Ecological Zoning for Wheat Production at Province Scale Using Geographical Information System

Abstract
In the recent study, ecological zoning of wheat has been determined using Geographic Information System (GIS) and Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) methods in Golestan province located in north of Iran. At first, agro ecological requirements of crop was identified from scientific resources then classified and required thematic maps were provided. In this study environmental components were including: average, minimum and maximum temperatures, precipitation, slope, slope aspects, elevation and soil characteristics such as organic matter, pH, EC, texture, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, iron and zinc. The AHP was used to determine the weight of criteria. The digital environmental layers overlaid and integrated in GIS media then zoning of lands was done in 4 classes, including highly suitable, moderately suitable, less suitable and not suitable. The results showed that south and southwestern areas were highly suitable and moderately suitable for wheat cultivation. These zones had enough rainfall (>400 mm) and high fertility. The less suitable and non-suitable regions are located in the north and northeast parts of Golestan province. In these areas the limitation factors were including: high EC, low precipitation and deficient of nutrition elements.
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Introduction
The problem of selecting the correct land for the cultivation of a certain agriculture product is a long-standing and mainly empirical issue. The increased need for food production and the shortage of resources stimulate a need for sophisticated methods of land evaluation to aid decision makers in their role to both preserve highly suitable lands and satisfy producers demand for increased profit [1]. Land suitability analysis is a prerequisite for sustainable crop production. Crops grow best in locations where the climatic conditions meet their requirements. Elevation, slope, aspect, soil, land cover and many climatic factors that affect crop growth, help in determining the most suitable crop growth areas. The process of land suitability classification is the evaluation and grouping of specific areas of land in terms of their suitability for a defined use [2].

The suitable areas for agricultural use are determined by an evaluation of the environmental components and the understanding of local biophysical restraints. The topographic characteristics climatic conditions and the soil quality of an area are the most important determinant parameters of the land suitability evaluation. The use of GIS allows the construction of models from which a new thematic map (e.g. land suitability map) can be produced from a set of thematic maps [3]. In recent years GIS has provided much required spatial dimension to natural resource management and planning. GIS technology is useful for integration of bio-climate, terrain and soil-resource-inventory information [4].

In this kind of situation, many variables are involved and each one should be weighted according to their relative importance on the optimal growth conditions for crops through Multi Criteria Evaluation (MCE) and GIS. One of the most useful features of GIS is the ability to overlay different layers or maps. However, the overlay procedure does not enable one to take into account that the underlying variables are not equally important [5]. One approach that can help overcome such limitations is MCE which has received renewed attention within the context of GIS-based decision making. The objective of using MCE models is to find solutions to decision making problems characterized by multiple alternatives, which can be evaluated by means of decision criteria [6]. Deriving weight for the selected map criteria (i.e. land characteristics map layers) is the base requirement for applying the Fuzzy, Analytical hierarchy process (AHP) and TOPSIS methods [7].

Analytical hierarchy process (AHP) was first developed by Thomas Saaty [8] and has been modified by various researchers. AHP since its invention has been a tool at the hands of decision makers and researchers and it is one of the most widely used multiple criteria decision making tools. This technique based on ranking and importance of factors affecting on goal by attributing
relative weight to factors with respect to comments provided in the questionnaires. Many outstanding works have been published based on AHP; they include applications of AHP in different fields such as planning, selecting best alternative, resource allocations, resolving conflict, optimization, etc and numerical extensions of AHP [9].

Bhat et al. [2] analysis land suitability for cereal production in Himachal Pradesh (India) using GIS. In this study different parameters viz. climatic (precipitation and temperature), topographic (elevation), soil type and land cover/land use have been used in order to perform land suitability evaluation for cereals food grain crops. Also in comparison to the actual area under cereal crops the possibility of further expansion under each cereal crop was determined. These discriminated areas appear suitable for growing these crops and can be harnessed efficiently for achieving long term sustainability and food security. Land suitability analysis for rice cultivation based on multi-criteria decision approach through GIS carry out in Morobe province in the Papua New Guinea. This province has been classified into five categories of rice suitability. The result indicated that only four percent (4%) land can be demarcated as high and 21% as medium high suitability categories in the study area [10]. A multi-criteria evaluation (MCE) approach, within a GIS environment was used to identify suitable areas for oat (Avena sativa L.) crop production in Central Mexico. Fuzzy membership function was used to generate standardized factor maps. According to the weighting vectors the results indicated that the most important variables affecting the growth of an oat crop were precipitation, altitude and soil depth. This research provided information at regional level that could be used by farmers to select crop pattern and suitability [11]. Agricultural land use suitability analysis of Yusufeli district in Turkey has been carried using GIS and AHP. Results of this study showed that the soil depth is inadequate for agricultural production. In addition the slope in the area and therefore erosion degree is too high [12].

For land suitability analysis rice, mustard, potato and wheat crops which were prominent in the Bogra district of Bangladesh. To standardize all of the factors for the MCE the AHP method was used. The results of the suitability analysis depicted that in the study area the land was highly to moderately suitable for the crops. Optimal cropping patterns for the flood and post flood season based on crop suitability and expert knowledge indicated that the rice-wheat/potato, rice (late sowing)-potato, rice-potato and rice- mustard combinations were found the most suitable crops which were prominent in the Bogra district of Bangladesh.

One of the most important areas for crops production in Iran is the Golestan province. The present study was therefore carried out with the objective of ecological zoning for wheat production using GIS and AHP in agricultural fields at province scale in the Golestan.

Materials and Methods

Study area

This research was conducted in northern part of Iran, in Golestan province during the 2012. This province with an area of 20,033 km² is located in the southeast of Caspian Sea between 36° 44′ and 38° 5′ north latitude and 53° 51′ and 56° 14′ east longitude (Figure 1). It covers about 1.3% of the total area of the country. It has 270 km² international borders with Turkmenistan at the north. Also it is situated in the vicinity of Semnan, Mazandaran and Northern Khorasan provinces on the south, west and east respectively. The eastern extension of Alborz Mountains Range surrounds the coastal plains of the Caspian sea as a high and long wall, thus all over the province the land slope decreases from the southern and eastern mountains towards sea with altitude ranging between -25 to 3000 m. The some important townships of this province are Gonbad e Kavoos, Minoodasht and Gorgan with an area of 6856.8 km², 6485.6 km² and 2848 km² respectively. The climate of this province is under the influence of Alborz Mountains, Caspian Sea, the southern wildernesses of Turkmenistan, and forests. According to De-Martonne advanced climate classification system the province contains five different climates: Mediterranean in center, arid-desert in north, semi-arid in coast, center and northeast, humid in sub-south and semi humid in south.

Ecological zoning

In order to ecological zoning of current agricultural lands in Golestan province for wheat cultivation, were used to match the environmental requirements of crop and the land characteristics. Schematic diagram of ecological zoning is shown in (Figure 2). At first agroecological requirements of wheat were identified from scientific resources and local expert's opinion then classified (Table 1) and needed thematic maps were provided. With allocation of AHP weight for any criteria, the digital environmental
layers overlaid and integrated in GIS media by raster calculator functions (ArcGIS software, ver. 9.3) then zoning of lands was done in 4 classes, including: highly suitable (S1), moderately suitable (S2), less suitable (S3) and non-suitable (NS). This system was based on matching between land qualities/characteristics and crop requirements. Highly suitable, moderately suitable and less suitable lands were expected to have a crop yield of 80-100%, 60-80% and 40-60% of the yield under optimal conditions with practicable and economic inputs, respectively. Non-suitable land was assumed to have severe limitations which could rarely or never be overcome by economic use of inputs or management practices [1,2,4,15-20].

Data collection and analysis

In this study, various physical resources such as soil, climate and topography had been evaluated. Soil samples were randomly collected from different locations representing each land use unit in the study area and laboratory analysis was performed for each sample. The physical characteristics of soil samples were measured including: bulk density, organic matter, pH, cation exchange capacity, field capacity, wilting point, electrical conductivity, Fe, Zn, Ca, P, K and N. The values of physical characteristics of soil samples were used to classify the suitability of land classes for wheat production. The climate data was obtained from the Iranian Meteorological Organization and topographic data was obtained from the Iranian Surveying and Mapping Organization. The data was then analyzed using appropriate techniques and tools to determine the suitable land classes for wheat production.

Table 1: Criteria for delineating land suitability of wheat in Golestan province, Iran.

| Features               | Highly Suitable (S1) | Moderately Suitable (S2) | Less Suitable (S3) | Non-Suitable (NS) |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Precipitation (mm)     | 400-450              | 300-400                  | 200-300            | <200              |
| Average temperature(°C)| 16-20                | 12-16 and 20-24          | 8-12 and 24-30     | <8 and >30        |
| Minimum temperature(°C)| 10-15               | 7-10                     | 4-7                | <4                |
| Maximum temperature(°C)| 20-25               | 25-30                    | 30-37              | >37               |
| EC(dS.m⁻¹)             | 0-4                  | 4-8                      | 8-12               | >12               |
| pH                     | 6.5-7.5              | 5.5-6.5 and 7.5-8.5      | 5-5.5              | <5.5              |
| Soil texture           | loam, clay loam - clay and silty clay loam | sandy loam and sandy clay loam | loam sandy, silty loam, sandy clay and silty clay | sandy |
| Slope (%)              | 0-4                  | 4-8                      | 8-12               | >12               |
| Slope aspects          | south, southeast and no direction | east and northeast | southwest and northwest | west and south |
| Elevation(m)           | 1-1000               | 1000-2000                | 2000-3000          | >3000             |
| Fe (ppm)               | 10-15                | 15-18 and 8-10           | 18-20 and 5-8      | <5 and >20        |
| Zn (ppm)               | 0.9-1.1              | 1.1-2                    | 2-6                | >6 and <0.9       |
| Ca (ppm)               | 5-15                 | 15-25                    | 25-50              | and >50 <5       |
| P (ppm)                | 10-12                | 7-10 and 12-15           | 5-7 and 15-18      | <5 and >18       |
| K (ppm)                | 200-250              | 150-200 and 250-300      | 100-150            | <100              |
| Organic Matter (%)     | ≥3                   | 2-3                      | 1-2                | <1                |
| N (%)                  | ≤1                   | 0.7-1                    | 0.5-0.7            | 0.5>              |

References: [1,2,4,16-20].
Table 2: Explanation of the standard nine-point preference scoring system used for the AHP.

| Preference score | Explanation of numerical preference score |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 1                | Two attributes preferred equally          |
| 3                | Judgment slightly favors one attribute over another |
| 5                | Judgment strongly favors one attribute over another |
| 7                | Judgment very strongly favors one attribute over another |
| 9                | Extreme preference of one attribute over another |

Table 3: The results of AHP and important of criteria in ecological zoning of wheat in Golestan province, Iran.

Analytical hierarchy process (AHP)

The AHP process, which was developed by Saaty [21], is a multi-criteria decision-making approach where factors are arranged in a hierarchy structure. Some criteria were selected and used in order to identify the most suitable area for wheat production which is shown in (Figure 3).

The weight of factors for ecological zoning was obtained from local experts, through a pairwise comparisons statistical analysis in Expert Choice software (ver. 2000). Local experts in Golestan province have used their experience to generate weights for land characteristics for wheat. The Inconsistency Ratios (IR) for this pairwise comparison matrix was equal to 0.1. This shows that the comparisons of land characteristics were perfectly consistent, and the relative weights were appropriate for applying in land suitability analysis use AHP. In this study, the factors were ranked according to Saaty [22] underlying scale with values 1 to 9 by discussion with local crop specialist. (Table 2) shows the ranking of the different classes to the different parameters.

Result and Discussion

Table 3: The results of AHP and important of criteria in ecological zoning of wheat in Golestan province, Iran.

![Figure 3: Hierarchy structure of suitability evaluation index of wheat in Golestan province, Iran.](image)

Figure 3: Hierarchy structure of suitability evaluation index of wheat in Golestan province, Iran.
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Figure 4: Ecological zones for wheat production in agricultural lands of Golestan province, Iran.
Results of AHP questionnaires analysis showed that the among of factors influencing in wheat ecological zoning, climate (0.495) and topography (0.138) criteria had the highest and least weight, respectively. Also, among of the climate criteria, rain and maximum temperature had the highest and lowest weight, respectively. The results of AHP showed that in (Table 3). Organic matter and nitrogen under soil factor had the highest importance. Also, results showed that soil texture and EC are more important in ecological zoning of wheat in Golestan province. In general combining the potential of GIS with AHP analysis enables us to understand the potential value of the wheat production in this province.

Suitability evaluation was the first important step for sustainable resource exploitation of wheat cropping fields. Our results show that most areas of Golestan province are suitable for wheat production (Figure 4). Table 4 shows that 23.4% and 29.35% of the study area are highly suitable (S1) and moderately suitable (S2) respectively. As shown in this table most of area was determined as moderately suitable (S2) class.

Proper land management practices, leaching, drainage, land preparation crop rotation, specific irrigation methods and using resistant crop help to increase crop yield in this area. Wheat is actually grow in 385380 ha area in this province, the results from present study indicated that the potential area where wheat can be successfully cultivated in 41927.7 ha, hence 7.6% more area can be put under wheat cultivation. It is found that the most areas of south western and southern parts of Golestan province are the highly suitable regions (Figure 5, 6). Highly suitable areas were characterized by precipitation >400 mm during the crop growth cycle, elevation levels between 0-1000 m, slope <4%, soil pH level between 6.5 - 7.5, EC<4 dS.m⁻¹, organic matter amounts between 2-3% and soil texture classes of loam, clay loam and silt clay loam. Therefore, the highly suitable (S1) areas have a high potential production and sustainability of yield from year to year [15]. The results showed that the topography and climatic characteristics (temperature and precipitation) of this region were suitable for wheat growth. Norwood [23] concluded that in zoning of Kansas state for wheat, precipitation and evaporation are most effective factors compared to other climatic factors during the growth stages of wheat.

The results of this study indicate that the largest parts of the study area were classified as less suitable (S3) and non-suitable (NS) for wheat cultivation (Table 4). Lands with low suitable and non-suitable are seen scattered in parts of northern and eastern of province (Figure 7, 8). In these areas, dryland wheat production is associated with risk. This research results indicated that the
main limited factors in these areas, are high EC and low rainfall, organic matter, and deficient of nutrition elements such as P, Fe and Zn. The area of less suitable zone (S3) was about 27.86% of the whole areas of province (Table 4). It seems that with remove restrictions such as soil fertility, suitability level can enhance in this zone. Furthermore, cropping of wheat in these areas will not only increase yield, but also reduce environmental resources. Ashraf et al. [16] announced that the salinity, exchangeable sodium and gravel were most important limiting factors for growth of irrigated wheat in Damghan plains (center of Iran), also this area was unsuitable for rainfed wheat. Briza et al. [24] also suggested that the most limiting factors of the land suitability in the province of Ben-Slimane, Morocco, in wheat and barley productions, included physical characteristics such as soil texture, soil depth and slope.

Wheat is one major crop in Golestan province. Its production is an important source of income for many farmers. Salinity is a serious problem in cropland of north of Golestan. In general, soil salinity assessment is one of the important components in agriculture management and water allocation strategies [25,26]. Salt balance in plant root zone depends on irrigation water requirement, quality of water, irrigation scheduling and on overall soil and climatic conditions [27]. In addition, the variability of soil salinity could be high in regions with a great variety of microclimate. Note that Golestan province is a region where the climate changes from the south to the north and thus rainfall varies from 250 mm to 700 mm per year. It is clear; therefore that analysis of soil salinity is essential for understanding the environmental degradation processes in the province. The mitigation and control of soil salinity is one of the main challenges in the agriculture, particularly where irrigation is used [28].

Conclusion

The present study provides a methodological approach to assessing the suitability of agricultural fields for wheat cropping. This study uses the GIS and AHP for ecological zoning of wheat production in Golestan province. This methodology combines ecological data with expert judgments for suitability mapping. The topographic characteristics, climatic conditions and soil conditions were the most important determinant parameters of this evaluation. This suitability is a function of crop requirements and land characteristics. In order to matching the land characteristics with the crop requirements gives the suitability [29]. Our results show that most areas of Golestans province (63%) are suitable for wheat production (S1, S2 zones). It is found that the most areas of south western and southern parts of this province are the highly suitable regions. Lack of suitable rain and soil salinity, some soil physics properties and poor soil fertility were the most serious problems influencing yield and quality of wheat. These lands were located in parts of northern and eastern of province. In general, in highly suitable (S1) and moderately suitable (S2) zones, the other factors such as social-economic were also better than other zones. This research provides information at local level that could be used by farmers to select cropping patterns in accordance with suitability.
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