Properties of ubiquitous magnetic reconnection events in the lower solar atmosphere
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Context.} Magnetic reconnection in the deep solar atmosphere can give rise to enhanced emission in the Balmer hydrogen lines, a phenomenon referred to as Ellerman bombs. Recent high-quality H\textsc{\textbeta} observations indicate that Ellerman bombs are more common than previously thought, and it was estimated that at any time, about half a million Ellerman bombs are present in the quiet Sun.

\textbf{Aims.} We performed an extensive statistical characterization of the quiet-Sun Ellerman bombs (QSEBs) in these new H\textsc{\textbeta} observations.

\textbf{Methods.} We analyzed a 1 h dataset of the quiet Sun observed with the Swedish 1-m Solar Telescope that consists of spectral imaging in the H\textsc{\textbeta} and H\textsc{\textalpha} lines as well as spectropolarimetric imaging in Fe\textsc{i} 6173 Å. We used the \textit{K}-means clustering and the 3D connected component labeling techniques to automatically detect QSEBs.

\textbf{Results.} We detected a total of 2809 QSEBs. The lifetimes vary between 9 s and 20.5 min, with a median of 1.14 min. The maximum area ranges between 0.0016 and 0.2603 Mm\textsuperscript{2}, with a median of 0.018 Mm\textsuperscript{2}. The maximum brightness in the H\textsc{\textbeta} wing varies between 1.06 and 2.76 with respect to the average wing intensity. A subset (14\%) of the QSEBs displays enhancement of the H\textsc{\textbeta} line core. On average, the line core brightening appears 0.88 min after the onset of brightening in the wings, and the distance between these brightenings is 243 km. This gives rise to an apparent propagation speed ranging between \textasciitilde14.3 and +23.5 km s\textsuperscript{-1}, with an average that is upward propagating at +4.4 km s\textsuperscript{-1}. The average orientation is nearly parallel to the limbward direction. QSEBs are nearly uniformly distributed over the field of view, but we find empty areas with the size of mesogranulation. QSEBs are located more frequently near the magnetic network, where they are often larger, live longer, and are brighter.

\textbf{Conclusions.} We conclude that QSEBs are ubiquitous in the quiet Sun and appear everywhere, except in areas of mesogranular size with the weakest magnetic fields (B\textsubscript{LOS} \lesssim 50 G). Our observations support the interpretation of reconnection along vertically extended current sheets.
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1. Introduction

The effect of magnetic reconnection on the solar atmosphere can be observed over a wide range of spatial and temporal scales. This ranges from flares and eruptions on the scale of active regions down to small, short-lived brightenings in the intergranular lanes in the deep solar atmosphere. These small-scale events are referred to as Ellerman bombs (EBs; \textcite{Ellerman1917}) when observed as enhancements of the broad spectral wings of the hydrogen Balmer lines. These EBs are most clearly observed in active regions with strong flux emergence, where they are located around the polarity inversion line and appear as subarcsecond-sized brightenings in the H\textsc{\textalpha} or H\textsc{\textbeta} line wing (see, e.g., \textcite{Georgoulis2002, Pariat2004, Pariat2007, Fang2006, Matsumoto2008, Watanabe2008, Libbrecht2017}). The characteristic Balmer EB spectral profile is traditionally referred to as looking moustache-like (\textcite{Severny1964}): enhanced wings that appear in emission (with a peak emission at about a Doppler offset of 40 km s\textsuperscript{-1}) and line core absorption that has a similarly low intensity level as the surroundings. High-resolution imaging spectroscopy has indicated that the enhanced wing emission can be attributed to heating in the low atmosphere and that the reconnection site is effectively obscured by the overlying chromospheric canopy of fibrils in the H\textsc{\textalpha} line core (\textcite{Watanabe2011, Vissers2013, Nelson2013}). The interpretation that EBs are a subcanopy phenomenon is supported by recent ALMA observations (\textcite{DaSilva2020}) and numerical modeling (\textcite{Hansteen2017, Hansteen2019, Danilovic2017}).

Under an inclined observing angle, H\textsc{\textalpha} wing images show EBs as tiny (1–2 Mm), bright, upright flames that flicker rapidly on a timescale of seconds (\textcite{Watanabe2011, Rutten2013, Nelson2013}). A wide spread in EB lifetimes is reported in the literature. For example, \textcite{Roy1973} and \textcite{Kurokawa1982} reported average lifetimes between 11 and 13 min, with the longest EB lived more than 40 min. In a sample of 139 EBs detected in high spatial resolution H\textsc{\textalpha} observations, \textcite{Vissers2013} found that 75\% had lifetimes shorter than 5 min.

The traditional view that the EB phenomenon is exclusive for active regions was challenged when first \textcite{RoupppevanderVoort2016} and later \textcite{Nelson2013} and \textcite{Shetye2018} observed tiny ($\lesssim$0.5) Ellerman-like brightenings in the quiet Sun when observed at extremely high
spatial resolution. Recently, Joshi et al. (2020, hereafter Paper I) analyzed new Hβ observations and found that quiet-Sun EBs (QSEB) are much more ubiquitous than the earlier Hα observations suggested. The shorter wavelength of the Hβ line allowed for higher spatial resolution and higher contrast and facilitated the detection of smaller and weaker EB events. The analysis suggested that about half a million QSEBs are present in the solar atmosphere at any time. The ubiquity of QSEBs raises the question of the contribution of small-scale magnetic reconnection events to the total energy budget of the solar atmosphere.

In this paper, we present an extensive analysis of the observations used in Paper I. Whereas the analysis in Paper I was primarily concentrated on the best seeing samples, we here analyze the full time sequence. We present a detailed discussion of the detection method and statistics on QSEB properties such as area, lifetime, and brightness. We find a strong correlation between the number of QSEB detections and seeing quality. The QSEB phenomenon could be one of the prime motivations to strive for higher spatial resolution in solar physics.

2. Observations

The observations were obtained with the CHROMIS and CRISP (Scharmer et al. 2008) imaging spectro(polari)meters at the Swedish 1-m Solar Telescope (SST; Scharmer et al. 2003) on 6 June 2019. The target was a quiet-Sun region at \((x, y) = (611'', -7'')\) under a viewing angle \(\mu = 0.76\) (with \(\mu = \cos \theta\) and \(\theta\) the angle with the surface normal). Figure 1 shows an overview of the observed field of view (FOV). The time series has a duration of 1 h and started at 8:41 UT.

CHROMIS sampled the Hβ spectral line at 4861 Å at 35 line positions between ±1.371 Å with 74 mÅ steps between ±1.184 Å. An Hβ line core image is shown in Fig. 1b. At each line position, a burst of 15 exposures was recorded, which were used for image restoration. The exposure time was 8 ms, and the time to complete a full Hβ spectral scan was 8.6 s. CHROMIS has a transmission profile full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 100 mÅ at 4860 Å, a pixel scale of 0.038, and a FOV of 66'' × 42''. A sample WB image is shown in Fig. 1a. The CHROMIS instrument has an auxiliary wide-band (WB) channel that is equipped with a continuum filter centered at 4845 Å (FWHM = 6.5 Å). The WB channel serves as anchor channel for image restoration and is equipped with two cameras that are strictly synchronized with the CHROMIS narrow-band camera. One of these cameras was put approximately one wave out of focus (3.35 mm) to allow for image restoration with phase diversity (following Löfdahl et al. 2002). The restored WB continuum images have the same cadence as the Hβ data. More details
on the optical setup on the SST imaging table are provided by Löfdahl et al. (2021).

CRISP ran a program sampling the Hα, Fe I 6173 Å, and Ca II 8542 Å spectral lines at a cadence of 35.9 s. CRISP sampled the Hα line at 11 line positions between ±1.5 Å with 300 mÅ steps. Bursts of six exposures were acquired at each line position. The Fe I 6173 Å line was observed with polarimetry and was sampled at 13 line positions (between 160 mÅ with 40 mÅ steps, and further at ±240 mÅ and ±320 mÅ) plus the continuum at ±680 mÅ from the nominal line core. Eight exposures per polarimetric state were acquired while the liquid crystal modulators were continuously cycling through four different states (this corresponds to 32 exposures per line position). Furthermore, spectropolarimetric observations were acquired in the Ca II 8542 Å line in 20 line positions. The Hα observations were analyzed in Paper I, whereas the Ca II 8542 Å data were not included in the analysis.

High spatial resolution was achieved by the combination of good seeing conditions, the adaptive optics system, and the high-quality CRISP and CHROMIS reimaging systems (Scharmer et al. 2019). We further applied image restoration using the multi-object multi-frame blind deconvolution (MOMFBD; van Noort et al. 2005) method. The data were processed with the standard SST data processing pipeline (de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. 2015; Löfdahl et al. 2021). The lower cadence and lower spatial resolution CRISP data (pixel scale 0″058) were aligned to the CHROMIS data through cross-correlation of the WB channels, which show similar photospheric scenes for both instruments. The CHROMIS field of view (FOV, approximately 66″ × 45″) and temporal cadence served as reference to which the CRISP data was matched in space (FOV about 59″ × 59″) by linear interpolation and in time by nearest-neighbor sampling. The alignment of the CRISP data included destretching to account for residual seeing-induced image deformation that was not accounted for by image restoration.

We have performed Milne–Eddington inversions of the Fe I 6173 Å line data to infer the magnetic field vector using a parallel C++/Python implementation1 (de la Cruz Rodríguez 2019). A map of the line-of-sight (LOS) magnetic field B_{LOS} is shown in Fig. 1c.

3. Methods and analysis

3.1. Identification of QSEBs in Hβ spectra

We used the k-means clustering algorithm (Everitt 1972) to identify spectral signatures of QSEBs in Hβ spectra. The k-means method segregates \( m \) number of data point with \( n \) features into \( k \) clusters. In our case, the data points are the spatially resolved image elements, and features are the 35 wavelength positions sampled in the Hβ line. Each cluster is represented by a cluster center, that is, the mean of all data points in a cluster. The clustering is improved through an iterative process for which the converging criterion is to minimize inertia, that is, the within-cluster sum of squared Euclidean distances from the cluster center. The algorithm is initialized by \( k \) numbers of predefined centers, and each data point is assigned to a closest (measured in Euclidean distance) center, thus creating the initial clusters. In each subsequent iteration, new centers are calculated from clusters defined in the previous iteration, and this process continues until the algorithm converges. The k-means algorithm always converges, but sometimes to a local minimum due to the strong dependence on the initialization, that is, the initial selection for cluster centers. We used the k-means++ (Arthur & Vassilvitskii 2007) method for initialization, which at first defines a cluster center from randomly selected data points and subsequently defines new cluster centers such that they are farthest from previously chosen centers.

Before applying k-means clustering, it is important to determine the minimum number of clusters required to optimally represent the observations. We studied the change in the total inertia with respect to varying \( k \) between 30 and 130 (a plot of the change in inertia is shown in Fig. A.1). Using the elbow method (see Appendix A), we chose \( k = 100 \). A similar method was used by Bose et al. (2019) to determine the minimum number of clusters in an SST dataset in both Ca II K and Hα.

We selected 40 scans with good seeing conditions out of the total of 420 scans spread over the whole time series to train our k-means model. The derived model was used to predict the closest cluster centers for each pixel in the complete time series. Hereafter, we refer to the cluster centers as representative profiles (RP). Figure 1d shows the RP index map for one scan, demonstrating that each pixel belongs to one particular cluster. Out of the 100 RPs, we selected 24 RPs to detect QSEBs. These 24 selected clusters are shown in Fig. 2 (the remaining 76 RPs are shown in Fig. A.2). Representative profiles 0–8 have the clearest characteristic spectral signature of QSEBs in the Hβ line, that is, enhanced inner wings and unaffected line core. For RPs 9–15, only a weak intensity enhancement is found in the line wings. It has been shown in Paper I that some QSEBs also exhibit brightening in the Hβ line core besides in the wings. Therefore, we also included RPs 16–23, which have an elevated Hβ line core compared to the average profile. The RPs with weak enhancement in the wings (RPs 9–15) and RPs with line core brightening (RPs 16–23) were only considered as a part of a QSEB if they appeared spatially and temporally in conjunction with RPs 0–8, which show the telltale sign of QSEBs; we elaborate more on this matter in the following subsection.

3.2. Detection of QSEBs

For the detection of QSEBs, we located all the pixels that belong to one of the selected RPs. For example, Fig. 3b highlights all the pixels with selected RPs at one time step. We created binary images based on the spatial location of RPs 0–23. We refer to pixels with RPs 0–23 as “foreground” and the remaining pixels as “background”. In order to track QSEBs in time, we performed a three-dimensional (3D) morphological closing operation to connect areas with selected RPs over multiple consecutive scans. We used a 3 × 3 × 3 structural element that covers the two spatial as well as the temporal dimension. The 3D morphological operation for a QSEB is illustrated in Fig. 4. The closing operation fills gaps between the foreground pixels. For example, the third row of Fig. 4 shows that the foreground pixels have gaps at time steps \( t - t_0 = 103.2 \) and 129.0 s, which are filled by the closing operations (see the bottom row). Similarly, any temporal gaps of one time step in the foreground pixels are also filled by the 3D closing operation. These temporal gaps are mostly caused by variations in image quality due to variable seeing conditions.

We executed a 3D connected component labeling (Fiorio & Gustedt 1996) on foreground pixels originating from the 3D morphological image processing. The connected component labeling allows us to uniquely label foreground pixels that are connected neighbors. The labeling algorithm

1 https://github.com/jaimedelacruz/pyMilne
Fig. 2. Twenty-four RPs from the $k$-means clustering of the H$\beta$ line that are identified as the signature of QSEB. The black lines show RPs, and shaded colored areas represent the density distribution of H$\beta$ spectra within a cluster; darker shades indicate higher density. Within a particular cluster, the H$\beta$ profile that is farthest (measured in Euclidean distance) from the corresponding RPs is shown by the dotted black line. As reference, the average quiet-Sun profile (gray line) is plotted in each panel. RPs 0–8 show the typical EB-like H$\beta$ profiles, i.e., significantly enhanced wings and an unaffected line core, while RPs 9–15 display weak enhancement in the wings. RPs 15–23 show intensity enhancement in the line core. The parameter $n$ represents the number of pixels in a cluster as the percentage of the total of $\sim 3.07 \times 10^{10}$ pixels.

requires a predefined criterion for connectivity. We prescribed a 26 neighborhood connectivity in 3D, that is, two pixels are considered connected if they share a face, edge, or corner. Through this method, we detected 15,938 “events”, all labeled uniquely. For instance, the event shown in Fig. 4 was labeled event number 632. Moreover, not all the detected events are QSEBs. To qualify as QSEB, an event must have at least one pixel belonging to RPs 0–8 at any time during its lifetime. A total of 2809 events satisfied the described condition. These were thus considered QSEBs. Circles in the bottom panels of Fig. 3 mark a few example events that did not qualify as QSEB. We also excluded the events that have a lifetime shorter than two time steps (17.2 s) and have a maximum area smaller than five pixels. This means that a large event that appears in only one time step, or a small event that lives for more than two time steps, are still considered valid QSEBs. In total, we excluded 345 events that were too small and too short lived.

Figure 4 also explains the reason for including RPs 9–15 in the QSEB detection. At the onset, the QSEB has an only weak intensity enhancement in the H$\beta$ line wings. Therefore, at $t-t_0 = 25.8$ s, the QSEB pixels are identified by RPs 9–15. As the QSEB evolves, the central part exhibits a higher intensity enhancement and is identified by RPs 0–8, however, pixels at the edges show a weak intensity enhancement (RP 9–15). For an accurate measurement of the lifetime and area of QSEBs, including RPs 9–15 is therefore necessary.
3.3. Measuring QSEB properties

In the next step we measured some basic properties such as lifetime, maximum area, and maximum brightness of all the detected QSEBs. For the lifetime measurements, we simply counted the number of scans from the start until the end of an event. For the area, we considered the scan when a QSEB occupied the maximum number of pixels. The QSEB in Fig. 4 had a lifetime of 137.6 s and covered an area of 0.0736 Mm$^2$ at the time of maximum area (at $t - t_0 = 129$ s).

The maximum brightness of a QSEB was measured with respect to the averaged intensity in the local background. We located the pixel within a QSEB event that had a maximum intensity enhancement in the line wings of the H$\beta$ line. The obtained maximum intensity enhancement value was normalized to the far wing (average of the two extreme line positions sampled in the H$\beta$ line, at $\Delta \lambda = \pm 1.371$ Å) intensity averaged over 50 $\times$ 50 pixels surrounding the QSEB, but excluding the QSEB pixels. The QSEB in Fig. 4 reached a maximum brightness of 2.54 (at $t - t_0 = 120.4$ s).

**QSEBs with line core brightening.** As mentioned earlier and reported in Paper I, some QSEBs exhibit a brightening in the H$\beta$ line core. We have identified 396 QSEBs with line core brightening (14% of the total number of detected QSEBs). In Paper I we showed that the QSEB brightening in the H$\beta$ line core appears with a temporal delay and spatial offset compared to the brightening in the line wings. We determined the temporal delay ($\Delta t$) and spatial offset ($d$) between line wing and line core brightening for all the QSEBs with a line-core brightening. For this purpose, we considered the temporal difference between the first appearance of a QSEB in RPs 0–15 and the first appearance in RPs 16–23. For the spatial offset, we determined the separation between the centers of gravity of the area with line wing brightening and the area with line core brightening at their respective first appearances in a QSEB event. An example of this measurement is shown in Fig. 5. The orientation of the spatial offset between line wing brightening and line core brightening is measured with respect to the horizontal direction, as illustrated in Fig. 5.
Impact of seeing on the QSEB detection. The image quality varies with atmospheric seeing conditions. In order to study the impact of seeing on the detection of QSEBs, we used measurements of the Fried parameter $r_0$ and contrast variations in the WB images. The Fried parameter is routinely measured at the SST from data taken with the wavefront sensor of the adaptive optics system (see Scharmer et al. 2019). We used the measurements of $r_0$ that are mostly sensitive to near-ground seeing. The $r_0$ values varied between 4.5 and 56.5 cm, and the WB contrast values varied between 7.8 and 17.4%. Only five time steps had contrast values below 10% and stand out for their poor image quality.

4. Results

Over the 1 h duration time series, we detected a total of 2809 QSEBs. Figure 3a shows the detection of 91 QSEBs marked with red contours in a H\(\beta\) wing map recorded during excellent seeing ($r_0$ varying between 37 and 47 cm). The QSEBs typically appear as small and elongated brightenings in the H\(\beta\) wing images. We refer to Paper I, where close-up images as well as detailed spectral profiles and a comparison with H\(\alpha\) for a number of examples are shown. The animation of Fig. 3 shows that the QSEBs are present throughout the FOV.

The $k$-means clustering method used in identifying QSEBs is able to distinguish between intensity enhancement associated with magnetic bright points and QSEBs. Magnetic bright points exhibit high intensity contrast in H\(\alpha\) and H\(\beta\) line wing images (Leenaarts et al. 2006) and can easily be mistaken for EB-like events if the EB detection is solely based on a contrast threshold applied to H\(\alpha\) or H\(\beta\) line wing images (Rutten et al. 2013). As explained in Sect. 3.1, with the $k$-means clustering, we selected RPs that show intensity enhancement in the H\(\beta\) inner wings relative to the outer wings. Figure A.2 shows that RPs 24–47 all have higher overall wing intensity than the average quiet-Sun profile. These can be attributed to bright areas in granules and bright points.

The H\(\alpha\) and H\(\beta\) line wings form under nearly local thermodynamical equilibrium conditions (Leenaarts et al. 2006). Therefore, an enhancement in the inner wings compared to the outer wings can be interpreted as a temperature enhancement in the upper photosphere relative to the atmosphere below. Figure 3 demonstrates the efficiency of our detection method in finding QSEBs and distinguishing them from magnetic bright points. For example, the bright points around ($x$, $y$) = (30, 26) Mm in Fig. 3a are successfully eliminated through the detection procedure. Some QSEBs appear at and near bright points. In these situations, our method only identifies part of a BP that shows the characteristic QSEB spectral signatures; for example, the bright points and QSEBs at ($\Delta x$, $\Delta y$) = (1, 0) Mm in panel e.

4.1. Statistical properties

The distributions of the measured maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness of all QSEBs are presented in Fig. 6. The maximum area varies between 0.0016 Mm$^2$ (2 pixels) and 0.2603 Mm$^2$ (338 pixels). We found QSEBs as short lived as 8.6 s (two time steps), and the longest-lived QSEB has a lifetime...
of 20.5 min (143 time steps). The maximum brightness of the QSEBs ranges between 1.06 and 2.76. The statistics shown in Fig. 6 excludes one outlier QSEB whose values for maximum area, maximum brightness, and lifetime are far greater than for the other QSEBs. All the distributions are positively skewed, that is, the distributions have more weight toward the lower values and a tail toward the higher values. The histogram of the maximum area shows a sharp cutoff at 0.0016 Mm² (two pixels). The mean and median values of the maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness are given in Table 1. The joint probability distribution functions (JPDFs) and the scatter plot between the three parameters are displayed in Fig. 6 with the purpose of analyzing their relations. In general, there is a trend that QSEBs with a larger maximum area have a longer lifetime and are brighter as well. However, the scatter between these parameters is very large. For example, several QSEBs that have a smaller maximum area live long and some QSEBs with a short lifetime have a large maximum area. A similar spread in relation is present in the lifetime versus maximum brightness and maximum brightness versus maximum area JPDFs and scatter plots.

4.2. QSEBs with line core brightening

For some QSEBs, the brightening in the Hβ line wings also persists in the line core (see Paper I). The histograms of the maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness only for QSEBs with line core brightening are also shown in Fig. 6. The mean and median values are given in Table 1. Qualitatively, these histograms do not stand out as different compared to those for all the QSEBs. However, we found that 22.5% of the QSEBs with a maximum area larger than 0.0203 Mm² (median value) exhibit a brightening in the Hβ line core, but only 5.5% QSEBs show a line core brightening if their maximum area is below 0.0203 Mm². This implies that the larger the maximum area, the higher the probability that a QSEB manifests line core brightening. Similar conclusions can be drawn about the lifetime and maximum brightness, that is, the longer-lived and brighter QSEBs are more likely to exhibit line core brightening.

The QSEB examples shown in Paper I suggest that line core brightening appears with a temporal delay and spatial offset toward the nearest solar limb compared to its line wing counterparts. These results were interpreted as upward propagation of reconnection brightening in vertically elongated current sheets and the propagation speed for these examples was found to vary between 3 and 10 km s⁻¹. To place these results on a solid statistical footing, we analyzed all 396 QSEBs with line core brightening with the methods described in Sect. 3.3. Figure 7a shows the histogram of the time difference (Δt) between line wings and line core brightening. A positive value of Δt means that the brightening of the line wings precedes that of the line core. We found only 27 (6.8%) QSEBs with negative Δt, whereas 85.4% out of 396 QSEBs have Δt between 0 and 3 min. The mean and median values for Δt are 0.88 min and 0.72 min, respectively.

The distance (d) between the areas of line core brightening and areas of line wing brightening ranges between 0 and 696 km (see Fig. 7b). The mean and median values of d are 238 km and 208 km, respectively. With the obtained values of d and Δt, we measured the speed of propagation (d/Δt) of the brightening from the line wings to line core. The mean and median values of d/Δt are 4.4 km s⁻¹ and 3.9 km s⁻¹, respectively. About 73% of the QSEBs with a line core brightening have d/Δt between 0 and 10 km s⁻¹, while the extreme values in the d/Δt distribution are −14.3 km s⁻¹ and 23.5 km s⁻¹.

The distribution of the measured orientation of spatial offsets between line wings and line core brightening is presented in Fig. 7d. The mean and median values of the orientation are 26.7° and 33.0°, respectively. The orientation of the direction to the limb closest to the center of the FOV is 36°. Most of the QSEBs exhibit line core brightening with a spatial offset toward the closest limb compared to line wing brightening. We found that 81.5% have an orientation within ±90° from the closest limb direction (i.e., between −54° and +126°).

4.3. Spatial distribution of QSEBs

The animation of Fig. 3 shows that QSEBs occur almost everywhere in the observed FOV. We analyze the spatial distribution of QSEBs in detail in Fig. 8. Panel a shows a map of the extreme values of BLOS. Blue contours mark areas where there have been significant magnetic fields (|BLOS| > 50 G) of both polarities. This illustrates that the occurrence of opposite polarities in close vicinity is very common, also in network regions with a strong magnetic field. For example, the positive-polarity network patch at (x, y) = (20, 2) Mm is surrounded with blue contour patches at its outer perimeter. In panel b we locate all the pixels with a QSEB occurrence during the 1 h duration of the time series. We also highlight the pixels with multiple QSEB events with different colors. The spatial distribution of QSEBs can be compared to the photospheric magnetic field, which is shown as a background map. QSEBs can be found throughout the FOV, but QSEBs appear with higher temporal frequency at and close to the magnetic field concentrations in the network areas. The internetwork is also covered by QSEB events, but here we did not observe a repetition of events (no yellow or red pixels, only green). The spatial distribution of QSEBs shows small voids that are approximately 3–6 Mm wide. In other words, there are finite empty spaces in the FOV in which no QSEB events appear.


**Fig. 6.** Maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness statistics of QSEBs. The total number of QSEBs is 2809. The filled black histograms in panels a, b, and c represent the maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness distribution of QSEBs, respectively. The statistics of QSEBs with brightening in the Hβ line core (396 QSEBs) are presented by green histograms. The vertical red line in panels a and b marks the lower limit set by sampling: 0.0008 Mm$^2$ (one pixel) in area and 8.6 s in lifetime. Panels d–f: multivariate JPDFs and scatter plots between the maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness. The dark blue shade of the JPDFs indicates the highest density occurrence, and the lighter orange shade regions represent the low-density distribution. One outlier QSEB that has the longest lifetime (20.5 min), largest maximum area (0.2603 Mm$^2$), and highest maximum brightness (2.76) is not shown in order to restrict the plotting ranges.

**Table 1.** Statistical properties of QSEBs.

|                          | All QSEBs | QSEBs with LCB |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|
|                          | Mean    | Median         | Mean    | Median         |
| Max. area [Mm$^2$] (pixels) $^{(a)}$ | 0.0277 (36) | 0.0203 (26) | 0.0485 (62) | 0.0396 (51) |
| Lifetime [min] (frames) $^{(a)}$ | 1.65 (11) | 1.14 (8)      | 2.63 (18) | 2.00 (14)      |
| Max. brightness          | 1.28     | 1.22           | 1.39     | 1.33           |

Notes. $^{(a)}$The values in parentheses are the nearest integer numbers.

during 1 h. These voids are collocated with gaps in the B$_{LOS}$ maps in which |B$_{LOS}$| < 50 G.

Panels c–e address the question whether there is a spatial correlation with respect to the maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness. The QSEBs with a larger maximum area (>0.10 Mm$^2$) shown in red in panel c predominantly occur at and close to larger and stronger magnetic field concentrations in the network regions. On the other hand, QSEBs with a smaller (<0.05 Mm$^2$) and intermediate (0.05–0.10 Mm$^2$) maximum area do not have any spatial preference and appear both in the network and inter-network areas. Similar behaviors are found for QSEBs with a longer lifetime (>6 min) or higher brightness (>2.0) or both, that is, these QSEBs largely take place in the network regions. Moreover, shorter lived and less bright QSEBs do not exhibit any spatial preference.

Panel f of Fig. 8 shows the spatial distribution of QSEBs with line core brightening. There appears to be no spatial preference for these QSEBs, and they are distributed nearly uniformly throughout the FOV.

### 4.4. Impact of atmospheric seeing on QSEB detection

Even during the most favorable weather conditions, ground-based solar observations are prone to variable atmospheric seeing. We detected a significant number of QSEBs whose maximum area and lifetime are close to the spatial and temporal
resolution limit. Therefore, we analyzed the impact of variations in atmospheric seeing on the detection of QSEBs. The detected number of QSEBs in each Hα scan is shown and compared with the seeing condition as measured by the Fried parameter r₀ and WB image contrast in Fig. 9. It is evident that the number of detected QSEBs in a Hα scan highly depends on the r₀ values at the time when the scan is recorded. The scatter plot between WB image contrast and number of QSEBs indicate that we detect more QSEBs in higher-quality images. Even a slight variation in the image quality and seeing conditions severely affects the detection of QSEBs. Our best-quality WB images have a contrast of about 17% (r₀ ≥ 40 cm), and in these scans, we detected about 100 QSEBs on average. In the scans in which WB images have a contrast of about 16%, we found only 65 QSEBs on average. We see a further reduction in the number of detected QSEBs with a further decrease in contrast of the WB images.

The measurements of QSEB properties such as maximum area and brightness are also affected by the variations in atmospheric seeing. Figure 9c shows the scatter plot and JPDFs between the contrast of WB images and the maximum area of QSEBs. During the best seeing conditions where WB images have a contrast higher than 16%, we detected QSEBs with a maximum area ranging between 0.0016 Mm² and 0.18 Mm². As the contrast of WB images decreases, the measured maximum area of QSEBs tends to be smaller. Similarly, when the contrast of WB images is greater than 16%, we observed QSEBs with a maximum brightness reaching up to 2.4 (see panel d). However, as the seeing degrades, the measured maximum brightness of QSEBs is restricted to lower values. For QSEBs observed with a WB image contrast below 15%, the measured maximum brightness is below 1.8, with one outlier QSEB having a maximum brightness of 2.3.

Similar to the maximum area and maximum brightness, the measured lifetimes of the QSEBs can be affected by the varying seeing conditions. Due to a drop in the seeing conditions, a QSEB can appear with a delay, disappear prematurely, or disappear and reappear again, thus affecting the lifetime measurement. Since the seeing conditions were consistently of high quality, with only a few interruptions of very poor quality, we regard the measurements of long-duration QSEBs as reliable. It is more likely that we underestimate the number of short-duration QSEBs due to rapid seeing variations.

5. Discussions and conclusions

We performed a detailed statistical analysis of small-scale magnetic reconnection events in the lower solar atmosphere, which were recently reported to be ubiquitous in the quiet Sun (Paper I). Using k-means clustering followed by morphological operations, we detected a total of 2809 QSEBs over an FOV of 47 × 32 Mm and a duration of 1 h. We performed an extensive statistical characterization of these QSEBs and measured lifetimes, maximum area, maximum brightness, and the spatial distribution over the FOV.

The maximum area occupied by the QSEBs during their lifetime varied between 0.0016 Mm² and 0.2630 Mm². The distribution of QSEB maximum area is positively skewed, that is, QSEBs with a smaller maximum area were observed with higher frequency, while increasingly fewer QSEBs were found within an increasing maximum area. Toward smaller scales, the maximum area distribution has a sharp cutoff near the spatial resolution limit, indicating that a significant number of QSEBs were not fully resolved in the presented observations. Therefore, observations at even higher spatial resolution (i.e., better than 0′′1) are pivotal to fully explore the properties of QSEBs. The QSEB lifetimes were found to range between 8.6 s and 20.5 min. We found more QSEBs with shorter lifetimes (<2 min) and relatively fewer QSEBs with longer lifetimes. The median QSEB lifetime was 1.14 min. We found 48 QSEB events that appeared only in two time steps, suggesting that these events are not temporally resolved. The maximum brightness of QSEBs has a distribution similar to the distributions of maximum area and lifetime, that is, postively skewed with more QSEBs with weaker brightness enhancement and fewer with a strong brightness enhancement.

The JPDFs and scatter plot between maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness indicate that roughly speaking, QSEBs with a larger maximum area also have longer lifetimes and a higher brightness enhancement. However, there is a large spread. We also observed many events with a larger maximum area that lived for a shorter duration and exhibited a weaker maximum brightness. Similarly, some QSEBs events had a smaller maximum area, but were long lived and had a higher maximum brightness.

Vissers et al. (2019) analyzed the lifetime, area, and brightness contrast of 1735 EBs from ten different active regions observed in the Hα line with the SST. They found that the median value of EB lifetimes was about 3 min, which is approximately 2.5 times longer than for the QSEB lifetimes determined in this paper. Their results also suggest that EBs are approximately four times larger in area than QSEBs. The median value of area of the EBs observed by Vissers et al. (2019) was 0.076 Mm² (0′′14²), whereas we found that the median value of QSEB maximum area is 0.0203 Mm². On average,
Fig. 8. Spatial distribution of QSEBs and their magnetic environment over the full 1 h duration of the time series. Panel a shows the extremum of $B_{\text{LOS}}$ over the whole 1 h duration of the time series at each pixel. Blue contours mark pixels that have $|B_{\text{LOS}}| > 50$ G for both polarities during the time series. Panels b, c, d, and e: spatial location, area, lifetime, and brightness of QSEBs, respectively. In case of a multiple occurrence of QSEBs at a pixel (yellow and red areas in panel b), an average value of the parameters is presented in panels c–e. Panel f displays the location of QSEBs with line core brightening (LCB) in H$\beta$. The parameters presented in panels b–f are segregated into three different bins. The values of the respective colors are given in the legend above each panel. The darker gray background in panels b–f marks regions where $|B_{\text{max,LOS}}|$ is greater than 100 G, while lighter gray shade represents regions where $|B_{\text{max,LOS}}|$ is between 50 and 100 G.
the EBs observed by Vissers et al. (2019) had a higher brightness than the QSEBs reported in this paper. The distributions of maximum area, lifetime, and brightness of active region EBs observed by these authors are also positively skewed and are qualitatively very similar to those of QSEBs presented in our analysis. Vissers et al. (2019) reported an occurrence rate of 1.1 arcmin$^{-2}$ min$^{-1}$ (5.7 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ Mm$^{-2}$ min$^{-1}$) for EBs, which is at least an order of magnitude lower than the occurrence rate of 60.8 arcmin$^{-2}$ min$^{-1}$ (3.1 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ Mm$^{-2}$ min$^{-1}$) found for QSEBs in our analysis. We note that Vissers et al. (2019) observed the EBs in the H$\alpha$ line, whereas the QSEB observations reported in this paper are observed in the H$\beta$ line. Due to the shorter wavelength, H$\beta$ observations provide better spatial resolution and higher temperature sensitivity, and thus are more effective in detecting weaker and smaller QSEB and EB events. Therefore, a comprehensive comparison of EB and QSEB properties requires observations of both phenomena in the H$\beta$ line. We anticipate that active region observations in the H$\beta$ line will reveal a higher occurrence of EBs. For a literature review of the statistical properties of EBs, we refer to Vissers et al. (2019).

Rouppe van der Voort et al. (2021) observed EBs in the sunspot moat region and penumbra (penumbral EBs, or PEBs) in the H$\beta$ line with the SST. Their results show that the EBs in the moat region have a number density of 1.72 Mm$^{-2}$ and PEBs have a number density of 0.76 Mm$^{-2}$. This is a factor 19.1 and 8.4 times higher than the number density of QSEBs (0.09 Mm$^{-2}$) we found here.

The characteristic hydrogen Balmer spectral signature of EBs is an intensity enhancement in the line wings with an unaffected line core. For the majority of the observed QSEBs, we found such spectral signatures in the H$\beta$ line. However, 14% of QSEBs manifest a compact brightening in the H$\beta$ line core in tandem with their line wing counterparts. Moreover, line core brightenings exhibit a spatial and temporal offset with respect to line wing brightenings. In about 93% of the events, the line core brightening occurs with a time delay with respect to the onset of brightening in the line wings. The median value of the temporal delay is 53 s, while the median value of the spatial offset between areas of line wing and line core brightenings was found to be 204 km. In the majority of events, we found that the spatial offsets in the line core and line wing brightening locations are oriented in and close to the direction of the closest limb, with line core brightening appearing relatively closer to the limb. Since the observed FOV was away from the disk center ($\mu = 0.76$), the QSEBs were viewed from the side under an inclined angle, the temporal delay and spatial offset in line core brightenings can be interpreted as an upward-propagating brightening from the photosphere toward the lower chromosphere in vertically elongated current sheets. Our measurements suggest that the reconnection brightening in QSEBs propagates upward with speeds ranging between 0 and 23 km s$^{-1}$. QSEBs with a larger area, longer lifetime, and higher brightness have a higher probability to exhibit line core brightening in the H$\beta$ line. As discussed in Paper I, the observation of the H$\beta$ line core brightening and propagation of the brightening aligns well with the vertical current sheets in the simulations of Hansteen et al. (2019). These simulations demonstrate the occurrence of EBs and UV bursts (Peter et al. 2014; Young et al. 2018) along extended current
sheets, with EBs located in the deeper part of the atmosphere and UV bursts in the higher atmosphere. A spatial offset between EBs and UV bursts in off-center observations was observed by Vissers et al. (2015) and Chen et al. (2019). The observation of transition region Si IV emission associated with two QSEBs by Nelson et al. (2017) is also consistent with a scenario of reconnections along a vertical current sheet in QSEBs.

We found that QSEBs are nearly uniformly distributed over the observed FOV, that is, they occur everywhere in the quiet Sun, including the network and inter-network regions. However, in the network regions, QSEBs appear more frequently. Repetitions of QSEB events at one particular location in the inter-network region are rarely observed during the 1 h long time series. We observed that larger, longer-lived, and brighter QSEBs occur in the vicinity of network magnetic field concentrations. QSEBs with a smaller to intermediate maximum area, lifetime, and maximum brightness occur everywhere in the FOV, however. The differences between the properties of QSEBs appearing in the network and inter-network regions could be explained by the disparity of magnetic flux and energy between these regions. A similar interpretation holds for active region EBs being larger, longer-lived, and brighter than QSEBs. Our results indicate that QSEBs with line core broadening in the Hβ line do not have any spatial preference and appear evenly throughout the FOV.

Even though the QSEBs were ubiquitous and nearly uniformly distributed in the FOV, we found small voids 3–6 Mm wide in the inter-network regions. In these voids, no QSEB events occurred during our 1 h long observations. The voids in the spatial distribution of QSEBs coincide with areas in which the magnetic field remained very weak ($B_{\text{LOS}} < 50$ G) throughout the observations. The spatial scale of these voids is similar to the spatial scale of mesogranulation (see Spruit et al. 1990). Granulation and supergranulation are two distinctively recognizable convective patterns observed in the photosphere. However, the existence of the mesogranular convective scale is still under debate. Mesogranulation patterns, which have an average diameter of 5 Mm and a lifetime of 3 h, are mostly seen in horizontal flow divergence maps derived by tracking granules (see, e.g., November & Simon 1988; Muller et al. 1992; Leitzinger et al. 2005). On the other hand, the Fourier power spectra of photospheric Doppler maps do not reveal any distinct convective scale corresponding to mesogranulation (see, Wang 1989; Katsukawa & Orozco Suárez 2012). Yelles Chaouche et al. (2011) confirmed the absence of a discrete convective scale of mesogranular size, without denying its presence as a part of the convective power spectrum. However, these authors showed that 80% of the magnetic elements with a flux density above 30 G are concentrated in and around mesogranular lanes. Our analysis of the $B_{\text{LOS}}$ maps (see Fig. 8a) agrees with the results of Yelles Chaouche et al. (2011) in that we found regions with only weak fields ($|B_{\text{LOS}}| < 50$ G) with a typical mesogranular size. QSEBs occur at the edges of these regions and suggest that they require magnetic fields that are stronger than the weakest fields in the quiet Sun.

While the observing angle for this dataset is advantageous for viewing the characteristic EB flame morphology, it is not optimal for studying the detailed relation between the photospheric magnetic field topology and QSEB occurrence. We find that we do not always have a clear view of magnetic fields in intergranular lanes as they are sometimes hidden behind granular hill tops in the foreground. A study that can unambiguously track magnetic fields rooted in the photosphere and their relation to QSEB occurrence and evolution requires time sequences of observations more closer to the disk center that have an unobstructed view of the intergranular lanes.

In the best-quality scan, we found 126 QSEBs in the FOV. Our rough extrapolation suggests that as many as half a million QSEBs might be present on the solar surface at any given time. With this estimate, we neglect the possibility of regional variations in the QSEB population due to differences in magnetic activity and topology. For example, the QSEB population density could be different in enhanced network regions and in coronal holes.

QSEBs are difficult to observe because of their subarcsec spatial size and limited brightness enhancement, and they therefore require excellent quality observations. Our analysis on the efficiency in the detection of QSEBs in relation with seeing variations clearly shows that even a slight change in seeing conditions severely affects the detection of QSEBs. We detected up to 126 QSEBs in our best-quality Hβ scans that have an rms contrast of 17%. On the other hand, with 16% WB rms contrast, we found only 69 QSEBs on average, that is, a 45% reduction in detected QSEBs with only 1% reduction in the WB rms contrast. If we assume that on average, 100 QSEBs should be present in the FOV all the time and take a typical lifetime of 1.14 min, we estimate that under continuous excellent seeing conditions, we could have detected a total of 5250 QSEBs. This is 1.8 times higher than the actual detected number of QSEBs. We detected 12 157 events that exhibited only very weak intensity enhancement in the Hβ line wings (clustered as RPs 9–15, see Fig. 2) and were not considered QSEB. Some of these events could be actual QSEBs that remained undetected due to an inadequate image contrast caused by the seeing variations. Furthermore, we observed significant number of QSEBs whose maximum area is close to the spatial resolution limit. Therefore, we anticipate that a fraction of these events with a weak intensity enhancement could also be actual QSEBs, but were not fully resolved due to the spatial resolution limit (0′′1).

We conclude that QSEBs are present in large numbers in the quiet Sun and appear everywhere except in areas of mesogranular size with the weakest magnetic field. Given the high number density, follow-up studies of their impact on the lower solar atmosphere to establish the role of QSEBs in the mass and energy transfer in the solar atmosphere are warranted. We showed that a spatial resolution better than 0′′1 is required. This makes QSEBs an excellent target for the 4 m DKIST telescope (Rimmele et al. 2020) and the planned EST (Schlichenmaier et al. 2019). The QSEB phenomenon provides a view of the fundamental process of magnetic reconnection on the smallest spatial scales observable in astrophysics.
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Appendix A:  

The unsupervised $k$-means algorithm requires a predetermined cluster number, $k$, to partition the data. Selecting the optimal number of clusters is a crucial step for an efficient application of the $k$-means clustering. We used the elbow method to determine the number of clusters, where we analyzed the change in the total inertia ($\sigma_k$, within-cluster sum of squares of euclidean distances) with respect to varying $k$ from 30 to 130. The variations in $\sigma_k$ divided by the total number of pixels used in the training of the $k$-means algorithm is presented in Fig. A.1. The total inertia decreases with increase in $k$. In principle, $\sigma_k$ should reach its minimum value when $k$ is equal to the total number of pixels. However, the purpose of the $k$-means method is to reduce data points into meaningful clusters to facilitate an efficient data analysis. The elbow method finds a certain $k$ value after which $\sigma_k$ decreases linearly. It is evident that $\sigma_k$ decrease almost linearly for $k$ higher than 100, which can been seen in the variations of $\sigma_{k+1} - \sigma_k$ plotted in Fig. A.1. Therefore, we chose $k = 100$ for the $k$-means clustering of the H$\beta$ spectra.

Representative profiles 0–23 selected for the detection of QSEBs are shown in Fig. 2. The remaining RPs (RP 24–99) are presented in Fig. A.2.
Fig. A.2. Representative profiles obtained through $k$-means clustering of the H$\beta$ spectra. The remaining 76 RPs that were not considered as QSEB profiles are shown in 16 panels (RPs 24–99). The QSEB RPs (0–23) are shown in Fig. 2. The dashed gray profile in each panel represents the average quiet-Sun profile.