Early Root Development of *Eucalyptus pellita* F. Muell. Seedlings from Seed and Stem Cutting Propagation Methods at Nursery Stage
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Macropropagation using cutting for larger multiplying seedlings is cheaper and efficient instead of clonal seeds for uniform plant material seedling production. However, information on root growth of *Eucalyptus pellita* at early development from seed and stem cutting of *E. pellita* seedlings is still lacking. With such information, it is useful for forest plantation company management in enhancing the understanding of strategies to optimize yield production with the appropriate agronomic or silvicultural approach in the field of planting. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to compare the root development of two different types of propagation seedlings of *E. pellita* and to study the effect of various nitrogen concentration levels on two different types of propagation of *E. pellita* seedlings. The study was conducted using *E. pellita* seedlings from two different types of propagation, namely, seed and stem cuttings, along with three different nitrogen concentrations (0, 50, and 200 kg N ha⁻¹). Shoot biomass, root intensity (RI), total root intensity (TRI), root biomass, root length density (RLD), and specific root length (SRL) were recorded.

Dried shoot biomass, RLD, and SRL of *E. pellita* seedlings using stem cutting were significantly higher (P < 0.05) compared to seed, whereas there were no significant differences (P > 0.05) for root biomass, TRI, and RI between the propagation types of *E. pellita* seedlings. In conclusion, *E. pellita* seedlings from stem cutting were greater in terms of root distribution compared to propagation by seeds at the nursery stage, and 50 kg N ha⁻¹ was the optimal nitrogen concentration level from the considered levels to be applied to the *E. pellita* seedlings.

1. Introduction

Plantation forestry using *Eucalyptus* spp. in Sabah, Malaysia, started in the 1970s [1] as part of a forest conservation effort [2]. *Eucalyptus* is among the important fast-growing species that is typically managed on short rotation to sustain the production of timber, pulpwod, charcoal, and firewood [2, 3]. Sabah Softwood Berhad (SSB) is the first private forest plantation company in Sabah that pioneered using fast-growing timber species, where *E. deglupta* was initially introduced during the early plantation development [4]. However, it was unsuccessful and was later replaced with other superior species such as Acacias, due to poor growth performance [2] and foliar pathogens [5].

For nearly three decades, *Acacia mangium* and hybrids have been the primary species planted in Sabah, especially in some forest plantation companies such as Acacia Forest Industries Sdn Bhd (AFI), Sabah Forest Development Authority (SAFODA), and SSB. However, *A. mangium* and hybrids performance are affected mainly by serious fungi *Ceratocystis disease* [5, 6], wilt [5], and *Ganoderma philippii* [7], which have caused death to about 10 to 20% of the *Acacia* trees in plantations [8]. Therefore, *Eucalyptus pellita* is an alternative option for the fast-growing timber production industry. Since 2008, most forest plantation companies in Sabah and Sarawak have been involved in using *Eucalyptus* species in plantations [2].
**Eucalyptus pellita** F. Muell, or red mahogany, is a medium-to-large tree that can grow up to 40 m in height and over 1 m in diameter [9]. *E. pellita* is native to Papua New Guinea and northern Queensland, Australia [10–12]. It has good growth and a high survival rate because of its wider range of adaptability with sites and favourable stem form [13]. Currently, *E. pellita* plays an important role in reforestation in countries such as Brazil, Cuba, Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines [10]. Furthermore, *E. pellita* is used for a variety of products such as fine furniture [14], pulp production [15, 16], and high-quality writing and printing paper or tissue products [17–19].

In order to sustain the plant material supply with efficient and cost-effective means [20], macropropagation using cutting can be used instead of clonal seeds for uniform plant material seedling production. Cutting is the most widely used technique and is cheaper for larger multiplying seedlings of *Eucalyptus*, due to easier handling as compared to the micropropagation method [21].

However, although there exist many studies on *E. pellita*, there is a limited amount of information on root growth of *E. pellita* at early development from seed and stem cutting of *E. pellita* seedlings. This is probably due to the difficulty in investigation belowground and also due to methodological problems. With such information, it is useful for forest plantation company management in enhancing the understanding of strategies to optimize yield production with the appropriate agronomic or silvicultural approach. In this present study, we used two different propagation types of planting material sources from seed and stem cutting of *E. pellita* and studied their root traits at three different nitrogen concentrations. On this basis, the objectives of this study were formulated as follows: (i) to compare the root development of two different types of propagation seedlings of *E. pellita* and (ii) to study the effect of different nitrogen concentrations on two different types of propagation of *E. pellita* seedlings. We hypothesized that, both above and belowground, *E. pellita* seedlings from stem cutting were greater than seedlings from seed propagation.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Experiment Description

This study was conducted from the 12th of April to the 30th of August 2019 at a greenhouse at the Faculty of Tropical Forestry, Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS), Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia (6°02′ 08.4″N 116°07′ 34.4″E). According to the Malaysia Meteorological Department 2020 (http://www.met.gov.my), the temperature was in the range of 30 to 32°C, while rainfall distribution was in the range of 111.76 mm (April) to 304.80 mm (June), throughout the study period. A transparent plastic pot 20 cm in height × 140 mm in inner diameter, which had a total volume of 3,079 cm³, was used as the medium pot. The bottom of the container was created with small holes to facilitate the flow of water and air and was covered with a fine net. Each pot had four sides for grid lines, which were marked as sides A, B, C, and D using a red permanent marker. The grid size was 20 × 20 mm, and the total grid length for each side was 1.42 m (Figure 1). This involved repeatedly counting the number of intersections of the roots along the grid lines. During the experiment, the pot was always covered using nontransparent plastic to avoid light exposure of the soil and roots and was opened only during the measurement process. Topsoil was taken from Tamparuli district, Sabah (30 km from the UMS campus). The soil properties were light grey (10YR 7/1) to grey (10YR 6/1, 5YR 6/1) to light brownish grey (2.5Y 6/2) with or without common yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) or red (10R 4/8) mottles; loamy sand to clay; pH 4.5–5.8; and cation exchangeable capacity (CEC) very friable between 2 and 20 cmol kg⁻¹ [22]. The soil was air-dried for seven days in a greenhouse, sieved using a 2.0 mm soil mesh, and filled into a pot. The moisture content of the soil sample before the experiment was 15.8%. After that, the soil in the pot was washed with 5 L of water under low water pressure, to ensure all the nutrients in the soil were empty or low and homogenized.

In this experiment, seedlings of four-week-old *E. pellita* propagated from seeds, and stem cutting was supplied from Acacia Forest Industries Sdn. Bhd. (AFI). Stem cutting was produced from their superior mother clonal plants. The tip was selected for cutting; the rooting duration was four weeks in a greenhouse, prior to the experiment. The seedlings were then transferred to the pot that was filled with the topsoil. The 36 *E. pellita* seedlings from seeds and 36 *E. pellita* seedlings from stem cutting, accounting for a total of 72 experimental units, including three replications (12 replicates for each fertilizer treatment), were arranged using a complete randomized design (CRD). A liquid nitrogen fertilizer (AG Leader 954) was diluted and corresponded to the three different rates of 0 (control), 50 N kg⁻¹ ha⁻¹ (0.16 ml of N pot⁻¹, which is 153.9 m² of soil surface of each pot), and 200 N kg⁻¹ ha⁻¹ (0.62 ml of N pot⁻¹). No watering was done as the experiment was exposed to natural conditions.

### 2.2. Data Collection

In this experiment, dried shoot biomass, root biomass, root intensity (RI), total root intensity (TRI), root length density (RLD), and specific root length (SRL) were recorded [23]. RI data was collected based on the method by Thorup-Kristensen [24]. RI was measured by counting the number of roots crossing the lines of 20 × 20 mm grid squares placed on the container surface view sides (Figure 1). RI data was recorded every week, starting from when the roots started to appear on the surface of the transparent pot until the roots reach the bottom of the pot.

Three different sampling dates were carried out 4, 6, and 8 weeks after transplanting (WAT). Figure 2 presents the samples of *E. pellita* from both seed and stem cutting at second harvest at different fertilizer treatments. Each sampling involved the harvesting of 12 experimental units, or four (4) replicates for each fertilizer treatment from both planting materials. Aboveground biomass was cut from the ground topsoil, washed, and placed in a labelled heat-resistant plastic bag. It was then kept in an oven at 70°C for 48 hours, before being weighed. For root parameters, roots biomass was washed out from the soil and organic matter using a sieve 2.0 mm mesh under low-pressure water. It was...
then stored in 50% ethanol in a 50 ml Eppendorf tube at 5°C, before root image analysis. RLD (cm cm$^{-3}$) was determined using an EPSON® scanner and Winrhizo® software and expressed in cm cm$^{-3}$ [23] (Figure 3). After RLD measurement, SRL (cm g$^{-1}$) was measured afterwards, whereby the length of a subsample was measured, and then divided by its mass (g), before being converted to actual root biomass.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. All the mean values were subject to statistical analysis using the Statistical Package Social Science (IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0). An independent sampled $t$-test was used to compare the TRI, RLD, SRL, root biomass, and shoot biomass between two types of plant material *E. pellita* seedlings at various nitrogen concentrations for all sampling dates. Subsequently, a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD’s post hoc analysis was used for RI at different nitrogen concentrations for both seed and stem cutting seedlings. In assessing the differences between the results, tests with $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. Prior to statistical analyses, all data were tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk Normality test and for homogeneity using Levene’s test.

3. Results

3.1. Dried Shoot Biomass for *E. pellita*. Dried shoot biomass was harvested three times, four, six, and eight weeks after transplanting (4, 6, 8 WAT), as indicated in Figure 4. It is clear that there was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) of dried shoot biomass of *E. pellita* seedlings between seedling and stem cutting, especially at 6 WAT. At 4 WAT, there was no significant difference between seed and stem cutting for both 0 and 50 kg N ha$^{-1}$, but the shoot biomass of stem cutting was nearly double the seed under 200 kg N ha$^{-1}$. In contrast, at 6 WAT, all the treatments showed a significant difference ($P < 0.05$), where 50% of stem cutting was higher.
than seed. However, there was no significant difference between seed and stem cutting for all treatments at 8 WAT.

3.2. Root Biomass of *E. pellita*. There was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the seedling and stem cutting of *E. pellita* for all treatments in 4 WAT (Figure 5). However, in 6 WAT, only for 50 kg N ha$^{-1}$, the seed propagation of *E. pellita* showed a significant difference ($P < 0.05$), as compared to stem cutting. Interestingly, without fertilizer, the root biomass of *E. pellita* seeds was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$), as compared to stem cutting.

3.3. Total Root Intensity of *E. pellita* from Seed and Stem Cutting. In comparison, the total root intensity (TRI) of *E. pellita* stem cutting was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) compared to seed propagation for all measurement dates (Figure 6). Despite the large variations observed in stem cutting treatment, the TRI remained to be nearly double that of seed cutting, especially at 6 and 8 WAT.

3.4. Root Intensity of *E. pellita* at Different Nitrogen Concentrations. Figure 7 shows a comparison of root intensity (RI) of *E. pellita* from seed propagation (Figure 7(a)) and stem cutting (Figure 7(b)), at various measurement dates and nitrogen concentrations. According to the findings, there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) for treatments and types of plant material for each measurement date. However, despite the large variations of RI, *E. pellita* stem cutting was clearly higher and increased with the measurement dates, as compared to seed propagation (Figure 7(b)).

3.5. Root Length Density of *E. pellita*. Figure 8 shows the root length density (RLD) of *E. pellita*, both from seed and stem cutting, taken at three independent harvest times. Based on
the results, stem cutting of *E. pellita* was significantly higher at 200 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) than at the control and at 50 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). At 6 WAT, all RLDs of stem cutting of *E. pellita* were significantly higher (*P* < 0.05) compared to seed propagation, for all N concentrations. However, the RLD of stem cutting of *E. pellita* was significantly higher compared to seedling under the control and high N concentrations, on the final measurement date. It was also found that the RLD of *E. pellita* for both seed and stem cutting under fertilizer treatment decreased with the measurement dates.

3.6. Specific Root Length (SRL) of *E. pellita*. The specific root length (SRL) of *E. pellita* was significantly higher (*P* < 0.05) for all treatments and measurement dates (Figure 9). At 4 WAT, SRL of stem cutting was significantly higher (*P* < 0.05) compared to seed propagation, almost threefold, especially at high N concentrations. Similar findings were also found at 6 WAT, which was almost 50% higher (*P* < 0.05) than seed propagation for all fertilizer treatments. However, at 8 WAT, SRL was found to be significantly higher for approximately 50% of stem cutting, as compared to seed
Figure 7: Root intensity (intersections m\(^{-1}\) gridline) of *E. pellita* seedlings from seeds and stem cutting at different N concentrations (0, 50, and 200 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) at three selected dates of root measurement (4, 6, and 8 weeks after transplanting, WAT). The mean values were tested using ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD’s post hoc Test. The mean values were not significantly different between the different N concentrations for each date (\(P > 0.05\)). Bars represent standard deviations of the mean, \(n = 12\) (4 WAT), \(n = 8\) (6 WAT), and \(n = 4\) (8 WAT).

Figure 8: Root length density (cm cm\(^{-3}\)) of *E. pellita* seedlings from seeds and stem cutting plant material at different nitrogen concentrations (0, 50, and 200 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) at three selected dates of root measurement—4 weeks after transplanting, WAT, 6 WAT, and 8 WAT. The mean values were tested using independent samples t-test between seed and stem cutting propagation for each fertilizer treatment and date. All mean values were significantly different \(* (P < 0.05)\). Error bars denote standard deviations of the mean (\(n = 4\)).
propagation, at zero and 50 kg N ha$^{-1}$. No difference in SRL was found between stem cutting and the seed of *E. pellita* at 200 kg N ha$^{-1}$.

### 4. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that the shoot biomass of stem cutting of *E. pellita* seedlings was greater compared to seedlings from seed propagation. The shoot biomass is connected with root distribution in the soil, especially the fine roots from stem cutting. The larger the fine root density, the more water and nutrients are taken up, expressed by high shoot biomass. This is confirmed by Rostamza et al. [25], who reported that greater root length in millet is connected to an increased shoot biomass. Besides that, biomass is also influenced by species and specific silviculture such as irrigation [26], fertilization, and water availability [27].

In root biomass, most of the mean values did not differ for seed and stem cutting. However, Coleman et al. [28] reported that root biomass tends to increase with fertilizer rate, but the proportion of root biomass tends to decrease with more fertilizer application. This argument is associated with the current findings, especially for higher nitrogen concentrations, showing that there is no increase in fertilization rate. Another explanation of the results is that seedlings from seed were higher compared to stem cutting and had no significant difference because seed produces tap root and high root mass, while stem cutting produces fibrous and fine roots.

The distribution of RI was higher in stem cutting compared to seed, although there was no significant difference. This shows that there are more fine roots in stem cutting than in seed propagation, which increased with the measurement date (Figure 7). The findings of this study are in agreement with prior work that has proven that more root distribution, especially for fine roots, is closely associated with soil water and nutrients [29–31]. This explains the presence of more fine roots under stem cutting on the soil.

Despite stem cutting being higher compared to seedling, especially in shoot biomass, in SRL and RLD, these growth parameters were not affected by different nitrogen levels. Especially at high nitrogen concentrations (Figure 9), the SRL did not differ between the propagation types. Previous work reported various responses of fertilizer rates against *Eucalyptus* in Brazil [32–34], in Australia [35, 36], and in South Africa [37]. Nevertheless, fertilizer responses varied, depending on the species and sites considered [38]. *Eucalyptus* in Brazil and South Africa responded to fertilization when water was available [34, 37]. Stape et al. [33] reported that the application of very high rates and excessive nitrogen levels in Brazil did not show any significant effects on *Eucalyptus* productivity [38]. Fertilizer rates from 50 to 100 kg N ha$^{-1}$ increased biomass, but then biomass decreased at a rate of 200 kg N ha$^{-1}$ [38]; this was also reported in the present study. Graciano et al. [39] reported that P applications affected *E. grandis* biomass more than N applications in Argentina. However, we cannot validate this argument, since this present study did not test *E. pellita* seedlings using P fertilizer.

In this case, 50 kg N ha$^{-1}$ could be more efficient to absorb by *E. pellita*, as opposed to 200 kg N ha$^{-1}$. Chen et al. [40] reported that moderate nitrogen fertilizer increased the
root intensity in soil layers. As explained above, the root distribution from seedling is less compared to stem cutting or clonal seedling.

In the comparison between seedling and stem cutting, as the above findings, propagation by stem cutting of *E. pellita* was proved to be viable and productive in terms of root performance at the nursery stage. Although there are works in the related literature that have proved that seed propagation is still the better propagation method [41], producing plant material using stem cutting is relatively more efficient and faster, able to reduce the production costs and time spent for upkeep and maintenance in the nursery. But, it also depends on the species, objectives, and size of the nursery. Partelli et al. [42] also supported the fact that the cutting-propagated method for coffee is more productive than the seed-propagated method. Furthermore, Naidu and Jones [43] also suggested a superior initial survival and growth of *E. dunnii* mini cuttings compared to seedlings based on early indications. This finding has also proven that secondary branches as semihard wood cuttings could be the most effective propagation material of *Jatropha curcas* [44].

Notwithstanding this, the rooting ability of cuttings from woody or perennial plants declined with an increase in the age of the mother plants [44]. Root ability of cutting formation becomes more difficult with a farther position from the apical shoot [45], due to differences in the type and number of carbohydrates and other stored materials [45, 46]. Therefore, root system characteristics are known to differ according to species, genotype, plant age, physiological status of mother plant [47], season, climate, plant density, root diameter, biotic stresses, and soil texture and structure [48]. Also, the growth rate of stem cutting depends on age variation, position in stem, and diameter of stem [49].

The present study, therefore, provides more information and understanding on *E. pellita* for forest plantation companies in producing plant materials using stem cutting in a cost-effective and optimal fertilizer consumption. Further research is required on the root aspect, especially in real field conditions, as the soil is more heterogeneous and exhibits different environmental conditions. Such findings will help forest plantation companies take agronomic measures and a silvicultural approach.

5. Conclusion

To conclude, *E. pellita* seedlings from stem cutting were greater in terms of root distribution compared to propagation by seedlings, at the nursery stage. In addition, the aboveground biomass of stem cutting was also higher in *E. pellita* seedlings than that of seed propagation. The 50 kg N ha$^{-1}$ was the optimal nitrogen concentration to be applied to the *E. pellita* seedlings.
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