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Abstract

The recent $F_2$ measurements at HERA are discussed in the framework of virtual photon–proton cross section. The energy behaviour of the cross section is studied for different $Q^2$ values, ranging from 0 to 1000 GeV$^2$ for center of mass energies $1.75 < W < 300$ GeV.

*Based on a talk given at the fifth Gentner Symposium on Physics, Dresden, 16–21 October, 1994
1 Introduction

The recent measurements of $F_2$ at HERA by the H1 [1] and ZEUS [2] collaborations open a new kinematic domain for the study of the structure function of the proton. The new HERA data showed a dramatic increase of $F_2$ with decreasing $x$. These measurements can be interpreted as virtual photon–proton cross sections. The $F_2$ data (for $Q^2 \neq 0$) can be converted into $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma^*p)$ as a function of $W$ using the relation:

$$\sigma_{tot}(\gamma^*p) = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha}{Q^4} (4m_p^2x^2 + Q^2) F_2(x, Q^2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

and using

$$W^2 = m_p^2 + Q^2(\frac{1}{x} - 1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The ZEUS collaboration showed that when their $F_2$ data are interpreted as total virtual photon–proton cross sections, $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma^*p)$ has a fast rise with $W$ in the range $50 < W < 280$ GeV for $8.5 < Q^2 < 125$ GeV$^2$. In this note a compilation of cross sections [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] is presented for a wider range of $Q^2$ and $W^2$ to study the behaviour of the total cross section as function of both variables.

2 Behaviour of $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma^*p)$ for $W^2 < 400$ GeV$^2$

Earlier studies of the behaviour of $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma^*p)$ with $W$ were limited to center of mass energies $W < 20$ GeV. In [3] we studied the data of the EMC collaboration [4] DIS $\mu^\pm$–p. It was shown that when the $\gamma^*p$ cross sections are plotted as a function of $W^2$, for fixed $Q^2$, they are strongly increasing with $W$ for high $Q^2$, while they have a much milder dependence on $W$ for low $Q^2$. The strong rise at high $Q^2$ can be associated with the threshold behaviour of a cross section corresponding to a virtual particle with $Q^2 > W^2$. Figure 1 is taken from [3] and shows the EMC data together with curves which are a fit to a Regge type energy behaviour to the data. The fitted expression also includes a threshold factor to explain the sharp rise with $W$ at higher $Q^2$ values.
3 Behaviour of $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma^*p)$ for $W^2 < 10^6$ GeV$^2$

Data are shown in figure 2 for $Q^2$ values starting from $Q^2=0$ (real photoproduction) up to $Q^2 = 1000$ GeV$^2$. The energy range is $3 < W^2 < 90000$ GeV$^2$, where the lower limit is chosen so as to be above the resonance region. In order not to overcrowd the picture, selected $Q^2$ regions are shown.

The following observations can be pointed out from the behaviour of the data. In the low $W$ region ($W^2 < 400$ GeV$^2$) one observes a slow decrease of the cross section with energy for the lower $Q^2$ region. As $Q^2$ increases, one starts to observe the threshold effects shown in figure 1 for cases where $Q^2 > W^2$. These cause the cross section to rise steeper as $Q^2$ increases.

In the higher $W$ range, there exist now the new total photoproduction cross section measurements for $Q^2 \approx 0$ by ZEUS [10] and by H1 [11] which show that the cross section has a slow increase with energy, in accord with expectations from Regge theory based models [12, 13]. There are no measurements in the low $Q^2$ range ($\sim 0.3-5$ GeV$^2$) at high $W$, so one cannot see if it behaves in the same way as the $Q^2 = 0$ photoproduction data. However as $Q^2$ increases ($> 8 - 10$ GeV$^2$) there is a definite change of the energy behaviour of $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma^*p)$. The energy dependence becomes much steeper than at low $Q^2$.

The curves in figure 2 are the calculated $\gamma^*p$ cross sections using the ALLM [13] parametrization. The parameters of ALLM have been obtained by a fit to the available data below $W = 20$ GeV. It is a remarkable fact that the low $W$ data constrained the parameters so as to force a rising cross section at high $W$ and high $Q^2$. This is connected to the fact that the Pomeron trajectory was allowed to vary with $Q^2$, as shown in figure 3. The exact location of the transition from values of $\alpha_P(0) \simeq 1.05$ to $\alpha_P(0) \simeq 1.4$ is not clear since there is a lack of data in the region of $1 < Q^2 < 10$ GeV$^2$ and $W > 20$ GeV. The ZEUS data indicate that the transition from a slow to a steeply rising Pomeron is more gradual than proposed by figure 3 and even at a $Q^2$ of $\sim 15$ GeV$^2$ the slope is still halfway between the two extreme values. The shape assumed by the ALLM parametrization in the transition region could account for the fact that the curves don’t reproduce the high $W$ data for the $Q^2$ values of 8.7 and 15 GeV$^2$, shown in figure 2. It does however fit quite well both the $Q^2 = 0$ and the higher $Q^2$ data in the whole $W$ region.

In order to get a better understanding of the data in figure 2, one clearly
needs more measurements to fill in two large gaps\cite{14}. One gap is the low
to intermediate $Q^2$ region, where the transition of the energy dependence
from a slow to steep rise occurs. In these region higher $W$ measurements are
needed, which would correspond to lower $x$ measurements at low $Q^2$. The
data of E–665\cite{9}, once in final form, will fill up part of this gap. The other
gap is the energy range of $W^2 = 400–40000$ GeV$^2$ at higher $Q^2$ values. This
would correspond to DIS measurements at higher $x$. These additional needed
measurements would cover the holes in the $x$–$Q^2$ plane and will provide
additional handles to study the transition region between the so–called soft
Pomeron with a Donnachie–Landshoff type of slope of 1.08 and the hard
Pomeron with a Lipatov type of slope of about 1.5.

4 One schizophrenic Pomeron or two different Pomerons?

The interpretation of the $Q^2$ dependence of the Pomeron intercept shown
in figure 3 could be that the Pomeron trajectory has a different behaviour
than that of the other known ones, changing its intercept as $Q^2$ increases.
This interpretation is of course not unique. As pointed out by Bjorken\cite{15},
another possibility could be that the intercept plotted in figure 3 is an effec-
tive one, being the superposition of two different Pomeron trajectories, one
having an intercept of 1.08 and the other one of 1.5, as illustrated in figure
4. The slope of the $t$ dependence is usually taken as 0.25 GeV$^{-2}$ for the soft
Pomeron. For the Hard Pomeron, the slope shown is arbitrarily taken as
0.025 GeV$^{-2}$. The amount of mixture of the two intercepts is $Q^2$ dependent:

$$\alpha_P^{\text{eff}} = a(Q^2)\alpha_{P_{\text{soft}}} + (1 - a(Q^2))\alpha_{P_{\text{hard}}}$$

with the dominant contribution of the first in the low $Q^2$ region and the
dominance of the second in the high $Q^2$ region.

Continuing in the same speculative spirit, one could ask oneself about
the strong resemblance between the behaviour of the Pomeron(s) and the
photon. Do we see a 'resolved' and 'direct' Pomeron like in the photon case?
Any relation between these 'components' and the soft and hard Pomerons?

The hope is that the expected new measurements of the two HERA collabor-
ations in the 'gap' regions will allow a better understanding of the transition
region and may shed some light on this so important issue of the Pomeron properties.

5 Behaviour of $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\gamma^*p)$ for $W^2 > 10^6 \text{ GeV}^2$

Another question that one would like to study is whether the total $\gamma^*p$ will continue to rise for $W^2 > 10^6 \text{ GeV}^2$ \cite{16}. Will there be a cross-over of virtual and real photon–proton cross sections or will $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\gamma p)$ also start rising more steeply, as predicted by some QCD inspired models? One way to reach this high $W^2$ region would be to wait for the $e^-p$ option of the LHC. However as an alternative to waiting at least 20 years for an answer, one should perhaps consider the possibilities of raising the energies at the HERA collider. Since

$$W^2 \approx ys \approx 4yE_eE_p,$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

increasing the energy of the electron beam, of the proton beam or perhaps of both, will provide the answer as far as the rise is concerned. In addition, it will get us to much lower $x$ regions ($\sim 10^{-6}$) than at present energies, allowing to study parton saturation effects.

6 Summary

As strange as it might sound, the understanding of the complicated nature of the Pomeron is necessary to improve our picture of QCD. One can study the interesting properties of the Pomeron through the measurements of the total cross sections of real and virtual photons, which show a change in their energy behaviour by going from real to highly virtual photons. In order to learn more about the transition region one needs to fill some gaps in the measurements of the total $\gamma^*p$ cross section at low $Q^2$ and intermediate $W$. This will be achieved in the near future. In addition, one needs to measure both $\sigma(\gamma p)$ and $\sigma(\gamma^*p)$ at $W$’s larger than presently available at HERA.
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Figure 1: Sample of $\gamma^*p$ total cross section data points from [4] and the fits of [3].
Figure 2: Total $\gamma \ast p$ cross section as function of the center of mass energy squared, $W^2$. The curves are the ALLM parametrisation, fitted to the lower energy data ($W^2 < 400 \text{ GeV}^2$), and extrapolated to the high energy region.
Figure 3: The intercept of the Pomeron trajectory $\alpha_P(0)$ as function of $Q^2$, as obtained from the ALLM parametrisation. The dotted line show the uncertainty of the fit.
Figure 4: The trajectory of the soft Pomeron, $P_{soft}$, and that of the hard Pomeron, $P_{hard}$, as function of $t$. 