Evaluation of affinity of bioactive isolates from various coffee extracts through binding with PPAR-γ with the use of isothermal titration calorimetry and docking simulation to prevent antidiabetic effects
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Abstract
Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) is a major receptor responsible for the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Deficiency in the human body of ligands binding to PPAR-γ causes the disorder of expression of many genes in adipose tissue and contributes to reducing tissue sensitivity to insulin, making it difficult to maintain glucose homeostasis, which consequently leads to T2DM. Therefore, natural non-toxic PPAR-γ ligands are sought. The aim of the research was to assess the affinity of single hydroxycinnamic or chlorogenic acids, coffee extracts and bioactive isolates from various coffee extracts of green, light and dark roasted Arabica and Robusta for PPAR-γ. This allows determining what type of coffee extract or its fraction can be used for therapy of T2DM. The research was carried out by means of isothermal titration calorimetry and molecular docking simulation. The studies have shown that caffeine and dihydrocaffeic acid had the highest affinity for PPAR-γ, which amounted ΔG = −39.46 kJ mol⁻¹ and −33.60 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively.
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Introduction
The high percentage of the world’s population suffers from metabolic disorders such as diabetes mellitus (DM) or is at high risk of becoming ill. The pathogenesis of diabetes is the disruption of insulin secretion by the pancreas, and this is an incurable disease, slowly debilitating the body, and is associated with taking medication for life. There are three types of diabetes, namely I, II and gestational diabetes, which have a different development of the disease [1–3]. Type I diabetes is a genetic disease and affects young people, and the main feature of the development of the disease is the destruction of insulin-producing pancreatic β-cells by the immune system, until the pancreas stops producing insulin completely, resulting in increased blood glucose, a condition called "hyperglycemia" [4, 5]. Another case is type II diabetes mellitus (T2DM), the development of which we can affect. The factors that influence the cause of the disease are genetics; however, most often these are environmental factors such as a poorly varied diet that leads to obesity. In type II diabetes, compared to the first the course of the disease takes place in stages, where insulin secretion is impaired [6–8]. To keep blood glucose levels normal, the pancreatic β-cells produce an increased amount of insulin, which they secrete, until the pancreas is completely exhausted and destroyed, the process of which is called insulin resistance [9, 10]. That is why, it is important to maintain normal glucose homeostasis and increase the insulin sensitivity of peripheral tissues [11].

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) is a hormone mainly responsible for gene expression involved in fats and carbohydrates metabolism, which causes increasing the level of insulin sensitivity, contributing to maintenance of glucose homeostasis [12, 13]. It has three types of gamma isoforms: γ1, γ2 and γ3, of which the PPARγ2 protein is 30 amino acids shorter at the nitrogen terminus [14, 15]. The activation of PPAR-γ by their ligands induces the expression of proteins, such as lipoprotein lipase, reduces inflammation and thereby controls fatty acid metabolism,
regulating fatty acid transport and adipogenesis [16, 17]. Excessive lipid accumulation in pancreatic β-cells is a consequence of elevated concentration of free fatty acids, which disturbs the secretion of adiponectin and leads to insulin resistance [18–20]. Synthetic PPAR-γ agonists, such as thiazolidinediones, are used in antidiabetic therapy. The use of this substance for a long time causes negative effects on the human body, among others swelling and inflammation. That is why, natural ligands are sought and we used in our research bioactive compounds contained in coffee, mostly polyphenols, which are characterized by antioxidant properties and are not toxic to our body [21–24]. To assess the possible degree of PPAR-γ activation and select the most stable complexes of compounds contained in coffee with the PPAR-γ receptor and to determine the type of bonds, we used isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and performed molecular simulation of docking.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Chemical and reagents

15-Deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2 (≥ 95%), 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (≥ 99%), 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid (≥ 99%), 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (≥ 99%), caffeic acid (≥ 99%), caffeine (≥ 99%), ferulic acid (≥ 99%), 3,5-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid (≥ 99%) and 4,5-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid (≥ 99%), dihydrocaffeic acid (≥ 99%), PPAR-γ, ligand-binding domain (204–477) and GST-tagged human (concentration 50 μg mL⁻¹) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and nylon filters from Chromacol (Herts, UK).

Roasting and preparing coffee extract and its fractions

The raw materials used for research were green Arabica (Coffee arabica L.), variety Brazil Cerrado, and Robusta (Coffea canephora L.), variety India Cherry coffee beans, purchased from Bero Polska (Gdynia, Poland). Roasting of the coffee beans was conducted in an air cyclone system (vertiflow® patent) with a load capacity of 2.5 kg (Roaster 102, Selmi group, Santa Vittoria d’Alba) at 230 °C for 6 min to achieve dark roasting and at 195 °C for 6 min to achieve light roasting. Green, light and dark roasted beans of Robusta and Arabica coffees were ground and sieved (480–680 μm), and aqueous extracts were obtained by pressure boiling at 110 °C for 10 min at 1:5.75 (m/m) ratio of coffee to water (PS-5682 Vienna, Austria); in the next step, these suspensions were chilled in a water bath at 40 °C for 20 min, filtered under vacuum pump (KNF 18,035.3 N, Neuberger, NJ, USA) and freeze-dried. The freeze-dried extracts were purified and fractionated by centrifugal separation chromatography (Spot Prep II, Ancem, Poland). The system used for CPC consisted of hydrophilic and hydrophobic (two-phase solvent) phases; three solvents were used for this purpose: water, ethanol and ethyl acetate. The elution of fractions of dichlorogenic acids (3,4-, 3,5- and 4,5-O-caffeoylquinic acid) from the separated coffee extracts took place between 19 and 24 min (more hydrophobic fractions) and of monochlorogenic acids (caffeic, ferulic, 3-, 4-, 5-O-caffeoylquinic, 3-, 4- and 5-O-feruloylquinic acid) between 27 and 31 min (more hydrophilic fractions) of the analysis, confirmed by high UV absorption at 320 nm and of caffeine between 25 and 26 min with high absorption at 280 nm (Fig. 1). Fractions were collected, concentrated, re-lyophilized and stored at -25 °C for calorimetric research [25]. The obtained fractions were partially purified but completely homogeneous.
in terms of chemical composition, and they were named according to which group of bioactive compounds was dominant in them.

### 2.3 Isothermal titration calorimetry

Evaluation of receptor activation was carried out using the isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) (MicroCal PEAQ-ITC 200, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK). Analyses were carried out according to Grzelczyk [26], with some modifications. Calorimeter cell of a capacity 0.2 mL was filled with 100 nmol L\(^{-1}\) PPAR-\(\gamma\) solution in ultrapure water, pH was 4.5, pKa range was 3.4–10 in bioactive compounds in extract coffee, the majority of the acid was undissociated, and the syringe was supplemented with titrant of degassed aqueous solutions: chlorogenic acids (CGAs) or green or light/dark roasted coffee extracts or their fractions (10 nmol L\(^{-1}\) calculated on 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid) or 15-deoxy-
\(\Delta\)12,14-prostaglandin J2—an “in vivo” human ligand of PPAR-\(\gamma\) (20 nmol L\(^{-1}\)). Measurements were carried out at 36.6 ± 0.1 °C with a continuous string (307 rpm), a maximum number of injections of 4 μL volume were 6, and the duration of all analysis was 15 min. The analysis was carried out using ITC, and exothermic or endothermic interactions were recorded, when the binding of compounds in the active site of receptor occurred, shown as raw data of heat in μcal s\(^{-1}\) against time. The plot shows the heat of interactions between PPAR-\(\gamma\) and GCAs/caffeine and coffee extracts or their isolates (Figs. 2–4). During the experiments enthalpy (\(\Delta H\)), Gibbs free energy was described also as affinity (\(\Delta G\)), and entropy (\(\Delta S\)) changes have been determined, as well as dissociation constant (\(K_D\)) and binding constant (\(K_A\)). The \(\Delta H\), \(\Delta G\), \(K_D\), \(K_A\), \(\Delta H\) and \(\Delta S\) were calculated from the ITC titration nonlinear least-squares saturation curve fitting carried out in MicroCal PEAQ-ITC200 software. Complex stability constants (\(K_A\) and \(K_D\)) provide general information about the complex stability. They allow recognizing which of the complexes is more durable. It is a parameter that can be calculated based on calorimetric titration data, and the values depend on the temperature, the components contained in the solution, as well as the pH. The \(\Delta G\) was calculated from the Gibbs free energy [27, 28].

### Statistical analysis

Light and dark roasting was conducted independently three times, and the analyses of each compound or extract, or fraction were carried out in triplicate. Statistical analysis was based on the determination of the average values of nine measurements and their standard deviation, as well as one-way ANOVA (analysis of variation), using Statistic 10.0 software at the significance level \(P < 0.05\).

### Results and discussion

#### Characterization of complexes of chlorogenic acids, caffeine, caffeic and ferulic acid with PPAR-\(\gamma\)

Coffee is a beverage consumed all over the world because of known health-promoting properties; however, there are still no recommendations, which coffee should be drunk: green or roasted, if roasted, to what extent and what species. In our research, we checked whether the compounds contained in coffee are potential activators of the PPAR-\(\gamma\) receptor to help prevention and therapy of T2DM. Therefore, in the first stage of research we used ITC tests and molecular docking simulation of selected compounds found in coffee beans, especially chlorogenic acids, caffeine, caffeic and ferulic acids. The determination of binding affinity was carried by using isothermal titrimetric calorimetry method, specifying thermodynamic parameters of interaction shown in Table 1. In order to eliminate errors in fitting, the first data points were omitted. The protein–ligand interactions detected directly based on the change in intrinsic heat, binding enthalpy of the reaction, were shown on the curves. The direct titration of CGAs into PPAR-\(\gamma\) was mostly an exothermic process, which was confirmed by negative
Fig. 2 ITC raw data of interactions of PPAR-γ with: a 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2; b caffeine; c ferulic acid; d caffeic acid; e 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid; f dihydrocaffeic acid (a metabolite of CGAs)

Fig. 3 ITC raw data of interactions of PPAR-γ with coffee extracts: a green Arabica; b dark roasted Arabica
changes in reaction enthalpy $\Delta H$, and only caffeine showed a different energy effect (endothermic) showing the $\Delta H$ at around 1.01 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2) [33, 34].

Observing protein–ligand interaction, we can see that the stoichiometry of binding hydroxycinnamic and chlorogenic acids and 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin with PPAR-γ amounts 1:1 and the data were therefore fitted with the 1:1 reaction model by ITC Analysis software. Stoichiometry $n = 1$ gives us information that we have one binding site. The parameter $n$ accounts for the fact that $n$ ligand molecules can interact simultaneously with the macromolecule. Which means, that a receptor have typical one catalytic pocket of active site [35]. Among coffee components, ITC analysis showed a high PPAR-γ binding constant for caffeine 4.76 ·10$^6$ L mol$^{-1}$, which correlates with the most negative changes in free energy ($\Delta G = −45.6$ kJ mol$^{-1}$). It was the most hydrophobic compound of tested.

Studies conducted on 3T3-L1 adipocytes by Kim and co-authors similarly showed that caffeine is a compound that can activate PPAR isomers, as it affects the activation of PGC-1α and as a result inhibits adipogenesis in concentrations from 0.1 to 5 mmol mol$^{-1}$ [36]. Our research also showed that caffeine was the most similar value of affinity and binding constant to the strong PPAR-γ agonist, i.e., 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin; however, caffeine showed a low enthalpy of complex formation $\Delta H = 1.01$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Table 1, Fig. 2 a, b) and $\Delta H$ for CGAs was negative in the range from $−9.04$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ for caffeic acid to $−30.26$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ for dihydrocaffeic acid (Table 1, Fig. 1b, h). Both these compounds had high receptor affinity $\Delta G = −33.6$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, and for caffeine, the affinity was the highest and amounted $\Delta G = −45.6$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. Therefore, we can consider that caffeine plays the main role in the binding activation of PPARs, along with dihydrocaffeic acid, which is a metabolite of CGAs. In addition, we can see that

Table 1 Parameters of interactions between PPAR-γ and chlorogenic acids or caffeine

| Compound                  | $N$ | $K_D$ μmol L$^{-1}$ | $K_A$ ·10$^4$/L mol$^{-1}$ | $\Delta H$/kJ mol$^{-1}$ | $\Delta G$/kJ mol$^{-1}$ | $\Delta S$/J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ |
|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Caffeic acid             | 1   | 2.21 ± 0.0          | 46.2 ± 1.3                | $−9.0 ± 0.1$              | $−33.6 ± 1.3$             | 79.4 ± 0.2                    |
| Ferulic acid             | 1   | 2.22 ± 0.0          | 45.5 ± 1.2                | $−9.2 ± 0.1$              | $−33.5 ± 1.1$             | 78.6 ± 0.2                    |
| 3-O-Caffeoylquinic acid  | 1   | 2.23 ± 0.0          | 44.4 ± 1.1                | $−9.3 ± 0.1$              | $−33.5 ± 1.5$             | 78.0 ± 0.3                    |
| 5-O-Caffeoylquinic acid  | 1   | 0.27 ± 0.0          | 37.0 ± 1.4                | $−8.2 ± 0.9$              | $−27.1 ± 1.4$             | 61.0 ± 1.3                    |
| 4-O-Caffeoylquinic acid  | 1   | 0.19 ± 0.0          | 52.6 ± 1.2                | $−8.7 ± 2.3$              | $−28.0 ± 1.2$             | 62.3 ± 1.4                    |
| 3,5-Di-O-cafeoylquinic acid | 1   | 0.19 ± 0.0         | 52.6 ± 1.3                | $−8.9 ± 2.9$              | $−28.0 ± 1.4$             | 61.4 ± 1.1$^c$                |
| 4,5-Di-O-cafeoylquinic acid | 1   | 0.19 ± 0.0         | 51.2 ± 14                 | $−8.0 ± 5.3$              | $−27.9 ± 1.6$             | 64.3 ± 1.5$^c$                |
| Dihydrocaffeic acid      | 1   | 2.1 ± 0.0           | 47.6 ± 1.3                | $−30.3 ± 3.1$             | $−33.7 ± 1.1$             | 10.9 ± 0.2                    |
| Caffeine                 | 1   | 0.2 ± 0.0$^a$      | 476 ± 3.2                 | 1.0 ± 0.0                 | $−45.6 ± 1.3$             | 150.6 ± 0.4                   |
| 15-Deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin | 1   | $<1$·10$^{-6}$a   | $<1$·10$^{-12}b$         | $−8.6 ± 0.1$              | $−71.1 ± 1.1$             | 201.7 ± 0.5$^b$               |

The solvent is water. Measurements were carried out at 36.6 ± 0.1 °C. Values are expressed as mean value ± SD; $n = 9$; different letters in one row correspond to significant differences ($P < 0.05$).
entropy for all reference compounds is positive, which correlates with negative ΔH and ΔG, which tells us about the possible occurrence of covalent interactions between the ligand and protein and the conformational changes in the compounds. The polyphenols contained in coffee are covalently interacted with carbohydrates, while they are non-covalently interacted with other polyphenols but also with Maillard reaction products. The result of the thermodynamic parameters of bioactive compounds in coffee, we compared with docking simulation. It confirmed that the bioactive compounds in coffee are characterized by covalently interactions with receptor, in particular hydrogen bonds. We can see in Fig. 5a, in that at the ferulic acid and 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid binding with active sites of the receptor, the main hydrogen is bonded with carboxyl and amino groups. These bindings were compared to the receptor ligand widely described in the literature: 15-deoxy-Δ12, 14-PGJ2, which binds to the receptor, throughout covalent interactions at the active site of receptor and forms mostly stronger complex. However, we must not forget about non-covalent binding, mostly by pi–pi and hydrophobic interactions, which may additionally affect the stabilization of the complex [37–45]. Only with caffeine, we can see that ΔH and ΔS are positive with negative ΔG, which says that binding to the receptor occurs through hydrophobic interactions. We have observed that chlorogenic acid isomers bind to the receptor to a similar extent depending on the number of functional groups. Therefore, during coffee fractionation, we decided to distinguish between monochlorogenic and dichlorogenic fractions. We can see from Table 1 that 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid, which is present in the largest amount in coffee beans, forms the most stable complex with the highest affinity.

In our research, we also performed a docking simulation (DS) to confirm ITC results and to characterize the ligand-binding site and type of interactions occurring between PPAR-γ receptor and CGAs. The main interactions established between active site of PPAR-γ and coffee compounds are presented in the chart depiction (in 2D) in Fig. 5. PPAR-γ consists of three functional domains, of which LBD is built of 13 alpha helices and 4 beta structures and forms a ligand binding pocket that binds low molecular mass molecules such as fatty acids by hydrogen bonds [46–49]. The activation function 2 domain joins the ligand with hydrogen bonds, changing the spatial structure of LBD and connecting to the retinoid X receptor to form heterodimer [49, 50]. The main bonds found at the LBD site, which is a small polar region, were hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions, as shown in Fig. 5a–i. The interactions with dichlorogenic acids (Fig. 5d–f) were characterized by the largest number of hydrogen bonds (six) at ARG280A, ARG280A, SER342A, LEU340A, HIS266A and GLU343, which caused that they formed a more stable complex with the receptor (Table 1). They displayed strong intermolecular interactions, by forming two H-bonds with the key side chain amino acids of LBD: amino group of His266A and carboxyl group of Glu343A. The mainly of pi–pi interactions was placed at PHE264A with 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid. Caffeine showed the higher hydrophobic interactions at Leu330A and ARG288A sites [50].

**Interactions between PPAR-γ and coffee extracts and their fractions**

ITC analysis of coffee extracts showed strong effects of interaction with the receptor of compounds contained in green Arabica and Robusta, and they were characterized by the most stable complex formation, ΔH = −8.99 kJ mol⁻¹ (Arabica) and ΔH = −6.94 kJ mol⁻¹ (Robusta), where the highest affinity was determined as well, ΔG = −34.28 kJ mol⁻¹ for Robusta and ΔG = −33.57 kJ mol⁻¹, and the binding constant was the highest for green Robusta (Kₐ = 61·10⁴ L mol⁻¹). We can see that as with the reference compounds in coffee extracts and their fraction, entropy takes positive values, which correlates with negative ΔH and ΔG and tells us that covalent interactions occur between reactants and conformational changes of the compounds occur. The affinity for the receptor decreased with the degree of coffee roasting, although the drop from light to dark roast was statistically insignificant (P > 0.05) (Table 2). This indicates that during coffee roasting, the polyphenol compounds bind non-covalently with Maillard reaction products, suggesting that receptor binds mainly through hydrogen bonds [51].

It is related to the content of hydroxycinnamic and chlorogenic acids as well as caffeine in coffee extracts. Robusta green coffee has higher levels of all these compounds; according to Budryn et al., the total chlorogenic acids were 32.33 g 100 g⁻¹ db. in Robusta and 26.53 g 100 g⁻¹ db. in Arabica extracts [28]. It can be concluded that green coffee is a better PPAR-γ activator and more efficiently inhibits adipocytes growth [25]. Chlorogenic acids act similarly to the known in DM2T treatment, i.e., rosiglitazone, by inhibiting the differentiation of Pref1 adipocytes and regulating expression of genes associated with lipid synthesis [52, 53].

In the next stage of studies, we decided to check which coffee fraction has the highest affinity for the receptor. We obtained and collected fractions of monochlorogenic acids (5-O-cafeoylquinic acid, 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid, 4-O-cafeoylquinic acid), dichlorogenic acids (3,4-O-dicafeoylquinic acid, 3,5-O-dicafeoylquinic acid and 4,5-O-dicafeoylquinic acid) and caffeine by CPC purification, and ITC analysis was used to determine thermodynamic parameters, which are presented in Table 3 and Fig. 4.

Research with the use of ITC demonstrated the binding constant Kₐ of complexes between PPAR-γ and isolated fractions of coffee extracts in the range from 10.9 to 108.6 ·10⁴ L mol⁻¹ for monochlorogenic acids from light
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roasted Robusta and caffeine fraction from dark roasted Arabica, respectively. The positive $\Delta S$ and negative $\Delta G$ indicate conformational changes after binding at the LBD part of the receptor and strong hydrogen as well as pi–pi bond formation. The enthalpy changes $\Delta H$ resulting from interactions between PPAR-\(\gamma\) and isolated fractions of
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Fig. 5 Depiction (in 2D) of the main interactions established between active site of PPAR-\(\gamma\) and a 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid; b 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid; c 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; d 3,4-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid; e 3,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid; f 4,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid; g caffeic acid; h ferulic acid; i caffeine. Continuous green lines represent hydrophobic interactions, while black dashed lines show hydrogen bonds and green dashed lines pi–pi interactions.
coffee extracts were negative, and the reactions were exothermic; $\Delta H$ ranged from $-3.95 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for dichlorogenic acids from dark roasted Arabica to $-8.71 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for dichlorogenic acids from green Robusta (Table 3, Fig. 4b). Roasting process caused the shift of thermodynamic parameters to weaker complexes with slightly increased affinity, which may be due to the binding of free CGAs with Maillard reaction products during roasting that decreases the availability of CGAs for binding with the receptor. In contrast, the increase in caffeine binding with PPAR-γ in dark roasted coffees resulted from greater bioavailability and less competition of degraded chlorogenic acids. It was also observed that interactions with these ligands have been characterized by highly negative values of $\Delta G$ of $-31.40$ and $-37.13 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, for Arabica and Robusta, respectively, suggesting hydrogen bond formation with LBD site of PPAR-γ. Fractions obtained from the extracts were partially purified that caused a more similar receptor affinity

| Coffee extract | $K_D/\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ | $K_A/10^4 \text{L mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta H/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta G/\text{kJ mol}$ | $\Delta S/\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Green Arabica  | 2.21 ± 0.0               | 46.2 ± 1.3               | $-8.9 ± 1.1^a$           | $-33.6 ± 2.4$            | 79.7 ± 0.1               |
| Light roasted Arabica | 0.040 ± 0.2             | 52.4 ± 1.3               | $-1.9 ± 0.4$             | $-8.3 ± 1.3^a$           | 20.6 ± 0.4$^b$           |
| Dark roasted Arabica | 0.043 ± 0.5             | 51.5 ± 1.3               | $-1.9 ± 0.4$             | $-8.1 ± 1.4^a$           | 20.0 ± 0.3$^b$           |
| Green Robusta  | 1.63 ± 0.2               | 61.0 ± 1.2               | $-6.9 ± 1.1^b$           | $-34.3 ± 2.4$            | 88.5 ± 0.1               |
| Light roasted Robusta | 0.019 ± 0.1             | 50.7 ± 1.3               | $-1.9 ± 0.4$             | $-10.1 ± 1.2^a$          | 26.5 ± 0.4$^b$           |
| Dark roasted Robusta | 0.021 ± 0.3             | 48.7 ± 1.2               | $-2.0 ± 0.3$             | $-10.0 ± 1.2^a$          | 25.9 ± 0.4$^b$           |

The solvent is water. Measurements were carried out at 36.6 ± 0.1 °C. Values are expressed as mean value ± SD; $n=9$; different letters in one row correspond to significant differences ($P<0.05$)

| Isolated fractions of coffee extracts 10 mmol L$^{-1}$ as chlorogenic acid | $K_D/\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ | $K_A/10^4 \text{L mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta H/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta G/\text{kJ mol}$ | $\Delta S/\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Green Robusta                                                             |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Monochlorogenic acids                                                     | 1.9 ± 0.0                | 53.0 ± 5.4               | $-7.8 ± 0.0$             | $-34.0 ± 0.1$            | 84.4 ± 0.0               |
| Dichlorogenic acids                                                       | 2.1 ± 0.0                | 47.6 ± 3.2               | $-8.7 ± 0.0$             | $-33.7 ± 0.1^c$          | 80.6 ± 0.0               |
| Caffeine                                                                 | 2.1 ± 1.5                | 47.6 ± 3.1               | $-8.7 ± 0.0$             | $-33.7 ± 0.1^c$          | 80.6 ± 0.0$^b$           |
| Light roasted Robusta                                                     |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Monochlorogenic acids                                                     | 1.9 ± 0.0                | 51.0 ± 7.2               | $-8.1 ± 0.0^a,b$         | $-33.9 ± 0.2$            | 83.4 ± 0.0               |
| Dichlorogenic acids                                                       | 2.1 ± 0.0                | 10.9 ± 4.1$^b$           | $-8.6 ± 0.0$             | $-33.7 ± 0.1^d$          | 80.9 ± 0.0               |
| Caffeine                                                                 | 5.2 ± 0.0$^b$            | 19.1 ± 3.3$^b$           | $-7.5 ± 0.0$             | $-31.4 ± 0.1^d$          | 76.9 ± 0.0               |
| Dark roasted Robusta                                                      |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Monochlorogenic acids                                                     | 1.8 ± 0.0                | 54.6 ± 7.3               | $-7.6 ± 0.0$             | $-34.0 ± 0.1$            | 85.4 ± 0.0               |
| Dichlorogenic acids                                                       | 1.9 ± 0.0                | 50.1 ± 7.4               | $-8.2 ± 0.0$             | $-33.9 ± 0.1$            | 83.0 ± 0.0               |
| Caffeine                                                                 | 1.2 ± 0.0                | 83.3 ± 9.1               | $-5.1 ± 0.0$             | $-35.1 ± 0.1$            | 96.8 ± 0.0               |
| Green Arabica                                                            |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Monochlorogenic acids                                                     | 1.90 ± 0.0               | 52.6 ± 5.0               | $-7.8 ± 0.0$             | $-33.9 ± 0.1$            | 84.3 ± 0.0               |
| Dichlorogenic acids                                                       | 2.06 ± 0.0               | 48.8 ± 3.6               | $-8.5 ± 0.0$             | $-33.7 ± 0.1$            | 81.4 ± 0.0               |
| Caffeine                                                                 | 0.55 ± 0.0$^b$           | 181.8 ± 9.9$^a$          | $-2.4 ± 0.0^b$           | $-37.1 ± 0.1$            | 112.0 ± 0.0              |
| Light roasted Arabic                                                     |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Monochlorogenic acids                                                     | 2.0 ± 0.0                | 50.0 ± 3.3$^b$           | $-8.4 ± 0.0$             | $-33.8 ± 0.0$            | 81.9 ± 0.0               |
| Dichlorogenic acids                                                       | 1.8 ± 0.0                | 55.5 ± 4.1               | $-7.5 ± 0.0$             | $-34.1 ± 0.1$            | 85.7 ± 0.0               |
| Caffeine                                                                 | 2.0 ± 0.0                | 50.0 ± 4.7               | $-8.3 ± 0.0$             | $-33.8 ± 0.1$            | 82.3 ± 0.0               |
| Dark roasted Arabica                                                     |                          |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Monochlorogenic acids                                                     | 1.89 ± 0.0               | 54.0 ± 5.0               | $-7.7 ± 0.0$             | $-34.0 ± 0.1$            | 84.7 ± 0.0               |
| Dichlorogenic acids                                                       | 1.92 ± 0.0               | 52.1 ± 5.1               | $-3.9 ± 0.0^b$           | $-33.9 ± 0.1$            | 96.8 ± 0.0               |
| Caffeine                                                                 | 0.92 ± 0.0$^b$           | 108.6 ± 9.4$^a$          | $-8.6 ± 0.1$             | $-35.8 ± 0.1$            | 87.8 ± 0.6               |

The solvent is water. Measurements were carried out at 36.6 ± 0.1 °C. Values are expressed as mean value ± SD; $n=9$; different letters in one row correspond to significant differences ($P<0.05$)
compared to whole extracts, where a reduced affinity of the extracts from light and dark roasted beans was observed. This indicates that both light and dark roasted coffee contains compounds formed during roasting that reduce receptor affinity. In the next stage, the affinity of the extracts and the fractions obtained from them after “in vitro” digestion will be studied to establish whether the action of digestive enzymes causes changes in the interactions of coffee extracts with PPAR-γ.

Conclusions

The conducted studies on the interactions of coffee extracts and their fraction as well as single bioactive coffee compounds with PPAR-γ and on the affinity for the receptor showed that caffeine might have the most beneficial properties of binding with the protein and activating the signaling track increasing gene expression that reduces adipocytes growth. The coffee extracts, thanks to the high content of polyphenols, and in particular chlorogenic acids and caffeine, are potential agonist of PPAR-γ regulating the glucose homeostasis and increasing the insulin sensitivity of peripheral tissues, preventing type 2 diabetes. Green coffee contains higher than roasted concentration of bioactive compounds with a potential of PPAR-γ agonist. Light and roasted coffee contains compounds formed during roasting, decreasing the affinity for PPAR-γ, but the influence of digestion and absorption should be taken into consideration in future studies.
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