Effect of hygro-thermal loading on the two-dimensional response of a functionally graded piezomagnetic cylinder under asymmetric loads

M. Gharibavi* and J. Yi

Department of Mechanical Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China.

Received 16 May 2018; received in revised form 26 January 2019; accepted 13 July 2019

KEYWORDS

Complex Fourier series;
Functionally graded material;
Piezomagnetic material;
Asymmetric loading;
Winkler elastic foundation.

Abstract. In this article, a semi-analytical solution is presented in order to analyze a Functionally Graded Piezomagnetic (FGP) cylinder resting on an elastic foundation exposed to hygro-thermal loading. All mechanical, hygro-thermal, and magnetic properties were considered to be varying according to the power-law function through the thickness. The steady-state heat conduction and moisture-diffusion equations were employed to attain the moisture concentration and temperature distributions in the FGP cylinder. The constitutive equations as well as magnetic and mechanical equilibrium equations were combined in order to derive three second-order differential equations in terms of magnetic potential and mechanical displacements. Variables were separated and the complex Fourier series method was utilized to solve the governing equations. Numerical results revealed the effects of hygro-thermal loading, elastic foundation, and non-homogeneity constants on hygro-thermo-magneto-elastic response of the FGP cylinder. It was observed that hygro-thermal loading had remarkable effects on the behavior of the cylinder, leading to increase in the absolute values of the radial magnetic induction and radial, circumferential, and shear stresses.
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1. Introduction

Functionally Graded (FG) materials can be distinguished from each other by the compositional gradients of their components. They have high thermal resistance and their thermal and mechanical properties continuously vary with respect to the location. They can be designed for specific functions and applications in many industries, including aerospace, nuclear power, military, medicine, electronics, and biomaterials. In addition, FG materials with piezoelectric and piezomagnetic properties can be used in ultrasonic transducers as well as many electronic and engineering applications [1–7].

Thick-wall cylindrical vessels are crucial equipment used in oil, chemical, petroleum, petrochemical, and nuclear fields. They are mostly employed in, e.g., power generation by fossil and nuclear fuels, storing gasoline in service stations in the petrochemical industry, and the chemical industry [8]. The thick-wall cylinder subjected to multi-physical loadings and surrounded by an elastic foundation is a prime example of soil-structure interaction problems. The static and dynamic analyses of such structures lead to a deeper understanding of the response of the elastic foundation...
under different external loads. The dynamic effects of soil-structure interaction appear in the earthquake response of large-scale structures such as nuclear power plants structures. Furthermore, the study of fracture mechanics, load transfer, and stress concentrations of the mentioned structures is another field of investigation in solid mechanics [9–12].

Many researchers have inquired the mechanical response of smart structures such as FG piezoelectric\piezomagnetic cylinders and spheres under different conditions of loading [13–18]. Hosseini et al. [16] offered a strain gradient elasticity formulation for capturing the size effect in micro-scale structures to analyze the thermo-elastic response of an FG micro-rotating cylinder. Jabbari et al. [19] presented a general theoretical analysis of the three-dimensional mechanical and thermal stresses in a hollow FG cylinder. They assumed that temperature distribution was a function of radial and circumferential directions and solved the differential equations by employing generalized Bessel function and Fourier series method. Thermopiezomagneto-elastic response of rotating Functionally Graded Piezomagnetic (FGP) disks exposed to thermal and mechanical loadings was studied by Ghorbanpour Amani et al. [20]. In this study, they explained the effects of non-homogeneity constant on the distributions of stresses, displacement, and magnetic potential. An analytical solution for displacement and the strain and stress field in a rotating thick-wall cylinder made of FG material subjected to the uniform external magnetic and thermal fields was presented by Hosseini and Dini [4]. The magnetoelectro-thermoelastic response of an FG annular sandwich disk was also investigated by Zenkour [21]. Using exp-exp strain energy for modeling the hyperelastic materials, Almasi et al. [22] carried out an analytical and numerical thermo-mechanical study of an FG hyperelastic thick-wall pressure vessel. An elastic-plastic analysis of FG spherical pressure vessels under internal pressure based on strain gradient plasticity was performed in [23]. A feedback gain control algorithm was employed by Barati and Jabbari [24] in the two-dimensional piezothermoelastic analysis of an FG hollow sphere with integrated piezoelectric layers as a sensor and actuator subject to non-axisymmetric loads. They analytically solved the governing equations utilizing the Legendre polynomials and the system of Euler differential equations.

The study of the effects of hygro-thermal loading on the behavior of smart materials and structures has attracted the attention of many researchers in recent years [25–27]. A three-dimensional discrete-layer model was developed by Smittakorn and Heyligier [28] for analyzing rectangular plates, in which the transient and hygro-thermo-piezoelectric responses of plates were evaluated under the coupled effects of mechanical, electrical, thermal, and moisture fields. In another work, Keles and Tutuncu [29] carried out free and forced vibration analysis of FG hollow cylinders and spheres using analytical solutions in which the material properties were assumed to vary based on the power law function. The distributions of the temperature, moisture, displacement, and stress in an FGP circular rotating disk under a coupled hygro-thermal field were studied by Dai et al. [26]. Akbarzadeh and Pasini [30] used a multi-physics model to study the effects of moisture, temperature, magnetic, electric, and mechanical loadings on the response of multi-layer and FG cylinders. Vinyas and Kattimani [31] presented a 3D Finite Element (FE) method in order to analyze a magneto-electro-elastic plate subjected to hygrothermal loads. In their work, an FE formulation was inferred by employing the principle of total potential energy and linear coupled constitutive equations.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, the study of the effects of hygro-thermal loading and elastic foundation on the stress and strain fields in the FGP cylinders under asymmetric loading is missing in the literature. Hence, using the complex Fourier series method, with the assumption of power-law distribution for FG materials, a cylinder made of piezomagnetic materials embedded in a Winkler elastic foundation under asymmetric hygro-thermo-magneto-mechanical loadings is analyzed in this study.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, stress-strain relations and equilibrium equations are expressed and the formulation of the problem is discussed. As shown in Figure 1, a cylinder is considered with the inner and outer radii of \(a \) and \(b \), which is radially magnetized and exposed to asymmetric hygro-thermal and internal pressure loads. The piezomagnetic cylinder is embedded in a Winkler-type elastic foundation with stiffness \( k_w \). According to the power-law distribution, the material properties for
the piezomagnetic cylinder are defined as [32]:

\[
C_{ij} = C_{ij}^0 r^{\beta_i}, \quad d_{ij} = d_{ij}^0 r^{\beta_i}, \quad g_{ij} = g_{ij}^0 r^{\beta_i}; \quad k_i = k_i^0 r^{\beta_i}; \quad \omega_i = \omega_i^0 r^{\beta_i}; \quad \lambda_i = \lambda_i^0 r^{\beta_i+1};
\]

\[
\rho_i = \rho_i^0 r^{\beta_i+1}; \quad q_i = q_i^0 r^{\beta_i+1}; \quad \gamma_i = \gamma_i^0 r^{\beta_i+1},
\]

(1)

in which \(k_i\) and \(\omega_i\) are the thermal conductivity and moisture diffusivity coefficients, respectively, \(\beta_1, \beta_2,\) and \(\beta_3\) are non-homogeneity constants. The strain equations for the cylinder are written as [33]:

\[
\varepsilon_{rr} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}, \quad \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} = u + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}, \quad \varepsilon_{r\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - \frac{v}{r} \right],
\]

(2)

where \(u\) and \(v\) are displacements in the radial and circumferential directions, respectively. Magnetic field is considered \(B_z = -\nabla \psi\) and the constitutive equations are expressed as [20,32,34]:

\[
\sigma_{rr} = C_{111} \varepsilon_{rr} + C_{122} \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} + d_{11} \psi + \partial_1 T (r, \theta) - \partial_1 \tilde{M}(r, \theta),
\]

\[
\sigma_{\theta\theta} = C_{122} \varepsilon_{rr} + C_{122} \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} + d_{22} \psi + \partial_2 T (r, \theta) - \partial_2 \tilde{M}(r, \theta),
\]

\[
\tau_{r\theta} = C_{311} \varepsilon_{r\theta} + C_{122} \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} + d_{12} \frac{1}{r} \psi; \quad B_r = d_{11} \varepsilon_{r\theta} + d_{12} \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} + \partial_1 \psi + \partial_1 T (r, \theta) + \gamma_1 \tilde{M}(r, \theta),
\]

\[
B_\theta = d_{21} \varepsilon_{r\theta} + \partial_2 \psi + \partial_2 T (r, \theta) + \gamma_2 \tilde{M}(r, \theta),
\]

(3)

in which \(\sigma_{ij}, B_i, \psi, T, \) and \(\tilde{M}\) are the stress, magnetic induction, magnetic potential, temperature, and moisture concentration, respectively. \(C_{ij}, d_{ij}, \partial_i, q_i, g_{ij}, q_i, \) and \(\gamma_i\) are the elastic, piezomagnetic, thermal stress, hygroscopic stress, magnetic permeability, pyro- magnetic, and hygromagnetic coefficients, respectively. The thermal and hygroscopic stresses are associated with the elastic, thermal expansion \(\alpha_i,\) and moisture expansion \(\xi_i\) coefficients as follows [35,36]:

\[
\partial_1 = C_{111} \alpha_r + C_{122} \alpha_\theta, \quad \partial_2 = C_{122} \alpha_r + C_{222} \alpha_\theta,
\]

\[
\alpha_1 = C_{111} \xi_r + C_{122} \xi_\theta, \quad \alpha_2 = C_{122} \xi_r + C_{222} \xi_\theta.
\]

(4)

The mechanical equilibrium equation and Maxwell’s electromagnetic relation in the cylindrical coordinate system may be expressed as [34,37]:

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\sigma_{rr} - \sigma_{\theta\theta}}{r} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{2 \tau_{r\theta}}{r} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial B_r}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial \theta} + B_r = 0.
\]

(5)

3. Governing equations

In this section, the governing equations for the cylinder are given. Submitting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (5) leads to the coupled differential equations [33,34]:

\[
C_{111}^0 r^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + (\beta_1 + 1) C_{111}^0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + (\beta_1 C_{122}^0 - C_{122}^0) u
\]

\[
+ C_{311}^0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + (\beta_1 + 1) C_{111}^0 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} + (\beta_1 C_{122}^0 - C_{122}^0) \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} = 0,
\]

\[
C_{122}^0 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r \partial \theta} - \partial_0 \psi = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r \partial \theta} - \partial_0 \psi = 0,
\]

(6)

\[
C_{122}^0 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r \partial \theta} + (\beta_1 + 1) C_{122}^0 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} + (\beta_1 + 1) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} = 0.
\]

(7)
\[ + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0. \] 

(8)

4. Hygrothermoelasticity

The two-dimensional heat conduction and moisture diffusion relations in the cylindrical coordinates can be written as [36,38,39]:

\[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r K_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( K_\theta \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} \right) = 0. \] 

(9)

\[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r w_r \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \omega_\theta \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial \theta} \right) = 0. \] 

(10)

Simplifying Eqs. (9) and (10) yields:

\[ r^2 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + r (\beta_3 + 1) \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0. \] 

(11)

\[ r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{M}}{\partial r^2} + r (\beta_3 + 1) \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial \theta} = 0. \] 

(12)

The complex Fourier series is defined as the solution to Eqs. (11) and (12):

\[ T(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} T_n(r) e^{in\theta}, \]

(13)

\[ \vec{M}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \vec{M}_n(r) e^{in\theta}, \]

(14)

\[ M_n(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \tilde{M}(r, \theta) e^{-i\theta} d\theta. \]

(15)

where \( T_n(r) \) and \( \tilde{M}_n(r) \) are the coefficient of complex Fourier series. By substituting Eqs. (13) and (14) into Eqs. (11) and (12), the following equations are given (please consider revising the distorted text):

\[ \frac{\partial^2 T_n}{\partial r^2} + r (\beta_3 + 1) \frac{\partial T_n}{\partial r} - \frac{n^2}{r^2} T_n = 0, \]

(16)

Using Eqs. (15) and (16), the temperature and moisture distributions in the piezomagnetic cylinder are written as:

\[ T(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} (A_{n1} r^{m_1} + A_{n2} r^{m_2}) e^{in\theta}, \] 

(17)

\[ \vec{M}(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} (G_{n1} r^{m_1} + G_{n2} r^{m_2}) e^{in\theta}, \]

(18)

in which \( A_{n1}, A_{n2}, G_{n1}, \) and \( G_{n2} \) are hygro-thermal constants. Also:

\[ m_{n1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\beta_3 + \sqrt{\beta_3^2 + 4n^2} \right), \]

\[ m_{n2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\beta_3 - \sqrt{\beta_3^2 + 4n^2} \right), \]

\[ S_{n1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\beta_3 + \sqrt{\beta_3^2 + 4n^2} \right), \]

\[ S_{n2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\beta_3 - \sqrt{\beta_3^2 + 4n^2} \right). \]

(19)

5. Solution procedure

In the complex Fourier series method, the displacements \( u(r, \theta) \) and \( v(r, \theta) \) and the magnetic potential \( \psi(r, \theta) \) are expressed as [40]:

\[ u(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} u_n(r) e^{in\theta}, \]

(13)

\[ v(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} v_n(r) e^{in\theta}, \]

(14)

\[ \psi(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_n(r) e^{in\theta}, \]

(15)

where:

\[ u_n(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} u(r, \theta) e^{-i\theta} d\theta, \]

(16)

\[ v_n(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} v(r, \theta) e^{-i\theta} d\theta, \]

(17)

\[ \psi_n(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \psi(r, \theta) e^{-i\theta} d\theta. \]

(18)

By submitting Eq. (20) into Eqs. (6)–(8), three differential equations can be derived:
\[ C_{11}^0 r^2 u''_n + (\beta_1 + 1) C_{11}^0 r u'_n \\
+ (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{22}^0 - n^2 C_{31}^0) u_n \\
+ (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0) (in) v_n \\
+ (C_{12}^0 + C_{31}^0) (in) r v'_n + d_{11}^r r^2 \psi''_n \\
+ [d_{11}^r (\beta_1 + 1) - d_{21}^r] r \psi'_n - n^2 d_{31}^r \psi_n \]

\[
= [d_{11}^r (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + m_{n1} + 1) - d_{21}^r] A_{n1} r^{m_{n1} + \beta_1 + 1} \\
+ [d_{11}^r (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + m_{n2} + 1) - d_{21}^r] A_{n2} r^{m_{n2} + \beta_1 + 1} \\
+ [\gamma^0_{11} (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + S_{n1} + 1) - d_{11}^r] G_{n1} r^{S_{n1} + \beta_1 + 1} \\
+ [\gamma^0_{12} (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + S_{n2} + 1) - d_{21}^r] G_{n2} r^{S_{n2} + \beta_1 + 1}, \tag{22}
\]

\[
(C_{31}^0 + C_{12}^0) (in) u'_n + [C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + 1) + C_{22}^0] (in) u_n \\
+ C_{31}^0 r^2 v''_n + (\beta_1 + 1) C_{31}^0 r v'_n \\
- n^2 C_{22}^0 (\beta_1 + 1) C_{31}^0 v_n + (d_{12}^r + d_{21}^r) (in) r \psi'_n \\
+ (\beta_1 + 1) (in) d_{31}^r \psi_n \\
= d_{31}^r (in) [A_{n1} r^{m_{n1} + \beta_1 + 1} + A_{n2} r^{m_{n2} + \beta_1 + 1}] \\
+ d_{31}^r (in) [G_{n1} r^{S_{n1} + \beta_1 + 1} + G_{n2} r^{S_{n2} + \beta_1 + 1}], \tag{23}
\]

\[
d_{11}^r r^2 u''_n + [(\beta_1 + 1) d_{11}^r + d_{21}^r] r u'_n \\
+ (\beta_1 d_{21}^r - n^2 d_{31}^r) u_n + (d_{21}^r + d_{31}^r) (in) r v'_n \\
+ (\beta_1 d_{21}^r - d_{31}^r) (in) v_n - d_{11}^r r^2 \psi''_n \\
- (\beta_1 + 1) d_{11}^r r \psi'_n + d_{21}^r n^2 \psi_n \\
= -[q_{11}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + m_{n1} + 1) + (in) q_{12}^0] A_{n1} r^{m_{n1} + \beta_1 + 1} \\
- [q_{11}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + m_{n2} + 1) + (in) q_{12}^0] A_{n2} r^{m_{n2} + \beta_1 + 1} \\
- [q_{11}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + S_{n1} + 1) + (in) q_{12}^0] G_{n1} r^{S_{n1} + \beta_1 + 1} \\
- [q_{11}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + S_{n2} + 1) + (in) q_{12}^0] G_{n2} r^{S_{n2} + \beta_1 + 1}, \tag{24}
\]

The symbols \( u'' \) and \( v'' \) indicate the first and second derivatives with respect to the variable \( r \), respectively. Eqs. (22)-(24) are ordinary differential equations to which the general solution can be formulated as:

\[
u''_n(r) = Br^c, \quad v''_n(r) = Cr^c, \quad \psi''_n(r) = Dr^c, \tag{25}
\]

in which \( B, C, \) and \( D \) are unknown constants determined by boundary conditions. Submitting Eq. (25) into Eqs. (22)-(24) yields:

\[
[C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + \psi) + (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{22}^0 - n^2 C_{31}^0)] B \\
+ [(\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0)(in) + (C_{12}^0 + C_{31}^0)(in) \psi] C \\
+ [d_{11}^r (\beta_1 + \psi) - d_{21}^r \psi - n^2 d_{31}^r] D = 0,
\]

\[
\left[ (C_{31}^0 + C_{12}^0)(in) \psi + (C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + 1) + C_{22}^0) \right] B \\
+ [C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + \psi) - (n^2 C_{22}^0 + (\beta_1 + 1) C_{31}^0)] C \\
+ [(d_{11}^r + d_{21}^r)(in) \psi + (\beta_1 + 1)(in) d_{31}^r] D = 0.
\]

\[
[d_{11}^r (\beta_1 + \psi) + d_{21}^r \psi + (\beta_1 d_{21}^r - n^2 d_{31}^r)] B \\
+ [(d_{11}^r + d_{21}^r)(in) \psi + (\beta_1 d_{21}^r - d_{31}^r)(in) \psi] C \\
+ [-d_{11}^r (\beta_1 + \psi) + d_{22}^r n^2] D = 0. \tag{26}
\]

The determinant of the system in Eq. (27) should be equal to zero in order to attain a nontrivial solution to Eq. (26). Therefore, six roots \( \zeta_{nj} \) \((j = 1, 2, ..., 6)\) of Eq. (27) are achieved:

\[
u_n(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{6} B_{nj} r^{\zeta_{nj}}, \tag{27}
\]

\[
v_n(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{6} L_{nj} B_{nj} r^{\zeta_{nj}}, \tag{27}
\]

\[
\psi_n(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{6} P_{nj} B_{nj} r^{\zeta_{nj}}, \tag{27}
\]

where \( L_{nj} \) is the relation between constants \( B_{nj} \) and \( C_{nj} \), and \( P_{nj} \) is the relation between constants \( B_{nj} \) and \( D_{nj} \) calculated by Eq. (26) as:

\[
L_{nj} = \frac{b_1 - b_2 - b_3}{b_2 - b_2 - b_3}, \quad L_{nj} = \frac{b_1 - b_2 - b_3}{b_2 - b_2 - b_3}, \quad P_{nj} = \frac{b_1 - b_1 - b_2}{b_2 - b_2 - b_3}, \quad P_{nj} = \frac{b_1 - b_1 - b_2}{b_2 - b_2 - b_3},
\]

\[
j = 1, 2, \ldots, 6, \tag{28}
\]

\[
b_1 = C_{31}^0 \zeta_{nj} (\beta_1 + \psi_{nj}) + (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{22}^0 - n^2 C_{31}^0), \quad
b_2 = (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0)(in) + (C_{12}^0 + C_{31}^0)(in) \zeta_{nj}, \quad
b_3 = d_{11}^r \zeta_{nj} (\beta_1 + \psi_{nj}) - d_{21}^r \zeta_{nj} - n^2 d_{31}^r, \quad
b_4 = (C_{31}^0 + C_{12}^0)(in) \zeta_{nj} + (C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + 1) + C_{22}^0)(in), \quad
b_5 = C_{31}^0 \zeta_{nj} (\beta_1 + \psi_{nj}) - (n^2 C_{22}^0 + (\beta_1 + 1) C_{31}^0), \quad
b_6 = (d_{11}^r + d_{21}^r)(in) \zeta_{nj} + (\beta_1 + 1)(in) d_{31}^r, \quad
b_7 = d_{11}^r \zeta_{nj} (\beta_1 + \psi_{nj}) + d_{21}^r \zeta_{nj} + (\beta_1 d_{21}^r - n^2 d_{31}^r), \tag{28}
\]
\[ b_\alpha = (d_{21} + d_{31}) (\text{in}) \zeta_{n+j} + (\beta_1 d_{21} - d_{31}) (\text{in}). \]

\[ b_\beta = -d_{21} \zeta_{n+j} (\beta_1 + \zeta_{n+j}) + g_{22} r^{2}. \] (29)

The particular solutions \( u_\alpha^p(r), v_\alpha^p(r), \) and \( \psi_\alpha^p(r) \) are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_\alpha^p(r) &= Q_1^{m_\alpha} R_{\alpha}^{\pm_1} + Q_2^{m_\alpha} R_{\alpha}^{\pm_1+1} \\
    &+ g_{31} r P_{\pm_1} + g_{32} r P_{\pm_1+1}, \\
    v_\alpha^p(r) &= Q_3^{m_\alpha} R_{\alpha}^{\pm_1} + Q_4^{m_\alpha} R_{\alpha}^{\pm_1+1} \\
    &+ Q_5^{m_\alpha} P_{\pm_1} + Q_6^{m_\alpha} P_{\pm_1+1}, \\
    \psi_\alpha^p(r) &= Q_7^{m_\alpha} R_{\alpha}^{\pm_1} + Q_8^{m_\alpha} R_{\alpha}^{\pm_1+1} \\
    &+ Q_9^{m_\alpha} r P_{\pm_1} + Q_{10}^{m_\alpha} r P_{\pm_1+1}.
\end{align*}
\] (30)

\( Q_j^p (j = 1, 2, \ldots, 12) \) are constants. By substituting Eq. (30) into Eqs. (22)–(24) and equating the coefficients of the identical powers, \( r^p S_{\pm_1}^{\pm_1}, r^p S_{\pm_1}^{\pm_1+1}, r^p S_{\pm_1}^{\pm_1}, \) and \( r^p S_{\pm_1}^{\pm_1+1} \), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \bar{X}_1 Q_1^p + \bar{X}_2 Q_2^p + \bar{X}_3 Q_3^p &= \bar{Y}_1, \\
    \bar{X}_4 Q_4^p + \bar{X}_5 Q_5^p + \bar{X}_6 Q_6^p &= \bar{Y}_2, \\
    \bar{X}_7 Q_7^p + \bar{X}_8 Q_8^p + \bar{X}_9 Q_9^p &= \bar{Y}_3, \\
    \bar{X}_{10} Q_{10}^p + \bar{X}_{11} Q_{11}^p + \bar{X}_{12} Q_{12}^p &= \bar{Y}_4, \\
    \bar{X}_{13} Q_{13}^p + \bar{X}_{14} Q_{14}^p + \bar{X}_{15} Q_{15}^p &= \bar{Y}_5, \\
    \bar{X}_{16} Q_{16}^p + \bar{X}_{17} Q_{17}^p + \bar{X}_{18} Q_{18}^p &= \bar{Y}_6, \\
    \bar{X}_{19} Q_{19}^p + \bar{X}_{20} Q_{20}^p + \bar{X}_{21} Q_{21}^p &= \bar{Y}_7, \\
    \bar{X}_{22} Q_{22}^p + \bar{X}_{23} Q_{23}^p + \bar{X}_{24} Q_{24}^p &= \bar{Y}_8, \\
    \bar{X}_{25} Q_{25}^p + \bar{X}_{26} Q_{26}^p + \bar{X}_{27} Q_{27}^p &= \bar{Y}_9, \\
    \bar{X}_{28} Q_{28}^p + \bar{X}_{29} Q_{29}^p + \bar{X}_{30} Q_{30}^p &= \bar{Y}_{10}, \\
    \bar{X}_{31} Q_{31}^p + \bar{X}_{32} Q_{32}^p + \bar{X}_{33} Q_{33}^p &= \bar{Y}_{11}, \\
    \bar{X}_{34} Q_{34}^p + \bar{X}_{35} Q_{35}^p + \bar{X}_{36} Q_{36}^p &= \bar{Y}_{12}.
\end{align*}
\] (31)

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_\alpha^p(r) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} B_{nj} r^{\beta_j} \right) + Q_1^{m_\alpha} r^{\beta_1+1} \\
    + Q_2^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} + Q_3^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1} + Q_4^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1}, \\
    v_\alpha^p(r) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} L_{nj} B_{nj} r^{\beta_j} \right) + Q_1^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} \\
    + Q_2^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1} + Q_3^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} + Q_4^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1}, \\
    \psi_\alpha^p(r) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} P_{nj} B_{nj} r^{\beta_j} \right) + Q_1^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} \\
    + Q_2^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1} + Q_3^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} + Q_4^{m_\alpha} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1}. 
\end{align*}
\] (35)

For \( n = 0 \), the coefficients \( L_{nj} \) and \( P_{nj} \) are undefined. Substituting \( n = 0 \) into Eqs. (22)–(24) and following the solution procedure, the complete solutions for \( u_0(r), v_0(r), \) and \( \phi_0(r) \) are derived as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_0(r) &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} B_{0j} r^{\beta_j} \right) + Q_1^{m_0} r^{\beta_1+1} \\
    + Q_2^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} + Q_3^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1} + Q_4^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1}, \\
    v_0(r) &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} L_{0j} B_{0j} r^{\beta_j} \right) + Q_1^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} \\
    + Q_2^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1} + Q_3^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} + Q_4^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1}, \\
    \psi_0(r) &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} P_{0j} B_{0j} r^{\beta_j} \right) + Q_1^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} \\
    + Q_2^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1} + Q_3^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1} + Q_4^{m_0} r^{S_1+\beta_1+1}. 
\end{align*}
\] (36)

where:

\[
P_{0j} = \left[ \frac{C_{11} \zeta_{0j} (\zeta_{0j} + \beta_1) + \beta_1 C_{02} - C_{02}} {d_{21} \zeta_{12} (\zeta_{0j} + \beta_1) - d_{21} \zeta_{01}} \right] 
\] (37)

\( \zeta_0 \) and \( \zeta_6 \) can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \zeta_0 &= -\beta_1 + \sqrt{\beta_1^2 + 4(\beta_1 + 1)}, \\
    \zeta_6 &= -\beta_1 - \sqrt{\beta_1^2 + 4(\beta_1 + 1)}. 
\end{align*}
\] (38)

Therefore, the radial and circumferential displacements and magnetic potential are approximated by the sum of Eqs. (35) and (36):
\begin{align}
\sigma_{rr}(r, \theta) &= \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} B_{nj} r^{c_{ij}} \right) e^{jn\theta} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4} B_{0j} r^{a_{ij}} \right) \right\}, \quad (39) \\
\sigma_{\theta \theta}(r, \theta) &= \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} Z_{nj} r^{c_{ij}} \right) e^{jn\theta} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4} Z_{0j} r^{a_{ij}} \right) \right\}, \quad (40) \\
\tau_{\theta \phi}(r, \theta) &= \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} Z_{nj} r^{c_{ij}} \right) e^{jn\theta} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4} Z_{0j} r^{a_{ij}} \right) \right\}, \quad (41) \\
\psi(r, \theta) &= \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} P_{nj} r^{c_{ij}} \right) e^{jn\theta} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4} P_{0j} r^{a_{ij}} \right) \right\}, \quad (42)
\end{align}

The displacement potential field of an FG piezoelectric solid has been addressed in [41]. Submitting Eqs. (39)–(41), (17), and (18) into Eq. (3), the components of stress and magnetic induction are attained as:

\begin{align}
B_{r}(r, \theta) &= \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} Z_{nj} r^{c_{ij}} \right) e^{jn\theta} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4} Z_{0j} r^{a_{ij}} \right) \right\}, \quad (43) \\
B_{\theta}(r, \theta) &= \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} Z_{nj} r^{c_{ij}} \right) e^{jn\theta} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4} Z_{0j} r^{a_{ij}} \right) \right\}, \quad (44) \\
B_{\phi}(r, \theta) &= \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} Z_{nj} r^{c_{ij}} \right) e^{jn\theta} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4} Z_{0j} r^{a_{ij}} \right) \right\}, \quad (45)
\end{align}
\[ B_\theta(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{6} Z_{nj}^0 B_{nj} r^{n+1/2} \right\} e^{i n \theta} \]
\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{12} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} Z_{nj}^i r^{n+1/2} \right) \]
\[ + \tilde{W}_n^{-1} r S_{n+1} + \tilde{W}_n^{-1} r S_{n+1} + \tilde{W}_n^{10} r S_{n+1} + \tilde{W}_n^{10} r S_{n+1} + \tilde{W}_n^{10} r S_{n+1} \]
\[ + \left( \sum_{j=0}^{6} Z_{nj}^0 B_{nj} r^{n+1/2} \right), \]

where constants \( Z_{nj}^i, Z_{nj}^i (i = 1, 2, ..., 5), \tilde{W}_n^i (i = 1, 2, ..., 12) \) are defined in the Appendix. It is noteworthy that Eqs. (42)-(46) consist of six unknown constants \( B_{nj}, (j = 1, 2, ..., 6) \) and six boundary conditions are necessary to approximate \( B_{nj} \).

6. Numerical results and discussion

6.1. Verification of the presented solution

To verify the solution, the results are depicted in Figure 2 for the axisymmetric FGP cylinder, regardless of moisture concentration effects (i.e., \( \tilde{M} = 0 \)).

Given the assumption of axisymmetric loadings (i.e., \( \theta = 0 \)), three coupled differential equations, namely, Eqs. (22)-(24), derived in this study are reduced to two coupled differential equations, as stated in [20].

For this purpose, the geometrical parameters used are \( a = 0.2 \text{ m} \) and \( b = 1 \text{ m} \) and the non-homogeneity constant is assumed to be \( \beta = 1 \). Also, the boundary conditions are set to \( \sigma_{rr} (r = a) = \sigma_{rr} (r = b) = 0 \). The thermal, magnetic, and mechanical properties are chosen following Ghobarapour Arani et al. [20].

Figure 2 shows the distribution of the radial stress for the purpose of verification. It can be observed that the results of the present study have a good agreement with those given in [20].

6.2. Current results

In this section, numerical results of the analysis of the FGP cylinder resting on an elastic foundation are discussed. The distributions of stress, displacement, magnetic potential, temperature, and moisture are illustrated. The inner and outer radii of the piezomagnetic cylinder are assumed \( a = 1 \text{ m} \) and \( b = 1.2 \text{ m} \), respectively. The material properties of \( \text{BaTiO}_3 / \text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4 \) are listed in Table 1 [36,37,42].

The boundary conditions on the inner and outer surfaces of the piezomagnetic cylinder are expressed as follows:

\[ T(a, \theta) = 60\cos 2\theta \]
\[ T(b, \theta) = 100\cos 2\theta \text{ (K)} \]
\[ \tilde{M}(a, \theta) = \cos 2\theta \]
\[ \tilde{M}(b, \theta) = 3\cos 2\theta \text{ (kg/m²)} \]
\[ \sigma_{rr}(a, \theta) = 10\cos 2\theta \]
\[ \sigma_{rr}(b, \theta) = -k_w u(b, \theta) \text{ (MPa)} \]
\[ \tau_{r\theta}(a, \theta) = 0 \]
\[ \tau_{r\theta}(b, \theta) = 0 \text{ (MPa)} \]
\[ \psi(a, \theta) = 10^4 \cos 2\theta \]
\[ \psi(b, \theta) = 0 \text{ (W/A)} \]

Figures 3 and 4 reveal the distributions of moisture concentration and temperature, respectively. As shown, the boundary conditions are satisfied at the inner and outer surfaces of the FGP cylinder. Also, the maximum values of moisture concentration and temperature occur at the angles of \( \theta = 0, \pm \pi \).

**Table 1.** Material properties of \( \text{BaTiO}_3 / \text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4 \) [36,37,42].

| Property          | Value          |
|-------------------|----------------|
| \( C_{11} \) (GPa) | 269.5          |
| \( C_{12} \) (GPa) | 170.5          |
| \( C_{22} \) (GPa) | 286            |
| \( C_{33} \) (GPa) | 170.5          |
| \( d_{11} \) (N/Am) | 699.7          |
| \( d_{12} \) (N/Am) | 580.3          |
| \( d_{11} \) (N/Am) | 500            |
| \( d_{12} \) (N/Am) | 10             |
| \( d_{11} \) (N/Am) | 0.8            |
| \( d_{12} \) (N/Am) | 1.1            |
| \( \alpha_r \) (10⁻⁶ 1/K) | 10             |
| \( \alpha_t \) (10⁻⁶ 1/K) | 10             |
| \( \varepsilon_r \) (10⁻⁴ m²/kg) | 0.8            |
| \( \varepsilon_t \) (10⁻⁴ m²/kg) | 1.1            |
| \( \sigma_0^0 \) (10⁻³ N/AmK) | 6              |
| \( \sigma_2^0 \) (10⁻³ N/AmK) | 6              |
| \( \gamma_0^0 \) (10⁻⁵ Nm²/Akg) | 0              |
| \( \gamma_2^0 \) (10⁻⁵ Nm²/Akg) | 0              |
Figures 3–9 illustrate the distributions of the radial and circumferential displacement and radial, circumferential, and shear stresses, respectively. It is observed in Figure 5 that the minimum values of the radial displacement occur at the angles of $\theta = 0, \pm \pi$, whereas the critical values are achieved at the angles of $\theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$.

It is evident in Figures 7 and 9 that the boundary conditions of radial and shear stresses are satisfied at the inner and outer surfaces of the cylinder. As depicted, the maximum values of radial stress are achieved at the angles of $\theta = 0, \pm \pi$, and the values of shear stress are equal to zero at the angles of $\theta = 0, \pm \pi$. It can be seen in Figure 8 that the behavior of
The circumferential stress is reversed at the radius of \( r/a > 1.1 \). The distributions of the magnetic potential and radial and circumferential magnetic inductions are depicted in Figures 10-12. As shown, the maximum values occur at the angles of \( \theta = 0, \pm \pi \), whereas the minimum values arise at \( \theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \).

The effects of hydro-thermal loading on the response of the piezomagnetic cylinder at \( \theta = \pi/3 \) are presented in Figures 13-16, wherein the non-homogeneity constants and foundation stiffness are considered as \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta = 0.5 \) and \( k_w = 10^6 \) (N/m\(^3\)) respectively. Figures 13-16 are depicted on the basis of the temperature difference \( \Delta T = T_h - T_i \) and moisture difference \( \Delta M = \hat{M}_h - \hat{M}_i \). Figure 13 indicates that increase in the hygro-thermal loading enhances the absolute values of radial stress. As shown in Figure 14, increase in the hygro-thermal loading raises the absolute values of circumferential stress. It can be seen in Figure 15 that the absolute values of shear stress increase by raising the hygro-thermal loading. As shown in Figure 16, raising the hygro-thermal loading leads to an increase in the absolute values of radial magnetic induction. Therefore, it can be concluded that hygro-thermal loading has negative effects on the response of a thick-wall structure.
Figure 14. Distribution of circumferential stress with different hygrothermal loadings ($\theta = \pi/3, \beta = 0.5, k_w = 10^9$ N/m$^3$).

Figure 15. Distribution of shear stress with different hygrothermal loadings ($\theta = \pi/3, \beta = 0.5, k_w = 10^9$ N/m$^3$).

7. Conclusion

In the current study, complex Fourier series method was employed to assess the hygro-thermo-magneto-elastic response of the piezomagnetic cylinders made of Functionally Graded (FG) materials resting on an elastic foundation. The material properties were considered based on the power-law function. The coupled differential equations in terms of mechanical displacements and magnetic potential were solved using the separation of variables and complex Fourier series method. The main advantage of this method is that any type of mechanical and magnetic boundary conditions can be defined in it without any limitations. Numerical results obtained in this study were evaluated to investigate the effects of hygro-thermal loading. It should be pointed out that in the uncoupled hygrothermal problems, the temperature and moisture concentration have not only the same manner, but also similar effects on the behavior of the cylinder. As observed in the results, all the components of stress, displacement, magnetic potential, and magnetic induction followed a harmonic pattern in the cross section of the piezomagnetic cylinder. Furthermore, hygrothermal loading had considerable effects on the stresses and magnetic induction distributions. Moreover, the absolute values of the radial, circumferential, and shear stresses and radial magnetic induction increased by raising the hygrothermal loading.

Nomenclature

$\sigma_{ij}$  Stress components (Pa)
$\varepsilon_{ij}$ Strain components
$B_i$  Magnetic induction (N/Am)
$u$  Radial displacement (m)
$v$  Circumferential displacement (m)
$T$  Temperature (K)
$M$  Moisture concentration (kg/m$^3$)
$\psi$  Magnetic potential (Nm/C)
$C_{ij}$ Elastic coefficient (Pa)
$d_{ij}$ Piezomagnetic coefficient (N/Am)
$\theta_i$ Thermal stress (N/m$^2$K)
$\varphi_i$ Hygroscopic stress (Nm/kg)
$g_{ij}$ Magnetic permeability (Ns$^2$/C$^2$)
$\alpha_i$ Thermal expansion coefficient (1/K)
$\xi_i$ Moisture expansion coefficient (m$^3$/kg)
$q_i$ Pyromagnetic coefficient (N/AmK)
\( \gamma_i \)  \text{Hygmagnetic coefficient (Nm}^2/\text{Akg)} \\
\( \omega_i \)  \text{Moisture diffusivity (kg/m}^2\text{s)} \\
\( k_i \)  \text{Thermal conductivity (W/mK)} \\
\( \beta_i \)  \text{Non-homogeneity constant} \\
\( k_w \)  \text{Elastic foundation stiffness}
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Appendix

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{X}_1 &= C_{11}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n1} + \beta_1 + 1) + (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - n^2 C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0) \\
\hat{X}_2 &= (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0) (in) \\
\hat{X}_3 &= d_{11}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) - d_{21}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) - n^2 d_{31}^0 \\
\hat{X}_4 &= (C_{31}^0 + C_{32}^0) (in) (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) + (C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + 1) + C_{32}^0) (in). \\
\hat{X}_5 &= C_{31}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n1} + \beta_1 + 1) - (n^2 C_{22}^0 + (\beta_1 + 1) C_{31}^0) \\
\hat{X}_6 &= (d_{21}^0 + d_{21}^0) (in) (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) + (\beta_1 + 1) d_{11}^0 (in). \\
\hat{X}_7 &= d_{11}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) + d_{21}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) - n^2 d_{31}^0. \\
\hat{X}_8 &= (d_{21}^0 + d_{21}^0) (in) (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) + (\beta_1 d_{21}^0 - d_{31}^0) (in). \\
\hat{X}_9 &= -g_{11}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) + g_{22}^0 n^2. \\
\hat{X}_{10} &= \kappa_{31}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) + (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0) (in) + (C_{31}^0 + C_{32}^0) (in) (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1),
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \dot{X}_{12} = d_{11}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
- d_{21}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \times n^2 d_{21}^0, \]
\[ \dot{X}_{13} = (C_{31}^0 + C_{12}^0) (in) (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + 1) + C_{22}^0) (in), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{14} = C_{31}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
- n^2 C_{22}^0 - (\beta_1 + 1) C_{31}^0, \]
\[ \dot{X}_{15} = (d_{31}^0 + d_{21}^0) (in) (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (\beta_1 + 1) d_{31}^0 (in), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{16} = d_{21}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ d_{21}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) - n^2 d_{21}^0, \]
\[ \dot{X}_{17} = (d_{21}^0 + C_{31}^0) (in) (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (\beta_1 d_{31}^0 - d_{31}^0) (\text{in}). \]
\[ \dot{X}_{18} = - g_{11}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ n^2 g_{22}^0. \]
\[ \dot{X}_{19} = C_{11}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - n^2 C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{20} = (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0) (in) \\
+ (C_{12}^0 + C_{31}^0) (in) (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{21} = d_{11}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
- d_{21}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) \times n^2 d_{21}^0, \]
\[ \dot{X}_{22} = (C_{31}^0 + C_{12}^0) (in) (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + 1) + C_{22}^0) (in), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{23} = C_{31}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
- n^2 C_{22}^0 - (\beta_1 + 1) C_{31}^0, \]
\[ \dot{X}_{24} = (d_{31}^0 + d_{21}^0) (in) (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (\beta_1 + 1) d_{31}^0 (in). \]
\[ \dot{X}_{25} = d_{21}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ d_{21}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) - n^2 d_{21}^0, \]
\[ \dot{X}_{26} = (d_{21}^0 + d_{31}^0) (in) (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (\beta_1 d_{21}^0 - d_{31}^0) (\text{in}), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{27} = - g_{11}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) + n^2 g_{22}^0. \]
\[ \dot{X}_{28} = C_{11}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - n^2 C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{29} = (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{31}^0 - C_{22}^0) (in) \\
+ (C_{12}^0 + C_{31}^0) (in) (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{30} = d_{11}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
- d_{22}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) - n^2 d_{21}^0. \]
\[ \dot{X}_{31} = (C_{31}^0 + C_{12}^0) (in) (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (C_{31}^0 (\beta_1 + 1) + C_{22}^0) (in), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{32} = C_{31}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
- n^2 C_{22}^0 - (\beta_1 + 1) C_{31}^0, \]
\[ \dot{X}_{33} = (d_{21}^0 + d_{31}^0) (in) (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (\beta_1 + 1) d_{21}^0 (in), \]
\[ \dot{X}_{34} = d_{11}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ d_{21}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \times n^2 d_{21}^0, \]
\[ \dot{X}_{35} = (d_{21}^0 + d_{31}^0) (in) (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
+ (\beta_1 d_{21}^0 - d_{31}^0) (in). \]
\[ \dot{X}_{36} = - g_{11}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{n2} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) + n^2 g_{22}^0. \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_1 = [d_{1}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + m_{n1} + 1) - d_{2}^0] A_{n1}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_2 = d_{2}^0 (in) A_{n1}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_3 = - [g_{1}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + m_{n1} + 1) + i m g_{2}^0] A_{n1}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_4 = [d_{1}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + m_{n2} + 1) - d_{2}^0] A_{n2}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_5 = d_{2}^0 (in) A_{n2}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_6 = - [g_{1}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + m_{n2} + 1) + (\text{in}) g_{2}^0] A_{n2}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_7 = [d_{1}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + S_{n1} + 1) - d_{2}^0] G_{n1}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_8 = d_{2}^0 (in) G_{n1}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_9 = - [g_{1}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + S_{n1} + 1) + i m g_{2}^0] G_{n1}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_{10} = [d_{1}^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + S_{n2} + 1) - g_{2}^0] G_{n2}, \]
\[ \ddot{Y}_{11} = d_{2}^0 (in) G_{n2}. \]
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Y}_1 &= - [\gamma_1^0 (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + S_{11} + 1) + i n_1^0] G_{12}, \\
\tilde{X}_1 &= C_{11} (m_{01} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{01} + \beta_1 + 1) \\
&+ (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{22}^0) , \\
\tilde{X}_2 &= d_{11}^0 (m_{01} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{01} + \beta_1 + 2 + 1) \\
&- d_{21}^0 (m_{01} + \beta_1 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_3 &= d_{11}^0 (m_{01} + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{01} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&+ d_{21}^0 (m_{01} + \beta_1 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_4 &= - g_{11}^0 (m_{01} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{01} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_5 &= C_{11} (m_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&+ (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{22}^0) , \\
\tilde{X}_6 &= d_{11}^0 (m_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&- d_{21}^0 (m_{02} + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_7 &= d_{11}^0 (m_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&+ d_{21}^0 (m_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_8 &= - g_{11}^0 (m_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (m_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_9 &= C_{11} (S_{11} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{11} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&+ (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{22}^0) , \\
\tilde{X}_{10} &= d_{11}^0 (S_{11} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{11} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&- d_{21}^0 (S_{11} + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_{11} &= d_{11}^0 (S_{11} + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{11} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&+ d_{21}^0 (S_{11} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_{12} &= - g_{11}^0 (S_{01} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{01} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_{13} &= C_{11} (S_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&+ (\beta_1 C_{12}^0 - C_{22}^0) , \\
\tilde{X}_{14} &= d_{11}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&- d_{22}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_{15} &= d_{11}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1) \\
&+ d_{21}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1), \\
\tilde{X}_{16} &= - g_{11}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) (S_{02} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + 1).
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = C_{12}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^1 + C_{22}^0 \left( Q_n^1 + i\eta Q_n^7 \right) \\
+ d_{21}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^1 - \partial_n^0 G_{n1} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = C_{12}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^4 + C_{22}^0 \left( Q_n^4 + i\eta Q_n^8 \right) \\
+ d_{21}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^4 - \partial_n^0 G_{n2} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = iC_{31}^0 Q_n^1 + C_{31}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_2) Q_n^0 + i\partial_{31}^0 Q_n^0 \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = iC_{31}^0 Q_n^1 + C_{31}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_2) Q_n^0 + i\partial_{31}^0 Q_n^1 \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = iC_{31}^0 Q_n^1 + C_{31}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_2) Q_n^1 + i\partial_{31}^0 Q_n^1 \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = iC_{31}^0 Q_n^1 + C_{31}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2) Q_n^1 + i\partial_{31}^0 Q_n^2 \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = d_{11}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^1 + d_{21}^0 \left( Q_n^1 + i\eta Q_n^5 \right) \\
- g_{11}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^1 + \partial_n^0 A_{n1} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = d_{11}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^2 + d_{21}^0 \left( Q_n^2 + i\eta Q_n^0 \right) \\
- g_{11}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^2 + \partial_n^0 A_{n2} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = d_{11}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^4 + d_{21}^0 \left( Q_n^4 + i\eta Q_n^7 \right) \\
- g_{11}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^4 + \gamma_1^0 G_{n1} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = d_{11}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^7 + d_{21}^0 \left( Q_n^7 + i\eta Q_n^0 \right) \\
- g_{11}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_n^7 + \gamma_1^0 G_{n2} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = i\partial_{31}^0 Q_n^1 + d_{31}^0 (m_{n1} + \beta_2) Q_n^0 - i\partial_{22}^0 Q_n^0 \\
+ \partial_n^0 A_{n1} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = i\partial_{31}^0 Q_n^2 + d_{31}^0 (m_{n2} + \beta_2) Q_n^0 - i\partial_{22}^0 Q_n^10 \\
+ \partial_n^0 A_{n2} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = i\partial_{31}^0 Q_n^3 + d_{31}^0 (S_{n1} + \beta_2) Q_n^1 - i\partial_{22}^0 Q_n^11 \\
+ \gamma_1^0 G_{n1} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_n = i\partial_{31}^0 Q_n^4 + d_{31}^0 (S_{n2} + \beta_2) Q_n^2 - i\partial_{22}^0 Q_n^12 \\
+ \gamma_1^0 G_{n2} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_0 = C_{11}^0 (S_{01} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^1 + C_{12}^0 Q_0^3 \\
+ d_{11}^0 (S_{01} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^1 - \partial_1^0 G_{01} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_0 = C_{11}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^4 + C_{12}^0 Q_0^4 \\
+ d_{11}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^4 - \partial_1^0 G_{02} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_0 = C_{12}^0 (m_{01} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^1 + C_{22}^0 Q_0^1 \\
+ d_{21}^0 (m_{01} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^1 - \partial_2^0 A_{01} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_0 = C_{12}^0 (m_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^2 + C_{22}^0 Q_0^2 \\
+ d_{21}^0 (m_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^2 - \partial_2^0 A_{02} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_0 = C_{12}^0 (S_{01} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^3 + C_{22}^0 Q_0^3 \\
+ d_{21}^0 (S_{01} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^3 - \partial_2^0 A_{01} \]
\[ \mathcal{W}_0 = C_{12}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^4 + C_{22}^0 Q_0^4 \\
+ d_{21}^0 (S_{02} + \beta_2 + 1) Q_0^4 - \partial_2^0 A_{02} \]
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