Sugarcane-Legume Intercropping Can Enrich the Soil Microbiome and Plant Growth
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Soil microbes have a direct impact on plant metabolism and health. The current study investigates the comparative rhizobiome between sugarcane monoculture and sugarcane–soybean intercropping. A greenhouse experiment was performed with two treatments: (1) sugarcane monoculture and (2) sugarcane–soybean intercropped. We used a high-throughput sequencing (HTS) platform to analyze the microbial community. We used the 16S rRNA gene and internal transcribed spacer region primers to identify the microbial diversity. HTS results revealed that a total of 2,979 and 124 bacterial and fungal operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were observed, respectively. Microbial diversity results concluded that the intercropping system has a beneficial impact on soil microbes. The highest numbers of bacterial and fungal OTUs were found in the intercropping system, and these results also collaborated with quantitative PCR results. Additionally, intercropped sugarcane plants showed a higher weight of above- and below-ground parts than the monoculture. Soil chemical analysis results also complemented that the intercropping system nourished organic carbon, total nitrogen, and soil enzyme activities. Correlation analysis of the diversity index and abundance concluded that soil nutrient content positively influenced the microbial abundance that improves plant growth. The present study frames out the profound insights of microbial community interaction under the sugarcane–soybean intercropping system. This information could help improve or increase the sugarcane crop production without causing any negative impact on sugarcane plant growth and development.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil bacteria and fungi play a significant part in plant growth promotion (PGP) via various direct and indirect mechanisms (Glick, 1995; Olanrewaju et al., 2017). Soil nutrient mobilization is also one of the PGP traits (Meliani et al., 2012). The soil microbial community’s interventions in all biological activities are well-known. However, a small amount of microbial structure and function has been documented, and still several microbial niches of structure and function are unknown (Torsvik et al., 2002; Gams, 2007; Beeu et al., 2009; Berendsen et al., 2012). The rhizosphere is the soil region influenced by the plant root zone, containing up to 10^{11} microbial cells per gram and more than 30,000 prokaryotic species. The pooled genome of the microbial community is presented in large amounts in a plant’s soil, and it is also called the plant’s second genome (Berendsen et al., 2012). Rhizosphere soil is a sophisticated and active element of the plant ecosystem. The rhizospheric microbiome mainly plays a significant role in organic matter recycling and mineral immobilization for plants (Avis et al., 2008; Pii et al., 2015). A variety of rhizosphere microorganisms are involved in the different kinds of mechanisms, such as nitrogen fixation, iron chelation, mineral solubilization, pathogen suppression, and stimulation of plant defense against biotic and abiotic stresses (Patten and Glick, 1996; Andrews and Harris, 2000; Yang et al., 2016). Several studies indicate that biotic and abiotic factors influence microbial diversity, community composition, and their deviations, but there is limited literature on the involvement of these factors in soil microbial evaluation (Deng et al., 2012; Li and Wu, 2018). The high-throughput sequencing (HTS) approach helps identify and characterize the unculturable microbial gene pools from different biological systems. Universal gene-based microbial diversity analysis is one of the best methods to determine microbial abundance and structure (Bhat, 2013). HTS technology facilitates the diversity and structural analysis of rhizosphere bacterial and fungal communities in several plant-microbiome studies (Berlanas et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019).

Intercropping is now an attractive and common practice in the Americas, Asia, Africa, and Europe. It plays an essential role in maintaining biodiversity and high yields in agro-ecosystems (Li et al., 2018). Monoculture is generally followed in sugarcane production globally. Still, it also harms the soil nutrients, reduces the yield in ratoons, enhances fertilizer inputs, and stimulates several biotic and abiotic factors (Shoko et al., 2007). Intercropping systems change the bacterial diversity of soils and decrease the disease rates of the crops. It can efficiently utilize water and land resources and increase the yield and economic benefits of farmers (Tang et al., 2021a).

Sugarcane–legume intercropping offers a unique perspective that breaks the monoculture cycles in several plants. Sugarcane–legume intercropping is essential for various benefits, including cost-effective utilization of available land, water, light, and other natural resources. It plays a crucial role in developing and commercializing the sugarcane crop in China (Lu et al., 2011; Teshome et al., 2015). For sugarcane crop production, a high amount of nitrogen-containing fertilizers are required (Yang et al., 2013). Utilizing a high amount of nitrogen fertilizers, sugarcane crops face several problems, such as increased production costs, soil infertility, and environmental pollution (Li and Yang, 2015). Intercropping has the potential to reduce worldwide requirements of synthetic N fertilizer, and therefore, it can support the development of more sustainable cropping systems (Jensen et al., 2020).

Soybean is one of the short-duration crops, and it is appropriate for sugarcane intercropping because it can adjust in harsh conditions and fix atmospheric nitrogen in the plants (Lu et al., 2011). Sugarcane–legume intercrops properly utilize soil nutrients and atmospheric nitrogen to accomplish plant growth and minimize the quantity of fertilizer that protects the environment. Soybean intercropping reduces nitrogen input and could increase crop productivity and reduce the carbon footprint of sugarcane fields in China (Wang et al., 2020). Several studies determine that intercrops can enhance soil fertility and the microbial community (Wang et al., 2014; Solanki et al., 2018). The comparative analysis of intercropping systems is studied in maize–peanut (Li et al., 2018), mulberry–soybean (Li et al., 2016), different legume and grass species (Zhou et al., 2017), and sugarcane–soybean (Lian et al., 2019; Solanki et al., 2019). However, more studies need to understand the role of bacterial and fungal communities in the plant rhizobiome that can stimulate soil and plant health. Therefore, the present study examines the relationship between soil properties and plant growth traits with the microbial communities (bacteria and fungi) in the sugarcane–legume rhizosphere. The following objectives were tested: (1) microbial shift in sugarcane monoculture and sugarcane–soybean intercropping by the 16S rRNA gene and ITS region sequencing, (2) role of soil parameters in the microbial structure and diversity, (3) relationship of microbial communities (bacteria and fungi) and plant growth parameters. We hypothesized that the sugarcane–soybean intercropping would have significant impact on the soil properties that influence the microbial community and plant growth rate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plants, Experimental Design, and Sampling

Sugarcane seedlings (varGXB9) and soybean (varGC5) were collected from the breeding unit of the Sugarcane Research Institute and Cash Crop Research Institute, Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences (GXAAS), Nanning, Guangxi, China. A greenhouse experiment was conducted with two treatments: sugarcane monoculture (C) and sugarcane–soybean intercropping (B) (Figure 1). In brief, all plants within a pot (diameter 30 cm; height 35 cm) were filled with 20 kg of sieved soil (<2 mm) and considered as one replicate. Two sugarcane seedlings were planted in a pot under the monoculture system, and two sugarcane seedlings with four soybean seeds were planted in the intercrop system. The greenhouse is maintained with natural light (10 h) and temperature (22°C–35°C). Pots contained soil with the following properties: pH 6.10, organic matter (OM) 11.2 g kg^{-1}, total N 0.64 g kg^{-1}, total P 0.52 g kg^{-1}, total K 8.05 g kg^{-1}, NH_{4}^{+}-N 3.68 mg kg^{-1}, NO_{3}^{-}-N...
8.09 mg kg\(^{-1}\), available P 26.8 mg kg\(^{-1}\), and available K 44.8 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Pots were watered every 3 days. Rhizosphere soils of intercrop and monoculture were sampled at 60 days after sowing (Figure 1).

Rhizosphere soil was recovered separately by shaking roots for 5 min into a bag and mixing thoroughly. Contact between samples was avoided. Approximately 5 g of soil from each treatment was collected. Soil samples were passed through a 2-mm sieve and stored in an ultralow temperature refrigerator at \(-80^\circ C\) for analysis.

**DNA Extraction, Sequencing PCR**

The GnS-GII protocol was used for the extraction of genomic DNA from rhizosphere soil samples (Plassart et al., 2012). DNA purification was processed by the Ezup Column Soil DNA Purification Kit (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China), and DNA concentration was measured by NanoDrop ND-2000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). 515F/806R (Peiffer et al., 2013) and ITS1F/ITS2 (Mueller et al., 2014) primer sets were used for the 16S rRNA and ITS genes, respectively. Thermal cycling consisted of initial denaturation at 98°C for 1 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 10 s, annealing at 50°C for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 60 s, finally, 72°C for 5 min, following the protocols reported previously by Zhou et al. (2017). PCR products were mixed in equal density ratios. Then, the mixture of PCR products was purified with the GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific). The library was constructed by using the TruSeq® DNA. By the use of Qubit and Q-PCR, the created library was quantified. After qualification, the library was sequenced using HiSeq2500PE250.

**Bioinformatics**

Raw reads were filtered by FLASH (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011), and high-quality tags data were obtained according to Bokulich et al. (2013). According to Caporaso et al. (2010), the quality check was done, and chimera sequences were filtered by UCHIME (Edgar et al., 2011; Haas et al., 2011). GRaphLAn analysis was done by the method of Edgar et al. (2011). Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering was created via UPARSE software with 97% identity (Edgar, 2013). The Mothur method and SILVA database were used for OTU annotation from phyla to species (Wang et al., 2007). QIIME software (Version 1.7.0) was used to calculate the Alpha diversity indices (Observed-species, Chao1, Shannon, and PD_whole_tree index) and Beta diversity measures. The rarefied OTU table and the phylogenetic tree were used as inputs for the subsequent Alpha and Beta diversity analyses. The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was used to visualize the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrices based on the 97% OTU level across treatments (Caporaso et al., 2010). A tree was constructed from a gap-filtered alignment using FastTree (Price et al., 2009), and the network was plotted by using Cytoscape software. The raw data of 16S rRNA and ITS were deposited in the NCBI, SRA database with accession nos. PRJNA599269 and PRJNA600092, respectively.

**Real-Time PCR**

The bacterial and fungal gene copy numbers were quantified using real-time PCR to estimate the abundance of bacteria and fungi. Primer 341F/518R (Moore et al., 2011) was used for bacteria and 5.8S/ITS1F (Fierer et al., 2005) for fungi. The real-time PCR assays were conducted on a real-time PCR system (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany). The PCR reaction mixer contained 10 µl (2×) PCR buffer (iQTM SYBR Green Supermix,
Bio-Rad), 2.5 µl of each primer (2 µmol l⁻¹), 1 µl of template DNA, and 20 µl of sterile deionized water was added. Conditions of the qPCR were initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 56°C (bacteria) and 55°C (fungi) for 30 s. The standard curve of DNA and copy number was constructed by the standard formula: \( y = -3.406 \times 37.05 \times \log_{10}(\text{copy number}) \).

**Soil Parameters**

Soil chemical analysis and enzyme activities were carried out as described by Solanki et al. (2019). Soil pH (soil:water = 1:1) was analyzed by a pH meter, and soil organic carbon was measured by dichromate oxidation (Walkley and Black, 1934). Total N was estimated through the semi-micro-Kjeldahl method (Bremmer and Mulvaney, 1982). The FeSO\(_4\)/Zn reduction method was used for the estimation of nitrate-nitrogen (NO\(_3\) -N) and ammonium nitrogen (NH\(_4\) -N) (Carter, 1993). Total phosphorus (P) was measured via the sodium carbonate fusion method (Carter, 1993). Available P was estimated by the sample extraction method (Bao, 2002). Total K estimation was done by the photometry method (Bao, 2002). The ammonium acetate extraction-flame photometry method was applied to detect the available K in the soil (Bao, 2002). Urease enzyme was determined by using phenol-sodium hypochlorite calorimetry (Guan et al., 1986). The Gerry reagent method was used to assess the nitrate reductase enzyme (Li et al., 2008). Soil enzyme dehydrogenase (DHA) was assessed by the procedure of Singh and Singh (2005), and nitrogenase was determined by measuring the acetylene reduction assay (ARA) (Hardy et al., 1968). All analysis was performed in three replicates.

**Plant Parameters**

Sugarcane plant growth parameters, such as fresh weight, dry weight, and plant height, were evaluated 60 days after showing by randomly selected sugarcane plants from each treatment. In addition, chlorophyll content was measured by chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502 plus Konica Minolta).

**Statistical Analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) following Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT) was used to analyze all experimental data. Standard errors were calculated for all mean values. Differences were considered significant at the \( p < 0.05 \) level. All experiments were performed in three replicates, and the results were expressed as mean values. Correlation analysis was performed by PAST3 software.

**RESULTS**

**Sequencing and Microbial Diversity**

The statistical results of the sequence obtained by each step in the data processing are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Total reads of bacterial 16S rRNA were 227,090, and total reads of fungal ITS were 243,921. The average bacterial 16S rRNA total tag was 31,723, and the average OTUs were 1,691. For fungal ITS, the total tag was 40,146, and the average OTUs were 52 (Supplementary Figures S1, S2). All sequence tags were assigned to 2,979 bacterial and 124 fungal OTUs. Good’s coverage values of observed species were accounted for bacterial (1533.17 ± 76.44) and fungi (47.00 ± 6.33), respectively. The alpha diversity index, such as observed specie, Chao, Shannon, A.C.E., and PD_whole_tree were found significantly higher in the bacterial soil samples of the intercropping treatment (Figure 2; Supplementary Table S2). However, the fungal alpha diversity index was not significantly higher than in the monoculture (Supplementary Table S2). Shannon diversity estimates ranged from 8.33 to 9.39 in the 16S rRNA and from 0.08 to 0.46 in the ITS samples. The Chao1 diversity estimator ranged from 1442.70 to 1924.05 in the 16S rRNA and 36.50 to 71.50 in the ITS samples. The phylogenetic distance of the whole tree estimator ranged from 123.37 to 158.81 in the 16S rRNA and from 9.39 to 17.94 in the ITS samples (Figure 2). A PCoA further demonstrated that the variation in the total data set could be attributed to monoculture and intercropping. A PCoA identified three principal component factors concerning the bacterial and fungal abundance of soil samples, explaining 55.93% and 24.31% and 50.19% and 35.06% of the total variation in monoculture and intercropping samples, respectively (Supplementary Figure S3). The PCoA plot indicates that the soil microbiota of both treatments was separated. In the case of the bacterial community, there was a separation between both treatments. Grouped intercropping samples and scattered monoculture samples show the different microbial activities. However, the fungal community-based PCoA plot diverged. The Venn diagrams show shared and unique OTUs in between both treatments. In detail, both treatments classified a total of 2,979 bacterial OTUs. Among these OTUs, 53% OTUs were common in monoculture and intercropping, and 27% unique bacterial OTUs were associated with intercropping. Besides this, in the monoculture treatment, 20% of unique bacterial OTUs were found. Moreover, a total of 124 fungal OTUs were identified, 35% of OTUs were shared between monoculture and intercropping, a higher percentage of unique fungal OTUs (40%) were found in intercropping, and the monoculture treatment had only 20% unique fungal OTUs found (Supplementary Figure S4). To quantify bacterial and fungal microbial abundance in both treatments, we used q-PCR by using the 16S rRNA-V4 gene and ITS gene primers. The results describe that intercropping samples have more gene copy numbers than the monoculture (Supplementary Figure S5).

**Composition and Dynamics of Bacterial and Fungal Communities**

The relative abundance of each phylum was highly diverse across the monoculture (C) and intercrop (B) samples (Figure 3). In the monoculture samples, we observed a predominance of Proteobacteria (32.42% vs. 27.31% in intercrop samples), Actinobacteria (14.32% vs. 9.11% in intercrop samples), Bacteroidetes (8.14% vs. 4.78% in intercrop samples), and Firmicutes (1.45% vs. 0.61% in intercrop samples). In the intercropping samples, we observed a predominance of Acidobacteria (14.88% vs. 13.96% in monoculture samples), Chloroflexi (13.47% vs. 11.76% in monoculture samples),
**FIGURE 2** | Alpha diversity of the microbial community associated with rhizospheric soil. Column pairs marked with an asterisk indicate significant differences between the means according to the DMRT test ($P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$). B: Intercrop, C: Monoculture.

**FIGURE 3** | Relative abundance of bacterial and fungal phylum; B: Intercrop, C: Monoculture (C1, C2, C3 and B1, B2, B3 are the replicates of monoculture and intercrop treatments).

Cyanobacteria (10.80% vs. 4.21% in monoculture samples), Verrucomicrobia (1.82% vs. 1.26% in monoculture samples), Armatimonadetes (1.02% vs. 0.53% in monoculture samples), and Nitrospirae (0.93% vs. 0.60% in monoculture samples). The dominant fungal phyla present in monoculture were Zygomycota (97.59%), Ascomycota (2.26%), Basidiomycota (0.05%), Chytridiomycota (0%), Glomomycota (0.004%), and others (0.08%) and in intercrop Zygomycota (97.83%), Ascomycota (0.83%), Basidiomycota (0.88%), Chytridiomycota (0.11%), Glomomycota (0.014%), and others (0.31%) (Figure 3).
OTU species annotation results for a group of all samples were presented in conjunction with the GRAPhiAn. An OTU tree of 16S rRNA and ITS monoculture and intercrop are shown in Figures 4, 5, respectively. The circles in the figure represent different classification levels from the inside out. The size of the ring and the abundance of the species are proportional. Different
colors represent diverse phylum, and solid circles represent the top 40 species in abundance. According to the species annotation and abundance information, the top 35 genera were selected according to the abundance information of each sample, and clustering was performed (Figure 6). In the case of 16S rRNA Genera, *Nitrosira* and *Chlorobia* were significantly higher compared with monoculture. In the case of ITS, *Tricoderma*, *Curvoliria*, and *Cryptococcus* were found to be higher as compared with the monoculture. Moreover, 15 bacterial genera were significantly higher in intercropping than monoculture (Figure 7). Except for *Rhodococcus*, about 15 genera, such as *Haliangium*, *Bryobacter*, *Sorangium*, *Parafilimonas*, *Geobacter*, *Aquicella*, *Bdellovibrio*, *Azoarcus*, *Physicystis*, *Rhodoplanes*, *Ideonella*, *Polycyclovorans*, *Roseomonas*, *Desulfovirga*, and *Pseudogulbenkiania*, were significantly higher as compared with the monoculture.

In the Beta diversity study, the weighted and unweighted unifrac distance were used to measure the dissimilarity coefficient between the two samples. The similarity between different samples was used for cluster analysis, and a sample cluster tree was constructed. Unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean cluster analysis was performed using the weighted unifrac distance matrix. The clustering results were incorporated with the species relative abundance column chart at the phyla taxon level (Supplementary Figure S6) for each sample of the bacterial and fungal communities.

**Microbial Interactions in Samples**

In the present study, relationships between genera in the samples were calculated using the correlation coefficient and visualized as a network. The absolute value of the correlation coefficient is filtered with cutoffs at 0.7, the map is taken in conjunction with the abundance of the species, and the network diagram is illustrated in Figure 8. A total of 17 bacterial phyla were found to be hub genera in the network, such as *Nitrospirae*, *Saccharibacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Acidobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Thermomicrobia*, *Actinobacteria*, *Fibrobacteres*, *Armatimonadetes*, *Proteobacteria*, *Planctomycetes*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Deinococcus-Thermus*, *Chlamydiae*, and *Firmicutes*. Simultaneously, five dominated...
fungal phyla were found to be a hub in the network, such as Ascomycota, Zygomycota, Basidiomycota, Chytridiomycota, and Glomoromycota.

**Soil Chemical Properties and Enzyme Activity**

In the case of soil pH, compared with monoculture, intercropping decreased soil pH from 6.04 to 5.8. Organic carbon, total nitrogen, and NH$_4^+$-N were significantly increased compared with the monoculture ($p < 0.05$) (Table 1) although no significant effect was observed in TK, AP, AK, and NO$_3^-$N. Moreover, the activity of the urease and nitrogenase was recorded significantly higher as compared with monoculture, and the intercropping system was less influenced by the nitrate reductase and dehydrogenase activities of the soil (Supplementary Figure S7).

**Effect of Intercropping on Sugarcane Growth**

Intercropping significantly increased the sugarcane growth and chlorophyll content over monoculture (Figure 9). The intercropping system greatly influenced the sugarcane root fresh weight and aerial part up to 14.7% and 19.2%, respectively, compared with the monoculture, and the dry weight of the root and aerial part was also increased up to 26% and 19.7%, respectively, over monoculture. Additionally,
chlorophyll content was also found to be higher as compared with monoculture.

**Spearman’s Rank Correlation Between Soil Attributes and Relative Abundance of Soil Bacterial and Fungal Phylum**

Spearman’s rank correlation analysis was calculated among all the chemical properties and taxon abundance levels of bacteria and fungi, and values were illustrated in heat maps (Figures 10, 11). Correlations were observed between various soil properties, enzyme activities, and plant growth parameters with microbial community taxa. The correlation was observed between chemical properties, i.e., pH, OM, N, P, K, available P and K, NO₃, and NH₄. Soil enzymes included nitrogenase, urease, nitrate reductase, and dehydrogenase. Plant growth traits included root fresh weight (RFW), aerial part fresh weight (AFW), root dry weight (RDW), aerial part dry weight (ADW), plant height (PH), and chlorophyll (Chl). Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the association between soil physiochemical properties and dominant bacterial and fungal taxa (genera). The relationships were estimated between these taxa and physiochemical properties to understand the role of the microbial community shift. Related heat maps show that soil physiochemical factors significantly affected the relative abundance of bacterial taxa (phyla and genera). Among all bacteria, Sorangium, Pseudomonas, and Flavisolibacter were correlated (p < 0.05) positively with a higher number of soil and plant parameters. Soil pH had a maximum positive correlation (p < 0.05) with Sphingomonas, Massilia, Bryobacter, Curtobacterium, Pseudomonas, Parafilimonas, and Nitrospiraceae. Organic matter had a positive correlation (p < 0.05) with Sphingomonas, Flavisolibacter, Candidatus_Solibacter, Sorangium, Rhizomicrobium, Candidatus_Koribacter, Pseudomonas, Bdellovibrio, and Gemmatimonadetes. Total nitrogen and N-NO₃ correlated significantly (p < 0.05) with
**DISCUSSION**

The intercropping system is essential in modern agricultural techniques. It can improve crop yield and soil quality, and therefore, changes in soil properties manipulate the activities and community structure of the soil microorganisms (Zhang et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2020). Intercropping plays an essential role in greenhouse production and affects soil physiochemical properties and soil microbial communities’ structure (Li and Wu, 2018). It has been broadly used to control plant diseases and improve the growth of crops (Li et al., 2018). In addition, it can enhance the microbial activity of the soil. Without causing any negative impact on soil parameters, soil fertility enhancement could be a new approach to increase crop production (Solanki et al., 2020).

The present study demonstrates that intercropping enriches the soil chemical property and the microbial community structure. We found that the microbial community structure associated with intercropping was more significant than that associated with monoculture. The present study results are consistent with recent reports in which sugarcane intercropped with peanut and mustard or potato showed that intercropping increased soil microbial diversity and improved soil quality and...
FIGURE 10 | Spearman's rank correlation analysis between soil chemical properties, soil enzymes, and plant growth parameters with bacterial communities. The heat map is drawn based on $P$-value (Higher to minimum $p$-value indicated through red to blue color, respectively).
crop productivity compared with the monoculture (Singh et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2021b).

Moreover, we observed that intercropping increased the soil enzyme activity and the growth of sugarcane plants. Previously, intercropping promoted the rhizosphere microbial population and increased enzyme activity and soil nutrition compared with monoculture (Yang et al., 2011). First, in the present study, monoculture and intercropping decreased soil pH, but intercropping decreased more than monoculture. Previous reports indicate that lower soil pH might be related to higher nutrient availability and consequent uptake by roots directly (Eskelinen et al., 2009; Ghani et al., 2019). These results are consistent with previous intercropping studies in cassava–soybean (Makinde et al., 2006) and pepper–garlic (Ahmad et al., 2013), which report that the intercropping system decreased the soil pH. Some other reports indicate that intercropping changed the soil properties, positively altering the microbial community structure (Li et al., 2016; Lian et al., 2019). Total nitrogen (TN) was significantly increased in this study. These were consistent with various intercropping studies, for instance, sugarcane–soybean (Lian et al., 2019) and cassava–peanut intercropping (Tang et al., 2020). It is accepted that TN was increased because of the biological nitrogen fixation associated with a legume (Wu et al., 2017). In addition, intercropping of soybean with sugarcane improved soil TN and SOC due to the organic matter form of litterfall (Lian et al., 2019). The present study results of soil enzymes indicate that intercropping significantly increased urease and nitrogenase activities compared with monoculture. Our results are consistent with Li et al. (2012), who report that intercropping sugarcane and soybean promoted nitrogenase and urease activity. These results are also compatible with several studies that indicate the intercropping system improved the soil enzyme activities and soil nutrient content compared with monoculture (Zhang and Li, 2003; Wang et al., 2014; Solanki et al., 2018).

The present study indicates that the bacterial community associated with intercropping was significantly higher than that with monoculture. However, the diversity of the
fungal communities associated with intercropping was not significantly increased but was more elevated than monoculture (Supplementary Table S2; Figure 3). Intercropping of sugarcane with soybean enhanced the bacterial and fungal abundances, consistent with several previous studies (Li et al., 2016; Li and Wu, 2018; Lian et al., 2019). Recently, Liu et al. (2021) reported that sugarcane varieties intercropped with soybean significantly increased bacterial diversity and played a significant role in shifting the root environment to help the healthy bacterial community enhance plant growth.

Elevated bacterial and fungal abundances can reveal that the intercropping system stimulates the roots to release high levels of nutrients (Song et al., 2007). Environmental factors, such as pH and soluble organic carbon (SOC), often play essential roles in influencing microbial community composition and diversity (Ma et al., 2018; Tripathi et al., 2018). In this study, we observed the dominant taxonomic groups for microbial analysis of rhizospheric soil, including Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidetes. However, monoculture soils contained more Proteobacteria than the corresponding intercropped soil. Although intercropping carried more Chloroflexi than monoculture, this result is inconsistent with the previous study (Li et al., 2016). In the present study, phyla Acidobacter, Chloroflexi, Cynobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, and Nitrosospira were higher than monoculture. Acidobacteria and Chloroflexi play a vital role in litter decomposition (Eichorst et al., 2011; Purahong et al., 2016). In addition, we observed that the percentage of Nitrospira and Gemmatimonadetes is higher in intercropping systems than in monoculture. This result is consistent with a recent study on peanut–cassava intercropping, which reported that the percentage of Nitrospira, Verrucomicrobia, and Gemmatimonadetes in the rhizospheric soils of intercropping systems were higher than in monoculture (Tang et al., 2020). Moreover, in the present study, Proteobacteria and acidobacteria were significantly higher in intercropping compared with monoculture. Proteobacteria are significantly associated with the plant rhizosphere, and several nonsymbiotic proteobacteria have been recognized as free-living diazotrophs, such as Azospirillum, Azospira, Azotobacter, Burkholderia, Herbaspirillum, Pelomonas, Pseudacidivorax, and Sphingomonas (Pankievicz et al., 2015; Roley et al., 2019; Solanki et al., 2020).

In comparison, fungal communities showed differences with intercropping and monoculture. Zygomycota, Basidiomycota, Chytridiomycota, and other groups were found to be higher in intercropping than monoculture, which allies with previous studies (Lian et al., 2018, 2019). Members of Zygomycota and Chytridiomycota are known to utilize dead plant material, which serves as a significant nutrient source (Misra et al., 2019). The beta diversity analysis results showed a substantial proportion of microbial communities and composition variations across the rhizosphere soil samples. Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, and Actinobacteria comprised the predominant bacterial content of the microbiomes. This result is consistent primarily with previous articles investigating the bacterial community in sugarcane monoculture (Gao et al., 2019) and sugarcane intercrop with soybean (Lian et al., 2019). Correlation analysis results show that, among all bacteria, Sorangium, Pseudomonas, and Flavisolibacter correlate (p < 0.05) positively with a higher number of soil and plant parameters. Sorangium and its subgroup have the potential for secondary metabolite production (Lee et al., 2013), Pseudomonas are well-known for plant growth and plant disease suppression activity (Tao et al., 2020), and Flavisolibacter also play a role in PGF (Lin et al., 2021).

The present study shows that intercropping has a significant impact on sugarcane biomass as compared with monoculture. These results are consistent with a previous study in which the stalk diameter, cane yield, and sugar production were significantly affected by sugarcane–soybean intercropping compared with monoculture (Yang et al., 2013). Besides this, Khippal et al. (2016) also observed that other crops, such as chickpea and lentils could be effectively intercropped with sugarcane to improve the cane quality and soil physical condition for sustainable agricultural crop production. Recent reports also indicate that maize intercropping improves nutrition (Ridaura et al., 2021), reduces disease (Chang et al., 2020), and enhances the yield of the crops (Crusciol et al., 2020).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The cropping system plays a vital role in soil chemical and natural properties. In the present study, soil organic matter and microbial biomass were higher in the intercropping system: sugarcane–soybean intercropping increased microbial diversity and soil physiochemical properties, and furthermore, intercropping enhanced soil enzyme activities and sugarcane plant growth. Moreover, the bacterial and fungal communities are influenced by the intercropping system. Some of the bacterial genera were significantly increased due to intercropping. It is further needed to work out the isolation of potential bacteria and their role in plant growth. Our overall perception of these diverse sets of data indicate that sugarcane and soybean intercropping could improve the soil chemical property and increase the microbial diversity and growth of sugarcane. Although the present study results are held in the greenhouse to be transferred to field conditions, it can serve as background for further field studies.
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