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All changed, changed utterly

W. B. Yeats

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a monumental impact on healthcare delivery. Dermatology is a high-volume, outpatient-based, visual specialty, with complex patients and therapies. We summarize the adaptation in a dermatology department in Cork, Ireland, carried out to enhance safety and streamline practice (Fig. 1).

Pre-COVID-19 surge, tutorials were organized to re-skill staff in resuscitation, emergencies, practical skills, analgesia, end-of-life care and personal protective equipment (PPE) use. Medical staff volunteered in ‘COVID pods’. Dissemination of ‘fake news’ was confronted.1

During the COVID-19 surge, clinics were converted to teledermatology, with training to avoid communication pitfalls. ‘Did not attend’ rates decreased from 15% pre-COVID to ‘did not answer’ rates of 2%. Video consultations were introduced for common conditions such as psoriasis, eczema or acne. Lesions were excluded from video review due to the need for dermoscopy and/or biopsy. Face-to-face (FTF) reviews were held according to clinical necessity. Pigmented lesion clinics continued due to the risk of missed melanomas,2 with same-day excisions. Melanoma follow-up clinics were held off-site.

Patients requiring surgery were generally higher risk (older and/or immunosuppressed). The number of ‘direct biopsy’ appointments was increased. Parallel clinics/biopsy lists were run. Absorbable sutures were used. Topical therapy (e.g. 5-fluorouracil) was prescribed instead of cryotherapy or surgery. Wide local excisions were deferred, as were intralesional steroid and neuromodulator injections. Phototherapy and patch testing were initially deferred and then re-introduced on a restricted basis. The 48-hour assessment was performed at home.

Figure 1 Summary of departmental changes.
Patients were counselled on the unknown effects of immunomodulatory therapy on the acquisition and severity of COVID-19 and the risk of severe untreated inflammatory skin disease. Influenza and pneumococcal vaccination was promoted. Blood monitoring was rationalized. An established photo-triage system for infantile haemangiomas continued.3 Isotretinoin therapy was cautiously initiated during the crisis, due to the need for frequent monitoring and the unknown risk of retinoid-induced epithelial drying. Female patients attended for urinary pregnancy testing, blood β-human chorionic gonadotrophin testing, or performed home urinary testing.

Video consultations were performed using a medical reporting platform (T Pro Health, Dublin, Ireland). Video conferencing platforms were used for melanoma and dermatopathology multidisciplinary meetings (Webex, Milpitas, CA, USA) and for dermatopathology teaching, journal club and tutorials (Zoom, San Jose, CA, USA) and Adobe Connect (Adobe Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) was used for nationwide teaching for trainees and lectures from external sites. A Whatsapp (Whatsapp Inc., Menlo Park, CA, USA) service was established for healthcare workers who developed skin problems related to hand hygiene or PPE.

A photo-advice service for general practitioners (GPs) was offered for emergencies. Prescriptions were emailed directly to pharmacies and letters were emailed directly to GPs.

Scrubs became uniform and PPE was donned and doffed. Anxiety was particularly palpable at the onset of the crisis. Social distancing was enforced, shaking hands was suspended and visitors were limited.

Following the COVID-19 surge, major efforts are being made to reduce the impact on outpatient waiting times (Table 1). FTF reviews are being re-introduced, and patients with lesions are seen in a ‘see and treat’ clinic, while patients on biologics attend virtual clinics. Undergraduate teaching is conducted virtually.4

In conclusion, COVID-19 has had a devastating impact on global healthcare. We have outlined our adaptations, which successfully negotiated an unprecedented clinical environment.
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The coronavirus disease (COVID)-19 pandemic has created a substantial impact on healthcare provision worldwide. As the first UK national lockdown began on 23 March 2020, a disruption to healthcare services resulted in cancellation and limitation of face-to-face (FTF) appointments in both primary and secondary care. We reviewed the effect on dermatology outpatient attendance in England using National Health Service (NHS) Digital Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) data from December 2018 until October 2020. The data represent the total number of patients attending NHS dermatology outpatient appointments in England, including all telephone and virtual appointments (Fig. 1).1 To assess the impact of lockdown, analysis was undertaken for three periods: ‘pre-lockdown’ (April 2019 to March 2020), ‘lockdown’ (April and May 2020) and ‘post-lockdown’ (June to October 2020), as highlighted (Fig. 1). The mean values for each period are shown (Fig. 2).

During lockdown, total appointments reduced to 58%, first attendances to 43%, follow-ups to 51% and day cases to 37% of pre-lockdown values (Fig. 2). Post-lockdown, first attendances showed the greatest recovery, increasing from 43% to 78% of pre-lockdown values, which suggests the prioritization of new patients. Total appointments post-lockdown remained low, representing only 75% of pre-lockdown values. In 2020, there were 484,415 (17%) fewer total appointments between April and October compared with the same period in 2019 (Fig. 1).

Although services somewhat recovered, they did not return to pre-lockdown values despite the widespread use

![Figure 1](image-url) Monthly dermatology outpatient appointments in England between December 2018 and October 2020, and the three periods of analysis: pre-lockdown, lockdown and post-lockdown.