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Abstract

The greatest concerns of the aviation industry under a warming climate possibly are the following two questions: first, what are the consequences for maximum payloads? and second, will changed air properties (density, temperature and viscosity) affect fuel efficiency? Here, the effects of climate warming on maximum payload and fuel efficiency are examined using atmospheric parameters from 27 climate models. Historical (20th century) climate simulations credibly reproduce the reanalysis period (1950–2015) of near-surface air density (NSAD). Lower NSAD is a first-order global signal continuing into the future. The NSAD reduction impact on MTOW could be ~1% over the busy North Atlantic Corridor (NAC), and also varies among aircraft. Furthermore, for the standard 7-stage flight profile, negative effects of warming on fuel efficiency affect civil aviation. The cruising stage consumes most aviation fuel, and as cruising altitude coincides with the tropopause, the tropopause structure in a warming climate supports the conclusions drawn here. Tropopause temperature changes cause only ~0.08% reduction in thermal efficiency. The net effect on total efficiency is smaller because of improved mechanical efficiency. Work required for a commercial aircraft increases in a warmer climate due to elevated tropopause altitude and increased air drag. The latter outweigh the former by almost an order of magnitude, for international flights.
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1. Introduction

Aviation is probably the most reliable means of disaster response and relief for most large-scale natural disasters. For example, it is unrealistic to maintain or repair road or rail connections across areas affected by earthquakes, floods, landslides, storms, or wildfires, to transport relief and aid to those affected. Thus, adaptation and risk management must pay particular attention to the strengthening of aviation infrastructure to guarantee robust and sustainable relief. By providing a perspective on the impacts on aviation of anticipated changed atmospheric conditions over the near future, this research addresses the adaptation of aviation transport to climate change. The greatest concerns of the aviation industry under a warming climate possibly are the following two questions: how will the maximum payload be affected by the warmer and lighter lower layer atmosphere? and, during the journey, will the changed ambient air properties (density, temperature and viscosity) affect the engine performance? Anyway, all current aviation engines are breathing
thermal engines. The first part of this chapter focuses on the maximum payload, whereas the second part concentrates on the effects on the efficiency and fuel consumption of the thermal engines. Commercial airliners provide an environment-friendly express means of cargo transport and personnel travel (Section 7.4.1.2 of IPCC AR5 [1]). Possible effects of aviation on atmospheric components and climate already have been studied in detail [2–7]. Conversely, the effects of climate warming on aviation have not yet been extensively studied. In Section 1 of this chapter, climate warming effects on aviation payload are investigated, based on the fact that air density is proportional to the maximum take-off weight (MTOW) for an aircraft, irrespective of the design (fixed wing or helicopters; jets or propellers). Aircraft are air-lifted and the MTOW can be expressed in a generic form as

\[ MTOW = M \rho_a \left( \frac{V_0}{\sqrt{C}} \right)^2, \]  

where \( M \) is airplane mechanical properties such as wing span area, attack angle, and fuselage-wing interaction, \( \rho_a \) is air density, and \( V_0 \) is aircraft taking-off speed (for helicopters and other rotorcrafts, the angular speed of the rotor blades). If taking-off speed also is deemed as aircraft property, then air density is the sole environmental factor that is directly proportional to \( MTOW \). In this study, near-surface (airport elevation) air density variations are examined over the twentieth and twenty-first centuries. For period with reanalysis data (1950–present), the loyalty of air density simulated by 27 climate models (Table 1) to reality also is examined. Based on the analyses, the decrease of maximum payload is examined, and the inter-model spread of the uncertainty assessed up to 2100. The largest uncertainty in the degree of warming resides with the industrial emission of GHGs and other pollutants in the atmosphere to which climate is sensitive to the extra radiative forcing, because modern climate has a clear footprint of human activity [2, 8]. The future state of climate would depend crucially on what emission controls nations chose to impose. Emission scenarios (ESs) describe future release into the atmosphere of GHGs, aerosols, and other pollutants. ESs and other boundary conditions are inputs to climate models. In the most recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) assessment report (AR5), the driving scenario is in the form of representative concentration pathways (RCPs). In this study, the climate model outputs under high scenario RCP 8.5 (meaning that rising radiative forcing pathway leads to 8.5 W/m² heating effects in 2100) are used.

The second theme of this chapter is on aviation fuel efficiency. According to FAA regulations, the flight profile consists the seven stages (A-G from taxi out till taxi in). To estimate the extra work that needs to be performed, along flight route integration is the exact approach. Because the commercial data on flight logs are not available for us, we have to make some assumptions according to the carrier aircraft and the routes, which are readily available online (e.g., from those websites selling air tickets). Unlike the issue with maximum payload, where only the airport level air density plays the decisive role, temperature, air density, and winds all matter in the fuel efficiency issue. There sure exist apparent canceling effects among them as well. In addition, the tropopause’s elevation will fluctuate as climate warms; this involves extra potential energy cost in case the aircraft still cruise in the coldest (hence the most favorable for the thermal engine) and most clear level of the Earth’s atmosphere. This likely is the case since the cruise stage is the most fuel-consuming stage of the flight (although the rate of fuel burning is only a half of
the ascending stage). So, a complete consideration of the issue involves the along flight route integration plus the potential energy changes of the entire aircraft. Discussion bifurcates in the following sections, focusing, respectively, on the effects on maximum payload (Section 2) and fuel efficiency (Section 3). This study should inspire further investigation into how climate and environmental changes influence the civil aviation sector of industry.

2. Adverse effects on maximum payload from a warmer climate

2.1 Methods and data

Air density is derivable from air pressure, temperature, and humidity [9, 10]:

\[
\rho_a = \frac{P}{R_d T(1 + 0.608q)}
\]  

(2)

where \(P\) is air pressure (\(Pa\)), \(R_d\) is dry air gas constant (~287 J/kg/K), \(T\) is absolute air temperature (K), and \(q\) is specific humidity (g/g). To apply Eq. (2) to near-surface level, atmospheric fields of pressure (\(P_s\)), temperature (\(T_s\)), and specific humidity \(q_s\) at ground level (subscript “s” means surface) are required. These parameters fortunately are primary outputs from the coupled model intercomparison project (CMIP, e.g., https://cmip.ucar.edu/; Ref. [11]). The monthly climate model outputs are obtained from the IPCC Deutsches
Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) Data Distribution Centre (http://www.ipcc-data.org/sim/gcm_monthly/AR5/Reference-Archive.html). For models providing multiple perturbation runs, only r1i1p1 runs are used. To examine whether the historical runs from the climate models are close to reality in their simulated air density, NCEP/NCAR reanalyses are used as observations. The monthly NCEP/NCAR reanalysis [12] data are obtained from the Earth System Research Laboratory website: http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.pressure.html. Specific humidity provided by reanalyses can be converted into specific humidity before applying Eq. (2).

2.2 Results and discussion

From Eq. (2), two factors cause air density fluctuations: temperature changes and mixing in tracers of different molecular weight to the average molecular weight of the air. Earth atmosphere is dominated by the “dry” inactive components (N$_2$, O$_2$, CO$_2$, etc.). With heat intake, the primary response is expanding in volume and, subsequently, an increased mass center. During the past half century, on average, there is a 30 m lift of the mass center, indicating that the mass is now distributed in a thicker (larger depth) layer (thus reduced density at lower levels). Heating caused expansion is just one effective means that decreases air density throughout the entire tropospheric atmosphere. In-taking of lighter molecules

![Figure 1](image)

*Figure 1. Changes in near-surface air density for the period 1900–2100 as simulated by 24 climate models over six selected world airports. Near-surface air density estimated from NCEP/NCAR reanalyses is shown as red bold lines. The upper and lower bounds among the 24 climate models are shown as brown lines. The analyses were performed on monthly data and averaged to annual values (actual plotted). As with all land-ocean-ice sheet fully coupled climate model outputs, the exact timing is hard to pinpoint. Only the statistical properties and long-term averages would resemble reality.*
(H₂O has smaller molar mass than N₂ and O₂, the dominant constituents of dry air) is another effective way of reducing air density. Although from Clausius-Clapeyron equation [13] warm air has more capacity of holding moisture, it still is debatable whether earth atmosphere actually gains mass, because the hydrological cycle also tends to intensify [14–16], through facilitating interhemispherical moisture exchange [17] and destabilizing local stratification profile [15, 16]. If precipitation increases more than evaporation, there still is a net mass loss for atmosphere. Interestingly, all existing reanalysis datasets show no statistically significant changes in global total air mass during their respective reanalyses period. This implies that the net water vapor input into atmosphere is globally delicately balanced between geographic regions.

Applying the formula as in Eq. (2) to climate model-simulated (under RCP 8.5, a strong emission scenario) near-surface pressure, temperature, and humidity, near-surface air density is estimated over the globe. The same formula also is used on the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data. Density variations over 1900–2100 for six global airports are shown in Figure 1, as representatives. All 27 climate models unanimously indicate that all six locations experienced salient density decreases. Significant inter-model spread exists but started well before the year 1900 and should be ascribed to model systematic biases/drifts. For each climate model, the amount of density decrease easily exceeds the natural interannual variability magnitude. Geographically, high-latitude regions (e.g., Moscow) have larger
interannual density variability but also generally experiences larger density decreases over the simulated 200 years. The linear trends of decrease estimated based on the reanalyses are close to model simulation. All 27 climate models show high degree of consensus in the simulated air density changes (e.g., Figure 2 for GFDL-CM3).

Air density changes are a gradual process over the years. To quantitatively examine if the density changes were statistically significant, a *t*-test was performed over the two 20-year annual density time series (2005–2025 and 2081–2100). In Figure 3, the *t*-value (right panels) and corresponding *P*-values (left panels, for a DoF of 38) are presented (six climate models are shown to demonstrate this). Under the RCP 8.5 scenario, most global regions pass the 95% confidence interval. The signal-to-noise ratio is low only for very limited oceanic regions off the southern tip of Greenland and some sectors of the Southern Ocean. The oceanic region off southern Greenland collocates with the deep-water formation region of the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (MOC). Further investigation indicates that the lack of a decrease in density is due to a weakened MOC that places less moisture into the atmosphere. Regionally, the air becomes drier and heavier. On the other hand, warming reduces the air density. These two canceling factors make the net reduction in density statistically insignificant or, when the moistening effect wins out, may even increase the air density. For this study, the oceanic regions that
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A *t*-test was performed for near-surface air density (simulated under RCP 8.5 scenario) annual time series over two periods (2005–2025) and (2080–2100). Sophisticated modern climate models show consensus on the geographical patterns of the *P*-value (left panels) and *t*-values (right panels). Except for some oceanic regions off the southern tip of Greenland and some portions of the Southern Oceans, most global regions passed the 95% confidence interval, for a DoF of 38. Tropical regions, especially over the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ), experienced the most significant density decreases. The oceanic region off southern Greenland collocates with the region of deep-water formation of the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (MOC).
did not pass the \( t \)-test can be disregarded, because a very limited number of airports are marine-based. Unlike the percentage changes, the tropical region, especially the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ, an area of low pressure and convergence of trade winds), had the lowest \( P \)-value, meaning that the changes over the region are most likely to be statistically significant. From Eq. (2), air density is co-controlled by temperature change and vapor content change. The temperature changes over tropical regions are smaller than over the high-latitude regions. What make the changes over tropical regions statistically more significant are the relatively small changes in air density, for all time scales.

Although air density values simulated by the 27 climate models, when compared with those derived from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data, have systematic biases, the linear trends derived from models agree very well with the reanalyses. This indicates that for estimating payload decreases as the climate warms, the density time series can be normalized by their average value over a control period, say 1900–1920. For a specific model, differences in the values of the normalized density time series from unity are the percentage reductions of NSAD and MTOW. If one further assumes an invariant unavoidable load (weight of an empty airplane), the decrease of MTOW also is the decrease of maximum payload. Air density changes estimated from all climate models were interpolated to the same spatial resolution as MRI-CGCM3. Figure 4 shows the MTOW changes between the two 20-year periods (2005–2025 and 2080–2100). Globally, the changes can reach 5% reduction for some high-latitude and high-elevation airports. For the busy North Atlantic Corridor (NAC), the reduction generally is greater than 1%. This has important economic significance. For the Boeing 747-400, this means a net load reduction of about 3969 kg (Table 2), approximately the passenger and luggage weight of ~25 passengers, or a ~6% reduction in its full passenger carrying capacity. Actual payload equivalence of a 1% reduction in MTOW for other types of aircraft is listed in Table 2. Because the ratio of unavoidable load to its maximum effective payload varies for different aircraft, the general reduction in net payload over NAC varies from 5 to 8.3% for all the aircraft types considered. Some Northern Hemisphere
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**Figure 4.** The estimated ensemble mean decrease in aircraft maximum takeoff total weight (MTOW), as a percentage, based on air density changes simulated by 27 climate models under the RCP 8.5 scenario. The near-surface density from each climate model was normalized by its mean value over 2005–2025 (the control period). Then a bilinear interpolation scheme was used to interpolate on to MRI-CGCM3’s horizontal resolution. An ensemble average was taken over the climate models. The reduction can reach 5% over Northern Europe. For the North Atlantic Corridor (NAC), a ~1% reduction in MTOW was reached during the 75-year span.
high latitudes have a ~5% decrease in NSAD or MTOW. Considering that a 1% reduction in MTOW corresponds to a ~2% (for larger aircraft such as a Boeing 747-800 or an An-24) to ~3.6% (for small aircrafts such as an Embraer ERJ-145) reduction in effective payload, the ~5% reduction in MTOW means a ~8.5–19% reduction in effective payload year-round. As we stated earlier, at the costs of extra maintenance, aircraft still can operate with the manufacturer-labeled MTOW, which is lower than MTOW, under the unfavorable condition of warming. There may be no apparent passenger or cargo reduction. However, there will be hidden extra costs from a warming atmosphere.

2.3 Discussion

Based on the diagnosis of stresses (and forces) exerted on aircraft, a suitable invariant entity was identified for investigating climate change effects on aviation payload. Assuming no changes in technical aspects of aircraft and no changes to FAA regulations on takeoff performance, near-surface air density is the single most significant atmospheric parameter. Reanalyses data indicated clearly that the Earth’s atmosphere had expanded in volume in the past half century. Consequently, the near-surface air density experienced significant decreases globally. The 27 climate models showed a high level of consensus in simulated near-surface air density variations. The ensemble mean of their twenty-first century simulations in NSAD trends was used to examine future reduction to effective payload. In line with Ref. [18], our study aimed to illustrate the potential for rising temperatures to influence weight restriction at takeoff stage. All technical aspects as commented on by Ref. [19] were assumed to be invariants during the analyses period. The simple fact that during extreme hot weather in summertime cargo airplanes have to reduce the effective payload indicates the validity of such analyses. The difference found with seasonal cycle is that these superimposed effects

| Aircraft Type | 1% MTOW [kg] | Aircraft Type | 1% MTOW [kg] | Aircraft Type | 1% MTOW [kg] | Aircraft Type |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Bombardier (Bomb) CS300 | 653.2 | Antonov An-124 100DM | 4050.6 (1.7%) | Douglas DC-8-61 | 1747.2 | McDonnell Douglas MD-90 30 |
| Vickers Viant 800 | 303.9 (2%) | Lockheed L-1011-200 | 2113.7 | Handley Page Hermes | 390.1 | de Havilland (de) Comet 1 |
| Airbus A330-200 | 5760.1 (1.9%) | Boeing 767-400 | 2229.3 | B767-322B | 1513.2 | B767-400 |
| Tupolev Tu-154M | 1043.3 | B777-200 | 1868.8 | B777-300 | 2079.7 | B789-028 |
| Boeing 747-8 | 3770.4 | A320-200 | 1719.1 | HS Trident 2E | 446.4 | B777-200GW |
| B747-300 | 3770.4 | A350-600 | 1662.9 | Caravelle 50 | 455.9 | B777-500 |
| A340-200 | 2535.1 | A330-900 | 851.4 | DC-6 | 485.3 | Embraer ERJ 145 |
| A340-500 | 3715.5 | A330-300 [7] | 1569.9 | dh Comet 2 | 546.3 | Embraer 190 |
| B777-200A | 3747.5 | A310-200 | 1716.6 | Convair 880 | 676.4 | Embraer 175 |
| B777-100 | 3231.9 | A400M | 1409.8 | ATR 42-500 | 186.0 | Embraer 170 |
| B777-300 | 2993.7 | B757-300 | 1236.0 | ATR 72-600 | 227.7 | Embraer CRJ900 |
| MD-11 | 2732.9 | B757-200 | 1156.7 | DC-4 | 231.1 | Embraer E190 |
| 8-86M | 2689.9 | Tu-204M | 1043.3 | DC-6 | 446.9 |Slash 2000 |
| A330-900 | 2680.7 | A321-100 | 829.6 | DC-6A | 486.3 | Bomb CRJ200 |
| A340-600 | 3674.3 | the Concorde | 1850.7 | dh Comet 3 | 680.4 | B777-200GW |
| B777-300ER | 3515.3 | B767-300 | 1507.6 | dh Comet 4 | 707.6 | Fokker 100 |
| B777 | 3470.9 | Vickers VC10 | 1258.6 | dh Hercules | 70.6 | Avro RJ-85 |
| B777-200 | 2472.1 | B777-900 | 851.4 | DC-7 | 553.4 | A330-300 |
| A330-800 | 2580.6 | B777-800 | 790.2 | DC-8-32 | 1406.1 | B777-700 |
| A340-300 | 2766.9 | A319 | 640.0 | DC-8-51 | 1251.9 | An-225 |
| B789-9 | 2300.4 | A320-100 | 619.9 | A380-800F | 5034.7 | B777 |

Table 2. Actual payload equivalence of a 1% reduction in near-surface air density (NSAD). Percentages of maximum payload equivalence are shown in parenthesis.
work persistently year-round and there is no easy way to circumvent or ameliorate them. We, however, agree with Ref. [19] that aviation industry still has technical room to cope with the detrimental effects from climate warming, perhaps at the extra costs of maintenance, passenger comfort, and may even require relaxation of aviation code.

3. Adverse effects on civil fuel efficiency from a warmer climate

Aviation fuel efficiency is underpinning recent contest between aviation engine makers—using higher bypass engines and improving higher fuel-burning temperature. Aside from technical challenges, further improvements in fuel-burning efficiency may also have safety consequences. In the following discussion, a normal seven-stage flight profile (these are A, start and taxi to runway; B, takeoff and initial climbing; C, climbing to cruising altitude; D, en route cruising; E, descent; F, approach (includes ~8-minute holding at ~1500 ft. approach and landing); and G, taxi to docking) is considered (Figure 5). Figure 5 also shows the typical fuel-burning rates at different stages of a commercial airplane engine.

In this subsection, we start from the theoretical expression of the total work an aircraft needs to perform from the origin airport to the destination airport. Another aspect of the fuel efficiency issue is related to the second law of thermodynamics. All airplane engines are thermal engine. Increased environmental temperature always is detrimental for thermal efficiency. This is directly related to the fuel costs of civil aviation. With changed atmospheric thermal structure, the aircraft’s mechanical efficiency may also vary; suppose the same FAA regulation is in position. All components that are sensitive to climate change are investigated and quantitatively from climate model simulations under the RCP 4.5 emission scenario—a more likely scenario.

3.1 Methods and data

During different stages of flying, the force balance situation on an aircraft is different. At the takeoff and climbing stages, there are vertical and forward accelerations. The vertical component of thrust aids the lift in overwhelming gravity. Similarly, the horizontal component of thrust also overwhelms drag. At cruising

![Figure 5. Typical flight profile of an aircraft and the fuel-burning rate in each stage. Except the cruising stage, other six stages last from 10 to 40 minutes only. In all, cruising stage is the most fuel-consuming stage.](image-url)
stage, thrust is reduced mainly to counteract drag, and the weight is balanced primarily by lift. Inevitable work done to the aircraft involves lifting it to the cruise elevation. The potential energy cannot be reclaimed at descending stage, unlike electronics cars. This portion of energy only is sensitive to warming when tropopause height changes as climate warms. The far larger term in energy cost would be that used to overcome drag. While it is apparent that drag is proportional to fuel cost, the picture for how total drag is affected by climate change is more sophisticated, because of the multiple sources involved. Classifying the many drag terms into pressure drag (e.g., induced drag, wave drag, and form drag) and skin friction drag (second term on right-hand side of Eq. (3)) is convenient because the pressure drag tends to be proportionally affected by air temperature and density changes. For example, for a specific design, the effects from environmental air on induced drag and net lift are usually proportional. Thus, the changes in skin friction are decisive for the sign of extra drag on top of total drag stress. To separate out climate change effects on aviation, it is assumed that there is no technological advance in design of subsonic aircrafts used for commercial airliners during the timespan of consideration:

\[
F_d = \iint_S (p - p^*) \hat{n} dA + \iint_S \tau i \hat{d} A \tag{3}
\]

where \(F_d\) is the total drag, \(\hat{n}\) and \(\hat{i}\) are, respectively, unit vectors in the direction perpendicular and parallel to the local surface element \((dA)\), \(p\) is pressure, and \(\hat{i}\) is the flow (drag) direction (align with the aircraft trajectory in Figure 5). For a specific type of airplane, the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) can be parameterized as \(p^* S c_1 R_c^2\), where \(S\) is wing area and \(R_c\) is Reynolds’ number. Coefficients \(c_1\) (\(\sim 0.074\) for well-painted undented surfaces) and \(c_2\) (approximately \(-0.2\)) are aircraft dependent. The drag coefficient is inversely related to the Reynolds number. Increased flow speed tends to increase \(R_c\), while increased temperature, with consequently increased dynamic viscosity, tends to reduce \(R_c\). Without resorting to strict model calculation, it is difficult to estimate accurately the net effect from climate warming to total drag.

To have an estimate of the effects by the end of the twenty-first century, we followed a line-by-line analysis of available commercial airliners. For this purpose, online commercial ticketing databases are browsed for available flights among global airports. Non-direct flights are decomposed to several “direct flights” in a row. An annual, global, direct flight database is thus archived for this research. To estimate the total annual fuel consumption, we follow a line-by-line adding method that considers all available (in operation as of 2010) commercial airliners and their scheduled flights. The integration is along the flying trajectory. There are all sorts of alliances and partnerships between the commercial airliners. A trip involving multiple stops is likely carried out by different airliners in collaboration. For example, between Beijing and Singapore, there are 14 companies having such a transportation service at sub-weekly frequency. Asiana and Air China, for example, have a service to take passengers to Seoul first before heading to Singapore. Cathay Pacific and Thai Airlines stop, respectively, in Hong Kong and Bangkok. Xiamen Airlines even make two stops in between (Beijing → Zhoushan → Xiamen → Singapore). To eliminate possible recounting of the flying legs, only direct flights (each involves one takeoff, cruise, and one landing) between airports are analyzed. In the above case between Beijing and Singapore, there are only five such daily flights, from Air China (A975 and A976, Airbus 330 s) and Singapore Airlines (SA801, 805, Boeing 777 s as carrier, and SA 807, an Airbus 380-800). Connecting flights from the same
airline or from several partner airlines are considered to be several connected direct flights, with distinct flight profile legs and usually carried out using different types of aircraft. For example, the Xiamen Airline schedule from Beijing to Singapore is looked upon as a direct flight from Beijing to Zhoushan, followed by a direct flight from Zhoushan to Xiamen, and another direct flight from Xiamen to Singapore. In this specific case, the same types of aircrafts are used. However, for intercontinental flights, usually different types of aircrafts are involved and intercontinental legs cruise at a higher elevation than the domestic legs of flights.

In the estimation of fuel efficiency change by the end of this century, atmospheric parameters (i.e., air temperature and humidity) from multiple climate models (all under RCP 8.5 scenario) are used to drive expressions (Eqs. (3)–(5)), weighted by airplane-specific aerodynamic parameters. Ensemble averages are taken after the along trajectory integrations driven, respectively, by all climate models (Table 1). The climate model outputs are obtained from the IPCC Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) Data Distribution Centre (http://www.ipcc-data.org/sim/gcm_monthly/AR5/Reference-Archive.html). For models providing multiple perturbation runs, only $r1i1p1$ runs are used. There still is quite a large uncertainty with emission scenario. The results presented here thus should not be taken too literally. Instead, its values primarily are quantitatively accurate.

3.2 Results

From the discussion in Section 3.1, we see that the total energy an aircraft needs to perform is the one overcoming the drag force (Eq. (3)) and the one overcoming gravity to the cruising altitude. The drag forces do work all stages taking off and before landing (all the suspension stages), whereas the potential energy increases only during the taking off and climbing to the cruising altitude (usually tropopause elevation for best visibility and thermal efficiency—to be discussed soon). Because the cruising stage is of very different lengths, in the following discussion, we estimate the percentage change in energy (fuel) costs relative to each stage in the A-G profile against their respective values (e.g., changes in fuel cost in each flight stage, rather than vaguely relative to the total seven stages).

3.2.1 Fluctuation of the tropopause height

From Figure 6, tropopause has apparent latitudinal distribution: reaching lower pressure (higher altitudes) at the tropical region and drops to higher pressure levels at the polar regions. As climate warms, tropopause was lifted to higher elevations (Figures 6b and 7a and b), except very localized regions around the South Pole. This is in agreement with Refs. [20, 21]. Different emission scenarios differ primarily in magnitudes, with decreasing regions totally disappeared for the strong emission scenario RCP 8.5. For the bustling North Atlantic Corridor (NAC, 30°–350E; 30°–60N), not only the trend but even the differences between the two scenarios (Figure 7c) pass a t-test with 95% confidence interval. The tropopause altitude increase rate reaches 6 m/year for a weak emission scenario (RCP 4.5). This is about 4% increase in the fuel cost at the ascending stage for normal commercial flights.

In the ascending stage, (in the vertical direction) the aircraft not only overcomes gravity, but it also experiences drag (both terms in Eq. (3)). As a result, the ascending at the lower altitudes is more fuel-consuming, because of the higher air density. As a result of this fact, the elevated tropopause elevation is only an increase of less than 0.2% in fuel costs for long-range international flights. Except for very short-range flights, the cruising stage is the most fuel-consuming stage. Factors
3.2.2 Thermal, mechanical, and total efficiency

Aircraft engines are breathing thermal engines. That is, they use oxygen in the environmental air fanned into the burning chamber, rather than carrying the oxidizers (as rocket engines do) for burning the fuel. The working fluid is the high-temperature and thus high-pressure exhausts (gases resulting from burning of fuel plus other components in the inhaled air). As fuel and inhaled air are “locked” in the burning chamber moving with the aircraft, the overall efficiency (in providing thrust) is a multiplication of thermal efficiency and mechanical efficiency. Applying Newton’s third law of motion (or momentum theory) $F \Delta t = m \Delta V$, it is straightforward to ascertain that the overall efficiency, $\eta$, is the multiplication of mechanical efficiency ($\eta_M$) and thermal efficiency ($\eta_T$), or $\eta = \eta_M \times \eta_T$ (e.g., Ref. [22]).

As aircraft engines are thermal engines, their thermal efficiency is adversely affected by environmental temperature rise. The second law of thermodynamics puts a fundamental limit on thermal efficiency ($\eta_T$):

$$\eta_T = e(1 - T_C/T_H)$$ (4)
where \( \varepsilon \) is a technical limiting factor (\( \sim 0.57 \)) indicating actual engines’ closeness to ideal engine [9], \( T_H \) is the absolute temperature at which the heat enters the engine cycle (also called turbine entry temperatures, TETs), and \( T_c \) is the absolute temperature of the exhaust gases. \( T_c \) closely follows the environmental air temperature, \( T_a \), with only a cooling technology-dependent constant difference. The efficiency of thermal engines increases with higher operating temperature and lower environmental temperature. There have been active efforts in improving TETs during the past 50 years (Section 7.4.1.2 of IPCC AR5: aviation and the global atmosphere). In this study, for simplicity, we assume that both \( T_H \) and \( \varepsilon \) are not going to improve in the projection period (between 2010 and 2100). \( T_c \) is the only variable being considered varying along the cruising route. For all commercial brands in operation, the TETs published during 2010–2014 are used. Except for several well-known engine types, most engine companies are very protective of actual engine data and operating conditions, although there is much discussion in the literature and also clues such as in EASA (and FAA) type of certificates (certificates for all of the engine types are publicly available), which have detailed listings of actual engine values in regions where engine measurements are made. The TETs can be deduced from the emission data. According to Eq. (4), a decrease in thermal efficiency for common commercial engines, GE90, RB211, LAEV2500, and Lyulka, in response to a 1 K increase in environmental temperature (\( T_c \)), are respectively, \( 5.62 \times 10^{-4}, 5.88 \times 10^{-4}, 5.07 \times 10^{-4}, \) and \( 4.94 \times 10^{-4} \). The effects on engine thermal efficiency from climate change are transferred to the air temperature variations along the flying routes (legs). As the oxygen is inhaled from environmental air (common to breathing engines), and the density decrease due to climate warming does not result in incrementing oxygen concentration in the

**Figure 7.**
GFDL2.1 simulated tropopause height changes (shades in (a) and (b), in hPa) between periods 1980–2000 and 2080–2100, represented in pressure levels. The area averaged time series over the NAC (305–350E; 30–60N) is shown in (c), for two emission scenarios (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5). The two differ only quantitatively.
environmental air (as a matter of fact, if vapor content is considered, a decrease in oxygen concentration is expected), a natural consequence is that this may result in incomplete fuel oxidization, without technological improvements to the combustion system to increase the volumetric air inhaling rate. This detrimental effect on thrust production is not considered here but is apparently proportional to air density decrease.

As the airplane moves forward by ejecting exhausts backward, the way in which the kinetic energy (extracted from the fuel-burning chemical energy) is partitioned between aircraft and exhaust jet (i.e., used for pushing aircraft forward versus removed by the exhaust) is measured by the mechanical (propulsive) efficiency ($\eta_M$):

$$\eta_M = \frac{2}{1 + Ve/Va}$$

where $Ve$ is effective exhaust speed (jet speed relative to airplane) and the airplane speed, $Va$, is relative to the ground. $\eta_M$ reaches maximum when the jet exhaust is stationary relative to the ground (all extracted energy from fuel burning is used as thrust to push forward the aircraft). Here exhaust speed is retrieved from TETs and engine pressure ratios [23]. Equation (5) is derived in the inertial frame coordinates based on energy and momentum conservation. The commercial passenger aircrafts generally have effective jet speeds, $Ve$, within the range of 600–850 m/s. The lower the effective jet speed (i.e., close to the cruising speed), the more sensitive the mechanical efficiency is to airplane cruising speeds. Overall efficiency $\eta$ is the multiplication of mechanical efficiency and thermal efficiency ($\eta = \eta_M \times \eta_T$). Factors lowering (enhancing) overall efficiency result in more (less) fuel cost.

In the global belt between 65°S and 70°N, which contains most of the trajectories of commercial flights, the tropopause temperature increased $\sim$0.8–1.2°C over a 100-year period (the difference between (2080–2100) and (1980–2000)). For most commercial engines, thermal efficiency reduces only 0.06% during the cruising stage of the flight profile. Due to air density decrease, the mechanical efficiency is affected by warming the opposite way. As a result, the total efficiency was affected only by $\sim$0.03%. The most significant effect from a warming flying environment is in fact from the increased air stickiness—the body drag acting on the aircraft.

3.2.3 Drag on aircraft

The percentage change in skin friction drag is caused primarily by the increase in the kinematic viscosity of air, within the cruising space, which has a temperature lapse rate of about $8 \times 10^{-8}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. Figure 8c indicates that, for all operating airliners considered, there could be a 3.5% increase in skin frictional drag by 2100 ($\Delta \tau/\tau^0 = 0.035$, with superscript means the value at reference year 2010), whereas the skin friction drag is only $\sim$5.7% of the total drag. The increase in skin frictional drag accounts only for a $\sim$0.2% reduction in efficiency in fuel consumption. Thus, due to increased air viscosity and decreased engine overall efficiency, the annual fuel consumption in 2100 would be $\sim$0.9% higher than around 2010. The spread in the estimation is wide among climate models, but all indicate more fuel consumption as climate warms. The corresponding absolute change of 0.9% reduction in efficiency in fuel consumption is considerable, about 0.68 billion gallons of fuel annually. The reduction in thermal efficiency is complementary to the IPCC AR5 perspective, but the fact that the increased drag and mechanical efficiency may be a supplant concept (a new rubric) will hopefully stimulate further studies in this
A t-test was performed for the overall decrease in fuel efficiency time series. For 22-year periods centered at year 2010 and 2090, a P-value of 0.0017 (dof = 38) was obtained. At this significant level, it means at a possibility of 99.84%, the trend is not by mere coincidence. Thus, the net decrease in fuel efficiency is small but statistically significant.

3.3 Conclusion

To conclude, factors affecting aviation fuel efficiency are thermal and propulsive efficiencies and overall drag on aircrafts. An along-the-route integration is made for all direct flights in baseline year 2010, under current and future atmospheric conditions from nine climate models under the representative concentration pathway (RCP) 8.5 scenario. Thermal and propulsive efficiencies are affected oppositely by environmental warming. The former decreases 0.38%, but the latter increases 0.35% over the twenty-first century. Consequently, the overall engine efficiency decreases only by 0.02%. Over the same period, skin frictional drag increases ~5.5%, from the increased air stickiness. This component is only 5.7% of the total drag, the ~5.5% increase in air viscosity accounts for a 0.275% inefficiency in fuel consumption, one order of magnitude larger than that caused by engine efficiency reduction. The total decrease in fuel efficiency equals to ~0.24 billion gallons of extra fuel annually, a qualitatively robust conclusion but quantitatively with significantly inter-climate model spread.

The effects on fuel cost from increased airplane potential energy still is one order of magnitude smaller than factors considered here, due to the fact that it is a less than a 1% increase in the climbing stage (at most 1 hour). The fuel cost, in the
cruising stage is much greater, because of the length of time (up to 14 hours). Also, at higher altitudes, the fuel cost for climbing is reduced (the increased tropopause height’s effect is the upper level part of the trajectory). The common statement that the climb stage is more fuel-consuming refers to the rate, not the total value (except for very short flights, e.g., from Oklahoma City to Tulsa).

Climate effects on aviation are a burgeoning but promising research field. Our study here focused on the rudimentary aspects that are of concern to the commercial airlines: the effects on maximum payload and on fuel costs. Other directions such as customer comfort and safety also are profoundly affected, especially the circulation changes (winds and turbulence [24]). These will be addressed in future studies in this walk of line.
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