Adiponectin Gene Polymorphism rs2241766 T/G Is Associated with Response to Pioglitazone Treatment in Type 2 Diabetic Patients from Southern China
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Abstract

Introduction: Insulin sensitizing drugs such as pioglitazone are not uniformly treatment effective among individual type 2 diabetic patients. The relationship of pioglitazone efficacy to single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) of the adiponectin gene was examined in a cohort of Chinese Han type 2 diabetic patients.

Methods: Eighty type 2 diabetic patients were treated with pioglitazone (15 mg/day) for 12 weeks without interruption of their current therapeutic regimen. Fasting plasma glucose, fasting insulin, homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c%) were collected both prior to and following pioglitazone treatment. Response to pioglitazone was defined as a decrease of at least 15% in HbA1c% levels. Three regions of the adiponectin gene containing SNPs (promoter, intron 2 and exon 2, and exon 3) were amplified and sequenced to determine genotype.

Results: Serum adiponectin levels were significantly increased (p < 0.001) whereas fasting plasma glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and HbA1c% values were significantly decreased relative to baseline measurements (p < 0.001). Response of patients with TG and TT genotypes at rs2241766 (exon2; 52.9% vs. 12.7%, respectively p = 0.001) was statistically significant relative to all other patients. Amongst rs2241766 TG and TT patients, the mean decrease in HbA1c% levels was greater where the genotype was TG (1.15 ± 0.80 vs. 0.52 ± 0.64, p = 0.001).

Conclusions: The adiponectin gene polymorphism rs2241766 T/G is associated with pioglitazone efficacy in type 2 diabetic patients, and status of the polymorphism may be an important clinical factor to consider prior to pioglitazone treatment.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is on the rise in current populations worldwide due to changes in weight, for example. Alternative therapeutic strategies are necessary, as patients are known to develop resistance to treatment with insulin. A breakthrough in strategies for these patients occurred when it was discovered that adipocytes secrete a protein, adiponectin (ADIPOQ), which plays a role in the regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism [1]. ADIPOQ is encoded by one of the most abundant adipose gene transcripts [2]. Regulation of the gene is known to occur at least in part through the nuclear receptor transcription factor, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ (PPARγ) [3]. Pharmacological compounds, such as the thiazolidinediones (TZDs), that target PPARγ activity have thus been exploited as insulin sensitizers, and are now widely used for the treatment of insulin resistance in T2DM patients.

Pioglitazone is a TZD compound that is currently used as an insulin-sensitizing drug. However, many clinical trials have shown that the therapeutic efficacy of pioglitazone is inconsistent among individual T2DM patients [4,5]. One explanation is underlying genetic variation as genetic mutation has been shown to be the basis for some metabolic syndromes. A number of studies have demonstrated that subtle changes in DNA sequence, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP), are often associated with differences in drug efficacy and toxicity among patients [6].
Materials and Methods

Ethics statement
The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. The 80 unrelated individuals were long-term residents in the Wenzhou area of China, and all patients signed the informed consent prior to the study.

Patients
Data were collected from a total of 80 outpatients with T2DM. All patients were derived from the Chinese Han population treated at the Department of Endocrinology of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University between the years 2010 to 2012. Patients included 32 men and 48 women whose ages ranged from 34 to 80 yr (mean 57.05 ± 10.48 yr). T2DM was diagnosed according to the World Health Organization criteria from 1999: (1) random plasma glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L, fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥7.0 mmol/L, or 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test result was ≥11.1 mmol/L; (2) symptomless: repeat FPG ≥11.1 mmol/L, fasting 6.0 mmol/L, 5.38 2.59 mg/ml, 7.10% and 1.51 respectively.

Clinical measurements
Patients took 15 mg/day pioglitazone orally for 12 weeks without interruption of their current therapeutic regimen for T2DM. Venous blood samples were collected from patients after fasting overnight. Clinical and biochemical data, including age, height, weight, waist circumference (WC), blood pressure, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), fasting insulin, HbA1c%, total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and ADIPOQ were collected from all 80 patients. FPG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, and TG were determined with the Olympus AU 5400 Automatic Biochemical Analyzer (Olympus Corporation, Mishima, Japan). Serum insulin levels were determined with the Beckman Unicel Dxl 800 Chemiluminescence Analyzer (Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA). Serum ADIPOQ levels were detected by a double antibody sandwich ELISA (Shanghai Westang Bio-tech Co., Ltd.; Shanghai, China). The insulin resistance (IR) index was assessed by homeostasis model assessment (HOMA). The following formula was used to calculate the IR index: HOMA-IR = (FPI × FPG)/22.5 where 22.5 is a constant.

Efficacy evaluation
Clinical samples were analyzed, and "effectiveness" was defined as a decrease in the HbA1c% level by more than 15% relative to the baseline measurement (prior to pioglitazone treatment) in individual patients.

Genotyper analyses
Genomic DNA was isolated from patient blood with a DNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Shanghai Generay Biotech Co., Ltd.; Shanghai, China). Adiponectin gene SNPs were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Primer pairs (Shanghai Generay Biotech Co., Ltd.) were designed using Primer Premier 5 and Oligo 6.0 software to amplify three regions of the adiponectin gene containing 13 polymorphisms (rs266729, rs16861194, rs1501299, rs2241767, rs1021799, rs143332353, rs3774261, rs2241766, rs17806072, rs35469809, rs1063537, rs2082940, rs10635338); promoter, upstream of exon 2 and intron 2, and upstream of intron 3 (Table 1). The reactions were performed in a total volume of 25 μL containing 0.1-1ug DNA, 0.8 μL of each primer (10 μmol/L), and 12.5 μL 2 × PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific; Pittsburgh, PA, USA). The cycling parameters for PCR were the following: denaturation 94°C 4 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation 94°C 40 s, annealing 56°C 35 s, and extension 72°C 40 s. Specificity of PCR was confirmed by 5% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and products were commercially sequenced (Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology & Services Co., Ltd.; Shanghai, China).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software Version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA). Data showing a normal distribution were summarized as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), and data showing a non-normal distribution were summarized as medians (P25%-P75%). Data with a normal distribution were compared with a Student’s t-test; data with non-normal distributions were compared with the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Numeration data were presented as a frequency and compared using the chi-square test. The relationship between the therapeutic efficacy of pioglitazone and individual polymorphic variants of the adiponectin gene was analyzed using the chi-square test. Logistic regression analysis of relevant variables was performed to identify predictors for therapeutic efficacy of pioglitazone. A value of p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Clinical characteristics are regulated by pioglitazone
The clinical characteristics, and anthropometric and metabolic findings in the patients prior to and following 12 weeks of pioglitazone treatment are presented in Table 2. Based on critical clinical parameters, pioglitazone appeared to have an overall effect on this cohort of Chinese Han patients. The primary measure of pioglitazone efficacy was that the change in serum ADIPOQ concentration significantly increased from 3.42 mg/l to 3.67 mg/l (p<0.001). Other critical clinical correlates (FPG, fasting insulin, HbA1c% levels and HOMA-IR) of the 80 patients significantly decreased with treatment (8.73 ± 1.55 mmol/l, 6.85 ± 3.86 mg/ml, 7.63% and 2.29 respectively vs. 7.25 ± 1.36 mmol/l, 5.38 ± 2.59 mg/ml, 7.10% and 1.51 respect-
Lower levels of FPG, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and HbA1c%, in combination with higher serum ADIPOQ concentration indicated that pioglitazone had beneficial effects on the patients. Other clinical indicators (TC, TG, LDL-C and HDL-C) did not change significantly when examined as a group; neither did weight, BMI, WC, and systolic or diastolic blood pressures.

Genotyping

Thirteen SNPs of the ADIPOQ gene were genotyped in this study. The distribution of all genotypes (homozygous and heterozygous polymorphic variants) and allelic frequencies are shown in Table 3. All SNPs were found to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($p > 0.05$). However, three SNPs (rs35469083, rs17846872 and rs145335235) were eliminated from further analysis due to a low frequency in the target patient population.

Effectiveness of pioglitazone treatment

In general, HbA1c concentrations are used as the definitive measure for whether a drug has any health benefits for patients. Any decrease by greater than 15% is defined as a response to pioglitazone. After 12 weeks of pioglitazone treatment, 21.25% of the 80 patients achieved a decrease in HbA1c% of $>15\%$.

rs2241766 is independently associated with response to pioglitazone

In general, pioglitazone appeared to be beneficial to patients. However, the major objective was to determine whether the overall efficacy of pioglitazone could be attributed to any specific subgroups of patients. Patients were, thus, grouped according to their genotype, and the change in HbA1c% levels was determined. The patients exhibiting the greatest response to 12 weeks of treatment with pioglitazone were in the group with the rs2241766 (exon 2) TG genotype (52.9%). A second rs2241766 genotype, TT, was also associated with an increased response to pioglitazone within this timeframe (12.7%). The difference in the response of patients between rs2241766 genotype TG and TT was statistically significant ($p = 0.001$). No other associations between individual ADIPOQ gene polymorphisms and response to pioglitazone treatment were found (Table 4). Finally, logistic regression analysis revealed that rs2241766 was independently associated with response to pioglitazone. Patients carrying the TG genotype responded significantly better in general to pioglitazone compared to the patients carrying the TT genotype (OR $= 11.483$, 95% CI $= 2.637–50.002$, $p = 0.001$; Table 5).

---

### Table 1. Polymerase chain reaction primers and the amplified products for adiponectin gene polymorphisms.

| Region                        | Primer sequence | Fragment |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Promoter                      | F:5’-TGTTGCACTTGACTTACTGTT-3’ R:5’-GCCTGGCCTGCAATCGTA-3’ | 563 bp   |
| Intron 2 and exon 2           | F:5’-TGCTGTGCTGGAGCTGTTCT-3’ R:5’-CACCTCTCATCAGGTCAGGGT-3’ | 738 bp   |
| Exon 3                        | F:5’-TGAATCCCATCTACCC-3’ R:5’-TCATGCCACCTGACTCTA-3’ | 609 bp   |

F: Forward primer; R: Reverse primer.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112480.t001

### Table 2. Clinical characteristics of subjects before and after pioglitazone treatment.

|                        | Before        | After        | p-value        |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | 8.73±1.55     | 7.25±1.36    | <0.001*        |
| Adiponectin (mg/L)     | 3.42 [2.19,7.21] | 5.67 [2.83,10.14] | <0.001*        |
| Fasting insulin(mg/ml) | 6.85±3.86     | 5.38±2.59    | <0.001*        |
| HOMA-IR                | 2.29 [1.61,3.28] | 1.51 [1.08,2.16] | <0.001*        |
| HbA1c(%)               | 7.65 [7.30,8.40] | 7.10 [6.70,7.95] | <0.001*        |
| TG(mmol/L)             | 1.70 [1.20,2.37] | 1.52 [1.19,2.00] | 0.478          |
| TC(mmol/L)             | 4.73±0.87     | 4.72±0.96    | 0.955          |
| LDL-C(mmol/L)          | 2.87±0.80     | 2.81±0.84    | 0.460          |
| HDL-C(mmol/L)          | 1.28±0.29     | 1.33±0.32    | 0.052          |
| Weight (Kg)            | 66.13±9.88    | 66.43±9.81   | 0.184          |
| BMI(Kg/m2)             | 25.49±3.05    | 25.61±3.09   | 0.163          |
| Waist circumference(cm) | 90.65±8.83    | 90.19±8.60   | 0.229          |
| Systolic blood pressure(mmmHg) | 133.98±20.74 | 132.34±17.93 | 0.386          |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 80.00±7.25 | 80.00±7.09   | 0.254          |

HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; BMI, body mass index.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112480.t002
Mean changes in clinical characteristics before and after pioglitazone treatment are statistically significant between genotypes

The previous analysis was based on the number of patients, out of 80 total or within genotype subgroups, with ≥15% change in HbA1c%. In order to gain further insight into the physiological benefits of pioglitazone to patients, genotype was examined with regard to each clinical parameter in terms of mean change between the measurements made prior to and following treatment. Polymorphisms at rs2241766 exhibited statistically significant changes within clinical correlates in response to treatment that were not apparent in the previous analysis. The mean decrease in HbA1c% levels after pioglitazone treatment was greater in patients with the rs2241766 genotype TG than the patients with genotype TT (TG: 1.15±0.80% vs. TT: 0.52±0.64%, p = 0.001), indicating a synergy of the G allele (rs2241766) with efficacy of pioglitazone in these patients. There were no other significant differences of mean change in FPG, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, ADIPOQ, TG, TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, BMI, and WC between rs2241766 TG and TT genotypes (Table 6).

The second clinical correlate to emerge as a potential predictor of pioglitazone efficacy was WC. Genotypes of two SNPs within the intron 2 region of the ADIPOQ gene (rs3774261 and rs3821799) were associated with significant differences in WC. Patients of the rs3774261 genotypes AA and AG + GG experienced a significant mean decrease of WC (AA: 1.00 [−2.00, 1.00] vs. AG + GG; 0.00 [−1.00, 1.00]; p = 0.019). The mean decrease in the insulin value, however, was less for genotype AA than for genotypes AG + GG.

### Table 3. SNP genotypes of the adiponectin gene and allele frequencies in the Chinese cohort.

| db SNP number | Gene region | Alleles (1/2) | Genotype distribution |
|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| rs266729      | Promoter    | C/G           | 11 26.25% 3.75%       |
| rs16861194    | Promoter    | A/G           | 12 15% 0.00%          |
| rs1501299     | Intron 2    | G/T           | 22 46.25% 8.75%       |
| rs2241767     | Intron 2    | A/G           | 12 41.25% 3.75%       |
| rs3821799     | Intron 2    | C/T           | 11 53.75% 7.50%       |
| rs145335235   | Intron 2    | A/G           | 12 50% 12.50%         |
| rs3774261     | Intron 2    | A/G           | 12 50% 12.50%         |
| rs2241766     | Exon 2      | T/G           | 11 21.25% 0.00%       |
| rs17846872    | Exon 3      | C/T           | 11 30% 12.50%         |
| rs3549083     | Exon 3      | A/G           | 12 8.75% 0.00%        |
| rs1063537     | Exon 3      | C/T           | 11 30% 12.50%         |
| rs3821799     | Intron 2    | C/T           | 11 33.75% 18.75%      |
| rs1063538     | Exon 3      | C/T           | 11 51.25% 18.75%      |

### Table 4. Association of adiponectin gene SNP with response to pioglitazone.

| SNP           | Response rate | P-value (x²) | SNP           | Response rate | P-value (x²) |
|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| rs15012990/T  | 16.67%        |              | rs2241767/A/G | 27.27%        |              |
| GG            | 25%           | 0.365        | AG+GG         | 13.89%        | 0.145        |
| GT+TT         | 12.70%        | 0.001*       | CT+TT         | 16.67%        | 0.292        |
| TG            | 52.94%        |              | CT            | 26.32%        |              |
| TT            | 21.43%        |              | TT            | 20.83%        |              |
| rs266729/C/G  | 20.83%        | 0.952        | AA            | 26.09%        |              |
| CC            | 20.83%        | 0.952        | AA            | 26.09%        |              |
| rs16861194/A/G| 25%           | 0.711        | TT            | 14.71%        | 0.219        |
| AA            | 20.59%        |              | TT            | 20.83%        |              |
| AG            | 20.83%        | 0.952        | CC            | 26.09%        |              |
| rs3821799/T/C | 19.35%        |              | AA            | 16.67%        |              |
| TT            | 22.45%        | 0.742        | TT            | 20.83%        |              |

**Adiponectin Gene Polymorphism and Pioglitazone Treatment**
(AA: 0.45 [−0.24, 1.67]; AG + GG: 1.44 [0.33, 2.52]; \( p = 0.030 \)).
For the second intron 2 polymorphism (rs3821799), the mean decrease in WC was significantly greater in those patients with genotype TT than in those with the other genotypes (TT: decrease in WC was significantly greater in those patients with the rs2241766 TG genotype than in}
these differences between the genotypes were statistically significant (\( p = 0.001 \), indicating that a base pair difference of G

to predict patients who will benefit most from pioglitazone treatment.

The most straightforward scenario for differences in pioglitazone response would be that the transcriptional activity of PPAR\( \gamma \), and thus plasma ADIPOQ levels, may differ based on sequence variability in the promoter region of the gene. Although pioglitazone has been shown to directly regulate transcription of the ADIPOQ gene through PPAR\( \gamma \), our data indicate ironically that there is no association between polymorphisms in the promoter region of the ADIPOQ gene (rs266729 and rs16861194) and pioglitazone response. However, a weak association between a polymorphism in the second promoter region (rs266729) and HbA1c% values was found [10]. Specifically, rs266729 GG versus CC was associated with a greater decrease in the HbA1c% value after the pioglitazone treatment (0.13% vs. 0.08%). Treatment protocols between the two studies differed slightly and may account for the association in their results: 10 weeks of treatment pioglitazone at a dose of 30 mg/day study [10] versus 12 weeks at a dose of 15 mg/day in this study.

The synonymous ADIPOQ SNP, rs2241766 (+45 T>G, glycine-to-glycine change), is one of the most common polymorphisms of the gene. Rs2241766 is in close proximity to the splice junction of intron 1 and exon 2 and may affect the splicing of the mRNA. Differences in response to pioglitazone may, thus, be the result of subsequent changes in ADIPOQ protein levels and activity. One of the interesting findings from our study is that the rs2241766 polymorphism was significantly associated with the response to pioglitazone in patients. Furthermore, response amongst these patients alone differed based on genotype. The response rate and mean decrease in HbA1c% values with pioglitazone treatment for patients with genotype TT was 52.94% and 1.15%, respectively. A response and mean decrease was also evident in HbA1c% values for patients with the TT genotype but to a lesser degree, 12.70% and 0.52%, respectively. These differences between the genotypes were statistically significant (\( p<0.001 \)), indicating that a base pair difference of G to T may influence the efficacy of pioglitazone.

As one of the most common polymorphisms of the ADIPOQ gene, rs2241766 has been examined for association to treatment response by other investigators. In Iranian patients with T2DM, the rs2241766 polymorphism was not found to be associated with

| Variable | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P-value |
|----------|------------|--------|---------|
| Rs1501299 (GT+TT vs. GG) | 1.718 | 0.408–7.230 | 0.461 |
| Rs2241767 (AA vs. AG+GG) | 1.201 | 0.236–6.120 | 0.826 |
| Rs3821799 (TC+CC vs. TT) | 1.131 | 0.139–9.204 | 0.908 |
| Rs3774261 (AG+GG vs. AA) | 1.209 | 0.156–9.352 | 0.856 |
| Rs266729 (CC vs. CG+GG) | 1.219 | 0.307–4.836 | 0.778 |
| Rs16861194 (AG vs. AA) | 1.274 | 0.231–7.019 | 0.781 |
| Rs1063537 (CC vs. CT+TT) | 2.972 | 0.492–17.947 | 0.235 |
| Rs2082940 (CC vs. CT+TT) | 1.303 | 0.308–5.515 | 0.719 |
| Rs1063538 (TC+CC vs. TT) | 1.255 | 0.283–5.562 | 0.765 |
| Rs2241766 (TG vs. TT) | 11.483 | 2.637–50.002 | 0.001* |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112480.t005

Table 5. Logistic regression analysis for the influence of clinical and genetic factors on the response to pioglitazone treatment.

Discussion

Individual differences in patient response to pioglitazone do exist, and many studies have focused on underlying genetic diversity at the ADIPOQ locus as the fundamental cause. Although there is agreement among studies performed by various investigators that ADIPOQ gene polymorphisms do influence the response to pioglitazone in T2DM patients, the results of individual studies are inconsistent [11,12,13]. The primary objective of this prospective intervention study was to determine whether genetic variation in the ADIPOQ gene might be the basis for the inconsistencies reported in response to pioglitazone treatment. The results revealed two major points. Firstly, the decrease in HbA1c% levels after the pioglitazone treatment was greater in those patients with the rs2241766 TG genotype than in patients with other genotypes. Secondly, where response was not evident by the HbA1c% criteria, significant changes in individual clinical correlates were associated with genotypes of individual polymorphisms. For example, the mean change in the FPG levels between the rs3774261 AA and AG + GG genotypes was significantly different. These findings may be clinically relevant to predict patients who will benefit most from pioglitazone treatment.
the response to pioglitazone [11], although overall Iranian patients did respond better to pioglitazone, 31.7% versus 21.25% in our study. The basis for the difference in response in Iranian patients is unknown and may be due to ethnic differences in the populations analyzed [14,15]. One other possibility is that pioglitazone has physiological effects in addition to transcription mediated by PPARγ[16].

Rs2082940, rs1063538 and rs1063537, polymorphisms in exon 3 regions of the ADIPOQ gene, were also examined for associations with therapeutic efficacy of pioglitazone was investigated. However, there was no evidence of an association between response to pioglitazone and these polymorphisms. Additional studies including larger cohorts, as well as other common polymorphisms in ADIPOQ gene, will be helpful to further confirm our results.

A final analysis was performed to identify associations between polymorphisms and specific clinical phenotypes that might be obscured simply because of our definition of response to treatment. In this analysis, a unique, previously undescribed relationship of response to pioglitazone with intron 2 SNPs, rs3774261, rs3821799, rs2241767, and rs1501299, emerged. Two clinical parameters, WC and insulin resistance, exhibited significant changes in patients with specific SNPs. The mean change in WC was significantly greater in treated patients with the rs3821799 genotype AA compared to those carrying genotypes AG + GG (P = 0.019). In contrast, the reduction in the insulin
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|----------|---------|---------|---------|
|          |         |         |         |

| rs2241766 | TT      | TG      | P-value |
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Table 6. Clinical characteristics of patients with various genotypes for the rs2241766 before and after the administration of pioglitazone.

|                | TT      | TG      | P-value |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| FPG (mmol/L)   | Before treatment 8.62 ± 1.52 | 9.17 ± 1.61 | 0.195 |
|                | After treatment 7.22 ± 1.43 | 7.40 ± 1.07 | 0.637 |
|                | Mean change 1.40 ± 1.88 | 1.77 ± 1.21 | 0.440 |
| Fasting insulin (mg/ml) | Before treatment 6.87 ± 4.14 | 6.81 ± 2.67 | 0.959 |
|                | After treatment 5.24 ± 2.62 | 5.89 ± 2.51 | 0.362 |
|                | Mean change 1.14 ± [−0.02,2.51] | 0.71 ± [0.07,1.35] | 0.473 |
| HbA1c (%)      | Before treatment 7.60 [7.20,8.20] | 7.90 [7.30,8.95] | 0.256 |
|                | After treatment 7.41 ± 0.94 | 7.12 ± 1.05 | 0.286 |
|                | Mean change 0.52 ± 0.64 | 1.15 ± 0.80 | 0.001* |
| HOMA-IR        | Before treatment 2.16 [1.46,3.26] | 2.45 [1.94,3.39] | 0.298 |
|                | After treatment 1.47 [1.06,2.02] | 1.83 [1.22,2.39] | 0.137 |
|                | Mean change 0.66 [0.11,1.23] | 0.77 [0.34,1.16] | 0.663 |
| Adiponectin (mg/L) | Before treatment 4.51 ± 3.92 | 6.07 ± 3.64 | 0.145 |
|                | After treatment 6.63 ± 5.07 | 8.48 ± 5.03 | 0.185 |
|                | Mean change −2.11 ± 3.95 | −2.41 ± 5.19 | 0.800 |
| TG (mmol/l)    | Before treatment 1.84 ± 0.95 | 2.14 ± 0.90 | 0.249 |
|                | After treatment 1.46 [1.16,2.19] | 1.62 [1.31,1.86] | 0.394 |
|                | Mean change 0.01 ± 0.78 | 0.28 ± 0.106 | 0.245 |
| TC (mmol/l)    | Before treatment 4.65 ± 0.85 | 5.02 ± 0.91 | 0.120 |
|                | After treatment 4.72 ± 1.00 | 4.74 ± 0.87 | 0.955 |
|                | Mean change −0.07 ± 0.90 | 0.28 ± 0.90 | 0.153 |
| LDL-C (mmol/l) | Before treatment 2.84 ± 0.83 | 2.99 ± 0.69 | 0.479 |
|                | After treatment 2.81 ± 0.87 | 2.81 ± 0.70 | 0.998 |
|                | Mean change 0.03 ± 0.75 | 0.18 ± 0.70 | 0.447 |
| HDL-C (mmol/l) | Before treatment 1.29 ± 0.29 | 1.26 ± 0.31 | 0.667 |
|                | After treatment 1.35 ± 0.34 | 1.26 ± 0.23 | 0.261 |
|                | Mean change −0.06 ± 0.22 | 0.00 ± 0.23 | 0.297 |
| BMI (kg/m2)    | Before treatment 25.63 ± 3.28 | 24.94 ± 1.96 | 0.276 |
|                | After treatment 25.76 ± 3.34 | 25.05 ± 1.86 | 0.253 |
|                | Mean change −0.13 ± 0.83 | −0.11 ± 0.65 | 0.930 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | Before treatment 91.41 ± 9.43 | 87.82 ± 5.43 | 0.049* |
|                | After treatment 90.91 ± 9.04 | 87.53 ± 6.20 | 0.151 |
|                | Mean change 0.00 [−1.00,2.00] | 0.00 [−2.00,1.25] | 0.609 |

FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; BMI, body mass index.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112480.t006
Table 7. Clinical characteristics of patients with various genotypes for the rs3821799 and rs3774261 before and after the administration of pioglitazone.

|            | rs3821799 |          | rs3774261 |          |
|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
|            | TT        | TC+CC    | AA        | AG+GG    | p-value  |
| FPG (mmol/L) |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 8.63 ± 1.48 | 8.81 ± 1.60 | 8.52 ± 1.49 | 8.83 ± 1.59 | 0.469     |
| After treatment  | 7.09 ± 1.43 | 7.36 ± 1.32 | 6.88 ± 1.27 | 7.49 ± 1.37 | 0.052     |
| Mean change    | 1.54 ± 1.88 | 1.44 ± 1.69 | 1.70 ± 1.78 | 1.35 ± 1.75 | 0.396     |
| Fasting insulin (mg/ml) |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 6.02 ± 2.95 | 7.38 ± 2.49 | 6.11 ± 2.95 | 7.30 ± 2.49 | 0.183     |
| After treatment  | 5.10 ± 2.01 | 5.56 ± 2.91 | 5.31 ± 2.29 | 5.42 ± 2.78 | 0.847     |
| Mean change    | 0.92 [-0.21, 1.64] | 1.25 [0.32, 2.54] | 0.45 [-0.24, 1.67] | 1.44 [0.33, 2.52] | 0.030*   |
| HbA1c (%)      |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 8.20 ± 1.34 | 7.88 ± 0.82 | 8.17 ± 1.30 | 7.90 ± 0.88 | 0.322     |
| After treatment  | 7.47 ± 1.12 | 7.27 ± 0.86 | 7.40 ± 1.15 | 7.26 ± 0.83 | 0.345     |
| Mean change    | 0.74 ± 0.68 | 0.61 ± 0.74 | 0.68 ± 0.74 | 0.64 ± 0.71 | 0.820     |
| HOMA-IR        |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 2.16 [1.46, 3.02] | 2.43 [1.71, 3.43] | 2.06 [1.44, 3.07] | 2.44 [1.68, 3.37] | 0.229     |
| After treatment  | 1.47 [1.06, 1.86] | 1.69 [1.09, 2.33] | 1.63 ± 0.87 | 1.77 ± 0.87 | 0.491     |
| Mean change    | 0.41 [0.21, 0.82] | 0.80 [0.30, 1.27] | 0.45 [0.19, 0.92] | 0.79 [0.29, 1.24] | 0.178     |
| Adiponectin (mg/l) |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 3.15 [2.68, 5.53] | 4.14 [1.80, 7.43] | 3.06 [2.67, 7.55] | 4.29 [1.87, 7.41] | 0.773     |
| After treatment  | 6.96 ± 4.82 | 7.06 ± 5.29 | 7.12 ± 5.59 | 6.96 ± 4.81 | 0.897     |
| Mean change    | -2.48 ± 4.47 | -1.99 ± 4.07 | -2.49 ± 4.93 | -1.99 ± 3.75 | 0.604     |
| TG (mmol/l)    |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 1.84 ± 0.93 | 1.94 ± 0.96 | 1.85 ± 0.95 | 1.94 ± 0.95 | 0.685     |
| After treatment  | 1.46 [1.05, 1.90] | 1.55 [1.27, 2.29] | 1.61 [1.18, 2.07] | 1.48 [1.22, 2.06] | 0.917     |
| Mean change    | 0.20 ± 0.79 | -0.01 ± 0.88 | 0.26 ± 0.73 | 0.05 ± 0.92 | 0.778     |
| TC (mmol/l)    |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 4.79 ± 0.83 | 4.69 ± 0.89 | 4.89 ± 0.73 | 4.63 ± 0.93 | 0.199     |
| After treatment  | 4.60 ± 1.01 | 4.80 ± 0.93 | 4.66 ± 1.01 | 4.76 ± 0.95 | 0.649     |
| Mean change    | 0.19 ± 0.86 | -0.11 ± 0.92 | 0.13 ± 0.77 | -0.13 ± 0.96 | 0.084     |
| LDL-C (mmol/l) |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 2.96 ± 0.86 | 2.82 ± 0.76 | 3.01 ± 0.81 | 2.79 ± 0.79 | 0.220     |
| After treatment  | 2.84 ± 1.04 | 2.79 ± 0.69 | 2.87 ± 1.03 | 2.78 ± 0.71 | 0.636     |
| Mean change    | 0.12 ± 0.73 | 0.02 ± 0.75 | 0.56 ± 0.15, 0.67 | 0.01 ± 0.78 | 0.483     |
| HDL-C (mmol/l) |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 1.24 ± 0.31 | 1.31 ± 0.28 | 1.29 ± 0.29 | 1.28 ± 0.30 | 0.870     |
| After treatment  | 1.30 ± 0.30 | 1.35 ± 0.33 | 1.32 ± 0.31 | 1.34 ± 0.33 | 0.830     |
| Mean change    | -0.06 ± 0.16 | -0.04 ± 0.25 | -0.03 ± 0.13 | -0.06 ± 0.26 | 0.540     |
| BMI (kg/m²)    |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 25.30 ± 2.83 | 25.60 ± 3.20 | 25.64 ± 3.40 | 25.40 ± 2.85 | 0.734     |
| After treatment  | 25.34 ± 2.84 | 25.78 ± 3.25 | 25.59 ± 3.24 | 25.62 ± 3.03 | 0.957     |
| Mean change    | -0.04 ± 0.90 | -0.18 ± 0.71 | 0.05 ± 0.92 | -0.23 ± 0.69 | 0.126     |
| Waist circumference(cm) |           |          |           |          |          |
| Before treatment | 89.71 ± 7.96 | 91.24 ± 9.37 | 91.38 ± 9.62 | 90.21 ± 8.39 | 0.568     |
| After treatment  | 88.48 ± 8.30 | 91.28 ± 8.69 | 90.18 ± 9.65 | 90.20 ± 8.00 | 0.993     |
| Mean change    | 1.25 ± 2.59 | -0.03 ± 2.39 | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 1.00 [-0.63, 3.00] | 0.00 [-1.00, 1.00] | 0.019*   |

FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; BMI, body mass index. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112480.t007
Table 8. Clinical characteristics of patients with various genotypes for the rs1501299 and rs2241767 before and after the administration of pioglitazone.

|                     | rs 1501299 |          | p-value | rs2241767 |          | p-value |
|---------------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
|                     | GG         | GT+TT    |         | TT        | TG       |         |
| FPG (mmol/L)        |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 8.35 ± 1.11| 9.05 ± 1.78| 0.034* | 8.74 ± 1.3 | 8.74 ± 1.81| 0.993   |
| After treatment     | 7.10 ± 1.29| 7.39 ± 1.42| 0.342 | 7.30 ± 1.37| 7.21 ± 1.36| 0.779   |
| Mean change         | 1.25 ± 1.43| 1.67 ± 1.98| 0.283 | 1.44 ± 1.70| 1.53 ± 1.85| 0.834   |
| Fasting insulin (mg/ml) |         |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 6.44 ± 2.94| 7.20 ± 4.49| 0.366 | 7.10 ± 3.92| 6.85 ± 3.33| 0.534   |
| After treatment     | 5.33 ± 3.69| 4.46 [3.16,6.92]| 0.498 | 5.65 ± 2.57| 5.05 ± 2.63| 0.302   |
| Mean change         | 0.67 [−0.03,1.89]| 1.11 [0.042,87]| 0.442 | 0.88 [−0.04,1.89]| 1.23 [0.31,2.37]| 0.505   |
| HbA1c (%)           |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 7.40 [7.10,7.80]| 8.10 [7.48,90]| 0.001* | 8.19 ± 1.12| 7.77 ± 0.94| 0.073   |
| After treatment     | 7.07 ± 0.76| 7.57 ± 1.06| 0.016* | 7.41 ± 1.00| 7.27 ± 0.93| 0.508   |
| Mean change         | 0.58 ± 0.60| 0.72 ± 0.80| 0.248 | 0.78 ± 0.78| 0.51 ± 0.60| 0.081   |
| HOMA-IR             |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 2.36 ± 1.05| 2.98 ± 2.33| 0.115 | 2.55 [1.63,3.38]| 1.98 [1.57,3.17]| 0.333   |
| After treatment     | 1.68 ± 0.75| 1.74 ± 0.96| 0.764 | 1.66 [1.15,2.22]| 1.18 [1.05,2.04]| 0.138   |
| Mean change         | 0.69 [0.24,0.93]| 0.67 [0.14,2.02]| 0.395 | 0.65 [0.24,0.98]| 0.77 [0.15,1.25]| 0.653   |
| Adiponectin (mg/L)  |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 3.05 [1.54,5.29]| 3.83 [2.65,7.91]| 0.042* | 4.78 ± 3.97| 4.92 ± 3.86| 0.871   |
| After treatment     | 5.93 [2.46,928]| 5.6 [2.94,11,81]| 0.471 | 7.40 ± 4.76| 6.56 ± 5.48| 0.464   |
| Mean change         | −2.35 ± 4.29| −2.03 ± 4.18| 0.737 | −2.62 ± 3.98| −1.63 ± 4.46| 0.299   |
| TG (mmol/l)         |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 1.89 ± 0.86| 1.92 ± 1.02| 0.913 | 1.96 ± 0.85| 1.83 ± 1.05| 0.542   |
| After treatment     | 1.46 [1.19,191]| 1.61 [1.26,259]| 0.431 | 1.62 [1.25,213]| 1.38 [1.13,1.97]| 0.216   |
| Mean change         | 0.25 ± 0.76| −0.08 ± 0.90| 0.080 | 0.03 ± 0.92| 0.11 ± 0.77| 0.659   |
| TC (mmol/l)         |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 4.57 ± 0.86| 4.86 ± 0.86| 0.143 | 4.79 ± 0.84| 4.66 ± 0.91| 0.514   |
| After treatment     | 4.43 ± 0.79| 4.96 ± 1.03| 0.013* | 4.82 ± 1.03| 4.61 ± 0.88| 0.343   |
| Mean change         | 0.14 ± 0.92| −0.11 ± 0.88| 0.227 | −0.03 ± 0.97| 0.05 ± 0.82| 0.700   |
| LDL-C (mmol/l)      |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 2.71 [2.30,324]| 2.80 [2.40,332]| 0.562 | 2.88 ± 0.74| 2.87 ± 0.87| 0.965   |
| After treatment     | 2.78 [2.30,311]| 2.70 [2.33,334]| 0.681 | 2.83 ± 0.87| 2.79 ± 0.81| 0.845   |
| Mean change         | 0.12 ± 0.82| 0.01 ± 0.68| 0.508 | 0.05 ± 0.87| 0.08 ± 0.56| 0.862   |
| HDL-C (mmol/l)      |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 1.24 ± 0.30| 1.32 ± 0.29| 0.198 | 1.26 ± 0.28| 1.32 ± 0.31| 0.358   |
| After treatment     | 1.27 ± 0.26| 1.38 ± 0.35| 0.118 | 1.31 ± 0.35| 1.37 ± 0.28| 0.383   |
| Mean change         | −0.03 ± 0.22| −0.06 ± 0.23| 0.599 | −0.05 ± 0.20| −0.05 ± 0.25| 0.976   |
| BMI (kg/m²)         |            |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 25.47 ± 2.83| 25.50 ± 3.25| 0.976 | 25.63 ± 3.34| 25.31 ± 2.68| 0.635   |
| After treatment     | 25.51 ± 2.90| 25.69 ± 3.27| 0.804 | 25.74 ± 3.27| 25.45 ± 2.89| 0.681   |
| Mean change         | −0.04 ± 0.91| −0.19 ± 0.68| 0.398 | −0.11 ± 0.84| −0.15 ± 0.74| 0.827   |
| Waist circumference(cm) |        |          |         |           |          |         |
| Before treatment    | 90.54 ± 7.19| 90.74 ± 10.05| 0.919 | 91.00 ± 9.34| 90.22 ± 8.27| 0.998   |
| After treatment     | 89.57 ± 7.34| 90.70 ± 9.55| 0.550 | 90.38 ± 9.21| 89.97 ± 7.91| 0.836   |
| Mean change         | 1.00 [−1.00,2.38]| 0.00 [−1.00,38]| 0.149 | 0.00 [−1.00,2.00]| 0.00 [−1.00,100]| 0.506   |

FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; BMI, body mass index. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112480.t008
value of the rs3774261 genotype AG + GG patients was greater than for genotype AA ($p = 0.030$). Our results corroborate analysis performed on a cohort of Italian patients [17]. In the Iranian population, however, the putative relationship of the exon 2 polymorphisms with WC was obscured. Only a non-significant association between the rs3821799 polymorphism and WC was observed [18].

The main trend of the future of medicine is personalized medicine, based in part on individual genotypes, in order to provide appropriate, safe, and economic use of a drug [19,20]. This study provides novel data on the relationship between SNP in the ADIPOQ gene and the response to pioglitazone. Several caveats however still exist regarding the results. The major limitation of this study is the small sample size. Secondly, the study does not illuminate the molecular mechanisms by which genotype variants may influence the response to pioglitazone. Finally, the efficacy of pioglitazone is to a degree dependent on environmental factors such as diet and exercise, and these factors were not addressed in this study. However, the results should stimulate further studies with a much larger sample size, perhaps encompassing more than simply the Chinese Han population, along with more strictly designed clinical and mechanistic studies.
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