despite that, we collected 100 fragments; it was possible to measure hatching time for 42 fragments only (18
collected from sites crayfish-free and 24 colonised by the predator), taken from five ponds. Finally, we determined the time to reach Gosner’s stage 25 in 239 larvae: 116 developed with *Procambarus clarkii* non-lethal presence and 123 without crayfish in the rearing experiment.

**Data analyses**

A linear mixed effects model was used to determine if there was a correlation between number of eggs and egg volume within populations; site of origin was included as the random effect. Adding population as the random factor allowed us to consider differences between populations when analysing variation within populations. We used Pearson’s Correlation test to analyse whether there is a covariation between the average number of eggs and the average egg volume of each population. The inclusion of random effect was not necessary when assessing the relationship across populations, as in this case, we only considered one value (average across all the egg masses) for each population.

To assess differences amongst populations in parental investment (egg volume, number of eggs and total parental investment), we used three generalised linear models, one for each parameter describing parental investment, including site of origin as the fixed factor. Subsequently, we used linear mixed effects models (LMMs) to determine the factors related to parental investment across populations (egg volume, number of eggs and total parental investment). Invasion status (invaded/non-invaded by the crayfish), monthly precipitation and annual mean temperature were used as candidate fixed factors with site of origin as the random factor. We then calculated Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) for all the combinations of fixed independent variables (invasion status and climatic parameters). The model with the lowest AIC value is the one that explains the most variation with the fewest variables and is considered to be the “best model” (Burnham and Anderson 2002). In all models, number of eggs and total investment were log-transformed to improve normality.

LMMs were also used to test factors affecting average hatching time and the time required to reach Gosner’s stage 25 (free-swimming tadpole). Average egg volume of the fragment, invasion status and treatment (non-lethal exposure to the crayfish vs. no exposure) were the independent variables. In preliminary tests, we also evaluated statistical interactions between invasion status and treatment. However, these interactions were not significant (*p* > 0.3); consequently, we excluded them from the analyses. All LLMs included site of origin and rearing block as random factors. We also used the DHARMa R package to assess the residuals of mixed models (Hartig 2021); in all of the analyses, the residuals showed no significant deviations from expectations (for all the models: KS test: *p* > 0.43, dispersion test: *p* > 0.79). We performed all the statistical analyses in R environment, version 3.4.2, (http://www.r-project.org). We used the lme4, lmerTest, car and MuMIn packages for linear mixed models (Kuznetsova et al. 2017) and visreg package (Breheny and Burchett 2017) to generate conditional regression plots. Furthermore, we calculated marginal and conditional *R*² as a measure of effect size in LMMs (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013, Johnson 2014).
Results

Parental investment

Fifty newly-laid clutches were collected and photographed to determine the parental investment for each population. We found a strong variation of parental investment across clutches and populations. The number of eggs per clutch ranged from 500 to 2500, while the average egg volume ranged between 0.003 and 0.008 cm$^3$ (Fig. 1a). The total number of eggs, egg volume and total parental investment showed strong and significant differences amongst frog populations (number of eggs: $F_{7, 39} = 6.474, p < 0.001$; egg volume: $F_{7, 39} = 4.652, p < 0.001$; total investment: $F_{7, 39} = 6.136, p < 0.001$; Figs. 1a, b, c). There was no correlation between number of eggs and egg volume within population ($F_{1, 43.6} = -0.247, p = 0.812$). Across populations, there was a positive correlation between average number of eggs per clutch and egg volume, but the correlation was not significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ ($r = 0.681, N = 8, p = 0.063$).

When we assessed the relationship amongst the three parameters representing parental investment and population features (climate and presence of the crayfish), the null-model always showed lower AIC values, compared to the models including independent variables (Table 1). This suggests that the number of eggs, egg volume and total investment were not related to either climatic parameters or to the presence of the crayfish in the site. Furthermore, none of the independent variables was significantly related to any of the parameters representing maternal investment (Suppl. material 1: Table S2).

Common rearing experiment

The average hatching time of embryos ($\pm$ SD) was 10.18 $\pm$ 0.83 days. Hatching time was not related to the average egg volume (mixed model: $F_{1, 32.5} = 0.029, p = 0.867$; Fig. 2c) or to the occurrence of crayfish in the site ($F_{1, 18} = 2.721, p = 0.116$, Fig. 2a). Clutches reared with the crayfish in the block hatched faster than controls ($F_{1, 14.6} = 10.786, p = 0.005$, Fig. 2b; no significant interaction between invasion status and treatment: $p = 0.227$). The model explained a good amount of variation (marginal $R^2 = 0.17$; conditional $R^2 = 0.69$).

The average time required for reaching Gosner’s stage 25 (free-swimming tadpole) ($\pm$ SD) was 16 $\pm$ 1 days. Tadpoles from clutches with smaller egg volumes tended to reach Gosner’s stage 25 faster than those with a larger volume one ($F_{1, 24} = 7.138, p = 0.013$; Fig. 2f) and we detected significant differences between clutches from invaded vs. non-invaded sites, as tadpoles from invaded sites reached stage 25 significantly earlier ($F_{1, 13.45} = 5.017, p = 0.04$; Fig. 2d). The model explained a good amount of variation (marginal $R^2 = 0.47$; conditional $R^2 = 0.78$). However, these results were strongly dependent on the time to reach Gosner’s stage 25 of tadpoles hatched from one single clutch fragment with very short time of development. If this fragment was removed from the dataset, the relationship between hatching time and both egg volume and crayfish presence in the ponds of origin become non-significant (egg volume = $F_{1, 23} =$
Figure 1. Variability of the three parameters considered describing parental investment amongst populations: a egg number b egg volume; c total investment (i.e. egg number × egg volume). Red boxplots represent crayfish presence in the original ponds, while blue boxplots represent crayfish-free sites. N = 50 clutches. For data analysis, the number of eggs and total investment were logarithm transformed to improve normality; therefore, we show the log-transformed y-axis.

3.442, \( p = 0.077 \), crayfish presence = \( F_{1,13} = 3.9, \ p = 0.069 \). Additionally, in this analysis, tadpoles exposed to the crayfish treatment reached Gosner’s stage 25 more quickly than those not exposed to the crayfish (\( F_{1,13.5} = 20.893, \ p < 0.001; \) Fig. 2e).

Discussion

Phenotypic plasticity, local adaptation and maternal investment are key mechanisms that can allow withstanding alien predators through the modulation of phenotype. Our study detected strong differences in parental investment across frog populations, even though this variation was unrelated to the presence of the crayfish in the site. We evidenced that tadpole origin and exposure to the crayfish affected the development of frog embryos and larvae, suggesting that plasticity and local adaptations can play a role.

Variation of maternal investment across populations

Very limited information exists about variation of parental investment in \( R. \ latastei \). In literature, just a few counts of the number of eggs are available, with values consistent with our study (Bernini et al. 2004, Ambrogio and Mezzadri 2018). We detected
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...a very strong variation for both number of eggs and egg volume across females of different populations. Several explanations to this great variability exist, inasmuch as different selective forces and resource availability jointly act on parental investment (Roff 2002). In many cases, the variation in female conditions is a key driver of maternal investment. In amphibians, female body conditions and body size are frequently related to clutch features (Prado and Haddad 2003, Tessa et al. 2009, Chen et al. 2012, Sinsch et al. 2015). In turn, variation in size and conditions can be caused by differences in lifespan, food availability, climatic conditions and other environmental features (e.g. Reim et al. 2006; Roitberg et al. 2013). For instance, previous studies showed that females of the Monza (MZ) population are significantly larger than the ones of other populations from the study area, perhaps because of higher food availability or longevity (Ficetola et al. 2006) and this might allow them to provide better parental investments. Indeed, this hypothesis aligns with our data as Monza females have a significantly higher parental investment for all the parameters considered, if compared to the other populations (Fig. 1).
Figure 2. Hatching time and time to reach Gosner’s stage 25 of *Rana latastei* in relation to a–d the invasion status of populations b–e treatment during the rearing experiment and c–f egg volume as parameter of parental investment. Red plots represent crayfish presence in the original ponds a–d or in the treatment b, e and blue plots represent crayfish-free sites a–d or the rearing experiment controls (b, e). Line shows mean correlation and coloured shaded area shows the 95% confidence interval. N = 42 clutch fragments, N = 239 larvae.

Previous studies showed that differences in parental investment could provide differential fitness advantages under specific environmental conditions in amphibians (e.g. predator pressure, climate, environmental stress) (Dziminski and Ross 2005) and that females can accordingly modulate their investment (Räsänen et al. 2005). For instance, in amphibians, mothers can modify the composition of their egg coats to improve tolerance to acidic conditions in embryos (Shu et al. 2016). We did not detect relationships between maternal investment and climate, as we selected a homogeneous pool of populations to better assess the impact of the alien crayfish, but it will be interesting to assess patterns over a broader climatic gradient. Similarly, variation in maternal investment was unrelated to predator pressure. Other studies demonstrated that amphibians, depending on their life history, modulate parental care in response to geographic differences in climate and in presence of an offspring predator (Delia et
al. 2013, Shulte et al. 2020). However, the crayfish has invaded the study area only recently, thus it is possible that the populations have had only a limited time to adapt to this predator (Lo Parrino et al. 2020).

Relationships amongst invasive crayfish, maternal investment and embryo development

Multiple factors affected development rate of *Rana latastei* embryos and tadpoles and crayfish presence in the pond of origin and the non-lethal exposure to the crayfish caused developmental acceleration. Egg provisioning is a key driver of the development rate in frog populations (Dziminski and Ross 2005, Ficetola et al. 2011a). In our study, there were no differences in hatching time related to the average egg volume. Even though hatchlings from clutches with smaller egg volume tended to develop faster than those with a smaller one, this relationship was affected by one single clutch with very short development time. A faster development of embryos hatched from smaller eggs is inconsistent with previous studies (Nussbaum 1985, Berven and Chadra 1988, Dziminski and Ross 2005). In fact, a rapid larval development is often assumed to be positively related to fitness and a larger parental investment is expected to provide resources that can allow embryos to reach faster large size and/or late development stages (Kaplan 1992, Warkentin 1999, Capellán and Nicieza 2006, Ficetola et al. 2011a). However, during the embryo development, the cell cleavage may need more time for larger cells than smaller ones and this could explain the faster development time of small eggs. Further studies, involving analyses of a large sample size, are required to better understand the multifaceted relationships between egg size and time of development.

We observed a significant plastic response in embryos and tadpoles reared in presence of the crayfish; individuals hatched and reached Gosner’s stage 25 earlier than those unexposed to the predator. This development acceleration confirms that embryos and larvae can recognise the crayfish cues as a risk. This is the first evidence that *Rana latastei* is able to modify hatching phenology in response to the presence of predators and, thus, the crayfish pressure is strong enough to elicit plasticity in hatching. The co-evolutionary history of species may influence the recognition of a novel predator and, therefore the expression of phenotypic plasticity. Before the crayfish invasion, the Italian agile frog was often syntopic with a native predator that is rather similar to the red swamp crayfish, i.e. the European white-clawed crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*). In turn, the long evolutionary history with a similar native predator can facilitate responses against non-native predators. In fact, a recent study demonstrated that tadpoles of species that co-evolved with the native crayfish are able to recognise the alien crayfish and to better modulate anti-predator strategies when facing the invasive crayfish (Melotto et al. 2021b). Rapid development can be particularly important in the period from hatching to stage 25, when tadpoles are highly vulnerable, given their limited es-
cape ability. The faster development rate can reduce the exposure to this predator, even though tadpoles may suffer costs for other fitness-related traits (Melotto et al. 2020).

Furthermore, we detected differences between colonised and crayfish-free populations in development time. In this case, the effect of *Procambarus clarkii* was only evident after hatching, as tadpoles from invaded sites reached stage 25 significantly earlier, while no differences in hatching time existed. We also acknowledge that the effect of *Procambarus clarkii* after hatching was affected by one single clutch with particularly rapid development, highlighting the importance of additional tests. However, our findings are consistent with the conclusions of Melotto et al. (2020), despite the fact that we tested different populations in different years. This can be interpreted as local adaptation which can limit mortality and suggests that the adaptation to the crayfish occurs in invaded populations. Finally, plasticity and local adaptation often induce coherent phenotypic variation, jointly determining fitness variation across populations (Levis et al. 2018, Stamp and Hadfield 2020). Our study contributes to the growing evidence that invasive predators, as a novel selective pressure, can induce rapid evolutionary changes in native populations (Langklide 2009, Moran and Alexander 2014). Studying potential evolutionary outcomes of native prey is also important to understand the impact of invasive species and to predict potential long-term effects (Sih et al. 2010, Nunes et al. 2014b).

Despite the strong predatory pressure imposed by *Procambarus clarkii*, so far, the total abundance of clutches in invaded populations by the crayfish does not seem to have undergone a significant decrease (Manenti et al. 2020). Frog persistence is certainly due to the immigration of frog individuals from source populations (Manenti et al. 2020, Falaschi et al. 2021), but it is also possible that plastic and adaptive responses to this predator help to counteract the heavy predator pressure, allowing a sufficient number of tadpoles to attain metamorphosis.

In conclusion, we did not observe a significant relationship between variation in parental investment and the occurrence of a major invasive predator, nor did we detect evidence that parental investment improves development rate in this system. Nevertheless, anti-predator strategies, such as phenotypic plasticity or adaptive variations, can help native populations to reduce the impact of an alien predator. So far, most of the studies analysed variation of amphibian performance under laboratory conditions and more studies are needed to understand how these processes act in the wild. Furthermore, in a world where invasive species are increasingly widespread and abundant, continuous monitoring is required to evaluate whether these responses will allow the long-term persistence of native species.
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