Profiles of Spinal Cord Tumors Removed through a Unilateral Hemilaminectomy
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Objective: To present the profiles of spinal cord tumors that can be removed through a unilateral hemilaminectomy and to demonstrate its usefulness for benign spinal cord tumors that significantly occupy the spinal canal.

Methods: From June 2004 to October 2010, 25 spinal cord tumors were approached with unilateral hemilaminectomy. We calculated the cross-sectional occupying ratio (CSOR) of tumor to spinal canal before and after the operations.

Results: The locations of the tumors were intradural extramedullary in 20 cases, extradural in 2, and intramedullary in 3. The levels of the tumors were lumbar in 12, thoracic 9, and cervical 4. In all cases, the tumor was removed grossly and totally without damaging spinal cord or roots. The mean height and width of the lesions were 17.64 mm (3-47.5) and 12.62 mm (4-32.7), respectively. The mean CSOR was 69.40% (range, 27.8-96.9%). Postoperative neurological status showed improvement in all patients except one whose neurologic deficit remained unchanged. Postoperative spinal stability was preserved during the follow-up period (mean, 21.5 months) in all cases. Tumor recurrence did not develop during the follow-up period.

Conclusion: Unilateral hemilaminectomy combined with microsurgical technique provides sufficient space for the removal of diverse spinal cord tumors. The basic profiles of the spinal cord tumors which can be removed through the unilateral hemilaminectomy demonstrate its role for the surgery of the benign spinal cord tumors in various sizes.
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INTRODUCTION

The unilateral hemilaminectomy for the surgery of cord tumors was first described in 1991 by Yasargil et al.19. Advances in microsurgical technique and modern microsurgical equipment have added its usefulness to cord tumor surgery. Sporadic results of surgery for spinal cord tumors using a unilateral hemilaminectomy have been reported by many authors.2-5,10,11,14,17,19 Small tumors can be removed through a hemilaminectomy without difficulty. However, the majority of tumors in the spinal canal are benign and the symptoms are typically provoked by a mass effect, where the tumor already occupied most of the spinal canal when the tumor is detected using imaging techniques. It is still difficult to decide the extent of laminectomy in case of cord tumors that significantly occupy the spinal canal.

Unilateral hemilaminectomy has more benefits with regard to postoperative spinal stability comparing with a total laminectomy2,5,10. However, unilateral hemilaminectomy has not been a widely accepted surgical option for the removal of spinal cord tumors. This may be because of surgeons’ concerns about incomplete removal of the tumor or inadvertent spinal cord damage with the relatively narrow surgical corridor.

In this study, we retrospectively investigated the profiles of spinal cord tumors that could be removed through a unilateral hemilaminectomy. We would like to illuminate the role of unilateral hemilaminectomy for benign spinal cord tumors that significantly occupy the spinal canal. Some technical tips are also discussed for overcoming the narrow surgical corridor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We retrospectively analyzed data obtained about tumors in the spinal canal that were removed through a unilateral hemilaminectomy between June 2004 and October 2010. Metastatic lesions that need extensive bone removal, purely cystic lesions, or lesions that required spinal cord biopsies were excluded. The spinal level, location in the spinal canal, and size of the removed tumor were evaluated. Pathological reports of each extracted
tumor were also evaluated.

We calculated the cross-sectional occupying ratio (CSOR) of the tumor to the spinal canal at the thickest level with an area measuring tool of the picture archiving and communication system (Fig. 1).

\[
\text{CSOR} = \frac{\text{tumor area}}{\text{spinal canal area}} \times 100
\]

The descriptive tables representing the numbers of tumor and were statistically verified by Fisher’s extract test.

**Operative methods and technical tips**

Patients were placed in the prone position under general anesthesia and the surgeries were performed by one spinal neurosurgeon. Unilateral subperiosteal muscle dissection was performed and the lamina was exposed in a way similar to the techniques used for unilateral hemilaminectomy and discectomy. The dural sac was exposed by drilling the lamina, including the base of the spinous process, while preserving the facet joint.

To overcome the narrow field of the unilateral hemilaminectomy, we employed several operative technical tips. Combining undercutting of the base of the spinous processes and oblique tilting of the operating table to the contralateral side provided an adequate view for the extradural and intradural procedures. Internal debulking of a solid tumor or piecemeal resection helps the dissection. After debulking of the tumor to at least some degree, delivery of the remaining tumor mass out from the spinal canal accelerated the following procedures. If the tumor has a cystic component, draining cystic fluid by puncture or aspiration can also make the dissection easier. Another technical tip is the lateral dural tacking method, which is tacking the ipsilateral dural margin and suture with the base of the muscle or fascia near the facet joint, instead of lifting it up or suspending it (Fig. 2). Applying cottonoid to the upper and lower pole helps to prevent the excessive spread of blood clots into the spinal canal. An intraoperative ultrasonic aspirator provided no benefit because of its narrow window but it is not usually necessary for a benign spinal cord tumor. Finally, the dural sac can be approximated with noninterrupted sutures using 7.0 or 8.0 Prolene. Mobilizing the dural sac to the ipsilateral side by pulling the sutured string near the knot with a nerve hook helps with the manipulation of each stitch.

**RESULTS**

Twenty-five tumorous lesions of 24 enrolled patients were removed through unilateral hemilaminectomy (one patient had two separate lesions). The characteristics of the lesions and its basic profiles are summarized (Table 1). The patients consisted of 14 males and 10 females with a mean age of 51 years (12-81). The location of tumors were 2 extradural, 20 intradural extra-medullary, and 3 intramedullary locations (Table 2). The relationship between tumor location and mean CSOR was depicted. The levels of the tumors were cervical in 4, thoracic 9, and lumbar 12 (Table 1, 2, 3).

All tumors were successfully removed without damaging the cord or the major osteoligamentous complexes in the midline of the spine. The mean height and width of the lesions were 17.04 mm (3-47.5) and 12.62 mm (4-32.7), respectively. The mean occupying ratio was 69.04% (Fig. 3). The levels of laminectomies were varying from one to 2.5. The postoperative neurological status was improved in all patients except one, whose neurological deficit remained unchanged.

The pathological reports were schwannoma in 12, ependymomma in 6, meningioma 3, carcinoid tumor 2, hemangio-blastoma 1 and cavernous hemangioma 1.

The relationship between pathologic report and mean CSOR was depicted in Table 3.

Postoperative spinal stability remained intact during the follow-up period (mean, 21.5 months) in all three cases. Complications, such as cerebrospinal fluid leakage, postoperative instability, and aggravation of neurological status, did not occur. Temporary dys-
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Esthesia developed postoperatively in one patient. The patient was observed without any surgical intervention, and the symptom was completely resolved during the hospital stay. All tumors were grossly removed and tumor recurrence did not develop during the follow-up period. Intraoperative conversion to total laminectomy during the operation was not necessary any case. Three cases of the intramedullary tumor were approached with similar technique. To describe the accessibility for the controlateral aspect of spinal canal, serial step of the intraoperative scene for an intramedullary tumor was captured (Fig. 4).

**Illustrative case**

A 42-year-old man presented with paraparesis and voiding difficulty. The MRI revealed a 47 mm high by 19 mm wide lesion at the T12-L2 level (Fig. 5). The CSOR was 77.5%. The lesion was removed through a two-level unilateral hemilaminectomy. Postoperative MRI findings showed complete removal of the tumor with no cord damage (Fig. 6). The pathological diagnosis was myxopapillary ependymoma. Full neurological recovery was attained postoperatively.

**Table 1. The summarized characteristics of the lesions and its basic profiles**

| Gender/Age | Height (mm) | Width (mm) | Level | Location | Pathology | CSOR*(%) | Main symptom | Lateralization |
|------------|-------------|------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| F/74       | 21.3        | 17.1       | L4    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 72.9     | RP†          | Center        |
| F/62       | 28.5        | 10.2       | T8-9  | IDEM     | Meningioma | 71       | Paraparesis  | Left          |
| M/62       | 18.4        | 15.4       | C6    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 66.7     | RP           | Right         |
| F/69       | 18.4        | 9.2        | L3    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 60.3     | RP           | Left          |
| M/31       | 32.8        | 14.1       | L2-3  | IDEM     | Ependymoma | 54.5     | Paraparesis  | Right         |
| M/42       | 47.5        | 20.7       | L1-3  | IDEM     | Ependymoma | 77.1     | Paraparesis  | Left          |
| M/59       | 30.4        | 15.8       | T4    | Epidural | Carcinoid tumor | 94.5 | Paraparesis  | Left          |
| M/59       | 10          | 15.2       | L2    | Epidural | Carcinoid tumor | 73.9 | Paraparesis  | Right         |
| M/47       | 7           | 12         | L5-S1 | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 96.9     | RP           | Center        |
| F/42       | 10          | 10         | L5    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 64.1     | RP           | Right         |
| M/45       | 9           | 8          | L1    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 63.6     | Paraparesis  | Center        |
| F/80       | 8           | 11         | T3    | IDEM     | Meningioma | 84.6     | Paraparesis  | Left          |
| F/81       | 10          | 13         | L2    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 70.1     | Paraparesis  | Left          |
| M/26       | 15          | 17         | T1    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 83.3     | GD‡          | Right         |
| F/60       | 9           | 12         | T4    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 88.9     | RP           | Right         |
| M/52       | 10          | 9          | L2-3  | IDEM     | Ependymoma | 56.6     | Paraparesis  | Left          |
| M/73       | 13          | 14         | T9-10 | IDEM     | Hemangioblastoma | 55.3 | Paraparesis  | Right         |
| M/51       | 12          | 18         | C5-6  | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 96.3     | RP           | Left          |
| F/63       | 6           | 5          | T1-2  | IDEM     | Ependymoma | 57.4     | GD           | Center        |
| F/28       | 3           | 4          | C5-6  | IDEM     | Carvenous hemangioma | 27.8 | RP           | Right         |
| F/73       | 8           | 9          | L1    | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 32.3     | RP           | Right         |
| M/55       | 24          | 13         | T4-5  | IDEM     | Schwannoma | 75.3     | RP           | Right         |
| M/47       | 27          | 13         | C5-6  | IDEM     | Meningioma | 77.4     | RP           | Left          |
| F/12       | 52.3        | 17         | L1-3  | IDEM     | Ependymoma | 63       | RP           | Right         |
| M/34       | 22.9        | 15.2       | L2    | IDEM     | Ependymoma | 73.9     | RP           | Left          |

*Cross sectional occupying ratio, †Radiating pain, ‡Gait disturbance. CSOR : cross-sectional occupying ratio, IDEM : intradural extramedullary

**Table 2. Numbers of tumor and mean CSOR (in parenthesis) according to tumor location and spinal level**

| Location | Intramedullary | IDEM | Epidural | Total |
|----------|----------------|------|----------|-------|
| Cervical | 1 (27.8)       | 3 (80.1) | 0     | 4 (67.0) |
| Thoracic | 2 (56.3)       | 5 (80.6) | 2 (80.9) | 9 (75.3) |
| Lumbar   | 0              | 12 (65.4) | 0     | 12 (65.4) |
| Total    | 3 (46.8)       | 20 (71.4) | 2 (80.9) | 25 (69.04) |

IDEM : intradural extramedullary, CSOR : cross-sectional occupying ratio

**Table 3. Numbers of tumor and mean CSOR (in parenthesis) according to pathologic report and spinal level**

| Pathology | Schwannoma | Meningioma | Ependymoma | Other tumors* | Total |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|-------|
| Cervical  | 2 (81.5)   | 1 (77.4)   | 0          | 1 (27.8)      | 4 (67.0) |
| Thoracic  | 3 (82.5)   | 2 (77.8)   | 1 (57.4)   | 3 (71.7)      | 9 (75.2) |
| Lumbar    | 7 (65.7)   | 0          | 5 (65.2)   | 0             | 12 (65.5) |
| Total     | 12 (72.5)  | 3 (77.6)   | 6 (63.9)   | 4 (60.7)      | 25 (69.04) |

*Carcinoid tumor, hemangioblastoma, cavenous hemangioma. CSOR : cross-sectional occupying ratio
DISCUSSION

The conventional total laminectomy has been employed for surgical removal of spinal cord tumors. It offers some convenience to spinal surgeons, such as familiar exposure and wide views of the surgical fields. However, total laminectomy also has disadvantages that can complicate postoperative outcomes. It produces overt spinal instability, leading to spinal deformity, epidural fibrosis, the absence of osseous protection for the spinal cord and postoperative axial pain. Well-recognized postlaminectomy kyphosis, especially in children, is commonly associated with instability and results in an anterior compression of the spinal cord that can cause progressive myelopathy.

To reduce postlaminectomy complications, various operative techniques were developed. Some authors presented advantages of laminoplasty in maintaining postoperative stability and preventing epidural scar formation. However, the advantage of laminoplasty in maintaining postoperative stability is not considered because laminoplasty can still disrupt the posterior ligamentous structures on the dorsal spine. The integrity of ligament flavum, supraspinous, and interspinous ligaments is known to be crucial for the dynamic stability of the spine. Takashi et al. stated that expansive laminoplasty may deform the spinal curvature postoperatively, so surgeons must pay careful attention to the possibility of postoperative spinal deformity.

Unilateral hemilaminectomy avoids damage to the supraspinous and interspinous ligaments, and the paravertebral muscle of the opposite side. For this reason, unilateral hemilaminectomy results in less injury to the dynamic dorsal structures of the vertebral column compared with total laminectomy or even laminoplasty. Unilateral hemilaminectomy is thought to be able to surpass laminoplasty in the aspect of the dynamic stability of the spine.

The advantages of unilateral hemilaminectomy include reduced postoperative pain, earlier mobilization, and shorter hospital stays. One possible disadvantage of unilateral hemilaminectomy is a narrow surgical corridor formed by the spinous process and ipsilateral facet joint. This is the main reason that this procedure is still not widely accepted, even though the introduction of this procedure is not recent and its benefits are evident. To overcome this, we have adopted several operation techniques. The novel lateral dural tacking method, which mobilizes the dural sac slightly lateral, makes the visualization better and provides more working space. This surgical tip may also help to prevent postoperative epidural hematomas on the ventral aspect of the dural sac, which can lead to a rare but potentially disastrous complication.

Our experience indicates that unilateral hemilaminectomy is
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be removed through the unilateral hemilaminectomy demonstrate its role for the surgery of the benign spinal cord tumors which is significantly occupying the spinal canal.

CONCLUSION

Unilateral hemilaminectomy combined with several microsurgical technique provides sufficient room for the removal of spinal cord tumors. We recommend unilateral hemilaminectomy as a suitable surgical option for the removal of diverse tumors in the spinal canal. The profiles of spinal cord tumors which can
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