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ABSTRACT
Snake-like modular robots (MRs) are highly flexible, but, to traverse a challenging terrain or explore a region of interest, MR needs to attain efficient locomotion depending on a tradeoff between objectives like forward velocity and power consumption of the robot. The objectives can vary with different weights depending upon the situation, reflecting relative objective importance. This study developed a multiobjective reinforcement learning algorithm based on a fuzzy inference system (FI-MORL) to select the most appropriate gait parameters of snake-like MRs according to the objective weights. The developed algorithm employs a fuzzy inference system to reduce the number of states in an environment, which results in faster learning. The proposed approach uses the previously learned experience to rapidly achieve the best objective values in response to a change in weights. While setting equal importance to the objectives, FI-MORL delivers superior performance than single-objective reinforcement learning algorithms by consuming 2% less power and gaining 2.5% higher velocity since it mitigates the effect of weight change, similar performance found comparing an actor-critic algorithm. Likewise, the proposed method outperforms by consuming 14% less power and achieving 11% higher velocity than traditional methods like proximal policy optimization, deep Q-network, and vanilla policy gradient. Even after weight change, FI-MORL achieved a 14% higher reward than the above methods. The proposed FI-MORL framework can effectively converge quicker and efficiently handle the changes in objective weights.

INDEX TERMS Energy efficiency, fuzzy inference system, gait optimization, modular robot, multiobjective reinforcement learning, snake-like modular robot.

I. INTRODUCTION
A modular robot (MR) consists of individual modules that facilitate it to perform various tasks in an environment by autonomously changing its shape and behavior. A module generally includes actuators to assist in shape-changing, and locomotion [1]. New modules can be autonomously attached to or detached from MRs [2] to form a distinctive morphology that makes MRs self-reconfigurable [3].

Among the various MRs, snake-like MRs have gathered attention due to their flexibility and applicability in situations where the human presence can be critical, such as military stealth operations, space exploration, and underwater inspection [2]. Often, these robots encounter situations...
where an MR may need to change gaits for locomotion at different stages. For example, during the teleoperation, an MR equipped with a camera can move at a fast gait speed for locomotion to reach the destination on a known terrain while using a slower gait speed in an unknown environment [4], [5]. In the oil and gas industries, snake robots might inspect pipes underwater for a longer duration, requiring energy-efficient gait control; during an oil leak, the robot needs to move fast to support the repair [6]. These scenarios require MRs to move fast in certain situations and stay energy-efficient in others.

Although snake-like MRs are helpful, a tradeoff exists between the robot speed and power consumption, primarily due to the limited energy-carrying capabilities of the MR. Thus it is essential to reduce power consumption whenever applicable and increase the robot speed. However, achieving such locomotion for snake-like MRs is not accessible due to the complexity of controlling multiple degrees of freedom (DoFs). Several snake-like MR control mechanisms have been developed to achieve autonomous locomotion [7].

In 1994, Hirose [8] developed serpentine equations to produce the sinusoidal type of locomotion mimicking the gait of a snake. Serpentine has been the most straightforward and energy-efficient locomotion compared to others [9]. Ma [10] proposed a serpentine curve to model locomotion for snake-like MRs and achieved high locomotion efficiency. Dehghani and Mahjoob [11] developed a modified serpentine equation to reduce slipping while varying the parameters. Since then, several studies on MRs used the serpentine equation to attain a faster speed for locomotion [12]–[15].

Using the serpentine equation, researchers used multiple techniques to get locomotion with optimized power consumption. Bing et al. [16] used Reinforcement Learning (RL) and proximal policy optimization algorithm to develop energy-efficient locomotion for different velocities. Kelsidi et al. [17] used a weighted-sum approach by combining objectives such as power consumption and velocity. They varied the weights heuristically and used particle swarm optimization to obtain Pareto optimal solutions. Some studies have also optimized the locomotion to get desired forward velocity. Christensen et al. [18] proposed distributed reinforcement learning and independent morphological methods to optimize the gait control table of different MRs to achieve high velocity. Spröwitz et al. [19] investigated the locomotion of a snake-like MR with a gradient-free optimization algorithm that learns central pattern generator parameters to control each module. Crespi and Ijspeert [20] employed a biological central pattern generator by using a heuristic optimization algorithm to adjust the travel speed of a snake-like MR. Chee et al. [21] proposed a multiobjective hybrid genetic algorithm and self-adaptive differential evolution approach to optimize the parameters of a gait control equation and co-evolve the morphology as well as controller of a snake-like MR. Wu and Ma [22] proposed a central pattern generator based approach to control the gait of a snake-like MR. Cao et al. [23] implemented multiple locomotions on different parts of the snake robot’s body to analyze the robot performance concerning speed and energy on sloped terrain. Many works have focused on various locomotions.

Objectives like lower power consumption or increased velocity for locomotion have been optimized in the literature, but these objectives were generally weighted using fix weighting coefficients. When a new terrain or a new scenario is encountered, different weights are given to reflect the priority of one objective over the other. Using existing approaches, the optimization or learning process must restart in response to the different weighted objectives, incurring a high time cost.

In this study, we solved the two contradictory objectives: minimize the power consumption and maximize the average velocity. In contrast with conventional single-objective reinforcement learning, we proposed a solution based on multiobjective reinforcement learning and fuzzy inference (FI-MORL). By solving the problem, a snake-like MR can quickly switch between an energy-efficient mode and a fast velocity mode for locomotion without incurring an extra time cost.

In the proposed FI-MORL algorithm, observation states are constructed based on the power consumed by each module. In this manner, the proposed method learns energy-efficient and faster gaits for a snake-like MR. The fuzzy inference system helps discretize continuous observation states and reduce sensitivity to the environment, thus achieving faster learning. The proposed method separately updates two Q-tables: one relates to power consumption and forward velocity. An action is then taken on the basis of a weighted-sum of the two Q-tables. This practice allows the MR to avoid relearning when the weighted coefficient changes. We compared the proposed algorithm with the fuzzy inference single-objective reinforcement learning (FI-SORL) algorithm and benchmark deep single-objective reinforcement learning algorithms. After a change of the weighting coefficient, the proposed method reached steady-state objective values faster than the SORL algorithms.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows.

- The proposed FI-MORL method can systematically balance two conflicting objectives: speed and power consumption.
- The fuzzy inference system is developed to reduce the number of possible states, thereby reducing the computation burden and achieving quicker convergence.
- The proposed method can expeditiously achieve the best locomotion after changing weights by addressing both objectives.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The system model of a snake-like MR is briefly described in Section II. Section III describes the control mechanism of a snake-like MR, the performance metric used in this study, and the problem formulation. The proposed FI-MORL algorithm, in which states are designed using a fuzzy inference system, actions, and rewards, is explained in Section IV.
II. SYSTEM MODEL

This section presents the mathematical modeling of a snake-like MR with \( N \) dual-joint Dto Explorer MR (D-MR) [24] modules, inspired by the M-TRAN module moving on the horizontal surface.

A snake-like MR consists of multiple connected modules, and each module has one or more actuators or joints. Servo motors act as actuators for modules and have sufficient torque to actuate the joints with reasonable force. With appropriate actuator commands, the entire mechanism can mimic snake-like movement. Fig. 1 represents the kinematic parameters and coordinates of a snake-like MR and the symbols defined in Table 1. In this study, \( N \) identical D-MR modules connected along the same axis to build a snake-like MR. Each module contains its Center of Mass (CoM) in its center position with a length of \( 2a \) and the mass \( m \). Every module has two motorized joints (a “male” and a “female” joint), which are collectively responsible for providing motion to the snake-like MR at each time step \( t \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, T\} \), where \( T \) represents the last time step of snake-like MR motion.

For the mathematical representation of snake-like MR’s kinematic model, we adopt the Denavit Hartenberg convention [25], a systematic method for defining the kinematics model of any serially connected mechanism [26]. The male/female module along with the connection between male-female/female-male module represents a link; see Fig. 1. The angle of \( i \)th link, where \( i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2N\} \) is denoted by \( \Theta_i(t) \), representing the angle between the link and the \( x \) axis of global coordinate in the counterclockwise direction. The links connected to the joints can actuate in both clockwise and counterclockwise directions. The angle of the \( i \)th joint is denoted by \( \Phi_i(t) \) and obtains as follows:

\[
\Phi_i(t) = \Theta_i(t) - \Theta_{i+1}(t) \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2N\}.
\]

The orientation or heading of the snake-like MR is denoted by \( \Theta(t) \), which can be described using the average link angle as follows [27]:

\[
\Theta(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Theta_i(t).
\]

A snake-like MR moves in the global coordinate system. The local coordinate system of each link starts at its CoM. When the link angle \( \Phi_i(t) \) is 0°, the local coordinate axes of the \( i \)th link, \( x_i \) and \( z_i \) aligns with the global \( x \)-axis and \( z \)-axis, respectively. The rotation matrix of the \( i \)th link with the global coordinate system is as follows:

\[
R_i^{GF}(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \Theta_i(t) & -\sin \Theta_i(t) & 0 \\
\sin \Theta_i(t) & \cos \Theta_i(t) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The global CoM \( (x_R, y_R) \) of a snake-like MR is located around/along the snake-like MR body, depending on its shape. The link’s coordinates assist in determining the position of a snake-like MR \( p_R \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) in global coordinates. The \( p_R \) of a snake-like MR considering locomotion on the xy-plane evaluated as follows:

\[
p_R = \begin{bmatrix}
p_{Rx} \\
p_{ Ry}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix}
x_i \\
y_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) are the CoM of module joint in local coordinates. With help of above equations the locomotion of snake-like MR can be created and later visualized.

III. LOCOMOTION OF A SNAKE-LIKE MR

In this section, we first introduce the concept of providing snake-like locomotion to an MR. Then, we present our performance metric for evaluating energy-efficient locomotion. Finally, the problem formulation presents the need to optimize the locomotion by considering energy efficiency.

A. LOCOMOTION CONTROL

To control the locomotion of a snake-like MR, we use the serpensoid curve derived by Hirose [8]. Serially connected multi-DoF robot mechanism can use serpensoid curve based control to generate snake-like motion by adopting different motion curves.

The serpensoid curve can be generated using the following serpensoid equation:

\[
\Phi_i(t) = \begin{cases}
A_m \sin(F_m t + \delta_m t), & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\
A_l \cos(F_l t + \delta_l t), & \text{if } i \text{ is even}.
\end{cases}
\]
This equation generates a joint angle $\Phi_j(t)$ for each time step $t$. Changes in the joint angle cause changes in the shape and movement of a snake-like MR. We consider the male joints of the $j$th D-MR module, where $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, N\}$, as odd joints and the female joints as even joints.

The six parameters in (5) controls the locomotion of entire snake-like MR. The parameters $A_m$ and $A_f$ denote the amplitudes of the curve for even and odd joints, respectively. The phases $\delta_m$ and $\delta_f$ of the curves adjust the timing between even and odd joints, respectively, to produce motion. Multiplying the phase parameters with joint index $i$ represents waves’ propagation along with the snake-like MR. The frequency parameters $F_m$ and $F_f$ for both joints provide sine-wave-like and cosine-wave-like motion, respectively. The frequency parameters are multiplied by the time step $t$ to determine the speed of the gait cycle.

![FIGURE 2. Angle variation of each joint based on (5).](image)

A real snake has negligible separation between the joints in its body and can easily adjust its shape to resemble a perfect linear sinusoidal curve; in contrast, MR has a finite length $2\alpha$ between two joints, restricting its motion. By using a locomotion strategy based on the serpenoid equation, a snake-like MR can achieve a nonlinear sinusoidal-curve-like shape. We can provide different shapes to a snake-like MR by varying the six parameters as mentioned above of the serpenoid equation. This study primarily focuses on linear progression motion because of its straightforward motion, higher velocity and lower power consumption compared to other gaits.

### B. PERFORMANCE METRICS

Snake-like MR has limited energy; therefore, the need arises to control the gait to achieve economical locomotion. We consider two performance metrics to evaluate a gait’s energy efficiency: the power consumed by a snake-like MR and its average forward velocity. The forward velocity and power consumption as a performance metric ensure snake-like MR moves faster with minimum power consumption [16].

1) **POWER CONSUMPTION**

For a snake-like MR with $N$ modules (or $2N$ joints), the power consumption of the $ith$ joint during time slot $k$, denoted as $P_{i,k}^{\text{joint}}$, is the summation of the absolute product of the torque $\tau_{i,k},t$ and angular velocity $\phi_{i,k},t$ at each step $t$ within the $kth$ time slot [16]. The power consumption can be expressed as follows:

$$P_{i,k}^{\text{joint}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} |\tau_{i,k},t\phi_{i,k},t| \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, 2N\}. \quad (6)$$

The power consumed by the $jth$ module during time slot $k$ is denoted as $P_{j,k}^{\text{module}}$, calculated by summing the total power consumption of both joint actuators in the $jth$ module as follows:

$$P_{j,k}^{\text{module}} = P_{2j-1,k}^{\text{joint}} + P_{2j,k}^{\text{joint}} \quad \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}. \quad (7)$$

The power consumption of a snake-like MR with $N$ modules during time slot $k$ can be expressed as follows:

$$P_k^{R} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{j,k}^{\text{module}}. \quad (8)$$

The total power consumed by a snake-like MR during a run can be expressed as follows:

$$P_R = \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_k^{R}. \quad (9)$$

2) **AVERAGE FORWARD VELOCITY**

The average velocity of a snake-like MR is the ratio between the distance covered in one-time slot $k$ and the time taken to cover this distance [17]. Assume that the, head module of a snake-like MR is initially positioned at $(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1})$ and then it reaches $(x_k, y_k)$ in the global frame after time $\Delta k$. In this case, the forward velocity $V$ can be expressed as:

$$V = \frac{\sqrt{(x_k - x_{k-1})^2 + (y_k - y_{k-1})^2}}{\Delta k}. \quad (10)$$

The average forward velocity $V_{av}$ for $K$ time slots can be expressed as:

$$V_{av} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sqrt{(x_k - x_{k-1})^2 + (y_k - y_{k-1})^2}. \quad (11)$$

### TABLE 2. Serpentine equation parameters and gaits.

| Parameters | Linear Progression | Side-winding | Lateral Rolling | Helix Rolling |
|------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| $A_m$ | 30 | 30 | 43 | 40 |
| $A_f$ | 40 | 20 | 30 | 30 |
| $\delta_m$ | 40 | 10 | 20 | 20 |
| $\delta_f$ | 40 | 10 | 20 | 20 |
| $\alpha$ | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| $\gamma$ | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

This table represents the serpenoid equation parameters and gaits, as shown in Table 2. This study primarily focuses on linear progression gait because of its straightforward motion, higher velocity and lower power consumption compared to other gaits.
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case, the average velocity of a snake-like MR in time slot \( k \) is as follows:

\[
\bar{v}_k = \frac{\sqrt{(x_k - x_{k-1})^2 + (y_k - y_{k-1})^2}}{\Delta k}.
\]  

(10)

The average forward velocity of a snake-like MR during a run can be found by averaging velocity of its head module as follows:

\[
\bar{v}^{R} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} v_{k}^{R}}{K}.
\]  

(11)

C. PROBLEM FORMULATION

By adjusting the control parameters \( F_m \) and \( F_t \), the first objective \( P_k^{R} \) is minimized under the following constraints:

\[
P_k^{\min} \leq P_k^{R} \leq P_k^{\max}.
\]  

(12)

The second objective \( v_k^{R} \) is maximized under the following constraints:

\[
v_k^{\min} \leq v_k^{R} \leq v_k^{\max}
\]  

(13)

where \( P_k^{\min} \) and \( P_k^{\max} \) are the minimum and maximum power consumed by a snake-like MR during a time slot \( k \), respectively, and \( v_k^{\min} \) and \( v_k^{\max} \) are the minimum and maximum average velocities of a snake-like MR during time slot \( k \), respectively. One can easily find the minimum and maximum values by optimizing each objective individually [29].

In this study, the optimization process uses normalized objectives. Thus, we can obtain the following equations:

\[
f_{p,k}^{\text{norm}} = \frac{P_k^{\max} - P_k^{R}}{P_k^{\max} - P_k^{\min}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{v,k}^{\text{norm}} = \frac{v_k^{R} - v_k^{\min}}{v_k^{\max} - v_k^{\min}}.
\]  

(14)

The optimization approach should maximize both objectives in (14). Thus, the energy-efficient gait optimization problem of a snake-like MR with two objectives is formulated as follows:

\[
\max w \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_{p,k}^{\text{norm}} + (1 - w) \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_{v,k}^{\text{norm}}
\]

subject to (8), (10), (12), and (13)

(15)

where \( w \in (0, 1) \) is the weighting coefficient reflecting relative objective importance, this weighting coefficient may change slowly with time. A natural way of solving (15) is to apply single-objective reinforcement learning (SORL). In this case, one Q-table is maintained to produce proper actions. However, when the weighting coefficient changes, the algorithm has to relearn and update the Q-table accordingly, causing an extra time cost. A better solution in response to possible weight changing must be conceived.

IV. MULTIOBJECTIVE REINFORCEMENT LEARNING ALGORITHM WITH FUZZY INFERENCE SYSTEM

This section develops a fuzzy inference system for reducing the number of possible states for a snake-like MR. The developed method enables the proposed FI-MORL algorithm to select an appropriate observation state by simultaneously considering energy consumption and forward velocity, which expedites the learning process. Moreover, we develop an FI-MORL algorithm that can rapidly learn the locomotion strategy of a snake-like MR when weight change occurs.

Suppose that a snake-like MR is performing a task in an uncertain environment. Snake-like MR can use machine learning techniques for gait parameter optimization, and the RL algorithm is one of the most popular machine learning algorithms. The RL algorithm can solve a complex problem with or without prior knowledge of the uncertain environment. Let \( S \) be the state space and \( s_k \) be the state during time slot \( k \), where \( s_k \in S \). Given \( s_k \), the agent selects an action \( a_k \) from its action set \( A \). It then proceeds to the next state \( s_{k+1} \) and receives a reward \( R_{k+1} \) as feedback from the environment. The agent continues to repeat this process with new experiences until it reaches optimality.

Q-learning is a type of model-free learning and a simple method for enabling the agent to learn how to act optimally in a Markov decision process [30]. In Q-learning, an agent takes an action \( a_k \), in-state \( s_k \), by following a \( \epsilon \)-greedy policy. It then receives a reward \( R_{k+1} \) with next state, \( s_{k+1} \), and updates the Q-table by using the following equation during every time slot \( k \):

\[
Q(s_k, a_k) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q(s_k, a_k) + \alpha(\gamma R_{k+1} + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{k+1}, a))
\]  

(16)

where \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) are the learning rate and discount factor, respectively.

To apply Q-learning and update the Q-table for a snake-like MR, it needed to convert the sensor’s information from continuous states into discrete states.

FIGURE 3. Membership functions of the fuzzy inference system: (a and b) membership functions of both joints, and (c) membership functions of a module.
The state received from the environment can be sensor information or calculated value. Including the power consumed by each module as an observation state is advantageous for achieving energy efficiency. This state selection information helps the agent understand which modules are consuming a high amount of energy so that the proposed learning algorithm can adjust the movement of modules to achieve a tradeoff between both objectives. The power consumed by a module has a continuous value; however, the algorithm requires discrete states to update the Q-table. Fuzzy inference system can construct a discrete state because fuzzification is a method of generalizing the Boolean concept to partial truth or false [31]. Fuzzy inference system with RL algorithms implemented in [32], [33], and [34] can be supportive to design a system that can reduce states in an environment through human reasoning, known as "Rules."

FIGURE 4. Relationship between robot models, components of RL, and Mamdani fuzzy inference system.

Using a fuzzy inference system for each module of a snake-like MR, fuzzy inference system can obtain the discrete state for each time slot. This discrete state with rule-based expert knowledge is suitable for applying in RL algorithm and results in fast learning. Fig. 4 presents the relationship between the robot models, components of RL, and Mamdani fuzzy inference system. Let \( s_k \) be the current state, given \( s_{k−1} \), a snake-like MR selects an action \( a_k \) from \( A \). It then proceeds to the next state \( s_{k+1} \) and receives the rewards \( R_{1,k} = \epsilon_{p,k} \) and \( R_{2,k} = \epsilon_{v,k} \). The action set \( A \) represents the different frequencies \( (F_m = F_i) \) in the discrete form, which lead to different speeds during each time slot. The next state \( s_{k+1} \) is obtained by inferring each fuzzy inference system.

During time slot \( k \), both joints of each module of a snake-like MR consume some power to move a certain distance. The power consumption of the two joints of the \( j \)th module, which are denoted as \( P_{2j−1,k} \) and \( P_{2j,k} \), can then be used as input for the fuzzy inference system. In this study, the Mamdani fuzzy inference system [34] is used for each module to obtain a linguistic form of the power consumed by the \( j \)th module during time slot \( k \) (i.e., \( P_{j,k}^{linguistic} \)) as an output by using min-max operations. The Mamdani fuzzy inference system of a module is in Fig. 5.

Triangular and trapezoidal membership functions are used in this study (Fig. 3). These membership functions can overlap each other and indicates the degree to which a given value belongs to different fuzzy sets [35]. The membership functions for fuzzy set \( A \) and \( B \) are denoted as \( \mu_A(P_{j,k}^{joint}) \) and \( \mu_B(P_{j,k}^{joint}) \), respectively, where \( A = B = \{ "Low", "Medium", "High" \} \) represents the set of linguistic terms (fuzzy labels) for each input variable. The parameter \( \mu_C(P_{j,k}^{linguistic}) \) represents the membership functions for fuzzy set \( C = \{ "Low", "Medium", "High" \} \), where \( C \) represents the linguistic terms for the output variable \( P_{j,k}^{linguistic} \).

Given the inputs to these membership functions, the resultant values are between 0 and 1 for each joint of the \( j \)th module. After the linguistic terms and membership function values are defined, expert knowledge can formulate the fuzzy inference system rules.

The rule \( u \) to obtain \( P_{j,k}^{linguistic} \) can be expressed as follows:

Rule \( u \):
If \( P_{2j−1,k}^{joint} \) is \( A_u \) and \( P_{2j,k}^{joint} \) is \( B_u \) Then \( P_{j,k}^{linguistic} \) is \( C_u \)

for \( u = 1, 2, \ldots, U \), where \( U \) is the maximum number of constructed rules; \( A_u \in A \) and \( B_u \in B \) are the linguistic terms from fuzzy sets \( A \) and \( B \), respectively; and \( C_u \in C \) is the output variable’s linguistic term from fuzzy set \( C \).

On the basis of the values of \( P_{2j−1,k}^{joint} \) and \( P_{2j,k}^{joint} \) during time slot \( k \) and the obtained membership function values, \( n \) rules can be fired. Let \( I = \{ I_1, I_2, I_3, \ldots, I_n \} \) be the set containing the indices of all the fired rules out of all \( U \) rules. With more than one input variable combined with the "and" logical connection under all the \( n \) fired rules, the truth value of the combined proposition of each fired rule \( \chi_i \) can be obtained as follows:

\[
\chi_i = \min(\mu_{A_{I_i}}(P_{2j−1,k}^{joint}), \mu_{B_{I_i}}(P_{2j,k}^{joint})) \\
\forall I_i \in I \text{ and } i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n.
\]

The index of a fired rule having maximum truth value \( n^* \) and its corresponding rule index \( I_{rule} \) can be obtained through the argmax operation within the truth values of the fired \( n \) rules.
TABLE 3. Fuzzy rules of the fuzzy membership functions in Fig. 3.

| Rule | $A_i$ | $B_i$ | $C_i$ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1    | Low   | Low   | Low   |
| 2    | Low   | Medium | Medium |
| 3    | Low   | Medium | Medium |
| 4    | Medium | Low   | Medium |
| 5    | Medium | Medium | Medium |
| 6    | Medium | High  | Medium |
| 7    | High  | Low   | Medium |
| 8    | High  | Medium | High  |
| 9    | High  | High  | High  |

as follows:

$$n^* = \arg \max_n (X_{I_1}, X_{I_2}, \ldots, X_{I_n})$$

\[ I_{rule} = I_n^* \quad \text{(18)} \]

where $I_n \in I$. The output of the fuzzy inference system, namely $P_{j,k}^{\text{linguistic}}$ (Fig. 5) can be expressed as follows:

$$P_{j,k}^{\text{linguistic}} = C_{rule}. \quad \text{(19)}$$

This inferred linguistic term $P_{j,k}^{\text{linguistic}}$ is a state in the RL algorithm. Nine rules are used for each fuzzy inference system (details are presented in Table 3).

When optimizing the gait parameters of a snake-like MR, it is necessary to determine the importance of objectives during learning. In a specific situation, the importance of objectives must change with changing weight. The learning method should not take long to reach a steady-state in this situation. The maximization problem in (15) can be solved using the SORL optimization method; however, the proposed FI-MORL optimization method is more effective than SORL optimization during the change in weights of objectives to provide one objective with higher importance than other objectives.

$\textbf{Algorithm 1.}$ presents the pseudocode of the proposed FI-MORL algorithm. The details of this algorithm describe the following texts. The Q-tables for both objectives are initialized according to Fig. 6. At the start of each episode occurs when the snake-like MR reaches a particular destination. After Q-tables, $R_{1,k}$ and $R_{2,k}$ are designed to encourage the agent to maximize the objectives in (15).

The Q-table representing these two objectives can be updated as follows:

$$Q_i(s_k, a_k) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q_i(s_k, a_k) + \alpha R_{i,k+1} + \gamma \max_a Q_i(s_{k+1}, a), \quad \text{(21)}$$

for $i = 1, 2$.
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The Q-table representing these two objectives can be updated as follows:

$$Q_i(s_k, a_k) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)Q_i(s_k, a_k) + \alpha R_{i,k+1} + \gamma \max_a Q_i(s_{k+1}, a), \quad \text{(21)}$$

for $i = 1, 2$.
Algorithm 1 Proposed FI-MORL for Energy-Efficient Gait Optimization

Require: learning rate $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, exploration rate $\epsilon > 0$, discount factor $\gamma$, weight $w$.

Initialize $Q(s_k, a_k)$ for all $s_k \in S$, $a_k \in A$, arbitrarily.

Ensure: control parameters ($F_m = F_f$) to ensure minimum $p^R$ and maximum $v^R$.

1: Loop for each episode:
2: Initialize the snake-like MR at origin.
3: Loop for every time slot $k$ in an episode:
4: Observe current state $s_k$ from (20).
5: Choose $a_k$ using $\epsilon$-greedy derived from $wQ_1(s_k, \cdot) + (1 - w)Q_2(s_k, \cdot)$.
6: Take action $a_k$ by setting control parameters in (5), observe rewards $R_{1,k}, R_{2,k}$ and $s_{k+1}$.
7: Update $Q_1(s_k, a_k)$ and $Q_2(s_k, a_k)$ using (21).
8: Until $s_k$ is terminal

had two joints that rotate along the z-axis in a range of $-90^\circ$ to $90^\circ$ and has a maximum actuator torque of 0.52 Nm. During the experiment, the following amplitude and phase values were used for each male and female joint: $A_m = A_f = 30^\circ$ and $\delta_m = \delta_f = 180^\circ$. The frequency values $F_m$ and $F_f$ were set to be equal and considered as different actions in $A$. These frequencies, representing the five actions $F_m = F_f \in \{10^\circ, 12^\circ, 18^\circ, 22^\circ, 31^\circ\}$, vary the speed of the snake-like MR and result in different values of power consumption and average velocity during each time slot $k$. The control parameters mentioned above are applied in (5) to generate a gait for the snake-like MR during each time slot $k$.

Each episode contains $K$ learning steps, and one learning step or time slot $k$ of the learning algorithms contains $t = 10$ time steps for V-REP.

The sensors inside V-REP facilitate calculating the power consumption and average velocity of the snake-like MR. The sensor values were transmitted to a Python script asynchronously as a feedback signal after every time step $t$. The time steps $t$ in (5) for the snake-like MR controller varied from 0 to 950 ms in an interval of 50 ms (as per the V-REP default value) because ten sets of gait values were required for a one-time slot $k$. As the snake-like MR moved linearly along the $x$-direction, an episode terminates when the moving distance is 0.5 m. During this run, the total power consumption $p^R$ and average velocity $v^R$ were obtained using (9) and (11), respectively.

We compared the proposed method with FI-SORL and benchmark deep SORL algorithms, namely deep Q-networks (DQN) [37], PPO [38], actor-critic (AC) [39] and vanilla policy gradient (VPG) [40]. These benchmark algorithms used Tensorforce, which is an open-source deep RL framework [41], built on top of Tensorflow framework [42] and compatible with Python. The FI-SORL method used the same observation states (obtained with the fuzzy inference system) as the proposed method. The major difference between the FI-MORL and FI-SORL is that the FI-MORL maintains two Q-tables for the value update while the FI-SORL has only one Q-table during the learning and execution process. As such, FI-MORL uses separate reward signals associated with power consumption and forward velocity; FI-SORL uses combined reward signals (a weighted sum) as follows:

$$U_R = w f^p_{p,k} + (1 - w)f^v_{v,k}. \quad (22)$$

The other methods used continuous states derived from the torque angular velocity of each joint (also used for calculating the power consumed by each joint in the fuzzy inference system input) and angular position of each joint. The action settings were the same for all the methods. The parameter $U_R$ in (22) was used as reward for all methods, and the Q-table in FI-SORL was updated using (16). The weighting coefficient $w$ was used to balance the tradeoff between power consumption and average velocity in the reward $U_R$ of all SORL algorithms.

The simulation was executed ten times with the results averaged to neglect the randomness of all algorithms. In our simulations, $w$ was initially maintained at 0.5 (both power

FIGURE 7. Scatter plots of the objective values of the proposed FI-MORL method and the FI-SORL method: (a) equal importance objective with $w = 0.5$, (b) higher importance of the power objective with $w = 0.9$, and (c) higher importance of the velocity objective when $w = 0.1$. 
TABLE 4. Steady-state values obtained with various learning methods.

| Method   | Power Consumption $P_R$ | Average Velocity $v_R$ | Average Return $U_R$ |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| FI-MORL  | 122.83 mW               | 0.0254 m/s             | 0.6189              |
| FI-SORL  | 122.83 mW               | 0.0254 m/s             | 0.6189              |
| DQN [37] | 141.39 mW               | 0.0245 m/s             | 0.5458              |
| PPO [39] | 144.49 mW               | 0.0235 m/s             | 0.5533              |
| AC [39]  | 126.76 mW               | 0.0259 m/s             | 0.6074              |
| VPG [40] | 147.71 mW               | 0.0241 m/s             | 0.5195              |

and velocity with equal importance). After all the matching algorithms reached a steady state, the weights were changed to 0.9 and 0.1 to reflect the relative importance of the power and velocity objectives, respectively. The simulations were performed using a desktop with an Intel(R) i5-9400F, 2.90 GHz CPU with 16 GB of RAM.

Fig. 8 displays the plot of the average reward $U_R$ during each episode. The plot averages over every 100 episodes to evaluate which algorithm is the quickest to learn and reach a steady-state under equal objective importance ($w = 0.5$) until 2500 episodes. As displayed in Fig. 8(a), the proposed algorithm and FI-SORL algorithm reached a steady-state after approximately 800 and were faster than the other algorithms. The proposed FI-MORL achieved an average of 14% higher rewards than DQN, PPO, and VPG. Also, it was able to outperform AC and FI-SORL by a sheer 1%. This result is due to the proposed approach involving a fuzzy inference system to approximate the state space, thus reducing the number of states to explore. The proposed and FI-SORL methods have finite states (only 81 linguistic states), whereas the other methods have infinite possible states because they consider continuous values. Another reason explains that the proposed method and FI-SORL approach are simple and involve learning only through Q-tables, whereas the other methods involve using deep learning networks, which require considerable computational resources.

The proposed algorithm reached a steady-state after approximately 800 episodes, ensuring that the proposed approach achieved a minimum power consumption and maximum average velocity faster than the benchmark deep SORL methods with $w = 0.5$. Fig. 9(a) to 9(d) depicts the variations in the power consumption and average velocity over each episode, respectively. In the beginning, the proposed FI-MORL approach and FI-SORL underperformed other algorithms and thus their boxplots associated with the velocity and power in Figs. 9(b) and 9(d) contained some outliers. After 500 episodes, the FI-MORL, FI-SORL, and AC performed competitively in reducing power consumption and increasing velocity. At the steady-state, the proposed FI-MORL outperformed DQN, PPO, and VPG by consuming an average of 14% less power and attaining 11% higher velocity. Thus in the power consumption boxplot Fig. 9(b), the interquartile range for FI-MORL remains the lowest than other algorithms. Similarly, in average velocity boxplot Fig. 9(d), the interquartile range for the proposed method remains the highest. Meanwhile, AC and FI-SORL performed equally well, yet the proposed method overtook them by consuming an average of 2% less power and gaining 2.5% higher velocity. Table 4 summarizes the steady-state values of comparable methods (the average of the final 100 episodes). The simulation results indicate that the proposed method can find a solution faster than comparable methods when both objectives are equally weighted.

We then changed the weights during the learning process to determine the speed with which an algorithm reached a steady-state balance between the power consumption and forward velocity of a snake-like MR.

As displayed in Fig. 8, the weight change occurred at 2500 episodes. The learning plots in Fig. 8(a) and 8(b) indicate that the proposed method can reach a steady-state faster than the other comparable methods after a weight change. Two weight settings were considered: from $0.5$ to $0.9$ and from $0.5$ to $0.1$. The objective with a higher weight was considered more important than the other. The weights were changed to 0.9 (more emphasis on power consumption) and 0.1 (more emphasis on forwarding velocity) from 0.5 after 2500 episodes. To reach a steady-state, the FI-MORL method required approximately 1000 episodes after the weight was changed to 0.9 [Fig. 8(a)] and approximately 2000 episodes after the weight was changed to 0.1 [Fig. 8(b)]. The FI-MORL obtained the best weighted-sum value faster than all the algorithms, including the benchmark deep SORL algorithms.

Fig. 7 presents a scatter plot of $f_{\text{p,4}}^{\text{norm}}$ and $f_{\text{v,4}}^{\text{norm}}$ objective values during each episode for the FI-MORL and FI-SORL algorithms. Fig. 7(a) indicates the scatter points for the
objective values are confined toward the region where both objectives have equal importance ($w = 0.5$). When the weight changes to 0.9, the scatter points of FI-MORL in Fig. 7(b) are confined toward the expected region as per the weight setting (the $y$-axis representing the power consumption objective, which has higher importance than the velocity objective); however, for FI-SORL, the scatter points are distributed in different areas. When the weight changes to 0.1, the scatter points of FI-MORL in Fig. 7(c) follow the weight setting and are confined toward $x$-axis (which represents the velocity objective, which has higher importance than the power consumption objective); however, the scatter points of FI-MORL are distributed in a different area.

The results shown in Figs. 8 and 7 indicate that after a weight change, the FI-MORL method achieves the best objective values faster than the FI-SORL methods do and exhibits the desired weight change effect.

Although our model provided better results than existing ones, several threats that can affect its performance exist. For example, our model adopted a discrete action set. A continuous action set can be chosen to provide higher resolution so that a larger action space can be explored, but this can increase model complexity and time cost.

For Q-learning, the exploration rate $\epsilon$ was maintained at 0.1 throughout the training process. Fig. 10 shows the learning curves of various values of $\epsilon$ ranging from 0.1 to 0.8. The changes in power consumption during $\epsilon \in [0.1, 0.8]$ varied less than 3% compared with $\epsilon = 0.1$. The average velocity fluctuation remained less than 5% during $\epsilon \in [0.1, 0.5]$ and increased by 7% if $\epsilon = 0.8$. The small changes in average velocity and power consumption illustrated that the proposed method was insensitive to the variation of the exploration rate if $\epsilon \in [0.1, 0.5]$.

VI. CONCLUSION
This study investigates the energy-efficient gait optimization of snake-like MRs using multiobjective reinforcement learning with a fuzzy inference system, presenting a weighted-sum problem formulation for the power consumption and average velocity of a snake-like MR. In the literature, objective weights are assumed to be fixed without considering possible changes over time. After a weight change, the proposed
FI-MORL algorithm rapidly achieved the best steady-state objective values. Deep SORL based optimization methods required a longer learning time than the proposed method due to deep learning networks. By contrast, the proposed method involved using a fuzzy inference system to reduce the number of possible states and achieve rapid Q-table learning. After a weight change, all the SORL based methods involved using a fuzzy inference system to reduce the number of possible states and achieve rapid Q-table learning. Required additional learning time to reach steady-state based learning. After a weight change, all the SORL based approaches required additional learning time to reach steady-state values, whereas the proposed method determined a 14% faster steady-state weighted-sum value compared to the traditional method and 1% faster than the AC and FI-SORL.
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