Robust synthetic biology design: stochastic game theory approach
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ABSTRACT
Motivation: Synthetic biology is to engineer artificial biological systems to investigate natural biological phenomena and for a variety of applications. However, the development of synthetic gene networks is still difficult and most newly created gene networks are non-functioning due to uncertain initial conditions and disturbances of extra-cellular environments on the host cell. At present, how to design a robust synthetic gene network to work properly under these uncertain factors is the most important topic of synthetic biology.

Results: A robust regulation design is proposed for a stochastic synthetic gene network to achieve the prescribed steady states under these uncertain factors from the min-max regulation perspective. This min-max regulation design problem can be transformed to an equivalent stochastic game problem. Since it is not easy to solve the robust regulation design problem of synthetic gene networks by non-linear stochastic game method directly, the Takagi–Sugeno (T–S) fuzzy model is proposed to approximate the non-linear synthetic gene network via the linear matrix inequality (LMI) technique through the Robust Control Toolbox in Matlab. Finally, an in silico example is given to illustrate the design procedure and to confirm the efficiency and efficacy of the proposed robust gene design method.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Synthetic biology will revolutionize how we conceptualize and approach the engineering of biological systems. The vision and applications of this emerging field will influence many other scientific and engineering disciplines, as well as affect various aspects of daily life and society (Andrianantoandro et al., 2006). Synthetic biology builds living machines from the off-the-shelf chemical ingredients, utilizing many of the same strategies that electrical engineers employ to make computer chips (Tucker and Zilinskas, 2006). The main goal of the nascent field of synthetic biology is to design and construct biological systems with the desired behavior (Alon, 2003, 2007; Andrianantoandro et al., 2006; Church, 2005; Edy, 2005; Hasty et al., 2002; Heinemann and Panke, 2006; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Pleiss, 2006; Tucker and Zilinskas, 2006). By a set of powerful techniques for the automated synthesis of DNA molecules and their assembly into genes and microbial genomes, synthetic biology envisions the redesign of natural biological systems for greater efficiency as well as the construction of functional ‘genetic circuit’ and metabolic pathways for practical purposes (Andrianantoandro et al., 2006; Ferber, 2004; Forster and Church, 2007; Gardner, et al., 2000; Heinemann and Panke, 2006; Isaacs, et al., 2006; Maeda and Sano, 2006; Tucker and Parker, 2000). Synthetic biology is foreseen to have important applications in biotechnology and medicine (Andrianantoandro et al., 2006).

Though the engineering of networks of inter-regulating genes, so-called synthetic gene networks, has demonstrated the feasibility of synthetic biology (Gardner et al., 2000), the design of gene networks is still a difficult problem and most of the newly designed gene networks cannot work properly. These design failures are mainly due to intrinsic perturbations such as gene expression noises, splicing, mutation, uncertain initial states and disturbances such as changing extra-cellular environments, and interactions with cellular context. Therefore, how to design a robust synthetic gene network, which could tolerate uncertain initial conditions, attenuate the effect of all disturbances and function properly on the host cell, will be an important topic for synthetic biology (Alon, 2003, 2007; Andrianantoandro et al., 2006; Batt et al., 2007; Church, 2005; Edy, 2005; Goulian, 2004; Hasty et al., 2002; Heinemann and Panke, 2006; Kaznessis, 2006, 2007; Kitano, 2002, 2004; Kobayashi et al., 2004; Pleiss, 2006; Sals and Kaznessis, 2006; Tucker and Zilinskas, 2006). Previously, sensitivity analysis has been used for analysis of the dynamic properties of gene networks either in qualitative simulations of coarse-grained models or in extensive numerical simulations of non-linear differential equation models or stochastic dynamic models (de Jong, 2002; Szallasi et al., 2006). For applications in synthetic biology, these approaches are not satisfying. The local sensitivity analysis can provide only a partial description of all possible behaviors of a non-linear gene network. In particular, it cannot guarantee that a synthetic gene network behaves as expected for all uncertain initial conditions and disturbances. Moreover, obtaining all convergences of states and parameters by extensive numerical simulations quickly becomes computationally
intractable when the size of the synthetic network grows (Batt et al., 2007).

An approach has recently been developed using semidefinite programming to partition the parameter spaces of polynomial differential equation models into so-called feasible and infeasible regions (Kuepfer et al., 2007). Following that, a robustness analysis and tuning approach of synthetic networks was proposed to provide a means to assess the robustness of the expected behavior of a synthetic gene network in spite of parameter variations (Batt et al., 2007). This approach has the capability to search for parameter sets for which a given property is satisfied through a publicly available tool called RoVerGeNe. Several gene circuit design networks have been introduced to implement or delete some circuits from an existing gene network so as to modify its structure for improving its robust stability or filtering ability (Chen and Chen, 2008; Chen and Wu, 2008; Chen et al., 2008b). However, robust synthetic gene network design is a different topic. It needs to design a complete man-made gene network to be inserted into a host cell. Therefore, the synthetic gene networks should be designed with enough robustness to tolerate uncertain initial conditions and to resist all possible disturbances on the host cell so that they can function properly in a desired steady state. This is a so-called robust regulation design that can achieve a desired steady state of synthetic gene networks despite uncertain initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell.

In this study, a robust regulation design of synthetic gene network is proposed to achieve a desired steady state in spite of uncertain initial conditions, parameter variations and disturbances on the host cell. Because most information of these uncertain factors on the host cell is unavailable, in order to attenuate their detrimental effects, their worst-case effect should be considered by the designer in the regulation design procedure from the worst-case perspective. The worst-case effect of all possible initial conditions and disturbances on the regulation error to a desired steady state is minimized for the robust synthetic gene networks, i.e. the proposed minimax regulation design of robust synthetic gene networks could be easily solved by the techniques of the linear dynamic game theory, which can be subsequently solved by a constrained optimization scheme via the linear matrix inequality (LMI) technique (Boyd et al., 1994) that can be efficiently solved by the Robust Control Toolbox in Matlab (Balas et al., 2008). Because the fuzzy model can approximate any non-linear system, the proposed robust regulation design method developed from the fuzzy stochastic game theory can be applied to the robust regulation design problem of any synthetic gene network that can be interpolated by a T–S fuzzy model. For comparison, the conventional optimal regulation design method without considering the effect of disturbances is also proposed for the synthetic gene network. Because the effect of disturbances is not attenuated efficiently, the optimal regulation design method of synthetic gene networks is much influenced by the disturbances on the host cell. Finally, an in silico example is given to illustrate the design procedure and to confirm the efficiency and efficacy of the proposed minimax regulation design method for robust synthetic gene networks.

2 SYSTEMS AND METHODS

First, for the convenience of problem description, a simple design example of a four-gene network in Batt et al. (2007) is provided to give an overview of the design problem of robust synthetic gene networks. A more general design problem of robust synthetic gene networks will be given in the sequel. Let us consider a robust regulation design problem of a cascade loop of transcriptional inhibitions built in Escherichia coli (Hooshangi et al., 2005). The synthetic gene network is represented in Figure 1. It consists of four genes: trr, lact, cI and eyfp that code, respectively, three repressor proteins, TetR, LacI and CI, and the fluorescent protein EYFP (enhanced yellow fluorescent protein) (Batt et al., 2007). aTc (anhydrotetracycline) is the input to the system. The fluorescence of the system, due to the protein EYFP, is the measured output. The protein CI inhibits gene eyfp. The protein TetR inhibits gene lact. The protein LacI inhibits gene cI. The regulatory dynamic equations of the synthetic transcriptional cascade in Figure 1 are

in silico

![Fig. 1. Synthetic transcription cascade loop in silico design example. aTc represses TetR, TetR represses lact, LacI represses cI, CI represses eyfp. aTc is the system input and the fluorescent protein EYFP is the output.]
given as follows (Batt et al., 2007).

\[ \begin{align*}
\lambda_{\text{act}} &= \lambda_{\text{act}}^{0} - \gamma_{\text{act}}^{0} w_{\text{act}} + w_{1} \\
\lambda_{\text{deg}} &= \lambda_{\text{deg}}^{0} - \gamma_{\text{deg}}^{0} w_{\text{deg}} + w_{2} \\
\lambda_{\text{prom}} &= \lambda_{\text{prom}}^{0} - \gamma_{\text{prom}}^{0} w_{\text{prom}} + w_{3} \\
\lambda_{\text{reg}} &= \lambda_{\text{reg}}^{0} - \gamma_{\text{reg}}^{0} w_{\text{reg}} + w_{4}
\end{align*} \] (1)

with the uncertain initial conditions \( x_{\text{init}}(0), x_{\text{act}}(0), x_{\text{deg}}(0) \) and \( x_{\text{prom}}(0) \) in the host cell. \( \lambda_{\text{act}}, \lambda_{\text{deg}}, \lambda_{\text{prom}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{reg}} \) are basal production rates of the corresponding proteins, which are assumed to be given constants. \( \gamma_{\text{act}}, \gamma_{\text{deg}}, \gamma_{\text{prom}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{reg}} \) are decay rate parameters of the corresponding proteins. The regulatory functions \( \lambda_{\text{act}}, \lambda_{\text{deg}}, \lambda_{\text{prom}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{reg}} \) are the Hill functions for repressors and activators for an activator.

The Hill function can be derived from considering the equilibrium binding of the transcription factor to its site on the promoter region. For a repressor, Hill function is an S-shaped curve which can be described in the form \( \frac{K_{r}}{1 + (K_{r}/x_{i})^{n}} \) where \( K_{r} \) is the maximal expression level of promoter. \( K_{r} \) is the repression coefficient. The Hill coefficient \( n \) governs the steepness of the input function. For an activator, Hill function can be described in the form \( \frac{K_{a}}{1 + (K_{a}/x_{i})^{n}} \) where \( K_{a} \) is the maximal expression level of promoter. \( K_{a} \) is the activation coefficient. \( n \) determines the steepness of the input function (Alon, 2007). \( w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3} \) and \( w_{4} \) are the disturbances of the synthetic gene network, which denote the total of environmental noises, modeling residuals, intrinsic parameter fluctuations in the host cell. Therefore, \( v_{i}, i = 1-4 \) are assumed uncertain but bounded disturbances. The synthetic gene network design is to specify \( \lambda_{\text{act}}, \lambda_{\text{deg}}, \lambda_{\text{prom}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{reg}}, \gamma_{\text{act}}, \gamma_{\text{deg}}, \gamma_{\text{prom}} \) such that the system states \( x_{\text{act}}, x_{\text{deg}}, x_{\text{prom}} \) and \( x_{\text{reg}} \) can approach the desired states \( x_{\text{dact}}, x_{\text{ddeg}}, x_{\text{dprom}} \) and \( x_{\text{dreg}} \) respectively, in spite of uncertain initial conditions and disturbances.

If a synthetic gene network consists of \( n \) genes, then Equation (1) can be extended to the following \( n \)-gene network dynamics.

\[ \bar{x} = \bar{A} \bar{x} + \bar{r}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \bar{g}(\bar{u}) + \bar{w}, \bar{x}(0) = \bar{x}_{0} \] (2)

where the state vector \( \bar{x} \) concentrates the proteins of the synthetic gene network. \( \bar{A} \) is the vector of basal production rates of the corresponding proteins. \( f(x,y) \) denotes the regulatory vector of synthetic gene network, which is the function of production rate parameters \( \bar{A} \) and decay rate parameters \( \gamma \) where \( g(\bar{u}) \) denotes the input function to the synthetic gene network. \( w \) denotes the vector of stochastic disturbances on the host cell, whose statistics may be unavailable. The initial condition \( \bar{x}_{0} \) is assumed stochastic with unknown covariance. The robust synthetic gene network design is to select parameters \( \bar{A} \) and \( \gamma \) to be designed to achieve the desired state \( \bar{x} = \bar{x}_{d} \) on the host cell. i.e. \( \bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{d} \), where the steady state deviation from \( \bar{x}_{0} \) and \( \bar{x}_{d} \). This is a robust regulation problem of synthetic gene networks, i.e. the state vector \( x \) of synthetic gene networks is robustly regulated to \( \bar{x}_{d} \) in the host cell.

Let us denote the regulation error as

\[ \hat{x} = x - \bar{x} \] (3)

Then the regulation error dynamic system is given by

\[ \hat{\dot{x}} = f(\hat{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{v}) + \bar{r} + \hat{\bar{w}}, \hat{\bar{x}}(0) = \hat{\bar{x}}_{0} \] (4)

where \( \bar{v} = \bar{v}(\hat{x}, \hat{\bar{w}}) \) denotes the total uncertain disturbance in the regulation error system because these terms always fluctuate in the host cell and are not easily measured correctly. Because of the uncertainty of \( \bar{v} \) and \( \hat{\bar{w}} \), the minimax regulation design method is an efficient but simple design scheme for robust synthetic gene network. The uncertainty of disturbance \( \bar{v} \) and \( \hat{\bar{w}} \) in (4) can be considered as a player maximizing their effects on the regulation error in the following

\[ \begin{align*}
\min_{\bar{v} \in [k_{1}, k_{2}]} \max_{\gamma \in [\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}]} & \ E \left[ \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}} E \left[ \hat{\bar{x}}(t) \right] ^{2} dt \right] \] (5)

where \( E \) is the weighting matrix. In general, \( E \) is a diagonal weighting matrix with \( E = \text{diag}(q_{11}, q_{22}, \ldots, q_{nn}) \) to denote the punishment on regulation error. If only the last state \( x_{n} \) is required to be regulated to achieve the desired state \( x_{d} \), then we can let \( q_{nn} = 1 \) and \( q_{ii} = q_{22} = \ldots = q_{11} = 0 \). \( k_{1}, k_{2} \) and \( \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \) denote the allowable ranges of production rate \( k \) and decay rate vector \( \gamma \) respectively. The allowable ranges are determined by the engineering biotechnologies of synthetic biology. \( k \) and \( \gamma \) to be designed can be considered as another player minimizing the worst-case effect of \( \bar{v}(0) \) and \( \dot{\bar{w}} \) on the regulation error. If the disturbances \( \bar{v} \) and initial condition \( \bar{x}(0) \) are deterministic, then the expectation operation \( E \left[ \cdot \right] \) in (5) could be neglected.

The physical meaning of (5) is that the worst-case effect of uncertain \( \bar{v} \) and \( \dot{\bar{w}} \) on the regulation error \( \bar{v} \) must be minimized from the mean energy perspective by \( k \) and \( \gamma \), which are chosen from the allowable ranges. Therefore, for uncertain \( \bar{v}(0) \) and \( \dot{\bar{w}} \), the robust synthetic gene network design is to solve the minimax problem in (5) subject to the regulation error dynamic system in (4). This is the so-called stochastic game problem in the robust synthetic gene network design (Basar and Olsder, 1999).

In general, it is not easy to solve the non-linear stochastic game problem in (5) subject to (4) directly. It is always solved by a sub-minimax method. First, let the upper bound \( g^{2} \) of (5) (Basar and Olsder, 1999; Chen et al., 2002) be

\[ \min_{k \in [k_{1}, k_{2}]} \max_{\gamma \in [\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}]} \ E \left[ \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}} E \left[ \hat{\bar{x}}(t) \right] ^{2} dt \right] \leq g^{2} \] (6)

We will first solve the sub-minimax problem in (6) and then decrease the upper bound \( g^{2} \) as much as possible to approach its minimax solution. In general, the minimax problem in (6) is equivalent to the following minimax problem (Basar and Olsder, 1999; Chen et al., 2002)

\[ \min_{k \in [k_{1}, k_{2}]} \max_{\gamma \in [\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}]} \left( \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}} \left[ E \left[ \hat{\bar{x}}(t) \right] ^{2} \right] dt \right) \] (7)

where \( g^{2} \) is to be minimized because it is the upper bound in (6) and should be as small as possible to approach the minimax solution. Let us denote the cost function as

\[ J(\bar{v}, \gamma) = \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}} \left[ E \left[ \hat{\bar{x}}(t) \right] ^{2} \right] dt \] (8)

\[ \begin{align*}
3 \text{ RESULTS} \\
3.1 \text{ Sub-minimax design for robust synthetic gene networks}
\end{align*} \]

From the above analysis, the dynamic game problem in (6) or (7) is equivalent to finding the worst-case disturbances \( \bar{v}(t) \) which maximizes \( J(\bar{v}, \gamma) \) and then the minimax \( k^{*} \) and \( \gamma^{*} \) which minimize \( J(k^{*}, \gamma^{*}) \) such that the minimax value \( J(k^{*}, \gamma^{*}) \) is less than \( g^{2} \).
well. Therefore, the first step of robust synthetic gene network design is to solve the following dynamic game problem:

$$\min_{k \in [k_1, k_2]} \max_{\gamma \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]} J(k, \gamma, v)$$

subject to the error dynamic equation in (4). Since \( J(k^*, \gamma^*, v^*) \leq g^2 E[x_t(0)\mid 0] \) according to (9) and \( g^2 \) is the upper bound of the game in (6), the sub-minimax has to make \( g^2 \) as small as possible, too.

From the above analysis, we obtain the following sub-minimax result for robust synthetic gene network design.

**Proposition 1.** The sub-minimax synthetic gene network design is equivalent to solving the following constrained optimization for \( k^* \) and \( \gamma^* \):

$$\min_{k \in [k_1, k_2]} \quad g^2$$

subject to the following Hamilton–Jacobi inequality (HJI)

$$E[V(\tilde{x}(t))] \leq g^2 E[x_t(0)\mid 0]$$

with \( V(\tilde{x}) > 0 \) and the worst-case disturbance is given by

$$\gamma^* = \frac{1}{2g^2} \frac{\partial V(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}}$$

**Proof.** see Appendix A in the Supplementary Material.

**Remark 1.**

1. From (6), \( g^2 \) is the upper bound of the game. In (11), we minimize the upper bound \( g^2 \) to achieve the sub-minimax solution for robust synthetic gene networks.
2. The physical meaning of the constrained minimization in (11) and (12) is that we want to specify \( k^* \) and \( \gamma^* \) from the allowable parameter ranges such that the upper bound \( g^2 \) is as small as possible until no positive solution \( V(\tilde{x}) > 0 \) of HJI in (12) exists.
3. At present, there exists no efficient analytic or numerical method to solve the HJI in (12) for non-linear stochastic system control or filtering designs (Zhang and Chen, 2006; Zhang et al., 2005).

### 3.2 Minimax robust synthetic gene networks via fuzzy interpolation method

Because it is very difficult to solve the non-linear HJI in (12), no simple approach is available for solving the constrained optimization problem in (11) for the minimax robust synthetic gene network design problem. Recently, the T–S fuzzy model has been widely employed (Chen et al., 1999, 2000; Hwang, 2004; Takagi and Sugeno, 1985) to approximate the non-linear system via interpolating several linearized systems at different operating points so that the non-linear Nash stochastic problem could be transformed to a fuzzy stochastic game problem (Chen et al., 2002). By using such approach, the HJI in (12) can be replaced by a set of LMIs. In this situation, the non-linear stochastic game problem in (10) could be easily solved by the fuzzy dynamic method for the robust design of sub-minimax design problem.

Suppose the non-linear system in (4) could be approximated by a T–S fuzzy system (Takagi and Sugeno, 1985). The T–S fuzzy model is a piecewise interpolation of several linearized models through fuzzy membership functions. The fuzzy model is described by fuzzy if-then rules and will be employed to deal with the non-linear stochastic game problem for robust synthetic gene network design under uncertain initial conditions and disturbances. The \( i \)-th rule of fuzzy model for non-linear systems in (4) is of the following form (Chen et al., 1999; Takagi and Sugeno, 1985).

**Rule \( i \):**

If \( \xi_1(t) = F_{1i} \) and \( \ldots \) and \( \xi_{L}(t) = F_{Li} \),

then

$$\hat{\xi}(t) = A_{k, \gamma} \hat{x} + v, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, L$$

where \( F_{ji} \) is the fuzzy set. \( A_{k, \gamma} \) is constant matrix with the elements of \( k \) and \( \gamma \) contained in its entries. \( q \) is the number of premise variables and \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_L \) are the premise variables. The fuzzy system is inferred as follows (Chen et al., 1999, 2000; Li et al., 2004; Lian et al., 2001; Takagi and Sugeno, 1985)

$$\dot{\hat{x}}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mu_i(\hat{x}(0)) \hat{x}(0) + c, \quad \hat{x}(0) = \hat{x}_0$$

where \( \mu_i(\hat{x}(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} F_i(\hat{x}(t)) h_i(\hat{x}(t)) = \mu_i(\hat{x}(t)) / \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i(\hat{x}(t)) \) and \( F_i(\hat{x}(t)) \) are the grade of membership of \( \xi_i(t) \) in \( F_{ji} \).

We assume

$$\mu_i(\hat{x}(t)) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mu_i(\hat{x}(t)) = 1$$

Therefore, we get the following fuzzy basis functions

$$h_i(\hat{x}(t)) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i(\hat{x}(t)) = 1$$

The T–S fuzzy model in (15) is to interpolate \( L \) linear systems to approximate the non-linear system in (4) via the fuzzy basis functions \( h_i(\hat{x}(t)) \). We could specify system parameter \( A_{k, \gamma} \) easily so that \( \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i(\hat{x}(t)) A_{k, \gamma} \) can approximate \( f(\hat{x}, x, k, \gamma) \) in (4) by the fuzzy identification method (Takagi and Sugeno, 1985).

After the non-linear system in (4) is approximated by the T–S fuzzy system in (15), the non-linear dynamic game problem in (10) is replaced by solving a dynamic game problem in (6) subject to the fuzzy system (15).

**Proposition 2.** The sub-minimax robust synthetic gene network design is to solve \( k^* \) and \( \gamma^* \) by the following constraint optimization

$$\min_{k \in [k_1, k_2]} \quad g^2$$

subject to

$$P A_k(k, r) + A_k^T(k, r) P + Q + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} P P \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, L$$

$$P \leq g^2 I, \quad P > 0$$

1825
and the worst-case disturbance $v^*$ is given by

$$v^* = \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i(i) P E$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

**Proof.** see Appendix B in the Supplementary Material.

By the fuzzy approximation, the HJI in (12) can be approximated by a set of algebraic inequalities in (19). By Schur complement (Boyd et al., 1994), the constrained optimization problem in (18) and (19) is equivalent to the following LMI-constrained optimization problem

$$\min \quad \gamma^2 \quad (21)$$

subject to

$$[PA_i(k,\gamma)+A_i^T(k,\gamma)P+Q-P \quad \gamma] \leq 0, \ i=1,2,\ldots,L$$

$$P \leq \gamma^2 I, \ P > 0 \quad (22)$$

**Remark 2.**

(1) The fuzzy basis functions $h_i(i)$ in (15) and (17) can be replaced by other interpolation functions, for example, cubic spline functions.

(2) By the fuzzy approximation, the HJI in (12) of non-linear dynamic game problem can be solved by Robust Control Toolbox in Matlab efficiently (Balas et al., 2008). The constrained optimization in (18) and (19) can be solved by decreasing $\gamma^2$ until there is no positive definite solution $P > 0$ in (22) with $k^\gamma \in \{k_1,k_2\}$ and $\gamma^\gamma \in \{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\}$.

(3) In the LMI-constrained optimization in (22) for the robust synthetic gene network design, we do not need the statistics of initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell, which are not easy to be measured. Therefore, the proposed method is simple but robust for synthetic gene networks.

**Remark 3.** For comparison, the conventional optimal regulation design is also proposed for synthetic gene networks. If the effect of external disturbances and uncertain initial conditions on the regulation error is not considered as (5) in the design procedure, i.e. only the following optimal regulation design is considered.

$$\min_k \quad E \left[ \int_{0}^{T} \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} \, dt \right] \quad (23)$$

subject to (4) then we obtain the following sub-optimal regulation design for synthetic gene networks.

**Proposition 3.** The sub-optimal synthetic gene network design in (23) is to solve the following constrained optimization

$$\min_k \quad E[V(x(0))] \quad (24)$$

subject to

$$\frac{\partial V(k)}{\partial x} = \gamma \left( \frac{\partial V(k)}{\partial x} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial V(k)}{\partial x} \right) \gamma < 0 \quad (25)$$

**Proof.** see Appendix C in the Supplementary Material.

Because it is not easy to solve the above HJI-constrained optimization for the sub-optimal regulation design in (24) and (25), the fuzzy approximation method is needed to simplify the design procedure. If the non-linear error dynamic equation in (4) is represented by the fuzzy interpolation system in (15), then the optimal synthetic gene network design in (25) is equivalent to the following optimal regulation design problem.

$$\min_{k \in \{k_1,k_2\}} \quad \gamma \in \{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\} \quad E \left[ \int_{0}^{T} \tilde{x}^T Q \tilde{x} \, dt \right] \quad (26)$$

subject to

$$P > 0, \quad \left[A_i^T(k,\gamma)P+PA_i(k,\gamma)+Q-P \quad \gamma \right] \leq 0, \ i=1,2,\ldots,L \quad (27)$$

**Proposition 4.** The sub-optimal regulation design problem in (26) becomes how to solve the following constrained optimization problem

$$\min_k \quad E \left[ \int_{0}^{T} (PR_k) \, dt \right] \quad (28)$$

subject to

$$P > 0, \quad \left[A_i^T(k,\gamma)P+PA_i(k,\gamma)+Q-P \quad \gamma \right] \leq 0, \ i=1,2,\ldots,L \quad (29)$$

where $R_k$ denotes the covariance matrix $E[x(t)x(t)'^T(0)]$.

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.

Since the effect of stochastic disturbances on $\tilde{x}$ is not considered as (5) in the above sub-optimal synthetic gene network design, the synthesized gene networks will be more sensitive to the external disturbances or other uncertain factors. They will be compared with the sub-minimax robust synthetic gene network in the simulation example.

**Remark 4.** Since the effect of the disturbance $v$ on the regulation error has not been attenuated efficiently on the design procedure of the sub-optimal regulation in Propositions 3 and 4, the disturbance will have much effect on the sub-optimal regulation design of synthetic gene network. This property will be discussed and compared with the proposed robust synthetic gene network in the design example in the following section.

### 3.2.1 Design procedure

According to the analyses above, a design procedure is developed for the proposed robust synthetic gene network.

1. Give feasible parameter ranges $[k_1,k_2]$ and $[\gamma_1,\gamma_2]$ for production rate parameters $k$ and decay rate parameters $\gamma$, respectively, according to the biotechnology ability.

2. Give the desired steady state $x_0$ according to the design purpose and develop a regulation error dynamic (4) for a synthetic gene network.

3. Construct a T-S fuzzy model in (15) to approximate the regulation error dynamic in (4).

4. Solve the constrained optimization problem from the ranges $k \in \{k_1,k_2\}$ and $\gamma \in \{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\}$ in (21) and (22) for the robust synthetic gene network design $k^*$ and $\gamma^*$, respectively.
according to the sub-minimax scheme or solve the constrained optimization problem in (27) and (28) for the sub-optimal regulation design.

3.3 Design example in silico for the proposed robust design

Consider the man-made synthetic gene network in the dynamic equations (1) (Batt et al., 2007). The synthetic gene network is shown in Figure 1. Where $k_{tetR}$, $k_{cI}$ and $k_{eyfp}$ are basal production rates of the corresponding proteins, which are assumed to be 5000, 587, 210 and 3487, respectively (Batt et al., 2007; Hooshangi et al., 2005). $\tilde{k}_{tetR}, \tilde{k}_{cI}$ and $\tilde{k}_{eyfp}$ are the production rate parameters of the corresponding proteins in the host cell (i.e. E. coli). In the robust synthetic gene network design, we should select the parameters $k$ and $y$ from feasible ranges so that the state of synthetic gene network $\tilde{x}_i$ could approach a desired steady state $\tilde{x}_i^*$ for some biotechnical purpose. $\tilde{x}_1^* \sim 1$, $\tilde{x}_2^* \sim 0.6$, $\tilde{x}_3^* \sim 7.2815$ and $\tilde{x}_4^*$ are decreasing Hill functions for regulations of repressors. $\gamma_{tetR}$ and $\gamma_{cI}$ are the decreasing function since $\gamma_{cI}$ is an activator. The Hill function is an S-shaped curve (Alon, 2007). $\tilde{u}_{tetR}$ is the input to the synthetic gene network system. We assume anhydrotetracycline input concentration to be a constant value $10,000$ (i.e. $\tilde{u}_{tetR} = 10,000$). For the convenience of simulation, we assume that extrinsic disturbances $\tilde{w}_1 = \tilde{w}_4$ are $\tilde{w}_i = [5000 10 000 12 100000]_i^T$, where $n_i, \ i = 1,2,3,4$ are independent Gaussian white noises with zero mean and unit variance.

From the robust synthetic gene network design procedure, we give the feasible parameter ranges of production rate parameters $k$ and decay rate parameters $y$ as follows (Batt et al., 2007)

$$k_{tetR} \in [70,7000], \quad y_{tetR} \in [0.05,5]$$
$$k_{cI} \in [75,8000], \quad \gamma_{tetR} \in [0.01,134,1.131]$$
$$k_{eyfp} \in [30,5000], \quad \gamma_{cI} \in [0.1,76,17,2815]$$
$$\gamma_{eyfp} \in [0.007,0.067]$$

(29)

Then we give the desired steady states of the synthetic gene network are $\tilde{x}_1^* = [1000, 5000, 300, 500000]^T$, $\tilde{y}_{tetR} = 1$, $\tilde{y}_{cI} = 1$, $\tilde{y}_{eyfp} = 1$. Then the regulation error dynamic equation in (4) is developed for the synthetic gene network. Because it is very difficult to solve the non-linear HJI in (12), no simple approach is available to solve the constrained optimization problem in (11) for robust parameters $k^*$ and $y^*$. We construct the T-S fuzzy model in (15) to approximate the regulation error dynamic in (4) with the regulation error dynamic system’s state variables as the premise variables in the following.

**RULE 1**: If $\tilde{x}_1(t) \in F_{j1}$ and $\tilde{x}_2(t) \in F_{j2}$ and $\tilde{x}_3(t) \in F_{j3}$ and $\tilde{x}_4(t) \in F_{j4}$,

then $\dot{\tilde{i}} = A_{\tilde{i}}(k, y)\dot{x} + v$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,L$

where the parameters $A_{\tilde{i}}(k, y)$ and the number of fuzzy rules is $L=16$.

To construct the fuzzy model, we need to find the operating points of the regulation error dynamic system. The operating points for $\tilde{x}_1$ are chosen at $\tilde{x}_1 = -40$ and $\tilde{x}_2 = 4040$. Similarly, the operating points of $\tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3, \tilde{x}_4$ are chosen at $\tilde{x}_2 = -38510, \tilde{x}_22 = 381, \tilde{x}_3 = -167, \tilde{x}_32 = 1686, \tilde{x}_34 = -441590, \tilde{x}_4 = 4372, \tilde{x}_42 = 4372$, respectively. For the convenience of design, triangle-type membership functions are taken for Rule 1 through Rule 16. We create two triangle-type membership functions for each state (Fig. 2).

In order to simplify the non-linear stochastic game problem of the robust synthetic gene network, we just solve only the sub-minimax problem in (6) instead. With the help of fuzzy approximation method and LMI technique, we can easily solve the constrained optimization problem in (21) and (22) instead of the non-linear constrained optimization problem in (11) and (12) for the minmax robust synthetic gene network design. Finally, we obtain the upper bound of the game in (6) $\gamma^2 = 0.847536$ and a common positive definite symmetric matrix $P$ for (22) as follows

$$P = \begin{bmatrix}
0.45842 & -0.0079 & 0.0143 & -0.00668 \\
-0.0079 & 0.07716 & -0.000557 & 0.00269 \\
0.0143 & -0.000557 & 0.04847 & 0.00718 \\
-0.0068 & 0.00268 & 0.00718 & 0.0578
\end{bmatrix}$$

with the specified production rate parameters $k_{tetR}^* = 7000, k_{cI}^* = 4037.5$ and $k_{eyfp}^* = 30000$ and robust decay rate parameters $\gamma_{tetR}^* = 5, \gamma_{cI}^* = 0.1517, \gamma_{eyfp}^* = 0.04216$ and $\gamma_{cI}^* = 0.067$ of the synthetic gene network. With these design parameters, the parameters $A_{\tilde{i}}$ of fuzzy model are described in Appendix D (Supplementary Material).

Figure 3 presents the simulation result for robust synthetic gene networks by using Monte Carlo method with 50 rounds and with the uncertain initial values. $\tilde{x}_1(0)-\tilde{x}_4(0)$ are assumed normal-distributed random numbers with means 5000, 8000, 2000, 10 000 and SDs 500, 800, 200, 1000. As shown, the synthetic gene network has robust regulation ability to achieve the desired steady state (black dashed line) in spite of uncertain initial states and the disturbances on the host cell. Obviously, the robust synthetic gene network by the proposed sub-minimax regulation design method has robust stability to the uncertain initial conditions and enough filtering ability to attenuate the disturbances on the host cell and can approach the desired steady states.

For comparison, we solve the sub-optimal regulation design problem in (27) and (28) for the specified production rate parameters $k_{tetR}^* = 70, k_{cI}^* = 4037.5$ and $k_{eyfp}^* = 15015$ and decay rate parameters $\gamma_{tetR}^* = 2.525, \gamma_{cI}^* = 0.1517, \gamma_{eyfp}^* = 7.2815$ and $\gamma_{cI}^* = 0.067$ of the synthetic gene network. The simulation result of conventional optimal regulation design is also shown in Figure 4. As can be seen, the conventional optimal regulation design of the synthetic gene network is more sensitive to the initial conditions and disturbances, and cannot achieve the desired steady state under the uncertain initial conditions and disturbances.

**Remark 5.** The experimental systems in the above example may not be fully observable. If we want to know whether all state variables can approach to the desired states $\tilde{x}_i^*$, several fluorescent proteins

---
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The Monte Carlo simulation method is used with 50 rounds. Because the initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell are uncertain, to simplify the design problem, a robust synthetic biology design is formulated as a stochastic game problem in this study. The uncertain initial conditions and disturbances due to intrinsic and extrinsic molecular noises on the host cell are considered as a player maximizing the regulation error and the design parameters are considered as another player minimizing the regulation error. In order to avoid solving HJI in the stochastic game theory-based design problem, a T–S fuzzy interpolation method is introduced to simplify the design procedure of robust synthetic gene networks via only solving a set of LMIs, which can be efficiently solved by Robust Control Toolbox in Matlab.

In our study, we can select the weighting matrix \( Q = \text{diag}[q_{11}, q_{22}, q_{33}, q_{44}] \) which denotes the punishment on the corresponding tracking error \( \dot{x} \). If we only need to achieve a desired steady state \( x_4 \) (EYFP), we just assign a value to the fourth diagonal element \( q_{44} \) of the weighting matrix \( Q \) and set \( q_{11} = q_{22} = q_{33} = 0 \). The states \( x_1 \)–\( x_3 \) will not approach to the given steady state \( x_4 \) because of no any punishment. However, in this case, some infeasible steady states of \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) may be obtained even an optimal \( x_4 \) can be achieved. In this study, the desired steady states of \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) are given because we can avoid obtaining infeasible steady states in \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) when an optimal \( x_4 \) is achieved. Further, the undesired steady states of \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) may also have metabolic toxicity on host cell and should be avoided. Since the steady states of \( x_1 \)–\( x_3 \) are not that important, the desired steady states \( x_1 = 4037, x_2 = 2 \times 10^4, \) \( x_3 = 1 \times 10^5 \), and \( x_4 = 4 \times 10^3 \) can be adjusted within feasible ranges, so that the desired steady state \( x_4 \) can still achieve some optimization as possible. This kind of design can avoid hampering the optimization of \( x_4 \) when \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) achieve some feasible steady states. In our in silico design example, we can design the specified robust production rate parameters \( k^*_i \) and decay rate parameters \( \gamma^*_i \) within the feasible parameter ranges to achieve the desired steady states of the synthetic gene network. As for the biological implementation, we could refer to standard biological parts in biological device datasheets to construct the genetic circuits with the fine-tuned production rate parameters \( k^*_i \) and decay rate parameters \( \gamma^*_i \). In this way, synthetic biologists can increase efficiency of gene circuit design through registries of biological parts and standard datasheets, which are developed concerned with proper packing and characterizing of 'modular' biological activities so that these biological parts or devices with some desired characteristics may be efficiently assembled into gene circuits (Canton, et al., 2008). Quantitative descriptions of devices in the form of standardized, comprehensive datasheets are widely used in many engineering disciplines. A datasheet is intended to allow an engineer to quickly determine whether the behavior of a device will meet the requirements of a system in which a device might be used (Canton, et al., 2008). Such a determination is based on a set of standard characteristics of device behavior, which are the product of engineering theory and experience. In the datasheets of engineering, the characteristics typically reported are common across a wide range of device types, such as sensors, logic elements and actuators. Recently, biological datasheets have been set as standards for characterization, manufacture and sharing of information about modular biological devices for a more efficient, predictable and design-driven genetic engineering science (Arkin, 2008; Canton, et al., 2008). Because datasheets of biological parts or devices are an embodiment of engineering standard for synthetic biology (Canton, et al., 2008), a good device standard should define sufficient information about biological parts or devices to allow

\[ \text{Fig. 3. The robust synthetic gene network design with uncertain initial values and the desired steady states } x_4 = [1000, 50000, 300, 500000]^T. \text{ And with specified robust production rate parameters } k_1^*, k_2^*, k_3^*, k_4^* = 7000, 4037.5 \text{ and } k_0^* = 50000 \text{ while the specified robust decay rate parameters are } \gamma_1^* = 0.1517, \gamma_2^* = 4.0216 \text{ and } \gamma_0^* = 0.067 \text{ of the synthetic gene network. The Monte Carlo simulation method is used with 50 rounds.} \]

\[ \text{Fig. 4. The conventional optimal regulation design with uncertain initial values and the desired steady states } x_4 = [10000, 500000, 300, 5000000]^T. \text{ And with specified production rate parameters } k_1^*, k_2^*, k_3^*, k_4^* = 70, 4037.5 \text{ and } k_0^* = 15015, \text{ while the specified decay rate parameters are } \gamma_1^* = 2.525, \gamma_2^* = 0.1517, \gamma_3^* = 7.2815 \text{ and } \gamma_0^* = 0.067 \text{ of the synthetic gene network. It is seen that the conventional optimal regulation design of the synthetic gene network is sensitive to the initial conditions and disturbances and cannot achieve the desired steady states. The Monte Carlo simulation method is used with 50 rounds.} \]
As seen in the example, the in silico design of gene circuit systems with the optimal parameters. Datasheets contain a formal set of input-output transfer functions, dynamic behaviors, compatibility, requirements and other details about a particular part or device (Arkin, 2008; Canton, et al., 2008). Since parameters \( k_i \) are combinations of transcription and translation, they can be measured from the input-output transfer functions and dynamic behaviors of biological parts or devices. When the biological parts and devices in datasheets become more complete in future, we can rapidly select from a vast list the parts that will meet our design parameters \( k_i \). Therefore, we can ensure that these parts selected from datasheets can fit the optimal parameters and systems synthesized from them can satisfy the requirements of design specifications for robust synthetic gene networks.

In order to guarantee the biological feasibility of the calculated optimal parameters, the ranges \([k_1, k_2]\) and \([\gamma_1, \gamma_2]\) of parameters should be determined by the whole parameters of biological parts repositories (http://partsregistry.org) so that the optimal parameters selected within these ranges to minimize \( g^2 \) in Equations (21) and (22) have biological meaning, or equivalently from the whole biological parts in biological device datasheets, we can find a set of biological parts whose parameters can minimize the \( g^2 \) in Equations (21) and (22) to achieve the robust optimal design of synthetic gene network.

In synthetic gene networks, there is much uncertainty about what affects the behavior of biological circuitry and systems. For example, devices will perturb the cellular functions and there are also likely to be parasitic and unpredictable interactions among components as well as with the host. Since \( k_i \) is a combination of promoter strength, ribosome binding site and degradation of the transcript, there are some variations on the parameter values \( k_i \). These variations or uncertainties of \( k_i \) can be transformed to an equivalent uncertain disturbance \( w_i \) in Equation 1 from the viewpoint of mathematic model. The proposed robust minimax synthesis design method can predict the most robust value of \( k_i \) from the perspective of stochastic game. In our robust design method, we do not need the statistics of these parameter uncertainties because the proposed synthetic genetic network not only can achieve the desired steady state but also can tolerate the worst-case effect due to these uncertain parameter variations and external noises on the host cell.

For comparison, a sub-optimal regulation design for synthetic gene network is also developed for synthetic gene network. Because the sub-optimal regulation design cannot efficiently attenuate the effect of uncertain initial conditions and disturbances on the host cell. Design of more robust and complex genetic circuits is foreseen to have important applications in biotechnology, medicine and biofuel production, and to revolutionize how we conceptualize and approach the engineering of biological systems (Andrianantoandro et al., 2006). Therefore, it has much potential for the robust synthetic gene network design in the near future.
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