Prognostic factors in pulmonary arterial hypertension: assessing the course of the disease
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ABSTRACT: The practical management of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) requires an accurate assessment of disease severity and prognosis. A number of prognostic indicators are known to be associated with patient outcome, and recent treatment guidelines advocate using such parameters to guide management decisions. Although PAH is characterised by the presence of pulmonary vasculopathy, it is the response of the right ventricle to an increased afterload that is the greatest determinant of a patient’s symptoms and survival; thus, measurements that capture right ventricular function provide the best potential to assess PAH severity. One challenge is to understand how the tests we use in everyday clinical practice relate to right heart function in PAH patients, and how current measures can be improved and developed to optimise assessment of disease status and progress. Future research in the field of PAH should focus on how best to assess right heart function, and which measures or combination of measures provide the most relevant information for the individual patient.
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Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a serious and progressive disease of the pulmonary vasculature that can be triggered by a number of known (genetic mutations, toxins, infections or other diseases) and unknown causes. The pathogenesis of PAH is multifactorial, involving a number of biochemical pathways and cell types [1], but all forms of PAH, regardless of underlying aetiology, share a common pulmonary vasculopathy characterised by intimal proliferation, medial hypertrophy and the development of plexiform lesions. Together with associated vasconstriction and the development of in situ thrombosis, this proliferative and obstructive remodelling of pulmonary blood vessel walls leads to a progressive increase in pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) and, subsequently, increased pulmonary arterial pressure (Ppa) with a resultant increase in afterload on the right ventricle. The ability of the right ventricle to adapt to this afterload is the key determinant of symptoms and survival, and ultimately, although characterised by pulmonary vasculopathy, it is the failure of the right ventricle that is the main cause of death in patients with PAH [2]. In view of this, measures of cardiac function and not just the extent of the pulmonary vascular disease take on particular significance when assessing central cardiopulmonary haemodynamics. Factors reflecting right ventricular function as assessed by right heart catheterisation (RHC), including mean right atrial pressure (Pra), cardiac output and cardiac index, have been shown to be significant predictors of survival in PAH [3–5]. In addition, a range of other factors that reflect right ventricular structure and function, including echocardiographic parameters, exercise capacity and serum biomarkers, have been shown to be of prognostic value. In order to identify the best way to use such surrogate markers in assessing the course of disease in PAH, we need to understand not only how the primary lesion, i.e. pulmonary vascular remodelling, is triggered and develops, but also how the right ventricle adapts and how its function deteriorates over time. This article will discuss how disease progression of PAH is currently assessed in clinical practice, and explore the use of multifaceted follow-up using a range of markers of right heart function and structure.

CHANGES IN CARDIAC FUNCTION DURING THE COURSE OF PAH

In the pre-symptomatic stage of PAH, it is thought that increases in PVR and resting mean Ppa do not overtly affect resting cardiac function,
Progressive fall may either indicate a fall in PVR or cardiac output. Right pressure; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance.

that reflect symptoms and exercise capacity (table 1) [9]. These a number of parameters with proven prognostic significance and response to therapy should be based on the assessment of guidelines recommend that the evaluation of disease severity relied upon alone to predict prognosis, and current treatment is important to bear in mind that no single parameter can be integrative measures of right ventricular failure, such as right ventricular contractility or filling pressure; and those that mining cardiac function, for example stroke volume or measures of right ventricular mass and size, and $P_{pa}$ reflect functional status and are strong predictors of survival [8, 9].

The challenge, therefore, is to understand how the assessments available for use in current clinical practice reflect the function of the right ventricle in PAH. These assessments can be considered as two separate categories: those directly measuring cardiac function, for example stroke volume or measures of right ventricular contractility or filling pressure; and those that are integrative measures of right ventricular failure, such as exercise capacity, neuroendocrine activation or biomarkers. It is important to bear in mind that no single parameter can be relied upon alone to predict prognosis, and current treatment guidelines recommend that the evaluation of disease severity and response to therapy should be based on the assessment of a number of parameters with proven prognostic significance that reflect symptoms and exercise capacity (table 1) [9].

Despite WHO FC being a good all-round indicator of disease severity, it is a subjective measure and is open to over- or under-estimation arising from differences in the perception of symptom impact by both patient and clinician. It may also be confounded by comorbidities such as obesity and age, and by coexisting or underlying diseases; for example, patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc)-associated PAH may have their symptoms and exercise capacity limited by musculoskeletal factors [13]. In addition, lower WHO FC does not necessarily equate to less severe haemodynamic impairment and some patients may present in WHO FC II with minimal symptoms while also displaying a high degree of haemodynamic compromise; such patients are likely to deteriorate rapidly [6, 14]. Although undoubtedly valuable, WHO FC alone is insufficient to determine prognosis in all patients, and further surrogate markers are required.

Exercise testing

Exercise testing, either in the form of a walking test, such as measurement of 6-min walk distance (6MWD), or $via$ cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET), can provide a global measurement of right heart function, since there is a direct relationship between maximal cardiac output and oxygen delivery and consumption. Both assessments are relatively easy to perform regularly and form an important part of the recommended follow-up procedure for PAH patients [9].

6MWD is currently the only Food and Drug Administration and European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products accepted exercise end-point for studies evaluating treatment effects in PAH in adults [9]. It is a good indicator of prognosis [4] and has been shown to decrease in proportion to the severity of WHO FC, and to correlate with cardiac output, total pulmonary
resistance [15] and changes in PVR [16]. Per cent predicted 6MWD offers no advantage over absolute distance [17].

Absolute distance walked after the commencement of therapy rather than change in 6MWD has been shown to be predictive of outcome [11, 15, 18]. This is reflected in treatment guidelines which state that 6MWD >500 m should be a goal of therapy, while a 6MWD <300 m should prompt intensification of treatment [9]. Systematic reviews of clinical trials in PAH-specific therapies have found that, while these treatment strategies have resulted in a significant reduction in all-cause mortality, this reduction bears no relationship with the change from baseline in 6MWD, and changes in 6MWD do not predict a survival benefit [19, 20]. Although this may appear counter-intuitive at first sight, it is apparent that prognosis does not depend on how much a patient has improved, rather how good their current status is. A patient with poor baseline 6MWD can improve by a considerable distance but still be severely compromised, whereas other less functionally impaired patients only need to improve by a short distance to achieve results which are associated with better cardiac function.

The precise definition of what constitutes a relevant change in 6MWD is complex, and it is essential to distinguish between changes that are clinically important or meaningful to the patient, and those that are trivial to the patient, despite being statistically significant in clinical trials. GILBERT et al. [21] calculated the smallest difference in 6MWD that signified a clinically important change (minimally important difference; MID) using data from patients in WHO FC II/III treated with sildenafil as part of the double blind, placebo-controlled SUPER (Sildenafil Use in Pulmonary Hypertension) study. Since there is no consensus regarding the best method for calculating MID, the authors used a number of statistical methods to calculate a MID of 40.7 m, with a range of 18.7–74.15 m depending on which aspect of the SF-36 score was used. To the author’s knowledge, no MID for 6MWD has been defined to predict a significant change in prognosis.

Unfortunately, 6MWD does not solely reflect cardiac function, as there can be many confounding factors such as motivation and mood, comorbidities (for example, connective tissue diseases, such as SSc [13]), and patient factors such as age, height and weight. In particular, muscular function and training have been shown to significantly affect 6MWD [22]. For example, in a study of patients with severe PAH, exercise and respiratory training resulted in a significant increase in 6MWD (mean 111 m, range 65–139 m) compared with untrained control subjects without any measurable effect on right ventricular function [23]. There is also evidence that the sensitivity of the 6MWD may decline in line with increasing baseline distance walked; treatments that improved haemodynamics and WHO FC have been found to have no significant effect on the 6MWD in patients with PAH with baseline 6MWD >450 m [24]. It should also be noted that 6MWD has not been fully validated in patients with forms of PAH other than idiopathic PAH [9].

CPET offers a number of potential advantages over 6MWD in assessing a patient’s exercise capacity. In patients with better baseline exercise capacity, for example, changes in 6MWD may be limited by a “ceiling effect” and so may not accurately reflect response to therapy. This is less of a problem using CPET as it is a maximal, symptom-limited test. Guidelines recommend the use of peak oxygen consumption as a prognostic parameter, where values >15 mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ are associated with better prognosis and values <12 mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ with worse prognosis [9]. However, the need for a maximal effort in order to obtain the most useful diagnostic information can be problematic for some patients with severe disease or other cardiopulmonary comorbidities, and patient motivation may result in increased variability and decreased reproducibility of results. Effort-independent measures, such as the ventilation/carbon dioxide production slope, which can be derived even in the absence of a maximal effort, have been shown to be prognostic in patients with heart failure. This may also hold promise for PAH, but needs further evaluation.

Despite recommendations, there is a lack of generally accepted standards for CPET in PAH in terms of standardisation of data acquisition and analysis. In addition, CPET requires specific exercise equipment and measurement systems, and needs to be performed and assessed by highly experienced technical and medical personnel, which makes it less convenient to perform than 6MWD.

| TABLE 1 | European guideline for assessing prognosis |
| --- | --- |
| **Better prognosis** | **Determinants of prognosis** | **Worse prognosis** |
| No | Clinical evidence of right ventricle failure | Yes |
| Slow | Rate of progression of symptoms | Rapid |
| No | Syncope | Yes |
| I, II | WHO FC | IV |
| Longer (>500 m)* | 6MWT | Shorter (<300 m) |
| Peak O₂ consumption >15 mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ | Cardio-pulmonary exercise testing | Peak O₂ consumption <12 mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ |
| Normal or near normal | BNP/NT-proBNP plasma levels | Very elevated and rising |
| No pericardial effusion; TAPSE* >2.0 cm | Echocardiographic findings* | Pericardial effusion; TAPSE* <1.5 cm |
| Pra <8 mmHg and CI >2.5 L·min⁻¹·m⁻² | Haemodynamics | Pra >15 mmHg or CI <2.0 L·min⁻¹·m⁻² |

WHO FC: World Health Organization functional class; 6MWT: 6-min walk test; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; TAPSE: tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; Pra: right atrial pressure; CI: cardiac index. *: depending on age; #: TAPSE and pericardial effusion have been selected as they can be measured in the majority of patients. Reproduced from [9] with permission from the publisher.
**Biomarkers**

The use of noninvasive biomarkers for the assessment of PAH and its progression is an attractive option. The most widely used and investigated in PAH is brain natriuretic peptide (BNP). BNP is secreted by ventricular myocytes and plays an important role in the regulation of blood pressure, blood volume and sodium balance. On secretion, the BNP precursor is split into the biologically active peptide and the more stable N-terminal fragment (NT-proBNP) [25]. The production of BNP/NT-proBNP is augmented in response to factors that increase cardiac wall stress and, thus, cardiomyocyte stretch. Serum BNP/NT-proBNP levels have been shown to reflect right ventricular dysfunction severity in PAH, correlating with mean $P_{pa}$, $P_{ra}$, total pulmonary resistance and right ventricle mass, and inversely correlating with cardiac output and ejection fraction [26, 27]. High plasma levels, and in particular a further increase in plasma BNP during follow-up, have a strong independent association with mortality [27, 28]. Guidelines recommend that BNP/NT-proBNP plasma levels are measured for initial risk stratification, and that they may be considered for monitoring the effects of treatment [9]. However, cut-off values for BNP/NT-proBNP levels have not been validated in outcome trials and, reflecting this, treatment guidelines refer only to “elevated” and “normal/near-normal” levels. Therefore, while a change in levels will give an indication of an improvement or decline in a patient’s condition, absolute values of BNP/NT-proBNP currently cannot be used as an indication of the degree of the associated improvement or decline.

**Echocardiography**

Noninvasive assessment of right heart function using echocardiography is an accepted and routine method for diagnosis and assessment of PAH. Numerous studies have demonstrated that echocardiographic parameters can be used as surrogate markers and are predictive of survival (table 2). One of the most frequently reported prognostic factors is the presence of pericardial effusion [3, 29–31]. Pericardial effusion in PAH is caused by impaired venous and lymphatic drainage of the myocardium linked to increased $P_{ra}$ and it is, therefore, a reflection of right ventricular (diastolic) dysfunction and right heart failure [29, 30]. Another measure shown to have prognostic value in PAH is the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), a relatively simple measure of the longitudinal movement of the lateral tricuspid annulus towards the right ventricle apex. TAPSE has been shown to correlate with right ventricular ejection fraction [32, 33] and a TAPSE of <1.8 cm is associated with greater right ventricle systolic dysfunction (lower cardiac index and right ventricle fractional area change) and diastolic dysfunction (right atrial area index); it is also associated with decreased survival [34]. Pericardial effusion and TAPSE are recommended by treatment guidelines as a prognostic indicator in PAH for the assessment of disease severity and response to therapy, largely because both parameters are relatively easy to assess in the majority of patients [9]. However, other echocardiographic parameters of right heart function and structure have also been linked to prognosis in PAH, including the myocardial performance or Tei index (calculated from the sum of the isovolumic contraction and isovolumic relaxation times divided by ejection time), which represents an estimate of global right ventricular performance [35]. The Tei index increases with increasing right ventricle dysfunction, and has been shown to be a prognostic indicator in PAH [36, 37]. Other reported prognostic parameters include the left ventricular eccentricity index [30], right ventricle dysynchrony [38], right ventricle diameter and wall thickness [39], and right ventricular systolic to diastolic duration ratio [40]. Although the relative values of these measures remain to be fully established, it is likely that a comprehensive assessment of prognosis will require the evaluation of a number of different echocardiographic parameters. For example, in a study by Gno et al. [39], a TAPSE of $<15$ mm was associated with a significantly higher risk of mortality (20.8 per 100 person-yrs) compared with a TAPSE of $>15$ mm (6.5 per 100 person-yrs). However, in patients with TAPSE $<15$ mm, a left ventricular eccentricity index at end-diastole $\geq 1.7$ was associated with the highest risk of mortality (51.7 per 100 person-yrs). Importantly, since TAPSE is a load-dependent measure, in patients with a TAPSE of $>15$ mm, the presence of severe tricuspid regurgitation markedly increased the risk of death (19.5 per 100 person-yrs) compared with those with lesser tricuspid regurgitation (1.3 per 100 person-yrs) [39].

Although echocardiography is a well-established technique that is widely available, inexpensive and safe, and has proven value in the screening and management of patients with PAH, it can be associated with a number of technical drawbacks and limitations around operator dependency and problems with obtaining suitable images [32]. In addition, Doppler-derived pressure estimation may be inaccurate in individual patients [41] and pressure may be consistently underestimated in the presence of severe tricuspid regurgitation [9]. Finally, although it is sometimes viewed as a key parameter, estimated pulmonary artery systolic pressure is not predictive of survival and should not be relied upon for patient assessment during follow-up visits.

**Haemodynamic measurements**

RHC is the gold standard for the diagnosis of PAH, with reassessment recommended 3–4 months after initiation of a new PAH treatment or in the case of clinical worsening [9]. Measurements made during RHC are also consistently predictive of survival. In patients included in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) primary pulmonary hypertension registry, who were studied before the advent of PAH-specific therapies, mean $P_{pa}$, mean $P_{ra}$ and cardiac index were all found to be predictive of survival [5], and mean $P_{ra}$ and mean $P_{pa}$ at baseline were prognostic factors for patients treated with epoprostenol [11]. Furthermore, reduction in total pulmonary resistance of at least 30% [10] and improvements in cardiac

**TABLE 2**

| Echocardiographic parameters | Worse prognosis |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion | $<15$ mm |
| Right ventricular Doppler (Tei) index | $>0.88$ |
| Pericardial effusion | Present |
| Left ventricular eccentricity index at end-diastole | $>1.7$ |
| Right atrial volume | Increasing size |
| Right ventricular fractional area change | Decreasing % |
index and mean $P_{pa}$ [42] are associated with improved survival following a period of epoprostenol treatment. More recently, data from the French network on pulmonary hypertension showed that baseline $P_{pa}$, cardiac index and cardiac output were also significantly associated with survival in the modern treatment era [4]. Data from the NIH registry were used to devise a formula to estimate survival in patients with PAH based on haemodynamic parameters (mean $P_{pa}$, mean $P_{ra}$ and cardiac index) [5]. This formula has been widely used in clinical trials without a control arm, where the observed survival rates following treatment with a study drug are compared with survival rates predicted by the NIH equation to suggest improvements in survival. However, the data behind the NIH equation are now more than two decades old and, as previously mentioned, come from patients managed before the availability of PAH-specific therapy; the relevance of the equation in the modern treatment era is therefore uncertain. Thenappan et al. [43] recently demonstrated this, using data from a contemporary patient cohort and found that survival was better in the modern day cohort compared with that predicted by the NIH registry equation, and concluded that the NIH equation underestimated survival in the current treatment era. A new survival prediction equation was developed using the same variables as the NIH equation, and predicted survival using this new equation was shown to be comparable to actual observed survival in a number of other published contemporary patient cohorts [43].

Although considered to be the “gold standard” for the diagnosis of PAH, there are some disadvantages to using RHC as a follow-up procedure. It is invasive and needs to be performed in centres with the appropriate expertise. Therefore, it is not always practical as a regular follow-up procedure for some patients. In addition, in the author’s view, if results from all other parameters (e.g. echocardiography, exercise testing, serum biomarkers) are in agreement, haemodynamic measures may not add any further information in guiding treatment and, therefore, RHC may not always be required for monitoring purposes.

What has been described thus far are a number of tests and parameters that are linked directly to right heart structure and function and that have been shown to have prognostic value in PAH. It is clear that these measures should not be used in isolation, and that it is important to combine results from a number of parameters to obtain a true reflection of prognosis in PAH. However, the most appropriate combination of factors and the optimal levels or cut-off values which give the most accurate prognosis in an individual patient remains to be seen.

USING DATA FROM LARGE REGISTRIES

In addition to the individual studies investigating potential prognostic factors discussed above, there are now an abundance of real-world data available from PAH registries. The data from such registries are useful to describe and compare patient characteristics, practice patterns and outcomes in different patient populations over time. Observations from registries can also be used to raise questions and hypotheses which can be tested in future trials, and to test the validity of prognostic indicators. Recent data from the French network on pulmonary hypertension and the US REVEAL registry (Registry to Evaluate Early and Long-term PAH Disease Management) confirmed the prognostic value of simple assessments such as WHO FC and 6MWD, and the importance of $P_{pa}$ and cardiac index [3, 4]. Some of these prognostic indicators have been used to draw up predictive equations as previously discussed [4, 5, 43], and it is tempting to suggest that the use of such equations may allow the individualisation and optimisation of therapeutic strategies, however, this approach has never been systematically evaluated.

FUTURE CONTROVERSIES RELATING TO PATIENT PROGNOSIS

Although there has been considerable improvement in our understanding of PAH and its management over the past decade, there is still much that requires further investigation. In particular, despite its importance, the right ventricle has been less well studied, as have the processes underlying pulmonary vascular remodelling and strategies to modify it. There is still much to learn about the assessment of right heart function, and we have not yet identified the ideal surrogate markers in PAH. Catheterisation remains the gold standard, but is invasive. An underexplored area of study is whether exercise haemodynamics can add value in assessing a patient’s prognosis or response to therapy, but this clearly adds complexity to the procedure. Evidence is accumulating for an additive role of measurements on incremental exercise in predicting prognosis. Combining peak oxygen uptake and peak systolic blood pressure is a more robust method of predicting survival than using the two measurements independently [44] and, more recently, it has been suggested that the ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide at the anaerobic threshold might add prognostic value to the 6MWD (R. Naeije, Erasme University Hospital, Brussels, Belgium; personal communication). This latter observation suggests that measurements made at submaximal exercise may be sufficient for the assessment of cardiopulmonary function [45, 46].

Current guidelines recommend the use of a combination of parameters with established prognostic indicators to assess disease severity and to guide treatment. However, what exactly defines “good prognosis” for these markers is not clear cut, and limits may not be the same for all patients; the prognosis for a 30-yr-old with a 6MWD of 400 m is unlikely to be the same as for a 70-yr-old with the same walk distance. Although prediction equations have been drawn up and appear to perform well, such equations are developed using data from limited cohorts of patients and, therefore, may not be generalisable to the wider patient population [43]. Whether it might be possible to develop a prognostic equation sophisticated enough to be applied to an individual patient remains to be seen.

CONCLUSION

Many measures are available for the evaluation of severity in PAH and form the basis of recommendations for the assessment of disease severity and response to therapy. When examined, these measures inform us more about the function of the right ventricle rather than the status of the pulmonary vasculature. This is no coincidence, as cardiac status underpins symptoms and prognosis in PAH. However, individual parameters considered on their own may be misleading and need to be considered in the context of other demographic factors, such as age, as well as other measurements. Multifactorial equations may overcome these issues, but are complex by necessity, and it is not clear if they can provide a real advantage when managing individuals over the clinician who can integrate results in his or her mind to best treat the patient. The role of multifactorial
equations may be confined to comparative audit and research. With plenty of tools at our disposal, there is still room to refine current measurements and to understand them better. There is also an increasing need to evaluate second- and third-line novel agents and we need to understand which end-points are going to help us take drugs through to the final stages of development.
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