GemTools: a fast and efficient approach to estimating genetic ancestry
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Abstract

Motivation:
To uncover the genetic basis of complex disease, individuals are often measured at a large number of genetic variants (usually SNPs) across the genome. GemTools provides computationally efficient tools for modeling genetic ancestry based on SNP genotypes. The main algorithm creates an eigenmap based on genetic similarities, and then clusters subjects based on their map position. This process is continued iteratively until each cluster is relatively homogeneous. For genetic association studies, GemTools matches cases and controls based on genetic similarity.

Availability:
GemTools source code, documentation, and additional examples are available at \url{http://wpicr.wpic.pitt.edu/WPICCompGen/}
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1 Introduction

Genetic variants differ in allele frequency by populations, creating stratification due to differential ancestry. As a consequence, case-control studies are susceptible to spurious associations between genetic variants and disease status (Lander and Schork, 1994). A large panel of genetic markers can be used to construct an eigenmap, which encodes the ancestry via the relative genetic similarities within the sample. Given ancestry coordinates, the effects of population stratification can be controlled by matching cases and controls (Luca et al., 2008) or regressing out ancestry (Price et al., 2006).

In GemTools, eigenmaps are constructed using a spectral clustering techniques (Lee et al., 2010), an approach that is closely related to principal component analysis (Price et al., 2006). The first computational challenge involves calculation of the inner product matrix $XX^T$, where $X$ is an $n \times m$ matrix, indexed by $n$ subjects and $m$ genetic markers. Next, we require the spectral decomposition of an $n \times n$ matrix. Often the data include tens of thousands of subjects and markers making these computations both slow and memory intensive. To circumvent these challenges we use a divide and conquer approach that works by clustering individuals of like ancestry and then finding eigenmaps for each cluster. In addition to computational efficiency, we find that focusing on fine scale structure within clusters facilitates matching of cases and controls of similar ancestry. Thus our approach is faster, more informative, and more accurate than a brute force computational treatment involving the calculation of a single eigenmap of the whole dataset (Crossett et al., 2010).

2 Description

The main function of GemTools, dacGem, exploits two features of the problem. First, for most applications, the eigenmap is not of inherent interest; the end goal is to cluster subjects of like ancestry. Indeed, if the sample is drawn from subjects of highly disparate ancestry, an eigenmap of the entire sample will be high dimensional, making it difficult to match, on a fine-scale, subjects of similar ancestry (Crossett et al., 2010). Alternatively, if the sample is recursively partitioned into subsets of similar ancestry, then a low dimensional local eigenmap can be estimated for each cluster to determine fine scale structure. Based on a local eigenmap, the cases and controls can be can be matched much more reliably within each cluster. Second, to produce these clusters in a computationally efficient way, it is not necessary to compute the eigenmap using the full sample of $n$ subjects. First we select a base sample of $N < n$ representative subjects. Using this fraction of the data, we construct an eigenmap. Applying Ward’s algorithm, we cluster the base subjects into subsets with relatively similar ancestry. Using the Nystrom approximation (Crossett et al., 2010) we project the remainder of the sample onto the map. Finally, each non-base subject is incorporated into the cluster of its nearest base neighbor. This process is subsequently repeated within each
cluster until the resulting subclusters are small and homogeneous. With this divide and conquer approach we restrict the most intensive calculations to the base sample and hence the time and memory required are greatly reduced. At the same time, as the algorithm progresses to smaller and smaller clusters, all of the subjects within a cluster can be considered as part of the base sample without incurring any substantial computational cost, and the Nystrom approximation is no longer necessary.

Our approach builds on the Spectral-GEM algorithm ([Lee et al. 2010] [Crossett et al. 2010]), which provides a context for constructing an eigenmap and subsequent clustering of relatively homogeneous subjects. This algorithm determines the number of significant dimensions $D$ required for each eigenmap. A genetically homogeneous cluster is defined as one for which the spectral decomposition includes no significant eigen-values ([Patterson et al. 2006]).

The dacGem Algorithm proceeds as follows:

1. Randomly select $N$ subjects and mark them.
2. Construct a $D$–dimensional eigen-map of the marked subjects.
3. Based on the eigen-map, form homogeneous clusters of subjects.
4. Project unmarked subjects onto the eigen-map.
5. Group unmarked subjects with nearest cluster in the eigen-map.

Repeat steps 1-5 for each cluster consisting of $B$ or more subjects, until all of the clusters have less than $B$ members.

The GemTools functions are implemented in R and can be run in a variety of operating systems, including Windows, Linux and MacOS. Run time is linear in the number of markers ($m$) and sub-quadratic in subjects ($n$). GemTools provides a computationally efficient alternative to Eigenstrat ([Patterson et al. 2006]).

3 Example

Although designed for large data sets, to illustrate GemTools in detail we used a small sample of publicly available data from the Human Genome Diversity Project ([Rosenberg et al. 2002]). Specifically, we focus on 226 individuals from two continents (Africa and Europe) with 4 and 7 ethnicities representing each continent, respectively. The African ethnicities are Biaka Pygmies, Mandenka, Mbuti Pygmies, and Yorubans. Ethnicities representing Europe are Adygei, French, French Basques, Orcadians, Italians, Russians, and Sardinians. From the genome wide platform, and for illustrative purposes, we selected only 1,167 nearly independent SNPs with minor allele
Figure 1: Iterative clustering using dacGem on the Human Genome Diversity Project data. The size of each ball is proportional to the number of subjects in the cluster. In the first split, using 1 significant dimension ($D = 1$), the sample is split into 2 clusters by continent. Using $D = 2$ dimensions the European cluster (purple) is split into 3 clusters (primarily Sardinians, French Basques, and all others). In the next stage, the mixed group is further split into 3 clusters (primarily Adygei, Russian and a mix of the remaining groups). Using $D = 3$ dimensions the African cluster (blue) is split into 4 clusters conforming fairly closely to the 4 ethnicities.

frequency greater than 5% (data posted with software). Figure 1 illustrates the outcome of dacGem using a base sample of $N = 100$ and a maximum cluster size of $B = 50$. The first split separates subjects by continental ancestry. The second and third splits separate many subjects correctly by ethnicity.

We also applied this method to a multi-ethnic sample including approximately 20,000 subjects with 12,000 markers, and obtained good results. Using a base sample of $N = 500$ and maximum cluster size of $B = 1000$ the run time for this large dataset was 45 minutes with memory use of 6 Gb. Direct eigen-analysis and hierarchical clustering of a matrix of order 20,000 is impractical. In its standard implementation, GemTools never uses a matrix of order greater than 1,000 for these two operations. In most steps of the algorithm, matrices are of order less than 500.

Once a cluster with $< B$ members has been identified, there are two choices for the final step of genetic association analysis. Using the ccMatchGem function an eigenmap for each cluster is calculated. Cases and controls of like ancestry are matched. The ccMatchGem algorithm matches subjects using a $d$-dimensional eigenmap, where $d = \max(D, D^*)$, and $D^*$ is a user-specified minimum threshold. Alternatively, the clustering process can be continued recursively using the function clusterGem until each cluster has $D = 0$ significant dimensions. In this scenario, one can consider all
of the subjects within a sub-cluster as being from a common ancestry and use cluster membership as a factor variable for stratified analysis of the data.
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