Long-term effects of adenotonsillectomy in children with obstructive sleep apnoea: protocol for a systematic review
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Adenotonsillar hypertrophy is the most important anatomical factor associated with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome in children. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends adenotonsillectomy as the first line of treatment. AT can reduce the apnoea hypopnoea index; however, its effect on long-term outcomes remains unclear.

Methods and analysis: We will conduct an electronic search for randomised controlled trials in MEDLINE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) and EMBASE. We will also identify literature by reviewing the references included in the selected studies and relevant reviews, screening through important scientific conferences, and searching for ongoing trials in the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform. Two researchers will independently undertake selection of studies, data extraction and assessment of the risk of bias of included studies. We will estimate pooled risk ratios for dichotomous data, and mean difference or standardised mean difference for continuous outcomes. A random-effects model will be used for meta-analyses. Data synthesis and other analyses will be conducted using RevMan V.5.3 software.

Ethics and dissemination: No ethics approval is considered necessary. The results of this study will be disseminated via peer-reviewed publications and social networks.

Trial registration number: CRD42015022102.

BACKGROUND

Description of the condition
Obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) is the most severe form of sleep-disordered breathing. It includes episodes of increased upper airway resistance and respiratory effort with partial or complete upper airway obstruction and combinations of snoring, intermittent hypoxaemia, hypercarbia and awakenings.1 2 In children, OSA occurs at different ages and its prevalence ranges from 0.7% to 10% with a peak between 2 and 8 years.3 This syndrome is associated with several adverse outcomes, including cognitive impairment, metabolic and cardiovascular consequences, behaviour deficits4-6 and lower quality of life (QoL).7 The pathophysiological factors implicated in childhood OSA syndrome can be separated in anatomical factors that produce reduction in upper airway calibre and other factors that promote increased upper airway collapsibility such as obesity and presence of upper airway inflammation.5 8

Adenotonsillar hypertrophy is the most important anatomical factor that has been associated with obstructive apnoea.9

How the intervention might work
Removal of the tonsils combined with adenoidectomy eliminates the upper airway obstruction improving the breathing...
pattern. The effectiveness of AT has been confirmed by several studies that show an improvement in the apnoea hypopnoea index measured by objective parameters. Besides, observational studies have suggested that AT improves QoL in paediatric patients with OSA, specifically in areas of general health perception, caregivers’ concerns and family life.

Why it is important to do this review
Until now, there are few reported systematic reviews analysing the long-term effects of adenotonsillectomy in children with sleep-disordered breathing. Previous systematic reviews in this field have reported the impact of AT on sleep apnoea with limited results. Besides, recent evidence showed controversial results in the QoL comparing only adenotonsillectomy versus adenotonsillotomy and including randomised and non-randomised studies. Further, the effect of AT in growth, measured through height and weight, has been evaluated by observational studies. Therefore, it is important to evaluate adenotonsillectomy comprehensively and to provide an up-to-date synthesis of the evidence regarding this question for clinicians and patients, paying especial attention to long-term outcomes.

OBJECTIVES
To evaluate the effects of adenotonsillectomy in children with OSA.

METHODS
3Criteria for considering studies for this review
Types of studies
We will include randomised controlled trials (RCTs).

Types of participants
Participants under 18 years with a diagnosis of OSA based on polysomnographic criteria or subjective measurements will be eligible for inclusion, irrespective of the severity or duration of the disease.

Types of interventions

Treatment group: tonsillectomy and/or adenoidectomy.

Control group:
- Other medical or mechanical intervention
- Other surgical treatments different to tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy
- Watchful or no intervention

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcome: QoL.

Secondary outcomes:
- All cause mortality
- Change in height and/or weight
- Frequency of apnoea or hypopnoea
- Daytime sleepiness
- Snoring
- Complications of surgery

 Searching methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches
We will conduct searches in the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), EMBASE and MEDLINE. We will not apply language or publication status restriction.

The search strategy for MEDLINE (PubMed) is the following:
#1 “Tonsillectomy” [Mesh];
#2 “Palatine Tonsil/surgery” [Mesh];
#3 adenotonsillecto* OR adenotonsilectom* OR tonsillecto* OR tonsillotom* OR adenoidectom* OR tonsillotom*;
#4 (adenotonsil* OR tonsil* OR adenoid* OR “Palatine Tonsil” [Mesh] OR “Adenoids” [Mesh]) AND (“Surgery” [Mesh] OR surger* OR surgic* OR excis* OR extract* OR remov*);
#5 #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4;
#6 “Sleep Apnea Syndromes” [Mesh];
#7 sleep* AND (apnea* OR apnoea*);
#8 hypopnea* OR hypopnoea*;
#9 OSA OR OSASH;
#10 #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9;
#11 randomized controlled trial [pt];
#12 controlled clinical trial [pt];
#13 randomized [tiab];
#14 placebo [tiab];
#15 drug therapy [sh];
#16 randomly [tiab];
#17 trial [tiab];
#18 groups [tiab];
#19 #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18;
#20 animals [mh] NOT humans [mh];
#21 #19 NOT #20;
#22 #5 AND #10 AND #21.

The MEDLINE strategy will be adapted to the syntax and subject headings of the other databases.

Searching other resources
Additional studies will be sought by:
- Manually searching the references of included studies and relevant review articles.
- Contacting experts in the field.
- Searching in the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform.
- Screening conference proceedings of the World Sleep Federation, European Respiratory Society and American Thoracic Society during the past 10 years.

Data collection and analysis
We will follow the guidelines provided in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Analyses will be performed using Review Manager V.5.3 (Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014).
Selection of studies
All publications will be reviewed independently for eligibility by FD and GA. To be eligible, each publication will be assessed to determine if it meets the inclusion criteria as detailed above. Full-text articles of all potentially eligible abstracts will be evaluated by the same reviewers. Any disagreement will be resolved by consensus, or by a third reviewer if needed (GR).

Data extraction and management
Two review authors (FD and GA) will independently complete the data extraction form. The following data will be retrieved:
1. General information of the study: title, journal, year, publication status.
2. Study characteristics: sample size, number of arms, methodology of randomisation and allocation concealment, blinding of participants.
3. Participants: age, sex, race, height, weight, body mass index, time from OSA diagnosis, diagnostic criteria and severity of OSA, previous surgeries, comorbid conditions, concomitant medication and others sleep treatments.
4. Interventions: type of surgery, medical treatments, watchful or no intervention.
5. Outcomes: objective or subjective methods, measuring instrument and scale, follow-up time.
6. Results: point estimates and measures of variability, frequency counts for dichotomous variables, number of patients.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies
Two review authors (FD and GA) will independently assess the risk of bias of each included trial using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool according to the recommendations in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Studies will be judged for having a high, low or unclear risk of bias.

Measures of treatment effect
For dichotomous variables, we will calculate the risk ratio with 95% CI. For continuous variables, we will calculate the mean difference (MD) and/or standardised MD with 95% CI.

Unit of analysis issues
The unit of analysis will be the individual patient. Since only standard-design RCTs are expected, each patient will have received only one intervention. In studies where more than two interventions were compared, extra care will be taken to avoid including the same patients twice in the meta-analysis.

Dealing with missing data
If data are missing in a published report, we will contact the original investigators to request missing data.
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