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Abstract

The study of the performances of the Archean of Man gneiss aggregates with the addition of filler to replace the basalt of Kasila group in the asphalt and concrete mix design of southern Sierra Leone is presented in this document. The goal is to compare the results of the asphalt and concrete mix design with gneiss and basalt aggregate. The applied methods and design used are 1) Volumetric design and Marshall method for the asphalt, 2) French Dreux-Gorisse Method for the concrete. We added 2% of gneissic filler and 2% portland cement type 42.5 R to the asphalt hot mix with the gneiss aggregates to follow the criteria variation. The Marshall, the diametric compression and the Duriez tests require us to perform four different types of mix design. The four mix designs meet the requirements but F2 and F4 give the best mechanical properties. F2 (gneiss + 2% filler) and F4 (basalt) have many similarities from which we can conclude their interchangeability. F2 gives 5255 of optimal bitumen content. In regards to hydraulic concrete, the results of the compressive strength test (cement content 350 kg CMI 42.5 R/m³) with the gneiss and basalt aggregates are respectively 40 MPa and 45 MPa at 28 days curing: these values are greater than 35 MPa required by the technical specifications. The use of the Super Fluid® Thermoplast 120 admixture, to increase the concrete compressive strength, is justified by the requirement of a minimum of 80% Rc28 at 24 hours. For both types of concrete, we have at 24 hours, 34 and 35 MPa which are higher than the minimum of 32 MPa (in 24 h). These results meet the requirements of the technical specifications.
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1. Introduction

A good road network with good infrastructure is essential to create a suitable environment for economic development. In West Africa, some economically strategic areas are still isolated due to poor road conditions.

As part of the Mano River cooperation between Liberia, Sierra Leone and Guinea, it is planned to link Monrovia (Liberia) and Conakry (Guinea) via BO (Southern Sierra Leone).

In order to connect Liberia and southern Sierra Leone, the European Development Fund has financed the Bandajuma-Mano River section, which is 103 km long.

However, the Bandajuma-Mano river project crosses the gneiss of the Archean Domain of Man [1].

It is in this context that research is being conducted on gneiss as a substitute for the long-used basalt.

To meet the objectives of this study, the following will be carried out:

a) The geological overview will provide a presentation of the local geology of southern Sierra Leone.

b) Asphalt mix Design in addition to the Marshall tests, the water sensitivity will be evaluated by the Duriez test. Using a mathematical approach, elastic modulus values will be calculated to assess the behavior of asphalt mix design with the compaction level.

c) The Concrete mix design with gneiss aggregates will allow the determination of its compatibility with Portland cement and its performance compared with basalt aggregates.

2. Materials

2.1. Petrography

Figure 1 shows the eight groups of geological units in Sierra Leone.

The archean crystalline basement of Sierra Leone consists of two groups of acidic rocks. These are older gneisses and younger granitoid. In the Archaean Craton of West Africa, there are at least three different age groups of older gneisses with ages of crystallization of U-Pb zircon of 3.5, 3.3 - 3.1 and 3.0 - 2.9 Ga. There are two main types of young granite: medium-grained biotite granite and porphyry granites and the main intrusive episode appears to have been 2.8 Ga. The structures of this domain are the results of two major paleo-Proterozoic deformations: the first results from tangential tectonics [1] at the origin of structures oriented NS to NNE-SSW. The second corresponds to a transcurrent deformation [2]. It is underlined by the setting up, around 2.1 Ga, of large sets of granitoids.

The Kasila Group is an NW-SE trending strip of highly deformed and metamorphosed amphibolites to granulite-facies metasedimentary and meta-igneous rocks of Paleoproterozoic age [3]. In Vaama area we speak of orthogneiss.
Figure 1. Simplified geological map of Sierra Leone, derived from the national map (CGS, 2004), © National Minerals Agency. Major lithologies indicated in key. The width of the country is c. 250 km, from the most easterly to most westerly point.

because it comes from the metamorphism of granite. It contains quartz, mica, plagioclase feldspars and sometimes alkaline feldspar, all large enough to be identified with the naked eye. The foliation, always present, is sometimes marked by the alternation of small light beds and darker levels (metamorphic bedding).

2.2. Mechanical Properties of the Aggregates

The two materials used in the study are basalt and gneiss. The objective is to evaluate the performance of the gneiss compared to that of the basalt.

The asphalt concrete is BBSG 0/14 with the following aggregate grades: 0/5, 5/10 and 10/14. The characterization will focus on the following tests:
- particle size analysis (NF EN 933-1),
- the absolute density (NF P 18-554),
- the apparent density (NF P 98-250-1),
- MDE wear resistance (EN 1097-1),
- resistance to fragmentation due to shock (NF EN 1097-2),
- the flattening coefficient (NFEN 933-3),
- absorption,
Figure 2 shows that the particle size analysis gives the same curves. The only difference to note is that basalt crushed sand has more fine particles (at sieve 0.075 mm the proportion passing is 14% while that of gneiss is 9.7%).

The values specified in the technical specifications are given in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the summary of laboratory results of aggregates.

Basalt is heavier than gneiss (the unit weight of basalt is greater than that of gneiss). If we compare the ACV (Aggregate Compact Value) and LA (Los Angeles) values, we see that the basalt is more resistant to shock and abrasion.

Thus, it is easier to crush the gneiss, which justifies why the flakiness index 5/10 and 10/14 classes of the latter are lower than those of the basalt.

Although gneiss absorbs more than basalt, both types of aggregates have low absorption percentages compared to the limit specified.

The sulphate and sulphide contents (1.22 and 1.18 < 12%) can't affect the chemical affinity of pebbles with cement and bitumen.

3. Asphalt Hot Mix Design with Gneiss and Basalt Aggregates

The volumetric mix design is based on empirical performance-related aggregate and mixture properties. Empirical properties are used to ensure adequate performance for lower volume pavements and to provide the formula [4].

The Marshall mix design method consists of 6 basic steps:

1) Aggregate selection,
2) Asphalt binder selection,
3) Sample preparation (including compaction),
4) Stability determination using the Hveem Stabilometer,
5) Density and voids calculations,
6) Optimum asphalt binder content selection.

Compaction with the Marshall Hammer

Each sample is then heated to the anticipated compaction temperature and compacted with a Marshall hammer, a device that applies pressure to a sample through a tamper foot.

3.1. Composition of the Mix

From Figure 2 we perform a theoretical mix for each type of aggregate. The theoretical mix is in the envelop specified.

Table 3 shows the percentage for each class of aggregate.

The theoretical and practical mixtures of the two different types of aggregates fit well into the granular envelope.

The theoretical curve of the basalt granulate is in a median position within the envelope whereas that of the gneiss appears slightly coarse. This is logical because 0/5 crushed sand basalt has more fine elements (sieve 0.075 mm has 14% passing) (Figure 3, Figure 4).
Figure 2. Sieve analysis of gneiss and basalt aggregate.

Table 1. Technical specifications of the aggregates.

| Micro Deval | Los Angeles | Flakiness | Absorption | Cleanliness | Sodium Sulfate | Sand Equivalent |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <25         | <35         | <20       | <2.5        | <5          | <12            | >40             |

Table 2. Laboratory test results of aggregates.

| Materials | Aggregates class | Unit Weight \((g/cm^3)\) | Maximum Specific Gravity \((g/cm^3)\) | Micro Deval | Los Angeles | Flakiness | Absorption | Cleanliness | Sodium Sulfate Soundness | Sand Equivalent |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Basalt    | 0/5              | 1.78                      | 2.93                                 | 0.1         |
|           | 5/10             | 1.48                      | 2.97                                 | 16          | 18          | 0.08      | 2          | 1.22        |
|           | 10/14            | 1.52                      | 2.98                                 | 14          | 18          | 8         | 0.08       | 0.9         |
|           | 0/5              | 2.62                      |                                      | 0.19        |
| Gneiss    | 5/10             | 2.64                      | 23                                   | 10          | 0.504       | 2.3       | 1.18       |
|           | 10/14            | 2.7                       | 20                                   | 29          | 6           | 0.324     | 1          |

Table 3. Theoretical composition for each type of aggregate.

| Aggregate class | Basalt | Gneiss |
|-----------------|--------|--------|
| 0/5 Crushedsand | 50%    | 52%    |
| 5/10            | 25%    | 14%    |
| 10/14           | 25%    | 34%    |

3.2. Bitumen Characteristics

50/70 bitumen is used. Bitumen is a very useful and valuable material for the construction of flexible pavements around the world.

The tests carried out to characterize the binder are: needle penetration (NF EN 1426), the softening point test (NFEN 1427), the aging test (NF EN 12607-1), the bright spot and the relative density [4] (Table 4).
Figure 3. Theoretical mix for the basalt and gneiss aggregate.

Figure 4. Grading after extraction of bitumen for the basalt and gneiss aggregate.

Table 4. Laboratory test for 50/70 bitumen.

| Standards      | Trials                | reading | Specifications |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|
| NF EN 1426    | Penetration test      | 60      | 50 - 70        |
| NF EN 1427    | Soft point            | 49.6°C  | 46 - 54        |
| NF EN 12607-1 | RTFOT                 | Weight variation % | 0.4 | ±0.5 |
|               |                       | Balance penetration (1/10 mm) | 59 | ≥50 |

3.3. Asphalt Bitumen Content

3.3.1. Test Procedure

Binder content is one of the most important characteristics of asphalt concrete. Use of the proper amount of binder is essential to good performance in asphalt concrete mixtures. Typical asphalt binder contents range from 3.0% or less (for
3.3. Lean Base Course Mixtures

Lean base course mixtures (for surface course mixtures and rich bottom layers), which are designed for exceptional durability and fatigue resistance [4].

After mixing bitumen, crushed sand, 5/10 aggregate and 10/14 aggregate for each type, we compact the specimen at 140°C (Figure 5).

3.3.2. Marshall Test: Summary of Laboratory Results

After hydrostatic weighing and Marshall stability reading, the results are given in Table 5.

The test sets are used to determine the physical and mechanical properties of bituminous mixtures, especially for the water sensitivity of bituminous specimens. One set is for preparing 80 mm specimens, the second set is for preparing 120 mm specimens according to the maximum aggregate upper sieve size. All parts are made from steel protected against corrosion [5].

Seven specimens were compacted.
- One is used to determine the bulk specific gravity;
- three specimens are immersed in water at 18°C for 7 days;
- three specimens are stored in air at 18°C for 7 days.

The results of the Duriez test are presented in Table 6. The ratio r/R is greater than 0.75 for the four types of mix, so we conclude that we have a good water resistance with the samples.

3.3.3. Calculation of the Stiffness Modulus by Diametric Compression Test

Theoretical study is based on the theory of elasticity for the computation of stress and deformation described in detail by Frocht [6]. The indirect tensile test can be modeled as follows: (Figure 6)

We can establish the following geometric relations at any point in the sample:

\[ r_1^2 = x^2 + (R - y)^2 \]
\[ r_2^2 = x^2 + (R + y)^2 \]

Assuming that the load \( P \) is applied in the same diametric plane, that the material is homogeneous isotropic and that the response is elastic-linear, the stress...
**Table 5. Marshall and Compaction test results.**

| % Bitumen/Criteria | 4  | 4.5 | 5  | 5.5 | 6  | 6.5 | Technical Specifications |
|--------------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| Stability (kg)     |    |     |    |     |    |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 1490 | 1525 | 1550 | 1540 | 1400 | -   |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | 1625 | 1712 | 1821 | 1762 |    | -   | >1000 kg                 |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | - | 1242 | 1418 | 962 | 955 | -   |                          |
| Basalt             | 1520 | 1700 | 2100 | 2000 | 1715 |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 2   | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3   | 3.45 | -   |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | -   | 2.06 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | -   |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | - | 1.97 | 2.25 | 2.5 | 2.85 | -   |                          |
| Basalt             | -   | 2   | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3   | 3.45 |                          |
| Gneiss             | 2.36 | 2.375 | 2.379 | 2.381 | - | -   |                          |
| Flow(mm)           |    |     |    |     |    |     | 2 - 4                    |
| Gneiss             | 2   | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3   | 3.45 | -   |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | -   | 2.06 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | -   |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | - | 1.97 | 2.25 | 2.5 | 2.85 | -   |                          |
| Basalt             | -   | 2   | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3   | 3.45 |                          |
| Gneiss             | 2.36 | 2.375 | 2.379 | 2.381 | - | -   |                          |
| Bulk specific gravity (g/cm³) |    |     |    |     |    |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 2.302 | 2.335 | 2.345 | 2.281 |     |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | 2.29 | 2.344 | 2.345 | 2.3 |     |     |                          |
| Basalt             | 2.574 | 2.604 | 2.601 | 2.545 | 2.603 |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 2.501 | 2.486 | 2.482 | 2.476 | 2.469 |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | 2.48 | 2.461 | 2.45 | 2.391 |     |     |                          |
| Basalt             | 2.721 | 2.701 | 2.674 | 2.661 | 2.643 |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 94% | 96% | 96% | 96% | 94% |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | 93% | 95% | 96% | 95% |     |     |                          |
| Maximum specific gravity (g/cm³) |    |     |    |     |    |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | 2.48 | 2.45 | 2.45 | 2.451 |     |     |                          |
| Basalt             | 2.721 | 2.701 | 2.674 | 2.661 | 2.643 |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 94% | 96% | 96% | 96% | 94% |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | 93% | 95% | 96% | 95% |     |     |                          |
| Compaction          | >93% |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | 92% | 96% | 96% | 94% |     |     |                          |
| Basalt             | 95% | 96% | 97% | 96% | 98% |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 5.21% | 5.1% | 4.1% | 3.4% | 3.0% |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | 7.7% | 7.1% | 6.1% | 5.2% |     |     |                          |
| Air Void           | 3 - 8 |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | 7.7% | 7.0% | 6.5% | 5.3% |     |     |                          |
| Basalt             | 8.0% | 6.0% | 5.0% | 3.0% | 2.6% |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 15 | 15.5 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 17 |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | 17.84 | 18.35 | 18.46 | 18.55 |     |     |                          |
| VMA (%)            | >15 |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | 17.83 | 18.28 | 18.8 | 18.82 |     |     |                          |
| Basalt             | 17.8 | 17.9 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 19.1 |     |                          |
| Gneiss             | 62 | 67 | 77 | 79 | 80 |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler | 56.68 | 61.19 | 67.18 | 72.14 |     |     |                          |
| VFA (%)            | 65 - 75 |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement | 56.74 | 61.47 | 65.68 | 71.93 |     |     |                          |
| Basalt             | 62 | 67 | 77 | 79 | 80 |     |                          |
Table 6. Results of the Duriez test on the four types of formulations

| Formulations | Materials                          | Compressive Strength@7 days in water r (MPa) | Compressive Strength@7 days in air R (MPa) | Rapport r/R |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|
| F1           | Gneiss                            | 4.9                                         | 5.81                                      | 0.84       |
| F2           | Gneiss + 2% filler                 | 5.00                                        | 5.90                                      | 0.85       |
| F3           | Gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement     | 5.80                                        | 7.00                                      | 0.83       |
| F4           | Basalt                            | 5.3                                         | 6.70                                      | 0.79       |

Figure 6. Model of the indirect tensile test (diametric compression test). $P$: load; $d$: diameter of specimen; $h$: height of specimen (Oz); $R$: radius of specimen; $H$: displacement according Ox.

The values are expressed at any point of the stressing plane according to the developments of deformation [7], which repeats the work of Kennedy (1945):

$$
\sigma_x = \frac{-2P}{\pi h} \left( \frac{(R - y)x^2}{r_1^4} + \frac{(R + y)x^2}{r_2^4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{d} \right)
$$

$$
\sigma_y = \frac{-2P}{\pi h} \left( \frac{(R - y)3}{r_1^4} + \frac{(R + y)3}{r_2^4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{d} \right)
$$

$$
\tau_{xy} = \frac{-2P}{\pi h} \left( \frac{(R - y)2x}{r_1^4} + \frac{(R + y)2x}{r_2^4} \right)
$$

The indirect tensile test serves to measure the deformations perpendicular to the application of the force which is made according to $\sigma_y$. We can observe that at every point $\sigma_x$ is positive and $\sigma_y$ is negative (compression). The constraints on the $\sigma_x$ axis are minimal (zero) at the edge of the sample $(x = \pm d/2)$. The
maximum stresses are in the center of the specimen, when \( x = y = 0 \). The state of constraint is then the following:

\[
\sigma_x = \frac{-2P}{\pi hd} \quad (3)
\]
\[
\sigma_y = \frac{-6P}{\pi hd} \quad (4)
\]

Similarly, the constraints in the plane \((\sigma_y)\) can be calculated according to an identical procedure. The stress \( \sigma_x \) is then a uniform traction equal to \( 2P/\pi hd \).

Considering that the stresses and deformations satisfy the law of elasticity, we can calculate the deformation \( \varepsilon_x \) at a point of the \( \sigma_x \) axis by Hooke’s law (biaxial case).

\[
\varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{E_x}\left(\sigma_x - (\nu \cdot \sigma_y)\right) \quad (5)
\]

By integrating Equations (3) and (4) in the formula and \( \varepsilon_x \) (Equation (5)), the displacement \( \Delta H \) can be expressed as:

\[
\Delta H = \int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} \varepsilon_x dx = \left( \frac{P}{h \cdot E_x} \right) \left( \frac{4}{\pi} + \nu - 1 \right) \quad (6)
\]

By simplification of Equation (6) the value of the module is:

\[
E_x = \frac{P(\nu + 0.27)}{\Delta H \cdot h} \quad (7)
\]

The modulus of elasticity is calculated using an approximate value of the fish coefficient (Di Benedetto and Corte 2004).

In our study, we consider that the fish coefficient is 0.35.

a) Mix design with gneiss granulate (F1)

The observation of Figures 7-10 shows that:
- the flow is greater than 2 mm from 4.5% of bitumen content,
- a maximum compaction at 5% of bitumen content and a VFA value > 65,
- the percentage of voids is greater than 4, which makes it very close to the median value of 5.5%,
- the module reaches a maximum value at 5% of bitumen content.

From these observations, the value 4.75% ± 0.25% is selected as the optimum bitumen content.

b) Mix Design gneiss + 2% filler (F2)

The analysis of Figures 11-14 shows optimal values, parameters commented above, between 5.0% and 5.5% of bitumen content. At 5.5% bitumen the void percentage and the modules experience a nearly identical linear regression.

The optimum bitumen content retained is 5.25% ± 0.25%.

c) Mix Design gneiss + 2% filler + 2% cement (F3)

Figures 15-18 show that between 4.8 and 5.4% in bitumen content, we have the values of creep, stability, compactness, VCB and percentage of voids that respond well to technical specifications of the project (Figures 19-22).
Figure 7. Variation of stability and flow in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss granulate).

Figure 8. Variation of compaction and VFA in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss granulate).

Figure 9. Variation of VMA and voids in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss granulate).
Figure 10. Variation of voids and modulus in relation to the bitumen content (Gneiss granulate).

Figure 11. Variation of stability and flow in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss + 2% filler).

Figure 12. Variation of compaction and VFA in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss + 2% filler).
Figure 13. Variation of VMA and voids in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss + 2% filler).

Figure 14. Variation of voids and modulus in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss + 2% filler).

Figure 15. Variation of stability and creep in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss + filler + cement).
Figure 16. Variation of compactness and VFA in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss + filler + cement).

Figure 17. Variation of VAM and voids in relation to bitumen content (Gneiss + filler + cement).

Figure 18. Variation of voids and modules in relation to Bitumen content (Gneiss + filler + cement).
Figure 19. Variation of stability and creep in relation to bitumen content (Basalt granulate).

Figure 20. Variation of compactness and VCB in relation to bitumen content (Basalt granulate).

Figure 21. Variation of VAM and voids in relation to bitumen content (Basalt granulate).
The optimum bitumen content value chosen is 5.1% ± 0.3%.

d) Mix design with basalt granulate (F4)

The mix design with basalt granulate shows these best performances in the range 5% - 6% binder content.

The optimum value of the binder content chosen is 5.5% ± 0.5%.

The results of these formulas are summarized in Table 7.

The formula F3 also meets the project specifications, but has marginal values: the stability is 1200 kg, the percentage of voids 7%, is very close to the limit 8. The module is 4000 MPa, the layer is stiffened while the compaction is 93%. These facts will cause an early loss of compactness under the effect of traffic.

The mix design F2 and F4 have more similarities in their performance.

4. Concrete Mix Design

We used the DREUX-GORISSE method. It has to determine, according to the criteria of workability and resistance defined by the specifications, the nature and the quantities of materials necessary for the batch of a cubic meter of concrete (water W, cement C, sand S, chippings g and gravel G in kg/m³).

The main parameters to be defined are: the workability and strength of the concrete, the nature of the cement and the type of aggregates.

Workability is characterized, amongst other things, by the value of the slump test. It is chosen according to the type of work to be carried out, the embodiment and the vibration methods available on site [8].

Two types of mix design are studied with two types of aggregates: basalt and gneiss (Figure 23).

Table 8 shows the laboratory test results of sand and aggregate.

a) Concrete for Box culverts, pipe culverts and line drains
Table 7. Characteristic values for optimum bitumen content by type of mix design. The mix design F1 and F2 meet the technical specifications of the project.

| Formulations | Material       | % bitumen | Stability (kg) | Fluage (mm) | VCB % | Voids % | Compaction % | Module MPA |
|---------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-------|---------|--------------|------------|
| F1            | Gneiss         | 4.75      | 1500           | 2.5         | 73    | 4.4     | 95.6         | 1600       |
| F2            | Gneiss + 2% filler | 5.25      | 1775           | 2.60        | 66.00 | 6.50    | 93.50        | 3750       |
| F3            | Gneiss + 2% ciment | 5.10      | 1200           | 2.25        | 65.00 | 7.00    | 93.00        | 4000       |
| F4            | Basalte        | 5.5       | 2100           | 2.7         | 70    | 5       | 95           | 3500       |

SPECIFICATIONS

- >4%
- >1000 kg
- 2 - 4
- 65 - 75
- 3 - 8
- >93%
- >1300 MPa

Table 8. Laboratory test results of the sand, gneiss and basalt aggregate.

| Materials     | Classes  | Specificgravity (g/cm²) | Unit weight (g/cm³) | Flakiness (%) | Fine modulus | Sand equivalent (%) |
|---------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Gneiss        | 5/14     | 2.801                   | 1.510               | 9.50          | -            | -                   |
|               | 14/20    | 2.903                   | 1.520               | 4.40          | -            | -                   |
| Basalt        | 5/14     | 2.883                   | 1.547               | 5.50          | -            | -                   |
|               | 14/20    | 2.949                   | 1.588               | 5.00          | -            | -                   |
| River sand    | River sand | 3.028                 | 1.670               | -             | 3.05         | 81.00               |

Figure 23. Aggregate grading for concrete.

The specifications are: 50 mm plastic concrete < A < 90 mm and $Rc_{28} = 30$ MPa.

The nominal strength of the target concrete will be $30 \times 1.15 = 34.5$ MPa with a slump of 70 mm. For 1 m³ of concrete we made a composition summarized in Table 9.

The mixing test is carried out under normal three-layer vibration conditions. Nine samples are demolded after 24 hours and immersed; three will be crushed at seven days ($Rc_7$) and six at 28 days ($Rc_{28}$).
Table 9. Composition for 1m³ of concrete of the two types of gravel.

| Materials | Cement (kg) | Water (liters) | Sand (kg) | Aggregate 5/14 (kg) | Aggregate 14/20 (kg) |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Gneiss    | 350         | 177            | 896       | 442                 | 759                 |
| Basalt    | 350         | 178            | 744       | 440.00              | 859                 |

The results obtained are recorded in Table 10. We note that at 28 days both mixes have results above 34.5 MPa. So, we can use the gneiss granules in place of basalt for hydraulic concrete while respecting the requirements of the specifications. The mix with basalt aggregates has a slight advantage in terms of current performance or at seven days it reached 100% of the target strength.

a) Concrete for the composite bridges

The specifications are: 70 mm plastic concrete < A < 150 mm and Rc₂₈ = 35 MPa.

The nominal strength of the target concrete will be 35 × 1.15 = 40.25 MPa with a slump of 70 mm. In addition it is indicated in the specifications that for the aprons we must have 80% of the 28 day strength.

After 24 hours the target compressive strength is Rc₁ = 40.25 × 0.8 = 32.2 MPa

To achieve this, we opt to use an admixture to boost the concrete strength.

The admixture is composed essentially of naphthalene C₈H₁₀.

Super Fluid® Thermoplast 120:

Super-plasticizer, for self-leveling concretes (SCC), water reducer, for better control of concrete slump, for fast high-strength concrete, for obtaining an anticorrosion inhibitor, for a water repellent concrete (@ 0.55 water/cement ratio), acts as anti-segregation and anti-crack agent, perfect and smooth aesthetic finish of the concrete surface.

With a delay time of up to 90 - 120 minutes.

For the same compositions of Table 8 we add 2.3% super fluid Thermoplast and increase the cement from 350 kg to 400 kg.

The results obtained are shown in Table 11.

With a dosage of 350 kg of cement and 2.3% of admixture we can’t achieve the compressive strength at 24 hrs.

However using the same amount of admixture, we increased the cement content to 400 kg/m³ and the Rc₁ of both types of aggregate are greater than 32 MPa or 80% of the Rc₂₈.

5. Conclusions

The objective of this experimental study is to evaluate the substitutional characteristics of basalt kasila group and gneiss of the Archean Man. Standardized geotechnical testing was conducted at the SLRA (Sierra Leone Roads Authority), CSE (Compagnie Sahélienned’Entreprises) and Unixs (Universal Construction, Chemicals, Commodities, Consulting & Services) laboratories.
Table 10. Compressive strength at Rc7 and Rc28.

| Materials | Compressive strength Rc7 (MPa) | Compressive strength Rc28 (MPa) | Slump (mm) |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Gneiss    | 30                             | 40                              | 75         |
| Basalt    | 34                             | 45                              | 80         |

SPECIFICATIONS

>34.5 MPa  50 < A < 90

Table 11. Compressive strength values Rc1, Rc7, Rc28.

| Materials | Compressive Strength (MPa) 24 h | Compressive Strength Rc7 (MPa) | Compressive Strength Rc28 (MPa) | Slump (mm) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Gneiss    | 34                              | 52                             | 57                              | 12         |
| Basalt    | 35                              | 57                             | 64                              | 14         |

SPECIFICATIONS

>32  >40.25 MPa  70 < A < 150

The asphalt mix design with gneiss aggregate has good characteristics which meet the technical requirements. But the characteristics of asphalt concrete with basalt aggregates are much better. The idea of increasing 2% of gneiss filler in the F1 formulation is well justified by the increase in Marshall and Duriez characteristics shown in the F2 mix design. The performance of the F2 mix design has many similarities with those of F4. By adding 2% of cement to the F2 formulation, we obtain the F3 mix which shows losses in stability (fall up to 1200 kg) and in flow. The cement behaves like an excess of filler which has a negative impact on the performance.

The study shows that with an addition of 2% filler on mix 1 we have an optimal binder content of 5.25%. The justification in adding 2% filler to the F1 mixture is demonstrated because the F2 mix is closer to the F4 mix (the Marshall and Duriez characteristics are almost identical): this allows us to use gneiss in place of the F4 basalt mix to continue to produce asphalt concrete without added transportation costs.

Hydraulic concrete mix design by the Dreux-Gorisse method with a cement content of 350 kg 42.5 R gives a simple compressive strength at 28 days of 40 MPa with aggregates of gneiss and 45 MPa with basalt granules. These values are higher than the minimum of 35 MPa required.

A cement content of 400 kg 42.5 R with the addition of second-generation additive Super Fluide® Thermaplaste 120 allowed us to achieve 80% of Rc28 at 24 hours. The compressive strength results obtained at 24 hrs are respectively 34 MPa and 35 MPa. These values are greater than the target value of 32 MPa at 24 hours.

With these results, the study confirms that gneiss can be used as a substitute for basalt to complete the remaining 50% of the Bandajuma-Mano River project.
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