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Spin correlation functions (up to the 3-site one) of disordered Ising model with the nearest neighbour interaction are calculated and investigated within a two-site cluster approximation for both quenched and annealed cases. The approach yields the exact results for the one-dimensional system. The long-range interaction is taken into account in the mean field approximation.

1. Introduction

During recent years the statistical theory of disordered spin models is an object of great interest due to their various properties and applications. In this paper we will consider an alloy of Ising systems (AIS) that can describe the processes of (magnetic or ferroelectric) ordering in alloys of magnets, magnets with nonmagnetic admixtures, solid solutions of ferroelectrics etc. This model is considered usually in two limiting cases, namely the case of annealed system (equilibrium type of disorder) and the case of quenched system (nonequilibrium disorder). There is no common opinion on the question, what type of disorder is realized in partially deuterated ferroelectrics, e.g. $Cs(D_xH_{1-x})_2PO_4$, $K(D_xH_{1-x})_2PO_4$. This situation requires both annealed and quenched cases to be theoretically studied and compared with experimental data.

The exact results for both limits are known at the one-dimensional case [1]. Effect of dilution (noninteracting admixture) on the two- and three-dimensional Ising model (quenched case) have been studied by Monte-Carlo method [2,3]. AIS can also be used as a test of approximative methods of statistical mechanics. It is known that the mean field approximation (MFA) yields quite satisfactory results for the Ising model. However it is not able to reproduce some essential properties of AIS [4] (such as percolation in diluted system and some differences between the properties of annealed and quenched systems).

In spite of great attention to AIS and great advance in its investigation (see review in [2,3]) the problem of calculation of AIS’s correlation functions (CFs) for the case $D \geq 2$ (within some acceptable approximation) is not solved up to now. In this paper we suggest the solution of this problem for both quenched and annealed systems generalizing the approach of [5] on disordered model. The short-range interactions are taken into account within the two-site cluster approximation (TCA). In the appendix the long-range interactions are also taken into consideration within MFA.
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2. Formulation of the problem

We shall consider an Ising mixture with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\{S, X\})$

$$-\beta\mathcal{H}(\{S, X\}) \equiv H(\{S, X\}) = H_x(\{S\}) + H(\{X\}); \quad \beta = 1/k_B T,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where

$$H_x(\{S\}) = \sum_i \kappa_i S_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} K_{ij} S_i S_j \quad (2.2)$$

describes a quasispin subsystem on the sites of a simple lattice ($i, j = 1 \cdots N$) with the pair exchange interaction $K_{ij}$ in the site dependent field $\kappa_i$. The set of spin variables $\{S_i\}$ represents a state of the spin subsystem ($S_i = \pm 1$).

The other part of the Hamiltonian

$$H(\{X\}) = \sum_i \mu_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} V_{ij} \quad (2.3)$$

includes "nonexchange" pair interaction $V_{ij}$ and field $\mu_i$. Each site contains a spin of a certain sort and the sort configuration is described by the set of variables $\{X_{ia}\}$ ($\alpha = 1 \cdots \Omega, \Omega$ is a number of sorts): $X_{ia} = 1$ if the site $i$ is occupied by the spin of sort $\alpha$, otherwise $X_{ia} = 0$. Interactions $\kappa_i, K_{ij}, \mu_i, V_{ij}$ depend on the sort configuration

$$\mu_i = \sum_\alpha \mu_{i\alpha} X_{ia}; \quad V_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} V_{i\alpha,j\beta} X_{ia} X_{j\beta};$$
$$\kappa_i = \sum_\alpha \kappa_{i\alpha} X_{ia}; \quad K_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} K_{i\alpha,j\beta} X_{ia} X_{j\beta}. \quad (2.4)$$

It should be noted that parameters of the Hamiltonian contain inverse temperature $\beta$. We suppose the pair interactions to be short-range

$$V_{i\alpha,j\beta} = \beta V_{\alpha\beta} \pi_{ij}; \quad \beta K_{i\alpha,j\beta} = K_{\alpha\beta} \pi_{ij}; \quad \pi_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in \pi_j \\ 0, & \text{in opposite case} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\pi_i$ denotes the set of the nearest neighbours of the site $i$ (the first coordination sphere). In an appendix we will also take into account the long-range interactions in MFA.

The model is considered at the cases of annealed system and of quenched one. At the first case an equilibrium sort configuration is realized and the system is described by the density matrix

$$\rho(\{S, X\}) = Z^{-1} \exp H(\{S, X\}); \quad Z = \text{Sp}_{\{S, X\}} \exp H(\{S, X\}) \quad (2.6)$$

as well as by the generating function

$$\mathcal{F}(\{\kappa, \mu\}) = \ln Z = -\beta \mathcal{G}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is the grand thermodynamic potential of the system.
The generating function allows to calculate the correlation functions (CFs) of the system
\[
\langle S_{i_1\alpha_1} \cdots S_{i_n\alpha_n} X_{j_1\beta_1} \cdots X_{j_l\beta_l}\rangle^c = \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{i_1\alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{i_n\alpha_n}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mu_{j_1\beta_1}} \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta \mu_{j_l\beta_l}} F(\{\kappa, \mu\}) ,
\]
where \( S_{i\alpha} = S_i X_{i\alpha} \), the superscript \( \cd \) means cumulant averaging and
\[
\langle \cd \cd \cd \rangle = \langle S, X \rangle .
\]
The chemical potentials \( \mu_{i\alpha} \) have to be found from the equations
\[
\langle X_{i\alpha} \rangle = c_\alpha ,
\]
where \( c_\alpha \) is a concentration of the sort \( \alpha \) spins.

At the case of quenched type of disorder the sort configuration is fixed and independent of temperature, therefore thermodynamic averaging implies only a trace over spin degrees of freedom
\[
\langle \cd \rangle_H = \langle S, X \rangle ;
\]
\[
Z_x = \text{Sp}_{\{S\}} \exp (H_x (\{S\})) .
\]

In order to obtain observable quantities one must perform also an averaging over sort configurations
\[
\langle \cd \rangle_x = \langle X_{i\alpha} \rangle = \langle S, X \rangle ,
\]
where the distribution \( \rho(\{X\}) \) is determined by the conditions of system’s freezing. Our approximation is sensitive to the following moments of this distribution:
\[
\langle X_{i\alpha} X_{j\beta} \rangle_x = w_{\alpha\beta} (j \in \pi_i); \quad \langle X_{i\alpha} \rangle_x = c_\alpha \equiv \sum_\beta w_{\alpha\beta} .
\]

Free energy of a quenched system is defined as follows
\[
F = -\beta^{-1} \langle F_x \rangle_x - \beta^{-1} \langle H(\{X\}) \rangle_x ;
\]
\[
\langle F_x \rangle_x = \langle \ln \text{Sp}_{\{S\}} \exp [H_x (\{S\})] \rangle_x .
\]

Function \( \langle F_x \rangle_x = \langle F_x \rangle_x (\{\kappa\}) \) that is the cumulant generating function in this case:
\[
m^{(n)}_{i_1\alpha_1 \cdots i_n\alpha_n} = \langle \langle S_{i_1\alpha_1} \cdots S_{i_n\alpha_n} \rangle^c \rangle_x = \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{i_1\alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{i_n\alpha_n}} \langle F_x \rangle_x (\{\kappa\}) .
\]

### 3. Quenched case

First we carry out a cluster expansion of the generating function \( \langle F_x \rangle_x \). For this purpose we write the Hamiltonian \( H_x (\{S\}) \) in the form
\[
H_x (\{S\}) = \sum_i H_i + \sum_{(ij)} U_{ij} ,
\]
where
\[ H_i = \bar{\kappa}_i S_i; \quad \bar{\kappa}_i = \kappa_i + \sum_{r \in \pi_i} \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_i; \quad \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_i = \sum_{\alpha} X_{i\alpha} \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_{i\alpha}; \quad (3.2) \]
\[
U_{ij} = -j \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_i S_i - i \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_j S_j + K_{ij} S_i S_j. \quad (3.3)
\]

We introduce here the parameters \( \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_i \), which play a role of the effective field acting on the spin \( S_i \) from the nearest neighbour at the site \( r \). Summation \( \sum_{(ij)} \) in (3.1) spans pairs of the nearest neighbour sites. We can write function \( F_x \) in the form
\[
F_x = \sum_i F_i + \ln Q. \quad (3.4)
\]

Here we use the notations
\[
F_i = \ln Z_i; \quad Z_i = \text{Sp}_{S_i} \exp H_i; \quad Q = \langle \exp \sum_{(ij)} U_{ij} \rangle_{\rho_0}; \quad \rho_0 = \prod_i \rho_i; \quad \rho_i = Z_i^{-1} \exp(H_i). \quad (3.5)
\]

The first term \( \mathcal{K}_1 \) of a cluster expansion \([6,8]\) of \( \ln Q \) has the form
\[
\ln Q = \ln \langle \exp \sum_{(ij)} U_{ij} \rangle_{\rho_0} \approx \mathcal{K}_1 = \sum_{(ij)} \ln \langle \exp U_{ij} \rangle_{\rho_0} = -z \sum_i F_i + \sum_{(ij)} F_{ij}, \quad (3.6)
\]
where \( z = \sum_j \pi_{ij} \) is a number of the nearest neighbour sites and
\[
F_{ij} = \ln Z_{ij}; \quad Z_{ij} = \text{Sp}_{S_i S_j} \exp H_{ij}; \quad H_{ij} = i \bar{\kappa}_j S_i + j \bar{\kappa}_i S_j + K_{ij} S_i S_j; \quad \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_i = \bar{\kappa}_i - \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_i. \quad (3.7)
\]

So the generating function \( \langle F_x \rangle_x \) restricted by the first term of the cluster expansion is obtained in the form
\[
\langle F_x \rangle_x = -z' \sum_i \langle F_i \rangle_x + \sum_{(ij)} \langle F_{ij} \rangle_x \quad (z' = z - 1). \quad (3.8)
\]

Parameters \( \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_{i\alpha} \) are found due to the minimization of the free energy
\[
\frac{\partial \langle F_x \rangle_x}{\partial \bar{r} \tilde{\varphi}_{i\alpha}} = 0. \quad (3.9)
\]

It gives the following self-consistency equations for the average value of spin \( m_{i\alpha}^{(1)} = \langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle_H \)
\[
m_{i\alpha}^{(1)} = \langle \langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle_{\rho_i} \rangle_x, \quad (3.10)
\]
\[
\langle \langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle_{\rho_j} \rangle_x = \langle \langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}} \rangle_x, \quad (3.11)
\]
where \( j \in \pi_i \) and
\[
\rho_{ij} = \frac{\exp H_{ij}}{Z_{ij}}. \quad (3.12)
\]
Figure 1: Order parameters of pure and dilute \((w_{11} = c^2_1)\) systems on plane square lattice \((z = 4)\).

Relations (3.10), (3.11) can be written in the form:

\[
m^{(1)}_{i\alpha} = \langle F^{(1)}_{i\alpha} \rangle_x,
\]

\[
\langle F^{(1)}_{i\alpha} \rangle_x = \langle F^{(10)}_{i\alpha,r} \rangle_x.
\]  

Here the notations \(\langle F^{(n)}_{i_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}} \rangle_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{i_{\alpha_1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{i_{\alpha_n}}} \langle F_i \rangle_x = \langle \langle S_{i_{\alpha_1}} \cdots S_{i_{\alpha_n}} \rangle_{\rho_i} \rangle_x ; \) (3.15)

\[
\langle F^{(nl)}_{i_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n,\beta_1 \cdots \beta_l}} \rangle_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{i_{\alpha_1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{i_{\alpha_n}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{j_{\beta_1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa_{j_{\beta_l}}} \langle F_{ij} \rangle_x = \langle \langle S_{i_{\alpha_1}} \cdots S_{i_{\alpha_n}} S_{j_{\beta_1}} \cdots S_{j_{\beta_l}} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}} \rangle_x \) (3.16)

are introduced. Below we give the explicit expressions for the quantities \(\langle F_i \rangle_x, \langle F_{ij} \rangle_x\) and their derivatives which will be used later:

\[
\langle F_i \rangle_x = \sum_{\alpha} c_{i\alpha} F_{i\alpha}; \quad F_{i\alpha} = \ln 2 \cosh \bar{\kappa}_{i\alpha} ;
\]

\[
\langle F^{(n)}_{i_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}} \rangle_x = \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \cdots \delta_{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n} c_{i\alpha_1} F^{(n)}_{i_{\alpha_1}} ;
\]
\[ F^{(1)}_{ia} = \tanh \tilde{\kappa}_{ia}; \quad F^{(2)}_{ia} = 1 - \tanh^2 \tilde{\kappa}_{ia}; \quad F^{(3)}_{ia} = -2F^{(1)}_{ia} F^{(2)}_{ia}; \]
\[ \langle F^{(n)}_{ij} \rangle_x = \sum_{\alpha \beta} w_{\alpha \beta} F_{ia,j\beta}; \quad F_{ia,j\beta} = \ln 2 e^{K_{\alpha \beta}} L_{ia,j\beta}; \]
\[ L_{ia,j\beta} = \cosh(j \tilde{\kappa}_{ia} + i \tilde{\kappa}_{j \beta}) + a_{\alpha \beta} \cosh(j \tilde{\kappa}_{ia} - i \tilde{\kappa}_{j \beta}); \quad a_{\alpha \beta} = e^{-2K_{\alpha \beta}}; \]
\[ \langle F^{(n)}_{ia_{1}...a_{n},j_{\beta}} \rangle_x = \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} ... \delta_{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n} \sum_{\beta} w_{\alpha_1 \beta} F^{(n)}_{ia_{1},j_{\beta}}; \]
\[ F^{(10)}_{ia,j\beta} = (\sinh(j \tilde{\kappa}_{ia} + i \tilde{\kappa}_{j \beta}) + a_{\alpha \beta} \sinh(j \tilde{\kappa}_{ia} - i \tilde{\kappa}_{j \beta})) / L_{ia,j\beta}; \]
\[ F^{(20)}_{ia,j\beta} = 1 - \left( F^{(10)}_{ia,j\beta} \right)^2 = \left( 1 + a_{\alpha \beta}^2 + 2a_{\alpha \beta} \sinh(2i \tilde{\kappa}_{j \beta}) \right) / L^2_{ia,j\beta}; \]
\[ F^{(20)}_{ia,j\beta} = -2F^{(10)}_{ia,j\beta} F^{(21)}_{ia,j\beta}; \]
\[ \langle F^{(n)}_{ia_{1}...a_{n},j_{\beta_{1}}...\beta_{\gamma}} \rangle_x = \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} ... \delta_{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n} \delta_{\beta_{1} \beta_{2}} ... \delta_{\beta_{\gamma-1} \beta_{\gamma}} w_{\alpha_1 \beta_1} F^{(n)}_{ia_{1},j_{\beta_{1}}}; \]
\[ F^{(11)}_{ia,j\beta} = \frac{1 - a_{\alpha \beta}^2}{L^2_{ia,j\beta}}; \quad F^{(21)}_{ia,j\beta} = -2F^{(10)}_{ia,j\beta} F^{(11)}_{ia,j\beta}. \] (3.17)

The expression (3.11) contains \( N \Omega \) equations for the same number of variables \( r \tilde{\varphi}_{ia} \). At the case of uniform field (\( \kappa_{ia} \rightarrow \kappa_{\alpha} \)) parameters \( r \tilde{\varphi}_{ia} \) lose their site dependence and (3.11) reduces to \( \Omega \) equations for the same number of fields and we obtain a well-known result of Bethe approximation and cluster variation method for average value of spin

\[ m^{(1)}_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha} \tanh \tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} w_{\alpha \beta} \frac{\sinh(\tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha}^1 + \tilde{\kappa}_{\beta}^1) + a_{\alpha \beta} \sinh(\tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha}^1 - \tilde{\kappa}_{\beta}^1)}{\cosh(\tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha}^1 + \tilde{\kappa}_{\beta}^1) + a_{\alpha \beta} \cosh(\tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha}^1 - \tilde{\kappa}_{\beta}^1)}, \] (3.18)

\[ \tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha} = \kappa_{\alpha} + z \tilde{\varphi}_{\alpha}; \quad \tilde{\kappa}_{\alpha}^1 = \kappa_{\alpha} + z' \tilde{\varphi}_{\alpha}. \] (3.19)

We can obtain CFs of any order with differentiation of (3.10), (3.11) and it is an advantage of presented approach.

It follows from (3.13) that

\[ m^{(2)}_{ia,j\beta} = \frac{\delta m^{(1)}_{ia}}{\delta \tilde{\kappa}_{j\beta}} = \partial (F^{(1)}_{ia} \delta \tilde{\kappa}_{j\beta}) / \partial \tilde{\kappa}_{j\beta} = \langle F^{(2)}_{ia \gamma} \rangle_x \tilde{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ia,j\beta}. \] (3.20)

Hereafter the summation over sort indices (Greek letters) is not written explicitly so the sum over \( \gamma \) symbol is omitted in (3.20). We have just used the notation

\[ \tilde{K}^{(1)}_{ia,j\beta} = \frac{\delta \tilde{\kappa}_{ia}}{\delta \tilde{\kappa}_{j\beta}} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha \beta} + \sum_{r \in \pi_i} w^{(1)}_{ia,j\beta}; \quad \tilde{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ia,j\beta} = \frac{\delta r \tilde{\varphi}_{ia}}{\delta \tilde{\kappa}_{j\beta}} \] (3.21)

(see a definition of \( \tilde{\kappa} \) (3.2)). It is convenient to write the relation (3.20) in the matrix form

\[ m^{(2)}_{ij} = \tilde{m}^{(2)}_{ij} \tilde{K}^{(1)}_{ij}, \] (3.22)

where the matrix notation is introduced:

\[ \left( \tilde{m}^{(2)}_{ij} \right)_{\alpha \beta} = m^{(2)}_{ia,j\beta}, \quad \left( \tilde{F}^{(2)}_{i} \right)_{\alpha \beta} = \langle F^{(2)}_{ia,j\beta} \rangle_x, \quad \left( \tilde{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij} \right)_{\alpha \beta} = \tilde{K}^{(1)}_{ia,j\beta}. \] (3.23)
In order to calculate the quantities \( r \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta} \) it is necessary to use the relation (3.14). Differentiating it with respect to \( \kappa_{ij} \) we obtain:

\[
\hat{F}^{(2)}_{ir} \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij} = \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ir} \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij} + \hat{F}^{(11)}_{ir} \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij},
\]

where

\[
\left( \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ir} \right)_{\alpha\beta} = \langle F^{(20)}_{ia\beta, r} \rangle; \quad \left( \hat{F}^{(11)}_{ir} \right)_{\alpha\beta} = \langle F^{(11)}_{ia\beta, r} \rangle; \quad r \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij} = \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij} - r \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij}; \quad \left( \hat{r}^{(1)}_{ir} \right)_{\alpha\beta} = r \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij}.
\]

Taking into account (3.26), the equation (3.24) can be rewritten in the following form:

\[
\hat{F}^{(20)}_{ir} \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij} + \hat{F}^{(11)}_{ir} \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij} = \left( \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ir} - \hat{F}^{(2)}_{ir} \right) \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij} + \hat{F}^{(11)}_{ir} \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij}.
\]

Replacing the indices \( i \leftrightarrow r \) in (3.27) we get the second equation

\[
\hat{F}^{(11)}_{ri} \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij} + \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ri} \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij} = \hat{F}^{(11)}_{ri} \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij} + \left( \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ri} - \hat{F}^{(2)}_{ri} \right) \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij},
\]

which forms together with (3.27) the set of equations for the quantities \( r \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij}, \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij} \). Excluding \( r \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij} \) from this set and expressing the \( \hat{\kappa}^{(1)}_{ij} \) via \( m^{(2)}_{ij} \) (see (3.22)) we have

\[
r \hat{\varphi}^{(1)}_{ij} = \left[ \left( \hat{F}^{(2)}_{i} \right)^{-1} + \hat{E}_{ii} \right] m^{(2)}_{ij} + \hat{G}_{ir} m^{(2)}_{rj},
\]

where

\[
\hat{E}_{ii} = \left( \hat{F}^{(11)}_{ir} \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ri} \right)^{-1} \left( \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ir} - \hat{F}^{(2)}_{ir} \right)^{-1}, \quad \hat{G}_{ir} = \left( \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ir} \hat{F}^{(11)}_{ir} \right)^{-1} \left( \hat{F}^{(20)}_{ir} - \hat{F}^{(2)}_{ir} \right)^{-1}.
\]

Later on we sum the relation (3.29) over \( r \in \pi_{i} \) and take into account (3.22), (3.21). It results in the following Ornstein-Zernike-type equation for the pair CF \( m^{(2)}_{ij} \)

\[
\tilde{U}_{ii} \hat{m}^{(2)}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{r \in \pi_{i}} \hat{G}_{ir} \hat{m}^{(2)}_{rj},
\]

where

\[
\tilde{U}_{ii} = -z' \left( \hat{F}^{(2)}_{i} \right)^{-1} - \sum_{r \in \pi_{i}} \hat{E}_{ii}.
\]

In the case of uniform field the matrices \( \hat{F} \) are independent of site indices, the CF \( \hat{m}^{(2)}_{ij} \) depends on the difference of the sites’ 1, 2 coordinates and we can solve the equation (3.33) carrying out the Fourier transformation

\[
\hat{m}^{(2)}_{ij} = \hat{m}^{(2)}_{ij}(\vec{r}_{j} - \vec{r}_{i}) = \frac{V_{e}}{(2\pi)^{D}} \int d\vec{q} \ e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{r}_{j} - \vec{r}_{i})} \hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q}),
\]
Figure 2: Phase diagrams for dilute system on plane square lattice ($z = 4$) at different ”nonexchange” interaction $\tilde{V}_{11}$). Annealed: I – paraphase, II – spin ordered phase, III – segregated alloy.
Figure 3: MFA results for the phase diagram of the system. $J_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_j J_{i\alpha,j\beta}$, $	ilde{I}_{11} = \sum_j (I_{11,j1} - 2I_{11,j2} + I_{21,j2})$ (see the Appendix).
$V_e$ is a volume of the elementary cell, $D$ being the dimension of the lattice (space). The solution has the form

$$
\left( m_1^{(2)}(\vec{q}) \right)^{-1} = -z'(\hat{F}(2))^{-1} + z \left( \hat{F}(20) + \hat{F}(11) \right)^{-1} + \\
+ \left( \pi(\vec{0}) - \pi(\vec{q}) \right) \left( \hat{F}(20) \left( \hat{F}(11) \right)^{-1} \hat{F}(20) - \hat{F}(11) \right)^{-1},
$$

(3.34)

where

$$
\pi(\vec{q}) = \sum_{j \in \pi_i} e^{i\vec{q}(\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i)}; \quad \pi(\vec{0}) = z.
$$

(3.35)

For the hypercubic lattices one has

$$
\pi(\vec{q}) = 2^D \sum_{l=1}^{\alpha} \cos(\vec{q}a),
$$

(3.36)

where $a$ is the lattice spacing.

The expression (3.34) in the limit $c_1 = 1$ yields the TCA result for the ideal (one-sort) system [7]. Taking $\vec{q} = 0$ in (3.34) one gets the earlier result [4, 6]. The known exact formula for the one-dimensional system in zero external field [7] also follows from (3.34).

We will obtain the expression for the 3-site CF $m_1^{(3)}_{1\alpha,2\beta,3\gamma}$ differentiating equation (3.31) which can be written in the form

$$
U_{1\alpha,1\delta} m_{1\delta,2\beta}^{(2)} = \delta_{12} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{r \in \pi_1} G_{1\alpha,\kappa} m_{r\delta,2\beta}^{(2)}
$$

(3.37)

(here free site indices are denoted with numbers and summation over repeated sort indices is implied). The differentiation with respect to $\kappa_{3\gamma}$ yields

$$
\dot{U}_{1\alpha,1\delta,3\gamma} m_{1\delta,2\beta}^{(2)} + U_{1\alpha,1\delta} m_{1\delta,2\beta,3\gamma} = \sum_{r \in \pi_1} \left( \dot{G}_{1\alpha,\kappa} m_{r\delta,2\beta}^{(2)} + G_{1\alpha,\kappa} m_{r\delta,2\beta,3\gamma} \right),
$$

(3.38)

where the point denotes the derivatives of corresponding quantities:

$$
\dot{U}_{1\alpha,1\delta,3\gamma} = \frac{\delta U_{1\alpha,1\delta}}{\delta \kappa_{3\gamma}} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{3\gamma}} U, \\
\dot{G}_{1\alpha,\kappa,3\gamma} = \frac{\delta G_{1\alpha,\kappa}}{\delta \kappa_{3\gamma}} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{3\gamma}} G, \\
\dot{m}_{1\alpha,2\beta,3\gamma} = \frac{\delta m_{1\alpha,2\beta}}{\delta \kappa_{3\gamma}} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{3\gamma}} m.
$$

(3.39)
In (3.39) the three poles are introduced which allows us to write (3.38) in more convenient form:

\[
\begin{align*}
&U_1 1^1 \hat{m}_1^2 + U_{11} 1^2 \hat{m}_2^3 = \\
&= \sum_{r \in \pi_1} \left( \hat{G}_1 \hat{m}_r^2 + \hat{G}_{1r} \hat{m}_r^3 \right) \cdot (3.40)
\end{align*}
\]

It should be noted that equality of site indices in three poles and matrices implies also the symmetry with respect to corresponding sort indices, i.e.

\[
\begin{align*}
&U_1 1^1 \hat{m}_1^2 + U_{11} 1^2 \hat{m}_2^3 = \\
&= \sum_{r \in \pi_1} \left( \hat{G}_1 \hat{m}_r^2 + \hat{G}_{1r} \hat{m}_r^3 \right) \cdot (3.41)
\end{align*}
\]

Differentiating expressions (3.30) and (3.32) we find

\[
\begin{align*}
&U_1 1^1 \hat{m}_1^2 + U_{11} 1^2 \hat{m}_2^3 = \\
&+ \sum_{r \in \pi_1} \left( \hat{E}_1 \hat{m}_r^2 + \hat{E}_{1r} \hat{m}_r^3 \right) \cdot (3.42)
\end{align*}
\]

When obtaining the formula (3.42) the identities of the following type are used:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{\delta (\hat{F}_1^{(2)})^{-1}}{\delta \kappa_3 \gamma} = - \langle \hat{F}_1^{(2)} \rangle^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta \hat{F}_1^{(2)}}{\delta \kappa_3 \gamma} \right) (\hat{F}_1^{(2)})^{-1} \cdot (3.43)
\end{align*}
\]

They can be obtained for any matrix \( \hat{A} \) by means of differentiation of the identity \( \hat{A} \hat{A}^{-1} = 1 \).

Now we shall obtain the expressions for the three poles which have appeared in (3.42)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{\delta (\hat{F}_1^{(2)})^{-1}}{\delta \kappa_3 \gamma} = - \langle \hat{F}_1^{(2)} \rangle^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta \hat{F}_1^{(2)}}{\delta \kappa_3 \gamma} \right) (\hat{F}_1^{(2)})^{-1} \cdot (3.44)
\end{align*}
\]
In the diagram form it can be represented as

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\triangle \hat{F}^{(2)}_3 \\
1
\end{array} & = \begin{array}{c}
\triangle F^{(3)}_1 \\
1 \kappa_{13}^{(1)}
\end{array} ,
\end{align*}
\]

(3.45)

The other threepoles we find in the same way:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\triangle \hat{F}^{(20)}_3 & = \begin{array}{c}
\triangle F^{(30)}_1 \\
1 \kappa_{13}^{(1)}
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\triangle \hat{F}^{(21)}_3 \\
r \kappa_{13}^{(1)}
\end{array} ,
\end{array} & \quad \text{(3.46)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\triangle \hat{F}^{(11)}_3 & = \begin{array}{c}
\triangle F^{(21)}_1 \\
r \kappa_{13}^{(1)}
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\triangle \hat{F}^{(12)}_3 \\
r \kappa_{13}^{(1)}
\end{array} ,
\end{array} & \quad \text{(3.47)}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\triangle F^{(30)}_1 \\
1 \alpha \beta \gamma
\end{array} & = \langle F^{(30)}_{1 \alpha \beta \gamma, r} \rangle_x = \langle \langle S_{1 \alpha} S_{1 \beta} S_{1 \gamma} \rangle^c_{\rho_{1 r}} \rangle_x ,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\triangle F^{(21)}_1 \\
1 \gamma
\end{array} & = \langle F^{(21)}_{1 \alpha \beta, 1 \gamma} \rangle_x = \langle \langle S_{1 \alpha} S_{1 \beta} S_{1 \gamma} \rangle^c_{\rho_{1 r}} \rangle_x ,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\triangle F^{(21)}_1 \\
1 \gamma
\end{array} & = \langle F^{(21)}_{1 \alpha \beta, r \gamma} \rangle_x = \langle \langle S_{1 \alpha} S_{1 \beta} S_{1 \gamma} \rangle^c_{\rho_{1 r}} \rangle_x ,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\triangle F^{(12)}_1 \\
1 \gamma
\end{array} & = \langle F^{(12)}_{1 \alpha \beta \gamma} \rangle_x = \langle \langle S_{1 \alpha} S_{1 \beta} S_{1 \gamma} \rangle^c_{\rho_{1 r}} \rangle_x .
\end{align*}
\]

(3.48)

The quantity \( r \hat{\kappa}_{13}^{(1)} \) which appears in (3.46) follows from (3.26), (3.29) and can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
r \hat{\kappa}_{13}^{(1)} & = \hat{\kappa}_{13}^{(1)} - r \hat{\gamma}_{13}^{(1)} = - \hat{E}_{11} \hat{m}_{3}^{(2)} - \hat{G}_{1 r} \hat{m}_{r3}^{(2)} .
\end{align*}
\]

(3.49)

Using (3.45)-(3.46) in (3.42) and taking into account (3.49), (3.22) we get the expression for the threepole \( \hat{U} \) involving only the quantities calculated above, \textit{i.e.} the matrices and threepoles \( F \) and the pair CF \( \hat{m}_{ij}^{(2)} \). The same procedure is used to calculate the threepole \( \hat{G} \). Using the obtained expressions in (3.40) we get the equation for the 3-site CF:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{U}^{11} & = \sum_{r \in \pi_1} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\triangle A \\
1 \hat{m}_{12}^{(2)}
\end{array} + \right.
\end{align*}
\]

where
where

\[
1 \begin{pmatrix} A \\ r \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z'}{z} \left( \hat{F}_{1}^{(2)} \right)^{-1} \left( \hat{F}_{1}^{(2)} \right)^{-1} -
\begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{r} \\ \hat{G}_{r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{r} \\ \hat{G}_{r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{r} \\ \hat{G}_{r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{r} \\ \hat{G}_{r} \end{pmatrix} -
\begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{11} \\ \hat{E}_{11} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{11} \\ \hat{E}_{11} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{11} \\ \hat{E}_{11} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{11} \\ \hat{E}_{11} \end{pmatrix} - t.i.,
\]

\[
1 \begin{pmatrix} B \\ r \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{r} \\ \hat{G}_{r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{r} \\ \hat{G}_{r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{rr} \\ \hat{E}_{rr} \end{pmatrix} - t.i.,
\]

\[
1 \begin{pmatrix} C \\ \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{r} \\ \hat{G}_{r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{r} \\ \hat{G}_{r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{rr} \\ \hat{E}_{rr} \end{pmatrix} - t.i.,
\]

\[
\sum_{r \in \pi_{1}} \frac{m_{3}}{3}, \quad (3.50)
\]
In (3.51)-(3.54) "t.i." means twin to the previous (in parentheses) item, i.e. item where the matrix substitution $\hat{E} \leftrightarrow \hat{G}$ has been carried out. The last (in braces) item of (3.51) is an example of t.i. to the previous (in parentheses) one.

Assuming uniform field and performing the Fourier transformation

$$m^{(3)}(\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i, \vec{r}_k - \vec{r}_i) =$$

we can obtain from (3.50) the following expression for the 3-site CF

$$m^{(3)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) = \hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2)$$

where the equality which follows from (3.31)

$$\hat{U} - \pi(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2)\hat{G} = \left(\hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2)\right)^{-1}$$

has been taken into account.

4. Annealed case

In this case we shall introduce into Hamiltonian additional variation parameters $\tilde{\psi}$. The quantity $r\tilde{\psi}_{i}\alpha$ plays the role of effective field acting on the
Figure 4: Temperature dependencies of spin-spin and sort-sort CFs’ $\vec{q} = 0$ Fourier-transforms and of specific heat (in units of $k_B$) of pure and dilute ($c_1 = 0.6$) systems on plane square lattice ($z = 4$).
spin of sort $\alpha$ in the site $i$ from its neighbour (of any sort) in the site $r$. $H(\{S,X\})$ takes the form

$$H(\{S,X\}) = \sum_i H_i + \sum_{(ij)} W_{ij}, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$H_i = \vec{\kappa}_i S_i + \vec{\mu}_i; \quad \vec{\mu}_i = \mu_i + \sum_{r \in \pi_i} r \vec{\psi}_i; \quad r \vec{\psi}_i = \sum_\alpha X_{i\alpha} r \vec{\psi}_{i\alpha}$$

$$W_{ij} = -j \vec{\varphi}_i S_i - i \vec{\varphi}_j S_j + K_{ij} S_i S_j - j \vec{\psi}_i - i \vec{\psi}_j + V_{ij}. \quad (4.2)$$

Further, the technique of the previous section leads to the generating function being (within TCA) of the following form:

$$F(\{\kappa, \mu\}) = -z' \sum_i F_i + \sum_{(ij)} F_{ij}, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$F_{ij} = \ln Z_{ij}; \quad Z_{ij} = \text{Sp}_S S_i e^{H_{ij}}; \quad (4.4)$$

$$H_{ij} = j \vec{\kappa}_i S_i + i \vec{\kappa}_j S_j + K_{ij} S_i S_j + j \vec{\mu}_i + i \vec{\mu}_j + V_{ij}; \quad (4.5)$$

$$j \vec{\mu}_i = \vec{\mu}_i - j \vec{\psi}_i = \mu_i + \sum_{r \in \pi_i, r \neq j} r \vec{\psi}_i. \quad (4.6)$$

The conditions

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial r \varphi_{i\alpha}} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial r \psi_{i\alpha}} = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

lead to

$$\langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle = \langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle_{\rho_i} = \langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\langle X_{i\alpha} \rangle = \langle X_{i\alpha} \rangle_{\rho_i} = \langle X_{i\alpha} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}}, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\rho_i = Z_i^{-1} \exp H_i; \quad \rho_{ij} = Z_{ij}^{-1} \exp H_{ij}; \quad j \in \pi_i, \quad (4.10)$$

*i.e.* again equality of the one-site and intrachannel unary CFs is implied. We note that equations (4.3) like the ones for the chemical potential (2.10) are not independent due to the identity

$$\sum_\alpha \langle X_{i\alpha} \rangle = 1. \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore the number of independent fields $r \vec{\psi}_{i\alpha}$ and chemical potentials $\mu_{i\alpha}$ decreases. We put

$$r \vec{\psi}_{i\Omega} \equiv 0; \quad \mu_{i\Omega} \equiv 0. \quad (4.12)$$
In order to shorten following formulae let us introduce a notation $S = \{S, X\}$, i.e.
\[
S_{i\alpha} = \begin{cases} 
S_{i\alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha \leq \Omega, \\
X_{i\alpha-\Omega}, & \text{if } \alpha > \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
and $\kappa = \{\kappa, \mu\}$, $\varphi = \{\varphi, \psi\}$,
\[
M^{(n)}_{i_1 \alpha_1 \cdots i_n \alpha_n}(n) = \langle S_{i_1 \alpha_1} \cdots S_{i_n \alpha_n} \rangle^c = \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{i_1 \alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{i_n \alpha_n}} \mathcal{F}(\{\kappa\})
\]
(4.13)

With this new notations (4.8) and (4.9) can be written as
\[
M^{(1)}_{i\alpha} = \langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle^{\rho_i} = \langle S_{i\alpha} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}},
\]
(4.14)

The same technique as for quenched case yields a result for a pair CF in the same form:
\[
\left( \hat{M}^{(2)}(\bar{q}) \right)^{-1} = (1 - z) \left( \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(2)} \right)^{-1} + z \left( \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(20)} + \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(11)} \right)^{-1} + \left( \pi(\bar{0}) - \pi(\bar{q}) \right) \left( \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(20)} \right)^{-1} \left( \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(11)} \right)^{-1} - 1
\]
(4.15)

where
\[
\left( \hat{M}^{(2)}_{ij} \right)_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{F}}{\delta \kappa_{i\alpha} \delta \kappa_{j\beta}} = \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} \rangle^c = \left( \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} \rangle^c \right)^c \\
\left( \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha\beta} = \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} \rangle^c; \quad \left( \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(20)} \right)_{\alpha\beta} = \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} \rangle^c; \quad \left( \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(11)} \right)_{\alpha\beta} = \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} \rangle^c
\]
(4.16)

In equation (4.15) the sort indices lay in the restricted interval $\alpha = 1 \cdots 2\Omega-1$ due to (4.11), (4.12); and the matrices (4.17) have the dimension $(2\Omega - 1) \times (2\Omega - 1)$. The exact result [1] for the one-dimensional system ($z = 2$) is a partial case of the formula (4.17).

The relation (4.14) is equivalent to
\[
\hat{M}^{(2)}_{ij} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(2)} \left( 1 - f^2 \right)^{-1} \left( 1 + z' f^2 - \pi(\bar{q}) f \right) \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(11)}
\]
(4.20)

An expression for the 3-site CFs also remains the same form as in the quenched case
\[
\hat{M}^{(3)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) = \hat{M}^{(2)}(\bar{q}_1 + \bar{q}_2) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\bar{0} \\
A
\end{array} \right) +
\]
Figure 5: $\vec{q}$-dependence of the diluted system CFs. $c_1 = 0.6$, $V_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. $T/T_c = 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1, 1.3, 1.7$. $q = q_x = q_y$. 
\[
\pi(\vec{q}_1) B + \pi(\vec{q}_2) C + \pi(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2) D
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{M}^{(2)}(\vec{q}_1) \\
\hat{M}^{(2)}(\vec{q}_2)
\end{pmatrix}\]

\[\text{with } A, B, C, D \text{ given by (3.51)-(3.54) and intracluster CFs}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
F^{(30)}_{\alpha \beta} & = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial \kappa_{i\alpha} \partial \kappa_{j\beta} \partial \kappa_{i\gamma}} = \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{i\beta} S_{i\gamma} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}}^c, \\
F^{(21)}_{\alpha \beta} & = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial \kappa_{i\alpha} \partial \kappa_{j\beta} \partial \kappa_{j\gamma}} = \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} S_{j\gamma} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}}^c, \\
F^{(21)}_{\alpha \beta} & = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial \kappa_{i\alpha} \partial \kappa_{j\beta} \partial \kappa_{i\gamma}} = \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{i\beta} S_{j\gamma} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}}^c, \\
F^{(12)}_{\alpha \beta} & = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial \kappa_{i\alpha} \partial \kappa_{j\beta} \partial \kappa_{j\gamma}} = \langle S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} S_{j\gamma} \rangle_{\rho_{ij}}^c.
\end{align*}
\]

5. Discussion

The great fluctuations of quantities under discussion make the results of "effective field" theories (like TCA and MFA) worse. Thus for the present model a great difference in interactions (e.g., \( K_{\alpha\beta} = K_{1 \alpha} \delta_{1\alpha} \) (sort 1 is diluted by noninteracting impurities)) is expected to worsen the quality of TCA results whereas great \( z \), when interaction \( K_{\alpha \alpha, j \beta} \) couples many sites, improves these results. Taking into account that TCA gives exact results for the one-dimensional system (\( z = 2 \)), the diluted system on plane square lattice seems to be the most difficult test for TCA, which can discover all its shortcomings. That is why below we concentrate our attention at this case.

Now let us consider a numerical investigation of the obtained results. In the quenched case we assume for simplicity \( w_{\alpha \beta} = c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} \) (complete chaos: \( \langle X_{i\alpha} X_{j\beta} \rangle_x = \langle X_{i\alpha} \rangle_x \langle X_{j\beta} \rangle_x \)). The figures 2, 3 show model’s phase diagrams of two-sort system for different parameters of the Hamiltonian. Curie temperature \( T_c \) and temperature of alloy spinodal segregation \( T_s \) are found from the condition \( \hat{M}^{(2)}_{\alpha \beta}(\vec{q} = 0, T) \to \infty \). Apart from a complicated form of the TCA phase diagrams 2 with respect to those of MFA 3 one can also remark the rule, that great values of the quantities \( \hat{K} = K_{11} + K_{22} - 2K_{12}, \hat{J} = J_{11} + J_{22} - 2J_{12} \) enhance both segregation and spin alignment, whereas great \( \hat{V}_{11} = V_{11} + V_{22} - 2V_{12}, \hat{I}_{11} = I_{11} + I_{22} - 2I_{12} \) enhance segregation and therefore enhance spin alignment, if \( \hat{K} > 0, \hat{J} > 0 \), and suppress alignment, if \( \hat{K} < 0, \hat{J} < 0 \).
Temperature dependence of order parameters $m_1^{(1)}/c_1$ or $M_1^{(1)}/c_1$ of pure and dilute systems are shown in the fig. TCA and MFA results are compared. The known exact result for the pure system on the plane square lattice is also depicted. For quenched system within TCA the quantity $\sigma^{(1)} = m_1^{(1)}/c_1$ stays less than 1 even at $T = 0$ and vanishes at $c_1 < c_p = \frac{1}{\nu}$ (below percolation point). This contradicts to MFA result $\sigma^{(1)} = 1$ at $T = 0$ for all $c_1$. Moreover, within MFA $\sigma^{(1)}$ and $\sigma^{(2)} = J_{11}m_{11}^{(2)}(\vec{q})/(c_1 T)$ depend on temperature, concentration and interaction strength only via $T/T_{MFA}^c (T_{MFA}^c = c_1 J_{11}/k_B)$: $\sigma^{(1)} = \sigma^{(1)}(T/T_{c}^{MFA}), \sigma^{(2)} = \sigma^{(2)}(\vec{q}, T/T_{MFA}^c)$. In TCA this takes place for the case $w_{11} = c_1$ only (all interacting spins constitute one infinite cluster).

One can see in the figure that within TCA pair CF of the quenched diluted system $m_{11}^{(2)}(\vec{q})$ is not zero at $T = 0$ and it yields infinite rise of the susceptibility at zero temperature $\chi(\vec{q}) \xrightarrow{T \to 0} \infty (\chi(\vec{q}) \sim m_{11}^{(2)}(\vec{q})/T)$ whereas MFA gives $\chi(\vec{q}) \to 0$ similarly to the case of the pure system. The TCA behavior of $\chi(\vec{q})$ and $\sigma^{(1)}$ can be attributed to interacting spins out of the infinite cluster of interacting spins (finite size cluster effect [9]). Indeed, one can find that susceptibility of the isolated spins, which are always present in diluted system, diverges at $T = 0$. Finite size cluster effects are observed also in other approximations (e.g., $\chi(0)$ of the quenched diluted system in the effective field approximation of Kaneyoshi et.al. [9]). Figure 3 shows, that significant differences between annealed and quenched CFs appear at low temperatures.

The ref. [10], where the pair CF of the pure system in paraphase within TCA was obtained, reports that $\chi(\vec{q})$ has a maximum as a function of $T$ above $T_c$ for a fixed $\vec{q}$ greater than $\vec{q}_0$. We do not find any maximum for $\chi(\vec{q})$, but instead we notice a maximum of $m_{11}^{(2)}(\vec{q})$ for all $\pi(\vec{q}) \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{\nu}]$ in the pure and diluted systems. It should be noted that MFA does not find any maximum for $m_{11}^{(2)}(\vec{q})$. 
Figure 6: CFs of the ideal \((c_1 = 1)\) and diluted \((c_1 = 0.6)\) systems.
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Appendix

Here we calculate the thermodynamic potential and CFs of the system with long-range interaction. Let the Hamiltonian be

\[ L^H (\{S\}) = \sum_{i\alpha} \Gamma_{i\alpha} S_{i\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} K_{i\alpha,j\beta} S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} + \sum_{i\alpha} \nu_{i\alpha} X_{i\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} V_{i\alpha,j\beta} X_{i\alpha} X_{j\beta} + \Delta L^H, \quad (A1) \]

\[ \Delta L^H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} J_{i\alpha,j\beta} S_{i\alpha} S_{j\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} I_{i\alpha,j\beta} X_{i\alpha} X_{j\beta}, \quad (A2) \]

where the interactions \( J_{i\alpha,j\beta}, I_{i\alpha,j\beta} \) are not restricted by the nearest neighbour sites. Consider first the quenched case. Within MFA the \( (A2) \) is taken in the following form

\[ \Delta L^H_{MFA} = \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} J_{i\alpha,j\beta} L m^{(1)}_{i\alpha,j\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} J_{i\alpha,j\beta} L m^{(1)}_{i\alpha} L m^{(1)}_{j\beta}, \quad (A3) \]
where \( Lm^{(1)}_{\alpha} = \langle \langle S_{\alpha} \rangle_r \rangle \rangle \) is the one-site CF of the system, \( J_{i\alpha,j\beta} = (\hat{J}_{ij} \alpha, \beta) \), \( \hat{J}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_{ij} \alpha, \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \). The generating function \( L\langle F \rangle_r \langle \Gamma \rangle \) of the system can be expressed through the generating function \( \langle F \rangle_r \langle \Gamma \rangle \) of the reference system (2.1).

\[
L\langle F \rangle_r \langle \Gamma \rangle = \langle F \rangle_r \langle \kappa \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} J_{i\alpha,j\beta} Lm^{(1)}_{i\alpha} Lm^{(1)}_{j\beta},
\]

(A4)

where

\[
\kappa_{i\alpha} = \Gamma_{i\alpha} + \lambda_{i\alpha}; \quad \lambda_{i\alpha} = \sum_{j\beta} J_{i\alpha,j\beta} Lm^{(1)}_{j\beta}.
\]

(A5)

Here \( \lambda_{i\alpha} \) is the mean field caused by the long-range interaction. Taking into account the relation

\[
\frac{\delta}{\delta \Gamma_{i\alpha}} = \sum_{j\beta} \frac{\delta \kappa_{j\beta}}{\delta \Gamma_{i\alpha}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{j\beta}} = \sum_{j\beta} \left( \delta_{i\alpha,j\beta} + \sum_{k\gamma} J_{j\beta,k\gamma} Lm^{(2)}_{k\gamma,i\alpha} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta \kappa_{j\beta}}
\]

(A6)

the CFs of the system

\[
Lm^{(n)}_{i_1\alpha_1 \ldots i_n\alpha_n} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \Gamma_{i_1\alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta \Gamma_{i_n\alpha_n}} L\langle F \rangle \langle \Gamma \rangle
\]

(A7)

are found to be

\[
Lm^{(1)}_{i\alpha} = m^{(1)}_{i\alpha},
\]

(A8)

\[
Lm^{(2)}_{i\alpha,j\beta} = m^{(2)}_{i\alpha,k\gamma} \left( \delta_{k\gamma,j\beta} + J_{k\gamma,l\delta} m^{(2)}_{l\delta,j\beta} \right),
\]

(A9)

\[
Lm^{(3)}_{i\alpha,j\beta,k\gamma} = m^{(2)}_{i\alpha,l\delta} J_{l\delta,m\eta} m^{(3)}_{m\eta,j\beta,k\gamma} + m^{(3)}_{i\alpha,l\delta,m\epsilon} \left( \delta_{m\epsilon,j\beta} + J_{m\epsilon,n\eta} m^{(2)}_{n\eta,j\beta} \right) \times \left( \delta_{n\eta,k\gamma} + J_{n\eta,o\lambda} m^{(2)}_{o\lambda,k\gamma} \right),
\]

(A10)

where \( m^{(1)}, m^{(2)}, m^{(3)} \) are CFs of the reference system (2.1) in molecular field (A3). We note that in (A9), (A10) the sum over repeated sort and site indices is implied. Fourier transformation (3.33), (3.55) allows us to write (A9), (A10) in the form

\[
Lm^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta} (\vec{q}) = m^{(2)}_{\alpha\gamma} (\vec{q}) \left( \delta_{\gamma\beta} + J_{\gamma\delta} (\vec{q}) m^{(2)}_{\delta\beta} (\vec{q}) \right),
\]

(A11)

\[
Lm^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} (\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) = m^{(2)}_{\alpha\delta} (\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2) J_{\delta\eta} (\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2) m^{(3)}_{\eta\beta\gamma} (\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2) + m^{(3)}_{\alpha\delta} (\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) \left( \delta_{\delta\beta} + J_{\delta\eta} (\vec{q}_1) m^{(2)}_{\eta\beta} (\vec{q}_1) \right) \times \left( \delta_{\gamma\beta} + J_{\epsilon\lambda} (\vec{q}_2) m^{(2)}_{\epsilon\delta\lambda} (\vec{q}_2) \right),
\]

(A12)
The solutions of equations (A11), (A12) read
\[ L\hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q}) = \left(1 - \hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\hat{J}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}\hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q}), \] (A13)
\[ \nabla L m^{(3)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) = \left(1 - \hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\hat{J}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \hat{J}(\vec{q})\hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \hat{J}(\vec{q})\hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}. \] (A14)
In (A14) the equality
\[ 1 + \hat{J}(\vec{q})L\hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q}) = \left(1 - \hat{J}(\vec{q})\hat{m}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}, \] (A15)
which follows from (A13) is used.
In the case of annealed system the same scheme leads to similar relations.
\[ L\mathcal{F}(\{\Gamma\}) = \mathcal{F}(\{\kappa\}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} J_{i\alpha,j\beta} L M^{(1)}_{i\alpha} L M^{(1)}_{j\beta}, \] (A16)
\[ L M^{(1)}_{i\alpha} = M^{(1)}_{i\alpha}, \] (A17)
where \( \Gamma = \{\Gamma, \nu\}, \)
\[ \kappa_{i\alpha} = \Gamma_{i\alpha} + \sum_{j\beta} J_{i\alpha,j\beta} L M^{(1)}_{j\beta}, \] (A18)
and sums over sort indices run within interval \( 1 \cdots 2\Omega. \) Taking into account \( \langle X_{i\alpha}\rangle \equiv 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\Omega-1}\langle X_{i\alpha}\rangle, \) (A19) can be rewritten in the form
\[ L\mathcal{F}(\{\Gamma\}) = \mathcal{F}(\{\kappa\}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta} \hat{J}_{i\alpha,j\beta} L M^{(1)}_{i\alpha} L M^{(1)}_{j\beta}, \] (A19)
where \( 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2\Omega - 1 \) and \( \hat{J}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{J}_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{I} \end{pmatrix} \) is a matrix of \((2\Omega - 1) \times (2\Omega - 1)\) size with \((\Omega - 1) \times (\Omega - 1)\) submatrix \( \hat{I}_{ij} = I_{i\alpha,j\beta} - I_{i\alpha,j\Omega} - I_{i\Omega,j\beta} + I_{i\Omega,j\Omega}. \)
For the two-sort system (\( \Omega = 2 \)) we have
\[ \hat{J}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{i1,j1} & J_{i1,j2} & 0 & 0 \\ J_{i2,j1} & J_{i2,j2} & 0 & 0 \\ I_{i1,j1} - 2I_{i1,j2} + I_{i2,j2} \end{pmatrix}. \]
Making use of the identity \( \langle X_{i\alpha}X_{j\beta}\rangle^c \equiv -\sum_{\beta=1}^{\Omega-1}\langle X_{i\alpha}X_{j\beta}\rangle^c \) one obtains the higher CFs in the form
\[ L\hat{M}^{(2)}(\vec{q}) = \left(1 - \hat{M}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\hat{J}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}\hat{M}^{(2)}(\vec{q}), \] (A20)
\[ \nabla L M^{(3)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) = \left(1 - \hat{M}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\hat{J}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \hat{J}(\vec{q})\hat{M}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \hat{J}(\vec{q})\hat{M}^{(2)}(\vec{q})\right)^{-1}. \] (A21)
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