The role of relativistic jets in the heaviest and most active supermassive black holes at high redshift
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1 INTRODUCTION

How supermassive black holes (SMBH) gained most of their mass is one of the key question in modern physical cosmology, and yet there is no general consensus on the kind of evolution that such population, as a whole, experienced, particularly at the highest redshifts probed but current observations.

Some SMBHs with masses in excess of \(10^9 \, M_\odot\) were already in place when the Universe was only \(\approx 700\) Myr old (e.g., ULAS J1120+0641; Mortlock et al. 2011). The very existence of such objects may be difficult to reconcile to black hole growth at the Eddington rate starting from stellar sized seeds, and more massive objects may be a more viable option (see Volonteri 2010 for a review). However, such early SMBHs are most probably exceptional objects, rather than the norm. Indeed, the global population of very luminous radio–quiet AGNs shows a peak in its number density at \(z \approx 2–3\), corresponding to a then Hubble time of \(\approx 3–2\) Gyrs (see, e.g., Hopkins et al. 2007). The number of fainter AGNs peaks at even later cosmic time, producing an evolutionary luminosity–dependent pattern commonly referred to as “downsizing”.

Recently, Salvaterra et al. (2012) placed limits on the global accretion history of SMBHs at \(z \gtrsim 5\) through the unresolved fraction of the X–ray background (see also DiJKstra et al. 2004, Salvaterra et al. 2005, Salvaterra et al. 2007, McQuinn 2012). The stacking analysis of the X–ray emission of the \(i\)–dropout sample by Bouwens et al. (2006) in the Chandra Deep Field–South provides even tighter constrains on the gas accreted onto SMBHs at high redshifts (Willott 2011, Fiore et al. 2012, Cowie et al. 2011). All those studies concluded that there is some tension between the fast mass accretion required by the existence of SMBHs at \(z \gtrsim 6\), and the limit posed by X–ray data. Vigorous accretion in the first Gyrs must have proceeded in rare, selected objects, and/or in a radiatively inefficient fashion.

The search for the heaviest SMBHs at high–\(z\) relies on wide–field optical/IR surveys (such as the United Kingdom Infrared Deep Sky Surveys, UKIDSS, or the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, SDSS), with subsequent high resolution follow–up spectroscopy from 8–meter class telescopes. Virial–based arguments applied to hydrogen and metal (e.g., CIV and MgII) emission features then provide an estimate of the black hole mass (e.g. McLure & Dunlop 2004 with H\(\beta\) and MgII; Vestergaard & Peterson 2006 with H\(\beta\) and CIV; Vestergaard & Osmer 2009 with MgII). A different, complementary method to probe SMBHs at high \(z\) has been proposed by Ghisellini et al. (2010a; see also Volonteri et al. 2011; see Calderone et al. 2013).
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the comoving number density of SMBHs (with Volonteri et al. (2011) this idea was explored, and we showed that jets are simply not pointing at us. In Ghisellini et al. (2010a) and 450(Γ^2) (see also Ajello et al. 2009). This was somewhat surprising, since any confirmed high–redshift blazar there must exist other electromagnetic output of these sources. Ful jets, because the observational band is close to the peak of the MeV) observation are the most useful to find out the most power-protected population of radio loud AGNs. High redshift blazars can be used as a proxy of a much larger undetected population of radio loud AGNs. 

Beaming makes blazars a unique tool in assessing the number density of radio–quiet SMBH at high redshift. In fact, for the most luminous radio–quiet quasars (i.e. L_{opt} > 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}, thus powered by a black hole with M > 10^9 M_\odot in order to be sub–Eddington), have a corresponding density peaking at lower redshift, z ~ 2. SMBHs in radio–loud objects seem to be evolved earlier (and possibly faster) than their radio quiet counterparts, with a peak of activity when the Universe was ~1.5 Gyrs old (to be compared to ~3 Gyrs for radio–quiet AGNs). Such result may have deep consequences for our understanding of the cosmic evolution of black holes, and of their host galaxies.

However, the fact that the peak of activity of luminous blazars is observed at a relatively higher redshift compared to bright radio–quiet AGNs could be merely an artifact due to the limited bandpass of BAT. Many more blazars could exist at z ≤ 4, simply not detectable by BAT because their Compton emission peaks off the hard X–ray band. The obvious other band where a relativistic jet can emit most of its electromagnetic output is the γ–rays, probed by, e.g., Fermi/LAT (Atwood et al. 2009). In this paper we employ the recently published γ–ray luminosity function (Ajello et al. 2012) to investigate the existence of a large number of active blazars (hosting the most massive and active SMBHs) between 1 ≤ z ≤ 4, and discuss the possible consequences of our search.

2 HEAVY AND ACTIVE BLACK HOLES IN BLAZARS

2.1 Selection criteria

In high redshift (powerful) blazars the accretion disk component is directly visible, as the beamed synchrotron emission contributes mainly at lower frequencies. Most of the blazar luminosity is however emitted at even higher frequencies, chiefly in the hard X–ray and in the γ–ray bands. Thus, powerful blazars at high redshifts can be found by surveying these spectral windows.

Two all sky surveys in the hard X–rays (above 15 keV) have been recently carried out, by Swift/BAT (Ajello et al. 2009) and INTEGRAL (Beckmann et al. 2009), while in γ–rays band the Fermi/LAT survey (Ajello et al. 2012) is now available. Despite the limited sensitivity of all these instruments, it was possible to characterize the luminosity functions of blazars in both bands. This was done by Ajello et al. (2009) for BAT, and by Ajello et al. (2012) for LAT, and our considerations will rely on such two studies.

Our main goal is to obtain a fair estimate of the number density of the most massive SMBHs accreting at high rate, as a function of the cosmic time. We therefore identify the following two criteria to select heavy and actively accreting SMBHs:

(i) \( M > 10^9 M_\odot \)

(ii) \( (L_d/L_{Edd}) > 0.1 \).

Here \( L_d \) is the luminosity of the accretion disk, and \( L_{Edd} \) \( \simeq 1.3 \times 10^{47} M_9^{4/3} \) (L_{opt}) = 450(Γ^15)^2 sources sharing the same intrinsic properties, whose jets are simply not pointing at us. In Ghisellini et al. (2010a) and Volonteri et al. (2011) this idea was explored, and we showed that the comoving number density of SMBHs (with M > 10^9 M_\odot) powering Swift/BAT (Gehrels et al. 2004) blazars peaks at z ~ 4 (see also Ajello et al. 2009). This was somewhat surprising, since the 15–150 keV, but the study of Ajello et al. (2009) was restricted to the 15–55 keV energy band, to have a cleaner signal.

![Figure 1. LAT γ–ray luminosity \( L_\gamma \) (top panel) and the 15–55 keV BAT luminosity \( L_X \) vs. the disk luminosity in Eddington units of blazars. We show the values derived for all Fermi blazars at z > 2, and for the bright Fermi blazars detected during the first 3 months of operations. For BAT, we show all blazars in the Ajello et al. (2009) sample with z > 0.3. Different symbols correspond to different redshift bins (as labelled). When not indicated, the black hole mass is above one billion solar masses. Horizontal grey lines mark specific threshold luminosities as discussed in the main text.](image)
basis of any accretion disk emission (observable only in the most luminous objects). Therefore, we face the need to “translate” the above requirement on $L_d$ into a constraint on the non-thermal, hard X-ray through $\gamma$-ray luminosity of such objects. To this aim, we rely on our previous studies on BAT and LAT blazars (Ghisellini et al. 2009, 2010a, 2010b, 2011).

In Fig. 1 the K-corrected rest frame blazars luminosities $L_{\gamma}$ in the LAT and $L_X$ in the BAT bands (upper and lower panel, respectively) are shown as a function of $L_d/L_{\text{Edd}}$. The reported values for luminosities and masses are derived for all Fermi blazars above $z = 2$ in the 1LAC catalog (Abdo et al. 2010), and for all the bright Fermi blazars with redshift detected during the first 3 months of operations. For BAT, we show the values for all blazars in the Ajello et al. (2009) sample above $z = 0.3$ (colors coded according to different redshift bins as labelled).

For BAT blazars, a luminosity threshold $\log L_X > 46.7$ includes all heavy and active MBH, at all $z > 0.3$, with only one interloper and one outlier. Moreover, we note that all the BAT blazars at $z > 2$ are powered by heavy and active black holes, and all have $\log L_X > 47.2$. We then conclude that a fair, observationally motivated threshold luminosity to select heavy and active BAT blazars lies in between the two above mentioned values: $\log L_X > 46.7$ and $\log L_X > 47.2$.

For LAT blazars, a luminosity threshold $\log L_{\gamma} > 45$ selects preferentially heavy and active blazars as required, most at $z > 2$. With this choice we include, however, some blazars accreting at less than 10% Eddington while, on the other hand, we exclude some objects powered by heavy and active black holes (preferentially at $z < 2$). If we lower the luminosity divide by a factor of 3 $\log L_{\gamma} > 47.5$, we include all the latter sources, but also many non-active (in our sense) ones. By further decreasing the luminosity threshold we would include only lower mass and not so active blazars. We therefore conclude that the best luminosity threshold for LAT blazars lies in between the two values marked by the horizontal grey lines: $\log L_{\gamma} > 47.5$ and $\log L_X > 48$.

### 2.2 Swift/BAT blazars

Ajello et al. (2009) estimated the hard [15–55 keV] X-ray luminosity function (LF) of blazars observed by BAT during the first 3 years of the Swift mission. Because of its primary scientific goal (i.e., observations of Gamma Ray Bursts), BAT covered the entire sky homogeneously, with a sensitivity slightly better than 1 mCrab. After classifying all the detected sources (excluding the Galactic plane, $|b| \geq 15^\circ$), we can count 38 blazars. Among them, 5 lie between $2 < z < 3$, while 5 at $z > 3$. In Ghisellini et al. (2010a), we have studied all the 10 BAT blazars at $z > 2$, finding that all of them host a black hole with a mass exceeding $10^9 M_\odot$, and all emit $\log L_X > 47.2$. The associated accretion disks produce a average luminosity $(L_d/L_{\text{Edd}}) \sim 0.3$ (see Fig. 1 in Volonteri et al. 2011). On these basis, we could calculate the expected density of blazars powered by active and heavy black holes as a function of $z$, integrating the LF above $\log L_X = 46.7$, and above $\log L_X = 47.2$.

Some extra care in estimating the LF at $z \sim 4$ was needed, since no blazars was detected by BAT beyond such redshift. At variance with Ajello et al. (2009), we then decided to introduce an exponential cut-off on the luminosity evolution of blazars above $z = 4$, in order to derive a number density at the same time conservative (predicting the minimum number of blazars) and consistent with the very few blazars observed by other X-ray satellites at $z > 4$ (see Fig. 16 in Ghisellini et al. 2010a). The resulting expected density of blazars powered by heavy and active black holes is shown in Fig. 2 as a red stripe.

### 2.3 Fermi/LAT blazars

Also Fermi/LAT detected several blazars at $z > 2$. There are 31 blazars with $z > 2$ in the 2LAC catalog (Ackermann et al. 2011), which refers to the first 2 years of operations. The redshift distributions of blazars resulting from the two surveys (BAT and LAT) are rather different since, despite the fact that the total number of LAT blazars is substantially larger than the one of BAT, only a couple were detected by Fermi at $z > 3$.

In our previous works (Ghisellini et al. 2009; 2010b; 2011) we have shown that all LAT blazars with $\log L_{\gamma} > 48$ host black holes with masses $\gtrsim 10^9 M_\odot$ accreting at relatively large rates, $(L_d/L_{\text{Edd}}) \sim 0.1$, although, on average, smaller than the $z > 2$ BAT blazars $(L_d/L_{\text{Edd}}) \sim 0.3$.

Ajello et al. (2012) derived the luminosity function of blazars detected by Fermi–LAT. Overall, the $\gamma$–ray LF evolves quite rapidly up to $z \simeq 1.5 - 2$, and declines at larger redshifts. Integrating the LF above $\log L_{\gamma} = 47.5$ (log $L_{\gamma} > 48$) gives the the number density of heavy and active LAT blazars shown as the upper (lower) green curve in Fig. 2.

### 3 RESULTS

Fig. 2 encompasses several important pieces of information about the evolution of heavy and active black holes. The comoving number density of jetted sources matching our selection criteria ($M > 10^9 M_\odot$ and $L_d/L_{\text{Edd}} > 0.1$) is shown as a function of redshift. We assumed that for each observed blazar there are 450 other misaligned sources not pointing at us, and thus undetectable. This means that we assumed an average value of 15 for the bulk Lorentz factor of the jet in such objects, consistent with the results of the extensive SED model fitting procedure performed by Ghisellini et al. (2010b).

The redshift distribution of LAT blazars (green curves and stripe) seems to peak at relatively later epoch, $z \sim 1 - 2$, while BAT blazars (red curves and stripe) show a different behavior, with a peak at $z \sim 4$ (the sharpness of the peak may be an artifact of our conservative approach that introduced an exponential cut-off at $z > 4$). The combined redshift distribution reveals that the number density of blazars still peaks at a high redshift, $z \sim 4$, or alternatively stays approximatively constant in the range $1 \leq z \leq 4$ if one takes $\log L_X = 47.2$ and $\log L_{\gamma} = 47.5$ as luminosity thresholds for the BAT and LAT samples, respectively. Note how the density of BAT blazars changes more (by decreasing the limiting $L_X$) than the density of LAT blazars. Whatever the limiting luminosities are, Fig. 2 clearly shows that LAT blazars are in any case not numerous enough to shift the peak of black hole main activity at more recent epochs. We conclude that the heaviest black holes with jets formed by $z \geq 4$.

We then compared the number density of blazars with the number density of powerful radio-quiet QSOs. To this aim, we integrated the bolometric LF derived from the SDSS survey by Hopkins et al. (2007), above three minimum luminosities, namely

---

2 Luminosities are intended in erg s$^{-1}$, unless otherwise specified.

3 We have also studied the BAT blazars with $0.3 < z < 2$ shown in Fig. 2. Details about the model results will be presented elsewhere.
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log $L_{\text{bol}} = 46, 46.5, 47$, as shown in Fig. 2 (blue curves, from top to bottom). We note that, according to Richards et al. (2006), the bolometric luminosity is approximately twice as large as the accretion disk luminosity (see also Calderone et al. 2013). Correspondingly, the three luminosity thresholds translate into log $L_d = 45.7, 46.2, 46.7$. For a black hole of mass $M = 10^9 M_\odot$, the corresponding three Eddington ratios are then 0.04, 0.12 and 0.4. Note that such limits are less tight than those we applied to X-ray and $\gamma$-ray samples, since we allow for Eddington ratios smaller than 0.1, and/or black holes lighter than $10^9 M_\odot$. Our goal here is, in fact, a conservative assessment of the relative occurrence of jetted AGNs compared to the entire AGN population.

Results of the integration of the Hopkins et al. (2007) LF show that the number density of powerful radio-quiet QSOs peaks at $z \approx 2-2.5$. By decreasing the luminosity threshold, the number density increases, and the peak of the redshift distribution shifts to slightly smaller redshifts. The evidence we can gather from our combined analysis of hard X-rays, $\gamma$-rays and optical AGN surveys suggests that two different epochs for the growth of SMBHs exist, according to the presence/absence of relativistic jets. If the jet is present, the heaviest black holes grow by $z \approx 4$, while the growth of heavy non-jetted black holes is delayed at $z \approx 2$.

The grey stripe in Fig. 2 indicates the number density of haloes exceeding a given threshold mass, defined as the minimum halo mass to host a $M > 10^9 M_\odot$ black hole (Ghisellini et al. 2010a). This can be regarded as a fiducial upper limit to the possible density of $10^9 M_\odot$ black holes at high redshifts. As already discussed, even in the limiting case of luminosity thresholds log $L_X > 47.2$ and log $L_\gamma > 47.5$, the redshift distribution of the heavy black holes in jetted-AGNs would be quasi-flat, very different from radio-quiet QSOs. This very fact bears the important consequence that the radio-loud fraction of powerful AGNs is a strong function of redshift or, in other words, that heavy and active black holes are more and more associated with jets as the redshift increases.

4 DISCUSSION

The main result of our study is that the most massive black holes in the high redshift Universe were more likely associated with relativistic jets. Next we will discuss whether this implies the preference for jets to be created in more massive systems, or if rather supports the opposite, namely that the presence of a jet helps the black hole to grow faster. We have also shown that the chance for an active and heavy black hole to launch a jet is greatly reduced at later times ($z \sim 2$), i.e., the AGN is more likely quiet in the radio band.

4.1 Accretion driven spin-up

The common presence of jetted SMBHs at high redshift qualitatively fits in the global model for mass and spin evolution recently presented by Volonteri et al. (2012).

Sustained accretion grows the most massive SMBHs at early times, i.e., the mass of the most active SMBHs is larger at high redshifts ($z \gtrsim 4$). The result of the massive, coherent accretion triggered by gas-rich major mergers is a rapid spin-up of the hole, with a spin parameter always close to maximum for the most active, most massive SMBHs powering quasars. If these high spins are required to launch jets, then there should be plenty of them at early epochs, including jets associated with the most massive black holes. By $z \lesssim 2$, instead, the most massive galaxies become poorer in gas. This has two effects. One, SMBH–SMBH mergers occurring in a gas-poor galaxy are not expected to have any preferential symmetry or alignment (Bogdanovic et al. 2007), and such mergers lead to a typical spin value of 0.6–0.7 (Berti & Volonteri 2008). Two, in gas-poor galaxies lacking central nuclear discs, accretion occurs in a more chaotic fashion, making the distribution of spins less skewed towards high values. This shallower distribution translates into a reduced ratio of radio-loud to radio-quiet objects, including the sources with the most massive black holes.

4.2 High accretion rates in jetted disks

In an alternative scenario the jet itself is a key cause determining the global accretion properties of the system, rather than a mere effect of massive coherent accretion, as discussed above.

In a radiatively efficient accretion flow the gravitational energy of the matter accreting at a rate $M$ is transformed into heat, and then into radiation. The disk luminosity is $L_d = \eta_d M c^2$, where $\eta_d \approx 0.1$ is the efficiency of converting mass into radiation.
ley & Kuncic (2008) and Jolley et al. (2009) suggested that part of the dissipation of gravitational energy can lead to amplification of magnetic field in the disk, rather than to heat production. The amplified magnetic field may drive different phenomena observed in AGNs, specifically the formation of i) a X-ray emitting, hot corona, ii) a fast, yet sub–relativistic outflow, iii) a genuinely relativistic jet (e.g. Sikora & Begelman 2013). Therefore a fraction of the available gravitational energy can be transformed in mechanical energy, besides radiation. As a result, the accreting matter attains a smaller local temperature compared to standard disk models, because less energy is dissipated through radiation. It is then possible that radiative losses in the form of thermal optical–UV emission are comparatively modest, while a sizeable fraction of the available gravitational energy goes instead to power the corona and/or an outflow.

In this picture, radio–loud AGNs can share with radio–quiet AGNs hot X–ray coronae and fast (yet sub–relativistic) outflows, but in addition the accretion–driven magnetic field can be used to directly launch a jet, or for allowing the extraction of the rotational energy from the black hole. In other words: the use of gravitational energy to amplify disk magnetic fields is not necessarily alternative to the Blandford & Znajek (1977) mechanism, since also in this process a relatively large magnetic field is required to tap the hole spin energy. Jets could receive their power both from the accretion and from the black hole spin.

The partial transformation of the gravitational energy into magnetic fields that help to launch the jet is not accompanied by a significant amount of mass that is accelerated: in other words, a fraction of the gravitational energy is transported outwards by a small amount of mass. In this case, the efficiency \( \eta_d \) defining the disk luminosity is smaller than the standard value and the Eddington limit is reached for larger \( M \).

One can define a global efficiency \( \eta \) that is the sum of two terms, following Jolley & Kuncic (2008; see also Shankar et al. 2008), one for the generated magnetic field, and the other for the disk luminosity:

\[
\eta \equiv \eta_d + \eta_d.
\]

Disks can be maintained (relatively) cold through the non–thermal dissipation of the gravitational energy mediated by the amplification of magnetic fields. These magnetized disks can sustain large accretion rates before becoming super–Eddington in view of their reduced temperature. As a clear consequence, the black hole grows faster than its radio–quiet counterpart, doubling its mass on a Salpeter (1964) time, which is now:

\[
t_S = \frac{M}{\dot{M}} = \frac{\eta_d}{1 - \eta} \frac{\sigma v_c^3}{4\pi G M c} \frac{L_{\text{Edd}}}{L_d} \approx 450 \left( \frac{\eta_d}{1 - \eta} \right) \left( \frac{L_{\text{Edd}}}{L_d} \right) \text{Myr.} \tag{2}
\]

The time \( t_9 \) needed to form a \( 10^9 M_\odot \) black hole accreting always at the Eddington limit, starting from a seed of \( 10^6 M_\odot \), is

\[
t_9 \sim 806 \frac{\eta_d/0.1}{(1 - \eta)/0.9} \text{Myr} \tag{3}
\]

If accretion starts (ideally) at infinite redshift, then \( t_9 = 806 \text{ Myr} \) corresponds to \( z = 6.6 \) in a standard \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology. If the accretion process starts at \( z = 20 \) (when the Universe was 0.18 Gyr old) and \( \eta = \eta_d = 0.1 \), then a SMBH reaches one billion solar masses at the redshift corresponding to an age of the Universe of (0.18 Gyr + \( t_9 \)), corresponding to \( z = 5.7 \). This can be read–off in Fig. 3 following the lower dot–dashed line. If we assume the same initial mass and redshift, but a total efficiency \( \eta = 0.1 \), equally shared between \( \eta_d = \eta_d = 0.05 \), then \( t_9 \) halves and we obtain (0.18 Gyr + \( t_9 \)) = 0.4 Gyr, corresponding to \( z = 8.4 \). The same black hole grows much faster.

\[\text{Figure 3. The mass of a black hole accreting at the Eddington rate as a function of time (bottom axis) and redshift (top axis). Accretion starts at } z = 20 \text{ onto a black hole seed of } 10^6 M_\odot, 10^7 M_\odot \text{ or } 10^8 M_\odot, \text{ with different efficiencies, as labeled. The horizontal line marks one billion solar masses. The larger } \eta_d, \text{ the smaller the amount of accreted mass needed to produce a given luminosity, and the longer the black hole growing time. If part of the accretion energy goes into launching a jet, however, } \eta_d < \eta \text{ and the growth time decreases.} \]

4.2.1 Jets and spinning black holes

The presence of jets may be associated to rapidly spinning black holes, since their rotational energy can be an important source of power for the jet (Blandford & Znajek 1977; Tchekhovskoy, Narayan& McKinney 2011) and the angular momentum of the hole naturally identifies the jet direction. In fact, it has been proposed that the dichotomy between radio–loud and quiet AGNs might be associated to the spin value (e.g. Wilson & Colbert 1995; Sikora, Stawarz & Lasota 2007).

Rapidly spinning black holes are characterized by high accretion efficiencies, since the innermost stable orbit for prograde accretion approaches the gravitational radius \( R_e \equiv GM/c^2 \). Thorne (1974) pointed out that, through accretion, the black hole achieves a maximum (dimensionless) spin \( a = 0.998 \). It fails to achieve the maximum value \( a = 1 \) because of the counteracting torque of the accretion disk radiation captured by the black hole. With \( a = 0.998 \), the total efficiency is \( \eta = 0.3 \) (Thorne 1974). Having a larger \( \eta \), rapidly rotating black holes can thus be more efficient in producing radiation with respect to non–spinning holes. As \( \eta_d \) increases, a given disk luminosity corresponds to a lower overall accretion rate, \( M = L_d/(\eta_d c^2) \). For a fixed luminosity, therefore, a black hole accretes less matter and therefore it will need more time to grow, according to Eq. 2. If \( \eta_d = 0.3 \) (and \( \eta_d = 0 \)) from the start of the accretion process (set at \( z = 20 \)) then (0.18 Gyr + \( t_9 \)) = 3.3
As radio–loud sources. Consequently, black holes at high redshift are not observed, only in a larger gas–rich galaxy. We then expect most of the heavy and active black holes at $z \sim 3$. However, at lower redshift galaxies have less cold gas available for black hole accretion. Still, the possible presence of a jet helps a rapid, vigorous mass accretion, in a gas–rich galaxy. We then expect most of the heavy and active black holes at $z \lesssim 4$ to be observed as radio–loud sources.

On the contrary, at lower redshift galaxies have less cold gas available for black hole accretion. Still, the possible presence of the jet reduces the disk efficiency $\eta_d$, but this does not translate in a larger $\dot M$, simply because the gas reservoir is limited. As a consequence, black holes at $z \lesssim 2$ do not grow faster even when they have a jet, and the relative density of radio–loud to radio–quiet AGN with heavy black holes is smaller than at $z \sim 4$.
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