Prevalence of *mecA*, *mecC* and *Panton-Valentine-Leukocidin* Genes in Clinical Isolates of Coagulase Positive Staphylococci from Dermatological Canine Patients
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Abstract: Coagulate positive Staphylococci (CoPS) are the leading cause of canine cutaneous and otic infections. Virulence factors associated with Staphylococci include the expression of *mec* and *panton-valentine leukocidin* (*pvl*) genes. Methicillin-resistance (MR) is commonly associated with *mecA* gene expression, although a recently identified variant, *mecC*, has been reported. This study aims to evaluate the prevalence of *mecA*, *mecC* and *pvl* genes in 232 clinical isolates of CoPS collected from dogs with pyoderma. A multiplex PCR, and Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion susceptibility test for cefoxitin was performed for all isolates. PBP2a agglutination test was performed on 127 isolates. Standard MRSA isolates were used as positive controls. The *mecA* gene was identified in 149/232 isolates (64.2%): 116 *S. pseudintermedius*, 30 *S. coagulans* and three *S. aureus*. The *pvl* gene was present in only 1 isolate of *S. pseudintermedius* (0.4%), whereas no isolates carried the *mecC* gene. 34 isolates were resistant to cefoxitin (14.6%) and they were all *mecA* positive. The results of this study show an MR prevalence of 64.2% confirming concerns about antibiotic resistance in veterinary medicine. In conclusion, this is the first study analyzing the prevalence of *mecC* and *pvl* in comparison to *mecA*, in a large cohort of CoPS clinical isolates from dogs with pyoderma. A multimodal surveillance on the prevalence of *mecC* and *pvl* in veterinary medicine is essential to appropriate antimicrobial management.
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1. Introduction

Staphylococci are resident bacteria and are a major constituent of the normal cutaneous and mucosal microbiota of humans and animals [1]. *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* (*SP*) is the most common staphylococcal species isolated from the skin and mucosa of dogs. It can be isolated from the nares, mouth, pharynx, forehead, groin and anus of healthy companion animals [2,3]. *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* is also the most common species associated with skin infections in dogs, although *S. coagulans* [4] (SC—previously known as *S. schleiferi sups. coagulans*), *S. schleiferi* (SS—previously known as *S. schleiferi sups. schleiferi*), and *S. aureus* (SA) are also frequently isolated [3,5]. *Staphylococcus coagulans* and SS have been reported to be associated with a number of infections in companion animals and humans, and they are becoming more prevalent in canine skin and aural infections [6]. Although it is a leading cause of infections in humans, SA is the least frequently isolated staphylococcal species in canine skin infection [7].

Methicillin-resistance (MR) is common among different staphylococcal species [8]. Unfortunately, many MR isolates are associated with multidrug resistance (MDR), defined as resistance to at least three antimicrobial classes [9], making therapeutic options very limited in clinical practice. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococci* are generally characterized by the presence of the *mecA* gene that encodes the production of a low-affinity penicillin binding protein (PBP) known as PBP2a or PBP2’ [10]. This altered protein confers resistance to all β-lactam antibiotics, and their derivatives, including penicillins, and cephalosporins [11,12].
The mecA gene is located on a chromosomal mobile element, the staphylococcal chromosomal cassette (SCCmec), that can be transferred between different staphylococcal species [13]. In 2007, a new mec gene was recognized on the same mobile element (SCCmec XI). This mec homologue was initially referred to as mecA<sub>LG251</sub>. The mecC gene in S. aureus is a part of the class E mec gene complex (blaZ-mecC-mecR1-mecI) (www.sccmec.org, accessed on 11 November 2022) and is commonly located on type XI SCCmec elements holding the mecA, later on identified as mecC [14,15]. The gene sequence of mecC is about 69% identical to that of mecA, and the protein (PBP2a/2′ also known as PBP2c) coded from mecC is about 63% identical to PBP2a at the amino acid level. This low level of identity between mecA and mecC, associated with the in vitro susceptibility to oxacillin for this latter, allows mecC organisms to not be detected by routine diagnostic tests (i.e., oxacillin microbroth dilution test, PBP2a agglutination test, and molecular identification of mecA) [14]. The majority of mecC MRSA demonstrates resistance to cefoxitin, and are therefore reported as MRSA. In contrast strains with mecC methicillin resistance demonstrate susceptibility to oxacillin [15], and may not be reported as MRSA. In particular, the phenotypical susceptibility to oxacillin and the PBP2a specific agglutination test for mecC-positive Staphylococci are of significant clinical relevance, being these the most commonly implemented identification tests for MR Staphylococci. In fact, although the identification of mecA (via polymerase chain reaction—PCR) is the gold standard, in the clinical practice, MR status in staphylococcal isolates is routinely assessed via the sensitivity to oxacillin and/or the expression of PBP2a [15,16]. Although, not routinely implemented, PCR has been recognized as the best way to identify mecC positive Staphylococci [15,16]. However, this methodology is not widespread, and the susceptibility to cefoxitin has been used as a suitable alternative [17].

Along with MR status, Panton-Valentine Leukocidin carrying S. aureus are more virulent and highly transmissible strains [18]. PVL is a member of a toxin family known as synergohymenotropic toxins, since they act on cell membranes by the synergy of two proteins that form cell pores, particularly targeting white blood cells, monocytes and macrophages [18,19]. Due to the significant cytotoxicity that PVL inflicts on the host, human skin and soft tissue infections caused by PVL-positive SA are usually more severe and have a more fulminant compared to when this virulence factor is absent [18].

After the first report of three mec-C-MRSA isolates cultured from dairy cows in 2010, mecC-positive Staphylococci have been reported in cats, dogs, dairy cows, dairy and pet goats, and humans [17]. Regardless, the prevalence of mecC and pvl in dogs remains largely unknown. Recently, a study by Wu et al. [20] showed the lack of presence of mecC in 56 banked canine isolates of SP (unknown tissue source) [20]. However, no studies have been published on the simultaneous detection of mecA, mecC and pvl in canine isolates of coagulase positive Staphylococci (CoPS). Thus, the main aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of mecA, mecC and pvl genes in clinical isolates of CoPS (SP, SC, and SA) collected from dogs with pyoderma. The secondary aim of this study was to improve the ability to correctly identify MRSP, MRSA and MRSC (mecA and/or mecC positive) isolates in the canine population. To achieve these goals a multiplex PCR (mPCR), a variant of regular PCR, in which two or more loci are simultaneously amplified in the same reaction, was chosen as the most reliable and expeditious test for the detection of mecA, mecC and pvl genes [21]. A Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion test was also used to assess the susceptibility to cefoxitin [17].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacteria Isolates

A total of 232 CoPS clinical isolates were included in this study. In particular, 182 (78.5%) isolates of SP, 47 (20.3%) isolates of SC and three (1.2%) isolates of SA obtained from dogs with pyoderma, seen at the authors’ institution between July 2019 and June 2020 were included. The identification of the genus and the species of each isolate was determined based on colony morphology, biochemical features, using the Trek Sensititre (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Inc.; Cleveland, OH, USA) automated system, according
to Clinical and laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [22–24], and via matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry with an Autoflex system (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) using the direct protocol according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The identity of SC was also assessed with a urease test (Urease test tablets®, Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA, USA). Once characterized and identified, the isolates were stored in glycerol media at −80 °C until use. Some of the isolates (127/232) were tested for PBP2a via the latex agglutination test (MASTALEX™ MRSA, Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA, USA). Bacterial DNA was extracted (see next section) from all the isolates and from two reference, commercially available (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA), isolates of MRSA (ATCC 1756, carrying both mecA and pvl genes, and ATCC 2312, carrying the mecC gene).

2.2. Multiplex Polymerase Chain Reaction (mPCR)

Multiplex PCR is a variant of the regular PCR in which two or more loci are simultaneously amplified in the same reaction [25]. The frozen clinical isolates were subcultured on Columbia blood agar with 5% sheep blood (Remel, Lenexa, KS, USA) at 37 °C for 24 h. Then, a few colonies were collected and the genomic DNA was extracted using the NucleoSpin® microbial DNA kit (Macherey Nagel, Duren, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA at a final concentration of 75 ng/µL was used as the template. The mPCR was performed in a final 25 µL volume reaction according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen Multiplex PCR kit; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The assay was performed in a thermal cycler (StepOnePlus™ Real Time PCR System; Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the following protocol: initial denaturation (95 °C, 5 min), 35 cycles each composed of denaturation (95 °C, 30 s), primer annealing (60 °C, 90 s) and extension (72 °C, 90 s) and a final extension (68 °C, 10 min). The primers used for this study are listed in Table 1.

| Primer | 5’–3’ | Target Gene | Amplicon Length (bp) | Reference |
|--------|-------|-------------|----------------------|-----------|
| mecA-for | TGAAAAATGATTATGGCTCAGGTACT | mecA | 173 | [16] |
| mecA-rev | CTGGAACCTTGIGAGCAGWGGTTCT | mecA | | |
| mecC-for | ATCTCGCCTTGGCCATATCCTGAA | mecC | 171 | [16] |
| mecC-rev | TGCCCGCCTTGGCCATATCCTGAA | mecC | | |
| pvl-for | ACA CAC TAT GCC AAT AGT TAT TT | Pvl | 868 | [26] |
| pvl-rev | AAA GCA ATG CAA TTG ATG TA | Pvl | | |
| Staph756F | AACTCTGTATTAGGGAAAGAACA | 16S | 756 | [22] |
| Staph 750R | CCACCTTCTCCGGTTTGTCCACC | 16S | | |

The PCR-amplified samples were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis by using a horizontal 1% agarose gel in lx Tris/Borate/EDTA (TBE) buffer (pH 8.3; 0.09 M Tris, 0.09 M boric acid, 2.0 mM EDTA) and with 0.003% (wt/vol) ethidium bromide incorporated for DNA staining. 25 µL of sample was applied to each well and the gels were run at 150 V for 2 h. The PCR products were then visualized and photographed on a trans illuminator Fluor chem E (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Positive controls for mecA (ATCC 1756), mecC (ATCC 2312) and pvl (ATCC 1756) genes and negative control (master mix solution, primers and DNase/RNase-free distilled water) were also used in each gel. A Staphylococcus-specific region of the 16S rRNA gene was used as a positive internal control (Qiagen, Germany). A molecular weight marker 100-bp ladder (Promega® 100 bp DNA Ladder Molecular Weight marker (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was included on each gel. To validate the mPCR results, the DNA present in the bands corresponding to mecA and pvl genes, in the clinical isolates and the positive controls, as well as the mecC band in the positive control were
extracted (QI Aquick gel extraction kit) and sequenced (GENEWIZ®, South Plainfield, NJ, USA) (Qiagen, Germany) [26,27] (Figures 1 and 2).

Figure 1. Multiplex PCR for coagulase positive staphylococci. M—Promega® 100 BP DNA Ladder; Lanes 1–9 tested isolates; 10, MRSA (ATCC 1756) positive control for Staph 16R, pvl and mecA; 11, MRSA (ATCC 2312) positive control for Staph 16R and mecC; 12–13, negative controls.

2.3. Disk Diffusion Susceptibility Tests (Kirby-Bauer Test)

According to the CLSI guidelines [22], the Mueller-Hinton agar (Sigma-Aldrich®, St. Louis, MO, USA) was aseptically inoculated with the clinical isolates, and the MRSA controls, at a concentration of 0.5 McFarland tested using a nephelometer (DEN-1B, Grant USA Inc., Beaver falls, USA). Then, a cefoxitin 30 µg disk was placed at the center of the plate gently positioned on the agar [23]. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h as per CLSI guidelines. A zone of inhibition was observed and measured to determine the susceptibility to the antibiotic based on CLSI guidelines for CoNS [24]. Measuring the diameter across the zone of inhibition over the center of the disk, an isolate would be classified as resistant (R), when a zone of inhibition was ≤24 mm, or susceptible (S) when a zone of inhibition was ≥25 mm.
2.4. Ethics Statement

This study does not involve animals. The microorganisms were banked via the clinical microbiology laboratory.

3. Results

In the group of 232 CoPS isolates tested in this study, the presence of the mecA gene was found in 149 (64.2%) of them. More specifically, mecA was present in 116/182 isolates (63.7%) of SP, 30/47 isolates (63.8%) of SC and 3/3 isolates (100%) of SA. The pvl gene was found only in 1 SP isolate representing the 0.4% and the 0.55% of CoPS and SP isolates, respectively. On the contrary, the mecC gene was not found in any isolate tested (Table 2).

Table 2. Phenotypic resistance to oxacillin and cefoxitin of mecA positive Staphylococcus strains.

| MecA Positive Isolates          | Staphylococcus pseudintermedius | Staphylococcus coagulans | Staphylococcus aureus |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Oxacillin resistant/cefoxitin sensitive | 54                             | 26                       | 0                     |
| Oxacillin sensitive/cefoxitin sensitive | 31                             | 3                        | 2                     |
| Oxacillin resistant/cefoxitin resistant | 30                             | 1                        | 1                     |
| Oxacillin sensitive/cefoxitin resistant | 1                              | 0                        | 0                     |
| Total                           | 116                            | 30                       | 3                     |

Using the disk diffusion assay, 34/232 (14.7%) CoPS isolates were classified as resistant to cefoxitin. More specifically, 32/182 (13.8%) isolates of SP, 1/47 (2.1%) isolate of SC, and 1/3 (33.3%) isolate of SA were resistant to cefoxitin. All the isolates classified as resistant to cefoxitin were also mecA positive. The single SP isolate carrying the pvl gene was also mecA-positive and cefoxitin resistant.

Furthermore, when the mecA positive isolates were cross-checked with the phenotypic characteristics of resistance, 31/149 (20.8%) isolates were resistant to oxacillin and cefoxitin, 81/149 (54.4%) were resistant to oxacillin and susceptible to cefoxitin, 1/149 (0.7%) isolate was susceptible to oxacillin and resistant to cefoxitin, and 37/149 (24.8%) isolates were susceptible to both oxacillin and cefoxitin. Details on the resistant patterns for each staphylococcal species are reported in Table 2.

4. Discussion

This is the first study analyzing the simultaneous detection of mecA, mecC and pvl in CoPS from canine patients with pyoderma. The most common bacterial species isolated in this study was SP, followed by SC, whereas SA was the least common staphylococcal species. The results of this study are not surprising since SP is the most common pathogen isolated in almost 90% of canine pyoderma [15]. The present study reveals the presence of the mecA gene in 64% of the CoPS included in this study, whereas mecC was not identified in any isolates and only 1 SP isolate carried the pvl gene. Furthermore, an overall resistance to cefoxitin was seen in 14.7% of the tested isolates. These data are in agreement with previous epidemiological studies showing an increased incidence of MR in CoPS isolated from canine patients with SP being the most commonly isolated pathogen [28]. The increased incidence of MRSP in dogs presents a major treatment challenge for veterinarians [20]. The results of this study also highlight the high incidence of MRSC in canine pyoderma with 30/47 isolates of SC being MR for an overall prevalence of 63.9% comparable to MRSP. As for MRSP, a potentially increased frequency of MRSC is of significant public health concern worldwide because of the involvement of such organisms in soft tissue and skin infections.
in companion animals and humans [1,12]. Dogs are not typically colonized by SA, however transient associations that can potentially lead to severe infections have been reported [6]. In the present study, only three SA isolates were collected, and all of them resulted to carry the mecA gene. Although these data support the high incidence of MRSA in canines, they need to be cautiously interpreted because of the very low number of isolates tested.

When the presence of the mecA gene was compared to PBP2a coagulation test positivity (n = 127), in 117/127 (92.1%) isolates the two tests were in agreement with each other. The remaining ten isolates (7.9%) (4 SP and 6 SC) were positive to mecA and negative to the agglutination test confirming a high level of concordance between mecA expression and a positive PBP2a agglutination test. The discordance that was observed between the mecA gene presence and PBP2a agglutination test results may have several explanations. Recently, it has been hypothesized that some methicillin-susceptible organisms produce an inactive PBP2a translated from a truncated mecA gene [7]. In this case, a negative mecA PCR could be associated with a positive PBP2a agglutination test. On the contrary, MR isolates that are positive for mecA gene could contain a full-length copy of the gene, but they fail to express the PBP2a as a result of a mutation in the open reading frame or promoter, showing negative results on PBP2a test [7]. Finally, due to the heterogenous expression of the mecA gene, it is possible that because of the paucity of cells producing PBP2a, this can be missed on the regular latex agglutinaiton test [29]. To avoid false negative results, an overnight induction, in the presence of oxacillin, before testing may be necessary for an accurate identification of methicillin resistance, as it has been reported for coagulase negative staphylococci [29].

Furthermore, from the cohort of 149 mecA isolates of SP, SC and SA, 38 isolates (25.5%) were reported to be oxacillin susceptible based on the CLSI guidelines [21,30]. This means that although oxacillin is considered the most accurate test to identify MRSP some isolates would be misclassified as susceptible, while they are indeed resistant to β-lactam antibiotics. These results are in agreement with Wu et al. [20], pointing out how an accurate identification may be a challenge to laboratories that do not perform more than one methodology for identification of mec genes relying only on one test [20]. This is also the case for isolates carrying the mecC gene, routinely classified as susceptible based on oxacillin susceptibility and/or PBP2a agglutination test presenting a potential diagnostic challenge. Although no isolates tested positive for mecC in this cohort, larger studies are needed to confirm the results presented here.

Cefoxitin is currently used as a surrogate marker of MR status in SA isolates since it is a strong inducer of the mecA operon that is currently utilized to detect methicillin resistance [24]. However, it is not a good indicator of an MR status for SP or SC, for which oxacillin sensitivity seems to be a much better and reliable marker of resistance [12,20]. These data were confirmed by the present study in which only 34/149 (22.8%) of the CoPS isolates tested were resistant to cefoxitin being mecA positive. The discordance between cefoxitin susceptibility and the expression of mecA is also clinically relevant and although the reasons for this discrepancy are not completely clear, this phenomenon could be explained by the heterogeneous expression of mecA, leading to resistance to oxacillin and sensitivity to cefoxitin [31].

Although, few studies have been published on the frequency of pvl gene in MRSA isolates [10], there are no studies assessing the presence of pvl in canine CoPS. In the present study, only 1 isolate, an SP isolate, was found to carry the pvl gene with a prevalence of 0.4% of the SP tested. Interestingly enough, this isolate also carried the mecA gene. However, because of the very low incidence of pvl in the bacterial cohort studied, it is not possible to make any inference on the association between pvl and mecA. Similarly to pvl, information on the prevalence of mecC in CoPS is scarce, although an increased frequency of mecC has been identified in MRSA cases [14]. Only one study reported the absence of mecC in canine and human isolates of CoPS [20]. The results of the present study, with an increased number of isolates tested, similarly point toward an absent expression of mecC in canine staphylococci, with no isolates testing positive for mecC.
The results of this study highlight the need for diagnostic tests able to test for multiple genes at one time. Detection of the \textit{mecA} gene by PCR or gene probe or deletion of its gene product by immunologic methods are considered to be the gold standards for confirmation of \textit{mecA}-mediated resistance in \textit{Staphylococci} [2,12]. \textit{mPCR}, as an alternative, is a rapid assay to detect four genes at a time, showing high sensitivity and specificity [10]. Besides being easy to perform and less time-consuming, it is a cost-effective methodology. However, it is important to consider that all the primers in the reaction must have similar melting temperature, allowing all gene amplifications to process with the same temperature program. This study confirmed the usefulness of this methodology for the simultaneous detection of multiple genes for the identification of virulence factors in CoPS [10].

The major limitation of this study was related to the primers used for the \textit{mecC} evaluation and their compatibility with different \textit{Staphylococcus} species. In the present study, the primers that were used were previously validated for \textit{SA} [32]. Although the primers were able to correctly identify \textit{mecC} in the MRSA controls, it is still possible that this set of primers may not be the best for \textit{SP} or \textit{SC} depending on the degree of homology of \textit{mecC} across the different species. In addition, since \textit{SP} exhibits high genetic variability, the occurrence of recombination events rather than mutations may also interfere with the mPCR results [33].

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study confirms the high frequency of MR in canine CoP staphylococcal isolates, which should increase clinicians’ concern and awareness regarding the use of antibiotics in veterinary medicine. This study also highlights the need for multiple testing methodologies to confirm the presence of resistance genes, since they can be missed in routine microbiological tests (e.g., oxacillin/cefoxitin sensitivity and PBP2a agglutination test). Furthermore larger epidemiological studies are needed to confirm the results reported in this study and verify the incidence of \textit{mecC} and \textit{pvl} in canine clinical isolates. Such studies are fundamental to increase the surveillance of the prevalence and the implications of the \textit{mec} homologs and \textit{pvl} genes. Such information is relevant regarding the clinical treatment decision-making process in order to practice appropriate antimicrobial stewardship.
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