GGA1-mediated endocytic traffic of LR11/SorLA alters APP intracellular distribution and amyloid-β production
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ABSTRACT Proteolytic processing of the amyloid-β precursor protein (APP) and generation of amyloid-β peptide (Aβ) are key events in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) pathogenesis. Cell biological and genetic evidence has implicated the low-density lipoprotein and sorting receptor LR11/SorLA in AD through mechanisms related to APP and Aβ production. Defining the cellular pathway(s) by which LR11 modulates Aβ production is critical to understanding how changes in LR11 expression affect the development of Aβ pathology in AD progression. We report that the LR11 ectodomain is required for LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ and that mutagenesis of the LR11 Golgi-localizing, γ-adaptin ear homology domain, ADP-ribosylation factor (GGA)-binding motif affects the endosomal distribution of LR11, as well as LR11’s effects on APP traffic and Aβ production. Targeted small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown studies of GGA1, GGA2, and GGA3 indicate a surprising degree of specificity toward GGA1, suggesting that GGA1 is a candidate regulator of LR11 traffic. Additional siRNA knockdown experiments reveal that GGA1 is necessary for both LR11 and β-site APP-cleaving enzyme-1 (BACE1) modulation of APP processing to Aβ. Mutagenesis of BACE1 serine 498 to alanine enhances BACE1 targeting to LR11-positive compartments and nullifies LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ. On basis of these results, we propose that GGA1 facilitates LR11 endocytic traffic and that LR11 modulates Aβ levels by promoting APP traffic to the endocytic recycling compartment.

INTRODUCTION

The amyloid-β precursor protein (APP) and its derivative, amyloid-β peptide (Aβ), play central roles in Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Aβ accumulates in senile plaques, a pathological hallmark of AD (Masters et al., 1985), and single-gene mutations in APP cause familial AD by altering APP processing and Aβ production (Goate et al., 1991; Citron et al., 1992). To generate Aβ, APP is cleaved by β-secretase/β-site of APP-cleaving enzyme-1 (BACE1), resulting in secreted APPβ (APPsβ) and a carboxyl-terminal fragment (CTFβ), and subsequent action of γ-secretase on CTFβ releases Aβ (Husain et al., 1999; Sinha and Lieberburg, 1999; Vassar et al., 1999; Wolfe et al., 1999; Yan et al., 1999; Lin et al., 2000; Xia et al., 2000). Cell biological studies indicate that APP processing is determined by its intracellular traffic and exposure to secretase enzymes and that Aβ is produced in the endosomal compartment, as well as in the early secretory pathway (Sisodia, 1992; Koo and Squazzo, 1994; Hartmann et al., 1997; Xu et al., 1997).

Although specific gene mutations can cause familial AD, the causes of sporadic AD remain unclear. The best-established genetic risk factor is the 4 allele of apolipoprotein E (APOE; Corder et al., 1993; Strittmatter et al., 1993). Moreover, members of the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) family, which bind ApoE, have been implicated in AD (Okuizumi et al., 1995; Kang et al., 1997; Ma et al.,...
Low-density lipoprotein receptor with 11 class A ligand-binding repeats (LR11)/SorLA is a multidomain LDLR family member that contains structural elements that also place it in the vacuolar protein sorting 10 protein (VPS10p) homology domain family of intracellular sorting receptors (Jacobsen et al., 1996). We first identified LR11 as a transcript that was down-regulated in microarray studies examining gene expression in patients with AD (Scherzer et al., 2004). Subsequently, we demonstrated preferential neuronal loss of LR11 protein in brain regions vulnerable to AD pathology in sporadic but not familial AD patients, suggesting that LR11 loss plays a specific role in the pathogenesis of sporadic AD (Scherzer et al., 2004; Dodson et al., 2006). More recent studies indicate early loss of neuronal LR11 in individuals with mild cognitive impairment, a condition that often represents prodromal AD (Sager et al., 2007). Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in noncoding regions of SORL1, the gene encoding LR11, have been linked to AD risk, implying that they influence disease by altering expression levels rather than by producing variant forms of LR11 (Rogaeva et al., 2007).

Several reports revealed insights into the mechanism by which LR11 may influence AD pathogenesis. Overexpression of LR11 results in a highly consistent, dose-dependent reduction in APP processing to Aβ (Andersen et al., 2005; Offe et al., 2006; Schmidt et al., 2007). Conversely, reduced LR11 expression, as occurs in sporadic AD brain, increases Aβ levels in cultured cells and accelerates amyloid pathology in a transgenic mouse model of AD (Rogaeva et al., 2007; Dodson et al., 2008; Rohe et al., 2008). LR11 interacts with APP, and colocalization between these proteins has been observed in endosomal and Golgi compartments in multiple cell types, including primary neurons (Andersen et al., 2005; Offe et al., 2006; Spoelgen et al., 2006). LR11 is a large transmembrane protein, and its domain structure indicates that it may act as an endocytic cell surface receptor and as an intracellular sorting receptor (Jacobsen et al., 1996, 2001, 2002). The LR11 ectodomain harbors a cluster of 11 type A ligand-binding repeats and a Vps10p homology domain (Jacobsen et al., 1996; Yamazaki et al., 1996), and the LR11 cytoplasmic tail possesses a Golgi-localizing, γ-adaptin ear homology domain, ADP-ribosylation factor (GGA)–binding domain, found on proteins that shuttle between the trans-Golgi network (TGN) and endosomes, as well as an acidic amino acid cluster that regulates LR11 endocytosis (Jacobsen et al., 2002; Nielsen et al., 2007). Biochemical studies in vitro suggest that lumenal domain interactions mediate LR11–APP binding through the LR11 cluster of type A ligand-binding repeats (Andersen et al., 2006), and another report indicates that LR11 may interact with APP via cytosolic motifs (Spoelgen et al., 2006). On the basis of the lumenal domain interactions of LR11 and APP, we hypothesize that LR11 acts as an endosomal chaperone to increase APP traffic in a nonamyloidogenic pathway. However, which of the many LR11 domains are important for LR11 effects on Aβ levels remains to be determined.

Previous reports showed that changes in LR11 expression can affect APP traffic, proteolytic processing, and Aβ production (Andersen et al., 2005; Offe et al., 2006; Spoelgen et al., 2006; Rogaeva et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2007). However, several of these studies evaluated LR11 effects in nonhuman cell lines under conditions of APP “Swedish mutant” (APPsw) or APP695 overexpression. The APP Swedish mutation accelerates BACE1 cleavage of APP and may circumvent the need for an optimal pH environment (i.e., lumen of endosomes) for BACE1 processing of APP (Citron et al., 1992; Hsiao et al., 1996). Under these conditions, the intracellular sites of APP processing could change and thereby nullify or accentuate the effect(s) of other proteins, like LR11, that are involved in modulating APP processing to Aβ. In this report, we examine the role different LR11 domains play in regulating endogenous APP traffic and Aβ production in a human cell line. Modified LR11 constructs demonstrate the essential role of the LR11 ectodomain in redistributing APP to endosomes and reducing Aβ secretion. Single amino acid substitutions in the LR11 GGA-binding domain establish the requirement for this motif with respect to LR11 traffic and its effects on Aβ production and APP distribution. Targeted small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown experiments reveal that GGA1 regulates LR11 endocytic traffic and that GGA1 is critical for BACE1 and LR11’s effects on endogenous Aβ production. On the basis of these studies, we propose that LR11 endocytic traffic, facilitated by GGA1, is critical for LR11 modulation of APP traffic and the generation of Aβ.

RESULTS

The LR11 ectodomain is required for LR11-mediated Aβ reduction

To assess the effect of the ectodomain or cytoplasmic tail of LR11 on Aβ production, we constructed two chimeras in which the ectodomain or cytoplasmic domain of LR11 was replaced with that of human LDLR, thus generating LDLR-LR11 and LR11-LDLR, respectively (Figure 1A). LDLR was chosen because it is in the same lipoprotein receptor family as LR11 and was reported to have no effect on APP processing or Aβ levels (Fryer et al., 2005). In addition, we generated a construct consisting of the LR11 transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains but lacking the entire ectodomain (LR11∆ED). All constructs were epitope tagged with V5 at the N-termini.

To investigate effects on APP processing and Aβ production, we transiently expressed LR11 constructs in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells. This cell line was chosen due to the ability to detect endogenous APP protein by immunoblot and secreted Aβ by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and our standard transfection efficiency is ~60% under these conditions. Cell extracts and conditioned media were assayed for endogenous full-length APP and α-secretase cleaved APPs, respectively, by immunoblotting, and secreted Aβ1-40 was detected by sandwich ELISA. None of the LR11 constructs significantly altered the levels of full-length, cell-associated APP or APPs in the conditioned media as compared with vector (pcDNA3.1; Figure 1B). Conversely, Aβ1-40 levels were reduced by 42% of controls in cells transfected with LR11; however, Aβ1-40 levels in conditioned media were similar in vector–, LDLR–, LR11–, and LR11∆ED-expressing cells (Figure 1C). Cells transfected with LR11-LDLR exhibited a 19% reduction in Aβ levels, which was significantly different from both vector- and LR11-transfected cells. These results indicate that the LR11 ectodomain is required for LR11 modulation of Aβ production, whereas the cytoplasmic domain is necessary to achieve the full effect. Control experiments demonstrate that LR11, but not LDLR, has an effect on Aβ1-40 levels, and the V5 tag did not alter this effect (Supplemental Figure S1).

Mutageneision of the LR11 GGA-binding domain alters LR11’s effects on Aβ production and APP traffic

The observation that the LR11 ectodomain is essential for LR11-mediated Aβ reduction supports our hypothesis that LR11 directs APP traffic into a nonamyloidogenic pathway through lumenal domain interactions. Short sorting motifs in the LR11 cytoplasmic tail (or other single pass receptor) dictate binding to specific regulators of intracellular traffic. These include an acidic cluster that is required for AP-2 complex–dependent endocytosis and a GGA-binding domain found on proteins, such as sortilin and mannose 6-phosphate receptors, that shuttle between the TGN and endosomes (Jacobsen et al., 1996, 2001, 2002; Puertollano et al., 2001; Zhu et al., 2001; Doray et al., 2002a, 2002b; Nielsen et al., 2007).
To test whether these motifs affect LR11 effects on endogenous Aβ production, we used site-directed mutagenesis to substitute alanines for residues comprising the LR11 acidic cluster or GGA-binding domain (Figure 2A). The acidic cluster, responsible for FIGURE 1: The LR11 ectodomain is required and the LR11 cytoplasmic tail is necessary for LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ. (A) Schematic representation of the N-terminal V5 epitope–tagged LR11 constructs. The V5 tag is indicated in red, and the transmembrane domain is green. The LR11 ectodomain and cytoplasmic tail are peach colored, and the LDLR ectodomain and cytoplasmic tail are blue. To analyze the effects of the LR11 ectodomain on Aβ production, we generated LDLR-LR11 and LR11ΔED, in which the LR11 ectodomain was replaced by that of LDLR or deleted, respectively. LR11-LDLR was generated to assess the requirement of the LR11 cytoplasmic domain, which was replaced with that of LDLR, on Aβ production. Not drawn to scale. (B) Effects of LR11 ectodomain and cytoplasmic domain on full-length, cell-associated APP and APP , respectively, among all samples. Immunoblot of cell lysate with V5 antibody indicated reduced levels of D2190-2198/A and DD2207/8AA compared with LR11; calnexin was used as an immunoblot loading control. (C) Effects of expressing LR11 cytoplasmic tail mutants on Aβ. ELISA revealed that expression of LR11 reduced secreted Aβ1-40 levels by 42%, whereas expression of LR11-LDLR reduced secreted Aβ1-40 levels by only 19%, compared with vector control. Expression of LDLR-LR11 or LR11ΔED had no observable effect on secreted Aβ1-40. Values are shown as mean ± SEM (error bars). ***p < 0.005. Data shown are representative of three independent experiments.

LR11 endocytosis, comprises amino acids 2190, 2191, and 2194–2198 (DD–EDDED; Nielsen et al., 2007); these five aspartic acid and two glutamic acid residues were mutated to alanines, generating D2190-2198/A. The GGA-binding domain comprises amino acids 2207–2211 (DDVPM). The two aspartic acid residues
suggest that LR11–GGA interactions influence LR11 effects on APP processing to Aβ. However, the possibility that changes in Aβ levels are simply due to differences in LR11 and DD2207/8AA protein level cannot be ruled out.

GGA family proteins are sorting adaptors that regulate vesicle traffic between the TGN and endosomes (Boman et al., 2000; Dell’Angelica et al., 2000; Hirst et al., 2000; Poussu et al., 2000; Takatsu et al., 2000). Therefore we hypothesized that mutation of the LR11 GGA-binding domain would affect LR11 endosomal distribution. To test this, we transiently transfected HEK293 cells with LR11 or DD2207/8AA and 24 h later plated cells on coverslips for immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy analyses. We compared colocalization of LR11 and DD2207/8AA with the following organelle markers: Golgi (GM130), sorting/early endosomes (EEA1), recycling endosomal compartment (TfR), or late endosomes/lysosomes (CD63). (A, B) Representative images of LR11 and DD2207/8AA (LR11DD2207/8AA; green) and organelle markers (red), with colocalization (yellow) shown in the merged image. (C) Quantitative analyses of LR11 and DD2207/8AA staining. DD2207/8AA overlap with EEA1 (LR11, 40.9%; DD2207/8AA, 19.7%; p < 0.001) and TfR (LR11, 76.1%; DD2207/8AA, 30.8%; p < 0.001) was significantly reduced compared with LR11. DD2207/8AA colocalization with CD63 (LR11, 22.2%; DD2207/8AA, 14.6%) and GM130 (LR11, 6.6%; DD2207/8AA, 4.1%) was not significantly reduced. Values are shown as mean ± 1 SD (error bars). **p < 0.001. Data shown are representative of three independent experiments. Scale bars, 10 μm.
To determine whether changes in LR11 traffic caused by mutations in its GGA-binding domain affect APP traffic, we analyzed the effect of LR11 or DD2207/8AA expression on endogenous APP distribution (Figure 4, A–C). LR11 expression increased APP colocalization with EEA1 and especially TfR (Figure 4D), suggesting that LR11 promotes APP traffic to the endocytic recycling compartment. To support these findings, we also examined APP colocalization with Rab11a (Supplemental Figure S3). In contrast, expression of DD2207/8AA substantially decreased APP colocalization with TfR in comparison to LR11 and vector transfected cells. There was no observed increase in APP overlap with EEA1 under DD2207/8AA expression, and APP colocalization with GM130 and CD63 was similar among vector-, LR11-, and DD2207/8AA-transfected cells. Together, these results reveal that the LR11 GGA-binding domain plays a role in LR11-mediated effects on Aβ production and APP traffic to early endosomes and the recycling endosomal compartment.

GGA1 is a candidate regulator of LR11 traffic

Three GGAs (GGA1, GGA2, and GGA3) are each ubiquitously expressed in human cells and tissues (Boman et al., 2000; Dell’Angelica et al., 2000; Hirst et al., 2000; Poussu et al., 2000; Takatsu et al., 2000). The functions of each family member and possible redundancies are not well established; some reports indicate cooperativity between GGAs, and others suggest distinct roles. Yeast two-hybrid analysis identified GGA1 and GGA2 as putative interacting partners for LR11, and GGA1 and LR11 can be coimmunoprecipitated when they are overexpressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells (Jacobsen et al., 2002; Schmidt et al., 2007). On the basis of our observations in experiments using LR11 GGA-binding domain mutants, we sought to identify the specific GGA family member(s) that mediates these effects.

In transfected cells, immunoblots of cell extracts showed reduced band intensity of the GGA-binding mutant (DD2207/8AA) compared with wild-type LR11 (Figure 2B). This phenotype was used to screen for the GGA family member(s) responsible. We hypothesized that absence of the requisite GGA adaptor would lead to decreased LR11 protein levels comparable to those seen with the GGA binding mutant. Immunoblot analysis was used to evaluate endogenous LR11 levels after targeted siRNA knockdown of GGA1, GGA2, or GGA3. To this end, HEK293 cells were transfected with GGA1-, GGA2-, or GGA3-targeted or control (nontargeting) siRNA smart pools, and cells were collected after 96 h to assess the efficiency of knockdown and measure changes in LR11. As seen in Figure 5, GGA1 and GGA2 were reduced to 10 and 29% of controls, respectively, whereas GGA3 was reduced to 60% of controls. Endogenous LR11 protein level was reduced to 45% of controls in GGA1-depleted cells (Figure 5, A and B); however, no effect on LR11 was observed in cells transfected with siRNA targeted to GGA2 or GGA3 (Figure 5, C and D). Reduced LR11 protein level was confirmed after RNA interference (RNAi) depletion of GGA1 using individual short hairpin RNA (shRNA) sequences (Supplemental Figure S4, A and B). A previous study of GGA family members in HeLa cells indicated that knockdown of any one GGA partially reduced the level of the other GGAs (Ghosh et al., 2000; Takatsu et al., 2000; Dell’Angelica et al., 2002; Schmidt et al., 2003). Therefore we blot for GGA2 and GGA3 under GGA1-knockdown conditions to evaluate whether the observed effects on LR11 were due to combinatorial reductions of the GGAs. No effect on GGA2 or GGA3 was observed in cells depleted of GGA1 (Figure 5A). Although these experiments do not exclude the possibility that GGA2 and GGA3 play a role in LR11 biology, overall, the specificity of GGA1 effect on LR11 protein levels suggests that this adaptor plays a unique role in its regulation or traffic. Of note, treatment with inhibitors of

(Andersen et al., 2005; Offe et al., 2006), LR11 immunoreactivity displayed an intracellular vesicular pattern analogous to LR11 staining in primary neurons (Figure 3A). Analyses of confocal images revealed reduced colocalization of DD2207/8AA with EEA1 and TfR by 21 and 45%, respectively, compared with LR11 (Figure 3C). Conversely, DD2207/8AA overlap with CD63 and GM130 was not significantly reduced compared with LR11. These results suggest that abrogating LR11–GGA interactions reduces LR11 traffic to early and recycling endosomes. Of note, expression of LR11 induces an enlargement of LR11–GGA interactions reduces LR11 traffic to early and recycling endosomes; however, pulse-chase analysis using biotinylated transferrin demonstrated that LR11 overexpression does not alter transferrin recycling kinetics (Supplemental Figure S2).
lysosomal enzymes increased LR11 protein level by 32% in cells depleted of GGA1 (Figure 5E). These findings suggest that LR11 undergoes enhanced lysosomal degradation in the absence of GGA1.

On the basis of these data, we hypothesized that GGA1-mediated traffic of LR11 was necessary for LR11’s effects on APP processing to Aβ. To test this hypothesis, we evaluated LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ after targeted siRNA knockdown of GGA1 in HEK293 cells. Cells were first transfected with GGA1, GGA2, or control (nontargeting) siRNA smart pools and subsequently transfected with LR11 or empty vector 24 h later. Media were conditioned for 48 h beginning 24 h after LR11 or vector transfection. The efficiency of GGA1 and GGA2 knockdown and endogenous full-length APP was analyzed by immunoblotting cell extracts, and secreted Aβ1-40 was detected by sandwich ELISA. The levels of GGA1 and GGA2 knockdown were similar to results described in Figure 5, A and C, and across all samples no observable changes in full-length APP were detected (Figure 6A). Aβ1-40 was reduced by 44% in cells transfected with control siRNA and LR11 (Figure 6B). Depleting cells of GGA1 reduced Aβ1-40 by 24%, and expressing LR11 after GGA1 knockdown produced no further effect on Aβ1-40 levels (27% reduction). These findings were confirmed after RNAi depletion of GGA1 using individual shRNA sequences (Supplemental Figure S4, C and D). Knockdown of GGA2 had no effect on levels of Aβ1-40, and expression of LR11 under these conditions lowered Aβ1-40 by 40%. These results indicate that depletion of GGA1 reduces Aβ production, and LR11’s effects on Aβ levels are disrupted after knockdown of GGA1 but not GGA2.

Given the biochemical and cell biological observations with DD2207/8AA, we suspected that the loss of LR11’s ability to reduce Aβ production after GGA1 depletion was the result of aberrant LR11 endocytic traffic. To test whether depletion of GGA1 affects endocytic localization of LR11, we transduced HEK293 cells with lentivirus expressing LR11 and subsequently transfected them with plasmid expressing GGA1-targeted shRNA or scramble shRNA and green fluorescent protein (GFP). Cells were plated on coverslips 72 h after transfection and fixed for immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy 24 h later. Parallel samples were transfected for 96 h with indicated plasmids and harvested for immunoblot to analyze efficiency of GGA1 knockdown (Figure 6D). Confocal images reveal that colocalization of LR11 with TfR, a marker for the endocytic recycling compartment, is disrupted under GGA1 knockdown (Figure 6C). These results, coupled with the cell biological analyses of DD2207/8AA, suggest that endocytic traffic of LR11 is impaired in the absence of GGA1 binding.

**Figure 5:** GGA1 knockdown decreases LR11 protein level. To identify the GGA family member(s) potentially involved in LR11 traffic, we used immunoblot analyses to examine endogenous LR11 protein level after targeted siRNA knockdown of GGA1, GGA2, or GGA3. (A) Targeted siRNA knockdown of GGA1. HEK293 cells were transfected with GGA1 targeted or scramble (nontargeting) siRNA smart pools and harvested after 96 h. For analyses of cell lysate, 50 μg of protein was loaded per lane in SDS–PAGE. Immunoblot analyses indicated that GGA1 was reduced to 10% of scramble controls. (B) LR11 protein level was quantified by immunoblot analyses and showed significant difference in GGA1-knockdown cells (55% decrease in LR11) compared with scramble. Values are shown as mean ± SEM (error bars). ***p < 0.0001. (C) Targeted siRNA knockdown of GGA2. HEK293 cells were transfected with GGA2 targeted or scramble siRNA smart pools and harvested after 96 h. GGA2 was reduced to 29% of controls; however, no effect on LR11 was observed. (D) Targeted siRNA knockdown of GGA3. HEK293 cells were transfected with GGA3 targeted or scramble siRNA smart pools and harvested after 96 h. GGA3 was reduced to 60% of controls, and no effect on LR11 was observed. β-Actin was used as an immunoblot loading control. (E) Lysosomal enzyme inhibitors prevent degradation of LR11. HEK293 cells were transfected with GGA1 targeted or scramble siRNA smart pools, and 72 h posttransfection, cells were treated with leupeptin and pepstatin (Leu/Pep) for 24 h. Depletion of GGA1 reduced LR11 to 50% of controls, and incubation with Leu/Pep increased LR11 protein level by 32%. Calnexin was used as an immunoblot loading control. Data shown are representative of three independent experiments.

Mutagenesis of BACE1 serine 498 nullifies LR11’s effects on Aβ production

Previous studies established that BACE1 interacts with the three GGAs and that GGA1 promotes BACE1 traffic from early endosomes to late endocytic compartments or the TGN (He et al., 2002, 2003, 2005; von Arnim et al., 2004; Wahle et al., 2005). BACE1 cleavage of APP to CTFβ is optimum in acidic environments such as the lumen of endosomes and/or lysosomes (Haass et al., 1992; Koo and Squazzo, 1994; Koo et al., 1996; Sinha et al., 1999; Vassar et al., 1999; Yan et al., 1999; Lin et al., 2000; Sannerud et al., 2011). Therefore we hypothesized that GGA1 influences BACE1’s effects on Aβ production, and disruption of GGA1–BACE1 interaction may contribute to the observed reduction in Aβ after GGA1 knockdown (Figure 6B). To test this hypothesis and investigate LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ under these conditions, we evaluated BACE1’s effects on endogenous Aβ production after siRNA knockdown of GGA1 in HEK293 cells. Cells were first transfected with siRNAs that targeted GGA1 or control (nontargeting) and subsequently transfected with empty vector plasmid, BACE1, or BACE1 plus LR11 24 h later. Media were conditioned for 48 h beginning 24 h after plasmid transfection. The efficiency of GGA1 knockdown and endogenous full-length APP was analyzed by immunoblotting, and secreted Aβ1-40 was detected by sandwich ELISA. The levels of GGA1 knockdown were similar to results described in Figures 5
and 6, and across all samples no observable changes in full-length APP were detected (Figure 7A). Expression of BACE1 increased Aβ1-40 levels by 51%, whereas coexpression of BACE1 and LR11 increased Aβ1-40 levels only 29%, compared with controls (Figure 7B). These results indicate that LR11 expression can reduce endogenous Aβ levels under conditions of BACE1 overexpression. Transfection of BACE1 after GGA1 knockdown raised Aβ1-40 levels slightly above those for GGA1-depleted samples but only to levels seen in control cells, indicating that GGA1 is critical for BACE1's effects on Aβ generation. Cotransfection of LR11 and BACE1 after GGA1 knockdown produced no additional change in Aβ1-40 levels, suggesting that under these conditions, LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ is nullified.

That coexpression of LR11 with BACE1 does not affect Aβ levels under GGA1 knockdown is likely due to combinatorial effects of altered LR11 and BACE1 endocytic traffic.

Published reports established that after endocytosis, BACE1 is retrieved from early endosomes and targeted to late endosomal compartments and/or the TGN; however, reduction of GGA1 by siRNA or mutagenesis of BACE1 serine 498 (alanine substitution) leads to retention of BACE1 in early endosomes and enhances BACE1 recycling to the cell surface (Walter et al., 2001; He et al., 2005; Wahle et al., 2005). Other studies demonstrated that phosphorylation of BACE1 serine 498 is necessary for efficient binding to GGA1 (He et al., 2003; Shiba et al., 2004; von Arnim et al., 2004; Wahle et al., 2005). Therefore, if LR11 reduces Aβ levels by mediating traffic of APP to the endocytic recycling compartment and away from early and/or late endosomes that are optimal for BACE1 cleavage of APP, then expression of BACE1S498A should disrupt this mechanism. To investigate this hypothesis, we assessed LR11-mediated reduction of secreted Aβ in the presence of BACE1S498A. Cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids, and cell extracts and conditioned media were assayed for endogenous full-length APP and secreted Aβ1-40 as described earlier. Immunoblot analyses revealed that across all samples no observable changes in full-length APP were detected (Figure 7C). Expression of BACE1 increased Aβ1-40 levels by 101%, whereas coexpression of LR11 with BACE1 blunted this effect (Figure 7D). Expression of BACE1S498A resulted in a 93% increase in Aβ1-40 levels, which was similar to results with wild-type BACE1 and consistent with previous findings (Pastorino et al., 2002). Cotransfection of LR11 with BACE1S498A had no affect on Aβ1-40 levels (91% increase), indicating that LR11 action was precluded by the presence of BACE1S498A.

Previous reports demonstrated that BACE1S498A accumulates in early endosomes and exhibits enhanced endocytic recycling (Walter et al., 2001; Wahle et al., 2005). In this study, we show that LR11 promotes traffic of APP to the endocytic recycling compartment, and this is critical for LR11's effects on Aβ production. To investigate whether the absence of LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ in BACE1S498A-expressing cells may be due to enhanced targeting of BACE1S498A to LR11-positive compartments, we detected colocalization between BACE1 or BACE1S498A and LR11 by immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy (Figure 7E). Analyses of confocal images revealed that BACE1S498A overlapped with LR11 was increased 45% compared with BACE1. Coupled with the biochemical analyses of Aβ1-40 levels described above, these results suggest that enhanced targeting of BACE1S498A to

**FIGURE 6:** GGA1 is necessary for LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ. To determine whether GGA1 mediates LR11’s effects on APP processing to Aβ, we used targeted siRNA knockdown of GGA1 in HEK293 cells and evaluated LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ. First, cells were transfected with GGA1 or GGA2 targeted or scramble (nontargeting) siRNA smart pools and subsequently transfected with vector (pcDNA3.1) or LR11 48 h. Media were conditioned for 48 h beginning 24 h after vector or LR11 transfection. (A) Immunoblot analyses of cell extract to evaluate endogenous full-length APP and efficiency of GGA1 and GGA2 knockdown. Levels of GGA1 and GGA2 knockdown were 88 and 78%, respectively, and levels of APP were similar among all samples. Immunoblot of cell lysate with V5 antibody indicated similar levels of LR11 in LR11-transfected samples. (B) Analyses of endogenous secreted Aβ1-40 by sandwich ELISA. Aβ1-40 levels were reduced by 44% from cells transfected with scramble siRNA and LR11 in comparison to vector. Targeted siRNA knockdown of GGA1 resulted in Aβ1-40 levels reduced by 24%, and expressing LR11 under GGA1 knockdown lowered Aβ1-40 levels to 27% of scramble siRNA samples. Knockdown of GGA2 had no effect on secreted Aβ1-40 levels, and expression of LR11 under these conditions lowered Aβ1-40 levels 40%. Values are shown as mean ± SEM (error bars). *p = 0.0275, **p = 0.0027, ***p = 0.0004. Data shown are representative of three independent experiments. To test whether GGA1 knockdown affects endocytic traffic of LR11, we transduced HEK293 cells with lentivirus expressing LR11 and subsequently transfected with plasmid expressing GFP and shRNA targeted against GGA1 or scramble shRNA. Cells were plated on coverslips 72 h posttransfection and fixed for immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy 24 h later. Parallel samples were harvested for immunoblot. (C) Representative images of LR11 staining in scramble shRNA and GGA1 shRNA transfected cells. LR11 (green) and TFR (red), with colocalization (yellow) shown in the merged image. (D) Efficiency of GGA1 shRNA knockdown. Immunoblot analyses indicate ~57% reduction of GGA1 in GGA1 shRNA–expressing cells compared with scramble shRNA. Scale bars, 10 μm.
LR11-containing compartments nullifies LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ. On the basis of these studies, we propose that LR11 promotes traffic of APP in a nonamyloidogenic pathway by shunting APP away from endosomes that are optimal for BACE1 enzymatic activity.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies reported insights into the pathways by which LR11, a multifunctional low-density lipoprotein and sorting receptor, may influence AD susceptibility. Although LR11 has multiple functional domains and potential mechanisms linked to AD pathogenesis, we hypothesize that LR11 is an endosomal chaperone that increases APP traffic in a nonamyloidogenic pathway (Scherzer et al., 2004; Andersen et al., 2005; Offe et al., 2006; Rogaeva et al., 2007; Dodson et al., 2008; Rohe et al., 2008). To elucidate these mechanisms, it is important to better understand the functional motifs of LR11 and the proteins that regulate LR11 modulations and processing. Our results indicate that the LR11 ectodomain is required for LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ, and the GGA-binding domain regulates LR11 distribution in endosomal compartments and its effects on APP processing. Targeted siRNA knockdown studies of GGA isoforms reveal effects on LR11 protein levels exclusively in cells depleted of GGA1, and additional knockdown experiments show that GGA1 contributes to modulation of Aβ levels by both LR11 and BACE1. Furthermore, disrupting BACE1–GGA1 interaction or altering BACE1 endocytic targeting precludes LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ. On the basis of these observations, we conclude that endocytic traffic of LR11, facilitated by GGA1, plays a critical role in LR11 regulation of APP trafficking and processing.

An important question is how LR11 affects APP distribution and traffic. To address this question, we compared colocalization of endogenous APP with organelle markers under conditions of LR11 overexpression. Expression of LR11 dramatically increased APP overlap with the endosomal markers EEA1, TIR, and Rab11a (Figure 4, A and B, and Supplemental Figure S2). TfRs and LDLR family members are well-studied cargo proteins that are regulated by clathrin-dependent endocytosis, and recycling back to the plasma membrane after endocytosis seems to be the default pathway (reviewed in Maxfield and McGraw, 2004). Although often used as a marker of recycling endosomes, TR staining is not exclusive to a single type of endosomes and overlaps with other types.
sorbring and recycling compartments, as well as with clathrin-coated vesicles and even the TGN (Maxfield and McGraw, 2004). Of note, expression of LR11 induces an enlargement of TIR-positive compartments that is noticeably absent in cells expressing DD2207/8AA or in cells that are expressing LR11 and depleted of GGA1 (Figures 3, A and B, and 6C). Therefore it is likely that recruitment of GGA3 or other adaptor proteins to LR11-containing endosomes contributes to the observed morphological changes. Previous reports concluded that LR11’s effects on Aβ production occur in the perinuclear region in Golgi compartments (Spoelgen et al., 2006; Schmidt et al., 2007). However, our results, coupled with the analyses of Aβ secretion, suggest that it is LR11-directed traffic of APP to the endocytic recycling compartment that is critical for LR11 regulation of Aβ levels.

Previous studies examined a role for GGA1 in amyloidogenic processing of APP. APP and GGA1 were colocalized in cells overexpressing both proteins (von Arnim et al., 2006; Wahle et al., 2006), but glutathione S-transferase pull-down assays suggested that APP and GGA1 may not interact directly (Wahle et al., 2006). Furthermore, Wahle et al. (2006) showed that siRNA knockdown of GGA1 in H4 cells overexpressing APP results in marginally increased Aβ secretion. In contrast, our studies revealed reduced Aβ secretion under endogenous conditions after siRNA depletion of GGA1 (Figure 6B). Additional siRNA knockdown experiments indicate that BACE1 effects on Aβ depend on GGA1 (Figure 7B). GGA1 mediates traffic of BACE1 between endosomes and Golgi (He et al., 2002, 2003, 2005; von Arnim et al., 2004; Wahle et al., 2005), and therefore we hypothesize that the observed reduction of Aβ in cells depleted of GGA1 reflect impaired traffic to acidic compartments that favor BACE1 cleavage of APP to CTFβ. In addition to GGA1, BACE1’s GGA-binding domain mediates interaction with and putative sorting by GGA2 and GGA3 (He et al., 2002, 2003). Of note, GGA3 is believed to mediate lysosomal degradation of BACE1, and reduction of GGA3 by siRNA enhances BACE1’s effects on Aβ production (Tesco et al., 2007; Kang et al., 2010).

Our findings with DD2207/8AA, coupled with the results of GGA1-knockdown studies, provide strong evidence that GGA1 is necessary for LR11 endocytic traffic and LR11 modulation of APP processing to Aβ. These data highlight the possibility that GGA1 mediates traffic of BACE1 and LR11 and that each pathway culminates in distinct consequences related to APP processing to Aβ. We hypothesize that GGA1 facilitates LR11 traffic to recycling endosomes; however, it is possible that GGA2 also plays a role in this mechanism. Moreover, whether GGA-mediated sorting of LR11 is direct Golgi-to-endosome traffic or shuttling between endosomal compartments remains to be determined. Reduced LR11 protein levels observed after GGA1 knockdown or mutagenesis of the GGA-binding domain may indicate that in the absence of GGA1–LR11 interaction, LR11 is targeted to degradative pathways. Jacobsen et al. (2002) showed in a yeast-two hybrid analysis that LR11 aspartic acids 2207 and 2208 were absolutely required for GGA1 binding. More recent studies suggest that GGA1 interaction with cargos, such as sortilin and LR11, are enhanced by phosphorylation of the serine residue that is immediately N-terminal to the cargo’s GGA-binding domain (Cramer et al., 2010). Our previous work demonstrated that ROCK2 phosphorylates LR11 serine 2206, which is located immediately N-terminal to the LR11 GGA-binding motif (Herskowitz et al., 2011). Moreover, we showed that mutagenesis of this residue abrogated LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ. Whether or not phosphorylation of serine 2206 contributes to GGA1 interaction with LR11 in mammalian cells remains to be demonstrated.

Defining the cellular mechanism(s) by which LR11 modulates Aβ production is critical to understanding how changes in LR11 expression affect the development of amyloid pathology in sporadic AD. This report demonstrates that the LR11 ectodomain is required for LR11-mediated Aβ reduction and that the LR11 GGA-binding domain is necessary for LR11’s effects on APP traffic. These data support our hypothesis that through interactions between the lumenal domain of LR11 and APP, LR11 acts as an endosomal chaperone to promote APP traffic in a nonamyloidogenic pathway. Furthermore, our studies revealed that LR11-mediated reduction of Aβ is inhibited in the presence of BACE1S498A (Figure 7D), likely due to enhanced targeting of BACE1S498A to LR11-positive compartments (Figure 7E). We propose that after endocytosis, sorting of BACE1, LR11, and APP occurs in early endosomes, and LR11 reduces Aβ production by escorting APP to the endocytic recycling compartment (Figure 8). Through this activity, LR11 shunts APP away from early and late endosomes that are optimal for BACE1 enzymatic activity. However, if LR11 promotes APP traffic to recycling compartments, why do not Aβ levels increase in the presence of BACE1S498A? It is possible that in addition to LR11’s effects on APP distribution described in this report, LR11–APP complex formation confers steric hindrance against BACE1 cleavage of APP to CTFβ, as previously suggested (Spoelgen et al., 2006). Elucidating the cellular mechanism(s) by which LR11 modulates APP processing to Aβ will enhance models of AD pathogenesis and provide the foundation for designing rational therapeutics that target aspects of LR11 biology, such as endocytic traffic.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Generation of expression vectors and LR11 and BACE1 mutants**

Human LR11 cDNA in pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was a gift from Chica Schaller (Zentrum für Molekulare Neurobiologie, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany), and the LDLR cDNA in a pcMV-based vector was generously provided by David Russell (Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Texas Southwest- ern Medical Center at Dallas, Dallas, TX). Additional constructs were designed and generated based on these cDNAs, and all were epitope-tagged with V5 at the amino terminus. All cDNA was amplified using AccuPrime Pfx DNA polymerase Supermix (Invitrogen).
LR11 cDNA was amplified using a sense primer with an XbaI site (5′-ATG TTC TAG AAG CCG TGC CCT GCA GCC CGA-3′) and an antisense primer introducing an XhoI site (5′-AAT ACT CGA GTC AGG CTG CTA CCA TGA GGG GCA-3′). The LR11ΔED cDNA was amplified with a sense primer that introduced an XbaI site (5′-CCG CCT TAG ATC TAG GGA GGT TGC TGC TG-3′) and an antisense primer containing an XhoI site (5′-AAT ACT CGA GTC AGG CTG CTA CCA TCA CGA TGG GGA-3′). To amplify full-length LDLR, the following primers were used: 5′-ATG CTC TAG AGT GGG CAG CAG ATG CGA AAG-3′, introducing an XbaI site, and 5′-ATG CGG CCG CTC AGC AGG CCT CAT CCT CCA-3′, introducing a NotI site. The LR11 ectodomain was amplified with the sense primer 5′-AAT TTC TAG AAG CGC TGC CCT GCA GCC CGA-3′, containing an XbaI RE site, and the antisense primer 5′-GAC CGA ATT CTC TGG CAG CTC GTG CAG GGA-3′, introducing an EcoRI site. The LDLR transmembrane and cytoplasmic domain for the LR11-LDLR chimera were amplified using a sense primer with an EcoRI site (5′-GAC CGA ATT CAG CGT GAG GCC TGC CCT GTC CTG CAT-3′) and an antisense primer introducing a NotI site (5′-ATG CGG CCG CTC AGC CCA CGT CAT CCT CCA-3′). The LDLR ectodomain was amplified with the sense primer 5′-ATG CTC TAG AGT GGG CAG CAG ATG CGA AAG-3′, containing an XbaI site, and the antisense primer 5′-CGC CCT CCA GAG TGC GGT TCT TCT CAT TT-3′, containing an XhoI site. To generate the cytoplasmic tail of the LDLR-LR11 chimera, we amplified the LR11 transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains using the sense primer 5′-CCG CCT CGA GTC TAG GGA GTG TGC TGG TGC TG-3′, introducing an XhoI site, and the antisense primer 5′-ATG CGG CCG CTC AGG CTA CCA TGA CGA GGA-3′, introducing a NotI site. PCR products were cut using the appropriate restriction enzymes and ligated into modified pcDNA3.1 that contained either the LDLR signal peptide and V5 tag. Correct insertions were verified by restriction digest and sequencing.

The two constructs harboring point mutations in LR11 were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using the QuikChange XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To generate D2190-2198A/A, we mutated five aspartic acid (2194, 2197) resides to alanines in the LR11 acidic domain. The following primer pairs were used in site-directed mutagenesis using the QuikChange XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. GGA1, GGA2, and GGA3 ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO) and ON-TARGET Non-targeting Pool siRNA (Dharmacon) were transfected using DharmaFECT 1 siRNA Transfection Reagent (Dharmacon) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. HEK293 cells were transfected with lentivirus expressing N-terminal V5-tagged LR11 at a multiplicity of infection of 1. For lysosomal enzyme inhibition, 0.1 μg of leupeptin and 0.05 μg of pepstatin A (both from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) were added per milliliter of media for 24 h (Nielsen et al., 2007).

**Antibodies**

For detecting the V5 tag on LR11 constructs, a polyclonal V5 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) was used for immunocytochemistry, and a monoclonal antibody to V5 (MCA1360; AbD Serotec, Raleigh, NC) was used for immunoblot. For the organelle markers in immunocytochemical experiments, the following antibodies were used: EEA1 for sorting/early endosomes and GM130 antibody for Golgi (monoclonals from Transduction Laboratories/BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), KDEL for endoplasmic reticulum (monoclonal from Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI), TIR for the recycling endosomal compartment (monoclonal from Zymed/Invitrogen, San Francisco, CA), and CD63 for late endosomes/lysosomes (monoclonal from BD Biosciences PharMingen, San Diego, CA). For endogenous LR11 immunoblot, monoclonal antibody (611860) from Transduction Laboratories/BD Biosciences was used. For immunoblot and immunofluorescence of GGA1, GGA2, and GGA3, the following antibodies were used: rabbit polyclonal antiserum to GGA1 were generated as described previously (Boman et al., 2000), GGA2 rabbit polyclonal (ab10552) from Abcam (Cambridge, MA), and GGA3 monochlonal (612310) from Transduction Laboratories/BD Biosciences. For immunofluorescence and immunoblot of full-length, cell-associated APP and immunoblot of secreted α-secretase cleaved APP (monoclonal 6E10; Signet, SIG-39320-200; Covance, Berkeley, CA) was used.
used. For immunoblot loading controls, the following antibodies were used: calnexin polyclonal (SPA-860; Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI) and β-actin monoclonal (ab6276; Abcam). For immunofluorescence and immunoblot of BACE1 and BACE1S498A, a polyclonal BACE1 antibody (AHB0281; BioSource International, Camarillo, CA) was used. For immunoblot of biotinylated transferrin, a polyclonal goat biotin antibody (600-131-098; Rockland Immunochemicals, Gilbertsville, PA) was used.

Cell harvest and immunoblotting

Cells were lysed as previously described (Offe et al., 2006; Herskowitz et al., 2010) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) plus protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), Halt phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Pierce, Rockford, IL), and lysis buffer containing 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 150 mM sodium chloride, and 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4. Immunoblotting was performed as previously described (Offe et al., 2006). To load equivalent amounts of soluble lysate per sample for immunoblot analyses, we determined protein concentration by bicinchoninic acid method (Pierce). Images were captured using an Odyssey Image Station (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE), and band intensities were quantified using Scion Image. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t test for independent samples.

Aβ measurements

HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with siRNA and/or indicated plasmids, and media were conditioned for 48 h beginning 24 h after final transfection. Conditioned media were collected and cells were harvested for immunoblot analyses as described. Endogenous Aβ1-40 was detected using a sandwich ELISA for human β amyloid 1-40 (Millipore, Billerica, MA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Plates were read at 450 nm on a Spectra Max Plus plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Cell extracts and conditioned media samples were blotted for indicated proteins as described in the text. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

Immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy

For immunocytochemistry experiments, cells were plated onto Matrigel extracellular matrix (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ)–coated coverslips in 12-well culture dishes 24 h after transfection. Cells plated on coverslips were fixed for 30 min in 2% paraformaldehyde and rinsed several times with PBS containing 0.5% normal horse serum, 0.5% normal goat serum, and 0.05% saponin (rinse buffer). The cells were blocked and permeabilized for 30 min with PBS containing 5% normal horse serum, 5% normal goat serum, 1% bovine serum albumin, and 0.05% saponin (blocking buffer) plus 0.05% Triton X-100. Cells were rinsed several times with rinse buffer and incubated in primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. For double and triple labeling, two primary antibodies were included in the incubation. The next day cells were rinsed and incubated for 1 h with both fluorophore-conjugated anti-rabbit and mouse secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) in blocking buffer. For triple labeling, the secondary antibodies used were goat Fab fragments (Jackson ImmunoResearch). This was followed by a block with unconjugated goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit monovalent Fab fragments. Cells were then incubated with the third primary overnight at 4°C. The next day, cells were rinsed and incubated with secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. After rinsing, cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 333258 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 5 min, mounted onto slides using Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), and sealed. Control conditions included omission of one or more primary antibodies to test incomplete block, nonspecific secondary antibody binding, and bleedthrough. Controls showed negligible staining. Images were captured using an LSM510 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) and processed with Photoshop (Adobe, San Jose, CA) by manually tracing a single cell per image, as well as a 20 × 20 pixel region of the nucleus. Quantification of colocalization was performed using Matlab R2006 software (The MathWorks, Natick, MA). For each channel of the RGB image, the average pixel intensity plus 1 SD of the outlined nuclear region was measured and defined as background. The average pixel intensity plus 1 SD of the pixels in the outlined cell that were exceeding background intensity was then measured, and the threshold was set at this value. For pixels with intensities greater than threshold, the percentage of pixels in one channel overlapping with those in the other channels was calculated. The data were analyzed with two-way analysis of variance and Bonferroni post hoc tests using Prism software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). The data are presented as means ± 1 SD. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

Transferrin recycling assay

HEK293 cells were plated in 60-mm dishes and subsequently transfected with indicated constructs. Twenty-four hours later the cells were split into 12-well dishes. The next day, the cells were serum starved for 1 h and then incubated with bovine serum albumin–supplemented media containing 10 μg/ml of iron-saturated biotinylated transferrin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h at 37°C. Unbound and surface-bound biotinylated transferrin were removed by placing the cells on ice and washing twice with cold PBS, once with low-pH wash buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM acetic acid pH 3.5), and finally twice with cold PBS. To measure transferrin recycling, we returned the cells to 37°C and incubated them in medium containing 1 mg/ml unlabeled transferrin (Sigma-Aldrich). Medium was collected at 0, 5, 10, 20, and 40 min, and the cells were harvested for immunoblot analyses as described. Recycled and cell-associated biotinylated transferrin were quantified as previously described (Kachhap et al., 2007). Briefly, a fraction of lysate and corresponding media was processed for immunoblot. At each time point recycled transferrin was expressed as a percentage of total transferrin.
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