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Abstract

Creativity can be viewed, not just as a set of skills and strategies, but as an overarching metacognitive skill that integrates a range of subordinate generic skills. Key to developing creativity is to engage in a cycle of ideation, reflection and adjustment, within a feedback rich environment. Blogs have the ability to garner external comments that can prompt these processes. Case study research was undertaken to explore what forms of feedback promote metacognitive development and how those forms can best be elicited within a blog. Findings indicated that blog comments can motivate, provide information, enhance quality and promote reflection, and that a range of strategies can be applied in blogs to best obtain the most valuable forms of feedback for creative development.
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1. Introduction

One approach to teaching creativity is to teach for creativity rather than simply modeling creative strategies (Kaufman & Sternberg, 2007). As a generic skill, it can be addressed through a range of related skills and attributes, such as critical thinking and information literacy. Clements and Nastasi (1999) foreground the role not of knowledge itself but knowledge acquisition strategies. Such strategies ‘relate newly acquired information to information acquired in the past. Knowledge-acquisition components are fundamental sources of learning, insight, and creativity.’ (Clements & Nastasi, 1999, p. 12)

Associated skills that have been identified include information management, self-organisation, and ability to manage risk as well as reflection, self-evaluation, and interpersonal skills (Gourley, 2003). This positions creativity as a metacognitive skill that is applied and developed through a high level of self-awareness within the practitioner.

This paper outlines a case study undertaken by an honours research student using a blog as a tool for personal creative development within the context of game concept art. The blog provided the basis for publishing his developing art over a 6 month period. The aim was to explore how a metacognitive view of creativity could inform creative development, with a particular focus on the value of feedback garnered through blogging in supporting the iterative processes of ideation, reflection and adjustment required to evolve creative ideas.

2. A Metacognitive Approach to Developing Creativity

Perfect and Schwartz (2002) synthesise much of the literature on metacognition as a concentration on the interactive mechanisms of monitoring and control, in relation to achieving a certain goal. Monitoring refers to an individual’s ability to judge their cognitive processes, and control refers to their ability to adjust and strategize from this knowledge. This identifies the relationship between creative thought (creativity-relevant skills) and the creative act (domain-relevant skills). Metacognition in this sense is comprised of three components: (a) awareness of a problem; (b) planning and engaging the appropriate strategies to address it; and (c) monitoring and regulating the ongoing activity.

This inherently reflective approach is also manifest in models of creative agility, involving the pursuit of a particular goal (awareness), reflecting on the outcomes of ideas (monitoring), and adjusting (controlling) the initial approaches based on learnt options from that reflection (Hill, Brandeau, Truelove, & Lineback, 2014). Creative agility does not assert that it is the one single process for creativity, rather that it mediates a range of subordinate processes such as generating ideas through debate, iterating them multiple times, integrating decisions based on goals, reflection, and adjustment, identifying problems and solutions, and so on.
This study was informed by a model that synthesises this research (Figure 1). The key elements are both the creative and domain relevant skills as well as intentionality and feedback to mediate their development. This mediation is conducted through the metacognitive act of ideation, reflection and adjustment.

![Figure 1. A metacognitive model for creative development](image)

### 3. Method

The model was applied by an undergraduate honours student in Design through the implementation of a weblog, which acted as a visual diary, with the added affordances of communication enabled through online publishing. Posts included examples of work in progress, discussions of key themes and influences, reflections on the work as it developed and responses to feedback. Participants were recruited to provide the feedback in the form of blog comments. They included undergraduate students in Design from the same university, where critiquing the blog posts constituted a classroom activity about game concept design. Invitations were also sent via game art forums and online communities.

Feedback in the form of participant comments were then analysed to classify types of feedback with a view to identifying those that best inform creative development and provide recommendations as to how to elicit the most useful types. A constant comparative approach was used to continuously analyse comments over time, involving a variety of coding techniques.

### 4. Findings

Existing research has shown that feedback can have a range of functions that provide information, boost motivation, clarify standards, facilitate reflection, and assist in skills development (Ion & Stîngu, 2014). In combining these precepts with trends that emerged directly from the comments themselves, four roles for feedback became apparent that provided a strong basis for organizing discussion and analysis of the value of feedback in: providing information to assist skills development; strengthening motivation; prompting reflection; and facilitating good performance.
4.1 Providing Information to Assist Skills Development

Throughout the blog feedback, there were many instances where information was provided to assist skills development. The first key finding with regard to this was the somewhat obvious one that to receive information that supports the development of skills, an object is required that encourages participants to talk and direct their attention. Simply posting the artwork provided many positive comments but little actual information beyond congratulations and encouragement.

Better examples were when the artist posted drawings practicing the female form. These were deliberately rough in nature (Figure 2), and were accompanied by a brief discussion on human body shapes and structures that identified the drawings’ need for a realistic body shape for the video game heroine. More valuable comments were then received that directed the artist to ‘study how the body parts are made up of bones, sinew, musculature and fat tissue’ (Participant 4) and drew attention to the anatomical drawings of Leonardo da Vinci. The external reference point of anatomical drawings enabled the recognition of weaknesses, the ability to calibrate understandings with those of others and respond by refining the work in a way that enhanced drawing skills.

![Figure 2: Rough female anatomical drawings](image)

A second published artefact focused on integrating ideas about personality, aesthetic design and environment into a female form. The post cited multiple video game and film media. These examples enabled commentators to dissected the artefact and draw conclusions, with comments such as ‘...the tightness of her clothing demonstrates just how guarded she is...’ (Participant 5) while another suggested art styles in Games such as Limbo and Feist for further inspiration (Participant 6). Such comments that added to the context of the drawing, furthered skills both in terms of technical (drawing) and conceptual aspects (setting, character etc.).

4.2 Strengthening Motivation

Motivation can be seen as a major contributing aspect of metacognitive self-regulation (e.g. Boekaerts, 1997; Garcia & Pintrich, 1994). It moderates effort, which in turns assists in mastery of skills. One of the strongest values of feedback in this blog was its power to motivate the artist. While comments such as feedback such as ‘Love this! so creative!’ (Participant 1) or ‘Freaky fun. Luv it’ (Participant 2) do not provide information for further learning, they do provide validation and encouragement that stimulates further actions to
reach desired ends. Published artefacts such as that shown in Figure 4 were particularly effective in prompting motivational feedback.

Figure 4: Evolution of female anatomical drawing

This post demonstrated how the work shown in Figure 3 was evolving and elicited comments such as, ‘brilliant artwork’ (Participant 7), which in turn stimulated the desire to achieve goals by boosting self-esteem (creativity-relevant skills) and self-control (domain-relevant skills). It validated the improvement of skills compared to previous work. One comment was particularly notable in that it pointed out aspects that were realistic and feminine but also pointed to specific design characteristics and illustrative techniques (Participant 8). While the comment did not provide sufficient information from which to develop skills, it did present a sense of appreciation that enhanced effort. The consideration given to what kind of works to make, how to go about doing so, and how to present them, in particular enhanced the credibility of the comment as well as prompting reflection.

A trend became apparent where artefacts that were published with a low level of refinement feedback were more likely to elicit formative than summative feedback. This may be because such works provide the community with an aesthetic quality to engage with, which further enhances the detail within the feedback, and therefore its credibility. However, although motivational feedback is valuable in sustaining one’s drive to achieve a creative goal, a balance needs to be struck. Praise helped regulate the artist’s effort but did not necessarily lead to specific skill development.

4.3 Facilitating Good Performance

One of the other problems with purely motivational feedback is that it fails to provide the means for objective judgement of performance. A few highly praiseworthy comments could skew the perception of the work, particularly with regard to its value as perceived by the broader community.

To address this, two designs were presented and the participants were asked to select which one they preferred and why (Figure 4). This provided some statistical information as well as informative comments. 63% of comments chose Design A but it was only when reviewing
the reasoning that it became apparent why. In that sense, statistical information fails to provide knowledge about what is successful about a work but when one participant commented that the preferred image ‘definitely fits the character description … has way more details in it too … and the smokey affect gives me more of that feeling that he’s a drug addict and an alcoholic’ (Participant 11), clear reasoning could be found for the general consensus provided by the statistics.

Figure 4: Two designs compared

The combination of both ‘what’ and ‘why’ were essential to good performance in that statistical summary can provide an objective measure which can then be triangulated with reasoning to facilitate good performance. In this particular case it honed the design in terms of its ability to embody the contextual elements of the game world.

4.4 Prompting Reflection

Reflection is perhaps the key metacognitive element for the development of creativity since it underpins the self-monitoring inherent in most of the metacognitive models. Feedback would appear to be a powerful tool as a reflective prompt but as has been shown, comments can reinforce existing biases through praise or simply provide information. This research found that certain types of comments were more valuable for prompting reflection and that specific strategies were also more successful in eliciting those types of comments.

What differentiates feedback for reflection from other forms is how it extends on the information provided to prompt individuals to consider their performance against some criteria. Using Image B of Figure 4 as an example, the artefact was published with a backstory which contextualised and broadened the character. This promoted richer feedback by providing specific features to discuss:

Participant 12 pointed out a lack of originality in the character, with its similarity to characters in Diablo 3 and World of Warcraft as well as some gaps in logic (such as the ‘fat’ neck and ‘funny’ muscles), which in turn prompted reflection on those aspects of the design that were clichéd or inaccurate. It is important to note the comment did not define exactly how to proceed with the mentioned adjustments, it did provide external reference
points that enabled the original idea of the character to be re-addressed. As a result, the purpose and effect of every feature was reanalyzed for its coherence.

As an internal thought process, reflection is not mediated by feedback but prompted by it, with the ultimate goal of the snowballing iterative cycle of ideation and adjustment shown in Figure 1. The best feedback for reflection, then, should inspire and introduce new ideas but not lay down the path of how to necessarily execute them. This should involve connecting the current state of the creative artefact (awareness) with its intended goal (monitoring) through evaluation (controlling). From this, metacognition grows knowledge and enables one to exercise control of that knowledge. Eliciting feedback that challenges one’s own assumptions, therefore, is an important strategy for prompting reflection to enhance metacognitive development of creativity.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

As has been shown in this case study, feedback is a potent tool to promote the metacognitive development of creativity. Blogs are a natural medium for this as they provide both the creative flexibility of publishing ideas and artefacts while engaging broadly with a community to garner comments. That said, consideration needs to be given to the different types of feedback received. A variety of forms are required to ensure that they provide information, motivate, facilitate good performance and prompt reflection. Many social media environments allow people to post work and gain comments such as ‘likes’, providing a statistical and objective base to count the perceived value of a post by others. However, without comments they do not provide remediation, support, or extra information. Open ended blogging environments such as WordPress can enable richer interaction but consideration still needs to be given to what artefacts are posted and how comments are elicited to maximize the value of feedback for creative development. With that in mind, the following recommendations are made:

1. Information which assists skills development is most beneficial when feedback articulates specifics about the published artefact and provides additional information to extend learning beyond initial ideas. This is best elicited by creative works that are published with an accompanying written elaboration from which the community can draw on, to provide informative and detailed feedback.

2. Feedback which strengthens motivation is most valuable when the feedback firstly praises the artefact and secondly draws attention to elements that deemed the artefact praiseworthy. Eliciting this kind of feedback requires published artefacts with high levels of refinement.

3. The best kind of feedback for good performance is where feedback allows for some kind of objective analysis. One way is to request a level of detail in
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comments to gauge their credibility and validity. Another is to create an objective measure such as a polling system. The number of likes or votes can allow the judgment of how well a post has resonated with the audience.

4. The most valuable feedback to prompt reflection identifies the artefact’s goal, draws on aspects of the artefact to provide new perspectives outside of the individual and encourages reflection on this information in terms of one’s own performance. This can be elicited through publishing written elaboration with the artefact showing clear sense of goals and generate sufficient detail in the artefact to prompt links between the current state in contrast to the desired state. This then facilitates how to identify and evaluate alternatives and then select a solution.

As environments that give artists opportunities to present ideas, reflect on them, and adjust them within a feedback rich environment, blogs are an ideal medium to assist in the metacognitive development of creativity. In this socially mediated world, understanding the nature of different types of feedback and having an ability to elicit the variety of types required to motivate, inform, build quality and prompt reflection will be key skills for higher education students who seek to enhance their creativity as developing artists.
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Making friends with your team: The benefits of raising learner awareness of intra-team relations
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Abstract
To highlight the importance of teamwork and intra-team relationships beyond the classroom, in a small study population of undergraduate students of Translation studies, we have attempted to raise awareness of teamwork processes so as to empower learners in managing their interaction and ensure they gain valuable pre-professional experience. Following specific input and the construction of randomized teams, we questioned learners about their previous and current experience of teamwork, their knowledge of team colleagues and the changes their relationships underwent, and prior academic performance versus expectations of current performance and how teamwork might influence this. Our results indicate teamwork substantially improved levels of intimacy, enhanced the quality of the experience, and raised awareness of the benefits of the team per se. However, some learners recognized personal difficulties with teamwork that they were unable to overcome and, in this context, “people problems” became increasingly important. Nonetheless, learners were convinced that teamwork would have a positive influence on their final grades.

Keywords: Tertiary education; Teamwork competencies; Intra-team relations; Translator training.
1. Introduction

Post-Bologna tertiary education embraces a broad-ranging concept of learner training with a specific focus on graduate employment prospects. Hence, generic competencies relating to the workplace form an integral part of undergraduate programs. However, in Translation Studies—and elsewhere?—learners generally remain unaware of the importance of teamwork and intra-team relationships and believe that teamwork has little relevance beyond the classroom. Foregrounding teamwork-related competencies (Robinson et al. 2016) to raise awareness of the processes involved should empower learners in managing their interaction and ensure they gain valuable pre-professional experience. Here, we look at three areas of team interaction and their relations with facets of learner experience: (1) learners’ conclusions about their teamwork experience versus their experience during the current module; (2) learner knowledge of colleagues and the changes their relationships undergo; and (3) prior academic performance, expectations of current performance, and learner perceptions of the influence teamwork might have on performance.

1.1. Awareness-raising input

To raise awareness of team processes and encourage learners to be proactive in dealing with issues arising from interpersonal interaction, task fulfilment, leadership, decision-making and conflict resolution we have drawn on business management and training (Birkenbihl 1977; Tuckman 1965), and elicited the experience of earlier cohorts to provide input on the theory and practice of small group learning (Robinson 2017). We discuss group formation and intra-group processes that receive little attention in tertiary education (Robinson et al. 2015). We describe Tuckman’s four-stage model so learners can appreciate that Forming, Storming, Norming and Performing are normal, natural processes they need to understand, accept and manage to benefit themselves and their colleagues. Earlier cohorts had expressed apprehension about teamwork (Robinson 2017) so our objectives for this input have been:

- To identify and define Tuckman’s four stages in the life of a team
- To identify crucial points in the group formation process, and
- To encourage a proactive response to “crises” so learners can see how
- To manage these “crises” and advance in team and task development
- To distinguish between cooperative and collaborative learning
- To promote genuine, interaction through cooperative learning
- To highlight the difficulties and underline the advantages of iterative interpersonal interaction in cooperative learning
- To allay learners’ quite reasonable fears
- To encourage learners to see the negative aspects of teamwork as obstacles that can be overcome
To reassure learners by quoting learners from earlier cohorts who had concluded that the positive aspects of teamwork outweigh the obstacles.

1.2. Objectives
The present study seeks to determine the nature and level of impact on learner awareness of intra-team interaction processes. Specifically, we hope to establish whether or not learners are more aware of (1) the added value of the team per se in improving task performance; (2) the individual’s responsibility to contribute to team performance; and (3) the potential personal and academic benefits of making a commitment to the team.

2. Method
This is a quantitative study of data collected via a Google Forms online survey and represents part of ongoing research based on learner-generated materials published elsewhere (Robinson et al. 2015, 2016 Robinson 2014, 2015, 2017). The learner population (n =31, respondents = 22 (71%)) came from a Specialized Translation module taught at the University of Granada (Spain). The module is delivered using a cooperative, project-based, methodology (Olvera-Lobo et al. 2007) involving randomly assigned teams (Robinson et al. 2015). Course assessment is continuous (60%)—three team translation tasks plus an individual midterm examination—and summative (40%)—an individual final examination. Final assessment favors the individual (55%) over the team (45%).

2.1. Participants
Demographic data show the group was typical of our context: 82% (18) women; age 20-23 (mode 21 years (15)); 86% (19) native speakers of Spanish, 13.5% (3) speakers of other European languages; 81.8% (18) from the University of Granada, 18.2% national or European exchange students; 95.5% (21) following programs in Translation Studies.

2.2. Instrument
Participants accepted a declaration of informed consent before providing demographic data. Then identified their team and labeled colleagues as “Person A”, “Person B”, and so on.

3. Results
3.1. Previous experience of teamwork vs. current experience
We asked learners to describe their previous experience of teamwork on a five-point scale ranging from 1 = Terrible to 5 = Excellent. They then recorded the best and the worst parts of that experience before responding to similar items about the current module.

Prior experience of teamwork was positive with 94.5% (21) choosing options three or four (Fig. 1). Responses referring to the current module ranged across the scale but the clear
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trend was towards “Excellent”. Some 40.9% chose “Excellent” and another 40.9% chose option three or option four. However, four learners chose option one (“Terrible”) or option two. To seek explanations for these responses we analyzed the short answer items, categorised topics, and quantified responses (Tables 1 and 2).

One learner reported that nothing had been good about his or her prior experience and three stated nothing had been bad. Six respondents considered that the best part of teamwork was learning from others and four signalled the benefits of positive interaction to produce the translation product. Learners were positive about the motivating stimulus they received when working with others and the benefits of feeling supported; the advantages of organizing and sharing work; and the resulting speed with which they completed their work.

Table 1. Best vs. worst of prior experience of teamwork

| Best parts                     | Nº responses | Worst parts                     | Nº responses |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| None                          | 1            | None                            | 3            |
| Learning from others          | 6            | Collective decision-making      | 1            |
| Dividing/sharing the work     | 3            | Logistics of meetings           | 5            |
| Productive interaction        | 4            | Modus operandi of individuals   | 2            |
| Motivation and support        | 3            | Antipathy towards others/teamwork| 3            |
| Speed                         | 3            | Time                            | 1            |
|                               |              | Communication                    | 1            |
|                               |              | Lack of commitment/responsibility| 6            |

Negative experiences centered on the lack of responsibility and/or commitment of others; the inevitable frustration at finding it difficult to arrange meetings; problems arising from the work styles of specific individuals; and individuals’ personal difficulties when working in teams.
Table 2. Best vs. worst of current experience of teamwork

| Best parts                  | Nº responses | Worst parts                    | Nº responses |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| None                       | 2            | None                           | 9            |
| Learning from others       | 4            | Modus operandi                 | 4            |
| Dividing/sharing the work  | 2            | Antipathy                      | 3            |
| Productive interaction     | 6            | towards others/self            |              |
|                            |              | Time                           | 4            |
| Motivation/commitment/personal growth/responsibility of colleagues | 7 | Conflict | 1 |

Two learners found nothing good about the current module and nine found nothing bad about it (Table 2). All the “best parts” are related to the processes involved in fulfilling team tasks and personal attitudes shown by colleagues. Seven negative comments focussed on “people problems”: relating to colleagues and individual difficulties in interacting successfully; lack of commitment or responsibility; conflict; antipathy towards others; and a personal difficulties when working in a team.

3.2. Interpersonal relations within teams

We asked our respondents how well they had known each other at the start and how well they knew each other at the end of the course. Responses were on a five-point scale: Not at all. Acquaintance. Casual friend. Close friend. Intimate friend. Table 3 illustrates changes in the level of intimacy of relationships over 12 weeks.

3.3. Effects of randomization

Randomization created teams in which 66% of relationships were between individuals who stated they knew each other “Not at all”; 28% were categorised as “Acquaintance” or “Casual friend”. Only 2.3% described another person as an “Intimate friend”. Hence, we had genuinely created teams of individuals who were new to each other. Consequently, we could expect changes in the degree of intimacy of their relations to appear over the semester. We classified changes in the level of intimacy from “Minus 2” through to “Plus 3” (Table 4, Fig. 2).
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Some 29.5% of relations showed “Zero change”. These mainly represented learners who began and ended the course without getting to know one of their colleagues (9.1%); a further 10.2% remained at the Acquaintance to Acquaintance level.

### Table 1 Course initial vs. course final levels of intimacy

| Initial relations     | Course-final relations |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| n = 22; nº colleagues = 4 | n = 22; nº colleagues = 4 |
| Not at all            | 65.91% (58)            | 11.36% (10)               |
| Acquaintance          | 19.32% (17)            | 43.18% (38)               |
| Casual friend         | 9.09% (8)              | 31.82% (28)               |
| Close friend          | 3.41% (3)              | 7.95% (7)                 |
| Intimate friend       | 2.27% (2)              | 3.41% (3)                 |
| No comment            | 0.00% (0)              | 2.27% (2)                 |
| Total responses       | 100.00% (88)           | 100.00% (88)              |

In contrast 63% of relationships increased in level of intimacy by one, two or even three degrees. Some 34.1% of relationships changed from “Not at all” to “Acquaintance”; 17% from “Not at all” to “Casual friend”; and a further 3.4% changed by three levels from “Not at all” to “Close friend”.

### Table 2. Changes in levels of intimacy

| Degree of change | Initial relations | Course-end relations | Percentage instances (n) |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Minus 2          | Casual friend     | Not at all           | 1.1% (1)                 |
| Minus 1          | Acquaintance      | Not at all           | 1.1% (1)                 |
| Minus 1          | Not at all        | No comment           | 1.1% (1)                 |
| Zero change      | No comment        | No comment           | 1.1% (1)                 |
| Zero change      | Not at all        | Not at all           | 9.1% (8)                 |
| Zero change      | Acquaintance      | Acquaintance         | 10.2% (9)                |
| Zero change      | Casual friend     | Casual friend        | 4.5% (4)                 |
| Zero change      | Close friend      | Close friend         | 2.3% (2)                 |
| Zero change      | Intimate friend   | Intimate friend      | 2.3% (2)                 |
| Plus 1           | Not at all        | Acquaintance         | 34.1% (30)               |
| Plus 1           | Acquaintance      | Casual friend        | 9.1% (8)                 |
| Plus 1           | Casual friend     | Close friend         | 2.3% (2)                 |
| Plus 1           | Close friend      | Intimate friend      | 1.1% (1)                 |
| Plus 2           | Not at all        | Casual friend        | 17.0% (15)               |
| Plus 3           | Not at all        | Close friend         | 3.4% (3)                 |
|                  |                   |                      | 100.0% (88)              |
These changes were contrasted in four instances: one respondent initially selected “No comment” with respect to one relationship and maintained this at the end of the course; another first selected “Not at all” and finally selected “No comment”; a third described a loss of intimacy of one level—from “Acquaintance” to “Not at all”; and a fourth respondent described a loss of two levels—from “Casual friend” to “Not at all”. These responses may indicate prior conflict or superficial relationships that deteriorated over the semester.

3.4. Influence on grades

We asked learners to report their grade for a similar module and their expectations for the current module. Figure 3 shows that 40.9% had achieved a Credit but 4.5% had failed the previous module. However, expectations were high with 77.3% expecting a Credit and 0% expecting a Fail. Interestingly, 13.6% reported a Distinction on the previous course and the same percentage expected to earn that same grade on the present module.

![Figure 2. Degree of difference in relations](image1)

Some 63.6% stated that the teamwork option would influence their final grade and 77.3% believed this influence would be positive.

![Figure 3. Previous grades (blues) vs. Expected grades (red)](image2)
4. Conclusions

In the present limited, small-scale study, we have found that:

- In randomized teams with 66% of new relationships, teamwork substantially improved levels of intimacy beyond mere “working relationships”
- Though prior experience of teamwork was good, on the module under study the quality of that experience was enhanced
- While some participants were already aware of the benefits of learning from each other, mutual motivation and support, an increasing number expressed their appreciation of these factors
- A few learners recognized personal difficulties with teamwork that they were unable to overcome
- Lack of commitment and lack of responsibility were “people problems” that became increasingly important on the module under study
- Learners were convinced that teamwork would have a positive influence on their final grades.
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