Abstract— The increased consumer awareness and legal issues on food safety, with special reference to insecticide residues in foods, led us to attempt for cheap and effective methods for removal of pesticide residues to address the issues of consumer and food safety, as the farmers are not following the Good Agricultural Practices i.e pre-harvest intervals. The most commonly used pesticides such as dimethoate, quinalphos, acephate, imidacloprid and bifenthrin were sprayed at recommended doses at vegetative stage, samples were collected at 2 hours after treatment to quantify the deposits. The samples were subjected to various household treatments each in three replications, and analysed for residues using validated QuEChERS method, so as to estimate the % removal and their effectiveness. Out of all treatments, respectively, and is the best household method for removal of pesticide residues, and also the method is effective in reducing the residues below MRL (Maximum Residue Limits).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Murraya koenigii L. (curry leaf) belonging to family Rutaceae is a leafy spice characterizing authentic Asian-Indian cuisine and it is used in small quantities for its distinct aroma as well as for preservation purposes. Curry leaf oil an volatile oil, produced from the plant has uses in the soap industry. (Salikutty and Peter., 2008). Recent studies have shown that carbazole alkaloids have several biological activities such as anti carcinogenic effects in dimethyl hydrazine (DMH) treated rats (Khanum et al., 2000), anti platelet activity and vaso relaxing effects (Wu et al., 1998). Chevalier (1996) also reported that curry leaf has medicinal value as traditionally used in Eastern Asia. Interest in greater use of curry leaf has been stimulated since its high antioxidant potency was reported and this antioxidant activity is attributed due to maha nimbine, murrayanol and mahanie from M. koenigii (Tachibana etal.,2003; Ningappa et al.,2008). Chowdhary et al.(2001) reported that these alkaloids have antimicrobial activity against gram positive and negative bacteria, and fungi. Lee et al. (2002) noted that enrichment of phenolic compounds within the plant extract is correlated with their enhanced antioxidant activity. It is reported to have antioxidant, anti-diabetic, anti carcinogenic, anti dysenteric stimulant, hypoglycaemic and antimicrobial activities (Khanum et al., 2000). Biologically active carbazole alkaloids are reported to have anti microbial properties (Ramsewak et al.,1999). Curry leaves have been reported to contain tocopherol, b-carotene,lutein and alkaloids (Khanum et al., 2000). But it is observed that curry leaves have received red alert message from the European Union, who are the major importers, where the pesticide residue limits were found much beyond the permissible levels. This created a panic among the mass as curry leaves constitute a major spice exported from India. Uncontrolled use of pesticides and non-adoption of safe waiting periods has led to pesticide accumulation in curry leaf crop. The residues being persistent in nature infiltrate crops, contaminate water, pollute complete food chain and enter our body through diet. Since farmers are using lot of pesticides and the persistence and dissipation of these insecticides is not known on curryleaf, the present study is proposed to study the dissipation commonly pesticides, so as to recommend the safe waiting periods based on the Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) calculated, as it helps in recommending risk mitigation protocols for food safety. Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) are set by Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) at international level and as on date, no MRLs are set for any pesticides on curryleaf, and by Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) of Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, as per Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (Food Safety and Standards Regulation, 2011) at national level based on the Good Agricultural Practices. The major insect pest of curry leaf for which farmers apply insecticides at almost weekly interval, and hence the risk of pesticide residues in foods need to be addressed as per FSSAI (Food Safety and Standards Authority of India) for the protection of consumer health and interests. In this context, household risk mitigation methods for removal of pesticide residues in curry leaf are to be recommended based on the scientific evaluation, as the food habits are changing enormously.
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the Decontamination of pesticide residues of commonly detected pesticides a field experiment was conducted utilizing dimethoate, quinalphos, acephate, imidacloprid and bifenthrin twice, first at Vegetative stage and 10 days later. Zero day samples were collected for estimation of deposits of pesticide within 2 hours of last spray.

Evaluation of decontamination methods for removal of pesticide residues:
The zero day samples which are free from pests and damage were collected from various treatments separately in large quantities and made into thirteen sets, each in four replications. One set of the sample from each treatment (in four replications) was analyzed for deposits of the pesticide. The remaining sets of samples of zero day from each treatment samples were subjected to various decontamination methods separately and the residues were calculated to know the efficiency of the various decontamination methods in the removal of pesticide residues from the curry leaf samples. The decontamination methods used in the study / risk mitigation methods are given (Table 1).

Per cent removal of pesticide:

\[
\text{Per cent removal} = \frac{\text{Initial deposit} - \text{Residues after treatment}}{\text{Initial deposit}} \times 100
\]

Sample extraction procedure

After spray of pesticide, about 5 kgs of curryleaf were collected randomly in polythene bags from each plot to avoid cross contamination. Each lot from treatment plot was divided in to 13 sub-lots, where one lot was analysed for initial deposits, and remaining lots were subjected to various risk mitigation methods prior to analysis. All samples were replicated thrice. The decontamination methods used in the study are presented in Table 1. curry leaf samples were collected from the fields sprayed with insecticides and brought to the laboratory and analyzed for pesticide residues following the AOAC official method 2007.01(QuEChERS)after validation of the method in the laboratory. Each sample was homogenized separately with robot couple blixer and homogenized 15 ±0.1 g sample was taken in 50 ml centrifuge tube and 30±0.1 ml acetonitrile was added to sample tube. The sample was homogenized at 14000-15000 rpm for 2-3 min using Heidolph silent crusher. 3±0.1 g sodium chloride was added to sample, mixed thoroughly by shaking gently followed by centrifugation for 3 min at 2500-3000 rpm to separate the organic layer. The top organic layer of about 16 ml was taken into the 50 ml centrifuge tube and added with 9±0.1 g anhydrous sodium sulphate to remove the moisture content. 8 ml of extract was taken in to 15 ml tube, containing 0.4±0.01 g PSA sorbent (for dispersive solid phase d-SPE cleanup), 1.2±0.01 g anhydrous magnesium sulphate and 0.05 g of GCB (Graphatised Carbon Black). The sample tube was vortexed for 30 sec then followed by centrifugation for 5 min at 2500-3000 rpm. The extract of about 1 ml (0.5 g sample) was taken for analysis on LCMS/MS under standard operational conditions (Table 2).

Table 2: LCMS/MS Instrument parameters

| LC-MS/MS | SHIMADZU LC-MS/MS - 8040. |
|----------|---------------------------|
| Detector | Mass Spectrophotometer    |
| Column   | Kinetex, 2.6μ, C18 Column, 100 x 3.0. |
| Column oven temperature | 40°C |
| Retention time | dimethoate, quinalphos, acephate, imidacloprid and bifenthrin |
| Nebulizing gas | Nitrogen |
| Nebulizing gas flow | 2.0 litres/min |
| Pump mode/ flow | Gradient / 0.4 ml/ min |
| LC Solvents | A: Ammonium Formate In Water (10Mm)  
B: Ammonium Formate In Methanol (10Mm) |
| LC programme | |
| Time | A Conc | B Conc |
| 0.01 | 65 | 35 |
| 2.00 | 65 | 35 |
| 7.00 | 40 | 60 |
| 9.00 | 40 | 60 |
| 14.00 | 05 | 95 |
| 17.00 | 15 | 85 |
| 19.00 | 30 | 70 |
| 21.00 | 65 | 35 |
| 24.00 | 65 | 35 |
| Total Time Programme | 24 min |
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The residues of dimethoate, quinalphos, acephate, imidacloprid and bifenthrin in curry leaf samples have got substantial reduction by different house hold processing methods. The reduction percentage and residue levels are presented in Table 3 and fig 1-6.

Evaluation of decontamination methods for removal of pesticide residues:

Curry leaf is an important export commodity from India, rich in vitamin A, calcium, carbazole alkaloids and volatile oils. However, along with life-saving components, they have turned into a major source of life-taking poisonous substances called pesticides and their residues into the human body. Indiscriminate use of pesticides particularly at vegetative stage and non-adoption of safe waiting period leads to accumulation of pesticide residues in consumable parts. Scientists and food processors have long been interested in the effect of processing on pesticide residues in food commodities. The extent to which pesticide residues are removed by processing depends on a variety of factors, such as chemical properties of the pesticides, the nature of food commodity, the processing step and the length of time the compound has been in contact with the food (Farris et al., 1992., Holland et al., 1994 and Kumar et al., 2010). In a developing country like India, dissipation techniques at the household level can serve as an effective tool in reducing risk related to dietary exposure to residues and henceforth controlling pesticide related adversities. Washing is the most common form of processing which is a preliminary step in both household and commercial preparation. Loosely held residues of several pesticides are removed with reasonable efficiency by varied types of washing processes (Street, 1969). Several studies have examined the effects of washing solutions on removing pesticide residues from various food commodities as follows

Dimethoate

Dimethoate is an organophosphorus insecticide with excellent systemic, contact and acaridical action. Dipping in 2% salt solution for 10 min followed by tap water wash for 30 sec was found to be most effective (93.33 % removed) than other treatments. The percentage removal of dimethoate residues due to various decontamination treatments in descending order are tap water wash for 30 sec. Cooking(93.33 %), formula 1(53.23 %) > 0.1 % baking soda solution(48.07 %) > frying (47.75 %) tamarind water (42.15 %) > bio wash (37.00 %) > drying (35.21 %) > acetic acid (28.63 %) > butter milk (26.27 %) > tap water (26.01 %) > lemon water (20.78 %) > 2 % salt solution (14.79 %). Based on the percentage removal of residues, it was statistically proved that there is significant difference in the efficiency of decontaminating solutions in removing dimethoate residues. Percentage removal of dimethoate residues from various decontamination methods is depicted in Fig.1.

Quinalphos

Various decontamination methods were evaluated in order to know their efficiency in removing Quinalphos residues from curry leaf. Results revealed that all the treatment solutions significantly differed among each other in their efficiency in removing Quinalphos residues. Drying under sunlight was found to be most effective (73.97%) than other treatments. Next promising treatment was cooking in pressure cooker for 15 min followed by 0.1 % baking soda solution(55.60 %) > formula 1(39.27 %) > tamarind water (38.96 %) > bio wash (30.94 %) > frying (28.46 %)> butter milk (25.11 %) > tap water (11.93 %) > lemon water (9.10 %) > acetic acid (8.40 %) > 2 % salt solution (3.53 %) were also found to remove significant amount of residues from curry leaf samples.Percentage removal of Quinalphos residues from various decontamination methods is depicted in Fig. 2.

Acephate

Curry leaves sprayed with recommended dose of acephate were collected 2 hours after spraying and subjected to various decontamination methods. Among the different treatments employed, pressure cooking for 15 min was found to be more effective (80.91%) than other treatments. Tamarind water (58.74 %) was found to be next promising treatment, followed by frying (54.97 %)> acetic acid (52.84 %) > bio wash (46.48 %) > butter milk (46.19 %) > tap water (45.04 %) > 2 % salt solution (30.57 %). Percentage removal of acephate residues from various decontamination methods is depicted in Fig. 3.

Imidacloprid

Imidacloprid residues in curry leaf was removed significantly when subjected to different decontamination solutions at 2 hours after spraying. Results revealed that pressure cooking for 15 min was found to be most effective than other treatments. In this treatment residues were reduced up to 79.89 %. Next promising treatment was frying (56.83 %) followed by tamarind water (49.44 %) > bio wash (41.92 %) > butter milk (39.51 %) > formula 1(37.66 %) > tap water (33.17 %) > lemon water (32.01 %) > 0.1 % baking soda solution(31.78 %) > acetic acid (29.04 %) > 2 % salt solution (10.40 %). Percentage removal of acephate residues from various decontamination methods is depicted in Fig. 3.

Bifenthrin

The percentage removal of bifenthrin residues in curry leaf when subjected to different decontamination solutions at 2 hours after spraying showed that dipping in lemon water for 10 min followed by tap water wash for 30 sec was found to be most effective removing 53.29%
residues, than other treatments. The next promising treatment was drying (46.97 %) > followed by tamarind water (45.88 %) > butter milk (42.54 %) > bio wash (31.55 %) > acetic acid (31.20 %) > 2% salt solution (28.52 %) > 0.1% baking soda solution (27.94 %) > formula 1 (20.07 %) > cooking (19.70 %) > frying (15.50 %) > tap water (4.35 %). Based on the percentage removal of residues, it was statistically proved that there is significant difference in the efficiency of decontaminating solutions in removing residues of above mentioned pesticides. Percentage removal of bifenthrin residues from various decontamination methods is depicted in Fig. 5. In the present study, veggy wash a formulation prepared by AINP on Pesticide Residues proved to be the most efficient in removing various pesticides. The next promising treatment was dipping in 4% acetic acid solution for 10 min followed by tap water wash for 30 sec. Similar results were reported by Radwan et al. (2004) who reported that washing of hot pepper, sweet pepper and brinjal with 2% acetic acid removed pirimiphos-methyl residues by 76.61, 95.74 and 94.58 %. Similarly, Zhang et al. (2006) found that 79.8, 65.8, 74.0 and 75.0% residues of chlorpyrifos, p,p-DDT, cypermethrin and chlorothalonil were removed by washing cabbage with 10% acetic acid solution for 20 min, respectively. 2% salt solution was found to be third best treatment .Washing of curry leaf with 10% salt solution removed 90.80 and 82.40% of dimethoate and profenophos residues (Abou-Arab, 1999). Dipping in 0.1% baking soda (NaHCO₃) solution for 10 min followed by tap water wash was the 4th best treatment in removing residues from curry leaves. The results are in line with the findings of Liang et al. (2012) who reported that washing of cucumber with 2% NaHCO₃ was efficient enough to remove the trichlorfon, dimethoate, dichlorovos, fenitrothion and chlorpyrifos residues by 73.20, 58.70, 96.40, 51.10 and 77.80%. Similarly the results are in agreement with Satpathy (2012) who found that curry leaf fruits washed with 0.1% NaHCO₃ solution removed residues of parathion, methyl parathion, malathion, fenitrothion, formothion and chlorpyriphos by 73.10, 77.40, 86.80, 57.00, 86.40 and 87.20% respectively. Washing of Chinese kale with 0.1% NaHCO₃ solution removed methomyl and carbaryl residues by 43.19 and 91.24 % respectively (Klinhom, 2008). Tap water wash was the least effective treatment and the findings of present investigations are in agreement with the findings of Abou-Arab (1999) who reported that washing of curry leaf with water removed dimethoate and profenophos residues up to 18.80 and 22.17 % respectively. Similarly tap water wash for 10 min removed trichlorfon, dimethoate, dichlorovos, fenitrothion and chlorpyrifos residues by 36.60, 21.70, 22.60, 22.20 and 59.20 % in cucumber respectively (Liang et al., 2012). Based on the percentage removal of residues, it was statistically proved that there is significant difference in the efficiency of decontaminating methods in removing residues of above mentioned pesticides. Per cent removal of pesticide residues from curry leaf by various decontamination methods is depicted in fig-1-6. Scientists and food processors have long been interested in the effect of processing on pesticide residues in food commodities. The extent to which pesticide residues are removed by processing depends on a variety of factors, such as chemical properties of the pesticides, the nature of food commodity, the processing step and the length of time the compound has been in contact with the food (Farris et al., 1992., Holland et al., 1994 and Kumar et al., 2010). In a developing country like India, dissipation techniques at the household level can serve as an effective tool in reducing risk related to dietary exposure to residues and henceforth controlling pesticide related adversities. Washing is the most common form of processing which is a preliminary step in both household and commercial preparation. Loosely held residues of several pesticides are removed with reasonable efficiency by varied types of washing processes (Street, 1969). Several studies have examined the effects of washing solutions on removing pesticide residues from various food commodities as follows. To minimize dietary exposure to pesticides, it is pertinent to explore strategies that effectively help in reducing the residue content at individual level. Twelve simple, labour-less and cost effective unit operations were imparted to curry leaf samples for reducing dietary consumption of pesticide residues which can be even followed in poor populace. Out of all treatments imparted each pesticide has its own treatment of reduction
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Table.3: Effectiveness of various decontamination methods

| Decontamination methods       | Mean per cent removal of insecticides (%) ± SD |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Insecticides                  | Dimethoate | Quinalphos | Acephate | Imidacloprid | Bifenthrin |
| 2%Salt solution               | 14.79 ± 3.14 | 3.53 ± 0.25 | 30.57 ± 0.47 | 10.40 ± 11.46 | 19.70 ± 1.72 |
| Acetic acid                   | 28.63 ± 0.48 | 8.40 ± 1.40 | 52.84 ± 2.50 | 29.04 ± 1.26 | 15.50 ± 0.17 |
| Bio wash                      | 37.00 ± 0.49 | 30.94 ± 6.16 | 46.48 ± 1.06 | 41.92 ± 2.42 | 45.88 ± 1.91 |
| Butter milk                   | 26.27 ± 0.61 | 25.11 ± 0.85 | 46.19 ± 0.49 | 39.51 ± 0.83 | 31.55 ± 0.89 |
| Cooking                       | 93.33 ± 0.04 | 64.79 ± 0.74 | 80.91 ± 0.28 | 79.89 ± 0.40 | 42.54 ± 0.26 |
| Drying                        | 35.21 ± 0.35 | 73.97 ± 1.49 | 12.08 ± 2.58 | 16.34 ± 0.44 | 20.07 ± 0.06 |
| Formulal                      | 53.23 ± 0.57 | 39.27 ± 0.13 | 23.51 ± 0.28 | 37.66 ± 3.15 | 53.29 ± 0.84 |
| Frying                        | 47.75 ± 0.88 | 28.46 ± 0.57 | 54.97 ± 0.22 | 56.83 ± 0.74 | 4.35 ± 0.92 |
| Lemon water                   | 20.78 ± 0.29 | 9.10 ± 0.31 | 25.27 ± 0.47 | 32.01 ± 0.22 | 27.94 ± 6.13 |
| Sodium bicarbonate            | 48.07 ± 0.21 | 55.60 ± 0.15 | 30.25 ± 0.49 | 31.78 ± 0.52 | 31.20 ± 3.82 |
| Tamarind water                | 42.15 ± 0.33 | 38.96 ± 0.24 | 58.74 ± 0.73 | 49.42 ± 0.12 | 46.97 ± 1.50 |
| Tap water                     | 26.01 ± 0.29 | 11.93 ± 1.26 | 45.04 ± 0.61 | 33.17 ± 0.29 | 28.52 ± 1.62 |
| Treatment Number | Treatment Name                      | Method                                                                                           |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| T₁               | Tap water                           | Four litres of tap water was taken                                                              |
| T₂               | Tamarind solution                   | 80 g of tamarind was added to 4 litres of water                                                 |
| T₃               | Lemon water                         | Juice of 4 lemons was added to 4 litres of water                                                |
| T₄               | Salt solution                       | Four litres of 2 per cent salt solution was prepared by mixing 80 g of table salt in 4 litres of water |
| T₅               | Butter milk                         | Four litres of butter milk was prepared by mixing 80 g of curd in 4 litres of water              |
| T₆               | Bio wash                            | Four litres of bio wash was prepared by mixing 8 ml of commercial formula bio wash to 4 litres of water |
| T₇               | Acetic acid                         | Four litres of 4 per cent acetic acid solution was prepared by mixing 160 ml of 100 per cent glacial acetic acid in 4 litres of water |
| T₈               | Baking soda (NaHCO₃)                | Four litres of 0.1 per cent baking soda solution was prepared by mixing 4 g of baking soda in 4 litres of water |
| T₉               | Formula 1 (4% Acetic acid + 0.1% NaHCO₃ + 1 Lemon 1⁻¹) | Four litres of formula 1 was prepared by mixing 160 ml of acetic acid, 4 g of sodium bicarbonate and lemon juice of 4 lemons added to 4 litres of water |
| T₁₀              | Cooking                             | 2 kg of curry leaf sample was cooked in pressure cooker for 5 min, further the leaves were kept for air drying on tissue paper for 5 min followed by analysis |
| T₁₁              | Frying                              | 2 kg of curry leaf sample was fried in oil by repeated stirring until they become brittle by loosing entire moisture approximately for 5 min followed by analysis |
| T₁₂              | Drying                              | 2 kg of curry leaf sample spread over on a tissue paper and shade dried for approximately 5 days until entire moisture was lost in leaves |
Fig. 1: Per cent removal of dimethoate residues from curry leaf by various decontamination methods

Fig. 2: Per cent removal of quinalphos residues from curry leaf by various decontamination methods
Fig. 3: Per cent removal of acephate residues from curry leaf by various decontamination methods

Fig. 4: Per cent removal of imidacloprid residues from curry leaf by various decontamination methods
Fig. 5: Per cent removal of Bifenthrin from curry leaf

Fig. 6: Per cent removal of pesticide residues from curry leaf by various decontamination methods