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In order to obtain the optimal photoelectric properties of vertical stacked MoS$_2$/Si heterostructure solar cells, we propose a theoretical model to address the relationship among film thickness, atomic bond identities and related physical quantities in terms of bond relaxation mechanism and detailed balance principle. We find that the vertical stacked MoS$_2$/Si can form type II band alignment, and its photoelectric conversion efficiency (PCE) enhances with increasing MoS$_2$ thickness. Moreover, the optimal PCE in MoS$_2$/Si can reach 24.76%, inferring that a possible design way can be achieved based on the layered transition metal dichalcogenides and silicon.

Two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (2D-TMDs) have emerging as promising candidates in optoelectronic devices owing to their intriguing properties, such as strong electron-hole confinement, as well as excellent mechanical and thermal stability$^{1-3}$. Typically, molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$), a member of TMD, possesses strong light-matter interactions and outstanding absorption ability in the range of visible light region, generating impressive applications in photovoltaics$^{4-6}$. Besides, MoS$_2$ shows considerable carrier mobility of $\sim$200 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for monolayer and $\sim$500 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for multi-layers$^{7,8}$. Meanwhile, the weak interlayer van der Waals (vdW) interactions enable large area and uniform atomic layers of MoS$_2$ to be isolated, and the elimination of dangling bonds is beneficial to form heterostructures$^{9,10}$.

In general, the successful growths of monolayer and few-layer MoS$_2$ have provoked the fabrication of MoS$_2$-based electronic nanodevices$^{3,7,9,11}$. Moreover, Si is the dominating electronic material due to its high abundance and mature processing technology. Thus, it is meaningful to realize the integration of MoS$_2$ on Si to develop practically applicable solar cells. Currently, some observations have shown that the novel photoelectric properties of MoS$_2$/Si$^{11-14}$. For example, Tsai et al.$^{11}$ reported a photoelectric conversion efficiency (PCE) of high-quality monolayer MoS$_2$ on Si substrate is 5.23%. Lopez-Sanchez et al.$^{12}$ found that the vertical MoS$_2$/Si heterostructure has an external quantum efficiency of 4.4% and expresses a broad spectral response. In nature, layered MoS$_2$/Si heterostructure establishes a built-in electronic field at the interface that helps in carrier separation for photovoltaic operation$^{15-17}$. Moreover, the passivation of surface and interface in solar cells will enhance the photovoltaic behavior due to the integration of efficient charge carrier separation/isolation mechanism$^{18,19}$. Therefore, the heterostructure composed of Si and layered materials preserve the complementary advantages of both components, providing an innovative approach to construct high-performance optoelectronic devices.

In spite of several achievements with the photoelectric properties of MoS$_2$/Si heterostructure, a systematic study to illustrate the thickness dependence of PCE is still lacking. Fundamentally, some problems should be clarified urgently at the atomic-level, including how to quantify the carrier diffusion and collection, and how to realize the optimized configurations. Therefore, in this contribution, we establish an analytical method to investigate the influence of bonding parameters on the band alignment and PCE of MoS$_2$/Si heterostructure in terms of atomic-bond-relaxation (ABR) consideration$^{20-23}$ and detailed balance principle (DBP)$^{24,25}$. Our method provides a reliable and useful way for gaining insight into the transport mechanism and photoelectric properties of two-dimensional (2D)/three-dimensional (3D) heterostructures, suggesting a helpful guidance for both fundamental investigation and device design.

In general, with the shrinking of thickness, the role of surface and interface becomes more and more important. According to ABR mechanism, the abrupt termination of bonding network can leave a high dangling bond and coordination deficiency in the end parts$^{26,27}$. Thus, the system will be in a self-equilibrium state and the strain will be occurrence, which makes some relevant quantities such as electronic density and binding energy
distinctive from their corresponding bulk\textsuperscript{20,28}. Notably, the bond strain can be expressed as: 

$$\varepsilon = \frac{d^* - d_0}{d_0}$$

where $d^*$ and $d_0$, respectively, denote the average bond length and that of the bulk. Considering the discrepancy between the surface and core interior, the average strain can be deduced as:

$$\varepsilon_{\gamma} = \sum_{i} \frac{z_i}{z_b} (\varepsilon_{\gamma} - 1),$$

where $n_s$ is the number of surface layers, $z_i$ and $z_b$ are the effective coordination numbers (CNs) of specific $i$th atomic layer and that of the bulk, $\gamma = \frac{c}{4s} D$ is the bond contraction coefficient, $D$ is the film thickness\textsuperscript{20,21,29}.

It should be noted that there are some types of procedures for fabrication of MoS$_2$/Si heterostructure, such as the synthesis of MoS$_2$ and subsequent transfer to Si substrate or direct growth of MoS$_2$ on Si, etc\textsuperscript{11–14}. The large difference of lattice structure would lead to alternating compressive and tensile strains at the interface, resulting different electronic structure and physical properties\textsuperscript{30–33}. For instance, Scheuschner \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{31} prepared MoS$_2$ layers via mechanical exfoliation of natural MoS$_2$ on Si/SiO$_2$ substrates, and observed the photoluminescence peak (PL) of MoS$_2$ shows a red-shift of $\sim 65$ meV. Liu \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{32} found that the tensile strain in MoS$_2$ is released after transfer MoS$_2$ to Si/SiO$_2$ substrate, and the global tensile strain is estimated to be 1%. Besides, the interface strain can be induced by the type of substrate and post heating/cooling of the 2D material-substrate system, etc.

In our case, we construct a prototype of vertical stacked MoS$_2$/Si shown in Fig. 1a. Noticeably, the interaction energy at the interface composed of vdW interaction energy and interface strain energy. In general, the vdW interaction can be characterized as: $U = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \mu(r)$, with $u(r) = -\Gamma[(\sigma r/\sigma)^2 - (\sigma/r)^2]$, where $i$ and $j$ represent the atom $i$ and $j$, $\Gamma$ and $\sigma$ are the constants for the attractive and repulsive interaction\textsuperscript{34}. Ignore the influence of dislocation formation, the mismatched strain is:

$$\varepsilon_m = (a_{Si} - a_{Mo})/a_{Mo},$$

where $a_{Si}$ and $a_{Mo}$ are the lattice constants of Si and MoS$_2$, respectively. Thus, the compatibility of the deformation can be written as: $\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon_M = \varepsilon_{Si}$, where $\varepsilon_M$ and $\varepsilon_{Si}$ are the mean elastic extensional strain in the MoS$_2$ and Si, respectively. Notably, the net force on any internal plane perpendicular to the interface must be zero under the condition of self-equilibrium state, obeying
\[ E_{\text{MoS}_2}D + E_{\text{Si}}D_{\text{Si}} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where \( E_{\text{MoS}_2} \) and \( E_{\text{Si}} \) are the Young’s moduli of MoS\(_2\) and Si, and \( D_{\text{Si}} \) is the thickness of Si, respectively\(^{25}\).

Naturally, the interaction potential of MoS\(_2\) can be expressed as the summation of bond-stretching energy \( E_{\text{bond}} \), the bond angle variation energy \( E_{\text{angle}} \) and the Coulomb electrostatic energy \( E_{\text{el}} \), i.e.,

\[ E_M = \sum E_{\text{bond}} + \sum E_{\text{angle}} + \sum E_{\text{el}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

where \( E_{\text{bond}} = D_b \times |1 - e^{-\alpha(h_{ij} - h_{bi})^2}|, E_{\text{angle}} = \frac{1}{2}k_b(h\Delta\theta)^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_c(h\Delta\psi)^2 \) and \( E_{\text{el}} = Cq_iq_j|h_{ij}| \), where \( D_b, k_b, k_c \) are the bond potential parameters and \( C \) is the Coulomb electrostatic potential parameter, \( h_{ij} \) is the distance between atoms \( i \) and \( j \), \( \Delta\theta \) and \( \Delta\psi \) are the changes of in-plane and out-of-plane bond angles, \( q_i \) and \( q_j \) are the partial electrostatic charges for atoms \( i \) and \( j \)

Considering the joint effect from the surface and interface, the cohesive energy is

\[ E_C = \sum_{i \leq N_i} [N_i \varepsilon_i E_{i} + N_{int} \varepsilon_{int} E_{int} + (N_i - N_{int}) z_i E_{b}] \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

where \( N_i \) and \( N_{int} \) denote the atomic numbers of the specific \( i \)th atomic layer and interface layer. \( N \) is the total numbers, \( z_i(E_{i}), z_{int}(E_{int}) \) and \( z_i(E_{b}) \) are the effective CNs (single bond energy) of the specific \( i \)th atomic layer, interface layer and that of the bulk. Remarkably, the bond order loss of an atom in the surface and interface causes the remaining bonds of the under-coordinated atom to contract spontaneously, leading to the intra-atomic potential well depression from \( E_b \) to \( E_{int} = C\cdot m E_b \) where \( m \) is the bond nature factor\(^{20,21}\).

Furthermore, the bandgap is from the crystal potential over the entire solid, and the bandgap is proportional to the first Fourier coefficient of the crystal potential, which is in proportional to the single bond energy, i.e.,

\[ E_g \propto \langle E_b \rangle = E_{int} + z \varepsilon \varepsilon N \varepsilon \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

where \( \varepsilon_b \) is the bandgap of the bulk counterpart.

Moreover, the shifts of conduction band minimum (CBM) and valence band maximum (VBM) is tightly related to the effective mass of electron and hole\(^{36,39}\). Therefore, the shifts of CBM and VBM are

\[ \Delta E_{\text{CBM}} = \Delta E_g \frac{m^*_e}{m_e} + \frac{m^*_g}{m_g} \]

\[ \Delta E_{\text{VBM}} = \Delta E_g \frac{m^*_e}{m_e} + \frac{m^*_g}{m_g} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

where \( m^*_e \) and \( m^*_g \) are the effective masses of electron and hole, respectively.

Also, the energy band alignment of heterostructure plays a critical role for determining the electronic properties. In the case of semiconductor-semiconductor interface, the conduction band offset (CBO) \( \Delta E_c \) and valance band offset (VBO)\( \Delta E_v \), at the interface are shown as

\[ \begin{align*}
\Delta E_c &= \chi_1 - \chi_2 \\
\Delta E_v &= \Delta E_g - \Delta E_c
\end{align*} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)

where \( \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \) are the electron affinity of MoS\(_2\) and Si, respectively. In the case of MoS\(_2\)/Si heterostructure, the width of space charge region is mainly determined by the concentration of carriers, i.e.,

\[ X_{\text{SC}} = \frac{2\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 (N_N + N_d^2 V_{bi})}{qN_e \varepsilon_1 (N_N + \varepsilon_2 N_d)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.7)

where \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \) denote the relative permittivity of MoS\(_2\) and Si, \( N_d \) and \( N_N \) are the ion doping concentration of MoS\(_2\) and Si. \( V_{bi} \) is the built-in potential that can be deduced as: \( V_{bi} = \Delta E_c + kT \log \left( \frac{N_N}{N_d} \right) \), where \( N_i \) and \( N_e \) represent the effective conduction band density of MoS\(_2\) and effective valence band density of Si, respectively\(^{40}\). Thus, the widths of space charge region in MoS\(_2\) and Si are: \( X_{\text{M}} = \frac{N_N}{N_N + N_{d}} X_{\text{SC}} \) and \( X_{\text{P}} = \frac{N_d}{N_d + N_N} X_{\text{SC}} \).

On the other hand, the short current density is determined by the absorbance that can be obtained through the absorption coefficient and thickness. Generally, the absorptivity of solar radiation in the heterostructure is

\[ \begin{align*}
A_1(v) &= (1 - R) \cdot 1 - e^{(-\alpha_1(v)D)} \\
A_2(v) &= (1 - R) \cdot e^{(-\alpha_2(v)D)} \cdot (1 - \alpha_2(v)D_{b})
\end{align*} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.8)

where \( v \) is the photon frequency, \( R \) is the reflectance of incident surface, and \( \alpha_{\text{abs}}(v)(m^*_e = 1, 2) \) is the absorption coefficient of MoS\(_2\) and Si, respectively. The reflectivity of interface for normal incidence is

\( R = (1 - \langle n \rangle^2) / (1 + \langle n \rangle)^2 \), where \( \langle n \rangle = n_i / n_e \) denotes the relative refractive index of interface\(^{41}\).
Notably, the absorption coefficient for a given photon energy is proportional to the probability for the transition from the initial state $i$ to the final state $f$ and to the occupied state density of electrons in the initial state, $n_i(E_i)$, and to the unoccupied state density of final states, $n_f(E_f)$, i.e.,

$$
\alpha_{nf}(\nu) \propto \sum_i \sum_f W_{if} n_i(E_i) n_f(E_f)
$$

where $W_{if}$ is the transition probability. In the case of indirect interband transition, a two-step process is indispensable because the photon cannot provide a change in momentum. Hence to complete the transition, a phonon can either be absorbed or emitted to conserve the momentum of the electrons. The phonon and photon energy satisfies: $h\nu_i = E_i - E_f + E_p$ for the phonon emission and $h\nu_f = E_f - E_i$ for phonon absorption, where $E_p$ is the photon energy. The number of phonons is given by Bose-Einstein statistics:

$$
\alpha_{nf}(\nu) \propto \frac{1}{\exp(h \nu / k_B T) - 1}
$$

(1.9)

Accordingly, the absorption coefficient for a transition with phonon absorption can be shown as

$$
\alpha_{nf}(\nu) = A_{np} \left[ \frac{(h\nu - E_f + E_p)^2}{\exp(E_p/k_B T) - 1} + \frac{(h\nu - E_f - E_p)^2}{1 - \exp(-E_f/k_B T)} \right] \quad h\nu > E_f + E_p
$$

$$
\alpha_{nf}(\nu) = A_{np} \left[ \frac{(h\nu - E_f + E_p)^2}{\exp(E_p/k_B T) - 1} \right] \quad E_f - E_p < h\nu \leq E_f + E_p
$$

(1.10)

where $A_{np}$ is the material constant.

Moreover, the differential equation of excess minority carrier density is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2n_p(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{n_p(x) - n_{p0}}{L_n^2} + \frac{1}{D_n} \int G(x, \nu) d\nu &= 0 \\
\frac{d^2p_n(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{p_n(x) - p_{n0}}{L_p^2} + \frac{1}{D_p} \int G(x, \nu) d\nu &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(1.11)

where $L_n$ and $L_p$ denote the diffusion lengths of electron and hole, respectively, $G$ is the concentration of photon generated carriers. Generally, the diffusion length of carriers is determined by the diffusion coefficient $D_{np}$ and lifetime $\tau_{np}$ of minority carrier, i.e., $L_n = \sqrt{D_{np} \tau_{np}}$ and $L_p = \sqrt{D_{p} \tau_{p}}$. In terms of Einstein equation, the diffusion coefficients are $D_n = \mu_n k_B T / q$ and $D_p = \mu_p k_B T / q$, where $k_B$ denotes the Boltzmann’s constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature, $\mu_n$ and $\mu_p$ are the carrier mobility of electron and hole, respectively.

Actually, the carrier mobility can be separated into several parts: $1/\mu = 1/\mu_i + 1/\mu_1 + \ldots + 1/\mu_k$, where $\mu_0$ is the intrinsic carrier mobility, $\mu_i$ $(i = 1 \ldots k)$ is the contributions of phonon scattering, surface roughness scattering, interface effects and so on. Generally, the phonon scattering is $\mu_{ph} \propto D m^{-1/3}$, and surface roughness scattering is $\mu_{sr} \propto \mu_{ph} \Delta^{-2} D^{1/3} m^{-1/3}$, where $\Delta$ is the root mean square roughness $^{41-46}$. Consequently, the carrier mobility can be expressed as:

$$
\mu_{h,p} = \frac{\mu_{h,p}^0}{1 + (A + B \Delta^2) \mu_{h,p}^0 E_p^{-1} D^{-1} + C \mu_{h,p}^0 E_p^{-1} D^{-1/3}}
$$

(1.12)

where $A$, $B$, $C_0$ is the constant. $^{47}$

Furthermore, the surface and interface passivation can enhance the photovoltaic behavior due to the integration of efficient charge carrier separation/isolation mechanism. For instance, the passivation of MoS$_2$ surface with Al$_2$O$_3$ dielectric layer has been demonstrated to enhance the PCE from 2.21% to 5.6% in multilayer MoS$_2$/Si solar cells. $^{48}$ Physically, the surface passivation can effectively suppress the surface recombination. Consider the recombination contributions from the bulk and surface, the effective carrier lifetime is

$$
\frac{1}{\tau_{eff}} = \frac{1}{\tau_b} + \frac{4S}{D}
$$

(1.13)

where $\tau_b$ is the carrier lifetime in the bulk case, $S$ is the recombination velocity.

**Results**

**Band shift and band alignment.** Figure 1a depicts the schematic diagram of a vertical stacked MoS$_2$/Si, and $D(D_{Si})$ denotes the thickness of MoS$_2$ (Si). Generally, the thickness of Si is micron scale and possesses bulk like properties. Figure 1b shows the evolution of bandgap with thickness of MoS$_2$. Clearly, the bandgap increases monotonically with reducing thickness, and shows an obviously leap when the thickness shrinks down to a few nanometers. Taking into account the interface effect, the bandgap of MoS$_2$ slightly decrease compared to that of
the intrinsic case. Evidently, the ratio of interface and surface atoms increases with decreasing thickness, and the bond-order loss and CNs imperfection at surface and interfaces will lead the system relax to new self-equilibrium state, resulting in the change of Hamiltonian and related physical properties\textsuperscript{20,21}. Similarly, Mak et al.\textsuperscript{6} found that the bandgap of layered MoS\textsubscript{2} possesses obvious blue-shift from 1.2 eV to 1.9 eV with thickness reducing to monolayer. In addition, the lateral size, temperature and substrate can effective modulate the optical and electronic properties of MoS\textsubscript{2}. Mukherjee et al.\textsuperscript{49,50} has firstly investigated the evolution of optical properties of MoS\textsubscript{2} nano-crystals with lateral size, and observed the direct bandgap transition in monolayer and few-layers of MoS\textsubscript{2}. The PL peaks are gradually blue-shifted with decreasing lateral size due to quantum confinement effect, demonstrating the potential of MoS\textsubscript{2}-based heterostructure for photoelectric devices.

The band alignment of MoS\textsubscript{2}/Si is shown in Fig. 2. Note that $\chi_{\text{MoS}_2} \sim 4.2$ eV, and $\sim 4.0$ eV for Si in our calculation\textsuperscript{51,52}. In the Fig. 2, we can see that the vertical stacked MoS\textsubscript{2}/Si heterostructure possesses type II band alignment with CBM located at the MoS\textsubscript{2} layer and VBM at the Si part. In detail, the CBO is 0.45 eV for bulk like MoS\textsubscript{2}/Si and 0.20 eV for monolayer MoS\textsubscript{2}/Si. In nature, the built-in field at interface facilitates the separation of photo-generated electron-hole pairs, depressing the interlayer recombination and benefit the collection of free carriers. The photo-induced electrons are preferred to stay at MoS\textsubscript{2} layer while holes prefer stay at Si layer.

Figure 2. The band alignment of MoS\textsubscript{2}/Si heterostructure. (a) Bulk MoS\textsubscript{2}/Si, (b) Monolayer MoS\textsubscript{2}/Si, (c) Schematic of band diagram.
(Fig. 2c). It is worth noting that interlayer recombination is the dominant recombination mechanism for ultrathin films, thus the rapid separation of carriers can drastically reduce the interface recombination. Interestingly, it can be inferred that the excellent light absorption and type II band alignment make the MoS₂/Si possess fascinating application in solar cells.

**Thickness-dependent carrier mobility and diffusion length.** In Fig. 3a, we can see that the electron mobility increases monotonically with increasing thickness. Similarly, several experiments and calculations indicated that the mobility increases from 20 to 110 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ rapidly as the MoS₂ layers enhances, and can be up to the bulk value beyond ~10 nm⁵³,⁵⁴. However, the hole mobility exhibits a first-rapid increase and then reduces with enhancing thickness, and reaches the maximum beyond ~3 nm. In fact, the phonon and surface roughness scattering determine the mobility for the few-layer MoS₂, while the effect of subbands plays the vital role for the thick films⁴⁵,⁴⁷.

Figure 3b shows the thickness-dependent electron and hole diffusion lengths of MoS₂. Clearly, as the thickness increases, the electron diffusion length increases monotonically and sharply, while that of hole increases initially and then decreases. In addition, it is clearly that the surface recombination reduces the diffusion length significantly, and the reduction becomes more obvious as the thickness decreases. Actually, a lot of factors such as impurity density, doping density and dielectric environment will influence the minority carrier mobility and diffusion length. Currently, the carrier motilities are limited by the impurity scattering, leading to the lower collection efficiency. Thus, it is important to explore suitable method to improve the carrier mobility since it is the dominant factor in the effective collection of free carriers and short current.

**Discussion**

Here we consider the photoelectric properties of MoS₂/Si with varying thickness under the illumination condition of AM 1.5 solar irradiation. In our case, four different surface recombination (Sₐ) and back surface recombination (S₌) have been taken into account. As shown in Fig. 4a, the short current has evident thickness dependence. For the cases of Sₐ = 0 and S₃ = 0, the maximum value appears 26.1 nm and 38.19 mA; for Sₐ = 0 and S₃ = 1 × 10⁷ cm/s, the maximum is 28.7 nm and 38.15 mA; while for Sₐ = 1 × 10⁶ cm/s and S₃ = 1 × 10⁷ cm/s, the maximum appears
7.25 nm and 32.46 mA. In fact, the bandgap of MoS$_2$ deceases with increasing thickness, reducing the threshold of generating electronic-hole pairs. In addition, MoS$_2$ possesses excellent light absorption, thus the optical absorption increases with thickness and almost reach unit. Noticeably, Wong et al. reported that the ultrathin (\(<15\) nm) vdW heterostructure can achieve the experimental absorbance more than 90%. Figure 4b plots the open-circuit voltage as a function of thickness. Clearly, the open-circuit voltage shows a slightly decrease with increasing thickness. Furthermore, the effect of surface recombination on the open-circuit voltage is obvious, while the back surface recombination has little effect.

In Fig. 4c, we can see that the PCE exhibits the similar tendency with short current density as the thickness increases. In detail, for the case of $S_n = 0$ and $S_r = 0$ the maximum PCE appears 15.05 nm and can be up to 24.76%; while for $S_n = 1 \times 10^5$ cm/s and $S_r = 1 \times 10^5$ cm/s, the maximum PCE is 6.6 nm and reaches 19.88%. Actually, this tendency is the joint effect of short-circuit current and open-circuit voltage. The carrier generation and collection enhance with increasing thickness due to decreasing bandgap and increasing optical absorption, thus the PCE increases rapidly in a few nanometers. Furthermore, with further increasing of thickness, the bandgap of MoS$_2$ approaches to the bulk rapidly, and the diffusion length possesses a slightly decrease, leading to the lower PCE. The related experimental measurements of PCEs in MoS$_2$/Si solar cells are about 5.23%\(^1\), 4.4%\(^1\), and 1.3%\(^1\), respectively. Moreover, the simulation on MoS$_2$/Si possesses higher PCE of 12.44%\(^1\). In fact, device engineering such as surface contact, doping level and impurity density will depress the carrier collection and open-circuit voltage.

Remarkably, several experiments have proved that inserting suitable insulator at the interface is an effective way to improve the photoelectric conversion. The intercalated insulator can suppress the static charge

Figure 4. Thickness-dependent $I_{sc}$ (a), $V_{oc}$ (b), and PCE (c) in MoS$_2$/Si solar cell.
transfer, reduce leakage current and tune the Fermi level of MoS₂, which suppresses interlayer recombination greatly and improves the performance of solar cells. For instance, the insert of SiO₂ in bulk-like MoS₂/Si heterostructure solar cell can effectively enhance the built-in field and promote the carriers separation, and achieve a high PCE of 4.5%18. Lin et al.36 found that the insert of h-BN into MoS₂/GaAs can suppress the interlayer recombination, and the PCE increases from 4.82% to 5.42%. However, the insert layer will block carrier separation and collection when the thickness is greater than critical thickness32. Thus, a suitable buffer and optimal thickness of the insulator would be important to obtain high-performance solar cells.

Moreover, layered 2D material can form mixed-dimensional vdW heterostructure due to the weak interlayer interaction and elimination of dangling bonds10,58. Heterostructures consist of 0D n-MoS₂ quantum dots and p-Si layer, which is beneficial to improve the collection efficiency and photoelectric conversion. Our results show that the PCE of MoS₂/Si improves as the thickness of MoS₂ increases, and exhibits an obviously drops down with continuous increase due to infinite collection length. The excellent characteristics of MoS₂/Si heterostructure demonstrate the great potential in 2D material-based solar cells.

Method

Atomic-bond-relaxation mechanism. Due to the absence of CN and the abrupt termination of bonding network at surface and edges, atoms at the surface and boundary will spontaneously shrink to the lowest energy state. In addition, at the interface formed by different materials, intrinsic strain will be generated at the interface due to mismatched lattice constants and coupling interaction at the interface. Considering the surface effect caused by under-coordinated and boundary atoms, the interface effect caused by lattice mismatch and interface coupling, as well as the strain caused by external stress or interface rotation, component doping and other factors, we develop the ABR method: the surface dangling bonds, interface mismatch, and the perturbation of external coupling, as well as the strain caused by external stress or interface rotation, component doping and other factors, will change, leading to a series of physical quantities such as charge density and band gap are different.

In summary, we explore the photoelectric properties of MoS₂/Si in terms of bond relaxation method and DBP principle. It is found that the MoS₂/Si exhibits type II band alignment with electrons at MoS₂ layer while holes at Si layer, which is beneficial to improve the collection efficiency and photoelectric conversion. Our results show that the PCE of MoS₂/Si increases as the thickness of MoS₂ increases, and exhibits an obviously drops down with continuous increase due to infinite collection length. The excellent characteristics of MoS₂/Si heterostructure demonstrate the great potential in 2D material-based solar cells.

General approach on the photoelectric properties of 2D heterostructure. Here we assume that the photons with energy greater than bandgap generate one electron-hole pair, while the photons of lower energy produce no effect. Thus, the current density can express as:

\[
G(x, \nu) = A(x, \nu)(1 - R)f_\nu t_\nu Q_\nu = \frac{2\pi(1 - R)f_\nu t_\nu}{e^2} \int_{t_\nu}^{\infty} A(\nu) \exp\left(\frac{hv}{kT}\right) - 1 dv
\]  

where \( t_\nu \) is the probability that an incident photon produce a hole-electron pair, \( f_\nu \) denotes the geometrical factor, \( q \) is the electronic charge and \( T \) is the temperature of sun.

Meanwhile, the carrier density satisfies the boundary conditions, by solving the differential equation under the boundary conditions, we have

\[
I_n = \frac{qG_oI_n}{\alpha_n^2L_n^2 - 1}
\times \frac{S_nL_n/D_n + \alpha_nL_n - (S_nL_n/D_n \cosh(d/L_n) + \sinh(d/L_n))e^{-\alpha_n d}}{S_nL_n/D_n \sinh(d/L_n) + \cosh(d/L_n) - \alpha_n L_n e^{-\alpha_n d}}
\]  

\[
I_n = qGe^{-\alpha_n d}(1 - e^{-\alpha_n X_n - \alpha_p X_p})
\]  

\[
I_p = \frac{qG_oI_p}{\alpha_p^2L_p^2 - 1} e^{-\alpha_p d - \alpha_n X_p}
\times \frac{S_pL_p/D_p \left( \cosh(d/L_p) - e^{-\alpha_p d} \right) + \sinh(L/L_p) + \alpha_pL_p e^{-\alpha_p L_p}}{S_pL_p/D_p \sinh(L/L_p) + \cosh(L/L_p)}
\]

where \( I_n, I_p \), and \( I_n, I_p \) represent the current of quasi neutral \( p \) region, space charge region and quasi neutral \( n \) region, respectively. \( d = D - X_n \) represents the thickness of quasi neutral \( n \) region, and \( L = D_n - X_p \) is the thickness of quasi neutral \( p \) region.
The equilibrium concentrations of electrons in MoS$_2$ and holes in Si will have a change related to the difference in conduction band energy between MoS$_2$ and Si. For the normalized radiative recombination current, the exponential dependence of the dissociation velocity on the band offset implies that

$$I_r = I_0(\Delta E/\Delta E_0)^{1/2}$$

Moreover, for atomically thin and multilayer TMD heterostructures, the interlayer recombination dominates the carrier recombination process due to ultrafast separate of free carries at interface. The recombination can be obtained by a combination of Shockley-read-hall and Langevin recombination. Consider the discrepancy of different regions, the dark current density induced by recombination is

$$I_{0rr} = q \left( \frac{\eta_{n}p_{n}}{\tau_{n} + \tau_{p} + B_{n}p_{n}^2} \right)$$

$$I_{0p} = q \left( \frac{\eta_{p}n_{p}}{\tau_{p} + \tau_{n} + B_{p}n_{p}^2} \right)$$

Therefore, the current-voltage relationship can be modified:

$$I = I_{sc} + I_0 \left[ 1 - \exp \left( \frac{qV}{k_BT} \right) \right]$$

where $I_{sc}$ is the short current in the heterostructure, and $I_0 = I_{op} + I_{0rr} + I_{0p}$ is the reverse saturation current. The open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) is obtained by solving Eq. (2.9) by setting $I = 0$, this leads to

$$V_{oc} = \frac{k_BT}{q} \ln \left( \frac{I_{sc}}{I_0} + 1 \right)$$

Consequently, the limiting PCE is given by

$$\eta = \frac{V_{oc}I_{sc}FF}{P_{in}}$$

where $FF = n_m^2/(1 + n_m - e^{-n_m})$ is the fill factor, and the relationship between $V_{oc}$ and $n_m$ satisfies: $V_{oc} = k_BT(n_m + \ln(1 + n_m))/q$, where $P_{in}$ is the incident power.
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