INTRODUCTION

Hip fractures are a disabling event and an important cause of morbidity and mortality in elderly people (1-4). The majority of patients following hip fracture are treated operatively to obtain pain relief, hasten mobility, and minimize complications. The indications of conservative treatment after hip fracture in previous reported studies were patients with impacted femoral neck fracture, minimally symptomatic following late diagnosis of hip fracture, already bedridden, and significant medical co-morbidity (5-8).

In these patients, high mortality rates in cases with conservative treatment were reported because of poor medical comorbidity (7-9). However, little information is available concerning the prognosis of elderly patients who need surgical intervention but who are discharged without surgery after hip fracture because of economic burden.

The purpose of this study was to determine the causal factors of non-operative treatment following hip fracture and mortality rate and functional recovery according to the causal factors compared to that of patients who underwent surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Between January 2009 and December 2011, 451 patients were diagnosed as femoral neck or intertrochanteric fractures. Of these, we identified 32 elderly patients (patients over 65-yr-of-age, 32 hips) with hip fracture who refused surgical treatment and received non-operative procedure at the author’s hospital. The diagnostic criteria of hip fracture were a displaced femoral neck or intertrochanteric fracture. The exclusion criteria were impacted femoral neck fracture, isolated trochanteric fracture, high-energy injury such as traffic accident, and metastatic pathological femur fractures. We excluded four patients from enrollment: two patients with pathological fracture and two patients with traumatic trochanteric fracture of the femur. The remaining 28 patients (Group I) were enrolled. Fifty six age-, gender-, American Society of Anesthesiologist (ASA) score- (10), and diagnosis-matched patients with hip fracture who had undergone surgical treatment at our hospital were used as the control group (Group II) in a two-to-one ratio using the propensity scoring method. Demographic data including gender, age, initial diagnosis, ASA score, and pre-fracture ambulatory status by Koval’s categories (11) were obtained by reviewing medical records (Table 1).

The causes of operation refusal were obtained by reviewing medical records and interview with patients or family members. Change of patient activity and mortality were compared within a minimum of one year between the two groups. Activity levels were defined as follows: I, independent community am-
Table 1. Demographics of patients

| Parameters | Non-surgical group | Surgical group | P value |
|------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Number of patients | 28 | 56 | 0.736 |
| Male:female | 9:19 | 14:40 | 0.918 |
| Age (yr) (mean ± SD) | 84.0 ± 7.9 | 84.2 ± 8.4 | 0.05 |
| ASA score | 2 | 8 | 0.751 |

| Diagnosis | Non-surgical group | Surgical group | P value |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Femoral neck fracture | 13 | 33 | 0.278 |
| Intertrochanteric fracture | 15 | 23 | 0.008 |

| Koval’s grade by pre-fracture | Non-surgical group | Surgical group | P value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| I | 2 | 15 | 0.008 |
| II | 5 | 17 | 0.008 |
| III | 3 | 4 | 0.008 |
| IV | 1 | 2 | 0.008 |
| V | 10 | 8 | 0.008 |
| VI | 4 | 8 | 0.008 |
| VII | 3 | 2 | 0.008 |

Table 2. Comparison of mortality and Koval’s grade between the non-surgical and surgical group

| Outcomes | Non-surgical group | Surgical group | P value |
|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| Mortality | at 3 months | 15/28 (54%) | 5/56 (9%) | < 0.001 |
| at 6 months | 17/28 (61%) | 6/56 (11%) | < 0.001 |
| at 12 months | 18/28 (64%) | 8/56 (14%) | < 0.001 |
| at 24 months | 23/28 (82%) | 12/56 (21%) | < 0.001 |

| Koval’s grade by post-fracture | Non-surgical group | Surgical group | P value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| I | 0 | 1 | < 0.001 |
| II | 0 | 12 | < 0.001 |
| III | 0 | 3 | < 0.001 |
| IV | 0 | 0 | < 0.001 |
| V | 0 | 5 | < 0.001 |
| VI | 0 | 16 | < 0.001 |
| VII | 5 | 7 | < 0.001 |

Table 3. Comparison of mortality, ASA score and cause of death between the non-operative patients by medical and economic reasons

| Outcomes | Medical reasons (n = 10) | Economic reasons (n = 18) |
|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mortality | at 3 months | 8/10 (80%) | 7/18 (39%) |
| at 6 months | 8/10 (80%) | 9/18 (50%) | |
| at 12 months | 8/10 (80%) | 10/18 (56%) | |
| at 24 months | 9/10 (90%) | 14/18 (78%) | |
| ASA score | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| 3 | 2 | 7 |
| 4 | 8 | 0 |
| Cause of death | Heart failure | 4 | 1 |
| Liver disease | 3 | 1 |
| Pneumonia | 1 | 8 |
| Renal failure | 1 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 4 |

RESULTS

Of 28 patients who could not receive operative treatment, the reason in 10 patients (36%) was medical problems with high-risk of surgery. The reason for the remaining 18 (64%) was economic burden, even if surgery is quite possible.

The cumulative mortality rate over 3, 6, and 12 months post-fracture was 54%, 61%, and 64% in Group I and 9%, 11%, and 14% in Group II, respectively. The cumulative mortality rate was 82% (23/28) at 24 months in Group I and 21% (12/56) at 24 months in Group II (Table 2).

With regard to the cause-specific mortality in Group I, the cumulative mortality rate over the 3, 6, and 12 months post-fracture was consistently 80% in 10 patients who refused surgery for medical problems and 39%, 50%, and 56% in 18 patients who refused surgery because of economic burdens. The cumulative mortality rate at the 24-month follow-up was 90% (9/10) and 78% (14/18), respectively (Table 3). The cause of death in Group I by medical reasons was patient medical comorbidity. The cause of death in Group I by economic reasons was pneumonia (n = 8),
Several recent observational studies addressing several different indications of conservative treatment after hip fracture in elderly patients reported favorable results. Hossain et al. (8) conducted a case-control study with 25 patients who were treated non-operatively and 22 patients treated surgically over the same time period. The authors reported that non-surgical treatment with early mobilization did not result in a statistically significant difference in functional outcome compared to surgical treatment patients. Gregory et al. (7) evaluated 102 patients diagnosed with a displaced intracapsular femoral neck fracture. Eighty of these patients underwent hemiarthroplasty and 22 were managed non-operatively because of an unacceptably high risk of death within the perioperative period despite medical optimization. The authors reported that the 30-day mortality in the hemiarthroplasty group was 4% (3/82) compared with 34% (7/22) in the non-operative group. Patients surviving 30 days after fracture had similar mortality rates at 1 yr, regardless of their treatment (27% non-operative vs 25% hemiarthroplasty). Raaymakers and Marti (12) performed a prospective observation study with 170 patients with impacted femoral neck fractures treated with early mobilization. Of these, 16% had died within 1 yr and 86% had achieved union. Although the impacted femoral neck fracture has been described as one of the possible indication of non-operative treatment in some articles, it should be treated surgically because of more than 15% of them displaced eventually. In addition, these findings were not consistent with other previous studies (13). Ions and Stevens (13) performed a prospective observation study with 158 patients who sustained hip fracture (135 in the operation group and 22 in the conservative treatment group). The authors reported that the 6-month mortality rate of the operation group was 9.6% (13 of 135) and that of the non-operated group who had severe medical comorbidity was 60.8% (14 of 23). In this study, the cumulative mortality rate at 6 months follow-up was 61% in Group I and 11% in Group II. In addition, subgroup analysis in conservative treatment group revealed 80% mortality in patients who had severe medical comorbidity and 50% mortality in patients who had refused surgery because of economic burden.

At the latest follow-up, five patients in Group I were all bed-ridden, but more than half of the remaining 44 patients in Group II were restored to the same pre-injury or Grade 1 reduced pre-injury activity levels. Therefore, conservative treatment after hip fracture might lead to serious dependency.

There were several limitations in our study. First, the study was retrospective and was performed in a cohort of prospectively followed patients. However, the study could not be prospectively randomized one because of the high mortality of non-operative treatment. Second, the study design included a small cohort of patients and so might not have had sufficient statistical power to be conclusive. However, the calculated sample size of only 20 patients was deemed sufficient because of the high mortality rate of 4-times that of the surgical control group. Third,
our study did not include the medical status about enrolled patients. However, to make a matched case-control study by using a propensity scoring method, we used the ASA score as the medical status indicating the risk of surgery. White et al. (10) reported the ASA score is a reliable index of predictor of mortality and surgical risk. Fourth, the patients who refused surgery because of economic reasons were not universally followed-up. We persuaded the patients and their family and could finished interviews and get information with one orthopedic surgeon and two nurses.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that the conservative treatment following hip fracture due to economic burden is associated with substantially higher mortality and serious functional loss compared with patients who are treated surgically. This finding should be important for health affiliations and health care providers, and health policy decision makers should take action to reduce mortality and maintain functional activity in elderly patients who refuse surgical treatment.
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