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ABSTRACT

Background: This systematic review and meta-analysis examined the prevalence of depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and posttraumatic stress symptoms among children and adolescents during global COVID-19 pandemic in 2019 to 2020, and the potential modifying effects of age and gender.

Methods: A literature search was conducted in PubMed, Web of Science, PsycINFO, and two Chinese academic databases (China National Knowledge Infrastructure and Wanfang) for studies published from December 2019 to September 2020 that reported the prevalence of above mental health problems among children and adolescents. Random-effects meta-analyses were used to estimate the pooled prevalence.

Results: Twenty-three studies (21 cross-sectional studies and 2 longitudinal studies) from two countries (i.e., China and Turkey) with 57,927 children and adolescents were included. Depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and posttraumatic stress symptoms were assessed in 12, 13, 2, and 2 studies, respectively. Meta-analysis of results from these studies showed that the pooled prevalence of depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and posttraumatic stress symptoms were 29% (95%CI: 17%, 40%), 26% (95%CI: 16%, 35%), 44% (95%CI: 21%, 68%), and 48% (95%CI: -0.25, 1.21), respectively. The subgroup meta-analysis revealed that adolescents and females exhibited higher prevalence of depression and anxiety compared to children and males, respectively.

Limitations: All studies in meta-analysis were from China limited the generalizability of our findings.

Conclusions: Early evidence highlights the high prevalence of mental health problems among children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic, especially among female and adolescents. Studies investigating the mental health of children and adolescents from countries other than China are urgently needed.

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 (Coronavirus Disease 2019) pandemic has affected the mental health (e.g., depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and posttraumatic stress symptoms) of children and adolescents (Golberstein et al., 2020). As of April 8, 2020, schools have been suspended nationwide in 188 countries (Lee, 2020). Prolonged school closures, strict social isolation from peers, teachers, extended family, and community networks, economic shutdown, and the pandemic itself have contributed to the mental health problems of children and adolescents (Holmes et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020). While some children may benefit from increased interaction with parents and siblings, many have experienced elevated levels of emotional distress (Sprang and Silman, 2013; Xie et al., 2020). Being confined to home leads to disturbances in sleep/wake cycles and physical exercise routines, and promotes excessive use of technology (Xie et al., 2020). The pandemic may increase family financial stressors and parental unemployment, which were associated with short- and long-term consequences on child mental...
health (Costello et al., 2003). There is also an increased risk of seeing or experiencing domestic violence and emotional, physical and/or sexual abuse (Costello et al., 2003). It is assumed that relaxing lockdown restrictions and returning to school might improve the mental health status of children as the economy and social practices begin to normalize globally (Tan et al., 2020). Understanding the psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescents would provide a theoretical basis for designing interventions, planning resources, and promulgating policies necessary to protect young people from such occurrences in future (Pappa et al., 2020).

Several original studies have found high levels of mental health problems among children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic (Dunn et al., 2020; Finar Senkalj et al., 2020; Türköglü et al., 2020). However, to the best of our knowledge to date, no systematic review to synthesize the impact of the pandemic on their mental health has been performed. While there are some systematic reviews on the psychological impacts of COVID-19 on patients and healthcare workers (Pappa et al., 2020; Luo et al., 2020; Rogers et al., 2020), evidence in children and adolescents is lacking.

The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to examine the emerging evidence of the effects of the COVID-19 outbreak on the mental health of children and adolescents aged 18 years and under. In particular, we aimed to examine the prevalence of depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and posttraumatic stress symptoms among uninfected/not known to be infected children and adolescents during the active phase of the pandemic during 2019 to 2020. The potential modifying effects of age and gender on the prevalence were also examined.

2. Methods and materials

This study was developed and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (Moher et al., 2009) and other standards (Johnson and Hennessy, 2019). The study protocol was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews, PROSPERO (registration no: CRD42020205166).

3. Literature search and study selection

A systematic search was performed in three English electronic bibliographic databases: PubMed, PsycINFO, and Web of Science, and two Chinese academic databases: China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and Wanfang. The following search terms were used: ("Novel coronavirus" OR "SARS-COV-2" OR "COVID-19" OR "2019-nCov") AND ("depression" OR "anxiety" OR "sleep" OR "posttraumatic stress symptoms", “mental health” OR “psychological” OR “psychiatry” OR “insomnia”). The specific search algorithm is provided in Supplemental Table 1. Studies reported the prevalence of self-reported mental health problems and symptoms were included. Two authors independently searched the same database with these search terms to ensure that none of the relevant studies was missed.

Titles and abstracts of the articles identified were screened against the study selection criteria by two independent reviewers. Potentially relevant articles were retrieved for an evaluation of the full text. Inter-rater agreement was assessed using the Cohen’s kappa (κ=0.64). Disagreements were reviewed and resolved through discussion with third author to resolve persistent inconsistencies.

This search strategy was further supplemented with hand searching of reference lists of included articles and through tracking the citations of eligible references in Google Scholar. Articles identified from the reference lists were further screened and evaluated by using the same criteria. Reference searches were repeated on all newly identified articles until no additional relevant articles were found.

3.1. Study selection criteria

Studies were included if they: (a) evaluated the prevalence of depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and posttraumatic stress symptoms using validated assessment method among children and adolescents aged 18 years and under; (b) were written in English or Chinese; (c) were carried out between December 2019 to September 2020; and (d) were cross-sectional or longitudinal studies. When there were studies involving the same participants, only the most comprehensive or recent publication was included.

Studies were excluded if they: (a) were qualitative studies, case reports, editorials, protocols, meta-analysis, or reviews, (b) computer-based simulation studies with no human participants, c) included participants with COVID-19 infected, d) studies that did not provide data on the levels of the outcomes of interest, or e) studies focused on the prevalence of suicidal behaviours, suicidal ideations and attempts among children and adolescents during COVID-19 pandemic.

3.2. Data extraction and preparation

A standardized data extraction form was developed to extract the following data from each article: author, study design, country, survey years, average age of participants, sample size (percentage of male participants), sampling strategy, mental health problems, diagnostic or screening instrument used, specific diagnostic criteria or screening instrument cutoff, and reported prevalence estimates of mental health problems. The data were extracted independently by two independent reviewers, and disagreements were reviewed and resolved through discussion with third reviewer to resolve persistent inconsistencies.

3.3. Study quality assessment

Two authors independently assessed the quality of the articles using the U.S. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute’s Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies. (Study Quality Assessment Tools, 2021) The assessment tool rates each study based on 14 criteria. For each criterion, a score of one was assigned if “yes” was the response, whereas a score of zero was assigned otherwise (i.e., an answer of “no,” “not applicable,” “not reported,” or “cannot determine”). Overall quality was rated based on the total score of the scale: “7 ≤ total score” = good, “4<total score<6” = fair, “total score<4” = poor. The risk of bias of each study decreased with the increase in the total score.

3.4. Statistical analysis

Prevalence estimates of mental health problems were calculated by pooling the study-specific estimates using random-effects (using the DerSimonian-Laird method) meta-analyses that accounted for between-study heterogeneity (Borenstein et al., 2010). When studies reported point prevalence estimates made at different periods within the year, the overall period prevalence was used.

Study heterogeneity was assessed using the $I^2$ index and Tau-squared ($T^2$). The level of heterogeneity represented by $I^2$ was interpreted as modest ($I^2\leq25%$), moderate ($25%<I^2\leq50%$), substantial ($50%<I^2\leq75%$), or considerable ($T^2>75%$). Sensitivity analyses was performed by serially excluding each study to determine the influence of individual studies on the overall prevalence estimates.

Results from studies grouped according to prespecified study-level characteristics were compared using stratified meta-analysis (gender, diagnostic criteria or screening instrument, region, and country).

Publication bias was assessed by a visual inspection of contour-enhanced funnel plots and Egger’s regression tests. All statistical analyses were conducted in STATA with specific commands (e.g., Metan and Metareg) (Version 14.0; Stata Corp., College Station, Texas, U.S.). All analyses used two-sided tests, and $p$-value $<0.05$ was considered
### Table 1
Characteristics of the 23 studies included in the review

| Author/Survey time (Year, month) | Study design | Country | Age, years (mean±SD or range) | Participant type a | Sample size (Boys, %) | Participant type | Sample size (Boys, %) | Assessment method & cutoff score | Mental health problems (n, %/M±SD) | Sleep disorders | Posttraumatic stress symptoms |
|---------------------------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 1.Türköglu S/2020, May (Türköglu et al., 2020) | Cross-sectional | Turkey | Mean:7.89/4-17 | Autism Spectrum Disorder | 46(82.6%) | AuBC, CSHQ (>41); Diagnosed by health providers | NR | NR | NR | Total CSHQ scores increased from 47.82 ± 7.13 to 50.80 ± 8.15 | NR | NR |
| 2.Senkalfa BP/2020, April (Pınar Senkalfa et al., 2020) | Cross-sectional | Turkey | Cystic Fibrosis group 0-18 Control group 0-18 | Cystic Fibrosis | 1036 (51.0%) | STA; Diagnosed by health providers | NR | Children aged 13–18 years in the control group:29.0 (27.8–32.3); Age-matched children with Cystic Fibrosis 41.5 (35.5–46.3) | NR | NR |
| 3.Chen F/2020, April (Chen et al., 2020) | Cross-sectional | China | 6-15 Children:6-12 Adolescents:13-15 | General | 543 (49.0%) | General | 122(11.8%) 196(18.9%) | DASS-21; Self-reported by participants | Mean:1.22 95% CI: (1.19,1.25) | NR | NR |
| 4.Chen IH/2019, October-2020, March Chen et al., 2020 | Longitudinal | China | 10.88±0.72 | General | 543 (49.0%) | General | 122(11.8%) 196(18.9%) | DASS-21; Self-reported by participants | Mean:1.22 95% CI: (1.19,1.25) | NR | NR |
| 5. Qi M/2020, March Qi et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China | Adolescents:14-18 | General | 7202 (46.4%) | General | 3207(44.5%) 2736(38.0%) | PHQ-9 (>5), GAD-7 (>5); Self-reported by participants | 2376(38.0%) | NR | NR |
| 6.Zhou SJ/2020, March Zhou et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China | Adolescents:12-18 | General | 8079 (46.5%) | General | 3533(43.7%) 3020(37.4%) | PHQ-9 (>5), GAD-7 (>5); Self-reported by participants | 3020(37.4%) | NR | NR |
| 7.Xie X/2020, February-2020, March Xie et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China | NR | General | 1784 (56.7%) | General | 403(22.6%) 337(18.9%) | CDL-S (>7), SCARED (>23); Self-reported by participants | 337(18.9%) | NR | NR |
| 8.Zhu KH/2020, February-2020, March Zhu et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China | NR | General | 1264(55.9%) | General | NR | 234(18.5 %) | SCARED (>23); Self-reported by participants | NR | NR |
| 9.Lin L/2020, February Lin et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China | NR | General | 76(NR) | General | NR | 24(31.6%) | ISI(>10), PHQ-9 (>10), GAD-7 (>10), ASDS (>28); Self-reported by participants | 24(31.6%) | NR | NR |
| 10.Liu Z/2020, February Vindegaard and Bonnec, 2020 | Longitudinal | China | Children: 4-6 | General | 1619 (48.9%) | General | NR | NR | CSHQ (>41); Reported by caregivers of participants | 900(55.6%) | NR | NR |
| 11.Qi H/2020, February Qi et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China | Adolescents:11-20 | General | 9554(NR) | General | 1814(19.0%) | GAD-7 (>5); Self-reported by participants | NR | NR | NR |
| 12.Zhou J/2020, February Zhou et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China | Adolescents:11-18 | General | 4805 (0.0%) | General | 1899(39.5%) | CES-D (>16); Self-reported by participants | NR | NR | NR |
| 13.Li SW/2020, February Li et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China | 12.8±2.61/8-18 | General | 396 (50.3%) | General | 87(22.0%) | SCARED(<25); Self-reported by participants | NR | NR | NR |

(continued on next page)
Table 1 (continued)

| Author/Survey time (Year, month) | Study design     | Country | Age, years (mean±SD or range) | Sample size (Boys, %) | Participant type b | Assessment method & cutoff score | Mental health problems (n, %/M±SD) | Sleep disorders | Posttraumatic stress symptoms |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 14. Mo DM/2020, February Mo et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | 7-16 Children:7-12 Adolescents:13-16 | 5392 (54.5%) | | SCARED(≥23); Self-reported by participants | Mental health problems (n, %/M±SD) | Depression | Anxiety | Sleep disorders | Posttraumatic stress symptoms |
| 15. Tang S/2020, February Tang and Pang, 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | 6-10 primary school students and 233 junior high school students: NR | 873 (52.3%) | General | SAS(standard score ≥50); CDI(>19); Self-reported by participants | Children: 41 (6.4%); Adolescents: 61 (26.2%) | NR | NR |
| 16. Wang Y/2020, February Wang et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | 7-16 Children:7-12 Adolescents:13-16 | 396 (50.9%) | General | DRS(≥15); Self-reported by participants | Children: 19(3.0%); Adolescents:46(19.7%) | NR | NR |
| 17. Yu QX/2020, February Yu et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | NR | 2074 (52.4%) | General | Psychological Questionnaire for Sudden Public Health Events (each factor score ≥2); Self-reported by participants | Children: 53(2.6%); Adolescents:13(0.6%) | NR | NR |
| 18. Zhang Y/2020, February Zhang et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | NR | 4225 (47.4%) | General | PCL-C(≥39); Self-reported by participants | NR | NR | 448(10.6%) |
| 19. Liu X/2020, January-2020, February Liu et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | NR | 34(NR) | General | STAI, SDS (≥50); Self-reported by participants | NR | NR | NR |
| 20. Hou TY/2020, NR Hou et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | NR | 859 (61.4%) | General | PHQ-9 (≥10); GAD-7 (≥8); IES-R(≥26); Self-reported by participants | 614(71.5%) | 468(54.5%) | 735(85.5%) |
| 21. Li D/2020, NR Duan et al., 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | 7-18 Children:7-12 Adolescents:13-18 | 3613 (50.2%) | General | SCAS, CDI(≥19); Self-reported by participants | Children: 23.87 ± 15.79 Adolescents:29.27 ± 19.79 | NR | NR |
| 22. Tang L/2020, NR Tang and Ying, 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | 14.01±1.56 | 3512 (49.1%) | General | MMHI-60(each factor score ≥2); Self-reported by participants | 924(26.3%) | 1047(29.8%) | NR |
| 23. Wang NX/2020, NR Wang and Xu, 2020 | Cross-sectional | China   | NR | 410 (31.5%) | General | GAD-7(≥5); Self-reported by participants | NR | 197(48.0%) | NR |

NR: Not reported.

DSRS-C: Depression Self-Rating Scale for Children; SCARED: Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders; DASS-21: Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scale 21; PHQ-9: 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire; GAD-7: 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale; IES-R: Impact of Events Scale - Revised; SCAS: Spence Child Anxiety Scale; STAI: State and Trait Anxiety Inventory; SDS: Self-rating Depression Scale; CSHQ: Children’s Sleep Habit Questionnaire; SCL-90: Symptom Checklist-90; AuBC: Autism, Behavior Checklist; CDS: Children’s Depression Inventory-Short Form; ISI: Insomnia Severity Index; CES-D: Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; SAS: Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; DSRS: Depression Self-rating Scale for Children; PCL-C: The PTSD Checklist-CivilianVersion; MMHI-60: Mental Health Inventory of Middle-school students.
Table 2
Survey and sampling method of the 23 studies included in the review.

| Author/Survey time (Year, month) | Survey method | Sampling method | Nonprobability sampling |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1. Türkoğlu S/ 2020, May        | Teleconference survey | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 2. Senskalı B/ 2020, April       | Teleconference survey | Control group: Purposive sampling |                      |
| 3. Chen F/2020, April            | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 4. Chen L/2019, October-2020, March | Online survey | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 5. Qi M/2020, March              | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 6. Zhou S/2020, March            | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 7. Xie X/ 2020, February-2020, March | Online survey | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 8. Zhu KH/ 2020, February-2020, March | Online survey | Random cluster sampling |                   |
| 9. Lin L/2020, February          | Online survey   | Snowball sampling |                       |
| 10. Liu Z/2020, February         | Online survey   | Convenient sampling |                      |
| 11. Qi H/2020, February          | Online survey   | Snowball sampling |                       |
| 12. Zhou J/2020, February        | Online survey   | Snowball sampling |                       |
| 13. Li SW/ 2020, February        | Online survey   | Snowball sampling |                       |
| 14. Mo DM/ 2020, February        | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 15. Tang S/ 2020, February       | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 16. Wang Y/ 2020, February       | Online survey   | Snowball sampling |                       |
| 17. Yu QX/ 2020, February        | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 18. Zhao Y/ 2020, February       | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 19. Liu X/ 2020, January-2020, February | Online survey | Snowball sampling |                   |
| 20. Hou T/ 2020, NR              | NR             | Random cluster sampling |               |
| 21. Li D/ 2020, NR               | Online survey   | Convenient sampling |                       |
| 22. Tang L/ 2020, NR             | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |
| 23. Wang NO/ 2020, NR            | Online survey   | Purposive sampling |                       |

NR: Nor reported.

4. Results
4.1. Characteristics of included studies

A total of 23 studies were included in the systematic review, the characteristics of which are summarized in Table 1. These studies were published predominantly from February to May 2020 with one longitudinal study including data from October 2019. The vast majority of studies were from China (21 studies), with the remaining studies from Turkey (2 studies). The sample size of these studies varied greatly, ranging from 46 to 9,554 participants.

Two of the studies used teleconference survey, the others used online survey. Two of the studies used random cluster sampling, the others used purposive sampling, snowball sampling, and convenient sampling (Table 2).

The study design and populations were diverse. There were 21 cross-sectional studies and 2 longitudinal studies. The majority of studies were carried out in healthy populations (21 studies), in a population with cystic fibrosis (1 study) and autism spectrum disorder (1 study). Some studies included adult participants in which case only data from child/adolescent participants was used in these analyses and participants’ ages ranged from 0 to 18 years.

Of particular note is the diversity of mental health-related scales used among these studies which included. A brief description of each mental health scale follows (in order of descending frequency):

- 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7) (6 studies): a self-report screening tool for generalized anxiety symptoms in the primary care setting consisting of 7 questions and validated in adolescents (Mossman et al., 2017);
- Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED) (5 studies): a self-report instrument for children and their parents that screens for several types of anxiety disorders including generalized anxiety disorder, separation anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder (Monga et al., 2000);
- 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (4 studies): a self-questionnaire consisting of nine items that assess the presence and severity of depressive symptoms based on the DSM-IV criteria for major depressive disorder (MDD) (Richardson et al., 2010);
- Depression Self-Rating Scale for Children (DSRS-C) (4 studies) is widely used to measure children’s depressive symptoms and consists of 18 items (Ivarsson et al., 1994);
- Children’s Depression Inventory (including short form) (CDI-S) (3 studies): a self-report scale consisting of 27-items which evaluates the severity of depression in children and adolescents (Allgaier et al., 2012);
- State and Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (2 studies): assesses state and trait anxiety in children for the determination of anxiety disorder and contains two scales of 20 items each (Nunn, 1988);
- Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS) (2 studies): is used to assess depressive syndrome and is validated in Chinese urban children (Su et al., 2003);
- Children’s Sleep Habit Questionnaire (CSHQ) (2 studies): a parent administered survey to assess children’s sleep problems and consists of as 48 items divided into 5 scales focusing on different aspects of sleep behaviour (Tan et al., 2018);
- Autism, Behavior Checklist (AuBC) (1 study): designed for the identification of children suspected of having autism and consisting of a list of atypical behaviors characteristic of the pathology (Sevin et al., 1991);
- Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) (1 study): screens for depressive disorders in population-based samples and is based on a multidimensional approach to measuring depression in children and adolescents aged 6 and 17 years (Li et al., 2010);
**4.2. Prevalence of mental health problems among children and adolescents**

### 4.2.1. Depression

12 studies provided data on the prevalence of depression among children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic. Meta-analysis of the results from these studies showed that the pooled prevalence of depression among children was 29% (95% CI: 17%, 40%) with a pooled heterogeneity of 99.9% ($p < 0.001$). The prevalence of depression reported in individual study ranges from 10% to 71% (Fig. 2).

Sub-group analysis by age indicated that the prevalence of depression in adolescents age 13-18 years (34.4%, 95% CI: 18.2%, 50.7%; $p < 0.001$) was higher than that of children age $\leq 12$ years (11.8%, 95% CI: 1.3%, 22.3%, $p = 0.028$). Sub-group analysis by gender showed that the prevalence of depression in females (33.9%, 95% CI: 24.6%, 43.1%, $p < 0.001$) was higher than that in males (28.9%, 95% CI: 14.1%, 43.7%, $p < 0.001$) (Table 3).

### 4.2.2. Anxiety

A total of 13 studies provided data on the prevalence of anxiety among children and adolescents during the pandemic. Meta-analysis of the results from these studies showed that the pooled prevalence of anxiety among children and adolescents was 26% (95% CI: 16%, 35%) with a pooled heterogeneity of 99.9% ($p < 0.001$). The prevalence of anxiety reported in individual study ranges from 7% to 55% (Fig. 3).

Sub-group analysis by age indicated that the prevalence of anxiety in adolescents age 13-18 years (29.1%, 95% CI: 17.1%, 41.1%, $p < 0.001$) was higher than that in children age $\leq 12$ years (15.7%, 95% CI: 9.0%, 22.3%, $p < 0.001$). Sub-group analysis by gender showed that the prevalence of anxiety in females (27.4%, 95% CI: 20.3%, 34.6%, $p < 0.001$) was higher than that of males (22.3%, 95% CI: 14.2%, 30.4%, $p < 0.001$).
4.2.3. Sleep disorders

Only 2 studies provided data on the prevalence of sleep disorders among children and adolescents. Meta-analysis of the results of the two studies showed that the pooled prevalence of sleep disorders was 44% (95%CI: 21%, 68%) with a pooled heterogeneity of 94.8% (p<0.001). The prevalence of sleep disorders of the two studies were 32% to 56%, respectively (Fig. 4). Sub-group analyses by age and gender were not performed due to lack of data.

4.2.4. Posttraumatic stress symptoms

Only 2 studies provided data on the prevalence of post-traumatic stress symptoms among children and adolescents. In the pooled analysis, the prevalence of post-traumatic stress symptoms were not be statistically significant in children and adolescents (pooled prevalence 48% (95%CI: -0.25, 1.21, p=0.200) with a pooled heterogeneity of 100% (p<0.001) (Fig. 5). Sub-group analyses by age and gender were not performed due to lack of data.
Fig. 3. Meta-analysis of the pooled prevalence of anxiety among all children and adolescents (n=12)
Abbreviations: SCARED: Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders; GAD-7: 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale; SAS: Self-Rating Anxiety Scale; PQSPHE: Psychological Questionnaire for Sudden Public Health Events; MMHI-60: Mental Health Inventory of Middle-school students. Prevalence was calculated based on the random-effect models.

Fig. 4. Meta-analysis of the pooled prevalence of sleep disorders among all children and adolescents (n=2)
Abbreviations: ISI: Insomnia Severity Index; CSHQ: Children’s Sleep Habit Questionnaire. Prevalence was calculated based on the random-effect models.
not performed due to lack of data.

4.3. Results of sensitivity analysis and meta-regression analysis

Sensitivity analysis consistently showed that removing individual studies from the meta-analysis did not lead to any change in the prevalence of depression or anxiety. Because only two studies were included in meta-analyses of sleep disorders and posttraumatic stress symptoms, sensitivity analysis was not conducted (Supplemental Table 3).

Meta-regression analysis was performed on the prevalence of depression (12 studies) and anxiety (13 studies). Results indicated that neither age nor sample size were significant factors contributing to the heterogeneity of studies (Depression: \( \beta = -0.02, 95\% CIs -0.07 \text{ to } 0.03, p = 0.378 \); Anxiety: \( \beta = -0.01, 95\% CIs -0.01 \text{ to } 0.01, p = 0.288 \)). However, the questionnaire used for assessment of anxiety or depression did significantly contribute to heterogeneity of studies. In the analysis of depression prevalence, PHQ-9 and CES-D, and in the analysis of anxiety prevalence, SCARED, SAS and PQSPHE contributed to heterogeneity (Table 4).

4.4. Assessment of publication bias

The funnel plot and assessment of Egger’s and Begg’s tests did not reveal any significant publication bias in the prevalence of depression, anxiety, sleep disorders or post-traumatic stress symptoms (Supplemental Table 2, Supplemental Figure 1).

5. Discussion

A recent position paper in The Lancet Psychiatry identified the long-term consequences of COVID-19 for the younger generations are unknown and must be a priority (Holmes et al., 2020). This systematic review and meta-analyses of 23 studies and a total of 57,927 participants provides evidence that 28.6%, 25.5%, 44.2%, and 48.0% of children and adolescents experienced depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and post-traumatic stress symptoms, respectively, during the COVID-19 pandemic. All the studies included in meta-analysis were from China and conducted among general children and adolescents. The prevalence of depression and anxiety was higher among adolescents and females compared with children and males, respectively.

The prevalence of depression and sleep disorders in children and adolescents during the COVID-19 were higher than the respective rates 19.9% for depression (Rao et al., 2019) and 21.6% for sleep disorders (Xiao et al., 2019), reported for the children and adolescents prior to the pandemic in China. However, no data on the prevalence of anxiety and posttraumatic stress symptoms were found among children and adolescents prior to the pandemic in China, thus, no comparisons could be made. Social isolation, school closures, and socioeconomic effects of the policies (increasing unemployment, financial insecurity, and poverty) during the COVID-19 pandemic have been reported to contribute to the mental health problems among children and adolescents (Holmes et al., 2020; Lee, 2020). While there was some research on the psychological impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS) on patients and health-care workers, such evidence in children and adolescents is scarce (Lee, 2020). Therefore, no direct comparison of the prevalence of mental health problems with previous pandemics could be made. However, COVID-19 is much more widespread than SARS, MERS, and other previous epidemics. As the pandemic continues, monitoring young people’s mental health status over the long term and implementation of interventions and policies to support them are urgent and important.

Our study revealed that sleep disorders and posttraumatic stress symptoms were the most severe mental health problems among children and adolescents, and about half of them experienced these disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic. These findings indicate that the COVID-19 pandemic has a substantial impact on young people’s sleep. Many children and adolescents may be exposed to uncontrolled sleep schedules, prolonged screen exposure, and limited access to outdoor activities and peer interactions and these could have contributed to reported sleep disorders (Liu et al., 2020). Sleep disturbances are often a precursor to other more severe mental problems and it is necessary and urgent to disseminate sleep health education and sleep hygiene behavior interventions to children and adolescents (Lin et al., 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic is a traumatic event, and it is well known that surviving critical illness can induce posttraumatic stress symptoms (Vindegaard and Benros, 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic may be an independent factor that cause posttraumatic stress symptoms in children and adolescents. Children might also be exposed to greater interpersonal
However, no subgroup meta-analysis based on age and gender could be conducted for sleep disorders and posttraumatic stress symptoms, because there is no data available. Future such studies should take the potential modifying effects of age and gender into consideration.

No subgroup meta-analysis based on preexisting conditions and country could be conducted in this study. Only two studies included were conducted among children and adolescents with preexisting conditions (i.e., Autism Spectrum Disorder and Cystic Fibrosis). However, the two studies were not used for meta-analysis because they did not report the prevalence of mental health problems. All the studies included in our meta-analysis were from China. In our review, only two studies included were conducted in other countries (i.e., Turkey). However, the two studies from Turkey did not report the prevalence of mental health problems, thus they were not included in the meta-analysis. Though the fact that China was severely affected, our findings may provide a reliable indication of the effects of COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of children and adolescents globally. However, considering the severity of COVID-19, economic status, and healthcare systems vary greatly between countries, more such studies from other countries are warranted.

We found that the most frequently used scale to measure depression and anxiety were PHQ-9 (3 of 13) and GAD-7 (5 of 12), respectively. However, each of the two studies used a different scale to measure sleep disorders and posttraumatic stress symptoms. The PHQ-9 is a simple, widely used, and highly effective self-assessment tool for depressive symptoms during the last 2 weeks (Kroenke et al., 2001). GAD-7 measured seven anxiety symptoms that bothered participants during the last 2 weeks (Zhou et al., 2020). Both PHQ-9 and GAD-7 are widely used among children and adolescents. Using the same scale and cutoff point for specific mental health problems could be better for comparison across studies.

This study has several limitations. First, all the studies included in meta-analysis were conducted in China, thus, the generalizability of findings to other countries is limited. Moreover, most of the studies used online survey method and nonprobability sampling, which further limit its generalizability. Second, a variety of assessment scales were utilized to measure mental health problems and different cut-offs were used even though several studies used the same tests. Third, due to the limited number of studies, we could not explore the potential modifying effects of preexisting conditions and country on the prevalence of mental health problems. Fourth, only two studies focused on children age <6 years were included; thus, the mental health status of these children warrants further research.

To advance research in this area, future studies target children and adolescents are warranted to improve the following aspects. First, longitudinal studies to examine the long-term implications of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health are needed. Second, further studies to examine the prevalence of sleep disorders and posttraumatic stress symptoms are needed. Third, besides the general children and adolescents, more studies are needed to focus on children and adolescents with preexisting conditions, such as chronic diseases and psychiatric conditions. Fourth, studies from countries other than China are needed to provide insight on the global impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health.

Despite its limitations, this study is the first to examine the pooled prevalence of depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and posttraumatic stress symptoms among children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic. We conducted comprehensive literature search based on both English and Chinese databases, the findings have important clinical and public health implications. Furthermore, our subgroup analysis of depression and anxiety based on age and gender provided additional valuable insights of potential particular vulnerabilities.

In conclusion, our study highlighted the high prevalence of depression, anxiety, sleep disorders, and posttraumatic stress symptoms among children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic, in particular, among the females and adolescents. Further research is needed to identify strategies for preventing and treating these disorders in this...
population.
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