Cytosine methylation prevents binding to DNA of a HeLa cell transcription factor required for optimal expression of the adenovirus major late promoter
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Cytosine methylation within CpG dinucleotides has been implicated in the regulation of gene expression in vertebrates and, in some cases, has been shown to be causative in repression of transcription. We have examined whether methylation of CpG dinucleotides located within the binding site for a specific transcription factor, MLTF or USF, affects its binding to DNA. This HeLa cell factor binds to the adenovirus major late promoter (AdMLP), as well as endogenous cellular genes, and stimulates transcription in an in vitro assay. Synthetic oligonucleotides in which 5-methylcytosine replaces cytosine at specific sites were used to generate duplex DNAs, and the formation of complexes of these oligomers with MLTF was studied using a gel retardation assay. Methylation at a CpG site centrally located within the binding site strongly inhibited complex formation, whereas methylation at a site 6 bases away had no demonstrable effect. Methylation at the central site was also shown to inhibit specific transcription in vitro from the AdMLP. Methylation at the central site on only one strand caused a partial inhibition of binding, the effect being greater when the noncoding strand was methylated. The results indicate that in some cases, site-specific methylation may inhibit gene expression directly by blocking binding to DNA of factors required for optimal transcription. Along with other recent findings, they suggest an interplay between DNA methylation and transcription factors in the regulation of gene expression.
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Methylation of cytosine in CpG dinucleotides is often associated with the repression of specific gene expression (for reviews, see Doerfler 1983; Cedar 1984). Two types of experiments have demonstrated that methylation can cause inhibition of transcription of a number of genes. Loss of methylation through treatment with 5-azacytidine has been shown to activate expression of a number of transcriptionally inactive genes. More directly, expression of methylated DNA (generally obtained by the incorporation of 5-methyl dCTP during DNA synthesis or through the use of bacterial methyltransferase enzymes) has been studied following its introduction into cells. Such methylated DNA has been shown to be transcriptionally repressed compared with its unmethylated counterpart. In such cases, critical methylation sites typically have been located in or around promoter sequences at the 5' end of the genes (Busslinger et al. 1983; Kruczek and Doerfler 1983; Langner et al. 1984; Keshet et al. 1985; Feenstra et al. 1986).

Recent work has demonstrated that promoter regions contain sequences that bind both specific and general factors important for gene activity and regulation (e.g., see Yamamoto 1985; McKnight and Tjian 1986). One mechanism by which DNA methylation might inhibit transcription is by interfering with the binding of transcription factors to such sites.

We have examined whether methylation at individual CpG dinucleotides within the adenovirus major late promoter (AdMLP) can influence transcription factor binding. Optimal expression both in vitro and in vivo from the AdMLP is dependent on two sequence regions, the TATA box region and a region from bases −66 to −50 [the upstream promoter element, (UPE)] (Hen et al. 1982; Jove and Manley 1984; Miyamoto et al. 1984; Yu and Manley 1984). In vitro experiments have shown that a specific transcription factor, MLTF or USF, binds to this region (Carrhew et al. 1985; Miyamoto et al. 1985; Sawadogo and Roeder 1985a; Shi et al. 1986) and causes a stimulation of transcription. MLTF is present in uninfected HeLa cells and, thus, is likely to be involved in expression of endogenous cellular genes. Homologies to the MLTF-binding site have been identified in a number of genes that are expressed during adenovirus infection.
of promoters from cellular genes [Sawadogo and Roeder 1986], and MLTF has been shown to bind to and stimulate transcription from mouse metallothionein I and rat γ-fibrinogen genes [Carthew et al. 1987; Chodosh et al. 1987]. The binding region for MLTF in the AdMLP contains two CpG dinucleotides at positions −58 and −52, which could potentially be methylated in mammalian cells. Enzymatic methylation of sites −52 and −12 in the AdMLP, using HpaII and Hhal methylases, respectively, has been shown previously not to have a demonstrable effect on transcription in vitro [Jove et al. 1984]. Here, we examine the effects of methylation of cytosines at the −58 and −52 sites on binding of MLTF to the AdMLP.

Results

Methylation at a single CpG site inhibits MLTF binding

A map and sequence of the AdMLP indicating the positions of the −58 and −52 CpG sites are shown in Figure 1. Because the CpG site at −58 could not be specifically methylated using commercially available enzymes, DNA fragments methylated at this site were obtained by the specific incorporation of 5-methylcytosine during oligonucleotide synthesis. Synthetic DNA fragments (100 bp) were made by the end-filling of overlapping oligonucleotides (see Fig. 1). Methylation at the −52 CpG site was achieved either through oligonucleotide synthesis or through the use of HpaII methylase.

Binding of these DNAs to the MLTF has been studied using a gel retardation assay in which unbound DNA fragments are separated from DNA–MLTF complexes (Fig. 2). DNase I digestion experiments [Watt and Molloy 1988] have confirmed that the UPE is protected from digestion in the DNA–MLTF complex. In Figure 2A, it is clear that MLTF binds to the unmethylated DNA (O) and binds with much reduced efficiency to DNA methylated on both strands at both −58 and −52 sites ([O][58, 52]). The low level of binding to the methylated DNA, visible on long exposures of the gel in Figure 2A, had reached a maximum by 10 min, indicating that the difference reflects a lower amount of MLTF bound at equilibrium rather than a slower rate of association. Restriction enzyme fragments methylated at the HpaII site (−52) and synthetic DNA methylated at the −58 site were used to test relative effects of the methylation of each site on MLTF binding. From the results of Figure 2, B and C, it can be concluded that the inhibition of binding is due to methylation at the −58 site. In a number of experiments [e.g., Fig. 2B], no significant effect of methylation at the −52 site has been observed on the binding of MLTF. This is consistent with the lack of effect of methylation at this site on a transcription in vitro [Jove et al. 1984]. In the experiment shown in Figure 2C, an unmethylated 528-bp fragment (L) was coincubated with the oligonucleotide DNA methylated at the −58 CpG site to act as a positive binding control in case of possible inhibitors that may have been present.

Figure 1. DNA fragments used for transcription factor-binding assays. [A] The upper line shows a map of the plasmid pML(C2AT)19, indicating the position of the TATA box and UPE relative to the transcription start site. The L restriction fragment is shown from the Avall site (−138) to the SmaI site (+390). The O (oligonucleotide) fragments were made by end-filling two overlapping oligonucleotides, a 55-mer [bases −101 to −47], and a 61-mer [bases −62 to −2]. [B] The sequence of the region upstream from the AdMLP transcription start site is shown. The arrows indicate a region of dyad symmetry.
Figure 2. Effects of methylation of CpG sites at −58 and −52 on binding of MLTF. DNA fragments were incubated with a partially purified fraction (AA) containing MLTF, and the products were analyzed by a gel retardation assay. [A] Binding reactions were done using unmethylated oligomer DNA (O, lanes 2–8) or oligomer DNA methylated at both the −58 and −52 sites (O(58, 52), lanes 9–15). Assays were done using 6 μl of the AA fraction, and the time of incubation was varied from 5 to 240 min, as indicated. (Lane 1) Oligomer DNA incubated without the MLTF fraction; (O and BO) the positions of free and bound oligomer DNA, respectively. [B] (Lanes 1 and 3) An unmethylated restriction fragment (S) extending from −138 to −12 of the AdMLP; (lanes 2 and 4) the same fragment methylated at the −52 CpG site using HpaII methylase [S(52)]. Incubations for lanes 1 and 2 contained 12 μl and those for lanes 3 and 4 contained 0 μl of the AA fraction. (S) Free DNA; (BS) DNA–MLTF complex. (C) Mixtures of DNA fragments were incubated with the amounts of AA fraction indicated (bottom). DNAs contained in each incubation are indicated above the lanes. (L) A 528-bp unmethylated restriction fragment (see Fig. 1); (O) unmethylated 100-bp duplex oligonucleotide DNA; (O(58)) 100-bp duplex oligonucleotide DNA methylated at −58 CpG site. Complexes formed with the L fragment (BL) migrate more slowly than those formed with the oligomer DNA (BO). Lane 1 was run on a different gel from lanes 2–6. A long exposure for lanes 2–6 shows the formation of BO complex in the absence of the L fragment and the lack of this complex in the presence of the competing L fragment.

in the preparation of the methylated oligonucleotide DNA. When unmethylated oligomer DNA and the L fragment are incubated together with the AA fraction complexes formed on both DNAs, BO and BL, respectively, can be distinguished by their different electrophoretic mobility (Fig. 2C, lane 1). For DNA methylated at the −58 site (Fig. 2C), a low level of binding of MLTF is evident in the absence of competitor DNA (BO, lane 2). However, in the presence of the unmethylated DNA, there is no detectable binding of the methylated DNA even on the overexposed autoradiograph (Fig. 2C). In contrast, the unmethylated L fragment is bound efficiently in the presence of increasing amounts of MLTF.

A partially purified fraction (AA, Carthew et al. 1985) was used for the experiments shown in Figure 2. Similar effects of methylation at the −58 CpG site on binding of MLTF were seen when the factor had been purified further, ~100-fold, by sequence-specific DNA affinity chromatography (Fig. 3). The differential in binding was estimated by densitometry to be greater than 15-fold.

Thus, methylation at a site central within the MLTF-binding site has a profound effect on its binding, whereas methylation at a site 6 bp away on the edge of the binding site has little or no effect.

Because the daughter molecules are transiently methylated on only one strand in each round of replication, it was of interest to determine the binding characteristics of MLTF to DNA hemimethylated on either strand. MLTF was able to bind to both hemimethylated DNAs, though with reduced efficiency compared with the unmethylated DNA; methylation on the upper (noncoding) strand inhibited binding to a greater extent than methylation on the lower strand (Fig. 4, lanes 4–7 and 8–11). This indicates that steric hindrance by a single methyl group is not sufficient to prevent binding.

Effect of CpG methylation on transcription in vitro

In vitro transcription in a HeLa whole-cell extract was used to determine whether the observed inhibition of MLTF binding is sufficient to inhibit transcription from the AdMLP. Oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis of pML[CAT]10 was used to construct a plasmid, pMLRep, in which the sequences of the MLTF-binding site were replaced and flanking restriction sites introduced (Fig. 5). Following digestion with DraIII and Styl, methylated or
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It was found that unmethylated oligonucleotides were ligated to the vector, Smal–EcoRI restriction fragments were purified, and concentrations were determined for transcription assays (see Materials and methods). The promoter sequence in these fragments differs from the AdMLP only by two base changes upstream of the MLTF-binding region, necessary to introduce the Styl site in pMLRep. Purified restriction fragments were assayed for transcription activity in the presence of a control template that produces a longer transcript. This acted as a control in case of inhibitors present in the gel-purified restriction fragments and for differential recoveries in the preparation of transcription products for electrophoresis. Transcription from the promoter methylated at the CpG site was substantially inhibited relative to that from the unmethylated promoter fragment (Fig. 5). Densitometry of different exposures of the gel indicated that inhibition due to methylation at the −58 CpG site was at least 5- to 10-fold when standardized against the internal control transcript. Similar results were obtained in another experiment using different preparations of restriction fragments in the transcription assays. This is consistent with only a low level of binding of MLTF to the methylated promoter as deletion of the MLTF-binding region has been shown to cause a decrease of 5- to 20-fold in transcription from the AdMLP using whole-cell extracts (Hen et al. 1982; Jove and Manley 1984; Carthew et al. 1985; Miyamoto et al. 1985).

Dissociation of DNA–MLTF complexes

We have found that in the absence of MgCl₂, MLTF binds more slowly to its target site to produce a ‘loose’ but stable complex that has the same electrophoretic mobility as the complex formed in the presence of 5 mM MgCl₂ but in which the binding site is not protected from digestion with DNase I (Watt and Molloy 1988). Under these conditions, MLTF binds to the methylated DNA significantly better than in the presence of MgCl₂ but still less efficiently than to the unmethylated DNA either in the presence or absence of MgCl₂ (Fig. 6). It is therefore possible, in the absence of MgCl₂, to form complexes of MLTF with DNA methylated at the −58 CpG site and then examine the dissociation of such complexes following addition of MgCl₂ (conditions under which a low equilibrium level of binding is seen). Mixtures of either the unmethylated or methylated synthetic DNA with unmethylated L fragment (as an internal control) were incubated with MLTF in the absence of MgCl₂ for 18 hr, followed by addition of MgCl₂ to 5 mM and a 20-fold excess of unlabeled competitor DNA. MLTF dissociates from the L fragment and the unmethylated synthetic DNA at a similar rate (Fig. 6, lanes 1–4) with almost complete dissociation by 20 min. In contrast, the methylated DNA dissociates rapidly on addition of MgCl₂, with none remaining bound after 2 min (lanes 5–8). Thus, methylation prevents formation of the ‘tight’ complex in which MLTF leaves a characteristic footprint on the DNA.
Figure 5. Methylation inhibits in vitro transcription. In vitro transcription reactions were done using as template DNAs 0.5 µg of pAdMlp cut with BamHI (538-base transcript, labeled C) and either 0.3 µg of an EcoRI–Smal fragment of pML(C2AT)19 [WT]; 0.15 µg of the EcoRI–Smal fragment prepared by ligation of unmethylated oligonucleotides into pMLrep digested with DraIII and Styl (control); 0.15 µg of methylated template DNA (methyl); or EcoRI–Smal fragment, prepared by ligation of methylated oligonucleotides into pMLrep. A shorter autoradiographic exposure of the control transcripts is shown on the left. The sequences of the wild-type AdMLP and the test promoters are shown; altered bases at positions −67 and −66 are shown as smaller letters. A star indicates the site of CpG methylation. The sequence regions covered by the upper and lower strand oligonucleotides used in template synthesis are indicated by the bars under the sequence. (Bottom) The sequence of the plasmid pMLrep in this region, with sites of cutting by Styl and DraIII indicated. Bases identical to those in pML(C2AT)19 are shown as larger letters.

Figure 6. Dissociation of MLTF from methylated and unmethylated DNA. Mixtures of the L fragment and oligonucleotide DNA (1 : 2 molar ratio), either unmethylated (O) or methylated at the −58 site [O(58)], were preincubated with the AA fraction [6 µl in the absence of MgCl2, otherwise as in Materials and methods] for 18 hr to allow maximum association of MLTF to DNAs. At time zero, a 20-fold molar excess of unlabeled competitor DNA, 200 ng pML(C2AT)19, was added. For incubations shown in lanes 1–8, MgCl2 to 5 mM was also added. Incubations were done for 0 [no MgCl2, or competitor added], 2, 10, 20, or 30 min, as indicated. Samples were analyzed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. [O, BO] Unbound and bound oligomer DNA, respectively; [L, BL] unbound and bound L fragment, respectively.

Altered structure of methylated DNA

Because the activity of DNase I on a given phosphodiester bond is dependent on the local phosphate geometry, it has been used as a probe for changes in the structure of DNA. The susceptibility to DNase I of the phosphodiester bonds on both the coding and noncoding strands of unmethylated and methylated DNAs was therefore compared (Fig. 7).

Enhanced cutting of the phosphodiester bonds 5′ to the 5-methylcytosine bases on both strands and reduced cutting of the bonds 3′ to the guanosine bases of the CpG dinucleotide was seen. This result is analogous to that observed upon methylation of a GCGC sequence (Fox 1986) and indicates that the DNA methylation in both cases is causing a similar localized change in phosphate geometry. It has been suggested that methylation causes a rotation of the phosphates adjacent to the methylated cytosine (Fox 1986). Thus, it is possible that inhibition of MLTF binding could be due to a direct steric effect of the methyl groups in the major groove or to a secondary effect on the overall structure of the DNA.

Discussion

Methylation at a single CpG site (−58) within the binding region for the transcription factor MLTF has been shown to strongly inhibit binding of the factor to DNA using an in vitro binding assay and to functionally inhibit transcription in an in vitro assay. The effect of methylation is highly site specific, as methylation at a site 6 bases away (−52) has no demonstrable effect. Inhibition of transcription factor binding may therefore be an important mechanism by which DNA methylation is involved in the regulation of gene expression.

The CpG site at −58 in the AdMLP is located in a region that has been shown to be critical for binding and activity of MLTF. Single point mutations of −59, −57, −56, and −55 all cause major reductions in activity, whereas point mutations at −54, −51, −61, −62, or −63 have lesser or no effects (Yu and Manley 1984; Miyamoto et al. 1985; Shi et al. 1986). Methylation interference studies indicate close major groove contacts between MLTF and guanine bases at positions −57 and −55 on the noncoding strand and −60, −58, and −53 on the coding strand (Carthew et al. 1987; Chodosh et al. 1987). Cytosine methylation is therefore probably like a mutation within the factor-binding site that lessens the
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Figure 7. Effect of methylation on DNase I sensitivity. Methylated (+) or unmethylated (-) oligomer DNAs, 5'-end-labeled on the upper noncoding strand (upper st) or the lower coding strand (lower st) were subjected to partial digestion with DNase I. Digestion products were analyzed on a 10% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. UPE is indicated by brackets; arrows show sites of bonds with increased sensitivity, and open triangles show bonds of reduced sensitivity to DNase I. Bond numbers were derived by comparison of other DNase I digests with sequencing reactions [not shown].

ability of MLTF to bind. As similar inhibition is seen using MLTF purified by sequence-specific affinity chromatography, it is unlikely that methylation is acting here by promoting the binding of another factor that prevents MLTF binding. The rapid dissociation of MLTF from DNA methylated at the -58 CpG site on addition of MgCl₂ [Fig. 6] is also consistent with a direct effect of methylation on MLTF binding. The inhibition of binding could be due to either direct steric interference by the methyl groups of essential recognition contacts in the major groove of the DNA or to localized changes in the helix geometry induced by methylation.

Recently it was shown that methylation of a single CpG dinucleotide within the adenovirus E2 promoter also blocks binding of another cellular transcription factor (E2F) and inhibits expression from the promoter in vivo [Kovesdi et al. 1987] and that methylation of a CpG site upstream of the rat tyrosine aminotransferase gene prevents the interaction of a specific factor with its binding site [Becker et al. 1987]. In contrast, our preliminary results suggest that methylation of the CpG dinucleotide within the binding site for the factor SP1 has little effect on its binding [F. Watt and P. Molloy, unpubl.], and binding of another factor to the E2 promoter of adenovirus is also unaffected by methylation within the DNase I footprint region [Hoeveler and Doerfler 1987].

These data indicate that great care needs to be taken in interpreting correlations (or otherwise) between gene expression and the methylation status of particular restriction enzyme sites, such as HpaII and HhaI, even if they are within the promoter regions of genes. The finding that methylation within binding sites for specific transcription factors can inhibit their binding provides a new focus for examination of the role of DNA methylation in gene regulation during development. Clearly, it will be important to examine the state of methylation of CpG dinucleotides within the binding domains of such factors in promoters of normal cellular genes in relation to expression of the genes during normal development.

From studies of the transfection of DNA methylated in vitro using bacterial methyltransferases, it is clear that methylation can also inhibit transcription via less direct mechanisms than inhibiting transcription factor binding [e.g., see Buschhausen et al. 1985]. This effect may be due to the preferential packaging of methylated DNA into an inactive chromatin configuration [Keshet et al. 1986].

Models for the involvement of DNA methylation in the regulation of gene expression during development require that mechanisms exist for demethylation at specific sites or regions in order to allow transcription to occur or to stabilize an active transcriptional state. Where the process of demethylation has been studied, demethylation events have been localized to transcription factor (estradiol and glucocorticoid) binding sites [Saluz et al. 1986] or to specific sites within promoters [Yisraeli et al. 1986; Shimada et al. 1987]. That MLTF can bind with reasonable, though reduced, efficiency to hemimethylated DNA is interesting with regard to possible mechanisms of demethylation. For example, following DNA replication, MLTF could bind to hemimethylated DNA [perhaps with some degree of strand preference] and potentially prevent access of the maintenance hemimethylase to the site. This could lead to production of a fully unmethylated DNA through an active process or at the next round of replication and, hence, conversion of a low-affinity binding site to a high-affinity one.

Thus, methylation at a single CpG dinucleotide centrally located within the binding site for the transcription factor MLTF is sufficient to prevent specific binding
of the factor to DNA under normal assay conditions. Methylation at a second CpG site located only 6 bases 3' to this site has no discernible effect on binding. DNA methylated at the central site on one strand only binds MLTF with reduced efficiency compared with unmethylated DNA, the effect being greater for DNA methylated on the noncoding strand. The results clearly indicate that in some cases DNA methylation may inhibit transcription by directly blocking the binding of a transcription factor.

As exemplified here, the approach of incorporation of modified nucleosides into factor-binding sites during oligonucleotide synthesis is clearly applicable to the detailed study of many protein–DNA interactions.

Materials and methods

Plasmids and DNA fragments

Restriction enzyme fragments containing the AdMLP were isolated by digestion of the plasmid pML(C2AT)i9 (Sawadogo and Roeder 1985b).

The L fragment was isolated from a 6% acrylamide gel following digestion with Avell and Smal and 3' end labeling of the Avell site (Maniatis et al. 1982). Similarly, the S fragment (nuclideotide sequenced by digestion of the plasmid pML(C2AT)i9, except for the altered bases indicated in Figure 5. The altered promoter was then recloned into pUC18 as an appropriate region.

Restriction fragments for transcription assays were made as described previously (Tre-methick and Molloy 1986).

Factor-binding assays

Reactions of 20 μl contained ~4 fmol of labeled DNA fragment, 500 ng of poly[d-(dC).d-(dC)] and 3–12 μl of the MLTF-containing fraction [AA]. Final buffer conditions were 12 mM HEPES, 60 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.6 mM EDTA, 12% glycerol, and 0.6 mM DTT at pH 7.9. Samples were incubated for 30 min or at times shown. Samples were loaded onto a 4% polyacrylamide gel run in 6.7 mM Tris, 3.3 mM sodium acetate, and 1 mM EDTA at pH 7.9 at 4°C with recirculation of buffer. Estimates of relative binding or transcription activity were made following densitometry of appropriate autoradiographs on a Biomed Soft Laser densitometer.

DNase I digestions

Oligonucleotides were 5'‑end-labeled using [γ-32P]ATP and polynucleotide kinase (Maniatis et al. 1982). Duplex DNA was prepared according to the method of Roeder et al. 1985, using a Bio-Rad protein assay kit. For the assay shown in Figure 3, MLTF was further purified by DNA affinity chromatography. DNA Sepharose 4B was prepared according to the method of Kadonaga and Tjian (1986) using 19-mer oligonucleotide to give the sequence 5'-GATC|CGAATCCAGCGTGACG(GATC)3'. The AA fraction was incubated with the affinity resin for 1 hr at 30°C in buffer A [12 mM hydroxypropyrlazine (HEPES), 0.6 mM EDTA, 0.6 mM DTT, 12% glycerol, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (pH 7.9)] containing 5 mM MgCl₂, 60 mM KCl, and 10 mg/ml poly[d-(dC).d-(dC)] in 0.25 M NaCl, 0.6 mM DTT, and 12% glycerol. The incubation mixture was washed in buffer A containing 60 mM KCI, followed by three elutions of 10 column volumes each with buffer A containing 0.5 M KCl and then buffer A containing 1 M KCl. MLTF from the 1 M KCl elution step was used for binding assays.

From comparisons on SDS–polyacrylamide gels, it was estimated that an equivalent amount of protein from the affinity-purified preparation (excluding bovine serum albumin present in the elution buffer) had 100–200 times the binding activity of the AA fraction.

Whole-cell HeLa transcription lysate was prepared and transcription reactions were done as described previously (Tre-methick and Molloy 1986).
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