Intraoperative Tumor Localization of Early Gastric Cancers
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ABSTRACT

Recently, endoscopic screening systems have enabled the diagnosis of gastric cancer in the early stages. Early gastric cancer (EGC) is typically characterized by a shallow invasion depth and small size, which can hinder localization of EGC tumors during laparoscopic surgery. Here, we review nine recently reported tumor localization methods for the laparoscopic resection of EGCs. Preoperative dye or blood tattooing has the disadvantage of spreading. Preoperative 3-dimensional computed tomography reconstruction is not performed in real time during laparoscopic gastrectomy. Thus, they are considered to have a low accuracy. Intraoperative portable abdominal radiography and intraoperative laparoscopic ultrasonography methods can provide real-time feedback, but these methods require expertise, and it can be difficult to define the clips in some gastric regions. Despite a few limitations, intraoperative gastrofibroscopy provides real-time feedback with high accuracy. The detection system using an endoscopic magnetic marking clip, fluorescent clip, and radio-frequency identification detection system clip is considered highly accurate and provides real-time feedback; we expect a commercial version of this setup to be available in the near future. However, there is not yet an easy method for accurate real-time detection. We hope that improved devices will soon be developed and used in clinical settings.
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INTRODUCTION

The common types of malignancy in human hollow viscera are stomach and colon cancers. According to recently reported data, stomach and colon cancers are the fifth and third most common malignancies worldwide, and stomach cancer is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths [1]. Due to their high incidence and mortality rates, extensive efforts have been focused on the treatment of cancers of the hollow viscera [2-5]. One of the most effective ways to treat malignancy is to diagnose the patient as soon as possible before the cancer progresses. The diagnosis of cancer at an early stage can improve treatment effectiveness and prognosis; thus, screening systems for the early detection of cancer are of particular interest [6-11]. With respect to the global incidence of cancer, regional discrepancies in gastric cancer are apparent [12-14]. In East Asia, the incidence of stomach cancer is particularly high. The
recent implementation of gastroscopy screening systems in this region has increased the proportion of patients diagnosed with early gastric cancer (EGC) among all patients with gastric cancer [15-18]. EGC is defined as a new growth limited to mucous membranes, regardless of local lymph node metastasis [19].

The thickness of the stomach wall depends on the characteristics of the individual (e.g., race, species) and the longitudinal location from the high body to the antrum, which is approximately 0.3 to 1.0 cm. It is impossible to detect EGC by gross analysis of the serosa layer during surgery with or without tactile sensing. Therefore, endoscopic clipping with a hemoclip is the most popular method for preoperatively marking the location of the tumor through an endoscope before surgery. In the case of open surgery, the EGC tumor can be located during surgery by palpating the premarked endoscopic clip or opening the stomach region, which is expected to be the area of gastrectomy. However, most surgeries for EGC are considered minimally invasive surgery (MIS), particularly laparoscopic surgery [15,20,21].

Laparoscopic gastrectomy is one of the best surgical treatments for EGC due to its various benefits, such as reduced complications and superior postoperative recovery [22-24]. Therefore, the laparoscopic approach for gastrectomy can be considered the first option for the treatment of EGC, except when endoscopic resection is necessary [25,26]. However, it is not possible to directly touch the premarked endoscopic clip during total laparoscopic gastrectomy, wherein surgical resection and reconstruction are performed using a laparoscopic procedure. Additionally, it is impossible to detect an EGC tumor by laparoscopy with the naked eye, and thus, the direct identification of an EGC tumor’s position is difficult during total laparoscopic gastrectomy.

To our knowledge, there is no method for detecting EGC tumors that are both delicate and useful in real time during laparoscopic surgery. However, various detection methods have been applied to patients during laparoscopic surgery, which are reviewed in the current article.

**METHODS FOR LOCALIZATION OF EGC TUMORS DURING LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY**

**Preoperative endoscopic dye tattooing (dye-tattoo)**

Tattooing with dye before surgery using an endoscope was the first method used for localization and is still commonly used for colon and pancreatic surgery [27]. The dyes currently used are methylene blue, indigo carmine, toluidine blue, isosulfan blue, hematoxylin, eosin, indocyanine green (ICG), and India ink [28]. Considering the nature of laparoscopic surgery, if endoscopy is performed during or immediately before surgery, gas is generated in the intestine; consequently, the intestine will hardly be dilated, which can interfere with the visual field during laparoscopic surgery. Therefore, tattooing is usually performed the day before surgery. Among the eight types of dyes, India ink and ICG are the only dyes that are not absorbed and last more than 24 hours after injection [29] (Fig. 1A).

India ink was first used in the form of carbon particles that did not disappear easily. However, India ink can cause severe inflammation and necrosis, such as fat necrosis, inflammatory pseudotumors, abscesses, focal peritonitis, and phlegmonous gastritis [30-32]. These effects are the result of inflammation caused by India ink colloidal suspensions of carbon particles and ethylene glycol, phenol, and shellac, which are used as diluents and stabilizing surfactants, and
gelatin, which is an animal product. A recent report indicated that when diluted 1:100, India ink rarely causes inflammation for several months [33]. Sterile materials have recently been developed, such as SPOT® (GI Supply, Camp Hill, PA, USA), a dye for endoscopic tattooing similar to India ink [27]. SPOT® is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and consists of water, glycerol, polysorbate 80, benzyl alcohol, simethicone, and high-purity carbon black; it does not contain phenol or shellacs. A sterile carbon particle suspension (Black Eye®, The Standard Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) has also been developed [34]. These materials can be used safely for tattooing and cause less inflammation than India ink; however, there are no recent reports on their use in laparoscopic gastrectomy surgery.

The ICG remains in the tattoo location for 12 hours or more; however, it later spreads to the lymphatic vessels around the gastric tissue, hindering accurate localization of the tumor. ICG can be visualized only if injected during or immediately before surgery [35,36]. The advantage of this method is that the dye can be visualized directly with the naked eye during laparoscopic surgery; it is also a relatively simple and clinically useful technique. However, the disadvantage is that additional intraoperative endoscopy instruments and endoscopists are required during surgery. Furthermore, the spreading and disappearance of the dye can reduce detection accuracy. If the EGC is located at the posterior wall of the stomach, the location of the dye will be difficult to determine. Since vascularity and lymphatic drainage can be detected with ICG, recent studies have used ICG to confirm blood circulation at the anastomosis site and sentinel lymph node status during surgery [37-40]. Recently, an ICG dye fluorescence marking system for intraoperative localization of EGC tumors during laparoscopic distal gastrectomy was described [37]. However, dye spreading and weak dye uptake remain as limitations that can cause inaccurate resection margins, even when a fluorescence system is applied.

**Preoperative endoscopic autologous blood tattooing (blood-tattoo)**

Endoscopic autologous blood tattooing involves injecting the patient’s own blood into the muscle layer at the 3–4 cm proximal margin of the gastric cancer lesion; this is done using an endoscope the day before surgery [41]. This method has been previously used for localization...
during laparoscopic colon resection [42,43]. When injected with an endoscope, the muscle layer is injected as close to the subserosa as much as possible. The closer the blood injection is to the gastric serosa, the better the gross visualization of the blood. Approximately 2–3 mL of blood prepared without heparin was injected (Fig. 1B). To facilitate detection, injections can be performed at two or three sites. The advantage of this method is that, like dye, the injected blood can be viewed immediately and grossly with a laparoscope, without the need for additional instruments and procedures during surgery. However, compared to dye, blood may spread less due to its viscosity. Since the patient’s own blood is used, adverse reactions, such as allergic reactions and inflammation, are rare, and complications are mild even if spillage in the peritoneum occurs.

The disadvantages of endoscopic autologous blood tattooing are that it is difficult to find the exact location near the lesser omentum and lymphovascular bundle when the tumor is located in the lesser curvature, and endoscopy is required before surgery. For colon cancers, the removal range is wider than that of gastric cancers; therefore, surgeons only need to know the approximate location. However, in the case of gastric cancer, especially EGC, if the lesions are located in the mid to high body (e.g., an accurate margin is necessary to determine whether subtotal gastrectomy or total gastrectomy should be performed), there is a high possibility of error in estimating the location. A previous study suggested that blood injections at more than two sites can be helpful for more accurate resection, in order to provide guidance for careful gastrectomy; however, in general, detailed manipulation appears to be difficult with this method. Additionally, when used in cancer patients, it is necessary to confirm oncologic safety, that is, whether autologous blood injection can promote cancer progression.

Intraoperative portable abdominal radiography (intraop-X-ray)

The intraoperative portable abdominal radiography method involves endoscopic application of two or more endoscopic clips around the EGC tumor preoperatively, applying a surgical metal clip to the lesser and greater curvatures above the suspected position during laparoscopic surgery, and using a portable X-ray device to determine the distance between the endoscopic clips and the surgical metal clip (Fig. 1C) [44,45]. The resection line is measured as the distance (white dotted line) between the endoscopic clip (black triangle) and surgical metal clip line (black line, Fig. 1C).

A previous retrospective study reported the safe performance of the procedure in 80 patients with gastric cancer [44]. The advantage of this method is that it is intuitive and easy to apply. The disadvantage is that endoscopic clipping is required before surgery, and to perform X-ray imaging without contaminating the operating room during surgery, an operative bed that transmits X-rays is required. Moreover, additional experts are required to acquire portable X-rays, and the procedure is time-consuming. Furthermore, it is not a real-time detection method but an indirect measurement method, and although unlikely, there is an additional risk of radiation exposure for the patients and surgeons.

Intraoperative laparoscopic ultrasonography (lapa-US)

Intraoperative laparoscopic ultrasonography uses a special ultrasound instrument to confirm the location of the tumor or clip during laparoscopic surgery. Two case reports of localization using laparoscopic ultrasonography for gastric submucosal tumors have been reported (Fig. 1D) [46]. Laparoscopic ultrasonography is a technique used to confirm the suspected position during surgery if it is an endophytic mass that is difficult to visualize with laparoscopy. Laparoscopic ultrasonography is used in laparoscopic liver surgery; therefore, it is a good
option if it is performed in the operating room. However, gastric cancer, especially EGC, does not form masses, making it difficult to identify the tumor itself. Therefore, in most gastric cancer surgeries, two or more endoscopic clips are clipped 1–2 cm above the tumor and then located intraoperatively by laparoscopic ultrasonography. However, unless the surgeon has extensive experience with laparoscopic ultrasonography, it will be difficult to locate the endoscopic clip, which is small, during surgery. In particular, if the tumor is located on the posterior wall of the stomach, it may be difficult to detect due to intragastric gasses.

**Preoperative 3-dimensional computed tomography (3D-CT) reconstruction measurements**

For computed tomography (CT) reconstruction measurements, a specialist applies an endoscopic clip at the proximal site of the gastric tumor before surgery, performs CT gastroscopy, and measures the distance from the clip to the pylorus or gastroesophageal junction following a 3D reconstruction (Fig. 1E) [47]. Preoperative 3D-CT has become common in recent years and is not a difficult method to perform. However, endoscopic clipping should be performed before CT examination. Unfortunately, ECGs are too small to be detected by CT; therefore, the distance is measured from the clip site instead of the gastric tumor location. For example, it is possible to estimate the location within centimeters of the pylorus during surgery. The advantages are that the gastric tumor location can be predicted by measuring the distance or location in advance before surgery, and no additional examination other than 3D-CT is required. However, endoscopic clipping before the CT scan and cooperation with a radiology specialist for 3D reconstruction are required. In addition, it is difficult to select an accurate location because it is measured in two dimensions during surgery, without real-time feedback.

**Intraoperative gastrofibroscopy (IOG)**

During laparoscopic gastrectomy, tumor localization can be performed by injecting dye with an endoscope (Fig. 1F) [35,36] or by pressing the suspected site with a laparoscopic device or endoscopic needle (Fig. 1F) [48,49]. In most cases, preoperative endoscopy is performed, and an endoscopic clip is applied 1–2 cm proximal to the EGC tumor. Endoscopic clip preparation is performed because the EGC tumor itself may be difficult to find via intraoperative endoscopy. When the stomach is gripped during surgery, it is difficult to distinguish between mucosal injury and small EGC tumors. Surgical field endoscopy is performed at the beginning of surgery when performed by an endoscopic specialist. After the surgeon creates a pneumoperitoneum after anesthesia, the first specialist holds the intestinal clamp directly under the ligament of Treitz to prevent air from entering the small intestine. Next, the endoscopic procedure is performed; the dye is injected via the endoscope, or the endoscopic specialist checks the area that was pressed by the operator using laparoscopic forceps. If the tumor is located on the posterior wall of the stomach, it is better to dissect the greater omentum in advance for an accurate visual field observation. If the surgeon performs an endoscopic procedure during surgery, considering a lack of manpower, it is more effective to insert an endoscope after dissection of the perigastric lymph nodes and greater curvature omentum than immediately after anesthesia, in order to create a convenient visual field. Additionally, in this situation, the surgeon checks the site by dye injection or presses the mucosal site with laparoscopic forceps to confirm the location of the tumor.

The advantage of intraoperative endoscopy is that it can be visualized directly and in real time during surgery; therefore, it is very useful for malignant small gastric tumors. Additionally, after anastomosis, additional endoscopy can be performed immediately. Fatal complications
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can be reduced by checking the area of bleeding and leakage at the anastomosis site during surgery and performing endoscopic or laparoscopic hemostasis, additional suture, and re-anastomosis, if necessary [50]. In recent years, laparoscopic and endoscopic cooperative surgery (LECS), which combines laparoscopic gastric resection with an endoscopic procedure for the local treatment of gastric tumors, has been reported as an emerging modality [51].

The disadvantage of IOG is that expensive endoscopy equipment is required. Furthermore, for endoscopic procedures, an endoscopic specialist or surgeon training is required. During laparoscopic surgery, the location of the tumor in the mucosal layer can be detected with an endoscope while air insufflation is performed in the stomach, and the serosal site should be marked by laparoscopy. When the tumor is located at the lesser curvature or posterior wall of the stomach, visual limitation of the detection field may occur if air fills the stomach while checking all circumferential sites of the stomach by laparoscopy.

**Magnetic marking clip detection system (magnet-clip)**

A magnetic marking clip is a specially manufactured clip that contains a magnet. The clip is inserted before surgery via preoperative endoscopy and located using a magnetic marking clip detection system (MMCDS) probe during surgery ([Fig. 1G]) [52]. It was reported that the lesions in 15 patients had an average error of approximately 5.7 mm and a maximum error of approximately 10.1 mm. These results were similar to those obtained using laparoscopic ultrasonography. However, compared with ultrasonography, an advantage of MMCDS is that the clip can be detected easily with only information on the magnetic flux density display units, without any training or learning curves. Among the disadvantages of MMCDS, it is not possible to check the location more precisely than with intraoperative endoscopy, and a novel MMCDS instrument is required. Moreover, no approval or commercialization of the MMCDS has been reported.

**Fluorescence detection system**

Fluorescence imaging with ICG has been commonly used to detect lymphatic drainage or blood circulation [37]. When fluorescence was used for localization of the tumor, ICG dye injection with fluorescence detection had limitations in dye spreading and weak uptake [53]. To minimize the disadvantage of dye injection at the wall of the hollow viscera, a preoperative endoscopic fluorescent clip (fluor-clip) detection method was developed. In this procedure, a 650-nm diode laser and a digital CCD camera were used during surgery after applying an endoscopic fluorescent clip near the tumor before surgery ([Fig. 1H]) [54]. Effective localization of lesions in the colon and stomach of experimental animals has been reported. An advantage is that if only fluorescent clipping is performed before surgery, laparoscopy can be performed in real time during surgery. However, if the EGC tumor is located in a thick wall in the stomach, the detection accuracy may decrease if the transmittance of fluorescence is decreased or if the signal is observed to have spread. Additionally, an additional expensive fluorescence laparoscopy detection instrument is required, and only animal experimental results have been reported to date.

**Radio-frequency identification detection system**

In radio-frequency identification (RFID) detection, a clip containing an RFID tag (RFID clip) is applied using an endoscope before surgery and is detected in real time during laparoscopic surgery with a novel detection system ([Fig. 1I]) [55-59]. In a previous *ex vivo* porcine study, the mean detection time was 28 seconds in the colon and 32 seconds in the stomach, and the median detection distance was 6 mm for the colon and 6.5 mm for the stomach. The advantages are that the price of the RFID clip is low, each RFID tag can be recognized by
attaching a unique number, and high detection accuracy is possible. However, this technique is still in the animal experimental stage and has not been commercialized or approved by the FDA. In addition, the purchase of a novel detection system is required.

**Comparison of localization methods**

**Preoperative preparation**

All nine methods require preoperative gastrofibroscopy or endoscopic clipping on the day prior to surgery (Table 1). Dye or blood injection is performed using a dye-tattoo or blood-tattoo during preoperative endoscopy. A 3D-CT is performed after the 3D-CT scan, and a 3D reconstruction program is used to calculate the distance between the pylorus and endoscopic clip or gastroesophageal junction and clip.

**Intraoperative procedure time**

Among the six methods that require localization during surgery, the procedure time of intraoperative portable abdominal radiography is relatively short, but verification requires additional time; however, recently, the digital images can checked immediately after X-ray examination. Lapa-US depends on the physician’s expertise, and it is helpful to have a radiologist for diagnosis. The IOG requires an endoscopist to perform endoscopy. Recent techniques using Magnet clips, Fluor-clips, and RFID clips have a short procedure time of several seconds to minutes, but no standard methods or protocols are available.

![Table 1. Summary of methods for localization of early gastric cancer tumors during laparoscopic surgery](https://jgc-online.org)

| Study              | Reporting years | Preoperative preparation | Detection time | Special equipment needed | Real-time feedback | Accuracy | Objects of research | Advantages                                      | Disadvantages                                      |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Dye Tattoo [27]    | 2012            | Endoscopic injection    | Short          | No                       | No                | Low      | Human               | Easy procedure and direct detection              | Spreading and instability of dye                  |
| Blood Tattoo [41]  | 2012            | Endoscopic injection    | Short          | No                       | No                | Low      | Human               | Direct detection                                  | Spreading of dye                                  |
| Intraop-Xray [44]  | 2011            | Endoscopic clipping     | Short          | Portable X-ray, radiolucent operative bed | Yes | Medium | Human               | Easy to conduct                                  | Indirect localization                              |
| Lapa-US [46]       | 2005            | Endoscopic clipping or no clipping | Long         | Laparoscopic ultrasonography | Yes | Low    | Human               | Direct detection                                  | Ultrasonography experience needed                 |
| 3D-CT [47]         | 2013            | Endoscopic clipping     | NA             | 3-Dimensional-CT reconstruction program | No | Low    | Human               | Help in predicting location                      | Indirect localization                              |
| IOE [35,48,50]     | 2005            | Endoscopic clipping or no clipping | Intermediate | Gastrofibroscopy in operation room | Yes | High   | Human               | Direct detection of postanastomotic complications | Need of endoscopic instrument and endoscopist     |
| Magnet-clip [52]   | 2007            | Endoscopic clipping     | Intermediate  | Magnetic marking clip detection system | Yes | Medium to High | Human | Direct detection and easy to interpret | Clinically unusable status                        |
| Fluor-clip [54]    | 2011            | Endoscopic clipping     | Short          | Endoscopic fluorescent clip detection system | Yes | Medium | Animal             | Direct detection                                 | Clinically unusable status and spreading property |
| RFID Clip [59]     | 2014            | Endoscopic clipping     | Short (mean of 31.5 seconds according to reference) | Radio-frequency identification detection system | Yes | High   | Animal             | Direct detection and easy to interpret           | Clinically unusable status                        |

Dye tattoo = preoperative endoscopic dye tattooing; blood tattoo = preoperative endoscopic autologous blood tattooing; 3D-CT = preoperative 3-dimensional computed tomography reconstruction measurement; Intraop-Xray = intraoperative portable abdominal radiography; Lapa-US = intraoperative laparoscopic ultrasonography; IOG = intraoperative gastrofibroscopy; Magnet-clip = magnetic marking clip detection system; Fluor-clip = endoscopic fluorescent clip detection system; RFID Clip = radio-frequency identification detection system; NA = not applicable.

https://jgc-online.org  https://doi.org/10.5230/jgc.2021.21.e4
Equipment
For methods involving dye, autologous blood is not needed, but other equipment such as an injection needles and dye are needed during the endoscopic procedure. Intraop-X-rays need to be performed on a radiolucent operating room bed to prevent contamination. The Lapa-US method requires laparoscopic ultrasound, and 3D-CT requires a 3D reconstruction program. For IOG, a gastrofibroscopy device is required in the operating room. Magnet clips, Fluor-clips, and RFID clips each require a detection system. Initially, it is better to start with a tattoo or intra-X-ray, which can be performed without additional equipment.

Real-time feedback and accuracy
The methods for dye-tattoos, blood-tattoos, and 3D-CT are considered to have a low accuracy because they do not provide real-time feedback, and these methods are recommended for use with cancers in the antrum or high-body area. Intraop-X-ray and Lapa-US can provide real-time feedback; however, these methods require expertise, and it is difficult to define the clips in some gastric areas. Thus, these methods are considered to have intermediate accuracy. We recommend that IOG, which provides real-time feedback with high accuracy, can be used for the localization of mid-body cancers. The Magnet-clip, Fluor-clip, and RFID Clip methods are considered highly accurate based on the availability of real-time feedback, and we expect commercialized equipment to be available soon.

SUMMARY
More than half of all gastric cancers in some East Asian countries are diagnosed with EGC, highlighting the importance of endoscopic screening in countries with a relatively high incidence of gastric cancer. Additionally, the implementation of endoscopic screening will increase the proportion of EGC among all stages of gastric cancer [15,16]. Laparoscopic surgery is currently performed for the surgical treatment of EGC. Tumor localization may not be necessary in some cases of laparoscopic surgery for gastric cancer; for example, if the EGC is located at a longitudinally lower site, such as the gastric angle or antrum, laparoscopic subtotal gastrectomy can be performed without localization. However, when EGC tumors are located in the longitudinally middle level of the stomach, tumor localization is required in most cases. The number of patients with EGC has gradually increased in some countries, and the number of patients with long-term survival and a very low probability of recurrence after surgery for EGC is increasing. Many attempts have been made to perform function-preserving gastrectomy in patients with a low probability of recurrence, in order to improve their postoperative quality of life. The types of function-preserving gastrectomy include pylorus-preserving gastrectomy, proximal gastrectomy, gastric wedge resection, segmental resection or endoscopic submucosal dissection, and endoscopic full-thickness resection with sentinel node navigation surgery [60-64]. In such minimal operations, the location of the EGC tumor and the extent of tumor removal should be accurately determined before surgery. In addition, since presurgical localization can reduce the operation time and operator fatigue, complications related to the operation can be minimized. Therefore, accurate tumor localization is essential during laparoscopic surgery.

Various methods for tumor localization during surgery have been reported. The historical stages in the development of instruments for accurate EGC localization during laparoscopic surgery are dye, tracer, magnetic metal, luminous fluorescence materials, and the RFID system, which are miniaturized products; devices using next-generation novel materials are
expected to be developed in the near future. However, there is still no easy way to accurately detect EGCs in real time. The development of a new device and its application to patients in the clinic requires considerable time, money, and effort. After development, the new device must be validated by relevant organizations and approved by government regulators, including the FDA. New methods and instruments are indispensable for MIS, and we hope that improved devices will soon be developed and used in clinical settings by surgeons.
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