Agroforestry: A Supplementary Method for Biodiversity Conservation and Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation
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Abstract: Biodiversity conservation in current era of increasing global climate change and rapid land degradation is a major challenge globally. Agroforestry is an important land use system addressing biodiversity conservation and enhancing social and environmental goals. However, there is scarcity of empirical evidence on contributions of agroforestry for conservation of biodiversity and reduction of CO₂ emission. This paper aimed to provide empirical information on the role of agroforestry for conservation of flora and fauna biodiversity and climate change mitigation and adaptation. The result revealed agroforestry has contributed huge role in conservation of fauna and flora diversity and mitigation of CO₂ than open cereal based agriculture. Trees in agroforestry are the important component in conservation and mitigation of climate change effects. Binding CO₂ through biomass and soil, reducing other causes for CO₂ emission such as deforestation and microclimate modification are major climate change mitigation actions. Provision of numerous other ecosystem services to people and their agroecosystem such as provisioning services (shelter, food, fodder, etc.), regulating services (pest and diseases control, modification of microclimate, reducing wind effect, etc.) and supporting services (nutrient cycling) help them build the resilience towards changing climate effects. Hence, responsible bodies must consider the huge potential of agroforestry in their conservation and climate change mitigation and adaption strategy.
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1. Introduction

Biodiversity is crucial for human well-beings. They form the foundation for vast array of ecosystem services including climate change mitigation and adaptation. However, they are severely degraded due to land use land cover (LULC) change and climate change worldwide. Agricultural intensification, including its 60% share for forest conversion in Africa [1] has resulted in a loss of biodiversity, ecological function, and critical ecosystem services in human modified landscapes [2]. The current estimate revealed earth’s has lost over 3/4 its biodiversity [3] rapidly especially in last 50 years. Thus, the loss of biodiversity such as agrobiodiversity and climate change has threatening the sustainability of agriculture [4] thereby greatly threats the livelihoods of peoples in developing countries.

Agroforestry as integrated land uses system has suggested as a potential to simultaneously safeguard agriculture productivity, reduce biodiversity loss and mitigation and adaptation of climate change effects [5]. The tree components in agroforestry can significantly improve ecosystem functions that underpin ecosystem services [6]. The interactions among the components often have complementarity effects in the agroforestry system. The semi-natural vegetation provides shelters for many species [7]. Besides, the carbon sequestration in vegetation biomass and soil system plays important roles in climate change mitigation. The numerous direct and indirect ecosystem services they provide to people and agroecosystem enhancing their resilience or adaptation towards climate extremes [8].

However, the conservation role agroforestry is
undeveloped and remains largely unexplored [9]. Due to the lack of quantitative information, the understanding of agroforestry potential for CO₂ sequestration is limited. Most discussions on carbon sequestration potential of agroforestry systems are based more on hypothetical considerations than empirical results [10]. Furthermore, the links between agroforestry and livelihood resilience of households to climate change variability lacks empirical evidence [11]. The above all suggested there is shortage and fragmentation of empirical evidences. Therefore, the objective of this paper is to provide empirical evidence on the role of agroforestry in conservation of floral and faunal diversity and climate change mitigation and adaptation in contemporary situation when rapid biodiversity loss and climate change are perplexing and suggested future prospect.

2. Potential of Agroforestry for Biodiversity Conservation and Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation

2.1. Agroforestry for Biodiversity Conservation

Agroforestry for conservation of floral diversity

Agroforestry practices increase the levels of wild biodiversity on farm land as in situ conservation method. The role agroforestry play in conservation varies across the type and management of agroforestry (Table 1) [12].

Table 1. Biodiversity dimensions in traditional agroforestry systems in the tropics.

| Agroforestry system                          | Biodiversity issues                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shifting cultivation or slash-and-burn       | Fallsows consist of multiple species; and biological diversity, in both inter- and intra-species, is intense. Long fallow periods of 15 to 20 years preserve wild species diversity |
| Homesteads and compound farms                | High inter- and intra-species diversity involving a number of fruit, fodder and timber trees and shrubs, food crops, medicinal and other plants of economic value |
| Forest gardens / agroforests                | Maintain high species diversity similar to natural forests but dominated by a few carefully managed economically valuable tree species |
| Parkland systems                            | A variety of crops grown in association with naturally propagated trees ensure wide species diversity. Parks range from monospecific to multispecific with up to 20 tree species. |
| Trees on farmlands (boundary plantings, scattered trees) | Diversity is more at the landscape level rather than at field level in terms of both inter- and intra-species.                                                   |

Source: [12].

Thus, different number and composition of plant species including exotic and native species were recorded in different agroforestry (Table 2). Studies, revealed agroforestry has a huge potential for conservation of socioeconomically and environmentally important species of indigenous trees used for various services such as fodder, food, medicine, fuel wood, farm tools and wood for utensils [13]. Farmers deliberately maintained annual and perennial herbs and woody species of diverse plant categories for fulfilling the subsistence and cash needs [14]. According to this study, 159 species were recorded in homegarden, of which 70.44% were categorized as useful to community. Agroforestry is also important refuge for native and endangered species in agricultural landscapes. For instance, from 50 woody species identified by [15] in traditional agroforestry in Southeastern Ethiopia, 85% were obtained native. In Ethiopia, agroforestry conserve endangered and national priority plant species such as Cordia africana as reported in different agroforestry system (Figure 1). The coffee based agroforestry in the southwestern part of Ethiopia maintaining a quite very good number of native plants, for instance, [16] reported more than 59% native woody species including 22 species of interest for conservation to IUCN Red lists out of 155 species. Among these, rare/threatened species, such as Baphia nitida, Cordia africana, Manilkara hexandra, Prunus africana, Pygeum africanum and Rhus glutinosa were categorized as vulnerable in the wild, and need of conservation priority.

Numerous studies also revealed different potentials among different agroforestry practices for conservation of native species. For instance, among three agroforestry practices such as enset (Enset ventricosum)-based agroforestry, enset-coffee-based agroforestry and fruit-coffee-based agroforestry, enset based agroforestry maintain 92% of native species followed by enset-coffee-agroforestry (89%) from a total 58 woody species recorded [17]. Besides, from a total of 231 tree species identified by [18] from eight different agroforestry, 78% of them found to be native in Atlantic Rainforest biome. In some part of southwest Ethiopia, agroforestry consist 30% and 36% higher species of more commonly used species than evergreen natural forest and cropland respectively [19]. Other study also revealed coffee based agroforestry systems can conserve over 60% of woody species and associated biodiversity when compared to natural forest [20]. This study also reported higher number woody...
species in agroforestry than natural forest reserves. The practice of purposeful retaining of economically and biologically valuable native species such as *Cordia africana*, *Ficus sycomorus* *Ficus vasta*, *Juniperus procera*, *Croton macrostachyus* and *Sapium ellipsum* in ‘farmland agroforestry’ have also contributed for conservation of economically and ecologically valuable bird species such as *Anomalospiza imberbis* and *Hirundo aethopica* on smallholder farmland in Ethiopia [21]. According to different studies (Table 2), well-established traditional agroforestry can conserve 62%-100% of native plant species.

| Table 2. Role of agroforestry practice for conservation of native species. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Agroforestry practice**                  | **Number of species** | **Sources** |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Coffee agroforestry                          | 63                    | [22]        |
| Enset-AF, Enset-coffee-AF and Fruit-coffee-AF| 58                    | [17]        |
| Homegarden                                  | 36                    | [23]        |
| Traditional AF                              | 55                    | [15]        |
| Farmland (Homesteads, line plantings, in crop lands and woodlots) | 77                    | [24]        |
| Homegardens                                 | 419                   | [25]        |
| Homegarden, Parkland, woodlot               | 33                    | [26]        |
| Different AF practice                       | 231                   | [18]        |
| Homegarden, Parkland, woodlot               | 80                    | [27]        |
| Traditional agroforestry                    | 86                    | [28]        |
| Homegarden                                  | 120                   | [29]        |

Despite its poor substitute for the natural forest, agroforestry can act as buffer between protected and intensively managed areas thereby strategically benefits both sustainable agriculture production and conservation of plant diversity [30]. In dryland areas with scarce moisture and degraded land, agroforestry can be an alternative for biodiversity conservation in addition to area closures activities [31]. The above evidence, therefore, indicated the huge potential of agroforestry in conservation of native flora diversity when compared to open cereal based agriculture and monocropping. Thus, endeavor in conservation of biodiversity must appreciate this potential and used it as supplementary methods for conservation of native, endemic, and rare/endangered species of plants.

**Agroforestry** for conservation of faunal diversity

Agroforestry can create diverse habitat for wild species including conservation of wild animal. Tree species retained in agricultural landscapes contribute range of ecosystem services to animal diversity including habitat, food and maintenance of landscape connectivity (corridors) for their free movements. Trees can contribute nesting sites, protective cover against predators, access to breeding territory, access to food sources in all seasons, and encourage beneficial species such as pollinators [32].

The vast covers of cocoa agroforestry in tropical area are considered to be the biodiversity reservoirs for some of the rainforest insect species [33]. The more complex and suitable environment provided by multistrata agroforestry helps various insect species than monocropping fields. Study in Costa Rica revealed bat and birds assemblages as (or more) species rich, abundant and diverse as forests despite the species composition of birds assemblages was highly modified, with fewer forest dependent species, more open area species and different dominant species in agroforestry systems [34]. Similarly, 106 bird species mostly preferring open areas occurred in agroforestry in Costa Rica [35]. Other study also reported over 20,000 individuals of 189 species including 14 endangered bird species in tropical agroforestry [36]. However, species assemblages were differed among different tree cover types in agricultural landscape. The shade-grown coffee and cocoa systems in Southeast Asia and Central America are known by their provision of habitat for diverse populations of birds [37]. Agroforestry also contain significantly greater (when compared to plantation monocultures) insect diversity with a total of 132,460 dung beetles of 52 species and 913 tracks of 27 terrestrial mammal species in indigenous agroforestry systems [37]. Other study also found similar species richness but differed in composition of ants and beetles in the canopies of the cacao trees compared lower canopy forest trees [38]. Agroforestry also maintained comparable diversity and abundance of soil invertebrates with miombo woodlands [39].

However, the conservation of higher wild animal (mammals) species was challenged by heavy hunting pressure [40] and conflicts between human being and wildlife. Encroachment of wildlife population into the adjacent farmland and around living house due to loss of natural habitat and feeding site for wildlife species has caused conflicts with human being and are also the major causes for the removal of tree and fruits around homes (homegarden) and at cropland. This in turn reduces the habitats and resource availability for different wild animal species. In some area (e.g. Ethiopia), farmers reduce forest and tree cover in farmland to mitigate crop damage by wild mammals such as baboons and bush pigs [41]. Similar practices of removing different tree cover (both tree and fruit tree) around home were common in Jimma city to reduce disturbance of wildlife [23].

Despite of the above challenges, agroforestry systems is still an important refuge for faunal diversity when compared to of monocultures and other open agriculture. But, there is also scarcity of studies to elucidate this potential widely. Therefore, improving tree/woody cover in agricultural land, control hunting and awareness creation
can increase the contribution of agroforestry for faunal diversity conservation.

### 2.2. Agroforestry for Climate Change Mitigation

Agroforestry is biological greenhouse gas (GHG) such as CO₂ mitigation strategy under the Kyoto Protocol [42]. The tree component in smallholder agroforestry systems are the important sinks of atmospheric carbon. The indirect role they play in reduction of deforestation and soil erosion contribute for reduction of GHG emission.

Various studies reported different amount of carbon in different agroforestry system across the world. For instance, about 2.1×10⁶ Mg C year⁻¹ and 1.9×10⁶ Mg C year⁻¹ were estimated from above ground components of agroforestry in tropical and temperate biomes respectively [43]. A range carbon from 0.3 to 15 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in above ground and 30–300 Mg C ha⁻¹ up to 1 m depth of soil were also recorded [44]. Studies in tropical agroforestry system revealed soil (1 m depth of soil) under agroforestry system stored huge but different potential of carbon storage across different agroecological zone (Table 3) [42].

#### Table 3. Soil carbon stock of tropical agroforestry systems.

| Agroecological zones | Major Agroforestry systems | Soil organic C (Mg ha⁻¹) |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Humid lowlands       | Shaded perennial systems   | 21–35                   |
|                      | Alley cropping             | 10–25                   |
|                      | Improved fallows           | 135–149                 |
|                      | Homegardens                | 108–119                 |
|                      | Tree intercropping         | 27–78                   |
|                      | Silvopasture               | 96–173                  |
|                      | Woodlots                   | 61–75                   |
|                      | Shaded perennial systems   | 21–97                   |
|                      | Silvopastoral systems      | 132–173                 |
| Tropical highlands   | Fodder banks               | 33                      |
| Arid and semi-arid lowlands | Live fences     | 5.20–24                 |
|                      | Grazing systems            | 12.64–33                |
|                      | Crop dominated             | 20–70                   |
|                      | Fodder dominated           | 25–80                   |
|                      | Fuelwood dominated         | 30–90                   |
|                      | Shelterbelts               | 39.09                   |

Source: Modified from [42].

Agroforestry in urban area are also crucial for climate change mitigation. For instance, a total of 2,877.13 Mg ha⁻¹ was reported from homegarden and tree planted in government institutions of Jimma City, Ethiopia [23]. On average, different agroforestry stored 448, 76 and 51 Mg ha⁻¹ of carbon in woodlot, homegarden and parklands respectively [26]. The efficiency of sequestering carbon in different system, for instance, in above ground and soil is also different across different agroforestry practices. Accordingly, improved fallows are more effective for above ground carbon storage while silvopastoral systems are more effective in soil carbon sequestration [45]. The low decomposition in shaded-perennial-crop-based agroforestry systems has great potential for soil carbon sequestration than open cereal based agriculture. Other study also showed improved systems has greater potential to sequester carbon from the atmosphere [46]. When compared to other land uses system without trees, agroforestry provides much higher amount of carbon through storage in the above ground and below ground biomass of agroforestry system. Study in India showed agroforestry has potential of sequestering 26% more carbon than croplands [47]. Soil under agroforestry system also stored comparable amount of carbon, for instance, 406 Mg C ha⁻¹ from agroforestry vis-a-vis 412 Mg ha⁻¹ from natural forest in southwest Ethiopia [48]. Other study also reported that semi-forest coffee agroforestry retains 75% of the carbon stored in natural forests in Ethiopia [49].

The above evidence showed agroforestry is very hopeful in mitigating climate change due to its continuous increasing in occupying majority (about 80%) of land surface and with diverse potential of sequestering carbon in soil, above ground and even in atmosphere when compared to other open non-tree agricultural land. Therefore, long term contribution of agroforestry for mitigation of CO₂ can be achieved through increasing woody species and shade cover with appropriate consideration tradeoffs.

### 2.3. Agroforestry for Climate Change Adaptation

Climate change poses substantial threats to subsistence agriculture production in tropical Africa. Besides, agricultural production in Africa has undergone sustainability challenges due to degradation of soil fertility, water stress and biodiversity loss. Because of these the productivity of major cereals crops such as maize has been stagnated at 1 t tone ha⁻¹ [50]. This significantly threatens the livelihoods of households in climate change and variability vulnerable area. However, agroforestry mitigate this effect through improving soil fertility, improving environmental quality through pollution reduction from erosion and dust as well as provision of lots of possible combinations of food products including crops and fruits, fodder, mulch/green manure and timber [51].

The trees components create a more diverse, productive and ecologically sound land use and environment [51]. The amount of shade cover play direct role in mitigation of variability in microclimate and soil moisture conservation. This protect the crop of interest from extremes climate events hence reduce the risk of crop failure or reduction of crop productivity. Report indicated, such services can increase crop yield to 50%, maintained 93% of crop pollinators and reduces the incidences of pests such as coffee berry borer to 86% [6]. Fertilizer trees species (FTS) are widely documented to substantially increase maize yields compared to maize production without fertilizer in Zambia [52]. Reports from Malawi also revealed the increases of yields of maize by 110-190% due to agroforestry [51]. The fodder trees in agroforestry system are particularly important in the highlands of Eastern Africa for dairy farmers [53]. The role of trees and shrubs in reducing soil erosion, improving soil productivity, buffering microclimates, provision of green fodder, fruits and leaves for human consumption and income generation [51] improve the livelihoods subsistence farmers. Agroforestry can also protect farm production through their naturally occurring co-benefits including enhanced nutrient
cycling, integrated pest management and increased resistance
to diseases [6]. In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), agroforestry
provide more accessible, safe and stable source of fuelwood
for energy [54]. Besides, it helps small scale honey
production through traditional methods [53] vital for income
generation in many parts of developing countries (Figure 2).

Figure 1. Traditional bee hives hanged on Acacia spp. (left) and Albizia
schimperiana (right) in agroforestry system in Jimma zone (Photo by
Author).

Agroforestry also help households through reducing risk of
production failure by diversifying enterprises and income
sources, protecting crops and resources from high wind
storms thereby increasing resilience associated with climate
change [51]. Therefore, agroforestry is essential land use
system having great potential to provide numerous ecosystem
services directly and indirectly support people and their
agroecosystem to build resilience to climate variability and
change effects.

3. Conclusion and Recommendation

Agroforestry systems have played an important function in
conservation of both flora and fauna, reducing CO₂ emission
and enhancing the resilience of people and their
agroecosystem to climate variability and change. The tree
components in agroforestry serve a key role in provision of
diverse ecosystem function and services. The purposive
retaining of multipurpose trees including native species in
agroforestry provides huge opportunity for the conservation
of rare/endangered or endemic species plant and wildlife. The
role they playing in binding carbon (both in biomass and soil),
improving environmental quality (reducing erosion,
improving soil quality, retaining soil moisture and modifying
microclimate), protecting crops failure due to wind, pest and
diseases, and provision of lots of possible combinations
direct ecosystem services including food products and
income generation provide essential support in fighting
against climate change effects. Hence, the number of direct
and indirect ecosystem services agroforestry provide at
different scale including at household and community as well
as at farm and landscapes level support people and their
agroecosystem to build resilience against climate change and
help vulnerable people to run sustainable livelihoods (Figure
3).

Figure 2. Role of agroforestry in environmental conservation.

Therefore, proper understanding of the potential of
agroforestry and its implementation as supplementary tools
requires awareness at all level and support to landowners in
terms of technical knowhow and access to and choice of
appropriate planting species and management.

Hence, future research should be emphasized on:
1. Identification of plant species to be included in
agroforestry and integration agroforestry components
and their management approaches that can maximize
socioeconomic benefits and environmental
sustainability;
2. Analysis of different ecosystem services delivered by
different agroforestry system;
3. Studies on the role of agroforestry for conservation of
fauna (both below and above ground) should be
improved.
4. Further study on the roles of urban agroforestry and
vegetation for conservation biodiversity and climate
change regulation and food security is important to fill
knowledge gaps in urban area.
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