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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Emergence agitation is a clinical phenomenon occurring in the immediate postoperative period characterised by agitation, hyperactivity and restlessness. It can lead to various complications ranging from mere injury to cardiac arrest. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of intra-operative dexmedetomidine infusion in preventing emergence agitation in adults undergoing nasal surgery.

METHODS
After obtaining ethical clearance and patient consent, patients undergoing elective nasal surgery under general anaesthesia with ASA 1 and 2 between 20 to 60 years were included in this randomised placebo-control study. Sample size of 100 patients was generated with 80% power and 95% confidence interval. Group D (n=50) received dexmedetomidine infusion at a rate of 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr. from beginning of induction of anaesthesia until extubation and group C (n=50) received volume matched normal saline infusion as placebo. Anaesthesia was maintained with desflurane, and intraoperative haemodynamics and opioid requirement were noted. Ricker sedation-agitation scale was used for assessment of emergence agitation (score≥5). Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 15.0.

RESULTS
All demographic data were comparable between the two groups. Agitation score ≥5 was seen in 4% and 58% in group D and group C respectively, and was statistically significant (p<0.05). Time to verbal response was more in the dexmedetomidine group (6.74 ± 2.13 min v/s 5.78 ± 1.91 min, p<0.05); however, no statistically significant difference was noted between the two groups with respect to time to extubation, and duration of PACU stay. Intraoperative fentanyl requirement was more in group C compared to group D.

CONCLUSIONS
Dexmedetomidine as an infusion of 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr. from induction till extubation reduces emergence agitation in adults undergoing nasal surgery under desflurane general anaesthesia.
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**BACKGROUND**

Emergence agitation, also known as emergence excitement, emergence delirium or post anaesthetic excitement is a well-recognized clinical phenomenon occurring in the immediate postoperative period following general anaesthesia. Though it is most often seen in children and elderly, with literature focused on this population, it can affect all age groups. Emergence is the transition from unconsciousness to full wakefulness and most often this transition from general anaesthesia is smooth and uneventful. Emergence agitation is usually seen during the first 15-30 minutes following awakening from general anaesthesia and has varied clinical presentation. It can be characterized by agitation, restlessness, hyperactivity, irritability, thrashing, crying, moaning, incoherence and uncooperative behaviour.

Though emergence agitation is well recognized clinically, it is not well understood. The reasons include lack of clear definition, lack of reliable and valid assessment tools, difficulty in differentiating between agitation and pain and uncertainty about its clinical significance. The incidence reported in paediatric population is 12-13% and about 10-15% in geriatric age group. There are various risk factors recognized to be associated with EA. They are presence of an endotracheal tube or urinary catheter, pain, premedication with benzodiazepine, use of inhalational anaesthetics, breast, abdominal and otolaryngologic surgeries. Presence of hypoxia, hypothermia, hypoglycaemia, electrolyte disturbances and sepsis can in addition add on to the incidence of EA.

Emergence agitation can lead to various complications like self-extubation, removal of catheters, drains or dressings, aspiration pneumonia, bleeding, need for re-exploration, patients may experience hypertension and tachycardia and lengthens the recovery room stay. An agitated patient can not only inflict injury upon himself but the PACU staff are also at risk of injury. Additional manpower is required to restrain a patient experiencing EA. It necessitates constant supervision by the PACU nurse, thus impacting their ability to care for other patients in PACU. It can increase the healthcare costs as it necessitates an increase in pharmaceuticals, staff and increased length of PACU stay.

Among the various surgeries, otolaryngologic procedures are associated with a higher incidence of agitation. It is speculated that these patients may have a sense of suffocation during emergence from anaesthesia due to the presence of nasal packing, thus increasing the incidence of agitation. As emergence agitation is costly in terms of morbidity, longer PACU stay, human resources and pharmaceuticals required, it is essential to prevent this phenomenon and provide a smooth and uneventful emergence. Various modalities have been employed to prevent or treat EA. Identifying and eliminating the precipitating factors if possible is the most important among them. Pharmacological agents like propofol, opioids, clonidine, midazolam, and dexmedetomidine have been used prophylactically to reduce the incidence of emergence agitation.

Dexmedetomidine is a selective alpha-2 adrenergic agonist with sympatholytic, analgesic and sedative properties. It does not produce respiratory depression as it doesn’t act on the GABA receptors. In addition it has the unique property of rapid onset and short duration cooperative sedation. It is also anxiolytic and antisecretory. It has been used successfully to reduce EA in children and for weaning from ventilator in intensive care. It has been shown to reduce opioid consumption, pain intensity, stress response and thus improve quality of recovery after surgery. The literature with respect to the effects of dexmedetomidine on reducing emergence agitation in adults is limited. In the present randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study we hypothesized that intra-operative dexmedetomidine infusion would reduce emergence agitation in adults, undergoing nasal surgery.

**METHODS**

After obtaining clearance from the Institutional Ethical Committee, the present study was undertaken at Sambhram Medical College Hospitals, during the period June 2017 to May 2018. One hundred patients who were scheduled to undergo elective nasal surgeries requiring nasal packing under general anaesthesia were included in the study. The sample size was taken based on the convenience of the study.

**Inclusion Criteria**
1. Age 20-60 years.
2. American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Grade I or II.
3. Patients undergoing elective nasal surgeries under general anaesthesia and requiring nasal packing after surgery.

**Exclusion Criteria**
1. Known or suspected allergy to α2 adrenergic agonists.
2. Use of monoamine oxidase inhibitors, adrenergic blocking agents or clonidine.
3. Uncontrolled hypertension and Diabetes mellitus.
4. Heart block greater than first degree.
5. Cognitive impairment.
6. Chronic use of antipsychotic medications.
7. Kidney or liver disease.
8. Body mass index ≥30 Kg/m².
9. Pregnancy.

Patients scheduled to undergo elective nasal surgery at Sambhram Medical College Hospital were assessed for eligibility. Those satisfying the inclusion criteria were randomly allocated into two groups by computer generated random number table.

**Group D**
Patients received dexmedetomidine infusion at a rate of 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr. from the beginning of induction of anaesthesia until extubation.

**Group C**
Patients received volume matched normal saline infusion as placebo.

The study drug was prepared by an anaesthesiologist not involved in data collection. The investigator, attending
anaesthesiologist and patients were blinded to group allocation. A detailed pre anaesthetic evaluation was done in all patients. Investigations were done according to ASA guidelines as appropriate for the age and the individual patient. All patients were pre-medicated with oral pantoprazole 40 mg and oral ondansetron 4 mg on the morning of surgery. Injection midazolam 0.02 mg/Kg IV. was given 30 min prior to induction of anaesthesia to all patients.

Once the patient was shifted to the operation room, minimal mandatory monitoring consisting of electrocardiogram, non-invasive blood pressure and pulse oximetry were instituted. Before preoxygenation for 3 min, general anaesthesia was induced with fentanyl 2 mcg/Kg and propofol 2 mg/Kg. Oroticidal intubation with appropriate sized ET tube was facilitated with atracurium 0.5 mg/Kg. Anaesthesia was maintained with 1 MAC desflurane in 50% air/oxygen mixture. The attending anaesthesiologist was free to administer additional doses of fentanyl as he/she may feel appropriate. During the intraoperative course patients were monitored. During the intra-operative course bradycardia (HR < 40 bpm) was treated with intravenous atropine 0.5 mg and tachycardia (HR >110 bpm) was treated with intravenous esmolol in 10 mg increments. Hypotension (MAP < 60 mmHg) was treated with intravenous ephedrine in 3 mg increments. Paracetamol infusion of 1 gm was given at end of surgery, during nasal packing in all patients. Upon completion of surgery throat pack was removed and thorough oral suctioning was done. Reversal agents (neostigmine 50 mcg/Kg and glycopyrrolate 10 mcg/Kg) were given after confirming return of neuromuscular blockade using train-of-four peripheral nerve stimulation. Presence of 4th twitch on train of four stimulation was used as an indicator for giving reversal agents. Following the administration of reversal agents desflurane was turned off (defined as ‘time zero’ in emergence process) in both groups and patients were ventilated with 100% oxygen. Patients were not disturbed except for continual verbal requests to open their eyes. All other stimuli in the form of repeated suctioning or touch were avoided. They were extubated once they began to breathe spontaneously with adequate tidal volume and were able to respond to verbal requests. After extubation study drug infusion was stopped.

Emergence is defined as the time interval from time zero to 2 min after extubation. During emergence the level of agitation was assessed by Ricker sedation-agitation scale and each patient’s maximum agitation score was recorded.

| Score | Category                  | Description                                                                 |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 7     | Dangerous agitation       | Pulling at endotracheal tube, trying to remove catheters, climbing over bedrail, striking at staff, thrashing side-to-side |
| 6     | Very agitated             | Does not calm despite frequent verbal reminding of limits, requires physical restraints, bitting endotracheal tube     |
| 5     | Agitated                  | Anxious or mildly agitated, attempting to sit up, calms down on verbal instructions |
| 4     | Calm, cooperative         | Calm, easily arousable, follows commands                                      |
| 3     | Sedated                   | Difficult to arouse, awakens to verbal stimuli or gentle shaking, but drifts off again, follows simple commands |
| 2     | Very sedated              | Aroused to physical stimuli but does not communicate or follow commands, may move spontaneously                           |
| 1     | Unarousable               | Minimal or no response to noxious stimuli, does not communicate or follow commands                                      |

Table 1. Ricker Sedation Agitation Scale

Emergence agitation was defined as any score on the sedation-agitation scale equal to or more than 5. Dangerous agitation was defined as score equal to 7. Patients who had emergence agitation were given injection fentanyl 0.5 mg/Kg intravenously. If the agitation score persisted to be more than 5 after 2 min following fentanyl administration, injection propofol 0.5 mg/Kg IV. was given. Along with the agitation score, the length of period from “time zero” to first verbal response and extubation were noted.

Heart rate, systolic, diastolic and mean arterial blood pressure were recorded before induction of anaesthesia, 10 min after start of surgery, 30 min after start of surgery, at the end of surgery, at extubation and 2 min after extubation. Desaturation, laryngospasm and any other complication during emergence, as well as residual sedation (sedation-agitation score ≤3) on arrival in post anaesthetic care unit were recorded. Patients were discharged from PACU when their Aldrete score was ≥9.

Sample Size
Kim et al,13 in their study have observed that incidence of emergence agitation after nasal surgery is around 52% in those not receiving dexmedetomidine infusion. The incidence of emergence agitation was reduced by 46% after nasal surgeries in adults following dexmedetomidine infusion. In the present study, expecting the similar results with 95% confidence and 80% power and considering 24% minimum detectable difference, the study required a minimum of 50 subjects in each group.

Statistics
Descriptive and inferential statistical analyses were carried out in the present study. Results on continuous measurements are presented on Mean±SD (min-max) and results on categorical measurements are presented in Number (%). Significance is assessed at 5 % level of significance.

The following Assumptions on Data were made
1. Dependent variables should be normally distributed.
2. Samples drawn from the population should be random.
3. Cases of the samples should be independent.

Student t test (two tailed, independent) has been used to find the significance of study parameters on continuous scale between two groups (inter group analysis) on metric parameters. Chi-square/Fisher Exact test has been used to find the significance of study parameters on categorical scale between two or more groups. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Statistical software SPSS 15.0, was used for the analysis of the data and Microsoft word and Excel have been used to generate graphs, tables etc.
RESULTS

In the present study to evaluate the efficacy of dexmedetomidine for prevention of emergence agitation in adults following nasal surgery under general anaesthesia, conducted Sanbhum Medical College Hospital the following observations were made. Table 3A and 3B shows the age and gender distribution between two groups. The mean age for group C was 36.92±11.61 and group D was 33.32±10.18. There was no statistical significant difference between the two groups and were comparable with respect to age and sex.

Table 3A. Age Distribution of Patients Studied

| Age in Years | Group C (n=50) | Group D (n=50) |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|
|              | No | %   | No | %   |
| 20-30        | 15 | 30  | 22 | 44  |
| 31-40        | 16 | 32  | 17 | 34  |
| 41-50        | 12 | 24  | 7  | 14  |
| 51-60        | 6  | 12  | 4  | 8.0 |
| Mean ± SD    | 36.92±11.61 | 33.32±10.18 |

Table 3B. Gender Distribution of Patients Studied

| Gender     | Group C (n=50) | Group D (n=50) |
|------------|----------------|----------------|
|            | No | %   | No | %   |
| Female     | 9  | 18  | 11 | 22  |
| Male       | 41 | 82  | 39 | 78  |

Table 3C. Comparison of Weight, Height and BMI in the Two Groups of Patients Studied

| Variables | Group C (n=50) | Group D (n=50) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| Weight (kg) | 62.88±9.08 | 64.46±9.54 |
| Height (cm)  | 164.36±7.51 | 165.46±8.05 |
| BMI (kg/m²)  | 23.21±3.01 | 23.43±3.00 |

Table 3D. Demography

Table 3E shows weight, height and BMI distribution of the patients in the two groups. The mean weight in group C and D were 62.88±9.68 and 64.46±9.54 respectively. The mean height in group C and D were 164.36±7.51 and 165.46±8.05 respectively. The mean BMI in group C and D were 23.21±3.01 and 23.43±3.00 respectively. There was no significant difference in the weight, height and BMI of patients between the groups. Both the groups were similar with respect to weight, height and BMI distribution (p>0.05).

Table 4A shows the duration of surgery among two groups. The mean duration of surgery between Group C and group D was 102.30±41.07 min and 93.04±40.06 min respectively. There was no statistical significance. (p= 0.257). Table 4B shows the distribution of various surgeries among the two groups and was matched between two study groups (p= 0.167)

Comparison for Duration of Surgery

| Duration of Surgery (min) | Group C (n=50) | Group D (n=50) |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                            | No | %   | No | %   |
| <60                        | 7  | 14  | 10 | 20  |
| 60-120                     | 28 | 56  | 27 | 54  |
| >120                       | 15 | 30  | 13 | 26  |
| Mean ± SD (P > 0.05)       | 102.30±41.07 | 93.04±40.06 |

Types of Surgeries in Study Groups

| Surgery          | Group C (n=50) | Group D (n=50) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                  | No | %   | No | %   |
| FESS             | 20 | 40  | 27 | 54  |
| Septoplasty      | 23 | 46  | 16 | 32  |
| 3MD + Septoplasty| 7  | 14  | 4  | 8.0 |
| Turbinectomy     | 0  | 0.0 | 0  | 0.0 |
| Polypectomy      | 0  | 0.0 | 1  | 2.0 |

Table 4E. Comparison for Duration of Surgery and Types of Surgeries in the Study Groups

Agitation score: It was noted that 29 patients (58%) in group C and 2 patients (4%) in group D had sedation-agitation score ≥ 5 and were deemed to have emergence agitation as per the definition employed for this study. Agitation score was compared between two groups and was found to be statistically significant with p<0.001 this was represented in Table 9. None of the patients in both groups were severely agitated (score=7). Of the 29 patients who were deemed to have emergence agitation in group C, 19 patients had a score of 5 and 10 patients had a score of 6. All the 29 patients were administered fentanyl for treating agitation. None of these patients required propofol, as their agitation resolved within 2 min following fentanyl administration. Of the 2 patients who had emergence agitation in group D, both had a score of 5 and EA terminated with administration of fentanyl.

Table 6A. Comparison of Heart Rate between Two Groups

| Heart Rate (bpm) | Group C (n=50) | Group D (n=50) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Baseline         | 80.5±16.87     | 79.3±14.97     |
| 10 min*          | 77.5±14.50     | 70.8±10.77     |
| 30 min*          | 75.8±13.55     | 68.1±10.81     |
| End of surgery*  | 85.0±13.20     | 75.3±10.69     |
| Extubation*      | 103.3±17.54    | 94.1±12.71     |
| Extubation: 2 min| 100.4±15.21    | 80.4±14.10     |

Table 6B. Comparison of MAP between the Two Groups

| MAP (mmHg) | Group C (n=50) | Group D (n=50) |
|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Baseline   | 92.5±8.45      | 97.3±8.12      |
| 10 min*    | 70.3±10.92     | 68.9±7.59      |
| 30 min*    | 71.8±6.94      | 69.8±8.81      |
| End of surgery* | 80.5±11.44 | 74.4±12.11 |
| Extubation* | 92.3±12.92     | 85.9±12.62     |
| Extubation: 2 min | 94.4±12.29 | 90.0±11.91 |

Table 6C. Comparison of Heart Rate and MAP between the Two Groups

It was found that dexmedetomidine group had lower heart rate compared to saline group as shown above. Table 7B shows the distribution of MAP between the two groups. The MAP was comparable at baseline and 10 min after surgery, where as it was significantly lower in group D compared to group C at 30 min after start of surgery at end of surgery and at extubation (p<0.05). At 2 min after extubation the MAP was comparable between two groups.

DISCUSSION

Emergence agitation is a well described, complex, clinical phenomenon of multifactorial aetiology seen following emergence from general anaesthesia. Though it is most often seen in children and elderly, it can affect all age groups. Emergence agitation can increase healthcare costs due to its morbidity, longer PACU stay, human resources required and the pharmaceuticals used to treat it. Although clinical studies investigating EA continue to be published, this field continues...
to face significant limitations due to lack of universally accepted definition and gold standard assessment tool. Thus comparing outcomes across different studies remains problematic and clinical management questionable. Studies have shown that among the various surgeries, otorhinolaryngologic surgeries are associated with a higher incidence of EA. Various pharmacological agents have been used prophylactically to reduce EA following general anaesthesia with differing success rate. The present study was conducted in 100 adults aged 20–60 years, ASA 1–2, undergoing nasal surgeries under general anaesthesia and requiring nasal packing for at least 24 hrs after surgery. An infusion of dexmedetomidine at 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr from the beginning of induction till extubation was compared with a placebo (saline infusion) to evaluate its efficacy in reducing EA.

Premedication: All patients in the present study received pantoprazole, ondansetron and midazolam as premedication as per the usual institutional protocol prior to surgery. The role of benzodiazepine premedication on EA is controversial. Some studies reported that the use of benzodiazepine premedication predisposes to EA, while others have found it to be protective. Preoperative anxiety is also a known risk factor for EA. Benzodiazepines are most commonly used to reduce anxiety preoperatively. In view of the above reasons we used midazolam for premedication in all patients apart from the institutional practice. Dexmedetomidine is one among the various pharmacological agents employed prophylactically for EA. It has been used as a single bolus injection, continuous infusion or bolus followed by continuous infusion in various doses for prevention of EA with variable success. The appropriate dose in which it is effective in preventing EA is yet to be published in literature. In the present study we used dexmedetomidine as a continuous infusion at 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr as employed by Kim et al.

There is no "gold standard" assessment tool available for evaluation of EA. The various scales that have been used to evaluate agitation are: Ricker agitation-sedation scale, Richmond sedation agitation scale, the motor activity assessment scale and the modified New Sheffield sedation scale. Studies have shown that both the Ricker and Richmond scales have excellent inter-rater reliability. All these scales were created for adults in the ICU and none has so far been established solely for use in PACU. However, we feel patients admitted to the PACU are comparable to those admitted to the ICU and these scales are easy to use and give a precise evaluation of agitation. In the present study we employed the Ricker agitation-sedation scale for evaluation of EA.

Emergence Agitation

The incidence of EA in the present study was 58% in the control group (group C) whereas it was 4% in the dexmedetomidine (group D). Emergence agitation is especially common after ENT surgeries and this higher incidence is attributed to sense of suffocation due to nasal packing. The incidence of EA for nasal surgeries reported in literature varies from 22.2% to 55.4%. The incidence of EA in the present study was 58% and is similar to those seen in the studies conducted by Kim et al. (52%) and Yu et al. (55.4%). Kim et al also in their study reported the incidence of EA as 22.2%. Most of their patients received total intravenous anaesthesia with propofol and remifentanil. Total intravenous anaesthesia is known to be protective against EA, hence this may be one of the reasons for observing a lower incidence in this study compared to present one.

In the present study patients who were expected to have a higher risk of EA, i.e., those requiring nasal packing, use of tracheal tube, benzodiazepine premedication and inhalational agents, were included. Hence as expected, in group C the incidence of EA was higher (58%) similar to the previously reported results. Dexmedetomidine is known to produce sedation and analgesia without respiratory depression. Hence, it has been used for prevention of EA. Intraoperative dexmedetomidine has been seen to reduce EA in children by 57-70% compared with control groups and by 46% in a study conducted in adults. In the present study the use of intraoperative dexmedetomidine infusion significantly decreased EA from 58% (group C) to 4% (group D) [p <0.05], a 54% reduction similar to the result reported by Guler et al. The exact mechanism as how dexmedetomidine prevents EA is not clear. Though EA is a well-recognized phenomenon, its pathophysiological mechanism is not well understood and various mechanisms have been postulated. Among the various mechanisms, locus coeruleus is thought to be an important area involved in EA. This is an area within the pons that is involved in the physiologic response to stress.

Time to Verbal Response and Extubation

The time to verbal response was significantly longer in group D than in group C (group D v/s group C, 6.74 ± 2.13 min v/s 5.78 ± 1.91 min, p <0.05). The time to extubation was not significantly different between the two groups (group D v/s group C, 8.06 ± 2.14 min v/s 7.42 ± 2.24 min, p>0.05). These results were similar to that seen by Kim et al. The time to eye opening to verbal stimuli has been found to be longer when compared to placebo by Erdil et al and Guler et al in their study. The time to extubation was not significantly different in dexmedetomidine group (0.4 mcg/Kg/hr.) when compared to a saline group by Tutangullian et al.

Intraoperative Fentanyl Requirement

The number of patients who required intraoperative fentanyl were 5 and 21 in group D and C respectively. Inter quartile range of total fentanyl used in group D was 20 – 30 mcg whereas the inter quartile range was 20 – 42.5 mcg in group C. Dexmedetomidine is known to decrease the perioperative requirement of opioids and has an opioid-sparing action. The present study also showed that the number of patients requiring extra fentanyl doses intraoperatively were less in those who received dexmedetomidine infusion. This was similar to the findings seen by Erdil et al and Guler et al.

Haemodynamic Effects

The HR throughout the intraoperative period, at extubation and 2 min after extubation was significantly lower in group D compared to group C (p<0.05). This was similar to that seen by Kim et al. Dexmedetomidine is known to decrease heart rate by central sympatholysis and thus explains lower heart rates in patients receiving dexmedetomidine. The MAP was significantly lower in group D compared to Group C at 30 min after start of surgery, at end of surgery and at extubation (p<0.05). This is similar to that seen by Kim et al. The property of dexmedetomidine to decrease blood pressure
Dexmedetomidine as an infusion of 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr, from induction till extubation reduces emergence agitation in adults undergoing nasal surgery under desflurane general anaesthesia.

CONCLUSIONS

Dexmedetomidine is usually administered as an initial loading dose followed by an infusion. In the present study we did not use a bolus dose and began as an infusion at 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr. Because hypertension is common after administration of the loading dose of dexmedetomidine, we administered a continuous infusion without a loading dose which is also found to be effective in reducing emergence agitation. Thus it may require a longer duration for dexmedetomidine to decrease blood pressure when administered as an infusion without a loading dose. Two minutes after extubation the MAP in both groups were similar, probably because at extubation dexmedetomidine infusion was turned off and awakening of patient may contribute to an increase in MAP.

Duration of PACU Stay

The duration of PACU stay was similar between the two groups (group D v/s group C, 7.06±3.05 min v/s 7.96 ± 2.22 min, p>0.05). Similar to the present study, dexmedetomidine in the dose of 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr is not known to increase the length of the PACU stay.

Adverse Effects

The present study was not powered to draw statistical inferences with respect to any adverse effects. Dexmedetomidine is known to cause bradycardia, hypotension and hypertension. None of the patients in both the groups had bradycardia. Hypotension (defined as MAP < 60 mmHg) was noted in 17 (34%) patients in group C and 21 (42%) patients in group D and was similar. Tachycardia (defined as HR > 110) was noted in 3 patients in group C and required intravenous esmolol. None of the patients in group D had tachycardia. None of the patients in both groups had desaturation (SpO2 < 90%), laryngospasm. One patient in group D had residual sedation (sedation score ≤3).

ADVERSE EFFECTS

The present study was not powered to draw statistical inferences with respect to any adverse effects. Dexmedetomidine is known to cause bradycardia, hypotension and hypertension. None of the patients in both the groups had bradycardia. Hypotension (defined as MAP < 60 mmHg) was noted in 17 (34%) patients in group C and 21 (42%) patients in group D and was similar. Tachycardia (defined as HR > 110) was noted in 3 patients in group C and required intravenous esmolol. None of the patients in group D had tachycardia. None of the patients in both groups had desaturation (SpO2 < 90%), laryngospasm. One patient in group D had residual sedation (sedation score ≤3).

CONCLUSIONS

Dexmedetomidine as an infusion of 0.4 mcg/Kg/hr, from induction till extubation reduces emergence agitation in adults undergoing nasal surgery under desflurane general anaesthesia.
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