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The increasing use of antibiotics is being driven by factors such as the aging of the population, increased occurrence of infections, and greater prevalence of chronic diseases that require antimicrobial treatment. The excessive and unnecessary use of antibiotics in humans has led to the emergence of bacteria resistant to the antibiotics currently available, as well as to the selective development of other microorganisms, hence contributing to the widespread dissemination of resistance genes at the environmental level. Due to this, attempts are being made to develop new techniques to combat resistant bacteria, among them the use of strictly lytic bacteriophage particles, CRISPR–Cas, and nanotechnology. The use of these technologies, alone or in combination, is promising for solving a problem that humanity faces today and that could lead to human extinction: the domination of pathogenic bacteria resistant to artificial drugs. This prospective paper discusses the potential of bacteriophage particles, CRISPR–Cas, and nanotechnology for use in combating human (bacterial) infections.
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**BACTERIAL RESISTANCE**

Since their discovery in 1929, antibiotics have been widely used in human and veterinary medicine, either for treatments or in attempts to prevent bacterial infections. The excessive use of antibiotics, whether for prevention or treatment, has significantly increased the level of bacterial resistance worldwide (Ali et al., 2018). The associated numbers of human deaths are alarming, reaching 50,000 per year in the United States and Europe (Simlai et al., 2016), with an estimated 10 million deaths per year by 2050, surpassing the current deaths resulting from all types of cancer (approximately 8.2 million) (Jansen et al., 2018).

The first list of antibiotic-resistant pathogens was published by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2017. This list showed that out of the 12 resistant pathogens, seven were noted to be resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics. Consequently, there is renewed focus on the production of new antibiotics, establishing a goal for future research strategies (WHO, 2017).

The overuse and misuse of antibiotics in humans have led to the selective emergence of bacteria resistant to the currently available antibiotics, as well as resistant non-pathogenic microbiota, hence leading to the generalized dissemination of resistance genes at the environmental level (Nitsch-Osuch et al., 2016). There is greatest concern when this phenomenon occurs with *Enterococcus*
spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* spp., together given the acronym ESKAPE, which highlights the ability of these microorganisms to escape the action of antimicrobial agents (Boucher et al., 2009).

Antimicrobial resistance has become globalized, following the first reports of its appearance in India, with its subsequent spread to Pakistan, the United States, Canada, Japan, and the United Kingdom (Rios et al., 2016). This resistance can occur in different ways, depending on the acquired and selective genetic changes or insertion of external genes, which leads to previously non-existent responses. Several mechanisms of resistance have emerged in recent times, including alteration of the target (by a DNA gyrase), increased efflux (export of a drug out of the microorganism), inactivation of fluoroquinolones (by an aminoglycoside N-acetyltransferase), inhibition of the 30S ribosomal subunit (by aminoglycosides), and protection of the target by DNA-binding proteins (the Qnr family) (Redgrave et al., 2014; Munita and Arias, 2016; Kapoor et al., 2017).

Some of these changes are already well known, such as alteration of the chemical structure of antimicrobial agents (Alekshun and Levy, 2007), decrease of the concentration of the antimicrobial at its site of action (Gonzalez-Bello, 2017; Willers et al., 2017), changes in the target of antimicrobial action (Sieradzki and Markiewicz, 2004), and alteration of membrane permeability (Hao et al., 2018). There are mechanisms of permeability reduction that do not involve porin expression, such as changes in the cell envelope of *P. aeruginosa* that are associated with resistance to polymyxin B (Falagas and Kasiakou, 2005). In addition to antibiotics that act on the cell wall, such as penicillins and glycopeptides, the activities of other antimicrobials that act on the bacterial ribosome may also decrease due to changes in their primary target. This phenomenon mainly affects macrolides and tetracyclines (Poehlsgaard and Douthwaite, 2005; Wu et al., 2005).

The presence of these mechanisms of resistance is increasingly common in large numbers of microorganisms, due to the selective pressure exerted by antimicrobials, leading to a natural selection that results in the dominance of certain groups of resistant bacteria, with concomitant death of sensitive microorganisms (Tello et al., 2012).

In a meta-analysis carried out by Bell et al. (2014), in which 243 studies were evaluated, it was concluded that "Increased consumption of antibiotics may not only produce greater resistance at the individual patient level but may also produce greater resistance at the community, country, and regional levels, which can harm individual patients." Another study of the same year evaluated the consumption of antibiotics worldwide between 2000 and 2010. It was found that the consumption of antibiotics increased by around 36%, with the countries of the BRICS group (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa) accounting for approximately 76% of the increase (Van Boeckel et al., 2014).

Therefore, the data reflect a worrying trend regarding the treatment of infectious diseases, since not only are these drugs being increasingly used (Van Boeckel et al., 2014), but also their use is directly proportional to the increase in resistance indicators (Bell et al., 2014). In the absence of any significant discovery of new molecules for the control of resistant microorganisms (Hogberg et al., 2010), there is an urgent need for redefining the relationship of humans with infectious diseases.

In summary, the problem faced in relation to bacterial resistance is a concern that must be urgently addressed, since functional meta-genomic studies of soil microorganisms have revealed a wide range of genetic determinants that confer resistance to antibiotics, of which only one fraction has been described in human pathogens (Forsberg et al., 2014).

Hence, there is a pressing need for a new generation of antimicrobials able to mitigate the spread of antibiotic resistance and preserve beneficial microbiota. Among the possibilities for the solution of problems related to bacterial resistance, the use of nanotechnology, CRISPR–Cas9, and therapy with bacteriophage particles can be highlighted as potential future strategies. These techniques could be employed individually to directly combat microorganisms, as well as in combination in integrated strategies.

The scientific community has indicated that there are no perspectives for any significant clinical introduction of new antimicrobials in the short term. The main recommended approach is rational use of the classical antibiotics that have been used for the past 50 years, together with techniques that enhance their activity. This may be achieved using substances that increase antibiotic activity by reducing or blocking the resistance mechanism, such as beta-lactamase, efflux pump, and quorum sensing inhibitors, as well as bacteriophages and new drug delivery systems, among other techniques (Moo et al., 2019; Mulani et al., 2019; Pham et al., 2019; Vikesland et al., 2019).

**CRISPRs**

CRISPRs (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats) are adaptive immune systems derived from bacteria and archaea. CRISPR–Cas systems use RNA for target DNA recognition and the Cas enzyme for subsequent destruction of nucleic acids, so they require only one protein for binding and cleavage. Due to this simplicity, researchers have developed a new molecular tool based on natural CRISPRs (Figure 1). This tool has different applications, one of them being the possibility of antimicrobial action, since they are cytotoxic systems that can be directed to kill bacteria, immunizing them against resistant plasmids (Sorek et al., 2013; Bikard et al., 2014; Hsu et al., 2014).

For medical purposes, CRISPR–Cas systems can enable the selective and specific removal of microorganisms. Although there are other antimicrobial approaches, they offer only partial solutions, while CRISPR systems are generalized and programmable strategies (Gomaa et al., 2014) that can be employed to selectively and quantitatively remove individual bacterial strains, based purely on sequence information, hence creating opportunities in the treatment of multidrug-resistant infections.

In studies of the use of these systems as antimicrobials, Gomaa et al. (2014) reported that both heterologous and endogenous systems could selectively kill bacterial species and strains. It was shown that all sequences in the target
A study carried out by Fuente-Núñez and Lu (2017) concerning CRISPR–Cas constructs designed to function as precision antimicrobials, these were shown to be capable of eliminating drug-resistant microbes, with CRISPR–Cas selectively targeting genes involved in antibiotic resistance, biofilm formation, and virulence. However, although studies show that CRISPRs are effective, there are still problems to be overcome in relation to an efficient delivery vehicle, which is the next step for the implementation of CRISPR–Cas systems as antimicrobial agents (Beisel et al., 2014). Focusing on the problem of CRISPR transportation and delivery, Pan et al. (2017) were able to identify eight depolymerases in the multi-host bacteriophage K64-1, which, together with K64dep (S2-5), characterized elsewhere, gave a total of nine capsule depolymerases.

Currently, obtaining bacteriophages as carriers of CRISPRs is still a challenge. Shen et al. (2018) succeeded in obtaining positive results in studies aimed at obtaining a Klebsiella bacteriophage by genome alteration, which was suggested as a possibility for the use of targeted CRISPRs. One option is to use nanotechnology for the delivery of CRISPRs, which could provide surface modifications that ensure the desired specificity (Yan et al., 2015). As pointed out by Pursey et al., (2018), there is still a great deal to discover concerning the use of CRISPR–Cas in the fight against resistant bacteria, with further research especially needed in relation to its safe use.

Another concern is the possibility that bacteria could present resistance against CRISPR–Cas, since the original mechanisms are present in them. However, in a study by Chen et al. (2019), performed with multidrug-resistant Shigella, it was shown that the bacteria that presented resistance genes also presented a decrease in the activity of natural CRISPR–Cas.

If we consider the different possibilities of target genes for CRISPR–Cas, we can conclude that there is a need for an interdisciplinary study, where there is collaboration of researchers who study sequences, find a safe way of delivery, and evaluate the existence of resistance to technology. Different studies show that bacteria tend to store different genes, and different combinations between virulence and resistance are an alarming threat, as it suggests the feasibility of adaptability. A study carried out by Oliveira Santos et al. (2018), where they showed the possible adaptability of the KPC-2 gene to different mobile elements, is an example of the need to consider different possibilities for the application of CRISPR–Cas. Regarding the onset of carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae, recent publication showed the introduction of two new DNA editing systems. One is the plasmid pCasKP-pSGKP and the other is the plasmid system pBECKP, where both systems showed efficiency in genome editing, which will facilitate further investigations for treatment of resistance to carbapenems (Wang et al., 2018).

Although the CRISPR–Cas tool offers a new possibility of fighting multidrug bacteria, some studies show that they do not present activity in some strains, as demonstrated by Hullalah et al. (2017, 2018) in studies with Enterococcus faecalis where they present a study that determines the genetic basis of phenotypes associated with CRISPR–Cas tolerance, showing the importance of having a better knowledge of the response of organisms and possible strategies for dealing with conflicts induced by the use of CRISPRs, which may lead to tolerant phenotypes to this tool. Therefore, these studies show that knowledge of the genome and the metabolic pathways of the different resistant multidrug bacteria should be investigated so that resistance problems will not occur in the future in relation to new strategies used to fight resistant bacteria.

**NANOTECHNOLOGY IN THE FIGHT AGAINST RESISTANT BACTERIA**

Nanotechnology applied to the synthesis of new antibiotics is an important approach, since the use of nanometric size materials can result in greater contact between the compound and the bacteria, with improved bioavailability, increased absorption, faster passage of the drug into the cell, and enhanced mucoadhesion.
There is also the possibility of producing controlled release systems for the targeted delivery of encapsulated or surface adsorbed drugs (Zaidi et al., 2017; Jamil and Imran, 2018). One new approach is to use nanoparticles (NPs) of a metal such as silver, which can affect the bacterial respiration system, inducing the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). This approach could be used synergistically with antimicrobials, with effects such as inhibition and alteration of the synthesis of the cell wall, as well as its rupture (Shahverdi et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2018).

One of the concerns regarding the use of nanoparticles is its relation to the resistance that bacteria can present to them, or the possibility of stimulating the transmission of MultiDrug-Resistant (MDR) genes. An example is provided by the work of Ansari et al. (2014), where Al₂O₃ nanoparticles were observed to promote the horizontal conjugative transfer of MDR genes, hence increasing the resistance to antibiotics.

The use of NPs to eliminate microorganisms can involve microbicidal or microbiostatic effects. In the latter case, the growth of bacteria is interrupted and the metabolic activities are halted, with microbial death then induced by the immune cells of the host. Nanotechnology can also solve problems related to drug solubility, since encapsulation can improve permeation through the membrane, increase circulation times, and enhance efficiency, while there is also the possibility of directing the drug towards the desired site of action in the body (Rodzinski et al., 2016).

The use of nanoparticles appears to have potential for the treatment of infectious diseases, especially considering that NPs may be able to access locations where the pathogens are present. However, there are a number of issues to be resolved, such as the scarcity of toxicity data, few existing preclinical studies, and the need for regulation (Zaidi et al., 2017).

Polymeric Nanoparticles and Nanocrystals

The use of polymeric nanocapsules as carriers for antibiotics, or the use of drug nanocrystals that are stable during delivery, can be successfully applied to a range of commonly used drugs. Polylactide-co-glycolide (PLGA) is an especially useful substance that can be employed in nanotechnological drug delivery applications (Kalhapure et al., 2014; Hemeg, 2017; Boya et al., 2017; Shaaban et al., 2017).

Hong et al. (2017) used bacitracin A (BA) modified with PLGA for synthesis of nano-BA, resulting in a core–shell structure with an average diameter of 150 nm. It was found that the nanoparticles strongly increased the antibacterial activity, than does free BA, with effective inhibition of the growth of various types of Gram (+) and Gram (−) bacteria. The formulation provided improved wound healing in rats than did use of a commercial Polysporin® ointment.

Yu et al. (2016) reported the development of a multifunctional release system with encapsulation of gentamicin sulfate/zirconium bis(monohydrogen orthophosphate) (a-ZrP) using chitosan (CHI). The formulation (a-ZrP CHI) extended the release of the drug, than did unencapsulated a-ZrP, which was attributed to the unique lamellar structure and the CHI encapsulation. The methodology provided a model for the future development of new delivery vehicles.

Shaaban et al. (2017) reported that nanoantibiotics produced by incorporating imipenem in PLGA or PCL nanocapsules provided better results, than did classical imipenem. The nanoencapsulated formulations showed antimicrobial and anti-adherent activities in evaluations using clinical isolates of imipenem-resistant bacteria.

Other types of nanoparticles that have received attention are lipid nanoparticles (liposomes) (Derbali et al., 2019) and nanoceramics applied in orthopedic surgeries where systemic drug administration has limitations (Kumar and Madhumathi, 2016).

Gaspar et al. (2017) reported the use of solid lipid nanoparticles containing rifabutin (RFB) for pulmonary administration to treat tuberculosis. The nanoparticles increased the activity of the drug against M. tuberculosis infection, suggesting that RFB-solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) encapsulation could be a promising approach for tuberculosis treatment. A major advantage of encapsulation is that it provides sustained release of the drug, resulting in greater efficiency of treatment, as well as easier absorption, enabling satisfactory results to be achieved with a smaller amount of the active agent.

Although the use of nanoparticles can be advantageous, some studies have shown that the microenvironment where they are released (such as blood and lung fluid) may alter the creation of the nanoparticle–pathogen complex, due to the formation of a corona around the nanoparticle. Siemer et al. (2019) exposed nanoparticles to different bacteria and showed that formation of the pathogen–nanoparticle complex was assisted by its small size and that the presence of a corona significantly inhibited formation of the complex. Therefore, in addition to in vitro analyses, new studies are needed that consider the microenvironment in which the nanoparticle will be released and exert its action.

Metallic Nanoparticles

The use of metallic nanoparticles can be a good option in the fight against resistant bacteria. Studies have reported the synthesis and use of different nanoparticulate metals, metal oxides, metal halides, and bimetallic materials showing antimicrobial activity. Nanoparticles have been synthesized consisting of Ag, Au, Zn, Cu, Ti, and Mg, among other metals (ZakharoVa et al., 2015; Hajipour et al., 2012; Sunitha et al., 2013; Dizaj et al., 2014; He et al., 2016; Senarathna et al., 2017; Eymard-Vernain et al., 2018). However, consideration should be given to their potential toxicity (Lima et al., 2012; Dakal et al., 2016; Durán et al., 2016a).

Eymard-Vernain et al. (2018) showed that MgO nanoparticles presented bactericidal action, mainly affecting the expression of genes related to oxidative stress, together with membrane alteration. Verma et al. (2018) reported excellent antibacterial activity of ZnO nanoparticles, with a size-dependent effect, since the use of smaller nanoparticles resulted in more ROS and increased cell membrane rupture.

Other studies have investigated the bactericidal potential of carbon nanotubes, either plain or functionalized, as well as their use to assist the transport and translocation of antibiotics (Cong et al., 2016; Mocan et al., 2017).

With the development of nanotechnology, many studies have been carried out concerning the application of nanoparticles as...
antimicrobials. These nanomaterials present different diameters, structures, and modes of action. Some of them have produced good results, showing that nanotechnology can be used as one of the strategies in the fight against multidrug-resistant bacteria in the future (Supplementary Table 1).

Silver nanoparticles are the most studied metallic nanoparticles, with their antimicrobial activity having been recognized by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) since the year 1920. The mechanisms of action of silver nanoparticles (AgNP) on bacteria have been exhaustively investigated. There is a consensus that adhesion of the nanoparticles to the cell membrane can lead to electrostatic changes, porosity alteration, rupture, leakage of cytoplasmic content, interference in bacterial respiratory processes, blocking of enzyme activity, and DNA destruction. It has also been observed that there is the production of ROS, with consequent effects on the DNA (Choi and Hu, 2008; Durán et al., 2010; Prabhu and Poulose, 2012; Rai et al., 2012; Kon and Rai, 2013; Yuan et al., 2017).

The adhesion of nanoparticles to bacterial membranes mainly occurs due to the presence of proteoglycans (Kim et al., 2017) and results in rupture or increased porosity of the membrane. This enables access of the nanoparticles into the cell, where they can interact with enzymes and DNA (Grigor’eva et al., 2013; Kasithevar et al., 2017). AgNPs may also interact with membrane proteins, leading to cell stress, or may interact with the lipid part of the membrane, affecting its fluidity (Morones et al., 2005; Chwalibog et al., 2010). Some studies have suggested that the observed effects are actually caused by silver ions released from AgNPs (Jung et al., 2008; Xiu et al., 2011; Xiu et al., 2012; Chernousova and Epple, 2013). Accordingly, the AgNPs only act as vehicles for the delivery of ions that cause adverse effects in the respiratory chain and protein synthesis, as well as DNA alterations (Chen et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014).

The biogenic synthesis of silver nanoparticles (Figure 2) has received increasing attention in recent years. These nanoparticles present positive characteristics in terms of their improved stability and dispersion, due to the coating formed during the synthesis. In addition, there may be a positive effect of synergy between the nanoparticles and the compounds originating from the organism used. Biogenic synthesis is considered simple, low cost, and suitable for large-scale nanoparticle production (Lima et al., 2012; Kasithevar et al., 2017).

Biogenic nanoparticles have been found to present lower toxicity, while providing effective bactericidal activity against both Gram (−) and Gram (+) bacteria (Durán et al., 2016b; Kasithevar et al., 2017). These nanoparticles have also shown potential for use in the control of fungi (Balasubramanyam and Kalaichelvan, 2015; Ahmad et al., 2016; Guilger et al., 2017).

**Nanocages**

Nanocages are hollow and porous nanometric structures that may be used for the transport and delivery of antibiotics. They can be synthesized from various substances, including metals, proteins, and polymers, and have been investigated in terms of their potential for combating multidrug-resistant bacteria. Reported advantages of these structures are that they provide greater adhesion, retention at the site of infection, increased systemic circulation, and good biocompatibility (Wang et al., 2016; Mekeer et al., 2018).

Wang et al. (2018) synthesized gold nanocages using membrane coating of macrophages pretreated with *S. aureus*. Clinical treatments performed with local or systemic injection showed that the system provided increased bactericidal effectiveness. Ruozi et al. (2017) synthesized apoferritin-based nanocages, which were used for the encapsulation of streptomycin. The system showed promise for the delivery of antimicrobials, although further characterization, biocompatibility, and efficacy studies were still required. A study by Wu et al. (2019), using silica, silver, and gold nanospheres, showed that the Au–Ag@SiO$_2$ nanocage had broad-spectrum bactericidal properties. The nanocage could be used for antibiotic transport, as well as for infrared-induced hyperthermia therapy against bacterial infection.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2** | Scheme, based on the literature, illustrating the synthesis of biogenic nanoparticles. The synthesis uses AgNO$_3$ together with extract (or metabolites) and enzymes from the organism. These nanoparticles have a characteristic outer layer (coating) containing metabolites.
BACTERIOPHAGES

Bacteriophages (or phages, for short), which are viruses that only infect bacterial cells, are among the most ubiquitous biological entities, with a total estimated abundance of at least 1,030 types (Chibani-Chennoufi et al., 2004). Despite being known for more than 100 years, only now is renewed interest in phages driving studies of them as potential alternatives or complements to current antibiotics, due to their unique affinities and ability to kill bacteria resistant to antibiotics (Hagens and Loessner, 2010; Hyman and Abedon, 2010; Summers, 2012). The interaction between phage particles and bacteria generally involves specific receptors located in the outer membranes of bacteria. Despite the great potential of phages for treating and/or controlling infections caused by antibiotic-resistant bacteria, only a few clinical trials have been performed in humans and are accepted by public health authorities such as the FDA and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) (Rios et al., 2016).

Phages are ubiquitous in the biosphere and are highly specific to particular bacteria species, acting as natural predators of bacteria. They exhibit high tissue permeability and do not affect the beneficial intestinal microflora (so they do not promote secondary infections). Their exponential growth results in their accumulation in extremely high concentrations where they are needed the most, as long as the bacterial host still exists (Hagens and Loessner, 2010; Hyman and Abedon, 2010; Rios et al., 2016; Harada et al., 2018). However, phage-based therapy requires that the bacterium responsible for the infection is firstly isolated, before the identification and isolation of a specific and strictly lytic phage can be achieved. In addition, due to their protein nature, plain phage particles may be recognized by the immune system, resulting in a drastic reduction of their therapeutic efficacy (Chan and Abedon, 2012; Wittebole et al., 2013).

Bacterial resistance to phage particles generally occurs due to non-adsorption, membrane coating due to mucilage production by bacteria, and destruction of viral genetic material by restriction endonucleases (Wittebole et al., 2013).

Following oral or intravenous administration, phage particles may affect the major body systems, namely, the cardiovascular, digestive, immune, and nervous systems (Moutinho et al., 2012). Furthermore, due to their protein nature, phage particles are prone to denaturation by conformational changes that may be either reversible or irreversible, or to destruction by the immune system. The solution lies in protecting them, either by encapsulation within nanocarriers (Rios et al., 2018) that are invisible towards the digestive and immune systems, or by binding them to a macroscopic support so that they become insoluble (Balcão et al., 2013; Balcão et al., 2014). The combination of these strategies can provide phages with structural and functional stabilization (Balcão and Vila, 2015), enabling them to be potentially used for the eradication of antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

Several studies have described phage-based CRISPR-driven techniques for the prevention of bacterial drug resistance (Barrangou, 2015; Bikard and Barrangou, 2017; Doss et al., 2017; Hatoum-Aslan, 2018; Pursey et al., 2018). In this approach, bacteriophages are designed to carry and deliver CRISPR–Cas in bacteria, in order to combat multidrug-resistant bacteria. Such systems are being developed by biotechnology companies such as Locus Biosciences (Morrisville, NC, USA) and Eligo Bioscience (Paris, France) (Reardon, 2017).

Recent biotechnological advances therefore open the door to the possibility of tailoring bacteriophage particles to improve their characteristics, including i) enhancing the ability of phages to penetrate bacterial biofilms; ii) increasing phage efficacy; iii) broadening the spectrum of phage lytic activities to infections caused by different bacteria; and iv) making phages more stable and specific (Maura and Debarbieux, 2011; Rios et al., 2016; Harada et al., 2018).

At the present time, due to the increase in bacterial resistance to antibiotics, together with the likely ineffectiveness of antibiotics within a few years, there is an urgent need to develop new antimicrobial strategies. This is a new era, in which the emergence of new solutions and discoveries will be crucial.

FUTURE TRENDS AND POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS

The use of new technologies to combat multidrug-resistant bacteria is ever more necessary, because although there are still effective antibiotics, resistance to them is constantly increasing. The strategies discussed in this paper may provide new ways of fighting multidrug-resistant bacteria. This could include associations between different strategies, as well as their use in combination with antibiotics, in order to combat this critical emerging problem (Figure 3).

The use of CRISPRs, a relatively new technology, may be one of the available solutions. Coupled with nanotechnological delivery methods, this technique could be sufficiently specific and provide the activity required to combat multidrug-resistant bacteria. For this, nanocapsules could be synthesized that are able to reach specific targets, which would facilitate the delivery of CRISPRs.

Biogenic metal nanoparticles, such as silver nanoparticles, may be an option in conjugated treatments to combat MDR bacteria. These nanoparticles offer the benefits of synergy between the effects of the metal and the metabolites of the organism used for their production. They present low toxicity and can act to disrupt existing mechanisms of resistance in bacteria.

Bacteriophages can be used successfully to fight multidrug-resistant bacteria, but although it is not difficult to find the correct virus for each specific bacterium host, the task is nevertheless not straightforward. Consequently, the use of bacteriophage particles as carriers for CRISPRs seems to be a faster and more efficient solution, although such delivery may not always be guaranteed. Recent studies show that CRISPR technology can assist in the modification of bacteriophages, making them more specific for the intended purpose.

To conclude, a deeper understanding of these new and innovative therapeutic strategies is of utmost importance. Until such new strategies have been mastered, structured, and made commercially available, it is imperative to control the use of the currently available chemical antibiotics. It is also essential that
health professionals use wisely, and only as a last resort, new antibiotics that may become available in the near future, in order to prevent the emergence and spread of bacterial resistance to them.
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