Predictive Parameters of Decreased Left Ventricular Global Longitudinal Strain at 1 Month After Pediatric Heart Transplantation
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Abstract
Previous reports indicate that the decreased left ventricular global longitudinal strain (LVGLS) seen in the early postoperative period of pediatric heart transplant patients generally recovers over the course of 1–2 years. In this study, we investigate the predictive capacity of preoperative parameters on the LVGLS decline seen at 1 month post transplant. Forty-six transplant subjects with 2D echocardiographic images sufficient for speckle tracking echocardiography were enrolled. We excluded patients diagnosed with cardiac allograft vasculopathy or with an episode of rejection 1 month before or after their echocardiographic examinations. The mean LVGLS was significantly reduced at 1 month when compared to 1 year following transplant (−15.5% vs. −19.4%, respectively, \( p < 0.001 \)). The predictors of LVGLS that decline at 1 month were the LV mass \( z \)-score \( \text{OR} = 1.452; 95\% \text{CI} = 1.007–2.095, p = 0.046 \), recipient age \( \text{OR} = 1.124; 95\% \text{CI} = 1.015–1.245, p = 0.025 \), and donor age \( \text{OR} = 1.081; 95\% \text{CI} = 1.028–1.136, p = 0.002 \) in the univariate logistic regression analyses. Although multivariate analysis yielded no significant predictors, higher LV mass \( z \)-scores showed a trend associated with the decline of LVGLS \( p = 0.087 \). The donor/recipient weight ratio was associated with the LV mass \( z \)-score \( R^2 = 0.412, p < 0.001 \).
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Introduction
There are a number of causes of morbidity and mortality post heart transplant including graft dysfunction from allograft rejection, cardiac allograft vasculopathy (CAV), or unknown etiology [1]. Echocardiography is regularly used for monitoring graft function after transplant due to its accessibility and non-invasive nature [2]. Traditionally, left ventricular (LV) fractional shortening and ejection fraction (EF) have been used as measures of global ventricular function. However, several studies have shown conventional gray-scale echocardiography, including the above volume-based functional variables, to have a low sensitivity for detecting myocardial dysfunction caused by cardiac allograft rejection [3–6]. Other echocardiographic measurements, such as tissue Doppler imaging measurements, are limited by their angle dependence and the translational motion of the heart [7].

Two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography is an emerging technique that is reproducible, sensitive, and provides an angle-independent measure of myocardial deformation [strain and strain rate (SR)] [8, 9]. This strain/SR imaging has been reported to be a more sensitive predictor of outcomes than LVEF in diverse clinical situations [6, 9]. The utility of strain/SR imaging has been reported in investigations of allograft rejection [6, 10, 11], CAV [12–14], and unknown causes of mortality [15] after heart transplants. However, the interpretation of strain/SR values requires knowledge of the potential range in values under stable conditions, without allograft rejection or CAV. Pediatric heart transplant recipients were reported to have lower LV global...
longitudinal strain (LVGLS) values [16, 17] than adult recipients; adult LVGLS values were in the normal range and not different from controls [18, 19]. Several reports with serial follow-up strain/SR data in pediatric recipients without allograft rejection or CAV showed a pattern in which the LVGLS was decreased at 1 month and recovered over 1–2 years [20, 21]. This pattern holds true in our experience, but the results of the measured LVGLS vary on a case-by-case basis. This study aimed to determine predictors of the decreased LVGLS values seen at 1 month after pediatric heart transplantation. A prediction of decreased baseline LVGLS could be expected to improve our understanding of myocardial status during serial follow-up of patients.

Material and Methods

Subjects

All consecutive pediatric patients who underwent heart transplantation at Asan Medical Center from January 2007 through December 2017 were considered as subjects for this study. Initially after heart transplant, a “triple therapy” consisting of tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and prednisolone was attempted for immunosuppression; dose modification or replacement with other drugs was applied in response to adverse reactions or to suppress graft rejection. Regular echocardiographic examinations (including at 1 month and 12 months after transplant) were performed. A routine cardiac biopsy was performed at 1, 2, 3, 6, and 12 months. Coronary angiography was performed at 1 month and 12 months. Allograft rejection was defined as cellular (≥ grade 2R) or antibody-mediated rejection based on the International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) guidelines [22, 23]. When graft rejection was suspected and an augmentation of the immunosuppressive therapy was prompted, allograft rejection was diagnosed regardless of a negative biopsy. Based on the ISHLT guidelines [24], CAV was defined according to the coronary angiography performed at 12 months post transplant.

We included patients with 2D echocardiographic images of sufficient quality for speckle tracking echocardiography obtained at 1 month and 12 months. Demographic and clinical characteristics during the peri-transplantation period were investigated via a review of medical records. B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) values and the use of antihypertensive medications at each echocardiographic examination were additionally investigated. We excluded patients without available appropriate echocardiographic images, those who were diagnosed with CAV, and those with an episode of rejection (from onset of symptoms through the end of management for rejection) within 1 month before or after echocardiographic examinations. All subjects were divided into two groups of the same number according to LVGLS values 1 month after transplant. Subjects in Group 1 had decreased LVGLS values, and the subjects in Group 2 had relatively preserved values.

This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board of Asan Medical Center and the requirement for informed patient consent was waived (2020–1375).

Echocardiography

Echocardiography was conducted using the following machines: Philips IE33 system (Phillips Medical Systems, Andover, MA, USA), General Electric Vivid 7 or E9 machines (GE Health Medical, Horten, Norway), and ACUSON SC2000 (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA). Echocardiographers selected transducers depending on the patient’s body size. All echocardiographic data were measured offline and analyzed by an investigator (J.Y.) using TomTec® software (TomTec Imaging Systems; GmbH, Unterschleissheim, Germany). LV mass was calculated on M-mode data using the Devereux equation [25]. The LV mass z-score was obtained according to the method outlined by Foster et al. [26].

For the 2D strain analysis, the endocardium was manually traced onto a single frame at end-diastole, after which the strain values were automatically determined by the software. The range of temporal resolution of the stored 2D moving images was 25–102 frames/s. A mean value of two cardiac cycles was used. Longitudinal strain analysis was conducted using apical four-chamber images, and the mean of measured values from six segments was subsequently defined as LVGLS. Circumferential strain analysis was conducted using a parasternal short-axis image at the papillary muscle level, and the mean value of six segments was defined as the LV global circumferential strain. Global longitudinal/circumferential, systolic/diastolic SR were additionally measured in the same way. As a test of reproducibility, 2D moving images from 15 randomly selected subjects were reanalyzed more than 1 week after the initial examination. Another investigator (J.Y.) participated in the inter-observer reproducibility testing.

Statistics

SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analyses. p values < 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. Numerical data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, and categorical data are presented as frequency (%). BNP values were log-transformed for analysis.

A comparison of echocardiographic data between two time points (1 month vs. 12 months after transplant) was performed using a paired t test. Unpaired t tests and Pearson χ²
tests were used to compare data between the two groups. To investigate the predictors of decreased LVGLS at 1 month, we performed univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses with LVGLS treated as a categorical variable (1 for Group 1, and 0 for Group 2). Additionally, linear regression analyses for LVGLS were performed.

**Results**

The 46 included patients were divided into two groups based on a cutoff LVGLS value of −15.5%. Demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. The mean patient age at transplantation was significantly higher in Group 1 than in Group 2 (13.9 vs. 9.5 years, \( p = 0.019 \)). The mean donor age was also significantly higher in Group 1 than in Group 2 (35.8 vs. 19.9 years, \( p < 0.001 \)). The other demographic and clinical variables during the peri-transplantation period were not significantly different between the two groups. An analysis of the level of pulmonary vascular resistance was abandoned due to paucity of data.

One month post operation, the mean BNP levels were not significantly different between groups (975.0 ± 783.4 pg/mL in Group 1 and 701.3 ± 846.2 pg/mL in Group 2, \( p = 0.158 \)), and antihypertensive medications were used by the same number of patients in each group (\( n = 17, 73.9\% \)).

The incidence of allograft rejection between 2 and 11 months was 17% (\( n = 4 \)) in Group 1 and 13% (\( n = 3 \)) in Group 2 (\( p = 0.680 \)).

After 1 year, the mean BNP levels were not significantly different between the groups (102.6 ± 170.6 pg/mL in Group 1 and 107.1 ± 173.2 pg/mL in Group 2, \( p = 0.963 \)),

| Table 1 | Demographic and clinical characteristics during the peri-transplantation period |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Male gender |
| Group 1 (n = 23) | 11 (48%) |
| Group 2 (n = 23) | 16 (70%) |
| Gender concordance between D/R |
| Group 1 (n = 23) | 13 (59%) |
| Group 2 (n = 23) | 14 (64%) |
| Age (R) (years) | 13.9 ± 5.7 |
| Age (D) (years) | 35.8 ± 12.2 |
| Body weight (R) (kg) | 41.0 ± 20.8 |
| Body weight (D) (kg) | 59.5 ± 16.0 |
| D/R body weight ratio | 1.76 ± 0.75 |
| Listing statusa |
| 0 | 10 (44%) |
| 1 | 5 (22%) |
| 2 | 4 (17%) |
| 3 | 5 (22%) |
| Waiting period (days) | 162 ± 193 |
| Pre-transplant management |
| ECMO | 10 (44%) |
| Ventilator | 10 (44%) |
| Inotropics | 17 (74%) |
| Blood type |
| A | 3 (13%) |
| B | 7 (30%) |
| AB | 10 (44%) |
| O | 3 (13%) |
| Ischemic time (min) | 192 ± 68 |
| Warm (min) | 74 ± 25 |
| Cold (min) | 117 ± 67 |

\( D \) donors, \( R \) recipients, \( ECMO \) extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation

*aClassification of listing status for heart transplantation by Korean Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (https://www.konos.go.kr/konosis/common/bizlogic.jsp)*
and the prevalence of antihypertensive medication use was also not significantly different (n = 14, 60.9% in Group 1 vs. n = 8, 34.8% in group 2, p = 0.077).

Echocardiography

Echocardiography findings are presented in Table 2. LV mass (p < 0.001) and the LV mass z-score (p < 0.001) were increased, while LVGLS (p < 0.001) and LV global longitudinal SR (p = 0.001) were decreased at 1 month when compared to 1 year. These four variables—LV mass (p < 0.001), LV mass z-score (p < 0.001), LVGLS (p < 0.001), and LV global longitudinal SR (p < 0.001)—showed the same pattern in the analyses for Group 1, but only LVGLS (p = 0.022) significantly recovered at 1 year in Group 2 (Fig. 1).

In the comparisons between the two groups, the LV mass (p = 0.023) and the LV mass z-score (p = 0.036) were higher in Group 1 than Group 2 at 1 month, but they were not different between the groups at 1 year. LVGLS (p < 0.001), LV global longitudinal SR (p < 0.001), and LV global diastolic longitudinal SR (p = 0.003) values were decreased in Group 1 compared to Group 2 at 1 month. LVGLS (p = 0.031) and LV global diastolic longitudinal SR (p = 0.047) were also decreased in Group 1 compared to Group 2 at 1 year.

There were no significant differences in LV circumferential deformation between either the two time points or the two groups investigated.

Prediction of Decreased LVGLS

In logistic regression analyses, all demographic and clinical variables in Table 1, as well as echocardiographic results in Table 2, were tested as independent variables for predicting decreased LVGLS at 1 month after transplantation. Recipient age (p = 0.025), donor age (p = 0.002), and LV mass z-score (p = 0.046) were significant predictors in the univariate analysis (Table 3). Warm ischemic time had a p value < 0.150 (p = 0.058). The log-transformed BNP level was not a significant predictor (p = 0.159). In the linear regression analyses, recipient age (R² = 0.114, p = 0.022), donor age (R² = 0.442, p < 0.001), and LV mass z-score (R² = 0.140, p = 0.010) were negatively associated with LVGLS (Fig. 2). There were no significant predictors of reduced LVGLS in the multivariate analyses; however, the LV mass z-score trended toward significance (p = 0.087).

We performed an additional linear regression analysis for the LV mass z-score. Among the demographic and clinical variables collected during the peri-transplantation period, donor/recipient weight ratio (R² = 0.412, p < 0.001) and log-transformed BNP level (R² = 0.212, p = 0.002) were positively associated with the LV mass z-score (Fig. 3).

Reproducibility Testing

The results of the reproducibility testing for intra-observer and inter-observer variability are presented in Table 4. The range of intra-class correlation coefficients was 0.806–0.948.

Table 2 Results of echocardiographic examinations at 1 month and 1 year after heart transplantation

|                      | At 1 month |       | At 1 year |       |
|----------------------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|
|                      | All subjects | Group 1 (n = 23) | Group 2 (n = 23) | All subjects | Group 1 (n = 23) | Group 2 (n = 23) |
| Heart rate (bpm)     | 97 ± 17    | 93 ± 17 | 101 ± 17  | 96 ± 23 | 89 ± 15† | 103 ± 28  |
| M-mode examination   |            |        |           |        |         |           |
| LVEDD (mm)           | 37.7 ± 8.6 | 38.5 ± 7.8 | 36.9 ± 8.7 | 38.7 ± 6.3 | 39.1 ± 5.8 | 38.3 ± 7.0 |
| Fractional shortening (%) | 37 ± 6   | 38 ± 6   | 37 ± 6   | 39 ± 8   | 39 ± 9   | 40 ± 7   |
| LV mass (g)          | 144 ± 72* | 168 ± 58† | 120 ± 78  | 117 ± 55 | 124 ± 55 | 110 ± 58  |
| LV mass (z-score)    | 1.60 ± 1.87* | 2.18 ± 1.83† | 1.03 ± 1.77 | 0.50 ± 1.68 | 0.29 ± 1.99 | 0.70 ± 1.34 |
| LVGLS (%)            | −15.5 ± 4.1* | −12.1 ± 2.2† | −18.9 ± 2.6* | −19.4 ± 4.6 | −17.9 ± 4.5† | −20.8 ± 4.4 |
| LVGLS/R (‰/s)       | −0.90 ± 0.28* | −0.70 ± 0.13† | −1.10 ± 0.24 | −1.05 ± 0.28 | −0.98 ± 0.31 | −1.13 ± 0.23 |
| LVGLDSR (‰/s)       | 0.99 ± 0.45 | 0.80 ± 0.32† | 1.18 ± 0.49 | 1.11 ± 0.40 | 0.99 ± 0.38† | 1.22 ± 0.40 |
| LVGCS (‰/s)         | −24.7 ± 5.8 | −24.3 ± 6.1 | −25.1 ± 5.6 | −25.3 ± 5.8 | −25.9 ± 6.3 | −24.7 ± 5.4 |
| LVGCSR (‰/s)        | −1.49 ± 0.40 | −1.50 ± 0.39 | −1.48 ± 0.43 | −1.46 ± 0.39 | −1.50 ± 0.41 | −1.41 ± 0.38 |
| LVGCDSR (‰/s)       | 1.55 ± 0.63 | 1.56 ± 0.67 | 1.55 ± 0.60 | 1.48 ± 0.46 | 1.55 ± 0.53 | 1.41 ± 0.38 |

bpm beats per minute, LV left ventricular, LVEDD LV end-diastolic dimension, LVGLS LV global longitudinal strain, LVGLS/R LV global longitudinal strain rate, LVGLDSR LV global longitudinal diastolic strain rate, LVGCS LV global circumferential strain, LVGCSR LV global circumferential strain rate, LVGCDSR LV global circumferential diastolic strain rate

*p < 0.05 in a comparison of data at 1 month and 1 year after heart transplantation

†p < 0.05 in a comparison of data between the two groups
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In this study, the LVGLS of all subjects was decreased at 1 month and showed recovery at 1 year after pediatric heart transplantation. The LV global longitudinal SR showed the same pattern. These results are consistent with recent reports [20, 21]. After 1 year, the LVGLS of all subjects was recovered to − 19.4%, within the normal range of non-transplanted hearts of − 18.6 to − 22.5% [27–29]. Univariate logistic regression analyses revealed LV mass z-score, donor age, and recipient age as significant predictors of decreased LVGLS at 1 month; these variables were also significantly associated with LVGLS in the linear regression analyses. Although these variables were not significant predictors in the multivariate analysis, higher LV mass z-score showed a trend associated with the decline of LVGLS ($p = 0.087$).

It has been reported that the increase in LV mass initially following transplantation normalized over 6 months to 2 years along with the graft remodeling in the context of pediatric heart transplantation [21, 30]. In our study, we observed the same pattern of changes in LV mass following transplantation. This pattern of temporal change in the LV

**Discussion**

In this study, the LVGLS of all subjects was decreased at 1 month and showed recovery at 1 year after pediatric heart transplantation. The LV global longitudinal SR showed the same pattern. These results are consistent with recent reports [20, 21]. After 1 year, the LVGLS of all subjects was recovered to − 19.4%, within the normal range of non-transplanted hearts of − 18.6 to − 22.5% [27–29]. Univariate logistic regression analyses revealed LV mass z-score, donor age, and recipient age as significant predictors of decreased LVGLS at 1 month; these variables were also significantly associated with LVGLS in the linear regression analyses. Although these variables were not significant predictors in the multivariate analysis, higher LV mass z-score showed a trend associated with the decline of LVGLS ($p = 0.087$).

It has been reported that the increase in LV mass initially following transplantation normalized over 6 months to 2 years along with the graft remodeling in the context of pediatric heart transplantation [21, 30]. In our study, we observed the same pattern of changes in LV mass following transplantation. This pattern of temporal change in the LV

---

**Table 3 Results of logistic regression analysis for predicting decreased left ventricular global longitudinal strain**

|                | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                | Odds ratio          | 95% CI                | p value | p value |
| Age ($R$) (years) | 1.124               | 1.015–1.245           | 0.025   | 0.126   |
| Age ($D$) (years)  | 1.081               | 1.028–1.136           | 0.002   | 0.384   |
| LV mass (z-score)  | 1.452               | 1.007–2.095           | 0.046   | 0.087   |
| Warm ischemic time (min) | 1.032               | 0.999–1.067           | 0.058   | 0.166   |

CI confidence interval, $R$ recipients, $D$ donors
mass could be a result of the LV adaptation process following transplantation. It is possible that the increase in LV mass contributes to the decrease in LVGLS at 1 postoperative month. Decreased LVGLS in LV hypertrophy has been reported in diverse cardiac illnesses including hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, uremia, systemic hypertension, and aortic stenosis [31–34].

In linear regression analysis of the LV mass \( z \)-score, donor/recipient weight ratio and log-BNP level were found to be associated. A higher donor/recipient weight ratio means that the donor heart size is large relative to that of the recipient. Therefore, a higher donor/recipient weight ratio might contribute to an increased LV mass \( z \)-score at 1 month. However, with regard to the association between LV mass \( z \)-score and log-BNP level, it seems reasonable to think that the alterations of these variables may both result from other stress factors, because LV hypertrophy generally induces a reduction of BNP level.

In this study, the higher ages of recipients and donors were also significant predictors of decreased LVGLS at 1 month following transplantation. However, it is difficult to further explain the role of age as an etiologic factor for LVGLS decline solely using the results of this study.

Wisotzkey et al. reported that higher log-BNP levels were associated with decreased LVGLS following pediatric heart transplant [17]. In their study, the data collection period was not specified. However, log-BNP level was not a significant predictor of LVGLS decline at 1 month in this study. It was reported that increased serum BNP levels from the early post-transplantation period slowly improved [35]. In this

---

**Fig. 2** Results of linear regression analysis using left ventricular global longitudinal strain (LVGLS) as a dependent variable. The donor age \( R^2 = 0.442, \ p < 0.001 \), the recipient age \( R^2 = 0.114, \ p = 0.022 \), and the LV \( z \)-score \( R^2 = 0.140, \ p = 0.010 \) measured at 1 month after transplantation were significant independent predictors of decreased LVGLS.
In this study, LV circumferential deformation measurements were relatively preserved at 1 month post transplant and showed no difference between the two time points investigated. The phenomenon of discrepant results between longitudinal and circumferential strain has been observed previously [20, 21]. The presumptive causes for this phenomenon are the susceptibility of subendocardial longitudinal myocardial fibers to ischemic damage and a greater functional reserve of circumferential fibers [20]. During heart transplantation surgery, exposure to some degree of ischemia is inevitable. The circumferential fibers, which are predominantly in the middle myocardial layer, are less susceptible to ischemic damage [36, 37]. In this study, the mean warm ischemic time was longer in Group 1 (with relatively decreased LVGLS) than in Group 2, but it was not significantly associated with LVGLS decline in the regression analyses.

**Study Limitations**

This retrospective, single-center study had several limitations. First, for the donors, only demographic data were available. Although the donor age was a significant predictor for LVGLS decline 1 month after transplantation in the univariate analysis, no further investigation was possible in this regard. Additional donor data, such as the cause of brain death and the details of intensive care management before organ harvesting, may have been helpful. Second, the small sample size may have contributed to the lack of statistically significant results yielded by the multivariate logistic regression analysis.

**Conclusions**

LV mass z-score, donor age, and recipient age were significant predictors of decreased LVGLS 1 month after transplantation in the univariate analysis. Although multivariate analysis yielded no significant predictors, higher LV mass z-score showed a trend associated with the decline of LVGLS ($p = 0.087$). LV mass z-score was associated with the donor/recipient weight ratio.
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