Detection of QTLs for genotype × environment interactions in tomato seeds and seedlings
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Abstract
Seed quality and seedling establishment are the most important factors affecting successful crop development. They depend on the genetic background and are acquired during seed maturation and therefor, affected by the maternal environment under which the seeds develop. There is little knowledge about the genetic and environmental factors that affect seed quality and seedling establishment. The aim of this study is to identify the loci and possible molecular mechanisms involved in acquisition of seed quality and how these are controlled by adverse maternal conditions. For this, we used a tomato recombinant inbred line (RIL) population consisting of 100 lines which were grown under two different nutritional environmental conditions, high phosphate and low nitrate. Most of the seed germination traits such as maximum germination percentage ($G_{\text{max}}$), germination rate ($t_{50}$) and uniformity ($U_{8416}$) showed ample variation between genotypes and under different germination conditions. This phenotypic variation leads to identification of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) which were dependent on genetic factors, but also on the interaction with the maternal environment (QTL × E). Further studies of these QTLs may ultimately help to predict the effect of different maternal environmental conditions on seed quality and seedling establishment which will be very useful to improve the production of high-performance seeds.
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INTRODUCTION

Tomato is one of the most important agricultural commodities due to the level of production throughout the world (4.8 million hectares with the average yield of 37 ton per hectare [FAOSTAT2016]) (Heuvelink, 2018). Moreover, tomato is of scientific importance as a model organism for fruit-bearing plants (Giovannoni, 2001; Schauer et al., 2006). Tomato producers are attempting to produce plants with high quality fruits as well as with high resistance against stressful environments, such as high temperature (HT) and osmotic stress. Since tomato is propagated by seed, the first step to improve tomato production is improving the quality of the seeds.

One of the characteristics of seed quality is the ability of the seed to germinate quickly and uniformly, not only under optimal but especially also under stress-full germination conditions (Foolad, Subbiah, & Zhang, 2008). Furthermore, seed quality is not solely determined by germination but also by many other attributes such as genetic purity, vigour, viability and lack of any disease and damages, which all affect...
seed performance (Hilhorst, Finch-Savage, Buitink, Bolingue, & Leubner-Metzger, 2010; Hilhorst & Koornneef, 2007; Hilhorst & Toorop, 1997). Additionally, these quality parameters may severely affect seedling establishment and further growth of the plant and, ultimately, the success of crop production. In general, low quality seeds, for instance seeds with low vigour, lead to poor seedling establishment and finally lower and non-profitable crop yield (Finch-Savage, 1995). An important determinant of seed quality and performance is the maternal environment (ME) under which seeds develop and mature. The different environmental factors during seed development, such as temperature, light quality and quantity as well as nutrients may affect ultimate seed quality. Therefore, seed quality is defined by both the genetics (G) and the environment (E), as well as their interaction (G x E) (Koornneef, Bentsink, & Hilhorst, 2002; McDonald, 1998).

In tomato, as in many other crops, the domestication process has been accompanied by an attrition of genetic variation and, consequently, loss of many potentially desirable traits (Doebly, Gaut, & Smith, 2006; McCouch, 2004). Therefore, domesticated cultivars are sensitive to non-optimal germination conditions which limit their production to optimal environments (Foolad & Lin, 1997, 1998). However, a large source of genetic variation is found within wild species of tomato, such as \textit{Solanum habrochaitis}, \textit{Solanum pimpinellifolium} and \textit{Solanum pennelli}. As cultivated crops suffer from abiotic stress, such as HT, drought and salinity by increased frequency and severity due to climate change, existing genetic variation could be used to reintroduce lost valuable traits in the domesticated cultivars to cope with these environmental stresses (Kazmi et al., 2012; Lippman, Semel, & Zamir, 2007).

Seed dormancy is profoundly affected by the environment (Huo & Bradford, 2015). Seeds perceive their environment and under undesirable conditions they typically do not germinate and become dormant. Nowadays, due to global warming, HT is regarded as one of the most important unfavourable environmental factors affecting seed germination. For instance, the germination of seeds of several species such as carrot (\textit{Daucus carota}), lettuce (\textit{Lactuca sativa}) and Arabidopsis are affected by thermo-inhibition or thermo-dormancy (Geshnizjani, Ghaderi-Far, Willems, Hilhorst, & Ligterink, 2018; Lafta & Mou, 2013; Nascimento, Huber, & Cantliffe, 2013; Toh et al., 2008). Thermo-inhibition refers to the fact that seeds will stop germination under HT, yet will immediately germinate upon facing the optimal temperatures. In the case of seed dormancy, seeds will germinate neither at HTs, nor at subsequent lower/optimal germination temperatures (Argyris, Dahal, Hayashi, Still, & Bradford, 2008; Huo, Dahal, Kunuso, McCallum, & Bradford, 2013). It is previously reported that different MEs such as temperature, light, water and nutrient availability during seed development and maturation may affect seed dormancy (Brown, Bradford, & Hilhorst, 2012; Fenner, 1991; Hilhorst, 1995; Holdsworth, Bentsink, & Soppe, 2008).

Natural variation present in traits such as seed size and weight, as well as dormancy and germination, exhibits a continuous distribution and is considered as quantitative variation likely regulated by multiple quantitative trait loci (QTL) (Arabidopsis, 2008; Koornneef, Dahal, Kunusoth, McCallum, & Bradford, 2013). It is previously reported that different MEs such as temperature, light, water and nutrient availability during seed development and maturation may affect seed dormancy (Bewley, Bradford, & Hilhorst, 2012; Fenner, 1991; Hilhorst, 1995; Holdsworth, Bentsink, & Soppe, 2008).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant material and growth conditions

The RIL population was derived from a cross between two parental lines: \textit{S. lycopersicum} cv. Moneymaker and \textit{S. pimpinellifolium} (accession CGN14498). The population of 100 lines was genotyped in the \textit{F7} using a set of 865 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers, described in Voorrips et al. (2000). \textit{F7} seeds of this population were used for measuring the existing natural variation followed by QTL mapping as a powerful tool to detect loci affecting seed traits (Alonso-Blanco et al., 2009). Many studies have characterized QTLs regulating complex quantitative seed traits in different species, such as Arabidopsis, Tomato and Wheat (Argyris et al., 2008; Joosen et al., 2012; Kazmi et al., 2012; Koornneef et al., 2002; Mathews et al., 2008). However, few studies have been conducted to investigate the interaction between the ME and genetic variation (Dechaine, Gardner, & Weinig, 2009; Elwell, Gronwall, Miller, Spalding, & Durham Brooks, 2011; Geshnizjani et al., 2019; He et al., 2014; Postma & Agren, 2015). In general, final seed performance is determined by the function of several genes and their interaction with the environment. Using high throughput genetic tools, including QTL mapping, to discover the genotype by environment interaction effects on QTLs affecting these seed traits provides a better understanding of how plants adapt to and cope with new stressful environments and it is a prerequisite for crop improvement (Des Marais, Hernandez, & Juenger, 2013; El-Soda, Malosetti, Zwaan, Koornneef, & Aarts, 2014).

In this study we analysed natural variation of several seed and seedling traits including maximum germination percentage and rate of germination under control and stress conditions, as well as fresh and dry weight of seedlings and compare the results with the previously published thermo-dormancy and -inhibition of seed germination characteristics (Geshnizjani et al., 2018). We have used a RIL population derived from two tomato accessions: \textit{Solanum lycopersicum} (cv. Moneymaker) (MM) and \textit{Solanum pimpinellifolium} (PI) (Voorrips, Verkerke, Finkers, Jongerius, & Kanne, 2000). From the collection of tomato wild cultivars, \textit{S. pimpinellifolium} has been used most frequently in breeding programs as it is the most closely related wild species to the domesticated tomato cultivar (\textit{S. lycopersicum}) and has also the ability to naturally cross with \textit{S. lycopersicum}.

To investigate the existing genetic variation of seed and seedling related traits, we specifically focused on the ME in which seeds develop and mature. We compared the identified QTL for seed and seedling traits between the different nutritional environments of the mother plant. To do so, the RILs were exposed to high phosphate (HP) and low nitrate (LN) environments during seed development and their seeds were tested for seed and seedling related traits. In addition we performed a QTL × E approach to increase the power for detecting the loci affected by the different MEs (Joosen et al., 2012; Malosetti, Volta, Romagosa, Ullrich, & Van Eeuwijk, 2004; Moreau, Charcosset, & Gallais, 2004; Van Eeuwijk, Malosetti, & Boer, 2007). In this study we show, that the interaction between ME, germination environment and specific genetic loci can affect seedling establishment.
grown under controlled conditions in a greenhouse at Wageningen University, the Netherlands with 16 hr light and 8 hr dark. The temperature was adjusted to 25°C during the day and 15°C during the night. All the lines were fertilized uniformly by the same dosage of nutrient until flowering (Tables S1–S10). From the first open flower onwards the lines were transferred to new nutritional conditions and exposed to high and low concentrations of phosphate and nitrate, respectively (HP: 14.0 mM nitrate, 10.0 mM phosphate; LN: 2.4 mM nitrate, 1.0 mM phosphate; Standard: 14.0 mM nitrate, 1.0 mM phosphate used in Kazmi et al., 2012, Table S1).

Afterwards, healthy full ripened fruits were collected and seeds were extracted. To remove the main part of the pulp that is stuck onto the seeds 1% hydrochloric acid (HCl) was used. The seed extract together with diluted HCl was passed through a mesh sieve and then washed with water to remove the residual pulp and HCl. In order to disinfect the seeds, they were soaked in a trisodium phosphate (Na3PO4·12H2O) solution and then dried on filter paper at room temperature for 3 days and brushed to remove impurities. At the end, the seeds were stored in small paper bags in a cold (13°C) and dry (30% RH) storage room (Kazmi et al., 2012).

2.2 | Phenotyping of seeds and seedlings

2.2.1 | Seed size and weight

Seed size was measured by using a Nikon D80 camera fixed to a reproduced stand with 60 mm objective and connected to a PC with Nikon camera control pro software version 2.0 (Joosen et al., 2010). The images of 12-hr imbibed seeds on white filter paper (20.2 × 14.3 cm) were processed by ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) combining colour threshold with particle analysis. For seed weight, a batch of dry seeds was weighed and then divided by the number of the weighed seeds.

2.2.2 | Germination experiments

Germination experiments were executed in a randomized design with two replications of around 50 seeds per RIL, as well as the parental lines. The seeds were sown in germination trays (21 cm × 21 cm) containing one layer of white filter paper (20.2 × 14.3 cm white blotter paper; Anchor Paper Company, http://www.seedpaper.com) and 50 ml demineralized water. The germination trays were stored at 4°C for 3 days. Then, they were transferred to an incubator at 25°C without light. The first 10 germinated seeds were placed on circular blue filter papers (9 cm Blue Blotter Paper; Anchor Paper Company, http://www.seedpaper.com) which were placed on a Copenhagen table at 25°C in a randomized complete block design with two biological and two technical replicates, for 10 days. Conical plastic covers with a small hole on top were placed on top of each filter paper to inhibit evaporation. At the end of the 10 days, the seedlings were collected and fresh weight of their shoots and roots was measured (FWSH and FWR respectively). The dry weight of shoots and roots was also measured after incubating them at 80°C for 3 days (DWSH and DWR respectively). Average trait values per RIL per phenotype can be found in Table S2.

2.3 | Statistical analysis

2.3.1 | Calculation of seed quality traits

Seed quality traits. G\textsubscript{max} (maximum germination), t\textsubscript{10\%} (reciprocal of time to reach 10% of maximum germination), t\textsubscript{50\%} (germination rate, reciprocal of time to reach 50% of maximum germination), U\textsubscript{b414} (uniformity, reciprocal of time between 16 and 84% of maximum germination) and area under the germination curve (AUC till 200 hr) were measured based on the cumulative germination data using the curve-fitter module of the Germinator package (Joosen et al., 2010). The parameters t\textsubscript{10\%}, t\textsubscript{50\%} and U\textsubscript{b414} were only determined when germination of more than 80% of the RILs reached 10, 50 and 84%, respectively. The average of two biological replicates of each line was used for subsequent QTL analysis.

2.3.2 | Broad sense heritability, coefficient of variation and ANOVA analysis

The total phenotypic variation (V\textsubscript{p}) can be affected by genetic (V\textsubscript{g}) and environmental (V\textsubscript{e}) variation (V\textsubscript{p} = V\textsubscript{g} + V\textsubscript{e}). For each maternal and germination environment (GE) the broad sense heritability (H\textsuperscript{2}) was calculated for individual traits as the proportion of phenotypic variation due to the effect of genetic variation (H\textsuperscript{2} = V\textsubscript{g}/V\textsubscript{p}). The calculation was performed in Genstat 18 with the QTL phenotypic analysis tools, using...
preliminary single environment analysis and considering plant replications as an additional fixed term. Within a population the absolute variation or dispersion per trait is defined as the standard deviation (σ). The relative variation called the coefficient variation (CV) for individual traits is the ratio of the standard variation to the mean (μ) of the lines in the population (CV = [σ/μ] * 100).

Since tomato seeds were grown in different nutritional ME and were germinated in several conditions (GE), the seed germination traits were affected by ME, GE and their interactions (ME × GE). To identify the effect of each component on seed performance traits a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) analysis was performed using Genstat 18 with a significant threshold of 0.05. The contribution of each environmental component (ME, GE and ME × GE) to an individual trait was presented by the sum of squares (SS).

2.3.3 | Stability of the genotype rankings over two nutritional maternal environments

For each trait the stability of the genotypes over two nutrient MEs was estimated by calculation of Spearman rank correlation. We used the same approach as performed in previous studies to take the G × E interaction affecting traits into account (Becker & Leon, 1988; Oury et al., 2006).

2.3.4 | Principle component analysis

A principal component analysis (PCA) of the RILs and the parents based on the trait measurements was made using the R prcomp function on the correlation between the scaled traits. The first two components of the PCA were plotted using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2010).

2.3.5 | Correlation analysis

In each ME pairwise Spearman correlation analysis was done between all seed, seedling and seed performance traits using the cor function in R. The values of the correlation and statistically significant level of the correlations was represented as correlation value and false discovery rate (FDR), respectively. Correlation values with FDR ≤ 0.05 were selected to generate a correlation network using Cytoscape v.3.4.0. The NetworkAnalyser tool in Cytoscape was used to obtain further characteristics of the networks.

The correlation between the mean values of each RIL for each trait between two MEs was also calculated using the rcorr R package.

2.4 | QTL and QTL × E analysis

2.4.1 | Linkage analysis

We use the genetic linkage map by Kazmi et al. (2012), in which they used 5,529 SNPs to genotype the RIL population. SNP markers with identical values were removed, leaving 2,251 polymorphic markers. Furthermore, co-segregating markers were also removed. The remaining 865 unique markers were used for generating the genetic linkage map, which contains 12 individual linkage groups corresponding to the 12 chromosomes of tomato. This map has been constructed using JoinMap 4 (Van Ooijen and Voorrips, 2001) based on recombination frequency and Haldane’s mapping function and integrating the existing SNP marker data set for the RILs (Kazmi et al., 2012) (Table S3).

2.4.2 | QTL detection

The mean values per RIL of the seed-, seedling- and seed performance-traits were used for QTL detection. QTL analysis was carried out by genome scan with a single QTL model (scanone) using the r/qtl package (Broman, Wu, Sen, & Churchill, 2003). The Logarithm-of-Odds (LOD), physical position, related marker and additive effects of each detected QTL together with phenotypic variation explained by each QTL (explained variance, EV%) were determined. The genome-wide significant LOD threshold (≥ 2) was estimated using 10,000 permutation tests (Broman et al., 2003; Doerge & Churchill, 1996). The physical position of the related markers and other characteristics of the QTLs affecting the traits measured for the RIL population grown in the two different MEs are summarized in Table S9. The QTLs for thermo-tolerance (Th-T), thermo-inhibition (Th-I) and thermo-dormancy (Th-D) were previously mapped (Geshnizjani et al., 2018).

2.4.3 | QTL × E analysis

The QTL by Environment effect was determined by an ANOVA model in which for each germination trait the model includes; the genetic background (GB), GE, ME and marker under study and their interactions (Phenotype × ME * GE * marker + GB). The GB was defined by the RIL identifier. In this way the differences between environments for each individual RIL were taken into account. Phenotype = numerical scored trait (mean value per RIL). ME (LN or HP), GE (Water, NaCl, Mannitol or HT), marker = the ith marker from the genetic map (MM or PI) and GB = RIL identifier as the same RILs were measured in the different environments and thus controlling for the RIL background variation. All calculations were done in R and visualised using the R package ggplot2 (Wickham, 2010). Thresholds for QTL by environment effects were determined by permutations (1,000 randomly sampled phenotypic values in the same mapping model). For an additive single maker effect the 0.05 –log10(p) threshold was between 3.6 and 3.9, depending on the trait (3.4–3.5 for 0.1 threshold). For the interaction between the ME and a marker the 0.05 –log10(p) threshold was between 3.3 and 3.6, depending on the trait (3.0–3.3 for 0.1 threshold). For the interaction between the GE and a marker the 0.05 –log10(p) threshold was between 3.2 and 4.2, depending on the trait (3.1–3.3 for 0.1 threshold). For the three-way interaction between the ME, the GE, and a marker the 0.05 –log10(p) threshold was between 3.7 and 3.8, depending on the trait (3.2–3.3 for 0.1 threshold). For convenience the commonly used
threshold of $-\log_{10}(p) > 3$ was used, to show significant QTLs in figures.

3 | RESULTS

To identify the loci involved in variation in tomato seed- and seedling-traits in interaction with different maternal nutritional conditions, HP and LN, we used a population of RILs derived from a cross between a wild (*Solanum pimpinellifolium* [PI]) and a domesticated (*Solanum lycopersicum*, cv. Moneymaker [MM]) tomato species (Voorrips et al., 2000). We mapped QTLs for five seed germination traits under four different GEs, three seed thermo-dormancy traits (Geshnizjani et al., 2018), two seed morphology traits and four seedling traits (Table 1).

### TABLE 1

| Traits                       | Germination environments | Codes   |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Seed germination traits      |                          | G<sub>max</sub> |
| $G_{\text{max}}$            | Water                    | $G_{\text{max}}$ water |
| NaCl                         | $G_{\text{max}}$ NaCl    |         |
| Mannitol                     | $G_{\text{max}}$ Mann    |         |
| High temperature             | $G_{\text{max}}$ HT      |         |
| $t_{50}^{-1}$                | Water                    | $t_{50}^{-1}$ water |
| NaCl                         | $t_{50}^{-1}$ NaCl       |         |
| Mannitol                     | $t_{50}^{-1}$ Mann       |         |
| High temperature             | $t_{50}^{-1}$ HT         |         |
| $t_{10}^{-1}$                | Water                    | $t_{10}^{-1}$ water |
| NaCl                         | $t_{10}^{-1}$ NaCl       |         |
| Mannitol                     | $t_{10}^{-1}$ Mann       |         |
| High temperature             | $t_{10}^{-1}$ HT         |         |
| AUC                          | Water                    | AUC water |
| NaCl                         | AUC NaCl                 |         |
| Mannitol                     | AUC Mann                 |         |
| High temperature             | AUC HT                   |         |
| $U_{8416}^{-1}$              | Water                    | $U_{8416}^{-1}$ water |
| NaCl                         | $U_{8416}^{-1}$ NaCl     |         |
| Mannitol                     | $U_{8416}^{-1}$ Mann     |         |
| High temperature             | $U_{8416}^{-1}$ HT       |         |
| Thermo-dormancy              | Thermo-tolerance         | Th-T    |
| Thermo-inhibition            | Th-I                     |         |
| Thermo-dormancy              | Th-D                     |         |

Note: $t_{50}^{-1}$ and $t_{10}^{-1}$, Reciprocal of time to respectively reach 50 and 10% of maximum germination; $U_{8416}^{-1}$, Reciprocal of time between 16 and 84% of maximum germination.

### Variability and heritability of seed and seedling traits

In both suboptimal nutritional conditions (HP and LN) most of the traits displayed wide variation for the parental lines MM and PI, as previously observed (Geshnizjani et al., 2019). For the seed germination traits $G_{\text{max}}$ and AUC the difference between MM and PI increased under suboptimal germination condition HT, NaCl and Mannitol (Figure 1, Table 2). For most of the traits MM was affected more by suboptimal germination conditions than PI, which confirms the higher susceptibility of MM to stressful conditions, as previously also observed (Geshnizjani et al., 2019) (Figure 1, Figure S1). Calculating the log<sub>2</sub> ratio of HP:LN showed that in some traits, notably in SS and SW, different maternal nutritional environments hardly affected the parental lines, however in most other traits the phenotypes of the

Abbreviations: AUC, Area under the germination curve; $G_{\text{max}}$, Maximum seed germination percentage.
parental lines were differently affected by the HP and LN nutrient environments (Figure 2).

Moreover, considerable phenotypic variation for some of the traits was found in the RILs for each nutritional environment, this was reflected in the CV ranking from 12 to 120% under HP and 13 to 190% under LN conditions (Figures 1 and 2, Table S4). The largest variation in CV values was perceived in Th-D followed by AUC and U8416 traits indicating high level of variation in these traits. On the other hand, maximum germination percentage (Gmax) of seeds in water showed the lowest percentage of CV which is as expected since most of the RILs germinated almost 100% in water. The log2 ratio analysis of HP:LN in RILs exhibited a similar result as the parental line in which several traits like AUC, U8416−1, Th-T, Th-I and Th-D have been differently affected by HP and LN (Figure 2).

The PCA of the RILs and parental lines for all traits in both MEs showed that 63% (PCA1) and 14% (PCA2) of the variation was explained. The PCA plot showed that parental lines in general are flanking the RILs on PCA1 (Figure 3). Similar results have been obtained when considering individual traits where the phenotypes of the RILs are mainly found between the phenotypes of the two parental genotypes; still, the Gmax under NaCl and the Th-I traits suggest transgression with some RILs displaying more extremes than their parents. This exemplifies the inheritance from both parental lines to the progenies in which one parent has most positive and the other one
In a few cases, such as Gmax in both nutritional environments, substantial transgression was observed, due to poorly germinating RILs (Figure 1; Table 2).

Broad sense heritability ($H^2$) calculated for each trait in both maturation environments was high for most of the traits (with most traits >80% in both environments; ranking from 49 to 91% in HP and 54 to 93% in LN) (Table 2). Taken together this shows that substantial genetic variation exists for these seed traits interacting with the germination as well as the ME.

| Trait                  | HP      | LN      | MM (%) | PI (%) | RIL (%) | H² (%) | MM (%) | PI (%) | RIL (%) | H² (%) |
|------------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Gmax (%)               | Water   | 94.1    | 96.5   | 90.8   | 77     | 98.2   | 100.0  | 94.4   | 93     |
|                        | NaCl    | 74.8    | 94.7   | 69.2   | 81     | 48.4   | 99.0   | 73.9   | 85     |
|                        | Mann.   | 69.5    | 100.0  | 73.2   | 90     | 82.7   | 100.0  | 85.3   | 89     |
|                        | HT      | 29.5    | 99.4   | 73.8   | 91     | 67.5   | 100.0  | 76.7   | 89     |
| t50⁻¹ (x100, h⁻¹)      | Water   | 1.42    | 3.47   | 2.26   | 90     | 1.63   | 2.97   | 2.00   | 91     |
|                        | NaCl    | 0.48    | 1.30   | 0.82   | 83     | 0.53   | 1.43   | 0.84   | 70     |
|                        | Mann.   | 0.51    | 1.23   | 0.86   | 87     | 0.63   | 1.37   | 0.90   | 82     |
|                        | HT      | 0.89    | 2.99   | 1.71   | 89     | 0.76   | 3.04   | 1.67   | 92     |
| t10⁻¹ (x100, h⁻¹)      | Water   | 2.15    | 4.33   | 3.08   | 83     | 2.11   | 3.26   | 2.52   | 87     |
|                        | NaCl    | 0.64    | 1.65   | 1.16   | 83     | 0.84   | 1.58   | 1.15   | 69     |
|                        | Mann.   | 0.76    | 1.70   | 1.27   | 88     | 0.87   | 1.68   | 1.21   | 84     |
|                        | HT      | 1.38    | 3.92   | 2.49   | 88     | 1.03   | 3.61   | 2.23   | 86     |
| AUC (hrs)              | Water   | 118.0   | 163.9  | 135.0  | 91     | 133.4  | 166.3  | 136.6  | 93     |
|                        | NaCl    | 10.2    | 114.6  | 52.0   | 78     | 12.4   | 128.6  | 55.4   | 88     |
|                        | Mann.   | 15.9    | 114.8  | 57.3   | 85     | 35.5   | 126.1  | 69.7   | 90     |
|                        | HT      | 24.4    | 164.6  | 98.6   | 93     | 44.4   | 166.9  | 100.7  | 94     |
| U₈₄₁₆⁻¹ (x100, h⁻¹)    | Water   | 2.22    | 9.89   | 5.53   | 66     | 4.18   | 20.8   | 6.76   | 75     |
|                        | NaCl    | 1.11    | 3.63   | 1.63   | 49     | 0.76   | 9.41   | 1.95   | 54     |
|                        | Mann.   | 0.85    | 2.47   | 1.51   | 71     | 1.24   | 4.43   | 2.28   | 68     |
|                        | HT      | 1.32    | 7.32   | 3.27   | 64     | 1.59   | 11.9   | 4.63   | 69     |
| Dormancy               | Th-T    | 0.48    | 95.3   | 20.5   | 83     | 0.50   | 96.8   | 13.0   | 98     |
|                        | Th-I    | 5.23    | 0.00   | 23.2   | 50     | 0.00   | 2.09   | 15.0   | 94     |
|                        | Th-D    | 89.3    | 2.54   | 44.1   | 86     | 95.8   | 1.56   | 63.1   | 92     |
| Seed traits            | SS      | 4.93    | 2.59   | 3.57   | 89     | 5.05   | 2.40   | 3.60   | 94     |
|                        | SW      | 0.27    | 0.10   | 0.16   | 89     | 0.29   | 0.10   | 0.17   | 96     |
| Seedling traits        | FWSH    | 28.2    | 11.1   | 16.4   | 72     | 30.0   | 9.00   | 17.2   | 78     |
|                        | DWSH    | 1.50    | 0.58   | 0.92   | 63     | 1.66   | 0.57   | 0.96   | 71     |
|                        | FWR     | 12.4    | 6.39   | 8.94   | 76     | 13.2   | 5.87   | 9.45   | 68     |
|                        | DWR     | 0.71    | 0.38   | 0.53   | 69     | 0.79   | 0.38   | 0.57   | 62     |

Abbreviations: AUC, Area under the germination curve; DWR, Dry weight of root; DWSH, Dry weight of shoot; FWR, Fresh weight of root; FWSH, Fresh weight of shoot; Gmax, Maximum seed germination percentage; HP, High phosphate; HT, High temperature; LN, Low nitrate; Mann, Mannitol; MM, Solanum lycopersicum (cv. Moneymaker); PI, Solanum pimpinellifolium; RIL, Recombinant Inbred Line; SS, Seed size; SW, Seed weight; Th-D, Thermo-dormancy; Th-I, Thermo-inhibition; Th-T, Thermo-tolerance.

Note: H², Broad-sense heritability (%); t₅₀⁻¹, t₁₀⁻¹, Reciprocal of time to respectively reach 50 and 10% of maximum germination; U₈₄₁₆⁻¹, Reciprocal of time between 16 and 84% of maximum germination.

3.2 Genotype ranking and its stability over different nutritional maternal environments

In order to investigate how consistent the phenotypic rankings of the RILs are between the MEs and how large the effect is of the interaction between the genotype and the environment (G × E), the Spearman rank correlation coefficient (Oury et al., 2006) between two suboptimal nutritional MEs was calculated (Table 3, Tables S5 and S6). For phenotypic traits, such as SS and SW, rankings of the genotypes

has most negative alleles. In a few cases, such as Gmax, water in both nutritional environments, substantial transgression was observed, due to poorly germinating RILs (Figure 1; Table 2).

Broad sense heritability ($H^2$) calculated for each trait in both maturation environments was high for most of the traits (with most traits >80% in both environments; ranking from 49 to 91% in HP and 54 to 93% in LN) (Table 2). Taken together this shows that substantial genetic variation exists for these seed traits interacting with the germination as well as the ME.
were stable from one ME to another and, thus, Spearman rank correlation values were also high for these traits, which suggests a relatively moderate effect of maternal G × E on seed size and seed weight.

3.3 | Germination environments versus maternal environments

By germinating the tomato seeds in optimal (water) and suboptimal conditions, such as salt-stress (NaCl), osmotic-stress (Mannitol) and HT stress (35°C), the seed germination traits were affected by their ME, their GE, and their interaction (ME × GE) (Table 4). In comparison to the optimal GE, seed germination traits showed higher variability in suboptimal GE in both MEs (Table 2). For instance, CVs for Gmax and AUC in water were 12% and 17%, respectively, while they showed significantly higher values in salt- (33 and 60% respectively), osmotic- (31 and 56% respectively) and HT- (35 and 44% respectively) stress (Table 2, Table S4). We observed the same trend for t50⁻¹ and t10⁻¹ albeit to a lesser extent. U₈₄₁₆⁻¹ showed a pattern which was different from other germination traits, where optimal and suboptimal GE show more similar CVs. Taken together, the ME affected seed germination traits less than GE. Although ME did not change the germination traits under optimal GE, it caused a small but significant difference under suboptimal GEs. For example Gmax exhibited similar CVs under optimal GE in both MEs (HP and LN) whilst under suboptimal conditions they displayed a slight difference in CV (Table 2, Table S4).

3.4 | Trait by trait correlation

To obtain a comprehensive visualization of possible correlations among the phenotypic traits, a correlation network has been generated for each ME (Figure 4). In general, the mean value of all phenotypic traits showed a positive significant correlation between the two suboptimal nutrient environments (HP and LN) (Table S7). Nevertheless, some differences in trait by trait correlation networks between two environments were observed. Some correlations perceived under HP (Figure 4a) were amplified by the LN condition (Figure 4b). For instance, the positive correlations between seed traits (such as, seed size and weight) and seedling quality characteristics (such as, fresh and dry weight of shoot and root) are stronger under the LN conditions.
condition. In addition, seed and seedling quality traits showed negative association with seed germination traits including Gmax, AUC and U8416−1, especially in the HT GE, which became visible at the LN condition (Figure 4, Table S8). On the other hand, in both correlation networks, thermo-dormancy (Th-D) was negatively correlated with most of the germination traits, including Gmax, AUC and t50−1 under different GEs (such as water, NaCl and HT). However, they were much more correlated under the high-phosphate than the low-nitrate condition (Figure 4, Table S8).

### 3.5 | QTL identification for each trait

To determine the large effect loci regulating seed, seedling and seed performance traits, QTL analysis of the tomato RIL population was performed. Concerning all traits, with the exception of chromosomes 2, 3, 5 and 12, all chromosomes contain QTLs of which many are co-located (Figure 5, Table S9). We found 16 QTLs affecting Gmax under optimal and sub-optimal GEs of which six were detected in seeds of HP and 10 in LN maternal conditions. For AUC in all GEs, 13 QTLs were found of which nine were co-locating with the ones affecting Gmax on chromosomes 1, 4, 5, 10 and 11. With the exception of two QTLs on chromosome 6 and 10 discovered for the HP environment, all other QTLs regulating AUC were associated with the LN maternal condition. The result showed that t10−1 and t50−1 in all GEs and both MEs are regulated by almost the same QTLs which is not surprising as they are highly correlated traits. In total 18 QTLs were detected for t10−1 and t50−1 on chromosomes 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 11 which are also largely related to the LN ME (Figure 5, Table S9).

For SS and SW, three and four QTLs were found respectively. The co-locating QTLs for these two seed traits for the HP ME were detected on chromosome 1. A co-located QTL was also found for seedling quality in the same ME. Furthermore, another QTL related to seedling quality on chromosome 9 is co-locating with seed traits such as SW.

There is a strong QTL on chromosome 1 regulating thermo-dormancy traits in both MEs. This QTL affects both Th-T and Th-I traits in the same direction, while antagonistically regulating Th-D (Figure 5, Table S9). This QTL is co-locating with seed germination traits, such as t50−1 and U8416−1 under HT germination conditions.

For seed germination traits under salt and mannitol germination conditions a co-located QTL is found on chromosome 7. This might be related to the fact that both salt and mannitol cause osmotic stress for seeds and thus seed germination could be regulated by similar

### Table 3

| Traits                        | Spearman rank correlation |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Maximum seed germination (Gmax) | 0.57                       |
| Germination rate (t50−1)       | 0.73                       |
| Area under the germination curve (AUC) | 0.64                    |
| Uniformity (U8416−1)          | 0.52                       |
| Seed size (SS)                | 0.77                       |
| Seed weight (SW)              | 0.80                       |
| Fresh weight of shoot (FWSH)  | 0.78                       |
| Fresh weight of root (FWR)    | 0.66                       |
| Thermo-dormancy (Th-D)        | 0.64                       |

*Reciprocal of time to reach 50% of maximum seed germination.  
*Reciprocal of time between 16% and 84% of maximum seed germination.
mechanisms. On the other hand, we have also identified QTLs on chromosome 8 which are present in the LN ME only. Also, on chromosome 11, a QTL was detected for seed germination in both maternal environmental conditions, which was stronger when maternal plants were cultivated in LN conditions. These QTLs might have been detected as a consequence of genotype by environment interactions (G × E).

3.6 | QTL by environment

Generally, when different environments are studied simultaneously, detected QTLs can be affected by several environments. The QTL by Environment interaction (QTL × E) can describe such effects. In this study seeds were grown under two MEs, HP and LN and germinated in optimal (water) and three suboptimal conditions: osmotic (NaCl and mannitol) and HT stress. Therefore, in each seed germination trait the environmental component of QTL × E can be explained by either the ME or the GE and their interaction (ME × GE). We identified the QTLs affected by the environments and also decomposed the environmental effect into the different environmental components; GE, ME and their interaction (Figure 6). Figure 6a shows the QTLs regulating the seed germination traits independently from the environments. Those QTLs were detected through all the maternal and GEs. With the exception of chromosomes 5, 9 and 10, the rest of the chromosomes displayed several QTLs strongly regulating seed germination traits including $G_{\text{max}}$, $t_{50}^{-1}$, AUC and $U_{8416}^{-1}$. As an example, the QTL at the bottom of chromosome 6 significantly affected $G_{\text{max}}$, $t_{50}^{-1}$ and AUC regardless of the different environments under which seeds had developed or were germinated (Figures 6 and 7, Figure S2). On the other hand, some of the QTLs regulating seed germination traits are significantly influenced by the environment. For example the QTL located near the top of chromosome 2, which regulates AUC, was significantly affected by GE and to a lesser extent by ME (Figures 6 and 7, Figure S2). We have observed that GE showed generally more effects on QTLs than the ME. This result is in accordance with the observed variance between ME and GE in which seed germination traits showed higher variance in different GEs in comparison with different MEs. GE affects QTLs related to $t_{50}^{-1}$ and $t_{50}^{-1}$, located on chromosomes 3, 6 and 11. Some QTLs affecting $U_{8416}^{-1}$ on chromosomes 8 and 11 were also affected by the GE (Figure 6, Figure S2). In comparison with GE, ME showed a less pronounced effect on the QTLs. Although the detected QTLs were sometimes affected by either maternal or GEs, we only found a suggestive interaction of a QTL, GE and ME (Figure 6, Figure S3). Comparing the QTLs found in the stressfull MEs, HP and LN, to QTLs found in control conditions from Kazmi et al. (2012) (Figure 7) shows that the majority of QLTs is ME specific. The QTLs are often shared between GE yet many QTLs occur only in specific combinations of maternal and GE.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study we have used the genetic variation in a tomato RIL population to study how the genotype, ME and GE, including their interactions affects seed- and seedling- quality traits. A tomato RIL population was
grown in two different MEs with suboptimal nutritional conditions, low nitrogen and HP. The seed produced in these environments were used to study the effect of genetic variation and variation in the ME on seed quality and seedling establishment related traits. Nitrogen and phosphorus are two key elements required for plant growth (Schachtman, Reid, & Ayling, 1998; Urbanczyk-Wochniak & Fernie, 2004). Hence, their non-optimal concentrations in mother plants may seriously affect the produced seed and the seedlings from those seeds. Moreover, the effect of the GE on the seedling establishment was further studied by observing these traits in four different GEs.

### 4.1 How are seed and seedling traits correlated?

Breeders and producers often are interested in seed traits such as $t_{50}^{-1}$ and seedling traits such as ability to produce normal and healthy seedlings. Furthermore, traits such as germination percentage and uniformity of germination, may also pose an important focus for breeders. The AUC (combining germination rate $[t_{50}]$ and percentage $[G_{max}]$) will determine how fast seeds will germinate to a certain level, which directly affects further establishment of seedlings. On the other hand, seedling properties such as shoot and root weight determine how fast seedlings can penetrate the soil and start nutrient uptake and how fast the above ground tissues develop to provide required assimilates through photosynthesis. All together these factors determine seed and seedling vigour. Correlation of seed traits (SS and SW) with seed performance (rate of seed germination and uniformity) and with seedling traits have been studied before. Many studies have implied a direct relation between SS and SW and better seedling growth (Doganlar, Frary, & Tanksley, 2000; Khan et al., 2012; Nieuwhof, Garretsen, & Oeveren, 1989). This can be due to the amounts of reserve food which are deposited in seeds during seed development and maturation. Bigger tomato seeds produce seedlings with higher

### FIGURE 5

Genomic location of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) detected for seed, seedling and seed performance traits. The green and red thick lines next to the traits represent the maternal environment: LN and HP, respectively. Chro, Chromosome number; DWR, Dry weight of root; FWR, Fresh weight of root; FWSH, Fresh weight of shoot; DWSH, Dry weight of shoot; SW, Seed weight; SS, Seed size; Th-D, Thermodynamics; Th-I, Thermo-inhibition; Th-T, Thermo-tolerance; AUC, Area under the germination curve; $t_{10}^{-1}$ and $t_{50}^{-1}$, Reciprocal of time to respectively reach 10 and 50% of maximum germination; $U_{8416}^{-1}$, Reciprocal of time between 16 and 84% of maximum germination; $G_{max}$, Maximum seed germination percentage; HT, High temperature; Mann, Mannitil. The LOD score scale indicates the significant QTLs. Positive (blue) and negative (red) values represent a larger effect of *Solanum lycopersicum* (cv. Moneymaker) and *Solanum pimpinellifolium* alleles, respectively [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
weight (Geshnizjani et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2012; Nieuwhof et al., 1989). Our results confirm the relation of SS and SW with seedling quality and establishment. In both suboptimal nutritional maternal conditions SS and SW were significantly influencing seedling quality traits. However, this correlation was most obvious in the LN nutritional condition. Such a strong correlation between seed and seedling traits suggests a similar genetic architecture, whereas the environment can partially affect such relations. In the former study in which the same RIL population was grown in standard conditions, similar correlations have been found between seed and seedling size. However, there was no obvious correlation between SS and seed germination traits (Khan et al., 2012). This contradicts our findings in which significant negative correlations were found between SS and seed performance traits such as $G_{\text{max}}$ and $t_{50}^{-1}$. The negative correlation that we found between SS and seed performance has been reported previously in tomato. The inheritance of germination time factors (e.g. $t_{50}^{-1}$) was negatively correlated with SS, implying that smaller seeds take longer to germinate (Whittington, 1973). We also have found co-located QTLs for SS and seed performance traits such as $G_{\text{max}}$ and $t_{50}^{-1}$ on chromosome 11 which antagonistically affected the traits under study. Such co-locating QTLs might be an indication for the same regulatory mechanism for these traits.

4.2 | Breeding of crops

In general, a breeding strategy is highly dependent on genotype by environment interactions and the heritability level. Detection of a high correlation between the performance of genotypes in the different

**FIGURE 6** Profiles of the QTLs regulating the seed germination traits. (a), QTLs detected in all maternal and germination environments; (b), QTLs with significant effect of germination environment (GE); (c), QTLs with significant effect of maternal environment (ME); (d), QTLs with significant effect of GE $\times$ ME; $G_{\text{max}}$, Maximum seed germination percentage (in red); $t_{50}^{-1}$, Reciprocal of time to reach 50% of maximum germination (in purple); AUC, Area under the germination curve (in gray); $U_{8416}^{-1}$, Reciprocal of time between 16 and 84% of maximum germination (in green). QTL, quantitative trait loci [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
MEs may simplify the breeding strategy as it is then not required to select different genotypes for implementation into a breeding program. It has been mentioned previously that genotype re-ranking per trait in different environments is an indication of genotype by environment interaction (G × E) (Oury et al., 2006). Considering this, good breeding traits are the ones with the lower G × E effects. The results of the Spearman correlation analysis show that genotype re-ranking for most of the studied traits did not occur, therefore traits were limited affected by G × E (Table 5). According to the results we would expect a successful breeding process of the traits such as SS, SW, t50−1 as well as seedling traits such as FWSH due to their high correlation value. In contrast, breeding for traits like U8416−1 with a low correlation value would encounter difficulties because of the feasible influence of the G × E interaction. Furthermore, the genotype ranking per trait demonstrated that from the first 10 genotypes per trait some are consistent between two MEs, which is dependent on the trait.

**FIGURE 7** Comparison between the QTLs found in the sub-optimal maternal conditions in this study and the QTLs found in the control maternal conditions from Kazmi et al., 2012. Chromosomes are indicated on top. Maternal conditions are shown on the right and indicated by colors (control conditions in black, HP in yellow and LN in purple), phenotypes are shown on the left. Germination environments are shown on the y-axis and the position on the genome on the x-axis (in Mbp). Triangle pointed upwards means the MM allele increased the phenotype compared to the Pimp allele and vice versa for the triangle pointed downwards. QTL, quantitative trait loci

[Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
The consistent genotypes are highlighted.

6, predominantly regulating the t50 conditions only (Kazmi et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2012) (Figure 7). For reported previously for the same population, but under standard environment. Many of the identified QTLs in this study have been subsequently, more genes are involved in plant adaptation to a LN characteristics. In this, we have discovered more QTLs with high explained variance at LN ME as compared to HP (Figures 5 and 7).

Such a result could indicate that more physiological mechanisms and, explained variance at LN ME as compared to HP (Figures 5 and 7).

4.3 | QTL and QTL × E detection

In general, QTL detection depends on several factors such as trait heritability, population type, number of lines and genetic map quality (Mackay, 2001; Mackay, Stone, & Ayroles, 2009). Controlled growth conditions of the plants together with controlled conditions of performed experiments resulted in identification of traits with high heritability values in our study. Substantial variation found between the parental lines and the 100 RILs provided us with a powerful tool for analysing the genetical background of traits by QTL analysis. QTL analysis ultimately resulted in identification of several interesting QTLs, regulating seed and seed performance traits, as well as seedling characteristics. In this, we have discovered more QTLs with high explained variance at LN ME as compared to HP (Figures 5 and 7).

Such a result could indicate that more physiological mechanisms and, subsequently, more genes are involved in plant adaptation to a LN environment. Many of the identified QTLs in this study have been reported previously for the same population, but under standard conditions only (Kazmi et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2012) (Figure 7). For example the QTL that we found at the end of chromosome 6, predominantly regulating the t50−1 trait in both MEs, was also detected in the standard condition. In addition, we have identified more environment-specific QTLs which were detected exclusively in one of the environments. These QTLs are more interesting from scientific point of view, however, QTLs detected in all different environments which may be considered as robust QTLs are the most interesting ones for further analysis for breeders and producers. These stable QTLs could regulate the traits independent from the growth environment. Further analysis, such as fine mapping, would ultimately result in identification of gene(s) regulating the analysed traits. As an example, many studies carried out so far to identify the genetic loci regulating SW in tomato have resulted in the identification of several QTLs (Doganlar et al., 2000; Grandillo & Tanksley, 1996; Khan et al., 2012; Tanksley, Medina-Filho, & Rick, 1982; Weller, Soller, & Brody, 1988). An interesting QTL which is common in different reports, and for which the causal gene has been identified, is present on chromosome 4 (Khan et al., 2012; Orsi & Tanksley, 2009). A co-locating QTL also appeared in our population grown under LN nutritional condition. Under HP nutritional condition the QTL was just below threshold (Figure 5).

Studies of the interactions of QTL by environment have been carried out previously in different crops including tomato and rice taking a relatively simple strategy (Lu et al., 1997; Paterson et al., 1991). Plants were grown in different environments, QTL analysis was performed for individual environments and finally the results obtained from the different environments were compared with each other. In this study we also report the interactions between the QTLs, the nutritional environment, and the GE. We used a more complex strategy which has been applied previously for other species and/or environments (Des Marais et al., 2013; Snoek et al., 2015; van Eeuwijk, Bink, Chenu, & Chapman, 2010). In this method QTLs are directly studied in several environments. Although there is considerable overlap between the simple and more complex strategies, the second method enhances the statistical analysis resulting in higher LOD values and higher chances of finding significant QTLs (Tétard-Jones, Kertesz, & Preziosi, 2011). According to our results (Figure 6) we have detected some QTLs with significant QTL × E. The interaction between QTLs and environment are mostly applied by GEs, which indicates that most QTLs are regulating the tomato seed germination traits independently from the MEs. Therefore, we conclude that in comparison with the nutritional ME, the GE must be considered as the more important factor for seed performance in tomato. Nevertheless, also some QTLs show interaction with the ME and even some suggestive QTLs in which the interaction between the ME and GE could play a role.

**TABLE 5** The 10 genotypes with the highest value per trait within two nutritional maternal environments [Colour table can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

|   | Gmax | t50−1 | AUC | U8416−1 | SS | SW |
|---|------|-------|-----|---------|----|----|
| HP | 245  | 225   | 207 | 289     | 207| 291|
| LN | 207  | 250   | 219 | 215     | 219| 215|
| HP | 250  | 250   | 215 | 207     | 219| 215|
| LN | 212  | 250   | 222 | 235     | 222| 235|
| HP | 265  | 276   | 227 | 237     | 227| 237|
| LN | 237  | 276   | 227 | 237     | 227| 237|
| HP | 225  | 241   | 232 | 211     | 232| 211|
| LN | 211  | 225   | 222 | 235     | 222| 235|
| HP | 245  | 245   | 227 | 258     | 227| 258|
| LN | 227  | 276   | 237 | 237     | 237| 237|
| HP | 285  | 291   | 231 | 263     | 231| 263|
| LN | 263  | 291   | 231 | 263     | 231| 263|

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the germination curve; Gmax, maximum seed germination; HP, High Phosphate; LN, Low Nitrate; SS, Seed size; SW, Seed weight.

Note: t50−1, Reciprocal of time to reach 50% of maximum seed germination; U8416−1, Reciprocal of time between 16% and 84% of maximum germination. The consistent genotypes are highlighted.
Taken together, our results provide the genetic architecture of the effects of the ME on seed and seedling traits. These results could be further implemented in tomato breeding programs. We also suggest fine mapping of detected QTLs to narrow down the quantitative genetic loci and ultimately identify the causal gene(s). These can be the start to investigate more in-depth details of the molecular regulation of seed germination performance under different maternal and GEs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
This work was supported by Technology Foundation (STW), which is part of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) (L.W., J.R., H.N., W.L.).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
Henk W. M. Hilhorst and Wilco Ligterink wrote the paper with help from all co-authors.

REFERENCES
Alonso-Blanco, C., Aarts, M. G., Bentsink, L., Keurentjes, J. J., Reymond, M., Vreugdenhil, D., & Koornneef, M. (2009). What has natural variation taught us about plant development, physiology, and adaptation? The Plant Cell, 21, 1877–1896.

Argyris, J., Dahal, P., Hayashi, E., Still, D. W., & Bradford, K. J. (2008). Genetic variation for lettuce seed thermoinhibition is associated with temperature-sensitive expression of abscisic acid, gibberellin, and ethylene biosynthesis, metabolism, and response genes. Plant Physiology, 148, 926–947.

Asins, M., Raga, V., Roca, D., Belver, A., & Carbonell, E. (2015). Genetic dissection of tomato rootstock effects on scion traits under moderate salinity. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 128, 667–679.

Becker, H., & Leon, J. (1988). Stability analysis in plant breeding. Plant Breeding, 101, 1–23.

Bewley, J. D., Bradford, K., & Hilhorst, H. (2012). Seeds: Physiology of development, germination and dormancy. New York, NY: Springer Science & Business Media.

Broman, K. W., Wu, H., Sen, Š., & Churchill, G. A. (2003). R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. Bioinformatics, 19, 889–890.

Dechaume, J. M., Gardner, G., & Weinig, C. (2009). Phytochromes differentially regulate seed germination responses to light quality and temperature cues during seed maturation. Plant, Cell & Environment, 32, 1297–1309.

Des Marais, D. L., Hernandez, K. M., & Juenger, T. E. (2013). Genotype-by-environment interaction and plasticity: Exploring genic responses of plants to the abiotic environment. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 44, 5–29.

Doebely, J. F., Gaut, B. S., & Smith, B. D. (2006). The molecular genetics of crop domestication. Cell, 127, 1309–1321.

Doerge, R. W., & Churchill, G. A. (1996). Permutation tests for multiple loci affecting a quantitative character. Genetics, 142, 285–294.

Dogranlar, S., Frary, A., & Tanksley, S. (2000). The genetic basis of seed weight variation: Tomato as a model system. TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 100, 1267–1273.

El-Soda, M., Malosetti, M., Zwaan, B. J., Koornneef, M., & Aarts, M. G. (2014). Genotypetype environment interaction QTL mapping in plants: Lessons from Arabidopsis. Trends in Plant Science, 19, 390–398.

Elwell, A. L., Gronwall, D. S., Miller, N. D., Spalding, E. P., & Durham Brooks, T. L. (2011). Separating parental environment from seed size effects on next generation growth and development in Arabidopsis. Plant, Cell & Environment, 34, 291–301.

Fenner, M. (1991). The effects of the parent environment on seed germination. Seed Science Research, 1, 75–84.

Finch-Savage, W. (1995) Influence of seed quality on crop establishment, growth and yield. Seed quality: Basic mechanisms and agricultural implications 361-384.

Foolad, M. (2004). Recent advances in genetics of salt tolerance in tomato. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture, 76, 101–119.

Foolad, M., & Lin, G. (1997). Genetic potential for salt tolerance during germination in Lycopersicon species. Hortsience, 32, 296–300.

Foolad, M., & Lin, G. (1998). Genetic analysis of low-temperature tolerance during germination in tomato, Lycopersicon esculentum mill. Plant Breeding, 117, 171–176.

Foolad, M., Zhang, L., & Subbiah, P. (2003). Genetics of drought tolerance during seed germination in tomato: Inheritance and QTL mapping. Genome, 46, 536–545.

Foolad, M. R., Subbiah, P., & Zhang, L. (2008). Common QTL affect the rate of tomato seed germination under different stress and nonstress conditions. International Journal of Plant Genomics, 2007, 1–10.

Geshnizjani, N., Ghaderi-Far, F., Willems, L. A. J., Hilhorst, H. W. M., & Ligterink, W. (2018). Characterization of and genetic variation for tomato seed thermo-inhibition and thermo-dormancy. BMC Plant Biology, 18, 229. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1455-6

Geshnizjani, N., Sarikhani Khorami, S., Willems, L. A. J., Snoek, B. L., Hilhorst, H. W. M., & Ligterink, W. (2019). The interaction between genotype and maternal nutritional environments affects tomato seed and seedling quality. Journal of Experimental Botany, 70, 2905–2918. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz101

Giovannoni, J. (2001). Molecular biology of fruit maturation and ripening. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 52, 725–749.

Grandillo, S., & Tanksley, S. (1996). QTL analysis of horticultural traits differentiating the cultivated tomato from the closely related species Lycopersicon pennellifolium. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 92, 935–951.

He, H., de Souza Vidigal, D., Snoek, L. B., Schnabel, S., Nijveen, H., Hilhorst, H., & Bentsink, L. (2014). Interaction between parental environment and genotype affects plant and seed performance in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany, 65, 6603–6615. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru378

Heuvelink, E. (2018). Tomatoes: Crop production sciences in horticulture [Vol. 13] Wallingford: CAB

Hilhorst, H. W. (1995). A critical update on seed dormancy. I. Primary dormancy. Seed Science Research, 5, 61–73.

Hilhorst, H. W., Finch-Savage, W. E., Buitink, J., Bolingue, W., & Leubner-Metzger, G. (2010). Dormancy in plant seeds. In Dormancy and Resistance in Harsh Environments (pp. 43–67). Springer.

Hilhorst, H. W., & Koornneef, M. (2007) Dormancy in plants. eLS.

Hilhorst, H. W. & Toorop, P. E. (1997) Review on dormancy, germinability, and germination in crop and weed seeds. In D. L. Sparks (Eds.), Advances in Agronomy (pp. 111–165). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Holdsworth, M. J., Bentsink, L., & Soppe, W. J. (2008). Molecular networks regulating Arabidopsis seed maturation, after-ripening, dormancy and germination. New Phytologist, 179, 33–54.
Huo, H., & Bradford, K. J. (2015). Molecular and hormonal regulation of thermoinhibition of seed germination. In Advances in Plant Dormancy (pp. 3–33). Springer.

Huo, H., Dahal, P., Kunusoth, K., McCallum, C. M., & Bradford, K. J. (2013). Expression of 9-cis-EPOXYCAROTENOID DIOXYGENASE4 is essential for thermoinhibition of lettuce seed germination but not for seed development or stress tolerance. The Plant Cell, 25, 884–900.

Joosen, R. V., Kodde, J., Willems, L. A., Ligterink, W., van der Plas, L. H., & Hilhorst, H. W. (2010). Germinator: A software package for high-throughput scoring and curve fitting of Arabidopsis seed germination. The Plant Journal, 62, 148–159.

Joosen, R. V. L., Arends, D., Willems, L. A. J., Ligterink, W., Jansen, R. C., & Hilhorst, H. W. (2012). Visualizing the genetic landscape of Arabidopsis seed performance. Plant Physiology, 158, 570–589.

Kazmi, R. H., Khan, N., Willems, L. A., Van Heusden, A. W., Ligterink, W., & Hilhorst, H. W. (2012). Complex genetics controls natural variation among seed quality phenotypes in a recombinant inbred population of an interspecific cross between Solanum lycopersicum × Solanum pimpinellifolium. Plant, Cell & Environment, 35, 929–951.

Khan, N., Kazmi, R. H., Willems, L. A., Van Heusden, A. W., Ligterink, W., & Hilhorst, H. W. (2012). Exploring the natural variation for seedling traits and their link with seed dimensions in tomato. PLoS One, 7, e43991.

Koomneef, M., Bentsink, L., & Hilhorst, H. (2002). Seed dormancy and germination. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 5, 33–36.

Lafta, A., & Mou, B. (2013). Evaluation of lettuce genotypes for seed thermotolerance. HortsScience, 48, 708–714.

Lippman, Z. B., Semel, Y., & Zamir, D. (2007). An integrated view of quantitative trait variation using tomato interspecific introgression lines. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, 17, 545–552.

Lu, C., Shen, L., He, P., Chen, Y., Zhu, L., Tan, Z., & Xu, Y. (1997). Comparative mapping of QTLs for agronomic traits of rice across environments by using a doubled-haploid population. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 94, 145–150.

Mackay, T. F. (2001). The genetic architecture of quantitative traits. Annual Review of Genetics, 35, 303–339.

Mackay, T. F., Stone, E. A., & Ayroles, J. F. (2009). The genetics of quantitative traits: Challenges and prospects. Nature Reviews. Genetics, 10, 565–577.

Malosetti, M., Voltas, J., Romagosa, I., Ullrich, S., & Van Eeuwijk, F. (2004). Mixed models including environmental covariates for studying QTL by environment interaction. Euphytica, 137, 129–145.

Mathews, K. L., Malosetti, M., Chapman, S., McIntyre, L., Reynolds, M., Shorter, R., & van Eeuwijk, F. (2008). Multi-environment QTL mixed models for drought stress adaptation in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 117, 1077–1091. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-008-0846-8

McCouch, S. (2004). Diversifying selection in plant breeding. PLoS Biology, 2, e347.

McDonald, M. B. (1998). Seed quality assessment. Seed Science Research, 8, 265–276.

Moreau, L., Charcosset, A., & Gallais, A. (2004). Use of trial clustering to study QTL× environment effects for grain yield and related traits in maize. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 110, 92–105.

Nascimento, W., Huber, D., & Cantille, D. (2013). Carrot seed germination and respiration at high temperature in response to seed maturity and priming. Seed Science and Technology, 41, 164–169.

Nieuwhof, M., Garretsen, F., & Oeveren, J. (1989). Maternal and genetic effects on seed weight of tomato, and effects of seed weight on growth of genotypes of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum mill.). Plant Breeding, 102, 248–254.

Orsi, C. H., & Tanksley, S. D. (2009). Natural variation in an ABC transporter gene associated with seed size evolution in tomato species. PLoS Genetics, 5, e1000347.

Oury, F.-X., Leenhardt, F., Remesy, C., Chanlaud, E., Duperrier, B., Balfourier, F., & Charmet, G. (2006). Genetic variability and stability of grain magnesium, zinc and iron concentrations in bread wheat. European Journal of Agronomy, 25, 177–185.

Paterson, A. H., Damon, S., Hewitt, J. D., Zamir, D., Rabinowitch, H. D., Lincoln, S. E., ... Tanksley, S. D. (1991). Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits in tomato: Comparison across species, generations, and environments. Genetics, 127, 181–197.

Postma, F. M., & Agren, J. (2015). Maternal environment affects the genetic basis of seed dormancy in Arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular Ecology, 24, 785–797. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13061

Schachtman, D. P., Reid, R. J., & Aylings, S. M. (1998). Phosphorus uptake by plants: From soil to cell. Plant Physiology, 116, 447–453.

Schauer, N., Semel, Y., Roessner, U., Gur, A., Balbo, I., Carrari, F., ... Kopka, J. (2006). Comprehensive metabolic profiling and phenotyping of interspecific introgression lines for tomato improvement. Nature Biotechnology, 24, 447–454.

Snoek, T., Picca Nicolino, M., Van den Bremt, S., Mertens, S., Saels, V., Verplaetse, A., ... Verstrepen, K. J. (2015). Large-scale robot-assisted genome shuffling yields industrial Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeasts with increased ethanol tolerance. Biotechnology for Biofuels, 8, 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-015-0216-0

Tankely, S. D., Medina-Filho, H., & Rick, C. M. (1982). Use of naturally-occurring enzyme variation to detect and map genes controlling quantitative traits in an interspecific backcross of tomato. Heredity, 49, 11–25.

Tétard-Jones, C., Kertesz, M., & Preziiosi, R. (2011). Quantitative trait loci mapping of phenotypic plasticity and genotype–environment interactions in plant and insect performance. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 366, 1368–1379.

Toh, S., Imamura, A., Watanabe, A., Nakabayashi, K., Okamoto, M., Jikumaru, Y., ... Tamura, N. (2008). High temperature-induced abscisic acid biosynthesis and its role in the inhibition of gibberellin action in Arabidopsis seeds. Plant Physiology, 146, 1368–1385.

Urbanczyk-Wojniak, E., & Fernie, A. R. (2004). Metabolic profiling reveals altered nitrogen nutrient regimes have diverse effects on the metabolism of hydronically-grown tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) plants. Journal of Experimental Botany, 56, 309–321.

van Eeuwijk, F. A., Bink, M. C., Chenu, K., & Chapman, S. C. (2010). Detection and use of QTL for complex traits in multiple environments. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 13, 193–205.

Van Eeuwijk, F. A., Malosetti, M., & Boer, M. P. (2007). Modelling the genetic basis of response curves underlying genotype × environment interaction. Frontiers, 21, 113–124.

Van Ooijen, J. W. & Voorrips, R. E. (2001). JoinMap® 3.0, Software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps (pp. 1–51) Wageningen, the Netherlands: Plant Research International.

Voorrips, R. E., Verkerke, W., Finkers, R., Jongerius, R., & Kanne, J. (2000). Inheritance of taste components in tomato. Acta Physiologica Plantarum, 22, 259–261.

Weller, J., Soller, M., & Brody, T. (1988). Linkage analysis of quantitative traits in an interspecific cross of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum × Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium) by means of genetic markers. Genetics, 118, 329–339.

Whittington, W. (1973). Genetic regulation of germination. In Seed ecology (pp. 5–30). London, England: Butterworth.

Wickham, H. (2010). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Journal of Statistical Software, 35, 65–88.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Geshnizjani N, Snoek BL, Willems LAJ, et al. Detection of QTLs for genotype × environment interactions in tomato seeds and seedlings. Plant Cell Environ. 2020;43:1973–1988. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13788