γγ physics and transition form factor measurements at KLOE/KLOE-2
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Abstract: The KLOE results on the measurement of the transition form factors of the η and π\textsuperscript{0} mesons in φ Dalitz decays are presented, and the determination of the Γ(η → γγ) in γγ collisions is also reported. The prospects for γγ physics of the new data-taking, started in November 2014 with the upgraded detector, are reviewed.
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1 Introduction

The KLOE Collaboration took data from 2001 to 2006 at the Frascati φ-factory DAΦNE, collecting about 2.5 fb\textsuperscript{-1} at the peak of the φ(1020), and 250 pb\textsuperscript{-1} off-peak, mainly at √s = 1 GeV. In 2008 a new interaction scheme for DAΦNE has been adopted, aiming to an increase in luminosity. Following this successful test, a new data-taking campaign of the KLOE experiment (KLOE-2 in the following) with an upgraded detector has been proposed\textsuperscript{[1]}. The DAΦNE commissioning for the KLOE-2 data-taking started in 2010. In December 2012 the machine has been shut down to install the new beam-pipe with new detectors in KLOE. In July 2013, after the completion of the installation, the machine commissioning has been resumed. The KLOE-2 data taking started in November 2014, with the goal to collect at least 5 fb\textsuperscript{-1} of integrated luminosity in 2 - 3 years. Until June 2015 DAΦNE provided 1 fb\textsuperscript{-1} of luminosity, that has been collected by KLOE-2 with an efficiency of about 80%, as shown in Fig. 1. During this period the DAΦNE peak luminosity was about 2×10\textsuperscript{32} cm\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, and the integrated luminosity collected in a day was about 10 pb\textsuperscript{-1}.

One of the main items of the KLOE-2 physics program\textsuperscript{[1]} is the measurement of the Transition Form Factors (TFFs) of the pseudoscalar mesons both in the space-like and in time-like region of momentum transfer. The TFFs describe the coupling of mesons to photons and provide information about the nature of the mesons and their structure. Recently the interest in the TFFs has been renewed since they are an essential ingredient in the calculation of the hadronic Light-by-Light (LbL) scattering contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon\textsuperscript{[2]}. The leading contribution to the LbL scattering is the single pseudoscalar exchange (Fig. 2), where the TFFs enter at the vertices connecting the pseudoscalar to photons.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{gamma.eps}
\caption{ Dominant contribution to the hadronic LbL scattering for the (g-2)\textsubscript{μ} theoretical calculation.}
\end{figure}

The calculation of this contribution is model dependent since the exchanged meson is off-shell, and the TFFs for off-shell meson are not measurable quantities. Nevertheless any experimental information, both for space-like and time-like q\textsuperscript{2}, can help in constraining the models used in the calculations. The TFFs at time-like q\textsuperscript{2} can be studied by means of the Dalitz decays, like φ → ηe\textsuperscript{+}e\textsuperscript{-} and φ → π\textsuperscript{0}e\textsuperscript{+}e\textsuperscript{-}.

---
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Another physics item that will be addressed by KLOE-2 is the $\gamma\gamma$ physics, i.e. processes like $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow e^+e^-X$, where $X$ is a final state with even charge conjugation. The expected number of events as a function of the $\gamma\gamma$ energy $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ is:

$$\frac{dN}{dW_{\gamma\gamma}} = L_{\text{int}} \frac{dF}{dW_{\gamma\gamma}} \sigma_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow X}$$ (1)

where $L_{\text{int}}$ is the integrated luminosity, $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow X}$ the $\gamma\gamma$ cross-section, and $\frac{dF}{dW_{\gamma\gamma}}$ is the luminosity function which is plotted in Fig. 3 for three different energies. Since DAΦNE is operated at $\sqrt{s} \simeq M_\phi$, the accessible final state are either single pseudoscalar, $X = \eta$, $\pi^0$, or the double pion production, $X = \pi\pi$.

The cross-section for single pseudoscalar is:

$$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow X}(q_1^2, q_2^2) = \frac{8\pi^2}{M_X} \Gamma(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) |F(q_1^2, q_2^2)|^2$$ (2)

with $(q_1^2 + q_2^2) = M_X^2$.

Then the radiative width $\Gamma(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ of the pseudoscalar meson, and the TFF $F(q_1^2, q_2^2)$ for space-like $q^2$ can be measured. Concerning the double pion final state, it is interesting to study the production of the lowest mass scalar meson $f_0(500)$, but it is also important for the new dispersive approach proposed for the hadronic LbL scattering.

2 Detector upgrade

As a first step of the detector upgrade, a tagger system for scattered electrons and positrons in $\gamma\gamma$ processes has been installed already in 2010. It consists of two different devices: the Low Energy Tagger (LET) and the High Energy Tagger (HET), referring to the energy of the detected electrons or positrons (see Fig. 4).

2.1 The Low Energy Tagger

The LET has been designed to detect $e^\pm$ with energy between 150 and 350 MeV escaping from the beam-pipe, and it is placed at about 1 m from the IP. Since in this region there is no correlation between the energy and the scattering angle of the particles, a calorimetric device has been choosen. Then the LET consists of two calorimeters, each made of 4 $\times$ 5 LYSO crystals of $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 20$ cm$^3$ dimensions. The crystals are readout by SiPM. The two calorimeters are placed simmetrically with respect to the IP, as shown in Fig. 5.

2.2 The High Energy Tagger

The HET is designed to detect scattered $e^\pm$ of $E > 400$ MeV. These particles escape the beam-pipe after the first bending dipole of DAΦNE, that can thus be used as spectrometer. The trajectories of the scattered electrons are strongly correlated with their energy.
Then the HET is made of two scintillator hodoscopes readout by PMT, symmetrically placed 11 m far from the IP (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6. Sketch of the HET hodoscope.

The HET is acquired asynchronously with respect to the main KLOE detector, and for each KLOE trigger the HET information concerning three DAΦNE beam revolutions is stored. The synchronization is performed by using a machine signal. In Fig. 7 the time difference between the two HET stations is shown: the accelerator time structure of about 2.7 ns period is clearly visible. The superimposed histogram is the same distribution resulting from a run with separated beams in the IP, and shows that the level of background is less than 10%.

Fig. 7. Time difference between the two HET stations. Black: colliding beams; red: no collisions.

3 \(\gamma\gamma\) physics without taggers

With the KLOE data the two-photon width of the \(\eta\) meson has been measured by detecting events \(e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\), with \(\eta\rightarrow\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\) and \(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\). The scattered leptons were not detected because the taggers were not present, then in order to avoid the large background from \(\phi\) decays the data collected off-peak, at \(\sqrt{s} = 1\) GeV, have been analyzed, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 250 pb\(^{-1}\). In Fig. 8 the distributions of the missing mass with respect to \(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\) and \(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\), respectively, are shown. By fitting these histograms we obtained the cross sections \(\sigma(e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-\eta) = (34.5 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.3)\) pb and \(\sigma(e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-\eta) = (32.0 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9)\) pb for the charged and neutral \(\eta\) decay channel, respectively. By combining them, \(\sigma(e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-\eta) = (32.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.7)\) pb, from which we extract the most precise measurement to date of the two-photon width: \(\Gamma(\eta\rightarrow\gamma\gamma) = (520 \pm 20 \pm 13)\) eV [9].

Fig. 8. \(\gamma\gamma\rightarrow\eta\rightarrow\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\).

Fig. 9. Dark grey: \(\gamma\gamma\rightarrow\eta\rightarrow\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\); light grey: \(e^+e^-\rightarrow\eta\gamma\rightarrow\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\).

4 Prospects for \(\gamma\gamma\) physics with taggers

Since the KLOE-2 data-taking is performed at the \(\phi\) peak, the detection of the scattered electrons and
positrons in the tagging stations will be essential for closing the kinematics of the events and thus to reduce the large background coming from $\phi$ decays.

4.1 $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^0$

The radiative width of the $\pi^0$ has been calculated in Chiral Perturbation Theory with 1.4% uncertainty, $\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = (8.09 \pm 0.11) \text{ eV}^{[10]}$, and from the experimental point of view, the most precise measurement up to now comes from the PrimEx Collaboration and is based on the Primakoff effect, $\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = (7.82 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.17) \text{ eV}^{[11]}$. However the measurements based on Primakoff effect suffer from some model dependence due to the conversions in the nucleus field. At KLOE-2 the $\pi^0$ width can be measured with a different process by selecting $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0$ events with quasi-real photons ($q^2 \approx 0$). These events are selected by requiring that the scattered $e^\pm$ go in the two HET stations, and the two photons from $\pi^0$ decay are detected in the calorimeter. According to the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation the double HET coincidence efficiency is 1.4%, then for a cross-section $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0) = 0.28 \text{ nb}$, about 2000 events/fb$^{-1}$ are expected, allowing to reach a 1% accuracy in $\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ with 5 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity.

Moreover the $\pi^0\gamma^*\gamma$ TFF with a quasi-real photon and a virtual one can also be measured, by selecting events in which one electron is detected in the HET ($q^2 \approx 0$) and the other one at large angle in the KLOE main detector. In this way a still unexplored $q^2$ region ($|q^2| < 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$, see Fig. 10, which is important to constrain the TFF parametrizations, can be investigated.

![MC simulation](image1)

Fig. 10. TFF as a function of $q^2$. The KLOE-2 points are from a MC simulation.

4.2 $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$

In Fig. 11 is shown the four photon invariant mass distribution from a preliminary analysis performed on the old KLOE data sample: there is a clear excess of events, with respect to all known background sources, at low mass values.

![Four photon invariant mass distribution](image2)

Fig. 11. Off-peak KLOE data sample: four photon invariant mass for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$, the top solid histogram is the sum of all the background processes.

However, since it is impossible to close the kinematics due to the absence of the taggers, the residual background is of difficult evaluation. The measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$ cross section will be possible with the KLOE-2 data, where the relevant energy region can be covered by selecting events with either LET-LET or HET-LET coincidences.

5 Transition form factor measurements in Dalitz decays

The TFFs measured in Dalitz decays are functions of the four-momentum squared $q^2 = m_{\ell^+\ell^-}^2$, and according to Vector Meson Dominance (VMD) are usually parametrized as $F(q^2) = 1/(1 - \Lambda^2 - q^2)$, where $\Lambda$ is a characteristic mass, identified with the nearest vector meson. The dilepton invariant mass distributions of $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$, measured by NA60 [12] and by the A2 Collaboration at MAMI [13][14] are described by $\Lambda_\eta^{-2} = 1.92 \pm 0.75 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ in agreement with the VMD predictions $\Lambda_\eta^{-2} = 1.88 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, while the TFF of $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\mu^+\mu^-$, also measured by NA60, is not well reproduced by VMD, $\Lambda_\omega^{-2} = 2.24 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, while the VMD expectation is 1.68 GeV$^{-2}$. To explain this behaviour other models have been proposed [15][16][17], that predict deviations from VMD also for $\phi \rightarrow \eta(\pi^0)\ell^+\ell^-$.  

5.1 $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$

The TFF slope for $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ was measured with low statistics by the SND Collaboration at Novosibirsk, $\Lambda_\phi^{-2} = (3.8 \pm 1.8) \text{ GeV}^{-2}^{[18]}$. This value is compatible, due to its large uncertainty, with the VMD expectation.
$\Lambda^{-2} \simeq m_\phi^{-2} \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. At KLOE 1.7 fb$^{-1}$ of data have been analyzed looking for $\phi \to \eta e^+e^-$ with $\eta \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$. The $m_{e^+e^-}$ distribution is shown in Fig. 12.

Fig. 12. $e^+e^-$ invariant mass for $\phi \to \eta e^+e^-$. 

From the event counting the branching ratio can be obtained: 

$$Br(\phi \to \eta e^+e^-) = (1.075 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.038) \times 10^{-4}$$

[19]. The slope $b = \frac{\Lambda_\phi^2}{m_\phi^2}$ is then extracted from a fit of the distribution of the $e^+e^-$ invariant mass to the parametrization from ref. [20], by using the one-pole formula for the TFF. We obtain a value, $b = (1.17 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.07) \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ [19], which is consistent with the VMD predictions. The TFF as a function of the $e^+e^-$ invariant mass is shown in Fig. 13.

Fig. 13. TFF as a function of the $e^+e^-$ invariant mass, compared with different theoretical predictions.

5.2 $\phi \to \pi^0e^+e^-$

The decay $\phi \to \pi^0e^+e^-$ has been studied by the Novosibirsk experiments CMD-2 and SND, that reported 

$$Br(\phi \to \pi^0e^+e^-) = (1.22 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-5}$$

[21] and 

$$Br(\phi \to \pi^0e^+e^-) = (1.01 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-5}$$

[22], respectively, but no measurement has been published on the TFFs slope. In the sample of 1.7 fb$^{-1}$ of KLOE data, about 9000 events for this decay have been selected. In Fig. 14 the data-MC comparison is shown for the $e^+e^-$ invariant mass, and in Fig. 15 for the two photon invariant mass. The residual background, mainly coming from radiative Bhabha scattering, is subtracted by fitting the distribution of the recoil mass against the $e^+e^-$ pair.

Fig. 14. $e^+e^-$ invariant mass distribution for $\phi \to \pi^0e^+e^-$. 

Fig. 15. Two photon invariant mass distribution for $\phi \to \pi^0e^+e^-$. 

The TFF as a function of the $e^+e^-$ invariant mass is obtained after the background subtraction and in Fig. 16 is compared with the theoretical expectations. It shows a good agreement with the model of ref. [17]. From the subtracted invariant mass spectrum the branching ratio also can be derived, 

$$Br(\phi \to \pi^0e^+e^-) = (1.19 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}$$

for $m_{ee} < 700 \text{ MeV}$. Higher values of the invariant mass are not accessible due to the selection of the events; however the branching ratio for all the invariant mass range can be extrapolated according to the model of ref. [17], 

$$Br(\phi \to \pi^0e^+e^-) = (1.35 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}.$$
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Theoretical predictions, (1): VDM, (2)-grey band: ref. [16], (2)-dashed line: ref. [23], (3): ref. [17].

6 Conclusions

The KLOE Collaboration is continuing to exploit the high statistics sample of light mesons collected during the first phase of the experiment, to perform precision measurements in hadron physics. The most accurate measurement up to now of the $\eta$ width in $\gamma\gamma$, $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (520 \pm 20 \pm 13) \text{ eV}$, has been obtained.

From the study of the $\phi$ Dalitz decays, the branching ratios and the Transition Form Factors of $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+e^-$ have been measured.

The KLOE-2 data-taking, with the upgraded detector, is in progress, with the goal to collect at least 5 fb$^{-1}$ in the next years. A rich program of measurements has been proposed [1]. Among these measurements a special place, due to the new taggers, is occupied by the $\gamma\gamma$ production of pseudoscalar mesons, that can help to shed light on some of the still puzzling questions in this field.
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