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Recently there have been significant interests about fabrication of optical nanopore with its diameter range of 5 nm to 10 nm for single molecule analysis and manipulation. However, due to very small amount of the optical intensity through the tiny size of the nano-aperture much smaller than the optical wavelength, the optical intensity enhancement via plasmonic effect by using pore array or periodic groove patterns have been tried. Also, the double slits with nanoscale width are reported to provide the constructive periodic modulation for the transverse-magnetic (TM) wave mode. In this report, the nanoscale double slit with an Au aperture array have been fabricated and optically characterized.

We have also fabricated the optical nanopore with its diameter ranging from 10 nm to 3 nm on the on the flat Au thin membrane by using various surface modifications.20–24 Au-aperture with its diameter ranging from 50 nm to 100 nm was initially drilled by 30 keV Ga focused ion beam technique (FIB), then by using various electron beam irradiations such as field emission electron beam microscopy (FESEM) and transmission electron beam microscopy (TEM), a nanopore with its diameter of 5 nm was formed on the diffused membrane inside the FIB-drilled Au aperture. Optical intensity measurements did not reveal any differences between through the nano-aperture without a diffused Au–C membrane, and through the nano-aperture with a diffused membrane. This can be attributed to the diffused membrane thickness below the Au skin depth (∼20 nm), and low Au atomic concentration (∼50%) on the membrane.25–28

Classical double slits with micron size slit width would provide the far field interference patterns, regardless of the polarization states. However, for the nanoscale double slits, the surface plasmonic wave from the nanoscale slits can provide the periodic interference phenomena for the TM wave between the nanoscale slits, which reduces or enhances the intensity of the far-field.21–23 When the incident light is TM-polarized, electric field aligned perpendicular to the slits, the surface plasmon wave that is excited at one of the slits propagates towards its other slit. For the case of TM polarization, the transmission via slits is seen to be sinusoidally modulated as a function of wave number, and the modulation period becomes inversely proportional to the slit separation. For a TE (transverse electric)-polarized incident beam there will be no modulation. There will be three possible types of physical mechanisms; first, the slits transmit part of the incident radiation, together giving rise to a conventional Young-type interference pattern. Second, each slit scatters part of the incident radiation into a plasmonic channel, bridging the momentum gap between the surface plasmon and free-space radiation. Third, each slit provides a mechanism for back-converting a surface plasmon into free-space radiation. Hence, the strength of each of these sources is enhanced or reduced due to the interference of a photonic and a plasmonic channel. With proper controlling the pitch of the aperture and the nanoscale slits separation gap, the enhanced optical intensity would be obtained. In this report, fabrication of the nano-pore platform which consists of Au nano-aperture array sideline with the nanoscale double slits will be presented.

Experimental

Fabrication of plasmonic nanopore platform and its optical characterization.—Initially, the ∼200 nm Au thin films were fabricated portable nanopore device with an electrical detection method of the secretome and the transfection of a single cell via a solid-state nanopore is well documented.9 About 60 years ago, the biological cell counter with an electrical detection technique was invented by Dr. Coulter. Due to fast developing nanofabrication technology, the smaller nanobio device for single molecule detection has been tested and manufactured.4 Various fabrication techniques; physical drilling either by using a focused ion beam technique, or by a focused electron beam technique for a single nanometer size pore, and a controlled dielectric breakdown technique for relatively large nanopore of a ∼40 nm diameter are well documented.5,6 Microfabrication of nanopore for an electrical detection technique has been also reported using Si chemical etching techniques.7,8 The electrical detection method of the secretome and the transfection of a single cell via a solid-state nanopore is well documented.9–11

Byung Seong Bae3

Manuscript submitted September 18, 2019; revised manuscript received December 8, 2019. Published January 2, 2020.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published on behalf of The Electrochemical Society by IOP Publishing Limited. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse of the work in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. [DOI:10.1149/1945-7111/ab615e]
deposited on the 5 nm thick SiN commercial TEM grids (www.temwindows.com), followed by dry etching of supporting the SiN membrane. Then, drilling for Au aperture array with its diameter of ∼200 nm was followed by using focused Ga ion beam technique (Dual beam Helios, FEI). The nanoscale slits with (∼170 nm wide and ∼5 mm long) size were drilled, and electron beam irradiations were carried out by using as transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEM-2010, JEM-2100F and JEM-3011HR) and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, JSM 6400) were utilized. TEM can provide high electron voltages ranging from 100 keV to 300 keV and the currents with ∼order of 100 pA, while FESEM (Dual Beam Helios, FEI) will provide the probe current of 1.4 nA nm⁻² ranging from 2 keV to 20 keV.

Then, its optical characterization was carried out by using a white light using Halogen lamp installed at Nikon TE eclipse microscope with a spectrometer (SpectraPro 2300i (150 g mm⁻¹), Princeton Instruments).

**Experimental results.**—Figure 1 presents the large circular aperture with a 15 μm diameter for a calibration of the optical beam (top left), and a single slit with a (∼170 nm wide x ∼5 μm long) × (a). Double slits with double slits with 5 μm separation (b), 10 μm separation (c), 15 μm separation (d), and 20 μm separation (e).

Figure 2 presents TEM images of the 5 μm long slit, and diffused Au particles due to the thermal spike effect during the FIB drilling procedure (b), and an Au nanoparticle with a 2.862 nm width for 10 atomic spacing is presented. An electron beam profile through the ∼170 nm wide gap of the slit on the electron beam detector is also shown (c).
higher than that (0.1004) with a 10 μm pitch. The optical ratio (0.1694) from the sample with a 15 μm pitch is also presented in Table I. The optical intensity ratio is defined as the ratio of the free space input intensity to the output intensity. Highest enhancement factor of 1.73 at 713 nm is presented for (7 × 7) array with a 530 nm pitch, and the enhancement factors of less than 0.5 from the entire wavelength ranging from 550 nm to 800 nm are shown for the (7 × 7) array with 780 nm pitch and 1060 nm pitch in Fig. 5(a). Figure 5(b) presents the enhancement factor of 8.36 at 719 nm peak for the (7 × 7) array with 530 nm pitch, and the enhancement factor less than 2 for the entire wavelength regime ranging from 550 nm to 800 nm. The enhancement increase at the peak from 1.72 to 8.36 can be attributed to the nanoscale slits effect from additional constructive interferences between the surface plasmonic wave arising from the slits and the surface plasmon wave from the (7 × 7) aperture array.

Results and Discussion

We have fabricated the nanopore platform with nano-aperture array and nanoscale double slits dependent upon the pitch between two slits. For nanoscale double slits without a nano-aperture array, at a 5 μm pitch and 15 μm pitch, the optical intensities are measured to be greater than that for a single slit, due to the constructive interference of the surface plasmon wave from the slits. At a 10 μm pitch and 20 μm pitch, the optical intensities are found to be weaker than that even for the single slit due to destructive interference from the slits. These observations are agreeable with previous experiments by others.

For the (7 × 7) nano-aperture array without nanoscale double slits, the highest optical enhancement factor of 1.73 at the 713 nm peak position is presented for the (7 × 7) array with a 530 nm aperture-pitch, among the nano-aperture array with aperture-pitches of 530 nm, 780 nm, and 1060 nm. For the aperture array sidelined with nanoscale double slits, the enhancement factor of 8.36 at 719 nm peak for the (7 × 7) array with a 530 nm aperture-pitch is observed. The huge enhancement factor increase at the peak position from 1.72 to 8.36 can be attributed to the constructive interferences from the nanoscale double slits, in addition to the surface plasmon resonances among the nano-aperture array.

Table I. Please note that the normalized optical intensities for 10 μm pitch, 15 μm pitch and 20 μm pitch are measured to be lower than that of a single slit. In addition, the normalized optical intensity (0.3149) for 5 μm pitch is greater than those for a single slit, and 10 μm pitch, 15 μm pitch and 20 μm pitch. Furthermore, the optical intensities for 5 μm pitch and 10 μm pitch become reduced from 0.3149 to 0.1004, then become increased from 0.1004 to 0.1694. The optical intensities seem to oscillate periodically as the pitches become greater.

| Sample name | Pitch   | Total area (μm²) | Integrated intensity | Ratio(I/I₀) |
|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 21-20-2     | Calibration hole | 185.78      | 886.847.2          | 1.0000      |
| 6-10-1-A    | Single slit    | 0.87           | 227.171.8          | 0.2562      |
| 6-10-1-C    | 5.07 μm        | 1.75           | 279.288.9          | 0.3149      |
| 6-10-1-E    | 10.14 μm       | 1.75           | 88.999.0           | 0.1004      |
| 6-10-1-G    | 15.19 μm       | 1.75           | 150.213.9          | 0.1694      |
| 6-10-1-I    | 20.30 μm       | 1.75           | 62.952.3           | 0.0710      |

We have fabricated the nano-aperture array with nanoscale double slits, in addition to the nanoscale double slits. For nanoscale double slits, we observed the highest optical intensity at slits...
separations of 5 μm, and higher intensities at the 15 μm slit-pitch than others due to surface plasmonic interference. For nano-aperture (7 × 7) array with a 530 nm aperture -pitch sidelined with the nanoscale double slits, the highest enhancement factor of ∼8.4 at 719 nm peak position is obtained. The nanoscale aperture platform with huge optical enhancements can be utilized as a next generation bio sensor device.
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