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Abstract—in this paper, the bandwidth of a bowtie antenna is improved to meet the requirements of Ground
Penetrating Radar (GPR) applications that need a fractional bandwidth greater than 100% and are able to operate at low frequencies. This was done using several modification steps, which were the use of Antipodal technique for its advantages in reducing the complexity of the feeder network to achieve good matching with a standard 50-Ω SMA connector, bending the four corners of the arms, and adding a triangular slot in each arm. The simulation was carried out using CST Microwave Studio to study the effect of each modification step on improving the bandwidth. The simulation results of the new antenna achieved a fractional bandwidth of 138% within the frequency range (1–5.45) GHz at the values of return loss ($S_{11} \leq -10$ dB). The new antenna was also fabricated, and the return loss was measured and showed a good agreement with the simulation results.

1. INTRODUCTION

GPR systems are effective non-destructive tools which use transmission and reception of electromagnetic waves to detect targets under different surfaces such as ground, water, ice, cement, and other propagation media with different permittivities [1]. Antennas are an essential part of GPR systems, so they must be designed to meet the requirements of these systems, such as the ability to work at low frequencies to increase the depth of penetration and ability to work at high frequencies to achieve good resolution to distinguish small-sized targets. Therefore, the design and development of UWB antennas are necessary to increase the performance of GPR systems [2].

To classify systems according to their bandwidth, the fractional bandwidth (FBW) is defined by the relationship in Eq. (1). Antennas can be considered ultra-wideband if they achieve a fractional bandwidth of greater than 25% within the frequency range 3–11 GHz [3–5], but GPR systems require to achieve a fractional bandwidth greater than 100% [6], in addition to work at lower frequencies.

$$\text{FBW} = 2 \frac{f_h - f_l}{f_h + f_l} \times 100\%$$ (1)

where $F_h$ is the higher frequency, and $F_l$ is the lower frequency in the bandwidth.

Bowtie antenna is widely used in GPR systems [2] due to its positive features such as small size and weight, planar, low dispersion, low cost, good phase stability, easy modification, and easy fabrication [4]. However, the frequency bandwidth of the traditional bowtie antenna is not wide enough to be used for GPR applications, so many researches added several modifications to overcome this drawback using cutting edges of the arms [7, 8], rounding corners [9–11], resistive loading [6, 12–14], capacitive loading [6–10, 13, 15], antipodal technique [4, 7, 16–19], and self-complementary technique [3, 20].
In this paper, we will present a combination of several previous methods to study their impact on improving the bandwidth of the traditional bowtie antenna, to get a new UWB bowtie antenna with bandwidth greater than 100% that secures the requirements of GPR systems.

2. TRADITIONAL BOWTIE ANTENNA (TBA) DESIGN

TBA is formed from a two-dimensional geometric approximation of a biconical antenna, getting two symmetrical triangular arms on one plane [6, 21] with two coplanar striplines (CPSs) for feeding from a 50-Ω SMA connector as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. TBA (Traditional Bowtie Antenna).](image)

In this design, an FR4 substrate with dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_r = 4.3$), thickness ($h = 1.6$ mm), and loss tangent ($\delta = 0.025$) was used. The antenna dimensions shown in Table 1 were designed based on Equation (2) [5, 15] where $\lambda$ is the wavelength for the minimum frequency $f$ in the bandwidth which is 1 GHz in our design.

$$L = \frac{1.6\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The characteristic impedance $Z$ of the TBA can be calculated from Equation (3) [6, 15, 21].

$$Z_0 = 120 \ln \left( \cot \frac{\theta}{4} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\theta$ is the flare angle of the arms. Now TBA has $\theta = 63.9^\circ$ and $Z = 150 \Omega$.

| Symbol | Parameter       | Value [mm] |
|--------|-----------------|------------|
| $L_x$  | Arm Length      | 110        |
| $L_y$  | Arm Wide        | 137.2      |
| $L$    | Antenna Length  | 223        |
| $X$    | Substrate Length| 235        |
| $Y$    | Substrate Wide  | 158.6      |
| $S$    | Gap             | 3          |
| $H_s$  | CPS Length      | 80         |
| $W$    | CPS Wide        | 2.8        |
In the case of direct connection with the 50-Ω SMA connector ignoring the matching, the work of the TBA will not be acceptable, but in the case of ideal connection with a 150-Ω virtual connector, the ideal-TBA will operate within the frequency range (1.25–5.87) GHz for return loss values ($S_{11} \leq -10$ dB). Figure 2 shows the simulation results of the reflection coefficient $S_{11}$ for the previous two cases using CST Microwave Studio.

**Figure 2.** Matching effect on TBA and ideal-TBA bandwidth.

Practically, TBA needs a good matching between 150 Ω and 50 Ω. This can be achieved by adding a feeder network as a Microstrip to CPS transition [21, 22], but that will lead to a reduction in bandwidth, increasing antenna size and design complexity [3].

The proposed solution to overcome this problem is to use antipodal technique, which increases the ability of TBA for matching without the need of this type of feeder network, thus eliminating the limited bandwidth and increasing the possibility of applying the modifications in practical cases.

### 3. PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS FOR BANDWIDTH IMPROVEMENT

#### 3.1. Antipodal Technique

To apply the antipodal technique on the TBA, one of the arms and its feed line were moved from the front side to the back side of the substrate [16]. The bandwidth of Antipodal Traditional Bowtie Antenna (A-TBA) had a perfect agreement with the bandwidth of TBA as shown in Figure 3.

The antipodal technique now allows for an adjustment of the gap between the arms where the arms can be closer and overlapped in a way that could not be done in TBA with its CPS to achieve the desired matching.

By the simulation of return loss of A-TBA (50 Ω) for several fixed values of the gap $S$ where $S = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$, Figure 4 shows that none of these fixed values of the gap achieves the correct work of the antenna. Therefore, the proposed solution was to use decreasing values of the gap from $S_{\text{start}} = 1.8$ mm at the beginning of the arms to $S_{\text{end}} = 0$ mm within a region that can be called (Tapered Gap) by converting the two feed lines to two tapered lines that meet oppositely on both sides at 50-Ω port as shown in Figure 5. Now this antenna is called A-TGBA (Antipodal Tapered Gap Bowtie Antenna).

The minimum width of the feeding line is 0.5 mm at the arm, and the maximum is 4.8 mm at the port. This feeder network achieved the matching with 50-Ω port in the real part impedance as shown in Figure 6 all over the bandwidth without the need for any additions that may increase the antenna size.
Figure 3. $S_{11}$ parameter comparison between TBA & A-TBA.

Figure 4. Return loss simulation results for A-BTA (50 Ω) with several fixed gap values.

Figure 5. Tapered gap using tapered feeder network.
Simulation results of the reflection coefficient of the A-TGBA show that it operates in the frequency range (1–4.29) GHz with frequency notch at (1.73–1.92) GHz and has $S_{11}$ greater than $-10$ dB as shown in Figure 7.

### 3.2. Corner Bending

The proposed modification here is to use bending of the four corners of the triangular arms to reduce the reflection of the power [11], which leads to an additional increase in the bandwidth of the antenna, called now Antipodal Bended Bowtie Antenna (A-BBA).

For several values of the corner bending radius ($B = 0, 10, 20, 30$ mm), return loss simulation results of the A-BBA show that the notch was eliminated and became below $-10$ dB as shown in Figure 8. A-BBA worked within the bandwidth (1–5.24) GHz at $B = 20$ mm which was a suitable choice.

### 3.3. Triangular Slot Loading

A triangular slot was added in each arm as shown in Figure 9(a) to form a new antenna called Antipodal Slot Bended Bowtie Antenna (A-SBBA). Return loss simulation results in Figure 10 show an additional improvement in the bandwidth, where A-SBBA worked within the bandwidth of (1–5.45) GHz at ($S_{11} \leq -10$ dB) with a fractional bandwidth of 138%. After testing several slot dimensions, the best one had the dimensions shown in Figure 9(b). It can be clearly noticed that the bandwidth of the A-SBBA is similar to the previous ideal-TBA assumption and shown in Figure 2 achieving better reflection coefficient values and lower frequency, which is better for GPR applications.
The radiation efficiency of A-SBBA is (60–97)% and the gain is (2.2–4.2) dB for the overall frequency range. The three-dimensional radiation pattern of the A-SBBA was compared with the Ideal-TBA at the frequency 1.5 GHz. Figure 11 shows a very good agreement between them with a slight decrease in the directivity of the A-SBBA due to the bended corners. The A-SBBA achieves a maximum directivity of 4.24 dBi towards the direction of the earth in GPR applications, while the maximum directivity for the ideal-TBA was 4.52 dBi, and maximum gain was for the same direction.
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The new antenna (A-SBBA) was fabricated as shown in Figure 12. The return loss was measured using a ROHDE & SCHWARZ FSH20 vector Network Analyzer. Figure 13 shows that the antenna bandwidth at $S_{11} \leq -10$ dB operated within (1–6) GHz, so it achieved 142.8% fractional bandwidth in good agreement with the simulation results, which was 138%.

The simulation and implementation results can be summarized in Table 2, which shows the effect of each modification step performed in this paper on the bowtie antenna bandwidth.

Figure 11. 3D Radiation pattern at F=1.5 GHz (a) for A-SBBA, (b) for Ideal-TBA.

Figure 12. A-SBBA fabrication.

Figure 13. Bandwidth comparison between simulation and measurement results for A-SBBA.
Table 2. The effect of modifications on bowtie antenna bandwidth.

| Antenna Type               | Bandwidth GHz for $S_{11} \leq -10 \text{ dB}$ | Fractional Bandwidth (FBW) % |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Ideal-TBA (150 Ω)         | 1.25–5.87                                     | 129.7%                        |
| TBA (50 Ω)                | ×                                              | ×                             |
| Ideal A-TBA (150 Ω)       | 1.25–5.87                                     | 129.7%                        |
| A-TBA (50 Ω)              | ×                                              | ×                             |
| A-TGBA                    | 1–4.29                                        | 124.4%                        |
| With Notch (1.73–1.92)    |                                               | Ignoring the notch            |
| A-BBA                     | 1–5.24                                        | 135.9%                        |
| A-SBBA                    | 1–5.45                                        | 138%                          |
| Fabricated A-SBBA         | 1–6                                           | 142.8%                        |

Table 3 shows a comparison of the bandwidth achieved in this work with other works done to improve the bandwidth of the bowtie antenna.

Table 3. Comparison between previous works and this work.

| Ref | Using Techniques for Bowtie Antenna | Simulated BW in GHz | Simulated FBW % | Measured BW in GHz | Measured FBW % |
|-----|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| [6] 2019 | Lumped resistive loading, capacitive loading, cutting edges and cavity reflector. | 0.64–2 | 103% | 0.64–2.2 | 109% |
| [7] 2017 | Double-sided arms and rectangular slots. | 2–5 | 85.7% | 2–5 | 85.7% |
| [8] 2011 | CPW-Fed, slot arms with sharp corners and two metal stubs. | 0.4–1.5 | 115.8% | 0.4–1.5 | 115.8% |
| [9] 2016 | Similar to Ref. [8] but using rounded corners and resistivity loading by graphite sheet. | 1.3–4.5 | 110% | – | – |
| [14] 2016 | Resistive loading and Metamaterial Lens. | 0.3–3 | 163.6% | 0.3–3 | 163.6% |
| [20] 2018 | Self-complementary. | 0.98–4.5 | 128.5% | 0.98–4.5 | 128.5% |
| This work | Antipodal technique, bended corners and triangular slot. | 1–5.45 | 138% | 1–6 | 142.8% |

We find that our design, which is characterized by simplicity, has achieved better fractional bandwidth than most of them except [14] which made its improvement in complexity.
5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the bandwidth of a bowtie antenna was improved for GPR applications using antipodal technique, corner bending, and a triangular slot in each arm. The effect of each modification step on improving the bandwidth was investigated, and finally a new antenna was obtained that achieved a fractional bandwidth of 138% within the frequency range (1–5.45) GHz that is suitable for GPR systems. The new antenna was fabricated, and return loss was measured. The fabricated antenna (A-SBBA) worked in the frequency range (1–6) GHz with a fractional bandwidth of 142.8%. The effect of the modifications on the electrical and radiation characteristics of this antenna will be the focus of research in future work.
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