Foundation of Fractional Langevin Equation: Harmonization of a Many Body Problem
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I. INTRODUCTION

The stochastic dynamics of many-body systems with general two-body interactions are inherently difficult to solve. There are, however, a few idealized exactly solvable models that have served as benchmark cases from which collective effects have been deduced [11–13]. One example is the one-dimensional over-damped motion of non-passing hard spheres (so called single-file diffusion) in which a tracer particle behaves subdiffusively [14]. Processes displaying anomalous diffusion occurs in a range of many-body systems [6–8], especially in biology [9, 10], and are, apart from a few exceptional cases, modeled in phenomenological ways.

The one-dimensional motion of identical Brownian particles (BPs) which are unable to pass each other is well studied theoretically [11–14]. A tracer particle in such a single-file system exhibits sub-diffusion; its mean square displacement (MSD) is proportional to $t^{1/2}$ indicating slow dynamics [11–16]. There exists a wide range of experiments on tracer particle dynamics in diverse systems which show the $t^{1/2}$ behavior. Examples include colloids in one-dimensional channels [17–19], an NMR experiment involving Xenon in microporous materials [20], molecular diffusion in Zeolites [21, 22], moisture expansion in ceramic materials [23], and a study of Ethane in a molecular sieve [24].

Independent of the developments in the field of single-file diffusion, recently a stochastic fractional Langevin equation (FLE) has gained much interest [25–27]. In the FLE a derivative of fractional order $\alpha$ replaces the usual first order time derivative in the overdamped Langevin equation ($d/dt \rightarrow d^{\alpha}/dt^{\alpha}$). FLEs with $0<\alpha<1$ are able to describe phenomenologically a range of physical phenomena such as motion in viscoelastic media [25]. In the presence of a binding harmonic field, Xie and co-authors used the FLE to model protein dynamics [26, 29] and $\alpha = 0.51 \pm 0.07$ was deduced from experimental observations. Here we derive an FLE with $\alpha = 1/2$, in the presence of an external force field, starting from a many-body theory. Thus we show that the $1/2$-FLE is expected to be universal for a large number of experiments describing interacting tracer particle dynamics.

Usually single-file models consider BPs with hard-core interaction. These models can be mapped onto a non-interacting system with known methods [12, 30]. In experiments the interaction of BPs is hardly ever hard-core. In this paper we consider rather general two-body interactions between BPs. We present a new method to deal with this many-body problem, which we call harmonization. With this method we are able to effortlessly derive many previous results, for example the Kollmann relation for the MSD [14], to justify from first-principles the FLE, and to derive many new results, such as the distance correlation function between a pair of particles.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

We consider particles undergoing one-dimensional over-damped Brownian motion in an infinite system...
where two particles \(n\) and \(n'\) interact via the two-body potential \(V(x_n(t) - x_{n'}(t))\), where \(x_n(t)\) is the position of the \(n\)th particle. The potential has a hard-core part, so the particles cannot pass each other, but otherwise it is rather general \([31]\). The Langevin equation for the motion of particle \(n\) reads

\[
\frac{dx_n(t)}{dt} = \sum_{n'} f[x_n(t) - x_{n'}(t)] + \eta_n(t) + f_n(t),
\]

where \(\xi\) is the friction constant \((D = k_B T/\xi\) is the free-particle diffusion coefficient), \(\eta_n\) is the force due to interactions with the surrounding particles, \(\eta\) is a zero mean white Gaussian noise with \(\langle \eta_n(t) \eta_n(t') \rangle = 2\xi k_B T \delta(t - t')\delta_{nn'}\) where \(k_B\) and \(T\) are the Boltzmann constant and temperature. \(f_n\) is an external force. The particles are initially taken to be in thermal equilibrium. Our main interests are in the dynamics of a tracer particle and in distance fluctuations between particles. These quantities are, however, intractable from the many-body problem \([1]\) for a general \(\mathcal{V}\). Therefore, we introduce a new technique - harmonization.

### III. Harmonization Technique

The philosophy behind harmonization is to map the original system (A), i.e., a system described by Eq. \([1]\), to a system (B) consisting of beads interconnected by harmonic springs. Consider two particles in system A with \(N \gg 1\) particles in between, and which, in equilibrium, are at an average distance \(L_{\text{eq}}\) from one another. We let \(\mathcal{F}(L, N)\) be the extensive free energy due to the \(N\) particles at a fixed temperature \(T\). Fluctuations of \(L\) around \(L_{\text{eq}}\) are small. Hence we may expand \(\mathcal{F}(L, N) \sim \mathcal{F}(L_{\text{eq}}, N) + k_N(L - L_{\text{eq}})^2/2\) where we introduced a macroscopic spring constant: \(k_N = \partial^2 \mathcal{F}(L, N)/\partial L^2|_{L_{\text{eq}}}\). Now we replace system A, by a system B of beads connected to their two nearest neighbours by springs with spring constant \(\kappa\). We relate the spring constants \(\kappa\) and \(k_N\) by requiring that the total free energy associated with identical displacements from \(L_{\text{eq}}\) in the two systems are the same. This gives

\[
\kappa = N k_N = N \left. \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(N, L)}{\partial L^2} \right|_{L_{\text{eq}}}. \tag{2}
\]

To see this note that for \(N\) particles in system B the total free energy change is \(Nk_N(L - L_{\text{eq}})^2/(2N^2)\) since the displacement of one spring is \((L - L_{\text{eq}})/N\) and we have \(N\) such springs which is equal to the free energy of a single macroscopic spring in system A, \(k_N(L - L_{\text{eq}})^2/2\), when Eq. \([2]\) holds. The effective spring constant \(\kappa\) is an intensive thermodynamic quantity, which can be obtained from the original system’s equation of state. From the definition of pressure \(P = -\langle \partial \mathcal{F} / \partial L \rangle_N\) and isothermal compressibility \(\chi_T = -\langle \partial L / \partial P \rangle_N / L\), one obtains \(\kappa = -N \langle \partial P / \partial L \rangle_N = \rho / \chi_T\) where \(\rho = N/L\) \((N, L \rightarrow \infty)\) is the particle number density. For a one-dimensional gas of hard-core interacting point-particles the equation of state is given by \(P = Nk_B T/L\) which leads to \(\kappa = \rho^2 k_B T\). Similarly, for systems consisting of \(b\)-sized hard rods (Tonks gas) we have from the van der Waals equation \(P = Nk_B T/(L^2 - Nb)\) that \(\kappa = \rho^2 k_B T (1 - \rho b)^{-2}\).

The final step in the harmonization method is to replace the non-linear two-body interaction in Eq. \([4]\) by forces from the nearest-neighbor spring coupling, i.e.,

\[
\xi \frac{dx_n(t)}{dt} = \kappa \left[ x_{n+1}(t) + x_{n-1}(t) - 2x_n(t) \right] + f_n(t) + \eta_n(t). \tag{3}
\]

We above implicitly assumed that there has been time for particles to interact with neighbors, \(t \gg \tau_{\text{int}} = 1/(\rho^2 D)\). Equation \([3]\) will be justified later, via simulations, and below by showing agreement with known results for single-file systems. Under the assumption \(t \gg \tau_{\text{int}}\) we can take the continuum limit and turn \(x_n(t)\) into a field

\[
\xi \frac{\partial x_n(t)}{\partial t} = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 x_n(t)}{\partial x^2} + f(n, t) + \eta(n, t). \tag{4}
\]

This relationship is our harmonization equation from which previous exact results are recovered and new ones derived. In the following subsection the MSD of a tracer particle is discussed. We note that a mapping similar to Harmonization was applied to the simple exclusion process in \([5]\). Here we consider a general two body interaction showing precisely how to compute the effective spring constant from equilibrium concepts (i.e., the compressibility).

#### A. Mean square displacement

Consider the case of no external forces, \(f(n, t) = 0\). Equation \([4]\) is then equivalent to the Rouse model from polymer physics (see for instance \([32]\)). In the following we consider a tracer particle labeled \(T\) and consider its MSD. We will arbitrarily choose the particle \(n = 0\) with position \(x_T(t) = x(n = 0, t)\). The MSD, \(\langle \delta x_T^2(t) \rangle = \langle [x_T(t) - x_T(0)]^2 \rangle \) \((\langle \rangle \) denotes average over noise and initial conditions\), is

\[
\langle \delta x_T^2(t) \rangle = k_B T \frac{4D t/(\pi \xi \kappa)}. \tag{5}
\]

The derivation is relegated to Appendix \([A]\). If \(\kappa\) for the gas of \(b\)-sized hard rods is used one obtains \(\langle \delta x_T^2(t) \rangle = \rho^{-1} (1 - \rho b) \sqrt{4D t/\pi} \), which agrees with \([4, 13, 34, 35]\) in Ref. \([14]\) Kollmann showed that \(\langle \delta x_T^2(t) \rangle \approx t^{1/2}\) regardless of the nature of interactions as long as mutual passage is excluded. In particular Kollmann derived the relation \(\langle \delta x_T^2(t) \rangle \sim S_0 \sqrt{D t/(\rho^2 \pi)}\), where \(S_0\) is the static structure factor at zero wavevector and \(D_c\) is the collective diffusion constant. Equation \([5]\) gives via Eq. \([4]\) a relation between the MSD and the free energy of the system, while the Kollmann relation relates the MSD to physical observables \(S_0\) and \(D_c\). Equivalence between our results and \([14]\) is found using \(S_0 = k_B T \rho \chi_T\) and the relation \(D_c = 1/(\rho \chi_T \xi)\) \([37]\).
IV. FRACTIONAL LANGEVING EQUATION

Using our harmonization technique we recovered known single-file results. Now we take the harmonization one step further and derive an FLE for the position of the tracer particle $x_T(t) = x(n = 0, t)$. Taking the Fourier and Laplace transforms \[ x(q, s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(n, t) e^{-i\omega n - st} dn, \]

\[ x(q, s) = \frac{\eta(q, s) + \xi x(q, t = 0) + f(q, s)}{\xi s + \kappa q^2}. \]

(6)

Note that we for all functions indicate a Fourier transform with the variable $q$ and a Laplace transform by the variable $s$. Subtracting $2\pi \delta(q) x_T(t = 0)/s$ from both sides of (6), rearranging, and taking the inverse Fourier transform at $n = 0$ yields

\[ \gamma(s) \{ x_T(s) - x_T(t = 0) \} = \tilde{\eta}_T^\text{eff}(s) + \tilde{f}_T(s), \]

(7)

where $\gamma(s) = \sqrt{4\xi \kappa/s}$ is a fractional friction kernel, and the bar over a quantity means $\bar{y}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\sqrt{\xi s/\kappa}|n|) y(n, s)$. The effective noise is defined as $\eta^\text{eff}(n, s) = \eta(n, s) + \xi[x(n, t = 0) - x_T(t = 0)]$ and includes Gaussian noise as well as randomness in the initial conditions relative to the tracer particle. If the external force acts only on the tracer particle, $f(n, t) = f_T(t) \delta(n)$, the inverse Laplace transform of Eq. (7) yields

\[ \sqrt{4\xi \kappa} \frac{d^{1/2} x_T(t)}{dt^{1/2}} = \tilde{\eta}_T^\text{eff}(t) + f_T(t), \]

(8)

where we introduced the Caputo fractional derivative

\[ \frac{d^\alpha f(t)}{dt^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{df(t')}{dt'} |t-t'|^{\alpha-1} dt'. \]

(9)

of order $\alpha = 1/2$. Equation (8) is the sought for FLE with fractional kernel $\gamma(t) = \sqrt{4\xi \kappa/(\pi t)}$ and is in agreement with the long time limit of the result proposed phenomenologically for hard-core interacting point particles in \[10\]. Notice that the form $\gamma(t) \propto t^{-1/2}$ is a direct consequence of the harmonic expansion and the assumption of over-damped dynamics. Assuming thermal initial conditions one straightforwardly (see Appendix \[3\]) shows that the effective noise satisfies the fluctuation-dissipation relation

\[ \langle \tilde{\eta}_T^\text{eff}(t) \tilde{\eta}_T^\text{eff}(t') \rangle = k_B T \gamma(|t - t'|). \]

(10)

This was expected since the Langevin equation was organ- ized with the external force conjugate to $x_T(t)$ as a term on the right hand side (see \[11\]). For a constant force, $f_T(t) = F$, one can deduce from Eq. (8) (see Appendix \[3\]) the generalized Einstein relation

\[ \langle x_T(t) \rangle_F = F \langle \delta x_T^2(t) \rangle/(2k_B T), \]

(11)

where $\langle x_T(t) \rangle_F$ is the average shift in position in the presence of the force and $\langle \delta x_T^2(t) \rangle$ is the MSD in the absence of the force, i.e., as given by Eq. (5); this Einstein relation generalizes the results in \[12\] to systems with general $V$. For the case of a periodic force $f_T(t) = F_0 \cos(\omega_0 t)$, we find asymptotically at long times a non-trivial $45^\circ$ phase-shift between the applied force and mean displacement (see Appendix \[3\]):

\[ \langle x_T(t) \rangle_{F_0} \sim \frac{F_0}{2\sqrt{\omega_0 \kappa}} \cos(\omega_0 t - \pi/4). \]

(12)

The response of a tagged single-file particle to a harmonically oscillating force was previously obtained in a different way in \[10\]. More generally it has been obtained from the starting point of the fractional Langevin equation in \[14\].

A. Tracer particle in a harmonic potential and simulations

One of the predictions of FLE theory is that the autocorrelation function $\langle x_T(t) x_T(0) \rangle$, under the influence of an external harmonic potential, decays as a Mittag-Leffler function \[25\] \[26\]. For the tracer particle in thermal equilibrium with respect to the harmonic force $f_T = -m\omega_0^2 x_T(t)$ we find from Eq. (8)

\[ \langle x_T(t) x_T(0) \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{m \omega_0^2} E_{1/2}(-t/\tau) \]

(13)

where $E_{1/2}(-t/\tau) = e^{t/\tau} \text{erfc}(t/\tau)^{1/2}$ is the Mittag-Leffler function and $\tau = 4\xi \kappa/(m \omega_0^2)$. For $t \gg \tau$ this...
leads to a decay \( \langle x_T(t) x_T(0) \rangle \sim k_B T \sqrt{\tau/(4\pi t)}/(ma^2) \). We tested the prediction of Eq. (13) numerically by simulations of hard-core interacting point particles. The result is shown in Fig. 2, with agreement with the analytic prediction is excellent (without any fitting) in the time regime where particles have collided and harmonization is valid, i.e., when \( t \gg \tau_{\text{int}} = 1/(\rho^2 D) \). The slight deviation at shorter times is in accordance with the interaction-free Brownian motion of the simulated particles prior to collisions, see also [40]. An autocorrelation function with Mittag-Leffler decay of index 1/2 was recorded in the experiments [29]. The harmonization procedure can be applied to other problems than tracer particles. This is illustrated below.

V. INTER-PARTICLE DISTANCE CORRELATIONS

Donor-acceptor data from conformational dynamics of proteins [44] was recently modeled using an FLE with a harmonic potential (i.e., Eq. (2) with \( f_r \) harmonic) [26]. Fracton models [15] and FLEs based on the Kac-Zwanzig model [46] have also recently been studied. Contrasting more phenomenologically oriented approaches, our harmonization technique allows us to attack problems related to inter-particle dynamics on a first-principle level. In fact, considering inter-particle distance dynamics we will now show that: (i) a harmonic potential arises naturally and is not due to an external field as assumed so far, and (ii) the governing equation is a generalized Langevin equation (GLE) with a power law memory kernel which leads to anomalous relaxation, rather than an FLE.

Defining \( x_{\text{dist}}(t) = x(n_a, t) - x(n_d, t) \) as the distance between an “acceptor” particle \( n_a \) and “donor” \( n_d \), an equation for \( x_{\text{dist}}(t) \) is obtained by subtracting Eq. (7) for \( x(n_a, t) \) and \( x(n_d, t) \), from the following one for \( x(n_a, t) \). If external forces acting only on particles \( n_a \) and \( n_d \) are considered, \( f(n, t) = f_{n_a}(t) \delta(n - n_a) + f_{n_d}(t) \delta(n - n_d) \), we find

\[
\gamma(s) \left[ \delta x_{\text{dist}}(s) - \delta x_{\text{dist}}(0) \right] = \eta^{\text{eff}}_{\text{dist}}(n_a, s) - \eta^{\text{eff}}_{\text{dist}}(n_d, s) + \left( f_{n_a}(s) - f_{n_d}(s) \right) \left( 1 - e^{-\sqrt{s\kappa\xi/k_{\text{dist}}}} \right),
\]

(14)

in Laplace space where \( k_{\text{dist}} = \kappa/|n_a - n_d| \). Dividing Eq. (14) by \( 2(1 - e^{-\sqrt{s\kappa\xi/k_{\text{dist}}}}) \) will result in the last term on the right hand side becoming a force \( f_{\text{dist}} = (f_{n_a} - f_{n_d})/2 \) which is conjugate to the coordinate \( x_{\text{dist}} \). Thus we rearrange Eq. (14) to the form of the GLE:

\[
\int_0^t dt' K(t - t') \frac{d\delta x_{\text{dist}}(t')}{dt'} = \eta_{\text{dist}}(t) - \mathcal{U}'(x_{\text{dist}}) + f_{\text{dist}}(t),
\]

(15)

with friction kernel and a noise in Laplace space given by

\[
K(s) = \frac{\gamma(s)}{2(1 - e^{-\sqrt{s\kappa\xi/k_{\text{dist}}}})} - \frac{k_{\text{dist}}}{s},
\]

\[
\eta_{\text{dist}}(s) = \frac{\eta^{\text{eff}}_{\text{dist}}(n_a, s) - \eta^{\text{eff}}_{\text{dist}}(n_d, s)}{2(1 - e^{-\sqrt{s\kappa\xi/k_{\text{dist}}}})} - \frac{k_{\text{dist}}}{s} \delta x_{\text{dist}}(t = 0),
\]

where \( \delta x_{\text{dist}}(t) = x_{\text{dist}}(t) - (n_a - n_d)/\rho \). The constant terms subtracted in the definitions of the friction and noise are combined in the harmonic force \( -\mathcal{U}'(x_{\text{dist}}) = -k_{\text{dist}}[x_{\text{dist}}(t) - (n_a - n_d)/\rho] \). The spring constant \( k_{\text{dist}} \) corresponds exactly to the \( [n_a - n_d] \) \( \kappa \)-strength springs that are connected in series between the donor-acceptor particles after harmonization. A straightforward calculation confirms that \( \eta_{\text{dist}}(t) \eta_{\text{dist}}(t') = k_B T K(t - t') \), as required by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. One can invert the kernel \( K(s) \) exactly and express it as a Jacobi theta function

\[
K(t) = \frac{\gamma(t)}{4} \left[ 1 + \vartheta_3 \left( 0, e^{-\tau_{\text{dist}}/4t} \right) \right] - k_{\text{dist}},
\]

(17)

where \( \vartheta_3(z, u) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u^n \cos(2nz) \) and \( \tau_{\text{dist}} = \kappa\xi/k_{\text{dist}}^2 \). Note that \( \tau_{\text{dist}} = (|n_a - n_d|/\rho)^2/D_c \) so that \( \tau_{\text{dist}} \) can be interpreted as the time it takes for the information about the motion of particle \( n_a \) to diffuse to \( n_d \). Examining Eq. (17) for \( t < \tau_{\text{dist}} \) one finds \( K(t) \sim \kappa\xi/\pi t \) which implies that the two particles do not influence each other. For longer times \( t \gg \tau_{\text{dist}} \) we have \( K(t) \sim \kappa\xi/(4\pi t) \). The factor \( 1/2 \) difference in the prefactor of \( K(t) \) at long and short times means that the autocorrelation function will not decay exactly as a Mittag-Leffler function. Instead from Eq. (15) we obtain

\[
\langle \delta x_{\text{dist}}(t) \delta x_{\text{dist}}(0) \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{k_{\text{dist}}} \left[ \text{erf} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{\text{dist}}}{4t}} - 1 - e^{-\tau_{\text{dist}}/4t} \right],
\]

(18)

with \( \langle \delta x_{\text{dist}}(t) \delta x_{\text{dist}}(0) \rangle \sim k_B T k_{\text{dist}}^{-1} \sqrt{\tau_{\text{dist}}/(4\pi t)} \) at long times. In Fig. 3 we compare Eq. (18) to simulations and find excellent agreement (without fitting).

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

Throughout this paper we have shown that our harmonization technique can reproduce known results as well as providing new ones. But how come it works so well? When equation (4) was obtained, a quasi-static approximation was used in the sense that the effective spring constants were calculated based on the equilibrium properties of the system. To see why this is physically reasonable one can argue as follows. The MSD of a tracer particle only sees particles which have had sufficient time to reach local thermal equilibrium (LTE). This is considerably slower than the relaxation time of the whole system which scales as \( L^2/D_c \). Thus, in the long-time limit a tracer particle only sees particles which have had sufficient time to reach local thermal equilibrium [37]. This is the reason why equilibrium concepts like free energy work so well here. This implies that it is the one-dimensional topology and the single-file condition that leads to slow dynamics of a tracer particle and the possibility to map it onto a harmonic chain. The FLE
with exponent 1/2 is therefore expected to be found in a vast number of over-damped systems. Our framework can, however, be applied to particle motion in higher dimensions. For instance, particles embedded in networks in which ordering is maintained.

In summary, we have presented a harmonization technique which maps a stochastic many-particle system with general two-body potentials onto a system of interconnected springs. The interaction potential was reduced through equilibrium considerations to only one parameter: the spring constant $\kappa$ related to the compressibility of the particle system \[HI\]. We derived, from first-principles, an FLE which predicted subdiffusive (slow) dynamics of the particle system \[48\]. We derived, from first-principles, an FLE which predicted subdiffusive (slow) dynamics of the particle system \[48\]. We derived, from first-principles, an FLE which predicted subdiffusive (slow) dynamics of the particle system \[48\]. We derived, from first-principles, an FLE which predicted subdiffusive (slow) dynamics of the particle system \[48\]. We derived, from first-principles, an FLE which predicted subdiffusive (slow) dynamics of the particle system \[48\].
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Appendix A: Mean square displacement of a tracer particle

In this appendix we calculate the MSD of the tracer particle position $x_{\text{tr}}(t) = x(n = 0, t)$ defined as

$$\langle \delta x_{\text{tr}}^2(t) \rangle \equiv \langle [x_{\text{tr}}(t) - x_{\text{tr}}(t = 0)]^2 \rangle, \quad (A1)$$

under the assumption of no external force $f(n, t) = 0$. In order to find the MSD we calculate the correlation $\langle x(q, s)x(q', s') \rangle$ which we find by multiplying Eq. (9) by itself and average over the noise $\langle \cdots \rangle$:

$$\langle x(q, s)x(q', s') \rangle = A_{\text{init}}(q, q', s, s') + A_{\text{noise}}(q, q', s, s'), \quad (A2)$$

$$A_{\text{init}}(q, q', s, s') = \frac{\nu^2}{(s' + q^2)(s' + q'^2)} \langle x(q, t = 0)x(q', t = 0) \rangle, \quad (A3)$$

$$A_{\text{noise}}(q, q', s, s') = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \frac{(\eta(q, s)\eta(q', s'))}{(s' + q^2)(s' + q'^2)}, \quad (A3)$$

where $\nu = \xi/\kappa$ and the variable name $q'$ (s') like $q$ (s) implies that the corresponding variable have been Fourier (Laplace) transformed. Above we used that the initial positions are independent of the future thermal noise.

Starting with $A_{\text{noise}}$ we first note that the Fourier and Laplace transform of the noise autocorrelation function is

$$\langle \eta(q, s)\eta(q', s') \rangle = \frac{4\pi \xi k_B T\delta(q + q')}{s + s'}, \quad (A4)$$

Thus we can write

$$A_{\text{noise}}(q, q', s, s') = \frac{4\pi \xi^{-1} k_B T \delta(q + q')}{(s + s')(s + q^2/\nu)(s' + q'^2/\nu)}. \quad (A5)$$

Taking the inverse Laplace transforms of this we find

$$A_{\text{noise}}(q, q', t, t') = 2\pi \delta(q + q') \frac{e^{-q^2|t-t'|/\nu} - e^{-q'^2(t-t')/\nu}}{kq'^2/(k_B T)}. \quad (A6)$$

If we take the inverse Fourier transforms of the above equation and evaluate it $n = n'$ and $t = t'$ we find

$$A_{\text{noise}}(n, n, t, t) = \frac{k_B T}{\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{1 - e^{-2q^2t/\nu}}{2\pi q^2} = \frac{k_B T}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi \xi k}} \quad (A7)$$

where we used $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz z^{-2}[1 - e^{-az^2}] = 2\sqrt{a\pi}$.

We now proceed to evaluate $A_{\text{init}}$. Using the inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_q^{-1}\{2a/(a^2 + q^2)\} = e^{-a|n|}$ as well as the convolution theorem we can write

$$A_{\text{init}}(n, n', s, s') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du' e^{-|n-u|/\sqrt{s\nu}} \times \frac{e^{-|n'-u'|/\sqrt{s'\nu}}}{2\sqrt{s'/\nu}} \langle x(u, t = 0)x(u', t = 0) \rangle \quad (A8)$$

In the harmonic chain, the particles are in thermal equilibrium with respect to the potential

$$U = \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_m \left[ x_m - x_{m-1} - \rho^{-1} \right]^2 \quad (A9)$$

from which the equilibrium density is $P_{\text{equilib}} = e^{-U/(k_B T)}/Z$ where $Z = \int \Pi_m dx_m e^{-U/(k_B T)}$. Thus, the particles’ initial positions are Gaussian variables which we can express as

$$x_n(t = 0) = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \Delta_r & n > 0 \\ 0 & n = 0 \\ -\sum_{r=1}^{-n} \Delta_{-r} & n < 0 \end{cases} \quad (A10)$$
where we have chosen the coordinates such that \( x_0(t = 0) = 0 \). The expected values of the \( \Delta_r \) are

\[
\langle \Delta_r - \rho^{-1} \rangle = 0, \tag{A11}
\]

\[
\langle (\Delta_r - \rho^{-1})(\Delta_{r'} - \rho^{-1}) \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{\kappa} \delta_{r,r'}, \tag{A12}
\]

where \( \delta_{r,r'} \) is the Kronecker delta. From this we find

\[
\langle [x(u,t = 0) - x(0,t = 0)] [x(u',t = 0) - x(0,t = 0)] \rangle = uu' \rho^{-2} + \min(||u||, ||u'||) \theta(uu') \frac{k_B T}{\kappa}, \tag{A13}
\]

where \( \theta(x) \) is the Heaviside step function and \( \min(a,b) \) is the smallest value of \( a \) and \( b \). Inserting this initial distribution in Eq. (A8) and setting \( n = n' = 0 \) leads to

\[
A_{\text{init}}(n = 0, n' = 0, s, s') \nonumber
\]

\[
= \frac{k_B T}{\kappa} \int_0^\infty du \int_0^u du' 2 \min(u, u') \frac{e^{-u \sqrt{s v}}}{2 \sqrt{s v}} \frac{e^{-u' \sqrt{s v}}}{2 \sqrt{s v}} \nonumber
\]

\[
= \frac{\nu^2 k_B T}{2 \kappa} \int_0^\infty du \int_0^u du' \frac{e^{-u \sqrt{s v}}}{\sqrt{s v}} \frac{e^{-u' \sqrt{s v}}}{\sqrt{s v}} \nonumber
\]

\[
+ \frac{\nu^2 k_B T}{2 \kappa} \int_0^\infty du \int_{u'}^\infty du'' \frac{e^{-u \sqrt{s v}}}{\sqrt{s v}} \frac{e^{-u' \sqrt{s v}}}{\sqrt{s v}} \cdots \tag{A14}
\]

Using the inverse Laplace transform

\[
\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{e^{-u \sqrt{s v}}}{\sqrt{s v}} \right\} = \frac{e^{-u^2 v/4t}}{\sqrt{\nu \pi t}}, \tag{A15}
\]

evaluating the second integral in each of the terms above, and setting \( t = t' \) gives

\[
A_{\text{init}}(n = 0, n = 0, t, t) \nonumber
\]

\[
= 2 \frac{k_B T}{\nu} \int_0^\infty du \left( \frac{e^{-u^2 v/4t}}{4t} - \frac{e^{-2u^2 v/4t}}{4t} \right) \nonumber
\]

\[
= k_B T \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi \nu \kappa}} (2 - \sqrt{2}), \tag{A16}
\]

where \( \nu = \xi / \kappa \) was used. If \( A_{\text{init}} \) is combined with Eq. (A7) we find the desired result for the MSD for a tracer particle in thermal equilibrium

\[
\langle \delta x_r^2(t) \rangle = A_{\text{init}}(n = 0, n = 0, t, t) + A_{\text{noise}}(n, n, t, t) \nonumber
\]

\[
= k_B T \sqrt{4t / (\pi \nu \kappa)}, \tag{A17}
\]

which is the result mentioned in the main text. We note that if the particles initially had been placed equidistantly, \( x(n,t = 0) = n/\rho \), with no randomness in the positioning, then \( A_{\text{init}} \) would have vanished and the MSD would have been smaller by a factor \( \sqrt{2} \).

**Appendix B: Fluctuation-dissipation relation for \( \tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}} \)**

Here we will find the noise-correlation in Laplace space for the more general case \( \langle \tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n,s)\tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n',s') \rangle \) from which the fluctuation-dissipation relation follows as the special case \( n = n' \). The more general case will be needed in Appendix D. We will divide the noise into two parts

\[
\tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n,s) = \tilde{\eta}(n,s) + \tilde{\eta}^{\text{init}}(n,s), \tag{B1}
\]

where the first part is related to the original thermal noise

\[
\tilde{\eta}(n,s) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty du e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-u]} \eta(u,s), \tag{B2}
\]

and the second part is related to the initial positions

\[
\tilde{\eta}^{\text{init}}(n,s) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty du e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-u]} \times [x(u,t = 0) - x(n,t = 0)]. \tag{B3}
\]

For the first part we have the correlation function

\[
\langle \tilde{\eta}(n,s)\tilde{\eta}(n',s') \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^\infty du e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-u]} \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty du' e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n'-u']} 2k_B T \sqrt{s + s'} \delta(u - u'). \tag{B4}
\]

Doing the integrals one finds

\[
\langle \tilde{\eta}(n,s)\tilde{\eta}(n',s') \rangle = 4k_B T \frac{\xi}{(s + s')^2} \nonumber
\]

\[
\times \int_{-\infty}^\infty du' e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n'-u']} \times [x(u',t = 0) - x(n',t = 0)]. \tag{B5}
\]

For the part of the noise correlation that comes from the random initial condition we have

\[
\langle \tilde{\eta}^{\text{init}}(n,s)\tilde{\eta}^{\text{init}}(n',s') \rangle = \xi^2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty du e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-u]} \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty du' e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n'-u']} \times [x(u,t = 0) - x(n,t = 0)] \times [x(u',t = 0) - x(n',t = 0)]. \tag{B6}
\]

Using Eq. (A13) one finds after a bit of calculation that

\[
\langle \tilde{\eta}^{\text{init}}(n,s)\tilde{\eta}^{\text{init}}(n',s') \rangle = 2k_B T \xi \frac{\gamma(s) e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-n']} + \gamma(s') e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-n']}}{s + s'} \tag{B7}
\]

Combining the two noise parts leads to the general formula

\[
\langle \tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n,s)\tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n',s') \rangle = \frac{2k_B T \xi}{s + s'} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-n']} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-n']} \right) \nonumber
\]

\[
= k_B T \frac{\gamma(s) e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-n']} + \gamma(s') e^{-\sqrt{s v}[n-n']}}{s + s'}. \tag{B8}
\]

Setting \( n = n' \) finally gives

\[
\langle \tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n,s)\tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n,s') \rangle = k_B T \frac{\gamma(s) + \gamma(s')}{s + s'} \tag{B9}
\]

which is the Laplace transform of the sought for fluctuation-dissipation relation \( \langle \tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n,t)\tilde{\eta}^{\text{eff}}(n,t') \rangle = k_B T \gamma(|t - t'|) \).
Appendix C: External force on a tracer particle

Here we consider a force acting only on particle 0:

\[ f(n, t) = F(t) \delta(n). \]  

(C1)

Taking the average of Eq. (C1) with respect to the zero-mean noise and using the inverse Fourier transform \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ 2a/(a^2 + q^2) \} = e^{-|n|} \) with the explicit expression for the force Eq. (C1) leads to

\[
\langle x(n, s) \rangle = \frac{n \rho}{s} + \frac{F(s)}{2 \kappa} e^{-\sqrt{s} \eta(n, s)} e^{-\sqrt{s} \eta(n, s)}. 
\]

(C2)

Here we used that \( \langle x(n, t = 0) \rangle = n/\rho \).

1. Periodic force \( f(n, t) = \delta(n) F_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) \)

If we use the complex representation of the force \( f(n, t) = \delta(n) \Re \{ F_0 e^{i \omega_0 t} \} \) (where \( \Re \) represents the real part) we have the Laplace transform

\[
f(n, s) = \delta(n) \Re \left[ \frac{F_0}{s - i \omega_0} \right]. 
\]

Using this in Eq. (C2) gives

\[
\langle x(n, s) - x(n, t = 0) \rangle = \Re \left[ \frac{F_0}{2 \kappa} e^{-\sqrt{s} \eta(n, s)} e^{-\sqrt{s} \eta(n, s)} \right]. 
\]

(C4)

For \( n = 0 \), i.e. we track the tagged particle on which the force acts, we have

\[
\langle x_T(t) \rangle = \Re \left[ \frac{F_0}{2 \kappa} e^{i \omega_0 t} \text{Erf}(\sqrt{\omega_0 t}) \right],
\]

(C5)

where we used \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{ (\sqrt{s} - a)^{-1} \} = e^{at} \text{Erf}(\sqrt{a})/\sqrt{a} \). Making the replacement \( \nu = \xi / \kappa \) and \( i^{1/2} = e^{i \pi/4} \) leads to

\[
\langle x_T(t) \rangle = \Re \left[ \frac{F_0}{2 \sqrt{\omega_0} \kappa \xi} e^{i (\omega_0 t - \pi/4)} \text{Erf}(\sqrt{i \omega_0 t}) \right]. 
\]

(C6)

For large \( t \) we have \( \text{Erf}(\sqrt{i \omega_0 t}) \sim 1 \) and therefore

\[
\langle x_T(t) \rangle \sim \frac{F_0}{2 \sqrt{\omega_0} \kappa \xi} \cos(\omega_0 t - \pi/4) 
\]

after taking the real part.

2. Constant force \( f(n, t) = \delta(n) F_0 \)

The result for a constant force is obtained in the \( \omega_0 \rightarrow 0 \) limit of Eq. (C6). Using the short time expansion

\[
\text{Erf}(\sqrt{i \omega_0 t}) \sim 2 \sqrt{\omega_0 t} / \pi = 2 \sqrt{\omega_0 t} e^{i \pi/4}
\]

(C8)

combined with Eq. (C6) gives

\[
\langle x_T(t) \rangle = F_0 \sqrt{t / \pi \kappa \xi}.
\]

(C9)

Together with Eq. (5) this demonstrates the generalized Einstein relation, Eq. (11) in the main text.

Appendix D: Fluctuation-dissipation relation for \( \eta_{\text{dist}} \)

Here we address the fluctuation-dissipation relation for \( \eta_{\text{dist}} \). Most of the work for deriving this was done in Appendix B when deriving Eq. (B8). What remains is to work out the correlations of the part \( \eta_{\text{dist}}^\text{init} \equiv k_{\text{dist}} \delta x_{\text{dist}}(t = 0)/s \). These turn out to be:

\[
\langle \eta_{\text{dist}}^\text{init}(s) \eta_{\text{dist}}^\text{init}(s') \rangle = \frac{k_B T k_{\text{dist}}}{ss'}
\]

\[
\langle \eta^\text{eff}(n, s) \eta_{\text{dist}}^\text{init}(s') \rangle = \frac{k_B T k_{\text{dist}}}{ss'} \left( 1 - e^{-\sqrt{s} \eta(n_a - n_s)} \right)
\]

\[
\langle \eta^\text{eff}(n, s) \eta^\text{init}(s') \rangle = -\langle \eta^\text{eff}(n, s) \eta_{\text{dist}}^\text{init}(s') \rangle
\]

Combining these correlations with Eq. (B8) one arrives at

\[
\langle \eta_{\text{dist}}(s) \eta_{\text{dist}}(s') \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{s + s'} \left[ \mathcal{K}(s) + \mathcal{K}(s') \right]
\]

(D1)

which is the Laplace transform of the sought for relation:

\[
\langle \eta_{\text{dist}}(t) \eta_{\text{dist}}(t') \rangle = k_B T \mathcal{K}(|t - t'|)
\]
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