1. Introduction
Abdominal pregnancy is an extremely rare type of ectopic pregnancy with an incidence ranging between 1:10000 and 1:30000 pregnancies, accounting for 1.3% to 1.4% of all ectopic pregnancies.\(^1\,^2\) Abdominal pregnancy can be classified as primary or secondary: Primary abdominal pregnancy occurs when the fertilized ovum implants directly into the peritoneal cavity; secondary abdominal pregnancy occurs when the fertilized ovum first implants in the fallopian tube or uterus followed by rupture of the uterine or tube wall, leading to secondary implantation in the peritoneal cavity. Ovarian, tubal, and intraligamentary pregnancies are excluded from the definition of abdominal pregnancy.\(^3\,^4\) The affected woman may have no complaints, or only have some nonspecific signs and symptoms such as abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding, and the abdominal girth increases just as in a normally implanted pregnancy. Abdominal pregnancy can be easily missed in routine obstetric practice, even in routine antenatal ultrasonography. We present 1 case of abdominal pregnancy which continued into the third trimester and was evaluated by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). We highlight the significance of MRI in abdominal pregnancies, which figures the exact anatomical relationships of the fetus, the placenta, and maternal intra-abdominal organs, thus contributing to surgical intervention. Finally, the affected woman was discharged in a good condition, but her baby was dead.

2. Case presentation
A 24-year-old woman (gravida 2, para 1, living 0) at 33 weeks’ gestation presented to local hospital complaining of vaginal bleeding for 2 months and lower abdominal pain for 2 days. Before that, the woman had never taken any prenatal examinations because of poverty. She had undergone a cesarean delivery removing a dead fetus in 2012. Local ultrasound scan showed an extraterine intra-abdominal fetus, and diagnosed with suspected abdominal pregnancy. Then, the patient was transferred to our hospital. On arrival, the patient’s vital signs were stable. An emergency ultrasound scan at our institute
revealed a single intra-abdominal extrauterine fetus which was in an amniotic sac with normal amniotic fluid volume. The fetal heart rate was 129 beats/minute, normal uterine wall around the fetus was not noted. The fetal growth parameters (biparietal diameter, 6.6 cm; femur length, 3.8 cm) were far lower than in normal fetus at 33 weeks of gestation. The placenta lied on the left of the fetus. A nonpregnant uterus equivalent to 50 gestational days was seen in the pelvic cavity. Eight hours later, ultrasound findings were further confirmed with an abdominal and pelvic MRI scan (GE 1.5T signa HDxt). Sagittal T2-weighted single-shot fast spin echo images (TR/TE, 2400/130ms; field of view, 360 × 360 mm; slice thickness, 6.0 mm; echo train length, 6) demonstrated a fetus in an intact hyperintense amniotic cavity in the abdomen outside the uterus, but the heartbeat of the fetus was not noted (Fig. 1). The fetus was veiled by irregular strip structures, which were postoperatively demonstrated to be amniotic membrane. Large hypointense opacity floating in the hyperintense amniotic fluid indicated old hemorrhage. The empty and enlarging uterus was seen in the pelvic cavity, and its surface is smooth. Axial, sagittal, and coronal T2-weighted fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition images (FIESTA) (TR/TE, 4.4/2.0 ms; field of view, 360 × 360 mm; slice thickness, 6.0 mm; echo train length, 6) showed that the placenta was located on the peritoneal surface of the left lateral pelvic and abdominal wall, and inferiorly extending over the peritoneal surface of the uterine front wall (Fig. 2). Large hypointense opacity of hemorrhage oozed from the edge of the placenta, and floated in the hyperintense amniotic fluid. Sigmoid colon surrounded the amniotic cavity without placental implantation. The fluid collections in the pelvic and abdominal cavity were slightly hypointense to amniotic fluid, indicating old hemorrhage.

At the same day, the patient underwent a laparotomy. Through a midline incision about 15 cm in length inferior to the level of the umbilicus, the abdomen was opened. The intraoperative findings further demonstrated the MRI observations. The amniotic cavity was in the abdominal cavity and covered by omentum majus. About 100 mL bloody fluid collection was drained out from the abdominal cavity. About 200 mL bloody amniotic fluid gushed out along with incising the fetal membrane. The dead fetus was removed, and it was veiled by irregular broken amniotic membrane. The umbilical cord was ligated and cut as close to the placenta as possible. A significant portion of the placenta had deeply implanted into the left lateral pelvic and abdominal wall. After delivery, continued bleeding was noted along with placental detachment. The surgeons sutured the bleeding wound of the placenta, and decided to give up exploring the uterus and
bilateral adnexa. The placenta was left in place because of deep implantation and to prevent further hemorrhage and organ damage. Blood loss during operation was about 700mL. The abdomen was closed after repeated peritoneal lavages, and abdominal drainage was left in situ. The patient was managed with fluids, blood transfusion, antibiotics, and systemic methotrexate after surgery. AT 25 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, the patient was discharged with human chorionic gonadotropin level of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). AT 42 days postoperatively, a CT scan showed that the placenta had degenerated to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (Fig. 3). At 25 days postoperatively, a CT scan shows that the placenta degenerates to a large cystic mass of encapsulated effusion (A–C, &). The sigmoid colon (A/B, SC) courses along the right lateral portion of the mass. Rectum borders the mass closely.

3. Discussion

Abdominal pregnancy carries a maternal mortality rate between 0.5 and 18%, and a perinatal mortality rate between 40% and 95%. In clinical scenarios, the most common complication of morbidity and mortality in abdominal pregnancies is hemorrhage because of deep placental implantation within important abdominal vascular structures. In abdominal pregnancy, there are no specific signs or symptoms, and the affected woman’s abdomen enlarges just as in normal intrauterine pregnancy, so abdominal pregnancy can be easily missed in routine obstetric examination. Ultrasonography is the initial diagnostic test of choice for abdominal pregnancy, but this examination is limited by various factors, such as operator dependence, incomplete penetration in advanced pregnancy owing to oligohydramnios, ossification of fetal bones, fetal lie and position, maternal obesity, and bowel gas. Moreover, ultrasonography is unable to image the fetus in relation to the whole placenta and the uterine wall. In one retrospective study, only 45% of abdominal pregnancies were diagnosed preoperatively.

MRI is an alternative imaging modality, which has the advantages of multiplanar imaging, multiparameter imaging, excellent resolution in soft tissue, the absence of radiation, and so on. MRI can not only confirm the diagnosis, but also delineate the exact anatomical relationships of the fetus, the placenta, and maternal intra-abdominal organs, and detail vascular and placental organ invasion for preoperative planning. The use of fast imaging sequence, such as FIESTA, reduces the scan time and shows excellent details with a greatly increased signal-noise ratio. The identification of the site and extent of placenta on MRI can affect the decision whether to remove or leave the placenta in situ, and direct the operating obstetrician to open the abdomen via correct incision, thereby avoiding a catastrophic hemorrhage once the placental bed is incised. In one study, the implantation site was found to be virtually any structure in the peritoneal cavity. Uterine fundus and uterine fundus/adnexa are the most common location for abdominal pregnancies based on a comprehensive review of abdominal pregnancies before 20 weeks of pregnancy. Identification of source of placental blood supply on MRI images is extremely difficult, but the use of MR angiography for preoperative planning has been previously reported. Because many abdominal pregnancies progress into advanced gestational age, diagnosis of fetal severe congenital abnormalities is important; an MRI can help to exclude these abnormalities. The indications for an MRI in such case of suspected abdominal pregnancy by sonography would be as follows: nonvisualization of normal uterine wall around the fetus; an empty uterus; evaluating the site and extent of placenta; figuring the exact anatomical relationships of the fetus, the placenta, and maternal intra-abdominal organs.

The following are the most important elements that a radiologist must focus on when evaluating an MRI for cases of suspected abdominal pregnancy: Fetus: determination of intra-abdominal extrauterine fetal presence; lie, position, and relation to the uterus and maternal intra-abdominal organs; viability; congenital abnormalities; signs of fetal demise/maceration/hydrops. 2) Placenta: site and extent of implantation; most possible placental blood supply; bleeding of placental bed; placental infarction. 3) Amniotic sac: oligohydramnios; signs of rupture of membrane and leakage of amniotic fluid. 4) Uterus: integrity of cervix, uterine wall, and endometrial cavity; signs of uterine rupture and possible exit of the embryo/fetus. 5) Nature of the intra-abdominal fluid and amniotic fluid: hemorrhagic or clear. 6) Any maternal pathology detected by chance, such as uterine and ovarian neoplasms.

4. Conclusion

By using MRI, we can accurately diagnose an abdominal pregnancy. MRI provides more details than ultrasonography, and explains the possible mechanism of abdominal pregnancy.
We advocate using MRI to help surgical planning and improve outcome in cases of abdominal pregnancy.

References

[1] Molinaro TA, Barnhart KT. Ectopic pregnancies in unusual locations. Semin Reprod Med 2007;25:123–30.
[2] Trabert B, Holt VL, Yu O, et al. Population-based ectopic pregnancy trends, 1993-2007. Am J Prev Med 2011;40:556–60.
[3] Masukume G, Sengurayi E, Muchara A, et al. Full-term abdominal extrauterine pregnancy complicated by post-operative ascites with successful outcome: a case report. J Med Case Rep 2013;7:10.
[4] Poole A, Haas D, Magann EF, et al. Early abdominal ectopic pregnancies: a systematic review of the literature. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2012;74:249–60.
[5] Adesiyun AG, Audu AI. Term extrauterine pregnancy in a Nigerian mother: a complication of uterine dehiscence. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2008;279:75–7.
[6] Zhang J, Li F, Sheng Q. Full-term abdominal pregnancy: a case report and review of the literature. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2008;65:139–41.
[7] Raffoe P, Fofie C, Gandau BN. Term abdominal pregnancy with healthy newborn: a case report. Ghana Med J 2011;45:81–3.
[8] Nkusu Nunyalulendho D, Einterz EM. Advanced abdominal pregnancy: case report and review of 163 cases reported since 1946. Rural Remote Health 2008;8:1087.
[9] Teng HC, Kumar G, Ramli NM. A viable secondary intra-abdominal pregnancy resulting from rupture of uterine scar: role of MRI. Br J Radiol 2007;80:134–6.
[10] Sunday-Adeoye I, Twomey D, Egwuatu EV, et al. A 30-year review of advanced abdominal pregnancy at the Mater Misericordiae Hospital, Akpe, southeastern Nigeria (1976-2006). Arch Gynecol Obstet 2011;283:19–24.
[11] Malian V, Lee JH. MR imaging and MR angiography of an abdominal pregnancy with placental infarction. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2001;177:13.5–06.