Identification of a Key Motif That Determines the Differential Surface Levels of RET and TrkB Tyrosine Kinase Receptors and Controls Depolarization Enhanced RET Surface Insertion*
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The RET tyrosine kinase receptor plays an important role in the development and maintenance of the nervous system. Although the ligand-induced RET signaling pathway has been well described, little is known about the regulation of RET surface expression, which is integral to the cell ability to control the response to ligand stimuli. We found that in dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons, which co-express RET and TrkB, the receptor surface levels of RET are significantly higher than that of TrkB. Using a sequence substitution strategy, we identified a key motif (Box1), which is necessary and sufficient for the differential RET and TrkB surface levels. Furthermore, pharmacological and mutagenesis assays revealed that protein kinase C (PKC) and high K+ depolarization increase RET surface levels through phosphorylation of the Thr675 residue in the Box1 motif. Finally, we found that the phosphorylation status of the Thr675 residue influences RET mediated response to GDNF stimulation. In all, these findings reveal a novel mechanism for the modulation of RET surface expression.

The RET tyrosine kinase receptor is required for the development of kidneys, testes, and the enteric, and peripheral and central nervous systems (1–3). In the nervous system, RET expression and functions have been well investigated in peripheral and sensory neurons. For instance, RET-positive neurons comprise about half of total adult DRG neurons, which are called non-peptidergic nociceptors. Herein, RET is proposed to be critical for the proper development and maintenance of non-peptidergic nociceptors (4–6). Interestingly, tropomyosin-related kinase B (TrkB)2 is also expressed in adult non-peptidergic DRG neurons and is essential for postnatal survival of non-peptidergic nociceptive neurons (7). The activation of RET is governed by the glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family ligands (GFLs). GFLs binds directly to RET co-receptors known as GDNF family receptor α1–4 (GFRα1–4), which then form active receptor complexes with RET (3). GFL-mediated RET activation stimulates multiple intracellular signaling pathways including MAPK and PI3K/Akt that promote cell survival, cell migration, and neurite outgrowth (8, 9).

Proper cell surface localization of the RET receptor is crucial for its normal functioning, however little is known about the regulation of RET surface expression (10). Increasing evidence suggests that complex arrays of short signal and recognition amino acid sequences are responsible for the accurate trafficking of transmembrane receptors into the cell membrane (11–13). Recent reports also suggest that protein kinases are involved in cell surface receptor trafficking (14–16). For example, it has been reported that PKC could facilitate NMDA receptor surface delivery (15). Neuronal activity could also enhance receptor surface insertion through activation of protein kinases (17, 18). In the nervous system, the activity-dependent surface insertion of AMPA receptors is a well-researched model (18). However, it is still unknown whether such mechanisms are involved in the regulation of RET surface expression.

In the present study, we found that RET and TrkB receptors, which are co-expressed in non-peptidergic DRG neurons, displayed differential cell surface levels. We further identified a key motif (Box1) in the juxtamembrane region of RET that was necessary and sufficient to distinguish the different RET and TrkB surface levels. Finally, we showed that PKC and high K+ depolarization could modulate RET cell surface levels through phosphorylation of the Thr675 site in the Box1 motif.
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Background: Proper cell surface localization of RET is crucial for its function, however the molecular mechanisms regulating RET surface expression are still unclear.

Results: Neuronal activity enhances RET surface expression through phosphorylation of its Thr675 residue by PKC.

Conclusion: Neuronal activity and PKC regulate RET surface expression.

Significance: These findings reveal a novel mechanism for the modulation of RET surface expression.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Reagents and Antibodies—Human recombinant NGF, GDNF and BDNF were purchased from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ). Soluble GFRα1 (GFRα1-Fc chimera) was obtained from R&D system (Minneapolis, MN). Chelerythrine (CHE), 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA), N-[2-(p-bromocinnamylamino)ethyl]-5-isoquinolinesulfonamide (H89), forskolin, and dynasore were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Antibodies were purchased as follows: rabbit anti-TrkB antibody from Millipore (Temecula, CA); mouse anti-Flag (M2) antibody and protein A-Sepharose from Sigma-Aldrich; goat anti-RET, rabbit anti-RET, mouse anti-p-Tyr (pY99) and mouse anti-Akt antibodies from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA); rabbit anti-phospho-Akt (Ser473), rabbit anti-phospho-p44/42 MAPK (pErk1/2), mouse anti-phospho-p44/42 MAPK (pErk1/2) (Thr202/Tyr204) antibodies from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA); Alexa Fluor 488- or 594-conjugated donkey anti-mouse, rabbit and goat IgG from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA); horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse or rabbit IgG, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated rabbit anti-goat IgG antibodies from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA). The restriction enzymes were purchased from Fermentas (Hanover, MD). Trypsin and collagenase were purchased from Invitrogen. The other reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich except when specifically indicated.

Plasmid Construction—The coding region of human RET and TrkB were subcloned into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) expression vector. Flag-tagged TrkB-GFP and RET-GFP constructs were prepared on pE GFP-N1 backbone as previously described (19). RET and TrkB chimeras with swapped domains were generated by means of two-step PCR. RET mutants at Thr675 site were made by site-directed mutagenesis. All the constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing to exclude potential PCR introduced mutations.

PC12 Cell Culture and Transfection—PC12 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) containing 10% house serum (Invitrogen), 5% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen), supplemented with 100 units/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen) and 2 mM l-glutamine (Invitrogen). For immunostaining, PC12 cells were planted to a 6-well dish at
a density of $3 \times 10^5$ cells per well and transfected with indicated plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) on the next day. For surface biotinylation, Western blot and cell differentiation experiments, PC12 cells were electroporated using NucleofectorTM-II (Amaxa Biosystems, Köln, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

**DRG Neuron Culture**—Newborn Sprague-Dawley rats (postnatal day 7, P7) were killed via heart bleeding under ethyl ether anesthesia. The spinal columns were removed aseptically, and DRGs from all levels were dissected out and collected in ice-cold Hank’s Buffered Salt Solution (HBSS). Nerve fibers were cleared and ganglions were digested with 0.1% collagenase I twice, followed by 0.25% trypsin-EDTA for 15–30 min at 37 °C. DMEM/F12 medium (plus 10% fetal bovine serum) was added to terminate the digestion. The ganglions were triturated through a flame-polished pasteur pipette to form a single cell suspension. Cells were then collected by centrifugation at $3000 \times g$ for 10 min and cultured with DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin-streptomycin, 0.5 mM l-glutamine, and 50 ng/ml NGF. For endogenous receptor surface levels quantification, DRG neurons were seeded into PDL-coated 6-cm dishes at a density of $1 \times 10^6$ cells per dish, and surface biotinylation experiment was carried out at the culture day 5. For immunofluorescence assay, DRG neurons were electroporated using NucleofectorTM-II and the immunocytochemistry staining experiment was carried out 48 h later.

**Surface Biotinylation, Immunoprecipitation, and Western Blot**—For collection of cell surface proteins, cells were washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer (pH 7.4, with 0.1 mM Ca$^{2+}$ and 1 mM Mg$^{2+}$) and then incubated with Sulfo-NHS-biotin (0.3 mg/ml in cold PBS) for 45 min at 4 °C to biotinylate surface proteins. Unreacted biotin was quenched twice with ice-cold Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.1 mM Ca$^{2+}$. Cells were lysed in TNE lysis buffer, which contains 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, and 1% Nonidet P-40 with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Cell lysates were rotated for 45 min at 4 °C, and the cell extracts were clarified by centrifugation ($12,000 \times g$, 15 min). Biotinylated surface proteins were then pulled down by avidin-agarose, and the remaining supernatant was collected as cytoplasmic protein samples. For quantifying endogenous RET and TrkB surface levels in DRG neurons, surface biotinylation was performed first, and then one-half of the clarified lysates were immunoprecipitated with avidin beads to capture cell surface receptors. The other half of the lysates were immunoprecipitated with rabbit anti-RET (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or rabbit anti-TrkB (Upstate) antibodies (total RET or TrkB groups) overnight at 4 °C followed by incubation with protein A-Sepharose beads to capture total RET or TrkB. The beads were washed three times with...
TNE lysis buffer; the precipitated proteins were eluted in SDS sample buffer (Invitrogen) and analyzed by Western blot. RET or TrkB proteins were detected by goat anti-RET (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or mouse anti-TrkB (1:1000; BD) antibody. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL; Pierce) and quantified by NIH Image J software (NIH Image, Bethesda, MD).

**Immunohistochemistry, Immunofluorescence Staining, and Microscopic Quantitative Analysis**—Endogenous RET and TrkB expression were detected by immunohistochemistry staining. P7 newborn rat DRGs were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) followed by equilibration in 30% sucrose/PBS overnight. Ganglia were sectioned at 40 μm thickness by a freezing microtome (Microm HM550, Thermo) at −20 °C onto gelatin-coated slides. These sections were then stained with goat anti-RET (1:100, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and rabbit anti-TrkB (1:100, Upstate) antibodies followed by Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG. Fluorescence images were acquired using a 60× objective lens by a HQ2 cool CCD camera mounted on a Nikon Eclipse TE 2000-U microscope. In each experiment, a consistent set of acquisition parameters was used for each set of images, which were processed by MetaMorph software and assigned to a pseudo color (red, green). The intensity of anti-FLAG staining (red) or GFP (green) for each of selected cells was measured by MetaMorph software.

**Generation of Antibody against Phosphorylated RET at Thr675 Residue**—A peptide containing the predicted PKC phosphorylation site at Thr675 of human RET (SAEMpTFRRP-C) was used to immunize rabbits. Crude serum was first passed through an affinity column made by coupling the non-phosphorylated version of the peptide. Flow-through from this column was then passed through a column made by coupling the phosphorylated peptide. Specificity of collected antibody (rabbit anti-pT675-RET) was tested by a peptide competition assay in which a more than 5-fold weight excess of either phosphorylated or non-phosphorylated RET peptide was pre-incubated with antibody for 2 h at 4 °C and then used for immunoblotting with TPA-treated PC12 cells lysates transfected with RET-GFP.

**FIGURE 3. Ratiometric fluorescence assay to measure RET and TrkB receptor surface levels.** A, expression plasmids of RET and TrkB chimeras tagged with Flag epitope were constructed on the pEFGP-N1 backbone as shown. B, receptor cell surface levels were quantified by biotinylation methods in transfected PC12 cells. Immunoreactive bands were quantified by Image J software and cell surface receptor levels were represented as surface/lysate ratios. Relative receptor surface levels were normalized to that of RET-GFP. The results are represented as mean ± S.E. from three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels; Student’s t test). C, ratiometric fluorescence assay to quantify RET and TrkB receptor surface levels. PC12 cells expressing indicated receptors were stained with anti-Flag M2 antibody under non-permeabilization condition followed with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (red). The Alexa Fluor 594 fluorescence represented surface receptor levels, and the GFP fluorescence represented total receptor levels. Surface receptor levels were represented as the ratios of surface-Alexa Fluor 594/total-GFP fluorescence. Relative receptor surface levels were normalized to that of RET-GFP. The results are represented as mean ± S.E. from three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels; one-way ANOVA).
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Receptor Stimulation and Downstream Signaling Activation Assay—PC12 cells electroporated with low amounts of indicated constructs (0.5 μg) were cultured at a density of 2.0 × 10⁶ cells/ml onto 6-cm dishes coated with poly-d-lysine. 24 h later, cells were serum starved for 12 h and then treated with 50 ng/ml GDNF (PeproTech) plus 300 ng/ml soluble GFRα1 (R&D System) for 5, 10, and 60 min. Total cell lysates were obtained as described previously. To detect receptor tyrosine autophosphorylation levels, cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with rabbit anti-RET antibody, followed by immunoblotting with mouse anti-pTyr (pY99, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and goat anti-RET antibodies respectively. For Erk and Akt activation, anti-pErk1/2, anti-erk1/2, anti-pAkt, and anti-Akt Western blots were performed, respectively, as previously described. Immunoreactive bands were scanned and quantitated using NIH Image J software. Ratios of phosphoprotein over total protein were defined to present protein activation levels.

PC12 Cell Neurite Outgrowth—12 h after transfection with a low amount of the indicated constructs (0.5 μg), PC12 cells were switched to differentiation medium (DMEM, 0.5% horse serum, 0.25% FBS, 100 units/ml penicillin-streptomycin and 2 mM glutamine) in the presence or absence of 50 ng/ml GDNF plus 300 ng/ml soluble GFRα1. Cells were left to differentiate for 3 days, and subsequent images were collected using a ×10 objective lens with a Nikon Eclipse TE 2000-U microscope. GFP-expressing cells, carrying neurites at least twice the diameter of the cell soma, were defined as differentiated cells. Differentiation percentage was calculated as the number of differentiated cells divided by the total number of transfected cells, which had GFP-fluorescence. At least 300 cells from ten different fields were counted for each construct.

Statistical Analysis—Statistical significance was assessed using Student's t test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc tests. Data were presented as mean ± S.E., and differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

RET and TrkB Receptors Exhibit Differential Cell Surface Levels in DRG Neurons—Although RET and TrkB receptors are both expressed in non-peptidergic DRG neurons, direct investigations of their co-expression are rarely reported (20, 21). We confirmed the co-expression of RET and TrkB in a subset of P7 rat DRG neurons by immunohistochemical staining (Fig. 1A). As the receptor surface levels may play a critical role in cell responsiveness to particular stimuli, we compared the endogenous RET and TrkB surface levels in DRG neurons by surface biotinylation assay and calculated the ratios of surface RET and TrkB compared with their respective total amounts. Quantitative analyses of immunoblotting revealed that RET exhibits significantly higher cell surface expression than TrkB (Fig. 1B). We then sought to determine the structural basis for the differential RET and TrkB cell surface levels. Chimeric receptors with switched intracellular domains for RET and TrkB were constructed (RET<sup>TrkB</sup>IC and TrkB<sup>RET</sup>IC) and transfected into PC12 cells, a well-established neuronal cell line with little endogenous RET and TrkB expression and as such a model system to express various RET and TrkB mutants (supplemental Fig. S1) (22–25). Using a surface biotinylation assay, we found that though their extracellular domains were replaced, RET and TrkB chimeras containing their respective cytoplasmic domains still exhibited differential cell surface levels (Fig. 2,
A and B), which suggested that the intracellular domains of RET and TrkB were responsible for their differential surface expression.

To provide a convenient and visible method for comparing relative receptor cell surface levels, we adopted a ratiometric fluorescence assay described in a previous study of TrkB surface insertion (19). In this assay, receptors were Flag-tagged at the N-terminal of the extracellular domain and fused with green fluorescence protein (GFP) in the cytoplasmic C-terminal. Thus, cell-surface receptor levels were quantified by fluorescence intensity of Flag staining normalized to GFP intensity per cell under non-permeabilized conditions, which served to control for variable receptor expression levels in each cell due to transient transfection. Having shown that the extracellular domain was not responsible for the differential surface levels of RET and TrkB (Fig. 2), we then generated the chimeric RET-GFP receptor, which expressed the Flag-tagged TrkB extracellular domain to eliminate the potential detection bias due to accessibility differences of the Flag epitope between the receptors (Fig. 3A). After transfection into PC12 cells, surface biotinylation experiments showed that the cell surface levels of Flag-tagged TrkB-GFP was ~0.61-fold compared with that of RET-GFP (Fig. 3B), which was consistent with the surface levels differences between RET and TrkB under endogenous conditions in DRG neurons and suggested that the Flag and GFP tag did not affect the surface distribution of the receptors. Next we performed the ratiometric fluorescence assay. Quantitative fluorescence analysis revealed that the surface receptor levels of TrkB-GFP was ~0.65-fold compared with that of RET-GFP (Fig. 3C), which further confirmed our surface biotinylation results. Taken together, these data suggest that cell surface levels of RET and TrkB are differentially expressed and that this
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**FIGURE 6.** RET Box1 motif is necessary and sufficient for the differential RET and TrkB surface levels in DRG neurons. Cultured DRG neurons were transfected with indicated chimeric receptors. Surface levels of each chimera were measured using a ratiometric fluorescence assay and normalized to that of RET-GFP. The results are represented as mean ± S.E. from three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels; ##, p < 0.01 versus TrkB surface levels; one-way ANOVA).

Differential expression is mediated by the residues within the intracellular domain.

**Identification of the Key Motif Responsible for the Differential RET and TrkB Surface Levels**—Having determined that the differential surface levels of RET and TrkB might be due to the intracellular domain, we then sought to determine whether there was a key motif responsible for this difference. The ratiometric fluorescence assay was used to define the potential regions in the RET receptor required for higher surface expression levels compared with TrkB. We generated chimeras in which different RET domains (transmembrane, juxtamembrane, kinase, and C-terminal) were substituted with the corresponding TrkB domains (Fig. 4, A and B). We hypothesized that monitoring for a decrease in the cell surface expression levels from these chimeras would allow us to identify the motif necessary for the higher RET surface levels compared with TrkB. In PC12 cells, we observed that substitution of only the TrkB juxtamembrane region into RET (RET<sub>TrkBBox1</sub>-GFP) led to a significant decrease in surface receptor levels comparable to that of wild-type TrkB (Fig. 4B). Swapping in other TrkB domains had no effect on surface expression of RET chimeras. These observations indicated that the RET juxtamembrane region contains structural elements that are necessary for the differential RET and TrkB surface expression. We next sought to determine whether the RET juxtamembrane region was sufficient for the higher RET surface levels compared with TrkB. Various domains including the juxtamembrane region were transplanted from RET into TrkB, and their surface expression levels were assessed (Fig. 4C). Only the TrkB chimera swapped with the RET juxtamembrane region (TrkB<sub>RETBox1</sub>-GFP) showed a significant increase in surface expression comparable with wild-type RET (Fig. 4C), which suggested that the RET juxtamembrane region was not only necessary but also sufficient for the differential RET and TrkB cell surface levels.

To define more precisely the structural motif in the juxtamembrane region required for efficient RET surface targeting, we divided the RET juxtamembrane region into three boxes (Fig. 5A). Chimeric receptors with swapped sequences between the corresponding boxes of RET and TrkB were generated and their cell surface levels were assessed in PC12 cells by ratiometric fluorescence assay. Substitution of TrkB Box1 into RET led to a significant decrease in surface receptor levels similar to that observed with RET<sup>TrkBBox1</sup>-GFP (Fig. 5B). Conversely, transplantation of RET Box1 into TrkB led to a significant increase in surface receptor levels equivalent to that observed with TrkB<sup>RETBox1</sup>-GFP (Fig. 5C). Swapping in other boxes had no significant effect on RET and TrkB chimera surface expression levels. Representative PC12 immunostaining images are shown in Fig. 5D. Receptor surface biotinylation experiments were performed to confirm our ratiometric fluorescence results. Quantitative analysis of the immunoblotting results showed that RET<sup>TrkBBox1</sup>-GFP exhibited decreased surface levels similar to TrkB. In contrast, TrkB<sup>RETBox1</sup>-GFP showed significantly increased cell surface levels comparable to RET-GFP (Fig. 5E). Finally we repeated the immunostaining experiment in primary cultured DRG neurons where we found results consistent with those observed in PC12 cells (Fig. 6). Taken together, these results suggest that Box1 in the RET juxtamembrane domain (amino acid 658–683) is necessary and sufficient for the differential RET and TrkB cell surface levels.

**PKC Activation Enhances RET Surface Expression**—It is well known that sequence/residue and protein phosphorylation plays an important role in the modulation of receptor surface expression (16, 26). Given the finding that Box1 in the RET juxtamembrane domain is responsible for the differential RET and TrkB cell surface expression levels, we investigated whether this motif might contain a phosphorylation site to modulate RET cell surface levels. Inspection of the RET Box1 sequence revealed a threonine residue (Thr<sup>675</sup>) confirming the presence of a PKC phosphorylation consensus sequence (Fig. 7A). Notably, a PKA phosphorylation site (Ser<sup>696</sup>) in RET Box2 has also been reported (27). To test the effect of PKC or PKA activation on RET surface expression, PC12 cells expressing RET-GFP were treated with PKC and PKA inhibitors or activa-
tors, respectively. Receptor cell surface levels were then determined by a ratiometric fluorescence assay. Compared with vehicle group, treatment with the PKC inhibitor, CHE (5 μM) for 2 h resulted in significantly decreased cell surface levels of RET receptors. Accordingly, treatment with the PKC activator, TPA (10 μM) for 30 min up-regulated RET surface levels. In contrast, the PKA inhibitor H-89 or activator forskolin had no effect on RET surface levels (Fig. 7B).

Having identified Thr675 as a potential PKC phosphorylation site, we mutated Thr675 to Ala or Asp to mimic the non-phosphorylation or activated phosphorylation status, respectively. The T675A mutation significantly decreased RET receptor cell surface expression while the T675D mutation enhanced RET receptor cell surface expression (Fig. 7C). Moreover, the PKC inhibitor, CHE, or activator, TPA, could not further decrease or increase the cell surface levels of T675A or T675D mutants, which excluded the possibility that PKC might phosphorylate a site other than Thr675 to regulate RET surface expression. Representative immunostaining images are shown in Fig. 7D. Together, these findings suggested that PKC could positively modulate RET surface expression through phosphorylation of the Thr675 site in Box1.

To understand further the underlying mechanism by which Thr675 regulates RET surface expression, we compared the internalization and recycling levels between RET and RET-Thr675 mutants using a previously established methods (28, 29). After 30 min of ligand stimulation, about 50% of RET and RET-Thr675 mutants were internalized with no significant differences between each other (supplemental Fig. S2A). After 45 min of ligand stimulation, RET and RET-Thr675 mutants exhibit...
edited similar recycling levels (supplemental Fig. S2). Furthermore, when receptor internalization was blocked by dynasore, a GTPase inhibitor that targets dynamin and blocks endocytosis, the differential receptor surface levels among RET and RET- Thr675 mutants were retained, suggesting that the role of Thr675 in RET surface expression is independent of the endocytic pathway (supplemental Fig. S2). Taken together, these results suggest that the phosphorylation of Thr675 regulates RET surface expression via the exocytic pathway.

**Depolarization Enhances RET Cell Surface Expression through PKC**—Neuronal activity can induce the activation of intracellular protein kinases and modulate cell response to stimuli through regulation of cell surface receptor levels (14, 30). We hypothesized that neuronal activity could modulate RET surface levels through activation of PKC. To investigate this hypothesis, we treated PC12 cells with 50 mM KCl for 30 min where we found that KCl treatment significantly enhanced RET cell surface expression. Pre-incubation with PKC inhibitor, CHE, but not PKA inhibitor, H89, blocked KCl induced increases in RET cell surface expression (Fig. 8A). The K+ depolarization had no effect on the cell surface levels of RET-T675A receptor (Fig. 8A), which suggested that the phosphorylation of Thr675 residue by PKC was involved in activity-dependent enhancement of RET cell surface levels.

**FIGURE 8. Depolarization enhances RET cell surface levels through PKC but not PKA activation.** A, PC12 cells transfected with RET-GFP or RET-T675A-GFP were treated with 50 mM KCl for 30 min in the presence of various inhibitors. Receptor surface levels were determined using ratiometric fluorescence assay and normalized to that of RET-GFP in vehicle-treated cells. The results are represented as mean ± S.E. from three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels in vehicle-treated group; ##, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels in KCl-treated group; one-way ANOVA). B, receptor surface levels under various conditions were quantified by biotinylation methods in transfected PC12 cells. Relative receptor surface levels were normalized to that of RET-GFP in vehicle-treated cells. The results are represented as mean ± S.E. from three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels in vehicle-treated group; ##, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels in KCl-treated group; one-way ANOVA). C, effect of depolarization on endogenous RET surface expression was determined in cultured DRG neurons. RET cell surface levels were quantified by surface biotinylation in DRG neurons after KCl treatment with or without CHE pre-incubation. Relative RET surface levels were normalized to that of vehicle-treated neurons. The results are represented as mean ± S.E. from three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels in vehicle-treated group; ##, p < 0.01 versus RET surface levels in KCl-treated group; one-way ANOVA).
A receptor surface biotinylation experiment was performed to confirm our previous immunofluorescence results. Treatment with TPA or KCl increased RET cell surface levels while CHE treatment decreased RET cell surface levels (Fig. 8B). Moreover, CHE pre-treatment or using a RET-T675A mutant blocked KCl-induced increases in RET cell surface levels (Fig. 8B). To substantiate the results seen in PC12 cells, cultured DRG neurons were also depolarized by KCl with or without CHE pre-incubation and the endogenous RET surface levels were determined by biotinylation methods. We found KCl depolarization also increased RET surface levels in DRG neurons and that this effect could be blocked by CHE (Fig. 8C). Taken together, our results suggest that neuronal depolarization could enhance RET surface levels through the PKC-dependent phosphorylation of Thr675 in the RET juxtamembrane domain.

Thr675 Residue in RET Is Phosphorylated by PKC and Depolarization—To confirm that the Thr675 site in RET Box1 is directly phosphorylated upon PKC activation or high K+ depolarization, we generated a new phospho-specific antibody against the RET Thr675 site (rabbit anti-pT675-RET). The antibody is directed against a phosphopeptide spanning the PKC phosphorylation site at Thr675. Specificity of the antibody was first confirmed by a peptide competition experiment. Preincubation with the phosphorylated peptide but not the non-phosphorylated peptide, was found to block the binding of the antibody to phosphorylated RET evoked by TPA treatment (Fig. 9A). This finding verifies that our rabbit anti-pT675-RET antibody could specifically recognize phosphorylated RET on Thr675 residue. This rabbit anti-pT675-RET antibody was then used to detect directly the phosphorylation of the Thr675 site under various conditions. In contrast to TPA treatment, the PKC inhibitor, CHE, decreased and RET-T675A mutagenesis completely abolished RET Thr675 phosphorylation (Fig. 9B). Thus, the Thr675 residue in RET specially serves as a PKC phosphorylation site to modulate RET surface levels.

As we proposed phosphorylation of Thr675 by PKC mediates K+ depolarization induced RET surface levels increase, the phosphorylation of Thr675 was then detected under depolarizing conditions. Treatment with 50 mM KCl for 30 min increased RET Thr675 phosphorylation, which could be blocked by pre-treatment with CHE in transfected PC12 cells (Fig. 9C). These results indicated that depolarization could induce Thr675 phosphorylation through PKC. Since phosphorylation of Thr675 could enhance RET surface expression, the next question was whether cell surface RET was preferentially phosphorylated on Thr675. PC12 cells expressing RET-GFP were treated with 10 μM TPA or 50 mM KCl for 30 min, then surface biotinylation was performed and cell surface and cytoplasmic RET proteins were collected respectively according to the experimental procedures. When normalized to the total RET protein amount, the phosphorylation levels of the RET Thr675 site were significantly higher in the cell surface fraction compared with that in cytoplasmic fraction under vehicle, TPA and CHE-treated conditions (Fig. 9D), which suggested that cell surface RET receptors were preferentially phosphorylated on Thr675 compared with cytoplasmic RET receptors. Taken together, our findings suggest that neuronal depolarization could enhance RET surface expression through PKC-mediated phosphorylation of the RET Thr675 residue.

Thr675 Site in RET Regulates Ligand-induced Signaling and Cell Differentiation—Modulation of cell surface receptor levels is a well-established mechanism for controlling cell response to a particular stimulus. Because we found that the Thr675 site was critical for modulating RET surface distribution, we next wanted to determine whether the Thr675 site might modulate GDNF-induced signaling pathways and cell response. As GDNF/sGFRα1 induced activation and downstream signaling of RET depend on the phosphorylation of several tyrosine residues in its intracellular domain, we examined RET activation levels by means of RET immunoprecipitation followed by p99 immunoblotting. GDNF-stimulated receptor activation and downstream signaling of RET-T675A-GFP exhibited a similar time course but reduced levels compared with those of RET-GFP (Fig. 10A). When treated with GDNF at different concentrations, the activation of RET-T675A was mostly reduced under 5 ng/ml GDNF treatment (~25%) compared with that of RET, which suggested that the T675A mutation could decrease RET receptor sensitivity to ligand stimuli (Fig. 10B). PC12 cells transfected with pEGFP-N1, RET-GFP, or RET-T675A-GFP were
treated with 50 ng/ml GDNF for 3 days and the differentiation percentages of GFP-positive cells were measured. Compared with RET-GFP group, RET^{T675A}-GFP positive cells showed reduced cell differentiation in response to GDNF treatment (Fig. 10C). Therefore, the Thr^{675} site in RET Box1 domain could modulate GDNF-induced RET downstream signaling as well as cell differentiation, through its regulation of RET cell surface levels.

**DISCUSSION**

Receptor surface levels can determine cell responsiveness to particular stimuli. Previous studies have found that
sequence motifs, protein kinases, and neuronal activity could modulate receptor surface expression (16, 17). But it is still unknown whether such mechanisms exist in the control of RET surface levels. In the present study, we demonstrated that RET and TrkB exhibit differential cell surface levels in DRG neurons and identified a key motif (Box1) in the RET juxtamembrane region responsible for this difference. Furthermore, we identified Thr675 in Box1 as a critical residue, which is phosphorylated upon PKC activation and cell depolarization, and thereby regulates RET cell surface levels. Finally, we found that the Thr675 site modulates ligand-induced RET signaling and cell differentiation.

Our studies provided three novel insights into the regulation of RET cell surface levels. First, we found that RET and TrkB receptors exhibit differential surface levels and identified a key motif (Box1) in the RET juxtamembrane region responsible for this difference. When RET and TrkB were co-expressed in non-peptidergic DRG neurons (20, 21), we found that the cell surface levels of RET were higher than TrkB. An increasing number of studies indicate that receptor trafficking from the biosynthetic pathway to the cell surface is tightly regulated (12, 13, 31) and that the targeting and sorting of membrane receptors are directed by their intrinsic sequence based signal motifs (32, 33). The differential cell surface levels between RET and TrkB suggested that a specific structural motif was responsible for this difference. In this context, studies by Huang and co-workers (34) have also suggested a model for a motif-dependent differential cell surface expression in their studies of two cytokine receptors, the thrombopoietin (TpoR) and erythropoietin (EpoR) receptors. TpoR shares a similar intracellular structure with EpoR but exhibits higher surface levels than EpoR, which depends on its juxtamembrane Box1 and Box2 regions (34). By swapping the corresponding domains between RET and TrkB, we have found that the Box1 motif in the RET juxtamembrane region is necessary and sufficient for the differential RET and TrkB surface levels in transfected PC12 cells and DRG neurons. This finding advances our understanding of the structural motif responsible for RET surface expression and suggests that the Box1 motif may contain some regulatory mechanisms that direct RET surface targeting.

Second, we found that PKC activation and neuronal depolarization can enhance RET cell surface levels through phosphorylation of the Thr675 site in the RET Box1 motif. Protein kinases have been reported previously to be involved in regulating receptor surface expression. For example, PKC activation has been reported to increase NMDA receptor levels on the cell surface, and PKA has also been found to increase GluA1 containing AMPA receptor cell surface levels (15, 35). Pharmacological treatments in our study suggested that PKC but not PKA is involved in RET cell surface levels regulation. Mutagenesis of the predicted PKC phosphorylation site (Thr675) blocked the modulatory effects of PKC on RET surface expression. By generating a specific antibody for the phosphorylated Thr675 site, we demonstrated that a PKC agonist or inhibitor could increase or decrease, respectively, the Thr675 phosphorylation levels, which provides direct evidence that the Thr675 residue is the key PKC phosphorylation site. Direct phosphorylation of the receptor is a well-established mechanism by which PKC modulates receptor surface levels. In cultured retinal neurons and transfected HEK293 cells, phosphorylation on Ser642 by PKC upon TPA stimulation leads to increased surface expression of GluA4 (26). Scott DB et al. reported that Ser890 and Ser896 phosphorylation by PKC is essential for the suppression of the ER retention signal in the C-terminal of the NMDA receptor and promotes its surface delivery (16). Our study provides an additional example of the role of PKC in surface receptor trafficking through our observation that it modulates RET cell surface levels by phosphorylation of the Thr675 site. Although our results suggest the phosphorylation of the Thr675 site by PKC regulates RET surface expression via the exocytic pathway, the detailed mechanisms underlying RET trafficking from the biosynthetic pathway requires further investigation.

Neuronal depolarization has been found to enhance GDNF induced survival of cultured sympathetic neurons. It has been proposed that the high K+ depolarization promotes the binding of GDNF to RET by increasing GFRα1 expression (36). In our study, we found that high K+ depolarization increases RET cell surface levels, which provides an alternative explanation for neuronal activity enhanced GDNF function. Neuronal depolarization regulated receptor cell surface trafficking typically requires the activation of intracellular protein kinases. Neuronal depolarization by K+ leads to the insertion of GluA2 containing AMPA receptors into the synaptic membrane and requires the phosphorylation of Ser860 by PKC (18). We found that depolarization enhanced phosphorylation of the Thr675 site, which required PKC but not PKA activation, and increased RET cell surface levels by ~0.3-fold. A previous study reported that long-term potentiation (LTP) could enhance membrane surface expression of NR2A and NR1 subunits by ~0.2-fold via a PKC and Src family tyrosine kinase pathway in hippocampal CA1 mini-slices (37), which is comparable to the depolarization-induced RET surface levels changes observed in DRG neurons. Together, our study establishes a model that neuronal depolarization enhances RET surface levels through the phosphorylation of its Thr675 site by PKC and thus facilitates enhanced cell responsiveness to GDNF stimulation. This finding suggests a novel regulatory pathway by which neuronal activity enhances GDNF functions.

Third, we found that the RET Thr675 residue can regulate GDNF-induced signaling and cell differentiation. Several key residues in the RET intracellular portion important for RET signaling and functions have been previously identified (3, 27). Among these residues, the most investigated are the tyrosine residues which are critical for RET mediated cell functions and can be phosphorylated to initiate downstream signaling events upon ligand stimulation. For example, phosphorylated Tyr1062 has the capacity to recruit PI3K/Akt, Ras/Erk, and so on for downstream transduction (38, 39). GDNF-stimulated phosphorylation of Erk and Akt in DRG neurons is significantly decreased in Y1062F homozygous mice, which die within 1 month after birth due to serious developmental deficiencies during embryogenesis (40, 41). In addition to the tyrosines, the RET Ser896 residue, which acts as PKA phosphorylation site, is required for GDNF-mediated activation of the Rac signaling pathway (27). We found that Thr675 represents a PKC phosphorylation site and that GDNF induced MAPK and PI3K sig-
naling pathways and cell differentiation were impaired in cells containing RET<sup>675SA</sup>. Our results revealed that the Thr<sup>675</sup> residue in RET intracellular domain can regulate RET mediated signaling and function via its modulation of RET cell surface levels.

In conclusion, our study identified a key motif (Box1) in the RET juxtamembrane region responsible for differential RET and TrkB surface levels. We further found that the RET Thr<sup>675</sup> residue in Box1 represents a PKC phosphorylation site and mediates PKC activation and neuronal depolarization enhanced RET surface expression. These findings provide new mechanistic links between receptor sequence, protein kinase, and neuronal activity in the modulation of RET surface levels.
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