ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION The purpose of antenatal care is to ensure that a woman has a safe pregnancy and that does not mean absence of any disease during this period. Antenatal care allows screening of preeclampsia, fetal abnormalities and other prevention strategies to be incorporated. The purpose of this study was to assess the reason for attending antenatal care clinics and knowledge of antenatal care content package in women.

METHODS A cross-sectional study was conducted on 395 pregnant women attending antenatal care clinic at the Ruth K. M. Pfau Civil hospital, Karachi, Pakistan from 1 July 2019 to 31 December 2019. Each eligible woman was asked about the reason for attendance and her knowledge about WHO standardized antenatal care package.

RESULTS The commonest reason for utilizing antenatal care in booked attendees was place of birth concern (25.9%) and in not booked was referral from private centers (33.6%) which was statistically significant (p=0.006). Both booked and not booked women (67.9% vs 59.1%, p=0.409) stated avoidance of complication during pregnancy and labor as the commonest reason for attendance. Women with higher parity were more likely to identify weight measurement (p=0.001), iron and folic acid supplementation (p=0.001), and urine detailed report (p=0.002), as content of the standard package.

CONCLUSIONS Our study shows that women did not utilize antenatal care clinics for improving their health or the health of their fetus. The knowledge of the antenatal care package was limited to weight measurement and supplements. Moreover, attendance and visits at an antenatal care facility do not equate to good service provision.

INTRODUCTION

Antenatal care is not just a regular health check for pregnant women but serves a unique purpose. The purpose of antenatal care is to ensure that a woman has a safe pregnancy and that does not mean absence of any disease during this period. Antenatal care allows screening of preeclampsia, fetal abnormalities and other prevention strategies to be incorporated. But the utilization of antenatal care by women in low- and middle-income countries is very different from that of high-income countries. Although the utilization has increased considerably in the past two decades, the reasons for utilization are not very convincing.

A visit should ideally incorporate the pregnancy specific care but the common reasons for accessing an antenatal care facility by Pakistani women were surprisingly different. In a multicenter study, the Pakistani women were more concerned with birth preparation, and were less inclined to utilize any other preventive or screening services offered at the facility.

The antenatal services are not utilized to their full potential in the country. It has been reported that women seldom present for antenatal care or present really late. The birth specific complaints or pregnancy related concerns form a minor fraction of presenting complaints.

Presentation to the antenatal care clinic is often the only time a woman comes in contact with the health professional. But this increasing attendance at antenatal care in Pakistan can merely pass for better service provision and not actual service utilization. There is a need to motivate women not to just access this service but utilize...
it to its true potential. Antenatal care is the best platform for screening, educating and providing needed healthcare during pregnancy. We conducted this study to assess the reasons for utilizing antenatal care in women presenting to an outpatient department.

**METHODS**

The study was conducted from 1 June 2019 to 31 December 2019, and was carried out in the outpatient clinic of obstetrics and gynecology of the Ruth K. M. Pfau Civil Hospital, Karachi. Karachi is the biggest city of Pakistan and has a population of about 20 million. The specific civil hospital is the largest tertiary care public sector hospital that receives patients from all walks of life. The delivery rate at this civil hospital is 7500 deliveries per year, and around 200 women come for antenatal care each day. The antenatal clinic is held daily from Mondays through Saturdays, from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. These clinics are run by consultant obstetricians with their teams of resident doctors and are assisted by nurses.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

All pregnant women aged 20–40 years attending antenatal clinic were informed and invited. Brief history and informed consent were obtained from each patient. Women in active labor on history and examination, women who had active bleeding or needed emergency treatment were excluded. Women who refused to consent were also excluded. A woman was considered to have adequate ANC visits and inadequate visits according to old WHO criteria of 2014.

Women who met the inclusion criteria were asked about antenatal care and the reasons for which they presented to the antenatal clinic. The findings of variables were entered in pre-designed proforma attached as an annex.

**Power of sample size**

To calculate an adequate sample size we searched the literature for reasons for utilizing antenatal care. There is only one study which directly quoted reasons for antenatal care utilization. Using that study as reference is only one study which directly quoted reasons for literature for reasons for utilizing antenatal care. There to calculate an adequate sample size we searched the literature for reasons for utilizing antenatal care. There is only one study which directly quoted reasons for antenatal care utilization. Using that study as reference is only one study which directly quoted reasons for literature for reasons for utilizing antenatal care. There 200 women come for antenatal care each day. The antenatal clinic is held daily from Mondays through Saturdays, from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. These clinics are run by consultant obstetricians with their teams of resident doctors and are assisted by nurses.

**Results**

Among the attendees, 171 (41.6%) had primary education, 185 (51.5%) were of low socioeconomic status (51.5%), 80.5% resided in an urban area and 53.5% were unemployed.

Of those who presented at the clinic, 206 (57.4%) had previously delivered vaginally and only 13.9% were having their first baby. Most of these women (192; 53.5%) had previously delivered at the Civil Hospital, Karachi. The characteristics of the population studied are summarized in Table 1.

**Reasons for antenatal care utilization**

The commonest reason for utilizing antenatal care in booked attendees was place of birth concern (25.9%) and in not booked was referral from private centers (33.6%), which was statistically significant (p=0.006). Both booked and not booked women (67.9% vs 59.1%, p=0.409)
stated avoidance of complications during pregnancy and labor as the commonest reason for seeking antenatal care. Women however also stated that pregnancy and labor are natural processes and therefore antenatal care may not be necessary. Table 2 shows the reasons for utilization and factors identified by women in favor of and against utilization of the care provided.

Table 1. Characteristics of study population (N=359)

| Characteristics          | Categories            | n (% ) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Age (years), mean±SD     | 31.2±2.1              |        |
| Parity                   | >3 138 (38.4)         |        |
|                         | ≤3 171 (47.6)         |        |
| Primigravida             | 50 (13.9)             |        |
| Number of visits         | 0–1 94 (26.2)         |        |
|                         | 2–4 153 (42.6)        |        |
|                         | <4 112 (31.2)         |        |
| Adequate antenatal visits (>4 visits) | Yes 112 (31.2)      |        |
|                         | No 247 (68.8)         |        |
| Previous mode of delivery| First baby (primigravida) 50 (13.9) |        |
|                         | Vaginal birth 206 (57.4) |       |
|                         | Cesarean delivery 103 (28.7) |      |
| Previous place of delivery| First baby (primigravida) 50 (13.9) |    |
|                         | Civil hospital 60 (16.7) |        |
|                         | Other government hospitals 192 (53.5) |    |
|                         | Private hospitals 57 (15.9) |      |
| Place same               | First 50 (13.9)        |        |
|                         | Yes 60 (16.7)          |        |
|                         | No 249 (69.4)          |        |
| Gestational age at presentation (weeks) | <14 45 (12.5)        |        |
|                         | ≥14 314 (87.5)         |        |
| Education level          | No formal 129 (35.9)   |        |
|                         | Primary 171 (47.6)     |        |
|                         | Secondary 42 (11.7)    |        |
|                         | Graduate 17 (4.7)      |        |
| Monthly income           | Low 185 (51.5)         |        |
|                         | Middle 150 (41.8)      |        |
|                         | Upper 24 (6.7)         |        |
| Occupational status      | Employed 167 (46.5)    |        |
|                         | Unemployed 192 (53.5)  |        |
| Occupation status of husband | Employed 220 (61.2) |        |
|                         | Unemployed 139 (38.8)  |        |
| Residence                | Urban 289 (80.5)       |        |
|                         | Rural 70 (19.5)        |        |

Knowledge of criteria and association with parity
Table 3 shows stratification of women according to parity and the parameters they identified according to WHO criteria for antenatal care. Women with higher parity were more likely to identify weight measurement (p=0.001), iron and folic acid supplementation (p=0.001), and urine detailed report (p=0.002), as content of the standard package. However, the identification did not vary significantly in terms of counselling for danger signs (p=0.586), blood pressure (p=0.056), blood count (p=0.067), and tetanus toxoid administration (p=0.282).

Table 2. Reason for utilization in women with adequate antenatal visits and inadequate visits

| Reason for utilization       | Adequate (n=112) | Inadequate (n=247) | p      |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Pregnancy specific reason    | 11 (9.8)         | 9 (3.6)            | 0.006* |
| Baby related reason          | 11 (9.8)         | 10 (4.0)           |        |
| Medical reason               | 14 (12.5)        | 22 (8.9)           |        |
| Screening for anomaly        | 2 (1.8)          | 19 (7.7)           |        |
| Previous section             | 12 (10.7)        | 24 (9.7)           |        |
| Place of birth concern       | 29 (25.9)        | 67 (27.1)          |        |
| Referral from private        | 23 (20.5)        | 83 (33.6)          |        |
| Medicine and free labs       | 4 (3.6)          | 4 (1.6)            |        |
| Financial                    | 6 (4.5)          | 9 (3.6)            |        |

Factors identified by women attending for ANC in favor of utilization

| To avoid complication during pregnancy and labor | 76 (67.9) | 146 (59.1) |        |
| Affordability                              | 27 (24.1) | 70 (28.3)  |        |
| Health facilities are up to the mark        | 5 (4.5)   | 18 (7.3)   |        |
| Doctors are good                           | 4 (3.6)   | 13 (5.3)   |        |
| Family issue                               | 4 (3.6)   | 20 (8.1)   |        |

Factors identified by women attending for ANC against utilization

| Financial                                | 25 (22.3) | 71 (28.7)  |        |
| Transport                                 | 12 (10.7) | 27 (10.9)  |        |
| Pregnancy and labor are natural processes so no need to come | 55 (49.1) | 109 (44.1) |        |
| They can check me at home                 | 16 (14.3) | 20 (8.1)   |        |

*Chi-squared test or Fischer’s exact test is significant at p<0.05.
that most pregnant women do not place a high value on early hindrance in accessing antenatal care. Smaller distances and only 10% stated that travel may be a reason for a visit, concerns for their health or their unborn child were secondary. Of the population that had adequate antenatal care utilization, only 25.9% stated that they come for visits to ensure that they deliver at the health facility. This negligence or lack of knowledge of the antenatal care package in the population is a hindrance to achieving sustainable development goals (SDG).

Hospital deliveries do not ensure good outcomes for mother and the neonate if the service is not utilized properly. Apparently, women have reduced antenatal care as they are only interested in getting registered at a facility where they can give birth. Access to antenatal care has markedly improved but the divide between provider and consumer has not yet narrowed. This is also a point that needs further evaluation through large surveys.

Content of care provided has been a topic of debate for some time in the medical literature. WHO has standardized the package of antenatal care. Our study also showed that the women did not receive the complete content at antenatal care visit; this proves that the content of care received and access to antenatal care services are not synonymous. Primigravida women were more likely not to identify the contents of antenatal care provided. The major concern however was the low percentage of previously delivered women who failed to identify counselling for the danger signs in pregnancy as a component of the antenatal care content package. This patchy and inconsistent content delivery may also be the cause for the inadequate utilization of antenatal care service.

Iron and folic acid supplementation was a common identified theme in parous females. This may be considered a positive aspect of the study, but high prevalence of anemia in the country warrants better utilization in this regard too. Primigravida women become iron deficient and the vicious cycle continues in future pregnancies. Prevention is better

**DISCUSSION**

Our study shows that antenatal care perception in women was more of a facility-based birth. They did not utilize it for improving their health or that of their fetus. The knowledge of antenatal care package was limited to weight measurement and supplements. The parity also did not affect this knowledge in terms of blood pressure measurement, blood count, tetanus toxoid administration, and counselling for danger signs in pregnancy.

Prenatal and antenatal care are the cornerstone of modern obstetrics. The situation in Pakistan’s largest metropolitan city is alarming. Women continue to receive <4 visits which is lower than the WHO Antenatal Care Trial (WHOACT) recommended minimum. In 2001 the trial concluded that an antenatal care package of evidence-based screening, therapeutic interventions and education across four antenatal visits for low-risk women reduces cost and is not inferior to standard antenatal care. Socially disadvantaged women with low household wealth are shown to suffer from lack of care during pregnancy. This is in stark contrast to our study where only 31.2% of women had ≥4 visits, despite the fact that the hospital does not charge a fee for antenatal checkups. Early initiation of care ensures a better outcome for pregnant women. Women who begin care early reap more benefits from these checkups.

Studies have previously concluded that antenatal care seeking behavior is determined primarily by socioeconomic factors. Affordability of care is of prime importance and expensive care may not be accessible to all women. However, our study was based in a public sector hospital where all antenatal care is provided free of cost, therefore this reason loses its validity and opens up a discussion on consumer attitudes. A study from Kenya found that women were dependent on their spouse and had to bear the cost of travel to reach the antenatal care clinic. Most of our respondents were unaccompanied and had travelled smaller distances and only 10% stated that travel may be a hindrance in accessing antenatal care.

A recent qualitative analysis from Cameroon concluded that most pregnant women do not place a high value on early initiation of antenatal care because they consider pregnancy a normal health condition that does not need medical care. In our study, a similar theme was apparent. Their desire to access antenatal care at a facility was primarily driven by concerns about place of birth. When inquired about their reason for a visit, concerns for their health or their unborn child were secondary. Of the population that had adequate antenatal care utilization, only 25.9% stated that they come for visits to ensure that they deliver at the health facility. This negligence or lack of knowledge of the antenatal care package in the population is a hindrance to achieving sustainable development goals (SDG).

| Component                     | Primigravida (n=50) | Parity ≤3 (n=171) | Parity >3 (n=138) | p*   |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| Weight measurement            | 44 (88.0)           | 110 (64.3)        | 123 (89.1)        | 0.001*|
| BP measurement                | 48 (96.0)           | 142 (83.0)        | 121 (87.7)        | 0.056 |
| Blood tests                   | 35 (70.0)           | 120 (70.2)        | 112 (81.2)        | 0.067 |
| Tetanus toxoid                | 10 (20.0)           | 51 (29.8)         | 33 (23.9)         | 0.282 |
| Urine detailed report         | 7 (14.0)            | 83 (48.5)         | 74 (53.6)         | 0.002*|
| Iron/folic acid               | 26 (52.0)           | 136 (79.5)        | 109 (79.0)        | 0.001*|
| Counselling for dangerous signs| 5 (10.0)            | 27 (15.8)         | 21 (15.2)         | 0.586 |

*Chi-squared test or Fischer’s exact test is significant at p<0.05.
than cure and this problem too can be tackled if women have proper antenatal care utilization25. Our study shows that attendance and visits at an antenatal care facility do not equate to good service provision. Awareness campaigns are a need of the hour. Service cannot be delivered properly if the consumer is not aware of its benefits and content. Current world trends are favoring group antenatal care26. But that may be too liberal in the Pakistani context at the moment. Considering the fact that Pakistan is a developing country, much remains to be desired of the antenatal care services and service providers. In addition to that, in-depth qualitative studies are necessary to understand why antenatal care is not sought in its true extent.

Strengths and limitations
The strength of the study is its unique subject: reasons for coming to an antenatal facility. Many studies have been done on the advantages of adequate antenatal visits13. Some of these studies have also highlighted the hindrances that pregnant women face during their visits14. However, not many studies have reported reasons for attendance15. Our study assessed the consumer’s reason for attending antenatal care. In addition, we used the same opportunity to ask about the reason for attendance and her knowledge about WHO standardized antenatal care package. The major limitation is its single center design. The generalizability of results may therefore be a concern. But we would argue that the data were collected from the city’s largest public hospital that caters for a wide variety of ethnic groups and people from many walks of life in the city. The average attendee may therefore be representative of the whole population. We suggest further multicenter studies to confirm our findings in this regard.

The age range of the participants in the study was 20–40 years; while it is well known that most of the prenatal complications such as preterm labor, abortion, prenatal hemorrhage etc. occur at early ages (<20 years), the Pakistani law does not allow marriage to be registered for women aged <18 years27. Our hospital is a public sector hospital and does not provide care for women who are underage. But, considering the high rate of early marriages in Pakistan among girls, the results may not reflect the true situation among this vulnerable population. In addition, previous studies have shown that the pregnancy complications could increase at older ages, particularly after the age of 40 years28. In Pakistan, women get married at an early age and complete their families mostly by mid-thirties; there were not enough women to be included in that age group29. The WHO guideline (2016) has updated the adequate number of antenatal visits to 830. Based on the new definition and our results, many Pakistani women do not receive an adequate number of antenatal visits during their pregnancy. This should be taken into consideration when analyzing results and devising policies for the future.

CONCLUSIONS
Our study shows that women did not utilize antenatal care clinics for improving their health or the health of their fetus. The knowledge of the antenatal care package was limited to weight measurement and supplements. Moreover, attendance and visits at an antenatal care facility do not equate to good service provision. Service cannot be delivered properly if the consumer is not aware of its benefits and content.
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