Restricted dispersal determines fine-scale spatial genetic structure of Mongolian gerbils
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Abstract

Restricted gene flow may cause positive spatial genetic autocorrelation of animal populations at fine spatial scales. The Mongolian gerbil Meriones unguiculatus is a territorial, social rodent. Territoriality may create social fences to restrict dispersal or gene flow of Mongolian gerbils to a short distance. Restricted dispersal may differentiate fine-scale spatial genetic structure of populations with increasing distances (i.e., isolation by distance [IBD]). Competition for mates and inbreeding avoidance may result in equal dispersal propensity and subsequently similar spatial genetic autocorrelation between males and females of monogamous gerbils. We genotyped 327 gerbils, live captured from 26 burrow systems on a 9-ha plot in northcentral Inner Mongolia, China, using seven microsatellite loci. Spatial genetic autocorrelation was positive within 80 m and became negative from 80 m to 200 m, suggesting restricted gene flow. Inter-group genetic and geographic distances were related positively, supporting the IBD model. Live trapping data demonstrated equal dispersal propensities of male and female gerbils. Restricted dispersal and social organization may determine fine-scale spatial population genetic structure of social rodents.
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Mogulans cyaneus owing to female-biased dispersal (Double et al. 2005). Therefore, sex-biased dispersal may differentiate fine-scale spatial genetic structures between the sexes within a population. We predicted that males and females would have similar spatial genetic structure if the two sexes had equal dispersal propensities.

The Mongolian gerbil Meriones unguiculatus is a social, territorial rodent, living in groups year round (Agren et al. 1989a). Territoriality may create a social fence to restrict dispersal between social groups of Mongolian gerbils within a short distance (Liu et al. 2009a). Mongolian gerbils are believed to be socially monogamous (French 1994; Solomon and Getz 1997). The theory of animal dispersal predicts equal dispersal propensities for males and females if competition for mates or inbreeding avoidance drives dispersal of monogamous mammals (Greenwood 1980). Monogamous southern pied babbler Turdoides bicolor have equal propensity to disperse and similar fine-scale spatial autocorrelation patterns between males and females (Nelson-Flower et al. 2011, 2012). Wang et al. (2011b) demonstrated genetic differentiation among social groups of Mongolian gerbils; however, they did not investigate relationships between fine-scale spatial genetic structure and dispersal of Mongolia gerbils.

In this study, we aimed to test the predictions of the following two hypotheses. First, restricted dispersal would result in positive spatial autocorrelation at fine spatial scales, with spatial autocorrelation decreasing to zero and then becoming negative with increasing distance between individuals. Second, male and female gerbils would have similar fine-scale spatial autocorrelation patterns owing to equal dispersal propensities. Positive spatial autocorrelation may enhance the fitness benefits of group living (Chesser 1991; van Staaden 1995). Therefore, the findings of this study help understand the roles of dispersal patterns and spatial variation in inter-individual genetic relatedness in the maintenance of social groups in rodents.

Materials and Methods

Study site
We conducted this study at Xima Village, an area of the steppe inter-mixed with cropland, in Taipusi Qi (county), Inner Mongolia, China (115°22’E, 42°07’N). The climate was semi-arid, with average monthly temperatures ranging from −19.1°C to 21.1°C and annual total precipitation ranging from 258 to 550 mm. Snow cover lasted from mid- or late October to early April (Liu et al. 2009b).

Our trapping plot was situated on a 9-ha grassland (300 m × 300 m). The vegetation consisted of a mixture of grasses such as Cleistogenes squarrosa and Setaria viridis; herbs such as Artemisia sieversiana, A. scoparia, and Heteropappus altaicus; and small shrubs Caragana microphylla and C. korshinskii. Leymus chinens and Corispermum mongolicum were the dominant plants.

Capture-recapture methods
Our trapping plot encompassed 26 burrow systems of Mongolian gerbils (Figure A1). Distances between burrow systems ranged from 19.9 to 273.7 m. We live trapped Mongolian gerbils biweekly from 28 April to 21 October in 2006. Trap stations were arranged in three to four concentric circles with equal between-circle spacing at each burrow system (Liu et al. 2009b). Four to 16 trap stations were spaced equally on each circle, with one wire-mesh live trap (28 × 13 × 10 cm) being placed at each station. We placed a total of about 450 traps on our trapping plot each trapping week. Traps were baited with fresh peanuts at the time of trapping. Each trapping period lasted for three consecutive days. All captured gerbils were toe clipped at the first capture for permanent identification (ID), and were released at the sites of capture. Clipped toes were preserved in 75% ethanol for DNA extraction and microsatellite analysis. Sex, body mass, reproductive condition, trap location, and ID number were recorded for each capture. We classified Mongolian gerbils as juveniles (less than 30 g), subadults (between 30 and 50 g), and adults (>50 g). The details of our trapping methods and procedures can be found in Liu et al. (2009b). Our trapping and handling procedures followed the guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists (Gannon et al. 2007) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee of the Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Microsatellite analysis
We extracted nuclear DNA from clipped toe tissue samples of Mongolian gerbils using phenol–chloroform extraction methods (Sambrook and Russell 2001). We genotyped 327 gerbils from 26 burrow systems, using seven loci Mung1, Mung2, Mung3, Mung4, Mung5, Mung6, and Mung7 (Neumann et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2011b). All loci were in the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium except for locus Mung1. No evidence suggested the linkage disequilibrium of the seven loci (Wang et al. 2011b).

Spatial autocorrelation analysis
We estimated the coordinates (x and y) of the centers of 26 burrow systems to the nearest 0.1 m using a 50 × 50 grid at a 7-m interval (Wang et al. 2011a). We used the coordinates of the center of a burrow system, at which a gerbil was captured, as the coordinates of the gerbil in spatial autocorrelation analysis (Smouse and Peakall 1999; Double et al. 2003). As a gerbil often was captured multiple times at different trap stations surrounding the center of a burrow system during our study, the center of a burrow system was equivalent to the centroid of multiple capture locations.

We used the program GENALEX 6.4 to compute the spatial autocorrelation of all 327 genotyped gerbils at the distance class sizes of 20, 30, and 40 m, respectively (Peakall et al. 2003; Peakall and Smouse 2006). We bootstrapped the 95% confidence interval (CI) of autocorrelation coefficient for each distance class with 1,000 repetitions. The bootstrap algorithm draws 1,000 random samples with replacement from the subset of pairwise genetic relatedness of a specific distance class, and estimates an empirical 95% CI (i.e., the 2.5th and 97.5th ranked bootstrapped values) for the observed autocorrelation coefficient (Peakall and Smouse 2006).

We used the permutation method to test for the significance of spatial autocorrelation with 1,000 repetitions. The permutation algorithm randomly shuffles all individuals among the geographic locations 1,000 times. The permutation test generates an empirical 95% CI (i.e., the 2.5th and 97.5th ranked permuted values) of autocorrelation coefficient under the null hypothesis of no spatial structure. If the bootstrapped 95% CI of observed autocorrelation coefficient exceeded or were less than the permuted 95% CIs under the null hypothesis in a distance range (e.g., 0–20, 20–40, or 40–60 m), we concluded that the gerbils had positive or negative spatial autocorrelation within the distance range. We also conducted spatial autocorrelation analysis for male and female subpopulations, respectively.

The distance class size of 20 m was close to the minimum distance of 19.9 m between burrow systems. Preliminary analyses
showed consistent positive spatial autocorrelation among three different distance class sizes (i.e., 20, 30, and 40 m). Therefore, we used the distance class size of 20 m in the subsequent analyses.

Mantel test for the IBD model
We tested for correlation between pairwise inter-group geographic distance and pairwise inter-group Wright’s $F$ statistic ($F_{st}$) of Mongolian gerbils using the Mantel test (Freeland 2005; Peakall and Smouse 2006). We conducted the Mantel test with the permutation option of 1,000 repetitions within GENALEX 6.4 (Peakall and Smouse 2006). If the correlation was significantly positive ($P < 0.05$), we concluded that the IBD model was a possible cause of observed fine-scale spatial population genetic differentiation of Mongolian gerbils.

Estimation of dispersal from trapping data
We concluded that dispersal occurred if a gerbil moved away from and did not return to a burrow system (A), at which the gerbil had been captured for more than two consecutive trapping weeks (about a breeding bout). If the dispersing gerbil arrived at another burrow system (B) and was captured at the destination B for more than two trapping weeks, we concluded that the gerbil dispersed from burrow system A to burrow system B. We calculated dispersal distance as the Euclidean distance between the centers of burrow systems A and B. Mean dispersal distances were reported with ±1 standard deviation.

Results
Spatial autocorrelation was positive within a distance range of about 0–80 m and became less clear with the increase of the distance (Figure 1A). Estimated means of autocorrelation coefficient were higher than the upper limit of the permuted 95% CI in the distance classes of 0–20, 20–40, and 40–60 m, respectively (Figure 1A). Also spatial autocorrelation was positive up to 60 and 80 m in male and female gerbils, respectively (Figure 1B, C). The Mantel test detected significant positive correlations between inter-group genetic and geographic distances ($P = 0.02$, Figure 1D).

We identified 24 dispersing male gerbils out of 159 captured males and 18 dispersing female gerbils out of 168 captured females during the entire study period. The proportion of dispersing individuals did not differ between males and females in a 2 x 2 contingency table test ($\chi^2 = 0.77$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.38$). Of the 24 male dispersers, there were 3 juveniles, 9 subadults, and 12 adults. Captured female dispersers included four juveniles, five subadults, and nine adults. One juvenile, two subadult, and four adult females reproduced at their dispersal destinations. The four dispersing adult females also bred before their dispersal. Average dispersal distances of male and
female gerbils were \( 82 \pm 34 \) m and \( 67.2 \pm 30 \) m, respectively \((t = 1.46, df = 40, P = 0.15)\). Average dispersal distance of all captured dispersers was \( 75.6 \pm 33 \) m.

**Discussion**

Our results supported the hypothesis that restricted gene flow or dispersal would result in positive spatial genetic autocorrelation of Mongolian gerbils at fine spatial scales. Positive spatial autocorrelation was found within the average dispersal distance \((<80 \text{ m})\). Positive spatial autocorrelation and positive relationships between genetic and geographic distances suggest that the IBD model might be a possible underlying mechanism for the fine-scale spatial genetic structure of Mongolian gerbils (Figure 1A, D). We detected positive spatial autocorrelation in both male and female gerbils, supporting the hypothesis that male and female gerbils would have similar fine-scale spatial autocorrelation patterns owing to equal dispersal propensities (Figure 1B, C).

Field observations demonstrated strong territoriality of social groups in Mongolian gerbils (Agren et al. 1989a, 1989b). Male Mongolian gerbils compete for females by combat rather than by scramble competition. The largest reproductively active males are the main defender of territories by chasing subordinates or strangers off and marking the territory borders during spring and early summer (Agren et al. 1989a). Nevertheless, all group members except for juveniles defend group territories during the food hoarding season in autumn (Agren et al. 1989b). Territoriality of social groups may increase dispersal costs with increasing aggressive behaviors (i.e., social fence) from encountered non-kin with increasing distance (Hestbeck 1982; Östfeld 1994). Consequently, genetic relatedness may decrease exponentially in a short distance (Starfelt and Kokko 2012). Therefore, a short dispersal distance may be sufficient for inbreeding avoidance (Ronce et al. 2001). The territoriality of social groups may restrict Mongolian gerbil’s dispersal to short distances \((<80 \text{ m})\) in this study; Agren et al. 1989b; Liu et al. 2009a), resulting in positive spatial autocorrelation. Future experimental studies are needed to investigate the effects of variation in dispersal distance and destination (or use of habitat patches) on the fine-scale spatial genetic diversity of Mongolian gerbils and to confirm the spatial genetic autocorrelation detected in this study. Group-living brush-tailed rock-wallabies Petrogale penicillata had male-biased dispersal (Hazlitt et al. 2004). Restricted dispersal of female wallabies resulted in clusters of female kin and positive spatial autocorrelation within a distance of 100 m. Therefore, spatially clustering kin and social fence may restrict gene flow to short distances in social mammals, differentiating fine-scale spatial genetic structure.

Inbreeding avoidance and local competition for mates may result in equal dispersal propensities of males and females in monogamous mammals (Greenwood 1980; Dobson and Jones 1985). Field observations indicated that male gerbils competed for reproducing females by combat. Our trapping data suggest approximately equal dispersal propensities of males and females. We also found evidence for breeding dispersal of female Mongolian gerbils, with seven adult females dispersing and reproducing before and (or) after dispersal. Additionally, we captured about two breeding pairs per burrow system in our gerbil population (Wang et al. 2011b). Competition for reproductive opportunities might take place among female group mates, so that female adults might leave their natal sites for reproductive opportunities. As a result, positive spatial autocorrelation occurred in both male and female gerbils. Likewise, positive spatial autocorrelation occurs at fine spatial scales \((<500 \text{ m})\) in both males and females of monogamous roe deer Capreolus capreolus due to restricted dispersal of the both sexes (Bonnot et al. 2010). Furthermore, southern pied babblers, a monogamous and cooperatively breeding bird with equal propensities to disperse between males and females, had similar positive spatial autocorrelation in the both sexes (Nelson-Flower et al. 2011, 2012).

Female mammals often invest more in parental cares than males. Thus, female may benefit from competitive advantage on the familiar habitat if they stay close to natal sites, dispersing a short distance (Le Galliard et al. 2012; Blyton et al. 2015). Additionally, burrow-system-based kin groups and fitness benefits of group living may ensue from short-distanced dispersal. However, future studies are needed to investigate the behavioral mechanism (e.g., dispersal destination or habitat patches selection) for inbreeding avoidance of male gerbils that also disperse a short distance. Social organization and restricted gene flow may work in concert to shape the spatial population genetic structure of group-living mammals. Restricted dispersal and demographic benefits of genetic relatedness may lead high local abundance of gerbils, which may impose substantial impacts on the steppe ecosystems.
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**Appendix**

Figure A1. The spatial distribution of 26 burrow systems of Mongolian gerbils on 9-ha study plot in Inner Mongolia, China. Symbols “S1”, “S2”, …, and “S26” are the identification numbers of burrow systems. Numbers in parentheses are the numbers of the genotyped gerbils captured at the burrow systems.