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Abstract – We obtain zero energy states in graphene for a number of potentials and discuss the relation of the decoupled Schrödinger-like equations for the spinor components with non-relativistic \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetric quantum mechanics.
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The dynamics of charge carriers in graphene is governed by the \((2+1)\)-dimensional massless Dirac equation except that the velocity of light \(c\) is replaced by the Fermi velocity \(v_F\) [1]. In order for graphene to have practical applications it is necessary to confine or control the motion of the electrons. It is well known that magnetic fields can be used to confine the charge carriers in graphene [2]. On the other hand, it is generally believed that, because of Klein tunneling, electric fields are not useful in confining the charge carriers in graphene. However, it has recently been shown that by using certain types of electric fields it is indeed possible to confine charge carriers in graphene and zero energy states can be obtained analytically for a number of electric field profiles [3]. Also an electric field of the secant hyperbolic type has been found to admit not only zero energy solutions but quasi-exact solutions as well [4]. The conditions for existence of zero modes of \((1+1)\)-dimensional Dirac operator have also been investigated from a mathematical point of view [5]. In view of the fact that zero energy states in graphene are of particular interest [3], here we shall employ an alternative method, namely, supersymmetric quantum mechanics [6,7] to analyze the model of ref. [4] and obtain zero energy states of the secant hyperbolic potential. We shall also obtain zero energy states of two new potentials, one of which is the trigonometric counterpart of the one used in ref. [4] while the other is a periodic potential. Another important feature of the present model is that it illustrates how non-relativistic \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetry [8] appears naturally in relativistic quantum mechanics.

To begin with, the motion of electrons in graphene in the presence of a potential is governed by the equation

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left( v_F (\sigma_x p_x + \sigma_y p_y) + U(x, y) \right) \psi = E \psi, \tag{1} \]

where \(v_F = 10^6 \text{ m/s}\) is the Fermi velocity, \(\sigma_x,\sigma_y\) are the Pauli spin matrices and \(U(x, y)\) is the potential. Here we would consider a potential depending only on the \(x\)-coordinate and consequently the wave function can be taken as

\[ \psi(x) = e^{ik_y y} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_A \\ \psi_B \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2} \]

Then from eq. (1) we obtain (in unit of \(\hbar = 1\))

\[ (V(x) - \epsilon) \psi_A - i \left( \frac{d}{dx} + k_y \right) \psi_B = 0, \tag{3} \]

\[ (V(x) - \epsilon) \psi_B - i \left( \frac{d}{dx} - k_y \right) \psi_A = 0, \tag{4} \]

where \(V(x) = U(x)/\hbar v_F\) and \(\epsilon = E/\hbar v_F\).

It is interesting to note that eqs. (3) and (4) are invariant under the following transformations:

\[ k_y \rightarrow -k_y, \quad \psi_A \leftrightarrow \psi_B. \tag{5} \]

This means that if the spinor \(\psi = e^{ik_y y}(\psi_A, \psi_B)^T\) is a solution for \(k_y\), then \(\psi = e^{-ik_y y}(\psi_B, \psi_A)^T\) is a solution for \(-k_y\) (here “\(^T\)” stands for “transpose”). That is, eigenstates with opposite signs of \(k_y\) are spin-flipped.

For \(k_y = 0\), eqs. (3) and (4) are invariant under the changes \(\psi_A \leftrightarrow \pm \psi_B\), and the respective solutions are

\[ \psi(x) = \psi_A(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ \psi_A(x) = \exp \left( \mp i \int^x (V(x) - \epsilon) \, dx \right). \tag{6} \]

But in this case, the wave function \(\psi(x)\) is normalizable only in a finite domain if \(V(x)\) is real.
Now let us consider only cases with \( k_y \neq 0 \). Defining \( \psi_{1,2} = (\psi_A \pm \psi_B) \) we obtain from eqs. (3) and (4)

\[
\begin{align*}
\left(V(x) - \epsilon - i \frac{d}{dx}\right) \psi_1 + ik_y \psi_2 &= 0, \\
\left(V(x) - \epsilon + i \frac{d}{dx}\right) \psi_2 - ik_y \psi_1 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The equations for the components \( \psi_{1,2} \) can be easily obtained from eqs. (7) and (8) and are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - (V(x) - \epsilon)^2 - \frac{i dV(x)}{dx} + k_y^2\right] \psi_1 &= 0, \\
\left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - (V(x) - \epsilon)^2 + \frac{i dV(x)}{dx} + k_y^2\right] \psi_2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (9) and (10) can be interpreted as a pair of Schrödinger equations with energy-dependent potentials

\[
\begin{align*}
V_1(x) &= -(V(x) - \epsilon)^2 - iV'(x) + k_y^2, \\
V_2(x) &= -(V(x) - \epsilon)^2 + iV'(x) + k_y^2,
\end{align*}
\]

where the prime indicates differentiation with respect to \( x \). To relate the above potentials with supersymmetry it may be recalled that a pair of potentials \( V_{\pm}(x) \) are called supersymmetric partners if they are of the form [6]

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{+}(x, a_1) &= W^2(x) - W'(x) + d, \\
V_{-}(x, a_1) &= W^2(x) + W'(x) + d,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( W(x) \) is called the superpotential, \( d \) denotes the factorization energy and \( a_1 \) is a set of parameters. Furthermore, supersymmetric partner potentials are translationally shape invariant if

\[
V_{-}(x, a_1) = V_{-}(x, a_2(a_1)) + R(a_1),
\]

where \( a_2(a_1) \) and \( R(a_1) \) are functions independent of \( x \). It turns out that for all the solvable one-dimensional quantum systems (which can be grouped into ten cases), \( a_2 \) differs from \( a_1 \) by only a constant. Hence these systems are termed translationally shape-invariant systems. An important property of shape-invariant potentials is that the spectrum can be found in a purely algebraic way [6]. It may be observed that the superpotential can be found from the equation \( W^2(x) \pm W'(x) = -V^2(x) \pm iV'(x) \). So comparing eq. (13) and eq. (14) with eq. (11) and eq. (12), respectively, it is easy to see that the former set of potentials are formally of supersymmetric form if \( k_y \) is identified as the factorization energy.

Now from eqs. (11) and (12) it is seen that \( V_{1,2} \) are complex potentials and for any even function \( V(x) \) they are in fact \( PT \) symmetric in fact [8]

\[
P: x \rightarrow -x, \ T: i \rightarrow -i.
\]

So unless \( PT \) is not spontaneously broken the Schrödinger equations (9) and (10) would admit real eigenvalues.

We shall now consider some specific examples. In one-dimensional quantum systems, there exist ten cases of exactly solvable potentials, all of which can be treated by the supersymmetric method as discussed in [6]. But only two cases, when fit into the form of potentials in eq. (11) and eq. (12), can provide energies which are real valued and eigenfunctions which are normalizable. These we present as Examples 1 and 2 below. In Example 3, we consider real zero energy band edge solution for a periodic potential. Physically, the first two potentials provide exactly solvable potentials which can confine the electrons in graphene, while the third gives an exactly solvable potential in which the electrons can move freely in graphene. These potentials could be fabricated in the laboratory and would be useful to study the transport behavior of electrons in graphene.

**Example 1.** Here we shall analyze the potential [4]

\[
V(x) = -\lambda \coth x, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty).
\]

This potential has been considered in ref. [3] and quasi-exact solutions have also been found [4]. Here we shall consider \( \epsilon = 0 \) for which the effective potentials become

\[
\begin{align*}
V_1(x) &= -\lambda^2 \coth^2 x - i \lambda \coth x \tanh x + k_y^2, \\
V_2(x) &= -\lambda^2 \coth^2 x + i \lambda \coth x \tanh x + k_y^2.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to see that the potentials above are \( PT \) symmetric. These potentials are in fact \( PT \) symmetrized hyperbolic Scarf II potential of the form [6]

\[
V_{\text{Scarf II}}(x) = -(B^2 + A^2 + A)\coth^2 x + iB(2A + 1)\coth x \tanh x.
\]

The potential (19) is shape invariant and consequently the associated Schrödinger equation, namely

\[
\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - V_{\text{Scarf II}}(x)\right) \phi_n(x) = E_n \phi_n(x),
\]

is exactly solvable with energy eigenvalues \( E_n \) and wave functions \( \phi_n(x) \) given by [6]

\[
\begin{align*}
E_n &= -(A - n)^2, \\
\phi_n &= i^n (1 + y^2)^{-A/2} e^{-iB \tan^{-1} y} \times P_n^{(A - 1/2, B - A - 1/2)}(iy),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
y = \sinh x, \quad n = 0, 1, 2 \ldots < [A - 1],
\]

where \( P_n^{(a,b)}(x) \) denotes Jacobi polynomials (hereafter \( E_n \) and \( \phi_n(x) \) refer to the energies and eigenfunctions of the associated Schrödinger equation of a component of the Dirac equation). It is interesting to note that each of the potentials in (18) is shape invariant although they are not translationally shape-invariant partners of one another. Now comparing \( V_2(x) \) with the potential in (19) we find

\[
A = \lambda - \frac{1}{2} > 0, \quad B = -\frac{1}{2},
\]

\[
k_y^2 = -E_n = \left(\lambda - n - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots < \left[\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\right],
\]
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and the corresponding solutions are given by

$$\psi_2(x) = i^n (1 + y^2)^{-\lambda/2} e^{\frac{i}{2} \tan^{-1} y}$$

$$\times P_n^{(-\lambda/2,-\lambda/2)}(iy), \quad (23)$$

$$y = \sin x, \quad n = 0, 1, 2 \ldots < \left[ \lambda - \frac{3}{2} \right], \lambda > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (24)$$

The wave function $\psi_1$ can be obtained by using eq. (23) in the intertwining relation eq. (7). Thus, we find that the zero energy state is degenerate and the number of degenerate zero energy levels depends on the choice of the parameter $\lambda$. The other interesting point is the fact that the momentum $k_y$ in the $y$-direction can no longer take arbitrary values but is quantized and can only take a certain number of values as dictated by eq. (22).

Finally we mention a point about reality of the spectrum. As we started with the real potential (17) it is obvious that the spectrum would be real. On the other hand, the potentials in (18) are $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric. It is known [9] that for the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric potential $V(x) = -v_1 \text{sech}^2 x - iv_2 \text{sech} x \tanh x$, $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry is unbroken if $v_1 + \frac{1}{2} \geq |v_2|$ while it is spontaneously broken otherwise. In the former case the spectrum is entirely real while in the latter case the eigenvalues occur in complex conjugate pairs. In the present case it is seen that the former condition is always satisfied i.e., $\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} \geq |\lambda|$ and hence the spectrum is always real as it should be.

**Example 2.** Here we present the trigonometric counterpart of Example 1. The potential is taken to be

$$V(x) = \lambda \sec x, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad x \in \left( -\frac{\pi}{2} , \frac{\pi}{2} \right). \quad (25)$$

In fig. 1 we have presented a plot of the potential (25) which clearly shows that it supports bound states.

Then the effective potentials for $\epsilon = 0$ become

$$V_1(x) = -\lambda^2 \sec^2 x + i\lambda \sec x \tan x + k_y^2,$$

$$V_2(x) = -\lambda^2 \sec^2 x - i\lambda \sec x \tan x + k_y^2. \quad (26)$$

As in Example 1, these potentials too are $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric. They are in fact $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetrized trigonometric Scarf I potential of the form [6]

$$V_{\text{ScarfI}}(x) = -(B^2 - A^2 + \lambda) \sec^2 x - i B(2\lambda - 1) \sec x \tan x. \quad (27)$$

The potential (27) is shape invariant and exactly solvable with energy eigenvalues $(E_n)$ and wave functions $(\phi_n)$ given by [6]

$$E_n = (A + n)^2,$$

$$\phi_n = i(1 - y)(A + B)/2(1 + y)(A + B)/2 e^{-iB \tan^{-1} y}$$

$$\times P_n^{(-A-B-1/2,A+B-1/2)}(y),\quad y = \sin x, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots . \quad (28)$$

Just like Example 1 each of the potentials in (26) are shape invariant. Thus, comparing $V_1(x)$ with the potential in (27) we find

$$A = \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \quad B = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$k_y^2 = \left( \lambda + n + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots . \quad (29)$$

and the corresponding solutions are given by

$$\psi_1(x) \sim (\cos x)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} P_n^{(\lambda + i/2, \lambda - i/2)}(\sin x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots . \quad (30)$$

The zero energy state in this case is infinitely degenerate. The other component of the spinor and hence the original Dirac spinor can be found as in the previous example.

**Example 3.** Charge carriers under the influence of periodic potentials have been investigated by a number of authors to study various properties of graphene [10]. For example, a superlattice potential of the form $V(x) = V_0 \cos(G_0 x)$ has been used to study transport properties in graphene [11]. Here we consider a periodic potential of the type

$$V(x) = -\lambda \sin(2x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty. \quad (31)$$
In the present case the effective potentials are given by

\[ V_{1,2}(x) = -\lambda^2 \sin^2(2x) \pm 2i\lambda \cos(2x) + k_y^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

The above potentials are \( PT \) (see footnote \(^1\)) invariant periodic potentials of the form

\[ U(x) = -b^2 \sin^2(2x) + 2iab \cos(2x). \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

It is known that for the potential (33) \( PT \) symmetry is broken when \( a \) is an even integer while it is unbroken if \( a \) is an odd integer [12]. Furthermore, when \( a \) is an odd integer there are a quasi-exactly solvable states of period \( \pi \) and there are at most \( (a + 1)/2 \) band gaps of period \( \pi \) [12]. Now comparing \( V_1(x) \) with (33) we find that in the present example \( b = \lambda, a = 1 \). The zero energy solution is given by [13]

\[ \psi_1(x) = e^{-i\lambda \cos(2x)}, \quad k_y = \pm \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Thus, we find that for the solution to be valid \( \lambda < 1 \) and also \( k_y \) can only assume specific values.

In summary, we have obtained exact analytical zero energy solutions of number of potentials some of which have not been considered before within the context of Dirac equation. It has also been shown how the model can be related to a non-relativistic supersymmetric quantum mechanical system. In this context we would like to mention that there are many other potentials which may support bound states but for which equations of the form (9) or (10) may not admit exact solutions. In such cases it may be possible to use some techniques like the SWKB method to obtain the energy eigenvalues. Another interesting aspect of the model is its relation to \( PT \) symmetry. So far \( PT \) symmetry has been realized in the field of optics. However, the present model provides a scenario where \( PT \) symmetry appears naturally.

\(^1\)Here parity transformation is defined as \( x \rightarrow x + \pi/2 \).
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