Quasi integral of motion for axisymmetric potentials
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ABSTRACT

We present an estimate of the third integral of motion for axisymmetric three-dimensional potentials. This estimate is based on a Stäckel approximation and is explicitly written as a function of the potential. We tested this scheme for the Besançon Galactic model and two other disc-halo models and find that orbits of disc stars have an accurately conserved third quasi integral. The accuracy ranges from 0.1% to 1% for vertical variations from 0 kpc to 6 kpc and Galactocentric radii from 5 to 15 kpc. We also tested the usefulness of this quasi integral in analytic distribution functions of disc stellar populations: we show that the distribution function remains approximately stationary and that it allows to recover the potential and forces by applying Jeans equations to its moments.
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1. Introduction

Many approaches exist for the galactic modelling of stellar dynamics. With the availability of a large amount of new accurate data for the velocity and position of stars in the extended solar neighbourhood, the development of the most precise tools for analysing the kinematics of stars is becoming inescapable.

Numerical modelling techniques are the most direct ones, such as for the Schwarzschild method, the made-to-measure method (Syer & Tremaine 1996), or of course ab initio N-body and hydrodynamical simulations (Renaud et al. 2013). Spectral analysis (Papaphilippou & Laskar 1998; Valluri & Merritt 1998) also offers useful numerical tools for recognising and identifying resonances. Numerical resolution of the collisionless Boltzmann equation is another direct way to model, but it is still limited by available numerical resources (Yoshikawa et al. 2013; Colombe et al. 2015).

Analytical techniques are more explicit but imply analytical approximations. In the case of axisymmetric galactic potentials, it is known that orbits are generally constrained by an effective third integral of motion in addition to the energy and angular momentum. From the Jeans theorem, therefore, equilibrium models of axisymmetric galaxies should be represented by distribution functions that only depend on these three integrals. The modelling of stellar distribution functions can thus be achieved if the effective third integral can be approximated analytically or numerically.

A long list of works in this direction already exists, and very useful bibliographies may be found in de Zeeuw (1985), de Zeeuw & Lynden-Bell (1985), de Bruyne et al. (2000), Binney (2012), and Sanders & Binney (2014), among others. We concentrate here on the use of Stäckel potentials that have been shown in many cases to be efficient for modelling different families of orbits. Published works on this subject present a wide variety of approaches, and we can distinguish between the local and global approaches. The local modelling consists in locally fitting the true potential with a Stäckel potential. This allows nearly exact modelling of orbits and integrals of motion to be obtained in the immediate neighbourhood of the position considered.

Global modelling, either of the potential or of the orbits over a predefined phase-space volume, has opened the way to many different technicalities over the past 50 yr. In each case the goal was to use a Stäckel third integral as an approximate of the third quasi integral of the fitted potential, as in Wayman (1959), van de Hulst (1962), Ollongren (1962), Hori (1962), and more recently, Manabe (1979), Batsleer & Dejonghe (1994), or Famaey & Dejonghe (2003).

A recent novel application of the Stäckel potential approximations has been to model the local stellar kinematics and the gravitational potential in the solar neighbourhood at large z distances up to 1–2 kpc from the galactic plane (Bienaymé et al. 2014; Pfiff et al. 2014). These recent studies put strict constraints on the vertical variation in the gravitational potential and in the local density of the dark matter halo. Recently, Sanders & Binney (2014) have proposed a global Stäckel fitting of 3D potentials, and they give algebraic expressions that allow recovery of the integrals of motion, expressed as action variables, and they present numerical applications.

In the present paper, we proceed to a Stäckel potential fitting by using a simple expression for the integral of motion that explicitly depends on the potential. Our study has similarities with the works recently published by Binney (2012) or by Sanders & Binney (2014), proposing different formulations of a third integral. Although there are also advantages in working with action integrals, the present approach is, however, much simpler and more straightforward to apply.
The paper proceeds as follows. In Sect. 2, we give a new expression for the third integral, and its derivation is described in the Appendix. In Sect. 3 we examine, for three potentials, the constancy of this third integral along orbits. Section 4 shows, in the case of the Besançon Galactic model, how moments of distribution functions based on this third integral are in accordance with Jeans equations. Section 5 finally considers the application to the collisionless Boltzmann equation.

2. Quasi integral of motion

We let \( V(R, z) \) be an axisymmetric gravitational potential. Besides the energy \( E \) and the vertical component of the angular momentum \( L_z \), which are integrals of motion, we define here, as an approximate third integral of motion,

\[
i_s = \Psi(R, z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( L_z - \frac{1}{\sigma_z^2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{\sigma_z^2}
\]

where \( L \) and \( L_z \) are the total and vertical angular momenta, \( \sigma_z \) a fixed parameter at fixed \( E \) and \( L_z \), \( v_z \) the vertical velocity, and with

\[
\Psi(R, z) = - \left[ V(R, z) - V(\sqrt{\lambda}, 0) \right] \frac{(\lambda + z_0^2)}{z_0^2},
\]

and

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left( R^2 + z^2 - z_0^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(R^2 + z^2 - z_0^2)^2 + 4 R^2 z_0^2}.
\]

This approximate integral \( I_s \) is an exact integral in the case of Stäckel potentials expressed in an ellipsoidal coordinate system of focus \( z_0 \), which is thus a known quantity. Its derivation is explained in the Appendix.

The only free quantity for determining \( I_s \), when \( V(R, z) \) is known, is the parameter \( \lambda \). Here, it will be numerically adjusted by minimizing \( \sigma_{I_s} \) the dispersion of the quasi integral \( I_s \) along all the orbits with the same energy and vertical angular momentum. Thus \( z_0 \) will itself be a function of the two integrals \( E \) and \( L_z \).

In a preliminary analysis, which was not developed further, we used the generic differential equation of Stäckel potentials that can be rewritten to determine \( z_0 \) locally as a function of the second derivatives of the potential at any positions (see Eq. (10) in Bienaymé 2009; de Zeeuw 1985). However, we find out that such a procedure gives us significantly fewer accurate results than fitting a single \( z_0 \) for a given orbit or family of orbits. Here, we look for the \( z_0 \) value that minimizes the dispersion of \( I_s \) along each orbit of a family of orbits with the same \( E \) and \( L_z \). We note, however, that for any \( (E, L_z) \) family of orbits and with \( z_{\text{shell}} \) being the maximum \( z \) extent of the shell orbit, the value of \( z_0 \) that gives the best fit is close to the value of \( z_0 \) obtained by applying Eq. (10) of Bienaymé (2009) at position \((R_z, z_{\text{shell}}/2)\) (with \( R_z \) the radius of the circular orbit with angular momentum \( L_z \)).

The degree of the approximation of the quasi integral \( I_s \) can be controlled in several ways: (1) inspection of the surfaces of section; (2) conservation of orbital weights and of the spatial density; and (3) conservation of \( I_s \) along the orbits to validate the labelling of orbits. The conservation of orbital weights and the conservation of \( I_s \) are the criteria that can be best expressed numerically.

In the following sections, we test the degree of conservation of this quasi integral along orbits in the cases of three non-Stäckel potentials. We also determine its efficiency to build stationary stellar disc distribution functions and to measure the potential from the Jeans equations.

3. Testing the conservation of the integral along orbits

We examined the conservation of the quasi-integral of motion along orbits of stars corresponding to disc stellar populations. For these disc components, stellar motions have restricted oscillations in the radial and vertical directions. For the smallest oscillations, the phase-space domain explored by stars is the closest to a Stäckel potential, and the quasi integral tends to be constant.

We considered stars with identical angular momentum \( L_z \) (with \( E_z \) the energy of the corresponding circular orbit) and identical energy \( E \) (we define \( \Delta E = E - E_z \)). For each star, we computed the mean value of \( I_s \) and its dispersion \( \sigma_{I_s} \) from \( \sim 10000 \) steps along the orbit, and we determined the value of \( z_0 \) that minimizes \( \sigma_{I_s} \). To ensure that \( \sigma_{I_s} \) is determined sufficiently well, the total time integration for each orbit is about 300 dynamical times (i.e. 300 galactic rotations). The numerical integration is a Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg of order 7(8) (Fehlberg 1968). We find that stellar orbits with the same values of \( L_z \) and \( \Delta E \) all have \( \sigma_{I_s} \) minimum for similar values of \( z_0 \). For different energies and angular momenta, the adjusted \( z_0 \) will be different.

To allow an easier presentation of our results, we normalized \( I_s \) as

\[
I_s = \frac{I_s}{\Delta E} \left( 1 + \frac{R_z^2(L_z)}{z_0^2} \right)^{-1},
\]

still an integral of motion. \( I_s = 0 \) corresponds to orbits confined within the galactic plane, while \( I_s \sim 1 \) corresponds to shell orbits with minimum radial variations when they cross the plane \( z = 0 \).

In the following sections, we consider three potentials: a logarithmic potential with a disc component, a flattened logarithmic potential, and a potential similar to the Besançon Galactic model potential. In all the three cases, the constancy of the third integral is satisfied at \( 0.2 \) to \( 0.5 \) per cent for orbits corresponding to thin or thick stars and to a \( 1 \) to \( 2 \) per cent for orbits with larger vertical oscillations.

3.1. Logarithmic potential of Myamoto-Nagai type

The logarithmic potential of Myamoto-Nagai type

\[
\Phi = v_\infty^2 \log \left( R^2 + \sqrt{z^2 + z_0^2} \right)^{1/2}
\]

has a positive density (Zotos 2011). It has a spherical halo and a disc component (with \( a + b \) the halo core radius and \( b \) the disc thickness). This potential presents analogy with the family of density-potential pairs of logarithmic Myamoto-Nagai type given by Bienaymé (2009).

We consider the orbits with the angular momentum \( L_z = 8.5 \) (\( v_\infty = 1, a = 0.5, b = 0.2, R_z = 8.5 \)) and with \( E = E_z + \Delta E \). The initial conditions of the computed orbits are \( v_0 = 0 \), with \( R_{\text{initial}} \) equally spaced. These initial conditions do not include resonant orbits that do not cross the galactic plane perpendicularly.

Table 1 summarizes the results. For each energy \( \Delta E \), the adjusted \( z_0 \) that minimizes \( \sigma_{I_s} \) is given. The maximum vertical extension \( z_{\text{max}} \) corresponds to an orbit with \( I_s \) close to 1. The dispersions of \( I_s \) always remain small, the mean dispersion being smaller than one per cent. However, for some resonant orbits, the dispersion is larger, of the order of 2 per cent. We also note that at large energies corresponding to halo stars the variation of \( I_s \) still remains small. This must be linked to the spherical shape of the potential at large \( z \), easily modelled by a Stäckel potential.
Φ = \frac{v^2}{2} \log(R^2 + z^2/q^2). \tag{6}

We set \( v_c = 1 \) and \( q = 0.8 \) to correspond to a flattening of the isodensity \( q_0 = 0.65 \).

We computed orbits with \( L_c = 8.5 \) (\( R_c = 8.5, E_c = 1 \)). Table 2 summarizes the results. Surfaces of section for \( L_c = 1, \Delta E = 0.05, \) and \( 0.5 \) are shown in Fig. 9 of Bienaymé & Traven (2013), and we note the lack of resonant orbits. This may explain the excellent conservation of \( I_1 \) at a level of 0.2 per cent for stellar disc orbits. Orbits with larger extension up to \( z_{\text{max}} = 9 \) (\( E = 0.4 \)) have \( I_1 \) conserved at 2 per cent.

The inspection (see Fig. 1) of the surface of section and of the meridional projection of three orbits (\( \Delta E = 0.2 \) and \( L_c = 8.5 \)) allows visualization of the relative agreement between the nearly exact numerical orbits and the contours obtained from the approximated third integral. For the meridional projection, the red dashed lines are ellipsoids and hyperboloids from the ellipsoidal coordinates with \( z_0 = 5.9 \) (lines are drawn from the corner of the orbit envelopes). The blue continuous lines in Fig. 1 (middle panel) are the envelopes that are determined semi-analytically from \( E, L_c \) and the quasi integral \( I_2 \) that are explicitly known: we determine surfaces of section at various fixed \( R \) (resp. fixed \( z \)) and find the \( z \) (resp. \( R \)) extension limits of the orbit from the condition \( \partial I_3/\partial v_z = 0 \) (resp. \( \partial I_3/\partial v_R = 0 \)). We note small differences between the envelopes of numerical orbits (top panel) and semi-analytical envelopes (middle panel). We also note that the analytical hypersurfaces defined from \( E, I_3 \) do not have the topology of a torus everywhere. The bottom panel of Fig. 1 shows a section of the phase space (\( z = 0 \)) for the same three orbits from the numerically computed orbits and from the analytical section given by Eq. (1). If \( v_c = 200 \) km s\(^{-1} \), the maximum \( v_R \) of these three orbits is \( \sim 120, 50, \) and 20 km s\(^{-1} \).

### 3.2. Logarithmic potential

To examine the impact of the flattening of the dark halo on the dispersions \( \sigma_{I_3} \), we consider the traditional logarithmic potential Richstone (1980):

Table 1. Disc-halo logarithmic potential.

| \( \Delta \)E | \( z_0 \) | \( z_{\text{max}} \) | \( \sigma_{I_3} \) maximum | \( \sigma_{I_3} \) median |
|-------------|----------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0.05        | 3.3      | 2.4            | 0.008                    | 0.004                    |
| 0.1         | 3.3      | 3.7            | 0.006                    | 0.003                    |
| 0.2         | 3.3      | 6.1            | 0.021                    | 0.005                    |
| 0.5         | 3.3      | 12.9           | 0.012                    | 0.006                    |

Notes. Maximum and median values of the quasi-integral dispersion \( \sigma_{I_3} \) (i.e. the relative error) for orbits with different energies \( \Delta E \); best fit of \( z_0 \), maximal height \( z_{\text{max}} \) above the galactic plane for the shell orbits.

In the next section we consider a different requirement using a flattened potential.

### 3.3. The Besançon Galactic mass model

We apply our test to the gravitational potential of the Besançon Galactic model (BGM; Bienaymé et al. 1987; Robin et al. 2003; Czekaj et al. 2014), which is a more realistic one than the two previous ones, although we limited our analysis to its axisymmetric version. This model has a dynamical consistency at the solar Galactic radius position in the sense that the thickness of the stellar components are constrained by the potential and by the vertical velocity dispersions. However, outside of the solar neighbourhood, the vertical kinematics and the thickness of stellar components are constrained by observations and not by
Fig. 2. Left: quasi integral $I_3$ versus $z_{\text{max}}$ for stars with $\Delta E = 6400$ and $R_c(L_z) = 8500$ pc for the BGM potential. Errors bars are $\sigma_{I_3}$. Right: idem with $\Delta E = 12\,800$.

Fig. 3. Left: dispersion $\sigma_{I_3}$ of the integral $I_3$ along orbits versus $z_{\text{max}}$ for stars with $\Delta E = 6400$ and $R_c(L_z) = 8500$ for the BGM potential. Right: idem with $\Delta E = 12\,800$.

a dynamical consistency of the model. The motivation of this work is to improve the BGM dynamical consistency by using stationary distribution functions to model the stellar kinematics. Besides the integrals $E$ and $L_z$, we therefore require an approximate third integral to describe the stellar kinematics.

We determined the gravitational potential of the Besançon Galactic model according to the characteristics of its components described in Robin et al. (2003) and Czekaj et al. (2014). The dark matter component is represented by a spherical component. The orbits with large vertical extensions have shapes that are mainly determined by the spherical halo. Since the exact shape of the dark halo remains uncertain in practice and is a controversial question, we consider a less favourable situation using a flattened dark matter component.

The dark matter halo density used in the BGM is

$$\rho_{\text{dm}} \sim \frac{1}{1 + (R/R_{\text{core}})^2}. \quad (7)$$

We flatten the halo, replacing the quantity $R$ with $\sqrt{R^2 + z^2/q^2}$ in the expression of the potential. With $R_{\text{core}} = 2700$ pc and $q = 0.8$, the flattening of the density is $q_{\text{fl}} = 0.62$. (For this value of $q$, negative densities only occur in a region far from our domain of interest.)

Table 2. Logarithmic potential (see legend of Table 1).

| $\Delta E$ | $z_0$ | $z_{\text{max}}$ | $\sigma_{I_3}$ maximum | $\sigma_{I_3}$ median |
|------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 0.04       | 5.4   | 2.0               | 0.004                   | 0.002                |
| 0.1        | 5.5   | 3.3               | 0.011                   | 0.005                |
| 0.2        | 5.9   | 5.2               | 0.020                   | 0.010                |
| 0.4        | 7.0   | 8.8               | 0.040                   | 0.019                |
| 0.8        | 10.0  | 17.5              | 0.08                    | 0.04                 |

We also modify the point-mass bulge (Bienaymé et al. 1987) using the potential law $\Phi_B = 1/\sqrt{R_{\text{bulbe}}^2 + R^2 + z^2}$ with $R_{\text{bulbe}} = 2000$ pc.

We summarize the results for orbits with $L_z$ corresponding to $R_c = 8500$ pc in Table 3. Results are listed by families of different energies $\Delta E$. Figure 2 shows $I_3$ versus $z_{\text{max}}$, the maximum vertical extension of orbits in cases $\Delta E = 6400$ or 12 800 and $R_c = 8500$ pc. Error bars are the dispersion of $I_3$ along each orbit. The dispersion of $I_3$ is zero for orbits confined in the mid-plane. Shell orbits have $I_3$ maximum and $\sigma_{I_3}$ close to a few $10^{-4}$ (Fig. 3). This is unexpected since there is no reason that the potential along the “thin” orbits should have exactly the Stäckel form. On the other hand, when $z_{\text{max}} \sim 2000$ pc, the dispersion of $I_3$ is maximum and increases sharply for all energies (see Fig. 3).
We tested the efficiency of using the quasi integral $I_3$ to model the distribution function of disc stars using a Shu distribution function (DF) generalized to 3D axisymmetric potentials. It writes as (here, we correct a typographic error in Bienaymé 1999):

$$f(E, L_c, I_3) = \frac{2\Omega(R_c) \Sigma(L_c)}{2\pi \kappa(R_c) \sigma_R^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{(E - E_{\text{circ}})}{\sigma_R^2} \right] \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_z} \exp \left[ -\frac{(E - E_{\text{circ}})}{(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_R^2})} \right].$$

Table 3. Besançon Galactic model with $q = 0.8$ and $R_c = 8.5$ kpc (see legend of Table 1), where $u_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum vertical velocity.

| $\Delta E$ | $u_{\text{max}}$ | $z_0$ | $z_{\text{max}}$ | $\sigma_{I_3} \text{ max.}$ | $\sigma_{I_3} \text{ med.}$ |
|------------|------------------|------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| km$^2$s$^{-2}$ | km s$^{-1}$ | kpc | kpc |
| 400        | 28.3             | 4.8  | 0.42             | 0.001                       | 0.0004                      |
| 1600       | 56.6             | 4.4  | 1.0              | 0.002                       | 0.0012                      |
| 3200       | 80.3             | 4.3  | 1.7              | 0.004                       | 0.0025                      |
| 6400       | 113.5            | 4.5  | 3.5              | 0.047                       | 0.0043                      |
| 12800      | 160.5            | 5.1  | 6.1              | 0.032                       | 0.0070                      |

![Fig. 4. BGM potential: $z_0$ best fit versus $R_c$ and $\Delta E$. Black lines $\Delta E = 100$ and 200; red lines: 400 and 800; green lines: 1600 and 3200; blue lines: 64 000 and 128 000.](image)

also corresponding in Fig. 2 to a change of shape of the distribution of points. This is related to the presence of $(1, 1)$ resonant orbits at this vertical height that are poorly modelled by our Stäckel adjustment. For these orbits the dispersion of the quasi integral is less than 5 per cent. The median dispersion of $I_3$ for orbits with $z_{\text{max}}$ smaller than 2 kpc remains very small ~0.002.

We performed the Stäckel adjustment for other galactic radii $R_c$ from 1.5 to 15 kpc. For each pair of values $(E = Ec + \Delta E, L_c)$, we determine the $z_0$ value that minimizes $\sigma_{I_3}$. Figure 4 plots the fitted $z_0$ (crosses) for $\Delta E = 100, 200, 400...12 800$. Lines of constant $\Delta E$ are plotted. We obtain a tabulation of $z_0$ that gives us a function of two integrals of motion $z_0(E, L_c)$ that can be used to build a distribution function for stellar populations.

The median dispersions of $I_3$ remain low except at galactic radii smaller than 3 kpc where many resonant orbits dominate the phase space. Figure 5 plots the histograms of $\sigma_{I_3}$ for $R_c$ from 3.5 to 15.5 kpc.

![Fig. 5. Histograms of $I_3$ dispersion along orbits for $z_{\text{max}}$ intervals delimited by 0, 1000 pc, 2000 pc, and beyond (respectively black, dotted red, dashed blue lines). For each of the three $z_{\text{max}}$ intervals, the medians of $\sigma_{I_3}$ are respectively $5 \times 10^{-3}, 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$, and $5 \times 10^{-3}$.](image)

with $R_c(L_c)$ the radius of the circular orbit with the angular momentum $L_c$, $\Omega$ the angular velocity, $\kappa$ the epicyclic frequency, and $E_{\text{circ}}$ the energy of a circular orbiting star at radius $R_c$. For sufficiently small velocity dispersions, the number density distribution, $\Sigma(L_c) = \Sigma_0 \exp(-R_c/R_c)$, is close to $\Sigma(R) = \Sigma_0 \exp(-R/R_c)$. We set constant the parameters $\sigma_{R_c}(L_c)$ and $R_c = 2.5$ kpc, which is close to the scale length of the number density distribution. The DF allows us to reproduce the triaxiality and tilt of the velocity ellipsoid and to model nearly exponential density disc distribution. It could be easily modified to reproduce any reasonable radial density law. We set a constant velocity dispersion ($R_{\text{esc}} = \infty$) for a thin disc ($\sigma_R, \sigma_z = (40 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and for a thick disc ($\sigma_R, \sigma_z = (60 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$).

The distribution function can also be written in a more readable form as

$$f(E, L_c, I_3) = g(L_c) \exp \left[ -\frac{E_R}{\sigma_R^2} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{E_z}{\sigma_z^2} \right],$$

where $E_R$ and $E_z$ are integrals of motion that can be easily deduced from Eq. (8):

$$E_R = (E - E_{\text{circ}})(1 - I_3)$$

$$E_z = (E - E_{\text{circ}}) I_3.$$  

They are respectively related to the amount of radial or vertical motions that can be controlled versus the parameters $\sigma_R$ and $\sigma_z$. Within a Stäckel potential and for an orbit with angular momentum $L_c$, they are respectively at position $(R_c(L_c), z = 0)$ the radial and vertical kinetic energies. Shell orbits have $E_R = 0$.

We determine the moments of the distribution function (Eq. (8)) and recover the radial and vertical forces, $K_R$ and $K_z$, from the Jeans equations for a stationary axisymmetric potential. The moments are linked through the Jeans equations where the time derivative are not exactly zero since $I_3$ is just an approximate integral:

$$\frac{\partial \nu_{\text{circ}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial R}(\nu_{\text{circ}} v_R) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\nu_{\text{circ}} v_z) + \nu \left( \frac{v_R^2}{R} + \frac{v_z^2}{R^2} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R} \right) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \nu_{\text{circ}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial R}(\nu_{\text{circ}} v_R) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\nu_{\text{circ}} v_z) + \nu \left( \frac{v_R^2}{R} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} \right) = 0.$$  
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By considering that $I_3$ is close to an exact integral and neglecting the time derivative terms, we transform the Jeans equations as

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial R} (\nu_R \langle v_R^2 \rangle) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\nu_R \langle v_R v_z \rangle) + \nu_R \left( \frac{\langle v_R^2 \rangle}{R} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R} \right)_{\text{est}} = 0,
$$
(13)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial R} (\nu_R \langle v_R v_z \rangle) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\nu_z \langle v_z^2 \rangle) + \nu_z \left( \frac{\langle v_R v_z \rangle R}{R} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} \right)_{\text{est}} = 0.
$$
(14)

Thus, under the assumption that the approximate integral $I_3$ is exact, Eqs. (13), (14) are used to obtain an estimate of the radial and vertical forces, $K_R \approx -\left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R} \right)_{\text{est}}$, $K_z \approx -\left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} \right)_{\text{est}}$. They can be compared to the exact forces to test the efficiency to recover the galactic potential using our Stäckel integral in a non-Stäckel potential. Figure 6 shows the radial forces $K_R$ of the BGM potential and its relative error at several $z$ when recovered from Eqs. (13), (14).

Within the domain $R = 3$ to $16$ kpc and $z$ smaller than $3$ kpc, the relative error on the radial force $K_R$ is smaller than one per cent for $R > 6$ kpc. The relative error is ten per cent at $R = 3$ kpc and increases below $R = 3$ kpc.

Figure 7 shows the exact and recovered $K_z$ force versus $z$ at several $R$ (3.5, 4.5, 6.5, 8.5, 12.5 kpc). At large $R > 6$ kpc, the $K_z$ forces are accurately recovered for the thick disc up to 6 kpc and up to 3 kpc for the thin disc. The vertical force $K_z$ at the solar position $R = 8$ kpc is remarkably well recovered at 0.5% up to 6 kpc for the thick disc (7 scale heights; Fig. 8). For the thin disc, the accuracy is lost above 3 kpc (corresponding however to ten scale heights of the thin disc). At lower radius $R$ from 3.5 to 5, the $K_z$ force is only approximately recovered up to $z = 2$ kpc.

For comparison (Fig. 8), we also plot the $K_z$ force recovered neglecting the cross term $\langle v_R v_z \rangle$ in Jeans equations, a classical assumption valid at low $z$. We note that this hypothesis remains valid up to 500 pc for the thin disc. At higher $z$, the recovered force diverges quickly from the exact one.

### 5. Collisionless Boltzmann equation

The stationarity of the Jeans equation is a necessary condition for validating the degree of stationarity of a distribution function.
but this is not a sufficient condition. We should also have to
test the stationarity of all the other moments of the collision-
less Boltzmann equation (CBE), since it is easy to find solu-
tions for the Jeans equations that are not solutions of the CBE,
so the Jeans equation test can be more optimistic than the indi-
cations solely obtained from the conservation of the integral of
motion. For this reason we examine hereafter the stationarity of
the CBE.

5.1. First estimate

We estimate the stationarity of the distribution function built
with the approximated third integral by looking at the time vari-
ation of the DF (Eq. (8)) over a dynamical time (∼ an orbit rev-
olution). We have

\[ \frac{d \ln f}{dt} = \frac{\partial \ln f}{\partial t} + [\ln f, H] = 0 \]  

(15)
or

\[ \frac{\partial \ln f}{\partial t} - \frac{\Delta E}{\sigma_z^2} \left( \frac{\sigma_z^2}{\sigma_z^2} - 1 \right) \frac{df}{dt} = 0, \]

(16)
and the relative variation of \( f \) over a dynamical or longer time
is determined by the variation \( \sigma_{f_3} \) of the quasi integral \( I_3 \) along
orbits:

\[ \frac{\Delta f}{f} \sim \frac{\Delta E}{\sigma_z^2} \sigma_{f_3}. \]  

(17)

Orbits with small radial or vertical amplitudes (\( \Delta E \) and \( \sigma_{f_3} \)
small) have the smallest variations in \( f \). Thus the thinnest discs
are the most accurately modelled.

The stationarity decreases quickly with \( z \) since we have
\( \Delta E/\sigma_z^2 \propto z^{-0.5} \). It also decreases because of the significant
presence of resonant orbits between 1 to 2 kpc. However, at the
galactic radius \( R_0 \), orbits within the BGM with large vertical
amplitude remain correctly modelled with a Stäckel po-
tential thanks to small \( \sigma_{f_3} \) of the order of 0.005. In this situation,
the distribution function remains stationary at many scale
heights above the galactic plane. For the thick disc, the station-
arity (Eq. (17)) is about one per cent at six scale heights (\( z \sim
6 \) kpc). For the thin disc, it becomes insufficient at about ten scale
heights (\( z \sim 3 \) kpc).

The time derivative in Eq. (15) is the time variation from
an initial position of stars in phase space at \( t = 0 \) given by the
initial condition of Eq. (9). How divergent are the orbits evol-
vling from this supposedly near-equilibrium initial condition? We
know that for potentials similar to the three potentials considered
in this paper, the orbits are essentially regular and an e

Fig. 9. \( dI_3/dt \): time derivative of the quasi integral within the logarith-
mic potential for the three orbits shown in Fig. 1: (black \( I_3 = 0.16 \), red
\( I_3 = 0.88 \), blue \( I_3 = 1.06 \)).

5.2. Second estimate

It is also useful to consider the non-stationarity as a shift in po-

tions or velocities of the modelled DF relative to a stationary
DF. A simple 1D dimensional analysis allows it to be illustrated.
With a quadratic potential \( \Phi = a z^2 \) and a stationary DF as

\[ f_{\text{stat}} = \exp \left( -\left( \phi(z) + v_z^2/2 \right)/\sigma_z^2 \right), \]  

(18)
the shifted DF in velocity by a factor \( v_0 \) is

\[ f = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_z^2} \left( \phi(z) + (v_z - v_0)^2 \right) \right]. \]  

(19)
Such a DF could be considered satisfying if the shift is small
even if we notice that the relative errors on \( f \) increase in the tails of
the distribution.

We deduce from the CBE,

\[ \frac{d \ln f}{dt} = \frac{K_z}{\sigma_z^2} v_0, \]  

(20)
which combined with Eq. (17), gives the variation in \( f \) over a
dynamical time \( t_{\text{dyn}} \):

\[ \frac{|K_z|}{\sigma_z^2} v_0 = \frac{\Delta E}{\sigma_z^2} \frac{\sigma_{f_3}}{t_{\text{dyn}}}. \]  

(21)
With a quadratic potential and \( h \), the scale height of the disc
population, we estimate the velocity shift:

\[ v_0 \sim \frac{\Delta E}{|K_z|} \frac{\sigma_{f_3}}{t_{\text{dyn}}} \sim \frac{z}{h} \sigma_{f_3}. \]  

(22)
For the thin disc DF at one scale height (\( h \sim 250 \) pc), the shift \( v_0 \)
is 0.2 km s\(^{-1}\) and at 4 kpc (16 scale heights), it is 10 km s\(^{-1}\) (to be
compared to the 20 km s\(^{-1}\) of the vertical velocity dispersions
where the application of the Jeans equation shows that the DF is
not stationary. At one scale height of \( \sim 1 \) kpc for the thick disc,
the shift is \( v_0 \sim 1 \) km s\(^{-1}\). At 6 kpc, it is 7 km s\(^{-1}\) (to be compared
to the 40 km s\(^{-1}\) of the vertical velocity dispersion), and the Jeans
equation still validates the stationarity.
6. Conclusion

In this paper we have shown that Stäckel potentials can be used to fit a wide variety of disc stellar orbits within different axisymmetric potentials of disc galaxies. We also proposed a new and simple formulation for the third integral of motion of Galactic potentials, explicitly depending on the potential, which is an integral known to be exact in the case of Stäckel potentials.

The quality of the fit of orbits was quantitatively measured by looking at the conservation of the approximate third integral of motion besides the energy and angular momentum. By using the Besançon Galactic model, we showed that the third integral is conserved to better than one per cent of Eq. (A.2), which is equal to the true potential on the surfaces of Eq. (A.2), which is equal to the true potential on the surfaces. By definition, we have for a Stäckel potential:

\[
\Phi(\lambda, \nu) = \frac{\Psi(\lambda, \nu)}{\lambda - \nu},
\]

and it is always possible to find a Stäckel potential that coincides with any potential on the chosen coordinate surfaces \(\lambda = \lambda_1\) and \(\nu = \nu_1\). It writes as

\[
\Phi(\lambda, \nu) = \frac{\tilde{V}(\lambda, \nu_1)(\lambda - \nu_1) - \tilde{V}(\lambda_1, \nu_1)(\lambda_1 - \nu_1) + \tilde{V}(\lambda_1, \nu)(\lambda - \nu)}{\lambda - \nu}. \tag{A.2}
\]

Thus

\[
h(\lambda) = V(\lambda, \nu_1)(\lambda - \nu_1) - \tilde{V}(\lambda_1, \nu_1)(\lambda_1 - \nu_1) + C
\]

and \(C\) an arbitrary constant.

We can express the third integral \(I_s\) associated to \(\Phi\) as

\[
I_s = \Psi(\lambda, \nu) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{V^2_0}{2} (\nu^2 + \nu_0^2) - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{R^2_0}{z_0^2}\right) \nu^2 + \frac{R z_0 v_0^2}{2}, \tag{A.4}
\]

or

\[
I_s = \Psi(\lambda, \nu) - \frac{1}{2} \left(L^2 - L^2_0\right) - \frac{1}{2} \nu^2 \tag{A.5}
\]

with

\[
\Psi(\lambda, \nu) = \frac{(\nu + \gamma) h(\lambda) - (\lambda + \gamma) h(\nu)}{(\gamma - \alpha)(\lambda - \nu)}. \tag{A.6}
\]

We fix the remaining free constant \(C\) by setting \(h(\nu = -\gamma) = 0\), so \(\Psi\) is null at \(z = 0\) in the plane of symmetry of the potential. Then, evaluated at \((\lambda_1, \nu_1)\), this function simplifies as

\[
\Psi(\lambda_1, \nu_1) = -\frac{\tilde{V}(\lambda_1, \nu_1) - \tilde{V}(\lambda_1, -\nu_1)}{\gamma - \alpha}. \tag{A.7}
\]

If we set \(\gamma = z_0^2\) and \(\alpha = 0\),

\[
\tilde{V}(\lambda_1, \nu_1) = V(R_1, z_1),
\]

\[
\tilde{V}(\lambda_1, -\nu_1) = V(R = \sqrt{\lambda_1}, z = 0), \tag{A.8}
\]

and

\[
\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} (R^2_1 + z_1^2 - z_0^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(R^2_1 + z_1^2 - z_0^2)^2 + 4R^2_1z_0^2}. \tag{A.10}
\]

Thus, from Eqs. (A.4) and (A.7) (similar to Eq. (2)), we obtain a simple expression for the third integral \(I_s\) at position \((\lambda_1, \nu_1)\), which is exact in the case of a Stäckel potential and explicitly depends on the potential, the coordinates, and the velocities. We note that, in practice, the intermediate functions \(h(\lambda)\) and \(h(\nu)\) do not need to be evaluated.

In summary, the proposed quasi integral in Eq. (1) results from the exact third integral of the orbits in the Stäckel potential of Eq. (A.2), which is equal to the true potential on the surfaces \(\lambda = \lambda_1\) and \(\nu = \nu_1\). The integral is re-evaluated locally for each point \((\lambda, \nu) = (\lambda_1, \nu_1)\).

The case of Stäckel potentials with oblate spheroidal coordinates leads to the same equations, but with \(z_0\) imaginary, \(z_0^2 < 0\) and \(\gamma < \alpha\). In the \(z_0 = \infty\) limit, a Stäckel potential is separable in \(R\) and \(z\),

\[
V(R, z) = V_1(R) + V_2(z), \tag{A.9}
\]

and

\[
-I_s = [V_2(z) - V_2(0)] + \nu_0^2/2.
\]

Thus the vertical energy is an integral of motion.

In the \(z_0 = 0\) limit, Stäckel potentials have the form

\[
V(R, z) = V_1(\nu) + \frac{V_2(\theta)}{r^2}, \tag{A.10}
\]

where \(r^2 = R^2 + z^2\) and \(\theta\) is the polar angle (Lynden-Bell 1962, Table 1), and the integral of motion is

\[
-\frac{z_0^2 I_s}{\nu} = [V_2(\theta) - V_2(\pi/2)] + \frac{1}{2} (L^2 - L^2_0).
\]
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