Defects in dendritic spines and synapses contribute to cognitive deficits in mental retardation syndromes and, potentially, Alzheimer disease. p21-activated kinases (PAKs) regulate actin filaments and morphogenesis of dendritic spines regulated by the Rho family GTPases Rac and Cdc42. We previously reported that active PAK was markedly reduced in Alzheimer disease cytosol, accompanied by downstream loss of the spine actin-regulatory protein Drehbin. β-Amyloid (Aβ) oligomer was implicated in PAK defects. Here we demonstrate that PAK is aberrantly activated and translocated from cytosol to membrane in Alzheimer disease brain and in 22-month-old Tg2576 transgenic mice with Alzheimer disease. This active PAK co-immunoprecipitated with the small GTPase Rac and both translocated to granules. Aβ42 oligomer treatment of cultured hippocampal neurons induced similar effects, accompanied by reduction of dendrites that were protected by kinase-active but not kinase-dead PAK. Aβ42 oligomer treatment also significantly reduced N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptor subunit NR2B phosphotyrosine labeling. The Src family tyrosine kinase inhibitor PP2 significantly blocked the PAK/Rac translocation but not the loss of p-NR2B in Aβ42 oligomer-treated neurons. Src family kinases are known to phosphorylate the Rac activator Tiam1, which has recently been shown to be Aβ-responsive. In addition, anti-oligomer curcumin comparatively suppressed PAK translocation in aged Tg2576 transgenic mice with Alzheimer amyloid pathology and in Aβ42 oligomer-treated cultured hippocampal neurons. Our results implicate aberrant PAK in Aβ oligomer-induced signaling and synaptic deficits in Alzheimer disease.

Cognitive deficits in Alzheimer disease (AD) correlate with progressive synaptic dysfunction and loss that may be initiated by soluble β-amyloid peptide 1–42 (Aβ42) and driven further by the accumulating neuropathological hallmarks, including intraneuronal neurofibrillary tangles, extracellular amyloid plaques, and neuron loss. Soluble Aβ or Aβ oligomers correlate highly with synapse loss (1, 2) and the degree of dementia (3). They also potently inhibit long term potentiation (LTP) in vivo (4). Aβ-induced synaptic dysfunction likely contributes to cognitive deficits in several different AD transgenic mouse models (5–7).

Both dystrophic neurites and dendritic spine loss are observed in AD and many mental retardation syndromes (8–10). Dendritic spines, major sites of synaptic contacts, are structurally reliant on the actin cytoskeleton. p21-activated kinases (PAK) (11) are a family of serine/threonine protein kinases involved in regulating the actin-severing protein cofilin, the actin cytoskeleton, and dendritic function as downstream effectors of Rac1/Cdc42 (12). Thus, the small GTPases (Rho, Rac, and Cdc42) play critical roles in regulating dendrite initiation, growth, branching, spineogenesis, and spine maintenance (13–15). Mutations in PAK3 are associated with X-linked non-syndromic forms of mental retardation, in which the only distinctive clinical feature is severe cognitive deficits (16). Inhibition of PAK is sufficient to cause cognition impairment in dominant-negative PAK transgensics (17) and adult mice (18).

We have previously observed that PAKs (PAK1 and PAK3) and PAK activity are markedly reduced in cytosol from AD, accompanied by prominent cofilin pathology and downstream loss of the spine actin-regulatory protein Drebrin; Aβ oligomer was implicated in these alterations (18), but the cause of the loss of cytosolic PAK and its impact on spine generation were not understood.

In this study, we report that AD cytosolic PAK loss occurs because of aberrant PAK activation and translocation to membrano-cytoskeletal fractions where it co-localizes with its activating GTPase Rac1. These phenomena were also observed in Tg2576 transgenic AD model mice and in Aβ42 oligomer-treated primary neurons where PAK changes were accompanied by rapid loss of F-actin and the postsynaptic marker PSD-.
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EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Chemicals and Reagents—All chemicals, including the anti-NR2B subunit Tyr(P)-1472 antibody, were purchased from Sigma, unless otherwise stated. We used anti-PAK1 polyclonal antibody (pAb) from Zymed Laboratories Inc., anti-PAK3 pAb from StressGen Biotechnologies (Victoria, British Columbia, Canada), and anti-β-actin monoclonal antibody from Chemicon International (Temecula, CA). Anti-PAK1,2,3 pAb, anti-phospho-PAK Thr-423 pAb, which detects PAK1, PAK2, and PAK3 only when phosphorylated at Thr-423, -402, and -421, respectively (“pPAK”), does not cross-react with phosphorylated PAK4, PAK5, or PAK6 from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Anti-phospho-Ser-71-Rac/Cdc42 pAb was also developed and characterized in our laboratory. Anti-β-actin monoclonal antibody 6E10 was obtained from Signet Laboratories, Inc. (Dedham, MA). Aβ(1–42) peptide was from American Peptide Co. (Sunnyvale, CA). PP2 was obtained from Calbiochem. The red fluorescent F-actin probe, Texas red-X-phalloidin was from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR).

Human Tissue—Human postmortem tissue was obtained from the University of Southern California (Dr. Carole Miller) and UCLA (Dr. Harry Vinters) AD Research Center Pathology Cores. Five AD patients and five controls were used in this experiment. The age of death, gender, and post-mortem interval were comparable in both groups.

Animals—22-Month-old APPswe Tg2576 Tg (n = 5) and Tg transgenic (n = 7) mice were used in this study. Both groups were fed from 17 months with safflower oil-based diet depleted of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (TD 00522, Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI) as described previously (18). Surgical and animal procedures were approved by the local Institutional Animal Care Use Committee and carried out with strict adherence to the current guidelines set out in the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals at the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-accredited Greater Los Angeles Veterans Affairs Healthcare System.

Tissue Preparation—Tissue samples were sequentially processed by sonication in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) and ultracentrifugation (100,000 × g for 20 min) to obtain supernatants (TBS, soluble-cytosol fraction). Pellets were then sonicated in immunoprecipitation lysis buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.5% SDS and re-centrifuged to obtain lysis extract supernatants (membranocytoskeletal fraction). Alternatively, TBS pellets were extracted in 2% SDS buffer to extract membrane and additional Triton-insoluble components, mostly cytoskeletal-associated proteins (SDS fraction). All buffers contained previously described protease and phosphatase inhibitor mixtures (19).

Preparation of Oligomers—Aβ(1–42) peptide (Aβ42) was dissolved in 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol in the vial and incubated at room temperature until dissolved completely. The 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol was removed by gentle streaming of N2. Aβ42 was then dissolved with 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) to a final concentration of 110 μM. This solution was incubated at 37 °C with a micro stir bar at 500 rpm for 12 h and centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 5 min to remove fibrillar and other large Aβ aggregates. The presence of Aβ oligomers was confirmed by 6E10 anti-β-actin antibody following its separation on 10–20% Tris-Tricine gradient gels (20). Protein concentration was determined using the Bio-Rad DC protein assay. For cell culture experiments, the oligomer-rich supernatant preparation was diluted to 100 or 250 nM in Neurobasal media without glutamate and B27.

Primary Neuronal Culture and Treatment—Neuronal cultures were prepared from embryonic day 18 Sprague-Dawley rat fetuses as described previously (18). Before treatment with Aβ oligomer preparations, cells were rinsed once with Neurobasal media without glutamate and B27 for primary neurons. Cells were exposed to 100 or 250 nM of Aβ42 oligomers for 30 min to 48 h at 37 °C.

Plasmids and Transient Transfection—Green fluorescent protein-tagged pcDNA3-EGFP-vector (Vector Laboratories), pcDNA3-EGFP-Pak1-WT (WT-PAK), and pcDNA3-EGFP-PAK1-K299A (KD-PAK) constructs were kind gifts of Dr. G. M. Bokoch (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA). Primary hippocampal neurons (19 days) were plated onto covelslips in 15.6-mm dishes and were transfected for 24 h using Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. 1.2 μg of expression plasmid and 2 μl of Lipofectamine 2000 were used for transfection in 500 μl of medium per well. After transient transfection for 24 h, 100–250 nM of Aβ42 oligomers were directly added to the cells and incubated for 2.5 h at 37 °C. The cells were then fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, washed with TBS, and stained with Texas-red-X-phalloidin (F-actin) and pRac/Cdc42 antibody.

Cell Lysate Preparation—Primary neurons were placed on ice, washed once with cold PBS, scraped with 1 ml of PBS, and transferred to microcentrifuge tubes. After centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 5 min, cells were washed twice with cold PBS and dissolved in TBS buffer with a mixture of protease and phosphatase inhibitors. After brief sonication, the lysates were kept at 4 °C for 30 min and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatants were collected for TBS fraction (cytosol fraction). The pellet was dissolved in lysis buffer with a mixture of protease and phosphatase inhibitors, sonicated, and centrifuged to extract lysis fractions (membrane fractions).

Western Immunoblotting—For Western immunoblotting, protein concentration was determined using the Bio-Rad DC protein assay. Equal amounts of protein per sample were added to Laemmli loading buffer and boiled for 3 min. Except for Aβ oligomer detection, 30 μg of protein per well was electrophoresed on 10% Tris-glycine gels and transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA). For Aβ species separation, the protein samples were electrophoresed on 10–20% Tris-Tricine gradient gels (20).

Statistical Analysis—Statistical analyses were performed with StatView 5.0 software. Differences among means were assessed by analysis of variance followed by Tukey-Kramer post hoc tests. Square root transformation to establish homogeneity of variance was used as needed for data analysis.
RESULTS

PAK-aberrant Translocation in Alzheimer Disease—Our previous study found markedly reduced diffuse (cytosolic) pPAK423 and pPAK141 in AD, but also intense and abnormally focal pPAKs in granular intraneuronal structures (18). In this study, we hypothesized that this abnormal granular pPAK could result from oligomer-induced aberrant activation and translocation. To test this hypothesis, we first examined the pPAK1 and pPAK3 levels from pellet membrano-cytoskeletal fractions (Lysis and SDS buffer extracted fractions) in AD temporal cortex (Fig. 1A). Our results showed that both pPAK1 and pPAK3 were significantly higher in pellet fractions from AD patients than that of controls (*, *p < 0.05, **, *p < 0.01, respectively, Fig. 1B and C). Because phosphorylation of Thr-423 is critical for pPAK1 activation (21) and analogously Thr-402 (PAK2) and Thr-421 (PAK3), an anti-phospho-PAK (pPAK)
antibody recognizing these forms was used to evaluate levels of active PAK1–3, which showed significant increases in the pellet fraction from AD when compared with controls (*, p < 0.05, Fig. 1D) with no change in β-actin. In samples from the same patients, PAK1, PAK3, and active PAKs (pPAK) were decreased in cytosolic TBS fractions (PAK1, *, p < 0.05; PAK3, pPAK, **, p < 0.01, Fig. 1, E–H). The ratio of membrane to cytosol active pPAK from AD patients was significantly elevated (**, p < 0.01, Fig. 1J), supporting ICC results suggesting aberrant neuronal pPAK translocation in AD (Fig. 1M).

To determine whether pPAK translocation in AD was associated with a known activator, we next investigated Rac/Cdc42, small GTPases known to regulate PAK activity. Pooled (n = 5) membrane precipitates from AD patients extracted with immunoprecipitation lysis buffer from AD or normal human hippocampi were immunoprecipitated with an anti-PAK1–3 antibody, followed by Western blotting for pPAK, pRac1, and PAK1–3. Levels of both 65–70-kDa pPAKs and pRac1 were increased in immunoprecipitates from membrane pellet fractions of AD patients as compared with controls, suggesting active PAK was co-transported interacting with Rac1 in AD brain. Consistent with these results, a strong higher molecular mass pPAK immunoreactive band between 160 and 250 kDa from the same blot was also clearly increased in AD pellet samples (Fig. 1J), suggesting translocation of a PAK complex. To further analyze whether the higher molecular weight pPAK immunoreactive band is a PAK complex, DTT was added to reduce the same samples before running gels. The higher molecular weight pPAK band present on our standard weakly reducing gels (with 2-mercaptoethanol, but without DTT and boiling; Fig. 1K, left lane) was completely broken down to the 65–70-kDa PAK monomers under strong reducing conditions (with DTT and boiling, Fig. 1K, right lane). To confirm that pPAK-reactive higher molecular weight bands contained PAK rather than cross-reactive bands, PAK3-specific antibodies were used to show a high molecular weight PAK3 immunoreactive band observed on standard Western blots that was also elevated in membrane fractions but decreased in cytosol fractions from pooled individual AD when compared with normal samples (Fig. 1L). Immunofluorescence analysis with anti-phospho-Rac1/Cdc42Ser-71 (pRac1/Cdc42) and pPAK antibodies showed that abnormal granular pPAK largely co-localized with pRac/Cdc42 in the hippocampus of AD patients. In contrast, normal control hippocampus exhibited only diffuse neuronal pPAK and p-Rac (Fig. 1M). Co-localization of discrete structures was not detected.

**PAK Translocation in APPsw Tg2576 Mice—**Cytosolic PAK deficits and aberrant PAK translocation in AD could be secondary to neuron loss and tau pathology or antemortem and postmortem conditions. Therefore, we used APPsw Tg2576 AD model mice that lack significant neuron loss and tau pathology and where postmortem conditions can be controlled. In our previous study with diaminobenzidine immunohistochemical staining of 22-month-old Tg2576 mice, we observed that pPAK labeling of neurons was uneven with a loss of diffuse neuronal staining but with patches of intense staining. We found plaque-associated labeling of dystrophic neurites and clusters of neuron staining, frequently showing granular or flame-shaped inclusions (18). Here we used different groups of 22-month-old outbred aged transgene-positive or control negative mice placed for the last 5 months on a safflower oil-based omega-3 fatty acid-depleting diet that we had previously found to enhance Aβ accumulation and postsynaptic pathology (19). We found that pPAK immunofluorescent staining revealed similar granular structures and a loss of diffuse pPAK in the aged Tg2576 transgene-positive mice but remained diffuse and apparently cytosolic in wild type (transgene-negative) mice with the same age and genetic background (Fig. 2A). To further confirm pPAK translocation in Tg2576 mice, we examined the levels of pPAK from membrane and cytosol fractions in these aged 22-month-old Tg2576 mice. pPAK levels in membrane and cytosol fractions were significantly lower in Tg2576 mice than controls (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01) respectively, Fig. 2, B and C. The pPAK ratio of membrane to cytosol was significantly increased in Tg2576 transgenic mice when compared with control Tg2576 (**, p < 0.01).
The main component in our oligomer preparations, have been proposed as key forms of Aβ/H9252 responsible for cognitive deficits in vivo. Moreover, these Aβ oligomers significantly induced phospho-PAK141 and Drebrin loss at 100–500 nM doses in cultured hippocampal neurons and activated the tau kinase, GSK3β, in human SH-SY5Y cell lines, and these effects were prevented by pretreatment with oligomer-specific A11 antibody that does not bind to fibrils or monomer (18, 20, 24).

**Oligomer Treatment and PAK Aberrant Activation and Translocation in Primary Neurons**—Hippocampal neurons cultured 7 days in vitro (7 DIV) were treated with 100 nM soluble Aβ oligomer preparations, and pPAK was examined. After 30–120 min of treatment, the active PAK assessed by immunostaining of phosphorylation at the Thr-423 site was elevated, and this pPAK was significantly increased in membrane and cytosol (M/C) fractions after 30 min in Aβ oligomer-treated neurons when compared with controls (B, *p < 0.05; C, **p < 0.01). The pPAK ratio of membrane to cytosol had a strong trend to increase by 30 min in Aβ oligomer-treated neurons when compared with controls (D, *p = 0.0630). In contrast pPAK levels were no longer increased in membrane fractions (E) and were significantly reduced in cytosol fraction by 9.5 h (F, *p < 0.05). As a result, the membrane/cytosol ratio was no longer significantly altered (G).

**FIGURE 3.** Aβ oligomer-induced PAK activation and translocation in primary neurons. **A,** immunofluorescent staining of PAK activation and translocation induced by Aβ oligomer in primary hippocampal neurons. Primary hippocampal neurons 7 DIV were treated with 100 nM Aβ oligomers for 30 min and then stained with anti-pPAK (red), anti-Aβ (6E10, green), and the purple nuclear stain, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; scale bar, 25 μm. CTRL, control. B–G, Western immunoblot analysis of PAK activation and translocation induced by Aβ oligomer in primary hippocampal neurons. Hippocampal neurons 7 DIV were treated with 250 nM Aβ oligomers and Western-blotted with anti-pPAK antibody. pPAK levels were significantly increased in membrane and cytosol (M/C) fractions after 30 min in Aβ oligomer-treated neurons when compared with controls (B, *p < 0.05; C, **p < 0.01). The pPAK ratio of membrane to cytosol had a strong trend to increase by 30 min in Aβ oligomer-treated neurons when compared with controls (D, *p = 0.0630). In contrast pPAK levels were no longer increased in membrane fractions (E) and were significantly reduced in cytosol fraction by 9.5 h (F, *p < 0.05). As a result, the membrane/cytosol ratio was no longer significantly altered (G). H, Aβ oligomer preparations detected by 6E10 antibody.
membrane and cytosol fractions when compared with controls (*, p < 0.05, and ***, p = 0.001, Fig. 3, B and C, 30 min), and the pPAK ratio of membrane to cytosol had a trend increase (p = 0.063, Fig. 3D, 30 min). Similar data were obtained at 2–5 h (not shown). However, an oligomer-induced decrease in cytosolic PAK was evident with longer exposure (9.5–48 h). Fig. 3, E and F, shows the decrease at 9.5 h in membrane and cytosol fractions, whereas Fig. 3G shows the membrane/cytosol ratio is no longer shifted. These observations of reductions in soluble pPAK with more chronic oligomer exposure better resembled those found in AD patients (Fig. 1) and in aged Tg2576 mice (Fig. 2). Together with data showing that passive anti-Aβ antibody coordinately reduces oligomers and soluble PAK deficits in Tg2576 (18), these data strongly support the hypothesis that PAK signaling defects and co-translocation of a PAK-Rac1-Cdc42 complex in AD brain and Tg2576 are caused by Aβ oligomers.

Aβ Oligomer Reduced Dendrites and Dendritic Spines in Primary Neurons—Aβ oligomer-induced abnormal PAK activation and translocation could disrupt the normal PAK signaling pathways in oligomer-binding excitatory neurons resulting in either too much or too little F-actin assembly and affect morphogenesis of dendrites, dendritic spines and synapses. To test these end points, we examined dendrites and dendritic spines in Aβ oligomer-treated and control neurons by immunocytochemical staining with Texas red-X-phalloidin, a red fluorescent F-actin stain and anti-PSD-95, a marker for postsynaptic densities (PSDs) in excitatory neurons (Fig. 4). Fig. 4A shows that in hippocampal neurons 19 DIV with robust neuritic outgrowth and mature synapses, Aβ oligomer- treatment for 7 h resulted in a gross loss in the density of F-actin-labeled dendrites and dendritic spines (***, p < 0.001, Fig. 4, A, C and D). Similar oligomer-induced loss of excitationary PSDs was also revealed by anti-PSD-95 staining (***, p < 0.001, Fig. 4, B, C, D, E). Aβ oligomer-induced spine loss is consistent with alterations found in AD brain and in transgenic mouse AD models (19, 26, 27) and in vitro models with oligomer treatments (28), further supporting the hypothesis that oligomer-induced deficits may play a significant role in synaptic dysfunction and synapse loss in AD.

Active PAK, but Not Kinase-dead PAK, Protected against Aβ Oligomer-induced Reduction of Dendrites in Primary Neurons—PAK is a key component in regulating synaptic architecture in postsynaptic protein localization (29), axon guidance (30), and ephrinB1-induced spine formation (31). Because oligomers can both aberrantly activate PAK and cause a loss of cytosolic PAK, we sought to explore whether under- or overexpression of PAK would protect against oligomer-induced dendritic F-actin changes. To examine this, hippocampal neurons 7 DIV were transfected with wild type PAK1 (WT-PAK), treated with 100 nM Aβ oligomers, and stained for F-actin. Fig. 5 illustrates strong F-actin labeling in cell bodies and neurites of transfected and nontransfected neurons without oligomers (Fig. 5, A, C, and E) that was significantly reduced after Aβ oligomer treatment (***, p < 0.001, Fig. 5, B, D and F). In neurons transfected with WT-PAK1, dendritic F-actin staining was better maintained after Aβ oligomer treatment, with preservation of the normal neuronal architecture compared with adjacent nontransfected cells (***, p < 0.001, Fig. 5, C and D). In contrast, GFP vector-transfected neurons or kinase-dead PAK1 (KD-PAK)-transfected neurons did not show any protective effect from Aβ oligomer-induced F-actin loss compared with adjacent neurons (Fig. 5, B and F), implying maintenance of PAK1 activity was sufficient to protect the actin cytoskeleton supporting spines.

Src Family Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor PP2 Significantly Blocked pPAK Translocation in Aβ Oligomer-treated Primary Neurons—In addition to PAK, various kinases have been reported as Aβ mediators. Because the Src family tyrosine kinase Fyn has been implicated in soluble oligomer

FIGURE 4. Reduction of dendrites and dendritic spines in Aβ oligomer-treated primary neurons. A, Aβ oligomers (7 h) induced decreases in Texas-red-X-phalloidin labeled F-actin in dendrites and dendritic spines of Aβ oligomer-treated mature 19 DIV hippocampal neurons. The density of dendritic spines from image analysis of F-actin along dendrites confirmed significantly reduced F-actin labeling of spines in Aβ oligomer-treated neurons when compared with controls (D, ***, p < 0.001); scale bar, 25 μm. B and E, Aβ oligomers induced decreases in PSD-95 in Aβ oligomer-treated mature hippocampal neurons. Primary hippocampal neurons were stained with anti-PSD-95 (gray) and anti-Aβ (white) antibodies. Quantification of PSD-95 along dendrites revealed a significant loss (E, ***, p < 0.001); scale bar, 25 μm. C, enlargement of dendrites and dendritic spines stained by X-phalloidin (gray), PSD-95 (gray), and an anti-Aβ polyclonal antibody, DAE (white), CTRL, control.
PAK-aberrant Translocation in AD Pathogenesis

A

F-actin  GFP  merged+DAPI

B

Vector+αB

C

WT-PAK+αB

D

KD-PAK+αB

E

WT-PAK

F

KD-PAK

FIGURE 5. WT-PAK1, but not kinase-dead PAK1 limited Aβ42 oligomer-induced reduction of dendrites in primary neurons. Hippocampal neurons 7 DIV were transfected with control pcDNA3-EGFP-vector alone (Vector Laboratories) (A and B), wild type (WT), pcDNA3-EGFP-Pak1-WT (WT-PAK, C and D), or kinase-dead pcDNA3-EGFP-Pak1-K299A (KD-PAK, E and F), treated by 100 nM Aβ42 oligomer for 7 h (B, D and F) and stained for F-actin (red). Nuclei were 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole-stained (DAPI, blue). Transfected cells express green GFP (middle column), which was shown merged with F-actin images. In non-αB42-treated neurons, F-actin staining is robust (A, C, and E) but markedly reduced after Aβ42 oligomer treatment (B, D, and F). Transfected WT-PAK cells were protected from Aβ42 oligomer-induced F-actin loss compared with adjacent nontransfected cells (D). In contrast, the GFP vector transfected cells and the KD-PAK transfected cells did not show any protection against Aβ42 oligomer-induced F-actin loss compared with adjacent nontransfected cells (B and F). F-actin quantification panels are on the right. Single arrow indicates transfected cells; double arrows indicate nontransfected cells; scale bar, 25 μm. CTRL, control.

(amyloid-derived diffusible ligands)-induced LTP defects in vitro (32) and synaptotoxicity and cognitive deficits in APP transgenic mice (33), we investigated whether oligomer-induced Rac/PAK changes in primary hippocampal neurons might be downstream from Fyn. Fig. 6 shows that inhibition of Src/Fyn kinase with PP2 blocked punctate oligomer-induced pPAK up-regulation, notably in granular locations along neurites determined by ICC (Fig. 6A). Western blot analysis of membrane and cytosolic fractions revealed that pPAK translocation from cytosol to membrane induced by Aβ42 oligomers was blocked by the Src/Fyn inhibitor PP2 (Fig. 6, B–D). Similar Western analysis of Rac1 showed translocation from cytosol to the membrane induced by Aβ42 oligomers that was also blocked by PP2 (Fig. 6, E–G).

NMDA receptors have recently been reported to mediate Aβ oligomer-induced effects on dendritic spine and synaptic marker loss in cultured neurons (2, 28). Fyn kinase phosphorylates NMDA receptor subunits NR2A and NR2B in vitro and in vivo, and robust phosphorylation at NR2B Tyr-1472 has been implicated in LTP (34). Oligomer treatment decreased pNR2B (Tyr-1472) levels in both membrane and cytosol fractions but did not alter the membrane/cytosol ratio (Fig. 6, H–J). In contrast to pPAK, Src/Fyn inhibition did not block these oligomer effects, consistent with Fyn activation as independent of or downstream from Aβ-induced pNR2B loss.

Curcumin Reduced pPAK Translocation in Tg2576 Mice and in Aβ Oligomer-treated Primary Neurons—PAK activity is involved in actin dynamics in many cell types potentially limiting its utility as a direct drug target. Furthermore, Aβ oligomers caused pPAK increases in pellet fractions but pPAK decreases in soluble fractions, e.g. translocation, suggesting that the most effective treatment will be to target upstream Aβ oligomers. Our previous studies found that curcumin is an effective anti-amyloid and anti-Aβ oligomer agent in vitro (35). Chronic dietary curcumin lowered amyloid burden and Aβ by ELISA in TBS-soluble and detergent-insoluble fractions from 16-month-old Tg2576 mice (36) and from 22-month-old Tg2576 mice that were fed with safflower oil-based diet from 17 months of age (35). Consistent with this, curcumin (0.5 μM) suppressed punctate anti-Aβ staining and pPAK translocation induced by Aβ42 oligomers and evaluated by ICC in cultured hippocampal neurons (Fig. 7A). Granular staining was quantified by automated analysis (Image-Pro Plus software) of particulate clusters, and heterogeneity was significantly increased by Aβ and blocked by curcumin (Fig. 7C). Western analysis of whole cell lysates with oligomer treatment at five time points taken from 9.5 to 48 h showed a consistent oligomer-induced decrease in total cytosolic active PAK...
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In vivo, curcumin also suppressed persistent pPAK translocation to granules in CA1 neurons evaluated in aged Tg2576 mice (Fig. 7, B and E). The mice were fed from 17 months of age with safflower oil-based diet with or without 500 ppm curcumin (35). Anti-pPAK immunofluorescence staining was diffuse in control hippocampal CA1, but in CA1 of Tg2576 Tg+/H11001 mice, pPAK showed translocation to granular structures resembling those found in AD CA1 (see Fig. 1). As with the in vitro experiment, quantitative analysis of both clusters and heterogeneity showed large increases in Tg+/H11001 mice that were significantly reduced by dietary curcumin.

FIGURE 6. Src family tyrosine kinase inhibitor PP2 significantly blocked Aβ42-induced PAK/Rac translocation but not NR2B loss in primary neurons. Hippocampal neurons 10 DIV were treated with 100 nm Aβ42 oligomers or Aβ42 oligomers plus 10 μM PP2 for 5 h, and pPAK was evaluated by immunofluorescent staining and Western blot. A, immunofluorescent pPAK staining revealed PP2 blocked activation and translocation induced by Aβ42 oligomers in primary hippocampal neurons. B–D, PP2 prevents Aβ42 oligomer-induced pPAK translocation. pPAK protein levels, measured by Western blot, were significantly increased in membrane and decreased in cytosol fractions by oligomers, whereas PP2 significantly blocked this pPAK translocation when compared with controls (B, *, p < 0.05; C, **, p < 0.01). The ratio of pPAK in the membrane relative to in the cytosol was significantly increased in Aβ42 oligomer-treated neurons but not in the presence of PP2 (D, **, p < 0.01). E–G, PP2 prevents Aβ42 oligomer-induced Rac1 translocation. Rac1 protein levels, measured by Western blot, were significantly increased in membrane (E, *, p < 0.05) and decreased in cytosol fractions by oligomer treatment (F, ***, p < 0.001), whereas PP2 blocked this Rac1 translocation (E and F, **, p < 0.01). Rac1 membrane/cytosol ratio (G, **, p < 0.01), H–J, Western immunoblot analysis of pNR2B. pNR2B levels were significantly decreased in membrane and cytosol fractions by Aβ42 oligomer treatment (H and I, *, p < 0.05); PP2 had no effect on this loss or (p > 0.05) the pNR2B membrane to cytosol ratio (J, p > 0.05). CTRL, control.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we explored the mechanism of PAK signaling deficits using AD brain tissue and APPsw Tg2576 transgenic mice. We found PAK signaling deficits resulting from Aβ42 oligomer-induced aberrant PAK activation and translocation, followed by cytosolic pPAK deficits and loss of the excitatory postsynaptic protein PSD-95 and F-actin-labeled dendritic spines. Supporting aberrant PAK activation in AD brain, we found that Rac, the upstream small GTPase activator of PAK, co-immunoprecipitated with pPAK. Immunocytochemistry of both AD brain and AD models confirmed aberrant pPAK co-localization with the upstream activator Rac. Observations in Tg2576 mice and Aβ42-oligomer treated neurons indicated that Aβ42 oligomer may be sufficient to cause PAK signaling defects in AD. Moreover, unlike normal synaptogenic PAK activity, which promotes F-actin assembly and new PSD-95 positive synapses, this oligomer-induced aberrant Rac/PAK activation on PSD-95 positive oligomer-binding excitatory neurons results in ectopic PAK translocation accompanied by rapid alterations in dendritic morphology and the loss of F-actin and dendritic spines.
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The Rho family of small GTPases, including Rho, Rac, and Cdc42, has distinct functions in regulating dendritic spines and branches that are vital for their maintenance and reorganization in maturing neurons (37). Mutations that alter Rac and Rho signaling resulted in abnormal neuronal connectivity and cognitive deficits in humans (38). PAK activity and its subcellular distribution are tightly regulated by rapid and transient localized Rac and Cdc42 activation. Here we found that in AD brain an abnormal granular distribution of pPAK was co-localized with pRac/Cdc42 in the hippocampus (Fig. 1M). Consistent with aberrant activation and translocation, in both Aβ oligomer-treated primary hippocampal neurons and APPsw Tg2576 mice, pPAK labeled granular structures in cell bodies and neurites in contrast to untreated neurons and nontransgenic mice where diffuse cytosolic PAK was maintained (Fig. 7).

Aβ is widely believed to be a central causative factor in AD; however, it remains unclear how Aβ causes synaptic dysfunction, synapse loss, and neuronal degeneration. Our results suggest one mechanism involves aberrant ectopic oligomer-induced activation, translocation, and loss of cytosolic PAKs, which leads to a loss of PAK available for normal coupling to PAK-mediated synapse stabilizing and synaptic signaling. In 22-month-old Tg2576 transgene-positive (Tg2576+) and -negative control mice on a high n-6 and low n-3 fatty acid diet that enhances transgene-dependent loss of the actin-binding spine protein, Drebrin and PSD-95 (39), activated pPAKs1–3 were ~70% decreased in cytosol (p < 0.001, Fig. 2). Furthermore, the pPAK ratio of membrane to cytosol in Tg2576+ was significantly elevated when compared with Tg2576− controls, suggesting a steady state of persistent aberrant PAK translocation. We previously reported neuritic elevation of aberrant pPAK proximal to plaques (18). A loss of synaptic spine stability in aged Tg2576 on normal mouse chow is accentuated by proximity to plaques and can be observed with multiphoton microscopy in vivo (26). These effects could be oligomer-related as oligomer-specific A11 staining is concentrated in a peri-plaque location (24). Consistent with this, spine loss occurs very early and precedes plaques in APP transgenics (40). Acutely, in vitro, although Aβ oligomer directly induced PAK activation, there was an aberrant redistribution of pPAK to neurites, membranes, and granular structures (Fig. 3). Because active PAK induces LIMK1 phosphorylation and inac-
activation of the actin-severing protein cofilin, one might predict increased F-actin. However, in our experiment, F-actin was rapidly reduced by 7 h, suggesting cofilin was activated, not inactivated. This reduced F-actin was accompanied by altered neuritic morphology, whereas the synaptic marker PSD-95 was also reduced in Aβ oligomer-treated cultured neurons (Fig. 4).

We sought to clarify where Rac/PAK changes fit in the mechanism of Aβ oligomer-mediated synaptic loss. Rac activation is one of the earliest events following Aβ oligomer treatment (41). Our data suggest that the rapid activation and translocation of Rac1 and PAK may initiate or contribute to synaptic dysfunction and excitatory synapse loss in AD. Dendritic spine density is closely related to the degree of interneuronal connectivity, whereas the size of spines is correlated with the size of excitatory synapses (42), e.g. synapses that Aβ oligomer targets (25) associated with reduced LTP and glutamatergic transmission (43). Because PSD-95 clusters NMDA receptors, our results are also likely related to regulation of the surface expression and endocytosis of NMDA-type glutamate receptors and loss of NR2B Tyr(P)-1472 by Aβ aggregates (44), which have been recently reported to bind NMDA receptors (28). *In vitro* treatment of primary hippocampal neurons with Aβ oligomers results in an NMDA receptor-dependent loss of dendritic spines and the dendritic spine marker Drebrin (2, 28), reminiscent of Drebrin and NMDA receptor loss in APP transgenic mice (Tg2576) on the diet used in this study (39). Synapse loss and cognitive deficits in APP transgenic mice (33) and oligomer-induced LTP deficits *in vitro* (32) both require Fyn kinase. We found that the Src/Fyn kinase inhibitor PP2 effectively prevented oligomer-induced Rac/PAK translocation but not loss of the Fyn target pNR2B. This implies that Fyn is independent of or downstream from NMDA receptor events but upstream from PAK pathway defects. Although the Fyn target regulating Rac/PAK signaling is unknown, the upstream Rac activator Tiam1 is known to be tyrosine-phosphorylated and activated by Src family kinases (32) and required for Aβ-induced Rac activation (45). Tiam1 couples NMDA receptor activation to activity-dependent and Ephrin receptor-dependent dendritic spine formation mediated in part by Rac/PAK signaling. Furthermore, Tiam1 activation of Rac can act both upstream and downstream of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (46). Collectively, our data and the literature suggest a scheme in which Fyn lies downstream from NMDA receptors but upstream from Tiam1/Rac1/PAK, a pathway regulating dendritic spine actin (Fig. 8).

Although NMDA receptors are implicated, more than one receptor may contribute to Aβ oligomer signaling. For example, Aβ-induced NR2B endocytosis is both NMDA and α7-nicotinic-dependent and correlates with reduced pNR2B Tyr-1472 (44). APP itself binds PAK through APP C-terminal domains requiring Asp-664 and is another candidate receptor that may be involved in age-dependent PAK signaling alterations similar to those we describe. PAK, LTP, and cognitive deficits are correlated in amyloid-accumulating APP Tg mice lacking the C-terminal Asp-664 mutation (47). These results support the hypothesis that aberrant PAK signaling is involved in LTP and cognitive deficits.

Limiting excessive PAK activation with a dominant-negative PAK construct corrected excessive spine numbers, LTP, and cognitive deficits in a fragile X transgenic model (48) suggesting that the excessive PAK activation could be a therapeutic target. Similar to the pattern with active PAK, active LIMK1 is found in dystrophic neurites and clusters of neurons in AD, whereas excessive downstream activation of LIMK1, cofilin inactivation, and dystrophic neurites occurred as a rapid response to Aβ fibril treatment (49). Compounds targeting PAK activation in AD might have a narrow therapeutic window because PAKs play important roles in cognition and many other cell functions. Furthermore, our data showed that functional WT-PAK but not dominant-negative kinase-dead PAK protected from oligomer-induced spine and PSD-95 loss. Because active WT-PAK inactivates cofilin through active LIMK1, our results are consistent with observations that constitutive expression of inactive cofilin (nonphosphorylated cofilin S3D) can prevent chronic low dose Aβ oligomer-mediated spine loss *in vitro* (2). Collectively, these results suggest that mitigating Aβ oligomer action in aberrant Rac > PAK signaling may provide therapeutically useful approaches to inhibiting Aβ oligomer-induced PAK signaling deficits and synaptic dysfunction in AD. Our
observations emphasize the complexity of PAK dysregulation in AD and AD models, implicating not only loss of cytosolic PAK but also its aberrant activation and translocation in the loss of dendritic spines contributing to memory loss. Because anti-AB antibody (18) and the anti-oligomer agent dietary curcumin effectively control aberrant PAK translocation in vivo and in vitro, targeting upstream factors, such as oligomers, may be less likely to interfere with cognition than direct targeting of downstream PAK.
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