Generation of femtosecond $\gamma$-ray bursts stimulated by laser-driven hosing evolution
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The promising ability of a plasma wigglers based on laser wakefield acceleration to produce betatron X-rays with photon energies of a few keV to hundreds of keV and a peak brilliance of $10^{22–10^{23}}$ photons/s/mm$^2$/mrad$^2$/0.1%BW has been demonstrated, providing an alternative to large-scale synchrotron light sources. Most methods for generating betatron radiation are based on two typical approaches, one relying on an inherent transverse focusing electrostatic field, which induces transverse oscillation, and the other relying on the electron beam catching up with the rear part of the laser pulse, which results in strong electron resonance. Here, we present a new regime of betatron $\gamma$-ray radiation generated by stimulating a large-amplitude transverse oscillation of a continuously injected electron bunch through the hosing of the bubble induced by the carrier envelope phase (CEP) effect of the self-steepened laser pulse. Our method increases the critical photon energy to the MeV level, according to the results of particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations. The highly collimated, energetic and femtosecond $\gamma$-ray bursts that are produced in this way may provide an interesting potential means of exploring nuclear physics in tabletop photo nuclear reactions.

Two kinds of laser-driven synchrotron X-ray sources based on laser plasma acceleration have been studied extensively$^{1}$. One method exploits a quasi-monoenergetic electron beam generated via laser wakefield acceleration$^{2}$ to drive an external permanent magnet undulator for the generation of soft X-rays$^{3}$. In this case, the plasma serves as an accelerator and the undulator induces transverse oscillation, typically resulting in the radiation of $\sim$1 keV photons with a narrow spectral bandwidth from an undulator with a 1-cm period driven by a 1-GeV electron beam$^{4}$. The other method relies on the plasma wake excited by an intense laser pulse, which serves as both accelerator and wigglers$^{5}$. This latter method may have an advantage in producing a wide range of energetic photons from the X-ray region to as far as the $\gamma$-ray region$^{5–7}$. Moreover, the simplicity and compactness of such a coupled accelerator/wigglers system make it possible to develop a tabletop-scale synchrotron radiation source$^{1,8}$. In the bubble regime$^{2,11}$ of laser plasma acceleration, where nonlinear wakefields on the order of 100 GV/m are excited, subject to a strong focusing force due to the transverse wakefield, electrons that are injected off the axis exhibit periodic transverse oscillations during the acceleration process and consequently emit synchrotron radiation, often referred to as betatron radiation$^{1,3–7,12,13}$. The properties of this betatron radiation are entirely determined by the electron dynamics in the plasma bubble and the Liénard-Wiechert potentials$^{14}$. For example, the critical photon energy of the betatron radiation is given by $E_\gamma = 3h\omega_pK\gamma^2$, where $K \approx \omega_p/\gamma^3$ is a parameter describing the strength of the betatron oscillation of an electron with an energy of $mc^2/\gamma$, $\gamma_j = (2\gamma)^{-1/2}\omega_p$ is the betatron oscillation frequency with a plasma frequency of $\omega_p = (4\pi \varepsilon_0 n_p/m)^{1/2}$ at the plasma density $n_p$ and $r_j$ is the amplitude of the betatron oscillation. The photon flux at the peak photon energy is given by $N_{\text{photon}} \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-2}N_e N_r K$, where $N_e$ is the number of electrons and $N_r$ is the number of periods of betatron oscillation$^1$. Therefore, a larger betatron amplitude and electron charge lead to a higher photon energy and radiation flux. However, to produce
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high-energy and high-quality electron beams, the laser spot size $w_0$ should be matched with the bubble size $R_B$ to ensure the formation of a nearly spherical bubble and achieve stable propagation of the laser pulse under the matching condition $k_p R_B \approx k_p w_0 \approx 2(a_0)^{1/2}$, where $k_p = 2\pi/\lambda_p$ is the plasma wave number for a plasma wavelength of $\lambda_p$ and $a_0 = eA_0/mc^2$ is the normalized vector potential of the laser pulse for a peak amplitude $A_0$ of the vector potential. Under this condition, if electrons are self-injected into the bubble on the axis, then the transverse wakefield will tend to focus the electron beam rather than induce transverse oscillations. By contrast, for the generation of highly brilliant betatron radiation with higher photon energy, it is interesting to explore how to enhance the betatron oscillations and the beam charge. In this context, the enhancement of the betatron amplitude can be manipulated to some extent by exploiting the forced oscillation of the self-injected electron beam in the plasma bubble, where the betatron oscillation is resonantly driven by the laser field, leading to the extension of the spectral peak photon energy up to $\sim 150$ keV. However, this technique for exciting resonant betatron oscillations is inefficient in enhancing the charge and betatron amplitude of an electron bunch with an energy spread, resulting in limited peak brilliance of the betatron radiation.

In this paper, we present an efficient method for stimulating large-amplitude transverse oscillations of an electron beam to produce betatron radiation with a spectral peak energy near 1 MeV. With laser energy around 10 J and suitable plasma parameters, a large quantity of electrons can be continuously injected into a longitudinally stretched bubble and accelerated up to a multi-GeV energy level. These bunch exhibit large-amplitude collective transverse oscillations because of strong self-modulation and hosing motion of both the laser pulse and the plasma bubble. Because of the large betatron oscillation amplitude and the high average energy of the continuously injected electron bunches, the photon energy of the betatron radiation reaches the $\gamma$-ray region, and, furthermore, its peak brilliance may be significantly enhanced because of the increased oscillation amplitude and number of electrons.

**Results**

In particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations, a 144-TW laser pulse with $a_0 = 3.64$ and $w_0 = 18 \mu$m was launched into a homogeneous plasma (see Methods for the detailed simulation parameters). The plasma density was deliberately chosen to be $n_p = 2.0 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$, slightly higher than the optimal density of $1.3 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$ determined from the matching condition, i.e., $k_p w_0 \approx 2(a_0)^{1/2}$. Such a slightly higher density is likely to cause self-focusing of the laser pulse and lower the self-injection threshold, leading to multiple injections.

Multiple injections were observed in the 2D PIC simulations, as shown in Figs 1 and 2a–d. At the beginning of the interaction, as shown in Fig. 1a, the laser pulse drives a stable spherical bubble, while self-injected electrons form a tiny single bunch and travel with the betatron motion with a small average amplitude of $r_β \approx 1 \mu$m, as shown in Fig. 3a. After the laser pulse has propagated for 20.9 ps, this bunch is accelerated up to its maximum energy of 0.96 GeV, with an energy spread of $\Delta E/E \approx 10\%$, as shown in Fig. 2e. Meanwhile, the bubble is
significantly stretched in the longitudinal direction and the second injection process begins, as seen in Fig. 1b. Accompanying the onset of injection of the second bunch, almost all electrons in the rear sheath of the elongated bubble avalanche and become trapped, as shown in Fig. 1c. From Fig. 1c–f, one can see that the second electron bunch experiences collective transverse oscillations with an average amplitude $\beta \approx 9 \mu m$, as shown in Fig. 3d (the entire process is illustrated more visually in the Supplementary Information). Figure 2 shows snapshots of the acceleration process of the second bunch in $x-p_x$ phase space; simultaneously, the first bunch has already begun to decelerate after $t=20.9$ ps ($x=6.3$ mm). Notably, the acceleration of the second bunch lasts more than 30 ps, until the depletion of the laser pulse at $t=52$ ps ($x=15.6$ mm). Figure 2f shows the energy spectrum of the electrons in the second bunch with their maximum energy of $E \approx 1$ GeV and an energy spread of $\Delta E/E \approx 10\%$. (f) The spectrum of the second bunch at $t=52$ ps.
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**Figure 2.** Longitudinal electric field distribution, electron phase space ($x-p_x$) and spectra: the blue lines represent the electric field and the black dots represent the electron phase-space distribution at (a) $t=3.3$ ps, (b) $t=20.9$ ps, (c) $t=36.2$ ps, and (d) $t=52.0$ ps; the first bunch and the second bunch are accelerated to their maximum energies at $t=20.9$ ps (b) and $t=52.0$ ps (d) respectively. (e) The spectrum of the first bunch at $t=20.9$ ps, with a peak energy of $E \approx 1$ GeV and an energy spread of $\Delta E/E \approx 10\%$. (f) The spectrum of the second bunch at $t=52$ ps.
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**Figure 3.** Typical electron behaviors of the two electron bunches. (a) The electron trajectories of seven randomly selected particles from the first bunch, indicating that the average betatron oscillation amplitude is $r_\beta \approx 1 \mu m$. The corresponding transverse and longitudinal momentum evolutions of these particles are shown in (b,c), respectively. (d) The electron trajectories of seven randomly selected particles from the second bunch, indicating that the average betatron oscillation amplitude is $r_\beta \approx 9 \mu m$. The corresponding transverse and longitudinal momentum evolutions of these particles are shown in (e,f), respectively.
magnitude higher than that in the first bunch. Moreover, unlike the first bunch, which undergoes rapid deceleration after dephasing (Fig. 3c), the second bunch maintains its maximum energy for more than 20 ps (Fig. 3f) and simultaneously undergoes transverse oscillation (Fig. 3d), thereby enhancing the generated radiation flux. This occurs because of the quasi-uniform longitudinal wakefield modified by the strong beamloading effect driven by the second electron beam itself after the significant elongation of the bubble, as shown in Fig. 2d. By contrast, in Fig. 2b,c, the longitudinal gradient of the wakefield is quite sharp, resulting in the rapid deceleration of the first bunch after dephasing.

Such a high-energy electron beam with large-amplitude oscillations is very beneficial for the generation of intense betatron radiation. The on-axis spectrum of the betatron radiation is obtained by integrating the contributions from all electrons in the second bunch, as shown in Fig. 4. This integrated betatron radiation spectrum has a critical photon energy of $E_c \sim 1.2$ MeV and a high-energy tail extending to 10 MeV. For comparison, the spectrum of the betatron radiation emitted by the first bunch, which has a critical photon energy of $E_c \sim 80$ keV, is also presented in Fig. 4.

**Discussion**

We find that the phenomena of bubble elongation and the subsequent electron injection with high charge$^{26}$ and large-amplitude oscillations of continuously injected bunches result from the evolution of the laser pulse (similar laser pulse evolution can also be observed in Fig. 4b of ref. 29, which was generated based on 3D simulations, thereby supporting the rationale behind our 2D simulations). There are two aspects of this evolution: on the one hand, the peak intensity of the laser pulse, $I \propto a_0^2$, varies, as shown in Fig. 5i, with the periodic self-focusing and defocusing of the laser pulse$^{29,30}$; on the other hand, the significant erosion of the laser pulse front results in the asymmetrical distribution of the laser field $E_p$, as seen from Fig. 5a–d. These effects eventually stimulate the hosing$^{31}$ of the plasma wake, as shown in Fig. 5e–h, and the high-frequency oscillations in the laser field strength $a_0$ observed after $t = 17$ ps in Fig. 5i.

Generally speaking, the plasma wavelength increases as the laser intensity increases because of relativistic effects in the plasma response$^{32}$. At the beginning of the interaction, the plasma bubble stretches out and then constricts because of the moderate self-focusing and defocusing of the laser pulse; simultaneously, the first self-injected electron bunch is accelerated in a small phase space volume, reducing its energy spread and transverse beam size. The second injection is triggered by a drastic increase in the laser intensity caused by the significant self-focusing and self-steepening of the laser pulse after $t = 17$ ps, as shown in Fig. 5i. By comparing Fig. 1b with Fig. 1a, one can observe significant stretching of the plasma bubble, leading to the transformation of the bubble geometry from a round to a triangular shape. The bubble structure cannot be sustained when severe distortions occur, eventually leading to the breaking of the first bubble and the second injection. Moreover, because the electrons flowing on the bubble sheath are significantly accumulated in the apex region of the triangular bubble, the local electron density at the rear of the bubble increases from $8 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ at $t = 3.3$ ps (Fig. 1a) to $4.3 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ at $t = 20.9$ ps (Fig. 1b). This local increase in electron density is responsible for the higher charge of the second bunch, which is produced by an avalanche-like breaking of the sheath at the rear of the first bubble.

As seen in Fig. 1c–f, the transverse oscillation and asymmetric deformation of the bubble may cause oscillations of the self-injection region, thereby leading to transverse modulation of the second bunch in conjunction with the betatron oscillation. After $t = 17$ ps, because of the significant erosion of the laser pulse front, the pulse is shortened to form a sharp front and an asymmetric electric field, eventually resulting in a few-cycle dominant laser pulse, as observed in Fig. 5d. In this situation, a carrier envelope phase (CEP)$^{33}$, referring to the phase shift between the laser pulse and the carrier wave, may play a dominant role in the mechanism of stimulating the hosing motion of the laser and the plasma bubble, as investigated in ref. 34. According to the CEP model of hosing motion, the period of the bubble oscillations can be estimated as $T_{CEP} \approx (2\pi/c)(v_p-v_g)^{-1} \approx 2\pi\omega_p/\omega_g^2$, where $\omega_p$ is the laser frequency and $v_p$ and $v_g$ are the linear phase velocity and group velocity, respectively, of the laser pulse in
an underdense plasma. This means that the wavelength over the CEP change of $2\pi$ is given by $\lambda_{\text{CEP}} \approx (n_c/n_p) \lambda_0$, where $n_c = m\omega_0^2/4\pi e^2$ is the critical plasma density. For the simulation at $n_p = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the wavelength of the CEP is approximately $\lambda_{\text{CEP}} \approx 0.7 \text{ mm}$, corresponding to a period of $\sim 2.3 \text{ ps}$. In Fig. 5j, it is clearly observable that the self-excited oscillations of the laser pulse centroid, with a period of approximately $2 \text{ ps}$ after $t = 21 \text{ ps}$, overlap with the slowly oscillating centroid deviation. However, from Fig. 1c–f, it is not entirely obvious that the bubble oscillations are synchronized with the CEP dynamics. In contrast to the oscillations of the laser pulse centroid, the transverse distribution of the longitudinal wakefield exhibits a transverse oscillation with approximately the linear plasma wavelength $\lambda_p \approx 24 \mu\text{m}$, as shown in Fig. 4h, in addition to the modulation of the bubble sheath, as observed in Fig. 1c–f.

The direct influence of the stimulated hosing motion of the laser and the plasma bubble on the continuously injected electron bunch is that, as shown in Fig. 3e, the transverse momentum of the electrons in this bunch increases dramatically during their acceleration and interaction with the asymmetric wakefield. This increase in the transverse momentum leads to a large oscillation amplitude $r_\beta$, which eventually boosts the critical photon energy and flux of the betatron radiation. For comparison, as shown in Fig. 3b, the electrons in the first bunch barely gain any transverse momentum during their acceleration in the absence of the asymmetric wakefield because the CEP effect occurs after the dephasing of the first bunch. For both bunches, the initial transverse momentum of the electrons, $p_y \sim m_e c$, is determined by the nonlinear ponderomotive force of the laser pulse, $F_{\text{pN}} = -m_e c^2 \nabla \gamma$.

Finally, we discuss the plasma density effects. As shown in Fig. 6a, the maximum peak energy of the first quasi-monoenergetic bunch decreases as the plasma density increases. For reference, the bunch energy determined from the simulations was compared with the energy gain indicated by the scaling law, $\Delta E \approx m c^2 (P/n)^{2/5} (n_c/n_p)^{2/3}$, for a laser wakefield accelerator driven by a peak laser power $P$ at a plasma density $n_p$. In a matched blow-out bubble. As shown in Fig. 6a, the maximum energy of the second bunch is higher than that of the first bunch for plasma densities higher than $2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, at which density the significant elongation of the first bunch occurs. For example, the maximum energy of the second bunch is $\sim 2 \text{ GeV}$ at $n_p = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, compared with the $\sim 1 \text{ GeV}$ peak of the first bunch. Because the longitudinal size of the elongated bubble in which the second bunch is accelerated is apparently larger than that of a single bubble before elongation, the head of the second bunch can undergo acceleration over a longer distance, until the laser pulse energy is completely depleted, unlike in the case of the first quasi-monoenergetic bunch, whose maximum energy is limited by dephasing rather than pump depletion. It is well known from the linear model of laser wakefield acceleration that both the
Figure 6. Effects of plasma density on the maximum electron energy, the acceleration length and the total number of electrons: (a) The maximum peak energy of the first bunch (black diamonds) and the maximum energy of the second bunch (red squares) as functions of the plasma density. The error bars represent the energy spread of the first bunch. The blue triangles represent the predictions according to the scaling law given in ref. 11. (b) The acceleration lengths of the first bunch (black diamonds) and the second bunch (red squares). (c) The total numbers of electrons in the first bunch (black squares) and the second bunch (red circles).

dephasing and the pump depletion length decrease as the plasma density increases, as shown in Fig. 6b. Overall, from a practical perspective regarding the generation of betatron radiation with a higher critical photon energy and a higher peak brilliance, the optimal operating plasma density results in \( n_p \sim 2 \times 10^{18} \) cm\(^{-3}\), considering that the critical photon energy scales as \( \propto n_p^{5/2} \) and the peak brilliance scales as \( \propto n_p^{-3/2} \), while both higher and lower plasma densities lead to a decrease of the maximum energy of the continuously injected electron bunch, as shown in Fig. 6a, and even no continuous injection occurs at all at plasma density below \( n_p = 1.5 \times 10^{18} \) cm\(^{-3}\).

Conclusions

In conclusion, a new regime for the generation of betatron \( \gamma \)-ray radiation from laser plasma accelerators is presented. In this regime, a laser pulse with a peak power of 144 TW can drive an electron beam with energy up to 2 GeV and a high-quality quasi-monoenergetic beam with a peak energy of 1 GeV at a plasma density of \( 2 \times 10^{18} \) cm\(^{-3}\). This electron beam can produce femtosecond-duration betatron radiation with MeV-level photon energy. The excitation of a femtosecond MeV \( \gamma \)-ray burst may be understood in comparison with present accelerator-based synchrotron light sources in which X-rays in the range of keV to tens of keV with a 100-ps pulse duration can be produced in electron storage rings of hundreds of meters in circumference, and/or several keV-range fs-scale X-ray pulses can be produced; however, the latter can only be achieved at km-scale linear-based free electron laser facilities such as the LCLS at SLAC, USA and SAKURA at Spring-8, Japan. To produce MeV-range synchrotron radiation \( \gamma \)-rays in the conventional way, a 1-GeV electron beam accelerator and 10-MG bending magnets, which cannot be achieved at present, would be needed. By contrast, our proposed scheme eliminates the need for such a large-scale accelerator facility, requiring only a 2-cm gas-filled plasma accelerator driven by a 100-TW-class laser.

Secondly, our study has focused on investigating the mechanism of production of high-energy electron beam with large-amplitude betatron oscillations, in contrast to many previous works addressing the production of high-quality electron and radiation beams from laser plasma accelerators. The mechanism comprises several complex processes. A relativistic laser pulse with a strength on the order of \( a_0 \sim 4 \) drives a large-amplitude nonlinear plasma wake under mismatched conditions. The transverse and temporal self-modulation of the laser pulse initially occur in addition to the self-injection and acceleration of electrons as a result of periodic self-focusing and diffraction, and lead to the significant self-compression and erosion of the pulse front. The significant self-steepen of the laser pulse front, on one hand, leads to the significant elongation of the bubble and the continuous injection of the second bunch; on the other hand, results in the CEP effects which induce transverse oscillation and modulation (hosing motion) of both the laser pulse and the plasma bubble and eventually leads to the enhanced betatron oscillation of the continuously injected electron bunch. The continuously injected electron bunch can be accelerated up to GeV with large oscillation amplitude, leading to the generation of enhanced betatron radiation with MeV-level photon energy.

Such a \( \gamma \)-ray source may have broad applications in nuclear physics research concerning photonuclear reactions as well as ultrafast dynamics research in biology and chemistry. The recent advent of compact multi-100-TW-class lasers makes it possible to implement nuclear physics research that exploits such ultrafast \( \gamma \)-ray sources in tabletop experiments in small-scale laboratories.

Methods

PIC simulations. The PIC simulations were performed using the 2D PIC code KLAP\(^{35}\). A simulation box with a moving window of 100 \( \mu \text{m} \times 160 \mu \text{m} \) was used, corresponding to 500 \( \times 4000 \) cells in the \( y \) and \( x \) directions, respectively, with each cell containing 9 macro particles. The spatio-temporal distribution of the laser pulse can be expressed as \( a(\tau, y) = a_0 \sin(2\pi \tau/3\tau_o) \exp(-y^2/w_p^2) \cos(2\pi \tau/T) \) for \( 0 < \tau < 3/2\tau_o \), where \( \tau = t - x/c_0 \), \( a_0 = 3.64 \) is the peak amplitude of the normalized vector potential, \( \tau_o = 60 \) fs is the FWHM pulse duration, \( w_p = 18 \mu \text{m} \) is the 1/e\(^2\) spot radius, and \( T = \lambda_p/\Delta \) with a laser wavelength of \( \lambda_p = 800 \) nm. The intensity of the laser pulse was \( I = 2.83 \times 10^{16} \) W/cm\(^2\), and the power of the laser pulse was \( P = 144 \) TW with laser energy of \( E_L = 8.6 \) J. The driving
laser pulse was launched into plasma that was homogeneous in the x direction and linearly polarized in the y direction.

**Radiation modeling.** The angle-resolved spectrum of the betatron radiation emitted from a single electron in the vertical direction can be written as

\[
d\Omega = N_\gamma \frac{6\varepsilon^2}{\pi^2 c^2} \left( \frac{\gamma^2 \xi^2}{1 + \gamma^2 \xi^2} \right) \left[ \frac{\Gamma^2}{1 + \gamma^2 \xi^2} K_{1/3}^2(\xi) + K_{2/3}^2(\xi) \right]
\]

where \(\xi = \omega \omega_c (1 + \gamma^2 \xi^2)^{3/2}\), \(\omega_c = 3K_0 \omega_0\) and \(K_{1/3}\) and \(K_{2/3}\) are modified Bessel functions of the second kind. For \(\theta = 0\), the on-axis spectrum of the betatron radiation is given by

\[
d\Omega_{0} = N_\gamma \frac{6\varepsilon^2}{\pi^2 c^2} \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} K_{2/3}^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right)
\]

For an electron beam, the on-axis spectrum is an integration of contributions from all the electrons in the beam which can be written as

\[
d\Omega_{0} = N_{\gamma} \frac{6\varepsilon^2}{\pi^2 c^2} \sum_{n} \frac{\omega}{\omega_{c,n}} K_{2/3}^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_{c,n}} \right)
\]

where \(N_{\gamma,n}\) is the number of periods of betatron oscillation performed by the \(n\)th electron, \(\gamma_n\) is the Lorentz factor of the \(n\)th electron and \(\omega_{c,n}\) is the critical frequency of the radiation emitted from the \(n\)th electron. Here the acceleration process was not taken into account since most of the betatron radiation photons are emitted when electrons reach their maximum energy. Therefore, the electron spectra when electron bunches reach to their maximum energy were used to calculate the on-axis spectrum of the radiation. The parameters of \(N_{\gamma,n}\) and \(\gamma_n\) are determined as follow: For each electron, \(\gamma\) was given by the electron spectra; \(\omega_c\) was determined as follow: For each electron, \(\gamma\) and \(\omega_c\) were obtained from the statistic on the betatron amplitude of each electron trajectory from all electron trajectories. For the first electron bunch, the average \(r_j = 1\mu m\), with the root mean square (rms) error of 0.3 \(\mu m\), while for the second electron bunch, the average \(r_j = 9\mu m\), with rms error of 2.9 \(\mu m\). Note that the betatron amplitude hardly depends on the electron energy, as we can see from Fig. 3a–c for the first bunch and Fig. 3d–f for the second bunch, respectively. So, we take the betatron amplitudes as constant for both electron bunches. \(N_\gamma\) was also chosen as constant by averaging the electron trajectories which gives \(N_\gamma = 10\) and 15 for the first and the second electron bunch.

The distribution of the on-axis spectrum of the radiation emitted from a single electron satisfy the function of \(F(\xi) = \xi^2 K_{2/3}^2(\xi)\). In principal, the integrated spectrum would definitely deviates from the \(\xi^2 K_{2/3}^2(\xi)\) distribution as long as the energy of all electrons in the beam is not identical. However, for the second electron bunch, although the energy spread is large, the \(\xi^2 K_{2/3}^2(\xi)\) distribution was still used to fit the integrated spectrum, and the best fitting is not deviates much, as shown in Fig. 4, due to the fact that the high energy tail of the electron spectrum contributes most. The best fitting gives a critical energy of \(E_c \sim 1.2\) MeV.
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This Article contains errors in Figure 3a and 3d where the y axes ‘y ($\mu$m)’ is incorrectly given as ‘y (mm)’. The correct Figure 3 appears below as Figure 1.

Figure 1.