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**ABSTRACT**

Ribonucleases are central players in post-transcriptional regulation, a major level of gene expression regulation in all cells. Here, we characterized the 3'-5' exoribonuclease RNase R from the bacterial pathogen *Helicobacter pylori*. The ‘prototypical’ *Escherichia coli* RNase R displays both exoribonuclease and helicase activities, but whether this latter RNA unwinding function is a general feature of bacterial RNase R had not been addressed. We observed that *H. pylori* HpRNase R protein does not carry the domains responsible for helicase activity and accordingly the purified protein is unable to degrade *in vitro* RNA molecules with secondary structures. The lack of RNase R helicase domains is widespread among the *Campylobacterota*, which include *Helicobacter* and *Campylobacter* genera, and this loss occurred gradually during their evolution. An *in vivo* interaction between HpRNase R and RhpA, the sole DEAD-box RNA helicase of *H. pylori* was discovered. Purified RhpA facilitates the degradation of double stranded RNA by HpRNase R, showing that this complex is functional. HpRNase R has a minor role in SS rRNA maturation and few targets in *H. pylori*, all included in the RhpA regulon. We concluded that during evolution, HpRNase R has co-opted the RhpA helicase to compensate for its lack of helicase activity.

**INTRODUCTION**

Ribonucleases (RNases) are important enzymes for many biological processes; their functions are often essential for normal growth, as illustrated by RNase E in *Escherichia coli* or RNase J in *Helicobacter pylori* (1). Many RNases act in concert with other enzymes, like the protein complex that is known as the bacterial RNA degradosome. These latter complexes are composed of at least one RNase and one DEAD-box RNA helicase, although other components have been found in different organisms (1).

We have previously characterized the minimal RNA degradosome of the gastric pathogen *H. pylori* composed of the essential ribonuclease RNase J and the DEAD-box helicase RhpA (2). This bacterium is a mesophilic pathogen that belongs to the phylum of the *Campylobacterota* (formerly termed *Epsilonproteobacteria* (3,4)). *H. pylori* colonizes the stomach of half of the human population worldwide and this infection leads to the development of gastric pathologies such as peptic ulcers or, in the worst cases, gastric adenocarcinoma, the latter causing up to 800,000 deaths every year (5). *H. pylori* possesses a small genome of 1.6 Mb, that encodes very few transcriptional regulators (6). Therefore, it has been proposed that post-transcriptional regulation plays an important role in the regulation of gene expression of this microorganism, which was confirmed by different studies including those of our laboratory (7,8,9). The minimal RNA degradosome of *H. pylori* is composed of the essential endo- and 3'-5' exoribonuclease RNase J and the sole DEAD-box RNA helicase encoded in its genome, called RhpA (2,10). These two proteins interact and stimulate each other’s activity *in vitro* (2). In addition, they cop-
RNase R is also associated with the degradosome of the psychrotrophic bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae* (40).

In *H. pylori*, the *rnr* gene predicted to encode *Hp*RNase R was identified and the corresponding protein shown to display 3′-5′ exoribonuclease activity (41). In that study, six virulence-related genes were found to be downregulated by this RNase, including motility and chemotaxis-related genes and apoptosis-inducing genes. In addition, it was reported that an *H. pylori Δrnr* mutant is more motile and more effective in inducing apoptosis in gastric cells (41). This study also reports that the *rnr* transcript is slightly increased upon cold-shock and repressed upon acid stress. In contrast, we previously found that the *E. coli* EcRNase R mutant is impaired in motility (42).

We recently addressed the activity of RNase R from *Campylobacter jejuni*, an organism phylogenomically close to *H. pylori* (26). We showed that CjRNase R is active on several substrates, including a residual activity on a perfectly complementary dsRNA substrate, although the helicase activity of CjRNase R was not directly addressed. In addition, CjRNase R was found to be important for *C. jejuni* adhesion and invasion of eukaryotic cells (26).

Here, we defined the functional domains of the *H. pylori* RNase R protein and explored its function by defining its interacting partners and assessing its helicase activity. We found that *Hp*RNase R, like many of its homologues from the *Campylobacter* phylum, does not possess the helicase signature motifs and demonstrated that it is devoid of helicase activity. Most interestingly, *Hp*RNase R physically interacts with RhpA, the sole DEAD-box RNA helicase of *H. pylori*. Using RNA-Seq, we found an overlap between the few *Hp*RNase R targets and those of RhpA. We concluded that during evolution, *Hp*RNase R has co-opted the RhpA helicase to compensate for its lack of helicase activity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and growth conditions**

The *H. pylori* strains used in this study (Supplementary Table S1) are derived from strain B128 (43,44). *H. pylori* was grown on Blood Agar Base 2 (Oxoid) plates supplemented with 10% defibrinated horse blood with an antibiotic-antifungal cocktail (2.5 μg·ml⁻¹ amphotericin B, 0.31 μg·ml⁻¹ polymyxin B, 6.25 μg·ml⁻¹ trimethoprim and 12.5 μg·ml⁻¹ vancomycin). Selection of *H. pylori* mutants was performed using 10 μg·ml⁻¹ apramycin or 20 μg·ml⁻¹ kanamycin. For liquid culture, *Brucella* broth supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Eurobio) and antibiotic-antifungal cocktail was used. *H. pylori* was grown at 37°C under microaerophilic conditions (6% O₂, 10% CO₂, 84% N₂) generated with an Anoxomat (MART Microbiology) atmosphere generator.

*Escherichia coli* strains (listed in Supplementary Table S1) were cultured in LB with appropriate selection antibiotics (40 μg/ml kanamycin, 25 μg/ml chloramphenicol and/or 100 μg/ml ampicillin) at 37°C.

Growth curves were performed in 1 ml of *Brucella* broth supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotic cocktail, in 24-well plates with a Spark microplate reader (TECAN) at 37°C, 150 rpm and under an atmosphere containing 10%
**Figure 1.** (A) Schematic representation of the functional domain organization of *E. coli* RNase R (*Ec*RNaseR) and *H. pylori* RNase R (*Hp*RNase R). The CSD1 and CSD2 domains of *Hp*RNase are hatched to illustrate the poor conservation of these regions compared with *Ec*RNaseR. (B) Phylogenetic tree illustrating the evolution and conservation of the characteristic domains of RNase R. (For more details, see supplementary Figure S2). The tree species has been pruned to preserve only strains with full-sized proteins, while retaining strains of the genus *Helicobacter* E from GTDB that do not code for RNase R. The names of strains of the *H. pylori* species are highlighted in orange. The GTDB Taxonomy Family Rank has been used as a color code. The location of the Pfam profiles predicted with *hmmscan* is reported on the sequences represented by black lines. Note that the first cold-shock domain (CSD1) can also be annotated OB_RNB or CSD2 in Pfam profiles. Details of the data for the *Helicobacteraceae* family are magnified on the bottom part of the figure. The figure was obtained with the *iTOL* v5 software (https://itol.embl.de).
CO₂ and measuring the optical density at 600 nm every hour.

**Molecular techniques**

Molecular biology experiments were performed according to standard procedures (45) and the supplier (ThermoFisher Scientific) recommendations. Plasmids pET28-HpA and pET28-HpRNase R were constructed using standard procedures and the primers listed in Supplementary Table S2, adding a TEV (Tobacco Etch Virus) cleavage site between the His-tag and the protein sequences. Point mutation D231N was introduced into the pET28-HpRNase R plasmid by using overlapping PCR with the primers listed in Supplementary Table S2 and verified by sequencing. Plasmid preparations were carried out with the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN) and *H. pylori* genomic DNA extractions were done with QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN). PCR were performed with either DreamTaq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher) or Q5 High fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB) when the product required high fidelity amplification.

**Construction of *H. pylori* mutants**

Chromosomal deletions of the complete genes encoding RNase R (HPB8_232) and RhpA (HPB8_1316) was performed in *H. pylori* strain B128. Fragments of 500 bp up and downstream of the target gene were amplified by PCR (primers are listed in Supplementary Table S2) and fused with a non-polar apramycin resistance cassette by using the isostructural assembly technique (46) followed by PCR amplification using the primers from the extremities. For HA-tagging at the C-terminus of RNase R, the last 500 bp of *rnr* were amplified with an HA-tag at the end, and were fused with a non-polar kanamycin resistance cassette and a PCR fragment of the 500 bp downstream from *rnr* by isostructural assembly followed by PCR with the flanking primers. All *H. pylori* mutants were obtained by natural transformation [as described in (47)] with the PCR fragments obtained above. Selection of chromosomal allelic exchange resulting in cassette insertion was performed with the appropriate antibiotic. Deletion of the genes of interest and/or insertion of cassettes was verified by PCR and sequencing of the region of interest.

**Structural alignment**

Multiple alignment of RNB proteins was performed with T-COFFEE Version_11.00 (48). Secondary structure and Pfam domain organization were obtained from Uniprot. Supplementary Figure S1 was created with the Jalview software version 2.11.1.3 (49).

**Phylogenomics analyses**

The sequence dataset was constructed based on the entries annotated as reference genomes of the *Campylobacterota* from the taxonomic classification of the GTDB (release 05-RS95, https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/) (50) and genomes were extracted from NCBI. The dataset of 322 reference genomes, includes 78 complete genomes, 5 annotated as chromosomes, 86 are composed of scaffolds and 153 of contigs. Taking into account all reference genomes allows a more complete description of the *Campylobacterota* diversity. Genomes were annotated with the Prokka software 1.13.3 (51) and a functional protein annotation was performed with hmmscan [HMMER 3.1b2 package (52)] using two sources of HMM: Pfam 32 (https://pfam.xfam.org/) and Hamap 2020_01 (https://hamap.expasy.org/).

The phylogenetic tree of the *Campylobacterota* strains was based on the concatenation of alignments obtained for a set of 120 conserved families of protein sequences, using the cleaned alignment available on the GTDB database. The tree was inferred with fasttree version 2.1.10 SSE3 (53), under the LG+GAMMA model and local branch support values were determined using the Shimodaira–Hasegawa test. The tree was rooted from the GTDB taxonomy and drawn with iTOL v5 (54).

RNase R protein candidates were identified by the presence of a domain PF00773 (RNase https://pfam.xfam.org/family/PF00773) in the hmmscan annotations (297 proteins). They were assigned as RNase R orthologs by blastp (BLAST 2.7.1+ package) against the RNase domain sequences of RNases R and II from *E. coli* followed by comparison of the blastp scores. All proteins showed better score with *Ec*RNase R than with *Ec*RNase II, except one (Aakl78.g.00001), where the alignment is shorter with RNase R than with RNase II but with a higher sequence conservation with RNase R. Genomes lacking a member of this protein family were further interrogated by tblastn (BLAST 2.7.1+ package) using RNase R from *E. coli* as a query.

The analysis of the conservation protein domains was performed using the Pfam annotation with a permissive threshold (e-value <1) to retain annotations from degenerated domains. Results were presented on the tree of species.

Proteins homologous to the DEAD-box RNA helicase were identified using profile PF00027 with the hmmscan, followed by filtering and classification using the sequences from the DEAD-box RNA helicases from *E. coli* (DbpA, DeaD, RhlB, RhlE and SrmB) with phmmer of the HMMER 3.1b2 package. Sequences of proteins classified as DEAD were aligned with mafft v7.453 [–maxiterate 1000 –reorder –localpair (55)], the alignment was trimmed with trimAl v1.4.rev22 [-automated (56)] and a tree was constructed with fasttree version 2.1.10 SSE3 (LG+GAMMA model). On this tree, we identified two groups of paralogous sequences in the *Sulfurovaceae*, one group of which has been named CsdAS to distinguish it from the CsdA family of proteins. Hamap profiles MF_01491 (for bacterial RNase J) and MF_01595 (for PNPass) were used to identify proteins from the RNase J and PNPass families, respectively. The e-value thresholds were set to exclude false positives. As above, tblastn was used to search for homologues in the genomes without hits with these profiles.

The distribution of the presence of homologous genes to those encoding the CsdA, RNase R, RNase J and PNPass protein families was grouped at the level of the GTDB taxonomy family. For *Helicobacteraceae*, we have lowered to the genus level.
Protein expression and purification

RNase R from *E. coli* was expressed and purified as described in (57). Plasmids expressing *Hp*RNase R WT, D231N and pET28-RhpA were transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) Δ*nrhΔarr* cells for recombinant protein expression. Cells were grown in LB medium supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin at 30°C to an OD₆₀₀ nm of 0.5. Then, protein expression was induced by the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG and bacteria were grown for an extra 16 h. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation and stored at -80°C. To co-purify *Hp*RNase R with RhpA, cultures overexpressing each protein separately were pelleted together. The pellets were resuspended in 10 mL of buffer A (25 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole) and lysed using the FastPrep-24 (MP Biomedical) at 6.5 m/s for 60 s in the presence of 0.5 mM PMSF. The crude extract was clarified by a 30 min centrifugation at 10 000 g and treated with Benzonase (Sigma) to degrade the nucleic acids. The cleared lysate was subjected to a histidine affinity chromatography in a HisTrap HP column (Cytiva) equilibrated in buffer A on an AKTA Star system (Cytiva). Proteins were eluted by a continuous imidazole gradient of up to 500 mM imidazole in buffer A. The fractions containing the purified protein were pooled and concentrated by centrifugation at 4°C with an Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter Device with 50 000 MWCO (Millipore) and buffer exchanged to buffer B (25 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 10% glycerol). Afterwards, the proteins were subjected to size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) and a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min using buffer B. Samples were collected and analyzed in Novex™ 4–12% Tris–glycineMiniGels (Invitrogen) followed by BlueSafe staining (Nzytech, Portugal). Samples with the highest purity were pooled and concentrated by centrifugation at 4°C with an Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter device of 50 000 MWCO (Millipore). Proteins were quantified using the Bradford method and 50% glycercol was added to the final fractions before storage at -20°C.

Activity assays

Exoribonucleolytic activity was assayed using two different RNA oligoribonucleotides as substrates. The 30-mer oligoribonucleotide (5′- CCCGACACCAACCACU AAAAAAAAAAAAAA-3′) and the poly(A) chain of 30 nt were labeled at their 5′-ends with [γ-32P] ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK) at 37°C for 1 h. The PNK was inactivated at 80°C for 5 min and the RNA oligomers were purified using a G25 column (Cytiva) to remove non-incorporated nucleotides. The labelled 30-mer oligoribonucleotide was hybridized to a complementary 16-mer oligoribonucleotide (5′- AGUGGUUGGGUGCGGG-3′) to obtain the corresponding 16–30 dsRNA. The hybridization was performed in a 1:2 (mol:mol) ratio in water for 5 min at 80°C, followed by 60 min incubation at 37°C. The exoribonucleolytic activity tests were carried out in a final volume of 15 μL containing 25 nM RNA substrate, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 1 mM DTT (when assessing the activity of RNase R alone) or 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl₂, 75 mM NaCl, 5 mM ATP and 1 mM DTT (when assessing the activity of RNase R with or without RhpA). The amount of each enzyme added to the mixture is indicated in each figure. Reactions were started by the addition of the enzyme followed by incubation at 37°C. Samples were taken at the indicated time points, and the reactions were stopped by addition of a formamide-containing dye supplemented with 10 mM EDTA. Reaction products were resolved in a 20% polyacrylamide/7 M urea gel and analysed by PhosphorImaging (FLA-2000, Fuji, Stamford, CT, USA).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

EMSAs were performed with the poly(A) substrate previously described in a final volume of 10 μL. Mixtures containing increasing enzyme concentrations (from 10 to 1000 nM), 25 nM poly(A) and binding buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 100 mM KCl, 25 mM EDTA and 2 mM DTT) were incubated for 10 min at 37°C. The reactions were stopped by adding 2 μL of loading buffer [30% glycerol, 0.25% xylene cyanole and 0.25% (w/v) bromophenol blue]. The RNA–protein complexes were subjected to ultraviolet fixation in a Cross-Linker. Samples were analyzed in a 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel. The RNA–protein complexes were detected by PhosphorImaging (FLA-2000, Fuji, Stamford, CT, USA).

Helicase activity tests

To determine the helicase activity, we used a 16-base paired duplex with a 14-nt overhang (16-30ds), prepared as described in the previous section. The helicase activity tests were carried out in a final volume of 10 μL containing 10 nM of 16–30ds, and different buffer combinations (detailed in Supplementary Materials and Methods). The amount of each enzyme added to the reaction is indicated in the respective figures. Reactions were started by the addition of the enzyme and the mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 45 min. The reaction was stopped by adding a stop solution with 100 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 15% glycerol, 0.25% xylene cyanole and 0.25% bromophenol blue and 1 μM non-labeled 30-mer (to prevent the reannealing of the substrate). Reaction products were analyzed in a 20% polyacrylamide non-denaturing gel and analyzed by PhosphorImaging (FLA-2000, Fuji, Stamford, CT, USA).

Bacterial two hybrid

Bacterial two hybrid assays were carried out in *E. coli* strain BTH101 as previously described (58). Briefly, strains carrying derivatives of the two vectors, pUT18C and pKNT25, were grown overnight in 1 ml LB with 40 μg/ml kanamycin, 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 0.1 mM IPTG, and the resulting cultures were used for the measurements. The OD at 600 nm of the resulting cultures was measured in a TECAN plate reader and the beta-galactosidase activity was calculated by mixing 500 μL of the cultures with 500 μL of buffer Z, 100 μL of chloramphenicol and 50 μL of 0.1% SDS, vortexing the cells and then adding 200 μL of 4 mg/ml ONPG. The reactions were incubated at 28°C until they turned yellow and the reactions were stopped by
adding 500 µL of 1 M Na₂CO₃. Samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 14 000 rpm and the OD at 420 and 550 nm of the upper fraction was measured in a TECAN plate reader. The beta-galactosidase activity (Miller units) was calculated as previously defined (59). The experiments were reproduced twice with eight replicates each time.

**Affinity purification and mass spectrometry (AP-MS)**

The complete procedure including sample preparation, affinity purification, liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry (LC–MS) acquisition as well as bioinformatic analyses are available in supplementary materials and methods.

**Analytical ultracentrifugation**

Purified protein samples were thawed on ice and the buffer was replaced using G-25 columns (PD MiniTrap G-25, GE Healthcare) with 25 mM Tris·HCl pH 8, 300 mM NaCl and 0.5 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP, Sigma). Appropriate amounts of the different proteins were mixed and diluted in the same buffer with a final volume of 300 µL that was charged into two sector analytical ultracentrifugation cells. The centrifugation was performed for 16 h in an Optima analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman-Coulter) with an 8-hole AnTi50 rotor, at 42 000 rpm and 20°C, measuring the optical density at 280 nm in order to determine the sedimentation profile of the protein or complex. The data were analyzed with the SedFit software (NIH) using a diffusion deconvoluted continuous sedimentation coefficient distribution $c(s)$ model with one discrete species (60).

The partial specific volume ($V_{\text{bar}}$) of the proteins was estimated by the software Sednterp (61) based on the amino acid sequence. This software was also used for the calculation of the density and viscosity of the buffer used throughout the experiments. The experiments were reproduced two times and produced identical results.

**Surface plasmon resonance (SPR)**

Surface plasmon resonance was measured by using a CM5 sensor chip (Cytiva) and a Biacore 2000 system (Cytiva). Purified HpRNase R was immobilized in flow cell 2 of the CM5 sensor chip by the amine coupling procedure. The surface was activated with a 1:1 mixture of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) and injected for 5 min at a flow rate of 10 µL/min. Then, 20 µg of HpRNase R were injected during 10 min at the same flow rate. After injection of the ligand, ethanolamine was injected to the surface in order to deactivate it. The immobilization of the protein originated a response of 144 RU. On flow cell 1, BSA protein was immobilized using the same method and this cell was used as a control. Biosensor assays were run at 15°C in a buffer with 25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT. Serial dilutions of purified RhpA were injected over flow cells 2-1 for 3 min at different concentrations using a flow rate of 20 µL/min. The dissociation was allowed to occur during 5 min in the running buffer. All experiments included triple injections of each protein concentration to determine signal reproducibility. Bound proteins were removed after each cycle with a 30 s wash with 2 M NaCl. After each cycle, the signal was stabilized for 1 min before a new protein injection. Data from flow cell 1 was used to correct for refractive index changes and nonspecific binding. Rate constants were calculated using the BIAEVALUATION 3.0 software package by fitting the sensograms obtained to a 1.1 Langmuir binding model. $K_D$ was calculated as the ratio between $k_d$ and $k_a$.

**Cellular fractionation of H. pylori**

We used the protocol as described in (11). Briefly, H. pylori cells were grown to an OD₆₀₀nm of 0.7–1, harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4 and complete Protease Inhibitor cocktail (Roche) (buffer A) to an OD₆₀₀nm of 5. Bacteria were disrupted by sonication. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation and supernatants were collected as total extracts. The supernatants were centrifuged 45 min at 100 000g at 4°C in a TLA-100 ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter) using a TLA-55 rotor and supernatants were collected as soluble extracts. The pellet was re-suspended in buffer A + 0.1% SDS (Sigma-Aldrich) (buffer B). After another ultracentrifugation under the same conditions, the supernatant contains the inner membrane and the pellet the outer membrane.

**Western blotting**

Proteins were loaded and separated on a 4–20% Mini-Protein TGX Stain-Free precast protein gel (Biorad) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (iBlot™ Transfer Device, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The H. pylori RhpA and AmiE proteins were detected with rabbit polyclonal antibodies α-RhpA (2) and α-AmiE (62) at 1:5000 and 1:500 dilutions, respectively. RNase R-HA was detected with rabbit α-HA antibodies (Sigma) at 1:10 000 dilution. Goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Santa Cruz) was used as secondary antibody at 1:10 000 dilution and detection was achieved with the ECL Plus reagent (Thermo Fisher). Images were taken with a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (BioRad).

**RNA extraction and sequencing**

RNA was extracted from 2 ml H. pylori cultures at an OD₆₀₀nm of 0.5 in Brucella medium using a Total RNA Purification kit (Norgen Biotek Corp) and the samples were stored at −80°C until further processing. RNA was prepared in triplicates from three independent cultures for each strain (WT, ΔrhpA, and Δmrn). The QIAseq Fast Select –5S/16S/23S (QIAGEN) kit was used for ribosomal RNA depletion according to manufacturer instructions. Libraries were built using a TruSeq Stranded mRNA library Preparation Kit (Illumina, USA) following the supplier’s recommendations. Quality control was performed on an Agilent Bioanalyzer. RNA sequencing was performed on the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform using single-end 75 bp.
RNA-seq analysis

The RNA-seq analysis was performed with Sequana 0.8.0 (63). In particular, we used the RNA-seq pipeline (v0.9.16, https://github.com/sequana/sequana_rnaseq) built on top of Snakemake 5.8.1 (64). Reads were trimmed from adapters using Cutadapt 2.10 (65) then mapped to the H. pylori B8 (NC_014256.1) genome assembly from NCBI using Bowtie 2.3.5 (66). FeatureCounts 2.0.0 (67) was used to produce the count matrix, assigning reads to features using annotation from NCBI NC_014256.1 with strand-specificity information. Quality control statistics were summarized using MultiQC 1.8 (68). Statistical analysis on the count matrix was performed to identify differentially regulated genes, comparing wild type H. pylori strain to the Δrnr and ΔrhpA mutants and Δrnr and ΔrhpA strains to each other. Clustering of transcriptomic profiles were assessed using a principal component analysis (PCA). Differential expression testing was conducted using DESeq2 library 1.24.0 (69) scripts based on SARTools 1.7.0 (70) indicating the significance (Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted P-values, false discovery rate FDR < 0.05) and the effect size (fold-change) for each comparison. Differentially-regulated genes are listed in Supplementary Table S3.

3′-Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (3′-RACE)

Total RNA was treated with poly(A) polymerase (NEB) following the supplier’s recommendations. 3′-RACE was performed using the 3′ RACE System for Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (Thermoﬁsher). Total poly(A) RNA was reverse transcribed and used for PCR ampliﬁcation of the cDNA molecules that correspond to the 23S, 16S or 5S rRNAs and tmRNA using the primers described in Supplementary Table S2 and an oligo d(T) supplied with the kit. The resulting fragments were cloned into the pGEM-T Easy system (Promega) and blue/white colony screening was performed on LB plates containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 1 mM IPTG and 20 µg/ml X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside). The fragments cloned in the pGEM-T multicloning site of 10 individual white colonies for each gene of interest were PCR ampliﬁed and sequenced (Eurofins Genomics).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis performed in the two hybrid experiments were carried out on Prism v 9, using one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test.

RESULTS

Progressive loss of helicase signature motifs in RNase R from the Campylobacterota, a phylum including Helicobacter pylori and Campylobacter jejuni

The RNB family is composed of both RNase R and RNase II proteins. In H. pylori, only one gene, rnr, was identiﬁed as encoding a protein of this family. It was predicted to code for an RNase R protein and its 3′-5′ exoribonuclease activity was demonstrated (41). First, we aligned HpRNase R with its orthologs from E. coli and the closely related organism C. jejuni, and with Rnase II from E. coli (Supplementary Figure S1). This analysis revealed that the RNase R proteins from H. pylori and C. jejuni are similar and are more closely related to EcRNase R than to EcRNase II, validating that they are indeed orthologs of EcRNase R. In addition, we observed that both HpRNase R and CjRNase R lack several regions that have, in EcRNase R, been associated with its helicase function, including the Walker A and B motifs that are important for ATP binding (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure S1). Speciﬁcally, in EcRNase R, the Walker A motif, located in a region downstream from the S1 domain, is absent in HpRNase R and CjRNase R. In addition, the Walker B motif of EcRNase R is located at the beginning of the CSD2 domain in a region that is also absent from both HpRNase R and CjRNase R. In these two latter organisms, the S1 domain, important for dsRNA binding, is truncated, the two CSD regions are degenerated, in particular in H. pylori, and the basic C-terminal domain is absent. Moreover, the tri-helix region within the RNB domain, which was found to be crucial for RNA unwinding in EcRNase R, is not conserved in HpRNase R and CjRNase R.

Next, we performed a phylogenetic analysis to determine whether this domain organization and deletions correspond to a common feature of the RNase R proteins of the Campylobacterota phylum. A set of 322 reference genomes (including H. pylori and C. jejuni) was retrieved from the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) (50) and was used to create a phylogenetic tree (Figure 1B and Supplementary Figure S2), where the different families of Campylobacterota are clearly distinguished by a strong branch support. We identiﬁed 297 RNase R protein candidates from these genomes by the presence of a core domain characterized by the PF00773 proﬁle. We validated that these proteins are indeed orthologs of RNase R and not RNase II using the sequences of the E. coli proteins as a reference (Supplementary Figure S3). As no RNase R/II homologues were found in 29 of these genomes, tblastn was used to search in DNA sequences for the presence of a region of similarity with EcRNase R but whose corresponding gene would not have been correctly predicted. This allowed us to identify orthologs in six additional genomes. The remaining 23 genomes do not possess an RNase R/II encoding gene, as is the case in the 11 complete genomes of the recently assigned genus Helicobacter E from GTDB, or this gene is missing because the genomes are not complete.

Using Pfam annotation, we observed that the RNB domain is very well preserved in all proteins. The ﬁrst CSD (annotated by the Pfam OB,RNB or CSD2 proﬁles) is the best preserved, especially in Campylobacteraceae (Figure 1B). A lower prevalence of this latter domain is found in the Arcobacteraceae and especially in the Helicobacteraceae, notably in those that diverged more recently (including H. pylori, Figure 1B and Supplementary Figure S2). An intact S1 domain can only be found in the Hippiceae and Desulfarellaceae genomes, which diverged ﬁrst, suggesting that the S1 domain would have been lost in the other Campylobacterota. Our observations suggest that, during the course of evolution, there was a progressive degeneration of the CSD domains in the Campylobacterota, with
an acceleration in the Helicobacteraceae. Within this family, the genus Helicobacter (including H. suis, H. heilmannii, H. ailurogastricus, H. bizzozeronii and H. felis) is the most extreme, as these genomes have lost the gene coding for RNase R.

Thus, the domains responsible for the helicase activity of ECRNase R are poorly conserved across the RNase R proteins of the Campylobacterota and seem to have been lost in a progressive manner during evolution up to the Helicobacteraceae.

H. pylori RNase R displays 3′-5′ exoribonuclease activity but does not act as a helicase

To test our hypothesis that HpRNase R is devoid of helicase activity, we overexpressed and purified recombinant HpRNase R and the catalytic mutant D231N, which lacks ribonucleolytic activity (41) but, based on the sequence, should retain its ability to bind RNA. We used purified ECRNase R as a control. As expected, on a single stranded poly(A) RNA substrate, HpRNase R presents 3′-5′ exoribonuclease activity releasing 2 and 4 nucleotides (nt) fragments as end products (Figure 2A), similar to what we observed and was reported for the E. coli protein (57). As expected, the HpRNase R D231N mutant was unable to cleave the Poly(A) substrate (Figure 2A), although it was able to bind RNA as efficiently as the wild type protein (Figure 2B). We then tested whether HpRNase R is able to degrade a partially double-stranded RNA substrate (16-30ds) that contains a 14 nt single-stranded overhang. While ECRNase R was able to efficiently degrade this substrate, HpRNase R only presented a residual activity even in the presence of 5 times more protein (Figure 2A). Once again, the D231N mutant was inactive on this substrate (Figure 2A). Considering the poor efficiency of HpRNase R in cleaving dsRNA and our bioinformatic domain analysis, we speculated that this protein does not possess a helicase activity. To test this, we carried out a helicase activity assay as previously published (29) using the 16–30ds RNA molecule and 2.5 μM of the exoribonucleolytically inactive HpRNase R D231N mutant. We tested 8 different buffer conditions (B1-B8, detailed in the legend of Figure 2C) and no helicase activity was detected for HpRNase R (Figure 2C).

Altogether, our data clearly demonstrate that HpRNase R has no helicase activity in vitro under these assay conditions.

HpRNase R interacts with the RhpA DEAD-box RNA helicase

The genome of H. pylori expresses only one DEAD-box RNA helicase, RhpA (10), which we showed to be associated with RNase J as part of an RNA degradosome (2). Because HpRNase R is devoid of helicase activity, we wondered whether this protein might interact with the RhpA helicase. Therefore, we performed bacterial two hybrid (BACTH) experiments in E. coli, expressing RhpA and HpRNase R fused to different subunits of adenylate cyclase (T25 and T18) and measuring β-galactosidase activity. We found that, when RhpA was fused at the N-terminus of T25 and RNase R was fused at the C-terminus of T18, a positive interaction could be detected (Figure 3A).

Then, we investigated the RhpA-HpRNase R complex formation in vitro. We also overexpressed and purified recombinant RhpA and performed analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) at 280 nm wavelength. We observed that HpRNase R alone behaves as a monomer in vitro independently of the protein concentration with a sedimentation coefficient of ∼4.25S (Figure 3B). After addition of RhpA in a 5:1 RhpA:HpRNase R proportion, we followed the sedimentation of HpRNase R at 280 nm, as RhpA does not absorb at this wavelength due to its lack of aromatic amino acids. Under this condition, a new peak with a sedimentation coefficient of about 5S appears as well as a smaller peak with a sedimentation coefficient of about 10S (Figure 3C). The increase in the sedimentation coefficient of the major peak is only consistent with the formation of a 1:1 stoichiometry complex. Thus, HpRNase R and RhpA form a stoichiometric complex in solution.

To further characterize their interaction, we performed a surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis to determine the in vitro affinity of HpRNase R with its partner RhpA (Figure 3D). Purified HpRNase R was immobilized on a sensor chip, increasing concentrations of purified RhpA were injected and the response was monitored. The results presented in Figure 3D further confirm the interaction between RhpA and HpRNase R and allowed us to determine an affinity constant (Kd) of 29 ± 4.4 nM. Furthermore, we measured the kinetic constants of this interaction and found an association constant (kₐ) of 3.5 ± 0.3 × 10⁴ (M·s)⁻¹ and a dissociation constant (k₅) of 1.0 ± 0.1 × 10⁻³ s⁻¹.

To validate the existence of this complex in H. pylori cells, an H. pylori strain expressing, from its native locus, an HpRNaseR protein tagged with an HA epitope at its C-terminus was used for affinity purification and mass spectrometry (AP-MS) experiments using anti-HA antibodies. We observed that, indeed, RhpA is an interacting partner of HpRNase R in H. pylori cells, along with other proteins such as ribosomal proteins (Supplementary Figure S4 and Table S4).

Altogether, our data demonstrate that HpRNase R and RhpA form a complex in H. pylori.

Formation of the RhpA-HpRNase R complex stimulates exoribonuclease activity on dsRNA in vitro

In order to study the consequences of the RhpA-HpRNase R complex formation on the degradation of dsRNA, we tested the exoribonucleolytic activity of HpRNase R in the presence of RhpA in vitro. First, we tested different buffers (B1-B8) to determine the optimal conditions for RhpA to display helicase activity in vitro (Figure 4A). RhpA presents helicase activity under several conditions, of which we chose buffer B5 for the following experiments. As shown in Figure 4B, HpRNase R alone is not efficient in the degradation of dsRNA, and no degradation is observed upon incubation of the dsRNA substrate with RhpA alone. When both enzymes were mixed together at the same concentration, a slight disappearance of the intact dsRNA substrate was
detected, which suggests that RhpA facilitates the degradation of structured RNAs by HpRNase R. We next copurified both enzymes from E. coli, by mixing the pellets overexpressing each protein before cell lysis and protein purification, and tested their ribonucleolytic activity. Interestingly, this condition was found to be much more active and to completely degrade the initial dsRNA substrate to 8–15 end-products in 10 min (Figure 4B). We speculate that, under these conditions, the interaction of HpRNase R with RhpA limits the access of smaller RNA molecules to the HpRNase R active site, resulting in the larger degradation products (8–15 nt) observed in Figure 4B as compared to the 2–4 nt products observed with HpRNase R alone in Figure 2A.

Figure 2. In vitro, HpRNase R displays 3'-5' exoribonuclease activity but no helicase activity. (A) 3'-5' exoribonuclease activity tests over 20 min, of EcRNases R and HpRNase R on a poly(A) ssRNA substrate (left panel) or on a 16–30 dsRNA substrate (right panel). The catalytically inactive HpRNase R D231N mutant served as a control. The end-products are indicated as we previously reported (79). (B) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) of wild type HpRNase R and the HpRNase R D231N mutant. (C) Helicase activity assay of the catalytically inactive HpRNase R D231N mutant using eight different buffers B1 to B8. B1: 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM ATP, 50 mM MgCl2, and 20 mM NaCl; B2: 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2 and 50 mM NaCl; B3: 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2 and 75 mM NaCl; B4: 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2, 75 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT; B5: 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2, 75 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT; B6: 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2 and 75 mM NaCl; B7: 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2, 75 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT; B8: 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2, 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT.
These results demonstrate that HprRNase R and RhpA form a functional complex with an increased exoribonuclease activity of HprRNase R on dsRNA substrates in vitro.

HprRNase R is associated with the inner membrane of H. pylori, independently of RhpA

We recently reported that the two partners of the RNA degradosome, RhpA and RNase J, localize to the inner membrane of H. pylori (11). Just like RhpA and RNase J, the HprRNase R protein does not present any transmembrane segment or signal peptide (Supplementary Figure S5). We also examined whether HprRNase R possesses an amphipathic helix that could account for membrane association similarly to EcRNase E and EcRNase II. Although such a helix can be predicted in the N-terminus of RNase R from some H. pylori strains, the corresponding sequence is not conserved among the analyzed strains. The cellular localization of HprRNase R was tested using cellular fractionation of the H. pylori strain expressing HprRNase R-HA from its native locus. Western blot analysis of the different fractions revealed that HprRNase R-HA mainly localizes at the inner membrane independently of RhpA (Figure 5). More work is needed to define the membrane anchor of HprRNase R. This further shows that, in H. pylori, several proteins involved in RNA degradation localize to the inner membrane, suggesting a compartmentalization of these functions.

HprRNase R has a restricted number of RNA targets that are shared with the RhpA helicase

HprRNase R is not essential in H. pylori. Indeed the Δrnr mutant is viable, only slightly affected in growth (Supplementary Figure S6A) and not deficient in motility in contrast to the noticeable growth defect and reduced motility that we found for a ΔrhpA mutant (10). In order to define the HprRNase R RNA targets in H. pylori, we first assessed whether its expression is regulated as it has been reported in E. coli. The expression of RNase R seems constitutive in H. pylori since the amounts of HprRNase R-HA protein are not affected by growth phase, not induced during growth at lower temperature (33°C, the lowest temperature at which H. pylori grows) or upon overnight exposure to 4°C (Supplementary Figure S6B, C).

RNA-Seq was thus performed to define the global transcriptome changes of a Δrnr mutant in exponential phase as compared to a wild type strain. For comparison, we also
Figure 4. *Hp*RNase R and RhpA form a functional complex *in vitro*. (A) RhpA helicase activity was tested with 8 different buffers (B1 to B8) and helicase activity on a 16–30ds RNA substrate was detected in 5 of the tested conditions. (B) 3′-5′ exoribonuclease activity test, during 30 min, on a 16–30ds RNA substrate with *Hp*RNase R or RhpA alone or both proteins in complex (reconstituted or co-purified). Degradation of the substrate is strongly accelerated when both proteins are copurified.

Figure 5. *Hp*RNase R-HA localizes to the inner membrane independently of RhpA. Western blot carried out with samples resulting from a subcellular fractionation of *H. pylori* strains expressing an HA-tagged version of *Hp*RNase R from its native locus on the chromosome in a wild type context or in a Δ*rhpA* mutant. TE, total extract; SE, soluble extract; IM, inner membrane.

performed RNA-Seq of the Δ*rhpA* mutant. We observed that, in the Δ*rnr* strain, there were very few RNAs whose amount was significantly changed (only 11), with 5 being downregulated (one of them being the deleted *rnr* gene itself) and 6 being upregulated, including mRNAs and a few asRNAs (Supplementary Table S3). Among the upregulated transcripts, we found the mRNAs coding for LpxC, an enzyme important for lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, and Dcm1, a predicted methyltransferase. A list of the differentially expressed genes in the Δ*rnr* mutant together with the validation of the changes by qRT-PCR can be found in Supplementary Tables S3 and S5.

In contrast to the Δ*rnr* strain, the Δ*rhpA* strain shows more dramatic changes at the transcriptome level, with 208 differentially regulated genes with respect to the wild type strain, 90 of which being downregulated (63 mRNAs and 27 sRNAs and asRNAs) and 118 upregulated (114 mRNAs and 4 asRNAs). A list of all differentially regulated genes in the Δ*rhpA* strain can be found in Supplementary Table S3. When we compared the transcriptomes of the Δ*rnr* and Δ*rhpA* strains, we found a total of 79 differentially-regulated genes, 58 of which are also differentially expressed when WT is compared to the Δ*rhpA* mutant, further suggesting that the Δ*rnr* strain does not have many specific targets, and only 20 genes being exclusively differentially expressed between the Δ*rnr* and Δ*rhpA* strains. Importantly, every potential target of *Hp*RNase R (green circle in Figure 6) is similarly dysregulated in both Δ*rnr* and Δ*rhpA* mutant strains (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table S5), with the exception of the *hp8899* gene encoding a protein of unknown function, that is considerably less expressed in the
Table 1. Percentages of the 3′-end species of the 23S, 16S and 5S rRNAs and tmRNA, sequenced by 3′-RACE, in the B128, ΔrhpA and Δrrn strains

| 3′-end species | B128 | ΔrhpA | Δrrn |
|----------------|------|-------|------|
| 23S rRNA annotation: 5′-... TTGTTTTT-3′ |      |       |      |
| −3 nt          | 11   | 25    | 17   |
| −2 nt          | 22   | 25    | 50   |
| −1 nt          | 22   | 25    | -    |
| +1 nt          | 22   | -     | 17   |
| +47 nt         | -    | -     | 17   |
| +50 nt         | 22   | 25    | -    |
| 16S rRNA annotation: 5′-... TACA CTCCT-3′ |      |       |      |
| −11 nt         | -    | -     | 12   |
| +2 nt          | -    | 13    | -    |
| +3 nt          | 89   | 62    | 88   |
| +4 nt          | 11   | -     | -    |
| +79 nt         | -    | 25    | -    |
| 5S rRNA annotation: 5′-... ATAGGGAAT-3′ |      |       |      |
| −7–8 nt        | 83   | 86    | 67   |
| −5 nt          | -    | -     | 11   |
| −1–4 nt        | 17   | -     | -    |
| +1 nt          | -    | -     | 11   |
| tmRNA annotation: 5′-... GCTC CACCA-3′ |      |       |      |
| −2 nt          | -    | 17    | -    |
| −1–0 nt        | 100  | 83    | 100  |

Highlighted in blue are the species whose amount is decreased and in orange are the species whose amount is increased. In the first column, the length of the 3′-ends of the sequenced cDNA molecules is expressed as compared to the annotated 3′ end (e.g. −3 nt indicates that the sequenced molecule is 3 nt shorter than the annotation and +47 nt indicates that the sequenced molecule is 47 nt longer).

Δrrn strain than in the ΔrhpA strain and the deleted rrn gene itself.

We concluded that HpRNase R alone plays a minor role in the control of the stability of RNAs in exponential phase growing H. pylori and that this activity most probably requires RhpA.

Minor role of HpRNase R in the 5S rRNA maturation

In E. coli, RNase R has been reported to play an important role in the maturation of rRNAs and the functionality of tmRNA. In H. pylori, we previously reported a defect in the maturation of 16S rRNA in a ΔrhpA strain (10) and the essentiality of tmRNA (71). Therefore, we wondered whether HpRNase R might also be important for the maturation of rRNAs or tmRNA in H. pylori. We performed 3′ Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (3′-RACE) sequencing on RNA extracted from wild type, Δrrn and ΔrhpA strains in order to define the 3′-extremities of 5S, 16S and 23S rRNAs and tmRNA in these strains. As expected, the ΔrhpA strain presented a defect in the maturation of the 16S rRNA, with 25% of the sequences showing a long 79 nt-extended 3′-extremity (Table 1). The Δrrn strain presented no major defect in the maturation of the other rRNAs or of the tmRNA. The Δrrn mutant presented no defect in tmRNA 3′-maturation. The mutant deficient in HpRNase R only displayed a mild defect in 5S rRNA maturation, with 33% of the sequences having a slightly longer 3′-extremity (1-7 nt longer than the predominant species) (Table 1).

These data showed that, in H. pylori, RhpA is important for the 3′-end maturation of 16S rRNA independently of HpRNase R and that this latter exoribonuclease has only a minor role in the maturation of 5S rRNA independently of RhpA.

RNase R and DEAD-box RNA helicases frequently co-occur in the Campylobacterota

We finally sought to define, in other members of the Campylobacterota phylum, the distribution of RNase R, DEAD-box RNA helicases and other prominent RNA degradation proteins, such as RNase J and PNPase. For that, we identified proteins from the DEAD-box (PF00270, here referred to as CsdA), RNase J (MF_01491) and PNPase (MF_01595) families and we summarized their frequencies in the strains by grouping them by taxonomic rank (Figure 7). We found that RNase J and PNPase proteins are present in all Campylobacterota families and that RNase R and DEAD-box proteins frequently co-occur, although there are some cases where one or the other is absent. For instance, the Thiiovulaceae and the genus Helicobacter E lack RNase R, whereas the Campylobacteraceae lack CsdA-like proteins. Thus, with the exception of the Campylobacterota such as C. jejuni, which lack a DEAD box RNA helicase (10), the vast majority of the Campylobacterota presents a co-occurrence of RNase R and a DEAD-box RNA helicase, a situation that might be compatible with a conserved interaction between these two proteins.

DISCUSSION

The 3′-5′ exoribonuclease RNase R from E. coli has been shown to possess an additional helicase activity allowing this enzyme to act on partially structured RNA molecules. Here we demonstrated that this unwinding activity is not a general feature of the RNase R proteins. Studying the H. pylori HprRNase R, we observed that the domains and motifs required for EcRNase R helicase activity are not conserved in H. pylori. These motifs include the tri-helix wedge within the RNB domain, that contains the helicase activity per se (32), two Walker motifs present in the CSD2 and K/R-rich domains (29), responsible for ATP binding and hydrolysis, and residues important for dsRNA binding to the S1 domain (30). RNase R and RNase II are two closely related members of the RNB exoribonuclease family. Our bioinformatic analysis allowed us to unambiguously distinguish the RNase R proteins and thus to explore for the first time the evolution of their functional domains. Within the Campylobacterota phylum that includes H. pylori, the RNase R S1 domain is either absent or strongly degenerated and the CSD domains are poorly conserved. Our analysis points to the gradual loss of these domains over the course of evolution of the members of this phylum.

In vitro assays with purified HpRNase R revealed a slightly lower 3′-5′ exoribonuclease activity as compared to EcRNase R on fully single-stranded RNA substrates. Most importantly, we confirmed that HpRNase R does not display helicase activity in the tested conditions and is unable to digest even partially double-stranded RNA substrates. We previously reported a very weak ribonuclease activity of...
Figure 7. Taxonomic distribution of the protein families of RNases, RNase R, RNase J and PNPase and of the CsdA helicase. The phylogenetic tree has been pruned at the family rank except for the Helicobacteraceae, where it is at the genus level (orange shading). The average sizes of the genomes and their average G+C content is mentioned, as well as the number of genomes (strains) analyzed per family rank. The diameter of the circles is proportional to the percentage of homologous genes observed in the genomes clustered under each taxonomic rank. CsdAS refers to a group of CsdA paralogues that was found only in the Sulfurovaceae. The figure was made with iTOL v5.

CjRNase R on a fully dsRNA substrate and a moderate activity with a double stranded RNA with 14-nt 3′-overhang (26). The difference between the HpRNase R and CjRNase R activities could be explained by a weak conservation of the CSD1 domain in the proteins of Campylobacteraceae to which C. jejuni belong. As can be seen in Supplementary Figure S1, the N-terminal extremity of the HpRNase R CSD1 domain is strikingly degenerated as compared to those of CjRNase R and EcRNase R. We speculate that this region could be important for the binding of dsRNA sequences and that the CjRNase R would therefore conserve some degradation activity on dsRNA with an overhang region. However, future experimental data are needed to validate this hypothesis. Interestingly, EcRNase R deprived of its helicase activity (by mutations in the S1 domain or without added ATP) can also degrade structured RNAs with a 3′-overhang of at least 10 nt (30).

We demonstrated that HpRNase R forms a complex with RhpA, the sole DEAd-box RNA helicase of H. pylori. This interaction was shown by several approaches; in live cells by E. coli BACTH and in H. pylori by affinity purification-mass spectrometry (AP-MS) and in vitro by SPR and AUC, the latter pointing to a stoichiometric complex. Furthermore, when both proteins are co-purified, RhpA is able to assist HpRNase R in dsRNA substrate degradation in vitro. These results demonstrate that HpRNase R and RhpA indeed form a functional complex. We hypothesize that this complex might be widespread within the phylum Campylobacterota, as most of these bacteria harbor both an RNase R ortholog with similar domain characteristics as HpRNase R and a single protein from the family of the DEAd-box RNA helicases.

In Pseudomonas syringae, a psychrophilic organism, the RNA degradosome was shown to comprise RNase E, a DEAd box helicase and RNase R, whose sequence is comparable to that of EcRNase R. In that case, it was proposed that RNase R replaces the classical PNPase of the RNase E-based degradosomes (40). In H. pylori, we previously showed that RNase J forms a functional RNA degradosome with the RhpA helicase (2). This raises the question as to whether HpRNase R might be an additional minor partner of this degradosome or whether RhpA can be engaged into two alternative complexes. More work is needed to answer to this question. However, we found, that independently of RhpA, HpRNase R localizes to the inner membrane of H. pylori just like the two RNA degradosome partners, RhpA and RNase J (11). Interestingly, one of the putative RNase R interactors identified by AP-MS is the membrane scaffolding protein flotillin FloA (HPB8_1315) which we previously found to promote the compartmentalization of the RNA degradosome of H. pylori (11). Altogether, these data are in favor of an ‘RNA degradation hub’ that would be compartmentalized at the membrane of H. pylori.

Several approaches have been pursued to assess the role of HpRNase R in H. pylori. First, we observed no change in the amounts of the HpRNase R protein as a function of growth phase, during growth at 33°C (the lowest temperature that supports H. pylori growth) or after cold shock at 4°C. This contrasts with EcRNase R which is regulated at
both transcriptional and stability level by growth phase and cold shock. In *E. coli*, the regulation is partly due to the interaction of EcRNase R with the trans-translation machinery, tmRNA-SmpB (72), which in turn favors the interaction with and degradation by the Lon and HslU proteases (73). In *H. pylori*, the absence of such HpRNase R regulation might be related to the lack of the domains that mediate this regulation [the K/R-rich and S1 C-terminal domains (72)]. In agreement with this, SmpB, HslU and Lon were not detected as HpRNase R interactors by AP-MS. Helicase activities are generally crucial for growth at lower temperatures, where RNA secondary structures are stabilized; since HpRNase R is not efficient in degrading dsRNA structures and needs to associate with a helicase, it makes sense that it does not need to be regulated by cold shock. Nevertheless, as we previously showed, the RhpA helicase plays a major role at 33°C since it is essential for growth of *H. pylori* at this temperature.

RNA-Seq was performed to identify the targets of HpRNase R. We found only 11 differentially expressed genes in a Δ*rnr* mutant. Although we cannot exclude that HpRNase R is more active under conditions different from those tested here, we conclude that this enzyme does not play a major role in the control of RNA decay. It seems, as we previously showed, that RNase J, another *H. pylori* ribonuclease, is the major actor in RNA degradation (7). Surprisingly, we found no overlap between our targets and those of the previous publication reporting the changes in *H. pylori* Δ*rnr* transcriptome (41), which might be attributed to the use of another strain.

Based on our data, we propose that HpRNase R has evolved an interaction with RhpA in order to carry out some of its functions on partially structured RNAs. In agreement with this, all the HpRNase R targets, including the LpxC LPS biosynthesis gene, are similarly dysregulated in the Δ*rnr* and Δ*rhpA* strains. It is interesting to note that, in *E. coli*, LPS-biosynthesis genes were found to be regulated by RNase II, the other 3′-5′ exoribonuclease of the same family as RNase R that does not exist in *H. pylori* (74). RhpA has many other targets, mostly mRNAs but also as-RNAs and sRNAs.

In *Streptococcus pyogenes*, few RNase R targets have been identified, and several of those are also contained within the PNPase regulon, but whether its RNase R retains the helicase activity has not been addressed (75,76). In contrast, 202 genes are differentially regulated in the *E. coli* Δ*rnr* mutant (42).

We also asked whether HpRNase R might be required for the maturation of stable RNAs such as tmRNA or rRNAs, which would not be detected by RNA-Seq. EcRNase R plays a central role in tmRNA function (77). In *H. pylori*, we found no changes in the tmRNA 3′-extremity. Since we previously established that trans-translation is indispensable for *H. pylori* viability (71) and because RNase R is not essential, we conclude that other RNase(s) are responsible for the degradation of defective mRNAs following trans-translation in *H. pylori*. We also found that several ribosomal proteins interact with HpRNase R (Supplementary Table S4). Whereas, in *E. coli*, ribosomes were shown to stabilize RNase R protein (38), we do not know whether this is also the case in *H. pylori*. However, using 3′-RACE we found a minor role of HpRNase R in the maturation of the 5S rRNA, while we clearly detected the defect in 16S rRNA maturation that was previously reported in a Δ*rhpA* mutant (10). Even so, our results do not exclude the possibility that RNase R might act by trimming the 3′-end of different RNA species in the cell, as seems to be the case in *S. pyogenes* (76), which could have effects at the proteomic level and for RNA maturation.

In conclusion, we have described a novel type of RNase R, HpRNase R, that lacks the helicase domains and activity and thus the ability to degrade dsRNA substrates. In order to compensate for this defect, we propose that HpRNase R has evolved to co-opt RhpA, the DEAD-box RNA helicase of *H. pylori*, to assist some of its functions.
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