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Abstract

The mineralogy, bulk sediment geochemical composition, and U–Pb ages of detrital zircons retrieved from the Barra del Tordo (Tordo) and Tesoro beach sediments in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico were analyzed to determine their provenance. The beach sediments are mainly composed of quartz, ilmenite, magnetite, titanite, zircon, and anorthite. The weathering proxies such as the Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA), Chemical Index of Weathering (CIW), and Plagioclase Index of Alteration (PIA), reveal a moderate-to-high intensity of weathering in the source area. The chondrite-normalized rare earth element (REE) patterns are similar to felsic igneous rocks, with large negative europium anomaly (Eu/Eu* = ~0.47–0.80 and ~0.57–0.67 in the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, respectively).

Three major zircon U–Pb age groups are identified in the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, i.e., Proterozoic (~2039–595 Ma), Mesozoic (~244–70.3 Ma), and Cenozoic (~65.9–1.2 Ma). The differences of the zircon age spectrum between the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are not significant. The comparison of zircon U–Pb ages in this study with ages of potential source terranes suggests that the Mesozoic and Cenozoic zircons of the studied Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments were derived from the Eastern Alkaline Province (EAP) and Mesa Central Province (MCP). Similarly, the likely sources for the Proterozoic zircons were the Sierra Madre Oriental (SMOr) and Oaxaquia in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. The results of this study further indicate that the sediments delivered to the beaches by rivers and redistributed by longshore currents were crucial in determining the sediment provenance.
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1 Introduction

The geochemistry of beach sediments in the Gulf of Mexico have been studied by various authors (Rosales-Hoz et al. 2015; Machain-Castillo et al. 2019; Ayala-Pérez et al. 2021; Kasper-Zubillaga et al. 2021), whereas the U–Pb ages of detrital zircons from the Gulf of Mexico coastal sediments have not been studied extensively. Nevertheless, U–Pb ages of zircon grains in volcanic rocks from various terranes in Mexico such as Cuicateco, Oaxacan Complex, Xolapa Complex, Mesa Central Province, and Eastern Alkaline Province were widely studied (Solari et al. 2004; Rubio-Cisneros and Lawton 2011; Lawton and Molina-Garza 2014; Juárez-Arriaga et al. 2019; Sieck et al. 2019; Barboza-Gudiño et al. 2020; Torres-Sánchez et al. 2020; Verma et al. 2021).

The mineralogical and geochemical compositions of detrital sediments are commonly used to investigate the provenance, weathering, and tectonic setting of source area (e.g. Cullers et al. 1988; Chaudhuri et al. 2018, 2020; Critelli 2018; Al-Kaaby and Albadran 2020; Banerjee et al. 2020; Rivera-Gómez et al. 2020; Critelli et al. 2021; Karlik et al. 2021). Although detrital sediments are influenced by diagenesis and recycling, their mineral and geochemistry composition is primarily...
Depended on source rocks. The immobile trace elements like REE, Cr, Hf, Nb, Ni, Th, and V are highly reliable indicators to differentiate sediments derived from felsic and/or mafic igneous rocks (Critelli et al. 1997, 2003; Zeng et al. 2019; Arribas et al. 2000; Cullers 2000). Similarly, chondrite-normalized REE patterns and the Eu anomaly are also extensively utilized in various studies to infer source rock characteristics (e.g. Bankole et al. 2020; Chaudhuri et al. 2020).

Detrital zircon U–Pb age has become a common method to investigate zircon origin and to further discriminate source terranes (e.g. Lee et al. 2015; McRivette et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020; Armstrong-Altrin et al. 2021). Zircon is a common mineral in acidic rocks, and due to its resistance capacity against weathering and thermal alteration, zircon retains U–Pb isotopic signatures related to their origin and parent rocks (Potter-McIntyre et al. 2018; Dew et al. 2019). Numerous studies proved that detrital zircon U–Pb geochronology is a powerful tool to investigate sediment provenance and their transport pathway (e.g. Gärtner et al. 2017; Hoskin and Ireland 2000; Al-Juboury et al. 2020). Besides, a few studies also documented the importance of the combination of the zircon U–Pb age and sediment geochemistry to infer provenance and to locate source terranes (Turzewski et al. 2020; Zeng et al. 2020).

In this study, the mineralogy, bulk sediment composition, and U–Pb ages of detrital zircons retrieved from the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beaches, northwestern Gulf of Mexico are analyzed for the aims (1) to investigate sediment provenance and (2) to identify the source terranes supplying sediments to the beach areas.

2 Study area and geology

Forty sediment samples (3 kg each) were collected in the Barra del Tordo (23°07′30.78″N–97°45′53.04″W) and Tesoro (22°29′42.39″N–97°51′7.99″W) beaches, located at the Tamaulipas State, the northwestern part of the Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1). A 1-cm-thick top layer of the beach sand was removed before collecting the samples. Approximately a 100 m interval was maintained between sample locations. Metamorphic rocks from the Precambrian to the Paleozoic, marine sedimentary rocks from the Paleozoic to the Quaternary, igneous rocks of the Cenozoic age, and the unconsolidated Quaternary sediments are outcropped in the Tamaulipas State (Demant and Robin 1975; Rubio-Cisneros and Lawton 2011). The sediments in the coastal plain in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico mainly consist of (1) Mesozoic clastic (sandstone, siltstone, and shale) and calcareous (limestone) sedimentary rocks, and (2) Cenozoic volcanic rocks, which belong to the Sierra Madre Oriental Province (Demant and Robin 1975; Hudson 2003). The Pánuco, Tamesí, and Soto la Marina River drainage basins consist of arid to semi-arid Mesa Central Province, Oaxacan Complex, the north-south trending Sierra Madre Oriental, and the Gulf Coastal Plain.

In summer, longshore surface currents have a northward flow direction with an average velocity of 4.5 cm/s. In winter, longshore surface currents flow towards the south with an average velocity of 6 cm/s. Higher wind velocities were recorded during summer, which vary from 3.0 m/s to 5.4 m/s (Yáñez-Arancibia et al. 2009). Monreal-Gómez et al. (1992) documented that the water circulation and hydrodynamic condition of the Gulf of Mexico are controlled by loop currents and anticyclonic rings. There are “northerners” associated with weather conditions of a short time scale (2–3 days) with high-pressure system that originates frequently in the Rocky Mountains of the United States and travels from high latitudes to the Gulf of Mexico.

3 Materials and methods

3.1 Granulometry

Twenty sediment samples from the Barra del Tordo beach and 20 from the Tesoro beach were air-dried and sieved by a Ro-Tap sieve Shaker using American Standard Test Materials sieves from + 7 to + 270 mesh sizes with 0.50 ϕ interval for 40 min (ϕ being a grade-scale that is inverse of the grain diameter; Wentworth 1922). Cumulative curves were constructed and used to calculate the statistical grain-size parameters (mean size and sorting values) by applying the equations of Folk and Ward (1957). The Ro-Tap sieve Shaker is located at the Sedimentology Laboratory, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología (ICML), Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico City.

3.2 SEM–EDS

Sand grain composition was obtained randomly by wave dispersive analyses (WDS) using a JEOL JXA-8900R electron microprobe housed in the Institute of Geophysics, UNAM, Mexico City. Peak counting times were 40 s for each element, except for Na and K with 10 s.

3.3 Thin-section petrography

Twenty thin-sections (10 from Tesoro beach and 10 from Tordo beach) were prepared following a common procedure. The modal component was studied based on the Gazzi-Dickinson point counting methodology (Gazzi 1966; Dickinson 1970). Combined organic and inorganic stains specific for iron-rich calcite (Katz and Friedman 1965) were adopted to identify the mineralogical variations.

3.4 Sediment composition

Twenty bulk sediment samples (10 from Tesoro beach and 10 from Tordo beach) were powdered by an agate
Fig. 1 Map showing beach location and simplified geology of the Gulf of Mexico coastal areas (Source: Carta Geológica; Scale: 1:1000000; Servicio Geológico Mexicano 2008). SMOr: Sierra Madre Oriental. Y: Mesoproterozoic; Pz: Paleozoic; C: Carboniferous; PaCP: Carboniferous–Permian; P: Permian; Mz: Mesozoic; TR: Triassic; J: Jurassic; K: Cretaceous; JK: Jurassic–Cretaceous; KPE: Cretaceous–Paleogene; T: Tertiary; PE: Paleogene; Tpa: Paleocene; Te: Eocene; PeEo: Eocene–Oligocene; To: Oligocene; N: Neogene; M: Miocene; Nmp: Neogene–Miocene–Plioene; Tp: Pliocene; PQ: Pliocene–Quaternary; Q: Quaternary.
mortar, and the major element concentrations were determined using a Thermo Scientific Niton FXL 950 X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analyzer (Balaram 2021). Accuracy of the major element analysis was monitored by an International Standard Gabbro JGB1 (GSJ). The precision of major element data was better than 5%. Loss on ignition was obtained by weighing after combusting 1 h at 1000°C. Similarly, the trace and REE concentrations for 20 sediment samples were determined by a VG Elemental PQII Plus ICP–MS and the operation procedure was similar as the detailed in Jarvis (1988). For data calibration, the United States Geological Survey Standard BCR-2 (Basalt, Columbia River) was used. In general, the analytical precision was less than 5%. Eu and Ce anomalies are calculated as Eu/Eu* = EcCN/[(SmCN)·(GdCN)]^{1/2} and Ce/Ce* = CeCN/[(LaCN)·(PrCN)]^{1/2}, respectively. (CN means chondrite-normalized values from Taylor and McLennan 1985).

3.5 Detrital zircon U–Pb dating
Four hundred zircon grains were analyzed for U–Pb ages, 200 from Tordo beach (sample numbers PBT1 and PBT13) and 200 from Tesoro beach (sample numbers PT1 and PT19). The detrital zircon grains were handpicked under a binocular microscope and then mounted in an epoxy disc. The cathodoluminescence images of detrital zircon grains from the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments analyzed in this study are provided in Additional file 1 (Supplementary Information 1, 2, respectively). Zircon U–Pb geochronology was performed by a Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (LA–ICPMS) at the Laboratorio de Estudios Isotópicos (LEI), Centro de Geociencias, UNAM.

The laser ablation system at LEI consists of a Resonetics M050 workstation, equipped with an LPX 220 excimer laser and an S-155 two-volume cell (Müller et al. 2009; Paton et al. 2010; Petrus and Kamber 2012). The laser workstation is connected to either a Thermo ICap Qquadrupole ICPMS or a Thermo Neptune Plus multi-collector ICPMS. A “squid” signal homogenizer is used right after the ablation cell before the ablated material enters the plasma. 350 ml of He is used as carrier gas, mixed downstream with 4.5 ml of N₂. A frequency of 5 Hz was employed, with a fluence of 6 J/cm².

The background average was subtracted from the net intensity measured for each isotope. The acquisition involved an alternation of 2 analyses of Plešovice reference zircon (~ 337 Ma, Sláma et al. 2008), NIST 610 standard glasses and 5 unknown zircons, using standard-unknown bracketing method to allow downhole fractionation corrections to be performed with an inhouse developed software (Solari et al. 2010). Precision on measured ratios of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$, $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$, and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th}$ was ~ 0.7% with 1σ relative standard deviation. Replicate analyses of the Plešovice zircon indicate an external reproducibility of 0.8%, 0.7%, and 1.6% on the measured $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$, $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{232}\text{Th}$ ratios, respectively. These errors are quadratically included in the quoted uncertainties for individual analyses of the analyzed zircons. Concordia, probability density plots and cumulative proportion curves were generated from the corrected $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratios and the integrated discordant and discordant ages through ISOPLOT 3.70 Software (Ludwig 2003). The Tuff-Zirc algorithm combined in the same software was used to calculate the mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages and their errors, as well as to filter outliers, which are preferred for grains younger than 1000 Ma (Ludwig 1998; Ludwig 2003). For grains with ages of < 1000 Ma, the analysis was considered discordant if the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U}$ ages differed by less than 10%. For the grains with ages > 1000 Ma, the same test was carried out considering $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages.

4 Results
4.1 Bulk sediment
4.1.1 Textural parameters
The textural parameters for the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are listed in Additional file 2 (Supplementary Information 3). The Tordo beach sediments are predominantly medium-grained, moderately sorted, near symmetrical, and very leptokurtic. On the other hand, Tesoro beach sediments are predominantly fine-grained, moderately sorted, near symmetrical, and very leptokurtic.

4.1.2 Mineralogy
The minerals detected by SEM–EDS are mainly quartz, ilmenite, magnetite, titanite, zircon, and anorthite in both the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments (Fig. 2). In addition, the thin section study reveals some accessory minerals, such as amphibole, biotite, chlorite, epidote, feldspar, plagioclase, pyroxene, monocrystalline quartz, lithic volcanic, lithic sediments, and bioclast in the Tordo beach sediments (Fig. 3a–f), and biotite, chlorite, plagioclase, and lithic volcanic in the Tesoro beach sediments (Fig. 3g–l).

4.1.3 Geochemistry
The major element concentrations of Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are reported in the Supplementary Information 4 and their UCC-normalized patterns are showed in Fig. 4a. SiO₂ content is > 73 wt.% in Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, which varies between 73.2–91.4 wt.% and 82.7–84.1 wt.% respectively. The SiO₂ content shows negative correlation with TiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, MgO, MnO, and CaO for Tordo beach sediments ($r = −0.99, −0.19, −0.99, 0.98, −0.99$, and $−0.63$, respectively; $n = 10$) and for Tesoro beach
Sediments ($r = -0.19, -0.17, -0.06, -0.37, -0.43, \text{ and } -0.52$, respectively; $n = 10$). Significantly positive correlations between TiO$_2$ vs. P$_2$O$_5$ ($r = 0.74, n = 10$) and Al$_2$O$_3$ vs. K$_2$O ($r = 0.72, n = 10$) are observed for the Tesoro beach sediments. The differences in major element concentrations between the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are probably related with grain size variations (Mean grain size $= 2.26 \pm 0.29$ and $3.11 \pm 0.11$, respectively; Supplementary Information 3). In Tordo beach sediments, compared to the characteristics of UCC, an enrichment of SiO$_2$ content is observed, whereas Al$_2$O$_3$, MgO, CaO, Na$_2$O, K$_2$O and P$_2$O$_5$ are depleted (Fig. 4a). However, in Tesoro beach sediments, UCC-normalized major element patterns are basically different, except for the enrichment in SiO$_2$ content relative to UCC (Fig. 4a).

The trace element concentrations of the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are reported in Additional file 2 (Supplementary Information 5). On the UCC-normalized trace element plot (Fig. 4b), the trace element concentrations of both beach sediments are depleted relative to the UCC except Cu content. Correlations between SiO$_2$ and V, Sr, Rb, Th, Sc, Zr, and REE contents are not significant in both Tordo ($r = -0.99, -0.16, 0.25, -0.96, -0.99, -0.99, \text{ and } -0.97$, respectively; $n = 10$) and Tesoro beach sediments ($r = 0.13, -0.33, -0.09, -0.23, -0.38, 0.098, \text{ and } -0.03$, respectively; $n = 10$). While Al$_2$O$_3$ is negatively correlated with Co, Ni, and Zr in the samples from Tordo ($r = -0.218, -0.22, \text{ and } -0.21$, respectively) and Tesoro ($r = -0.49, -0.31, \text{ and } -0.58$, respectively) beaches. Positive correlations can be observed between Cu and Ca, Sr, Ba and Zn ($r = 0.63, 0.68, 0.70, 0.71, \text{ and } 0.91, n = 20$) in the samples from the Tordo and Tesoro beaches.

The REE contents in the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are reported in Additional file 2 (Supplementary Information 5). The chondrite-normalized REE patterns of bulk sediments are shown in Fig. 4c, and are more fractionated in the Tordo relative to the Tesoro beach sediments. The REE patterns are with distinct negative europium (Eu/Eu*) anomaly. The Eu/Eu* ratios for Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments range between $\sim 0.50-0.80$ and $\sim 0.56-0.68$ respectively. A significantly positive correlation is observed for $\Sigma$REE against TiO$_2$. 

![Fig. 2 SEM–EDS spectrum for the a–c Barra del Tordo and d–f Tesoro beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico. a Quartz spectrum; b Ilmenite spectrum; c Magnetite spectrum; d Titanite spectrum; e Zircon spectrum; and f Anorthite spectrum](image-url)
Fe₂O₃, MnO, MgO, Th, and Zr in Tordo (r = 0.96, 0.98, 0.98, 0.99, and 0.99, respectively) and Tesoro (r = 0.52, 0.52, 0.60, 0.59, 0.51, and 0.72, respectively) beach sediments.

4.2 Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology

4.2.1 Barra del Tordo beach

The zircon U-Pb ages analyzed for Tordo beach sediments (PBT1 and PBT13) are reported in Additional file 2 (Supplementary Information 6) and their average age groups are listed in Table 1. Among 200 analyses, 193 zircon grains yielded concordant ages (Fig. 5a and c). The youngest concordant zircon age is 1.2 ± 0.1 Ma, and the oldest is 2094 ± 45 Ma. The spectrums of U-Pb ages of samples PBT1 and PBT13 are similar including age populations of the Proterozoic (2094–595.8 Ma and 1732–632.2 Ma, number of zircons = 34 and n = 21, respectively), the Paleozoic (455.7–262 Ma and 481.2–252.5 Ma, n = 5 and n = 8, respectively), the Mesozoic (177.7–70.3 Ma and 243.8–68.9 Ma, n = 24 and n = 28, respectively), and the Cenozoic (59.5–1.2 Ma and 58.2–1.2 Ma, n = 33 and n = 40, respectively). The probability

Fig. 3 Thin-section photomicrographs for the a-f Barra del Tordo and g-l Tesoro beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Am: Amphibole; Bio: Biotite; Cl: Chlorite; Ep: Epidote; Fsp: Feldspar; Ilm: Ilmenite; Ls: Lithic sediments; Lv: Lithic volcanic; Mag: Magnetite; Pl: Plagioclase; Px: Pyroxene; Qm: Monocrystalline quartz; Qz: Quartz; Zrn: Zircon
density plots show three major peaks (Fig. 5b and d), which correspond to the Proterozoic, Mesozoic, and Cenozoic.

4.2.2 Tesoro beach
The zircon U–Pb ages obtained from two samples (PT1 and PT19) are listed in Additional file 2 (Supplementary Information 7). Among 200 analyses, 188 zircons yielded concordant ages (Fig. 6a and c). The probability density plots for samples PT1 and PT19 are shown in Fig. 6b and d. The youngest concordant zircon age is 23.3 ± 0.8 Ma, and the oldest is 2593 ± 21 Ma. Four zircon U–Pb age populations obtained from samples PT1 and PT19 are the Proterozoic (2593–560.4 Ma and 2039–669 Ma; n = 25 and n = 30, respectively), the Paleozoic (540–254.9 Ma and 477.5–268.5 Ma, n = 10 and n = 10, respectively), the Mesozoic (249.3–71 Ma) and (244–71 Ma; n = 30 and n = 28, respectively), and the Cenozoic (64.3–25.7 Ma and 65.9–23.3 Ma; n = 27 and n = 28, respectively).

5 Discussion
5.1 Elemental variations
A negative correlation of SiO₂ against major (TiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, MgO, MnO, CaO, and P₂O₅) and trace (V, Cr, Ni, Co, Zr, and ΣREE) elements in the Barra del Tordo beach sediments indicates that silica is hosted in quartz rather than accessory minerals. Similarly, a negative correlation between Al₂O₃ and Co, Ni, Zr for Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments indicates an association of Al₂O₃ with accessory minerals rather than aluminosilicates. A positive correlation between TiO₂ and P₂O₅ in Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments indicates an association of Al₂O₃ with accessory minerals rather than aluminosilicates. A positive correlation between TiO₂ and P₂O₅ in Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments indicates a similar source and their association with accessory minerals. An enrichment in TiO₂, Fe₂O₃, and MnO contents is noted in samples PBT1 and PBT7, indicating their association with magnetite. Similarly, a positive correlation of ΣREE versus TiO₂, Fe₂O₃, MnO, MgO, Th, and Zr in the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments is suggestive of the association of REE with accessory minerals. Cu reveals a positive correlation

Table 1 Detrital zircon U–Pb age groups of the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico (refer Additional file 2 for complete data)

| Barra del Tordo beach sediments | Number of zircon grains |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age population                | PBT1   | PBT13  |
| Proterozoic (2094–595.8 Ma)   | 34     | 21     |
| Paleozoic (481.2–252.5 Ma)    | 5      | 8      |
| Mesozoic (243.8–68.9 Ma)      | 24     | 28     |
| Cenozoic (59.5–1.2 Ma)        | 33     | 40     |
| Total                         | 96     | 97     |

| Tesoro beach sediments        | Number of zircon grains |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age population                | PT1    | PT19   |
| Proterozoic (2593–560.4 Ma)   | 25     | 30     |
| Paleozoic (540–254.9 Ma)      | 10     | 10     |
| Mesozoic (249.3–71 Ma)        | 30     | 28     |
| Cenozoic (69.9–23.3 Ma)       | 27     | 28     |
| Total                         | 92     | 96     |
against Ca, Sr, Rb, Ba, and Zn for Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments and indicates the association of these elements with a similar source. The REE fractionation of Tordo beach sediments is probably due to the effect of weathering.

5.2 Sediment weathering and sorting
The chemical composition of detrital sediments is highly useful to interpret sediment recycling and intensity of weathering (Devi et al. 2017; Basu 2020; Patra and Shukla 2020; Verlekar and Kotha 2020). In this study, weathering indices like Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA; Nesbitt and Young 1982), Chemical Index of Weathering (CIW; Harnois 1988), and Plagioclase Index of Alteration (PIA; Fedo et al. 1995) are applied to infer the intensity of weathering (Supplementary Information 4). The CIA, CIW, and PIA values in the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments vary between ~44.6–57.5, ~47.5–74.5, and ~41.9–63.8 respectively, indicating moderate to high intensity of weathering in the source area (Supplementary Information 4).

Hydraulic sorting of sediments can be evaluated by the Index of Compositional Variability (ICV), which decreases when weathering increases (Cox et al. 1995). The ICV values of Tordo beach sediments vary from 0.95 to 2.41, except for two samples PBT1 and PBT7, which show higher values relative to other samples (9.4 and 5.2, respectively). ICV values of Tesoro beach sediments range between 1.61 and 1.78 (Supplementary Information 4). According to Cox et al. (1995), ICV > 1 indicates little-weathered detrital minerals like feldspar, and ICV < 1 represents fine-grained sediments like clay. In general, ICV values in Tesoro and Tordo beach sediments are larger than 1, indicating the dominance of detrital minerals.

5.3 Provenance
5.3.1 Geochemistry
To infer the provenance of the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, we employed a provenance

---

**Fig. 5** U–Pb concordia diagrams and histograms showing the relative age probability distribution of detrital zircon grains from samples PBT1 (a and b) and PBT13 (c and d) of the Barra del Tordo beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico. n = Number of zircon grains
discrimination diagram based on the major oxides (Roser and Korsch 1988), which is frequently used in various studies (e.g. Tawfik et al. 2018; Bineli et al. 2020; Madhavaraju et al. 2020; Mustafa and Tobia 2020). On this plot, the samples are clustered in the quartzose sedimentary provenance field (Fig. 7), indicating a recycled quartzose provenance. Besides, the TiO$_2$/Zr ratio in detrital sediments is one of the best indicators on source rock types (Girty et al. 1996). TiO$_2$/Zr ratio values are higher in mafic igneous (> 195) rocks than in intermediate (~ 55–195) and felsic (< 55) igneous rocks. The TiO$_2$/Zr ratios are very low in the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments (~ 0.05–0.22 and ~ 0.04–0.09, respectively), indicating their derivation from felsic source rocks.

Trace element concentrations of sediments, particularly immobile elements like La, Sc, Co, Cr, Th, and REE are helpful to predict the nature of source rocks, due to a compositional difference between silicic and mafic rocks (e.g. Anaya-Gregorio et al. 2018; Cullers 2000). Hence, the immobile elements and their elemental ratios are utilized in various studies to differentiate source rocks (e.g. Damian et al. 2019; Hossain 2019; Tobia and Shangola 2019; Patra and Shukla 2020; Ekoa Bessa et al. 2021). La/Sc, La/Co, Th/Sc, and Cr/Th ratios in the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are compared with sediments derived from felsic and mafic sources, as well as with average UCC values (Supplementary Information 8). This comparative study reveals that these sediments were likely derived from felsic source rocks. Furthermore, the relative chondrite-normalized REE pattern and the Eu anomaly have also been used to infer the source of clastic sediments (e.g. Cullers 2000; Hernández-Hinojosa et al. 2018; Kettanah et al. 2021; Madhavaraju et al. 2021). The REE patterns of the Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are both with a significantly negative Eu anomaly, indicating felsic igneous rocks as their sources (Fig. 4c).

### 5.3.2 Geochronological evidence

Three major zircon U–Pb age populations are identified in the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments.
(Table 1; Fig. 8), i.e., (1) Proterozoic (2094–595.8 Ma and 2593–560.4 Ma respectively); (2) Mesozoic (243.8–68.9 Ma and 249.3–71 Ma respectively); and (3) Cenozoic (59.5–1.2 Ma and 65.9–23.3 Ma, respectively).

Detrital zircon U–Pb dating ages of this study are compared with the detrital zircon ages from potential source terranes of northwestern Mexico (Fig. 8). The Proterozoic age population in this study is similar to zircon U–Pb ages reported from the Sierra Madre Oriental, Tamaulipas State, which represent the Grenvillian (~ 1250–920 Ma) and the Pan-African orogen period (~ 730–530 Ma). Another likely source for the Proterozoic zircons of the Tordo and Tesoro beaches is the Oaxacan Complex, which contains extensive outcrops of the Grenvillian basement rocks (Barboza-Gudiño et al. 2010; Solari et al. 2014). Weber et al. (2010) reported the zircon U–Pb ages from the Oaxacan Complex, which vary from 1500 Ma to 950 Ma. Recently, Vega-Granillo et al. (2020) reported Proterozoic age populations for the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beaches, are Sierra Madre Oriental and Oaxacan Complex. Besides, although the numbers of Paleozoic age zircons are both rare in Tordo (n = 13) and Tesoro (n = 20) beach sediments, we infer that the likely source for these Paleozoic zircons is the La Joya Formation in the Mesa Central Province (the Huizachal Group; Rubio-Cisneros and Lawton 2011) due to the resemblance of zircon U–Pb ages (~ 256–222 Ma; Rubio-Cisneros and Lawton 2011).

The Mesozoic age population representing the likely source terrane, which supplied Mesozoic zircons to the beach areas, is the Mesa Central Province. The Mesa Central Province consists of Zacatecas, Nazas, and La Joya sedimentary formations (Zavala-Monsiváis et al. 2012). Lawton and Molina-Garza (2014) reported zircon age populations of the Zacatecas and Nazas Formations in northeastern Durango, which vary between ~ 250–179 Ma and ~ 280–200 Ma, respectively. In addition, Escalona-Alcázar et al. (2016) and Barboza-Gudiño et al. (2020) reported the zircon U–Pb ages from the conglomerate of Zacatecas Formation in the Eastern Alkaline Province, and inferred the maximum depositional age of ~ 81 Ma. Recently, Juárez-Arriaga et al. (2019) dated zircons from different sedimentary formations in the Mesa Central Province and reported the following ages: (1) Tezapotla (84 ± 0.6 Ma), (2) Alamar (79.2 ± 1 Ma), (3) Jalpan-Conca (78.7 ± 0.05 Ma), and (4) Agua Zarca (77.9 ± 0.5 Ma). Based on the
Fig. 8 Histograms for comparing ages of potential source terranes from northwestern Mexico with detrital zircon ages in the present study.  

- **a** Sierra Madre Oriental;  
- **b** Mesa Central Province;  
- **c**–**d** Tesoro beach (this study);  
- **e** Oaxacan Complex;  
- **f** Eastern Alkaline Province;  
- **g**–**h** Barra del Tordo beach (this study). 

Age distribution of zircons from potential source terranes in the northwestern Mexico are after Barboza-Gudiño et al. (2010), Weber et al. (2010), Lawton and Molina-Garza (2014), Solari et al. (2014), Escalona-Alcázar et al. (2016), Juárez-Arriaga et al. (2019), Sieck et al. (2019), Barboza-Gudiño et al. (2020), and Vega-Granillo et al. (2020).
comparison of zircon ages, it is identified that the Mesa Central Province is the source terrane, which supplied Mesozoic zircons to the beach areas.

The Cenozoic age population represents the Eastern Alkaline Province (EAP), which includes various volcanic fields, located in the Gulf of Mexico coastal region (Verma et al. 2020). The zircon ages reported from the EAP volcanic fields range from 8.0 Ma to 2.82 Ma. For instance, zircon ages inferred by K–Ar and Ar–Ar dating are 59 Ma in the Tlanchinol succession (7.33–2.82 Ma), Sierra Tantima (~ 9.0 Ma), and Alamo volcanic field (~ 6.91 Ma) of the EAP (Sieck et al. 2019). Another source for the Cenozoic age zircons is the Mesa Central Province (MCP), which consists of three tectonomagmatic stages (Sieck et al. 2019). First, a long phase of andesitic to dacitic arc volcanism (~ 45–31 Ma) connected with the subduction of the Farallon Plate that lasted until Eocene–Oligocene. Second is an extension-related phase (~ 32–25 Ma) overlapped with the Oligocene to Miocene basin. The third stage corresponds to an Early Miocene (~ 23–20 Ma) mafic magmatism that marked the evolution from a continental arc regime to intraplate volcanism. Hence, the similarity in zircon age populations of this study with EAP and MCP reveals that these provinces are the likely sources for the Cenozoic zircons of the Tordo and Tesoro beaches.

Fig. 9 Simplified diagram showing river drainage basins and source terranes, which contributed detrital zircons to the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beaches in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. The longshore current illustrations are after Monreal-Gómez et al. (1992). Source rocks are inferred through the zircon U–Pb ages compiled from Weber et al. (2010), Zavala-Monsiváis et al. (2012), Lawton and Molina-Garza (2014), Escalona-Alcázar et al. (2016), Silva-Romo et al. (2018), Juárez-Ariagá et al. (2019), Sieck et al. (2019), Barboza-Gudiño et al. (2020), and Vega-Granillo et al. (2020). SC: San Carlos; ST: Sierra de Tamaulipas; TTA: Tlanchinol–Tantima–Alamo; VH: Valle de Huizachal.
Based on the above discussions, it is assumed that the San Fernando, Soto la Marina, Tamesi, and Pánuco Rivers drained along the Sierra Madre Oriental, Mesa Central Province, and Oaxacan Complex carried sediments to the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beaches and played an important role in defining the sediment source. The probable sediment transport pathway is briefly illustrated in Fig. 9.

6 Conclusions
The mineralogy, sediment composition, and zircon U–Pb ages were analyzed to identify the sources, which supplied sediments to the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beaches in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. The results of this study reveal that the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are mainly composed of quartz, ilmenite, magnetite, zircon, titanite, and anorthite. The weathering indices like CIA, CIW, and PIA indicate a moderate to high intensity of weathering in the source area. The major element concentrations, trace elemental ratios of La/Sc, La/Co, Th/Sc, and Cr/Th, and REE patterns with prominent negative Eu anomaly in the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments all indicate a felsic provenance.

Zircon U–Pb dating for the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments suggests three dominant age peaks: Proterozoic (~ 2593–560.4 Ma), Mesozoic (~ 249.3–68.9 Ma), and Cenozoic (~ 69.9–1.2 Ma). Differences in U–Pb age populations between the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments are not significant, suggesting a similar provenance. It is inferred that the Proterozoic zircons in the beach areas were transported from the Grenvillian igneous suites in the Oaxacan Complex as well as from the Sierra Madre Oriental. The potential suppliers for the Mesozoic and Cenozoic age zircons to the beach areas are the Eastern Alkaiine and Mesa Central Provinces, among which the Mesa Central Province is the major contributor of sediments to the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach areas. Furthermore, the San Fernando, Soto la Marina, Tamesi, and Pánuco Rivers in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico are interpreted to deliver source sediments to the beach areas, which were subsequently mixed by longshore currents.

Abbreviations
CIA: Chemical Index of Alteration; CIW: Chemical Index of Weathering; CR: Chlorite; CMC: Chilapa Massif Complex; CN: Chondrite-normalized; CONACyT: Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología; DGAPA: Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico; EAP: Eastern Alkaline Province; Ep: Epidote; Fsp: Feldspar; GSJ: Geological Society of Japan; HREE: Heavy rare earth elements; ICW: Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry; ICVM: Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry–Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry; IEME: Instituto de Estudios de Isotopos; LREE: Light rare earth elements; Ls: Lithic sedimentary; Lv: Lithic volcanic; M: Miocene; Mag: Magnetite; MCP: Mesa Central Province; Mz: Mesozoic; N: Neogene; Nmp: Neogene–Miocene–Pliocene; P: Permian; PAPIIT: Programa de Apoyo a Proyectos de Investigación e Innovación Tecnológica; PCML: Posgrado en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología; PE: Paleogene; PIko: Eocene–Oligocene; PIA: Plagioclase Index of Alteration; Pl: Plagioclase; Ps: Pyroxene; Pz: Paleozoic; PzCP: Carboniferous–Permian; PZ: Pliocene–Quaternary; Q: Quaternary; Qm: Monocrystalline quartz; Qz: Quartz; R: River; REE: Rare earth element; SC: San Carlos; SEM: Scanning Electron Microscopy; SEM–EDS: Scanning Electron Microscopy – Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy; SMO: Sierra Madre Oriental; ST: Sierra de Tamaulipas; T: Tertiary; Te: Eocene; To: Oligocene; Tp: Pliocene; Tps: Paleocene; Tt: Triassic; TTA: Tlanchinol–Tantima–Alamo; UCC: Upper continental crust; UNAM: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México; WDS: Wave dispersive analysis; XRF: X-ray fluorescence; Y: Mesoproterozoic; Zrn: Zircon

7 Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s42501-021-00101-4.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Information 1. Post-ablation cathodoluminescence images of selected detrital zircons from the Barra del Tordo beach sediments. Additional file 2: Supplementary Information 2. Post-ablation cathodoluminescence images of selected detrital zircons from the Tesoro beach sediments. Additional file 3: Supplementary Information 3. Graphical mean grain size (Mz) and textural parameters for the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Grain size classifications were based on Wentworth (1922) and Folk and Ward (1957). Additional file 4: Supplementary Information 4. Major element concentrations (in wt.%) in the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Additional file 5: Supplementary Information 5. Trace and rare earth element concentrations (in ppm) in the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Additional file 6: Supplementary Information 6. U–Pb geochronology data for zircons of samples PBT1 and PBT13 analyzed from the Barra del Tordo beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Additional file 7: Supplementary Information 7. U–Pb geochronology data for zircons of samples PT1 and PT19 analyzed from the Tesoro beach sediments, northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Additional file 8: Supplementary Information 8. Range of trace elemental ratios in the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beach sediments, compared with ratio range of sediments derived from felsic and mafic rocks, and the Upper Continental Crust.

Acknowledgements
Armstrong-Altrin acknowledges the financial assistance provided by the CONACYT Project (No. A1-S-21287) for zircon grain U–Pb geochronology analysis. We extend our sincere thanks to Patricia Girón García, Carlos Linares-López, Laura E. Gómez Lizarraga and Teodoro Hernández Treviño, for XRF, SEM–EDS, SEM, and zircon separation, respectively. We appreciate Dr. Luigi Solari of Centro de Geoecologies for U–Pb zircon dating. The textural parameters were analyzed by Eduardo Alfredo Morales, Ricardo Martínez Domínguez, Susana Santiago-Perez, and Arturo Ronquillo Avizú at the Sedimentology Laboratory, ICML. Mayla A. Ramos-Vázquez is grateful to the Posgrado en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología (PCML) postgraduate program and to Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) for a doctoral fellowship (No. S95593/308610). We are also grateful to the ICML Institutional Project (No. 616) for providing transportation facilities along the Gulf of Mexico coastal areas. Financial support extended by the Programa de Apoyo a Proyectos de Investigación e Innovación Tecnológica (PAPIIT; Project No. IN107020) is highly acknowledged. We acknowledge the Editor and three anonymous reviewers for their comments, which significantly improved the presentation.

Authors’ contributions
Mayla A. Ramos-Vázquez collected sediment samples along the Barra del Tordo and Tesoro beaches, northwestern Gulf of Mexico, and prepared the samples for SEM and zircon dating studies. John S. Armstrong-Altrin participated in sample collection, examined and interpreted the data. Both authors contributed in writing, reviewing, and editing the manuscript. And both authors read and approved the final paper.
Funding
This study was supported by the 1) Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), Project Number A1-S-21287, 2) Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico (DGAPA) — Programa de Apoyo a Proyectos de Investigación e Innovación Tecnológica (PAPIIT), Project Number IN107020; 3) Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Institutional Fund Number 616.

Availability of data and materials
All data discussed in this study are available in the current Table, Figures, and Supplementary material of this manuscript (Supplementary Information Additional files 1 and 2).

Declarations

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Author details
1) Posgrado en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 Ciudad de México, Mexico.
2) Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Unidad de Procesos Oceanográficos y Costeros, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 Ciudad de México, Mexico.

Received: 15 January 2021 Accepted: 7 July 2021
Published online: 28 July 2021

References

Al-Juboury, A.L., A. Morton, W.S. Shingaly, J. Howard, B. Thusu, S. Vincent, and M. Fanning. 2020. Stratigraphy and age revision of the Pirispiki Formation, Kurdistan region, northern Iraq. Arabian Journal of Geosciences 13 (14): 593. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-020-05591-S.

Al-Kaaby, L.F., and B.N. Albadran. 2020. Minerals and sedimentary characteristics of Quaternary sediments of different regions in Southern Iraq. Iraqi Geological Journal 53 (1A): 68–89.

Anaya-Gregorio, A., J.S. Armstrong-Altrin, M.L. Machain-Castillo, P.C. Montiel-Garcia, and M.A. Ramos-Vázquez. 2018. Textural and geochemical characteristics of late Pleistocene to Holocene fine-grained deep-sea sediment cores (GM6 and GM7), recovered from southwestern Gulf of Mexico. Journal of Palaeogeography 7 (3): 253–271.

Arribas, J., S. Critelli, E. Le Pera, and A. Tortosa. 2000. The effects of source lithology, provenance in sand-size material in soils and stream sediments near the Grijalva River Delta, southern Gulf of Mexico. Journal of Palaeogeography 2300 – 2311. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3091.1997.d01-42.x.

Barajas-Nigoche. 2010. Late Triassic stratigraphy and facies from northeastern Mexico: Tectonic setting and provenance. Geosphere 6 (5): 621–640. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES05045.1.

Binelli, A.T.N., V.L. Onana, S.D.N. Tang, Y.R. Bikoy, and G.E. Ekodекe. 2020. Mineralogy and geochemistry of sands of the lower course of the Sanaga River, Cameroon: Implications for weathering, provenance, and tectonic setting. Acta Geochimica. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11631-020-00437-z.

Basu, A. 2020. Chemical weathering, first cycle quartz sand, and its bearing on quartz arenite. Journal Indian Association of Sedimentologists 37 (2): 3–14. https://doi.org/10.51710/jias.v37i2.161.

Cullers, R.L., A. Basu, and L.J. Suttner. 1988. Geochemical signature of Pennsylvanian–Permian age, Colorado, USA: Implications for provenance and metamorphic studies. Lithos 31: 305–327.

Cullers, R.L. 2000. The geochemistry of shales, siltstones and sandstones of Pennsylvania–Permian age, Colorado, USA: Implications for provenance and metamorphic studies. Journal of the Indian Association of Sedimentologists 14 (2): 343–351. https://doi.org/10.264 71/ejes/2019/014/085.

Cuvier, G. 1834. In des synonymes et fautes de classification, esquisses pour l’étude de l’histoire naturelle des animaux. 2 vols. Paris, France, 427 pp.

Demant, A., and C. Robin. 1975. Las Fases del Vulcanismo en México. Una síntesis en relación con la Evolución Geodinámica desde el Cretácico. Revista Instituto de Geología UNAM 75: 70–83.

Dew, R., C.K. Murray, T.A. Myint, and A. Collins. 2019. Age and provenance of the Chaung Magyi Group, Yeywa Dome, Myanmar, based on U–Pb dating of detrital zircons. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 184: 103967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.103967.
Hoskin, P.W.O., and T.R. Ireland. 2000. Rare earth element chemistry of zircon.

Hernández-Hinojosa, V., P.C. Montiel-García, J.S. Armstrong-Altrin, R. Gazette, P. García-Sandoval, A.F. Nieto-Samaniego, and E.P. Núñez-Peña. 2016. The Palaeocene–early Oligocene Zancatetes conglomerate, Mexico: Sedimentology, detrital zircon U–Pb ages, and sandstone provenance. International Geology Review 58: 826–848, 7.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00206814.2015.1125809.

Fedor, C.M., H.W. Nesbitt, and G.M. Young. 1995. Unraveling the effects of potassium metasomatism in sedimentary rocks and paleosols, with implications for paleoweathering conditions and provenance. Geology 23 (10): 921–924. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1995)023<0921:UTEOPM>2.0.CO;2.

Folk, R.L., and W.C. Ward. 1957. Brazos river bar, a study in the significance of grain-size parameters. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 27 (1): 3–26. https://doi.org/10.1306/74D70546-2821-11D7-8648000102C186D5.

Gärtnert, A., N. Youbi, M. Villeneuve, A. Sagawe, M. Hofmann, A. Mahmoudi, M.A. Boumehdi, and U. Linnermann. 2017. The zircon evidence of temporally changing sediment transport — The NW Gondwana margin during Cambrian to Devonian time (Aoucert and Samara areas, Moroccan Sahara). International Journal of Earth Sciences 106 (8): 2747–2769. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-017-1457-x.

Gazzi, P. 1966. Le arenarie del flysch sopracretaceo dell’area di Juárez-Arriaga, E., T.F. Lawton, Y.Z.E. Ocampo-Díaz, D.F. Stockli, and L. Solari. 2020. Improved laser ablation U–Pb geochronology of the type Nazas Formation and superjacent strata, northeastern Durango, Mexico: Implications of a Jurassic age for continental-arc magmatism in North-Central Mexico. Geological Society of America Bulletin 126 (9–10): 1181–1199. https://doi.org/10.1130/B30827.1.

Lee, Y.J., Y. Yi, and T. Choi. 2015. Provenance analysis of Lower Cretaceous Sindong Group sandstones in the Gyeongsang Basin, Korea, using integrated petrography, quartz SEM-Cathodoluminescence, and zircon Zr/Hf analysis. Journal of Sedimentary Research 85 (5): 529–543. https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2015.36.

Ludwig, K.R. 2003. User’s manual for Isoplot 3.0: A geochronological toolkit for Microsoft excel, special publication 4z. Berkeley: Berkeley geochronological Centre.

Machain-Castillo, M., A. Ruiz-Fernández, A. Gracia, J. Sanchez-Cabeza, A. Rodríguez-Ramírez, H. Alexander-Valdés, L. Pérez-Bernal, X. Nava-Fernández, L. Gómez-Lizárraga, L. Almaraz-Ruíz, P. Schwinge, and D. Hollander. 2019. Natural and anthropogenic oil impacts on benthic foraminifers in the southern Gulf of Mexico. Marine and Environmental Research 149: 111–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2019.06.006.

Madhavaram, J., J.R. Armstrong-Altrin, R.P. Pillai, and T. Pi-Puig. 2021. Geochemistry of sands from the Huatabampo and Altata beaches, Gulf of California, Mexico. Geological Journal 56 (5): 2398–2417. https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.3864.

Mickitrev, N.W., A. Yin, X. Chen, and G.E. Gehrels. 2019. Cenozoic basin evolution of the Central Tibetan Plateau as constrained by U–Pb detrital zircon geochronology, sandstone petrology, and fission-track thermochronology. Tectonophysics 751: 150–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.12.015.

Monreal-Gómez, A., D. Salas-de-León, A. Padilla-Pilotez, and M. Alatorre-Mendieta. 1992. Hydrography and estimation of density currents in the southern part of the bay of Campeche, Mexico. Ciencias Marinas 18 (4): 115–133. https://doi.org/10.7737/cmv1.84.908.

Murley, W., M. Shelley, P. Miller, and S. Broude. 2009. Initial performance metrics of a new custom-designed ArF excimer LA-ICPMS system coupled to a two-volume laser-ablation cell. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry 24 (2): 209–214. https://doi.org/10.1039/B805995K.

Mustafa, R.K., and F.H. Tobia. 2020. Geochemical application in unravelling paleoweathering, provenance and tectonic setting of the Middle Eocene Gercus Formation, northern Iraq: Implications for provenance and tectonic setting. Geological Journal 56 (5): 2528–2549. https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.3880.

Nagav, T.F., and R.S. Molla-Garza. 2014. U–Pb geochronology of the type Nazas Formation and superjacent strata, northeastern Durango, Mexico: Implications of a Jurassic age for continental-arc magmatism in North-Central Mexico. Geological Society of America Bulletin 126 (9–10): 1181–1199. https://doi.org/10.1130/B30827.1.

Lee, Y.J., Y. Yi, and T. Choi. 2015. Provenance analysis of Lower Cretaceous Sindong Group sandstones in the Gyeongsang Basin, Korea, using integrated petrography, quartz SEM-Cathodoluminescence, and zircon Zr/Hf analysis. Journal of Sedimentary Research 85 (5): 529–543. https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2015.36.

Ludwig, K.R. 2003. User’s manual for Isoplot 3.0: A geochronological toolkit for Microsoft excel, special publication 4z. Berkeley: Berkeley geochronological Centre.

Machain-Castillo, M., A. Ruiz-Fernández, A. Gracia, J. Sanchez-Cabeza, A. Rodríguez-Ramírez, H. Alexander-Valdés, L. Pérez-Bernal, X. Nava-Fernández, L. Gómez-Lizárraga, L. Almaraz-Ruíz, P. Schwinge, and D. Hollander. 2019. Natural and anthropogenic oil impacts on benthic foraminifers in the southern Gulf of Mexico. Marine and Environmental Research 149: 111–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2019.06.006.

Madhavaram, J., J.S. Armstrong-Altrin, R.P. Pillai, and T. Pi-Puig. 2021. Geochemistry of sands from the Huatabampo and Altata beaches, Gulf of California, Mexico. Geological Journal 56 (5): 2398–2417. https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.3864.

Madhavaram, J., S.P. Rajendra, Y.I. Lee, E.R. Montoya, S. Ramasamy, and R.F. SantaCruz. 2020. Mineralogy and geochemistry of clastic sediments of the Terani Formation, Cauvery Basin, southern India: Implications for paleoweathering, provenance and tectonic setting. Geosciences Journal 24 (2): 31–66. https://doi.org/10.4172/2090-7699.1000059-3.

Müller, W., M. Shelley, P. Miller, and S. Broude. 2009. Initial performance metrics of a new custom-designed ArF excimer LA-ICPMS system coupled to a two-volume laser-ablation cell. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry 24 (2): 209–214. https://doi.org/10.1039/B805995K.

Mustafa, R.K., and F.H. Tobia. 2020. Geochemical application in unravelling paleoweathering, provenance and tectonic setting of the shale from Chia Gara Formation, Kurdistan region, Iraq. Iraqi Geological Journal 53 (1A): 90–116. https://doi.org/10.46717/ij.53a1R7.202001.28.

Nesbitt, H.W., and G.M. Young. 1982. Early Proterozoic climates and plate motions inferred from major element chemistry of lutites. Nature 299 (5885): 715–717. https://doi.org/10.1038/299715a0.

Paton, C.J.D. Woodhead, J.C. Heltstrom, J.M. Hergt, A. Greig, and R. Maas. 2010. Improved laser ablation U–Pb zircon geochronology through
Zeng, S., J. Wang, X. Fu, W. Chen, C. Song, X. Feng, and D. Wang. 2020. Geochemistry and detrital zircon geochronology of the Jurassic clastic rocks of the northern Qiangtang Basin, northern Tibet: Implication for palaeoenvironment, provenance, and tectonic setting. Geological Journal 56 (6): 2869–2887. https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.4074.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.