Risk Factors Influencing the Outcomes of Kidney Re-Transplantation
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Background: Our kidney transplant waitlist includes 20% re-transplantations (TX2). Knowing what to expect is a clinical obligation.

Material/Methods: We compared graft and patient survival of all 162 TX2 patients, transplanted 2000 to 2009, with 162 patients after first transplantation (TX1) matched for age, sex, living/non-living donation, and transplantation date. Patient follow-up was 10 years.

Results: TX2 graft and patient survivals were inferior to TX1 (p<0.001 and p=0.047). TX2 patients had a longer cumulative dialysis vintage, more human leucocyte antigen (HLA) mismatches, more panel-reactive HLA antibodies, more often received induction therapy with rabbit-antithymocyte globulin (rATG), and had a lower body mass index (all p<0.05). Death from infection and graft failure by rejection was more frequent after TX2 (both p<0.05) but not after TX1. Multivariable Cox regression analysis revealed that both cohorts had graft failure and death risk associated with infection and cardiovascular disease, and graft failure by humoral rejection. However, only TX2 patients had an additional risk of graft failure with early inferior graft function and of patient death with ≥2 comorbidities. Moreover, Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that TX2 and not TX1 patients had a lower graft and patient survival associated with infection and with ≥2 comorbidities (all p<0.05).

Conclusions: Re-transplantation is associated with worse graft outcomes mainly because of immunologic and graft-quantity reasons, although the high number of comorbidities and infection severities aside from cardiovascular disease drive mortality. The more frequent rATG induction of TX2 patients could promote infection by enhancing immunosuppression. By addressing comorbidities, outcomes could possibly be improved.
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Background

Shortly after kidney transplantation was introduced, graft failure commonly meant patient death, since no kidney replacement therapy existed. Thus, to save lives, re-transplantation (TX2) was introduced in 1963; however, at that time, the 1-year patient survival was only 60% [1]. The Hannover Medical School transplant program is one of the largest in Germany, with 654 patients on the active waiting list (February 2020). Of these, 123 patients (19%) have been transplanted before and 22 (4%) more than once. In Germany, the interminable waiting list makes matters even more acute [2,3]. We sought to learn the particular hazards faced by re-transplanted patients. Repeat transplantation has been the subject of numerous publications since 1974, demonstrating increasingly better results in graft and patient survival [4-11]. In some reports, hardly any difference in graft or patient survival was found [10,11]. However, currently the results are conflicting and sometimes difficult to interpret. We conducted a retrospective analysis of re-transplanted patients compared to a matched control group of patients with first transplantation to identify particular risk factors for graft and patient survival, so that inherent problems might be addressed prospectively in future studies.

Material and Methods

The Institutional Review Board approved analyses of these data, and the patients signed an informed consent statement indicating that their privacy is protected (IRB approval number 2995-2015). The clinical and research activities being reported are consistent with the Principles of the Declaration of Istanbul as outlined in the “Declaration of Istanbul on Organ Trafficking and Transplant Tourism”.

We evaluated all patients with first kidney re-transplantation done between January 2000 and December 2009 (TX2), with a follow-up of 10 years after the end of the study. We compared this cohort to first transplants (TX1) done during the same time and matched them for several criteria (see statistical analysis). We excluded patients <18 years of age, patients who had received a non-renal organ, and excluded our ABO-incompatible transplanted group. Repeated HLA mismatches in the TX2 group were avoided in cases where the first transplant had failed due to rejection.

Induction therapy was conducted by interleukin-2 receptor antibody (IL-2 RP AB) in all patients without immunologic risk; or rabbit-anti-thymocyte globulin (rATG) for all other patients with a suggested higher risk. Group TX2 received induction therapy by rATG in all 25 cases with a panel-reactive antibody (PRA) titer of ≥30% and in a further 11 cases with a loss of the preceding graft due to acute rejection. Rabbit ATG also was given in a further 81 cases with a loss of the preceding graft earlier than 15 years after the first transplantation because of a presumed or proven chronic rejection (altogether, 97 of 162 cases, 59.88%; in 20 of 97 cases more than 1 indication). Group TX1 had induction therapy by rATG in 3 cases with a PRA titer of ≥30%.

Long-term standard immunosuppression consisted of a dual or triple combination (cyclosporine or tacrolimus and prednisolone, with or without mycophenolate mofetil additionally, respectively). In some cases, the calcineurin inhibitor was changed for mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor. This is the standard immunosuppression used in the patients for the longest period during the study. Prednisolone was rarely discontinued in either group.

Rejection treatment usually was performed by intravenous steroid bolus in the case of T-cell mediated rejection (TCMR), followed by oral steroid tapering. Severe TCMR or acute antibody-mediated rejection (ABMR) was treated additionally by rATG. In the case of detectable DSAs, 4 plasmaphereses were done before giving rATG or rituximab. DSA testing was done routinely together with all transplant biopsies since 2005. Nephrectomy after graft failure was usually done in cases of early transplant failure which occurred during the first post-transplant year and otherwise in patients with rejection during the course of reduction of immunosuppression.

Statistical Analysis

We performed a retrospective, single-center, matched-pair investigation. Matching was performed on a 1: 1 basis where patients with a second transplantation served as cases (TX2 group) and patients who received their first transplant during the same period served as controls (TX1 group). Matching criteria were recipient age (±10 years), sex, living/non-living kidney donation, and transplantation date (±18 months). Descriptive analysis of the data included presenting relative and absolute frequencies for categorical data. Continuous variables are presented as arithmetic mean and standard deviation. Baseline characteristics of cases and controls were compared with a paired t test for continuous variables and McNemar's test for binary outcomes. A time-to-event analysis was performed for cases and controls separately. Graft survival or patient survival served as an event. Times were censored for graft survival at the date of the last hospital visit if a patient was lost to follow-up or had a functioning graft. For patient survival, times were censored at the last hospital visit if a patient was lost to follow-up or had a functioning graft or a transplant failure. Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted for cases (TX2) and controls (TX1) separately. A log-rank test and a Cox regression model were used to compare relevant covariates. To account for the matched-pair design, a marginal Cox regression model was
set up to compare covariables as well as cases and controls. To compare graft and patient survival between TX1 and TX2, we used a test as described in Klein and Moeschberger [12]. Multivariable Cox regression models are presented for a set of 8 covariables that were deemed of high relevance. The full model is presented as well as models after variable selection. We applied several approaches (backward selection, score-based best subset selection, and stepwise selection). All analyses were done with SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Table 1. Demographic data of group TX1 (first transplantation) and TX2 (re-transplantation).

|                      | TX1         | TX2         | p-value |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Recipient age (yrs)  | 47.2±12.6   | 46.6±13.0   | 0.0640  |
| Recipient age ≤50 yrs| 100 (61.7%) | 100 (61.7%) | 1       |
| Donor age (yrs)      | 48.9±14.4   | 48.1±15.3   | 0.5491  |
| Donor age ≤50 yrs    | 80 (49.4%)  | 77 (47.5%)  | 0.7357  |
| Gender Female        | 56 (34.6%)  | 56 (34.6%)  | NA      |
| Duration of dialysis (mos) | 65.6±33.9 | 113.0±52.5 | <0.0001 |
| Duration of dialysis ≤60 Months | 68 (42.0%) | 22 (13.6%) | <0.0001 |
| Duration of dialysis >60 Months | 94 (58.0%) | 140 (86.4%) |               |
| Kind of donation (living) | 17 (10.5%) | 17 (10.5%) | NA      |
| Panel reactive antibodies >30% | 3 (1.9%)  | 25 (15.4%) | <0.0001 |
| HLA mismatches       | 2.08±1.65   | 2.54±1.75   | 0.0129  |
| HLA Mismatches       |             |             |         |
| 0-3                  | 134 (82.7%) | 118 (72.8%) | 0.0209  |
| 4-6                  | 28 (17.3%)  | 44 (27.2%)  |         |
| Cold ischemia time (min) | 875.9±428.7 | 940.6±429.1 | 0.0518  |
| Cold ischemia time (min) ≤700 | 47 (29.0%) | 37 (22.8%) | 0.0956  |
| Cold ischemia time (min) >700 | 115 (71.0%) | 125 (77.2%) |               |
| Patients with delayed graft function | 41 (25.3%) | 43 (26.5%) | 0.7928  |
| S-creatinine at hospital dismissal (µmol/L) | 189.0±121.8 | 186.2±116.2 | 0.8373  |
| S-creatinine at Hospital Dismissal (µmol/L) ≤150 | 71 (43.8%) | 76 (46.9%) | 0.5413  |
| S-creatinine at Hospital Dismissal (µmol/L) >150 | 91 (56.2%) | 86 (53.1%) |         |
| Hospital stay at transplantation (days) | 21.6±12.1 | 25.1±12.9 | 0.0135  |
| Patients with rejection episode | 59 (36.4%) | 60 (37.0%) | 0.9081  |
| Mean number of rejections | 0.56±0.87 | 0.56±0.92 | 1       |
| Patients with humoral rejection (humoral or mixed) | 17 (10.5%) | 20 (12.4%) | 0.6121  |
| Patients with CMV infection (clinical) | 23 (14.2%) | 17 (10.5%) | 0.3173  |
| Patients with BK virus nephropathy | 9 (5.6%) | 6 (3.7%) | 0.4054  |
| Number of comorbidities | 2.23±1.09 | 2.41±1.16 | 0.1449  |
| Patients with comorbidities 0-2 | 99 (61.1%) | 85 (52.5%) | 0.0754  |
| 3-5                  | 63 (38.9%)  | 77 (47.5%)  |         |
Table 1 continued. Demographic data of group TX1 (first transplantation) and TX2 (re-transplantation).

|                          | TX1       | TX2       | p-value   |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cardiovascular disease   | 65 (40.1%)| 61 (37.7%)| 0.5930    |
| Diabetes                 | 16 (9.9%) | 9 (5.6%)  | 0.1266    |
| Hyperlipoproteinemia     | 59 (36.4%)| 71 (43.8%)| 0.1742    |
| Lung disease             | 12 (7.4%) | 15 (9.3%) | 0.5127    |
| Hepatitis                | 15 (9.3%) | 25 (15.4%)| 0.1048    |
| Malignancy               | 25 (15.4%)| 21 (13.0%)| 0.5281    |
| Hypertension             | 147 (90.7%)| 144 (88.9%)| 0.5485    |
| Acute pancreatitis       | 5 (3.1%)  | 13 (8.0%) | 0.0593    |
| Other gastrointestinal diseases | 26 (16.1%) | 41 (25.3%) | 0.0287    |

Table 1 continued.

|                          | TX1       | TX2       | p-value   |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Basal immunosuppression  |           |           |           |
| Triple IS                | 111 (68.5%)| 123 (75.9%)| 0.0897    |
| Tacrolimus-based IS      | 57 (35.2%)| 70 (43.2%) | 0.1682    |
| Cyclosporine-based IS    | 77 (47.5%)| 81 (50.0%) | 0.6625    |
| Patients with rATG induction therapy | 3 (1.9%) | 97 (59.9%) | <0.0001   |
| BMI at Month 4-6 after transplant |           |           |           |
| ≥25                      | 26.0±3.7  | 24.2±5.5  | <0.0012   |
|                         | 101 (62.4%)| 57 (35.2%) | <0.0001   |
| Peritransplant Infection (up to 2 mos after transplantation) | 42 (25.9%) | 42 (25.9%) | 1         |
| Severe infection threatening patient or graft survival | 64 (39.51%) | 67 (41.36%) | 0.7357     |

Mos – months; yrs – years; min – minutes; HLA – human leukocyte antigen; IS – immunosuppression; rATG – rabbit antithymocyte globuline; CMV – Cytomegalovirus; BMI – body mass index. Results are presented as n (%) if data are categorical and for continuous data mean±SD. The p-value refers to a paired t-test for continuous data or McNemar’s test for binary data or Bowker’s Test of Symmetry for more than 2 categories (variable: mismatches). NA: a p-value is not available in case of no discordant pairs (gender and kind of donation were matching variables) or more than 2 categories.

Results

In Table 1 are listed demographic data separated for both cohorts TX1 and TX2, while Table 2 gives their outcome data, as are number and causes of graft failure and patient death. Table 3A and 3B show the multivariable Cox regression model with hazard ratios for 8 selected covariates of assumed high relevance. All calculated covariables are enumerated in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 and are the basis of the multivariable analysis. Table 4 gives data about numbers and kind of outcomes of all infections as the most serious risk factor. Figures 1-4 show Kaplan-Meier curves of the patients regarding the most important risk factors calculated separately for both cohorts.

Results in detail: Between January 2000 until December 2009, 162 patients were re-transplanted (TX2) after failure of the first transplant because of different reasons, as there were early vascular problems n=13; primary renal dysfunction without recovery n=13; peri-transplant infection n=6; recurrence or new occurrence of kidney disease n=4; transplant tumor n=2; various reasons n=3; slow transplant failure because of proven or suggested chronic rejection n=74; or of unknown cause n=33. Nephrectomy of the preceding failed transplant had been performed in 97 TX2-patients (59.9%). TX2 patients with nephrectomy of the first failed allograft did not have less transplant failure through rejection after re-transplantation compared to those who had retained their allograft (21/61 vs 8/39, p=0.14).

The underlying kidney disease leading to chronic kidney failure was biopsy-confirmed glomerulonephritis (TX1 vs TX2: n=62 vs 52, p=0.4), adult dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD 19 vs 12, p=0.38), nephrosclerosis (18 vs 12, p=0.41), renal dysplasia (10 vs 22, p=0.02), diabetes (8 vs 5, p=0.45), various (21 vs 33, p=0.07), or was not clarified (24 vs 26, p=0.49). TX2 patients had a distinctly longer cumulative dialysis vintage than TX1 patients (Table 1). TX2 patients had a longer hospital stay at transplantation, had more PRA ≥30% and more HLA mismatches, and had a lower body mass index at months 4-6 after transplantation (Table 1).

Comparing graft and patient survival of group TX2 with TX1, group TX2 was inferior for both graft survival (Figure 1, p=0.001) and patient survival (Figure 1, p=0.048). The TX1 group had a higher rate of functioning grafts at the end of the observation period (Table 2, p=0.007). The most important causes of graft failure were rejection (p=0.01), as well as death with a functioning graft classified as graft failure (p=0.08) and
Kaplan-Meier curves: For the variables ≥50 or <50 years of age, HLA mismatches, initial graft function, post-transplant serum creatinine at hospital discharge, humoral rejection, cardiovascular disease, number of comorbidities, and severe infection, differences of the clinical course in graft and/or patient survival were tested by Kaplan-Meier curves. TX2 patients had a lower graft and patient survival associated with vs without severe infection (Figure 2) and with 3-5 vs 0-2 comorbidities (Figure 3). Graft and patient survival were also lower in TX2 patients with 4-6 vs 0-3 HLA mismatches (log-rank p=0.015 and 0.004, respectively). TX2 patients had a lower graft survival with serum creatinine ≥150 vs <150µmol/L at hospital discharge (Supplementary Figure 1, log-rank p=0.003). Despite the same number of humoral rejections, graft survival of only TX2 patients was lower with vs without humoral rejection (Supplementary Figure 2, log-rank p=0.013). Both cohorts had a lower graft and patient survival associated with vs without cardiovascular disease (Figure 4), as well as a lower patient survival in patients ≥50 vs <50 years of age (log-rank TX2 p=0.018 and TX1 p=0.027), and in patients with malignancy (log-rank TX2 p=0.038 and TX1 p<0.001). Both cohorts had a higher graft survival with initial graft function (log-rank TX2 p=0.002 and TX1 0.038).

Cox regression analysis

Regarding graft and patient survival, higher and lower hazard ratios (HR) for the different covariables are enumerated in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Multivariable analysis for graft survival showed that only TX2 and not TX1 patients had a higher risk associated with early inferior graft function; while both cohorts had a higher risk together with cardiovascular disease, severe infection, and humoral rejection (Table 3A). Patient survival showed that only TX2 and not TX1 patients had a higher risk for death together with a high number of comorbidities, while both cohorts had a higher death risk together with cardiovascular disease and severe infection (Table 3B).

Table 2. Outcome of patient group TX2 (re-transplantation) compared to TX1 (first transplantation) serving as control.

| Causes of Graft Failure in Detail | Tx1 N (%) | Tx2 N (%) | p-value |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Functioning graft                | 94 (58.02)| 70 (43.21)| 0.0066  |
| Lost to Follow-up                | 2 (1.23)  | 2 (1.23)  | 1.0     |
| Recurrence or de novo HUS       | 14 (21.21)| 29 (32.22)| 0.0137  |
| Recurrence or de novo GN        | 4 (7.01)  | 10 (20.41)| 0.0475  |
| Recurrence of diabetes          | 1 (1.57)  | 0 (0.00)  |        |
| Early vascular damage           | 4 (6.56)  | 0 (0.00)  |        |
| After PTCA                      | 1 (1.57)  | 0 (0.00)  |        |
| After PTA                       | 1 (1.57)  | 0 (0.00)  |        |
| After perforating diverticulitis| 1 (1.57)  | 0 (0.00)  |        |
| Relapsing UT obturation         | 0 (0.00)  | 1 (0.20)  |        |
| Cardiac insufficiency           | 1 (1.57)  | 1 (0.20)  |        |
| Donor-derived renal damage      | 0 (0.00)  | 3 (0.60)  |        |
| Not clarified                    | 5 (7.81)  | 6 (11.92)|        |
| Death with functioning graft    | 30 (45.45)| 43 (47.78)| 0.0796  |
| Death by infection              | 10 (15.15)| 21 (46.51)| 0.0411  |
| Death by cardiovascular disease | 9 (13.89)| 9 (17.78)|        |
| Death by malignoma              | 9 (13.89)| 9 (17.78)|        |
| Death by suicide                | 0 (0.00)  | 2 (0.44)  |        |
| Cause of death not clarified    | 2 (3.03)  | 2 (3.84)  |        |

HUS– haemolytic uremic syndrome; GN – glomerulonephritis; PTCA – percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; PTA – percutaneous transluminal angioplasty; UT – urinary tract.

depth of infection (p=0.04), and these were more frequent in TX2 patients (Table 2).
Table 3A. Time-to-event analysis of graft survival (multivariable model and variable selection).

| Comorbidity | Full model Tx1 | Model Tx1 after variable selection | Full model Tx2 | Model Tx2 after variable selection |
|-------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| Cardiovascular (Ref: no) | 2.087, (1.226, 3.592), 0.0064 | 1.923, 0.0118 | 2.107, (1.352, 3.279), 0.0009 | 2.164, 0.0004 |
| ≥2 Other comorbidities (Ref: 0-1) | 1.060, (0.606, 1.887), 0.8909 | 1.046, | | |
| Duration of Dialysis (Ref ≥60 months) | 0.992, (0.984, 1.000), 0.0548 | 0.997, | | |
| Humoral rejection (Ref: no) | 2.450, (1.123, 4.907), 0.0138 | 2.674, 0.0069 | 1.962, | 2.160, 0.0071 |
| Initial function (Ref: no) | 0.644, (0.355, 1.183), 0.1250 | 0.579, 0.0412 | 0.678, | |
| Severe infection (Ref: no) | 1.705, (1.036, 2.800), 0.0307 | 1.705, 0.0318 | 1.544, (1.010, 2.355), 0.0437 | 1.555, 0.0392 |
| Creatinine ≥150 (Ref: <150) | 1.596, | 2.092, 0.1230 | | |
| BMI (Ref: ≥25) | 1.050, (0.616, 1.835), 0.6861 | 0.660, (0.421, 1.046), 0.0721 | 0.689, 0.0956 |

Table 3B. Time-to-event analysis of patient survival (multivariable model and variable selection).

| Comorbidity | Full model Tx1 | Model Tx1 after variable selection | Full model Tx2 | Model Tx2 after variable selection |
|-------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| Cardiovascular (Ref: no) | 2.937, (1.372, 6.609), 0.0066 | 2.878, 0.0054 | 3.006, (1.573, 5.914), 0.0010 | 3.149, 0.0005 |
| ≥2 Other comorbidities (Ref: 0-1) | 0.812, (0.357, 1.928), 0.6247 | 0.812, | (0.884, 6.163), 0.1279 | 2.049, 0.1379 |
| Duration of Dialysis (Ref ≥60 months) | 0.921, (0.979, 1.003), 0.1271 | | (0.993, 1.006), 0.9540 | |
| Humoral rejection (Ref: no) | 0.461, (0.025, 2.340), 0.4580 | 0.589, | (0.093, 2.042), 0.4784 | |
| Initial function (Ref: no) | 0.714, (0.286, 1.835), 0.4716 | 0.489, (2.357), 0.9223 | (0.489, 2.357), 0.9223 | |
| Severe infection (Ref: no) | 1.723, (0.813, 3.690), 0.1547 | 1.723, 0.1397 | 1.525, (5.828), 0.0016 | 2.901, 0.0015 |
| Creatinine ≥150 (Ref: <150) | 0.995, (0.433, 2.277), 0.9896 | 0.995, (0.643, 2.463), 0.4909 | | |
| BMI (Ref: ≥25) | 0.337, (0.111, 0.841), 0.0318 | 0.359, 0.0377 | 0.605, (0.312, 1.210), 0.1427 | 0.590, 0.1213 |
We found that graft and patient survival in TX2 patients were inferior to TX1 patients (Figure 1). There were numerous explanations in terms of pre-transplant conditions. TX2 patients were confronted with longer times on dialysis, they had a greater immunological risk by their higher immunization rate, and had more HLA mismatches by avoidance of HLA-matches related to their high PRAs (Table 1). Thus, TX2 patients were more likely to receive rATG induction therapy (Table 1).

Inspecting outcomes in detail, TX2 patients generally had lower rates of graft function, more commonly suffered graft loss from rejection, and more often died of severe infection (Table 2). Multivariable Cox regression models for 8 important covariables showed that fundamentally both cohorts had some similar problems with graft and patient survival, namely a risk associated with severe infection and cardiovascular disease (Table 3A, 3B). However, TX2 and not TX1 patients additionally had a lower graft survival with early restricted graft function (Supplementary Figure 1) and had a higher death

### Table 4. Severe infections threatening life or graft survival.

| Subgroup analysis of 105 patients where at least one matching partner suffered from a severe infection | Subgroup analysis of 29 patients where at least one matching partner died due to infection |
|---|---|
| | Tx1 | Tx2 | p-value | Tx1 | Tx2 | p-value |
| All severe infections | 64 | 67 | 0.7357 | 10 | 20 | 0.0588 |
| Severe infection within 6 mos | 13 | 33 | 0.0016 | 2 | 6 | 0.1025 |
| Induction with rATG | 1 | 15 | 0.0005 | 0 | 4 | 0.0455 |

All severe infections in detail

| | Tx1 | Tx2 | p-value | Tx1 | Tx2 | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sepsis of unknown origin | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Severe UTI | 15 | 16 | 2 | 3 |
| Pneumonia | 13 | 13 | 4 | 5 |
| Septic pancreatitis | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Peritonitis | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Diverticle perforation | 6 | 2 | | |
| PD catheter infection | 0 | 1 | | |
| Infected cyst (ADPKD) | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Skin/soft tissue infection | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Trauma, wound(s) | 3 | 3 | | |
| Erysipelas | 1 | 0 | | |
| Necrotic calciphylaxis | 0 | 1 | | |
| Nocardia abscess | 0 | 1 | | |
| Infected gangrenous limb | 1 | 0 | | |
| Spondylitis | 1 | 0 | | |
| Necrotizing fasciitis | 1 | 0 | | |
| Endocarditis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Infected CV catheter | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Infected hematoma | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Infectious diarrhea | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Mycoplasma encephalitis | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleural empyema | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cholecystitis, -angitis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CMV disease | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| CMV colitis | 2 | 2 | | |
| Persistent CMV | 0 | 2 | | |
| CMV hepatitis, colitis | 1 | 0 | | |
| BKV nephropathy | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Generalized Herpes Zoster | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Parvo B19 infection | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Mos – months; rATG – rabbit-antithymocyte globulin; UTI – urinary tract infection; PD catheter– peritoneal dialysis catheter; CV catheter – central venous catheter; CMV – cytomegalovirus; BKV – BK virus; ADPKD – adult polycystic kidney disease; inf – infection; post-tx – after transplantation.

### Discussion

We found that graft and patient survival in TX2 patients were inferior to TX1 patients (Figure 1). There were numerous explanations in terms of pre-transplant conditions. TX2 patients were confronted with longer times on dialysis, they had a greater immunological risk by their higher immunization rate, and had more HLA mismatches by avoidance of HLA-matches related to their high PRAs (Table 1). Thus, TX2 patients were more likely to receive rATG induction therapy (Table 1).
risk with a high number of comorbidities (Table 3B). This was confirmed in Kaplan-Meier analysis. In patients with cardiovascular disease, the course of graft and patient survival was inferior in both cohorts (Figure 4). However, in TX2 patients, this relationship was more robust, as seen in the distinctly inferior Kaplan-Meier curve of the TX2 cohort (Figure 4A, 4B). The same is true for patient survival in patients with a high number of comorbidities and a distinctly inferior Kaplan-Meier curve in TX2 patients (Figure 3A, 3B).

In the TX2 group, most infections were in the early transplant period (≤6 months) compared to the TX1 group (Table 4, Figure 4A). This state of affairs at least partially may be due to the more frequent use of rATG induction therapy. The TX2 group had a higher immunization rate, and patients...
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Figure 2. Severe infection endangering graft and/or patient survival. (A) Graft and patient survival of 64 TX1 patients with severe infection compared to 98 TX1 patients without were not significantly different. (B) However, graft and patient survival of 67 TX2 patients with severe infection compared to 95 TX2 patients without were significantly inferior (p=0.0332 and p=0.0001, respectively).
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Figure 3. Number of comorbidities. (A) Graft survival of 63 TX1 patients with 3-5 compared to 99 TX1 patients with 0-2 comorbidities was not statistically different; while patient survival of TX1 patients with 3-5 comorbidities, was significantly inferior to those with 0-2 (p=0.0118). (B) Graft as well as patient survival of 77 TX2 patients with 3-5 compared to 85 TX2 patients with 0-2 comorbidities were significantly inferior (p=0.001 and p<0.0001 respectively).
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Figure 4. Cardiovascular disease. (A) Graft as well as patient survival of 65 TX1 patients with compared to 97 TX1 patients without cardiovascular disease were significantly inferior (p=0.0177 and p=0.0028, respectively). (B) Graft as well as patient survival in 61 TX2 patients with compared to 101 TX2 patients without cardiovascular disease were significantly inferior. However, in TX2 patients this relationship was more robust than in TX1 patients (p=0.0006 and p<0.0001, respectively).
were treated accordingly (Table 1). Rabbit ATG is very efficacious in the prophylaxis of rejection episodes in patients at risk of rejection [13,14]. T-cell or T-cell subset suppression even in low-dose treatment of rATG lasts 6 to 12 months until slight recovery [15,16]. It is not clear how long the propensity to infection lasts after the use of rATG, and the timely coincidence of rATG and severe infection during the first 6 months after transplantation is only an indirect sign of a possibly causal role of rATG [14,17].

Transplant nephrectomy of the preceding failed transplant was not an advantage regarding rejection in the second graft, which confirms recent studies [18,19]. TX2 patients had a distinctly lower BMI than TX1 patients (Table 1 and Table 3A, 3B), which has been reported before [6,11]. This finding may be due to the longer cumulative dialysis vintage (Table 1 and Table 3A, 3B) resulting in weight loss associated with mortality [20,21]. However, compared to dialysis, after transplantation we did not find a low BMI correlating to mortality, but within both cohorts we found an improved patient survival at least tested against a BMI above the limit of overweight and obesity (≥25; Table 3B). For obese transplant patients, in general an inferior graft and patient survival, compared to non-obese patients, has been reported [6,22,23].

We did not find an influence of the longer dialysis vintage on graft survival of the TX2 compared to the TX1 group (Table 1 and Table 3A, 3B). Indeed, in former publications such an impact has been convincingly reported and was regarded as one of the main negative influences on graft survival [24]. However, in more recent publications this was no longer found. This can be attributed in general to the better dialysis conditions and especially to the reduced need for blood transfusions because of the regular supply of the dialysis patients with erythropoietin and iron; thus, a main source of pre-transplant immunization has reduced [25,26].

Another reason for a continuously better graft survival of repeat transplantation is the development of better techniques of HLA class II typing as well as HLA-antibody detection [27-32]. Complement-dependent crossmatch had for a long time been the only method to prevent hyperacute rejection and to test for HLA antibodies [33,34]. HLA typing and antibody detection has improved the second graft survival rate since 1974 [1,5,9-11]. However, all-cause mortality is still influenced by a longer dialysis vintage [25,26,35]. We did not find a direct association between duration of dialysis vintage and mortality, but we saw that TX2 patients had a higher mortality caused by severe infection (Tables 2, 4), associated with a high number of comorbidities, and with cardiovascular disease (Figures 3, 4). Thus, the higher morbidity of TX2 patients causing mortality can be interpreted as an indirect consequence of the longer dialysis vintage as one of the basal conditions causing this morbidity.

We compare our results with those reported earlier. Before 2005, TX2 patients generally had worse outcomes except under selected favorable subgroup conditions [4,24]. However, these cohorts were usually not well defined and living-donor status and cyclosporine treatment were not always mentioned. Since 2007, the cohorts of second and first transplantation were mostly compared studying large-registry databases [5,6,7,9,10]. Since then, the transplant outcome gap between both cohorts has constantly narrowed but did not become similar. Registry data, with their multicenter sources, different modes of access to transplantation, and different immunosuppressive treatments, cannot be easily compared to single-center studies. A strength of the present study is the fact that we compared 2 rather uniform cohorts transplanted in a limited similar 10-year timeframe under controlled conditions by the same team and with a follow-up period of 10 years after the end of the study. TX2 results are inferior to TX1-matched controls (Figure 1). This finding contradicts a large single-center study of 3000 patients recently published [11]. However, in that study, living donation was common (71% of TX2 patients), which makes the study less comparable to ours. We were interested to learn that 2 or more re-transplantations have no worse graft and patient survival than 1 re-transplantation [36].

The factors influencing poorer graft and patient outcome may represent a more general inability of overcoming unfavorable conditions of the post-transplant course. This state of affairs brings to mind impaired resistance in TX2, compared to TX1 patients, a condition also called frailty. Frailty has been described and standardized in older patients as indicating physical and cognitive pre-aging and has been related to decreased physiologic reserve and resistance to stressors [37]. Chronic kidney disease has been found to be associated with a higher frailty score, increasing with the stage of renal insufficiency [38,39]. After renal transplantation, frail patients have a higher risk of death [40]. Here, we did not measure physical and/or cognitive parameters, which was not possible because of the retrospective character of the analysis. However, loss of body weight and a lower BMI, as observed in TX2 patients (Table 1 and Table 3A, 3B) are main features of frailty [37]. Conceivably, DNA methylation could be measured in such patients [41], and epigenetic age acceleration has been found to be correlated to other physical and cognitive frailty parameters. Nevertheless, this has mostly been evaluated in large cohorts [42].

The poorer performance of repeat transplantation is related to several factors regarding immunologic, graft-quality, and infectious problems, of which the most important for patient survival were a high number of comorbidities and severity of infections (Table 3A, 3B, Figures 2-4). Immunologic sensitization together with more HLA mismatches contributes (Table 1). This situation more often implies a higher immunosuppressive
induction therapy, as was the rule in the TX2 patient cohort by rATG (Table 1), favoring early post-transplant infections. The combination of a higher immunological risk and a possibly generally higher underlying frailty of the TX2 group may be the causal factors for the inferior graft and patient survival of the TX2 patients compared to matched patients with a first transplant. Dealing with comorbidities in any clinical setting is not trivial. In this population, the task is daunting. Nevertheless, our data underscore that this state of affairs could be addressed with diagnostic controls as well as appropriate therapies.

The alternative to repeat transplantation is dialysis. As known from the literature, first transplantation as well as re-transplantation are both clearly better than dialysis; therefore, even preemptive second transplantation has been proposed [43-45]. The relative risk reduction by transplantation seems to be higher in re-transplanted patients because of their higher mortality on the waiting list [10]. To avoid that fate, these higher-risk patients deserve all the chances we can provide by repeated transplantation [46,47].

**Conclusions**

TX2 graft and patient survivals are inferior to TX1. However, we have not only to compare TX2 to TX1 but also compare them to dialysis patients as the alternative treatment option, and dialysis would mean an even higher mortality. The higher number of comorbidities is, beside immunologic and infectious problems, the main risk factor for inferior outcomes of TX2 patients. Therefore, we should try as far as possible to address comorbidities by preventing and treating them.
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**Supplementary Table 1.** Graft survival (defined as time to transplant failure or death); time-to-event analysis of graft survival (univariate).

| Variable                  | 1<sup>st</sup> Tx Logrank-test | 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx Logrank-test | Cox regression model 1<sup>st</sup> Tx HR, 95% CI, p-value | Cox regression model 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx HR, 95% CI, p-value | Cox regression model (marginal model for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx patients) HR, 95% CI |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gender (Ref: Female)      | 0.1407                          | 0.8107                          | 1.500 (0.888, 2.652), 0.1435                                | 1.055 (0.687, 1.657), 0.8123                                | 0.631 (0.467, 0.853)                                        |
| Kind of donation (Ref: Living) | 0.2962                          | 0.4807                          | 1.619 (0.718, 4.636), 0.3009                                | 1.298 (0.668, 2.919), 0.423                                 | 0.630 (0.465, 0.855)                                        |
| Age > 50 (Ref: ≤ 50)      | 0.0186                          | 0.1639                          | 1.793 (1.088, 2.928), 0.0202                                | 1.350 (0.877, 2.053), 0.1654                                | 0.631 (0.463, 0.859)                                        |
| Pre-transplant PRA30 (Ref: ≤ 30) | <0.0001                        | 0.6240                          | 15.689 (1.669, 46.355), <0.0001                             | 0.854 (0.429, 1.538), 0.6245                                | 0.643 (0.463, 0.892)                                        |
| Dialysis 60 (Ref: ≤ 60 months) | 0.3569                          | 0.4592                          | 0.797 (0.491, 1.299), 0.3579                                | 0.806 (0.470, 1.490), 0.4599                                | 0.591 (0.423, 0.826)                                        |
| HLA-mismatches 4-6 (Ref: 0-3) | 0.2813                          | 0.0147                          | 1.396 (0.728, 2.483), 0.2827                                | 1.730 (1.093, 2.677), 0.0160                                | 0.651 (0.477, 0.890)                                        |
| Comorbidities: 1 cardiovascular (Ref: no) | 0.0177                          | 0.0006                          | 1.783 (1.097, 2.903), 0.0193                                | 2.036 (1.339, 3.088), 0.0008                                | 0.596 (0.435, 0.817)                                        |
| 2 Diabetes (Ref: no)      | 0.0014                          | 0.4308                          | 2.918 (1.383, 5.567), 0.0023                                | 1.437 (0.504, 3.217), 0.4334                                | 0.611 (0.452, 0.826)                                        |
| 3 Hyperlipidemia (Ref: no) | 0.3231                          | 0.7707                          | 0.772 (0.454, 1.276), 0.3245                                | 1.064 (0.698, 1.612), 0.7699                                | 0.629 (0.466, 0.850)                                        |
| 4 COLD (Ref: no)          | 0.7759                          | 0.0081                          | 0.863 (0.262, 2.100), 0.7762                                | 2.241 (1.154, 3.975), 0.0099                                | 0.626 (0.461, 0.850)                                        |
| 5 Hepatitis (Ref: no)     | 0.8023                          | 0.5448                          | 0.890 (0.311, 2.006), 0.8024                                | 0.829 (0.429, 1.463), 0.5455                                | 0.623 (0.457, 0.849)                                        |
| 6 Malignancy (Ref: no)    | 0.0108                          | 0.8527                          | 2.053 (1.131, 3.528), 0.0126                                | 0.942 (0.473, 1.695), 0.8527                                | 0.628 (0.463, 0.852)                                        |
| 7 Hypertension (Ref: no)  | 0.5985                          | 0.2491                          | 1.254 (0.585, 3.261), 0.5994                                | 1.529 (0.787, 3.437), 0.2526                                | 0.625 (0.462, 0.846)                                        |
| 8 Pancreatitis (Ref: no)  | 0.9570                          | 0.2922                          | 0.963 (0.158, 3.083), 0.9577                                | 1.402 (0.704, 2.525), 0.2946                                | 0.641 (0.471, 0.871)                                        |
| 9 Gastrointestinal (Ref: no) | 0.5582                          | 0.8101                          | 1.205 (0.615, 2.174), 0.5587                                | 1.059 (0.650, 1.668), 0.8101                                | 0.637 (0.470, 0.865)                                        |

This work is licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)
Supplementary Table 1 continued. Graft survival (defined as time to transplant failure or death); time-to-event analysis of graft survival (univariate).

| Variable                     | 1<sup>st</sup> Tx Logrank-test | 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx Logrank-test | Cox regression model 1<sup>st</sup> Tx HR, 95% CI, p-value | Cox regression model 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx HR, 95% CI, p-value | Cox regression model (marginal model for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx patients) HR, 95% CI |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| CMV Infection (Ref: no)      | 0.6460                          | 0.3603                          | 1.165, (0.576, 2.145), 0.6462                                | 1.343, (0.674, 2.418), 0.3611                                | 0.621, (0.457, 0.845)                                      |
| CMV risk (Ref: low risk)      | 0.7655                          | 0.7151                          | 0.928, (0.562, 1.509), 0.7655                                | 1.081, (0.714, 1.653), 0.7165                                | 0.630, (0.465, 0.852)                                      |
| BKV Nephropathy (Ref: no)    | 0.0112                          | 0.0358                          | 2.867, (1.096, 6.211), 0.0154                                | 2.560, (0.896, 5.749), 0.0430                                | 0.612, (0.452, 0.828)                                      |
| Humoral Rejection (Ref: no)  | 0.0639                          | 0.0127                          | 1.874, (0.898, 3.517), 0.0683                                | 1.969, (1.105, 3.296), 0.0145                                | 0.634, (0.469, 0.858)                                      |
| Initial Function (Ref: no)   | 0.0379                          | 0.0016                          | 0.581, (0.350, 0.995), 0.0403                                | 0.500, (0.325, 0.784), 0.0019                                | 0.626, (0.460, 0.852)                                      |
| Rejection1 (Ref: no)         | 0.0034                          | 0.1090                          | 2.035, (1.251, 3.313), 0.0041                                | 1.409, (0.918, 2.138), 0.1107                                | 0.629, (0.466, 0.850)                                      |
| Creatinine ≥150 (Ref: <150)  | 0.0881                          | 0.0028                          | 1.542, (0.942, 2.584), 0.0906                                | 1.910, (1.249, 2.967), 0.0033                                | 0.610, (0.447, 0.833)                                      |
| CIT ≥700 (Ref: <700 min)     | 0.7240                          | 0.8416                          | 0.907, (0.536, 1.604), 0.7241                                | 1.052, (0.652, 1.777), 0.8416                                | 0.630, (0.465, 0.854)                                      |
| rATG Induktion (Ref: no)     | 0.0403                          | 0.7995                          | 3.949, (0.644, 12.801), 0.0576                                | 1.056, (0.696, 1.623), 0.8005                                | 0.676, (0.456, 1.001)                                      |
| No of immunosupp drugs (Ref: 2) | 0.9190                          | 0.6269                          | 1.027, (0.621, 1.743), 0.9193                                | 1.126, (0.709, 1.853), 0.6272                                | 0.633, (0.469, 0.855)                                      |
| Immunosuppression Cy based (Ref: no) | 0.8208                          | 0.5996                          | 1.058, (0.649, 1.719), 0.8206                                | 1.118, (0.738, 1.705), 0.5999                                | 0.633, (0.467, 0.860)                                      |
| Peritransplant infections (Ref: no) | 0.0758                          | 0.0838                          | 1.587, (0.933, 2.621), 0.0780                                | 1.486, (0.931, 2.308), 0.0856                                | 0.620, (0.460, 0.844)                                      |
| Severe infection (Ref: no)   | 0.0543                          | 0.0332                          | 1.600, (0.984, 2.597), 0.0565                                | 1.566, (1.030, 2.375), 0.0347                                | 0.627, (0.463, 0.849)                                      |
| BMI (Ref: ≥25)               | 0.5037                          | 0.1833                          | 0.840, (0.494, 1.386), 0.5042                                | 0.749, (0.492, 1.158), 0.1848                                | 0.582, (0.416, 0.814)                                      |

Events are defined as death or transplant failure. Patients are censored at their last visit in case of no event. Results for comparison between TX1 and TX2 (Ref) in the marginal Cox regression model are presented in italic.

CI – confidence interval; HR – Hazard Ratio; Ref – reference group; No – number; PRA - panel-reactive antibodies; CMV – cytomegalovirus; BKV – BK virus; CIT – cold ischemia time; Immunosupp – immunosuppressive; rATG – rabbit anti thymocyte globulin; BMI – body mass index.
## Supplementary Table 2. Patient survival (defined as death due to any cause); time-to-event analysis of patient survival (univariate).

| Variable                        | 1<sup>st</sup> Tx Logrank-test | 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx Logrank-test | Cox regression model 1<sup>st</sup> Tx HR, 95% CI, p-value | Cox regression model 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx HR, 95% CI, p-value | Cox regression model (marginal model for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx patients) HR, 95% CI |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kind of donation: (Ref: living) | 0.3765                          | 0.1746                          | 1.890, (0.568, 11.711), 0.3846                             | 2.583, (0.792, 15.889), 0.1910                             | 0.602, (0.385, 0.941), 2.233, (0.882, 5.649)               |
| Age > 50 (Ref: ≤ 50)            | 0.0269                          | 0.0117                          | 2.218, (1.068, 4.610), 0.0309                               | 2.129, (1.163, 3.909), 0.0138                               | 0.603, (0.380, 0.959), 2.170, (1.353, 3.479)              |
| No of Comorbidities (Ref: 5)    | 0.0180                          | <0.0001                         |                                                         |                                                            |                                                         |
| 0                              |                                  |                                 |                                                         |                                                            |                                                         |
| 1                              |                                  |                                 |                                                         |                                                            |                                                         |
| 2                              |                                  |                                 |                                                         |                                                            |                                                         |
| 3                              |                                  |                                 |                                                         |                                                            |                                                         |
| 4                              |                                  |                                 |                                                         |                                                            |                                                         |
| No of Comorbidities (Ref: 0-2)  | 0.0118                          | <0.0001                         | 2.459, (1.197, 5.173), 0.0149                             | 6.131, (2.987, 14.254), <0.0001                             | 0.641, (0.400, 1.026), 3.929, (2.347, 6.576)             |
| Pretransplant                   | 0.0029                          | 0.3767                          |                                                         |                                                            |                                                         |
| PRA30 (Ref: ≤ 30)               |                                  |                                 |                                                         |                                                            |                                                         |
| Dialyse60 (Ref: ≤ 60 months)    | 0.7941                          | 0.8050                          | 0.770, (0.030, 19.549), 0.8537                             | 0.082, (0.015, 0.442)                                      | 0.113, (0.010, 1.235), 0.115, (0.033, 0.398)             |
| HLA-mismatches (Ref: 0-3)       | 0.2208                          | 0.0039                          | 0.908, (0.443, 1.908), 0.7942                              | 1.125, (0.483, 3.284), 0.8051                              | 0.197, (0.063, 0.613), 0.531, (0.181, 1.555)             |
| 1 Cardiovascular (Ref: no)      | 0.0028                          | <0.0001                         | 2.948, (1.424, 6.415), 0.0044                             | 3.787, (2.055, 7.208), <0.0001                             | 0.541, (0.335, 0.871), 3.422, (2.150, 5.447)            |
| 2 Diabetes (Ref: no)            | <0.0001                         | 0.0080                          | 4.872, (1.896, 11.107), 0.0004                             | 3.335, (1.138, 7.486), 0.0125                             | 0.559, (0.357, 0.876), 3.958, (1.913, 8.192)           |
| 3 Hyperlipidemia (Ref: no)      | 0.0866                          | 0.4818                          | 0.484, (0.192, 1.074), 0.0935                              | 1.240, (0.676, 2.269), 0.4827                              | 0.598, (0.385, 0.929), 0.889, (0.552, 1.433)           |
| 4 COLD (Ref: no)                | 0.8963                          | 0.0011                          | 0.909, (0.147, 3.034), 0.8963                              | 3.391, (1.451, 7.025), 0.0021                             | 0.594, (0.378, 0.933), 2.250, (1.256, 4.032)           |
| 5 Hepatitis (Ref: no)           | 0.3255                          | 0.4858                          | 0.382, (0.021, 1.784), 0.3442                              | 0.719, (0.247, 1.667), 0.4884                              | 0.586, (0.374, 0.917), 0.617, (0.259, 1.466)           |
| 6 Malignancy (Ref: no)          | <0.0001                         | 0.0381                          | 5.023, (2.385, 10.337), <0.0001                             | 2.085, (0.972, 4.091), 0.0426                              | 0.592, (0.375, 0.933), 3.037, (1.855, 4.971)           |
| 7 Hypertension (Ref: no)        | 0.4840                          | 0.4448                          | 1.663, (0.497, 10.332), 0.4886                             | 1.492, (0.598, 4.986), 0.4478                              | 0.595, (0.380, 0.931), 1.528, (0.658, 3.546)           |
| 8 Pancreatitis (Ref: no)        | 0.3166                          | 0.1101                          | (No events observed)                                      | 1.919, (0.780, 4.071), 0.1164                              | 0.618, (0.393, 0.970), 1.463, (0.770, 2.780)           |
| 9 Gastrointestinal (Ref: no)    | 0.8952                          | 0.3561                          | 1.067, (0.360, 2.565), 0.0174                              | 1.351, (0.691, 2.518), 0.3579                              | 0.618, (0.395, 0.967), 1.275, (0.745, 2.182)           |
| CMW Infection (Ref: no)         | 0.6989                          | 0.6513                          | 1.209, (0.408, 2.908), 0.6992                              | 1.241, (0.425, 2.890), 0.6517                              | 0.595, (0.379, 0.934), 1.192, (0.614, 2.314)           |
| CMW risk (Ref: low)             | 0.0740                          | 0.7574                          | 0.486, (0.203, 1.049), 0.0804                              | 1.100, (0.603, 2.047), 0.7575                              | 0.599, (0.383, 0.937), 1.390, (0.839, 2.305)           |
| BKV Nephropathy (Ref: no)       | 0.0041                          | 0.0287                          | 4.232, (1.233, 11.124), 0.0083                             | 3.461, (0.831, 9.683), 0.0401                              | 0.571, (0.365, 0.893), 3.894, (1.957, 7.748)           |
### Supplementary Table 2 continued. Patient survival (defined as death due to any cause); time-to-event analysis of patient survival (univariate).

| Variable                      | 1<sup>st</sup> Tx Logrank-test | 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx Logrank-test | Cox regression model 1<sup>st</sup> Tx HR, 95% CI, p-value | Cox regression model 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx HR, 95% CI, p-value | Cox regression model (marginal model for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Tx patients) HR, 95% CI |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Humoral rejektion (Ref: no)   | 0.2892                         | 0.2804                         | 0.600, (0.384, 0.939)                                        | 0.416, (0.138, 1.255)                                        |                                                              |
| Initial function (Ref no)     | 0.2288                         | 0.4928                         | 0.599, (0.383, 0.939)                                        | 0.707, (0.416, 1.202)                                        |                                                              |
| Rejection 1 (Ref: no)         | 0.1151                         | 0.1798                         | 0.602, (0.385, 0.942)                                        | 0.992, (0.596, 1.653)                                        |                                                              |
| Creatinine ≥150 (Ref: <150)   | 0.9519                         | 0.5098                         | 0.597, (0.380, 0.939)                                        | 1.144, (0.728, 1.798)                                        |                                                              |
| CIT ≥700 (Ref: <700 min)      | 0.6296                         | 0.1321                         | 0.606, (0.389, 0.944)                                        | 1.270, (0.751, 2.149)                                        |                                                              |
| rATG induction (Ref: no)      | 0.1550                         | 0.5441                         | 0.699, (0.375, 1.304)                                        | 1.282, (0.687, 2.394)                                        |                                                              |
| No of immunosuppr drugs (Ref: 2) | 0.3808                        | 0.7026                         | 0.610, (0.389, 0.956)                                        | 1.266, (0.750, 2.138)                                        |                                                              |
| Immunosuppression Cy based (Ref: no) | 0.1528                       | 0.1818                         | 0.602, (0.386, 0.938)                                        | 1.009, (0.636, 1.598)                                        |                                                              |
| Infection peri Tx (Ref: no)   | 0.0459                         | 0.0203                         | 0.594, (0.376, 0.938)                                        | 1.737, (1.059, 2.850)                                        |                                                              |
| Severe infection (Ref: no)    | 0.0618                         | 0.0001                         | 0.601, (0.384, 0.940)                                        | 2.656, (1.688, 4.178)                                        |                                                              |
| BMI (Ref: ≥25)                | 0.0183                         | 0.5844                         | 0.515, (0.325, 0.817)                                        | 0.613, (0.388, 0.968)                                        |                                                              |

Events are defined as death. Patients are censored at death. Events are defined as death. Patients are censored at death. Results for comparison between TX1 and TX2 (Ref) in the marginal Cox regression model are presented in italic.
Supplementary Figure 1. Course of graft function according to s-creatinine at post-transplant hospital dismissal. Graft survival of 91 TX1 patients with s-creatinine ≥150 compared to 71 TX1 patients <150µmol/L was not statistically different; while graft survival of 86 TX2 patients with s-creatinine ≥150 compared to 76 TX2 patients <150µmol/L, was significantly inferior (p=0.0028).
Supplementary Figure 2. Humoral rejection. Graft survival of 17 TX1 patients after humoral rejection compared to 145 without was not significantly different; while graft survival of 20 TX2 patients after humoral rejection compared to 142 without was significantly inferior (p=0.0127).
