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ABSTRACT

The past two decades have seen a significant advancement in the detection, classification and understanding of exoplanets and binaries. This is due, in large part, to the increase in use of small-aperture telescopes (< 20 cm) to survey large areas of the sky to milli-mag precision with rapid cadence. The vast majority of the planetary and binary systems studied to date consist of main-sequence or evolved objects, leading to a dearth of knowledge of properties at early times (< 50 Myr). Only a dozen binaries and one candidate transiting Hot Jupiter are known among pre-main sequence objects, yet these are the systems that can provide the best constraints on stellar formation and planetary migration models. The deficiency in the number of well-characterized systems is driven by the inherent and aperiodic variability found in pre-main-sequence objects, which can mask and mimic eclipse signals. Hence, a dramatic increase in the number of young systems with high-quality observations is highly desirable to guide further theoretical developments. We have recently completed a photometric survey of 3 nearby (< 150 pc) and young (< 50 Myr) moving groups with a small aperture telescope. While our survey reached the requisite photometric precision, the temporal coverage was insufficient to detect Hot Jupiters. Nevertheless, we discovered 346 pre-main-sequence binary candidates, including 74 high-priority objects for further study.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first planet to be detected using the transit method (Charbonneau et al. 2000; Henry et al. 2000) sparked an interest to use the technique on a massive scale. Over the past seven years, Kepler and CoRoT have dominated the field of transiting exoplanet detection, with Kepler alone yielding 962 confirmed planets and nearly another 3786 candidates (Exoplanet Orbit Database. Baglin et al. 2006; Borucki et al., 2010). The rush to detect and categorize numerous planetary candidates has led to the discovery of many unexpected objects whose origins have yet to be fully explained. Of particular interest are “Hot Jupiters” (hereafter, HJs), which are among the first extra-solar planets (hereafter, exoplanets) to be detected (Mayor & Queloz 1995). Their large mass (close to that of Jupiter, ~ 300M⊕) and short orbital periods (P < 10 d) present a challenge to the extant theories of planet formation, many of which were based on the properties of our own solar system. The leading hypothesis to explain the existence of HJs is that they formed beyond the “snow line” of their proto-planetary disks (> 4 AU for a Sun-like star) before migrating inwards (Ida & Lin 2008). However, the timescale for this process has yet to be determined observationally or fully explained theoretically.

Few wide-field, exoplanet surveys have focused on distinct stellar groups or regions for specific science objectives. The second/current phase of the Kepler mission (called K2) has a wide variety of science goals including the study of young stars and exoplanets, AGN variability, asteroseismology and supernovae (Howell et al. 2014). In contrast, most ongoing ground-based surveys (such as HAT, KELT and WASP; Bakos et al. 2002; Pepper et al. 2007; Pollacco et al. 2006) target individual bright stars over the entire sky. A dedicated search of young stellar associations is necessary to fully chronicle the formation and migration of HJs.

An excellent understanding of circumstellar disk formation, accretion and dissipation is critical to establish the timescales for planet formation and migration. Yet, the failure to discover authentic HJs around pre-main-sequence stars (hereafter, PMS) is in sharp contrast with the expectations from migration models (Yu et al. 2015). The expectation from these models is that planet migration would occur in < 10 Myr given the time it takes for a typical planetary disk to form, accrete and dissipate (Mamajek 2009). While some theorists have suggested an in-situ formation for these objects, they have yet to fully explain how they could retain their primary atmosphere in the warmer environment in front of the snow line (Ida & Lin 2008; Batygin et al. 2015). Planet scattering is also supported by the wide range of misaligned stellar-spin orbit angles observed among known HJs (Anderson 2016). In this scenario, a large Jupiter-sized planet forms with a similar mass outer com-
of the CCD showed a dark current of 0.2 e\(^{-}\) s\(^{-1}\) cooled down to pixel tempera-
ture of \(\sim 70^\circ\) C relative to ambient. The optics include a Mamiya photographic 300 mm lens with a Hoya UV and IR cut filter to restrict the wavelength range to 0.4-0.7 \(\mu\)m. The effective aperture size of the telescope is 53.6 mm and the total throughput of the system is near 45%. The pixel scale of the detector is 6.2\(''/\text{pix}\), leading to a total field of view (hereafter, FoV) of \(\sim 50\) sq. deg. The telescope was installed at the Estación Astrofísica de Bosque Alegre (hereafter, EABA) as part of an ongoing collaboration with the Universidad Nacional de Córdoba which owns and operates the site.

EABA is a research and outreach observatory located at 31.412\(^\circ\) S, 64.489\(^\circ\) W at an altitude of 1350 m, \(\sim 50\) km from the city of Córdoba, province of Córdoba, Argentina. Nearly all observations were carried out remotely from the Mitchell Institute of Fundamental Physics and Astronomy at Texas A&M University in College Station, Texas. Logistical support for the instrument was provided by staff members of the Instituto de Astronomía Teórica y Experimental, Observatorio de Córdoba, and EABA.

2.2. Targets and Observations

We targeted three young stellar associations to maximize the science return from our study: IC 2391 \((\alpha = 8^h40^m, \delta = -53^\circ)\), the \(\eta\) Chamaeleontis cluster \((\eta\) Cha, \(\alpha = 8^h45^m, \delta = -79^\circ)\), and the Upper Scorpius association \((\text{USco}, \alpha = 16^h, \delta = -24.5^\circ)\). Given the spread in R.A. between IC 2391 and USco and the circumpolar nature of \(\eta\) Cha, we were able to make an efficient use of the camera all year long.

The USco association is a subsection of the larger Scorpius-Centaurus association and it is the nearest OB association to the Sun \((\text{Preibisch et al. 2002})\). At a distance of 145 pc and a mean age of 11 ± 1 ± 2 Myr \((\text{Pecaut et al. 2012})\) it is an excellent candidate for our survey. This association was chosen specifically for its large O/B/A membership \((\sim 100\) confirmed members) which implies thousands of unconfirmed low-mass members \((\text{Rizzuto et al. 2015})\).

IC 2391 is a large, loose, young open cluster in Vela. Measurements of the main-sequence turn off and the lithium depletion boundary suggest an age of 30-50 Myr, while \textit{Hipparcos} and Tycho-2 data led \((\text{Reipurth 2008})\) to determine the distance to this cluster to be 147 ± 5 pc. This association was chosen because of its older population; we may expect to see transiting HJs if migration timescales are constrained by disk dissipation.

Lastly, \(\eta\) Cha is a cluster located at a distance of 97 pc with confirmed stellar ages between 2 – 18 Myr \((\text{Mamajek et al. 1999})\). \(\eta\) Cha provides a variety of stellar ages to probe planetary formation, has a high number of confirmed T-Tauri stars and was chosen to ensure we could observe at least one target all year.

2. SURVEY DETAILS

2.1. Instrument

Our survey instrument, nicknamed AggieCam, consists of an Apogee Alta F16M camera with a 4096 \times 4096 pixel Kodak KAD-16083 CCD that is thermoelectrically cooled down to \(6^\circ\)T = \(-45^\circ\) C relative to ambient. Testing of the CCD showed a dark current of 0.2 e\(^{-}\)/pix/s at

---

### TABLE 1

**Observation Log**

| Young Stellar Association | Center of the Master Frame | Number of useful hours | Number of baseline days | Number of stars in the master frame |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| USco                     | 16:05:42.4 -24:25:59      | 97.8                   | 435                    | 104845                            |
| IC 2391                  | 08:38:37.6 -53:16:31      | 36.7                   | 169                    | 108964                            |
| \(\eta\) Cha             | 08:43:48.0 -79:02:07      | 75.6                   | 320                    | 81046                             |
Fig. 1.— Left: The sky background from EABA during the survey in mag/arcsec$^2$. The site has generally dark observing conditions especially when the moon phase is $< 0.5$. Top Right: The zero-point offset of the AggieCam detector as a function of B-V color. Bottom Right: The zero-point offset of the AggieCam detector as a function of airmass. All data was calibrated to Johnson-Bessell V band using the Landolt standard star field SA 110 using $M_{\lambda} = m_{\text{inst}} + 2.5\log_{10}(t_{\text{exp}}) + \chi + k(X-1) + \xi(B-V) + \xi'(B-V)^2$.

Individual exposures were set to 60 s and were taken when a field was above an airmass of 3. Table I denotes the number of exposures taken and baseline of days between the first and last exposure for each field. For the remainder of this paper we will use the abbreviated names of each target (USco, $\eta$ Cha & IC 2391) to refer to all stars in the respective fields of view and not just the bona-fide members.

3. DATA ANALYSIS

3.1. Pre-Processing

Each frame was pre-processed for bias subtraction, flat fielding and residual background correction. The master bias frame was generated by median-combining 160 bias frames taken throughout the observing season. The master flat field was created by median-combining 410 sky flats taken throughout the observing season with values $\geq 10000$ ADU. We bias-subtracted, scaled and median-combined the selected frames to make a temporary flat field, applied it to the images, masked any stars and repeated the process to generate the final flat. This master flat frame was normalized by the center 2048 $\times$ 2048 pixels to avoid contamination by the vignetted corners of the detector.

We applied a residual background correction following the approach of Wang, L et al (2013), Oelkers et al (2015) to remove any variations due to clouds, moonlight or scattered light. The residual background model is constructed by sampling the sky background every 64 $\times$ 64 pixels over the entire detector. Bad or saturated pixels are excluded from each sky sample. A model sky is then fit inside each box and interpolated between all boxes to make a thin plate spline (Duchon 1976). We used the IDL implementation GRID_TPS to make the spline which is subtracted from the frame.

We corrected for slight drifts in tracking by carrying out aperture photometry on all images using DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987), matching the resulting star lists with DAOMATCH, and solving for the geometric transformations with DAOMASTER. We aligned the images using the bi-cubic interpolation implemented in the IDL routine POLY_WARP.

3.2. Difference Image Analysis

We used Difference Imaging Analysis (hereafter, DIA: Alard & Lupton 1998) to match the point-spread function (PSF) of each science frame and a reference master image and measure changes in stellar flux. Since the PSF in our images was spatially varying, we applied a 5 $\times$ 5 pix, 2nd-order, Dirac-$\delta$-function kernel across the frames (Alard 2000, Miller et al 2008). A detailed description of the algorithm is given in Oelkers et al (2015), and the code is publicly available from https://github.com/ryanoelkers/DIA. Stamps were taken around bright, isolated stars to solve for the kernel coefficients using the least-squares method.

3.3. Flux Extraction

We extracted the stellar flux on each differenced frame using the IDL implementation of the DAOPHOT routine APER. The aperture radius was set to 3 pix (18.6$''$) with a sky annulus from 5-7 pix (31- 43.4$''$). These fluxes were added to the flux from the master frame, zero-pointed and corrected for exposure time. We only selected stars located between 500 & 3500 in both (x,y) in the master frame to remove objects with poorer photometry due to vignetting. The final star lists are given in Table 2.
We used ensemble photometry to identify and remove systematic trends due to instrumental or processing effects that were present in multiple light curves. We used the Trend Fitting Algorithm (Kovács et al. 2005) as implemented in the VARTOOLS package (Hartman et al. 2008) to compensate for these systematics. We used 100-250 stars spanning a wide range of fluxes and (x,y) positions that did not exhibit any discernible variations of an astrophysical nature (e.g., eclipsing binaries, periodic variables, etc.) as templates for the trend removal.

3.4. Photometric calibration

We determined the transformation of AggieCam magnitudes, obtained through a fairly wide filter, to the standard V band via observations of SA 110 (Landolt [1992]) at airmass values of 1.18 < X < 2.0. The field was selected because of the relative large number of bright standard stars in our FoV (14) which spanned a large range in color (0 < m < 16). Fluxes were extracted following the same procedure used for our science observations. We solved for the following transformation equation:

\[ m_{\text{VC}} = m_{\text{inst}} + 2.5 \log_{10}(t_{\text{exp}}) + \chi + k(X - 1) + \xi(B - V) + \xi'(B - V)^2 \]  

(1)

where \( m_{\text{VC}} \) is the AggieCam V-band calibrated magnitude; \( m_{\text{inst}} \) is the instrumental magnitude, \( t_{\text{exp}} \) is the exposure time of the observation; \( \chi \) is the zero-point offset; \( k \) is the airmass of the observation; \( \xi \) and \( \xi' \) are the first- and second-order color terms, respectively; and \( (B - V) \) is the color of the star in the standard B and V bands. We solved the transformation equation using the IDL routines POLYMFIT and LINFIT, finding \( \chi = -7.21 \pm 0.02, k = -0.16 \pm 0.01, \xi = 0.12 \pm 0.01 \) and \( \xi' = 0.08 \pm 0.01 \) as shown in Figure 1.

We determined the sky background at the observatory by calculating the median pixel value of each image. We find the background is fairly low for an observatory in relatively close proximity to a major metropolitan area. The lowest values were found towards the South, where the instrument was primarily pointed, when the lunar phase was < 0.5. The median sky background was \( V = 20.5 \) mag/sq. arcsec, comparable to the median value at Mauna Kea (20.7 in the same units). Figure 1 shows the normalized number of observations and their median sky background values.

3.5. Noise

One of the leading reasons PMS stars have been so poorly studied is due to their intrinsic stellar variability, which appears to be both erratic and aperiodic. This variability is likely the result of ongoing stellar contraction towards its main-sequence radius, increased spot cycle due to magnetic activity, proto-stellar disk accretion or a combination of all these factors (Stassun et al. 2014). This variability can mask and mimic signals which are important to the understanding of the fundamental properties of stars such as determining stellar rotation rates, identifying planetary & stellar eclipses or making precise radial velocity measurements.

Studies attempting to reduce the impact of astrophysical variation in PMS stars rely on the blind whiten ing of light curves against suspected periodic variability (Kraus et al. 2015) or on the use of self-described, overly-flexible data-driven models which de-weight variation similar to the desired signal (Wang et al. 2015). While these techniques adequately remove variation, the possibility that bona-fide signals will be removed is greatly increased. Therefore, it is necessary to isolate and un-
understand the source of each signal and the associated noise, prior to removal, in order to preserve the scientific integrity of the data.

We modeled the statistical uncertainty as $\sigma^2 = I_N + A I_{sky} + 2 A (R N^2) + \sigma_a^2$, where $I_N$ and $I_{sky}$ are the photon counts from the object and sky respectively, $A$ is the area of the photometric aperture, $R N$ is the read noise of the detector and $\sigma_a$ is the expected scintillation limit defined by Young (1967), Hartman et al. (2005) as:

$$S = S_0 d^{-\frac{2}{7}} X^2 e^{-h/(8000)} (2 t_{ex})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $S_0 \sim 0.1$ mag, $d$ is the telescope diameter in cm, $X$ is the airmass, $h$ is the altitude in m and $t_{ex}$ is the exposure time in s. The values for AggieCam at EABA are: $d = 5.36$, $h = 1350$, $1 < X < 3$ and $t_{ex} = 60$. We find $0.003 < S < 0.017$ mag, with a value of 5 mmag for the median airmass of our observations ($\langle X \rangle = 1.5$).

We measured the dispersion in each light curve, weighted by the uncertainty in aperture photometry, for a single night of observations (typically 60 – 360 frames) and compared these values to our noise model. We found satisfactory agreement with the simple model described above, with dispersions of $1 - 1.4 \times$ the scintillation limit for stars with $V_{AC} < 10.9$ as shown in Figure 2.

4. SEARCHING FOR VARIABILITY, PERIODICITY AND ECLIPSES

4.1. Classical Variability and Periodicity

We employed a combination of 3 variability metrics, following the approach of Wang, L. et al. (2013), Oelkers et al. (2013). First, we computed the root-mean-square (hereafter, rms) of all stars and the upper $2\sigma$ envelope as a function of magnitude; objects lying above this limit are likely to be genuine astrophysical variables. Next, we computed the magnitude range spanned by 90% of the data points of every light curve (hereafter, $\Delta_{90}$) and its upper $2\sigma$ envelope as a function of magnitude. Since we wished that both statistics be based on “constant” stars only and not be biased by large-amplitude variables, both envelopes were calculated in an iterative fashion.

Finally, we computed the Welch-Stetson $J$ variability statistic (hereafter, $J$; Stetson 1996) including the necessary rescaling of DAOPHOT errors (Kaluzny et al. 1998). $J$ is useful to detect variability during short time spans, such as those sampled by the 60 s cadence of AggieCam, since it computes the significance of photometric variability between two or three adjacent data points. $J$ is expected to produce a distribution of values with a mean value close to zero for the “constant” stars and a one-sided tail towards positive values for the “variable” stars. We considered objects lying above the $+3\sigma$ value as variable.

We also applied a variety of cuts to our variable sample to ensure we were not contaminated by stars showing high dispersion due to systematics. We removed the 10% of stars with lowest number of data points and we required each star to be farther than 5 pixels of a star 2 magnitudes or brighter. We only consider a star to be variable if it passes all 3 of these metrics. Figure 3 shows these techniques recovering the variable candidate U071728.

We searched each light curve for periodic signals using a Lomb-Scargle periodogram (hereafter, LS; Lomb 1976, Scargle 1982) as implemented in
Fig. 3.— The variability tests used to identify variable candidates in each young stellar association. Stars lying above the red line in the top panels and to the right of the line in the bottom left panel are expected to be variable. Top Left: $\Delta_{90}$ statistic with the upper 2σ quartile plotted as a red line. Top Right: rms statistic with the upper 2σ quartile plotted as a red line. Bottom Left: J Stetson statistic with the upper 3σ cut plotted as a red line. Bottom Right: The light curve of the variable candidate U071728 from the U Sco field. The candidate is shown clearly passing each statistic as a red dot in the top two panels and a red arrow in the bottom left panel. The light curve is shown in 10 m bins with the size of the typical photometric error shown at the bottom right.

Fig. 4.— The periodicity tests used to identify periodic candidates in each young stellar association. Top Left: the number of “periodic” stars with similar periods, indicative of aliasing. The passing candidate is shown with a red arrow. Notice the period is not found on or near a large distribution of other periods; Top Right: the +3σ cut (red line) on the signal-to-noise ratio. The passing candidate is shown with an arrow in the window insert; Bottom Left: the −3σ cut (red line) on the false alarm probability. The passing candidate’s log₁₀(FAP) is shown with an arrow in the window insert; Bottom Right: The light curve of a periodic variable star candidate U071728 (also shown in Fig.3). The light curve has been phase folded on the recovered period of 0.577 d, binned into 200 data points and plotted twice for clarity. The typical error is shown at the bottom right of the panel.
We computed the highest SNR period of each star between 0.1 d and the total number of baseline days of observation for each association. We performed periods within 0.001 d of any of the first 10 harmonics (f, f/2, f/3, ...) of the sidereal day (0.99726958 d) to alleviate contamination from the most common observing alias. We also removed periods which were within 1 d of the lunar sidereal month, 27.32 d. We applied +3σ cuts on the false alarm probability, log10(FAP), and cumulative SNR. The FAP provides an estimate on the likelihood of a true periodic signal by comparing the SNR of a specific signal to the cumulative distribution of all SNRs for each peak in the LS periodogram. Figure 1 shows these techniques recovering the periodic variable candidate U071728.

4.2. Stellar & Planetary Eclipses

We ran the Box Least Squares algorithm (hereafter, BLS; Kovács et al. 2002) to search for eclipse-like events in the desired HJs. The signal is non-sinusoidal because the transit is only expected to occur for a very short amount of phase, typically < 10% (Charbonneau et al. 2000). The BLS routine searches for signals caused by a periodic alternation between two flux levels. The probability of detecting a small, periodic, eclipse-like feature is greatly increased by iteratively searching over the parameter space of period, eclipse depth and transit length.

We also ran a BLS search, where prior to the search, we pre-whitened each light curve against the primary LS period between 0.1 and 10 d and its 10(9) (sub-)harmonics. We searched each light curve for transit candidates with a primary BLS period between 0.1 and 10 d with a transit length of 0.01 and 0.1 of the primary BLS period. We chose the limit of 10 d because HJs, by definition, do not have periods longer than 10 d. We allowed for 10^4 trial periods and 200 phase bins. We also adopted a number of detection thresholds that are common among exoplanet searches. We required no less than 3 transit events for every candidate to ensure no significant variation between the odd and even eclipses, which would suggest an eclipsing binary over a planetary transit. While typical planetary transits produce a drop in the light curve of only 1 to 2%, we kept larger depth events since they could be due to other interesting objects such as brown dwarfs or eclipsing binaries.

We then subjected each light curve to criteria based on the statistics of the BLS routine. Typically, the error in ground-based milli-magnitude photometry is correlated. Because this is the regime in which we searched for exoplanets, we investigated the signal-detection-efficiency statistic (hereafter, SDE) described in Kovács et al. (2002) to determine the significance level of each transit. Any transit candidate with a SDE statistic greater than 4 was considered significant. True transits should only show the systematic dimming of each light curve and not a systematic brightening or anti-transit. Burke et al. (2006) suggests a transit to anti-transit statistic Δχ^2/Δχ^2, where both the transit and anti transit χ^2 values are compared. Stars with the statistic ≥ 1.0 were considered candidates. Finally, all candidates passing these statistics were visually inspected to confirm the eclipse-like variation. If the star was known to be PMS from a previous study (Rizzuto et al. 2015) then we allowed the star to fail one of the two statistics because its a priori membership made it a high priority candidate. We refined each period by searching the first 5 harmonics of the BLS periods and the incase the routine was triggering on the wrong frequency.

4.3. Higher-Precision Photometric and Preliminary Spectroscopic Followup

Any transiting HJ or PMB candidate passing all of the significance tests described in § 4.1 & 4.2 was then subject to a series of followup photometric observations. These observations are used to provide independent, higher-precision transit and eclipse measurements. They also helped to confirm and refine the orbital period, depth, ephemeris and duration for each eclipse. The time of secondary eclipse was probed to rule out large variations in flux, which would indicate a grazing EB or background blend. The higher angular resolution of the follow-up telescopes also allowed us to determine any contamination from blending due to the coarser pixel scale (6.2''/pix) of AggieCam. These observations also provided color measurements which helped to identify spectral type, binary contamination in the HJ sample and association membership.

The 1.54 m telescope at EABA provided 300+ hrs of BVRI photometry to date, with further observations planned. The 0.8 m telescope at McDonald Observatory provided 14 hrs of BVRI photometry. The Las Cumbres Global Observatory Telescope Network (LCOGT) provided 30 hrs of gri photometry from their 1 m facilities. The Texas A&M University campus observatory 0.5 m telescope provided 30 hrs of gri photometry. Additionally, the 2.1 m telescope at McDonald Observatory, coupled with the Sandiford Echelle Spectrograph (McCarthy et al. 1993, hereafter, SES), provided 14 hrs of initial spectroscopic followup.

5. RESULTS

5.1. Classical Variable and Periodic Stars

Employing the metrics described above, we identified over 1,599 variable candidates across all 3 fields: 593 in U Sco, 689 in IC 2391 and 317 in η Cha. We determined a normalized variable star rate of 1.98 ± 0.08 × 10^{-4} (1.38 ± 0.07 × 10^{-4}, 2.22 ± 0.08 × 10^{-4}) variable stars per sq. deg. in U Sco (η Cha, IC 2391). These rates are generally consistent with one another and with those of previous wide-field variable star searches (Wang, L. et al. 2011, 2013; Oelkers et al. 2015, 2016).

Similarly, we found 3,184 stars showing significant periodicity using the cuts above. We found 240 (62; 127) stars with significant variability that also exhibited a periodic signal in U Sco (η Cha; IC 2391). Table 3 presents...
the number of stars in each field with periodic variations of different timescales. Figure 5 shows 15 candidate variable and periodic stars from all 3 fields.

5.1.1. Classification of Variable Stars

We classified each variable star with a general type using an IDL implementation of a k-means clustering algorithm, CLUST, WTSC and CLUSTER. k-means clustering attempts to segregate features of each object into k-clusters to minimize the distance between each point in the cluster and the center of the cluster (MacQueen 1967). We selected 6 features of each variable star light curve to use in the clustering algorithm:

1. The magnitude difference between the mean magnitude of the first half of the light curve and the total mean magnitude.
2. The magnitude difference between the mean magnitude of the second half of the light curve and the total mean magnitude.
3. J, described in § 4.1.
4. The skewness of the light curve.
5. The kurtosis of the light curve.
6. The LS period, if it was determined to be significant as described in § 4.2.

Features 1 & 2 were selected to aid in identifying variable stars showing an overall increasing, decreasing or “constant” trend with time. Feature 3 was selected since its measure of variability is insensitive to light curve dispersion, as opposed to rms or $\Delta_{90}$. Features 4 & 5 were selected to describe the uniformity of the change in magnitude around the mean magnitude (i.e. large constant oscillations or infrequent pulses). Finally, feature 6 was selected to aid in differentiating between long- or short-period variations.

The variable stars were clustered into 1 of 5 types using the features above. We found selecting more clusters made too many indistinguishable clusters and selecting fewer clusters grouped too many diverse objects.

1. Short-term, uniform periodic motion (i.e RR Lyrae, contact, semi-detached & short-period detached binaries, sinusoidal variables).
2. Infrequent variability (i.e possible flares, long-period detached binaries, possible systematics).
3. Short-period, non-sinusoidal periodic variables.
4. Long-term, sinusoidal periodic variation.
5. Long-term, irregular variation.

Each variable star has been identified with its cluster membership in the final stellar library described in Table 2. We note that many stars in cluster 2 appear to show variation at identical times, likely due to systematics of the detector. We have elected to include them in our sample because they passed all of the variability cuts outlined in § 4. We visually classified stars in clusters 1 & 2 to identify the eclipsing binary candidates described in § 5.3.
5.2. Exoplanet Candidates and the Migration Timescale for Hot Jupiters

Previous observational studies of main-sequence stars have suggested the detection rate of a transiting HJ to be \( \sim 1 \) planet for every 5000 stars observed per month (Burke et al. 2006). Our survey was expected to extend far beyond the one-month time span required and we assumed we would detect multiple HJs. While each association does not contain the 5000 stars required to find one transiting HJ in one month’s time, the planned survey duration of 3 years and knowledge of cluster members would allow selective targeting to determine the presence of young transiting HJs. It was also expected we would find transiting HJs around stars that have not yet been confirmed as cluster members due to the dearth of effective wide-field surveys of each association.

As a proof of concept, we injected transit signals for a variety of HJs with a range of periods (0.5 < \( P < 10 \) d) and radii (0.8 < \( R_J < 2.0 \)) into simulated light curves with dispersions based on the typical rms limits of the detector and calculated the number of hours of observation required for a detection that passed the criteria outlined above. We used the published distance to each association and the 30 Myr isochrones from (Pietrinferni et al. 2006) and calculated the stellar mass and radius for a range of apparent magnitudes. Figure 6 shows the results of this calculation for a 1.5 \( R_J \) with a period of 2.7 d. Taking into account long-term weather statistics for the site, we expected that after 18 months of observations we would be able to collect \( \sim 400 \) hrs of data and achieve the required sensitivity over most of the target stellar types (F to early K). A further 18 months of observations would extend our sensitivity to the K/M boundary.

The combination of an unprecedented rainy season at EABA and equipment and server failures severely reduced our on-sky efficiency, and the survey was prematurely terminated after 15 months due to a lightning strike. Only \( \sim 200 \) hours of observations were obtained. Our monthly efficiency rate is shown in Figure 6, along with the final survey numbers for each association (\( \sim 100, 75, 40 \) hrs for USco, \( \eta \) Cha and IC 2391).

Even with our low number of observations, we identified 7 possible planetary eclipse events as shown in Figure 7. We performed higher-precision photometric followup of each planetary candidate with LCOGT and EABA to confirm each eclipse and the expected ephemeris of mid-transit. We also used archive photometry from the \( K2 \) Campaign 2 mission (Howell et al. 2014). Unfortunately, we were unable to recover the eclipse events at the expected ephemeris times. We have included a list of each of the candidates and their determined orbital information for completeness in Table 4. We need to properly assess our ability to detect these objects and identify any potential biases, before we can speculate on the scientific implications of our null result. We will make this interpretation for USco only, because of the significantly larger number of candidates recovered (6) and the larger number of observed hours compared to \( \eta \) Cha and IC 2391. We will do this by answering two questions to determine the confidence on our null result: (1) How many HJs should exist in this association? (2) If a HJ exists, would we have detected it?

5.2.1. How many Hot Jupiters should exist in this association?

Previous studies of main-sequence stars have determined the planet fraction of HJs is \( P_{\text{frac}} \approx 1\% \). However, the expectations for Very Hot Jupiters (\( P < 3 \) d) are \( P_{\text{frac}} \approx 0.1\% \) (Hartman et al. 2008; Bayliss & Sackett 2011; Fressin et al. 2013). Given the target associations have 100-1000 confirmed members, extra care needs to be given to interpreting our null result. By combining these
Fig. 7.— [Top Row] Three HJ candidates of various periods, detected at high significance as part of our search. The HJ candidate light curves have been binned into 30 m bins and phase folded. The black line denotes the best-fit BLS model of the transit (Bakos et al. 2002). [Middle & Bottom Row] 6 PMB candidates from each association. The black lines denote the best fit JKTEBOP model (Southworth et al. 2013). Errors are shown in the bottom right of each figure.

| Star ID | Coordinates in the Master Frame | BLS Period | BLS Ephemeris | Mag. Depth | Follow-Up |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------|
| U022979 | 16:15:39.5 -24:55:41            | 0.818758   | 76.631834     | 13.407     | 27 Bosque Alegre |
| U064813 | 16:08:35.9 -23:55:39            | 0.706294   | 77.275227     | 12.737     | 28 LCOGT    |
| U095361 | 16:04:06.7 -26:37:07            | 0.915554   | 77.040402     | 13.331     | 16 LCOGT    |
| U120649 | 16:00:47.3 -26:16:46            | 1.493101   | 76.62173      | 14.197     | 28 Bosque Alegre |
| U137904 | 15:57:16.7 -25:29:19            | 5.861766   | 80.164762     | 12.597     | 17 K2       |
| U140401 | 15:56:55.5 -22:58:49            | 2.349169   | 77.197127     | 13.754     | 42 Bosque Alegre |
| C067591 | 07:50:41.6 -78:45:34            | 0.251447   | 192.535710    | 13.463     | 29 Bosque Alegre |
5.2.2. If a Hot Jupiter exists, would we have detected it?

We determine our detection probability following the same logic as [Burke et al. 2006], with the full detection probability being defined as

$$P_{\text{det},i} = \int \int \frac{d^2 P_{i}}{dR_{p} da} P_{\epsilon,i}(a, R_{p}) P_{T,i}(a, R_{p}) P_{\text{mem},i} dR_{p} da$$

(3)

where $R_{p}$ is defined as the planet radius; $a$ is the semi-major axis; $P_{\epsilon,i}(a, R_{p})$ is the probability of detecting a transit around the $i^{th}$ star in the survey averaged over orbital phase and inclination; $P_{T,i}(a, R_{p})$ is the probability a planet of $R_{p}$ and $a$ would transit its host star; $P_{\text{mem},i}$ is the probability the $i^{th}$ star is a member of the association.

We calculate $P_{\text{det},i}$ following the logic of [Gaudi 2000] and integrate over the survey's achieved photometric precision, achieved observing cadence and the minimum threshold to detect HJs of various periods ($0.5 < P < 10$ d) and radii ($0.8 < R_{J} < 2$). The calculation of $P_{T,i}$ is independent of our survey data. If we assume a random inclination orientation of a star with respect to the observer then $P_{T,i} = \frac{R_{*} + R_{p}}{R_{*}}$ where $R_{*}$ is the radius of the star. We assumed a uniform distribution of inclination angles for our calculation. We integrated these detection limits over all stars in our FoV because we were also interested in detecting planets around non-association members, if they existed.

5.2.3. Implications of the Null Result

If we have not detected any HJs because they have not yet migrated, and its not simply an artifact of the low number of observations, we can attempt to understand the implications for the migration timescale. Because disk formation, accretion and dissipation is expected to be finished by 10 Myr, it is surprising the planets have not yet migrated to their 'Hot' positions. Both Type I and II migration require an actively accreting disk to move the planet inwards towards its host star [Ida & Lin 2008]. Similarly, recent studies have also suggested in-situ HJ formation and that the snow line may not be a requirement for primary atmosphere retention [Batygin et al. 2015].

Recent work by [Mann et al. 2016] has shown the detection of a $\sim 5 R_{\oplus}$ 'Hot Neptune' with an orbital period of $\sim$5.4 d orbiting an 11 Myr, M3 star in USco. While the star is not in our FoV, it is unlikely we would have detected the eclipse given the parameters of the system. The star’s apparent magnitude is 15.5 in $V$ and the planet transits with a $\sim 0.003$ mag eclipse depth (we transformed APASS g& r to $V$ using the relations from [Lupton et al. 2005]; APASS V for this star was determined to be unreliable [Henden et al. 2012]). AggieCam’s precision at this magnitude is $\sim 0.1$ mag (see Figure 2). We also have a very low recovery rate for periods greater than 1.0 d (see Figure 5) and our null detection at these periods matches the expectation for these objects in this field (see Figure 9). Similarly, [Johns-Krull et al. 2016] have identified a HJ candidate ($P$=9 d) through optical and infrared radial velocity measurements of the PMS star CI Tau (2 Myr). These detections provide evidence that disk migration is the driving force for gas-giant migration at early times ($< 11$ Myr) and helps to confirm the observations of previously discovered young, transiting, ‘hot’, gas-giants [van Eyken et al. 2012]. These detections also imply we did not detect a HJ only because we failed to reach our desired temporal coverage of the field and not because these objects have failed to migrate before 11 Myr.

5.3. Binary Candidates and Membership Confidence Testing

Our survey yielded 346 PMB candidates; 151 in USco, 138 in IC2391 and 57 in n Cha. All of these objects require higher-precision photometric and spectroscopic followup for firm classification and accurate determination of stellar parameters. Since the spectroscopic followup of more than 300 objects is not a trivial task, we ranked the candidates by priority on a scale of 0 (low) to 7 (high). We calculated the ranking using archival 2MASS & WISE colors to determine infrared excesses [Skrutskie et al. 2006; Wright et al. 2010], using the UCAC4 proper motion catalogue to compare the
motion of a given candidate with the mean of its putative association (Zacharias et al. 2013), inferring possible component masses based on eclipse depth & apparent magnitude and high-resolution spectroscopy to search for the Li I line at 6708 Å for 7 initial test binaries. The final results of these tests are shown in Table 5.

5.3.1. Color Selections

An infrared excess is used to describe an object which appears to have a normal spectral energy distribution in the ultraviolet and visible wavelengths but shows a large excess of flux at infrared wavelengths. These excesses manifest themselves in young stellar objects because these stars are typically enshrouded in a dusty proto-stellar disk which absorbs ultraviolet light and re-emits it in the infrared.

To determine which stars may show these infrared excess, we investigate the 2MASS and WISE archival photometry (Skrutskie et al. 2006; Wright et al. 2010). We combined the J–H vs. H–K color-color diagram with the stellar locus provided by Pecaut & Mamajek (2013) to estimate the spectral type of each binary. If the star was within 2σ of a particular point in the locus we deemed the photometry to be accurate enough to estimate the spectral type.

Following the methodology of Luhman & Mamajek (2012) & Rizzuto et al. (2015) we made color cuts using photometry in the 2MASS Ks and the WISE W2, W3 and W4 bands. Stars lying above the lines denoted in Figure 10 are flagged as showing infrared excesses indicative of a younger stellar population. These boundaries are calculated based on the expected photospheric excess limits for main-sequence objects and the expected photospheric excess ends for main-sequence objects (Pecaut & Mamajek 2013). Stars were assigned with a specific spectral type if they were located within 2σ of a particular data point in the locus. [Top Right, Bottom Left & Bottom Right] (K-W2 (W3,W4)) vs estimated spectral type diagram. Stars lying above the red lines are considered to have an excess amount of flux in the infrared, indicative of an accretion disk. The red dotted line marks where the expected photospheric excess ends for main-sequence objects (Luhman & Mamajek 2012; Rizzuto et al. 2015).

5.3.2. Proper Motions

We used the proper motions from the UCAC4 catalogue (Zacharias et al. 2013) to identify objects which show similar motions to the well-studied O/B/A/F stars in each association. Using the measured mean proper motions of each association from previous studies (Dodd 2004; Luhman & Mamajek 2012; Lopez Marti et al. 2013) and the expected 15 – 20 mas error of the UCAC4 catalogue, we identified all candidate binaries which were within 3σ of the mean proper motion. Only stars with measured proper motions were included in our significance testing. We increased the priority score for each candidate based on the difference, in σ, between the star’s proper motion and the mean of the association as follows: \( \Delta \mu < 1 \sigma = +3; \) \( 1 \sigma < \Delta \mu < 2 \sigma = +2; \) \( 2 \sigma < \Delta \mu < 3 \sigma = +1; \) \( \Delta \mu > 3 \sigma = 0. \) If the candidate had no measured proper motion, it was given no points. We found 45 (10, 55) stars in USco (η Cha, IC 2391) to have a proper motion within 3σ of the mean proper motion of the cluster. Figure 11 shows measured proper motions for the binary candidates in η Cha and their positions in the AggieCam FoV.

5.3.3. Testing Viable Binary Components

An infrared excess is used to describe an object which appears to have a normal spectral energy distribution in the ultraviolet and visible wavelengths but shows a large excess of flux at infrared wavelengths. These excesses manifest themselves in young stellar objects because these stars are typically enshrouded in a dusty proto-stellar disk which absorbs ultraviolet light and re-emits it in the infrared.

To determine which stars may show these infrared excess, we investigate the 2MASS and WISE archival photometry (Skrutskie et al. 2006; Wright et al. 2010). We combined the J–H vs. H–K color-color diagram with the stellar locus provided by Pecaut & Mamajek (2013) to estimate the spectral type of each binary. If the star was within 2σ of a particular point in the locus we deemed the photometry to be accurate enough to estimate the spectral type.

Following the methodology of Luhman & Mamajek (2012) & Rizzuto et al. (2015) we made color cuts using photometry in the 2MASS Ks and the WISE W2, W3 and W4 bands. Stars lying above the lines denoted in Figure 10 are flagged as showing infrared excesses indicative of a younger stellar population. These boundaries are calculated based on the expected photospheric excess limits for main-sequence objects and the expected photospheric excess ends for main-sequence objects (Pecaut & Mamajek 2013). Stars were assigned with a specific spectral type if they were located within 2σ of a particular data point in the locus. [Top Right, Bottom Left & Bottom Right] (K-W2 (W3,W4)) vs estimated spectral type diagram. Stars lying above the red lines are considered to have an excess amount of flux in the infrared, indicative of an accretion disk. The red dotted line marks where the expected photospheric excess ends for main-sequence objects (Luhman & Mamajek 2012; Rizzuto et al. 2015).
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### TABLE 5
**Binary Candidate Testing**

| Young Stellar Association | Effective Separations | Proper Motions [UCAC4] | Infrared Excess [2MASS & WISE] | Total Number of Candidates |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| USco                      | 134                    | 45                      | 11                            | 151                       |
| IC 2391                   | 131                    | 55                      | 21                            | 138                       |
| η Cha                     | 47                     | 10                      | 9                             | 57                        |

### TABLE 6
**Pre-Main-Sequence Eclipsing Binary Candidates***

| AggieCam ID | R.A. [hrs] | Dec. [deg] | Magnitude V | Period [days] | ET | IR | PM |
|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----|----|----|
| V089825     | 08:39:01   | -53:50:25  | 13.442      | 2.025352      | 1  | 3  |    |
| V130053     | 08:41:57   | -52:31:33  | 12.108      | 0.808794      | 1  | 1  |    |
| V060240     | 08:48:14   | -54:30:25  | 15.076      | 0.961662      | 1  | 1  |    |
| U077182     | 16:06:53   | -26:49:19  | 15.019      | 0.535917      | 1  | 2  | 2  |
| V033325     | 08:38:49   | -55:36:27  | 13.121      | 0.225700      | 1  | 2  | 2  |
| V065696     | 08:28:07   | -54:40:02  | 12.045      | 2.271902      | 1  | 1  |    |
| V105161     | 08:54:33   | -51:32:17  | 11.418      | 5.413905      | 1  | 3  | 0  |
| V128645     | 08:33:58   | -51:32:17  | 11.745      | 1.804665      | 1  | 3  | 0  |
| V167741     | 08:24:08   | -50:55:27  | 12.747      | 10.897848     | 1  | 0  | 3  |
| V106015     | 08:23:40   | -53:20:25  | 11.745      | 1.804665      | 1  | 3  | 0  |
| V152845     | 08:33:29   | -53:21:17  | 14.790      | 1.693400      | 1  | 0  | 3  |
| V152442     | 08:26:58   | -51:32:17  | 17.045      | 1.211067      | 1  | 3  | 0  |

**Note.** — *The full table is available for download with online publication. The ET metric denotes stars with effective temperature and separations plausible at the distance of the cluster; The IR flag denotes how many IR excesses were identified in the 2MASS & WISE photometry; PM denotes stars with proper motions consistent with the moving group to 1-3σ.

The component masses of the system can still be estimated even without proper spectroscopic observations. The ratio of the eclipse depths is proportional to the fourth-root of the ratio of the temperatures of the photospheres of the stars. This ratio can then be used in conjunction with the apparent magnitude and the distance to the association to place limits on the masses of the components, under the assumption that the object is a *bona-fide* member.

We fit a parabola to both the primary and secondary eclipses using the IDL routine **POLYFIT** to determine the minimum flux value. We then calculated the ratio of the effective temperatures as follows:

\[
\left(\frac{T_S}{T_P}\right)^4 = \frac{F_0 - F_P}{F_0 - F_S}
\]

where \(F_0\) is the flux at quadrature and \(F_P\) & \(T_P\) are the flux during the primary eclipse and effective temperature of the primary star respectively (an S denotes the secondary).

The distance modulus to each association was subtracted from the apparent magnitudes to determine the expected absolute magnitude of each candidate. The Spada et al. (2013) zero-age-main-sequence isochrones were employed to calculate the magnitudes of the components that could be combined to generate this magnitude based on the temperature ratio. Kepler’s Third Law was used to calculate the separation of the system using the known period. We determined the viability of each binary based on the orbital separation and the shape of the light curve. For example, a binary composed of two K-dwarfs with a separation of 1 solar radius should be in a nearly contact system and *not* a detached system. If the system was deemed viable it was given 1 point toward its priority score. We found 134 (47, 131) stars in USco (η Cha, IC 2391) to have viable binary components for their expected distance.

#### 5.3.4. Spectroscopy

Preliminary spectroscopy was obtained for 7 PMB candidates at McDonald Observatory during the Spring of 2015. The combination of spread in ephemeris timing and poor observing conditions only allowed each PMB to be observed once, close to quadrature. Nevertheless, these measurements provide valuable information about the radial velocity and spectral types for each system. We obtained the spectra using the SES on the 2.1 m at McDonald Observatory. Th-Ar exposures bracketed the science observations and were used to wavelength-calibrate the data using the IRAF routine **REFSPEC**. The radial velocities were calculated us-
ing a cross-correlation with reference spectra taken on
the same night and spectral templates from SDSS DR5
(Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007). While the SNR of
our spectra were not very high (< 10), we neverthe-
less achieved 1 – 5 km/s precision on the radial velocity
measurements. The low SNR prevented any detection
of Li 6708 Å.

We then subjected the detection light curve, followup
multi-color photometry and initial estimates of the ra-
dial velocity to the JKTEBOP binary-fitting program
(Southworth et al. 2013). JKTEBOP models each com-
ponent of a binary as a sphere for calculating the eclipse
shapes and a biaxial ellipsoid for calculating proximity
effects. The program uses Levenberg-Marquardt opti-
mization to find the best fit model. An example of a
binary being subjected to this fitting process is shown in
Figure 12.

CONCLUSIONS

Although our observations were plagued by bad
weather, technical set backs and a lightning strike, we
were able to reach the necessary precision for an exo-
planet & eclipsing binary survey. We detected 346 eclips-
ning pre-main-sequence binary candidates and identified 7
candidate transiting Hot Jupiters; the latter were ruled
out with higher-precision followup observations. Addi-
tionally, we identified and categorized 4,354 variable and
periodic stars across the 3 target associations.

In order to properly interpret our null result, we deter-
mined our detection probability to be 34% for HJs with
P < 0.5 d. Recent work by Mann et al. (2010) has identi-
fied a ‘Hot Neptune’ transiting a PMS star in USco,
thereby providing evidence for disk migration and sug-
gesting we could have detected transiting planets if we
had obtained sufficient temporal coverage of each field.

Finally, we identified 346 candidate pre-main-sequence
binaries. 12% of these stars show an infrared excess, 32%
of these stars have proper motions consistent with their
putative association and 90% have temperature ratios
which are consistent with two zero-age-main-sequence
stars at the distance of the respective association. We
found 74 PMB candidates to have a priority score of 3
or more, denoting a high-likelihood of being pre-main-
sequence binaries and have prioritized them for follow-up
observations.
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