Highly selective detection of individual nuclear spins with rotary echo on an electron spin probe
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We consider an electronic spin, such as a nitrogen-vacancy center in diamond, weakly coupled to a large number of nuclear spins, and subjected to the Rabi driving with a periodically alternating phase. We show that by switching the driving phase synchronously with the precession of a given nuclear spin, the interaction to this spin is selectively enhanced, while the rest of the bath remains decoupled. The enhancement is of resonant character. The key feature of the suggested scheme is that the width of the resonance is adjustable, and can be greatly decreased by increasing the driving strength. Thus, the resonance can be significantly narrowed, by a factor of 10–100 in comparison with the existing detection methods. Significant improvement in selectivity is explained analytically and confirmed by direct numerical many-spin simulations. The method can be applied to a wide range of solid-state systems.

Detecting a single nuclear spin is an ultimate goal in nuclear magnetic resonance, actively pursued using various techniques¹–⁵. Moreover, one-by-one detection, characterization, and manipulation of individual nuclear spins in solids is vital for harnessing them as a resource for quantum information processing⁶–²⁰. The crucial problem, hindering the progress in this area, is to separate the weak signal, produced by a given weakly coupled nuclear spin, from the much stronger background created by all other spins and by the ambient magnetic noise. I.e., the detector must possess high selectivity to separate the signal of the target spin from the action of other spins and from magnetic noise.

It has been recently shown that an electron spin of the nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamond is an excellent nuclear spin detector⁶–⁸,²¹–²⁷. By applying the dynamical decoupling protocols to the NV spin (pulse⁶–⁷ or continuous⁸,²⁷), the individual nuclear spins around the NV center can be detected one by one in a resonant manner: the NV spin becomes decoupled from all nuclear spins, except the one which satisfies a stringent resonance condition. The resonating nuclear spin strongly affects the NV spin motion, and hence can be detected, and the width of the resonances produced by different nuclear spins determines selectivity. However, in all current schemes this width is naturally limited, being determined by the strength of the hyperfine coupling between the target nuclear spin and the NV spin.

Overcoming this limitation, and drastically narrowing the resonances in a systematic way, in order to significantly improve the detection selectivity, is of much importance. Here we present a scheme which achieves that goal. It employs the periodically changing Rabi driving on the NV center's spin (multiple rotary echo)²⁸–³⁰ with a specially chosen period to detect the nuclear spins. The width of a resonance, corresponding to detection of a given nuclear spin is inversely proportional to the Rabi driving strength, and therefore can be directly adjusted in experiment. As a result, the resonance peak width is narrowed by a factor of 10 – 100 in comparison with the existing schemes. This narrowing greatly enhances the resolution, which is very important for accurate characterization of the nuclear-spin environment of the NV center⁶–⁸,¹²,¹³ and for NV-based nuclear magnetic resonance at nanoscale²¹,²³,²⁶. Thus, our approach combines excellent protection from magnetic noise offered by the rotary echo, and greatly enhanced
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selectivity in detection of individual nuclear spins. This approach can also be used with other electronic spins as detectors, e.g. spins of dopant atoms in semiconductors or other defect centers in various materials.

Results
We consider the electronic spin $S = 1$ of the NV center subjected to a moderate (tens to hundreds of Gauss) static bias field along the symmetry axis. The NV spin possesses three well-separated states $m_{NV} = 0, 1$ and $-1$ (denoted below as $|0\rangle$, $|\pm 1\rangle$), and is manipulated by applying the microwave driving at resonance with the transition between the states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, as shown in Fig. 1; the level $|-1\rangle$ remains idle and will be further ignored. The NV spin is coupled to a nuclear spin $I$: e.g., a $^{13}$C nuclear spins in diamond ($I = 1/2$), coupled to the NV center via dipolar interaction. To simplify consideration, we temporarily omit the coupling of the NV spin to other $^{13}$C spins in the sample, considering the case of many nuclear spins later (see also Supplementary Information). In the coordinate frame rotating with the frequency of the resonant microwave driving, the system is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar S_x + \left( \frac{\Omega}{\hbar} I_z + A I_x \right) S_z + \left( \omega_L + A_\perp/2 \right) I_z,$$

where $S_x = 1/2 (|1\rangle \langle 0| + |0\rangle \langle 1|)$ and $S_z = 1/2 (|1\rangle \langle 1| - |0\rangle \langle 0|)$ describe the NV spin, and $I_x$ and $I_z$ are the operators of the target nuclear spin, $h$ is the Rabi driving field (usually, few to few tens of MHz), $\omega_L$ is the Larmor frequency of the nuclear spin, $A_I = \omega_L \cos \theta$ and $A_\perp = \omega_L \sin \theta$ are the components of the hyperfine coupling, and we assume $\hbar = 1$ throughout the text.

During the rotary echo experiment the direction of the Rabi driving field (i.e. the phase of driving) periodically changes between $+x$ (along the $x$-axis) and $-x$ (opposite to the $x$-axis), as shown in Fig. 1. Here we consider symmetrized protocol, consisting of $N$ rotary echo cycles; within each cycle the driving field is along $+x$ during the two outer segments, each of duration $T$, and is along $-x$ during the inner segment of duration $2T$. Therefore, the Hamiltonian (1) describes the two outer segments of the rotary echo cycle, and the Hamiltonian for the inner segment is obtained by replacing $h \to -h$. Below, we denote these two Hamiltonians as $H^+$ and $H^-$, respectively, so the evolution operator for a single cycle is

$$U = \exp(-iT H^+) \exp(-2iT H^-) \exp(-iT H^-),$$

and the full $N$-cycle protocol is described by the evolution operator $U(N) = U^N$. Generally, periodic switching of the Rabi field in the regime of strong driving ($\hbar \gg \omega_L$) leads to highly efficient decoupling of the NV electronic spin from the surrounding spins$^{29-31}$. However, in a special resonant regime, where the Rabi field is switched synchronously with the Larmor precession of the target nuclear spin, quantum states of the NV center spin and the target nuclear spin become entangled. After many rotary-echo cycles, $N \gg 1$, this entanglement becomes detectable despite the weak coupling between the NV and target spins. This approach is close in spirit to the “stroboscopic matching” idea$^{32}$. To qualitatively understand this phenomenon, we analyze the evolution of the system assuming small coupling $g = \omega_L/h \ll 1$, so that the zero-order Hamiltonians are $H_{0,\pm} = \pm \hbar S_x + (\omega_L + A_\perp/2) I_z$. The corresponding zero-order evolution operator is given by

$$U_0 = \exp[-i4T (\omega_L + A_\perp/2) I_z] = \cos 2\varphi - 2i I_z \sin 2\varphi,$$
where $\varphi = (\omega_L + A_1/2)T$. I.e., the nucleus and the NV center are practically decoupled: over one cycle, the nuclear spin rotates around the $z$-axis by the angle $2\varphi$, and this rotation does not depend on the state of the NV spin. However, if the driving is switched in resonance with the nuclear spin precession, so that the switching time has a special value,

$$T = T_k = \frac{\pi (2k - 1)}{2\omega_L + A_\parallel}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

then $\sin 2\varphi = 0$, and the operator $U_\parallel$ is unity, i.e. the effect of the zero-order Hamiltonian is null after one cycle. Then the smaller higher-order corrections will become important, since their effect will accumulate over many rotary echo cycles, undisturbed by the zero-order terms. The detailed analysis (details are given in Supplementary Information) shows that in this resonance case the nuclear spin evolution changes drastically: the rotation axis tilts towards $y$ axis, the rotation angle per cycle is of order of $A_1/\hbar$, and most importantly, the nuclear spin entangles with the NV spin through the operator $S_y = \frac{1}{2} (|+\rangle \langle -| - |-\rangle \langle +|)$. I.e., at resonance the nuclear spin rotates in different directions depending on whether the NV spin is in the state $|+\rangle$ or $|-\rangle$, where $|\pm\rangle$ are the eigenstates of $S_y$ with the eigenvalues $S_y = \pm 1/2$, respectively.

We consider an experiment where the NV spin is prepared in the state $m_{NV} = 1$, and the operator $S_z(N)$ is measured after $N$ rotary echo cycles. At resonance, the initial coherent superposition $|1\rangle = (|+\rangle + |-\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ is decohered in the basis $|\pm\rangle$ as a result of entanglement with the unpolarized nuclear spin, so that the resonance can be detected by sudden onset of strong decay of $S_z(N)$. Analytical solution, which remains valid at long times ($N \gg 1$), is derived as explained in the Methods section, also see Supplementary Information for details. The result is:

$$\text{Signal} = 2 \langle S_z(N) \rangle = 1 - \frac{2g^2\mu^2}{\sin^2 2\varphi + g^2/\mu^2} L(N),$$

and in the vicinity of the resonance $g\mu \approx (A_1/\hbar)\sin \varphi \cos \phi$ and $L(N) \approx \sin^2(N g\mu)$, where $\phi = hT$. The signal envelope as a function of the switching time $T$ (or the angle $\varphi$) has Lorentzian shape with the width $\Delta \varphi = (A_1/\hbar)\cos \phi$ (for $N \gg h/A_1$) and the depth $\sin^2(N (A_1/\hbar)\cos \phi)$. To maximize the depth, the driving should be adjusted to have $\phi = hT = nm$ with integer $m$. This also minimizes interference between different nuclear spins and the influence of the fluctuations in the driving power, see Supplementary Information, and below we always assume this condition satisfied. Typical dependence of the signal on $T$ is shown in Fig. 2, where the resonances at $T_{k-1}$ and $T_{k+1}$ are clearly seen. The analytical solution (5) is very precise: it practically coincides with the direct numerical simulation of the two-spin system described by the Hamiltonian (1).

For a weakly coupled nucleus, located sufficiently far from the NV center, the hyperfine coupling is determined primarily by dipolar interaction, so that $A_\parallel = (\gamma_\gamma \gamma_\text{n}/R^3) [1 - 3R_2^2/R^3]$ where $R$ is the vector connecting the positions of the NV center and the nucleus, and $\gamma_\gamma$ and $\gamma_\text{n}$ are the electronic and nuclear gyromagnetic ratios, respectively. Therefore, the nuclei from different locations produce the peaks at different values of $T$, and hence can be resolved.

To test our approach, and to illustrate its performance under realistic circumstances, we performed direct simulations of the rotary-echo detection for the NV center coupled to 14 nuclear spins of $^{13}$C randomly located in diamond lattice. The simulation results, given in Fig. 3 for $k = 3$, clearly show the sharp, well-resolved peaks, corresponding to different nuclei, with selectivity in sub-kHz region, even for very modest driving $\hbar \sim 2\pi \cdot 10$ MHz (see also Supplementary Information for more details). Some nuclei, located at the symmetry-related positions in the lattice, have the same $A_\parallel$ and hence the same resonance value of $T$; to resolve them, the static bias field should be tilted away from the symmetry axis of the NV center. The simulations also confirm our conclusion (see Supplementary Information) that the NV-mediated interaction between the nuclear spins, caused by the driving, does not noticeably affect the detection efficiency.

For a fixed total interrogation time $t_N = 4NT$, the resonance width determines selectivity of the protocol, i.e. the ability to resolve two nuclei with close hyperfine couplings $\omega_h^a$ and $\omega_h^b$ (i.e. $\omega_h^{a,b} \sim \omega_h$, $\omega_h^a - \omega_h^b \ll \omega_h$). High selectivity of the suggested scheme is determined by the small width of the resonances achieved at $N \gg h/A_1$, this width is $\Delta T = A_1/\hbar \omega_1 \sim \omega_h^b/\hbar \omega_1$ and decreases as driving $h$ increases (for estimates here and below we neglect the difference between $A_\parallel$ and $A_\perp$, taking $A_\parallel \sim A_\perp \sim \omega_h$). The resonance width $\Delta T$ should be compared to the distance between the $k$-order resonances from two nuclear spins, which is $\sim (2k - 1) (\omega_h^a - \omega_h^b)/\omega_h^b$. Thus, the resonances are resolved when $\omega_h^a - \omega_h^b/\omega_h^b \sim (\omega_h^a/\hbar)/(2k - 1)$. For a typical experiment, detecting $^{13}$C spins, with the bias field of $400G$ ($\omega_h^b = 2\pi \cdot 428 kHz$) and driving of $h = 2\pi \cdot 10$ MHz, for $k = 3$, the condition of resolved resonances is $\omega_h^a - \omega_h^b/\omega_h^b \gtrsim 1/100$.

Note that the protocols discussed in this work are, in fact, two-dimensional, with the signal depending on some adjustable parameter ($T$ for our scheme, $\tau$ for the pulse dynamical decoupling, etc.), and on the
total interrogation time. Analyzing the latter dependence was proposed as a way to increase resolution; this option can also be used within the pulse dynamical decoupling detection, and within the protocol presented here. However, the resulting improvement strongly depends on experimental details, and is beyond the scope of the present paper.

**Discussion**

The fact that the resonance width can be adjusted by simply changing the driving $h$ is a key feature of the proposed protocol, which leads to great improvement in selectivity. In the currently existing detection schemes, the width of the resonance is naturally limited, being determined by the coupling constants $A_{\parallel}$ and $A_{\perp}$. The scheme proposed here is the first, to our knowledge, where the width of the resonances can be tuned at experimentalist’s will; this allows narrowing of the resonances by a factor of 10–100 in comparison with other existing schemes (see Supplementary Information for details), albeit at the expense of the longer interrogation time. Also, stronger driving greatly improves the coherence time of the NV spin. Moreover, using the rotary echo protocol with the optimal choice of driving $h$ significantly reduces the impact of slow fluctuations in the driving strength, which is an important limiting factor for the Hartmann-Hahn double resonance detection.

Above we omitted the NV center’s own $^{14}$N (or $^{15}$N) nuclear spin: we assume that it is 100% polarized in the state with a given $m_I$, and that the driving is applied at the frequency of the corresponding transition. Without such polarization, the hyperfine levels which are not in resonance with the Rabi driving field are quickly decohered, since the on-site hyperfine coupling (2.15 MHz for $^{14}$N, and 3.03 MHz for $^{15}$N) is comparable to a typical driving strength, so that overall detection quality degrades (see Supplementary Information). Fortunately, polarization of the NV’s own nuclear spin can be easily achieved in different ways, and incorporated in the experimental protocol.

---

**Figure 2.** (a) Generally, the rotary echo protocol efficiently decouples the nuclear spin from the NV center: evolution of the nuclear spin is practically independent of the NV spin state (left). However, when the switching is on resonance with the nuclear spin precession ($T = T_k$), the nuclear spin entangles with the NV spin: its evolution is conditioned on the initial NV spin state (right). (b) When $T$ becomes equal to the resonance value $T_k$, entanglement with the nuclear spin leads to faster decay of the NV electron spin, seen as a resonant drop of the signal. Graph shows the rotary echo signal (defined everywhere in the paper as $2\langle S_z(N)\rangle$) after $N = 50$ cycles as a function of $T$, for $\omega_L = 2\pi \cdot 430$ kHz, driving $h = 2\pi \cdot 3.51$ MHz, and coupling constants $A_{\parallel} = -2\pi \cdot 9.55$ kHz, $A_{\perp} = 2\pi \cdot 38.2$ kHz. The resonances of the orders $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ are marked with green dashed lines.
Concluding, we presented a scheme for one-by-one detection of the nuclear spins weakly coupled to an electron spin (e.g. the NV center in diamond), using the rotary echo protocol. The key feature of this scheme is the ability to experimentally adjust, and thus greatly narrow, the width of the resonance peaks corresponding to the detected nuclear spins. When compared with the existing approaches, the scheme proposed here provides significant improvement in the selectivity of the nuclear spin detection, albeit being more demanding to the quality of experimental setup (see Supplementary Information) in terms of...
of the fast switching of the driving field and the timing precision (~0.5 ns, determined by the width of the resonant peak), and increased interrogation time (equal to 4NT; about 1 ms per run for parameters used in Fig. 3). Moreover, the scheme discussed here, and the considerations above, are not specific to the NV center, and can be applied to a wide range of other electron-nuclear systems, such as dopant atoms in semiconductors and other color centers, using the electron spins as probes for the surrounding nuclei.

Methods

Analytical Calculations. NV center is subjected to the static magnetic field parallel to its quantization axis, and to a strong driving rf field at the frequency of the transition between the two lowest sublevels, \( m_{\text{NV}} = 0 \) and \( m_{\text{NV}} = 1 \) (|0\rangle and |1\rangle, respectively), and coupled to a \(^{13}\text{C}\) nuclear spin \( I = 1/2 \) via dipole-dipole interaction; the sublevel \( m_{\text{NV}} = -1 \) is idle and thus ignored. Within the secular approximation, in the frame rotating with the frequency of the rf field, the system’s Hamiltonian is

\[
H = \hbar S_z + \left( A_x I_x + A_y I_y + A_z I_z \right) \otimes \ket{1} \bra{1} + \omega L I_z,
\]

where \( S_z = \frac{1}{2} \left( \ket{1} \bra{1} + \ket{0} \bra{0} \right) \), \( \hbar \) is the Rabi driving field, \( \omega_L \) is the Larmor frequency of the nuclear spin, and \( A_i \) are the components of the hyperfine field created by the NV spin at the nuclear spin position. The coupling constants are \( A_i = -\gamma_c \gamma \left( 3R_z R_i/R^3 - \delta_{ii}/R^3 \right) \), where \( R \) is the vector connecting NV center and the nuclear spin, \( i = x, y, z \), \( \gamma \) and \( \gamma_0 \) are the electronic and nuclear gyromagnetic ratios, and \( \delta_{ii} \) is the Kronecker’s symbol. For a remote \((r \geq 0.5\text{nm})\) nucleus, the coupling strength \( \omega_L = (\sum A_i^2)^{1/2} \) is small, so \( \hbar \gg \omega_L \gg \omega_h \). Introducing the operator \( S_z = \frac{1}{2} \left( \ket{1} - \ket{0} \bra{0} \right) \), with appropriate rotation of the nuclear spin coordinate axes, the Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H = \hbar S_z + \left( A_x I_x + A_y I_y + A_z I_z \right) S_z + \left( \omega_L + \frac{\omega_h}{2} \right) I_z,
\]

where \( A_x = A_y = A_z = \left( A_x^2 + A_y^2 \right)^{1/2} \) and the terms \( \sim A_x^2 / \omega_L \) are neglected, since they only slightly renormalize \( \omega_L \). \( A_x \) and \( A_y \). We parametrize \( A_y = \omega_h \cos \theta \) and \( A_x = \omega_h \sin \theta \), where \( \theta \) is the angle between the hyperfine field and the NV symmetry axis, and is related to the polar angle, \( \theta_0 \) of the vector \( R \) through \( \sin \theta = 3 \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_0 \left( 3 \cos^2 \theta_0 - 1 \right)^{1/2} \) and \( \cos \theta = \left( 3 \cos^2 \theta_0 - 1 \right)^{1/2} \).

The evolution operator \( U \) of a single rotary echo cycle is given by Eq. 2 of the main text. We analyze dynamics by finding \( \ln U \) to the first order in the small parameter \( g = \omega_h / \hbar \). We achieve that by relating the Hamiltonian \( H \) of Eq. 1 and the non-interacting (zero-order) Hamiltonian \( H_0 = \hbar S_z + \left( \omega_L + A_y / 2 \right) I_z \) (see Eq. 3). This is done in two steps; first we apply to \( H_0 \) the Schrieffer-Wolfe type transformation via the unitary operator \( W_0 = \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} g \left( \cos \theta I_z + \sin \theta \gamma L \right) S_y \right) \), and obtain

\[
W_0 H_0 W_0^\dagger = (h - g \omega_h/8) S_z + \left( A_1 I_x + A_1 I_y \right) S_z + \left( \omega_L + A_y / 2 \right) I_z + g \omega_L \sin \theta I_y S_y,
\]

where we neglected terms of the order \( g^2 \omega_L \), \( g^3 \omega_L \), and higher. Next transformation, with \( W_1 = \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} g \omega_h / \hbar \right) \sin \theta I_y S_y \), eliminates the last term in the equation above, and we find that \( H = W_1 W_0 H_0 W_0^\dagger W_1^\dagger \). Correspondingly, for the evolution operator we have \( U = W_1 W_0 \tilde{U} W_0^\dagger W_1^\dagger \), where

\[
\tilde{U} = \exp \left( -i T H_{0-} \right) W_0^2 \exp \left( -2i T H_{0-} \right) W_0^2 \exp \left( -i T H_{0+} \right),
\]

with \( H_{0\pm} = H_0(\pm h) \); here \( W_1 \) disappears as it commutes with \( H_0 \) and is even with respect to the sign change of \( h \). Expanding \( W_0 \) in terms of small \( g \), we find \( U \), and re-write it as a single exponential,

\[
U = \pm \exp \left( -2r_1 I_z + 4i g \frac{\gamma}{\sin 2\phi} \left[ r_x I_x S_z + r_y I_y S_y \right] + 4i g r_1 I_z S_z \right).
\]

where \( \phi = NT \), and the coefficients \( r_x = -\sin \theta \cos \phi \sin \phi \), \( r_y = -\cos \theta \sin \phi \), and \( r_z = -\cos \theta \sin \phi \); the total sign \( \pm \) should be chosen to be the same as the sign of \( \cos 2\phi \), whereas the parameter \( \gamma \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \) is given by \( \tan \gamma = \tan 2\phi \). The rotary echo signal after \( N \) cycles is found by evaluating the trace, \( 2 \langle S_z(N) \rangle = \text{Tr} \left( U^N S_z U^{i N} S_z \right) \); by writing \( U \) as a single exponential, \( U^N \) is evaluated in a straightforward but lengthy manner (see Supplementary Information for details), giving the results mentioned in the main text.

Numerical Simulations. The numerical simulations were performed for one electron spin of the NV center, and \( 14 \) nuclear spins \(^{13}\text{C}\) located at the sites of the diamond lattice. To make the system reasonably realistic, the lattice sites for the first six nuclear spins were taken as close as possible to the spins detected in ref. 5. The rest of the spins were placed randomly on the diamond lattice, with the restriction that the resulting density of the \(^{13}\text{C}\) spins corresponds to their natural abundance of 1.08%. The simulations were performed in the rotating frame, using the Hamiltonian with 14 nuclear spins:
\[ H = \hbar S_z + \sum_{j=1}^{14} \left( A^j_x I_x^j + A^j_y I_y^j \right) \otimes |1\rangle \langle 1| + \sum_{j=1}^{14} \omega L I_z^j. \]  

(11)

The electron spin of the NV center was assumed to be localized at the midpoint between the positions of the nitrogen atom and the vacancy. The hyperfine interaction between the \( j \)-th nuclear spin and the electron spin were assumed to be of purely dipole-dipole nature, and the interaction constants \( A^j_x \) and \( A^j_y \) were calculated using the simulated locations of the nuclear spins. Additional numerical results and comparison with the pulse-based detection method are given in Supplementary Information.

References

1. Degen, C. L., Poggio, M., Mamin, H. J., Rettnier, C. T. & Rugar, D. Nanoscale magnetic resonance imaging. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 106, 1313–1317 (2009).
2. Vincent, R., Klyatskaya, S., Ruben, M., Wernsdorfer, W. & Balestro, F. Electronic read-out of a single nuclear spin using a molecular spin transistor. Nature 488, 357–360 (2012).
3. Pia, J. J. et al. High-fidelity readout and control of a nuclear spin qubit in silicon. Nature 496, 334–338 (2013).
4. Morton, J. J. L., McCamey, D. R., Eriksson, M. A. & Lyon, S. A. Embracing the quantum limit in silicon computing. Nature 479, 345–353 (2011).
5. Taminiau, T. H. et al. Detection and control of individual nuclear spins using a weakly coupled electron spin. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 137602 (2012).
6. Kolkowitz, S., Unterreithmeier, Q. P., Bennett, S. D. & Lukin, M. D. Sensing distant nuclear spins with a single electron spin. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 137601 (2012).
7. Zhao, N. et al. Sensing single remote nuclear spins. Nature NanoTech. 7, 657–662 (2012).
8. London, P. et al. Detecting and polarizing nuclear spins with double resonance on a single electron spin. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 067601 (2013).
9. Dutt, M. V. G. et al. Quantum register based on individual electronic and nuclear spin qubits in diamond. Science 316, 1312–1316 (2007).
10. Cappellaro, P., Jiang, L., Hodges, J. S. & Lukin, M. D. Coherence and control of quantum registers based on electronic spin in a nuclear spin bath. Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 210502 (2009).
11. Pfaff, W. et al. Unconditional quantum teleportation between distant solid-state quantum bits. Science 345, 532–535 (2014).
12. Taminiau, T. H., Cramer, J., van der Sar, T., Dobrovitski, V. V. & Hanson, R. Universal control and error correction in multi-qubit spin registers in diamond. Nature Nano. 9, 171–176 (2014).
13. Neumann, P. et al. Multiparticle entanglement among single spins in diamond. Science 320, 1326–1329 (2008).
14. Awschalom, D. D., Bassett, L. C., Dzurak, A. S., Hu, E. L. & Petta, J. R. Quantum spintronics: engineering and manipulating atom-like spins in semiconductors. Science 339, 1174–1179 (2013).
15. Fuchs, G. D., Burkard, G., Klimov, P. V. & Awschalom, D. D. A quantum memory intrinsic to single nitrogen-vacancy centres in diamond. Nature Phys. 7, 789–793 (2011).
16. Maurer, P. C. et al. Room-temperature quantum bit memory exceeding one second. Science 336, 1283–1286 (2012).
17. Zhang, Y., Ryan, C. A., Laflamme, R. & Baugh, J. Coherent control of two nuclear spins using the anisotropic hyperfine interaction. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 170503 (2011).
18. Hodges, J. S., Yang, J. C., Ramanathan, C. & Cory, D. G. Universal control of nuclear spins via anisotropic hyperfine interactions. Phys. Rev. A 78, 010303(R) (2008).
19. Childress, L. et al. Coherent dynamics of coupled electron and nuclear spin qubits in diamond. Science 314, 281–285 (2006).
20. Dobrovitski, V. V., Fuchs, G. D., Falk, A. L., Santori, C. & Awschalom, D. D. Quantum control over single spins in diamond. Ann. Rev. Cond. Matter Phys. 4, 23–50 (2013).
21. Schirhagl, R., Chang, K., Loretz, M. & Degen, C. L. Nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond: nanoscale sensors for physics and biology. Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 65, 83–105 (2014).
22. Ajoy, A., Bissbort, U., Lukin, M. D., Walsworth, R. & Cappellaro, P. Atomic-scale nuclear spin imaging using quantum-assisted sensors in diamond. arXiv:1407.3134.
23. Kost, M., Cai, J. & Plenio, M. B. Resolving single molecule structures with nitrogen-vacancy centers in diamond. arXiv:1407.6262.
24. Zhao, N., Hu, J.-L., Wan, J. T. K. & Liu, R. B. Atomic-scale magnetometry of distant nuclear spin clusters via nitrogen-vacancy spin in diamond. Nature Nano. 6, 242–246 (2011).
25. Ajoy, A., Bissbort, U., Lukin, M. D., Walsworth, R. & Cappellaro, P. Atomic-scale nuclear spin imaging using quantum-assisted sensors in diamond. arXiv:1407.3134.
26. Staudacher, T. et al. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy on a (5-Nanometer) sample volume. Science 339, 561–563 (2013).
27. Cai, J., Jelezko, F., Plenio, M. B. & Breyer, A. Diamond-based single-molecule magnetic resonance spectroscopy. New J. Phys. 15, 013020 (2013).
28. Solomon, I. Rotary spin echoes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 301 (1959).
29. Larouzi, A. & Meriles, C. A. Rotating frame spin dynamics of a nitrogen-vacancy center in a diamond nanocrystal. Phys. Rev. B 84, 161403 (2011).
30. Aiello, C. D., Hirose, M. & Cappellaro, P. Composite-pulse magnetometry with a solid-state quantum sensor. Nature Comm. 4, 1149 (2013).
31. Mikhitarian, V. V. & Dobrovitski, V. V. Decay of the rotary echoes for the spin of a nitrogen-vacancy center in diamond. Phys. Rev. B 89, 224402 (2014).
32. Kaplan, D. E. & Hahn, E. L. Expériences de double radiation en résonance magnétique par la méthode d’impulsion. J. Phys. Radium 19, 821–825 (1958).
33. Jacques, V. et al. Dynamic polarization of single nuclear spins by optical pumping of nitrogen-vacancy color centers in diamond at room temperature. Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 057403 (2009).
34. Neumann, P. et al. Single-shot readout of a single nuclear spin. Science 329, 542–544 (2010).
35. van der Sar, T. et al. Decoherence-protected quantum gates for a hybrid solid-state spin register. Nature 484, 82–86 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We thank R. Hanson, T. H. Taminiau, M. Raikh, and H. Terletska for helpful and important discussions. This work was supported by the Department of Energy—Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358.
Author Contributions
V.V.D. and F.J. conceived the method, V.V.M. and V.V.D. performed analytical and numerical studies. All authors analyzed and discussed the results and participated in writing the manuscript.

Additional Information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep
Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Mkhitaryan, V. V. et al. Highly selective detection of individual nuclear spins with rotary echo on an electron spin probe. Sci. Rep. 5, 15402; doi: 10.1038/srep15402 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/