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Abstract

Background: Children with cerebral palsy (CP) are at risk for respiratory dysfunction. Early initiation of pulmonary rehabilitation in addition to conventional physical therapy may result in improvement and maintenance of chest mobility and respiratory function. However, empirical support for respiratory therapy is limited. The aim of the review was to assess the evidence of the effectiveness of respiratory therapy on pulmonary functions in children with CP.

Methods: Four electronic databases (PubMed, Cochrane Library, Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro), and Google Scholar) were searched till December 2019 using predefined terms by two independent reviewers. Randomized controlled trials published in English were included if they met the following criteria: population—children with different types of CP of both sex, aged up to 18 years; intervention—respiratory therapy; outcomes—pulmonary functions. Eight studies with 235 participants only met the inclusion criteria and were included in this review. Predefined data were tabulated using American Academy for Cerebral Palsy and Developmental Medicine by two reviewers and verified by a third reviewer. Methodological quality was assessed using rating system of quality assessment and PEDro scale; also, levels of evidence adopted from modified Sackett’s scale were used for each outcome.

Results: The quality of studies ranged from excellent (one study) to good (five studies) and fair (two studies). The results showed level 1 (six studies) and level 2 (two studies) on modified Sackett’s scale for level of evidence. Lack of allocation concealment and blinding was the major risk of bias in the included studies.

Conclusions: Meta-analysis revealed significant difference in the improvement of vital capacity, peak expiratory flow, and forced expiratory volume at 1 s in favor of the study groups. However, there is a need for high-quality studies to draw a clear conclusion.
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Background

Cerebral palsy (CP) is a group of disorders affecting body movement and muscle coordination caused by damage to one or more areas of the brain, usually occurring during fetal development or infancy [1]. It is the most common cause of long-term childhood disability, affecting 2.1 per 1000 live births [2].

Respiratory problems in children with CP are always related to reduced chest wall mobility and insufficient respiratory muscle strength which results in ineffective alveolar ventilation, poor airway clearance, and shortness of breath. These factors lead to repeated hospital admission due to increased risk of developing atelectasis, pneumonia, or chronic respiratory failure [3–5].

Although CP is not itself a respiratory disease, application of interventions that improve respiratory health is one of the most important factors in promoting the
quality of life for these children and their families. Also, it minimizes the health care costs associated with CP [6].

Respiratory therapy is a group of techniques designed to enhance functional residual capacity and provide greater alveolar stability. It may be applied with or without mechanical devices [7]. It includes the removal of excess bronchial secretions and maintaining and improving exercise capacity. Physical therapy can support ventilation by using high-flow nasal cannulas, continuous positive airway pressure or noninvasive ventilation, and postural education. Also, it prevents, corrects, and improves postural problems, such as kyphosis where poor posture leads to tightening of the respiratory muscles and chest wall deformity and augments the decline in pulmonary functions [8].

Respiratory muscle strengthening has shown a significant association with indicators of health fitness (heart and respiratory rates) and daily functional ability in children with CP [9]. Previous study had reported that feedback respiratory training (FRT) can improve respiratory function, endurance for exercise capacity, sense of dyspnea, and quality of life [10]. Interventions based on therapeutic exercises have been shown a positive effect on social life, self-esteem, depression, and self-confidence in terms of involvement in physical activities [11].

Current evidence regarding management of children with CP who are at risk of developing respiratory disease is very limited [12]. The purpose of this study was to systematically review the quality of evidence regarding the effectiveness of respiratory therapy on pulmonary functions in children with CP.

**Methods**

Two reviewers performed an electronic search up to December 2019. The following databases were searched to identify relevant published studies: the Cochrane Library, Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro), PubMed, and Google Scholar. Those databases were searched by using the following keywords: “Cerebral palsy”, “Respiratory therapy”, “respiratory muscle training”, and “incentive spirometer”, “chest physiotherapy”, “breathing exercises”, “pulmonary functions”. All articles that were considered potentially eligible were obtained in full text, and additional screening of reference lists of the eligible studies was also performed.

**Eligibility criteria**

Studies were considered eligible if they satisfied the following criteria:

- **Participants**: children with different types of CP of both sex aged up to 18 years old.
- **Study design**: only randomized controlled trials (RCT).
- **Type of intervention**: respiratory therapy in form of incentive spirometry, respiratory exercises (breathing exercises, diaphragmatic breathing, thoracic expansion exercises), respiratory muscle training (using pressure threshold-loading device and training apparatus with different valves), and exercise training programs (task-specific movement exercise and aquatic exercise).
- **Outcomes**: pulmonary functions including vital capacity (VC), forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume at 1 s (FEV1), expiratory reserve volume (ERV), peak expiratory flow (PEF), forced expiratory flow (FEF), tidal volume (TV), and inspiratory reserve volume (IRV).
- **Language**: full-text papers in English.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Unpublished studies
- Study design other than RCT such as review papers, case reports, observational studies, and conference proceedings
- Studies that measured outcomes not related to the scope of our study such as cardiovascular parameters, anaerobic threshold, and use of antibiotic therapy

**Data extraction**

Two authors used the data extraction form developed by the American Academy for Cerebral Palsy and Developmental Medicine (AAACPDM) [13] to extract data which include the following items: (a) the author and year of publication; (b) information on the population, describing numbers of included children by diagnosis, and age; (c) study design; (d) methodology, including the type of intervention or assessment, technique of its application, and its duration; (e) measured outcomes; and (f) results. The AAACPDM’s ratings of evidence and study quality for group design studies have acceptable inter-rater reliability and convergent validity when compared with a similar tool [14].

**Assessment of methodological quality**

Methodological quality in the current systematic review was evaluated by using the AAACPDM rating system of quality assessment [13] and the PEDro scale. PEDro is a valid measurement of methodological quality of clinical trials [15]. The internal validity of the included studies was assessed through PEDro scale criteria of adequate randomization; allocation concealment; blinding of participants, therapists, and research personnel; incomplete outcome data; baseline similarity; and use of intention to treat analysis. The items are scored as present (1) or absent (0). Two reviewers independently assessed the methodological quality of included studies, and discrepancies between them were resolved by consultation with the third author to reach the final decision. After each item was classified as “present” or “absent,” the total score of each study was calculated as the sum of “present” responses. Scores range from 0 to 10 with higher scores indicating a better methodological quality of the RCT. The quality of the
individual study would be judged as excellent (score 9–10),
good (score 6–8), fair (score 4–5), and poor (score < 3) [16].

While the AACPDM conduct questions consist of clear
eligibility criteria, reliable outcome measures, blinding as-
sessors, power calculations, and controlling other sources
of bias (such as insufficient follow-up, unclear type of ran-
dom sequence generation or allocation concealment, lack
of intention to treat). Each question should be answered
"yes" (criterion/criteria present) or "no" (criterion/criteria
not present). For group studies, the quality of the individual
study would be judged as strong ("yes" score on 6–7 of the
questions), moderate (score 4 or 5), or weak (score < 3).

Level of evidence
The level of evidence of all included studies was scored
according to the modified Sackett scale [17] (Table 1).
This five-level scale was used to determine the strength
of evidence regarding the intervention.

Data analysis
Data were summarized statistically if two or more published
studies were comparable in terms of intervention, patient
demographics, outcome measures, and adequate quality. The
data were pooled for meta-analysis using Review Manager
(RevMan–version 5.4). The variables used in the meta-
analysis were VC, PEF, FEV1, FEV1/FVC, and FVC. We
expressed pooled continuous measures as the mean differ-
ence (MD) with 95% CI. We explored and quantified
between-study statistical heterogeneity using the
I² test. By
default, we used the fixed effect model in all analyses. If het-
erogeneity was statistically significant (p < 0.05) or I² was >
50%, we used random-effects model instead.

Results

Literature search
The search strategy revealed 2189 articles from previously
mentioned databases, as follows: Cochrane Library (130), PE-
Dro (23), PubMed (79), and Google scholar (1957). Dupli-
cated articles were 1239. The reviewers screened titles and
abstracts of the remaining 950 articles independently. Sev-
enty articles were filtered on the basis of full-text; sixty-two
were excluded because they were outside the scope, because
the children’s diagnosis was not CP, or outcome of interest
was absent, as shown in the Preferred Reporting Items for
Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow chart (PRISMA)
(Fig. 1) [18]. The remaining 8 studies formed the basis for
the current systematic review.

Characteristics of the studies
There was some homogeneity among included studies
regarding general characteristics of participants, out-
come measures, and assessment methods (Table 2). So
these studies underwent meta-analysis.

Characteristics of participants
Two hundred thirty-five children ranged from 5 to 15
years of age were diagnosed with diplegic [19–22, 24],
hemiplegic [20–22, 24], quadriplegic [20, 22, 26], and tri-
plegic [22] CP of different levels of severity. Two studies
did not specify CP type [23, 25].

Intervention
All studies examined the effect of different types of re-
spiratory therapy (breathing exercises [19], aquatic activi-
ties [20], feedback respiratory muscle training [21],
incentive spirometer [22], upper extremity resistance ex-
ceise [23], inspiratory muscle training at 30% MIP [24],
task-specific movement exercise with loaded resistance
[25], and respiratory exercises [26]).

Comparators
Six studies applied conventional physical therapy in the form
of neurodevelopmental technique [19–23, 26]. One study used
inspiratory muscle training at 5% MIP and home program
[24]. Other study applied ROM and stretching exercises [25].

| Level | Study design |
|-------|-------------|
| 1     | RCT (PEDro score ≥ 6) |
| 2     | RCT (PEDro score < 6), prospective controlled trial, cohort |
| 3     | Case control |
| 4     | One-group pretest–posttest, case series |
| 5     | Case report |

Abbreviations: PEDro, Physiotherapy Evidence Database; RCT, randomized controlled trials.
Types of outcome measured
Pulmonary functions measured are VC [19–21], FVC [21–26], FEV$_1$ [19, 21–26], PEF [21–26], FEV$_1$/FVC ratio [22, 26], FEF [24], ERV, TV, and IRV [21].

Measurement of pulmonary functions
All studies used spirometer for measuring pulmonary functions.

Level of methodological quality
The score of each study on the PEDro scale is presented in Table 3. The mean score of the 8 studies was 6.5. One study obtained a score of 9 [24] which represents “excellent” quality, two studies had a score of 8 [21, 25], and three studies scored 6 [19, 20, 23] which represent “good” quality. One study was given a score of 5 [22], and one study was given score of 4 [26] which represent “fair” quality.

The score of each study on the AACPDM conduct questions is also presented in Table 4. The mean score of the 8 studies was 4.75. One study obtained a score of 6 [24] which represents “strong” quality. Five studies had a score of 5 [20–22, 25, 26], and one study obtained a score of 4 [23] which represent “moderate” quality, and one study obtained a score of 3 [19] which represents “weak” quality.

Level of evidence
According to the modified Sackett scale, six studies [19–21, 23–25] were ranked on level one, and two studies were on level two [22, 26] as presented in Table 4.

Descriptive synthesis of the risk of bias
Most studies had participants allocated randomly, had groups of similar baselines, reported results of between-group statistical comparisons, provided measures of variability for at least one outcome, and specified the eligibility criteria. Only two studies [21, 24] had concealed allocation and blinded assessors; three studies [21, 24, 25] had blinded participant, two of them [24, 25] had blinded therapist.
| Study | N | Participant | Diagnosis | Intervention | Comparators | Duration | Outcome measures | Results |
|-------|---|-------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------|
| 1- Rothman et al. [19] | 10 | Exp. gp = 5, Cont. gp = 5 | Spastic diaplegic CP children | Breathing exercises 5–7 min/day | Bobath treatment approach | 8 weeks | VC, FEV<sub>1</sub> | -Significant improvement of VC mean = 0.46 L at p = 0.005 -Both groups showed no significant difference in the pretest and posttest values |
| 2- Hutzler et al. [20] | 46 | Exp. gp = 23, Cont. gp = 23 | Spastic diaplegic, hemiplegia, quadriplegic, and ataxic CP children | Swimming session twice weekly + group physical activity once weekly (30 min duration) | Bobath physical therapy (4 day/week, 30 min duration) | 6 months | VC, WOS | -Significant increase in mean value of VC = 35.8 at p = 0.005 -Significant increase in raw scores of WOS with mean value = 33.4 at p < 0.001 |
| 3- Lee et al. [21] | 22 | Exp. gp = 11, Cont. gp = 11 | Spastic diaplegic, hemiplegic, and triplegic CP children | Feedback respiratory training (15 min) + conventional rehabilitation (30 min) with 10 min break in between 3 days/week | CPT (gross motor activities) 20 min, 3 days/week | 4 weeks | Pulmonary functions (FVC, FEV<sub>1</sub>, PEF, VC, ERV, TV, IRV) | -The experimental group showed significant increase in VC and FEV<sub>1</sub> mean values (1.5, 1.4) respectively at p < 0.005 with no significant changes in other measures. |
| 4- Choi et al. [22] | 50 | Exp. gp = 25, Cont. gp = 25 | Spastic diaplegic, hemiplegic, quadriplegic, and triplegic CP children | ISE 10–15 breath/session 10 sessions daily + conventional rehabilitation + occupational therapy | CPT + occupational therapy 3 times/week | 4 weeks | Pulmonary functions (FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC, PEF, FEF<sub>25</sub>-<sub>75</sub>, VC) -GMF -MPT | -The experimental group showed significant increase in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC mean values (1.57, 2.15) respectively at p < 0.005. -Both groups showed no significant difference in the pretest and posttest values in GMF -Significant increase in MPT mean value—12.13 at p = 0.001 |
| 5- Shin and Kim et al. [23] | 15 | Exp. gp = 8, Cont. gp = 7 | Spastic CP children | Upper extremity resistance exercise using theraband (20–30 min/ session) + NDT (30 min 2 session/week) | NDT (30 min 2 session/week) | 8 weeks | Pulmonary functions (FVC, FEV<sub>1</sub>, PEF) -Respiratory muscle strength (MIP, MEP) -Grip strength | -Significant improvement in FVC, FEV<sub>1</sub>, PEF, and MIP with mean values 0.09, 0.17, 0.46, and 1.88, respectively group with no statistical difference between both groups -Grip strength and MEP significantly improved in Exp gp with mean value (0.82, 6) respectively at p < 0.005 |
| 6- Keles et al. [24] | 28 | Exp. gp = 14, Cont. gp = 14 | Spastic diaplegic, hemiplegic CP children | Inspiratory muscle training at 30% MIP (twice daily, 15 min) + CPT (40 min/day, 3 days/ week) + home program of breathing exercises | Inspiratory muscle training at 5% MIP (15 min twice daily) + CPT (40 min/day, 3 days/ week) + home program of breathing exercises | 6 weeks | Pulmonary functions (FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC, PEF, MEP, MIP<sub>%</sub>, MEP, MIP<sub>%</sub>) -Trunk control -Daily living activities -Functional exercise capacity -Quality of life | -No significant difference in pulmonary functions between both groups p > 0.005 -Significant improvements in MIP mean value = 28.66 at p < 0.001 -Significant improvement in trunk control of study group mean value = 4.50 (p < 0.001) -Significant improvement in self-care (2.28, p = 0.007), mobility (3.39, p = 0.001), and social function (2.56) domains in study group only and no significant difference bet. 2 groups in other domains in PEDI-CAS subscale scores -Significant improvement in |
We performed a meta-analysis for five variables: VC (Fig. 2), PEF (Fig. 3), FEV1 (Fig. 4), FEV1/FVC ratio (Fig. 5), and FVC (Fig. 6).

**Measures of treatment effects**

The outcome variables of interest were continuous outcomes. Data of change scores of post-intervention measures were evaluated and entered as means and standard deviations (SDs), and the mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each trial was calculated. Data were pooled through calculation of the overall MD and 95% CI.

**Vital capacity**

Data for meta-analysis could be extracted from three studies [19–21]. As reflected from Fig. 2, the total number of children included into meta-analysis was 37 in study groups and 39 in control groups. The forest plot of mean difference across the three studies at 95% CI of mean difference is MD.

**Table 2** Participant, diagnosis, intervention, comparators, duration, outcome measures, results (Continued)

| Study | Participant | Diagnosis | Intervention | Comparators | Duration | Outcome measures | Results |
|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|---------|
| 7-Kwon and Kim [25] | N = 34 (Exp.gp = 17, Cont.gp = 17) | Spastic CP children | Task-specific movement patterns with loaded resistance (40 min twice/week) + ROM and stretching exercise (5–10 min) | Task-specific movement patterns without loaded resistance (40 min twice/week) + ROM and stretching exercise (5–10 min) | 12 weeks | -Respiratory functions (FVC, FEV1, PEF) -Thickness of abdominal muscles | -Significant improvement in mean values of FVC (1.72), FEV1 (1.69), PEF (1.69) at p < 0.05 in Exp. group -Significant improvement in abdominal muscle thickness (p < 0.05) in Exp. group |
| 8-Kanna and Balabaskar [26] | N = 30 (Exp.gp = 15, Cont.gp = 15) | Spastic quadriplegic CP children | Respiratory exercises along with NDT for 45 min (30 min and 15 min), 5 days in a week | NDT for 45 min, 5 days in a week | 6 weeks | Pulmonary functions (FVC, FEV1, FEV1/FVC ratio PEF) | Significant improvement in mean values of FVC (1.65 + 0.98), FEV1 (1.28 + 0.84), PEF (2.32 + 1.23), and FEV1/FVC (80.63 + 16.80) in experimental group (p < 0.05) |

6MWT 6-minute walk test, Cont.gp Control group, CP Cerebral palsy, CPQOL Cerebral palsy quality of life, CPT Conventional physical therapy, ERV Expiratory reserve volume, Exp.gp Experimental group, FEF Forced expiratory flow, FEV1 Forced expiratory volume at 1 s, FVC Forced vital capacity, GMFM Gross motor function measure, IRV Inspiratory reserve volume, ISE Incentive spirometry exercise, MEP Maximal expiratory pressure, MIP Maximal inspiratory pressure, N Total number, NDT Neurodevelopmental treatment, PEDICAS Pediatric evaluation of disability inventory-caregiver assistance scale, PEF Peak expiratory flow, ROM Range of motion, TV Tidal volume, VC Vital capacity

**Meta-analysis**

We performed a meta-analysis for five variables: VC (Fig. 2), PEF (Fig. 3), FEV1 (Fig. 4), FEV1/FVC ratio (Fig. 5), and FVC (Fig. 6).
Table 4: AACPDM methodological quality and level of evidence

| Study | Level of evidence–quality | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1-Rothman [19] | I–W (3/7) | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| 2-Hutzler et al. [20] | I–M (5/7) | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| 3-Lee et al. [21] | I–M (5/7) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 4-Choi et al. [22] | II–M (5/7) | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| 5-Shin and Kim [23] | I–M (4/7) | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |
| 6-Keles et al. [24] | I–S (6/7) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| 7-Kwon and Kim [25] | I–M (5/7) | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| 8-Kanna and Balabaskar [26] | II–M (7/7) | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |

M Moderate, S Strong, W Weak

The AACPDM conduct questions: (a) Were inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study population well described and followed? (b) Was the intervention well described and was there adherence to the intervention assignment? (For 2 group designs, was the control exposure also well described?) Both parts of the question need to be met to score “yes.” (c) Were the measures used clearly described, valid, and reliable for measuring the outcomes of interest? (d) Was the outcome assessor unaware of the intervention status of the participants (i.e., were the assessors masked)? (e) Did the authors conduct and report appropriate statistical evaluation including power calculations? Both parts of the question need to be met to score “yes.” (f) Were dropout/loss to follow-up reported and less than 20%? For 2 group designs, was dropout balanced? (g) Considering the potential within the study design, were appropriate methods for controlling confounding variables and limiting potential biases used?

### Peak expiratory flow

Data for meta-analysis could be extracted from six studies [21–26]. As reflected from Fig. 3, the total number of children included into meta-analysis was 88 in the study groups and 87 in control groups. As indicated in Fig. 3, the forest plot of the mean difference across the six studies at 95% CI of mean difference is MD = 0.56 (95% CI of mean difference = 0.22, 0.90). Furthermore, the 95% confidence intervals of the overall effect estimate did not overlap null effect value, and it is on the right side (favors the study), so the meta-analysis level revealed a significant difference between the study groups and control groups (the overall effect p value is 0.00001).

### Forced expiratory volume at 1 s

Data for meta-analysis could be extracted from six studies [21–26]. As reflected from Fig. 4, the total number of children included into meta-analysis was 88 in study groups and 87 in control groups. The forest plot of the mean difference across the six studies at 95% CI of mean difference is MD = 0.32 (95% CI of mean difference = 0.14, 0.50). Furthermore, the 95% confidence intervals of the overall effect estimate did not overlap null effect value, and it is on the right side (favors the study), so the meta-analysis level revealed a significant difference between the study groups and control groups (the overall effect p value is 0.0005).

The heterogeneity tests aim to determine if there are variations among the studies. The $I^2$ statistic is presented as a percentage and represents the total variability in the study effect measure which is due to heterogeneity. In the previous three outcomes, the $I^2$ value is < 50%, and the test p value is > 0.05 so the studies can be considered homogenous.

### FEV1/FVC ratio

Data for meta-analysis could be extracted from two studies [22, 26]. As reflected in Fig. 5, the total number of children included into meta-analysis was 40 in the study groups and 38 in the control groups. The forest plot of mean difference across both studies at 95% CI of mean difference is MD = 7.77 (95% CI of mean difference = −6.57, 22.12). Furthermore, the 95% confidence
intervals of the overall effect estimate overlap null effect value, so the meta-analysis level revealed a non-significant difference between the study groups and control groups (the overall effect p value is 0.29). The heterogeneity tests aim to determine if there are variations among both studies. The $I^2$ statistic ($I^2 = 80\%, P = 0.03$, random-effects model) is presented as a percentage and represents the total variability in the studies’ effect measure which is due to heterogeneity. The $I^2$ value is $> 50\%$, and the test p value is $< 0.05$ which represents high heterogeneity among both studies.

**Forced vital capacity**

Data for meta-analysis could be extracted from six studies [21–26]. As reflected from Fig. 6, the total number of children included into meta-analysis was 88 in study groups and 87 in control groups. The forest plot of the mean difference across the six studies at 95% CI of mean difference is MD = 0.39 (95% CI of mean difference = 0.02, 0.77). Furthermore, the 95% confidence intervals of the overall effect estimate touches the null effect value, and it is on the right side (favors the study), so the meta-analysis level revealed a non-significant difference between the study groups and control groups (the overall effect p value is 0.04).

The $I^2$ value of the heterogeneity tests of the previous two outcomes is $> 50\%$, and the test p value is $< 0.05$ which represents moderate heterogeneity among the studies.

**Discussion**

Best practice in rehabilitation requires adequate evidence before an intervention can be considered appropriate in a patient population. The current systematic review aimed to assess the quality and strength of evidence in studies by using systematic methods for searching and evaluating best available studies on the benefit of respiratory therapy for children with CP, based on clinically relevant outcomes including pulmonary functions. Respiration is an important physiologic component for maintaining vital function and performing physical activity in daily life [27]. Respiratory muscle strength in children with CP is positively correlated with the activities of daily living, self-care, and social function [9].

Respiratory therapy included in this systematic review was divided into: three studies of Lee et al. [21], Keles et al. [24], and Kanna and Balabaskar [26] applied respiratory muscle training, one study of Rothman [19] applied breathing exercises, one study of Choi et al. [22] performed incentive spirometer exercise, and three studies of Hutzler et al. [20], Shin and Kim [23], and Kwon and Kim [25] applied exercise training programs. The number of sessions ranged from 10 to 30 sessions in frequency, and session time ranged from 30 to 45 min. The optimal level of intensity in intervention programs for CP is still being debated [28].

At this stage it is unclear from the scientific evidence what intensity, duration, or timing of respiratory training is best. The weekly frequency analysis of intervention
programs used in the studies could not be used to establish a consensus. The data obtained from included studies suggest that in general, a weekly frequency of training greater than or equal to 4 days per week results in significantly improved pulmonary functions. This frequency intervention can be classified as intensive because it is greater than three times per week. Only two studies showed improvements in pulmonary functions with a training frequency less than four. Thus, this finding is in agreement with Tsorlakis et al. [29] who found that therapeutic intervention programs with intensive training achieve significantly higher motor gains than non-intensive training.

A meta-analysis was conducted on all included studies regarding the effect of respiratory therapy on VC, PEF, FEV1, FEV1/FVC ratio, and FVC. It revealed significant difference between experimental and control groups in VC, PEF, and FEV1, and non-significant difference in FEV1/FVC ratio and FVC after respiratory training. Significant improvement in pulmonary functions (VC, FEV1, PEF) revealed in meta-analysis may be related to increased respiratory muscle strength from training [9]. Previous studies [30, 31] reported that the increase in VC of the spastic CP children can be attributed to the better control of breathing through elimination of the abnormal breathing patterns and strengthening of the respiratory muscles. It is possible that the respiratory training is important to counter-balance the natural decline of pulmonary functions in these patients. Rothman [19] recommended performing breathing exercises to children with CP in order to improve the breathing capacity and decrease the frequency of lung infections. Kim et al. [10] reported that values of pulmonary functions increased after the performance of repetitive actual work using a feedback respiratory training (FRT) device by stroke patients. The increment of FVC was 0.4 L, FEV1 was 0.3 L, and inspiratory reserve volume was 0.2 L. They concluded that feedback respiratory training had a positive effect on the pulmonary function of stroke patients.

Chin and Kim [23] and Keles et al. [24] reported non-significant improvement in pulmonary functions in children with CP who participated in upper extremity resistance training and inspiratory muscle training respectively. These results may be explained by different patient populations or may be caused by different methods of enhancing activity during training interventions and protocols.

Most of included studies had children at gross motor function classification system (GMFCS) levels I–III. According to previous study by Kwon and Lee [32], the children at GMFCS level III had a higher gain in FVC after FRT than the children at GMFCS level I or II. They suggested that children at GMFCS level I or II may have already reached the upper level of their respiratory function capacity so their improvement was not significant after FRT. The normal values of FVC and FEV1 vary depending on different factors such as height, weight, and sex. In addition, the responses of respiratory training on pulmonary function in children with CP may differ between unilateral and bilateral CP. Further studies are needed to determine the best candidates for respiratory training.
Most studies had participants allocated randomly, had groups of similar baselines, reported results of between-groups statistical comparisons, and provided measures of variability for at least one outcome. Most of the studies specified the eligibility criteria. In respiratory muscle training, double blind studies are extremely difficult to implement which could be a reason why only two studies [21, 24] had concealed allocation and blinded assessors, and three studies [21, 24, 25] had blinded participant and therapist. All of the included studies obtained at least one outcome from more than 85% of the initially allocated participants, and only three studies [19, 23, 25] carried out an intention-to-treat analysis.

Most studies were RCTs. This design enables to estimate the effect of respiratory therapy on children with CP. Randomization reduces bias and provides a comprehensive tool to examine cause–effect relationships between an intervention and outcome which is not possible with any other study design [33]. One study [21] was randomized controlled preliminary report as it had relatively small sample size so its results should be interpreted cautiously.

The limitations of this review include the small number of studies that met inclusion criteria and the variable methodology of these studies (the type, duration, and intensity of respiratory training). The long-term effects after stopping respiratory therapy was not measured; therefore, further research into the various effects should be carried out. Comparison with other respiratory therapy methods and including different outcomes may also be needed. The results of this systematic review need to be interpreted cautiously in the context of small number of available high-quality studies as the effects of respiratory training on pulmonary functions in children with CP have rarely been reported. Therefore, there is a need for further research to provide adequate evidence to inform clinical recommendations, with adequately powered studies and careful design to minimize bias.

Conclusions
Meta-analysis supported the efficacy of respiratory therapy on pulmonary functions in children with CP. This systematic review demonstrates an urgent need for well-designed studies of multiple interventions, not only for management but also prevention of respiratory disease in children with CP, using diverse outcome measures, including activities and participation.
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