Effect of polymer and plant protectants based seed treatment on crop performance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)
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Abstract

A field experiment was undertaken to extrapolate the effect of different polymer and plant protectants based seed treatment on yield and yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. HPW-155). Results interpret that different polymer based seed treatment had significant effect on tillers per plant, plant height (cm), spike length (cm), spikes per plant, spikelet per spike, seeds per spike, 1000-seed weight (g), seed yield per plot (kg), seed yield (q/ha) except days to 50% flowering and days to 75% maturity which had shown non-significant differences. Treatments, T6 - polymer + Vitavax 200 @ 2 g/Kg of seed and T5 - Vitavax 200 @ 2 g/Kg seed had shown significant superiority for tillers per plant, plant height (cm), spike length (cm), spikes per plant, spikelets per spike, 1000 - seed weight, seed yield per plot (kg), and seed yield (q/ha) over control (T1). Treatment T6 had shown 14.7% gain in seed yield as compared to untreated control (T1).

Keywords: Crop performance, polymer coating, seed, wheat, 1000 seed weight

1. Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is a cereal grass of the gramineae family having its geographical origin in Middle East (Miller, 1987). It is a leading food crop that has been described as the ‘King of Cereals’ because of its acreage, high productivity and the prominent position in the International food grain trade. Wheat provides a large fraction of the dietary protein and total food supply, and is grown throughout the world, in a wide variety of climates. Modern seed production system requires a high degree of precision in crop establishment. The need for high plant population density and uniform plant stand requires high quality wheat seed that will consistently produce rapid and uniform seedlings. The use of high quality seed is among the best practices for obtaining maximum crop yield. These seeds are more likely to achieve a high performance when exposed to different environmental conditions, expressed in a high per centage and speed of emergence, good stand establishment, good initial seedling development and increased final production (Tillmann and Miranda, 2006). It is the seed physiological and sanitary quality which determines their performance in the field i.e. the proper establishment of plants that is essential for satisfactory level of productivity and final product quality (Nascimento, 2011). After sowing, seeds are still exposed to biotic and abiotic environmental factors (Delouche, 2005) and agricultural soils have many pathogenic microorganisms that may interact with seeds and seedlings (Munkvold and O’Mara, 2002) and reduce their performance by causing seed rot, root rot and seedling death (Pinto, 2000). Phytophagous insects in the soil can also damage seedlings (Girolami, 2009) and significantly reduce the plant population. Under these conditions seed treatment with polymer, fungicides and insecticides is an ideal approach for improving seed and seedling performance of wheat under field conditions. Keeping this in the view present research was formulated.
to study the effect polymer based different seed treatment on crop performance in wheat.

2. Materials and Methods

The current study was conducted at Department of Seed Science and Technology, CSKHPKV Palampur. Seed treatment was done manually during December 2018 on carry over seeds of *rabi* 2017-18 seasons and achieved uniform coating of seeds. Ten treatments viz: T1 – untreated control, T2 – polymer coating (Polykote @ 3 ml/Kg) of seed, T3 – flowable Thiram (Royal flow 40SC) @ 2.4 ml/kg, T4 – polymer + flowable Thiram (Royal flow 40 SC) @ 2.4 ml/Kg seed, T5 – polymer + Vitavax 200 (containing Thiram 37.5% and Carboxil 37.5%) @ 2 g/Kg seed, T6 – polymer + Imidacloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/Kg seed, T7 – polymer + flowable Thiram (Royal flow 40 SC) @ 2.4 ml/Kg seed + Imidacloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/Kg seed, T8 – polymer + Vitavax 200 (containing Thiram, 37.5% and Carboxil, 37.5%) @ 2 g/Kg of seed + Imidacloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/Kg seed, T9 – polymer + flowable Thiram (Royal flow 40 SC) @ 2.4 ml/Kg seed + Imidachloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/Kg seed, T10 – polymer + Vitavax 200 (containing Thiram, 37.5% and Carboxil, 37.5%) @ 2 g/Kg of seed + Imidachloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/Kg seed were evaluated in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications after one year of storability. Ten plants from each plot were selected randomly to record the data on days to 50% flowering. Days taken to 50% flowering were significantly influenced by different seed treatments. Significantly higher spike number was observed for the treatment T6 (46.50) followed by T9 (45.50), T4 (45.00), T7 (44.50), T10 (44.00), T3 (43.50), T2 (43.00), T5 (42.50) and T1 (42.25) (Table 1). Similar results were reported by Tiwari et al. (2015) in wheat.

The spike length was significantly influenced by different seed treatments. Significantly higher spike length was recorded for T6 (12.30 cm) followed by T1 (11.73 cm), T2 (11.13 cm), T3 (10.44 cm), T9 (9.83 cm), T7 (9.46 cm), T8 (8.86 cm), T10 (8.57) and T1 (8.28 cm) (Table 1). Significantly higher spikes per plant was observed for the treatment T6 (46.60) followed by T1 (42.20), T9 (38.00), T7 (35.2), T10 (3.20), T3 (2.97), T2 (2.75), T5 (2.54) and T4 (2.33) (Table 1). Similar results are recorded by Tiwari et al. (2015) in wheat.

The number of tillers per plant recorded was significantly influenced by different seed treatments. Significantly higher tillers per plant over T1 - control (2.40) was observed for the treatment T6 - (4.80), followed by T5 - (4.46), T3 - (3.93), T7 - (3.60), T10 - (3.40), T2 - (3.20), T4 - polymer + flowable Thiram @ 3 ml/Kg of seed (3.00), T6 - (2.80) and T1 - (2.65) (Table 1). Similar results are recorded by Tiwari et al. (2015) and Freiberg et al. (2020) in wheat. Plant height varied significantly for all the treatments. Average plant height recorded was 95.54 cm. Significantly higher plant height in comparison to T1 - control (92.00 cm) was recorded for treatment T6 - (98.47 cm) followed by T5 - (98.00 cm), T3 - (97.44 cm), T9 - (96.56 cm), T10 - (95.93 cm), T7 - (95.16 cm), T8 - (94.70 cm), T2 - (94.00) and T4 - (93.23 cm) (Table 1). Similar results were reported by Sumalata et al. (2017) and Sharma et al. (2017) in maize.

The average weight of 1000 seeds recorded for all treatments in comparison to T1 - control (47.92 g) was 49.11 g. Similarly higher 1000 seed weight was recorded for all the treatments in comparison to T1 - control (47.92 g). T6 (51.37 g) of seed exhibited highest 1000 seed weight followed by T5 (50.77 g), T3 (50.22 g), T7 (49.83 g), T10 (49.34 g), T3 (49.00 g), T4 (48.33 g), T9 (47.92 g)
Table. 1 Effect of different seed treatments on crop performance in wheat

| Treatments | DAS 50% flowering | Days to 75% maturity | Tillers/plant | Plant height (cm) | Spike length (cm) | Spikes/plant | Spikelets/spike | Seeds/spike | 1000 seed weight | Seed yield/plot | Seed yield (q/ha) |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| T1         | 126.00            | 167.00              | 2.40          | 92.00            | 8.00             | 2.10         | 16.80          | 42.00       | 47.00           | 1.50           | 45.45           |
| T2         | 124.00            | 165.00              | 3.00          | 94.70            | 8.86             | 2.75         | 17.20          | 43.00       | 48.33           | 1.59           | 48.18           |
| T3         | 124.00            | 165.00              | 3.20          | 95.16            | 9.46             | 2.97         | 17.40          | 43.50       | 49.00           | 1.61           | 48.78           |
| T4         | 126.00            | 166.00              | 2.65          | 93.23            | 8.28             | 2.33         | 16.90          | 42.25       | 47.37           | 1.55           | 46.96           |
| T5         | 124.00            | 165.00              | 4.46          | 98.00            | 11.73            | 4.20         | 18.20          | 45.50       | 50.77           | 1.70           | 51.51           |
| T6         | 123.00            | 164.00              | 4.80          | 98.47            | 12.30            | 4.60         | 18.60          | 46.50       | 51.37           | 1.72           | 52.12           |
| T7         | 124.00            | 165.00              | 3.60          | 96.56            | 10.44            | 3.52         | 17.80          | 44.50       | 49.83           | 1.65           | 50.00           |
| T8         | 124.00            | 165.00              | 3.93          | 97.44            | 11.13            | 3.80         | 18.00          | 45.00       | 50.22           | 1.67           | 50.60           |
| T9         | 125.00            | 166.00              | 2.80          | 94.00            | 8.57             | 2.54         | 17.00          | 42.50       | 47.92           | 1.57           | 47.57           |
| T10        | 124.00            | 165.00              | 3.40          | 95.93            | 9.93             | 3.20         | 17.60          | 44.00       | 49.34           | 1.63           | 49.39           |
| Mean       | 125.40            | 165.40              | 3.42          | 95.54            | 9.87             | 3.20         | 17.55          | 43.87       | 49.11           | 1.62           | 49.06           |
| C.V.       | 0.881             | 0.775               | 3.05          | 0.27             | 1.66             | 4.68         | 0.35           | 0.36        | 0.64            | 0.31           | 0.32            |
| SE(m)      | 0.632             | 0.740               | 0.06          | 0.15             | 0.09             | 0.09         | 0.03           | 0.09        | 0.18            | 0.003          | 0.093           |
| C.D.       | NS                | NS                  | 0.18          | 0.45             | 0.28             | 0.20         | 0.10           | 0.20        | 0.54            | 0.001          | 0.27            |

T1 – control (untreated seeds), T2 – polymer coating (polykote @ 3ml/Kg of seed, diluted with 5 ml of water), T3 – flowable Thiram (Royal flow 40 SC) @ 2.4 ml/Kg seed, T4 – polymer + flowable Thiram (Royal flow 40 SC) @ 2.4 ml/Kg seed, T5 – Vitavax 200 (containing Thiram 37.5% and Carboxyl 37.5%) @ 2 g/Kg seed, T6 – polymer + Vitavax 200 (containing Thiram 37.5% and Carboxyl 37.5%) @ 2 g/Kg seed, T7 – Imidacloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/Kg seed, T8 – polymer + Imidacloprid (Gaucho) @ 4 ml/Kg seed, T9 – polymer + flowable Thiram (Royal flow 40 SC) @ 2.4 ml/Kg seed + Imidacloprid @ 4 ml/Kg seed, T10 – polymer + Vitavax 200 (containing Thiram 37.5% and Carboxyl 37.5%) @ 2 g/Kg of seed + Imidacloprid (Gaucho) 4 ml/Kg seed
Effect of polymer and plant protectants in wheat

Average seed yield per plot across various treatments was recorded as 1.62 Kg per plot. Significantly higher seed yield per plot in comparison to T_1 control (1.50 Kg) was recorded for treatment T_6 (1.72 Kg), followed by T_5 (1.70 Kg), T_8 (1.67 Kg), T_7 (1.65 Kg), T_10 (1.63 Kg), T_3 (1.61 Kg), T_2 (1.59 Kg), T_9 (1.57 Kg), and T_4 (1.55 Kg) (Table 1). Similar results were reported by Chikkanna et al. (2000) in groundnut, Shakuntla et al. (2010) in sunflower, Sumalata et al. (2017) in maize, and Sharma et al. (2017) in maize. The seed yield per hectare was computed from the seed yield per plot. Significantly higher seed yield (q/ha) in comparison to T_1 control (45.45 unit q/ha) was recorded in T_6 (52.12 q), followed by T_5 (51.51 q), T_8 (50.60 q), T_7 (49.39 q), T_3 (48.78 q), T_2 (48.18 q), T_9 (47.57 q), and T_4 (46.96 q) (Table 1 & Fig 1). Similar results are reported by Kumar et al. (2014) in pigeon pea, Tiwari et al. (2015) in wheat, Sumalata et al. (2017), Bony et al. (2017) in soybean and Sharma et al. (2017) in maize.

During present study better spikes per plant, spikelets per spike, 1000-seed weight and seed yield (q/ha) was recorded for seed treatments with polymer and fungicides. Therefore, seed treatments with polymer and fungicides could be used to enhancing seed yield of wheat seeds.

4. Conclusion

Results had shown that different polymer based seed treatment had significant effect on tillers per plant, plant height (cm), spike length (cm), spikes per plant, spikelet per spike, seeds per spike, 1000-seed weight (g), seed yield per plot (Kg), seed yield (q/ha) except days to 50% flowering and days to 75% maturity which had shown non-significant differences. Treatment T_6 polymer + Vitavax 200 @ 2 g/Kg of seed and T_3 Vitavax 200 @ 2 g/Kg seed had shown significant superiority for tillers per plant, plant height (cm), spike length (cm), spikes per plant, spikelets per spike, 1000 - seed weight, seed yield per plot (Kg), and seed yield (q/ha) over control (T_1). Polymer based seed treatment (T_6) had shown 14.7% gain in seed yield over control (T_1) followed by 13.3% under treatment T_3 Vitavax 200 @ 2 g/Kg seed (Fig 1).
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