The spleen in liver cirrhosis: revisiting an old enemy with novel targets
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Abstract

The spleen is a secondary lymphoid organ which can influence the progression of multiple diseases, notably liver cirrhosis. In chronic liver diseases, splenomegaly and hypersplenism can manifest following the development of portal hypertension. These splenic abnormalities correlate with and have been postulated to facilitate the progression of liver fibrosis to cirrhosis, although precise mechanisms remain poorly understood. In this review, we summarize the literature to highlight the mechanistic contributions of splenomegaly and hypersplenism to the development of liver cirrhosis, focusing on three key aspects: hepatic fibrogenesis, hepatic immune microenvironment dysregulation and liver regeneration. We conclude with a discussion of the possible therapeutic strategies for modulating splenic abnormalities, including the novel potential usage of nanomedicine in non-surgically targeting splenic disorders for the treatment of liver cirrhosis.
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Background

The spleen is a secondary lymphoid organ containing specialized subsets of lymphocytes and myeloid cells, which are spatially organized within at least two functionally distinct regions. As the largest lymphoid organ in the body, the spleen contains highly elaborate tissue structures and is anatomically linked to the liver via the portal vein system [1, 2]. Clinically, liver cirrhosis is frequently accompanied by multiple complications including splenomegaly and hypersplenism [3, 4]. Previous studies have suggested that these splenic abnormalities may promote the progression of liver fibrosis to cirrhosis and exacerbate disease prognosis through multiple possible pathways [5–8]. Precise mechanisms, however, remain unclear and the overall impact of splenic contributions to the pathogenesis of liver cirrhosis remains to be clarified. Recently, additional mechanistic insights have been reported by several independent studies, prompting a timely review of the contributions of the spleen to liver cirrhosis progression.

In this review, we focus on the features and mechanisms of splenic dysregulation which may occur during liver cirrhosis. We first discuss the splenic alterations which potentially contribute to the cellular crosstalk between the spleen and liver. We propose that splenic contributions to liver cirrhosis mainly occur through the promotion of hepatic fibrogenesis, perturbation of the hepatic immune microenvironment and inhibition of liver regeneration. We further suggest that splenic immune cell alterations, especially in macrophages, monocytes and T cells, may be the most important perpetrator of this pathological process. Finally, we discuss the translational implications of these research findings, especially in application to nanomedicine and the discovery of novel and non-surgical strategies for the treatment of liver cirrhosis.

The anatomy of the spleen

The spleen is located within the left upper abdomen, adjacent to the stomach and beneath the diaphragm, with its appearance and size varying between different species [9]. The surface of spleen is encapsulated by visceral
peritoneum and reflects inwards to form trabeculae that separate the splenic parenchyma from its supporting vasculature. The vessels of the spleen are organized as a ‘tree’ branching from the afferent splenic artery, with smaller arterioles converging into venous sinusoids within the red pulp, before collecting into the efferent splenic vein. Branch-like arterial vessels are sheathed by lymphoid tissues, which constitute the white pulp and consists of T cells (mainly in the periarteriolar lymphoid sheath, PALS) and B cells (mainly in the germinal center, GC). The marginal zone surrounds the white pulp and acts as an interface separating the white pulp from the red pulp [10]. Macrophages constitute the major immune cell population within the marginal zone and red pulp [11–13]. Stromal cells are also distributed within the marginal zone, and white and red pulp regions to support the localization of different immune cell subsets [14]. The compartments of the spleen are illustrated accordingly (Fig. 1) and more detailed reviews of the structure and functions of different splenic cell populations can be found elsewhere [10, 15]. As a structurally sophisticated and multi-functional lymphoid organ, the spleen exerts important effects on local and systemic immune responses, which have the potential to affect different tissues and organs.

**Splenomegaly**

Spleen sizes can vary between cirrhotic patients by primary disease etiologies, with hepatitis C virus (HCV) infected and non-alcoholic hepatitis patients showing significantly larger organ dimensions compared to alcoholic hepatitis patients [18]. Histologically, chronic portal hypertension-induced splenomegaly features expanded white pulp and marginal zone areas and appears different to congestive splenomegaly, which is characterized by more prominent red pulp and less distinct white pulp regions [19, 20]. Clinically, splenomegaly has been associated with a poor prognosis in liver cirrhosis and utilized during radioactive or acoustic examinations as an index for the non-invasive assessment of esophageal varices and bleeding risks [1, 21, 22]. Splenic stiffness can also increase as splenomegaly advances [23]. Portal congestion is widely considered the initial cause of splenomegaly during liver cirrhosis [5, 24]. The subsequent changes in the enlarged spleen are complex and difficult to elucidate, considering the concurrent involvement of multiple cell populations in different compartments. Recently, Mejias et al. induced splenomegaly in rats using a partial portal vein ligation (PPVL) model of chronic portal hypertension. Interestingly, significantly increased activation of the mTOR signaling pathway was observed within the enlarged spleen. More importantly, mTOR inhibition using rapamycin profoundly ameliorated splenomegaly, causing a 44% decrease in spleen size [20]. Although the...
PPVL model more closely simulates human idiopathic portal hypertension (IPH), these findings remain suggestive for the study of cirrhosis-associated portal hypertension. In another study, Chen et al. utilized a rat model of portal hypertension induced by a combination of bile duct ligation (BDL) and PPVL. They reported that rapamycin-induced mTOR inhibition significantly decreased splenomegaly through the inhibition of lymphocyte proliferation, angiogenesis, fibrogenesis and tissue inflammation levels, which ultimately led to a decrease in portal pressure [25]. Consistent with Mejias et al., the findings from Chen et al. are insightful as the combination of BDL and PPVL models the augmentation of portal hypertension by biliary cirrhosis, which more closely mimics clinical cirrhosis conditions. Overall, the identification of portal hypertension-induced mTOR signaling alterations may be highly significant due to its central roles in immune cell modulation, angiogenesis and hepatic fibrogenesis [26–28]. Further investigations utilizing animal models of liver cirrhosis-associated portal hypertension will be required to confirm whether and how the mTOR signaling pathway may contribute to liver cirrhosis-associated splenomegaly.

**Hypersplenism**

The incidence of hypersplenism has been reported to range from 11 to 55% in patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension [29]. Hypersplenism often develops in parallel with splenomegaly. The mechanisms responsible for hypersplenism remain a matter of debate although recent studies iterate and corroborate that dysregulated immune cell responses may contribute to this process. In a study from Nomura et al., spleen samples from 26 patients with HCV-associated cirrhosis and hypersplenism who underwent splenectomy were studied by immunohistochemical staining and flow cytometry. They found that the splenic ratio of CD4+CD8- lymphocytes from these patients were higher compared to the control group (P = 0.06) whilst the ratio of FOXP3+CD4+ was lower than the control group, implicating an increase in CD4+ T cell immune responses during hypersplenism [30]. A series of studies from our group have shown that splenic macrophages may also be hyperactivated and subsequently facilitate cirrhosis-associated hypersplenism [31–34]. We demonstrated that NF-κB p65/c-Rel signaling was significantly elevated in hypersplenic macrophages and promoted increased phagocytosis and secretion of both pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrogenic factors such as IL-1β, IFN-γ, TNF-α and TGF-β1 [35]. The source of this macrophage hyperactivation, however, remains unresolved. Interestingly, a recent study by Valdes-Ferrer et al. demonstrated that a major expansion of the inflammatory CD11b+Ly-6C+Ly-6C+Ly-6C+ monocyte pool occurs in the spleens of sepsis-induced mice which survive caecal ligation and puncture (CLP) and subsequently develop significant splenomegaly. Serum high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) levels were significantly elevated in surviving sepsis-induced mice for 4–6 weeks, with administration of recombinant HMGB1 to naive mice inducing similar splenomegaly, leukocytosis and splenocyte priming phenotypes as sepsis-induced survivors [36]. The administration of anti-HMGB1 monoclonal antibody also significantly attenuated splenomegaly and splenocyte priming levels in sepsis-induced survivors [36]. HMGB1 belongs to the family of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) and elevated serum and liver HMGB1 and other DAMPs levels have been widely reported in liver fibrosis and cirrhosis [37–39]. Consequently, it is possible for DAMPs such as HMGB1 to circulate and induce splenic immune cell dysregulation during these conditions.

Recent studies in the field of extracellular microvesicles have provided us with a new paradigm for understanding the crosstalk between the liver and spleen. In a study from Saunderson et al., splenic marginal zone macrophages were able to capture in vivo or injected B cell-derived exosomes through the binding of exosome surface α2,3-linked sialic acid to cell surface CD169 expressed on marginal zone macrophages. CD169-/- mice showed an altered distribution of exosomes, with exosomes freely accessing the outer marginal zone rim of SIGN-R1+ macrophages and F4/80+ red pulp macrophages in contrast to wild type controls. Interestingly, CD169-/- displayed enhanced CD8+ T cell responses to antigen-pulsed exosomes compared to wild type mice, suggesting that circulating exosomes may be selectively recognized and also captured by splenic macrophages for antigen presentation purposes [40]. Considering the extensive involvement of exosomes in the pathogenesis of liver diseases, especially liver fibrosis, a role for liver-derived exosomes in the development of hypersplenism should not be excluded [41–43]. Further study will be required to elucidate more detailed mechanisms of how the contributions of hepatic injury, inflammation and fibrogenesis may affect splenic homeostasis and contribute to hypersplenism.

**Splenic contributions to liver cirrhosis**

An association between the liver and spleen has been proposed at least for three major reasons. Anatomically, both organs are important components of portal circulation. Histologically, the liver and spleen possess similar reticuloendothelial structures, which continuously participate in substance exchange and cellular migration [44]. Immunologically, both the liver and spleen play essential roles in immune homeostasis as well as
pathogen clearance. Thus, the concept of a liver-spleen axis has been proposed as an intersection linking immunity, pathogen clearance and metabolism in various conditions including chronic liver diseases [45]. Previous studies have unanimously implicated innate and adaptive immune cells in development of liver fibrosis or cirrhosis [46–49]. However, direct evidence for the involvement of splenic immune cells or spleen-derived factors has only recently emerged, suggesting that splenic contributions to hepatic fibrogenesis, hepatic immune microenvironment dysregulation and the disruption of liver regeneration may be responsible.

**Splenic contributions to hepatic fibrogenesis**

During liver fibrosis, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and Kupffer cells (KCs) act as the initial effectors of collagen deposition and inflammation modulation with the aid of the pro-fibrogenic cytokine transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) [50, 51]. Previous studies have reported splenic TGF-β1 production in the context of liver cirrhosis and hypersplenism and emphasized its critical role in the development of hepatic fibrogenesis. Splenic macrophages have been suggested as one source of TGF-β1. In a study by Akahoshi et al., a rat model of liver cirrhosis was induced by intraperitoneal injection of thioacetamide (TAA) for 24 weeks, and followed by either a splenectomy or sham operation. Splenic red pulp macrophages were suggested as a major source of TGF-β1 in this study, and splenectomy was reported to decrease serum TGF-β1 levels significantly whilst improve liver fibrosis and regeneration parameters [6]. A recent study by Asanoma et al. detected increased TGF-β1 expression from the resected spleens of liver cirrhotic patients by immunofluorescence staining, and reported it to be significantly correlated with the progression of liver cirrhosis. TGF-β1 immunofluorescence further overlapped with the staining of CD68, a pan marker of tissue macrophages [52]. Overall, the studies from Akahoshi et al. and Asanoma et al. both implicate that splenic involvement during hepatic fibrogenesis may be mediated through splenic macrophage production and secretion of TGF-β1. Thus, further studies elucidating the explicit mechanisms driving splenic macrophage TGF-β1 production during liver cirrhosis in the presence or absence of hypersplenism will be of great interest.

**Splenic influences on the hepatic immune microenvironment**

As the largest lymphoid organ in the body, the spleen contains multiple immune cell subsets which are differentially distributed within white and red pulp and marginal zone regions. B cells are mainly distributed within splenic follicles whilst T cells predominate in the white pulp regions. By contrast, DCs and macrophages are located predominately in the marginal zone (MZ) and red pulp regions. Immune cell migration between these different regions is necessary for splenic maintenance of immune cell homeostasis, tolerance and pathogen clearance [13, 53–55]. Interestingly, the red pulp has been revealed to maintain a reservoir of monocytes capable of rapidly migrating into injured tissues and mediating local inflammatory responses [56]. Similarly, T lymphocytes and some innate lymphoid cell (ILC) subsets have also been reported to be capable of splenic extravasation [57, 58].

As the liver and spleen are closely associated via the portal vein system, it is more likely for the spleen to exert its influences on the hepatic immune microenvironment by cell migration or the secretion of splenic soluble factors via portal vein blood flow (Fig. 2). In a recent study by Yada et al., splenectomy significantly increased the hepatic accumulation of Ly-6Clow monocytes or macrophages in a thioacetamide-induced murine model of liver cirrhosis with hypersplenism, implicating a role for the splenic control of hepatic monocyte or macrophage phenotypes [59]. Although no splenic monocyte tracking studies have been conducted in the setting of liver fibrosis or cirrhosis, the findings from Yada et al. are potentially suggestive when considering the directional movement of red pulp monocyte reservoirs towards cardiac lesions [56].

Studies focusing on T cells have also shed light in another direction. In a study of *Schistosoma japonicum* associated liver fibrosis by Romano et al., splenomegaly was found to be correlated with higher levels of FOXP3+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) in the blood and increased liver fibrosis severity. Splenectomy decreased Treg cell and hepatic fibrogenesis levels, implicating a role for the splenic modulation of the liver via alterations in T cell subsets [60]. More interestingly, in a whole genome microarray analysis conducted by Burke et al. [61] following *Schistosoma japonicum* infection, lymphocyte and monocyte chemokines and cell adhesion molecules were significantly upregulated in the liver whilst concurrently downregulated or unaltered in the spleen, possibly suggesting a recruitment of effector cells from the spleen to the liver. Another study by Tanabe et al. has explicitly pointed that splenic T cells may migrate into the fibrotic liver to promote hepatic fibrogenesis. They reported a decrease in splenic CD4+ T cell numbers following carbon tetrachloride (CCI4) or thioacetamide (TAA) induced liver injury in BALB/c mice. Splenectomy shifted the liver helper T cell (Th) Th1/Th2 balance towards Th1 and suppressed the progression of liver fibrogenesis. Adoptive transfer of GFP+ splenocytes into the spleens of syngeneic wild type mice following
fibrosis induction led to the accumulation of GFP+CD4+ lymphocytes with a Th2 phenotype in the diseased liver. The transfer of GFP+ splenocytes into the portal vein of syngeneic splenectomized mice abolished the suppressive effects of splenectomy on liver fibrosis, highlighting that splenic contributions to liver fibrosis may be directly mediated by the migration of splenic immune cells into a subsequently altered hepatic immune microenvironment [57]. Sophisticated in vivo tracing studies will be needed, however, to confirm the impact of splenic immune cell migration and/or secreted soluble factors on the hepatic immune microenvironment during liver fibrosis and cirrhosis.

**Splenic modulations of liver regeneration**

Although the liver normally possesses a huge regenerative capacity, this capacity is compromised during liver injury or resection, especially in the presence of severe chronic liver injury with marked fibrosis and tissue architecture aberrations [62, 63]. Liver regeneration is frequently overwhelmed by fibrogenesis in chronic liver diseases [64]. During chronic liver injury, hepatic progenitor cells (HPCs) act as the regenerative source for hepatocyte or cholangiocyte replenishment [65, 66]. Liver resident macrophages, also known as KCs, have also been shown to promote the differentiation of progenitor cells through debris engulfment-induced Wnt3a signaling in chronic liver diseases [67, 68]. Recently, residential KCs and recruited monocyte-derived macrophages have been both found to play central roles in liver regeneration, most likely through a mechanism involving KC–monocyte crosstalk and the consequent activation of HPCs [69]. No study has yet to determine, however, whether splenic monocyte-derived macrophages can directly influence KCs or HPCs to alter liver regeneration capacities during chronic liver injury.

Several studies point towards the potential of splenic contributors to impact liver regeneration capacities. Studies from Murata et al. and Yamada et al. have demonstrated that splenectomy improved liver regeneration in cirrhotic animals and patients respectively [70, 71]. In a study by Ueda et al. of rats undergoing major hepatectomy with or without splenectomy, early stage splenic red pulp TGF-β1 production and secretion into the portal blood was suggested to exert an inhibitory effect on liver regeneration. Splenectomy reversed this inhibition and enhanced the regeneration of hepatocytes [72]. In a separate study by Lee et al., which used rats undergoing a 70% hepatectomy with or without splenectomy, decreased TGF-β1 and increased hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) levels in the portal vein occurred concurrently to increase liver regeneration following splenectomy. Liver TGF-β1 and HGF receptor levels were also correspondingly regulated by splenectomy in this study [73]. Altogether, these studies suggest a role for the spleen in the inhibition of liver regeneration via TGF-β1 upregulation and HGF.
downregulation and its subsequent disruption of the hepatic pro-versus anti-regenerative signaling balance. However, more detailed mechanistic pathways remain to be resolved.

Recent studies using stem cell therapy in chronic liver diseases have also provided some clues regarding the role of the spleen in hepatic regeneration. In a study by Iwamoto et al., splenectomy enhanced the repopulation of adoptively transferred bone marrow cells in cirrhotic liver and decreased collagen deposition through the upregulation of MMP9 expression in transferred bone marrow cells [74]. In a separate study of liver cirrhosis in rats, Tang et al. reported that splenectomy improved the efficiency of adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation into the liver by enhancing liver SCF-1 (stromal cell-derived factor-1) and HGF expressions [75]. Further studies, however, will be required to characterize whether and how spleen-derived cells or soluble factors can mediate direct effects on liver-recipient stem cell expansion or hepatic progenitor cell behavior.

**Targeting spleen for the treatment of liver cirrhosis**

Targeting splenic abnormalities may be crucial for the management and treatment of liver cirrhosis due to their multiple pathophysiological associations with cirrhotic disease progression. In the past decades, splenectomy has been utilized to ameliorate the fatal complications of cirrhosis-associated portal hypertension. An alternative to splenectomy, however, is required for many patients with precluding conditions such as thrombocytopenia. Although such alternatives remain lacking, advances in the field of nanomedicine, especially the usage of nanoparticles for drug delivery and cell targeting, may provide us with novel avenues for targeted therapies.

**Splenectomy**

Splenectomy has been traditionally performed to treat liver cirrhosis for the alleviation of portal hypertension. The utility of splenectomy is well accepted and may be especially important for the treatment of fatal complications such as bleeding esophageal or gastric varices. Apart from its effects on portal hypertension and hypersplenism, splenectomy has also been reported by many groups to be an efficient method for improving liver function and the prognosis of esophageal varices [8, 76]. Previous studies have also reported splenectomy to increase the efficacy of liver transplantation and improve the prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma [77–79]. A study by Ogawa et al. has also suggested splenectomy as a supplemental treatment for anti-HCV therapy in combination with interferons and other pharmaceuticals, which is consistent with our own finding [80, 81]. Although animal studies suggest that splenectomy can ameliorate collagen deposition, improve liver function and regeneration capacity and enhance the therapeutic efficacy of stem cell transplantsations [6, 59, 75], many cirrhosis patients present with contraindications which preclude splenectomy. Thus, it remains critical for us to identify alternative novel and non-surgical methods which can target the spleen for the treatment of liver cirrhosis.

**Non-surgical therapies targeting the spleen**

Previous studies suggest that there is potential therapeutic value in targeting splenic mTOR signaling for the amelioration of splenomegaly and hypersplenism. Inhibition of splenic macrophage TGF-β1 production may be another therapeutic target in the treatment of liver cirrhosis progression. Therapies selectively modulating splenic macrophage or T cell activation may also be useful as these immune cell aberrations have been linked to hepatic immune microenvironment dysregulation and the enhancement of hepatic fibrogenesis. One of the biggest obstacles to these approaches has traditionally been the absence of spleen-selective delivery options for therapeutic agents. This problem, however, may be circumvented by the use of nanoparticles for novel and targeted drug delivery. In a study of nanoparticle distribution during LPS-induced systemic inflammation in mice, the spleen showed increased retention of carboxylated polystyrene latex bead nanoparticles of different sizes compared to all other organs studied. Nanoparticle retention localized mostly to the splenic marginal zone and red pulp to a lesser extent, with most nanoparticles found to be ingested by splenic macrophages. The uptake of nanoparticles by splenic macrophage was size dependent, with 20–100 nm particles preferentially engulfed by CD68+ marginal zone macrophages, 100–500 nm particles engulfed by CD169+ metallophilic marginal zone macrophages and 500 nm particles engulfed by F4/80+ red pulp macrophages. This size dependence may be caused by the increased permeability of splenic vessels during inflammatory conditions, and highlights one interesting prospect for the selective targeting of differential splenic regions [82].

An excellent review of the principles of nanoparticle design can be found elsewhere [83]. In a recent study by Hu et al., poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanoparticles were fashioned with membranes (containing both lipid and protein components) isolated from red blood cells in order to prolong nanoparticle retention time in the circulation. This approach may also be applicable for spleen selective drug targeting as splenic macrophages actively phagocytose red blood cells during hypersplenism and liver cirrhosis [84]. Research into the targeting of splenic and liver Leishmaniasis infections using nanoparticles may also be of potential strategic use [85, 86].
Standardized studies in animal models and pre-clinical trials measuring the efficacies of nanoparticle-based therapeutic agents will also be required for the development of novel spleen-selective therapeutic agents. In addition to nanoparticles, recombinant exosomes may also be useful for splenic targeting given the potentially selective capture of exosomes by splenic macrophages [40, 87, 88].

In addition to nanoparticle-based therapeutic agents, the crosstalk between the spleen and nervous system should also be considered in order to develop a comprehensive spleen-modulating approach for treating liver cirrhosis. A dense network of sympathetic noradrenergic fibers are closely associated with the splenic artery and branch into the white pulp and consequently T and B cell regions. Secreted neuropeptides may also directly affect the behaviour of splenic immune cells, and this may also need to be factored when designing spleen modulating therapies [89, 90]. Furthermore, the inhibition of liver injury-induced signaling molecules that perturb spleen homeostasis, such as HMGB1 or other DAMPs, may also be beneficial.

Conclusions

Numerous recent findings have greatly amended our understanding of the splenic contributions to liver cirrhosis. In particular, the discovery that the spleen can act as an inflammatory monocyte reservoir provides a new paradigm for understanding the immune-mediated links between the spleen and other organs. Recent studies on the development of splenomegaly and hypersplenism and the roles of spleen-derived cells or soluble factors in liver cirrhosis progression have greatly increased our comprehension of the crosstalk between the liver and spleen. Splenic characterization in the context of liver cirrhosis will be important for the future management and treatment of liver cirrhosis. New developments in the field of nanomedicine may provide us with improved strategies for targeting the spleen, given its vast reticuloendothelial system and abundant phagocyte distributions (Fig. 3). Future studies, however, will be required to characterize the precise mechanistic pathways which mediate spleen and liver crosstalk during disease progression from liver fibrosis to cirrhosis. This may potentially enable the
development of new therapeutic strategies for splenic modulation during the management and treatment of liver cirrhosis, especially in circumstances where the option of splenectomy is precluded. Overall, a comprehensive understanding of the molecular and cellular pathways controlling splenic homeostasis and pathophysiology will be critical for the development of new therapies against liver cirrhosis.

**Key points:**

1. Dysregulated mTOR signaling following portal hypertension is associated with the development of splenomegaly and hypersplenism.
2. Splenic immune cell dysregulation during liver cirrhosis may be induced by liver-derived HMGB1, DAMPs or exosomes.
3. Splenic contributions to liver cirrhosis progression may occur via the modulation of hepatic fibrogenesis, immune microenvironment dysregulation and liver regeneration, through chemotactic egress of spleen-derived cells or the release of splenic soluble factors.
4. Although splenectomy alleviate liver cirrhosis, the use of non-surgical methods like nanomedicine may be more valuable for the future treatment of liver cirrhosis.

**Abbreviations**
mTOR: mammalian target of rapamycin; FOXP3: forkhead box P3; NF-κB: nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; HMGB1: high-mobility group box 1; DAMPs: damage associated molecular patterns; TGF-β1: transforming growth factor β1; HSC: hepatic stellate cell; KC: Kupffer cell; HGF: hepatocyte growth factor.

**Authors’ contributions**
The review was conceptualized by ZL and JY. LL wrote the manuscript. MD, WC, AJ and XL edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Author details**
1 National & Local Joint Engineering Research Center of Biodiagnosis and Biotherapy, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Xi’an Jiaotong University, No.157, Xiwu Road, Xi’an 710004, Shaanxi, China. 2 Liver and Spleen Diseases Research Center, Shaanxi Province, No.157, Xiwu Road, Xi’an 710004, Shaanxi, China. 3 Department of General Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Xi’an Jiaotong University, No.157, Xiwu Road, Xi’an 710004, Shaanxi, China. 4 Department of Pathology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Xi’an Jiaotong University, No.157, Xiwu Road, Xi’an 710004, Shaanxi, China. 5 Department of Biochemistry and Genetics, La Trobe Institute for Molecular Science (LIMS), La Trobe University, Bundoora, VIC, Australia.

**Acknowledgements**
Not applicable.

**Competing interests**
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Availability of data and materials**
The datasets during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author within reasonable request.

**Consent for publication**
The manuscript has been reviewed by all authors and has been consented for publication.

**Funding**
This project was sponsored by the program (No. 9144210227) of National Natural Science Foundation of China.

**Publisher’s Note**
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Received:** 7 February 2017 **Accepted:** 16 May 2017

**Published online:** 23 May 2017

**References**
1. Bolognesi M, Merkert C, Sacchetti D, et al. Role of spleen enlargement in cirrhosis with portal hypertension. Dig Liver Dis. 2002;34(2):144–50.
2. Liangpunsakul S, Ulmer BJ, Chalasani N. Predictors and implications of severe hypersplenism in patients with cirrhosis. Am J Med Sci. 2003;326(3):111–6.
3. Tsiantzis EA, Bosch J, Burroughs AK. Liver cirrhosis. Lancet. 2014;383(9930):1749–61.
4. Iwakiri Y. Pathophysiology of portal hypertension. Clin Liver Dis. 2014;18(2):281–91.
5. Oberti F, Valseca E, Pilette C, et al. Noninvasive diagnosis of hepatic fibrosis or cirrhosis. Gastroenterology. 1997;113(5):1609–16.
6. Akahoshi T, Hashizume M, Tanoue K, et al. Role of the spleen in liver fibrosis in rats may be mediated by transforming growth factor β-1. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2002;17(1):59–65.
7. Kisseleva T, Uchirami H, Feint N, et al. Bone marrow-derived fibrocytes participate in pathogenesis of liver fibrosis. J Hepatol. 2006;45(3):429–38.
8. Murata K, Ito K, Yoneda K, et al. Splenectomy improves liver function in patients with liver cirrhosis. Hepatogastroenterology. 2007;55(85):1407–11.
9. Cesta MF. Normal structure, function, and histology of the spleen. Toxicol Pathol. 2006;34(5):455–65.
10. Mebius RE, Kraal G. Structure and function of the spleen. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005;5(8):606–16.
11. Kraal G. Cells in the marginal zone of the spleen. Int Rev Cytol. 1992;132:51–74.
12. Van Rooijen N, Kors N, Vé Endè M, et al. Depletion and repopulation of macrophages in spleen and liver of rat after intravenous treatment with liposome-encapsulated dichloromethylene diphosphonate. Cell Tissue Res. 1990;260(2):215–22.
13. Kohyama M, Ise W, Edelson BT, et al. Role for Spi-C in the development of red pulp macrophages and splenic iron homeostasis. Nature. 2009;457(7227):318–21.
14. Mueller SN, Germain RN. Stromal cell contributions to the homeostasis and functionality of the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009;9(9):618–29.
15. Bronte V, Pittet MJ. The spleen in local and systemic regulation of immunity. Immunity. 2013;39(5):806–18.
16. Bashour FN, Teran JC, Muller KD. Prevalence of peripheral blood cytopenias (hypersplenism) in patients with nonalcoholic chronic liver disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2000;95(10):2996–9.
17. Bowdler AJ. Splenomegaly and hypersplenism. Clin Haematol. 1983;12(2):467.
18. Kashani A, Salehi B, Anghesom D, et al. Spleen size in cirrhosis of different etiologies. J Ultrasound Med. 2015;34(2):233–8.
19. O’Malley D. Atlas of spleen pathology. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
20. Mejias M, Garcia-Pras E, Gallego J, et al. Relevance of the mTOR signaling pathway in the pathophysiology of splenomegaly in rats with chronic portal hypertension. J Hepatol. 2010;52(4):529–39.
21. Berzigotti A, Zappoli P, Magalotti D, et al. Spleen enlargement on follow-up evaluation: a noninvasive predictor of complications of portal hypertension in cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2008;6(10):1129–34.

22. Coleschia A, Montrone L, Scialli E, et al. Measurement of spleen stiffness: to evaluate portal hypertension and the presence of esophageal varices in patients with HCV-related cirrhosis. Gastroenterology. 2012;143(3):646-54.

23. Abraldes JG, Reverter E, Berzigotti A. Spleen stiffness: toward a noninvasive portal sphygmonanometer? Hepatology. 2013;57(3):1278–80.

24. Shah SHA, Hayes PC, Allan PL, et al. Measurement of spleen size and its relation to hypersplenism and portal hemodynamics in portal hypertension due to hepatic cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol. 1996;91(12):2580–3.

25. Chen Y, Wang W, Wang H, et al. Rapamycin attenuates splenomegaly in both intrahepatic and prehepatic portal hypertensive rats by blocking mTOR signaling pathway. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(1):e0114159.

26. Powell JD, Pollizzi KN, Heikamp EB, et al. Regulation of immune responses by mTOR. Annu Rev Immunol. 2012;30:39.

27. Karar J, Maity A. PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in angiogenesis. Front Mol Neurosci. 2011;4:51.

28. Patsenker E, Schneider V, Liedermann M, et al. Potent antifibrotic activity of a mTOR inhibitors sirolimus and everolimus but not of cyclosporine A and tacrolimus in experimental liver fibrosis. J Hepatol. 2011;55(2):388–98.

29. El-Khishen MA, Henderson JM, Millikan WR Jr, et al. Splenectomy is contraindicated for the thrombocytopenia secondary to portal hypertension. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1985;160(3):233–8.

30. Nomura Y, Kage M, Ogata T, et al. Influence of splenectomy in patients with liver cirrhosis and hypersplenism. Hepatol Res. 2014;44(10):E100–9.

31. Li ZF, Zhang Y, Gao J, et al. Expression and significance of Toll-like receptor 4 of splenic macrophage in patients with hypersplenism due to portal hypertension. Zhonghua yi xue za zhi. 2004;84(13):1086–91.

32. Li Z, Zhang S, Huang C, et al. MicroRNA of splenic macrophages in hypersplenism due to portal hypertension in hepatitis B virus-related cirrhosis. Exp Biol Med. 2008;233(11):1454–61.

33. Li A, Li Z, Ma S, et al. Dysfunction of splenic macrophages in cirrhotic patients with hypersplenism and HBV infection. Am J Med Sci. 2008;336(1):32–8.

34. Jiang A, Zhang S, Li Z, et al. mIR-615+3p promotes the phagocytic capacity of splenic macrophages by targeting ligand-dependent nuclear receptor corepressor in cirrhosis-related portal hypertension. Exp Biol Med. 2011;236(6):672–80.

35. Ren S, Zhang S, Li M, et al. NF-κB p65 and c-Rel subunits promote phagocytosis and cytokine secretion by splenic macrophages in cirrhotic patients with hypersplenism. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2013;45(2):335–43.

36. Valdés-Ferrer S, Rosas-Ballina M, Olfoçson PS, et al. HMGBl mediates splenomegaly and expansion of splenic CD11b+Ly-6C+high inflammatory monocytes in murine sepsis survivors. J Intern Med. 2013;274(4):381–90.

37. Arriaza E, Ge X, Leung TM, et al. Signalling via the eosteenpoin and high mobility group box-1 axis drives the fibrogenic response to liver injury. Gut. 2016;65:1123–37.

38. Scacciotti P, Mistelli T, Bianchi MC. Release of chromatin protein HMGBl by necrotic cells triggers inflammation. Nature. 2002;418(6904):191–5.

39. Brenner C, Galluzzi L, Kepp O, et al. Decoding cell death signals in liver inflammation. J Hepatol. 2013;59(3):583–94.

40. Saunderson SC, Dunn AC, Crocker PR, et al. CD169 mediates the capture of exosomes in spleen and lymph node. Blood. 2014;123(20):3870–8.

41. Jiang A, Zhang S, Li Z, et al. mIR-615+3p promotes the phagocytic capacity of splenic macrophages by targeting ligand-dependent nuclear receptor corepressor in cirrhosis-related portal hypertension. Exp Biol Med. 2011;236(6):672–80.
72. Ueda S, Yamanoi A, Hishikawa Y, et al. Transforming growth factor-β1 released from the spleen exerts a growth inhibitory effect on liver regeneration in rats. Lab Invest. 2003;83(11):1595–603.

73. Lee SC, Jeong HJ, Choi BJ, et al. Role of the spleen in liver regeneration in relation to transforming growth factor-β1 and hepatocyte growth factor. J Surg Res. 2015;196(2):270–7.

74. Iwamoto T, Terai S, Mizunaga Y, et al. Splenectomy enhances the anti-fibrotic effect of bone marrow cell infusion and improves liver function in cirrhotic mice and patients. J Gastroenterol. 2012;47(3):300–12.

75. Tang WP, Akahoshi T, Piao JS, et al. Splenectomy enhances the therapeutic effect of adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell infusion on cirrhosis rats. Liver Int. 2016;36:1151–9.

76. Tomikawa M, Hashizume M, Saku M, et al. Effectiveness of gastric devascularization and splenectomy for patients with gastric varices. J Am Coll Surg. 2000;191(5):498–503.

77. Sato Y, Yamamoto S, Oya H, et al. Splenectomy for reduction of excessive portal hypertension after adult living-related donor liver transplantation. Hepatogastroenterology. 2001;48(48):1652–5.

78. Chen XP, Wu ZD, Huang ZY, et al. Use of hepatectomy and splenectomy to treat hepatocellular carcinoma with cirrhotic hypersplenism. Br J Surg. 2005;92(3):334–9.

79. Sugawara Y, Yamamoto J, Shimada K, et al. Splenectomy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and hypersplenism. J Am Coll Surg. 2000;191(5):446–50.

80. Ogawa F, Furuyo N, Nakamura M, et al. Efficacy and safety of splenectomy in telaprevir-based triple therapy for chronic hepatitis C patients with thrombocytopenia and advanced fibrosis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014;29:1728–35.

81. Ji F, Zhang S, Huang N, et al. Splenectomy prior to antiviral therapy in patients with hepatitis C virus-related decompensated cirrhosis. Braz J Infect Dis. 2013;17(5):601–5.

82. Chen K-H, Lundy DJ, Toh EKW, et al. Nanoparticle distribution during systemic inflammation is size-dependent and organ-specific. Nanoscale. 2015;7:15863.

83. Blanco Elvir, Shen Haifa, Ferrari Mauro. Principles of nanoparticle design for overcoming biological barriers to drug delivery. Nat Biotechnol. 2015;9(33):941–51.

84. Hu CM, et al. Erythrocyte membrane-camouflaged polymeric nanoparticles as a biomimetic delivery platform. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011;108:10980–5.

85. Asthana S, Gupta PK, Jaiswal AK, et al. Targeted chemotherapy of visceral leishmaniasis by lactoferrin-appended amphotericin B-loaded nanoreservoir: in vitro and in vivo studies. Nanomedicine. 2015;10(7):1093–109.

86. Costa Lima MA, Resende M, Silvestre R, et al. Characterization and evaluation of BNIP3Daect-loaded PLGA nanoparticles for visceral leishmaniasis: in vitro and in vivo studies. Nanomedicine. 2012;7(12):1839–49.

87. Lakhal S, Wood MA. Exosome nanotechnology: an emerging paradigm shift in drug delivery. BioEssays. 2011;33(10):737–41.

88. Momen-Heravi F, Bala S, Bukong T, et al. Exosome-mediated delivery of functionally active miRNA-155 inhibitor to macrophages. Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med. 2014;10(7):1517–27.

89. Jung WC, Levesque JP, Ruitenbergh MJ. It takes nerve to fight back: the significance of neural innervation of the bone marrow and spleen for immune function. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2017;61:60–70.

90. Talbot S, Foster SL, Woolf CJ. Neuroimmunity: physiology and pathology. Annu Rev Immunol. 2016;34:421–47.

91. Sukhbaatar N, Hengstschläger M, Weichhart T. mTOR-mediated regulation of dendritic cell differentiation and function. Trends Immunol. 2016;37(11):778–89.