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ABSTRACT
Sexual dimorphism in body size measurements of 65 stone martens was investigated in winter periods (10.12.-01.03) 2013 to 2017. There was found sexual dimorphism in body size of Stone Marten from Central Bulgaria: males were larger than females. The tail length (with or without hairs) did not depend on sex. The sexual dimorphism in the most variable linear parameters of the species varied from 2.76% to 10.63%. Male stone martens were 23.37% heavier than females.
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INTRODUCTION
The existence of differences in body size and body mass between males and females across various animal taxa is of interest for many researchers. The most basic morphological characteristic used for analyzing sexual dimorphism is body size (1). There are three hypotheses explaining these differences. The first one considers sexual dimorphism to be connected to different food sources (2). According to some authors both sexes differ in size because of reasons related to reproduction (3, 4). The third hypothesis suggests that smaller females have the advantage of being more energy-effective. Thus, they can save more energy for the processes of growing cubs (4).

In the smallest mustelid - weasel (Mustela nivalis), “the best size to be is not the same for both sexes” - males are always bigger (5). Among all mustelid species inhabiting Bulgaria, the badger (Meles meles) is the biggest. The range of body weights and body lengths was reported to overlap considerably between both sexes in this species (6).

*Correspondence to: Stanislava Peeva, Department of Animal production - Non-ruminants and other animals, Faculty of Agriculture, Trakia University, 6000 Stara Zagora, Bulgaria, E-mail: st.peeva@abv.bg
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Body size measurements were based on 65 stone martens (41 males and 24 females). The carcasses were provided by hunters in the Taxidermy laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture at Trakia University in winter periods (10.12 - 01.03) 2013 to 2017.

A total of 12 linear parameters and the body weight of sampled animals were taken following 13:
- Total body length from the tip of snout to the tip of the tail along the backline (TBL)
- Total body length from the tip of the snout to the tip of the tail sideways of the body (TBLs)
- Body length from the tip of the snout to the base of the tail along the backline (BL)
- Body length from the tip of the snout to the buttock (sideways of the body) – straight body length (BLs)
- Length of the tail with hair (LTh)
- Length of the tail to the last sacral vertebra (LT)
- Fore limb length from the withers to the ulnar tuberosity (FLL1)
- Length of fore limb from the elbow to the nail tips (FLL2)
- Length of hind limb from the knee joint to the nail tips (HLL)
- Circumference of breast immediately behind the front limb (CB)
- Circumference of the metacarpus (CMc)
- Circumference of the metatarsus (CMt)
- Carcass weight (CW)

The bodies were laid sideways for measuring (Figure 2):

A flexible measuring tape was used to obtain the linear parameters (to the nearest of 0.1 cm) and an electronic scales – for the carcass weight (up to 5 g).

The variability of the linear parameters and the level of statistically significance of the differences between sexes were processed by STATISTICA 6.0 software (StatSoft Inc., 2002).
Sexual dimorphism was defined two ways: as a ratio: male parameter/female parameter and as a percentage: the parameter difference between sexes/female value x 100.

The differences between sexes in compactness index (CB/BL and CB/BLs) were found.

\[ \text{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION} \]

The data revealed that the most variable body parameters in male stone martens from Sarnena Gora Mts were: LT (Vc=6.51); FLL1 (Vc=7.86) and CB (Vc=8.6; Table 1).

**Table 1. Basic statistical characteristic for measured body parameters of male stone martens from Sarnena Sredna Gora Mts**

| Parameter | N  | Mean  | Minimum | Maximum | Std.Dev. | Vc  |
|-----------|----|-------|---------|---------|----------|-----|
| TBL, cm   | 40 | 81.83 | 78.00   | 87.00   | 2.06     | 2.52|
| TBLs, cm  | 40 | 80.78 | 76.00   | 86.00   | 2.22     | 2.75|
| BL, cm    | 41 | 49.11 | 43.00   | 55.00   | 2.08     | 4.23|
| BLs, cm   | 41 | 47.38 | 43.00   | 51.00   | 1.67     | 3.51|
| LTh, cm   | 40 | 33.40 | 29.00   | 37.00   | 1.69     | 5.07|
| LT, cm    | 40 | 24.78 | 22.00   | 29.00   | 1.61     | 6.51|
| FLL1, cm  | 24 | 9.56  | 8.50    | 11.00   | 0.75     | 7.86|
| FLL2, cm  | 24 | 12.69 | 11.50   | 14.50   | 0.69     | 5.43|
| HLL, cm   | 24 | 16.09 | 15.00   | 18.20   | 0.83     | 5.12|
| CB, cm    | 41 | 25.13 | 21.00   | 30.00   | 2.16     | 8.60|
| CMc, cm   | 24 | 7.03  | 6.50    | 7.50    | 0.28     | 3.97|
| CMt, cm   | 24 | 6.95  | 6.30    | 7.40    | 0.23     | 3.32|
| CW, g     | 41 | 1648.05 | 1100.00 | 2500.00 | 314.85 | 19.11|

In females, except these three parameters, high values of variability showed: LTh (Vc=6.09); CMc (Vc=8.55) and CMt (Vc=10.85; Table 2). The mean carcass weight in males was 1648 g, varying between 1100 and 2500 g (Table 1). The females were lighter with an average weight 1335 g (900-1800 g; Table 2). This was the most variable parameter compared to other studied linear parameters. The same as obtained results, 14 were found that in Hungary the adult male stone martens were significantly bigger than females – mean body weight in males was 1705 g and in female – 1309 g.

**Table 2. Basic statistical characteristic for measured body parameters of female stone martens from Sarnena Sredna Gora Mts**

| Parameter | N  | Mean  | Minimum | Maximum | Std.Dev. | Vc  |
|-----------|----|-------|---------|---------|----------|-----|
| TBL, cm   | 23 | 79.61 | 74.00   | 87.00   | 3.27     | 4.11|
| TBLs, cm  | 23 | 78.61 | 72.00   | 85.00   | 3.16     | 4.02|
| BL, cm    | 24 | 46.56 | 44.00   | 50.00   | 1.70     | 3.66|
| BLs, cm   | 24 | 45.29 | 43.00   | 48.00   | 1.47     | 3.25|
| LTh, cm   | 23 | 33.22 | 30.00   | 38.00   | 2.02     | 6.09|
| LT, cm    | 23 | 24.24 | 22.00   | 28.00   | 1.54     | 6.34|
| FLL1, cm  | 18 | 8.64  | 7.50    | 10.50   | 0.75     | 8.61|
| FLL2, cm  | 18 | 11.63 | 10.50   | 12.50   | 0.57     | 4.89|
| HLL, cm   | 18 | 15.42 | 14.50   | 17.00   | 0.73     | 4.75|
| CB, cm    | 24 | 22.94 | 19.00   | 26.50   | 2.19     | 9.56|
| CMc, cm   | 18 | 6.71  | 6.00    | 8.00    | 0.57     | 8.55|
| CMt, cm   | 18 | 6.54  | 5.70    | 8.00    | 0.71     | 10.85|
| CW, g     | 24 | 1335.83 | 900.00 | 1800.00 | 236.18 | 17.68|
All of the studied body parameters, except LTh and LT, differed between males and females (Table 3). Thus, males were characterized with more massive and compact body (proved by index of compactness; Table 3) with longer limbs than females. An obvious difference in sexual dimorphism in the most variable linear parameters of the species varied from 2.76% to 10.63%.

**CONCLUSIONS**
- There was found sexual size dimorphism in body size of Stone Marten from Central Bulgaria: males were larger than females.
- The tail length (with or without hairs) of Stone Marten did not depend on sex.
- The sexual dimorphism in the most variable linear parameters of the species varied from 2.76% to 10.63%.
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### Table 3. Sexual dimorphism in body parameters and compactness index of the Stone Marten from Sarnena Sredna Gora Mts, expressed as a ratio: male parameter/female parameter and as a percentage: the parameter difference between sexes/female value x 100.

| Parameter | Mean/males | Mean/females | p   | male/female ratio | dimorphism rate % |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|
| TBL, cm   | 81.83      | 79.61        | 0.002 | 1.03              | 2.78              |
| TBLs, cm  | 80.78      | 78.61        | 0.002 | 1.03              | 2.76              |
| BL, cm    | 49.11      | 46.56        | 0.000 | 1.05              | 5.47              |
| BLs, cm   | 47.38      | 45.29        | 0.000 | 1.05              | 4.61              |
| LTh, cm   | 33.40      | 33.22        | 0.702 | 1.01              | 5.55              |
| LT, cm    | 24.78      | 24.24        | 0.202 | 1.02              | 2.21              |
| FLL1, cm  | 9.56       | 8.64         | 0.000 | 1.11              | 10.63             |
| FLL2, cm  | 12.69      | 11.63        | 0.000 | 1.10              | 9.12              |
| HLL, cm   | 16.09      | 15.42        | 0.009 | 1.04              | 4.38              |
| CB, cm    | 25.13      | 22.94        | 0.000 | 1.10              | 9.57              |
| CMc, cm   | 7.03       | 6.71         | 0.023 | 1.05              | 4.74              |
| CMt, cm   | 6.95       | 6.54         | 0.012 | 1.06              | 6.22              |
| CW, g     | 1648.05    | 1335.83      | 0.000 | 1.23              | 23.37             |
| CB/BL (%) | 51.29      | 49.33        | 0.139 | 1.04              | 3.89              |
| CB/BLs (%)| 53.09      | 50.69        | 0.058 | 1.05              | 4.75              |

In the present study the highest percentage of sexual dimorphism was found in carcass weight. Male stone martens were 23.37% heavier than females (Table 3). 15 estimated sexual size dimorphism rate in weight for mustelids as: log (x/y) = log x - log y (following 16; 17) and found out that in Stone Marten it was 0.1. The transformed data for carcass weight from the present study were similar (0.09). In accordance, 18; 19 reported that male stone martens weight with 350 g more than females, and for pine martens these differences were around 400 g.
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