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Abstract

We consider an application of pooled stepped chutes where the
transport in each pooled step is described by the shallow–water equa-
tions. Such systems can be found for example at large dams in order
to release overflowing water. We analyze the mathematical conditions
coupling the flows between different chutes taken from the engineering
literature. We present the solution to a Riemann problem in the large
and also a well–posedness result for the coupled problem. We finally
report on some numerical experiments.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35L65.

Keywords: Hyperbolic Conservation Laws on Networks, Management
of Water

1 Introduction

This work deals with water behavior of so–called pooled stepped chutes.
This geometry frequently appears in the real water dams and it also appears
in mountain rivers to control the bed load transport. In both cases the
main concern is to spill excessive floodwater in additional channels next to
the dam structure. These are called pooled stepped chutes or pooled steps.
Within the pooled steps additional weirs perpendicular to the flow direction
are introduced to increase energy dissipation. This problem has been gained
some attention in recent years in the engineering community, see e.g. [4, 6, 7,8,9,21,24,26]. However, only a few mathematical discussions are currently
available [16, 23]. In particular, the modeling and design of the spillways
and stepped channels have so far been addressed using experiments and data
fitting techniques, see e.g. [26]. From the measurements empirical formulas
have been derived and used in sophisticated simulations. The measurements
taking into account complex geometries as well as material properties and
the air–water mixture leading to a variety of different empirical formulas
and tables [17].
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So far, the mathematical discussion has been limited to a consideration of the effect of the weir at the end of a spillway neglecting the dynamics of the water inside the pooled channels. Here, we discuss the mathematical implications of considering the coupled problem, i.e., the dynamics inside the pooled steps and the (empirical or theoretical) conditions imposed closed to the weir. Typically, the water flow in the channels is described by the shallow-water equations whereas the effect of the weir is given by some algebraic condition. We treat this problem using a network approach with the conditions at the weir as coupling conditions. We present a well-posedness result for a simple condition based on energy dissipation. The recent literature offers several results on the modeling of systems governed by conservation laws on networks. For instance, in [1, 2, 10, 13] the modeling of a network of gas pipelines is considered. The basic model is the p-system or, in [14, 18], the full set of Euler equations. The key problem in these papers is the description of the evolution of fluid at a junction between two or more pipes. A different physical problem, leading to a similar analytical framework, is that of the flow of water in open channels, considered for example in [20].

Consider a water flow in an open canal affected by a weir or small dam at the point \( x = 0 \). If the water level becomes greater than the height of the weir, some water passes over the weir. Similarly to the models in [15, 19, 20] we describe the dynamics of the water by the shallow water equations, while the interaction with the weir is described by coupling conditions.

Let \((h, v)(t, x)\) be respectively the water level (with respect to the flat bottom) and its velocity for \( x \neq 0 \). The shallow-water equations in each canal are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t h + \partial_x (hv) &= 0 \\
\partial_t (hv) + \partial_x \left( hv^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2 \right) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.1)

where \( g \) is the gravity constant. Two canals are coupled by so-called pooled steps [26]. A sketch of this situation is given in Figure 1. In the engineering literature [9, 25, 26] (see also Remark 1.1) water of height \( h^- \) flowing over a weir of height \( H^- \) generates a flow \( Q \)

\[
Q = \tilde{C} \sqrt{g} \left( h^- - H^- \right)^{3/2},
\]

(1.2)

where \( \tilde{C} \) is a constant depending on the air–water–ratio and the detailed geometry. In many situations \( \tilde{C} = 0.6 \) is used. The equation (1.2) is called 3/2–law. Formally, it can be derived from the following idea: the potential energy of the water flowing over the weir is transformed to kinetic energy. Hence, we have the balance

\[
(\rho h) \frac{1}{2}gh = \frac{1}{2}(\rho h)v^2.
\]
Figure 1: This figure represents two connected pooled steps with a weir in between and the indication of the various heights.

Solving for \( v \) in terms of \( h \) we obtain the previous formula and \( 1 - \tilde{C} \) is the percentage of energy loss during the change of potential to kinetic energy. We use this idea to deduce the coupling condition for (1.1) at \( x = 0 \). We conserve the total water over the weir and hence \( h^+ + v^+ = h^- + v^- \). The difference in the amount of water overflowing the weir is \([h^- - H^-]_+ - [h^+ - H^+]_+\). This defines the velocity (and its sign) at the weir according to the balance of potential and kinetic energy. Hence, the coupling conditions are

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
h^-v^- = C \left([h^- - H^-]_+ - [h^+ - H^+]_+\right) \cdot \sqrt{[h^- - H^-]_+ - [h^+ - H^+]_+} \\
h^+v^+ = h^-v^-,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

(1.3)

where \( C = 0.06\sqrt{g} \), \((h^-, v^-) = (h, v)(t, 0-)\), \((h^+, v^+) = (h, v)(t, 0+\) while \( H^\pm \) are the heights of the weir to the left and the right (see Figure 1).

Remark 1.1 Obviously, (1.3) is only a first approximation on the complex dynamics at the weir, see [7, 23, 26]. As outlined in the introduction there exists a variety of empirical formulas in the engineering community. Many of them include further effects as for example the water–air ratio of the overspill or the roughness of the channel bottom. For example in [26, Equation 7.7] the following relation has been determined

\[
Q = \left(h^- - H^-\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{2}{3} \mu \sqrt{2g}\right) = C_1 \sqrt{g} \left(h^- - H^-\right)^{3/2} + C_2 \sqrt{g} \left(h^- - H^-\right)^{5/2} / H^-.
\]

Here, we have \( \mu = 0.611 + 0.08(h^- - H^-)/H^- \) and the constants are \( C_1 = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2} 0.611 \) and \( C_2 = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2} 0.08 \). Since the coefficient \( C_1 \) is roughly eight times larger than \( C_2 \), this equation is very similar to (1.3). Another example
is given in [3], [26, Equation 2.50–2.51], where the following formula has been proposed for the flow with \( H = h^- - h^- \)

\[
Q = v^- H(k_c + k_d) = 0.15 - 0.45 \left( \frac{(v^-)^2}{2gH} + \right)

\left( 0.57 - 2 \left( \frac{(v^-)^2}{2gH} - 0.21 \right)^2 \exp \left( 10 \left( \frac{(v^-)^2}{2gH} - 0.21 \right) \right) \right).
\]

The case of no weir, i.e., \( H^- \equiv 0 \) and \( H^+ > 0 \), lead to the following studied formulas, e.g. [23], [26, Equation 2.38]

\[
Q = v^- 0.715 h^-\]

or [23], [26, Equation 2.39–2.42]

\[
Q = v^- H^+ \left( \frac{h^-}{H^+} \right)^{1.275}.
\]

We restrict our discussion to the still commonly used (1.2) to outline the ideas. Further note that additional empirical formulas for the arising wave in the outgoing pooled step are not needed in our approach, since these dynamics are fully covered by the shallow-water equation.

2 The Riemann problem for a single weir

By Riemann Problem at the weir we define the problem (1.1), (1.3) with initial data

\[
(h, v) = \begin{cases} 
(h_l, v_l) & \text{for } x < 0 \\
(h_r, v_r) & \text{for } x > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(2.4)

**Definition 2.1** A solution to the Riemann Problem (1.1), (1.3), (2.4) is a function \((h, v) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2\) such that \((t, x) \to (h, v)(t, x)\) is self-similar and coincides in \( x > 0 \) with the restriction of the Lax solution to the standard Riemann Problem for (1.1) with initial data

\[
(h, v) = \begin{cases} 
(h(t, 0^+), v_l) & \text{for } x < 0 \\
(h_r, v_r) & \text{for } x > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(2.5)

while coincides in \( x < 0 \) with the restriction to of the Lax solution to the standard Riemann Problem for (1.1) with initial data

\[
(h, v) = \begin{cases} 
(h_l, v_l) & \text{for } x < 0 \\
(h(t, 0^-), v_r) & \text{for } x > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(2.6)

moreover \((h, v)(t, 0\pm) = (h^\pm, v^\pm)\) satisfy (1.3).
For studying the Riemann problem we first collect the standard expressions for the eigenvalues, eigenvectors and Lax curves for the shallow water equations (1.1).

The $2 \times 2$ system of conservation laws in (1.1) has the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ and the eigenvectors $r_1, r_2$, where

$$
\lambda_1(h, v) = v - \sqrt{gh} \quad \lambda_2(h, v) = v + \sqrt{gh}
$$

and

$$
r_1(h, v) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -v + \sqrt{gh} \end{bmatrix} \quad r_2(h, v) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ v + \sqrt{gh} \end{bmatrix}
$$

(2.7)

The Lax curves of the first and second family, described in Figure 2, are:

$$
v = L_1^+(h; h_0, v_0) = \begin{cases} v_0 - 2 \left( \sqrt{gh} - \sqrt{gh_0} \right) & h \leq h_0 \\ v_0 - (h - h_0) \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{h + h_0}{h_0}} & h > h_0, \end{cases}
$$

(2.8)

$$
v = L_2^+(h; h_0, v_0) = \begin{cases} v_0 + 2 \left( \sqrt{gh} - \sqrt{gh_0} \right) & h \geq h_0 \\ v_0 + (h - h_0) \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{h + h_0}{h_0}} & h < h_0. \end{cases}
$$

(2.9)

The reversed Lax curves of the first and second family are given by:

$$
v = L_1^-(h; h_0, v_0) = \begin{cases} v_0 - 2 \left( \sqrt{gh} - \sqrt{gh_0} \right) & h \geq h_0 \\ v_0 - (h - h_0) \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{h + h_0}{h_0}} & h < h_0, \end{cases}
$$

(2.10)

$$
v = L_2^-(h; h_0, v_0) = \begin{cases} v_0 + 2 \left( \sqrt{gh} - \sqrt{gh_0} \right) & h \leq h_0 \\ v_0 + (h - h_0) \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{h + h_0}{h_0}} & h > h_0. \end{cases}
$$

(2.11)

Concerning the coupling condition, we study the states which satisfy it at the point $x = 0$. We restrict ourselves to the subsonic region $|v| < \sqrt{gh}$.

From (1.23), since the heights are always positive, it follows

$$
\text{sign } v^- = \text{sign} \left( \left[ h^- - H^- \right]_+ - \left[ h^+ - H^+ \right]_+ \right).
$$

Moreover

$$
h^- \left| v^- \right| = C \left| \left[ h^- - H^- \right]_+ - \left[ h^+ - H^+ \right]_+ \right|^\frac{3}{2}
$$

which becomes

$$
\left( \frac{h^- \left| v^- \right|}{C} \right)^\frac{2}{3} = \left( \left[ h^- - H^- \right]_+ - \left[ h^+ - H^+ \right]_+ \right) \cdot \text{sign } v^-,
$$
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Figure 2: Lax curves for the shallow water system in the \((h, hv)\) plane

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ h^+ - H^+ \right]_+ &= \left[ h^- - H^- \right]_+ - \left( \frac{h^- |v^-|}{C} \right)^\frac{2}{3} \cdot \text{sign} \ v^-.
\end{align*}
\]

We have also to add the equality of the fluxes, hence we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\left[ h^+ - H^+ \right]_+ &= \left[ h^- - H^- \right]_+ - \left( \frac{h^- |v^-|}{C} \right)^\frac{2}{3} \cdot \text{sign} \ v^- \\
h^+ v^+ &= h^- v^-.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\] (2.12)

Consider first the case where the water level to the right is below the weir, \(h^+ \leq H^+\) so that \(\left[ h^+ - H^+ \right]_+ = 0\). If also \(h^- < H^-\) then no water crosses the weir, while if \(h^- > H^-\) the water crosses the weir flowing from left to right. The left state must satisfy \(v^- \geq 0\) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ h^- - H^- \right]_+ - \left( \frac{h^- |v^-|}{C} \right)^\frac{2}{3} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

which can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
h^- v^- &= C \left[ h^- - H^- \right]_+^\frac{3}{2}.
\end{align*}
\] (2.13)

The curve \((h^-, v^-)\) where \(h^-, v^-\) satisfy (2.13) consists of all the left states which can be connected to a right state with \(h^+ \leq H^+\) (see Figure 3). We call the support of this curve \(\Gamma_u\). The case \(h^+ \geq H^+, h^- < H^-\) is symmetric, hence we call \(\Gamma_l\) the support of the curve

\[
\begin{align*}
-h^+ v^+ &= C \left[ h^+ - H^+ \right]_+^\frac{3}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]
Since $C \left[ h^--H^- \right]_+^{1/2} = \tilde{C} \sqrt{g} \left[ h^--H^- \right]_+^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{g} (h^-)^{1/2}$ both $\Gamma_u$ and $\Gamma_l$ lie in the subsonic region. If both levels are above the weir, then (2.12) gives a unique state $(h^+, v^+)$ which connects a given state $(h^-, v^-)$. We call this function $(h^+, h^+v^+) = \Phi(h^-, h^-v^-)$. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** Consider the $(h, hv)$ plane, and define the following sets depicted in Figure 3:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_u &= \left\{ (h, hv) : hv > C \left[ h - H^- \right]_+^{1/2} \right\}, \\
\Sigma_l &= \left\{ (h, hv) : v < 0, \ 0 < h \leq H^- \right\}, \\
A^- &= \left\{ (h, hv) : 0 < hv < C \left[ h - H^- \right]_+^{1/2} \right\}, \\
B^- &= \left\{ (h, hv) : v \leq 0, \ h > H^- \right\}, \\
\Omega_l &= \left\{ (h, hv) : hv < -C \left[ h - H^+ \right]_+^{1/2} \right\}, \\
\Sigma_u &= \left\{ (h, hv) : v > 0, \ 0 < h \leq H^+ \right\}, \\
A^+ &= \left\{ (h, hv) : v > 0, \ h > H^+ \right\}, \\
B^+ &= \left\{ (h, hv) : -C \left[ h - H^+ \right]_+^{1/2} < hv \leq 0 \right\}.
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we have that the coupling condition induces the following assertions:

- no left state $(h^-, h^-v^-) \in \Omega_u$ can be connected to any right state $(h^+, h^+v^+)$ and no right state $(h^+, h^+v^+) \in \Omega_l$ can be connected to any left state $(h^-, h^-v^-)$;
- any left state in $\Gamma_u$ can be connected to any right state in $\Sigma_u$ with the same flux: $h^-v^- = h^+v^+$;
- any right state in $\Gamma_l$ can be connected to any left state in $\Sigma_l$ with the same flux: $h^-v^- = h^+v^+$;
- any left state in $A^-$ can be connected to one and only one right state in $A^+$ and any right state in $A^+$ can be connected to one and only one left state in $A^-$;
- any left state in $B^-$ can be connected to one and only one right state in $B^+$ and any right state in $B^+$ can be connected to one and only one left state in $B^-$. 
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We omit the proof since it is a straightforward analysis of the coupling conditions (2.12).

We call \( \Phi : A^- \cup B^- \to A^+ \cup B^+ \) the one to one map that associates to any left state in \( A^- \cup B^- \) the corresponding right state in \( A^+ \cup B^+ \) connected through the coupling condition:

\[
\Phi(h, hv) = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ + h - H^- - \left( \frac{h|v|}{C} \right) \frac{\hat{v}}{v} \sign(v, hv) \\ \frac{v}{C} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We also define

\[
\Phi(h, hv) = \left( \left( -\frac{v}{C} \right)^3 + H^+, hv \right) \in \Gamma_1, \quad \text{for any } (h, hv) \in \Sigma_{l},
\]

in such a way that the left state \((h^+, h^+ v^+) = \Phi(h^-, h^- v^-)\) is connected through the coupling condition to the right state \((h^-, h^- v^-)\) for any \((h^-, h^- v^-) \in \Sigma_{u} \cup A^- \cup B^-\).

We are now able to show that the Riemann problem can be solved in the large.

**Proposition 2.3** For all states \((h_l, v_l)\) and \((h_r, v_r)\) in the subsonic region, the Riemann problem (1.1), (1.3), (2.4) has a unique solution satisfying Definition 2.1 whose constant states depend continuously on the initial data and are constructed glueing together a wave of the first family, the coupling condition and a wave of the second family.

**Proof.** Given two states \((h_l, v_l)\) and \((h_r, v_r)\) in the subsonic region, we proceed in the following way for solving the Riemann problem. Draw the Lax curve of the first family in the \((h, hv)\) plane through \((h_l, v_l)\). Since, in the subsonic region, it is strictly decreasing, it intersects in one and only one point (with \(h > 0\)) the convex and non decreasing curve \(\Gamma_1\). We call the
unique point of intersection \((h^*, v^*)\). Then, we consider the non increasing curve

\[
\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} 
\left(\frac{H^+ - h}{h^*}, h^* v^*\right) & \text{for } h \leq h^* \\
\Phi(h, h L^+_1(h; h_l, v_l)) & \text{for } h > h^*.
\end{cases}
\] (2.14)

Next, we take the inverse Lax curve related to the second characteristic field passing through the right state \((h_r, v_r)\). This curve is strictly increasing in the subsonic region and in the upper supersonic region, therefore it has one and only one point of intersection with \(\gamma\) (for positive \(h\)), denoted by \((k, w)\). This point might belong to the upper supersonic region. The Riemann problem is finally solved in the following way: take the subsonic (or lower supersonic) state \((k^*, w^*)\) on the first Lax curve such that \(kw = k^* w^*\) and connect \((h_l, v_l)\) to \((k^*, w^*)\) with a wave of the first family. This wave has negative velocity since the curve belongs entirely to the subsonic region or to the subsonic region and the lower supersonic one. Then, the points \((k^*, w^*)\) and \((k, w)\) satisfy the coupling conditions (1.3). Finally \((k, w)\) and \((h_r, v_r)\) are connected by a wave of the second family which travels with positive velocity even if \((k, w)\) happens to be in the upper supersonic region, see Figure 4.

\[
□
\]

3 A well posedness result

This section is devoted to show the well–posedness of the Cauchy problem for system (1.1) for initial data around a constant subsonic state satisfying the coupling condition (1.3). For simplicity we suppose that the water level to the right of the weir is below the weir level, that is \(h^+ < H^+\). This implies that the water can only flow from the left to the right of the weir when its left level overflows the weir.

**Theorem 3.1** Given \(H_0^–\), \(H^+\) and two constant states in the subsonic region \((h_0, v_0)\) and \((h_1, v_1)\) such that

\[
h_0 v_0 = C \left[ h_0 - H_0^– \right]_+, \quad h_0 v_0 = h_1 v_1, \quad h_0 > H_0^–, \quad h_1 < H^+, \quad (3.15)
\]

then, there exists a closed domain

\[
\mathcal{D} \subseteq \left\{ (h, v) \in (h_0, v_0) \chi_{(-\infty, 0)} + (h_1, v_1) \chi_{(0, \infty)} + (L^1 \cap BV) \left( \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^2 \right) \right\}
\]

containing all functions with sufficiently small total variation in \(x > 0\) and \(x < 0\) and semigroups

\[
S_t^{H^–} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}
\]

defined for all \(H^–\) sufficiently close to \(H_0^–\), such that
Figure 4: These figures describe how to solve two Riemann Problem (first and second row). The states on the left and on the right of the weir are represented respectively on the left and right figures. The green lines separate the subsonic and supersonic regions

1) for all \( t, s \geq 0 \) and \( u \in \mathcal{D} \)

\[
S^H_0 u = u, \quad S^H_{t} S^H_{s} u = S^H_{t+s} u;
\]

2) for all \( u, v \in \mathcal{D}, H^1_1, H^2_2 \) in a suitable neighborhood of \( H^0_0 \) and \( t, t' \geq 0 \):

\[
\left\| S^H_{t} u - S^H_{t'} v \right\|_{L^1} \leq L \cdot \left\{ \|u - v\|_{L^1} + |t - t'| + t \cdot |H^1_1 - H^2_2| \right\};
\]

3) if \( u \in \mathcal{D} \) is piecewise constant, then for \( t \) small, \( S^H_t u \) is the gluing of solutions to Riemann problems at the points of jump in \( u \) and at the weir in \( x = 0 \);

4) for all \( u_o \in \mathcal{D} \), the map \( u(t, x) = \left(S^H_t u_o\right)(x) \) is a weak entropy solution to (1.1), (1.3) (see [5, Definition 4.1], [11, Definition 2.1]).

\( S \) is uniquely characterized by [7], [4] and [3].
Proof. Following [12, Proposition 4.2], the $2 \times 2$ system defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ can be rewritten as the following $4 \times 4$ system defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$:

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t U + \mathcal{F}(U) = 0 & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\
b(U(t, 0+)) = g(t) & t \in \mathbb{R}^+.
\end{cases}
\]

(3.16)

the relation between $U$ and $u = (h, v)$, between $\mathcal{F}$ and the flow in (1.1) being:

\[
U(t, x) = \begin{bmatrix}
h(t, -x) \\
-(hv)(t, -x) \\
h(t, x) \\
(hv)(t, x)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad
\mathcal{F}(t, x) = \begin{bmatrix}
U_2 \\
\frac{U_2^2}{U_1} + \frac{1}{2} g U_1^2 \\
U_4 \\
\frac{U_4^2}{U_3} + \frac{1}{2} g U_3^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(3.17)

with $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$; whereas the boundary conditions becomes

\[
g(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
H^- - H_0^- \\
0
\end{pmatrix},
\quad
b(U) = \begin{pmatrix}
U_1 - \left(\frac{U_2}{c}\right)^\frac{2}{3} - H_0^- \\
U_4 + U_2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The thesis now follows from [11, Theorem 2.2]. Indeed the assumptions $(\gamma)$, $(b)$ and $(f)$ are therein satisfied. More precisely the eigenvalues of the Jacobian of the flow in (3.17) are

\[
\frac{U_2}{U_1} \pm \sqrt{g U_1}, \quad \frac{U_4}{U_3} \pm \sqrt{g U_3};
\]

therefore in the subsonic region exactly two are positive and exactly two are negative. Since here $\gamma(t) = 0$, $\dot{\gamma}(t) = 0$, condition $(\gamma)$ in [11, Theorem 2.2] is satisfied with $\ell = 2$. Concerning condition $(b)$, the positive eigenvalues with the corresponding eigenvectors evaluated at $\bar{U} = (h_0, -h_0 v_0, h_1, h_1 v_1)$ are

\[
\Lambda_3 = -v_0 + \sqrt{gh_0}, \quad R_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
-v_0 + \sqrt{gh_0} \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\Lambda_4 = v_1 + \sqrt{gh_1}, \quad R_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
v_1 + \sqrt{gh_1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Conditions (3.15) imply $b(\bar{U}) = 0$, and

\[
[Db(\bar{U}) R_3, Db(\bar{U}) R_4] = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + \frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\sqrt{gh} - v_0\right) \cdot \left(-\frac{U_3}{c}\right)^\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\
-v_0 + \sqrt{gh_0} & v_1 + \sqrt{gh_1}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
The determinant of the above matrix is given by

\[
\left(1 + \frac{2}{3C} \left(\sqrt{gh} - v_0\right) \cdot \left(-\frac{U_2}{C}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right) \left(v_1 + \sqrt{gh_1}\right)
\]

which is strictly positive since \((h_0, v_0)\) and \((h_1, v_1)\) belong to the subsonic region. Thus condition (b) is satisfied. Concerning condition (f), system (3.16) is not necessarily strictly hyperbolic, for it is obtained gluing two copies of system (1.1). Nevertheless, the two systems are coupled only through the boundary conditions, hence the whole wave front tracking procedure in the proof of [11, Theorem 2.2] applies. Concerning the Lipschitz dependence on the height \(H^-\), observe that as in [11, Theorem 2.2] we have for

\[
g(t) = H_1^- - H_0^-, \quad \tilde{g}(t) = H_2^- - H_0^-,
\]

and hence

\[
\int_0^t |g(\tau) - \tilde{g}(\tau)| \, d\tau = t \cdot \left|H_1^- - H_2^-\right|.
\]

**4 Computational results**

We present some numerical results on pooled steps using a finite–volume method in the conservative variables to solve for the system dynamics in each canal. The coupling conditions (1.3) induce boundary conditions for each canal at each time–step. For given data \(U_0^\pm := (h_0^\pm, (hv)_0^\pm)\) close to the weir the conditions yield the boundary states at each connected canal. In the numerical computation of the boundary states we proceed as in Section 2 using Newton’s method applied to (1.3) where \(h^\pm\) and \((hv)^\pm\) are given by the forward (backwards) 1-(2) Lax–wave curves through the initial state \(h_0^\pm, (hv)_0^\pm\).

According to the solution of the Riemann Problem described in Section 2 there are three possible scenarios. If \(h^\pm \leq H^\pm\) the coupling condition (1.3) reduce to \(v^\pm = 0\) and no water passes the weir. If \(h^- > H^-\) and \(h^+ \leq H^+\) the water is overflowing the weir from the left to the right. If \(h^+ > H^+\) and \(h^- \leq H^-\) the water is overflowing the weir from the right to the left. If \(h^+ > H^+\) and \(h^- > H^-\) the water is flowing from the left or from the right depending on the sign of \((h^- - H^-) - (h^+ - H^+)\).

Now, we present a numerical result for overflowing three connected pooled–steps. Each canal has length \(L = 1\) and the height of each weir is 1.5. We simulate two situations. In the first case the initial water level in each canal is low (equal to \(H^-\)) in the second case the canals are already full (height is equal to \(H^+\)). In both case the water initial is still \(v = 0\) and a wave with
a height $h = 2H^+$ and $hv = 5$ enters on the first canal. This wave lasts until $T = 20$ and is then followed by a wave of height $h = H^-$ and zero flux. The simulation time is $T = 60$ for both scenarios. We present snapshots of height and velocity at different times. The solid lines are the weir, the dotted line is ground level. It is a pooled step and therefore the first canal is on a higher level above ground than the last one.

In the first scenario (see Figure 5) the wave enters the system of pooled steps and overflows the connected canals. After this wave passed the system slowly reaches again an almost steady state. In the second scenario (see Figure 6) we observe initial dynamics due to the overflow of the pooled steps. On the second canal these dynamics interact with the incoming wave. After the wave passed the system slowly reaches a steady state with heights below critical (i.e., $h \leq H^+$) in the first and second canal. The water is still flowing over the third weir in this simulation.
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Figure 5: Simulation results for a strong wave entering the pooled step. Initially, the water level on each step is below critical. The solution \((h, v)\) at different times is depicted. The time increases from left to right and top to bottom.
Figure 6: Simulation results for a strong wave entering the pooled step. Initially, the water level on each step is above the critical level. The solution \((h, v)\) at different times is depicted. The time increases from left to right and top to bottom.
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