Light dark forces at flavor factories
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Abstract. SuperB experiment could represent an ideal environment to test a new $U(1)$ symmetry related to light dark forces candidates. A promising discovery channel is represented by the resonant production of a boson $U$, followed by its decay into lepton pairs. Beyond approximations adopted in the literature, an exact tree level calculation of the radiative processes $e^+e^-\rightarrow\gamma, U\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-\gamma, e^+e^-\gamma$ and corresponding QED backgrounds is performed, including also the most important higher-order corrections. The calculation is implemented in a release of the generator BabaYaga@NLO useful for data analysis and interpretation. The distinct features of $U$ boson production are shown and the statistical significance is analysed.

1. Introduction and theoretical framework
The aim of this paper, which is based on [1], is to illustrate Dark Matter models which imply the existence of a new vector boson, which carries a new dark force and which is lightly coupled to the photon. In particular a Monte Carlo event generator useful to describe this kind of light dark forces signatures at leptonic colliders will be presented and potentiality of a SuperB experiment for this kind of studies will be shown.

The existence of an abelian gauge symmetry with an associated light $U$ boson which can interact with a really small coupling with Standard Model (SM) particles has been proposed by a wide class of new physics models[2–6].

An important phenomenological support to this class of models comes in recent years, when standard astrophysics and particle physics seem fail to explain striking experimental signals in terms of known sources.

These signals are represented by the 511 KeV gamma-ray signal from the galactic center observed by the INTEGRAL satellite[7], the excess in the cosmic ray positrons reported by PAMELA[8], the total electron and positron flux measured by ATIC[9], Fermi[10], and HESS[11], the annual modulation of the DAMA/LIBRA signal[12] and the features of the low-energy spectrum of rare events reported by the CoGeNT collaboration[13].

If a new secluded gauge sector under which the SM particles remain uncharged is included into the theoretical description, these evidences can be comprehensively interpreted in terms of WIMP Dark Matter (DM) particles connected to SM ones with interaction terms varying from model to model.

The simplest assumption[6] is to add an extra $U(1)$ symmetry to SM symmetry group which describe a new dark force and suppose this force as carried by a new vector boson ($U$). It is
possible to suppose also that $U$ boson can communicate with the $SM$ through a kinetic mixing term of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mix}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} F_{\mu \nu}^{\text{em}} F_{\text{dark}}^{\mu \nu},$$

(1)

describing the interaction of the $U$ boson with $SM$ photon. This mixing term could occur through loop effects due to really massive WIMPs both coupled to ordinary photon and $U$ boson. In this case the $\varepsilon$ parameter should be lower than about $10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$.

With reactions involving WIMPs in the initial state and standard particles as positrons in the final state and supposing these reactions mediated by the $U$ boson it would be possible to explain the experimental signals described above. Since no astrophysical data involves anomalous production of antiproton, it is necessary to require the $U$ boson mass ($M_U$) to be lower than the mass of two protons.

An interesting consequence of the existence of such a light $U$ boson is that it can be directly produced in a controlled environment, such as fixed target experiments [14–16] or high-luminosity $e^+e^-$ colliders at the GeV scale (flavor factories)[17–19].

At flavor factories, e.g. at DAΦNE, BESIII and present and future B-factories, a particularly clean and simple channel, insensitive to the details of how the $U$ boson takes a mass, is surely represented by the resonant radiative production of a $U$ boson, followed by its decay into a lepton - antilepton pair (see Fig. 1).

A distinctive feature of the expected signal is the appearance of a Breit-Wigner peak in the shape of the invariant mass distribution of the lepton pairs induced by the mechanism of photon radiative return and corresponding to $U$ boson resonant production. The drawback of this channel is the fairly small value, over a wide range of parameters, of the signal cross section in comparison with the large rate of the backgrounds given by the QED radiative processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma$, $l = e, \mu$. The signal over background ratio can be enhanced by cutting on the invariant mass of the lepton pair.

The conclusion presented so far in the literature is that the $U\gamma$ production process allows to identify a $U$ boson at present flavor factories if its mass is in the range $0.1 – 1$ GeV and the kinetic mixing parameter $\varepsilon$ is greater than $\sim 10^{-3}$.

These analyses are generally based on the evaluation of the number of signal events through the calculation of the differential cross section of the $2 \rightarrow 2$ process $e^+e^- \rightarrow U\gamma$, including the decay of the on-shell $U$ boson into lepton pairs by means of branching ratios[17, 20], and/or an approximate estimate of the backgrounds. More importantly, all the studies so far performed
neglect the contribution of higher-order initial and final state QED corrections, which are known to be a phenomenologically relevant effect at GeV-scale $e^+e^-$ colliders[21].

To investigate whether and how the above approximations affect the physical observables of experimental interest, a Monte Carlo event generator, which is still missing for such studies, has been made available\(^1\) by improving the existing BabaYaga event generator for QED processes at flavor factories.

The generator can be useful for data analysis at flavor factories to study every physical observable, i.e. invariant mass distributions but also angular distributions or correlations and so on.

It includes:

- an exact tree-level calculation of the signal and background processes contributing to the signatures $e^+e^-\rightarrow \gamma, U\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma, e^+e^-\gamma$;
- the effects of the most important higher-order corrections induced by multiple photon radiation and vacuum polarization.

We used our calculation in order to assess its impact on the experimental sensitivity as evaluated in the literature, and show how this can be enhanced by means of event selections not considered so far.

The calculation has been done by means of the ALPHA[22] algorithm, a tool to compute tree-level matrix elements. We implemented the calculation in the BabaYaga@NLO event generator[21, 23, 24], to simulate distributions of experimental interest and account for realistic event selection criteria. In the $U$ boson propagator we include the total dark photon width using the formulae of Ref. [18] for the partial widths into leptons and hadrons, i.e.

$$\Gamma_{U\rightarrow f^+f^-} = \frac{1}{3}\alpha\varepsilon^2M_U\beta_f \left( 1 + \frac{2m_f^2}{M_U^2} \right) R(S = M_U^2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\beta_f = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{M_U^2}}, R = 1$ for leptonic decays of the $U$ boson and $R = \sigma_{e^+e^-\rightarrow \text{hadrons}}/\sigma_{e^+e^-\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-}$ for hadronic decays[25]. It is worth to note that the $U$ boson is a dramatically tiny resonance, being is width well under any experimental sensitivity, varying from $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-2}$ for reasonable values of $M_U$ and $\varepsilon$.

It is well known that at flavor factories multiple soft and collinear radiation emitted by the colliding beams may have a strong impact on the measured cross section and on the shape of the distributions. The effect of higher-order corrections in our Monte Carlo generator is taken into account using the popular QED structure function approach[26]. Initial state radiation modifies the tree-level cross section as follows

$$d\sigma(s,t) = \int_0^1 \cdot \cdot \cdot$$

where $\hat{s}, \hat{t}$ are the Mandelstam invariants after photon radiation and $D(x,s)$ is the electron structure function, which describes the probability to find an electron with a momentum fraction $x$ inside an electron of a given momentum $s$. If we take into account only the most important terms, due to resummed multiple soft and hard photon emission in the collinear approximation ($O(\alpha)$), the structure function has the form:

\(^1\) you can find the code on our website (http://www.pv.infn.it/~hepcomplex).
Figure 2. Invariant mass distribution of the muon pairs for different values of $\varepsilon$ and $M_U$ with $s = 1.02$ GeV.

$$D(x, s) = \frac{e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(1-x)(1-x) + \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\beta^2}{32}}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\beta}{2})} \left(1 + x\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2} - 1} \left(1 - x\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \left(1 + x\right)\left(-4 \ln(1 - x) + 3 \ln x - 4 \frac{\ln x}{1 - x} - 5 - x\right)$$

where $\beta = 2\alpha/\pi(\ln(s/m_f^2) - 1)$, $\Gamma$ is the Euler gamma function and $\gamma_E$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

In Eq. 3 $D^i(x_i, s)$ refers to the structure functions of the initial state electron and $D^f(y_i, \hat{s})$ refers to final state fermions.

Being an effect of size comparable to that of photon radiation, we also consider in our calculation the running of the electromagnetic coupling constant according to (see Ref. [21] for a recent review)

$$\alpha(q^2) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \Delta \alpha(q^2)}$$

$$\Delta \alpha(q^2) = \Delta \alpha_L(q^2) + \Delta \alpha_h(q^2)$$

where $\Delta \alpha_L$ is the contribution due to leptons, which is analytically known, and $\Delta \alpha_h$ is the non-perturbative hadronic contribution, included according to Ref. [25] or [27].

Fig. 2 illustrates a typical signal, the invariant mass distribution of the muon pairs for three values of $\varepsilon$ and $M_U$ at DAΦNE energies ($s = 1.02$ GeV), as obtained with our calculation in the lowest order approximation. It is possible to see a peak in the distribution due to the $U$ boson effects.

2. Experimental sensitivity

If we saw a peak in a distribution, for example the one related to the invariant mass of final state fermions, we would be able to describe the $U$ boson properties like its coupling and its mass. Instead if we couldn’t see nothing but the SM background, we would exclude a certain region in the $M_U - \varepsilon$ parameter space. So we need to calculate which are the $U$ boson properties that made possible to confuse the $U$ boson’s peak with a statistical fluctuation of the background for a certain experiment with its experimental resolution and its luminosity (experimental sensitivity).
On the grounds of the calculation described in Section 1, we revisited the experimental sensitivity to a dark force signal evaluated in the literature according to the approximations previously discussed. For concreteness, we consider the case of the KLOE/KLOE-2 experiment at the upgraded DAΦNE [28] and of future experiments as SuperB factories [29, 30]. We also made a comparison with BaBar’s analysis made by [20] and the results totally agree, which means that other calculations already present in the literature are a really good estimate of the exact calculation and that the effects due to the finite width of the $U$ boson are negligible for the invariant mass distribution. Nevertheless the Monte Carlo event generator can be useful for the experimental analysis and for studies of backward-forward asymmetry (which is a really smaller signal with respect to the presence of the peak in the invariant mass distribution).

We define the statistical significance ($S$) as

$$S = \frac{N_S}{\sqrt{N_B}} = \sqrt{E \left( \frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_B}{\sqrt{\sigma_B}} \right)}$$

where $\sigma_F$ is the full cross section including the exchange of a virtual photon and $U$ boson, $\sigma_B$ ($N_B$) is the SM cross section (number of events) background, $N_S$ is the expected number of events due to the presence of $U$ boson and $L$ is the integrated luminosity. In the above equation we require $S$ to be greater than 5 to claim a discovery.

We assume $L = 5$ fb$^{-1}$ for KLOE/KLOE-2 and $L = 100$ ab$^{-1}$ for a B SuperB factory, respectively. To simulate detector acceptances, we also impose the following energy and angular cuts:

**KLOE**  $35^\circ \leq \theta_{l^\pm, \gamma} \leq 145^\circ$, $E_{l^\pm, \gamma}^{\text{min}} = 10$ MeV

**SuperB**  $30^\circ \leq \theta_{l^\pm, \gamma} \leq 150^\circ$, $E_{l^\pm, \gamma}^{\text{min}} = 30, 20$ MeV

using as c.m. energies $\sqrt{s} = 1.02, 10.56$ GeV, respectively. To improve $S$, signal events can be detected as peaks in the lepton pair invariant mass close to the value $M_U$, in a window $M_U \pm \delta_M$. We want $\delta_M$ to be as small as possible, optimally coinciding with the detector resolution, a crucial parameter for these studies.

As detector resolutions we use $\delta_M = \pm 1$ MeV for KLOE/KLOE-2 and the values obtained according to the empirical relations of Ref. [20] for B/SuperB factories, giving $\delta_M \sim \pm [1 - 10]$ MeV for a mass $M_U$ in the range 0.1 - 5 GeV.

In Fig. 3 we show the reach potential of KLOE/KLOE-2 experiment, where the sensitivity to the kinetic mixing parameter $\varepsilon$ is shown as a function of $M_U$. Actually, we observed that corrections affect with about the same amount both the signal and the background cross sections; hence the systematics induced by photon radiation largely cancel in the experimental sensitivity and the conclusions in the presence of QED radiative corrections confirm the ones obtained in the lowest order approximation.

Exclusion limits imposed by the precision measurements of the anomalous magnetic moment of the leptons and of $\alpha_{QED}$ [31] are indicated as gray areas.

The most striking features which could be seen in Fig. 3 are the following:

- the muon channel has a better reach than the $e^+e^-$ channel, obviously because of the smaller background. The $e^+e^-$ channel could instead be interesting for low values of $M_U$, but an experimental difficulty, due to the fact that it is really hard to distinguish a low energy electron with a photon, exists and limits this kind of analysis. If we want to study a $U$ boson lighter than the $\mu^+\mu^-$ threshold we have to use some different techniques;

- the sensitivity is significantly degraded if $M_U$ is around the $\varrho$ resonance because the branching fraction $U \rightarrow l^+l^-$ is suppressed by the dominant decay mode $U \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, which would be a more convenient channel in this region.
We have noticed that the maximum sensitivity achievable at the upgraded DAΦNE with a luminosity $L \simeq 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, i.e. $\varepsilon \sim 0.001 - 0.002$, is equivalent to the one of the present B-factory experiments BaBar/Belle with $L \simeq 500 \text{ fb}^{-1}$[20]. This fact could be easily understood since the reach on $\varepsilon$ follows the rule $\varepsilon^2 \propto E/\sqrt{L}$.

In our analysis we realized that radiative corrections do not significatively alter the experimental sensitivity because both the background and the signal are modified by the same amount.

Fig. 4 presents the experimental sensitivity at a SuperB factory, where it could be possible to exclude an epsilon greater than few $10^{-4}$ for all mass values up to 2 proton mass. Hence the really high statistics of a SuperB collider will allow to probe values of $\varepsilon$ about an order of magnitude smaller than those reachable by present flavor factories.

Finally, Figs. 5 and 6 show the sensitivity for the small angle selection, defined in Eq. 7, for the KLOE/KLOE2 experiment.

$$35^\circ \leq \theta_{l\bar{l}} \leq 145^\circ, E_{l\bar{l}}^{\text{min}} = 10\text{MeV}$$

$$|\cos(\theta_{\gamma})| \geq \cos(15) \quad \text{(7)}$$

The small angle selection is also compared with the large angle selection previously discussed. It is possible to see that there is a relevant gain in sensitivity for $M_U$ greater than $\sim 0.5 \text{ GeV}$.

3. Conclusion
With existing experiments and analysis of existing data we can exclude a $U$ boson with an $\varepsilon$ coupling of about $10^{-3}$ for a wide range of mass (flavor factories[1]) and some particular area in the $\varepsilon - M_U$ parameter space for a really light $U$ boson (beam dump experiment[4]). With a SuperB factory we would be able to see a $U$ boson if its kinetic mixing is above few $10^{-4}$. Anyway a really big area in the $\varepsilon - M_U$ space would be allowed also after the analysis of a SuperB experiment. A $U$ boson with such properties would be able to explain the astrophysical data. To cover this area it would be useful a new fixed target experiment[16] in which a really high luminosity could be reached.
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