Impact of treatment strategies on cephalosporin and tetracycline resistance gene quantities in the bovine fecal metagenome
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The study objective was to determine the effects of two treatment regimens on quantities of ceftiofur and tetracycline resistance genes in feedlot cattle. The two regimens were ceftiofur crystalline-free acid (CCFA) administered to either one or all steers within a pen and subsequent feeding/not feeding of therapeutic doses of chlortetracycline. A 26-day randomized controlled field trial was conducted on 176 steers. Real-time PCR was used to quantify blaCMY-2, blaCTX-M, tet(A), tet(B), and 16S rRNA gene copies/gram of feces from community DNA. A significant increase in ceftiofur resistance and a decrease in tetracycline resistance elements were observed among the treatment groups in which all steers received CCFA treatment, expressed as gene copies/gram of feces. Subsequent chlortetracycline administration led to rapid expansion of both ceftiofur and tetracycline resistance gene copies/gram of feces. Our data suggest that chlortetracycline is contraindicated when attempting to avoid expansion of resistance to critically important third-generation cephalosporins.

Cephalosporins are used in both animals and humans to treat a wide variety of bacterial diseases. For the year 2011, cephalosporins sold or distributed in the United States for use in food-producing animals amounted to 26,611 kgs. Ceftiofur, a third-generation cephalosporin, is used in beef cattle to treat bovine respiratory disease and acute bovine interdigital necrobacillosis. Ceftiofur is classified as a critically important antimicrobial by the World Health Organization. It belongs to the same general class of antibiotics as ceftriaxone, which has been highly valued in human medicine for treating serious and life-threatening infections. Ceftriaxone is one of the few drugs available to treat invasive salmonellosis in children. Emergence and spread of Salmonella enterica (non-Typhi), Escherichia coli, and other gut bacteria resistant to third-generation cephalosporins have been linked by some authors to ceftiofur use in veterinary practice and animal husbandry. Data from the 2010 U.S. National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) indicate that 2.8% of the non-Typhi Salmonella isolates arising from human clinical cases were resistant both to ceftiofur and ceftriaxone. At the same time, 21.5% of non-Typhi Salmonella isolates obtained from food-producing animals at slaughter were resistant to both ceftiofur and ceftriaxone. This is a substantial increase when compared with 14.5% of non-Typhi Salmonella animal isolates that were resistant in 2009. The prevalence of ceftriaxone resistance among human isolates has been observed to be relatively stable since 2004; however, in 2010 ceftriaxone resistance among non-Typhi Salmonella cattle isolates (22%) was observed to be at its highest since NARMS testing began in 1997. In response to observed increases in cephalosporin resistance among food animal non-Typhi Salmonella isolates, and to preserve antibiotic efficacy both in veterinary and human medicine, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration released an order in April 2012 restricting certain extra-label uses of cephalosporin drugs in food-producing animals.

Restricting or banning the use of some less critical antimicrobial classes in animal agriculture may result in unintended consequences, such as an increase in therapeutic use of antimicrobials that are deemed to be critical...
for human medicine\textsuperscript{12,13}. Strategies to control ceftiofur resistance need to be designed and tested at the farm level in order to protect both animal and human health.

The first objective of the study was to evaluate pen-level antimicrobial treatment effects as measured by quantifying levels of resistance genes present in cattle feces. Differential ceftiofur treatment was employed to mimic the practice of housing cattle in their home pen (post-antimicrobial treatment) versus keeping them in a hospital pen with all other animals also having recently been treated. The cumulative load of resistant enteric bacteria voided into the feedlot environment affects the ‘dose’ that other cattle are exposed to through the fecal-oral route. Models incorporating bacterial metapopulations are now being used to assess the relative and absolute exposure levels of cattle to resistant versus susceptible bacteria in agricultural environments\textsuperscript{14,17}. It has been suggested that readily available, and more susceptible bacteria, can aid in out-competing the resistant bacterial populations in the gut of treated animals, thus promoting their re-colonization with susceptible bacteria\textsuperscript{16}. Although not universally accepted, many scientists believe that emergent resistance genes are initially associated with a fitness cost to the host bacterium, especially soon after the introduction of new drugs to the market\textsuperscript{17–20}. It was expected that the baseline equilibrium could be reestablished more quickly in pens where treated and non-treated animals were housed together than in pens where all animals were treated with a third-generation cephalosporin. In order to maximize any differential antimicrobial effects we choose the extreme ratios of treated versus non-treated animals at 1:10 vs 11:0, respectively.

The second objective of the study was to evaluate the effect of feeding chlorotetracycline on levels of ceftiofur and tetracycline resistance elements detected during the post-therapeutic period of the two third-generation cephalosporins pen-level regimens described above. Published papers in the human medical literature have demonstrated a protective effect of fluoroquinolone as an alternative intervention against the emergence of cephalosporin resistance\textsuperscript{21,22}. It has been suggested that readily available, and more susceptible bacteria, can aid in out-competing the resistant bacterial populations in the gut of treated animals, thus promoting their re-colonization with susceptible bacteria\textsuperscript{16}. Although not universally accepted, many scientists believe that emergent resistance genes are initially associated with a fitness cost to the host bacterium, especially soon after the introduction of new drugs to the market\textsuperscript{17–20}. It was expected that the baseline equilibrium could be reestablished more quickly in pens where treated and non-treated animals were housed together than in pens where all animals were treated with a third-generation cephalosporin. In order to maximize any differential antimicrobial effects we choose the extreme ratios of treated versus non-treated animals at 1:10 vs 11:0, respectively.

The outcome measure in that study was strictly phenotypic, utilizing culture-based approaches and measuring the proportion of bacteria resistant to a panel of 15 antimicrobials (NARMS CMV1AGNF Gram negative panel, Trek Diagnostics, Cleveland, OH). The total bacterial load was not quantified, so the effect of chlorotetracycline on the background bacterial population could not be determined. The current study was designed to evaluate the effects of chlorotetracycline on ceftiofur and tetracycline resistance gene copies, as well as the 16S rRNA gene copies, using quantitative qPCR assays on community DNA extracted from the bovine fecal metagenome.

Relying strictly on phenotypic, or even genotypic, data from cultured isolates restricts the focus to a very small subset of the gut microbiome. Resistance elements are rarely restricted to one genus (e.g., \textit{Escherichia} or \textit{Salmonella}) or even to a family (e.g., \textit{Enterobacteriaceae}). If results from our previous work\textsuperscript{18} proved to be robust across the entire metagenome, chlorotetracycline would be expected to minimize the proliferation and accumulation of ceftiofur-resistant bacteria and their resistance elements in production-animal agriculture settings. Overall, in the present study, samples were evaluated both at phenotypic\textsuperscript{19} and genotypic levels, the latter involving both analysis of fecal bacterial isolates\textsuperscript{20,21} as well as the broader metagenome. This manuscript focuses on the results obtained from the analysis of resistance genes present in fecal community DNA and will compare and contrast those findings with the isolate-based work. This quantitative study further explores the differential selection and co-selection of the resistance genes found in the fecal metagenome, based upon pen-level antimicrobial regimens. Several studies, including this study on \textit{E. coli} isolates, have demonstrated the phenomenon of differential selection of resistance genes based on chlorotetracycline exposure\textsuperscript{22–25}. Other studies have likewise reported associations among various tetracycline and ceftiofur-resistance genes\textsuperscript{26–31}.

The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of two treatment strategies: 1) the pen-level effects of the ratio of CCFA-treated animals housed with untreated animals, and 2) the subsequent pen-level feeding of therapeutic doses of chlorotetracycline, on the levels of cephalosporin and tetracycline resistance gene copies present in the bovine fecal metagenome. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on fecal community DNA to determine the changes in microbial populations as measured by changes in target gene copies [\textit{bla\textsubscript{CMY-2}}, \textit{bla\textsubscript{CTX-M}}, \textit{tet(A)}, \textit{tet(B)}, and 16S rRNA genes] under the influence of various treatments. The study results were essentially quantitative; that is, the results are expressed in terms of absolute resistance gene copies per gram of feces or else standardized to the 16S rRNA gene copies representing the total bacterial population in each gram of feces. Our hypothesis was that both treatment strategies would help decrease the levels of ceftiofur-resistance elements in the bovine fecal metagenome.

### Results

To initially determine the dynamics of the primary resistance gene of interest for the full study period, \textit{bla\textsubscript{CMY-2}} and 16S rRNA gene copies per gram of wet feces were determined from community DNA extracted from all 2,424 fecal samples obtained from the trial. There were 176 steers studied in this trial over 26 days. Fecal samples were collected every other day. Forty samples from 7 animals could not be collected because the animal either became sick or else died during the trial. Later, \textit{bla\textsubscript{CTX-M}}, \textit{tet(A)}, and \textit{tet(B)} gene copies per gram of wet feces were determined only from those 694 fecal samples obtained from Days 0, 4, 12, and 26 during the trial. These 4 days represented maximum expected effects of pre-treatment, differential CCFA-treatment ratios, chlorotetracycline administration, and the post-treatment washout phases, respectively. All community DNA qPCR assays were run in triplicate.

In our study, depending on the gene, there were as few as zero and as many as 435 (approximately 21%) missing observations (observations below the limit of quantification (LOQ) of the qPCR assay). The \textit{bla\textsubscript{CMY-2}} and 16S rRNA gene quantity datasets derived from all 2,424 community DNA samples (7,272 total observations) had 179 (2.5%) and zero missing observations, respectively. The data obtained from a subset of community DNA samples from the representative four days had 44, 435, 2, and 431 missing observations for \textit{bla\textsubscript{CMY-2}}, \textit{tet(A)}, \textit{tet(B)} genes, respectively, from a total of 2,084 observations. A multiple imputation technique was efficient in imputing reasonable values to the missing observations in the dataset. This technique avoided an artificially left-truncated distribution that is commonly observed with low-copy qPCR since the assay cannot provide gene quantity values below the LOQ; the LOQ of the assay being the lowest value estimated among DNA samples as shown in Figures 1 and 2.

In Figure 1 (A, B), the histograms demonstrate the log\textsubscript{10} \textit{bla\textsubscript{CTX-M}} gene quantity distribution from raw and imputed data, respectively, from the first imputation subdivided by the number of missing observations (n = 0, 1, 2, or 3) among the triplicates of any single community DNA extract (sub graphs: a, b, c, and d). Of the 20 imputations performed and utilized in subsequent multivariate regression analyses, the histograms shown here are the results of the first imputation simply to illustrate the distribution of the gene copies before and after the procedure. Sub graphs a, b, c, and d represent the distributions of the observations that had: no missing triplicates, one missing triplicate, two missing triplicates, and all three missing triplicates, respectively. The arbitrarily assigned non-zero peak at −1.15 log\textsubscript{10} gene copies in the non-imputed histograms represents the distributions of the observations that were missing in each category. The calculated LOQ for the \textit{bla\textsubscript{CTX-M}} gene real-time PCR quantification assay was 1.82 log\textsubscript{10} gene copies per gram. The distribution is
therefore left-truncated at this quantification limit (Figure 1A, subgraphs a, b, and c). Figure 1A, subgraph d shows the distribution of observations that had three missing triplicates. The imputation process distributed these observations in a way that yielded many more observations below the 1.82 log10 gene copies quantification limit compared with the single or double missing triplicates (Figure 1 and supplementary Table 1). Similarly, raw and imputed gene distributions alone for the tet(B) gene are shown in Figure 2A, B. The limit of quantification for the tet(B) gene was $-0.56$ log10 gene copies. Overall, the distribution of missing observations was shifted much more to the left (i.e., fewer gene copies) as the number of missing observations among triplicates increased.

From regression analyses, the marginal predicted means of $\text{bla}_{\text{CMY-2}}$ log10 gene copy numbers per gram wet feces alone, standardized to 16S rRNA gene copy numbers and stratified by the four treatment groups, are shown in Figure 3A and B. The baseline, Day 0, predicted mean log10 $\text{bla}_{\text{CMY-2}}$ gene copies per gram wet feces ($\pm$ SE) for the four treatment groups were 4.29 $\pm$ 0.12, 4.52 $\pm$ 0.12, 4.55 $\pm$ 0.12, and 4.70 $\pm$ 0.12. The two treatment groups in which only one steer in a pen received CCFA treatment on Day 0 showed a non-significant decline ($P > 0.05$) from Day 0 to Day 4 in the log10 $\text{bla}_{\text{CMY-2}}$ gene copies per gram at the pen level. This was in contrast to the other two groups where all the steers in a pen received CCFA treatment (Figure 3, subgraphs A and B) and the gene copies increased significantly ($P < 0.05$). As seen on Day 12, chlortetracycline treatment starting after sampling on Day 4 was associated with further expansion of log10 $\text{bla}_{\text{CMY-2}}$ gene copies per gram, regardless of whether CCFA was administered to one or all eleven steers within a pen.

Figures 3C, 4A, and 5A show the 16S rRNA gene copies alone. The baseline, Day 0, predicted mean log10 16S rRNA gene copies per gram $\pm$ SE for the four treatment groups were 10.06 $\pm$ 0.05, 10.13 $\pm$ 0.05, 10.10 $\pm$ 0.05, and 10.01 $\pm$ 0.05. CCFA administration at different housing ratios did not lead to any significant changes in the total 16S rRNA gene copies per gram feces.

Effects of treatments on absolute and standardized ceftiofur-resistance ($\text{bla}_{\text{CMY-2}}$ and $\text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M}}$) gene copy numbers per gram wet feces for Days 0, 4, 12, and 26 are represented in Figures 4A and B, respectively. Day 4 illustrates only the effect of CCFA regimen on the ceftiofur-resistance gene copies. Fecal samples collected from ani-
mals in pens in which CCFA was administered to all animals within the pen (Figure 4A and B, Day 4, depicted by dark navy and maroon lines) had a greater number of ceftiofur-resistance determinants, \( \text{bla}_{\text{CMY-2}} \) and \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M}} \) gene copies per gram, compared with the other two treatment groups in which CCFA was administered to only one steer among 11. Day 12 in Figures 4A and B illustrates the effect of in-feed chlortetracycline on the absolute and standardized ceftiofur-resistance gene copies, respectively. Chlortetracycline treatment was found to increase both \( \text{bla}_{\text{CMY-2}} \) and \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M}} \) gene copies per gram compared with the pens that did not receive chlortetracycline treatment (Figure 4A and B, Day 12, depicted by dark navy and green lines), regardless of the pen-level CCFA treatment ratio.

The effect of treatments on absolute and standardized tetracycline resistance \( \text{tet}(A) \) and \( \text{tet}(B) \) gene copy numbers per gram wet feces for the four days tested are represented in Figure 5A and B, respectively. Initially, CCFA administered to all steers in a pen significantly decreased both \( \text{tet}(A) \) and \( \text{tet}(B) \) gene copies per gram feces (Figure 5A and B, Day 4, depicted by dark navy and maroon lines) at the pen level compared with pens in which CCFA was administered to just one steer among 11. More expectedly, there also was a marked increase in each of the tetracycline resistance \( \text{tet}(A) \) and \( \text{tet}(B) \) gene copies per gram feces following in-feed chlortetracycline treatment (Figure 5A and B, Day 12, depicted by dark navy and green lines). The dataset, as well as graphs and tables illustrating comparative results from imputed and non-imputed data, have been provided as part of the supplementary material. Model estimates using non-imputed data for all five genes also are included in the supplementary material.

**Discussion**

The hypothesis for this study was based on previous work that suggested that therapeutic doses of chlortetracycline in feed lowered the proportion of ceftiofur-resistant \( E. \) coli isolates found in bovine feces\(^23\). In that study, chlortetracycline appeared to differentially favor a population of \( E. \) coli that were singly, doubly, or even triply resistant (including to tetracycline) versus those multi-drug resistant strains in which ceftiofur and tetracycline resistance both were present, and always with at least 6 other resistance types\(^23\). The results of that study suggested the intriguing possibility for using chlortetracy-
cline as an intervention to control or reduce ceftiofur-resistance among pens of animals previously treated with ceftiofur, if only in the short term, by taking advantage of fitness cost differences among tetracycline-resistant strains.

The present study involved 176 steers. The full study was conducted in two replicates of 88 steers each. Fecal samples were evaluated both by non-type-specific (NTS) *E. coli* culture-based \(^{24-26}\) as well as metagenome-based assays. This manuscript focuses on results obtained from an additional analysis of resistance genes copies present in the entire fecal metagenome. The NTS *E. coli* culture-based study was performed only on the first replicate \((n=88\) steers\), while the metagenome-based assays described in this manuscript involved both replicates. In the metagenome-based study, 16S rRNA gene copies were quantified to take into account the effect of treatment strategies on the total bacterial population.

In the present study, chlortetracycline did not reduce ceftiofur-resistance in the fecal metagenome; in fact, gene copy numbers of both *bla*\(_{CMY-2}\) and *bla*\(_{CTX-M}\) genes significantly increased following chlortetracycline treatment. There are aspects of this study design that could have caused discrepancy with the results of Platt et al. \((2008)\).\(^{23}\)

The present study involved 176 steers. The full study was conducted in two replicates of 88 steers each. Fecal samples were evaluated both by non-type-specific (NTS) *E. coli* culture-based\(^{24-26}\) as well as metagenome-based assays. This manuscript focuses on results obtained from an additional analysis of resistance genes copies present in the entire fecal metagenome. The NTS *E. coli* culture-based study was performed only on the first replicate \((n=88\) steers\), while the metagenome-based assays described in this manuscript involved both replicates. In the metagenome-based study, 16S rRNA gene copies were quantified to take into account the effect of treatment strategies on the total bacterial population.

In the present study, chlortetracycline did not reduce ceftiofur-resistance in the fecal metagenome; in fact, gene copy numbers of both *bla*\(_{CMY-2}\) and *bla*\(_{CTX-M}\) genes significantly increased following chlortetracycline treatment. There are aspects of this study design that could have caused discrepancy with the results of Platt et al. \((2008)\).\(^{23}\) In the present study, either one or else all animals within each of 8/16 pens received ceftiofur treatment prior to chlortetracycline as an intervention to control or reduce ceftiofur-resistance among pens of animals previously treated with ceftiofur, if only in the short term, by taking advantage of fitness cost differences among tetracycline-resistant strains.
The earlier feedlot cattle study, however, the cephalosporin-resistant bacteria were not studied in detail. In the current study, all cephalosporin-resistant isolates were found to be co-resistant to tetracycline, as reported earlier. The authors attributed this difference to the likelihood that cephalosporin-resistant bacteria harbor higher tetracycline resistance genes, as reported earlier. The blaCTX-M gene was detected in E. coli as reported earlier, and was also quantified from community DNA samples derived from feces in this study. For both of the targeted cephalosporin-resistance genes, blaCMY-2 and blaCTX-M, gene copies per gram feces significantly increased in treatment groups where all animals were administered CCFA compared with the pens in which only one animal received CCFA treatment. The short-term selection of blaCMY-2 gene copies following CCFA administration has been previously documented, as mentioned before. However, this is the first study to quantify the blaCTX-M gene in feedlot cattle in the United States. This gene has been noticeably absent from North American agriculture for some time now.

The quantities of tetracycline genes tet(A) and tet(B) significantly decreased on Day 4 in treatment pens where all animals were administered CCFA as compared to the pens where only one animal received CCFA treatment. Several phenotypic studies on E. coli have recorded that all cephalosporin-resistant isolates were found to be co-resistant to tetracycline. Therefore, although the decrease in copies per gram wet feces of both tetracycline genes from community DNA was unexpected, it is readily explainable. First, E. coli bacteria constitute a small fraction of the entire microbial community; that is, the gut flora comprises both culturable and non-culturable organisms that may or may not carry cephalosporin and tetracycline determinants together on one plasmid. Second, even though those E. coli that remain following cephalosporin treatment are resistant both to cephalosporin and tetracycline, those bacteria that are resistant only to tetracycline do not survive, or else are suppressed. The complexity of the co-selection or co-resistance mechanisms has been discussed by Doyle et al. (2013). Microbial populations, along with their constituent organisms, may evolve differently under specific selection pressures in different niches, depending on both the complexity of the niches and the selection pressure, particularly if the starting bacterial population is not exactly the same. Competitive bacterial interactions play a critical role in niches such as the host gut that harbor large numbers of varied microbes.

The blaCTX-M gene in the culture-based study was always found to be harbored on an IncN plasmid among the 1,050 E. coli isolates examined from the 88 first replicate animals. This plasmid was shown to be self-transmissible without conferring any additional fitness cost to the recipient E. coli. This indicates the presence of E. coli (and likely other Gram-negative bacteria) that harbor plasmids which possess resistance determinants directed towards beta-lactams (including third-generation cephalosporins) alone; in contrast, the blaCMY-2 gene in U.S. cattle has previously almost always been reported to be associated with multiple drug resistance and located on an IncA/C plasmid. Furthermore, E. coli isolates derived from 29 out of 88 first replicate study animals tested positive for the blaCTX-M gene. Our metagenome-based assay quantified blaCTX-M gene copies from 87 out of 88 animals from at least one community DNA sample. All DNA samples derived from 12 animals had measurable blaCTX-M gene copies (greater than the threshold limit of the qPCR assay). Of 1050 community DNA samples from the first replicate, 760 had measurable blaCMY-2 gene copies immediately following cephalosporin treatment.

We did not observe any significant effects on the 16S rRNA gene copies following cephalosporin treatment. In the earlier feedlot cattle study, the treatment group that received a similar cephalosporin dose to this study had overall higher predicted mean log_{10} 16S rRNA gene copies per gram when compared to the control group. Results from both studies indicate that a selective advantage favored expansion of cephalosporin-resistance elements (and likely bacteria harboring same) as opposed to a significant reduction in overall bacterial community DNA following cephalosporin treatment. The dairy cattle study did not report quantification of a bacterial reference gene.
Distinct differences were observed between the *E. coli* (culture-based assay) results\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^35\) and the gene quantification results obtained in this study. The qPCR results from community DNA indicated an increase in both *bla\(_{\text{CMY-2}}\)* and *bla\(_{\text{CTX-M}}\)* gene copies per gram wet feces following chlorotetracycline treatment. This runs counter to the results obtained from *E. coli* isolates in which the *bla\(_{\text{CMY-2}}\)* gene was differentially favored over the *bla\(_{\text{CTX-M}}\)* gene when chlorotetracycline was fed\(^2\). Similarly, *tet(A)* and *tet(B)* gene copies per gram wet feces significantly decreased on Day 4; this was in direct contrast to an observed increase in the proportion of *E. coli* isolates harboring *tet(A)* and *tet(B)* following cefotiofur treatment\(^2\).

These results clearly indicate the bias that can be introduced if conclusions regarding microbial populations are based solely on particular culturable organisms. There is a huge unculturable microbial population that might well be expected to behave differently under similar selective pressures. Furthermore, it has been indicated before that the bacterial cells can lose the entire plasmid or parts of the plasmid carrying resistance determinants, or the resistance genes may not be expressed under culturing stress\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^9\). Therefore, results from specific culturable organisms may incorrectly estimate the presence and quantity of target resistance genes among the gut flora. The results from metagenome-based assays also have their own limitations; for example, different bacterial species may have multiple and variable amounts of target gene copies per bacterial cell (relative abundance)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^2\). The metagenome-based assay gives information about the overall target gene load in community DNA, but information about variations in specific bacterial species carrying target genes is not provided from this community DNA-based assay. At present, most antimicrobial resistance monitoring and surveillance systems across the world test isolates and/or samples for antimicrobial resistance by using culture-based methods. Extrapolating the results obtained from specific cultivable organisms (e.g. *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, or *Campylobacter*) to the entire microbial community may not be valid. This can heavily influence the policies formulated by regulatory bodies to control antimicrobial resistance in humans and animals. Therefore, a metagenome-based component should be considered as one part of a more holistic monitoring system to identify when contradictory or paradoxical results are seen, especially to avoid any such biases when making important policy decisions.

A multiple imputation procedure, a simulation-based method, was used to deal with missing data in this study. Several plausible imputed datasets are created in this procedure and results from each are combined in subsequent regression analyses by taking the average over the distribution of these missing data. This technique permits accounting for the uncertainty linked with the missing data\(^4\). The imputed datasets for missing values were sampled from a predictive distribution which was based on observed data. Therefore, this procedure is essentially based on a Bayesian approach\(^3\). This procedure has been suggested to produce less-biased estimates and more accurate standard errors as compared to other approaches such as single imputation, mean imputation, and complete case analysis\(^9\). According to one paper\(^1\) a relative efficiency of 99% could be achieved by utilizing 20 imputations when 20% of the observations are missing. Another study proposed that multiple imputation techniques did not improve a linear mixed model when missing data represented up to 40% of the sample set\(^6\). However, the authors acknowledged that a multiple imputation procedure may be useful if such models included relevant predictor variables, which were not included in their linear mixed models but were included in ours. Multiple imputation procedures should utilize known covariates that are believed to be associated with the missing values\(^4\).

The maximum missing observations in the study were approximately 21% for any given gene. Twenty imputations were utilized to get reasonable estimates of all missing observations. We based our imputation model on unique animal identifier (which comprised pen as well as CCFA and CTC treatment status), sampling day, and the number of observations missing among the triplicate of each sample (i.e., 1, 2, or all 3 triplicates were missing). The number of missing observations among the triplicates was considered as an important predictor, similar to the previous dairy study that imputed missing quantitative real-time PCR data\(^4\). The histograms as well as the supplementary Table 1 resulting from the imputed datasets, demonstrating log\(_{10}\) distributions of gene copies per wet gram feces, and based on the number of missing observations per triplicate, reveal that the distribution of missing observations shifts towards the left (including additional imputed observations at lower gene copies) as the number of missing values among triplicates increases. Observations in which all three data points among the triplicates were missing were more likely to be assigned a lower value and also a value below the assay limit of quantification when contrasted with observations with either double or single missing values among the triplicates. Multiple imputation is a useful tool to handle missing data and obtain a valid inference from parametric statistical models similar to the ones used in this study, and whose assumptions depend on the integrity of underlying distributions. It is an effective procedure to analyze qPCR data, which often have moderate levels of missing values.

Overall, our study results indicate that chlorotetracycline should not be used in an attempt to control cefotiofur-resistance following treatment with a cephalosporin; rather, such an approach would appear to be misguided at best. Chlorotetracycline treatment led to significant expansion of both *bla\(_{\text{CMY-2}}\)* and *bla\(_{\text{CTX-M}}\)* genes copies per gram wet feces, especially in cattle pens where all animals were previously exposed to cefotiofur. Pen-level cefotiofur-resistance elements were present in lower numbers in pens where just one CCFA treated animal was co-housed with many more non-treated pen-mates. Further studies with mixing at differing treatment ratios should be conducted in order to establish the threshold of the co-poaching effect on levels of antimicrobial resistance. In addition, distinct differences were observed when comparing culture-based results reported elsewhere\(^2\)\(^4\)\(^6\)\(^8\) and the metagenome-based results obtained herein; this warrants further investigation as current worldwide antimicrobial resistance monitoring and surveillance systems tend to be based solely on culture-based approaches. Such approaches may provide a biased picture of the changes occurring in the microbiome because they depend largely on the organisms being observed. The incorporation of gene quantification and other metagenome-based approaches in surveillance systems may dramatically increase a more holistic understanding in the sphere of antimicrobial resistance.

**Methods**

**Study design.** Based on the animal study location and relevant institutional oversight of the co-investigators’ roles, the protocols and procedures were approved by the Clinical Research Review Committee at Texas A&M University (CRRC # 09-35) and the Amariello-Area Cooperative Research, Education, and Extension Triangle Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol No. 2008-07). An Institutional Biosafety Committee approval was in place at Kansas State University (I.B.C No. 794) where the laboratory analyses took place. Bovine fecal samples were obtained from feeder cattle in a 26-day field trial. The randomized controlled longitudinal study was conducted in accordance with the approved protocols on 176 steers. The steers were housed in two replicates of 88 steers each. For each replicate, steers were assigned to eight pens of 11 steers such that the average pen weights were similar. A total of four treatment groups resulted from the random allocation of two treatment strategies in a complete two-way full factorial manner. The two strategies were: 1) differential pen-level CCFA treatment ratios (Mix) and, 2) subsequent pen-level chlorotetracycline treatment (CTC). Pen-level CCFA treatment ratios (Mix) referred to whether long acting CCFA (Ecsed®, Zoetis Animal Health, Flohran Farm, NJ, U.S.A.) was administered to either one or all 11 animals within pens. Pen-level CTC treatment (Doramectin®, pre-mix complex equivalent to 220.5 g of chlorotetracycline/kg, Alpharma, Bridgewater, NJ) referred to whether all cattle in a pen received chlorotetracycline treatment top-dressed on feed, subsequent to the CCFA treatment. The study day (Day) was considered as a third factor in the statistical models. This accounted for the effect of day of trial on the quantities of resistance genes detected in feces from the steers.

On Day 0, a single dose of CCFA treatment was injected subcutaneously at the base of the ear in all steers in eight of the 16 pens at a dose rate of 8.6 mg/kg. Subsequently,
Table 1 | Schematic representation of the study design

| Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Group 4 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 4 pens, 11 steers each | 4 pens, 11 steers each | 4 pens, 11 steers each | 4 pens, 11 steers each |
| - All 11 steers receive CCFA; 6.6 mg/kg once on Day 0 | - All 11 steers receive CCFA; 6.6 mg/kg once on Day 0 | - One among 11 steers receive CCFA; 6.6 mg/kg once on Day 0 | - One among 11 steers receive CCFA; 6.6 mg/kg once on Day 0 |
| - CTC 22 mg/kg; 3 treatment regimens- 5 days each starting Day 4 | - No CTC Treatment | - CTC 22 mg/kg; 3 treatment regimens- 5 days each starting Day 4 | - No CTC treatment |

The two interventions were assigned in a two-way full factorial manner. Number of pens assigned to each treatment and number of animals within each of the pens are shown above.

Table 2 | Primers used for PCR reactions

| Gene name | Primer | Primer Sequence | Expected Product Size (bp) | GenBank Accession no.* |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| blaCMY-2  | 585F   | 5'- CAG ACG CGT CCT GCA ACC ATT AAA -3' | 454-1                  | AB212086 |
|           | 1038R  | 5'- TAC GTA GCT GCC AAA TCC ACC AGT -3' | 644-2                  |         |
|           | 675F   | 5'- AGG GAA GCC GGT ACA GTG T -3'     | 536                    |         |
|           | 738R   | 5'- GCT GGA TTT CAC GCC ATA GG -3'    | 210                    |         |
|           | CTX-M(F)| 5'- ATGTCGAGYACGATGA-3'             | 454-1                  |         |
|           | CTX-M(R)| 5'- CGGCTGCGCTTATAT -3'              | 644-2                  |         |
|           | tet(A)| 5'- GCTACATCGTCTTGCCCTTCC-3'       | 454-1                  |         |
|           | tet(A)| 5'- CATAGATCGCGTGAAGAGG-3'          | 644-2                  |         |
|           | tet(B)| 5'- GTGGTAGGGGCAAAGTTT-3'           | 454-1                  |         |
|           | tet(B)| 5'- GTAATGGGCAATACACACG-3'          | 644-2                  |         |
| 16S rRNA | 1056F  | 5'- AAT GGT GGC GTA AGT CCC GCA ACG -3' | 400-1                 | EU014689 |
|           | 1456R  | 5'- ATG ACA AAG TTA GGC CCC -3'      | 216-2                 |         |
|           | P201   | 5'- GAG GAA GGI GIG GAI GAC GT -3'   | 400-1                 | EU014689 |
|           | P1370  | 5'- AGI CCC GIG AAC GTA GTAC AC -3'  | 216-2                 |         |

*Primer set used are from Alali et al.32
*Primer set used are from Cottell et al.35
*Primer set used are from Ng et al.47
*Primer set used are from Steinman et al.26
*Broad range primers to generate template for the standard curve.
*Narrow range primers for sample quantification.
*Sequence used for primer design.
dissociation curve, which consisted of 95°C for 1 minute, 55°C for 30 seconds, and 95°C for 30 seconds.

These PCR products were purified using a DNA purification kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI). This purified DNA was used as the template for generating standard curves, after determining its concentration via NanoDrop® ND-1000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) at wavelengths of 260 and 280 nm. The quantities of target gene copies were 10^3 for standard curve gene copy number, and the comparative descriptive statistics for respective genes. The thermal profile for amplification for the composition was same as that used for the standard curve template generation for all curve. All reactions, including standards, were run in triplicate. The reaction mixture for 16S rRNA gene. The lowest five concentrations were used to build each standard dissociation curve, which consisted of 95°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds, 55°C for 10 seconds, and 72°C for 17 seconds. Likewise, the thermal profile for the 16S rRNA gene was 94°C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles of 94°C for 10 seconds, 55°C for 10 seconds, and 72°C for 17 seconds.

Statistical analysis. The gene copy number estimates were derived from either 1 or 2 μl of the sample community DNA, depending on the gene being quantified. The gene quantities per microplate were calculated to estimate gene copy numbers per gram wet feces. Non-standardized bla_CMY_2, blaCTX-M, tet(A), and tet(B) gene copies per gram wet feces and the quantities obtained by standardizing them in a ratio with the 16S rRNA gene were used for the outcomes. All standardized and non-standardized quantities were logarithmically transformed to log base 10 for use as a dependent variable in both multiple imputation and mixed (fixed and random effect) linear regression models. A missing value was recorded in instances where qPCR amplification was below the quantification limit of the assay, or else was estimated below the threshold limit determined by the assay. These observations were considered missing; however, they were initially assigned an arbitrary very low non-zero value so that logarithmic transformation was possible for graphical purposes. Many of these observations were expected to have values more than zero but below the limit of quantification of the assay utilized. Estimates of missing values were imputed using a multiple imputation procedure in STATA® SE Release 12.1 (STATA Corp., College Station, TX). A multiple regression model was utilized for the imputation process. Our imputation model was based on the unique animal id (repeats within animal), sampling day, and the number of observations missing among the triplicates from each sample (i.e., 0, 1, or 2 triplicates were missing). Twenty imputations were performed to reduce the sampling variability from the imputation process 48. The descriptive statistics (supplementary Table S1) from the first five imputed datasets (out of 20 datasets) were compared to the non-imputed dataset.

Before deciding to utilize the linear regression-based imputation methods, two other imputation techniques (truncated regression and interval censored regression, with upper limit cutoff values of 3 log10 and 7 log10 gene copies per gram, respectively) were explored. The distribution of the estimated values for bla_CTX-M and gene copy number estimates were compared with regression models. The imputation techniques are provided as supplementary Table S1 and supplementary Table S2, respectively. Additionally, results of the main linear regression models, both before and after imputation, were compared to examine and rule out any biases that might have been introduced during the imputation procedure. Supplementary Figure S2 represents the marginal predicted means obtained from the non-imputed dataset, where missing observations were substituted with low non-zero values for each of the 5 genes (bla_CTX-M, tet(A), tet(B), and 16S rRNA genes). Supplementary Table S3 facilitates direct comparison of the predicted marginal means obtained from regression analyses using non-imputed and imputed data. To determine the effect of treatments on gene quantities, a multi-level linear mixed model was utilized on the datasets following imputation. The three explanatory variables tested in the model were pen-level CCFA treatment (ratio at which CCFA was administered within a pen), pen-level CTC administration, and the sampling day (period). The relationships among the outcome and the explanatory variables were assessed using the mixed linear regression model with three-way full factorial design of the three explanatory variables (fixed effects) in STATA® SE Release 12.1 (STATA Corp., College Station, TX). The models accounted for pen level dependencies as random effects; however, due to convergence issues the animal level dependencies might introduced during the imputation procedure. Supplementary Figure S2 from the first five imputed datasets (out of 20 datasets) were compared to the non-imputed dataset.
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