THE MASSIVE AND DISTANT CLUSTERS OF WISE SURVEY: MOO J1142+1527, A $10^{15} M_\odot$ GALAXY CLUSTER AT $z = 1.19$
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ABSTRACT

We present confirmation of the cluster MOO J1142+1527, a massive galaxy cluster discovered as part of the Massive and Distant Clusters of WISE Survey. The cluster is confirmed to lie at $z = 1.19$, and using the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy we robustly detect the Sunyaev–Zel’dovich (SZ) decrement at 13.2σ. The SZ data imply a mass of $M_{200m} = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{15} M_\odot$, making MOO J1142+1527 the most massive galaxy cluster known at $z > 1.15$ and the second most massive cluster known at $z > 1$. For a standard ΛCDM cosmology it is further expected to be one of the ~5 most massive clusters expected to exist at $z \geq 1.19$ over the entire sky. Our ongoing Spitzer program targeting ~1750 additional candidate clusters will identify comparably rich galaxy clusters over the full extragalactic sky.

Key words: galaxies: clusters: individual (MOO J1142+1527) – galaxies: clusters: intracluster medium

1. INTRODUCTION

In the past few years we have entered a new era of wide-area surveys capable of detecting galaxy clusters at $z > 1$. The previous generation of high-redshift cluster searches was the first to yield large samples of galaxy clusters at this epoch (e.g., Gladders & Yee 2005; Eisenhardt et al. 2008; Mazzin et al. 2009; Fassbender et al. 2011); however, these programs typically probed less than 100 deg$^2$. Consequently, while these surveys have been effective in generating statistical samples of distant galaxy clusters, they have lacked the comoving volume to discover significant numbers of massive clusters ($M_{500c} \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$). The high mass tail of the galaxy cluster population is of significant interest for both galaxy evolution and cosmology. One open question is the extent to which the star formation, active galactic nuclei (AGNs) activity, and assembly histories of cluster galaxies depend upon the mass of the cluster in which they reside (e.g., Brodwin et al. 2013; Ehlert et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2015). For this science, samples of high-mass clusters close to the epochs of assembly and star formation, coupled with existing lower mass samples, provide the necessary dynamical range to quantitatively address this question. For cosmology, massive, high-redshift clusters remain competitive probes of dark energy via a number of methods (e.g., Allen et al. 2011), including evolution in the cluster mass function (Vikhlinin et al. 2009; Bocquet et al. 2015), the clustering of galaxy clusters (e.g., Sereno et al. 2015), and through application of the $f_{\text{gas}}$ test (Mantz et al. 2014). The high mass tail of the galaxy cluster mass function is also a sensitive indicator of primordial non-Gaussianity (Chen 2010; Williamson et al. 2011; Shandera et al. 2013).

In the last several years, the South Pole Telescope (SPT) and Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT) have each completed wide-area millimeter surveys to identify galaxy clusters via the Sunyaev–Zel’dovich effect, publishing cluster catalogs drawn from $2500$ deg$^2$ for the SPT survey (Bleem et al. 2015) and $504$ deg$^2$ for the ACT survey (Hasselfield et al. 2013). Together, these programs have published nearly 50 massive clusters at $z > 1$. The upcoming generation of optical, galaxy-based cluster searches will also extend into the wide-area, high-redshift region of parameter space, complementing these millimeter surveys. When complete, the Dark Energy Survey (Flaugher 2005; Sánchez & DES Collaboration 2010) is expected to result in a cluster catalog extending to $z \sim 1$,
covering a ~5000 deg\(^2\) footprint that includes much of the SPT and ACT survey areas.

The Massive and Distant Clusters of WISE Survey (MaDCoWS), which is designed to detect the most massive galaxy clusters at \(z \approx 1\), offers the largest survey area among current high-redshift cluster searches. The first phase of MaDCoWS covered \(-10,000\) deg\(^2\) within the SDSS footprint; subsequent phases of the program are now extending the search over the full extragalactic sky. In previous papers we presented the first cluster discovered in this survey (Gettings et al. 2012), the redshift distribution of the first 20 clusters \((0.75 < z < 1.3\), Stanford et al. 2014), and Sunyaev–Zel’dovich masses for five clusters (Brodwin et al. 2015). In this paper we present the discovery and confirmation of the most massive cluster yet identified within the MaDCoWS catalog, which is among the ~5 most massive clusters expected to exist over the entire sky at \(z \gtrsim 1.19\). Throughout the paper we use Vega-based magnitudes and assume a WMAP9 cosmology \((H_0 = 69.7\, \text{km s}^{-1}\, \text{Mpc}^{-1}, \Omega_m = 0.2821, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7181, \sigma_8 = 0.817, n_s = 0.9646; \text{Finshaw et al. 2013})\) unless otherwise specified. For cluster masses and radii we include a \(c\) or \(m\) subscript to denote whether the values are relative to the critical or mean density.

2. DISCOVERY OF MOO J1142+1527

MaDCoWS is a WISE-based (Wright et al. 2010) search for galaxy clusters at \(z \approx 1\) that employs color and magnitude selection to identify massive galaxies at \(z \gtrsim 0.75\), and then uses a wavelet technique to detect galaxy overdensities. A key element of this search approach is the combination of the WISE data with uniform optical photometry. The initial detection of Massive Overdense Object (MOO) J1142+1527 used the WISE All-Sky Data Release (Cutri et al. 2012) and SDSS DR8 (Aihara et al. 2011) to identify candidates within the footprint of the SDSS. In this WISE+SDSS MaDCoWS search, MOO J1142+1527 was identified as one of the 200 highest significance cluster candidates.

We have subsequently refined the search algorithm and transitioned to use of the AllWISE Data Release (Cutri et al. 2013). A detailed description of the MaDCoWS survey and detection algorithm will be provided in a forthcoming paper. Briefly, cluster candidates in the current AllWISE +SDSS search were detected as overdensities of sources with \(W1 < 16.9, W1−W2 > 0.2\), and \(i_{AB} > 21.3\). The \(W1\) magnitude cut, which corresponds to the 5\(\sigma\) AllWISE limit on the ecliptic, is imposed to maintain uniform selection. The color and \(i_{AB}\) cuts together minimize contamination from sources at \(z < 0.8\). MOO J1142+1527 remains the twentieth highest significance candidate in this more recent, refined version of the catalog, with a position \((\alpha, \delta) = (11:42:43.9, 15:27:07)\). In the left panel of Figure 1 we show a WISE [3.6] cutout of the cluster field. The red squares in this panel denote the galaxies that passed the color, magnitude, and quality cuts in this search, highlighting the detected overdensity. Because the WISE magnitude limit and blending of sources in the WISE data result in detection significance being a high scatter richness measure, we have obtained Spitzer/IRAC observations to determine more robust richness estimates.

3. SPITZER RICHNESS AND COLOR–MAGNITUDE DIAGRAM

In Spitzer Cycle 9 we were awarded 37.9 hr to obtain IRAC 3.6 \(\mu\)m and 4.5 \(\mu\)m imaging of the 200 highest significance overdensities from our All-Sky search (Program ID 90177; PI Gonzalez). For each cluster the total exposure times were 180 s in each band, obtained using 50 s frame times and 6 positions in a medium scale cycling dither pattern. This exposure time was designed to reach a nominal 5\(\sigma\) depth of 6 \(\mu\)Jy (18.7 mag) at [4.5], which is sufficient to identify galaxies more than one magnitude below \(L^*\) up to \(z \simeq 1.5\).

We reduced and mosaicked the basic calibrated data using the MOPEX package (Makovoz & Khan 2005) and resampled to a pixel scale of 0\(\prime\)6. The MOPEX outlier (e.g., cosmic ray, bad pixel) rejection was optimized for the regions of deepest coverage in the center of the maps corresponding to the position of the MaDCoWS detection.

We ran SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) in dual image mode for source detection and photometry, using the [4.5] frame as the detection image and adopting IRAC-optimized SExtractor parameters from Lacy et al. (2005). Flux densities were measured in 4\(\prime\) diameter apertures. Following Wylezalek et al. (2013), we then applied aperture corrections to the [3.6] and [4.5] flux densities (factors of 1.42 and 1.45, respectively). We determined a 95% completeness limit of 10 \(\mu\)Jy, corresponding to limiting magnitude of [4.5] = 18.2, by comparing number counts to deeper photometry from the Spitzer UKIDDS Ultra Deep Survey (SpUDS) as in Wylezalek et al. (2013, 2014). This completeness limit is adopted as the flux density cut in all subsequent analysis. We show the central 3/5 \times 3/5 of the IRAC [3.6] image in the right panel of Figure 1. As in the left panel, the red squares denote the positions of WISE sources that contributed to detection of the cluster. In some cases, the initial WISE source resolves into multiple galaxies with IRAC.

To prioritize follow-up of our Cycle 9 IRAC targets, we defined a simple richness estimator based upon the overdensity of galaxies with red [3.6]–[4.5] color within a fixed angular radius. Specifically, we defined the richness as the number of galaxies with [3.6]–[4.5] > 0.1 and [4.5] < 18.2 within 1\(\prime\) of the cluster position measured in the MaDCoWS search. We note that this IRAC color is relatively insensitive to current star formation, selecting both passive and star-forming galaxies in distant clusters. By this measure, MOO J1142+1527 has a richness of 64, which is the ninth highest among the 200 Cycle 9 targets.

Figure 2 shows the Spitzer [3.6]–[4.5] color–magnitude diagram for galaxies that lie within 1\(\prime\) of the SZ centroid (see Section 4). These galaxies correspond to the central overdensity of red sources shown in Figure 1. The median color of these galaxies is [3.6]–[4.5] = 0.3, which for a Bruzual & Charlot (2003) passively evolving stellar population corresponds to a galaxy at \(z \simeq 1.2\). We also highlight the spectroscopically confirmed members (green stars), four of which lie within 1\(\prime\) of the SZ centroid, and the non-members (red crosses), which are described in greater detail in the next section.

3.1. Redshift Determination

We used Gemini-North and the W. M. Keck Observatories to obtain spectroscopic confirmation of MOO J1142+1527.
Optical pre-imaging for MOO J1142+1527 was obtained with the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS) on Gemini-North as part of program GN-2013A-Q-44 (PI Brodwin). We acquired 900 s exposures in the r- and z-bands, sufficient to detect cluster galaxies below L' at the cluster redshift. Image quality was 0.68 for r and 0.76 for z. For all spectroscopic programs we designed slit masks using the Gemini r-z-band catalogs to identify potential cluster members. We used the red sequence to select the primary targets, weighting by cluster-centric radius, and then filling in the masks with other galaxies at larger radii.

We obtained Gemini GMOS spectroscopy in queue mode on UT 2013 July 02 and UT 2014 March 07, using 1'0 slit widths, the R400 grating, and the RG610 filter. Three sets of nod and shuffle sequences were completed at each of two central wavelength settings (8100 and 8200 Å). For each nod and shuffle sequence we used ±0'075 nods, with 9 cycles of 60 s exposures, yielding a total on-source exposure time of 6480 s.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** Left panel shows the 10' × 10' W1 cutout of MOO J1142+1527 from the AllWISE data release. The black box denotes the 3/5 × 3/5 region centered on the cluster for which we show the corresponding Spitzer [3.6] follow-up observation on the right. In both panels the red points denote the locations of individual WISE sources that pass the color, magnitude, and quality cuts as candidate z ≥ 0.75 galaxies in the MaDCoWS search. In several cases individual WISE sources are resolved into multiple galaxies in the higher resolution Spitzer/IRAC images.
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**Figure 2.** Spitzer [3.6]–[4.5] color–magnitude diagram for MOO J1142+1527. The black filled circles represent galaxies that lie within 1' of the SZ centroid. Solid green stars denote quality A and B spectroscopic members, while red crosses indicate foreground and background objects listed in Table 1. The open purple circle denotes the galaxy corresponding to the NVSS radio point source (Section 4). The dashed black line is the expected color from a Bruzual & Charlot (2003) model of a passively evolving, solar metallicity L' galaxy with a formation redshift z_f = 3 at z = 1.19; dotted lines indicate the equivalent expected colors for z = 1.09 (lower) and z = 1.29 (upper).

---

**Table 1**

| α, δ | z | Quality | Features |
|------|---|--------|----------|
|      |   |        |          |
| 11:42:40.04 +15:26:28.1 | 1.2007² | A | H₂[O m]λ4959,5007 |
| 11:42:40.31 +15:26:28.4 | 1.20² | B | D4000 |
| 11:42:42.14 +15:26:59.9 | 1.19² | B | D4000 |
| 11:42:43.36 +15:27:05.2 | 1.19² | B | D4000 |
| 11:42:43.83 +15:27:01.6 | 1.17² | A | Ca HK,Hβ |
| 11:42:45.82 +15:27:25.0 | 1.19² | B | D4000 |
| 11:42:49.62 +15:26:59.4 | 1.175² | B | H₂[O m]λ5007 |
| 11:42:54.09 +15:26:54.3 | 1.18³ | B | H₂[O m]λ5007 |

| α, δ | z | Quality | Features |
|------|---|--------|----------|
|      |   |        |          |
| 11:42:41.29 +15:27:59.4 | 0.72² | A | H₂[O m]λ5007 |
| 11:42:42.30 +15:26:00.6 | 0.9² | B | D4000 |
| 11:42:42.40 +15:26:22.1 | 1.03² | B | Ca HK |
| 11:42:42.69 +15:26:23.5 | 1.23² | B | [O m]α3727 |
| 11:42:44.07 +15:27:02.4 | 1.24² | A | [O m]α4959,5007 |
| 11:42:44.94 +15:27:44.7 | 0.9³ | B | Ca HK |
| 11:42:45.22 +15:28:07.5 | 0.9³ | B | Ca HK |

**Note.** This table includes all spectroscopic redshifts for objects within 2' of the SZ centroid for the cluster. The notes d, g, and m denote that the redshifts are from DEIMOS, GMOS, and MOSFIRE, respectively.
The seeing ranged between 0''6 and 0''9 range. We reduced the spectra using standard routines in the Gemini IRAF package.

We subsequently obtained DEIMOS and MOSFIRE spectroscopy at the Keck Observatory on UT 2015 May 12 and UT 2015 June 22, respectively. For DEIMOS, the masks were designed with 1''1 width slitlets having a minimum length of 5''. In addition to the standard target selection criteria, for these masks the WISE W1–W2 color was used to prioritize targets at large radii. Observations for two masks were obtained under cloudy conditions with typical seeing of 0''58. Four exposures of 1800 s each were obtained on the first mask, and three exposures of 1500 s on the second mask. Both masks used the 600ZD grating with the GG495 filter. We reduced these DEIMOS spectra using the DEEP2 pipeline (Cooper et al. 2012; Newman et al. 2013).

For MOSFIRE, the configurable slit unit was configured for 32 objects, along with five alignment stars. We chose to use the Y bandpass because it covers a spectral range of ∼9900 to ∼11200 Å, which encompasses strong rest frame optical emission lines such as [O iii]λ4959, 5007 at the probable cluster redshift. The MOSFIRE spectra were obtained using an ABA′B′′ dither pattern with 120 s exposures and multiple correlated double sampling (MCDS), in the MCDS 16 readout mode. The total integration time was 5760 s. Conditions during the observations were excellent, with seeing measured at ∼0''5. MOSFIRE spectra were reduced using the standard MOSFIRE data reduction pipeline.19

The redshift determinations from the combination of Gemini/GMOS, Keck/DEIMOS, and Keck/MOSFIRE spectroscopy are shown in Table 1 for all galaxies that lie within 2′ (∼1 Mpc) of the cluster center. We assigned redshifts a quality of A if there are multiple obvious features associated with the same rest frame redshift. Quality B was assigned to redshifts that satisfy one of the following: one and only one emission line is present and is highly likely to be [O ii]λ3727 given the observed wavelength range of the spectra, an obvious 4000 Å feature is seen but no other features, or Ca H+K absorption lines are clearly identified. We determined the mean redshift using the Ruel et al. (2014) python implementation of the Beers et al. (1990) biweight estimator. The resulting cluster redshift estimate is $z = 1.188_{-0.002}^{+0.002}$, with the uncertainty derived via bootstrap resampling. The eight galaxies listed as spectroscopic members in Table 1 were those that are retained as members by the redshift estimation code after sigma-clipping.

4. THE SUNYAEV–ZEL’DOVICH DECREMENT AND DERIVED MASS

MOO J1142+1527 was observed with the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA)20 for approximately 5 hr on-source beginning on UT 2014 July 03. The data are centered around a frequency of 31 GHz. For these observations the array was in its most compact “E+SH” configuration. All 23 antennas were correlated across 2 GHz of bandwidth using the CARMA “spectral line” correlator. To maximize sensitivity to the SZ signal, the “wideband” correlator processed 7.5 GHz of bandwidth for the innermost eight 6.1-m antennas. CARMA is optimized for the detection of distant clusters via their SZ signatures in this array and correlator configuration. The data from these baselines achieve a sensitivity of 1.2 mJy per ∼50'' × 90'' beam. The gain calibrator J1224+213 was observed for 3 minutes between 15-minute target observations, and the absolute calibration is derived from Mars via the model of Rudy et al. (1987). Figure 3 (left) shows a CLEAN-deconvolved (Högbon 1974) image of the cluster using all baselines with a Gaussian taper to 10% at 4 kλ, after removal of a point source (see below). The

---

19 https://keck-datareductpipelines.github.io/MosfireDRP/
20 http://www.mmarray.org
expected uncertainties. The BCG lies close to the SZ centroid, but offset from the peak of the galaxy distribution, suggesting that there may also be a physical component to the observed separation between the WISE and SZ centroids.

The combined fit of cluster and point source models gives the spherically integrated Comptonization parameter, $Y_{500} = (9.7 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3} \text{Mpc}^{-2}$. We used the Andersson et al. (2011) $M_{500c} - Y_{500}$ scaling relation to determine mutually consistent values of $M_{500c}$ and $r_{500c}$ and the associated uncertainties, where $M_{500c} = (4\pi r_{500c}^3) / (500\sigma_8)$. This procedure results in a cluster mass and radius of $M_{500c} = (6.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{14} M_{\odot}$, and $r_{500c} = 0.83 \pm 0.04 \text{Mpc}$, respectively. The quoted uncertainties are derived by combining in quadrature the propagated uncertainty and a 12% intrinsic scatter in $M_{500c}$ at fixed $Y_{500}$ from Andersson et al. (2011). For the Duffy et al. (2008) mass–concentration relation, the derived mass corresponds to $M_{200m} = (9.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{14} M_{\odot}$, or $M_{200m} = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$.

5. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

In this paper we have presented confirmation of a massive galaxy cluster at $z = 1.19$. Originally identified by the MaDCoWS project, the cluster MOO J1142+1527 has a mass of $M_{500c} = (6.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{14} M_{\odot}$, $M_{200m} = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$, making it the most massive confirmed galaxy cluster at $z \gtrsim 1.15$ identified by any technique. Figure 4 illustrates the position of this cluster in the mass–redshift plane compared to a selection of recent wide-area cluster surveys. The solid black curve in this figure is a curve of constant co-moving number density for a Tinker et al. (2008) mass function, highlighting that there are few clusters over this entire redshift interval as rare as MOO J1142+1527. The only more massive cluster known at $z > 1$ is SPT-CL J2106–5844 ($z = 1.13$, $M_{200m} = (1.27 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$; Foley et al. 2011). We also include in this figure ICDS J1426.5+3508 ($z = 1.75$), as it is the closest progenitor analog to MOO J1142+1527 at $z > 1.5$.

The existence of MOO J1142+1527 is not in tension with the ΛCDM paradigm, but such clusters are expected to be extremely rare. We use the halo mass function code hmf from Murray et al. (2013) with a Tinker et al. (2008) mass function to calculate the expected number of such clusters. For WMAP9 and Planck (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014a) cosmologies, there are predicted to only be $\sim 3$ or $\sim 7$ clusters this massive over the full sky at $z \gtrsim 1.19$, respectively, and only $\sim 1$–2 within our SDSS survey area. The discovery of this cluster highlights the potential of wide area cluster surveys like MaDCoWS to identify such extreme systems, which are natural targets for a range of cosmological and evolutionary investigations. Our ongoing Cycle 11 Spitzer program (PID 11080, PI Gonzalez), which targets $\sim 1750$ additional MaDCoWS candidates drawn from the full extragalactic sky, promises to enable construction of a sample of comparably rich galaxy clusters at this epoch.

We thank the anonymous referee for comments that improved the quality of this paper. Support for this research was provided by NASA through Spitzer GO program 90177, ADAP grant NNX12AE15G, and NASA Exoplanet Science Institute grants 1461527 and 1486927. The work by SAS at 21See also https://github.com/steven-murray/hmf.
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