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ABSTRACT

Objective Tofacitinib is an oral, small-molecule Janus kinase inhibitor that is being investigated for IBD. We evaluated the efficacy and safety of tofacitinib for induction and maintenance treatment in patients with moderate-to-severe Crohn’s disease (CD).

Design We conducted two randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre phase IIb studies. Adult patients with moderate-to-severe CD were randomised to receive induction treatment with placebo, tofacitinib 5 or 10 mg twice daily for 8 weeks. Those achieving clinical response-100 or remission were re-randomised to maintenance treatment with placebo, tofacitinib 5 or 10 mg twice daily for 26 weeks. Primary endpoints were clinical remission at the end of the induction study, and clinical response-100 or remission at the end of the maintenance study.

Results 180/280 patients randomised in the induction study were enrolled in the maintenance study. At week 8 of induction, the proportion of patients with clinical remission was 43.5% and 43.0% with 5 and 10 mg twice daily, respectively, compared with 36.7% in the placebo group (p=0.325 and 0.392 for 5 and 10 mg twice daily vs placebo). At week 26 of maintenance, the proportion of patients with clinical response-100 or remission was 55.8% with tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily compared with 39.5% with tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily and 38.1% with placebo (p=0.130 for 10 mg twice daily vs placebo). Compared with placebo, the change in C-reactive protein from baseline was statistically significant (p<0.0001) with 10 mg twice daily after both induction and maintenance treatments.

Conclusions Primary efficacy endpoints were not significantly different from placebo, although there was evidence of a minor treatment effect. No new safety signals were observed for tofacitinib.

Trial registration numbers NCT01393626 and NCT01393899.

INTRODUCTION

Crohn’s disease (CD) is an IBD that is often progressive, with a remitting/relapsing course leading to complications such as strictures or fistulæ.1–3 The treatment goal is to induce and maintain remission, limit steroid exposure, induce mucosal healing and prevent complications that may lead to surgery or disability.4 5

Although biological therapies have been a major advance in the treatment of CD, there is a high incidence of non-response and/or loss of response.

What is already known on this subject?

▸ Crohn’s disease (CD) is a relapsing IBD, which may lead to progressive bowel damage and patient disability.

▸ The current treatment goal is to induce and maintain remission. A substantial proportion of patients with moderate-to-severe CD do not respond or lose response to currently available therapies over time, and novel therapeutic alternatives are required.

What are the new findings?

▸ After 8 weeks of induction therapy, small treatment effects versus placebo in proportions of patients in remission were observed with tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily.

▸ After 26 weeks of maintenance therapy, clinical response-100 or remission was observed in a higher proportion of patients receiving tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily than placebo, although the difference was not statistically significant.

▸ A minor treatment effect with tofacitinib was demonstrated by secondary clinical endpoints and supported by changes in biomarkers.

▸ No new safety signals were observed for tofacitinib compared with those seen previously in studies of other indications.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

▸ Despite the non-significant results, the improvement in measures of disease activity observed in the current study supports the development of further studies investigating the efficacy and safety of Janus kinase inhibition for CD.

Significance of this study
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over time.\textsuperscript{6,7} Currently available treatment options have not shown a convincing reduction in surgical rates.\textsuperscript{8,9} Additionally, safety issues are associated with both traditional and biologic therapies.\textsuperscript{10–12} and novel treatment options are clearly needed.

Tofacitinib is an oral, small-molecule Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor that is being investigated for IBD. JAKs are involved in cytokine signal transduction via phosphorylation of transcription factors of the signal transducer and activator of transcription family.\textsuperscript{13} JAK inhibitors modulate signalling of several cytokine receptors at the same time, leading to systemic immunosuppression. Tofacitinib is a potent inhibitor of JAK1 and JAK3.\textsuperscript{14} A phase II study,\textsuperscript{15} and two identical phase III studies,\textsuperscript{16} demonstrated that patients with moderately to severely active UC receiving tofacitinib were more likely to achieve remission at 8 weeks than those receiving placebo. A previous 4-week phase II study, carried out in patients with moderate-to-severe CD, did not show efficacy for tofacitinib 1, 5 or 15 mg twice daily in inducing clinical response; however, a surprisingly high placebo response was observed.\textsuperscript{17}

We now report phase IIIb induction and maintenance randomised placebo-controlled trials to investigate the efficacy and safety of tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily for inducing and maintaining clinical remission in patients with moderate-to-severe CD.

\section*{METHODS}

\subsection*{Study design}

Patients were enrolled into two sequential and integrated phase IIb, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, dose-ranging, multicentre trials to evaluate the efficacy and safety of tofacitinib for induction (induction study) and maintenance (maintenance study) treatment in adults with moderately to severely active CD. The studies were conducted at 80 sites in 18 countries (see online supplementary file). In the induction study, eligible patients were initially randomised (3:2:2:4) to receive placebo, tofacitinib 5, 10 or 15 mg twice daily for 8 weeks. The unbalanced allocation ratios were determined based on trial simulations for the fitting of an E\textsubscript{max} model for dose–response curve. After 16 patients were enrolled in the tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily group, the protocol was amended and enrolment in the tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily dose group was stopped to focus the tofacitinib CD development programme on the 5 and 10 mg twice daily dose levels. Subsequently, eligible patients were randomised (1:1:1:1) to receive placebo, tofacitinib 5 or 10 mg twice daily for 8 weeks (figure 1).

Patients receiving azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine or methotrexate were required to stop these agents at least two weeks prior to randomisation. Concomitant tumour necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) were not allowed, and a wash-out period of at least eight weeks prior to randomisation was required. Concomitant corticosteroids were allowed up to oral prednisone-equivalent 30 mg/day or budesonide 9 mg/day, provided a stable dose had been taken for at least two weeks prior to baseline. Patients were required to have completed the induction study and achieved a clinical response-100 (Crohn’s disease activity index (CDAI) decrease from baseline $\geq$100 points) or clinical remission (CDAI $<150$ points) at week 8, regardless of whether they received active treatment or placebo, to be eligible to enter the maintenance study (subject to meeting other selection criteria; see online supplementary file).

In the maintenance study, patients were re-randomised (1:1:1) to receive treatment with placebo, tofacitinib 5 or 10 mg twice daily for 26 weeks. A mandatory steroid taper algorithm was applied for patients receiving oral corticosteroids, starting at baseline of the maintenance period with the dose decreased by 5 mg prednisolone-equivalent per week until the dose reached 20 mg/day and then by 2.5 mg/week to 10 mg/day. Further taper was at the discretion of the investigator. Patients who completed the double-blind treatment period or fulfilled criteria for treatment failure were potentially eligible to transfer to an open-label extension study. Patients who withdrew from the maintenance study early and did not fulfil treatment failure criteria, or who declined to participate in the extension study, were followed-up for 4 weeks after the end of their treatment and assessed for safety.

\subsection*{Study patients}

In the induction study, eligible patients were adult patients with moderate-to-severe CD (CDAI $\geq220$ to $\leq450$, and intestinal ulceration documented by colonoscopy within six weeks prior to screening by the local practitioner). Patients had to have
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\caption{Study design. Patients who completed the 8-week induction study and achieved clinical response-100 (decrease in Crohn’s disease activity index (CDAI) score at week 8 of at least 100 points from baseline) and/or clinical remission (CDAI $<150$) and met eligibility criteria, were potentially eligible to enter the 26-week maintenance study. Patients were followed up for 4 weeks after completion or early withdrawal of the induction or maintenance study. *Patients were initially randomised (3:2:2:4) to receive placebo, tofacitinib 5, 10 or 15 mg twice daily. A protocol amendment was implemented to stop enrolment in the tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily dose group after 16 patients were enrolled. BID, twice daily.}
\end{figure}
history of inadequate response or intolerance to at least one of the following: corticosteroids, azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate or TNFi. Patients with active (draining) fistulae or intra-abdominal or pelvic abscesses were excluded. Full lists of inclusion/exclusion criteria and permitted/prohibited concomitant therapies are provided in the online supplementary file.

Efficacy outcomes
Calculation of CDAI score was based on eDiary entries recorded by the subject over seven consecutive days prior to a particular visit. Efficacy endpoints were measured at baseline, weeks 2, 4 and 8 of the induction study, and baseline, weeks 4, 8, 12, 20 and 26 of the maintenance study (figure 1).

Induction study
The primary efficacy endpoint was clinical remission (CDAI <150) at week 8. Secondary endpoints included clinical remission at weeks 2 and 4; clinical response-70 (decrease in CDAI ≥70 from baseline), clinical response-100 (decrease in CDAI ≥100 from baseline) and clinical response-100 or clinical remission at weeks 2, 4 and 8. In addition, post hoc analyses were carried out to investigate patient-reported outcomes (PRO)2-75 (clinical remission defined as the sum of stool frequency score and abdominal pain score <75) and PRO3-80 (clinical remission defined as the sum of stool frequency score, abdominal pain score and general well-being score <80) outcomes at week 8.

Maintenance study
The primary endpoint was clinical response-100 (decrease in CDAI ≥100 from induction study baseline) or clinical remission at week 26. Secondary endpoints included clinical response-100 or clinical remission at weeks 4, 8, 12 and 20; clinical response-100 or clinical remission both at weeks 4, 8, 12, 20 and 26; sustained clinical remission and sustained clinical response at both weeks 20 and 26. Other secondary endpoints common to both studies included CDAI scores over time, serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and faecal calprotectin (FCP) levels over time.

Safety outcomes
Safety endpoints included incidence and severity of adverse events (AEs). Clinical laboratory parameters were monitored and events, confirmed by adjudication related to cardiovascular safety, opportunistic infections, malignancy, GI perforation and hepatic injury, were recorded. Malignancies were confirmed by central laboratory pathologist review of biopsies, when relevant biopsy specimens were available.

Sample size
The induction study aimed to randomise approximately 275 patients. Following removal of the tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily group from the study, it was estimated that at least 80 patients would be assigned to each of the three remaining groups, with the total planned number of patients remaining unchanged at 275. Under the assumption that the placebo remission rate was 19%, 80 patients per group would provide 80% power at a level of 0.05 to detect a 20% difference.

Based on the expected response proportions to tofacitinib and placebo at the end of the induction study, it was expected that approximately 90 patients from the tofacitinib groups would be re-randomised in the exploratory maintenance study. With 30 patients per group, and the assumption of 55% patients in the tofacitinib groups achieving remission or clinical response-100 at week 26, the half-width of the two-sided 80% CI of the primary endpoint in each tofacitinib group was <12%. With an additional assumption that the primary endpoint was 35% in the placebo group at week 26, the half-width of the two-sided 80% CI for the difference between each of the tofacitinib groups and the placebo group was <16.5%.

Randomisation and blinding
Assignment of subject identification number and study drug were managed by a tele-randomisation system, by which the subject was enrolled online or via a telephone call. At the baseline visit, provided all inclusion and exclusion criteria were met, the subject was randomised to trial medication. In the induction study, patients were stratified by whether or not they had previous exposure to TNFi. In the maintenance study, patients were stratified and randomised according to their treatment assignments in the induction study, and their clinical remission status at week 8 of the induction study. Study treatment was blinded to patients, investigators and the sponsor. Treatment randomisation information remained confidential and was not released to the investigator or study staff until the conclusion of the study.

Statistical methods
In the induction study, the stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel $\chi^2$ test was used for efficacy data to test the superiority of each dose of tofacitinib to placebo. Stratification was based on prior use of TNFi treatments at baseline. Two-sided 95% CIs for the difference between each dose of tofacitinib and placebo were calculated at weeks 2, 4 and 8, based on normal approximation to the binomial distribution. A total of 16 patients had been randomised to the tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily group, in the induction study, when this group was removed. Due to the small number of patients treated with tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily, the data for this group were not included for comparison with placebo in the efficacy analyses but were included in the safety analyses.

In the maintenance study, binary efficacy endpoints were descriptively summarised by dose group and study visit. Normal approximations were used to form the two-sided 80% CIs for the treatment difference and $p$ values from two-sided Fisher’s exact test for the comparison of each dose of tofacitinib versus placebo.

The continuous endpoints such as CDAI scores and biomarkers that were measured overtime were analysed using linear mixed-effects models that require no imputation of missing data. For binary efficacy endpoints derived from the CDAI score, patients with missing values were treated as non-responders or non-remitters in both studies. The changes from baseline for CDAI scores measured repeatedly over time were analysed using a linear mixed-effects model assuming an unstructured covariance matrix. Biomarker data were log-transformed (natural logarithm) and changes analysed using a linear mixed-effects model. The adjusted estimate for the difference between each tofacitinib dose and placebo, as well as the corresponding two-sided 95% CIs and $p$ values, were reported. Descriptive summaries of CRP and FCP in the original scale were also presented by dose group for observed values and for change from baseline at each time point.

Analyses were performed for the full analysis set (FAS, all randomised patients who received at least one dose of study medication) of the induction study, and for the modified FAS (mFAS, excluding placebo responders from the induction study) population of the maintenance study. Safety endpoints were summarised for the safety analysis set, which included all patients who received at least one dose of study medication.
RESULTS

Study patients

Induction study

Between October 2011 and March 2015, 567 patients were screened and 280 randomised in the induction study (figure 2). Most common reasons for exclusion included CDAI out of range, presence of fistulæ/abscesses and laboratory parameters out of range.

Of the 92 patients allocated to the placebo group, 1 did not meet the entry criteria and did not receive the placebo study treatment. A total of 188 patients were allocated to the tofacitinib groups (5 mg twice daily, n=86; 10 mg twice daily, n=86; 15 mg twice daily, n=16), and all received their allocated treatment. A total of 236 patients completed the study; main reasons for discontinuation included insufficient clinical response (n=17) and AEs (n=8) (see online supplementary file for full details on discontinuations).

Maintenance study

Among patients who completed the induction study, 180 were re-randomised in the maintenance study conducted between March 2012 and July 2015, including 128 patients who had received tofacitinib in the induction study. All patients randomised in the maintenance study received their assigned treatments (placebo, n=59; tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily, n=60; tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily, n=61); 97 patients completed the study (placebo, n=27; tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily, n=32 and 10 mg twice daily, n=38). Main reasons for discontinuation from the maintenance study included insufficient clinical response (n=63), AEs (n=9) and patients’ request to withdraw from the study (n=5) (see online supplementary file for full details on discontinuations).

Patients’ baseline demographics and disease characteristics were comparable between groups in both studies, with high proportions of previously TNFi-exposed patients across all treatment groups (table 1; see online supplementary table S1). The placebo group of the induction study had a numerically higher number of females (65.9%) compared with the tofacitinib placebo group of the induction study (placebo, n=27; tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily, n=32 and 10 mg twice daily, n=38). Among TNFi-experienced patients, the observed proportions of those with clinical remission were 42.4% and 38.2% with tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily and 5 mg twice daily, respectively, and were not significantly different from placebo (36.2%). At the end of the induction phase (week 8) and compared with placebo, the observed proportions of clinical response-100 and -70 were 12-16% higher with tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily (p<0.05 for both endpoints) and 10 mg twice daily (not significant for either endpoint). Also at week 8, the proportions of patients achieving clinical remission or clinical response-100 were 55.6%, 71.8% and 69.8%, respectively; the difference between placebo and tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily was significant (p<0.05). Mean decreases from baseline in CDAI score at week 8 were significantly larger with both tofacitinib doses (both p<0.05) compared with placebo. Data from the small number of patients who received tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily are described in the online supplementary table 5S.

Post hoc analyses using alternative endpoints to measure remission showed significant differences in PRO2-75 with both tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily versus placebo, and in PRO3-80 for tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily versus placebo (table 2).

Biomarkers

Induction study

By week 8, mean decreases from baseline CRP concentration were significantly larger with tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily (p<0.001 and <0.0001, respectively) compared with placebo. Mean FCP decreases from baseline were not significantly higher with either dose of tofacitinib compared with placebo (table 2).

Safety outcomes

No deaths were reported in either study. The proportions of patients with treatment-emergent AEs were 60.4%, 58.1% and 60.5% in the induction placebo, tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily groups, respectively; and 74.6%, 83.3% and 78.7% in the maintenance placebo, tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily groups, respectively (table 3). Data from the small number of patients who received tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily are described in the online supplementary table 5S. In both studies, the most common AEs were GI AEs and infections. Of the GI AEs, the most frequent events were nausea and flare of CD. The most
Figure 2 Flow diagram.
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**ENROLMENT INDUCTION STUDY**

- Assessed for eligibility (n=567)  
- Randomised (n=280)

**ALLOCATION**

- Placebo (n=59)  
  - Received allocated intervention (n=59)  
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (n=0)

- Tofacitinib 5 mg BID (n=60)  
  - Received allocated intervention (n=59)  
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (n=1)

- Tofacitinib 10 mg BID (n=60)  
  - Received allocated intervention (n=59)  
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (n=1)

- Tofacitinib 15 mg BID (n=60)  
  - Received allocated intervention (n=59)  
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (n=1)

**FOLLOW-UP**

- Completed (n=73)  
  - Lost to follow-up (n=1)

- Completed (n=73)  
  - Lost to follow-up (n=1)

- Completed (n=73)  
  - Lost to follow-up (n=1)

- Completed (n=18)  
  - Discontinued intervention (insufficient clinical response, n=1)

**ANALYSIS**

- **EFFICACY**
  - Full analysis set (n=89)  
    - Excluded from analysis (did not meet entry criteria, n=1)
  - Per protocol set (n=88)  
    - Excluded from analysis (CDAI <220, n=2; CDAD >450, n=1; compliance <80%, n=2; >7 days’ treatment interruption, n=3; no ulcerations per SES-CD, n=1; randomised but not treated, n=3)

- **SAFETY**
  - AEs (n=91)
  - Laboratory data (n=90)
  - Safety analysis set (n=90)

- **EFFICACY**
  - Full analysis set (n=89)  
    - Excluded from analysis (did not have baseline CDAD score due to <5 days of daily data, n=1)
  - Per protocol set (n=81)  
    - Excluded from analysis (baseline CDAD missing or calculated on 4 days of daily data, n=2; >7 days’ treatment interruption, n=1; no screening colonoscopy, n=1; no ulcerations per SES-CD, n=1)

- **SAFETY**
  - AEs (n=86)
  - Laboratory data (n=85)
  - Safety analysis set (n=86)

**ENROLMENT MAINTENANCE STUDY**

- Re-randomised responders (n=180)

**ALLOCATION**

- Placebo (n=59)  
  - Received allocated intervention (n=58)  
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (n=0)

- Tofacitinib 5 mg BID (n=60)  
  - Received allocated intervention (n=60)  
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (n=0)

- Tofacitinib 10 mg BID (n=61)  
  - Received allocated intervention (n=61)  
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (n=0)

**FOLLOW-UP**

- Completed (n=27)  
  - Discontinued intervention (AEs, n=1; insufficient clinical response, n=26; no longer willing to participate, n=2; did not meet entry criteria, n=1; study terminated by sponsor, n=1; other reasons, n=1)

- Completed (n=27)  
  - Discontinued intervention (AEs, n=6; insufficient clinical response, n=21; no longer willing to participate, n=2)

- Completed (n=28)  
  - Lost to follow-up (n=1)

- Completed (n=28)  
  - Discontinued intervention (AEs, n=3; insufficient clinical response, n=16; no longer willing to participate, n=1; did not meet entry criteria, n=1; study terminated by sponsor, n=1)

**ANALYSIS**

- **EFFICACY**
  - Full analysis set (n=59)  
    - Modified full analysis set (n=42)  
      - Excluded from analysis (placebo responders in induction, n=17)
  - Per protocol set (n=56)  
    - Excluded from analysis (baseline CDAD missing in induction, n=1; failed to taper below 10 mg, n=2; Week 26 CDAD missing, n=1; no ulcerations per SES-CD in induction, n=1; recalculated baseline CDAD did not meet response >100, n=2; study treatment missed 31 days, n=1)

- **SAFETY**
  - AEs (n=49)
  - Laboratory data (n=59)
  - Safety analysis set (n=59)

- **EFFICACY**
  - Full analysis set (n=59)  
    - Modified full analysis set (n=43)  
      - Excluded from analysis (placebo responders in induction, n=17)
  - Per protocol set (n=56)  
    - Excluded from analysis (C. diff toxin positive in induction, n=1; no ulcerations per SES-CD in induction, n=1; baseline CDAD did not meet response >100, n=1; rescue therapy, n=1; compliance <80%, n=2)

- **SAFETY**
  - AEs (n=49)
  - Laboratory data (n=59)
  - Safety analysis set (n=59)

- **EFFICACY**
  - Full analysis set (n=51)  
    - Modified full analysis set (n=43)  
      - Excluded from analysis (placebo responders in induction, n=18)
  - Per protocol set (n=53)  
    - Excluded from analysis (no screening colonoscopy, n=1; C. diff toxin positive in induction, n=1; failed to taper below 20 mg, n=2; baseline CDAD did not meet response >100, n=3; rescue therapy, n=2)

- **SAFETY**
  - AEs (n=61)
  - Laboratory data (n=61)
  - Safety analysis set (n=61)
### Table 1 Baseline demographics and disease characteristics

|                          | Induction study | Maintenance study |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                          | Placebo N=91    | Tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily N=86 | Tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily N=86 | Tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily N=16 | Placebo N=59 | Tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily N=60 | Tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily N=61 |
| Treatment group in the induction study |                 |                  |                  |                  |                 |                  |                          |
| n (%)                    |                 |                  |                  |                  |                 |                  |                          |
| Placebo                  | 17 (28.8)       | 17 (28.3)        | 18 (29.5)        | 20 (33.9)        | 21 (35.0)       | 21 (34.4)         | 18 (30.5)       |
| Tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily |                |                  |                  |                  |                 |                  |                          |
| Tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily |                |                  |                  |                  |                 |                  |                          |
| Tofacitinib 15 mg twice daily |                |                  |                  |                  |                 |                  |                          |
| Female                   | 60 (65.9)       | 32 (37.2)        | 47 (54.7)        | 7 (43.8)         | 32 (54.2)       | 30 (50.0)         | 24 (39.3)       |
| Age, years               | Mean (SD)       | 37.2 (11.7)      | 40.2 (11.5)      | 39.3 (13.7)      | 41.3 (14.3)     | 41.5 (12.8)       | 38.1 (11.9)     |
| Weight, kg               | Mean (SD)       | 69.5 (19.2)      | 72.2 (20.4)      | 71.6 (18.8)      | 71.7 (19.7)     | 75.1 (20.5)       | 68.2 (16.1)     |
| Race                     | n (%)           | 78 (85.7)        | 71 (82.6)        | 72 (83.7)        | 8 (50.0)        | 44 (74.6)         | 50 (83.3)       |
|                         | White           | 6 (6.6)          | 1 (1.2)          | 2 (2.3)          | 2 (12.5)        | 3 (5.1)           | 0 (0)           |
|                         | Black           | 5 (5.5)          | 12 (14.0)        | 11 (12.8)        | 6 (37.5)        | 10 (16.9)         | 9 (15.0)        |
|                         | Asian           | 2 (2.2)          | 2 (2.3)          | 1 (1.2)          | 0 (0)           | 2 (3.4)           | 1 (1.7)         |
|                         | Others          | 20 (22.7)        | 1 (1.2)          | 2 (2.3)          | 1 (1.2)         | 2 (3.4)           | 1 (1.7)         |
|                          |                 |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                          |
| Duration since CD diagnosis, years | Mean (SD)         | 10.9 (8.6)       | 11.2 (8.2)       | 11.3 (9.7)       | 11.1 (8.6)      | 12.5 (9.3)        | 11.2 (8.3)      |
| Prior surgery for CD*    | n (%)           | 38 (41.8)        | 46 (53.5)        | 41 (47.7)†       | 6 (37.5)        | 26 (44.1)         | 34 (56.7)       |
| Extent of disease        | n (%)           | 5 (5.5)          | 12 (14.0)        | 11 (12.8)        | 6 (37.5)        | 10 (16.9)         | 9 (15.0)        |
|                          | L1 (I/TI)       | 10 (11.0)        | 14 (16.3)        | 7 (8.1)          | 1 (6.3)         | 6 (10.2)          | 6 (10.0)        |
|                          | L1(C+U)         | 4 (4.4)          | 3 (3.5)          | 2 (2.3)          | 0 (0.0)         | 2 (3.4)           | 3 (5.0)         |
|                          | L2 (C)          | 5 (5.5)          | 4 (4.7)          | 5 (5.8)          | 2 (12.5)        | 4 (6.8)           | 3 (5.0)         |
|                          | L2a (C+U)       | 18 (19.8)        | 12 (14.0)        | 16 (18.6)        | 1 (6.3)         | 9 (15.3)          | 11 (18.3)       |
|                          | L3 (IC)         | 25 (27.5)        | 12 (14.0)        | 15 (17.4)        | 3 (18.8)        | 15 (25.4)         | 13 (21.7)       |
|                          | L3a (C+U)       | 29 (31.9)        | 41 (47.7)        | 39 (45.3)        | 9 (56.3)        | 23 (39.0)         | 24 (40.0)       |
|                          | L4 (U)          | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)           | 0 (0.0)         |
|                          | Missing         | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)          | 2 (2.3)          | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)           | 0 (0.0)         |
|                          |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                          |
| Prior use of TNFi        | n (%)           | 70 (76.9)        | 68 (79.1)        | 66 (76.7)        | 11 (68.8)       | 39 (66.1)         | 48 (80.0)       |
| Use of corticosteroids at study entry | n (%)   | 35 (38.5)        | 32 (37.2)        | 28 (32.6)        | 4 (25.0)        | 18 (30.5)†        | 18 (30.0)†      |
| Baseline CDAI score      | Mean (SD)       | 313 (67.1)§      | 314 (53.0)§      | 320 (61.6)§      | 328 (76.6)§     | 140 (74.1)¶       | 131 (60.5)¶     |
| Baseline CRP, mg/L       | Median (min–max)| 5.5 (0.3–24.6) **| 5.9 (0.3–95.2) **| 5.5 (0.2–126)**  | 20.0 (0.4–47.2)**| 3.7 (0.1–65.3)    | 2.7 (0.1–95.2)  |
| Baseline FCP, mg/kg      | Median (min–max)| 246 (25.2–1135)††| 398 (25.2–4746)††| 430 (25.2–2735)††| 363 (25.2–1114)††| 212 (25.2–1133)  | 277 (25.2–1043) |

Safety analysis set of the induction and maintenance studies; unless stated otherwise, baseline information at induction study entry is provided.

*Including bowel resection.
†One subject with missing data.
‡Baseline information at maintenance study entry.
§Based on N=91, 85, 86 and 16 for placebo, tofacitinib 5, 10 and 15 mg twice daily, respectively.
¶At week 8 of induction study.
**Based on N=91, 84 and 15 for placebo, tofacitinib 5, 10 and 15 mg twice daily, respectively.
††Based on N=81, 68, 68 and 13 for placebo, tofacitinib 5, 10 and 15 mg twice daily, respectively.

C, colon; CD, Crohn’s disease; CDAI, Crohn’s disease activity index; CRP, C-reactive protein; FCP, faecal calprotectin; IC, ileocolon; I/TI, ileum and/or terminal ileum; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor inhibitor; UGI, upper gastrointestinal tract.
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Inflammatory bowel disease
| Table 2  Efficacy outcomes and biomarkers analyses |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| **(A) Induction study, week 8 (FAS)** | | |
|                      | Placebo N=90 | Tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily N=85 | Tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily N=86 |
| Clinical remission (NRI) n (%)† | 33 (36.7) | 37 (43.5) | 37 (43.0) |
| Remission in TNFi-experienced patients (NRI) n/N (%)† | 25/69 (36.2) | 26/68 (38.2) | 28/66 (42.4) |
| Clinical response-100 or remission (NRI) n (%)† | 50 (55.6) | 61 (71.8)* | 60 (69.8) |
| Clinical response-100 or remission in TNFi-experienced patients (NRI) n/N (%)† | 38/69 (55.1) | 46/68 (67.7) | 48/66 (72.7) |
| Clinical response-100 (NRI) n (%)† | 49 (54.4) | 60 (70.6)* | 59 (68.6) |
| Clinical response-70 (NRI) n (%)† | 56 (62.2) | 65 (76.5)* | 64 (74.4) |
| PRO2-75 (NRI) n (%)* | 25/69 (36.2) | 26/68 (38.2) | 28/66 (42.4) |
| PRO3-80 (NRI) n (%)§ | 38/69 (55.1) | 46/68 (67.7) | 48/66 (72.7) |
| Clinical response-100 or remission (NRI) n (%)* | 50 (55.6) | 61 (71.8)* | 60 (69.8) |
| Clinical remission (NRI) n (%)* | 49 (54.4) | 60 (70.6)* | 59 (68.6) |
| Clinical response-70 (NRI) n (%)* | 56 (62.2) | 65 (76.5)* | 64 (74.4) |
| PRO2-75 (NRI) n (%)* | 25/69 (36.2) | 26/68 (38.2) | 28/66 (42.4) |
| PRO3-80 (NRI) n (%)§ | 38/69 (55.1) | 46/68 (67.7) | 48/66 (72.7) |
| CDAI score Adjusted estimate, change from baseline (SE)¶ | −117.4 (10.3) | −149.7 (10.7)* | −157.3 (10.7)* |
| CRP levels (mg/L) Observed median (min–max) | 5.9 (0.4–132.5) | 3.2 (0.1–69.0) | 2.4 (0.1–65.3) |
| Adjusted estimate, change from baseline in log-transformed value (SE)¶ | 0.12 (0.12) | −0.42 (0.12)*** | −0.73 (0.12)*** |
| FCP levels (mg/kg) Observed median (min–max) | 266.0 (25.2–3578.0) | 310.0 (25.2–1104.0) | 302.5 (25.2–1251.0) |
| Adjusted estimate, change from baseline in log-transformed value (SE)¶ | −0.02 (0.12) | −0.31 (0.14) | −0.30 (0.13) |

| **(B) Maintenance study, week 26 (mFAS)** | | |
|                      | Placebo N=42 | Tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily N=43 | Tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily N=43 |
| Clinical response-100 or remission (NRI) n (%)† | 16 (38.1) | 17 (39.5) | 24 (55.8) |
| Clinical remission (NRI) n (%)† | 12 (28.6) | 16 (37.2) | 18 (41.9) |
| Clinical response-100 or remission in TNFi-experienced patients (NRI) n/N (%)† | 11/27 (40.7) | 13/35 (37.1) | 17/35 (48.6) |
| Clinical remission in TNFi-experienced patients (NRI) n/N (%)† | 8/27 (29.6) | 12/35 (34.3) | 12/35 (34.3) |
| Sustained remission at both week 20 and 26 (NRI) n (%)† | 9 (21.4) | 10 (23.3) | 17 (39.5) |
| Clinical response-100 (NRI) n (%)† | 15 (35.7) | 16 (37.2) | 24 (55.8) |
| CDAI score Adjusted estimate, change from baseline (SE)¶ | 69.5 (22.1) | 63.5 (21.6) | 19.1 (21.1) |
| CRP levels at week 26 (mg/L) Observed median (min–max) | 9.8 (1.5–148.7) | 9.4 (0.3–46.1) | 2.5 (0.1–14.7) |
| Adjusted estimate, change from baseline in log-transformed value (SE)¶ | 1.73 (0.26) | 1.12 (0.25) | 0.11 (0.23)*** |
| FCP levels at week 26 (mg/kg) Observed median (min–max) | 689.5 (60.0–4100.0) | 445.5 (59.0–999.0) | 177.5 (25.2–707.0) |
| Adjusted estimate, change from baseline in log-transformed value (SE)¶ | 1.13 (0.21) | 0.57 (0.19)* | −0.07 (0.18)*** |

†Statistical significance (p<0.05) based on the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test statistic stratified on prior use of TNFi treatments.
‡Clinical remission based on the sum of the first two components with multipliers (stool frequency score+abdominal pain score) <75.
§Clinical remission based on the sum of the first three components with multipliers (stool frequency score+abdominal pain score+general well-being score) <80.
¶Statistical significance based on a linear mixed-effects model for change in CDAI score, change in log-transformed CRP and FCP.
* p<0.05; ** p<0.001; *** p<0.0001, vs placebo.
CDAI, Crohn’s disease activity index; CRP, C-reactive protein; FAS, full analysis set; FCP, faecal calprotectin; mFAS, modified FAS (excluding placebo responders of the induction study); NRI, non-responder imputation; PRO, patient-reported outcomes; TNFi, tumour necrosis factor inhibitors.
common infections were nasopharyngitis and urinary tract infections.

The proportions of patients with serious AEs (SAEs) were numerically higher in the maintenance study (10.0–13.1%) compared with the induction study (3.3–11.6%). In both studies, these proportions were similar between the tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily and placebo groups (3.5% and 3.3%, respectively in the induction study; 10.0% and 11.9%, respectively, in the maintenance study), and numerically higher with tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily (11.6% and 13.1% in the induction and maintenance study, respectively) (table 3). The most common SAEs in the tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily groups were GI AEs and infections.

Two patients in each of the induction study treatment groups had serious infections (placebo: one cytomegalovirus infection and one perianal abscess; tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily: one abdominal abscess and one gastroenteritis; tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily: one perianal abscess and one pneumonia influenza) (table 3). Of these, one case (cytomegalovirus infection in the placebo group) was confirmed as an opportunistic infection. One case of malignancy confirmed by adjudication (breast cancer, in the tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily treatment group) was reported in the induction study.

In the maintenance study, there were no reports of serious infections or special events of interest in the placebo group. Three and two patients in the tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily groups, respectively, had serious infections (tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily: one Clostridium difficile colitis, one C. difficile infection and one septic shock all in the same patient, and two perianal abscesses; tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily: one perianal abscess and one pneumonia influenza infection). No cases of opportunistic infections were confirmed by adjudication in the maintenance study. There was one case of large intestinal perforation confirmed by adjudication in the tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily group of the maintenance study and two cases of non-serious herpes zoster (tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily) were reported in the maintenance study. Finally, no cases of cardiovascular events were confirmed by adjudication in either study.

At week 8 of the induction study, larger increases in total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels were observed with tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily (8.4% and 11.5% increase from

### Table 3  Summary of treatment-emergent adverse events (all causalities)

|                      | Induction study | Maintenance study |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                      | Placebo        | Tofacitinib 5 mg  | Tofacitinib 10 mg |
|                      | twice daily    | twice daily       | twice daily       |
| Patient-year exposure| 13.08          | 12.95             | 12.43             |
| Patients evaluable for AEs | 91             | 86               | 86               |
| Patients with AEs, n (%) | 55 (60.4)      | 50 (58.1)        | 52 (60.5)        |
| Patients with SAEs, n (%) | 3 (3.3)        | 3 (3.5)          | 10 (11.6)        |
| Patients with severe AEs, n (%) | 8 (8.8)       | 5 (5.8)          | 7 (8.1)          |
| Patients discontinued due to AEs, n (%) | 5 (5.5)       | 3 (3.5)          | 8 (9.3)          |
| Most frequently occurring AEs by preferred term,* n (%) |                      |                   |
| Headache             | 7 (7.7)        | 8 (9.3)          | 5 (5.8)          |
| Nausea               | 8 (8.8)        | 5 (5.8)          | 7 (8.1)          |
| Crohn’s disease worsening | 6 (6.6)      | 5 (5.8)          | 6 (7.0)          |
| Abdominal pain       | 5 (5.5)        | 3 (3.5)          | 7 (8.1)          |
| Nasopharyngitis       | 3 (3.3)        | 3 (3.5)          | 6 (7.0)          |
| Urinary tract infection | 5 (5.5)       | 1 (1.2)          | 2 (2.3)          |
| Arthralgia            | 2 (2.2)        | 2 (2.3)          | 2 (2.3)          |
| Blood creatine phosphokinase increased | 0 (0.0)       | 1 (1.2)          | 2 (2.3)          |
| Blood cholesterol increased | 0 (0.0)     | 1 (1.2)          | 1 (1.2)          |
| Abdominal abscess     | 0 (0.0)        | 1 (1.2)          | 0 (0.0)          |
| Cytomegalovirus infection | 1 (1.1)†     | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)          |
| Clostridium difficile colitis/infection | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Perianal abscess      | 1 (1.1)        | 0 (0.0)          | 1 (1.2)          |
| Gastroenteritis       | 0 (0.0)        | 1 (1.2)          | 0 (0.0)          |
| Influenza/pneumonia influenza | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.2) |
| Septic shock          | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)          |
| Special events of interest, n (%) |                      |                   |
| Malignancy confirmed by adjudication | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.2)§ |
| Intestinal perforation confirmed by adjudication | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Herpes zoster (non-serious) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |

*In >5.0% of patients in any treatment group.
†Opportunistic infection confirmed by adjudication.
‡Perirectal, anal and/or rectal.
§These serious infections occurred in the same subject and may have consisted of one episode of C. difficile that required re-hospitalisation.
¶Breast cancer.
2.3 Large intestine perforation.
AE, adverse event; SAE, serious adverse event.
baseline in total cholesterol, respectively) compared with placebo (3.4%). A 10.5% increase from baseline in triglyceride levels was reported in the placebo group at the end of the induction study compared with 1.1% and 0.1% in the tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily groups, respectively. At week 26 of the maintenance study, mean per cent changes from baseline in the placebo, tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily groups were −12.3%, 3.3% and 0.2%, respectively, for total cholesterol levels and −1.5%, 4.2% and 8.0%, respectively, for triglyceride levels.

**DISCUSSION**

The proportion of patients in clinical remission (CDAI <150) after 8 weeks of induction therapy, with tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily, was not statistically significant compared with placebo. The proportion of patients achieving clinical remission or clinical response-100 at week 8 was only significant for tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily versus placebo. A modest but consistent treatment effect of tofacitinib was demonstrated for the secondary clinical endpoints of clinical response-70 and clinical response-100 at week 8. This treatment effect was supported by significantly greater reductions from baseline in CRP concentration with both doses of tofacitinib versus placebo although no significant reductions from baseline in FCP concentration were observed. In the subsequent 26-week maintenance study, the primary endpoint of clinical response-100 or clinical remission at week 26 was observed in a higher proportion of patients receiving tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily than placebo, although again the difference was not statistically significant. It should be noted, however, that this study was not powered for comparisons between treatment groups. Together with the results observed in patients with moderately to severely active UC in which the proportion of patients achieving remission with tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily was significantly higher compared with placebo, changes from baseline in the biomarkers CRP and FCP concentrations (secondary endpoints) supported a treatment effect with tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily in CD.

The proportion of patients in the placebo group achieving or maintaining clinical remission or clinical response-100 was substantially higher than that reported in other recent phase II or III studies assessing other agents in CD and using CDAI as the efficacy endpoint.18−23 This unexpectedly high placebo response prevented a thorough evaluation of the dose-response relationship of tofacitinib in inducing and maintaining response in clinical endpoints and biomarkers.

A number of factors could have contributed to the high placebo response observed in these studies. First, although there was a requirement for visible ulceration for inclusion, there was no requirement for a centrally read endoscopy at study entry, and no protocol-defined minimal requirement for the extent or severity of ulceration at baseline. There was also no protocol-defined threshold for FCP or CRP levels at baseline, as an objective marker of disease activity. A previous study has shown a greater treatment effect in patients with endoscopically confirmed ulcers and elevated CRP levels at baseline, as an objective marker of disease activity. A previous study has shown a greater treatment effect in patients with endoscopically confirmed ulcers and elevated CRP levels at baseline.11 A high proportion of patients (>30%) in the tofacitinib 5 and 10 mg twice daily and placebo groups were receiving corticosteroids at baseline. Although there was a requirement for a stable dose to have been received for at least two weeks prior to baseline and to be maintained during the induction trial, it is possible that the response seen in some patients receiving placebo was due to steroids, which are known to be effective in inducing remission.8 26 27 A slow tapering with prolonged corticosteroid therapy may have led to a higher proportion of responders in the placebo group. Lastly, the lack of correlation between CDAI and disease activity may have contributed to an elevated number of remitters in the placebo group. This possibility is supported by the demonstration of a statistically significant treatment effect for both 5 and 10 mg twice daily using PRO2-75 remission endpoint and for 5 mg twice daily using the PRO3-80 remission endpoint.

No new safety signals were detected for tofacitinib in either study compared with those previously reported in other indications, although three AEs of special interest (one case of large intestinal perforation confirmed by adjudication and two cases of non-serious herpes zoster) were seen in patients receiving tofacitinib as maintenance therapy. Most AEs were either GI AEs or infections. There were no cases of opportunistic infection confirmed by adjudication in patients treated with tofacitinib in either study, although one such infection (cytomegalovirus infection) was reported in the placebo group of the induction study. The proportions of patients with SAEs were numerically higher with tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily (11.6% and 13.1% in the induction and maintenance study, respectively) compared with the tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily (3.5% and 10.0%) and placebo (3.3% and 11.9%) groups.

In summary, some evidence of minor clinical efficacy for tofacitinib in inducing and maintaining remission in moderate-to-severe CD was observed in these two phase IIb clinical studies. There were no unexpected safety findings. The minor improvement in measures of disease activity observed in these studies supports further investigation of the efficacy and safety of JAK inhibition for CD.
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