Elastic proton-deuteron scattering at intermediate energies
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Observables in elastic proton-deuteron scattering are sensitive probes of the nucleon-nucleon interaction and three-nucleon force effects. The present experimental data base for this reaction is large, but contains a large discrepancy between data sets for the differential cross section taken at 135 MeV/nucleon by two experimental research groups. This paper reviews the background of this problem and presents new data taken at KVI. Differential cross sections and analyzing powers for the $^2\text{H}(\vec{p}, d)p$ and $H(d, d)p$ reactions at 135 MeV/nucleon and 65 MeV/nucleon, respectively, have been measured. The data differ significantly from previous measurements and consistently follow the energy dependence as expected from an interpolation of published data taken over a large range at intermediate energies.

PACS numbers: 21.30.-x, 21.45.+v, 24.70.+s, 25.45.De

The nucleon-nucleon potential (NNP) has been studied extensively by investigating the properties of bound nuclear systems, and, in more detail, via a comparison of high-precision two-nucleon scattering data with modern potentials based on the exchange of bosons. A few of the modern NNPs were facilitated by a partial-wave analysis (PWA), that provides a nearly model-independent analysis of the available scattering data. The modern NNPs reproduce the world data base with a reduced chi-square close to one and have, therefore, been accepted as high-quality benchmark potentials. The precision of modern NNPs has given confidence to study in detail the three-nucleon potential (3NP) which was already predicted in 1939 by Primakoff and Wilson. Compelling evidence of 3NP effects came from various recent theoretical and experimental studies. For example, for light nuclei, Green’s function Monte-Carlo calculations employing the high-quality NNPs clearly underestimate the experimental binding energies, and, therefore, show that NNPs are not sufficient to describe the three-nucleon and heavier systems accurately. In the last decade, high-precision data at intermediate energies in elastic $Nd$ and $dN$ scattering for a large energy range together with rigorous Faddeev calculations for the three-nucleon system have proven to be a sensitive tool to study the 3NP. In particular, a large sensitivity to 3NP effects exists in the minimum of the differential cross section. Precision data for a large energy interval for the differential cross section and analyzing power came from recent experimental studies at KVI, RIKEN and RCNP. All these experiments had one common energy of 135 MeV/nucleon. Strikingly, the cross sections obtained at KVI were found to be significantly larger than those measured at RIKEN and at RCNP. The KVI data show significant deviation from predictions of state-of-the-art Faddeev calculations incorporating modern NNPs and 3NPs at this energy, whereas the results obtained at RIKEN and RCNP imply that the cross section can be described reasonably well exploiting the same potentials. This paper presents the results of a new measurement of the differential cross sections of the reaction $^2\text{H}(\vec{p}, d)p$ at a proton-beam energy of 135 MeV, taken to provide additional data at 135 MeV/nucleon. These results are compared with the previously published data taken at intermediate energies. The data are obtained at KVI using a new experimental equipment, Big Instrument for Nuclear-polarization Analysis (BINA). Also, systematic uncertainties were checked by measuring the inverse reaction $H(d, d)p$ at a deuteron-beam energy of 65 MeV/nucleon using the same experimental setup and analysis methods. The differential cross section at this energy is well-known and can, therefore, be exploited.
to verify independently the read-out and analysis procedure, the applied detector inefficiencies, and the beam-current measurement. For both experiments, polarization observables have also been measured. This allows to check some of the aspects of the experiment and the analysis procedure, excluding the absolute normalization, by comparing our results with data from the literature. Important to note is that the previously published KVI data were obtained using the Big-Bite Spectrometer, which was located at a different beam line and which used a completely different analysis framework, target, read-out and data-acquisition system.

BINA is a setup with a nearly 4π geometrical acceptance and has been used in various few-nucleon scattering experiments to measure the scattering angles and energies of protons and deuterons with the possibility for particle identification. The detector is composed of a forward and a backward part. The forward part consists of a Multi-Wire Proportional Chamber (MWPC) [27] and a segmented hodoscope of vertically-placed thin scintillators with a thickness of 2 mm followed by ten horizontally-placed scintillators with a thickness of 12 cm each. The thick scintillators were mounted in a cylindrical shape, thereby, pointing to the target. The thickness of these scintillators is sufficient to stop all the protons and deuterons originating from the processes described in this paper. The detection efficiency of the MWPC was obtained by using an unbiased and nearly background-free data sample of elastically-scattered deuterons and was found to be typically 97±1%. The backward part has 149 phoswich scintillators covering polar angles between 40° to 165° with a nearly-full azimuthal coverage.

The polarized proton beams from the AGOR accelerator impinged on a liquid-deuterium [28] target with a thickness of 3.85 mm, with an uncertainty of 5%, which was mounted in the center of the backward part of BINA. The target cell is made of high-purity aluminum to optimize the thermal conductivity and the windows are covered by a transparent foil of Aramid with a thickness of 4 µm. The cell was operating under a pressure of 250 mbar and a temperature of 19 K. For the deuteron beam, a solid organic CH₂ target was used for the H(⃗d, d)⃗p experiment with an effective thickness of 13.75±0.24 mg/cm². The beam current was typically 15 pA and was monitored continuously during the experiment via a Faraday cup at the end of the beam line. The current meter was calibrated using a precision current source with an uncertainty of about 2%.

For this experiment, events were selected in which deuterons were detected in the forward part in coincidence with a scattered proton in the backward part of BINA. The efficiency of the coincidence hardware trigger was determined from a data sample obtained from a minimum-bias trigger and found to be 98±1%. In the analysis, a large part of the background, pre-dominantly from the break-up reactions, was reduced by verifying that the forward and backward particles determined the same scattering plane within a limit of ±20°. Figure 1 shows the correlation between the scattering angle and the deposited energy for events registered in the forward part of BINA. The top panel corresponds to data taken using a proton beam with an energy of 135 MeV impinging on a liquid-deuterium target. The bottom panel shows data taken with a deuteron beam of 130 MeV impinging on a solid CH₂ target. The dashed lines represent the expected kinematical correlation for a scattered deuteron in the elastic proton-deuteron reaction.

FIG. 1: The figure depicts the correlation between the scattering angle and the deposited energy for events registered in the forward part of BINA. The top panel corresponds to data taken using a proton beam with an energy of 135 MeV impinging on a liquid-deuterium target. The bottom panel shows data taken with a deuteron beam of 130 MeV impinging on a solid CH₂ target. The dashed lines represent the expected kinematical correlation for a scattered deuteron in the elastic proton-deuteron reaction.
scattering, we have measured the various polarization observables for these reactions as well. A comparison with the existing and well-established world data base of vector and tensor analyzing powers allows us to verify the quality of the data. Beams of vector-polarized protons or vector and tensor-polarized deuterons were produced in an atomic-beam-type ion source. The polarization of the beams was measured using a Lamb-shift polarimeter (LSP) in the low-energy beam line and by an in-beam polarimeter (IBP) which was installed at the high-energy beam line after acceleration. More information on the operation of the IBP and LSP can be found in Refs. [29, 30]. During the experiments discussed in this paper, the beam polarization was typically 65% of the maximally-allowed theoretical values. The analyzing powers of the elastic $\vec{d} + p$ and $\vec{p} + d$ reactions were obtained by studying the dependence of the differential cross section on the azimuthal scattering angle of the deuterons in the final state. An equivalent data analysis has been reported and published in Ref. [21].

Figure 2 summarizes the measured cross sections and analyzing powers with only statistical errors for the $H(\vec{d}, d)p$ reaction at a beam energy of 65 MeV/nucleon and as a function of the center-of-mass angle, $\theta_{c.m.}$. The systematic uncertainty of the measured differential cross section and analyzing powers is estimated to be 4% and 3%, respectively. The data of this experiment are shown as filled circles and are compared with published cross section data taken in the past at KVI and elsewhere. The new results are in good agreement with the previously published data and, thereby, demonstrate that the experimental setup and the data-analysis procedure are well understood. The solid lines in Fig. 2 are the result of a rigorous Faddeev calculation by the Hannover-Lisbon theory group. In this calculation, the $\Delta$-isobar excitation mediates an effective 3NP with prominent Fujita-Miyazawa and Illinois ring-type contributions. These contributions are based on the exchange of $\pi$, $\rho$, $\omega$, and $\sigma$ mesons. For the dashed lines, the $\Delta$-isobar degree of freedom was switched off. The difference between the solid and dashed lines represents, therefore, a three-nucleon force effect within the framework of this model. Note that at this energy, the data are very well described by the state-of-the-art calculations.

Figure 3 shows our results (filled circles) for the vector analyzing power (top panel) and the differential cross section (bottom panel) of the $^2H(p, d)p$ reaction at a beam energy of 135 MeV and as a function of the...
FIG. 3: The top panel shows the results for the analyzing power of the $^2\text{H}(\vec{p},d)p$ reaction. The present work is represented by filled circles and the previously-published KVI data [7] are shown by open squares. The bottom panel shows the corresponding measurement of the differential cross section. Our results are represented by filled circles and are compared to data published in Refs. [8, 10]. The error bars represent statistical uncertainties. The width of the gray band corresponds to the systematic uncertainty of our measurement. For a description of the lines, we refer to the caption of Fig. 2.

FIG. 4: The relative difference between the calculations by the Hannover-Lisbon theory group and the measured cross sections for the elastic $p+d$ reaction as a function of beam energy for $\theta_{\text{c.m.}} = 140^\circ$. The top panel shows the differences with a calculation based on the CD-Bonn potential and the Coulomb interaction, whereas for the bottom panel also an additional $\Delta$ isobar has been taken into account. Open squares are data from [8], open triangles are data from Refs. [10, 11, 21, 22], open circle is from [14], open star is from [15], cross is from [17], star is from [18], open cross is from [13], diamond is from [18] and the filled circle is from this work. The shaded band represents the result of a line fit through the data excluding the results obtained at KVI, RIKEN and RCNP. The width of the band corresponds to a 2σ error of the fit.
the three-nucleon sector is still a technical challenge which, so-far, has not been pursued and which is outside the scope of this paper. Instead, we have carried out a systematic study of the energy dependence of all available cross sections in elastic proton-deuteron scattering with respect to state-of-the-art calculations by the Hannover-Lisbon theory group. One example from this study is presented in Fig. [4] The top panel shows the relative difference between the model predictions excluding the $\Delta$ isobar contribution and data taken at a fixed center-of-mass angle of $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=140^\circ$. The data points were extracted from a polynomial fit through each angular distribution. The error bars correspond to a quadratic sum of the statistical and systematic uncertainties of each measurement. We have made a straight-line fit through the data, excluding the measurements performed at KVI, RIKEN, and RCNP. Including these data in the fit will, however, not change the conclusions. The shaded band shows the fit function including its errors, which are calculated based on the correlation matrix of the fit parameters. Note the rapidly increasing discrepancy with energy between data and the predictions based on a high-quality two-nucleon potential. At 135 MeV/nucleon the deviation is already more than 50%, which is supported by our data. Based on the RIKEN data one would conclude that the large deviation can be resolved at 135 MeV/nucleon by including the $\Delta$-isobar effect, as demonstrated in the bottom panel of Fig. [4] However, if this is true, it would imply that almost all experiments must suffer from a normalization problem. A similar study has been done for other center-of-mass angles, $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}$, between 125$^\circ$ and 155$^\circ$, leading to the same observation and conclusion.

In conclusion, we have analyzed the observed discrepancy between two experimental data sets of the differential cross sections in elastic $\vec{p} + d$ scattering at 135 MeV, which were obtained in the past at RIKEN and at KVI. For this, we have remeasured the differential cross section at center-of-mass angles between 125$^\circ$ and 155$^\circ$, and compared our results with previously-published data. Furthermore, the differential cross section and analyzing powers of the inverse reaction, $d + p$ at a beam-energy of 65 MeV/nucleon have been obtained and compared to the existing and well-established data-base at these relatively low energies. The data for this reaction are found to be in excellent agreement with the existing data base, which proves that BINA and our analysis procedure are well suited to measure the elastic channel with high precision. The measured differential cross section for the elastic reaction at 135 MeV differs significantly from the previously-published data taken at RIKEN and at KVI. We have carried out a systematic study of the energy dependence of all available cross sections in elastic proton-deuteron scattering. The results of this study revealed that our data consistently follow the interpolated energy dependence, whereas, in particular, the RIKEN data deviate significantly from the expected trend.
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