ABSTRACT
Aim: A cross-sectional radiographic orthopantomogram (OPG) survey was done to determine the prevalence of apical periodontitis (AP) in root canal–treated teeth in a selected population, and to evaluate the influence of the coronal restorations (CR) and root canal fillings on the periapical status.

Materials and methods: A total of 250 OPGs were evaluated out of which root canal treatment (RCT) had been performed in 438 teeth which was taken as the sample size.

Statistical analysis used: Chi-square test, odds ratio and p-values were recorded.

Results: The results showed that 53.1% of the endodontically treated teeth presented with AP radiographically. Incidence of AP among teeth with acceptable RCT (32.3%) was significantly lower than those suffering from unacceptable RCT (92.7%). Moreover, adequate CR demonstrated a significantly better periapical status (83.2%) compared to teeth with inadequate CR (37.2%). Also, the combination of adequate CR and adequate root filling resulted in significantly reduced incidence of AP (21.6%) compared to the presence of AP (97%) when both parameters scored as inadequate.

Conclusion: Results hence demonstrated that a well-performed RCT and well-sealing CR are both essential for the overall success of endodontic treatment. Therefore, a considerable improvement in the quality of RCT and CR in general dental practice is required to promote oral/periapical health.
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INTRODUCTION
Success in endodontic treatment was originally based on the triad of debridement, thorough disinfection, and root canal filling with all aspects equally important. At present, successful endodontic treatment is based on broader principles. These include diagnosis and treatment planning; knowledge of anatomy and morphology; the traditional concepts of debridement, thorough disinfection and root canal filling, and the coronal restoration (CR). Coronal leakage has also been demonstrated to contribute to treatment failure. Maintaining an effective coronal seal and placing an appropriate CR should be considered an essential component of successful endodontic treatment. One study reported that good postendodontic restorations resulted in significantly more successful cases when compared with good endodontics (80 vs 75.7%) and poor restorations resulted in significantly more periapical inflammation cases when compared with poor endodontics (30.2 vs 48.6%).

Radiological examination is a main tool for a thorough exploration in dentistry. The radiographic quality of the endodontic treatment was significantly more important than the technical quality of the CR when the periapical status of endodontically treated teeth was evaluated.

Outcomes studies can be designed using two major approaches: Case-controlled or epidemiologic study. The outcome of RCT in case-controlled studies has yielded success rates up to 98%. The high rates of success reported in such studies is from a relatively small number of endodontic treatment cases and controls which are usually carried out by endodontic specialists or undergraduate students under strict operating conditions in a university clinic. Therefore, such studies may not represent the reality of treatment carried out in the general practitioners’ clinic. Epidemiologic surveys assess a large number of RCTs performed by both general practitioners and endodontists; therefore they will yield success rates that more realistically represent the treatment outcomes in the general population. Unfortunately, a high percentage of inappropriate RCTs chiefly performed by general practitioners have been reported in many surveys; i.e. 24.5 to 65.8% of the endodontically treated teeth presented with AP.

The aim of this study was to investigate the technical quality of root fillings and CRs in root-filled teeth, their association with periapical status and prevalence of apical periodontitis (AP).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A total of 250 orthopantomograms (OPGs) were evaluated out of which root canal treatment (RCT) had been performed in 438 teeth which were taken as sample size for the study.
Endodontic treatments should have been done within the last 10 years.

**Radiographic Variables and Diagnostic Categories (Parameters Registrations and Codes)**

**Apical Periodontitis**

(Ørstavik et al 1986)

1 = Absence (normal periapical structures or small changes in bone structure).

2 = Presence (changes in bone structure with some mineral loss, AP with well-defined radiolucent area or extensive/severe periodontitis with exacerbating features).

**Size of AP**

1 = <3 mm

2 = >3 mm and <5 mm

3 = >5 mm

**Length of Root Filling**

(DeMoor et al 2000)

1 = Adequate (<2 mm from, or flush with, the radiographic apex).

2 = Inadequate (>2 mm from the radiographic apex or overextended).

**Density of Root Filling**

(Dugas et al 2003)

1 = Adequate (uniform density and adaptation of the filling to the root canal walls).

2 = Inadequate (visible canal space laterally along the filling, voids within the filling mass, or identifiable untreated canal).

**Coronal Restorations**

(Siqueira et al 2005)

1 = Adequate (radiographically intact restoration with no signs of leakage).

2 = Inadequate (radiographic signs of overhangs, open margins/recurrent decay, presence of temporary CR or no CR).

Results would be based on the following criteria:

- Patient age
- Sex
- Number of remaining teeth
- Endodontically treated teeth
- Teeth with AP
- Most commonly root canal-treated tooth
- Least commonly treated tooth
- Size of the periapical lesion.

Chi-square test and odds ratio were used for statistical analysis along with the p-value.

**RESULTS**

These 250 OPGs (438 teeth) were analyzed retrospectively and following results were obtained. The average patient age was 39 ± 11 years in case of males and 37 ± 11 years in case of females. Also, the number of males undergoing endodontic treatment was found to be more than the females. During the study, incidentally it was found that the average number of remaining natural teeth were similar in males and females which was 27. The number of missing teeth per person increased significantly with age. Also, RCT was found to be more common in maxillary teeth (52.2%) as compared to the mandibular teeth (47.7%).

As seen from Tables 1 and 2, the number of endodontically treated teeth in maxilla is more than that in mandible. Also, maxillary as well as the mandibular molars are the most commonly root canal-treated teeth. Mandibular molars have the highest incidence of RCT followed by maxillary molars and maxillary incisors and mandibular canines are the least commonly treated teeth.

As seen from Tables 3 and 4, mandibular molars are the most prevalent teeth with AP followed by maxillary molars.

---

* If a multirooted tooth presented with different periapical status at different roots, the root canal with the most severe periapical condition was categorized.

† In cases of multirooted teeth, not all root canal fillings of such teeth were assessed separately but only the canal with the worst technical obturation quality.
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and maxillary incisors. Again, canines are the least affected teeth with AP.

Out of 438 endodontically treated teeth, inadequate length of root canal filling was found in 24.8% teeth against 75.1% teeth which had adequate length, inadequate density was found in 29.6% teeth in contrast to 70.3% teeth which had adequate density and inadequate CR was found in 31.2% teeth against 68.8% teeth which had adequate CR. The RCT was found to be complete in 68.4% and incomplete in 31.6% cases. AP was present in 53.1% cases and absent in approximately 46.9% of all the root canal-treated teeth. Also approximately 88% of these periapical lesions were smaller than 3 mm, 8.9% were in between 3 and 5 mm and 3.3% were more than 5 mm.

As seen from Table 5 which evaluates various parameters of quality of RCT, out of the total number of endodontically treated teeth (438), AP was found in 53.1% teeth. A clear correlation was found between the prevalence of AP and length/density of root filling of the endodontically treated teeth (p < 0.001). Teeth that had root fillings with adequate length/density (acceptable RCT) were tested against any other combination of unacceptable RCTs. Both length and density were found adequate in 68.4% teeth; interestingly, 32.3% of these teeth had AP, significantly less than any other combination of parameters (p < 0.001). In the cases of unacceptable RCT, AP was present in 93.4% of the teeth. These findings confirm that adequate length and density of the root canal fillings significantly affected the periapical status (Table 5).

It was also found that approximately 37.2% cases of adequate CR had AP against 83% cases of inadequate CR which had AP. The parameters for the combined quality of the CR and RCT are also shown in Table 6. Both these variables were adequate in only 231 teeth (52.7%) and approximately one-fourth of these teeth (21.6%) had AP. When tested against other combinations of the quality of parameters, the acceptable CR and RCT combined category was significantly better than the others (p < 0.001). Conversely, when the CR and RCT were unacceptable (68 teeth), 97% of the endodontically treated teeth had AP (p < 0.001). Hence, it was confirmed that the quality of RCT and the quality of CR had a significant influence on AP (Table 5). The odds of finding AP in unacceptable RCT/inappropriate CR cases was >5 times greater than acceptable RCT/appropriate CR.

Figures 1 and 2 show the examples of the OPGs used for the study and how the effect of root canal filling and CRs affect the prognosis of the tooth.

Table 3: Distribution of endodontically treated teeth with apical periodontitis (maxilla)

| Tooth type | Number | Percentage |
|------------|--------|------------|
| Incisors   | 39     | 33.3       |
| Canines    | 9      | 7.6        |
| Premolars  | 27     | 23.07      |
| Molars     | 42     | 35.8       |
| Total      | 117    | 100        |

Table 4: Distribution of endodontically treated teeth with apical periodontitis (mandible)

| Tooth type | Number | Percentage |
|------------|--------|------------|
| Incisors   | 18     | 15.5       |
| Canines    | 7      | 6.03       |
| Premolars  | 28     | 24.1       |
| Molars     | 63     | 54.3       |
| Total      | 116    | 100        |

Table 5: Quality of RCT and apical periodontitis

| Parameter                          | Total number of teeth | Total number of teeth (%) | Teeth with apical periodontitis | Teeth with apical periodontitis (%) | $\chi^2$ (df) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Endodontically treated teeth (n = 438) | 438                  | 100                       | 226                           | 53.1                              | 40.9 (3)     | 0.000   |
| Adequate length/adequate density (acceptable RCT) | 300                  | 68.4                      | 97                            | 32.3                              |              |         |
| Adequate length/incorrect density (unacceptable RCT) | 23                   | 5.2                       | 19                            | 82.6                              |              |         |
| Inadequate length/adequate density (unacceptable RCT) | 27                   | 6.2                       | 24                            | 88.8                              |              |         |
| Inadequate length/inadequate density (unacceptable RCT) | 88                   | 20.09                     | 86                            | 92.7                              |              |         |
| Unacceptable RCT | 138            | 31.6                      | 129                           | 93.4                              |              |         |

Table 6: RCT quality and coronal restoration in relation to apical periodontitis

| Parameter                                      | Total number of teeth | Total number of teeth (%) | Teeth with apical periodontitis | Teeth with apical periodontitis (%) | $\chi^2$ (df) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Acceptable RCT/adequate coronal restoration   | 231                 | 52.7                      | 49                            | 21.6                              | 61.0 (3)     | 0.000   |
| Acceptable RCT/inadequate coronal restoration | 69                  | 15.7                      | 48                            | 69.5                              |              |         |
| Unacceptable RCT/adequate coronal restoration | 70                  | 15.9                      | 63                            | 90                                |              |         |
| Unacceptable RCT/inadequate coronal restoration | 68                 | 15.5                      | 66                            | 97                                |              |         |
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DISCUSSION

Endodontic epidemiological studies conducted with different populations worldwide have shown that the periapical health status is related to factors like quality of root canal filling, CR and presence of an intracanal post. Therefore, root canal filling represents an important phase of endodontic treatment, which is completed with the placement of an adequate CR.

Using a cross-sectional model, this study evaluated the prevalence and risk factors of AP in endodontically treated teeth in a selected group of population on the basis of radiographic survey. The prevalence of AP in endodontically treated teeth in the present study was 53.1% concurring with the results of other cross-sectional studies in Scotland (51%), Canada (51%), Denmark (52%) and Turkey (53%). This prevalence was lower than those reported in Spain (65.8%) and Germany (61%). Our results demonstrated that the number of teeth with AP were 226, representing ~1.9% of the total. The frequency of teeth with AP in other surveys varied from 0.6 to 9.8%. The discrepancies observed between the results of different studies might be explained by the following aspects: (i) Lack of homogeneity of the populations being compared, (ii) lack of standardization of the methods of radiographic assessment, (iii) use of teeth or individuals as referential, (iv) quality of endodontic treatment rated by either general dentists or endodontists and (v) different levels of endodontic practice and infection control in the different populations. Some aspects relative to AP should be taken into consideration. By definition, AP consists of the inflammation of the periodontal tissues at the root apex and presents distinct pathological stages of development. Nair et al. analyzed 256 human periapical lesions and diagnosed 35% of them as periapical abscess, 50% as granuloma and 15% as cysts (9% apical true cysts, 6% apical pocket cysts).

Sjogren et al. evaluated the factors that would affect the long-term outcomes of root canal therapy years after the treatment. The success rate for cases with vital or nonvital pulps, but having no periapical radiolucency, exceeded 96%, whereas 86% of the cases with pulp necrosis and periapical radiolucency showed apical healing.

In this study, OPG radiographs were used for evaluating the quality of endodontically treated teeth. The radiographic measures of ‘length and density of root filling’ can be used as indicators to assess RCTs capacity to prevent recontamination and it may substitute clinical measures that assess the quality of RCT. Unfortunately, the criteria for judging the quality of RCT have not been well defined. Acceptable RCT was defined as having ‘adequate length and density of root filling’. These subjective assessments have not been standardized or calibrated; however, the results of these subjective assessments showed that ‘acceptable RCT’ had significantly lower AP than those judged ‘unacceptable’. Furthermore, it has been contended that periapical diagnosis from OPGs may result in underestimation of the real prevalence of AP as there are certain limitations of the radiographic assessment as a study method. One of these limitations involves the evaluation of the quality of root canal filling and CR based on a two-dimensional image of three-dimensional structures. Radiographic images have been used to indicate the presence of periapical infection or coronal leakage, consisting of an important diagnostic resource. Previous studies have also employed periapical radiographs with the same purpose for this study. However, research has indicated good association between OPGs and intraoral radiographs, and even a slight overestimation. It is therefore probable that the validity of recording AP based on OPGs is satisfactory.
Individuals with 10 or fewer remaining teeth were not included in this survey as they often had poor oral health and periodontal diseases and it was difficult to determine the influence of RCT on the incidence of radiographic AP. It was also found that there were more males undergoing endodontic treatment as compared to the females. However, gender had no significant effect on the presence of AP. The total number of endodontically treated teeth was ~3% which is similar to other surveys.

Recent epidemiological surveys have further investigated the significance of CR and suggested that the quality of CR may affect the outcome of RCT. Our results demonstrated that AP was present in approximately 37% of the teeth which had an adequate CR compared to 83% of the teeth which did not have an adequate CR. Based on this finding, provision of CR should be considered as the final step of the RCT to prevent postoperative recontamination.

Also, the combination of adequate CR and adequate root filling resulted in significantly reduced incidence of AP (21.6%) compared to the presence of AP (97%) when both parameters scored as inadequate. AP was four times more likely to be present in unacceptable RCTs, and three times more likely in inappropriate CR compared with the appropriate ones. The odds of finding AP in unacceptable RCT/inappropriate CR cases was >5 times greater than acceptable RCT/appropriate CR.

CONCLUSION

The results demonstrated that a well-performed RCT and well-sealing CR are both essential for the overall success of endodontic treatment. Therefore, a considerable improvement in the quality of RCT and CR in general dental practice is required to promote oral/periapical health.
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