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Abstract

Universal role of the nonlinear one-third subharmonic resonance mechanism in generation of the strong fluctuations in such complex natural dynamical systems as global climate and global solar activity is discussed using wavelet regression detrended data. Role of the oceanic Rossby waves in the year-scale global temperature fluctuations and the nonlinear resonance contribution to the El Niño phenomenon have been discussed in detail. The large fluctuations of the reconstructed temperature on the millennial time-scales (Antarctic ice cores data for the past 400,000 years) are also shown to be dominated by the one-third subharmonic resonance, presumably related to Earth precession effect on the energy that the intertropical regions receive from the Sun. Effects of Galactic turbulence on the temperature fluctuations are discussed in this content. It is also shown that the one-third subharmonic resonance can be considered as a background for the 11-years solar cycle, and again the global (solar) rotation and chaotic propagating waves play significant role in this phenomenon. Finally, a multidecadal chaotic coherence between the detrended solar activity and global temperature has been briefly discussed.
1 Introduction

Since the global climatology is in an earlier state of data accumulation, getting knowledge from the data is not an easy and straightforward process. However, there is only one way enabling to understand physics of the phenomenon: accurate and punctual analysis of data. Moreover, the global climate, as we know it now, is a nonlinear phenomenon. This makes the process even more difficult and painful than usual. It is normal for this stage that the models are at best crude while limitations are great and (that even more dangerous) unknown.

Already the simplest energy balance model for the space averaged surface temperature \( T \) has a form of a nonlinear equation:

\[
\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} \left\{ q(1 - \alpha(T)) - \varepsilon \sigma T^4 \right\}
\]  

(1)

where \( C \) is the heat capacity. The first term in the right hand side of the balance equation represents incoming solar energy with \( q \) as the solar constant and \( \alpha \) as the albedo, and second term represents outgoing infrared energy with \( \varepsilon \) and \( \sigma \) as the emissivity factor and the Stefan constant respectively.

A more complex nonlinear model describing an interaction between surface energy balance and mass balance of the cryosphere has been suggested in Ref. [1] (in dimensionless excess variables):

\[
\frac{ds}{dt} = \zeta
\]  

(2)

\[
\frac{d\zeta}{dt} = c_1 \zeta + c_2 s - s^3 - s^2 \zeta + F \sin(\omega t)
\]  

(3)

where \( s \) the sea-ice extent, \( \zeta = T - s \) and \( T \) is the mean ocean surface temperature, \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are certain constants, and \( F \sin(\omega t) \) stands for solar forcing. It is shown in Ref. [2] that the system Eqs. (2-3) can be considered as the perturbations (for \( 1 \gg s \)) of a reference system

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = y
\]  

(4)

\[
\frac{dy}{dt} = cx - x^3
\]  

(5)

which is a Hamiltonian system for the conservative Duffing oscillator.
The nonlinear Duffing oscillator appears also in the conceptual model of the tropical Pacific oscillations (for positive upper ocean temperature anomalies $T$ driving shallow thermocline depth anomalies $h$) \[3,4\]

\[
\frac{dh}{dt} = -T + \eta
\]  

\[
\frac{dT}{dt} = -\gamma T + (h + bh^3) + F \cos(\omega t)
\]

The periodic term in this equation represents the solar forcing ($\eta$ is an ambient noise, and $\gamma$, $b$ and $F$ are certain geophysical constants, see for more details below).

The appearance of the nonlinear Duffing oscillator in the models of the global climate processes for different time-space scales: from Paleoclimate Eqs. (2-5) to the decadal and inter-year oscillations Eqs. (6-7), shows that this nonlinear oscillator can be considered as a simple prototype of nonlinear climate systems (it will be also shown below how the nonlinear Duffing oscillator appears naturally in the solar dynamo models Eq. (17)). Basic symmetries of the systems can play a crucial role in this phenomenon (see below). For the non-linear systems far from equilibrium the oscillatory behavior can appear near bifurcation points (the dynamics generated by the Duffing oscillator can exhibit both deterministic and chaotic behavior [5]-[7], depending on the parameters range).

One of the specific non-linear properties of the Duffing oscillator is the so-called one-third subharmonic resonance [8]. Let us imagine a forced excitable system with a large amount of loosely coupled degrees of freedom schematically represented by Duffing oscillators with a wide range of the natural frequencies $\omega_0$ (it is well known [9] that oscillations with a wide range of frequencies are supported by ocean and atmosphere, cf. also Ref. [10]):

\[
\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2 x + \gamma \dot{x} + \beta x^3 = F \sin \omega t
\]

where $\dot{x}$ denotes the temporal derivative of $x$, $\beta$ is the strength of nonlinearity, and $F$ and $\omega$ are characteristic of a driving force. It is known (see for instance Ref. [8]) that when $\omega \approx 3\omega_0$ and $\beta \ll 1$ the equation (8) has a resonant solution

\[
x(t) \approx a \cos \left( \frac{\omega}{3} t + \varphi \right) + \frac{F}{(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)} \cos \omega t
\]
where the amplitude $a$ and the phase $\varphi$ are certain constants. This is so-called one-third subharmonic resonance with the driving frequency $\omega$. For the considered system of the oscillators an effect of synchronization can take place and, as a consequence of this synchronization, the characteristic peaks in the spectra of partial oscillations coincide \[11\]. It can be useful to note, for the global climate modeling, that the odd-term subharmonic resonance is a consequence of the reflection symmetry of the natural nonlinear oscillators (invariance to the transformation $x \rightarrow -x$, cf. also Ref. \[12\]).

This non-linear phenomenon can be observed directly in the real data. Let us start from the well known (in relation to the global warming) graph representing the monthly global temperature data: figure 1 (the instrumental monthly data are available at [http://lwf.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/research/anomalies/index.html](http://lwf.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/research/anomalies/index.html), see also Ref. \[13\]). One can see that the temperature is strongly fluctuating. Actually, the fluctuations are of the same order as the trend itself (see figures 1 and 2). While the nature of the trend is widely discussed the nature of these strong fluctuations is still quite obscure. As we will see further these strong fluctuations have an intrinsic nonlinear nature. This is the one-third subharmonic resonance to annual solar forcing. Cyclic forcing, due to astronomical modulations of the solar input, rightfully plays a central role in the long-term climate models. Paradoxically, it is a very non-trivial task to find imprints of this forcing in the long-term climate data. It will be shown in present paper that just unusual properties of nonlinear response are the main source of this problem.

There are many well known reasons for asymmetry in response of the North and South Hemispheres to solar forcing: dominance of water in the Southern Hemisphere against dominance of land in the Northern one, topographical imbalance of land (continents) and oceans in the Northern Hemisphere due to continental configuration, seasonality and vegetation changes are much more pronounce on land than on ocean surface, and anthropogenically induced asymmetry of the last century. This asymmetry results in annual asymmetry of global heat budget and, in particular, in annual fluctuations of the global temperature. Nonlinear responses are expected as a result of this asymmetry.

The nonlinear one-third subharmonic resonance produces strong fluctuations of the global temperature also for much larger paleoclimate time scales: figures 3 and 4 (the reconstructed data are available at [http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/metadata/noaa-icecore-6076.html](http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/metadata/noaa-icecore-6076.html), see also Ref. \[14\]). Again, while the nature of the trend is widely discussed (in relation to the glacia-
Figure 1: The monthly global temperature data (dashed line) for the period 1880-2009. The solid curve (trend) corresponds to a wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data.

ion cycles) the nature of these strong fluctuations is still quite obscure. In this case the one-third subharmonic resonance, generating the fluctuations, is presumably related to Earth precession effect on the energy that the intertropical regions receive from the Sun.

We will also see that in the Sun itself Nature uses the nonlinear one-third subharmonic resonance to amplify the hydromagnetic dynamo effects to the observed 11-year periodic solar activity. And again, a global rotation and nonlinear waves are the main components of the nonlinear mechanism.

Such universality of this non-linear mechanism makes it a very interesting subject for a thoughtful investigation. The problem has also a technical aspect: detrending is a difficult task for such strong fluctuations. Most of the regression methods are linear in responses. At the nonlinear nonparametric wavelet regression one chooses a relatively small number of wavelet coefficients to represent the underlying regression function. A threshold method is used to keep or kill the wavelet coefficients. At the wavelet regression the demands to smoothness of the function being estimated are relaxed considerably in comparison to the traditional methods. These advantages make the non-linear wavelet regression method an adequate tool for detrending the strongly fluctuating natural data.
Figure 1 shows (as dashed line) the instrumental monthly global temperature data (land and ocean combined) for the period 1880-2009, as presented at the NOAA site [http://lwf.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/research/anomalies/index.html](http://lwf.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/research/anomalies/index.html) (see also Ref. [13]. The solid curve (trend) in the figure corresponds to a wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data (cf. Refs. [15],[16]). Figure 2 shows corresponding detrended fluctuations, which produce a statistically stationary set of data. We use a nonlinear nonparametric wavelet regression with a relatively small number of wavelet coefficients representing the underlying regression function. A threshold method is used to keep or kill the wavelet coefficients. In this case, in particular, the Universal (VisuShrink) thresholding rule with a soft thresholding function was used. Figure 5 shows a spectrum of the wavelet regression detrended data calculated using the maximum entropy method (because it provides an optimal spectral resolution even for small data sets). One can see in this figure a small peak corresponding to a one-year period and a huge well defined peak corresponding to a three-years period.

This is the one-third subharmonic resonance with the driving frequency $\omega$ corresponding to the annual NS-asymmetry of the solar forcing (the huge peak in Fig. 5 corresponds to the first term in the right-hand side of the Eq. (9)).

---

Figure 2: The wavelet regression detrended fluctuations from the data shown in Fig. 1.
Figure 3: The reconstructed air temperature data (dashed line) for the period 0-340 kyr. The solid curve (trend) corresponds to a wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data.

Figure 4: The wavelet regression detrended fluctuations from the data shown in Fig. 3.
Figure 5: Spectrum of the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations of the monthly global temperature anomaly (land and ocean combined).

Figure 6: The monthly global Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies (dashed line) for the period 1850-2008yy. The solid curve (trend) corresponds to a wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data.
Figure 7: The same as in Fig. 5 but for the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations of the global Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies.

Figure 8: Defect of autocorrelation function versus $\tau$ (in ln-ln scales) for the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations of the global Sea Surface Temperature Anomalies. The straight line is drawn in order to indicate scaling Eq. (10).
Figure 9: Spectrum of sea surface height fluctuations [18] (TOPEX/ Poseidon and ERS-1/2 altimeter measurements) in the logarithmic scales. The profound peak corresponds to the annual cycle. The dashed straight line is drawn in order to indicate correspondence to the scaling Eq. (10).

Figure 10: The monthly Global-SST ENSO index (dashed line) for the period 1850-2008yy (the index is in hundredths of a degree Celsius). The solid curve (trend) corresponds to a wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data.
The fluctuations of oceanic temperature cause certain variations of the sea surface height. These variations are intermixed with the sea surface height variations caused by the oceanic planetary Rossby waves. The oceanic planetary Rossby waves play an important role in the response of the global ocean to the forcing (see, for instance, Refs. [17],[18]) and they are of fundamental importance to ocean circulation on a wide range of time scales (it was also suggested that the Rossby waves play a crucial role in the initiation and termination of the El Niño phenomenon, see also below). Therefore, they present a favorable physical background for the global subharmonic resonance. It should be noted that the variable $h$ in equation (7) represents western boundary Rossby wave reflection of its counterpart in the equation (6). That provides a negative feedback to the $T$ tendency in the eastern equatorial ocean via a non-linear thermocline displacement: $h + bh^3$ (its vertical gradient separating near-surface and deep-ocean mixed layers [3],[4]). The solar periodic forcing plays analogous role in this equation.

For that reason it is interesting to look also separately at global sea surface temperature anomalies. These data for time range 1850-2008yy are shown in Fig. 6 (the monthly data are available at http://jisao.washington.edu/data/globalsstanoamts/, see also Ref. [19]). The solid curve (trend) in the figure corresponds to the wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data. Figure 7 shows
a spectrum of the wavelet regression detrended data calculated using the maximum entropy method. The spectrum seems to be very similar to the spectrum presented in Fig. 5. Since the high frequency part of the spectrum is corrupted by strong fluctuations (the Nyquist frequency equals 0.5 $[mon^{-1}]$), it is interesting to look at corresponding autocorrelation function $C(\tau)$ in order to understand what happens on the monthly scales. It should be noted that scaling of defect of the autocorrelation function can be related to scaling of corresponding spectrum:

$$1 - C(\tau) \sim \tau^\alpha \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad E(f) \sim f^{-(1+\alpha)}$$

(10)

Figure 8 shows the defect of the autocorrelation function in ln-ln scales in order to estimate the scaling exponent $\alpha \simeq 0.6 \pm 0.04$ (the straight line in this figure indicates the scaling Eq. (10)). The existence of oceanic Rossby waves was confirmed rather recently by NASA/CNES TOPEX/Poseidon satellite altimetry measurements. Corresponding to these measurements spectrum of the sea surface height fluctuations, calculated in Ref. [18] with daily resolution (see also [20]), is shown in Figure 9. The dashed straight line in this figure is drawn in order to indicate correspondence to the scaling Eq. (10): $1 + \alpha \simeq 1.6$. 

Figure 12: The same as in Fig. 8 but for the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations of the Global-SST ENSO index.
The Rossby waves (together with Kelvin waves) and a strong atmosphere-ocean feedback provide physical background for the *El Niño* phenomenon (see, for instance, Refs. [3], [4], [21] and references therein). Figure 11 shows spectrum for the wavelet detrended fluctuations of the so-called Global-SST ENSO index (Fig. 10), which captures the low-frequency part of the *El Niño* phenomenon (the monthly data are available at [http://jisao.washington.edu/data/globalsstenso/](http://jisao.washington.edu/data/globalsstenso/)). The annual forcing can come from the oceanic Rossby waves (cf Fig. 9). To support this relationship we show in figure 12 defect of autocorrelation function calculated using the wavelet detrended fluctuations from Fig. 10. The ln-ln scales have been used in Fig. 12 in order to estimate the scaling exponent $\alpha \simeq 0.6 \pm 0.03$ (the straight line in this figure indicates the scaling Eq. (10), cf. Figs. 8 and 9). Using these observations one can suggest that the *El Niño* phenomenon has the one-third subharmonic resonance as a background.

## 3 Nonlinear Paleoclimate

Recent paleoclimate reconstructions provide indications of nonlinear properties of Earth climate at the late Pleistocene [22], [23] (the period from 0.8 Myr to present). Long term decrease in atmospheric $CO_2$, which could re-
sult in a change in the internal response of the global carbon cycle to the obliquity forcing, has been mentioned as one of the principal reasons for this phenomenon (see, for instance, [24]-[26]). At present time one can recognize at least two problems of the nonlinear paleoclimate, which we will address in present paper using recent data and speculations [27].

A. Reconstructed air temperature on millennial time scales are known to be strongly fluctuating. See, for instance figures 3 and 4. While the nature of the trend is widely discussed (in relation to the glaciation cycles) the nature of these strong fluctuations is still quite obscure. The spectral analysis of the wavelet regression detrended data reveals rather surprising nature of the strong temperature fluctuations. Namely, the detrended fluctuations of the reconstructed temperature are completely dominated by the one-third subharmonic resonance, presumably related to Earth precession effect on equatorial insolation.

B. Influence of Galactic turbulent processes on the Earth climate can be very significant for time-scales less than 2.5 kyr. Figure 3 shows reconstructed air temperature data (dashed line) for the period 0-340 kyr as presented at the site http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/metadata/noaicecore-6076.html (Antarctic ice cores data, see also Ref. [14]). The solid curve (trend) in the figure corresponds to a wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data (cf. Ref. [16]). Figure 4 shows corresponding detrended fluctuations, which produce a statistically stationary set of data. Figure 13 shows a spectrum of the wavelet regression detrended data calculated using the maximum entropy method. One can see in this figure a small peak corresponding to period $\sim 5$ kyr and a huge well defined peak corresponding to period $\sim 15$ kyr. We also obtained analogous results (approximately 10% larger) from the "Vostok" ice core data for period 0-420 kyr (for the data description see Refs. [28],[29]).

Origin of the periodic energy input with the period $\sim 5$ kyr can be related to dynamics of the energy that the intertropical regions receive from the Sun (equatorial insolation). Indeed, it is found in Ref. [30] that a clear and significant 5 kyr period is present in this dynamic over last 1 Myr. The amplitude of the 5 kyr cycle in the insolation decreases rapidly when getting away from the equator. Using the fact that double insolation maximum and minimum arise in the tropical regions in the course of one year, the authors of the Ref. [30] speculated that this period in seasonal amplitude of equatorial insolation is determined by fourth harmonic of the Earth precession.
cycle. It should be noted, that the idea of a significant role of tropics in generating long-term climatic variations is rather a new one (see Ref. [30] for relevant references). In Ref. [31], for instance, the authors speculated that the high frequency climate variability (in the millennial time scales) could be related to high sensitivity of the tropics to summer time insolation. Then, the oceanic advective transport could transmit an amplified response of tropical precipitation and temperature to high latitudes. Physical mechanism of this amplification is still not clear and the above discussed one-third subharmonic resonance can be a plausible possibility (in this respect it is significant, that we used the Antarctic data).

4 Galactic turbulence and the temperature fluctuations

Since the high frequency part of the spectrum is corrupted by strong fluctuations (the Nyquist frequency equals 0.5 \([(500y)^{-1}]\), it is interesting to look at corresponding autocorrelation function \(C(\tau)\) and at structure functions \(S_p(\tau)\) (of different orders \(p\)) in order to understand what happens on the mil-

Figure 14: A small-time-scales part of the autocorrelation function defect of the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations from the data shown in Fig. 4. The straight line indicates the Kolmogorov’s ‘2/3’ power law for the structure function.
lennial time scales. The correlation function defect $1 - C(\tau)$ is proportional to the second order structure function $S_2(\tau)$. Therefore, we can compare results obtained by these different tools. First let us look at autocorrelation function $C(\tau)$. Figure 14 shows a relatively small-times part of the correlation function defect. The ln-ln scales have been used in this figure in order to show a power law (the straight line) for the second order structure function:

$$S_2(\tau) = \langle |x(t + \tau) - x(t)|^2 \rangle : 1 - C(\tau) \propto S_2(\tau) \propto \tau^{2/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

This power law: '2/3', for structure function (by virtue of the Taylor hypothesis transforming the time scaling into the space one \([32],[33]\)) is known for fully developed turbulence as Kolmogorov’s power law.

Although, the scaling interval is short, the value of the exponent is rather intriguing. This exponent is well known in the theory of fluid (plasma) turbulence and corresponds to so-called Kolmogorov’s cascade process. This process is very universal for turbulent fluids and plasmas \([34],[35]\). In spite of the fact that magnetic field is presumably important for interstellar turbulence the Kolmogorov description can be still theoretically acceptable even in this area \([36]-[38]\). Moreover, the Kolmogorov-type spectra were observed on the scales up to kpc. In order to support the Kolmogorov turbulence as a background of the wavelet regression detrended temperature modulation we calculated also structure functions $S_p(\tau) = \langle |x(t + \tau) - x(t)|^p \rangle$ with different orders $p$. In the classic Kolmogorov turbulence (at very large values of the Reynolds number \([32],[39]\))

$$S_p \propto \tau^{\zeta_p} \quad \zeta_p \simeq \frac{p}{3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

(at least for $p \leq 3$). Figure 15 shows a small-time-scales part of the structure functions $S_p$ with $p = 0.2, 0.5, 0.7, 1, 2, 3$ for the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations from the data shown in Fig. 4. The straight lines are drawn in order to indicate scaling in the ln-ln scales. Figure 16 shows as circles the scaling exponent $\zeta_p$ against $p$ for the scaling shown in Fig. 15 (the exponents were calculated using slopes of the straight lines - best fit, in Fig. 15). The bars show the statistical errors. The straight line in Fig. 16 corresponds to the strictly Kolmogorov turbulence with $\zeta_p = p/3$ Eq. (12). One can see good agreement with the Kolmogorov turbulence modulation.

For turbulent processes on Earth and in Heliosphere the Kolmogorov’s scaling with such large time-scales certainly cannot exist. Therefore, one
should think about a Galactic origin of Kolmogorov turbulence (or turbulence-like processes [40]) with such large time-scales. Let us recall that diameter of the Galaxy is approximately 100,000 light years. This is not surprising if we recall possible role of the galactic cosmic rays for Earth climate. Galactic cosmic ray intensity at the Earth’s orbit is modulated by galactic turbulence [33]. On the other hand, the galactic cosmic rays can determine the amount of cloud cover (a very significant climate factor) on global scales through the massive aerosols formation (see, for instance, [41]-[50]). Thus, the galactic turbulence can modulate the global temperature fluctuations by the Kolmogorov scaling properties on the millennial time scales. If one knows the characteristic velocity scale \( v \) for the Taylor hypothesis one can estimate outer space-scale of corresponding galactic turbulence as \( L \geq 2500y \times v \). However, it is not clear what estimate we should take for the \( v \). For instance, one could try velocity of the solar system relative to the cosmic microwave background (CMB) rest frame: \( v \sim 370 \text{ km/sec} \). In this case one obtains \( L \sim 1\text{pc} \). It should be noted that in recent paper [49] it is suggested that the typical outer scale for spiral arms can be as small as 1pc and in interarm regions the outer scale can be larger than 100pc. Since the solar system and Earth are at present time within the Orion Arm this suggestion is in agree-
Figure 16: The scaling exponent $\zeta_p$ against $p$ for the scaling shown in Fig. 15 (circles). The straight line is drawn in order to indicate the Kolmogorov's turbulence with $\zeta_p = p/3$ Eq. (12).

ment with the above estimate. Although the suggestion of the Ref. [49] is still under active discussion the paleoclimatic consequences of this suggestion can be very interesting and we will discuss one of them here. Namely, when orbiting the Galactic center the solar system and Earth are in the interarm regions the reverse of the Taylor hypothesis provides us with the outer timescale $\sim 2500 \times 100$ years. This time scale is larger than any known glaciation period (which are determined by the periods related to orbiting Earth around Sun, see for instance [7]). Strong turbulent fluctuations of the cosmic rays flux on such large time-scales should prevent the glaciation cycles to occur when the solar system is in the interarm regions. The Earth deglaciation related to the interarm regions was suggested in Refs. [45], [46] and explained by difference in intensity of the cosmic ray flux in the spiral arms and in the interarm regions. It is difficult to estimate at present time which of the two mechanisms is more efficient. In any way they are working to the same end and both are open to discussion.
5 Subharmonic resonance in solar activity

The solar activity is chaotic but has a well-defined mean period of about 11 years. The 11-year cycle is well known for more than a century and a half. Despite this, nature of the 11-year cycle is still a subject of vigorous investigations. The most popular point of view is a 'dynamo-wave' mechanism. It is assumed that a magnetic dynamo, generated by the solar differential rotation and the helicity of turbulent convective flows, produces this propagating wave. Helicity, through the $\alpha$-effect, plays crucial role in this mechanism. Recently, observational data of physical quantities associated with the $\alpha$-effect became available and a considerable progress in this direction was achieved (see, for instance, Refs. [51], [52], [53]). The $\alpha$-effect has two contributors: one related to helicity of convective vortices and another related to the helicity of magnetic field. Intrinsically non-linear character of the problem makes it especially difficult for theoretical investigation. The nonlinear solar dynamo has to be saturated in order to get a quasi-stationary wave. The magnetic part of the $\alpha$-effect can play a crucial role in such saturation, while certain modification of the turbulent diffusivity and other transport coefficients is unavoidable at this process (see Refs. [51], [52], [53] and section 'Chaotic dynamo'). Results of the above mentioned simulations show that the dynamo model leads to a steadily oscillating magnetic configuration. The cyclic behavior is typical for moderate dynamo action whereas for the stronger dynamo action a chaotic behavior is usually observed in the dynamo simulations. It is believed that the dynamo mechanism is mainly operational deep inside the convective zone (or even in the overshoot layer). However, in the upper layers of the convection zone ('at surface') a strong hydromagnetic activity has been also observed. The presence of large-scale meandering flow fields (like jet streams), banded zonal flows and evolving meridional circulations produces a very complex picture [54]. In this situation one can expect that more than one non-linear mechanisms can be at background of the observed 11-year solar cycle. Namely, it could be inferred from the data analysis presented below that a dynamo mechanism, directly affected by the solar rotation, may generate the basic chaotic oscillations (with a fundamental period different from the 11-year cycle), while another non-linear mechanism amplifies these oscillations to the observed 11-year chaotic oscillations. In this two-stage picture the mirror asymmetry of the solar magnetic field can be still a key driver of the 11-year activity cycle through an additional non-linear amplifying mechanism.
Figure 17: The monthly sunspot number (dashed line) for the period 1749-2009 years. The solid curve (trend) corresponds to a wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data.

Figure 18: The wavelet regression detrended fluctuations from the data shown in Fig. 17.
Most of the regression methods are linear in responses and statistical analyses of the experimental sunspot data was dominated by linear stochastic methods, while it was recently rigorously shown in Ref. [55] that a nonlinear dynamical mechanism (presumably a driven nonlinear oscillator, see also Ref. [56]) determines the sunspot cycle. Figure 17 shows the monthly sunspot number (dashed line) for the period 1749-2009 years (the data are available at [http://sidc.oma.be/sunspot-data/](http://sidc.oma.be/sunspot-data/)). The solid curve (trend) corresponds to a wavelet (symmlet) regression of the data (cf. Refs. [16]). Figure 18 shows corresponding detrended fluctuations, which produce a statistically stationary set of data. The wavelet detrended data set is not statistically stationary, however, for the extended 1611-2009 period, presumably due to the Maunder minimum in the 17th century (see Refs. [57], [58]). Figure 19 shows a spectrum of the wavelet regression detrended data calculated using the maximum entropy method (because it provides an optimal spectral resolution even for small data sets). In Fig. 19 one can see a well defined peak corresponding to period \( \sim 3.7 \) years.

The wavelet regression method detrends the data from the approximately 11-years period (cf. Fig. 17). Therefore, it is plausible that the one-third subharmonic resonance [8] can be considered as a background for the 11-years solar cycle: \( 11/3.7 \simeq 3 \). Indeed, it is known [59] that interaction of the Alfvén waves (generated in a highly magnetized plasma by a cavity’s moving boundaries) with slow magnetosonic waves can be described using Duffing oscillators (see also Refs. [55], [60] and below).

### 6 Chaotic dynamo

In order to understand appearance of the 3.7-years period let us represent the spectrum shown in Fig. 19 in semi-logarithmical scales: figure 20. In these scales an exponential behavior corresponds to a straight line. It is known, that both stochastic and deterministic processes can result in the broad-band part of the spectrum, but the decay in the spectral power is different for the two cases. The exponential decay indicates that the broad-band spectrum for these data arises from a deterministic rather than a stochastic process. For a wide class of deterministic systems a broad-band spectrum with exponential decay is a generic feature of their chaotic solutions Refs. [61]-[64]. A wavy exponential decay (see Fig. 20) is a characteristic of a chaotic behavior.
Figure 19: Spectrum of the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations shown in Fig. 18.

Figure 20: The same as in Fig. 19 but in semi-logarithmic scales. The dashed straight line indicates an exponential decay.
generated by time-delay differential equations [62]. A classic example of time-delay differential equation with chaotic solutions is the Mackey-Glass equation:

\[
\frac{du(t)}{dt} = \frac{0.2 \cdot u(t - \tau)}{1 + u(t - \tau)^{10}} - 0.1 \cdot u(t)
\]

(13)

Figure 21 shows spectrum of a solution of this equation for the time-delay \(\tau = 30\). The dashed straight line indicates an exponential decay (cf. Fig. 20).

In the Parker dynamo, which was generalized in the Refs. [51], [52], [53], a time-delay in the back influence of the magnetic field on the \(\alpha\)-effect [65], [66]

\[
\alpha(\vartheta, t, \tau) = \frac{\alpha_0(\vartheta)}{1 + B^2(\vartheta, t - \tau)}
\]

(14)

(where \(B\) is the azimuthal component of the magnetic field) can significantly change the evolution of the magnetic field even for a small time delay. In particularly, this non-linear delay can result in appearance of processes with periods much longer than the fundamental period through a parametric resonance [66].

In the dynamo models that have physically distinct source layers the finite time is required in order to transport magnetic flux from one layer to another (a time-delay involved in the \(\alpha\)-quenching mechanism due to the Lorentz feedback). Even the Duffing equations for \(B\) can be obtained for the dynamo models using the delay idea in this case (cf. Eq. (14) in the Ref. [67]):

\[
\ddot{B} + \omega_0^2 B + \gamma \dot{B} + \beta B(t - \tau)f(B(t - \tau)) = 0
\]

(15)

where \(f(B(t - \tau))\) is a quenching factor, which can be approximated by a nonlinear function. In particular, in the Ref. [67] (see also Ref. [68]) this function has been approximated as:

\[
f(B) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \text{erf} (B^2 - B_{\text{min}}^2))(1 - \text{erf} (B^2 - B_{\text{min}}^2))
\]

(16)

and for small \((B^2 - B_{\text{min}}^2)\) we obtain a nonlinear delayed Duffing equation

\[
\ddot{B} + \Omega_0^2 B + \gamma \dot{B} + \beta' B^3(t - \tau) = 0
\]

(17)

where \(\Omega_0^2, \gamma\) and \(\beta'\) are certain constants. The subharmonic resonances and chaotic regimes are also known for the delay Duffing equations with a periodic forcing.

23
Figure 21: Spectrum of the Mackey-Glass chaotic time-series. The dashed straight line indicates an exponential decay. The insert shows a sketch of corresponding complex-time plane.

It is also significant for those dynamo models that have spatially segregated source regions for the poloidal and toroidal magnetic field components (such as, for instance, the Babcock-Leighton dynamo mechanism [68]). In the global dynamo models that include meridional circulation the time delay related to the circulation should be comparable to global rotation period (see below).

Nature of the exponential decay of the power spectra of the chaotic systems (Figs. 20 and 21) is still an unsolved mathematical problem. A progress in solution of this problem has been achieved by the use of the analytical continuation of the equations in the complex domain (see, for instance, [64]). In this approach the exponential decay of chaotic spectrum is related to a singularity in the plane of complex time, which lies nearest to the real axis (see the insert in Fig. 21). Distance between this singularity and the real axis determines the rate of the exponential decay. For many interesting cases chaotic solutions are analytic in a finite strip around the real time axis. This takes place, for instance for attractors bounded in the real domain (the Lorentz attractor, for instance). In this case the radius of convergence of the Taylor series is also bounded (uniformly) at any real time.

Let us consider, for simplicity, solution $u(t)$ with simple poles only, and
to define the Fourier transform as follows

\[ \tilde{u}(f) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt \ e^{-i2\pi ft}u(t) \]  

(18)

Then using the theorem of residues

\[ \tilde{u}(f) = i(2\pi)^{1/2} \sum_j R_j \exp(i2\pi fx_j - |2\pi fy_j|) \]  

(19)

where \( R_j \) are the poles residue and \( x_j + iy_j \) are their location in the relevant half plane, one obtains asymptotic behavior of the spectrum \( E(f) = |\tilde{u}(f)|^2 \) at large \( f \)

\[ E(f) \sim \exp(-4\pi|y_{min}|f) \]  

(20)

where \( y_{min} \) is the imaginary part of the location of the pole which lies nearest to the real axis. In the case of symmetric analytic strip with a width \( \Delta = 2|y_{min}| \):

\[ E(f) \sim \exp(-2\pi \Delta f) \]  

(21)

(cf. the insert in Fig. 21).

The chaotic spectrum provides two different characteristic time-scales for the chaotic system: a period corresponding to fundamental frequency of the system, \( f_{fund} \), and a period corresponding to the exponential decay rate, \( 2\pi \Delta \) (cf. Eq. (21)). The fundamental period can be estimated using position of the low-frequency peak (cf. Figs. 20 and 21), while the exponential decay rate period \( 2\pi \Delta \) can be estimated using the slope of the straight line of the broadband part of the spectrum in the semi-logarithmic representation. In the case of the global solar dynamo the width of the analytic strip \( \Delta \) can be theoretically estimated using the Carrington solar rotation period: \( \Delta \simeq T_c \simeq 25.38 \) days. This period roughly corresponds to the solar rotation at a latitude of 26 deg, which is consistent with the typical latitude of sunspots (cf. Fig. 20).

Additionally to the exponential spectrum (Fig. 20), let us check the chaotic character of the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations calculating the largest Lyapunov exponent: \( \lambda_{max} \). A strong indicator for the presence of chaos in the examined time series is condition \( \lambda_{max} > 0 \). If this is the case, then we have so-called exponential instability. Namely, two arbitrary close trajectories of the system will diverge apart exponentially, that is the hallmark of chaos. To calculate \( \lambda_{max} \) we used a direct algorithm developed by Wolf et al. [69]. Figure 22 shows the pertaining average maximal Lyapunov
exponent at the pertaining time, calculated for the data set shown in Fig. 18. The largest Lyapunov exponent converges very well to a positive value $\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 0.286 \text{ mon}^{-1} > 0$.

7 Flip-flop phenomenon

It should be noted that the same period $\sim 3.7$ years was recently found for the so-called flip-flop phenomenon of the active longitudes in solar activity [70], [71]. Sunspots are tend to pop up preferably in certain latitudinal domains and move toward the equator due to the 11-year cycle. Recently, strong indications of non-uniform longitudinal distribution of sunspots (active longitudes) was reported and analyzed in a dynamic frame related to the mean latitude of sunspot formation, in which the active longitudes persist for the last eleven solar 11-years cycles (see Refs. [70], [71] and references therein). At any given time, one of the two active longitudes (approximately 180° apart) exhibits a stronger activity - dominance. Observed alternation of the active longitudes dominance in 3.7 years on average was called as flip-flop
phenomenon \[70\]. It seems rather plausible that the observed flip-flop period and the fundamental period of the wavelet regression detrended fluctuations of solar activity (Fig. 20) have the same origin. In this vein, the observation \[70,72\] that the period of the flip-flop phenomenon follows to variations of the real length of the sunspot cycle (which has the 11-years period on average only) supports the idea of the one-third subharmonic resonance as a background of the 11-years cycle of solar activity.

Another relevant example of the 3.7 years period appearance is the interplanetary magnetic field polarity variations \[73\]. Close value (\[3.5\] years) of a period of the geomagnetic \(aa\) index was reported in Ref. \[74\].

### 8 Multidecadal coherence

The question: Whether there is a coherence between solar activity and global temperature dynamics, was widely discussed in relation to the global warming. Naturally, in relation to the global warming just trends (solid curves in the Figs. 1 and 17) of the corresponding dynamical processes were studied. Now we can compare the wavelet regression detrended components of these processes (Figs. 2 and 18). Since the dominating periods of these detrended dynamical processes are different (3 and 3.7 years) we will look at a low-frequency domain (with frequency \(f < 0.3\) year\(^{-1}\)). The cross spectrum \(E_{1,2}(f)\) of two processes \(x_1(t)\) and \(x_2(t)\) is defined by the Fourier transformation of the cross-correlation function normalized by the product of square root of the univariate power spectra \(E_1(f)\) and \(E_2(f)\):

\[
E_{1,2}(f) = \frac{\sum_\tau \langle x_1(t)x_2(t-\tau)\rangle \exp(-i2\pi f \tau)}{2\pi \sqrt{E_1(f)E_2(f)}}
\]

(22)

The bracket \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) denotes the expectation value. The cross spectrum can be decomposed into the phase spectrum \(\phi_{1,2}(f)\) and the coherency \(C_{1,2}(f)\):

\[
E_{1,2}(f) = C_{1,2}(f)e^{-i\phi_{1,2}(f)}
\]

(23)

Because of the normalization of the cross spectrum the coherency is ranging from \(C_{1,2}(f) = 0\), i.e. no linear relationship between \(x_1(t)\) and \(x_2(t)\) at \(f\), to \(C_{1,2}(f) = 1\), i.e. perfect linear relationship.
Figure 23: Coherency between the wavelet regression detrended solar activity and global temperature in a low-frequency domain.

Figure 23 shows the coherency between the wavelet detrended solar activity and global temperature (for the period 1880-2009yy) in the low-frequency domain, computed using the fast Fourier transformation. One can see a very high coherency for the time periods larger than 20 years. Let us recall that the 22y period corresponds to the Sun's magnetic poles polarity switching and can be a base for a multidecadal chaotic coherence between solar activity and global climate (cf. Refs. [7]). It is known that there is no clear correlation between the data dominated by the trends (shown in the Figs. 1 and 17). Therefore, one can conclude that just the trends are presumably not correlated in this case, whereas the large-scale fluctuations (wavelet regression detrended: Figs. 2 and 18) exhibit a very high coherency for the time periods larger than 20 years. Thus, just the nonlinear nature of the Sun-climate interaction is the main cause of the well-known difficulties in establishing and investigation of this interaction.

9 Conclusion

In the considered complex natural systems the fluctuations are often of the same order as the trend itself. This phenomenon is related to a nonlinear
character of the fluctuations. Has this nonlinear phenomenon an universal nature? The main three points of the above consideration are:

a) the known (crude) models can be reduced to the Duffing oscillator,

b) the one-third subharmonic resonance is a generic property of this oscillator,

c) the data indicates crucial role of this resonance in generation of the large-scale fluctuation.

The next generation of the models of global climate should take into account the highly nonlinear nature of the Sun-climate interaction, which is not realized directly through the trends but through the large-scale fluctuations (both for monthly and for multidecadal time scales).

At this stage of the data accumulation and analysis limitations of this conclusions are unknown. However, we believe that the above considered results could attract attention of the nonlinear scientists to the natural systems. Because, despite of their overwhelming complexity, these systems are governed by the simple physical laws.
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