Characterization of the Ubiquitin C-Terminal Hydrolase and Ubiquitin-Specific Protease Families in Rice (Oryza sativa)
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The ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase (UCH) and ubiquitin-specific processing protease (UBP) protein families both function in protein deubiquitination, playing important roles in a wide range of biological processes in animals, fungi, and plants. Little is known about the functions of these proteins in rice (Oryza sativa), and the numbers of genes reported for these families have not been consistent between different rice database resources. To further explore their functions, it is necessary to first clarify the basic molecular and biochemical nature of these two gene families. Using a database similarity search, we clarified the numbers of genes in these two families in the rice genome, examined the enzyme activities of their corresponding proteins, and characterized the expression patterns of all OsUCH and representative OsUBP genes. Five OsUCH and 44 OsUBP genes were identified in the rice genome, with four OsUCH proteins and 10 of 16 tested representative OsUBP proteins showing enzymatic activities. Two OsUCHs and five OsUBPs were found to be preferentially expressed in the early development of rice stamens. This work thus lays down a reliable bioinformatic foundation for future investigations of genes in these two families, particularly for exploring their potential roles in rice stamen development.
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INTRODUCTION

Understanding transcriptional regulation is important for elucidating how genetic information determines phenotypes; however, numerous regulatory layers other than transcription play roles in the flow of information from genes to phenotypes, which interact to maintain or enhance both robustness and adaptability. Proteins execute diverse biological functions; therefore, protein stability is a critical checkpoint regulating this flow of information, and protein ubiquitination and deubiquitination have been intensively investigated (Zhang, 2003; Frappier and Verrijzer, 2011).

Ubiquitination plays a key role in protein degradation (Ciechanover, 1998; Weissman, 2001), while deubiquitination has the opposite role, with deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs)
cleaving ubiquitin from ubiquitin-conjugated proteins (Chung and Back, 1999; Wilkinson, 2000). Rawlings and Barrett (1993) performed a systematic analysis of over 600 peptidases available at the time, classifying them into 84 distinct families. The DUBs were classified as C-type (cysteine) peptidases. Based on their catalytic mechanisms and amino acid sequences, Amerik and Hochstrasser (2004) classified the DUBs into five subfamilies, including four special types of cysteine proteases; ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase (UCH), ubiquitin-specific processing protease (UBP or USP), otubain protease (OUT), and Machado-Joseph disease protease (MJD), as well as one zinc-dependent metalloprotease, JAB1/MPN/Mov34 metalloenzyme (JAMM). Recently, two new DUB subfamilies, monocyte chemoattractant protein-induced protease (MCPiP) and the motif interacting with Ub-containing novel DUB family (MINDY), were identified, expanding the DUBs into seven subfamilies (Liang et al., 2010; Fraile et al., 2012; Abdul et al., 2016). The largest and most diverse subfamily among them is the UBP group. Cysteine proteases are classified based on the characteristic amino acids at their active sites: UCH has the amino acids Q84, C90, H161, and D176, annotated as the C12 domain, while UBP is characterized by the amino acids N109, C114, H435, and D/N451, known as the C19 domain (MEROPS database).

Protein deubiquitination is much less well-understood than protein ubiquitination and degradation; however, some DUBs are known to be involved in a wide range of biological processes in animals, fungi, and plants (Nijman et al., 2005; Reyes-Turcu et al., 2009; Kouranti et al., 2010). These biological processes include transcriptional regulation and DNA repair (Nijman et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2006; Clague et al., 2012), chromatin modification (Sridhar et al., 2007; Reyes-Turcu et al., 2009), protein degradation (Yan et al., 2000; Guterman and Glickman, 2004; Wu et al., 2004), signal transduction (Moon et al., 2005; Reyes-Turcu et al., 2009; Ewan et al., 2011; Clague et al., 2012; Cui et al., 2013), animal cell growth, apoptosis, neural and germ cell differentiation (Nijman et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007; Bedard et al., 2011; Hou and Yang, 2013), and plant morphogenesis and programmed cell death (Doelling et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008; Ewan et al., 2011; Ramakrishna et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2013; Du et al., 2014). These diverse functions highlight the importance of protein deubiquitination in biological processes.

Previously, 21 genes encoding UBP proteins were reported in the rice (Oryza sativa) genome (Moon et al., 2009). In our previous rice stamen gene expression profiles (Lu et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2015), we identified a gene, Os11g38610 (AK101994), specifically expressed in rice stamens that was annotated as OsUCH. Considering the reported functions of UCH in gonadal transformation and spermatogenesis in animals (Kwon et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2008; Luo et al., 2009), such a finding led us to further investigate whether the UCH genes play a role in the regulation of stamen development in rice.

It was surprise, however, that detail sequence analysis revealed that neither C12, nor C19 hallmark sequence of OsUCH and OsUBP was found in the sequence of Os11g38610. When we investigated how many genes encoding DUBs were present in the rice genome, the three major databases (NCBI, RIKEN, and MSU) gave different outputs, indicating that more reliable information about the classification of DUBs is required for the functional and mechanistic investigation of these genes in rice. Here, we determine that there are five OsUCH and 44 OsUBP genes in the rice genome, based on their characteristic C12 and C19 domains, respectively. The 44 OsUBP proteins could be classified into 14 groups according to their sequence similarity. Four of the five OsUCH and 10 of 16 selected OsUBP proteins had DUB enzyme activity, and one, OsUCH3, was found to have a stronger enzymatic activity than its homologs in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and humans (Homo sapiens). In addition, among these genes, some were found to be preferentially expressed during stamen development. This work thus lays down a reliable bioinformatic foundation for future investigations of genes in these two families, particularly for exploring their potential roles in rice stamen development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials
The rice (Oryza sativa L. ssp. japonica cv. Zhonghua11) cultivars were provided by the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. The rice seeds were soaked in water at 28°C for about 2 weeks, then the seedlings were transplanted into plastic pots and cultured at 28 ± 2°C under an 11-h light/13-h dark photoperiod in a greenhouse, or transplanted into the experimental field during the normal growing season in Beijing, China. The tissues for the expression analyses were harvested and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored at −80°C until required.

Phylogenetic Analysis
For the phylogenetic analysis of the OsUCH/OsUBP protein family members, we performed a database similarity search using the C12 and C19 domains as query sequences against the UniProt database (The UniProt Consortium, 2012). Full-length amino acid sequences for Arabidopsis thaliana, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Physcomitrella patens, Selaginella moellendorfii, Zea mays, Oryza sativa (osm), Sorghum bicolor, Populus trichocarpa, Monopterus albus, Mus musculus (mou), Rattus norregicus, and Homo sapiens were retrieved from the NCBI website3 and UniProt database (The UniProt Consortium, 2012). The phylogenetic analyses were conducted using the RAxML software (Stamatakis, 2006; Ott et al., 2007), based on the maximum likelihood method with the WAG model, followed by rapid bootstrapping tests.

cDNA Preparation and RT-qPCR Analysis
Total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA

---

1http://merops.sanger.ac.uk/
2https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi?INPUT_TYPE=live&SEQUENCE=BAG95328.1
was obtained by reverse transcribing 0.5–1.0 mg RNA with SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies).

Tissue samples, including five stamens at developmental stages 2–6 (S2–S6), leaves, leaf primordia, SAMs, and RAMs, were extracted as described by Chen et al. (2015). The cDNA templates, primers, and SYBR Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa) were mixed and a quantitative PCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System with three technical replicates. The data were processed using the 7500 software (ver. 2.0) based on the ddCt method, normalizing the expression data to that of the reference gene GAPDH, which was determined using the primers developed by Ji et al. (2014). The primers used for RT-qPCR analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S9.

**In situ Hybridization**

The *in situ* hybridization was performed according to Bai et al. (2004), with some modifications. For the preparation of the paraffin sections, the inflorescences were infiltrated under vacuum in FAA (4% w/v), 3.7% paraformaldehyde, and 0.25% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), then incubated overnight at 4°C. The samples were then dehydrated through a series of graded ethanol concentrations and a xylene series, before being embedded in Paraplast Plus (Sigma) and sliced into 7.5-µm sections. Digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probes were generated by *in vitro* transcription, according to the instructions provided with the DIG RNA Labeling Kit (SP6/T7; Roche). The samples were deparaffinized by rinsing in xylene and then dehydrated through a graded ethanol series.

For the hybridization, the sections were incubated at 45°C overnight with hybridization buffer [500 ng ml⁻¹ digoxigenin-labeled RNA, 50% formamide, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1x Denharts, 10% dextran sulphate, 10 mM DTT, 250 ng ml⁻¹ tRNA, and 100 µg ml⁻¹ Poly(A)] and covered with a slide. After hybridization, the cover slide was removed in 2x SSC at room temperature and the sections were washed twice for 30 min at 45°C with maleic buffer (100 mM maleic acid and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5), then treated with RNase A (20 µg ml⁻¹ in 500 mM NaCl/TE, pH 7.5) at 37°C for 30 min, before being washed twice in 500 mM NaCl/TE (pH 7.5) at room temperature. The hybridized probes were detected using an anti-digoxigenin-Ap antibody and then visualized with 4-nitroblue tetrazolium chloride and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoly-phosphate (NBT/BCIP), according to the protocol developed by Roche. Photos were taken using Image D2 (Carl Zeiss). The primers used for *in situ* hybridization are listed in Supplementary Table S9.

**Protein Purification**

The OsUCH and OsUBP proteins were expressed by the pCold TF cold shock expression system (TAKARA) in *Escherichia coli*. OsUCH3 was cloned into the pCold TF vector then transformed into TransB (DE3) chemically competent cells (TransGen). The pCold empty vector, which expressed only the trigger factor and the 6*his*-tag, was used as the negative control. The cells were induced to express the transgenic material by adding IPTG at a final concentration of 0.5 mM, followed by an incubated at 15°C for 24 h.

Cells were collected by centrifugation and sonicated in a lysis buffer containing 20 mM NaH₂PO₄, 500 mM NaCl, and 20 mM imidazole (pH 7.4). The cell extract was purified using His Trap HP (GE HEALTHCARE), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford Protein Assay Kit (TransGen) and diluted to 50 µg ml⁻¹.

**In vitro Assay of OsUCH Hydrolytic Activity**

The *in vitro* assay of OsUCHs hydrolytic activity were performed according to the previously published protocols (Dang et al., 1998; Artavanis-Tsakonas et al., 2010), with some modifications. To test the DUB activity and the deneddylating activity of the OsUCHs *in vitro*, 2 µl 50 µg ml⁻¹ OsUCH3 recombinant protein was added to 2 ml reaction buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 5 mM DTT (pH 8.0). The reaction was initiated by adding 2 µl of the substrate, Ub-AMC or NEDD8-AMC (BostonBiochem), at 10 µM and monitored using an RF-5301PC fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi). The free AMC was excited at 345 nm and its fluorescence emission rate was recorded at 445 nm.

The trigger factor with the 6*his*-tag, which was expressed by the pCold TF empty vector, was used as a negative control, while 0.5 µg ml⁻¹ recombinant HisUCHL3 (BostonBiochem) was used as a positive control.

**In vivo Assay of Hydrolytic Activity**

The *in vivo* assay of OsUCHs and OsUBPs hydrolytic activity were performed according to the previously published protocols (Yan et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008), with some modifications. To test the DUB activity of the OsUCHs or OsUBPs *in vivo*, the OsUCH or OsUBP sequences were co-expressed with the substrate AtUBQ10 in pETDuet-1 which has the advantage of having two polyclonal sites (MCS), and can express two proteins at the same time in TransB (DE3). The six ubiquitin gene UBQ10 was inserted into MCS1 and the UCH or UBP gene into MCS2. The pETDuet-1 empty vector and the pETDuet-1 vector containing only AtUBQ10 were used as the negative controls. The cells were induced by adding IPTG at a final concentration of 0.5 mM, followed by a 10 h incubation at 28°C. The cell culture centrifuge and add 5x SDS-PAGE loading buffer, then subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore), detected using anti-ubiquitin antibodies (SantaCruz). Western blotting was carried out as described by Gu et al. (2011) with modifications: primary antibody in

| Tool or Database | OsUCHs | OsUBPs | Total |
|------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| MSU Rice         | 19     | 40     | 59    |
| MIREPS           | 6      | 22     | 28    |
| InterProScan     | 5      | 44     | 49    |
| Pfam             | 5      | 49     | 54    |
FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic relationships and domain architectures of UCH and UBP protein family in Arabidopsis and rice. (A) ML unrooted tree of UCH protein family. (B) ML unrooted tree of UBP protein family. (C) Based on the predicted amino acid sequence identities and domain structures, the 44 rice OsUBP genes can be subdivided into 14 groups (G1–G14). The domains are shown in different colored boxes. The G1 members (Os08g41530, Os08g41540, Os08g41550, Os08g41560, Os08g41580, Os08g41610, Os08g41620, Os08g41630, Os09g32740, and Os11g40450) contain one peptidase_C19 domain or up to two zinc-finger ubiquitin-specific protease (ZnF-UBP) domains. The three G2 members (Os02g36400, Os02g36400, and Os02g36400) contain the peptidase_C19 domain. The G3 member (Os01g36930) contains a single peptidase_C12 and a single peptidase_C19 domain. The G4 members (Os05g43480, Os05g43480, Os05g43480, Os05g43480, Os05g43480, Os05g43480, Os05g43480, Os05g43480, Os05g43480, Os05g43480) have a peptidase_C19 domain and up to one DUSP (domain in USPs) motif. The G5 OsUBPs (Os01g56490, Os01g56490, Os01g56490, Os01g56490, Os01g56490, Os01g56490, Os01g56490, Os01g56490, Os01g56490, Os01g56490) have a single meprin and TRAF homology (MATH) domain in their N-terminal (Continued)
FIGURE 1 | Continued
regions and a peptidase_C19 domain. The G6 OsUBP (Os01g08200) members contain ZnF-UBP, a peptidase_C19 domain, and two ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domains. The G7-I (Os02g28940, Os08g37350, Os06g44380, and Os02g14730) proteins contain a myeloid, nervy and DEAF1 (MYND)-type zinc finger (ZnF-MYND) motif and a peptidase_C19 domain, and the G7-II (Os02g55180 and Os08g06530), G7-III (Os05g43480), and G8 (Os02g46650) proteins have a peptidase_C19 domain in their N-terminal regions. The G9 (Os04g555360 and Os09g24250) proteins contains ZnF-UBP and a peptidase_C19 domain, while the G10 member (Os07g46660) contains a peptidase_C19 domain in its C-terminal region. The G11 (Os03g09260) protein contains a peptidase_C19 domain, three DUSP (domain in USPs) motifs, and a ubiquitin motif. The G12 member (Os04g34984) contains a peptidase_C19 domain, while the G13 protein (Os11g34270) contains a peptidase_C19 domain and a ZnF-UBP domain. The G14 members (Os09g37580, Os03g06950, and Os11g34690) contain TRP-like (or Tify), DUF629 and peptidase_C19 domains. DUF627, DUF629, MATH, peptidase_C19, retrotrans gag, RVT_2, peptidase_C12, Tify, TRP-like, UBA, Ubiquitin, ZnF-MYND, and ZnF-UBP domains are indicated in the upper part of the figures.

FIGURE 2 | Enzyme activity assays of all OsUCHs. (A) Four OsUCHs show deubiquitination activity in vitro, using Ub-AMC as the substrate. When the DUB inhibitor Ub-VME was added, the OsUCHs completely lost their enzymatic activity. HsUCHL3 was used as a positive control. (B) Four OsUCHs show DUB activity in vivo, detected by the degradation of the substrate AtUBQ10 into small fragments. The active-site mutant protein OsUCH3C96S had no enzymatic activity. OsUBP6 (Os01g36930) was used as a positive control. (C) OsUCH3 possesses deneddylation activity in vitro, using NEDD8-AMC as the substrate. When the DUB inhibitor Ub-VME was added, OsUCH3 completely lost its enzymatic activity. The active-site mutant protein OsUCH3C96S had no enzymatic activity. HsUCHL3 was used as the positive control.

Accession Numbers
All of the gene accession numbers presented in the text are available in the Supplemental Information (Supplementary Tables S1–S5). They are derived from the MSU Rice Genome Annotation Project (MSU 6.1), Rice Annotation Project (RAP, IRGSP 1.0), or NCBI GenBank. Sequence data from this article can be found in the Rice Genome Annotation Project data libraries.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Rice Genome Contains 5 OsUCH and 44 OsUBP Genes
To determine how many OsUCH and OsUBP genes are present in the rice genome, we performed a keyword search against the NCBI protein database using “Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase” and “Ubiquitin-specific-processing protease” as queries. A total of 135 sequences were retrieved, 35 of which were identified as genes encoding OsUCH and/or OsUBP proteins (Supplementary Table S1). A similar result was

3http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein
FIGURE 3 | Enzyme activity examination of selected OsUBPs. The enzyme activity of 16 selected OsUBPs (Os09g32740, Os08g41620, Os08g41630, Os04g37950, Os01g36930, Os10g07270, Os01g48600, Os07g06610, Os01g56490, Os12g30540, Os07g06650, Os01g08200, Os06g08530, Os07g46660, Os11g34270, and Os09g37580) were examined in vivo, using AtUBQ10 as the substrate. Ten of the OsUBPs (Os09g32740, Os08g41620, Os01g36930, Os10g07270, Os07g06610, Os01g56490, Os12g30540, Os07g06950, Os01g08200, and Os07g46660) showed DUB activity in vivo, detected by the degradation of the substrates into small fragments. OsUBP6 (Os01g36930) was used as the positive control.

obtained from the rice specific database Oryzabase4. However, a search of the Gramene5 database retrieved 19 OsUCH and 40 OsUBP genes, including Os11g38610 (Table 1). The criteria proposed by Rawlings and Barrett (1993) from their evolutionary analysis of peptidases were adapted by the MEROPS database6; therefore, we performed a similar search for rice OsUCH and OsUBP genes in this database, identifying six genes annotated as OsUCH and 22 classified as OsUBP (Table 1). Clearly, a simple database search using “Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase” and “Ubiquitin-specific-processing protease” as queries could not yield consistent results for the determination of the numbers of OsUCH- and OsUBP-family members in rice.

To solve this problem, we performed a sequence similarity search against the Pfam and InterPro datasets, obtained from the UniProt database (The UniProt Consortium, 2012), using the C12 and C19 domains as query sequences (Zdobnov and Apweiler, 2001; Punta et al., 2012). In this search, we identified five proteins containing the C12 domain, indicating that there are five OsUCH genes in the rice genome (Table 1). A total of 49 proteins containing the C19 domain were found in Pfam, while 44 were identified in the InterPro dataset (Table 1). We took a more stringent criterion and propose that there are 44 OsUBP genes in the rice genome (Supplementary Table S2). This dataset contains all OsUBP genes reported by Moon et al. (2009), except for Os08g41640 which contains neither a C12 nor a C19 domain.

Phylogenetic Relationships Among the Members of the OsUCH and OsUBP Families
To analyze the relationships between the rice genes encoding the OsUCH and OsUBP proteins, we performed phylogenetic analyses on 60 UCH protein sequences and 202 UBP protein sequences obtained from 13 and 12 species, respectively, of fungi, animals, and plants, retrieved from the UniProt database (Supplementary Table S3).

According to our phylogenetic analysis, the 60 genes annotated as UCH were clustered into two clades (Supplementary Figure S1). Two rice OsUCH genes (Os02g08370 and Os02g57630) were grouped in the clade with Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) AtUCH1 (At5g16310) and AtUCH2 (At1G65650), while the other three (Os02g43760, Os04g37670, Os04g46190, Os04g57190) fell into the same clade as the genes involved in animal gonadal development and spermatogenesis, such as mouse (Mus musculus) UCHL1 and UCHL3 (Kwon et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2009). Following the Arabidopsis nomenclature, Os02g08370 and Os02g57630 were designated as OsUCH1 and OsUCH2, respectively, while Os02g43760, Os04g37670, Os04g46190, and Os04g57190 were designated as OsUCH3, OsUCH4, and OsUCH5, respectively (Figure 1A).

The phylogenetic tree was highly complicated for the OsUBPs (Supplementary Figure S2). To facilitate a simple comparison, we used the 27 Arabidopsis UBP genes as a reference and classified the rice OsUBP genes into 14 groups ((Figures 1B,C and Supplementary Table S4). Such a classification can be used to select representative samples for biochemical analysis.

In addition, we identified 39 genes coding for OTU, 16 for JAMM, but none for MJD or MCPIP in the rice genome (Supplementary Table S5).

Enzyme Activity Was Detectable in Four of the Five OsUCH Proteins
We next examined the enzyme activity of all five OsUCHs. Two methods have been widely used for assaying the enzyme activity of the DUBs; either the enzyme activities of the recombinant protein are directly measured using fluorescence spectrometry (Dang et al., 1998; Nishikawa et al., 2003),

4https://shigen.nig.ac.jp/rice/oryzabase/
5http://www.gramene.org/
6https://www.ebi.ac.uk/merops/
FIGURE 4 | RT-qPCR analysis of selected OsUCH and OsUBP expression. The selected 3 OsUCH and 18 OsUBP for use as representatives were performed the real-time RT-qPCR analysis because of their expression patterns determined using the GEP (Gene Expression Profiling) and examined the enzyme activity (Chen et al., 2015). Total RNA was prepared from 7-day-old root meristems (R7), shoot tips (ST), the third mature leaf (ML3), the third leaf primordium (LP3), the eighth mature leaf (ML8), the eighth leaf primordium (LP8), and stamens at developmental stages 2–6 (S2–S6), and was subjected to an RT-qPCR analysis. (A) OsUCH family members, (B–H) OsUBP family members. The results are the average values obtained from three independent experiments, presented relative to the GAPDH expression levels. Error bars indicate SD (n = 3).
or the enzyme activity is assessed in the cell lysate transformed with Escherichia coli or the enzyme activity is assessed in the cell lysate of Wang et al. Characterization of 2000; Yang et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008). reported for other proteins with similar sequences (Yang et al., 2000). Using both of these in vitro in vivo C96S lost in OsUCH3 replacing the cysteine with serine (C to S; OsUCH3 C96S at the corresponding C96 residue (Supplementary Figure S5). enzymatic activity in OsUCH3, we generated a point mutation catalytic triad of the protein (Erez et al., 2009; Supplementary Figure S4). homologs are involved in spermatogenesis. Computational modeling showed little difference between the predicted 3D structure of OsUCH3 and OsUCH4 genes in the meiotic mother cells and tapetum cells. Control sections (H,P) hybridized with the sense but nearly 10 times higher than that of yeast Yuh1 (20 ± 5 nM; Johnston et al., 1999). Ubiquitin vinyl methyl ester (Ub-VME; LifeSensors) is a specific inhibitor of UCH proteins (Borodovsky et al., 2001). OsUCH3 enzyme activity was completely inhibited in the presence of Ub-VME (Figure 2A and Supplementary Table S7). These results indicate that OsUCH3 is a typical UCH in terms of its activity and inhibition by Ub-VME. Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase proteins can use substrates other than ubiquitin, such as NEDD8 (neural precursor cell expressed developmentally downregulated protein 8; Artavanis-Tsakonas et al., 2010). We therefore examined the deneddylation activity of OsUCH3. As shown in Figure 2C, OsUCH3 had a higher deneddylation activity than the positive control, HsUCHL3. The $K_m$ of OsUCH3 was 172.70 ± 30.23 nM using NEDD8-AMC (NEDD8-AMC, human recombinant; BostonBiochem) as the substrate ($k_{cat}/K_m = 17.22; k_{cat}/K_m = 1.0 E + 08; Supplementary Table S7$). This activity was also inhibited by Ub-VME and required C96.

Enzyme Activity of 16 Selected OsUBP Proteins
Since our original purpose was to examine whether the OsUCHs or OsUBPs are involved in stamen development, we selected 16 OsUBPs for use as representatives for the enzymatic analysis of this family because of their expression patterns determined using the GEP (Gene Expression Profiling) (Chen et al., 2015; Supplementary Figure S8). Previously, an enzymatic analysis was only performed for OsUBP6 (Moon et al., 2009). We used an in vivo method to examine the enzyme activity of the 16 OsUBPs; however, this activity was only detected in 10 of the 16 selected OsUBPs (Figure 3).

Two OsUCH and Three OsUBP Genes Are Preferentially Expressed During Early Stamen Development
We were interested to explore whether and how the OsUCH or OsUBP proteins play roles in stamen development. Our previous gene expression profiling revealed various extents of preferential expression of three OsUCH and 13 OsUBP genes in early stamen development in rice (Supplementary Figure S8). Here, we used real-time RT-qPCR to examine the expression of all five OsUCH and 44 selected OsUBP genes in rice stamen development from stages 2 to 6 in comparison with those in the shoot apical meristem (SAM) of seedlings with five fully expanded leaves, the root tips of 7-day-old seedlings (R7), and the leaf primordia and newly expanded leaves at the third and eighth nodes, respectively (Supplementary Figure S9).
Figure 4 shows the results of the real-time RT-qPCR analysis of three OsUCHs belonging to the clade with mouse (Mus musculus) UCHL1 and UCHL3, and 16 OsUBPs selected for the examination of the enzyme activity (Supplementary Table S8). The real-time RT-PCR revealed that two OsUCH genes, Os02g43760 and Os04g46190 (Figure 4A), and three OsUBP genes, Os01g48600, Os07g06610 (Figure 4D) and Os01g08200 (Figure 4E) exhibit stamen-preferential expression patterns. This information could be useful for future investigations.

Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase activity was reported to be involved in gonadal transformation and spermatogenesis, and the stamens are the functionally equivalent plant organ to the male gonads in animals (Bai and Xu, 2013); therefore, we used in situ hybridization to examine the expression patterns of two OsUCHs, Os02g43760 and Os04g46190 (Figure 5), and seven OsUBPs (Supplementary Figure S10) during stamen development, because of their high expression levels in the mature stamen. Consistent with the results from the microarray and RT-qPCR analysis, the expression levels of the two OsUCH genes were not only highly upregulated in stamen development, but were also concentrated in the meiotic mother cells and tapetum cells (Figure 5). This expression pattern suggests that the two OsUCH genes may play roles in germ cell induction and/or differentiation in rice stamens.

Of the 16 OsUBP genes investigated, eight exhibited stamen-preferential expression patterns (Supplementary Table S8, column 4), and an in situ hybridization analysis confirmed these expression patterns for five of the eight genes (Supplementary Table S8, column 5). Among these five, three genes encode proteins exhibiting the enzyme activities (Os08g41620, Os07g06610, and Os01g08200). Although the enzyme activity of the proteins encoded by Os09g32740, Os01g36930, Os10g07270, and Os01g56490 were detected, the stamen-preferential expression patterns of these genes revealed by the GEP were not confirmed using RT-qPCR.

Taken together, a few conclusions can be made from this work. First, we confirmed that there are five OsUCH and 44 OsUBP genes present in the rice genome, and established their phylogenetic relationships based on their sequence similarities. Second, we examined the enzymatic activities of all five OsUCH and 16 representative OsUBP proteins, revealing that four of the five OsUCH and 10 of the 16 OsUBP proteins have DUB activity. In addition, the detailed enzymatic features of OsUCH3 were characterized. The expression patterns of all five OsUCH and 44 OsUBP genes were examined, revealing that two OsUCH and seven OsUBP genes were preferentially expressed in the early stages of stamen development in rice. This work systematically clarified the previously conflicting information about this important gene family and laid down a reliable bioinformatic base for the future investigation of genes in this family, particularly for their potential roles in rice stamen development.
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