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A diverse range of nematodes live in all soil ecosystems. They have important roles in soil function, the cycling of plant nutrients and decomposition of organic matter, and are highly sensitive to various environmental factors such as fertilization and plant cultivation (5, 12, 15, 20). Therefore, the analysis of soil nematode communities has evolved as a bioindicator for the evaluation of soil function and the attributes of the soil food web (4, 6, 10, 21).

Although nematode community analysis has mostly been conducted based on the morphological identification of individual specimens, this analysis is laborious and time consuming, and requires specific skills and experience for accurate nematode identification. To solve these problems, attempts have been made to analyze nematode communities using molecular biology techniques to detect differences in the DNA sequences of individual species, and polymerase chain reaction–denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) has attracted the most attention and use (2, 6, 7, 13, 19). PCR-DGGE detects different nematode taxa as different bands of PCR products that are denatured depending on their DNA sequence on a polyacrylamide gel with a concentration gradient of the denaturant, which is a mixture of urea and formamide. The advantage of PCR-DGGE analysis is that nematode taxa corresponding to each band can be identified by determining the sequence of the DNA and comparing that with a DNA database.

The author has investigated many nematode community structures in field soils using the PCR-DGGE method developed by the National Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences (NIAES) (http://www.niaes.affrc.go.jp/project/edna/edna_jp/manual_nematode_e.pdf, hereinafter NIAES procedure). This method allows the easy and rapid examination of a number of nematode communities as compared with morphological identification. However, some researchers have reported that PCR-DGGE lacks detection sensitivity when the nematode community being assessed consists of a large number of species (2, 6). The author also has firsthand experience of this insufficient sensitivity.

It is particularly important to be aware of the existence of plant parasitic nematodes when analyzing field nematode communities because they are considered one of the most important bioindicators of soil condition and are therefore important for the cultivation of healthy crops; however, many plant parasitic species are difficult to detect with PCR-DGGE analysis because they are present in insufficient quantities. As fungivorous nematodes have close interaction with fungus fauna and respond to various agricultural management sensitively (22), it is important to assess them to understand the function of nematode fauna in detail; however, since many fungivorous species tend to present in a small number in many fields (A. Kushida, unpublished results), they are hard to detect with PCR-DGGE.

Using group-specific primer sets in PCR-DGGE analysis increased the technique’s sensitivity to detect target bacteria in a bacterial community (3, 9). It was therefore hypothesized that also in a nematode community, the use of group-specific primer pairs in PCR-DGGE analysis may allow the sensitive detection of plant parasitic and fungivorous nematodes even in a complex nematode community. Plant parasitic and fungivorous nematodes have a common morphological characteristic of having a ‘stylet’ in their head. The stylet is a vital organ for feeding and is the most important basis to distinguish these nematodes. Since they are classified into similar taxa based on this common characteristic, it was expected that these nematodes can be grouped. Meanwhile, there are also a few plant parasitic and fungivorous species in a taxonomic group that do not have a stylet. They have a
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‘odontostyle’ that resembles a stylet and belong to order *Dorylaimida*. Since order *Dorylaimida* locates to a distant taxon from the group with a stylet, it was thought that designing a primer that could also target *Dorylaimida* would be very difficult.

The objective of this study was to design primers that specifically amplified the DNA of principal species of plant parasitic and fungivorous nematodes with a stylet, and to evaluate the efficiency of PCR-DGGE analysis of nematode communities.

18S rRNA gene sequences of nematode species (Table 1) were obtained from the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) database and compared using DNASIS PRO (Hitachi Solutions, Shinagawa, Japan). Highly specific regions of the RNA gene for plant parasitic and fungivorous nematodes were selected, and primers were designed for those regions. The four plant parasitic nematode species used for sequence comparison are distributed in some or most of the fields in Hokkaido, Japan, and the two fungivorous and three bacteriovorous species were also common in these fields from the results of previous DGGE analyses. To evaluate the efficiency of the specific primers, DGGE and excised band sequence analyses were conducted for four nematode communities and the results compared with those of analyses using the primer pair SSU18A (5'-AAAGATTAAGCCATGCATG-3') and SSU9R (5'-AGCTGGAATTACCGCGGCCGCCGG-3'). This primer pair was designed by Blaxter *et al.* (1) and is commonly used for analyzing soil nematode communities in Japan.

Soil samples were collected from four fields, each located in a different town (Memuro, Kuriyama, Shimizu, and Otofuke) in Hokkaido, Japan. The soil samples were passed through a sieve with a pore size of approximately 5 mm to remove gravel and plant roots. The nematodes were extracted from 20 g soil and nematode DNA was prepared according to the methods described by Okada and Oba (13) with a few modifications. The denaturant gradient ranged from 22.5% to 40.0% (100% denaturant consisted of 7 M urea and 40% [v/v] formamide). Five microliters of each PCR product were applied to the DGGE gel, and electrophoresis was performed in 1×Tris-Acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer at 60°C at a constant voltage of 75 V for 16 h. Subsequently, the gel was stained with SYBR Gold nucleic acid gel stain (1:10,000 dilution; Cambrex, Rockland, ME, USA) for 45 min at room temperature.

To define the nematode taxon equivalent to each DGGE band, as many bands as possible were cut out from the gels and placed in collection tubes. After rinsing twice with 50 µL of 10-fold diluted Tris-EDTA buffer (1/10 TE), 20 µL of 1/10 TE was added to each collection tube. The tubes were stored at −20°C and incubated at 95°C for 10 min before use. An aliquot (ca. 2.0 µL) was used in the PCR with the same primer pair used for DGGE. Takara Ex Taq HS polymerase was used in this PCR reaction. PCR products were treated with ExoSAP-IT (USB, Cleveland, OH, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and were reacted using a BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Sequences were analyzed on an ABI PRISM 3130-Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The determined sequences were submitted to the DDBJ and examined for their taxonomic affinities with BLAST analysis. The name and accession number of the nematode species showing the highest homology value has been listed.

In order to evaluate whether the designed primer could detect all target species in the nematode community, the 18S
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**Table 1.** Nematode species and accession numbers of the 18S rRNA gene sequences used for comparison, and their sequences of designed primer position.

| Nematode                  | Accession No. | Feeding habits | Sequence of primer position (5’ to 3’)       |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|
| *Pratylenchus penetrans* | EU669926      | pp            | TGGCCACCTAGTCTAAAGGAT                       |
| *Pratylenchus renatulus* | AY284610      | pp            | TGGCCACCTAGTCTAAAGGAT                       |
| *Heterodera glycines*    | AY943247      | pp            | TGGCCACCTAGTCTAAAGGAT                       |
| *Ditylenchus destructor* | AY593912      | pp, f         | TGGCCACCTAGTCTAAAGGAT                       |
| *Filebus misellus*       | AB473564      | f             | TGGCCACCTAGTCTAAAGGAT                       |
| *Pseudnehlenchus minutus*| AY593916      | f             | TGGCCACCTAGTCTAAAGGAT                       |
| *Acrobeloides apiculatus*| AY284673      | b             | TGGCCACCTAGTCTAAAGGAT                       |
| *Rhadinus sp.*           | EU196004      | b             | CCGTTACCATACCAAGGAG                        |
| *Pristionchus herperti*  | FJ040439      | b             | CCGCTACATCACCAAGGAG                        |

*pp: plant parasitic, f: fungivorous, b: bacteriovorous*
DGGE Primer Specific to Tylenchida

rRNA gene sequences of most fungivorous nematodes in a community were determined individually, the closest relatives defined, and compared with the result of DGGE analysis using the designed primer. A nematode community from Memuro field was used in this test. According to microscopic observation, the number of fungivorous nematodes per 500 nematodes of the community was 56. Thirty were randomly and individually picked up under a binocular, and each nematode DNA was extracted according to the method described by Uehara et al. (18) and amplified using the SSU18A-SSU9R primer pair and then directly sequenced. Sequences were sent to DDBJ and homology was analyzed using BLAST.

Three forward and five reverse primers were designed and used for PCR (Table 2). Although a band was observed on agarose gel with all of the primer pairs used in this study, sharp bands were not observed on DGGE profiles with most primer pairs. Only one pair of primers, Tyl2F (5'-TGGCCACTACGTCTAAGGAT) and Tyl4R (5'-CCCGTTTGTCTCTGTTAACC-3'), produced sharp bands on the DGGE gel even when a GC clamp was attached to the 5' end of Tyl2F. The nucleotide sequences of primer positions of the species used for sequence comparison are shown in Table 1. Although the nucleotide sequences of Tyl2F were common to all compared plant parasitic and fungivorous nematodes, there were variations at 5 or 6 bases in the corresponding sequences of three bacterivorous nematodes. Tyl2F’s position is 345 bp behind SSU18A on the 18S rRNA gene sequence of Pratylenchus penetrans, and Tyl4R’s position is 272 bp behind SSU9R. The size of the PCR product was 482 bp.

DGGE profiles of the nematode communities in the soils of the four fields analyzed that were obtained using two primer pairs (SSU18A-SSU9R [SSU] and Tyl2F-Tyl4R [TYL]) are shown in Fig. 1. The results of a homology search for the sequences of the DGGE bands in Fig. 1 are shown in Table 3. Several bands resulted from the same nematode species. The author often observed that a single nematode produced multiple bands, and similar phenomena were also observed for a single laboratory-isolated bacteria (11, 16). This may be derived from a variation in their rRNA repeat sequences.

Recently, many PCR-DGGE analyses of soil nematode communities using the primer pair SSU have been performed in Japan and their usefulness has been confirmed (13). However, the number of nematode species detected from the DGGE profile using the SSU primer pair was limited, and

### Table 2. Sequences of designed primers

| Primer name | Sequence (5' to 3') |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Ty1F        | ATCTTACGCGTATGGGCCA |
| Ty2F        | TGGCCACTACGTCTAAGGAT |
| Ty3F        | CAGATAACTMAGCTGATCG |
| Ty1R        | CTACCATCGAAAGTTGATA |
| Ty2R        | GCCTGCTGCCATCTTAGAC |
| Ty3R        | CCAGCAGAAATAATCTGATC |
| Ty4R        | CCCGTTTGTCTCTTAAACC |
| Ty5R        | CCAAGAATTTTCACCTCAGT |

* F: forward primer, R: reverse primer
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![Fig. 1. DGGE profiles of the four nematode communities obtained using two primer pairs. DGGE profiles obtained with the primer pair SSU18A-SSU9R are shown in the left lane and profiles obtained with Tyl2F-Tyl4R are shown in the right lane for each nematode community. Numbers indicate the DGGE bands for sequence analysis and homology searches. These numbers are also shown in Table 2. Bands marked ‘ns’ could not be sequenced.](image-url)
| Closest species (order) | Accession No. | Memuro SSU<sup>a</sup> Band No. | Memuro SSU Highest similarity (%) | Kuriyama Tyl Band No. | Kuriyama Tyl Highest similarity (%) | Shimizu SSU Band No. | Shimizu SSU Highest similarity (%) | Shimizu Tyl Band No. | Shimizu Tyl Highest similarity (%) | Otofuke SSU Band No. | Otofuke SSU Highest similarity (%) | Otofuke Tyl Band No. | Otofuke Tyl Highest similarity (%) |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Plant parasitic nematode | *Heterodera glycines* (Tylenchida) | AY043247 | 99.6 | 20 | 99.8 |
| | *Paratylenchus dianthus* (Tylenchida) | AY966496 | 99.0 | 16, 17 | 99.8 |
| | *Pratylenchus crenatus* (Tylenchida) | EU130800 | 99.8 | 15 | 99.3 |
| | *Pratylenchus penetrans* (Tylenchida) | EU130803 | 99.3 | 15 | 99.3 |
| Fungivorous nematode | *Aphelenchoides* sp. (Aphelenchida) | EU287589 | 97.1 | 18 | 89.6 |
| | *Ditylenchus* sp. (Tylenchida) | AY284637 | 98.9 | 97.0 | 98.0 |
| | *Filenchus discrepans* (Tylenchida) | AY284637 | 97.0 | 98.0 | 97.9 |
| | *Malenchus andrassyi* (Tylenchida) | AY284587 | 97.9 | 98.0 | 97.9 |
| | *Filenchus minutus* (Tylenchida) | EU593916 | 97.8 | 98.0 | 97.8 |
| | *Filenchus arcuatus* (Tylenchida) | EU306348 | 97.6 | 98.0 | 97.6 |
| | *Filenchus davainei* (Tylenchida) | EU284588 | 96.9 | 98.0 | 96.9 |
| Other nematode | *Acrobeloides* sp. (Rhabditida) | AY284637 | 96.8 | 10 | 100 |
| | *Acrobeloides* sp. (Rhabditida) | AY284673 | 96.8 | 10 | 100 |
| | *Acaecium sp.* (Enoplida) | EJ040489 | 96.6 | 10 | 100 |
| | *Antroxosoma loecki* (Rhabditida) | EU573705 | 79.2 | 10 | 100 |
| | *Aporcelaimella obtusicaudata* (Dorylaimida) | AY284811 | 95.3 | 91.5 | 95.2 |
| | *Cephaloboides nidrosiensis* (Rhabditida) | AB376908 | 95.3 | 91.5 | 95.2 |
| | *Microdorylaimus* sp. (Dorylaimida) | AY966492 | 95.3 | 91.5 | 95.2 |
| | *Ophelina* sp. (Rhabditida) | AY284637 | 96.6 | 10 | 100 |
| | *Ophelina* sp. (Rhabditida) | AY284673 | 96.6 | 10 | 100 |
| | *Paragamphinema africana* (Ascaridida) | JF803925 | 92.0 | 91.4 | 92.7 |
| | *Prisotrichus lheritieri* (Tylenchida) | EU966020 | 97.7 | 92.4 | 97.7 |
| | *Bathydris* sp. (Rhabditida) | EU284588 | 97.7 | 92.4 | 97.7 |
| Not nematode | *Achlya bisexualis* | DQ403200 | 99.8 | 100 |
| | *Enchelys polymoeleata* | DQ411861 | 99.8 | 100 |
| | *Enchytraeus christensi* | GJ591873 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |
| | *Heterada grandinella* | AF194410 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |
| | *Lagenidium* sp. | HQ384417 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |
| | *Okonomia* sp. | AY250451 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |
| | *Oxybryus philippinensis* | EF023919 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |
| | *Physeoleae environmental sample* | EF024946 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |
| | *Sarkidiella cavica* | GU942565 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |
| | *Taigrada environment sample* | GQ922229 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |
| | *Uncultured alveolate* | HM799981 | 99.8 | 14 | 100 |

<sup>a</sup> SSU: primer pair SSU18A-SSU9R; TYL: primer pair Tyl2F-Tyl4R
<sup>b</sup> These organisms had the highest similarities in the BLAST search.
<sup>c</sup> Band numbers are shown in Fig. 1.
bands due to organisms other than nematodes (protozoa, tardigrades, etc.) were also observed (Fig. 1 and Table 3). This suggests that SSU is not suitable for the detection of nematode species that are present in only small numbers. On the other hand, only plant parasitic or fungivorous nematodes were detected using TYL, indicating that this primer pair is specific to a certain group of nematodes. SSU could not detect most of the nematode species detected by TYL, and the band intensities with SSU were weaker than those with TYL, even when bands derived from the same species were detected by both primer pairs. Species that were undetectable with SSU could still not be detected even when much more amplified DNA was applied to the DGGE gels. These results suggest that TYL is more suited to the detection of plant parasitic and fungivorous nematodes than SSU.

BLAST analyses of 18S rRNA gene sequences which were individually determined for 30 fungivorous nematodes from the Memuro field revealed five closest fungivorous species (Table 4). In the DGGE analysis, TYL detected three fungivorous species, *Filenchus misellus*, *Ditylenchus sp.*, and *Pseudhalenchen minutus*, from same community, but could not detect *Aphelenchoides sp.* and *Laimaphelenchnus heidelbergi* (Table 3). This suggests that TYL does not target all fungivorous species with a stylet. All plant parasitic and fungivorous nematodes with a stylet are classified into one of two orders: *Tylenchida* (17) or *Aphelenchida* (8). *F. misellus*, *Ditylenchus sp.*, and *P. minutus* belong to order *Tylenchida*, and *Aphelenchoides sp.* and *L. heidelbergi* belong to order *Aphelenchida*. Moreover, every plant parasitic and fungivorous nematode detected in the four nematode communities by TYL belongs to *Tylenchida* (Table 3). Then, 18S rRNA gene sequences of important plant parasitic species and fungivorous nematodes belonging to *Tylenchida* and *Aphelenchida*, *Tylenchorhynchus dubius* (EU306352), *Meloidogyne incognita* (AY284621), *Rotylenchus uniformis* (AY593882), *Helicotylenchus dihystera* (AJ966486), *Aphelenchoides besseyi* (AY508035), and *Aphelenchoides avenuea* (IQ348399), were also derived from the DDBJ database and surveyed. Although every species belonging to *Tylenchida* had the same sequences as Ty12F and Ty14R, variation was observed in four or five bases of the sequence corresponding to Ty12F of the species belonging to *Aphelenchoides besseyi* and *Aphelenchoides avenuea*. These results indicate that these primers appear to select for the order *Tylenchida*. Most plant parasitic species belong to *Tylenchida*, and the principal plant parasitic nematode species in fields in Japan, root lesion nematodes, root knot nematodes, and cyst nematodes, also belong to *Tylenchida*. Since most fungivorous species in the fields also belong to *Tylenchida*, it is useful to understand the characteristics of the nematode fauna in the field when analyzing the *Tylenchida* community. As *Aphelenchus* spp. and *Aphelenchoides* spp. are also important fungivorous nematode species, primers designed to target these nematode species will be needed to analyze overall fungivorous communities.

Three *Tylenchida* species, *Filenchus misellus*, *Ditylenchus sp.*, and *Pseudhalenchen minutus*, which were detected by individual sequence analysis, were also detected by DGGE analysis using TYL. Therefore, DGGE analysis using TYL may be sensitive for the detection of fungivorous *Tylenchida* species in a community. It will be necessary to confirm its effectiveness using more nematode communities.

TYL was also good for evaluating plant parasitic nematodes. Although root lesion nematodes (*Pratylenchus*) were observed in every tested community through microscopy, SSU failed to detect them in the communities from Shimizu and Otofuke fields, probably due to their small numbers. TYL, however, could clearly detect them. Moreover, since TYL was able to detect a single individual of *P. penetrans*, which was added to a nematode community that consisted of 2,000 individuals without a *Pratylenchus* sp. (data not shown), the detection sensitivity of DGGE using TYL was confirmed to be very high.

Furthermore, DGGE analysis using TYL could clearly detect two *Pratylenchus* spp. (*P. penetrans* and *P. crenatus*) that coexisted in the communities from Kuriyama and Otofuke fields (Table 2). Coexistence of these *Pratylenchus* spp. was also confirmed by PCR-RFLP analyses that were conducted for ten individuals of root lesion nematodes according to the method described by Orui and Mizukubo (14). Although there are many fields where plural plant parasitic species belonging to the same genus coexist, it is very difficult to find this coexistence and identify them by morphological investigation or conventional molecular biological techniques. In many cases, only the dominant species can be identified; however, DGGE analysis using TYL enabled immediate detection with high resolution. These results suggest that DGGE analysis using TYL will become a powerful tool for diagnosing plant parasitic nematodes in the field.

In this study, it was confirmed that the sensitivity of DGGE analysis is improved by the use of group-specific primers; therefore, the usefulness of DGGE analysis is expected to increase through the practical use of such primers.

The determined nucleotide sequences in this study have been submitted to the DDBJ database with accession numbers AB731478–AB731558 (sequences of DGGE bands), AB731159–AB731185 (sequences of fungivorous nematodes from the Memuro field).
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