INTRODUCTION

The genus *Chrysanthemum*, golden flower in Greek, belongs to the Asteraceae family; it includes about 300 species[1]. The chrysanthemum is distributed in two main centres, one in the Mediterranean area, the other in China and Japan[2]. In Algeria, the genus includes 20 species with 8 endemic[3]. The aerial parts of *C. coronarium* are used for food and for protection against several diseases in Oriental medicinal systems. Leaves and stems of the plant are a very popular food plant in Asia and Europe[4]. In Japan the leaves are used for the suppression of the fishy odours in prepared foods[5]. In France the young leaves are favored to eat raw. In addition, the plant has big capitula, usually bicolored white and yellow[6] and cultivated for ornamental purposes in Mediterranean regions and some of Portugal[7]. The essential oil from the aerial parts (flowerheads or/and leaf) of *C. coronarium* has been several reported[4,6-13]. However, there are no reports on the aroma evaluation of volatile compounds in *C. coronarium*.

Volatile profiling, which aims to analyse several predefined volatile targets[14], has been used as a tool to assess changes or differences in *C. coronarium*.
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in predefined volatile compounds of several types in both foods and biological systems. There are several instrumental methods that can be used to obtain complete profiles of volatile oils. In addition, a number of different extraction techniques can be used in the analysis of volatiles. Hydrodistillation (HD) is a process traditionally used for the extraction of essential oils from aroma-active and medicinal plants on a laboratory scale. Indeed, in the case of HD, the possible hydrolysis and hydrosolubilisation of certain compounds is a serious obstacle in the reproduction of natural fragrances. Solvent-assisted flavour evaporation (SAFE) is a good technique for volatile extraction, and it can allow careful isolation of volatile compounds from complex matrices. High recovery has been achieved even for high-boiling-point compounds.

The aims of the present study were to: (1) analyse the volatile compounds using two different extraction methods in order to compare the profiles obtained; and (2) to characterise the aroma-active compounds in the three parts (young leaves, mature leaves, and stems) of C. coronarium by AEDA and odor activity value (OAV) methods.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

1. **Plant material**

Sample of *Chrysanthemum coronarium* (aerial parts: 30-40 cm) were obtained from Osaka prefecture of Japan in October 2014. The plant was identified of the performed, and a voucher specimen was deposited, at the biotechnology laboratory of Kinki University (Kindai University), Osaka, Japan.

2. **Isolated of the essential oil**

2.2.1. **Hydrodistillation (HD) method:** Young leaves (YL), mature leaves (ML), and stems (S) of *C. coronarium* (200 g) were hydrodistilled for 3 hours with a Likens-Nickerson type apparatus, using diethyl ether, which was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The oil was stored at 4°C in a refrigerator prior to analysis.

2.2.2. **Solvent-assisted flavour evaporation (SAFE) method:** Each parts of *C. coronarium* (200 g) were frozen in liquid nitrogen. The crushed frozen parts were added to dichloromethane (500 mL) as solvent, and the mixture was stirred and extracted. After standing for 2 days, the residual substances were removed by passing through filter paper. The volatile fraction was added to a dropping funnel of the SAFE apparatus (Kiriyama glass Co., Tokyo), which was heated to 25°C with a circulating H-O bath. The receiving flask for the distillate and the safety-cooling trap of the SAFE apparatus were cooled with liquid N2. During this procedure, the SAFE apparatus was connected to a high-vacuum pump (<13 Pa). After complete introduction of the filtrate into the SAFE system, distillation was carried out for 2 h at 10-4 torr. The volatile compounds were collected in a trap, which was submerged in liquid nitrogen. The volatile compounds were stored at 4°C in a refrigerator prior to analysis.

3. **Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)**

The oil sample analysis was performed on an Agilent Technologies 6890 gas chromatograph coupled to an Agilent Technologies 5973 mass selective detector. GC conditions were: A HP-5MS column (5% phenyl 95% polydimethylsiloxane, 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm) and a DB-WAX column (15 m x 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 mm); the column temperature was 40-260°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held at 260°C for 5 min. The carrier gas was He at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The injector and detector temperatures were 270 and 280°C, respectively. The ionization voltage was 70 eV and the split ratio was 1:40. One μL aliquot of oil was injected for each sample.

4. **Sensory evaluation by GC-Olfactometry (GC-O)**

A trained panel of sensory evaluation specialists measured the odor intensities of the main aroma-active constituents of *C. coronarium*. Eleven panellists, aged 21 to 26 years (8 males and 3 females, members of Kinki University, Japan), participated in this study. Sensory-analysis sessions were performed only after suitable training (~30 h). The sniffing test by GC-O was carried out using an Agilent Technologies-6890N gas chromatograph equipped with an Agilent 5973 MSD mass spectrometer and sniffing port ODP 2 (Olfactory Detector Port 2, Gerstel). The GC instrument was equipped with a HP-5MS column. The sample was injected into the GC in splitless mode. The GC effluent from the capillary column was split in a 1:1 (v/v) ratio between the MS and the sniffing port. The oven conditions, injector and transfer line temperatures, the carrier gas, flow rate, and ionization mode were the same as those described above for the GC-MS.

5. **Aroma extract dilution analysis (AEDA)**

The flavor dilution (FD)-factor of the odorants in the essential oil was determined by aroma extract dilution analysis (AEDA) of the following dilution series. The highest sample concentration (1 mg/mL) was assigned an FD-factor 1. The essential oil was stepwise diluted with diethyl ether (1+1, v/v), and aliquots of the dilutions (1 mL) were evaluated. Each aroma described was delivered, to the greatest extract possible. The process stopped when no aroma was detected by assessors. The result was expressed as the FD-factor, which is the ratio of concentration of the odorant in the initial volatile oil in which the odor is still detectable by GC-O.

6. **Identification of compounds**

Identification of the individual compounds was carried out as follows: (1) comparison of their GC-MS retention indices (RI) on apolar and polar columns, determined relative to the retention time of a series of n-alkanes (C5-C29), with those of authentic compounds; (2) computer matching with commercial mass spectral libraries and comparison of spectra with literature date; (3) The calculated RI were compared with the average RI from the literature, which were obtained from the Aroma Office database ver. 3.0 (Nishikawa keisoku Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Aroma Office database var. 3.0 includes 72,120 entries of RI of aroma compounds and literature sources.

7. **Quantification of aroma-active compounds**

The odor components of the oils were quantitatively analyzed by internal standard addition method (alkanes C5 to C20). The volatile oil was diluted 100 times with diethyl ether to a 1 mL volume, and 5 μL of a mixture of C5 to C20 (1 mg/mL) was added to the diluted oil. Then, the samples were subjected to GC-flame ionization detector (FID) analyses. The quantitative analysis was performed on the basis of the calibration curves for (E)-3-hexen-1-ol (peak 2), (E)-2-hexen-1-ol (peak 3), camphene (peak 6), benzaldehyde (peak 7), (1-L)-caryophyllene (peak 34), and (E)-myrcene (peak 8), limonene (peak 9), linalool (peak 17), borneol (peak 21), bornyl acetate (peak 27), (E,E)-2,4-decadienal (peak 29), (E)-β-farnesene (peak 38), within the concentration range 0.5-1000 μg/mL. Because of the lack of a proper standard, (Z)-β-ocimene (peak 13), α-copaene (peak 33), cubebene (peak 39), germacrene D (peak 41), (E,E)-α-farnesene (peak 42), β-sesquiphellandrene (peak 45) and δ-muurolol (peak...
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48) were quantified by the calibration curves of β-caryophyllene and β-caryophyllene oxide, respectively.

8 Determination of odor activity value (OAV)

OAV were determined by dividing concentration of a component to its odor threshold. The odor threshold data was obtained from reported literature data[24-27].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1 Chemical constituents of C. coronarium

Table 1 lists the identified volatile compounds, their concentrations, and the RIs of the compounds on two columns from the HD and SAFE oils. The HD oils were 0.033% (w/w) for YL, 0.007% (w/w) for ML, and 0.007% (w/w) for S, respectively. While the YL and ML oils had a spicy-woody odor, the S oil had a spicy-camphor odor. On the contrary, the SAFE oils were 0.032% (w/w) for YL, 0.007% (w/w) for ML, and 0.007% (w/w) for S. While the YL and ML oils had a spicy-camphor odor, the S oil had a spicy-camphor odor. A total of seventy-three compounds were identified (thirty-six compounds were newly identified). The major compounds of three parts oils in both methods were β-myrcene (peak 10, 6.0-32.9%) and (E)-β-farnesene (peak 47, 10.5-22.9%). These compounds belong to different chemical classes of organic compounds (mono- and sesquiterpene). Monoterpenes, have shown sound effects on mevalonate metabolism, linked to the maintenance of cell membrane, which could add to terpene tumor suppressive action. Thus, the presence of monoterpene in the selected active fractions explains their antiproliferative actions against some tumor cell lines. Moreover, sesquiterpene biosynthesis seems to be complex since the formation via either pathway (mevalonic or methylerythrytol) or a combination of both has been reported[30]. Nevertheless, these appear to be ubiquitous in plant taxa and some insects, and are associated to the cytosolmitochondria. Sesquiterpenes were reported to have antihyperlipidemic activity. Studies indicated that the activity of the essential oil may be due to the synergistic effects of the active compounds[29]. Among acetylenic compounds in C. coronarium oils, four compounds were identified. Note that (Z)-tibetin spiroether (peak 58) and (E)-tibetin spiroether (peak 59) were characteristic compounds. The compounds had been reported that volatile compounds of Mataricaria chamomilla L. and Artemisia roxburghiana Besser (Asteraceae)[30-32]. However, this is the first report of these compounds in Chrysanthemum species.

The six oils showed mainly quantitative differences, but in the essential oil obtained from YL fewer compounds were identified. This may be attributed to the fact that different parts of a plant normally stored different chemical compounds[31]. Comparing each part, yield of YL oil was the 5 times than the other parts on the both method. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that YL oil is contained so many volatile compounds as compared to other parts. The classification of the oils on the basis of functional group is summarized in Table 1. The compounds were separated into hydrocarbons, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, esters, ethers, and acids. The most representative compounds were hydrocarbons, ethers, alcohols, and esters in HD oils. SAFE method efficiently furnished hydrocarbons, alcohols aldehydes, and esters, which are the four classes with relatively high contents. The results of both methods indicated that the major classes were hydrocarbons. Comparing the oils from the two methods, that the levels of hydrocarbons and aldehydes in SAFE oil were more than those in HD oil. It can be observed that fatty acids extracted by HD method are not present in SAFE method, possibly because of thermal degradation of these compounds due to the high temperature required for HD. Moreover, the SAFE method utilises vaporisation of volatiles from non-volatile materials at relatively low temperature ranges (40 ± 20°C) under ultrahigh vacuum. Thus, the formation of thermal artefacts can be avoided during the SAFE extraction procedure and it has a high extraction efficiency and recovery[32,34].

2 GC-O, AEDA, and OAV

To clarify the most potent odorants contributing to the characteristic odor, the AEDA method was performed combined with GC-O analysis. The aroma-active compounds of both oils (HD and SAFE methods) were assessed using GC-O and AEDA. In both oils, nineteen compounds were identified; eleven hydrocarbons, five alcohols, two aldehydes, and one ester. All of the aroma-active compounds were satisfactorily identified based on their retention indices (RIs) and their mass spectra. In Table 2, the aroma-active compounds identified for the oils in both methods are presented. A comparison of the gas chromatogram and the FD factors (RIs vs. FD-factor) of their respective peaks are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. As seen in Figure 1, β-myrcene (peak 8) showed the highest FD-factor of 6-8, and (E)-β-farnesene (peak 47) showed a high FD-factor of 5-6. The following compounds had FD-factors greater than 4: β-caryophyllene (peak 34 in YL and ML oils) and borneol (peak 21 in S oil), respectively. The AEDA results revealed that β-myrcene and β-caryophyllene emits a spicy odor, and (E)-β-farnesene contributed to the odor in the YL and ML HD oil. β-Myrcene, β-caryophyllene and borneol were produced to the odor in the S HD oil. In SAFE oil, β-myrcene had the highest FD-factors of 6-8, followed by (E)-β-farnesene with an FD-factor of 5-6 in Figure 2, β-Caryophyllene (the YL and ML oils) and borneol (the S oil) had FD-factors greater than 4. These compounds were estimated as characteristic aroma-active compounds in three parts SAFE oils. In order to determine the relative contribution of each of the aroma-active compounds to the characteristic odor, the OAV method was used. The OAV was obtained by taking into account the concentration and the odor threshold of each compound. The OAVs of aroma-active compounds in the oils are shown in Table 2. In the six oils, β-myrcene had the highest OAV (280.0-7018.7), followed by β-caryophyllene (14.9-105.6 in the YL and ML oils) and borneol (46.7-70.0 in the S oil). These compounds showed particularly high FD-factors, indicating these compounds determined the key aroma-active compounds. Generally, the aroma-active compounds with a high FD-factor also had high OAVs, confirming the positive relationship previously found between the FD-factor and OAV.35

CONCLUSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the aroma-active compounds of essential oils of three parts of C. coronarium. The result reveals that the existence of various bioactive compounds and a total of nineteen aroma-active compounds were determined by the AEDA and OAV methods. The chemical compositions of the volatile oil were also described in detail. Further studies are needed to evaluate the bioactivity by which each component. We hope that these results will be used in the future investigations in to the utilization of food and medicinal plants.
Figure 1 Gas chromatogram and aromagram (FD-factor) of essential oils by HD: 8, β-myrcene; 17, linalool; 34, β-caryophyllene; 38, (E)-β-farnesene; 58, (Z)-tibetin spiroether; 65, phytol.
Figure 2 Gas chromatogram and aromagram (FD-factor) of essential oils by SAFE: 7, benzaldehyde; 8, β-myrcene; 13, (Z)-β-ocimene; 16, methyl benzoate; 17, linalool; 34, β-caryophyllene; 38, (E)-β-farnesene.
Table 1. Chemical compound of the essential oils from C. coronarium

| No. | Rf  | compounds                              | peak area (%) | identification |
|-----|-----|----------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1   |     | 3,3-dimethyl-1-butene                 | -             | YL 1.9         |
| 2   | 848 | (E)-3-hexen-1-ol                       | 0.8           | HD 0.8         |
| 3   | 860 | (Z)-2-hexen-1-ol                       | 0.8           | ML 0.4         |
| 4   | 904 | 2-butoxy-ethanol                       | -             | YM 0.8         |
| 5   | 913 | 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethyl-cyclopentane     | -             | ML 0.5         |
| 6   | 944 | 1040 camphene                          | -             | YM 0.5         |
| 7   | 956 | 1516 benzaldehyde                      | 0.6           | ML 3.0         |
| 8   | 991 | 1140 β-myrcene                         | 20.3          | ML 3.0         |
| 9   | 1026| 1146 limonene                          | 0.7           | ML 0.6         |
| 10  | 1032| 1779 benzyl alcohol                    | -             | ML 0.5         |
| 11  | 1036| 1230 (E)-β-ocimene                     | 0.7           | HD 0.6         |
| 12  | 1042| 1642 benzeneacetaldhey                 | -             | HD 0.3         |
| 13  | 1047| 1062 (E)-β-ocimene                     | 6.9           | HD 4.8         |
| 14  | 1059| 3-methyl-2-pentyl-cyclopentane         | -             | HD 0.5         |
| 15  | 1074| 5-decanone                             | -             | HD 0.3         |
| 16  | 1094| 1594 4-methyl benzotate                | 1.1           | HD 1.4         |
| 17  | 1099| 1553 limonol                           | -             | HD 0.5         |
| 18  | 1110| 1986 phenylpropyl alcohol              | 0.6           | ML 0.4         |
| 19  | 1136| 1,4-octadiene                          | -             | ML 0.5         |
| 20  | 1162| 3,4-heptadiene                         | -             | ML 0.4         |
| 21  | 1165| 1548 borneol                           | 0.7           | ML 0.3         |
| 22  | 1170| 1640 ethyl benzolate                   | -             | ML 0.7         |
| 23  | 1178| 1527 6-undecanone                      | -             | ML 0.3         |
| 24  | 1190| 1,2-en-8-ol                           | -             | YM 0.1         |
| 25  | 1228| neo-allo-oicincen                      | 0.3           | HD 0.6         |
| 26  | 1261| (Z)-verbenyl acetate                   | 0.2           | HD 0.5         |
| 27  | 1286| 1,2-bornyl acetate                     | 1.6           | HD 1.4         |
| 28  | 1295| 4-methoxy-2-methoxy-oct-5-en-2yne      | -             | YM 0.3         |
| 29  | 1337| 1761 (E, E)-2,4-decadien               | 0.2           | HD 0.6         |
| 30  | 1348| lyralyl acetate                        | 0.2           | HD 0.4         |
| 31  | 1352| 3,6-dimethyl-undecanone                | -             | HD 0.2         |
| 32  | 1357| 2028 eugenol                           | 0.3           | HD 2.5         |
| 33  | 1376| 1517 α-copaene                         | -             | HD 0.2         |
| 34  | 1420| 1579 β-caryophyllene                   | 4.8           | HD 4.5         |
| 35  | 1430| epibicycloesquiphellandrene            | 0.5           | HD 0.5         |
| 36  | 1445| 1448 α-cubebene                        | 0.2           | HD 0.3         |
| 37  | 1454| 1614 humulene                          | 0.4           | HD 0.6         |
| 38  | 1459| 1670 (E)-β-farnesene                   | 14.6          | HD 10.5        |
| 39  | 1483| 1683 α-cubebene                        | 4.3           | HD 6.8         |
| 40  | 1495| 1732 (Z,E)-α-farnesene                 | 2.7           | ML 1.2         |
| 41  | 1498| 1683 furciansol                         | 0.4           | ML 0.7         |
| 42  | 1509| 1730 (E,E)-α-farnesene                 | 5.2           | ML 3.2         |
| 43  | 1517| α-ionone                               | -             | HD 0.8         |
| 44  | 1518| dibenzyl                               | -             | HD 0.3         |
| 45  | 1524| 1743 β-sesquiphellandrene              | 0.6           | HD 1.3         |
| 46  | 1543| 1567 α-bergamotene                     | 0.2           | HD 0.5         |
| 47  | 1598| 1954 dendiridol                        | 1.8           | HD 1.8         |
| 48  | 1643| 2168 2R-murolol                        | -             | HD 5.0         |
| 49  | 1648| cedranol                               | -             | HD 0.7         |
| 50  | 1655| α-cadinol                              | -             | HD 0.4         |
| 51  | 1670| cadaladi-1(10),3,8,triene              | -             | HD 0.6         |
| 52  | 1676| 2233 cadaladi                          | -             | HD 0.9         |
| 53  | 1805| 2249 α-sinensal                        | -             | HD 0.3         |
| 54  | 1810| (Z)-tonghao-su                         | 5.9           | HD 1.4         |
| 55  | 1838| (E)-tonghao-su                         | 0.5           | HD 0.3         |
| 56  | 1845| 2555 farnesyl acetate                  | -             | HD 0.9         |
| 57  | 1869| 7-(2,4-hexadiynylidine)-1,6-            | 2.0           | HD 0.8         |
| 58  | 1886| (Z)-tibetan spiroether                 | 10.6          | HD 8.2         |
Table 1. Continued

| No. | Rf | compounds                                      | peak area (%) | identification method |
|-----|----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| 59  | 189 | - (E)-tibetin spiroether                      | 2.4           | -                     | 0.6 | RI, MS |
| 60  | 1947| 1727 valencene                                 | 0.3           | -                     | -   | RI, MS |
| 61  | 1854| - methyl palmitate                            | 1.2           | 0.8                   | 0.9 | RI, MS |
| 62  | 1568| 2842 palmitic acid                            | -             | 0.6                   | 4.2 | RI, MS |
| 63  | 2092| - methyl linoleate                             | 0.4           | -                     | -   | RI, MS |
| 64  | 2105| - methyl linolenate                            | -             | 2.4                   | -   | RI, MS |
| 65  | 2113| 2546 phytole                                   | 6.1           | 9.6                   | 1.5 | RI, MS |
| 66  | 2121| - 11,13-dimethyl-12-tetradecen-1-ol acetate   | 0.3           | 0.4                   | -   | RI, MS |
| 67  | 2489| - cyclopentacosane                             | -             | 0.7                   | -   | RI, MS |
| 68  | 2500| 2500 pentacosane                               | 0.2           | 0.4                   | 1.2 | RI, MS |
| 69  | 2692| - heicenoyl formate                            | -             | 0.7                   | -   | RI, MS |
| 70  | 2700| 2700 heptacosane                               | -             | -                     | 1.5 | RI, MS |
| 71  | 2823| 3058 squalene                                  | 0.3           | 0.4                   | 1.4 | RI, MS |
| 72  | 2895| - cyclononacosane                              | -             | 0.3                   | -   | RI, MS |
| 73  | 2500| 2500 nonacosane                                | -             | 0.9                   | -   | RI, MS |
|    |    | hydrocarbons                                   | 63.7          | 57.0                  | 42.4 | 73.8 | 69.4 | 53.4 |
|    |    | alcohols                                       | 7.4           | 12.5                  | 13.4 | 5.1  | 9.7  | 17.4 |
|    |    | aldehydes                                      | 0.8           | 2.3                   | 1.2  | 3.9  | 4.8  | 12.9 |
|    |    | ketones                                        | 0.9           | 1.1                   | 3.4  | -   | 2.0  |   |
|    |    | esters                                         | 3.4           | 7.0                   | 10.6 | 11.7 | 9.9  | 3.7  |
|    |    | ethers                                         | 23.2          | 18.3                  | 20.4 | 1.1  | 1.6  | 3.0  |
|    |    | acids                                          | -             | 0.6                   | 4.2  | -   | -    |   |
|    |    | total                                          | 99.4          | 98.8                  | 96.8 | 65.7 | 95.4 | 92.4 |

a) Rf, retention indices determined on HP-5 and DB-WAX columns, using the homologous series of n-alkanes.
b) peak area (%) was related to total detected compounds by GC-MS.
c) identification methods: RI, retention index; MS, mass spectrum.
d) previously identified.

YL: young leaves, ML: mature leaves, S: stems

Table 2. Aroma-active compounds in the essential oils from C. coronarium

| No. compounds | odor description* | conc. (ppm)** | SAFE | cdx* threshold (ppb) | FD factor (7)* | OAV* | SAFE |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|------|----------------------|----------------|------|------|
| 2 (E)-3-Hexen-1-ol | green            | -             | 28000 | 23000                | 1400           | 1000 |      |
| 3 (E)-2-Hexen-1-ol | green, sweet     | -             | 2800  | 2800                 | 300               | 2     | 1    |
| 6                | camphone         | -             | 10000 | 140                  | 350             | 150  | 1    |
| 7                | benzaldehyde     | sweet          | 1960  | 210                  | 900              | 1010 | 6200 |
| 8                | 2-butanone       | spicy          | 66990 | 138600               | 4200            | 21750 | 5970 |
| 9                | limonene         | lemon, orange  | 2310  | 450                  | 560              | 2880  | 400  |
| 13               | (Z)-3-ocimene    | citrus          | 22770 | 35000                | 37000            | 22200 | 3500 |
| 17               | 1,8-cineole      | floral         | -     | 420                 | -               | 2800   | 6    |
| 21               | bornol           | camphone       | -     | 560                 | 900              | 2800  | 1260 |
| 27               | bornyl acetate   | camphone       | 6270  | 1500                | 30000            | 57000 | 8400 |
| 29               | 2-(E)-2,4-diacidated linalool | - | 660 | 140 | - | 350 | 19 | 3 |
| 32               | a- pinene        | sweet, floral  | -     | -                   | -               | -     | -    |
| 34               | 2-butenylacetone | spicy          | 15840 | 26100               | 5900             | 14400 | 22600 | 4200 |
| 35               | (E)-3-hexenol    | woody          | 48180 | 72000               | 42000            | 46890 | 96000 | 16380 |
| 39               | cederine         | citrus          | 14190 | 4760                | -               | 11200 | 3780 |
| 41               | germacrene D     | woody, spicy   | 1320  | 490                 | 960              | 2600  | 14300 |
| 42               | (E,E)-farnesene  | woody, sweet   | 17160 | 22400               | 7700             | 12190 | 25200 | 1120 |
| 45               | 1,5-bisepoxylinalol | woody         | 1980  | 210                 | 9100             | 9300  | 2130  | 9100 |
| 46               | eucalyptolinalol | herb, spicy    | 3950  | 42000               | -               | -     | -    |

* Odor quality perceived through the sniffing port.
** mg/kg given for 1 kg plant material. These values were computed from the volatile oil yield and GC peak area.
1 The sample concentration (1 mg/ml) was assigned as an FD factor of 1.
2 The OAV was obtained by dividing the concentrations of the odorants by their thresholds.
3 According to Apicicco & Morales, 1998.
4 According to Kunz et al., 2014a.
5 According to Kunz et al., 2014b.
6 According to Miyazawa et al., 2012.
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