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Abstract
We define F-algebra–Rinehart pairs and super F-algebroids and study the connection between them. In particular, we prove that every super F-algebroid can be seen as an F-algebra–Rinehart pair. As by product, we provide an algebraic formalization of the notion of F-manifold following Dubrovin’s formalization in the case of Frobenius manifolds.
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1 Introduction
The concept of an F-algebroid structure over a vector bundle was introduced in [4] in analogy to the idea of a Lie algebroid in order to study the notion of F-manifolds in more general vector bundles than tangent bundles. One of the most interesting examples of F-manifolds is given by Frobenius manifolds. The notion of Frobenius manifolds was introduced by Dubrovin in order to give a geometrical origin to the WDVV associativity equations discovered by E. Witten, R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, in their study of topological quantum field theories from...
the physical point of view. In this direction, the notion of F-algebroid could play an important role in the mathematical study of topological quantum field theories.

It was remarked by Dubrovin in [6] that the notion of Frobenius manifold admits an algebraic formalization in terms of the ring of functions on a manifold. However, it is no so clear how to extend Dubrovin’s observation to the more general F-manifolds since Dubrovin uses specific features of Frobenius manifolds (i.e. the existence of a flat metric) which are not part of the definition of F-manifolds. In this note we try to explore this problem in the context of F-algebroids, providing a setting where it is possible to have an algebraic formalization for F-manifolds in the direction proposed by Dubrovin. We will show that that formalization is very natural when considering the structure given by an F-algebroid.

It is known that the notion of Lie algebroid is related to that of a Lie-Rinehart pair (from now on denoted by L-R pair, see the Appendix A for its definition and some examples), in the sense that given a Lie algebroid is possible to construct a L-R pair by considering the algebra of sections of a vector bundle and the algebra of continuous functions over the manifold. Inspired by this situation, in this note we define an F-algebra–Rinehart pair (from now on denoted by F-R pair) and showed that under some considerations an F-algebroid can be described algebraically as an F-R pair. This is the main result of the present note. When an F-algebroid is just an F-manifold this F-R pair provides the algebraic formalization we discussed above, thus our description fills the gap of having an algebraic approach to the notion of F-manifold in the same way Dubrovin did for Frobenius manifolds.

With the purpose of having a coherent definition of F-R pairs we develop further the theory of F-algebras and F-algebra modules. As far as we know, the notion of F-algebra module have not been studied in the literature and in this note we try to fill this gap. So, we start by defining the category of F-algebras. Then, we define the notion of a module over an F-algebra. We find these results interesting by their own and, moreover, they are also useful for a complete characterization of F-algebras (something which have not been fully developed). The notion of F-modules allows us to define the notion of F-R pair. This is an algebraic construction that generalizes the idea of L-R pair.

Trying to relate the F-algebroids of [4] with the F-R pairs introduced in this note we see the need of extending the concept of F-algebroid, by considering supermanifolds instead of just manifolds, to what we call super F-algebroids. In fact, we need to introduce a grading in the notion of F-algebra in order to define a super F-algebra which turns out to be essential in the definition of super F-algebroids. This construction is also suggested by Dubrovin’s algebraic approach to Frobenius manifolds and also to the idea of Frobenius supermanifolds introduced by Kontsevich and Manin. Another important ingredient in the idea of super F-algebroids, which was absent in the definition of F-algebroids given in [4], is reached thanks to the consideration of the notion of a smooth F-algebroid as a ringed space. In this setting we can prove that a super F-algebroid can be considered as an F-R pair.

It is important to note that the notion of F-R pair is interesting beyond the idea of providing a framework for considering an algebraic description for F-manifolds. In this this direction, we end the note with some final remarks about some work in progress and future projects.
2 F-algebras

We will recall the definition of an F-algebra given by Hertling and Manin (see [9]). In very general terms an F-algebra is a generalization of a unital commutative Poisson algebra where the Leibniz property has been weakened. More precisely we have

Definition 1 Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a real or complex vector space. An \textbf{F-algebra} is a triple \((\mathcal{F}, \circ, [\ , \ ]_a)\) where

(i) \((\mathcal{F}, \circ)\) is an associative commutative algebra with a unit \(e\);
(ii) \((\mathcal{F}, [\ , \ ])\) is a Lie algebra;
(iii) for every \(X, Y, W \in \mathcal{F}\), we define the “Leibnizator” \(L(X, Z, W)\) as

\[
L(X, Z, W) := [X, Z \circ W] - [X, Z] \circ W - Z \circ [X, W];
\]

(iv) the Leibnizator is a derivation in its first entry, that is,

\[
L(X \circ Y, Z, W) = X \circ L(Y, Z, W) + Y \circ L(X, Z, W).
\]

\(\text{(2.1)}\)

\(\text{(2.2)}\)

Remark 1 In [9] the Leibnizator is denoted by \(P_X(Z, W)\). We think that they used the letter \(P\) to denote it because they empathizes that (2.1) measures the deviation of the structure \((\mathcal{F}, \circ, [\ , \ ])\) from that of a Poisson algebra on \((\mathcal{F}, \circ)\).

Proposition 1 Every Poisson algebra is an F-algebra.

Proof It is easy to see that if \(L(X, Z, W) \equiv 0\), for every \(X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{F}\), then the left hand side of (2.1) is zero and we obtain the Leibniz property that makes the Lie bracket a Poisson bracket. Moreover, Eq. (2.2) is trivially satisfied. \(\square\)

Proposition 2 Let \((A, \circ)\) be an associative commutative algebra with unit, together with an abelian Lie bracket \([\ , \ ]_a\). Then the triple \((A, \circ, [\ , \ ]_a)\) is an F-algebra.

Proof Note that the Leibnizator defined in terms of \([\ , \ ]_a\) vanishes because every term on it is zero. Thus, Eq. (2.2) is trivially satisfied (you get zero on both the left hand side and the right hand side). \(\square\)

A homomorphism between F-algebras is defined in a natural way.

Definition 2 Let \((\mathcal{F}_1, \circ_1, [\ , \ ]_1)\) and \((\mathcal{F}_2, \circ_2, [\ , \ ]_2)\) be two F-algebras. A homomorphism \(\rho : (\mathcal{F}_1, \circ_1, [\ , \ ]_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2, \circ_2, [\ , \ ]_2)\) is a map between \(\mathcal{F}_1\) and \(\mathcal{F}_2\) which is at the same time a homomorphism of the associative commutative algebras \((\mathcal{F}_1, \circ_1)\) \rightarrow \((\mathcal{F}_2, \circ_2)\) and a Lie algebras homomorphism \((\mathcal{F}_1, [\ , \ ]_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2, [\ , \ ]_2)\).

Then, we can define the category of F-algebras as the category whose objects are F-algebras and its morphism F-algebras homomorphism. We will denote this category by \(\text{Falg}\).
Motivated by the theory of Frobenius manifolds and the theory of \( F \)-manifolds, where \( F \)-algebras play a central role, it would be interesting to study direct sum and tensor product of \( F \)-algebras. Although the direct sum and the tensor product of \( F \)-algebras, with the natural definitions of the product and the bracket given below, are not in general \( F \)-algebras they satisfy almost all the properties (missing only one in each case).

Let \((F_1, \circ_1, [\ , \ ]_1)\) and \((F_2, \circ_2, [\ , \ ]_2)\) be two \( F \)-algebras and let \( X_1, Y_1, Z_1 \in F_1 \) and \( X_2, Y_2, Z_2 \in F_2 \).

**Direct Sum of \( F \)-algebras** Let us define the associative commutative product \( \circ \) and the bracket \([\ , \ ]_{\oplus}\) on the direct sum \( F_1 \oplus F_2 \) as

\[
(X_1 \oplus X_2) \circ (Y_1 \oplus Y_2) := (X_1 \circ_1 Y_1) \oplus (X_2 \circ_2 Y_2),
\]

and

\[
[X_1 \oplus X_2, Y_1 \oplus Y_2]_{\oplus} := [X_1, Y_1]_1 \oplus [X_2, Y_2]_2.
\]

Moreover, the Leibnizator \( L \) on \( F_1 \oplus F_2 \) can be written as a direct sum of the Leibnizators \( L_1 \) on \( F_1 \) and \( L_2 \) on \( F_2 \), that is,

\[
L(X_1 \oplus X_2, Y_1 \oplus Y_2, Z_1 \oplus Z_2) = L(X_1, Y_1, Z_1) \oplus L(X_2, Y_2, Z_2).
\]

Using these definitions is easy to check that: the product \( \circ \) is commutative and associative; the bracket \([\ , \ ]_{\oplus}\) satisfies Jacobi identity, and it is symmetric; the Leibnizator \( L \) is a derivation in the first entry.

Thus, the triplet \((F_1 \oplus F_2, \circ, [\ , \ ]_{\oplus})\) is not an \( F \)-algebra because the bracket \([\ , \ ]\) is not anti-symmetric (but symmetric instead).

**Tensor Product of \( F \)-algebras** In this case, let us define the associative commutative product \( \circ \) and the Lie bracket \([\ , \ ]_{\otimes}\) on the tensor product \( F_1 \otimes F_2 \) as

\[
(X_1 \otimes X_2) \circ (Y_1 \otimes Y_2) := (X_1 \circ_1 Y_1) \otimes (X_2 \circ_2 Y_2),
\]

and

\[
[X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2]_{\otimes} := [X_1, Y_1]_1 \otimes (X_2 \circ_2 Y_2) + (X_1 \circ_1 Y_1) \otimes [X_2, Y_2]_2.
\]

Moreover, the Leibnizator \( L \) on \( F_1 \otimes F_2 \) can be written in terms of the Leibnizators \( L_1 \) on \( F_1 \) and \( L_2 \) on \( F_2 \) as

\[
L(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2, Z_1 \otimes Z_2)
= L_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1)
\otimes (X_2 \circ_2 Y_2 \circ_2 Z_2) + (X_1 \circ_1 Y_1 \circ_1 Z_1) \otimes L_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2).
\]

From these definitions it follows that: the product \( \circ \) is commutative and associative; the bracket \([\ , \ ]_{\otimes}\) is anti-symmetric; the Leibnizator \( L \) is a derivation in the first entry. The bracket \([\ , \ ]_{\otimes}\) does not satisfy, in general, Jacobi identity.
Let us denote the composition of terms that have to vanish on the Jacobi identity by \( \text{Jacobi}_\otimes(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2, Z_1 \otimes Z_2) \), that is,

\[
\text{Jacobi}_\otimes(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2, Z_1 \otimes Z_2) = [X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2, Z_1 \otimes Z_2]_\otimes + [Y_1 \otimes Y_2, Z_1 \otimes Z_2, X_1 \otimes X_2]_\otimes + [Z_1 \otimes Z_2, X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2]_\otimes.
\]

Thus, we obtain the following equation, instead of the Jacobi identity,

\[
\text{Jacobi}_\otimes(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2, Z_1 \otimes Z_2) = L_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1) \otimes (X_2 \circ_2 [Y_2, Z_2]) + L_1(Y_1, Z_1, X_1) \otimes (Z_2 \circ_2 [X_2, Y_2]) + (X_1 \circ_1 Y_1, Z_1_1) \otimes L_2(Y_2, Z_2, X_2) + (Y_1 \circ_1 Z_1, X_1_1) \otimes L_2(Z_2, X_2, Y_2).
\]

Then, the triplet \((\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \circ, [\ldots]_\otimes)\) is not, in general, an F-algebra because the bracket \([\ldots]_\otimes\) does not satisfy Jacobi identity.

**Remark 2** In the corrigendum to [11] it is shown an example with two F-algebras whose tensor product is not an F-algebra.

However, there are some cases where the tensor product of two F-algebras is again an F-algebra.

**Proposition 3** Let \(\mathcal{F}_1\) and \(\mathcal{F}_2\) be two F-algebras with trivial Leibnizator, i.e. they are Poisson algebras. Then \(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2\) is an F-algebra. In fact, \(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2\) is a Poisson algebra.

**Proof** Note that in the case of Poisson algebras we have from Eq. (2.10) that \(\text{Jacobi}_\otimes(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2, Z_1 \otimes Z_2) = 0\), because \(L_1 \equiv 0\) and \(L_2 \equiv 0\). Moreover, it follows from the definition of the Leibnizator for the tensor product that, in this case, the Leibnizator is zero. Thus, the tensor product is a Poisson algebra. \(\square\)

**Remark 3** This proposition recovers, in the language of F-algebras, the known result stating that the tensor product of Poisson algebras is a Poisson algebra. In the context of category theory this means that the category of Poisson algebras is a monoidal category.

It is natural to ask whether the condition of being Poisson is a necessary condition for the tensor product of two F-algebras to be an F-algebra. The following proposition gives a negative answer for this question.
Proposition 4 Let $\mathcal{F}_1$ be an arbitrary $F$-algebra and $\mathcal{F}_2$ an $F$-algebra such that its Lie bracket vanishes (that is, it is an abelian Lie bracket). Then $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ is an $F$-algebra.

Proof Since the Lie bracket for $\mathcal{F}_2$ vanishes, and then $L_2 = 0$, it follows from Eq. (2.10) that $\text{Jacobi}_{\otimes}(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2, Z_1 \otimes Z_2) = 0$. □

We would like to formulate the following problem:

Problem 0 What are the conditions on the $F$-algebras $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ such that their tensor product $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ is an $F$-algebra?

We conjecture the following answer to the problem above. In rough terms, we believe that only in “trivial” case the usual tensor product will work.

Conjecture The tensor product $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ of two $F$-algebras is an $F$-algebra only when the $F$-algebras are of the type described in Example 3 or Example 4.

We believe that this conjecture, whether it is true or not, could be helpful in order to understand better the operad $F$-man constructed in [5].

Now, the category $\mathbf{FAlg}$ has a “geometric” dual. Before giving a description of it let us first define what we mean by an $F$-algebra ideal.

Definition 3 Let $(\mathcal{F}, \circ, [ , ])$ be an $F$-algebra. An $F$-algebra ideal of $\mathcal{F}$ is any ideal $\mathcal{I}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$) such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}] \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

The set of maximal $F$-algebra ideals in $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as the $F$-algebra maximum spectrum, denoted by $\textbf{FSpec} \mathcal{F}$, which is given the relative Zariski topology inherited from $\text{Spec} \mathcal{F}$ (i.e. the set of maximal ideals of $(\mathcal{F}, \circ)$).

Define $\mathcal{F}_p = \{ X \in \mathcal{F} : \text{the Leibnizer vanishes, that is, } L \equiv 0 \}$. It was shown in [9] that $\mathcal{F}_p$ is a Poisson subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$. Consider $\textbf{FSpec} \mathcal{F}_p$ with its topology (note that a point in here is a Poisson maximal ideal). Then, there exists a surjective map

$\Upsilon : \textbf{FSpec} \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \textbf{FSpec} \mathcal{F}_p$

Proposition 5 Fibers of the map $\Upsilon$ are spectra of Poisson algebras.

Proof Let $\mathcal{I} \in \textbf{FSpec} \mathcal{F}_p$. For each $\mathfrak{I} \in \Upsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{I})$ consider the quotient $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I} / \mathcal{I}$. This is an $F$-algebra with bracket $[a + \mathcal{I}, b + \mathcal{I}] = [a, b] + \mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{F}_p$, then the Leibnizer is trivial, so we have a Poisson algebra. □

In the introduction we pointed out that one of our goals in this note is to provide an algebraic approach to $F$-manifolds via an algebraic formalization of $F$-algebroids. For this reason, we have to introduce a grading in the definition of an $F$-algebra given above. So, the structure of $F$-algebra can be easily extended over a super vector space (or in other words, over a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded vector space). Denoting by $|X|$ the parity of a vector $X$ we have:

Definition 4 Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1$ be a super vector space, and $X, Y, W, Z \in \mathcal{F}$. A super $F$-algebra is a triplet $(\mathcal{F}, \circ, [ , ])$ where $|X| = k$.

Springer
i) \((\mathcal{F}, \circ)\) is an associative commutative super algebra with a unit \(e\), that is
\[X \circ Y = (-1)^{|X||Y|} Y \circ X, \quad (X \circ Y) \circ Z = X \circ (Y \circ Z), \quad X \circ e = e \circ X;\]
i
ii) \((\mathcal{F}, [ , ]\)) is a Lie super algebra, that is we have \([X, Y] = (-1)^{|X||Y|}[Y, X]\) and
\[(-1)^{|X||Z|}[X, [Y, Z]] + (-1)^{|Y||Z|}[Y, [Z, X]] + (-1)^{|Z||Y|}[Z, [X, Y]] = 0;\]
i
iii) defining the “Leibnizator” \(L(X, Z, W)\) as
\[L(X, Z, W) := [X, Z \circ W] - [X, Z] \circ W - (-1)^{|X||Z|} Z \circ [X, W];\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)
iv) the Leibnizator is a super derivation in its first entry, that is,
\[L(X \circ Y, Z, W) = X \circ L(Y, Z, W) + (-1)^{|X||Y|} Y \circ L(X, Z, W).\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)

Similarly we can define homomorphisms of super F-algebras and the category of super F-algebras. We also have a “geometric” dual of this category and an analogue of Proposition 5 holds in this case. The notion of super F-algebra will be a ingredient in the definition of super F-algebroid below.

### 2.1 F-algebra modules

**Definition 5** A vector space \(V\) is said to be a (left) **F-algebra module** if

(i) \(V\) is a Lie algebra module, that is, for every \(X, Y \in \mathcal{F}\) and \(v \in V\) we have
\[\mathcal{F} \times V \to V\]
\[(X, v) \mapsto X \triangleright v\]
satisfying
\[[X, Y] \triangleright v = X \triangleright (Y \triangleright v) - Y \triangleright (X \triangleright v);\]

(ii) \(V\) is a unital associative commutative algebra module, that is, for every \(X, Y \in \mathcal{F}\) and \(v \in V\) we have
\[\mathcal{F} \times V \to V\]
\[(X, v) \mapsto X \triangleright a v\]
satisfying
\[(X \circ Y) \triangleright a v = \frac{1}{2} (X \triangleright a (Y \triangleright a v) + Y \triangleright a (X \triangleright a v)), \quad e \triangleright a v = v;\]

(iii) defining \(\tilde{L}(X, Y, v) \in V\) as
it has to satisfy, for every \( X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{F} \) and \( v \in V \),
\[
\tilde{L}(X \circ Y, Z, v) = X \triangleright \tilde{L}(Y, Z, v) + Y \triangleright \tilde{L}(X, Z, v);
\]  
(2.14)

(iv) defining \( \tilde{L}(v, X, Y) \in V \) as
\[
\tilde{L}(v, X, Y) := (X \circ Y) \triangleright v - X \triangleright (Y \triangleright v) - Y \triangleright (X \triangleright v),
\]  
(2.15)

it has to satisfy, for every \( X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{F} \) and \( v \in V \),
\[
\tilde{L}(Z \triangleright v, X, Y) = \tilde{L}(Z, X, Y) \triangleright v + Z \triangleright \tilde{L}(v, X, Y).
\]  
(2.16)

**Proposition 6** A Poisson module is an \( F \)-algebra module.

**Proof** We can see that if \( \tilde{L}(X, Y, v) \equiv 0, \tilde{L}(v, X, Y) \equiv 0 \) and \( L(X, Y, Z) \equiv 0 \), then the left hand side of (2.13) and (2.15) is zero, recovering the conditions that a Poisson algebra module has to satisfy, see [10, 15]. Moreover, Eqs. (2.14) and (2.16) are trivially satisfied. \( \square \)

Another interesting example is given by the so-called Adjoint Module. If we take the vector space \( V \) as the \( F \)-algebra itself with the Lie algebra action \( X \triangleright \) as \( [X, \ ] \) and the associative commutative algebra action \( X \triangleright \) as \( X \circ \) then \( \tilde{L} \) and \( L \) becomes the Leibnizator and they satisfy the property of an \( F \)-algebra that it is a derivation in the first entry.

We can also define the category of \( F \)-algebra modules as the category of \( k \)-linear representations of an \( F \)-algebra, where \( k \) is a field of characteristic 0. The notion of \( F \)-algebra module is crucial for defining \( F \)-algebra-Rinehart pairs. This will be done in the next section.

### 3 \( F \)-algebra–Rinehart pair

The definition of an \( F \)-R pair is an extension of the definition of a L-R pair (see the Appendix A for the definition of L-R pairs).

#### 3.1 Definition

**Definition 6** An \( F \)-R pair denoted by \( (\mathcal{F}, C) \) consist of

- An \( F \)-algebra \( \mathcal{F} \) with Lie bracket \( [\ , \ ] \) and associative commutative product \( \circ \),
- A commutative associative algebra \( C \) with product \( \cdot \),

such that
(i) \( C \) is an \( \mathcal{F} \)-module, with the actions of an element \( X \in \mathcal{F} \) on an element \( f \in C \) denoted by \( X \triangleright f \) and \( X \triangleright a f \),

(ii) \( \mathcal{F} \) is a \( C \)-module, with the action of \( f \in C \) on \( X \in \mathcal{F} \) denoted by \( f \triangleright X \), and defining

\[
\hat{L}(X,f,Y) := [X,f \triangleright Y] - (X \triangleright f) \triangleright Y + f \triangleright [X,Y],
\]

it has to satisfy

\[
\hat{L}(X,f \cdot g,Y) = f \triangleright \hat{L}(X,g,Y) + g \triangleright \hat{L}(X,f,Y).
\]

These data have the following compatibility between modules

1. Compatibility between the \( \mathcal{F} \)-algebra products and the commutative associative algebra action
   
   (a) Compatibility between \([ \cdot , \cdot ]\) and \( \triangleright \)

\[
[X,f \triangleright Y] = (X \triangleright f) \triangleright Y + f \triangleright [X,Y],
\]

   (b) Compatibility between \( \cdot \) and \( \triangleright \),

\[
X \cdot (f \triangleright Y) = (X \triangleright a f) \triangleright Y + f \triangleright (X \cdot Y)
\]

2. Compatibility between the associative product \( \cdot \) and \( F \)-algebra actions
   
   1. Compatibility between \( \cdot \) and \( l \)

\[
f \cdot (X \triangleright g) = (f \triangleright c X) \triangleright l g,
\]

   2. Compatibility between \( \cdot \) and \( a \)

\[
f \cdot (X \triangleright a g) = (f \triangleright c X) \triangleright a g,
\]

3.2 Examples

Here we give some examples of \( F \)-R pairs.

**Example 1** For any \( F \)-algebra \( \mathcal{F} \), let the commutative algebra \( C \) be equal to that \( F \)-algebra, that is \( C = \mathcal{F} \). The actions are the corresponding adjoint actions of \( \mathcal{F} \) over \( \mathcal{F} \).

**Example 2** An \( F \)-manifold is a manifold \( M \) with the structure of an \( F \)-algebra on sections \( \Gamma(TM) \) of the tangent bundle \( TM \). Now, consider the pair \( \mathcal{F} = \Gamma(TM) \) and \( C = C^\infty(M) \) with \( \triangleright \) the usual actions of vector fields on smooth function as derivations and \( \triangleright \) the usual multiplication of function and vector fields. Then, if the action
is the trivial one (mapping any function to itself) it is easy to check that we obtain an F-R pair.

4 Super F-algebroid

An F-algebroid was defined in [4], as a generalization of the concept of an F-manifold (there were also given some examples and applications there). We recall the definition from [4]:

**Definition 7** Let $TM$ be the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold $M$; $[,]$ a Lie bracket between section of $TM$; $\circ$ denote a multiplicative commutative and associative structure on section of $TM$; and $e \in \Gamma(TM)$ a unit vector field with respect to the multiplicative structure $\circ$. An F-algebroid is a 5-tuple $(E, [, ], \circ, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$ where

1. $E$ is a vector bundle over a manifold $M$;
2. $[,]_E : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is a Lie bracket on sections of the vector bundle, that is, it is anti-symmetric and satisfies Jacobi identity $[\alpha, [\beta, \gamma]_E]_E + [\beta, [\gamma, \alpha]_E]_E + [\gamma, [\alpha, \beta]_E]_E = 0$;
3. $\circ : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is a commutative and associative multiplicative structure on sections of the vector bundle, that is, $\alpha \circ \beta = \beta \circ \alpha$ and $\alpha \circ (\beta \circ \gamma) = (\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma$;
4. $\mathcal{U} \in \Gamma(E)$ is a unit with respect to the multiplicative structure $\circ$, that is, $\mathcal{U} \circ \alpha = \alpha = \alpha \circ \mathcal{U}$;
5. $\rho : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$, called the anchor map, relates sections of the vector bundle to sections of the tangent bundle;

satisfying the following conditions for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$:

(a) Defining the Leibnizator $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ as
   $$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := [\alpha, \beta, \gamma]_E - [\alpha, \beta]_E \circ \gamma - \beta \circ [\alpha, \gamma]_E,$$
   it satisfies
   $$\mathcal{L}(\alpha \circ \beta, \gamma, \tau) = \alpha \circ \mathcal{L}(\beta, \gamma, \tau) + \beta \circ \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \gamma, \tau),$$
   that is the Leibnizator is a derivation in its first entry;

(b) Leibniz rule $[\alpha, f \beta]_E = (\rho(\alpha) f) \beta + f [\alpha, \beta]_E$ and $\alpha \circ (f \beta) = (\rho(\alpha) f) \beta + f (\alpha \circ \beta)$;

(c) associative algebra homomorphism $\rho(\alpha \circ \beta) = \rho(\alpha) \circ \rho(\beta)$ and Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho([\alpha, \beta]_E) = [\rho(\alpha), \rho(\beta)]$.

That is we have the following map

$$(E, \circ, [, ], \mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\rho} (TM, \circ, [, ], e)$$
satisfying (a), (b), (c) and (d) above. Note that the triple \((TM, \circ, e)\) is an F-manifold.

Now, it is known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between vector bundles (of finite rank) and locally free sheaves of finite rank. In other words, a vector bundle \(E\) over a smooth manifold \(M\) can be equivalently described as a sheaf \(\mathcal{E}_M\) of locally free modules of finite rank over the ring \(C^\infty(M)\) of smooth functions. Following this idea, we get:

**Definition 8** A smooth F-algebroid is the data \((M, \mathcal{E}_M, \circ, U, [ , ]_\mathcal{E}; \rho)\) consisting of a smooth manifold \(M\) and a sheaf \(\mathcal{E}_M\) of locally free modules of finite rank over the ring \(C^\infty(M)\) which for every open \(U \subset M\) the module \(\mathcal{E}(U)\) has been endowed with the structure of an F-algebra, with operations: \(\circ\) denoting an associative and commutative multiplication, with an unit \(U\); and a Lie bracket \([ , ]_{\mathcal{E}}\). Moreover, we have a homomorphism \(\rho : (\mathcal{E}_M, \circ, U, [ , ]_\mathcal{E}) \to (TM, \circ, e, [ , ])\) of F-algebra structures satisfying the Leibniz rule:

\[
[a, f \beta]_\mathcal{E} = (\rho(a)f)\beta + f [a, \beta]_\mathcal{E},
\]

where \(a, \beta \in \mathcal{E}(U)\) and \(f \in C^\infty(U)\).

**Remark 4** In a similar way we can define a complex F-algebroid, an analytic F-algebroid and an algebraic F-algebroid just changing the category of smooth manifolds, analytic or algebraic category.

The concept of an F-algebroid can be easily extended to the realm of superspaces if we replace the smooth (or complex, or analytic or algebraic) manifold in its definition for a smooth (or complex, or analytic or algebraic) supermanifold. Remember that [2, 18]: a supermanifold \(\mathcal{M}\) of dimension \((m, n)\) is a locally ringed space \((\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M})\) where \(\mathcal{M}\) is a manifold and \(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}\) is a sheaf of super-algebras that is locally isomorphic to \(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \Lambda(\theta^1, \cdots, \theta^n)\) with \(\Lambda(\theta^1, \cdots, \theta^n)\) a Grassmann algebra in \(n\) odd generators. We find the definition of a super F-algebroid basically adding the “super” in several structures of the definition above. That is:

**Definition 9** A super F-algebroid is the data \((\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{M}, \circ, U, [ , ]_\mathcal{E}; \rho)\) consisting of a supermanifold \(\mathcal{M}\) and a sheaf \(\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{M}\) of locally free super modules over \(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}\) which for every open \(U \subset \mathcal{M}\) the module \(\mathcal{E}(U)\) has been endowed with the structure of a super F-algebra, with operations: \(\circ\) denoting an associative and super commutative multiplication, with an unit \(U\); and a super Lie bracket \([ , ]_\mathcal{E}\). Moreover, we have a homomorphism \(\rho : (\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{M}, \circ, U, [ , ]_\mathcal{E}) \to (T\mathcal{M}, \circ, e, [ , ])\) of super F-algebra structures satisfying the Leibniz rule:

\[
[a, f \beta]_\mathcal{E} = (\rho(a)f)\beta + f [a, \beta]_\mathcal{E},
\]

\[
\alpha \circ (f \beta) = (\rho(\alpha)f)\beta + f (\alpha \circ \beta),
\]

where \(a, \beta \in \mathcal{E}(U)\) and \(f \in C^\infty(U)\).
Now, we will get the main result of this note, showing that it is possible to encode algebraically the notion of super F-algebroid in terms of the concept of F-R pair.

**Theorem 1** Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}, \cdot, \mathcal{U}, [\cdot, \cdot], F; \rho)$ be a super F-algebroid. Then, for every open $U \subset \mathcal{M}$, the pair $(\mathcal{E}(U), C^\infty(U))$ is an F-alg–Rinehar pair.

**Proof** We know that:

- The ring $C^\infty(U)$ of smooth functions on $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a commutative associative algebra over $\mathbb{R}$;
- from the definition of super F-algebroid, the module $\mathcal{E}(U)$ over the ring $C^\infty(U)$ has the structure of a super F-algebra.

Note that the second item says that the super F-algebra $\mathcal{E}(U)$ is a $C^\infty(U)$-module, that is there exist an action $f \triangleright \alpha$ of $f \in C^\infty(U)$ on $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(U)$. Then the only missing part is to show that $C^\infty(U)$ is a $\mathcal{E}(U)$-module and check the compatibility conditions between modules. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ and $f \in C^\infty(U)$. We define the actions $\alpha \triangleright f$ and $\alpha \triangleright a$ by first applying the anchor map and then using a canonical action of super vector fields on functions which in the first case is an even super vector field and in the second an odd super vector field. In other words, let $X$ be a super vector field such that $X = \rho(\alpha)$. Denoting by $D_X^{\text{even}}$ the even part of the derivation that represent the super vector field $X$ over the ring of functions $C^\infty(M)$ and by $D_X^{\text{odd}}$ the odd part of the derivation, we have:

$$
\alpha \triangleright f = D_X^{\text{even}} f, \quad (4.1)
$$

$$
\alpha \triangleright a = D_X^{\text{odd}} f. \quad (4.2)
$$

On $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ with super coordinates $(t^1, \cdots, t^m; \theta^1, \cdots, \theta^n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, we have the following coordinate representation of $D_X$, $D_X^{\text{even}}$ and $D_X^{\text{odd}}$:

$$
D_X = \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} X^\mu(t, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} X^i(t, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i}, \quad (4.3)
$$

$$
D_X^{\text{even}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} X^\mu(t, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\mu}, \quad (4.4)
$$

$$
D_X^{\text{odd}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X^i(t, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i}. \quad (4.5)
$$

Finally, the compatibility conditions of the modules are easily checked. $\square$
5 Final remarks

In [1, 7] the notion of a Frobenius manifold was recovered using the concept of Higgs pair which is an extension of that of a Higgs bundle. On the other hand, we can define holomorphic F-algebroids working in the category of complex manifolds. In particular, we can consider working with Riemann surfaces. Following this ideas, we expect that holomorphic F-algebroids structures over a Riemann surfaces should be closely related to Higgs bundles (this is work in progress).

Related to the study of F-algebroids over Riemann surfaces it would be needed to study more deeply the concept of super F-algebroid and its applications. This should be done in analogy with the developments of super Frobenius manifolds due to Manin and his collaborators. In this paper we have shown that the concept of super F-algebroid is crucial in order to get an algebraic description in terms of F-R pairs. This is an interesting example of the duality between algebraic and geometric objects that deserves further study.

Following Polischuck [16] it should be possible to define an F-structure over a scheme. We think that an interesting problem to tackle is:

**Problem 1** Let $X$ be an F-scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, and $X_{\text{red}}$ be the corresponding reduced scheme. Then prove that $X_{\text{red}}$ and all its irreducible components are F-subschemes of $X$.

An affirmative answer to this problem will allow us to see the affine version of a super F-algebroid as a F-R pair. In addition, the concept of F-structure over a scheme could also be extended to superschemes following [2, 18]. We think that this approach will be useful in order to get a more algebraic geometric approach for the concept of Frobenius manifolds. This is work in progress.

It would be very interesting to describe the notion of “F-groupoid”, that is, the global object whose infinitesimal counterpart is an F-algebroid. The natural problem to solve is:

**Problem 2** Find conditions to determine when an F-algebroid is integrable.

In the case of a Lie algebroid Crainic and Fernandez showed [3] that the integrability problem is controlled by two computable obstructions, so the natural way to approach the above problem is to see whether Crainic and Fernandez conditions extend to the case of F-algebroids. It might be possible that the case of F-algebroids requires a novel approach. This is also work in progress.

We are currently constructing an F-alg–Rinehart operad whose algebras are F-R pairs. This is a color operad similar to the Lie-Rinehart operad described in [17]. The F-alg–Rinehart operad has to be closely related to the FMan operad defined by Dotsenko [5] and the minimal resolutions of Lie-Bialgebra operads and Gerstenhaber operads described by Merkulov in [13, 14]. These results will be presented in a forthcoming paper. Finally, it would be interesting to study possible applications of the idea of super F-algebroids and the corresponding F-R pairs as a mathematical
framework for topological quantum field theories. In particular, it would be interesting to understand the WDVV equations in this context.

Appendix

A Lie–Rinehart Pair

The notion of Lie algebroid can be encoded in the algebraic structure of a L-R pair. Some references on L-R pairs are [8, 12].

Definition 10 A L-R pair denoted by the couple \((L, C)\) consist of

- A Lie algebra \(L\) with Lie bracket \([\cdot, \cdot]\) and
- A commutative associative algebra \(C\) with product \(\cdot\),

such that

(i) \(C\) is an \(L\)-module, that is, for every \(X, Y \in L\) and \(f \in C\) we have

\[
L \times C \to C
\]

\[
(X, f) \mapsto X \triangleright f
\]

satisfying (compatibility between the Lie product and the Lie algebra action)

\[
[X, Y] \triangleright f = X \triangleright (Y \triangleright f) - Y \triangleright (X \triangleright f),
\]

(ii) \(L\) is an \(C\)-module, that is, for every \(f, g \in C\) and \(X \in L\) we have

\[
C \times L \to L
\]

\[
(f, X) \mapsto f \triangleright X
\]

satisfying (compatibility between the commutative associative product and the commutative associative algebra action)

\[
(f \cdot g) \triangleright X = f \triangleright (g \triangleright X);
\]

and with the following compatibility between modules

1. Compatibility between the Lie product and the commutative associative algebra action

\[
[X, f \triangleright c Y] = (X \triangleright f) \triangleright c Y + f \triangleright c [X, Y],
\]
2. Compatibility between the commutative associative product and the Lie algebra action

\[ f \cdot (X \triangleright g) = (f \circ X) \triangleright g. \]

Given a Lie algebroid \((E \to M, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho)\), where \(E\) is a vector bundle over a manifold \(M\), \([\cdot, \cdot]_E\) is a Lie bracket on sections \(\Gamma(E)\) of the vector bundle and \(\rho : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(TM)\) is the anchor map, we can naturally construct a L-R pair \((L, C)\) as follows:

- Let \(L = \Gamma(E)\) be the Lie algebra of sections of the vector bundle \(E\);
- Let \(C = C^\infty(M)\) be the commutative algebra of smooth functions on the manifold \(M\);
- The Lie action \(\triangleright\) of \(L = \Gamma(E)\) on \(C = C^\infty(M)\) is given by first applying the anchor map \(\rho\) and then using the canonical action of vector fields on functions;
- The commutative action \(\triangleright\) of \(C = C^\infty(M)\) on \(L = \Gamma(E)\) is the usual multiplication of sections of vector bundles over \(M\) by functions on \(M\).
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