Commentary: A New Model for Chronic Care to Improve Patient’s Skill
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More effective treatment and increased survival from acute cardiometabolic conditions, such as heart attack and stroke, and an aging population has resulted in more people living with chronic conditions, such as coronary artery disease, heart failure, hypertension, high cholesterol, diabetes, and obesity1. With increased incentives to focus on the long-term outcomes of patients, we believe that there is an even greater need for more effective models for chronic care, both in research and in clinical practice2. Current approaches for increasing patient involvement range from low tech and low touch to high tech and high touch interventions that are delivered inconsistently and ineffectively. In cardiovascular disease and diabetes these approaches include formal and informal educational methods, print and digital media, apps and wearables that track activity blood pressure, weight, and glucose, and disease management programs such as cardiac rehabilitation and diabetes self-management programs3. Ultimately, high impact models are challenging to deliver in a clinical setting due to operational, resource, and reimbursement challenges.

In working together, we have created multiple programs that have been delivered at medical offices and corporations4,5. On this journey there have been many times where people increased their knowledge and self-management skills, engaged in effective decision making and action, and improved their health significantly. Others became very health literate, in fact some to the point they could teach other patients the material, and yet they did not engage in improving their own health. After studying individuals with cardiovascular disease and diabetes, it became evident that being knowledgeable about their health was not enough. Chronic conditions, such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes require a high level of patient involvement, including taking medications and following nutrition and physical activity plans6,7. In addition, the challenge of addressing health literacy in chronic disease includes the lack of symptoms, or a lack of awareness of how symptoms are related to the condition, and thus the lack of urgency or continual reminding to remain engaged and active in managing the condition. This “I feel fine” syndrome reduces anxiety and the focus on treating the condition, resulting in non-adherence; in the case of asthma or COPD worsening of symptoms, and in the case of hypertension and elevated cholesterol advancement of the disease without additional symptoms. The challenge is in having the patient acquire an understanding of their
situation that results in them taking positive lifestyle and medical condition management changes, accessing the healthcare system more effectively, and communicating more effectively with their healthcare team, resulting in better health outcomes.

Breakthroughs in scientific research are limited by the lack of engagement on the part of the patients. New technologies have been developed to make it easier for patients engage to manage their health and communicate with their healthcare team but will not make an impact on health outcomes at the current rate of adoption. While it is challenging to engage many patients as active participants in their care, this also represents an enormous opportunity to improve how healthcare is delivered.

Recently, the American Heart Association published a scientific statement on health literacy in cardiovascular disease. In the further exploration we have found that in addition to understanding how to manage their health, health literacy also includes the ability to calculate numbers (numeracy), navigate the healthcare system, communicate with healthcare professionals and care givers, and make good, well informed decisions. Health literacy, therefore, involves more than simply communicating at a 5th grade reading level, it requires building the knowledge and skills of the patient to meet the demands and complexity of the condition. In fact, there is a pathway from health literacy to health outcomes, including achievement of treatment goals, reduced hospitalizations, and cost. Also, critical and functional skills, such as numeracy, navigation, communication, and decision making have the potential to improve patient outcomes.

A New Model for Chronic Care

We have, therefore, developed a new model for chronic care management that is focused on functional and critical health literacy skills (Figure 1). These skills allow the patient to better understand their condition and how to manage it and provide actionable steps to manage their health. These skills go beyond knowledge and comprehension to actionable skills that can help the patient apply this knowledge, including functional and critical health literacy skills. These skills are not new nor are they unique to health literacy. They do, however, appear to build from understanding the concept (knowledge and comprehension) to understanding the numbers associated with the condition (numeracy) to knowing how to access the healthcare system (navigation), to being able to communicate effectively with healthcare providers and care givers to ultimately making good, well informed decisions. This model applies not only to patients with low health literacy skills, but to all patients with chronic conditions, such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes.

While knowledge alone may not result in better health, it forms the foundation that will lead to more effective functional skills, such as numeracy and navigation, and critical skills, such as communication and decision making. The key is to present the information in manner that is relevant to the building higher levels skills. For example, while the patient may not need to fully understand the mechanism of action of their medications, they do need to understand which side effects they should be able to recognize side effects, and what to do if they appear. Example of knowledge and skills in each domain are presented in Table 1.

![Figure 1: Progression of health literacy skills in chronic disease](image-url)
This model can be implemented using either high or low touch solutions and either high or low-tech approaches. High touch solutions include the use of health coaches, or programs such as cardiac rehabilitation and diabetes self-management programs. Low touch solutions include print or text-based materials, such as a brochure or a static web page. High tech approaches might include the internet, mobile applications, or wearable devices. Low tech approaches face to face interactions with doctors, nurses, and other health care professionals. The key is to build a support system for patients, that teaches them the foundational skills necessary to master their health condition and then to implement this plan during the critical time when they are initially trying to figure out how to manage their illness. These skills include becoming more literate about healthcare issues relevant to their condition and being able to perform self-care. To be a successful model, it must be inclusive of all patients, regardless of their use of self-paced care devices (i.e., a mobile health app) or part of a program, (i.e. a cardiac rehabilitation). In addition, investments in educational and technological resources, and a commitment by healthcare professionals to educate patients will further increase the model’s effectiveness.

Of course, more research is needed to validate this chronic care model in a clinical setting studying the effect that this model has on the outcomes of patients with cardiovascular disease, hypertension, heart failure, hyperlipidemia, atrial fibrillation, diabetes, and obesity. Also, better resources are required to help the patient evaluate the source of information, so they are not misled by solutions with unsubstantiated claims.
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