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ABSTRACT

Background: Cancer is a multi-cellular disease which can arise from any cell type and organs. Adverse drug reactions (ADR) are undesirable consequence of cancer chemotherapeutic drugs. A great importance has to be given for their assessment, detection, monitoring, reporting and preventing these ADR for the beneficial effects of the patients. So the present study was undertaken for the purpose of detecting and quantifying those adverse reactions which is of some importance in therapeutic setting.

Methods: A prospective observational study conducted in chemotherapy ward, male and female patients of any age receiving cancer chemotherapy and presenting with ADR’s in duration of 3 months.

Results: 160 patients were observed. Out of 160 patients 123 presented with ADR’s. Most common ADR’s were loss of appetite (67.6), diarrhea (61.8%), vomiting (21.5%), nausea (17.7%), anemia (24.7%). Cisplatin, paclitaxel, oxaliplatin, doxorubicin, gefitinib are common drugs causing ADR’s.

Conclusions: Cancer chemotherapeutic drugs are associated with various adverse reactions. This study shows the importance of active monitoring of these reactions and measures to prevent their effects early in the treatment of cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

An adverse drug reaction (ADR) is defined by World Health Organization as “any response to a drug which is noxious, unintended and occurs at doses used in man for prophylaxis, diagnosis or therapy”.1 Cancer is a multi-cellular disease which can arise from any cell type and organs. Chemotherapy is one of the approach in the management of cancer. Some of the cancers are proven to be curable now. Though these chemotherapeutic agents are useful in treating cancer, they are commonly associated with many ADRs which impairs the quality of life, decrease the work productivity of patients. These ADRs would affect the patient clinically and economically by increasing hospital visit, hospital admission and prolonging the hospital stay.

The most commonly used chemotherapeutic agents are cisplatin, carboplatin, paclitaxel, oxaliplatin, doxorubicin etc., and they would cause mild ADR like nausea, vomiting, diarrhea to severe ADR like immunosuppression. Sometimes the ADRs are so serious and severe that, cost needed to treat morbidity and mortality due to it, is more than the cost needed to treat the actual condition of interest.2 With the introduction of pharmacovigilance in the recent times, more ADRs are reported and documented in various parts of the world which would actually help in proper analysis of the severity and prevention of these ADR’s and improve the quality of life of the patients. The outcome would help to create awareness among the healthcare providers and to prevent further ADR in the subsequent chemotherapy cycles.3
There is very less data regarding the safety profile of cancer chemotherapy in South India. So, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the pattern of ADRs occurring in cancer patients treated with chemotherapy in a tertiary care hospital in south India.

METHODS

Study design

The study was a hospital based prospective observational study conducted from May to July 2019 in patients who received chemotherapy in Oncology ward of a tertiary care hospital, K R Hospital, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore after taking approval from institutional ethical committee.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Among the patients receiving chemotherapy, those developed at least one ADR were included in the study and those who did not show any ADR were excluded from the study.

Study tools

In accordance to the ADR, ADR reporting form of Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation, Government of India, were used to collect the data regarding the details of drugs received during chemotherapy cycle and also pre-existing medical condition if any. All the suspected ADR were reported to ADR monitoring centre and also in Vigilow to National coordination Centre. To assess the causality, Naranjo Causality Assessment Scale was used.\(^4\)

Study analysis

After collecting data it was entered in MS Excel sheet. Later datasheet was generated and copied to SPSS (version 21.0). Then the analysis was done in SPSS (21.0).

RESULTS

In our study 160 patients were observed with chemotherapy. Out of which only 123 patients presented with ADR’s. Out of 160 patients females were 91 (57.6%) and males were 65 (42.4%) patients. Their demographic and socioeconomic status is shown in Table 1. Most common age group was found to be between 40-60 years. Socio-economic status was assessed using modified Kuppuswamy scale.\(^5\)

It was found that 9.7% of the study population was from upper socio economic status and 47.7% was from lower middle class family. Among the ADR’s noted, most common ADR was loss of appetite (67.6), diarrhea (61.8%) vomiting (21.5%), anemia (24.7%), nausea (17.7%). Cisplatin, paclitaxel, oxaliplatin, doxorubicin are common drugs causing ADR’s.

Figure 1: Categories of cancer patients observed.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics (n=123).

|                     | Number | %     |
|---------------------|--------|-------|
| **Gender**          |        |       |
| Male                | 49     | 39.8  |
| Female              | 72     | 58.5  |
| **Age (in years)**  |        |       |
| Upto 20             | 2      | 1.6   |
| 21-30               | 12     | 9.7   |
| 31-40               | 21     | 17.07 |
| 41-50               | 35     | 28.45 |
| 51-60               | 36     | 29.26 |
| >60                 | 17     | 13.82 |
| **Socioeconomic status** |    |       |
| High                | 12     | 9.7   |
| Average             | 53     | 43    |
| Low                 | 58     | 47.7  |
| **BMI**             |        |       |
| Underweight (below 18.5 kg/m\(^2\)) | 36 | 29.2 |
| Normal (18.5-24.9)  | 62     | 50.4  |
| Overweight (25.5-29.5) | 22 | 17.8 |
| Obese (30 and above)| 03     | 2.4   |
Causality and severity assessment

In this study it showed that 23.4% was probable, 68.2% was possible and 8.4% was definite reactions according to Naranjo causality assessment scale.

![Figure 2: Adverse drug reactions observed among cancer chemotherapy patients.](image1)

![Figure 3: Drugs responsible for adverse drug reactions.](image2)

![Figure 4: Naranjo causality assessment scale.](image3)

DISCUSSION

In our study it is found that the incidence of ADR was found to be higher in female patients and also the increased incidence is seen in mean age of 45±10 years similar to study conducted by Podder et al. The incidence of ADR was more common in elderly patients which could be due to the decreased organ function and decreased metabolizing activity and decreased excretion of the drugs leading to their accumulation in the body and leading to this scenario. And the majority of the patients admitted in hospital for cancer chemotherapy were upper middle class and lower economic class population according to the socio economic status of the patients.

Cisplatin, paclitaxel, carboplatin, oxaliplatin, cyclophosphamid regimens are more commonly associated with ADR which is comparable with other study reports. The most common system involved in ADR was gastrointestinal tract with the symptoms like loss of appetite, vomiting and diarrhea which is similar to study. In our study nausea and vomiting was less compared to other ADR’s and this was because of the premedication given to the patients i.e., antiemetics like ondansatron, ranitidine, pantoprazole, dexamethasone etc.

Anaemia was found in 24.7% of the patients which could be due to chemotherapy induced myelosuppression which normally occurs 2-3 weeks after starting chemotherapy which could be managed by blood transfusion and erythropoietin. Neutropenia was seen in 7% of the patients which could be due to chemotherapy which can also damage rapidly dividing cells of bone marrow resulting in myelosuppression thus affecting white blood cells, platelets and red blood cells. Thrombocytopenia was found to be 17.2% in the patients receiving cancer chemotherapy which was grade I and grade II. Grade III and Grade IV thrombocytopenia might lead to complications, delay in the treatment, poor outcome and bad prognosis of the patient.

Data obtained from Naranjo causality assessment scale showed 23.4% of the ADR fell into category of possible, 68.2% of the ADR into probable and 8.4% into definite category. The severity assessment was done using Hartwig et al severity scale and it was found that 77% of the ADR’s were mild, 19.8% was found to be moderate and 3.2% was found to be severe. Mild reactions did not require any change of drugs nor extended hospital stay, were patients with moderate reactions substituted with alternative drugs and treatment for the reactions. Severe ADR increased the hospital stay, increased financial expenditure.

CONCLUSION

Cancer was a leading cause of death worldwide but with the recent advances in cancer chemotherapy some of the cancers are proven to be cured. But these cancer chemotherapy are associated with various ADRs. This study highlights the common ADR’s associated with the cancer chemotherapy drugs and gives an insight of causality and severity of ADRs associated with chemotherapy and this is to create awareness among the healthcare providers which would help to prevent further occurrence of similar reactions in the same patient. The
main intension behind this has led to early detection of common ADR and to contribute towards achieving goal of pharmacovigilance in the country.
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