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ABSTRACT: The increase in demand and popularity of smart textiles brings new and innovative ideas to develop a diverse range of textile-based devices for our daily life applications. Smart textile-based sensors (TEX sensors) become attractive due to the potential to replace current solid-state sensor devices with flexible and wearable devices. We have developed a smart textile sensor for humidity detection using a metal–organic framework (MOF) as an active thin-film layer. We show for the first time the use of the Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) technique for the deposition of a MIL-96(Al) MOF thin film directly onto the fabrics containing interdigitated textile electrodes for the fabrication of a highly selective humidity sensor. The humidity sensors were made from two different types of textiles, namely, linen and cotton, with the linen-based sensor giving the best response due to better coverage of MOF. The TEX sensor showed a reproducible response after multiple cycles of measurements. After 3 weeks of storage, the sensor showed a moderate decrease in response. Moreover, TEX sensors showed a high level of selectivity for the detection of water vapors in the presence of several volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Interestingly, the selectivity is superior to some of the previously reported MOF-coated solid-state interdigitated electrode devices and textile sensors. The method herein described is generic and can be extended to other textiles and coating materials for the detection of toxic gases and vapors.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Smart textiles have emerged as a new market due to the growing interest in flexible and wearable electronic devices for various applications such as measurement of human physiological signals of pressure and temperature, energy storage and harvesting, gas sensors, and biosensors.1–5 The inclusion of Internet of Things (IoT) technology in smart textiles is another crucial factor that has been contributing to the growth in the smart textile market. Smart textiles are expected to create the fourth industrial revolution for the global textile and fashion industry worth U.S. $130 billion by 2025.6 Smart textiles extend the functionality and usefulness of the everyday fabric by combining electronics and smart materials that have a diverse spectrum of functionalities.7 The choice in the inclusion of a wide variety of nanomaterials, such as metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) with various functions, is an excellent opportunity to extend the application landscape of smart textiles with enhanced performance. These materials combine the highly regular and well-defined pore shape of inorganic porous materials (e.g., zeolites) with the high chemical versatility of organic linkers. All of these properties account for the selective adsorption and detection of gases and vapors.8

MOFs have been used extensively for the fabrication of chemical sensors, biosensors, and gas sensors in combination with solid-state devices.9–13 Despite the fact that the combination of MOFs and textiles has been utilized for a wide range of other applications such as UV protection,14,15 antibacterial coating,16 protective warfare agent clothing,17 and catalysis,18 there are fewer reports on gas sensors made from a combination of textiles and MOFs.19,20 In most cases, the methods for making MOF–textile functional materials involve in situ synthesis of the MOF onto the fabric,17 solvent-free hot-pressing technology,15 and direct immobilization of MOFs onto the fabric.21 To date, the Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) film method has not yet been tested for the deposition of MOFs onto textile fabrics and subsequently used for applications such as sensors.
The LB technique is a well-established method for the preparation of thin films at the air−water interface.\textsuperscript{22,23} Compared to other methods used to deposit MOF thin films, the LB technique does not require previous functionalization of the surface and minute amounts of material are used. Moreover, the film thickness can be precisely controlled as compared to other coating methods,\textsuperscript{24} and it can be as thin as one monolayer of nanoparticles. The LB method has been used so far for different types of MOF coatings mainly onto solid-state devices.\textsuperscript{24−27}

The measurement of the concentration of water vapors (humidity) is widely used in many sectors related to our daily life, such as building automation, pharmaceuticals, hospitals, chemical industry, and the food industry.\textsuperscript{26} Moreover, extreme conditions of humidity have adverse effects on human health and are linked to many diseases.\textsuperscript{27} Different types of humidity sensors have been developed based on either solid-state devices,\textsuperscript{24,30−40} flexible substrates,\textsuperscript{41−47} inkjet printed textile,\textsuperscript{48} or fiber composite materials.\textsuperscript{49−55} Most of these studies demonstrated the sensitivity of the sensor; however, only a few of these discussed the selectivity of the sensor, which is equally important. Moreover, in the case of textile-based humidity sensors, to the best of our knowledge, there is no report describing the selectivity of the textile sensors in the presence of interferences such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Therefore, not only sensitive but also selective textile humidity sensors are highly desirable that can operate in various environments under different conditions.

Herein, we show, for the first time, a highly selective MOF-coated textile sensor prepared using the LB technique and demonstrate its application as a chemi-capacitive humidity sensor. MIL-96(Al) MOF was chosen for coating a thin film directly on the fabric containing interdigitated conductive thread electrodes as an active layer of humidity sensor due to its thermal stability along with high water adsorption capacity and moisture stability,\textsuperscript{24,36} and the fact that we have previously shown that high-quality films can be obtained using nanoparticles (NPs) of this material.\textsuperscript{24,46} Our results showed that the MOF-coated fabric sensor (TEX sensor) has higher selectivity toward water in the presence of other volatile organic compounds (VOCs), which is similar or better in many cases as compared to other previously reported solid-state sensors.\textsuperscript{24,46} These results show the first demonstration of a highly selective textile humidity sensor and are highly valuable as many of the reported textile humidity sensors may exhibit cross-sensitivity to VOCs. The developed MOF-coated interdigitated textile sensor demonstrates a generic method of the incorporation of MOF thin films using the LB method, which opens up the possibilities to develop several other textile-based sensors by varying the type of MOF used for coating.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Nanoparticles of MIL-96(Al) (∼200 nm in size) were used in these studies. Details of the synthesis and characterization of MIL-96(Al) are included in the Supporting Information (Figure S1). To fabricate the interdigitated textile electrodes, we first selected two of the most common commercially available fabrics, namely, cotton and linen, that are widely used in the textile industry for the production of clothes or garments. A conductive thread (Liberator 40) was used for the fabrication of interdigitated electrodes onto the fabrics. Liberator 40 Vectran silver-coated conductive thread was purchased from Amazon US. The conductive thread was stitched following the hand-stitched embroidery method, which involves tracing the design of the interdigitated electrodes onto the fabric (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Custom-built holders of transparent poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) sheets were fabricated using a VLS 3.5 Desktop laser platform equipped with a CO2 laser. Circular holders were used for holding the interdigitated textile electrodes during the Langmuir–Blodgett film deposition process. Langmuir–Blodgett film formation of MIL-96(Al) was carried out using a commercially available KSV-NIMA trough, model 2000-System 3, with dimensions 775 × 120 mm² and a symmetrical double-barrier system. Briefly, previous studies using dynamic light scattering (DLS), surface pressure−area (∆A−A) and surface potential−area (∆V−A) isotherms, and Brewster angle microscopy (BAM) have shown that homogeneous and compact MIL-96(Al) monolayer films can be obtained at the air−water interface using dispersions in chloroform prepared by ultrasonication (MOF concentration 0.2 mg/mL).\textsuperscript{26} Moreover, these monolayers can be effectively transferred onto substrates of different natures (glass, quartz, mica, QCM disks, Si(100), and Si/SiO2 with Au IDEs at a surface pressure of 30 mN/m).\textsuperscript{25,26} Before compressing the film, the water surface was carefully cleaned to remove any material released from the clothes/fibers into the water. For that purpose, barriers were closed down to 3 cm distance, and the surface between the barriers was cleaned by mild surface-touch vacuuming. This process was repeated until a surface pressure increase lower than 0.1 mN/m was observed. Typically, 8 mL of MIL-96(Al) suspension was spread drop by drop on the water surface using a Hamilton microsyringe (initial area before compression about 500 cm²/mg of MOF) and then, after solvent evaporation, films were compressed at constant speed (6 cm²/min) until the desired transfer surface pressure (30 mN/m) was achieved. Langmuir–Blodgett films were deposited onto fabrics fixed onto PMMA holders initially immersed in the water subphase, and vertical dipping was performed at 1 mm/min. Langmuir−Schaefer (LS) films were prepared by holding the textile parallel to the surface. The textile holder was approached to the water surface at a vertical speed of 1 mm/min. After touching the surface, the holder was raised using a vertical speed of 10 mm/min. Reverse Langmuir−Schaefer (RLS) films were prepared in a similar manner, but the textile was initially immersed in the subphase. After reaching the desired surface pressure (30 mN/m), the holder was raised at a vertical rate of 1 mm/min. All LB/LS/RLS films were allowed to dry in the air overnight and then stored in a desiccator.

For the characterization of MOF deposition onto interdigitated textile electrodes, a Quanta 3D FEG SEM/FIB microscope was used with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. A 5 nm conductive layer of iridium was sputtered on all samples before the inspection. To test the sensing performance of the MOF-coated interdigitated textile devices, test probes with alligator cables were designed and placed into the measuring chamber of a custom-built setup (Figure S3, Supporting Information), which contains a thermostatic bath, mass-flow controllers, and a Keysight E4980A LCR meter. All of the capacitance measurements were performed at 100 kHz.\textsuperscript{25} Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas and was flown through glass bubblers filled with deionized water and different organic solvents to generate vapors (wet current). The bubblers sources are immersed in an F12-MA Julabo thermostatic bath to have control over temperature. The wet current was mixed with a dry current of nitrogen as dilution and introduced into the measurement chamber. The concentration of water vapor or volatile organic compound vapors (VOCs) introduced into the cell was calculated as described previously by some of us\textsuperscript{56} and expressed in parts per million in volume (ppm). A constant flow of 200 sccm of gas was maintained in all experiments. In every experiment, water vapors or VOCs were introduced for 3 min inside the chamber during transient analysis, and the change in capacitance was measured. Before starting every experiment, all MOF-coated textile sensors were heated for 10 h at 100 °C to desorb the water captured by MOF particles. While evaluating the sensor response, the change in capacitance at time different humidity contents was measured using nitrogen to purge the sensor after each concentration step. All of the measurements were performed at 22 °C unless otherwise stated.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. MOF-Coated Interdigitated Textile Electrodes for Humidity Detection. To fabricate the interdigitated textile electrodes onto the fabric, the conductive fiber Liberator 40 was stitched as an interdigitated electrode pattern into two different types of fabrics, namely, cotton and linen. Fixed step size and number of fingers of these electrodes were maintained uniformly for all of the fabricated sensors (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Figure 1a,b shows the Langmuir–Blodgett coating setup for the deposition of MIL-96(Al) particles onto the fabric containing interdigitated conductive thread electrodes. The interdigitated textile electrode fabric was first fixed into a custom-built PMMA circular frame so that the fabric remains flat during the deposition of MOF particles (Figures 1a,b and S2). The transfer of one layer was possible using LB and Langmuir–Schaefer/reverse Langmuir–Schaefer (LS/RLS) transfer on both linen and cotton fabrics. We also explored the feasibility of multilayer deposition using the LB method or RLS transfer, but a part of the previously deposited layer was detached from the cloth when the holder was immersed into the subphase for next layer deposition. This could be seen with the naked eye from dust appearing in the water surface, which had the same appearance as the water surface after spreading the MOF suspension. In addition, a large increase in surface pressure was observed for the clean subphase even when barriers were not fully closed. From preliminary sensing experiments, higher responses were obtained using vertical LB deposition. Besides, LB deposition accounts for more straightforward automation for film preparation in comparison to LS deposition, where the dipping process has to be precisely controlled. Hence, we decided to perform all of the studies using vertical LB deposition of one MOF layer.

The MOF-coated sensors (TEX sensor) exhibited a baseline capacitance (∼1.97 pF) due to the dielectric nature of MIL-96(Al) MOF particles (Figure S4, Supporting Information). Water has a very high dielectric constant (∼80), and the MIL-96(Al) MOF particles have excellent affinity for water. Hence, we tested our devices in the presence of humidity, ranging from values of 3.7 to 90% of relative humidity (RH). To understand the nature of the fabric effect in the sensing performance, we compared the sensors made of two fabrics, linen and cotton. TEX sensors using linen and cotton fabrics revealed a significant change in capacitance in the presence of water, as shown in Figure 1c,d, respectively. The capacitance of sensors increased proportionally with the increase in humidity. This is because the dielectric constant of the active medium in the sensor proportionally increases with the increase in humidity, which results in the increase of the sensor’s capacitance. Interestingly, the devices were regenerated in the presence of nitrogen, and no heating or vacuum was required to recover the device after exposure. In the absence of the MOF layer, the charge storage contribution of the pristine textile fabric is negligible, resulting in extremely low baseline capacitance values (<1 fF), which is consistent with the previously reported textile-based wearable capacitors.

The higher responsivity was observed in the case of the linen TEX sensor, as shown in Figure 1c,e. Moreover, linen-based TEX sensors exhibited a linear increase in response with the

Figure 1. (a, b) Scheme and photograph of the setup used for Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) deposition. (c, d) Response curve of the linen and cotton TEX sensors in the presence of humidity from 3.7 to 90%. (e) Comparison of the linen and cotton TEX sensor responsivities. Both types of sensors showed a linear response. (f) Calibration curve of the linen TEX sensor in the low-relative-humidity range (from 0.71 to 7.5%). Error bars correspond to the standard deviation from the measurement of three different samples. Relative humidity was measured at 22 °C.
increase in the concentration of water vapor, even with concentrations as low as 0.71% of relative humidity (Figures 1f and S5). The limit of detection and sensitivities in the case of the linen TEX sensors were found to be 0.71% RH and 0.02 \((100 \Delta C/C)\) per percent of RH, respectively (Figure 1f). In the case of the cotton TEX sensor, the limit of detection and sensitivities were 3.7% RH and 0.013 \((100 \Delta C/C)\) per percent of RH, respectively (Figure 1e).

To understand these results, we performed scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies, as depicted in Figure 2, which revealed that the coverage of the MOF is better in the case of linen fabric, thus accounting for its higher responses. Moreover, the linen threads in the textile are thinner and better arranged than cotton, hence producing less perturbation to the LB film (Figure 2). In addition, SEM images also showed that dense MOF particle deposits tend to build up at the junction points or the space between the turns of different fibers of Liberator 40 along the fiber bundles (Figure 3a,b). It is important to note that in the case of linen and cotton fabrics, the MOF film is not continuous as compared to the LB films deposited onto flat solid-state devices.24,26 This can be explained by the fact that the surface of fabrics is not uniform, and the undulating surface is due to the knitting of several single fibers together in a three-dimensional arrangement (Figure 3c,d). The uneven surface of fabrics causes slight discontinuities in the MOF film as compared to the planar solid-state devices. Despite this, the TEX sensors are still highly sensitive toward water vapors. Therefore, we propose that the sensor signal is due to the synergetic combination of the fabric and MOF particles, as the sensor response was also found to be dependent on the nature of the fabric.

3.2. Reproducibility and Stability Studies of the Textile Sensor. One of the critical parameters to develop gas sensors is the reproducibility of the sensor and its stability after storage. For further studies, we used linen-based TEX sensors due to their better performance as compared to the cotton TEX sensors. Linen TEX sensor showed a similar response after multiple cycles of operation (Figure 4a) at room temperature, which is essential to deploy these types of devices as real-time sensors. For reproducibility tests, TEX sensors were exposed to the same concentration of humidity (5000 ppm) for multiple times, and after each exposure, devices were recovered by purging with nitrogen. We have also evaluated the MOF film is not continuous as compared to the LB films deposited onto flat solid-state devices.24,26 This can be explained by the fact that the surface of fabrics is not uniform, and the undulating surface is due to the knitting of several single fibers together in a three-dimensional arrangement (Figure 3c,d). The uneven surface of fabrics causes slight discontinuities in the MOF film as compared to the planar solid-state devices. Despite this, the TEX sensors are still highly sensitive toward water vapors. Therefore, we propose that the sensor signal is due to the synergetic combination of the fabric and MOF particles, as the sensor response was also found to be dependent on the nature of the fabric.

To understand these results, we performed scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies, as depicted in Figure 2, which revealed that the coverage of the MOF is better in the case of linen fabric, thus accounting for its higher responses. Moreover, the linen threads in the textile are thinner and better arranged than cotton, hence producing less perturbation to the LB film (Figure 2). In addition, SEM images also showed that dense MOF particle deposits tend to build up at the junction points or the space between the turns of different fibers of Liberator 40 along the fiber bundles (Figure 3a,b). It is important to note that in the case of linen and cotton fabrics, the MOF film is not continuous as compared to the LB films deposited onto flat solid-state devices.24,26 This can be explained by the fact that the surface of fabrics is not uniform, and the undulating surface is due to the knitting of several single fibers together in a three-dimensional arrangement (Figure 3c,d). The uneven surface of fabrics causes slight discontinuities in the MOF film as compared to the planar solid-state devices. Despite this, the TEX sensors are still highly sensitive toward water vapors. Therefore, we propose that the sensor signal is due to the synergetic combination of the fabric and MOF particles, as the sensor response was also found to be dependent on the nature of the fabric.

3.2. Reproducibility and Stability Studies of the Textile Sensor. One of the critical parameters to develop gas sensors is the reproducibility of the sensor and its stability after storage. For further studies, we used linen-based TEX sensors due to their better performance as compared to the cotton TEX sensors. Linen TEX sensor showed a similar response after multiple cycles of operation (Figure 4a) at room temperature, which is essential to deploy these types of devices as real-time sensors. For the reproducibility tests, TEX sensors were exposed to the same concentration of humidity (5000 ppm) for multiple times, and after each exposure, devices were recovered by purging with nitrogen. We have also evaluated the MOF film is not continuous as compared to the LB films deposited onto flat solid-state devices.24,26 This can be explained by the fact that the surface of fabrics is not uniform, and the undulating surface is due to the knitting of several single fibers together in a three-dimensional arrangement (Figure 3c,d). The uneven surface of fabrics causes slight discontinuities in the MOF film as compared to the planar solid-state devices. Despite this, the TEX sensors are still highly sensitive toward water vapors. Therefore, we propose that the sensor signal is due to the synergetic combination of the fabric and MOF particles, as the sensor response was also found to be dependent on the nature of the fabric.

To understand these results, we performed scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies, as depicted in Figure 2, which revealed that the coverage of the MOF is better in the case of linen fabric, thus accounting for its higher responses. Moreover, the linen threads in the textile are thinner and better arranged than cotton, hence producing less perturbation to the LB film (Figure 2). In addition, SEM images also showed that dense MOF particle deposits tend to build up at the junction points or the space between the turns of different fibers of Liberator 40 along the fiber bundles (Figure 3a,b). It is important to note that in the case of linen and cotton fabrics, the MOF film is not continuous as compared to the LB films deposited onto flat solid-state devices.24,26 This can be explained by the fact that the surface of fabrics is not uniform, and the undulating surface is due to the knitting of several single fibers together in a three-dimensional arrangement (Figure 3c,d). The uneven surface of fabrics causes slight discontinuities in the MOF film as compared to the planar solid-state devices. Despite this, the TEX sensors are still highly sensitive toward water vapors. Therefore, we propose that the sensor signal is due to the synergetic combination of the fabric and MOF particles, as the sensor response was also found to be dependent on the nature of the fabric.

3.2. Reproducibility and Stability Studies of the Textile Sensor. One of the critical parameters to develop gas sensors is the reproducibility of the sensor and its stability after storage. For further studies, we used linen-based TEX sensors due to their better performance as compared to the cotton TEX sensors. Linen TEX sensor showed a similar response after multiple cycles of operation (Figure 4a) at room temperature, which is essential to deploy these types of devices as real-time sensors. For the reproducibility tests, TEX sensors were exposed to the same concentration of humidity (5000 ppm) for multiple times, and after each exposure, devices were recovered by purging with nitrogen. We have also evaluated the MOF film is not continuous as compared to the LB films deposited onto flat solid-state devices.24,26 This can be explained by the fact that the surface of fabrics is not uniform, and the undulating surface is due to the knitting of several single fibers together in a three-dimensional arrangement (Figure 3c,d). The uneven surface of fabrics causes slight discontinuities in the MOF film as compared to the planar solid-state devices. Despite this, the TEX sensors are still highly sensitive toward water vapors. Therefore, we propose that the sensor signal is due to the synergetic combination of the fabric and MOF particles, as the sensor response was also found to be dependent on the nature of the fabric.
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3.2. Reproducibility and Stability Studies of the Textile Sensor. One of the critical parameters to develop gas sensors is the reproducibility of the sensor and its stability after storage. For further studies, we used linen-based TEX sensors due to their better performance as compared to the cotton TEX sensors. Linen TEX sensor showed a similar response after multiple cycles of operation (Figure 4a) at room temperature, which is essential to deploy these types of devices as real-time sensors. For the reproducibility tests, TEX sensors were exposed to the same concentration of humidity (5000 ppm) for multiple times, and after each exposure, devices were recovered by purging with nitrogen. We have also evaluated the MOF film is not continuous as compared to the LB films deposited onto flat solid-state devices.24,26 This can be explained by the fact that the surface of fabrics is not uniform, and the undulating surface is due to the knitting of several single fibers together in a three-dimensional arrangement (Figure 3c,d). The uneven surface of fabrics causes slight discontinuities in the MOF film as compared to the planar solid-state devices. Despite this, the TEX sensors are still highly sensitive toward water vapors. Therefore, we propose that the sensor signal is due to the synergetic combination of the fabric and MOF particles, as the sensor response was also found to be dependent on the nature of the fabric.
the sensing response at different temperatures. For this purpose, the whole chamber in which devices were tested was placed on a hotplate (Figure S3, Supporting Information), which maintained the required temperatures inside the test chamber (recorded by an LM235 temperature sensor). Responsivity decreased with the increase in temperature (Figures 4b and S6), as expected by the general trend of decrease in sorption capacities of MOF particles at higher temperatures.\(^{11}\) Moreover, the recovery times were drastically decreased with the increase in temperature. Such eased regeneration of the samples with increased temperature is expected because of the increase in the vapor pressure of water. Finally, long-term stability was evaluated. For this purpose, the response of a TEX sensor stored inside a Petri dish under ambient air at room temperature (22 °C) for 3 weeks was compared to that of a pristine sample. Interestingly, the aged TEX sensor only showed a moderate decrease in the response (16%) as compared to the as-prepared TEX sensor (Figure 4b), indicating the stability of the sensor. In a previous study, we have shown that the surface barrier phenomenon plays an important role in the adsorption properties of MIL-96(Al) NP films.\(^{26}\) The outer surface of the NPs undergoes changes upon exposure to ambient conditions, which results in the formation of surface barriers.\(^{59}\) These barriers make access of water molecules more difficult from the air to the MOF pores. Nevertheless, the response of the sensor after 3 weeks, shows a decrease of only 16% in sensitivity even though it was not stored in special conditions. Hence, the textile humidity sensor developed in these studies can be stored and reused, which is an essential feature for gas sensors.

3.3. Selectivity Studies of the TEX Sensor. Selectivity is a crucial parameter for the characterization of any type of sensors. In the case of MOF materials, it is challenging to achieve a high level of specificity by the shape and size of the MOF alone.\(^{60}\) However, installing sophisticated recognition elements might be able to extend the selectivity of the MOF-based sensors for various analytes.\(^{60,61}\) MIL-96(Al) MOF shows a high affinity toward water and methanol vapors.\(^{26}\) Due to this high adsorption of water and methanol, some of us have observed that the MIL-96 MOF-coated interdigitated electrode-based solid-state sensor selectivity toward water vapors in the presence of methanol and other VOCs was not sufficient. To address this issue, an additional coating of Parylene C film was applied to detect water vapors in the presence of methanol selectively.\(^{24}\) Importantly, MIL-96(Al) LB-based TEX sensors have shown remarkable selectivity toward humidity in the presence of other volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including methanol (Figure 5). No additional coating was required to enhance the selectivity of the textile sensor, as in the case of the solid-state sensor.\(^{24}\) We believe that the improved selectivity of the TEX sensor may be due to the synergistic combination of the fabric and the discontinuities in the MOF layer, resulting in lower combined adsorption of VOCs and sufficient water adsorption, thereby giving a measurable and different enough signal for water vapors to be selectively detected. Interestingly, in the case of textile humidity sensors, to the best of our knowledge, there are no selectivity studies reported, such as those accounting for the effect of VOCs in the response, which is highly crucial for the evaluation of the performance of gas sensors. It is important to note that the concentration values of the VOCs used in our studies (5000 ppm) are much higher than the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health’s recommended exposure limit (NIOSH REL)\(^{62}\) values, which range from 2 to 1000 ppm for these VOCs, therefore indicating that TEX sensor is highly selective even at higher concentrations of VOCs. We rate our sensor as superior in terms of selectivity as compared to other previously reported textile humidity sensors. Table S1 (Supporting Information) shows a comparison of our sensor with other types of humidity sensors reported in the literature. The sensitivity of our humidity sensor matches or is comparable to many of the humidity sensors, both solid-state and textile-based. However, in terms of selectivity, our sensor is highly superior to other textile-based humidity sensors.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have demonstrated the feasibility of the deposition of a thin layer of MIL-96 (Al) MOF particles onto interdigitated electrode-based fabrics using the Langmuir–Blodgett method. The TEX sensors obtained by directly coating the MOF LB layer onto the interdigitated textile electrodes were highly selective toward the detection of humidity in the presence of several VOCs. The linen TEX sensor exhibited 0.6 fF per %RH sensitivity with around 0.71% RH limit of detection. The type of textile proved to be relevant to obtain optimum performance of the sensor associated with an optimal deposition of the MOF particle film. Moreover, the TEX sensors were stable over several sensing cycles and, when stored for 3 weeks, only showed a moderate change in the response while maintaining the sensor properties. Overall, we showed a generic method for the development of MOF-based textile sensors, which opens up the possibility of developing several other sensors by changing the type of MOF material sensitive to a particular analyte. This is a crucial step to develop smart textiles and safety suits for the personnel working in laboratories, mines, petrochemical industries, and such other vulnerable industries.

![Figure 5. Selectivity of linen TEX sensors toward water vapor at 5000 ppm (19.42% relative humidity) and negligible responsivity in the presence of other volatile organic compound (VOC) interferences (5000 ppm for each analyte). Error bars correspond to the standard deviation from the measurement of three different samples.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c07532)
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