Abstract. Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) overlap. It is not clear whether GERD is caused by non-erosive esophagitis, or erosive esophagitis. The Rome criteria are not widely used for the diagnosis of IBS in the clinic. In total, 1,489 IBS patients without red flags were included in the present retrospective study. They comprised of 1,331 females and 158 males with a mean age of 51 years. The diagnosis of IBS was verified by endoscopic and histopathological examinations. Whereas erosive esophagitis occurred in 97% of patients, only 66% had GERD symptoms. Endoscopy and histopathological examinations revealed that 1.4% of the IBS patients with diarrhea as the predominant symptom had other organic gastrointestinal diseases: 0.3% with celiac disease, 0.2% with Crohn's disease, 0.07% with ulcerative colitis, 0.6% with microscopic colitis, and 0.2% with colon cancer. Applying the Rome III criteria produced a sensitivity of 100% [95% confidence intervals (CI)=99.8‑100.0%] a specificity of 98.7% (95% CI=98.0‑99.2%), a positive likelihood ratio of 76.9%, and a negative likelihood ratio of 0%. IBS is associated with erosive esophagitis. Applying Rome III criteria without red flags and history, was effective in diagnosing IBS. Celiac disease and microscopic colitis should be considered as alternative diagnoses.

Introduction

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a chronic recurrent gastrointestinal disorder (1,2). IBS comprises two subsets: sporadic (nonspecific) and postinfectious (1,3). Sporadic IBS occurs in patients whose symptoms have been present for a long time without any association with gastrointestinal infections, while postinfectious IBS occurs in patients who experience the sudden onset of symptoms following a bout of gastroenteritis (1,3). The absence of known anatomical, physiological, or biochemical defects in IBS means that an IBS diagnosis is reached by exclusion, whereby other gastrointestinal diseases that can cause symptoms similar to those of IBS are ruled out (1‑4). Patients with IBS are therefore subjected to several expensive, uncomfortable, and painful examinations before a diagnosis can be made (1,3,4).

IBS and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) are common disorders in the population with prevalence of 11,2, and 20%, respectively (1‑6). IBS and GERD overlap and meta‑analysis showed that the prevalence of GERD in IBS patients is four‑fold than in subjects without IBS (7‑16). Twins studies revealed that genetic factors are important in both IBS and GERD (12). Moreover, IBS and GERD symptoms cluster in families (12). It is not clear whether this overlap occurs by chance as these disorders are common in the population or because they share a common pathophysiology (17). However, population‑based studies showed that this overlap does not occur by chance (10). Whereas studies done on a large number of Asian IBS patients have shown that IBS overlaps with non‑erosive esophagitis, but not with erosive esophagitis (7,16,18), studies of a few European patients shown that erosive esophagitis overlaps with IBS and occurs in 80% of IBS patients (8,19).

Symptom‑based diagnosis criteria were introduced for establishing a method for the positive diagnosis of IBS, with the Rome IV criteria being the most recent (4,20‑26). The main goals of symptom‑based diagnosis are to avoid unnecessary expensive investigations and to facilitate the choice of treatment. Rome criteria have been used extensively as inclusion criteria in research studies as well as in clinical pharmacological trials. However, there are several reasons why these criteria are not used for the diagnosis of IBS in everyday clinical practice (4), such as clinicians being worried about missing organic diseases that mimic IBS, and the complexity of applying the criteria (4,27). Furthermore, patients are often
worried of having a serious or fatal disease, and are not reassured without undergoing tests and examinations to rule out such diseases (4).

The present retrospective study of a large number of European patients, which has not been done before, aimed at investigating the overlap of GERD with IBS and to determine whether IBS is associated with erosive or non-erosive esophagitis. Furthermore, it aimed at determining the probability of missing an organic disease when applying the Rome criteria.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients.** Patients referred to the Section for Gastroenterology, Stord Hospital from December 1, 2005 to December 31, 2016 who fulfilled the Rome III criteria for the diagnosis of IBS were considered for inclusion in the study (26). Patients aged between 18 and 85 years without alarm features (red flags), any organic gastrointestinal disease, or systemic disease were included in the study. The red flags include unintentional weight loss, rectal bleeding and/or anemia, signs of inflammation, family history of colorectal cancer, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and celiac disease (CD). Patients who had undergone any abdominal surgery were excluded, with the exception of appendectomy, Cesarean section, and hysterectomy.

In total, 1489 patients qualified for inclusion in the study. They comprised 1331 females and 158 males with a mean age of 51 years (range 18-82 years). Of these patients, 589 had diarrhea as the predominant symptom, (IBS-D), 496 had constipation as the predominant symptom, and 404 had a mixture of both diarrhea and constipation (IBS-M). All patients underwent a thorough medical history-taking and they were asked particularly about GERD symptoms. Totally, 496 patients took proton pump inhibitor (PPI) on demand. They were further investigated by blood tests, and had normal findings for the full blood count, inflammatory markers, electrolytes, folic acid, vitamin B12, and kidney, liver, and thyroid function tests.

The Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics West, Bergen, Norway waived the need for ethics approval and the need to obtain consent for the collection, analysis and publication of the retrospectively obtained and anonymized data for this non-interventional study.

**Endoscopy and histopathology.** Of the 1489 IBS patients, 983 (66%) complained, in addition to IBS symptoms, of heartburn, acid regurgitation, nausea, and globus (Table 1). The incidence of GERD symptom was higher in IBS-C and IBS-M than that in IBS-D (Table II).

Gastroscopy revealed that of the 1489 IBS patients, 1449 have erosive esophagitis (97%), 25 had duodenal ulcers (1.7%), and 9 had gastric ulcers (0.6%); the other patients had normal endoscopy findings. Of the patients with erosive esophagitis 1128 (78%) esophagitis grade A, 298 (20.4%) grade B and 23 (1.6%) grade C according to Los Angeles classification (30,31). In IBS-D 575 (79%) patients had erosive esophagitis (97%). There was no difference in the incidence of erosive esophagitis between IBS-D and IBS-C (P=0.4), between IBS-D and IBS-M (P=0.9) or between IBS-C and IBS-M (P=0.5) (Table II).

The histopathological examinations of the duodenal biopsies showed that 15 IBS-D patients had CD of Marsh type 1, 1 patient had CD of Marsh type 2, and 2 patients had CD of Marsh type 3b. The 15 patients with CD of Marsh type 1 underwent another gastroscopy after 3-6 months, and new duodenal biopsy samples were taken and re-examined histopathologically. Moreover, serological tests for tissue transglutaminase antibodies (anti-tTG) IgA were applied to these patients. Only 2 of the 15 original patients with Marsh type 1 CD also showed Marsh type 1 CD in the second duodenal biopsy samples, and positivity for anti-tTG IgA (Fig. 1). The other 13 patients had
normal histology findings and were negative for anti-tTG IgA. These five patients with CD were all females (aged 20, 24, 36, 38, and 60 years) and belonging to the IBS-D subtype (Table III).

Colonoscopy examinations showed that the ileum, colon, and rectum were endoscopically normal in all of the IBS patients except for seven of those with IBS-D (Table III). Three of these patients had scattered aphthoid ulcers in the mucosa of the terminal ileum (Fig. 2). Histopathological examinations confirmed the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease. These patients were all females, and were aged 28, 32, and 59 years. The fourth patient had erythematous friable mucosa with loss of the normal vascular pattern, with this lesion including 10 cm of the sigmoid colon and the entire rectum (Fig. 3). Histopathological examinations of the biopsy samples taken from the lesions revealed ulcerative colitis. This patient was a female aged 57 years. The remaining three patients each had a tumor in the sigmoid colon (Fig. 4), which histopathological examinations of the biopsy samples revealed to be adenocarcinomas. These patients were a female aged 58 years and two males aged 52 and 56 years.

Histopathological examinations of the macroscopically normal colon showed that two of the IBS-D patients had collagenous colitis (Fig. 5) and seven IBS-D patients had lymphocytic colitis. The two patients with collagenous colitis were males, aged 36 and 42 years, while those with lymphocytic colitis comprised five females and two males aged 24, 27, 35, 36, 42, 79, and 82 years.

Applying the Rome III criteria produced a sensitivity of 100% (95% confidence interval [CI]=99.8–100.0%), a specificity of 98.7% (95% CI=98.0–99.2), a positive predictive value of 98.7% (95% CI=98.8–99.2%), and a negative predictive value of 100% (95% CI=99.7–100.0%). The LR was 74.4%. The calculated +LR at 76.9 and -LR at 0%.

Clinical data. The five patients with CD adhered to gluten-free diet, but they still suffered from diarrhea, recurrent abdominal pain, and abdominal bloating. The histopathology findings for the duodenal biopsy samples taken during a new gastroscopy were normal.

The patients with IBD showed IBS symptoms during the remission period. Similarly, those patients with colon cancer suffered from IBS symptoms after receiving the surgical treatment for their carcinoma. All patients with microscopic colitis (MC) became symptom-free after receiving adequate treatment.

### Table I. Gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms in the IBS patients studied.

| Group          | Heartburn | Regurgitation | Nausea | Globus |
|----------------|-----------|---------------|--------|--------|
| All IBS patients | 802       | 798           | 345    | 10     |
| IBS-D          | 205       | 198           | 2      | 1      |
| IBS-C          | 341       | 342           | 56     | 14     |
| IBS-M          | 310       | 298           | 1      | 0      |

IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; IBS-D, IBS with diarrhea as the predominant symptom; IBS-M, IBS with a mixture of diarrhea and constipation; IBS-C, IBS with constipation as the predominant symptom.

### Table II. Prevalence of GERD and erosive esophagitis in the total number of IBS patients studied and in the IBS sub-types.

| Group          | Patients with GERD, n (%) | Patients with erosive esophagitis, n (%) |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| IBS patients total | 983 (66)                  | 14,449 (97)                             |
| IBS-D patients    | 589 (55)                  | 575 (97)                                |
| IBS-C patients    | 342 (69)\*                | 480 (97)                                |
| IBS-M patients    | 320 (79)\*                | 394 (97)                                |

\*P<0.0001 vs. IBS-D. GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; IBS-D, IBS with diarrhea as the predominant symptom; IBS-M, IBS with a mixture of diarrhea and constipation; IBS-C, IBS with constipation as the predominant symptom.

### Discussion

Several factors contribute to the high prevalence of esophagitis such as smoking, overweight, coffee drinking. However, the present study aimed at investigating the occurrence of esophagitis in an IBS population not in general population. We assumed that the occurrence of these factors in the IBS sample studied is the same as in the background population.

The present investigation is the first study of a large cohort of European IBS patients to establish the correlation between esophagitis and IBS. In the cohort of IBS patients studied here, the prevalence of GERD symptoms was 66%, which agrees well with the meta-analysis results reported earlier (17). The present study showed further that the prevalence of GERD symptoms is higher in IBS-C and IBS-M than that in IBS-D. Although 97% of the IBS patients in this study had erosive esophagitis, only 66% complained of GERD symptoms. This may due to that 496 of the patients (33%) took PPI on demand. However, 506 patients (34%) of the IBS patients included in the study were asymptomatic despite the esophageal lesions. It is also probably that IBS symptoms over shadowed the GERD symptoms in the asymptomatic patients. It is noteworthy that most of the erosive esophagitis in IBS patients were of grade A. Esophagitis grade A showed a high interobserver variation (32,33). However, in this study the endoscopic diagnosis was made by an experienced single gastroenterologist.
Whereas there is a general agreement that IBS overlaps with GERD, it is controversial as whether IBS is associated with non-erosive esophagitis or with erosive esophagitis. It is noteworthy that studies done on a few IBS patients in the Western world, showed an association between erosive esophagitis and IBS (8, 19). In contrast, studies done on Asian IBS patients showed an association between IBS and non-erosive esophagitis, but not with erosive esophagitis (7, 18). IBS in Asia differs considerably from that in the Western world (USA and Europe). This difference includes the prevalence, gender predominance, clinical presentation, and probably the pathophysiology (34-58). The difference between patients with IBS in the Western world and those in Asia may account for the discrepancy in the association of IBS with non-erosive and erosive esophagitis.

The Rome symptom-based criteria for the diagnosis of IBS have been validated in several comprehensive reviews.
and found to have a modest specificity and reasonable sensitivity (27,59-61). The Rome criteria were recently validated by latent class analysis in Canadian and British cohorts comprising 1981 and 360 IBS patients, respectively (62). In this model, the sensitivity in the Canadian and British cohorts were 44.7, and 52.5%, respectively; the corresponding values for specificity were 85.3 and 84.3% (62), while the +LRs were 3.03 and 3.35, and the -LRs were 0.65 and 0.56 (62). In the present cohort of about 1 500 Norwegian IBS patients, the application of Rome III criteria including red flags combined with medical history, normal physical examination, and normal blood tests yielded sensitivity, specificity, +LR, and -LR values of 100, 98.7, 76.9, and 0%, respectively. The Kruis scoring system for the diagnosis of IBS incorporated symptoms with negative physical findings and normal laboratory tests (63). Moreover, combining Rome criteria with red flags, medical history, and limited diagnostic tests has previously been shown to improve the diagnostic performance (29,59).

The gastrointestinal organic diseases whose symptoms overlap those of IBS and which physicians are concerned about missing when using symptom-based criteria are colorectal cancer, CD, IBD, MC, bacterial overgrowth, and bile acid malabsorption (4). It has been reported that 0.02-0.5% of IBS patients diagnosed using symptom-based criteria had colorectal cancer, while 0.04-4.7% had CD, 0.4-1.9% had IBD, and 0.7-1.5% had MC (1,3,4,64-83). This means that 1.2-8.6% of patients suffering from other organic diseases were misdiagnosed with IBS when applying symptom-based criteria, which justifies the concerns of clinicians. In the present study, endoscopic and histopathological examinations of patients diagnosed using Rome III criteria revealed that 1.4% had another gastrointestinal organic disease: 0.2, 0.3, 0.3, and 0.6% had colorectal cancer, CD, IBD, and MC, respectively. It is noteworthy that all of these patients had the IBS-D subtype. Would these patients actually be missed in a clinical setting? The patients with colorectal cancer detected in this study were suffering from IBS, and the IBS symptoms were the reason for visiting a doctor; that is, the colon cancer was found incidentally. All three patients were older than 50 years. The American College of Gastroenterology and the British Society of Gastroenterology recommend colonoscopies for individuals aged >50 years who have IBS symptoms (74,84). The CD and IBD patients in the present study appeared to have IBS in addition to their organic diseases. Typically 20-37% of CD patients exhibit IBS symptoms despite adhering to a gluten-free diet (85) and are believed to have IBS in addition to CD (85). The symptoms in these patients are triggered by the ingestion of wheat and wheat products, and it is difficult to conclude whether this is caused by gluten or by the long-sugar-polymer fructans in wheat (86). This led to the British Society of Gastroenterology recommending excluding CD in all patients referred with IBS, and to the American College of Gastroenterology advising excluding CD in patients with IBS-D or IBS-M (74,84). Typically 32-39% of patients with ulcerative colitis and 42-60% of patients with Crohn's disease suffer from IBS when they are in remission (3,76-81). The patients with IBD in the present study

Figure 3. Photograph of the sigmoid colon taken during the colonoscopy of a 57-year-old female diagnosed with IBS based on Rome III criteria. A histopathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of ulcerative colitis.

Figure 4. A tumor in the sigmoid colon observed during the colonoscopy of a 56-year-old male diagnosed with irritable bowel syndrome based on Rome III criteria. A histopathological examination showed that the tumor was an adenocarcinoma.

Figure 5. Photomicrograph taken from the colon of a 42-year-old male who fulfilled the Rome III criteria. A histopathological examination revealed the presence of collagenous colitis. The section showed positive immunostaining for collagen III. Scale bar, 50 µm.
also suffered from IBS, and it just happened that they had active disease when the colonoscopies were performed. None of these patients would have been misdiagnosed in a clinical setting. In contrast, patients with MC could be misdiagnosed, and this group of patients should be kept in mind when symptom-based criteria are used in the diagnosis of IBS, especially in IBS patients with diarrhea as the predominant symptom.

It is usual in clinical practice for some IBS patients to seek health care not because of the symptoms but due to concerns about possible serious or fatal underlying conditions (87,88). Whereas negative gastroscopy findings have been reported to reassure patients with functional dyspepsia and improve their quality of life, this did not occur for colonoscopy normal findings in patients with IBS (25). However, the health-care provider is compelled to accommodate patients who desire endoscopic examinations for reassurance.

In conclusion, Rome III symptom-based criteria with red flags, history, negative physical findings, and normal blood tests perform well in diagnosing IBS. As when applying other diagnostic methods in the clinic, the overall view of the patient should also be considered. The Rome Foundation is developing methods to facilitate the use of Rome criteria in everyday clinic practices (27). Patients who need reassurance by performing further examinations should be accommodated.

Because of the strong association of GERD with IBS, it has been recommended that physicians who encountered IBS patients should screen them routinely for the co-existence of GERD (17). The findings of high prevalence of erosive esophagitis in IBS patients found here support this recommendation.
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