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ABSTRACT

We report observations of white-light ejecta in the low corona, for two X-class flares on 2013 May 13, using data from the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) of the Solar Dynamics Observatory. At least two distinct kinds of sources appeared (chromospheric and coronal), in the early and later phases of flare development, in addition to the white-light footpoint sources commonly observed in the lower atmosphere. The gradual emissions have a clear identification with the classical loop-prominence system, but are brighter than expected and possibly seen here in the continuum rather than line emission. We find the HMI flux exceeds the radio/X-ray interpolation of the bremsstrahlung produced in the flare soft X-ray sources by at least one order of magnitude. This implies the participation of cooler sources that can produce free-bound continua and possibly line emission detectable by HMI. One of the early sources dynamically resembles “coronal rain”, appearing at a maximum apparent height and moving toward the photosphere at an apparent constant projected speed of 134 ± 8 km s−1. Not much literature exists on the detection of optical continuum sources above the limb of the Sun by non-coronagraphic instruments and these observations have potential implications for our basic understanding of flare development, since visible observations can in principle provide high spatial and temporal resolution.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Only extremely rare solar flares have produced white-light ejecta detectable above the limb of the Sun and imaged without the aid of a coronagraph. To our knowledge, the modern literature contains only three such events: SOL1980-06-21 (X2.6), an event visually observed by J. Harvey and T. Duvall (Hudson & Willson 1983; Chupp1990), SOL1989-08-14 (Hudson & Duvall 1992), and SOL2003-11-04 (Leibacher et al. 2004). In all cases the flares occurred at heliographic positions near the limb and the emissions were observed in the lower atmosphere (below 1.03 Rsol elongation). In many more cases white-light ejecta continue away from the Sun in the form of coronal mass ejections (CMEs), observed at substantial elongations and with specialized telescopes (e.g., coronagraphs), but these sources clearly have different morphologies from the sources mentioned above. In this Letter we make an initial report of chromospheric and coronal emission sources observed by the Solar Dynamics Observatory/Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (SDO/HMI) for two flares in 2013 May: SOL2013-05-13T02:17 (X1.7) and SOL2013-05-13T16:01 (X2.8), each associated with a CME and a meter-wavelength type II radio burst. The new HMI sources appear in an image annulus extending about 25″ above the limb, and this report provides the first scientific use of such data. We compare the detailed observations of these sources with X-ray observations from the Reuven Ramaty High-Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI; Lin et al. 2002), and with other SDO observations.

The HMI instrument contains two cameras (front and side) designed for on-disk observations of the whole Sun, primarily for helioseismology and the characterization of the photospheric magnetic field, and for these purposes it makes high-resolution filtergrams of the photospheric Fe I absorption line at 6173.3 Å. HMI observes the line via six pass bands spanning a range of about 345 mÅ around the target line and it also obtains full Stokes profiles (Schou et al. 2012). The “front camera” standard data are created from a combination of sequences of 12 distinct images (six filters and two polarizations each called a filtergram), while the “side camera” data are reconstructed from observations with the same filters and the following polarizations: I+/−U, I+/−Q, and I+/−V. The individual wavelengths (and polarization settings) for these line profiles are not observed simultaneously, but in a programmed sequence extending over 45 s and 135 s frames for the HMI front and side cameras, respectively, and therefore have limitations when rapid transients occur (e.g., Martínez Oliveros et al. 2013). The resulting images are cropped and, later during the process, truncated at about 25″ above the limb to generate the standard HMI observables (intensity, velocity, and magnetic field). The observations we report here, though unambiguous photometrically, thus occur in a parameter space not optimized by the design of the telescope. To help understand the signatures, we also study the individual filtergrams which cycle through sets of polarizers and spectral filters. In this initial Letter we emphasize the source morphology and do not attempt serious quantitative analysis of, for example, the polarization signatures which will be presented in a subsequent Letter.

2. THE HMI 6173.3A NARROW BAND PHENOMENA

During the SOL2013-05-13T02:17 and SOL2013-05-13T16:00 events, the HMI front camera (the standard HMI intensity) shows several features above the limb: short-lived
Figure 1. HMI intensity images at three times during SOL2013-05-13T16:01, and six-point spectra averaged over the boxed regions. Left: an early low-altitude jet-like source; middle: a northern footpoint (here with a different scaling); right: the late-phase loop-like source. The image panels have a simple contrast enhancement (factor of 80) in the corona, beginning a few pixels above the limb itself (black line). The mean spectra refer to selected pixel groupings and have the simultaneously measured background levels subtracted. The averaged spectra derived from the left circular polarization (LCP) data is shown by the red circles and the red line shows the best Gaussian fit to the data; similarly blue shows the right circular polarization (RCP). In each spectrum the black solid and dashed curves shows the reference spectrum from its background region for each polarization, consisting of the non-flaring part of the annulus that encompasses the source pixels.

Figure 2 shows the coronal sources as height versus time plots and time series, along with GOES and RHESSI X-ray data. The late sources move radially outward for some tens of minutes and eventually vanish outside the truncated radius of the HMI images; the short-lived brightenings during the impulsive phase remain at lower altitudes. The sources are generally fainter than the background brightness due to stray light from the solar disk. In this work we reduce the background by subtracting a preflare image,7 which minimizes the effects of scattered light from the solar atmosphere and from within the instrument itself. We find the background light level to be quite stable and to have a lower time-series fluctuation level than the on-disk images. The image brightness in the annulus is about 0.5%–2% of the disk-center image brightness, but the rms fluctuation in a difference image, which limits the sensitivity, is typically only about 0.02%.

The significance of the HMI detection of these sources depends on identifying the emission mechanisms and in understanding the associations of the HMI sources with phenomena already identified at other wavelengths. The sources we see are relatively bright with a similar evolution and morphology as the white-light flare observed by Hiei et al. (1992), and may thus entail substantial mass, energy, or momentum. In principle the HMI pass band could have a strong contribution from emission in the Fe i 6173.3 Å line for which it was designed, but in these rarely observed sources other mechanisms may dominate because of the unusual physical conditions. We suggest two other obvious candidate emission mechanisms: Thomson

7 In principle we could do this via the point-spread function of HMI, but for this analysis we have chosen to do it via simple image subtraction.
scattering from free electrons in the material ejected from the lower atmosphere, and a combination of free–free, free–bound and bound–bound emissions in the visible range as seen in loop-prominence systems (e.g., Kleczek & Krivský 1960; Jefferies & Orrall 1965). The latter mainly consists of a free–free continuum for high temperatures, but includes bright contributions from free–bound and bound–bound transitions at lower temperatures. If these sources have high densities, Thomson scattering becomes less likely because of its linear dependence on \( n_e \), while the different components of the recombination radiation are proportional to the product \( n_e n_i \sim n_i^2 \). The morphology of the gradual-phase sources strongly suggests the coronal mass cycle of a solar flare; this results in the formation of a loop-prominence system, known from \( H\alpha \) observations to require high densities in some major flares (e.g., Švestka 1972).

The free–free continuum underpins the soft X-ray flare emission and the “post-burst increase” microwave emission (Hudson & Ohki 1972; Shimabukuro 1972; Pohjolainen et al. 1996). This component must be present at visible wavelengths from the same coronal sources that produce the soft X-ray and microwave fluxes, since the corona is optically thin at the HMI observing wavelengths. As shown in Figure 3, the HMI flux in the gradual-phase source significantly exceeds that expected from free–free emission with the emission measure and temperature of the soft X-ray sources. The free–free contribution was calculated using the formula (e.g., Lang 1980)

\[
\dot{f}_{\text{HMI}} = 3.5 \times 10^{-6} \bar{g} \text{EM} \sqrt{T} \text{ W/m}^2\text{Hz};
\]

8 The coronal mass cycle of a solar flare refers to the well-known injection/evaporation/cooling cycle observed during flares.
In any case the free–free extrapolation fails by about an order of magnitude to explain the observed HMI flux density in the coronal emission region.

The early sources in SOL2013-05-15T16:01, in particular, appear to be somewhat different from the later loop-like sources. A movie representation of the source at about 16:00 UT has the appearance of coronal rain. The emission appears first at its maximum height and then moves downward; we estimate the transverse velocity from the apparent motion to be $134 \pm 8$ km s$^{-1}$. We have characterized this motion by constructing a movie combining the individual filtergrams from both HMI cameras. In this manner HMI crudely achieves a cadence of 1.875 s, since the two cameras have been set to record temporally interleaved images. At about the same time the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) movies show outward motion in an adjacent region that reaches far beyond the outer edge of the HMI image limit, in what is no doubt a true jet. The HMI emission appears near the middle of the annulus extending about $25^{\circ}$ above the limb, at about a 10 Mm altitude, and also appears in the AIA EUV bands (Figure 4). Its patchy structure as seen in all spectral ranges also suggests the thermal instability of the coronal rain phenomenon (e.g., Švestka 1972). The likely interpretation of the HMI source is then that it represents a short-lived compact loop system associated with jet activity in the impulsive phase and that HMI does indeed record coronal rain appearing in the final stages of its thermal collapse. The rapid evolution to the cool phase makes sense if the loops are compact and dense to begin with; note that the GOES temperature variation (right panel of Figure 3) shows an early flare episode not readily seen in the emission measure. We are unaware of detailed modeling of these stages of the thermal collapse of cooling flare loops, but it seems reasonable that the Fe i line itself might go into emission during this time.

3. RHESSI X-RAY OBSERVATIONS

The X-ray observations provide a key link between known flare phenomenology and the phenomena described here. Fortunately RHESSI had excellent observations of these two events (Figure 2), and we review briefly the hard and soft X-ray sources as they relate spatially and temporally with the impulsive and gradual sources observed by HMI. Figure 4 shows the impulsive-phase development of SOL2013-05-15T16:01 as seen by the AIA in the EUV, and by RHESSI in soft and hard X-rays. The footpoint hard X-ray sources are well-matched with the white-light emission patches (Figure 4 upper left frame). The AIA EUV images (six panels as labeled) show foreground/background sources that appear to be loops in the active region resulting from early stages of the flare development. The jet-like source originates near the northern footpoint, but has a problematic interpretation which we will discuss below.

The RHESSI soft X-ray images (red contours in Figure 4) reveal a source at a comparable height, but displaced laterally from the jet-like HMI source. The AIA 193 Å pass band also shows a feature at this location, confirming that it has a hot component via the Fe xxiv contribution to this pass band. This hot source is not observed by HMI or the more sensitive AIA at the 1600 Å pass band. This situation is made more interesting by the fact that the HMI jet-like source does not have a hot counterpart observed by RHESSI or AIA hot channels (RHESSI in general cannot detect sources with temperatures below 8 MK). This suggests that the HMI emission is not the bremsstrahlung tail from a hot source, but free–bound emission from plasma at temperatures too low to emit X-ray radiation detectable by RHESSI. This possibility of low-temperature ejecta into closed-field structures in the impulsive phase of a flare does not fit naturally into our conventional understanding and hence may represent something new about flare development. The RHESSI hard X-ray contours, on the other hand, reflect the well-known footpoint sources and hint at a loop-top source as well.

The HMI loop-like sources, observed during the gradual phase of the events, appear to be easier to interpret. We see a close relationship with the loops seen in the AIA 1600 Å bands, but not directly in the EUV bands, where most of the emission is from larger-scale structures (Figure 5). This component and the coronal soft X-ray sources seen by RHESSI are concentrated along the apex of an arcade of loops, whose axis roughly lies along the line of sight but with the northern footpoints noticeably
Figure 4. Impulsive-phase sources, as seen in gray-scale images from HMI (upper left) and seven AIA bands. The contours show RHESSI sources: red, 12–25 keV; blue, 30–100 keV. The hard X-ray and white-light footpoint sources align as shown with no adjustment needed, even for the roll coordinate. Note that the prominent loop apparently connecting to one of the flare footpoints (e.g., in the 94 Å band) was present before the start of the main HXR bursts (15:59 UT) and thus not directly related to it. The RHESSI soft X-ray sources lie to one side of the jet-like feature, but note that the 193 Å pass band shows a coincident component that would be consistent with the well-known Fe xxiv response in this filter.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 5. Gradual-phase sources, with image times WL: 16:25:22.7 (WL), 16:25:28.1 (1600 Å), and 16:25:21.5 (193 Å). The HMI 193 Å image shows an absorption feature just at the lower edge of the soft X-ray source, which itself must be optically thin.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

displaced to the west, as judged from the HMI images. They also suggest a cusp structure that reflects the open field lines created by the associated CME (e.g., Hiei et al. 1993).

4. DISCUSSION

The discovery of these HMI features was made in the course of searches for white-light flare emission. The HMI observations of chromospheric and coronal emission sources surprised us, because they must be very bright in order to be visible against the normal instrumentally scattered background outside the white-light limb. In SOL2013-05-13T16:00 the white-light footpoints are much brighter (1–2 decades) than the coronal sources, but the HMI data have an excellent dynamic range and image stability, so simple difference imaging immediately revealed these sources, and will probably reveal many other such flare features in other events. We have found similar features in SOL2011-01-28T01:03 (M1.3) and SOL2012-01-27 (X1.3), for example. These may be the first reports of such low-coronal features in white light from a general-purpose telescope in space, but they have been preceded by ground-based observations (Hiei et al. 1992; Leibacher et al. 2004); accordingly we expect that many more flares will be detectable in this manner through the HMI data. We have tentatively identified the HMI
gradual-phase signatures with the well-understood phenomenon of the evaporation/loop-prominence/coronal rain “coronal mass cycle” of a flare (e.g., Švestka 1972). The HMI early sources in the second flare have some differences, but still fit reasonably well into this standard scenario for the coronal mass cycle. One outstanding question, though, is why HMI does not see the actual sources that RHESSI sees; we speculate that these sources are indeed there, but that the HMI source “ignites” and becomes detectably bright only when the thermal collapse happens at the lower altitude of the HMI source (Goldsmith 1971). Note that we have not discussed the extended brightening along the limb at all in this Letter, nor have we attempted to decipher the wavelength and polarization information from HMI, and so considerable important work remains to be done.

The steady apparent upward motions of the loop-like sources during the gradual phase of the events pose problems, since they do not seem to reflect a physical shrinkage of the magnetic structures during the dipolarization of the reconnected field volumes as suggested by the scenario of the coronal mass cycle (e.g., Švestka et al. 1987; Forbes & Acton 1996), but in this picture the density also decreases as the cooling proceeds (Serio et al. 1991). The cycle ends with the catastrophic cooling that terminates the shrinking motion and so it is possible that this altitude systematically moves up with time; the implication of this development is that no appreciable amount of material remains in these terminally cooled loops. The apparent upward motion has a projected speed of about 7 km s$^{-1}$, not inconsistent with typical speeds of arcade growth in loop-prominence systems.

These observations have great diagnostic potential from the AIA imaging, which shows related chromospheric and coronal sources in all of its pass bands. As Figure 5 shows, these include loops seen in absorption, as recently discussed in detail by Landi & Reale (2013) for absorption by prominences. These absorbing loop features presumably contain material at chromospheric temperatures that is not a part of the hot condensation/coronal rain scenario, either unrelated foreground features or else flare loops in the final stages of cooling. Such features absorb strongly in the EUV, but should be transparent to both HMI continuum and GOES soft X-radiation. The absorbing features do not obviously affect the AIA 1600 Å, as seen in the images at 16:25 UT in Figure 5.

We note that Nobeyama observations are available for SOL2013-05-13T02 and for the other two X-class flares in 2013 May; these data image the thermal “post-burst increase” sources in detail. Future studies of these events can therefore compare an unprecedented collection of radio, visible, EUV, and X-ray data, which we feel will be especially interesting in understanding the end phase of the coronal mass cycle in major two-ribbon flares.
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