New approach for earthquake/tsunami monitoring using dense GPS networks
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In recent times increasing numbers of high-rate GPS stations have been installed around the world and set-up to provide data in real-time. These networks provide a great opportunity to quickly capture surface displacements, which makes them important as potential constituents of earthquake/tsunami monitoring and warning systems. The appropriate GPS real-time data analysis with sufficient accuracy for this purpose is a main focus of the current GPS research. In this paper we propose an augmented point positioning method for GPS based hazard monitoring, which can achieve fast or even instantaneous precise positioning without relying on data of a specific reference station. The proposed method overcomes the limitations of the currently mostly used GPS processing approaches of relative positioning and global precise point positioning. The advantages of the proposed approach are demonstrated by using GPS data, which was recorded during the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake in Japan.

GPS (Global Positioning System) has attracted increasing attention and numerous applications in hazard monitoring1–3 resulting in a rapid development of high-rate (≥1 Hz) GPS data processing approaches for these applications. High-rate GPS observes displacements directly and thus is particularly valuable in case of large earthquakes/tsunamis4. Consequently in the recent years, dense GPS monitoring networks have been built in seismically active regions, e.g., Japan’s GEONET (the GPS Earth Observation Network System, http://www.gsi.go.jp/) and UNAVCO’s Plate Boundary Observatory (PBO, http://pbo.unavco.org/). These networks are complementary to seismic monitoring networks and contribute significantly to earthquake/tsunami early warning and hazard risk mitigation5,6,7.

Appropriate and precise GPS real-time data analysis is crucial for the use of the network data for hazard monitoring. Currently, the relative baseline/network positioning technique is predominantly used for this purpose8,9,10. For moderate-to-short baselines, integer ambiguity resolution can be achieved within a few seconds and sometimes with only one observational epoch to achieve a high positioning accuracy of a few cm. For the relative positioning (RP) technique, GPS data from a network is analyzed simultaneously to estimate station positions. It is complicated by the need to assign baselines, overlapping Delaunay triangles11, or overlapping sub-networks12. This is a significant limitation for the challenging simultaneous and precise real-time analysis of GPS data from hundreds or thousands of ground stations. Furthermore, intermittent station dropouts complicate the network-based relative positioning. Relative positioning also requires a local reference station, which might itself be displaced during a large seismic event, resulting in misleading GPS analysis results. The reference station should be sufficiently far from the focal region, but must also be part of a sub-network that has relatively short baselines. In the case of large earthquakes, such as the Mw 9.0 Tohoku-Oki event at Japan, the reference station may also be significantly displaced, even when it is several hundred kilometers away from the event9,11.

Alternatively, precise point positioning13 (PPP) can provide “absolute” displacements with respect to a global reference frame (defined by the satellite orbits and clocks) using a single GPS receiver. It is more flexible than the relative positioning technique and is widely used for hazard monitoring14,15. However, the PPP method requires a long convergence period of about 20 minutes after receiver activation or after serious and/or long signal interruption for most of the GPS satellites16. The worst case scenario for the GPS component of an earthquake/tsunami monitoring system would be a power failure during the disaster, which would reduce the usefulness of the PPP based displacement solution because of the time required for re-convergence17. To avoid this major disadvantage, the PPP regional augmentation18 has been developed by making use of atmospheric corrections from a regional reference network to achieve nearly instantaneous ambiguity resolution. But the regional monitoring stations
could also be displaced by the earthquake. Therefore the current PPP regional augmentation, in which the reference stations are assumed being in static mode and even with known coordinates for generating atmospheric corrections or pre-fit undifferenced observation residuals, could not be used for earthquake monitoring.

This is our motivation to propose here a novel method for fast or even instantaneous positioning, making full use of the currently available global PPP service and regional GPS monitoring networks. We estimate coordinates of all monitoring stations in kinematic mode to avoid the effects of the earthquake induced-displacements on atmospheric corrections. The derived atmospheric corrections at the stations with fixed ambiguities then can be provided to other monitoring stations for instantaneous ambiguity resolution, so that precise displacements can always be achieved within a few seconds. The series of displacements, derived using the proposed method, will be uninterrupted even in case of a break in tracking (loss of signal lock, cycle slips, or data gaps) due to a power outage or similar disruption. This is a considerable advantage for hazard monitoring application. The new method does not depend on a specific reference station and therefore the analysis results will not be affected by simultaneous shaking of any particular station. It also has better flexibility and efficiency compared to complicated network/subnetwork analysis. We demonstrate the advantages of the novel augmented PPP approach using 1 Hz GEONET data, collected during the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake (Mw 9.0, 11 March, 2011) in Japan.

**Results**

The 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-Oki earthquake (11 March 2011, 05:46:23 UTC) in Japan is one of the best GPS recorded large earthquakes, as Japan has one of the densest GPS networks in the world. The Geospatial Information Authority of Japan (GSI) operates more than 1,200 continuously observing GPS stations (collectively called the GPS Earth Observation Network System) all over Japan. The geographical distribution of the stations is indicated in Figure 1. The use of the GEONET data provides an excellent opportunity to evaluate the performance of our novel PPP analysis method. We replayed all the 1 Hz GPS data collected by the GEONET stations during the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake using the augmented PPP method in simulated real-time mode.

First we process 1 Hz GPS ground tracking data of about 80 globally distributed real-time IGS stations using the GFZ’s EPOS-RT software in simulated real-time mode for providing GPS orbits, clocks and uncalibrated phase delays (UPD) corrections at a 5 s sampling interval. Using the orbits, clocks and UPD data, the integer ambiguities are fixed in PPP mode for all of the GEONET stations and atmospheric corrections are derived on an individual station basis. For each GEONET station, three nearby GPS stations are selected as augmenting stations. In addition to the orbit, clock and UPD data products from the global PPP service, the atmospheric corrections of the augmenting stations are interpolated and imposed as a constraint on related parameters. Then instantaneous ambiguity...
fixing is performed independently at each epoch. The displacement waveforms, derived from augmented PPP solution, at station 0176 are shown in the Figure 2a, to illustrate typical behavior. The north, east and up components are respectively shown by the blue, red and black curves.

It is found that there are significant GPS data gaps or cycle slips during the seismic shaking at some GEONET sites (e.g., 0175, 0588, etc). There is for example a data gap of about 2 min at station 0175, which starts at epoch 05:47:21 and ends 05:49:27 (GPS time, GPST). The displacements from the augmented PPP solution for station 0175 are shown in Figure 3a. Stations 0172, 0914 and 0918 are selected as the augmenting stations for 0175. The estimated ionospheric corrections during seismic shaking at the augmenting stations are illustrated in Figure 4a and Figure 5a. The estimated zenith wet delays during the 600 s seismic shaking period are respectively 5.4 $\pm$ 0.1 cm, 6.5 $\pm$ 0.1 cm and 6.4 $\pm$ 0.1 cm at three augmenting stations. With the atmospheric corrections, retrieved from the augmenting stations, the atmospheric delays for 0175 are interpolated using the linear combination method. The resulting interpolations are compared with the estimated values at 0175 in order to assess the accuracy of the interpolation. Figure 4b and Figure 5b show the ionospheric differences between interpolated and estimated values. The differences are found to be smaller than 5 cm. The tropospheric interpolation error is about 0.26 cm. We found that the interpolated atmospheric corrections are accurate enough for rapid ambiguity resolution.

We also derive displacement waveforms of all GEONET stations from the relative positioning (RP) and global PPP solutions, and compare them with the augmented PPP solution. The global PPP displacements for station 0175 are shown in Figure 3b. In the global PPP solution, the displacement series shows a large disturbance after the data gap that is caused by the convergence sequence for fixing the PPP ambiguities (about 20 min). This unstable behavior is an unavoidable problem for a real-time PPP use as the sparse global reference network employed cannot provide accurate atmosphere delays for fast ambiguity resolution. The relative positioning solution...
for the station 0175 is also shown in Figure 3c. For the relative positioning analysis, we adopt the same reference station 0065 as Ohta et al (2012)\(^1\). It can be seen that there are some fluctuations in the displacement series derived from the relative positioning solution, which are caused by the ground shaking at the reference station location. The Figure 2b shows the ground displacements at the 0065 reference station. Peak surface displacements of up to half a meter were recorded at this station during the earthquake even though it is about 700 km away from the epicenter. The displacement waveforms for the station 0588 derived from augmented PPP (0217, 0590 and 0965 are selected as augmenting stations), global PPP and RP solutions are also compared in Figure 6.

The permanent coseismic displacements of ninety evenly-distributed stations derived from post-processed ARIA solution (5 min solution), real-time augmented PPP, global PPP, and RP solution are shown in Figure 7a, 7b, 7c, and 7d, respectively, by the red arrows. The post-processed ARIA solution is provided by the ARIA team at JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory) and Caltech (California Institute of Technology)\(^2\). It can be found that the permanent coseismic displacements, derived from the real-time augmented PPP solution, are quite consistent with those of post-processed ARIA solution in both horizontal and vertical components. The root mean squared errors (RMS) of the differences between the two solutions are 10.1, 14.1, and 5.7 cm in north, east, and vertical components, respectively. Figure 7c shows some significant differences between global PPP and ARIA displacements at some stations, which are caused by the data interruptions at these stations. The corresponding RMS values of the differences are 4.3, 22.7, and 9.0 cm in north, east, and vertical components. Figure 7d shows, that the RP displacements have obvious disagreements with the ARIA results at nearly all stations due to problem of the earthquake shaking of the reference station. The RMS values of the differences are 10.1, 14.1, and 5.7 cm in north, east, and vertical components. Figure 8 shows the displacement differences between the ARIA solution and the other three solutions. These comparisons show that the augmented PPP method can significantly improve the reliability and accuracy of earthquake-induced coseismic displacements in real-time scenarios.

We derived four fault slip distributions based on the four different GPS analysis techniques introduced above. Identical finite fault parameters are used for the four inversions. Identically as done by Wang et al. (2013)\(^3\), we employ a slightly curved fault plane, parallel to the assumed subduction slab. The dip angle increases linearly from 10° on the top (ocean bottom) to 20° at about 80 km depth. To avoid any artificial boundary effect, a large enough potential rupture area of 650 km × 300 km is used. The upper edge of the fault is located along the trench east of Japan, on the boundary between the Pacific plate and the North American plate. The patch size is about 10 km × 10 km. The rake angle determining the slip direction at each fault patch is allowed to vary between 90° ± 20°. Green’s functions are
The comparisons of synthetic and observed displacements on the waveforms based on RP and global PPP analysis. This makes augmented PPP potentially appropriate for the application in operational earthquake/tsunami monitoring and warning systems. The reliability and accuracy of permanent coseismic displacements are also significantly improved. The RMS accuracy of about 1.4, 1.1, and 1.7 cm are achieved in the north, east, and vertical components, respectively. The inversion results indicate that the augmented PPP solution is the most consistent with post-processed ARIA solution both in the fault slip distribution and displacement fittings.

Methods

Successful resolution of integer-cycle carrier-phase ambiguities is a prerequisite to achieve the most precise position estimates with GPS by transforming precise but ambiguous phase range measurements into precise unambiguous measurements\(^{21,22}\). For relative positioning, the uncalibrated phase delays (UDP) are removed by the application of the double-difference (DD) technique and thus the phase ambiguity can be fixed to integers\(^{23}\). The atmospheric delays are also mostly eliminated in case of moderate-to-short baselines, so that integer-cycle phase ambiguities can be fixed within few seconds. Recent studies show that the UDPs can be estimated with high accuracy and reliability from a global reference network and transferred to the GPS monitoring station to allow resolution of the ambiguities without differencing\(^{24,25}\). Several international GNSS service (IGS) analysis centers provide GPS orbit, clock, and UDP data products to allow real-time PPP use enabling ambiguity resolution anywhere in the world\(^{25,26}\). However, PPP still needs a comparatively long (re)convergence time of approximate 20 minutes to achieve reliable integer ambiguity resolution because precise atmospheric delay models cannot be derived from such a sparse global reference network\(^{27}\).

An increasing number of regional GPS monitoring networks are installed around the world for precise navigation and geophysical applications, especially in seismically active regions (e.g. Japan, Western North America, Greece, and Chile). One possible solution for achieving PPP is to ring fast ambiguity resolution in PPP is to retrieve the atmospheric delays as corrections from data of these dense regional networks. By applying the UDP corrections, the integer un-differenced ambiguities on the L1 and L2 frequencies can be fixed in PPP mode at all regional monitoring stations. The atmospheric corrections retrieved from the nearby monitoring stations can be interpolated with accuracy better than 5 cm. This means that the interpolated atmospheric corrections are accurate enough for rapid ambiguity resolution, which is a prerequisite to achieve the most precise displacements. The displacement waveforms, derived using the augmented PPP approach are immune to the convergence problem caused by data gaps and cycle slips and the problem of the earthquake shaking the reference station compared to the waveforms based on RP and global PPP analysis. This makes augmented PPP potentially appropriate for the application in operational earthquake/tsunami monitoring and warning systems. The reliability and accuracy of permanent coseismic displacements are also significantly improved. The RMS accuracy of about 1.4, 1.1, and 1.7 cm are achieved in the north, east, and vertical components, respectively. The inversion results indicate that the augmented PPP solution is the most consistent with post-processed ARIA solution both in the fault slip distribution and displacement fittings.

Discussion

We proposed a new GPS analysis method for hazard (e.g. earthquake and tsunami) monitoring. The new augmented PPP method can overcome the limitations of current relative positioning and global PPP approaches for this application. The performance of the new approach is evaluated by GPS ground network data, observed during the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake in Japan.

The atmospheric corrections retrieved from the nearby monitoring stations can be interpolated with accuracy better than 5 cm. This means that the interpolated atmospheric corrections are accurate enough for rapid ambiguity resolution, which is a prerequisite to achieve the most precise displacements. The displacement waveforms, derived using the augmented PPP approach are immune to the convergence problem caused by data gaps and cycle slips and the problem of the earthquake shaking the reference station compared to the waveforms based on RP and global PPP analysis. This makes augmented PPP potentially appropriate for the application in operational earthquake/tsunami monitoring and warning systems. The reliability and accuracy of permanent coseismic displacements are also significantly improved. The RMS accuracy of about 1.4, 1.1, and 1.7 cm are achieved in the north, east, and vertical components, respectively. The inversion results indicate that the augmented PPP solution is the most consistent with post-processed ARIA solution both in the fault slip distribution and displacement fittings.

Methods

Successful resolution of integer-cycle carrier-phase ambiguities is a prerequisite to achieve the most precise position estimates with GPS by transforming precise but ambiguous phase range measurements into precise unambiguous measurements\(^{21,22}\). For relative positioning, the uncalibrated phase delays (UDP) are removed by the application of the double-difference (DD) technique and thus the phase ambiguity can be fixed to integers\(^{23}\). The atmospheric delays are also mostly eliminated in case of moderate-to-short baselines, so that integer-cycle phase ambiguities can be fixed within few seconds. Recent studies show that the UDPs can be estimated with high accuracy and reliability from a global reference network and transferred to the GPS monitoring station to allow resolution of the ambiguities without differencing\(^{24,25}\). Several international GNSS service (IGS) analysis centers provide GPS orbit, clock, and UDP data products to allow real-time PPP use enabling ambiguity resolution anywhere in the world\(^{25,26}\). However, PPP still needs a comparatively long (re)convergence time of approximate 20 minutes to achieve reliable integer ambiguity resolution because precise atmospheric delay models cannot be derived from such a sparse global reference network\(^{27}\).

An increasing number of regional GPS monitoring networks are installed around the world for precise navigation and geophysical applications, especially in seismically active regions (e.g. Japan, Western North America, Greece, and Chile). One possible solution for achieving PPP is to ring fast ambiguity resolution in PPP is to retrieve the atmospheric delays as corrections from data of these dense regional networks. By applying the UDP corrections, the integer un-differenced ambiguities on the L1 and L2 frequencies can be fixed in PPP mode at all regional monitoring stations. The atmospheric corrections of the ionospheric slant and tropospheric zenith wet delay then can be derived from the PPP fixed solution as

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta r &= \Delta \delta z + \Delta \delta t + \delta \delta z + \delta b \Delta t + \delta b \Delta r \Delta N_z + \delta c \Delta r \\
&= \Delta \delta z + \Delta \delta t + \delta \delta z + \delta b \Delta t + \delta b \Delta r \Delta N_z + \delta c \Delta r \\
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 7 | The comparisons of observed and synthetic displacements in horizontal components, and in vertical components, respectively. (a) Inversion with the post-processed ARIA solution; (b) Inversion with coseismic displacements obtained from real-time augmented PPP solution; (c) Inversion with real-time global PPP solution; (d) Inversion with real-time RP solution. This figure is drawn using GMT software32.
Isr, j, msr, j: Zr, Dr, ~{psr, j: trz\(c\) (dr, js), dr, js)\(esr, j\)}, Nsr, j, js denote phase and code observables from satellite s to receiver r at frequency j. \(\Delta r\) is the unit direction vector from site to satellite; Dr denotes the increments of the receiver positions; Zr denotes the tropospheric zenith wet delay; msr, j is the wet mapping function; ts and tr are the clock errors; \(l_j\) is the wavelength; \(b_{r,j}\) is the receiver-dependent uncalibrated phase delay; \(b_{s,j}\) is the satellite-dependent UPD; \(d_{r,j}\) and \(d_{s,j}\) are the code biases; \(I_{r,j}\) is the ionospheric delay; \(N_{r,j}\) is the integer phase ambiguity; \(e_{r,j}\) and \(e_{s,j}\) are the measurement noise terms of the pseudo-range and carrier phase.

This procedure is very flexible and computational efficient to be applied even for monitoring networks with a large number of stations as the atmospheric corrections are derived for each station individually. Because regional monitoring stations themselves could be displaced by the earthquake, the coordinates are estimated in kinematic mode to avoid the effects of earthquake induced-displacements on the atmospheric corrections that are generated. The constraints imposed on the

Figure 8 | The residual displacements from the ARIA solution. (a) Residual differences between augmented PPP and ARIA vectors; (b) Residual differences between global PPP and ARIA vectors; (c) Residual differences between RP and ARIA vectors. This figure is drawn using GMT software.32
kinematic coordinates of adjacent epochs are fine-tuned by using an adaptive filter in real-time to strengthen the solution. Usually atmospheric delay is rather stable over short periods and can be represented by a constant or a linear function. Therefore, even in periods of strong shaking, station position and atmosphere are distinguishable in parameter estimation because of the significant difference in their temporal characters.

A polynomial model can be used to represent the derived atmospheric corrections on small regional scales. Here three or more nearby monitoring stations are selected as augmenting stations for each monitoring station, and the atmospheric corrections of the selected augmenting stations are interpolated by a Linear Combination Method (LCM) as

\[ \hat{\mathbf{v}}_m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \hat{\mathbf{v}}_i \]  

Where, \( n \) is the number of selected augmenting stations; \( m \) and \( i \) are indices for the monitoring and the selected augmenting stations, respectively; \( a_i \) denotes the interpolation coefficient; \( \hat{X}_m \) and \( \hat{X}_i \) are the station coordinates in the local horizontal plane system; \( \Delta X_{im} \) and \( \Delta Y_{im} \) are the plane coordinate differences between the monitoring and augmenting station; \( \hat{\mathbf{v}}_i \) is the ionospheric or tropospheric delay; \( \hat{\mathbf{v}}_m \) is the interpolated ionospheric or tropospheric delay at the monitoring station.

For regional reference networks with moderate-to-short baselines (few tens of kilometers inter-station distance) cm-level accuracy can be achieved for the interpolated atmospheric delay corrections. The precise interpolated atmospheric corrections are imposed as a strong constraint on the related parameters of the monitoring station, while the coordinates are estimated in kinematic mode.

Figure 9 | The inverted fault slip distributions. (a) Inversion with post-processed ARIA solution; (b) Inversion with coseismic displacements obtained from real-time augmented PPP solution; (c) Inversion with real-time global PPP solution; (d) Inversion with real-time RP solution. The star denotes the epicenter. This figure is drawn using GMT software.
Assuming that \(r_1\) to \(r_n\) are selected as augmenting stations for interpolating corrections for the monitoring station \(r_m\). The ionospheric slant delay parameter for an individual satellite \(s_j\) is constrained to the interpolated correction as
\[
P_{m,j} - P_{r_1,2\ldots,n} = w_r, \quad w_r \sim N(0,\sigma_r^2)
\]
(5)

And the constraint for the zenith wet delay parameter is
\[
Z_{m,j} - Z_{r_1,2\ldots,n} = w_z, \quad w_z \sim N(0,\sigma_z^2)
\]
(6)

Where \(P_{m,j}\) denotes the slant ionospheric delay from station \(r_m\) to satellite \(s_j\); \(P_{r_1,2\ldots,n}\) is the interpolated ionospheric correction; \(Z_{m,j}\) denotes the zenith wet delay for station \(r_m\) and \(Z_{r_1,2\ldots,n}\) is the interpolated correction. \(w_r\) and \(w_z\) are the biases between the true and the interpolated atmospheric corrections. The statistical processes of \(w_r\) and \(w_z\) are zero mean white processes with variance of \(\sigma_r^2\) and \(\sigma_z^2\) for the ionospheric and tropospheric delays, respectively.

By adding this precise atmospheric delay model to the orbit, clock and UPD products used in global PPP ambiguity resolution, instantaneous ambiguity resolution is achievable at the monitoring station, so that the augmented PPP can have ambiguity resolution performance equivalent to relative positioning. It should be mentioned that the selection of augmenting stations is critical, as atmospheric corrections can only be derived from augmenting stations at which the ambiguity resolution is successfully achieved.
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