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ABSTRACT

In this research paper we have implemented downlink packet scheduling algorithms in LTE-Sim simulation for LTE cellular networks. The implementation includes adding two packet scheduling algorithms (Round Robin and MaxRate). The performance of these algorithms is tested for various types of real time service (video) and non real time service (data) for fixed and mobile users at various speeds 3, and 120Km/h in LTE-Sim simulator. The simulation was setup with varying number of users 120 in fixed bounded regions of 1 km radius.

The goal of this project is to study the simulation of Radio Resource Management (RRM) in 4G networks using LTE-Sim. In particular, it focuses on the comprehensive performance testing of packet scheduling algorithms (such as Max Rate, Round Robin, PF, MLWDF, EXP). The paper shows the results of implementing of these algorithms and testing their performance.
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1 INTRODUCTION

With increasing demand on high data rate and broad mobility, Long Term Evolution (LTE) is introduced by the third generation partnership project (3GPP) to meet that demand [1]. It promises to provide 100 Mbps at the downlink through using orthogonal frequency division multiple access (OFDMA) and 50 Mbps at the uplink via using Single Carrier Frequency Division Multiple Access (SCFDMA) [2]. The OFDMA technology splits the existing bandwidth into multiple narrow-band subcarriers and assigns a group of subcarriers to a user based on its necessity, current system load and system configuration. The architecture of LTE network has changed with compared to UMTS as some elements combined together. As shown in figure 1, it consists of three elements: evolved-NodeB (eNodeB), Mobile Management Entity (MME), and Serving Gateway (S-GW) / Packet Data Network Gateway (P-GW). eNodeB carries out all radio resource management functions such as packet scheduling and handover mechanism. MME performs mobility, user equipment (UE) identity, and security parameters. SGW and P-GW are nodes that terminate the interface towards E-UTRAN and Packet Data Network, respectively [3].

![LTE system architecture](image)

After implementing the algorithms, this paper will study the performance of packet scheduling and handover algorithms developed for single carrier wireless systems for real time (RT) and non real time (NRT) services. The performance assessment is performed using the LTE-Sim simulation program. LTE uses radio resource management which plays a key role in selection of users and transmissions of their packets such that the radio resources are efficiently utilized and the users’ qualities of service (QoS) requirements are satisfied [4].

LTE provides user in downlink a resource block (RB) which is defined in frequency and time domain. RB has 12 subcarriers of 180 kHz bandwidth that corresponding to one time slot of 0.5 ms duration in the time domain. Each time slot has 7 OFDM symbols. The assigning of this resource is performed every transmit time interval (TTI) that equals to 1 ms [5].

2 Packet scheduling algorithms in LTE

LTE uses packet scheduling algorithms to increase throughput with maintaining fairness. This paper will test and evaluate some of the well-known algorithms.
The maximum rate (Max-Rate) [6] algorithm allocates resources based on the channel quality indicator (CQI). The users with highest CQI report will be given resources. This will guarantee that the user will transmit and receive data with a good channel condition. However, users with low CQI report will be deprived until their CQI report becomes high. This will provide low fairness as a result of not all the users will be given resources only the users with highest CQI. Max-Rate depends on the metric value (K) to select users and allocate resources which is calculated based on the following equation:

$$K = \arg \max r(t)$$ (1)

where $r(t)$: the achievable data rate of user.

To provide some fairness between users, a Round Robin (RR) (1) algorithm is used to solve this issue with Max-Rate algorithm. RR allocates equal amount of resources and time for each user. As RR does not depend on the CQI report, the throughput will be decreased.

Both fairness and high throughput are required. To support both of them and provide balance, proportional fair (PF) [7] algorithm was developed. PF was designed initially to support NRT service and to be used in code division multiple access with high data rate (CDMA-HDR) system. It uses a metric k in order to allocate resources to users.

$$k = \arg \max \frac{r_i(t)}{R_i(t)}$$ (2)

Where $r_i(t)$ is the achievable data rate of user i, and $R_i(t)$ is the average data rate of user i over a time window (tc) of an appropriate size. This time window guarantees increasing throughput and providing fairness for users.

To support RT services in CDMA-HDR system, the maximum-largest weighted delay first (M-LWDF) [8] algorithm is developed. M-LWDF selects users based on the metric that is calculated from the following equation:

$$k = \arg \max a_i \frac{r_i(t)}{R_i(t)}$$

and:

$$a_i = -\frac{(\log \delta_i)}{\tau_i}$$ (3)

where $W(t)$ is the head of line (HOL) packet delay (time difference between the current time and the arrival time of a packet) of user i at time t, $\delta_i$ is the delay threshold of user i and $\delta_i$ is the maximum probability for HOL packet delay of user i to exceed the delay threshold of user i.

M-LWDF combines both PF and HOL packet delay in its properties. It provides a good throughput with low packet loss ratio (PLR).

To support multimedia services in an adaptive modulation and coding and time division multiplexing (AMC/TDM) system, the exponential/proportional fair (EXP/PF) [9] algorithm is designed. EXP/PF uses a metric k which depends on the type of each user whether it is RT or NRT.

$$k = \arg \max \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \exp(\frac{a_i W_i(t) - a W(t)}{1 + a W(t)}) \frac{r_i(t)}{R_i(t)} & \text{...} i \in RT \\ \frac{w(t)}{M(t)} \frac{r_i(t)}{R_i(t)} & \text{...} i \in NRT \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

and:

$$a W(t) = \frac{1}{N_{RT}} \sum_{i \in RT} a_i W_i(t)$$

and:

$$w(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w(t-1) - \varepsilon .... \text{if } W_{\max} > \tau_{\max} \\ w(t-1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{k} .... \text{if } W_{\max} < \tau_{\max} \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$
Where $M(t)$ is the average number of real time packets waiting at the serving eNodeB buffer at time $t$, $\varepsilon$ and $k$ are constants, $W_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum HOL packet delay of all RT service users and $\tau_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum delay constraint out of RT service users. In the EXP/PF algorithm, real time users receive a higher priority than non real time users when their HOL packet delays are approaching the delay deadline.

3 Methods

LTE-Sim is an open source simulation for LTE networks. It contains all the LTE network elements such as, Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRAN) and the Evolved Packet System (EPS). Different scenarios can be implemented for single, multi-cell environment. Moreover, it supports handover procedures and packet scheduling. Three well-known packet scheduling algorithms are already implemented (such as Proportional Fair, Modified Largest Weighted Delay First, and Exponential Proportional Fair) [4].

In this paper Round Robin (RR) and MaxRate(MR) scheduling algorithms with addition to LTE Standard Hard Handover, Received Signal based TTT Window and Integrator Handover are implemented with along with installed algorithms. For all algorithms, new codes have written to enable these new algorithms in LTE-Sim.

4 Verifying of implementation RR and MR scheduling algorithms

The following sections prove that the implementations of the packet scheduling algorithms are working properly.

4.1 Round Robin

To make sure the code that has been written satisfy the RR algorithm, a test is carried out to explain how the new algorithm works. The number of resource blocks is set to be 1 for each flow. A simple scenario of five flows is tested with 5MHzs bandwidth (25 RBs). The results are shown in the figure 2 & 3 below:

![Fig2: RR allocates 1 RB for each flow](image)

Figure 3 shows each flow has assigned 1 RBs(it is predefined in the code) at the first TTI. After all the flows have finished their data to be transmitted, five RBs have assigned for each flow as show in the figure4.
4.2 MaxRate algorithm

MR depends on the metric value in order to allocate radio resources. The user with high metric will be scheduled while user with low metric will deprived from resources. Figure 4 shows the same scenario for RR has repeated to verify MR code is working.
5 Simulation
The following sections will show the simulations environment to evaluate the performance of the new implemented algorithms with along with the current one in LTE-Sim.

5.1 Simulation Environment for Packet scheduling algorithms
A single eNodeB with fixed location is used. The bandwidth of 5 MHz with 25 resources blocks is used. Other parameters are shown the Table 1.

| Table 1. 3GPP LTE Downlink parameters |
|---------------------------------------|
| Bandwidth | 5 MHz |
| Number of Sub-carriers | 300 |
| Number of RBs | 25 |
| Number of Sub-carrers per RB | 12 |
| Sub-Carrier Spacing | 15 kHz |
| Slot Duration | 0.5 ms |
| Scheduling Time (TTI) | 1 ms |
| Number of OFDM Symbols per Slot | 7 |
| Number of users | 120 |

The number of users is set to 120 starting from (80 - 120). The traffic is selected to be RT (video streaming) and NRT (data traffic). Two simulations were tested for both speed 3Km/h and 120Km/h.

5.2 Simulations results and discussion
5.2.1 With 3Km/h speed
The evaluation of the five packet scheduling algorithms is tested with respect to the throughput, fairness, packet loss ratio, and delay. Figure 5 and 6 show system throughput for both video and data traffic respectively. From both figures, it reveal the Max-Rate over perform both EXP/PF and M-LWDF. While RR and PF have low throughput in video and only RR is lower in data traffic as it does not depend on CQI report in allocating resources blocks.
For packet loss ratio (PLR), figure 7 and 8 show that RR has a significant high ratio while MR has low ratio. EXP/PF and MLWDF have almost the same value. The results of both speed almost the same with regard to the high PLR for RR and low ratio for MR.
The fairness for all algorithms does not match with theoretical as RR must have the highest value. The results for both speeds show weird fairness results due to limitations in the simulation itself. Many efforts have been dedicated to verify and tuning it but unfortunately no change has been made.

5.2.2 With 120 Km/h speed

The throughput with 120 Km/h speed has the same results with 3 Km/h speed for the video and slightly different in data traffic as shown in figure 11 and 12.
The PLR for both speed in video are slightly different but in data there is significant different. The RR has low PLR compared with EXP/PF and MLWDF. This shows a weird result as RR has to have the highest value as it does not depend on the channel quality condition in allocating resources. The figures below show that.

Fig 11: Video System Throughput vs. Number of Users

Fig 12: Data traffic System Throughput vs. Number of Users

Fig 13: Video Packet Loss Ratio vs. Number of Users
Fig 14: Data traffic Packet Loss Ratio vs. Number of Users

The fairness result has the same issues for both speeds as shown in the figure 20 and 21:

Fig 15: Video Fairness vs. Number of Users

Fig 16: Data traffic Fairness vs. Number of Users
6 Conclusion

In this paper two packet scheduling algorithms are implemented to test the performance of real time and non real time services in LTE-Sim under 3GPP LTE system. It shows the strengths and weaknesses of these algorithms and some limitation in the LTE-Sim results regarding to fairness and PLR especially in scheduling algorithms. The MaxRate outperform other packet scheduling algorithms with respect to the throughput. The simulation program needs to be improved in order to get result closely to the theory.
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