Analysis of wheat microspore embryogenesis induction by transcriptome and small RNA sequencing using the highly responsive cultivar “Svilena”
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Abstract

Background: Microspore embryogenesis describes a stress-induced reprogramming of immature male plant gametophytes to develop into embryo-like structures, which can be regenerated into doubled haploid plants after whole genome reduplication. This mechanism is of high interest for both research as well as plant breeding. The objective of this study was to characterize transcriptional changes and regulatory relationships in early stages of cold stress-induced wheat microspore embryogenesis by transcriptome and small RNA sequencing using a highly responsive cultivar.

Results: Transcriptome and small RNA sequencing was performed in a staged time-course to analyze wheat microspore embryogenesis induction. The analyzed stages were freshly harvested, untreated uninucleate microspores and the two following stages from in vitro anther culture: directly after induction by cold-stress treatment and microspores undergoing the first nuclear divisions. A de novo transcriptome assembly resulted in 29,388 contigs distributing to 20,224 putative transcripts of which 9,305 are not covered by public wheat cDNAs. Differentially expressed transcripts and small RNAs were identified for the stage transitions highlighting various processes as well as specific genes to be involved in microspore embryogenesis induction.

Conclusion: This study establishes a comprehensive functional genomics resource for wheat microspore embryogenesis induction and initial understanding of molecular mechanisms involved. A large set of putative transcripts presumably specific for microspore embryogenesis induction as well as contributing processes and specific genes were identified. The results allow for a first insight in regulatory roles of small RNAs in the reprogramming of microspores towards an embryogenic cell fate.
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limitations to enhance breed wheat breeding eventu-
ally. Numerous microarray based gene expression
studies were conducted to elucidate the major
switches from gametophytic to embryonic develop-
m e n t in various plants [5, 6]. These experiments
revealed large scale patterns in the reprogramming of
microspores to embryogenic tissues, which indicated a
reset of the transcriptional and translational profiles
to arrest gametophytic deve

Development of microspores and sampling

Donor plants of the winter wheat cultivar “Svilena”,
which is highly responsive to stress-induced microspore
embryogenesis [12], were used for anther culture as
described by Rubtsova et al. (2013) [13]. Microspores
were sampled at three stages: a) freshly harvested micro-
spores at their late, uninucleate highly vacuolated stage
(S1), b) microspores after 10 days of cold pre-treatment
exhibiting a star-like structure (S2) and c) microspores
undergoing early nuclear division (S3) based on visual
assessment (Fig. 1). These visually distinct developmen-
tal phases in microspore embryogenesis induction repre-
sent crucial stages in the acquisition of embryogenic
potential, which were elucidated in various cytological
studies [1, 7, 14]. It has been shown that microspores,
before, or immature pollen, directly after pollen mitosis
I, are most responsive for stress treatment-induced em-
10. Nevertheless, those studies were limited by the particular micro-
array platform used, which likely did not cover all
the major genes specifically expressed in the reprogramming
process of microspore embryogenesis, due to a biased
platform design focused on transcripts expressed primarily
in vegetative tissues. The advent of high throughput
transcriptome analysis allows for an unlimited global
analysis of expressed transcripts. Thus we performed
a transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) study analyz-
ing three early stages around microspore embryogen-
esis induction to elucidate transcriptional changes of
the three transitions of embryogenesis induction in
wheat, leading to first nuclear division. Recently, epigenetic
mechanisms were proposed to regulate the transition
from gametophytic to embryogenic cell fate [8–10].
Small non-coding RNAs (sRNAs) were shown to be
involved in the reprogramming of the epigenetic landscape
and transcript levels through different mechanisms [11],
and thus are putatively potent regulators. We performed
an sRNA sequencing (sRNA-seq) of the same time-series as
for RNA-seq, to allow for a comprehensive analysis of
sRNA and transcriptome expression changes and for
the discovery of putative regulatory relationships. Our
study provides the first deep sequencing-based resource
for functional genomics research of microspore embry-
genesis induction in wheat.

Results and discussion

Development of microspores and sampling

Donor plants of the winter wheat cultivar “Svilena”,
which is highly responsive to stress-induced microspore
embryogenesis [12], were used for anther culture as
described by Rubtsova et al. (2013) [13]. Microspores
were sampled at three stages: a) freshly harvested micro-
spores at their late, uninucleate highly vacuolated stage
(S1), b) microspores after 10 days of cold pre-treatment
exhibiting a star-like structure (S2) and c) microspores
undergoing early nuclear division (S3) based on visual
assessment (Fig. 1). These visually distinct developmen-
tal phases in microspore embryogenesis induction repre-
sent crucial stages in the acquisition of embryogenic
potential, which were elucidated in various cytological
studies [1, 7, 14]. It has been shown that microspores,
before, or immature pollen, directly after pollen mitosis
I, are most responsive for stress treatment-induced em-
10. Nevertheless, those studies were limited by the particular micro-
array platform used, which likely did not cover all
the major genes specifically expressed in the reprogramming
process of microspore embryogenesis, due to a biased
platform design focused on transcripts expressed primarily
in vegetative tissues. The advent of high throughput
transcriptome analysis allows for an unlimited global
analysis of expressed transcripts. Thus we performed
a transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) study analyz-
ing three early stages around microspore embryogen-
esis induction to elucidate transcriptional changes of
two major transitions of embryogenesis induction leading to
first nuclear division. Recently, epigenetic
mechanisms were proposed to regulate the transition
from gametophytic to embryogenic cell fate [8–10].
Small non-coding RNAs (sRNAs) were shown to be
involved in the reprogramming of the epigenetic landscape
and transcript levels through different mechanisms [11],
and thus are putatively potent regulators. We performed
an sRNA sequencing (sRNA-seq) of the same time-series as
for RNA-seq, to allow for a comprehensive analysis of
sRNA and transcriptome expression changes and for
the discovery of putative regulatory relationships. Our
study provides the first deep sequencing-based resource
for functional genomics research of microspore embry-
genesis induction in what.

Fig. 1 Microspores development stages sampled for RNA sequencing analysis. Brightfield micrographs of representative samples from three
developmental stages: a) freshly harvested microspores at their late, uninucleate highly vacuolated stage (S1), b) microspores with star-like structure after 10-days cold stress (S2) and c) microspores undergoing early nuclear division (S3). The vegetative nucleus becomes structured and shows cytoplasmic strands.
either case the high regeneration frequency was equivalent to the usually observed response for the cultivar “Svilena”.

**Transcriptome sequencing**

RNA-seq allows an unrestricted and global analysis of gene expression as well as the identification of unknown transcripts. To facilitate a comprehensive overview of gene expression through acquisition of embryogenic potential of microspores, we sequenced the samples in biological triplicates for each stage. All libraries were indexed with unique nucleic acid identifiers and 50 bp single end reads were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer. In total, 608,233,335 clean RNA-seq reads were generated, with individual libraries covering 55.6 Mio. to 75.6 Mio. reads (see Table 1).

**De novo transcriptome assembly and annotation**

A de novo transcriptome assembly using the Trinity de novo assembler [16] was performed based on the RNA-seq reads of all stages and replicates and resulted in 29,388 contigs with an average length of 417.87 bp. The size distribution of the contigs is shown in Fig. 2a. Our approach allows for an expression comparison as well as a functional annotation for the identification of important gene functions in microspore embryogenesis induction. We did not pursue resolving the homeologs or isoforms, this would have required a higher sequencing depth as well as longer and paired end reads. A BLASTx mapping resulted in 18,344 (62.42 %) contigs with homology to protein sequences in the NCBI nr database. The majority of contigs exhibits the highest sequence homology with Aegilops tauschii and Triticum urartu, known to be the diploid progenitors for the wheat A and D genome, respectively [17], followed by other grass species (Fig. 2b). This indicates wheat specific sequencing results without contamination and an effective de novo assembly resulting in high homology to known monocot transcripts.

We annotated the contigs by assigning gene ontology (GO) terms via Blast2GO [18] and Trinotate [19]. This annotation resulted in 13,553 (46.12 %) contigs with a homology-based annotation, with on average 7.41 GO terms per contig. Mapping of the contigs to known wheat cDNA sequences (ensembl release 26) [20] resulted in 10,919 cDNAs covered by contigs from the RNA-seq de novo assembly. A subset of 5,139 wheat cDNAs were covered by multiple contigs (on average 2.78 contigs per cDNA) most likely due to fragmented assembly of the short reads. The restructuring of the contigs to transcripts based on wheat cDNA sequences revealed 20,224 transcripts covered by our de novo assembly. The contig assignment to transcripts is listed in Additional file 1: Table S1. This restructured assembly contains 9,305 new transcripts not covered by known wheat cDNAs from ensembl release 26 [20], presumably because the specific cell-types, developmental stages and induction conditions used in the present study were not covered by previous sequencing efforts. Our dataset thus provides a valuable resource for the analysis of microspore embryogenesis. 3,206 (32.21 %) of the new transcripts could be annotated by BLASTx mapping. The top hits from BLASTx for contigs attributed to restructured transcripts are shown in Additional file 2: Table S2. After the restructuring of contigs to transcripts, a GO annotation could be derived for 8,527 (42.16 %) of all transcripts including 996 transcripts not covered by wheat cDNAs (Additional file 3: Table S3). The GO annotation resulted in a large number of transcripts with biological processes related to response to stress and abiotic stimulus, which is most likely caused by the cold-stress treatment for microspore induction. Other main biological processes covered are cellular component organization, post-embryonic development, cell cycle, cell differentiation, embryo development and epigenetic regulation of gene expression (Table 2), which might be related to the developmental shift from gametophytic to embryogenic cell fate. The complete list of GO terms for all categories is shown in Additional file 4: Table S4.

**Expression analysis**

The expression levels of all transcripts were estimated based on uniquely mapping reads to the de novo assembled transcriptome (see Table 1). To allow for a comparison of replicates and stages the expression values were quantile normalized and scaled to one million quantile normalized reads per library (rpmqn). Correlation based clustering revealed that the expression values between the replicates exhibited a high similarity for each of the three specific stages and a clear separation from the other two stages (Fig. 2c). This clearly indicates that the manually sorted cells represent
uniform samples of developmentally distinct stages. Additionally we observed a much higher overall similarity between the transcriptomes of the stages S1 and S2 than between the first two stages and S3 (Fig. 2c). This result suggests, that the stress treatment causes few but drastic changes that direct to a large-scale reprogramming in the following transition.

For the analysis of stage specific transcription, we regarded transcripts with an expression of at least 1 rpmq in all three replicates of at least one of the three stages as expressed. These thresholds revealed 14,792 (73.14 %) transcripts to be expressed in S1, an increase to 15,026 (74.3 %) expressed transcripts in S2 followed by a decrease to 13,927 (68.86 %) expressed transcripts in S3, respectively. The overlap of transcripts exclusively expressed in the stages S1 and S2 is 2,439 (12.06 %) transcripts, but only 455 (2.25 %) transcripts were exclusively expressed in the stages S2 and S3 (see Fig. 3). A core set of 11,765 (58.17 %) transcripts was expressed in all three stages. The differing sets of expressed transcripts reflect the change of developmental fate in the transcriptome. Microspores that eventually develop into embryos have been shown to undergo a step of dedifferentiation first, which is completed at the stage exhibiting a star-like structure [7]. We found 24, 7, and 666 transcripts to be exclusively expressed in S1, S2, and S3, respectively (see Fig. 3). The transcripts along with their BLASTx top hits are listed in Additional file 5: Table S5.

Interestingly, transcripts exclusively expressed in S3 cover transcripts which are known to be involved in acquisition of embryogenic cell fate, like transcript_14378 and transcript_18369 with similarity to RWP-RK DOMAIN CONTAINING 1 (RKD1), a transcription factor involved in female gametogenesis and early embryogenesis identified from isolated wheat egg cells [21]. transcript_7306 with similarity to AINTEGUMENTA-like 5 (AIL5), an AP2-like ethylene-responsive transcription factor, which is a homolog to BABY BOOM (BBM) and known to confer embryonic identity to cells [22]. transcript_11677 exhibits similarity to HIGH-LEVEL EXPRESSION OF SUGAR-INDUCABLE GENE2-LIKE1 (HSL1), which was shown to be specifically and highly expressed in early embryogenesis. Its interaction with the HISTONE DEACETYLASE 19 (HDA19) results in epigenetic repression of seed maturation genes [23]. Another epigenetic component, exclusively expressed in S3, is transcript_12642 with similarity to SHOOTLESS2 (SHL2), an orthologue of the Arabidopsis RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 6, which was shown to be involved in shoot apical meristem formation during embryogenesis [24]. Additionally, the specific expression of transcript_13594 and transcript_20002 in S3, both with homology to the DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 1A (MET1a), is in agreement with reported DNA methylation dynamics and MET1a-like gene expression changes during stress-induced microspore reprogramming [25]. Overall, the large number of transcripts with homologies to known embryogenesis related genes suggests that we have identified many more not yet uncovered genes related to wheat microspore embryogenesis induction.

**Analysis of differentially expressed transcripts**

The transitions between the stages S1 and S2 (in the following denoted as T1) as well as between S2 and S3 (named T2) represent pivotal steps in induction and reprogramming from gametophytic fate of the microspore into embryo formation [7]. The differential expression (DE) of transcripts was determined for all transcripts with

---

**Fig. 2** Results from RNA-seq transcriptome assembly and expression analysis. a Size distribution of contigs assembled from RNA-seq reads of all replicates of the three microspore stages using the Trinity assembler. b Species distribution for BLASTx top hits of RNA-seq assembled contigs against the NCBI nr database. c Correlation-based clustering analysis for RNA-seq transcript expression values between the replicates of all microspore stages
at least 2 reads per million quantile normalized reads (rpmqn) in the higher expressed stage, and a two-fold expression change in the transition between the respective stages. The expression analysis resulted in 756 DE transcripts for the first transition (T1) and 5,629 DE transcripts for T2 (Additional file 6: Table S6). In both transitions the majority of transcripts is downregulated, 66.67 % in T1 and 56.96 % in T2. 301 (39.81 %) of the DE transcripts after the cold-stress treatment in T1 exhibit also DE in T2. The proportion of the number of up- and downregulated transcripts in T1 resembles a previous microarray-based study for the effect of mannitol-treatment on microspore embryogenesis in barley [26].

The correlation-based cluster analysis of the expression stage specific expression values (Fig. 2c) suggested more differences in gene expression in T2 than in T1. These results were supported by a principal component analysis (PCA) for all DE transcripts in at least one stage transition, which resulted in a clear separation of the first two microspore stages S1 and S2 from the later stage S3, explaining 72.45 % of the variance (Additional file 7: Figure S1). The similarity of S1 and S2 in comparison to S3 in the PCA highlights that this separation pattern is not a result from higher expression variation between the replicates that could have been potentially caused by the manual sampling of the microspores, but differential expression of specific sets of transcripts.

A k-means cluster analysis for all DE transcripts was performed to uncover expression switches throughout the two stage transitions (see Fig. 4). In agreement with the expression comparison (Fig. 2c) as well as with the results from the PCA the clustering resulted predominantly in two major expression pattern clusters, with basically either up (cluster 1, 9 and 12; see Fig. 4a, Fig. 4i and Fig. 4l) or down (cluster 3 and 5; see Fig. 4c and Fig. 4e) regulation of expression between the microspore stages S2 and S3. Another expression pattern is up-/downregulation specifically after the stress treatment in T2 with reversion of the expression pattern towards T3 given for clusters 4, 6 and 7 (see Fig. 4d, Fig. 4f and Fig. 4g). Interestingly only clusters exhibiting a steady decrease (cluster 10 and 11; see Fig. 4j and Fig. 4k) but none for steady increase of gene expression could be observed. Changes in gene expression either up or down in T1 is given only for a smaller number of transcripts (cluster 2 and 8; see Fig. 4b and Fig. 4h).

| GO term                                   | GO description                                         | Number of transcripts |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| GO:0009987      cellular process          |                                                        | 3037                  |
| GO:0009058      biosynthetic process      |                                                        | 1457                  |
| GO:0006950      response to stress        |                                                        | 1373                  |
| GO:0016043      cellular component organization |                                             | 1364                  |
| GO:0008610      transport                 |                                                        | 1232                  |
| GO:0009056      catabolic process         |                                                        | 1197                  |
| GO:0008152      metabolic process         |                                                        | 1147                  |
| GO:0006139      nucleobase-containing compound metabolic process | | 1094                  |
| GO:0006464      cellular protein modification process | | 1094                  |
| GO:0006528      response to abiotic stimulus |                                            | 913                   |
| GO:0005975      carbohydrate metabolic process |                                        | 763                   |
| GO:0008150      biological process        |                                                        | 757                   |
| GO:0006350      transcription, DNA-templated |                                             | 701                   |
| GO:0007275      multicellular organisinal development | | 690                   |
| GO:0019538      protein metabolic process  |                                                        | 676                   |
| GO:0006259      DNA metabolic process      |                                                        | 587                   |
| GO:0009791      post-embryonic development |                                             | 578                   |
| GO:0000003      reproduction              |                                                        | 541                   |
| GO:0006269      lipid metabolic process   |                                                        | 517                   |
| GO:0007165      signal transduction       |                                                        | 512                   |
| GO:0007049      cell cycle                |                                                        | 498                   |
| GO:0006553      anatomical structure morphogenesis |                                           | 498                   |
| GO:0006070      response to biotic stimulus |                                            | 482                   |
| GO:0006412      translation               |                                                        | 427                   |
| GO:0006519      cellular amino acid metabolic process | | 376                   |
| GO:0009719      response to endogenous stimulus |                                        | 369                   |
| GO:0030154      cell differentiation      |                                                        | 356                   |
| GO:0009008      flower development        |                                                        | 329                   |
| GO:0007900      embryo development        |                                                        | 302                   |
| GO:0006091      generation of precursor metabolites and energy | | 277                   |
| GO:0040029      regulation of gene expression, epigenetic | | 256                   |
| GO:0016049      cell growth               |                                                        | 224                   |
| GO:0019748      secondary metabolic process |                                             | 209                   |
| GO:0006355      regulation of transcription, DNA-templated | | 183                   |
| GO:0005114      oxidation-reduction process |                                             | 180                   |
| GO:0006351      transcription, DNA-templated |                                             | 170                   |
| GO:0006468      protein phosphorylation   |                                                        | 149                   |
| GO:0006886      intracellular protein transport |                                         | 118                   |
| GO:0006505      response to external stimulus |                                        | 112                   |
| GO:0008219      cell death                |                                                        | 109                   |
| GO:0005085      transmembrane transport   |                                                        | 107                   |
| GO:0006457      protein folding           |                                                        | 100                   |
Table 3: Overrepresented biological processes of transcript expression clusters

| Cluster | GO term | GO term description | Number of transcripts | Enrichment p-value |
|---------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1       | GO:0006259 | DNA metabolic process | 90                    | <10^{-6}           |
| 1       | GO:0007049 | cell cycle           | 78                    | <10^{-6}           |
| 1       | GO:0007018  | microtubule-based movement | 12                  | 3 · 10^{-6}        |
| 1       | GO:0007067  | mitotic nuclear division | 12                  | 3 · 10^{-6}        |
| 1       | GO:0040029  | regulation of gene expression, epigenetic | 44                  | 1.1 · 10^{-5}      |
| 1       | GO:0043531  | ADP binding          | 16                    | 1.6 · 10^{-5}      |
| 1       | GO:006275   | regulation of DNA replication | 12                  | 7.9 · 10^{-5}      |
| 1       | GO:0003677  | DNA binding          | 111                   | 9.6 · 10^{-5}      |
| 1       | GO:0004803  | transposase activity | 8                     | 1.86 · 10^{-4}     |
| 1       | GO:0006260  | DNA replication      | 15                    | 2.86 · 10^{-4}     |
| 1       | GO:0003774  | motor activity       | 12                    | 3.81 · 10^{-4}     |
| 1       | GO:0006418  | tRNA aminoacylation for protein translation | 10                 | 7.99 · 10^{-4}     |
| 1       | GO:0008017  | microtubule binding  | 6                     | 9.88 · 10^{-4}     |
| 1       | GO:0006313  | transposition, DNA-mediated | 7                  | 1.01 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:0007131  | reciprocal meiotic recombination | 9                   | 1.21 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:000911   | cytokinesis by cell plate formation | 11                 | 1.69 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:006281   | DNA repair           | 18                    | 2.16 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:0010332  | response to gamma radiation | 7                    | 2.95 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:0006261  | DNA-dependent DNA replication | 7                  | 3.88 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:000909   | regulation of flower development | 13                | 4.31 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:0003676  | intracellular protein transport | 77                 | 5.49 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:0006886  | intercellular protein transport | 19                | 6.59 · 10^{-3}     |
| 1       | GO:016043   | cellular component organization | 140               | 8.09 · 10^{-3}     |
| 5       | GO:0009987  | cellular process     | 205                   | 5.18 · 10^{-4}     |
| 5       | GO:019538   | protein metabolic process | 59                 | 5.25 · 10^{-4}     |
| 5       | GO:0051603  | proteolysis involved in cellular protein catabolic process | 5                  | 1.3 · 10^{-3}      |
| 5       | GO:005839   | proteasome core complex | 5                     | 1.75 · 10^{-3}     |
| 5       | GO:0006810  | transport            | 91                    | 3.29 · 10^{-3}     |
| 9       | GO:0009220  | pyrimidine ribonucleotide biosynthetic process | 7                 | 1.1 · 10^{-4}      |
| 9       | GO:003735   | structural constituent of ribosome | 12                 | 3.43 · 10^{-4}     |
| 9       | GO:0006412  | translation          | 25                    | 3.16 · 10^{-3}     |
| 9       | GO:0006094  | gluconeogenesis      | 5                     | 3.68 · 10^{-3}     |
| 9       | GO:0009560  | embryo sac egg cell differentiation | 5                 | 5.98 · 10^{-3}     |
| 10      | GO:009058   | biosynthetic process | 85                    | <10^{-6}           |
| 10      | GO:006629   | lipid metabolic process | 42                  | <10^{-6}           |
| 10      | GO:009987   | cellular process     | 138                   | 4.7 · 10^{-5}      |
| 10      | GO:009056   | catabolic process    | 65                    | 1.17 · 10^{-4}     |
| 10      | GO:019748   | secondary metabolic process | 19                | 1.37 · 10^{-4}     |
| 10      | GO:008152   | metabolic process    | 62                    | 2.1 · 10^{-4}      |
| 10      | GO:005975   | carbohydrate metabolic process | 44                | 5.72 · 10^{-4}     |
| 10      | GO:019538   | protein metabolic process | 39                 | 1.17 · 10^{-3}     |
| 10      | GO:006991   | generation of precursor metabolites and energy | 19           | 3.9 · 10^{-3}      |
| 10      | GO:006519   | cellular amino acid metabolic process | 23              | 6.15 · 10^{-3}     |
| 11      | GO:009058   | biosynthetic process | 60                    | <10^{-6}           |
components such as the Argonaute genes AGO4 (transcript_3992), AGO5 (transcript_1301) and AGO6 (transcript_2354), the dicer-like gene DCL3 (transcript_378), a large number of chromatin remodelling factors, such as various DNA-methyltransferases (DDM1, transcript_1568; DRM2, transcript_1831; METH1, transcript_3460; CMT3, transcript_6805), histone methyltransferases (NSD3, transcript_633; SUVH1, transcript_1921; SUVR5, transcript_1884) as well as the histone deacetylase (HD2A, transcript_5605) in cluster 1. The opposing cluster 3 contains DCL1 (transcript_3100), the DNA-methyltransferase (DRM1, transcript_5286), as well as various histone deacetylases (HDA6, transcript_4537; HDA19, transcript_2818). The histone deacetylases HDA6 and HDA19 have been shown to be suppressors of embryonic properties [27] and thus were rightly found in cluster 3. Likewise, changes in histone methylation and acetylation are associated with cell totipotency during microspore reprogramming to embryogenesis [9]. In agreement with other studies on androgenesis in various species [8–10] the large number of epigenetic components we found to be differentially expressed between the stages highlights their importance in the reprogramming of immature microspores to embryogenic cell fate.

Interestingly, homologues of previously discussed embryogenesis-marker genes are covered by the de novo assembled transcripts, such as SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RELATED KINASE 1 (SERK1) [7] or LATE EMBRYO ABUNDANT (LEA) [28]. Unexpectedly, we found SERK1 with highest expression in fresh microspores and the expression level decreases through both transitions. This is in agreement with the finding that SERK1 was essential for male gametophyte production [29] and indicates that its expression pattern is not exclusively attributed to embryogenic reprogramming. We found LEA to be expressed at low levels in all three stages without any significant changes in expression levels neither after induction-treatment (T1) nor towards induced embryogenesis (T2). Thus the transcription profiles of these known embryogenesis-marker genes do not indicate their involvement in the reprogramming of wheat microspores.

**GO enrichment analysis**

To further functionally characterize the stage transitions and expression clusters we performed a GO enrichment analysis for DE transcripts. The full results are listed in Additional file 8: Table S7 and Additional file 9: Table S8.
for the transitions and the expression pattern clusters, respectively. Additionally, major enrichments of the expression clusters are shown in Table 3. In T1, GO terms were only found to be enriched for downregulated transcripts, namely, amongst others, “carbohydrate metabolic process”, “vacuole”, and “response to stress”, which all likely represent the dedifferentiation of the microspores due to the inductive treatment. A large set of GO terms overlaps among the downregulated transcripts in both transitions such as “generation of precursor metabolites” and “energy”, “lipid metabolic process”, “metabolic process”, “catabolic process”, “biosynthetic process”, and “response to abiotic stimulus”, which presumably represent sustained dedifferentiation of the microspores from the microgametophytic pathway. The set of GO terms for upregulated transcripts in T2 contains the general terms protein binding, DNA binding and nucleic acid binding, most likely reflecting initiation of embryogenic transcription and protein machinery. Likewise, the GO terms “cell cycle”, “cellular component organization” as well as numerous microtubule and mitosis related terms, “histone H3K9 methylation”, “DNA methylation” and “histone phosphorylation” were enriched among upregulated transcripts in T2. The indicated downregulation of metabolic and biosynthetic processes in both transitions with concurrent upregulation of chromatin modifications and organization of cellular components as well as the cell cycle in T2 is in agreement with a cell cycle arrest, which was suggested to be required for the reprogramming to embryogenic fate before the cell cycle is again released [1]. The GO term “H3K9 methylation” for upregulated transcripts in T2 is in accord with the finding of increased H3K9 methylation in embryo-like structures as compared to microspores [9].

Cluster 1 exhibits an enrichment for various GO-terms reflecting karyokinesis, the microspores are undergoing in T2, such as “microtubule binding”, “cytokinesis by cell plate formation”, “DNA-dependent DNA replication” and “cytoskeleton”. The stress-induced rearrangement of the cytoskeleton followed by a symmetric division of the microspore has been described in various studies as initial
steps towards microspore embryogenesis (see review [2]). Although cluster 3 is the second largest cluster, it is only enriched for the single GO-term “endoplasmic reticulum”. That there are no other terms enriched, might reflect that the downregulation of transcript expression in T2 covers a multitude of functions and processes. In contrast, cluster 5 with a similar expression pattern but continuous downregulation in T1 and T2 has an enrichment for numerous GO-terms for protein related processes, such as “protein metabolic process”, “proteolysis involved in cellular processes”, “Golgi apparatus” and “proteasome core complex”, which might reflect the previously described degradation of gametophytic cell fate-related proteins to allow for a reprogramming towards embryogenesis. Especially, the enrichment for “Golgi apparatus” might resemble findings in *Brassica napus* where autophagy and cytoplasmic cleaning by excretion was found to be unique to microspores undergoing reprogramming to an embryogenic fate: In contrast to non-responding microspores, freshly isolated microspores at the vacuolated stage, which were optimal for induction, exhibit Golgi-stacks [30]. Surprisingly, the corresponding genes show equal expression levels in untreated isolated microspores and after the stress-treatment. This might indicate an early transcriptional stress response to the mannitol buffer and would fit to a similar observation by Marashin *et al.* [3]. Cluster 9 is enriched for GO-terms related to transcription and translation: “structural constituent of ribosome”, “ribosome”, “DNA binding”, “translation” and “DNA-directed RNA polymerase activity” and is likely related to the establishment of an embryogenic program. Interestingly, cluster 9 exhibits also enrichment for the GO-term “embryosac egg cell differentiation”, which might be indicative for the reprogramming of the microgametophytic pathway. Although cluster 10 and 11 represent progressive downregulation of transcripts and both cover only a relative small amount of DE transcripts, they exhibit enrichments for a large number of GO-terms related to catalytic activity and various metabolic processes, which might relate to downregulation of microgametophytic pathways. The additional enrichment for various stress-related terms, such as “oxidation-reduction process”, “response to abiotic stimulus”, “response to stress” and interestingly “embryo development” in cluster 11 was unexpected, since it has been shown, that the anther pre-treatment activates plant defense gene expression in response to mannitol solution and cold stress treatment [31]. Considering the decreasing expression levels with initiated embryogenesis the latter GO term most likely represents suppressors of embryo development.

### sRNA sequencing results

The sRNA-seq resulted in 92.54 Mio. clean reads, with 9.71 Mio. to 10.79 Mio. reads per library (see Table 1). In total 19.63 Mio. distinct sequences were obtained, with 1.8 Mio. to 4 Mio. distinct sequences per library (see Table 1). The sRNA length distribution exhibits a peak at 24-nt for all replicates of all three stages, representing the most abundant short interfering RNAs (siRNA). However, two of the three replicates from S1 showed a smaller fraction of 24-nt sRNAs (Fig. 5a). The length distribution of distinct sRNA reads exhibited an additional peak for 21-nt sRNAs (Fig. 5b), a fraction of which most likely represents microRNAs (miRNA). The fraction of 24-nt sRNAs exhibited a higher variability than given for the total sRNA length distribution in contrast to the sRNA lengths from 15-nt to 20-nt as well as 25-nt to 28-nt, which, except of 20-nt sRNAs, are not representing known functionally active sRNA classes [32].

### sRNA expression analysis

Distinct sRNAs were defined as expressed if their expression was equal or higher than 1 rpmqn, this criterion was satisfied for 63,880 to 70,478 sRNAs per library. A comparison of expression values between all replicates of the three stages revealed a high similarity for the three replicates of each stage (Fig. 5c), again reflecting the overall uniformity of the biological replicates beside the differences in abundance of RNAs of specific length. The variance between replicates for sRNAs is higher than for transcripts. A possible explanation provides the less specific generation of siRNAs from various genomic loci in contrast to the defined gene loci of transcripts. In contrast to the transcript expression, the correlation between the replicates revealed drastic expression changes from S1 to S2 as well as S2 to S3, as the replicates of S2 are less correlated to S1 and S3 than S1 and S3 to each other. This drastic difference to transcript expression pattern might be explainable by stress-induced activation of transposons resulting in the generation of new sets of siRNAs and delayed effects on gene expression by *de novo* methylation of target TEs [33, 34]. Furthermore this difference might be attributed to the different isolation procedures of microspores for mRNA and sRNA sequencing: In contrast to individual selection of microspores with specific morphology for mRNA sequencing and sRNA sequencing: In contrast to individual selection of microspores with specific morphology for mRNA sequencing (Fig. 1), the gradient centrifugation, we used to isolate microspores for sRNA sequencing, enrich for living microspores only and thus more likely include sRNAs from microspores undergoing cell fates other than embryogenesis.

DE sRNAs were determined from all sRNAs with an expression level of at least 2 rpmqn in the higher expressed stage, a minimum of two-fold expression change. The expression analysis with these thresholds resulted in 867 DE sRNAs for T1, with 830 (95.73 %) being upregulated and 37 (4.27 %) being downregulated...
The upregulated sRNAs account primarily to 24-nt sRNAs while the downregulated sRNAs are scattered from 19-nt to 23-nt (Fig. 5d). For T2 13,108 DE sRNAs were identified in total, with 8,868 (67.65 %) being upregulated and 4,240 (32.35 %) being downregulated (Additional file 10: Table S9, Additional file 11: Table S10). In T2, 24-nt sRNAs accounted for the majority of up as well as downregulated sRNAs. Furthermore, the downregulated sRNAs exhibited a high fraction of 21-nt sRNAs (Fig. 5e). 304 of the DE sRNAs overlap between T1 and T2 that all are of 24-nt length.

In the various developmental stages analyzed, 66 of 119 known mature miRNAs of wheat listed in miRBase release 21 [35] were found to be expressed. Three of these (tae-miR9669-5p, tae-miR397-5p, and tae-miR9658-3p) showed upregulation, whereas one (tae-miR9672b) showed downregulation in T2. Consistent with a putative role in microspore embryogenesis, which involve the generation of undifferentiated multicellular structures at first, miR397 was shown to be highly expressed in undifferentiated but not in differentiated rice embryogenic calli from somatic tissues [36]. Interestingly, miR397 is upregulated under cold conditions [37] and overexpression resulted in higher cold stress tolerance in Arabidopsis [38]. In wheat microspores, cold inducibility of miR397 might be reduced or delayed, since we revealed no upregulation in S2 right after the cold stress treatment but in the later stage S3. Another miRNA, which might be involved in the regulation of androgenesis, is tae-miR9658, since it was shown to be highly expressed in developing grains but less abundant in vegetative tissues [39].

**Prediction of sRNA target transcripts**

To identify potential regulatory effects of sRNAs on mRNAs we predicted sRNA targets among the assembled transcripts for all DE sRNAs. The target prediction resulted in 97 putative target transcripts for DE sRNAs in T1 and 1,179 putative sRNA target transcripts in T2. Five sRNA/target pairings in T1 exhibited DE transcripts and a strong negative correlation between sRNA and target expression. All these targets were downregulated from S1 to S2. For T2, we found 251 DE target transcripts of which 133 exhibit a strong negative correlation
with the targeting sRNA expression values, 86 of these targets were up and 47 were downregulated from S2 to S3 (Additional file 12: Table S11). No DE targets could be identified among the assembled contigs for any of the 4 DE known miRNAs listed in miRBase release 21 [35].

Although we used different microspore isolation procedures for mRNA and sRNA sequencing, both datasets are highly related by identical tissue culture conditions and staging. Thus, a negative correlation between sRNA and targeted transcript expression should support the involvement of the sRNA in regulation of the target transcript either by post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) or transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) [40]. The targeted transcripts identified include a number of genes indicated to be involved in embryogenesis or even androgenesis, such as HDA19 (transcript_2818), as already discussed in the context of the DE transcripts analysis, which is downregulated in T2. HDA19 has been shown to contribute to the repression of embryogenesis-related genes after germination [27]. It has been furthermore shown that histone deacetylase inhibitors promote totipotency to microspores [41]. Chromatin modifications are suggested to be required for the accessibility of embryogenesis-related genes [9]. Another interesting gene is PROLIFERA (PRL), represented by transcript_9383, which is upregulated in T2. PRL has been shown to be involved in megaspore and embryo development but not in the developing microgametophyte [42] and thus might represent the deviation from the gametophytic cell fate. TaCer1 (transcript_178), which is highly increased in microspores after stress treatment (S2) and is 528-fold downregulated in T2, represents ECERIFERUM1 a putative decarbonylase involved in cuticular wax biosynthesis from very long chain fatty acids (VLCFA), which can be regulated by various stresses [43]. It was suggested that Cer1 is involved in stress tolerance by modulating the aldehydes/alkanes ratio of cuticular wax in wheat as well as other plants [43–46]. A previous study suggested the involvement of wax biosynthesis from VLCFA in wheat microspore embryogenesis as a pathway resulting in signal molecules leading to controlled cell division in absence of surrounding tissue [47]. Overall, only 48 of 666 DE target genes potentially regulated by sRNAs obtained an annotation. We expect the remaining set of transcripts to contain important regulatory genes involved in the alteration of cell fate towards embryogenic development, not yet discovered by other studies.

Prediction of miRNA precursors
To differentiate between potential PTGS and TGS, miRNA precursors on wheat genomic sequences were predicted. The prediction of miRNA precursors (pre-miRNA) revealed in total 1,717 distinct candidates (Additional file 13: Table S12). 39 pre-miRNAs candidates showed homology to known pre-miRNAs (Table 4). The comparison of the predicted mature miRNAs with the identified sRNA/target pairs revealed two putative miRNAs, namely sRNA 1167446 potentially targeting transcript_177 with high homology to the Aegilops tauschii predicted protein F775_10365 of unknown function and sRNA 620283 target

### Conclusions

Our study provides the first large-scale transcriptome dataset for microspore embryogenesis induction in wheat. The de novo assembly and mapping to public wheat cDNA sequences resulted in a high number of novel transcripts. A major part of these might be presumably largely specific transcripts for microspore embryogenesis induction. A GO annotation revealed a large fraction of stress as well as embryo development related transcripts. Many transcripts were found to be specifically expressed in microspores undergoing their first visible nuclear division, numerous with functions in embryogenesis or epigenetic mechanisms. While the inductive treatment resulted in 756 DE transcripts, the following transition resulting in first nuclear divisions exhibits a larger set of 5,269 DE transcripts. A GO enrichment analysis for the DE transcripts revealed metabolism and biosynthesis related transcripts to be downregulated in both stage transitions whereas chromatin related transcripts were found to be enriched and thus represent a dedifferentiation of the developing microspore followed by a reprogramming towards embryogenic development. The sRNA sequencing mirrors the transcriptome in terms of numbers with 867 DE sRNAs in the first and 13,108 DE sRNAs in the second stage transition. Prediction of sRNA targets identified a large number of putative target transcripts, which contained genes previously shown to be involved in microspore or zygotic embryogenesis. Our results suggest epigenetic mechanisms related to TGS...
rather than miRNA-based PTGS to be largely involved in the reprogramming of the microspore developmental fate. These results and the generated sequencing resources will contribute to a deeper understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in the induction of wheat microspore embryogenesis.

Methods

Plant material

The Bulgarian winter wheat cultivar "Svilena", European Wheat Database accession: BG 2001-TRT-AE-125 [48], which is highly responsive to stress-induced microspore embryogenesis [12] was used for this study. Seeds of this cultivar were initially provided to us by the Institute of Wheat and Sunflower "Dobrudja", General Toshevo, Bulgaria and propagated by us thereafter. Donor plants were grown as described in Rubtsova et al. 2013 [13]. The inductive pre-treatment of spikes for 10 days and the following in vitro culture of anthers was performed as described in Rubtsova et al. 2013 [13].

Microspore isolation

Two different methods were used for microspore isolations: a) manual sampling for small sample sizes and b) purification of microspores using gradient centrifugation for bigger sample sizes. Three stages of microspore embryogenesis were isolated by both methods. For transcriptome analysis, microspores were manually sampled under sterile conditions using a 10x objective (100x magnification) and an Eppendorf CellTram vario (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). The first stage (S1) represented untreated microspores at the late vacuolated uninucleate stage and were isolated from freshly harvested spikes. At the second time point (S2), slightly enlarged microspores with a star-like structure were sampled directly after a 10-days cold pre-treatment of the spikes. For the third stage (S3), enlarged microspores with a star-like structure were sampled directly after a 10-days cold pre-treatment of the spikes. For the third stage (S3), enlarged microspores with a star-like structure were sampled directly after a 10-day cold pre-treatment of the spikes.

For transcriptome analysis, microspores were manually sampled under sterile conditions using a 10x objective (100x magnification) and an Eppendorf CellTram vario (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). The first stage (S1) represented untreated microspores at the late vacuolated uninucleate stage and were isolated from freshly harvested spikes. At the second time point (S2), slightly enlarged microspores with a star-like structure were sampled directly after a 10-days cold pre-treatment of the spikes. For the third stage (S3), enlarged microspores with a star-like structure were sampled directly after a 10-days cold pre-treatment of the spikes. For the third stage (S3), enlarged microspores with a star-like structure were sampled directly after a 10-days cold pre-treatment of the spikes.

Table 4

| Predicted pre-miRNA | BLASTn top hit in miRBase release 21 | Organism        |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| miRCandidate1467    | osa-MIR159e                          | Oryza sativa    |
| miRCandidate1       | ata-MIR166a                          | Aegilops tauschii|
| miRCandidate1079    | ata-MIR166b                          | Aegilops tauschii|
| miRCandidate770     | ata-MIR166e                          | Aegilops tauschii|
| miRCandidate1062    | bdi-MIR166g                          | Brachypodium distachion |
| miRCandidate923     | bdi-MIR166g                          | Brachypodium distachion |
| miRCandidate1425    | osa-MIR168a                          | Oryza sativa    |
| miRCandidate437     | ata-MIR393                           | Aegilops tauschii|
| miRCandidate1319    | osa-MIR396c                          | Oryza sativa    |
| miRCandidate675     | ata-MIR398f                          | Aegilops tauschii|
| miRCandidate90      | tae-MIR1122a                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1040    | tae-MIR1128                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1068    | tae-MIR1128                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1370    | tae-MIR1128                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate30      | tae-MIR1128                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate342     | tae-MIR1128                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate45      | tae-MIR1128                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate941     | tae-MIR1128                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1469    | tae-MIR1135                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1585    | tae-MIR1135                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate471     | tae-MIR1135                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate720     | tae-MIR1135                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1023    | tae-MIR1136                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1678    | tae-MIR1136                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1641    | tae-MIR5048                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1033    | tae-MIR5084                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1332    | tae-MIR5084                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate171     | tae-MIR9653a                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate166     | tae-MIR9653b                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate177     | tae-MIR9653b                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate823     | tae-MIR9657c                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate689     | tae-MIR9661                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate309     | tae-MIR9671                          | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1069    | tae-MIR9672a                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1146    | ata-MIR9674a                         | Aegilops tauschii |
| miRCandidate883     | tae-MIR9674a                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate892     | tae-MIR9674a                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate930     | ata-MIR9674a                         | Aegilops tauschii |
| miRCandidate884     | tae-MIR9674b                         | Triticum aestivum |
| miRCandidate1355    | tae-MIR9777                          | Triticum aestivum |
optimize the concentration and purity of the individual developmental microspore stages S1 – S3. Since the amount of responding microspores is reduced with each stage, the number of spikes had to be adapted, e.g. for S3 up to 24 spikes were used for one isolation. For optimized microspore isolation, anthers were collected in 0.4 M mannitol, homogenized, sieved and centrifuged at 98 g for 5 min to pellet the microspores. The precipitate was concentrated and washed 2 times in 0.4 M mannitol at 98 g for 5 min. The resuspension was stacked on 20 % maltose and centrifuged at 98 g for 4 min. During this step, all cell debris and non-viable microspores were discarded. The interphase was washed with 0.4 M mannitol and centrifuged at 98 g for 10 min before resuspending in a small amount of 0.4 M mannitol. The purity of each isolation was checked by light microscopy and the concentration of microspores was determined by counting microspores in 1 μl suspension in triplicate.

**RNA preparation and sequencing**

For RNA-seq and sRNA-seq, 3 biological replicates for each of the 3 stages were used. For RNA-seq, mRNA was isolated from 100 hand-sorted microspores and cDNA libraries were generated according to the protocol described in Lê et al. 2005 [49]. RNA-seq library preparation of the sample replicates indexed with unique nucleic acid identifiers was performed using Illumina Nextera DNA Library Kit (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). For sRNA-seq, total RNA was isolated using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA) from ~100,000 gradient-sorted microspores. The quality of the RNA samples was tested and verified by BGI Tech Solutions Co., Ltd. (Hong Kong) on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) prior to sequencing library generation with the TrueSeq Small RNA Library Preparation Kit. Illumina sequencing of 50 bp single-end reads was performed on Illumina Hi-Seq 2000 instruments (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) by BGI Tech Solutions Co., Ltd. (Hong Kong).

**Sequencing data processing**

The RNA-seq data was generated with CASAVA 1.8.1 (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) and initially processed by BGI Tech Solutions Co., Ltd. (Hong Kong) to remove adapter sequences, contamination and low-quality reads. The preprocessed RNA-seq reads were quality-trimmed to 99.9 % sequencing quality using a custom Java program using the Picard API [50]. Trimmed reads with less than 40 bp were discarded. The sRNA-seq reads were trimmed from adapter sequences and quality-trimmed to 99.9 % sequencing quality. All sRNA reads longer than 15 nt were retained for further analysis.

**Transcriptome de novo assembly and annotation**

All trimmed RNA-seq reads from all replicates of all three stages were merged and used for de novo assembly of a wheat microspore embryogenesis transcriptome. The assembly was performed using Trinity version r2013-08-14 [16] with default parameters.

The contigs were aligned to the NCBI non-redundant protein (nr) database (downloaded 12/13/2013, ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db) using BLASTx (version 2.2.29+) [51] with maximum e-value of $10^{-10}$ and a limitation to the 20 most significant alignments. Based on this mapping the contigs were GO annotated using Blast2GO [18] and Trinotate [19].

The assembled contigs were mapped to wheat cDNA sequences from ensembl release 26 [20] using BLASTn (version 2.2.29+) [51] to identify fragmented assemblies. Multiple contigs mapping to one cDNA were clustered to a single transcript identifier. GO terms obtained for transcripts with fragmented assembly were merged.

**sRNA annotation**

The sRNA sequences were mapped to mature miRNAs listed for wheat in miRBase release 21 [35] using the sequence aligner Bowtie (version 1.01) [52] without mismatches.

**Expression analysis**

The expression patterns of the transcripts identified by the de novo assembly were calculated by counting all reads uniquely mapping to the contigs of transcript clusters using the sequence aligner Bowtie (version 1.01) [52] allowing one mismatch. The sample replicates were hierarchically clustered based on their Pearson correlation coefficients.

The sRNA sequences were collapsed to unique sequence reads and read counts were determined.

Read count data from transcriptome as well as sRNA were individually normalized by quantiles normalization [53] with a modification preventing the allocation of expression values to transcripts not expressed in the sample. The quantile normalized expression values were finally scaled to 1 Mio. quantiles normalized reads (rpmq). The sample replicates were hierarchically clustered based on their Pearson correlation coefficients with the complete linkage method in R [54].

**Differential expression analysis**

The DE of transcripts and analogously of sRNAs was tested individually for both transitions (T1 from stage S1 to S2, T2 from S2 to S3) for all transcripts or sRNAs, respectively, with at least two-fold expression change between the stages a minimal expression of 1 rpmq in the higher expressed stage and a maximal standard deviation for the stage replicates of 25 % from the average
expression of the stage. The significance of DE was tested by Student’s t-tests with a FDR of 5 % [55] in a custom Java program using the Java Statistical Classes API [56].

**Cluster analysis of differentially expressed transcripts**
A grouping of the replicates of all microspore stages was performed by principal component analysis in R [54] based on z-normalized expression data for all transcripts as well as separately for all DE transcripts in at least one transition.

A k-means cluster analysis of mean z-normalized stage replicate transcript expression means with k set to 12 clusters was performed in Gene Cluster 3.0 using the centered correlation as dissimilarity measure [57].

**GO enrichment analysis for transcript expression pattern clusters**
The enrichment for GO terms was tested for all clusters in a bootstrap analysis with 1 Mio. runs in a custom Java program, all terms with at least 5 transcripts and a FDR [55] below 5 % were considered to be enriched.

**sRNA target prediction**
A sRNA target prediction was performed for all DE sRNAs on the de novo assembled contigs from the RNA-seq using psRNATarget with default parameters [58]. All putative sRNA/target pairs were subsequently filtered by correlation analysis of the sRNA and transcript expression. Only sRNA/target pairs with strong negative correlation were retained as putatively sRNA regulated transcripts.

**miRNA prediction**
A prediction of miRNA precursors for the identification of miRNAs was performed using miRDeep-P (version 1.3) [59] individually for all stage replicates using the IWGSP1 chromosome based draft sequence [60] as genome. The pre-miRNAs were searched for homology to known pre-miRNAs in miRBase release 21 [35] using BLASTn (version 2.2.29+) [51]. The predicted mature miRNAs were filtered for sRNAs with predicted targets from the sRNA target prediction.
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