NARRATIVE REVIEW

How to ventilate obese patients in the ICU
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Abstract

Obesity is an important risk factor for major complications, morbidity and mortality related to intubation procedures and ventilation in the intensive care unit (ICU). The fall in functional residual capacity promotes airway closure and atelectasis formation. This narrative review presents the impact of obesity on the respiratory system and the key points to optimize airway management, noninvasive and invasive mechanical ventilation in ICU patients with obesity. Non-invasive strategies should first optimize body position with reverse Trendelenburg position or sitting position. Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) is considered as the first-line therapy in patients with obesity having a postoperative acute respiratory failure. Positive pressure pre-oxygenation before the intubation procedure is the method of reference. The use of videolaryngoscopy has to be considered by adequately trained intensivists, especially in patients with several risk factors. Regarding mechanical ventilation in patients with and without acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), low tidal volume (6 ml/kg of predicted body weight) and moderate to high positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), with careful recruitment maneuver in selected patients, are advised. Prone positioning is a therapeutic choice in severe ARDS patients with obesity. Prophylactic NIV should be considered after extubation to prevent re-intubation. If obesity increases mortality and risk of ICU admission in the overall population, the impact of obesity on ICU mortality is less clear and several confounding factors have to be taken into account regarding the “obesity ICU paradox”.
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Introduction

Obesity (defined by a body mass index (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/m²) is a disease caused by excess or abnormal distribution of fat tissue and resulting in chronic diseases related to chronic inflammation and metabolic dysfunction [1]. Obesity has become a global epidemic with prevalences rising both in developed and developing countries. Front runners in 2020 are the United States of America (USA, 36%) and Australasia (30%), with a prevalence expected to increase in the USA until 50% by 2030 [2], whereas European countries have prevalences between 20 and 30%. The percentage of patients with obesity in the intensive care unit (ICU) can be expected to increase concomitantly or even more since obesity increases the risk for a more severe disease course with more need for ICU admission and mechanical ventilation [3] as has been shown in trauma [4], traumatic brain injury [5] patients, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest [6], during the H1N1 pandemic [7] and recently also in patients affected by coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [8–11].

Obesity, especially abdominal obesity (android fat distribution) and severe obesity [12], results in altered respiratory anatomy and physiology and, therefore, complicated airway management and adapted ventilator settings during mechanical ventilation. Obesity appears to be associated with an increased risk of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [13] and infection, mainly pneumonia [14], probably related to an imbalanced
production of adipokines [15]. In ventilated patients, obesity increases ICU length of stay and the duration of mechanical ventilation [16]. The phenomenon whereby obesity increases morbidity but seems to protect against mortality in selected critically ill patients, known as “obesity paradox”, has been evoked in patients with ARDS [13] and in those on mechanical ventilation [16], even if it remains highly debated.

This narrative review will summarize current insights into the impact of obesity on the respiratory system and the measures to be taken to optimize airway management and mechanical ventilation in ICU patients with obesity.

**Respiratory modifications: pathophysiology**

The patient with obesity suffers from increased respiratory workload and impaired gas exchange. Both disturbances reduce physical capacity and health margin if exposed to respiratory stress. A basic triggering factor is reduced lung volume, caused by cranial displacement of the diaphragm by increased tissue mass in the abdomen, and by increased chest wall tissue. The decrease in resting lung volume after normal expiration, functional residual capacity (FRC), is 5–15% per 5 kg/m² increase in BMI [17]. The consequence of the increased tissue mass will be greater in the supine than upright position, due to a stronger cranial displacement of the diaphragm. In addition, a further decrease in the FRC can be seen during anesthesia with loss of respiratory muscle tone and, most likely, in ICU by the use of sedatives and muscle relaxants. The fall in FRC promotes airway closure and atelectasis formation, as will be discussed later, and an illustration of one representative case with no ventilation in the dorsal part of the lung, likely because of dependent atelectasis formation [18], is shown in Fig. 1.

There are several causes of increased work of breathing in the patient with obesity. One is the increased displacement of tissue during the breathing, both in the abdomen and in the lung and chest wall. Another is increased airway resistance because of smaller airway dimensions, and increased asthma incidence. Finally, increased tissue resistance adds to the work of breathing [19]. The patient with obesity may easily develop respiratory fatigue on physical exercise and, in the most severe cases, already at rest.

It is often assumed that chest wall elastance or its inverse, chest wall compliance, is affected by obesity. However, the increased weight of the abdomen and of the chest wall requires work when moving the tissue, but when the move is over, no additional pressure is required [19]. End-inspiratory and end-expiratory pauses should be long enough when measuring chest wall compliance. Lung compliance, on the other hand, is reduced [20]. The decreased lung volume may require pressure during inspiration to open closed units, and that may be recorded as a decrease in compliance.

Airways may close in dependent lung regions during an expiration, a normal age-dependent phenomenon.

---

**Take-home message**

In patients with obesity, using non-invasive ventilation (NIV) is advised both to prevent and treat acute respiratory failure. When invasive mechanical ventilation is needed, pre-oxygenation with NIV and appropriated choice of intubation devices will decrease complications.

During invasive mechanical ventilation, patients with obesity are more prone to lung collapse and require higher PEEP to avoid it; low $V_t$ is calculated on predicted body weight. When acute respiratory distress syndrome occurs, careful recruitment maneuver might be used associated with prone positioning.

---

**Fig. 1** Impedance changes due to regional ventilation in a patient with obesity. Thoracic transversal electric impedance tomography images show impedance changes due to regional ventilation summarized for tidal ventilation cycles in a patient with a body mass index of 57 kg/m². Images were recorded during spontaneous breathing before intubation (a) and about 1 h after extubation (c) in a patient without lung pathology. Note the ventral shift of ventilation during mechanical ventilation with a positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 5 cmH2O (b), which is likely due to atelectasis formation in dependent lung areas. Obviously, the PEEP level was insufficient to keep the lung open.
While this has been known for many years, a more extensive, indeed complete airway closure has been shown during the last few years in anesthetised patients with obesity [21] or ICU patients with obesity on mechanical ventilation. This means that a certain airway pressure is needed to start inflation of the lungs and it is not caused by a time-dependent intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). Where the complete closure occurs is not clear but may be in the most central airways and not in the periphery. The latter would require simultaneous closure of thousands of airways, as recently discussed [22]. Hopefully, the morphology behind complete closure can be demonstrated in the near future.

A consequence of the classic airway closure is impeded ventilation where the closure occurs and the decrease in ventilation will be larger the longer the closure lasts during the respiratory cycle. If airways are continuously closed, as can be seen during anesthesia and most likely in ICU, the alveoli distal to the closure will collapse because of gas absorption [23]. The higher the oxygen concentration is in the inspired gas, the faster is the collapse. With pure oxygen, it can take a few minutes and with air, a couple of hours. The complete closure, on the other hand, will delay onset of inspiration without affecting the distribution per se.

Uneven ventilation distribution caused by airway closure will occur primarily in dependent lung regions. Perfusion of the lung, on the other hand, increases down the lung independent of anatomy. Regions that are poorly but still ventilated will cause ventilation-perfusion mismatch and regions that collapse because of continuous airway closure will cause shunt [23]. Both impede oxygenation [24] and a large shunt may even impair carbon dioxide (CO₂) elimination. With an extreme shunt, oxygenation is poorly or not at all improved by increasing oxygen in the inspired gas. Finally, in patient with obesity, there is significant heterogeneity in both resistance and compliance. Therefore, inhomogeneous inflation or deflation of the lungs can cause dynamic pressure differences between regions and lead to interregional airflows known as pendelluft effect.

However, the patients with obesity are not a homogeneous group regarding the physiological modifications, the level of obesity and the fat distribution (gynoid versus android) being confounding factors that should be taken into account.

Management of the acute respiratory failure patient

Although hypoxemic acute respiratory failure (ARF) is not the first cause of ARF in the patient with obesity [25, 26], hypoxemia is frequent as it is favored by increased oxygen consumption or work of breathing and atelectasis formation, especially in cases of patients with morbidity obesity and during ARF [27]. Non-invasive strategies should first optimize body position with reverse Trendelenburg position, “beach chair position” or sitting position, which improve respiratory compliance and gas exchange in patients with morbid obesity [28, 29].

In patients having postoperative hypoxemia or ARF, non-invasive ventilation (NIV) is recommended with moderate certainty of evidence, justified by a decreased need of intubation, mortality and morbidity as compared to standard oxygen [30, 31]. An observational study including 72 patients with ARF after abdominal surgery reported that NIV avoided intubation in 67% of cases [32]. In a post hoc analysis of a large trial of 830 postoperative thoracic patients [33], it was shown that among the 272 patients with obesity (mean BMI of 34 kg/m²), NIV was not superior to high-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy (HFNC), with treatment failure occurring in 15% and 13% in NIV and HFNC groups, respectively. Therefore, NIV could be considered as the first-line therapy in patients with obesity having a postoperative ARF [34], but further studies are needed to confirm the role of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) and/or HFNC in this setting [35, 36] (Table 1).

Data addressing the management of hypoxemic ARF with non-invasive ventilatory/oxygen strategies are scarce, especially in patients with obesity. The recent international guidelines failed to offer a recommendation on the use of NIV in hypoxemic ARF [30]. One large trial has compared NIV with standard oxygen and HFNC in 310 non-selected patients with hypoxemic ARF [37]. Results showed lower mortality rates with HFNC than NIV, thereby suggesting deleterious effects of NIV. Similarly, an observational study including 76 patients with BMI>40 kg/m² showed that, after adjustment on high severity scores, hypoxemic ARF caused by pneumonia was associated with NIV failure [38]. However, according to physiological abnormalities in patients with obesity, NIV could play a role, especially in patients with morbid obesity, through PEEP that may improve oxygenation and lung volume or alveolar recruitment [39]. Finally, possible use of NIV or HFNC as alternative to standard oxygen in patients with obesity and hypoxemic ARF is not determined, and future trials are needed (Table 1).

Hypercapnic ARF in patients with obesity can not only be part of the clinical course of cardiogenic pulmonary edema, pneumonia, asthma, and exacerbation of chronic lung diseases, but also may be due to exacerbation of obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS) [40]. Positive airway pressure, i.e. CPAP (refer to one level of airway pressure) or NIV (refer to two levels of airway pressures), is the recommended ambulatory treatment for
Table 1 Main studies assessing oxygen and NIV ventilation (prophylactic and curative) on studies focused in patients with obesity

| Study first author, journal, year of publication | Design of the study | Inclusion criteria | Comparators (number of patients per group) | Main result | Other results |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| El-Solh AA. Eur Respir J 2006 | Prospective study with historical matching | Extubation of patients with BMI ≥ 35 kg/m² in ICU Prophylactic NIV | 124 patients 62 consecutive patients were assigned to NIV via nasal mask immediately post-extubation 62 historically matched controls treated with conventional oxygen therapy | 16% (99% confidence interval 2.9–29.3%) absolute risk reduction in the rate of respiratory failure in the first 48 h post-extubation | Post hoc analysis of the 47 patients who had hypercapnia during a trial of spontaneous breathing reduced hospital mortality |
| Duarte AG. Critical Care Medicine 2007 | Retrospective study | Patients with morbid obesity with ARF requiring ventilatory assistance Curative NIV | 50 patients 33 patients treated with NIV, 17 with IMV | 21 avoided intubation (NIV success) and 12 required intubation (NIV failure) | Significant improvements in pH and Paco2 were noted for the IMV and NIV success groups Hospital mortality for the IMV and NIV failure groups was increased |
| Neligan PJ. Anesthesiology 2009 | Randomized controlled trial | Patients with morbid obesity and known obstructive sleep apnea undergoing laparoscopic bariatric surgery Prophylactic NIV | 40 patients 20 in the continuous positive airway pressure via the Boussignac system immediately after extubation (Boussignac group) 20 in the supplemental oxygen (standard care group) | Less reduction in forced vital capacity from baseline to 24 h after extubation in the Boussignac group | Less reduction in forced expiratory volume in 1 s and peak expiratory flow rate in the Boussignac group |
| Zoerembas M. BMC anesthesiology 2011 | Prospective observational study | Patients with BMI 30–45 kg/m² undergoing minor peripheral surgery Prophylactic NIV | 60 patients 30 were randomly assigned to receive short-term NIV 30 received routine treatment (supplemental oxygen via Venturi mask) | During the PACU stay, inspiratory and expiratory lung function in the NIV group was significantly better than in the controls \( (p < 0.0001) \) | Blood gases and the alveolar to arterial oxygen partial pressure difference were also better in the NIV group |
| Lemay M. Plos One 2014 | Prospective observational study | Patients with BMI > 40 kg/m² prospectively included diagnosed with OHS and treated by NIV for ARF Curative NIV | 76 patients under NIV | NIV failed to reverse ARF in 13 patients | The patients failing NIV experienced poor outcomes despite early resort to endotracheal intubation (in-hospital mortality, 92.3% vs 17.5%; \( p < 0.001 \)) |
| Corley A. Intensive Care Med 2015 | Randomized controlled trial | Patients with extubation post-cardiac surgery with a BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² Prophylactic HFNC | 155 patients 74 in the control group (conventional oxygen therapy) 81 in the HFNC group | No difference was seen between groups in tachypnea scores on Day 1 or 5 | In the 24-h post-extubation, there was no difference in mean \( \text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \) ratio or respiratory rate |
| Stephan F. Respir Care 2017 | Post hoc analysis of a randomized controlled trial | Patients with obesity Extubation after cardiothoracic surgery Prophylactic and curative NIV | 231 patients 136 in the NIV group, 135 in the HFNC group | Treatment failure (defined as re-intubation, switch to the other treatment, or premature discontinuation) did not significantly differ between groups | No significant differences were found for dyspnea and comfort scores. Skin breakdown was significantly more common with NIV after 24 h |

NIV noninvasive ventilation, ARF acute respiratory failure, BMI body mass index, HFNC high-flow nasal cannula oxygen, OHS obesity hypoventilation syndrome, IMV invasive mechanical ventilation
OHS patients [40]. Similarly, NIV is the usual treatment applied in OHS exacerbation, but no trial has evaluated its benefit compared to other oxygenation strategies. NIV brings together potentially beneficial physiological effects, including PEEP preserving upper airway patency and pressure support to control central hypoventilation. However, an observational study including 33 severely patients with obesity reports a lower BMI (47 kg/m²) in patients with NIV success versus 62 kg/m² in those who failed NIV [26]. In this setting, NIV may be an appropriate treatment, but HFNC interspaced between NIV sessions should be evaluated.

**Airway management**

In addition to the pathophysiological modification of the respiratory system discussed above, patients with obesity have peculiar morphological alterations potentially associated with difficulties during mask ventilation and airway management: reduced neck mobility, limited mouth opening, increased size of pharyngeal and glossal soft tissues, unfavorable conformation and positioning of the larynx, increased neck circumference and decreased thyromental distance [41]. Moreover, patients with obesity have a high incidence of obstructive sleep apnea [42], which is directly related to many of the complications occurring during airway management of this sub-population of critically ill patients [43]. Obesity contributes to airway compression through increased airway fat deposits [44], and placing the patient with obesity recumbent may lead to sudden death [36]. It is very important to encourage upright positioning and avoid supine positioning. Overall, obesity, especially super obesity (BMI ≥ 40 kg/m²) with android fat distribution, is an important risk factor for major complications, morbidity and mortality related to intubation procedures in the ICU [45].

Most of the literature existing on the airway management of patients with obesity is related to the operating room setting [46]. In this context, several strategies are often recommended, including the adoption of ramped position using specific devices or pillows/blankets under the patient’s head and shoulder, pre-oxygenation with positive pressure ventilation [39] and the use of videolaryngoscopes [47]. However, compared to the elective surgical patient with obesity, the intubation of the critically ill patient has profound differences in indications, timing and co-existing conditions; therefore, caution should be applied when translating in the ICU the recommendations based on evidence in the operating room. In the ICU, the incidence of difficult intubation is double compared to the OR and the occurrence of severe complications is dramatically higher [46].

Pre-procedural patient preparation is key to successful intubation. An ideal preparation aims at prolonging time-to-desaturation, which in patients with obesity is mainly related to the rapid loss of FRC after sedation. Concerning positioning, a randomized controlled trial questioned the usefulness of the ramped position applied in critically ill patients [48]; however, the study included a large proportion of patients without obesity. Therefore, patient positioning should be individualized on the patient anatomy, based also on the intensivist’s expertise. A semi-sitting position during pre-oxygenation could help to decrease positional flow limitation and air trapping [43]. Conventional bag-mask ventilation can result in rapid desaturation in patients with morbid obesity. Several studies confirmed that pre-oxygenation with CPAP or NIV improves oxygenation allowing a longer time window for intubation [39, 49]. For these reasons, positive pressure pre-oxygenation should be considered the reference in critically ill patients with obesity, considering that obesity carries an intrinsic increased risk for difficult mask ventilation. HFNC might also have a role [50], especially in rapid sequence intubation in non-severely hypoxemic patients, where avoidance of bag ventilation might be desirable but is associated with higher incidence of severe desaturation [51]. However, the value of HFNC value in patients with obesity must be clarified, and cannot replace a preoxygenation using positive pressure [52]. The intubation maneuver should be always considered as potentially difficult in patients with obesity [46], with older age, higher BMI, high Mallampati and MACOCHA scores and reduced neck mobility being independent risk factors for both difficult mask ventilation and intubation. A meta-analysis in surgical patients with obesity suggested an advantage of videolaryngoscopes over direct laryngoscopy [47]. In ICU patients with obesity, it seems reasonable to consider the use of videolaryngoscopes by adequately trained intensivists, especially in patients with several risk factors.

**Mechanical ventilation in non-ARDS patients**

**Translated concepts from anesthesia to ICU**

Obesity is associated with abdominal and thoracic tissue mass, which transmit additional hydrostatic pressure via the chest wall and diaphragm to the pleural space and, thus, the alveoli. If pleural pressure is higher than intra-alveolar pressure, the alveoli will collapse, and compression atelectasis will occur predominantly in dependent lung areas, where hydrostatic pressure is highest. For example, functional residual capacity is impaired by up to 21% in non-ventilated subjects with obesity in the supine position [18] and total lung and vital capacity are reduced as well. Induction of anesthesia with muscle relaxation
following pre-oxygenation with 100% O₂ further reduces end-expiratory lung volume (EELV) by about 50%, if a positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 5 cmH₂O is used after initiation of mechanical ventilation (Fig. 1) [18]. The main mechanism of gas exchange impairment is, therefore, shunt (atelectasis) in patients with obesity [24].

Recruitment maneuver
Because the opening pressure of alveoli is higher than the pressure needed to keep them open, application of an initial recruitment maneuver (RM) followed by adequate PEEP after intubation or disconnection of the patient from the ventilatory circuit seems intuitive. Due to the high pleural pressure in patients with obesity, opening pressures up to 50 cmH₂O applied during a RM in patients with obesity without lung injury may not result in full lung recruitment [53]. Potential side effects of applying such high airway pressures include a decrease in venous return and, thus, cardiac preload with a drop in cardiac output and systemic blood pressure. In addition, barotrauma such as pneumothorax or pneumomediastinum especially in patients with pre-existing structural lung damage such as emphysema, and a mechanically triggered boost of pre-existing lung inflammation may occur. Thus, RM is not generally recommended, and their use remains a decision based on individual risk/benefit considerations.

PEEP
In mechanically ventilated patients, PEEP is used to keep alveolar pressure above the closing pressure of alveoli thereby maintaining end-expiratory lung volume (EELV) and arterial oxygenation. In another words, PEEP does not strictly induce alveolar recruitment but PEEP avoids alveolar derecruitment by maintaining open alveoli. Thus, protective ventilation strategies may improve clinical outcomes even in patients without ARDS [54]. Due to the superimposed pressure transmitted by adipose tissue on the pleural space, closing pressures in patients with obesity are higher and lungs of these patients are more prone to such complications (Fig. 2). Despite these considerations, routinely used PEEP levels applied for ventilation of patients with obesity are often not higher than in normal weight patients [55]. In previous studies, different methods to find the individualized “best” PEEP in patients with obesity have been used. These approaches targeted improvements in oxygenation, lung mechanics, and regional ventilation distribution. In patients undergoing bariatric surgery, individualized PEEP resulted in a range of PEEP levels between 10 and 26 cmH₂O with a median of 18 cmH₂O [18] and restored EELV to the same level before intubation and initiation of mechanical ventilation. Other studies regularly found PEEP levels > 15 cmH₂O [56, 57]. However, a large trial of ventilation in patients with obesity during anesthesia did not demonstrate a difference in postoperative pulmonary complications for constant PEEP levels of 4 versus 12 cmH₂O [58]. The PEEP levels in this pragmatic study, however, were not aiming at and resulting in full lung recruitment. As mentioned above, use of higher airway pressures is often associated with hemodynamic depression and higher requirements for fluids and vasopressors [58]. At least in the perioperative setting, evidence from meta-analyses and clinical trials are somewhat conflicting regarding improved clinical outcomes [54, 59].

Tidal volume
Limiting tidal volume (VT) has been shown to reduce ventilation-associated lung injury and inflammation in non-selected patients with and without ARDS. The idea of normalizing VT for predicted body weight (PBW) is based on the expected lung volume (dependent on patient’s height and sex) and aims to limit the VT/EELV ratio, i.e., mechanical lung strain. As mentioned above, EELV is regularly below the values in a normal weight population. Thus, referencing VT to PBW per se can result in higher strain than in normal weight patients. If PBW is not formally calculated but just estimated, there is a tendency to overestimate PBW and, thus, VT in patients with obesity [55].

Positioning patients with obesity in ramped or sitting positions and even early mobilization may facilitate unloading the diaphragm from increased abdominal pressure and may thereby improve aeration of dependent lung areas. Early implementation of spontaneous breathing activity can preserve diaphragmatic tension, redistribute ventilation to dependent lung areas [60], may avoid diaphragmatic muscle atrophy caused by muscle relaxation [60] and reduce duration of mechanical ventilation [61].

Mechanical ventilation in ARDS patients
Anzueto et al. [62] and Karla et al. [63] showed that ARDS patients with obesity were ventilated with higher VT (per kg of PBW) compared to ARDS patients without obesity.

It is tempting to speculate that the amount of atelectasis was different between patients with and without obesity and that the higher VT was chosen by the clinicians to maintain an adequate alveolar ventilation. A study by Grasso et al. [64] tempted to confirm this hypothesis by reporting a decrease in the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in patients with abdominal hypertension by increasing the airway pressure—often
above 30 cmH₂O—based on a transpulmonary pressure target. Interestingly, in the study by Karla et al. [63], the airway plateau pressure and driving pressure were similar between patients with and without obesity. Of note, in both studies, the outcome was similar between the two groups. Similarly, De Jong et al. [65], in ARDS patients with obesity did not find any difference in driving pressure between survivors and non-survivors [66].

When 21 ARDS patients with obesity were compared to 44 patients with ARDS but with a normal BMI, it was found that the two groups had similar recruitability and changes in oxygenation when PEEP was increased from 5 to 15 cmH₂O [67]. In these two groups, abdominal pressure and chest wall elastance were also similar. In contrast, Fumagalli et al. [68] found an impressive improvement in oxygenation and lung elastance using higher PEEP (22 cmH₂O) compared to lower PEEP (13 cmH₂O). The higher PEEP was selected according to transpulmonary pressure, while the lower PEEP was selected according to a PEEP/FiO₂ table. Once again, the abdominal pressure was not measured (or reported). The same authors in a retrospective study of patients with severe ARDS found better gas exchange, respiratory mechanics, and survival in 50 patients treated according to a personalized approach (based on transpulmonary pressure) compared to 70 patients treated with a standard protocol [69]. The personalized approach resulted in much higher PEEP levels of 20 cmH₂O compared to 9 cmH₂O used in the standard approach. A retrospective analysis of the ALVEOLI trial showed improved outcome using PEEP 12 cmH₂O compared to 9 cmH₂O [70]. In this trial, however, patients with a weight > 1 kg/cm of height and BMI usually > 50 kg/m² were not included.

We may wonder why the reported effect of different levels of PEEP differs among studies. We have to note that the BMI of the population of the different studies was 31 kg/m², as in the study of Chiumello et al. [67] and likely in the ALVEOLI study [70], versus a BMI higher than 50 kg/m² in the study by Fumagalli et al. [68]. Given such a different BMI, it is likely that the abdominal pressure and mechanical impairment were different in the different populations. The normalized mechanical power, that has been shown being strongly associated with mortality [71], was not monitored. Moreover, RM was not consistently used, and their use and timing remain a matter of debate in ARDS patients with and without obesity [72]. A PEEP decremental trial preceded by a RM may decrease lung overdistension and collapse in ARDS obese patients [73]. In 21 ARDS patients with severe obesity (BMI = 57 ± 12 kg/m²) [74], RM was performed during pressure controlled

---

**Fig. 2** Effect of obesity in main pressures of the respiratory system. The respiratory system includes the lung and the chest wall, and the airway pressure is related to both transpulmonary and transthoracic pressures, which differ in the patient with obesity compared to the patient without obesity. The relative part of pressure due to transthoracic pressure is often higher in the patient with obesity than in the patient without obesity (elevated pleural pressure, which can be estimated by esophageal pressure). The plateau pressure represents the pressure used to distend the chest wall plus lungs. In patients with obesity, elevated plateau pressure may be related to an elevated transthoracic pressure, and not an increase in transpulmonary pressure with lung overdistension. FRC functional residual capacity.
ventilation with delta pressure of 10 cmH\textsubscript{2}O, PEEP was increased until a plateau pressure of 50 cmH\textsubscript{2}O for 1 min. After, the ventilator mode was switched to volume controlled ventilation (5 ml/kg of PBW), and the PEEP dropped by 2 cmH\textsubscript{2}O every 30 s. The optimal PEEP was determined by the PEEP value with the best compliance of the respiratory system plus 2 cmH\textsubscript{2}O. Finally, a second lung RM was performed and the selected optimal PEEP was set. Required PEEP was increased to 8 [7, 10] cmH\textsubscript{2}O above traditional ARDSnet settings with improvement of lung function, oxygenation and ventilation/perfusion matching, without impairment of hemodynamics or right heart function. Moreover, in a retrospective study [69], the same authors also reported that patients treated with RM and with higher PEEP were weaned from vasopressors agents faster (and improved survival) than patients who were treated with low ARDSnet PEEP table. Future investigations would be beneficial to clarify the lung–heart interaction when high airway pressure is used in the settings of high pleural pressure.

Given that the setting of mechanical ventilation (V\textsubscript{T}, PEEP) and the indicators of ventilator-induced lung injury (mechanical power, driving pressure) are crucially dependent on chest wall elastance, it is our opinion that it is difficult to propose any treatment if key variables such as transpulmonary pressure and intra-abdominal pressure are not measured or ignored (Fig. 2).

Prone position [75] also deserves attention in patients with ARDS and obesity. The safety and efficiency of this therapeutic were similar between patients with and without obesity, and the ratio of alveolar pressure in oxygen over fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2}) was significantly more increased after prone position in patients with obesity compared to patients without obesity [76]. Prone position is a therapeutic of choice in patients with severe ARDS and obesity, and the mechanisms of action, caution and clinical effects are detailed in Fig. 3. In case of severe ARDS after failure or inability to use prone positioning and neuromuscular blockers, veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) can also be safely used in ARDS obese patients [77, 78].

**Weaning and extubation**

The spontaneous breathing trial should be clearly separated from the level of pressure support and PEEP set before extubation and the respiratory support following extubation. A physiological study specifically assessed the inspiratory effort during weaning of mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients with morbid obesity [79]. The main result of this study was that for patients with obesity, T-piece and pressure support ventilation 0+PEEP 0 cmH\textsubscript{2}O were the weaning tests predicting post-extubation inspiratory effort and work of breathing the most accurately [79]. If the work of breathing is closely the same between T-Tube and after extubation [79], the patient with obesity remains prone to atelectasis, and therefore, atelectases should be avoided as much as possible. That is why after a T-tube, the obese patient should be reconnected to mechanical ventilation, as already demonstrated in patients without obesity [80], and put again under pressure support with sufficient PEEP and pressure support. Similarly, following extubation, as detailed below, preventing atelectasis has to start as soon as possible, using CPAP or NIV.

Moreover, to perform extubation as soon as possible, sedation should be stopped as early as possible and benzodiazepines avoided, even more than in patients without obesity due to prolonged release of drugs in patients with obesity [81].

Prophylactic NIV after extubation decreases the risk of ARF by 16% and length of ICU stay [82]. In hypercapnic ICU patients with obesity, using NIV after extubation is associated with decreased mortality [82]. A randomized controlled trial performed in patients with morbid obesity undergoing bariatric surgery found an improvement of ventilatory function when CPAP was implemented immediately after extubation as compared to CPAP started 30 min after extubation [83] (Table 1). In case of positive pressure therapy already used at home, it should be reintroduced as early as possible in the ICU as soon as higher levels of assistance requiring the use of an ICU ventilator are no longer needed. Home positive pressure therapy could also be introduced in ICU for selected patients with obesity. CPAP is indicated for use in patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, as first-line therapy in these indications. In the case of combined obstructive apnea syndrome and moderate hypercapnia between 45 and 55 mmHg, a CPAP device will be offered as first-line therapy, and a NIV device, allowing ventilation at 2 pressure levels, will be offered in case of failure. If there is a history of respiratory decompensation with acute hypercapnic respiratory failure, hypercapnia greater than 55 mmHg and/or no associated obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, a NIV device will be offered [84].

HFNC was not found to be superior to standard oxygen to prevent extubation failure in 155 post-cardiac surgery patients with obesity [85]. Among cardiothoracic surgery subjects with obesity with or without respiratory failure, the use of continuous HFNC compared to NIV did not result in a worse rate of treatment failure [33] (Table 1). Similarly, in the study by Hernandez et al. [86] including 20% of patients with obesity, among high-risk adults who have undergone extubation, preventive HFNC was not inferior to preventive NIV for reducing reintubation rate and postextubation respiratory failure. In a randomized controlled trial of the same team comparing HFNC
to standard oxygen [87] in high-risk non-hypercapnic patients including 22% of patients with obesity, the study was stopped due to low recruitment after 155 patients, without any difference in extubation failure rate found between the two groups.

The specificities of weaning and extubation in ICU patients with obesity are summarized in Supplemental Table 1. A summary of the main respiratory physiological modifications and some suggestions for mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients with obesity are proposed in Fig. 4.

**Obesity paradox**

In the general population, obesity is one of the top 10 risk factors of chronic diseases and a risk factor for death. Consistent with this trend in the general population, the number of obese patients admitted to the ICU is rapidly increasing [88]. Obesity decreases life expectancy in the population, and obesity in childhood is now a healthcare crisis for our next generation with unknown consequences. There are overwhelming scientific data on overall mortality/morbidity, the healthcare system shortcomings to deliver adequate care, and the social discrimination and injustice that individuals with obesity are subject on daily basis. However, in ICU, patients with obesity may be more likely to develop ARDS, but their survival sometimes appeared to be better, a phenomenon called the ‘obesity paradox’ [89]. Patients with obesity have immunological and pulmonary mechanics differences compared to patients without obesity detailed in the supplemental content (see Supplemental content 1).

These differences are increased for patients with higher level of obesity.

Furthermore, clinicians may overestimate the lung size of patients with obesity, by considering real instead of PBW, and use higher $V_T$ during mechanical ventilation, risking ventilator-induced lung injury. The mentioned patient factors may also cause respiratory muscle fatigue and difficult weaning. Indeed, 2 meta-analyses show that in close to 200,000 ARDS patients, obesity is linked to a higher risk of developing ARDS and patients with obesity need mechanical ventilation for a longer period of time, compared to critically ill patients without obesity [13, 16]. As a consequence, ICU-length of stay is also prolonged in patients with obesity, while hospital length of stay is not [13, 16]. While patients with obesity are on mechanical ventilation for a longer period of time, these meta-analyses also demonstrate a survival advantage for patients with obesity. This observation is coined the ‘obesity paradox’ as a survival benefit may appear counterintuitive in view of the detrimental alterations in respiratory function as described above. Several reasons to explain the obesity paradox in ARDS patients with obesity have been put forward. Apart from the described immunological differences, patients with obesity have more metabolic reserve and may, therefore, tolerate the catabolic stress of critical illness during ARDS better, because of energy stores in the form of adipose tissue.

It is important to also address the possibility that patients with obesity may have a lower threshold for ICU admission, e.g., because of the need of more nursing staff not available on the ward or monitoring purposes. This
would mean that patients with obesity admitted to the ICU are less sick and therefore may show a better survival because of selection bias, not representing a real phenomenon. As in the meta-analyses, adjustments for covariates like disease severity were not possible; this may appear plausible. In a large study in over 150,000 ICU patients, however, the obesity paradox remained present even when adjusted for several covariates including disease severity [90]. Also, patients with obesity may have been misclassified as ARDS if atelectasis is interpreted as bilateral infiltrates. Using a causal inference approach to reduce residual confounding bias due to missing data, it was found that the survival of patients without obesity would not have been improved if they had obesity [91], findings which question the obesity paradox.

### Conclusion

In summary, patients with obesity are more likely to develop respiratory complications, including ARF and ARDS. Considering some physiological studies, for non-invasive management, using NIV has to be considered both for preventing and treating ARF, even if the level of proof is low, especially in comparison with HFNC. Airway management in critically ill patients with obesity poses specific challenges, and adequate patient evaluation, pre-oxygenation and choice of intubation devices might improve outcomes. After intubation procedure for invasive mechanical ventilation, patients with obesity being more prone to lung collapse require higher PEEP to avoid it. Low \( V_T \) according to PBW should be used both in non-ARDS and ARDS.

---

**Fig. 4** Main respiratory physiological modifications and suggestions for mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients with obesity. The main respiratory physiological modifications (functional residual capacity decreased, abdominal pressure often increased, pulmonary and chest wall compliance often decreased, cephalic ascension of diaphragm, oxygen consumption and work of breathing increased) lead to shunt via atelectasis and gas exchange impairment. Comorbidities are often associated with obesity: obstructive apnea syndrome and obesity hypoventilation syndrome.

Consequences on airway management, potentially difficult, include the preparation of adequate material for difficult intubation as videolaryngoscopes, preoxygenation with noninvasive ventilation in a semi-sitting position, considering adding apneic oxygenation (OPTINIV method), rapid sequence induction and recruitment maneuver following intubation after hemodynamic stabilization. Ventilatory settings include low or limited tidal volume (6–8 ml/kg/PBW or less), moderate to high PEEP (7–20 cmH\(_2\)O) if hemodynamically well tolerated, recruitment maneuver (if hemodynamically well tolerated, in selected patients), monitoring of esophageal pressure if possible, use of prone positioning in a trained team in case of severe ARDS, without contra-indicating ECMO. After extubation, CPAP or NIV should be considered early, as implementation of positive pressure therapies at home after evaluation. \( PBW \) predicted body weight, \( PEEP \) positive end-expiratory pressure, \( ARDS \) acute respiratory distress syndrome, \( ECMO \) extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, \( CPAP \) continuous positive airway pressure, \( NIV \) noninvasive ventilation, \( HFNC \) high-flow nasal cannula oxygen.
patients. RM is not systematically recommended, and their use remains a decision based on individual risk/benefit considerations. Prone positioning should be used in severe ARDS patients with obesity.
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