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ABSTRACT Privacy laws in South Asian countries are still at a nascent stage. Therefore, South Asian websites are susceptible to user privacy violation. This paper presents an assessment of website privacy policies from 10 sectors in the three largest South Asian economies, namely, India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. Using a manual qualitative analysis on a dataset of 284 popular websites, we assessed the policies based on accessibility, readability, and compliance with 11 privacy principles. Our findings show that overall, the privacy statement accessibility, and privacy compliance of websites from the three countries is low especially in the education, healthcare, and government sectors. Readability is quite low for websites in all 10 sectors of the three countries. Privacy compliance in each country is the highest for the principles of data processing and third-party transfer, whereas it is the lowest for protection of children’s data, data retention and portability. Indian websites performed comparatively better amongst the three countries on all three metrics, followed by Pakistan, and Bangladesh. Based on our results, we provide recommendations involving all stakeholders (i.e., website owners, privacy regulators, and users) to help improve privacy protection of user data in South Asia.
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I. INTRODUCTION Privacy is the ability of an individual to express himself selectively in a public domain [1], [2]. In this digital age, the notion of privacy primarily refers to the freedom that an individual should have for determining how his personally identifiable information (e.g., name, date of birth, email address, and IP address) are processed, i.e., collected, used, and disclosed [3]–[5].

One channel through which personal information is processed, is the publicly accessible websites of business and service entities. Ensuring that the users of these websites are aware of how their personal information is processed, how the accuracy of their data is maintained, how its data integrity and confidentiality is preserved, is vital. These aspects are important because user information is now considered a valuable commodity. For example, business entities either analyze user information themselves or share/sell them to advertisers and researchers to best tailor commercial services to the online consumer market. Therefore, it is expected that all websites include a relevant privacy statement [17] to ensure lawful, fair, and transparent processing of user data [6].

A website’s privacy policy needs to comply with its regional privacy and data protection laws. These laws originated from the Fair Information Practice Principles (FIPPs) outlined by the United States Federal Trade Commission [8]. Lately, new and more focused privacy legislations have been enacted regionally that fit to the local privacy requirements in the region. The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is one such legislation created by the European Union (EU) [6]. GDPR is globally considered as the most comprehensive and the strongest set of privacy and data protection laws [7]. Failure to comply with its guidelines by a website that is EU-based or offers goods/services to a user in the EU can result in a sizable fine. For instance, Google was fined €50 million in 2019 because it failed to provide clarity and transparency on how it handled personal data of its French users for targeted advertising [9].

The concept of digital privacy is a modern construct, primarily associated with the western world; it has remained
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Privacy policy is a statement that discloses how an organization collects, uses, and manages its users’ data [17]. It is considered as a legal document that adheres to the core principles of user privacy protection, known as FIPPS. An organization’s website is required to contain a clearly stated privacy policy that is easily visible on its homepage, usually via a link labeled as “Privacy”, “Privacy Policy”, “Privacy Statement”, etc. in the footer section.

Although privacy policy of a website generally adheres to FIPPS, this set of principles is considered arcane as they have failed to effectively enforce privacy on the Internet [8]. Therefore, modern privacy and data protection laws at regional levels have been build upon FIPPS. The content and format of a privacy policy must adhere to the laws applicable to the region or country where the corresponding website is based.

Digital privacy is a concept that is primarily associated with the western world. For example, privacy is considered as a fundamental right for a sustained democracy in Europe nowadays [18]. In contrast, South Asia is relatively new to implementing privacy laws in its constituent countries. We discuss the local privacy laws of the three largest South Asian economies along with their comparison with GDPR below.

A. INDIAN PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION BILL (IPDPB)

India is leading its effort in implementing data privacy of its citizens through a legislation bill, known as the Personal Data Protection Bill [19]. This bill is yet to be enacted as a privacy law, but the latest revision has been presented to the Indian Parliament in December 2019 [20]. A summary of its privacy principles is presented below.

i. Data collection: Outlines what data is collected, by whom, and for what purposes [IPDPB: Cl. 4–6].

ii. Data retention: Outlines the length of data storage and the corresponding review policy [IPDPB: Cl. 9].

iii. Collection notification: Outlines the required clarity in policy notification across different languages [IPDPB: Cl. 7].

iv. Data sharing: Outlines how data is shared and how data quality is maintained with third parties, including the government [IPDPB: Cl. 17].

v. Sensitive data processing: Outlines how sensitive data of children aged below 18 is collected and shared with parental consent [IPDPB: Cl. 16].

vi. User control: Outlines how users can withdraw consent to data collection, update and erase collected data, etc. [IPDPB: Cl. 18, 20].

vii. User access: Outlines how users would request their data and receive a copy in an accessible format [IPDPB: Cl. 19].

viii. Security standards: Outlines the necessity of security safeguards, their review policy, and how security breaches are notified to users [IPDPB: Cl. 24].

ix. Quality control: Outlines how complete and accurate the data is using a data-trust score [IPDPB: Cl. 8].
x. **Grievance redressal:** Outlines how user complaints are mitigated [IPDPB: Cl. 32].

xi. **Accountability:** Outlines the vested responsibility on the data collector for complying with the personal data protection in India [IPDPB: Cl. 10].

### B. PAKISTANI PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION BILL (PPDPB)

Pakistan introduced its privacy legislation, as the Personal Data Protection Bill in 2018. An updated draft of the bill was proposed in April, 2020 [21]. This bill is expected to go through public consultations for further refinements [22]. A summary of the bill’s current draft is presented below.

i. **Personal data processing:** Outlines what data is collected, and how the collected data is used [PPDPB: Cl. 5, 28].

ii. **Rights of data subject:** Outlines how users can maintain control over the sharing, collection, processing, and deletion, of their data [PPDPB: Cl. 16, 19, 23, 25-27].

iii. **Data Retention:** Outlines how the data is stored and that the processed data shall not be kept longer than is necessary for the fulfillment of the purpose [PPDPB: Cl. 9, 11].

iv. **Security requirements:** Outlines the security measures for protecting user data from any loss, misuse, modification, unauthorized or accidental access or disclosure, alteration or destruction [PPDPB: Cl. 8].

v. **Compliance with data subject’s requests:** Outlines how the data controller is complying with data subject’s requests regarding data access, correction, deletion, and halting processing and disclosure [PPDPB: Cl. 17-18, 20-22].

vi. **Personal data breach notification:** Outlines the requirements of notifying the users in case of a personal data breach [PPDPB: Cl. 13].

vii. **Notice to data subject:** Outlines that a written notice is provided (in English and National language) to inform the data subject regarding data collection and processing, along with the rights of the subjects [PPDPB: Cl. 6].

viii. **Data integrity and access:** Outlines that the collected personal data is accurate and that the user shall be given access to a copy of his/her personal data in an intelligible form [PPDPB: Cl. 10, 16].

ix. **Complaint:** Outlines that an aggrieved person may file a complaint in case of violation of their personal data protection rights [PPDPB: Cl. 45].

x. **Disclosure of personal data:** Outlines what data is shared, why it is shared, and whom (including govt/private sectors) it is shared with [PPDPB: Cl. 7, 14-15, 24].

### C. DIGITAL SECURITY ACT (DSA)

Bangladesh implemented data privacy through a recent legislation known as the Digital Security Act [23]. Even though the enforcement of this act is already in place since October, 2018, its scope is limited to the collection process of user data. This act only outlines what constitutes personal data and how it should be collected with user consent on the Internet [DSA: Cl. 26].

### D. A COMPARISON WITH THE EUROPEAN UNION

The GDPR is a European legislation on privacy and data protection. It is applicable in the the European Economic Area (EEA [24]). GDPR has been implemented since May 25, 2018; and is considered as the world’s most comprehensive and strongest set of data protection laws. It outlines how people can access information about them and places limits on what business and service entities can do with personal data. We have summarized GDPR as the following 10 principles.

i. **Data processing:** Outlines that relevant personal data is processed (i.e., collected, retrieved, and used) strictly for intended purposes to which a data subject (i.e., an identified or identifiable natural person) gives consent [GDPR: Art. 5 (1)(a)-(c), Art. 6].

ii. **Protection of children’s data:** Outlines that the personal data of a child below age 16 is authorized for lawful processing through parental consent [GDPR: Art. 8, Recital 38].

iii. **Third-party transfer:** Outlines that the flow of personal data to a third country or organization takes place only if the conditions for data protection and safeguards are met [GDPR: Art. 44-50, Recital 101].

iv. **Transparency:** Outlines that the data controller takes necessary measures to provide all information (e.g., policy changes and updates) referring to the personal data of data subjects, using clear and plain language [GDPR: Art. 12, Recital 39].

v. **Data retention:** Outlines that the personal data is not stored longer than what is necessary for the specified purpose(s) [GDPR: Art. 5 (1)(e)].

vi. **Data Accuracy and control:** Outlines that all reasonable steps (e.g., data subject’s right to access, rectify, and delete) are taken to ensure that the user data is accurate and up-to-date [GDPR: Art. 5 (1)(d), Art. 15–17, Recital 63-66].

vii. **Right to object:** Outlines that data subject has the right to halt data processing for direct marketing purposes [GDPR: Art. 24, Recital 70].

viii. **Data portability:** Outlines that data subject has the right to receive personal data in a structured, commonly used, and machine-readable format [GDPR: Art. 20, Recital 68].

ix. **Integrity and confidentiality:** Outlines that appropriate technical or organizational measures are in place to ensure security against unlawful processing, accidental loss, and damage of personal data [GDPR: Art. 5 (1)(f)].

x. **Accountability:** Outlines that the data controller (a person or legal entity that performs data processing) is accountable for personal data processing and is
TABLE 1. A comparison between regional privacy laws in South Asia and GDPR.

| Regional laws | GDPR principles |
|---------------|-----------------|
| IPDPB, PPDPB, DSA | Data processing |
| IPDPB, PPDPB | Third-party transfer, Transparency, Data retention, Data accuracy and user control, Data portability, Integrity and confidentiality, Right to object, Accountability |
| IPDPB | Protection of children’s data |

required to notify the supervisory authority and data subject without undue delay in case of compromised data integrity and confidentiality [GDPR: Art. 33, Art. 34, Recitals 85–88].

Table 1 presents a comparison between privacy laws in South Asia and the EU. Because GDPR is the most comprehensive privacy legislation, it is evident from this table that the proposed IPDPB (India) and PPDPB (Pakistan) are strongly following the EU guidelines for protecting personal data in India and Pakistan, respectively. However, there is a significant disagreement between DSA (Bangladesh) and GDPR concerning the implemented privacy principles. It suggests that DSA is incomplete as a privacy legislation and falls short of protecting personal data of users in Bangladesh in its current state.

III. RELATED WORK

Several studies have analyzed the privacy policies of websites across different sectors to assess their compliance with FIPPs, regional laws, and other criteria. We briefly discuss some of these studies and how we build upon this literature.

A. COMPLIANCE WITH FIPPs

Alhamod et al. have analyzed the privacy policies of 54 government websites of Saudi Arabia using the FIPPs guidelines [16]. Their results showed that 40% of the websites that provide privacy policy complied with two or less out of the five core privacy principles outlined in FIPPs. Similarly, Dias et al. analyzed 308 Portuguese government websites. They showed that only 4% of the websites that provided a privacy policy complied with FIPPs pertaining to the clarity of collection and purpose of data sharing with third parties [30]. Rains et al., on the other hand, studied 97 different health websites to show that only 3% of them provided a privacy policy that met all of the FIPPs guidelines [26]. Another study by Liu et al. on e-commerce websites of Global 500 companies, examined compliance with FIPPs [27]. They showed that the investigated privacy policies mostly complied with the data collection principle but failed to address other aspects (e.g., user access to data) of the privacy law.

B. COMPLIANCE WITH REGIONAL LAWS

Bowers et al. studied the privacy policies of mobile-money services across multiple countries [15]. This study is based on the privacy principles implemented by both a regional standard (i.e., FDIC’s Privacy Rule Handbook [28]), and an industry standard (i.e., GSMA’s Mobile Privacy Principles [29]). They studied around 100 services and found that 50% of the websites with a privacy policy are not transparent to their users about the data collection process. Regarding government websites, Beldad et al. studied the privacy policies of Dutch government websites to assess their availability and compliance with the Dutch Personal Data Protection Act [30]. Their results demonstrate poor availability of privacy policy on the websites and weak compliance with the local privacy standard. On the other hand, Kuzma et al. analyzed 90 online pharmacy websites across nine different countries in Europe, Asia, and North America [31]. They show that the level of user data protection and privacy compliance is often very low even in those countries that have implemented strong privacy laws.

C. COMPLIANCE WITH CUSTOM CRITERIA

Desai et al. focused on e-commerce websites based in the US and Europe to investigate how the communication of websites’ privacy policies to customers has changed over a ten year period [13]. The authors designed a policy-rating score (based on privacy content, accessibility, security, etc.) without using any established privacy legislation. Their findings based on a dataset of 525 websites show that e-commerce companies do not necessarily inform their customers of their privacy practices. More importantly, this privacy practice remained static among these companies between 2000–2010. Recently, Zaeem et al. studied privacy policies of 600 websites across different sectors in North America [14]. The authors first designed a survey to identify the top ten privacy concerns among users, and then manually analyzed the websites’ privacy policies based on the outcomes of the survey. The analysis of these websites suggests that the majority of them shared user data with the law enforcement, and the users have limited control over their personal data following the data collection process. In another work, Robles-Estrada et al. analyzed the privacy statements of 120 Mexican companies in reference to the responses from a user survey [32]. Their findings show that online companies in Mexico hardly respect their customers’ privacy.

Although a considerable amount of work on privacy compliance has been done as of today, no study regarding websites in South Asia is available to the best of our knowledge. South Asia is an emerging economy for a population of around 2 billion [33] and the importance of data privacy has started to gain traction in that region lately, as exemplified by the recent data breaches in this region [11], [12]. Moreover, India has declared data privacy as a constitutional right of its citizens through a Supreme Court verdict in 2017 [34]. Therefore, a study on the privacy compliance of websites based in South Asia demands a strong consideration.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This paper performs a qualitative assessment of the website privacy policies of businesses/services in the top three
We evaluate the accessibility of a website’s privacy policy using a scoring rubric presented in Table 3. This table provides a quantifiable measure for a website on a 0–5 scale to determine whether it contains a privacy policy statement and if so, how easy it is for a user to track it on the website. For example, if the privacy statement is clearly visible on the landing page as a link (e.g., Privacy Policy, Privacy Statement, and Policy) in the footer section, the accessibility score is 5. On the other hand, the score is 0 if the website does not have a privacy statement or when the privacy statement is empty. For evaluating our dataset, we first compute the accessibility scores of all websites, then summarize them per sector from each country.

**C. RQ2: READABILITY**

We evaluate the readability of a privacy statement to assess how easy it is for a user to understand the privacy policy of a website. It is an important assessment criterion because privacy laws require clear statement of privacy policies in plain language [6]. For evaluating the readability of our dataset, we use Flesch-Kincaid grade-level formula, which is widely used to assess legal texts [39], medical documents [40], and privacy statements of websites [15]. This formula determines the required US grade-level education to understand a piece of text by a reader. A lower grade-level score, hence, means that the text is easier to comprehend for the reader. We calculated the Flesch-Kincaid grade-level scores using a publicly available online tool [41]. This tool also computes other readability metrics, such as Coleman Liau index, ARI (Automated Readability Index), and SMOG index. We also leveraged the basic text statistics generated by this tool such as word count of a policy statement.

For the purpose of qualitative evaluation, we calculated the average reading grade-level scores of the privacy statements in each sector to present a country-wide comparison of the readability scores. In addition, we investigated the length of each privacy statement using the word count values in this evaluation and correlated this value with the corresponding reading grade-level score. Note that word count is an important metric because the length of a privacy policy is a factor behind a user’s willingness to spend time reading it [42]. GDPR requires that a privacy policy is presented to users in a clear and plain language [GDPR: Recital 39]. We used language to further analyze the readability of a privacy statement and evaluate whether it accommodates users who are familiar with languages other than English, as stated in Pakistan’s data protection bill [PPDPB: Cl. 6.3]. Moreover, the three countries under investigation do not use English as their national language, and both India and Pakistan have several regional languages. Therefore, we calculated the language distributions of the website privacy policies in each country concerning English and national/regional languages.

**D. RQ3: PRIVACY COMPLIANCE**

We analyze the content of each privacy statement to evaluate whether the websites in our dataset comply with their regional privacy laws. In this context, we used GDPR as the reference privacy law for two reasons. First, privacy legislation in both India and Pakistan is currently at the review phase whereas the existing privacy law in Bangladesh is significantly inadequate. Secondly, the privacy laws of these three countries are subsets of GDPR principles, as shown in Table 1. In brief,

---

**TABLE 2. Number of websites per sector from the three South Asian countries.**

| Sector | India | Pakistan | Bangladesh |
|--------|-------|----------|------------|
| 1. E-commerce<sup>a</sup> | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 2. Finance | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 3. Education | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 4. Healthcare | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 5. News | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 6. Government | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 7. Telecom | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 8. Buy & Sell<sup>b</sup> | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 9. Jobs/Free lance | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 10. Blog/Forum | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| **Total (284)** | **94** | **95** | **95** |

<sup>a</sup> E-commerce refers to online shops where individual users buy products from vendors.

<sup>b</sup> Buy & Sell refers to online marketplaces where individual users buy and sell products/services.
we used GDPR for an objective analysis of privacy compliance across the three South Asian countries.

To evaluate the privacy statements for compliance, we used a keyword-based manual content analysis [15]. Table 4 lists a set of keywords that we selected to best represent each GDPR principle summarized in Section II-D. Note that we have split the data processing principle into two sub-principles: collection and purpose in the table. The notion here is to evaluate the implementation of the data processing principle in the privacy statements by separately analyzing what data items websites collect and for what specific purposes.

We manually analyzed the dataset using our keywords because automation of this process is a separate problem which is beyond the scope of this work. We first searched the content of a privacy statement using the keywords selected for each principle. If any of the keywords were found for a principle, we reviewed the texts around the matched keywords to decide whether the texts are in sufficient agreement with the definition of that privacy principle. After repeating this process for all GDPR principles, we calculated a privacy policy’s compliance score as the number of principles (out of 11) that are implemented in the privacy statement.

V. RESULTS
This section presents our analysis’s results. We have segmented them into 1) accessibility scores, 2) readability scores, and 3) compliance scores to answer RQ1, RQ2, and RQ3, respectively.

A. ACCESSIBILITY SCORES
Overall, 103 out of the 284 (36.2%) websites in our dataset do not have a privacy policy. Figure 1 shows an analysis concerning South Asian websites that do not provide a privacy statement for their users. The x-axis represents the website sectors and the y-axis represents the percentage of websites that have an accessibility score of 0. The bars encoded in three different patterns (i.e., diagonal, horizontal, and boxed, for India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh, respectively) summarize the analysis for each sector. In addition, the three horizontal lines (i.e., solid, dashed, and dotted) individually encode the average percentage scores for the respective countries across all sectors.

According to Figure 1, the unavailability of privacy statements on websites is consistently high across all sectors in South Asia. This observation is more evident for education (∼86%), healthcare (∼67%), government (∼57%), and blog/forum (∼50%) websites. However, we do see exceptions in the e-commerce (∼3%), news (∼10%), and buy & sell (∼13%) websites, probably, because of the popularity and volume of users in these sectors. In terms of regional analyses, around 26%, 34%, and 48% websites across all sectors in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh, respectively, do not contain a privacy statement, as the horizontal lines show in the figure. These values suggest that the websites based in India are comparatively more transparent about sharing data privacy policies with their users.

We present a summary of average accessibility scores for all websites from each sector in reference to Table 3 in Figure 2. Here, the y-axis refers to the accessibility score. The sector-wide scores in this figure follow a similar trend as in Figure 1. Therefore, the accessibility scores for e-commerce (∼4.33), news (∼3.91), buy & sell (∼4.08), and job/freelance (∼4.08) websites are comparatively higher than...
those in other sectors. Overall, websites in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh across all sectors have an average scores of $3.46 \pm 2.23$, $2.87 \pm 2.36$, and $2.35 \pm 2.43$, respectively, as the horizontal lines show in the figure. Also, the large standard deviations in these scores correspond to the high variations in the accessibility scores for each sector, as illustrated by the whiskers of each bar in Figure 2. These scores imply that the websites in South Asia are not highly accessible to their users. In our dataset, only 152 out of the 284 websites (i.e., \( \sim 54\% \)) contain a clearly visible privacy statement on their landing pages.

**B. READABILITY SCORES**

Figure 3 presents a summary of average readability scores for the websites from each sector that have a privacy statement, i.e., they have an accessibility score > 0. The scores represent Flesch-Kincaid grade levels,\(^1\) as discussed in Section IV-C, which are plotted on the y-axis of this

\(^1\) We computed Flesch-Kincaid grade-level score only if the privacy statement of a website is available in English. For example, Figure 3 does not have a score for the Bangladeshi websites from the government sector because either they do not have privacy statements or they are written in the local language.
figure. This figure shows that the average readability score of the websites in all sectors is consistently high except the blog/forum sector which has the lowest score of 12.4. According to the Flesch-Kincaid grade-level formula, any score between 12+ to 15 corresponds to texts that are difficult to read since they are suitable for college-level readers [43]. This categorization is also corroborated by the country-wide average scores, as identified by the horizontal lines in Figure 3. For example, websites in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh require users to have at least 14.81 ± 2.25, 13.83 ± 2.23, and 13.62 ± 2.43 years of formal education, respectively, to understand their privacy statements. Even though these scores are on par with popular websites, e.g., Yahoo, Facebook, and New York Times, their jargon/complexity
most likely discourages users from reading their privacy policies [44].

Figure 4 plots the readability scores (x-axis) against the length (y-axis) of privacy statements to summarize their correlation in our dataset. A regression analysis of the country-wide data points is shown as the solid, dashed, and dotted diagonal lines for India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, respectively. The positive slopes of these lines infer that the reading complexity of privacy statements in South Asia increases linearly with their word counts. It is to be noted that shorter privacy statements do not necessarily guarantee an improved comprehension of privacy policies [15]; however, longer privacy statements are not desired either, as discussed in Section IV-C. The average word counts of the websites based in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh are 2628, 1889 and 1232 words, respectively, as shown in Figure 4. Considering a typical college-level reading speed of $\sim 300$ words per minute [45], users in these countries require 9, 6.5, and 4 minutes, respectively, to skim through the privacy statements. These reading costs may appear highly feasible; however, the high readability scores of the privacy statements should be a consideration in the above assessment. For example, the privacy statement of BBC website is suitable for readers with middle-school education and yet it requires 15 minutes of reading time [44].

The language distributions of the privacy statements for websites in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh are shown in Figure 5. The figure illustrates the percentage of websites with an accessibility score $> 0$ that contain privacy statements in English only, national language only, or both English and national language. These results show that South Asian websites put insignificant efforts in presenting privacy policies to their local users. For instance, only $\sim 6\%$ websites have their privacy statements written in local languages. This finding is undesirable, for the literacy rate in this region is known to be low (Pakistan: 59\%) or moderate (India and Bangladesh: 74\%) [46].

C. COMPLIANCE SCORES

In this section, we discuss the extent to which the South Asian websites comply with privacy principles. Overall, we found that the average compliance score of the 284 South Asian websites in our dataset is low, i.e., 4.55. This means that on average, the websites comply with $\sim 4$ out of 11 privacy principles, which are listed in Table 4. Figure 6 further shows that India has the highest overall compliance score of 5.97 $\pm$ 4.07, followed by Pakistan (4.66 $\pm$ 4.02) and Bangladesh (3.28 $\pm$ 3.81). The high variations in the aforementioned scores suggest that South Asian websites are not consistent enough in implementing data privacy across different sectors. For example, this figure shows that e-commerce and job/freelance websites scores are much higher than those in other sectors, e.g., education, healthcare, and government.

We present an analysis of privacy compliance$^3$ in reference to country-wide and sector-wide statistics in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. We discuss these statistics for each privacy principle below.

1) DATA PROCESSING (COLLECTION)

We found that a majority of the websites clearly outlined their data collection practice in the privacy statement. However, Table 5 suggests that only $\sim 57\%$ of them fully comply with the collection part of the data-processing principle. It means that only a subset of these websites clearly state the personal information they collect from users, which primarily includes name, email, IP address and cookie information, across all sectors. Our country-wide analysis in the same table also shows that India has the highest percentage ($\sim 73\%$) of websites that comply with this principle followed by Pakistan ($\sim 56\%$) and Bangladesh ($\sim 43\%$) respectively. Note that considering data processing, and more specifically, data collection, is the sole privacy principle outlined in DSA, the compliance score for Bangladesh is significantly low.

In terms of our sector-wide analysis, Table 6 suggests that e-commerce, buy & sell, and Job/freelance websites have

$^3$A more detailed compliance score analysis of South Asian websites is available in Appendix B.
relatively high compliance, i.e., $\sim 80\%$, with data processing principle. This phenomenon can be attributed to the popularity, user volume, or financial interactions involved in these websites. However, the websites in other critical sectors such as healthcare, finance, and telecom have low compliance scores, i.e., $30\%$, $\sim 53\%$, and $\sim 64\%$, respectively. This is not unexpected since the websites in these sectors are static in design and are primarily used for informational purposes. For example, most South Asian banks and hospitals in our dataset do not provide online-banking and online-appointment services, respectively. Note that the websites from the education sector have the lowest compliance score of $\sim 13\%$ and this score can be justified with the same argument discussed above.

2) DATA PROCESSING (PURPOSE)
This principle has the highest overall ($\sim 59\%$) compliance in our dataset. Most of the websites either partially or fully state that the collected data will be used for notifying new services/products, providing better user experience, user analytics, or market research etc. The country-wide and sector-wide statistics for this part of the data processing principle are mostly in agreement with those in the data collection part. For example, India ($\sim 76\%$) has the highest compliance, followed by Pakistan ($\sim 61\%$), and Bangladesh ($\sim 46\%$). Once again, the compliance of e-commerce, buy & sell, and job/freelance websites are high, whereas education websites seem to have the the lowest score among all sectors.

3) PROTECTION OF CHILDREN’s DATA
This principle has the lowest overall compliance ($\sim 18\%$) in our dataset. Even though IPDPB mandates the protection of children’s data, we found that only $\sim 27\%$ of the Indian websites comply with this principle. On the other hand, PPDPB and DSA lack in the protection of children’s data, but around 21 and 14% websites in Pakistan and Bangladesh,

---

**TABLE 5.** Overall percentage (%) of South Asian websites complying with the privacy principles.

| Privacy Principles | Data processing (collection) | Data processing (purpose) | Protection of children’s data | Data accuracy & control | Data retention | Integrity & confidentiality | Accountability | Transparency | Data portability | Right to object | Third-party transfer |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Overall           | 57.04                       | 39.85                     | 18.30                       | 43.30                  | 25.35         | 52.46                       | 46.12          | 45.77        | 27.11           | 45.42          | 58.45               |
| India             | 73.40                       | 76.59                     | 26.59                       | 62.76                  | 41.48         | 69.14                       | 62.76          | 65.95        | 37.23           | 65.95          | 77.65               |
| Pakistan          | 56.84                       | 61.05                     | 21.05                       | 41.05                  | 26.31         | 58.94                       | 52.63          | 50.52        | 35.78           | 48.42          | 69.47               |
| Bangladesh        | 43.15                       | 46.31                     | 13.68                       | 34.73                  | 18.94         | 42.10                       | 37.89          | 37.89        | 27.36           | 43.15          | 51.57               |
respectively, still comply with this principle. Across all sectors, job/freelance has the highest compliance score (∼53%), whereas finance, education, government, and healthcare have significantly low scores ≤10%. It is to be noted that the e-commerce websites also fare significantly poorly (∼33%) here when compared with the two data-processing principles above.

4) DATA ACCURACY AND CONTROL
While analyzing this principle, we found that most of the websites did not specify all the required aspects of data accuracy and control, i.e., access, modification, and deletion of user data. Overall, ∼43 of these websites partially implement the above-mentioned aspects of this principle. In India and Pakistan, around 63% and 41% websites, respectively, comply with this principle. Once again, compliance with this principle is the lowest (∼34%) for Bengali websites. In terms of sector-wide analysis, e-commerce and job/freelance websites have the highest compliance (above 75%) whereas healthcare and education have the lowest compliance (below 14%) with this principle.

5) DATA RETENTION
We observed that many websites specify in their privacy statements that they retain the data collected from the users. However, only a small subset (∼25%) clearly mention about the duration of data storage or whether the data storage is limited to specific data-processing purposes. For this privacy principle, the country-wide compliance is low as well since none of the South Asian countries have a compliance score above 42%. Among the sectors, telecom websites (50%) are the most compliant with this principle followed by job/freelance and e-commerce, both scoring at ∼45%. As above, the least compliant (∼7%) websites are in education, healthcare, and government sectors.

6) INTEGRITY AND CONFIDENTIALITY
Our analysis shows that ∼52% websites in the dataset clearly mention about the implementation of various security measures (e.g., state-of-the-art safeguards and encryption protocols) to ensure compliance with this principle. Here, ∼69% of Indian websites, ∼59% of Pakistani and ∼42% of Bangladeshi websites comply with this principle. Looking at the sectors, we find that e-commerce, buy & sell, and telecom websites have the highest compliance at ∼74% whereas the lowest compliance (∼7%) is found in education websites.

7) ACCOUNTABILITY
On average, less than half (e.g., ∼46%) of the websites in our dataset comply with the accountability principle. The compliance percentage for the websites in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh is around 63%, 53%, and 38% respectively. The sector-wide data analysis repeats similar trend as above for the high (∼77) and low (10%) scores involving e-commerce and education/healthcare websites, respectively.

8) TRANSPARENCY
Similar to the previous principle, less than half of the websites (∼46%) notify the users (via email) about updates to privacy statements, or encourage them to periodically read their privacy statements for updates, which are sometimes labeled with a time stamp at the top/bottom of the statements. Our analysis across the countries shows that this principle is implemented in ∼66% Indian websites, followed by ∼51% Pakistani websites and ∼38% Bangladeshi websites. In terms of sector-wide analysis, we observe that the highest (80%) and the lowest (∼7%) compliance is in the job/freelance and education websites, respectively.

9) DATA PORTABILITY
The compliance for data portability is one of the lowest in our analysis. For example, ∼27% of all the websites state

| Website Sector | Privacy Principles |
|----------------|--------------------|
|                | Data processing (collection) | Data processing (purpose) | Protection of children’s data | Data accuracy & control | Data retention | Integrity & confidentiality | Accountability | Transparency | Data portability | Right to object | Third-party transfer |
| E-commerce     | 80                  | 33.33                | 33.33               | 80                            | 33.33          | 76.66                  | 66.66            | 50             | 46.66            | 60             | 90                  |
| Finance        | 53.33               | 70                   | 0                   | 26.66                         | 33.33          | 10                     | 66.66            | 33.33          | 13.33            | 40             | 63.33               |
| Education      | 33.33               | 13.33                | 66.66               | 10                            | 66.66          | 10                     | 66.66            | 33.33          | 10               | 10             | 26.66               |
| Healthcare     | 76.66               | 76.66                | 20                  | 53.33                         | 36.66          | 53.33                  | 60               | 43.33          | 63.33            | 73.33          |
| News           | 40                  | 40                   | 33.33               | 26.66                         | 20             | 13.33                  | 10               | 66.66          | 16.66            | 23.33          |
| Government     | 64.28               | 64.28                | 14.28               | 42.85                         | 71.42          | 50                     | 57.14            | 50             | 71.42            |
| Telecom        | 83.33               | 83.33                | 76.66               | 66.66                         | 80             | 70                     | 80               | 76.66          | 76.66            |
| Buy & Sell     | 46.66               | 46.66                | 10                  | 33.33                         | 30             | 40                     | 26.66            | 66.66          |
| Job/Freelance  | 83.33               | 83.33                | 76.66               | 46.66                         | 80             | 70                     | 80               | 50             | 76.66            |

**TABLE 6.** Overall percentage (%) of South Asian websites per sector complying with the privacy principles.
whether users can request a copy of their collected personal information, possibly, in a structured format. Among the three countries, India and Pakistan’s compliance is ~10% higher whereas Bangladesh fares the same percentage as mentioned above. Among the sectors, relatively high compliance at 50% is available only in telecom and job/freelance websites. Unfortunately, very low compliance (e.g., below 7%) is observed in websites from five different sectors: finance, education, healthcare, government, and blog/forum.

10) RIGHT TO OBJECT
Around 45% websites provide either an email address or other contact information to allow users to inquire about their privacy issues with a grievance officer. This trend is about the same among Pakistani and Bangladeshi websites whereas ~66% websites in India provide the above information. Note that the lowest (10%) and the highest (~77%) compliance scores for this principle are found in jobs/freelance and education websites, respectively.

11) THIRD-PARTY TRANSFER
The overall compliance for this principle is on par with that of the data-processing principles, i.e., high. ~59% websites that we investigated clearly outlined how they share user data with third-party entities. This observation holds consistently in country-wide analysis because India, Pakistan, Bangladesh’s scores are around 78, 69, and 52%, respectively. In sector-wide analysis, consistent high scores above 63% are available in majority of the sectors including e-commerce, telecom, and news. Low scores below 30% are found in education and healthcare websites as observed earlier.

VI. DISCUSSION
The intended contribution of this study is to perform a qualitative assessment that can be leveraged to improve privacy practices in South Asia. Our stakeholders are website owners, users, and privacy regulators. We provide practical implications in these aspects along with a comparative summary of our results.

A. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

1) ACCESSIBILITY
It appears that the accessibility of privacy statements in South Asian websites is low but this finding is not surprising if we compare it with existing work. For example, websites in government [16], [30] and healthcare [26], [31] sectors usually have low accessibility scores around the globe. However, this is not true for e-commerce [13] and finance [15] websites. This phenomena demands a greater degree of awareness among website owners and users for improving this privacy aspect in South Asia.

2) READABILITY
The readability scores of the investigated websites are roughly on par with popular websites around the globe, e.g., Facebook and New York Times, concerning their Flesch-Kincaid reading grade levels. However, this aspect needs to be improved significantly for the region’s poor literacy rate and pervasive usage of local languages, as discussed in Section V-B. Here, the website owners have to take preemptive measures for providing privacy statements in a manner that is clear and transparent for South Asian users.

3) COMPLIANCE
The compliance scores of our websites are relatively low, with only 23 out of 284 websites, mostly in e-commerce, fully complying with all 11 privacy principles. None of the investigated banking websites complied with all 11 principles. Such low privacy compliance is found to be consistent with other studies conducted on finance [15], healthcare [31], and government [30] sectors. South Asian websites appear to be less likely to protect children’s data and implement data retention and portability policies. This observation is partially in agreement with a prior study on mobile money and banking services that showed low compliance with children’s data protection, and accountability principles. Even though no study concerning all sectors investigated here is available, the compliance of South Asian websites with essential privacy principles is low, e.g., ~47%, in general. Hence, all stakeholders have to come together to improve, enforce, and practice privacy principles in this region.

B. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS
There are many practical implications of this study. Our analysis identified areas of improvement after studying a large number of websites based in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. This can assist various stakeholders involved in the implementation of user privacy in the respective countries.

1) WEBSITE OWNERS
Website owners can improve the accessibility, readability, and compliance of their privacy statements by incorporating the following suggestions:

- Provide a link to privacy statements on the landing page to improve accessibility.
- Provide easily comprehensible privacy statements with a reading grade level of 8 or less.
- Provide privacy statements in native/regional languages of South Asia.
- Improve compliance by implementing all privacy principles (specified by the regional data protection regulation). For instance, give protection of children data, data retention, data portability equal importance as other principles.
- Provide contact information of grievance officer in the privacy statements to enable users to exercise their right to object a principle or to know more about their privacy.
2) PRIVACY REGULATORS

Our findings emphasize the need for privacy regulators in Pakistan and Bangladesh to improve their existing laws by incorporating the following changes:

- Include information on protection of children’s data in PPDPB and DSA.
- Extend DSA beyond data processing, or legislate a new privacy law in Bangladesh in reference to GDPR.

3) END USERS

Our findings can help raise awareness among website users in South Asia. Users do not read privacy statements not only because they are long but also because of the assumption and inherent trust in the website, that it is ensuring proper mechanisms to protect their personal data. Our findings serve as a summary of the privacy statements for the users and suggest that the users in South Asia be cautious when visiting websites especially in the healthcare, government, and education sectors. Users should also be aware that the current laws in Pakistan and Bangladesh do not specify protections for children’s data. Our findings also caution the users into looking for the contact information of grievance officer when visiting a website that requires sensitive information such as credit card number. This is so that the users can inquire about their privacy rights, amend their information, or request a copy of their data collected by the respective website.

C. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Our study is not without limitations; therefore, we see various opportunities for future research. First, we performed a qualitative analysis on a dataset of 284 websites by including top
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TABLE 8. Website dataset.

| Sector       | India                                      | Pakistan                        | Bangladesh                      |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Government   | Ministry of Road Transport and Highways    | NADRA                           | BD Road Transport Authority      |
|              | Department of Commerce                     | Federal Board of Revenue         | BD Export Processing Zones       |
|              | Planning Commission                        | Ministry of Defense             | Authority                        |
|              | Ministry of Labour and Employment          | Ministry of Foreign Affairs      | BD Planning Commission           |
|              | Ministry of Home Affairs                   | Ministry of Education            | Ministry of Expatiates’ Welfare  |
|              | Ministry of External Affairs               | Overseas Pakistanis Foundation  | & Overseas Employment            |
|              | Ministry of Human Resource Development     | Pak. Export Processing Zones     | Ministry of Home Affairs          |
|              | Ministry of Defense                        | Authority                        | Ministry of Foreign Affairs      |
|              | Ministry of Info. & Broadcasting           | Ministry of Info. Tech. &        | Ministry of Education            |
|              | Ministry of Finance                        | Broadcasting                     | Ministry of Defense              |
|              |                                             | Overseas Employment Corp.        | National Highway Authority       |
| Telecom      | Jio                                        | Ufone                           | Gramophone                      |
|              | Vodafone Idea                              | Ward/Jazz                       | Banglink                         |
|              | Airtel                                     | PTCL                            | Robi Asia                  |
|              | BSNL                                       | Telenor                          | Telegl                             |
| Buy & Sell   | Limeroad                                    | OLX                             | Clickbdl                         |
|              | Groupon                                    | Asian Classifieds               | cellbazaar.com                   |
|              | Saree                                      | boole                           | bksky.com                        |
|              | Rediff Shopping                            | Go Cheap Shop                   | BD Bazaar24                      |
|              | Ebie2b                                     | Zameen.com                      | FastBikri                        |
|              | CraftsVilla                                | PakWheels.com                    | BuySellBazaar24.com              |
|              | eBay                                       | CounBole                        | Trade Bangladesh                 |
|              | OLX                                        | buynor.pk                       | BizBangladesh.com                |
|              | gocoop                                     | Dealmarkaz                      | bdhousing.com                    |
|              |                                            | asrt                            | komeasame                       |
| Job/Freeance | Namaste                                    | Forbes.pk                       | bdbjobs.com                      |
|              | Shine                                      | Mustakili.com                   | Skill.jobs                        |
|              | Monster                                    | Jober.pk                        | Youth Opportunities              |
|              | Freetheworld                               | Jobz.pk                         | bdjobsstanday.com                |
|              | LinkedIn                                   | Indeed                          | ShadinKai                         |
|              | FreelanceMyWay                              | 99Designs                       | OutsourcingMyjob                 |
|              | Indeed                                     | People Per Hour                 | KajKey                           |
|              | Gloosoo                                    | Freelancer                      | Freelancer Bangladesh            |
|              | JobSarkari                                  | Upwork                          | Spotlight Bangladesh             |
|              | Upwork                                     | Fiver                           | Careerjet                        |
| Blog/Forum   | Digital Bhoomi                              | Urentpoint                      | Sachalayzon                      |
|              | Firefox                                    | Hmarwpsky                       | Cadet College Blog               |
|              | India Forum                                | Propakistani.pk                 | somewhereinblog.net              |
|              | India Forum Discussion                     | Janababa.com                    | Progmonno Forum                  |
|              | Reddit India Community                      | Siasat.pk                       | TechTunes                        |
|              | Team BHFS-Forum                            | jdunsa                         | Choturnetnux                     |
|              | Indian Consumer Complaints                 | cssforum.com.pk                 | BanglaCricket.com                |
|              | 99 Accs                                     | Pakistandpoint.com              | Turayo                           |
|              | IndiaVideoGamer                            | Openshop.org                    | mukti-mona.com                   |
|              | MoneyControl                               | Friendskornern.com              | Bangla Hub                       |

10 websites from 10 sectors. Expanding the current dataset by increasing the number of observations in each country to perform a more detailed statistical analysis is a potential avenue for future work. Secondly, our analysis was manual. The reason behind this manual analysis stems from the fact that privacy statements are written in a natural language and their structures are usually not uniform. An extension of this work will look into developing a browser extension based on the proposed methodology for computing compliance scores for a visited website on the fly. Lastly, we were unable to analyze the readability and privacy compliance of websites whose privacy statements are only available in a regional language due to the poor accuracy of publicly available translation services, e.g., Google Translate. We are considering a future work that will study the machine translation of privacy statements to determine the accuracy of available translation services.

VII. CONCLUSION

Privacy is a new concept among website users in South Asia. However, awareness of this concept is growing in this region as is evident from the recent efforts of privacy legislations based on GDPR in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. In this study, we performed a qualitative assessment of privacy statements of 284 popular websites across 10 different sectors in the above-mentioned countries. We analyzed the availability and readability scores of privacy statements for these websites using a scoring rubric and Flesch-Kincaid formula, respectively. We also used a keyword-based content analysis to evaluate the compliance of 11 GDPR privacy principles by the websites. This is an original work since no study on South Asian websites are available to the best of our knowledge.

Our results show that privacy statements of South Asian websites are not easily accessible to users as they are mostly available on Frequently Asked Questions or Terms & Conditions pages. The readability of the statements are not suitable for users since they require college-level education for reasonable comprehension of the policy contents. Most importantly, only 23 websites in our dataset fully comply with all 11 GDPR principles. In brief, websites in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh implement around 6, 5 and 3 GDPR
TABLE 9. Percentage (%) of Indian (IN), Pakistani (PK), and Bangladeshi (BD) websites complying with privacy principles.

| Website Sector | Data processing (collection) | Data processing (purpose) | Protection of children's data | Data accuracy & control | Data retention | Integrity & confidentiality | Accountability | Transparency | Data portability | Right to object | Third-party transfer |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| E-commerce     | IN 100                      | 100                       | 70                           | 100                     | 70            | 100                       | 70            | 40          | 90                | 100            | 100                 |
|                | PK 50                       | 60                        | 10                           | 60                      | 20            | 60                        | 10            | 40          | 30                | 80             | 80                  |
|                | BD 90                       | 100                       | 20                           | 80                      | 40            | 70                        | 70            | 60          | 60                | 90             | 90                  |
| Finance        | IN 70                       | 90                        | 0                            | 30                      | 100           | 90                        | 70            | 10          | 50                | 80             | 80                  |
|                | PK 70                       | 80                        | 0                            | 20                      | 10            | 60                        | 50            | 80          | 10                | 40             | 80                  |
|                | BD 60                       | 70                        | 30                           | 30                      | 30            | 30                        | 20            | 20          | 20                | 20             | 20                  |
| Education      | IN 10                       | 10                        | 1                             | 10                      | 0             | 0                         | 0             | 0           | 0                 | 0              | 0                   |
|                | PK 20                       | 20                        | 2                             | 10                      | 20            | 10                        | 10            | 0           | 0                 | 0              | 0                   |
|                | BD 10                       | 10                        | 1                             | 10                      | 0             | 0                         | 0             | 0           | 0                 | 0              | 0                   |
| Healthcare     | IN 40                       | 40                        | 0                            | 10                      | 40            | 10                        | 20            | 10          | 30                | 40             | 40                  |
|                | PK 40                       | 40                        | 2                             | 20                      | 40            | 20                        | 40            | 10          | 40                | 10             | 10                  |
|                | BD 20                       | 20                        | 0                            | 0                       | 0             | 0                         | 0             | 0           | 20                | 10             | 10                  |
| News           | IN 100                      | 100                       | 30                           | 70                      | 80            | 80                        | 100           | 60          | 100               | 90             | 90                  |
|                | PK 60                       | 70                        | 30                           | 30                      | 60            | 50                        | 40            | 40          | 40                | 40             | 40                  |
|                | BD 70                       | 60                        | 10                            | 60                      | 50            | 40                        | 50            | 70          | 70                | 70             | 70                  |
| Government     | IN 80                       | 80                        | 0                            | 60                      | 0             | 20                        | 0             | 0           | 0                 | 40             | 30                  |
|                | PK 30                       | 30                        | 10                           | 10                      | 20            | 30                        | 20            | 10          | 10                | 10             | 10                  |
|                | BD 10                       | 10                        | 0                            | 10                      | 0             | 10                        | 10            | 0           | 0                 | 0              | 0                   |
| Telecom        | IN 75                       | 75                        | 0                            | 75                      | 75            | 50                        | 75            | 75          | 75                | 75             | 75                  |
|                | PK 80                       | 80                        | 20                           | 40                      | 60            | 100                       | 60            | 60          | 60                | 60             | 60                  |
|                | BD 40                       | 40                        | 20                           | 20                      | 40            | 40                        | 20            | 20          | 20                | 20             | 20                  |
| Buy & Sell     | IN 80                       | 80                        | 30                           | 70                      | 30            | 70                        | 80            | 30          | 50                | 80             | 80                  |
|                | PK 90                       | 90                        | 20                           | 70                      | 30            | 80                        | 70            | 40          | 40                | 40             | 40                  |
|                | BD 80                       | 70                        | 30                           | 30                      | 10            | 70                        | 40            | 60          | 10                | 60             | 60                  |
| Job/Freelance  | IN 100                      | 100                       | 70                           | 100                     | 80            | 100                       | 90            | 100         | 60                | 100            | 100                 |
|                | PK 90                       | 90                        | 80                           | 80                      | 60            | 90                        | 90            | 70          | 90                | 80             | 80                  |
|                | BD 60                       | 60                        | 10                           | 50                      | 30            | 30                        | 50            | 20          | 20                | 20             | 20                  |
| Blog/Forum     | IN 70                       | 70                        | 20                           | 70                      | 20            | 50                        | 60            | 70          | 70                | 70             | 70                  |
|                | PK 40                       | 40                        | 0                            | 20                      | 10            | 20                        | 30            | 10          | 0                 | 20             | 40                  |
|                | BD 30                       | 30                        | 10                           | 10                      | 0             | 20                        | 30            | 30          | 30                | 30             | 30                  |

principles, respectively. Our sector-wide analysis suggests that e-commerce websites are most compliant and all sectors clearly outline data collection and sharing practices with their users. It is, however, notable that all websites make the least effort in protecting children’s data even though it is an important privacy principle in GDPR. Based on these results, we provide recommendations involving all stakeholders (i.e., website owners, privacy regulators, and users) to help improve privacy protection of user data in South Asia.

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A

WEBSITE DATASET

Tables 7 and 8 show the names of the 284 websites from 10 different sectors in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh that we have used in our study. Our datasets along with the websites’ homepage and privacy-policy page URLs are also publicly available on IEEE DataPort (DOI:10.21227/fkap-re05).

APPENDIX B

DETAILED COMPLIANCE SCORES

Table 9 shows a detailed summary of the compliance scores for the sector-wide websites in India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. This table presents individual scores for each privacy principle that we have used to evaluate South Asian websites.
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