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ABSTRACT

World has become very small due to software internationalism. Applications of machine translations are increasing day by day. Using multiple languages in the social media text is a developing trend. Availability of fonts in the native language enhanced the usage of native text in internet communications. Usage of transliterations of language has become quite common. In Indian scenario current generations are familiar to talk in native language but not to read and write in the native language, hence they started using English representation of native language in textual messages. This paper describes the identification of the transliterated text in cross lingual environment. In this paper a Neural network model identifies the prominent language in the text and hence the same can be used to identify the meaning of the text in the concerned language. The model is based upon Recurrent Neural Networks that found to be the most efficient in machine translations. Language identification can serve as a base for many applications in multi linguistic environment. Currently the South Indian Languages Malayalam, Tamil are identified from given text. An algorithmic approach of Stop words-based model is depicted in this paper. Model can be also enhanced to address all the Indian Languages that are in use.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Natural language processing has been an interesting area of research in machine learning. Artificial intelligence provided to the machines enables them to cope up with the native languages used by the humans. Complexity of the native languages is one of the most challenging problems to deal with the Natural Language processing. To design intelligent machines machine learning technique neural network can be used [1]. Unlike computer language keywords, meaning of the keyword changes with sentences in native languages where ambiguity is at the peak. Semantic analysis can be done with the help of corpus associated with the language.

India is a multilingual Country where in each state speaks different language. Language boundary and cultural differences make its beauty in diversity. With 22 major languages, written in 13 different scripts, with over 720 dialects, India stands to be one of the largest multilingual countries in Asia. Malayalam, Tamil and Telugu are the prominent languages in South India. Malayalam is native language of the state Kerala spoken by 38 million people, Kannada, the native language of Karnataka and Tamil, native language of Tamil Nadu and also official language of two other countries Singapore and Sri Lanka. Tamil is spoken by a total 70 million people. Apart from these languages, English has become the common language spoken in India.

In the earlier stages of computers only English language were widely used in the documents, emails and messages. To make computer adaptable to all sectors of people, even somebody who does not know English, only way out was to make computer enabled with native languages. Introduction of fonts in native
languages enabled its usage widely. Usage of Computers and Mobile Apps became widespread. Machines Translations played a vital role in converting any language to any other language. Translations are done with the help of dictionaries or wordnet of language, exact word by word translations may end in changing the entire meaning of the context.

Uppumavu is the Malayalam word that represents a kind of breakfast in South India, many of the translators available to provide translation to English interpret the word as subheading or upright flour. The major Challenge dealing with the native language is the degree of ambiguity that add on to every context making the accuracy to fall below considering word by word translations. Different architectures for performing translation task is Rule Based Machine Translation and Statistical Machine translation [2].

Current generation saw the need of transliteration than translation. People were accustomed to English Writing and Reading than their native language, where in English words took most its place. Prominence of English words in our day to day life is so high that it became convenient in substituting the words in native language. But people who were well versed in speaking native language but not that well versed in writing or reading started using native language typed in English which is called as Transliterations for ease of communication. Fact that humans are more comfortable in their Natural Language when it comes to expression of words has its application in this context. There are basically three approaches for transliteration. They are based on grapheme, Phoneme and Hybrid Approaches. In grapheme approach, it directly transforms grapheme from source to target. In Phoneme model the key is pronunciation of source language. Hybrid model uses both the grapheme and phonem model information.

Transliteration can be dated back to 1994 where major work was in the area of Arabic-English [3]. A generative model for back transliteration from English to Japanese was proposed in 1997[4]. Mathematical approximation technique using statistical model was used in English Korean Transliteration in the year 2000 [5]. An automatic character alignment method for English word and Korean transliteration is discussed in [6]. In year 2002, a hybrid model [7] was built on phontic and spelling mappings using Finite state machines. Transliteration of Arabic names in to English was done by this method. In 2004, a new framework allowing direct orthographic mapping (DOM) between two different languages, through a joint source-channel model, also called n-gram transliteration model (TM) was introduced [8]. It generates probabilistic orthographic transformation rules using a data driven approach. Phonemic interpretation, level is skipped, so that the error rate in transliteration is reduced significantly.

Sample Transliteration:

Uppumavu will be typed in English as Uppumavu.

Cross Linguistic is the usage of multiple languages in the same text. This effect is due to the influence of other languages especially English in their native language. Cross linguistic and Transliterations are the two issues that have to be addressed in the analysis of Social media text. When it comes to data analysis than language boundaries meaning of the data matters. In application like analysing the review of the products, on mining the web, we may have to analyze reviews in different languages, transliterations about the same product etc. So it is important to identify to which language the text belongs to in order to understand meaning in the text. Identification of the language in the Indian scenario is one of the toughest jobs when concerned with number of existing languages. Usage of transliteration in the social media text had made the problem even worse.

2. ALGORITHM FOR LANGUAGE IDENTIFICATION IN CROSS LINGUAL AND TRANSLITERATION TEXT

In this paper we describe algorithmic stop words based model for the identification of particular language in a text of conversation. Stop words are basically the most common words used inside a language. Procuring of the appropriate data set, is achallenging task. Social media text can be either transliterated or it can be mixture of multiple languages. The algorithm identifies the language with respect to three languages used inside the text, Malayalam, Tamil and English. Several machine learning algorithms are used for the categorization of languages in a multilingual approach. Category of the classification algorithm ranges from the simple naive bayesian approach to complex deep learning algorithms. Hybrid methodology is also followed to bring out best features among supervised algorithm and unsupervised algorithm. Stop word based model is simple method that divides the text in to language bags based on the stop words.

Algorithm for Stop word-based Language Detection Model
1. Remove the content E from I, Where I is the input text and
   
   \[ E = \{ ; . , "\"" ; < > . . . \} \cup \{ \{ \} \} \cup \{ . ! , @ , # , $ , % , ^ , \& , * \} \cup \{ + , -. , = , + , emotional icons \} \]

2. Divide the sentence S in to set W, where W is set of unique words in S

3. Invert the case of W to form set w, where w \in lowerCase(W)

4. For each \( w_i \) element of w, \( s_i \) element of S, where S is the set of transliterated stopwords of all languages
   
   \[ strcmp (w_i , S_i) = k_i \]

5. Find \( K = \sum k_i \)

6. If \( K_i = count(Z_i) \), \( Z_i \) is the count of matched stopwords of language \( L_i \)

7. Language \( L \) is identified as the one with largest \( M \) value.

---

3. DATA SET

Transliterated text of stop words of languages Tamil, Malayalam, English were collected. Sample of more than 1000 stop words in each languages were used. Transliteration of stop words are used to train the model. Cross linguistic input text was collected from Twitter, Facebook and Whatsap.

| Table 1. Sample stop word samples of English, Tamil and Malayalam |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| I                 | ru                | oru               |
| me                | endru             | oru               |
| myself            | mattrum           | samba             |
| we                | idhu              | saka              |
| ours              | Naalai            | sammamam          |
| ourselves         | kondu             | bhareye           |
| you               | embadhu           | adheham           |
| you're            | pala              | thonne            |
| you've            | saggum            | samsthana         |
| you'll            | elladhu           | kezalam           |
| you'd             | avar              | makkal            |
| your              | neam              | nimnu             |
| yours             | ulla              | nair              |
| yourself          | andha             | vare              |
| yourselves        | iver              | cheythu           |
| he                | eha               | muthal            |
| him               | michael           | devy              |
| his               | enna              | puriya            |
| himself           | tryndhu           | uchghadnam        |
| she               | sila              | thanthri          |
| she's             | en                | ennal             |
| her               | pandra            | aa                |
| hers              | vendum            | mathram           |
| herself           | vandhu            | immu              |
| its               | idhan             | kotiyen           |
| it's              | adhu              | nimnum            |
| its               | aven              | knaudhal          |
| itself            | chaan             | ippol             |
| they              | palarum           | eppol             |
| them              | ennun             | niryathoneyi      |

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Program was executed for basically two kinds of input. One input with pure Malayalam and Tamil text written in English or can be called as transliterated text of English and Tamil. Other one with combination of two languages. Output was compared with actual results to record the performance index.
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5. CONCLUSION

Performance of the algorithm is satisfactory since 80% of accurate results predicted proved correct. Performance of the algorithm depends on extensive list of stop words. In Combinational sentences correctness proved to be the least since language detection is purely based on whether word is present in stop word list or not. With the list of appropriate stops words this work can be extended to other native languages in India.
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