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Abstract:
BACKGROUND: Obesity and overweight in children and adolescents have become a global problem in recent years. Adolescence increases the likelihood of obesity in adulthood and associated illnesses. Nowadays, health literacy is one of the greatest determinants of the health. This study was conducted to evaluate the correlation between body mass index (BMI) and health literacy in high school students.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: In this descriptive-analytical study, 430 high school students were enrolled in a multi-stage random method in Yazd city in the center of Iran. The data were collected through The Health Literacy Measure for Adolescents and calculate BMI with measurement of height and weight of adolescents. The data were then analyzed using SPSS 25 and descriptive and inferential statistics (Chi-square and multivariate regression).

RESULTS: The results showed that the mean score of BMI (21.76 ± 3.76) was in a normal range. The score of health literacy was at the borderline level (58.44 ± 13.79), BMI was not significantly correlated with health literacy (P = 0.09). BMI was significantly different in terms of age, high school grade, school type, and health status. School type and health status predict 37% of the variance of BMI (R² = 37%) and the best predictor was health status (P < 0.05).

CONCLUSIONS: The results of the present study showed that BMI was not correlated with health literacy and student health literacy was at the borderline level. It is necessary to carry out further studies on the causes and facilitators and the barriers to increasing health literacy. Furthermore, educational interventions are needed to prevent the increase of BMI in adolescents and identify determining factors.

Keywords:
Body mass index, health, high school, literacy, obesity, students

Introduction

Obesity is a major health problem worldwide. The World Health Organization defines adolescents’ obesity and overweight as an epidemic crisis in developed and developing countries. Overweight and obesity in childhood and adolescence increase the risk of obesity in adulthood. Obesity and overweight are influenced by genetic factors, individual factors, nutritional behaviors, reduced physical activity, social, economic, and cultural factors such as knowledge and attitude. Obesity is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, diabetes, various types of cancers, liver disease, and high blood pressure. In addition, obesity can increase depression, avoidance, reduce self-esteem, impose economic costs, and increase mortality. The body mass index (BMI) is the most common method for the assessment of obesity. Therefore, BMI ≥25 shows overweight and BMI ≥30 shows obesity. According to a study in the US, the prevalence of obesity in U.S. children
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and adolescents aged 2–19 years was 19.3%. In Iran, the prevalence of overweight and obesity was 4.4%–42.3% and 1%–16.1%, respectively.

Several factors can affect obesity and overweight people. Health literacy is one of these possible factors that has been mentioned in studies. Health literacy is the individuals’ capacity to obtain, interpret, and understand basic information and health services that are needed for proper decision-making. Inadequate health literacy is accompanied by adverse health conditions, inappropriate use of medication, failure to follow doctor’s orders, the increasing illness; less health knowledge, the increasing risk of hospitalization, poorer ability to demonstrate taking medications appropriately, and poorer ability to interpret labels and health messages. Health literacy is a global issue and debate as well as one of the greatest determinants of the health.

Adolescence is one of the most challenging periods in human life, and is an important transition from childhood to adulthood with the most profound personal and physiological changes. Adolescence is an infinitely important stage in relation to health, since many of the habits and behaviors formed at this stage are sustained in the middle ages. Students spend most of their time in school. Therefore, schools play an important role in health promotion behaviors such as promoting healthy eating, physical activity, and health education. Community health nurses, as health educators, play an important role in improving the health of students in schools. Health literacy is very important in adolescents since they gain more health information through electronic communication networks, and much of this information is uncertain. Improving adolescent’s health literacy is important because healthy behaviors and habits are formed in adolescence and adolescents are the future clients of the health system. Therefore, adequate health literacy reduces the adverse effects on health, and inadequate health literacy increases risk behaviors.

Several studies investigated the relationship between health literacy and BMI. For example, Sharif and Blank showed a reverse relationship between the health literacy and BMI in adolescents. Lam and Yang showed that students with lower health literacy had a higher BMI than other students. A study in Iran showed a direct correlation between the health literacy and nutritional performance in adolescents. Although some studies have been conducted, they are inadequate and the relationship between the health literacy and BMI in Iranian adolescents and those in other countries has been underestimated. Therefore, the current study aimed to evaluate the correlation between BMI and health literacy in high school students.

Materials and Methods

Study design and setting
This descriptive-analytic study was carried out in high schools of Yazd located in the center of Iran. The current study investigated the relationship between BMI and health literacy and some influential factors in students in 2018. It is noteworthy that the Iranian educational system changed in 2012. In this system, the middle and high schools have been shifted into the first and second periods. There are three grades in the first period and three grades in the second period.

Study participants and sampling
The target population of this study included high school students at the time of data collection (N = 20000). The Cochran formula (α = 0.05, d = 0.05, Z = 1.94) was recruited and the sample size was estimated to be n = 405. Considering a 6% drop out probability, 430 students were selected using the randomized three-stage cluster-sampling method.

Given that Yazd consists of two educational districts, each district was considered as one cluster. Schools were divided into governmental and nongovernmental groups, then boys and girls (female and male schools are separate in Iran). After identifying the list of schools in each district and extracting their names, samples were selected randomly. The inclusion criteria included male and female high school students aged 15–19, who have been living in Yazd for 5 years and were willing to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria included those who lost or did not complete the questionnaire.

The researchers referred to the schools after obtaining a code of ethics from the ethics committee. After selecting the eligible students, clarifying the purpose of the study, and obtaining written consent from the students, the students completed the questionnaire, and BMI was measured in students. Forty hundred and thirty questionnaires were distributed in 6 months (November 2017 to May 2018), and seven students were excluded from the study due to incomplete questionnaires. Finally, data from 423 participants were used in the final analyses (response rate = 98%).

Data collection tool and technique
In this study, three tools were used for data collection. The first questionnaire was used to collect demographic
information of participants such as their age, gender, high school grade, school type, field of study, being interested in health issues, health status, and source of health information [Table 1].

The second one is the digital SEKA scale and the graded portable rod. The samples’ weights were measured and recorded in the morning with light clothes without shoes and with correct standing position. The heights of the research units were measured and recorded without shoes and with correct standing position (stand with your feet flat on the floor with your heels against the corner where the wall and floor meet. Make sure your head, shoulders, and buttocks are touching the wall. Stand up straight with your eyes looking straight ahead). BMI was calculated by using the weight formula in kilograms divided by the height square in meter. Accordingly, individuals with a BMI <18.5 were underweight, those with BMI of 18.5–24.9 were normal and those with BMI of 25–29.9 were overweight and those with BMI ≥30 were obese.[24]

The Health Literacy Measure for Adolescents (HELMA) is the third questionnaire. The HELMA is a valid and reliable tool for the measurement of the health literacy of adolescents aged 15–18. Ghanbari et al. prepared and validated this questionnaire.[24] The questionnaire consisted of 44 items in eight subscales of self-efficacy (items 1–4), access (items 5–9), reading (items 10–14), understanding (items 15–24), appraisal (items 25–29), use (items 30–33), communication (items 34–41), and numeracy (items 42–44). The five point Likert scale was used: never (1 point), rarely (2 points), sometimes (3 points), most often (4 points) and always (5 points), but in the numeracy, the score is between 0 and 1. In this way, the correct answer is score one and the wrong answer is score zero. The score for this questionnaire is between 41 and 208, but according to the authors, the total score of the questionnaire was between 0 and 100 based on a specific formula. Accordingly, Students’ health literacy is ranked in four inadequate (0–50), borderline (50.1–66), sufficient (66.1–84), and excellent levels (84.1–100).

| Table 1: Students demographic information and its relationship with body mass index and health literacy scores (n=423) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variables** | **Categories** | **Frequency n (%)** | **Health literacy** | **BMI** |
| | | | **Mean±SD** | **Statistical test/P** | **Mean±SD** | **Statistical test/P** |
| Age (years) | - | 423 (100) | 16.82±3.08 | n=−0.143 | 21.76±3.76 | n=0.11 |
| | | | t=2.54 | P=0.004* | t=1.36 | P=0.17 |
| Gender | Male | 203 (48) | 17.15±2.8 | t=2.54 | 22.02±4.17 | t=0.01* |
| | Female | 220 (52) | 17.83±2.86 | P=0.01* | 21.52±3.33 | P=0.00* |
| High school grade | First | 153 (36.2) | 17.79±2.89 | F=3.91 | 21.74±4.02 | F=0.72 |
| | Second | 139 (32.8) | 17.77±2.95 | P=0.02* | 21.17±3.48 | P=0.02* |
| | Third | 131 (31) | 16.94±2.79 | | 22.41±3.66 | |
| School type | government | 354 (83.7) | 17.44±2.89 | t=1.51 | 21.56±3.74 | t=6.10 |
| | Non-government | 69 (16.3) | 17.91±2.87 | P=0.21 | 22.78±3.74 | P=0.01* |
| Field of study | Experimental | 131 (31.0) | 17.79±3.17 | F=3.26 | 21.57±3.68 | F=0.65 |
| | Mathematics | 129 (30.5) | 17.61±2.64 | P=0.02* | 22.09±3.64 | P=0.57 |
| | Humanities | 133 (31.4) | 17.52±2.82 | | 21.55±3.88 | |
| | Technical | 30 (7.1) | 15.99±2.53 | | 22.09±4.17 | |
| Interested in health issues | Not interested | 27 (6.4) | 14.86±3.23 | F=14.14 | 21.50±4.92 | F=0.29 |
| | Little | 51 (12.0) | 16.31±2.74 | P=0.00* | 22.27±3.86 | P=0.91 |
| | Somewhat | 172 (40.7) | 17.26±2.61 | | 21.62±3.75 | |
| | Much | 109 (25.8) | 18.13±2.67 | | 21.87±3.66 | |
| | Very much | 64 (15.1) | 19.26±2.64 | | 21.65±3.44 | |
| Health status | Very good | 151 (35.7) | 18.2±2.86 | F=5.81 | 21.43±3.80 | F=3.70 |
| | Good | 196 (46.3) | 17.43±2.75 | P=0.00* | 21.53±3.64 | P=0.00* |
| | Fair | 66 (15.6) | 16.61±2.93 | | 22.86±3.72 | |
| | Poor | 8 (1.9) | 14.98±3.17 | | 23.10±2.89 | |
| | Very poor | 2 (0.5) | 15.43±1.14 | | 28.25±8.87 | |
| Source of health information | Teacher | 12 (2.8) | 18.28±2.05 | F=2.15 | 22.69±3.93 | F=1.59 |
| | Parent | 202 (47.6) | 17.18±2.89 | P=0.04* | 21.32±3.53 | P=0.14 |
| | Physician | 126 (29.8) | 18.11±2.74 | | 22.28±4.14 | |
| | Internet | 62 (14.7) | 17.43±3.18 | | 22.31±3.74 | |
| | Health provider | 7 (1.7) | 16.50±3.06 | | 20.99±2.01 | |
| | Friend | 7 (1.7) | 16.07±2.37 | | 21.54±3.69 | |
| | Book | 7 (1.7) | 18.67±2.56 | | 19.76±3.34 | |

*The significant variables, Data were presented as n (%). The sample consisted of 430 students with mean age 16.82±0.89 years. SD=Standard deviation, BMI=Body mass index.
The mean score of students’ health literacy was at borderline level (58.44 ± 13.79). The highest mean health literacy score was associated with “understanding” subscale and the lowest was associated with “the appraisal” subscale [Table 2 and Figure 1]. Based on the results, 43% of the students had borderline health literacy, 27.2% had adequate health literacy, 26.7% had inadequate health literacy and only 1.3% had high health literacy.

The results showed no statistically significant correlation between BMI, health literacy and its subscales ($r = −0.08, P = 0.09$). One-way ANOVA showed a significant difference in health literacy based on BMI categories, so that participants with low health literacy have higher BMI ($F = 5.03, P = 0.02$).

Multiple regression models were tested to explore the predictive power of underlying variables of BMI such as age, school type, health status, and health literacy. The result of Table 3 indicates that school type and health status predict 37% of the variance of BMI ($R^2 = 37\%$) and the best predictor is health status ($β = 0.126, P < 0.001$). The other variables were not effective.

Discussion

Present results showed no statistically significant correlation between BMI and health literacy. However, a statistically significant difference was found in health literacy based on BMI categories, so that obese students had lower health literacy. In Liao’s et al. study, children with higher health literacy were less likely to be obese and overweight.[14] In the Cunha’s et al. study, participants with inadequate health literacy had a higher BMI and those with high health literacy had a lower BMI.[5] Another study showed a negative and poor correlation between the health literacy and BMI.[8] One study showed no significant correlation between the health literacy and BMI in the elderly.[26] The reason for this difference can be attributed to the characteristics of participants, such as different age groups. Furthermore, the study should refer to the specific circumstances of this
The mean of health literacy score among study participants

### Table 2: The mean of health literacy score and its subscales in participants (n=430)

| Subscales        | Minimum raw score | Maximum raw score | Minimum score | Maximum score | Means±SD |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| Self-efficacy    | 4                 | 20                | 0             | 100           | 56.69±17.19 |
| Access           | 5                 | 25                | 0             | 100           | 56.82±19.3  |
| Understanding    | 5                 | 25                | 7.5           | 100           | 66.85±18.13 |
| Appraisal        | 10                | 50                | 0             | 100           | 53.90±18.71 |
| Use              | 5                 | 25                | 0             | 100           | 54.07±21.96 |
| Communication    | 4                 | 20                | 6.25          | 100           | 57.76±17.56 |
| Numeracy         | 8                 | 40                | 0             | 100           | 59.73±40.71 |
| Reading          | 0                 | 3                 | 0             | 100           | 61.56±23.05 |
| Total            | 0                 | 100               | 12.29         | 94.53         | 58.44±13.79 |

SD=Standard deviation

### Table 3: Multiple regression analysis summary for underlying variables of body mass index with age, school type, health status, and health literacy in participants (n=430)

| Variable          | B     | SE   | β      | t     | P      | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | R² |
|-------------------|-------|------|--------|-------|--------|--------------|--------------|----|
| BMI               | 14.13 | 3.77 | 3.74   | 0.00  | 6.72   | 21.55        | 36%          | 1  |
| Health status     | 0.60  | 0.23 | 0.12   | 2.54  | 0.01   | 0.01         | 1.07         |    |
| School type       | 1.18  | 0.48 | 0.11   | 2.41  | 0.016  | 0.22         | 2.14         |    |
| Age               | 0.35  | 0.20 | 0.08   | 1.71  | 0.088  | -0.05        | 0.76         |    |
| Health literacy   | -0.04 | 0.06 | -0.03  | -0.73 | 0.465  | 0.18         | 1.10         |    |

CI=Confidence interval, BMI=Body mass index, SE=Standard error

Figure 1: The mean of health literacy score among study participants

age group as the students were preparing to participate in the entrance exam, which is very important for both students and their parents. They were also stressful and had the least mobility. It should be noted that obesity and health literacy are affected by various factors which we did not address in this study. Further studies with larger sample sizes are recommended.

In the present study, BMI of most students was normal (57.4%). The results showed that the mean BMI of the third grade girls in nongovernmental high schools with very poor health status was more than other variables. Students in nongovernmental schools may have better economic status and nutrition than those in governmental schools. A poor significant relationship was found between age and BMI. Based on the results of various studies, the prevalence of overweight and obesity in adolescents has been reported differently in Iran and other countries. In the Liao’s et al. study in Taiwan, 40% of the participants were overweight and obese.[14] According to Lam and Yang in China, 8.2% of the Chinese samples,[25] and according to Lima et al. 15.3% of the students suffered from obesity or overweight.[27] These different results may be due to lifestyle such as the type of nutrition, physical activity, and cultural economic context.

Present results showed that the health literacy of students was at borderline level and 26.7% had inadequate literacy. In Iran, several studies[16,24,28] reported similar results. The mean health literacy has been reported differently in the results of several studies. For example, Linnebur and Linnebur assessed the American adolescent health literacy using the newest vital sign questionnaire, and found that 12.6% of the students had inadequate health literacy.[29] Lam and Yang in China used Short Of Function Health Literacy Adult, and showed that 9.1% of students had low health literacy.[25] We did not find a study comparing health literacy in Iran with other countries, but differences in the results can be attributed to the use of different data collection tools, the number of different study samples, as well as different age groups, social and cultural status of the participants.

The results showed a very poor negative correlation between health literacy and age and age is the best predictor of health literacy. In addition, the first grade girls in the experimental filed had higher mean health literacy than other groups. The higher health literacy of girls in the experimental filed may be due to specific courses such as biology and health lessons. In addition, a significant difference was found in health literacy based on interest in health topics and health assessment. Uysal et al. showed health education were significantly associated with the health literacy level.[30] The results of previous studies have reported differences in health literacy based on demographic variables. Cunha et al. showed the health literacy in men was higher than that of women.[5] Linnebur and Linnebur did not show any difference in the health literacy between girls and boys.[29] Such discrepancy in the results may be due
to the different socio-cultural conditions and levels of education of participants in various studies.

Limitations
There were several limitations in this study. The cross-sectional nature of this study did not allow cause and effect relationship between BMI, health literacy, and other variables. Generalization of results to type of school is difficult because most participants were studying in government schools in the present study, we examined the relationship between BMI and health literacy in high school students and did not have access to other adolescents who had left school. Self-reporting and lack of similar studies were other limitations of this study. Due to various factors affecting the BMI and health literacy of school students, further national and international studies are needed to identify cultural and social differences.

Conclusions
This study showed that no statistically significant correlation between BMI and health literacy. Due to the fact that several factors can affect the BMI and health literacy of high school students, so future studies in this regard are needed. Furthermore, students’ health literacy was at the borderline level and their BMI was normal. Therefore, health educational interventions seem necessary to improve health literacy and prevent the increase of BMI in adolescents.
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