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Purpose: Pakistan’s stock market has experienced severe downside volatility after its classification in MSCI’s emerging market index in 2016. Global pandemic, political instability and capital limitations through money laundering control mechanisms have exposed the foundations of capital allocation to an escalating risk of default. The situation prerequisites a review of contentious relationship between drivers of economic growth and stock market expansion. This paper seeks to examine the relationship between non-financial and banal drivers of economic growth and their relative impact on stock market development in Pakistan, building on the fact that financial market development is a direct outcome of economic growth and development of the generic capabilities of production and capital formation through profit trails.

Design/Methodology/Approach: ARDL approach is used to analyze the contribution of capital formation and allocation through generic productivity and risk allocation mechanism. The paper discusses GDP growth, Gross fixed capital formation, Private credit and use of IMF credit as instrumental in determining stock market expansion.

Implications/Originality/Value: Originality of the research transpires from the fact that key outputs of real sector have been added to analyze the development of financial market which have long been ignored as extraneous for empirical analysis.

© 2020 Center for Sustainability Research and Consultancy Pakistan under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0

Corresponding author’s email address: areeba.khan@iub.edu.pk

Recommended citation: Khan, A., Ain, Q. and Rashid, H. A. (2020). Drivers of Stock Market Growth in Pakistan: How Relevant is Irrelevant?. Journal of Accounting and Finance in Emerging Economies, 6 (4), 1189-1197

1. Introduction
Stock markets may be considered the economic pulse of a country. In the start of year 2019 it was expected that Pakistan, a developing country facing flagging growth, war on terror, regime change inspired political instability, and money laundering allegations, would be downgraded from Emerging Market Index of Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) to the Frontier Market Index. The semi-
annual index review committee found performance of the listed large and mid-cap stocks as uninspiring however, Pakistan was indorsed to retain its position in the Emerging Market Index till further review.

The dismal market performance was attributed to high volatility and low free float market capitalization, falling short of the minimum standards for MSCI. This was contrary to the expectations of $around $500 million from a total of 1.5-$1.7 trillion in passive portfolio investment influx, forecasted in 2017 (MSCI, 2019). With Covid-19 pandemic hitting in first quarter of 2020, the stock markets took a nosedive losing millions for individual and institutional investors. Dismal economic forecasts loom over a highly volatile stock market with steep decline in annualized returns. The scenario brings attention back to the question regarding nexus of financial markets and economic growth and causal inference acclimatizing the capacity building stipulations. Building capacity in the stock market (Mora & Logan, 2010) will essentially require stabilization of macroeconomic indicators like currency flows, capital accounts FATF regulations, political stability, interest rates, inflation and others. Government expenditures (Rahman et al., 2017), allocation efficiency of capital inflows and physical capital formation generated by real sector (primary sector) contribution to the economy were generally ignored in studies concentrated on financial market growth and expansion, considering fiscal and financial issues different from real time growth recce (Wu et al., 2010). At present, Pakistan is facing the risk of default on debt servicing and other international payments due on Euro bonds and Ijara Sukuk. The economic growth and stock market development nexus gets more complicated under the conditions of default, extending the debate started by Goldsmith (1969) contending the financial sector development as a function of demand and supply of funds. Demigurc Kunt & Levine (1996) were the first to discuss the reverse causality of stock market development with economic growth and financial sector liberalization as a mediating variable. The capacity building of stock market may prompt economic recuperation that Pakistan is so desperately searching for. This paper seeks to identify the drivers of stock market development from real sector, adjusted for risk premium and capital allocation efficacy for economic growth and development. The paper is structured in four sections. First section deals with introduction and background, followed by the second section on stock market expansion literature and capacity building. The third section deals with empirical specification of variables of interest and the fourth section presents the discussion on results and findings.

2. Stock market expansion and capacity building for economic development (Buffet ratio)

The financial markets and growth linkage is theoretically verifiable if not empirically validated (Levine, 1997), however causality is contentious with advocates of theory of financial intermediation and financial deepening (Rousseau & Watchel, 2000). The evidence supporting reverse causality between economic growth and financial markets has grown stronger in the last few years (Beck & Levine, 2004),
resonant of changing equations in developing economies and their relative degree of financial liberalization (Graff, 2003). Depth of financial markets is prevalently analyzed by the valuation measure profoundly known as the Warren Buffet ratio. The ratio was identified as the only sound measure of stock market growth and development by the American investor (Bayraktar, 2014). Stock market capitalization to Gross Domestic Product ratio (Buffet ratio) has been useful in cross country comparisons of financial market strength and development as well as capacity building measures (Barajas, Chami & Yousefi, 2013).

**Figure 2.1 Trends in Stock market capitalization to GDP ratio**

Economists and researchers in finance have long since debating the role of real sectors (primary) sectors in the economic development. The supply and demand theory of funds also postulate the same view where real sectors represented major demand of funds as well sources of supply through rent and profit extraction. The link towards equity generation from stock market is yet unexplored. King & Levine (1993) identify stock market development and growth as a major factor in economic development of a country with services such as risk arbitrage, financial inclusion, liquidity provision and diversification. This cavalcade of research findings has periodically been fenced by financial, political and endemic crisis, to the extent to cause serious dubieties in vital correlations (Wang, 2010). The popularly impugned drivers for stock market growth and development as recked from studies in the area of economics and finance include Gross Domestic Product (GDP), described as value of total product and services output for the year. Theoretical and empirical studies have confirmed GDP growth rate as robust indicator of growth of financial markets (Levine, 2010). GDP is calculated at market price with its annual growth percentage. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy adjusted for taxes and subsidies. The growing importance of stock markets in the recent times made it imperative to thoroughly research the link between stock market development and GDP growth, discounting the impact of relevant macroeconomic factors like foreign exchange and inflation (Arestis, Demetriades & Luintel, 2001). The bi-causal nature of relationship between stock market development and GDP growth was identified by Mukherjee & Bose (2008), postulating that propounding liquidity provided by capital markets played a vital role in enhancing the propensity to save and invest. It is argued that capital allocation is the focal function of the stock markets which enables to channel excess from savers to the deficient sectors. Study of literature presents four perspectives on the stock market and growth relationship. The supply led growth theory suggests economic development is dependent on stock market growth (Levine, 2005). The demand led theory of economic growth suggests that economic development fosters stock market growth (Wang, 2010). The third perspective on stock market development and economic growth postulates that both have an interdependent relationship (Mukherjee & Bose, 2008). Finally, some studies are inconclusive on relationship between stock market growth and economic growth and development, citing it as an arbitrary correlation (Zegada, 2011). According to Arcand et al (2005) economies can either be classified as deep finance sector economies with a well-developed banking sector or market-based economies with a well-balanced stock market and liquidity plays the role of a catalyst in both (Levine, 1997).

3. Model specification
The drivers of stock market development and expansion receded from the past studies include GDP annual growth rate expressed as gross value of output of resident producers adjusted for taxes and subsidies. Leading economic sectors can also be helpful in determining the demand of funds (Wang, 2010). The supply theory of funds postulates that causal relationship is directed from interest rates and exchange rates to economic development. Alghamedi, (2012) identified Gross Fixed Assets as a proxy criterion for economic growth in a country, which may result in capital market expansion.

Figure 2.2 Time series analysis of Macroeconomic variables
The Global Financial Development Database (GFDD) uses risk premium on lending as a common measure for financial deepening of a country. Agriculture sector’s growth on annual basis inclusive of forestry, fishing, hunting, cultivation premium on crops and livestock as means of sustenance of an agrarian economy (Wang, 2010). The origin of value added is determined by the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC). Crop production index represents not only the source of funds but potential future usage through financial inclusion. Average annual growth of gross fixed assets exhibits total capital formation in an economy and potential financial stability through the capital backup (Levine, 2005). Total reserves and use of IMF credit are factors that may bring downward pressures on a developing economy (Zegada, 2011). Compared to the other emerging economies classified in MSCI index, the macroeconomic variables for study perform worse over time in relation to market capitalization to GDP ratio (Buffet indicator). Gross Capital Formation has been declining in the past few years. The slide begun after the Global Financial Crisis, where many of the related economies were hit severely. Since 2009 and before, Pakistan has been dependent on international grants and IMF’s structural adjustment programs. In such a situation with heavy debt servicing and massive international payments, maintaining the value of dollar and the amount of foreign exchange has always been challenging for the incumbent Governments. Market Cap to GDP or the Buffet indicator is a financial sector value measure for a market-based developing economy used by GFDD, described as follows:

\[
\text{Market Cap/GDP ratio} = \left( \frac{\text{Market Capitalization}}{\text{GDP of an economy}} \right) \times 100
\]

The actual growth of an economy may be dependent upon type and variety of financing available as may as well propel future growth by adding to the capacity of these investment channels.
4. Empirical results
Data used were derived from Global Financial Development Database (DFDD) for the 15 years from 2003 to 2017. Normality tests were run on the data to determine normal distribution. This study makes use of Market Capitalization to GDP ratio ($MKT\ CAP$) as the dependent variable and Agriculture & Forestry ($AF$), Crop Production Index ($CPRI$), Current account balance ($CAB$), Domestic Credit Provided ($DCP$), GDP growth rate ($GR$), Gross fixed capital formation ($GFCF$), Risk premium ($RPL$), Total reserves ($TL$) and Use of IMF credit ($UIC$) as dependent variables. All variables were chosen for their theoretical and rhetoric relevance.

$$MKT\ CAP = \alpha + \beta_1 CPRI + \beta_2 AF + \beta_3 CAB + \beta_4 DCP + \beta_5 GR + \beta_6 GFCF + \beta_7 RPL + \beta_8 TR + \beta_9 UIC + \epsilon$$

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) was run on the data to establish causal relationship through regression. The adjusted $R^2$ of 0.929 showed a good fit, thereby strong predictive capacity of the model. Regressing the independent variables further of Market Cap to GDP, two of the predictors, GFCF and UIC turn significant while adjusted $R^2$ didn’t show much change.

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error |
|----------|-------------|------------|
| $CPRI$   | -0.46       | 0.20       |
| $AF$     | 1.45        | 1.29       |
| $CAB$    | 2.31        | 1.14       |
| $DCP$    | 1.42        | 0.62       |
| $GR$     | 0.05        | 2.25       |
| $GFCM$   | -0.69       | 0.19       |
| $RPL$    | -0.79       | 1.60       |
| $TR$     | 1.32E-09    | 3.98E-10   |
| $UIC$    | -3.94E-09   | 1.35E-09   |

The results show that Gross fixed asset formation and domestic credit provided were significant for stock market expansion at the percentage of 10% and 5% respectively.
Pesaran, Shin & Smith (2001) have supported Autoregressive distributed lag model (ARDL) as an appropriate technique to investigate the problem where lagged values of the variables are used as instruments to define and account for endogeneity relative to stock market movements. 

Table 4.3 ARDL bound test for long term relationship

| Hypothesis | Value | 5% Critical Bound | 1% Critical Bound |
|------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
| I(0)       | 2.34  | 3.17              | 3.16              | 4.32              |
| I(1)       |       |                   |                   |                   |

Table 4.4 ARDL Estimation Results

| Regressor | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------|
| ΔAF       | 2.134       | 0.633      | 3.231       | 0.017 |
| ΔCPRI     | -0.468      | 0.200      | -2.330      | 0.058 |
| ΔCAB      | 1.662       | 0.534      | 3.111       | 0.020 |
| ΔDCP      | 1.624       | 0.469      | 3.457       | 0.013 |
| ΔRPL      | 1.403       | 1.140      | 1.230       | 0.264 |
| ΔTR       | 1.73E-09    | 2.95E-10   | 5.872       | 0.001 |
| ΔUIC      | -4.31E-09   | 5.35E-10   | -8.062      | 0.001 |
| ΔGFCM     | -0.704      | 0.140      | -5.014      | 0.002 |
| Error Correction Term t-1 | -0.084 |

Table 4.5 Diagnostic tests for ARDL

Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation test
Null Hypothesis: No serial correlation
F-Statistic 0.813 (0.512)
Obs. R sq. 12.396 (0.212)

Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey Heteroskedasticity test
Null Hypothesis: No Heteroskedasticity
F-Statistic 1.212 (0.181)
Obs. R sq. 40.510 (0.192)

Table 4.6 Eigenvalues

Eigenvalues: (Sum = 9, Average = 1)

| Number | Value | Difference | Proportion | Cumulative Value | Cumulative Proportion |
|--------|-------|------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 1      | 3.247 | 1.204      | 0.360      | 3.247            | 0.360                 |
| 2      | 2.042 | 0.485      | 0.226      | 5.289            | 0.587                 |
| 3      | 1.556 | 0.634      | 0.172      | 6.845            | 0.760                 |
| 4      | 0.921 | 0.332      | 0.102      | 7.766            | 0.863                 |
| 5      | 0.588 | 0.275      | 0.065      | 8.355            | 0.928                 |
| 6      | 0.312 | 0.142      | 0.034      | 8.668            | 0.963                 |
| 7      | 0.170 | 0.017      | 0.019      | 8.839            | 0.982                 |
| 8      | 0.153 | 0.145      | 0.017      | 8.992            | 0.999                 |
| 9      | 0.007 | ---        | 0.000      | 9.000            | 1.000                 |
Table 4.3 and 4.4 show results of ARDL estimation with lagged variable values as regressors. The results show Crop production index, Domestic credit Gross fixed capital formulation, Total reserves and Use of IMF credit as significant factors in determining stock market capitalization to GDP ratio. In order to support the financial deepening initiative through stock market expansion, the Government needs to formulate policies to support economic growth for fixed asset formulation and make optimal use of the IMF credit.

4. Conclusion
The data analysis shows that real sectors of economy may generate and necessary impetus for stock market in Pakistan to grow and expand, moderated by the risk premiums and subsidized by use of IMF credit as well as reserves. Restructuring and reconditioning the bailout package with IMF may only work if the utilization is efficient and towards orthodox primary sectors with a greater yield. This study may be helpful in suggesting policy implication encompassing a focused strategy towards agriculture mechanization for higher yield crops, importance of agriculture subsidies and optimal utilization of the IMF credit. Govt. and private expenditure in Gross fixed asset formulation can be taken a crucial equity building exercise linking the financial markets with economic growth and the benefits thereof. The results of our study support recommendations for renewed and restructured Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) from IMF, and efficient allocation of the capital to increasing fixed asset formation and poverty alleviation.
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