Cell Contact–Dependent Outer Membrane Exchange in Myxobacteria: Genetic Determinants and Mechanism

Darshankumar T. Pathak1, Xueming Wei1, Alex Bucuvalas1, Daniel H. Haft2, Dietlind L. Gerloff3, Daniel Wall1*

1 Department of Molecular Biology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming, United States of America, 2 J. Craig Venter Institute, Rockville, Maryland, United States of America, 3 Department of Biomolecular Engineering, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California, United States of America

Abstract

Biofilms are dense microbial communities. Although widely distributed and medically important, how biofilm cells interact with one another is poorly understood. Recently, we described a novel process whereby myxobacterial biofilm cells exchange their outer membrane (OM) lipoproteins. For the first time we report here the identification of two host proteins, TraAB, required for transfer. These proteins are predicted to localize in the cell envelope; and TraA encodes a distant PA14 lectin-like domain, a cysteine-rich tandem repeat region, and a putative C-terminal protein sorting tag named MYXOCTERM, while TraB encodes an OmpA-like domain. Importantly, TraAB are required in donors and recipients, suggesting bidirectional transfer. By use of a lipophilic fluorescent dye, we also discovered that OM lipids are exchanged. Similar to lipoproteins, dye transfer requires TraAB function, gliding motility and a structured biofilm. Importantly, OM exchange was found to regulate swarming and development behaviors, suggesting a new role in cell–cell communication. A working model proposes TraA is a cell surface receptor that mediates cell–cell adhesion for OM fusion, in which lipoproteins/lipids are transferred by lateral diffusion. We further hypothesize that cell contact–dependent exchange helps myxobacteria to coordinate their social behaviors.

Introduction

Biofilms are ubiquitous in nature. Within these structures microbes adhere to surfaces and each other in dense communities coated by an extracellular matrix. Although biofilms are of great medical and industrial interest [1], little is known about how these cells interact. In some cases, cell–cell contacts likely promote communication and provide spatial cues about neighboring cells to direct biofilm maintenance and maturation [2,3]. Experimentally, biofilm research is hindered by limited knowledge and approaches to study their cellular dynamics [4]. Recently we described a novel biofilm dependent process whereby myxobacteria exchange their outer membrane (OM) lipoproteins [5,6]. This transfer process can result in phenotypic changes and may represent a unique mechanism in which biofilm cells communicate. Although OM lipoprotein exchange is an interesting phenomenon, little is known about the mechanism and protein components required for transfer.

Myxobacteria are gram-negative soil dwelling microbes that exhibit complex multicellular behaviors. Central to these behaviors is gliding motility, which powers and coordinates swarm expansion, rippling, predation and fruiting body development on solid surfaces. Myxococcus xanthus has two distinct motility systems called A (adventurous) and S (social) motility, which served as the experimental backdrop for the discovery of OM lipoprotein exchange [7,8]. S-motility is powered by the retraction of type IV pili adhered to external surfaces, effectively pulling the cell forward [9]. The motor powering A-motility is beginning to be defined and may involve cell surface adhesins that translocate on tracks [10]. Nonmotile mutants (A–S–) thus typically contain two mutations. Of interest here is a small subset of motility mutants that can be complemented extracellularly when mixed with another strain that encodes the corresponding wild-type gene [8,11]. Historically, this process was called ‘stimulation’ as the recipient mutant transiently gains the ability to glide. Stimulation only involves phenotypic changes; there are no genotypic changes. Of the six stimulatable motility genes (cglB/C/D/E/F and tgl) [7,8], only two have been previously identified; cglB (A-motility) and tgl (S-motility) [12,13]. Importantly, both of these genes encode type II signal sequences (SS) for lipoproteins. The mechanism of stimulation was determined to involve cell-to-cell transfer of either the CglB or Tgl lipoproteins from donor to recipient cells, thus restoring missing protein function to the respective mutant [5]. Strikingly, lipoprotein transfer is efficient as recipient cells accumulate approximately equal quantities of proteins as donors [5,6]. Recently, we described the identification of the cgl/D/F/E/F genes [14]. These genes encode either a type I or type II signal sequence.

To determine the molecular mechanism of OM lipoprotein exchange (stimulation) we recently defined the clt factor requirement in the cargo protein [6]. Surprisingly, simply a type II signal
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All cells interact with their environment, including other cells, to elicit cellular responses. Cell–cell interactions between eukaryotic cells are widely appreciated as large multicellular organisms coordinate cell behaviors for tissue and organ functions. In bacteria cell–cell interactions are not widely appreciated, as these organisms are relatively simple and are often depicted as single-cell entities. However, over the past decade, the concept of bacteria living in microbial communities or biofilms has received broad acceptance as a major lifestyle. As biofilm cells are packed in tight physical contact, there is an opportunity for cell–cell signaling to provide spatial and physiological clues of neighboring cells to elicit cellular responses. Although much has been learned about diffusible signals through quorum sensing, little is known about cell contact–dependent signaling in bacteria. In this report we describe a new mechanism where bacterial cells within structured biofilms form contacts that allow cellular material to be exchanged. This exchange elicits phenotypic changes, including in cell movements and development. We hypothesize that OM exchange involves kin recognition that bestows social benefits to myxobacterial populations.

Sequence for OM localization is sufficient for heterologous transfer of the mCherry fluorescent protein. Cytoplasmic or inner membrane reporters were not transferred. Transfer also requires specific cell-cell contacts where motility is apparently required to align biofilm cells [6,15]. Here, we sought to identify trans or host genetic determinants required for lipoprotein transfer. In a prior study we screened known S-motility mutants for stimulation defects [16]. This resulted in the identification of a subset of pil mutants that were conditionally defective in tgl stimulation. However, these mutants were not further pursued because they are functional for cgl stimulation, and tgl stimulation occurs when cells are mixed on hard agar at low cell densities. This report identifies two gene products universally required for stimulation and lipoprotein transfer. In addition, we provide evidence, for the first time, that myxobacteria exchange their OM lipids, and that this process can regulate swarming and developmental behaviors.

Results

Identification of TraA, a protein universally required for stimulation and lipoprotein transfer

To elucidate the mechanism of lipoprotein transfer we sought to identify mutants defective in stimulation. We reasoned that cgl and tgl stimulation occurs by a common mechanism, whereby OM proteins, and perhaps periplasmic proteins, are transferred from donor to recipient cells that lack a corresponding protein function. To avoid trivial or idiosyncratic mutants associated with particular cgl or tgl genes, we sought mutants universally defective in stimulation of the six known cgl/tgl complementation groups. We initiated these studies by first characterizing select mutants in the Dale Kaiser strain collection, the laboratory in which A- and S-motility and stimulation were discovered [7,8]. One such mutant (DK396), isolated by Jonathan Hodgkin, appeared to possess the desired phenotype. This strain was isolated by ultraviolet light mutagenesis on an A+-S+ (DK1211) strain and then screened for the loss of S-motility (nonmotile A–S–). Serendipitously, this mutant was found to be donor defective for stimulation, a phenotype we verified for all cgl/tgl mutants.

As the donor defect mutation was not known nor easily mapped, the DK396 genome was sequenced to identify the gene of interest. Upon >39X sequence coverage the DK396 genome was compared to the wild-type DK1622 genome to identify DNA changes [17]. Mutations in 20 gene candidates were identified (Table S1). The mutations responsible for the A- and S-motility defects, but not the stimulation defect, were easily found as they were in known motility genes (Table S1; agl/T and pilR, respectively) [18,19]. Based on the severity of the mutations and predictions of gene function and subcellular localization, a prioritized list of 9 gene candidates was chosen. Assuming the phenotype was caused by a loss-of-function mutation, these genes were systematically tested for a role in stimulation by a rapid gene disruption method in a nonmotile donor strain. From these experiments one insertion mutation in nuxan_6895 (hereby named traA for transfer) was found to recapitulate the donor defective phenotype observed in DK396. Figure 1 shows that a disruption mutation in traA results in a complete block of stimulation for all the cglB, C, D, E, F and tgl mutants, as indicated by sharp colony edges (Figure 1 row D). The traA+ isogenic control donor stimulates all cgl/tgl mutants for A or S-motility (Figure 1 row C). The degree to which strains were stimulatable varied and only involved partial motility restoration (Figure 1 compare row C to A). From these results it was concluded that traA is universally required for cgl/tgl stimulation.

Next we tested whether TraA was required for SSOM-mCherry transfer [6]. This reporter has a type II SS for OM lipoprotein localization fused to a fluorescent protein. In this assay a nonmotile and non-stimulatable SSOM-mCherry donor was mixed with an A-motive GFP+ labeled recipient. The cell mixture was pipetted onto a TPM agarose pad and motile recipients were allowed to swarm. The swarm edge was then examined by epifluorescence microscopy to determine whether SSOM-mCherry was transferred from the nonmotile donor to motile recipients. As shown in Figure 2C controls, SSOM-mCherry was readily detected in GFP labeled recipient flares [6]. In contrast, an isogenic donor that contained the traA::km disruption exhibited no SSOM-mCherry transfer (Figure 2F). To verify these results we conducted related experiments where the same strains were again mixed and spotted on agar, and after short incubations cells were harvested and microscopically examined on glass slides. Here transfer was directly tested by assessing whether GFP labeled recipients become red. As previously reported, control strains show transfer (Figure 3 left green and red panels, see arrows), where typically >90% of recipients obtain detectable levels of SSOM-mCherry [6]. In contrast, when an isogenic traA donor was used no SSOM-mCherry transfer was detected (Figure 3 middle merged panel). We further note that replication of this experiment; under similar or different conditions/strain backgrounds, where thousands of cells were evaluated, never resulted in detection of SSOM-mCherry transfer from a traA+ donor. We conclude that TraA is required for OM lipoprotein transfer and stimulation.

Genetic analysis of the traAB operon

The traA ORF and the downstream nuxan_6898 ORF (lucas tag numbers are not consecutive) overlap by four bases, suggesting they form an operon and their gene products may function in the same pathway (Figure 4A). To test this we created an insertion mutation in nuxan_6898. This mutant exhibited a complete block in stimulation for all cgl and tgl mutants and was completely defective in SSOM-mCherry transfer (Figures S1 and S2). In addition, markerless in-frame deletions in traA and nuxan_6898 were constructed and found to elicit the identical phenotypes reported
here. Therefore mxan_6898 was named traB and its gene product is predicted to function in the same pathway as TraA.

The mxan_6894 ORF is located 126 bps upstream of traA, suggesting it is not part of the traAB operon. To test for a possible role in stimulation/transfer an insertion mutation was again created. In contrast to traA and traB, the mxan_6894::km mutant showed no overt defect in simulation or SSOM-mCherry transfer.

To test whether the stimulation/transfer defect of DK396 was solely caused by the traA mutation, the selectable mxan_6894::km mutation and the tightly linked traA+ allele were transduced into DK396. All resulting Kmr transductants were fully competent for stimulation, thus the traA mutation in DK396 caused the stimulation/transfer defects found in this strain. Since the mutation in DK396 was a missense substitution (Table S1; 227P→R), we tested whether it caused a dominant-negative phenotype by complementation analysis. Here, the wild-type traAB genes were cloned into a plasmid that directs site specific recombination into the Mx8 phage attachment site. Integration of this plasmid into the DK396 genome restored stimulation to the resulting strain, thus demonstrating the traA227P→R allele was recessive. In addition, this plasmid, which has traAB under the heterologous transcription control of the strong polI promoter, was introduced into a traA strain that contains the SSOM-mCherry reporter. Strikingly, upon microscopic examination this TraAB overexpressing strain was found to dramatically cause cells to adhere to one another in both kinked end-to-end chains and side-by-side contacts (Figure S3). The implication of this observation is discussed below.

TraAB are required in recipients for stimulation and protein transfer

Next, we tested whether TraAB plays a role in recipient cells for stimulation/transfer. Since traA and traB mutants are fully motile, one or more of these mutations were introduced into all the cgl/tgl mutants. Importantly, when recipient cells contain a traA or traB mutation and mixed with a TraA+ donor, no stimulation occurred (Figure 1 row E and Figure S2). We conclude that TraAB are required in both donor and recipient cells for stimulation. Next, defects in protein transfer were tested. As described above, when a nonmotile (traA2) donor was mixed with a motile traA2 recipient, SSOM-mCherry was not transferred (Figure 2G–2I and Figure 3 right column). We conclude that TraAB are required in donor and recipients for stimulation and lipoprotein transfer.

Bioinformatic analysis. Sequence analysis of TraAB by Signal P 4.0 showed that both ORFs are predicted to encode type I SS, suggesting cell envelope localization (Figure 4B) [20]. BLAST

---

**Figure 1. Stimulation of gliding motility depends on TraA.** (A) Indicated motility phenotypes shown for reference. To easily observe stimulation the donor strain (DK6204) was nonmotile (A+ S⁺), i.e. produces sharp colony edges, and nonstimulatable, while the (B) cglI and tgl mutant recipients were phenotypically A- S⁻ but stimulatable. (C) Indicated mutants mixed 1:1 with the donor exhibiting various degrees of A- or S-motility stimulation. (D) Same as C, except strains mixed with isogenic traA::km donor. (E) Same as C, except isogenic recipient strains contain the traA::km mutation. Cells were incubated for 22 hrs at 33°C and observed with 10x objective. Table S2 lists strain genotypes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002626.g001
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Figure 2. Transfer of heterologous SSOM-mCherry reporter in M. xanthus swarm requires TraA. Nonmotile SSOM-mCherry donors (DW1411 or DW1412) were mixed 1:3 with A-motile GFP labeled recipients (DW1414 or DW1416). After 1 day incubation on TPM agarose pad A-motile recipients readily swarm out from the inoculum spot. Column micrographs were of identical fields taken under phase contrast and GFP or mCherry fluorescence (20× objective). Indicated isogenic strains contain traA+ or traA− alleles. In panels F and H arrows indicate nonmotile donor cells that were pushed or dragged to the swarm edge [6]. Table S2 lists strain genotypes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002626.g002

Figure 3. SSOM-mCherry transfer requires TraA. A 1:1 mixture of donor and recipient cells were mixed and spotted on 1% CTT 1% agar and incubated for 4 hrs. Swarms were harvested and single cells were microscopically examined on glass slides to test whether GFP recipients became red by obtaining SSOM-mCherry. White arrows highlight two cells where transfer occurred. Column micrographs (100× objective) were of identical fields. Rod shaped M. xanthus cells were ~0.5×6.0 microns. Strains were as described in Figure 2 and were traA− unless indicated otherwise in column headers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002626.g003

searches against the non-redundant database revealed close homology to putative proteins in four other Myxococcales species: Myxococcus fulvus; Stigmatella aurantia; Halothiobacillus ochraceus; and Sorangium cellulosum (see Figure S4 for locus names and sequences). Based on unambiguity of the top hit in each species and the extent of the sequence conservation (E-values ≤1×10−145 over ≥89% of the query length, or more) these proteins are likely orthologs of TraA. No other orthologs emerged in our searches. Immediately downstream of the TraA SS, where the DK396 traA227P→I missense mutation resides (Figure 4), a region was identified with distant sequence homology to the PA14 domain (namesake from anthrax protective antigen 14 kDa) (E-value 7×10−7 to Conserved Domain c08459 over residues 122 to 234); PA14 domains are found in a diverse set of eukaryotic and bacterial proteins with roles in carbohydrate binding and/or metabolism where their function is known [21,22]. To ascertain whether the homology between TraA and PA14 was significant, an in depth bioinformatic and computational modeling analysis was undertaken. We first used the dual HMM approach HHpred [23] to confirm a match, which was strongest to the recently solved N-terminal domain of yeast Flocculin 5 [24] (HHpred P-value 6×10−7 over residues 148 to 245, default parameters). Various other structure prediction methods at the genesilico.pl metaserver site [25] concurred and produced top-ranked fold matches to PA14 with near-significant scores. We further tested the feasibility of this prediction by a template-based structural model, which we based on a manually extended alignment of TraA (and orthologs; see Materials and Methods), to a corrected Pfam seed alignment (Pfam07691; 35 sequences) derived from the automated predictions. Corrections to the Pfam alignment were mandated by resolvable discrepancies between the aligned sequences and superimposed structures of Flocculin-PA14 (PDB: 2XJP; FLO5_YEAST) and the ‘‘founder-type’’ anthrax toxin structures (e.g. PDB:1ACC; PAG_BACAN). The alignment extract (Figure S4) shows these PA14 domains with TraA and its orthologs, and defines the modeled domain fragment (residues 62 to 259 in TraA; Figure 4). The model predicts a calcium binding site as one has been characterized in some PA14 domains but seems absent in others. This was indicated by conservation of two key residues (Asp183 and Asp184), the proximity of a possible third ligand (Glu237) in the neighboring carbohydrate-binding loop 2 (CBL2), and the electrostatic surface properties of our three dimensional coordinate model of the PA14TraA (Figure 4C). Notably in Flocculin, the calcium ion at this exact site serves to specifically bind carbohydrates [24,26]. By contrast the anthrax toxin PA14, for which no direct glycan binding has been demonstrated, lacks this calcium/carbohydrate-binding site. A regulatory calcium binding site in TraA was also consistent with our observations that stimulation was significantly enhanced by the addition of CaCl2 to agar, and blocked by the calcium chelator EGTA (Figure S5). From these analyses we conclude that TraA contains a bona fide PA14 domain. The proposed calcium-binding site and location are compatible with carbohydrate binding via this ion, a property that has so far only been established in eukaryotic PA14 domains. Within the PA14 family, PA14TraA and orthologous fragments form a new and distinct myxobacterial clade.

Following the TraA PA14 domain was a region rich in cysteines (71 Cys). Sequence analysis revealed this region contained nine repeat elements, in which the first five are in tandem (Figure 4B). Since other myxobacteria ORFs were specifically found to contain similar repeats, a new TIGRFAM was created and named TIGR04201 (Figure S6). From this a weblogo was generated to illustrate sequence diversity at each position, revealing that three Cys are invariant and two are marginally conserved (Figure 4D). As TraA was predicted to be secreted, these cysteines are likely oxidized to form disulphide bonds. It is also noteworthy that cysteine-rich proteins are characteristically associated with the extracellular matrix [27]. Lastly, we discovered that TraA encodes a myxobacteria-specific C-terminal motif (Figure 4B). Within the DK1622 genome we identified 34 ORFs (Figure S7) that
contained this motif we called MYXO-CTERM and it was designated as a new TIGRFAM named TIGR03901. These sequences were again aligned to generate a weblogo (Figure 5). This MYXO-CTERM motif contains a predicted transmembrane $\alpha$-helix and as such the C-terminal residues, rich in Arg, are likely cytoplasmic. In contrast, the N-terminal residues are likely periplasmic, including position 2 which contains an invariant Cys (Figure 5 and Figure S7). Comparative logos were created to other bacterial C-terminal tags known or postulated to function in protein sorting. As graphically depicted these logos show MYXO-CTERM shares striking sequence and membrane topology similarities (Figure 5). The best studied example is LPXTG (TIGR01167), a protein sorting tag widely found in gram-positive bacteria that results in processing by sortase and subsequent covalent attachment to the cell surface [28]. Similarly, the PEP-CTERM (TIGR02595) and GlyGly-CTERM (TIGR03501) motifs are predicted to be involved in protein sorting and cell surface localization in gram-negative bacteria [29–31]. Thus, by analogy to the LPXTG, PEP-CTERM and GlyGly-CTERM tags, the MYXO-CTERM was postulated to serve as a myxobacteria specific protein sorting tag for cell surface localization. Consistent with this, 9 of the 34 M. xanthus TIGR03901 ORFs were experimentally found on the cell surface [32]. Separately, the N-terminal region of TraB showed no significant homologies, while its C-terminal region encodes an OmpA/MotB-like domain (Pfam00691), presumably involved in peptidoglycan binding.

As described above, traA encodes a protein with unique bacterial domain architecture, yet surprisingly mxan_4924 encodes a close paralog (BLAST E-value $4 \times 10^{-101}$ against NCBI non-redundant database, over TraA residues 40 to 364) with very similar domain architecture; type I SS, PA14 domain, cysteine-rich repeats (TIGR04201) and MYXO-CTERM. Because of these similarities an insertion mutation was created, mxan_4924::km. However this mutant exhibited no overt defect in stimulation or SSOM-mCherry transfer and consequently has no ascribed function.

Figure 4. Genetic and modular structure of TraAB. (A) Operon structure depicting genes that translationally overlap. (B) Domain and motif architecture and the DK396 amino acid substitution indicated. (C) Modeled three-dimensional structure and electrostatic surface potential of TraA PA14 domain. Features shown in green in the ribbon diagram (left) could serve to recognize glycans through potential side-chain coordination of a calcium ion by Asp183, Asp184, Glu237 (only Cα-Cβ shown), and the location of an insertion important for carbohydrate-binding specificity in FLO5 [24]. Graphics produced with PyMOL (Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3, Schrödinger, LLC) and APBS Tools2 [61]. (D) consensus sequence LOGO [62] for Cys-repeats found in TraA and myxobacteria family members designated TIGR04201. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002626.g004
TraAB are required for OM lipid exchange

The finding that OM lipoproteins are efficiently and apparently non-specifically transferred suggests that OM lipids may also be exchanged. To test this, donor cells were stained with a fluorescent lipophilic dye called DiD oil. As shown, DiD specifically stained the cell envelope, which fluoresced red (Figure S8). Importantly, when stained cells were harvested, washed and mixed with GFP-labeled recipients in solution, recipients did not fluoresce red, indicating the dye did not freely diffuse between cells. As transfer requires a hard surface, cell-cell contact and motility, we next tested, under these conditions, for DiD transfer [6]. As shown in Figure 6 (left panels), DiD transfer readily occurred to GFP-labeled recipients. As controls, no DiD transfer occurred when isogenic recipients contained a traA mutation or when donor and recipients were both nonmotile (Figure S8, middle and right panels, respectively). In accordance with the above results, TraA was also required in donors, and similarly TraB in donors/recipient, for DiD transfer (Figure S9). These experiments show, similar to SSOmp-mCherry transfer (Figure 2 and Figure 3) [6], that lipophilic dye and hence OM lipid, requires a hard surface, cell motility, and TraAB functions in donor and recipient cells for transfer.

OM exchange can regulate motility and development behaviors

As noted above, the traA and traB mutants exhibited no overt defects in A or S-motility, suggesting that OM transfer was not required for motor functions. However, the exchange of OM lipids and proteins involves significant resource sharing between cells and therefore this process must involve physiological consequenc-
es. One such phenotypic consequence was the restoration of swarming defects to certain motility mutants (Figure 1). However, extracellular complementation might have little significance between wild-type cells as they contain a full complement of motility proteins. In strain-mixing experiments we discovered that traA, but not traB, strains, dramatically inhibited swarming when a nonmotile strain was mixed with a motile strain. An example of how a nonmotile strain inhibits swarming expansion of an A'S' strain was illustrated in Figure 7A. In contrast, when identical mixing experiments were done between isogenic traB strains, swarm expansion occurred (Figure 7B and 7C). As was found for lipoprotein and lipid transfer, the relief of swarm inhibition occurred when the traA mutation was introduced into either the nonmotile or motile strains. However, we note, swarm expansion was consistently more robust when the motile strain, instead of the nonmotile strain, contained the traA mutation (compare Figure 7B to 7C). An identical relief of swarm inhibition was again found when strains instead contained the traB mutation. Similarly, a TraA' dependence for swarm inhibition of A'S' motility was found when these strains were instead mixed with a nonmotile strain. In contrast, inhibition of A'S' motility was minimal. To test whether swarm inhibition was specific to certain motility genes we test a variety of A'S' double mutants, including combinations of tsp/dif, pilA, pilM, pilT, pilQ, sh, aglB, aglR and aglM mutations, and in all cases these nonmotile strains inhibited swarming expansion of A'S' motile strains. We conclude that swarm inhibition was not dependent on specific motility genes, but instead was dependent on TraAB and thus OM exchange.

Macroscopically swarm inhibition was apparent (Figure 7A, 4 day incubation); however swarm inhibition was not absolute as flares were initially observed emerging from inoculation mixtures (Figure 7D, 15 hrs). Microscopically, the number and size of these...
Figure 7. Outer membrane exchange regulates mixed colony swarm expansion. Column headers list relevant strain features of nonmotile DK8601 (A, C, D and F) or DW1419 (B and E) and A-motile DK8615 (A, B, D and E) or DW1415 (C and D) isogenic strain mixtures (1:1 ratios). Top panels are 4 day old colonies (stereo micrographs). Bottom panels are colony edges of identical strain sets at higher magnification (10× phase contrast objective) taken at an earlier time point (15 hrs). Swarm expansion of the A⁺ strain was inhibited by a nonmotile strain in a TraA dependent manner.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002626.g007

Figure 8. Outer membrane exchange regulates developmental sporulation. An A⁺ strain (DK8615) designated A⁺ Tra⁻ was sporulation proficient and arbitrarily set at a 100%; while a nonmotile strain (A⁻ Tra⁻; DK8601) did not sporulate. The traA::km mutation (Tra⁻) was crossed into these strains (DW1415 and DW1419, respectively) and their sporulation efficiencies did not significantly change. A-motile and nonmotile strains were then mixed at a 1:1 ratio and sporulation of the A⁺ strain was blocked in a TraA dependent manner. Development was conducted on TPM starvation agar and cells were harvested after 5 days and viable spores determined in triplicate, averaged and error bars shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002626.g008

discussion

To understand the mechanism of lipoprotein exchange we identified mutants universally defective in cgf/tgl stimulation and protein transfer. Interestingly, these TraAB proteins were required in both donor and recipient cells. To our knowledge, this is the first bacterial transfer system where the same gene products are required in both donor and recipient cells. This finding and the ability of M. xanthus cells to rapidly and homogeneously exchange lipoproteins [5,6] implies that lipoproteins are transferred in a bidirectional manner. A bidirectional transfer mechanism is distinct from known secretion and conjugative systems [36,37], where proteins or DNA are transferred unidirectionally from donor to recipient cells.

Since OM lipoprotein exchange occurs efficiently and involves a form of bulk transfer [5,6], we hypothesized that OM lipids may also be exchanged. This hypothesis was supported by the finding that a lipophilic fluorescent dye was readily exchanged between motile cells that blocked their motility.

Myxobacteria are noted for the social behaviors and ability to form multicellular fruiting bodies in response to starvation. We thus tested whether Tra plays a role in development. A traA mutation was introduced into a wild-type strain, but no overt defects in fruiting body formation or sporulation was observed. To extend the above swarm inhibition findings, we next tested whether genetically distinct strain mixtures, as found in nature [33], interfered with development in a Tra dependent manner. First, the traA mutation did not significantly alter the ability of A⁺ strains to sporulate (Figure 8) [34]. Second, as development is coupled to motility [35], nonmotile strains cannot fruit or sporulate and a traA mutation does not alter this phenotype (Figure 8). Strikingly, however, when the A⁻ strain was mixed in a 1:1 ratio with a nonmotile strain no viable spores were detected (≥6-logs; Figure 8). In contrast, when isogenic strains contained the traA mutation in either strain, the ability of the A-motile strain to sporulate was restored to control levels (Figure 8). Thus similar to swarm inhibition, a nonmotile strain can block development of a motile strain that depends on TraA and hence OM exchange.
reportedly transfers proteins in biofilms via membrane enclosed nanotubes [39].

Based on sequence, domain architecture and functional similarities to eukaryotic proteins, we propose that TraA serves as a cell surface receptor. In particular, TraA has similarities to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* FLO1 and FLO5 cell surface receptors/adhesions [21,24,40] (Figure 4). These FLO proteins have domain architecture consisting of a SS, N-terminal PA14 domain, a central tandem repeat region and a C-terminal protein sorting tag (GPI site; glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor) for cell surface attachment [26]. Thus, by analogy, we suggest that in TAA the SS serves to transport the protein to the periplasm followed by SS cleavage. The processed N-terminal PA14 domain would serve as a receptor for ligand binding, presumably a glycan. The cysteinerich tandem repeats could serve as a rigid stalk for PA14 presentation on the cell surface. The MYXO-CTERM motif could function, analogous to a GPI site, in protein sorting to the cell surface. Recent reports suggest the MYXO-CTERM and related C-terminal tags (Figure 5) are widely distributed in bacteria and archaea, where they are proposed to be posttranslationally modified and direct protein sorting to the cell surface [29–31]. Although initial attempts to generate TraA antibodies or fluorescent protein fusions were unsuccessful, TraAB overexpression was found to dramatically increase the ability of cells to adhere to one another (Figure S3). This result is consistent with TraA serving as a cell surface adhesin. Furthermore, the identification of the *traA227P→L* nonsense mutation within PA14 highlights the importance of this domain for function (Figure 4).

We also note that *Dictostelium discoideum*, a eukaryotic soil slime mold that exhibits similar multicellular behaviors as *M. xanthus* [41], produces two secreted signals, called DicA1 (PsiF) and PsiA, whose proteins contain PA14 domains followed by cysteine-rich repeats (Pam00526) of various lengths that show some resemblance to TIGR04201 [21,27,42,43]. Thus, *M. xanthus* and other microbes, including eukaryotes, appear to utilize PA14 encoding proteins as extracellular signaling and recognition molecules to mediate social interactions.

Recent bioinformatic analysis suggests gram-negative bacteria encode C-terminal protein sorting tags that function analogously to the well-characterized gram-positive LPXTG/sortase system [29]. In the case of MYXO-CTERM, we postulate that this motif forms a transmembrane α-helix and anchors pre-TraA into the IM [29,31]. Here the Arg rich C-terminal tail would reside in the cytoplasm, while the remainder of the protein would be in the membrane or periplasm (Figure 5). Thus analogous to lipoprotein processing [44], an acyl transferase could attach a lipid moiety via a thioether bond to the invariant Cys (Figure 5 and Figure S7). Subsequently, an endoprotease would cleave the TIGR03901 motif downstream of the aforementioned Cys residue. Once processed a system analogous to the Lol pathway could transport these proteins to the cell surface.

As the *traB* gene overlaps in a bicistronic operon with *traA* (Figure 4A) and mutations in each gene elicit identical phenotypes, suggests that TraAB likely function in the same transport pathway. Since the C-terminal region of TraB contains an OmpA-like domain (Pam00691), it likely binds non-covalently to the cell wall. The N-terminal region constitutes the majority of this protein (~400 amino acids) and has no ascribed function (Figure 4), but theoretically could interact with the OM and even traverse the OM to interact with TraA. It is also plausible that TraB may facilitate TraA’s localization to the cell surface.

A working model for the mechanism of cell contact-dependent exchange is outlined in Figure 9. First, cell-cell recognition is postulated to be mediated by TraA serving as a cell surface receptor. We suggest that the distant PA14 domain may function in ligand binding to neighboring cell surfaces. Glycans found in LPS or glycoproteins are possible ligands. In a variation of this model TraA may function as a homophilic receptor. Similar to the FLO1 system, a key component of this model involves reciprocal TraA binding by both cells. A ‘donor’ cell was arbitrarily assigned and its OM (mCherry) lipoproteins were symbolized as red lollipops. Upon aligned cell-cell contact and docking the OM membranes of adjoining cells fuse. Although not directly depicted, TraAB may facilitate membrane fusion by bringing OMs into close proximity and perhaps causing local membrane perturbations that help catalyze OM fusion. Membrane fusion may also be facilitated at cell poles where the membranes have high tip curvatures and thus are more fusogenic [45]. Once cells are adhered cell motility could also stress the membrane. Upon OM fusion, lipids and lipoproteins rapidly exchange bidirectionally; a process presumably driven by lateral diffusion. Integral and associated OM proteins are also likely transferred as the CglE and CglF proteins encode type 1 signal sequences [14]. It is unknown whether soluble periplasmic proteins are transferred. Prior studies clearly indicate inner membrane lipoproteins and cytoplasmic proteins are not transferred [6]. Following fusion cells physically separate, a process likely facilitated by gliding motility.

The exchange of OM lipoproteins has phenotypic consequences to the cell, including complementation of mutational defects (Figure 1). Whether the restoration of mutation defects is ecologically important is unknown; however population heterogeneity within biofilms, especially from an environmental setting are significant [4], and consequently some individuals within a population are less fit. Thus, we hypothesize that the ability to exchange and share the OM proteome allows some individuals to gain fitness and for the population to establish OM homeostasis. In turn, homeostasis may increase population fitness by normalizing intercellular signal output and reception by reducing population heterogeneity. Thus community behaviors, such as swarming and development might be better coordinated. In this respect, our
findings that a mixture of nonmotile cells with motile cells inhibits
the latter cells from swarming in a TraAB and time dependent
manner (Figure 7), suggests these cells are communicating and
coordinating their behaviors via OM exchange. Similarly, OM
exchange can regulate development behaviors between genetically
distinct strains (Figure 9). The use of strain mixtures to study cell-
cell interactions in motility and development is ecologically
relevant, as diverse M. xanthus isolates are found in close proximity
in nature [33,46]. The mechanism for developmental inhibition by
nonmotile cells on motile cells is unknown, but may simply reflect
a block in motility (Figure 7) [47]. Alternatively or in addition,
OM exchange with nonmotile cells may transmit a signal that
blocks development. Currently, we are investigating the nature of
these putative signals.

Our results indicate that myxobacteria exchange and thus share
a significant amount of their cellular resources. This has led us to
hypothesize that cell contact-dependent OM exchange represents
a form of cooperative social behavior that may involve kin
recognition. A kin recognition mechanism avoids the theoretical
and ecologically relevant concern that ‘cheater’ cells could exploit
or disrupt this social behavior to obtain resources [48]. This
problem is highlighted by observations that environmental M.
$xanthus$ populations arise from diverse origins [33,46]. Thus unlike
artificial laboratory settings where multicellular behaviors are
typically studied with a single homogenous culture, natural
myxobacteria isolates must recognize kin from non-kin cells as
they vacillate between single cell and multicellular life.

The data presented here provide three lines of evidence that cell
contact-dependent OM exchange involves kin recognition. First,
TraAB proteins are required in both ‘donors’ and ‘recipients.’
Thus if one cell does not express TraAB, exchange cannot occur.
Second, exchange appears bidirectional, thus both cells are giving
and receiving. Therefore, there is no inherent advantage one cell
type has over another, unless one cell is starving and has depleted
resources. Third, TraA contains a PA14 domain, with features
resembling PA14 domains in yeast flocculin proteins involved in
kin recognition and social behaviors. More specifically, flo1 and
other genes within this group were classified as ‘greenbeard’ genes,
which by molecular definition are cell surface receptors that
recognize other cells carrying the same gene to provide social
preferential treatment [49,50]. In the case of FLO1 the protein
allows yeast cells to enter the protective domain of a floc, where
cells are so tightly joined they become deformed. Within floc cells
are protected from environmental stresses and cheater cells (flo1−)
cannot enter [40]. In another greenbeard example, the
$Dictyostelium$ add gene, which encodes a homophilic cell surface receptor,
plays a discrimination role in partitioning cells to desirable
locations within fruiting bodies [51]. Current experiments are
testing whether TraA plays such a role.

Materials and Methods

Strains and media

Bacterial strains and plasmids are listed in Table S2 [52]. M.
$xanthus$ was grown at 35°C in CTT medium (1% casitone, 1 mM
$KH_{2}PO_{4}$, 8 mM $MgSO_{4}$, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6) in the dark
and when necessary supplemented with kanamycin (Km; 50 μg/
ml), oxytetracycline (Tc; 15 μg/ml), or streptomycin (Sm;
600 μg/ml). For ½ CTT, casitone was reduced to 0.5%. On
plates, agar concentration was 1.0 or 1.2%. TPM buffer contains
10 mM Tris, 1 mM KH$_{2}$PO$_{4}$ and 8 mM MgSO$_{4}$, pH 7.6.
E. coli was grown at 37°C in LB medium and when
necessary supplemented with Km (50 μg/ml), ampicillin
(100 μg/ml) or Sm (100 μg/ml).

Genomic sequencing and genetic mapping

The DK396 genome was sequenced by using Illumina second
generation DNA sequencing technology (NCGR, Santa Fe, NM).
Sequence reads were aligned and analyzed for mutations against
the wild-type DK1622 reference genome within the Alpheus
bioinformatic platform [53].

Genetic manipulations

DNA cloning followed routine protocols [54]. Chromosomal
and plasmid DNA was isolated with UltraClean Microbial DNA
and Mini Plasmid isolation kits (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc.),
respectively, as described by the manufacturer. All insertion
mutations were created by PCR amplification of internal gene
fragments with Taq 2X Master Mix (New England BioLabs)
followed by direct cloning of products into pCR2.1 TOPO
(Invitrogen) and then transformed into DH5α. To overexpress the
traAB operon it was fused downstream of the strong $pilA$
promoter with an optimally designed ribosomal binding site [55].
Specifically, the $pilA$
promoter was amplified with Phusion High-Fidelity
PCR Master Mix with HF Buffer (New England Biolabs) and
cloned into pSWU19 at the EcoRI to XhoI restriction sites [18].
traAB was then similarly amplified and cloned into the XbaI and
HindIII sites. Primers are listed in Table S3. Plasmid constructs
were confirmed by restriction digestion analysis or DNA
sequencing. Verified plasmids were electroporated into M. xanthus
and integrated into the genome by homologous recombination
with antibiotic selection [34]. To identify the donor defect
mutation from DK396, insertion mutations were made in
DK6204 [56] or DK8601 A−S− donor strains (Table S1). Mx4
or Mx8 bacteriophages were used for strain construction by
generalized transduction [15]. Mutants were verified by pheno-
types and molecular methods including PCR and sequencing.

Motility and stimulation

M. xanthus strains were grown to a Klett ~100 ($\sim$3×10$^{8}$ cfu ml$^{-1}$), concentrated by centrifugation and resus-
pended to a calculate Klett of 1000 in TPM buffer. For
stimulation, donors and recipients were mixed at a 1:1 ratio and
5 μl were pipetted onto ½ CTT 1% agar pads containing 3 mM
CaCl$_{2}$ (added after autoclaving) and incubated in a humid
chamber for various times. Micrographs were taken with either
an Olympus SZX10 stereo microscope (whole colony) or a Nikon
E800 phase contrast/fluorescent microscope (colony edge) coupled
to digital imaging systems.

Lipopolysaccharide transfer

A heterologous fluorescent OM lipoprotein reporter, called
SS3OM-mCherry, was used to monitor protein transfer in live cells
[6]. To clearly differentiate recipients from SS3OM-mCherry
expressing donors, the former cells expressed the green fluorescent
protein (GFP). Thus, in general terms, protein transfer was scored
as the ability of green cells to become red. Lipoprotein transfer was
microscopically determined by mixing donor and recipients (1:3 or
1:1 ratios) and either (i) detected as motile recipient flares emerging
from inoculum spots with nonmotile donors, or by (ii) harvesting
cell mixtures and inspecting single cells on glass slides as previously
described [6]. To reduce background fluorescence, the former cells
were spotted on a thin TPM agarose (1%) pads prepared on a glass
slide.

Fluorescent membrane staining and transfer

A sampler kit (Invitrogen; cat# L7781) containing different
lipophilic fluorescent dyes were evaluated for M. xanthus OM
staining. According to the manufacture these dyes are not transferred from stained to unstained cells. DiD oil (component B; DiIC$_{18}$[3] oil) was chosen for further studies where a Texas Red-4040B [Semrock] filter set was used to visualize staining. Cells were grown to Klett $\sim$100, harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in TPM buffer to a calculated Klett of 250. To stain cells, 1 $\mu$l of dye (1 mg/ml, dissolved in ethanol) was added to 49 $\mu$l of cells and incubated for 1 to 2 hrs in the dark at 33 $^\circ$C. Cells were then pelleted by centrifugation, washed with 1 ml TPM and microscopically examined (100x objective). Similar to monitoring $SS_{OM}$-mCherry transfer, dye transfer was also assayed by mixing stained donors with GFP labeled recipients (1:1 ratio) and spotted on a 1/2 CTT 1% agar. After 4 hrs incubation, cells were scraped from the agar surface, washed 2x in 1 ml TPM, placed on glass slide with cover-slip and inspected whether green cells also stained red.

Development

Log phase M. xanthus cultures were concentrated by centrifugation to a calculated Klett of 1000 and pipetted onto TPM starvation agar (four 25 $\mu$l spots) and incubated for 5 days at 33 $^\circ$C. Cells and spores were harvested and placed into a tube with 500 $\mu$l of TPM buffer, heated at 50 $^\circ$C for 2 hrs and then gently pulse sonicated to disperse spores. Spore suspensions were serial diluted of TPM buffer, heated at 50

TraA bioinformatics

PA14 domain analysis and alignments are described in Results and Figure S4. The cysteine-rich repeat of MXAN_6895 was identified by inspection. TIGRFAMs model TIGR04201 was developed by multiple sequence alignment of several repeats, HMM construction, search against a large collection of proteins from prokaryotic reference genomes, and iteratively refined. In proteins identified by TIGR04201 as having at least one copy of the repeat, additional, lower-scoring repeats are confirmed by manual inspection of HMM search results. Completed HMMs were added to the TIGRFAMs database, which uses the HMMER 3.0 software package [57].

A search was undertaken for candidate protein-sorting domains with architectural elements similar to the LPXTG-containing recognition sequence of sortase A [28], the PEP-CTERM putative recognition sequence of exosortase and the PGF-CTERM putative recognition sequence of archarcosortase A [29]. The common architecture was; signature motif, hydrophobic predicted trans-

Three-dimensional structural modeling

Approximate atomic coordinates for the PA14$^{TRA}$ structure was automatically generated from the alignment of known PA14 domains (Figure S1, residues 62 to 259). This was done by using a standard two-step template-based modeling protocol. The initial 3-D model was obtained using MODELLER 9.9, with 2XJP (FLO5) as template structure (default parameters, best-scoring of 20 models) [59]. To produce the final model, side-chain atoms were refined using SCWR4 [60].

Supporting Information

Figure S1 SSOM-mCherry transfer requires TraB. Strains used were DW1414 (GFP$^+$ recipient) and DW1464 (traB::km SSOM-mCherry donor). See Figure 3 for experimental details and controls.

(TIF)

Figure S2 Stimulation of gliding motility depends on TraB. The nonmotile donor strain was DK8601. Tra$^+$ recipients were DK392 (cgDI pilQ$^+$) and DK360 (cgEI pilQ$^+$). Isogenic Tra$^-$ recipients were DW1463 (DK392 traB::km) and DW1408 (DK360 traD::km), Experimental conditions were as described in Figure 1.

(TIF)

Figure S3 TraAB overexpression causes cells to adhere to one another. Top panels are the parental strain DW1411, which contains a $\Delta$pilA mutation that allows disperse liquid growth and the SSOM-mCherry reporter for fluorescent OM visualization. DW1463 is an isogenic derivative that contains a second genomic copy of traAB under heterologous $P_{pilA}$ transcriptional control ($P_{pilA}$-RBS$_{om}$-traAB). Micrographs (100x objective) show identical phase contrast and fluorescent fields.

(TIF)

Figure S4 Multiple alignment extract showing Myxococcales PA14$^{TRA}$ domain sequences with the Pfam07691 family. The M. fulbus ORF was manually assembled from NCBI sequences. Proteins are specified by locus tags and residue numbers. The top and bottom rows shown by their Uniprot accession codes the members with high-resolution crystal structures in the PDB: FLO5$^+$YEAST (PDB: 2XJP), PAG$^+$BACAN (PDB: 1ACC) specifying known $\beta$-strand locations (black arrows; strands $\geq 3$ residues). Residue coloring follows Chustax schema to emphasize amino acid property conservation between distant homologs. Predicted $\beta$-strands (gray arrows) are from Quick2D consensus secondary structure prediction [23] on the subfamily alignment (agreement of $\geq 3$ methods; strands $\geq 3$ residues). No helical segments $\geq 5$ residues are known or predicted. Similarity to previously known PA14 domains (which was used to produce the alignment, see Results) is depicted by a LOGO [62] representation of Pfam07691 after X-terminal correction based on the known structures (seed alignment, 35 sequences; this includes the structure representatives but not the new subfamily introduced by our findings). Positions with $>50\%$ gaps were excised from the LOGO (indicated by small vertical double-lines if within a block) to avoid inadequate representation by the program. The carbohydrate-binding (CB) features characterized in the flocculins [22,24] are annotated above FLO5 (dark red triangles and lettering; CBL1 and CBL2, carbohydrate-binding loops with directly Ca$^{2+}$ coordinating side chains). Red unfilled symbols mark up features in the new PA14$^{TRA}$ subfamily that could serve a similar purpose based on homology and/or structural analogy rationales.

(TIF)
Figure S5  Calcium chloride enhances stimulation. A nonmotile non-stimulatable donor strain (DK8601) was mixed with the respective nonmotile but stimulatable recipient strains; DW1466 (ΔcglC ΔcglD), DK8602 (aglBI ΔcglD) and DK1633 (gglC ΔgglD). Note, DW1466 can be stimulated for both A- and S-motility. Top panel assays were conducted on ½ CTT 1.0% agar, while the middle and bottom panels contained the same media with indicated supplements. See Figure 1 for details.

Figure S6  Alignment of Myxococcales Cys-rich repeats (TIGR04201). Locus tag and amino acid positions are given. Top nine sequences are from TraA (MXAN_6895), in which the first five are tandem repeats. Light blue highlights indicate conserved Cys residues, while dark blue highlights indicates less conserved Cys residues. Grey box shading highlights other conserved residues.

Figure S7  Alignment of MYXO-CTERM motif (TIGR03901) from M. xanthus DK1622 genome. Locus tags and residue positions are shown. Conserved residues highlighted.

Figure S8  Lipophilic DiD dye stains the outer membrane. Cells observed with 100× objective.

Figure S9  Lipophilic fluorescent dye (DiD) transfer depends on TraB in donor and recipient cells. Strains are traB+ unless indicated otherwise. The traB− strain was DW1417. See Figure 6 for details.

Table S1  Mutations identified in DK396 genome.

Table S2  Plasmids and strains used in this study.

Table S3  Primers used in this study.
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