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ABSTRACT: In this paper, the fault-tolerant capability in terms of inductance profile of a dual-stator permanent magnet brushless AC machine is presented. Similarly, its power-speed and torque-speed characteristics are also predicted. Special reference is made to the impact of different rotor pole numbers. A 2D finite element analysis (FEA) procedure is adopted in this work, and the cross-coupling effect of the machine inductances owing to the influence of direct-axis and quadrature-axis currents is also taken into consideration. The results show that, the investigated machine having odd number of rotor poles would exhibit better fault-tolerant capability compared to their counterparts with even number of rotor poles. Further, the machine having 6-stator slots and 13-rotor poles i.e. 6Slots-13P machine has the greatest field-weakening potential owing to its high full-speed to rated speed relation. The studies also show that, the investigated machines have negligible reluctance torque due to their basically unity saliency ratios obtained from the machines’ almost similar quadrature-axis inductance, \( L_{dq} \), and direct-axis inductance, \( L_d \), values.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The fault-tolerant potential of any given electric machine is an important parameter to be considered by any good machine designer, especially, for the interest of the machine’s reliability. Also, the knowledge about torque-speed and power potentials of a given electrical machine is essential to determine its field-weakening ability in the constant power and constant torque regions of operation. Therefore, the prediction of torque-speed and power-speed characteristics, as well as, the inductance features of a brushless AC permanent magnet machine is given in this study.

The fault-tolerant potential in terms of high self-inductance values of permanent magnet (PM) machines could be improved by increasing the winding layers of the machine. Thus, the higher the winding layer, the larger its self-inductance values as proven in Hwang et al (2014) and consequently, the better it’s fault-withstand capability. It is reconfirmed in Wu et al (2018), that both the overload withstand capacity and the overall torque performance of a machine would be enriched at higher self-inductance value, due to the lower effect of electric and magnetic loadings on electrical machine that has large self-inductance worth. However, an alternative approach to improve the fault-tolerant capability and hence, the reliability of PM machines is by adopting multi-phase systems as detailed in Xue et al (2013) and Li et al (2015). Note that, this method of using multi-phase system is also good for the flexibility of electric machine control techniques. In addition, the use of modular structure to isolate the possible phase mutual-couplings in the windings as detailed in Ede et al (2007) and Atallah et al (2003) could also enhance the fault-tolerance ability of PM machines; also with a resultant higher amount of self-inductance Zhao et al (2017), relative to conventional non-modular PM machines.

The torque-speed and power-speed characteristics of switched-flux permanent magnet machines are explored in Hua et al (2006), with special reference to the sizing equations in relation to the inverter capability. The studies in Hua et al (2006) found that the field-weakening region of PM machine could be enhanced by increasing either the current density and, or the slot fill factor of the machine, if possible. Similarly, it is stated in Fei et al (2012) that the constant-power speed range of a switched flux PM machine could be improved by either increasing its direct-axis inductance or by reducing the permanent magnet flux linkage of the machine. This is achieved by segmenting small portions of the PMs with iron bridges, in order to provide magnetic saturation on the machine Fei et al (2012). Further in support of the obtained results in Fei et al (2012), the segmentation of permanent magnets by iron bridges in interior permanent magnet machines is proven to be effective in enhancing the field-weakening capability of the machine through the created flux-leakage paths of the irons, as investigated in Duan et al (2014).

Alternatively, it is preferable to optimize a machine such that the magnitude of its quadrature-axis inductance is larger than the corresponding direct-axis inductance during the design / optimization stage. Moreover, the field-weakening
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potential of a brushless PM machine with corresponding broader operating speed range could be achieved by introducing a double-layered PM per pole topology, as presented in Zhu et al (2016). This is contrary to the traditional single PM per pole arrangement obtainable in the conventional interior permanent magnet synchronous machine. More so, the field-weakening potential of switched flux PM machine could be improved by the use of suitable lamination style i.e. the laminations stacked in parallel with shaft axis yields better results than that of the conventional axially-laminated method of the core materials being laminated in the direction of the shaft axis (Xu et al, 2012).

Different field-weakening control strategies for effective extension of the constant-power speed operation in drive applications, such as the adjustment of the axial gap between the stator and rotor parts (Kim, 2012), and production of sinusoidal electromotive force (EMF) waveforms (Chaithongsuk et al, 2012), as well as control of the machine’s current advance angle etc. are readily available in literature.

Furthermore, a comparative study on rotor-positioned permanent magnet (RPPM) and stator-positioned permanent magnet (SPPM) machines given in Su et al (2019) shows that the RPPM machine has better field weakening capability than its equivalent SPPM machine under the same current condition, due to the small amount of flux-linkage contributed by the armature reaction in the SPPM machine.

The possible stator pole, \( P_s \) and rotor pole, \( P_r \), combination of the compared machines is given in (1).

\[
2P_s \pm n = P_r
\]

where \( n \) is a positive integer (Awah, 2016).

The developed machine model shown in Figure 1 is different from that with \( P_s \pm n = P_r \) presented in Evans and Zhu (2015). It should be noted that, the PM volume of the developed machine in this study is over 22% smaller than the one presented in Evans and Zhu (2015). Thus, the developed machine in Evans and Zhu (2015) would be more expensive than the one presented in this study. Note also, that the compared machine in this study is equivalent to that given in Chen and Zhu (2010); however, the investigated machine is a dual-stator PM machine while the structure in Chen and Zhu (2010) is a single-stator machine topology. In addition, the permanent magnets (PMs) are separated from the windings and relocated to the inner segmented stator in this present study, while the PMs are positioned together with the windings in the former study. Moreover, the developed model in this present study could enhance the demagnetization-withstand capability of the machine, since the effect of armature reaction from the conductors is greatly reduced.

Furthermore, the magnetic vector field of the investigated machine, on no-load condition, is displayed in Figure 2. It is obvious that, there could be some leakage flux from the outer-stator yoke of the machine. Therefore, it is necessary to provide a magnetic field-shield on the back-iron to reduce the impact of leakage flux on the machine during its operation.

Zhou et al (2018), showed that the saliency ratio of a given permanent magnet machine is slightly dependent on its direct-axis current, and highly reliant on the quadrature-axis current. However, Zhu et al (2016) noted that the level of disparity between the magnitudes of direct-axis and quadrature-axis inductances would be determined by the current angle of the machine. Zhou et al (2018) further stated that, the overall output torque of a given electric machine is influenced by the value of its direct-axis and quadrature-axis currents. It should also be noted that, the quadrature-axis current of a machine is inversely related to its saliency ratio, due to saturation effect. Similarly, the studies in He et al (2018), presented that the value of winding inductance of PM machine is adversely reduced at higher input currents, owing to the attendant core saturation problems.

It is worth noting that, the investigated machine combines the advantages of switched-flux PM machines and those of magnetically-gearred PM machines in one integrated system. Day by day, magnetically-gearred machines are becoming an interesting research area due to their enormous benefits which includes: high efficiency, large output torque and high power capability compared to other permanent magnet machines of the same size as pointed out in Tlali et al (2016) and Zhang et al (2016).
According to Atallah et al (2004), the general expression for the angular velocity of the dual rotor-PMs in a given magnetic gear machine having two rotating parts and a stationary steel piece is given in (2). It should be noted that, the stationary steel piece which serves as the modulator in Atallah et al (2004) is equivalent to the rotating modulating ring i.e. the cup-rotor in this present paper.

\[
\omega_{i,j} = \frac{ip}{ip + jn_s} \omega_r + \frac{jn_s}{ip + jn_s} \omega_s
\]  

(2)

where \( \omega_r \) and \( \omega_s \) are the rotational velocities. The maximum torque transmission is obtained at \( i=1 \) and \( j=1 \) as stated in Atallah et al (2004) and cited in (Awah, 2016).

When the outer rotor magnets are interchanged with the outer-stator conductors having the cup-rotor in operation; then, the gear ratio of the developed machine in this paper is given in eqn (3).

\[
G_{\text{ratio}} = \frac{-1 * (j) * P_2}{iP_r} = \frac{P_2}{P_r}
\]  

(3)

II. METHODOLOGY

The first step is the transformation of the \( I_d \) and \( I_q \) currents into \( ABC \) currents. For example, the FEA inputted currents are expressed using eqn (4). Note that, the zero-sequence component is neglected in this analysis because we assumed a balanced three-phase system with injected purely sinusoidal current components. The inductance prediction approach of using the flux-linkages as detailed in Qi et al (2009) and expressed in eqns (5) and (6) is employed in the prediction of the machines’ direct-axis and quadrature-axis inductances. This is obtained by the use of the traditional Park’s transformation scheme.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I_d \\
I_q \\
I_m
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta & \cos(\theta - 120^\circ) & \cos(\theta + 120^\circ) \\
-\sin \theta & -\sin(\theta - 120^\circ) & -\sin(\theta + 120^\circ)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
I_A \\
I_B \\
I_C
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(4)

where \( \theta \) is the rotor angular displacement (Zhu et al, 2005).

The direct-axis and quadrature-axis inductance of the investigated machine is given in (5) and (6).

\[
L_d(I_d) = \varphi_d(l_d l_d l_q = 0) - \varphi_d(l_d l_q = 0)
\]  

(5)

\[
L_q(I_q) = \varphi_q(l_q l_q l_d = 0) - \varphi_q(l_d l_q = 0)
\]  

(6)

where \( L_d \) and \( L_q \) are the direct and quadrature axis inductances, and \( \varphi_d \) and \( \varphi_q \) are the direct and quadrature flux-linkages, respectively (Qi et al, 2009).

Note that, the direct-axis and quadrature-axis flux-linkages are obtained from phase \( A \), \( B \), and \( C \) flux-linkages using inverse Park’s transformation of eqn (4), and simulated over different electrical rotor positions of the FEA model shown in Figure 1, although with varying number of rotor poles. It must be noted that, the non-linearity with respect to the influence of cross-coupling between the direct- and quadrature axis inductance is taken into cognizance in the inductance predictions, owing to the symbiotic effects of the injected direct-axis and quadrature-axis currents on the machines’ winding inductances.

It is worth mentioning that, the predicted torque-speed and power-speed curves are obtained using the average values of the direct-axis and quadrature-axis inductances taken over twenty (20) steps per each of the injected current \( (I_d \) and \( I_q \) value. Furthermore, the post-processing of the obtained torque-speed and power-speed curves are completed with the help of MATLAB program / scripts taking into account the \( L_d \) and \( L_q \) inductance matrices, the machine’s number of phases, number of poles, voltage and current limitations, slot area and packing factor, rated speed, axial length of the machine, per phase number of turns, and other parameters of eqn (10), etc., as well as the values of the permanent magnet \( d \)-axis flux linkages at different current (Amperes) level. For example, the FEA predicted values of \( L_d \), \( L_q \) (Henries) and permanent magnet \( d \)-axis flux linkages (Webers) in plotting of torque-speed and power-speed curves of the 6Slots-11P machine topology are given in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

It is worth noting that, the values of the permanent magnet flux linkage decreases as the value of the quadrature-axis current rises, due to the influence of armature reaction which would eventually lead to magnetic saturation of the machine at higher input current condition. Also, note that the FEA software automatically generates the phase \( A \), \( B \), and \( C \) flux-linkage as well as the induced electromotive force (EMF) results after the time-stepped computation at different rotor angular displacements. Nevertheless, the FEA computations applied in eqns (7) and (8) are conducted under two different conditions: no-load (open-circuit) and full-load current situations, before the post-processing of the results.

\[
L_{\text{self}} = \frac{\varphi_A - \varphi_{A,\text{mag}}}{I_A}
\]  

(7)

\[
L_{\text{Mutual}} = \frac{\varphi_B - \varphi_{A,\text{mag}}}{I_B}
\]  

(8)

where \( L_{\text{self}} \) and \( L_{\text{Mutual}} \) are the self and mutual inductance quantities; \( \varphi_A \) and \( \varphi_B \) are the flux-linkages due to the excitation of phase \( A \) and phase \( B \) windings, respectively; \( I_A \) and \( I_B \) are the phase \( A \) and phase \( B \) current magnitudes i.e. the supplied root mean square current (r.m.s current), respectively, and \( \varphi_{A,\text{mag}} \) is the open-circuit flux linkage of phase \( A \). Note that, \( I_A + I_B \) in magnitude.

Although, the investigated PM machine is an alternating current machine, it is worth noting that r.m.s current is used in the computation of the inductances in eqns (7) and (8). Moreover, the materials and data of the analyzed FEA model of Figure 1 are given in Table 4.
Table 1: Average quadrature-axis inductances, \( L_q \).

| Current (Iq, Id) | 0   | 1   | 3   | 6   | 9   | 12  | 15  |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1               | 0.00039677 | 0.0004019 | 0.000408533 | 0.000413202 | 0.00042237 | 0.000428966 |
| 3               | 0.000396122 | 0.00040083 | 0.000407954 | 0.000415212 | 0.000421894 | 0.000428207 |
| 6               | 0.000395904 | 0.000400772 | 0.000407728 | 0.000414474 | 0.000421002 | 0.000427208 |
| 9               | 0.000396702 | 0.0004013 | 0.000408032 | 0.000414645 | 0.000421091 | 0.000427153 |
| 12              | 0.000397193 | 0.000401777 | 0.00040847 | 0.000414942 | 0.000421062 | 0.00042678 |
| 15              | 0.000397547 | 0.000402181 | 0.000408737 | 0.000414888 | 0.000420693 | 0.000426239 |

Table 2: Average direct-axis inductances, \( L_d \).

| Current (Iq, Id) | 0   | 1   | 3   | 6   | 9   | 12  | 15  |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| -15             | 0.00044 | 0.000437815 | 0.000437553 | 0.000436855 | 0.000435805 | 0.000434425 |
| -12             | 0.00043 | 0.000428985 | 0.000428696 | 0.000428181 | 0.00042731 | 0.000425899 |
| -9              | 0.00042 | 0.000419617 | 0.000419307 | 0.0004188 | 0.000418164 | 0.000416628 |
| -6              | 0.00041 | 0.000409551 | 0.000409316 | 0.000408518 | 0.000408069 | 0.000406434 |
| -3              | 0.0004 | 0.000398431 | 0.000398508 | 0.000397134 | 0.000396716 | 0.00039513 |
| -1              | 0.00039 | 0.00039004 | 0.000390763 | 0.000388818 | 0.000388279 | 0.00038711 |

Table 3: Permanent magnet \( d \)-axis flux.

| Current (Iq, Id) | 0   | 3   | 6   | 9   | 12  | 15  |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0               | 0.011517781 | 0.01150636 | 0.011475139 | 0.011420174 | 0.011345361 | 0.011250833 |
| 3               | 0.01150636 | 0.01150636 | 0.011475139 | 0.011420174 | 0.011345361 | 0.011250833 |
| 6               | 0.011475139 | 0.011475139 | 0.011475139 | 0.011420174 | 0.011345361 | 0.011250833 |
| 9               | 0.011420174 | 0.011420174 | 0.011420174 | 0.011420174 | 0.011345361 | 0.011250833 |
| 12              | 0.011345361 | 0.011345361 | 0.011345361 | 0.011345361 | 0.011345361 | 0.011250833 |
| 15              | 0.011250833 | 0.011250833 | 0.011250833 | 0.011250833 | 0.011250833 | 0.011250833 |

Table 4: Materials and Data of the analyzed FEA Model.

| Parameter                  | Data                          |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| No. of phases              | 3                             |
| Speed                      | 400 rpm                       |
| Overall stator diameter    | 90mm                          |
| Back-iron thickness        | 3.15mm                        |
| PM width                   | 4mm                           |
| Air-gap length             | 0.5mm                         |
| Machine stack length       | 25mm                          |
| Machine aspect ratio       | 0.71                          |
| PM residual flux density   | 1.2 Tesla                      |
| PM material                | N38SH                         |
| Stator and rotor core material | Silicon steel            |
| Relative permeability      | 1.05                          |
| No. of turns per phase     | 72                            |

III. STATIC CHARACTERISTICS

Figure 3 shows the torque on no-load condition, and its corresponding Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) amplitudes. The result shows that 3rd FFT amplitude is larger in the machine model having even rotor-pole number. As noted in Zhu and Howe (2000), the no-load torque goodness factor, \( T_{nl} \), of the compared machines is related to the machine’s stator pole and rotor pole number as given in (9). Therefore, if the goodness factor is small, then, the resultant magnitude of the no-load torque would be small. This implies that the overall electromagnetic torque of the machine would be improved, since no-load torque is an undesirable quantity which adversely affects the output torque of a given electric machine. More so, the investigation in Zhu and Howe (2000) shows that PM machines with non-overlapping windings are preferred choice under this situation compared to their overlapping winding counterparts. Moreover, the accuracy of the no-load torque prediction is largely dependent on the mesh-discretisation level of the designed model as stated in Howe and Zhu (1992). The finer the mesh, the higher the level of accuracy obtained; however, this will entail longer calculation time.

\[
T_{nl} = \frac{P_r P_s}{Lcm(P_r, P_s)} \tag{9}
\]

where \( P_r \) and \( P_s \) are the rotor and stator pole numbers, and \( Lcm \) is lowest common multiple between the poles (Zhu and Howe, 2000).
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IV. INDUCTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

The direct-axis inductance and quadrature-axis inductance, in addition to the self-and mutual-inductances of the compared machines are presented in Figures 4 and 5. The inductance profile shows that the compared machine having different rotor poles have relatively similar values of quadrature-axis inductance and direct-axis inductance. Note that, the largest value of quadrature-axis inductance is seen in the 6Slots-5P machine topology.

Similarly, the self-inductance and mutual-inductance waveforms of the analyzed machine relative to different rotor positions are compared in Figure 5(a) and (b), respectively, and expressed in eqns (7) and (8).

It is observed that, the investigated machines have relatively similar self-inductance values. The machine having odd rotor pole numbers have better potentials in terms of higher reliability than the ones having even rotor pole numbers, owing to their low mutual inductance magnitudes. However, the mutual inductance of an integrated PM machine could be significantly reduced to a negligible value by an appropriate winding design and reasonable stator and rotor pole selections, as established in Ren et al (2019).

This concept of mutual-inductance reduction is further confirmed by analytical method of employing the winding function technique, in addition to adequate experimental validation. It is worth mentioning that, a small ratio of mutual inductance to self-inductance value is required to improve the reliability of electric machines, as proven in Zhu et al (2017). The predicted winding inductance values of the compared machine are listed in Table 5.
V. TORQUE-SPEED AND POWER-SPEED CHARACTERISTICS

The field-weakening characteristics of the compared machine are depicted in Figure 6. It is worth noting, that the best and poorest electromagnetic torque performance is obtained in the 6Slots-11P and 6Slots-8P machines, respectively. The best candidate amongst the compared machines in terms of field-weakening proficiency is the 6Slots-13P due to its high full speed-to-rated speed proportion. It should be noted that, the effect of cross-coupling on the inductances as detailed in Qi et al (2009) is taken into cognizance in the FEA estimation of the torque and power plots of Figure 6. The field-weakening potentials of the analyzed machine are listed in Table 5. Note that, the output power of the compared machine is given in (10).

\[ P_{out} = \eta \frac{N_{ph}}{T} \int_0^T e(t)i(t)dt \]  

where \( e(t) \) and \( i(t) \) are the time-varying induced EMF and current values, \( \eta \) is the efficiency, \( N_{ph} \) is the number of phases and \( T \) is the period.

VI. CONCLUSION

The inductance and flux-weakening potentials of a dual-stator permanent magnet machine is presented and compared. The results show that the compared machine having 6Slots-13P configuration has the largest field-weakening potential over the entire constant power- and constant torque-speed regions. Moreover, the investigated machine having different rotor pole numbers have comparable constant power values as well as negligible reluctance torque. Further, the investigated machine having odd number of rotor poles possess higher fault-tolerant potentials than their even rotor pole number equivalents. Above all, the studied machine topology equipped with eight (8) number of rotor poles has the worst overall performance.
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