Optimizing the expression of a Heterologous chitinase: A study of different promoters
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ABSTRACT

Many relevant applications have been demonstrated for chitinolytic enzymes. However, their successful exploitation depends upon the availability of strains and expression conditions that allow the production of active forms and large quantities of these enzymes. *Escherichia coli* has been commonly used to express and overproduce different proteins, among them chitinases. Improving the functional gene expression of chitinases is key to exploiting their potential. In a recent study, we described the effect of various parameters on the functional expression of 2 chitinases from different families, demonstrating that the effect of each of these parameters on the activity of both chitinases was specific to each enzyme. In this study, the expression of a *Lactococcus lactis* chitinase encoded by a new allele, ChiA1-2, was optimized. The results showed that not only the expression parameters seemed to influence protein production, solubility and activity but also the plasmid used for the expression. Herein, we describe the effect of 2 different promoters, *tac* and *T7*, on the expression of the active form of the chitinolytic enzyme.
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Introduction

Chitinases are enzymes that hydrolyse β-1, 4-glycosidic bonds of chitin, a linear β-1, 4-linked homopolymer of N-acetylglucosamine that is very difficult to degrade.1,2 Chitinases are very diverse and belong to family 18 and 19 glycosyl hydrolases. They are classified based on the amino acid sequence of the catalytic modules, according to the CAZy database.3 A broad range of organisms, including bacteria, fungi, insects, plants and animals, produce these enzymes, and they are utilised in nutrition, morphogenesis or defense.1

Traditionally, chitinases have attracted attention because of their important potential applications, such as the production of specific sized bioactive chito-oligosaccharides of interest to the pharmaceutical and food industry, and their possible use as biocontrol agents against fungal, insect and nematode pests.2,4 Recently, new applications of chitinases, including uses in aquaculture for fish protection6 and bioethanol production, have been reported.7

The successful use of chitinases in the above-mentioned applications depends on the availability of strains and expression conditions that permit the production of active forms and large quantities of these enzymes.8 Improving the functional gene expression of chitinases is essential to achieve this objective. *Escherichia coli* is frequently used to express and overproduce many proteins, including chitinases,3 because of the characteristics of its expression system.9-11 Recently, we described the effect of the host strain, culture cell density, inducer concentration, post-induction time and induction temperature on the functional expression of 2 chitinases from different families and prokaryote domains and showed that the effect of each parameter on the activity of both chitinases was specific to each enzyme.12 This study describes the effect of 2 different promoters, *tac* and *T7*, on the expression of a *Lactococcus lactis* chitinolytic enzyme.

Results and discussion

In this work, optimization of the expression of a chitinase from *L. lactis* CECT 185 in *E. coli* was carried out. To this end, the ChiA1 gene in this strain was ampli-
fied using primers designed based on the gene sequence NP_268107.1 deposited in the database for *L. lactis* strain IL1403, whose chitinolytic activity had been previously demonstrated and characterized. A fragment of 1476 bp encoding a protein of 492 amino acids was amplified. The protein analysis using Pfam revealed the modular structure of the chitinolytic enzyme, as described by Vaaje-Kolstad et al. However, the sequence analysis of the protein showed that it differed in 4 amino acids from *L. lactis* strain IL1403. The first of these changes, an alanine (A) at position 12 instead of valine (V), is situated in the signal peptide of the protein. Two other changes, a valine (V) at position 149 instead of isoleucine (I) and threonine (T) at position 268 instead of serine (S), are located in the catalytic domain. Finally, in the case of the amino acid occupying position 479, glutamine (Q) is replaced by leucine (L) (i.e. a neutral and polar amino acid is replaced by other neutral and non-polar amino acids). This substitution is located in the chitin-binding domain. The alignment of the chitinase of the *L. lactis* CECT 185 strain with that of other strains of the same species showed that these changes also appeared in a strain involved in malolactic fermentation of wine isolated in our laboratory (VINI30) and in strains *L. lactis* KF147 (accession number ADA65702.1) and *L. lactis* NCDO 2118 (accession number AII13508.1). Due to these differences, the allele of the strain *L. lactis* CECT 185 was named *ChiA1*-2.

To optimize the production of this chitinase, the *ChiA1*-2 gene was cloned into *E. coli* expression vectors pGEX4T-2 (carrier of the tac promoter) and pET41 EK/LIC (carrier of the T7 promoter) generating, by transformation, the recombinant *E. coli* strains BL21ChiA1-2Ll1 (in which the gene was expressed under the control of the tac promoter) and BL21ChiA1-2Ll2 (in which the gene is expressed under the control of the T7 promoter).

The level of recombinant protein expression in *E. coli* is affected by the strength of the expression system, which depends on the strength of the promoter used and the number of copies of the plasmid as well as other parameters that affect the expression, mainly the cell density, temperature, inducer concentration and induction time. However, very high levels of expression can lead to large amounts of proteins that cannot be processed correctly and that accumulate in inclusion bodies in an inactive form. As a result, a reduction in expression levels frequently results in a higher amount of active protein. Therefore, for optimum production, the expression levels must be modulated and adjusted.

The enzymatic activity of the protein is indicative of proper folding and solubility. In this study, the conditions required to obtain the greatest amount of active protein in the most efficient manner were established. To do this, the expression levels of the enzyme were evaluated by testing 3 different cell densities at the time of induction, 3 concentrations of inducer and 3 post-induction times. The assays were carried out by varying one of the parameters and maintaining the others under the following standard conditions: OD$_{600}$ of 0.8, isopropyl thio-β-D-galactoside (IPTG) concentration of 0.5 mM, induction time of 4 h, temperature of 37°C and agitation of 250 rpm. The results obtained with each of the 2 recombinant strains constructed are shown in Table 1. The results confirmed that the *ChiA1*-2 allele encoded a functional protein. They also demonstrated that the production levels of the active protein were dependent on the initial concentration of the cells, inducer concentration and induction time, all of which were optimized for each chitinase, as described and discussed for other chitinases in our previous work. Importantly, the findings also highlighted that under all the conditions tested, the levels of active protein were considerably higher when using the BL21ChiA1-2Ll1 strain (in which the gene was expressed from the tac promoter) than when using the BL21ChiA1-2Ll2 strain (in which the gene was expressed from the strong T7 promoter). These results contrast with the findings of the sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis showed

| Parameter analyzed | BL21ChiA1-2Ll1$^a$ | BL21ChiA1-2Ll2$^b$ |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| OD$_{600}$ (nm)    | 0.5                | 240.7 ± 0.4        | 38.4 ± 2.7         |
|                   | 0.8                | 98.7 ± 2.4         | 55.8 ± 1.1         |
|                   | 1.2                | 95.1 ± 0.8         | 66.3 ± 4.3         |
| IPTG concentration (mM) | 0.12 | 89.4 ± 5          | 62 ± 4.3           |
|                   | 0.25               | 108 ± 0.4          | 56.8 ± 1.2         |
|                   | 0.5                | 98.7 ± 1.5         | 55.8 ± 1.1         |
| Post-induction time (h) | 1    | 152.7 ± 6        | 45.2 ± 0.9         |
|                   | 5                  | 98.6 ± 0.5         | 55.8 ± 2.8         |
|                   | 24                 | 66.2 ± 5.2         | 33 ± 0.4           |

$^a$Expressing the gene from the tac promoter.
$^b$Expressing the gene from the T7 promoter.

*Table 1. Effect of the promoters and different induction conditions on the expression of the active form of the chitinase encoded by the *ChiA1*-2 gene.*
in Fig. 1, which revealed higher production of the recombinant protein when using the BL21ChiA1-2Ll1 strain than the BL21ChiA1-2Ll2 strain. The findings indicated that less protein was synthesized with a weaker promoter due to lower levels of mRNA but that correct folding of the protein was more efficient under these conditions, resulting in higher levels of active enzyme. They also confirmed that the effects of the plasmid used on the synthesized active protein were more important than variations in other parameters that affected protein expression.

As shown by the comparison of the results obtained with the 2 recombinant strains (Table 1), the highest levels of active enzyme using plasmid pGEX4-T2 (with the tac promoter) were achieved under conditions of lower cell densities and shorter induction times than under optimized expression conditions using the pET41 EK/LIC vector (with the T7 promoter). In addition, none of the the optimized expression conditions using the BL21ChiA1-2Ll2 strain (expressing the gene from the T7 promoter) led to expression levels of active protein comparable to those obtained with the BL21ChiA1-2Ll1 strain (expressing the gene from the tac promoter). Under the optimum conditions employed in this study, this allowed 3.6 times more active protein to be obtained using the BL21ChiA1-2Ll1 strain than using the BL21ChiA1-2Ll2 strain. These results suggest that processes conducted with the recombinant BL21ChiA1-2Ll1 strain would result in a higher yield of active enzyme in shorter times, making this process economically more profitable.

### Materials and methods

**Plasmids, bacterial strains and culture conditions**

The plasmids and strains used in this study are shown in Table 2.

---

**Figure 1.** SDS-PAGE showing the expression of the ChiA1-2 gene using BL21ChiA1-2Ll1 (A) and BL21ChiA1-2Ll2 (B) strains induced with IPTG. Lane 1: molecular weight protein marker (A: Hide Range Sigma Marker™, Sigma-Aldrich; B: Protein Marker, Broad Range, Biolabs); lane 2: cell extract before induction; lanes 3–4: cell extract 2 and 4 h, respectively, after induction. The recombinant protein fused with the GST tag is boxed.

---

### Table 2. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

| Strain | Source | Genotype/characteristics |
|--------|--------|--------------------------|
| L. lactis sp. lactis CECT 185 | CECT<sup>a</sup> | Wild type |
| E. coli One Shot<sup>a</sup> TOP10 | Invitrogen | F<sup>−</sup> mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) φ80lacZΔM15 lacX74 recA1 araD139Δ ara-lem7697 gal/ U galK rpsL (Str<sup>R</sup>) endA1 nupG |
| E. coli BL21 (DE3) | Invitrogen | F<sup>−</sup> ompT dcm lon hsdS<sup>β</sup>(r<sup>−</sup> m<sup>−</sup>)</sup>(DE3 [lacIql lacUV5-T7 gene 1 ind1 sam7 nin5]) |
| E. coli BL21 Star (DE3) | Invitrogen | F<sup>−</sup> ompT hsdS<sup>β</sup>(r<sup>−</sup> m<sup>−</sup>) gal dcm me131 (DE3) |
| BL21ChiA1-2Ll1 | This study | E. coli BL21 (DE3) transformed with pGEX-4T-2-ChiA1-2Llp recombinant vector |
| BL21ChiA1-2Ll2 | This study | E. coli BL21 Star (DE3) transformed with pET41-ChiA1-2Llp recombinant vector |
| Plasmid | | |
| pCR<sup>a</sup>- Blunt | Invitrogen | Cloning vector. Confers zeocin and kanamycin resistance |
| pGEX4T-2 | Amersham-2 | IPTG inducible expression vector under control of the tac promoter. Introduces a GST tag at the N-terminus of the expression protein. Confers ampicillin resistance |
| pET41 EK/LIC | Novagen | IPTG inducible expression vector under control of the T7 promoter. Introduces a GST tag at the N-terminus of the expression protein. Confers kanamycin resistance |
| pTOPChiA1-2Llp | This study | pCR<sup>a</sup>- Blunt vector containing the ChiA1-2 gene |
| pGEX-4T-2-ChiA1-2Llp | This study | pGEX4T-2 vector containing the ChiA1-2 gene |
| pET41-ChiA1-2Llp | This study | pET41 EK/LIC vector containing the ChiA1-2 gene |

<sup>a</sup>CECT: Spanish Type Culture Collection.
E. coli strains were cultured at 37°C, with shaking in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium. The media were supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg/mL) or kanamycin (50 μg/mL) when required. The media were solidified by adding 20 g/L of agar when necessary.

**Cloning of L. lactis ChiA1-2 gene**

Recombinant DNA was performed as described by Sambrook and Russell. DNA from L. lactis was isolated using a GFX Genomic Blood DNA Purification kit (Amersham Biosciences UK, Ltd.). The ChiA1-2 gene of L. lactis was amplified by PCR using the genomic DNA as a template and primers based on the sequence of the open reading frame NP_268107.1. To amplify the gene cloned into pET-41 Ek/LIC, i) the forward primer LLacchiA1FW (5'-GACGACGACAGATGATTTCAGTGAAAAAACGTAGAGA-3') and reverse primer LLacchiA1REV (5'-GAGGAGAAGGCCTCGAGTCTATGCTTTTCCATGGACCAAAAT-3') were employed, using a kit from Novagen (Darmstadt, Germany), following the manufacturer’s instructions; ii) the forward primer LLchitFW (5'-GGATCCATGATTTCAGTGAAAAAACGTAGAGA-3') and reverse primer LLchitREV (5'-CTCGAGTTAGCTTTTTCCATGGACCAAAAT-3') were used to amplify the gene cloned into pGEX-4T-2. The underlined bases are the BamHI and XhoI restriction recognition sites, respectively. The amplified product was purified using a GeneClean kit (Qbiogene/MP Biomedicals, LLC) and cloned first into a pCR®-Blunt vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following the instructions of the manufacturer, generating the recombinant plasmid pTOPChiA1-2Llp. The BamHI and XhoI fragment from the vector pTOPChiA1-2Llp was ligated into a pGEX-4T-2 vector digested with the same enzymes. The thermal conditions for PCR amplification were an initial denaturation step for 1 min at 95°C followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 60°C for 90 s, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and finished with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The two recombinant constructs obtained (pGEX-4T-2-ChiA1-2Llp and pET41-ChiA1-2Llp) were used to transform the BL21 (DE3) and BL21 Star (DE3) E. coli strains, respectively, and the recombinant strains selected in LB medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic (Table 2).

**DNA and protein sequence analysis**

DNA and protein sequences comparison were done using the BLAST 2.0 program and the NCBI database. Protein alignments were carried out using the ClustalW 2.0.12 program in EMBL-EBI. Protein sequence analysis was done with Pfam and ExPASy proteomic tools.

**Protein expression and chitinolytic activity**

Protein expression and analysis, as well as the determination of chitinase activity, were carried out as described previously. The samples were dialysed against 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.6). One unit (U) of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme releasing 1 nmol of 4-nitrophenol in 1 h. Expression experiments and enzymatic assays were carried out in triplicate, and the results were expressed as the mean ± SD.
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