A Millimetre Survey of Starburst Dominated Ultraluminous Infrared Galaxies at $z \sim 2$
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ABSTRACT

We present millimetre observations of a sample of 12 high redshift ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) in the Extended Growth Strip (EGS). These objects were initially selected on the basis of their observed mid–IR colours ($0.0 < [3.6] - [4.5] < 0.4$ and $-0.7 < [3.6] - [8.0] < 0.5$) to lie at high redshift $1.5 < z < 3$, and subsequent 20–38$\mu$m mid–IR spectroscopy confirms that they lie in a narrow redshift window centered on $z \approx 2$. We detect 9/12 of the objects in our sample at high significance ($> 3\sigma$) with a mean 1200$\mu$m flux of $< F_{1200\mu m} >= 1.6 \pm 0.1$ mJy. Our millimetre photometry, combined with existing far–IR photometry from the Far–IR Deep Extragalactic Legacy (FIDEL) Survey and accurate spectroscopic redshifts, places constraints both sides of the thermal dust peak. This allows us to estimate the dust properties, including the far–IR luminosity, dust temperature, and dust mass. We find that our sample is similar to other high–$z$ and intermediate–$z$ ULIRGs, and local systems, but has a different dust selection function than submillimeter–selected galaxies. Finally, we use existing 20cm radio continuum imaging to test the far–IR/radio correlation at high redshift. We find that our sample is consistent with the local relation, implying little evolution. Furthermore, this suggests that our sample selection method is efficient at identifying ultraluminous, starburst–dominated systems within a very narrow redshift range centered at $z \sim 2$.
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1 INTRODUCTION

First discovered over 30 years ago, infrared (IR) luminous (LIRGs: $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11} L_\odot$) and ultraluminous (ULIRGs: $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} L_\odot$) galaxies are among the most extreme objects in the universe, with energy outputs rivaling those of bright quasars (see Sanders & Mirabel 1996, for a review). Locally, theoretical modeling (Mihos & Hernquist 1994) and both CO spectroscopic (e.g., Solomon et al. 1997) and optical/near–IR imaging (Veilleux et al. 2002) indicate that they are powered in large part by star formation (SF) induced by major mergers. In the context of a merger–driven model of galaxy evolution, they represent the starburst prelude to the rapid, self–regulated growth of a nuclear supermassive black hole (e.g., Silk & Rees 1998; Murray et al. 2005; Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins et al. 2007; Younger et al. 2008), a bright quasar, and eventually a “red and dead” early–type massive galaxy (Sanders et al. 1988; Hopkins et al. 2006, 2008b).

Though locally they contribute a very small fraction of the infrared luminosity density, at high redshift $z \gtrsim 1$ LIRGs and ULIRGs take on increasing cosmological importance and may dominate cosmic SF at $z \gtrsim 2$ (Blain et al. 1999, 2002; Le Floc’h et al. 2005). There has also been recent observational evidence for a significant populations of hyperluminous sources at still greater redshift $z \gtrsim 4$ (Wang et al. 2007; Younger et al. 2007, 2008b; Dannerbauer et al. 2008; Younger et al. 2008c). Several techniques have been developed for selecting high redshift ULIRGs, including: direct far–IR selection via (sub)millimeter surveys (e.g., Hughes et al. 1998; Barser et al. 1998; Greve et al. 2004; Pope et al. 2006; Scott et al. 2008), dust–obscured galaxies (DOGs) selected on the basis of their 24$m\mu$m–R colour (e.g., Houck et al. 2005; Yan et al. 2005), and those selected based on their mid–IR colours (Farrar et al. 2008). Huang et al., in prepara-
tation). Understanding the nature of these different populations, and the engine driving their extreme luminosities, is crucial to a thorough understanding of SF and galaxy evolution at high redshift.

At the same time, a remarkably tight correlation between the radio and far-IR luminosity of galaxies, spanning several decades in FIR luminosity, has been known for more than 20 years (Helou et al. 1985; Condon & Broderick 1991; Condon 1992; Yun et al. 2001). Though it is thought that this relationship arises from synchrotron loses associated with massive star forming regions (Hummel 1986; Hummel et al. 1988; Condon 1992), the detailed physics are relatively poorly understood (see also the discussion in Thompson et al. 2006). Therefore, our sources are more far-IR luminous at fixed 24μm independent of obscuration, which is consistent with a larger contribution from a starburst starburst over a dusty AGN.

2 SAMPLE SELECTION

It has been shown (Sawicki 2002; Huang et al. 2004; Papovich 2005; Conselice et al. 2007a) that an efficient method for selecting high redshift galaxies is to track the 1.6μm stellar emission bump via mid-IR colors. Using the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC: Fazio et al. 2004) on board the Spitzer Space Telescope (Werner et al. 2004), applying the color selection criteria

\[
0.0 < [3.6] - [4.5] < 0.4 \quad \text{and} \quad -0.7 < [3.6] - [8.0] < 0.5,
\]

where [3.6] denotes the AB magnitude in the 3.6μm band (and likewise for the 4.5 and 8.0μm bands), will identify massive galaxies in the redshift range 1.5 ≤ z ≤ 3.0 – the redshift range where the peak of the 1.6μm stellar bump is redshifted into the 4.5 and 5.8μm bands. Furthermore, since this is effectively a rest frame near-IR selection criterion, it is relatively insensitive to dust reddening and is roughly stellar–mass limited (Huang et al. 2004, 2005; Conselice et al. 2007a).

Huang et al. (in preparation) used this technique, combined with a 24μm flux limit of F_{24μm} > 0.5 mJy using the Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS; Rieke et al. 2004), to select high redshift, massive, IR luminous systems for mid-IR spectroscopic followup using the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS; Houck et al. 2004) – both also on board Spitzer. This yielded a sample of 12 objects in the Extended Groth Strip (EGS), all with L_{IR} ≥ 10^{12}L_{⊙} in a remarkably tight redshift range centered around z ~ 1.9. This was also a considerably more uniform sample of high redshift IR luminous galaxies than other Spitzer selected samples; see Huang et al. (in preparation) for a more detailed comparison to the various other selection techniques. Our sample was drawn from the Huang et al. (in preparation) EGS sample with mid-IR derived spectroscopy redshifts.

3 OBSERVATIONS

The optical and near- to mid-IR observations used for this work are described in detail in Davis et al. (2007), Huang et al. (2008, in preparation), and references therein. Briefly, we make extensive use of deep r' imaging with a limiting magnitude of 26.5 AB, IRAC imaging at (3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0)μm to 5σ flux limits of (1.1, 1.2, 6.3, 6.9)μJy (see also Barmby et al. 2004, 2008), and MIPS imaging at 24μm to a 5σ depth of 77μJy (Sanders et al. 2007). We also make use of extremely deep far-IR MIPS imaging at 70 and 160μm that combined existing observations (PID 00008, PI Fazio) and the Far-Infrared Deep Extragalactic Survey (FIDELS; PID 30948, PI Dickinson)1 and deep 1.4 GHz radio imaging to a depth of 50μJy (Ivison et al. 2007). Photometry for our sources was first presented by Huang et al. (2008, in preparation).

The millimetre observations presented here were performed at the Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM) 30 metre telescope at Pico Veleta during the Winter/Spring of 2008, using the 117 element Max–Plank Bolometer Array 2 (MAMBO-

1 For a description of the FIDELS observing strategy and data reduction, see http://data.spitzer.caltech.edu/popular/fidel/2007/sep17/fidel_dr2.html.
1200 µJy and 20 cm photometry is from Huang et al. (in preparation). The 1200 µm MAMBO observations and data reduction are described in [3].

Table 1. The observed r‘ band fluxes and far–IR SEDs of the ULIRGs in our sample. Upper limits are at the 3σ level. The 70 µm, 160 µm, and 20 cm photometry is from Huang et al. (in preparation). The 1200 µm MAMBO observations and data reduction are described in [3].

| Object          | Name   | $r'$  | $F_{24\mu m}$ | $F_{70\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m}$ | $F_{160\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m}$ | $F_{20cm}/F_{1200\mu m}$ |
|-----------------|--------|-------|---------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| EGS142301.5+523223 | egs1   | 23.18 ± 0.02 | 554 ± 35  | < 3.0 | 12.7 ± 7.0 | 1.19 ± 0.39  | 59 ± 10  |
| EGS142148.5+521435 | egs4   | 26.58 ± 0.20 | 557 ± 22  | 2.4 ± 1.0 | < 21.0  | 1.65 ± 0.39  | 68 ± 10  |
| EGS141928.1+524342 | egs10  | 23.32 ± 0.03 | 623 ± 35  | 5.0 ± 1.0 | 45.5 ± 7.0 | 1.31 ± 0.36  | 85 ± 10  |
| EGS141917.4+524922 | egs11  | 26.37 ± 0.21 | 591 ± 20  | 8.7 ± 1.0 | < 21.0  | 0.84 ± 0.40  | 67 ± 10  |
| EGS141920.4+525038 | egs12  | 23.43 ± 0.02 | 743 ± 23  | < 3.0 | < 21.0  | 1.57 ± 0.41  | 36 ± 10  |
| EGS141900.3+524948 | egs14  | 23.83 ± 0.06 | 1053 ± 41 | 4.5 ± 1.0 | 76.7 ± 7.0 | 3.70 ± 0.49  | 263 ± 10 |
| EGS141829.5+523630 | egs21  | 24.16 ± 0.05 | 605 ± 23  | 2.5 ± 1.0 | 35.2 ± 7.0 | 1.11 ± 0.30  | 70 ± 10  |
| EGS141822.5+523938 | egs23  | 25.06 ± 0.07 | 665 ± 18  | 2.9 ± 1.0 | 62.4 ± 7.0 | 1.80 ± 0.38  | 119 ± 10 |
| EGS141834.6+524506 | egs24  | 24.25 ± 0.05 | 663 ± 29  | 4.4 ± 1.0 | 9.7 ± 7.0  | 0.36 ± 0.38  | 47 ± 10  |
| EGS141746.2+523322 | egs26  | 25.84 ± 0.24 | 492 ± 16  | 1.5 ± 1.0 | 21.0 ± 6.0 | 1.13 ± 0.35  | 97 ± 10  |
| EGS141836.8+524604 | egs24a | 24.56 ± 0.17 | 997 ± 30  | 2.5 ± 1.0 | 15.1 ± 7.0 | 2.85 ± 0.47  | 112 ± 10 |
| EGS142219.8+531950 | egsb2  | 23.74 ± 0.03 | 616 ± 30  | 3.6 ± 1.0 | 21.7 ± 7.0 | −0.57 ± 0.54 | 134 ± 10 |

Figure 2. The millimetre detectability as a function of the 70 µm–(F$_{70\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m}$; left) and 160 µm–to–millimetre (F$_{160\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m}$; right) flux density ratio. Upper and lower limits are indicated by arrows. We find a strong negative correlation at the ~ 2σ level (see Table 2) between the millimetre flux and $F_{70\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m}$, reflecting the fact that warmer sources are less likely to have a significant cold dust component. We do not, however, find a significant correlation between the millimetre flux and $F_{160\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m}$.

Krevs et al. (1998). All our observations were performed in good weather conditions, with $T_{250\mathrm{GHz}} < 0.3$ and 1.9 K (corresponding to a 0.52s wobbler “period”) chopped sky noise $< 100$ mJy/beam (HPBW=11 arcsec). Primary pointing, focus, and flux calibration was performed on either Mars ($\sim 130$ Jy) or another bright calibrator source – including K3–50A ($\sim 7$ Jy) and CW-LEO ($\sim 1.3$ Jy). Secondary pointing was performed on J1419+544 ($\sim 1$ Jy), a bright quasar only 1 degree away. Off–off observations were obtained in 20 minute scans with a wobbler throw of 35 arcseconds, which were repeated until either a significant detection (S/N $\geq 3$σ) or a noise level of $\sim 0.4$ mJy. This typically necessitated ~ 2 hours of integration time per source (full range 1–3 hours). The data were reduced using the MOPSIG package developed by R. Zylka, using the standard reduction pipeline.

4 RESULTS

In all, 9 of the 12 targets had significant detections ($\geq 3$σ) at 1200 µm–egs11 was detected at $\sim 2σ$, egs24 was detected at $\sim 1σ$, and egsb2 was consistent with the sky noise. Excluding egsb2, the mean observed flux density $F_{1200\mu m} = 1.6 \pm 0.1$ mJy and mid–to–far–IR colour $< R(1200, 24) > = S_{1200\mu m}/S_{24\mu m} >= 2.22 \pm 0.08$ with an intrinsic dispersion of $σ_{R(1200, 24)} = 0.83$. The average 1200 µm flux density and detection rate is similar to the IRAC selected starburst sample Lonsdale et al. (2008). This average flux density ratio noticeably lower than is typical of bright SMGs ($< R(1200, 24) > = 12$; Egami et al. 2004; Lpison et al. 2004). However, while it is consistent with the detected sources in their sample, this is a significantly higher average value than has been observed in other Spitzer selected samples of high redshift ULIRGs: Lutz et al. (2005) followed up a sample of 40 obscured 24 µm sources (see also Houck et al. 2005; Yan et al. 2005; Weedman et al. 2006b) with MAMBO at 1.2 mm and found an overall $< R(1200, 24) > = 0.74 \pm 0.09$ with $σ_{R(1200, 24)} = 0.59$, which is consistent with the expectation from an IR bright active galactic nucleus (AGN; Elvis et al. 1994). Sajina et al. (2008) found similar millimetre flux densities for a comparable sample (Yan et al. 2005). This is not unexpected, as obscured 24 µm sources are thought to contain a large fraction of AGN (Weedman et al. 2006b).

In Figure 1, we show the obscuration parameter from Lutz et al. (2008), $R(24, 0.6) = \log_{10}(\nu F_\nu(24\mu m)/\nu F_\nu(0.6\mu m))$, where $\nu F_\nu(0.6\mu m)$ is taken from the $r'$ imaging (Davis et al. 2007). These authors found that when they restricted their sample to the most obscured sources with $R(24, 0.6) \geq 1.5$, the average $R(1200, 24)$ increased
Younger et al.

| Parameter           | ρ   | Significance |
|---------------------|-----|--------------|
| \( F_{70\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m} \) | -0.76 | 2.0          |
| \( F_{160\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m} \) | -0.10 | 0.3          |
| \( L_{7.7\mu m} \) | -0.04 | 0.1          |
| \( L_{11.3\mu m} \) | 0.26 | 0.8          |
| \( EW_{7.7\mu m} \) | -0.62 | 1.6          |
| \( EW_{11.3\mu m} \) | 0.31 | 0.7          |

Table 2. The results of a Spearman rank correlation coefficient (ρ) analysis of the trends of different PAH parameters (see Figure 2) with the observed millimeter flux density \( F_{1200\mu m} \).

to 0.74 ± 0.09 with \( \sigma_{R(1200, 24)} = 0.59 \). Though they could not examine it directly due to the low detection rate of sources in their sample, this suggests a correlation between \( R(24, 0.6) \) and \( R(1200, 24) \). For our sources with \( S/N \geq 2 \), a Spearman rank correlation coefficient analysis finds a mild correlation with \( ρ = 0.27 \) at the ∼1σ level. The low significance of this correlation may be due to small sample size, but it is broadly consistent with the findings of Lutz et al. (2005) and suggests that the most heavily obscured sources efficiently reprocess the attenuated stellar radiation into cold (≤ 60 K) thermal dust emission.

Given the narrow redshift range of our sources, we can also use the 70μm and 160μm–to–millimetre flux density ratios to investigate the correlation between strong millimetre emission and the dust properties of objects in our sample. At \( z = 2 \), the 70 and 160μm MIPS channels probe the rest–frame 24 and 50μm emission. These wavelengths probably probe AGN–heated dust (e.g., Elvis et al. 1994; Urry & Padovani 1995) and cold dust from a starburst (Sanders & Mirabel 1996) respectively. In Figure 2 we show the millimetre flux as a function of both \( F_{70\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m} \) and \( F_{160\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m} \), and in Table 2 we present the results of a Pearson rank correlation coefficient analysis. We find a strong negative correlation between the millimetre flux and \( F_{70\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m} \); sources with more hot relative to cold dust – and therefore likely a larger AGN contribution – are less likely to be detected at 1200μm. We do not however, find a strong correlation between \( F_{160\mu m}/F_{1200\mu m} \) and \( F_{1200\mu m} \). We believe that this is mostly likely a result of the relatively poor sensitivity of the 160μm FIDELS survey: if an object has enough cold dust to be detected at 160μm at all, it is also likely to be a strong millimetre source.

It has been observed that the PAH luminosity is correlated with the far–IR (Rigopoulou et al. 1999; Wu et al. 2005; Brandl et al. 2006; Calzetti et al. 2007; Desai et al. 2007; Shi et al. 2007), and therefore we expect strong PAH sources to show strong millimetre emission. To investigate this for our sample, which contains all strong PAH emitters, in Figure 3 we show the correlations between the millimetre flux \( (F_{1200\mu m}) \) and PAH luminosities \( (L_{7.7\mu m}, L_{11.3\mu m}) \) and equivalent widths \( (EW_{7.7\mu m}, EW_{11.3\mu m}) \) at 7.7 and 11.3μm. The results of a Spearman rank correlation coefficient analysis are presented in Table 2. All of these results are low significance, likely due to the effects of a relatively small and homogeneous sample. They are broadly consistent – considering the low significance of the \( L_{7.7\mu m} \) inverse correlation – with observations of local systems that found a correlation between the PAH luminosity and ongoing star formation (e.g., Wu et al. 2005; Brandl et al. 2006; Calzetti et al. 2007). In particular, we find a positive correlation between 11.3μm PAH luminosity and equivalent width and the millimetre flux at a 0.8 and 0.7σ level of significance respectively. Since the 11.3μm feature is more sensitive to obscuration (Rigopoulou et al. 1999), sources with strong observed features at this wavelength are likely to be more intense starbursts, and thus more likely to be detected in the far–IR. However, we find a significant negative correlation between the 7.7μm equivalent width and \( F_{1200\mu m} \) at 1.6σ significance. This differs from the results of Sajina et al. (2009) who find a positive correlation (ρ = 0.56) between \( F_{1200\mu m} \) and \( EW_{7.7\mu m} \) at ∼3σ confidence for a sample of high redshift obscured Spitzer selected ULIRGs (Yan et al. 2007). While the correlation seen for our sources is, again, low–significance, it suggests that the relationship between strong PAH emission and far–IR luminosity is somewhat different in their sample – a reasonable result considering the far different mid– to far–IR properties of similar objects (Lutz et al. 2005) are significantly different from those presented here.

5 SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTION FITTING

Our millimetre observations constrain the shape of the far–IR SED of our sources with photometry on both sides of the thermal dust peak. Given a choice of a simple far–IR SED parameterization, this allows us to characterize the dust properties in a sample of very luminous, high redshift systems from the far–IR directly, without invoking additional assumptions – for example assuming the local far–IR/radio correlation (e.g., Chapman et al. 2005). For this work, we use a single temperature greybody fitting form first introduced by Hildebrand (1983), in which the thermal dust spectrum is approximated as \( F_\nu = Q_\nu B_\nu(T_\nu) \), where \( B_\nu \) is the Planck function, \( Q_\nu = Q_0(\nu/\nu_0)^\beta \) is the dust emissivity with \( 1 \leq \beta \leq 2 \), and \( T_\nu \) is the effective – or emission weighted – dust temperature. This yields a dust spectrum that for \( h\nu/kT_\nu \gtrsim 1 \) takes the form:

\[
F_\nu \propto \frac{\nu^{3+\beta}}{exp(h\nu/kT_\nu) - 1}
\]  

(3)

This is then typically paired with a power–law component at short wavelengths \( F_\nu \sim \nu^{-\alpha} \), to account for a subdominant warmer dust component arising either from the starburst or an AGN, which is matched to the long wavelength SED at \( \beta F_\nu(\nu/\nu_0) = -\alpha \) to ensure a continuous first derivative. Blain et al. (2003) find that this form provides a good match to the SEDs of observed systems from \( \lambda \sim 30 \sim 1000\mu m \) over a large range in total IR luminosities (see also Blain 1999; Carilli & Yun 1999; Dunne et al. 2000; Yun & Carilli 2002; Sajina et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2007, for additional discussion of far–IR fitting methods).

This model was fit to the 70, 160, and 1200μm data using a Levenberg-Marquardt least-squares minimization routine, the results of which are summarized in Figures 4 and 5 and Table 3. Since \( T_\nu \) is degenerate with \( \beta \) (Blain et al. 2003; Sajina et al. 2006), for sparsely sampled far–IR SEDs, we fix \( \beta = 1.5 \) which is consistent with the results of SED fitting of local and high redshift systems (Dunne et al. 2000; Yan & Carilli 2002; Yang & Phillips 2007); using a higher value of \( \beta \) will lead to systematically lower dust temperatures (Blain et al. 2003; Sajina et al. 2006). Furthermore, the accurate redshifts supplied by mid–IR spectroscopy were essential in removing the additional degeneracy between \( T_\nu \) and redshift (Carilli & Yun 1999; Yun & Carilli 2002; Blain et al. 2003). From these fitted models we can estimate \( T_\nu \) and the far–IR luminosity. We can also estimate the total dust mass in these systems according to:

\[
M_d = \frac{S_{\nu, D}^2}{\kappa(\lambda_{\text{rest}})B_{\nu}(\lambda_{\text{rest}}, T_\nu)}
\]  

(4)

where \( S_{\nu, D} \) is the flux density at \( \nu \) and \( D \) is the distance to the source.
Figure 3. The correlation between the observed millimeter flux density $F_{1200\mu m}$ and PAH luminosities (left: $L_{7.7\mu m}$ and $L_{11.3\mu m}$) and equivalent widths (right: $EW_{7.7\mu m}$ and $EW_{11.3\mu m}$) at 7.7 (top) and 11.3\,$\mu m$ (bottom). The results of a Pearson rank correlation coefficient analysis are shown in Table 2.

where $M_d$ is the total dust mass, $S_\nu$ is the observed flux density, $D_L$ is the luminosity distance, $\kappa(\lambda_{\text{rest}})$ is the rest frame dust mass absorption coefficient at the observed wavelength (for which we use the Milky Way dust model of Weingartner & Draine 2001), and $B_\nu(\lambda_{\text{rest}}, T_d)$ is the Planck function at the rest wavelength. At long wavelengths – e.g., 1200\,$\mu m$ observed, $\sim 400\mu m$ in the rest frame – observations are directly probing populations of dust that are preferentially colder than $T_d$, which is effectively an emission weighted average over dust populations at a large range of physical temperatures. Therefore, we use the fitted models to estimate the peak flux and wavelength, which is dominated by dust at $T_d$ and simultaneously minimizes the effects of uncertainty in $\beta (\kappa_\nu \propto \nu^{-\beta})$, for input into equation 4.

Finally, we compute the $q$ parameter for the far–IR/radio correlation as defined by Helou et al. 1985:

$$ q = \log \left( \frac{L_{40-120\mu m}}{3.75 \times 10^{32}\, W} \right) - \log \left( \frac{L_{1.4\,\text{GHz}}}{\text{W Hz}^{-1}} \right) $$

where $L_{40-120\mu m}$ is the integrated IR luminosity between 40 and 120\,$\mu m$, and $L_{1.4\,\text{GHz}}$ is the rest–frame 1.4 GHz continuum radio luminosity. Since our sources are at $z \sim 2$, their observed 1.4 GHz flux corresponds to a rest–frame frequency of 4.2 GHz. Therefore, we applied a $k$–correction similar to that used in Yang et al. 2007, assuming a power–law synchrotron $F_\nu,\text{radio} \propto \nu^{-\alpha_r}$, where we assume $\alpha_r = 0.8$ as in typical local star–forming systems (Condon 1983, 1992). The results, as with the far–IR luminosity and dust properties, are listed in Table 3 and discussed in the following sections.

6 DUST PROPERTIES

Our far–IR and millimetre photometry that constrains both sides of the cold dust peak allows a determination of the far–IR luminosity, dust temperature, and dust mass from the far–IR alone. As a result, the dust properties in our high redshift ULIRG sample can be determined with a minimum of additional assumptions. While the properties of dust in these extreme environments and at high redshift is of itself an interesting result, it is particularly so in the context of analogous and related objects at different redshifts and with different selection techniques. Therefore, we assembled results from five samples with extensive far–IR observations from the literature for comparison: another Spitzer–selected high redshift sample (HZS), a low redshift sample (LZS), intermediate redshift sample (IZS), a submillimetre selected sample (SMS), and finally a sample of quasars – which are thought to follow the ULIRG phase in an evolutionary sequence (Sanders et al. 1988a,b,c; Hopkins et al. 2006, 2008a,b) – with extensive far–IR observations (QS). Our far–IR SED parameterization is consistent with those used for the analysis of all samples discussed below – including the HZS, IZS, SMS, and QS – and therefore there are no hidden systematic biases.

The HZS consists of bright, obscured 24\,$\mu m$ sources selected on the basis of their $R(24, 0.6)$ ratio (Houck et al. 2005; Yan et al. 2005, Weedman et al. 2006a,b), which have mid–IR spectroscopic (Yan et al. 2007; Sajina et al. 2007) and MAMBO 1200\,$\mu m$ photometric followup (Lutz et al. 2005; Sajina et al. 2008). As we note in § 4, Lutz et al. 2005 find a significantly lower average $R(1200, 24)$ ratio than the objects in our sample, even among the
Figure 4. The observed far–IR SEDs (see Table 1) of the $z \sim 2$ ULIRGs in our sample, along with the fitted model. The model parameters and derived quantities are listed in each panel, as well as tabulated in Table 1 and the fitting procedure summarized in §5. The solid lines have a fixed dust emissivity $\beta = 1.5$, while the dotted lines are the same for $\beta = 2.0$.

Table 3. Derived parameters for our high redshift ULIRG sample, including: the mid–IR spectroscopic redshift (from Huang et al. in preparation) and the effective dust temperature ($T_d$), far–IR luminosity from 40–1000$\mu$m ($L_{40–1000\mu m}$) and 40–120$\mu$m ($L_{40–120\mu m}$), dust mass ($M_d$), and far–IR/radio $q$ parameter (see §5 and Condon 1992). For details on the fitting procedure, see §5 and Blain et al. 2003.

| Name | $z$   | $T_d$        | $L_{40–1000\mu m}$ | $L_{40–120\mu m}$ | $M_d$ | $q$ |
|------|-------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-----|
| egs1 | 1.88  | 36 ± 6       | 2.1 ± 0.9          | 1.7 ± 0.7          | 3.6 ± 1.6 | 2.15 ± 0.20 |
| egs4 | 1.90  | 37 ± 3       | 3.3 ± 0.7          | 2.7 ± 0.6          | 4.4 ± 0.9 | 2.29 ± 0.11 |
| egs10 | 1.94  | 47 ± 3       | 5.5 ± 0.7          | 5.0 ± 0.7          | 2.1 ± 0.3 | 2.44 ± 0.08 |
| egs11 | 1.80  | 41 ± 6       | 2.5 ± 0.8          | 2.2 ± 0.7          | 1.8 ± 0.6 | 2.25 ± 0.15 |
| egs12 | 2.01  | 39 ± 3       | 3.6 ± 0.8          | 3.1 ± 0.8          | 3.7 ± 0.8 | 2.57 ± 0.15 |
| egs14 | 1.86  | 41 ± 1       | 9.7 ± 0.7          | 8.3 ± 0.6          | 8.3 ± 0.6 | 2.21 ± 0.04 |
| egs21 | 3.01  | 62 ± 5       | 8.9 ± 1.5          | 8.4 ± 1.4          | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 2.32 ± 0.09 |
| egs23 | 1.78  | 44 ± 3       | 6.4 ± 0.6          | 5.6 ± 0.6          | 3.4 ± 0.3 | 2.43 ± 0.06 |
| egs24 | 1.85  | 43 ± 14      | 1.2 ± 0.8          | 1.1 ± 0.7          | 0.7 ± 0.5 | 2.07 ± 0.30 |
| egs26 | 1.80  | 39 ± 4       | 2.6 ± 0.6          | 2.2 ± 0.5          | 2.8 ± 0.6 | 2.10 ± 0.11 |
| egs24a| 2.12  | 34 ± 4       | 4.0 ± 1.5          | 3.1 ± 1.1          | 9.5 ± 3.3 | 2.03 ± 0.15 |
| egs2b | 1.59  | 34 ± 3       | 2.3 ± 0.6          | 1.8 ± 0.4          | 5.6 ± 1.3 | 2.00 ± 0.11 |
Figure 5. Same as Figure 4.

will implicitly include some of the warm dust heated by the starburst, their two-component fitting method may also lead to somewhat lower dust temperatures. Therefore, while theirs is a rather different population of objects, we find that the dust properties in both are similar. This is an interesting result, considering the large fraction of obscured AGN in such samples (Weedman et al. 2006b, Sajina et al. 2008); the cold dust appears to be similar in both AGN and starburst dominated systems.

The LZS is the SCUBA Local Universe Galaxy Survey (SLUGS, Dunne et al. 2000), which consists of 104 local (z \( \lesssim \) 0.05) infrared luminous galaxies selected from the 60 \( \mu \)m flux limited IRAS Bright Source Catalog (BSC; Soifer et al. 1989) with complete 450 and 850 \( \mu \)m followup with the Submillimetre Common User Bolometer Array (SCUBA; Holland et al. 1999) at the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT). The IZS (Yang et al. 2007) was drawn from the FSC–FIRST Survey (Stanford et al. 2000), which consists of a matched sample of 60 \( \mu \)m IRAS Faint Source Catalog (FSC; Moshir et al. 1992) and 1.4 GHz sources from the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty Centimeters (FIRST) Survey, with complete 350 \( \mu \)m followup from the SHARC–II camera (Dowell et al. 2003) at the Caltech Submillimetre Observatory (CSO). The SMS (Kovács et al. 2006) consists of SMGs identified at 850 \( \mu \)m in blank field SCUBA surveys with 20cm radio counterparts, optical redshifts (Chapman et al. 2005), and complete 350 \( \mu \)m followup with SHARC–II (for a similar sample, see Coppin et al. 2008). Finally, the QS (Haas et al. 2000, 2003) consists of 64 quasars selected from the Palomar Green Survey (PG; Schmidt & Green 1983) with far–IR coverage from 5–200 \( \mu \)m from ISOPHOT (Lemke et al. 1996) on board the ISO satellite and some (sub)millimetre coverage with MAMBO and SCUBA.

For single temperature dust models, the total far–IR luminos-
The dashed lines are tracks in constant far–IR luminosity with (left to right) $T_d = 30, 40, 50,$ and $60 \, K$.

For greybody thermal emission, this yields:

$$L_{\text{FIR}} = 4\pi M_d \int \kappa_\lambda B_\nu(\lambda, T_d) d\nu$$

(6)

where $B_\nu$ is the thermal dust spectrum at rest–frame wavelength $\nu$.

For greybody thermal emission, this yields:

$$L_{\text{FIR}} = \frac{8\nu h \kappa_\lambda}{c^3} \left( \frac{kT_d}{h} \right)^{4+\beta} \Gamma(4+\beta) \zeta(4+\beta) M_d$$

(7)

where $\beta$ is the dust emissivity, $\Gamma$ is the gamma function, and $\zeta$ is the Riemann Zeta function. As a toy model, we use the Milky Way dust model of Weinberg & Draine (2001) at a reference wavelength of $125\mu m$ ($k_{125\mu m} \approx 17 \, g^{-1} \, cm^2$), and make the assumption that $\beta = 1.5$ and does not vary significantly between objects – which is approximately the case locally (e.g., Dunne et al. 2000; Ivison et al. 2001; Yun & Carilli 2002; Yang & Phillips 2007). Also, for all the following comparisons the total far–IR luminosity is taken from 40–1000$\mu m$ ($L_{\text{FIR}} = L_{40–1000\mu m}$) unless otherwise stated.

In Figure 7 we show the $L_{\text{FIR}}–T_d$ relation for our sources as compared to the LZS, IZS, SMS, and QS. The dashed lines represent tracks (left to right) $T_d = 20, 30, 40, 50,$ and $60 \, K$. The dashed lines are tracks in constant dust temperature with (left to right) $T_d = 10, 20, 30, 40,$ and $50 \, K$.

For greybody thermal emission, this yields:

$$L_{\text{FIR}} = \frac{8\nu h \kappa_\lambda}{c^3} \left( \frac{kT_d}{h} \right)^{4+\beta} \Gamma(4+\beta) \zeta(4+\beta) M_d$$

(7)

where $\beta$ is the dust emissivity, $\Gamma$ is the gamma function, and $\zeta$ is the Riemann Zeta function. As a toy model, we use the Milky Way dust model of Weinberg & Draine (2001) at a reference wavelength of $125\mu m$ ($k_{125\mu m} \approx 17 \, g^{-1} \, cm^2$), and make the assumption that $\beta = 1.5$ and does not vary significantly between objects – which is approximately the case locally (e.g., Dunne et al. 2000; Ivison et al. 2001; Yun & Carilli 2002; Yang & Phillips 2007). Also, for all the following comparisons the total far–IR luminosity is taken from 40–1000$\mu m$ ($L_{\text{FIR}} = L_{40–1000\mu m}$) unless otherwise stated.

In Figure 8 we show the $L_{\text{FIR}}–T_d$ relation for our sources as compared to the LZS, IZS, SMS, and QS. The dashed lines represent tracks (left to right) $T_d = 20, 30, 40, 50,$ and $60 \, K$. The dashed lines are tracks in constant dust temperature with (left to right) $T_d = 10, 20, 30, 40,$ and $50 \, K$.

The dashed lines represent tracks (left to right) in constant

$$L_{\text{FIR}} = 10^{11}, 10^{12},$$

and $10^{13} \, L_\odot$.

We find the objects in our sample all fall within a relatively narrow range of $35 \lesssim T_d \lesssim 45$, though they vary by an order of magnitude in $L_{\text{FIR}}$. This is similar to both the LZS and IZS, but characteristically different from the SMS, which resembles a constant $M_d$ selection with a broad $T_d$ distribution extending to lower temperatures. The QS, by contrast, does not follow a clear trend in $L_{\text{FIR}}$, though those with high luminosities $\gtrsim 10^{12}L_\odot$ tend to have higher dust temperatures and lower dust masses than U/HyLiRGs of comparable luminosity.

This is further illustrated in Figures 7 and 8 where we present the $M_d – L_{\text{FIR}}$ and $T_d – M_d$ scalings respectively. In Figure 7 the dashed lines represent tracks in constant (left to right) $T_d = 30, 40, 50,$ and $60 \, K$, while in Figure 8 they represent tracks (left to right) in constant $L_{\text{FIR}} = 10^{11}, 10^{12},$ and $10^{13} \, L_\odot$. These two projections also show the dust selection function of the different samples, whereby the LZS (roughly), IZS and our sample follow a track in constant temperature, the SMS is constant in dust mass, and the QS is preferentially warmer and high luminosity with lower dust mass.

7 THE FAR–IR/RADIO CORRELATION AT HIGH REDSHIFT

It has long been recognized that there exists a tight correlation between the far–IR and synchrotron radio emission in star–forming galaxies, spanning nearly four decades in luminosity (Helou et al. 1985; Condon & Broderick 1991; Condon 1992). This hypothesis is apparently inverse–Compton from the ambient radiation field (Hummel 1986; Hummel et al. 1988; Condon 1992). This hypothesis is apparently born out in observations of local starbursts, which show a roughly constant far–IR/radio correlation over four decades in magnetic field energy density (Condon et al. 1991).

However, should the far–IR/radio correlation arise from this fixed ratio of synchrotron and inverse–Compton losses, one might expect it to evolve with redshift. The physics that govern this ratio are complex and not entirely understood (see e.g., Voelk 1989; Thompson et al. 2006). Therefore, it is important to check if it remains valid and/or constant at high redshift and in more luminous systems.

At intermediate redshift $0.3 \lesssim z \lesssim 1$, there is some evidence for the constancy of the far–IR/radio correlation (Garrett et al. 2002; Gruppioni et al. 2003; Appleton et al. 2004; Bovle et al. 2007). However, at high redshift $z \gtrsim 1.5$, the picture is somewhat less clear (Kovács et al. 2006; Vlahakis et al. 2007; Ibar et al. 2008; Sajina et al. 2008), and the effects of sample selection – in particular, contamination by radio AGN – are uncertain. Our sample offers an attractive opportunity to probe the far–IR/radio correlation in a relatively narrow redshift range, and for a well–defined sample.

Overall, our sample has a mean $<q> = 2.23 \pm 0.04$ (see Equation 5) with intrinsic dispersion $\sigma_q = 0.19$ – well in line with results for local systems. In fact, only one object (egsb2) is inconsistent with the local $q$, and it is known to harbor an X–ray luminous AGN (Huang et al. in preparation). This supports the conclusion of Huang et al. (2008, in preparation) that AGNs contribute relatively little to the bolometric luminosity of these objects. Furthermore, it is substantially different from the results of Sajina et al. (2008) for obscured 24$\mu m$ sources, which cover a wide range in $q$ both for
strong and weak PAH emitters – the mean $< q > = 1.90 \pm 0.13$ with $\sigma_q = 0.34$ for their “strong–PAH” sources with radio detections, and $< q > = 1.38 \pm 0.10$ with $\sigma_q = 0.47$ for their “weak–PAH” sources. This is, however, not surprising considering this sample selection is known to be biased towards obscured AGN (Weedman et al. 2006b). We take this as evidence to suggest that our selection criteria much more efficiently picks starburst–dominated systems in a narrow redshift range.

The radio/far–IR properties of this sample are also consistent with the AGN contribution inferred from their X–ray/far–IR properties. The rest–frame 25–60 $\mu$m flux density ratio versus $L_X/L_{1IR}$ – where $L_X$ is the rest–frame full band 2–10 keV X–ray luminosity – has been shown to be sensitive to AGN activity (de Grijp et al. 1985, 1987, Risaliti et al. 2000). Huang et al. (in preparation) find that only three objects in this sample have even marginal 2σ X–ray detections: egss1 ($L_X \approx 10^{42}$ ergs s$^{-1}$, $L_X/L_{1IR} \approx 10^{-3}$, $L_{25\mu m}/L_{60\mu m} \approx 0.2$), egss14 ($L_X \approx 10^{42}$ ergs s$^{-1}$, $L_X/L_{1IR} \approx 3 \times 10^{-4}$, $L_{25\mu m}/L_{60\mu m} \approx 0.06$), and egss2 ($L_X \approx 10^{43}$ ergs s$^{-1}$, $L_X/L_{1IR} \approx 10^{-2}$, $L_{25\mu m}/L_{60\mu m} \approx 0.15$). Of these, only egss2 is consistent with a significant contribution from an obscured AGN (see Figure 5 of Risaliti et al. 2004). While, also, egss24 is not detected in the X–rays, its 25–60 $\mu$m flux density ratio suggests the presence of a Compton–thick AGN ($N_H > 4 \times 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$; Risaliti et al. 2000). The remaining 8 objects in our sample have a stacked X–ray flux corresponding to $< L_X > \approx 10^{42}$ ergs s$^{-1}$, along with $< L_{1IR} > = 5 \times 10^{42} L_\odot$ ($< L_X > / < L_{1IR} > \approx 5 \times 10^{-4}$) and $< L_{25\mu m}/L_{60\mu m} > \approx 0.15$, which are all consistent with a pure starburst.

In Figures 9 and 10 we present the q scaling with redshift and far–IR luminosity $L_{FIR}$ for our sample (red circles), local systems (grey shaded region with $q = 2.34 \pm 0.2$; Yun et al. 2001), the IZS (open stars), and the SMS (black squares). Immediately it appears that the local far–IR/radio correlation applies over a wide redshift range 0.2 $\leq z \leq 3$ over more than two decades in luminosity. The apparent inverse trend of $q$ with both $L_{FIR}$ and redshift in the IZS is probably due to their sample selection, which is more likely to pick out IR bright AGN at higher redshifts (and luminosities). By contrast, both our sample and the SMS show a clear trend towards higher $q$ at higher $L_{FIR}$: the Spearman rank correlation coefficients are $\rho = 0.52$ at $\sim 2\sigma$ and $\rho = 0.79$ at $\sim 3\sigma$ for our sample and the SMS respectively. This trend is not seen in surveys of local systems spanning $10^{10} L_\odot \lesssim L_{FIR} \lesssim 10^{12} L_\odot$ (Yun et al. 2001). However, it is not clear whether or not this effect is due to sample selection – our sample and the SMS are selected in very different ways, and show different trends in dust properties (see §6) – or the strong redshift evolution of the bright end of the galaxy IR luminosity function (Sanders & Mirabel 1996, Le Floc’h et al. 2005, Hopkins et al. 2008b) and that of massive galaxies (e.g., Conselice et al. 2007b) versus the comparatively weaker evolution of flat–spectrum radio sources (Dunlop & Peacock 1990). Such a selection effect would potentially bias $z \gtrsim 1.5$ systems with luminosities of $L_{FIR} \sim 10^{10}–11$ to radio–loud AGN as star formation moves preferentially to higher luminosity objects.

8 CONCLUSION

We present far–IR observations, including 1200 $\mu$m photometry with MAMBO, of high redshift ULIRGs selected by Huang et al. (in preparation) on the basis of their observed mid–IR colour. All the objects in the sample have mid–IR spectroscopic redshift and lie in a remarkably tight redshift window centered at $z \sim 2$. We successfully detected (S/N > 3) 9 of 12 total sources. When combined with existing MIPS photometry at 70 and 160 $\mu$m, this provides constraints on both sides of the cold dust peak, allowing a more robust determination of the far–IR SED.

We fit a model to the photometry, from which we estimate both the far–IR luminosity and dust properties – including temperature and mass. When compare to other samples, including IR luminous systems at low and intermediate redshift, submillimetre selected galaxies, and QSOs, and find that our sample, along with the low and intermediate redshift sources, is roughly a constant dust temperature selection. Submillimetre sources, by contrast, are more similar to a constant dust mass selection. Finally, we use existing radio observations at 20 cm to test the far–IR/radio correlation at high redshift. We find that all but one of the sources in our sample is consistent with the local relation, suggesting that it remains valid at high redshift. This furthermore indicates that the Huang et al. (in preparation) method efficiently selects massive, high redshift, starburst–dominated systems in a remarkably tight redshift range.
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