Molecular tests support the viability of rare earth elements as proxies for fossil biomolecule preservation

Paul V. Ullmann1,2, Kristyn K. Voegele1, David E. Grandstaff2, Richard D. Ash3, Wenxia Zheng4, Elena R. Schroeter5, Mary H. Schweitzer4,5,6,7 & Kenneth J. Lacovara1,8

The rare earth element (REE) composition of a fossil bone reflects its chemical alteration during diagenesis. Consequently, fossils presenting low REE concentrations and/or REE profiles indicative of simple diffusion, signifying minimal alteration, have been proposed as ideal candidates for paleomolecular investigation. We directly tested this prediction by conducting multiple biomolecular assays on a well-preserved fibula of the dinosaur *Edmontosaurus* from the Cretaceous Hell Creek Formation previously found to exhibit low REE concentrations and steeply-declining REE profiles. Gel electrophoresis identified the presence of organic material in this specimen, and subsequent immunofluorescence and enzyme-linked immunosorbant assays identified preservation of epitopes of the structural protein collagen I. Our results thereby support the utility of REE profiles as proxies for soft tissue and biomolecular preservation in fossil bones. Based on considerations of trace element taphonomy, we also draw predictions as to the biomolecular recovery potential of additional REE profile types exhibited by fossil bones.

Ancient biomolecules are sought after by the paleontologic community as a uniquely-informative resource into the phylogenetic relationships and paleobiology of extinct organisms. As two recent examples demonstrate, biomolecular data derived from vertebrate fossils have been used to independently test the phylogenetic position of non-avian dinosaurs within Archosauria (e.g.,1,2) and track structural adaptations in keratin over time during the early evolution of paravians3. Biomolecules present in bones experience varying degrees of alteration and degradation through fossilization and diagenesis (e.g.,2,4), during which time the mineral component of bone also undergoes elemental, isotopic, and mineralogical alterations5–8.

Despite decades of independent research on the preservation of endogenous elemental and isotopic signatures (e.g.,9–12) and still-soft tissues containing remnants of original biomolecules (e.g.,1–5,13–22), no study has directly tested for a link between these research foci by performing comparative analyses on the same fossil specimen. As a result, the relationship between retention of original isotopic signatures and/or trace element signatures to retention of endogenous soft tissues and/or molecules in biomineralized tissues remains unknown.

Rare earth elements (REE) are ubiquitous in fossil bones7 and have the potential to inform on diagenetic alteration. The concentrations of REE in a fossil bone reflect the extent of diagenetic pore fluid interactions the specimen has experienced23–28. Because groundwater moving through bone is the primary delivery mechanism of REE, the concentrations of REE in a specimen are thought to correlate with the duration and extent of pore fluid interactions with a bone over its burial history24,25,27,29. The spatial distribution of REE within a fossil bone also provides a detailed record of diagenetic alteration30; therefore, REE concentration profiles may elucidate geochemical conditions favoring biomolecular preservation, or lack thereof, especially if correlated with other indicators of exceptional preservation.
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Retention of endogenous isotopic signatures and early diagenetic REE profiles is predicted to be positively correlated to biomolecular preservation because each presumably requires minimal chemical alteration of bone through diagenesis (cf., Trueman and Martill31, Schweitzer32, and references therein). Degradation of bone crystallites due to inorganic or organic (i.e., enzyme-driven) dissolution exposes the proteinaceous matrix, leaving it vulnerable to hydrolysis, oxidation, and other destructive forces31,33. Conversely, decay of the fibrous protein matrix exposes otherwise passivated (“shielded”) bone crystallite surfaces to geochemical gradients in permeating pore fluids31,33. This molecular-level codependency forms the basis of the historical “mutual protection” theory of bone fossilization (e.g.,31,33–35).

A rarely considered corollary of “mutual protection” is that if one encounters excellent preservation of the crystal portion of a bone (i.e., retention of histological microanatomy, relatively unaltered early-diagenetic trace element signatures), it is reasonable to propose that the bone may also yield traces of its original biomolecular composition. Trueman et al.24 first proposed this trace-element extension of “mutual protection” theory, suggesting that REE concentration-depth profiles may be a proxy for biomolecular preservation in fossil bones. Specifically, they predicted that bones exhibiting low overall REE concentrations and steeply declining REE concentrations with cortical depth, such as the specimen studied herein (Fig. 1), should be the best candidates to retain endogenous biomolecules. They posit this because these patterns signify the least interaction with pore waters (the primary source of hydrolytic damage to biomolecules). If data were found in support of these hypotheses, it would provide molecular paleontologists with a tool for screening suites of fossils prior to more costly and time-intensive biochemical analyses (e.g., protein extractions, mass spectrometry).

To test these correlations, we compared intra-bone REE patterns with the results of immunoassays against the dominant bone protein collagen I from the same bone, SRHS-DU-231, a fibula of the hadrosaurine dinosaur Edmontosaurus annectens from the Standing Rock Hadrosaur Site (SRHS) in Corson County, South Dakota. We complement analyses of this specimen with discussion of correlations between REE patterns and soft tissue recovery in eight additional SRHS bones previously subjected to demineralization assays36.

**Geologic context.** Edmontosaurus annectens bones at SRHS are preserved in a mass-mortality assemblage near the base of the Maastrichtian Hell Creek Formation37. Bones here are entombed in a 30 cm thick, silty, sandy, mottled, organic-rich mudstone interpreted to represent distal deposition of crevasse splay/flood sediments into a shallow, reducing, coastal-plain pond37,38. Colson et al.38 and Ullmann et al.37 provide further details on the sedimentology, stratigraphy, and taphonomic context of the fossil bones at SRHS.
Results

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). Protein extractions resulted in two chemical extract samples for each specimen and control, an ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) extract and a guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) extract (see Methods and the Supporting Information). When silver-stained, separated GuHCl extracts of *Edmontosaurus* fibula SRHS-DU-231 exhibited high molecular weight components in fossil bone lanes (Fig. 2A). Staining was visible as an orange smear that darkened upward from a molecular weight of ~150 kDa (Fig. 2A). No staining occurred in co-extracted sediment or buffer control lanes. Similarly-treated extant *Alligator* bone (extracted in a different, isolated lab with separate, dedicated reagents and equipment) exhibited both distinct bands and broad smears through the entire molecular weight range examined (Fig. 2B).

Fossil bone and sediment ABC extracts contained dark brown substances, which we interpret to likely be humic substances, as these are commonly co-extracted with proteins from fossil bones. After electrophoresis but before silver-staining, gels containing ABC extracts exhibited dark (pre-development) coloration derived from these humics, usually visible as a light brown-orange hue (S1 Fig.). This coloration was consistently darker in resuspended bone pellet lanes than in initial bone extract lanes (see Supporting Information). Sediment ABC lanes also exhibited similar humics-derived pre-development coloration, seen as a faint, diffuse smear at middle molecular weights with a distinct band at ~65–70 kDa (S1 Fig.). Such pre-development coloration was restricted to ancient (fossil and sediment) samples; it was not observed in modern control assays.

Whereas humics-derived pre-development coloration in fossil bone ABC lanes was faint across all molecular weights (S1 Fig.), silver-staining intensified the color as a dark smear of breakdown products across all molecular weights. This trend was more intense at low molecular weights (seen as greater darkening <20 kDa; S1 Fig.). These staining regions contrast those of modern *Alligator* ABC extracts, for which development revealed a dark band at ~60–65 kDa and a dark smear primarily across high molecular weights (>37 kDa; S1 Fig.). Gel lanes loaded with sediment ABC extracts exhibited high molecular weight staining after development, seen as a yellow-orange color at molecular weights >50 kDa. These lanes also exhibited darkening of the single pre-development band at 65–70 kDa (S1 Fig.); humic substances within the sediment extract thus bound silver. After development, the extraction blank also exhibited a very faint but distinct band at the same molecular weight as that in the sediment, ~65–70 kDa (S1 Fig.).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA). ABC extracts of *Edmontosaurus* fibula SRHS-DU-231 incubated with anti-chicken collagen I antibodies (see Supporting Information) yielded absorbance values over 500% those of associated sediment and all other negative controls in multiple replicates (Fig. 3, S2 Fig.). These results support the presence of collagen I in the dinosaur extracts by standard ELISA signal acceptance criteria (positive ≥ two times background levels; e.g.,[10,41]). No similar signal was observed in co-extracted sediment or buffer control wells. Fossil extracts in multiple repeated ELISAs consistently exhibited reduced absorbance.
values in comparison to modern controls, in which Alligator ABC samples normally reached saturation (~ 3.0) by ~ 120 min. After the same amount of time, SRHS-DU-231 extracts reached an absorbance of 0.37 (S3 Fig.). Though this represents nearly an order of magnitude difference, absorbance by the fossil extract was still over an order of magnitude greater than those of the sediment (0.03) and negative controls (-0.01), thus surpassing the positive detection threshold.

**Immunofluorescence.** Demineralized fibula SRHS-DU-231 cortical bone reacted positively to polyclonal anti-chicken collagen I antibodies in in situ immunofluorescence assays (Fig. 4A–D). Though clearly diminished relative to modern Alligator positive controls (compare Fig. 4A,D), fluorescence was clearly localized to tissue sections and no fluorescence was found in the slide background. To control for spurious binding of secondary antibodies or the FITC label, we also conducted assays omitting the primary antibody while keeping all other parameters identical. Inhibition (blocking epitopes with excess collagen) and collagenase digestion assays were also performed as specificity controls; these assays, respectively, control for non-specific paratopes in the polyclonal primary antibodies and non-specific binding of the primary antibodies to molecules other than the target protein. Secondary-only and inhibition specificity controls exhibited extremely reduced or no fluorescence (Fig. 4E,F,J,K). Enzymatic digestion controls involved digesting tissues with 1 mg/ml collagenase treatment prior to antibody exposure. This control also provides a secondary validation of the presence of protein fragments, as collagenase will not digest other proteins35. Digestion with this enzyme initially resulted in increased signal intensity (1 h digestion, Fig. 4G, L). When digestion times were increased from 1 to 6 h, dramatically reduced binding was observed (Fig. 4H). This result confirms the fossil antigens are structurally consistent with collagen (i.e., have abundant X-Gly bonds uncommon in other proteins35).

**Discussion**

Our previous analyses of bones from SRHS found them to be well-preserved at the macro-scale37 and to commonly yield osteocytes and soft tissue microstructures (vessels and fibrous/proteinaceous matrix) upon demineralization36. Further, our REE data (e.g., Fig. 1) indicated a simple diagenetic history for SRHS bones that involved a brief period of primary trace element uptake relative to many other similar-age fossils36. Despite a lack of permineralization, pore fluid replenishment appears to have been impeded following early diagenesis, perhaps due to low permeability of the fine grain host matrix36. Minimal trace element uptake by these bones signifies minimal chemical alteration from their original state; therefore, Edmontosaurus bones from SRHS were predicted to be favorable candidates for preservation of endogenous biomolecules35, in accordance with Trueman et al.24.

We began by conducting polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) assays, which separate components of extracts by molecular weight. Though this technique is less sensitive than ELISA, it enables recognition of denatured epitopes44. Staining of electrophoretically-separated extracts with silver identified the presence of organics in Edmontosaurus fibula SRHS-DU-231 that differed in molecular weights from organics (likely humics) in the surrounding sediment (Fig. 2 and S1 Fig.). These results indicate that the bone organics do not represent contamination from the sediment or laboratory reagents. The smearing pattern found for both fossil GuHCl and ABC extracts is similar to that encountered in previous PAGE assays of fossil bone1,15,45. Specifically, the high molecular weight smear seen in the fossil bone GuHCl extract (Fig. 2) is potentially suggestive of diagenetically cross-linked molecules15 and smearing at lower molecular weights in fossil bone pellet ABC lanes (S1 Fig.) is suggestive of molecular fragmentation/degradation (cf., 34,46). However, the precise identity of
the organic materials cannot be determined by this assay alone, especially without clear banding that could be assigned a particular molecular weight.

Pre-development coloration of fossil and sediment lanes in gels loaded with ABC extracts is best attributable to the presence of humic substances in sediment and fossil bone extracts. Though the color is also suggestive of iron and the sediment and fossils derive from a setting rich in iron, treatment of extracts with an iron chelator (10 mM pyridoxal isonicotinic hydrazide in 50 mM NaOH) did not prevent pre-development coloration (Supporting Information). Moreover, fixation in 50% methanol slightly reduced but did not eliminate the pre-development coloring. Thus, humics appear to be a more plausible explanation than iron for pre-development coloring of lanes within gels loaded with ABC.

The band seen at ~65–70 kDa in extraction blank lanes (S1 Fig.) may represent a minor contaminant in the extraction buffers or slight carryover of an organic substance present in the sediment extracts. We suggest it is more likely a product of carryover from the sediment lane as this band was never seen in fossil bone lanes which would otherwise also show the band if it represents an extraction buffer contaminant. Although the molecular weight (MW) of the substance is suggestive of common laboratory contaminants such as albumin (MW = 66.5 kDa) or alpha keratin (MW = 66 kDa), its identity cannot be determined with certainty by silver staining alone. Ultimately, whatever the substance is, it is not present in the fossil extracts and therefore has no pertinence to the results of our immunoassays.

To test whether organics in SRHS-DU-231 identified by silver staining included the most abundant bone protein, collagen I, we performed two immunoassays: ELISA and in situ immunofluorescence. Immunoassays provide remarkable sensitivity and specificity in comparison to less-specific, outdated techniques (e.g., amino acid analysis, racemization; see reviews by Schweitzer et al. and Cleland and Schroeter). Whereas ELISA tests for antibody binding to solubilized epitopes in native confirmation in chemical extracts (and therefore provides very high sensitivity), immunofluorescence provides the advantage of localizing epitopes of specific proteins in native confirmation to tissues. The two assays thus provide independent, complementary information which,
when performed with appropriate controls, can effectively evaluate the potential presence and endogeneity of biomolecules in fossil tissues. To account for non-specific binding, we: (1) employed complementary controls, including sediment, secondary-only, inhibition, and digestion tests, and; (2) used modern, chromatography-purified, antigen-specific antibodies (from Millipore and U.S. Biological). Collectively, these measures can effectively eliminate non-specific binding, identify any potential false positives, and provide unequivocal support for true positive reactions (e.g., 3,4,17,21,22).

Immunofluorescence assays positively identified collagen I within demineralization products of *Edmontosaurus* fibula SRHS-DU-231 (Fig. 4A–D) and in chemical extracts from cortical samples of this specimen (Fig. 3). In both in situ immunofluorescence and ELISA, reactivity was only observed when fossil tissues or extracts were incubated with primary antibodies; sediment and secondary-only controls were negative (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4E, J). Because all parameters were kept identical, only the presence or absence of specific primary antibodies determined positive results. Thus, binding cannot be ascribed to humics, contamination, or spurious binding of secondary antibodies. Moreover, both inhibition and (3 h and 6 h) digestion controls demonstrate the specificity of antibody binding to collagen I (Fig. 4F–H, K, L). Initial increase in fluorescence in short (1 h) digestion assays has been previously reported and attributed to initial exposure of physically-shielded epitopes within molecular condensation products or aggregates55,48 or to a need for longer digestion because of diagnostically-induced crosslinks (e.g., 3). Our results are thus entirely consistent with detection of endogenous collagen I in SRHS-DU-231. Thus, we have documented the first evidence in support of Trueman et al.49 proposal of a link between steep REE depth profiles50 and biomolecular recovery potential.

Demineralization of cortical bone from SRHS-DU-231 yielded diverse cellular and tissue microstructures36. Based on the morphology of fluorescing tissues in our immunofluorescence assays (e.g., Fig. 4A), antibodies are most likely binding to semi-translucent/white fragments of tissue morphologically consistent with fibrous matrix visible by optical microscopy (Fig. 3 of ref.36). Though immunofluorescence has only been performed on one bone, many other SRHS bones yielded identical, abundant, fragments of fibrous matrix upon demineralization49 suggests collagen I is likely to also be preserved in other bones from this locality.

Burial and diagenetic processes influencing the REE composition of SRHS bones likely also contributed to preservation of soft tissues and collagen in these specimens. First, rapid burial by flooding37 yielded consistent trace element composition among bones51 and minimized degradation during aerial exposure (e.g., by UV radiation-induced weathering51). Rapid burial is widely considered essential for soft tissue preservation in the fossil record32,52,53. Second, burial in a chemically-stable, circum-neutral pH, low-energy environment57 likely restricted the development of varied, complex REE compositions45 and minimized degradative processes acting on the bones and their soft tissues (i.e., abrasion, exposure to varied chemical regimes54,55). Third, chelation of metal ions in the reducing burial setting (as indicated by abundant plant matter and early-diagenetic siderite concretions within the bonebed36,57) may have minimized oxidative damage to collagen and other biomolecules53. Fourth, the argillaceous host matrix that limited pore fluid replenishment and therefore REE uptake45 may also have mitigated hydrolysis of biomolecules and physically restricted influx of microbial decomposers (e.g., 38). Abundant clay in the host matrix may also have mitigated degradation of soft tissues by adsorbing and thereby inactivating microbial enzymes52,55. Finally, waterlogged conditions combined with low permeability of the host matrix may have produced local zones of anoxia that could have further limited microbial activity and oxidation of biomolecules (e.g., 34,58).

Trueman et al.49 hypothesized that the REE composition of fossil bones should be linked with biomolecular recovery potential because the same pore fluid interactions that cause crystallization and lead to REE uptake will also affect the biomolecular components of bone through hydrolysis, solubilization, and mobilization of autolytic enzymes and microbial decomposers. Though the high adsorption capacity of bone apatite crystal lattice25 may promote primary REE uptake during early diagenesis as Trueman et al.24 suggested, additional, protracted uptake over geologic timescales of burial can potentially alter and/or obscure original concentration-depth profiles. Such altered profiles can: (1) provide biased “fossilization rate” estimates from diffusivities60, and; (2) yield erroneous Lu–Hf isotopic ages57,61,62. Thus, even bones with as low REE concentrations and simple depth profiles as SRHS specimens are unlikely to facilitate accurate characterization of the duration of early-diagenetic recrystallization (“fossilization”) in an absolute sense.

What can be discerned from trace element taphonomy with confidence, however, is the overall relative extent of diagenetic alteration of a bone. Crucially, this should also be what governs the degradation of bone soft tissues and their constituent biomolecules. In short, “simple diffusion” profiles (sensu Trueman et al.24) may yield erroneous isotopic ages but still denote simple long-term diagenetic histories that are favorable for biomolecular preservation (Fig. 5).

Although debate continues over the precise mechanics of REE uptake in bone (e.g., 25,27,28,60–63), uptake mechanisms are irrelevant to the use of REE composition as a viable proxy for biomolecular recovery potential. Though identifying whether “simple diffusion” profiles (such as those found in most SRHS bones45) result from rapid REE uptake in early diagenesis followed by closed system behavior or from continual minor uptake of additional REE over geological timescales (e.g., 27) is vitally important when attempting to constrain the geochemistry of the original depositional environment, a fossil specimen’s potential for biomolecular preservation depends on its entire diagenetic history, not just the initial geochemical regime to which it was exposed. Therefore, we suggest that the overall level of trace element concentrations and the general character of REE profiles are the most important factors for predicting the likelihood of molecular recovery in fossil bones. Evident fractionation among REE (i.e., lower LREE/HREE ratios in the internal cortex relative to the external cortex, as in SRHS specimens43) may also be worth consideration as an important predictor as it signifies brief and/or spatially-restricted diffusion. Even if REE are continually incorporated through late diagenesis, models suggest that this usually occurs at very minor levels (likely < 0.2 ppm/Ma27). It thus constitutes a slow, relatively inconsequential process rather than a rapid, strong geochemical shift that would be more apt to degrade bone organics. Moreover, even if leaching,
late-diagenetic uptake, or other processes alter initial REE depth profiles to the degree that they no longer accurately record chemical signals from the original environment of burial (e.g.,27,64), the spatial distribution of REE within the specimen will still characterize the complexity of interactions the fossil had with pore fluids over the duration of burial because these interactions leave identifiable chemical signatures (e.g.,12,64–66). Thus, no matter the duration or mechanism(s) of uptake, REE profiles constitute a valuable record of the history of diagenetic regime(s) controlling initial bone alteration and long-term stability.

In agreement with reports by prior authors, our previous study on the trace element composition of these specimens43 found that porosity clearly governs effective diffusion of trace element ions through bone; porosity and permeability facilitate trace element uptake and hence alteration. In principle, this suggests that denser, less-porous fossil bone tissue (i.e., in the middle cortex of limb bones) may generally be more resilient to alteration (cf.,6) and thus a more favorable target for biomolecular assays. However, we reiterate that cancellous bone tissues may also be worthy of molecular investigation as they have also occasionally yielded cells and soft tissues (e.g.,16,36).

Based upon these and prior data, we predict that specimens that incorporated REE primarily in early diagenesis and then became effectively closed systems (i.e., through early cementation of sediments and/or burial in low-permeability sediments, early permineralization, or encasement in early-diagenetic concretion) would be the best candidates to retain biomolecules because of long-term equilibration with the diagenetic environment (Fig. 5). However, a mounting body of evidence suggests that such specimens may be uncommon27,61,62. Edmontosaurus bones from SRHS and two Cretaceous bones analyzed by Koenig et al.30 appear to be the product of such simple diagenetic histories16,61, but it is common for fossil bones to exhibit considerably more complex

**Figure 5.** Predicted biomolecular recovery potential for bones with varied diagenetic histories inferred from REE profiles. Left-most column presents generalized REE profiles with depth into the bone from the external cortex margin increasing to the right and elemental concentration increasing upward. A double medium diffusion profile is portrayed as modeled by Kohn70. Though bones with double medium diffusion REE profiles have not yet been tested for biomolecular preservation, two SRHS bones exhibiting this profile type have successfully yielded microstructures upon demineralization that are morphologically consistent with modern analogs (osteocytes, blood vessel fragments, fibrous matrix)36. All SRHS bones exhibit simple diffusion or double medium diffusion profiles alike those depicted in the top two rows43.
diagenetic histories. Specimens which display evidence of trace element leaching (e.g., Fig. 2b of ref. 27), secondary uptake phases (e.g., Fig. 2c of ref. 27), or yet more complex diagenetic histories involving multiple exposures to groundwaters of varying compositions (e.g., Fig. 2e, f of ref. 27, Fig. 9g of ref. 28) would be expected to have lower likelihood of biomolecular recovery because any of these processes would involve re-exposure to new pore fluids later in diagenesis that could actively degrade soft tissues (Fig. 5).

By synthesizing our results (and those of our previous studies36,37,43) with conclusions from prior geochemical taphonomy studies, we propose the following regarding the two most commonly encountered REE-depth profiles in fossil bones predicted to have the highest potential for molecular recovery: "simple" and "moderate" diffusion (Fig. 5). "Simple diffusion" profiles (and the diagenetic histories that produce them) are most likely to occur in bones that: (1) have a thicker and denser cortex (this study, and refs. 24, 25, 29); (2) were buried rapidly (this study); (3) were buried in low-permeability sediments (e.g., claystone, sandstone cemented in early diagenesis; this study, and refs. 61, 65, 67), and/or; (4) were buried in dry/semi-dry depositional environments68. "Moderate diffusion" profiles (and the diagenetic histories that produce them) are most likely to occur in bones that: (1) have a thinner cortex or high porosity12, 26, 30, 65, 69; (2) were exposed for an extended time (> 10 year, based on weathering) before burial; (3) were preserved in high-permeability sediments (e.g., coarse-grained sandstone, unconsolidated sediments65), or; (4) were buried in wet/moist environments60, 64, 66, 68.

Though it is tempting to suggest that bones with 'simple diffusion' profiles should be the best candidates for biomolecular analyses, the current dataset in support of this claim is only one bone (or nine bones from one facies [mudstone] at one locality if soft tissue recovery is instead the criterion; cf. ref. 29). Moreover, two fossil bones exhibiting double medium diffusion REE profiles65 have also been found to yield cellular and soft tissue microstructures36, indicating that specimens with other profile types may also be productive targets. Therefore, fossil bones buried in a wide range of other facies (e.g., limestone, sandstone, unconsolidated sediments) and exhibiting many other types of REE profiles (e.g., irregular, leached, over-steepened in Fig. 5) must be tested for soft tissue and biomolecular preservation to fully evaluate the reliability of our predictions.

It is important to note that although we performed the analyses herein on a well-preserved dinosaur bone from the Hell Creek Formation (a suite of strata already known to yield exquisite fossils at the molecular level14, 17, 32, 56), reports of successful recovery of osteocytes, blood vessels, and other soft tissues within bone are becoming more frequent (e.g., 17, 18, 45, 56), even from recently-oxidized and sun-bleached float bone fragments36. Therefore, even a specimen whose preservation quality visually appears "mediocre" at first glance may be worth examination via REE analyses in order to judge its molecular potential. Trace element analyses are an inexpensive, minimally-destructive way to quickly assess the extent of chemical alteration that any fossil has endured.

Despite the advances in understanding we have developed in this study, the relationship between REE profiles and biomolecular recovery potential remains far from clear. Bone tissue type, structure, and location of biomolecules within cells and tissues are also key variables that must be considered, as recovery of cells and/or soft tissues by demineralization appears positively correlated with biomolecular recovery (this study and refs. 14, 15, 17, 36, 43). Extensive alteration by processes such as leaching or secondary uptake phases likely decrease soft tissue and biomolecular preservation potential, but the specimens we have analyzed at SRHS do not shed light on these expectations. Protracted, late-diagenetic uptake of REE will provide erroneous isotopic age estimates for fossil bones, but it does not affect the potential utility of REE profiles as a biomolecular preservation proxy. Thus, in conclusion, all available evidence suggests that REE profiles may be useful proxies for biomolecular recovery when considered alongside a framework of traditional taphonomic and sedimentologic context.

Materials and methods

Fossil samples. Sixteen SRHS Edmontosaurus annectens bones were previously shown to yield microstructures morphologically consistent with vertebrate osteocytes, blood vessels, and fibrous matrix upon demineralization with 0.5 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) pH 8.046. We have reported the trace element composition of nine of those specimens elsewhere43 and further examine one of them (fibula SRHS-DU-231) here for biomolecular preservation. All nine bones are morphologically well-preserved: they are complete and exhibit no weathering or abrasion37.

Methods. Methods used for trace element analyses and biomolecular assays are detailed in Ullmann et al.45 and the Supporting Information of this paper, respectively. Briefly, cortical bone samples were collected immediately following discovery of bones in the field, and were stored in sterile glass jars over Silicagel desiccant beads until analysis. The trace element composition of each specimen was determined previously by laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) on transverse thick sections43. Herein, we employed three independent assays to test for the presence of collagen I epitopes in demineralized tissues and chemical extracts from SRHS-DU-231: polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) with silver-staining, enzyme-linked immunosorbant assays (ELISA), and in situ immunofluorescence. ELISA is the most sensitive of these techniques, in which antibodies bind to epitopes in native conformation in extracts44. PAGE separates components of extracts by molecular weight, and though less sensitive than ELISA, this technique enables recognition of denatured epitopes44. Immunofluorescence is the least sensitive of these techniques but provides the advantage of localizing epitopes of specific proteins in native conformation to tissues44. All protein extractions, PAGE, and immunoassays were conducted in a laboratory dedicated to biomolecular analyses of fossils at North Carolina State University (NCSU) in which tissues of modern organisms are prohibited.

Data availability All data generated and analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its Supporting Information file.
References

1. Schweitzer, M. H. et al. Biomolecular characterization and protein sequences of the Campanian hadrosaur B. canadensis. Science 324, 626–631 (2009).
2. Schroeter, E. R. et al. Expansion for the Brachylophosaurus canadensis collagen I sequence and additional evidence of the preservation of Cretaceous protein. J. Proteome Res. 16, 920–932 (2017).
3. Pan, Y. et al. The molecular evolution of feathers with direct evidence from fossils. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 116, 3018–3023 (2019).
4. Cleland, T. P. et al. Mass spectrometry and antibody-based characterization of blood vessels from Brachylophosaurus canadensis. J. Proteome Res. 14, 5252–5262 (2015).
5. Boatman, E. M. et al. Methods of soft tissue and protein preservation in Tyrannosaurus rex. Sci. Rep. 9, e15678 (2019).
6. Goodwin, M. B., Grant, P. G., Bench, G. & Holroyd, P. A. Elemental composition and diagenetic alteration of dinosaur bone: distinguishing micron-scale spatial and compositional heterogeneity using PIXE. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoc. 253, 458–476 (2007).
7. Trueman, C. N. In Bonebeds: Genesis, Analysis, and Paleobiological Significance (eds Rogers, R. R. et al.) 397–435 (University Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2007).
8. Trueman, C. N., Behrensmeyer, A. K., Tuross, N. & Weiner, S. Mineralogical and compositional changes in bones exposed on soil surfaces in Amboseli National Park, Kenya: diagenetic mechanisms and the role of sediment pore fluids. J. Archaeol. Sci. 31, 721–739 (2004).
9. Samoilov, V. S. & Benjamini, C. Geochemical features of dinosaur remains from the Gobi Desert, south Mongolia. Palaios 11, 519–531 (1996).
10. Grandstaff, D. E. & Terry, D. O. Rare earth element composition of Paleogene vertebrate fossils from Toadstool Geologic Park, Nebraska, USA. Appl. Geochem. 24, 733–745 (2009).
11. Kocsis, L. et al. Gradual changes in upwelled seawater conditions (redox, pH) from the late Cretaceous through early Paleogene at the northwest coast of Africa: negative Ce anomaly trend recorded in fossil bioapatite. Chem. Geol. 421, 44–54 (2016).
12. Trueman, C. N. et al. The post-mortem history of a bone revealed by its trace element signature: the case of a fossil whale rostrum. Chem. Geol. 477, 137–150 (2018).
13. Pawlicki, R. & Nowogrodzka-Zagorska, M. Blood vessels and red blood cells preserved in dinosaur bones. Ann. Anat. 180, 73–77 (1998).
14. Schweitzer, M. H., Wittmeyer, J. L., Horner, J. R. & Toporski, J. K. Soft-tissue vessels and cellular preservation in Cretaceous fossils. Science 307, 1952–1955 (2005).
15. Schweitzer, M. H. et al. Analyses of soft tissue from Tyrannosaurus rex suggest the presence of protein. Science 316, 277–280 (2007).
16. Schweitzer, M. H., Wittmeyer, J. L. & Horner, J. R. Soft tissue and cellular preservation in vertebrate skeletal elements from the Cretaceous to the present. Proc. R. Soc. B 274, 183–197 (2007).
17. Schweitzer, M. H., Zheng, W., Cleland, T. P. & Bern, M. Molecular analyses of dinosaur osteocytes support the presence of endogenous molecules. Bone 52, 414–423 (2013).
18. Lindgren, J. et al. Microspectroscopic evidence of Cretaceous bone proteins. PLoS ONE 6, e19445 (2011).
19. Lindgren, J. et al. Molecular composition and ultrastructure of Jurassic feathered paravians. Sci. Rep. 5, e15320 (2015).
20. Nance, J. R., Armstrong, J. T., Cody, G. D., Fogel, M. L. & Hazen, R. M. Preserved macroscopic polymer sheets of shell-binding protein in the Middle Miocene (8 to 18 Ma) gastropod Ephyra. Geochim. Perspect. Lett. 1, 1–9 (2015).
21. Moyer, A. E., Zheng, W. & Schweitzer, M. H. Keratin durability has implications for the fossil record: results from a 10 year feather degradation experiment. PLoS ONE 11, e0157699 (2016).
22. Pan, Y. et al. Molecular evidence of keratin and melanosome fossils in the Early Cretaceous bird Eosinopteryx. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 113, 7900–7907 (2016).
23. Trueman, C. N. Rare earth element geochemistry and taphonomy of terrestrial vertebrate assemblages. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoc. 310, 124–132 (2011).
24. Suarez, C. A., Macpherson, G. L., Gonzalez, L. A. & Grandstaff, D. E. Heterogeneous rare earth element (REE) patterns and concentrations in a fossil bone: implications for the use of REE in vertebrate taphonomy and fossilization history. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 74, 2970–2988 (2010).
25. Kocsis, L., Trueman, C. N. & Palmer, M. R. Protracted diagenetic alteration of REE contents in fossil bioapatites: direct evidence from Lu–Hf isotope systematics. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 6077–6092 (2010).
26. Herwartz, D., Tütken, T., Jochum, K. P. & Sander, P. M. Rare earth element systematics of fossil bone revealed by LA-ICPMS analysis. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 103, 161–183 (2013).
27. Hinz, E. A. & Kohn, M. J. The effect of tissue structure and soil chemistry on trace element uptake in fossils. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 3213–3231 (2010).
28. Koenig, A. E., Rogers, R. R. & Trueman, C. N. Visualizing fossilization using laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry maps of trace elements in Late Cretaceous bones. Geology 37, 511–514 (2009).
29. Trueman, C. N. & Martill, D. M. The long-term survival of bone: the role of bioerosion. Archaeometry 44, 371–382 (2002).
30. Schweitzer, M. H. Soft tissue preservation in terrestrial Mesozoic vertebrates. Annu. Rev. Earth Planets Sci. 39, 187–216 (2011).
31. Collins, M. J. et al. The survival of organic matter in bone: a review. Archaeometry 44, 383–394 (2002).
32. Tuross, N., Behrensmeyer, A. K., Eanes, E. D., Fisher, L. W. & Hare, P. E. Molecular preservation and crystallographic alterations in a weathering sequence of wildebeest bones. Appl. Geochem. 4, 261–270 (1989).
33. Child, A. M. Microbial taphonomy of archaeological bone. Stud. Conserv. 40, 19–30 (1995).
34. Ullmann, P. V., Pandya, S. H. & Nellermoe, R. Patterns of soft tissue and cellular preservation in relation to fossil bone microstructure and overburden depth: a case study in South Dakota. Cretaceous Res. 99, 1–13 (2019).
35. Ullmann, P. V., Shaw, A., Nellermoe, R. & Lacovara, K. J. Taphonomy of the Standing Rock Hadrosaur Site, Corson County, South Dakota. Palaios 32, 779–796 (2017).
36. Colson, M. C., Colson, R. O. & Nellermoe, R. Stratigraphic and depositional environments of the upper Fox Hills and lower Hell Creek Formations at the Concordia Hadrosaur Site in northwestern South Dakota. Rocky Mt. Geol. 39, 93–111 (2004).
37. Schroeter, E. R., Blackburn, K., Goshe, M. B. & Schweitzer, M. H. Proteomic method to extract, concentrate, digest and enrich peptides from fossils with coloured (humic) substances for mass spectrometry analyses. R. Soc. Open Sci. 6, 181433 (2019).
38. Ostlund, E. N. et al. Equine West Nile encephalitis, United States. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7, 665–669 (2001).
39. Appiah, A. S. et al. Spread of African cassava mosaic virus from cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) to physic nut (Jatropha curcas L.) in Ghana. J. Phytop. 4, 31–37 (2012).
40. Harper, E. & Kang, A. H. Studies on the specificity of bacterial collagenase. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Co. 41, 482–487 (1970).
43. Ullmann, P. V., Grandstaff, D. E., Ash, R. D. & Lacovara, K. J. Geochemical taphonomy of the Standing Rock Hadrosaur Site: exploring links between rare earth elements and cellular and soft tissue preservation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 269, 223–237 (2020).
44. Schweitzer, M. H., Avci, R., Collier, T. & Goodwin, M. B. Microscopic, chemical and molecular methods for examining fossil preservation. C. R. Palevol 7, 159–184 (2008).
45. Schroeter, E. R. The morphology, histology, and molecular preservation of an exceptionally complete titanosaur from southernmost Patagonia. PhD thesis, Drexel University (2013).
46. Cleland, T. P., Voegerle, K. K. & Schweitzer, M. H. Empirical evaluation of methodology related to the extraction of bone proteins. PLoS ONE 7, e31443 (2012).
47. Brandt, E., Wiechmann, I. & Gruve, H. How reliable are immunological tools for the detection of ancient proteins in fossil bones? Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 12, 307–316 (2002).
48. Moll, R., Divo, M. & Langbein, L. The human keratins: biology and pathology. Histochem. Cell Biol. 129, 705–733 (2008).
49. Cleland, T. P. & Schroeter, E. R. A comparison of common mass spectrometry approaches for paleoproteomics. J. Proteome Res. 17, 936–945 (2018).
50. Ramos-Vara, J. A. & Beisenzherz, M. E. Optimization of immunohistochemical methods using two different antigen retrieval methods on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues: experience with 63 markers. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 12, 307–311 (2000).
51. Behrensmeyer, A. K. Taphonomic and ecologic information from bone weathering. Paleobiology 4, 150–162 (1978).
52. Allison, P. A. The role of anoxia in the decay and mineralization of proteinaceous macro-fossils. Paleobiology 14, 139–154 (1988).
53. Eglinton, G. & Logan, G. A. Molecular preservation. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 333, 315–328 (1991).
54. Fiorello, A. R. Taphonomy of hazard Homestead Quarry (Ogallalla Group), Hitchcock County, Nebraska. U. Wy. Contrib. Geol. 26, 57–97 (1988).
55. Williams, C. T., Henderson, P., Marlow, C. A. & Molleson, T. I. The environment of deposition indicated by the distribution of rare earth elements in fossil bones from Olduval Gorge, Tanzania. Appl. Geochem. 12, 537–547 (1997).
56. Peterson, J. E., Lenczewski, M. E. & Scherer, R. P. Influence of microbial biofilms on the preservation of primary soft tissue in fossil and extant archosaurs. PLoS ONE 5, e13334 (2010).
57. Butterfield, N. J. Organic preservation of non-mineralizing organisms and the taphonomy of the Burgess Shale. Paleobiology 16, 272–286 (1990).
58. McMahon, S., Anderson, R. P., Saupé, E. E. & Briggs, D. E. G. Experimental evidence that clay inhibits bacterial decomposers: implications for preservation of organic fossils. Geology 44, 867–870 (2016).
59. Briggs, D. E. G. The role of decay and mineralization in the preservation of soft-bodied fossils. Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc. 31, 275–301 (2003).
60. Kohl, M. J. & Moses, R. J. Trace element diffusivities in bone rule out simple diffusive uptake during fossilization but explain in vivo uptake and release. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 419–424 (2013).
61. Herwartz, D. et al. Timescales and mechanisms of REE and Hf uptake in fossil bones. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 75, 82–105 (2011).
62. Herwartz, D. et al. Lu–Hf isotopic systematics of fossil biogenic apatite and their effects on geochronology. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 101, 328–343 (2013).
63. Reynard, B. & Balter, V. Trace elements and their isotopes in bones and teeth: diet, environments, diagenesis, and dating of archaeological and paleontological samples. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 416, 4–16 (2014).
64. Tütken, T., Vennemann, T. W. & Pfretzschner, H. Early diagenesis of bone and tooth apatite in fluvial and marine settings: constraints from combined oxygen isotope, nitrogen and REE analysis. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 266, 254–268 (2008).
65. Kowal-Linka, M., Jochum, K. P. & Surmik, D. LA-ICP-MS analysis of rare earth elements in marine reptile bones from the Middle Triassic bonebed (Upper Silesia, S Poland): impact of long-lasting diagenesis, and factors controlling the uptake. Chem. Geol. 363, 213–228 (2014).
66. McCormack, J. M., Bahr, A., Gerdes, A., Tütken, T. & Prinz-Grimm, P. Preservation of successive diagenetic stages in Middle Triassic boneyeds: evidence from in situ trace element and strontium isotope analysis of vertebrate fossils. Chem. Geol. 410, 108–123 (2015).
67. Kowal-Linka, M. & Jochum, K. P. Variability of trace element uptake in marine reptile bones from three Triassic sites (S Poland): influence of diagenetic processes on the host rock and significance of the applied methodology. Chem. Geol. 397, 1–13 (2015).
68. Pike, A. W. G., Hedges, R. E. M. & Van Calsteren, P. U-series dating of bone using the diffusion-adsorption model. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 66, 4273–4286 (2002).
69. Suarez, C. A., Suarez, M. B., Terry, D. O. & Grandstaff, D. E. Rare earth element geochemistry and taphonomy of the Early Cretaceous Crystal Geyser Dinosaur Quarry, east-central Utah. Palaios 22, 500–512 (2007).
70. Kohn, M. J. Models of diffusion-limited uptake of trace elements in fossils and rates of fossilization. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72, 3758–3770 (2008).

**Acknowledgements**

We are indebted to the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe for their gracious support of this project. In particular, we thank Bob Demery and Henry Harrison of the Standing Rock Tribal Council and Adrienne Swallow of the Standing Rock Paleontology Committee for their support. We also thank Dr. Ron Nellermoe of Concordia College (Moorhead, MN) and Allen Shaw, formerly of the Standing Rock Paleontology Department, for their assistance in healing old wounds and forging our multi-institutional collaboration to study this fascinating bonebed. This project was funded by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (DGE Award 1002809) and a Jurassic Foundation Grant to PVU, and by Rowan University.

**Author contributions**

P.VU. designed the research, with critical input from D.E.G., M.H.S., and K.J.L.; P.VU. and K.K.V. performed the molecular assays, with assistance from W.Z. and E.R.S.; P.VU. and R.D.A. performed the LA-ICPMS analyses discussed herein; E.R.S. supplied extant Alligator tissues for use as a modern control in immunofluorescence assays; P.VU. wrote the original manuscript, which received critical input from all coauthors.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Additional information**

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72648-6.
