Interplay of the E Box, the Cyclic AMP Response Element, and HTF4/HEB in Transcriptional Regulation of the Neurospecific, Neurotrophin-Inducible vgf Gene†
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vgf is a neurotrophin response-specific, developmentally regulated gene that codes for a neurosecretory polypeptide. Its transcription in neuronal cells is selectively activated by the neurotrophins nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor, and neurotrophin 3, which induce survival and differentiation, and not by epidermal growth factor. We studied a short region of the rat vgf promoter which is essential for its regulated expression. A cyclic AMP response element (CRE) within this region is necessary for NGF induction of vgf transcription. Two sites upstream of CRE, an E box and a CCAAT sequence, bind nuclear protein complexes and are involved in transcriptional control. The E box has a dual role. It acts as an inhibitor in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts, together with a second E box located downstream, and as a stimulator in the NGF-responsive cell line PC12. By expression screening, we have isolated the cDNA for a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, a homolog of the HTF4/HEB E protein, that specifically binds the vgf promoter E box. The E protein was present in various cell lines, including PC12 cells, and was a component of a multiprotein nuclear complex that binds the promoter in vitro. The E box and CRE cooperate in binding to this complex, which may be an important determinant for neural cell-specific expression.

Nerve growth factor (NGF) is the prototype of neurotrophins, a small family of structurally related proteins playing a crucial role in differentiation and survival of neurons and in maintenance of neuronal function (5, 28). Induced changes in gene expression are critical for their activity. Transcriptional activation of immediate-early genes, like c-fos, is rapid, transient, and independent of protein synthesis, but it is not specific for the neurotrophin response, since it is stimulated by various growth factors. Induction of delayed-early genes, like vgf (27), can instead be neurotrophin specific. vgf transcription is induced by brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and neurotrophin 3 (NT3) in cultures of cortical or hippocampal neurons and by NGF in PC12 cells (3, 38). This cell line, widely used as a paradigm for neuronal differentiation, has receptors for NGF and epidermal growth factor; while NGF stimulates cell cycle withdrawal and neuronal differentiation, epidermal growth factor does not induce PC12 cell differentiation and is unable to activate vgf transcription effectively. The study of vgf regulation is therefore expected to give insight into the process of neural differentiation. vgf is developmentally regulated: its expression is detected in embryonic central and peripheral nervous tissues, peaks in the early postnatal critical period of nervous system development, and is maximal during synaptogenesis of the visual cortex (30, 41). In adult animals, vgf is expressed in subsets of brain neurons, in sensory and sympathetic neurons, and in endocrine cells from the adrenal medulla, adrenohypophysis, and gut (14, 49). The VGF protein is stored in secretory vesicles, released in response to stimuli causing membrane depolarization, and proteolytically processed in smaller peptides that may play a role in intercellular communication (39, 47).

An 800-bp region upstream of the vgf transcription start site acts as a NGF-inducible promoter in PC12 cells and harbors important determinants of neural specificity, since it drives gene expression to neural and endocrine tissues in transgenic mice (36, 38). The promoter is under negative control in nonneuronal cells (38). Many of the properties of the vgf promoter are encoded by 180 nucleotides, proximal to the transcription start site, that are essential for expression in the NGF-responsive rat pheochromocytoma cell line PC12 and involved in negative regulation in nonneuronal cells (38). A cyclic AMP (cAMP) response element (CRE) (position −81 to −74) mediates vgf transcriptional induction by binding a member of the CRE binding protein (CREB) family of transcription factors, activated by cAMP and by NGF (17, 19). Other elements are also required for vgf transcriptional regulation, as suggested by the observations that mutating the CRE consensus sequence does not affect promoter strength in the absence of NGF and that although both cAMP and NGF activate CREB, NGF activates vgf much more strongly than cAMP does (19, 38). Moreover, CREB is activated by cAMP in many cell types, while vgf transcription is induced only in neuronal cells, thus indicating the presence of transcriptional inhibitors in nonneuronal cells. Important elements for transcriptional regulation are present within a region of about 100 nucleotides just upstream of CRE (38). In this study, the binding of nuclear protein complexes to this regulatory region and its function in vgf expression were analyzed. The dual role of an E-box element in transcriptional regulation was determined, and a
cDNA coding for a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcriptional regulator, a homolog of the HFT4/HEB E protein, that specifically binds the E site was isolated. E and CRE sites in the vgf promoter cooperate in binding a multiprotein complex containing a product of the HEB gene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and CAT assays. All cell lines were grown according to standard protocols. NIH 3T3 cells were transfected (3 × 10⁵ cells [2 μg DNA per experiment] by liposome fusion with Lipofectamine reagent (Gibco BRL) according to the manufacturer's instruction. PC12 cells were transfected with calcium phosphate (2 × 10⁵ cells [15 μg DNA] per experiment) by liposome fusion with analogous results and induced by NGF as previously described (38). The various choloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) reporter plasmids were cotransfected with 0.3 to 5 μg of pRSV-fgall in order to assess transfection efficiencies. In the first set of experiments, CAT activity was assayed by thin-layer chromatography and quantified by counting the radioactivity of the spots corresponding to [³²P]chloramphenicol and its acetylated derivatives with a Betascope Image Analyzer. Subsequently, CAT activity was assayed by the diffusion method of Neuman et al. (34), with comparable results. CAT values were corrected for transfection efficiency by normalization to β-galactosidase values. All assays were performed at least three times; average values and standard deviations are reported. The data reported were obtained with CAT constructs containing 710 nucleotides upstream from the unique SpeI restriction site. Since these sequences may affect reporter gene expression, the experiments were repeated with shortened promoter CAT constructs, obtained by removal of sequences 3′ of the PnaII site at position +40. Although the absolute CAT activity in the shortened site were lower (data not shown), their relative strengths, as well as their induction by NGF, were unaffected by removal of the +40 to +710 leader sequence.

Plasmid construction. CAT reporter gene fusions from position −179 to +710 and −81 to +710 in pEMBL8 CAT were already described (38). Deletions with endpoints between positions −179 and −81 were generated by PCR and fused to the CAT gene of pEMBL8 CAT in the following way: starting from the KpnI site (+455) in the vgf gene, fragments with different end points (−151, −125, and −96) were inserted in the pCMV-βCR vectors and used for in vitro transcription and used for depiction by the BMII/HindIII fragment (−179 to +455) restriction fragment of the −179 to +710 CAT plasmid. The EM2 constructs, the −56 E box (CAGGTG) was mutated to CCGCGG by PCR and successive cloning of the amplified fragment. EM2-CAT and EM3-CAT vectors, with CATTTG and CAGGTT mutations in the −170 E box (CAGGTG), were generated by means of the Quick Change site directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Gel loading by the Sanger method (Sequenase II kit; U.S. Biochemicals) was used to verify all of the constructs.

Library screening and production of recombinant proteins. Phages of a random-primed agg1 expression library from the baboon lymphoid cell line 59RS (22) and from an oligo(T)-primed PC12 cell cDNA library in λZAPII were screened with a radiolabeled, double-stranded, nonconcentrated EC oligonucleotide probe (−179 to −132 vgf promoter sequence), as described by Singh et al. (45). In total, 5 × 10⁵ plaques were screened, and positive plaques were rescreened three times; to ensure their binding specificity, positive phages were titered on the unmutated oligonucleotide probe. DNAs were excised from the phage vector as NotI-EcoRI fragments, cloned in the same sites of pBluescript KS+, and sequenced by the dideoxy method.

The ubo cDNA was excised from pBluescript as a NotI-HindIII fragment and cloned in frame with the glutathione S-transferase (GST) gene of the pGEX expression vector. The recombinant protein produced by pGEX11, named UBO, was purified to >90% homogeneity by affinity chromatography on an N-nitrosoacetacetic acid column and eluted under nondenaturing conditions with 1 M imidazole at pH 7 according to the manufacturer's protocol. The fusion protein GST-UBO was purified by binding to glutathione-agarose beads and elution with reduced glutathione.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA). Nuclear extracts were prepared as described by Schreiber et al. (44) with minor modifications. The binding probes were terminally labeled with T4 polynucleotide kinase (double-stranded oligonucleotides and PCR-generated promoter fragments) or Klenow enzyme (restriction fragment). One to five micrograms of nuclear extracts or 20 to 100 ng of recombinant protein was incubated for 5 min on ice with 5 to 6 fmol (corresponding to 10 to 15,000 cpm) of labeled oligonucleotide. Each binding reaction mixture contained 20 mM HEPEs (pH 7.9), 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.05 mM EDTA, 0.4% Ficol, and 2 μg of poly(dI-dC) (50 ng in the case of the recombinant protein) as a nonspecific competitor in a total volume of 20 μL. Competition experiments were performed by adding unlabeled oligonucleotides (100- to 200-fold molar excess) before the probe. For supershift assays, 0.5 to 1 μL of specific and control antiserum were used. DNA-protein complexes were resolved by 5% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in 0.25× Tris-borate-EDTA buffer at 4°C and autoradiographed.

DNase I footprinting. Reaction mixtures were prepared as for EMSA, with 30,000 cpm of DNA, 300 ng of purified UBO protein, and 100 ng of poly(dI-dC); binding reactions were carried out for 30 min on ice. After incubation, the concentrations of MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ were adjusted to 10 and 2 mM, respectively. DNase I (3 ng) was then added, and the incubation was continued for 1 min at 20°C. The digestion was stopped by addition of 200 μL of stop buffer (5 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris [pH 8], 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 50 μg of protease K per ml); after incubation at 45°C for 30 min, DNA was ethanol precipitated and loaded onto 15% polyacrylamide sequencing gel.

Methylation interference. An end-labeled EC oligonucleotide (−178 to −132) or a −178 to −80 vgf promoter restriction fragment (approximately 500,000 cpm) was partially methylated with dimethyl sulfate in the presence of 0.5 μg of sonicated salmon sperm DNA. Binding reactions were performed, under the same conditions as for EMSA, either with 250 ng of purified UBO protein and 250 ng of poly(dI-dC) or with 12 μg of PC12 cell nuclear extract and 15 μg of poly(dI-dC). After electrophoresis, the gel was subjected to autoradiography for 5 h, and bound DNA bands were excised and eluted under nondenaturing conditions with 1 M NaCl-10 mM Tris (pH 7.4)-1 mM EDTA. Recovered DNA fragments were then purified on ion-exchange minicolumns (NACS; Bethesda Research Laboratories), ethanol precipitated, and cleaved with 10% piperidine at 30 min at 90°C. Samples were resolved on 15% polyacrylamide sequencing gels. The G + A lanes result from Maxam-Gilbert sequencing reactions. Quantitation of autoradiograms was performed with an imaging densitometer (Bio-Rad model GS670) with Molecular Analyst software.

Antisera and Western blots. The rabbit anti-UBO serum was raised by repeated injections with purified protein produced by the pQE11 expression vector. The specificity of the antiserum was tested by Western analysis of antibody-expressing bacteria compared to Escherichia coli expressing a different polypeptide (asino acids 207 to 442 of the v-Crk protein). Reactivity of the antiserum was abolished by preincubation with lysates of UBO-expressing, but not v-Crk-expressing, bacteria. The HEB antiserum, raised against a synthetic peptide encompassing the region of lowest homology between HEB and the other E proteins, and the relative preimmune serum were provided by D. Littman; the antiserum specifically recognizes HEB gene products and does not cross-react with E proteins derived from E24 and ITF2 genes. Nuclear proteins were extracted as described for EMSA. Western blots were performed according to standard protocols and probed with anti-UBO antibody, followed by protein A-horseradish peroxidase and enhanced chemiluminescence detection with reagents from Amersham.

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The EMBL accession number for the ubo cDNA sequence is X97234.

RESULTS

Binding of nuclear protein complexes to vgf promoter CCAAT and E-box sites. vgf promoter regulatory elements are present in a 100-bp restriction fragment upstream of CRE (−179 to −81), whose deletion strongly impairs vgf expression in PC12 cells (38). In gel shift assays with PC12 cell nuclear extracts, two distinct protein complexes bind this restriction fragment, with the one of higher mobility being stronger (38). In order to determine the sequences involved in binding to the stronger complex, we performed a methylation interference analysis and identified a CCAAT motif (−140 to −136) as the binding site (Fig. 1A). This motif is part of a dyad, and protected bases were observed above and below the CCAAT sequence. The results of microdensitometric analysis of the interference experiments, defining the nucleotides involved in binding to the complex, are reported in Fig. 2. CCAAT motifs in other developmentally regulated promoters are targets of leucine zipper proteins of the C/EBP family of transcription factors (37). We utilized a synthetic peptide which competes for DNA binding of all known members of this family (37) in order to determine if the complex bound to the vgf promoter CCAAT was made of C/EBP-like proteins. Since the peptide was unable to compete for binding of this complex to the vgf promoter, this possibility was excluded (data not shown). Inspection of the sequence of the 100-bp promoter restriction fragment showed the presence of another consensus site, the E-box CAGGTG (−170 to −165). A 47-bp oligonucleotide including both motifs (EC oligonucleotides, −178 to −132) efficiently competed for shifts observed in vitro with a 100-bp restriction fragment and was used for further studies, together with control oligonucleotides (EM and CM) mutated in the E-box or CCAAT consensus sequence. The sequences of all probes used in DNA binding studies are reported in Table 1. In PC12 cell nuclear extracts, two complexes (E1 and C) bound...
the EC oligonucleotide in a specific and competitive way (Fig. 1B). The E1 complex was unaffected by competition with an excess of E-box-mutated oligonucleotide (Fig. 1B) and was undetectable when the mutated oligonucleotide was used as a binding probe, demonstrating its binding to the E box. Similar arguments confirmed that the stronger, higher-mobility complex (complex C) bound the CCAAT element, in agreement with the methylation interference. The same pattern of gel shifts was observed with the human neuroblastoma cell line SK-N-BE (data not shown). In order to assess a correlation between binding complexes and vgf expression, nuclear extracts of several other cell lines were analyzed by EMSA (Fig. 1B and C); the binding specificity of each complex was verified by competition with mutated oligonucleotides. Among all cell lines tested, vgf mRNA is detectable in the three neuronal cell lines (PC12, SK-N-BE, and GT1-7), two endocrine cell lines (GH3 and AtT20), and TT cells (reference 38 and data not shown). The pattern of binding complexes failed to show a correlation with vgf expression. The CCAAT binding complex appeared as a doublet only in PC12 and SK-N-BE (38) cells; it was a singlet in AtT20, HTC, GT1-7, and P19 cells, was weaker in TT and Jurkat cells, and was undetectable in Vella and GH3 cells. The E1 complex was present in all cell lines at various intensities; in two cell lines, Jurkat and P19, a second E-box binding complex, E2, was detected.

Role of E boxes in transcriptional regulation. E-box and CCAAT motifs in other promoters are involved in transcriptional regulation and differentiative responses (24, 48). The function of the two motifs in vgf transcriptional regulation was assessed by transient-expression assays with a neuronal (PC12) and a nonneuronal (NIH 3T3) cell line (Fig. 3). A deletion removing the E box at position −170 (−151 promoter) in-
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FIG. 1. Binding of cellular nuclear complexes to E-box and CCAAT sites in the vgf promoter. (A) Methylation interference with PC12 cell nuclear extracts. The −178 to −80 vgf promoter restriction fragment was labeled on the noncoding strand and used as a binding probe. F, free probe; B, bound probe; G+A, control sequencing reaction on G and A residues. The sequence shown on the left (noncoding strand) goes from position −127 (top) to −145 (bottom). The arrowhead indicates the guanine (G) residue whose methylation most strongly interferes with nuclear protein binding. This G corresponds to the second C residue of the CCAAT motif on the coding strand. (B and C) EMSA with cell extracts from various cell lines. Nuclear extracts from the PC12 (rat pheochromocytoma), HTC (rat hepatoma), Jurkat (human T lymphocytes), GH3 (rat somatotroph cells tumor), Vella (human lymphoblasts), GT1-7 (mouse hypothalamus), AtT20 (murine corticotroph cells tumor), TT (human medullary thyroid carcinoma), and P19 (murine embryonal carcinoma) cell lines were utilized for EMSA with an end-labeled EC oligonucleotide. Competitions (shown only in panel B) were performed with excess amounts of E-box-mutated (EM) or CCAAT-mutated (CM) cold oligonucleotides, as indicated; the oligonucleotides sequences are shown in Table 1. E1 and E2, E-box binding complexes; C, CCAAT binding complexes. The uppermost shift detected with the GT1-7 cell extract is different from E2, since it was competed by both mutated oligonucleotides, and it may represent simultaneous binding of E1 and C complexes.
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FIG. 2. Nucleotides involved in binding to the C complex. Guanine residues whose methylation interferes with binding of the C complex are underlined. The residual binding when the G is methylated is indicated; only values below 80% were considered. The palindrome harboring the vgf promoter CCAAT site is in boldface.
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creased vgf expression in 3T3 cells by eightfold, indicating the presence of a strong negative element between positions −151 and −179 (Fig. 3B and C). Longer deletions (−125 and −98 promoters), affecting the CCAAT motif, weakly potentiated the effect of the E-box deletion. Since cooperation between different E boxes present on the same promoter is a common finding in transcriptional regulation of tissue-specific genes (16, 50), the role of a second E box, CACGTG, which is present in the vgf promoter at position −56 (Fig. 3A), was tested. Mutation of the second E box (E2M-179 reporter) also

| TABLE 1. DNA sequences utilized in the study of DNA-protein interactions |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Name | Sequence |
|------|----------|
| E.......................................................................................................−183  −−CGCAAGTGCA−− −−158  |
| EM2..................................................................................................−183  −−CGCATTTGCA−− −−158  |
| EM3..................................................................................................−183  −−TTACAGTGCA−− −−158  |
| EM4..................................................................................................−183  −−CAGCAGTGCA−− −−158  |
| EM5..................................................................................................−183  −−CAGCAGTGCA−− −−158  |
| EC..................................................................................................−178  −−CGCAAGTGCA−−−−CCTCCAATCATT −−132  |
| EM..................................................................................................−178  −−CGTCACATCA−−−−CCTCCAATCATT −−132  |
| CM..................................................................................................−178  −−CGCAAGTGCA−−−−AATAATACATT −−132  |
| vgf/CRE........................................................................................−90  −−CATTGACGTCAT−−−− −−65  |
| BA/CRE........................................................................................−178  −−CGCAAGTGCA−−−−CCTCCAATCATT−−CATG −−80  |
| PCR EM2........................................................................................−183  −−CAGTATTGCA−−−−CCTCCAATCATT−−CATGACGTCA−−ATG −−65  |
| PCR WT........................................................................................−183  −−CGCAAGTGCA−−−−CCTCCAATCATT−−CATGACGTCA−−ATG −−65  |

The three vgf promoter consensus sequences E box, CCAAT, and CRE are in boldface; mutations are underlined. All sequences were utilized as the double-stranded form.

FIG. 3. Role of E boxes in vgf transcriptional regulation. CAT reporter plasmids carrying vgf promoter deletions and mutations were transfected into NIH 3T3 and PC12 cells. (A) Diagram of the vgf promoter. The two E boxes (at positions −170 and −56), the CCAAT motif (at position −140), and the CRE consensus sequence (at position −81) are in boldface. The silencer element is a region with sequence homology to silencers of other neurospecific genes, like type II sodium channel and synapsin I oligo, oligonucleotide. (B) vgf promoter CAT reporter plasmids. Deletion endpoints are indicated at the left. The E2M constructs have two point mutations (CTCGGG) in the E box at position −56; the EM2-179 plasmid has point mutations (CATTCG) in the −170 E box. (C and D) CAT assays with 3T3 cells (C) and PC12 cells (D). CAT activities are normalized for transfection efficiency with β-galactosidase values obtained by cotransfection with pRSV-βgal. The CAT values are relative to those for the −179 CAT reporter.
increased, although at a lower level (about threefold), expression from the vgf promoter in 3T3 cells. When both E boxes were missing (E2M-151 reporter), transcription was at low levels (Fig. 3B and C). In PC12 cells, deletion of the upstream E box or mutation of both E boxes caused instead a 50% decrease of transcription activity compared to that with the −179 promoter (Fig. 3D). To conclusively establish that the effect of the −151 deletion on vgf transcription was due to the loss of the E box at position −170, point mutations within this element were utilized in NIH 3T3 transfection. A CAT reporter (EM2-179) with mutations in the two central Gs of the consensus CAGGTG sequence had a high level of activity, comparable to that for the −151 deletion (Fig. 3C). Mutation of the two Gs was suggested by work on the immunoglobulin heavy-chain enhancer showing that mutation of the central Gs in the µE5 site relieved repression acting via this E box (40).

Another reporter plasmid, with a point mutation in the last G of the consensus sequence (EMS-179), showed a less strong increase of transcription (between two and three times less strong) (data not shown). These findings clearly establish a role of the upstream E box in vgf transcriptional regulation; its function is strongly negative in 3T3 cells and weakly positive in PC12 cells. Inducibility by NGF in PC12 cells was not significantly altered by removal of CCAAT and E boxes or by a deletion extending up to position −98 (Fig. 3D), while it was severely compromised by a −81 deletion, whose endpoint is at the nucleotide preceding the CRE consensus sequence (Fig. 3D and reference 38).

**Isolation of the cDNA for the vgf promoter binding protein UBO, a homolog of the HTF4/HEB E protein.** To better understand the nature of the vgf promoter E-box and CCAAT binding complexes, we employed the EC oligonucleotide as a probe to screen for binding-protein cDNA expression libraries from PC12 cells and from the baboon lymphoid cell line 594S (22). The use of a nonneuronal cDNA library was justified by the finding that cell protein complexes bound to this oligonucleotide were not neural tissue specific (Fig. 1B and C) and by the evidence that the vgf promoter was the target of complexes endowed with repressor activity in nonneuronal cells (Fig. 3 and reference 38). Probably due to technical reasons, no positive clone was identified in the PC12 library. Three positive cDNA clones were isolated from the lymphoid cell library, and two of them had the same sequence. A computer-generated alignment with sequences in nucleotide sequence databases showed an almost complete identity between one of the two clones, named ubo8 or simply ubo, and human cDNAs coding for the HTF4/HEB E protein (21, 51). E proteins (class A bHLH proteins) are a small family of widely expressed bHLH transcription factors that participate in developmental decisions in different tissues (29, 31). The ubo cDNA codes for the 160 carboxy-terminal amino acids, including the complete bHLH domain, and contains 136 nucleotides of the 3′ untranslated region. Comparative analysis (Fig. 4) revealed four nucleotide substitutions in the simian cDNA (three of which, including the two in the bHLH domain, represent conservative changes) and the deletion of a serine close to the bHLH domain (amino acid 558 in HTF4/HEB). To assess expression in neuronal cells, a homologous screening of a human neuroblastoma (SK-N-NE cell line) cDNA library with the ubo probe was performed. Several positive clones were found, and sequencing of their cDNA inserts showed their derivation from the HTF4/HEB gene, thus indicating its expression in neuroblasts.

The third cDNA was found to be homologous to the cDNA of the large subunit of replication factor C, a multisubunit DNA polymerase accessory protein complex (6). Since the encoded protein binds DNA with no sequence specificity (not shown), we assume that it has no specific role in vgf transcription.

**Specific binding of UBO to a vgf promoter E box.** Since the bHLH domain directs protein dimerization and DNA binding to the consensus sequence CA−TG, or E box (2, 13), and since E proteins, in contrast to tissue-specific bHLH proteins, can bind DNA efficiently as homodimers, the UBO E protein was expected to specifically bind the EC oligonucleotide E-box CAGGTG in vitro. To study DNA binding, the cDNA was subcloned in the bacterial expression vectors pQE11 and pQE30 (23). The binding sites for the E box were more precisely defined by DNase protection, methylation interference, and competition with a series of E-box-mutated oligonucleotides (Fig. 5A, C, and D: Table 1). Following binding of radiolabeled EC oligonucleotide to purified UBO protein, a 20-bp region (−176 to −157) encompassing the E box was
FIG. 5. The UBO polypeptide specifically binds the −170 E box. (A) E-box-specific binding of GST-UBO fusion protein (EMSA). The end-labeled, double-stranded EC oligonucleotide was incubated with 100 ng of purified GST-UBO protein. Excess amounts (100-fold) of cold, wild-type oligonucleotides (EC and E), a CCAAT-mutated oligonucleotide (CM), DNA sequences with various E-box mutations (EM, EM2-5, and PCR EM2), and an HEB antiserum were used as competitors as indicated; all DNA sequences are listed in Table 1. (B to D) DNA binding of UBO on the EC oligonucleotide. (B) Gel shift of the UBO protein employed in footprinting and methylation interference. (C) DNase I footprinting. The EC oligonucleotide was end labeled on the noncoding strand, incubated with purified UBO protein, digested with DNase, and resolved on a sequencing gel. F, free probe; S, UBO-bound probe. The region protected from DNase digestion (−176 to −157) encompasses the E box; its sequence is shown on the right (3’ on top). (D) Methylation interference. The partially methylated EC oligonucleotide was end labeled on the coding or the noncoding strand and used for EMSA with purified UBO. Free (F) and bound (B) probes were excised, cleaved with piperidine, and resolved on a sequencing gel. Those residues whose methylation interferes with binding are indicated by arrowheads. G+A, control sequence on G and A residues.
box (Fig. 1) and HTF4/HEB E-protein homologs, we performed binding competition experiments with a set of E-box mutations and gel supershift experiments with an HEB antiserum on nuclear extracts of PC12, Jurkat, and NIH 3T3 cells (Fig. 7). The competition experiments showed that the binding properties of the E2 complex, detected in Jurkat and P19 cells only, were very similar to those of purified UBO protein (compare Fig. 5 and 7). Those of the E1 complex, in contrast, were clearly different: the E site was necessary for E1-complex binding, since binding was abolished by mutation of the central Gs of the E box (probe PCR EM2), but was not sufficient. The E1 complex, in fact, was not competed by (Fig. 7), nor could bind (data not shown), the E oligonucleotide (Table 1), indicating the requirement of sequences downstream of the E box. Gel supershift experiments demonstrated that a factor specifically recognized by the HEB antiserum was present in the E2 complex of Jurkat cells, but not in the ubiquitous E1 complex (Fig. 7); the P19 E2 complex was supershifted as well (not shown). These data indicate that E2 is not the vgf repressor. The presence of the E2 complex was not strictly correlated to a larger amount of the E protein in nuclear extracts of different cell lines, as indicated by an estimate of protein amounts obtained by Western analysis via comparison with known quantities of recombinant UBO. We believe that E2 is the same as the lymphoid-cell-specific complex named CD4-3A by Sawada and Littman (43) (P19 cells were not examined in that study); that complex was a heterodimer between HEB and an E12-related protein which binds the CD4 promoter E-box CAGGTG in T cells. E2 and CD4-3A are similar in binding properties, gel mobility, and cell type specificity. The tissue specificity of E2 could be explained by our hypothesis. The finding that HEB family E proteins could not be detected in a ubiquitous complex bound to the EC oligonucleotide was in contrast with the wide range of expression of these proteins and with the fact that the vgf promoter site CAGGTG represents, among all possible E boxes, the optimal binding site for these proteins (4). We argued that DNA binding of the E protein may be regulated. This could be achieved in various ways; one is that HEB proteins cooperate with factors that bind other vgf promoter elements (e.g., CCAAT and CRE). To investigate this possibility, we employed a longer probe (PCR WT) including, besides the E box and CCAAT, the CRE site. The use of this probe and of competitors with mutated E or CRE sites in gel shift experiments demonstrated the presence of a complex, E-CRE, that depends on the integrity of both E and CRE sites (Fig. 8). This complex had a much stronger affinity for DNA than the previously described E1 and E2 complexes, since a much smaller amount of nuclear extract produced a stronger signal. This complex was present in all cell lines tested (PC12, Jurkat, and NIH 3T3) and was specifically supershifted by an HEB-specific antiserum, which does not cross-react with products of other E-protein genes (43). Although it contains an HEB E protein, the E-CRE complex is not expected to be a bHLH protein dimer, as a vast literature on interactions between DNA and bHLH protein homo- and heterodimers, as

![FIG. 6. (A) Expression of proteins homologous to HEB in different cell lines. Nuclear extracts from SK-N-BE, HTC, PC12, Jurkat, and P19 cells, or the recombinant UBO (UBO8) protein, were used for Western blotting and probed with a UBO antiserum. As a control for specificity, the antiserum was preabsorbed with lysates of bacteria producing UBO (lanes 4, 5, 6, and 8) or the unrelated protein v-CRK (lanes 1, 2, 3, and 7). Lanes 1, 2, 4, and 5 contained 80 μg, lanes 9 and 10 contained 100 μg, and lanes 7 and 8 contained 400 μg of nuclear proteins; in lanes 3 and 6, 20 ng of purified UBO was used.](http://mcb.asm.org/)

![FIG. 7. Properties of E-box binding E1 and E2 complexes. Nuclear extracts from PC12, Jurkat, and NIH 3T3 cells were incubated with end-labeled EC oligonucleotide (−178 to −132 of vgf promoter). Excess amounts (100X) of wild-type oligonucleotides (EC and E) and E-box mutated oligonucleotides (EM and EM2 to −4) and HEB antiserum (αHEB) or preimmune serum (preim.) (0.5 μl each) were used as competitors as indicated. The oligonucleotide sequences are in Table 1.](http://mcb.asm.org/)
well as the crystal structures of DNA-bound bHLH dimers, has shown that only few nucleotides around the Ebox are necessary for binding (29). The finding that a CRE site is required for binding of this complex indicate that a CRE binding factor, e.g., a member of the CREB/ATF family, is part of this complex.

**DISCUSSION**

Several motifs (CRE, CCAAT, and the E box) within a short regulatory region of the neurotrophin-inducible vgf promoter are involved in transcriptional regulation. The CRE consensus sequence (−81 to −74) is part of a larger, 14-bp palindrome (−84 to −71) and is required for the transcriptional response to NGF and cAMP (19, 38). It binds in vitro to the CRE binding protein, CREB, that is activated by NGF and cAMP via phosphorylation at a key regulatory site, Ser133 (17, 19). The CRE consensus sequence alone is not sufficient for mediating the response to the NGF signal, since NGF induction is abolished by a −81 deletion, which preserves the consensus while removing 5’ flanking sequences (38). Since a −98 deletion still maintains induction by NGF, sequences between positions −98 and −81, possibly the first three nucleotides of the 14-bp palindrome, are necessary to respond to NGF. They may be important for promoter recognition by CREB/CREM (42) family members or may be required for a cooperative interaction between CREB and some factor that binds other elements in the vgf promoter, like CCAAT or the E box.

CCAAT and E-box sites play important roles in tissue-specific expression of several genes (24, 31, 37, 48); in the vgf promoter, these sites bind specific protein complexes in vitro. An important role of E boxes in vgf regulation was demonstrated in this study. The gCAGGTG E box at position −170 belongs to the E2-box class, which is the most important for tissue-specific gene expression; sites with the same sequence are found, for instance, in the cardiac α-actin gene promoter, the kE2 and μE5 sites of the immunoglobulin light- and heavy-chain genes, and the MEF1 site of the muscle creatine kinase gene enhancers (31). The vgf E box has a dual role: it is weakly positive in PC12 cells and strongly negative in 3T3 cells. This last finding is in agreement with several reports showing that E2-box sites, besides acting as activator elements, can bind transcriptional repressors (15, 16). This site acts together with a second E box located downstream at position −56. Both E boxes are required for full repression of the vgf promoter in nonneuronal cells, since mutating either one of the two E boxes leads to an increase in transcription, provided that the other one is still present. When both E boxes are mutated, transcription is very low in neuronal and nonneuronal cells, indicating that, individually, the two E boxes can stimulate transcription, possibly by recruiting a general bHLH transcription factor. As somewhat functionally similar arrangement of E boxes is found in the immunoglobulin M heavy-chain gene enhancer (40). We have shown that the −170 E box and CRE cooperate in binding to a complex present in all cell lines tested. Since CRE binding factors are essential for the response to neurotrophins, this complex is expected to play an important role in transcriptional regulation. The function of the CCAAT binding complex and the possibility that it interacts with the E-CRE complex in transcriptional regulation remain to be elucidated; a role of the CCAAT site in vgf regulation was recently suggested (10).

The cDNA of a factor present in the complex bound to the E box and CRE was isolated by an expression screening. The simian cDNA codes for a polypeptide named UBO, highly homologous to the human E-protein IF4/HEB (21, 51), that specifically binds the −170 E box in vitro. E proteins (class A bHLH proteins) constitute a small family of widely expressed, structurally and functionally related bHLH domain transcriptional regulators. They bind DNA as homodimers and as heterodimers with other E proteins or with tissue-specific (class B) bHLH proteins, and they participate in developmental decisions in several organisms and in different tissues (24, 29, 31).
Three E-protein genes are known in vertebrates: E2A, ITF2, and HEB. Although widely expressed, E proteins can have a critical function in particular lineages, as demonstrated for E2A in lymphoid cells (52). Comparative analysis of cDNA sequences has revealed that HEB serine 558 is not present in UBO, suggesting that human and simian CDNAS are not equivalent. We find this interesting, since the difference between UBO and HEB is similar to that observed for E47 and E2-5, two of the products of the human E2A gene derived by alternative splicing: HEB RTSSTNE, UBO RT.STDE; E47 RTSSTDE, E2-5 RT.STDE (20, 32). The serine deletions in UBO and E2-5 may be biologically significant, because they occur in proximity to the bHLH domain and are found in two of three E-protein genes. The serine might be a regulatory site, i.e., a target of posttranslational modification by phosphorylation.

HEB and its homologs bind DNA in vitro and activate or repress transcription in an E-box-dependent way (9, 21, 35, 43). Homodimers of this E protein bind with higher affinity to the E-box CAGGGT, present in the vgf promoter, as compared with other E-box sequences or with other E proteins (4, 21). Their interaction with DNA appears to be controlled in several ways. Proteins with different DNA binding properties may be generated by alternative RNA splicing; one of the HEB mRNA isoforms is preferentially produced in neuronal cells (25, 33, 35). Otherwise, the E protein may be posttranslationally modified or associate with tissue-specific partners. Furthermore, DNA binding may be blocked by inhibitory HLH proteins, such as Id (1).

The Drosophila melanogaster E protein, Daughterless, has a role in neurogenesis in association with the products of achaete-scute genes (7, 8). HEB proteins can form dimeric complexes with MASH1, a mammalian homolog of Drosophila Achaete-Scute proteins (reference 25 and our unpublished data), and possibly NeuroD, two class B HLH factors involved in vertebrate neurogenesis (18, 26). MASH1 is present in neuronal precursors and in neuronal and neuro-endocrine cell lines and is induced by NGF in PC12 cells (23). E-box binding complexes exist throughout PC12 cell differentiation and can be disrupted by Id, whose message is upregulated in response to NGF (12). Studies on the mRNA expression patterns of HEB homologs in chicks and rodents support the possibility that these proteins may control neural cell-specific gene expression. During development, the mRNA is present at high levels in the developing neural tube and in morphogenetically active regions, and it decreases once cellular differentiation is over (25, 33). In adult animals, it is highly enriched in forebrain areas (hippocampus and suprachiasmatic nuclei), where vgf also is transcribed (25). Due to the large variety of protein-protein interactions in which they can participate, HEB E proteins may act as repressors or activators. The E box they bind to in the vgf promoter is the target of different binding complexes and has a dual role in transcriptional regulation. This site is a negative element in 3T3 cells, suggesting that HEB protein homologs may be involved in the repression mechanism. A repressor function was recently demonstrated for the mouse HEB homolog, ME1/ALF1, on the promoter of p75NTR, a receptor that binds to all neurotrophins and is present in neuronal and glial cells and particular populations of lymphocytes (9). Repressors bound to E boxes may contribute significantly to the tissue specificity of vgf, for instance, by ensuring that the action of transcriptional activators is limited to neuronal cells. We find particularly interesting, in this regard, the association of this E protein with a CRE-bound factor. A diagram illustrating the possible role of protein-protein interactions between factors bound to different vgf promoter elements (the E box and CRE) is shown in Fig. 9. It is postulated that the transcriptional activity of the vgf promoter relies on a multiprotein complex involving an HEB protein and factors bound to CRE, such as CREB/ATF; coregulators such as CBP and p300, which associate with CREB as well as with bHLH factors (11, 42), may mediate the interaction. This complex could have a dual role in controlling gene expression. Gain- and loss-of-function experiments will help to establish the role of these interactions in the proper execution of a neural differentiation program.
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