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ABSTRACT

An incremental version of the fourth catalog of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) detected by the Fermi-Large Area Telescope is presented. This version (4LAC-DR3) derives from the third data release of the 4FGL catalog based on 12 years of E>50 MeV gamma-ray data, where the spectral parameters, spectral energy distributions (SEDs), yearly light curves, and associations have been updated for all sources. The new reported AGNs include 587 blazar candidates and four radio galaxies. We describe the properties of the new sample and outline changes affecting the previously published one. We also introduce two new parameters in this release, namely the peak energy of the SED high-energy component and the corresponding flux. These parameters allow an assessment of the Compton dominance, the ratio of the Inverse-Compton to the synchrotron peak luminosities,
without relying on X-ray data.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since its launch in 2008, the Fermi-Large Area Telescope (LAT, Atwood et al. 2009) has enabled the discovery of new classes of gamma-ray emitters and the detection of much larger and better characterized source populations than previously achieved. Active galactic nuclei represent by far the most abundant source population of the LAT detected sources. The 4LAC catalog (4LAC-DR1, Ajello et al. 2020), based on the 4FGL source catalog (4FGL-DR1, Abdollahi et al. 2020) established with 8 years of data, comprised 2863 $|b| > 10^\circ$ AGNs while 344 others were found at lower latitudes. As more data accumulate, the catalogs are regularly updated. Updates of 4FGL use the same data version ("P8R3") and Galactic diffuse emission model as the initial catalog. Sources previously reported are kept in even if they fall below the TS=25 threshold over the extended period of data taking. These sources retain their original positions, in contrast to new catalogs where all positions are reevaluated and subthreshold sources are omitted. The second data releases, 4FGL-DR2 (Ballet et al. 2020) and 4LAC-DR2 (comprising 285 new AGNs, Lott et al. 2020), were based on 10 years of data.

Here we present the third update to the 4LAC catalog, derived from 4FGL-DR3 (Abdollahi et al. 2022) using 12 years of data and comprising 1607 new sources relative to the initial 4FGL catalog. The properties of the 283 new 4FGL-DR2 and 308 new 4FGL-DR3 AGNs (DR2 and DR3 tallies will be aggregated in the following) are discussed. These AGNs are all blazars except for four radio galaxies. Besides providing a larger AGN sample for population studies, releasing periodic updates to 4LAC offers new targets for programs dedicated to classifying LAT blazars or measuring redshifts as detections come along (e.g., Peña-Herazo et al. 2020, 2021a,b,c; Desai et al. 2019; Rajagopal et al. 2021; Goldoni et al. 2021).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes the analysis improvements introduced in 4FGL-DR3. Changes affecting 4LAC-DR1 AGNs are listed in Section 3. Section 4 presents the new DR3 sources, while Section 5 discusses the peak energy of the SED high-energy component, estimated from the spectral curvature, and the derived Compton dominance. A summary closes the paper in Section 6.

2. ANALYSIS IMPROVEMENTS - SOURCE ASSOCIATIONS

We refer the reader to the 4FGL-DR3 paper (Abdollahi et al. 2022) for details on the gamma-ray data analysis. The methodology that was followed is essentially the same as that pursued in the 4FGL-DR1 catalog. The first stage includes the detection and localization of the sources. The second stage comprises thresholding, spectral characterization and production of light curves. The same flags as in 4FGL-DR1 are then generated. They indicate the limited robustness of the results against different analysis ingredients or warning about particular source conditions (e.g., proximity to a bright source). The association with counterparts known at other wavelengths constitutes the final stage of the analysis.

The (non-exhaustive) list of changes relative to 4FGL-DR1\(^2\) is as follows. An updated version of the instrument-response functions (P8R3\_SOURCE\_V3) has been used. The analysis weights have been recalculated. These weights downplay the contribution of low-energy, low-latitude photons to the likelihood in order to reduce the associated systematic uncertainties. The handling of the energy dispersion has been improved. Bayesian priors have been applied when fitting the parameters of the diffuse emission model in each region of interest to hinder their excursion relative to their expected values (normalization =1, photon-index correction=0). The threshold for considering spectral curvature as significant has been lowered from 3$\sigma$ to 2$\sigma$, leading to an increase of the fraction of curved sources from 30% in 4FGL-DR1 to 54% in 4FGL-DR3. This change has removed unphysical upturns in the global source spectrum at low (< 200 MeV) and high (> 20 GeV) energy. It has important implications for the character-

\(^1\) Two AGNs first reported in DR2 are missing in DR3 because the gamma-ray sources (4FGL J1242.4−2948 and 4FGL J1752.2−3002) have been either relocalized or deleted (being exceptions to the rule stated above).

\(^2\) DR2 was produced with only minor analysis changes relative to DR1.
ization of the LAT blazars since the peak energy of the SED high-energy component (referred to as the Inverse-Compton component in the following, assuming that relativistic electrons are the main emitting particles) and its flux can be derived from the fitted logparabola. The number of bins in the SED has been increased from 7 to 8. The yearly light curves have been updated (while the two-monthly ones reported in 4FGL-DR1 have not). Specific to the 4LAC update, the highest photon energy detected for each source has been updated. The information about the highest detected energy is particularly relevant for studies of the extragalactic background light (EBL, e.g., Saldana-Lopez et al. 2021).

The association procedure makes use of two different methods, the Bayesian method (Abdo et al. 2010b) and the likelihood-ratio method (LR, Ackermann et al. 2011, 2015), which are both based on spatial coincidence. The main change in seeking counterparts concerns the use of an updated version of the Radio Fundamental Catalog\(^3\). Only associations with a probability of being real greater than 0.8 in either association method are retained. For the 591 new AGNs, 253 are associated solely with the Bayesian method, 65 solely with the LR method and 273 with both methods.

The same classification scheme as in the 4LAC-DR1 catalog has been followed. An optical class in terms of Flat Spectrum Radio Quasar (FSRQ) and BL Lac-type object (BL Lac), assessed according to the strength of the optical emission lines, is provided if spectroscopic data of sufficiently good quality are found in the literature. A SED-based class is derived from the value of the peak frequency (\(\nu_{\text{pk}}\)) of the synchrotron component fitted to archival data using the SED data archive and SED(t)-Builder interactive web-tool available at the Italian Space Agency (ASI) Space Science Data Center (SSDC)\(^4\). The class can be a low-synchrotron-peaked blazar (LSP, for sources with \(\nu_{\text{pk}} < 10^{14}\) Hz), an intermediate-synchrotron-peaked blazar (ISP, for \(10^{14}\) Hz \(< \nu_{\text{pk}} < 10^{15}\) Hz), or a high-synchrotron-peaked blazar (HSP, if \(\nu_{\text{pk}} > 10^{15}\) Hz). SED-based classification is missing for 139 new AGNs, mainly because of a lack of broad-band data.

3. CHANGES TO 4LAC-DR1

For completeness, we reiterate here the changes to 4LAC-DR1 AGNs as outlined in the 4FGL-DR2 document (Lott et al. 2020). About 200 counterpart names of DR1 sources have been changed. It was noted that blazar names from very large surveys (such as 2MASS or WISE) were used for some 4FGL associations while more common names from radio catalogs were available. Moreover some names referred to sources that are offset by up to a few arcminutes from the real counterpart. We have replaced the non-radio names with those of radio counterparts whenever possible. Note that the positions reported in the 4FGL-DR1 (RA.Counterpart, DEC.Counterpart) fields were correct.

Changes in associations of 4LAC-DR1 sources are listed below.

- Recent follow-up observations of 4FGL blazars (e.g., Peña-Herazo et al. 2017, 2019, 2020, 2021a,b,c; Desai et al. 2019; Rajagopal et al. 2021) have enabled the classification of 240 former blazar candidates of unknown types (BCUs), two AGNs and two UNKs\(^5\) into 214 BL Lacs and 30 FSRQs. In particular, Paliya et al. (2020a) found that the former BCU associated with 4FGL J1219.0+3653 is a BL Lac with a redshift of 3.53, making it the most distant BL Lac detected by the LAT.

- The latest version of the Radio Fundamental Catalog has enabled the association with blazar candidates of six previously unassociated sources and two SPPs (SPPs designate potential associations with supernova remnants or pulsar-wind nebulae). These sources are 4FGL J0129.0+6312 (2MASS J01283059+6306298), 4FGL J0550.9+2552 (NVSS J055119+254909), 4FGL J0803.5+2046 (GB6 B0800+2046), 4FGL J1102.0−6054 (2MASS J11015838−6056516), 4FGL J1347.4+7309 (NVSS J134734+731812), 4FGL J1606.6+1324 (NVSS J160546+131934), 4FGL J1738.0+0236 (PKS 1735+026), 4FGL J2429.9+0452 (WISEA J225007.35+045617.3).

- Three sources (TXS 0159+085, PKS 0736−770, and TXS 1530−131) were incorrectly classified as FSRQs. They have been reclassified as BCUs. Two other FSRQs (RX J0134.4+2638 and 2MASS J02212698+2514338) have been reclassified as BL Lacs\(^6\).

- Following Järvelä et al. (2020), we have reclassified TXS 2116−077 (4FGL J2118.8−0723) as

\(^3\)rfc 2021a available at http://astroseo.org/rfc/

\(^4\)http://tools.ssdc.asi.it/SED/

\(^5\)The UNK class corresponds to |\(b| < 10^{°}\) sources solely associated with the LR-method, which may suffer from contamination with Galactic sources.

\(^6\)TXS 0159+085 and RX J0134.4+2638 were still classified as FSRQs in 4FGL-DR3.
a Seyfert galaxy instead of a NLSY1 (see Paliya et al. 2020b, for an alternative view).

- The tentative association of 4FGL J0647.7−4418 with the high-mass X-ray binary RX J0648.0−4418 reported in 4FGL-DR1 has been replaced by the association with the BCU SUMSS J064744−441946 following the multiwavelength investigation of Martí et al. (2020).

A total of 214 additional 4LAC-DR1 sources are now classified as variable thanks to the extended yearly light curves produced in DR3. These sources comprise 26 FSRQs, 105 BL Lacs, 80 BCUs, and three radio galaxies (IC 1531, PKS 0625−35, and Cen A). Concerning the iconic radio galaxy Cen A, its flux dropped significantly (14%) in the last four years spanned by this release. Photons with higher energies than previously detected in the earlier 8 years have been found for 471 4FGL-DR1 sources during the additional 4 years of data taking. Only $E > 10$ GeV, ULTRACLEAN.VETO photons with a probability greater than 0.95 to belong to the source have been considered.

4. THE 4LAC-DR3 AND LOW-LATITUDE SAMPLES

The 4LAC-DR3 sample comprises AGNs located at $|b| > 10^\circ$, in keeping with the 4LAC defining criterion. The same defining criterion for the clean sample (i.e., sources with no analysis flags) as in 4LAC-DR1 has been used in this paper. The AGNs lying at $|b| < 10^\circ$ constitute the low-latitude sample. Table A1 describes the format of the catalog FITS files.

The new AGNs relative to 4LAC-DR1 include 587 blazars: 75 FSRQs, 117 BL Lacs, 395 BCUs, and four radio galaxies (8 BCUs have been classified into 7 BL Lacs and one FSRQ since the DR2 release). The 4LAC-DR3 comprises 542 ($|b| > 10^\circ$) new AGNs. While FSRQs are almost evenly distributed between the northern and southern Galactic hemispheres (40 and 35 respectively), a strong deficit is observed in the South for BL Lacs (80 vs. 37), while the opposite trend is seen for BCUs (166 vs. 229). These imbalances are probably due to fewer programs observing the southern region. The low-latitude sample includes 49 sources (all BCUs except for one BL Lac).

Table 1 gives the census of the new AGNs, while Table 2 provides that of the whole population. Figures 1 and 2 compare the photon-index and redshift distributions respectively between the new and 4LAC-DR1 samples for different blazar classes.

The median photon index of the new FSRQs is larger (2.61 vs 2.45) than that of the DR1 sample, indicating softer spectra. The median redshift is similar to 4LAC-DR1 (1.19 vs. 1.12). PKS 2318−087 (4FGL J2320.8−0823) with $z=3.164$ has the highest redshift of the new 4LAC-DR3 FSRQs, though four 4LAC-DR1 FSRQs have higher redshifts (up to 4.31). A total of 32 new FSRQs (7 of them with TS$>100$) are found to be variable and 12 of them, which are more significant than average, show pronounced flares in the last 4 years of the 12-yr period.

Of the 117 new BL Lacs, a SED-based classification could be obtained for 94 of them, comprising 22 LSPs, 27
Table 1. Census of new DR2-DR3 sources

| AGN type                        | High-lat Mer sample | Clean Sample⁹ | Low-lat sample |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|
| All                             | 542                 | 430           | 49            |
| FSRQ                            | 75                  | 56            | 0             |
| . . . LSP                        | 67                  | 49            | 0             |
| . . . ISP                        | 5                   | 5             | 0             |
| . . . HSP                        | 1                   | 1             | 0             |
| . . . no SED classification      | 2                   | 1             | 0             |
| BL Lac                          | 116                 | 97            | 1             |
| . . . LSP                        | 22                  | 20            | 1             |
| . . . ISP                        | 27                  | 19            | 0             |
| . . . HSP                        | 46                  | 40            | 0             |
| . . . no SED classification      | 21                  | 18            | 0             |
| Blazar of Unknown Type          | 347                 | 273           | 48            |
| . . . LSP                        | 172                 | 130           | 26            |
| . . . ISP                        | 35                  | 29            | 4             |
| . . . HSP                        | 41                  | 38            | 1             |
| . . . no SED classification      | 99                  | 76            | 17            |
| Non-blazar AGN                  | 4                   | 4             | 0             |
| . . . RG                         | 4                   | 4             | 0             |

⁹Sources in the high-lat Mer sample without analysis flags.

Figure 2. Comparison between the redshift distributions of 4LAC-DR1 and new (DR2 and DR3) blazars for different classes.

BCUs represent more than two thirds of the new blazars due to a lack of reliable spectroscopic data. Some insight into the nature of these sources can nevertheless be gained by inspecting their photon index distributions, building on the remarkably distinct distributions exhibited by FSRQs and BL Lacs. The BCU distribution, with a median photon index notably higher than the corresponding DR1 value (i.e., 2.36 and 2.23 respectively), is compared to the (normalized) FSRQ and BL Lac distributions in Figure 3. To best reproduce the BCU distribution with a linear combination of the latter distributions, the relative weight of FSRQs must be increased by a factor $\approx 2.5$ with respect to that found in the 4LAC-DR3 sample. In 4LAC-DR1, the BCU distribution could be well reproduced by assuming the same fractions of FSRQs and BL Lacs as found in the classi-
Table 2. Census of 4LAC-DR3 sources

| AGN type                  | High-latitude sample | Clean Sample | Low-latitude sample |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| All                       | 3407                 | 2896         | 407                 |
| FSRQ                      |                      |              |                     |
| ... LSP                   | 755                  | 640          | 37                  |
| ... ISP                   | 672                  | 581          | 35                  |
| ... HSP                   | 20                   | 18           | 0                   |
| ... no SED classification | 4                    | 4            | 0                   |
| BL Lac                    | 1379                 | 1261         | 79                  |
| ... LSP                   | 353                  | 332          | 20                  |
| ... ISP                   | 347                  | 309          | 8                   |
| ... HSP                   | 425                  | 394          | 29                  |
| ... no SED classification | 254                  | 226          | 22                  |
| Blazar of Unknown Type    | 1208                 | 945          | 285                 |
| ... LSP                   | 508                  | 397          | 78                  |
| ... ISP                   | 135                  | 115          | 12                  |
| ... HSP                   | 117                  | 99           | 10                  |
| ... no SED classification | 448                  | 334          | 185                 |
| Non-blazar AGN            | 65                   | 50           | 6                   |
| ... RG                    | 42                   | 32           | 4                   |

a Sources in the high-latitude sample without analysis flags.

...continued...

fied population (see Figure 6 in Ajello et al. 2020). This excess of new FSRQ candidates in 4LAC-DR3 may come from the stronger flaring activity of FSRQs relative to BL Lacs in the LAT energy range. The photon-index distribution of the 77 variable BCU s, with 15 of them having TS>100, supports this idea (Figure 4). The observation of slightly softer spectra relative to the bulk of FSRQs for both the new FSRQ-like BCU s and the new FSRQs is compatible with this explanation. This effect holds for sources of both classes with detected variability. Out of the 396 new BCU s, only 7 have measured redshifts. These have photon indices similar to those of FSRQs. This observation applies to the whole population of BCU s with measured redshifts.

The four new radio galaxies are NGC 3078, NGC 4261, LEDA 55267, and NGC 6454. NGC 3078 is a nearby (d=35 Mpc) compact-core-dominated galaxy (Wrobel & Heeschen 1984). NGC 4261 (d=30 Mpc) is a LINER Fanaroff-Riley type-I (FR I) radio galaxy, whose LAT detection was first reported by de Menezes et al. (2020). The detection of LEDA 55267 was first reported by Paliya (2021). It was classified there as a Fanaroff-Riley type-0 radio galaxy, i.e., having similar nuclear and host properties as FR I’s but significantly fainter extended radio emission (Grandi et al. 2016). NGC 6454 is a FRI galaxy (Britzen et al. 2008; van Velzen et al. 2012) at a distance of 130 Mpc.

5. PEAK ENERGY OF THE HIGH-ENERGY COMPONENT - COMPTON DOMINANCE

Two new parameters are provided in the DR3 release for the whole set of LAT blazars: the peak energy (E_{IC}^{pk}), or equivalently the peak frequency (\nu_{IC}^{pk}), and the corresponding flux (\nu F_{IC}^{\nu}) of the SED high-energy component in the observer frame. Thanks to the improved statistics and the relaxed threshold for considering spectral curvature as significant, 1601 LAT blazars have a significantly curved spectrum, from which these two parameters can be estimated using a fit of a log normal function. This assessment of E_{IC}^{pk} is an alternative to that based on a polynomial fit to the IC component using both X-ray and gamma-ray data (e.g., as in Abdo et al. 2010a). The latter assessment may suffer from the fact that multiple processes can contribute to the IC component, making its actual shape uncertain. Here we restrict...
the discussion to sources with a relative uncertainty on $E_{pk}^{IC}$ lower than 50%. This condition favors sources with slightly harder gamma-ray spectra than average. The effect is more pronounced for FSRQs (mean photon index difference relative to the whole sample, $\Delta \Gamma = 0.08$), and diminishes for BL Lac as $\nu_{pk}^{syn}$ increases (from $\Delta \Gamma = 0.05$ for LSPs to $\Delta \Gamma = 0.03$ for HSPs). Overall, the fraction of sources with $E_{pk}^{IC}$ meeting the above condition is 30%, 28% and 24% for FSRQs, BL Lacs, and BCUs respec-
elliptically. FSRQs preferentially exhibit $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ below 1 GeV, while most BL Lacs have $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ above this value, reflecting the dichotomy seen in the photon-index distributions (Figure 1). Most values of $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ obtained for the 9 radio galaxies meeting the above condition are notably larger than those reported from a combined fit to the X-ray and gamma-ray data (see e.g., Fukazawa et al. 2022). An explanation for this discrepancy can be the presence of a prominent emission from the corona/accretion disk in the X-ray to soft gamma-ray bands, which drives down the fitted $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ value in the latter case.

Except in very rare cases (5 sources), $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ lies within the Fermi-LAT energy range ($E_{\text{pk}} > 50$ MeV) and is thus reasonably well constrained on the lower-energy side. In the following, we apply no corrections for the extinction due to the extragalactic background light, which affects $E > 10$ GeV photons. Reported values of $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ greater than 10 GeV must thus be considered as lower limits, as must the associated $\nu F_{\nu}^{\text{IC}}$ values. Figure 5 displays $\nu F_{\nu}^{\text{IC}}$ as a function of $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$. An estimated threshold for determining the two parameters with the required significance/accuracy is plotted in this Figure. This threshold was calculated as a polynomial fit to the data of blazars with $AE_{\text{IC}}^\nu = (50 \pm 5)$%.

![Compton dominance plotted as a function of $\nu_{\text{syn}}$ for the same sources as in Figure 6.](image)

**Figure 7.** Compton dominance plotted as a function of $\nu_{\text{syn}}$ for the same sources as in Figure 6. The dashed line corresponds to an estimate of the average threshold for BL Lacs (derived from the threshold plotted in Figure 5) and the solid line to an exponential fit to the BL Lac data.

A detailed study of blazar populations is beyond the scope of this paper so we just outline some broad features. The synchrotron peak frequency could be determined for 785 of these blazars, including 230 FSRQs, 362 BL Lacs, and 193 BCUs. In a simple single-zone synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model and assuming Inverse-Compton scattering in the Thomson regime, the peak Lorentz factor of the electron distribution $\gamma_{\text{SSC}}^\nu$ most contributing to the electromagnetic emission can be directly assessed in a redshift-independent way from the two peak frequencies $\gamma_{\text{IC}}^\nu = \left( \frac{3 \nu_{\text{IC}}^\nu}{4 \nu_{\text{IC}}^\text{pk}} \right)^{1/2}$. These frequencies, evaluated in the source rest frame, are plotted as a function of one another in Figure 6. We emphasize that the estimates of $\nu_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ and $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ are obtained independently, so near-empty regions in Figure 6 do not result from an observational or analysis bias. BCUs follow the same general trend seen for FSRQs and BL Lacs.

The correlation between $\nu_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ and $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ is strong for BL Lacs (Pearson coefficient=0.75) but weak for FSRQs (Pearson coefficient=0.08 for $log(\nu_{\text{IC}}^\nu) < 13.6$, comprising 90% of the sample). A fit of a linear function

$$log(E_{\text{IC}}^\nu) = \alpha \times log(\nu_{\text{IC}}^\nu) + K$$

(with $E_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ in MeV and $\nu_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ in Hz) to the BL Lac data provides $\alpha=0.366$ and $K=-1.71$. A similar fit for the FSRQs restricted to $log(\nu_{\text{IC}}^\nu) < 13.6$ yields $\alpha=0.293$ and $K=-1.03$. The trend obtained from Equation 5 in Abdo et al. (2010a) (derived using broad-band data from 48 bright blazars including 23 FSRQs, 23 BL Lacs and 2 BCUs) is also shown in Figure 6.

The Compton dominance is defined as the ratio between the peak $\nu F_{\nu}$ for the high- and low-frequency SED components. This redshift-independent parameter has been intensively discussed in the context of the blazar sequence (e.g., Meyer et al. 2011; Finke 2013; Nalewajko & Gupta 2017; Paliya et al. 2021). The Compton dominance is plotted as a function of $\nu_{\text{IC}}^\nu$ in Figure 7, together with the threshold derived from that plotted in Figure 5. The trend is very similar to that seen when the Compton dominance is assessed using the prescription of Abdo et al. (2010a). Fitting the BL Lac (log-log) data in Figure 7 with a linear function gives a slope of $-0.46 \pm 0.12$. No clear indication of an upturn around $\nu_{\text{IC}} \approx 10^{14}$ Hz manifesting the transition between Synchrotron-Self-Compton and External-Compton as the dominant emission process (Finke 2013).

9 Primed quantities are evaluated in the source rest frame. For BL Lacs and BCUs without measured redshifts, values of $z=0.38$ and 0.56, corresponding to the median measured redshifts for these two classes, have been assumed respectively.

10 Fitting the peak positions in the observer frame yields $\alpha=0.374$ (0.344) and $K=-1.92 (-1.93)$ for BL Lacs (FSRQs).
is seen in Figure 7. However, the larger scatter in Compton dominance observed for FSRQs relative to BL Lacs is likely due to this difference in main emission processes (External-Compton vs. Synchrotron-Self-Compton), in addition to different variability levels.

6. SUMMARY

The new release (4FGL-DR3) includes about 19% more blazars than the initial 4LAC-DR1, comprising 75 FSRQs, 117 BL Lacs, 395 BCUs, and 4 radio galaxies. The large fraction of BCUs (two thirds) calls for new spectroscopic data to enable the classification. The BCU photon-index distribution suggests that the fraction of FSRQs within these BCUs is notably larger (by a factor ≃2.5) than that found in the set of classified blazars. This feature, not apparent in 4LAC-DR1, may result from a larger flaring propensity of FSRQs relative to BL Lacs in the LAT energy range, as exemplified e.g., by the very different fractions (42% vs. 6%) of variable 4FGL-DR3 sources seen in these classes. Both redshift and photon-index distributions of the new FSRQs and BL Lacs are similar to the previously detected ones. Thanks to the new data and a looser threshold regarding variability, 214 additional 4FGL-DR1 sources are now considered variable. A total of 1602 LAT blazars have a significantly curved spectrum, from which the peak position of the high-energy SED component and its corresponding flux can be estimated from the gamma-ray data alone by fitting a log normal function. These parameters combined with the position of the synchrotron peak estimated from archival data allows us to derive the Compton dominance.
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APPENDIX

A. DESCRIPTION OF THE FITS VERSION OF THE 4LAC-DR3 CATALOG

Table A1 provides a description of the FITS catalogs available in the online Journal. There are separate FITS catalogs for the (high-latitude) 4LAC-DR3 and low-latitude sources.
Table A1. 4LAC-DR3 FITS Format

| Column            | Format | Unit | Description                                                                                                           |
|-------------------|--------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Source Name       | 18A    |      | Source name 4FGL JHHMM.m+DDMM$a^t$                                                                                  |
| DataRelease       | 1      |      | 1 for 4FGL, 2 for new in DR2, 3 for new or changed in DR                                                              |
| RAJ2000           | E      | deg  | Right Ascension                                                                                                     |
| DECJ2000          | E      | deg  | Declination                                                                                                         |
| GLON              | E      | deg  | Galactic Longitude                                                                                                  |
| GLAT              | E      | deg  | Galactic Latitude                                                                                                   |
| SignifAvg         | E      |      | Source significance in $\sigma$ units over the 50 MeV to 1 TeV band                                                 |
| Flux1000          | E      | cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | Integral photon flux from 1 to 100 GeV                                             |
| Unc Flux1000      | E      | cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 1$\sigma$ error on integral photon flux from 1 to 100 GeV                                                         |
| Energy Flux1000   | E      | erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | Energy flux from 100 MeV to 100 GeV obtained by spectral fitting   |
| Unc Energy Flux1000 | E         | erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 1$\sigma$ error on energy flux from 100 MeV to 100 GeV             |
| SpectrumType      | 17A    |      | Spectral type in the global model (PowerLaw, LogParabola, PLSuperExpCutoff)                                      |
| PL Index          | E      |      | Photon index when fitting with PowerLaw                                                                              |
| Unc PL Index      | E      |      | 1$\sigma$ error on PL Index                                                                                          |
| Pivot Energy      | E      | MeV  | Pivot Energy                                                                                                        |
| LP Index          | E      |      | Photon index at Pivot Energy ($\alpha$) when fitting with LogParabola                                                |
| Unc LP Index      | E      |      | 1$\sigma$ error on LP Index                                                                                        |
| LP beta           | E      |      | Curvature parameter ($\beta$) when fitting with LogParabola                                                         |
| Unc LP beta       | E      |      | 1$\sigma$ error on LP beta                                                                                        |
| Flags             | I      |      | Analysis flags                                                                                                      |
| CLASS             | 6A     |      | Class designation for associated source                                                                             |
| ASSOC1            | 30A    |      | Name of identified or likely associated source                                                                       |
| ASSOC PROB BAY    | E      |      | Probability of association according to the Bayesian method                                                         |
| ASSOC PROB LR     | E      |      | Probability of association according to the Likelihood Ratio method                                                |
| Counterpart Catalog | 10A   |      | Counterpart catalog driving the association                                                                          |
| RA Counterpart    | D      | deg  | Right Ascension of the counterpart ASSOC1                                                                          |
| DEC Counterpart   | D      | deg  | Declination of the counterpart ASSOC1                                                                               |
| Unc Counterpart   | E      | deg  | 95% precision of the counterpart localization                                                                       |
| VLBI Counterpart  | 14A    |      | Name of the VLBI counterpart                                                                                        |
| Redshift          | E      |      | Redshift                                                                                                            |
| SED class         | 6A     |      | SED-based class                                                                                                     |
| HE E Peak         | E      | MeV  | Energy in the observer frame of the high-energy SED peak                                                            |
| Unc HE E Peak     | E      | MeV  | 1$\sigma$ error on energy of the high-energy SED peak                                                                |
| HE nu Fnu Peak    | E      | erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | $\nu F\nu$ at high-energy-peak frequency                      |
| Unc HE nu Fnu Peak| E      | erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 1$\sigma$ error on spectral energy distribution at high-energy-peak frequency                                    |
| nu syn            | E      | Hz   | Synchrotron-peak frequency in the observer frame                                                                  |
| nu Fnu syn        | E      | erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | $\nu F\nu$ at synchrotron-peak frequency                       |
| Variability Index | E      |      | Variability index                                                                                                   |
| Frac Variability  | E      |      | Fractional variability                                                                                               |
| Unc Frac Variability | E        |      | 1$\sigma$ error on fractional variability                                                                         |
| Highest energy    | E      | GeV  | Energy (if greater than 10 GeV) of the highest-energy ULTRACLEANVETO photon with association probability $P > 0.95$ |

$a^t$ The coordinates are rounded, following the International Astronomical Union convention.