Effectiveness of animal-assisted interventions for children and adults with post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Animal-assisted interventions (AAI) are increasingly applied for people with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms albeit its effectiveness is unclear.

Objectives: To examine the effectiveness of AAI for treating PTSD symptoms.

Method: We searched 11 major electronic databases for studies reporting quantitative data on effects of AAI for children and adults with PTSD symptoms. Of 22,211 records identified, we included 41 studies with 1111 participants in the systematic review comprising eight controlled studies with 469 participants in the meta-analysis. We conducted random-effects meta-analyses with all controlled studies based on standardized mean differences (SMD), and calculated standardized mean change (SMC) as effect sizes for studies with a pre-post one-group design. Two independent researchers assessed the quality of the included studies using the NIH Study Quality Assessment Tools. The primary outcome was PTSD or depression symptom severity measured via a standardized measurement at pre- and post-intervention assessments.

Results: There was a small but not statistically significant superiority of AAI over standard PTSD psychotherapy (SMD = 0.26, 95% CI: −0.56 to 0.04) in reducing PTSD symptom severity while AAI was superior to waitlist (SMD = −0.82, 95% CI: −1.56 to 0.08). Getting a service dog was superior to waiting for a service dog (SMD = −0.58, 95% CI: −0.88 to −0.28). AAI led to comparable effects in reducing depression symptoms as standard PTSD psychotherapy (SMD = −0.03, CI: −0.88 to 0.83). Pre-post comparisons showed large variation for the reduction in PTSD symptom severity, with SMCs ranging from −0.38 to −1.64, and for depression symptom severity, ranging from 0.01 to −2.76. Getting a service dog lowered PTSD symptoms between −0.43 and −1.10 and depression with medium effect size of −0.74.

Conclusions: The results indicate that AAI are efficacious in reducing PTSD symptomatology and depression. Future studies with robust study designs and large samples are needed for valid conclusions.

La eficacia de las intervenciones asistidas por animales para niños y adultos con síntomas de estrés postraumático: una revisión sistemática y metanálisis

Antecedentes: Las intervenciones asistidas por animales (AAI por sus siglas en inglés) se aplican cada vez más a personas con síntomas de estrés postraumático (TEPT) aunque su eficacia no es clara.

Objetivos: Examinar la efectividad de la AAI para el tratamiento del TEPT

Método: Se realizaron búsquedas en 11 bases de datos electrónicas importantes para estudios que reportaran información cuantitativa sobre los efectos de AAI para niños y adultos con síntomas de TEPT. De los 22,211 registros identificados, se incluyeron 41 estudios con 1111 participantes en la revisión sistemática que comprendían ocho estudios controlados con 469 participantes en el metanálisis. Se realizaron metanálisis de efectos aleatorios con todos los estudios controlados según las diferencias medias estandarizadas (SMD según siglas en inglés), y se calculó el cambio de medias estandarizado SMC (por sus siglas en inglés) como tamaños del efecto para los estudios con diseño de un grupo post. Dos investigadores independientes evaluaron la calidad de los estudios incluidos usando las Herramientas de Evaluación de Calidad del Estudio del NIH. El resultado primario fue la medición de la severidad del TEPT o síntomas depresivos a través de mediciones estandarizadas en evaluaciones pre y post intervención.
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1. Introduction

Including animals in psychological treatment is a common and still increasing therapeutic practice. Animal-assisted interventions (AAI) are defined as ‘goal-oriented and structured interventions that intentionally include or incorporate animals in health, education and human services for the purpose of therapeutic gains in humans’ (IAHAIO, 2018).

One common indication for AAI found in literature is treatment of individuals who have experienced trauma and have developed post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Charry-Sanchez, Pradilla, & Talero-Gutierrez, 2018; Jones, Rice, & Cotton, 2019; Tedeschi, Jenkins, Parish-Plass, Olmert, & Yount, 2019).

Practice of AAI for people with PTSD symptoms varies broadly and comprises therapeutic interventions (animal-assisted therapy) as well as less-structured activities with animals (animal-assisted activity). A prominent form of animal-assisted therapy with this population is the integration of an animal into manualized PTSD psychotherapy, referred to as animal-assisted psychotherapy. Other intervention forms that include human–animal interaction are less specific to psychotherapeutic techniques and settings. A different and emerging approach is that patients suffering from PTSD obtain a trained PTSD service dog that lives in the patient’s home. This illustrates the diversity of AAI for people who have experienced trauma. Moreover, there is great variability in how animals are integrated into a treatment and even the involved species differ widely. Most commonly, the treatment providers work with horses and dogs. This variability combined with the fast development of the field of AAI for people with PTSD symptoms raise the question about effectiveness and research-guided standards.

Integration of animals in treatment approaches for trauma-affected individuals is based on the observation of promising outcomes of AAI for a number of populations such as psychiatric patients (Hawnk, Hawkins, Dennis, Williams, & Lawrie, 2019; Rossetti & King, 2010), patients with autism spectrum disorders and behavioural difficulties (O’Haire, 2013; Trzmiel, Purandare, Michalak, Jasadka, & Pawlaczyk, 2019) or people with cognitive impairments or dementia (Hu, Zhang, Li, & Chen, 2018; Zafra-Tanaka, Pacheco-Barrios, Tellez, & Taype-Rondan, 2019), although results are sometimes mixed. There is also an increasing
number of studies investigating the effects of AAI for people who experienced trauma including patients with PTSD. A systematic review on the effects of AAI for treating individuals who have experienced trauma included ten studies but only five of which measured PTSD symptom severity (O’Haire, Guerin, & Kirkham, 2015). The authors report that AAI lowered observed PTSD symptoms between 13 and 80% across these five studies with small to large effect sizes. A recently published meta-analysis (Germain, Wilkie, Milbourne, & Theule, 2018) also investigated the effects of animal-assisted psychotherapy for persons who have experienced trauma. The authors included eight studies in a pre-post comparison analysis and found a large effect size. In the analysis comparing animal-assisted psychotherapy versus active control treatment, only two studies were included resulting in a small to moderate effect size (Germain et al., 2018). Both the review and the meta-analysis concluded that AAI might be an effective treatment for trauma but highlighted a clear need for more research. Similar problems apply for the evidence regarding the use of PTSD service dogs. A recent review gives an overview of the effects of service dogs for veterans with PTSD (van Houtert, Endenburg, Wijker, Rodenburg, & Vermetten, 2018). However, from 19 included articles, only six were empirical studies and the authors concluded that there is not enough empirical data supporting the effectivity of PTSD service dogs (van Houtert et al., 2018). During the last few years, the number of published research investigating the effects of AAI for trauma survivors with PTSD symptoms increased largely. However, an up-to-date review with meta-analysis is lacking leaving many questions regarding the effectiveness of AAI for people with PTSD symptoms unanswered. Especially the comparative effectiveness of AAI as compared with established PTSD psychotherapy without animal assistance remains largely unclear to-date.

This systematic review with meta-analysis aims to fill this gap. We included a larger number of studies and did not restrict included studies to certain treatment forms compared to the recently published meta-analysis, but rather included all interventions that fulfill the above-mentioned definition of AAI. We calculated effect sizes for PTSD symptom severity in studies with either a pre-post one-group or a control-group design, and we conducted meta-analyses with controlled studies in which PTSD and depression outcomes were used. The aim of this article is to give a comprehensive and updated insight of the effectiveness of AAI for people with PTSD symptoms.

2. Methods
2.1. Search strategy and selection criteria
We conducted a systematic literature search in electronic databases (PsycINFO, MEDLINE, PSYDEXplus, ERIC, Embase, PubMed, CINAHL, Web of Science, Scopus, ProQuest and PTSDpubs (ProQuest); see Appendix 1, Table A1, summarizing the applied search strategies). We checked the reference lists of a previous systematic review (O’Haire et al., 2015) and a previous meta-analysis (Germain et al., 2018). Moreover, we searched the database HABRI Central, a specific online platform for open research and collaboration in the field of human–animal interaction.

All obtained records were imported into Covidence systematic review software (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia), where all duplicates were removed and the screening was performed. Titles and abstracts of the retrieved records were screened by two independent researchers who excluded clearly irrelevant references. Full texts were also screened by two independent researchers. Ambiguities were resolved by consensus between all involved researchers in the screening process (KH, PK, AH, FT, CG). If the publication was not available through the university libraries, study authors were contacted. Records were excluded if we were unable to obtain the full-text (Figure 1).

Study identification, screening and eligibility determination was done according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (McInnes et al., 2018). The study procedure was defined apriori and the protocol was preregistered with PROSPERO (Hediger et al., 2019).

2.2. Study selection
We included studies with participants who had experienced a traumatic event (we excluded studies with healthy participants). Participants in the included studies needed to fulfill criterion A according to the diagnostic criteria for PTSD as specified in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders fifth edition (DSM-5) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), and they needed to report the occurrence of PTSD symptoms in the aftermath. Eligible studies had to report quantitative data at pre- and post-intervention assessments. With respect to the interventions, we included studies in which an animal was involved intentionally as part of the intervention to fulfill the criterion of AAI (according to the definitions of the International Association of Human Animal Interaction Organizations (IAHAIO, 2018)). Finally, we included only articles that were written in English. We did not restrict included records to studies
that were published in peer-reviewed scientific journals in order to reduce publication bias, and we included studies which used control groups as well as studies with a pre-post one-group design.

We identified secondary publications of one study in several cases. This occurred for one study included in the effect size calculation and for four studies included in the narrative review. In such cases we primarily focused on the article reporting the predefined primary outcome, the article with the most comprehensive information, or the article that underwent a peer-review process. The secondary publications were used for data extraction in order to search for study information which was lacking in the primary publication (see Tables 3, 4 and Appendix 2 for detailed information).

Studies with a control-group design reporting PTSD and/or depression outcome were included in the meta-analysis. For all studies reporting pre-post data on PTSD and/or depression measures, we calculated the effect sizes from baseline to the end of treatment and, if applicable, from baseline to follow-up. All additional outcomes are presented narratively.

**2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment**

All data were independently extracted and coded in duplicate by a team of five researchers (AH, FT, PK, EP and KH) on a standardized form (Microsoft Office Excel 2016) after a training in using the form with descriptive details for coding of each item. Disagreements were resolved by consensus between the two raters who rated the respective study or by contacting a third rater (KH) when no consensus could be found. If study reports included data on
healthy participants besides participants with PTSD symptoms, we excluded healthy participants data. We extracted group sizes, means and standard deviations (SDs) for the primary outcomes. We extracted other statistical data that can be converted into means and SDs if one of these values was missing. We calculated missing SDs according to the formulas provided by Lipsey and Wilson (2001), and Higgins and Green (2008). If SDs could not be calculated from the available study information, we imputed them using the SDs reported in the other included studies (Furukawa, Barbu, Cipriani, Brambilla, & Watanabe, 2006). We conducted sensitivity analyses excluding studies in which SDs had to be imputed.

We assessed risk of bias using the NIH Study Quality Assessment Tools (NIH, https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools; cited 10.06.2020). This quality assessment tool includes 14 items characterizing studies with a control group and 12 items for studies with a pre-post one-group design. The individual item responses are combined to an overall quality rating for each study according to a predefined algorithm. Two independent raters assessed risk of bias and disagreements were resolved through discussion. When information regarding a certain item was not reported, we assumed the item was not fulfilled.

To characterize the included population, we first coded number of participants, drop-out rate, age and gender. Second, we coded the participant category, type of experienced trauma and if PTSD symptoms or a PTSD diagnosis were confirmed. To characterize the intervention, we recorded the number and length of the treatment sessions. We then extracted the intervention form (group or single), the treatment provider, the treatment label used in the publication (AAI terminology), the included animals and the setting in which the intervention took place. The type of intervention was clustered into ‘animal-assisted intervention’ and ‘receiving a service dog’ in the meta-analysis. With respect to additional aspects of the study, we recorded if the study was published in a peer-reviewed journal, the country in which the study was conducted, the study design, and the year of publication. Results of the meta-analysis are reported according to the PRISMA guidelines (McInnes et al., 2018). Synthesis of the narrative review is presented according to the Synthesis Without Meta-analysis (SWiM) reporting guideline (Campbell et al., 2020).

2.4. Outcome measures

Our prespecified primary outcome measure for the meta-analyses was PTSD symptom severity, assessed via a validated observer-rating or self-rating scale. If more than one trauma scale was used, we selected the most commonly used scale in order to reduce between-study heterogeneity. We included data on depression severity, assessed via a validated observer-rating or self-rating scale for study reports in which PTSD symptom severity was not reported and for study reports which included both outcomes, because PTSD and depression have a high rate of co-occurrence in trauma survivors. In the protocol we had prespecified stress, depression and anxiety as well as treatment acceptability via drop-out rates between the beginning and the end of treatment as secondary outcomes. The number of studies reporting stress and anxiety symptoms was too small to conduct a meta-analysis. However, for the narrative review, we included all reported outcomes.

2.5. Effect sizes

For the continuous outcomes, we calculated the standardized mean difference (SMD) with small sample correction for each study (Lipsey & Wilson, 2001) as effect size of differences between two intervention groups. Corresponding standard errors were calculated for all effect size indicators. In the studies with a one-group design we calculated standardized mean change (SMC) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) (Lipsey & Wilson, 2001). The magnitude of effect sizes was interpreted as small, moderate, or large, with 0.20, 0.50, and 0.80 SD units, respectively (Cohen, 1988). For the calculation of odds ratios as indicators of treatment acceptability we extracted the number of drop-outs between beginning and end of treatment. If no drop-out rates were reported we used the difference between the number of participants at the beginning of treatment and at the end of treatment.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Random-effects meta-analyses were conducted for all controlled studies. Results are presented as summary SMDs for the relevant pairs of treatments. Whenever possible, measures of uncertainty are reported in the form of the 95% CI. If two interventions were used in a study that differed only with respect to dose or other non-psychological characteristics, we combined the statistical data necessary for effect size calculation according to the recommendations in the Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews (Higgins, Li, & Deeks, 2019).

We explored the presence of a small sample bias and publication bias by assessing funnel plot asymmetry (i.e. whether studies with negative or non-significant results are missing) with a regression test (Egger, Davey Smith, Schneider, & Minder, 1997). To
evaluate heterogeneity between studies, we examined $\tau^2$, which is an estimate of the variance among true effect sizes. Higher $\tau^2$-values indicate greater variability between studies than would be expected by chance. Based on the definition of small, moderate, and large effect size estimates according to Cohen (1988), we interpreted $\tau^2$ as follows: $\tau^2 = (0.2/2)$ was considered to represent low heterogeneity, $\tau^2 = (0.5/2)$ was 0.06 moderate heterogeneity, and $\tau^2 = (0.8/2)$ was 0.16 high heterogeneity between studies. In addition, we report $I^2$, which can be interpreted as the percentage of overall heterogeneity that is due to variation of the true effects. An $I^2$-value of 0–40% might not be important, 30–60% may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50–90% may represent substantial heterogeneity, and 75–100% may represent considerable heterogeneity (Deeks, Higgins, & Altman, 2019).

Due to a small number of available studies, our analyses deviated from the protocol as we did not calculate an egger test for publication bias and did not conduct subgroup analyses for the intervention setting group vs individual.

All analyses are performed with the software package STATA 13.1 (Harris et al., 2010). We assumed 2-sided $P < .05$ to indicate statistical significance for all conducted analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Search results

We identified 22,211 records and screened 17,085 titles and abstracts after we had removed duplicates. 208 full-text studies were assessed for eligibility. We finally included 41 studies (Balluerka, Muela, Amiano, & Caldentey, 2015, 2014; Beck et al., 2012; Bergen-Cico et al., 2018; Burton, Qeadan, & Burge, 2019; Craven, 2013; Dietz, Davis, & Pennings, 2012; Earles, Vernon, & Yetz, 2015; Faye, 2003; Gehrke, Noquez, Ranke, & Myers, 2018; Gómez, 2016; Hamama et al., 2011; Johnson et al., 2018; Kemp, Signal, Botros, Taylor, & Prentice, 2014; Klop, Hunter, & Kertz, 2017; Krause-Parello & Friedmann, 2014; Krause-Parello & Gulick, 2015; Krause-Parello, Levy, Holman, & Kolassa, 2018; Kruger, 2012; Lanning & Krenek, 2013; Lanning, Wilson, Krenek, & Beaujean, 2017; Malinowski et al., 2018; McCullough, 2011; McCullough, Risley-Curtiss, & Rorke, 2015; Miller, Jamison, Gala, & Woodward, 2018; Mueller & McCullough, 2017; Murrow, 2013; Naste et al., 2018; Nevins, Finch, Hickling, & Barnett, 2013; O’Haire & Rodriguez, 2018; Rodriguez, Bryce, Granger, & O’Haire, 2018; Romaniuk, Evans, & Kidd, 2018; Schramm, Hediger, & Lang, 2015; Shambo, Seely, & Vonderfecht, 2010; Sheade, 2015; Signal, Taylor, Botros, Prentice, & Lazarus, 2013; Signal, Taylor, Prentice, McDade, & Burke, 2017; Steele, Wood, Usadi, & Applegarth, 2018; Vincent et al., 2017; Whittlesey-Jerome, 2014; Woodward, Jamison, Gala, & Holmes, 2017; Woodwart, Jamison, Gala, & Arsenault, 2017; Woolley, 2004; Wortman et al., 2018; Yarborough et al., 2017; Yorke et al., 2013) (see Figure 1) that were published in 46 reports with 1111 analysed participants. The meta-analysis included eight controlled studies with 469 participants.

3.2. Descriptives of the 41 included studies

From 41 studies that were published in 46 reports, 38 consisted of articles published in a peer-reviewed journal and eight were unpublished studies. The study characteristics are summarized in Tables 1, 3, and 4 and reported in detail in the supplementary material (Table A2). The majority ($N = 32$) of studies were conducted in the USA, one in Canada, four were conducted in Australia, three in Europe (with additional data from Honduras), and one in Israel. The included studies were published between 2003 and 2018. The number of published studies increased considerably over the last decade, with 20 studies published between 2017 and 2018, and only three studies published before 2010. The majority of the 41 studies had a pre-post one-group design ($N = 28$) whereas eight of the studies had a control group and two out of these were randomized controlled studies. These eight studies qualified for inclusion in the meta-analysis with five studies qualifying for post-post analyses comparing the effects of animal-assisted treatment versus standard PTSD psychotherapy and one study comparing AAI with waitlist. In the waitlist controlled study, the participants of the waitlist were provided AAI after the initial study period had ended, and these participants were then added to the initial treatment group for analyses, thus violating the requirement of independence between treatment and control group (Johnson et al., 2018). In two controlled studies, getting a service dog was compared with waiting for a service dog (Bergen-Cico et al., 2018; O’Haire & Rodriguez, 2018). For the 20 studies with PTSD and/or depression outcomes at the beginning and end of treatment, we calculated symptom change between beginning and end of treatment as effect sizes. Five studies had a follow-up measurement with a mean of 13 weeks.

3.2.1. Characteristics of participants

The number of analysed participants across all 41 studies ranged between 153 and one (in the narrative review) with a median of 17 (see Tables 1, 3, 4, and A3). The attrition rate across all studies varied between 0% and 75%. 18 of the studies had an attrition rate of 0% while eight studies reported attrition rates higher than 20%. Participants’ age across all studies ranged between 4 and 86 years with 15 studies focusing on children and adolescents (one of them also including adults) and 26 on adults. In 23 studies, the adult populations were veterans (including active
Table 1. Characteristics and results of the 8 included controlled studies on PTSD symptom or depression severity.

| First author, Publication year | Study design | N analysed (AAI/control) | Gender | Age (range, M, SD) | Type of experienced trauma | Participating animals | Treatment provider | AAI terminology | Control intervention | Co-treatment | SMD (95% CI) at end of treatment* |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Bergen-Cico (2018)             | Control      | 48 (34/14)              | NR     | M = 42, SD = 11.5 | War                       | Dogs                 | Dog trainer       | Therapeutic dog ownership and training | Waiting for service dog and engaging in social programmes (volunteering in a peer support programme) | NR          | PTSD: −0.33 (−0.97 to 0.30)       |
| Burton (2019)                  | Control      | 20 (11/9)               | 16m/4f | 33–63, M = 47, SD = 14 | War                       | Horses               | Occupational therapist, EAGALA-certified with professional horse handler | Equine-assisted psychotherapy | Ongoing PTSD therapy | Ongoing PTSD therapy | PTSD: −0.33 (−1.22 to 0.55)       |
| Dietz (2012)                   | Control      | 153 (121/32)            | 10m/14f | 7–17               | Sexual abuse              | Dogs                 | Licenced and master’s level clinicians (social work, trained psychologists, counselling) | Animal-assisted therapy | Group PTSD therapy | NR          | PTSD: −0.31 (−0.70 to 0.08)       |
| Johnson (2018) RCT             | Control      | 19 (19/13)              | 32m/6f | 29–73, M = 54.35, SD = 12.85 | War                       | Horses               | Occupational therapists and PATH-certified riding instructors | Therapeutic horseback riding | Waitlist            | NR          | PTSD: −0.82 (−1.56 to −0.08)       |
| Mueller (2017)                 | Control      | 54 (25/29)              | 45m/9f | 10–18              | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse and neglect | Horses               | Licenced clinical social worker | Equine-facilitated psychotherapy | Continuation with trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy | NR          | PTSD: −0.14 (−0.67 to 0.40)       |
| O’Haire (2018)                 | Control      | 141 (75/66)             | 110m/31f | M = 37.1, SD = 8.3 | War                       | Dogs                 | NA                 | Service dog | Waiting for service dog; ongoing usual care | Unrestricted access to usual care | Regular traditional group therapy | PTSD: −0.65 (−0.99 to −0.31)       |
| Whittlesey-Jerome (2014)       | Control      | 13 (6/7)                | 0m/13f | 28–64, M = 43.75 | In abusive relationship   | Horses               | Mental health professional or licensed professional counsel for credentialed by EAGALA | Equine-assisted psychotherapy | Ongoing regular traditional group therapy | Characteristic result of the 8 controlled studies on depression severity. |
| Woolley (2004)                 | Control      | 21 (11/10)              | 11m/10f | 11–17              | Abuse, maltreatment       | Farm animals         | Staff person or volunteer | Animal-assisted therapy | Conventional psychotherapy | Conventional psychotherapy | Depression: −0.03 (−0.88 to 0.83)       |

N: number of analysed participants in the study; M: mean; SD: standard deviation; SMD: standard mean difference; CI: confidence interval; NR: not reported; m: male; f: female; NA: not applicable; AAI: animal-assisted intervention; RCT: randomized controlled trial; PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder; EAGALA: equine-assisted growth and learning association; PATH: Professional Association of Therapeutic Horsemanship; *negative values indicate superiority of AAI over the comparator; †some studies report gender only for included participants and not for the number of analysed participants.
duty service members in one study). Gender was weighted to male participants with 54\% of the total analysed participants in all 41 studies (six studies did not report the gender of participants, four of them addressing veterans).

The most often examined type of trauma experienced by participants was war in 22 studies (in one study war combined with sexual abuse). In nine studies, the experienced traumas consisted of physical abuse, sexual abuse, neglect or other form of interpersonal violence while four studies investigated sexual abuse. One study included participants who experienced different traumas (interpersonal or accidental traumas mixed), while one study included participants who experienced accidental nature-based trauma. The authors of five studies did not specify the experienced trauma of the participants. In 31 of the studies, PTSD symptoms or a PTSD diagnosis of the participants was confirmed by a standardized method, while 10 studies only reported that the participants experienced a trauma and succinct posttraumatic symptoms.

3.2.2. Characteristics of AAI

Authors of 20 studies investigated interventions run in an individual format, authors of 19 studies utilized a group format and authors of two studies used a combined approach of group and individual sessions (see Tables 1, 3, 4 and Table A4). Authors of two studies did not specify the format of the program. Intervention duration ranged between four days and up to 15 months with one study that combined a three-week intervention with placement of dogs up to four years. The average duration of the intervention was 10 weeks. Participants received between one and 60 intervention sessions. The average number of sessions for interventions in all studies was 10. The length of one such session ranged between 15 minutes and two days with an overnight stay, while the average duration length (without the outlier of two days) was 90 minutes.

In most studies, interventions were run by psychologists/psychotherapists/mental health professionals (10 studies), four studies had interventions provided by counsellors. Two studies included social workers, psychologists as well as counsellors, three studies had occupational therapists as treatment providers, three used volunteers, two had dog trainers, two had dog handlers, and in one study the intervention was provided by a recreation therapist. In four studies, these providers were EAGALA certified, in three studies they were certified by PATH International, and two studies had other certifications as AAI specialist. The authors of seven studies did not specify the person providing the treatment. In four studies, the persons had an unclear background and were called therapist, trainer, and in one study the persons were indicated as a representative from a centre.

AAI terminology ranged broadly. Most studies used equine-assisted psychotherapy or equine-facilitated psychotherapy (nine studies), eight studies used equine-assisted or equine-facilitated therapy while, two used equine therapy and in two studies the terminology therapeutic horseback riding was used. Five studies used animal-assisted therapy, one study used the more specific terminology dog-assisted therapy (Hamama et al., 2011) and two used the broader terminology animal-assisted intervention. Two studies used human–animal interaction as terminology.

The majority of the studies included horses (22 studies) while 14 studies included dogs and one study had both dogs and horses as animals. One study investigated the effect of interventions with seals (Wortman et al., 2018), one with different farm animals (Woolley, 2004), one with dolphins (Faye, 2003) and one with sheep (Schramm et al., 2015).

Most treatments were provided at a treatment or a therapy centre (10 studies). In other studies, the intervention took place at a riding centre (seven studies), at a farm (five studies) or at a ranch (four studies). Other settings were at participants’ homes (three studies), in an aquarium (two studies), a school (one study) (Hamama et al., 2011), a training site (one study) (Kloep et al., 2017), a shelter (one study) (Signal et al., 2017), a hospital (one study) (Krause-Parello et al., 2018) or a lab (one study) (Woodhart et al., 2017). Authors of five studies did not specify the setting in which the intervention took place.

3.2.3. Study quality

The quality of the 41 studies included in the systematic review indicated a high risk of bias with 28 studies rated poor and 13 studies rated fair while no study was rated with good quality.

3.3. Main results for controlled studies

3.3.1. PTSD symptom severity

Authors of three studies investigated the effect of AAI compared to standard PTSD psychotherapy on PTSD symptom severity (Burton et al., 2019; Dietz et al., 2012; Mueller & McCullough, 2017). The results indicate somewhat lower symptom severity in the AAI group at baseline, which was mainly explained by one study (Mueller & McCullough, 2017) and not statistically significant overall (see Table 2 and Appendix 3, Table A5). All three studies indicated small and statistically non-significant superiority of AAI over standard PTSD psychotherapy at the end of treatment in reducing PTSD symptom severity.
Table 2. Main results for AAI compared with standard PTSD psychotherapy or waitlist at baseline and at the end of treatment.

| Treatment effects | Comparison & outcome | Number of comparisons | Group difference SMD (95% CI) | P-value for group difference | Heterogeneity ($I^2$) | Tau² | P-value for heterogeneity |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| **AAI vs. standard PTSD psychotherapy: PTSD symptom severity** | Baseline | 3 | -0.16 (-0.60 to 0.28) | 0.479 | 50.6% | 0.1 | 0.132 |
| | End of treatment | 3 | -0.26 (-0.56 to 0.04) | 0.087 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.865 |
| **AAI vs. waitlist: PTSD symptom severity** | Baseline | 1 | -0.04 (-0.68 to 0.60) | 0.900 | NA | NA | NA |
| | End of treatment | 1 | -0.82 (-1.56 to -0.09) | 0.029 | NA | NA | NA |
| **AAI vs. standard PTSD psychotherapy: depression** | Baseline | 2 | -0.28 (-1.16 to 0.19) | 0.162 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.775 |
| | End of treatment | 2 | -0.14 (-0.82 to 0.54) | 0.697 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.677 |
| | Sensitivity analysis Baseline | 1 | -0.53 (-1.40 to 0.35) | 0.238 | NA | NA | NA |
| | Sensitivity analysis end of treatment | 1 | -0.03 (-0.88 to 0.83) | 0.951 | NA | NA | NA |
| **Getting service dog vs. waiting for service dog: PTSD symptom severity** | Baseline | 2 | -0.08 (-0.38 to 0.21) | 0.572 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.934 |
| | End of treatment | 2 | -0.58 (-0.88 to -0.28) | < 0.001 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.390 |
| **Drop-outs** | **Comparison** | **Baseline** | **End of treatment** | **Baseline** | **End of treatment** | **Baseline** | **End of treatment** | **Baseline** | **End of treatment** |
| **AAI vs. standard PTSD psychotherapy** | 5 | 1.99 (0.46 to 3.55) | 0.1354 | 22.8% | 0.67 | 0.269 |
| **AAI vs. waitlist** | 1 | 10.81 (1.16 to 20.43) | 0.036 | 0.0% | NA | NA | NA |
| **Getting service dog vs. waiting for service dog** | 2 | 3.14 (0.35 to 28.46) | 0.310 | 0.0% | NA | NA | NA |

AAI: animal-assisted intervention; N: number of included studies; SMD: standard mean difference, OR: odds ratio.

3.3.4. Drop-outs

The number of participants who dropped out from the remaining study showed considerably lower numbers than in the main study (Whittlesey-Jerome, 2014). The number of dropouts did not differ significantly between getting a service dog and waiting for a service dog (see Table 2).

3.3.3. Sensitivity analyses

When we excluded participants who dropped out from the study in which we had to compute the SIs from the results regarding depression severity at outcome (Whittlesey-Jerome, 2014), the remaining study showed considerably lower depression severity at outcome (Whittlesey-Jerome, 2014). A similar effect was found at the end of treatment (see Figure 3).

3.3.2. Depression severity

Authors of two studies investigated the effect of AAI compared with standard PTSD psychotherapy and depression severity (Whittlesey-Jerome, 2014; Wooley, 2004). The results indicate statistically significant lower depression severity at baseline in AAI as compared to the standard PTSD psychotherapy group. At the end of treatment, there was a small and non-significant superiority of AAI over standard PTSD psychotherapy (see Table 2, Appendix 2, Table A.3).

One study investigated the effect of AAI compared to waiting on PTSD symptom severity (Johnson et al., 2018). The results suggest some asymmetry indicating the risk of publication bias. Figure A1 suggests some asymmetry indicating the risk of publication or reporting bias (see Appendix 3, Figure A1).
3.4. Main results for pre- versus post effects

3.4.1. PTSD symptom severity
The change in PTSD symptom severity between baseline and end of treatment of AAI was reported in 20 studies (Burton et al., 2019; Craven, 2013; Dietz et al., 2012; Earles et al., 2015; Faye, 2003; Gómez, 2016; Hamama et al., 2011; Johnson et al., 2017; Kemp et al., 2014; Kloep et al., 2017; Lanning et al., 2017; Malinowski et al., 2018; McCullough, 2011; Mueller & McCullough, 2017; Naste et al., 2018; Romaniuk et al., 2018; Sheade, 2015; Signal et al., 2017; Steele et al., 2018). There is a large variability of effect sizes between different studies ranging from −0.38 to −1.64 (see Table 3).

The change in PTSD symptom severity after getting a service dog was reported in three studies with effect sizes ranging from −0.43 to −1.10 (Bergen-Cico et al., 2018; O’Haire & Rodriguez, 2018; Vincent et al., 2017) (see Table 3).

3.4.2. Depression severity
12 studies reported change in depression symptom severity between baseline and end of treatment of AAI with also a broad variability of effect sizes ranging from 0.01 to −2.76 (Earles et al., 2015; Hamama et al., 2011; Kemp et al., 2014; Kloep et al., 2017; Lanning et al., 2017; Romaniuk et al., 2018; Schramm et al., 2015; Shambo et al., 2010; Signal et al., 2013; Steele, Steele, & Fonagy, 1996; Whittlesey-Jerome, 2014; Woolley, 2004) (see Table 3).

One study reported change in depression symptom severity between baseline and end of treatment after getting a service dog indicating a medium effect size of −0.74 (Vincent et al., 2017) (see Table 3).

3.5. Main results for pre- versus follow-up effects

3.5.1. PTSD symptom severity
Four studies reported change in PTSD symptom severity between baseline and follow-up of AAI (Gómez, 2016; Kloep et al., 2017; Lanning et al., 2017; Romaniuk et al., 2018) with effect sizes ranging between 0.24 and −3.92 (see Table 3).

3.5.2. Depression severity
Two studies reported change in depression symptom severity between baseline and follow-up of AAI with effect sizes of individual studies ranging between 0.30 and −3.22 (Romaniuk et al., 2018; Shambo et al., 2010) (see Table 3).

3.6. Additional outcomes
The 13 studies included in the narrative review were published in 17 articles (one of them included in the meta-analysis (O’Haire & Rodriguez, 2018)) (see Table 4). Authors of three of these studies defined PTSD symptomatology as the primary outcome measured via one of the PTSD checklist (PCL) versions. All of these studies had a pre-post one-group design but did not meet our criteria for effect-size calculation. One study found a statistically significant reduction in the PTSD symptoms ($d = −0.98$) after getting a service dog (Yarborough et al., 2017), and two studies with only one participant reported a clinically significant decrease of PTSD symptoms (Nevins et al., 2013; Wortman et al., 2018). Authors of five studies measured stress via physiological parameters. Different parameters were used, and results were mixed regarding blood pressure, heart rate, cortisol, salivary alpha-amylase and immunoglobulin A. While getting a service dog might be associated with a significant higher cortisol awakening reaction as well as a significantly better health status compared to waiting for a service dog, it did not influence sleep quality (Rodriguez et al., 2018).

Several studies looked at different psychological outcomes. Most outcome measures were used in only one of all studies and the results varied widely. While AAI had positive effects on attachment security (Balluerka et al., 2014) and functional impairment (Kruger, 2012), no effects were found regarding psychosocial adaption, school maladjustment and behavioural symptoms (Balluerka et al., 2015), mood, resilience, fatigue, and daily functioning compared to the control intervention (Beck et al., 2012). Results on effects of a service dog on sleep quality were also mixed (Miller et al., 2018; Woodwart et al., 2017).

4. Discussion

4.1. Main findings
Our evaluation of the effectiveness of AAI regarding the reduction of PTSD and depression symptoms in people with PTSD symptoms was based on a small number of controlled studies and we identified only two randomized controlled trials on the effectiveness of AAI. Our meta-analysis reveals a small but not statistically significant superiority of AAI, which adds interaction with an animal to standard PTSD psychotherapy, over standard psychotherapy on PTSD symptom severity at the end of treatment. We identified one study in which AAI was compared with a waitlist control. Here AAI was statistically superior over waiting for AAI with a large effect size in reducing PTSD symptomatology (Johnson et al., 2018). Moreover, a significant superiority was found for getting a service dog and training the dog combined with standard care over waiting for a service dog combined with standard care at the end of treatment with a moderate effect size in reducing PTSD symptomatology.
| First author, Publication year | Study design | N analysed | Gender | Type of experienced trauma (range, M, SD) | Participating animals | Treatment provider | AAI terminology | Pre-post SMD (95% CI) at end of treatment | Pre-follow-up SMD (95% CI) at end of treatment |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------|------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Bergen-Cico (2018)           | Control     | 34         | NR     | M = 42, SD = 11.5                        | War                  | Dogs              | Dog trainer     | PTSD: −0.43 (−0.66 to −0.21)            | NA                                       |
| Burton (2019)                | Control     | 11         | 16m/4f | 33–63 M = 47, SD = 14                   | War                  | Horses            | Occupational therapist, EAGALA-certified with professional horse handler | PTSD: −1.03 (−1.60 to −0.46)            | NA                                       |
| Craven (2013)                | Pre-post    | 5          | 4m/2f  | 26–66, M = 44, SD = 15.4                | War, sexual abuse    | Horses            | Clinical psychologist/equine specialist, counsellor, equine specialist | PTSD: −4.28 (−6.98 to −1.58)           | NA                                       |
| Dietz (2012)                 | Control     | 121        | 10m/14f| 7–17                                     | Sexual abuse         | Dogs              | Licenced and master's level clinicians (social work, trained psychologists, counselling) | PTSD: −0.59 (−0.71 to −0.46)           | NA                                       |
| Earles (2015)                | Pre-post    | 16         | 4m/12f | 33–62, M = 51.25, SD = 9.99             | Various traumas      | Horses            | NR              | PTSD: −0.78 (−1.17 to −0.39)            | Depression: −0.33 (−0.63 to −0.03)       |
| Faye (2003)                  | Pre-post    | 3          | 6m/7f  | 7–11, M = 9.18, SD = 1.40               | Nature               | Dolphins          | Psychologist     | PTSD: −0.94 (−1.94 to 0.05)            | NA                                       |
| Gómez (2016)                 | Pre-post    | 5          | 6m/2f  | 46–76, M = 58, SD = 9.85                | War                  | Horses            | Certified PATH International therapeutic riding instructor and certified therapeutic recreation specialist | PTSD: −0.59 (−1.00 to 0.13)           | PTSD: −0.58 (−1.31 to 0.15)               |
| Hamama (2011)                | Pre-postb   | 9          | 0m/9f  | 14–16, M = 14.9, SD = 1.5               | Physical or sexual abuse | Dogs              | Dog-assisted therapy | PTSD: −0.69 (−1.18 to −0.20)           | Depression: −0.47 (−0.89 to −0.04)       |
| Johnson (2018)               | RCT         | 19         | 32m/6f | 29–73, M = 54.35, SD = 12.85            | War                  | Horses            | Occupational therapists and PATH-certified riding instructors | PTSD: −0.73 (−1.07 to −0.39)           | NA                                       |
| Kemp (2014)                  | Pre-post    | 30         | 6m/2f  | Children: 8–11, M = 9.8, SD = 1.3       | Physical or sexual abuse, neglect | Horses            | Equine-facilitated therapy | PTSD: −3.73 (−4.70 to −2.76)           | Depression: −1.31 (−1.70 to −0.92)       |
| First author, Publication year | Study design | N analysed | Gender | Age (range, M, SD) | Type of experienced trauma | Participating animals | Treatment provider | AAI terminology | Pre-post SMC (95% CI) at end of treatment | Pre-follow-up SMD (95% CI) at end of treatment |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Kloep (2017)                  | Pre-post    | 12        | 9m/4f  | Cohort 1: 26–70, M = 44, SD = 15.4 | War | Dogs | NR | Animal-assisted therapy | PTSD: -4.31 (-6.06 to -2.56) | PTSD: -3.92 (-5.67 to -2.17) |
| Lanning (2013)                | Pre-post    | 7         | 10m/3f | Cohort 223–53, M = 36, SD = 13.29–52, M = 35.5, SD = 6.9 | | | | | Depression: -2.76 (-3.91 to -1.61) | NA |
| Lanning (2017)                | Pre-post    | 39        | 33m/18f | War | Horses | Volunteers | Therapeutic horseback riding | PTSD: -0.79 (-1.07 to -0.51) | PTSD: -0.52 (-0.81 to -0.22) |
| Malinowski (2018)             | Pre-post    | 7         | 6m/1f  | Cohort 31–68, M = 58, SD = 13.14 | War | Horses | Licensed therapist and certified equine specialist | PTSD: -1.16 (-1.90 to -0.42) | NA |
| McCulloch (2011)/McCulloch (2015) | Pre-post    | 11        | 6m/5f  | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse and neglect | Horses | Psychotherapist | Equine-facilitated psychotherapy | PTSD: -0.47 (-0.86 to -0.08) | NA |
| Mueller (2017)                | Control     | 25        | 45m/9f | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse and neglect | Horses | Licensed clinical social worker | Equine-facilitated psychotherapy | PTSD: -0.38 (-0.63 to -0.14) | NA |
| Naste (2018)                  | Pre-post    | 2         | 0m/3f  | 10–12, M = 11, SD = 1 | | | | | PTSD: 0.54 (-0.40 to 1.49) | NA |
| O’Haire (2018)                | Control     | 75        | 110m/31f | | | | | | PTSD: -1.00 (-1.21 to -0.80) | NA |
| Romaniuk (2018)               | Pre-post    | 42        | 30m/17f | | War | Horses | EEA facilitators, certified in the Equine Psychotherapy Institute, accompanied by a psychologist | PTSD: Couples: -0.05 (-0.13 to -0.02) Individual: -0.09 (-0.14 to -0.04) Depression: Couples: -0.05 (-0.08 to -0.02) Individual: -0.04 (-0.07 to -0.01) | PTSD: Couples: -0.03 (-0.05 to -0.01) Individual: 0.23 (-0.21 to 0.69) Depression: Couples: -0.07 (-0.13 to -0.02) Individual: 0.03 (-0.27 to 0.87) |
| Schramm (2015)                | Pre-post    | 6         | NR     | 21–64, M = 47.67, SD = 16.06 | Not specified | Sheep | Psychotherapist | Animal-assisted mindfulness training | Depression: -0.10 (-1.72 to 0.52) | NA |
| Shambo (2010)                 | Pre-post    | 6         | 0m/6f  | Interpersonal violence | Horses | NR | Equine-facilitated psychotherapy | Depression: -2.94 (-4.66 to -1.22) | PTSD: -3.22 (-4.94 to -1.50) |

(Continued)
| First author, Publication year | Study design | N analysed | Gender | Age (range, M, SD) | Type of experienced trauma | Participating animals | Treatment provider | AAI terminology | Pre-post SMC (95% CI) at end of treatment | Pre-follow-up SMD (95% CI) at end of treatment |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Sheade (2015)                | Pre-post     | 3         | 3m/1f  | 32-67            | War                        | Horses               | Principal investigator, Licenced Professional Counsellor (LPC) also PATH certified equine specialist | Relational equine-partnered counselling | PTSD: −1.64 (−3.11 to −0.18) | NA |
| Signal (2013)                | Pre-post     | 44        | 6m/3f  | Children: 8–11, M = 9.8, SD = 1.3; Adolescents: 12–17, M = 15.5, SD = 0.8; Adults: 19–50, M = 37 | Sexual abuse | Horses | EAGALA counsellors | Equine-facilitated therapy | Depression: Children: −1.82 (−2.53 to −1.11); Youth: −1.31 (−1.85 to −0.76); Adult: −2.19 (−3.02 to −1.33) | NA |
| Signal (2017)                | Pre-post     | 20        | 12m/8f | 5–12, M = 8.0, SD = 2.0 | Sexual abuse | Dogs | Dog handler/owner and specialized RSPCA education officer in conjunction with PH staff | Animal-assisted therapy | PTSD: −0.87 (−1.23 to −0.50) | NA |
| Steele (2018)                | Pre-post     | 85        | 60m/25f| 22–72, M = 42.94, SD = 11.63 | War | Horses | Mental health professional and equine professional | Equine-assisted psychotherapy | PTSD: −0.70 (−0.86 to −0.54); Depression: −0.84 (−1.01 to −0.67) | NA |
| Vincent (2017)               | Pre-post     | 15        | 15m/5f | 31–61+ | War | Dogs | Dog trainers/NA | Service dog | PTSD: −1.10 (−1.59 to −0.61); Depression: −0.74 (−1.13 to −0.35) | NA |
| Whittlesey-Jerome (2014)     | Control      | 6         | 0m/1f  | 28–64, M = 43.75 | In abusive relationship | Horses | Mental health professional (licenced professional counsellor), or LPC credentialed by EAGALA | Equine-assisted psychotherapy | PTSD: −0.37 (−0.84 to −0.09) | NA |
| Woolley (2004)               | Control      | 11        | 11m/10f| 11–17 | Abuse, maltreatment | Farm animals | Staff person or volunteer | Animal-assisted therapy | Depression: 0.01 (−0.33 to 0.34) | NA |

N: number of analysed participants in the AAI group; M: mean; SD: standard deviation; SMD: standard mean change, CI: confidence interval; NR: not reported; NA: not applicable; AAI: animal-assisted intervention; a negative values indicate symptom improvement from beginning to end of treatment; matching group with no symptoms is not considered as control group; some studies report gender only for included participants and not for the number of analysed participants.
Table 4. Characteristics and results of the 13 studies included only in the narrative review.

| First author, Publication year | Study design | N analysed (AA/ control) | Gender | Age (range, M, SD) | Type of experienced trauma | Participating animals | Treatment provider | AAI terminology | Results |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Ballerka (2014)*               | Contol       | 46 (21/25)               | 32m/14f| 12–17, M = 15.41, SD = 1.65 | Not specified | Dogs, horses, farm animals | Psychologist, specialized in AAT | Animal-assisted psychotherapy | Higher attachment security* after AAI compared to before treatment but no effect on other attachment dimensions; no difference between AAI and control group |
| Ballerka (2015)*               | Contol       | 63 (39/24)               | 42m/25f*| 12–17, M = 15.27, SD = 1.63 | Not specified | Dogs, horses, farm animals | Psychologist, specialized in AAT | Animal-assisted psychotherapy | No difference in clinical symptoms between AAI and control; subscale adaptive skills rated by teachers higher* in AAI compared to control but no difference in other subscales |
| Beck (2012)                    | Contol       | 24 (12/12)               | 17m/7f | AAT: M = 37.08, SD = 11.79 | War            | Dogs                     | Dog handler         | Animal-assisted therapy | No differences in mood, perceived stress, fatigue, functioning, and participation in everyday activities from pre- to post-assessment but decreased psychological function*, higher work performance* and higher quality of interaction*, no differences between AAI and control group |
| Gehrke (2018)                  | Pre-post     | 17                        | NR     | NR                | War            | Horses                  | Wranglers and counsellors | Equine therapy | Decreased low frequency/high frequency (LF/HF) ratio* in heart rate variability; Improvement in affect* (increase in self-esteem, reduction in irritability and anxiety) |
| Krause-Parello (2014)*         | RCT          | 42 (19/23)               | 2m/40f | 5–14, M = 8.91, SD = 2.33 | Sexual abuse   | Dogs                    | Therapist, dog and its handler | Animal-assisted intervention | Interaction effect* of dog and interview length on alpha amylase; trend of reduced immunoglobulin A with dog; interaction effect* of dog, age of child and interview length on heart rate |
| Krause-Parello (2015)*         | RCT          | 42 (19/23)               | 2m/40f | 5–14, M = 8.91, SD = 2.33 | Sexual abuse   | Dogs                    | Therapist, dog and its handler | Animal-assisted intervention | No differences regarding diastolic and systolic blood pressure and heart rate values for both the intervention and control groups; lower cortisol levels after intervention for control group* but not for AAI; no differences in immunoglobulin A before and after intervention between AAI and control |
| Krause-Parello (2018)          | Contol       | 25 (25/25)               | 21m/4f | 33–86, M = 65.32, SD = 12.26 | War            | Dog                     | Psychologist              | Animal-assisted intervention | Therapy dog and psychologist both reduce cortisol and heart rate*; no effect on blood pressure, alpha amylase and immunoglobulin A between before and after control or treatment |
| Kruger (2012)                  | Pre-post     | 15                        | 11m/4f | 7–17, M = 11.13, SD = 3.11 | Not specified  | Horses                  | Licensed mental health professionals | Trauma-focused equine-assisted psychotherapy Service animal | Decrease in functional impairment* (increase in subscales school behaviour*, home behaviour*, behaviour towards others*, community behaviour* and moods/emotions*; no change in subscales self-harmful behaviour scale and the problem thinking) |
| Miller (2018)                  | Pre-post     | 31                        | 31m/0f | 25–66, M = 41.23, SD = 11.86 | Not specified  | Dogs                    | NA                       | Animal-assisted therapy | Canine presence was not a predictor of reports of nightmares and disturbed dreaming; elevated respiratory event index and lower prior-night sleep respiratory sinus arrhythmia predicted nightmares and disturbed dreams. |
| Murrow (2013)                  | Pre-post     | 9                         | 3m/6f  | 4–12              | Physical or sexual abuse, domestic violence | Dogs | Therapist (not specified) and researcher (dog handler) | Animal-assisted therapy | Change in behaviour towards dog* (some more, some less approach behaviour towards dog); no change in relationship skills |
| Nevins (2013)                  | Pre-post     | 1                         | 1m/0f  | 52                 | War            | Horses                  | Certified trainer          | Intervention (Saratoga WarHorse Connection method) | Reduced PTSD symptomatology (clinically significant); improvements in depression, resilience, life satisfaction and social support |
| Rodriguez (2018)*              | Contol       | 73 (45/28)               | 59m/14f | M = 37.08, SD = 7.81 | War            | Dogs                    | NA                       | Psychiatric service dog | Higher cortisol awakening response*; better health status*; no effect on sleep quality |

(Continued)
| First author, Publication year | Study design | N analysed (AAI/control) | Gender | Age (range, M, SD) | Type of experienced trauma | Participating animals | Treatment provider | AAI terminology | Results |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|
| Woodward (2017)**             | Pre-post    | 18                      | 18m/0f | M = 39, SD = 13 | War                      | Dogs                   | NA               | Service canine | Attenuated attention bias towards images of aversive emotive scenes* or angry faces* Lower sleep heart rate* and greater morning restlessness* in the presence of the service dog Reduced PTSD symptomatology (clinically significant) |
| Woodward (2017)**             | Pre-post    | 23                      | 23m/0f | M = 39, SD = 13 | War                      | Dogs                   | NA               | Service dog    | Reduced PTSD symptomatology*; improvements in VR-12 mental component summary*; BASIS depression/functioning subscale*; emotional lability subscale*; activity level*; happiness score* and quality of life*; moderate effect sizes for BASIS substance abuse and interpersonal relationship subscales |
| Wortman (2011)                | Pre-post    | 1                       | NR     | NR              | War                      | Seals                  | Representative from the VMARC (Veteran and Military Affiliated Research Center) | human-animal interaction | |
| Yarborough (2017)             | Pre-post    | 20                      | NR     | 23-67, M = 42, SD = 12 | War                      | Dogs                   | NA               | Service dog    | Reduced PTSD symptomatology*; improvements in VR-12 mental component summary*; BASIS depression/functioning subscale*; emotional lability subscale*; activity level*; happiness score* and quality of life*; moderate effect sizes for BASIS substance abuse and interpersonal relationship subscales |
| Yorke (2013)                  | Pre-post    | 4                       | NR     | 8-10            | Not specified            | Horses                 | NR               | human-animal interaction | Mild to moderate symmetry between child-horse pairs |

N: number of analysed participants in the study; M: mean; SD: standard deviation; NR: not reported; NA: not applicable; AAI: animal-assisted intervention; AAT: animal-assisted therapy; abd both publications refer to the same study; same study as O’Hare et al. (2015); *some studies report gender only for included participants and not for the number of analysed participants; * statistically significant.
a treatment and is as effective as standard psychotherapeutic interventions for PTSD. However, AAI is not superior to standard PTSD psychotherapy.

A relevant finding of our systematic review with meta-analysis was the observation of predominantly low quality of research in this comparably young field of research with most studies being published within the last decade. Main problems were the lack of control interventions, baseline differences in controlled studies, low numbers of participants and a high risk for publication bias.

4.2. Relation to previous research findings

Our results are largely consistent with a previous meta-analysis also showing that animal-assisted psychotherapy is efficacious in decreasing PTSD symptoms in patients who experienced trauma (Germain et al., 2018). However, Germain et al. (2018) found a small to moderate superiority of animal-assisted psychotherapy over other interventions in two studies that we could not replicate based on data of three included studies. Moreover, the authors conducted a meta-analysis on pre-post comparisons indicating a large effect size while stating in parallel that the differences found in the pre-post comparisons might be due to threats to internal validity (Germain et al., 2018). The latter statement is in line with our findings and confirms our decision not to calculate a mean effect size for comparisons from the beginning to end of AAI treatment.

Germain et al. (2018) discussed that animal-assisted psychotherapy might produce smaller attrition rates than would be predicted based on drop-out rates from trauma-focusing treatments. In our analyses, five studies looking at PTSD measures indicated that a non-significantly higher number of participants dropped out of AAI compared to standard PTSD psychotherapy and compared to waitlist based on one study. Also, the number of drop-outs did not differ significantly between getting a service dog and waiting for a service dog. Thus, the acceptability of AAI compared to standard PTSD psychotherapy seems to be equivalent.

4.3. Implications

The majority of the included participants in this systematic review experienced severe and interpersonal traumas, mostly war or otherwise physical or sexual abuse. Approximately half of the interventions were run in a group format whereas the other half used an individual format. Intervention sessions ranged from one single session (Krause-Parello & Friedmann, 2014; Krause-Parello & Gulick, 2015; Krause-Parello et al., 2018) to 60 sessions (Bergen-Cico et al., 2018) with an average intervention duration of 10 weeks. All studies included an animal in a specific form with the goal of improving a broad range of symptomatology of participants with PTSD. Dogs and horses were the most common animal species. As already noted by O’Haire and colleagues (O’Haire et al., 2015), authors of most studies provide limited information about the person delivering the intervention and their training and experience with AAI. Although we did not select for specific forms of AAI, most interventions were run by psychologists/psychotherapists/mental health professionals. This might reflect the process that AAI is more and more acknowledged by the professional field and that guidelines for quality control are being developed and enforced (Enders-Slegers, Hediger, Beetz, Jegatheesan, & Turner, 2019; Simonato, De Santis, Contalbrigo, Benedetti, & Finocchi Mahne, 2018). For clinical practice, these results suggest that AAI can be as effective as standard PTSD psychotherapy and that there can be different forms of conducting AAI. However, it is not yet clear in what way AAI should be conducted to be most effective as this might highly depend on the circumstances of a programme and the patients involved. For example, some patients might prefer working with horses over dogs or vice versa depending on their biographical experiences. Such factors must be taken into account when considering AAI and should be given more attention in future research.

4.4. Strengths and limitations

We included different forms of AAI and did not restrict them to psychotherapeutic interventions as Germain et al. (2018) did. To increase comparability, we clustered them into ‘animal-assisted intervention’ versus ‘receiving a service dog’. This ensures that approaches with similar components that are applied in practice are also included in our systematic review, and thus increasing external validity, while taking into account the internal validity as different AAI forms are considered separately. In order to minimize publication bias, we also included non-peer reviewed manuscripts albeit the study quality was sometimes low. We also calculated effect sizes for comparisons between pre- and follow-up outcome measurement. However, the number of studies with follow-up measurements is small and one study (Faye, 2003) had to be excluded as it reported to have a follow-up of three individuals at 28 weeks but did not present any data. This example underlines the fact that adherence to standard guidelines for study conduct and reporting is rather low in this field, as Germain et al. (2018) already stated. For most of the studies, detailed information regarding the study design, methodology or the intervention itself was missing. It is important to know in what way the animal was integrated (animal just being
present, animal embedded in a therapeutic narrative, amount of physical contact or even riding if horses were present) as this is an important aspect of the intervention and needs to be systematically investigated rather than the presence of an animal itself (Lopez-Cepero, 2020). For example, the study by Dietz and colleagues revealed greater positive outcomes when a dog was integrated into the intervention via using therapeutic stories about the dog compared to the dog just being present during the intervention (Dietz et al., 2012). Detailed information would also help to better categorize interventions and combine or compare it with appropriate studies. There is a high variety regarding participants and even more in programme characteristics. Although Germain and colleagues found that length of the treatment, group or individual setting and the involved animal species do not appear to moderate the effect (Germain et al., 2018), it seems necessary to increase the number of studies with a high-quality design to compare specific treatment aspects such as the format (group or individual), amount of contact and type of activity with the animal. The current data basis does not allow for conclusive analyses of such predictors. Future studies should use replicable protocols and describe procedures in detail. As already noted by O’Haire et al. (2015), AAI for patients with PTSD is not yet clearly defined.

Although this meta-analysis includes a higher number of studies compared to a previous one (Germain et al., 2018), there are still relatively few studies investigating the effects of AAI in people with PTSD, especially when it comes to studies using a control group. The quality of the existing studies is mostly low with baseline differences in controlled studies, small numbers of participants and the analyses suggest the presence of publication bias. Results must therefore be interpreted with caution and there is a clear need for more quantitative studies with a high-quality study design that evaluate an increasing practice. Most studies used pre-post measurements without control and some of them reported large effect sizes. It is crucial to conduct at least two or three, preferably multi-centre, randomized controlled trials with a large population to test if these effects can be reproduced.

4.5. Future research directions

In most studies, AAI was combined with standard care and participants continued with the treatment they already had at study start which included medications or other active psychotherapeutic interventions. The results of these studies only allow measurement of the impact of the intervention as a whole but not the individual effect of its components, e.g. the effect of the human–animal contact, the effect of the psychotherapeutic intervention, or the interaction of both (Lopez-Cepero, 2020). Accordingly, the selection of an appropriate control group for future research is crucial. For example, Dietz et al. (2012) used the same protocol for the standard PTSD psychotherapy group as for the AAI groups. Such an approach allows not only to determine the effect of an AAI programme but also to draw hypotheses about the specific effects of an animal and the way an animal is integrated into treatment. It is important to note, however, that comparative studies which include comparisons between two alternative treatments require large sample sizes because the expected effect sizes between treatment groups are expected to be small (Schnurr, 2007). None of the included studies which used a comparative study design used an adequately sized sample, which decreases the chance of detecting small differences between treatment effects on the one hand, and on the other hand increases the chance that the observed findings are invalid (Cuijpers, 2016).

This review and meta-analysis focused on symptomatology while there is still a lack of knowledge about other possible outcomes of AAI. It is often hypothesized that animals can facilitate contact between therapists and patients (Julius, Beetz, Kotrschal, Turner, & Uvnäs-Moberg, 2013; Schneider & Harley, 2006). The fact that animals are less evaluative than humans, that they communicate non-verbally, that they offer opportunities to provide caregiving, or to experience physical closeness are discussed as mechanisms for explaining why some patients find it easier to establish a relationship with an animal than an unfamiliar therapist (Amerine & Hubbard, 2016; Julius et al., 2013). For future studies it might be interesting to see if those characteristics of AAI could be a specific advantage in involving patients that cannot easily be reached by other conventional interventions for trauma. The question of whether efficacy of AAI on average is equivalent to standard PTSD psychotherapy is crucial since AAI is usually more complicated, can be more expensive, and also has to take into account the ethical dimensions of ‘using’ animals. Therefore, future research should evaluate potential predictors determining for which patients conventional therapeutic approaches might be more suitable and for whom AAI might be more effective. The current literature basis suggests the hypothesis that interacting with an animal might help patients with PTSD to not refrain from avoiding unpleasant aspects of a trauma therapy (Germain et al., 2018). This highlights the importance of including measures such as therapy motivation, therapeutic relationship, adherence and drop-out rates in future trials.

The currently available evidence can be considered an important starting point which, despite the low quality of evidence, suggests that AAI has comparable
effects to standard PTSD psychotherapy. Future research should move forward and focus on investigating for whom the addition of animals to the standard treatment protocols offers unique opportunities for treatment success and symptom improvement. For the establishment of AAI as evidence-based treatment, the field should essentially improve the quality of studies by following important available recommendations (Cuijpers, 2016; Schnurr, 2007).

5. Conclusion

AAIs seem to be efficacious in reducing PTSD symptomatology and depression for people with PTSD symptoms. AAIs lead to comparable effects to standard PTSD psychotherapy for people with PTSD symptoms and is superior to waiting for AAI. The quality of the included studies is rather low with baseline differences in controlled studies as well as low numbers of participants and a high risk for publication bias as the most important aspects. There is considerable heterogeneity between the studies with a pre-post design, which may be explained by the low quality of these studies or by the presence of true differences between treatment effects in sub-samples of people with PTSD symptoms. This highlights a strong need for future studies with robust study designs to quantify the effect of AAI. Future high-quality studies are needed to investigate potential moderators of the observed differences between AAI and standard PTSD psychotherapy with a specific focus on identifying individuals who particularly benefit from AAI but not from standard treatments.
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