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Clinical Sphingolipids Pathway in Parkinson’s Disease: From GCase to Integrated-Biomarker Discovery
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Abstract: Alterations in the sphingolipid metabolism of Parkinson’s Disease (PD) could be a potential diagnostic feature. Only around 10–15% of PD cases can be diagnosed through genetic alterations, while the remaining population, idiopathic PD (iPD), manifest without validated and specific biomarkers either before or after motor symptoms appear. Therefore, clinical diagnosis is reliant on the skills of the clinician, which can lead to misdiagnosis. iPD cases present with a spectrum of non-specific symptoms (e.g., constipation and loss of the sense of smell) that can occur up to 20 years before motor function loss (prodromal stage) and formal clinical diagnosis. Prodromal alterations in metabolites and proteins from the pathways underlying these symptoms could act as biomarkers if they could be differentiated from the broad values seen in a healthy age-matched control population. Additionally, these shifts in metabolites could be integrated with other emerging biomarkers/diagnostic tests to give a PD-specific signature. Here we provide an up-to-date review of the diagnostic value of the alterations in sphingolipids pathway in PD by focusing on the changes in definitive PD (postmortem confirmed brain data) and their representation in “probable PD” cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and blood. We conclude that the trend of holistic changes in the sphingolipid pathway in the PD brain seems partly consistent in CSF and blood, and could be one of the most promising pathways in differentiating PD cases from healthy controls, with the potential to improve early-stage iPD diagnosis and distinguish iPD from other Parkinsonism when combined with other pathological markers.
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1. Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most prevalent progressive neurodegenerative disorder with no definitive diagnosis available for idiopathic cases (iPD), which accounts for the majority of cases (85–90%) [1]. Currently, iPD diagnosis relies on changes in motor symptoms: bradykinesia (slowness of movement) along with rigidity (increase in muscle tone) or resting tremor [2]. Unfortunately, diagnosis is only ~80% accurate even with a lifetime of living with the condition. This proportion is even lower in people in the first years after diagnosis [3,4]. Clinical symptoms are now being thought of as a sign of advanced disease and as a consequence of long-lasting disruptions in underlying cellular mechanisms. Clinical diagnoses using motor symptoms are observable only after 60–80% of dopaminergic neurons are lost in the substantia nigra (SN) [5]. These limitations are problematic for clinical trials and the implementation of new therapies with the aim of neuroprotection. Research is starting to now focus on the underlying alterations in cellular
mechanisms preceding neuronal loss with the hope that these could be detected in the prodromal (before the onset of motor symptoms) or premotor stages of PD.

Antemortem iPD diagnosis is urgently required as, currently, the definitive diagnosis of iPD is available only postmortem by confirmation of neuronal loss in the SN together with the presence of Lewy bodies [6]. The prodromal stage has been receiving much attention as it is being recognized that a very high proportion of people with a cluster of prodromal symptoms—rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD), gastrointestinal problems, loss of the sense of smell, changes in color vision, erectile dysfunction [7]—go on to develop PD and parkinsonism. This correlation has fostered excitement as metabolic changes occurring during the prodromal phase could be potential biomarkers for differentiating iPD from other similar disorders and confounding symptoms from PD-specific symptoms. Attempts at non-invasive and antemortem diagnosis of iPD have introduced imaging techniques as a useful tool in iPD diagnosis. Though imaging techniques are not definitive for PD diagnosis, they still could enhance the diagnostic accuracy by excluding non-degenerative conditions (dopamine transporter or vesicular monoamine transporter 2 and optical coherence tomography of the retina [8,9]), distinguishing PD from secondary parkinsonism or atypical parkinsonism (structural MRI with 50% sensitivity), or contributing in PD differentiation from multiple system atrophy or progressive supranuclear palsy (diffusion-weighted-MRI, fluorodeoxyglucose PET, transcranial ultrasound) [1].

Other novel imaging techniques are emerging as potential tools for the prodromal diagnosis of iPD. For instance, neuromelanin imaging MRI and quantitative susceptibility mapping [10–12] differentiate PD from healthy controls with around 80% sensitivity and specificity. The accuracy is more promising in dorsal nigral hyperintensity techniques that offer a pooled sensitivity of 98% and specificity of 95% [13]. Functional examination of striatal dopaminergic neurons using radionuclide tracers in the dopamine transporter—SPECT is another imaging technique used for differentiation of atypical parkinsonism from PD; nevertheless, it is not suggested for differential diagnosis of neurodegenerative parkinsonism [14]. Altogether, these novel techniques are based on nigral pathology and they could be specific to neurodegenerative parkinsonism and not merely PD. Imaging techniques are not an ideal approach for prodromal iPD diagnosis as they are mostly based on irreversible neurodegeneration in the iPD brain, though identifying the loss of striatal vesicular monoamine transporter 2 with imaging may signal the transition from prodromal to the symptomatic phase of iPD [15].

Neuronal loss is preceded by long-lasting alterations in cellular mechanisms, the metabolites of which could be identified for prodromal diagnosis of iPD. Since the initial discovery that familial parkinsonism can be caused by multiplications and mutations in the SNCA gene, there has been a worldwide focus on the role of α-synuclein (αSyn) in the progression of PD [16]. Indeed, PD and αSyn are inextricably linked in the pathogenesis of PD. The “gold standard” pathological diagnosis of PD is postmortem confirmation of αSyn aggregation in the form of Lewy bodies with the nigra—pinpointing inadequate protein clearance system functioning.

Among pathways implicated in PD, the dysfunction of the protein clearance system is the closest cellular mechanism that could explain why misfolded protein accumulation in the brain appears as a core pathology in PD. The accumulation of intra-cellular αSyn implicates protein clearance systems; the ubiquitin-proteasome system [17] and the autophagy-lysosome pathway [18–20] in the pathology of the disease. αSyn is an abundant presynaptic protein that normally associates the lipid membranes of the presynaptic vesicles [21] and modulates neurotransmitter release [22]. An intact membrane is essential for small extracellular vesicle-induced modulation of αSyn fibrillization [21,23]. The chemical properties of lipids strongly affect the kinetics of the vesicle-membrane-induced aggregation of αSyn [23]. Impaired sphingolipid metabolism in PD is linked to the protein clearance system dysfunction in a bidirectional way [24,25]. This is further supported by the inhibitory impact of mutant GBA on the chaperone-mediated autophagy-lysosomal pathway (CMA) and, thereafter, αSyn accumulation [26].
The autophagy-lysosomal pathway could be one of the promising pathways in differentiating PD from controls. This pathway orchestrates lipid metabolism, given the fact that lysosomes host multiple lipid hydrolysis enzymes and act as the hub for the degradation of various types of macromolecules [27]. Lipids have heterogeneous classes including glycosphingolipid glucosylceramides, are involved in membrane organization and lipid rafts formation, adhesion, growth, differentiation development and inflammation [28], and are key players in PD pathogenesis [29].

One of the most common risk factors for iPD is mutations in the glucosylceramidase beta (GBA) gene, which is associated with early disease onset, severe cognitive dysfunction and a higher mortality rate from diagnosis compared to noncarriers [30–32]. The GBA gene encodes the glucosylceramidase (GCase) enzyme and its homozygote mutations are the cause of Gaucher disease [33]. The GCase breaks glucosylceramide down to glucose and ceramide in the late endosomes and lysosome. This is referred as the salvage pathway of ceramide synthesis, which interchanges with other ceramide synthesis pathways: the de novo ceramide synthesis and sphingomyelinase pathway (as reviewed in [34]).

Since PD and the GBA gene association were reported in 1996, many studies have explored the phenotypic impact of GCase activity in PD, yet data remain inconclusive [35–37]. The availability of comprehensive and precise analytical methods (lipidomics and proteomics) for measuring multiple factors at once initiated a growing interest in holistic monitoring of alterations in sphingolipid metabolism for differentiating iPD [37–41]. The development of data integration methods enabled combining metabolomics data with other diagnostic data (e.g., genomics data) that is equipped for systems biology approaches, where the impact of other pathways on PD pathogenesis could be modelled for biomarker purposes [42,43]. Here, by focusing on the GBA, we will review the current literature supporting the diagnostic potential of sphingolipid pathway dysregulation, in the human brain and biofluids, and their integration with semi-sensitive biomarkers and putative pathological pathways for the development of an iPD specific signature.

2. Protein Clearance System Impairment in PD-Ubiquitin-Proteasome System (UPS)

For misfolded proteins to be cleared, ubiquitin ligases are required to tag them with ubiquitin molecules to signal proteolysis. These ubiquitylated proteins are then handed over to the 26S proteasome—the most used proteasome in mammals with a 20S catalytic core and 19S regulatory particles at both ends that break misfolded proteins into small peptides. Non-proteasomal endopeptidases and aminopeptidases then digest these small peptides into monomeric amino acids for reused in new protein synthesis [44].

Failures in the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) in PD are reported in both familial and iPD cases. In familial cases, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, parkin, is associated with early-stage PD (<30 years) [45]. Interestingly, another member of E3 ubiquitin ligase, thyroid hormone receptor-interacting protein 12 (TRIP12), which accumulates in sporadic PD brains, degrades GCase enzymes and controls their turnover (Figure 1) [46]. Postmortem analysis of nigral tissues has shown a 30% deficiency in proteasome enzymatic function in iPD cases compared to healthy controls [47]. Though the mechanism of this proteasome enzymatic dysfunction is unknown, the selective loss of 20S core α-subunits restricted to dopaminergic neurons of the SN in sporadic PD could be a possible explanation [17]. Taken together, UPS dysfunction in iPD has the potential to explain the pathologic mechanism underlying protein accumulation and Lewy bodies formation in the PD brain, however, more questions are yet to be addressed.
Figure 1. Disrupted protein clearance systems (autophagy-lysosome pathway and ubiquitin-proteasome pathway) in PD motor neurons and GCase dysfunction. (a) Macroautophagy. (b) Chaperone mediated autophagy (CMA) is a more selective process and targets KFERQ amino-acid-motif containing proteins such as αSyn. (c) Microautophagy or pinocytosis of intracellular contents. (d) Defective ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) failure in eliminating misfolded proteins tagged with ubiquitin such as GCase precede aggresome formation and neurodegeneration. Defects in GCase turnover [46] lead to glucosylceramide (GlcCer) accumulation, which later contributes to αSyn aggregation and impaired autophagy [25]. Altogether, impaired protein-clearance systems cause neurodegeneration in PD (created with BioRender.com. accessed on 1 January 2022). Events leading to GCase dysfunction and its consequences are indicated by red-color arrows. Dashed arrows represent a series of reactions, and dashed arrows with the question mark in the middle indicate unknown mechanisms.

3. Protein Clearance System Impairment in PD-Autophagy-Lysosome Pathway Fails in Clearing Sphingolipids

Autophagy is a conserved lysosomal degradation process for selective removal of damaged subcellular organelles (mitochondria, peroxisomes, endoplasmic reticulum), mid-body ring structures, ribosomes and aggresomes [48]. This process requires lysosomes to remove unwanted material in a so-called autophagy-lysosome pathway (Figure 1) and has a critical role in PD pathology (as reviewed by [49]). Indeed, some PD-related genes (SNCA, VPS35, Parkin, PINK1, FBXO7, ATP13A2 and GBA) are involved in autophagy processes and their abnormal levels are implicated in the PD brain (as reviewed in [50]). Lysosomes are hosting multiple hydrolysases as the ultimate hub for the degradation of various types of macromolecules including lipids and, as such, the autophagy-lysosome pathway is involved in orchestrating lipid metabolism [27]. Groups of lipids such as eicosanoids, endocannabinoids, oxysterols, fatty acids and sphingolipids with cell-regulatory characteristics are classified as bioactive lipids and deemed as key players in PD pathophysiology (as reviewed in [51]). Sphingolipids are a member of bioactive lipids with a reciprocal effect on the autophagy process in PD. Accordingly, defective sphingolipids pathways are reported through a few clinical studies on PD subclasses (Table 1).
Table 1. Metabolic percentage of changes in sphingolipids pathway from postmortem PD brain are measured from CSF and/or blood compared to controls.

| Pathway | Biomarker ID | Other Parts | Brain | CSF | Blood | References, Patient Population, Comments |
|---------|--------------|-------------|-------|-----|-------|------------------------------------------|
| Ceramide | −53% (ACC) (**), −26% (OC) (NS) | NS | +393% (***), +5.48% (C16:0) (***), +3.34 (C18:0) (NS), +5.25% (C20:0) (**), 6.35% (C22:0) (**), −20.4% (C22:0) (**), −19.2% (C20:1) (**), +7.21% (C22:1) (**), +6.9% (C26:1) (*) | Brain: Total ceramide in anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and OC of iPD vs. HC [19] CSF: NS in PD-GBA vs. PD-GBA wild-type [20] and PD-GBA wild-type vs. HC [19], increased in postmortem CSF of PD vs. HC [16] Plasma: PD-GBA wild-type vs. HC [20,21] |
| Sphingomyelin | −0.4% (****) +0.98% (*) +200% (***) +6.9% (C20:1) (**) | −20.4% (C22:0) (***), −18.4% (C24:1) (***), −26.2% (C26:0) (***), −19.2% (C20:1) (**), +20% (C22:1) (***) | CSF: −0.4% in PD-GBA vs. HC [19], +0.98% in PD-GBA wild-type vs. HC [37], +200% in postmortem CSF of PD vs. HC [16] Plasma: PD-GBA wild-type vs. HC [19] |
| Sphingomyelin pathway | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Sphingolipids | | | | | |
| Monosialoganglioside GM1a | −27% (**) −22% in 70s (†), NS in 60s (1st cohort), −26% in 80s (2nd cohort), −73% (**) | −17% NS | −32% (****), −37% (***) | Brain: DOC in iPD vs. HC [40] SN & CSF in iPD vs. HC [40] Serum: in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| Ganglioside GDIa | −28% (**) −39% in 70s (†), NS in 60s (1st cohort), −30% (**) | −20% (**) | Brain: DOC in iPD vs. HC [40] SN & CSF in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| Ganglioside GM1 | −35% (†) | | | | |
| Ganglioside GDb | −12% (†) −58% in 80s (1st cohort), −21% in 80s (2nd cohort), −31% in 80s (2nd cohort), −42% (**) | −32% (****), −23% (**), −35% (****), −33% (***) | Brain: DOC in iPD vs. HC [40] SN & CSF in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| Ganglioside GTb | NS −27% in 80s (1st cohort), −34% in 80s (2nd cohort) | −51% (**) | | |
| Ganglioside GM2 | +23% (†) | −15% NS | | |
| Gangliosides | | | | | |
| Gangliosides (sum of GM1a, GDIa, GDb and GTb) | −75% in 70s (†), NS in 60s (1st cohort), −46% in 80s (2nd cohort) | −61% (**) | | |
| LacCer | NS (1st cohort), NS (2nd cohort) | | | SN & CSF in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| Glycosphingolipids | | | | | |
| Gangliosides (sum of GM1a, GDIa, GDb and GTb) | −75% in 70s (†), NS in 60s (1st cohort), −46% in 80s (2nd cohort) | −61% (**) | | |
| LacCer | NS (1st cohort), NS (2nd cohort) | | | SN & CSF in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| Total glycosphingolipids (CiaCer, LacCer and gangliosides) | −75% in 70s (†), NS in 60s (1st cohort), −39% in 80s (2nd cohort) | +22% (**) | | SN: in NS in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| C18-Sphingosine | +56% in 60s (†), NS in 80s | | | CNS: in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| Sphinganine | +16% in 70s +16% in 80s (**) | | | |
| Glucosylsphingosine (GlcCer) | NS (Putamen) | | | | |
| Total glycosphingolipids (CiaCer, LacCer and gangliosides) | −75% in 70s (†), NS in 60s (1st cohort), −39% in 80s (2nd cohort) | +22% (**) | | SN: in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| C18-Sphingosine | +56% in 60s (†), NS in 80s | | | CNS: in iPD vs. HC [40] |
| Pathway         | Biomarker ID            | Other Parts                      | Brain                      | CSF                          | Blood                | References, Patient Population, Comments |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Sphingolipids   | Glucosphingolipids      | Glucosylceramide                 | +37% NS in 70s (+)         | NS                           | NS                   | SN in iPD vs. HC [40]                   |
|                 |                         | +74% (**) in 80s, +45% in 80s (+) | (2nd cohort)               |                              |                      | SN in PD-GBA and PD [37, 40]           |
|                 |                         |                                  |                            |                              |                      | CSF: NS in PD-GBA vs. HC, +18% in PD-GBA vs. HC [40] |
|                 |                         |                                  |                            |                              |                      | Serum: NS in iPD vs. HC [40]           |
| Sphingolipid    |                         |                                  |                            | +4.5% NS +37% (**) (C24:0)   | NS (C26:0, C16:1, C22:1, C24:1) |
|                 |                         |                                  |                            | +5.7% (**) (C24:0)           |                      |                                        |
|                 |                         |                                  |                            | +5.7% (**) (C24:0)           |                      |                                        |
|                 |                         |                                  |                            | +5.5% (**) (C22:0)           |                      |                                        |
|                 |                         |                                  |                            | +4.3% (**) (C20:0)           |                      |                                        |
|                 |                         |                                  |                            | +4% (**) (C18:0)             |                      |                                        |
|                 |                         |                                  |                            | +7.5% (***) (C16:0)         |                      |                                        |
| Enzyme Activity |                         |                                  |                            |                              |                      |                                        |
| GCase           |                         | −40% (**) in 60s, −44% (**) in 70s (Putamen) [19] | −34% in 70s (**), −26% in 80s (**) (1st cohort), −28% in 80s (**) (2nd cohort) | NS | −20% (*), −28% (**) |
| β-galactosidase |                         | −0.3% NS                          | −71% in 70s (*), −77% in 80s (2nd cohort) | +37% (*) | NS (Caudate) |
| α-galactosidase |                         | −8% in 70s (****), −8% in 80s (****) | −34% in 60s NS, −3% in 70s and 80s [19] | −14% (*) [19] | −7% (+), −9% (+) |
| β-hexosaminidase|                         | −4.1% NS                          | −51% in 80s (****) (1st cohort), −23% (*), −2% (2nd cohort) | +4.5% NS | −9% NS (Caudate) |
| Neuraminidase/Neolysase |                         | −45% NS −43% NS in 70s (111 cohort), −54% in 80s (2nd cohort) | −3% in 70s (****) (1st cohort), −2% (2nd cohort) | +4.5% NS | -9% NS (Caudate) |

Table legend: This table lists percentage changes of the sphingolipid pathway lipids and enzymes in the PD brain that are also measured in CSF and/or blood. The percentages are either reported by authors or calculated by considering the mean/median value of control data as the initial value. The percentage changes are increased (+) or decreased (−) metabolites levels in the PD cases compared to controls. The degree of significance is retrieved from sources. Abbreviations: HC: healthy control; PD: Parkinson’s Disease; PD-GBA: PD patients with GBA mutations; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; SN: substantia nigra; OC: occipital cortex; GBA: Glucosylceramidase Beta1; 1: separating data from different studies. (NS: non-significant; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; **** p < 0.0001).
Sphingolipid pathway impairment is not restricted to only PD neurons or PD CSF but seems to be a systemic feature of PD evidenced by reduced GCCase activity in blood and fibroblasts [63], which are invaluable for non-invasive biomarker purposes. The GCCase activity is pathologically informative in familial PD (PD-GBA) and research is underway to elucidate its diagnostic potential in iPD.

3.1. Is GCCase Activity a Potential Biomarker for iPD?

The GCCase enzyme is a 536 amino acid length lysosomal-membrane-anchored protein that catalyzes glucosylceramide into glucose and ceramide [64], and glucosyl sphingosine into glucose and sphingosine [65]. Mutations in the GBA gene are implicated in different types of Gaucher disease (GD) (type 1, 2 and 3) and PD. In GD, homozygous mutations in the GBA gene cause insufficient activity of GCCase, which is the gold standard marker for GD diagnosis [33,66]. Heterozygous mutations in GBA that cause haploinsufficiency in GCCase activity are the greatest risk factor for PD [31,67]. Across different studies, 5–25% of iPD are carriers of these mutations, which are associated with a 5–20-fold increase in developing PD compared to non-carriers [31,68]. There are some differences in GBA mutations for GD and PD. For instance, some GBA alterations that are considered “mild mutations” and do not cause GD (E326K (p.E365K) and T369 M (p.T408M), still predispose carriers to parkinsonism [69,70]. GBA heterozygous mutations are clinically associated with early-onset PD with severe cognitive symptoms [31,67]. PD age of onset correlates with GBA mutation status and PD cases with homozygous mutations manifest the disease approximately 6–11 years earlier than in heterozygotes; however, the overall PD incidence is comparable [71].

In definitive PD cases, which are diagnosed by postmortem confirmation of aSyn accumulation into Lewy bodies, reduced GCCase activity is reported in both GBA mutation carriers and iPD [35,36]. In these independently conducted studies on the SN tissues of PD-GBA wild type cases, the GCCase enzyme catalytic activity is reduced as well as its mRNA and protein levels [35,36,72]. There is strong and emerging evidence that mutations in the GBA gene can cause PD and, additionally, that GCCase activity is altered in idiopathic disease without mutation. The mutation frequency in healthy cases is still lower than in PD. In a study with 79 PD and 61 healthy controls, 4.9% of controls and 12.7% of PD are GBA mutation carriers [73]. In another study conducted in Australia, 18.75% of 48 PD and 6.8% of 44 healthy controls are GBA mutation carriers [62]. These data suggest that GCCase reduced activity is not merely due to mutations and other mechanisms regulating GCCase trafficking, and activation should be explored (Figure 2). Due to the prognostic value of reduced GCCase activity and its implication in definitive iPD, several studies asked the question of whether GCCase could be a potential biomarker for iPD diagnosis (Table 1) by looking at different biospecimens; however, the answer remains inconclusive. Analysis of CSF specimens, that interchange with interstitial fluid in the brain, has indicated GCCase activity as non-informative [37,60,61], while others associate reduced GCCase activity with PD irrespective of GBA mutation status [61]. Blood interchanges with CSF through the blood–CSF barrier and is expected to be a partial proxy for detecting changes in the brain and CSF. Data coming from blood samples (serum, plasma and dried blood spots) are also inconsistent. Though GCCase activity is reduced in the serum of PD-GBA and PD-GBA wild-type [37], in dried blood samples, for example, reduced GCCase activity is not necessarily associated with PD risk [74]. This discrepancy could be due to the subjective nature of the clinical diagnosis of selected PD cases for analysis, biospecimen sourced (monocytes versus dried blood samples) and different strategies in evaluating the GCCase activity (as reviewed by [75]). Altogether, though PD diagnosis by GCCase activity in isolation remains inconclusive, its prognostic value holds the hope for increasing diagnosis accuracy by incorporating GCCase with upstream or downstream regulators such as saposin C (SapC) and post-translational modifications. This would provide stronger evidence for an altered pathway, from GCCase transcription to activity, and be less reliant on a single marker.
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Figure 2. GCase from birth to death. (a) Chromosome locus of GBA gene with 14 exons. More than 300 GBA variants are associated with GD and around 130 mutations are associated with PD [76]. (b) After GBA is transcribed into mRNA, it becomes synthesized in the ER and undergoes post-translational modifications, such as glycosylation [65]. The GCase enzyme attaches to LIMP2 and is guided to the Golgi and lysosomes. LIPM2 levels in SN of PD-GBA and iPD do not change [36], but levels are reduced in iPD fibroblasts and correlate with reduced GCase activity [63]. (c) After glycosylated-GCase reaches the lysosome it becomes activated by saposin C. (d) Activated GCase clears glucosylceramides engulfed inside the autophagosome; however, the incorrect conformational state of GCase and its deficient activity cause glucosylceramide accumulation in the lysosomes. (e) There seems to be a bidirectional effect between glucosylceramide accumulation and Lewy body formation. The presence of Lewy bodies is suggested to disrupt correct conformational states and trafficking of GCase proteins towards lysosomes [25] and vice versa, implicating the mutant GCase in αSyn aggregation [26,77]. (Created with BioRender.com. accessed on 22 December 2021).

3.1.1. GCase from Birth to Death—What Can Go Wrong?

GCase enzyme activity depends on several regulatory mechanisms including structure-functional changes, pH and the availability of its activator SapC; disruptions in any of these steps could potentially affect downstream GCase activity. The GBA gene located at 1q21 encodes the lysosome-membrane-anchored GCase enzyme and its mutations are implicated in iPD [66]. After the GCase protein is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) it becomes glycosylated (particularly at Asn19), which is deemed crucial for its catalytic activity [78]. One possible mechanism for the reduced downstream activity is the disruptions in the structure-functional changes of GCase impacting intercellular trafficking, interaction with SapC and substrate stability, all of which could underlie GCase loss-of-function in wild type carriers (Figure 2, [24]). Currently, 20–30% of carrier diagnoses in GD by GCase activity are false positives and false negatives and introducing an activity-dictating structural pattern for GCase could also be useful for GD carrier diagnosis [33]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no currently published evidence exploring the impacts of GCase structural changes on catalytic activity in iPD and further studies are warranted.

3.1.2. Saposin C and GCase

The prosaposin gene (PSAP) encodes a precursor protein that forms into sphingolipid activator proteins (SAPs)—saposin A-D—that facilitate lysosomal hydrolysis of sphingolipids [79]. In lysosomes, SapC interaction with GCase alters GCase catalytic activity by
inducing conformational changes [80]. Furthermore, this interaction stabilizes the GCase enzyme against protein clearance systems [81]. Accordingly, among many mutations reported in GBA, two common and clinically relevant mutations in GD, N270S and L444P, are suggested to destabilize the SapC and GCase complex [82]. Full sequence analysis of PSAP in patients suffering RBD—a sign of the prodromal stage of synucleinopathies such as PD [83]—report an association of three different and rare mutations with idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder [83]; however, full sequencing of PSAP was not found to fully support a role for PSAP or SapC in PD patients [84]. Regardless of the current argument on the contribution of PSAP mutations to PD, its impaired function could be indirectly inferred from GCase function, ideally from GCase substrates levels as its activity analysis in isolation could be impacted by external factors.

3.1.3. Do GCase Substrates Differentiate iPD?

The inconsistency of data on GCase activity is also represented at the substrate level. Here, the conflict starts from brain data, with some reports of no accumulation of GCase substrates (glucosylceramide and glucosylsphingosine) in the PD-GBA brain [41], yet the accumulation of glucosylsphingosine has been seen in specific age groups of iPD [59]. However, in a recent study on CSF, a high level of glucosylceramides is reported [37]. Though these limited data on various brain and CSF specimens do not support a direct association between glucosylceramide level and PD [85], further studies on a broader scale are warranted.

The GCase enzyme interacts with sphingolipid pathways and changes in specific substrates could have variable indications in PD diagnosis; therefore, there is growing interest in a comprehensive analysis of sphingolipids pathway for biomarker discovery.

4. Sphingolipids in PD Diagnosis

Post-mitotic neuron survival is partly governed by sphingolipids through modulating the autophagy process (as reviewed by [86]). Autophagy eliminates aggregated proteins and its impairment is reported through a few clinical studies on PD subjects [18–20]. Sphingolipids are becoming linked to the core pathological feature of PD, αSyn aggregation. This is specifically supported by a recently proposed bidirectional link between GCase deficiency and Lewy body formation [25,26]. Though the exact molecular mechanism is still unidentified, the data is in line with the role sphingolipids play in neuronal survival, exemplified by the monosialoganglioside GM1. GM1 is a member of glycosphingolipids that binds and assists in αSyn structural stability and its deficiency has been associated with αSyn aggregation and neurodegeneration [87,88]. GM1 and αSyn interactions are supported through several clinical studies. GM1 level deficiency in the PD brain is not only restricted to SN, but it is also reduced in the less affected part of the PD brain (occipital cortex), and systemically in CSF and blood across different clinical studies (Table 1). Interestingly, the level of GM1’s metabolic precursor, GD1a [89], shows the same changing trend in PD tissues (Table 1). The lower GM1 and its precursor levels could predispose dopaminergic neurons to degeneration since it is essential for proper glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) signalling that protects dopaminergic neuron survival [57].

Ceramides are the precursor of complex sphingolipids, which are implicated in PD pathology (as reviewed in [34]) and cognitive decline [90], nevertheless, data on the diagnostic potential of ceramides is inconsistent across different studies on PD brain, CSF and blood (Table 1). This discrepancy could be explained by different GBA mutation status of patients and controls, sample processing (blood versus plasma), involvement of the tissue of study in PD pathogenesis (less involvement of occipital cortex compared to anterior cingulate) or the time of sampling (antemortem vs. postmortem CSF sampling). Therefore, further studies are required to standardize the diagnostic potential of ceramides.

The data on other components of the sphingolipid pathway is also limited; however, reduced activity of the enzymes involved in this pathway, and in some cases, the accumulation of corresponding substrates, introduce a systemic disruption in sphingolipid
metabolism in PD subjects (Figure 3, [36–40,91]). This pathway dysregulation in definitive PD is partially represented in peripheral biospecimens such as CSF and blood (Table 1). Here, GM1, GD1a levels, galactosidase activity, and to some extent, GCase activity and its substrate glucosylceramide, could successfully differentiate PD cases from healthy controls even by monitoring CSF and blood (Table 1). These data suggest that monitoring sphingolipids in CSF and blood, which are both available antemortem and have lower degrees of invasiveness, have the potential to increase iPD diagnosis.

Figure 3. Evidence-based alterations in the sphingolipids’ catabolism pathway implicated in PD tissues. The overall changes show a decrease in the activity of the enzyme which is accompanied by increased levels of substrates [36–40,91]. Corresponding references for data, their percentage of changes and tissues of origin are contained in the Table 1. PD brain data are generated from definitive PD cases and, as such, they are considered more valuable than CSF or serum data in describing metabolic shifts. Green-colored arrow upward: increase; red-colored arrow downward: decrease; hyphen: no change. (Created with BioRender.com. accessed on 15 November 2021).

Sphingolipids’ pathway dysregulation is becoming indicated in prodromal PD. The GBA gene mutations are listed as an intermediate-strength genetic factor for prodromal PD, where the total PD risk in mutation carriers at age 65 is 9 times higher (18% in PD versus
2% in age-matched controls) [92]. Interestingly, serum levels of the sphingolipids are also indicated in RBD cases, which are considered a sign of prodromal PD. A meta-analysis of 1280 RBD patients with an average follow-up of 4.6 years reports that 14.56% of RBD patients develop PD [93]. Though the GlcCer level is not changed, a significant reduction in the level of lactosylceramide, Gb3, Gb4, GM2, GM3, GM1a and GD1a is reported compared to healthy and PD controls [40].

Altogether, data on using sphingolipids pathway as a diagnostic tool are promising but limited, and future studies with large patient populations and stratified controls could help confirm the specificity of different parts of the sphingolipid catabolism pathway and their respective diagnostic value for iPD.

5. Would Integrating Biomarkers Make iPD Diagnosis Possible?

Integrating metabolites representing iPD pathophysiology with lower specificity and sensitivity could enhance the accuracy of iPD differentiation from other parkinsonism. To the best of our knowledge, there is no published evidence using this approach, yet preliminary data on biomarker integration support enhanced diagnostic accuracy. For instance, a combination of GCase, cathepsin D and β-hexosaminidase improves diagnostic accuracy—from an area under the curve value of 0.72; with a sensitivity of 0.67; and specificity of 0.77 for only GCase activity, to an area under the curve value of 0.77; sensitivity, 0.71; and specificity, 0.85 for the combination in differentiating PD from healthy controls [61]. This improved diagnostic accuracy could be further increased by incorporating other markers that have similar behavior in differentiating PD from controls such as other lysosomal enzymes (acid sphingomyelinase, aSMase; acid-alpha galactosidase, GLA; acid alpha-glucosidase, GAA; galactocerebrosidase, GALC) and their relative activities, with and without association with each other [39].

Integrated biomarker set accuracy can also be further improved by incorporating markers from other generalist and specific pathways that represent the same trend of changes in CSF and/or serum as those seen in the PD brain such as 3-hydroxykynurenine [94,95], apolipoprotein D [96,97] and potentially neurofilament light chain [98]. With the same hypothesis, the diagnostic accuracy of multiple biochemical markers could be increased by combining them with imaging data. The data measured through different assays and research conditions could be combined by converting them to the percentage of changes (as seen in Table 1). Expressing metabolic changes as a percentage of changes would also allow different types of assays to be compared to each other. Finally, an iPD specific biomarker signature could be used for both differential diagnosis of iPD between its subtypes, and inform prognosis, considering the different weightings of each marker.

6. Conclusions

A definitive antemortem diagnosis of iPD remains an urgent and unaddressed need, especially during the prodromal stage. Several lines of clinical evidence on the diagnostic potential of GCase activity and its substrate accumulation in iPD are inconclusive; however, a growing interest in a comprehensive analysis of GCase activity in the context of the sphingolipid catabolism pathway is promising for non-invasive differentiation of iPD from healthy age-matched controls. Since PD is a multisystem disease with shared pathology with other atypical parkinsonism, future investigations with the integration of potential biomarkers from the sphingolipid pathway, imaging data and clinical symptoms could help improve iPD diagnostic accuracy in the clinic in early stages. Earlier diagnosis may also allow improved therapeutic interventions, where a wider therapeutic window for neuroprotection could be exploited.

Author Contributions: A.E.: Conceptualization, methodology, writing—original draft preparation, reviewing and editing; D.R.: methodology, supervision, writing—reviewing and editing; D.I.F.: supervision, writing—reviewing and editing; N.H.V.: writing—reviewing and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Tolosa, E.; Garrido, A.; Scholz, S.W.; Poewe, W. Challenges in the diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease. *Lancet Neurol.* 2021, 20, 385–397. [CrossRef]

2. Postuma, R.B.; Berg, D.; Stern, M.; Poewe, W.; Olanow, C.W.; Oertel, W.; Obeso, J.; Marek, K.; Litvan, I.; Lang, A.E.; et al. MDS clinical diagnostic criteria for Parkinson’s disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2015, 30, 1591–1601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Postuma, R.B.; Poewe, W.; Litvan, I.; Lewis, S.; Lang, A.E.; Halliday, G.; Goetz, C.G.; Chan, P.; Slow, E.; Seppi, K.; et al. Validation of the MDS clinical diagnostic criteria for Parkinson’s disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2018, 33, 1601–1608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Jellinger, K.A.; Logroscino, G.; Rizzo, G.; Copetti, M.; Arcuri, S.; Martino, D.; Fontana, A. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of Parkinson disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2016, 86, 566–576. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Jankovic, J. Progression of Parkinson Disease: Are we making progress in charting the course? *Arch. Neurol.* 2005, 62, 351–352. [CrossRef]

6. Braak, H.; Del Tredici, K.; Rüb, U.; de Vos, R.A.; Steur, E.N.J.; Braak, E. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson’s disease. *Neuropathol. Aging* 2003, 24, 197–211. [CrossRef]

7. Berg, D.; Postuma, R.B.; Adler, C.H.; Bloom, B.R.; Chan, P.; Dubois, B.; Gasser, T.; Goetz, C.G.; Halliday, G.; Joseph, L.; et al. MDS research criteria for prodromal Parkinson’s disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2015, 30, 1600–1611. [CrossRef]

8. Robbins, C.B.; Thompson, A.C.; Bhullar, P.K.; Koo, H.Y.; Agrawal, R.; Soundararajan, S.; Yoon, S.P.; Polascik, B.W.; Scott, B.L.; Grewal, D.S.; et al. Characterization of Retinal Microvascular and Choroidal Structural Changes in Parkinson Disease. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2021, 139, 182–188. [CrossRef]

9. Rascunà, C.; Cicero, C.E.; Chisari, C.G.; Russo, A.; Giuliano, L.; Castellino, N.; Terravecchia, C.; Grillo, M.; Longo, A.; Avitabile, T. Retinal thickness and microvascular pathway in Idiopathic Rapid eye movement sleep behaviour disorder and Parkinson’s disease. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 2021, 88, 40–45. [CrossRef]

10. Wang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Zhu, C.; Li, G.; Kang, J.; Chen, F.; Yang, L.; Wang, X. The diagnostic value of SNpc using NM-MRI in Parkinson’s disease: Meta-analysis. *Neural. Sci.* 2019, 40, 2479–2489. [CrossRef]

11. Murakami, Y.; Kakeda, S.; Watanabe, K.; Ueda, I.; Ogasawara, A.; Moriya, J.; Ide, S.; Futatsuya, K.; Sato, T.; Okada, K.; et al. Usefulness of Quantitative Susceptibility Mapping for the Diagnosis of Parkinson Disease. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 2015, 36, 1102–1108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Takahashi, H.; Watanabe, Y.; Tanaka, H.; Mihara, M.; Mochizuki, H.; Yamamoto, K.; Liu, T.; Wang, Y.; Tomiyama, N. Comprehensive MRI quantification of the substantia nigra pars compacts in Parkinson’s disease. *Eur. J. Radiol.* 2018, 109, 48–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Mahlknecht, P.; Krismer, F.; Poewe, W.; Seppi, K. Meta-analysis of dorsolateral nigral hyperintensity on magnetic resonance imaging as a marker for Parkinson’s disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2017, 32, 619–623. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Matesan, M.; Gaddikeri, S.; Longfellow, K.; Miyauka, R.; Elejeymy, S.; Elman, S.; Hu, S.-C.; Minoshima, S.; Lewis, D. I-123 DaTscan SPECT Brain Imaging in Parkinsonian Syndromes: Utility of the Putamen-to-Caudate Ratio. *J. Neuroimaging* 2018, 28, 629–634. [CrossRef]

15. Beauchamp, L.C.; Villemagne, V.L.; Finkelstein, D.I.; Doré, V.; Bush, A.I.; Barnham, K.J.; Rowe, C.C. Reduced striatal vesicular monoamine transporter 2 in REM sleep behavior disorder: Imaging prodromal Parkinsonism. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 17631. [CrossRef]

16. Maries, E.; Dass, B.; Collier, T.J.; Kordower, J.H.; Steele-Collier, K. The role of α-synuclein in Parkinson’s disease: Insights from animal models. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2003, 4, 727–738. [CrossRef]

17. McNaught, K.S.; Belizaire, R.; Jenner, P.; Olanow, C.; Isacson, O. Selective loss of 20S proteasome α-subunits in the substantia nigra pars compacta in Parkinson’s disease. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2002, 326, 155–158. [CrossRef]

18. Alvarez-Erviti, L.; Rodriguez-Oroz, M.C.; Cooper, J.M.; Caballero, C.; Ferrer, I.; Obeso, J.A.; Schapira, A.H.V. Chaperone-Mediated Autophagy Markers in Parkinson Disease Brains. *Neuro. Sci.* 2010, 67, 1464–1472. [CrossRef]

19. Lerche, S.; Sjödin, S.; Brinkmalm, A.; Blenow, K.; Wurster, I.; Roeben, B.; Zimmermann, M.; Hauser, A.; Liepert-Scarfone, I.; Waniek, K.; et al. CSF Protein Level of Neurotransmitter Secretion, Synaptic Plasticity, and Autophagy in PD and DLB. *Mov. Disord.* 2021, 36, 2595–2604. [CrossRef]

20. Tanji, K.; Mori, F.; Kakita, A.; Takahashi, H.; Wakabayashi, K. Alteration of autophagosomal proteins (LC3, GABARAP and GATE-16) in Lewy body disease. *Neurol. Dis.* 2011, 43, 690–697. [CrossRef]

21. Ugalde, C.L.; Gordon, S.E.; Shambrook, M.; Nasiri Kenari, A.; Coleman, B.M.; Perugini, M.A.; Lawson, V.A.; Finkelstein, D.I.; Hill, A.F. An intact membrane is essential for small extracellular vesicle-induced modulation of α-synuclein fibrilization. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* 2020, 10, e12034. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Stefanis, L. α-Synuclein in Parkinson’s Disease. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 2012, 2, a009399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Galvagnion, C.; Brown, J.W.P.; Ouberali, M.M.; Flagmeier, P.; Vendruscolo, M.; Buell, A.K.; Sparr, E.; Dobson, C.M. Chemical properties of lipids strongly affect the kinetics of the membrane-induced aggregation of α-synuclein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2016, 113, 7065–7070. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
Mazzulli, J.R.; Xu, Y.-H.; Sun, Y.; Knight, A.L.; McLean, P.J.; Caldwell, G.A.; Sidransky, E.; Grabowski, G.A.; Krainc, D. Gaucher Disease Glucocerebrosidase and α-Synuclein Form a Bidirectional Pathogenic Loop in Synucleinopathies. Cell 2011, 146, 37–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Stojkovska, I.; Wani, W.Y.; Zunke, F.; Belur, N.R.; Pavlenko, E.A.; Mwenda, N.; Sharma, K.; Francelle, L.; Mazzulli, J.R. Rescue of α-synuclein aggregation in Parkinson’s patient neurons by synergistic enhancement of ER proteostasis and protein trafficking. Neuron 2021, 110, 436–451.e11. [CrossRef]

Kuo, S.-H.; Tasset, I.; Cheng, M.M.; Diaz, A.; Pan, M.-K.; Lieberman, O.J.; Hutten, S.J.; Alcalay, R.N.; Kim, S.; Ximénez-Embún, P. Mutant glucocerebrosidase impairs α-synuclein degradation by blockade of chaperone-mediated autophagy. Sci. Adv. 2022, 8, eabm6933.

Singh, R.; Kaushik, S.; Wang, Y.; Xiang, Y.; Novak, I.; Komatsu, M.; Tanaka, K.; Cuervo, A.M.; Czajka, M.J. Autophagy regulates lipid metabolism. Nature 2009, 458, 1131–1135. [CrossRef]

Reza, S.M.; Ugorski, M.; Suchański, J. Glucosylceramide and galactosylceramide, small glycosphingolipids with significant impact on health and disease. Glycobiology 2021, 31, 1416–1434. [CrossRef]

Klemann, C.J.H.M.; Martens, G.J.M.; Sharma, M.; Martens, M.B.; Isacson, O.; Gasser, T.; Visser, J.E.; Poelmans, G. Integrated molecular landscape of Parkinson’s disease. NPJ Park. Dis. 2017, 3, 14. [CrossRef]

Cilia, R.; Tunesi, S.; Marotta, G.; Cereda, E.; Siri, C.; Tesi, S.; Zecchinelli, A.L.; Canesi, M.; Mariani, C.B.; Meucci, N. Survival and dementia in GBA-associated Parkinson’s disease: The mutation matters. Ann. Neurol. 2016, 80, 662–673. [CrossRef]

Sidransky, E.; Lopez, G. The link between the GBA gene and parkinsonism. Lancet Neurol. 2012, 11, 986–998. [CrossRef]

Ren, J.; Zhang, R.; Pan, C.; Xu, J.; Sun, H.; Hua, P.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, W.; Xu, P.; Ma, C.; et al. Prevalence and genotype-phenotype correlations of GBA-related Parkinson disease in a large Chinese cohort. Eur. J. Neurol. 2021, 29, 1017–1024. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Grabowski, G.A. Phenotype, diagnosis, and treatment of Gaucher disease. Lancet 2008, 372, 1263–1271. [CrossRef]

Custodia, A.; Aramburu-Núñez, M.; Corea-Paz, C.; Posado-Fernandez, A.; Gómez-Larrauri, A.; Castillo, J.; Gómez-Muñoz, A.; Sobrino, T.; Ouro, A. Ceramide Metabolism and Parkinson’s Disease—Therapeutic Targets. Biomolecules 2021, 11, 945. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Chiasserini, D.; Paciotti, S.; Eusebi, P.; Persichetti, E.; Tasegian, A.; Kurzawa-Akanbi, M.; Chinnery, P.F.; Morris, C.M.; Calabresi, P.; et al. Selective loss of glucocerebrosidase activity in sporadic Parkinson’s disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. Mol. Neurodegener. 2015, 10, 15. [CrossRef]

Gegg, M.; Burke, D.; Heales, S.J.R.; Cooper, J.M.; Hardy, J.; Wood, N.; Schapira, A.H.V. Glucocerebrosidase deficiency in substantia nigra of parkinson disease brains. Ann. Neurol. 2012, 72, 455–463. [CrossRef]

Lerche, S.; Schultz, C.; Wurster, I.; Machetanz, G.; Roeben, B.; Zimmermann, M.; Böhringer, J.; Krägeloh-Mann, I.; et al. The Mutation Matters: CSF Profiles of GCase, Sphingolipids, α-Synuclein in PDGBA. Mov. Disord. 2021, 36, 1216–1228. [CrossRef]

Chiaradini, D.; Paciotti, S.; Eusebi, P.; Persichetti, E.; Tasegian, A.; Kurzawa-Akanbi, M.; Chinnery, P.F.; Morris, C.M.; Calabresi, P.; Parnetti, L.; et al. Selective loss of glucocerebrosidase activity in sporadic Parkinson’s disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. Mol. Neurodegener. 2015, 10, 15. [CrossRef]

Gegg, M.; Burke, D.; Heales, S.J.R.; Cooper, J.M.; Hardy, J.; Wood, N.; Schapira, A.H.V. Glucocerebrosidase deficiency in substantia nigra of parkinson disease brains. Ann. Neurol. 2012, 72, 455–463. [CrossRef]

Lerche, S.; Schultz, C.; Wurster, I.; Machetanz, G.; Roeben, B.; Zimmermann, M.; Böhringer, J.; Krägeloh-Mann, I.; et al. The Mutation Matters: CSF Profiles of GCase, Sphingolipids, α-Synuclein in PDGBA. Mov. Disord. 2021, 36, 1216–1228. [CrossRef]

Aslelehdar, S.K.; Chakraborty, M.; Chowdhury, S.; Alcalay, R.N.; Surface, M.; Ledeen, R. Subnormal GM1 in PBMCs: Promise for Early Diagnosis of Parkinson’s Disease? Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 11522. [CrossRef]

Alcalay, R.; Wolf, P.; Levy, O.; Kang, U.J.; Waters, C.; Fahn, S.; Ford, B.; Kuo, S.; Vanegas, N.; Shah, H. Alpha galactosidase A activity in Parkinson’s disease. Neurobiol. Dis. 2018, 112, 85–90. [CrossRef]

Huebecker, M.; Moloney, E.; Van Der Spoel, A.C.; Priestman, D.A.; Isacson, O.; Hallett, P.J.; Platt, F.M. Reduced sphingolipid hydrolyase activities, substrate accumulation and ganglioside decline in Parkinson’s disease. Mol. Neurodegener. 2019, 14, 40. [CrossRef]

Gegg, M.E.; Sweet, L.; Wang, B.H.; Shihabuddin, L.S.; Sardi, S.P.; Schapira, A.H. No evidence for substrate accumulation in Parkinson brains with GBA mutations. Mov. Disord. Off. J. Mov. Disord. Soc. 2015, 30, 1085–1089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Sakharkar, M.K.; Singh, S.K.K.; Rajamanickam, K.; Essa, M.M.; Yang, J.; Chidambaram, S.B. A systems biology approach towards the identification of candidate therapeutic genes and potential biomarkers for Parkinson’s disease. PLoS ONE 2019, 14, e0220995. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Maccarini, D.; Scalabrea, L.; Triboli, B.; Ray, E.; Giorgi, F.M. Gene regulatory network inference resources: A practical overview. Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Gene Regul. Mech. 2020, 1863, 194430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Johnston, H.E.; Samant, R.S. Alternative systems for misfolded protein clearance: Life beyond the proteasome. FEBS J. 2021, 288, 4464–4487. [CrossRef]

Lücking, C.B.; Dürr, A.; Bonifati, V.; Vaughan, J.; De Michele, G.; Gasser, T.; Harhangi, B.S.; Meco, G.; Denèfle, P.; Wood, N.W.; et al. Association between Early-Onset Parkinson’s Disease and Mutations in the Parkin Gene. N. Engl. J. Med. 2000, 342, 1560–1567. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

See, B.A.; Kim, D.; Kwang, H.; Kim, M.S.; Ma, S.-X.; Kwon, S.-H.; Kweon, S.H.; Yoo, J.M.; Choi, S.; Kwon, S.H.; et al. TRIP12 ubiquitination of glucocerebrosidase contributes to neurodegeneration in Parkinson’s disease. Neuron 2021, 109, 3758–3774.e11. [CrossRef]

McNaul, K.S.P.; Jenner, P. Proteasomal function is impaired in substantia nigra in Parkinson’s disease. Neurosci. Lett. 2001, 297, 191–194. [CrossRef]

Rogov, V.; Dotsch, V.; Johansen, T.; Kirkin, V. Interactions between Autophagy Receptors and Ubiquitin-like Proteins form the Molecular Basis for Selective Autophagy. Mol. Cell 2014, 53, 167–178. [CrossRef]

Ceri, S.; Blandini, F. Role of Nedd4 in Parkinson’s Disease. Curr. Med. Chem. 2019, 26, 3702–3718. [CrossRef]
50. Lu, J.; Wu, M.; Yue, Z. Autophagy and Parkinson’s Disease. In Autophagy: Biology and Diseases: Clinical Science; Le, W., Ed.; Springer: Singapore, 2020; pp. 21–51.

51. Shen, W.; Jiang, L.; Zhao, J.; Wang, H.; Hu, M.; Chen, L.; Chen, Y. Bioactive lipids and their metabolism: New therapeutic opportunities for Parkinson’s disease. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2022, 55, 846–872. [CrossRef]

52. Mielke, M.M.; Maetzler, W.; Haughey, N.J.; Bandaru, V.V.R.; Savica, R.; Deuschl, G.; Gasser, T.; Hauser, A.-K.; Gräber-Sultan, S.; Schleicher, E.; et al. Plasma Ceramide and Glucosylceramide Metabolism Is Altered in Sporadic Parkinson’s Disease and Associated with Cognitive Impairment: A Pilot Study. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e73094. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Chan, R.B.; Perotte, A.; Zhou, B.; Liong, C.; Shorr, E.J.; Marder, K.S.; Kang, U.J.; Waters, C.H.; Levy, O.A.; Xu, Y.; et al. Elevated GM3 plasma concentration in idiopathic Parkinson’s disease: A lipidomic analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0172348. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Abbott, S.K.; Li, H.; Muñoz, S.S.; Knoch, B.; Batterham, M.; Murphy, K.E.; Halliday, G.M.; Garner, B. Altered ceramide acyl chain length and ceramide synthase gene expression in Parkinson’s disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2014, 29, 518–526. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Hüb, Y.E.; Park, H.; Chiang, M.S.R.; Tuncali, I.; Liu, G.; Locascio, J.J.; Shirvan, J.; Hutten, S.J.; Rotunno, M.S.; Viel, C.; et al. Glucosylceramide in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with GBA-associated and idiopathic Parkinson’s disease enrolled in PPMI. *NPJ Park. Dis.* 2021, 7, 529. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Fernández-Irigoyen, J.; Cartas-Cejudo, P.; Iruarrizaga-Lejarreta, M.; Santamaria, E. Alteration in the Cerebrospinal Fluid Lipidome in Parkinson’s Disease: A Post-Mortem Pilot Study. *Biomedicines* 2021, 9, 491. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Hadaczek, P.; Wu, G.; Sharma, N.; Ciesielska, A.; Bankiewicz, K.; Davidow, A.; Lu, Z.-H.; Forsayeth, J.; Ledeen, R.W. GDNF signaling implemented by GM1 ganglioside; failure in Parkinson’s disease and GM1-deficient murine model. *Exp. Neurol.* 2015, 263, 177–189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Wu, G.; Lu, Z.H.; Kulkarni, N.; Ledeen, R.W. Deficiency of ganglioside GM1 correlates with Parkinson’s disease in mice and humans. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 2012, 90, 1997–2008. [CrossRef]

59. Rocha, E.M.; Smith, G.A.; Park, E.; Cao, H.; Brown, E.; Hallett, P.; Isacson, O. Progressive decline of glucocerebrosidase in aging and Parkinson’s disease. *Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol.* 2015, 2, 433–438. [CrossRef]

60. Van Dijk, K.D.; Persichetti, E.; Chiasserini, D.; Persichetti, E.; Tambasco, N.; El-Agnaf, O.; Calabresi, P. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in Parkinson’s disease. *NPJ Parkinson’s Dis.* 2017, 3, 1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Parnetti, L.; Paciotti, S.; Eusebi, P.; Dardis, A.; Zampieri, S.; Chiasserini, D.; Tasegian, A.; Tambasco, N.; Bembi, B.; et al. Lower glucocerebrosidase activity in monocytes from patients with Parkinson’s disease. *NPJ Parkinson’s Dis.* 2019, 5, 14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

62. Atashrazm, F.; Hammond, D.; Perera, G.; Dobson-Stone, C.; Mueller, N.; Pickford, R.; Kim, W.S.; Kwok, J.B.; Lewis, S.; Halliday, G.M.; et al. Reduced glucocerebrosidase activity in monocytes from patients with Parkinson’s disease. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, 8, 15446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Thomas, R.; Moloney, E.B.; Macbain, Z.K.; Hallett, P.; Isacson, O. Fibroblasts from idiopathic Parkinson’s disease exhibit deficiency of lysosomal glucocerebrosidase activity associated with reduced levels of the trafficking receptor LIMP2. *Mol. Brain* 2021, 14, 16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Brady, R.O.; Kanfer, J.N.; Bradley, R.M.; Shapiro, D. Demonstration of a deficiency of glucocerebrosidase-cleaving enzyme in Gaucher’s disease. *J. Clin. Invest.* 1966, 45, 1112–1115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Do, J.; McKinney, C.; Sharma, P.; Sidransky, E. Glucocerebrosidase and its relevance to Parkinson disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2015, 30, 1112–1115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Stenson, P.D.; Ball, E.V.; Mort, M.; Phillips, A.D.; Shiel, J.A.; Thomas, N.S.; Abeyesinghe, S.; Krawczak, M.; Cooper, D.N. Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD): 2003 update. *Hum. Mutat.* 2003, 21, 577–581. [CrossRef]

67. Caminiti, S.P.; Carli, G.; Avenali, M.; Blandini, F.; Perani, D. Clinical and Dopamine Transporter Imaging Trajectories in a Cohort of Parkinson’s Disease Patients with GBA Mutations. *Mov. Disord.* 2021, 37, 106–118. [CrossRef]

68. O’Regan, G.; Desouza, R.-M.; Balestrino, R.; Schapira, A.H. Glucocerebrosidase Mutations in Parkinson’s Disease. *J. Park. Dis.* 2017, 7, 411–422. [CrossRef]

69. Mallett, V.; Ross, J.P.; Alcalay, R.N.; Ambalavanan, A.; Sidransky, E.; Dion, P.A.; Rouleau, G.A.; Gan-Or, Z. GBA p.T369M substitution in Parkinson disease: Polymorphism or association? A meta-analysis. *Neurol. Genet.* 2016, 2, e104. [CrossRef]

70. Duran, R.; Menacaci, N.E.; Angeli, A.V.; Shoai, M.; Deas, E.; Houlden, H.; Mehta, A.; Hughes, D.; Cox, T.M.; Deegan, P.; et al. The glucocerebrosidase E326K variant predisposes to Parkinson’s disease, but does not cause Gaucher’s disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2012, 28, 232–236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Alcalay, R.N.; Dinur, T.; Quinn, T.; Sakanaka, K.; Levy, O.; Waters, C.; Fahn, S.; Dorovski, T.; Chung, W.K.; Pauciulo, M.; et al. Comparison of Parkinson Risk in Ashkenazi Jewish Patients With Gaucher Disease and GBA Heterozygotes. *JAMA Neurol.* 2014, 71, 752–757. [CrossRef]

72. Murphy, K.E.; Gysbers, A.M.; Abbott, S.K.; Tayebi, N.; Kim, W.S.; Sidransky, E.; Cooper, A.; Garner, B.; Halliday, G.M. Lower glucocerebrosidase activity is associated with increased α-synuclein in sporadic Parkinson’s disease. *Brain* 2014, 137, 834–848. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Parnetti, L.; Castrioto, A.; Chiasserini, D.; Persichetti, E.; Tambasco, N.; El-Agnaf, O.; Calabresi, P. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in Parkinson disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 2013, 9, 131–140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
74. Omer, N.; Giladi, N.; Gurevich, T.; Bar-Shira, A.; Gana-Weisz, M.; Glinka, T.; Goldstein, O.; Kestenbaum, M.; Cedarbaum, J.M.; Mabrouk, O.S.; et al. Glucocerebrosidase Activity is Not Associated with Parkinson’s Disease Risk or Severity. *Mov. Disord.* 2021, 37, 190–195. [CrossRef]

75. Ysselstein, D.; Young, T.J.; Nguyen, M.; Padmanabhan, S.; Hirst, W.D.; Dzamko, N.; Krainc, D. Evaluation of Strategies for Measuring Lysosomal Glucocerebrosidase Activity. *Mov. Disord.* 2021, 36, 2719–2730. [CrossRef]

76. Riboldi, G.M.; Di Fonzo, A.B. GBA, Gaucher Disease, and Parkinson’s Disease: From Genetic to Clinic to New Therapeutic Approaches. *Cells* 2019, 8, 364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Galvagnion, C.; Marlet, F.R.; Cerri, S.; Schapira, A.H.V.; Blandini, F.; Di Monte, D.A. Sphingolipid changes in Parkinson L444P GBA mutation fibroblasts promote α-synuclein aggregation. *Brain* 2022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. O'Brien, J.S.; Kishimoto, Y. Saposin proteins: Structure, function, and role in human lysosomal storage disorders. *FASEB J.* 1991, 5, 301–308. [CrossRef]

79. Milenkovic, I.; Blumenreich, S.; Futerman, A.H. GBA mutations, glucosylceramide and Parkinson’s disease. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 2021, 72, 148–154. [CrossRef]

80. Van Echten-Deckert, G.; Alam, S. Sphingolipid metabolism—An ambiguous regulator of autophagy in the brain. *Biol. Chem.* 2018, 399, 837–850. [CrossRef]

81. Schneider, J.S.; Aras, R.; Williams, C.K.; Koprich, J.B.; Brotchie, J.M.; Singh, V. GM1 Ganglioside Modifies α-Synuclein Toxicity and is Neuroprotective in a Rat α-Synuclein Model of Parkinson’s Disease. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 8362. [CrossRef]

82. Martinez, Z.; Zhu, M.; Han, A.S.; Fink, A.L. GM1 Specifically Interacts with α-Synuclein and Inhibits Fibrillation. *Biochemistry* 2007, 46, 1868–1877. [CrossRef]

83. Miyagi, T.; Wada, T.; Iwamatsu, A.; Hata, K.; Yoshikawa, Y.; Tokuyama, S.; Sawada, M. Molecular Cloning and Characterization of a Plasma Membrane-associated Sialidase Specific for Gangliosides. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1999, 274, 5004–5011. [CrossRef]

84. Xing, Y.; Tang, Y.; Zhao, L.; Wang, Q.; Qin, W.; Ji, X.; Zhang, J.; Jia, J. Associations between plasma ceramides and cognitive and neuropsychiatric manifestations in Parkinson’s disease dementia. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 2016, 370, 82–87. [CrossRef]

85. Heinzel, S.; Berg, D.; Gasser, T.; Chen, H.; Yao, C.; Postuma, R.B.; Disease, M.T.F. Update of the MDS research criteria for prodromal Parkinson’s disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2019, 34, 1464–1470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

86. Postuma, R.B.; Iranzo, A.; Hu, M.; Högl, B.; Boeve, B.F.; Manni, R.; Oertel, W.H.; Arnulf, I.; Ferini-Strambi, L.; Puligeddu, M.; et al. Risk and predictors of dementia and parkinsonism in idiopathic REM sleep behaviour disorder: A multicentre study. *Brain* 2019, 142, 744–759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

87. Ogawa, T.; Matson, W.R.; Beal, M.F.; Myers, R.H.; Bird, E.D.; Milbury, P.; Saso, S. Kynurenine pathway abnormalities in Parkinson’s disease. *Neurology* 1992, 42, 1702. [CrossRef]

88. LeWitt, P.A.; Li, J.; Lu, M.; Beach, T.G.; Adler, C.H.; Guo, L.; Arizona Parkinson’s Disease Consortium. 3-hydroxykynurenine and other Parkinson’s disease biomarkers discovered by metabolomic analysis. *Mov. Disord.* 2013, 28, 1653–1660. [CrossRef]

89. Chung, C.-C.; Chan, L.; Chen, J.-H.; Bamodu, O.A.; Hong, C.-T. Neurofilament light chain level in plasma extracellular vesicles and Parkinson’s disease. *Ther. Adv. Neurol. Disord.* 2020, 13, 1756286420975917. [CrossRef]
97. Rotunno, M.S.; Lane, M.; Zhang, W.; Wolf, P.; Oliva, P.; Viel, C.; Wills, A.-M.; Alcalay, R.N.; Scherzer, C.R.; Shihabuddin, L.S.; et al. Cerebrospinal fluid proteomics implicates the granin family in Parkinson’s disease. Sci. Rep. 2020, 10, 2479. [CrossRef]

98. Wang, S.-Y.; Chen, W.; Xu, W.; Li, J.-Q.; Hou, X.-H.; Ou, Y.-N.; Yu, J.-T.; Tan, L. Neurofilament Light Chain in Cerebrospinal Fluid and Blood as a Biomarker for Neurodegenerative Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J. Alzheimer’s Dis. 2019, 72, 1353–1361. [CrossRef]