Genome-wide identification of enhancers and transcription factors regulating the myogenic differentiation of bovine satellite cells
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Abstract

**Background:** Satellite cells are the myogenic precursor cells in adult skeletal muscle. The objective of this study was to identify enhancers and transcription factors that regulate gene expression during the differentiation of bovine satellite cells into myotubes.

**Results:** Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by deep sequencing (ChIP-seq) was performed to identify genomic regions where lysine 27 of H3 histone is acetylated (H3K27ac), i.e., active enhancers, from bovine satellite cells before and during differentiation into myotubes. A total of 19,027 and 47,669 H3K27ac-marked enhancers were consistently identified from two biological replicates of before- and during-differentiation bovine satellite cells, respectively. Of these enhancers, 5882 were specific to before-differentiation, 35,723 to during-differentiation bovine satellite cells, and 13,199 common to before- and during-differentiation bovine satellite cells. Whereas most of the before- or during-differentiation-specific H3K27ac-marked enhancers were located distally to the transcription start site, the enhancers common to before- and during-differentiation were located both distally and proximally to the transcription start site. The three sets of H3K27ac-marked enhancers were associated with functionally different genes and enriched with different transcription factor binding sites. Specifically, many of the H3K27ac-marked enhancers specific to during-differentiation bovine satellite cells were associated with genes involved in muscle structure and development, and were enriched with binding sites for the MyoD, AP-1, KLF, TEAD, and MEF2 families of transcription factors. A positive role was validated for Fos and FosB, two AP-1 family transcription factors, in the differentiation of bovine satellite cells into myotubes by siRNA-mediated knockdown.

**Conclusions:** Tens of thousands of H3K27ac-marked active enhancers have been identified from bovine satellite cells before or during differentiation. These enhancers contain binding sites not only for transcription factors whose role in satellite cell differentiation is well known but also for transcription factors whose role in satellite cell differentiation is unknown. These enhancers and transcription factors are valuable resources for understanding the complex mechanism that mediates gene expression during satellite cell differentiation. Because satellite cell differentiation is a key step in skeletal muscle growth, the enhancers, the transcription factors, and their target genes identified in this study are also valuable resources for identifying and interpreting skeletal muscle trait-associated DNA variants in cattle.
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Background
Skeletal muscle is the largest tissue in the body and plays an important role in physiology [1, 2]. Skeletal muscle from meat-producing animals is a major source of food for humans and animals. Adult skeletal muscle is composed of mostly muscle fibers [3]. A muscle fiber, also known as a myofiber, is a multinucleated muscle cell differentiated and fused from multiple mononuclear muscle cells called myoblasts. For most mammals, the total number of myofibers is determined prenatally [4]; thus, postnatal skeletal muscle growth results primarily from myofiber hypertrophy [4, 5]. Postnatal myofiber hypertrophy, however, requires additional nuclei [6, 7]. In postnatal animals, nuclei added to the existing myofibers are widely believed to come from satellite cells, which are mononuclear cells located near myofibers and are considered stem cells in adult skeletal muscle [8–10]. Satellite cells are normally quiescent but can be activated by muscle injury and nutritional and environmental changes [6, 7]. Once activated, satellite cells become and proliferate as myoblasts and then differentiate and fuse with each other to generate new myotubes, the developing myofibers, or with existing myofibers to increase muscle fiber size.

A set of four transcription factors called myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) are known to play important roles in myogenesis, the formation of muscle fibers from myoblasts or satellite cells [11, 12]. These MRFs include myogenic differentiation 1 (MYOD1, also known as MyoD and MYF3), myogenic factor 5 (MYF5), myogenin (MYOG, also known as MYF4), and myogenic factor 6 (MYF6, also known as MRF4 and herculin). All four MRFs are specifically or preferentially expressed in skeletal muscle [12]. All four MRFs are basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) domain-containing transcription factors and regulate gene transcription by binding to the E-box sequence, CANNTG, where N is A, G, C, or T [13]. MYF5 and MYOD1 determine the myogenic lineage of stem cells in a redundant manner [11, 12]. MYOG is essential for myoblast differentiation and fusion into myotubes [12, 14]. MYF6 was thought to play a similar role to MYOG in myoblast differentiation, but a more recent study indicated an unexpected negative role of MYF6 in postnatal skeletal muscle growth [15].

Clearly, differentiation and fusion of myoblasts or satellite cells into myotubes is a key step in the development and growth of skeletal muscle. The objective of this study was to further understand the regulation of gene expression during the differentiation of bovine satellite cells into myotubes. Cattle are agriculturally important animals, and a better understanding of gene regulation during satellite cell differentiation could lead to the development of novel strategies to improve growth efficiency and meat quality in cattle. Enhancers are DNA sequences that enhance the transcription of associated genes when bound by sequence-specific transcription factors. Active enhancers are genomic regions that are bound by active transcription factors and that actively regulate gene transcription. Genomic regions containing active enhancers are found to be uniquely marked with H3K27ac, where lysine 27 of histone 3 protein is acetylated [16, 17]. We began this study by identifying genomic regions with H3K27ac modification in bovine satellite cells before and during induced differentiation and fusion into myotubes through chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with deep sequencing (ChIP-seq). To our knowledge, such an approach had not been taken to study the transcriptional mechanisms that control gene expression during the myogenic differentiation of bovine satellite cells or satellite cells from any species.

Results
H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells before and during differentiation
Four ChIP-seq libraries and two Input libraries constructed from bovine satellite cells immediately before and 2 days after induction of differentiation passed the quality control, and deep sequencing generated 23 to 40 million sequencing reads from these libraries (Table 1). Between 75 and 92% of these reads were uniquely mapped to the bovine genome, generating approximately 20 to 36 million uniquely mapped reads per library (Table 1).

Analyzing the uniquely mapped reads from each ChIP-seq library against those from the corresponding Input library using the MACS peak calling program identified more than 30,000 and 50,000 H3K27ac-marked genomic regions, i.e., active enhancers, from before-differentiation (BD) and during-differentiation (DD) bovine satellite cells, respectively. A phantompeakqualtools analysis indicated that the four ChIP-seq libraries had normalized strand cross-correlation (NSC) values between 1.16 and 1.18 and relative strand cross-correlation (RSC) values between 0.98 and 1.06 (Additional File 1), indicating strong enrichment of reads in peaks [18].

A Pearson correlation analysis revealed that the H3K27ac-marked enhancer regions identified from two biological replicates, which corresponded to BD or DD satellite cells originally isolated from two different cattle, were highly correlated (Fig. 1A). A total of 19,027 H3K27ac-marked enhancers were consistently identified from two biological replicates of BD bovine satellite cells, while 47,669 H3K27ac-marked enhancers were consistently identified from two biological replicates of DD bovine satellite cells (Fig. 1B). A total of 5882 H3K27ac-marked enhancers were found to be specific to BD bovine
satellite cells, 35,723 H3K27ac-marked enhancers specific to DD bovine satellite cells, and 13,199 H3K27ac-marked enhancers common to both BD and DD bovine satellite cells (Fig. 1C, Additional Files 2, 3, 4).

Examples of H3K27ac-marked enhancers identified from BD and DD bovine satellite cells are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 2A. These enhancers were associated with the MYOG gene, which, as mentioned above, is a transcription factor essential for myoblast differentiation, and myosin heavy chain 3 (MYH3) gene, which, as indicated by its name, encodes a skeletal muscle-specific myosin heavy chain protein. As shown in Table 2
and Fig. 2A, MYOG-associated enhancers were marked with H3K27ac only in DD bovine satellite cells; MYH3-associated enhancers were marked with H3K27ac in both BD and DD bovine satellite cells but more MYH3-associated enhancers were marked with H3K27ac in DD than in BD bovine satellite cells. As shown by Fig. 2B, the increased H3K27ac modification to MYOG- and MYH3-associated genomic regions in DD satellite cells was accompanied by increased expression of both genes in these cells.

Genomic distribution of H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells

H3K27ac-marked enhancers specific to BD or DD bovine satellite cells had different genomic distribution from the H3K27ac-marked enhancers common to both BD and DD bovine satellite cells. Whereas nearly 90% of H3K27ac-marked enhancers specific to BD or DD bovine satellite cells were located in the distal intergenic regions and introns, this percentage was only 60% for H3K27ac-marked enhancers common to BD and DD

**Table 2** Examples of H3K27ac-marked enhancers and associated genes in bovine satellite cells

| Chr | PeakStart | PeakEnd | GeneStart | GeneEnd | GeneSymbol | CellStage |
|-----|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| chr16 | 782,062 | 782,253 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 798,279 | 800,338 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 800,596 | 800,870 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 801,536 | 801,833 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 804,051 | 804,227 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 804,761 | 805,609 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 806,018 | 806,188 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 806,808 | 807,049 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 830,439 | 830,694 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 834,418 | 835,077 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 836,171 | 836,762 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 837,735 | 838,159 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 838,508 | 838,769 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr16 | 839,360 | 839,542 | 797,555 | 800,395 | MYOG | DD |
| chr19 | 29,582,805 | 29,583,077 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,583,980 | 29,595,243 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,595,616 | 29,597,652 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,598,274 | 29,598,915 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,603,029 | 29,603,187 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,612,384 | 29,612,573 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,613,638 | 29,613,770 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,614,001 | 29,614,998 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,618,302 | 29,618,478 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,624,364 | 29,624,841 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,625,585 | 29,626,580 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,631,500 | 29,631,690 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,632,712 | 29,632,903 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,634,635 | 29,634,790 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,635,013 | 29,635,603 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,636,962 | 29,636,178 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,636,376 | 29,636,759 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,638,316 | 29,638,761 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | DD |
| chr19 | 29,615,976 | 29,616,342 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | BD&DD |
| chr19 | 29,621,065 | 29,621,208 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | BD&DD |
| chr19 | 29,622,186 | 29,622,458 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | BD&DD |
| chr19 | 29,623,347 | 29,623,592 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | BD&DD |
| chr19 | 29,641,704 | 29,641,866 | 29,601,526 | 29,622,481 | MYHB | BD&DD |

* Differentiation stage of cells from which H3K27ac-marked peaks were identified. BD before differentiation; DD during differentiation.
bovine satellite cells (Fig. 3A). Whereas approximately 6 and 17% of H3K27ac-marked enhancers specific to BD and DD bovine satellite cells, respectively, were located in the promoter regions, this percentage was almost 50% for H3K27ac-marked enhancers common to BD and DD bovine satellite cells (Fig. 3A). Whereas H3K27ac-marked enhancers specific to BD or DD bovine satellite cells were concentrated at 100,000 bp from the transcription start site (TSS), those common to BD and DD bovine satellite cells were concentrated at both 100 bp and 100,000 bp from the TSS (Fig. 3B and C).

Expression levels of genes associated with and without H3K27ac modification in bovine satellite cells

We compared the expression levels of genes associated with H3K27ac modification with those without H3K27ac modification in bovine satellite cells. The transcriptome data of BD and DD bovine satellite cells were generated in a previous study [19]. As shown in Fig. 4A, in both BD and DD bovine satellite cells, genes associated with H3K27ac modification were expressed at levels nearly three-fold those of genes without H3K27ac modification. Overall, 5882 H3K27ac-marked enhancer regions

---

**Fig. 2** Examples of H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells. A IGV tracks showing H3K27ac-marked enhancers associated with the myogenin (MYOG) and myosin heavy chain 3 (MYH3) genes in before-differentiation (BD) and during-differentiation (DD) bovine satellite cells. B Relative expression levels of MYOG and MYH3 mRNAs in BD and DD bovine satellite cells. *P < 0.05 (n = 4). Gene expression data was retrieved from a previous study [19].
specific to BD bovine satellite cells were associated with 3064 protein-coding genes; 35,723 H3K27ac-marked enhancers specific to AD bovine satellite cells were associated with 7649 protein-coding genes; 13,199 H3K27ac-marked enhancers common to BD and DD bovine satellite cells were associated with 6337 protein-coding genes. Obviously, many genes were associated with more than one H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells. However, the numbers of H3K27ac-marked enhancers were not correlated with the expression levels of associated genes, regardless of the differentiation stage of the cells (Fig. 4B).

**Functional terms enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in bovine satellite cells**

We performed gene ontology (GO) enrichment analyses on genes associated with H3K27ac modification in bovine satellite cells. Top biological processes and
cellular components enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification specifically in DD bovine satellite cells were related to skeletal muscle structure, development, and adaptation, and cell cycle arrest (Tables 3 and 4). It is interesting to note that many genes involved in melanosome and phagocytic vesicle were also associated with H3K27ac modification in DD bovine satellite cells (Table 4). Most of the top molecular functions enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification specifically in DD bovine satellite cells.

### Table 3: Top 10 GO biological processes enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac-marked enhancers in during-differentiation bovine satellite cells

| GO biological process                                                                 | FEa  | P-value         | FDRb   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------|--------|
| sarcomere organization (GO:0045214)                                                  | 2.48 | 7.92E-04        | 2.10E-02 |
| striated muscle cell development (GO:0055002)                                         | 2.38 | 5.82E-05        | 2.14E-03 |
| myofibril assembly (GO:0030239)                                                      | 2.37 | 7.88E-05        | 2.82E-03 |
| regulation of muscle adaptation (GO:0043502)                                         | 2.12 | 9.64E-04        | 2.51E-02 |
| negative regulation of G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle (GO:2000134)            | 2.06 | 2.06E-03        | 4.82E-02 |
| muscle cell development (GO:0055001)                                                 | 2.03 | 1.78E-06        | 8.88E-05 |
| skeletal muscle tissue development (GO:0073519)                                      | 1.94 | 4.96E-05        | 1.85E-03 |
| skeletal muscle organ development (GO:00060538)                                      | 1.93 | 4.87E-05        | 1.82E-03 |
| positive regulation of cellular amide metabolic process (GO:0034250)                  | 1.92 | 1.24E-05        | 5.19E-04 |
| negative regulation of mitotic cell cycle phase transition (GO:1901991)               | 1.92 | 1.08E-05        | 4.60E-04 |

*a* Fold enrichment  
*b* False discovery rate
satellite cells were related to growth factor binding and serine and threonine kinase signaling (Table 5). Top biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in BD bovine satellite cells included pentose metabolic process (Additional File 5), postsynaptic membrane (Additional File 6), and transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase activity (Additional File 7), respectively, which were different from those enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in DD satellite cells (Tables 3, 4 and 5). Top biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in both BD and DD bovine satellite cells included those related to proteasome and autophagosome (Additional Files 8, 9 and 10).

Table 4 Top 10 GO cellular components enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac-marked enhancers in during-differentiation bovine satellite cells

| GO cellular component | FEa | P-value | FDRb |
|-----------------------|-----|---------|-------|
| Z disc (GO:0030018)   | 2.24| 1.06E-06| 2.96E-05|
| I band (GO:0031674)   | 2.10| 2.90E-06| 7.53E-05|
| myofibril (GO:0030016)| 2.10| 1.99E-09| 8.02E-08|
| sarcormere (GO:0030017)| 2.08| 2.68E-08| 8.83E-07|
| contractile fiber (GO:0043292)| 2.08| 1.73E-09| 7.30E-08|
| nuclear matrix (GO:0016363)| 2.00| 3.76E-04| 6.82E-03|
| nuclear periphery (GO:0034399)| 1.93| 2.53E-04| 4.77E-03|
| actin filament bundle (GO:0032432)| 1.93| 1.54E-03| 2.37E-02|
| phagocytotic vesicle (GO:0045335)| 1.92| 3.86E-04| 6.94E-03|
| melanosome (GO:0042470)| 1.87| 6.24E-04| 1.08E-02|

a Fold enrichment  
b False discovery rate

Transcription factor binding sites enriched in H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells

Motif enrichment analyses of 35,373 enhancer regions marked with H3K27ac specifically in DD bovine satellite cells indicated enrichment of binding sites for many transcription factors (Additional File 11). The top 30 motifs enriched in these enhancers included binding sites for the bHLH transcription factors MYF5, MYOG, TFAP4 (also known as AP4), MYOD1, TCF12, TCF21, ATOH1, and ASCL2, the basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors JUN, FOS, FOSB, FOSL2 (also known as FRA2), FOSL1 (also known as FRA1), BATF, JUNB, BACH2, and ATF3, the Krüppel-like family (KLF) transcription factors KLF1, KLF5, and KLF14 (Table 6). Motif enrichment analyses of 5882 enhancer regions marked with H3K27ac specifically in BD bovine satellite cells and 13,199 enhancers marked with H3K27ac in both BD and DD bovine satellite cells revealed enrichment of different sets of transcription factor binding sites (Additional Files 12 and 13). Top motifs enriched in enhancers marked with H3K27ac specifically in BD bovine satellite cells included binding sites for transcription factors ZIC3, TCP16, ASCL2, TFAP2C (also known as AP-2 gamma), ZIC2, MAX, and MYC (Additional File 12). Top motifs enriched in enhancers marked with H3K27ac in both BD and DD bovine satellite cells included many members of the ETS family transcription factors such as ELK1, ELF1, ELK4, GABPA, ETV4, FLI1, ETV1, and ELF4 (Additional File 13).

Validation of the role of FOS and FOSB in bovine satellite cell differentiation

The motif enrichment analyses of H3K27ac-marked enhancers in BD and DD bovine satellite cells indicated that gene transcription during bovine satellite

Table 5 Top 10 GO molecular functions enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac-marked enhancers in during-differentiation bovine satellite cells

| GO molecular function | FEa | P-value | FDRb |
|-----------------------|-----|---------|-------|
| growth factor binding (GO:0019838) | 1.74| 4.24E-04| 2.61E-02|
| protein serine kinase activity (GO:0106310) | 1.63| 6.99E-05| 6.20E-03|
| protein threonine kinase activity (GO:0106311) | 1.62| 9.06E-05| 7.44E-03|
| isomerase activity (GO:0016853) | 1.62| 1.54E-04| 1.16E-02|
| ubiquitin protein ligase binding (GO:0031625) | 1.60| 2.38E-05| 2.24E-03|
| protein domain specific binding (GO:0019904) | 1.59| 2.48E-09| 3.14E-07|
| ligase activity (GO:0016874) | 1.59| 3.47E-04| 2.20E-02|
| identical protein binding (GO:0042802) | 1.57| 1.20E-26| 4.39E-24|
| kinase binding (GO:0019900) | 1.56| 4.46E-10| 6.38E-08|
| protein-macromolecule adaptor activity (GO:0030674) | 1.56| 1.68E-04| 1.22E-02|

a Fold enrichment  
b False discovery rate
Table 6  Top 30 motifs enriched in H3K27ac-marked enhancers in during-differentiation bovine satellite cells

| Rank | Motif | Name | P-value | log P-value | q-value | % Target Sequences with Motif |
|------|-------|------|---------|-------------|---------|------------------------------|
| 1    | TACACGTG | MyoD1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-279 | -1.335*03 | 0.0000 | 14537.0 40.69% |
| 2    | TACACGTG | MyoD1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-451 | -1.039*03 | 0.0000 | 19627.0 54.94% |
| 3    | TACACGTG | ApoM-HLM-HY, L12p-6ChIP | 1e-40 | -0.305*02 | 0.0000 | 21018.0 58.24% |
| 4    | TACACGTG | Tel2b (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-395 | -0.909*02 | 0.0000 | 17949.0 50.24% |
| 5    | TACACGTG | F-Bax-Drosophila-Protokoty-Homer | 1e-366 | -0.848*02 | 0.0000 | 5974.0 16.72% |
| 6    | TACACGTG | Tel2b (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-332 | -0.769*02 | 0.0000 | 18113.0 50.70% |
| 7    | TACACGTG | HHL-HLHS-P53-Embryo-HLM-HY-ChIP | 1e-330 | -0.715*02 | 0.0000 | 33961.0 39.00% |
| 8    | TACACGTG | MyoD1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-299 | -0.689*02 | 0.0000 | 15946.0 44.64% |
| 9    | TACACGTG | Atoh1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-253 | -0.582*02 | 0.0000 | 19182.0 53.70% |
| 10   | TACACGTG | Jun-A (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-234 | -0.539*02 | 0.0000 | 8206.0 17.37% |
| 11   | TACACGTG | Atoh1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-212 | -0.490*02 | 0.0000 | 18747.0 52.48% |
| 12   | TACACGTG | FoxoZIR (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-203 | -0.468*02 | 0.0000 | 12079.0 33.72% |
| 13   | TACACGTG | Fbtx (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-196 | -0.455*02 | 0.0000 | 9061.0 29.71% |
| 14   | TACACGTG | Fbx (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-199 | -0.437*02 | 0.0000 | 11498.0 32.19% |
| 15   | TACACGTG | AP-1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-186 | -0.428*02 | 0.0000 | 34258.0 39.91% |
| 16   | TACACGTG | BATF (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-185 | -0.420*02 | 0.0000 | 32786.0 35.79% |
| 17   | TACACGTG | Jun-B (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-184 | -0.417*02 | 0.0000 | 11492.0 32.17% |
| 18   | TACACGTG | Klf5 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-415 | -0.415*02 | 0.0000 | 5139.0 13.39% |
| 19   | TACACGTG | Bach2 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-179 | -0.414*02 | 0.0000 | 20288.0 56.79% |
| 20   | TACACGTG | Atoh1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-179 | -0.413*02 | 0.0000 | 5139.0 13.39% |
| 21   | TACACGTG | Klf5 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-177 | -0.409*02 | 0.0000 | 32281.0 37.18% |
| 22   | TACACGTG | Klf5 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-173 | -0.390*02 | 0.0000 | 20402.0 57.11% |
| 23   | TACACGTG | Spg1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-160 | -0.370*02 | 0.0000 | 17293.0 48.41% |
| 24   | TACACGTG | Fos (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-159 | -0.367*02 | 0.0000 | 8125.0 22.78% |
| 25   | TACACGTG | Klf1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-154 | -0.356*02 | 0.0000 | 15840.0 44.34% |
| 26   | TACACGTG | Acet (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-149 | -0.345*02 | 0.0000 | 23413.0 67.50% |
| 27   | TACACGTG | Klf14 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-146 | -0.322*02 | 0.0000 | 24021.0 69.48% |
| 28   | TACACGTG | Vp16 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-145 | -0.321*02 | 0.0000 | 32281.0 37.18% |
| 29   | TACACGTG | Bhlh1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-137 | -0.316*02 | 0.0000 | 21788.0 60.77% |
| 30   | TACACGTG | Sut1 (9H15-LH1Y, L057-S-98C-1-ChIP) | 1e-133 | -0.305*02 | 0.0000 | 5748.0 27.28% |
cell differentiation is controlled by transcription factors besides the widely known four MRFs. These additional transcription factors include the AP-1 family of transcription factors. We tested the role of the AP-1 transcription factor family members FOS and FOSB in bovine satellite cell differentiation. In this experiment, we transfected bovine satellite cells with siRNA targeting bovine FOS or FOSB mRNA, induced the satellite cells to differentiate and fuse into myotubes, and measured the myogenic differentiation extent of the cells by quantifying the expression of markers of differentiated myoblasts, including MYH2, MYH3, MYOG, and CKM (creatine kinase, M-type). As shown in Fig. 5A and B, in bovine satellite cells transfected with the negative control siRNA, the mRNA expression levels of these markers were significantly increased on day 3 of differentiation compared to their expression levels on the day before induction of differentiation. However, the mRNA expression levels of
these markers in bovine satellite cells transfected with siRNA targeting FOS or FOSB mRNA were markedly lower than in cells transfected with the negative control siRNA. Morphologically, satellite cells transfected with siRNA targeting FOS or FOSB mRNA formed fewer and smaller myotubes than those transfected with the negative control siRNA (Fig. 5C). These data support a positive role for FOS and FOSB in bovine satellite cell differentiation and fusion into myotubes.

Discussion

Histone modification affects gene transcription by altering the accessibility of chromatin and the recruitment of transcription factors and cofactors to chromatin [20]. Large-scale mapping of histone modification has revealed that different types of enhancers are associated with different histone modifications. Active enhancers are associated with H3K27ac and histone 3 lysine 4 monomethylation (H3K4me1) modifications; primed or poised enhancers are marked with H3K4me1 but not H3K27ac; and silenced or repressed enhancers are often associated with H3K27me3 modification [21–24]. Based on these associations, ChIP-seq has been widely used to identify enhancers and other types of regulatory DNA regions in whole genomes [25–31]. In this study, we have identified 19,027 and 47,669 H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells before and during differentiation, respectively. Identification of these enhances provides a valuable resource for understanding the mechanism that regulates gene expression during satellite cell differentiation, a key step in skeletal muscle development and growth.

Compared to the consistent identification of 47,669 H3K27ac-marked active enhancers from two biological replicates of during-differentiation bovine satellite cells, only 19,027 H3K27ac-marked active enhancers were repeatedly identified from two samples of before-differentiation bovine satellite cells. This difference suggests much more active transcription factor binding to the genome, much more active recruitment of histone acetylases, and hence much more active H3K27ac modification in bovine satellite cells during differentiation than before differentiation. There is a possibility that this difference was caused by biological variation, as indicated by the large difference in the numbers of H3K27-marked enhancers identified from two samples of before-differentiation satellite cells. In our research on satellite cells, we have noticed satellite cells from different animals differ in differentiation potential in culture, and this difference suggests animal-to-animal variation in gene expression and histone modification in satellite cells.

Enhancers can be located upstream or downstream of TSS, in introns or exons, and near or distantly from the promoters [32–34]. Some enhancers can be located in intergenic regions several hundred kilobases away from TSS and control gene transcription by forming DNA loops with the promoters [35–37]. Genomic distribution analyses showed that H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells have similar genomic distribution to enhancers in other types of cells or species [32–34]. However, the genomic location of H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells varies with the differentiation stage of these cells. Whereas most of the differentiation stage-dependent H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells were located in the distal intergenic regions, most of the differentiation stage-independent H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells were located in the promoter regions. This study also showed that H3K27ac-marked enhancers specific to during-differentiation bovine satellite cells were associated with genes involved in muscle organization, adaptation, and development while H3K27ac-marked enhancers common to both before- and during-differentiation satellite cells were associated with genes involved in basic cellular functions and processes. These results suggest that distal enhancers are preferentially activated to increase the expression of genes determining the differentiation stage of satellite cells, whereas proximal enhancers or promoters are preferentially activated to increase the expression of genes maintaining the basic cellular function of satellite cells. This differentiation stage-dependent activation of distal and proximal enhancers in bovine satellite cells is apparently consistent with earlier findings that distal enhancers mediate the expression of cell type- or developmental stage-specific genes while core promoters and proximal enhancers are responsible for the expression of housekeeping genes [38, 39].

This study showed that genes associated with H3K27ac modification were expressed at greater levels than those without H3K27ac modification in bovine satellite cells, regardless of the differentiation stage of the cells. This result supports H3K27ac as a histone marker for transcriptional activation [16]. This study also showed that many genes were associated with multiple H3K27ac-marked enhancers, but that the numbers of H3K27ac-marked enhancers were not correlated with the expression levels of associated genes in bovine satellite cells. These results suggest that multiple H3K27ac-marked enhancers do not function in an additive manner to increase gene expression or that multiple H3K27ac-marked enhancers are functionally redundant in bovine satellite cells. Indeed, recent studies using the CRISPR-Cas9 approach demonstrate that not every enhancer is functionally important and that most enhancers provide only a supportive or backup role in regulating gene expression [40–42].
H3K27ac modification at enhancers results from transcription factor binding and subsequent recruitment of histone acetyltransferases such as p300 and CBP [43, 44]. In this study we have identified many transcription factors that may bind to H3K27ac-marked enhancers in bovine satellite cells before or during differentiation. Among the transcription factors that are predicted to bind to H3K27ac-marked enhancers in during-differentiation bovine satellite cells are MYOG and MYOD1, the MEF2 family transcription factors, the KLF family transcription factors, and the TEAD family transcription factors. Both MYOG and MYOD1 are known as the central transcriptional regulators of myoblast differentiation, and they regulate the expression of muscle-specific genes by binding to the motif called E-box [45]. The MEF2 family transcription factors MEF2A, MEF2C and MEF2D [45, 46], the KLF family transcription factors KLF3 and KLF5 [47, 48], and the TEAD family transcription factors TEAD2 and TEAD4 [49–51] have also been shown to play a positive role in myoblast differentiation. Identification of the binding sites for MYOG, MYOD1, MEF2, KLF, and TEAD transcription factors among the top motifs enriched in H3K27ac-marked enhancers in during-differentiation bovine satellite cells validates the quality of active enhancers identified in this study.

This study shows that many other transcription factors regulate gene expression during satellite cell differentiation, and these other transcription factors include the AP-1 family of transcription factors (e.g., FOS). Enrichment of binding sites for the AP-1 family of transcription factors in active enhancers in during-differentiation bovine satellite cells is intriguing because the member of the AP-1 family of transcription factors JUN is known to antagonize the stimulatory effect of MYOD1 on myoblast differentiation [52]. However, overexpression of JUNB, a member of the AP-1 family of transcription factors closely related to JUN, increased hypertrophy and expression of the muscle-specific gene MYH4 in C2C12 myoblasts [53]. We have also validated a positive role of two members of the AP-1 family of transcription factors, namely FOS and FOSB, in driving bovine satellite cell differentiation in this study. Therefore, different members of the AP-1 family of transcription factors might have different effects on gene expression during myoblast or satellite cell differentiation.

Conclusions

In summary, we have identified tens of thousands of genomic regions associated with H3K27ac modification, i.e., active enhancers, in before- or during-differentiation bovine satellite cells. These enhancer regions contain binding sites for many transcription factors, including MYOG and MYOD1, whose role in myoblast or satellite cell differentiation is widely known, and the AP-1 transcription factors, AP-4, and many others, whose roles in myoblast or satellite cell differentiation are less known or unknown. These enhancers and transcription factors should be valuable for elucidating the mechanisms that mediate gene transcription during myoblast or satellite cell differentiation. Because myoblast or satellite cell differentiation is a key step of skeletal muscle development and growth, the enhancers, the transcription factors, and the genes targeted by these enhancers and transcription factors should be also valuable for identifying and interpreting skeletal muscle trait-associated DNA sequences and variants in cattle, which are agriculturally important animals.

Methods

Isolation and culture of bovine satellite cells

Skeletal muscle was collected from Angus-crossbred steers slaughtered at the Virginia Tech Meat Center. Satellite cells were isolated through pronase digestion and differential centrifugation as described before [54, 55]. Satellite cells were cultured in growth medium for about a week before being induced to differentiate and fuse into myotubes. Differentiation of bovine satellite cells into myotubes was induced by replacing growth medium with differentiation medium. Growth medium consisted of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic (100×) (ABAM). Differentiation medium consisted of DMEM, 2% horse serum (R&D Systems), 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% ABAM. All cell culture was performed at 37°C in a humidified, 5% CO2 atmosphere. All cell culture reagents were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA) unless otherwise indicated.

ChIP assay

Satellite cells from two steers immediately before and 2 days after induction of differentiation were cross-linked in 1% formaldehyde for 10 min and then lysed in lysis buffer from the ChIP-IT kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cell nuclei were suspended in ChIP buffer from the ChIP-IT kit and then sheared on ice by 10 pulses of 20-s sonication using a sonic dismembrator Model 100 at setting 3 (ThermoFisher Scientific) to generate chromatin fragments of 200 to 1000bp. To identify the genomic regions associated with H3K27ac modification, chromatin fragments were incubated with an anti-histone H3K27ac antibody (ab4729, abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) at 4°C overnight with gentle rocking. The H3K27ac antibody-chromatin complexes were separated from unbound chromatin fragments using protein G-Dynal beads (ThermoFisher Scientific). Chromatin fragments
immunoprecipitated by the H3K27ac antibody and those before immunoprecipitation (i.e., input chromatin) were reverse cross-linked by incubating them at 65 °C for 4 h. DNA was extracted and purified using spin columns from the ChIP-IT kit.

**ChIP-seq library construction and sequencing**

ChIP-seq libraries were prepared using the NEBNext ChIP-Seq Library Prep Reagent Set for Illumina (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), according to the supplier’s instructions. Briefly, ChIP DNA was end-repaired using T4 DNA polymerase, Klenow DNA polymerase, and T4 polynucleotide kinase. End-repaired DNA was then added with 3’ dA overhangs using exonuclease minus Klenow DNA polymerase and dATP. The dA-tailed DNA fragments were ligated to the sequencing adaptor. DNA fragments of approximately 300 bp were selected from the adaptor-ligated DNA using AMPure XP Beads. The size-selected DNA fragments were amplified in 12 cycles of PCR using an index primer and a universal PCR primer. Two ChIP-seq libraries were prepared from DNA immunoprecipitated from before- or during-differentiation bovine satellite cells originally isolated from two different cattle. Two Input-seq libraries were prepared from input DNA pooled equally from before- and during-differentiation bovine satellite cells. Two biological replicates were used for ChIP-seq according to the ChIP-seq guidelines and practices proposed by the ENCODE and modENCODE consortia [18]. Each library was assessed for quality on a Bioanalyzer before being single-end sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 at the Genomics Sequencing Center at Virginia Tech.

**ChIP-seq data analyses**

Sequences from ChIP-seq libraries were first trimmed to remove the adapters using Trimmomatic [56]. The trimmed reads were then mapped to the bovine genome assembly (ARS-UCD 1.2 BosTau 9) using Hisat2 (2.2.0) [57]. The aligned reads were sorted and merged using SAMtools (1.9) [58]. Peak calling of the aligned reads was made using MACS3 (3.0.0a5) [59], where a H3K27ac-ChIP-seq library was compared to an Input-seq library (i.e., control) made from the same satellite cells, and where the q-value threshold was set as 0.05. Quality of peak enrichment in ChIP-seq reads was assessed by Phantomequaltools [60]. ChIP-seq peaks were visualized in the IGV browser (2.8.2) [61]. ChIP-seq peaks were annotated using ChIPseeker (1.22.1) [62]. Motif enrichment analyses were performed using HOMER (4.11.1) [63]. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed using the PANTHER Classification System [64–66].

**Small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated gene knockdown**

Bovine satellite cells in 12-well plates were transfected with 30 nM of siRNA targeting bovine FOS or FOSB mRNA using 6 μL of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Reagent according to the supplier’s instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific). A universal negative control siRNA (MISSION siRNA Universal Negative Control #1, Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA, USA) was transfected as a negative control. The sense and antisense siRNA sequences targeting bovine FOS and FOSB mRNAs were CAG AAGAGAGUCUGUGCCUUCUCU and AGAGAA GCCACAGACAUCCUCUG, and GACAUUGCCA GGAGCCAGUUAUCUCA and UGGAGUAAUGG UUCUGGCAUGU, respectively. These siRNAs were confirmed to have at least 70% knockdown efficiency in pilot experiments. Following transfection, bovine satellite cells were cultured in differentiation medium for 48 h as described above. The differentiation degree of satellite cells was assessed by quantifying mRNA expression of markers of differentiated myoblasts, including CKM, MYH2, MYH3, and MYOG, as previously described [19].

**RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)**

Total RNA was extracted from bovine satellite cells using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA). Reverse transcription of total RNA into cDNA was performed using ImProm-II reverse transcriptase and random primers according to the manufacture’s instructions (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Quantitative PCR was performed using the SYBR Green chemistry as described previously [19]. The relative abundance of target mRNAs was calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method [67]. The Ct values for target mRNAs were normalized to the Ct values for HMBS, which was chosen as a reference gene because it was stably expressed in different conditions [68].

**Statistical analysis**

Gene expression data were analyzed by ANOVA. Two means were compared by t-test, and multiple means were compared by the Tukey test. All data are expressed as mean ± standard error.

**Abbreviations**

ABAM: Antibiotic-antimycotic; BD: Before differentiation; ChIP: Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay; ChIP-seq: Chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with sequencing; DD: During differentiation; DMEM: Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium; FBS: Fetal bovine serum; GO: Gene ontology; H3K27ac: Histone 3 lysine 27 acetylation; H3K4me1: Histone 3 lysine 4 monomethylation; H3K4me3: Histone 3 lysine 4 trimethylation; MRF: Myogenic regulatory factor; RT-qPCR: Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR.
Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-08224-7.

Additional file 1. Assessment of ChIP-seq enrichment by Phantompeakqualtools
Additional file 2. H3K27ac peaks specific to before-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 3. H3K27ac peaks specific to during-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 4. H3K27ac peaks common to both before- and during-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 5. Top 10 GO biological processes enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in before-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 6. Top 10 GO cellular components enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in before-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 7. Top 10 GO molecular functions enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in before-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 8. Top 10 GO biological processes enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in both before- and during-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 9. Top 10 GO cellular components enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in both before- and during-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 10. Top 10 GO molecular functions enriched in genes associated with H3K27ac modification in both before- and during-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 11. Motifs enriched in enhancers marked with H3K27ac in during-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 12. Motifs enriched in enhancers marked with H3K27ac in before-differentiation bovine satellite cells
Additional file 13. Motifs enriched in enhancers marked with H3K27ac in both before- and during-differentiation bovine satellite cells

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions
HJ designed the research; PL and HJ performed the experiments; PL, RES, and HJ analyzed the data; PL, RES, and HJ wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This project was supported by Agriculture and Food Research Initiative competitive grant no. 2016–67015-24471 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets generated and/or analyzed during this study are available as additional files 1–14. The sequencing data from this study has been deposited in the NCBI GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession number GSE179821.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
The protocol involving animals used in this study was approved by the Virginia Tech Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC number 20–169).

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1Department of Animal and Poultry Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA. 2Advanced Research Computing, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA.

Received: 9 July 2021 Accepted: 29 November 2021
Published online: 16 December 2021

References
1. Yin H, Price F, Rudnicki MA. Satellite cells and the muscle stem cell niche. Physiol Rev. 2013;93(1):23–67.
2. Hoppeler H, Fluck M. Plasticity of skeletal muscle mitochondria: structure and function. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2003;35(1):95–104.
3. Astruc T. Carcass Composition, Muscle Structure, and Contraction. In: Encyclopedia of Meat Sciences (Second Edition). Edited by Dikeman M, Devine C. Academic Press, 2014: 148–166.
4. Allen RE, Merkel RA, Young RB. Cellular aspects of muscle growth: myogenic cell proliferation. J Anim Sci. 1979;49(1):115–27.
5. Oksbjerg N, Gondret F, Vestergaard M. Basic principles of muscle development and growth in meat-producing mammals as affected by the insulin-like growth factor (IGF) system. Domest Anim Endocrinol. 2004;27(3):219–40.
6. Bi P, Kuang S. Meat science and muscle biology symposium: stem cell niche and postnatal muscle growth. J Anim Sci. 2012;90(3):924–35.
7. Dhawan J, Rando TA. Stem cells in postnatal myogenesis: molecular mechanisms of satellite cell quiescence, activation and replenishment. Trends Cell Biol. 2005;15(12):666–73.
8. Mauro A. Satellite cell of skeletal muscle fibers. J Biophys Biochem Cytol. 1961;9:493–5.
9. Gros J, Manceau M, Thome V, Marceau C. A common somitic origin for embryonic muscle progenitors and satellite cells. Nature. 2005;435(7044):954–8.
10. Connellson DD, Wold BJ. Single-cell analysis of regulatory gene expression in quiescent and activated mouse skeletal muscle satellite cells. Dev Biol. 1997;191(2):270–83.
11. Bentzinserge CF, Wang XY, von Malzahn J, Rudnicki MA. The emerging biology of muscle stem cells: implications for cell-based therapies. BioEssays. 2013;35(3):231–41.
12. Pownall ME, Gustafsson MK, Emerson CP Jr. Myogenic regulatory factors and the specification of muscle progenitors in vertebrate embryos. Annu Rev Rev Biol. 2002;18:747–83.
13. Braut A, Arnold HH. The four human muscle regulatory helix-loop-helix proteins Myf3-Myf6 exhibit similar hetero-dimerization and DNA binding properties. Nucleic Acids Res. 1991;19(20):5645–51.
14. Bentzinserge CF, Wang XY, Rudnicki MA. Building muscle: molecular regulation of myogenesis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2012;4:2.
15. Moretti I, Ciciliot S, Dyar KA, Abraham R, Murgia M, Agatea L, et al. MRF4 negatively regulates adult skeletal muscle growth by repressing MEF2 activity. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12397.
16. Creyghton MP, Cheng AW, Welstead GG, Kooistra T, Carey BW, Steine EJ, et al. Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and predicts developmental state. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107(50):21931–6.
17. Andersson R, Gebhardt C, Miguel-Escalada I, Hoof I, Bornholtz J, Boyd M, et al. An atlas of active enhancers across human cell types and tissues. Nature. 2014;507(7493):435–61.
18. Landt SG, Marinov GR, Kundaje A, Kheradpour P, Pauli F, Batzoglou S, et al. ChIP-seq guidelines and practices of the ENCODE and modENCODE consortia. Genome Res. 2012;22(9):1813–31.
19. Leng X, Ji X, Hou Y, Settlage R, Jiang H. Roles of the proteasome and inhibitor of DNA binding 1 protein in myoblast differentiation. FASEB J. 2019;33(6):7403–16.
20. Kouzarides T. Chromatin modifications and their function. Cell. 2007;128(4):695–705.
21. Spicuglia S, Vanhille L. Chromatin signatures of active enhancers. Nucleus. 2012;3(2):126–31.
22. Shlyueva D, Stampfel G, Stark A. Transcriptional enhancers: from properties to genome-wide predictions. Nat Rev Genet. 2014;15(4):272–86.
23. Plank JL, Dean A. Enhancer function: mechanistic and genome-wide insights come together. Mol Cell. 2014;55(1):15–14.
24. Calo E, Wysocka J. Modification of enhancer chromatin: what, how, and why? Mol Cell. 2013;49(5):825–37.
25. Consortium EP. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature. 2012;489(7414):57–74.
26. Rada-Iglesias A, Bajpai R, Swigut T, Brugmann SA, Flynn RA, Wysocka J. A unique chromatin signature uncovers early developmental enhancers in humans. Nature. 2011;470(7333):279.
27. Bonn S, Zinzen RP, Girardot C, Gustafson EH, Perez-Gonzalez A, Delhomme N, et al. Tissue-specific analysis of chromatin state identifies temporal signatures of enhancer activity during embryonic development. Nat Genet. 2012;44(2):148–56.
28. Wamstad JA, Alexander JM, Truty RM, Shrikumar A, Li F, Eilerston KE, et al. Dynamic and coordinated epigenetic regulation of developmental transitions in the cardiac lineage. Cell. 2012;151(1):206–20.
29. Shen Y, Yue F, McCleary DF, Ye Z, Edsall L, Kuan S, et al. A map of the cis-regulatory sequences in the mouse genome. Nature. 2012;488(7410):166–20.
30. Kharchenko PV, Alekseyenko AA, Schwartz YB, Minoda A, Riddle NC, Ernst J, et al. Comprehensive analysis of the chromatin landscape in Drosophila melanogaster. Nature. 2011;471(7359):480–5.
31. Ziller MJ, Edri R, Yaffe Y, Donaghey J, Pop R, Mallard W, et al. Dissecting neural differentiation: regulatory networks through epigenetic footprinting. Nature. 2015;518(7539):355–9.
32. Atchison ML. Enhancers: mechanisms of action and cell specificity. Annu Rev Cell Biol. 2005;19:427–53.
33. Blackwood EM, Kadonaga JT. Going the distance: a current view of enhancer action. Science. 1998;281(5373):60–3.
34. Maston GA, Evans SK, Green MR. Transcriptional regulatory elements in the human genome. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2006;7:29–59.
35. Chepelev I, Wei G, Wangsa D, Tang Q, Zhao K. Characterization of genome-wide enhancer-promoter interactions reveals co-expression of interacting genes and modes of higher chromatin organization. Cell Res. 2012;22(3):490–503.
36. Lettice LA, Learney SJ, Purdie LA, Li L, de Beer P, Oostra BA, et al. Systematic mapping of functional enhancer-promoter connections in the human genome. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2006;7:29–59.
37. Ziller MJ, Edri R, Yaffe Y, Donaghey J, Pop R, Mallard W, et al. Dissecting neural differentiation: regulatory networks through epigenetic footprinting. Nature. 2015;518(7539):355–9.
38. Atchison ML. Enhancers: mechanisms of action and cell specificity. Annu Rev Cell Biol. 2005;19:427–53.
39. Dean A. On a chromosome far, far away. Cell Res. 2003;13(4):238–3.
40. Carleton JB, Berrett KC, Gertz J. Multiplex enhancer interference reveals skeletal muscle differentiation, growth and homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2011;12(6):349–61.
41. Braun T, Gantz M. Transcriptional mechanisms regulating skeletal muscle differentiation, growth and homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2011;12(6):349–61.
42. Molkentin JD, Black BL, Martin JF, Olson EN. Cooperative activation of muscle gene expression by MEF2 and myogenic bHLH proteins. Cell. 1995;83(7):1125–36.
43. Hayashi S, Manabe I, Suzuki Y, Relax F, Oishi Y. KLF5 regulates muscle differentiation by directly targeting muscle-specific genes in cooperation with MyoD in mice. Elife. 2016;5.
44. Himeda CL, Ranish JA, Pearson RC, Crossley M, Haukka SD. KLF3 regulates muscle-specific gene expression and synergizes with serum response factor on KLF binding sites. Mol Cell Biol. 2010;30(14):4340–43.
45. Pigeac N, Mohamed AD, Sun C, Schonfelder M, Matallanas D, Garcia-Munoz A, et al. VGLL3 operates via TEAD1, TEAD3 and TEAD4 to influence myogenesis in skeletal muscle. J Cell Sci. 2019;132:13.
46. Joshi S, Davidson G, Le Gras S, Watanabe S, Braun T, Mengus G, et al. TEAD transcription factors are required for normal primary myoblast differentiation in vitro and muscle regeneration in vivo. PLoS Genet. 2017;13(2):e1006600.
47. Benhaddou A, Keime C, Ye T, Morlon A, Michel J, Jost B, et al. Transcription factor TEAD4 regulates expression of myogenin and the unfolded protein response genes during C2C12 cell differentiation. Cell Death Differ. 2012;19(2):220–31.
48. Bengal E, Ranione L, Scharfmann R, Dwarki VJ, Tapsottt SJ, Weintraub H, et al. Functional antagonism between c-Jun and MyoD proteins: a direct physical association. Cell. 1993;6;3(3):507–19.
49. Raffe F, Amo A, Milan G, Masiero F, Caro S, Lee D, Lanfranchi G, et al. JunB transcription factor maintains skeletal muscle mass and promotes hypertrophy. J Cell Biol. 2010;191(1):101–13.
50. Ge XM, Zhang YF, Jiang HL. Signaling pathways mediating the effects of insulin-like growth factor-I in bovine muscle satellite cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2013;372(1–2):23–5.
51. Zhang Y, Cong X, Wang A, Jiang H. Identification of the STAC3 gene as a skeletal muscle-specific expressed gene and a novel regulator of satellite cell differentiation in cattle. J Anim Sci. 2014;92(8):3284–90.
52. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimмomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114–20.
53. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12(4):357–60.
54. Daneecke P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MD, et al. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience. 2021;10:102.
55. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eckhouette J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, et al. Model-based Analysis of ChIP-seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9.9.
56. Kharchenko PV, Tolstorukov MY, Park PJ. Simple statistical model for ChIP-seq peak annotation, comparison and visualization. Bioinformatics. 2012;28(18):2382–3.
57. Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, et al. Gene expression analysis with the PANTHER classification system. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(10):1765–75.
58. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al. Integrative genomic viewers. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(1):24–6.
59. Yu G, Wang LG, He QY. ChIPseeker: an R/bioconductor package for ChIP peak annotation, comparison and visualization. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(14):2382–3.
60. Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, et al. Model-based Analysis of ChIP-seq experiments for DNA-binding proteins. Nat Biotechnol. 2008;26(12):1351–9.
61. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al. Integrative genomic viewers. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(1):24–6.
62. Yu G, Wang LG, He QY. ChIPseeker: an R/bioconductor package for ChIP peak annotation, comparison and visualization. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(14):2382–3.
63. Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, et al. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell. 2010;38(4):576–89.
64. Mi H, Muruganujan A, Casagrande JT, Thomas PD. Large-scale gene function analysis with the PANTHER classification system. Nat Protoc. 2013;8(8):1551–66.
65. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake D, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JMA, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Gene Ontology Consortium Nat Genet. 2000;25(1):25–6.
66. Gene Ontology. The Gene Ontology resource: enriching a GOld mine. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(D1):D325–34.
67. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(−ΔΔCT) method. Methods. 2001;25(4):402–8.
68. Vandensompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, et al. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol. 2002;3(3):RESEARCH0034.