Linear momentum flux from inspiralling compact binaries in quasielliptical orbits at 2.5 post-Newtonian order

Kastha, Shilpa

Published in:
Physical Review D

DOI:
10.1103/PhysRevD.105.064039

Publication date:
2022

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
CC BY

Citation for published version (APA):
Kastha, S. (2022). Linear momentum flux from inspiralling compact binaries in quasielliptical orbits at 2.5 post-Newtonian order. Physical Review D, 105(6), [064039]. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.064039
Linear momentum flux from inspiralling compact binaries in quasielliptical orbits at 2.5 post-Newtonian order

Shilpa Kastha *
Albert-Einstein-Institut, Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Callinstraße 38, 30167 Hannover, Germany
and Leibniz Universität Hannover, 30167 Hannover, Germany

(Received 7 December 2021; accepted 15 February 2022; published 23 March 2022)

Emission of anisotropic gravitational radiation from a compact binary system leads to a flux of linear momentum. This results in recoil of the system. We investigate the rate of loss of linear momentum flux in the far zone of the source using various mass type and current type multipole moments for an inspiralling compact binary merger in quasielliptical orbits at 2.5 post-Newtonian order. We compute the linear momentum flux accurately up to $O(e_t)$ in a harmonic coordinate. A 2.5 post-Newtonian quasi-Keplarian representation of the parametric solution to the post-Newtonian equation of motion for the compact binary system has been adopted here. We also provide a closed form expression for the accumulated linear momentum from the remote past through the binary evolution.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Anisotropic gravitational radiation leads to a flux of linear momentum from the compact binary system [1,2]. To conserve the total linear momentum, the system recoils. The direction of recoil changes continuously over an orbit. As a result, for a perfectly circular trajectory, no net recoil builds up over an orbit. On the contrary, for inspiralling compact binaries, the recoil accumulates over the inspiralling orbits and imparts a kick to the remnant at the merger. A reasonably high kick imparted to the binary black hole (BBH) merger could be of great importance in understanding the structure formation of globular clusters. If the kick is greater than the escape velocity of the host galaxy, the remnant black hole (BH) may even be ejected [3] from the galaxy. Even if the kick is not high enough to eject the remnant BH, it might cause significant dynamical changes at the core of the galaxy. A detailed discussion on various astrophysical aspects of BH kicks can be found in Ref. [4].

Though a compact binary merger may have significant eccentricity at the birth, due to the gravitational radiation, it gets circularized [5,6]. By the time their gravitational wave (GW) frequency enters the sensitivity bands of the ground based interferometric GW detectors, they may have negligible eccentricity. Yet there may be astrophysical processes that may retain their eccentricity even in the late stages of their dynamical evolution. For example, in dense stellar clusters, interactions between pairs of BBH systems may eject one of the BHs leading to the formation of a stable hierarchical triple system. If the two orbital planes are tilted with respect to each other, the third body can increase the eccentricity of the inner binary via the Kozai mechanism [7]. Binaries in such hierarchical triple systems may have nonzero eccentricities even toward the late stages of the inspiral. Further, binary neutron star systems in globular clusters may have a thermal distribution of eccentricities [8] if formed by exchange interactions as opposed to the formation scenario through the common envelope. Similarly, there have been mechanisms proposed for binaries consisting of supermassive BHs in the LISA band [9–11] which may have detectable eccentricities. The recent detection [12,13] of the heaviest BBH merger event to date, GW190521, has been argued to have high eccentricity [14–16] at merger. Motivated by these scenarios, we study the emission of linear momentum flux (LMF) in case of nonspinning compact binary systems in quasielliptical orbits.

The first formal treatment of gravitational recoil for a general self-gravitating system in linearized gravity is explored in Refs. [1,17]. It is valid for any kind of motion (rotational, vibrational, or any other kind) given the source is localized within a finite volume. Later, within the post-Newtonian (PN) framework, the leading order contribution (Newtonian) to the LMF and recoil of a compact binary system is discussed in Refs. [18,19]. The first PN correction to this was computed by
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Wiseman [20] and the quasicircular orbit scenario was discussed as a special case there. Much later, a closed form expression for the recoil in the case of a compact binary in a quasicircular orbit is quoted in [21] at the second PN order. Its extension to 2.5PN order, accounting for the radiation reaction effects, is discussed in Ref. [22]. According to these studies, the BH recoil for nonspinning systems could be in the range 74–250 km s\(^{-1}\). Using the effective one-body (EOB) approach, the recoil estimates for BBH are obtained considering the contributions from inspiral, plunge, and ringdown phases in Ref. [23]. The typical estimate obtained here lies in the range 49–172 km s\(^{-1}\). In Ref. [24], BH perturbation theory is used to compute the accumulated recoil up to the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) (10–100 km s\(^{-1}\)) for a system where a test particle inspirals into a BH. In principle, these estimates are valid for extreme mass ratio inspirals but they have extrapolated the results till a mass ratio of about \(\sim 0.4\).

Along with the various analytical and semianalytical studies, the recent progress in numerical relativity techniques has led to more accurate estimates for the recoil of the remnant BH. As quoted in Refs. [25–28], the recoil velocity can reach up to a few hundreds of km s\(^{-1}\) while the component masses are nonspinning for compact binaries in a quasicircular orbit. But for the spinning case [29–31], the recoil velocity can be of the order of a few thousands km s\(^{-1}\). In case of maximally spinning BHs, it could be as high as 4000 km s\(^{-1}\) [32]. Such a large recoil velocity may lead to ejection of the merged binary from its host galaxy. A detailed study on the multipolar analysis of the gravitational recoil is also discussed in Ref. [33]. They have explored the buildup of the recoil through the different phases of the binary evolution (inspiral + merger + ringdown) due to the relative amplitude and the phases of various modes of the GWs.

Using a formal approach within the multipolar post-Minkowskian post-Newtonian (MPM-PN) formalism, the leading order Newtonian contribution to the LMF and the associated recoil of a compact binary system is explored by Fitchett in Refs. [18,19]. The authors have assumed the inspiralling binary to be composed of two point particles moving in a Keplerian orbit. A rough estimate of maximum BH recoil quoted in these studies is \(\sim 1500\) km/s. Assuming the periastron advance to be small, a crude estimate of the recoil at 1PN is also quoted. The first extension of these estimates at 1PN for binaries moving in generic orbits is explored by Wiseman [20] who concluded that higher order correction reduces the net momentum ejection. As a special case, they also studied BNS systems moving in quasicircular orbits. They found the upper bound on the velocity of the center of mass very near to the coalescence to be 1 km s\(^{-1}\). In another study [34], the authors showed a 10\% increase in the recoil estimate compared to the quasicircular case for small eccentricities \((e < 0.1)\) using close limit approximation. They also claimed that the maximum recoil takes place for the value of the symmetric mass ratio of around \(\eta \sim 0.19\) and the magnitude could be as high as 216–242 km/s.

To obtain a correct recoil estimate one needs to compute the linear momentum from the system. Here we investigate the linear momentum flux at 2.5 post-Newtonian order for compact binaries moving in quasieLLiptical orbits with nonspinning component masses.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we discuss the multipolar decomposition of LMF in terms of the various source type multipole moments and their nonlinear interactions. In Sec. III, we discuss the orbital dynamics, and in Sec. IV we quote the instantaneous contribution to the LMF in terms of the orbital parameters. Sections VII and VIII consist of the computation of hereditary and the postadiabatic contributions to the LMF. We quote the complete LMF at 2.5PN in Sec. IX. Finally in Sec. X we compute the accumulated linear momentum through the inspiralling orbits from the remote past.

## II. MULTIPOLE DECOMPOSITION OF LINEAR MOMENTUM FLUX

For an isolated source, gravitational wave generation is well studied under the framework of multipolar decomposition [35]. Following [35], we explicitly write down the multipolar decomposition of far-zone linear momentum flux (LMF) for an isolated source at the 2.5 post-Newtonian order in terms of symmetric trace-free (STF) radiative mass type and current type multipole moments [see Eq. (2.1) of Ref. [22]].

\[
\mathcal{F}_i = \frac{G}{c^3} \left\{ \left[ \frac{2}{63} U_{ijk}^{(1)} r_{jk}^{(1)} + \frac{16}{45} \epsilon_{ijk} U_{jka}^{(1)} V_{ka}^{(1)} \right] + \frac{1}{c^2} \left[ \frac{1}{1134} U_{ijkl}^{(1)} r_{jk}^{(1)} \frac{1}{126} \epsilon_{ijk} U_{jka}^{(1)} V_{ka}^{(1)} + \frac{4}{63} \epsilon_{ijk} U_{jka}^{(1)} V_{ka}^{(1)} \right] + \frac{1}{c^4} \left[ \frac{1}{59400} U_{ijklm}^{(1)} r_{jkl}^{(1)} + \frac{2}{14175} \epsilon_{ijk} U_{jabc}^{(1)} V_{abc}^{(1)} \right] + \frac{2}{945} V_{ijkl}^{(1)} r_{jkl}^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) \right\}. \tag{2.1}
\]

Here \(U_{k}^{(p)}\) and \(V_{k}^{(p)}\) \((K = i, i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \) represent the multi-index structure of the tensors of order \(k\) in three dimensions) are the \(p\)-time derivatives of mass-type and current-type radiative multipole moments, respectively. \(\epsilon_{ijk}\) is the usual three-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor, with a value +1 in case of all even permutations and −1 for all the odd ones. The multipole moments in the formula are functions of retarded time \([t - (r/c)]\) in radiative coordinates, where \(r\) and \(t\) denote the distance of the
source from the observer and the time of observation, respectively.

Using the multipolar post-Minkowskian (MPM) formalism [36–47] the two types of radiative moments \( U_i, V_i \) can be expressed in terms of two canonical moments \( (M_c, S_c) \) and eventually as a function of all the source multipole moments \( (I_{LM}, J_{LM}, X_{LM}, W_{LM}, Y_{LM}, Z_{LM}) \) [48] at the 2.5PN order. Every radiative moment has two types of contributions. One of them is only a function of retarded time and hence called the \textit{instantaneous} part. The other one depends on the dynamical behavior of the system throughout its entire past and is referred to as the \textit{hereditary} contributions. These contributions contain information about various multipolar interactions the gravitational wave undergoes, as it propagates from the source to the detector.

For the reader’s convenience, here we explicitly quote all the radiative moments in terms of the source moments, accurate up to the order necessary for the present calculation [see Eqs. (3.1)–(3.18b) of Refs. [49]]. Since the leading order term in the LMF expression [see Eq. (2.1)] consists of the mass quadrupole moment \( U_{ij} \), the desired accuracy of \( U_{ij} \) is 2.5PN. Furthermore, the decomposition of the mass quadrupole moment into instantaneous and hereditary parts is as follows:

\[
U_{ij} = U_{ij}^{\text{inst}} + U_{ij}^{\text{hered}},
\]

where the instantaneous and the hereditary parts explicitly read

\[
U_{ij}^{\text{inst}}(U) = I^{(2)}_{ij}(U) + \frac{G}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{7} I^{(5)}_{a[i} J^{(4)}_{j]a} - \frac{5}{3} I^{(4)}_{a[i} J^{(1)}_{j]a} \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right).
\]

\[
U_{ij}^{\text{hered}}(U) = \frac{2GM}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{U} d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{U - \tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{11}{12} \right] I^{(4)}_{ij}(\tau) + \frac{G}{c^3} \left\{ -\frac{2}{7} \int_{-\infty}^{U} d\tau I^{(4)}_{a[i}(\tau) J^{(1)}_{j]a}(\tau) \right\} + \frac{2GM}{c^3} \int_{-\infty}^{U} d\tau \left[ \ln^2 \left( \frac{U - \tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{57}{70} \ln \left( \frac{U - \tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{124627}{44100} \right].
\]

The required accuracy of the mass octupole moment is 1.5PN, which is

\[
U_{ij} = U_{ij}^{\text{inst}} + U_{ij}^{\text{hered}},
\]

and both the parts separately read

\[
U_{ijk}^{\text{inst}}(U) = I^{(3)}_{ijk}(U) + \frac{G}{c^3} \left\{ \frac{4}{3} I^{(6)}_{a[i} J^{(2)}_{jk]a} - \frac{9}{4} I^{(4)}_{a[i} J^{(2)}_{jk]a} + \frac{1}{4} I^{(2)}_{a[i} J^{(4)}_{jk]a} - \frac{3}{4} I^{(6)}_{a[i} J^{(1)}_{jk]a} + \frac{1}{4} I^{(2)}_{a[i} J^{(5)}_{jk]a} + \frac{1}{2} I^{(6)}_{a[i} J^{(1)}_{jk]a} \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right),
\]

\[
U_{ijk}^{\text{hered}}(U) = \frac{2GM}{c^3} \int_{-\infty}^{U} d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{U - \tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{97}{60} \right] I^{(3)}_{ijk}(\tau) + \frac{G}{c^3} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{U} d\tau \left[ -\frac{1}{3} I^{(3)}_{a[i}(\tau) J^{(4)}_{j]a}(\tau) - \frac{4}{5} e_{ab[i} J^{(1)}_{j]a}(\tau) J^{(3)}_{k]b}(\tau) \right] \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right).
\]

As the other two mass type multipole moments \( U_{ijkl} \) and \( U_{ijkl}^{\text{hered}} \) appear in the LMF at 1PN and 2PN, respectively, the desired accuracy for these two are 1.5 PN and 0.5PN, respectively, which read

\[
U_{ijkl} = U_{ijkl}^{\text{inst}} + U_{ijkl}^{\text{hered}},
\]
are the following:

\[ V_{ij} = V_{ij}^{\text{inst}} + V_{ij}^{\text{hered}}. \tag{2.9} \]

The instantaneous and the hereditary parts of the current quadrupole moments read

\[
V_{ij}^{\text{inst}}(U) = J_{ij}^{(2)}(U) + \frac{G}{c^3} \left\{ \frac{21}{5} I_{lij}^{(5)} - \frac{63}{5} I_{lij}^{(4)} - \frac{102}{5} I_{lij}^{(3)} \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^3}\right), \tag{2.10a} \]

\[
V_{ij}^{\text{hered}}(U) = \frac{2GM}{c^3} \int_{-\infty}^{U} d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{U - \tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{7}{30} I_{ijkl}^{(6)}(\tau) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^3}\right). \tag{2.10b} \]

For the current octupole moment the two contributions are the following:

\[ V_{ijk} = V_{ijk}^{\text{inst}} + V_{ijk}^{\text{hered}}, \tag{2.11} \]

where \( V_{ijk}^{\text{inst}} \) and \( V_{ijk}^{\text{hered}} \) are given in terms of the source multipole moments as

\[
V_{ijk}^{\text{inst}}(U) = J_{ijk}^{(3)}(U) + \frac{G}{c^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{abc} I_{jia}^{(5)} I_{kab}^{(5)} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} I_{jia}^{(4)} I_{kab}^{(4)} - 2 J_{ijk}^{(4)} \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^3}\right), \tag{2.12a} \]

\[
V_{ijk}^{\text{hered}}(U) = \frac{2GM}{c^3} \int_{-\infty}^{U} d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{U - \tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{5}{3} I_{ijkl}^{(5)}(\tau) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^3}\right). \tag{2.12b} \]

For one other current type moment \( V_{ijkl} \), we only need the instantaneous part to obtain LMF at 2.5PN, which reads

\[ V_{ijkl}^{\text{inst}}(T_R) = J_{ijkl}^{(4)}(T_R) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^3}\right). \tag{2.13} \]

Using Eqs. (2.2)–(2.13) we obtain the closed form expression for LMF at 2.5PN in terms of the source multipole moments. Similar to the radiative moments, the LMF also admits a decomposition into instantaneous and hereditary parts. The instantaneous and the hereditary parts indicate two distinct physical processes, and their evaluations need separate treatments. Thus, for our convenience, we explicitly write the two types of contributions (instantaneous and hereditary) to linear momentum flux separately as follows:

\[ \mathcal{F}_i = (\mathcal{F}_i)^{\text{inst}} + (\mathcal{F}_i)^{\text{hered}}, \tag{2.14} \]

where the instantaneous part up to 2.5PN in terms of the source multipole moments is [see Eq. (2.2) of Ref. [22]].


\[
(\mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{max}} = \frac{G}{c^7} \left[ \frac{2}{63} J_{ijkl}^{(4)j} + \frac{16}{45} \epsilon_{ijk} J_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{2}{1134} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{4}{63} J_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{1}{126} \epsilon_{ijk} J_{ijkl}^{(4)j} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{c^5} \left[ \frac{2}{945} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} \right] \]

\[
+ \frac{2}{59400} J_{ijklm}^{(6)j} + \frac{2}{945} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{2}{14175} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ab}^{(5)j} \]

\[
+ \frac{2}{63} \left( \frac{1}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(6)j} - \frac{4}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} - \frac{4}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(4)j} - \frac{4}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} \right) \}

\[
+ 4 [W^{(2)} J_{ijkl}^{(1)} - W^{(1)} J_{ijkl}^{(1)}] + \frac{1}{12} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} - 17 \frac{1}{12} J_{ijkl}^{(3)j} - 3 \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(4)j} \]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} - \frac{3}{2} I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{1}{12} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{1}{5} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(3)j} \]

\[
+ 12 J_{ijkl}^{(3)j} - 15 J_{ijkl}^{(4)j} - 35 J_{ijkl}^{(2)j} - 4 J_{ijkl}^{(1)j} - 36 I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} - J_{ijkl}^{(6)} + 9 J_{ijkl}^{(6)} \]

\[
+ 9 J_{ijkl}^{(4)j} + \frac{12}{5} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + 4 [W^{(2)} J_{ijkl}^{(1)} - W^{(1)} J_{ijkl}^{(1)} + 3 J_{ijkl}^{(1)}] \]

\[
+ 16 \frac{1}{45} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(4)j} \]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{4}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{8}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{3}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(4)j} + \frac{5}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{26}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{6}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{9}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(6)} \right] \]

\[
+ \frac{3}{7} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} - \frac{1}{28} I_{ijkl}^{(6)} - \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{1}{14} \epsilon_{ijkl} \left( \frac{425}{24} I_{ijkl}^{(4)j} + \frac{31}{12} I_{ijkl}^{(6)} \right) + \frac{1}{6} I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} \]

\[
- \frac{19}{24} I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{143}{8} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{15}{4} I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{3}{8} I_{ijkl}^{(7)} \]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{1}{5} I_{ijkl}^{(3)j} + \frac{1}{28} I_{ijkl}^{(6)} - \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{1}{14} \epsilon_{ijkl} \left( \frac{425}{24} I_{ijkl}^{(4)j} + \frac{31}{12} I_{ijkl}^{(6)} \right) + \frac{1}{6} I_{ijkl}^{(5)j} \right] \]

\[
+ \frac{4}{63} J_{ijkl}^{(3)j} \left( \frac{2}{5} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} + \frac{1}{10} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(6)} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(2)j} - 2 J_{ijkl}^{(5)j} \right) \]

\[
+ O \left( \frac{1}{c^6} \right), \tag{2.15} \]

where

\[
[W^{(2)} J_{ijkl}^{(1)} - W^{(1)} J_{ijkl}^{(1)}] = [2 W^{(4)j} I_{ijkl}^{(1)} + W^{(5)} I_{ijkl}^{(1)} - W^{(1)} I_{ijkl}^{(4)} - 2 W^{(2)} I_{ijkl}^{(3)}], \tag{2.16} \]

\[
[W^{(2)} I_{ijkl}^{(1)} + 3 I_{ijkl}^{(1)}]^{(4)} = [W^{(6)} I_{ijkl}^{(1)} + 3 W^{(5)} I_{ijkl}^{(1)} + 2 W^{(4)j} I_{ijkl}^{(2)} - 3 W^{(2)} I_{ijkl}^{(3)}] \]

\[
- 2 W^{(3)} I_{ijkl}^{(3)} - W^{(1)} I_{ijkl}^{(5)} + 3 I_{ijkl}^{(1)} + 12 I_{ijkl}^{(4)} \]

\[
+ 18 I_{ijkl}^{(2)} I_{ijkl}^{(3)} + 12 I_{ijkl}^{(3)} I_{ijkl}^{(4)} + 3 I_{ijkl}^{(4)} I_{ijkl}^{(1)}, \tag{2.17} \]

\[
[\epsilon_{ijkl} (- I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl} + 2 I_{ijkl}^{(2)} W_{ijkl}^{(1)} + I_{ijkl}^{(1)} W_{ijkl}^{(0)})]^{(3)} = \epsilon_{ijkl} (- I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl} + 3 I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl}^{(1)} - 3 I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl}^{(2)} \]

\[
- 2 I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl} + 5 I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl}^{(4)} - 3 I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl}^{(3)} + I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl}^{(2)} + I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl}^{(1)}, \tag{2.18} \]

\[
[3 I_{ijkl}^{(1)} - 2 I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl}^{(1)}]^{(3)} = [3 I_{ijkl}^{(1)} W_{ijkl}^{(4)} - 2 I_{ijkl}^{(1)} W_{ijkl}^{(2)} - 6 I_{ijkl}^{(2)} W_{ijkl}^{(3)} - 6 I_{ijkl}^{(3)} W_{ijkl}^{(2)} - 2 I_{ijkl}^{(4)} W_{ijkl}^{(1)}]. \tag{2.19} \]

In the above equations, symmetric trace-free projections of the multipole moments are denoted by the angular brackets (\( \langle \rangle \)) around their indices, and the underlined indices are excluded while taking the projection. And the hereditary contribution to LMF at 2.5PN is
(\mathcal{F})_{\text{here}} = \frac{4G^2M}{63c^{10}} I^{(4)}_{ijk}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{11}{12} \right] J^{(5)}_{jk}(U - \tau) + \frac{4G^2M}{63c^{10}} I^{(3)}_{jk}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{97}{60} \right] J^{(6)}_{ijk}(U - \tau) + \frac{32G^2M}{45c^{10}} \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{jkl}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{7}{6} \right] J^{(5)}_{ka}(U - \tau) + \frac{32G^2M}{45c^{10}} \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{jkl}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{11}{12} \right] J^{(5)}_{jk}(U - \tau) + \frac{G^2M}{567c^{12}} \epsilon_{ijk}I^{(4)}_{jkl}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{97}{60} \right] J^{(6)}_{jkl}(U - \tau) + \frac{G^2M}{567c^{12}} \epsilon_{ijk}I^{(4)}_{jkl}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{59}{30} \right] J^{(6)}_{ijkl}(U - \tau) + \frac{G^2M}{63c^{12}} \epsilon_{ijkl}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{5}{3} \right] J^{(5)}_{ijkl}(U - \tau) + \frac{2G^2M}{63c^{12}} \epsilon_{ijkl}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{5}{3} \right] J^{(6)}_{ijkl}(U - \tau) + \frac{8G^2M}{63c^{12}} \epsilon_{ijkl}(U) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{5}{3} \right] J^{(6)}_{ijkl}(U - \tau) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{c^6} \right).

(2.20)

III. ORBITAL DYNAMICS OF THE COMPACT BINARY SOURCE

In the previous section, we have provided an explicit closed form expression of the far-zone linear momentum flux from a compact binary system in terms of various source multipole moments. Here we specialize to the case of a nonspinning compact binary system in quasiecliptical orbits, with the component masses $m_1$ and $m_2$ with $m_1 \geq m_2$, the total mass $m = m_1 + m_2$, and the symmetric mass ratio $\eta = m_1m_2/m^2$. Since the binary constituents are nonspinning, the motion is completely confined in a plane with a relative separation,

$$
x = x_1 - x_2 = r\hat{n},
$$

(3.1)

with $r = |x|$, $x_1$ and $x_2$ are the position vectors of the component masses, and $\hat{n}$ is the unit vector along the relative separation vector. In polar coordinates,

$$
\hat{n} = \frac{x}{r} = \cos \phi \hat{e}_x + \sin \phi \hat{e}_y,
$$

(3.2)

where $\phi$ is the orbital phase of the binary, and $\hat{e}_x$ and $\hat{e}_y$ are the unit vectors along $x$ and $y$ axes. The relative velocity and acceleration for the system are the following:

$$
\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{dx}{dt} = \left( \dot{r} \cos \phi - \dot{\phi} \sin \phi \right) \hat{e}_x + \left( \dot{r} \sin \phi + \dot{\phi} \cos \phi \right) \hat{e}_y,
$$

(3.3)

$$
\frac{da}{d\tau} = \frac{d^2x}{d\tau^2} = \frac{d^2x}{dt^2}.
$$

(3.4)

To calculate the 2.5PN accurate LMF, we need the time derivative of the source multipole moments. Hence we need 2.5PN accurate equations of motion for the compact binary system. We use the same from Ref. [50,51] in the center of mass frame. The equation of motion can be used to write down the following expressions in order to obtain the derivatives of the multipole moments:

$$
\dot{v} = \frac{a \cdot v}{v},
$$

(3.5)

$$
\dot{r} = \frac{1}{r} \left[ (v^2 - \dot{v}^2) + a \cdot x \right],
$$

(3.6)

where $\dot{r}$ and $\dot{\phi}$ denote the first and the second time derivatives of the orbital separation $r$, respectively, and we denote the magnitude of the orbital velocity by $v = |v|$.

To evaluate the instantaneous and the hereditary contributions to the LMF, we would also need the explicit expressions for the various multipole moments for compact binaries moving in quasiecliptical orbits. These are obtained from the long algebraic computations (see Ref. [45] for details) using MPM-PN formalism [52]. The expressions are too long to be explicitly quoted here. Hence we point to Refs. [51,53] for those.

IV. INSTANTANEOUS CONTRIBUTION TO THE LINEAR MOMENTUM FLUX

With all the ingredients provided in the previous sections we now compute the instantaneous contribution to the LMF using the source multipole moments. First, we calculate all the time derivatives of the source multipole moments using the equation of motion as quoted in Ref. [51] at 2.5PN. Next, we perform all the contractions in Eq. (2.15), and the resulting instantaneous linear momentum flux in terms of dynamical variables $(r, \dot{r}, v, \dot{v}, \hat{n})$ is given by
\[
\mathcal{F}_{t} = \frac{64 G^3 m^4}{105 \, \rho c^7} \sqrt{1 - 4 \eta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{4G}{r^2} \right) \left( \frac{45}{2} \, r^2 + \frac{55}{8} \, v^2 \right) + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{G^2 m^2}{r^3} \right) \left( \frac{295}{24} \, r^2 + \frac{121}{32} \, \eta \right) + \frac{Gm}{r} \left( \frac{-4358}{48} + \frac{239}{12} \, \eta \right) \, v^2 + \left( \frac{12301}{144} - \frac{73}{9} \, \eta \right) \, \hat{r} \right] + v^2 \hat{r} \left( \frac{851}{24} + \frac{779}{24} \, \eta \right) - v^2 \hat{r} \left( \frac{1417}{12} - \frac{1877}{24} \, \eta \right) \right] + \frac{Gm}{r} \left( \frac{1843}{24} - \frac{259}{6} \, \eta \right) \, v^2 + \frac{1}{c^4} \left( \frac{35}{22} + \frac{123565}{264} \, \eta \right) \, \hat{r}^2 
\]

\[
- \frac{5495}{33} \, \eta \right) + \frac{G^3 m^3}{r^3} \left[ \frac{52781}{1584} + \frac{15773}{264} \, \eta - \frac{607}{264} \, \eta \right] + \frac{G^2 m^2}{r^2} \left[ \frac{392432}{1584} + \frac{5685}{32} \, \eta - \frac{14437}{528} \, \eta \right] \, \hat{r}^2 + \left( \frac{189413}{396} \right) \, \hat{r} 
\]

\[
- \frac{754361}{1056} \, \eta \right) + \frac{26527}{3168} \, \eta \right) \, \hat{r}^2 \left( \frac{329405}{528} + \frac{102581}{96} + \frac{21603}{88} \, \eta \right) - \frac{8 \, \hat{r}^2}{r^2} - \frac{2 \, \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{5363}{132} + \frac{150719}{132} \, \eta - \frac{497 \, \eta}{2} \right) \right] + \frac{v^2 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{1327}{33} + \frac{900359}{1056} - \frac{5240}{11} \, \eta \right) 
\]

\[
+ \frac{v^6 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{1237}{90} + \frac{6607}{180} \, \eta \right) - \frac{Gm}{r} \left( \frac{701}{96} \, \eta \right) \right) \right] \hat{r} + \frac{v^2 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{925}{48} - \frac{259}{12} \, \eta \right) \right] 
\]

\[
- \frac{v^2 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{245}{3} + \frac{1285}{24} \, \eta \right) + \frac{v^2 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{2663}{48} - \frac{697}{24} \, \eta \right) + \frac{1}{c^4} \left( \frac{Gm}{r} \right) \left( \frac{47107}{332} + \frac{214813}{1056} \, \eta \right) \right] + \frac{v^2 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{199993}{352} \right) 
\]

\[
- \frac{v^2 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{163247}{176} + \frac{221851}{1056} \, \eta \right) \right] - \frac{v^2 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{120737}{264} - \frac{694453}{1056} \, \eta + \frac{40229}{396} \, \eta \right) + \frac{G^2 m^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{955835}{4752} + \frac{4047}{144} \, \eta + \frac{3327}{176} \, \eta \right) 
\]

\[
- \frac{v^2 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{381131}{1584} - \frac{62105}{1584} \, \eta - \frac{10855}{1584} \, \eta \right) + \frac{G^3 m^3}{r^2} \left[ \frac{236347}{4752} + \frac{1913}{44} \, \eta - \frac{1103}{396} \, \eta \right] + \frac{v^6 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{7741}{264} - \frac{54215}{528} \, \eta + \frac{5741}{66} \, \eta \right) 
\]

\[
- \frac{v^4 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{73439}{528} - \frac{38405}{66} \, \eta + \frac{12765}{44} \, \eta \right) + \frac{v^4 \hat{r}^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{30271}{132} - \frac{39085}{48} \, \eta + \frac{25607}{88} \, \eta \right) 
\]

\[
- \frac{Gm}{r c^5} \left( \frac{157787}{480} \, v^4 - \frac{39869}{60} \, v^2 \hat{r}^2 + \frac{31913}{96} \, \hat{r} \right) + \frac{Gm}{r} \left[ \frac{10773}{40} \, v^2 - \frac{99277}{360} \, \hat{r} \right] + \frac{Gm}{r c^5} \left( \frac{737 G^2 m^2}{36} \right) \right] \hat{r}. \tag{4.1} 
\]

As a consistency check, we confirm that Eq. (4.1) agrees with the result provided in Ref. [54] and the nonspinning contribution to the LMF quoted in Ref. [55]. One may notice here that the component of the linear momentum flux along the radial direction (i.e., the term associated with the radial direction, \( \mathbf{x} \)) depends on \( \hat{r} \), and hence in case of quasicircular orbit contributions from these terms are 0 and the emission of linear momentum is along the direction of the relative velocity vector, \( \mathbf{v} \). Although this is true only up to relative 2PN order.

The above expression for linear momentum flux is given in terms of generic dynamical variables \( r, \hat{r}, \) and \( v \). While specializing to the case of quasiequilibrium orbits, it is convenient to express these dynamical variables in terms of the parameters associated with quasiequilibrium orbits, namely the generalized QKR of the orbital dynamics. One needs 2.5PN QKR to compute the 2.5PN LMF in terms of the orbital parameters. In the next section we briefly start with the description of the parametrization of Keplerian orbits followed by its PN generalization, the quasi-Keplerian (QK) representation.

V. KEPLERIAN AND QUASI-KEPLERIAN PARAMETRIZATION

The Keplerian parametrization for the Newtonian motion of a compact binary system is widely used in describing celestial mechanics. In polar coordinates and in the center of mass frame, the parametrization is given by

\[
r_N = a_N (1 - e_N \cos u), \tag{5.1a}
\]

\[
\phi_N = V_N, \tag{5.1b}
\]

\[
I_N = n(t - t_0) = u - e \sin u, \tag{5.1c}
\]

\[
v = V_N(u) = 2 \arctan \left( \frac{1 + e}{1 - e} \tan \left( \frac{u}{2} \right) \right), \tag{5.1d}
\]
where subscript N denotes the Newtonian quantities. \( r_N \) and \( \phi_N \) together define the relative separation vector, \( r_N = r_N(\cos \phi_N, \sin \phi_N, 0) \). The semimajor axis of the orbit is \( a_N \) with an eccentricity \( e_N \). Both of these can be written in terms of the conserved orbital energy and angular momentum which completely define the orbits. Here \( u, \, v, \, l \) are the eccentric, true, and mean anomalies and \( n \) is the mean motion, \( n = 2\pi/P \), where \( P \) is the radial orbital (periastron to periastron) period.

Having discussed the Keplerian representation (KR), we now describe the PN extension of the KR, the quasi-Keplerian representation. In 1985, Damour and Deruelle generalized this parametrization up to 1PN [56] and proposed a “Keplerian like parametrization.” Later in Refs. [57–59] the 2PN extension of the parametric solution has been quoted. The 3PN extension of the same is discussed in Ref. [60]. The 3PN QK parametrization is obtained considering 3PN conservative contributions to the binary motion, and it admits very similar expressions as the Keplerian one but with more complex structure. To obtain 2.5PN accurate LMF, it is sufficient to use 2PN accurate QKR of the orbital motion. Hence, in this section we only describe the 2PN QKR of the conservative dynamics as an extension of Eqs. (5.1),

\[
\begin{align*}
  r &= a_r(1 - e, \cos u), \quad \text{(5.2a)} \\
  \phi &= \lambda + W(l; n, e_l), \quad \text{(5.2b)} \\
  \lambda &= (1 + k)n(t - t_0) + c_l, \quad \text{(5.2c)} \\
  W(l; n, e_l) &= (1 + k)(v - l) + \frac{f_{4\phi}}{c^4} \sin 2v \\
  &\quad + \frac{g_{4\phi}}{c^4} \sin 3v, \quad \text{(5.2d)} \\
  l &= n(t - t_0) + c_l \\
  &= u - e_l \sin u + \frac{g_{4u}}{c^4} (v - u) + \frac{f_{4u}}{c^4} \sin v, \quad \text{(5.2e)} \\
  v &= V(u) \equiv 2\arctan \left[ \left( \frac{1 + e_\phi}{1 - e_\phi} \right)^2 \tan \left( \frac{u}{2} \right) \right]. \quad \text{(5.2f)}
\end{align*}
\]

The expressions of the functions \( f_{4u}, \, g_{4u}, \, f_{4\phi}, \) and \( g_{4\phi} \) are given in Ref. [60]. \( a_r \) is some 2PN equivalent “semimajor axis.” Unlike the KR, in QKR, there appear three eccentricities \( e_r, \, e_l, \) and \( e_\phi \), instead of one to completely parametrize the motion. These eccentricities can also be written in terms of the 2PN conserved energy and the angular momentum. In the literature, it has been found to be convenient to use only \( e \), and the mean motion \( n \) as the constants of motion and express all the dynamical variables in terms of these two [23]. Additionally one uses a combination of total mass and \( n \) given by \( \zeta = Gmn/c^3 \), as a PN expansion parameter. However, it is equivalent to use \( x \) \( \sim (Gmn)^{2/3} \) with \( \omega \) being the orbital frequency] and \( e_i \) instead of \( \zeta \) and \( e_i \). Since the convenient choice for a binary moving in quasi-circular orbit would be to use \( x \) as the PN expansion parameter, we stick to the variable \( x \) to express all our quantities here. One may notice that the PN expansion of LMF, Eq. (4.1), is expressed as a series in \( 1/c \). One can easily use the relation between \( n, \, c, \, \zeta, \) and \( x \) in order to get the correct expression of the concerned quantity at every PN order. For the convenience of the readers, we provide the explicit expression, used in our calculation, for \( \zeta \) in terms of \( x, \, e_i, \) below,

\[
\begin{align*}
  \zeta &= \frac{x^{3/2}}{(1 - e_i^2)^3} \left\{ 1 - 3e_i^4 + 3e_i^4 - e_i^6 + x(-3 + 6e_i^2 - 3e_i^4) \right. \\
  &\quad + x^2 \left[ -\frac{9}{2} + 7\eta + \left( \frac{-33}{4} - \frac{\eta}{2} \right) e_i^2 + \left( \frac{51}{4} - \frac{13\eta}{2} \right) e_i^4 \right] \}. \\
  \text{(5.3)}
\end{align*}
\]

## VI. Instantaneous LMF for Compact Binaries in Terms of Quasi-Keplerian Parameters in the Small Eccentricity Limit

We have provided all the necessary ingredients to compute LMF from a compact binary moving in quasircular orbit in terms of its orbital elements. As a next step, we reexpress the instantaneous contribution to the LMF in terms of QK parameters. To be precise, we use Eqs. (5.2) to reexpress Eq. (4.1) in terms of \( \{x, \, e_i, \, u, \, \phi\} \). For the instantaneous contributions we do not assume the orbital eccentricity to be small and quote the complete closed form expression valid for arbitrary values of eccentricity. We find it convenient to express the quantities in terms of \( \phi \) and \( u \) both which help to obtain the circular orbit limits quite straightforwardly. Finally, we quote the instantaneous contribution to the LMF emitted by a nonspinning compact binary system in a quasielliptical orbit,

\[
\begin{align*}
  \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} &= \frac{464 e_i^4}{105 G} \sqrt{1 - 4\eta^2} x^{11/2} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - e_i^2)^3(1 - \cos u)^4} \right)^{1/2} \\
  &\times \left[ \begin{array}{c}
  \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} \cos \phi \\
  \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} \sin \phi \\
  \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
  \cos \phi \\
  \sin \phi \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
  \hat{e}_x \\
  \hat{e}_y \\
  \end{array} \right], \quad \text{(6.1)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
  \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} &= \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} \cos \phi \cos \psi, \quad + \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} \cos \phi \sin \psi, \quad + x^{3/2} \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} \sin \phi \cos \psi, \quad \text{2PN} \\
  &\quad + x^{5/2} \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} \sin \phi \sin \psi \cos \phi. \quad \text{2.5PN}, \quad \text{(6.2)}
\end{align*}
\]

where the explicit contributions at different PN orders are the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \mathbf{F}_{\text{inst}} \cos \phi \cos \psi &= \frac{e_i^2}{58} (1 - e_i^2)^2 (1 - e_i \cos u)^4 \\
  &\times (9 - 6e_i^2 - 4e_i \cos u + e_i^2 \cos 2u) \sin u, \quad \text{(6.3)}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\mathcal{F}_{\text{lin}, \phi;1PN}^{\text{inst}} = -\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\phi;1PN}}{4176} (1 - e^2)(1 - e \cos u) \sin u \left[ 52(183 + 29 \eta) + e^2(7396 - 13190 \eta - 7e^2[2986 - 2727 \eta + e^4(10920 - 7407 \eta)] - 4e \cos u (6948 - 1754 \eta - e^2[7369 - 2586 \eta] + e^4[3193 - 832 \eta]) + 2e^2 \cos 2u (1804 - 95 \eta + 4e^2[404 - 67 \eta] - e^4[648 - 363 \eta]) - e^4(4 \cos 3u [145 + 2 \eta + e^2(251 - 2 \eta)] - 3e \cos [4u] [46 + e^2(20 - \eta)] ) \right],
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\text{lin}, \phi;2PN}^{\text{inst}} = -\left( e^4 \left[-\frac{2473}{5742} + \frac{483137}{5936} \eta - \frac{1645}{12528} \eta^2 + e^2 \left[\frac{1537571}{34452} + \frac{462007}{45936} \eta - \frac{481315}{37808} \eta^2 \right] \cos u \right] + e^4 \left[ -\frac{1327349}{34452} - 2556193 \eta + \frac{1102464}{22968} \eta^2 \right] + e^2 \left[ \frac{120992}{11484} + \frac{207}{658} \eta + \frac{8803}{6904} \eta^2 \right] \cos 2u \right) + e^4 \left[ \frac{334223}{2088} - \frac{5124793}{1102464} \eta - 2525237 \eta^2 \right] + e^2 \left[ \frac{1826369}{34452} - \frac{19955}{594} \eta + \frac{1280395}{137808} \eta^2 \right] \cos 2u + e^4 \left[ \frac{38389}{17222} - \frac{603781}{367488} \eta + \frac{61339}{1102464} \eta^2 \right] \cos 4u \right) + e^2 \left[ -9995893 \cos 5u \right] + e^4 \left[ \frac{6995893}{16744} + \frac{45896101}{551232} \eta - \frac{25446325}{551232} \eta^2 + \frac{25213079}{551232} \eta^3 - \frac{22646075}{2104928} \eta^4 \right] \cos 5u \right) + e^2 \left[ \frac{137465}{1584} - \frac{13144529}{137808} \eta + \frac{4279205}{137808} \eta^2 \right] \cos 2u \right) + e^4 \left[ -\frac{9437}{1056} + \frac{26338153}{367488} \eta - \frac{4153147}{122496} \eta^2 - \frac{58459811}{1102464} \eta^3 + \frac{10073}{38352} \eta^4 \right] \cos 4u \right) + e^2 \left[ \frac{335}{50112} \cos 5u \right] + e^4 \left[ \frac{65655203}{275616} - \frac{13503565}{275616} \eta - \frac{65655203}{275616} \eta^2 \right] \cos 5u \right) + e^2 \left[ \frac{89009}{25056} \eta - \frac{335}{50112} \eta^2 \right] \cos 2u \right) + e^4 \left[ -9437 \cos 5u \right] + e^2 \left[ \frac{274789}{11484} + \frac{179717}{275616} \eta + \frac{1525295}{275616} \eta^2 \right] \cos 2u \right) + e^4 \left[ \frac{7345}{4176} + \frac{416081}{551232} \eta + \frac{16189}{50112} \eta^2 \right] \cos 4u \right) \right]
\times \sin u + \frac{1}{232} \sqrt{1 - e^2 e_i (1 - e^2)[5 - \eta] (1 - e \cos u)^3} \times \sin u(252 - 10 \eta \cos u - e^2(256 - 24 \cos 2u) - e^4(11 \cos u - \cos 3u)),
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\text{lin}, \phi;2.5PN} = \frac{1}{10440} (1 - e^2) \eta \left[ -20508 + 12700 e \cos u \right] + e^2 \left[ 82035 - 149499 \cos 2u \right] + e^4 \left[ 56418 \cos u + 5878 \cos 3u \right] + e^2 \left[ 235851 - 271998 \cos 2u - 1129 \cos 4u \right] + e^4 \left[ 46952 \cos u + 4179 \cos 3u + \cos 5u \right] - e^4 \left[ 136804 - 124158 \cos 2u - 1401 \cos 4u - 29 \cos 6u \right],
\]

where the explicit contributions at different PN orders are the following:

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\text{lin}, \phi;N}^{\text{inst}} = \frac{1}{29} (1 - e^2)^{5/2} (1 - e \cos u) \left[ \frac{29}{2} - 4 e_i \cos u - 22 + 3 \cos 2u \right] e_i^3 \cos \phi.
\]
We find that in the circular orbit limit where $e_i \to 0$, Eq. (6.1) agrees with the 2PN LMF expression provided in Eq. (1) of [21] except for the 1.5PN term, which is a hereditary contribution discussed later in Sec. VII. We also confirm that in the same limit, our instantaneous contribution at 2.5PN in Eq. (6.1) agrees with Eq. (3.13) of Ref. [22] provided an additional postadiabatic contribution [which is given in Eq. (8.9)] is added. Next, we cross-check various limiting cases of these results. In the Newtonian limit, Eq. (6.1) does not represent Eq. (2.23) of Ref. [18] in its present form. By accurately replacing $u$ with $\phi$, we recover the correct limit. To be noted here, the replacement of $u$ in terms of $\phi$ at each successive order leads to aperiodic terms, having linear or quadratic dependence on $\phi$ along with all the periodic terms in $\phi$. Here, one would readily agree that only the periodic terms in $\phi$ contribute to the expression of the recoil for quasicircular orbits, since the other contributions are functions of eccentricity and hence 0. The aperiodic terms in $\phi$ arise due to the fact that in this coordinate system defined by $(a_\star, e_i, \phi)$, along with the mass asymmetry that gives rise to the emission of LMF in the first place, there is another asymmetry in the orbital motion. Since the origin of the reference frame is at one of the foci of the elliptical orbits, the velocity at the pericenter is not the same as the velocity at the apocenter. As a result, there is a flux of linear momenta emitted along the preferred (toward the y axis in Ref. [18]) direction. This effect is discussed at the Newtonian order in Eq. (2.23) of Ref. [18]. In order to avoid these aperiodic terms which give rise to the diverging terms with respect to time, we choose to keep all the expressions in terms of $x, e_i, u$, and $\phi$. Having discussed the instantaneous contributions, we
now focus on the hereditary contribution at 1.5PN and 2.5PN in the next section.

VII. HEREDITARY CONTRIBUTION TO THE LINEAR MOMENTUM FLUX

Due to nonlinearity of Einstein’s field equations, the time varying source moments couple to themselves and to the others. This gives rise to the hereditary contributions which depend on the entire history of the system [42]. The leading order hereditary interaction between the mass quadrupole moment \( I_{ij} \) and mass monopole (M or the ADM mass) appears at relative 1.5PN order. To estimate the 2.5PN accurate LMF, we need to calculate the 1.5PN and 2.5PN hereditary contributions to it. The explicit contributions to the hereditary part from various source type multipole moments are quoted in Eq. (2.20).

However, another method is proposed in Ref. [63] where we restrict ourselves in the small eccentricity limit and for the reader’s convenience we rewrite it here, in Eq. (7.5),

\[
\left( \langle F_i \rangle_{\text{hered}} \right)_i = \frac{4G^2 m}{63c^{10}} I_{(j)k}^{(4)}(t) \int_0^\infty d\tau \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{11}{12} \right] \times I_{(j)k}^{(5)}(t - \tau).
\]

To evaluate this integration, we first perform the contraction, \( I_{(j)k}^{(4)}(t)I_{(j)k}^{(5)}(t - \tau) \) to rewrite them as a sum over all their nonzero components explicitly in terms of the QK variables. As a result, this integral is expressed as a sum over a few integrals of the standard form

\[
\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{i[\Delta(t-\tau)+\beta(t-\tau)]} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{11}{12} \right],
\]

which can be reduced to

\[
e^{i[\Delta(t)+\beta(t)]} \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-i[\Delta(t)+\beta(t)]} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right) + \frac{11}{12} \right],
\]

with the fact that if \( \delta(t) = n(t-t_0) \) at the current time \( t \), then at a retarded time \( (t-\tau) \), \( \delta(t-\tau) \), and \( \lambda(t-\tau) \) are simply \( (\lambda(t) - n\tau) \) and \( \lambda(t) - \lambda(\tau) \), respectively, where \( n \) is the mean motion. The above integrals can be solved using the standard formula given by

\[
\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{i\sigma \tau \ln \left( \frac{\tau}{2\tau_0} \right)} = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \text{Sign}(\sigma) + i(\ln(2|\sigma r_0| + \gamma_E)) \right],
\]

with \( \sigma \) being a combination of \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma_E \) (the Euler constant) and the function \( \text{Sign}(\sigma) = \pm 1 \). In this whole computation, we restrict ourselves in the small eccentricity limit and provide the results accurate up to \( O(e) \). Furthermore, since the effects of radiation reaction on the various variables \( x, e, l, \lambda \) start appearing at relative 2.5PN order, hence, they are neglected for the computation of these integrals. All the other integrals are similarly computed to find the complete hereditary contributions at 2.5PN. The two nonzero components of the hereditary contributions to the linear momentum flux in the small eccentricity limit read as

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}} = \frac{464}{105} \, \frac{c^4}{G} \sqrt{1 - 4e^2} \, x^7 \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}}^{\cos \phi} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}}^{\sin \phi} \end{array} \right] \times \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & -\cos \phi \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \hat{e}_x \\ \hat{e}_y \end{array} \right],
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}}^{\cos \phi} = x^{3/2} \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}}^{\cos \phi, 1.5PN} + x^{5/2} \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}}^{\cos \phi, 2.5PN},
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}}^{\sin \phi} = x^{3/2} \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}}^{\sin \phi, 1.5PN} + x^{5/2} \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered}}^{\sin \phi, 2.5PN}.
\]
\[ \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered, cos } \phi, 1.5 PN} = -2 \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} - e_t \left( \frac{1}{841} \log \left[ \frac{11277}{841} + \frac{95951}{841} \log 2 - \frac{463563}{6728} \log 3 + \frac{523}{29} \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} - \frac{39}{29} \pi \sin[u] \right] - e_t^2 \frac{48657}{16820} \right) + \frac{2343}{58} \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} + \frac{945141}{1682} \log 2 - \frac{4852737}{13456} \log 3 - \frac{2767}{464} \pi \sin[2u] + \cos[2u] \left( \frac{126007}{16820} - \frac{463589}{16820} \log 2 \right) \left( 2343 \left( \frac{703125}{1856} \right) \log 5 + \frac{5569}{912} + \frac{18127}{261} \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} \right) - \frac{323099}{16704} + \frac{25325}{5568} \pi \sin[u] \right) \left( \frac{100693}{1740} + \frac{17524951}{302760} \eta \right) \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} + \frac{39737}{18} \frac{4714343}{841} \eta \log 2 - \frac{1099125}{3712} + \frac{23785335}{107648} \eta \log 3 \left( \frac{26328125}{33408} - \frac{26328125}{11136} \eta \right) \log 5 + \frac{533}{6} + \frac{17341}{87} \eta \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} + \cos[2u] \left( \frac{25325}{25230} + \frac{25418317}{302760} \eta \right) \log 5 \left( \frac{20853451}{15138} - \frac{44982391}{7569} \eta \right) \log 2 - \frac{57584331}{107648} + \frac{25176087}{107648} \eta \log 3 \left( \frac{1203125}{1152} - \frac{76484375}{33408} \eta \right) \log 5 + \frac{5225}{912} + \frac{6893}{29} \eta \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} - \pi \sin[2u] \right) \left( \frac{921673}{11136} + \frac{1016891}{33408} \eta \right) \right), \]

(7.12)

where the contributions are

\[ \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered, sin } \phi} = x^{3/2} \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered, cos } \phi, 1.5 PN} + x^{5/2} \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered, cos } \phi, 2.5 PN}, \]

(7.13)

\[ \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered, sin } \phi, 1.5 PN} = \frac{309}{58} \pi + e_t \left( \frac{5361}{116} \pi \cos u + \left( \frac{124698}{4205} + \frac{95951}{841} \right) \log 2 - \frac{463563}{6728} \log 3 + \frac{407}{29} \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} \sin u \right) + e_t^2 \frac{23967}{232} \pi \]

(7.14)

\[ \mathcal{F}_{\text{hered, sin } \phi, 2.5 PN} = \frac{2663}{116} \pi - \frac{2185}{87} \pi \eta + e_t \left( \left[ \frac{628025}{5568} + \frac{4862903}{16704} \right] \pi \cos u + \left[ \frac{5025971}{25230} - \frac{21195599}{302760} \eta \right] - \frac{1643716}{2523} \pi \right) \left[ \frac{13725027}{53824} + \frac{16059079}{53824} \eta \right] \log 3 + \frac{234375}{1856} \pi - \frac{703125}{1856} \eta \log 5 - \frac{7958}{87} \left[ \frac{1645}{29} \eta \log \frac{n}{\omega_0} \sin u \right] + e_t^2 \left( \frac{4328065}{33408} - \frac{26893253}{33408} \pi - \frac{1738843}{33408} \eta + \frac{30155227}{33408} \pi \right) \cos[2u] \]

(7.15)

where

\[ \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\tau_0} \exp \left[ \frac{5921}{1740} + \frac{48}{29} \log 2 - \frac{405}{116} \log 3 - \gamma_E \right]. \]
VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER

In Sec. V we have discussed the conservative quasi-Keplerian description of the binary motion and in the following two sections, obtain the instantaneous and the hereditary contributions to the LMF corresponding to the following two sections, obtain the instantaneous and the Keplerian description of the binary motion and in the angles associated with the initial values of the two parameters \( \ell \) and \( \lambda \), respectively [see Eq. (5.2)]. Now in order to obtain LMF at 2.5PN we need to incorporate the effect of the radiation reaction on these constants which makes \( x \) and \( e \) to be a time varying function and the equations for the angles \( \ell \) and \( \lambda \), i.e., Eqs. (5.2c) and (5.2c), modify to

\[
I(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} n(t') dt' + c_1(t), \quad (8.1)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}}
\]

\[
\lambda(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} (1 + k(t')) n(t') dt' + c_2(t). \quad (8.2)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}}
\]

To obtain these complete solutions, one uses the PN accurate equation of motion, Eq. (3.3), with the correct dissipative contributions (see Ref. [63] for a detailed prescription) which were neglected in the case of obtaining QK representation given in Eq. (5.2). Hence, every quantity describing the binary motion has a two scale decomposition: a slow (radiation reaction time scale) secular drift (will be represented by a bar) and a fast periodic oscillation (orbital timescale) (will be represented by a tilde) to obtain the instantaneous contributions to LMF at Newtonian order [in Eqs. (6.1)], substitute

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x}(t) &= \tilde{x}(t) + \tilde{x}(t), \quad (8.3)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}} \\
\tilde{e}_x(t) &= \tilde{e}_x(t) + \tilde{e}_x(t), \quad (8.4)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}} \\
\tilde{c}_1(t) &= \tilde{c}_1(t) + \tilde{c}_1(t), \quad (8.5)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}} \\
\tilde{c}_2(t) &= \tilde{c}_2(t) + \tilde{c}_2(t). \quad (8.6)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}}
\end{align*}
\]

We do not show the detailed computation of these quantities here. We simply quote the expressions for the periodic contributions, \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{e}_x, \tilde{c}_1 \), and \( \tilde{c}_2 \), in terms of their secular counterparts \( x, e_x, c_1, \) and \( c_2 \) following Ref. [63],

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{x}(t) &= \eta x^{5/2} \gamma^2 \left[ 80 \sin(\tilde{t}) + \frac{1436}{15} \tilde{e}_x \sin(2\tilde{t}) \\
&+ \tilde{e}_x^2 \left( \frac{4538}{15} \sin(\tilde{t}) + \frac{6022}{45} \sin(3\tilde{t}) \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{x}^{9/2}), \quad (8.7a)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}}
\end{align*}
\]

As it is evident from the above relations, the periodic contributions \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{e}_x, \tilde{c}_1, \) and \( \tilde{c}_2 \) start at 2.5PN, are fully oscillatory in nature, and thus correctly describe the postadiabatic corrections to the dynamical variables. We adopt these relations to obtain the 2.5PN postadiabatic corrections to the components of LMF. We use the instantaneous contributions to LMF at Newtonian order [in Eqs. (6.1)], substitute

\[
\begin{align*}
x &\rightarrow \tilde{x} + \dot{x}, \quad (8.8a)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}} \\
e_x &\rightarrow \tilde{e}_x + \dot{e}_x, \quad (8.8b)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}} \\
l &\rightarrow \tilde{l} + \dot{l}, \quad (8.8c)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}} \\
\lambda &\rightarrow \tilde{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}, \quad (8.8d)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}}
\end{align*}
\]

and replace \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{e}_x, \tilde{c}_1, \) and \( \tilde{c}_2 \) by their slowly evolving counterparts to obtain the postadiabatic corrections. We find the postadiabatic corrections at 2.5PN to have the following closed-form expressions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{\text{PA}}_{\text{cos} \phi} &= \frac{464}{105} \frac{c^4}{G} \sqrt{1 - 4 m^2} \chi^8 \left[ \mathcal{F}^{\text{PA} \text{cos} \phi} + \mathcal{F}^{\text{PA} \text{sin} \phi} \right] \\
&\times \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & -\cos \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{e}_x \\ \tilde{e}_y \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8.9)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{\text{PA}}_{\text{sin} \phi} &= \frac{16}{435} (-54 - 507 \tilde{e}_x \cos u) \\
&+ \tilde{e}_x^2 [2225 + 20232 \cos(2u)]. \quad (8.10a)_{\text{VIII. POSTADIABATIC CORRECTIONS AT 2.5PN ORDER}}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \mathcal{F}_{\cos \phi}^{PA} = \frac{2}{1305} \tilde{e}_r \sin u (315948 + 620003 \tilde{e}_r \cos u). \quad (8.10b) \]

To be noted here are that this postadiabatic correction applies only to the Newtonian terms, and in the rest of the contributions we can safely replace all the variables simply by their secular counterparts.

**IX. TOTAL LMF AND LOG ABSORPTION**

In the previous sections, we have presented closed form expressions for the various contributions to the LMF components in terms of \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{e}_r, \tilde{u}, \) and \( \tilde{\phi} \). For simplicity, we first reexpress the total LMF components in terms of \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{e}_r, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\lambda} \) instead of \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{e}_r, \tilde{u}, \) and \( \tilde{\phi} \). For this purpose we use Eqs. (25a) and (25b) of Ref. [63].

Now, as the readers would agree that the hereditary components have some dependence on the arbitrary constant \( r_0 \), we find that this arbitrary constant can be reabsorbed by a redefinition of the mean anomaly by

\[ \xi = \lambda - \frac{3GM}{c^3} \ln \left( \frac{\lambda}{x_0^3} \right), \quad (9.1) \]

with \( M \) to be the ADM mass and \( x_0 = \left( \frac{G_{\text{mol}}}{c^2} \right)^{2/3} \). This serves simply as a constant shift to the time coordinate, and hence \( \xi \) and \( l \) follow the same evolution equation,

\[ \frac{d\xi}{dt} = \frac{d\tilde{l}}{dt} = \bar{n}. \]

Similarly, the phase \( \bar{\lambda} \) evaluated at the shifted time has the following form:

\[ \bar{\lambda}_e = \lambda - \frac{3GM}{c^3} (1 + \bar{k}) \ln \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{x_0^3} \right). \quad (9.2) \]

With this shift in the coordinate time, one can also redefine the phase variable as \( \psi \). The relation between these variables are given by

\[ l = \xi + 3 \left( \frac{3GM}{c^3} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \right) \right) \ln \left( \frac{x}{x_0^3} \right), \quad (9.3a) \]

\[ \phi = \psi + \left( \frac{3GM}{c^3} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \right) \right) \ln \left( \frac{x}{x_0^3} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{9GM}{c^3} \bar{e}_r \sin(\xi) \ln^2 \left( \frac{x}{x_0^3} \right). \quad (9.3b) \]

As a result of this redefinition, Eq. (7.9) together with the instantaneous parts in Eqs. (6.1) and the postadiabatic corrections in Eq. (8.9) give rise to the total LMF from the system, given in Eq. (9.4) below,

\[ \mathcal{F} = \frac{464 \times 10^6}{G^4} \sqrt{1 - 4 \eta^2 \lambda^{1/2}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\cos[\lambda_e]}^{\text{Tot}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cos[\lambda_e] \\ \sin[\lambda_e] \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{e}_x \\ \mathbf{e}_y \end{array} \right] \right], \quad (9.4) \]

\[ \mathcal{F}_{\cos[\lambda_e]}^{\text{Tot}} = \frac{125}{58} e_r \sin[\xi] - e_x \left( \frac{929}{348} + \frac{5977}{1044} \eta \right) \sin[\xi] - e_x x^2 \left( \frac{389}{1276} - \frac{337585}{45936} \eta - \frac{918679}{137808} \eta^2 \right) \sin[\xi] + e_x x^{3/2} \left( \frac{106178}{50460} + \frac{117774}{4205} \eta - \frac{328835}{841} \eta \right) \]
To be noted here, although we have computed the total LMF in terms of the secular counterpart of all the variables, we have removed the bar while quoting the final expression of the same in Eq. (9.4). From now onward we will quote all the quantities in terms of the secular variables but represent them without the bar.

\[
\lambda_\xi = \psi - \left[ 2e_r \sin(\xi) + \frac{5}{4} e_r^2 \sin(2\xi) + \bar{r} \left( (10 - \eta) e_r \sin(\xi) + \left( \frac{31}{4} - \eta \right) e_r^2 \sin(2\xi) \right) + \chi^2 \left( \frac{1}{12} (624 - 235\eta + \eta^2) e_r \sin(\xi) \right) + \frac{1}{24} (969 - 326\eta + 2\eta^2) e_r^2 \sin(2\xi) \right] - \chi^{5/2} \eta \left( \frac{128}{5} + \frac{888}{5} e_r \cos(\xi) + \frac{1}{45} e_r^2 (10728 + 8935 \cos(2\xi)) \right). \tag{9.7}
\]

X. ACCUMULATED LMF

Having discussed the flux of linear momentum, we now compute the total linear momentum loss in the binary evolution. Total loss of linear momentum through the binary evolution over the inspiralling quasie lliptic orbits can be obtained by integrating the LMF,

\[
\frac{dP_i}{dt} = \mathcal{F}_i, \tag{10.1}
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}_i = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathcal{F}_i dt'. \tag{10.2}
\]

To perform the integration in Eq. (10.1) we follow the prescription for the computation of the memory terms provided in Ref. [64] and extend it to the accuracy needed here. As one would immediately identify by replacing Eq. (9.4) in Eq. (10.1) that every term is of the form

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \chi^p e_i^q e^{i(\lambda_\xi + r\xi)}, \tag{10.3}
\]

One may wish to reexpress the above equation in terms of the new phase variable \(\psi\) and \(\xi\) using Eq. (9.7) below. As a consistency check, in the limit \(e_r \to 0\), the above expression reduces to the estimate of LMF from a binary moving in a quasicircular orbit [see Eq. (1) of Ref. [21]] once \(\lambda_\xi\) is replaced by \(\psi\),

\[
\mathcal{P} = \frac{464}{105} \sqrt{1 - 4\eta^2} c m \chi^4 \left[ \mathcal{P}_{\cos[\lambda_\xi]} \sin[\lambda_\xi] \left( \begin{array}{c} \cos[\lambda_\xi] \\ \sin[\lambda_\xi] \end{array} \right) \left[ \begin{array}{c} \hat{e}_x \\ \hat{e}_y \end{array} \right] \right], \tag{10.5}
\]

where \(p, q, r, s\) are different integers depending on the particular term. From the definition of \(\lambda_\xi\), we remind the readers that \(\lambda_\xi = (1 + k)\xi\) and \(\xi = n\tau\). We quote the final result for integration in Eq. (10.3) below,

\[
- \frac{i}{n(r + s(1 + k))} \left( 1 - \frac{3i\chi(T_R)}{8(r + s(1 + k))} \right) - \frac{i\chi'(T_R)}{(r + s(1 + k))} \left( -\frac{p}{4} + \frac{19q}{48} \right) \chi^p e^q e^{i(\lambda_\xi + r\xi)}. \tag{10.4}
\]

We also give the detailed computation in Appendix. Furthermore, we observe that there are two types of terms. In the first case, when \(r \neq -s\), we identify as the fast oscillatory terms, preserving the usual PN notion in their expansion. On the other hand, when \(r = -s\), the terms oscillate on the periastron precession timescale hence slowly oscillating. As a result they are enhanced by 1PN. We quote our final accumulated LMF expressions in Eq. (10.5). As evident from Eq. (10.5), we observe a relative \(-1PN\) and a \(0.5PN\) term appearing in the expression due to the argument presented above. This effect is also seen in computation of slow oscillatory memory for the multipole moment [64]. These terms are not present in the case of quasicircular orbits.
As an algebraic test, in the limit $e_\xi \to 0$, we recover the correct circular orbit result presented in Ref. [22] by replacing $\lambda_\xi$ with $\psi$ where $\psi$ is the orbital phase in case of compact binaries in quasicircular orbits.

To compare with previously reported results for binaries in quasicircular orbits, we identify the leading order contributions to the components of center of energy velocity by $v_x = -\mathcal{P}_x/m$ and $v_y = -\mathcal{P}_y/m$ [the leading order terms from Eq. (10.5)] at Newtonian order up to $\mathcal{O}(e_\xi)$,

$$v_x = -\frac{464}{105} \sqrt{1 - 4\eta^2} c x^4 \left( \cos[\lambda_\xi] + \frac{91}{116x} e_\xi \cos[\lambda_\xi - \xi] - e_\xi \left( \frac{9487}{2088} + \frac{301\nu}{232} \cos[\lambda_\xi - \xi] - \frac{523}{232} \cos[\lambda_\xi + \xi] \right) \right). \quad (10.6)$$

$$v_y = -\frac{464}{105} \sqrt{1 - 4\eta^2} c x^4 \left( \sin[\lambda_\xi] + \frac{91}{116x} e_\xi \sin[\lambda_\xi - \xi] - e_\xi \left( \frac{9487}{2088} + \frac{301\nu}{232} \sin[\lambda_\xi - \xi] - \frac{523}{232} \sin[\lambda_\xi + \xi] \right) \right). \quad (10.7)$$

We replace $\lambda_\xi$, $\xi$, and $u$ in Eqs. (10.6) and (10.7) in terms of $\phi$ at the leading order using $\lambda_\xi = (1 + k)\xi$, $\xi = (u - e_\xi \sin[\phi])$, and $u = \phi - e_\xi \sin[\phi]$ which results in
\[ v_x = -\frac{464}{105} \sqrt{1 - 4\eta^2} \cos[\phi] \left[ \cos[\psi] + \frac{291}{116} \cos^2[\psi] \right] \nonumber \]
\[ - \frac{e_i}{105} \left( \frac{5009}{1044} + \frac{301}{232} \nu - \frac{91}{116 \xi} \right). \tag{10.8} \]
\[ v_y = \frac{464}{105} \sqrt{1 - 4\eta^2} \sin[\phi] + \frac{291}{232} \sin[2\phi] \right] \nonumber \]
\[ v_{x,\text{additional}} = \frac{464}{105} \sqrt{1 - 4\eta^2} \frac{c x}{t} \left( \frac{5009}{1044} + \frac{301}{232} \nu - \frac{91}{116 \xi} \right). \tag{10.9} \]

We find that \( v_x \) matches with Eq. (3.6) of [18] up to \( O(e_i) \). However, we find an \( O(e_i) \) contribution to the \( v_x \) component of the center of energy velocity, \( v_x \), in addition to the result quoted in Eq. (3.6) of [18]. We quote the additional contribution explicitly below,

\[ v_{x,\text{additional}} = \frac{464}{105} \sqrt{1 - 4\eta^2} \frac{c x}{t} \left( \frac{5009}{1044} + \frac{301}{232} \nu - \frac{91}{116 \xi} \right). \tag{10.10} \]

As this additional contribution depends only on \( e_i \) and \( x \), it does not contribute to the average velocity, and we recover the results reported in Eq. (3.9) of Ref. [18] and Eq. (103) of Ref. [54]. This additional contribution arises because of the doubly periodic nature of the binaries in quasielliptical orbits when higher order PN corrections are adopted. There are two fundamentally different types of contributions that appear while integrating the LMF over time. The usual fast oscillatory terms give rise to the contributions quoted in Eqs. (10.6), (10.7), and (10.10) through \( v_y \) and \( (v_x - v_{x,\text{additional}}) \) [as previously reported in Eq. (3.6) of [18]]. The slowly increasing contribution, which oscillates in the periastron timescale, causes a difference in the LMF between the early and the very late times in the binary dynamics. Hence after integrating over time, the slow oscillatory contributions are enhanced by 1PN and give rise to the additional aperiodic terms given in Eq. (10.10), at \(-1PN \) and the Newtonian order. For example, when \( s = -r \), Eq. (10.4) has an overall factor of \(-2.5PN \) which together with 1.5PN terms in the LMF contributes to the \(-1PN \) term. Similarly, other different combinations give rise to the additional terms at Newtonian order. This is a very similar effect as seen in computation of slow oscillatory memory integrals for the multipole moments [64].

**XI. Conclusion**

In this paper we compute the rate of loss of linear momentum in the far zone of a nonspinning inspiralling compact binary system in quasielliptical orbits. We use QK representation of the orbital variable at 2.5PN. We quote the linear momentum flux accurate up to \( O(e_i) \) at 2.5PN. We also provide a closed form expression for the accumulated linear momentum over the binary evolution. Unlike the linear momentum flux, we observe an additional \(-1PN \) and a \( 0.5PN \) term appearing in the expression for the total linear momentum [see Eq. (10.5)]. This is a very similar effect as seen in the computation of the slow oscillatory memory presented in Ref. [64].

In most of the previous literature [21,22], Eq. (10.1) is used to compute the recoil of the center of mass of the binary where the recoil velocity, \( V_i = -P_i/m \), although, recently in Ref. [65], the authors have argued that the momentum balance equation for the compact binary system will have an additional contribution from the flux associated with the center of mass position. Hence identification of \(-P_i/m \) to the recoil velocity may lead to underestimation of the same. We postpone the calculation of the center of mass flux and the recoil for future work.
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**Appendix: Integrals Used to Compute the Accumulated LMF**

We extensively use the method developed for the oscillatory memory integrals in Ref. [64] to compute the accumulated linear momentum over the binary evolution. However, to achieve the desired accuracy for our calculation, we extend the procedure to one higher order. We provide the complete prescription here. For convenience we set \( G = c = 1 \) in this Appendix. We define the standard integral form [Eq. (10.3)] that has to be computed as

\[ S_{osc} = \int_{-\infty}^{T_x} dt x^p(t) e_i^q(t) e^{i(x_i^p + r_i^q)}. \tag{A1} \]

The eccentric orbit is assumed to evolve only with the secular radiation-reaction equations [5,6] given by

\[ \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{c^3 \eta}{G m (1 - e_i^2)^{7/2}} \left( \frac{64}{5} + \frac{584}{15} e_i^2 + \frac{74}{15} e_i^4 \right), \tag{A2a} \]

\[ \frac{de_i}{dt} = -\frac{c^3 \eta}{G m (1 - e_i^2)^{5/2}} \left( \frac{304}{15} + \frac{121}{15} e_i^4 \right). \tag{A2b} \]

with \( e_i = 1 \) in the remote past when \( x = 0 \). We ignore all the astrophysical process such as mass loss during the binary evolution.
We rewrite the evolution Eq. (A2) accurately up to the leading order in \( x \) and keep terms at \( \mathcal{O}(e_i^2) \),

\[
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \frac{64\eta x^3(t)}{5m}
\left[ 1 + \frac{157}{24} e_i^2(t) \right],
\]  
(A3)

and integrate it over a time interval up to some coalescence time \( T_C \), where the orbital frequency and therefore \( x \) tends to infinity:

\[
\int_{t_i}^{T_C} dt = \int_{x(t_i)}^{\infty} \frac{dx(t)}{x(t)}.
\]  
(A4)

Thereby, we find an explicit relation between the orbital frequency (related to \( x \)) and time \( t \):

\[
T_C - t = \frac{5m}{256\eta x^4(t)} \left[ 1 - \frac{157}{43} e_i^2(t) \right].
\]  
(A5)

Considering only the leading order, we find

\[
e_i(t) = e_i(T_R) \left( \frac{x(T_R)}{x(t)} \right)^{19/12},
\]  
(A6)

\[
x(t) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{5m}{\eta(T_C - t)} \right)^{1/4}
\left[ 1 - \frac{157}{172} e_i^2(T_R) \left( \frac{T_C - t}{T_C - T_R} \right)^{19/24} \right].
\]  
(A7)

For our convenience we introduce a new integration variable \( y = \frac{T_C - t}{T_C - T_R} \) and the different time-dependent quantities in terms of \( y \) and their values at the current time \( T_R \). For \( x \) we find

\[
x(y) = x(T_R)(1 + y)^{-1/4}
\left[ 1 - \frac{157}{172} e_i^2(T_R) \left( (1 + y)^{19/24} - 1 \right) \right],
\]  
(A8)

and for the eccentricity we find

\[
e_i(y) = e_i(T_R)(1 + y)^{9/48}.
\]  
(A9)

Note that in the remote past as \( y \to \infty \), the eccentricity evolves until it reaches the maximum value of 1. Furthermore, the redefined mean anomaly \( \xi(t) \) in terms of \( y \) and its value at the current time is the following:

\[
\xi(t) = \xi(T_C) - \int_{t_i}^{t} dt' n(t') = \xi(T_C) - \frac{1}{m} \int_{t_i}^{t} dt' \chi^{3/2}(t')
\]

\[
= \xi(T_C) - \frac{(T_C - T_R)}{m} \int_{-1}^{y} dy' \chi^{3/2}(y').
\]  
(A10)

Now inserting \( x(y) \) given in Eq. (A8) we find

\[
\xi(t) = \xi(T_C) - \frac{8(T_C - T_R)\chi^{3/2}(T_R)}{5m}(1 + y)^{5/8}
\times \left[ 1 - \frac{471}{11696} e_i^2(T_R)(15(1 + y)^{19/24} - 34) \right],
\]  
(A11)

where \( \xi(T_R) \) is the value of \( \xi \) at the coalescence. Hence, the mean anomaly at the current time \( T_R \) is given by

\[
\xi(T_R) = \xi(T_C) - \frac{8(T_C - T_R)\chi^{3/2}(T_R)}{5m}
\left[ 1 + \frac{8949}{11696} e_i^2(T_R) \right].
\]  
(A12)

Now using Eqs. (A11) and (A12) we find \( \xi(t) \) in terms of \( \xi(T_R) \) and \( y \),

\[
\xi(t) = \xi(T_R) - \frac{8(T_C - T_R)\chi^{3/2}(T_R)}{5m}(1 + y)^{5/8}
\left[ 1 - \frac{471}{11696} e_i^2(T_R) \left( 19 - 34(1 + y)^{5/8} + 15(1 + y)^{17/12} \right) \right].
\]  
(A13)

where \( n(T_R) = \chi^{3/2}(T_R)/m \) at leading order. We rewrite the adiabatic parameter \( \chi(T_R) \) in terms of \( x(T_R) \) and \( e(T_R) \).

\[
\chi(T_R) = \frac{256\eta}{5} x^{3/2}(T_R)
\left[ 1 + \frac{157}{43} e_i^2(T_R) \right].
\]  
(A15)

We express \( \xi(t) \) in Eq. (A13) in terms of \( \chi(T_R) \).
\[ \xi(t) = \xi(T_R) - \frac{8}{5\chi(T_R)}[(1 + y)^{5/8} - 1]\left[1 - \frac{471}{1169} \epsilon^2(T_R) \frac{19 - 34(1 + y)^{5/8} + 15(1 + y)^{17/12}}{(1 + y)^{5/8} - 1}\right]. \]  
(A16)

Now using Eqs. (A8), (A9), and (A16), we rewrite Eq. (A1) as an integral over \( y \):

\[ J_{\text{mem}} = (T_C - T_K) \int_0^\infty dy \, x^p(y) e^q(y) e^{i(2\chi(y) + r\xi(y))} \]

\[ = (T_C - T_K) e^{i(r+s(1+k))\xi(T_R)} \int_0^\infty dy \, x^p(y) e^q(y) \exp\left\{-\frac{8i(r + s(1 + k))}{5\chi(T_R)} [(1 + y)^{5/8} - 1]\right\} \]

\[ \times \left[1 - \frac{471}{1169} \epsilon^2(T_R) \frac{19 - 34(1 + y)^{5/8} + 15(1 + y)^{17/12}}{(1 + y)^{5/8} - 1}\right]\}. \]  
(A17)

Schematically the above integral can be written as,

\[ J_{\text{mem}} \sim \int_0^\infty dy \, f(y) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right]. \]  
(A18)

We use the technique, integrate by parts, and use the result from the following type of integral:

\[ \int dy \, e^{sg(y)} = -\frac{i}{sg'(y)} e^{sg(y)} - \frac{g''(y)}{s^2g'(y)^2} e^{sg(y)} + O(g'(y))^{-3}. \]  
(A19)

This formula is valid as long as \( g'(y) \) is sufficiently large. Integrating Eq. (A18) by parts we get

\[ J_{\text{mem}} \sim f(y) \left[ -\frac{i\chi(T_R)}{g'(y)} - \chi(T_R)^2 g''(y)' \right] exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] \int_0^\infty dy \, f'(y) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] + O(\chi(T_R)^3) \]  
(A20)

\[ \sim f(y) \left[ -\frac{i\chi(T_R)}{g'(y)} - \chi(T_R)^2 g''(y)' \right] exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] \int_0^\infty dy \, f'(y) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] \int_0^\infty dy \, f'(y) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] + O(\chi(T_R)^3) \]  
(A21)

\[ \sim f(y) \left[ \frac{i\chi(T_R)}{g'(y)} + \chi(T_R)^2 g''(y)' \right] exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] y=0 + \chi(T_R) \times f'(y) \left[ \frac{i\chi(T_R)}{g'(y)} \right] y=0 \]  
(A22)

\[ \sim f(y) \left[ \frac{i\chi(T_R)}{g'(y)} + \chi(T_R)^2 g''(y)' \right] exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] \int_0^\infty dy \, f'(y) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] \int_0^\infty dy \, f'(y) \exp\left[\frac{i}{\chi(T_R)} g(y)\right] y=0 \]  
(A23)

Now in our case the different functions are:

\[ f'(y)|_{y=0} = \left[px^{p-1}e^q dx dy + qxe^p e^{q-1} dy\right]_{y=0} \]

\[ = -\frac{p}{4} x^{p-1} + \frac{19}{48} qxe^q, \]  
(A24)

\[ g'(y)|_{y=0} = -(r + s(1 + k)), \]  
(A25)

\[ g''(y)|_{y=0} = \frac{3}{8}(r + s(1 + k)). \]  
(A26)

As \( y \) approaches infinity in the remote past, the quantities \( f(y) = x^p(y)e^q(y) \) and \( f'(y) \) both approach zero. Since at early times \( x \to 0 \) (as the frequency reaches zero), the maximum achievable value for the eccentricity can be 1 in our model. While evaluating the terms at \( y = 0 \), we recover \( x \) and \( e \) at the current time and \( g(0) = 0 \). The derivative \( g'(y) \) in the denominator evaluated at \( y = 0 \) is effectively 1 multiplied by some constants. We keep terms at order \( O(g(T_R)^2) \) and the higher-order contributions can be safely ignored. We find the final result for the integral in Eq. (A1) as
\[ S_{\text{osc}} = -(T_c - T_R) x^p e^q e^{i(x/2 + r/2)} \left( \frac{i\chi(T_R)}{(r + s(1 + k))} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3i\chi(T_R)}{8(r + s(1 + k))} \right) \left( -\frac{p}{4} + \frac{19q}{48} \right) \]

This allows us to compute the oscillatory hereditary integrals in Eq. (10.3).
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