Abstract

TetR family transcriptional regulators (TFRs) are found in most bacteria and archaea. Most of the family members that have been investigated to date are repressors of their target genes, and the majority of these, like the well-characterized protein TetR, regulate genes that encode transmembrane efflux pumps. In many cases repression by TFR proteins is reversed through the direct binding of a small-molecule ligand. The number of TFRs in the public database has grown rapidly as a result of genome sequencing and there are now thousands of family members; however virtually nothing is known about the biology and biochemistry they regulate. Generally applicable methods for predicting their regulatory targets would assist efforts to characterize the family. Here, we investigate chromosomal context of 372 TFRs from three Streptomyces species. We find that the majority (250 TFRs) are transcribed divergently from one neighboring gene, as is the case for TetR and its target tetA. We explore predicted target gene product identity and intergenic separation to see which either correlates with a direct regulatory relationship. While intergenic separation is a critical factor in regulatory prediction the identity of the putative target gene product is not. Our data suggest that those TFRs that are <200 bp from their divergently oriented neighbors are most likely to regulate them. These target genes include membrane proteins (26% of which 22% are probable membrane-associated pumps), enzymes (60%), other proteins such as transcriptional regulators (1%), and proteins having no predictive sequence motifs (13%). In addition to establishing a solid foundation for identifying targets for TFRs of unknown function, our analysis demonstrates a much greater diversity of TFR-regulated biochemical functions.

Introduction

Bacteria adapt to changes in their environment and metabolism by regulating gene expression. One means of coupling chemical stimuli to appropriate transcriptional responses is to take advantage of ‘one-component systems’ (reviewed in [1]). TetR family transcriptional regulators (TFRs) are widely distributed in bacteria and archaea (reviewed in [2]) and they constitute one of the largest groups of one-component transcription factors [3]. TFRs are easily identified through the high sequence conservation in their N-terminal DNA-binding domains [2]; however, their C-terminal domains – which in many of the characterized TFRs interact with small-molecule ligands are highly variable, suggesting that this family can respond to a diverse range of stimuli.

TetR, one model for this family, is a repressor of tetA, which encodes a tetracycline efflux pump (reviewed in [4]). The tetR gene is divergently oriented to tetA, and the intergenic DNA that separates them contains two 15 bp palindromic operator sequences that are bound by the dimeric TetR to repress transcription initiation from the promoters of both genes [3]. Tetracycline activates tetA expression by binding TetR [6] and lowering its affinity for DNA [7]. TetA then exports tetracycline to confer resistance [8].

The majority of characterized TFRs are repressors, though a small number of activators [9,10,11] and dual repressor/activators [12,13] are also known. Like TetR, the majority of the previously studied TFRs regulate genes encoding efflux pumps that confer antibiotic resistance. This includes AcrR in Escherichia coli [14], ActR in Streptomyces coelicolor [15,16], NfxB in Pseudomonas aeruginosa [17], QacR in Staphylococcus aureus [18], and SmcT in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia [19]. However TFRs have been implicated in the regulation of other physiological processes including antibiotic biosynthesis [10], the tricarboxylic acid cycle [20], biofilm formation [21], quorum sensing [13], and toxin production [22].

The number of TFRs encoded in genome databases exceeded 20,000 distinct sequences in 2010 [23] and continues to grow. Of this number, only a tiny fraction has been characterized in any detail. Thus, for all but a few TFRs cognate ligands and target genes are unknown. Generally applicable tools for identifying basic elements of the biological roles of TFRs would greatly accelerate...
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our ability to assign functions to this important family of transcriptional regulators.

In this work, we have identified 372 genes encoding TFRs in three streptomycetes – *S. coelicolor*, *Streptomyces avermitilis*, and *Streptomyces griseus*. We have explored the genome context of these genes and find that most are encoded divergently to a neighboring gene. The TetR paradigm suggests that these are putative target genes. We explored the prediction that these TFRs regulate the divergently encoded neighboring genes and find that this is the case for most or all TFRs where the intergenic separation is less than 200 bp. This is true regardless of the nature of the target gene product. In addition to confirming that the TetR regulatory paradigm holds for a majority of TFRs, our analysis demonstrates a far greater diversity of TFR targets than previously appreciated. While 22% of these proteins control the expression of membrane-associated pumps, the majority of TFRs are predicted to control the expression of targets that encode enzymes.

**Results**

**Most TFRs are Divergently Oriented to an Adjacent Gene**

We searched the genomes of *S. coelicolor*, *S. griseus*, and *S. avermitilis* for genes encoding putative TFRs and identified 153, 104, and 115 of them, respectively (total of 372 TFRs) based on a high score for the consensus sequence of the protein family PF00440 (TetR_N). Actinomycete chromosomes are linear and share a conserved genetic ‘core’ region and more variable ‘arm’ regions at both ends, containing primarily non-essential species-specific genes including many involved in secondary metabolism [24]. The TFR genes in these streptomycetes are distributed evenly over the chromosomes with a slight enrichment in the ‘core’ relative to the ‘arm’ regions. For example, *S. coelicolor* has 93 TFRs in the ‘core’ (4.9 Mb, approximately 19 TFRs/Mb), 27 TFRs in the left ‘arm’ (1.5 Mb, 18 TFRs/Mb) and 30 TFRs in the right ‘arm’ (2.3 Mb, 13 TFRs/Mb). In addition, *S. coelicolor* contains the SCP1 plasmid (356 kb), which includes three more TFRs.

Given the model TetR/TetA regulatory paradigm, we predicted that most of these TFRs regulate the expression of adjacent genes. We examined the genome context of the individual TFRs and divided them into three groups according to their orientation relative to neighboring genes. As shown in Figure 1A, one group is divergently oriented relative to a neighboring gene, like TetR. A second group (Figure 1B) is likely to be co-transcribed with an upstream or downstream neighbor. A small number of TFRs (eight in *S. coelicolor*, four each in *S. griseus* and *S. avermitilis*) have a divergent neighbor on one side and a probable co-transcribed neighbor on the other (included in the first group in Figure 1). The remaining TFRs do not have either of these relationships with the neighbors (Figure 1C). TFRs oriented divergently to their neighboring genes are most common in all three streptomycetes examined and comprise 67% (250 TFRs) of the total TFRs, while 15% (53 TFRs) and 18% (47 TFRs) of the TFRs are in the second and third group, respectively.

We investigated the TFRs of four organisms at various phylogenetic distances from *Streptomyces* – *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv (Actinobacteria, Gram-positive and high GC content, 49 TFRs), *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. subtilis str. 168 (Firmicutes, Gram-positive and low GC content, 18 TFRs), *P. aeruginosa* PA01 (Gammaproteobacteria, Pseudomonadaceae, Gram-negative and high GC content, 40 TFRs), and *E. coli* str. K-12 MG1655 (Gammaproteobacteria, Enterobacteraeaceae, Gram-negative and low GC content, 13 TFRs). In correlation with our analysis of the TFRs in the three streptomycetes, the divergent orientation is most frequent in these organisms, although it is less dominant in *B. subtilis* (9 TFRs, 50%) compared to the other three organisms (32 TFRs, or 65%, in *M. tuberculosis*; 27 TFRs, or 60%, in *P. aeruginosa*, and 10 TFRs, or 77%, in *E. coli*). This analysis suggests that in bacteria, most TFRs will be divergently oriented to their neighbors.

**Variable Features of TFRs and their Divergently Oriented Neighbors**

We investigated the relationship of the 250 TFRs having divergent neighbors from *S. coelicolor*, *S. griseus*, and *S. avermitilis*. First we explored the length of the DNA separating each TFR-encoding gene from its putative target (Table S1, note that the separation in bp is reported relatively to the genes’ translational start sites as the transcriptional start sites are unknown in the overwhelming majority of cases). As shown in Figure 2A, the length of this DNA varies from 0 bp to 1123 bp. However, most intergenic regions (198 of 250, or 79%) are ≤200 bp (Figure 2B). A similar pattern was observed in *P. aeruginosa* and *M. tuberculosis* with 74% (20 TFRs) and 73% (24 TFRs) of their respective TFRs having divergent neighbors less than 200 bp away from the adjacent open reading frames. On the other hand, the intergenic regions in this size range are less frequent in *B. subtilis* (5 TFRs, 56%) and *E. coli* (5 TFRs, 50%) although this may be exaggerated by the smaller sample size in these organisms.

We next analyzed the protein products encoded by the divergent neighboring genes using protein BLAST and Conserved Domain Search (CD-Search, discussed in [25]) (Table S1). As shown in Figure 3A, the predicted gene products include putative enzymes (154 of 250, or 62%), membrane proteins (61, or 24%), and other proteins such as transcriptional regulators (6, or 2%). The function of 29, or 12%, of the putative targets could not be predicted as they lack any known motif and/or have no BLAST hit with proteins of known function.

The predicted enzymes were further divided based on two criteria: the Enzyme Commission (EC) number to indicate the type of the chemical reactions they are predicted to catalyze [26] as well as any conserved domain they possess. As demonstrated in Figure 3A and Table S2, our analysis revealed that the 154 putative enzymes include members in all six known EC groups (i.e. EC 1 to EC 6). For example, 91 of the 154 putative enzymes are predicted to be oxidoreductases (EC 1). 51 of these have a conserved sequence of the Rossmann fold (NADB_Rossmann, c09931, in Table S2), which is characterized by the Gx3...GxxG motif [27] and known to be one of the three most common folds in the Protein Data Bank [28]. A large number of proteins containing the Rossmann fold bind to nucleotide cofactors such as FAD and NAD(P) and function as oxidoreductases such as lactate dehydrogenases and flavodoxins [29]. On the other hand, eight proteins are grouped in the acyl-CoA dehydrogenase superfamily (ACAD, c09933, in Table S2), known to be involved in a broad spectrum of primary and secondary metabolic processes such as the β-oxidation of fatty acids [30] and antibiotic biosynthesis [31].

Among the membrane proteins encoded by the putative target genes, 84% (51 of 61) are predicted to be transporters while the remainders contain putative transmembrane segments but lack any other predictive sequence motif (Table S2). While 26 of the transporters are predicted to belong to the major facilitator superfAMILY (MFS), the others belong to families such as the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) or resistance-nodulation-division (RND) transporter families.

Certain gene types such as EC 1 oxidoreductases (36%) and membrane proteins (24%) were found more frequently than others (e.g. EC 6 ligases, 2%, and EC 5 isomerases, 1%) (Figure 3A). There was no obvious correlation between the length of the
intergenic DNA and the type of divergent gene product (Figure 3B).

While two of the best characterized TFRs, TetR and QacR, are divergently oriented to target genes that encode efflux pumps [4,18], our analysis suggests that there is a much greater diversity in the possible targets regulated by TFRs and most of these genes do not encode export proteins.

**In vitro Analysis of Selected TFRs having Divergent Neighboring Genes**

To determine whether the length of the intergenic DNA or the putative function of the neighboring gene correlates with regulation by an adjacent TFR, we selected eight previously uncharacterized TFRs from *S. coelicolor* and *S. griseus* for molecular genetic analysis (Figure 2A and Table 1). We chose TFRs divergent to putative transporters (three MFS and one ABC-type

![Figure 1. Classification of TFRs according to their relative orientation to the neighboring genes.](image)

372 TFRs in *S. coelicolor* (SCO, 153 TFRs), *S. griseus* (SGR, 104 TFRs), and *S. avermitilis* (SAV, 115 TFRs) were divided into three groups according to their genome context to neighbors. (A) 250 TFRs (105 in SCO, 74 in SGR, 71 in SAV) are encoded divergently to their neighbors. Here, a TFR-encoding gene is located on the left side for visualization purpose, but the positions of this gene and its divergent neighbor are interchangeable. (B) 55 TFRs (22 in SCO, 13 in SGR, 20 in SAV) are likely co-transcribed with their upstream or downstream genes as the intergenic DNAs separating them are ≤35 bp. (C) 67 TFRs (26 in SCO, 17 in SGR, 24 in SAV) show neither of the two aforementioned orientations.

![Figure 2. Length of intergenic DNAs between TFRs and their divergent neighbors.](image)

(A) Each of the 250 TFRs having divergent neighbors in SCO, SGR, and SAV is represented as a dot with the value on y-axis indicating the length of the intergenic sequence between its own gene and divergent gene. On x-axis, the TFRs are placed in the order of their gene annotations along the length of the linear chromosomes (the host streptomyecete is stated below). The larger colored dots correspond to the TFRs investigated in this study (see Table 1 and text for details). A model TFR, TetR, is shown on the graph as a reference. Blue dots indicate the TFRs whose divergent neighbors encode putative membrane transporters, while the TFRs represented by red dots are adjacent to genes encoding putative enzymes. (B) The TFRs having divergent neighbors are grouped according to the range of their intergenic DNA length.

---

**Finding Target Genes for TetR Family Regulators**
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Table 1. Nine TFRs of interest and their divergent neighbors.

| TFR of interest | Predicted divergent gene product | Length of intergenic DNA |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| TFRs whose divergent genes encode putative transporters | | |
| ActR (SCO5082) | ActA (SCO5083, MFS) | 110 bp |
| SGR3979 | SGR3977/SGR3978 (ABC) | 144 bp |
| SCO3367 | SCO3366 (MFS) | 158 bp |
| SGR5269 | SGR5270 (MFS) | 212 bp |
| SGR3402 | SGR3403 (MFS) | 601 bp |
| TFRs whose divergent genes encode putative enzymes | | |
| SCO4099 | SCO4098 (Acyltransferase, EC 2) | 139 bp |
| SCO7222 | SCO7223 (Monooxygenase, EC 1) | 146 bp |
| SGR6912 | SGR6911 (Glycosyl hydrolase, EC 3) | 280 bp |
| AtrA (SCO4118) | SCO4119 (NADH dehydrogenase, EC 1) | 425 bp |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050562.t001

transporters) or enzymes (two EC 1 oxidoreductases, one EC 2 transferase, and one EC 3 hydrolase) with intergenic DNAs of varying lengths (139 bp to 601 bp). In addition, ActR (SCO5082) from *S. coelicolor* was used as a well-characterized control [15,16,32]. The coding sequences of these proteins were amplified, subcloned, and expressed in *E. coli* such that they could be purified via His₆-tags.

We conducted electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) to determine whether the nine TFRs bound their respective intergenic DNAs. As shown in Figure 4A and B, ActR (intergenic DNA = 110 bp) and SCO4099 (139 bp) formed tight complexes with their cognate intergenic sequences. Although the numbers of protein-DNA complexes – consistent with the number of discrete binding sites – detected for ActR (three complexes) and SCO4099 (one complex) were different, the mobility shifts were observed at the protein concentrations as low as 0.2 nM and 6.25 nM, respectively. Similar observations were made with SGR3979 (144 bp), SCO7222 (146 bp), SCO3367 (158 bp), and SGR5269 (212 bp) (Figure S1A), all of which have intergenic sequences close to or smaller than 200 bp. We used competition assays to confirm that the interactions of SCO4099 and SGR3979 with their cognate intergenic regions were specific (Figure S2). We have not determined the protein-DNA complexes – consistent with the number of discrete regions (Figure 5B). Two of the SCO7222 binding sites are located closer to its own gene, while the remaining site is closer to SCO7223. For SGR6912, the assays were conducted with both probes of the IGR<sub>SGR6911</sub> and IGR<sub>SGR6912</sub> sequences. Protection by SGR6912 was only observed with the IGR<sub>SGR6912</sub> probe (Figure 5C), indicating that the operators of this TFR are positioned more proximal to SGR6912.

SGR5269 behaved similarly to SGR6912 and only bound a single region (Figure S3A) adjacent to its own gene, while SCO3367 had two binding sites (Figure S3B) – one closer to SCO3366 and the other one closer to SCO3366. Both ActR and SCO4099 bound near or at the centre of their respective intergenic sequences although SCO4099 protected a much smaller region than ActR (Figure S3C and D). No footprint was obtained with AtrA (data not shown) although the previous EMSA experiments indicated that this TFR can bind its intergenic sequence (Figure S1B). Of note, most of the DNA protection patterns exhibited by these TFRs, except SCO3367, are dissimilar to what has been reported with TetR, which binds two distinct regions containing the tetR-proximal and tetA-proximal operators in order to regulate both genes [5].

Candidate operator sequences were identified within the regions protected by the seven TFRs with successful footprints, and they correlated well with the numbers of protein-DNA complexes we had observed by EMSA (Table 2). For example, we have previously identified three perfect repeats of the consensus TGGAAAGCGCTTCGA in the SCO7222/SCO7223 intergenic region and predicted them to be operators for the SCO7223 gene promoter sequence [32]. All three repeats (Table 2) were found within the regions bound by SCO7222 (Figure 6), consistent with the three protein-DNA complexes this TFR formed with the intergenic sequence (Figure S1A). Similarly, the region protected...
by ActR had three weaker palindromes (Table 2 and Figure 6) containing a previously identified direct or inverted sequence of CCACCGTT [16,32], correlating well with the three shifts detected (Figure 4A).

The only exception was SGR6912, for which only one palindrome (Table 2) was identified within the protected region (Figure 6) in contrast to the two shifts detected with the IGR\textsubscript{SGR6912} probe by EMSA (Figure 4C). Interestingly, no effect was observed when this sequence was used in competition with the IGR\textsubscript{SGR6912} probe (data not shown), suggesting that this sequence does not contain all the nucleotides required for efficiently interacting with SGR6912. The actual operator might therefore consist of an extended sequence (at one or both ends) capable of binding two protein dimers, possibly in a cooperative manner. Of note, only a part of this putative operator sequence is conserved in the IGR\textsubscript{SGR6911} probe (missing the first three nucleotides of the putative operator shown in Table 2), within the region it overlaps with IGR\textsubscript{SGR6912}. This might explain the considerably lower affinity SGR6912 has for this probe (Figure 4D) compared to the IGR\textsubscript{SGR6912} probe (Figure 4C). Therefore, the lack of protection by SGR6912 on IGR\textsubscript{SGR6911} observed in footprinting assays is likely due to the weakness of this interaction and/or the fact that the putative SGR6912 binding site is interrupted at end of the IGR\textsubscript{SGR6911} probe (where optimal resolution of protected region was not possible).

Figure 3. Diverse product types encoded by the divergent neighboring genes. (A) The number of TFRs adjacent to each type of divergent gene products – sorted by enzymes in six groups (EC 1 to EC 6), membrane proteins, other proteins (e.g. transcriptional regulators), and proteins of unassigned function. (B) TFRs were grouped according to their divergent gene type and the percentage of TFRs having intergenic DNAs ≤200 bp for each group is shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050562.g003
Figure 4. ActR, SCO4099, and SGR6912 bind the intergenic DNAs between their own genes and divergent neighbors. EMSAs showing the interactions between (A) ActR and the entire sequence of the actR(act) intergenic region (IGR); (B) SCO4099 and the entire sequence of the SCO4098/SCO4099 IGR; (C) SGR6912 and the IGR$_{6912}$ probe that contains the 200 bp sequence upstream of the SGR6912 translational start (partial SGR6911/SGR6912 intergenic sequence); and (D) SGR6912 and the IGR$_{6911}$ probe that contains the 200 bp sequence upstream of the SGR6911 translational start site (partial SGR6911/SGR6912 intergenic sequence). The indicated concentrations of a TFR were incubated with a $^32$P-labeled DNA fragment containing the entire or partial intergenic sequence between the TFR-encoding gene and its divergent neighboring gene. Unbound DNA fragment is indicated by the bottom arrow (IGR, IGR$_{6911}$, or IGR$_{6912}$), while the shifts representing protein-DNA complexes are indicated by the upper arrows. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050562.g004

More importantly, the TFRs having shorter intergenic sequences (i.e. ActR, SCO4099, SGR3979, SCO7222, and SCO3367) tended to bind the operators located proximal to both the TFR gene and the putative target, or to bind proximally to the putative target (Figure 6). In contrast, it is evident that the two TFRs with larger intergenic sequences (i.e. SGR5269 and SGR6912) bind the operator sequences that are distal from the divergent genes (Figure 6). These results, combined with the observation that SGR3402 did not interact with its intergenic sequence (Figure S1C), suggest that the length of an intergenic sequence might be predictive of a regulatory relationship between a TFR and a divergently oriented gene.

Regulatory Activity of the TFRs on their Divergent Neighbors

To biologically assess the regulatory activity of the nine TFRs on their neighboring genes, we used a luxCDABE operon [33] to create transcriptional reporters. Two reporter plasmids were constructed for each TFR: a “promoter only” construct where the TFR gene was divergently transcribed neighboring gene (“without TFR” in Figure 7A) and a second reporter where the TFR gene was included (“with TFR” in Figure 7A).

To avoid interference from chromosomally encoded TFRs acting in trans, we introduced each of the reporters into a sequenced heterologous host. To choose an appropriate host for each reporter we used protein BLAST to identify a streptomycete involved in the biosynthesis of the actinorhodin [10].

As shown in Figure 7B to E and Figure S4, luminescence from the “promoter only” constructs was greater than that of the promoterless vector control (3-fold to 197-fold at t = 8 h) while growth rate was unchanged (data not shown). The promoters of the putative target genes were therefore all active in the heterologous species.

Compared to the “promoter only” constructs, three different outcomes were obtained when the cognate TFRs were expressed in cis. As expected, in the presence of ActR, luminescence from $\Delta$actAB was reduced 23-fold at t = 8 h (Figure 7B). This is consistent with the previous studies showing that ActR represses the actAB promoter [15,16] and it validates our reporter system. Similar results were observed when SCO7222 (72-fold reduction, Figure 7C), SGR3979 (47-fold reduction, Figure S4A), SCO3367 (83-fold reduction, Figure S4B), and SCO4099 (33-fold reduction, Figure S4C) were expressed in cis.

In contrast, AtrA appeared to enhance the lux expression by 4-fold compared to the “promoter only” construct (Figure 7D). These data suggest a role for AtrA in activating expression of its divergent neighboring gene SCO4119 (encoding a putative NADH dehydrogenase), and it is consistent with the previously documented effect of AtrA as a transcriptional activator. In previous work this protein was shown to positively regulate the expression of $\Delta z$II-ORF4, which in turn activates the expression of genes involved in the biosynthesis of the actinorhodin [10].

On the other hand, expression of SGR5269, SGR6912, and SGR3402 had no effect on luminescence as compared to their cognate “promoter only” constructs (Figure 7E, Figure S4D and E). One possibility for this observation is lack of the TFR expression in the heterologous host under the conditions tested. To rule out this possibility, we constructed reporters where lux
expression is driven by the promoter of the TFR itself. Luminescence from each of these reporters was above that of the vector control (data not shown). It could be speculated that these TFRs require ligands or co-regulator proteins to elicit activity and that these are not present; however, this is unlikely for SGR5269 and SGR6912 as they tightly bound their target DNAs in vitro without any addition of co-factor (Figure 4C and Figure S1A). Another possibility is that ligands of these TFRs are present in the selected host and they prevent the TFRs from binding the operators although this is unlikely for SGR3402 as it did not bind DNA in vitro without the presence of any added ligand (Figure S1C). We have not ruled out these possibilities, however, the most likely explanation is that SGR5269, SGR6912, and SGR3402 do not regulate their divergent neighboring genes – SGR5270, SGR6911, and SGR3403, respectively. Therefore, the interactions of SGR5269 and SGR6912 with their intergenic DNA sequences in vitro likely indicate that these TFRs are autoregulatory and do not act as repressors or activators of the promoters of their divergent neighbors.

These reporter assays underscore the correlation between the length of the intergenic sequence and the regulatory activity of TFRs observed in our in vitro data (Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure S1, and Figure S3). All five of the TFRs (ActR, SCO4099, SGR3979, SCO7222, and SCO3367) with the intergenic sequences <200 bp repressed the promoters of their divergently oriented neighboring genes, like TetR. On the other hand, three (SGR5269, SGR6912, and SGR3402) of the four TFRs with the intergenic sequences >200 bp did not display any regulatory activity on their divergently transcribed neighboring genes while the fourth TFR, AtrA, activated expression.

No correlation was observed between the biochemical activity of the divergent gene product and the regulatory role of the adjacent TFR. ActR, SGR3979, and SCO3367 control expression of the genes encoding putative enzyme while SCO4099, SCO7222, and AtrA control expression of the genes encoding putative enzymes. These data suggest that the physiological processes under the regulation of TFRs include a great diversity...
Discussion

The majority of the genes encoding TFRs (67%) in S. coelicolor, S. griseus, and S. avermitilis are transcribed divergently to an adjacent gene. The lengths of the intergenic DNA sequences separating the two genes are highly variable however, in most cases the separation is less than 200 bp. Our data suggest that those TFRs having intergenic DNAs \(<200 \text{ bp}\) are, in most or all cases, likely to be repressors of the divergent genes. As evidence for this, we have confirmed that ActR is a repressor of actAB and also demonstrated repression of SCO4098, SGR3978, SCO7223, and SCO3367 by their cognate divergent TFRs – SCO4099, SGR3979, SCO7222, and SCO3367, respectively. Consistent with our analysis, many previously characterized TFRs obey this ‘200 bp’ rule, including EbrR (intergenic DNA = 65 bp), EthR (75 bp), TetR (81 bp), SimR (138 bp), DesT (158 bp), QacR (177 bp), XdhR (188 bp), and LanK (190 bp) [4,18,34,35,36,37,38,39]. The prediction that such TFRs with intergenic sequences \(<200 \text{ bp}\) will regulate adjacent genes is important because it means that at least one transcriptional target gene can be identified for more than half of all TFRs in the public.

Table 2. Putative operator sequences of the seven TFRs with successful footprints.

| TFR       | Number of shifts observed by EMSA | Putative operator sequence*          |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ActR      | 3                                 | GAACGGCCACCGTTT                      |
|           |                                   | CCGGACACCGTCCAT                      |
|           |                                   | AGAACGGTGTCGGTCG                     |
| SGR3979   | 2                                 | TCGTAATGC7ACGCA                      |
|           |                                   | GCCTAGTGCACGCA                       |
| SCO3367   | 2                                 | ACTTGACCGCCCCGGCTAGT                 |
|           |                                   | AATGGCCGGGGCCCAAGTT                  |
| SGR5269   | 2                                 | TGCGCATGTCGGCAA                      |
|           |                                   | TGCCCATGTCGGCA                       |
| SCO4099   | 1                                 | CACCTGTGTCGACTAGT                    |
| SCO7222   | 3                                 | TGAGACGTGGTCGA                       |
|           |                                   | TGAAACACGTGGCA                       |
| SGR6912   | 2                                 | ACTAACACACTAGT                       |

*The palindromic nucleotides are italicized, while the repeated nucleotides are underlined.

Figure 6. Seven TFRs bind different regions in the intergenic DNAs relative to their divergent neighbors. Solid black horizontal lines represent the intergenic DNAs, while red horizontal lines indicate the regions protected by the TFRs, on one or both strands of the DNAs. Putative operators of the TFRs were identified through sequence analysis of the protected regions, and their positions are indicated by blue horizontal lines. These lines are oriented such that all of the TFR-encoding genes are located on the left side while their divergent neighboring genes are located on the right side. Dashed vertical line represents the center of the intergenic DNAs.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050562.g006
The regulatory prediction is less reliable for TFRs that are separated from divergent neighboring genes by 200 bp; however, it is worth pointing out that our data do not rule out a classical, TetR-like regulatory relationship for these proteins and indeed, exceptions are known. For example, AtuR in *P. aeruginosa*, BpeR in *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, and Mce3R in *M. tuberculosis* are all TetR-like repressors of divergent neighbors where the intergenic sequences are 280 bp, 409 bp, and 898 bp, respectively [40,41,42].

Surprisingly, while most previously characterized TFRs control the expression of export pumps, we find that most of the divergent genes encode putative enzymes: membrane-associated export proteins such as MFS (e.g. ActA and SCO3367) and ABC pumps (e.g. SGR3978) constitute less than 25% of the divergent gene product that obey the ‘200 bp’ rule. Importantly, the TFRs are in most or all cases repressors of the divergent enzyme-encoding genes. The variety of protein products of these genes is enormous and encompasses all known classifications of enzymes such as EC 1 oxidoreductases (e.g. SCO7223) and EC 2 transferases (e.g. SCO4098). It is likely

**Figure 7. ActR, SCO7222, AtrA, and SGR6912 possess different regulatory effects on the divergent neighbors.** (A) Two reporter plasmids were constructed for each TFR. For these plasmids, expression of the lux operon is driven by the promoter of the divergently transcribed neighboring gene in the absence (Without TFR) or in the presence (With TFR) of the TFR-encoding gene in cis. These two plasmids were introduced separately into a heterologous *Streptomyces* host for comparing their bioluminescence production as a function of time. Average bioluminescence values, measured in counts per second (cps), as well as ±/± standard deviation of the values were obtained from at least three independent readings. Compared to the “Without TFR” constructs, three different outcomes were displayed when the cognate TFRs were expressed in cis. (B) Negative effect on luminescence by ActR. (C) Negative effect on luminescence by SCO7222. (D) Positive effect on luminescence by AtrA. (E) No effect on luminescence by SGR6912.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050562.g007
that some of these enzymes are involved in resistance mechanisms for antibiotics or other toxic molecules; however, we suggest that in many cases the biological roles are metabolic in nature. Indeed, of the predicted targets in Table S2, the ‘knowns’ have predicted catalytic mechanisms, but their biochemical and biological roles are completely unknown.

An emerging paradigm suggests that in many cases the small-molecule ligands of TFRs are related or identical to the substrate of the target gene product. Thus, identifying ligands for TFRs of unknown function promises to provide important biochemical and biological insights into these target genes. This idea has led us to create a relational framework, using phylogenetic methods, which describes and organizes the TFR sequence diversity that exists in the current genome database (Cuthbertson, Ahn and Nodwell, manuscript submitted). Our evidence suggests that this framework provides reliable predictions concerning the ligands for hundreds of TFRs based on their sequence homology. Therefore, the combined use of the predictive tools that we have developed for identifying target genes and ligands for TFRs will provide considerable benefit in understanding the biological roles of this important family of transcriptional regulators.

Materials and Methods

Genomic and Bioinformatic Analysis of TFRs

TFRs were identified using protein BLAST (blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) with the consensus sequence for Hidden Markov Model (HMM) Pfam PF00440 (TetR_N). The genome context of individual TFRs was analyzed at StrepDB (streptomyces.org.uk) and National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), and each TFR was placed in three groups depending on their orientation to neighboring genes. TFRs divergently oriented to their immediate neighboring genes – regardless of the length of intergenic sequences between them – were placed in the first group. The second group contains TFRs that are predicted to be co-transcribed with their upstream and/or downstream genes when separated 35 bp or less, while the members in the last group lack the aforementioned relationships with the adjacent genes. The protein products of the divergent neighboring genes were analyzed using protein BLAST as well as NCBI CD-Search to predict their functions.

Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Culture Conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Table 4 and Table S3, respectively. E. coli cultures were grown as previously described [43], using Luria broth (LB) or LB agar medium containing the appropriate antibiotics when required. Streptomyces cultures were grown as previously described [44] using MS, R2YE, R5, and MYMTap [36] media.

Procedures for DNA Manipulation

Standard procedures were used for plasmid isolation, manipulation, and analysis [43]. Oligonucleotide primers were obtained from the Institute for Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (MOBIX) facility at McMaster University or from Sigma-Aldrich. Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were carried out using Vent DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). DNA sequencing was carried out by the MOBIX facility to select/isolate the appropriate PCR products.

Expression and Purification of His$_6$-tagged TFRs

Previously prepared pET28a-AActR [16] and pTO7222 [32] were used to express and purify N-terminal His$_6$-tagged ActR and SCO7222, respectively from E. coli. Similarly, S. coelicolor and S. griseus chromosomal DNAs were used as templates to PCR amplify the DNA fragments containing the SCO3367, SCO4099, atrA (SCO4118), SGR3402, SGR3979, SGR3978, and SGR6912 open reading frames which were introduced separately into pET28a, giving pET28a-SCO3367, pET28a-SCO4099, pET28a-AtraA, pET28a-SCG3402, pET28a-SGR3979, pET28a-SGR5269, or pET28a-SGR6912, respectively (Table S3).

E. coli BL21(DE3) cultures containing individual vectors were grown at 37°C to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.4–0.6 and TFR expression was induced through addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 3 to 5 hours at 37°C. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 2,700 x g for 15 min at 4°C in the Sorvall SLA-3000 rotor and lysed using the BugBuster reagent (Novagen). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 17,200 x g for 1 h at 4°C in the Sorvall SS-34 rotor and filtered through a 0.45 μm filter to remove smaller debris and insoluble protein. 4 mL of QIAVEN Ni-NTA agarose solution was added to the filtered lysate and the mixture was allowed to incubate for 1 h at 4°C with gentle shaking. The column was washed with buffer A (50 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 M imidazole) and eluted in buffer B (50 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 M imidazole). Elution fractions were monitored by SDS-PAGE. Fractions containing a TFR were pooled and exchanged into buffer C (20 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 0.5 M NaCl, 20% v/v glycerol). The desalted protein was concentrated using an Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter (10,000 MWCO; Millipore).

EMSAs

S. coelicolor and S. griseus chromosomal DNA templates were used in PCR reactions to isolate double-stranded DNA fragments containing the intergenic sequences – between actR (SCO5082) and actA (SCO5083); SCO7222 and SCO7223; SCO3366 and SCO3367; SGR3978 and SGR3979; SGR5269 and SGR5270 – which served as the probes for ActR, SCO7222, SCO3367, SGR3979, and SGR5269, respectively in the assays. The probes for AtraA, SGR3402, and SGR6912 were prepared by obtaining the DNA fragments (148 bp to 200 bp in lengths) containing different regions within their intergenic sequences – between atrA (SCO4118) and SCO4119; SGR3402 and SGR3403; SGR6911 and SGR6912 respectively – with partially overlapped ends. The DNA
sequences were 5’-end labeled using [γ-32P] ATP (PerkinElmer) and T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs).

A labeled probe (1 ng), varying amounts of a purified protein, and 90 ng of salmon sperm DNA (Sigma-Aldrich) were used in 15 μl reactions containing 1x EMSA reaction buffer, varying amounts of a purified protein, and 90 ng of salmon sperm DNA (Sigma-Aldrich) were used in 40 μl reactions containing 1x EMSA reaction buffer. After the reactions were incubated at 30°C for 10 minutes and were fractionated on 12% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels containing 1.5% glycerol. The gels were exposed using a phosphor screen (Amersham) and bands were detected using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics).

DNase I Footprinting Assays

The same pairs of primers to amplify the intergenic sequences in the previous EMSAs were used for DNase I footprinting. The probes in the assays were prepared by PCR using one unlabeled primer and one 5’-end labeled primer (using [γ-32P] ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase). 150,000 cpm of a labeled DNA probe, varying amounts of a purified protein, and 90 ng of salmon sperm DNA (Sigma-Aldrich) were used in 40 μl reactions containing 1x EMSA reaction buffer. After the reactions were incubated at 30°C for 10 minutes, 10 μl DNase I solution (1 U in 10 mM CaCl2) was added. The incubation was continued for 60 seconds at room temperature and reactions were stopped by adding 140 μl DNase I stop solution (200 mM NaCl, 30 mM EDTA, and 1% SDS). The digested samples were then precipitated with ethanol and resuspended in 5 μl Stop Solution from Thermosequenase Cycle Sequencing Kit (USB): 95% formamide, 20 μM EDTA, 0.05% bromophenol blue, 0.05% xylene cyanol). Samples were heated at 80°C for 3 minutes, cooled on ice, and separated on 8% polyacrylamide/7 M urea sequencing gels. Dried gels were exposed using a phosphor screen (Bio-Rad) and bands were detected using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics). Sequencing ladders were prepared using Thermosequenase Cycle Sequencing Kit (USB).

Construction of Lux-based Reporter Plasmids and Bioluminescence Measurements

Two reporter plasmids were constructed for each TFR of interest (Table S3). For the first, a DNA fragment containing the intergenic sequence between a TFR of interest and its divergent neighbor gene was cloned into pMU1* [33] in an orientation such that lux expression was driven by the promoter of the divergent neighbor (Figure 7A). The second construct had a DNA fragment containing the TFR gene as well as its intergenic sequence introduced to pMU1* in the same orientation as the first. In this construct, the TFR gene was transcribed by its natural promoter in the opposite direction to the lux operon (Figure 7A).

Host organisms for the reporters were designated by using protein BLAST to identify a streptomycete that does not possess any possible ortholog of the selected TFR (at least 40% identity in the amino acid sequence with at least 75% query coverage). 2×107 colony forming units of the Streptomyces reporter spores were inoculated and grown for 16 hours to 20 hours. The overnight grown cells were then subcultured to set the starting OD (OD650 for S. coelicolor and OD650 for the other streptomycete) at 0.05 (t=0), and the cultures were measured for bioluminescence and OD every hour using VICTOR™ X Light 2030 luminescence reader (PerkinElmer) and Epoch microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek), respectively.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 SGR3979, SCO7222, SCO3367, SGR5269, and AtrA bind their intergenic DNAs, while SGR3402 does not. (A) The indicated concentrations of SGR3979, SCO7222, SCO3367, or SGR5269 were incubated with a DNA fragment containing the entire sequence of the SGR3979, SCO7222/SCO3367, SCO3367, or SGR5269/SGR5270 intergenic region. Unbound DNA is indicated by the bottom arrow (JGR), while the shifts representing protein-DNA complexes are indicated by the upper arrows. (B) Three probes for AtrA (IGR SCO4117, SCO4121, and SCO4122) were incubated with the indicated concentrations of AtrA. (C) Four probes for SGR3402 (IGR SCO3212, 180 bp; IGR SCO3213, 180 bp; IGR SCO3214, 180 bp; and IGR SCO3215, 148 bp) were incubated with the indicated concentrations of AtrA.

Figure S2 The interactions of SCO4099 and SGR3979 with their cognate intergenic sequences are specific. (A) Gel mobility shift assays using 12.5 nM SCO4099. C (control), SCO4099 and labeled SCO4098/SCO4099 intergenic probe; lanes 1 to 3, SCO4099 and labeled intergenic probe with 1x (lane 1), 10x (lane 2), or 100x (lane 3) unlabeled intergenic probe; lanes 4 to 6, SCO4099 and labeled intergenic probe with 1x (lane 4), 10x (lane 5), or 100x (lane 6) unlabeled intergenic probe.
(lane 5), or 100x (lane 6) unlabeled non-specific control DNA (here, the intergenic sequence for SGR3979 was used due to its similar length to the SCO4099 intergenic sequence). (B) Gel mobility shift assays using 12.5 nM SGR3979. C (control), SGR3979 and labeled SGR3979/SGR3979 intergenic probe; lanes 1 to 3, SGR3979 and labeled intergenic probe with 1x (lane 1), 10x (lane 2), or 100x (lane 3) unlabeled intergenic probe; lanes 4 to 6, SGR3979 and labeled intergenic probe with 1x (lane 4), 10x (lane 5), or 100x (lane 6) unlabeled non-specific control DNA (here, the intergenic sequence for SCO4099 was used).

(TIF)

Figure S3 SGR5269, SCO3367, ActR, and SCO4099 show different protection patterns on their cognate intergenic sequences. A DNA fragment containing the entire sequence of the SGR5269/SGR5270, SCO3366/SCO3367, actR/actA, or SCO4096/SCO4099 intergenic region was exposed to DNase I in the presence of the indicated concentrations of the cognate TFR: (A) SGR5269, (B) SCO3367, (C) ActR, or (D) SCO4099. Two sequencing gels are shown for each TFR. For the left gel of each TFR, the primer that was extended toward the divergent neighboring region was labeled at 5' (lane 5), or 100x (lane 6) unlabeled non-specific control DNA (here, the intergenic sequence for SCO4099 was used).

(TIF)

Figure S4 SGR3979, SCO3367, and SCO4099 repress their divergent targets, while SGR5269 and SGR3402 do not show any regulatory activity. Compared to the cognate “Without TFR” constructs, (A) SGR3979, (B) SCO3367, and (C) SCO4099 had a negative effect on luminescence when expressed in cis, while (D) SGR3269 and (E) SGR3402 had no effect. Average bioluminescence values, measured in cps, as well as +/− standard deviation of the values were obtained from at least three independent readings.

(TIF)

Table S1 Analysis of the TFRs having divergent neighbors. (PDF)

Table S2 Types of protein products encoded by the divergent neighboring genes. (PDF)

Table S3 Plasmids used in this work. (PDF)
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