DELIVERY OF DUST GRAINS FROM COMET C/2013 A1 (SIDING SPRING) TO MARS
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ABSTRACT

Comet C/2013 A1 (Siding Spring) will have a close encounter with Mars on 2014 October 19. We model the dynamical evolution of dust grains from the time of their ejection from the comet nucleus to the close encounter with Mars, and determine the flux at Mars. Constraints on the ejection velocity from Hubble Space Telescope observations indicate that the bulk of the grains will likely miss Mars, although it is possible that a few percent of the grains with higher velocities will reach Mars, peaking approximately 90–100 minutes after the close approach of the nucleus, and consisting mostly of millimeter-radius grains ejected from the comet nucleus at a heliocentric distance of approximately 9 AU or larger. At higher velocities, younger grains from submillimeter to several millimeters can also reach Mars, although an even smaller fraction of grains is expected have these velocities, with negligible effect on the peak timing. Using NEOWISE observations of the comet, we can estimate that the maximum fluence will be of the order of 10−7 grains m−2. We include a detailed analysis of how the expected fluence depends on the grain density, ejection velocity, and size–frequency distribution, to account for current model uncertainties and in preparation of possible refined model values in the near future.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Comet C/2013 A1 (Siding Spring) will have a close approach (hereafter c/a) with Mars on 2014 October 19 at approximately 18:29 UT, reaching a minimum distance of approximately 134,000 km from the center of Mars, according to JPL solution #46 (Farnocchia et al. 2014). This orbital solution was retrieved from the JPL Horizons system (Giorgini et al. 1996), on 2014 March 17, and includes all the observations before the low solar elongation period. The comet is on a hyperbolic retrograde orbit (129° inclination), and the encounter with Mars will be at a relative velocity of approximately 56 km s−1. Observed from Mars, the comet reaches a minimum solar elongation of approximately 72°. After the close approach between the two bodies, Mars continues to move closer to the orbit of the comet, crossing the comet orbit plane approximately 100 minutes after c/a and then reaching a minimum distance of approximately 27,000 km from the comet orbit approximately 102 minutes after c/a. A detailed trajectory analysis by Farnocchia et al. (2014) indicates that the current uncertainty on the c/a distance, projected on the target plane normal to the velocity of the comet nucleus relative to Mars, is an ellipse approximately 1600 × 700 km (1σ) with the long axis 20° from the line connecting the comet nucleus and Mars, and the c/a time uncertainty is about 1 minute (1σ). These uncertainties exclude any collision of the comet nucleus with Mars, yet warrant a detailed investigation of the possibility that the dust coma of the comet may be able to reach Mars.

C/2013 A1 was discovered at a heliocentric distance of 7.2 AU (McNaught et al. 2013), suggesting hyper-volatile activity (i.e., driven by CO or CO2) was likely causing long-term build-up of larger grains in the coma. Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations by Li et al. (2014) allow us to estimate the ejection velocity of the dust grains at the μm radius scale, or more precisely grains with β ≃ 1, where β is the ratio between the radiation pressure force and the gravitational force due to the Sun on the grain (Burns et al. 1979). Observations were performed on 2013 October 29, on 2014 January 21, and on 2014 March 11. Using a technique based on the Sunward turn back distance in the continuum (e.g., Mueller et al. 2013) we determined a velocity approximately between 28 and 46 m s−1 at a heliocentric distance of 4.59 AU, then between 44 and 74 m s−1 at 3.77 AU, and between 48 and 80 m s−1 at 3.28 AU, all for β = 1 dust grains, see Figure 1. The ranges are relatively broad because of the inherent difficulty of determining a single value for the turn back distance in a continuous brightness distribution.

NEOWISE obtained infrared observations of C/2013 A1 on 2014 January 16 (Mainzer et al. 2014), when the comet was at 3.82 AU from the Sun. We used these observations to model the dust production. Adopting the techniques in Bauer et al. (2011) yields a dust production rate of approximately 10 kg s−1 at the grain velocities for that heliocentric distance, and for grains with radius between 1.7 μm and 2.3 μm (Mainzer et al. 2014).

In this Letter we use the HST and NEOWISE observations to anchor the grain ejection velocity and production rate. In the next section we discuss how we use these constraints to model the velocity of larger dust grains ejected at larger heliocentric distances, which are in the relevant range for delivery of dust grains to Mars. In Section 3, we present the results from numerical simulations where we model the dynamical evolution of dust grains after ejection from the comet nucleus to their c/a with Mars. In Section 4 we compare our results with earlier works that characterize the delivery of dust grains to Mars (Moorhead et al. 2014; Vaubaillon et al. 2014; Ye & Hui 2014). Conclusion are then drawn in Section 5.

2. METHODS

The trajectories of the comet nucleus and of the dust grains are determined numerically by integrating the equations of motion, using an efficient numerical integrator with variable time step (Everhart 1974), and that includes gravitational perturbations and solar radiation pressure (Burns et al. 1979). The state
The statistical nature of this study. Given relatively small compared to the few thousand kilometer of dust grains of the order of a few hundred kilometers. This including all planets, and causes a difference in the c pressure, and are integrated individually. As a trade-off between (T. Spahr, MPC, 2014, private communication). The comet 8 AU, and considering that the region of the sky where the maximum heliocentric distance of 10 AU is chosen based on we assume as the beginning of the activity of the comet. The comet was at 10 AU, i.e., the heliocentric distance that the comet activation and the comet’s close encounter with Mars. The planetary ephemeris (Standish 1998). The initial conditions of the comet nucleus are chosen at the time of the c/a, as retrieved from the Horizons JPL system (Giorgini et al. 1996), and are then integrated backward in time to the epoch when the comet was at 10 AU, i.e., the heliocentric distance that we assume as the beginning of the activity of the comet. The maximum heliocentric distance of 10 AU is chosen based on pre-discovery images of the active comet at approximately 8 AU, and considering that the region of the sky where the comet was between 8 and 10 AU was not covered by surveys (T. Spahr, MPC, 2014, private communication). The comet nucleus is considered massless, and grains are assigned a radius and density in order to compute the effects of radiation pressure, and are integrated individually. As a trade-off between simulation performance and accuracy, gravitational interactions are computed only with the Sun, Jupiter, and Mars. This leads to a significant speed-up of simulations compared to those including all planets, and causes a difference in the c/a distance of dust grains of the order of a few hundred kilometers. This is relatively small compared to the few thousand kilometer uncertainty on the comet c/a distance, especially considering the statistical nature of this study.

Dust grains are ejected isotropically from the nucleus, at a velocity that is determined using an empirical scaling law, based on the results in Whipple (1951). This choice is due to the difficulty of developing a detailed self-consistent physical model of grain ejection driven by hyper-volatiles at large heliocentric distances and then by water within approximately 3 AU from the Sun, as such a model would require some mechanisms for the depletion of hyper-volatiles at shorter heliocentric distance, or would otherwise produce unrealistically large ejection velocities. The ejection velocity is:

\[
\frac{v}{v_{\text{ref}}} = \left[ \frac{\beta}{\rho_{\text{ref}}} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \frac{D}{D_{\text{ref}}} \right]^{-1} = \left[ \frac{r_{\text{ref}}}{\rho_{\text{ref}} v_{\text{ref}}} \right]^{-1/2} \left[ \frac{D}{D_{\text{ref}}} \right]^{-1}, \tag{1}
\]

where we choose the reference grain to have radius \( r_{\text{ref}} = 1 \text{ mm} \) and density \( \rho_{\text{ref}} = 1 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), which correspond to \( \beta_{\text{ref}} \approx 5.76 \times 10^{-4} \), and \( D_{\text{ref}} = 5 \text{ AU} \) for the heliocentric distance. The value of \( D_{\text{ref}} \) is chosen to be approximately halfway between the comet activation and the comet’s close encounter with Mars.

Since these reference values are fixed for all simulations, we have \( v_{\text{ref}} \) as the only free parameter characterizing the ejection velocity of all grains within each simulation. Because of the lack of an estimate of the nucleus size, we consider the ejection velocities defined outside of the gravitational influence region of the nucleus. In Figure 1 we show how the velocities from our modeling compare to the observed HST velocities. The three HST observations (Li et al. 2014) indicate velocities of micron-size grains roughly compatible with \( v_{\text{ref}} \) between 0.8 and 1.0 ms\(^{-1}\). Because of the significant dispersion in the velocity range, and the limited number of HST observations, we choose not to fit the exponent of the heliocentric distance \( D \) in Equation (1) and instead scale all velocities as \( D^{-1} \) and use different values of \( v_{\text{ref}} \) to sample different points of the ejection velocity distribution. Values of \( v_{\text{ref}} \) above the HST range are reasonable if we include a high-velocity tail of the distribution due, for example, to jets. As such, high \( v_{\text{ref}} \) values would be representative only of a few percent of the total grains.

The density of dust grains ejected from comets depends strongly on what they are made up of and the bulk porosity of such dust grains. In the literature we find estimates as low as approximately 0.1 g cm\(^{-3}\) (Greenberg & Li 1999), and as high as approximately 2 g cm\(^{-3}\) for interplanetary dust particles (Love et al. 1994), although that may not necessarily be representative of cometary dust. In our simulations we use a grain density of 1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\) and provide scaling laws to different densities for all the main results.

The size–frequency distribution (SFD) and production rate \( Q \) of grains are assigned during post-processing of the simulations. First, we used a uniform distribution (in log scale) in grain radius between 1 \( \mu \)m to 10 cm during the simulations, and a constant production rate. Then in post-processing each simulated grain is scaled up to represent a far larger number of real grains, according to the specific SFD and \( Q \) distributions selected. The SFD is parameterized using the differential distribution \( dQ/dr \propto r^{-a} \) and \( Q \) depends on the heliocentric distance as \( Q \propto D^{-2} \). The index \( a \) for cometary dust grains depends on many factors including the heliocentric distance, the specific comet, and the specific grain sizes under consideration (e.g., Hanner & Bradley 2004; Fulle 2004, and references therein). However, many authors find that adopting a single power law index is convenient for modeling purposes although there are a number of counter examples against this approach (e.g., comet 1P/Halley, comet 2P/Encke). Therefore, as a compromise, we adopt the values of 3.5 and 4 for \( a \), which are representative of many comets based on dust tail modeling (Fulle 2004, and references therein).

The flux of grains at Mars is determined from simulations after accounting for SFD and \( Q \), by tracking the grains which come within 3400 km from the center of Mars. For scaling purposes, the grains are binned logarithmically into three intervals per decade in grain radius, and in linear intervals of size 0.2 AU in heliocentric distance. The properties of the grains are assumed to be uniform within each bin.

3. RESULTS

We performed several simulations, each one with a different \( v_{\text{ref}} \) value. In each simulation, we generated five million grains and tracked their trajectory as described in Section 2. Typically, only a few hundred to a thousand simulated grains cross the Mars volume, which we approximate with a sphere of radius 3400 km. In preliminary simulations we used a grain radius between \( 10^{-6} \) and \( 10^{-3} \) m, and then reduced this range to \( 10^{-4} \) to \( 10^{-2} \) m, as
Figure 2. Distribution of grain radius and arrival time (in hours) relative to the nucleus c/a time. The displayed values are for grains with density $\rho_{\text{ref}} = 1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. For grains with different density $\rho$, the grain radius distribution will shift vertically by a factor $\rho_{\text{ref}}/\rho$.

Figure 3. Count flux of grains colliding with Mars, normalized to the total fluence and binned over 10 minute periods. The error bars are representative of the counting statistics.

this is the relevant range for Mars collisions; see Figure 2. Note how the increased velocity causes grains with a wider range in grain radius to reach Mars, and also how the arrival time window grows accordingly. At the highest velocity tested of $v_{\text{ref}} = 3.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ we start to see smaller grains arriving at early times, and this effect becomes dominant at higher velocities. The grains have to be ejected at large heliocentric distances to reach Mars: beyond 9.1 AU for $v_{\text{ref}} = 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, beyond 6.7 AU for $v_{\text{ref}} = 2.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, beyond 4.6 AU for $v_{\text{ref}} = 2.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, and beyond 2.4 AU for $v_{\text{ref}} = 3.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. Therefore, if the comet was not active at heliocentric distance beyond 8 AU and the maximum $v_{\text{ref}}$ was 1.5 m s$^{-1}$, no grains could reach Mars.

The arrival time of grains is well concentrated for lower ejection velocities, with the peak of the flux between 90 and 100 minutes after the close approach time of the nucleus, see Figure 3. As we increase the grain ejection velocity, the arrival time interval tends to widen, while the peak tends to move to earlier times. At $v_{\text{ref}} = 3.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ the peak is well below 1 hr after c/a, and the flux tends to have a fast rise and then a slow decay, over 1 hr long.

In Figure 4 we have the integrated fluence (count and mass) versus the grain ejection velocity parameter $v_{\text{ref}}$ at different SFD index values. The figure provides the fluence values for all ejected grains at the given $v_{\text{ref}}$ value. However, as we have discussed above, HST observations indicate lower velocities at which no grains intercept Mars. The way to interpret these plots is then to assume that while the $v_{\text{ref}}$ parameter from HST indicates the velocity of the bulk of the grains, it is possible that the velocity distribution of grains includes a high-velocity tail, so that only a few percent of the grains will have these higher velocities. Processes responsible for this could be the presence of jets, or the shape of the nucleus causing non-uniformities in the gas flow and in the acceleration of grains from the surface. In view of this interpretation, the fluence values in Figure 4 should be decreased by several orders of magnitude as we move to higher values of $v_{\text{ref}}$, to reflect the smaller and smaller fraction of grains which could be in the high-velocity tail. As a result, the fluence decreases by approximately two orders of magnitude at $v_{\text{ref}} \simeq 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and by several orders of magnitude at higher $v_{\text{ref}}$ values, to obtain a maximum fluence of the order of $10^{-7}$ grains m$^{-2}$.

The radiant of the dust grains is at R.A. 41$^\circ$1 and decl. $-15^\circ5$ in the constellation Cetus. In Figure 5 we show the hemisphere of Mars that is exposed to dust grains. Depending on the velocity of the grains, one side of Mars is accessible at lower velocities than the other one. Thus, it is reasonable to expect that the flux of grains in the low-$v_{\text{ref}}$ side will be somewhat higher than on the opposite side.

4. DISCUSSION

The results presented here can be compared with previous works already in the literature. In Moorhead et al. (2014) the dust grain fluence is determined using both analytical and numerical approaches, obtaining a count fluence of 0.15 m$^{-2}$. In their model, the comet orbit solution was not yet as constrained as ours, the grain densities used ($0.1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) are relatively low,
and the ejection velocities appear significantly higher than what we used. We find that the modeling details and parameters in Moorhead et al. (2014) are not supported by observations such as those we used in our work, and the large difference in fluence is due to progressively smaller grains. The displayed values are for grains with density $\rho_{\text{ref}} = 1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, and for grains with different density $\rho$ the count fluence scales as $(\rho_{\text{ref}}/\rho)^{1-\alpha}$, while the mass fluence scales as $(\rho_{\text{ref}}/\rho)^{2-\alpha}$.

Although the peak timing tends to shorter delays after $c/a$ at high $v_{\text{ref}}$ values (see Figure 3), the strong attenuation from the velocity distribution causes the $v_{\text{ref}} \simeq 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ timing to dominate, with a peak between 90 and 100 minutes after $c/a$. The timings provided in this Letter refer to the current estimate of the $c/a$ time as indicated in the Introduction, and will not change even if the nucleus $c/a$ will change due to possible strong non-gravitational forces which may become important in the last few months before $c/a$ (Farnocchia et al. 2014). As a matter of fact, Farnocchia et al. (2014) show that non-gravitational perturbations may become relevant only when approaching the perihelion, while the dust grains that may reach Mars were ejected at larger heliocentric distances.

We did not include fragmentation of grains in this study. The most likely consequence of fragmentation would be to decrease the probability of reaching Mars, because of the increased radiation pressure effects.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the results of our dynamical model for the delivery of dust grains ejected from the nucleus of comet C/2013 A1 (Siding Spring) to Mars. Direct extrapolation of the grain ejection velocity from HST observations indicates that the bulk of the grains will likely miss Mars. If we include the possibility that a few percent of the grains have higher velocities, we find that millimeter-radius grains ejected at 9 AU or more from the Sun will collide with Mars. At higher velocities, younger grains from submillimeter to several millimeters can reach Mars, too. The maximum fluence will be of the order of $10^{-7}$ grains m$^{-2}$. The timing of the peak flux is expected to be 90–100 minutes after $c/a$, which is between 19:59 and 20:09 UT of 2014 October 19.
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