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Abstract
Background Soft, biological, and bio-inspired materials are often compositionally heterogeneous and structurally anisotropic, and they frequently feature graded or layered organizations. This design complexity enables exceptional ranges in properties and performance yet complicates a fundamental understanding of the contact mechanics. Recent studies of soft gel layers have relied on Hertzian or Winkler foundation (“bed-of-springs”) models to characterize the mechanics but have found neither satisfactory.

Objective The contact mechanics of soft gel layers are not yet fully understood. The aim of this work is to develop a simple contact mechanics model tailored for compositionally-graded materials with soft surface layers under high strains and deformations.

Methods Concepts from polymer physics, fluid draining, and Winkler foundation mechanics are combined to develop a simple contact mechanics model which relates the applied normal force to the probe radius of curvature, elastic modulus, and thickness of soft surface layers subjected to high strains.

Results This simple model was evaluated with two examples of graded surface gel layers spanning multiple length-scales, including commercially available contact lenses and stratified hydrogels. The model captures the nonlinear contact mechanics of highly strained soft aqueous gel layers more closely than either Hertz or Winkler foundation theory while simultaneously enabling a prediction for the thickness of the surface gel layer.

Conclusion These results indicate that this simple model can adequately characterize the contact mechanics of highly strained soft aqueous gel layers.
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Nomenclature
Π Osmotic pressure
E Elastic modulus
kB Boltzmann constant
T Temperature
ξ Polymer mesh size
c Polymer concentration
c0 Initial polymer concentration
cd Polymer concentration after draining
R Probe radius of curvature
z Indentation depth
zo Indentation depth at maximum pressure
s Distance from the probe apex
smax Contact area radius
t Surface gel layer thickness
A Contact area
P Contact pressure

Introduction
Gels protect all aqueous sliding surfaces in biology (e.g., ocular tear films, cartilaginous joints, mucosal surfaces), yet their fundamental mechanics remain elusive. Over the past few decades, increasingly sophisticated experimental methods have been developed to characterize the mechanics of biological and compliant materials [1, 2] and mimic their complex hierarchical structures. [3] Arguably two of the most common contact mechanics models deployed to
analyze soft material systems are Hertz and Winkler. [4, 5] However, there are several underlying assumptions that preclude the use of Hertzian contact mechanics in biological material systems, notably those of small deformations and material homogeneity. [6] Biological samples are often subjected to very large deformations and are highly heterogeneous, especially at smaller length scales,[7] due to their inherent anisotropy and extreme softness. Winkler foundation theory similarly fails short in applications involving thick and structurally-heterogeneous biological samples. Significant efforts have been put forth by many groups to characterize the poroelastic effects of soft gels during indentation [8-16], from the undrained limit (instantaneous response) through the transient response to the drained limit (equilibrium response). With few exceptions, most contact mechanics models are used to investigate relatively narrow ranges of soft gel indentation responses and are limited to low strains and deformations. Here we probe the drained limit of poroelasticity for aqueous surface gel layers by proposing a simple analytical contact mechanics model that combines Winkler foundation mechanics [17-20] and considerations of fluid draining. [21] We evaluate this model using nanoindentation data of water gradient contact lenses [22] and stratified hydrogels [23] and compare the model against results obtained using Hertz, Winkler, and poroelastic [10, 11] contact mechanics models.

Model Derivation

The basis for this contact mechanics model combines concepts of poroelasticity, [15] draining, [21] and Winkler foundation mechanics, [17] which is often applied to rigid thin films atop soft substrates. [17, 20] This model is designed to analyze the mechanics of soft aqueous gel layers, from biomedical devices to synthetic hydrogels. Soft aqueous gels under persistent loads will initially undergo diffusion-driven dynamic polymer network re-arrangement. [24] As demonstrated by the Angelini group, hydrogels do not relinquish water (drain) until the applied contact pressure exceeds the osmotic pressure of the hydrogel network. [24, 25] According to the scaling principles determined by de Gennes, [26] osmotic pressure, $\Pi$, scales with the elastic modulus, $E$, as shown in equation (1):

$$\Pi \sim E \sim \frac{k_B T}{\xi^3} \sim \frac{c^2}{\xi}$$  (1)

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is temperature, $\xi$ is mesh size, and $c$ is polymer concentration. Utilizing geometry and the small angle approximation, the contact area radius is estimated as $s_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{2z_o R}$ where $z_o$ is the indentation depth and $R$ is the radius of curvature of the probe (Fig. 1(a)).

With a spherical probe geometry, the applied pressure is distributed across the contact area as described by $dF = AP = 2\pi s ds \cdot P_z$, where $P_z$ is the applied pressure and $s$ is the radial distance from the center of the spherical probe, which is the location of maximum pressure. To obtain the total force within the contact area, $dF$ is integrated from the center of the probe, defined as $s = 0$, to $s = s_{\text{max}}$, leading to:

$$F = 2\pi \int_0^{s_{\text{max}}} s P_z ds$$  (2)

Once the applied load surpasses the osmotic pressure, draining will occur as fluid flows away from the contact zone. This exudation of fluid leads to an increase in polymer concentration, as shown schematically (Fig. 1(b), (c)). To account for this increase in concentration under compressive load, the polymer concentration can be redefined as equation (3):

$$c_d = \frac{V_{\text{polymer}}}{(t-z) dA} = \frac{c_o dA}{(t-z) dA} = \frac{c_o t}{t-z}$$  (3)

where $c_o$ is the initial polymer concentration of the hydrogel and $c_d$ is the concentration of the hydrogel after draining. Pressure, $P_z$, scales with elastic modulus, $E$, and polymer concentration, as shown in equation (1). With the addition of a scaling coefficient, $\lambda$, pressure is defined to equate

![Fig. 1 Schematic of probe and sample geometry. (a) Surface gels (light blue) of thickness $t$ may be layered atop bulk material or rigid substrates (light gray). b, c) Illustrations of indentations at contact pressures exceeding the osmotic pressure of the surface gel layer. Cross-sections of spherical probe indenting surface gel layer with applied pressure $P_z$ calculated from differential normal forces $dF$ spread throughout the contact from the center, $s = 0$, to the edge of contact, $s = s_{\text{max}}$. Both (b) polymer compression and (c) fluid flow (draining) contribute to increased subsurface polymer concentration with increasing indentation depth.](image-url)
polymer concentration as \( P_z = \lambda c_d \frac{z}{z_o} \). The parameter \( z \) can be redefined in terms of indentation depth at maximum pressure (\( z_o \)), probe radius of curvature (\( R \)), and distance from the center of the probe (\( s \)) as \( z = z_o - \frac{s}{2R} \). Thus equation (2) can be rewritten as:

\[
F = 2\pi \int_0^{\text{max}} s \left( \frac{c_d f}{t - z} \right)^\frac{2}{3} ds = 2\pi \int_0^{\sqrt{\text{max}}} s \left( \frac{c_d f}{t - z_o + \frac{s}{2R}} \right)^\frac{2}{3} ds
\]  

Integrating the equation leads to equation (5):

\[
F = \frac{8\pi R \lambda t^\frac{2}{3} c_o^\frac{2}{3}}{5(t - z_o)^\frac{5}{4}} - \frac{8\pi R \lambda t^2 c_o^2}{5(t - z_o)} + \frac{8\pi R \lambda t c_o z_o}{5(t - z_o)}
\]  

where \( \lambda = \frac{E}{9} \), allowing Eq. 5 to be rearranged and simplified as:

\[
F = \frac{8\pi R \lambda t E}{5} \left[ \left( \frac{t}{t - z_o} \right)^\frac{5}{3} - 1 \right]
\]  

From the model, the force is dependent on the surface gel layer thickness (\( t \)), indentation depth at maximum pressure (\( z_o \)), probe radius of curvature (\( R \)), and elastic modulus (\( E \)).

**Results and Discussion**

Two examples of surface gel layers were selected from the literature to evaluate the efficacy of this model compared to Hertz, Winkler, and poroelastic models. The first example was a deleficon A contact lens, which had an average thickness of about 100 µm and a highly hydrated (> 80% water content) covalently-crosslinked, silicone-free surface gel layer (approximately 5 µm thick) attached to a core silicone microsphere (14 µm radius) at a rate of 1 µm s\(^{-1}\) using atomic force microscopy (AFM, MFP-3D™, Asylum Research, Santa Barbara, USA). [23] Simić et al. analyzed a portion of the force–displacement curves with Hertzian contact mechanics and predicted an elastic modulus of less than 0.1 kPa from the initial 1 µm of indentation depth. [23] Using a Poisson’s ratio of \( \nu = 0.5 \), Hertzian, Winkler foundation, and poroelastic models were fit to the entire approach curve (Fig. 2(b)) and compared with the model developed herein. The simple contact mechanics model was the most effective in capturing the full mechanical response under load, particularly at large deformations and high strains (> 60%) (Fig. S2(b), Supplementary Materials). The model estimated an elastic modulus of \( E = 26 \) Pa, which agrees with the literature, [23] and predicted a surface gel layer thickness of \( t = 12 \) µm (compared to an overall sample thickness of 3–4 mm). This estimation aligns well with the range of surface gel layer thickness offered by Simić et al. of 10–20 µm. [23]

One of the primary limitations of this model is that the stratified surface gel layer is difficult to discern if it is significantly smaller than the indentation depth of the sample. The model is most effective when the surface gel layer is slightly larger than the indentation depth of the experiment. Thus, incrementally deeper indentations on a surface gel layer may be needed to estimate the approximate thickness of the surface gel layer. The model is also limited by the assumption that draining occurs via indentation using a sphere-on-flat contact.
Conclusions

The simple contact mechanics model presented herein is based on a Winkler foundation model modified for increased polymer concentration following large deformations and high strains (> 60%). The model uses normal force and probe radius of curvature as inputs and can be used to solve for the elastic modulus and probable surface gel layer thickness. Compared to Hertzian, Winkler foundation, and poroelastic models, this model can capture a greater portion of the force–displacement curve, particularly at the drained limit (equilibrium response) and may provide a simple yet adequate route to quickly estimate the elastic modulus and surface gel layer thickness of biological and synthetic aqueous gels. The model may enable fundamental mechanics studies of more complex gradient gel structures.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11340-021-00699-5.
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