Characterisation of pH variations along the Ba River in Fiji utilising the GEF R2R framework during the 2019 sugarcane season
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Abstract Within Pacific Small Island Developing States (Pacific SIDS), the ridge-to-reef (R2R) approach has emerged as a framework for monitoring river connectivity between terrestrial and marine ecosystems. The study measured water quality, including pH, over 88.40 km of the Ba River in Fiji. The sampling design focused on measuring spatio-temporal variability in pH throughout the sugarcane season with three rapid sampling periods (RSP1, 2 & 3) along the Ba River, together with continuous measurement of temperature and pH using stationary data loggers at two locations upstream and downstream of the sugar mill. Spatial variability in pH and water quality was characterised before (RSP1 and RSP2) and during (RSP3) the sugarcane season. Mean pH measured before the sugarcane crushing season for RSP1 and RSP2 were 8.16 (±0.49) and 8.20 (±0.61) respectively. During the sugarcane crushing season (RSP3), mean pH declined by 3.06 units to 6.94 within 42 m downstream of the sugar mill ($P \leq 0.001$). The 3.06 unit decline in pH for RSP3 exceeded both the mean diurnal variation in pH of 0.39 and mean seasonal variation in pH of 2.01. This decline in pH could be a potential source of acidification to downstream coastal ecosystems with implications for coral reefs, biodiversity and fishery livelihoods.
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Introduction

Environmental monitoring in water management programs has often been singularly focused: either at the catchment scale of the terrestrial realm or at the coast to reef scale of the marine realm. Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) programs have expanded across the Asia-Pacific region with a focus on land use change monitoring, forestry and the conservation of soil and water quality to support upland agricultural-ecosystems (Druschke, 2013; FAO, 2005, 2011; Kaiser, 2014; Lamb, 2011; SPREP, 2007; Zeng et al., 2018). Marine conservation programs have often encompassed regulation of fishing activities to support food security, livelihoods or biodiversity outcomes (Moritz et al., 2018; CTI-CFF, 2017; Pietri et al., 2015; Haapio et al., 2014; ADB, 2014; Foale et al., 2007).
cally targets 14.1 ‘to reduce marine pollution… from
the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), specifi-
cally within the R2R framework also align closely with
connectivity between terrestrial and marine ecosystems.
Environmental monitoring and assessment of the con-
nectivity between terrestrial and marine ecosystems
are framed within a diverse range of GEF focal areas
(GEF, 2013; Fidelman et al., 2012; Christie et al., 2011;
Veitayaki, 1998). These siloed approaches have often
struggled with assessing the complex interplay across the
land-sea boundary. Since 1991, with the Global Envi-
ronment Facility (GEF) pilot phase and subsequent
GEF rounds 1–8, the approach has pursued the poten-
tial to connect science to more holistic development
needs by bringing the IWRM (GEF, 2007; GEF, 2006;
GEF, 1999a, 1999b) and Integrated Coastal Management
approaches (GEF, 2009; GEF, 2005; GEF, 1999a,
1999b) into a single river catchment framework (GEF,
2018a, 2018b; GEF, 2013). A range of studies have
advocated for the development of institutional capacity
that focuses on adaptable water quality monitoring
of ecological connectivity in rivers extending to
reefs and coastal ecosystems (McCauley et al., 2019;
Zinabu, et al., 2019; Beavis, 2005). The US Geologi-
cal Survey’s recent development of an ‘Integrated
Water Science Basins’ monitoring framework is one
such example (Van Metre et al., 2020). In the Pacific,
land-coast-sea scientific frameworks have promoted a
‘ridge-to-reef’ (R2R) approach to take into account the
connectivity between the various socio-ecological sys-
tems linking islands and oceans (Hilty et al., 2020; Li
et al., 2020; von Shuckmann et al., 2020; Baker-Medard,
2019; Carlson et al., 2019; Comeros-Raynal et al.,
2019; Bainbridge et al., 2018; Delevaux et al., 2018).
By focusing on river corridor waterways through to
coasts and reefs (or ocean), the R2R approach provides
a framework for monitoring the river and its function
in providing ecological connectivity between terrestrial
and marine ecosystems.

The GEF R2R environmental monitoring and con-
servation program implemented at the river catchment
level has established 14 country projects across the
Pacific (SPC, 2016). The GEF R2R program includes
the country scale System for Transparent Allocation
of Resources (STAR) initiative (SPC, 2018) as well
as the Regional International Waters R2R Project
(SPC, 2020). The objectives of the R2R initiative
are framed within a diverse range of GEF focal areas
including biodiversity, land degradation, climate
change adaptation and mitigation, integrated water
and sustainable forest management (UNDP, 2020).
Environmental monitoring and assessment of the con-
nectivity between terrestrial and marine ecosystems
within the R2R framework also align closely with
the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), specifically
targets 14.1 ‘to reduce marine pollution… from
land-based activities’ and 15.1 to ensure conservation
of ‘terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and
their services’ (Holland et al., 2019; SDSN, 2021;
UNSDSN, 2020). Program funding for these 14 coun-
try pilot projects has depended on GEF budgetary
support between 2015 and 2021 (UNDP, 2020). The
short timeframes for projects and their funding make
long-term environmental monitoring and assessment
of complex processes a challenge. Monitoring of the
interconnected relationships between landscapes,
waterways and coastal ecosystems in Pacific Small
Island Developing States (SIDS) requires considera-
tion of complexity (Ourbak & Magnan, 2018; Katafono,
2017). Generating insights into R2R processes
and the inputs into global ocean processes can take
many years. Currently, most environmental monitor-
ing and assessment frameworks require timelines,
budgets, research and data collection resources not
yet widely available in Pacific SIDS contexts (not-
withstanding the expanding role of local capacity
including the University of the South Pacific and its
local affiliate organisations). Consequently, strategic
and novel experimental designs are needed to address
these environmental monitoring and assessment
challenges.

The Fiji national R2R Project has received wide-
spread support through co-financing partnerships
amongst the National Government, the GEF and
UNDP and technical expertise from conservation
NGOs, research institutes and consultancies (SPC,
2016; GEF, 2016; Wilson, 2015). Seven priority
catchments were identified for the pilot STAR R2R
environmental monitoring projects including four
catchments on the main island of Viti Levu: Ba River,
Tuva River, Waidina River and Rewa Delta. Further
three catchments were identified for the second largest
island of Vanua Levu including Labasa River, Vuni-
via River and Tunuloa district. This study focuses on
the Ba River catchment shown in Fig. 1a. The catch-
ment falls within Ba District, one of four districts of
Ba province, the most populous province in Fiji with
247,708 residents making up 28% of the national
population, as identified by the 2017 Census (Fiji
Bureau of Statistics, 2018). The Ba catchment pro-
vides a rural agrarian case study representative of the
Western Division ‘sugarcane belt’ provinces of Fiji. A
range of studies have linked agricultural runoff from
sugarcane crops to impacts on waterways and coastal
water quality (Yu et al., 2018; Nhiwatiwa et al.,
sugarcane is the primary industry of Ba District. One of the most important agricultural crops planted in Fiji, sugarcane, has been an essential primary agricultural industry and source of exports for Fiji’s economy for most of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries (Naidu et al., 2017). According to the Observatory of Economic Complexity (OEC) World Trade Database, Fiji is categorised as ‘highly specialised’ in production and export of sugar or ‘sugar preserved foods’ (OEC, 2020). More specifically, Fiji’s agricultural sector has come to specialise in the Mana variety of sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.), which is a mid-late season maturing variety that contributes approximately 65% of national cane production (Naidu et al., 2017). In the 22 years between 1996 and 2018, both the value and quantities of exports (tonnes) have experienced a steady decline with an 82.46% decline in value and a proportional 83.06% decline in quantity (FAOSTAT, 2018). In 2018, Fiji’s raw sugar exports made up only 37.74 million USD or 3.68% of the total value of all national exports (OEC, 2020; FAO, 2020). Through the 2018–2019 season, the Fiji Sugar Corporation (FSC) Rarawai mill crushed a total of 487,483 tonnes of sugarcane associated with point and nonpoint discharge pollution into the Ba River (FSC, 2020a, 2020b). As a result, this study seeks to measure water quality variations in the Ba River throughout the 2018–2019 sugarcane season.

Water quality monitoring provides a tool for rapid sampling and assessment of both point and nonpoint sources of environmental contaminants into waterways. Water quality data can also identify sources and pathways of potable water, ecological connectivity and nutrient inputs. Experimental sampling can be used to detect contaminants and anthropogenic pollution exchange between the land and the ocean. The extent to which these water quality sampling strategies can be improved either temporally by optimizing sampling frequency, or spatially by optimizing the number of locations for sampling is often limited by budget implications and time (Destandau & Zaiter, 2020). Ideally, water quality sampling should be coupled with ecological sampling that measures the range, richness and spatial distribution of species assemblage (Green & Vascotto, 1978; Turner & Trexler, 1997; Stewart-oaten, 1996). As with water quality sampling, the cost of extensive replication of ecological sampling limits broad-scale monitoring of trends in aquatic invertebrate biodiversity (Halse et al., 2002). Both the Pacific regional and national level R2R programs incorporate collection of water quality and ecological sampling into their environmental assessment reporting including Rapid Coastal Assessment (RapCA), Rapid Resource Assessment (RRA) and diagnostic analyses reports. However, the short timelines of these environmental assessments limit the ability to capture temporal variability of water quality.

Various water quality parameters were sampled in this study although pH became a particular focus suited to monitoring potential contributions to coastal ocean acidification. As a result, this study adds to a
growing body of case studies sampling water quality to assess priority areas in relation to SDG target 14.3 which seeks to ‘minimize and address the impacts of ocean acidification, including through enhanced scientific cooperation at all levels’ (SDSN, 2021). While acidification in the open ocean is more commonly attributed to anthropogenic emissions, acidification of coastal waters is often causally linked to catchment processes (Aufdenkampe et al., 2011; Duarte et al., 2013). The influence of these catchment processes including runoff, sediment and nutrient cycles on alkalinity and CO₂ fluxes, for example, can lead to decadal changes of up to 0.5 units in coastal ocean pH (Duarte et al., 2013:221). Furthermore, the spillover effects of increasing ocean acidification, retrograde solubility of C in the ocean and the thermal inertia of the ocean all contribute to the much longer timescales of climate change (Soldatenko & Yusupov, 2019; Abdusammatov et al., 2012; Manabe et al., 1990). There is a resulting disjoint between longer-term timelines of local coastal and global ocean processes reinforced by water quality sampling and short-term environmental monitoring project timelines and budgets. Within rivers, pH is affected by a range of biological and physicochemical processes (Tibby et al., 2003). In aquatic ecosystems, photosynthesis produces oxygen and raises pH; aerobic respiration consumes oxygen and lowers pH (Hamid et al., 2020). These processes are, in turn, influenced by temporal variability in temperature, CO₂ fluctuations and acidic inputs from rainfall and soils (Hamid et al., 2020). The magnitude and frequency of temporal fluxes in water pH varies by ecosystem, geography and depth of sampling in the water column. For example, pH fluctuations measured in the open ocean range from 8.06 to 8.10 over a mean 30-day period (Duarte et al., 2013; Hofmann et al., 2011). Fluxes in coastal ocean surface water pH sampled around the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, ranged more widely from 7.69 to 8.30 on a diurnal basis (Santos et al., 2011). In contrast to oceans, the water quality within rivers is more immediately exposed to both anthropogenic and natural catchment processes. To support ecosystems, pH in rivers ranges between 6.50 and 8.50 (Dodds, 2006; Chapman, 1996). A range of landscape processes contribute inputs of acidity and alkalinity. For example, inputs such as acid mine drainage, acid-sulfate soil runoff and acid rain all decrease pH (Beavis et al., 2005, 2006). Extremes in pH can make a river inhospitable to aquatic biota. Acidic water leads to increased chemical weathering, disturbance and leaching of heavy metals harmful to ichthyofauna and benthic populations (Beavis, et al., 2006). Acidic inputs can be buffered by alkaline inputs such as carbonate ions mobilised into waterways through chemical and physical weathering of limestone, and by ingress of seawater in estuaries. Riparian vegetation functions as an additional natural buffer, filtering runoff into streams to maintain water quality and biogeochemistry equilibria (Kuglerová et al., 2014; Hawes & Smith, 2005; Mander et al., 2005). Efficient riparian buffer widths range from approximately 3 m for bank stabilization and stream shading, to over 300 m to provide habitats for wildlife (Hawes & Smith, 2005). Guidelines for environmental monitoring of estuaries (ANZECC & ARMCANZ, 2000) recommend that assessment should consider various modes of impact such as triggers, multiple stressors, cumulative effects and thresholds. The concept of ‘indefinite resilience’ raised by Kelly et al., (2014) refers to the ability of an environment to ‘absorb a given amount of a stressor in perpetuity, rather than in a time-bound capacity’. It is evident from previous project outputs and from the literature that Fiji’s catchments operate in a multi-stressor environment as shown in the country project design (UNDP, 2020).

The goal of this study is to apply a rapid sampling design strategy to document the temporal and spatial dynamics of pH from the ridge of the Ba River to the downstream reef. The study aims to document a single sugarcane season with a focus on the harvest to crushing portion of the season because of the potential impact on water quality. The rapid sampling strategy was designed to inform the Pacific regional rapid assessment or the GEF R2R framework using Fiji as a case study. The study design utilised the ridge-to-reef framework as its spatial dimension and focused on two temporal dimensions including characterising the diurnal cycle of pH and the impact of the sugar cane crushing season. A surface water quality assessment was conducted from ridge to reef along 88.40 km of the Ba River in the Republic of Fiji, hereafter referred to as Fiji. The study explores variability in surface-water pH in the context of the indefinite resilience of the Ba River ecosystems’ ability to absorb a range of disturbances (ANZECC & ARMCANZ, 2000). In Fiji, surface and ground water quality data are not
collected systematically, nor is the data organised for accessibility (FAO, 2016a, 2016b). Rural and remote areas of Fiji, in particular, face combined challenges relating to constrained access to information and resources, and limited capacity for water quality monitoring (Kirschke et al., 2020). The limited long-term water quality monitoring datasets for Fiji’s rivers are managed by the Ministry of Environment and Waterways (MoEW). Ba River catchment is a data-limited context. To address this gap, this study sampled pH along the Ba River through a process of rapid spatio-temporal sampling to identify anomalies in pH. Geotagged water quality anomalies provide inputs into a baseline of environmental monitoring and identify the location of point and diffuse source pollution hotspots.

Materials and methods

Study area description

The Ba River drains a catchment area of approximately 932 km² equivalent to 8.97% of the total landmass of Viti Levu. As part of the lee-ward northwest region of Viti Levu, Ba Province experiences a greater variability of mean annual precipitation and a greater likelihood of drought, due to orographic rain shadow effects (Kumar, 2010; Matakì et al., 2006). Within this study, the Ba River catchment area was divided into 13 river segments. These segments were delineated in a geo-database using criteria of elevation, slope, geology, vegetation, land use, tributaries, fresh and marine water resources and hydrological characteristics. Each of the segments was measured in terms of distance downstream of sampling point 1 (SP1) near the ridge headwaters recorded at S17.609320° E177.933518°. The upper catchment, extending from SP1 at 0.00 to 44.00 km downstream along the length of the Ba River, was divided into six discrete segments (1–6) as outlined in Table 1. The lower catchment and floodplain extending from 44.00 km to sea level elevation at 73.00 km (from SP1) was divided into five discrete segments (7–11) as shown in Table 2. The marine zone is composed of two segments (12–13) including the extensive area of mangrove estuarine delta, and the coastal ocean extending out towards the reef at 88.40 km (from SP1), also described in Table 2. All distances marked along the Ba River R2R sampling line refer to longitudinal distances downstream of SP1. The 13 segments (Fig. 1b) were delineated using datasets from Landsat 8 and Sentinel 2 satellite Earth observation imagery (Earth Engine, 2020; USGS, 2020), geologic maps (Rodda, 1966; Lagabrielle et al., 1994) and hydrogeological maps (Gale, 1991). To verify field observations of the catchment, ecological datasets were also used, including riparian vegetation, flora and mangrove species (Veitayaki et al., 2017; Tuivawa et al., 2013; FAO, 2011; Ellison and Fiu, 2010; Taba et al., 2005) and fauna of the aquatic and marine biota (Rashni, 2020; Paris et al., 2019; Veirus et al., 2018; Hewavitharane et al., 2018; Ledua et al., 1996). Hydrology and catchment management reports also provided additional information (FAO, 2011).

The upper-catchment segments 1 to 6 begin at the headwater ridges. Spring water flowing into the reaches of Ba River at SP1 was observed at ~1060 m elevation. The highland Ba Volcanic groups of the early Pliocene dominate the geomorphology of the river channel (Rodda, 1966; Stephens et al., 2018). At the headwater springs of the Ba River, SP1 recorded low levels of turbidity (0.00 to 0.50 NTU). Riparian vegetation provides thick buffer zones composed of native forest and shrubs ranging in width from approximately 20 to 250 m, up until 6.20 km downstream of SP1 at the first riverside village: Marou. The streambed substrate is largely composed of cobbles, boulders and bedrock. The stream itself is characterised by sequences of pools and riffles and then sharp ravines where the river course cascades underneath large limestone boulders and cavernous systems rendering many of these reaches inaccessible. At 9.34 km downstream of SP1, Energy Fiji Limited (formerly Fiji Electricity Authority) generates hydroelectricity through the Nadarivatu Dam by channeling water through a tunnel from the Sigatoka river headwaters into the Ba River (EFL, 2012). Adjacent to the dam and the Ba River is an area of approximately 74.06 km² of Pinus carrabea pine forest reserves (Fiji ERP, 2019; FAO, 2011). The remaining upper catchment’s highland vegetation is characteristic of the wider unforested northwestern rainshadow (Yeo et al., 2007; Ferese et al., 2000; Zed, 1987).

At 44.00 km, the Ba River meets the lower-catchment floodplain at an elevation of 25 m above sea level. The floodplain is largely composed of agricultural land: primarily sugarcane. Here,
### Table 1 Description of the Ba River catchment from SPI to Toge Village including ridge-to-reef segments 1–6 (0.00–44.00 km from SPI)

| Segment 1. Headwater to Nadarivatu hydropower (0.0–9.34 km) | Segment 2. Nadarivatu hydropower to Savatu confluence (9.34–15.5 km) | Segment 3. Savatu confluence to Nakara confluence (15.5–24.5 km) | Segment 4. Nakara confluence to Navala Village (24.5–31.8 km) | Segment 5. Navala village to Koroimavua andesite (31.8–40.2 km) | Segment 6. Edge of Koroimavua group to Toge Village (40.2–44.0 km) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ecosystem reach and distance                                |                                                                     |                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                 |                                                                 |
| Relief mean elevation (m)                                   | 424 [245, 603]                                                      | 294 [151, 247]                                                 | 119 [81, 166]                                                   | 69 [53, 86]                                                      | 49 [25, 72]                                                      |
| - gradient: mean, max (%)                                   | -3.8, −15.1                                                        | -2.3, −6.3                                                     | -2.2, −8.6                                                     | -1.3, −4.8                                                      | -1.5, −4.6                                                      |
| Soils                                                       | Well drained, aerated, udic clay loam. Slightly to moderate acidic, erosion risk potential | Well drained, aerated, udic clay loam. Slightly to moderate acidic, erosion risk potential | Well drained, aerated, udic clay loam. Slightly to moderate acidic, erosion risk potential | Well drained, aerated, udic clay loam. Slightly to moderate acidic, erosion risk potential | Well drained, aerated, udic clay loam. Slightly to moderate acidic, erosion risk potential |
| Geology                                                     | Nadarivatu undifferentiated pliocene basaltic and derived flows: olivine-bearing with minor epiplastics: andesinite and basalt | Inclined bedding at the site of the hydropower station. Nadarivatu undifferentiated pliocene basaltic and derived flows: olivine-bearing with minor epiplastics: andesinite and basalt. Fault line marks the end of this segment at Savatu confluence | Fault line at Savatu. Mostly volcanics including Vatukoro group basalt derived sandstone connecting to small pockets of Korombalavu andesite, massive lava and volcanic breccia with limestone | Pliocene Koroimavua andesite group connecting to Ba volcanics sandstone derived from basalt. Crossing through Nadi and Sigatoka sedimentary groups. A fault line exists at Navala Village | Pliocene Koroimavua andesite group connecting with Nadi Sedimentary group: undifferentiated conglomerate marl, limestone and andesite rocks |
| Riparian vegetation                                         | 20–250 m riparian buffer width made up of native forest. Diverse highland flora connected to 74.06 km² Pinus caribaea pine forest reserves adjacent to Ba River catchment (FAO, 2011) | 15–200 m riparian buffer width made up of native forest. Connected to 74.06 km² P. caribaea pine forest reserves adjacent to Ba River catchment (FAO, 2011) | Orographic effect of rainshadow leading to domination of talasiga grasslands with limited forest catchment area including Calliandra calothyrsus and Indigenous tree species (FAO, 2011) | Continued talasiga grasslands with limited forest catchment area including raintrees (Samanea saman) and C. calothyrsus | Medium sized forested sub-catchment area including C. calothyrsus, S. saman and mango trees (Mangifera indica) |
| Villages/settlements                                        | Nayacana, Marou, Buyabuya, Drala, Koro. Hydropower station          | NA                                                              | Navala Village                                                  | Isolated agriculture settlements                               | Small to medium scale agriculture                               |
| Ecosystem reach type and distance | Segment 1. Headwater to Nadarivatu hydropower (0.0–9.34 km) | Segment 2. Nadarivatu hydropower to Savatu confluence (9.34–15.5 km) | Segment 3. Savatu confluence to Nakara Village (15.5–24.5 km) | Segment 4. Nakara village to Navala andesite (31.8–40.2 km) | Segment 5. Navala Village to Koroimavua group to Toge Village (40.2–44.0 km) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sub-catchments/tributaries (no. of stream order) | -Upper Ba (Nabiaurua) sub-catchment | -Nabiaurua sub-catchment | -Nabiaurua + Nakara sub-catchments | -Nakara, Sagu, Navuniyasi sub-catchment | -Saggunu, Navuniyasi, Wainimau, sub-catchments |
|                                  | -Naidadara (2) | -Multiple 1st-order streams | -Savatu 2nd-order river | -Naweni (3), Nakara (4) | -Three 1st, 2nd order streams |
| Freshwater resources              | Eels (*Anguilla australis*) and tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), giant prawns (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*) | *A. australis O. niloticus* | *A. australis O. niloticus* | *A. australis O. niloticus* | *A. australis O. niloticus* |
| Hydrology - Streambed substrate  | Beginning of the stream providing low turbidity water (0.00–0.50 NTU), Bedrock, huge boulders and cobbles, Pools and riffles | Large boulders, large cobbles, small cobbles, pebbles and silt. Pools, cascades, riffles and shallow runs | Large boulders, large cobbles, small cobbles, pebbles and silt. Pools, large riffles and shallow runs | Boulders, large cobbles, small cobbles, pebbles and silt. Pools, large riffles and runs | Boulders, large cobbles, small cobbles, pebbles and silt. Pools, small riffles, deep runs |
Table 2: Description of Ba river catchment floodplains from Toge Village to reef including ridge-to-reef segments 7–13 (44.00–88.40 km)

| Ecosystem reach type distance | Segment 7. Toge village to agriculture area (44.00–50.00 km) | Segment 8. Large agricultural area (50.0–57.6 km) | Segment 9. Moto River to Old Ba Bridge (57.6–61.7 km) | Segment 10. Old Ba Bridge to Ba Bridge (Town) (61.7–63.3 km) | Segment 11. Ba Bridge to Mangrove (63.3–73.0 km) | Segment 12. Mangrove extent (73.0–78.0 km) | Segment 13. Mouth of river to reef (approximately 78.0–88.4 km) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Relief- mean elevation (m)   | 14 [8,21]                                                   | 10 [6,14]                                       | 5 [0, 13]                                           | 1 [0, 2]                                               | 1 [0, 2]                                        | 0 [0, 0]                                        | 0 [0, 0]                                             |
| [min, max]                   |                                                             |                                                 |                                                     |                                                        |                                                 |                                                 |                                                     |
| Gradient:                   | –0.8, –2.5                                                  | –0.6, –1.6                                      | –0.9, –3.9                                          | –0.2, –0.7                                             | –0.2, –0.5                                      | –0.1, –0.5                                      | –0.0, –0.0                                           |
| Soils                        | Well drained, very good aeration.                          | Well drained, very good aeration.              | Well drained, very good aeration.                  | Well drained, very good aeration.                     | Well drained, very good aeration.               | Well drained, very good aeration.               | Alluvial surficial deposits. Well drained, very good aeration. Gravelly clay loams. Ustic and acidic. Significant erosion |
|                              | Gravelly clay loams. Ustic and acidic. Significant erosion | Gravelly clay loams. Ustic and acidic. Significant erosion | Gravelly clay loams. Ustic and acidic. Significant erosion | Gravelly clay loams. Ustic and acidic. Significant erosion | Gravelly clay loams. Ustic and acidic. Significant erosion | Gravelly clay loams. Ustic and acidic. Significant erosion | Estuarine mud banks border the mangroves. Hypersaline mudflats continue out to the ocean to meet the reef composed of carbonate coral structures |
| Geology                      | Pleistocene Vatukoro (basalt-derived) sandstone connecting to alluvial sands, silts and muds | Undifferentiated pleistocene, alluvial surficial deposits connected to some areas of volcanic basalt derived sandstone | Undifferentiated pleistocene, alluvial surficial deposits: sands, silts and muds. Connected to some areas of basalt derived sandstone | Undifferentiated pleistocene, alluvial surficial deposits: alluvial sands, silts and muds | Undifferentiated pleistocene, alluvial surficial deposits: alluvial sands, silts and muds | Undifferentiated pleistocene, alluvial surficial deposits: Mud and silt along the banks of Ba River. Soft muddy banks meet hypersaline mudflat estuary streambeds |
| Riparian vegetation          | Riparian vegetation including C. calothyrsus, S. saman and M.indica | Thin line of riparian vegetation and shrubs including C. calothyrsus, S. saman and M.indica | Large forests including C. calothyrsus, S. saman and shrubs. Some areas with sparse lines including C. calothyrsus, S. saman, M.indica | Thin riparian lines of trees. Some areas with sparse lines including C. calothyrsus, S. Saman, M.indica | Thin line of riparian vegetation including S.saman, C. calothyrsus, and M.indica | Mangrove species including Rhizophora stylosa, Rhizophora mangle, Rhizophora selala (Ellison & Fiu, 2010) | Algae, micro-algal mats, coral. R.stylosa, R.mangle, R.selala (Ellison & Fiu, 2010) |
| Land use                     | Medium-scale agriculture: sugarcane, root crop             | Extensive large-scale agricultural area with sugarcane and horticulture | Moderate-scale agricultural area and sugarcane on the right bank. Nasolo Village | Ba town with drainages and increased areas of industrial and residential runoff | Extensive sugarcane fields. Nailaga and Votua villages | Extensive sugarcane fields. Downstream of Nawaqarua: the last village along Ba River. Some adjacent sugarcane fields | AMEX mining for iron magnetite. Fishing and transport boats: a source of hydrocarbons |
surface water from the Ba River is commonly abstracted for irrigation. The width of the riparian vegetation buffer through lower-catchment segments 7 to 11 ranges from 0 to 40 m with agricultural land often extending up to the banks of the river. The FSC Rarawai mill is located on the Ba River right bank at 62.19 km downstream of SP1. Ba Town adjoins the river from 62.30 km to Ba Town Bridge at 63.30 km. Within this reach, extensive sugarcane fields also occur with limited riparian buffer zones composed largely of tropical dry forest (Keppel & Tuiwawa, 2010). Some examples of tropical dry rainforest species observed along the Ba River include rain trees: \( \text{Samanea saman} \), mango \( \text{Mangifera indica} \), diverse family of Rubiaceae trees and shrubs, \( \text{Leucaena leucocephala} \) and african tulip \( \text{Spathodea campanulata} \) (Keppel & Tuiwawa, 2010). Agricultural land with limited riparian vegetation continues to line the Ba River until the marine zone at a mean elevation of 0 m (sea level).

The marine zone including segments 12 and 13 commences with the mangrove ecosystem at 73.00 km downstream of SP1 that extends a further 5.00 km to the mouth of Ba River. The Ba estuary has the largest contiguous area of mangrove in Fiji made up of \( \text{Rhizophora stylosa} \), \( \text{Rhizophora mangle} \) and \( \text{Rhizophora selala} \) (Ellison and Fiu, 2010). GIS mapping estimates the mangrove area of Ba to reach approximately \( 50.71 \text{ km}^2 \). The Ba estuary is dominated by mud flats (Paris et al., 2019). Reef was found at a longitudinal distance of 82.40 km and continued beyond the extent of sampling at 88.40 km. The spatial extent of the reef was also verified through the use of open-source geodatabases (Reefbase, 2020; UNEP, 2018; NASA, 2015; NASA, 2009) as well as other Landsat and Sentinel image collections. The status of these marine ecosystems can be gauged through ecological studies using bioindicators. There have been very few studies related to the coral reef fauna of Ba District’s coast and no long-term ecological studies (WWF, 2003; Morris, 2007; Vuki et al., 2000). Marine surveys of fisheries have found planktivore dominant trophic groups of fish with high abundance and low biomass (Tuqiri, 2009). Shark studies have found hammerhead sharks \( \text{Sphyrna lewini} \) or \( \text{Sphyrna mokarran} \), blacktip sharks \( \text{Carcharhinus limbatis} \), gray reef sharks \( \text{Carcharhinus amblyrhinchos} \), nurse sharks \( \text{Nebrius ferrugineus} \), whitetip reef sharks \( \text{Triaenodon blancco...
melanopterus) and bull sharks (Carcharhinus leucas) (Paris et al., 2019; Veirus et al., 2018).

A land use classification (Yeo et al., 2016) summarised Ba Catchment’s composition as 30.69% natural native forest including 6.12% dense, 12.88% moderately dense and 11.70% scattered forest. Ba Town is composed of the town centre, market and Rarawai FSC mill and residential settlements making up approximately 2.42 km² on the east side of the river and residential settlements and industries making up approximately 7.06 km² on the west of the river comprising a total urban area of approximately 1.02% of the entire catchment. The largest area of the catchment is grasslands cover making up 41.15% of the entire catchment. These ‘talasiga’ or ‘sun-burnt’ grasslands in Fiji are typical of the drier leeward rain-shadow section of Viti Levu (Qamese, pers comm, 2018; Gillison et al., 2014). Plantation forest (mostly Pinus carrabea) represents 11.05% of the total catchment area. Sugarcane makes up the largest crop type in terms of the area of the agricultural land cover class making up 16.09% of the entire catchment.

The stages of growing, cutting, crushing and processing of sugarcane result in a range of byproducts and sources of nutrient runoff and effluent wastewater being discharged into the Ba River. Wastewater from sugar mills have complex characteristics varying from mill to mill and often present a challenge due to a range of environmental concerns (Sahu & Chaudhari, 2015; Samuel & Muthukkaruppan, 2011). The processing of sugar in Fiji includes seven stages: collection of raw material, crushing, juice clarification, crystallisation, centrifuge, depolarization and conditioning and packing and bagging (Sahu, 2018). Through the crushing stage, sugarcane is washed with hot water to remove impurities. The impurities that accumulate between cutting and crushing include mud, oil and grease (Sahu, 2018). Sugar also consists of carbohydrates, protein, calcium, iron, potassium and sodium as well as a small percentage of heavy metals: arsenic, mercury, lead, cadmium, copper and zinc (Sahu, 2018). Through the juice clarification stage, the temperature is raised to 110–120 °C to catalyse the concentration of the sugar solution (Sahu, 2018). In the presence of moisture, sugar decomposes at 100 °C, cameralising and releasing water. On further heating, sugar changes to CO₂ and H₂CO₂ (formic acid) which is a high acidity carboxylic acid (Sahu, 2018; Heitala, 2016). The Rarawai mill boiler also cycles water to cool the system. These processes involve hot water, heavy metals, formic acid and sugar crystals being discharged into the Ba River (Sahu & Chaudhari, 2015). This discharge of effluent has the potential to alter the pH affecting the rate of biological reaction and survival of various microorganisms within the Ba River and the connected downstream coastal reef ecosystems.

Water quality sampling

As noted previously, the focus of this study was to characterise the spatio-temporal variation in pH along the Ba River using surface water quality measurements to identify potential trends and processes influencing acid and alkaline inputs. Analysis of pH data and identification of anomalous hotspots used a three point moving mean (Zimmerman & Kazandjian, 2003). Within this paper, hotspots have been defined as spatial zones corresponding to the greatest decline in pH per km distance along the surface water longitudinal gradient of Ba River and the coastal ocean. The identification of these hotspots may be used to inform more in-depth and longer-term water quality monitoring. The collection of water quality data was undertaken through a series of three rapid sampling periods (RSPs). These RSPs, detailed in Table 3, were intensive water quality measurement surveys along longitudinal gradients of the Ba River. The RSPs were undertaken before and during the sugarcane crushing season (RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3, respectively). The R2R approach required sampling water quality along longitudinal gradients of the Ba River. The RSPs were undertaken before and during the sugarcane crushing season (RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3, respectively). The R2R approach required sampling water quality along a longitudinal gradient of 88.40 km with the upper boundary being the headwater springs of Ba River. The lower boundaries extended to the estuary and reef beyond the Ba River mouth. The

| RSP   | Timeframe           | Data points       | Spatial extent                      |
|-------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| RSP1  | 24 May–4 June 2019  | 228 sampling points | 0.00–88.40 km from SP1             |
| RSP2  | 22 June 2019        | 124 sampling points | 55.20–88.40 km from SP1            |
| RSP3  | 6–13 October 2019   | 311 sampling points | 13.00–88.40 km from SP1            |
RSPs collectively included 663 sampling points along The Ba River and its tributaries between April and December 2019 (Table 3). The sampling focused on the dry season and intensive agricultural harvesting dynamics without the flushing effects driven by heavy rainfall and flash floods common in the cyclonic wet season. RSP1 and RSP2 sampling included 352 water quality samples collected between May 24 and June 22. The sampling ranged from sea level to 584 m elevation. RSP3 included 311 water quality samples collected between 6 to 13 October. The Rarawai mill in Ba commenced sugarcane crushing on 9 July 2019 (FSC, 2020a, 2020b). Crushing ceased on 3 December 2019 before the arrival of Tropical Cycle Sarai on 28–29 December (FSC, 2020a, 2020b). The sampling period was limited by Tropical Cyclone Sarai (December 2019) and Tropical Cyclone Tino (January 2020). SP1 was used as a reference point from which all other sample points were measured along the 88.40 km length of the Ba River to the reef. At each of these sampling points, multiple water quality measurements were made using an Aquaread AP-2000 multiparameter probe. Each data point was geotagged for longitude (x), latitude (y) and altitude (z) as well as the time and date of measurement for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3 (see Fig. 2a, b and c, respectively). The probe measured 14 parameters including temperature (°C), barometric pressure (mb), dissolved oxygen saturation (DO % saturation), dissolved oxygen concentration (DO mg/L), total dissolved solids (mg/L), turbidity (NTU), depth of measurement (m), pH, oxidation reduction potential (ORP), electrical conductivity (uS/cm @25 °C), resistivity (Ω Ohms. cm), salinity (practical salinity units), seawater specific gravity (σT) and nitrate (mg/L).

The surface water sampling approach followed the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (Greenberg et al., 1995; APHA, 1998; Chapman, 1996). pH was measured at surface-water median depths of 0.12 m, where possible, water quality was sampled from parts of the channel that were in well-mixed straight reaches. For the surveyed reaches, samples were retrieved at consistent intervals with a mean distance of 35 m depending on the riverine streambed composition and fluvial geomorphology at each sampling site. For the shallow reaches of the upper-catchment from the headwaters along river segments 1–6 (0 to 44.00 km), a grab sampling method was applied. This was the only sampling method possible for the upper-catchment due to the steep and often impassable streambank terrain. For the remaining downstream sites 7 to 13 (44.00–88.40 km) where the slope declined into the floodplain and estuary, water quality measurement was conducted using a raft with the same multi-parameter probe for recording water quality data points. Noting the different sampling designs of each of these studies, this comparison cannot be generalised.

Within the floodplain and the marine zones, both RSP1 and RSP2 identified anomalies in pH levels with trends in pH values declines across 3 longitudinal points. Slope of the decline is defined as β1 in Eq. (1):
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Fig. 2 The maps show the Ba River catchment boundary on the island of Viti Levu, Fiji including the points of sampling during RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3, respectively. a RSP1 sampled 228 locations between 24 May and 4 June. b RSP2 sampled 117 locations on 22 June. c RSP3 sampled 311 locations between 12 and 14 October.
The rapid sampling strategy was designed to measure pH across both space and time. Each discrete sampling period, or RSP, focused on measuring the longitudinal spatial variability in water quality. The comparison amongst RSPs yielded insights into temporal variability in water quality. Water quality, including pH, is influenced by a range of temporal variables: time of sampling, total duration of sampling and tidal influences on tidal mixing fluxes (diffusion and advection), tidal influences, rainfall and runoff as well as fluctuating input volumes of point-source contamination within waterways. To minimise variability, sampling was undertaken between 06:00 and 18:00 each day to maintain mean surface water temperature as close as possible to 25 °C. Temperatures of sampled surface water ranged from 18.75 to 29.90 °C with a mean of 26.67 °C and a standard deviation (SD) of ± 1.79. To minimize tidal variations, each RSP measurement occurred during the same point of the ebb tide, the only opportunity to navigate the shallow channel. A hydrograph was set up in the Ba River to verify the extent of tidal influence. High resolution temporal variability of pH in the Ba River was measured every 2 min using data-loggers at an upstream site located in the central floodplain within Ba River segment 9 (57.60 km downstream of SP1) and at a downstream site at a Ministry of Waterways monitoring station located between the coastal floodplain and estuary within segment 11 (70.20 km). During the before the sugarcane crushing season (RSP1), samples were collected daily over a two week period which had a cumulative recorded rainfall of 1.50-mm (Fiji Meteorology Services, pers comm, 2019).

Geospatial analysis

Geospatial Information Systems (GIS) and remotely sensed satellite imagery have been widely applied within environmental monitoring and assessment (Dewan & Yamaguchi, 2009; Larsen, 1999; Machiwal et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2008). Within this study, geospatial analysis served three functions: (1) to overlay multiple layers of ancillary data over the Ba Catchment to delineate the 13 segment framework that has been applied here, (2) to visualise the results of water quality monitoring for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3. All geospatial layers were projected within the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) and World Geodetic System (WGS) 94 coordinate systems; and (3) geostatistical interpolation of the results to visualise a model of pH values across the entire surface water body within the study area. The water quality data points were interpolated using the kriging geostatistical method. The kriging method is based on statistical models and autocorrelation of the relationships amongst measured points to generate a prediction surface (Vieux, 2016). The general formula for the interpolation is formed as a weighted sum of the data:

\[ Z(S_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i Z(S_i) \]  

where, \( Z(S_i) = \) the measured value at the ith location; \( \lambda_i = \) an unknown weight for the measured value at the ith location; \( S_0 = \) the prediction location; \( N = \) the number of measured values.

The river buffer and coast buffer were merged to form the surface extent for the kriging interpolation. The standardized river outline vector shapefiles were downloaded from the official dataset of the Ministry of Lands and Mineral Resources of Fiji (Ministry of Lands, 2019). The river shapefiles were extended from 70 km to cover the total 88.40 km covered during the RSPs. A 500 m buffer was generated along the length of the river made up of 250 m on the left banks of the Ba River. A coastline buffer of approximately 90 km² was generated extending 8.5 km from the river mouth along the coastline to the east and west and 5 km seaward. Slope and elevation were modelled through a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) generated using publicly available data from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) databases. The results of the DEM highlight the point where the floodplains meet the mountains. The DEM-derived topographical data were used to generate the boundaries of the Ba Catchment, the upper-catchment, lower-catchment floodplain, the marine zone and the 13 segments described in Tables 1 and 2 (EPA, 2019). The Strahler stream ordering algorithm was used to define and categorise perennial and ephemeral tributaries flowing into the river. Geo-referenced geology maps were overlaid onto the satellite image of the Ba Catchment (Rodda, 1966). The Ba estuary mangrove shapefiles were digitized using Sentinel 2 and Landsat 8 data sets through the Earth Engine data catalogue.
Visualising water quality data involved transferring sampling points gathered in the field to a GIS grid. The AP-2000 water quality probe geotagged each sampling point with x, y and z dimensions with ±10 m accuracy. The data were processed by converting the location of each sampling point location to the UTM WGS84 coordinate reference system and then uploaded to an ArcGIS database. The datasets for RSP1, 2 and 3. The RSP1, 2 and 3 data were collated in RStudio and Excel and analysed using one-factor ANOVA and t tests.

**Results and discussion**

### Spatial variability in pH

In general, the results document spatial variation trends along the Ba River, and temporal variability amongst the three rapid sampling periods before (RSP1 and RSP2) and during (RSP3) the sugarcane crushing season. Observed pH varied along the 88.40 length of the Ba River as mapped in Fig. 2 and plotted in Fig. 3. For RSP1, the mean pH of the upper catchment (0 to 44 km) had a mean pH of 8.19 compared to a mean pH of 8.14 in the lower catchment between 44.00 and 90 km. While sampling in the upper-catchment within this study used a grab-sampling technique which may have resulted in greater variation than the research raft monitoring methods used in the lower-catchment, the results remained consistent with the findings of other studies of Ba Catchment. Both Qamese (pers comm, 2018) and Fagan et al. (1995) found pH values ranging from 7.20 to 9.10 in the upper Ba Catchment. The pH values in the lower catchment were found to have a lower mean pH range: 7.60 (Tamata and Kubuabola., 1993) and 7.40 (Qamese pers comm, 2018). In this study, the greatest decline in pH values equalled 3.06 pH units between the coastal floodplain and the ocean (segments 7 to 13, Fig. 3 and Table 4).

**pH variability measured before the crushing season (RSP1 and 2)**

Before the crushing season, for RSP1, the pH value of 8.51 declined by −0.04 pH units per km from the foothills to the beginning of the floodplain in segment

---

**Fig. 3** pH observations made at intervals along the Ba River starting from ridge sampling point 1 (SP1) (S17.609320° E177.933518°) extending to reef margins (at approximately S17.417052°, E177.595095°). The dashed line at 62.19 km downstream of SP1 indicates the location of the FSC Mill discharge. a RSP1 was conducted between 24 May and 4 June 2019, before the sugarcane crushing season. b RSP2 was conducted on 22 June 2019, later in the agricultural cutting stages but still before the sugar cane crushing season. c RSP3 was conducted from 6 to 13 October 2019 during the crushing season, the only RSP survey with point source discharge from the FSC Mill. The shaded subsample distance between 44.00 and 90.00 km from SP1 is displayed in higher resolution in Fig. 4.
7 (starting at 44.44 km) to a minimum 7.75 pH at 3.17 km downstream of Ba Town Bridge. Continuing downstream from this minimum, pH increased by 0.02 to reach 8.22 at 87.50 km downstream, adjacent to the reef ecosystems of Ba. The RSP2 survey results were consistent with the RSP1 results. Over the same length of Ba River, the mean pH range declined from 8.75 (44.12 km) by $-0.076.99 \times 10^{-2}$ pH units per km to 7.58 within 0.65 km upstream of the old Ba Town bridge at 60.85 km. The pH rose again by 0.03 pH units per km towards 8.21 pH close to the reef ecosystems at 83.71 km. Both of these rapid sampling periods identified declines in pH between the lower agricultural floodplain and the estuary: segments 7 and 11 between 44.00 and 73.00 km downstream of SP1. The spatial extent of pH declines identified through RSP1 and RSP2 occurred between segments 10 and 11 (61.70 to 73.00 km) near Ba Town and the sugar mill.

Why might pH values decline throughout these particular reaches of Ba River? A number of potential factors influence the equilibrium balance of acid and alkaline inputs. From the headwaters to the beginning of the floodplain, the primary lithologies comprise the Ba Volcanic Groups including basaltic and derived flows: Pliocene undifferentiated andesitic flows and pyroclastics, pockets of intrusive andesitic rocks and Pleistocene basalt-derived sandstone with limestone (Kumar, 2005; McPhie, 1994; Rodda, 1966). Past the 44.00 km point, the dominant lithologies along the riverbanks are composed of Pleistocene alluvial surficial deposits as sands, gravel and silts (Rodda, 1966). The basalt and alkaline limestone carbonate inputs correlated with higher pH ranges in the upper Ba Catchment (Norton et al., 2001). Similarly, pH ranges were also found to rise towards the open ocean and the carbonate reef. Riparian vegetation has a buffering capacity linked to reducing acidifying inputs into waterways (Peterjohn & Correll, 1984). In terms of vegetation, the mangrove forest composed of *R. stylosa*, *R. mangle* and *R. selala* (Ellison & Fiu, 2010) extends along Ba River from 72.50 km to the ocean at 79.20 km. The shade, decreased temperatures and photosynthesis from riparian vegetation are associated with higher levels of dissolved oxygen correlated with increased pH (Boto & Bunt, 1981).

Mangrove vegetation has been linked to decreased acid-soluble metal concentrations (Zhou et al., 2010). Tidal influences have also been linked to pH fluctuations (Ovalle et al., 1990). In the Ba River Catchment, the tidal zone is complex and could be defined by water chemistry, salinity, vegetation, topography and the spatial range of tidal influence on fluctuating river depths. During the RSP1 survey, electrical conductivity ($\mu$S/cm at 25 °C) and salinity (PSU) increased by an order of magnitude from freshwater zones to brackish downstream of Ba Town bridge at 62.70 km (1.00 × 10³ $\mu$S/cm and 0.5–1 PSU) and then increased by another order of magnitude (1.00 × 10⁴ $\mu$S/cm and 1–10 PSU) at 71.50 km, at the edges of the riparian and estuarine delta mangroves. However, tidal influence of flows reportedly reached as far as the agriculturally intensive areas of the central floodplain, at 55.00 km. Topography and bathymetry also affect the intertidal zone range. At the foothills, 42.42 km, the elevation decreased from a mean range of 40 m to 15 m elevation. At 59.20 km, just upstream of Ba Town, the elevation dropped from 10 m to sea level.
extending along the remaining 23.20 km along the river gradient towards the river mouth. Studies have also linked the effects of point and diffuse source pollution on waterways, influencing water quality and the presence of bio-indicators such as sensitive benthic fauna (Stevenson et al., 2012; Neumann & Dudgeon, 2002; Lenat, 1984). Agricultural runoff commonly increases nutrient inputs (including N and P) linked to algal blooms and eutrophication processes which reduce pH (Cooke & Prepas, 1998; Hoorman, et al., 2008). During the RSP1 and RSP2 surveys, the minimum pH values were consistently measured near Ba Town. The minimum pH of RSP1 (7.75) was within 0.8 km of the Ba Town bridge and the minimum pH measured in RSP2 was within 0.65 km upstream of the old Ba Town bridge. Diffuse source pollution includes runoff from the urban and paved areas of segment 10 (61.70 to 63.30 km) as well as intensive agricultural areas (sugarcane) extending from segments 8–11 (50.00 to 73.00 km). There are some smaller plots of agricultural land adjacent to Ba River in segments 6 and 7 (31.80–50.00 km).

Identifying pH hotspots during the crushing season (RSP3)

Based on the pH minimum associated with Ba town identified in the RSP1 and RSP2, the area highlighted in grey in Fig. 3 (from segments 7 to 13) was targeted for further sampling in the RSP3 survey during the sugar cane crushing season as shown in Fig. 4. The minimum values in pH for RSP1 and RSP2 were identified within 1 to 5 km from the point-source effluent discharge from the FSC mill. To explore the sensitivity of water quality to this point-source discharge from the FSC mill, the RSP3 survey took a total of 311 river samples along the 69.13 km stretch extending from 13 km below SP1 to the reef. Out of the total 311 samples (segments 2 to 13), 260 were focused on monitoring pH areas within segments 9 to 13 (57.60 to 88.40 km). Within segments 9–11, the sampling was undertaken at a higher spatio-temporal resolution (see Fig. 4c). Temperatures of sampled surface water ranged from 23.60 to 30.75 °C with a mean of 28.18 °C (SD ± 1.11).

During this sugarcane crushing season (RSP3), the mill abstracted water from Ba River and pumped wastewater directly back into the river at km 62.19. The sampled length of RSP3 was 13.00 km from the origin. The lower reef boundary was sampled at a maximum 82.13 km. The decline in pH identified close to the point-source discharge from the FSC sugar mill intensified between RSP1 and RSP2 conducted before the sugarcane crushing season and the RSP3 survey conducted during the sugarcane crushing season. The mean pH along the Ba River ranged between 7.58 and 8.80 before the sugarcane crushing season for RSP1 and RSP2, respectively. The overall mean pH from RSP1, 8.16 (SD ± 0.23), did not differ significantly from the mean pH of RSP2, 8.20 (SD ± 0.25) with an overall mean of 8.18 (SD ± 0.30). For RSP3, pH values ranged from 5.32 to 8.38. The mean pH was 6.94 (SD ± 0.53). Within the subsampling region of interest (floodplain to ocean) from segments 7 to 13 (distances 44.00 to 88.40 km), pH declined by \(-1.71 \times 10^{-1}\) pH units per km from 8.38 at 44.30 km to the point of minimum pH (5.32) at 62.15 km. The minimum pH values were recorded within 40 m of the point of FSC mill discharge. From this minimum, pH increased by \(1.01 \times 10^{-1}\) pH units per km longitudinally to 7.34 at 88.40 km.

The sugarcane crushing season and point-source discharge from the sugar mill resulted in a dramatic 3 pH unit decline between 56.6 and 73.0 km corresponding to segments 9 to 11. ANOVA analysis of pH values for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3 resulted in an \(F\) value of 771.57 with a \(P < 0.001\). ANOVA pairwise analyses of pH values for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3 results reveal statistically significant differences between RSP3 pH values and both RSP1 and RSP2 pH values. The mean pH value for the RSP3 crushing season survey was 6.94 compared to the combined mean pH value of 8.18 for the RSP1 and RSP2 surveys. By every statistical analysis conducted, including \(t\) test and ANOVA, the RSP3 crushing season survey mean pH value was significantly lower than the mean pH values of both the RSP1 and RSP2 before crushing season surveys \((P < 0.001)\).

Other environmental impact assessments of water quality in the Ba River have found a range of point-source and diffuse source inputs linked to water quality changes. The assessment conducted by Fagan et al. (1995) before and during the crushing season highlights the potential influence of the Ba FSC mill discharge as a key source of pollution in Ba River. However, the environmental impact assessment results noted that the FSC mill was not the only major source of disturbance. Runoff from Ba
Town and wider agricultural runoff were also identified as potential sources influencing water quality in the lower reaches of the Ba River. The assessment of Tamata and Kubuabola (1993) before the crushing season identified high concentrations of ammonia and nitrite likely resulting from agricultural runoff.
Sewage discharge and faecal coliforms near Ba Town are also identified by various assessments (Anderson et al., 1999). Through the rapid sampling periods’ experimental design, this study builds on these past environmental assessments in identifying the influence of smaller scale pulsing spatio-temporal pH declines within each of the 13 R2R segments. These declines are most clearly identified between segments 9–11 (56.60–73.00 km) as observed in RSP3.

The study provided the opportunity to compare different methodologies: sampling with fixed sensors over time and spatial sampling with and without a raft. Some variation can be attributed to sampling methods: RSP1 in the upper-catchment (0–44 km downstream) without a raft resulted in a standard deviation of 0.30 compared to the lower catchment standard deviation of 0.25 in the floodplain and marine zones (44–90 km). These results highlight the potential influence of different water quality sampling methods in the upper and lower catchment. However, in the lower-catchment, where water quality was always sampled from the raft, the temporal variation increased with discharge from the FSC mill. The mean lower-catchment standard deviation of RSP1 and RSP2 was 0.25 compared with 0.53 for RSP3, with FSC mill discharge.

How does the crushing season pH hotspots compare to seasonal variability in pH?

It is important to explore how these spatial trends before (RSP1 and 2) and during (RSP3) the sugar-cane crushing season fit within wider seasonal and spatio-temporal river catchment processes of hydrology and phenology (Comber & Wulder, 2019). Considering seasonal context reduces the risk of conflating observed pH trends with patterns that could have arisen from natural fluctuations or from sampling errors (Oaten, 1996). In order to understand these spatial phenomena within the wider temporal diurnal and seasonal catchment processes, the observed spatial decline in pH associated with the RSP3 crushing season survey was assessed against temporal trends. The two stationary data sensors logging the longer-term high frequency time-series were located at 57.7 km and 70.2 km downstream of SP1. These sensors shed light on trends upstream and downstream of the Rarawai sugar mill, located at km 62.19 downstream of SP1. The stationary data loggers documented higher resolution temporal variability between the RSPs and provide more detailed insights into the seasonal variability of pH. These data loggers recorded pH and temperature at 2-min intervals. At the upstream site located in the central floodplain within segment 9 at km 57.60, the mean diurnal pH amplitude was 0.31. At a downstream site, the Ministry of Waterways monitoring station located between the coastal floodplain and estuary within segment 11 at km 70.20, the mean diurnal pH amplitude was 0.46. The pH measurements made downstream of the sugar mill were significantly lower than pH measurements made upstream of the sugar mill (ANOVA, \( P < 0.001 \)). ANOVA analysis of the daily mean pH value for the upstream and downstream sites, over 73 days, resulted in an \( F \) value of 6.86 and a \( P \geq 0.001 \) indicating that the difference between upstream and downstream sites was not significant in comparison to the spatial variability closer to the identified hotspot point.

The pH decline across both monitoring stations indicate that mean diurnal pH amplitude was 0.39 and the mean seasonal pH amplitude was 2.01. The term amplitude captures the full variability between the maximum and minimum value calculated as a three-point mean. The pH declines downstream of the mill were 0.78, 1.17 and 3.06 for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3, respectively. The pH declines were 2, 3 and 7.85 times greater than the mean diurnal amplitude for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3, respectively. The pH declines were 0.39, 0.58 and 1.52 the proportion of mean seasonal amplitude for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3, respectively. The pH decline of the RSP3 crushing season hotspot (Fig. 4c) far exceeded both diurnal and seasonal amplitude as visualised in Fig. 5.

The identification of a pH hotspot of magnitudes exceeding diurnal and seasonal trends in pH within this study builds on the other studies and environmental impact assessments of Qamese (pers comm, 2018); Fagan et al. (1995) and Tamata and Kubuabola (1993) undertaken within the Ba Catchment. These findings are also comparable to other catchments throughout Fiji. Other studies have attributed fluxes in riverine surface water quality to a range of environmental health and pollution factors. As shown in Fig. 6, the hotspot is near the FSC mill located at km 62.19 close to previously identified point source pollution from the FSC mill in Ba (GEF, 2007; Falkland, 2002). Similar reports of FSC mill discharge have
Fig. 5 The pH values shown in Fig. 3 are visualised for the Ba River using Kriging geostatistical analyses. a displays the pH values for RSP1. b displays the pH values for RSP2. c displays the pH values for RSP3.
been observed from the FSC mill of Labasa into the adjacent Qawa River on the island of Vanua Levu (Janine, 2010; Tuivanualevu, 2017; Silaitoga, 2009). A study by Bainivalu (2015) observed over a limited period that the FSC mill in Labasa also altered the natural pH level of the receiving water body. Similar trends were observed in the Ba River, with a decline in pH identified adjacent to the mill. However, in the Ba River case, this decline occurred despite the expected buffering effect of the tidal zone extending further upstream in the Ba River to km 55.00. Alongside these interesting spatial results, the study also finds the temporal trend in which mean pH declined from the before (RSP1 and RSP2) to during the sugarcane crushing season (RSP3). This trend may show a correlation between the cumulative effects
of an increased rate or volume of point-source effluent discharge and/or agricultural runoff and acidity overwhelming the buffering capacity. However, further studies are needed to test this correlation. Similar findings in the Ba and Labasa Catchment are to be expected given the shared characteristics of both being sugarcane-intensive catchments located in the northwestern rainshadow areas. Wider-scale studies using remote sensing and land cover classification observe that the sugarcane belt covering Ba River catchment and the adjacent Tavua, Lautoka and Nadi areas all experience increased nutrient and sediment loading in river basins (Dadhich et al., 2020). Within this study, increased nutrient and sediment loading observed through satellite imagery is largely attributed to sugarcane agriculture inputs. The variability in ranges of pH between the highland and lowland areas identified in this study of Ba Catchment has also been observed across other catchments in Fiji. For example, water quality in the upper reaches of Rewa river catchment has met stringent pH surface water quality criteria over several assessments (Naidu, 1988; Naidu & Brodie, 1987). However, more recently, high concentrations of nutrients (Carpenter and Lawedrau, 2002) and higher than average regional levels of accretion (Terry, et al., 2002; Terry Kostaschuk, 2001) were found in the lower reaches and estuary of Rewa. These changes were attributed to a range of land use change factors as well as cyclones, floods and associated fluvial-geomorphological change over time (Printemps, 2008; Ram, 2013). Many of these studies also cited the impacts of sugarcane agriculture (Bainivalu, 2015; Dadhich et al., 2020; Terry and Garimella, 2008). These factors are also highly characteristic of the Ba River Catchment. Despite focusing on the Pacific SIDS R2R program, this study yields results comparable to other studies based on continental sampling. Such studies have found variable impacts of sugarcane agricultural runoff and sugar mill discharge. These sources of contaminants have been found to affect stream pH either making it too acidic or alkaline (Tucker & Robinson, 1990). Past studies have found sugar mill effluent to have a pH of 4.2 (Sajani & Muthukkaruppan, 2011), 4.55 and 5.25 (Baskaran et al., 2009), 4.5 (Matkar & Gangotri, 2002) and a range of 6.0–7.6 (Kumar et al., 2001). A study by Kolhe et al. (2008) observed a range of 6.5 and 7.0 of untreated effluent and that of treated effluent as 7.5 and 7.5 in November and December, respectively. Turinayo (2016) found that in Musamya River, Uganda, discharge from sugar mill effluent correlated with an in-stream pH of 5.6 compared to an upstream pH value of 7.1. Gunkel et al. (2007) found that in the Ipojuca River, Brasil, discharge from sugar mills correlated with a pH decrease from 6.7–6.2 linked to influences of fertigation. Other studies found positive uses for sugar mill effluent discharge including Khan (2019), in Pakistan, whose study linked sugar mill effluent discharge onto nearby soils to increased yield of certain crops. It is widely accepted within the literature that agriculture is one of the largest contributors to non-point source water pollution (Batie, 1983). Other studies have highlighted the impacts of industrial runoff and urban pollution, having similar influences on lowering pH (Morrison et al., 2001; Olajire and Imeokparia, 2000). These sources of pollution have also been identified as potential factors influencing the occurrence of pH declines found in this study and in other past studies of Ba Catchment (Fagan et al., 1995; Tamata & Kubuabola, 1993).

The study combined the GEF framework’s spatial focus on the R2R context with temporal dimensions including the impact of the sugar cane crushing season and the diurnal cycle of pH within the context of monitoring for SDG target 14.1 and 15.1. Through this monitoring, we have highlighted a potential scientific pathway to better connect environmental monitoring of water quality pollutant hotspots across land-sea boundaries for Pacific SIDs contexts.

Conclusions

In the Ba River catchment on Fiji’s largest island Viti Levu, pH declined by an estimated 0.80 pH units drop between the upper and lower catchments during the sugarcane growing season. The decline in pH was amplified by an order of magnitude to 3.06 pH units during the RSP3 sugarcane crushing season. The greatest decline in pH occurred within 40 m of the point-source discharge of the FSC mill during the sugarcane crushing season.

The results of this study add to the emerging literature on water quality sampling in R2R contexts in Pacific SIDS. Currently, this literature is still relatively limited to grey literature, baseline environmental assessments and project reports. By observing the spatial variability of pH along the length of Ba River, this study has shed light on a decline in pH defined...
within this study as a ‘hotspot’ area where longer-term seasonal monitoring is required. The study demonstrated the success of a focused rapid sampling. Through three rapid sampling periods, river catchment longitudinal variability identified a spatio-temporal pH hotspot along the Ba River. The results of this comparison show significant spatial and temporal variability in pH with the hotspot associated with the FSC mill point source discharge, Ba town runoff and wider agricultural runoff. These trends must be understood within wider spatio-temporal river catchment hydrological processes. The statistically significant decline in pH induced by the FSC Sugar mill was 2, 3 and 7.85 times greater than the diurnal amplitude for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3, respectively. The pH hotspot decline was equivalent to 39%, 58% and 152% times the mean seasonal amplitude for RSP1, RSP2 and RSP3, respectively. The decline in pH adjacent to Ba Town and the FSC mill exemplifies critical spatiotemporal variability, highlighting the need for longer-term temporal monitoring.

The experimental methods in this study highlight how rapid water quality sampling across long distances within short-time frames can be used to highlight spatial variability and earmark hotspots that can then be addressed with further targeted longer-term temporal water quality monitoring. The study also highlights a potential low-cost and time-effective investment to optimise insights within the broader context of limited data availability. In doing so, the study provides an example for rapid water quality sampling that contributes to holistic spatio-temporal systems-based environmental monitoring. This approach would provide greater granularity to enhance our understanding of the state of coastal systems and assessments in the Pacific R2R context.

As set out in the objective of this study, our study serves as foundational groundwork to identify spatial and temporal hotspot areas requiring further focused long-term monitoring. This study also adds to the growing body of research on the interplay between the intensification of agriculture and the environment in Pacific SIDS.
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