PROPAGATION OF LOCALIZATION OPTIMAL
ENTROPY PRODUCTION AND CONVERGENCE
RATES FOR THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM
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Abstract. We prove for the rescaled convolution map $f \to f \otimes f$ propagation of polynomial, exponential and gaussian localization. The gaussian localization is then used to prove an optimal bound on the rate of entropy production by this map. As an application we prove the convergence of the CLT to be at the optimal rate $1/\sqrt{n}$ in the entropy (and $L^1$) sense, for distributions with finite 4th moment.

Section 1. - Introduction, Notation, Preliminaries.

The Central limit Theorem (CLT) naturally leads to the analysis of the (nonlinear) rescaled convolution map, of a probability density with itself. Related maps appear in the study of Boltzmann type equations. A major issue is the convergence and rate in various norms for CLT. In this work, we will study the convergence in the strong norm $L^1$, and the stronger sense of convergence in relative entropy.

To find rate, we use monotonicity or entropy production estimates for the convolution map convergence in this sense was first established by Barron [Bar]. The corresponding result for the Boltzman equation was established by Carlen, Carvalho and Wennberg [CCW]. Such estimates have also allowed, via the method of [CS] to prove the CLT for dependent variables, in a nonperturbative way.

Our main tool is an optimal entropy production rate for the convolution map; such estimate depends critically on propagation of localization; to successfully apply then entropy production bound, one needs to show that the localization at infinity is not spoiled under iteration of the convolution map. We prove in sections 2 and 3 that polynomial exponential and, most importantly, gaussian localization are uniformly propagated the convolution map. These results are then used to derive the optimal entropy production bounds in the gaussian case, and as application gives the optimal $1/\sqrt{n}$ convergence of the CLT in the entropy, and $L^1$ norms, for gaussians (or better) localization, as well as the case of bounded moments to order 4.
Propagations of localization are important for other applications. For example, gaussian propagation of localization for the Boltzmann kernel would have major implications to asymptotic stability and more. [CC1,2, CGT, CELMR, Des, De94, GTN]

We conclude with some mention of possible applications. Our proof of the propagation of localization in the polynomial and exponential cases is rather direct. In the polynomial case it follows from moment estimates and in the exponential case by direct estimates on the generating function.

The Gaussian case is however much more difficult. It is based on a kind of asymptotic log concavity in the CLT, combined with a theorem of Brascamp and Lieb, and other analytic arguments. The estimates of entropy production uses linear approximation theory of the map, combined with gaussian propagation of localization to arrive at the leading entropy growth term. The propagation of gaussian localization, which is crucial for getting the optimal convergence rate for the CLT, is based on upper AND lower bounds on the distribution \( \rho \). Hence, if the distribution has a thin tail, it results in delocalization of the entropy, which breaks the needed estimates. This problem is usually overcome by assuming, on top of the localization, a spectral gap assumption [BaBN,Bart,Jon,Vil ].

We use a new construction to overcome this problem, thus avoiding the assumption of spectral gap, and extending the optimal convergence rates to arbitrarily gaussian localized distribution, with finite Fisher information.

As we shall show, if a density \( \rho \) has most of its mass localized in the sense of having sufficiently many moments bounded, and if we are given a bound on the Fisher information of \( \rho \), then the tails of \( \rho \) do not contribute significantly to the entropy of \( \rho \), not to the entropy production by rescaled contribution of \( \rho \). Without the bound on the Fisher information, this would not be the case at all. But since bounds on Fisher information are rescaled by iterated convolution, this opens the way to the following strategy for dealing with possibly thin tails: We approximate \( \rho_n \) by a new distribution, \( \tilde{\rho}_n \), which is obtained by stitching a gaussian tail to \( \rho \), for \( |x| \geq c\sqrt{n} \), and renormalizing the mean and variance. Then, we show that the monotonicity estimates are optimal for the stitched distribution, and the difference to \( \rho \) is exponentially small. The effect of the small errors is absorbed by the monotonicity (entropy production) bounds, similar to the way perturbations of the convolution map were treated in our paper [CS].

Our notation and preliminaries follow closely the paper [CS]. Here we briefly recall the main ingredients of entropy/information bounds. [CS, Dem, Lie78, Lie89, Bar]

Let \( X \) be an \( \mathbb{R}^m \) valued random variable on some probability space. Let \( \mu \) denote the law of \( X \). If \( d\mu(x) = \rho(x)dx \), we say that \( X \) has density \( \rho(x) \). \( m(x) \) stands for
the mean of \( x \), and \( m_j(x) \) for the \( j \) the moment of \( X \). The variance is then

\[
[\sigma(x)]^2 = E(|X - m(x)|^2)
\]

and \( X \) has variance 1 if \( [\sigma(x)] \) is the identity matrix. Let \( g_t \) denote the centered Gaussian density with variance \( t \):

\[
g_t(x) = (2\pi t)^{-m/2} e^{-x^2/2t}.
\]

\( g \equiv g_1 \).

The entropy of \( \rho \) is

\[
S(\rho) = -\int \rho \ln \rho dx
\]

and the relative entropy of \( \rho \) is

\[
D(\rho) = \int \frac{\rho(x)}{g(x)} \left( \ln \frac{\rho(x)}{g(x)} \right) g(x) dx.
\]

By Jensen’s inequality \( D(\rho) \geq 0 \) with equality just when \( \rho = g \). Clearly, if \( \rho \) has mean zero and unit variance

\[-\infty \leq S(\rho) \leq S(g)\]

and the upper bound is saturated only when \( \rho = g \).

Moreover, for \( \rho \) with mean zero and unit variance, which we will refer to as \( \rho \) being **normalized**, \( D(\rho) = S(g) - S(\rho) \).

For centered density \( \rho \) with \( \sigma^2(\rho) = T_r[\sigma(X)^2] \) \((m-\text{the dimension})\) and \( \sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \), the Sobolev space, we define the Fisher information

\[
I(\rho) = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\nabla \sqrt{\rho(x)}|^2 dx
\]

and the relative Fisher information, \( J(\rho) \) as

\[
J(\rho) = 4 \int |(\nabla + \frac{x}{2}) \sqrt{\rho(x)}|^2 dx
\]

Clearly, \( J(\rho) \geq 0 \) with \( J(\rho) = 0 \iff \rho = g \).
Also, note that, when \( J(\rho) < \infty \),
\[
J(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\nabla \ln \rho(x) - \nabla \ln g(x)|^2 \rho(x) dx.
\]
The origin of the convolution map is the following: Suppose \( X_1, X_2 \) are two independent random variables with densities \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \). For \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \) denote the density of \( \lambda X_1 + (1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2} X_2 \) by \( \rho_1 *_{1/\lambda} \rho_2 \). One computes
\[
\rho_1 *_{1/\lambda} \rho_2(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \rho_1(\lambda u - (1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2} v) \rho_2((1 - \lambda^2)^{1/2} u + \lambda v) dv.
\]
Let \( f \) be a bounded measurable function on \( \mathbb{R}^m \). Define the operator \( P_t, t > 0 \)
\[
P_t f(x) = Ef(e^{-t} x + (1 - e^{-2t})^{1/2} G)
\]
Then \( P_t \) is a contraction semigroup on each \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, g(x) dx) \) \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \). \( P_t^* \) denotes the adjoint in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) \). In particular, if \( X \) is a random variable with density \( \rho \)
\[
P_t^* \rho(x) \text{ is the density of } e^{-t} X + (1 - e^{-2t})^{1/2} G.
\]
We have the following relation between entropy and information, which is contained in [CS].

**Lemma.** Suppose \( \rho \) is a centered density with \( \sigma^2(\rho) \). Then \( t \to S(P_t^* \rho) \) is continuous and monotone increasing on \([0, \infty)\) with
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} S(P_t^* \rho) = S(g).
\]
Furthermore, when \( S(\rho) > -\infty \), \( t \to S(P_t^* \rho) \) is continuously differentiable on \((0, \infty)\) and
\[
S(P_t^* \rho) = S(\rho) + \int_0^t J(P_s^* \rho) ds
\]
and
\[
D(\rho) = \int_0^\infty J(P_t^* \rho) dt.
\]
We will also use the inequality
\[
D(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} J(x)
\]
due to Stam [Sta] which is equivalent to Gross’s logarithmic Sobolev inequality [Gro], [Ca].

The proof follows from

\[ D(x) = \int_0^\infty J(e^{-t}X + (1 - e^{-2t})^{1/2}G)dt \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-2t}J(X)dt. \]

using the Blackman-Stam inequality:

\[ J(e^{-t}X + (1 - e^{-2t})^{1/2}Y) \leq e^{-t}J(X) + (1 - e^{-2t})J(Y). \]

We also have the Kullback-Liebler inequality

\[ \|\rho - g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^m, dx)}^2 \leq 2D(\rho). \]

The main inequality we prove for entropy production is that under favorable assumption on both smoothness and gaussian localization of \( \rho \),

\[ S(\rho \ast_{\sqrt{2}} \rho) - S(\rho) \geq CD(\rho). \]

Our previous work only gave a lower bound of the form \( \Phi(\rho(J(\rho))) \), [CS]. The application of this inequality requires that localization and smoothness is maintained under repeated iteration. So, for this we prove that gaussian (polynomial and exponential) localization is uniform in \( n \) for

\[ \rho_n = \rho \ast_{\sqrt{2}} \rho \cdots \ast_{\sqrt{2}} \rho, \quad n \text{ times}. \]

We now state the main theorem with convergence rate:

**Theorem (Optimal Entropy convergence).** Let \( \rho \) be a regular, normalized, variance 1 and with bounded 4th moment distribution:

\[ I(\rho) < \infty, \]

\[ \|\rho|x|^4\|_1 < c < \infty. \]

Then

\[ |D(\rho_N)| \leq c/N, \]

and \( N := 2^n \). In particular, the CLT holds in the Entropy (and \( L^1 \)) sense with the optimal convergence rate \( 1/\sqrt{n} \).
Section 2. Propagation of Localization I - Polynomial and Exponential.

Let \( \rho \) be normalized distribution, localized exponentially:

\[
\rho_\alpha(x) \equiv \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} e^{\alpha x} \rho(x)
\]

with \( \rho_\alpha(x) \) bounded and in \( L^1 \): here \( \lambda(\alpha) \) is the normalization constant so that

\[
\int \rho_\alpha(x) dx = 1.
\]

Therefore

\[
\lambda(\alpha) = \int e^{\alpha x} \rho(x)
\]

Theorem 2.1 (Exponential Localization). Let \( \rho \) be a distribution in \( L^1 \) and such that \( \lambda(\alpha) < \infty \) for \( \alpha \leq A, A > 0 \).

Then

\[
L_{\rho_n}(\alpha) \equiv \int e^{\alpha x} \rho_n(x) dx = \int e^{\alpha x} \sqrt{n} \rho * \cdots * \rho(\sqrt{n}x) dx \leq 2e^{\alpha^2/2}
\]

for all \( \alpha < A \).

Remark. The above identity, of equation 2.4, is due to Cramer [Cr].

Proof. First we compute the convolution

\[
\rho_\alpha * \rho_\alpha = \lambda(\alpha)^{-2} \int e^{\alpha(x-y)} \rho(x-y)e^{\alpha y} \rho(y) dy
\]

\[
= \lambda(\alpha)^{-2} \int e^{\alpha x} \rho(x-y) \rho(y) dy = (\rho \ast \rho)_\alpha.
\]

Therefore since

\[
\rho_n = \sqrt{n} \rho \ast \cdots \ast \rho(\sqrt{n}x), \quad n \text{ times}
\]

we have

\[
L_{\rho_n}(\alpha) = \int e^{\alpha x} \sqrt{n} \rho \ast \cdots \ast \rho(\sqrt{n}x) dx
\]

\[
= \int e^{\alpha \sqrt{n} y} \rho \ast \cdots \ast \rho(y) dy
\]

\[
= L_{\rho}(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n}})
\]
by (2.5).
Next, we expand $L_\rho(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n}})$ around zero, to get

$$L_\rho(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}) = 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2n} + \frac{\alpha^3}{6 n^{3/2}} L_\rho^{(3)}(b)$$

for some $0 \leq b \leq \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}$.

$$|L_\rho^{(3)}(b)| = \left| \int x^3 e^{bx} \rho(x) dx \right| \leq C_\varepsilon L_\rho(b + \varepsilon)$$

and we always choose $b + \varepsilon \leq A$.

Finally,

$$L_\rho_n(\alpha) = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2n} + \bar{\tau}_\varepsilon n^{-3/2}\right)^n \to e^{\alpha^2/2} \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

so $L_\rho_n(a) \leq 2e^{\alpha^2/2}$ for all $n$. \qed

**Theorem 2.2 (Polynomial Localization).** Assume for $N_0$ fixed, $N_0 > 2$

$$\int |x|^{N_0} \rho(x) dx \equiv M_{N_0}(\rho) < d_0 < \infty.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

Let $\rho_n$ be the normalized $n$-convolution as before.

Then, there exists $d > 0$ such that

$$M_{N_0}(\rho_n) < d(N_0, d_0), \text{ uniformly in } n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

**Remark.** Similar results with weak localization were proved in [CS]; they are optimal in the conditions of localization, where Lindenberg type condition is used. The proof for such weak localization is more involved.

**Proof.** Consider first $N_0 = 2k$, $k$ integer. It is enough to consider the even case of distribution.

So let $k = 2$, $\rho, \eta$ even:

$$I_{4,\theta} = \int x^4 \eta(\cos \theta x + \sin \theta y) \rho(-\sin \theta x + \cos \theta y) dx dy$$

$$= \int (u \cos \theta + v \sin \theta)^4 \eta(u) \rho(v) du dv = \cos^4 \theta M_4(\rho) + 6 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta$$

$$+ \sin^4 \theta M_4(\rho)$$
where we used evenness, and the fact that $M_2(\rho) = M_2(\eta) = \int x^2 \rho = \int x^2 \eta = 1$. (Recall that we always assume that $M_2(\rho) = 1$).

Completing to squares, we get from (2.9):

\[(2.10) \quad I_{4, \theta} = (M_{4}^{1/2}(\eta) \cos^2 \theta + M_{4}^{1/2}(\rho) \sin^2 \theta)^2 + 2(3 - M_{4}^{1/2}(\rho) M_{4}^{1/2}(\eta)) \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta.
\]

For the gaussian distribution $g$

\[M_4(g) = 3\]

Therefore, if $M_4(\rho), M_4(\eta) \leq 3$ the $M_4$ moment increases under convolution to approach 3.

On the other hand, if both $M_4$ are larger than 3, then

\[M_4(\rho_2) \text{ decreases, so}
\]

\[(2.11) \quad M_4(\rho_2) < \max\{M_4(\rho), M_4(\eta)\}.
\]

By Jensen’s inequality

\[M_4(\rho) \geq \left(\int x^2 \rho dx\right)^2 \geq 1\]

so that

\[M_{4}^{1/2}(\rho) M_{4}^{1/2}(\eta) \geq \min\{M_{4}^{1/2}(\rho), M_{4}^{1/2}(\eta)\}\]

and hence

\[3 - M_{4}^{1/2}(\rho) M_{4}^{1/2}(\eta) \geq 0 \text{ only if}
\]

\[\max\{M_{4}^{1/2}(\rho), M_{4}^{1/2}(\eta)\} \leq 3.
\]

We conclude that

\[M_4(\rho_2) \leq \max\{M_4(\rho), M_4(\eta), 9\}
\]

\[\rho_2 \equiv \rho * \theta \eta.
\]

After iteration, we therefore get

\[M_4(\rho_n) \leq \max\{M_4(\rho), M_4(\eta), 9\}.
\]

In the case $k > 2$, arbitrary we have in a similar way

\[\int \int x^{2k} \eta(\theta) \rho(\theta) dxdy = M_{2k}(\rho) \cos^{2k} \theta + M_{2k}(\eta) \sin^{2k} \theta + R_k
\]
where $R_k$ are lower order moments (in powers of $k$). And as before, we estimate the above equality by

$$\leq (C_{2k} \cos^2 \theta + C_{2k} \sin^2 \theta) = C_{2k}$$

with

$$C_{2k} \equiv \max\{M_{2k}(\rho), M_{2k}(\eta), C_{2k-1}\},$$

from which the result follows.

The general case now follows from the following Proposition (2.3) □

**Definition.** For a random variable $X$, we define the $\psi$-function of $X$ as

$$\psi(R) = E1_{\{X \geq R\}}X^2 = \int_{|x| \geq R} x^2 \rho(x) dx$$

$E$-expectation, $1_{\{A\}}$ is indicator function of $A$.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with $p$ finite moments, uniformly in $j$, in the integral sense:

$$\psi_j(R) \leq \psi(R)$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \psi(R) R^{p-3} dR < C_\psi < \infty.$$

Here $\psi_j(R)$ is the $\psi$-function of $X_j$.

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C$, depending only on $C_\psi$ and $\varepsilon$ such that

$$\langle |Z_{2^n}|^{p-\varepsilon} \rangle \leq C(C_\psi, \varepsilon)$$

where

$$Z_{2^n} \equiv \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-1}} X_j + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-1}} X_{j+2^{n-1}}.$$

**Proof.** We prove it only for the normalized case where all variances are 1.

Let $2k < p < 2k + 2$ be given.

$$Z_{2^n} = 2^{-n/2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} X_j = 2^{-n/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} U_j + \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} V_j \right)$$

(2.13)
with
\[ U_j = X_j - V_j \]
\[ V_j = X_j 1_{\{X_j \leq K\}}. \]

Then
\[ \psi_{Z_{2^n}}(R) = E 1_{\{Z_{2^n} \geq R\}} Z_{2^n}^2 \leq E 1_{\{Z_{2^n} \geq R\}} \left[ 2 \left\{ 2^{-n/2} \sum U_j \right\}^2 + 2 \left\{ 2^{-n/2} \sum V_j \right\}^2 \right]. \]  

(2.14)

The second term on the r.h.s. of (2.14) is bounded by \( 2 \psi(K) \) and the first term is controlled by Hölder’s inequality:

\[ \text{first term} \leq P(|Z| \geq R) \frac{K^{k+1}}{k+1} (M_{2k+2}(\bar{U}))^{k+1} \]
\[ \bar{U} \equiv 2^{-n/2} \sum U_j \]
\[ M_{2k+2}(\bar{U}) \leq CM_{2k+2}(U_1) \leq \bar{C} K^{2k+2-p} \]

by the even case, where \( \bar{C} \) is the \( p \)-th moment of \( U_1 \)

\[ P(|Z| \geq R) \leq R^{-2} \psi(R). \]

Combining all this we get
\[ \psi_{Z_{2^n}}(R) \leq CR^{-2k/(k+1)} \psi(R) \frac{K^{k+1}}{k+1} + 2 \psi(K) \]

(2.15)

Now, choose \( K = R \) in (2.15), to get
\[ \psi_{Z_{2^n}}(R) \leq CR^{\frac{2}{k+1}} \psi(R) \frac{K^{k+1}}{k+1} + 2 \psi(R). \]

Multiplying by \( R^{p-3-\varepsilon} \) and using Hölder’s inequality again, the result follows. \( \square \)

**Section 3. Propagation of Localization II - Gaussian.**

Now we assume that \( \rho \) is gaussian localized, normalized distribution:

\[ \int \rho dx = 1 = \int x^2 \rho dx \]
\[ |e^{cx^2} \rho(x)| < C_0 \text{ for some } c > 0, |x| \rightarrow \infty. \]

We use * to denote convolution and â to denote the normalized (rescaled) convolution: \( \ast = \sqrt{2}. \)
Theorem 3.1. Let \( \rho \) be as above and assume furthermore that 
\[
\rho = gF
\]
and \( F \) is logconcave (\( \ln F \) is concave).
Then \( \rho_n = \sqrt{n} \rho * \cdots \rho (\sqrt{n} x) \) is gaussian localized, uniformly in \( n \).

Proof. By Brascamp-Lieb we have that:
\[
\rho \ast \rho = gF
\]
(3.2)
\[
\rho \ast \rho = \int g\left(\frac{x + y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)g\left(\frac{x - y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)F\left(\frac{x + y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)F\left(\frac{x - y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)dy
\]
\[
= g(x) \int g(y)F\left(\frac{x + y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)F\left(\frac{x - y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)dy = gF_2
\]
with \( F_2 \) logconcave.

Next, we need the following proposition

Proposition 3.2 (Brascamp-Lieb).
For \( g \) Gaussian,
\[
\int x^{2m} gF dx \leq \int x^{2m} g dx
\]
when \( \int gF dx = 1 \), and \( F \) logconcave.

From this proposition it follows that
\[
\int e^{\beta x^2} gF dx \leq \int e^{\beta x^2} g dx
\]
(3.4)
Since in our case \( \rho_n = gF_n \), we get
\[
\rho_n^2 = g^2 F_n^2 = (\int g^2 F_n^2 dx)(\int g^2 F_n^2 dx)^{-1} g^2 F_n^2 = \|\rho_n\|_{L^2}^2 g^2 F
\]
\( F \) logconcave (since \( F_n \) is logconcave).

Hence,
\[
\int e^{\beta x^2} \rho_n^2 dx \leq \|\rho_n\|_{L^2}^2 \int e^{\beta x^2} g^2 dx < \infty.
\]
\( \square \)

Remark. If \( \rho \) is regularized as \( \rho \rightarrow \rho_t \equiv \rho \ast g_t \) we have
\[
\int e^{\beta x^2} \rho_{t,n} = \int \rho_n \ast g_t e^{\beta x^2} = \int \rho_n g_t e^{\beta x^2} = \int \rho_n e^{\beta_t x^2}
\]
(3.6) with \( \beta_t \sim \beta \).

It remains to show that, sufficiently smooth gaussian localized \( \rho \), will have the form \( gF \) after sufficiently many iterations.

Next, we demonstrate such cases:
Theorem 3.3. Let

\begin{equation}
\rho = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2) + p(x)
\end{equation}

and assume that

\begin{equation}
\left| \int e^{\alpha x} p(x) dx \right| \leq C_1 e^{\alpha^2 / 2 - \epsilon}, \epsilon > 0,
\end{equation}

and $p$ smooth.

Then, for $n$ sufficiently large, $\rho_n = gF$ with $F$ logconcave.

Proof. Let, as before

\begin{align*}
\rho_\alpha &= \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} e^{\alpha x} \rho(x) \\
\lambda(\alpha) &= \int e^{\alpha x} \rho(x) dx
\end{align*}

we have a lower bound on $\lambda(\alpha)$:

\begin{align*}
\lambda(\alpha) &= e^{\alpha^2 / 2} + \int e^{\alpha x} p(x) dx
\end{align*}

so, by (3.8) it follows that

\begin{equation}
\lambda(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2} (e^{\alpha^2 / 2} - c) \text{ for } \alpha > \alpha_0(C_1, \epsilon)
\end{equation}

where $\alpha_0$ is approximately $(\ln c_1)^\beta$, some $\beta > 0$.

Now,

\begin{align*}
\lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \int (x - m_\alpha)^4 e^{\alpha x} p(x) dx &= \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \int (x - m_\alpha)^4 (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/2} e^{\alpha x} dx \\
&+ \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \int (x - m_\alpha)^4 e^{\alpha x} p(x) dx \\
&\equiv I_1 + I_2
\end{align*}

\begin{equation}
I_1 = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int \left\{ |x - \alpha|^4 + 6|x - \alpha|^2 (m_\alpha - \alpha)^2 + (m_\alpha - \alpha)^4 + \text{ odd terms } \right\} \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} e^{\alpha^2 / 2} \\
\times e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\alpha)^2} dx \\
&\leq \{3 + 6(m_\alpha - \alpha)^2 + (m_\alpha - \alpha)^4 + 0\} 2e^{\alpha^2 / 2} / (e^{\alpha^2 / 2} - C_1).
\end{equation}
Therefore \( I_1 \) remains bounded uniformly in \( \alpha \), if \(|m_\alpha - \alpha| \leq C_0\) uniformly in \( \alpha \). Furthermore, \( I_2 \) is small when \( \alpha \) is large, by our assumptions on \( p(x) \).

Now,

\[
m_\alpha = \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \int xe^{\alpha x} p(x) \, dx = \alpha + \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \int xe^{\alpha x} p(x) \, dx
\]

which implies that the r.h.s of (3.11) is uniformly bounded in \( \alpha \). To conclude, 3.10 - 3.11 implies that the fourth moment is uniformly bounded; and the second moment is close to 1.

Next,

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \rho_\alpha = \rho'_\alpha = \text{nice} + \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} e^{\alpha x}(\alpha p + p'(x))
\]

where nice stands for terms which are uniformly bounded in \( \alpha \), so,

\[
\|\rho'_\alpha\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\text{nice}\|^2 + \lambda(\alpha)^{-2}\|e^{\alpha x}(\alpha p + p'(x))\|_{L^2}^2.
\]

\[
\int |e^{\alpha x}(\alpha p + p')|^2 = \int e^{2\alpha x}(p')^2 \, dx - \int e^{2\alpha x} \alpha^2 p^2 \, dx
\]

so, to prove uniformly of a bound on (3.12), in \( \alpha \), we only need to bound

\[
\lambda(\alpha)^{-2} \int e^{2\alpha x}(p')^2 \, dx \leq C, \text{ uniformly in } \alpha,
\]

which is implied by our conditions on \( p \).

Now, taking the n-th normalized convolution of \( \rho_\alpha, \rho_\alpha^{(n)} \) we know by the polynomial propagation of localization, Thm 2.1, and by the entropy production bounds of [CS] that

\[
S(\rho_\alpha^{(n+1)}) - S(\rho_\alpha^{(n)}) > \Phi(S(g_\alpha) - S(g_\alpha^{(n)})).
\]

We use that convolution improves or preserves the smoothness of \( \rho \), therefore we can take \( \rho \) to be independent of \( \rho_u \). see [CS]: The function \( \Phi \rho \) was obtained thorough a compactness argument, and was not computable. On the other hand, we were able to show that \( \Phi_\rho(t) \) was strictly increasing as a function at \( t \), and hence \( \Phi_\rho(t) > 0 \) data \( \rho \). Moreover \( \Phi_\rho(t) \) depended on \( \rho \) only in a way that was invariant under the convolution map, so that the same function \( \Phi \) could be used at each stage in the treated convolution. This act was crucial in our application which requires us to absorb the effect of dependence.

In this paper we will estimate \( \Phi_\rho \). We will place more restrictive conditions on \( \rho \), but shall obtain quantitative information on \( \Phi_\rho \) in return.

Hence, \( \rho_\alpha^{(n)} \) converges to a gaussian in entropy, \( S \), and so in \( L^1 \). By smoothness, all derivatives also converge, uniformly in \( \alpha \).
Now, it follows that for \( n > n_0 \),
\[
-(\ln \rho_{(n+1)'})'' |_{x=0} \geq 1 - \varepsilon
\]
and since, moreover \( \alpha \to m_\alpha \) covers \( \mathbb{R} \), we have that
\[
-(\ln \rho_{(n+1)'})'' \geq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ for all } x.
\]
Hence,
\[
\rho_{(n+1)} = \rho_{n+1} = e^{-(1-\varepsilon)x^2/2} F
\]
with \( F \) logconcave. \( \square \)

**Remark.** If \( \rho \) is not smooth, then we apply the theorems to \( \rho = M \otimes \rho \) with \( M \) gaussian. For such \( \rho \) the condition on \( \rho' \) is satisfied whenever we have the bound 3.8, since
\[
\rho' = M' \otimes p.
\]
Furthermore, the gaussian localization of \( (M \otimes \rho)_n \) implies that of \( \rho_n \), since
\[
(M \otimes \rho) \otimes (M \otimes \rho) = M \otimes (\rho \otimes \rho)
\]
so, since \( M \) is well localized, \( \rho \otimes \rho \otimes \cdots \rho \) is well localized whenever
\[
(M \otimes \rho) \otimes (M \otimes \rho) \otimes (M \otimes \rho) \text{ is well localized.}
\]

**Section 4. Entropy Production.**

In this section, we prove optimal entropy production bounds for the convolution map.

Recall the following formula for the Entropy production by convolution [CS]

\[
S(\rho \otimes \rho) - S(\rho) = \int_0^\infty J(\rho_t \otimes \rho_t) - J(\rho_t) dt
\]

where \( S \) is the entropy and \( J \) is the relative information.
\( \rho_t \) is the map, up to time \( t \) of \( \rho \) under the Orenstein-Uhlenbek process.
Also from [CS, Bar] we have the following bounds

\[
|\nabla \sqrt{\rho(x)}|^2 \leq B_t P_t^* \rho(x)
\]

which, by the way of the localization of \( \rho \) implies that \( |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \) is similarly localized.
Also, recall the definition of the \( \psi \) function
\[
\psi(R) = \int_{|x| \geq R} x^2 \rho(x) dx.
\]
Define
\[
J_R(\rho) = 4 \int_{|x| \geq R} |(\nabla + \frac{x}{2}) \sqrt{\rho}|^2 dx.
\]
Lemma 4.1.

\begin{equation}
J_R(\rho) \leq 2\psi(R) + 8(1 + R^2)^{-1}B_t \psi(R).
\end{equation}

Proof. Follows from (4.2) and the definition of \( \psi(R) \).

Lemma 4.2.

\begin{equation}
P_t^* \psi(R) \leq \psi_\rho(R/2) + \psi_\rho(R/2)
\end{equation}

Proof. See [CS]

We can now state the main entropy production bound: (see CC1, CS for similar results with weaker nonlinear (lower bounds) in \( D(\rho) \), in the case of Boltzman equation and the CLT, respectively. However, those results do hold for general \( \rho \); i.e. finite variance and finite entropy are the only conditions imposed.)

Theorem 4.3. Let \( \rho \) satisfy \( J(\rho), S(\rho) \) finite, \( \rho \) smooth, and have a finite second moment.

(1) Suppose that \( K \geq g/\rho \geq 1/K \) for some constant \( K \). Then

\begin{equation}
S(\rho \otimes \rho) \geq \frac{K}{2} D(\rho).
\end{equation}

(2) More generally, define \( R_\epsilon \) so that

\[ 2\psi_\rho(R_\epsilon) + 8(1 + R_\epsilon^2)^{-1} + \psi_\rho(R_\epsilon/2) + \psi_\rho(R_\epsilon/2) < J(\rho)/2 := \epsilon. \]

Suppose that \( g/\rho \) is bounded below by \( K_\epsilon \) on the ball of radius \( R_\epsilon \). Then

\begin{equation}
S(\rho \otimes \rho) \geq C_\epsilon D(\rho).
\end{equation}

where \( C_\epsilon \) depends only on \( \epsilon \) and \( \psi_\rho \).

Remark. The constant \( C_\epsilon \) depends on the localization of the relative Fisher information, and the distance of the distribution \( \rho \) from the normalized Gaussian. Therefore, an estimate with known, uniformly bounded constant, would require controlling such quantities. This follows when we have propagation of Gaussian localization, as in Section 3. Alternatively, one may expect to prove propagation of localization for the relative Fisher information, which we do not have. In Section 5, we use a new construction (stitching), to obtain uniform bounds for \( C_\epsilon \).

Proof.

If \( \rho = g \) there is nothing to prove.
For $\rho \neq g, J(\rho) > 0$. So assume $J(\rho) = \varepsilon$. We now choose $R$ so large that

$$J_R(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2} J(\rho)$$

$R(\varepsilon)$ is fixed by

$$2\psi(R) + C_t \tilde{\psi}(R)/(1 + R^2) \leq \varepsilon/2$$

with $\tilde{\psi} \equiv P_t^* \psi$.

Next, we use the lower bound, proposition (4.4) below:

$$J(\rho_t) - J(\rho_t \otimes \rho_t) \geq F_{a,\tau}$$

$$\equiv \inf_{c,d} \left\{ E \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \ln \rho_t \left( \frac{\tau G}{a} \right) + cG + d \right] \right\}^2$$

$$= \inf_{c,d} \int |\nabla \ln \rho_t(x) + cx + d|^2 g(x)dx$$

$$\geq \int_{|x| \leq R(\varepsilon)} |\nabla \ln \rho_t(x) - c^* x - d^*|^2 g(x)dx$$

for some $c^*, d^*$.

This last expression is then equal to

$$= \int_{|x| \leq R(\varepsilon)} |Q|^2 g(x) \rho_t(x)dx$$

$$\geq \int_{|x| \leq R(\varepsilon)} |Q|^2 \rho_t(x)dx \cdot \| \frac{\rho_t(x)}{g(x)} \|_{L^\infty(|x| \leq R(\varepsilon))}^{-1}$$

with $Q = \nabla \ln \rho_t(x) - C^* x - d^*$,

and we also have

$$\int_{|x| > R(\varepsilon)} |\nabla \ln \rho_t(x) - c^* x - d^*|^2 \rho(x)dx \leq \varepsilon/2.$$  

Finally, (4.8) and (4.9) imply

$$J(\rho_t) - J(\rho_t \otimes \rho_t) \geq \| \frac{\rho}{g} \|_{L^\infty(|x| \leq R(\varepsilon))}^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \ln \rho_t - c^* x - d^*|^2 \rho_t dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \| \frac{\rho}{g} \|_{L^\infty(R(\varepsilon))}^{-1} J(\rho_t).$$

The theorem now follows from this last inequality and (4.1). □
Proposition 4.4.

\[(4.11) \quad J(\rho_t) - J(\rho_t \ast \rho_t) \geq F_{a,\tau} \equiv \inf_{c,d} \left\{ \int |\frac{d}{dx} \ln \rho_t(x) + cx + d|^2 g(x)dx \right\}. \]

Proof. Introduce the convolution operator \( C_{\rho,\theta} \)

\[ C_{\rho,\theta}f \equiv \int f(\langle e_1, R_\theta(x,y) \rangle) \rho(y)dy \]

where \( \langle , \rangle \) is the scalar product in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( e_1 = (1,0) \) and \( R_\theta \) is rotation in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) by \( \theta \).

\[ C_{\rho,\theta} : L^2(\rho) \to L^2(\rho) \]

for any \( \rho = g, g \) gaussian and \( \theta = e^{-t} \), \( C_{\rho,t} \) becomes the Orenstein-Uhlenbek process.

In this case \( C_{\rho,\theta} \) is self-adjoint and its eigenvalues are \( \cos^n \theta \).

In general \( C_{\rho,\theta} \) is not bound on \( L^2 \) and is selfadjoint only for \( \rho = g \).

Let \( \Pi_j \) denote the projection on the subspace of the first \( j \) eigenvectors of \( C_{\rho,\theta} \).

\[ \Pi_j + \bar{\Pi}_j = 1. \]

Now, consider

\[ I_\theta \equiv \int \int |h(x) + h(y) - \bar{h}(R_\theta(x,y))|^2 \rho(x)\rho(y)dxdy. \]

The following lemma is essentially due to Brown [Br]. See [CC2] for an adaptation to the Boltzmann equation setting.

Lemma 4.5 (Linear Approximation Lemma).

\[(4.12) \quad I_\theta \geq C_\theta \inf_{a,b} \int |h(x) - ax - b|^2 \rho(x)dx. \]

See [Br]. Here we use it with \( \theta = \pi/4 \).

Section 5. How to deal with thin tails.
Lemma 5.1. Let $\rho$ be a probability density with $I(\rho) < \infty$. Then for $q > 1$ and $R > 0$,
\[
\int_{\{|x| > R\}} \rho^q(x)dx \leq I(\rho)^{q-1} \left( \int_{\{|x| > R\}} \rho(x)dx \right).
\]

Proof: Let $f := \sqrt{\rho}$. Using the bound $\|f\|_\infty^2 \leq 2\|f\|_2\|\nabla f\|_2$ for functions on $R$,
\[
\int_{\{|x| > R\}} \rho^q(x)dx = \int_{\{|x| > R\}} f^2 f^{2(q-1)}(x)dx \leq \left( \int_{\{|x| > R\}} \rho(x)dx \right) (2\|\nabla f\|_2)^{2(q-1)}.
\]
Recall that $2\|\nabla f\|_2 = \sqrt{I(\rho)}$. □

Lemma 5.2. Let $\rho$ be a probability density with $I(\rho) < \infty$ and finite second moment. Then
\[
\int_{|x| \geq R} \rho \ln \rho |dx| \leq 2I(\rho)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\{|x| > R\}} \rho(x)dx \right) + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \left( \int_{|x| > R} \rho (1 + |x|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Proof: Fix any $r > 0$. On the set $\{|\rho| > 1\}$,
\[
|\rho| \ln \rho = \rho \ln \rho \leq \frac{1}{r} (\rho^{1+r} - \rho) \leq \frac{1}{r} \rho^{r+1}.
\]
By the previous lemma,
\[
\int_{\{|\rho| \geq 1\} \cap \{|x| \geq R\}} \rho |\ln \rho| \leq \frac{1}{r} I(\rho)^r \left( \int_{\{|x| > R\}} \rho(x)dx \right).
\]

On the set $\{|\rho| < 1\}$,
\[
|\rho| \ln \rho = \rho \ln \frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{r} (\rho^{1-r} - \rho) \leq \frac{1}{r} \rho^{1-r}.
\]
Therefore, by Hölder,
\[
\int_{\{|\rho| \leq 1\} \cap \{|x| \geq R\}} \rho |\ln \rho| \leq \frac{1}{r} \int_{|x| \geq R} \rho^{1-r} < x << x >^{-1} dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{r} \left( \int_{|x| \geq R} \rho < x >^{1/(1-r)} dx \right)^{1-r} \left( \int < x >^{-1/r} \right)^r.
\]
Choosing $r = 1/2$, we obtain the result. □
Proposition 5.3. Let $\rho$ be a probability density mean zero, unit variance, $I(\rho) < \infty$ and finite third moment. Let

$$\rho_n = \rho \ast \rho \ast \ldots \ast \rho \text{ $n$-times}.$$ \hspace{1cm}

Then there exists a constant $c$ such that for all $n$,

$$\int_{|x|<R} |\rho_n - g| dx \leq cR^{2-n/2}.$$ \hspace{1cm}

$$\int_{|x|<R} |\rho_n/g - 1| dx \leq cRe^{R^2/2-2n/2}.$$ \hspace{1cm}

Proof: See Feller or Major

We are now ready to define the stitching operations.

Recall the definition

$$\rho_{2n} := \sqrt{2} \rho_{n-1} \ast \rho_{n-1} (\sqrt{2} x),$$

with $\int x^2 \rho_n = \int x^2 \rho_0 = 1$. We further define $N := 2^n$. Then, we let, for some fixed $c > 0$,

$$\tilde{\rho}_n := \rho_n \chi_{c\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (1 - \chi_{c\sqrt{n}}),$$

where

$$\chi_m := h_0 \ast I_{[-m,m]},$$

with a nonnegative mollifier function $h_0$, satisfying: $h_0 \geq 0$, $h_0 \in C_0^\infty$, Support of $h_0 \in [-1,1]$, $\int h_0 = 1$. Here $I_B$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $B$. We then normalize:

$$\tilde{\rho}_n(x) := c_n \tilde{\rho}_n (d_n x - e_n),$$

such that

$$\int \tilde{\rho}_n = 1, \int x^2 \tilde{\rho}_n = 1,$$

$$\int x \tilde{\rho}_n = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm}

Writing $c_n = 1 + \epsilon_n$, $d_n = 1 + \epsilon'_n$, $e_n = 1 + \epsilon''_n$, it follows, by an application of the local central Limit Theorem, and localization, that the $\epsilon_n$’s tend to zero, as $n$ goes to infinity.
Proposition 5.4. Let $S$ denote the entropy functional, as before, and $\rho_n, \tilde{\rho}_n$ defined as above. Then,

$$S(\rho_n) - S(\tilde{\rho}_n) = r(n^{1/2})^{-1}.$$

$r(k)$ tends to infinity as $k$ goes to infinity. Moreover, if $\rho_1$ is polynomially localized to order $2m + 2$, then $r(k)$ grows like $k^m$; for $\rho_1$ exponentially localized, $r(k)$ is exponentially growing in $k$.

Proof:

$$S(\rho_n) - S(\tilde{\rho}_n) = \int_{|x| \leq c\sqrt{n}} (\rho_n \ln \rho_n - \tilde{\rho}_n \ln \tilde{\rho}_n) + R_n$$

where

$$R_n = \int_{|x| \geq c\sqrt{n}} (\rho_n \ln \rho_n - \tilde{\rho}_n \ln \tilde{\rho}_n).$$

If $\rho_1$ is polynomially localized, to order $2m$, (respectively, exponentially localized), then by our previous results on propagation of localization, in these cases, the localization persists, uniformly in $n$. Since the range of integration in the $R_n$ term is $|x| \geq c\sqrt{n}$, the bound $R_n = r(n^{1/2})^{-1}$ follows.

It remains to control the other part of the integration region. In this region we have that:

$$\tilde{\rho}_n = \frac{\rho_n}{1 + \epsilon_n},$$

and therefore,

$$\int_{|x| \leq c\sqrt{n}} (\rho_n \ln \rho_n - \tilde{\rho}_n \ln \tilde{\rho}_n)$$

$$= \int_{|x| \leq c\sqrt{n}} (\rho_n \ln \rho_n - (1 + \epsilon_n)^{-1} \rho_n) [\ln \rho_n - \ln(1 + \epsilon_n)]$$

$$= \int_{|x| \leq c\sqrt{n}} \rho_n \ln \rho_n (1 - \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_n})$$

$$+ \int_{|x| \leq c\sqrt{n}} (1 + \epsilon_n)^{-1} \rho_n \ln(1 + \epsilon_n).$$

Since the entropy is uniformly bounded in $n$, and the $\rho_n$ are all normalized to 1, the proof follows, if we show that

$$\epsilon_n = r(n^{1/2})^{-1}.$$

This last estimate follows directly from the definition of the stitched distribution:

$$\int \tilde{\rho}_n = \int_{|x| \leq c\sqrt{n}} \rho_n + R_n = R_n + R_n + 1.$$
Proposition 5.5.

Let $N$ be defined as before, for any fixed $n$. Assume that $\rho$ satisfies the normalization conditions as before, and furthermore it is Gaussian, exponential or polynomially (of order $p \geq 4$) localized:

$$\|e^{bx^2}\rho\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad b > 0.$$  
$$\|e^{b|x|}\rho\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad b > 0.$$  
$$\|x^p\rho\|_1 \leq b, \quad b > 0.$$  

Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be the associated stitched distribution as defined before. Then,

$$S(\rho_{2N}) \geq S(\tilde{\rho}_N * \tilde{\rho}_N) - r(\sqrt{N})^{-1}.$$  

Proof:

Using that

$$S(\rho) = \sup_{\phi \in O} \left( \int \rho \phi dx - \ln \int e^\phi dx \right),$$

and choosing $e^\phi = \tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n$, $n$ arbitrary, we arrive at:

$$S(\rho_{2n}) > S(\tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n) + \int \rho_{2n} \ln(\tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n)$$

$$= \int_{|x| < c\sqrt{n}/2} (\rho_{2n} - \tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n) \ln(\tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n)$$
$$+ \int_{|x| > 2c\sqrt{n}/2} (\rho_{2n} - \tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n) \ln(\tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n)$$
$$+ \int_{c\sqrt{n}/2 < |x| < 2c\sqrt{n}} (\rho_{2n} - \tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n) \ln(\tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n)$$

$$= S(\tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n) + 0 - \int_{|x| > 2c\sqrt{n}} (x^2/2)(\rho_{2n} - \tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n) + B,$$

$$B := \int_{c\sqrt{n}/2 < |x| < 2c\sqrt{n}} (\rho_{2n} - \tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n) \ln(\tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n).$$

We now use this last inequality with $n$ replaced by $N := 2^n$. 

Then, we choose $2c < c_0$, so that for $n > N_0$, we have that $\rho_N \geq e^{-x^2/3}$ for $|x| \leq 2c\sqrt{N}$. Hence

$$B \leq CN \int_{2c > |x| > c\sqrt{N}/2} (\rho_{2N} - \tilde{\rho}_N * \tilde{\rho}_N) \leq c_1e^{-cN}$$

since, by the pointwise CLT, for such $x$, we have gaussian localization.

Finally,

$$-\int_{|x| > 2c\sqrt{N}} (x^2/2)(\rho_{2N} - \tilde{\rho}_N * \tilde{\rho}_N) = r(\sqrt{N})^{-1}.$$

\hfill\Box

**Proof of the Main Theorem-I**

By the above proposition we have that:

$$S(\rho_{2N}) \geq S(\tilde{\rho}_N * \tilde{\rho}_N) - r(\sqrt{N})^{-1} \geq S(\tilde{\rho}_N) + \Phi(S(\tilde{\rho}_N|g)) - r(\sqrt{N})^{-1} \geq S(\rho_N) + \Phi(S(\tilde{\rho}_N|g)) - r(\sqrt{N})^{-1}$$

The proof of the main theorem, namely that $S(\rho_N) \rightarrow S(g) + r(\sqrt{N})^{-1}$, follows from the following:

**Theorem 5.6.** For $\rho$ Gaussian localized as above, and for all $n$ large enough, we have:

$$c_1g \leq \tilde{\rho}_n \leq c_2g,$$

$$0 \leq S(\tilde{\rho}_n * \tilde{\rho}_n|g) \leq (1 - c)S(\tilde{\rho}_n|g).$$

$c$ depends on $c_1, c_2$, and $0 < c < 1$.

The proof of the above theorem follows from the construction of $\tilde{\rho}$ and our previous estimates on entropy production in the Gaussian localized case.

**Completion of the Proof of the Main Theorem**

The proof now follows, since we can replace $\Phi(S(\tilde{\rho}_N|g))$ by $c(S(\tilde{\rho}_N|g))$, $c$ is strictly positive, uniformly in $N$, since $c_1, c_2$ can be chosen uniformly in $N$, for all $N$ large enough. \hfill\Box

Then, the relative entropy satisfies, under favorable localization conditions

(5.1) \hspace{1cm} D(\rho_{2N}) - D(\rho_N) \geq \delta_0 D(\rho_N).
From this, we immediately conclude that the relative entropy converges to zero, exponentially fast in \( N \).

This is the basis for the argument giving an optimal convergence rate in the Entropy sense, for localized initial distributions \( \rho \).

The inequality (5.1) is the crucial inequality, proved in sections 3, using the propagation of localization for gaussian localized \( \rho \). The **MAIN THEOREM** now follows:

**Proof.** Since \( \rho \) is gaussian (or exponentially or polynomially) localized and smooth, we see that \( \rho \) satisfies the conditions for Theorems 5.4, 5.5, 5.6. Hence, either (in the gaussian or exponential case)

\[
(5.3a) \quad \int \hat{\rho}_n e^{\beta |x|} dx < c < \infty, \text{ independently of } n,
\]

or,

\[
(5.3b) \quad \int \hat{\rho}_n |x|^p dx < c < \infty, \text{ independently of } n,
\]

Next, we apply Theorems 4.3, 5.4-5.6 to \( \rho_N \) to conclude that

\[
(5.4) \quad D(\rho_{2N}) - D(\rho_N) \geq C_\varepsilon D(\rho_N) - r(\sqrt{N})^{-1},
\]

with

\[
(5.5) \quad C_\varepsilon = C \| \frac{\hat{\rho}_N}{g} \|_{L^\infty(R(\varepsilon))}^{-1}.
\]

Due to the propagation of localization (5.3), we see that \( \| \frac{\hat{\rho}_N}{g} \|_{L^\infty(R(\varepsilon))} < \infty \), uniformly in \( N \) and hence \( C_\varepsilon > \delta > 0 \) uniformly in \( N \), which implies that

\[
|D(\rho_N)| \leq r(\sqrt{N})^{-1} + O(1/N).
\]

□
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