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Abstract
The maximum likelihood estimates of an ARMA model can be obtained by the Kalman filter based on the state-space representation of the model. This paper presents an algorithm for computing gradient of the log-likelihood by extending the Kalman filter without resorting to the numerical difference. Three examples of seasonally adjusted model and ARMA model are presented to exemplify the specification of structural matrices and initial matrices. An extension of the algorithm to compute the Hessian matrix is also shown.
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1 Introduction: The Maximum Likelihood Estimation of a State-Space Model

We consider a linear Gaussian state-space model

\[ x_n = F_n(\theta)x_{n-1} + G_n(\theta)v_n \]

\[ y_n = H_n(\theta)x_n + w_n, \]

where \( y_n \) is a one-dimensional time series, \( x_n \) is an \( m \)-dimensional state vector, \( v_n \) is a \( k \)-dimensional Gaussian white noise, \( v_n \sim N(0,Q_n(\theta)) \), and \( w_n \) is one-dimensional white noise, \( w_n \sim N(0,R_n(\theta)) \). \( F_n(\theta) \), \( G_n(\theta) \) and \( H_n(\theta) \) are \( m \times m \) matrix, \( m \times k \) matrix and \( m \) vector, respectively. \( \theta \) is the \( p \)-dimensional parameter vector of the state-space model such as the variances of the noise inputs and unknown coefficients in the matrices \( F_n(\theta) \), \( G_n(\theta) \), \( H_n(\theta) \), \( Q_n(\theta) \) and \( R_n(\theta) \). For simplicity of the notation, hereafter, the parameter \( \theta \) and the suffix \( n \) will be omitted.

Various models used in time series analysis can be treated uniformly within the state-space model framework. Further, many problems of time series analysis, such as prediction, signal extraction, decomposition, parameter estimation and interpolation, can be formulated as the state estimation of a state-space model.

Given the time series \( Y_N \equiv \{y_1, \ldots, y_N\} \) and the state-space model (1) and (2), the one-step-ahead predictor \( x_{n|n-1} \) and the filter \( x_{n|n} \) and their variance covariance matrices \( V_{n|n-1} \) and \( V_{n|n} \) are obtained by the Kalman filter (Anderson and Moore (2012) and Kitagawa (2020)):

One-step-ahead prediction

\[ x_{n|n-1} = Fx_{n-1|n-1} \]

\[ V_{n|n-1} = FV_{n-1|n-1}F^T + GQ_nG^T \]

Filter

\[ K_n = V_{n|n-1}H^T(HV_{n|n-1}H^T + R)^{-1} \]

\[ x_{n|n} = x_{n|n-1} + K_n(y_n - Hx_{n|n-1}) \]

\[ V_{n|n} = (I - K_nH)V_{n|n-1}. \]
Given the data $Y_N$, the likelihood of the time series model is defined by

$$L(\theta) = p(Y_N|\theta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} g_n(y_n|Y_{n-1}, \theta),$$

where $g_n(y_n|Y_{n-1}, \theta)$ is the conditional distribution of $y_n$ given the observation $Y_{n-1}$ and is a normal distribution given by

$$g_n(y_n|Y_{n-1}, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r_n}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{2r_n} \right\},$$

where $\varepsilon_n$ and $r_n$ are the one-step-ahead prediction error and its variance defined by

$$\varepsilon_n = y_n - Hx_{n|n-1}$$
$$r_n = H_nV_{n|n-1}H_n^T + R$$

Therefore, the log-likelihood of the state-space model is obtained as

$$\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log g_n(y_n|Y_{n-1}, \theta)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ N \log 2\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log r_n + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n^2 \right\}. \quad (8)$$

The maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters of the state-space model can be obtained by maximizing the log-likelihood function. In general, since the log-likelihood function is mostly nonlinear, the maximum likelihood estimates is obtained by using a numerical optimization algorithm based on the quasi-Newton method. According to this method, using the value $\ell(\theta)$ of the log-likelihood and the first derivative (gradient) $\partial \ell/\partial \theta$ for a given parameter $\theta$, the maximizer of $\ell(\theta)$ is automatically estimated by repeating

$$\theta_k = \theta_{k-1} + \lambda_k B_{k-1}^{-1} \partial \ell/\partial \theta,$$\quad (9)$$

where $\theta_0$ is an initial estimate of the parameter. The step width $\lambda_k$ is automatically determined and the inverse matrix $H_{k-1}^{-1}$ of the Hessian matrix is obtained recursively by the DFP or BFGS algorithms (Fletcher (2013)).

Here, the gradient of the log-likelihood function is usually approximated by numerical difference, such as

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} \approx \frac{\ell(\theta_j + \Delta \theta_j) - \ell(\theta_j \Delta \theta_j)}{2\Delta \theta_j}, \quad (10)$$

where $\Delta \theta_j$ is defined by $C|\theta_j|$, for some small $C$ such as 0.00001. The numerical difference usually yields reasonable approximation to the gradient of the log-likelihood. However, since it requires $2p$ times of log-likelihood evaluations, the amount of computation becomes considerable if the dimension of the parameters is large. Further, if the the maximum likelihood estimates lie very close to the boundary of admissible domain, which sometimes occure in regularization problems, it becomes difficlt to obtain the approximation to the gradient of the log-likelihood by the numerical difference.

Analytic derivative of the log-likelihood of time series models were considered by many authors. For example, Kohn and Ansley (1985) gave method for computing likelihood and its derivatives for an ARMA model. Zadrozny (1989) derived analytic derivatives for estimation
of linear dynamic models. Kulikova (2009) presented square-root algorithm for the likelihood gradient evaluation to avoid numerical instability of the recursive algorithm for log-likelihood computation. In this paper, the gradient and Hessian of the log-likelihood of linear state-space model are given. Details of the implementation of the algorithm for standard seasonal adjustment model, seasonal adjustment model with stationary AR component and ARMA model are given. For each implementation, comparison with a numerical difference method is shown.

In section 2, we consider to obtain the gradient of the log-likelihood by extending the Kalman filter algorithm. Extension of the algorithm for computing the Hessian of the log-likelihood is shown in section 3. Application of the method is exemplified with the three models, i.e., the standard seasonal adjustment model, the seasonal adjustment model with autoregressive component, and ARMA (autoregressive moving average model) are shown in section 4.

2 The Gradient and the Hessian of the log-likelihood

2.1 The gradient of the log-likelihood

From (8), the gradient of the log-likelihood is obtained by

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta} + 2 \frac{\varepsilon_n}{r_n} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{r_n^2} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta} \right\},$$

(11)

where, from (6), the derivatives of the one-step-ahead prediction error variance $r_n$ are obtained by

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta} = -H \frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} x_{n|n-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta} = H \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} H^T + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} V_{n|n-1} H^T + HV_{n|n-1} \frac{\partial H^T}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta}.$$  

(12)

To evaluate these quantity, we need the derivative of the one-step-ahead predictor of the state $\frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta}$ and its variance covariance matrix $\frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta}$ which can be obtained recursively in parallel to the Kalman filter algorithm:

[One-step-ahead-prediction]

$$\frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} = F \frac{\partial x_{n-1|n-1}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} x_{n-1|n-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} = F \frac{\partial V_{n-1|n-1}}{\partial \theta} F^T + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} V_{n-1|n-1} F^T + FV_{n-1|n-1} \frac{\partial F^T}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} G^T + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta} QG^T + GQ \frac{\partial G^T}{\partial \theta}.$$  

(13)

[Filter]

$$\frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta} = \left( \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} H^T + V_{n|n-1} \frac{\partial H^T}{\partial \theta} \right) r_{n-1} - V_{n|n-1} H^T r_{n-2} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial x_{n-1|n-1}}{\partial \theta} + K_n \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta} \varepsilon_n$$

$$\frac{\partial V_n|n}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta} HV_{n|n-1} - K_n \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} V_{n|n-1} - K_n H \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta}.$$  

(14)
2.2 Hessian of the Log-likelihood of the State-space Model

The Hessian (the second derivative) of the log-likelihood is also obtained by a recursive formula, since, from (11), it is given as

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \left( \frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta^T} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta^T} \right) - \frac{1}{r_n^2} \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta^T} - \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta^T} \right) \right\},
\]

where, from (12), \( \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \) and \( \frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \) are obtained by

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} = -2 \frac{\partial H \partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} - H \frac{\partial^2 x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} x_{n|n-1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} = 2 \frac{\partial H \partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} H^T + H \frac{\partial^2 V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} H^T + 2H \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} \partial H + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \partial V_{n|n-1} \partial H^T
\]

\[
+ 2 \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \partial V_{n|n-1} \partial H^T + 2H \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} \partial H + H V_{n|n-1} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} + \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T}.
\]

Therefore, to evaluate the Hessian, the following computation should be performed along with the recursive formula for the log-likelihood and the gradient of the log-likelihood.

\[
\frac{\partial^2 x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} = 2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta^T} \frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} x_{n|n-1} - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} x_{n|n-1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} = 2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta^T} \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} F^T + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} V_{n|n-1} F^T + 2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} V_{n|n-1} \partial F^T
\]

\[
+ 2 \frac{\partial G Q}{\partial \theta^T} G T + G \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} G T + 2 \frac{\partial G Q}{\partial \theta^T} G T
\]

\[
+ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} Q G T + 2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta^T} Q G T + G \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} T
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 K_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} = -2 \left( \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} H^T + \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} H^T + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} V_{n|n-1} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \right) r_n^{-1}
\]

\[
- 2 \left( \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} H^T + \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} H^T + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} V_{n|n-1} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \right) r_n^{-2} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta^T}
\]

\[
+ 2 V_{n|n-1} H^T r_n^{-3} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta^T} - V_{n|n-1} H^T r_n^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 r_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 x_{n|n}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} = \frac{\partial^2 x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} + 2 \frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta^T} \frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T} + K_n \frac{\partial^2 x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} + \frac{\partial^2 K_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} \frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta^T}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 V_{n|n}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} = \frac{\partial^2 V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} - \frac{\partial^2 K_n}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T} H V_{n|n-1} - 2 \frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta^T} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta^T} V_{n|n-1} - 2 \frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta^T} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta^T} V_{n|n-1} - K_n \frac{\partial^2 V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^T}.
\]

3 Examples

In order to implement the gradient filter, it is necessary to to specify the derivatives of \( F, G, H, Q \) and \( R \) along with the original state-space model. In this section, we shall consider three typical
cases. The first example is the standard seasonal adjustment model, for which three matrices (or vector), \( F, G \) and \( H \) do not contain unknown parameters and thus the derivatives of these matrices becomes 0. This makes the algorithm for the gradient of the log-likelihood considerably simple. The second example is the seasonal adjustment model with AR component. For this model, the matrix \( F \) depends on the unknown AR coefficients, but the derivative of \( F \) is very simple and very sparse. On the other hand, if we use a nonlinear transformation of the parameters in estimating the AR coefficients, to ensure the stationarity condition, it is necessary to consider the effect of the transformation. The third example is the ARMA model. Since the variance covariance matrix of the initial state vector is complex functions of the AR and MA parameter, it is rather raborious work to determine the initial matrix for the algorithm for the gradient of the log-likelihood.

3.1 The standard seasonal adjustment model

This is a typical example of the case where only the noise covariances \( Q \) and \( R \) depend on the unknown parameter \( \theta \). Consider a standard seasonal adjustment model

\[
y_n = T_n + S_n + w_n,
\]

where \( T_n \) and \( S_n \) are the trend component and the seasonal component that typically follow the following model

\[
\begin{align*}
T_n &= 2T_{n-1} - T_{n-2} + u_n, \\
S_n &= -(S_{n-1} + \cdots + S_{n-p+1}) + v_n.
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

\( u_n, v_n \) and \( w_n \) are assumed to be Gaussian white noise with variances \( \tau^2_1, \tau^2_2 \) and \( \sigma^2 \), respectively (Kitagawa and Gersch (1984,1996) and Kitagawa (2020)).

This seasonal adjustment model with two component models can be expressed in state-space model form as

\[
\begin{align*}
x_n &= Fx_{n-1} + Gv_n \\
y_n &= Hx_n + w_n
\end{align*}
\]

(20)

with \( v_n \sim N(0,Q) \) and \( w_n \sim N(0,R) \) and the state vector \( x_n \) and the matrices \( F, G, H, Q \) and \( R \) are defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_n &= \begin{bmatrix} T_n \\ T_{n-1} \\ S_n \\ S_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ S_{n-p+2} \end{bmatrix}, & F &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & G &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
H &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & Q &= \begin{bmatrix} \tau^2_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^2_2 \end{bmatrix}, & R &= \sigma^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(21)

(22)

In this case, the parameter is \( \theta = (\tau^2_1, \tau^2_2, \sigma^2)^T \), and the \( F, G \) and \( H \) do not depend on the parameter. Further, all of \( F, G, H, Q \) and \( R \) are time-invariant and do not depend on time \( n \).

In actual likelihood maximization, since there are positivity constrains, \( \tau^2_1 > 0, \tau^2_2 > 0 \) and \( \sigma^2 > 0 \), it is frequently used a log-transformation,

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 &= \log(\tau^2_1), & \theta_2 &= \log(\tau^2_2), & \theta_3 &= \log(\sigma^2).
\end{align*}
\]

(23)
In this case,
\[
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]
(24)
\[
\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_3} = \sigma^2.
\]
(25)

Since \( F, G \) and \( H \) do not depend on \( \theta \) and \( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} = 0, \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta} = 0 \) and \( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} = 0 \) hold, the recursive algorithm for gradient of the log-likelihood shown in (49) and (50) become simple as follows:
\[
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{H}{\theta} \frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta},
\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta} = H \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta},
\frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} = F \frac{\partial x_{n-1|n-1}}{\partial \theta},
\frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} = F \frac{\partial V_{n-1|n-1}}{\partial \theta} F^T + G \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} G^T,
\frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} H^T r_n^{-1} - V_{n|n-1} H^T r_n^{-2} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta},
\frac{\partial x_{n|n}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta} \varepsilon_n + K_n \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta},
\frac{\partial V_{n|n}}{\partial \theta} = (I - K_n H) \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial K_n}{\partial \theta} H V_{n|n-1}.
\]

For Whard (whole sale hardware) data (Kitagawa (2020)), \( N = 155 \), the standard seasonal adjustment model with \( m_1 = 2, m_2 = 1 \) is estimated using the initial estimates of parameters, \( \theta = (\log \tau_1^2, \log \tau_2^2, \log \sigma^2) = (-12.20607265, -13.81551056, -0.69314718)^T \). The log-likelihood of the model with these initial parameters is \( \ell(\theta) = 109.34479 \) and the Gradient obtained by the numerical difference function \( \text{FUNCND} \) and the proposed method are shown in the Table 1. In the numerical differentiation, \( C = 10^{-3} \) is used. It can be seen that the numerical differentiation coincides with the analytic derivative up to 5th digit.

| Table 1: Comparison of numerical difference and gradient |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Numerical Difference | Gradient |
| \( \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \tau_1^2} \) | 1.07694445 | 1.07694205 |
| \( \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \tau_2^2} \) | 0.00091259 | 0.00091256 |
| \( \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \sigma^2} \) | 70.91720451 | 70.91720448 |

### 3.2 Seasonal adjustment model with stationary AR component

Consider a seasonal adjustment model with stationary AR component
\[
y_n = T_n + S_n + p_n + w_n,
\]
(26)
where \( T_n \) and \( S_n \) are the trend component and the seasonal component introduced in the previous subsection and \( p_n \) is an AR component with order \( m_3 \) defined my
\[
p_n = \sum_{j=1}^{m_3} a_j p_{n-j} + v_n^{(t)}.
\]
(27)
Here \( v_n^{(t)} \) is a Gaussian white noise with variance \( \tau_3^2 \). The model contains \( 4 + m_3 \) parameters and the parameter vector is given by \( \theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{m_3+4}) \equiv (\tau_1^2, \tau_2^2, \tau_3^2, \sigma^2, a_1, \ldots, a_{m_3})^T \).

The matrices \( F, G, H, Q \) and \( R \) are defined by

\[
x_n = \begin{bmatrix} T_n \\ T_{n-1} \\ S_n \\ \vdots \\ S_{n-p+2} \\ p_{n-1} \\ p_{n-2} \\ \vdots \\ p_{n-m_3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots & \\ & & & & & 1 & \vdots \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & \vdots & & & & \\ & & & & \vdots & & & & \\ & & & & & & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{m_3} & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_3^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_3^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \sigma^2.
\]

In this case, all of \( F, G, H, Q \) and \( R \) are time-invariant and do not depend on time \( n \). The relation between the parameter \( \theta_j \) and the variances and AR coefficients are as follows.

\[
\tau_j^2 = e^{\theta_j}, (j = 1, \ldots, 3), \quad \sigma^2 = e^{\theta_4}, \quad \beta_j = C e^{\theta_{j+4}} - 1
\]

\[
\{a_j^{(m)} = a_j^{(m-1)} - \beta_m a_{m-j}^{(m-1)}, j = 1, \ldots, m\}, \quad \text{for } m = 1, \ldots, m_3
\]

Note that the equation (31) is the relation between the AR coefficients of order \( m - 1 \) and those of the order \( m \) used in the Levinson’s algorithm (Kitagawa (2020)).

In this case,

\[
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_3^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_3^2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_3} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta_4} = \sigma^2;
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta_k} \right)_{pq} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial a_p}{\partial \theta_q} & \text{if } k > 4, p = 4, q = 5, \ldots, m_3 + 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

where \( \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta_k} \right)_{pq} \) denotes the \((p, q)\) component of the matrix \( \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta_k} \right) \), and \( \frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \theta_j} \) is obtained by

\[
\frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial \theta_j} = 2C e^{\theta_j} \left( e^{\theta_j} + 1 \right)^2 \frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \beta_j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial \beta_k}{\partial \theta_j} = \begin{cases} 2C e^{\theta_j} \left( e^{\theta_j} + 1 \right)^2 & \text{for } k = j \\ 0 & \text{for } k \neq j \end{cases}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial a^{(m)}_i}{\partial \beta_k} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } i = m \text{ and } k < m \\
1 & \text{for } i = m = k \\
\frac{\partial a^{(m-1)}_i}{\partial \beta_k} - \beta_m \frac{\partial a^{(m-1)}_{m-i}}{\partial \beta_k} & \text{for } i < m \text{ and } k < m \\
-a^{(m-1)}_{m-i} & \text{for } i < m \text{ and } k = m.
\end{cases}
\] (36)

Table 2 shows the gradients obtained by the numerical difference and the proposed method. The initial estimates of the parameters are \(\theta = (-12.20607265, -13.81551056, -9.72116600, -0.69314718, 2.92316158, -1.20485737)\) and the log-likelihood of the model is \(\ell(\theta) = 109.39234337\). In this case as well, the numerical differentiation matches the analytic derivative up to the fifth digit.

Table 2: Comparison of numerical difference and gradient

| Numerical Difference | Gradient |
|----------------------|----------|
| \(\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \tau_1^2}\) | 1.07570844 | 1.07570605 |
| \(\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \tau_2^2}\) | 0.00091252 | 0.00091249 |
| \(\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \tau_3^2}\) | 0.04739855 | 0.04739781 |
| \(\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \tau_4^2}\) | 70.87177866 | 70.87177864 |
| \(\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial a_1}\) | 0.03112269 | 0.03112271 |
| \(\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial a_2}\) | -0.02850531 | -0.02850530 |

### 3.3 ARMA Model

Consider a stationary ARMA model (autoregressive moving average model) of order \((m, \ell)\) (Box and Jenkins (1970), Brockwell and Davis (1981))

\[
y_n = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j y_{n-j} + v_n - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} b_j v_{n-j}, \quad (37)
\]

where \(v_n\) is a Gaussian white noise with mean zero and variance \(\sigma^2\). Here, a new variable \(\tilde{y}_{n+i|n-1}\) is defined as

\[
\tilde{y}_{n+i|n-1} = \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} a_j y_{n+i-j} - \sum_{j=i}^{\ell} b_j v_{n+i-j}, \quad (38)
\]

which is a part of \(y_{n+i}\) that can be directly computable from the observations until time \(n-1\), \(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, \cdots\), and the noise inputs until time \(n, v_n, v_{n-1}, \cdots\).

By setting \(k = \max(m, \ell + 1)\) and defining the \(k\)-dimensional state vector \(x_n\) as

\[
x_n = (y_n, \tilde{y}_{n+1|n-1}, \cdots, \tilde{y}_{n+k-1|n-1})^T, \quad (39)
\]

the ARMA model can be expressed in the form of a state-space model (Kitagawa (2020)):

\[
x_n = F x_{n-1} + G v_n \\
y_n = H x_n. \quad (40)
\]
Here \( k = \max(m, l + 1) \) and the \( k \times k \) matrix \( F \) and the \( k \)-dimensional vectors \( G \) and \( H \) are defined as

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
a_1 & 1 \\
a_2 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \\
a_k & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
-b_1 \\
\vdots \\
-b_{k-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ H = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (41) \]

respectively, where \( a_i = 0 \) for \( i > m \) and \( b_i = 0 \) for \( i > l \).

The ARMA model of order \((m, l)\) has \( m + l + 1 \) unknown parameters \( \sigma^2, a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1, \ldots, b_l \). However, the maximum likelihood estimate of the innovation variance is obtained by

\[
\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{r_n}, \quad (42)
\]

and the coefficients \( a_i \) and \( b_j \) can be estimated independent on the variance. Therefore, hereafter define the parameter vector as \( \theta = (a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1, \ldots, b_l)^T \). Then the log-likelihood of the ARMA model is given by

\[
\ell(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ N \log 2\pi + N \log \hat{\sigma}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log r_n + N \right\}. \quad (43)
\]

### 3.3.1 Gradient filter for ARMA model

For the state-space representation of the ARMA model, the derivative of the matrices \( F, G, \) and \( Q \) are given by

\[
\frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial \theta_p} = \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq m, j = 1, p \leq m \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (44)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial G_i}{\partial \theta_p} = \begin{cases}
-1 & \text{if } i \leq l, m+1 \leq p \leq m+l \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad . \quad (45)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_p} = 0, \quad p = 1, \ldots, m+l \quad (46)
\]

From (43), the gradient of the log-likelihood of the ARMA model is obtained by

\[
\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{r_n} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{r_n} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{r_n^2} \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta}, \quad (47)
\]

where the derivatives of the one-step-ahead prediction error \( \varepsilon_n \) and the one-step-ahead prediction error variance \( r_n \) are obtained by

\[
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_n}{\partial \theta} = -H \frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta}, \quad \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \theta} = H \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} H^T. \quad (48)
\]

Here \( \frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} \) and \( \frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} \) can be evaluated by the following Kalman filter like recursive algorithm.
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial x_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} & = F \frac{\partial x_{n-1|n-1}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} x_{n-1|n-1}. \\
\frac{\partial V_{n|n-1}}{\partial \theta} & = F \frac{\partial V_{n-1|n-1}}{\partial \theta} F^T + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} V_{n-1|n-1} F^T + F V_{n-1|n-1} \frac{\partial F^T}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta} Q G^T + G Q \frac{\partial G^T}{\partial \theta}. 
\end{align*}
\]

(49)

To apply the above recursive algorithm, we need the initial values, \( \frac{\partial V_{ij}}{\partial a_p} \) and \( \frac{\partial V_{ij}}{\partial b_r} \), which can be obtained from the initial variance covariance matrix of the state-space representation of the ARMA model (Kitagawa (2020))

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{11} & = C_0 \\
V_{1i} & = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j C_{j+1-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} b_j g_{j+1-i} \\
V_{ij} & = \sum_{p=i}^{m} \sum_{q=j}^{m} a_p a_q C_{q-j+p+i} - \sum_{p=i}^{m} \sum_{q=j-1}^{\ell} a_p b_q g_{q-j+p+i} \\
& - \sum_{p=i-1}^{m} \sum_{q=j}^{\ell} b_p a_q g_{p-i-q+j} + \sum_{p=i-1}^{\ell} b_p b_{p+i-j} \sigma^2,
\end{align*}
\]

(51)

where the autocovariance function \( C_k, k = 0, 1, \ldots, k \) and the impulse response function \( g_k \) are obtained by

[Impulse response function]

\[
\begin{align*}
g_0 & = 1 \\
g_i & = \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_j g_{i-j} - b_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

(52)

[Covariance function]

\[
\begin{align*}
C_0 & = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i C_i + \sigma^2 \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i g_i \right) \\
C_k & = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i C_{k-i} - \sigma^2 \sum_{i=k}^{\ell} b_i g_{i-k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

(53) (54)
3.3.2 Initial condition for the recursive computation

To apply this recursive algorithm shown in (49) and (50), we need the initial values, \( \frac{\partial V_{ij}}{\partial a_p} \) and \( \frac{\partial V_{ij}}{\partial b_r} \) which are obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial V_{11}}{\partial a_p} &= \frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_p}, \quad \frac{\partial V_{11}}{\partial b_p} = \frac{\partial C_0}{\partial b_p}, \\
\frac{\partial V_{1i}}{\partial a_p} &= m \sum_{j=i} \frac{\partial C_{j+1-i}}{\partial a_p} + C_{j+1-i} - \sum_{j=i-1}^\ell bj \frac{\partial g_{j+1-i}}{\partial a_p}, \\
\frac{\partial V_{1i}}{\partial b_p} &= \sum_{j=i}^m \frac{\partial C_{j+1-i}}{\partial b_p} - \sum_{j=i-1}^\ell bj \frac{\partial g_{j+1-i}}{\partial b_p} - g_{j+1-i}, \\
\frac{\partial V_{ij}}{\partial a_r} &= \sum_{p=i}^m a_p C_{r-j-p+i} + \sum_{q=j}^m a_q C_{q-j-r+i} + \sum_{p=i}^m \sum_{q=j}^m a_p a_q \frac{\partial C_{q-j-p+i}}{\partial a_r} \\
&\quad - \sum_{q=j-1}^\ell b_q g_{q-j-r+i} - \sum_{p=i}^m \sum_{q=j}^\ell a_p b_q \frac{\partial g_{q-j-p+i}}{\partial a_r}, \\
\frac{\partial V_{ij}}{\partial b_r} &= \sum_{p=i}^m \sum_{q=j}^m a_p a_q \frac{\partial C_{q-j-p+i}}{\partial b_r} - \sum_{p=i}^m a_p g_{r-j-p+i} - \sum_{p=i}^m \sum_{q=j-1}^\ell a_p b_q \frac{\partial g_{q-j-p+i}}{\partial b_r} \\
&\quad - \sum_{q=j}^m a_q g_{r-i-q+j} - \sum_{p=i}^m \sum_{q=j}^\ell b_p a_q \frac{\partial g_{q-i-q+j}}{\partial b_r} \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=i-1}^\ell b_{r+j-i} \sigma^2 + \sum_{p=i-1}^\ell b_{r-j+i} \sigma^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, from the definition of the impulse responser function (52) and the autocovariance function (53) and (54), their derivatives are obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \theta_j} &= 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m + \ell, \\
\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial a_p} &= \sum_{j=1}^i a_j \frac{\partial q_{i-j}}{\partial a_p} + g_{i-j}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \\
\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial b_p} &= \begin{cases} \\
\sum_{j=1}^i a_j \frac{\partial q_{i-j}}{\partial b_p}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \\
\sum_{j=1}^i a_j \frac{\partial q_{i-j}}{\partial b_p} - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad p = i
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_p} &= C_p + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial a_p} - \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^\ell b_i \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial a_p}, \\
\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial b_p} &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial b_p} - \sigma^2 \left( g_p + \sum_{i=1}^\ell b_i \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial b_p} \right).
\end{align*}
\]
the PARCOR’s.

needs to satisfy the condition that

will always satisfy the stationarity and invertibility conditions. It is noted that if the coefficient

and formally obtain the corresponding MA coefficients

for arbitrary (\(\theta\)).

In actual parameter estimation, however, to satisfy the stationarity and invertibility conditions,

\(3.3.3\) Effect of transformation of parameters

Conversely, if \(\beta_i\) is defined by

for arbitrary \((\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m\), then it can been seen that \(|\beta_i| < 1\) is always satisfied and the corresponding AR coefficients satisfy the stationarity condition.

Similarly, to guarantee the invertibility condition of the MA coefficients for any \((\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_\ell)^T \in \mathbb{R}^\ell\), let \(\gamma_i\) be defined as

and formally obtain the corresponding MA coefficients \(b_1, \ldots, b_\ell\) by considering \(d_1, \ldots, d_\ell\) to be the PARCOR’s.

Then for arbitrary \(\theta'' = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m+\ell}\), the corresponding ARMA model will always satisfy the stationarity and invertibility conditions. It is noted that if the coefficient needs to satisfy the condition that \(|\beta_i| < C\) for some \(0 < C < 1\), we define

\[
\beta_i = \frac{e^{\alpha_i} - 1}{e^{\alpha_i} + 1} C, \quad (66)
\]
instead of the equation (63).

For these transformations, the gradient of the log-likelihood is modified as follows.

\[
\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial a_i} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial \theta_j} & \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, m \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial b_i} \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial \theta_j} & \text{for } j = m + 1, \ldots, \ell
\end{cases} 
\]

(68)

where \(\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial \theta_j}\) and \(\frac{\partial b_i}{\partial \theta_j}\) are obtained by

\[
\frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \beta_j} \frac{\partial \beta_j}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{2Ce^{\beta_j}}{(e^{\beta_j} + 1)^2} \frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \beta_j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m
\]

(69)

\[
\frac{\partial b_i^{(m)}}{\partial \theta_{m+j}} = \frac{\partial b_i^{(m)}}{\partial \gamma_j} \frac{\partial \gamma_j}{\partial \theta_{m+j}} = \frac{2Ce^{\beta_{m+j}}}{(e^{\beta_{m+j}} + 1)^2} \frac{\partial b_i^{(m)}}{\partial \gamma_j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, \ell, 
\]

(70)

and \(\frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \beta_j}\) and \(\frac{\partial b_i^{(m)}}{\partial \gamma_j}\) are given by

\[
\frac{\partial a_i^{(m)}}{\partial \beta_k} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } i = m \text{ and } k < m \\
1 & \text{for } i = m = k \\
\frac{\partial a_i^{(m-1)}}{\partial \beta_k} - \beta_m \frac{\partial a_i^{(m-1)}}{\partial \beta_k} & \text{for } i < m \text{ and } k < m \\
-\frac{\partial a_{m-i}^{(m-1)}}{\partial \beta_k} & \text{for } i < m \text{ and } k = m.
\end{cases}
\]

(71)

\[
\frac{\partial b_i^{(\ell)}}{\partial \gamma_k} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } i = \ell \text{ and } k < \ell \\
1 & \text{for } i = \ell = k \\
\frac{\partial b_i^{(\ell-1)}}{\partial \gamma_k} - \gamma_i \frac{\partial b_i^{(\ell-1)}}{\partial \gamma_k} & \text{for } i < \ell \text{ and } k < \ell \\
-\gamma_i \frac{\partial b_{i-1}^{(\ell-1)}}{\partial \gamma_k} & \text{for } i < \ell \text{ and } k = \ell.
\end{cases}
\]

(72)

### 3.3.4 ARMA(2,1) and ARMA(5,3)

As numerical examples, we consider two ARMA models for the Hakusan yaw rate data (Kitagawa (2020)). The first example is an ARMA(2,1) model. The initial estimates of the AR and MA coefficients are \(a_1 = 1.3\), \(a_2 = -0.6\), \(b_1 = 0.2\). The log-likelihood of the ARMA model with these initial parameters are \(-16.3976\). Table 3 compare the gradients of the log-likelihood computed by the numerical difference and the proposed gradient filter algorithm. The gradients coincides until the fifth digit. By both algorithm, the maximum likelihood estimates of the model are \(a_1 = 1.4103\), \(a_2 = -0.6846\), \(b_1 = 0.3396\), \(\sigma^2 = 0.06663\) and the maximum log-likelihood \(\ell(\theta) = -15.7187\), AIC = 39.4373.

The second example is the ARMA(5,3) model for the same data set. Initial estimates of the parameters are \(a_1 = 2.5\), \(a_2 = -3.0\), \(a_3 = 2.1\), \(a_4 = -1.0\), \(a_5 = 0.3\), \(b_1 = 2.1\), \(b_2 = -1.7\), \(b_3 = 0.5\) and the log-likelihood of the model with these parameters is \(\ell = -156.5930\). Table 4 compare the gradients of the log-likelihood computed by the numerical difference and the proposed algorithm. The gradients coincides at least until the sixth digit. By both algorithm, the maximum likelihood estimates of the model are \(a_1 = 3.0705\), \(a_2 = -4.0905\), \(a_3 = 2.9810\),

\[-\]
Table 3: Comparison of numerical difference and gradient for ARMA(2,1) model.

| Numerical Difference | Gradient  |
|----------------------|-----------|
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_1}$ | $-0.7848665$ | $-0.7848652$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_2}$ | $1.6988569$ | $1.6988567$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_3}$ | $-1.6783890$ | $-1.6783890$ |

$a_4 = -1.27978$, $a_5 = 0.3035$, $b_1 = 2.982$, $b_2 = -1.6797$, $b_3 = 0.5023$, $\sigma^2 = 0.05743$ and the maximum log-likelihood $\ell(\hat{\theta}) = 0.8624$, AIC = 16.2753. The AIC values indicate the ARMA(5,3) is better than the ARMA(2,1) model.

Table 4: Comparison of numerical difference and gradient for ARMA(5,3) model.

| Numerical Difference | Gradient  |
|----------------------|-----------|
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_1}$ | $-0.249927228 \times 10^3$ | $-0.249927233 \times 10^3$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_2}$ | $0.910195611 \times 10^1$ | $0.910195568 \times 10^1$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_3}$ | $-0.342739937 \times 10^2$ | $-0.342739934 \times 10^2$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_4}$ | $0.771826263 \times 10^2$ | $0.771826264 \times 10^2$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_5}$ | $0.231448005 \times 10^2$ | $0.231448006 \times 10^2$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_6}$ | $0.480755088 \times 10^2$ | $0.480755057 \times 10^2$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_7}$ | $-0.850532732 \times 10^2$ | $-0.850532748 \times 10^2$ |
| $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta_8}$ | $0.322328498 \times 10^2$ | $0.322328498 \times 10^2$ |

4 Summary

The gradient and Hessian of the log-likelihood of linear state-space model are given. Details of the implementation of the algorithm for standard seasonal adjustment model, seasonal adjustment model with stationary AR component and ARMA model are given. For each implementation, comparison with a numerical difference method is shown.
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