Bidirectional C and N transfer and a potential role for sulfur in an epiphytic diazotrophic mutualism
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Abstract
In nitrogen-limited boreal forests, associations between feathermoss and diazotrophic cyanobacteria control nitrogen inputs and thus carbon cycling, but little is known about the molecular regulators required for initiation and maintenance of these associations. Specifically, a benefit to the cyanobacteria is not known, challenging whether the association is a nutritional mutualism. Targeted mutagenesis of the cyanobacterial alkane sulfonate monoxygenase results in an inability to colonize feathermosses by the cyanobacterium Nostoc punctiforme, suggesting a role for organic sulfur in communication or nutrition. Isotope probing paired with high-resolution imaging mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS) demonstrated bidirectional elemental transfer between partners, with carbon and sulfur both being transferred to the cyanobacteria, and nitrogen transferred to the moss. These results support the hypothesis that moss and cyanobacteria enter a mutualistic exosymbiosis with substantial bidirectional material exchange of carbon and nitrogen and potential signaling through sulfur compounds.

Introduction
Boreal forest ecosystems cover almost 20% of the Earth’s land mass, store ~30% of terrestrial carbon (C) and nitrogen (N), and play a key role in global C and N biogeochemical cycles [1, 2]. Up to 50% of the N input into boreal forests derives from N-fixation by cyanobacteria living epiphytically on feathermosses such as Pleurozium schreberi and Hlycomium splendens [3, 4]. There is growing evidence that feathermoss and associated epiphytic cyanobacterial communities are fundamental drivers of boreal forest C and N cycling [4–7]. However, cyanobacterial N-fixation is dynamic, with variability attributed to extrinsic factors such as temperature, light, precipitation, fertilizers, and phylogeny of cyanobacterial communities associated with feathermosses [8–13]. Defining the controls on these epiphytic associations, such as molecular exchanges that regulate their establishment and maintenance, is critical to our ability to predict C and N cycling under dynamic conditions.

For endophytic cyanobacteria-plant symbioses, which are better characterized than epiphytic symbioses, it has been fairly well established that fixed-N products are the main cyanobacterial commodity, and reduced C appears to be best-supported host commodity. These mutualistic symbioses, including angiosperm, hornwort, liverwort and cycad hosts, are mostly facultative [14]. The symbioses form when the host is N-limited, and the symbiotic cyanobacteria release 80–90% of their fixed-N products to the host [15]. It has been shown that reduced C from the plant host fuels at least two-thirds of N-fixation activity between the hornwort Anthoceros sp. and Nostoc cyanobacterium [15, 16]. In cycad and fern associations, cyanobacterial RubisCO protein abundance and photoautotrophic C fixation activity both decrease when in symbiosis relative to free-living growth [15], again pointing to the role of reduced C, possibly as sugar [17], as the host provided commodity.
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For epiphytic associations, there may be similarities, but the picture is less clear. There is evidence that N-fixation is important: fixed-N products from the cyanobacteria are assimilated into the moss biomass, only N-limited moss are colonized [18], and these cyanobacteria induce an equal or greater growth benefit to *Gunniera* under N limitation than exogenously supplied N [19]. However, the epiphytic cyanobacteria maintain high levels of gene expression for photosynthesis and C fixation, at least on the holobiont scale [20]. This indicates they may be primarily acquiring their energy and C photoautotrophically and may not require organic C inputs from the moss to maintain their N-fixation activity. It is thus possible that the feathermoss may not provide anything in return for the fixed-N products. This raises the question of whether this association is unidirectional or, alternatively, a symbiotic nutritional mutualism—defined here as a persistent physical association [21], with bidirectional exchange of nutritive chemicals or information [22], wherein each organism functions as both consumer and resource [23].

Aside from the potential organic C provided to the cyanobacteria, another possible benefit could be sulfur (S) provision. We recently examined the genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and exoproteomic features of *Nostoc* species that can and cannot colonize feathermosses [20]. Many genes involved in organic S transport and metabolism, in particular alkanes and sulfonate sulfonates, were present in genomes of colonization-competent *Nostoc* strains and absent from the incompetent strain’s genome [20]. When expanded to include all facultative symbiotic *Nostoc* strains (11 total), gene families for organic S transport and metabolism were overrepresented [19]. Global gene and protein expression analysis demonstrated induction of many of these S-related genes during colonization with the feathermoss, as compared to growth alone [20].

Along with numerous copies of sulfonate transporters, a gene coding for a putative alkan sulfonate monooxygenase (AMSO, Npun_F5882) is present in all facultative symbiotic *Nostoc* genomes and absent from the incompetent strain [19]. This enzyme catalyzes conversion of alkan sulfonates to sulfate, which could then feed essential L-cysteine production [24]. Related gene families are expressed by a soybean root-nodulating bacterium, *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, while in the plant host [25], indicating the potential importance of this gene family and organic S in N-fixing symbioses with plants. We hypothesize that this gene may have been an essential horizontal genetic acquisition that allowed for *Nostoc* colonization and establishment of feathermoss associations.

In order to better constrain the commodities essential to this putative mutualism, we set out to examine organic S and C transfer from the moss to the cyanobacteria and compare it to N transfer from the cyanobacteria to the moss. We define “transfer” here as referring to the translocation of a chemical compound, including both active and passive mechanisms, in keeping with other studies using high-resolution imaging secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS) paired with stable isotope probing (nanoSIP) (e.g., [26]). We utilized an axenic line of feathermoss *P. schreberi* [27] and setup a controlled experimental system to initiate colonization between *P. schreberi* and *Nostoc* strains. Using a targeted gene knockout, we generated a completely segregated cyanobacterial mutant cell line and demonstrate the essential role of the *Nostoc punctiforme* sulfur metabolism gene AMSO in establishment of the symbiosis. We then conducted a follow-up experiment to detect and quantify transfer of N, C, and S between *P. schreberi* and a cyanobacterial epiphyte isolated from *P. schreberi*, *Nostoc* sp. Moss2 (referred to as *Nostoc* M2 hereafter). Applying NanoSIMS and nanoSIP, we demonstrate bilateral elemental transfer.

### Materials and methods

#### Moss and cyanobacteria growth conditions

Axenic moss tissues of *P. schreberi* were used for all experiments [27]. Nitrogen fixation rates were measured on triplicate 1 mL samples from 2-week-old cultures placed in 10 mL chromatography vials equipped with rubber septa and measured by acetylene reduction assay ([28] and Supplementary Methods). For the stable isotope, labeling *P. schreberi* was grown on 33S substituted BCD agar media overlaid with filter paper for 56 days. For 18 days prior to experiment initiation 33S-labeled *P. schreberi* (~200 gametophytes) were incubated with 13CO2 (Supplementary Methods).

#### Construction of cyanobacterial mutant

A plasmid to replace the putative alkanesulfonate monooxygenase (AMSO, Npun_F5882) was present in all facultative symbiotic *Nostoc* genomes and absent from the incompetent strain [19]. This enzyme catalyzes conversion of alkan sulfonates to sulfate, which could then feed essential L-cysteine production [24]. Related gene families are expressed by a soybean root-nodulating bacterium, *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, while in the plant host [25], indicating the potential importance of this gene family and organic S in N-fixing symbioses with plants. We hypothesize that this gene may have been an essential horizontal genetic acquisition that allowed for *Nostoc* colonization and establishment of feathermoss associations.

In order to better constrain the commodities essential to this putative mutualism, we set out to examine organic S and C transfer from the moss to the cyanobacteria and compare it to N transfer from the cyanobacteria to the moss.
Colonization experimental design and infection rates

The colonization experiment was set up as described in [18]. Briefly, permeable polycarbonate membrane inserts with 8 µm pore size were used to allow attraction and colonization of the cyanobacteria to the moss, using 1/5 Bg110 liquid growth media below the inserts. Four replicates of each treatment with different cyanobacterial strains were incubated in well plates. To assess rates of colonization, the inserts with the moss were blotted onto filter paper to remove excess media and free-living cyanobacteria, then placed into a 24-welled black plate (PerkinElmer, USA) and read daily on a Hidex sense microplate reader (Hidex, Turku, Finland). Readings were at 490 nm emission, 520 excitation nm to detect the phycobilin photosynthetic accessory pigments in cyanobacteria [30], which corresponds to the amount of cyanobacteria that has colonized the moss. As a control, 10 µM Fluorescein (Sigma Aldrich) was used in every run to ensure all values were corrected for during the experiment. Due to possible variable background autofluorescence from both the filters and the moss, positive colonization was determined by consistent increase in fluorescence over time (determined by a linear regression analysis).

Triple stable isotope probing experiments

33S-labeled and 13C-labeled (“labeled”) and natural abundance control (“unlabeled”) P. schreberi gametophytes and unlabeled Nostoc M2 liquid culture were acclimated to 1/5 Bg110 liquid media for 24 h, after which gametophytes and acclimated Nostoc M2 culture were primed for colonization by incubating together for 24 h under chemical contact [20]. Wells were incubated in sealed labeling chambers with 13CO2 or 12CO2 for labeled and unlabeled gametophytes, respectively, as described in Supplementary Methods. To allow initiation of colonization, primed gametophytes were rinsed with sterile unlabeled media to remove any excess label and incubated with primed unlabeled Nostoc M2 cultures for 6 h in unlabeled media and headspace. Colonized gametophytes (either labeled or unlabeled, ~10 per vial) were subsequently placed into five prepared sterilized 37.2 mL Wheaton vials with 3 mL of 1/5 Bg110 agar, sealed, and capped. A subset of labeled, primed, uncolonized phyllids were mounted, and unlabeled Nostoc M2 cultures was pipetted directly on top of the phyllids and allowed to dry. SIMS imaging was performed with a CAMECA NanoSIMS 50 microprobe at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, as detailed in Supplementary Methods. Due to the high 33S enrichment of the moss tissue (mean 33S/32S of 0.96), redeposition of 33S ions onto the Nostoc M2 cells was a concern. Therefore, 1 × 1 µm2 spot analyses (32 × 32 pixels, 0.3 ms/pxl, 50 cycles) were used instead of image analysis for the cyanobacterial analyses (Fig. S2a). The cycle data for each spot analysis were inspected for significant increases in the 33S enrichment with depth, which would indicate intrusion into underlying highly 33S-enriched moss tissue (Fig. S2b, c); no such increases with depth were observed for these analyses. Sputtering of the surrounding region was limited while locating target cyanobacterial cells to minimize ion yield from the phyllids, and no pre-analysis sputtering was used because of the rapid rate of sample erosion with this small raster. This approach reduced 33S background from the phyllids to ~2.4%, as determined by fitting a linear regression model to the data for killed control cells on 33S- and 13C-labeled phyllids (Controls 1 and 2) and the
unlabeled control (Fig. S3). The true 33S fraction for each cell ($f_{\text{cyano-est}}$) was estimated based on the slope of the regression in a mixing model, 0.024, and the measured 33S fraction for the phyllids ($f_{\text{moss-meas}}$) and cyanobacterial cells ($f_{\text{cyano-meas}}$):

$$0.024 \times f_{\text{moss-meas}} + (1 - 0.024) \times f_{\text{cyano-meas}} = f_{\text{cyano-est}},$$

which was solved for $f_{\text{cyano-est}}$.

Image data were processed to extract ratio data from selected regions of interest using custom software (L’image, L. Nittler, Carnegie Institution of Washington), and the spot analysis isotopic ratios were calculated directly by the NanoSIMS software. The data are presented as atom percent excess (APE) [33, 34], as detailed in Supplementary Methods.

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed using Prism Graphpad (Graphpad Software, San Diego CA). Values between treatment and control for each isotope were compared using a one-way ANOVA with a Dunnett’s post hoc test to compare each time point to the control (alpha: 0.05). For the 15N cyanobacteria results, a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey post hoc test was also conducted to compare between time points (alpha: 0.05). As part of our one-way ANOVA, we report $R^2$ values, which quantify the strength of the relationship between group membership and the variable measured. Simple linear regression analyses were performed on colonization data to determine whether a slope deviated significantly from 0 ($p<0.01$). Pearson correlation analyses were performed comparing mean cyanobacterial 15N APE with mean 13C APE and 33S APE, respectively, at each time point (two tailed, alpha of 0.05). For the Nostoc M2 growth alone, a Pearson correlation analysis (two tailed, alpha of 0.05) and simple linear regression were performed on all cells analyzed to test correlation between 13C and 33S uptake over time.

**Results**

**Role of Npun_5882, a putative AMSO, in moss colonization**

To investigate whether Npun_5882 may play a role in this symbiosis, we generated a completely segregated mutant (N. punctiforme ΔF5882::NeoR, referred to as ΔF5882 hereafter) deficient in the FMNH(2)-dependent alkane sulfonate monooxygenase (“AMSO,” Npun_F5882) gene in the N. punctiforme PCC 73102 background. We utilized the newly developed axenic P. schreberi line [27], allowing for rapid, replicated, and repeatable colonization assays (Fig. 1a, b). ΔF5882 was deficient in colonization of P. schreberi compared to: wild type, Nostoc M2, and another mutant N. punctiforme strain, pks2− [35] (Fig. 1c). Linear regression analyses indicated significant ($p<0.01$) positive slopes for wild type, Nostoc M2, and pks2− (Fig. 1c).
By contrast, the slope for ΔF5882 was not significantly different from 0 (p = 0.1976), and the known symbiosis-incompetent strain, *Nostoc* sp. CALU 996 (N. 996) [20], had a slightly negative slope (p = 0.010). There were some differences in the positive slopes between colonization-competent strains (wild type, *Nostoc* M2, and *pks2*−), but since the experiment was not designed to compare rates of colonization, we cannot rule out confounding factors. We also verified the capability of ΔF5882 for N-fixation and heterocyst formation (Fig. 2). This indicates that the inability of ΔF5882 to colonize is independent from these other necessary functions. N-fixation was slightly higher in the mutant, but a time-resolved analysis would be required to confirm this. These results establish the AMSO gene in *N. punctiforme* as essential for colonization, and, in turn, that cyanobacterial catabolism of alkane sulfonates is linked to colonization.

**NanoSIP and transfer of N, C, and S between *P. schreberi* and *Nostoc* M2 over time**

Using stable isotope probing combined with NanoSIMS (“nanoSIP,” [33]), we examined 15N, 13C, and 33S label assimilation into single *Nostoc* M2 cells colonizing *P. schreberi* phyllids, as well as underlying *P. schreberi* tissue. The *Nostoc* M2 strain was isolated from *P. schreberi* and chosen for its ecological relevance. 15N2 (gas) was added at the initiation of the 12-day incubation, following the 6 h colonization incubation, allowing for quantification of N-fixation by the cyanobacterium and transfer of fixed-N products to the moss. Using correlated fluorescence microscopy and SEM, we identified locations on phyllid surfaces with *Nostoc* M2 colonization (Figs. 3 and S4) for subsequent nanoSIP analyses at 24, 48 h and 12 days after experiment initiation. In this experimental setup, only the cyanobacterium is capable of N-fixation, so enrichment of 15N in the moss indicates N fixed by the cyanobacterium is transferred to the moss. As the moss is pre-labeled with 13C and 33S, enrichment of the cyanobacterial cells in these isotopes indicates reverse transfer, though as discussed below, the C transfer requires some estimates of respiration versus photosynthesis by the moss.

As anticipated based on previous results [18], N transfer from the cyanobacterium to the moss was observed (Fig. 4a, b). This transfer was evident in some of the moss tissue analyzed at 24 and 48 h following initiation of 15N2 labeling, with significant increases in 15N enrichment (APE) in all gametophyte moss tissue analyzed by 12 days, relative to the control (F(4, 13) = 5.512, p = 0.0081, R² = 0.63). In the cyanobacterium, N-fixation was detectable in some *Nostoc* M2 cells at 24 h. By 48 h there was a significant shift in the mean ratio relative to the control (F(4, 83) = 238.8, p < 0.0001, R² = 0.92). 15N enrichment increased through 12 days, with the means between 24 and 48 h significantly different, as well as between 48 h and 12 days (Figs. 4a and S5), indicating that the cyanobacterium is fixing N constantly while colonizing the moss. The increase between 24 and 48 h is greater (14.15 ± 0.937 mean increase) than the increase between 48 h and 12 days (8.75 ± 0.920 mean increase) (Fig. S5), indicating a possible reduction of, but still continued, net N-fixation after 48 h.
The $^{13}$C enrichment data demonstrate that moss-derived C is transferred to the cyanobacterium. The moss was $^{13}$C labeled prior to the initiation of colonization and subsequent incubation to $0.92^{\pm}0.92$–$1.14^{\pm}1.14$ $^{13}$C APE (Fig. 4). The cyanobacterium was statistically significantly enriched in $^{13}$C by 24 h, relative to the control, and was higher at 48 h and 12 days (Fig. 4c, $F(4, 83) = 119.7$, $p < 0.0001$, $R^2 = 0.85$). The moss $^{13}$C enrichment was not significantly different from the control at the 24 and 48 h time points, but by 12 days, the mean moss enrichment was lower, due to dilution of the label from moss fixation of the natural abundance CO$_2$ in the incubation vial (Fig. 4d, $F(4, 13) = 7.041$, $p = 0.003$, $R^2 = 0.68$). Since the cyanobacterium is also fixing CO$_2$, some of the $^{13}$C cyanobacterial enrichment could be coming from $^{13}$CO$_2$ respired by the moss. Consequently, we measured $^{13}$CO$_2$ in the headspace in order to constrain the input C sources (Supplementary Table S2). The $^{13}$C APE of the CO$_2$ was $0.33 \pm 0.01^{\text{C}}$ APE at 24 h, which is lower than the mean cyanobacterial enrichment at that time ($0.51 \pm 0.02$, Fig. 4c), indicating that at least some of the C originated from moss-derived organic C. By 12 days the $^{13}$C APE of CO$_2$ in the headspace had decreased to match the no-isotope control ($-0.023 \pm 0.009$ and $-0.016 \pm 0.010$, respectively), while the mean enrichment of the cyanobacterium ($1.44 \pm 0.34$) was higher than the earlier time point and the bulk moss tissue.

The $^{33}$S enrichment data demonstrate S transfer from the moss to the cyanobacterium, at slower rate than C. The moss gametophytes were cultured on media with $^{33}$S-enriched sodium sulfate as the only S source and were highly enriched in $^{33}$S prior to colonization and incubation (Fig. 4). This high level of moss labeling contributed to background $^{33}$S signal for our analyses of control cyanobacterial cells, which were unlabeled and located on labeled moss tissue. Consequently, two moss tissue controls with differing levels of $^{33}$S enrichment (Fig. 4f) were used to calculate $^{33}$S background as a function of moss enrichment and correct the cyanobacterial cell $^{33}$S/$^{32}$S measurements (Fig. S3). In contrast with the pattern observed for $^{13}$C, the cyanobacterial cells were not enriched in $^{33}$S at 24 and 48 h. However, the mean at 12 days did significantly increase compared to each of the controls (Fig. 4e, $F(4, 83) = 16.71$, $p < 0.0001$, $R^2 = 0.45$; $F(4, 91) = 9.579$, $p < 0.0001$, $R^2 = 0.30$). Total mean assimilation of $^{33}$S in the cyanobacterial cells by 12 days was $3.9 \pm 2.2$ APE compared to the average moss tissue at 12 days of $41.6 \pm 7.2$ APE. Furthermore, mean C transfer correlated linearly with mean N-fixation over time, whereas S transfer did not significantly increase until after 48 h (Fig. 5).

To put these results in context, we conducted an additional experiment to examine direct sulfate assimilation by Nostoc M2 cultured photoautotrophically in isolation with Na$_2^{33}$SO$_4$ (99 at%) and $^{13}$C-bicarbonate (99 at%). This resulted in a 7 fold higher rate of sulfur assimilation relative to the rate of sulfur assimilation from the moss when grown together, assuming that the S provided by the moss had the average moss $^{33}$S enrichment (Fig. S6a). In addition, in these cultures, we found sulfate assimilation correlated linearly with C fixation ($r = 0.89$, $R^2 = 0.79$, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. S6b), indicating S is required for growth in a consistent stoichiometry with C.

**Discussion**

We set out to characterize the nature of nutrient exchange between epiphytically associated cyanobacteria and *P. schreberi*, which was unresolved. Using a combination of targeted mutagenesis and nanoSIP, we show that (1) a previously unrecognized gene family is essential for the colonization and (2) there is bidirectional mutualistic elemental transfer between the moss and cyanobacterium.

Our genetic manipulation results demonstrate that a gene coding for a putative AMSO is essential for feathermoss
colonization (Fig. 1). There is evidence that utilization of organic S sources may be important to other diazotrophic symbiont taxa; *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, a soybean root-nodulating bacterium, was found to express a suite of genes under control of a N-fixation regulator when grown with sulfonates as the sole S source and also expressed these genes.
sulfonate utilization genes, including AMSO, while in the symbiotic root nodules [25]. In addition, like in Nostoc, these genes are predicted to be part of an important host adaptation [36]. Our mutant, N. punctiforme ΔF5882, was capable of N-fixation and differentiation of heterocysts, two phenotypic adaptations previously known to be important for stable and efficient symbiosis [37, 38]. This suggests that the deficiency in colonization has to do with utilization or detection of organic alkane sulfonate compounds and is separate from the ability to provide N to the moss (which has already been shown to be important for colonization [18]). These S compounds likely originate from the moss, since N. punctiforme does not appear to have the required biosynthetic pathways. However, based on the global gene expression profiles from Warshan et al. [20], it appears that cyanobacteria colonizing mosses are not S limited. While AMSO does not appear to be significantly upregulated when in contact with the moss, aliphatic sulfonate transporters in a predicted operon with AMSO are upregulated, whereas sulfate transporters are all downregulated (20) and Supplementary Table S3). Given the lack of S limitation signatures, this suggests the possibility of an additional or alternative role from nutrition for the alkane sulfonates.

Our nanoSIP results also point to some differences in S transfer compared to C and N. S transfer by nanoSIP is only detectable after 12 days of colonization, indicating that this process is slower and lower than C transfer. Furthermore, whereas moss-derived C assimilation correlated with N-fixation over time, moss-derived S assimilation did not (Fig. 5). This is unlikely to be solely the result of a low S requirement, or low detection limits, since the Nostoc M2 culture clearly exhibited a rapid increase in 33S/32S ratios when the cyanobacterium in isolation was provided with 33S-enriched sulfate. Even considering that growth rates are likely to be lower when in symbiosis, as has been shown in the endophytic symbioses [39], this level of S transfer, while significant, is unlikely enough to satisfy growth requirements.

Taken together, these results indicate that the organic S commodity provided by the moss host may play a different role than solely nutrient provision. One possibility is that the organic S transfer observed is primarily the exchange of a communication molecule. Such molecules would be expected to accumulate in lower levels. Communication with the moss is likely important for several stages of the association. For example, in the endophytic cyanobacterial–plant symbioses, hosts such as the hornwort Anthoceros, liverwort Blasia, angiosperm Gunnera, and cycads, are known to influence motile hormogonia development through production of inducing factors (HIF). In addition, hormogonia-repressing factors in Anthoceros, heterocyst induction factors in Anthoceros and Gunnera, and cell division repression in Anthoceros and cycads have been detected (for review see [40]). Feathermosses also make HIF, although some species that induce hormogonia do not form associations with Nostoc, indicating there are additional mechanisms required for colonization [18]. While an HIF isolated from cycad roots has been recently characterized [41], most of these molecules remain uncharacterized chemically and could include S groups. Hydrogen sulfide is a known plant signaling molecule [42], and S-containing molecules can play a role in redox-related signaling [43]. Furthermore, in nodule-forming diazotrophic symbioses, Nod factors (produced by the symbiotic rhizobia
to induce host nodulation) can be sulfated and may play a role in host specificity [44]. However, as far as we are aware, sulfonated plant hormones have not been described, so this could be a novel plant signaling molecule. If the symbiosis-competent *Nostoc* can assimilate these specific compounds to the exclusion of other potential colonizing bacteria, as reflected by their genomic content [19], the moss host may be using S metabolites to regulate microbial colonization.

Our results further indicate continuous organic C transfer from the moss to the cyanobacterium, correlated with cyanobacterial N-fixation, which was unexpected, given the global gene and protein expression profiling [20]. We cannot rule out, and indeed believe, that the cyanobacterium is also fixing CO₂. However, in this closed system with only one input of enriched [¹³C] (the moss tissue), the cyanobacteria cell enrichment data and the headspace analysis indicate that they are also receiving organic C from the moss. Specifically, in the cyanobacterial cells we see an increase in [¹³C] enrichment over time, above the [¹³C] enrichment in the headspace. By contrast, in the moss tissue, whose only C input is CO₂ fixation, we see a decrease in enrichment, even though the moss is likely to have grown less than the cyanobacteria in this 12-day study. By 12 days, the cyanobacterial [¹³C] enrichment exceeded the bulk moss tissue enrichment, indicating that the cyanobacteria have obtained recently fixed moss C. While organic C provision by the host is in line with other cyanobacterial–plant associations such as cycads and *Gunnera* [15], global expression data had revealed that photosynthesis and C fixation gene transcripts were still among the top most abundant transcripts during chemical and physical association with the moss, despite some slight downregulation relative to growth in isolation [20]. This was distinct from other associations, where the cyanobacteria dramatically decrease photosynthesis and C fixation gene expression [17, 37]. Simultaneous organic C assimilation and CO₂-fixation has been shown for other cyanobacterial groups, which supplement their photoautotrophic C with organic sources [45, 46]. It is also possible that despite high transcript and protein levels, Rubisco activity is low *in planta*, as shown in the *Azolla*-cyanobacteria symbiosis [47, 48]. A final possibility is that *Nostoc* M2 is segregating carbon metabolic activities between heterocysts assimilating and respiring organic C, and vegetative cells continuing to fix CO₂, which could be explored by more targeted NanoSIP experiments. Regardless, our results indicate that organic C is one of the commodities provided by the moss, likely to fuel N-fixation.

This work sheds new light on still-open questions regarding how the symbiosis is maintained. One mechanism to maintain cooperation in a complex network of interactors is to employ bidirectional control of nutrient transfer, as seen in mycorrhizal symbioses [49]. It is unclear whether this is the case for the feathermoss–cyanobacterium symbiosis. Bidirectional control requires some degree of exchange exclusivity, which in this epiphytic association is a potential challenge, since the exuded molecules from either moss or cyanobacteria might be available to opportunistic microbes, or “cheater” partners. From microscopic observations, there does not appear to be a septum or shared membrane between the partners, so it is expected that transfer is indirect, through exudation. It is known that these filamentous cyanobacteria have dedicated transporters for transferring substrates such as glutamine and sugars between cells on a filament [50], but it is also hypothesized that there may be passive diffusion between cells [51]. Exclusivity could be maintained through compound specificity and signaling. Identifying the moss-provided C and S compounds, their metabolic costs (e.g., metabolic by-products or specialized compounds), and their general bioavailability would help resolve this. There is also the possibility that other nutrients, such as Mo and P [52], could also be transferred along with the C and play a role in maintaining cooperation, which could be resolved by characterizing the compounds transferred. Another possibility is that non-nutritional benefits like protection could play a role in maintaining cooperation, as suggested for the *Sphagnum*-cyanobacteria association [53]. Future work to determine the identity of transferred molecules and regulation of their production will provide insight into the selective forces governing stability of the mutualism.

Collectively, these results demonstrate the multifaceted nature of metabolic transfer between feathermoss and cyanobacteria, establishing a mutualistic symbiosis and suggesting roles for exchange of these nutrients in initiation and maintenance of the symbiosis in natural systems. Continuous N transfer to the moss likely drives moss benefits, as expected. Carbon nutrition may fuel cyanobacterial N-fixation, while transfer of S may play a role in initiation with appropriate partners. These results also provide a novel avenue for the role of S in any plant–microbial symbiosis, one distinct from C and N nutrition, providing new directions for future work into chemical signaling between the two partners including chemical identification of the transferred signaling and nutritional compounds.
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