Potential for *Methanosarcina* to contribute to uranium reduction during acetate-promoted groundwater bioremediation
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Abstract

Previous studies of in situ bioremediation of uranium-contaminated groundwater with acetate injections have focused on the role of Geobacter species in U(VI) reduction because of a lack of other abundant known U(VI)-reducing microorganisms. Monitoring the levels of methyl CoM reductase subunit A (mcrA) transcripts during an acetate-injection field experiment demonstrated that acetoclastic methanogens from the genus Methanosarcina were enriched after 40 days of acetate amendment. The increased abundance of Methanosarcina corresponded with an accumulation of methane in the groundwater. An enrichment culture dominated by a Methanosarcina species with the same Methanosarcina mcrA sequence that predominated in the field experiment could effectively convert acetate to methane. In order to determine whether Methanosarcina species could be participating in U(VI) reduction in the subsurface, cell suspensions of M. barkeri were incubated in the presence of U(VI) with acetate provided as the electron donor. U(VI) was reduced by metabolically active M. barkeri cells, however, no U(VI) reduction was observed in inactive controls. These results demonstrate that Methanosarcina species could play an important role in the long-term bioremediation of uranium-contaminated aquifers after depletion of Fe(III) oxides limits the growth of Geobacter species. The results also suggest that Methanosarcina have the potential to influence uranium geochemistry in a diversity of anaerobic sedimentary environments.

Introduction

Injection of acetate into the groundwater of uranium-contaminated aquifers has been shown to be an effective way to stimulate microbiologically-mediated reductive precipitation of soluble U(VI) to poorly soluble U(IV) (Anderson et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2013). A wide diversity of microorganisms are capable of U(VI) reduction (Kashefi & Lovley, 2000; Lovley et al., 1991; Lovley et al., 1993; Tebo & Obraztsova, 1998; Wall & Krumholz, 2006; Wu et al., 2006) but only Geobacter species have been shown to reduce U(VI) with acetate as an electron donor. Although growth with acetate as the electron donor and U(VI) as the electron acceptor is possible (Lovley et al., 1991), the low concentrations of U(VI), even in heavily contaminated subsurface environments requires that microbes use other forms of respiration as their primary means of energy conservation (Finneran et al., 2002). Geobacter species grow rapidly in the initial
phases of subsurface uranium bioremediation with added acetate because Fe(III) oxides are typically abundant in subsurface environments (Anderson et al., 2003; Holmes et al., 2002; Holmes et al., 2005; Holmes et al., 2007; Holmes et al., 2013a) and Geobacter species outcompete other Fe(III) reducers under conditions of high acetate availability (Lovley et al., 2011; Zhuang et al., 2011). However, the potential for other microorganisms to contribute to acetate oxidation coupled to U(VI) reduction, especially after the Fe(III) oxides that support Geobacter growth are depleted, has not been intensively investigated. Sulfate reducers that can reduce U(VI) have been identified, but none of these are known to use acetate as an electron donor (Lovley & Phillips, 1992; Lovley et al., 1993; Tebo & Obraztsova, 1998; Wall & Krumholz, 2006). Furthermore, relying on sulfate reducers to reduce U(VI) may not be a good long-term strategy because acetate additions can rapidly deplete sulfate from groundwater (Miletto et al., 2011; N'Guessan et al., 2008; Vrionis et al., 2005).

Unlike Fe(III)- and sulfate-reducers, methanogens can thrive for long periods of time in organic-rich environments without external inputs of electron acceptors because they can conserve energy either from acetate dismutation or from the reduction of carbon dioxide, an electron acceptor generated by fermentation in their environment. If methanogens were capable of U(VI) reduction then this would make long-term in situ bioremediation of U(VI) a more attractive practice. To our knowledge, U(VI) reduction by methanogens has not been previously described. Previous studies have shown that methanogens can transfer electrons to various Fe (III) forms (Bodegom et al., 2004; Bond & Lovley, 2002; Liu et al., 2011; Sivan et al., 2016; Vargas et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2012), as well as vanadate (Zhang et al., 2014) and humic substances (Cervantes et al., 2002). However, acetate has not been shown to serve as an electron donor for these processes.

Evidence for methane production in response to acetate amendments during in situ uranium bioremediation (Holmes et al., 2014) led us to investigate the potential for methanogens to further contribute to uranium bioremediation. The results suggest that Methanosarcina species that can couple the oxidation of acetate to the reduction of U(VI) might aid in the bioremediation process.
Materials and methods

Description of sampling site

The Rifle 24-acre experimental site is located close to the Colorado River, on the premises of an earlier uranium ore processing facility. Uranium concentrations in the water table of the Rifle aquifer are 2-8 times higher than the drinking water contamination limit (0.126 µM) established by the uranium mill tailings remedial action (UMTRA). A detailed review of geochemical characteristics of the site has already been published (Zachara et al., 2013) and in situ bioremediation of U⁶⁺ has been intensely studied at this site (Anderson et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2013). Similar to previous years, acetate was injected into the subsurface at a concentration of ~15 mM between August and October, 2011 and monitored from 6 different wells (Giloteaux et al., 2013). Groundwater and sediments for this study were collected from well CD-01 (a down gradient well) and a background well (CU-01) that never received any acetate additions.

Enrichment of the dominant acetoclastic methanogens related to Methanosarcina

Methanogenic incubations were prepared by addition of 5 g wet sediment and 5 ml aquifer groundwater to 40 mL freshwater DSMZ 120 medium with acetate (40 mM) and no yeast extract in 160 mL serum bottles in an anaerobic chamber under an 80:20 N₂:CO₂ atmosphere. To reduce growth of bacteria, the antibiotics kanamycin (200 µg/ml), erythromycin (200 µg/ml), and penicillin-G (50 µg/ml) were added to the slurries. All slurries were incubated for 30 days at 18°C in the dark.

In order to enrich for Methanosarcina, serial dilutions to extinction were carried out in 9 mL modified DSM 120 medium with acetate (40 mM). Methane production rates were determined after 8 transfers on DSM 120 medium. Phase contrast microscopy was performed on an Axioplan epifluorescence microscope on untreated cells enriched from Rifle sediment and aquifer water.
**Nucleic acid extraction and cDNA preparation**

For nucleic acid extraction, it was first necessary to concentrate 50 L of groundwater by impact filtration on 293 mm diameter Supor membrane disc filters with pore sizes of 1.2 and 0.2 µm (Pall Life Sciences). All filters were placed into whirl-pack bags, flash frozen in a dry ice/ethanol bath, and shipped on dry ice back to the laboratory where they were stored at -80°C. RNA was extracted from the filters using a modified phenol–chloroform method, as previously described (Holmes et al., 2005). DNA was extracted from the filters with the FastDNA SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Extracted RNA and DNA were quantified with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and stored at -80°C until further analyses. A DuraScript enhanced avian RT single-strand synthesis kit (Sigma, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was used to generate cDNA from RNA, as previously described (Giloteaux et al., 2013).

**PCR amplification parameters and microbial community analysis**

For clone library construction, fragments from the mcrA gene which codes for the large subunit of methyl CoM reductase and the 16S rRNA gene were amplified from cDNA with mcrAf/mcrAr primers (Luton et al., 2002) and with 344f/915r (Casamayor et al., 2002) (Supplementary Table S1). Amplicons were ligated into the pCR-TOPO2.1 TA cloning vector according to manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, the Netherlands). Inserts from the recombinant clones were directly amplified by PCR with M13 primers, purified and sequenced at the University of Massachusetts sequencing facility.

T-RFLP analysis was performed as described before (Shrestha et al. 2008). Gel-purified 5’-carboxyfluorescein-labeled 16S rRNA gene products amplified with Ar109f and Ar1000r were digested with TaqI at 37°C for 3 hours. The length of fluorescently labeled T-RFs was determined by comparison with the internal standard (LIZ 1200, ABI) using Genescan software (Applied Biosystems). The relative abundance of individual T-RFs in a given T-RFLP pattern was determined as the peak height of the respective T-RF divided by the total peak height of all T-RFs detected and was expressed as
percentages (Dunbar et al., 2001; Shrestha et al., 2010). A clone library was also assembled from T-RFLP PCR products and 15 randomly selected clones were sequenced.

**Quantification of *Methanosarcina* mcrA transcript abundance**

The quantitative PCR primer set (msa_mcrA173f/271r) designed to target mcrA genes from *Methanosarcina* species found in the Rifle subsurface was designed according to the manufacturer’s specifications (Applied Biosystems) (Supplementary Table S1). Quantitative PCR amplification and detection was performed with the 7500 Real Time System (Applied Biosystems) using cDNA made by reverse transcription from mRNA extracted from groundwater collected during the bioremediation experiment. Each reaction mixture consisted of a total volume of 25 µl and contained 1.5 µl of the appropriate primers (stock concentration 1.5 µM), 5 ng cDNA, and 12.5 µl Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). All qPCR experiments followed MIQE guidelines (Bustin et al., 2009) and qPCR efficiencies were 98%. Optimal thermal cycling parameters consisted of an activation step at 50°C for 2 minutes, an initial 10 minute denaturation step at 95°C, and 50 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 minute. A dissociation curve generated by increasing the temperature from 58 to 95°C at a ramp rate of 2% showed that the PCR amplification process yielded a single predominant peak, further supporting the specificity of the qPCR primer pair.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

16S rRNA and mcrA gene sequences were compared to Genbank nucleotide and protein databases with the BLASTn and BLASTx algorithms (Altschul et al., 1990; Altschul et al., 1997). Alignments were generated with MAFFT (Katoh & Standley, 2013) and PRANK (Loytynoja & Goldman, 2005) algorithms. The phylogenetic tree was inferred using the Neighbor Joining Method (Saitou & Nei, 1987). The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (100 replicates) is shown next to the branches (Felsenstein, 1985). All positions with less than 95% coverage were eliminated and a total of 93 positions were considered in the final dataset. All evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016).
Nucleotide sequences of mcrA genes used for phylogenetic analyses have been deposited in the Genbank database under accession numbers MF616623-MF616647.

**U(VI) reduction studies**

Batch cultures of 500 mL *Methanosarcina barkeri* (DSM 800) were grown under strict anaerobic conditions (Balch *et al.*, 1979) on modified DSMZ medium 120 (Rotaru *et al.*, 2014) with acetate (40 mM) as substrate, and incubated at 37°C for ~3 weeks. Cultures were harvested when they reached an optical density at 600 nm of 0.19. All cell suspension preparations were performed in an anaerobic chamber to minimize oxygen exposure. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 4000 x g in a Sorval RC 5B Plus centrifuge. These pellets were then washed twice in anoxic phosphate depleted buffer (PDB), which consisted of the following salts: 0.2 g/L MgSO$_4$ × 7H$_2$O, 0.025 g/L CaCl$_2$ × 2H$_2$O, 1 g/L NaCl, and 2 g/L NaHCO$_3$. Cell pellets were then resuspended in 10 mL anoxic PDB to a cell density of ~0.4-0.5 at 600 nm. To generate heat-killed cells, 3 mL of this suspension was autoclaved at 122°C for 30 minutes. Six replicates were prepared by diluting 1 mL of the cell-suspension in 9 mL PDB buffer. For the heat-killed incubation, 1 mL autoclaved cell suspension was diluted in 9 mL PDB buffer. Sulfide (0.5 mM) was added to all inoculated tubes to ensure anoxic conditions. Acetate (40 mM) was also added to the tubes to fuel methanogenic activity. Triplicate live cell suspensions (active cells) and triplicate heat-killed controls (heat-killed cells) were incubated at 37°C. The other three live cell suspensions were incubated at 4°C (inactive cells). All cell suspensions were incubated with 0.2 mM U$^{6+}$ prepared from a stock of uranyl-acetate (5 mM). Cell densities were determined with a bench top spectrophotometer, by absorbance measurements at 600 nm with mili-Q water as a blank.

The ability of *Methanosarcina barkeri* to reduce U(VI) was verified with U(VI) depletion measurements carried out on different cell suspensions over the course of 24 hours. Samples (0.1 mL) were retrieved anaerobically and diluted in 14.9 mL anoxic bicarbonate (100 mM) and 14.9 mL Uraplex solution. Concentrations of U(VI) were then measured with a kinetic phosphorescence analyzer, as previously described (Orellana *et al.*, 2013).
Chemical analyses

Groundwater samples for geochemical analyses were collected after purging 12 L of groundwater from the wells with a peristaltic pump. The phenanthroline method was used to determine ferrous iron concentrations. Sulfate, and thiosulfate concentrations were measured with an ion chromatograph (ICS-2100, Dionex, CA) equipped with an AS18 column under isocratic elution with 32 mM KOH as the eluent. Acetate concentrations were determined with a high performance liquid chromatograph equipped with an ion exclusion HPX-87H column (Biorad, Hercules, CA) using 8 mM sulfuric acid as eluent. In situ methane production was monitored as previously described (Holmes et al., 2014). Methane in the headspace of sediment/groundwater incubations was measured as previously described (Rotaru et al., 2014) using a gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector (Shimadzu, GC-8A).

Results and discussion

Evidence for acetoclastic methanogenic activity during acetate amendments

Methanogens that utilize acetate are restricted to the order Methanosarcinales (Kendal and Boone 2006). In order to determine whether the addition of acetate could promote the growth of acetoclastic methanogens in a uranium-contaminated aquifer, the activity of Methanosarcinales was investigated by monitoring Methanosarcina mcrA gene transcript abundance. Before day 39, less than 1.2 x 10^3 Methanosarcina mcrA mRNA transcripts were detected per µg of RNA extracted from the groundwater (Figure 1A). However, by day 46, Methanosarcina mcrA transcripts increased by 4 orders of magnitude to 3.7 x 10^7 transcripts per µg RNA. This increase in Methanosarcina coincided with a steep decline in groundwater sulfate concentrations (Figure 1B). Although sulfate reducers and methanogens compete for acetate (Lovley & Klug, 1983; Oremland & Polcin, 1982), high concentrations of acetate in the groundwater (Figure 1c) made it unlikely that growth of Methanosarcinales in the subsurface was being restricted by competition for acetate.

The increase in Methanosarcinales coincided with an increase in free sulfide in the groundwater, producing highly reducing conditions that favor the growth of methanogens. Another consideration is the slow growth rate of Methanosarcinales,
which might have limited their growth after acetate injections even under the most favorable conditions. The lack of sufficient reducing conditions coupled with the slow growth rate of Methanosarcinales may explain the finding that although acetate concentrations were high during the Fe(III) reducing phase of the experiment (days 0-33) (Figure 1c), the number of Methanosarcinales sequences stayed low until sulfate reduction became the primary subsurface metabolism (Figure 1a and 1d). The increase in abundance of Methanosarcinales was later followed by a decline, which coincided with acetate limitation associated with the halt in acetate injections on day 68.

Measurements of methane concentrations in the groundwater were not initiated until day 79 (Figure 1e). The high concentration of methane at this time demonstrated that methanogens had been active in the preceding days. Methane concentrations steeply declined over time coincident with the steep decline in acetate availability.

**Enrichment of Methanosarcina from Rifle**

To determine whether *Methanosarcina* sequences detected in the groundwater came from metabolically active acetoclastic methanogens, methanogenic enrichments were established with groundwater and sediment collected from the uranium-contaminated site as inoculate and acetate (40 mM) as the substrate for growth. After 8 transfers, the enrichment cultures produced 96.04 µmoles/day⁻¹ of methane, and the majority of cells were round, forming typical *Methanosarcina* rosettes (Fig. 2a). TRFLP analysis and sequencing of 16S rRNA gene fragments amplified from the enrichment cultures confirmed that the dominant TRF corresponded with *Methanosarcina* (Supplementary Figure S1).

The dominant *Methanosarcina mcrA* cDNA sequence in the enrichment culture (Enrichment sequence 1 in Supplementary Figure S1B) was identical to the most abundant (48.2%) *Methanosarcina mcrA* cDNA sequence recovered from groundwater on day 53. Other *Methanosarcina mcrA* cDNA sequences detected on day 53 included sequences most similar to *M. barkeri* (37% of the sequences), *M. mazei* (11.1% of the sequences), and *M. acetivorans* (3.7% of the sequences).

This enrichment culture dominated by the most abundant *Methanosarcina* from the field experiment, could not grow with H₂ or formate as electron donors, but grew on acetate at
rates (Figure 2b) consistent with the emergence of Methanosarcina after approximately 40 days in the field experiment.

**U(VI) reduction by metabolically active Methanosarcina cells**

To evaluate whether Methanosarcina species might be capable of U(VI) reduction, cell suspensions of M. barkeri were incubated with acetate as the electron donor and 200 µM U(VI) as a potential electron acceptor. Within one day, the cells produced 1.6 mM methane while depleting 51% of the provided U(VI) (Fig. 3a). In contrast, cell suspensions incubated at 4 °C or autoclaved prior to incubation, did not produce methane or remove U(VI) (Figs 3b and 3c). These results indicated that U(VI) removal could be attributed to U(VI) reduction by metabolically active cells.

**Implications**

Our findings that acetate additions during in situ uranium bioremediation promotes the growth of Methanosarcina and that a Methanosarcina can reduce U(VI) has important implications for the design of long-term in situ uranium bioremediation strategies. Previous interpretations of U(VI) reduction during acetate-amendment at the Rifle, Colorado study site have focused on the U(VI) reduction capacity of Geobacter species because of their prevalence at the site (Anderson et al., 2003; Wilkins et al., 2009; Wilkins et al., 2011; Wilkins et al., 2013; Williams et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2013; Yun et al., 2011) and because the sulfate-reducers that are enriched with acetate amendments (Dar et al., 2013; Holmes et al., 2013b; Miletto et al., 2011; Vrionis et al., 2005) are not likely to be effective U(VI) reducers. In fact, there has yet to be a description of an acetate-utilizing sulfate-reducing microorganism capable of U(VI) reduction. The results presented here suggest that Methanosarcina may also contribute to U(VI) reduction in the field experiments. Unlike Geobacter species, Methanosarcina do not require an external electron acceptor for acetate metabolism. Therefore, in long-term in situ uranium bioremediation Methanosarcina may emerge as an important microbial catalyst for uranium removal.

Furthermore, microbial reduction of U(VI) may play an important role in the uranium geochemistry of a diversity of sedimentary environments (Lovley et al., 1991). Thus, the
potential contribution of *Methanosarcina* to U(VI) reduction in anaerobic environments should be considered.
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Figures

**Figure 1.** The injection of acetate into a uranium-contaminated aquifer, triggered acetate utilization coupled with iron reduction, sulfate reduction, and methanogenesis. (a) Quantitative RT-PCR of *Methanosarcina mcrA* mRNA transcripts recovered in the groundwater over the course of 100 days. (b) Concentrations of hydrogen sulfide (µM) and sulfate (mM) detected in the groundwater. (c) Concentrations of acetate (mM), Fe(II) (µM), and U(VI) (µM) detected in the groundwater. (d) Proportion of mcrA sequences from various methanogenic families found in cDNA clone libraries assembled from RNA extracted from groundwater at different points during the experiment. (e) Concentrations of methane and acetate in an active well (CD-01) and a background well (CU-01) on days 79, 89, and 100. For further reference to geochemical parameters and cDNA clone libraries see Holmes et al. 2014.
Fig. 2 Methanosarcina enrichments from Rifle were acetoclastic. Methanosarcina-like cells enriched on 40 mM acetate from Rifle groundwater and sediment. Rifle Methanosarcina grew as rosettes (a) while consuming acetate and producing methane (b).
Fig 3. Uranium U(VI) reduction by metabolically active *Methanosarcina* cells.

Metabolically active cells which were defined as such because they were producing methane from acetate were able to convert 51% of U(VI) to U(IV) (a) whereas metabolically inactive cells kept at 4°C in the same medium did not produce methane and also did not convert U(VI) to U(IV) (b), and neither did autoclaved cell suspensions from the same culture (c). The difference between original concentrations of U(VI) and the amount recovered in metabolically active cell suspensions after 24 hours of exposure was statistically different (p=0.0003).
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