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ABSTRACT

A theorem of Bourgain states that the harmonic measure for a domain in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is supported on a set of Hausdorff dimension strictly less than \( d \) [2]. We apply Bourgain's method to the discrete case, i.e., to the distribution of the first entrance point of a random walk into a subset of \( \mathbb{Z}^d \), \( d \geq 2 \). By refining the argument, we prove that for all \( \beta > 0 \) there exists \( \rho(d, \beta) < d \) and \( N(d, \beta) \), such that for any \( n > N(d, \beta) \), any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), and any \( A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}^d \)

\[
|\{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu_{A,x}(y) \geq n^{-\beta}\}| \leq n^{\rho(d, \beta)},
\]

where \( \nu_{A,x}(y) \) denotes the probability that \( y \) is the first entrance point of the simple random walk starting at \( x \) into \( A \). Furthermore, \( \rho \) must converge to \( d \) as \( \beta \to \infty \).

1. Introduction

Let \((S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a simple random walk in \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) starting at \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), i.e., \( S_0 = x \) and

\[
P^x(S_{n+1} - S_n = e) = \frac{1}{2d}, \quad ||e|| = 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

(\( || \cdot || \) denotes the Euclidian distance, i.e., \( ||x|| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2} \)). For \( A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d \), \( A \neq \emptyset \), we denote by \( \tau_A \) the time of the first entrance of \( S \) into \( A \):

\[
\tau_A = \inf\{n \geq 0 : S_n \in A\}.
\]
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The harmonic measure for \( A \) of a set \( B \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \) evaluated at \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) is defined as
\[
\omega(A, B, x) = \mathbb{P}^x(\tau_A < \infty, S_{\tau_A} \in B).
\]

Clearly, for \( x \in A \), \( \omega(A, B, x) = 1_B(x) \). For fixed \( A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \) and \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), \( \omega(A, \cdot, x) \) is a measure on \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) with total mass \( \omega(A, \mathbb{Z}^d, x) = \omega(A, A, x) = \mathbb{P}^x(\tau_A < \infty) \in (0,1] \). We denote by \( \nu_{A,x}(y) = \omega(A, \{y\}, x) \) its density. For \( x \in A^c = \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus A \), \( \omega(A, B, \cdot) \) is a harmonic function,
\[
\Delta \omega(A, B, x) = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{\|e\|=1} \omega(A, B, x + e) - \omega(A, B, x) = 0.
\]

We shall prove the following theorem:

**Theorem:** (A) For all \( \beta > 0 \) there exists \( \rho(d, \beta) < d \) and \( N(d, \beta) \), such that for any \( n > N(d, \beta) \), any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), and any \( A \subset Q^d(n) = \{1, \ldots, n\}^d \),
\[
|\{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu_{A,x}(y) \geq n^{-\beta}\}| \leq n^{\rho(d,\beta)}.
\]

(B) For all \( \rho < d \) there exist \( \beta < \infty \) and sequences \( n_K \to \infty \), \( x_K \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), and \( A_K \subset Q^d(n_K) \) such that for all \( K \)
\[
|\{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu_{A_K,x_K}(y) \geq n_K^{-\beta}\}| > n_K^\rho.
\]

**Remarks:** (1) If \( x \in A \), the statement of Theorem (A) is trivial. Therefore we only consider \( x \in A^c \). The proof of Theorem (A) is to a large extent an adaptation of Bourgain’s proof [2] that the harmonic measure for a domain in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is supported on a set of Hausdorff dimension strictly less than \( d \) to the discrete case, and the proof of Theorem (B) is inspired by Jones and Makarov [5] who also treat continuous harmonic measure.

(2) The analogous theorem holds for harmonic measure conditioned on the event that \( A \) is reached, and also for harmonic measure from infinity: Let
\[
\bar{\nu}_{A,x}(y) = \mathbb{P}^x(S_{\tau_A} = y \mid \tau_A < \infty),
\]
and
\[
\bar{\nu}_{A,\infty}(y) = \lim_{\|x\| \to \infty} \bar{\nu}_{A,x}(y).
\]
(See, for example, [6], Chapter 2.1 for the existence of \( \bar{\nu}_{A,\infty} \)). Then we have

(A') For all \( \beta > 0 \) there exists \( \rho(d, \beta) < d \) and \( N(d, \beta) \), such that for any \( n > N(d, \beta) \), any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), and any \( A \subset Q^d(n) = \{1, \ldots, n\}^d \),
\[
|\{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \bar{\nu}_{A,x}(y) \geq n^{-\beta}\}| \leq n^{\rho(d,\beta)}.
\]
and

\((A'')\) For all \(\beta > 0\) there exists \(\rho(d, \beta) < d\) and \(N(d, \beta)\), such that for any 
\(n > N(d, \beta)\) and any \(A \subset Q^d(n) = \{1, \ldots, n\}^d\),
\[
|\{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \tilde{\nu}_{A, \infty}(y) \geq n^{-\beta}\}| \leq n^{\rho(d, \beta)}.
\]

For \((A')\), note first that for \(d = 2\), \(\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_A < \infty) = 1\) for all \(x\) and \(A\) by recurrence and therefore \(\tilde{\nu}_{A,x} = \nu_{A,x}\). For \(d \geq 3\), we have a lower bound on the hitting probability \(\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_A < \infty)\) for \(x\) in a neighborhood of \(Q^d(n)\),

\[
\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_A < \infty) \geq \mathbb{P}_x(\tau(z) < \infty) = \frac{G(x - z)}{G(0)} \geq \frac{c_2}{c_0} \|x - z\|^{2-d} \geq c(a, d)n^{2-d}
\]

for all \(z \in A\) and \(x \in U^d(an) = \{-an, \ldots, (a+1)n\}^d\), where \(G\) is the Green’s function which satisfies (9); see Section 2.3 below. For more distant \(x\), \(\tilde{\nu}_{A,x}\) doesn’t change a lot any more: For \(d \geq 2\), there exist constants \(C_1(d)\) and \(C_2(d)\) such that for all \(A \subset Q^d(n)\), \(y \in A\), \(x \in (U^d(an))^c\) with \(a \geq 2\sqrt{d}\),

\[
C_1 \tilde{\nu}_{A,x}(y) \leq \tilde{\nu}_{A,\infty}(y) \leq C_2 \tilde{\nu}_{A,x}(y);
\]

see [6], Chapter 2.1. From (1) and (2), \((A')\) follows, and \((A'')\) follows from \((A')\) with (2). Similarly we have the analogs of Theorem (B).

(3) Our theorem improves a result of Benjamini [1]. In fact, it implies the following weaker statement (which is still stronger than [1]): There exists \(\rho(d) < d\), such that for any \(\varepsilon > 0\) there is an \(N(\varepsilon)\) such that for any \(n > N(\varepsilon)\), any \(x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\), and any \(A \subset Q^d(n) = \{1, \ldots, n\}^d\), there is a set \(\hat{A} \subset A\) with

\[
\omega(A, \hat{A}, x) > \omega(A, A, x) - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |\hat{A}| < \varepsilon n^\rho.
\]

The analogous statements hold for harmonic measure conditioned on the event that \(A\) is reached, and also for harmonic measure from infinity. Note that it is in general impossible that \(\hat{A}\) carries the full mass: Considering for example (for even \(n\)) \(A = \{1, 3, 5, \ldots, n-1\}^d\), the only set having full mass (for \(x \notin A\) is \(A\), and \(|A| = (n/2)^d\).

(4) The dependence of the exponent \(\rho\) on \(\beta\) for 2-dimensional simple random walk paths \(A\) (the “multifractal spectrum of the harmonic measure for \(A'\)) has been studied by Lawler [8]. Also for \(d = 2\), there is another result of Lawler [7] which gives more information on the support of harmonic measure from infinity \(\tilde{\nu}_{A,\infty}\) for connected sets.
2. Proof

2.1 Proof of Theorem (B). Take $n_K = 2^K$. Delete from $\{1, 2, \ldots, n_K\}$ the central $\delta 2^K$ points, from the remaining two intervals of length $(1 - \delta)2^K - 1$ the central $\delta (1 - \delta)2^{K-1}$ points, and so on, $k (< K)$ times. In the $j$-th step, we have deleted $\delta (1 - \delta)^j 2^{K-j+1}$ points and obtained intervals of length $(1 - \delta)^j 2^{K-j}$. Let now $A_K$ be the product of $d$ copies of the resulting set. It consists of $2^{kd}$ squares of side length $(1 - \delta)^k 2^{K-k}$. The total number of boundary points is

$$|\partial A_K| = 2^{kd} \cdot 2d \cdot [(1 - \delta)^k 2^{K-k}]^{d-1}.$$

To estimate the harmonic measure of the points of $\partial A_K$ we use the discrete Harnack inequality; see for example [6], Thm. 1.7.2: There exists a $c < \infty$ such that if $f: \mathbb{Z}^d \to [0, \infty)$ is harmonic on $B_n$,

$$f(x_1) \leq cf(x_2), \quad ||x_1||, ||x_2|| \leq n/2,$$

with $B_n = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d, ||z|| < n\}$.

Consider an arbitrary point $y \in \partial A_K$, and let $x_K$ be (for example) the central point of $Q^d(n_K)$, i.e., $x_K = (2K-1, \ldots, 2K-1)$. $Q^d(n_K) \setminus A_K$ consists of cylinders, called $j$-cylinders, of width $\delta (1 - \delta)^j 2^{K-j+1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$, in one component, and of width $n_K$ in the other components. $y$ lies on the boundary of a $j_0$-cylinder for some $j_0 \leq k$. Let $z_0$ be the point closest to $y$ lying in the center of the $j_0$-cylinder. Let $z_1$ be the point closest to $z_0$ lying in the center of a $(j_0-1)$-cylinder up to $i = j_0 - 1$. $|z_i - z_{i-1}| \leq (1 - \delta)^{j_0 - i} 2^{K-j_0+1}$ and $|x_K - z_{j_0-1}| \leq 2^{K-1}$. Applying (3) gives

$$\nu_{A_K, x_K}(y) \geq c^{-1/\delta} \nu_{A_K, z_{j_0-1}}(y) \geq c^{-1/\delta} c^{-2/(\delta (1-\delta))} \nu_{A_K, z_{j_0-2}}(y) \geq \cdots \geq c^{-1/\delta} [c^{-2/(\delta (1-\delta))}]^{j_0-1} \nu_{A_K, z_0}(y) \geq c^{-4K/\delta} \nu_{A_K, z_0}(y).$$

We may estimate $\nu_{A_K, z_0}(y)$ simply by $\nu_{A_K, z_0}(y) \geq \tilde{c} ||z_0 - y||^{1-d} \geq \tilde{c} 2^{-K(d-1)}$ (see [6], Lemma 1.7.4). Therefore

$$\nu_{A_K, x_K}(y) \geq c^{-4K/\delta} \tilde{c} 2^{-K(d-1)}.$$

Now we want $|\partial A_K| > 2^K \rho$ and $\nu_{A_K, x_K}(y) > 2^{-K\beta}$. This is achieved for large enough $K$ by putting $\delta$ such that $\rho = d + 3(d - 1) \log(1 - \delta)/\log 2$, $\beta$ such that $\beta - d + 1 = 4 \log c/(\delta \log 2)$, and $k = \gamma K$ with

$$\gamma = \log \left[2(1 - \delta)^{2(d-1)}\right]/\log [2(1 - \delta)^{d-1}].$$
2.2 Discrete Hausdorff Measure. For bounded sets \( A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \), consider coverings of \( A \) by a countable number of balls \( B_\alpha \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) with center \( z_\alpha \) and radius \( r_\alpha \), \( A \subset \bigcup_\alpha B_\alpha \) with

\[
B_\alpha = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \| x - z_\alpha \| \leq r_\alpha \}.
\]

For \( 0 < \rho \leq d \) we define

\[
h_\rho(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_\alpha |B_\alpha|^{\rho/d} ; B_\alpha \text{ ball, } A \subset \bigcup_\alpha B_\alpha \right\}.
\]

Furthermore, consider a net of \( l \)-adic cubes: \( C_0 = \mathbb{Z}^d \), \( C_1 = \{ \text{cubes } C \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \text{ with side length } |C|^{1/d} = l \text{ and lower corner } c = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d) \text{ with } k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \),

\[
C_j = \{ C \subset \mathbb{Z}^d ; C = \{ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d ; k_1^j \leq z_1 < (k_1 + 1)^j, \ldots, k_d^j \leq z_d < (k_d + 1)^j, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1 \ldots d \} \},
\]

and \( \mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} C_j \). Analogously to \( h_\rho \) we define

\[
m_\rho(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_\alpha |C_\alpha|^{\rho/d} ; C_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}, A \subset \bigcup_\alpha C_\alpha \right\}.
\]

Clearly, there exist two positive constants \( t_1(d) \) and \( t_2(d, l, \rho) \) such that for all \( A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \)

\[
(4) \quad h_\rho(A) \leq t_1(d) m_\rho(A)
\]

and

\[
(5) \quad m_\rho(A) \leq t_2(d, l, \rho) h_\rho(A).
\]

By considering, for example, a ball of radius \( \sqrt{l} \), one sees that the dependence of \( t_2 \) on \( l \) cannot be removed. A possible choice is

\[
(6) \quad t_2 = 8^d l^{d-\rho}.
\]

Analogously to Theorem 1 in Carleson [3], p. 7 (see also [9], Chapter III.4) we have the following Lemma:

**Lemma 1:** There are constants \( t_3 \) and \( t_4 \), depending only on \( d \), such that for every bounded set \( A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \) there is a discrete measure \( \mu \) supported on \( A \) with

\[
(7) \quad \mu(B) \leq t_3 |B|^{\rho/d} \quad \text{for all balls } B \subset \mathbb{Z}^d
\]

and

\[
(8) \quad \mu(A) \geq t_4 h_\rho(A).
\]
Proof: Start the construction of \( \mu \) by putting \( \mu_0(\{x\}) = 1 \) for all \( x \in A \) and \( \mu_0(\{x\}) = 0 \) for \( x \in A^c \). Choose your favorite \( l \) and consider the cubes of \( C_1 \). If, for some \( C \in C_1 \), \( \mu_0(C) > |C|^\rho/d \), reduce the density on the points of \( C \) uniformly such that \( \mu_1(C) = |C|^\rho/d \). Continue in this way. After finitely many steps no further reduction will occur, since \( \mu_k(C) \leq |A| \) for all \( C \) and \( k \) and \( |A| < l^{K\rho} \) for \( K \) large enough. Put \( \mu = \mu_K \).

\( \mu \) satisfies

\[ \mu(C) \leq |C|^\rho/d \text{ for all } C \in C \]

and therefore we have (7).

From the construction of \( \mu \), each point \( a \in A \) is contained in a cube \( C_\alpha \) with \( \mu(C_\alpha) = |C_\alpha|^\rho/d \). If there are several such cubes, choose the largest one. With this (disjoint) covering \( \{C_\alpha\} \) we obtain

\[ \mu(A) = \sum_\alpha \mu(C_\alpha) = \sum_\alpha |C_\alpha|^\rho/d \geq m_\rho(A) \geq \frac{1}{I_1(d)} h_\rho(A) \]

with (4). This proves (8).

\( \mu \) puts more mass on boundary points than on interior points. Thus it is useful for estimating the harmonic measure, which is concentrated on the boundary.

2.3 Estimate of the Trapping Probability. Another useful quantity to estimate the harmonic measure in \( d \geq 3 \) is the Green’s function \( G \), \( G(x) \) being the expected number of visits to \( x \) of the random walk starting at 0,

\[ G(x) = \mathbb{E}^0 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{\{x\}}(S_j) \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^0(S_j = x). \]

\( G \) is harmonic in \( \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\} \), \( \Delta G(x) = -\delta(x) \), and \( G \) has the following asymptotic behavior:

\[ \lim_{\|x\| \to \infty} \frac{G(x)}{a_d \|x\|^{2-d}} = 1, \]

where \( a_d = 2/((d-2)\omega_d) \), and \( \omega_d \) is the volume of the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) (see for example [6], p. 31). This implies that there are constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) \((0 < c_2 < c_1)\) depending only on dimension such that we have the following upper and lower bounds,

\[ G(x) \leq c_1 \|x\|^{2-d} \text{ and } G(x) \geq c_2 \|x\|^{2-d} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}. \]

In \( d = 2 \), \( G \) is infinite, but there exists a quantity with similar properties, namely the potential kernel

\[ a(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \mathbb{P}^0(S_j = 0) - \mathbb{P}^0(S_j = x) \right). \]
$\Delta a(x) = \delta(x)$, and $a$ has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$\lim_{\|x\| \to \infty} \left( a(x) - \frac{2}{\pi} \log \|x\| - k \right) = 0,$$

where $k$ is some constant (see for example [6], p. 38). Therefore there exists a constant $c$ such that we have the following upper and lower bounds for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$,

$$a(x) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \|x\| + k + c \quad \text{and} \quad a(x) \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \|x\| + k - c.$$

Consider now a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, and let $Q_\ast \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a cube of size $|Q_\ast|^{1/d} \leq q|Q|^{1/d}$, where $q$ is a constant ($0 < q < 1$) to be determined below. $Q_\ast$ is placed such that its center is as close as possible to the center of $Q$: If $|Q_\ast|^{1/d}$ and $|Q|^{1/d}$ are both even or both odd, $Q$ and $Q_\ast$ have the same center, and in the other cases, the distance of the centers is $\sqrt{d}/2$. The next lemma gives an estimate of the probability that a random walk starting in $Q_\ast$ reaches a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ before leaving $Q$. $\mathbb{P}^a(\tau_A < \tau_Q) = \omega(A \cup Q^c, A \cap Q, a)$:

**Lemma 2:** Let $\rho \geq d - 1$. Then for $q$ small enough (depending only on $d$) there exists $c(d, q) > 0$ such that for all $a \in Q_\ast$,

$$\omega(A \cup Q^c, A \cap Q, a) \geq c \frac{h\rho(A \cap Q_\ast)}{|Q_\ast|^{\rho/d}}.$$

**Proof:** If $A \cap Q_\ast = \emptyset$, (11) holds trivially.

Let now $A \cap Q_\ast \neq \emptyset$ and let $\mu$ be the measure on $A \cap Q_\ast$ from Lemma 1. We treat first the case $d \geq 3$. Consider the function $u: \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$u(x) = \sum_{y \in A \cap Q_\ast} G(x - y) \mu(\{y\}).$$

$u$ is harmonic in $(A \cap Q_\ast)^c$. For $x \in Q_\ast$ and $y \in Q_\ast$, $\|x - y\| \leq |Q_\ast|^{1/d} \sqrt{d}$, and therefore with (9)

$$u(x) \geq c_2 d^{(2-d)/2} |Q_\ast|^{(2-d)/d} \mu(A \cap Q_\ast) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in Q_\ast.$$

For $x \in Q^c$ and $y \in Q_\ast$,

$$\|x - y\| \geq \frac{|Q|^{1/d} - |Q_\ast|^{1/d}}{2} \geq \frac{1 - q}{2q} |Q_\ast|^{1/d}$$

and therefore with (9)

$$u(x) \leq c_1 \left( \frac{1 - q}{2q} \right)^{2-d} |Q_\ast|^{(2-d)/d} \mu(A \cap Q_\ast) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in Q^c.$$
Furthermore, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, 
\begin{equation}
(14) \quad u(x) \leq c_3 |Q_*|^{(2 + \rho - d)/d},
\end{equation}
where $c_3$ depends only on $d$. This is seen as follows: First of all, with (9),
\[\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u(x) = \sup_{x \in B(Q_*)} u(x),\]
where $B(Q_*)$ is a ball with the same center as $Q_*$ and radius $a/2 \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d}$ with suitably chosen $a$ ($a = 1 + 2(c_1/c_2)^{1/(d-2)}$). Now, for $x \in B(Q_*)$,
\[u(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d}} \sum_{y \in B_k(x)} G(x - y) \mu(\{y\}),\]
where $B_k(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d: k - 1 \leq \|x - y\| < k\}$. Thus
\[u(x) \leq G(0) \mu(\tilde{B}_1(x)) + \sum_{k=2}^{a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d}} c_1 (k - 1)^{2 - d} \mu(\tilde{B}_k(x)).\]
With $B_k(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d: \|x - y\| < k\}$ we obtain
\[a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d} \sum_{k=2}^{(k - 1)^{2 - d} \mu(\tilde{B}_k(x)) = (a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d})^{2 - d} \mu(B_{a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d}}(x)) - \mu(B_1(x)) + \sum_{k=2}^{a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d}} ((k - 1)^{2 - d} - k^{2 - d}) \mu(B_k(x)).\]
From (7) we have $\mu(B_k(x)) \leq \tilde{t}_3 k^\rho$ for a suitable $\tilde{t}_3$ depending only on $d$. Then
\[a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d} \sum_{k=2}^{(k - 1)^{2 - d} - k^{2 - d}) \mu(B_k(x)) \leq t'_3 \sum_{k=2}^{a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d}} k^{1 - d + \rho} \leq t'_3 \int_{0}^{a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d} + 1} x^{1 - d + \rho} dx = \frac{t'_3}{2 - d + \rho} (a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d} + 1)^{2 - d + \rho} \leq t'_3 (a \sqrt{d} |Q_*|^{1/d} + 1)^{2 - d + \rho}\]
for $\rho \geq d - 1$, where $t'_3$ depends only on $d$. Putting everything together, we obtain (14).
Consider now
\[ \tilde{u}(x) = \frac{1}{\sup_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u(y)} (u(x) - \sup_{y \in Q^c} u(y)). \]
\[ \tilde{u}(x) \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \text{ and } \tilde{u}(x) \leq 0 \text{ for } x \in Q^c. \]

Compare \( \tilde{u}(x) \) with \( \omega(A \cup Q^c, A \cap Q, x) \): Application of the maximum principle (see for example [6], p. 25) to \( u - \omega \) on \( A^c \cap Q \) yields \( u \leq \omega \) there, and on \( A \cap Q \) we have \( \omega = 1 \geq \tilde{u} \). Therefore
\[ \omega(A \cup Q^c, A \cap Q, x) \geq \tilde{u}(x) \text{ for all } x \in Q. \]

Together with (12), (13), (14), and (8), we obtain for \( a \in Q_\ast \)
\[ \omega(A \cup Q^c, A \cap Q, a) \geq \frac{\mu(A \cap Q^c)}{c_3|Q^c|^{(2+\rho-d)/d}} \left( c_2 d^{(2-d)/2} - c_1 \left( \frac{1-q}{2q} \right)^{2-d} \right) |Q^c|^{(2-d)/d} \]
\[ \geq \tilde{c} \frac{h_{\rho}(A \cap Q^c)}{|Q^c|^\rho/d} \]
if we choose \( q \) so small that \( c_2 d^{(2-d)/2} - c_1 ((1-q)/2q)^{2-d} \) is positive. This proves Lemma 2 in the case \( d \geq 3 \).

For \( d = 2 \), the analogous construction using instead of the Green's function \( G \) the potential kernel \( a \) with the estimates (10) does the job. □

Choose now \( q \) so that Lemma 2 holds.

2.4 AN ALTERNATIVE FOR THE CUBES OF \( C \). The estimate of the trapping probability (11) leads to an alternative for the cubes \( C \) of \( C \): Either we have a local estimate of the Hausdorff measure of \( A \cap C \) or the harmonic measure is localized on the outer shells of \( C \). Cubes of the first kind will be called (H)-cubes, those of the second kind (L)-cubes.

Consider now some \( A \subset Q^d(n) \) and some \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \). We abbreviate \( \omega(B) = \omega(A, B, x) \). For \( C \in C_j \), \( x \in (A \cup C)^c \), define (see Fig. 1)
\[ C_1 = C \setminus \text{outer subcubes } Q \subset C_{j-1}, \]
\[ C_2 = C_1 \setminus \text{outer } Q \text{'s in } C_1, \]
\[ \ldots \]
\[ C_{\bar{l}} = C_{\bar{l}-1} \setminus \text{outer } Q \text{'s in } C_{\bar{l}-1}, \]
with \( \bar{l} = l/6 \). For \( x \in C \setminus A \), define the \( C_k \) by successively removing layers of \( Q \)-cubes around the cube \( Q \) with \( x \in Q \), and, if the boundary of \( C \) is reached, remove also successively layers of outer cubes like above.
**Lemma 3:** Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough. Then for all $l$ there exists $\rho < d$ such that each cube $C \in C_j$, $j \geq 2$, satisfies one of the following conditions:

(H) \[ m_\rho(A \cap C) < |C|^{\rho/d}, \]

(L) \[ \omega(C_l) \leq \frac{(1 - c_4\delta)^{l-1}}{c_4\delta} \omega(C), \]

where $c_4$ is some constant depending only on $d$, $0 < c_4 < 1$.

**Proof:** Let $Q \in C_{j-1}$ be a subcube of $C$, and let $Q_*$ be the cube of size $|Q_*|^{1/d} = [q|Q|^{1/d}]$ in the middle of $Q$. From Lemma 2, one of the following alternatives holds:

(15) \[ \omega(A \cup Q^c, A \cap Q, a) \geq \delta \text{ for all } a \in Q_*, \]

(16) \[ h_\rho(A \cap Q_*) < \frac{\delta}{c} |Q_*|^{\rho/d}. \]

We shall show that if (15) holds for all subcubes $Q \subset C$, i.e., if we have a lower bound for the trapping probability, then (L) holds for $C$, because the harmonic measure will be concentrated on the outer shells. On the other hand, if there is one subcube $Q$ with (16), we can estimate $m_\rho$ of $A \cap C$.

**First case:** There is a subcube $Q \subset C$, $Q \in C_{j-1}$, satisfying (16). Then with (5),

\[ m_\rho(A \cap Q_*) < \frac{t_2(d, l, \rho) \delta}{c} |Q_*|^{\rho/d}, \]

and

\[ m_\rho(A \cap C) \leq m_\rho(C \setminus Q) + m_\rho(Q \setminus Q_*) + m_\rho(A \cap Q_*) \]

\[ \leq (t^d - 1)t^{(j-1)\rho} + t^d(1 - q/2)^d t^{(j-2)\rho} + t_2 \delta q^{\rho t(j-1)\rho}. \]

Now (H) follows if

(17) \[ t^d - 1 + t^{d-\rho}(1 - q/2)^d + \frac{t_2(d, l, \rho) \delta}{c} q^\rho < l^\rho. \]

Plug in (6) and choose $\delta$ so small that (17) for $\rho = d$ is satisfied, i.e., such that $(1 - q/2)^d + 8^d \delta q^d/c < 1$. Then for all $l$ there exists $\rho < d$ such that (17) still holds. Note that for large $l$ and small $d - \rho$, (17) leads to

(18) \[ d - \rho \approx \frac{b}{l^d \log l} \]

with $b = 1 - [(1 - q/2)^d + 8^d \delta q^d/c]$. We shall later choose $l$ very large and increasing with $\beta$. Thus our $d - \rho$ goes to 0 as $\beta \to \infty$. 
SECOND CASE: All subcubes $Q \subset C$, $Q \in C_{j-1}$, satisfy (15). Since the probability of running into $A$ before leaving $Q$ is everywhere high, it is hard for the random walk to enter much into the cube before having run into $A$, i.e., the harmonic measure of the cubes deep inside $C$ will be very small. From the strong Markov property (see for example [6], Theorem 1.3.2) we obtain

$$
\omega(A \cup C_k, C_k, x) = \sum_{y \in \partial C_{k-1}} \mathbb{P}^y(\tau_{A \cup C_k} < \infty, S_{\tau_{A \cup C_k}} \in C_k) \cdot \mathbb{P}^x(\tau_{A \cup C_{k-1}} < \infty, S_{\tau_{A \cup C_{k-1}}} = y) \\
\leq \sup_{y \in \partial C_{k-1}} \omega(A \cup C_k, C_k, y) \omega(A \cup C_{k-1}, C_{k-1}, x).
$$

(Here, $\partial A = \{x \in A : \exists y \in A^c \text{ with } ||x - y|| = 1\}$.) Iterating this estimate, we get (19)

$$
\omega(C_l) \leq \omega(A \cup C_l, C_l, x) \leq \omega(A \cup C_1, C_1, x) \prod_{k=2}^l \sup_{y \in \partial C_{k-1}} \omega(A \cup C_k, C_k, y).
$$

On the other hand, using $\tau_{A \cup C_1} \leq \tau_A$ and the strong Markov property,

$$
\omega(C) \geq \sum_{y \in \partial C_1} \mathbb{P}^y(\tau_A < \infty, S_{\tau_A} \in A \cap C, S_{\tau_{A \cup C_1}} = y) \\
= \sum_{y \in \partial C_1} \mathbb{P}^y(\tau_A < \infty, S_{\tau_A} \in A \cap C) \mathbb{P}^x(\tau_{A \cup C_1} < \infty, S_{\tau_{A \cup C_1}} = y) \\
\geq \inf_{y \in \partial C_1} \omega(A, A \cap C, y) \omega(A \cup C_1, C_1, x).
$$

(20)

We shall show below that there exists a constant $c_4(d, q)$ such that (21)

$$
\omega(A, A \cap C, y) \geq c_4 \delta \text{ for all } y \in \partial C_1,
$$

and for $k = 2, \ldots, \tilde{l}$

$$
\omega(A \cup C_k, C_k, y) \leq 1 - c_4 \delta \text{ for all } y \in \partial C_{k-1}.
$$

(22)

These estimates, together with (19) and (20), yield (L).

It remains to prove (21) and (22): Let $y \in \partial C_1$. Consider the cube $\tilde{Q}$ formed from $2^d$ subcubes $Q \in C_{j-1}$ of $C$ “around” $y$, i.e., the side length of $\tilde{Q}$ is $2^{j-1}$, and the distance of $y$ from the center of $\tilde{Q}$ is $\leq 2^{j-1}/2 + 1$ (see Fig. 1). We have $\tilde{Q} \subset C, \tilde{Q} \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. Enumerate the $Q$-cubes in $\tilde{Q}$:

$$
\tilde{Q} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^d} Q^{(k)}.
$$
and let
\[ \bar{Q}_* = \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^d} Q_*^{(k)}. \]

Then, using again the strong Markov property,
\[
\omega(A, A \cap C, y) = \mathbb{P}^y(\tau_A < \infty, S_{\tau_A} \in A \cap C) \\
\geq \mathbb{P}^y(\tau_{\bar{Q}_*} < \tau_{\bar{Q}^c} < \infty, \exists t \in [\tau_{\bar{Q}_*}, \tau_{\bar{Q}^c}) \text{ with } S_t \in A) \\
= \sum_{a \in \bar{Q}_*} \mathbb{P}^a(\tau_A < \tau_{\bar{Q}^c}) \mathbb{P}^y(\tau_{\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c} < \infty, S_{\tau_{\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c} = a}) \\
\geq \sum_{k=1}^{2^d} \sum_{a \in \bar{Q}_*^{(k)}} \mathbb{P}^a(\tau_A < \tau_{Q_*^{(k)} \cap \bar{Q}^c}) \mathbb{P}^y(\tau_{\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c} < \infty, S_{\tau_{\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c} = a}) \\
\geq \delta \omega(\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c, \bar{Q}_*, y),
\]
where we have used that all subcubes \( Q \subset C, Q \in \mathcal{C}_{j-1} \), satisfy (15).

Figure 1. For \( d = 2 \) and \( l = 8 \), this is a sketch of a cube \( C \in \mathcal{C}_j \) (for some \( j \)) together with its subcubes of \( \mathcal{C}_{j-1} \). By removing the outer layer of subcubes, one obtains \( C_1 \). For \( y \in \partial C_1 \), \( \bar{Q} \) is the union of the 4 nearest subcubes.

To see that there exists \( c_4 \), independent of \( l \) and \( j \), with \( \omega(\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c; \bar{Q}_*, y) \geq c_4 \), remember that as a function of \( y \), \( \omega(\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c; \bar{Q}_*, y) \) is (lattice) harmonic on \( \bar{Q}_* \cap \bar{Q} \) with boundary values \( \omega = 1 \) on \( \bar{Q}_* \) and \( \omega = 0 \) on \( \bar{Q}^c \). Hence, the scaled function \( \tilde{\omega}_m(x) = \omega(\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c; \bar{Q}_*, mx + z) \) with \( m = 2l^{j-1} + 1, 2l^{j-1} \) the side length of \( \bar{Q} \), and suitable shift \( z \), converges as \( m \to \infty \) to the unique solution of \( \Delta f = 0 \) on \( B \), \( f(x) = 0 \) on the outer boundary of \( B \) and \( f(x) = 1 \) on the inner boundaries of \( B \), where \( B \) is the “limit” of the scaled domains \( m^{-1}(\bar{Q}_* \cap \bar{Q} - z) \) as \( m \to \infty \); see Fig. 2. Since the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of \( B \) [4], we have a lower bound \( c_4 \) for \( \omega(\bar{Q}_* \cup \bar{Q}^c; \bar{Q}_*, y) \) for all \( l, j \), and all \( y = mx + z \) with \( x \) in
a region $S$ around the middle halves of the middle axes of $B$ (see Fig. 2). This proves (21).

Figure 2. For $d = 2$, this is a sketch of the domain $B$ (hatched) $= (0,1)^2 \setminus$ the 4 little squares of side length $q/2$. $B$ corresponds to $\tilde{Q} \setminus \tilde{Q}_*$, i.e., $(mB + z) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, for suitable scale $m$ and shift $z$, equals $\tilde{Q} \setminus \tilde{Q}_*$. The dashed middle axis lines correspond to the boundaries of the subcubes making up $\tilde{Q}$. The region $S$ is a neighborhood of the points $x = m^{-1}(y - z)$ for those $y$'s which are possible for $\tilde{Q}$, i.e., points on the middle half of a middle axis. The harmonic function $f$ on $B$ with boundary values $f = 0$ on the outer boundary of $B$ and $f = 1$ on the boundaries of the inner squares is bounded away from 0 on $S$.

The proof of (22) is analogous: for $y \in \partial C_{k-1}$, put $\tilde{Q}$ to be the cube consisting of $2^d$ subcubes of $C$ “around” $y$. Then $\tilde{Q} \cap C_k = \emptyset$ and $\tilde{Q} \subset C$. Thus

$$
\omega(A \cup C_k, C_k, y) = P^y(\tau_{A \cup C_k} < \infty, S_{\tau_{A \cup C_k}} \in C_k) = P^y(\tau_{A \cup C_k} < \infty, S_{\tau_{A \cup C_k}} \in A \setminus C_k) \\
\leq 1 - P^y(\tau_{\tilde{Q}_*} < \tau_{\tilde{Q}_c} < \infty, \exists t \in [\tau_{\tilde{Q}_*}, \tau_{\tilde{Q}_c}) \text{ with } S_t \in A) \\
\leq 1 - c_4 \delta,
$$

with the same argument as above.

2.5 Proof of Theorem (A). Let now $\beta > 0$ and $n > N(\beta)$ (to be chosen below). Let $A \subset Q^d(n)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and let $k^* \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $l^{k^*} \geq n > l^{k^* - 1}$. To the lower bound $N(\beta)$ there will correspond a $K^*$ such that $N(\beta) = l^{K^*}$. We construct Bourgain’s tree $T$: starting with $C_0 = \{1, \ldots, l^{k^*}\} \subset C_{k^*}$, we associate to each (L)-cube $C \in C_j$ its $l^d$ subcubes in $C_{j-1}$, and to each (H)-cube we associate a family $\{C_\alpha\}$ with $C_\alpha \subset C$, $A \cap C \subset \bigcup_\alpha C_\alpha$, and $\sum_\alpha |C_\alpha|^\rho/d < |C|^\rho/d$ (which
exists according to Lemma 3). The elements of the tree are labeled by complexes \( \gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k) \): \( C_0 \) has the label \( \gamma = (\gamma_1) = (0) \), its descendants have the label \( \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (0, \gamma_2) \), and so on.

We stop the decomposition when the cube is in \( C_1 \) or \( C_0 \) (because then Lemma 3 doesn’t apply any more). Thus each branch is at most \( k^* \) long. Denote by \( \gamma|k \) the restriction of \( \gamma \) to the first \( k \) digits. If \( \hat{k} \) is the length of \( \gamma \), we call \( C_{\gamma|1}, C_{\gamma|2}, \ldots, C_{\gamma|k-1} \) the “ancestors” of \( C_{\gamma} \). Let \( \mathcal{T}^* \) denote the set of the labels of the final cubes. We have

\[
A \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}^*} C_{\gamma}.
\]

Given a maximal element \( \gamma \in \mathcal{T}^* \) of length \( \tilde{k} \), we denote by \( \tau_k \) the length of the label of the \( k \)-th (L)-cube appearing in the sequence \( C_{\gamma|1}, C_{\gamma|2}, \ldots \) of ancestors of \( C_{\gamma} \), i.e., \( C_{\gamma|\tau_k} \) is the \( k \)-th (L)-cube, and \( \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \ldots < \hat{k} \). \( \tau_k = \infty \) and \( \gamma|\tau_k = \gamma \) if there are less than \( k \) (L)-cubes in the sequence \( C_{\gamma|1}, C_{\gamma|2}, \ldots \) of ancestors of \( C_{\gamma} \).

(a) Inner cubes. The subcubes \( C_{\gamma|\tau_k+1} \) of an (L)-cube \( C_{\gamma|\tau_k} \) are distinguished according to whether they lie in \( (C_{\gamma|\tau_k})_I \) or not. If \( x \in (A \cup C)^c \), the number of subcubes which lie in \( (C_{\gamma|\tau_k})_I \) is \((l - 2l)^d = (2/3)^d l^d\), and if \( x \in C \setminus A \), the number of subcubes which lie in \( (C_{\gamma|\tau_k})_I \) is simply estimated as \((l - 2l)^d - (2l + 1)^d \geq pl^d\) with \( p = (2/3)^d - (1/2)^d \). To have a fixed proportion of “inner” subcubes (this simplifies the argument in part (c) below), we shall choose for any (L)-cube \( pl^d \) subcubes from those subcubes \( C_{\gamma|\tau_k+1} \subset (C_{\gamma|\tau_k})_I \) to call them “inner” subcubes.

Let \( k_1 = k^*/3 \) and \( k_2 = (p/2)k_1 \). Let

\[
T_\prec = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{T}^*: \tau_{k_1}(\gamma) = \infty \},
\]

\[
T_i = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{T}^*: \tau_{k_1}(\gamma) < \infty \},
\]

at least \( k_2 \) of \( C_{\gamma|\tau_1+1}, C_{\gamma|\tau_2+1}, \ldots, C_{\gamma|\tau_{k_1}+1} \) are inner},

and \( T_o = \mathcal{T}^* \setminus (T_\prec \cup T_i) \). If \( C_{\gamma|\tau_k+1} \) is inner, we have from Lemma 3

\[
\omega(C_{\gamma|\tau_k+1}) \leq \omega \left( (C_{\gamma|\tau_k})_I \right) \leq \frac{(1 - c_4\delta)^{l-1}}{c_4\delta} \omega(C_{\gamma|\tau_k}),
\]

and if not, then in any case

\[
\omega(C_{\gamma|\tau_k+1}) \leq \omega(C_{\gamma|\tau_k}).
\]
Then for \( y \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_i} C_{\gamma} \) we have (with \( \gamma \) such that \( y \in C_{\gamma} \))

\[
\nu_{A,x}(y) \leq \omega(C_{\gamma}) \leq \omega(C_{\gamma|\tau_1}) \leq \left(\frac{(1 - c_4 \delta)^{l-1}}{c_4 \delta}\right)^{k_2} \omega(C_{\gamma|\tau_1}) \leq \left(\frac{(1 - c_4 \delta)^{l-1}}{c_4 \delta}\right)^{k_2}.
\]

Now choose \( l \) such that

\[
\left(\frac{(1 - c_4 \delta)^{l-1}}{c_4 \delta}\right)^{k_2} < l^{-k^* \beta},
\]

i.e.,

\[
\frac{p}{6} \left(\frac{l}{6} - 1\right) \log \frac{1}{1 - c_4 \delta} - \frac{p}{6} \log \frac{1}{c_4 \delta} > \beta \log l.
\]

Then

\[
\bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_i} C_{\gamma} \subset \{ y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu_{A,x}(y) < n^{-\beta}\}.
\]

With (23) we obtain

\[
\{ y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu_{A,x}(y) \geq n^{-\beta}\} \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c \cup \mathcal{T}_o} C_{\gamma}.
\]

We shall show that \( \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}| \leq n^{-\tilde{\rho}/2} \) and \( \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_o} |C_{\gamma}| \leq n^{-\tilde{\rho}/2} \) with \( \tilde{\rho} = (\rho + d)/2 \), where \( \rho < d \) comes from Lemma 3. This then proves Theorem (A).

(b) **Estimate of** \( \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}| \). If \( C_{\gamma} \) is of type (I), then

\[
\sum_{\gamma_k=1,\ldots,(\gamma,\gamma_k) \in \mathcal{T}} |C_{(\gamma,\gamma_k)}|^{\rho/d} \leq |C_{\gamma}|^{\rho/d},
\]

and if \( C_{\gamma} \in C_j \) is of type (L), then we have

\[
\sum_{\gamma_k=1,\ldots,\gamma_k^d} |C_{(\gamma,\gamma_k)}|^{\rho/d} = l^d l^d (j-1) \rho = l^d - \rho |C_{\gamma}|^{\rho/d}.
\]
Thus
\[
|C_0|^{\rho/d} \geq \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}\gamma_1(\gamma)|^{\rho/d} \\
\geq l^{-(d-\rho)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}\gamma_1(\gamma+1)|^{\rho/d} \\
\geq l^{-(d-\rho)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}\gamma_2(\gamma)|^{\rho/d} \\
\geq l^{-(d-\rho)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}\gamma_2(\gamma+1)|^{\rho/d} \\
\ldots \geq l^{-(k_1-1)(d-\rho)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}|^{\rho/d}
\]
and therefore
\[
\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}| = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_c} |C_{\gamma}|^{\rho/d} |C_{\gamma}|^{(d-\rho)/d} \leq l^{k_1(d-\rho)} l^{k^* \rho}.
\]

For our choice of $k_1$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ we have indeed
\[
l^{k_1(d-\rho)} l^{k^* \rho} \leq \frac{1}{2} l^{(k^* - 1)\tilde{\rho}} \leq \frac{1}{2} n^{\tilde{\rho}}
\]
for $k^*$ larger than some $K^*$.

(c) **Estimate of** $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_o} |C_{\gamma}|$. Remember that $\mathcal{T}_o = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{T}^*: \tau_{k_1}(\gamma) < \infty, \text{less than} \ k_2 \ \text{of} \ C_{\gamma}\gamma_1+1, C_{\gamma}\gamma_2+1, \ldots, C_{\gamma}\gamma_{k_1+1} \ \text{are inner} \}$. It is easy to see that
\[
\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}^*: \tau_{k_1} < \infty, \ k \ \text{of} \ C_{\gamma}\gamma_1+1, C_{\gamma}\gamma_2+1, \ldots, C_{\gamma}\gamma_{k_1+1} \ \text{are inner}} |C_{\gamma}| \leq b(k; k_1, p)|C_0| = \binom{k_1}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{k_1-k}|C_0|,
\]
b($k; k_1, p$) being the binomial distribution, i.e., the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} X_i$, where the $X_i$ are independent $\{0, 1\}$-valued random variables with $P(X_i = 1) = p$ for all $i$. For $0 < a < p$, we have from application of Markov’s inequality to $\exp(\xi \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} X_i)$
\[
P \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} X_i \leq ak_1 \right) \leq e^{-k_1 I_p(a)}
\]
with
\[
I_p(a) = a \log \frac{a}{p} + (1 - a) \log \frac{1 - a}{1 - p}.
\]
(This is an elementary case of Cramér’s theorem.) With $a = k_2/k_1 = p/2$, $I_p(a)$ depends only on $d$. Then

$$
\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_0} |C_{\gamma}| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k_2-1} b(j; k_1, p)|C_0| \leq e^{-k_1 I l^{k^*d}},
$$

and with our choice of the constants, noting also (18),

$$
e^{-k_1 I l^{k^*d}} \leq \frac{1}{2} l^{(k^*-1)\hat{\rho}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\hat{\rho}},
$$

for $k^*$ larger than some $K^*$.
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