Structural insights into RNA encapsidation and helical assembly of the Toscana virus nucleoprotein
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ABSTRACT

Toscana virus is an emerging bunyavirus in Mediterranean Europe where it accounts for 80% of pediatric meningitis cases during the summer. The negative-strand ribonucleic acid (RNA) genome of the virus is wrapped around the virally encoded nucleoprotein N to form the ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP). We determined crystal structures of hexameric N alone (apo) and in complex with a nonameric single-stranded RNA. RNA is sequestered in a sequence-independent fashion in a deep groove inside the hexamer. At the junction between two adjacent copies of Ns, RNA binding induced an inter-subunit rotation, which opened the RNA-binding tunnel and created a new assembly interface at the outside of the hexamer. Based on these findings, we suggest a structural model for how binding of RNA to N promotes the formation of helical RNPs, which are a characteristic hallmark of many negative-strand RNA viruses.

INTRODUCTION

Toscana virus is an enveloped negative-strand ribonucleic acid (RNA) virus that belongs to the genus phlebovirus in the Bunyaviridae family. Members of this genus also include Rift Valley Fever virus (RVFV), Sandfly Fever Sicilian virus, Severe Fever with Thrombocytopenia Syndrome virus and Uukuniemi virus. These viruses are etiological agents of a wide range of illnesses ranging from self-limiting febrile episodes to hemorrhagic fevers characterized by multi-organ failure and death (1–4). The sporadic outbreaks of these viruses, coupled with their widespread distribution across all continents, make them of great importance to public health. The genome of the virus is encoded in three segments of varying sizes known as the large (L), medium (M) and small (S) segments that encode the viral polymerase, the envelope glycoprotein and the nucleoprotein (N), respectively (3,5). The S segment also encodes a virulence determinant known as non-structural small protein NSs in the sense direction.

The genome of phleboviruses, like other bunyaviruses, is tightly packed with N into nucleoprotein complexes (RNPs) (6). The RNP complex, rather than the free RNA, represents the transcription and replication template for the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp). The RdRp docks on the RNP to synthesize viral messenger RNA (mRNA) and complementary antigenic RNA (6).

Bunyaviruses replicate in the cytoplasm of host cells. For the initiation of transcription, RdRp employs an endonuclease activity to cleave and ‘snatch’ the caps of host cell mRNA to prime viral transcription. This mechanism has been demonstrated for La Crosse virus and, by sequence comparison, inferred for all bunyaviruses (7–8).

Unlike other segmented viruses, members of the Bunyaviridae lack a matrix protein that connects the lipid envelope-associated glycoproteins to the RNP. Instead, in Uukuniemi virus, N interacts with the cytoplasmic tails of its cognate glycoproteins. This suggests that the bunyavirus N may, in part, fulfill the role of the missing matrix protein (9–10). The molecular architecture and RNA-binding mechanism of several negative-strand RNA viruses have recently been characterized. The N proteins of the Rhabdoviridae and Paramyxoviridae crystallized in rings, with the RNA bound in a tunnel formed by two lobes of the same monomer (6,11–13). The Lassa virus nucleoprotein has an exonuclease activity (14–15) that is specific for double-stranded RNA (14,16), further indicating that nucleoproteins can have a wider role beyond acting as scaffolds for...
the RdRp (14–15). Structures of orthobunyaviruses N, e.g. of Bunyamwera, Schmallenber, Leanyer and La Crosse viruses (17–21), have revealed unique structural folds. In these cases, the RNA is bound in a positively charged cleft that is formed by two α-helical lobes. Oligomerization is mediated by both N- and C-terminal arms.

Recent studies have also provided insights into the mechanism of phlebovirus RNP assembly (22–24). The first structure of the RNA-free RVFV N showed a dimer, where an amino-terminal arm is folded back into the predicted RNA-binding pocket (24). This dimer is thought to represent an autoinhibited form of N. A second RNA-free structure of RVFV N showed a hexamer in the crystals (22).

Crystal structures of RNA-bound multimers of RVFV N ranging from tetramers to hexamers showed how a polyuridine RNA sequence is nonspecifically bound between two helical lobes (23).

In this study, we have elucidated structures of the hexameric RNA-free (apo) N and of hexameric N in complex with a single-stranded nonameric RNA. Our structural studies, combined with functional experiments, suggest a molecular mechanism of how RNA binding to N induces the transition from a hexameric ring to a helical RNP assembly.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids

A codon-optimized complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) of the Toscana virus N gene (GenBank accession code X53794) was commercially synthesized (GenScript) and supplied in the pUC57 vector. For bacterial expression as amino-terminal His6-fusion, the gene was cloned into pET15b or pET46-ek/LIC vectors (Novagen). Plasmids for intracellular reconstitution of RVFV RNPs, pl.18-RVFV_L, pl.18-RVFV_N, pl.18-HA-PKR, ΔE7 and pHH21-RVFV-vMRen were described previously (25). The pl.18-derived plasmids expressing RVFV N mutants Y30A, K74A, Y30A/K74A, Q198A, R185D, R185D/D167A were constructed by site-specific mutagenesis. The firefly luciferase control plasmid pGL3 was purchased from Promega.

Expression and purification

The recombinant plasmids were transformed into BL21 DE3 or BL21 DE3 Rosetta cells. Bacteria were cultured in Luria Broth (LB) medium at 37°C until an OD600 of 0.4, followed by a temperature shift to 20°C. The protein was produced for 18 h in the presence of 200-μM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside. Bacteria were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in lysis buffer containing 20-mM TRIS (pH 8), 10-mM imidazole, 300-mM NaCl and complete ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid-free protease inhibitors, followed by cell disruption in a microfluidizer (Microfluidics). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 40,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was loaded on a 30-ml gravity flow column filled with 3-ml NiNTA resin pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. The column was washed with 20-ml lysis buffer, followed by 25-ml washing steps with equilibration buffer (20-mM TRIS, pH 8.0, 500-mM NaCl) containing increasing concentrations of imidazole (10 mM, 25 mM and 75 mM). The protein was eluted from the column using 12.5-ml equilibration buffer containing 250-mM imidazole. N was further purified via size exclusion chromatography on a Sephadex 200 10/300 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with TRIS-buffered saline (pH 7.5).

To remove heterogeneously bound RNA from Escherichia coli, N bound to the NiNTA column was denatured by incorporating 8-M urea in the washing buffer. Bound RNA was washed off using high salt buffer (20-mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 500-mM NaCl) and N eluted by 20-mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 250-mM imidazole and 500-mM NaCl.

The fraction of bound RNA was determined by the A260/A280 ratio before and after refolding. The refolded N had an A260/A280 ratio of 0.6 while the native RNP complex purified directly from E. coli had a ratio of ~1.5 indicating about 10% bound nucleic acid.

The theoretical isoelectric point of N is 9.2 (26). Cation exchange chromatography was used to further purify the refolded N and remove partially folded intermediates. To this end, the refolded protein was dialyzed against 20-mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 30-mM NaCl and bound to a MonoS column (GE Healthcare). Protein was eluted using a salt gradient from 50-mM to 1000-mM NaCl.

Circular dichroism

To verify the integrity of the refolded N, circular dichroism (CD) measurements were performed at room temperature using a protein concentration of 1 mg/ml in phosphate buffered saline, pH 7, in an Aviv 62A spectropolarimeter.

Reconstitution of the RNP complex

For reconstitution of a homogenous RNP, the refolded N was incubated at room temperature for 1 h with commercially synthesized nonameric RNA (UGUGUUCU, IDT DNA Technologies Inc.), at a molar ratio of 1:1. A final gel filtration step was added to remove unbound RNA. The stability of the reconstituted complex was monitored by gel filtration and also on a native gel. The A260/A280 ratio of the reconstituted RNP complex was 1.1, which corresponds to approximately 5% bound RNA.

Electron microscopy

N or the indicated N mutants at a final concentration of 1.5 mg/ml were mixed with an in vitro-transcribed 8-kgb single-stranded RNA comprising a Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) expression construct (27) at a final concentration of 0.15 mg/ml. The sample was 10-fold diluted with 20-mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 150-mM NaCl, and 15 μl of this solution was spotted onto carbon-coated grids. The sample was stained with 2% uranyl acetate and images were acquired on a Zeiss EM910 at magnifications between 12.5 and 31.5 k.

Crystallization and data collection

Initial crystallization trials were carried out in 96-well formats using a Phoenix (Art Robbins Instruments) and Topaz
buffer (0.8% FA in 99.9% D2O). The frozen samples were prepared for ITC experiments by mixing 2 μM of single-stranded 6–9-mer RNAs was titrated into a solution containing 30–150-mM NaCl. N was diluted and RNA resuspended in iTC200 (Microcal) using degassed 20-mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 150-mM NaCl. The non-deuterated control samples were used in the final rounds of refinement. Molprobity was used at the beginning of the refinement and then gradually released. Translation, Libration and Screw (TLS) was used in the final rounds of refinement. Molprobity was used for model validation (35). Figures were prepared using PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3, Schrödinger, LLC) and the pymol plugin VASco (36).

Deuterium exchange mass spectrometry
To obtain optimal fragmentation sequence coverage of Toscana virus N, optimal quench conditions were established as previously described (37). Deuterium exchange experiments were carried out by mixing 2 μM of Toscana virus N stock solution with 6-μM D2O buffer (8.3-mM TRIS, pH 7.2, 150-mM NaCl in D2O) and incubation at 0 °C for 10 s and 1000 s. At the indicated time points, the exchange reaction was quenched by adding 12 μL of ice-cold optimal quench solution [0.05-M guanidinium hydrochloride, 15-mM TRIS (2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, 16.6% glyc erol, 0.8% formic acid (FA)], immediately frozen on dry ice and stored at −80 °C. The non-deuterated control samples and equilibrium-deuterated control samples were also prepared by mixing protein with H2O buffer (8.3-mM TRIS, pH 7.2, 150-mM NaCl in D2O) and equilibrium-deuterated buffer (0.8% FA in 99.9% D2O). The frozen samples were then thawed at 5 °C and passed over an immobilized pepsin column (16-μL bed volume) at a flow rate of 20 μL/min, and the resulting peptides were collected on a C18 trap for desalting and separated by a Magic C18AQ column (Michrom BioResources Inc.) using a linear gradient of acetonitrile from 6.4% to 38.4% over 30 min. Mass spectrometry (MS) analysis was performed using Liquid Chromatography Quadrupole (LCQ) Classic mass spectrometer from Thermo Finnigan, with a capillary temperature of 200 °C. Data were acquired in both data-dependent MS/MS mode and MS1 profile mode, and the data analyzed by SEQUEST (Thermo Finnigan Inc.) and DXMS explorer (Sierra Analytics Inc., Modesto, CA, USA).

Minireplicon assay
Assays to measure activity of reconstituted RVFV RNPs were essentially performed as described (38). Briefly, sub-confluent monolayers of 293 cells seeded in 12-well dishes were transfected with pl.18-RVFV-L, pl.18-RVFV_N (or mutants thereof), pl.18-HA-PKRΔE7 and pHH21-RVFV-vMRen (0.5 μg each), and the firefly luciferase control pGL3 (0.05 μg), using Nanofectin (PAA). At 24-h post-transfection, cell extracts were tested for Renilla and firefly luciferase activities using the Dual luciferase method (Promega).

RESULTS
Structure and oligomerization of Toscana virus N
N of Toscana virus was expressed in E. coli and purified via affinity chromatography. The resulting protein was associated with the E. coli nucleic acids, as apparent by the observed A260/A280 ratio of 1.5. This preparation yielded protein crystals that showed only weak, anisotropic diffraction.

Using an unfolding/refolding protocol, nucleic acid-free N was obtained, as judged from the A260/A280 ratio of 0.6 (Supplementary Figure S1A). Correct folding of the protein was confirmed by CD spectroscopy (Supplementary Figure S1B). Analytical gel filtration experiments combined with static light scattering indicated a tendency of the protein to self-assemble even in the absence of RNA (Figure 1A), unlike the N of the closely related RVFV, which exists as a dimer in solution (24).

It was previously reported that Ns of bunyaviruses bind with high affinity to the highly conserved 5′ and 3′ sequences of their genomic RNA segments (39). In agreement with this, N of Toscana virus bound to a nonamer single-stranded RNA sequence corresponding to the 5′ genomic RNA consensus with an affinity of 560 nM in ITC experiments (Supplementary Figure S2A and Table 1). Only a weak binding signal was obtained for a 9-mer RNA with the 5′ genomic RNA consensus sequence (Supplementary Figure S2B). Interestingly, Toscana virus N bound with highest affinity (Kd = 260 nM) to a control 9-mer RNA corresponding to the reverted 3′ consensus sequence (termed oligo1; Figure 1B). In these titrations, a binding stoichiometry of 0.5 was derived, indicating that only every second N bound an RNA molecule. The observed RNA-binding affinities were approximately 10-fold lower compared to those reported for RVFV Ns (23). This difference is likely related to the lower salt concentration and the longer RNA oligomers
Figure 1. Structure and oligomerization of the Toscana virus N protein. (A) Gel filtration coupled to right angle light scattering (RALS) analysis of the Toscana virus N. Ultraviolet (UV) absorbance is shown in red and refers to the left y-axis, while the apparent molecular mass (in multiples of the molecular weight of the monomer) is shown in green and refers to the right y-axis. (B) ITC experiments were carried out in 20-mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 150-mM NaCl at 8°C to determine equilibrium dissociation constants of the nonameric single-stranded RNA oligo1 (UGUGUUUCU; Table 1) to the hexameric N ($K_d = 260 \pm 20 \text{nM}$, $n = 0.49 \pm 0.01$). Errors represent standard deviations derived from the fittings. (C) Electron micrographs of negatively stained, 8000-bp single-stranded RNA, Toscana virus N and in vitro reconstituted Toscana virus RNP particles, respectively. (D) Structure of the Toscana virus N, which is comprised of a two-lobed core domain and an amino-terminal arm comprising /H9251. These helices are linked to the core domain by hinge I. (E) Ribbon representation of the hexameric Toscana virus N showing that the N-terminal arm mediates oligomerization by wrapping around the neighboring subunit. The six-fold symmetry axis of the N hexamer is indicated. (F) Hydrophobic surface representation in which hydrophobic residues are shown in green and polar residues in yellow. The amino-terminal arm of the neighboring subunit is shown in stick representation. Ile23, Trp26 and Phe30 are inserted in pocket I while Leu11, Phe13 and Leu14 bind into pocket II.

used in the previous study since these authors reported similar RNA-binding affinities for Toscana and RVFV N in their experimental setup. Furthermore, RVFV N bound to oligo1 with an almost identical affinity ($K_d = 420 \text{nM}$) compared to Toscana virus N in ITC experiments (Supplementary Figure S2H).

To further analyze the sequence-specificity of N, base pair substitutions were introduced in oligo1. The exchange of guanine 2 (G2) to adenine did not have a significant effect on the binding affinity (Supplementary Figure S2C). Furthermore, the affinity for a 9-mer polyU sequence was only slightly reduced compared to the original 9-mer sequence (Supplementary Figure S2D), indicating a certain degree of plasticity in RNA recognition.

We then reconstituted Toscana virus RNPs in vitro using an 8000-bp single-stranded RNA. By negative-stain elec-
Table 1. RNA sequences used in the study and binding parameters to Toscana virus N

| Oligo                     | Sequence          | Binding affinity | Stoichiometry |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Oligo1 (used for crystallization) | UGUGUUUCU         | 260 nM ± 30 nM   | 0.49 ± 0.01   |
| Oligo2 (3′ genomic consensus sequence) | UCUUGUGUC        | 560 nM ± 70 nM   | 0.43 ± 0.01   |
| Oligo3 (5′ genomic consensus sequence) | ACACAGAGA         | not determined   | not determined|
| Oligo4 (G2A mutant of oligo1) | UAGGUGUUUCU       | 290 nM ± 40 nM   | 0.49 ± 0.01   |
| Oligo5 (polyU 9mer)        | UUUUUUUUUUU       | 360 nM ± 40 nM   | 0.40 ± 0.01   |
| Oligo6 (8-mer RNA derived from oligo1) | UGUGUUUC        | 710 nM ± 30 nM   | 0.51 ± 0.01   |
| Oligo7 (7-mer RNA derived from oligo1) | UGUGUUU         | 2.7 μM ± 0.2 μM  | 0.52 ± 0.01   |
| Oligo8 (6-mer RNA derived from oligo1) | UGUGU   | 8.0 μM ± 0.9 μM  | 0.50 ± 0.03   |
| Y32A + oligo1             | UGUGUUUCU         | 1.6 μM ± 0.2 μM  | 0.46 ± 0.01   |
| K79A + oligo 1            | UGUGUUUCU         | 220 nM ± 30 nM   | 0.42 ± 0.01   |
| Y32A-K79A + oligo 1       | UGUGUUUCU         | 6 μM ± 2 μM      | 0.43 ± 0.05   |
| K204A + oligo1            | UGUGUUUCU         | 250 nM ± 10 nM   | 0.41 ± 0.01   |
| RVFV N + oligo 1          | UGUGUUUCU         | 400 nM ± 100 nM  | 0.70 ± 0.02   |

In the hexameric rings of the apo structure, an almost perfect six-fold symmetry between the six monomers was observed (Figure 1E). Oligomerization was driven by the amino-terminal arm, which bound into a hydrophobic groove of the neighboring monomer at the outside of the hexamer (pockets I and II in Figure 1E and F, and Supplementary Figure S3). This interaction constituted the major oligomerization contact, as evident by the buried surface area of 1530 Å² (the total buried surface between two monomers is 1690 Å²). The interaction of helix α1 with the neighboring monomer included hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts, and a salt bridge between Lys83 and Glu29 of the opposing monomer (Supplementary Figure S5A). A similar oligomerization mode has been reported for RVFV RNPs (22–23).
Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics

|                  | Apo nucleoprotein | RNP |
|------------------|-------------------|-----|
| **Data collection** |                   |     |
| Space group      | P6, 12 protein molecules/asymmetric unit (ASU) | P1, 24 protein and 12 RNA molecule/ASU |
| **Cell dimensions** |                   |     |
| \(a, b, c (\text{Å})\)  | 104.5, 104.5, 510.8 | 98.8, 127.8, 170.5 |
| \(a, \beta, \gamma (^\circ)\) | 90, 90, 120 | 82.1, 79.7, 74.5 |
| Wavelength (Å)   | 0.91841           | 0.91841 |
| Resolution (Å)   | 100–3.32 (3.41–3.32) \(^a\) | 34.4–2.6 (2.61–2.59) |
| Number of observed reflections | 193 157 (11 635) | 514 757 (67 736) |
| Number of unique reflections | 45 886 (32 57) | 229 780 (31 175) |
| \(R_{\text{sym}}\) (%) \(^b\) | 12.4 (50.8) | 9.2 (55.5) |
| \(I/\sigma I\)   | 10.7 (2.4)        | 11.2 (2.1) |
| Completeness (%) \(^c\) | 99.1 (94.5) | 94.7 (79.7) |
| Redundancy       | 4.2 (3.6)         | 2.2 (2.2) |
| ASU content      | 2 \(\times (\text{N}6)\) \(\times (\text{N}6-\text{RNA}(3 \times 9\text{mer}))\) | 4 \(\times (\text{N}6-\text{RNA}(3 \times 9\text{mer}))\) |
| **Refinement**   |                   |     |
| Resolution (Å)   | 34.1–3.32 (3.39–3.32) | 34.4–2.6 (2.63–2.60) |
| Number of reflections | 45 879 (27 41) | 229 737 (47 88) |
| \(R_{\text{work}}/R_{\text{free}}\) (%) \(^d\) | 23.9 / 26.7 (34.3 / 36.3) | 20.3 / 24.0 (29.3 / 35.4) |
| Number of protein atoms | 22 846 | 45 778 |
| Averaged B-factor protein (Å\(^2\)) | 73.1 | 46.8 |
| Averaged B-factor RNA (Å\(^2\))  | NA  | 44.3 |
| RMS deviations   |                   |     |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.003             | 0.005 |
| Bond angles (\(^\circ\)) | 0.71 | 0.847 |
| Residues in favored region of the Ramachandran plot (%) | 95.0 | 97.1 |

\(^a\)Values given in parentheses refer to reflections in the outer resolution shell.
\(^b\)\(R_{\text{sym}} = \Sigma |I_k| - \langle I \rangle / \Sigma |I_k|\), where \(I_k\) and \(\langle I \rangle\) represent the scaled intensity values of the individual measurements and the corresponding mean values.
\(^c\)For calculation of \(R_{\text{free}}\), 5% of all reflections were omitted from refinement.
\(^d\)As analyzed by Molprobity (35).

Dition to Tyr32, other residues contacted the RNA bases. For example, Phe35 stacks against U3, and Ser211, Ser110 and Arg191 interact via side-chain interactions with the bases of U1, U6 and C7, respectively. Thr201 interacts with the base of G4 via a main-chain interaction (Figure 2C).

The phosphate backbone of the 9-mer RNA is recognized by several positively charged and polar residues. For example, Arg111 and Lys72 formed salt bridges to the phosphates of G4 and U6, respectively. Interestingly, Lys204 and Lys79 formed hydrogen bonds to the phosphate backbone at the 5’ end of the RNA whereas they do not interact with the phosphate backbone at the 3’ RNA end (Figure 2C).

Assuming an identical conformation of the phosphate backbone, we modeled the nonamer sequence of the 5’ non-coding region (oligo3 in Table 1) in the RNA-binding groove (Supplementary Figure S7). Due to the higher abundance of purine bases in oligo3, steric clashes were predicted. This may explain the reduced affinity of this sequence to N.

During the preparation of this manuscript, tetra-, penta- and hexameric structures of the RVFV N bound to 28–36-mer polyU RNA sequence were reported (23). A comparison with our RNP structure indicates that the gross features of RNA recognition are conserved between Toscana virus and RVFV. Tyr32 has a direct counterpart in RVFV N (Tyr30), which similarly stacks with the guanine and uridine bases, respectively. Also the phosphate backbone interacts in a similar fashion with the protein in both structures; for example Lys72 and Arg111 in Toscana virus N are conserved in both viruses and form similar contacts to the phosphates. However, species-dependent differences exist. In RVFV N, Arg70 contacts the phosphate backbone whereas the corresponding alanine in Toscana virus N is not involved in RNA binding. Vice versa, Lys204 at the 5’ RNA end in our RNP forms a salt bridge to the phosphate of RNA residue 1 whereas the corresponding glutamine residue in the RVFV RNP structure does not participate in RNA binding (see also below). Arg99 in the RVFV N complex makes a prominent contact with Tyr32 and additionally contacts the phosphate backbone. Somewhat surprisingly, the corresponding residue in Toscana virus N, Arg104, is not involved in RNA binding in our model. Interestingly, G4 in the Toscana virus N structure is rotated compared to the corresponding uridine in RVFV N and faces the solvent channel, which might represent a mechanism to allow base plasticity at this position. Our results suggest that the general mode of RNP assembly is conserved in phleboviruses, while minor species-specific differences may exist.

The RNA-binding tunnel

The availability of both apo and RNA-bound N structures allows for the characterization of conformational changes associated with RNA binding. In the apo- and the RNA-
Figure 2. RNA binding to the N hexamer. (A) Surface representation of the Toscana virus RNP complex showing three RNA molecules in red bound to the inside of the hexameric ring. Binding of RNA induces a change from a six-fold to a three-fold symmetry (triangle). (B) 2F_{o}–F_{c} map contoured at 2σ showing electron density for the bound RNA oligomer. The asymmetric sequence allowed unambiguous fitting of the nonameric RNA into the electron density. (C) Cartoon representation showing selected contacts of N with the RNA. The RNA is capped on either side by Tyr32 of adjacent monomers. Residues depicted in white belong to the adjacent subunits (see Figure 2A for chain numbering). (D) A schematic diagram of the orientation of the RNA bases in the RNA-binding groove. RNA bases are recognized by both main and side-chain contacts.

bound forms, the core domains of N excluding the amino-terminal arm superimpose with a root-mean-square deviation of 0.4 Å indicating that RNA binding induces only minor conformational changes within the α-helical core. However, the amino-terminal arm of the RNA-bound subunits is considerably displaced against the N core domain when compared to the apo structure or the RNA-free Ns in the RNP (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure S6). In the apo N structure, Tyr32 from hinge I, Lys204 from the lower lobe and Lys79 from the upper lobe of the adjacent subunit are in close proximity to each other and cap the RNA-binding tunnel (Figure 3B). Due to their direct RNA interaction (Figure 3A), Tyr32 and Lys79 become separated from Lys204 by approximately 15 Å (Figure 3C). Interestingly, this extensive separation is only observed at the inter-subunit junction at the 5′, but not at the 3′ RNA end, where Lys79 and Lys204 do not reach the phosphate backbone (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S8).

Mutagenesis was performed in order to assess the importance of Tyr32, Lys79 and Lys204 for RNA binding. The Y32A mutant bound the 9-mer RNA with six-fold lower affinity ($K_{d} = 1.6 \mu M$) compared to wild-type N (Figure 3D). In contrast, the K79A and K204A mutation did not significantly affect the RNA-binding affinity (Figure 3D). Interestingly, the simultaneous mutation of both Tyr32 and Lys79 significantly diminished the RNA-binding affinity ($K_{d} = 6 \mu M$), more than the single Y32A mutation, suggesting that these two adjacent residues cooperate in RNA binding. All three single mutants were able to form RNP in vitro using a synthetic single-stranded RNA as a template, although RNPs formed by the K204A mutant appeared somewhat shorter compared to wild type (Figure 3E). The Y32A/K79A double mutant assembled into structures more reminiscent of aggregates than functional filaments, suggesting some problems in proper RNP assembly.

The importance of these residues for viral RNP activity was assessed using a system for intracellular reconstitution of viral RNPs from transfected cDNA plasmids, a so-called minireplicon assay. Since this assay has not been established for Toscana virus, we initially tested a heterologous approach using the RVFV RdRp and N of Toscana virus to drive the replication of a reporter gene (41). How-
Figure 3. Conformational changes in the RNA-binding tunnel. (A) Superposition of an apo (brown) and RNA-bound (blue) N monomer (bound RNA is not shown). In these two structures, the amino-terminal arm is displaced relative to the core domain. (B) Cartoon representation showing the Tyr32–Lys79–Lys204 triad, which is situated in the RNA tunnel in the apo structure. (C) Stacking of Tyr32 against the guanine base of G2 and binding of Lys79 and Lys204 to the phosphate backbone of the RNA creates an open RNA-binding tunnel, as shown in the RNP structure. (D) ITC-binding curve of the 9-mer RNA oligo1 to the following N mutants: Y32A ($K_d = 1.6 \pm 0.2 \mu M$, $n = 0.46 \pm 0.01$); K79A ($K_d = 220 \text{nM} \pm 30 \text{nM}$, $n = 0.42 \pm 0.01$); Y32A–K79A ($K_d = 6 \text{mM} \pm 2 \text{mM}$, $n = 0.43 \pm 0.05$); K204A ($K_d = 250 \text{nM} \pm 10 \text{nM}$, $n = 0.41 \pm 0.01$). (E) EM microscopy of reconstituted RNP particles of the Toscana virus Y32A, K79A, Y32A–K79A and K204A mutants, as described in Figure 1C. (F) Minireplicon assay of RVFV with the indicated N mutants. Cells were transfected with constructs for RVFV L, a model minigenome expressing a reporter gene, RVFV N, and the indicated N mutants. The reporter values of the minireplicon system reflect the activity of the recombinant RNPs reconstituted from expressed cDNA sequences.
ever, we were unable to detect any reporter activity in this hybrid system (data not shown).

We then switched to the well-established RVFV minireplicon system and introduced mutations to equivalent residues within the RVFV N (25). While Tyr32 and Lys79 are highly conserved in phleboviruses (corresponding to Tyr30 and Lys74 in RVFV; Supplementary Figure S4), Lys204 in Toscana virus N is replaced by Gln198 in RVFV, which similarly could contact the phosphate backbone. Surprisingly, mutating the invariant Tyr30 or Lys74 to alanine did not significantly hamper reporter activity (Figure 3F). However, simultaneous mutation of both conserved residues resulted in a stable N, which was nonetheless inactive. This suggests that Tyr30 and Lys74 work in concert to mediate viral replication. The Q198A mutant exhibited a reduced activity relative to wild type, possibly pointing to an important function in viral replication (Figure 3F). However, western blot analyses showed that Q198A was largely undetectable, indicating a general instability.

**Coupling of RNA binding to an inter-subunit rearrangement**

The four hexameric rings in the asymmetric unit of the RNA-bound N hexamers were stacked in a bottom-to-bottom assembly, e.g. in the opposite orientation as in the apo structure (Supplementary Figure S3). Every second N monomer was laterally displaced relative to the neighboring N leading to a staggered assembly of the hexameric ring. In this way, the six-fold symmetry in the N hexamer was broken, resulting in three-fold symmetry of the RNP (Figure 4A and B). Considerable differences were apparent in the inter-subunit assembly of N at the 5′ and 3′ ends of the 9-mer RNA molecules. In the following, we refer to the N interplay at the 5′ RNA end, which appears to represent a functional assembly akin to helical RNP structures (see below).

Analysis of the inter-subunit assembly revealed that the position of Tyr32, and in fact the whole N-terminal arm, relative to the associating N was almost identical in the apo and RNA-bound forms (Figure 4C). However, the simultaneous binding of Lys204 and Lys79 of the adjacent subunit to the phosphate backbone of the RNA led to a 45° rotation between the subunits, with Tyr32 working as a pivot point (Figure 4C and D). This domain movement created a new inter-subunit interface of 480 Å² at the outside of the hexamer, which did not involve the amino-terminal arm (Figure 4E and F). It included several hydrogen bonds and a salt bridge between Asp173 and Arg191 from two opposing subunits, which moved 17 Å toward each other. At the inter-subunit junction at the 3′ RNA end, Lys204 and Lys79 did not bind RNA and occupied a similar position as in the apo form relative to the neighboring monomer (Supplementary Figure S8). Also in the RNA- and DNA-bound ring-like RNP structures of RVFV, Gln198 does not bind to nucleic acids. Consequently, no marked inter-subunit rotation is observed in these structures and no additional interface is formed (Supplementary Figure S9).

To clarify the importance of this new interface, we introduced mutations and analyzed the consequence for viral transcription and RNP production (Figure 4G). The single point mutant R185D was expressed similarly to wild type, but exhibited greatly reduced activity in the reporter gene assay. The double mutant, D167A/R185D, was unstable and, accordingly, also did not show reporter activity. These data are consistent with an important role of this interface for RNP activity.

To further characterize this domain rearrangement, binding of shorter RNA oligomers to N was evaluated. As expected, consecutive shortening of the RNA gradually reduced the affinity for N (Supplementary Figure S2E, F and G). Surprisingly, the binding stoichiometries of the shorter RNA oligomers (6–8 mers) did not significantly differ from the 9-mer RNAs (n = 0.4–0.5). Since each N binds seven RNA bases, the 6-mer RNA would have enough space to bind to adjacent Ns in the hexameric ring. Our data suggest that RNA binding and the associated structural rearrangements of adjacent subunits prevent binding of a second RNA molecule in the context of a hexameric ring.

**Model for the helical RNPs**

Our EM analysis suggested that Toscana virus N forms 10-nm RNP fibers upon interaction with longer RNAs (Figure 1C). To obtain structural insights into RNP formation, we applied the observed inter-subunit shift observed at the 5′-RNA end to all consecutive subunits. This transformation resulted in a left-handed N helix with an outer diameter of 10 nm (Figure 5). Each turn of this helix contained 5–6 N corresponding to the sequestration of 35–42 RNA nucleotides. In this model, long RNA sequences could be encapsidated in a continuous RNA-binding tunnel at the inside of the RNP involving consecutive Ns.

**DISCUSSION**

Phleboviruses are important human pathogens, and studying their mechanism of RNA encapsidation and replication is of vital importance. In the current study, we analyzed structures of uncomplexed and RNA-bound N of Toscana virus. These structures show that the gross features of oligomerization and RNA binding in Ns are conserved among phleboviruses (22–24). Oligomerization is mediated by an amino-terminal arm that binds in a hydrophobic pocket of the next monomer. RNA, in turn, is sequestered in a positively charged cavity at the inside of the oligomer, in a mostly sequence-independent fashion. However, it has been unclear how the hexameric planar architectures of the apo Ns of RVFV and Toscana virus are compatible with binding of longer RNA sequences. Also the RNA- and DNA-bound structures of RVFV RNPs show ring-like architectures, which do not explain how longer nucleotide sequences can be bound (23).

Here, we describe a molecular mechanism how RNA binding can be coupled to an inter-subunit movement in the hexameric N inducing the transition from a ring-like to a helical RNP. Central to this inter-subunit movement is the interplay between Tyr32 and Lys204 in one subunit, Lys79 in the adjacent subunit and their interactions with RNA. In the absence of RNA, Tyr32, Lys204 and Lys79 localize closely together in the RNA-binding tunnel. At the 5′ end of our 9-mer RNA, they become separated upon RNA binding. Tyr32 and Lys79 cooperate to bind the RNA from the
Figure 4. RNA binding induces an inter-subunit shift. (A) Side view of three subunits of the apo N ring showing a planar assembly of the subunits. (B) Same view on the RNP, detailing the staggered assembly induced by RNA binding. The two peripheral subunits are displaced relative to the central subunit (indicated by arrows). (C) One monomer of the apo structure (brown) was superimposed with a monomer of the RNP structure (white; this subunit did not contain 9-mer RNA) at the 5' RNA end. The relative position of the neighboring subunits is depicted (yellow: apo structure; blue: 9-mer RNA-bound subunit). A magnification of the box is shown at the right. While Tyr32 of the yellow and the blue monomer occupy a similar position relative to the white/brown monomer, Lys204 undergoes an 11Å displacement. (D) Side view of panel (A) showing that RNA binding induces a 45° tilt between neighboring subunits. (E) View on the apo structure from the outside of the hexamer. The inter-subunit contact is almost exclusively mediated by the amino terminal arm. Arg213 stabilizes the amino-terminal arm by binding to the amide backbone of Asn28 in the same chain. (F) Same view of the Toscana virus RNP structure showing that a new inter-subunit interface is formed upon RNA binding. Arg213 forms a hydrogen bond to the peptide backbone of Leu131 while Arg217 forms a hydrogen bond to Thr137. A new salt bridge is formed between Asp173 and Arg191 of the neighboring subunit. (G) Minireplicon assay with the indicated mutants, as in Figure 3F.
upper side, whereas Lys204 contacts the phosphate backbone from the lower lobe. In this way, the RNA-binding cleft is opened and a continuous RNA-binding tunnel is created. This situation is somewhat reminiscent of Lassa virus RNPs where a flexible loop regulates the open and closed conformations of the RNA tunnel (13). The conformational changes resulting from RNA binding are then translated into an inter-subunit rotation creating a new assembly interface at the outside of the oligomer. Mutations in this new interface reduce the transcriptional activity, as apparent in the minireplicon assay. We propose that the observed inter-subunit architecture at our 5' 9-mer RNA represents the architecture of every inter-subunit junction in a functional RNP. The almost identical assembly of all 12 inter-subunit junctions in four independent RNPs in the asymmetric unit argues for a defined state of the inter-subunit junction, rather than a random displacement of Ns in the crystal lattice. Most importantly, such architecture would allow binding of longer RNA sequences in a continuous helical RNP oligomer. To our knowledge, this is the first example describing the molecular mechanism of how RNA binding induces transition from a planar ring to a helical structure in an RNP assembly.

Why is such transition not observed in the crystal structures of RVFV RNPs or at the 3' end of the RNA molecule? Also in the RVFV RNPs, the three residues in the inter-subunit junction (Tyr30, Lys74 and Gln198) separate upon RNA binding. However, Gln198 does not contact the RNA and, consequently, no inter-subunit rotation is observed. The RNA and DNA sequences used for the crystallization of the RVFV RNP symmetrically fit into one ring at the inside of the RNP. We speculate that, in these cases, a closed ring structure might be more stable than an open helix turn and therefore be favored for crystallization. Similarly, Lys204 and Lys79 do not contact the RNA backbone at the 3' RNA end of our Toscana virus RNP and, consequently, no major rotation is observed. The 9-mer RNA may be too short at this side and/or the induction of a similar shift as at the 5' RNA end of our Toscana virus RNP and, consequently, no major rotation is observed. The 9-mer RNA may be too short at this side and/or the induction of a similar shift as at the 5' RNA end would induce an open ring and therefore be energetically unfavored. Furthermore, it can be envisaged that the proposed inter-subunit shift is energetically not favoured over the planar architecture, since we do not observe it in the apo N hexamer. Instead, it may be driven by RNA binding. This may also explain why the K204A and K79A mutants still bind with similar affinity compared to wild-type N to our 9-mer RNA—the loss of binding energy due to these mutations may be compensated by a reduced inter-subunit shift. In support of such hypothesis, the in vitro reconstituted RNPs of the K204A mutant appear shorter compared to wild type, and we see reduced activity of the corresponding RVFV N proteins K74A/Y30A in the minireplicon assays.

Figure 5. Model for the helical assembly of Toscana virus RNP. (A) The helical assembly of Toscana virus RNP was modeled by extending the observed inter-subunit shift at the 5' RNA end to the adjacent subunits. This transformation results in a left-handed helical filament with an external diameter of 10 nm. RNA can be bound in a continuous RNA-binding groove at the inside of the helix (indicated in red). (B) A top view of this helix. (C) Schematic representation of the proposed steps involved in the formation of the RNP filament. Binding of longer RNA sequences to the N hexamer results in an upward shift of the neighboring subunit akin to a helical RNP. The consecutive recruitment of further subunits through both the amino-terminal arm and an outer interface formed by the D173–R191 salt bridge may extend this hexamer.
It has been known for some time that phlebo- and tenuiviruses are evolutionarily related (42). Both have multipartite genomes with identical 5′ termini nucleotides (5′-ACACAAAG) and complementary 3′ nucleotides. Their segmented genomes are both negative and ambisense and the encoded proteins show significant sequence and structural similarity. Accordingly, the phlebovirus and tenuivirus N belong to the same protein family (Pfam 05739). Furthermore, structural predictions reveal significant homology between the Ns of Toscana and Rice hoja blanca and maize stripe tenuiviruses (Supplementary Figure S10A and B) (43–44). Interestingly, tenuivirus RNPs can assemble into helical and superhelical structures (Supplementary Figure S10C) (45). Also the reported diameter of the tenuiviruses (8 nm) agrees well with the diameter of our RNP model helix (10 nm). We therefore envisage that our proposed mechanism for the helical assembly induced by RNA sequestration in Toscana virus RNPs is applicable to a wider range of viruses.
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