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ABSTRACT

Aim: The study evaluates the contribution of oxidative stress and fertility hormones to female infertility in Port Harcourt.

Methodology: A total of 140 women aged 15 – 49 years consisting 70 apparently healthy infertile women who are attending diagnostic fertility clinics in Port Harcourt as test subjects and 70 age-matched healthy fertile women as control were recruited. Subjects were recruited using structured questionnaires after given their informed consent. The levels of Malondialdehde, total antioxidant capacity, lipid peroxidation index, follicle stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, prolactin, progesterone and estrogen of infertile and the fertile (control) subjects were determined by standard procedures.

Results: There was statistically significant increase in lipid peroxidation index in the test subjects than in the fertile group (p<0.05). Total antioxidant capacity showed a statistically reduced value in
the test subjects than in the control at p<0.05. Lipid peroxidation index was significantly increased in test subjects exposed to oxidant agents like alcohol, infections and ulcer than their counterparts who were not exposed to any of the agents (p<0.05). Also significantly elevated lipid peroxidation was observed in test subjects with normal hormone levels compared to those with hormone imbalance (p<0.05).

**Conclusion:** The outcome of this study suggests that the infertility being experienced by some of the infertile women in Port Harcourt are due not only to endocrine dysfunction, but some order conditions that induce oxidative stress. Thus investigation of oxidative parameters is highly suggested as an adjunct for effective management of unexplained infertility in women. Further studies on estimation of antioxidant enzymes including superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and glutathione reductase (GHR) are recommended to validate reliability.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Infertility is the incapability to attain gestation after one year of unprotected, non-contraceptive, regular sexual intercourse. Infertility could be primary (when couples have never conceived in their lifetime) or secondary (when another child could not be achieved after a year when one or both partners have previously had a child or children [1]. Over time, infertility has been on steady increase in Nigeria compared with what was observed in the past [2]. It has been reported that about 8-12 out of every 100 couples in diverse nationalities are affected by infertility [3-5]. According to the report of Giwa-Osagie [6], there are over twelve million infertile people in Nigeria. In African states, subfertility is projected at 10-25%, the female factors are responsible for the greater percentage of the causes (55%) while the male factors are responsible for 30–40% of causes. Idiopathic infertility accounts for 5–15% [6]. The burden of infertility in our environs is so high that almost half of women seeking consultation with gynaecologists complain of inability to get pregnant [7].

Oxidative stress is the term generally used to describe a state of imbalance between pro-oxidant (free radicals) and antioxidants [8]. The free radicals which include reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are products of cellular metabolism constantly taking place in the body. They are needed in a certain quantity for normal cell functions [9]. The body usually responds to excess amount of free radicals produced through an organized system known as antioxidant defense system. This system helps the living organisms to combat the radicals and reduce their toxic effects on cells and tissues. Antioxidants are the many substances that markedly slow down or hinder oxidation of substances. They are usually smaller relative to the amount of those oxidizable substrates like DNA, proteins, lipids, and, carbohydrates [10]. The major work antioxidant defense does is to shield the cells and tissues from the damaging effects of reactive species. The reactive species are either produced in living organisms through processes involving inflammation of cell and tissues, disease conditions or normal metabolism (interior sources). Otherwise they are produced from sources like irradiation, food, drugs etc. (exterior sources). In any case, an increased generation of free radicals may instigate oxidative damage [10].

Moreover, alteration in rate at which reactive species are generated as well as the effectiveness of the antioxidant defence mechanisms in living cells may result to oxidative stress (OS), giving rise to development of some pathological conditions. When there is increase in production of ROS/RNS or there is a reduced antioxidant status (or both), the natural antioxidant defence mechanisms of the body may be overpowered, thereby creating an unfavourable environment for the normal functioning of the various systems of the body including reproductive system in the females. This could lead to development of some reproductive disease conditions including endometrioses, polycystic ovary syndromes (PCOS) and unexplained infertility. Also associated with this state of oxidative disturbance are pregnancy complications including preeclampsia, abortion, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and repeated pregnancy loss [8,11-13]. The degrading effect of oxidative stress (OS) on quality of ova has been previously described in mouse. Hence, fertilization as well as gestation rates in humans are adversely affected by OS [14]. Sterility could...
suffer as a result of reduced antioxidant status in the human body. Thus antioxidant therapy or consumption of antioxidant-containing food can be of great help in management or even prevention of sterility [15].

Several current studies have linked excessive free radical productions with some controllable lifestyle factors like alcohol consumption, smoking of cigarette, use of some recreational drugs and exposure to irradiations [16]. The substances have ability to generate high volume of reactive species. Exposure to some occupational and environmental factors such as heavy metals like lead can also promote ROS/RNS generation. Hence women exposed to these factors may possibly experience disturbed reproductive system, resulting in infertility.

The peroxidative action of oxidants on polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) leads to the production of malondialdehyde (MDA) alongside many other secondary products. Because MDA is relatively stable, it is often used as a marker of OS. The gamete as well as the genital tracts is rich in enzymatic antioxidants (superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase, and catalase) as well as non-enzymatic antioxidants (glutathione, vitamins E, and C and uric acid) [17].

It will be an almost impossible task to measure one by one all the antioxidants present in a living organism. Hence the more convenient way of accessing the antioxidant status of an individual is to determine the total antioxidant capacity (TAC). The amount of the overall activities of non-enzymatic antioxidants taking place in an organism is referred to as total antioxidant capacity [18]. Lipid peroxidation index (LPI) being MDA: TAC ratio can be a useful indicator of oxidative stress and may be used to monitor antioxidant therapy [19].

Although subfertility is a major challenge confronting couples in Nigeria, there is dearth of reports on the role and implication of oxidative stress in the etiology of infertility in Nigeria. This study is the first recorded report involving the use of oxidative stress markers in the investigation of infertility in infertile women in Port Harcourt. This study was, therefore, aimed at evaluating the impact of oxidative stress markers and hormonal profiles in women diagnosed with infertility in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

This work was done in Port Harcourt, Rivers State of Nigeria.

2.2 Subjects’ Selection

A total of 70 infertile female subjects, under reproductive ages (15 – 49 years), who willingly consented to participate in the study were randomly selected among patients attending diagnostic centers and fertility clinics in Port Harcourt including Rivers State University Teaching Hospital (RSUTH) and Image Diagnostic Center, Port Harcourt. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Rivers State Ministry of Health, Port Harcourt. A forced-choice (closed ended) questionnaire was used to collect relevant information required for inclusion or exclusion of subjects. The well-structured questionnaires were given to each participant and they were guided by a trained laboratory staff to fill the forms. Also a total of seventy (70) healthy and fertile female subjects, who were within the reproductive ages of 15 – 49 years were recruited as controls using the questionnaire.

2.3 Study Design

This research is designed as a case controlled, and the sampling technique used was random and convenience sampling techniques [20]. The sample size was obtained by using the formula for calculation of sample size in a case-control design as described by Jaykaran & Tamoghna [21].

2.4 Inclusion Criteria

a) Case group: Women included in this group were those:

i. Married for at least 12 months, and have been having regular, unprotected sexual intercourse for at least 12 months without achieving conception.

ii. Within the ages 15-49 years [22].

iii. Not under any contraceptive use for at least one year.

iv. Whose male partners has been investigated for fertility and found fertile with normal seminal fluid parameters.
b) Control group: Those included in this group were:

i. Fertile women having at least a child in the past one year and are not under any contraceptive drug.
ii. Those within the fertility ages of 15-49 years.

2.5 Criteria for Exclusion as Controls

Women under any of the following conditions were excluded from the study:

i. Those who have suffered from serious illness or hospitalized in the past 3 months.
ii. Chronic illnesses like cancer, hypertension, asthma and diabetes mellitus which could interfere with result obtained.
iii. Those with history of recurrent/untreated genital tract infections within 1 year
iv. Those with history of ulcer for the past one year
v. Persons under drugs for infertility
vi. All regular alcohol consumers and cigarette smokers were excluded.

2.6 Blood Sample Collection

The blood samples were collected on the day 21 of menstrual cycle of the subjects by venepuncture, dispensed into plain bottles and centrifuged after clotting using bench centrifuge. The serum separated and frozen at -20°C till assay.

2.7 Determination of Serum Fertility Hormone Concentrations

Human follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH) and prolactin (PRL) levels were determined using Solid Phase enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method of Engvall & Perlmann [23]. Estrogen as well as progesterone was determined using competitive binding Enzyme immunoassay (EIA) method of Van-Weemen and Schuurs [24]. No special pretreatment was necessary for this assay as all grossly hemolyzed, lipaemic, or turbid samples were excluded in the assay. It was also ensured that no sample containing sodium azide was used.

2.8 Determination of MDA Concentration

Thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS) colorimetric assay technique of Bernheim et al. [25] was used. This assay is based on the reaction of a chromogenic reagent, 2-thiobarbituric acid, with MDA at 25°C. One molecule of MDA reacts with two molecule of 2-thiobarbituric acid via a knoevenagel-type condensation to yield a chromophore with absorbance maximum at 532 nm. The intensity of the stable pink color formed is proportional to the amount of MDA present in the sample.

2.9 Determination of TAC Concentration

Serum total antioxidant capacity (TAC) levels were determined spectrophotometrically using CUPRAC-BCS assay method of Campos et al. [26]. This assay evaluates the capacity of the antioxidants of a sample to reduce the Cu²⁺ to Cu⁺ in the presence of a chelating agent. These chelators form colored stable complexes with Cu⁺ that have a maximum absorption at 450 – 490 nm. The CUPRAC assay measures the thiol-group antioxidants and other plasma antioxidants such as ascorbic acid, α-tocopherol, β-carotene, uric acid, albumin, and bilirubin. The reduction potential of antioxidants in the sample/standard effectively reduces Cu²⁺ to Cu⁺, thus changing the ion's absorption characteristics. This reduced form of copper will selectively form a stable 2:1 complex with the chromogenic agent “the Chelator-bathocuproinedisulfonic-acid disodium salt (BCS)” with absorption maximum at 450 nm. A known concentration of trolox is used to create a calibration curve, from which the TAC concentration in samples is extrapolated. The concentrations are expressed as mM/L Trolox equivalent.

Lipid peroxidation index (LPI) was calculated as the ratio of MDA to TAC.

3. RESULTS

MDA, TAC and LPI were measured in a total of 70 infertile women (case) and 70 fertile women (control). The frequency and percentage distribution of the observed clinical characteristic of the studied population (case group) is shown in Table 1. Out of the seventy (70) infertile women recruited, 13 (18.6%) were between 20-29 years, 41 (58.6%) were between 30-39 years, while 16 (22.8%) were within 40-49 years. 16 (22.9%) of the subjects were affected by
primary infertility, while 54 (77.1%) were affected by secondary infertility. Also, 53 (75.7%) of the women have suffered childlessness for not more than five years, while 17 (24.3%) of the women have stayed childless for at least 5 years but not more than ten (10) years. Similarly, a total of 16 (22.9%) subjects had pelvic inflammatory diseases as a result of urinary/genital tract infections, 5 (7.1) were alcohol drinkers, 10 (14.3) had ulcers, 3 (4.3) had infections and also drink alcohol, while 36 (51.4) were not exposed to any of the aforementioned oxidant agents.

3.1 Hormonal Characteristics of Case and Control

Table 2 presents the mean ± SEM of fertility hormones (LH, FSH, prolactin, progesterone and estradiol in the studied population. The mean ± SEM of FSH, LH, and Prolactin were found to be higher in the infertile women with values of 10.72 ±2.32 mIU/mL, 12.62 ± 2.09 mIU/mL and 30.3 ± 3.04 ng/ml respectively than in the control group who are fertile women with values: 6.30 ± 0.28 mIU/ml, 9.32 ± 1.53 mIU/mL and 21.87 ± 4.13 ng/mL respectively. However, the increased values were not statistically significant (p>0.05). Estradiol and progesterone levels were lower in the case group of 38.02 ± 3.87 pg/mL and 3.50 ± 0.39ng/ml respectively than in the control group with values of 75.59 ± 2.73 pg/mL and 7.37 ± 0.70 ng/mL respectively. These differences were statistically significant (p<0.05).

3.2 Levels of Fertility Hormones and Oxidative Parameters in the Test and Control Subjects According to Age Group

Table 3 presents the mean concentrations (mean ± SEM) of hormonal and oxidative parameters according to age groups. The infertile subjects were classified into three age groups (20 – 29 years, 30-39 years and 40-49 years) respectively. The mean values of LH and FSH were highest in the 40 – 49 years category. The mean ±SEM of LH and FSH for the 40 – 49 years age group were 22.3 ± 6.31 mIU/ml and 26.09 ± 8.42 mIU/ml respectively while for the 30 -39 years age group the LH and FSH value were 8.46 ± 1.77 mIU/ml and 6.66± 1.53 mIU/ml respectively. The mean values of LH and FSH for the 20 – 29 years were 13.83 ± 5.21 mIU/ml for LH and 4.61± 1.00 mIU/ml for FSH. There were statistically significant difference between the means of the LH and FSH among the three age gories (p<0.05). Prolactin level was highest among the 20 -29 years age group (43.15± 12.66 ng/ml) and lowest among the 40 -49 years age group (25.29 ± 3.94 ng/ml) but the difference was not significant p=0.1211. Progesterone and eostrogen levels were lowest among the 40 -49 years group (2.41 ± 0.49 ng/ml (progesterone) and 29.36 ± 5.88 pg/ml (estrogen), and the difference were not statistically significant (p>0.05) among the three age groups. The level of oxidative peroxidation was highest among the 30 – 39 years age group (LPi30-39 = 49.10 ± 13.96, LPi20-29 =32.39 ± 8.90, LPI40-49= 26.61 ± 6.98) respectively. However, no significant difference (p>0.05) was found in the level of oxidative peroxidation index among the three groups.

3.3 Oxidative Characteristics of Case and Control Groups

Table 4 provides the mean concentrations (Mean ± SEM) of oxidative parameters (MDA, TAC and LPI) of infertile and fertile (control) groups in the studied population. The mean concentrations (Mean ± SEM) of MDA and LPI were higher in the infertile group (13.05 ± 1.90 µM/L and 40.85 ± 8.52 respectively) than in the fertile group (9.34 ± 0.92 µM/L and 16.21 ±2.50). Whereas the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05) for MDA, LPI was significantly different (p<0.05). The total antioxidant capacity (TAC) was significantly lower in the infertile group (0.69 ± 0.09 mM/L) when compared with the fertile control group (1.33 ± 0.14 mM/L) (p<0.05).

3.4 Mean Levels of MDA, TAC and LPI in the Infertile Group According to Normal Hormone Levels and Abnormal Hormone Levels Compared

The mean concentrations of MDA, TAC and LPI according to normal hormone levels and abnormal hormone levels in the infertile subjects are represented in Table 5. The oxidative parameters (MDA, TAC and LPI) were determined for the infertile women with abnormal hormone levels and the infertile women with normal hormone levels. The values were compared with control group of normal fertile women with normal hormone levels. The mean concentration of MDA in the infertile women with abnormal hormone levels was 14.04 ± 2.48 µM/L compared to its lower value of 11.88 ± 2.85 µM/L in the infertile women with normal hormone level and both values were higher than that for the control group and the variation did not show
any significance (p=0.1375). TAC mean concentrations were 0.84 ±0.12 mM/L in the group of infertile women with abnormal hormone levels and 0.33 ± 0.06 mM/L (lower) in the group of infertile women with normal hormone level; both values were lower than the value for the group of fertile women with normal hormone of 1.33 ± 0.14 mM/L and the difference in the mean concentrations was statistically significant (p <0.0001). The LPI mean concentrations were higher in the infertile women with normal hormone (59.36 ± 23.34) than in the infertile women with abnormal hormone (32.71 ± 5.36). Both values were higher than the value for the fertile women with normal hormone (16.21 ± 2.50). No significant difference (p>0.05) between the means of LPI of the infertile women with abnormal hormone group and LPI of the fertile women with normal hormone group, however, significant (p<0.05) variation between means of LPI of infertile women with normal hormone group, infertile women with abnormal hormone levels and the fertile women with normal hormone group was seen.

4. DISCUSSION

Infertility is now a global problem facing every population of all societies, both developed and developing countries are been increasingly affected [3,5]. Effective treatment and management of this menace requires a holistic approach contrived out of a comprehensive understanding of factors affecting the disease. Infertility has been often related to endocrine disorder affecting the hypothalamo-pituitary-ovarian axis, which elicit imbalance in the female hormonal profile. Researchers are currently linking infertility with oxidative stress [8,12].

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of the case subjects

| Characteristics          | Group   | Percentage (%) | Total |
|-------------------------|---------|----------------|-------|
| Ages (years)            |         |                |       |
| 20 – 29 (13)            | 18.6    | 100            |
| 30 - 39 (41)            | 58.6    |                |
| 40 – 49 (16)            | 22.8    |                |
| Types of Infertility    |         |                |       |
| Primary (16)            | 22.9    | 100            |
| Secondary (54)          | 77.1    |                |
| Duration of infertility |         |                |       |
| (Years)                 |         |                |       |
| 1 – 5 (53)              | 75.7    |                |
| 6 – 10 (17)             | 24.3    | 100            |
| Hormonal factor         |         |                |       |
| Normal (23)             | 32.9    | 100            |
| Ovarian insufficiency (8)| 11.4    |                |
| Hyperprolactinaemia (35)| 50.0    |                |
| Hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism (4)| 5.7    |       |
| Exposure to oxidants agents | 51.4  |                |
| Not exposed (36)        |         |                |
| Exposed to infection (16)| 22.9 |                |
| Alcohol (5)             | 7.1     | 100            |
| Ulcer (H. Pylori) (10)  | 14.3    |                |
| Infection and alcohol (3)| 4.3    |                |

**Table 2.** Hormonal characteristics of case and control groups (Mean ± SEM)

| Parameters      | Controls N= 70 | Tests N= 70 | t-value | P-value | Remarks |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age (years)     | 34.01 ± 0.72   | 35.79 ± 0.66| 0       | >0.9999 | NS      |
| FSH (mIU/ml)    | 6.30 ± 0.28    | 10.72 ± 2.32| 1.892   | 0.0606 | NS      |
| LH (mIU/ml)     | 9.32 ± 1.53    | 12.62 ± 2.09| 1.272   | 0.2057 | NS      |
| Estradiol (pg/ml)| 75.59 ± 2.73   | 38.02 ± 3.87| 7.905   | <0.0001*** | S      |
| Progesterone (ng/ml)| 7.37 ± 0.70   | 3.50 ± 0.39 | 4.847   | <0.0001*** | S      |
| Prolactin (ng/ml)| 21.87 ± 4.13   | 30.3 ± 3.04  | 1.642   | 0.0116* | S       |

Key: FSH-follicle stimulating hormone, LH-leutinizing hormone, NS – not significant, S – statistically significant, * p<0.05, *** p<0.0001
Table 3. The mean ±SEM of fertility hormones and oxidative stress markers in the infertile population by age group

| Ages (years) | LH (mIU/ml) | FSH (mIU/ml) | PRL. (ng/ml) | Prog. (ng/ml) | E2 (pg/ml) | MDA (µM/L) | TAC (mM/L) | LPI |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| 20 – 29      | 13.83± 5.21<sup>a</sup> | 4.61± 1.00<sup>a</sup> | 43.15± 12.66 | 3.29± 0.98 | 53.05± 12.05 | 8.45± 1.57 | 0.69± 0.24 | 32.39± 8.90 |
| 30 – 39      | 8.46± 1.77<sup>a</sup> | 6.66± 1.53<sup>a</sup> | 28.19± 2.84 | 3.99± 0.55 | 36.63± 4.77 | 15.83± 3.10 | 0.64± 0.11 | 49.10± 13.96 |
| 40 – 49      | 22.3± 6.31<sup>b</sup> | 26.09± 8.42<sup>b</sup> | 25.29 ± 3.94 | 2.41± 0.49 | 29.36 ± 5.88 | 9.64± 1.50 | 0.80± 0.17 | 26.61± 6.98 |

P-value 0.0241 0.0008 0.1211 0.2533 0.1343 0.2181 0.2797 0.6794

F-value 3.942 7.892 2.179 1.402 2.069 1.558 0.7569 0.5104

Remarks S S NS NS NS NS NS NS

Mean with different superscripts (on each column) are statistically different from each other. LH- leutinizing hormone, FSH- follicle stimulating hormone, PRL- prolactin, Prog.- progesterone, E2- Estradiol, MDA- malondialdehyde, TAC- total antioxidant capacity and LPI-lipid peroxidation index, NS – not significant, S – significant, * – statistically significant and ** – very significant

Table 4. Oxidative characteristics of case and control groups (Mean ± SEM)

| Parameters       | Control group N= 70 | Infertile group N= 70 | T-value | P-value | Remarks |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age (years)      | 34.01 ± 0.72         | 35.79 ± 0.66           | 0.9999  | NS      |
| MDA (µM/L)       | 9.34 ± 0.92          | 13.05 ± 1.90           | 0.0816  | NS      |
| TAC (mM/L)       | 1.33 ± 0.14          | 0.69 ± 0.09            | 3.897   | 0.0002*** | S       |
| LPI              | 16.21 ± 2.5          | 40.85 ± 8.52           | 2.774   | 0.0063** | S       |

Key: S – Significant, NS – not significant, ** – very Significant, *** – highly significant

Table 5. Mean levels of oxidative markers (MDA, TAC & LPI) in the infertile group according to normal hormonal levels and abnormal hormonal levels compared

| Group                                   | MDA (µM/L) | TAC (mM/L) | LPI     |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Normal fertile women with normal hormone levels (control) | 9.34 ± 0.92 | 1.33 ± 0.14<sup>a</sup> | 16.21 ± 2.50<sup>a</sup> |
| Infertile women with normal hormone levels | 11.88 ± 2.85 | 0.33± 0.06****<sup>b</sup> | 59.36 ±23.34****<sup>b</sup> |
| Infertile women with abnormal hormone levels | 14.04 ± 2.48 | 0.84 ± 0.12**<sup>c</sup> | 32.71 ± 5.36**<sup>c</sup> |
| P-value | 0.1375 | <0.0001 | 0.0027 |
| F-value | 2.013 | 10.29 | 6.188 |
| Remark | NS | S | S |

Mean with different superscript (on each columns) are statistically different from each other. NS – not significant, S – statistically significant, * –significant, ** – very significant, *** – highly significant
The result of this study showed that there was significant higher induction of oxidative stress in the infertile women when compared with the fertile control subjects. The LPI and TAC were significantly (p<0.0063 and p<0.0002) higher in the infertile women compared with the fertile control. This result is in agreement with studies of Agawal et al. [8], Attaran et al. [27] and Oyewole et al. [17].

The mean concentration of MDA in this study was insignificantly (p>0.05) higher while the LPI was significantly (p<0.05) higher in the infertile group compared to the fertile group. A strong positive correlation of MDA with the lipid peroxidation index (LPI) (r = 0.661) was also observed. The study also showed a significantly (p<0.05) lower level of total antioxidant capacity (TAC) in the infertile than the fertile women, and the LPI was negatively correlated with TAC in the infertile women (r = -0.30, p= 0.014). Since LPI was used as index of oxidative stress, a rise in MDA and fall in TAC levels elicited an increase in oxidative stress [28]. This study showed that there was significant oxidative stress in the infertile compared to the fertile women. And that the overall activity of antioxidant defense system was weaker in the infertile women than in the fertile women. The weaker antioxidant system may have being responsible for the observed oxidative stress expressed in the infertile group as shown by the raised value of the lipid peroxidation index. This result is in agreement with Oyewole et al. [17] who estimated the total antioxidants capacity (TAC) levels in the follicular fluid of women undergoing IVF and found that the TAC level in follicular fluids which produced the oocytes that become fertilized where significantly higher compared to those whose oocytes did not get fertilized. The Oyewole et al. [17] proved that fertile gametes contain strong antioxidants. In the present study the diminished TAC observed may have occurred as a result of increased oxidant activities (an elevated oxidant level infers fatigued antioxidant defense), thereby weakening the scavenger’s ability to defuse the oxidants’s toxic effects [13]. Therefore, the diminished TAC may be responsible for the oxidative stress experienced by the infertile women in the studied population. Hence, antioxidant supplementation therapy may be of help in management of infertility in this area. These findings are also supported by the earlier work of Tripathi et al. [15] who proved that antioxidants could be helpful in treatment of infertility.

The comparison of the level of oxidative stress in the infertile subjects based on hormone classification showed significant (p<0.05) increase in mean LPI value among infertile women with normal hormone levels above those with abnormal hormone levels (imbalance) when compared with the fertile women (control group). Mean TAC level was significantly (p<0.0001) lower in the infertile subjects with normal hormone levels than those with abnormal hormone levels compared with control fertile women with normal hormone levels. This suggests that the infertility being experienced by some of the subjects may not be due to endocrine dysfunction; rather some other conditions that induce oxidative stress may be responsible. This position is in agreement with the reports of Tarin et al. [14] and Huang et al. [16].

The present study further compared the oxidative parameters in the infertile women with normal hormone profile based on exposure to oxidant agents with the fertile control group. The result showed a significant decrease in TAC level in those (infertile women with normal hormone profile) exposed to oxidant agents (infections, alcohol, and ulcer) and those who were not exposed to any of the aforementioned agents (but are infertile with normal fertility hormone levels) when compared with control subjects (p<0.05). The LPI was significantly (p<0.05) higher in the exposed subgroup than the non-exposed when compared with control. This result suggests that there may be a significant state of oxidative stress in the exposed subgroup than the non-exposed, and which may have resulted to their infertility. This observation is in agreement with reports of several researchers who have demonstrated the roles of the aforementioned oxidant agents in induction of oxidative damage [16,29-30].

Alcohol is primarily eliminated from the body through an oxidative mechanism occurring in the liver. Alcohol hepatic metabolism produces acetaldehyde which upon further dehydrogenation yields acetic acids with acetyl and methyl radicals. These metabolites generate a high amount of oxidants [31]. The overproduced ROS promotes lipid peroxidation, decrease antioxidant enzyme activities (SOD), and deplete GSH concentration, thereby introducing oxidative stress [31]. Alcohol induced OS can initiate the oxidation steps of the Maillard reaction which promotes AGE (advanced glycation end products) formation. Accumulation of the toxic products (AGEs) formation.
product, AGE, is linked with the upregulation of antioxidant activities. The binding of AGE to its receptor (RAGE) induces a state of inflammation through activation of NF-Kappa B (a transcription factor) and then cytokine expression [29]. Thus, alcohol use can speed up oxidative stress through some mechanisms that involved enhancement of apoptosis, alteration of cell structures and damaging of tissues. A study showed that when mouse embryo was exposed to ethanol, it experienced an increased oxidants generation, lipid peroxidation, apoptosis and in vitro deformation. The study also reported that when SOD and/or vitamins were administered simultaneously, the effect of oxidative stress was reduced [30].

Tubal infertility has been largely related to infections of the genital tract and consequently oxidative stress [29]. Tubal damage has been reported as the most common cause of secondary infertility in our environment [32]. Augusta et al. [33] observed an association between reproductive hormones and oxidative markers in infertile women infected with chlamydia and reported a moderate increase in LH with a significant low TAC level in chlamydia positive infertile women compared with chlamydia negative fertile control subjects. Macrophages and polymorphonuclear leukocytes are inducted through the inflammatory response to infections of the genital tract. The activities of macrophages and cytokines result in greater ROS generation and consequently oxidative-induced cell destruction [34]. Moreover, a strong positive correlation of MDA with LPI (r= 0.964) in the oxidant-exposed subgroup was also observed in this study. Since LPI is used as the index of oxidative stress in this study, it then implies that the increased oxidative stress in this subgroup could be due to increased MDA production that is linked with increased free radical generation occurring through the metabolic processes of the oxidant molecules that subdued the antioxidant defense system as previously reported [35]. Therefore interventions that eliminate exposure to oxidant sources including infections, alcohol, irradiations, cigarettes and ulcer (H-pylori) may be of help in infertility managements.

5. CONCLUSION

There is a significant increase in oxidative stress markers in women diagnosed with infertility in Port Harcourt metropolis which has been caused by exposure to oxidant agents. Thus, evaluation of oxidative stress parameters should form part of the panel of analysis used in the investigation of infertility in women in the studied population. Further studies on estimation of antioxidant enzymes including superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and glutathione reductase (GHR) is recommended to validate reliability.
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