Prevalence and predictors of irritable bowel syndrome among medical students and interns in King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah
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Background: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a frequent, costly, and potentially disabling gastrointestinal disorder. Medical education is among the most challenging and the most stressful education, and this may predispose to high rates of IBS.

Objective: To determine the prevalence and predictors of IBS among medical students and interns in King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted among 597 medical students and interns selected by multistage stratified random sample method in 2012. A confidential, anonymous, and self-administered questionnaire was used to collect personal and sociodemographic data, level of emotional stress, and food hypersensitivity during the past 6 months. Rome III Criteria and the Standardized Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale were also used.

Results: The prevalence of IBS was 31.8%. Multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that the first predictor of IBS was female gender (aOR = 2.89; 95.0% CI: 1.65–5.05). The second predictor was presence of morbid anxiety (aOR = 2.44; 95.0% CI: 1.30–4.55). Living in a school dormitory, emotional stress during 6 months preceding the study, and the academic year were the next predictors.

Conclusions: High prevalence of IBS prevailed among medical students and interns. Female gender, morbid anxiety, living in school dormitory, emotional stress, and higher educational level (grade) were the predictors of IBS. Screening of medical students for IBS, psychological problems, and reducing stress by stress management are recommended.
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There is a growing concern toward clinical and epidemiological research in the area of functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs) (1). Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common, costly, and potentially disabling FGID. It is characterized by abdominal pain or discomfort with changed bowel habits but without any organic damage to the intestine (tumor or inflammation) (2–4). The etiology of IBS is uncertain, and studies have documented that psychological, social, and biological factors can play a role (5).

IBS creates an incredible cost for both patients and the health care system (6–9). It is one of the commonest disorders diagnosed by gastroenterologists (8, 9). There is a large part of the population suffering from IBS while only some seek health care in the absence of curative therapy (6, 7, 9, 10). The prevalence of IBS usually varies significantly between countries and depends on the diagnostic criteria used (11). A study conducted among secondary school male students in Al-Jouf Province, Saudi Arabia, showed that the prevalence was 8.9 and...
A cross-sectional study was conducted in KAU during 2011-2012. The study population included students from the second to the sixth year of medical school, and interns. A multistage stratified random sample method was used where the total size of the population was sampled. Stratification took into consideration gender and educational level. The sample was first stratified into male and female with a ratio of 1:1. The second stratification was according to grade from second to sixth year. The sample size was calculated according to the following established formula for determination of sample size (18):

\[ n = \frac{z^2 \times p \times q}{d^2} \]

\( n \) = the minimum sample size, \( z \) = constant (1.96). We assumed a prevalence of 14% on the basis of a previous study (8); \( p = 0.14 \) and \( q = 1 - p = 0.86 \). The minimum calculated sample size to achieve a precision of \( \pm 3\% \) with a 95% Confidence Interval (CI) was 514. For stratification purposes, the sample size was increased to 597 during the fieldwork for inclusion of all strata as the size of the sample in each stratum was determined by the proportional allocation method.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine, KAU Hospital and conformed to the ethical standards of the Helsinki declaration. Administrative approvals were taken. During fieldwork, the objectives of the research were discussed with each participant separately and upon acceptance to participate, consent was taken from each one. A validated, preconstructed, anonymous, confidential, and self-administered questionnaire was used. Reliability was assessed with Cronbach’s \( \alpha \) and was found to be 85%.

The questionnaire contained the following sections:

1) Personal and sociodemographic data, such as age, sex, and so on.
2) Family history of IBS.
3) Previous diagnosis of IBS by a physician, and absenteeism from the university due to IBS, if applicable.
4) Other chronic medical conditions.
5) Lifestyle: number of sleeping hours, smoking, exercise, and so on.
6) Traveler’s diarrhea and if its presence triggered the first onset of IBS.
7) Emotional stress in the 6-month period preceding the study: loss of a family member, close friend, and so on.
8) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (19): It is a standardized, valid, and reliable self-report rating scale. It consists of 14 items: seven for anxiety (HADS-Anxiety) and seven for depression (HADS-Depression). It was answered using a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not present) to 3 (considerable).
9) Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ): a retrospective method of dietary assessment for inquiring about frequency of use of different food items such as milk, yogurt, low fat food, fish, and so on.
10) Rome III Criteria (20, 21): IBS diagnosis was based upon the English version of ‘Rome III Criteria’. Rome III Criteria can rationally diagnose IBS in non-existence of red flag symptoms. The sensitivity of Rome III Criteria in the absence of red flag symptoms is 65%, specificity is 100%, the positive predictive value is 100%, and the negative predictive value is 76% (20). IBS was defined according to Rome III criteria as recurrent abdominal pain or discomfort for at least 3 days per month during the past 3 months, associated with two or more of the following features (16): (a) improvement with defecation; and/or (b) onset associated with...
a change in frequency of stool; and/or (c) onset associated with a change in form (appearance) of stool.

11) Red-flag items: There are seven red-flag items according to the American Gastroenterological Association. Participants reporting ≥1 of these red-flags were excluded from the study (2).

12) Weight and height were measured.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and Epi-Info Statistical Packages.

- Body mass index (BMI) was calculated and divided into: normal, BMI <25; overweight, BMI 25–30; obese, BMI 30–35, and morbidly obese, BMI ≥35.

- The total score of HADS is the sum of the 14 items, and for each subscale (anxiety and depression) the score is the summation of the particular seven items (ranging from 0 to 21) (19). Then it is divided into: normal, 0–7; borderline abnormal, 8–10; and abnormal, 11–21 (19).

- Descriptive statistics and inferential statistics were carried out. Pearson’s Chi-square ($X^2$) test was conducted to observe and quantify an association between the categorical outcome and the different variables. Odds ratio (OR) with a 95% CI was calculated using Epi-Info. Stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis was applied to delineate significant predictors of IBS among participants. All calculated $P$-values were two-tailed, with $p <0.05$ considered as statistically significant.

Results

The mean age of medical students and interns who participated in the study was 21.68 ±1.77 years. The majority of the participants were single (91%), living in their family home (81.4%), and with enough family income (72.0%). Fathers of about three-quarters (75.5%) of the students had a university degree or greater, and fathers of 50.6% of students had a professional job.

- Regarding lifestyle and health condition, about one-tenth (10.6%) of participants were smokers and less than one-half (47.4%) practiced physical exercise. The majority of medical students and interns (82.1%) did not report any food hypersensitivity. Most of the participants (82.9%) did not use regular medication.

- About one-third (33.8%) of participants reported a family history of IBS, while 14.7% of the total sample reported that they were previously diagnosed by physicians as having IBS. About one-tenth of medical students and interns (10.7%) stated that IBS resulted in their absenteeism from university.

- As regards anxiety, analysis of results using HADS-Anxiety revealed that 32.9, 31.1, and 36.1% of the participants had no anxiety, borderline anxiety, and morbid anxiety, respectively. On the contrary, the corresponding rates for depression were 57.5, 27.1 and 15.4%, respectively.

- In the current analysis, Rome III Criteria identified 190 students diagnosed as having IBS; giving an overall IBS prevalence of 31.8% among medical students and interns. Only 49 (25.8%) of the total 190 cases were previously diagnosed by a physician.

- Table 1 shows that the prevalence of IBS was significantly much higher among females (41.8%) compared to males (22%). IBS was also significantly higher among students aged ≥22 years compared to younger students ($X^2 = 10.3, p <0.001$). Prevalence of IBS aggravated with the increase in the academic year (except for the fourth year). The education and occupation of parents did not play a vital role with regard to IBS. On the contrary, students living with their families had significantly lower prevalence of IBS compared to those living in school dormitories. Table 1 also illustrates that married students had a higher prevalence (40.2%) of IBS compared to those who were single (30.9%). However, there is no statistical significant difference ($p >0.05$).

- Table 2 illustrates that students who practised physical exercise had a significantly lower prevalence of IBS than others (OR =0.59; 95% CI: 0.42–0.85). Morbidly obese students and students who slept less hours per day (<8 h/day) had a higher prevalence of IBS compared to other students ($p <0.05$). Similarly, those who obtained higher Grade Point Average (GPA) had slightly higher rates of IBS compared to others. Smoking was not associated with IBS; 33.3% of smokers had IBS compared to 31.8% of non-smokers ($p >0.05$).

- It is apparent from Table 3 that the prevalence of IBS was much higher (44.1%) among students with a positive family history of the disease, compared to others (25.6%). IBS was present in a significantly ($p <0.05$) higher rate among students who had food hypersensitivity (64.0%) compared to students without food sensitivity (30.4%). The rate of IBS was higher among participants who had traveler’s diarrhea (40.8%) compared to others (30.8%). There is no statistically significant difference between the presence of other chronic diseases and IBS ($p >0.05$).

- Analysis of different dietary intakes using the FFQ demonstrated that there was no statistically significant difference between intake of different food items and IBS.

- Table 4 portrays the relationship between IBS and the psychological aspect of participants; 40.1% of medical students and interns who experienced emotional stress in the 6-months that preceded the study had IBS, compared to only 20.1% among those who did not have such stress ($p <0.001$). After analysis of HADS, the table also revealed that there was a significantly ($p<0.000$) higher prevalence of IBS among participants who had an anxiety problem (prevalence of IBS was 41.6, 31.0 and 23.9%)
among students with morbid anxiety, borderline anxiety, or no-anxiety, respectively). As for depression, students diagnosed as having morbid depression had a higher prevalence (41.9%) of IBS compared to those with borderline depression (29.5%) or students hadn’t depression (31.5%). However, there was no statistical significant difference ($p > 0.05$).

Controlling confounding factors in multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that the first predictor of IBS was gender. Females were about three times more likely to develop IBS compared to males ($\text{aOR} = 2.89$; 95.0% CI: 1.65–5.05). The second predictor was the presence of morbid anxiety; those diagnosed as having morbid anxiety were 2.44 times more likely to have IBS compared

### Table 1. Relationship between irritable bowel syndrome and personal, sociodemographic characteristics of medical students and interns in King Abdul-Aziz University 2012

| Irritable bowel syndrome variable | IBS (No. %) | NO IBS (No. %) | $\chi^2$ | $p$ | OR | 95% CI |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------|-----|----|--------|
| **Sex**                          |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| Female                           | 124 (41.8)  | 173 (58.2)     | 26.83  | 0.000* | 2.54 | 1.75–3.69 |
| Male                             | 66 (22.0)   | 234 (78.0)     |        |       |    |        |
| **Age**                          |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| <22                              | 42 (22.7)   | 143 (77.3)     | 10.3   | 0.001* | 0.52 | 0.35–0.78 |
| ≥22                              | 148 (35.9)  | 264 (64.1)     |        |       |    |        |
| **Academic year**                |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| 2nd(RC)                          | 20 (19.8)   | 81 (80.2)      |        | 1    |    |        |
| 3rd                              | 27 (27.3)   | 72 (72.7)      |        | 1.52 | 0.75–3.1 |
| 4th                              | 21 (21.2)   | 78 (78.8)      |        | 1.09 | 0.52–2.29 |
| 5th                              | 31 (31.6)   | 67 (68.4)      | 30.08  | 0.000* | 1.87 | 0.93–3.77 |
| 6th                              | 45 (45.0)   | 55 (55.0)      |        | 3.31 | 1.69–6.53 |
| Interns                          | 46 (46.0)   | 54 (54.0)      |        | 3.45 | 1.76–6.8 |
| **Marital status**               |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| Single                           | 168 (30.9)  | 375 (69.1)     | 2.18   | 0.14 | 0.65 | 0.73–1.15 |
| Married                          | 22 (40.2)   | 32 (59.3)      |        |     |    |        |
| **Father education**             |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| Less than university             | 46 (31.5)   | 100 (68.5)     | 0.009  | 0.924 | 0.98 | 0.65–1.47 |
| University or above              | 144 (31.9)  | 307 (68.1)     |        |     |    |        |
| **Mother education**             |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| Less than university             | 78 (32.4)   | 163 (67.6)     | 0.054  | 0.816 | 1.04 | 0.73–1.48 |
| University or above              | 112 (31.5)  | 244 (68.5)     |        |     |    |        |
| **Father occupation**            |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| Professional                     | 102 (33.8)  | 200 (66.2)     | 1.07   | 0.3  | 1.20 | 0.85–1.69 |
| Non-professional                 | 88 (29.8)   | 207 (70.2)     |        |     |    |        |
| **Mother occupation**            |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| Professional                     | 68 (30.8)   | 153 (69.2)     | 0.18   | 0.6  | 0.93 | 0.65–1.32 |
| Non-professional                 | 122 (32.8)  | 254 (67.6)     |        |     |    |        |
| **Living condition**             |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| With family                      | 152 (31.3)  | 334 (68.7)     | 9.378  | 0.009* | 1    |        |
| Private house                    | 18 (24.7)   | 55 (75.3)      |        | 0.72 | 0.39–1.31 |
| School dormitory                 | 20 (52.6)   | 18 (47.4)      |        | 2.44 | 1.20–4.99 |
| **Income**                       |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| Enough and exceeds               | 121 (28.1)  | 309 (71.9)     | 9.63   | 0.002* | 0.55 | 0.38–0.8 |
| Enough only or not enough        | 69 (41.3)   | 98 (58.7)      |        |     |    |        |
| **Parents**                      |             |                |        |     |    |        |
| Living together                  | 180 (31.4)  | 393 (68.6)     | 1.11   | 0.2  | 1.56 | 0.68–3.58 |
| Divorced                         | 10 (41.7)   | 14 (58.3)      |        |     |    |        |

RC: Referent category.  
*Statistical significant difference.
to others (aOR = 2.44; 95.0% CI: 1.30–4.55). Those living with their families were less likely to develop IBS compared to the rest (aOR = 0.48; 95.0% CI: 0.31–0.75). The last predictor was the academic year; students in their second year were less likely to have IBS compared to other years (aOR = 0.77; 95.0% CI: 0.62–0.95; see Table 5).

Table 2. Relationship between irritable bowel syndrome and habits, and health background of medical students and interns in King Abdulaziz University, 2012

| Irritable bowel syndrome variable         | IBS (No. %) | NO IBS (No. %) | χ²   | p     | OR  | CI      |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------|-------|-----|---------|
| Nutritional status (BMI)                 |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| Normal*(RC)                              | 112         | 34.1           | 216  | 65.9  | 8.079 | 0.04*   |
| Overweight                               | 33          | 26.0           | 94   | 74    | 0.68  | 0.42–1.10 |
| Obese                                    | 13          | 25.5           | 38   | 74.5  | 0.66  | 0.75–1.22 |
| Morbidly obese                           | 12          | 52.2           | 11   | 47.8  | 2.10  | 0.84–5.31 |
| Exercise                                 |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| Yes                                      | 74          | 26.1           | 209  | 73.9  | 8.468 | 0.004*  |
| No                                       | 116         | 37.3           | 195  | 62.7  | 0.59  | 0.42–0.85 |
| Sleeping hours                           |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| <8 h/day                                 | 121         | 34.3           | 232  | 65.7  | 2.39  | 0.12    |
| ≥8 h/day                                 | 69          | 28.3           | 175  | 71.7  | 1.32  | 0.93–1.88 |
| Smoking                                  |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| Yes                                      | 21          | 33.3           | 42   | 66.7  | 2.29  | 0.13    |
| No                                       | 169         | 31.8           | 362  | 68.2  | 1.39  | 0.90–2.15 |
| GPA*                                     |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| <4.5                                     | 48          | 25.8           | 138  | 74.2  | 7.94  | 0.005*  |
| ≥4.5                                     | 115         | 38.2           | 186  | 61.8  | 0.56  | 0.38–0.84 |

*Statistical significant difference.

Table 3. Relationship between irritable bowel syndrome and family history, health background of medical students and interns in King Abdulaziz University, 2012

| Irritable bowel syndrome variable         | IBS (No. %) | NO IBS (No. %) | χ²   | p     | OR  | CI      |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------|-------|-----|---------|
| Family history of IBS                    |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| Yes                                      | 89          | 44.1           | 113  | 55.9  | 21.06 | 0.000*  |
| No                                       | 101         | 25.6           | 294  | 74.4  | 1.60  | 3.20–6.20 |
| Chronic health problems                  |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| Yes                                      | 41          | 38             | 67   | 62.0  | 2.29  | 0.13    |
| No                                       | 149         | 30.5           | 340  | 69.5  | 1.39  | 0.90–2.15 |
| Medication use                           |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| Yes                                      | 40          | 43.7           | 52   | 56.3  | 6.15  | 0.01*   |
| No                                       | 150         | 30.3           | 345  | 69.7  | 1.77  | 1.02–2.86 |
| Traveler’s diarrhea                      |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| Yes                                      | 31          | 40.8           | 45   | 59.2  | 3.23  | 0.07    |
| No                                       | 159         | 30.5           | 362  | 69.5  | 1.57  | 0.96–2.57 |
| Food hypersensitivity                    |             |                |      |       |     |         |
| Yes                                      | 16          | 64.0           | 9    | 36.0  | 12.45 | 0.000*  |
| No                                       | 174         | 30.4           | 398  | 69.6  | 4.07  | 1.76–9.38 |

*Statistical significant difference.

Discussion

The prevalence of IBS varied greatly among different investigations. The range of IBS prevalence was 15%–24% among the general population of Western countries (2). Hungin et al. (2003) conducted an international study among 41,984 individuals across eight European countries.
and found that the prevalence of IBS was 11.5% (22). The current study illustrates a higher prevalence of IBS (31.8%) among medical students and interns. The discrepancy between the current study and the European study suggests that there might be a true difference between countries. It may be of interest in the future to attempt to correlate these differences with the cultural and dietary habits in various countries (22). Other causes may be attributed to sample size, age group, and diagnostic criteria used.

Regarding different types of students, Chu et al. reported that medical students had a higher risk of any FBD than science and engineering students (15). Results from Japan showed that the rate of IBS was 35.5% among nursing and medical students (13). Similar rates were reported among medical students from two Pakistani studies; 28.3% in 2012 (20) and 34% in 2005 (9). The rate was 29.2% among medical and paramedical students from Korea (4). These rates are in line with the results of the current study.

On the contrary, a lower prevalence (15.8%) than that of the current study was reported from an earlier study conducted by Tan et al. in 2003, among young multi-ethnic medical students from Malaysia, using Rome I Criteria (23). Shen et al. (17) reported a similar prevalence among Chinese university students. The cause of a discrepancy between the current study and the previous studies may, in part, be related to ethnic differences in IBS frequency. These differences could be attributed to genetic or environmental factors or a combination of both (24).

In 2003, a study from the United States reported that only 11% of the college students met the criteria of IBS (25). Alhazmi et al. 2011 (12) conducted a study among Saudi secondary school students and reported that the prevalence was 8.9 and 9.2% according to Manning and Rome II Criteria, respectively. The inconsistency between those two previous studies and our study may be due to the enormous stress of medical student life, which predispose students to IBS (6, 13). Jimenez et al. 2010 (14) identified three stressors (clinical, academic, and external) linked to clinical nursing practice.

It was illustrated from the current work that only about one-quarter of students (25.8%) who were diagnosed as IBS cases using Rome III Criteria were previously diagnosed by a physician. Studies from Iran (6) and from Bangladesh (26) found that 37.7 and 35% of IBS cases had visited physicians, respectively.

Table 4. Relationship between irritable bowel syndrome and psychological aspect of medical students and interns in King Abdulaziz University, 2012

| Irritable bowel syndrome variable | IBS (No. %) | NO IBS (No. %) | $\chi^2$ | $p$ | OR | CI |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|-----|----|----|
| Emotional stress in past 6 months |             |                |         |     |    |    |
| Yes                              | 139 (40.1)  | 208 (59.9)     | 25.88   | 0.000* | 2.61 | 1.79-3.79 |
| No                               | 51 (20.4)   | 199 (79.6)     |         |     |    |    |
| Anxiety grade*                   |             |                |         |     |    |    |
| Normal (RC)                      | 44 (23.9)   | 140 (76.1)     |         |     |    |    |
| Borderline                       | 54 (31.0)   | 120 (69.0)     | 13.95   | 0.001* | 1.43 | 0.87-2.15 |
| Morbid anxiety                   | 84 (41.6)   | 118 (58.4)     |         |     |    |    |
| Depression grade*                |             |                |         |     |    |    |
| Normal (RC)                      | 101 (31.5)  | 220 (68.5)     |         |     |    |    |
| Borderline                       | 44 (29.1)   | 107 (70.9)     | 4.373   | 0.112 | 0.9 | 0.57-1.40 |
| Morbid                            | 36 (41.9)   | 50 (58.1)      |         |     |    |    |

● Out of 597 students, 37 students did not complete HADS-Anxiety.
▲ Out of 597 students, 39 students HADS-Depression.
RC-Referent category.
*Statistical significant difference.

Table 5. Logistic regression analysis of predictors of Irritable Bowel Syndrome among medical students in King Abdulaziz University

| Variable                          | Beta | $p$  | aOR | 95.0% CI    |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-----|-------------|
| Gender (female)                   | 1.061| 0.000| 2.89| 1.65-5.05   |
| Anxiety                           |      |      |     |             |
| Morbid anxiety                    | 0.891| 0.005| 2.44| 1.30-4.55   |
| Borderline anxiety                | 0.328| 0.275| 1.39| 0.77-2.50   |
| No anxiety (RC)                   |      | 1    |     |             |
| Living condition (with family)    | 0.736| 0.001| 0.48| 0.31-0.75   |
| Emotional stress                  | 0.620| 0.028| 1.86| 1.07-3.22   |
| Academic year (second year)       | 0.261| 0.018| 0.77| 0.62-0.95   |
| Constant                          |      | -1.428|     |             |

RC-Referent category.
The reported associations that are corroborated in the current study are female gender, morbid anxiety, living in a school dormitory, emotional stress, and higher academic year. Females were about three times more prone to IBS compared than males. This finding appears to support the results of previous fairly strong associations between female gender and IBS (2, 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, 20, 22, 27, 28). Results of a systematic review from Iran, 2012 (7), showed that more than half of the reviewed studies demonstrated that the prevalence of IBS had statistically a significant correlation with the female gender.

Concerning age and academic year, the present study revealed that the prevalence of IBS was higher among older students and those from higher academic levels (especially fifth and sixth year students and interns). This may be due to increased study and work stressors during clinical years and internship. The cause of the lower rate among the fourth year students may be attributed to lower clinical load during this year. Chu et al. (15) reported that higher grade undergraduates had more risks for FBD than lower grade students, especially among medical students. On the other hand, Payne et al. 2004 (29) showed that there was no relation between age and prevalence of IBS. The 2012 study from Ontario, Canada, (16) also found that there were no significant differences between preclinical and clerkship students regarding IBS prevalence. Jahangiri et al. (7) conducted a systematic review in Iran, which showed that IBS was more prevalent in the first and second year compared to fourth and fifth year medical students.

Students with a positive family history of IBS in the current study were about two times more prone to it compared to others. This agrees with results of a family-based case-control research conducted in the United States, which confirmed IBS familial clustering and highlighted that family history is a known predictor of IBS (30).

Mansour-Ghanaei et al. (6) reported that students living at a distance from their families had significantly higher rates of IBS compared to others. This concurs with results of the present study.

Studies have reported that IBS is associated with emotional and psychological stress (20, 31). In the present study, emotional stress was one of the predictors of IBS. Al-Turki et al. 2011 (8) found that psychological stress was much higher among students who had IBS in King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Regarding anxiety, the current study revealed that IBS prevalence was higher among participants with morbid and borderline anxiety compared to others. In logistic regression analysis, morbid anxiety was the second predictor of IBS. Naeem et al. (20) conducted a study to determine the prevalence and associated factors of IBS among medical students in Karachi, Pakistan. They found that the psychological symptoms of anxiety were encountered among 55.8% of participants with IBS. Sugaya et al. 2008 (3) reported that individuals with IBS in Japan had higher scores on the HADS than the control group (12). Similar results were also reported from many other studies (2, 5, 11, 17, 26, 30-32). These findings stress the need to retain a focus on psychological as well as physical factors for the management of IBS (5).

In the current study, students with morbid depression had a higher prevalence (41.9%) of IBS compared to those with borderline depression (29.5%) or normal students (31.5%). However, there was no statistically significant difference. Tan et al. (23) reported that 24.4% of students complaining of IBS have depression.

Regarding sleep, the present study showed that the students who sleep less than 8 h/day had a slightly higher prevalence of IBS compared to others. The study of Al-Turki et al. (8) showed that students with IBS had a significantly higher rate of insomnia compared to others. Okami et al. (12) found that sleep disorders and the time spent sitting were also higher in males with IBS. On the other hand, the Canadian study (16) reported that medical students on overnight call was not associated with the development of IBS.

The current work revealed that IBS prevalence was much higher among participants with food hypersensitivity; see Carroccio et al. (33). On the other hand, our results showed that there were no statistically significant differences between the intake of different food items and the prevalence of IBS, which agrees with the 2011 study among Korean medical students (4). On contrary, Okami et al. (12) illustrated that there was a difference between food product intakes by those with IBS compared to others. The study of Al-Turki et al. (8) also showed that a dietary factor was responsible for 15.5% of IBS.

Regarding habits, the results of the present study showed that IBS prevalence was higher (37.3%) among students who did not practice physical exercise compared to others (26.1%). Kim et al. (34) reported a higher prevalence of IBS in those who did less exercise. Dong et al. (2) found that low exercise levels indicated a high risk for IBS among Chinese university students.

The current study shows an insignificant relationship between smoking and IBS, which agrees with the results of Chirila et al. (35).

Conclusion
The study illustrated a high prevalence (31.8%) of IBS among medical students and interns in Jeddah. A high rate of anxiety and depression also prevailed. Female gender, morbid anxiety, presence of emotional stress, living away from the family in a school dormitory, and higher academic year were the main predictors of IBS. Screening for IBS and psychological problems is recommended. Stress management courses are required to enable students to cope with different stressors during their medical studies and work.
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