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Abstract

Background: The experience of cancer is highly stressful and potentially traumatic. We assessed the presence of Post-Traumatic Stress Symptoms (PTSS) in long-term cancer survivors and their caregivers, while examining the association between PTSS and clinical, demographic and psychological variables in the long term.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 212 survivor-family caregiver dyads completed measures of post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) (Impact of Event Scale), depression and anxiety (Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale). Coping strategies, fatigue, cognitive decline, stressful life events and psychopathological history were also assessed among survivors. Data were analyzed using mixed models, accounting both for individual and dyadic effects.

Results: Cancer survivors and their caregivers were assessed after a mean of 6 years after treatment. Twenty per cent of survivors and 35.5% of caregivers had possible posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), while 23 patients (11.0%) and 33 caregivers (15.6%) had probable PTSD. Among cancer patients, the severity of post-traumatic symptoms was associated with an anxious coping style, previous psychopathology and depression ($p < 0.001$), whereas among caregivers it was associated with depression and having a closer relationship with patients ($p < 0.001$). Patients’ depression was associated with caregivers’ intrusion symptoms.

Conclusions: High levels of cancer-related PTSS were still present several years after treatment in both survivors and caregivers. Psychopathology may derive from complex interactions among coping, previous disorders and between-person dynamics.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Cancer brings a significant psychological burden, ranging from adjustment difficulties to anxiety, depression or even Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). The psychological consequences of cancer affect both patients and their caregivers, who also frequently develop clinically meaningful disorders. Disorders may derive from witnessing sufferance in a loved one as well as enduring multiple lifestyle and psychosocial upheavals. It is increasingly recognized that such phenomena are not limited to the immediate period after cancer diagnosis, but may outlast the remission phase even for years. Cancer, in fact, is becoming a chronic disorder, with the rates of long-term survivors increasing worldwide. Despite these premises, most research on cancer-related psychopathology is limited to the initial stages of cancer management, and has generally overlooked the reciprocal interactions between patients’ and caregivers’ symptoms. Few studies examined trauma-related psychopathology among cancer survivors. Shelby et al. reported that 12% of 1,083 long-term survivors of breast cancer were diagnosed with PTSD. PTSD significantly reduced the quality of life of patients and caused significant distress even in their offspring. Shelby et al. observed that 10%–20% of cancer survivors presented with sub-syndromal levels of PTSD also termed Post-Traumatic Stress Symptoms (PTSS), which were also sufficient to determine a significant reduction of the quality of life. Among cancer survivors, PTSD or PTSS may display a prevalence ranging from 7.3% to 13.8%, according to a standard operational scoring system or diagnostic criteria, respectively. Data on PTSD/PTSS are particularly scarce among caregivers of cancer patients (usually spouses, parents or siblings). Relatives of cancer patients, in fact, are elective recipients of distressing, or even traumatic experiences of a life-threatening disease. They are most frequently endowed with the caregiver role during and after treatment, and are chronically exposed to the uncertainty of the evolution of the illness, as well as to the psychosocial and economic impact of cancer and day-to-day management. Most studies examined the experience of caregivers in the acute phase of treatment or in the first months after diagnosis. Surprisingly, they suggested that caregivers might suffer similar or even higher rates of PTSD than patients. To date, the majority of research on long-term survivors has focused on family members and survivors of paediatric cancers. Here, the prevalence of clinically significant PTSS ranged from 4.4% to 78% and those of PTSD from 0% to 34.8%. Knowledge on relatives of adult cancer survivors is even more scarce: to our knowledge, only a recent study examined 93 long-term survivors of head and neck cancer and their partners, reporting that 15.4% of patients and 12.8% of partners could be diagnosed with PTSD, while an additional 33.3% of patients and 25.7% of partners displayed significant PTSS. There were no significant differences in the severity of PTSS between patients and their partners. Despite the lack of available studies, it can be hypothesized that both PTSS and PTSD are a significant cause of distress for cancer survivors and their caregivers, and may have an impact that is comparable to other symptoms, such as depression. Given these premises, the purpose of this study was to investigate the prevalence, correlates of post-traumatic symptoms in a sample of long-term cancer survivors. Considering the importance of the relationship between patients and caregivers, we also aimed at examining patient-caregiver dyadic symptom interactions, as they may highlight specific, individual contributions. In particular, our aims were: (i) to estimate the prevalence of post-traumatic symptoms among survivors and caregivers; (ii) to identify their psychological, clinical and sociodemographic correlates, and (iii) to examine the relationship between the facets of post-traumatic symptoms (intrusion, avoidance and anxiety) while accounting for their interdependence in the members of the dyad.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participants and procedure

This study focused on a sample of cancer survivors (n = 212) and their family caregivers (n = 212). Between 2011 and 2017, 300 potential participants included in the database of the “free of cancer” program of our Institute (Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori IRST IRCCS) were contacted during the follow-up visit at our institute or by phone/email and were invited to participate in the study with their family caregivers. Of these, 279 (93%) patients agreed to participate, but a family caregiver was identified and accepted the invitation in only 212 (76%) cases. All 212 patient-relative dyads took part in a 1-hour face-to-face interview conducted by the same clinical psychologist (S.D.), during which medical and psychosocial data were collected and a series of questionnaires administered.

Eligibility criteria for LCS were age >18 years, continuous disease-free status after treatment for a prior cancer, a minimum of 5 years’ follow-up after treatment completion and a good understanding of the Italian language. Patients were required to give written informed consent. Family caregivers were selected by asking each survivor to identify a relative who had been most involved in the disease experience and follow-up. Inclusion criteria for family caregivers...
were nomination by the cancer survivor, age >18 years, and Italian-speaking. All provided written informed consent. Exclusion criteria for both groups were poor literacy, cognitive deficit or psychiatric disorder.

Given that there are various definitions of the concept of cancer survivorship, we used a distinct clinical meaning of the term ‘survivor’ referring to individuals who have had a life-threatening disease, but have remained disease-free for a minimum of 5 years.26,27 Patients and caregivers who agreed to participate in the study underwent informed consent procedures in accordance with Institutional guidelines. They were then administered a one-time survey using standardized questionnaire measures. Survivors and caregivers were asked to complete the survey separately and not to consult each other with regard to their answers. The participants were asked multiple-choice questions on psychopathological history: disorder type (anxiety disorder, depression, sleep disturbances, etc.), period of life in which it arose, duration, possible pharmacological and/or psychotherapeutic intervention and stressful life events. The study was approved by IRST’s Ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. A signed informed consent was provided by all participants.

2.2 Measures

A set of psychometric tools was administered to each patient-relative dyad to assess PTSS and other symptoms, including anxiety and depression. Patients and relatives were assessed with the Impact of Events Scale (IES) and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS).

The IES28 is a 15-item tool rating the severity of PTSS on a 6-point Likert scale (0 = not at all to 5 = often). The scale consists of two subscales, intrusion (7 items, score range 0–35) and avoidance (8 items, score range 0–40). It has been widely used in cancer settings,29,30 and validated in Italian.31 The IES-total score (range 0–75) was used as an index of PTSS severity: ≥35 (severe), 26–34 (moderate) and <26 (mild).

The HADS32 was used to assess the severity of anxiety and depression symptoms. The HADS is a 14-item questionnaire, validated in Italian,33 which is frequently employed in both cancer34 and general population35 settings. It consists of two subscales, depression (HADS-D, 7 items) and anxiety (HADS-A, 7 items). Recently, it was noted the items of the anxiety subscale largely assess symptoms related to restlessness, panic and fright, thus we used its score as an index of hyperarousal.36 Each item is scored on a four-point scale (from 0 = not present, to 3 = considerable), with scores for each subscale ranging from 0 to 21. A cut-off score ≥8 for either subscale has been commonly employed to detect clinically significant anxiety and depression symptoms in cancer patients.35

To estimate the prevalence of PTSD we relied on multiple criteria. Used as a screening tool, an IES total score equal or greater than 35 was shown to optimize sensitivity (0.89) and specificity (0.94) for a diagnosis of PTSD assessed with DSM-IV criteria.37,38 However, while the IES provides a measure of avoidance and intrusion symptoms, it does not assess hyperarousal, which is required by DSM-IV. Hence, we used this definition (IES score ≥35) to estimate the prevalence of possible cases of PTSD. In addition, to increase specificity we combined this criteria with the presence of hyperarousal, indexed by the HADS-A, to estimate the prevalence of probable PTSD.

Adjustment to cancer was assessed with the Mini-Mental Adjustment to Cancer (Mini-MAC) questionnaire. The Mini-MAC39 in its validated Italian version40 has also been used in LCS to assess patients’ cognitive and behavioural attitudes towards cancer. As already done in other studies,41 two subscales on a 1–4 Likert scale (1 = it definitely does not apply to me; 4 = it definitely applies to me) were used to assess maladaptive coping, namely hopelessness-helplessness (Mini-MAC/H, 8 items, measuring adrift and impotence, with consequent, withdrawing from social life and professional activity); and anxious preoccupation (Mini-MAC/AP, items), indicative of disease-related fear and perceiving disease as a worrying, uncontrolled, and threatening phenomenon.

To identify pre-existing or current stressors that may have contributed to survivor PTSS, patients were asked a single-item question, “Are there any other stresses in your life that you find as stressful as the experience of cancer?” (YES/NO response). Caregivers were asked to express their perceptions of the survivor’s health with a single-item question on how they would rate the health of their relative. The item was adapted from the Italian version of the Short-Form-36 Health Survey Questionnaire42 and used a five-level rating (excellent, very good, good, fair, poor).

2.3 Statistical analysis

First, we reported descriptive data relative to sociodemographic, clinical and psychometric characteristics of the sample. Continuous variables were summarized as mean values and standard deviations, whereas absolute (i.e. counts) and relative (i.e. percentages) frequencies were reported for categorical variables. The total number of missing values for each variable, if present, was also shown.

Second, we compared patients and caregivers sociodemographic and clinical features, using paired t-tests for continuous variables and Cohen’s kappa test for categorical variables. We also assessed the level of feature independence for each shared variable using Pearson pairwise
correlation for continuous variables and Cohen’s kappa for categorical variables, as recommended when examining distinguishable dyads.43

Third, we examined the associations between symptom scores using pairwise Pearson correlations.

Fourth, we examined the associations between sociodemographic and clinical factors and clinical domains of PTSD, within the patient and caregiver groups, using three multiple linear regression models with intrusion, avoidance and anxiety scores as the dependent variables. These analyses were performed with SPSS, 23.0 version.

Fifth, we examined the association between clinical symptoms accounting for the interdependence of dyad members’ data. To this end, we run separate Actor-Partner Interdependence Models (APIM),44 based on multilevel analyses, using the web-based Shiny interactive app built on the dyadR package for R.43,45 In particular, three multilevel APIMs were employed to examine the patient/caregiver distinguishable association between depression (used as the predictor) and intrusion, avoidance and anxiety (used as the dependent variables). One additional APIM was run to examine the association between intrusion and anxiety (used as predictors) and avoidance (used as the dependent variable). For all analyses, the $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level was adopted. The detailed models’ outputs are reported in the supplement.

3  |  RESULTS

3.1  |  Sociodemographic, clinical and psychological characteristics

A sample of 212 cancer survivors and 212 family caregivers were interviewed after 5–19 years (mean $6 \pm 4$ years, the median time of remission = 6 years) cancer treatment had ended. Primary cancer sites were breast (35.8%), testicle (21.2%), whereas the remaining sites included colorectal, gastric, gynaecological, kidney, prostate and bladder cancer (42.9%). The most common treatment regimens were surgery alone (25.9%) and a combination of chemotherapy or hormone therapy with other treatments (42%), while 32.1% of patients underwent radiation therapy alone or in combination with other therapies. Almost all of the participants had non-metastatic cancer (92.3%) and no disease recurrence (90%). Half of the patients (52.9%) had one or more comorbidities (Table 1).

Patient history taking revealed that 89 (42%) cases had suffered from at least one psychopathological condition such as anxiety or depression for 12 months or longer before the diagnosis of cancer, while 22 (10.3%) reported psychopathological disorders lasting less than 6 months ($n = 13, 6.1\%$) or between 6 and 12 months ($n = 9, 4.2\%$). Among those who experienced the problems before diagnosis ($n = 111, 52.3\%$), 43 (38.7%) were treated with psychopharmaceuticals and 25 (22.5%) underwent psychotherapy. The majority of subjects ($n = 165, 79.3\%$) reported stressful situations at different times (before, during or after the illness).

The mean age of cancer survivors was 59 years (standard deviation [SD] = 14.9 years), while the mean age of family caregivers was 57.5 years (SD = 14.5; $p = 0.202$). Most family members were spouses or partners (67.1%), 10.5% were parents and 22.4% were siblings, children or other relatives. As expected, most sociodemographic factors were interdependent between patients and caregivers (Pearson and Cohen kappa $p < 0.05$).

3.2  |  PTSS and psychosocial variables

Paired t-test and Pearson’s correlations were used to compare the symptom severity between survivors and caregivers, and results are reported in Table 2. A significant difference as well as interdependence between patients and caregivers were observed regarding PTSS symptoms of avoidance (t-test $p = 0.001; R = 0.285$) and intrusion (t-test $p < 0.001; R = 0.264$), but not anxiety. Depression displayed a significant correlation ($R = 0.150, p = 0.038$) but not a significant difference between survivors and caregivers.

The prevalence of symptoms and disorders across patients and caregivers, and their relative interdependence, are reported in Table 3. On the basis of the defined cut-off values of the total IES scores, 20.0% of survivors and 35.5% of caregivers had possible PTSD, while 23 patients (11.0%) and 33 caregivers (15.6%) had probable PTSD. Moreover, interdependence, as measured by Cohen’s $k$, appears to be significant for mild and severe PTSS ($k = 0.236$ and $k = 0.161$) and depression ($k = 0.144$), but not for anxiety.

3.3  |  Pairwise correlations between symptom scores

Among each group of members of the dyad, the severity of avoidance and intrusion were strongly intercorrelated ($R = 0.68$ among survivors and $R = 0.75$ among caregivers), as were anxiety and depression ($R = 0.72$ among survivors and $R = 0.64$ among caregivers), as displayed in Table 4. Among survivors, significant intercorrelations were also detected between all other pairs of symptoms. Whereas among caregivers, depression, but not anxiety, correlated significantly with avoidance or intrusion. The correlations of the same type of symptoms across members of the dyad (e.g. survivor’s avoidance and caregiver’s avoidance) were weaker, but statistically significant ($R$ between 0.15 and 0.29) with the exception of anxiety, which was not significant.
TABLE 1  Socio-demographic and clinical data of survivors and caregivers

|                     | Survivors no. (%) | Caregivers no. (%) | Statistics |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Mean age, years (SD)| 59.3 (14.8)       | 57.5 (14.45)       | $R = 0.42; p < 0.001$ |
| Unknown             | 1                 | 2                  |            |
| Gender              |                   |                    | Cohen $K p < 0.001$ |
| Male                | 88 (41.5)         | 93 (43.9)          |            |
| Female              | 124 (58.5)        | 119 (56.1)         |            |
| Education           |                   |                    | Cohen $K p < 0.001$ |
| Primary school      | 24 (11.4)         | 36 (17.0)          |            |
| Middle school       | 81 (38.4)         | 83 (39.2)          |            |
| High school         | 53 (25.1)         | 54 (25.5)          |            |
| University          | 53 (25.1)         | 39 (18.4)          |            |
| Unknown             | 1                 | -                  |            |
| Employment status   |                   |                    | Cohen $K p < 0.001$ |
| Currently working   | 79 (37.6)         | 84 (39.6)          |            |
| Retired             | 105 (50.0)        | 91 (42.9)          |            |
| Other               | 26 (12.4)         | 37 (17.5)          |            |
| Unknown             | 2                 | -                  |            |
| Marital status      |                   |                    | Cohen $K p < 0.001$ |
| Single              | 21 (10.0)         | 18 (8.5)           |            |
| In a relationship   | 168 (79.6)        | 180 (84.9)         |            |
| Divorced/widowed    | 22 (10.4)         | 14 (6.6)           |            |
| Unknown             | 1                 |                    |            |
| Children            |                   |                    |            |
| Yes                 | 44 (20.9)         |                    |            |
| No                  | 167 (79.1)        |                    |            |
| Unknown             | 1                 |                    |            |
| Family caregivers   |                   |                    |            |
| Parent              |                    | 22 (10.5)          |            |
| Spouse/partner      |                    | 141 (67.1)         |            |
| Other               |                    | 47 (22.4)          |            |
| Unknown             |                    | 2                  |            |
| Mean time since remission, years (SD) | 6.1 (2.5) | | |
| Tumour location     |                   |                    |            |
| Testicle            | 45 (21.2)         |                    |            |
| Breast              | 76 (35.8)         |                    |            |
| Other               | 91 (42.9)         |                    |            |
| Treatment           |                   |                    |            |
| Surgery             | 55 (25.9)         |                    |            |
| CT or HT + other treatment | 89 (42.0) | | |
| RT + other treatment| 68 (32.1)         |                    |            |
| Metastasis          |                   |                    |            |
| Yes                 | 16 (7.7)          |                    |            |
| No                  | 193 (92.3)        |                    |            |
| Unknown             | 3                 |                    |            |

(Continues)
3.4 Survivors’ and caregivers’ PTSS

We examined the effects of sociodemographic and clinical variables on the severity of each facet of PTSS, among patients and caregivers separately (Table 5). Among patients, avoidance symptoms were associated with the tendency of displaying an anxious coping style ($R^2 = 12.8\%, F = 3.939, p < 0.001$). The intrusion was negatively associated with previous episodes of psychopathology and with anxious coping style scores ($R^2 = 22.4\%$).
Anxiety was negatively associated with age, female gender, previous episodes of psychopathology, depression and anxious coping style ($R^2 = 68.6\%$; $F = 44.654; p < 0.001$).

Among caregivers, greater avoidance symptoms were associated with a closer relationship with the patient (being spouse or offspring vs. other relationship) and with higher severity of depression ($R^2 = 9.9\%; F = 4.478; p < 0.001$). Intrusion was only associated with higher depression severity ($R^2 = 6.9\%; F = 3.346; p = 0.004$); anxiety was associated with both the gender of the caregiver, being it worse when the caregiver is female, and depression severity ($R^2 = 47.1\%; F = 29.034; p < 0.001$).

### 3.5 Actor-Partner Interdependence Models

We run four Actor-Partner Interdependence Models (APIM) to examine the association between different facets of PTSD and depression, while accounting for the interdependence of symptoms between members of the dyad. The APIM identified 184 dyads not presenting any missing value. The APIM examining the association between depression and avoidance revealed only significant, small-sized actor effects (patients standardized effect: 0.199, $p = 0.006$, overall $R^2 = 0.032$; caregivers: 0.247, $p = 0.003$, $R^2 = 0.056$), while partner effects for both patients and caregivers were not significant. Results of the analysis supported that members of the dyad could be statistically distinguished. The correlation among members ignoring predictors was small (0.338) and explained 1.69% of the total nonindependence. The APIM examining the association between depression and intrusion revealed significant, albeit small-sized actor effects (patients standardized effect: 0.266, $p < 0.001$, overall $R^2 = 0.069$; caregivers: 0.198, $p = 0.017$, $R^2 = 0.064$; Figure 1). The partner effects for patients to caregivers was also significant (standardized effect: 0.164, $p = 0.040$), while that of caregivers to patients was not. Results of the analysis supported that members of the dyad could be statistically distinguished. The correlation between members ignoring predictors was small (0.332) and the model explained 15.2% of the total nonindependence. The APIM examining the association among intrusion, anxiety and avoidance symptoms explained a consistent part of the variance of avoidance (patients $R^2 = 0.464$; caregivers $R^2 = 0.555$). The model revealed that intrusion had significant, large actor effects (patients standardized effect: 0.69, $p < 0.001$, overall $R^2 = 0.494$; caregivers: 0.569, $p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.298$). Whereas, the partner effects were not significant. Results supported that members of the dyad could be statistically distinguished. The correlation between members ignoring predictors was small (0.106) and the model explained 77.9% of the total nonindependence. The correlation among members ignoring predictors was small (0.332) and the model explained 15.2% of the total nonindependence. The correlation among members ignoring predictors was small (0.332) and the model explained 15.2% of the total nonindependence. The correlation between members ignoring predictors was small (0.332) and the model explained 15.2% of the total nonindependence. The correlation between members ignoring predictors was small (0.332) and the model explained 15.2% of the total nonindependence.

| TABLE 3 | PTSS as total IES by category |
|---|---|---|
| Survivors | Caregivers |
| Mild PTSS (IES ≤ 24) | 93 (44.1) | 68 (32.2) | 0.236* |
| Moderate PTSS (IES: 25-34) | 75 (35.7) | 68 (32.2) | 0.044 |
| Severe PTSS /possible PTSD (IES ≥ 35) | 42 (20.0) | 75 (35.5) | 0.161* |
| Depression (HADS-D ≥ 8) | 42 (20.1) | 31 (16.1) | 0.144* |
| Anxiety/Arousal (HADS-A ≥ 8) | 62 (29.7) | 63 (32.6) | 0.097 |
| Probable PTSD (HADS-A ≥ 8 and IES ≥ 35) | 23 (11.0) | 33 (15.6) | 0.179* |

Abbreviations: IES, Impact of Event Scale; PTSS, Post-Traumatic Stress Symptoms.

*p < 0.05.

$F = 6.783; p < 0.001)$. Anxiety was negatively associated with age, female gender, previous episodes of psychopathology, depression and anxious coping style ($R^2 = 68.6\%; F = 44.654; p < 0.001$).

| TABLE 4 | Pearson’s correlations between PTSS and depression in patients and caregivers |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Avoidance | Intrusion | Anxiety | Depression |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Survivor | | | | |
| Avoidance | 1 |
| Intrusion | 0.678 | 1 |
| Anxiety | 0.259 | 0.324 | 1 |
| Depression | 0.151 | 0.212 | 0.724 | 1 |
| Caregiver | | | | |
| Avoidance | 0.285 | 0.175 | 0.074 | 0.099 | 1 |
| Intrusion | 0.258 | 0.264 | 0.151 | 0.166 | 0.748 | 1 |
| Anxiety | 0.031 | 0.008 | 0.100 | 0.143 | 0.129 | 0.127 | 1 |
| Depression | 0.023 | 0.007 | 0.155 | 0.150 | 0.284 | 0.240 | 0.640 | 1 |
TABLE 5  Factors associated with survivor and caregiver symptoms

| Survivors | PTSS avoidance | R² = 12.8%; F = 3.939; p < 0.001 | PTSS intrusion | R² = 22.4%; F = 6.783; p < 0.001 | PTSS anxiety | R² = 68.6%; F = 44.654; p < 0.001 |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
|           | B   | 95% CI | p-value | B   | 95% CI | p-value | B   | 95% CI | p-value |
| Age       | −0.017 | −0.087; 0.054 | 0.644 | −0.017 | −0.066; 0.033 | 0.505 | −0.030 | −0.057; −0.004 | 0.025 |
| Gender    | −1.174 | −3.183; 0.822 | 0.251 | −0.266 | −1.680; 1.148 | 0.533 | 0.972 | 0.214; 1.730 | 0.012 |
| Education | −0.250 | −1.322; 0.822 | 0.646 | −0.239 | −0.993; 0.515 | 0.533 | 0.224 | −0.180; 0.628 | 0.276 |
| Treatment | 0.626 | −1.090; 2.341 | 0.473 | 0.673 | −0.534; 1.880 | 0.273 | −0.621 | −1.268; 0.026 | 0.060 |
| Previous psychopathology | 0.246 | −1.577; 2.069 | 0.790 | −1.360 | −2.643; −0.077 | 0.038 | 0.840 | 0.152; 1.527 | 0.017 |
| Relationship<sup>a</sup> | 1.228 | −0.759; 3.215 | 0.224 | 1.331 | −0.067; 2.729 | 0.062 | 0.524 | −0.226; 1.273 | 0.170 |
| Stressful events | 0.116 | −1.674; 1.906 | 0.898 | 0.876 | −0.383; 2.136 | 0.172 | 0.501 | −0.174; 1.176 | 0.145 |
| HADS Depression | 0.000 | −0.302; 0.301 | 0.998 | 0.074 | −0.139; 0.286 | 0.496 | 0.616 | 0.502; 0.729 | <0.001 |
| MiniMAC Anxious Preoccupation | 0.554 | 0.253; 0.856 | <0.001 | 0.512 | 0.299; 0.724 | <0.001 | 0.165 | 0.051; 0.279 | 0.005 |
| MiniMAC Helpless/Hopeless | −0.055 | −0.341; 0.231 | 0.705 | −0.089 | −0.290; 0.113 | 0.386 | 0.102 | −0.006; 0.210 | 0.064 |

| Caregivers | PTSS avoidance | R² = 9.9%; F = 4.478; p < 0.001 | PTSS intrusion | R² = 6.9%; F = 3.346; p = 0.004 | PTSS anxiety | R² = 47.1%; F = 29.034; p < 0.001 |
|------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
|           | B   | 95% CI | p-value | B   | 95% CI | p-value | B   | 95% CI | p-value |
| Age       | 0.014 | −0.068; 0.096 | 0.734 | 0.022 | −0.043; 0.086 | 0.510 | −0.022 | −0.055; 0.011 | 0.192 |
| Gender    | −0.301 | −2.404; 1.801 | 0.778 | 0.274 | −1.370; 1.917 | 0.743 | 1.359 | 0.516; 2.201 | 0.002 |
| Education | −0.762 | −1.886; 0.361 | 0.182 | −0.657 | −1.536; 0.222 | 0.142 | 0.316 | −0.134; 0.766 | 0.168 |
| Treatment | −0.086 | −2.000; 1.829 | 0.930 | 0.024 | −1.473; 1.520 | 0.975 | −0.444 | −1.210; 0.323 | 0.255 |
| Relationship<sup>a</sup> | −1.563 | −3.039; −0.086 | 0.038 | −0.841 | −1.996; 0.313 | 0.152 | −0.251 | −0.842; 0.340 | 0.404 |
| HADS depression | 0.510 | 0.168; 0.851 | 0.004 | 0.322 | 0.055; 0.589 | 0.018 | 0.848 | 0.711; 0.985 | <0.001 |

Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; IES, Impact Of Event Scale; MAC, mental adjustment to cancer; PTSS, post-traumatic stress symptoms.

Table 5. Caregivers’ PTSS Multivariate Regression Model.

<sup>a</sup>Spouse or offspring.
The correlation between members ignoring predictors was small (0.286) and the model explained 62.80% of the total nonindependence.

**4 | DISCUSSION**

This study examined the correlates and reciprocal associations of post-traumatic symptoms among adult cancer survivors and their caregivers. The main findings were: (1) nearly 20% of patients and, even more strikingly, up to 30% of caregivers reported severe post-traumatic symptoms 5 years or more since the diagnosis of cancer; (2) among cancer patients the severity of post-traumatic symptoms was associated with an anxious coping style, previous psychopathology and depression, whereas among caregivers it was associated with depression and having a closer relationship with patients, in particular, caregivers who were spouses or siblings experienced significantly more avoidance symptoms than other caregivers; (3) taking in account dyadic symptom interactions, patients’ depression was associated with caregivers’ intrusion symptoms. This study is the first, to our knowledge, to address the relationship between cancer patients and caregivers post-traumatic symptoms, especially using a dyadic method.

Post-traumatic stress disorder is a common, debilitating occurrence among cancer patients and may become evident since the early stages of cancer management. Post-traumatic stress disorder is a common, debilitating occurrence among cancer patients and may become evident since the early stages of cancer management. Unlike previous investigations, we examined caregivers of adult patients, thus extending previous findings: nearly one out of five survivors, and one out of three caregivers, experienced severe PTSS. These findings are consistent with those of Mehnert and colleagues, who reported a prevalence of 12% PTSD among cancer survivors, and those of Shelby et al. who found that 10%–20% of breast cancer survivors presented with subsyndromal PTSD. These figures are consistent with those of the only other study, to our knowledge, that examined caregivers of adult cancer long-term survivors.

Here, high rates of both full-fledged PTSD and subthreshold post-traumatic symptoms were detected between caregivers and patients. These results stress the importance of actively continue to evaluate post-traumatic symptoms among oncologic patients years after the diagnosis, similarly to what is currently advised among individuals who experienced other life-threatening conditions.

Previous literature on post-traumatic disorders suggests that individuals who are indirectly exposed to traumatic events can develop PTSD, although with a lower probability than those directly involved. Our study shows that in the context of cancer, caregivers may be similar or even more affected than their counterparts. Furthermore, caregivers may also experience other symptoms of psychological distress, such as anxiety and depression, with similar or greater severity than patients. We also observed an association between depression and post-traumatic symptoms’ dimensions among caregivers, as well as between depression in patients and intrusion symptoms in caregivers. While these associations should not be interpreted as necessarily causal, they reinforce the notion of interdependence between cancer patients’ and caregivers’ mental health, in line with dynamic models of psychopathology.

Post-traumatic stress disorder is a common, debilitating occurrence among cancer patients and may become evident since the early stages of cancer management. Unlike previous investigations, we examined caregivers of adult patients, thus extending previous findings: nearly one out of five survivors, and one out of three caregivers, experienced severe PTSS. These findings are consistent with those of Mehnert and colleagues, who reported a prevalence of 12% PTSD among cancer survivors, and those of Shelby et al. who found that 10%–20% of breast cancer survivors presented with subsyndromal PTSD. These figures are consistent with those of the only other study, to our knowledge, that examined caregivers of adult cancer long-term survivors.

Long-term PTSD and post-traumatic symptoms have been almost neglected by literature, but they clearly deserve clinical attention, in cancer patients and their caregivers. The findings of this study suggest to assess (screening or
monitoring) cancer-related PTSD and PTSS even in the long term, given their potential impact on quality of life, disability and risk of further psychiatric and medical morbidity.\textsuperscript{59} This is especially relevant considering that several safe and effective treatments are available, including psychotherapy.\textsuperscript{59}

This study is among the few that examined post-traumatic symptoms in cancer survivors and their caregivers, years after the diagnosis of cancer. Its strengths include a large sample size, concurrent investigation of PTSD and depression, as well as analyses that account for dyadic interactions. However, limitations must be considered: first, the design is cross-sectional and does not allow direct causal inferences.\textsuperscript{14} Second, results obtained using screening instruments should be replicated with studies employing structured psychiatric interviews, especially in the light of recent changes in DSM-5 criteria for PTSD. Third, participants were recruited from a single comprehensive cancer centre and this could limit the generalizability of results, especially for cases that did not indicate family caregivers (24%). Fourth, the assessment of PTSD was not based on the revised version of the IES, which also comprises a measure of the arousal. Fifth, only a minority of patients displayed a metastatic disease and/or a relapse, not allowing for a thorough appreciation of their influence on PTSS; further studies with larger samples will be able to examine this issue more in depth.

\subsection{Conclusions}

In conclusion, long-term cancer survivors and their caregivers are affected by significant levels of post-traumatic symptoms, which seem to outlast successful treatment by several years. Post-traumatic psychopathology may be particularly affected by depression, especially in the context of interpersonal dynamics. These findings highlight the need to maintain high levels of clinical vigilance among cancer survivors as well as their caregivers, taking into account the dyadic psychological morbidity and distress.\textsuperscript{50} Future research might need to take into account such complex between-person psychopathology dynamics.

\section*{Acknowledgements}

The authors would like to express their gratitude to all the patients and family members who took part in this study. They also thank Gráinne Tierney and Cristiano Verna for editorial assistance.

\section*{Conflict of Interest}

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

\section*{Author Contributions}

Silvia De Padova designed and coordinated the study, was involved in data collection, interpreted results, and drafted the manuscript. Luigi Grassi co-designed the study, interpreted results, and co-drafted the manuscript. Lorena Rossi, Alberto Farolfi, Alessandro Passardi, Tatiana Bertelli, and Alejandra Berardi were involved in data collection and interpretation. Alessandro Vagheggini, Martino Belvederi Murri, and Federica Folesani performed the statistical analysis and extensive reviews of the manuscript. Ugo De Giorgi co-designed and -coordinated the study, interpreted results, and co-drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript for submission.

\section*{Table of Contents}

- High levels of cancer-related post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) exist for many years after treatment in both long-term cancer survivors and their caregivers.
- Prospective studies are warranted to identify PTSS and implement appropriate interventions.

\section*{Data Availability Statement}

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

\section*{ORCID}

Silvia De Padova \(\text{https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0932-7697}\)
Alberto Farolfi \(\text{https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3289-8041}\)

\section*{References}

1. Mitchell AJ, Ferguson DW, Gill J, Paul J, Symonds P. Depression and anxiety in long-term cancer survivors compared with spouses and healthy controls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. \textit{Lancet Oncol.} 2013;14:721-732.
2. Foster C, Wright D, Hill H, Hopkinson J, Roffe L. Psychosocial implications of living 5 years or more following a cancer diagnosis: a systematic review of the research evidence. \textit{Eur J Cancer Care}. 2009;18:223-247.
3. Harrington CB, Hansen JA, Moskowitz M, Todd BL, Feuerstein M. It’s not over when it’s over: long-term symptoms in cancer survivors–a systematic review. \textit{Int J Psychiatry Med}. 2010;40:163-181.
4. Caruso R, Nanni MG, Riba MB, Sabato S, Grassi L. The burden of psychosocial morbidity related to cancer: patient and family issues. \textit{Int Rev Psychiatry}. 2017;29:389-402.
5. Smith MY, Redd WH, Peyser C, Vogl D. Post-traumatic stress disorder in cancer: a review. \textit{Psychooncology}. 1999;8:521-537.
6. Gurevich M, Devins GM, Rodin GM. Stress response syndromes and cancer: conceptual and assessment issues. \textit{Psychooncology}. 2002;43:259-281.
7. Shand LK, Cowlishaw S, Brooker JE, Burney S, Ricciardelli LA. Correlates of post-traumatic stress symptoms and growth in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. \textit{Psychooncology}. 2015;24:624-634.
8. Swartzman S, Booth JN, Munro A, Sani F. Posttraumatic stress disorder after cancer diagnosis in adults: A meta-analysis. \textit{Depress Anxiety}. 2017;34:327-339.
9. Cordova MJ, Riba MB, Spiegel D. Post-traumatic stress disorder and cancer. \textit{Lancet Psychiatry}. 2017;4:330-338.
10. Mehnert A, Berg P, Henrich G, Herschbach P. Fear of cancer progression and cancer-related intrusive cognitions in breast cancer survivors. Psychooncology. 2009;18:1273-1280.

11. Foran-Tuller K, O’Hea EL, Moon S, Miller SJ. Posttraumatic stress symptoms in children of mothers diagnosed with breast cancer. J Psychosoc Oncol. 2012;30:41-56.

12. Shelby RA, Golden-Kreutz DM, Andersen BL. PTSD diagnoses, subsyndromal symptoms, and comorbidities contribute to impairments for breast cancer survivors. J Trauma Stress. 2008;21:165-172.

13. Abbey G, Thompson SB, Hickish T, Heathcote D. A meta-analysis of prevalence rates and moderating factors for cancer-related post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychooncology. 2015;24:371-381.

14. Kangas M, Henry JL, Bryant RA. Posttraumatic stress disorder following cancer: A conceptual and empirical review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2002;22:499-524.

15. Rustad JK, David D, Currier MB. Cancer and post-traumatic stress disorder: diagnosis, pathogenesis and treatment considerations. Palliat Support Care. 2012;10:213-223.

16. Jia M, Li J, Chen C, Cao F. Post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in family caregivers of adult patients with acute leukemia from a dyadic perspective. Psychooncology. 2015;24:1754-1760.

17. Posluszný DM, Dougall AL, Johnson JT, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in newly diagnosed head and neck cancer patients and their partners. Head Neck. 2015;37:1282-1289.

18. Liang J, Lee SJ, Storer BE, et al. Rates and risk factors for post-traumatic stress disorder symptomatology among adult hematopoietic cell transplant recipients and their informal caregivers. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2019;25:145-150.

19. McCarthy MC, McNeil R, Drew S, et al. Psychological distress and posttraumatic stress symptoms in adolescents and young adults with cancer and their parents. J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol. 2016;5:322-329.

20. Bruce M, Gumley D, Ishaw L, Fearon P, Phipps K. Post-traumatic stress symptoms in childhood brain tumour survivors and their parents. Child Care Health Dev. 2011;37:244-251.

21. Bruce M. A systematic and conceptual review of posttraumatic stress in childhood cancer survivors and their parents. Clin Psychol Rev. 2006;26:233-256.

22. Vuotto SC, Perez KM, Krull KR, Brinkman TM. A narrative review of the occurrence of posttraumatic stress responses in adolescent and young adult cancer survivors. Clin Oncol Adolesc Young Adults. 2015;5:19-33.

23. Moschopoulou E, Hutchison I, Bhui K, Korszun A. Post-traumatic stress in head and neck cancer survivors and their partners. Support Care Cancer. 2018;26:3003-3011.

24. Unseld M, Kramer K, Lubowitzki S, et al. Screening for post-traumatic stress disorders in 1017 cancer patients and correlation with anxiety, depression, and distress. Psychooncology. 2019;28(12):2382-2388.

25. Streck BP, Wardell DW, LoBiondo-Wood G, Beauchamp JES. Interdependence of physical and psychological morbidity among patients with cancer and family caregivers: review of the literature. Psycho-Oncology. 2020;29:974-989.

26. Rowland JH. Survivorship research: Past, present, and future. In: Ganz PA, ed. Cancer Survivorship. New York, NY: Springer; 2007:28-42.

27. Twombly R. What’s in a name: who is a cancer survivor? J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004;96:1414-1415.

28. Horowitz MD, Wilner N, Alvarez W. Impact of events scale: a measure of subjective stress. Psychosom Med. 1979;41:209-218.

29. Tuinman MA, Hoekstra HJ, Sleijfer DT, et al. Testicular cancer: a longitudinal pilot study on stress response symptoms and quality of life in couples before and after chemotherapy. Support Care Cancer. 2007;15:279-286.

30. Fleer J, Sleijfer D, Hoekstra H, Tuinman M, Klip E, Hoekstra WJ. Objective and subjective predictors of cancer-related stress symptoms in testicular cancer survivors. Patient Educ Couns. 2006;64:142-150.

31. Pietrantonio F, De Gennaro L, Di Paolo MC, Solano L. The impact of event scale: validation of an Italian version. J Psychosom Res. 2003;55:389.

32. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1983;67:361-370.

33. Grassi L, Travado L, Gil F, et al. Hopelessness and related variables among cancer patients in the Southern European Psycho-Oncology Study (SEPOS). Psychosomatics. 2010;51:201-207.

34. Vodermaier A, Millman RD. Accuracy of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale as a screening tool in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Support Care Cancer. 2011;19:1899-1908.

35. Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the hospital anxiety and depression scale. An updated literature review. J Psychosom Res. 2002;52:69-77.

36. Rodgers J, Martin CR, Morse RC, Kendell K, Verrill M. An investigation into the psychometric properties of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in patients with breast cancer. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2005;3:41. Published 2005 Jul 14.

37. O’Connor M, Christensen S, Jensen AB, Møller S, Zachariae R. How traumatic is breast cancer? Post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) and risk factors for severe PTSS at 3 and 15 months after surgery in a nationwide cohort of Danish women treated for primary breast cancer. Br J Cancer. 2011;104(3):419-426.

38. Wohlfarth TD, van den Brink W, Winkel FW, ter Smitten M. Screening for posttraumatic stress disorder: an evaluation of two self report scales among crime victims. Psychol Assess. 2003;15:101-109.

39. Watson M, Law M, dos Santos M, Greer S, Baruch J, Bliss J. The Mini-MAC: further development of the Mental Adjustment to Cancer scale. J Psychosoc Oncol. 1994;12:33-46.

40. Grassi L, Buda P, Cavana L, Annunziata MA, Torta R, Varetto A. Styles of coping with cancer: the Italian version of the Mini-Mental Adjustment to Cancer (Mini-MAC) scale. Psychooncology. 2005;14:115-124.

41. Grassi L, Berardi MA, Ruffilli F, et al. Role of psychosocial variables on chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting and health-related quality of life among cancer patients: a European study. Psychother Psychosom. 2015;84:339-347.

42. Apolone G, Mosconi P. The Italian SF-36 Health Survey: translation, validation and norming. J Clin Epidemiol. 1998;51:1025-1036.

43. Kenny DA, Kashy DA, Cook WL. Dyadic data analysis. Guilford Press; 2006.

44. Kenny DA. (2015, February). An interactive tool for the estimation and testing the Actor-Partner Interdependence Model using multi-level modeling [Computer software]. Available from https://davidakenny.shinyapps.io/APIM_MM/.

45. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: Foundation for Statistical Computing, Version 3.4.1. https://www.R-project.org/.
46. Mak IW, Chu CM, Pan PC, Yiu MG, Ho SC, Chan VL. Risk factors for chronic post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in SARS survivors. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2010;32(6):590-598.

47. Gerlock AA, Grimesey J, Sayre G. Military-related posttraumatic stress disorder and intimate relationship behaviors: a developing dyadic relationship model. *J Marital Fam Ther*. 2014;40(3):344-356.

48. May CL, Wisco BE. Defining trauma: how level of exposure and proximity affect risk for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychol Trauma*. 2016;8:233-240.

49. Bowman KF, Rose JH, Deimling GT. Appraisal of the cancer experience by family members and survivors in long-term survivorship. *Psychooncology*. 2006;15:834-845.

50. Grunfeld E, Coyle D, Whelan T, et al. Family caregiver burden: results of a longitudinal study of breast cancer patients and their principal caregivers. *CMAJ*. 2004;170:1795-1801.

51. Braun M, Mikulincer M, Rydall A, Walsh A, Rodin G. Hidden morbidity in cancer: spouse caregivers. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25:4829-4834.

52. Inhestedt L, Bultmann JC, Beierlein V, et al. Understanding parenting concerns in cancer survivors with minor and young-adult children. *J Psychosom Res*. 2016;87:1-6.

53. Ernst J, Götz H, Krauel K, et al. Psychological distress in cancer patients with underage children: gender-specific differences. *Psychooncology*. 2013;22:823-828.

54. Birkeland MS, Greene T, Spiller TR. The network approach to posttraumatic stress disorder: a systematic review. *Eur J Psychotraumatol*. 2020;11(1):1700614.

55. Hagedoorn M, Sanderman R, Bolks HN, Tuinstra J, Coyne JC. Distress in couples coping with cancer: a meta-analysis and critical review of role and gender effects. *Psychol Bull*. 2008;134:1-30.

56. Hodges L, Humphris G, MacFarlane G. A meta-analytic investigation of the relationship between the psychological distress of cancer patients and their carers. *Soc Sci Med*. 2005;60:1-12.

57. Murphy LK, Rodriguez EM, Schwartz L, et al. Longitudinal associations among maternal communication and adolescent posttraumatic stress symptoms after cancer diagnosis. *Psychooncology*. 2016;25:779-786.

58. Scheeringa MS, Zeanah CH. A relational perspective on PTSD in early childhood. *J Trauma Stress*. 2001;14:799-815.

59. French-Rosas LN, Moye J, Naik AD. Improving the recognition and treatment of cancer-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Psychiatr Pract*. 2011;17(4):270-276.

60. Lambert SD, Harrison JD, Smith E, et al. The unmet needs of partners and caregivers of adults diagnosed with cancer: a systematic review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2012;2:224-230.

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

---

**How to cite this article:** De Padova S, Grassi L, Vagheggini A, et al. Post-traumatic stress symptoms in long-term disease-free cancer survivors and their family caregivers. *Cancer Med*. 2021;10:3974–3985. [https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.3961](https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.3961)