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Abstract Storage of greywater is controversial for environmental and health reasons. Artificial greywater was assessed after 2 and 7 days of storage time. Two different greywater pollutant strengths were statistically compared at each storage time. A negative significant \( p < 0.05 \) correlation was evident with increasing storage time for the 5-day biochemical oxygen demand for more than 2 days. However, the concentrations of 5-day biochemical oxygen and chemical oxygen demands reduced significantly at 2 days of storage when compared with freshly prepared greywater. Biodegradability (5-day biochemical oxygen demand/chemical oxygen demand ratio) decreased significantly after storage to between 0.14 and 0.39. The nitrification process was improved significantly with increasing storage time concerning low strength greywater with a significant increase in the removal of ammonia-nitrogen and a non-significant decrease in the removal of nitrate-nitrogen. The correlation was significantly positive between ammonia-nitrogen and 5-day biochemical oxygen demand for stored greywater, while it was significantly negative between total suspended solids and both 5-day biochemical oxygen demand and dissolved oxygen. Significant reductions in colour, total suspended solids and turbidity were correlated positively with storage time. Precipitation of dissolved metals was suspected to occur in storing greywater by binding the inorganic components with the sediment and collide surfaces through adsorption, allowing a significant drop in concentrations of dissolved and undissolved metals with increasing storage time through sedimentation. Synthetic greywater of low mineral pollution had significantly higher removals for almost all concentrations compared with those for high concentrations. More advanced technologies for high trace element removal are required.
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1 Introduction

Decentralised wastewater treatment systems are commonly applied in suburban areas, where locals recycle their effluents for non-drinking end uses such as garden watering (Musazura et al. 2018). Meanwhile, the generated fresh wastewater may be collected and stored in situ before treatment (Liu et al. 2010). Domestic wastewater has been widely treated on-site within small neighbourhoods using constructed wetlands, especially greywater originating from household washing activities (Paulo et al. 2013; Barzegar et al. 2019). Constructed wetlands are a nature-based treatment technology, which is low in investment, operation and maintenance costs as well as high in ecosystem services (Scholz and Lee 2005). All types of engineered treatment wetlands have a specifically designed treatment capacity and each treatment cycle requires a time period to store and possibly treat wastewater, known as the hydraulic retention time (Vymazal 2010). Implementations of constructed wetlands for greywater treatment have been reported in many countries such as in the UK (Frazer-Williams et al. 2008), Germany (Nolde 2005), Israel (Gross et al. 2007) and Costa Rica (Dallas et al. 2004), achieving high average removal rates of pollutants with moderate hydraulic retention times.

Greywater is commonly reused for irrigation in agriculture and the flushing of toilets (Jefferson et al. 2004). The crucial requirement for recycling of greywater is to ensure that both the treatment approach and storage time lead to good water quality fulfilling the relevant standards linked health concerns (WHO 2006).

Characteristics of greywater significantly vary depending on many factors such as point of generation, community demographics, human habits, level of occupation, culture and geographical zone (Eriksson et al. 2002; Hasani et al. 2019). Moreover, detergents, cosmetics and other chemical products could also contribute to the variation of the quality of greywater and its pollutant content (Eriksson et al. 2003; Barzegar et al. 2019). Kitchen effluents also affect the organic load, nutrients, pathogens and other pollutants within greywater (Al-Jayyousi 2003). Another reason for fluctuations within greywater quality is the concentration of metals and other elements that mainly relate to household chemicals and the status of supplied water from the pumping station to the consumer throughout the storage tanks and water network (Nolde 2005). Eriksson et al. (2002) have indicated notably high levels of zinc in greywater. Heavy metals are mainly associated with beautifying creams, body care lotion and other cosmetic industry products (Bocca et al. 2014). Varying concentrations of metals were recorded in discharges of baths, showers and hand-wash basins. Fluctuations in trace elements are common in natural waste products such as human hair (Chojnacka et al. 2012). Although researchers have excluded some wastewater resources from greywater, metals have been found to some extend in kitchen and dishwasher effluents (Kariuki et al. 2012). Elevated sodium levels have been discovered in laundry wastewater compared with greywater from other sources. Sodium in greywater is often linked to several anionic surfactants contained within cleaning products, for example, sodium chloride as ion-exchanger (Jefferson et al. 2004). Consequently, the properties of greywater treated in any system vary due to both the background and time of production as well as changes of characteristics during retention time. It has been reported that storage of greywater over a 4-h period leads to a reduction of the BOD₅ by half. However, greywater quality can be degraded due to the growth of microorganism at long residence times (Jefferson et al. 2004). Dissolved oxygen can be consumed by rapidly developing bacterial populations within greywater after about 48 h of storage time (Dixon et al. 2000).

Storing and reusing of greywater are linked to a risk of spreading diseases due to the possibility of pathogen presence. Nevertheless, this risk is significantly less than for black water comprising predominantly domestic wastewater (Eriksson et al. 2002; Abed et al. 2019). It has been indicated that a significant number of bacteria have grown when greywater was stored for 72 h (March and Gual 2009; Abdel-Shafy et al. 2019).

Using raw greywater for watering of plants presents a probable hazard to watercourses, due containing of bacteria and viruses, raw greywater could be a source of spreading diseases (WHO 2006). Greywater may impact on both filter media and soil characteristics by breakdown of the corresponding structure and clogging. Studies analysing the content of greywater during storage and just before reuse are rare. However, it is known that chemical products in real greywater have varying decomposition rates during storage due to several biochemical activities (Li et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2010). Furthermore, some of the engineering treatment techniques fail to achieve greywater treatment targets, since they have been designed for fresh wastewater without considering the degradation in water quality during the storage stage (Winward et al. 2008). In contrast,
improvement in the removal of contaminated substances from greywater such as undissolved matter has not been investigated (Liu et al. 2010; Paulo et al. 2013). Greywater could be stored for subsequent recycling (e.g. irrigation) or be treated by in situ blue-green solutions such as wetland systems, which, however, require a relatively long hydraulic retention time for treatment. International thresholds for safe reuse of wastewater and greywater, which have been published by FAO (2003) and WHO (2006), could be considered as criteria for greywater either to be reused for irrigation or to be treated and then discharged to water bodies. Therefore, in order to fill this knowledge gap, the objectives of this article are to (a) understand the behaviour and stability of key greywater components at different hydraulic retention times before treatment; (b) assess the water quality of different synthetic greywaters after storing it for 2 and 7 days under outdoor conditions; and (c) compare measurements linked to objective (b) with properties of corresponding fresh artificial greywater.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Synthetic Greywater

Two chemical formulae have been adopted to produce greywater artificially (Abed and Scholz 2016) by selecting technical-grade chemicals supplied by Fisher Scientific Co. Ltd. (Bishop Meadow Road, Loughborough, UK). Under non-sterilised laboratory ambient conditions, synthetic greywater was prepared according to two chemical recipes as stock solutions based on tap water (Online Resource 1). The calcium and magnesium hardness of the tap water was only about 0.01% of the quantity of calcium, which was added as part of the artificial greywater. The resulting quality of the greywater resembled the water quality characteristics of real greywater at low (LC) and high (HC) contamination strengths. However, biological contamination including pathogens was not included in the design composition of synthetic greywater. Biomass degradation would consume oxygen and make the stock solution instable, and therefore unsuitable for benchmarking purposes. The stock solutions were stored for the next day at 4 °C. Before use, they were agitated for half an hour, and tap water was added at the desired dilution ratios (Abed and Scholz 2016; Nghiem et al. 2006).

2.2 Experimental Set-up

The experiment was located on the roof of the Newton Building (53° 29′ 09.3″ N and 2° 16′ 24.8″ W), the University of Salford, Greater Manchester, UK. The research work commenced in September 2014 and finished in January 2016. The analysis of water quality (section 2.3) was performed in November 2014; after 60 days allowing for biofilm development; then the water tests were performed in batches every 2 and 7 days of the storage time for each test group (Fig. 1). Plastic buckets of 14 l were filled with 10 l of fresh greywater for both LC and HC pollutant concentration experiments. The operational system comprised two groups; the first one was designed to store HC–greywater for 2 and 7 days (each set had four replicates), and the second group stored LC–greywater for the same storage time with four replicates for each set as shown in Fig. 1. The data were compared individually for fresh LC–greywater with their results after 2- and 7-day storage time, and the same scenario was repeated for HC–greywater as described in section 2.4.

2.3 Greywater Quality Measurements

The artificial greywater quality was examined following APHA (2005) unless mentioned else. After storage, 1 l of greywater was taken from each group of the system in triplicates. The standardised water analysis included colour, total suspended solids (TSS), ortho-phosphate-phosphorus (PO₄–P), nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃–N), ammonia-nitrogen (NH₄–N) and the chemical oxygen demand (COD). The spectrophotometer DR 2800 Hach Lange (www.hach.com) was used for these variables. A mono-metric measurement device (OxiTop IS 12–6 system produced by the Wissenschaftlich-Technische Werkstätten (WTW), Weilheim, Germany) was purchased to evaluate the 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅) for all samples. A METTLER TOLEDO FIVE GOTM conductivity meter (Keison Products, Chelmsford, Essex, England, UK) for electric conductivity (EC) and a Turbicheck Turbidity Meter (Lovibond Water Testing, Tintometer Group) for turbidity measurements were also utilised. The hydrogen ion (pH) and redox potential (Eh) were evaluated by a sensION+ benchtop multi-parameter meter (Hach Lange, Düsseldorf, Germany). A HQ30d Flexi Meter (Hach Lange, Düsseldorf, Germany) was applied to determine dissolved oxygen (DO).
The minerals and trace element content of fresh and stored greywater were analysed according to “SW–846: Test Method 6010D” (USEPA 2014) for elemental analysis of waters and wastes using an Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP–OES) by Varian 720–ES (Agilent Technologies UK Ltd., Wharfedale Road, Wokingham, Berkshire, UK). Triplet samples of 10 ml greywater were filtered using a cellulose paper filter of 0.45-μm pore diameter and then acidified before analyses (USEPA 1994).

For calibration purposes, identical reagents and magnitudes as those used in preparing water samples were facilitated as blank samples, which were occasionally tested to verify the detection limit with the theoretical concentration values. Avoidance of instrumental drifts was ensured by operating three solutions of standard calibration intermediately between the samples in the detection process of various metal concentrations.

2.4 Statistical Examination

The routine data calculations were undertaken within Microsoft Excel using the functional options such as minimum, maximum, arithmetical mean and standard deviation. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM–SPSS) Statistics Version 23 analysed all datasets at 95% confidence level. Variables of interval-scale with approximate normal distributions were compared by the independent t test of the two independent samples. The data distribution was examined by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests for normality (Collis and Hussey 2013). If the hypothesis of normality is rejected, then the analysis must be operated by non-parametric techniques using the Mann–Whitney test. The Spearman’s test was applied to assess the correlations among variables.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Physicochemical Characteristics

Properties of fresh greywater including physicochemical characteristics and trace element concentrations for LC and HC are shown in Online Resource 2. The pH value of LC–greywater ranged between 5.3 and 7.9, which complied with those reported values originating from discharge with separated sources such as bathroom (Samayamanthula et al. 2019) and mixed sources of greywater (Li et al. 2009). The pH values of HC–greywater (5.4–11.5) were comparable with real effluents, which are usually produced from laundries (Eriksson et al. 2002; Li et al. 2009) and compatible with the other published findings of artificially prepared greywater (Nghiem et al. 2006). Almost all studies lacked redox potential (Eh) data linked to real greywaters.
The EC values are elevated in real greywaters, particularly for laundries and mixed sources (Samayamanthula et al. 2019). They match the higher limits of EC measured for HC–greywater (612–1677 μS/cm). In contrast, the low values of EC in LC–greywater (99–452 μS/cm) agreed with those values of real greywater obtained from bathrooms and hand-wash basins (Al-Hamaiedeh and Bino 2010; Leal et al. 2012).

Fresh greywater showed a wide range in measurements of colour for LC–greywater (26–340 Pa/Co) and HC–greywater (787–2499 Pa/Co), which is not common for real greywater as well. Regarding temperature, both types of greywater were also similar to real greywater (Eriksson et al. 2002). Turbidity and TSS values of fresh LC–greywater (mean, 22.9; range, 9.6–41.6 and mean, 39.9 mg/l; range, 10.0–87.0 mg/l, respectively) agreed with reported values (Al-Jayyousi 2003; Eriksson et al. 2010; March et al. 2004; Pidou et al. 2008; Ramona et al. 2004). Turbidity (mean, 189; range, 18–308) and TSS (mean, 317 mg/l; range, 173–473 mg/l) HC–greywater values were similar to greywater discharge from kitchens, laundries and mixed sources (Al-Hamaiedeh and Bino 2010; Samayamanthula et al. 2019).

The water quality of both greywater types after storage is shown in Table 1. The statistical analysis of TSS, turbidity and colour has shown significant reductions (\( p < 0.05 \)) in LC–greywater at both storage times compared with the corresponding values of fresh LC–greywater (Table 2). For HC–greywater, the statistical evaluation indicated non-significant increases (\( p > 0.05 \)) in values of turbidity and TSS and a non-significant decrease in colour after 2 days of storage. However, the drops in values of turbidity and TSS were not significant (\( p > 0.05 \)) after 7 days of storage. An insignificant elevation (\( p > 0.05 \)) in colour value compared with fresh HC–greywater was also noted.

Reductions in the number of undissolved particles and colloids were not significant (\( p > 0.05 \)) in stored HC–greywater, because no coagulation and flocculation process were performed in this experiment. The latter physical and chemical processes would have led to a decrease in TSS and turbidity (Nolde 2005; Abdel-Shafy et al. 2019). In contrast, biodegradation can lead to a rise in turbidity (Günther 2000), colour and fine particles due to the development microorganisms and degradation products as biochemical processes occur when storing greywater (Eriksson et al. 2002). Flocculation processes occur when the negative charges of colloidal particles neutralise in the presence of iron and aluminium hydrous oxides, which have positive electrical charges. This leads to aggregation and subsequently sedimentation (Barzegar et al. 2019). The colour of water is also impacted on by colloidal and non-colloidal suspended organics in combination with earth minerals (Gross et al. 2006, 2007; Li et al. 2009).

The increase in storage time led to a significant drop (\( p < 0.05 \)) in TSS concentrations for HC–greywater after 7 days of storage compared with the value after 2 days of storage time. No significant changes in colour and turbidity were noted (Table 3). Regarding storage of LC–greywater, the means of TSS and turbidity reduced significantly (\( p < 0.05 \)) within stored vessels after 7 days in comparison with those values after 2 days of storage. No significant effect on colour was noted. Storing LC–greywater has significantly affected (\( p < 0.05 \)) drops in values of colour, turbidity and TSS compared with HC–greywater at both storage times (Table 3). The reduction in TSS could be explained by the physical process of sedimentation. Particles that are heavier than water will settle due to gravitational forces (Eriksson et al. 2002; Samayamanthula et al. 2019). Settling of particles is promoted after floc formation (Barakat 2011). On the contrary, microorganisms may increase the measured TSS after more than 48 h (Al-Jayyousi 2003).

### 3.2 Oxygen Demand

Parameters indicating oxygen demand and organic strength of fresh greywater were statistically evaluated and compared with corresponding values after storage for 2 and 7 days. Table 2 indicates that the (5 days) BOD\(_5\) concentrations of stored greywater are significantly lower than those values for both HC–greywater and LC–greywater in this experiment (Fig. 2a).

The BOD\(_5\) concentrations of LC–greywater decreased significant (\( p < 0.05 \)) after 2 and 7 days of storage: from 17.6 to 5.6 mg/l and from 17.6 to 6.7 mg/l, respectively (Fig. 2a). In comparison, the BOD\(_5\) concentration for HC–greywater significantly reduced (\( p < 0.05 \)) from 34.7 to 14.7 mg/l after 2 days of retention time resulting in 58% reduction. Furthermore, it reduced to 16.6 mg/l after 7 days, which equates to a reduction rate of 52% (Fig. 2b). Microbial degradation processes are the principle reason for the consumption of organic matter, which leads to higher respiration and therefore to an increase in BOD\(_5\) (Friedler et al. 2006; Maiga et al. 2014). It is possible that the drops in BOD\(_5\)
Table 1: High (HC) and low (LC) concentration strengths of synthetic greywater (SGW) after 2 and 7 days of storage time

| Parameter                        | Unit                  | 2-day storage (HC) | 2-day storage (LC) | 7-day storage (HC) | 7-day storage (LC) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                  | n Mean SD Min Max Rem (%) | n Mean SD Min Max Rem (%) | n Mean SD Min Max Rem (%) | n Mean SD Min Max Rem (%) |
| pH                               | –                     | 85 7.8 1.37 5.6 9.8 na | 85 7.5 0.70 6.3 10.1 na | 83 7.7 1.21 5.9 9.9 na | 83 7.5 0.72 6.4 9.3 na |
| Redox potential                  | mV                    | 85 −3.0 62.95 −107.6 88.6 na | 85 4.2 30.40 −116.1 51.0 na | 83 −4.4 59.67 −108.3 78.1 na | 83 1.8 33.00 −87.9 53.2 na |
| Turbidity                        | NTU                   | 85 192.1 50.87 102.0 341.0 −1.7 | 85 20.2 14.20 2.9 129.0 11.8 | 83 185.7 49.24 65.1 281.0 1.7 | 83 16.5 7.27 5.7 34.1 27.9 |
| Total suspended solids           | mg/l                  | 85 321.8 56.68 165.0 447.0 −1.5 | 85 30.0 12.12 11.0 76.0 24.8 | 83 106.6 22.68 43.3 164.0 17.5 | 83 5.6 3.60 0.0 20.0 68.2 |
| Electrical conductivity          | μS/cm                 | 85 965.2 106.68 627.0 1208.0 na | 85 138.5 23.26 79.0 215.0 na | 83 106.6 22.68 43.3 164.0 17.5 | 83 5.6 3.60 0.0 20.0 68.2 |
| Dissolved oxygen                 | mg/l                  | 85 10.2 0.73 8.7 12.1 2.9 | 85 10.5 0.82 8.2 12.6 −1.0 | 85 10.2 0.73 8.7 12.1 2.9 | 85 10.5 0.82 8.2 12.6 −1.0 |
| Colour                           | Pa/Co                 | 85 1527.6 326.28 677.0 2311.0 3.8 | 85 164.5 40.93 34.0 265.0 23.3 | 85 1527.6 326.28 677.0 2311.0 3.8 | 85 164.5 40.93 34.0 265.0 23.3 |
| Temperature                      | °C                    | 85 17.1 4.75 6.1 23.6 na | 85 16.0 4.59 5.3 21.8 na | 85 16.1 4.75 6.1 23.6 na | 85 16.0 4.59 5.3 21.8 na |
| Biochemical oxygen demand        | mg/l                  | 85 14.7 7.78 0.0 40.0 57.6 | 85 5.6 3.60 0.0 20.0 68.2 | 85 106.6 22.68 43.3 164.0 17.5 | 85 5.6 3.60 0.0 20.0 68.2 |
| Chemical oxygen demand           | mg/l                  | 85 106.6 22.68 43.3 164.0 17.5 | 85 26.8 6.18 15.4 41.9 7.3 | 85 106.6 22.68 43.3 164.0 17.5 | 85 26.8 6.18 15.4 41.9 7.3 |
| Ammonia–nitrogen                 | mg/l                  | 85 0.4 0.16 0.0 9.9 0.0 | 85 0.09 0.05 0.0 0.3 55.0 | 85 0.4 0.16 0.0 9.9 0.0 | 85 0.09 0.05 0.0 0.3 55.0 |
| Nitrate–nitrogen                 | mg/l                  | 85 9.4 4.67 0.5 24.0 −5.6 | 85 1.2 0.71 0.1 3.2 7.7 | 85 9.4 4.67 0.5 24.0 −5.6 | 85 1.2 0.71 0.1 3.2 7.7 |
| Ortho–phosphate–phosphorus      | mg/l                  | 85 46.2 10.74 23.7 70.1 21.8 | 85 7.0 3.89 3.0 18.8 16.7 | 85 46.2 10.74 23.7 70.1 21.8 | 85 7.0 3.89 3.0 18.8 16.7 |

n number of tested samples, SD standard deviation, Min minimum value, Max maximum value, Rem removal, na not applicable, NTU nephelometric turbidity unit.
Table 2 Statistical analysis concerning the comparison between the fresh and stored synthetic greywater (SGW) for 2 and 7 days

| Parameter                           | Unit          | 2-day storage time | 7-day storage time | 7-day storage time |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                     |               | Fresh and 2 days (HC–SGW) | Fresh and 2 days (LC–SGW) | Fresh and 7 days (HC–SGW) | Fresh and 7 days (LC–SGW) |
|                                     |               | Shapiro–Wilk (p value) | Statistical test | Significance (p value) | Shapiro–Wilk (p value) | Statistical test | Significance (p value) | Shapiro–Wilk (p value) | Statistical test | Significance (p value) |
| pH                                  | –             | < 0.001            | M–W                | 0.027*              | 0.132              | t test           | < 0.001*          | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.004*              | 0.005              | M–W               | 0.001*              |
| Redox potential                     | mV            | < 0.001            | M–W                | 0.004*              | 0.013              | M–W              | < 0.001*          | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.004*              | < 0.001 M–W          | < 0.001*              |
| Turbidity                           | NTU           | 0.118              | t test             | 0.676               | < 0.001            | M–W              | 0.004*            | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.004*              | 0.008              | M–W               | 0.001*              |
| Total suspended solids              | mg/l          | 0.217              | t test             | 0.593               | < 0.001            | M–W              | < 0.001*          | 0.318 t test          | 0.127               | < 0.001 M–W          | < 0.001*              |
| Electrical conductivity             | μS/cm         | 0.012              | M–W                | 0.376               | < 0.001            | M–W              | 0.001*            | 0.042 M–W            | 0.320               | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.013*              |
| Dissolved oxygen                    | mg/l          | 0.005              | M–W                | 0.002*              | < 0.001            | M–W              | 0.846             | 0.004 M–W            | 0.301               | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.005*              |
| Colour                              | Pa/Co         | 0.218              | t test             | 0.274               | 0.483              | t test           | < 0.001*          | 0.285 t test          | 0.405               | 0.245 t test          | < 0.001*              |
| Temperature                         | °C            | 0.005              | M–W                | 0.923               | 0.015              | M–W              | 0.023*            | 0.004 M–W            | 0.585               | 0.002 M–W            | 0.001*              |
| Biochemical oxygen demand           | mg/l          | 0.002              | M–W                | < 0.001*            | 0.002              | M–W              | < 0.001*          | < 0.001 M–W          | < 0.001*            | < 0.001 M–W          | < 0.001*              |
| Chemical oxygen demand              | mg/l          | 0.005              | M–W                | < 0.001*            | 0.001              | M–W              | 0.811             | 0.002 M–W            | < 0.001*            | < 0.001 M–W          | < 0.001*              |
| Ammonia–nitrogen                    | mg/l          | < 0.001            | M–W                | 0.860               | < 0.001            | M–W              | < 0.001*          | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.091               | < 0.001 M–W          | < 0.001*              |
| Nitrate–nitrogen                    | mg/l          | 0.005              | M–W                | 0.325               | < 0.001            | M–W              | 0.687             | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.204               | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.201               |
| Ortho–phosphate–phosphorus         | mg/l          | 0.107              | t test             | < 0.001*            | < 0.001            | M–W              | 0.003*            | 0.195 t test          | < 0.001*            | < 0.001 M–W          | 0.606               |

*Significant difference

HC-SGW high concentration synthetic greywater, LC-SGW low concentration synthetic greywater, NTU nephelometric turbidity unit, M–W Mann–Whitney U test, p value significantly different if p < 0.05 and not significantly different if p > 0.05, Shapiro–Wilk (check for normality) normally distributed data if p > 0.05 using t test and non-normally distributed data if p < 0.05 using Mann–Whitney U test.
Table 3  Statistical analysis for synthetic greywater (SGW) after storage for 2 and 7 days to investigate the effect of increased storage time and pollutant loads on changes in water quality

| Parameter | Unit | Effect of increased storage time | Effect of pollutant loads |
|-----------|------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
|           |      | HC–SGW                           | 2-day storage time        |
|           |      | 2 days and 7 days                 | 7-day storage time        |
|           |      | LC–SGW                           | HC–SGW and LC–SGW         |
|           |      |                                  | HC–SGW and LC–SGW         |
| pH        | –    | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.751 na                          | 0.003 M–W                 |
|           |      | M–W                              | 0.805 M–W                 |
|           |      | 0.001 M–W na                     | 0.001 M–W < 0.001*        |
|           |      | 0.732 na                          | 0.002 M–W                 |
|           |      | M–W                              | 0.797 M–W                 |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | 0.306* na                        | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | 0.037* na                        | 0.009* M–W                |
|           |      | 0.340 mg/l t test                | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | 0.492 M–W                        | 0.197 M–W                 |
|           |      | 0.002 M–W                        | na na na na               |
|           |      | 0.492 M–W                        | na na na na               |
|           |      | 0.275 M–W                        | na na na na               |
|           |      | 0.197 M–W                        | na na na na               |
| Redox potential | mV | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.732 na                          | 0.002 M–W                 |
|           |      | M–W                              | 0.797 M–W                 |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Turbidity | NTU | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.405 na                          | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | M–W                              | 0.030* M–W                |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Total suspended solids | mg/l | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Electrical conductivity | μS/cm | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.001 M–W na                     | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | 0.001 M–W na                     | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | 0.001 M–W na                     | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | 0.001 M–W na                     | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | 0.001 M–W na                     | 0.001 M–W                 |
| Dissolved oxygen | mg/l | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.012* na                        | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | M–W                              | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Colour    | Pa/Co | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.071 na                          | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | M–W                              | 0.063 M–W                 |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Temperature | °C | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Biochemical oxygen demand | mg/l | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Chemical oxygen demand | mg/l | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Ammonia–nitrogen | mg/l | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.033* na                        | 0.001 M–W                 |
|           |      | M–W                              | 0.01* M–W                 |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Nitrate–nitrogen | mg/l | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.005* na                        | 0.028* M–W                |
|           |      | M–W                              | 0.826 M–W                 |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |
| Ortho–phosphate–phosphorus | mg/l | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)    |
|           |      | Statistical test                  | Statistical test          |
|           |      | Significance (p value)            | Significance (p value)    |
|           |      | 0.025* na                        | 0.001* M–W                |
|           |      | M–W                              | 0.664 M–W                 |
|           |      | < 0.001 M–W                     | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*      |

*Significant difference

HC–SGW high concentration synthetic greywater, LC–SGW low concentration synthetic greywater, NTU nephelometric turbidity unit, na not applicable, M–W Mann–Whitney U test, p value significantly different if p < 0.05 and not significantly different if p > 0.05, Shapiro–Wilk (check for normality) normally distributed data if p > 0.05 using t test and non-normally distributed data if p < 0.05 using Mann–Whitney U test.
Fig. 2 Effect of storage time on the characteristics of
a 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD$_5$) for low concentrations (LC); b BOD$_5$ for high concentrations (HC); c chemical oxygen demand (COD) for LC; d COD for HC; e ammonia–nitrogen (NH$_4$–N) for LC; f NH$_4$–N for HC; g nitrate–nitrogen (NO$_3$–N) for LC; h NO$_3$–N for HC; i ortho–phosphate–phosphorus (PO$_4$–P) for LC; and j PO$_4$–P for HC of synthetic greywater.
were also caused by sedimentation, since, BOD$_5$ statistically correlated with TSS (Abed et al. 2019).

The statistical evaluation in Table 3 shows that there was no significant effect ($p > 0.05$) when extending the storage time by more than 2 days on the BOD$_5$ values. This is in contrast with Nolde (2005) who observed that the BOD$_5$ concentration increased when increasing the storage time. Low levels of organic matter in greywater and insufficient numbers of microorganisms could lead to low BOD$_5$ values (Eriksson et al. 2002; Leal et al. 2012).

The BOD$_5$ concentrations for LC–greywater (mean of 17.6 mg/l) were less than the stated ones for many real greywater samples. However, they matched those showed by Eriksson et al. (2010) and Winward et al. (2008). Furthermore, the investigation indicated that the BOD$_5$ decreased significantly in systems storing LC–greywater (storage of 2 and 7 days) compared with the corresponding values of systems for HC–greywater (both storage time also) as shown in Table 3.

An appraisal of the COD values for fresh HC–greywater compared with stored ones indicated significant declines ($p < 0.05$) for both retention times. The COD reduced from 129.2 to 106.6 mg/l (reduction of 17.5%) and from 129.2 to 100.8 mg/l (reduction of 22.0%) at 2 and 7 days of storage, respectively. The variation in COD concentrations of both greywater types can be explained by the great variety of the chemical compositions, which are used in the artificial greywater to mimic the ingredients of domestic products and detergents, which is responsible for the fluctuation in greywater test results (Al-Jayyousi 2003; Eriksson et al. 2010; Drennan et al. 2019). This variation in COD values might be influenced by its corresponding biodegradable fractions (Essington 2004; Friedler et al. 2005). Concentrations of COD of the stored LC–greywater decreased non-significantly ($p > 0.05$) after 2 days of storage, while they dropped significantly to 17.2 mg/l after 7 days of storage (Fig. 2b).

An increase of storage time effected significantly the reduction in COD concentrations for LC–greywater, while no significant change was indicated when storing HC–greywater at increasing storage times (Fig. 2 c and d). For all retention systems, the COD removals from LC–greywater were significantly low ($p < 0.05$) compared with the eliminations of COD in HC–greywater and at both storage times (Table 3).

Significantly negative correlations ($p < 0.01$) were calculated between BOD$_5$ and COD, while the correlations became significantly positive after 7 days of storage. The correlation examination of systems storing LC–greywater showed that BOD$_5$ and COD were not correlated at both storage times. In aquatic ecosystems, reductions in BOD$_5$ and COD contents were related to the consumption of oxygen due to oxidation and decomposition processes (Vymazal 2010).

The biodegradation of fresh LC–greywater and HC–greywater was also evaluated based on the ratio of BOD$_5$ to COD, which were 0.61 and 0.27 for the former and the later in this order. Similar low ratios have been stated for greywater by Jefferson et al. (2001). Research on treating greywater by biological techniques indicated that biodegradability could be limited at low organic loads (Abdel-Shafy et al. 2019). The stimulation of biological processes has been encouraged by adding macronutrients and trace nutrients to greywater to enhance treatment (Jefferson et al. 2001). The wastewater could possibly be treated biologically when the BOD$_5$ to COD ratio is between 0.4 and 0.8 as suggested for municipal wastewater (Abdel-Shafy et al. 2019). The BOD$_5$ to COD ratio for HC–greywater decreased, reaching 0.14 at 2 days and 0.16 at 7 days of storage. Greywater of low strength, which commonly originates from hand-washing basins and showers, has shown low concentrations of BOD$_5$ and COD (Avery et al. 2007; Jefferson et al. 2004; Abed et al. 2019).

3.3 Nutrients

Fresh synthetic greywater (both formulae) resembled real greywater well. However, Table 1 shows deficiencies in nutrient balance and organic load due to the exclusion of toilet discharge (Abed and Scholz 2016). A correct nutrient balance for the biological degradation of wastewater is important. Ratios for COD:N:P of 100:20:1 or 100:5:1 are commonly proposed and discussed by Hamza et al. (2019). Nutrient deficiency has been revealed in greywater and their impact on limiting the biological processes in greywater treatment was examined by Jefferson et al. (2001, 2004). Therefore, adjusting the proportion of nitrogen to phosphorus by adding sufficient organic substances may lead to the improvement of oxygen demand removals (Al-Jayyousi 2003).

A statistical comparison of results for fresh and stored greywater is shown in Table 2. For HC–greywater, the NH$_4$–N concentrations were relatively stable at 0.4 mg/l with no significant ($p > 0.05$)
alterations at both storage times, compared with fresh HC–greywater. In contrast, a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in concentrations of NH$_4$–N in systems storing LC–greywater for 2 and 7 days (Fig. 2e) was noted compared with fresh LC–greywater. An increase of storage time had no significantly ($p > 0.05$) impact on concentrations of NH$_4$–N in the retention systems storing LC–greywater. In system comprising HC–greywater, a significant ($p < 0.05$) drop in concentration of NH$_4$–N with an increase of storage time from 2 to 7 days was observed (Fig. 2f). The removal of NH$_4$–N in LC–greywater was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than in HC–greywater at both storage times.

A significant positive correlation ($p < 0.01$) was noted between the concentrations of NH$_4$–N and COD for fresh greywater regrading both formulas. For systems storing LC–greywater, the NH$_4$–N concentration correlated significantly negatively ($p < 0.01$) with COD at 2 days of storage and significantly positive ($p < 0.01$) with BOD$_5$ at 7 days of storage. For NH$_4$–N, the measured values were close to the detection limit. Therefore, it is a challenge to observe nitrification and denitrification processes in the data (Essington 2004).

No significant alterations ($p > 0.05$) were noted when comparing the NO$_3$–N values of both types of fresh greywater with the corresponding values for stored greywater (Table 2). The fluctuations in trend were carefully monitored for NO$_3$–N concentrations at both times of storage for HC–greywater. The concentrations increased slightly from 8.9 to 9.4 mg/l at 2 days of storage and subsequently decreased to reach 8.5 mg/l after 7 days of storage time. The NO$_3$–N concentration of LC–greywater reduced slightly from 1.3 to 1.2 mg/l and to 1.0 mg/l after storage of 2 and 7 days, respectively (Fig. 2g). An increase of the storage time had a significant effect ($p < 0.05$) on decreasing the NO$_3$–N values for both types of greywater (Figs. 2g and 1h).

The removal rate of NO$_3$–N at both storage times was statistically insignificant ($p > 0.05$) (Table 3). The increase of NO$_3$–N can be explained by the nitrification process taking place (Essington 2004). An inefficient removal of nitrogenous compounds was either due to insufficient organic content and/or a lack in number of surviving denitrifying bacteria, which are both associated with greywater (Li et al. 2009).

In comparison, for fresh and stored greywater in terms of ortho-phosphate-phosphorus (PO$_4$–P), significant ($p < 0.05$) decreases were observed in all storage systems except for systems retaining LC–greywater for 7 days (Fig. 2i and Table 2). Decreases from 59.1 mg/l for fresh HC–greywater to 46.2 mg/l (lowering by 21.8%) for 2 days of storage and from 46.2 to 43.0 mg/l (reduction of 27.2%) for 7 days of storage were calculated. For fresh LC–greywater, decreases from 8.4 to 7.0 mg/l for a 2-day storage time were noted. Furthermore, concerning 7-day storage of LC–greywater, concentrations remained virtually unchanged (Fig. 2j).

An increase in storage time affected significantly ($p < 0.05$) the decline of PO$_4$–P for both types of greywater of low and high pollutant strengths (Table 3). The removal of PO$_4$–P in systems storing LC–greywater was higher than for HC–greywater (Figs. 2i and 1j). This is due to the fact that storage time allows particles to settle. Therefore, a significant ($p < 0.01$) positive correlation was detected between TSS and PO$_4$–P for all systems. The dominant mechanism of PO$_4$–P removal in such systems is sedimentation of heavy particles, while fine materials can settle after flocculation (Jefferson et al. 2001). In wetlands, the phosphorus fraction, which is absorbed by plants, is significantly lower than nitrogen (Avery et al. 2007). Furthermore, the open environment is likely to be another cause for the development of microbes within the storage systems consuming phosphorus (Friedler et al. 2006; Paulo et al. 2013). Since microorganisms were not deliberately introduced to the artificial greywater, microbial biomass developed rather slowly. Therefore, microbial activities accounted for only a small proportion of phosphorus removal (Abdel-Shafy et al. 2019).

### 3.4 Minerals and Trace Elements

Fresh and stored greywater samples were analysed to identify trace metal concentrations (Online Resource 2 and Table 4). The statistical comparisons of metal concentrations between the fresh and the stored greywater showed that Fe, Mg and Na concentrations were stable around their mean values for all storage systems (Table 5). A study initiated for comparison purposes revealed that B, Cd, Cu and Zn concentrations did not alter significantly ($p > 0.05$) for systems storing HC–greywater. For both systems storing HC–greywater and LC–greywater, concentrations of Al and Cr at 2 days of storage time and Ca, Cd and Zn at 7 days of retention did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) change compared with their values for fresh greywater (Fig. 3). It has been reported that the existence of metal oxy-hydroxides of Mg, Fe and Al as well as ground minerals in wastewater...
could demobilise or decompound metals via various processes (Fu and Wang 2011; Hua et al. 2012). The pH value and redox potential impact on the cation and anion exchanges have major roles in terms of biological availability of dissolved metals. However, chemical reactions between numerous products in wastewater could lead to unpredictable results (Barakat 2011; Fu and Wang 2011). Sedimentation might not be a straightforward physical process concerning trace element removal, except if they are part of large suspended particles. Other processes like complexation, precipitation and co-precipitation might have to happen first (Abed et al. 2019).

Regardless of storage time, significant reductions ($p < 0.05$) in B and Cu concentrations were detected in systems comprising LC–greywater. The same was the case for K concentrations in systems of HC–greywater for both times of storage. At 2 days of storage times for LC–greywater, Cd, Cr, Ni and Zn values were significantly ($p < 0.05$) removed, and Ca and Fe concentrations were significantly elevated compared with those concentrations of fresh LC–greywater (Table 5). In addition, only a significant increase in Ni content was noted after storing LC–greywater for 7 days compared with fresh LC–greywater. For HC–greywater,
Table 5  Significant values of the statistical analysis concerning the comparison between the fresh and stored synthetic greywater (SGW) in terms of trace element concentrations (mg/l)

| Element | 2-day storage time | 7-day storage time |
|---------|--------------------|--------------------|
|         | Inflow and HC–SGW | Inflow and LC–SGW | Inflow and HC–SGW | Inflow and LC–SGW |
|         | Shapiro–Wilk (p value) | Statistical test | Significance (p value) | Shapiro–Wilk (p value) | Statistical test | Significance (p value) | Shapiro–Wilk (p value) | Statistical test | Significance (p value) |
| Aluminium | 0.009 | M–W | 0.171 | <0.001 | M–W | 0.192 | 0.156 | t test | <0.001* | <0.001 |
| Boron | 0.002 | M–W | 0.171 | <0.001 | M–W | 0.001* | 0.016 | M–W | 0.593 | <0.001 |
| Calcium | 0.001 | M–W | 0.001* | 0.058 | t test | <0.001* | 0.003 | M–W | 0.068 | 0.003 |
| Cadmium | 0.004 | M–W | 0.003* | <0.001 | M–W | 0.014* | <0.001 | M–W | 0.340 | <0.001 |
| Chromium | 0.001 | M–W | 0.159 | <0.001 | M–W | <0.001* | <0.001 | M–W | 0.177 | <0.001 |
| Copper | <0.001 | M–W | 0.378 | <0.001 | M–W | <0.001* | <0.001 | M–W | 0.193 | <0.001 |
| Iron | 0.002 | M–W | 0.867 | <0.001 | M–W | 0.001* | 0.003 | M–W | 0.193 | 0.444 |
| Potassium | 0.004 | M–W | 0.013* | 0.125 | t test | 0.309 | 0.002 | M–W | <0.001* | 0.334 |
| Magnesium | 0.007 | M–W | 0.120 | <0.001 | M–W | 0.776 | 0.024 | M–W | 0.277 | <0.001 |
| Manganese | <0.001 | M–W | 0.006* | <0.001 | M–W | 0.250 | <0.001 | M–W | 0.023* | <0.001 |
| Sodium | 0.007 | M–W | 0.322 | <0.001 | M–W | 0.403 | 0.005 | M–W | 0.181 | 0.012 |
| Nickel | <0.001 | M–W | 0.169 | <0.001 | M–W | <0.001* | <0.001 | M–W | 0.016* | <0.001 |
| Zinc | 0.005 | M–W | 0.500 | <0.001 | M–W | <0.001* | 0.001 | M–W | 0.503 | <0.001 |

*Significant difference

HC–SGW high concentration synthetic greywater, LC–SGW low concentration synthetic greywater, M–W Mann–Whitney U test, p value significantly different if p < 0.05 and not significantly different if p > 0.05. Shapiro–Wilk (check for normality) normally distributed data if p > 0.05 using t test and non-normally distributed data if p < 0.05 using Mann–Whitney U test.
significant increases were noted for concentrations of Ca after storage for 2 days. Furthermore, significant increases were recorded for Al and Ni after 7 days as well as for Cr and Mn but for both times compared with those concentrations in the fresh HC–greywater (Table 5).

The main mechanism of calcium reaction in water is erosion under normal conditions (Essington 2004). During the 2 days of storage, it could be the dissolved calcium hydroxide was created in the presence of the calcium components in water, then hydrogen gas could highly possible to be produced (Hua et al. 2012). The solubility of calcium carbonate increased five times in the presence of carbon dioxide due to the formulation of carbonic acid resulting in calcium hydrogen carbonate (Wan et al. 2018). The stability of calcium ion exchange was observed after 2 days of storage time.

Organic constituents of high molecular weight can be stimulated to precipitate at the presence of hydrous oxides of metals such as Al, Fe and Mg. The elemental contamination in water is generally either dissolved or forms part of particles. Dissolved metal precipitation could occur when binding inorganic contaminants in various chemical reactions to the sediment and colloid surfaces through adsorption processes (Hua et al. 2012). The process of sedimentation becomes possible only after floc formation (Abed et al. 2017, 2019). With the development of a blanket of flocs, which have surface electronic charges, sedimentation of fine pollutant particles becomes likely (Hua et al. 2012).

Regarding the effect of increasing storage time on the trace element concentrations (Table 6), greywater samples from storage systems with 7 days were statistically compared with values of greywater after 2 days of storage time. Al, B, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Na, Ni and Zn concentrations did not change significantly ($p > 0.05$) in stored LC–greywater. Increasing

![Effect of storage time on the variation of the average concentration of trace elements for both types of synthetic greywater (SGW): a low concentrations (LC) and b high concentrations (HC)](image-url)
Table 6  Statistical analysis for synthetic greywater (SGW) after 2 and 7 days of storage time to investigate the effect of increased storage time and pollutant loads on changes to the trace element concentrations (mg/l)

| Element   | Effect of increased storage time | Effect of pollutant load |
|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
|           | HC–SGW and LC–SGW                | 2-day storage time       |
|           | 2 days and 7 days                 | 7-day storage time       |
|           | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)            | Shapiro–Wilk (p value)   |
|           | Statistical test  | Significance (p value) | Statistical test  | Significance (p value) | Shapiro–Wilk (p value) | Statistical test  | Significance (p value) |
| Aluminium | 0.329 t test 0.020*               | < 0.001 M–W 0.906        | < 0.001 M–W 0.213 | < 0.001 M–W 0.064     |
| Boron     | 0.003 M–W 0.815                  | < 0.001 M–W 0.001*      | < 0.001 M–W 0.035* | < 0.001 M–W 0.038*    |
| Calcium   | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*              | < 0.001 M–W 0.002*      | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001* | < 0.001 M–W 0.265     |
| Cadmium   | 0.002 M–W 0.006*                  | < 0.001 M–W 0.243       | 0.003 M–W < 0.001* | < 0.001 M–W 0.201     |
| Chromium  | 0.002 M–W < 0.001*                | < 0.001 M–W 0.557       | 0.004 M–W 0.039*   | < 0.001 M–W 0.274     |
| Copper    | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*              | < 0.001 M–W 0.630       | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001* | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*  |
| Iron      | < 0.001 M–W 0.089                 | < 0.001 M–W 0.285       | < 0.001 M–W 0.221  | < 0.001 M–W 0.003*    |
| Potassium | 0.016 M–W 0.891 t test 0.161     | 0.002 M–W 0.729         | 0.103 t test 0.001* |
| Magnesium | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*              | < 0.001 M–W 0.314       | 0.016 M–W 0.005*   | 0.171 t test 0.866    |
| Manganese | < 0.001 M–W 0.001*                | < 0.001 M–W 0.024*      | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001* | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*  |
| Sodium    | 0.009 M–W 0.267 0.044            | M–W 0.441 0.026 M–W 0.488 | 0.009 M–W 0.009*    |
| Nickel    | < 0.001 M–W 0.001*                | < 0.001 M–W 0.287       | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001* | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001*  |
| Zinc      | < 0.001 M–W 0.130                 | < 0.001 M–W 0.057       | < 0.001 M–W < 0.001* | < 0.001 M–W 0.398     |

*Significant difference

HC–SGW high concentration synthetic greywater, LC–SGW low concentration synthetic greywater, M–W Mann–Whitney U test, p value significantly different if p < 0.05 and not significantly different if p > 0.05, Shapiro–Wilk (check for normality) normally distributed data if p > 0.05 using t test and non-normally distributed data if p < 0.05 using Mann–Whitney U test.
concentrations of B, Fe, Na and Zn for systems storing HC–greywater were noted. Significant ($p < 0.05$) reductions of Ca and Mn in stored LC–greywater and also significant decreases in Ca, Cd, Cu, K, Mg and Mn for stored HC–greywater were recorded. When storing HC–greywater for 7 days, an increase in storage time led to significant ($p < 0.05$) rises of Al, Cr and Ni concentrations as shown in Table 6 and Fig. 3.

An increase in storage time allows for biological degradation of organic matter, which consumes dissolved oxygen and changes the redox and pH conditions. Degradation of organic substances is possibly aerobically and anaerobically for those accumulated into the sediment layer, which is responsible to adsorb heavy metals and other elements from wastewater (Barakat 2011).

Significant changes ($p < 0.05$) for most trace element concentrations were higher in systems storing LC–greywater compared with those for HC–greywater (Table 6). This is due to factors such as the high solid content and elevated pH, which are associated with HC–greywater, and the corresponding environmental boundary conditions (Abed et al. 2017).

Findings indicate that more advanced technologies for trace element removal are required. Possible processes of pollutant removal in such systems may combine biodegradation and sedimentation. Flocculation, complexation, precipitation, absorption, cation and anion exchange, oxidation/reduction and microbiological activity could be possible mechanisms for removal of heavy metals (Barakat 2011). However, in the current study, the greywater was stirred before sampling to mimic the suspension of solids, resuspension of solids and sloughing-off of biofilms from the storage vessel walls.

4 Conclusions and Recommendations

The retention of greywater for prolonged periods of time without active treatment processes being employed leads to passive self-purification due to extended storage. Significant reductions in colour, TSS and turbidity have been achieved when greywater was stored for 7 days. Both sedimentation and biological degradation were the predominated mechanisms in removal of suspended solids due to microorganism development during storage. For long storage time periods, biodegradation can lead to a rise in turbidity, colour and fine particles due to the growth of microorganisms and the generation of degradation products during biochemical processes. Aggregation and subsequently sedimentation can be observed when flocculation processes occur due to the negatively charged colloidal particles that are neutralised in the presence of iron and aluminium hydroxides, which have positive electrical charges. Furthermore, it was observed that COD correlated significantly negatively with $\text{BOD}_5$ at 2 days of storage time and significantly positively at 7 days. A significant positive correlation in removal of COD (concentrations between 60 and 220 mg/l) was observed with storage time. Greywater biodegradability expressed by the ratio of $\text{BOD}_5$ to COD decreased significantly after storage time to be within the range of about 0.14 and 0.39. Extended storage supports biological treatment of greywater particularly in wetland systems.

Due to lack organic substances in greywater, nitrogen compounds had low concentrations. Significant removal of $\text{NH}_4$–N after retention was noted for LC greywater. However, no significant changes of $\text{NO}_3$–N were recorded. A significant positive correlation was computed between $\text{NH}_4$–N and $\text{BOD}_5$ after storing greywater.

Storage of greywater contributed significantly to the removal of $\text{PO}_4$–P due to processes such as sedimentation for undissolved particles, precipitation, co-precipitation of dissolved solids and microbial activities. A significant positive correlation between concentrations of TSS and $\text{PO}_4$–P, particularly with increasing storage time, was noted. In reality, greywater has much less solids than black (waste) water coming from, for example, toilets. So, a high need for frequent sediment removal is not likely. However, a good practice engineering solution to manage sedimentation when storing greywater could be the division of the storage unit into two parts: one for sedimentation and the second for the overflow greywater to be stored, recycled or discharged. In situ constructed wetlands are highly recommended to treat greywater before recycling. The most beneficial method of recycling of nutrients within greywater is its reuse for irrigation purposes.
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