Effects of EMG Biofeedback on Pain and Quality of Life in Cervical Dystonia
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Abstract

Cervical dystonia (CD) is a focal dystonia affecting cervical muscles leading to abnormal postures and movements of the head, neck and shoulders. Cervical dystonia is a chronic disease with unwanted side effects. Although muscle contractions represent the most visible disease feature, associated symptoms such as pain are frequent and relevant contributors to disability. At the same time, pain constitutes one of the most important factors in terms of poor quality of life (QOL) and is one of the most affected QOL domains in CD patients.

However, the mechanism underlying the pain associated with CD remains unclear. There are no therapeutic controlled trials that have evaluated pain or QOL as a primary outcome, but the available data suggest that therapeutic interventions that improve dystonia also alleviate pain and have a beneficial effect on QOL. Recent studies have demonstrated that Electromyography (EMG) biofeedback is a good option for treatment of CD. The main aim of present research was to investigate the effect of EMG biofeedback on pain and health-related quality of life of cervical dystonia patients. To do so, a sample of 30 subjects with cervical dystonia was selected for experimental and control groups by an accessible sampling procedure. Subjects were assessed with the pain subscale of the Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale (TWSTRS) and the Short-form Health Survey with 36 questions (SF-36) in two stages (pre-test, post-test). The obtained data were analyzed through covariance analysis method. After 30 sessions of EMG biofeedback training, the mean scores of pain in the experimental group were significantly diminished. Also, the mean of SF-36 scores in the experimental group showed a significantly higher increase in comparison to that of the control group. The results suggested that EMG biofeedback was effective on pain and health-related QOL of CD patients.
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Introduction

Cervical dystonia (CD) is the most frequent form of adult-onset focal dystonia [1]. Its main clinical feature is torsion of the neck, which is the consequence of anomalous and involuntary contractions of the cervical muscles [2]. CD is often defined as "spasmodic torticollis". While this term recalls the main clinical feature of CD, it does not provide any information about the nature of the disease [3]. Indeed, torticollis can arise not only from peripheral or central nervous system alterations, but also from bone, joint, or muscle disease [4]. Although the first descriptions of dystonia appeared early in the 1900s, more than half a century passed before physicians realized that this bizarre condition was linked to brain disease [5]. Although the pathophysiology of CD still remains unclear, a recent review suggests that dystonia may result from functional disturbance of the basal ganglia, possibly involving striatal control of the globus pallidus and, consequently, thalamic control of cortical motor planning and execution [6]. At least two-thirds of the CD patients report pain that significantly contributes to the disability associated with their disorder [7]. Although pain is most frequently localized to the back of the neck and shoulders, it may also involve the head, upper chest, upper arms, and other areas [8]. The mechanism of pain in CD remains mostly unknown. A small study has suggested a decreased threshold of pain perception in CD patients, which could point to the involvement of central processes in addition to local muscle involvement [9]. Other potential causes of pain in CD are orthopedic complications including cervical spine degeneration, spondylolisthesis, disc herniation, vertebral subluxations and fractures, radiculopathies and myelopathies [10].

Dystonia has a negative impact on quality of life (QOL). A study on focal, segmental and generalized dystonia found that the QOL of dystonic patients was worse in all domains, but mainly in those related to physical and social functioning [11].

CD has a negative impact on QOL compared with age- and gender-matched healthy control subjects [12]. Several studies have tried to identify the most affected domains and the major determinants of QOL in patients with CD [13]. Many studies have reported a major impact of CD on pain and in domains of role limitation (physical and emotional), mental health and social and physical functioning, as measured by the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36 (SF-36), ageneric QOL measure [14]. Currently, CD is an incurable disease [15]. Available symptomatic interventions should aim not only at the relief of dystonia and the associated symptoms, but also to decrease functional disability and improve QOL. Ideally, the prevention of long-term complications should also be one of the therapeutic goals [16]. Therapeutic interventions for CD are broadly divided into botulinum toxin (BoNT), oral drugs, surgical interventions, physical therapy and EMG biofeedback [17]. Despite the use of different treatment, dystonic patients still do not fully recover. Usually the symptoms of dystonia are transformed over time into chronic symptoms that do not go away and continues for a lifetime. Due to the side effects of drug treatments and surgery, today’s researchers and clinicians are seeking effective treatment methods for patients who have fewer side effects [3].

Biofeedback is a form of behavioral medicine, which assists patients in learning enhanced sensory discrimination to facilitate the acquisition...
of physiological self-regulation skills to reduce symptoms, identify and avoid aggravating activities, enhance function and speed recovery to pre-injury status [18]. Biofeedback has been used in clinical settings for treatment and rehabilitation of injured workers for more than 30 years [19]. Biofeedback is the process of identifying physiological variables such as muscle activity, peripheral skin temperature, regional blood flow, respiratory style and rate, heart rate variability, brainwaves and other measures of autonomic nervous system function for the purpose of helping the patient to develop a greater sensory awareness [20]. This is achieved by the monitoring of these variables with the use of electronic instrumentation, which is then fed back to the individual visually or audibly, for the purpose of teaching the individual to gain some measure of physiological control [21]. Surface Electromyography (SEMG) is used for self-management of pain and stress reactions involving muscle tensions and reduction of over-effort related to poor posture or undesirable movement habits [22].

**Method**

This is an experimental study with pre-test and post-test plan with control group. In this study, EMG biofeedback training is considered as independent variable and pain and QOL as dependent variable.

30 patients were consecutively recruited at five medical centers in Iran. The main criteria for inclusion in the study were the presence of CD, with illness duration of at least one year, and freedom from any pharmacological (oral or infiltration) CD therapy at the time of the study. None of the patients were on any medication at the time of the study. 10 patients had previously been treated with botulinum toxin, but with no significant improvement of their torticollis. In these patients, botulinum toxin therapy had been terminated at least six months before our study. The other patients had never received botulinum toxin therapy. None of the patients showed tremor. Neither neurophysiological (somatosensory evoked potentials, transcranial magnetic stimulation, EMG recording) nor radiological (cervical X-ray, MRI of the brain and spinal cord) investigations revealed any abnormalities of the spine, peripheral, or central nervous system which the dystonic symptoms might be attributed. All the subjects gave their informed consent before entering the study.

The range of samples age was 22-51 years old with average 34.06 and standard deviation 8.07. The obtained data were analyzed through covariance analysis method with SPSS version 17.

**Instruments**

**Biofeedback amplifier**

Biofeedback amplifier is a device which analyzes the received physiological variables such as muscle activity, muscle contraction, peripheral skin temperature, regional blood flow, respiratory style and rate, heart rate variability, brainwaves and other measures of autonomic nervous system function for the purpose of helping the patient to develop a greater sensory awareness, through located electrodes on the different parts of body [23]. This is achieved by the monitoring of these variables with the use of electronic instrumentation, which is then fed back to the individual visually or audibly, for the purpose of teaching the individual to gain some measure of physiological control. Once an initial assessment is made and individualized needs are identified, the biofeedback specialist acts as a coach to teach and guide each individual to reach targeted goals of increased function [20]. Surface Electromyography (SEMG) is used for self-management of pain and stress reactions involving muscle tension and for reduction of over-effort related to poor posture or undesirable movement habits [21].

In this study researchers used a Biofeedback device, made by “Thought Technology Ltd.” that called “BioGraphInfiniti” that is a 10 channel device and “BioGraphInfinitii software” (Physiology suite section) that is designed to work with a number of sensors. The sensor that was used in this study is “Myoscan-Pro EMG (P/N: SA9401M-60)”. The Myscan-Pro is a pre-amplified surface EMG sensor for use on low sampling rate encoder inputs and designed to measure Root Mean Square (RMS) SEMG used for stress assessment and SEMG Biofeedback. Disposable EKG/EMG electrodes used in this study include: (A) T3402M-Triode electrode, with standard 2 cm spacing of silver-silver chloride electrodes, backed with nickel plated brass snaps to prevent corrosion, (B) T3425 UniGel electrodes, for use with the EKG sensor or EMG, in case of sensitive placements on dry skin and (C) T3404-Single strip electrodes, versatile electrodes can be used as strip or separated for wider placements. The ProComp infinity system contains all the peripherals to easily connect it to a desktop or laptop IBM-compatible PC.

**Toronto western spasmotic torticollis rating scale (TWSTRS)**

The TWSTRS is a validated and widely utilized scale [24]. The Toronto Western Spasmotic Torticollis Rating Scale (TWSTRS), an investigator-applied scale, is the gold standard tool for evaluation of CD. It is composed of three subscales designed to assess the motor aspects of CD, measure the impact of CD on activities of daily living, and quantify pain caused by CD and its consequences on life of affected individuals. The TWSTRS is a composite scale which covers different features of CD [25]. The first part is based on the physical findings (severity subscale), the second part rates disability, and the third part pain. The TWSTRS is a validated scale which has been frequently applied in clinical trials as the primary outcome parameter. The rates of agreement for all individual components of the TWSTRS and the total TWSTRS were statistically significant (all p<0.01). The inter-rater agreement was highest for rotation, anterocollis, and retrocollis and lowest for lateral shift. The validity of the questionnaire has been reported in previous studies between 0.78 and 0.91 [26]. In this study researchers use the pain subscale of TWSTRS.

**Short-form health survey (SF-36)**

The SF-36 is a generic instrument that provides a profile assessment of HR-QOL in 8 domains: physical functioning (PF), role functioning physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role functioning emotional (RE), and mental health (MH). Scoring and the calculation of scales were performed by using the original Ware’s method. Quality of life scales were presented as T scores (mean 50; S.D. = 10), which were obtained by linear transformation of raw scores that facilitates comparisons across the multiple scales of the SF-36. The items can be summed to give scores out of 100, ranging from 0 (worst possible health state) to 100 (best possible health state), i.e., higher values indicate better functioning and well-being [27]. The validity of the questionnaire has been reported in previous studies between 0.77 and 0.90 [28].

**Procedure**

For each subject 30 EMG Biofeedback training sessions was held and each session lasted 45 minutes. The experimental group received feedback based on their performance, in contrast control group’s feedback was not based on their performance (in fact their sessions weren’t biofeedback training and they didn’t know that they conducted placebo training). In the first session, before biofeedback training, a TWSTRS test and SF-36 questioner were performed on all subjects, individually. Then biofeedback training was carried out for...
experimental group according to the biofeedback medical treatment guidelines that compiled and edited by the biofeedback society of California [23]. During SEMG biofeedback training electrodes are located on muscle around the neck such as Trapezius, Splenius Capitis and Sternocleidomastoid according to neurologist diagnosis. Treatment goals are:

1. Improve the patient’s awareness to assist in the control of muscle activity. A variety of muscle (EMG) placements from the involved quarter may be employed that relate to the symptoms. Muscle discrimination practice (alternative recruitment of agonist, quieting of antagonist and then the reverse) is important to improve sensory awareness and muscle control.

2. Reinforce the release of muscle tension that is being obtained from slow stretches, muscle control exercises, and abdominal breathing for general relaxation and lowering of arousal.

3. Improve the patient’s ability to feel like they can affect their physical responses and symptoms through the feedback process. Postural issues and hand warming can be addressed as indicated to alter movement patterns and further improve self-regulation.

4. Assist in reducing dystonic motions by modifying any aspects of posture and movement technique that can be altered.

### Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences) PC version 17 for Windows. All differences were considered significant if the probability of error was p<0.05. The obtained data were analyzed through covariance analysis method.

### Results

To evaluate mean and standard deviation of subjects’ pain scores in pre and post-test descriptive analysis was used. The results have been demonstrated in Table 1.

As has been shown in Table 1, the experimental subjects’ mean of severity of pain scores in pre and post-test were 6.51 and 2.8, the mean of duration of pain scores in pre and post-test were 4.2 and 1.4, and the mean of disability due to pain scores in pre and post-test were 4.1 and 1.06, respectively. In addition, the results showed that the control subjects’ mean of severity of pain in pre and post-test were 5.7 and 5.6, the mean of duration of pain scores in pre and post test were 4.2 and 4.2, and the mean of disability due to pain scores in pre and post-test were 3.4 and 3.6, respectively.

In the present study, in order to compare differences between cervical dystonia patients and control groups on pain scores, the individual’s scores on pain subscale of TWSRTS questionnaire in post-test stage were evaluated as multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). The MANOVA’s results have been given in Table 2.

As have been shown in Table 2, MANOVA revealed significant differences between experimental and control groups on severity of pain scores F= 98.95, P<0.000. In addition, the results showed that duration of pain score F=73.46, P<0.000 and disability due to pain score F=54.16, P<0.000, were significantly different between two experimental groups. To evaluate mean and standard deviation of QOL scores in pre- and post-test descriptive analysis was used. The results have been demonstrated in Table 3.

### Table 1: Mean and standard deviation of pain scores in pre- and post-test.

| Variable                  | Control group Pre-test | Experimental group Pre-test | Control group Post-test | Experimental group Post-test |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Severity of pain          | 1.41                   | 5.7                        | 1.59                    | 1.39                        |
| Duration of pain          | 0.86                   | 4.2                        | 0.7                     | 0.94                        |
| Disability due to pain    | 1.24                   | 3.4                        | 1.18                    | 0.83                        |

### Table 2: MANOVA’s results of TWSRTS.

| Variable                  | Control group | Experimental group |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| SF-36                     | Pre test      | Post test          | Pre test      | Post test      |
| Physical function         | 6.77          | 52.33              | 13.94        | 49.66          |
| Social function           | 11.76         | 35                 | 12.2         | 36.50          |
| Role-physical             | 18.58         | 53.33              | 19.97        | 48.33          |
| Role-emotional            | 16.1          | 22                 | 11.1         | 22             |
| Mental health             | 8.83          | 28.51              | 9.07         | 28.22          |
| Vitality                  | 7.74          | 19.46              | 7.83         | 19             |
| Body pain                 | 12.53         | 50.13              | 13.35        | 49.66          |
| General health            | 6.77          | 27.66              | 6.93         | 26.33          |

### Table 3: Mean and standard deviation of QOL scores in pre- and post-test.

| Variable                  | Control group | Experimental group |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| SF-36                     | Pre test      | Post test          | Pre test      | Post test      |
groups. Significant differences between groups reflected that dystonic subject had fewer score on pain scale than control participates after EMG biofeedback training.

To evaluate mean and standard deviation of subjects’ QOL scores in pre and post-test descriptive analysis was used. The results have been demonstrated in Table 3.

As has been shown in Table 3, the experimental subjects’ mean of physical function scores in pre and post-test were 53.66 and 72.5, the mean of function in pre and post-test were 29.96 and 49.16, the mean of role physical limitation in pre and post-test were 50 and 73.33, the mean of role-emotional limitation in pre and post-test were 22.06 and 55.6, the mean of mental health in pre and post-test were 26.44 and 47.92, the mean of vitality in pre and post-test were 18.33 and 33.40, the mean of bodily pain in pre and post-test were 41.23 and 66.33 and the mean of general health in pre and post-test were 25.66 and 36.66, respectively. In addition, the results showed that the control subjects’ mean of physical function scores in pre and post-test were 6.77 and 13.94, the mean of function in pre and post-test were 11.76 and 12.2, the mean of role physical limitation in pre and post-test were 18.58 and 19.97, the mean of role-emotional limitation in pre and post-test were 16.1 and 16.1, the mean of mental health in pre and post-test were 8.83 and 9.07, the mean of vitality in pre and post-test were 7.74 and 7.83, the mean of bodily pain in pre and post-test were 12.53 and 13.35 and the mean of general health in pre and post-test were 6.77 and 6.93, respectively.

In the present study in order to compare differences between dystonic patients and control groups on QOL scores, the individual’s scores on SF-36 questionnaire and its subscales in post-test stage were examined. Mannova revealed significant differences between experimental and control groups on physical function F=32.129, P<0.001, social function F=24.032, P<0.001, role physical limitation F=24.032, P<0.001, role emotional limitation F=38.332, P<0.001, vitality F=54.5, P<0.001, bodily pain F=25.99, P<0.001, and general health F=67.59, P<0.001. Significant differences between groups reflected that dystonic subject had greater score on QOL scale than control participates after EMG biofeedback training.

Discussion

The main aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of EMG biofeedback training on pain and quality of life of cervical dystonia patients. The results showed that EMG biofeedback training decrease pain and improved QOL of these subjects. Briefly, these studies show that EMG biofeedback techniques can produce a symptomatic improvement of CD that may last up to several months. CD is a chronic disorder that has a severe impact on the QOL of patients [29-31]. Pain is present in almost two-thirds of patients with CD and represents one of the QOL domains that is most affected in CD [31]. The main determinants for a poor QOL are depression, anxiety and pain [13]. Although there are no specific trials that have evaluated the effect of pharmacological, surgical or physical treatments on pain or QOL as primary outcomes, the best data available suggest that all interventions that have improved dystonia have also alleviated pain and improved QOL domains [31]. Human beings normally have voluntary control through the central nervous system over muscle activity. However, human beings have little experience in identifying and controlling many muscle groups [20]. CD patients often carry high level of tension in the neck and shoulders, yet often are not able to judge the level of tension [21]. A surface EMG biofeedback device uses sensors placed on the surface of the skin to read the electrical activity in the muscle beneath the skin. The more electrical activity, the more tense the muscle group is [32]. The biofeedback devise may use a meter to show the current level of tension, or send a signal with bar graph, a beeping sounder or a visual display on a computer screen. In each case, the person who receives immediate information about the level of tension in muscle group can learn to better assess the tension him or herself, and can also learn to relax the muscle. That process is helpful for CD patients, whose high muscle tension often worsens pain and abnormal postures [33].

It seems that the EMG biofeedback from inappropriately contracting muscle results in deactivation in these muscles. Since some of the symptoms are produced by over activation of muscles, such non-activation or reduced activation would result in an amelioration of symptoms [34]. This is precisely what was observed during selective muscle EMG biofeedback. Such reduced activation may be brought about by attentional factors [35]. During EMG biofeedback the patient becomes aware of overactivation and he/she is in a better position to manipulate muscle tension through attention [36]. This could operate at a voluntary or an involuntary level. This finding is supported by the fact that event-related potentials of cortex are susceptible to attention and voluntary influences [37]. Selective EMG biofeedback may be superior to treatment by injection of BoNT in two ways: first, it influences a relatively larger number of muscle groups and reduces their overactivity; and second, unlike a BoNT injection, it does not permanently decrease the performance of the muscle. In conclusion, biofeedback reduces overactivity of muscle groups responsible for dystonic posture, thereby alleviating symptoms [38]. Since overactivity of muscle is associated with the symptoms of CD, the patients learn to undo it during the biofeedback session [39]. This learning later, as we have observed, is manifest without the aid of EMG biofeedback. Thus EMG-BF may work in two ways: first, by making the subject aware of abnormal contractions and, second, by controlling/reducing

| SF-36          | Df | F   | P     |
|----------------|----|-----|-------|
| Physical function | 10 | 5.424 | 0.0001 |
| Social function   | 10 | 10.508 | 0.0001 |
| Role-physical     | 10 | 5.012 | 0.0001 |
| Role-emotional    | 10 | 8.139 | 0.0001 |
| Mental health     | 10 | 8.841 | 0.0001 |
| Vitality          | 10 | 28.593 | 0.0001 |
| Bodily pain       | 10 | 5.531 | 0.0001 |
| General health    | 10 | 15.014 | 0.0001 |

| Model          | Df | F   | P     |
|----------------|----|-----|-------|
| Physical function | 1 | 32.129 | 0.0001 |
| Social function   | 1 | 60.417 | 0.0001 |
| Role-physical     | 1 | 24.032 | 0.0001 |
| Role-emotional    | 1 | 24.032 | 0.0001 |
| Mental health     | 1 | 38.332 | 0.0001 |
| Vitality          | 1 | 5.45  | 0.0001 |
| Bodily pain       | 1 | 25.99 | 0.0001 |
| General health    | 1 | 67.59 | 0.0001 |

| Group          | Df | F   | P     |
|----------------|----|-----|-------|
| Physical function | 19 | 19   | 19 |
| Social function   | 19 | 19   | 19 |
| Role-physical     | 19 | 19   | 19 |
| Role-emotional    | 19 | 19   | 19 |
| Mental health     | 19 | 19   | 19 |
| Vitality          | 19 | 19   | 19 |
| Bodily pain       | 19 | 19   | 19 |
| General health    | 19 | 19   | 19 |

Table 4: MANOVA’s results for SF-36.
excessive contraction in involved muscle groups [40]. This could be brought about by voluntary decreased flow of motor activity (efferent control of motor mechanism) or by decreasing abnormal sensory input from muscle (muscle efferent block [41]). One study has speculated that muscle afferents play a pivotal role in dystonia [32]. Since most of the symptoms are due to abnormal contractions of agonists and antagonists, such relaxation of muscle groups leads to alleviation of discomfort and pain and correction of the dystonic posture. Improvement by biofeedback in no way denies the existence of organic lesions in the brain, as biofeedback may be working at a volitional motor learning level [42]. There is also a possibility of the existence of local or general excessive sympathetic activation in these patients [43]. This point is favored by the tonic nature of the contraction of muscles involved in the dystonic posture and appearance of pain. There is also the possibility of y motor neuron dysfunction (specifically, hyper-function), which normally enhances tone and provides background support for voluntary activities [44]. This hyper-function may have its origin at the spinal or brain-stem level. Such disinhibition in the y motor neuron circuitry has been proposed as a possible mechanism for myofascial pain symptoms [45]. The involvement of cortical sensory areas has been shown by elegant studies, both in terms of anatomical changes and by physiologic variables [46]. However, what neurophysiologic process operates during a biofeedback intervention needs to be studied [47].

Thus, specific muscle EMG biofeedback appears to be a promising nonpharmacologic intervention procedure to manage cervical dystonia. An attempt may be made to extend this method to other types of dystonia.
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