StrainSeeker: fast identification of bacterial strains from raw sequencing reads using user-provided guide trees
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ABSTRACT

Background: Fast, accurate and high-throughput identification of bacterial isolates is in great demand. The present work was conducted to investigate the possibility of identifying isolates from unassembled next-generation sequencing reads using custom-made guide trees.

Results: A tool named StrainSeeker was developed that constructs a list of specific k-mers for each node of any given Newick-format tree and enables the identification of bacterial isolates in 1–2 min. It uses a novel algorithm, which analyses the observed and expected fractions of node-specific k-mers to test the presence of each node in the sample. This allows StrainSeeker to determine where the isolate branches off the guide tree and assign it to a clade whereas other tools assign each read to a reference genome. Using a dataset of 100 Escherichia coli isolates, we demonstrate that StrainSeeker can predict the clades of E. coli with 92% accuracy and correct tree branch assignment with 98% accuracy. Twenty-five thousand Illumina HiSeq reads are sufficient for identification of the strain.

Conclusion: StrainSeeker is a software program that identifies bacterial isolates by assigning them to nodes or leaves of a custom-made guide tree. StrainSeeker’s web interface and pre-computed guide trees are available at http://bioinfo.ut.ee/strainseeker. Source code is stored at GitHub: https://github.com/bioinfo-ut/StrainSeeker.
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INTRODUCTION

Pathogenic bacteria represent a considerable danger for human health worldwide. For effective outbreak detection and epidemiological surveillance, bacterial pathogens must be rapidly identified. For this, the pathogen is usually isolated and various molecular typing methods used, most are based on polymerase chain reaction, or, in the last few years, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) (Inouye et al., 2014; Hasman et al., 2014). Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry has also been
used to quickly and cheaply identify bacterial colonies (Karamonová et al., 2013), but for strain-level identification it requires very precise, manually crafted databases for each species which, to a large extent, are not available today.

One of the main goals of molecular typing is classification of pathogens into clonal groups (Inouye et al., 2014). This is important because strains from the same species can have vastly different effects on their host. A well-known example is Escherichia coli, a species which contains some strains such as E. coli O157:H7 (Tu, He & Zhou, 2014) and E. coli EC958 (Petty et al., 2014) that are considerably more virulent than others. For classifying isolates, multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) (Maiden, 2006) or clone-specific markers have been used (Inouye et al., 2014). Several approaches have been developed that can detect clinically relevant mutations and alleles directly from WGS reads, such as KvarQ (Steiner et al., 2014), Mykrobe (Bradley et al., 2015) and SRST2 (Inouye et al., 2014). However, in most cases deep sequencing coverage and highly specialized allele databases are required (e.g., Mykrobe can be used only for Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Staphylococcus aureus identification), making the use of such programs complicated for the identification of isolates. The Reads2Type web service (Saputra et al., 2015) can be used for the rapid taxonomical identification of any bacterial isolate, but only at the species level. To classify an isolate to a clonal group, higher resolution is necessary.

Instead of looking for a set of clone-specific markers, full bacterial genomes could be used as the reference sequences. Bacterial identification programs based on the detection of short DNA oligomers with length \( k \) (\( k \)-mers) such as Kraken (Wood & Salzberg, 2014) or CLARK (Ounit et al., 2015) can use the whole RefSeq bacterial genomes database and identify isolates with high accuracy (Saputra et al., 2015). Moreover, they can handle low-coverage WGS samples as well, because they classify each read separately. Compared to the alignment-based tools like Sigma (Ahn, Chai & Pan, 2015), \( k \)-mer based programs have shown to be superior, especially when considering running time (Lindgreen, Adair & Gardner, 2016; Peabody et al., 2015). Kraken identifies each of the sequence reads separately using the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) taxonomy tree, counting the hits to each of the taxons on the tree and finding the branch with the most total hits. CLARK also identifies each of the reads, but instead of using a tree, it is based on a non-hierarchical, user-defined database.

We present StrainSeeker, a program for quick classification of bacterial isolates into clonal groups or clades direct from raw WGS sequencing reads. StrainSeeker uses a guide tree to approximate phylogenetic relationships between reference bacterial genomes down to the strain level, not being tied to existing taxonomic systems such as the NCBI taxonomy. This helps to avoid controversies such as the case of E. coli and Shigella sp., by which Shigella strains have been shown to be phylogenetically very similar to E. coli, but belong to different species according to NCBI taxonomy (Lan & Reeves, 2002). The guide tree has to be provided by the user. We developed a novel algorithm that assigns the isolate to a specific clade on the guide tree, based on the number of shared \( k \)-mers on different taxonomic levels. Instead of read counts assigned to individual reference genomes, StrainSeeker results are given as a single strain or a clade consisting of multiple strains, along with a visual representation of the guide tree showing where the isolate branches off.
Implementation

StrainSeeker is designed to analyze raw WGS sequencing reads and quickly determine the clade of the isolate in the user-provided guide tree. Before StrainSeeker can be used to identify bacteria, the database of specific k-mers needs to be built or a pre-built one downloaded. To create a database, the user needs to provide a set of high-quality assembled bacterial strain genomes and a guide tree describing the approximate phylogeny of provided strains (Fig. 1). Any Newick-format tree can be used as the guide tree. The database is built according to the guide tree structure, starting from the leaves (individual strains) and moving toward the root. All operations with k-mers are done
using the GenomeTester4 software (Kaplinski, Lepamets & Remm, 2015). To reduce the noise in samples that may be caused by the DNA of other, non-bacterial organisms such as human DNA in clinical samples, the user can also provide a list of potential contaminating sequences (the “blacklist”). In the database building process, all strain k-mers that are present in the blacklist are eliminated. The blacklist itself is not part of the database. The final database contains specific k-mers for each node and leaf (strain) represented in the guide tree and an index file containing the database structure and k-mer counts. The database has to be built only once, not for every identification.

The search process follows the same guide tree structure. The search is recursive, starting the analysis of node-specific k-mers at the root node of the tree and moving down toward the potential newly characterized strains (Fig. 2). Depending on where the isolate branches off the guide tree, the result is given as a single strain or a clade (Fig. 3). In case of multiple strains present in the sample, all are reported with their respective fractions, which helps to detect contamination in the sample. StrainSeeker is implemented in PERL and can be run either as a stand-alone program on a UNIX server or as a web service. The output format of StrainSeeker is either a text file or a visualized result (Fig. S1A).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Building the guide trees

Four trees were built in total—three were used as guide trees for database building, one was used as a reference to determine the clades of 100 E. coli isolates used in testing.

Two E. coli multiple gene alignment-based trees were built. First, the “gene alignment-based guide tree” contained 74 E. coli strains from the NCBI RefSeq database (release 69). Second, the “gene alignment-based reference tree” contained the same 74 strains from RefSeq and also 100 E. coli isolates that were used to test the performance of StrainSeeker (Table S1). We defined a clade by the phylogenetic distance—all the strains separated by less than 0.001 nucleotide substitutions per site were considered a clade (Fig. 4A). As the true strain-level identity of the isolates was not known, we used the “gene alignment-based reference tree” to determine the clades of the 100 isolates (Fig. S2). Similar phylogenetic trees have been used before for E. coli phylogenetic analysis (Ogura et al., 2009). Multiple alignments for both trees were built in a similar fashion. We extracted all E. coli genomic proteins from the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database (accessed 6/10/2016) and used TBLASTN 2.2.30 (Altschul et al., 1997) (match identity ≥90%, match coverage ≥95%) to check which proteins were present in each of the 174 E. coli genomes. The nucleotide sequences of 126 genes shared between all these strains (Table S2) were concatenated and a multiple alignment built with MAFFT v7.305b.

Figure 3 - A visualized StrainSeeker result. The identified strain is marked with a red arrow and box. Percentage (100%) indicates that only this strain was found from the sample. Green line marks the path of StrainSeeker’s identification process, roman numerals mark the successive nodes that were present in the sample according to the algorithm. N indicates the number of k-mers specific to this node that were found in the sample, N_{max} indicates the maximum number of k-mers specific to the node. Each strain name is given as follows: [Multi-locus sequence type] [Strain name] [RefSeq identifier] [NCBI accession number]. The tree shown is a branch of the “Mash-based guide tree” (see Methods). The isolate in the example forms a small clade with the Escherichia coli strain J1886 and is marked “NOVEL,” indicating that it is a strain closely related to J1886, but not this exact strain. This is determined in step VI according to our algorithm (see Methods).
Trees were built with MEGA (Tamura et al., 2013), using neighbor-joining method and 500 bootstrap iterations. The other two guide trees were built using a distance matrix made with an alignment-free, $k$-mer based method Mash (Ondov et al., 2016) (parameters $s = 10,000$, $k = 21$). The first, “Mash-based guide tree,” contained the same 74 $E. coli$ strains as the “gene-based guide tree.” The other, “Large Mash-based guide tree,” contained all 4,324 available bacterial genomes from the NCBI RefSeq database (release 69). Trees were constructed with MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013) using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA).

**StrainSeeker databases**

We created all the databases using the GenomeTester4 software (Kaplinski, Lepamets & Remm, 2015). Nineteen databases with different $k$-mer lengths were built altogether, 14 contained 74 $E. coli$ genomes obtained from the NCBI RefSeq database and were based on either the “gene alignment-based guide tree” or “Mash-based guide tree” ($k \in K; K = \{14, 15, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32\}$); five databases contained 4,324 bacterial genomes from the NCBI RefSeq database were based on the “Large Mash-based guide tree” ($k \in K; K = \{16, 20, 24, 28, 32\}$). Databases based on the “Large Mash-based guide tree” ($k < 16$) contained $E. coli$ strains without any specific $k$-mers. These were omitted in the performance testing.
StrainSeeker identification algorithm

First, the algorithm converts sequencing reads to a k-mer list (Fig. 2). Reads are converted to k-mers using a sliding window with a single nucleotide step. K-mers containing ambiguous nucleotides are removed. In the database, each guide tree node has a number of k-mers specific to it, referred to as “node-specific k-mers.”

The identification process starts at the root and recursively moves down toward the leaves. For each step, the percentage of observed k-mers $O$ is calculated for the current node by dividing the number of node-specific k-mers $N$ found in the sample with the total number of node-specific k-mers $N_{\text{max}}$: $O = N/N_{\text{max}}$. If $O$ is below a minimum level, calculated based on the total number of k-mers in the node, the search will not continue further. Otherwise, an observed/expected ratio ($O/E$) of node-specific k-mers is calculated. The expected number of k-mers for the given node is the number of k-mers that should be observed, if bacteria from either of the two sub-clades of the node are present in sample. For a node A with children B and C it is as follows:

$$O/E = O_A \div (O_B + O_C - O_B \cdot O_C).$$

$O/E < 1$, indicates mainly sequencing errors; $O/E > 1$, indicates that there is a strain that is related to the given node but not to either of its sub-nodes; $O/E \approx 1$, indicates that at least one of the sub-nodes are present in the sample. We used an asymptotic test with a significance level of $5 \times 10^{-5}$ to test the hypothesis that $O/E = 1$ (Article S1). If we cannot reject the hypothesis, the search will continue in sub-nodes with $O$ and $O/E$ calculated and checked at each step until either the strain level is reached or the hypothesis is rejected. If we reject the hypothesis, the current branch is either discarded because its apparent presence was due to noise ($O/E < 1$) or all strains under the current node will be reported in the output ($O/E > 1$).

To calculate the relative genome fractions in case of multiple strains present in the sample, we assumed that the number of times a k-mer is seen follows Poisson distribution. To reduce the influence of possible errors (either due to sequencing errors or a k-mer not being unique), we sorted the k-mer list by frequency and removed both the top 10% and the bottom 10% of k-mers from the list. We calculated the mean coverage from the remaining k-mers. Based on the mean of truncated observations, the mean of non-truncated Poisson distribution is estimated using the maximum-likelihood estimation.

Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolation, DNA sequencing and initial identification

Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae strains used for testing were isolated from samples taken from hospitals during the project BARN. Full description and assembled sequences of the strains will be published elsewhere. Raw reads of all the strains used for testing are available at the European Nucleotide Archive (study accession PRJEB20419). The strains were isolated from different clinical materials: blood, pus, urine and the respiratory tract. Initial bacterial identification was performed using MALDI-TOF MS (Maldi Biotyper, BrukerDaltonics GmbH, Germany). DNA templates for sequencing
were generated by growing isolate cultures overnight on blood agar (Oxoid Limited, Hampshire, UK). Total DNA from the bacterial strains was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and quantified using the Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA). A total of 1 ng of sample DNA was processed for the sequencing libraries using the Illumina Nextera XT sample preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA normalization step was skipped; instead, the final dsDNA libraries were quantified with the Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer and pooled in equimolar concentrations. The library pool was validated with 2200 TapeStation (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) measurements, and qPCR was performed with the Kapa Library Quantification Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Woburn, MA) to optimize cluster generation. A total of 667 E. coli and 539 K. pneumoniae genomic libraries were sequenced with 2 × 101 base pair (bp) paired-end reads on the HiSeq2500 rapid run flowcell (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Demultiplexing was performed with CASAVA 1.8.2. (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) allowing one mismatch in the index reads.

**Escherichia coli genome assembly and multi-locus sequence typing**

Genomes were assembled with the de novo assembly program Velvet (Zerbino & Birney, 2008). Prior to assembling, the reads were trimmed and filtered for quality (fastq_quality_trimmer–Q33–t 30–l 40, fastq_quality_filter–Q33–q 25–p 90) (http://hannolab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). The cyclic assembly process was applied for each genome where different Velvet parameter values (–exp_cov, –cov_cutoff (3, 5, 10, 15), –min_pair_count (1–5), –ins_length (100–350)) were tested until all MLST genes were found or the best set of MLST genes was retrieved. For accurate MLST type identification, we used the assembled E. coli genomes and a MLST tool published by Larsen et al. (2012) that calculates the MLST profile based on a BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997) alignment of the input sequence file and the specified allele set. Public E. coli database “#1” version 2014_01 for molecular typing was downloaded from PubMLST (http://www.pubmlst.org/).

**Data sets used to assess the performance of StrainSeeker**

We randomly selected 100 strains from 667 E. coli samples (Table S1). Assembled genomes of these strains were also included in the E. coli “gene alignment-based reference tree” (shown in Fig. S2) that we used to assess the results of StrainSeeker (Fig. 4).

In order to test the identification speed of the programs, we downloaded raw reads of three bacterial species from the European Nucleotide Archive (study accession PRJEB8647, run accession numbers ERR769199 [Enterococcus faecium], ERR769279 [Enterococcus faecalis] and ERR769315 [Salmonella enterica]) and also used raw reads of a randomly selected K. pneumoniae and E. coli isolate. Raw reads of 100 E. coli test strains and the Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate are available at the European Nucleotide Archive (study accession PRJEB20419).
RESULTS

Using StrainSeeker to predict the clades of *E. coli* isolates

To determine the correct clade for each of the 100 *E. coli* strains used in the test, we built the “gene alignment-based reference tree” (shown in Fig. S2) that included sequences of 100 test strains (*Table S1*) and sequences of 74 *E. coli* strains obtained from the NCBI RefSeq database. Clade threshold was set to 0.001 nucleotide substitutions per site. Tests run using databases based on three guide trees (see Methods)—the “gene-alignment-based guide tree” and the “Mash-based guide tree” contained 74 *E. coli* RefSeq strains (Figs. 5A and 5B) and the “Large Mash-based guide tree” contained 4,324 bacterial and archaeal strains obtained from the NCBI RefSeq database (Fig. 5C). We used the “gene alignment-based guide tree” as the positive control as we expected it to be the most accurate approximation of phylogenetic relationships. Versions with *k*-mer lengths 14–32 (16–32 in the case of the full NCBI bacteria database) were made from the databases. We counted the samples in which StrainSeeker made any of the three types of error (Fig. 4B). None of the isolates were assigned to incorrect clades. StrainSeeker’s ability to correctly predict the isolates’ clade increased with lower *k* values, mainly because its search process (Fig. 2) was more likely to stop prematurely in the case of higher *k*. However, in the case of *k* = 16 (*k* = 15 in the case of the small database), the number of ambiguous results increased. Therefore, shorter *k*-mers (16–20) are useful to avoid premature termination of the search process and longer *k*-mers (28–32) will prevent some of the ambiguous identifications. If a premature search stop is not a problem, longer *k*-mers can be used. StrainSeeker made more errors using the “gene alignment-based guide tree” compared to the “Mash-based guide tree,” in the case of *k* = 16 and higher values. Using the database based on the “Large Mash-based guide tree,” *k* = 16 and clade distance 0.001, StrainSeeker made less errors than with the other databases and its accuracy was 92% (Fig. 5C).

To see how well StrainSeeker can assign the clades of closely related *E. coli* strains, we tested the accuracy of StrainSeeker with smaller clades down to distance 0.00001, using the database based on the “Large Mash-based guide tree” and *k* = 16. This resulted in several clades in which the test strains were in a clade without any reference *E. coli* strains, which makes validation of these strains impossible. For this reason, the number of strains that can be validated decreases with decreasing the clade distance. With clade thresholds of 0.0003, 0.0001, 0.00003 and 0.00001 nucleotide substitutions per site, StrainSeeker identified the clades of 80 *E. coli* isolates with 90%, 69 isolates with 91%, 58 isolates with 90% and 46 isolates with 76% accuracy, respectively.

Minimum amount of reads required for clade prediction

To determine the required coverage for accurate isolate clade prediction using StrainSeeker, we used the same 100 *E. coli* test samples as above, but lowered the amount of reads analyzed. We used the large database, based on the “Large Mash-based guide tree” and *k* = 16. It can be seen that the number of results without any errors increases with the number of reads and the predictions are accurate if at least 25,000 reads...
from given strain are present (Fig. 6). This indicates that in order to predict the clade of an isolate, sequencing with low coverage is sufficient and many more samples could be sequenced simultaneously in a single sequencing run.

**StrainSeeker’s performance compared to other identification tools**

We compared three other bacterial identification tools (Sigma, Reads2Type and Kraken) with StrainSeeker (Table 1). Kraken (Wood & Salzberg, 2014) classifies each sequencing read using exact k-mer matching, Sigma (Ahn, Chai & Pan, 2015) aligns reads to reference genomes and Reads2Type (Saputra et al., 2015) uses species-specific markers. Kraken and Sigma are designed to work on a UNIX server, Reads2Type is a web-based tool. All programs except Reads2Type were tested using a UNIX server, 1 CPU core and 512 GB total RAM.

Accuracy was calculated based on the test set of 100 *E. coli* strains. All tools except Reads2Type were able to give multiple strains with different abundances as the output (Fig. S1), therefore it is not possible to use the same three types of errors as we used for describing StrainSeeker. Instead, we selected the strain with highest estimated abundance in the output file of each program and assessed whether it belonged to the correct clade or not. When measured by this method, we recorded the following results for identifying the correct strain in the set of 100 *E. coli* strains: StrainSeeker’s accuracy was 99% and Kraken’s accuracy 69%. Due to its excessive computing time (1,000× slower compared to other programs), we did not test the accuracy of Sigma. Reads2Type can identify samples at only species level (not at the strain level). Therefore, Reads2Type and Sigma were not used in this comparison.

Comparison of time spent on identification (Table 1) shows that Sigma spent several hours analyzing each sample, whereas the other tools took only a few minutes.
This is mainly because read alignment is computationally more expensive than exact k-mer matching (Wood & Salzberg, 2014). Reads2Type identification speed varies and is not related to the sample size as it does not analyze all reads, but stops as soon as a read matches a unique probe. StrainSeeker scales well with large samples, taking almost the same amount of time for each sample. Identification results of all programs

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6** Minimum amount of reads required for isolate identification. The line shows the percentage of results without any types of error (Fig. 4B) obtained while sequencing a certain number of 101 bp Illumina reads. We used the large database, containing 4,324 strains, based on the “Large Mash-based guide tree” and k = 16.

| Species             | Read count (M) | Read length (bp) | Coverage | Identification time (min) |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|----------|--------------------------|
|                     |                |                  |          | StrainSeeker | Sigma | Reads2Type | Kraken |
| *Escherichia coli*  | 1.39           | 101              | 28×      | 1.1          | 891.2 | 2.8        | 1.1    |
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | 2.37         | 101              | 46×      | 1.1          | 1303.6 | 3.3        | 1.7    |
| *Enterococcus faecalis* | 4.03          | 96               | 138×     | 1.1          | 2065.0 | 0.6        | 2.8    |
| *Enterococcus faecium* | 4.44          | 96               | 147×     | 1.1          | 2211.4 | NA         | 3.0    |
| *Salmonella enterica* | 4.94          | 96               | 101×     | 1.2          | 2431.6 | 0.2        | 3.1    |

**Table 1** Speed comparison of StrainSeeker, Kraken, Sigma and Reads2Type. We used the “mini-kraken” database with Kraken, “fast” mode with Reads2Type and the 4,324 strain database based on the “Mash-based guide tree” and k = 16 in case of StrainSeeker.

Notes:
Final size for the large, 4,324 strain database based on the “Mash-based guide tree” ranged from 4 to 18 GB (k = 16 and k = 32, respectively). If disk space for database building process is a constraint, databases can be downloaded from http://bioinfo.ut.ee/strainseeker or figshare (https://figshare.com/s/453ab0fb39ba6a691d). StrainSeeker does not load the whole database in memory and we successfully tested it using a laptop with 8 GB of RAM.
were correct on the species level, except that Reads2Type was unable to identify Enterococcus faecium.

**DISCUSSION**

It is paramount to identify novel strains because they can have very different phenotypes compared to their relatives. To solve this problem, we use a guide tree that allows us to narrow down the clade to which the isolate belongs. We decided not to use NCBI taxonomy because it did not contain strain-level relationships, making it unsuitable for the identification of clades within a species. Also in the NCBI tree, some taxons are not monophyletic, e.g., the Shigella/Escherichia branch.

Contrary to other k-mer-based programs identifying bacteria (Lindgreen, Adair & Gardner, 2016), StrainSeeker checks which of the specific k-mers are found in the sample, instead of each sequencing read. This makes StrainSeeker less vulnerable to errors if there are many k-mers in the sample (due to technical or biological reasons) which, according to the database, are specific to a species present in the database, but in fact, originate from another species not represented in the database. Also identifying each read separately could give a distorted result if the exact isolate is not present in the database. In such case, reads will be assigned to multiple bacterial genomes and the user cannot know if the sample contained an unknown strain or multiple related strains.

In the present work, we tested the performance of StrainSeeker using two different guide trees. One was based on an alignment of E. coli shared genes and included 74 E. coli strains, the other used a k-mer-based distance method (Ondov et al., 2016) and consisted of 4,324 bacterial and archaeal strains. StrainSeeker proved to be highly accurate in clade prediction especially with k values ranging from 16 to 20. Lower k values resulted in incorrect branches being identified along with the correct clade. This could be because of the sequencing errors as shorter k-mers are more likely assigned to wrong nodes due to errors than longer k-mers. Values for k higher than 20 are not recommended for clade prediction as StrainSeeker’s search process is more likely to stop prematurely. One reason for this is the total number of node-specific k-mers, which increases with higher k values as longer k-mers are more specific, but also more likely to contain sequencing errors.

In order to correctly predict phenotypic traits of an isolate, such as mutations conferring resistance to antibiotics, at least 10 times (10×) coverage is necessary (Inouye et al., 2014; Bradley et al., 2015). In our study, we demonstrated that the minimum amount of sequencing coverage required for accurate clade prediction is less than 1× in the case of E. coli. Based on this knowledge, multiple samples could be sequenced in a single run, saving resources and increasing throughput. This could be useful in all cases in which knowing only the clade of the strain would be sufficient, such as large-scale screening for known pathogenic bacteria.

Due to the statistical framework of StrainSeeker, it has some limitations. First, it is not able to differentiate between strains that are distinguished by only a few single nucleotide variations and may not be useful in detecting clinically relevant mutations and alleles. This requires high coverage and is a task more suited to tools like Mykrobe and SRST2. StrainSeeker is not meant to compete with such programs, but mainly to
complement them. Second, only high-quality assembled genomes can be used as an input for StrainSeeker database building.

CONCLUSION
There is a strong need for the fast detection of bacterial strains. StrainSeeker can detect strain sequences missing from public databases and identify the clade where the isolate belongs to. In the current study, we showed that StrainSeeker accurately and rapidly identifies the clades of 100 *E. coli* isolates. By using bacterial genome sequences from large public databases such as the NCBI RefSeq database, users do not have to build separate databases for each species of interest. Also StrainSeeker does not require high coverage for accurate clade prediction. For users who are not able to use the UNIX environment, there is an online version of StrainSeeker available at [http://bioinfo.ut.ee/strainseeker/](http://bioinfo.ut.ee/strainseeker/).
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NCBI  National Center for Biotechnology Information
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