An exploratory factor analysis of government construction procurement problems
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Abstract. This study aims to apply Exploratory Factor Analysis in government construction procurement problems. The questionnaire used in this study to collect data with is the Cronbach’s Alpha Coefficient equal to 0.986. The data was collected through Web Survey and 353 participants completed the questionnaires. The data was analysed with the use of percentages, mean, standard deviation, and Exploratory Factor Analysis. When ranking the effect of each component on government construction procurement problems, it was found that the most influential component is procurement process problems, followed by internal and external influence problems, and project management and technical problems, respectively. The top 3 detailed problems on government construction procurement were: 1) Problems arising from fix announcement period, 2) Restrictions according to the regulations that all agencies need to send the announcement details by the approval date of procurement, and 3) Problems arising from fix announcement periods, especially associated to mandatory plans.

1 Introduction

Government procurement is very important to a country’s development because the government must spend the budget for materials to provide public goods such as education, security, facilities, basic infrastructure, safety, etc. It is argued that government procurement is essential to the delivery public services and the operation of government agencies [1]. Therefore, the governments spend budget, is limited solely by the effectiveness of the procurement analysis. However, effective procurement does not necessarily mean buying at the lowest price. Instead, the procurement depends primarily on the objective to help develop industry and technology in the country [2].

In many countries, procurement has developed several aspects rapidly to support the growth of domestic and global economy. Procurement is important as it aids basic and supporting factors of public and private sectors. As a result, the public procurement must adapt and develop rapidly to increase its flexibility. Due to the changes in missions among public sector, private sector, and civil society, the bureaucracy system becomes smaller, but more flexible and effective which makes the public and private processes quicker, more transparent, and more efficient [3]. Thus, government can strengthen the private sector so that both sectors can develop the country, and achieve sustainable growth [4]. Therefore, in the era of technology which plays a main role in every organization, both public and private sectors need to rely on information technology because ample, and rapid up-to-date information leads to more efficient work [5].

In each country, the expenditure related to government procurement accounts for 10-15% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Moreover, it is reported that the budget for construction projects is about 2,000 million dollars per year [6]. However, nowadays, the government procurement still cannot meet nor solve effectively the needs of stakeholders. It can be seen from the research and media as a reflection of several procurement problems such as corruption within the government construction procurement projects, procurement cost due to higher than actual cost, the cronism resulting in poor quality of work, additional expenses or budget losses, and/or poor overpriced materials [5],[7-8].

Therefore, this study aims to examine the components of government construction procurement problems. The public organizations were selected to be samples and investigated using Exploratory Factor Analysis. Moreover, the relationship model was developed by using statistical software to analyse these factors.

2 Government Procurement Problems

From the literature reviewed, there were 4 main government procurement problems. The first problem is caused by government officials including both unintended and intended offenses acted by the officials seeking illegal benefits [9]. The second problem arises...
from poor planning or not having a plan, unclear work specification details which were not defined in advance nor during the procurement process [10-13] identified the causes of the procurement problems such as execution plan, procurement, tender, contract audit and compliance. The third problem arises from complex rules, regulations, and official letters. The last problem is caused by the corruptions. Based on Tanayut C., Narong L., Preenithi A. & Patrick M. (2017) [14], it was found that some government officials were purposely dishonest in seeking benefits from the procurement process, which included violating, distorting, or avoiding regulations and/or laws [15].

3 Methodology

This section presents the methodology used in this study as follows.

3.1 Population and Sample

The population in this study consisted of 3,025 government construction procurement projects, observed from January 2014 to January 2015. 353 projects were selected to be samples by adopting the sampling method introduced by Yamane at the significant level of 95%. The sample size was defined by considering the basic requirements of Factor Analysis which the acceptable sample size is not less than 300 [16]. Moreover, the samples were randomly selected by adopting Systematic Random Sampling approach [21].

3.2 Instrumentation and Validity Test

To create and validate the questionnaire, the researcher defined 45 questions from the review of literature and relevant research. The questionnaire was designed as Likert scale consisting 5 levels which are 5-Strongly agree, 4-Agree, 3-Undecided, 2-Disagree, and 1-Strongly disagree, respectively. After that, 5 experts considered the content validity of the questionnaire. According to the experts, only 40 questions were passed, the criteria since the mean scores were between 0.50 to 1.00. After that, the researcher improved the questionnaire and sampled out 30 participants who were not the selected as part of the test model. To test the accuracy of the questionnaire, Cronbach’s Alpha Coefficient (α) of the questionnaire was determined. Consequently, the coefficient (α) was 0.986 indicating that the questionnaire was highly reliable.

3.3 Data Collection

The researcher collected the data through Web Survey [21] and cooperated with The Comptroller General’s Department to disseminate the questionnaires on the website (www.gprocurement.go.th), and sent letters to government agencies selected as samples to participate in the online survey between April to May 2016. As a result, 353 participants completed and returned the questionnaires accounting for 100% response rate. After the results from the survey were analyzed using statistical technique.

3.4 Data Analysis

SPSS from Microsoft Windows, a statistical software application was used for statistical analysis. The analysis was divided into three levels Univariate analysis, Bivariate analysis, and Multivariate analysis. Univariate analysis consists of percentage, mean, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis to examine the distribution of the government construction procurement problems, and to present the general characteristics of the samples. Bivariate analysis consists of correlation and Pearson Product Moment to examine correlation coefficient between the variables by conducting Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity. It was found that the matrix was not an identity matrix which indicated the results could be used for further analysis, considering the variables with coefficient 0.3-0.9 [16]. Lastly, Multivariate analysis was conducted with the use of Exploratory Factor Analysis. The Principal Component Factor was selected to be use with Orthogonal rotation and Varimax method. Factor loadings higher than 0.40 were focused and labelled [16].

4 Results

This section presents the methodology used in this study as follows.

4.1 General Information of the Respondents

As presented in Table 1, most of the respondents were male (54.4%), aged between 41-50 years (33.7%), and have a Bachelor degree (63.7%). Furthermore, most of the participants are the head of supplies or supplies officer (46.7%), followed by procurement auditor (22.9%). Most of the respondents have experienced in the procurement of construction projects for 9.5 years on average, with 7.6 standard deviation. Moreover, most of the projects belong to local government (26.5%), followed by general government (34.6%). 38% of the projects are in the central part of Thailand. It is also found that the special procurement is adopted most (34.6%), followed by tender (25.8%), and e-bidding (24.4%), respectively. Most of the projects are building construction (35.1%), followed by road construction (34%).

4.2 Results of Exploratory Factor Analysis

According to the results, it was found that KOM (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy) is equal to 0.971 which is very close to 1. This indicates that the data are suitable for Factor Analysis. From Bartlett’s test of Sphericity, if found that Chi-square is equal to 15249.527 with p-value less than 0.01 meaning that the correlation matrix is not an identity matrix. Therefore, it can be concluded that all 38 variables are correlated to each other and suitable for Factor Analysis (Table 1).
Table 1. Validity test of correlation matrix between variables.

| Variable | KMO | Bartlett’s Test |
|----------|-----|-----------------|
|          | Ideal Value | Actual Value | Ideal Value | Actual Value |
| 40 variables | > 0.50 | 0.971 | p-value < 0.05 | < 0.01 |

Table 2. The number of components, Eigenvalues, percent and cumulative percent of Eigenvalues of government construction procurement problems.

| Component No. | No. of Items | Eigenvalues | % | Cumulative % |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---|--------------|
| 1             | 13           | 22.340      | 65.704 | 65.706 |
| 2             | 14           | 2.298       | 6.758  | 72.464 |
| 3             | 9            | 1.112       | 3.269  | 75.733 |

Table 3. Component 1: Procurement process problems.

| Variable | Text | Factor Loading |
|----------|------|----------------|
| PP1      | Officers lack the skills to draft TORs and contracts. | 0.736 |
| PP2      | Officers lack knowledge of the supplies and other regulations related to procurement. | 0.764 |
| PP3      | Some officers are worried that the information will leak. | 0.707 |
| PP4      | There are no clear criteria for defining performance measures. | 0.707 |
| PP5      | Officers lack precision and are afraid of rules and regulations. | 0.749 |
| PP6      | Problems arising from fix announcement periods, especially associated to mandatory plans. | 0.787 |
| PP7      | Problems arising from fix announcement period. | 0.802 |

Table 3. (cont.)

| Variable | Text | Factor Loading |
|----------|------|----------------|
| PP8      | Restrictions according to the regulations that all agencies need to send the announcement details by the approval date of procurement. | 0.794 |
| PP9      | Problems arising from unclear clarification. | 0.735 |
| PP10     | Problems arising from inadequate time for pricing. | 0.669 |
| PP11     | Problems arising from defining the mid-price, incomplete pricing, no allowance for loss, and inappropriate mid-price. | 0.623 |
| PP13     | Problems arising from referring to the mid-price defined by the Comptroller General’s Department in which the price material is not updated. | 0.548 |
| PP15     | Lack of accurate procurement planning | 0.568 |
| 13 factors | Eigenvalue | 22.340 |
|          | Percent of variance | 65.706 |

Table 4. Component 2: Internal and External Influence Problems

| Variable | Text | Factor Loading |
|----------|------|----------------|
| PP26     | Approved construction budget is not enough. | 0.515 |
| PP27     | Changing executive affects, changes in utility and work progress. | 0.617 |
| PP28     | Problems arising from complex workflows. | 0.518 |
| PP29     | Officers have negative attitude toward their colleagues. Problems from different opinions and conflicts within the workplace. | 0.615 |
| PP30     | Problems arising from political impact. | 0.735 |
| PP31     | Problems arising from external audits such as audit agencies and NGOs. | 0.672 |
| PP32     | Problems arising from contractors not participating in biding or leaving their jobs. | 0.666 |
| PP33     | Problems arising from bidders’ complaints in procurement such as unclear announcement. | 0.816 |
| PP34     | Problems arising from community complaints. | 0.875 |
| PP35     | Problems arising from political and social problems such as protest. | 0.887 |
| PP36     | Economic problems such as rising material prices. | 0.715 |
| PP37     | Problems from poor contractors who bid lower than what the mid-price actually is. | 0.646 |
| 14 factors | Eigenvalue | 2.298 |
|          | Percent of variance | 6.758 |
Table 5. Component 2: Internal and External Influence Problems

| Variable | Text                                      | Factor Loading |
|----------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|
| PP16     | Problems arising from delayed work.       | 0.679          |
| PP17     | Problems arising from insufficient number of procurement officers. | 0.664          |
| PP18     | Problems arising from officers’ potential. | 0.717          |
| PP19     | Problems arising from working with several difficult functions. | 0.721          |
| PP20     | Problems arising from coordinated errors.  | 0.689          |
| PP21     | Problems of mismatch between construction models, and incomplete pricing. | 0.633          |
| PP23     | Problems arising from time conflicts.     | 0.555          |
| PP24     | Problems arising from poor management.    | 0.629          |
| PP25     | Problems arising from different working quality on each function. | 0.666          |

| 9 factors | Eigenvalue | Percent of variance |
|-----------|------------|---------------------|
|           | 1.112      | 3.269               |

These components of problems are in consistent with the government construction procurement problems proposed by Dzuke & Naude [1] stating that the components are procurement planning, bidding, and contract audit and compliance. Also, the concept of the procurement problem is in consistent with Gardenal [12] stating that the components of procurement problems include supply planning and procurement process.

5 Conclusions

According to the factor analysis, there are 3 components affecting government construction procurement projects as presented in Figure 1. When ranking the effect of each component on government construction procurement problem, it is found that the most influential component is procurement process problems accounting for 65.704% in explaining the problem with 13 observed variables. Internal and external influence problems is the second influential component accounting for 6.758% in explaining the problem with 14 observed variables. Lastly, the third influential component is project management and technical problems accounting for 3.269% in explaining the problem with 9 observed variables.
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