What is the Best Predictor for Diagnosis of Placenta Accreta? An Evidence-Based Review

Abstract

Background: Antenatal diagnosis of placenta accreta is important because it could possibly reduce the morbidities. Several attempts including ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), multi-parameter prediction, and cell-free placental mRNA had been studied to establish a good predictor for diagnosis of placenta accreta. We aim to appraise several studies finding at the accuracy value of these predictors.

Methods: The search was conducted on the Cochrane Library®, PubMed® and EMBASE® with the keywords of “ultrasound”, “accreta”, and “predictor” or “predicting”. We used diagnostic appraisal questions developed by Centre of Evidence-Based Medicine (CEBM), University of Oxford.

Result: Appraisal of 8 diagnostic studies involving 1324 patients underwent ultrasound, MRI, cell-free mRNA examination, or their combination for predicting placenta accreta was conducted finding at the diagnostic values. The overall rate of placenta accreta found on these studies were ranging from 8.4% to 31.8%. Accuracy, sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp), positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of ultrasound in this study in diagnosing placenta accreta were respectively 64.8% – 95.3%, 17% - 100%, 78.9%-100%, 56%-100%, and 64.8% - 100%. The Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV of MRI in predicting placenta accreta derived from 3 studies were respectively 88.89%-100%, 100%, 100%, 92.86%-100%. Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV of cell-free placental mRNA for placenta accreta prediction were respectively 91.7%, 78.9%, 57.9% and 96.8% using the multiple of median (MoM) of 3.325 as the cut-off point.

Conclusion: Ultrasound has a wide range of diagnostic accuracy in predicting placenta accreta. MRI had better diagnostic accuracy for predicting the stage of invasion of placenta. Free-placental mRNA is an objective and promising predictor for diagnosis of placenta accreta.
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Background

The incidence of placenta accreta and maternal death are substantially increased due to the increased rate cesarean section [1,2]. The incidence of placenta accreta reported approximately 0.8 to 3 per 1000 deliveries. Maternal mortality related to placenta accreta and its complications occurs approximately as high as 6-7% [3]. Placenta accreta is the most common cause for emergency postpartum hysterectomy and may lead to severe maternal morbidity and mortality [4,5]. It is possibly lead to massive hemorrhage, transfusion, and prolonged length of stay [6]. Antenatal diagnosis of placenta accreta is important because it could reduce the morbidities, i.e. blood loss and need for blood transfusion [7,8]. The gold standard diagnosis of placenta accreta will be determined by histology evaluation [9]. Several attempts i.e. ultrasound index measurement, plasma cell-free placental mRNA assay [10], MRI examination [10], clinical risk factors analysis and multi-parameter predictor had been studied to develop better diagnostic accuracy for prediction of placenta accreta. Color-doppler ultrasound remains the first line modality for diagnosis of placenta accreta, however it has a wide-range of predictive values. We aim to appraise several studies finding at the accuracy value of the predictors of placenta accreta.

Clinical question

Does ultrasound, MRI, cell-free placental mRNA accurate for diagnosis of placenta accreta?

P (Population): Pregnant women with and without identified risk factors of placenta accreta

I (Intervention): Ultrasound, MRI, cell-free placental mRNA

C (comparison): Histopathology examination

O (outcome): Placenta accreta

Methods

Search strategy

The search was conducted on August 15th 2016 on the
Cochrane Library®, PubMed® and EMBASE® with the keywords of “ultrasound”, “accreta”, and “predict” OR “prediction” OR “index” on each databases with certain techniques (Figure 1). Search focused on articles in diagnostic type showing diagnostic values of the studies. Reference lists of relevant articles were searched for other possibly relevant studies. After obtaining a result, a first selection was done by screening the study titles and abstracts. Eight articles were available as full text, and all of them included in our analysis.

**Figure 1:** Searching Flow.
Critical appraisal

Appraisal of 8 diagnostic studies involving 1324 patients underwent ultrasound, MRI, cell-free mRNA examination, or their combination for predicting placenta accreta was conducted finding at the diagnostic values (Se, Sp, PPV, NPV). Review study or study without diagnostic values reported were excluded. We used diagnostic appraisal questions developed by Centre of Evidence-Based Medicine (CEBM), University of Oxford (available at: http://www.cebm.net/critical-appraisal/).

Result

Appraisal study was performed on 8 retrospective studies

Table 2: Appraisal table of 8 studies.

| No | Study | Eligible for analysis | Validity | Result | Applicability | Total Score |
|----|-------|-----------------------|----------|--------|---------------|-------------|
| 1  | Rac, et al [14] | 184 | + + + 17% | 100% | 100% | 71% | + | 7/8 |
| 2  | Aitken et al [11] | 65 | + + + - | - | - | - | - | 3/8 |
| 3  | Naghshehineh [13] (Ultrasound) Naghshehineh [13] (Cell Free placental mRNA) | 50 | + + ? | 83.3% 91.7% 78.9% 56% 94% 96.8% | + | - | 5/8 |
| 4  | Kumar et al [16] (Ultrasound) Kumar et al [16] (MRI) | 22 | + + ? | 100% 88.9% 92.31 100% 90% 100% 100% 92.86% | + | - | 7/8 |
| 5  | Bowman [17] | 229 | + + + 53.5% | 88.0% | 82.1% | 64.8% | + | 6/8 |
| 6  | Cho et al [15] | 442 | + + ? - - - - | - | - | - | - | 3/8 |
| 7  | Ibrahim et al [12] (ultrasound) Ibrahim et al [12] (MRI) | 100 | + + ? 94% 100% 97% 100% 94% 10% 97% 100% | + | - | 7/8 |
| 8  | Chalubinski et al [14] | 232 | + + + 91.4% | 95.9% 80/0% 98.4% | + | - | 8/8 |

1: representative patients; 2: reference standard; 3: blind & independent; 4: sensitivity; 5: specificity; 6: positive predictive value; 7: negative predictive value; 8: detail methods to permit replication; US: ultrasound; ++: adequate; −: inadequate; ?: unknown, no information given'.

Every item was scored based on diagnostic study appraisal questions developed by CEBM (available at: http://www.cebm.net/critical-appraisal/)

The Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV of MRI in predicting placenta accreta derived from 2 studies were respectively 88.89%-100%, 100%, 100%, 92.86%-100%. While Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV of cell-free placental mRNA for placenta accreta prediction were respectively 91.7%, 78.9%, 57.9% and 96.8% using 3.325 (MoM) as the cut-off point. On a study among 184 women with prior cesarean section, Rac et al. developed a prediction index of placenta accreta by using these parameters i.e. the smallest sagittal myometrial thickness, grade of lacunae, presence of bridging vessels, number of cesarean deliveries and placental location as significant contributors. Grade-3 lacuna (many lacuna throughout the placenta and appearing large and bizarre) had the highest OR among all significant factors (OR 10.8, 95%CI 1.4-83). This index had area under the curve of 0.87 (95% confidence interval, 0.80-0.95). Placental accreta index score of more than 8 will be resulted in 96% (81-99) of probability of invasion.

Aitken et al [11] performed a retrospective review on 65 cases of women with invasive placentation diagnosed antenatally with use of ultrasound and/or MRI. MRI had higher prediction rate of abnormal invasive placentation compared to ultrasound (91.9% versus 98.4%). In addition, MRI was better in predicting the stage of invasion of placenta (61.3% versus 38.7% of detection rate) [11]. Ibrahim et al [12] found that ultrasound and MRI had no significant difference in accuracy in diagnosing abnormal placenta (97%-100% versus 94%-100%). They concluded that MRI had higher accuracy compare to ultrasound in diagnosing myometrial invasion and the type of abnormal placenta (73.5% versus 47%) [12].
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Naghshineh et al. [13] proposed cell-free placental mRNA as an acceptable predictor for placenta accreta. The median MoM values of cell-free placental mRNA was significantly higher among the women diagnosed with placenta accreta compared to those who had normal placentation (6.02 ± 1.550 and 2.83 ± 0.648 P < 0.001). Respectively, Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV of mRNA with using cut-off point of 3.325 were 91.7%, 78.9%, 57.9% and 96.8%. These values were comparable to the accuracy of ultrasound [13].

A Retrospective study conducted by Chalubinski et al. [14] among 232 patients at risk for placental invasion resulted high overall accuracy of ultrasound in diagnosing placenta accreta. Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV were 91.4% (95% CI 77.6 – 97.0), 95.9% (95% CI 92.2 – 97.9), 80.0% (95% CI 65.2 – 89.5%), and 98.4% (95% CI 95.5 – 99.5%), respectively [14]. Cho et al. [15] suggests that the mean PI of uterine artery Doppler velocimetry measurement was significantly reduced in patients with placenta accreta compared to those without accreta (0.51 versus 0.57; P = .002) [15]. The area under the receive operating characteristic curve was 0.77 with the combination of the mean PI and previous cesarean delivery (P = .047).

Kumar et al. [16] found MRI were correctly diagnosed placenta accreta in 8 out of 9 subjects with histologically-proven placenta accreta. While ultrasound predict correctly all of patients with placenta accreta. Dark intraplacental band had the highest diagnostic accuracy among all of MRI sign for placenta accreta (accuracy, Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV were respectively 95.45%, 88.89%, 100%, 100%, and 92.86%). While turbulance in the lacuna had the highest diagnostic accuracy compared to other ultrasound signs (accuracy, Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV were respectively 95.45%, 100%, 92.31%, 90%, and 100%). Loss of chemical shift artifacts ("India-ink line") at the bladder–myometrial interface, was an accurate sign for detection of vesical wall invasion (accuracy, Se, Sp, PPV, and NPV were respectively 95.45%, 83.3%, 100%, 100%, and 94.12%) [16].

In 2014, Bowman et al. [20] concluded that ultrasound was not as accurate as previously described. The Se, Sp, PPV, NPV, and accuracy were respectively 53.5%, 88.0%, 82.1%, 64.8%, and 64.8%. Loss of retroplacental clear space (OR, 2.4; 95% CI, 1.1-4.9), placental lacuna (OR, 1.5; 95% CI, 1.1-1.6), and abnormalities on color Doppler (OR, 2.1; 95% CI, 1.8-2.4) were correlated significantly with placenta accreta (OR, 2.4; 95% CI,1.1-4.9) in their multivariate analysis [17]. On our appraisal analysis, study conducted by Chalubinski et al. [14] had the highest appraisal score (8/8). Study conducted by Ibrahim et al. [12], Kumar et al. [16] and Rac et al. fulfilled 7 out of 8 criteria’s on our appraisal sheet. 2 studies were published without mention any value of Se, Sp, PPV, and PPV.

Discussion

The overall rate of placenta accreta found on these studies were ranging from 8.4% to 31.8. This wide range of placenta accrete incidence could be affected by the population of study. In some studies, the study population were women at risk of placenta accrete or they who were identified as having signs of invasive placentation using ultrasound or MRI [11]. While in others, data were collected from routine ultrasound screening [15]. The value of ultrasound in predicting placenta accreta resulting from 8 studies were not as accurate as described in previous studies [18]. Sensitivity of ultrasound for predicting placenta accrete below 70% were found in 2 studies. It was contradictory with a systematic meta-analysis that published high accuracy of ultrasound in predicting placenta accreta [19]. Bowman et al. [20] raised contrary result when published a low sensitivity of ultrasound for placenta accreta [20]. In addition ultrasound found to have significant interobserver variability for the diagnosis of placenta accreta [17].

From the appraisal of the studies we found MRI as useful and sensitive modalities for diagnosing placenta accreta. It had comparable diagnostic accuracy compared to ultrasound. A number of studies stated that it was better in predicting the stage of invasion of placenta [11], and the type of abnormal placentation [12]. It was supported by a result of meta-analysis of 18 studies involving 1010 women underwent MRI examination conducted by D’Antonio. Dark intraplacental bands on T2 weighted sequences and focal interruption of the myometrium resulted in the best sensitivity [19].

Free-placental mRNA could become a promising predictor for diagnosing placenta accreta due to its unbiased measurement profile. Ultrasound is highlighted as an operator-dependent examination ultrasound in predicting placenta accreta [20]. Uteroplacental transfer of cell free placental mRNA molecule resulted from thin decidua of placenta accreta will be possibly resulted in increased plasma level of cell free placental mRNA [10]. Plasma cell-free placental mRNA may increase the accuracy of ultrasound in predicting placental invasion in women at risk for placenta accrete [10]. Study conducted by Chalubinski et al. [14] had the highest appraisal score due to its well-defined methods and high accuracy of ultrasound revealed from their study. Kumar et al. [16] and Ibrahim et al. [12] did not mention the blinding and independent methods in their studies.

Conclusion

Ultrasound has a wide range of diagnostic accuracy in predicting ultrasound, it could be explained by its significant interobserver variability. MRI had better diagnostic accuracy for predicting the stage of invasion of placenta. Free-placental mRNA is an objective and promising predictor for diagnosing placenta accreta. Combination of these examination could increased the accuracy of the diagnostics.
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