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Abstract
Cluster frontline demonstrations (CFLDs) on greengram was conducted by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Malyal in villages namely Redyala, Gudur, Gouraram Mulakalapalli, Apparajupalli of Mahabubabad district of Telangana state during the rabi season of 2016-2017, 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively. The results revealed that the variety MGG-347 with seed treatment (imidacloprid @ 2ml/kg + carbendazim @1g/kg +Rhizobium spp @ 25g/kg) + plant protection (Yellow sticky trap + Neem oil + insecticide) nutrient and weed management recorded average highest yield of 1397 kg/ha (2016-17) against the farmers practice of 1157kg/ha. The maximum gross returns of Rs. 82500/- and net returns of Rs. 57925/- during the year 2017-18 and minimum of Rs. 68000/- and net returns of Rs. 45500/- during the year 2018-19 were obtained due to variation in MSP sale rates as declared by GOI. The study revealed that an extension gap of 140 to 350 kg/ha was found between demonstrated technology and farmer’s practice and on average basis the extension gap was 240 kg/ha. The study further exhibited a wide technology gap during different years. It was lowest (40 kg/ha) during 2016-17 and highest (140 kg/ha) during 2018-19. The average technology gap of all the years was 103 kg/ha. The difference in technology gap in different years was due to better performance of recommended varieties with different interventions and more feasibility of recommended technologies during the course of study. Similarly, the technology index for all demonstrations in the study was in accordance with technology gap. It can be concluded that the green gram production could be enhanced by encouraging the farmers through adoption of recommended technologies which were followed in the Cluster Front Line Demonstrations. Further, it was found that the adoption of improved technologies significantly increased the yield and net returns to the farmers. Hence, there is a need to disseminate the improved technologies among the farmers with effective extension methods like trainings and demonstrations.
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Introduction
India is the largest producer, consumer and importer of pulses in the world. It accounts for 33 per cent of world area and 22 per cent of the total world production of pulses (Sandhu and Dhaliwal, 2016). Pulses are good and cheaper source of protein, which indicate
the great importance of pulses in our daily food habits. Pulse crops have ability to fix the atmospheric nitrogen and addition of organic matter to soil, which are important factors to maintain soil fertility (Kumar and Singh, 2014). Pulses are major sources of proteins among the vegetarians in India and complement the staple cereals in the diets with proteins, essential amino acids, vitamins and minerals. They contain 22-24 per cent protein, which is almost twice the protein in wheat and thrice that of rice. Pulses contribute 11 per cent of the total intake of proteins in India (Reddy, 2010).

National Food Security Mission (NFSM) was launched in 2007-08 to increase the production of rice, wheat and pulses by 10, 8 and 2 million tonnes, respectively by the end of 11th Plan through area expansion and productivity enhancement; restoring soil fertility and productivity; creating employment opportunities; and enhancing farm level economy. The Mission was continued during 12th Plan with new target of additional production of 25 million tonnes. The basic strategy of the Mission is to promote and extend improved technologies, i.e., seed, micro-nutrients, soil amendments, integrated pest management, farm machinery and implements, irrigation devices along with capacity building of farmers.

The major interventions/activities covered under NFSM include cluster demonstrations of rice, wheat, pulses and coarse cereals, distribution of improved varieties/hybrid seeds, need based inputs, resource conservation techniques / energy management, efficient water / application tools, cropping system based trainings and local initiatives; award for best performing districts etc. (Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare, Annual Report 2017-18). Over the last few years, the area and production of pulses in Telangana State increased tremendously due to inception of Cluster Front Line Demonstration concept at farmers’ field. Front Line demonstration is a long term educational activity conducted in a systematic manner at farmers’ fields to show worth of a new technology on “Seeing is Believing” principle. Traditional or farmer’s practices are no longer sustainable towards pulse production as it shows huge gap in yields in comparison to scientific production technologies. Constant efforts are needed to bridge this gap through demonstration of improved production technologies.

Over a period of time, a number of improved pulse varieties and production technologies have been developed, but full potential of these varieties and technologies could not be exploited due to lack of awareness on varieties, low rate of adoption by the farmers leading to low yields. Pulses are the major source of protein compared to cereals, oilseeds and other crops. Pulse production is mostly from the crop raised under rain-fed conditions. Cultivation of pulses in marginal lands, limited area of pulses under irrigated conditions, limited high yielding varieties, pests and diseases and processing loss up to 6-8 per cent are some of the problems for the stagnation of pulse production over decades. Thus, factors limiting the productivity cannot be overlooked. Research and extension programmes need to be diverted to produce value additive pulses.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation of cluster frontline demonstrations (CFLDs) on greengram was conducted by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Malyal in villages namely Redyala and Gudur (2016-17), Gouraram (2017-18), Mulakalapalli and Apparajupalli (2018-2019) of Mahabubabad District of Telangana state during the rabi season. The demonstration was conducted in
an area of 20 ha in every year, five locations against local variety in three years. 110 demonstrations in 60 ha (2016-17 to 2018-19) were conducted with active participation of farmers to demonstrate the improved technologies of Green gram in different villages so as to establish production potentials and expand the area under the crop in the district. Present study with respect to CFLDs and farmers’ practices are given in Table 1. The soils in selected villages were sandy loam. Farmers were trained to follow the package of practices for green gram cultivation as recommended by the State Agricultural University and need based input materials provided to the farmers (Table 2).

Every year 20 hectares were taken for the demonstration of technologies in green gram crop along with farmers practice as check plot. Pre-sowing trainings were organized involving the selected farmers on the crops. Selected green gram variety, MGG-347 was high yielding (12.5 to 15q/ha), tolerant to yellow mosaic virus and suitable to all seasons. Critical inputs along with technologies like seed treatment, fertilizer application, water and weed management, integrated pest and disease management etc., were demonstrated at every stage of the crop with appropriate trainings. Regular visit by the scientist helped in proper execution of trials as well as collecting farmer’s opinion on the demonstrated varieties. The performance of the varieties in the trials was judged visually as well as quantitatively by farmers themselves. Field days were conducted involving demonstration holding farmers, neighboring farmers, Scientists from University, Officials from Department of Agriculture and local extension functionaries to demonstrate the superiority of the technology. Crop yields were recorded from the demonstration and check plots at the time of harvest to identify the yield gaps between demo and check plots.

Table 1: Particulars showing the details of green gram grown under CFLD and farmers’ practice

| Operation          | Existing practice | Improved practices demonstrated                  |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Line sowing        | Broad casting of seed | Spacing 30 cm between rows and 10 cm between plants. |
| Use of variety     | MGG-295           | MGG-347                                           |
| Seed treatment     | No seed treatment | Seed treatment with Imidacloprid @ 2ml/kg + Carbendazim@1g/kg + Rhizobium spp @ 25g/kg of seed |
| Yellow mosaic virus tolerance | No tolerance | Tolerant variety                                   |
| Weed management    | No Weed management | Weeds control by using herbicide Pendimethalin 1kg / ha in 500 liter of water as pre-emergence treatment for effective control of weeds within two days after sowing. |
| Nutrient management| No fertilizer application | Recommended dose of fertilizers                   |
| Whole package      | Farmers are cultivating the greengram crop without adoption of any improved technology | All the crop (production and protection) management practices as per the package of practices for rabi crop by PJTSAU, Hyderabad were followed for raising the crop |
Table.2 Details of need based inputs of green gram used in CFLD

| Cluster                                | Number of demonstrations | Area in hectares | Variety       | Year       | Technology Demonstrated                                                                 | Need based inputs                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Redyal, Gudur                          | 40                       | 20               | MGG-347       | 2016-17    | • High yielding variety-MGG-347                                                           | • Treated seed                                                                    |
|                                        |                          |                  |               |            | • Seed treatment with Imidacloprid @ 2ml/kg + carbendazim @ 1g/kg + Rhizobium spp @ 25g/kg of seed | • Rhizobium spp                                                                 |
|                                        |                          |                  |               |            | • Fertilizer recommendation based on soil test results                                    | • Yellow sticky traps                                                            |
|                                        |                          |                  |               |            | • Yellow sticky trap @ 10 Nos/acre                                                          | • Neem oil                                                                        |
|                                        |                          |                  |               |            | • Neem oil + insecticide                                                                    | • Thiomethoxam                                                                   |
|                                        |                          |                  |               |            |                                                                                           | • Multi-K (13:0:45)                                                              |
| Gouraram                               | 25                       | 20               | MGG-347       | 2017-18    |                                                                                           |                                                                                   |
| Mulakalapalli, Apparajupalli           | 45                       | 20               | MGG-347       | 2018-19    |                                                                                           |                                                                                   |

The data with respect to grain yield from FLD plots and from fields cultivated following local practices adopted by the farmers of the area were collected and evaluated. Potential yield was taken into consideration on the basis of standard plant population (404440 plants/ha) and average yield per plant 22.5 g/plant under recommended package of practices with 30 x 10 cm crop geometry (Chandra, 2010). Different parameters as suggested by Yadav et al., (2004) was used for gap analysis, and calculating the economics. The details of different parameters and formula adopted for analysis are as under:

Extension gap = Demonstration yield - Farmers’ practice yield
Technology gap = Potential yield - Demonstration yield

\[
\text{Technology index} = \frac{\text{Potential yield} - \text{Demonstration yield}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100
\]

Results and Discussion

Seed yield
The productivity of green gram under improved production technology ranged between 1170-1620 kg/ha, 1000-1500 kg/ha and 1190-1520 kg/ha during the years 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively as against a yield of 1320, 1020, 1130 respectively under farmers’ practice. In comparison to farmer’s practice, there was an increase of 23, 47 and 35 per cent in productivity of greengram under improved technologies during the years 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively.

The difference in yield observed during different years was due to variation in available irrigation facility at different places, dissimilarities in soil fertility levels, pest and disease incidence, improper usage of manures and fertilizers. The increased grain yield with improved technologies was mainly because of line sowing, seed treatment, nutrient management and weed management. The findings were in line with Meena et al., (2012) and Meena and Dudi (2018).

Economics
Economic returns as a function of gain yield and MSP sale price varied during different years. The maximum gross returns of Rs.
82500/- and net returns of Rs. 57925/- during the year 2017-18 and minimum of Rs. 68000/- and net returns of Rs. 45500/- during the year 2018-19 were obtained due to variation in cost of cultivation as labour charges increased year after year and MSP sale rates as declared by GOI. The higher additional returns and effective gain obtained under demonstrations could be due to improved technology, non-monetary factors, timely operations of crop cultivation and scientific monitoring and also sale of seed to other farmers as a seed. The lowest and highest benefit cost ratio were 2.4 and 3.4 in 2017-18 and 2016-17, respectively (Table 4) depends on produced grain yield and MSP sale rates. The results were in conformity with the findings of front line demonstrations on pulses by Chaudhary (2012), Meena and Dudi (2018).

Table 3 Seed yield of green gram under FLD and FP

| Year  | Area (ha) | Demonstration (No) | Yield obtained (kg/ha) | Yield kg/ha | Additional yield (kg/ha) over farmer’s practice | Per cent increase |
|-------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
|       |           |                    | Max. | Min. | Av. | Demo | FP |                      |                   |
| 2016-17 | 20 ha    | 40                 | 1620 | 1170 | 1460 | 1620 | 1320 | 300 | 23 |
| 2017-18 | 20 ha    | 25                 | 1500 | 1000 | 1370 | 1500 | 1020 | 480 | 47 |
| 2018-19 | 20 ha    | 45                 | 1520 | 1190 | 1360 | 1520 | 1130 | 390 | 35 |

Table 4 Economics of green gram under FLD and FP

| Year  | Variety | Farmer's Existing plot | Demonstration plot |
|-------|---------|------------------------|-------------------|
|       |         | Gross cost (Rs./ha)    | Gross return (Rs./ha) | Net return (Rs./ha) | B:C Ratio | Gross cost (Rs./ha) | Gross return (Rs./ha) | Net return (Rs./ha) | B:C ratio |
|       |         |                        |                    |                  |            |                   |                     |                  |            |
| 2016-17 | MGG-347 | 14,500                | 59,040             | 44540            | 3:1 | 16,250            | 73,000              | 56750            | 3.4:1 |
| 2017-18 | MGG-347 | 25,000                | 55,000             | 30,000           | 1.2:1 | 24,575           | 82,500              | 57925            | 2.4:1 |
| 2018-19 | MGG-347 | 25000                | 56500              | 31500            | 2.2:1 | 22500            | 68000               | 45500            | 3.0:1 |

Performance of FLD

Yield of frontline demonstration trials and potential yield of the crop was compared to estimate the yield gap further it was categorized into extension gap, technology gap and technology index. The extension gap and technology gap observed that it may be attributed due to dissimilarities in soil fertility levels, pest and disease incidence, improper usage of manures and fertilizers. Hence, to narrow down the yield gaps location specific technologies needs to be adopted.

The study (Table 4) revealed that an extension gap of 140 to 350 kg/ha was found between demonstrated technology and farmers’ practice and on average basis the extension gap was 240 kg/ha. The extension gap was highest (350 kg/ ha) during 2017-18 and lowest (140 kg/ha) during 2016-17. Such gap might be attributed to adoption of improved technology especially with high yielding new varieties sown in line with balanced nutrition, weed management and appropriate plant protection measures in demonstrations which
resulted in higher grain yield than the traditional farmers’ practices. The study further exhibited a wide technology gap during different years. It was lowest (40 kg/ha) during 2016-17 and highest (140 kg/ha) during 2018-19. The average technology gap of all the years was 103 kg/ha.

The difference in technology gap in different years was due to better performance of recommended varieties with different interventions and more feasibility of recommended technologies during the course of study. Similarly, the technology index for all demonstrations in the study was in accordance with technology gap. Higher technology index reflected the inadequate transfer of proven technology to growers and insufficient extension services for transfer of technology.

Hence, it can be inferred that the awareness and adoption of improved varieties with recommended scientific package of practices have increased during the study period. These findings were in the conformity of the results of study carried out by Chandra (2010), Meena and Singh (2017), Meena and Dudi (2018).

**Table.5 Technological gap analysis of frontline demonstrations on green gram farmers’ field**

| Year      | Number of FLDs | Potential yield (kg/ha) | FLD yield (kg/ha) | FP yield (kg/ha) | EG (kg/ha) | TG (kg/ha) | TI (kg/ha) |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| 2016-17   | 40             | 1500                    | 1460              | 1320            | 140        | 40         | 2.7       |
| 2017-18   | 25             | 1500                    | 1370              | 1020            | 350        | 130        | 8.7       |
| 2018-19   | 45             | 1500                    | 1360              | 1130            | 230        | 140        | 9.3       |
| Average   |                | 1500                    | 1397              | 1157            | 240        | 103        | 6.9       |

EG= Extension gap; TG= Technology gap; TI= Technology index; FP= Farmers practices

It may be concluded that the frontline demonstrations conducted on green gram at farmer’s field revealed that the adoption of improved technologies significantly increased the yield as well as gross and net returns to the farmers. Hence, there is a need to disseminate the high yielding varieties with improved technologies among the farmers with effective extension methods like training and demonstrations. The farmer’s should be encouraged to adopt the recommended package of practices for realizing higher returns.
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