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ABSTRACT

Cedrus brevifolia Henry is a narrow endemic tree species of Cyprus flora. The objectives of this study are to develop silvicultural treatments for the conservation of the species formations based on the stand structure analysis of C. brevifolia natural forest and to present the characteristics of the first application of the treatments through silvicultural interventions. Six structural types were distinguished in C. brevifolia formations in the study area located in the state forest of Paphos. For each structural type, six circular plots of approximately 500 m² were established. In each plot, various measurements and estimations were recorded. Then, silvicultural interventions were applied in the plots of the mixed C. brevifolia formations. In the formations of C. brevifolia a great number of trees grow in the understory. In the very productive and in the poorly productive sites C. brevifolia occurs only in pure formations. The basal area of C. brevifolia in pure formations ranges from 19.04 m²·ha⁻¹ in poorly productive sites to 38.49 m²·ha⁻¹ in fairly productive sites. Cedrus brevifolia is the most competitive species of the study area as a result of both shade tolerance and the wide range of its site sensitivity behavior. The climax of the study area are the pure stands of C. brevifolia having an understory of Quercus alnifolia Poech and a sparse occurrence of Pinus brutia Ten., mainly in moderately productive sites. Forest practice has to, as much as possible, unite species formations in order to create extensive areas of C. brevifolia formations.
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INTRODUCTION

Silvicultural interventions for the redistribution of the growing space in order to create certain conditions favor specific individuals or species (Oliver et al. 1996, Milios et al. 2019). In order to develop silvicultural guidelines for the long-term treatment of a forest ecosystem, a basic requirement is a thorough knowledge of the main ecological traits and characteristics of the constituting tree species. The main information needed is the site sensitivity determination (Oliver et al. 1996) of the constituting tree species and the knowledge of their light requirements. This information will be the basis for assessing the competitive ability of the species in the context of the ecosystem in question. Of course, the competitive ability of a species is not a constant trait, since it is also influenced by site productivity and by the competitive ability of the other competing species (Dafis 1986).

Stand height structure analysis combined with stand density and canopy cover data may supply crucial information on the light requirements of the species forming the different stories of the stand. Furthermore, comparative...
stand structure analysis in different site productivity areas is a significant tool in order to determine site sensitivity of the stand constituting species. Site productivity determines competitive superiority between species with different site sensitivity (Oliver et al. 1996), while the existence of trees in various stories in the vertical stand structure depends on the shade tolerance of the tree species (Oliver et al. 1996).

Hence, when the ecology of a tree species is not adequately known or understood, the stand structure analysis of the species formations in sites having different productivity can provide crucial information on its ecological requirements, site sensitivity and competition behavior. One such species is Cedrus brevifolia Henry (Cyprus cedar).

Cedrus brevifolia is a wind-pollinated conifer tree species of the Pinaceae family (Meikle 1977). It is an endemic species of the Cyprus flora, with narrow distribution, since it occurs in a sole population in the area of Paphos Forest. Cedrus brevifolia forest covers an area of 290 ha, which constitutes less than 0.2% of the high forest vegetation in Cyprus. From 1960 the Department of Forests has been implementing mass plantation of Cedrus brevifolia plants at the boundaries of the natural forest of the species, covering today an area of ~130 ha (Eliades et al. 2019).

Cedrus brevifolia showed the highest stomatal conductance (Ladjal et al. 2005), while it is characterised by the lowest growth rate, but was found to be the least drought-sensitive among the cedar species (Ducrey et al. 2008). Although C. brevifolia is an island mountainous endemic species with narrow distribution, it revealed a high level of genetic diversity, most likely due to the long-term presence of the species in the mountains of Cyprus (Bou Dagher-Kharrat et al. 2007, Eliades et al. 2011). In addition, the unique population of C. brevifolia in Cyprus was not found to be genetically uniform, but rather showed significant genetic structure (genetic differentiation) among identified patches (Eliades et al. 2011). Cedrus brevifolia demonstrates phenotypic variation on its needle color, since two different types are observed, that is, trees with glaucous and trees with green phenotype (Meikle 1977).

The conservation status of Cedrus brevifolia was defined in “The Red Data Book of the Flora of Cyprus” (Tsintides et al. 2007), where, based on the IUCN criteria, it was classified as a vulnerable species. In addition, the C. brevifolia forest has been coded and included in Annex I of Council Directive 92/43/EEC (The Habitats Directive) as a priority habitat type, namely “9590 *Cedrus brevifolia forests (Cedrostetum brevifoliiae)“.

The lack of analytical studies on the ecology and stand structure of C. brevifolia has led to the absence of interventions in the formations of C. brevifolia. Moreover, the lack of ecological knowledge makes it difficult to determine the disturbances which would expose its formations to great risks.

In this context, the objectives of this study are: a) the stand structure analysis of C. brevifolia natural formations in the area of the species natural expansion, b) the development of silvicultural treatments for the conservation of the species natural formations, based on the acquired knowledge on the ecology of the species, and c) the presentation of the characteristics of the first application of the treatments through silvicultural interventions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area and the Forest of Cedrus brevifolia in Cyprus

As already mentioned, the C. brevifolia forest covers an area of 290 ha, located in the top mountains of Paphos Forest (in Troodos mountain range). The species is characterized by limited altitudinal distribution from the upper limits of the meso-Mediterranean to the mid supra-Mediterranean zone (elevation of 900–1400 m above sea level) (Department of Forests 2005). The C. brevifolia forest in Cyprus shows discontinuous distribution (Figure 1), with the main patch of the forest occupying the peak area of Tripylos Mountain, while smaller patches also occur at four surrounding areas, namely: Mavroi Gremoi, Selladi tis Elias, Throni and Exo Milos (Eliades et al. 2019).

The pure C. brevifolia formations cover a total area of 106 ha, while the mixed formations occur in an area of 184 ha (data provided by Department of Forests); in both cases, these formations are scattered. The mixed formations include a wide range of areas in which C. brevifolia varies, from a few individuals up to a large number of trees that significantly contribute to the basal area of the formation.

Apart from C. brevifolia, the main species of the mixtures is Pinus brutia Ten. (Calabrian pine), while Quercus alnifolia Poech (golden oak) occurs in the lower stories in both pure and mixed formations (Delipetrou and Christodoulou 2016, personal observation). Moreover, there are areas with groups of Q. alnifolia sprouts (multi-stemmed plants) and seedlings – saplings of C. brevifolia growing in most cases under the above and side shade of Q. alnifolia; which is an evergreen sclerophyllous endemic shrub species of Cyprus with high ecological importance (Tsintides et al. 2002).

In the area where the natural forest of C. brevifolia is expanded, in most cases, the C. brevifolia formations alternate with formations of P. brutia (with the participation of Q. alnifolia) and, in some cases, with Q. alnifolia formations.

The parent material of the area is igneous rocks (diabase), the soil is slightly acidic and its texture is sandy loam to loam (Gatzogiannis et al. 2010). Soil profiles carried out in the C. brevifolia forest detected that the soil depth in the study area ranges from very shallow to very deep, with acidic pH (5-6.75) (Eliades 2015). The mean annual temperature in the wider area of Tripylos Mountain is 15.78°C and the mean annual precipitation 668.7 mm (data availability for period 1981-2000) (Christou et al. 2001), while the dry period lasts from mid-April up to mid-October (Christou et al. 2001).

Methods

In order to address the scientific questions of the current study, fieldwork was carried out in 2017. The C. brevifolia natural formations were classified in structural types based on two main components: species composition of the formation and productivity of the site.

The species natural formations were classified into two types: pure formations of C. brevifolia (PRC), where C. brevifolia is the dominant species and composes at least 80% of the tree basal area, and mixed formations (MXC), where two dominant species exist (C. brevifolia and P. brutia).
In addition, the classification of an area in a site productivity category was based on several criteria: soil depth, the form of the terrain (convex or concave) the existence of a stream (water) in the vicinity and the location of the area on the slope (base, middle, upper part, ridge) (Dafis 1986, Barnes et al. 1998, Papalexandris and Milios 2010, Adamopoulos et al. 2009, Stampoulidis et al. 2013, Petrou 2015, Petrou and Milios 2020). The form of the terrain was used as a surrogate of the total depth of the soil (Milios and Papalexandris 2008, Papalexandris and Milios 2010, Adamopoulos et al. 2009, Milios and Papalexandris 2019). Moreover, the location of the area on the slope can be used as a surrogate of the total soil depth (Dafis 1986, Barnes et al. 1998, Adamopoulos et al. 2009, Milios et al. 2012). The actual soil depth was measured (in soil profiles) in the very few cases where there were doubts regarding the classification of an area in a site productivity category.

The above approach of site productivity was based on the assumption that in Mediterranean areas with a dry period in summer, water availability is a significant factor of site productivity. In the same context, soil depth is related to site productivity since soil acts as a water reservoir and supplies the plant roots with water during summer (Dafis 1986, Hatzistathis and Dafis 1989, Papalexandris and Milios 2010). The different site productivity areas where Cedrus brevifolia formations grow were easily distinguishable. The very productive sites (SA) are almost exclusively found on the banks of both sides of a local stream. On the contrary, the poorly productive sites (SD) are found only in ridges having narrow widths. In these ridges, soil is almost absent,

![Figure 1. Distribution of C. brevifolia forest on Cyprus.](https://www.seefor.eu)
while in most cases rocks (parent material) cover a great part of the terrain surface. The fairly productive sites (SB) occur mainly in concave areas in the middle and the upper part of the slope, while moderately productive sites (SC), are found mainly in the upper part of the slope and in medium width ridges having few or no appearances of rocks and deeper soil than SD. In a few cases, SC are found in the middle of the slope in mostly convex locations. Most of the *C. brevifolia* formations and the greatest area of the species distribution are found in moderately (SC) and poorly productive sites (SD), while in the very productive sites (SA) the species occurs in continuous areas in almost all cases. The SC covers the largest area in the study area.

Combining the species composition of the formation and productivity of the site, six out of eight different structural types were identified in *C. brevifolia* natural formations:

a) pure *C. brevifolia* formations found in very productive sites (PRCSA),
b) pure *C. brevifolia* formations found in fairly productive sites (PRCSB),
c) pure *C. brevifolia* formations found in moderately productive sites (PRCSC),
d) pure *C. brevifolia* formations found in poorly productive sites (PRCSD),
e) mixed *C. brevifolia* formations found in fairly productive sites (MXCSB) and
f) mixed *C. brevifolia* formations found in moderately productive sites (MXCSC).

The mixed structural types comprise only the rather closed formations where *C. brevifolia* constitutes a significant part of the basal area and not the open (having low tree density) mixed formations.

For each structural type six circular plots of approximately 500 m² (radius of 12.62 m) were randomly established in the area where the specific structural type occurs. In total, 36 plots were established. In each plot, the species, the diameter at breast height (dbh), (in cm -precision of one decimal), and the total height, (m -precision of 0.5 m) of all living trees with a height of over 1.3 m were recorded. The diameter measurements were used using diameter tape and the heights were measured using the Haga instrument. For each plot, the clustering of trees to the vertical distribution was done according to three categories: overstory trees, middlestory trees and understory trees (Dafis 1992). Overstory trees were defined as the trees taller than 2/3 of the predominant height (the average height of the tallest 100 trees·ha⁻¹ – 5 tallest trees in the plot of 500 m²). The trees with height equal or more than 1/3 but lower (or equal) than 2/3 of the predominant height were classified as middlestory trees, while those with height lower than 1/3 of the predominant height were classified as understory trees. Noticeably, for *Q. alnifolia* multi-stem individuals, only the dbh and the height of the dominant (tallest) stem were recorded.

Finally, for each plot, the canopy cover percentage was visually estimated as a percentage (maximum 100%) of the plot area, which was covered by the projection of the tree canopy.

Statistical Analysis

In the comparisons among the heights of the tallest *C. brevifolia* trees of the pure formation structural types (for each structural type the heights of the five tallest trees of each plot were used) the Dunnett T3 was used, since there was no homogeneity of variances. In the comparisons among the basal areas of the pure formations of *C. brevifolia* found in the different site productivity areas, the Duncan test was used.

For the diameter and height distributions of *C. brevifolia* in each structural type, the Anderson-Darling statistic was used for the examination of the typical distribution (lognormal, exponential, empirical, triangular, Weibull, gamma, normal, beta, uniform) that fits better to them (Milios et al. 2020). The closest fit is provided by the typical distribution having the lowest value of the Anderson-Darling statistic (Anderson and Darling 1954). A p-value is not available in all cases for all distributions that were tested; so the decision for the best-fitted distribution was based on the value of the Anderson-Darling statistic only (IBM 2012). The analyses were conducted using SPSS 21 (IBM 2012).

RESULTS

As previously mentioned, *C. brevifolia* forms mixed formations with *P. brutia* and *Q. alnifolia*. Apart from these tree species, woody species such as *Platanus orientalis* L., *Prunus avium* L. and *Arbutus andrachne* L. were also recorded in the established plots and were classified as “other species” (Figure 2 and 3).

In PRCSA from 623 trees·ha⁻¹ of *C. brevifolia* 393 grow in the understory. In PRCSB, PRCSC and PRCSD the corresponding values are 480 – 173, 346 – 66 and 383 – 53. In MXCSC from 283 trees·ha⁻¹ of *C. brevifolia* 137 grow in the understory, while in MXCSC the corresponding values are 200 – 56. In the case of *Q. alnifolia*, in all structural types, the trees grow in the understory and in the middlestory (Table 1).

The height of the tallest *C. brevifolia* trees in PRCSA is higher (p<0.05) than that of the rest of the structural types of pure formations, while the height of the tallest *C. brevifolia* trees in PRCSD is lower (p<0.05) than the corresponding heights of PRCSA, PRCSB and PRCSC. The height of the tallest *C. brevifolia* trees in PRCSD is higher than the height of the tallest *C. brevifolia* trees in PRCSC (Table 2). The tallest *C. brevifolia* tree measured in a plot has a height of 29 m and a breast height diameter of 57 cm, while the tree with the largest breast height diameter has a diameter of 109 cm and a height of 27 m. Both trees grow in plots of PRCSA.

The basal area of *C. brevifolia* in pure formations ranges from 19.04 m²·ha⁻¹ in poorly productive sites (PRCSD) to 38.49 m²·ha⁻¹ in fairly productive sites. The maximum canopy cover of *C. brevifolia* formations is 100% in very productive (PRCSA) and in fairly productive sites (PRCSB, MXCSC), while in moderately productive sites it is 85% in PRCSC, and 95% in MXCS. In poorly productive sites (PRCSD) it is 80% (Table 1).
Table 1. Structural data of the six structural types in *C. brevifolia* forest.

| Species          | Overstory (trees·ha⁻¹) | Middlestory (trees·ha⁻¹) | Understory (trees·ha⁻¹) | Canopy cover range of all stories (%) | Basal area (m²·ha⁻¹) | N (trees·ha⁻¹) |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Pure formations in very productive sites (PRCSA) |
| *C. brevifolia* | 153                     | 77                       | 393                     | 36.47                                | 623                  |                |
| *P. brutia*     | 0                       | 0                        | 10                      | 0.0017                               | 10                   |                |
| *Q. alnifolia*  | 0                       | 0                        | 290                     | 0.84                                 | 290                  |                |
| Other species   | 13                      | 13                       | 90                      | 6.73                                 | 116                  |                |
| Total           | 166                     | 90                       | 783                     | 90 - 100                             | 44.04                | 1039           |
| Pure formations in fairly productive sites (PRCSB) |
| *C. brevifolia* | 240                     | 67                       | 173                     | 38.49                                | 480                  |                |
| *P. brutia*     | 3                       | 0                        | 4                       | 0.42                                 | 7                    |                |
| *Q. alnifolia*  | 0                       | 30                       | 266                     | 1.25                                 | 296                  |                |
| Other species   | 0                       | 0                        | 3                       | 0.002                                | 3                    |                |
| Total           | 243                     | 97                       | 446                     | 90 - 100                             | 40.16                | 786            |
| Pure formations in moderately productive sites (PRCSC) |
| *C. brevifolia* | 153                     | 127                      | 66                      | 21.04                                | 346                  |                |
| *P. brutia*     | 3                       | 10                       | 4                       | 0.49                                 | 17                   |                |
| *Q. alnifolia*  | 0                       | 47                       | 186                     | 0.34                                 | 233                  |                |
| Total           | 156                     | 184                      | 256                     | 55 - 85                              | 21.87                | 596            |
| Pure formations in poorly productive sites (PRCSD) |
| *C. brevifolia* | 163                     | 167                      | 53                      | 19.04                                | 383                  |                |
| *P. brutia*     | 3                       | 14                       | 13                      | 0.06                                 | 30                   |                |
| *Q. alnifolia*  | 0                       | 33                       | 110                     | 0.20                                 | 143                  |                |
| Total           | 166                     | 213                      | 177                     | 55 - 80                              | 19.30                | 556            |
| Mixed formations in fairly productive sites (MXCSB) |
| *C. brevifolia* | 73                      | 73                       | 137                     | 11.81                                | 283                  |                |
| *P. brutia*     | 57                      | 26                       | 0                       | 12.32                                | 83                   |                |
| *Q. alnifolia*  | 0                       | 50                       | 450                     | 2.23                                 | 500                  |                |
| Total           | 130                     | 149                      | 587                     | 85 - 100                             | 26.36                | 866            |
| Mixed formations in moderately productive sites (MXCSC) |
| *C. brevifolia* | 97                      | 47                       | 56                      | 10.85                                | 200                  |                |
| *P. brutia*     | 84                      | 63                       | 50                      | 8.36                                 | 197                  |                |
| *Q. alnifolia*  | 0                       | 100                      | 143                     | 1.13                                 | 243                  |                |
| Total           | 181                     | 210                      | 249                     | 70 - 95                              | 20.34                | 640            |

Table 2. Mean height of the tallest *C. brevifolia* trees in the structural types of pure formations.

| Structural types of pure formations | Mean height of the tallest trees (m) | S.D. | Min | Max | n |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|---|
| PRCSA                              | 24.37                               | 3.054| 16.0 | 29.0 | 30|
| PRCSB                              | 17.72                               | 3.175| 13.0 | 23.0 | 30|
| PRCSC                              | 10.30                               | 2.524| 6.0  | 16.0 | 30|
| PRCSD                              | 7.50                                | 1.520| 5.0  | 10.0 | 30|

Means are statistically different at p<0.05 when they share no common letter. The comparison was made using the Dunnett T3 test, S. D. = standard deviation, n = number of trees, 6 plots x 5 tallest trees = 30 trees.
The basal area in PRCSA is not different (p>0.05) compared to that of PRCSB and the basal area in PRSCS is not different (p>0.05) compared to that of PRCSD. However, the basal areas of PRCSA and of PRCSB are higher (p<0.05) than those of PRSCS and of PRCSD (Table 3).

In PRCSA, PRCSB, MXCSB and in MXCSC the diameter class of 5 cm (the lowest class) has more *C. brevifolia* trees compared to the rest diameter classes (Figure 2), while in PRCSA, PRCSB and MXCSB, the height class of 2 m (the lowest class) has more *C. brevifolia* trees compared to the rest height classes (Figure 3).
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| Pure stands, site type A | Pure stands, site type B | Pure stands, site type C | Pure stands, site type D | Mixed stands, site type B | Mixed stands, site type C |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| C. brevifolia            | C. brevifolia            | C. brevifolia            | C. brevifolia            | C. brevifolia            | C. brevifolia            |
| Q. alnifolia             | Q. alnifolia             | Q. alnifolia             | Q. alnifolia             | Q. alnifolia             | Q. alnifolia             |
| P. brutia                | P. brutia                | P. brutia                | P. brutia                | P. brutia                | P. brutia                |
| Other species            | Other species            | Other species            | Other species            | Other species            | Other species            |

Figure 3. Tree height distributions in the six structural types.

The lognormal distribution fits better in the diameter distributions of C. brevifolia in PRCSA and in MXCSB structural types, while the triangular distribution fits better in the diameter distributions of the species in PRCSB and in MXCSC structural types. In the diameter distributions of C. brevifolia in PRCSC and in PRCSD the distributions that fit better are the normal and the uniform respectively (Table 4). In the height distributions of the species, the triangular distribution fits better in PRCSD, MXCSB and in MXCSC structural types. In PRCSB and PRCSC the distribution that fits better is the uniform one, while in PRCSA, lognormal distribution fits better (Table 4).
DISCUSSION

In *C. brevifolia* forest, the diameter distributions of all structural types indicate uneven aged stands (O’Hara 2014) where the individuals with great dimensions are possibly the survivors of previous disturbances, especially in the moderately productive sites (SC) and poorly productive sites (SD) (Milios et al. 2007). On the other hand, in more productive sites (SA and SB) the great dimension of many of the large trees might have been the result of the more intense competition in combination with the favorable site conditions (Oliver and Larson 1996).

The differences of the heights of the tallest *C. brevifolia* trees in the different structural types of pure formations (Table 2) seem to support the classification of sites in relation to their productivity. However, the height of trees that were dominant for their entire life span is used as an index of the growth potential of a site in even-aged stands (Oliver and Larson 1996, Smith et al. 1997). Notably, the height of dominant trees should be compared at the same age. It could be assumed that the trees which comprise the tallest trees in the plots established in the pure formations of each site productivity category were dominant trees in an even-aged group, and at the time of measurement they were of more or less the same age. Under these assumptions, the observed statistically significant differences of the mean height of the tallest *C. brevifolia* trees (Table 2) verify the classification of the areas, where pure formations occur, in the different site productivity categories. This is an indication of correct site productivity classification.

*Cedrus brevifolia* trees can exhibit large dimension and can create stands with high basal area as in the case of pure formations in the SA and SB. In the PRCSA and PRCSB the basal area of the species is 36.47 and 38.49 m$^2$·ha$^{-1}$ respectively (Table 1). These values are higher compared to the value of *C. libani* basal area referred for stands in Tannourine Cedar Forest Reserve in Lebanon (Bassil et al. 2018), but they are lower than the basal area of pure *C. atlantica* forests in Theniet El Had National Park in Algeria (Sarmoum et al. 2018) and the values of *C. atlantica* basal area of most closed stands in the Moroccan Middle Atlas forests (Linares et al. 2011). In Cyprus, two groups of pure structural types are formed regarding basal area. The first group consists of PRCSA and PRCSB structural types and represents the productive sites, while the second group includes PRCS and PRCSD structural types representing the less productive sites. The two structural types in each group do not exhibit a difference in basal area (p>0.05), while

### Table 3. Mean basal area of *C. brevifolia* trees for plots of each structural type of pure formations.

| Structural types of pure formations | Mean basal area (m$^2$) | S.D. | Min | Max | n |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-----|-----|---|
| PRCSA                              | 1.83$^a$                | 0.427| 1.20| 2.33| 6 |
| PRCSB                              | 1.93$^a$                | 0.450| 1.13| 2.28| 6 |
| PRCS                              | 1.05$^b$                | 0.245| 0.61| 1.29| 6 |
| PRCSBD                             | 0.95$^c$                | 0.296| 0.62| 1.44| 6 |

Means are statistically different at p<0.05, when they share no common letter. The comparison was made using the Duncan test, S. D. = standard deviation, n = number of plots.

### Table 4. Typical distribution that fits better in the diameter and height distributions of *C. brevifolia* in the different structural types using the Anderson-Darling statistic.

| Structural type | Typical distribution | A (Anderson-Darling statistic) |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Diameter distribution |                       |                                |
| PRCSA           | Lognormal            | 0.23                           |
| PRCSB           | Triangular           | -0.22                          |
| PRCS             | Normal               | 0.45                           |
| PRCSD           | Uniform              | -0.15                          |
| MXCSB           | Lognormal            | 0.27                           |
| MXCS            | Triangular           | -0.76                          |

| Height distribution |                      |                                |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| PRCSA               | Lognormal             | 0.42                           |
| PRCSB               | Uniform               | -0.62                          |
| PRCS                | Uniform               | -0.97                          |
| PRCSD               | Triangular            | -1.59                          |
| MXCSB               | Triangular            | -0.64                          |
| MXCS                | Triangular            | -2.11                          |
each of the structural types of the productive sites has higher (p<0.05) basal area compared to the structural types of the less productive sites (Table 3).

In the different structural types, the competition regime is differentiated as a result of different tree density and site productivity (Oliver and Larson 1996). This led to differences in tree diameter dimensions and in the form of distributions that consequently led to the great differences observed in the typical distribution that fits better in the diameter distributions of *C. brevifolia* trees in the structural types of the species formations (Table 4). In the case of *C. brevifolia* height distributions of the species formations there are three structural types in which the triangular distribution fits better in their height distribution compared to the other typical distributions that were checked. In two structural types the uniform distribution fits better and in one structural type lognormal distribution fits better (Table 4). The lower variability in the form of height distributions (compared to that of diameter distributions) in the different structural types is due to the factors that determine the height growth of trees. Hence, the competition regime created by the different densities of the formations does not influence significantly the height growth of the dominant trees, as in the case of their diameter. Tree height is mainly influenced and determined mainly by site conditions (Oliver and Larson 1996) and thus the range between the lowest and highest observed height of trees in all sites is reduced.

The shade tolerance of *C. brevifolia* was the decisive factor which determined both diameter and height distributions of the species in the different structural types, since it led to the development of the “robust” lowest diameter and height distribution classes. *Cedrus brevifolia* exhibits shade tolerance. This is obvious from both the diameter and height distributions of all structural types (Figure 2 and 3). Especially, in PRCSA, PRCSB and MXCSB structural types where the greatest canopy cover percentage is observed, most of the *C. brevifolia* trees of the lowest classes in both diameter and height distributions were established and grew under shade conditions. Moreover, a great percentage of *C. brevifolia* trees grow in the understory of their plot in most structural types (Table 1).

*Cedrus brevifolia* is more shade-tolerant than its main tree species competitor in the study area, *P. brutia*. *Pinus brutia* is a light-demanding (Korakis 2015) and fast-growing species (Kitikidou et al. 2011, Kitikidou et al. 2012). The few *P. brutia* trees with small dimensions (height and diameter) found in PRCSA and PRCSB grow in the edges of their plots (and formations), reaching adequate size (or top) light for their survival. On the other hand, in the PRCC, PRCS and MXCS structural types, the light condition, as a result of the rather low canopy cover percentage created in many locations, allows the establishment and survival of some *P. brutia* trees in the understory (Table 1). In harsh conditions in medium elevation of central Cyprus, *P. brutia* seedlings can be established and survive at least for one growing season under the facilitation of mature individuals of the species (Petrou and Milios 2012, 2020).

The most significant result of this study is that in the worst site conditions there is only one structural type of the species formations (PRCSA and PRCSD), a pure one, as in the case of the very productive sites (PRCSA). Even though *P. brutia* is a site-insensitive species (Korakis 2015), *C. brevifolia* is more competitive compared to *P. brutia* in the worst site conditions. *Pinus brutia* has a very sparse occurrence in poorly productive sites (SD) and this is not the result of unfavorable light conditions, as in the cases of the pure formations of other sites (mainly PRCSA and PRCSB structural types), since the vegetation in the SD formations is more or less sparse in many cases. It seems that *P. brutia* cannot create even very sparse formations in SD. Possibly another reason for the dominance of *C. brevifolia* in SD is the probable larger lifespan of the species compared to *P. brutia*. Thus, *C. brevifolia* is the most competitive species of the study area, owing to both shade-tolerance and the wide range of its site sensitivity behavior. This wide range of the site sensitivity may be the outcome of a significant genetic heterogeneity observed among the different site populations of the species (Elades et al. 2011).

Quercus alnifolia cannot be considered as a strong competitor of *C. brevifolia*, since it is a shrub or small tree reaching a height of up to 10 m in the plots of this study, while Petrou et al. (2015) measured heights up to 11.60 m in their study for the construction of site index curves for *Q. alnifolia* in Cyprus. The competition among *C. brevifolia* and *Q. alnifolia* trees for light ends when *C. brevifolia* trees reach the height of a few meters.

Regardless of the fact that *Q. alnifolia* grows in the understory exhibiting shade tolerance, it cannot prevent the establishment of *C. brevifolia* trees as it can be concluded from the height and diameter distributions of PRCSA, PRCSB and MXCSB structural types where the greater canopy cover percentage is observed, while many of the understory trees of *C. brevifolia* had a height of up to 2 m in all structural types.

Based on the above analysis, the climax of the study area are the pure stands of *C. brevifolia* that have an understory of *Q. alnifolia* and a sparse occurrence of *P. brutia* mainly in moderately productive sites (SC).

**Development of Silvicultural Treatments**

Small scale disturbances, which release a small amount of growing space, will not influence the succession in the area. Even in the case of the establishment of a *P. brutia* individual in the free-growing space, the reoccupation of the growth space from the adjacent *C. brevifolia* trees (mainly in SA and SB and secondly in SC) in combination with the increase in light requirements of the *P. brutia*, as it becomes older and bigger in dimensions (Dafis 1986), will lead to the death of the *P. brutia* tree due to low light availability. In the case of SD, the unfavorable site conditions will not probably allow even the establishment of a *P. brutia* tree.

Disturbances which release large growing space, killing many trees, like forest fires, act against the dominance of *C. brevifolia*, since *P. brutia* as a pioneer and bradychorous species (Thanos and Marcou 1991, Sapos et al. 2000, Thanos and Daskalakou 2000, Boydak 2004) will have a competitive advantage. Thus, if no intense disturbances take place in the study area, the succession process will lead to the dominance of *C. brevifolia* in mixed formations and the development of pure *C. brevifolia* formations. Moreover, *C. brevifolia* will be established gradually in areas adjacent to species formations and will finally dominate in almost entire study area. However, apart from the prevention of large-scale disturbances like forest fires, forest practice can accelerate succession in the area through the favoring of *C. brevifolia*.

As it is referred in the study area section, in the area where the natural forest of *C. brevifolia* is expanded, in most cases, the *C. brevifolia* formations alternate with formations of *P. brutia*.
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Besides, general principles were developed (Box 1) that could be adopted for the sustainable management of *C. brevifolia* forest, while they are valid for all silvicultural interventions. Hence, in the case of formation structures that have not been analysed or consist of a combination of structures of some of the previously mentioned structural types, the analysed silvicultural treatments, in combination with the general principles which are presented below, provide the information and tools for the application of the proper silvicultural interventions – treatments in order to achieve the goals that were set. The mentioned principles (Box 1) are also valid for the analysed structural types. The term of light intensity is referred to the degree of change of light conditions. Consequently, an intervention is considered as intense when it causes a great increase in light intensity in the forest floor (see below).

Since *C. brevifolia* is the keystone species for the ecosystem in the highest elevations of Paphos Forest (Tripylos Mountain and the neighboring hills), a rational management of the *C. brevifolia* forest is needed in order to conserve and enhance the ecosystem biodiversity. Along with the proposed silvicultural treatments, forest practice in the area should incorporate the following principles, related to the enhancement of biodiversity, in the silvicultural interventions (Box 1). The proposed principles are based mainly on Lindenmayer and Franklin (2002).

**Box 1.** General guidelines for silvicultural interventions.

### General principles valid for all silvicultural interventions

- **a)** *Edges*: Canopy-formation edges should not retreat or “open” in a great extent as a result of the silvicultural interventions.
- **b)** *Ridges – convex areas – not productive sites*: Silvicultural interventions in ridges, convex areas and in non-productive sites should be light and applied only where judged essential.
- **c)** *Logging debris*: Large-scale material (d>10cm) resulting from forestry operations, except for a small percentage, should not remain in the formations to avoid insect damage.
- **d)** *Cutting of the top – pruning of trees of various dimensions that compete with *C. brevifolia* plants*: It is a way to reduce competition and it is recommended in cases where, in parallel with competition, a positive influence exists. This cutting can be done and in parallel the canopy density in the micro-locations where *C. brevifolia* plants grow is maintained and the widening of existing gaps is avoided.
- **e)** *Low intensity interventions*: The interventions in *C. brevifolia* formations should be of light intensity except in cases where the participation of *C. brevifolia* trees is low and the objective is to drastically favor the *C. brevifolia* trees even if a rather wide growing space is released. In these cases, the interventions can be intense. In general, inner (closed) forest conditions should be maintained or disturbed to the smallest extent possible in the closed formations where *C. brevifolia* occurs at a satisfactory rate.

### Principles for the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity

- **a)** Retention of standing dead *C. brevifolia* trees (large dimension dead trees as a priority).
- **b)** Retention of *C. brevifolia* fallen trees on the forest floor.
- **c)** Retention of stumps, having a height of 70–100 cm, originated from the cutting of rather large-dimension trees.
- **d)** Identification and favoring of *C. brevifolia* individuals having a phenotype with glaucous color of needles.
- **e)** Retention of some living *P. brutia* trees having large dimensions.
- **f)** Retention of few *P. brutia* trees in fairly productive sites (SB) and moderately productive sites (SC) (at a later stage, when *C. brevifolia* dominates in those sites).
- **g)** Favoring of *P. orientalis* individuals, as well as individuals of other broadleaved species, growing mainly inside or on the side banks of the stream in SA, through the cutting of trees, which intensely compete them.
- **h)** Retention of some gaps inside the *C. brevifolia* expansion area.
Assessment of How Natural Stand Structure for Narrow Endemic Cedrus brevifolia Henry Supports Silvicultural Treatments for Its Sustainable Management.

Application of the Proposed Silvicultural Treatments

Silvicultural interventions were applied in the plots of the mixed formations (MXCSB, MXCSC) in 2018 (see Box 2). For each silvicultural intervention application, the following data was recorded: a) the type of implemented treatment or treatments, since a cutting of a tree may combine the characteristics of more than one treatment, b) the species of the tree, in which the intervention was applied, and c) the number of the Cedrus brevifolia trees and regeneration plants (having a height of between 0.1 m to 1.3 m), which were favored by the intervention.

One improvement developed during the application of the interventions was the killing through girdling (at their base) of large P. brutia trees which competed or suppressed Cedrus brevifolia trees. This was done in order to avoid the creation of large gaps, while, at the same time, the competition or the suppression upon Cedrus brevifolia plants were removed.

Figure 4. Workflow of silvicultural treatments in Cedrus brevifolia formations.

In case the cutting of a P. brutia tree belonging in the main canopy (or in another story) creates a gap that is not going to be occupied by the released Cedrus brevifolia tree(s) in the medium term and the light conditions have been altered drastically, since the free growing space was rather large, then the tree should not be cut. More intense interventions could be applied only in pure P. brutia formations with a small participation of Cedrus brevifolia trees. The only precondition is the released Cedrus brevifolia tree(s) to be robust enough in order not to succumb as a result of the sudden change in light conditions.

Application: In MXCSB, MXCSC and in pure P. brutia formations with a small participation of Cedrus brevifolia trees in SB and SC.

C. sinuosa: the treatment is referred to thinning of the groups of oak sprouts, in the cutting of all stems of the plant, in their pruning or cutting of their top. The proper application of this treatment and its intensity depend on the competition intensity imposed on the cedar plants, the position of the cedar seedlings – saplings regarding the multi-stemmed oaks and the robustness of cedar plants. In the understorey of closed formations, the reduction of competition and the removal of oak sprouts can be more intense. In open or rather open formations the removal of competition has to be more gradual. In cases where the cedar seedlings – saplings are under intense shade or competition the competition removal and the change of light conditions should be even more gradual. In worst sites the treatments referred to oaks have to be of low intensity.

P. brutia: the treatment is referred to removal of pines that compete with cedar plants or in the cutting of top or in the pruning of competitive pine trees in open or rather open formations. It is referred only to pines that impose direct competition on cedar seedlings – saplings and not in pines of the main canopy. The intensity of this treatment depends on the competition intensity, the size of the pine(s) and the robustness of the cedar plant(s) upon which the competition is imposed.

Application: In all formations of Cedrus brevifolia except PRCSA structural type.
Box 2. Silvicultural interventions in mixed formations of *C. brevifolia*.

| Structural type | Species of the trees to which the intervention was implemented | Number of the trees to which the intervention was implemented | Number of *C. brevifolia* trees which were favored | Number of *C. brevifolia* regeneration plants which were favored |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| MXCSB           | *P. brutia*                                                   | 8 (27)                                                     | 15 (50)                                        | 23 (77)                                         |
|                 | *Q. alnifolia*                                               | 18 (60)                                                    | 3 (10)                                         | 39 (130)                                        |
| MXSC            | *P. brutia*                                                   | 14 (47)                                                    | 10 (33)                                        | 26 (87)                                         |
|                 | *Q. alnifolia*                                               | 8 (27)                                                     | 0 (0)                                          | 28 (93)                                         |

In the plots of mixed formations in SB about 25% of the *P. brutia* basal area was removed (trees were cut – killed). This represents approximately 12% of the total basal area. The corresponding percentages of mixed formations in SC are approximately 16% (basal area of *P. brutia*) and 7% (total basal area). These interventions were intense in terms of *P. brutia* basal area removal, but they did not lead to a substantial increase in light intensity in the forest floor. The killing, instead of cutting, of the *P. brutia* trees worked in that direction.

CONCLUSIONS

*Cedrus brevifolia* trees can achieve large dimension and can create stands with high basal area, while they exhibit shade tolerance. *Cedrus brevifolia* is the most competitive species of the study area as a result of both shade tolerance and the wide range of its site sensitivity behavior. Regardless of the fact that *Q. alnifolia* grows in the understory exhibiting shade tolerance, it cannot prevent the establishment of *C. brevifolia* trees.

The climax of the study area are the pure stands of *C. brevifolia* having and understory of *Q. alnifolia* and a sparse occurrence of *P. brutia* mainly in moderately productive sites. Forest practice should, as much as possible, unite species formations in order to create extensive areas – formations – stands of cedar. The treatments proposed to favor the species relate to the removal of individuals of other species.
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