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Abstract
The $\mu$-neutral linear fractional multi-delayed differential non homogeneous system with noncommutative coefficient matrices is introduced. The novel $\mu$-neutral multi-delayed perturbation of Mittag-Leffler type matrix function is proposed. Based on this, an explicit solution to the system is investigated step by step. The existence uniqueness of solutions to $\mu$-neutral nonlinear fractional multi-delayed differential system is obtained with regard to the supremum norm. The notion of stability analysis in the sense of solutions to the described system is discussed on the grounds of the fixed point approach.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries
Fractional Calculus which is seen as a generalisation of the ordinary calculus has drawn many of researchers’ attention in recent years. And also it has been started to be exponentially utilized in so many different kinds of areas like finance, engineering, neurons, electric conductance, diffusion, thermodynamics, computed tomography, mechanism, mathematical physics.

Fractional(ordinary) delay differential equations\cite{9,10,11,12,14} are of a considerable importance since they permit to describe such systems that the rate of change depends both on the present and delayed states and on the past state unlike other systems. They are very often exploited in propagation of energy or information and transport in interdependent systems (see e.g. \cite{1,2,3} and reference therein). In reference \cite{8}, Khusainov and Shuklin were able to find a solution of the below linear fractional delayed differential system in terms of producing the delayed exponential matrix.

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
w' (t) = Bw (t) + Fw (t - r), \quad t > 0 \quad r > 0 \quad \text{(delay),}
\w (t) = \phi (t), \quad -r \leq t \leq 0.
\end{array} \right.
\]  

The drawback of system\cite{11} is to assume that the coefficient matrices $B$ and $F$ must be commutative. In reference\cite{9}, Li and Wang consider the fractional version of system\cite{11} in the case of $F = \Theta$. The drawback is also in progress because of validation of commutativity of coefficient matrices when $F = \Theta$. In reference\cite{10}, Mahmudov investigates a general version of fractional delay differential system whose coefficient matrices do not need to be either commutative or zero. Having proposed a newly delay perturbation of Mittag-Leffler type matrix function, Mahmudov gives an excellent representation of solutions of the mentioned system. In the sequel, Mahmudov extends the fractional delay differential equations in the work\cite{10} to multi-delayed version in the work\cite{12} and solve it.

In the neutral version of the fractional(ordinary) delay differential equations\cite{13,16,4} the fractional(ordinary) derivative of the unknown function appears mostly with delays and rarely without delays. These kinds of systems are used from population growth to the motion of radiation electrons, spread of epidemic. In reference\cite{16}, Pospisil and Skripkova investigate the following linear neutral fractional delay differential equations

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
w' (t) - Aw' (t - r) = Bw (t) + Fw (t - r) + f (t), \quad t > 0 \quad r > 0,
\w (t) = \phi (t), \quad -r \leq t \leq 0,
\end{array} \right.
\]  

where $f$ is continuous from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\phi$ is continuously differentiable form $[-r, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r$ is a retardation. The coefficient matrices $A, B, F$ are permutable, that is $AB = BA$, $AF = FA$, $BF = FB$. Zhang et al.\cite{13} look into the representation of the solution to the neutral fractional linear differential system having a constant delay

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} (w (t) - Aw (t - r)) = Bw (t) + Fw (t - r) + f (t), \quad t > 0,
w (t) = \phi (t), \quad -r \leq t \leq 0,
\end{array}
\]
where $\ELL_0^\alpha$ is Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $f$ is continuous from $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, $A, B, F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $\phi$ is continuously differentiable form $[-r, 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$. In an attempt to solve system (3), Zhang et al. [12] exploited Laplace integral transform. This produced some drawback and mistakes because the representation of power series of the fundamental solution is unknowable.

In reference [8], Almeida consider a Caputo type fractional derivative with respect to another function. Some features between the fractional derivative and integral, Fermat’s Theorem, Taylor’s Theorem, and a lot more are studied. In reference [7], Almeida et al. questionnaire existence and uniqueness results for the initial value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving a Caputo-type fractional derivative with respect to another function with the help of the some standard fixed point theorems and develop the Picard iteration method for solving numerically the problem and obtain results on the long-term behavior of solutions.

Motivated by the above cited studies, we handle the below $\mu$-Caputo type (more general) fractional neutral differential multi-delayed equations with noncommutative matrices

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d\tau} \宇_0^\alpha \mathbb{W}(\tau) &= B\mathbb{W}(\tau) + \sum_{i=1}^d C_i \Phi_i(\tau - r_i), \quad \tau \in (0, T], \\
\mathbb{W}(0) &= \varphi(0),
\end{align*}
$$

where the Caputo fractional derivative $\frac{d}{d\tau} \宇_0^\alpha \mathbb{W}(\tau)$ is of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For each of $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, d$, $A_i$, $B$, $C_i$ are square coefficient constant matrices which do not need to be permutable and $r_i > 0$ is a retardation function, and $r := \text{max}\{r_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, d\}$. An arbitrary vector function $\varphi(x)$ is continuously differentiable and $\mathbb{W} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $T = ld$ for a fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}$. After finding the explicit solutions of (4), we obtain the explicit solutions to the below equations (5)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d\tau} \宇_0^\alpha \mathbb{W}(\tau) &= B\mathbb{W}(\tau) + \sum_{i=1}^d C_i \Phi_i(\tau - r_i) + \mathbb{W}(\phi(t)), \\
\mathbb{W}(0) &= \varphi(0),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{W} \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the others are the same as (4).

**Remark 1** By choosing $A_i = \Theta, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, d$ and $\mu(t) = t$, $\mu$-Caputo fractional neutral differential multi-delayed equations with nonpermutable matrices overlaps with fractional linear multi-delay differential equations in the study [12].

In the current work,

- we introduce the $\mu$-neutral Caputo type fractional linear(or semi-linear) multi-delayed differential equations with non-permutable constant coefficient matrices,
- we propose newly the $\mu$-neutral multi-delayed perturbation of two parameter Mittag-Leffler type matrix function,
- we present a representation of a solution for the $\mu$-neutral Caputo type fractional linear(or semi-linear) multi-delayed differential equations with non-permutable constant coefficient matrices by sharing the $\mu$-neutral multi-delayed perturbation of two parameter Mittag-Leffler type matrix function,
- we examine the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the $\mu$-neutral fractional multi-delayed differential equations’ system,
- we show the stability of the $\mu$-neutral fractional order multi-delayed differential system in the sense of Ulam-Hyers, and illustrate the theoretical findings.

Now we remind a couple of well-recognized basic notions in the literature.

For $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, the space $C^n([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is all of continuously $n$th order differentiable vector-valued functions from $[0, T]$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_{C^n} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|x(t)\|$ for a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $n = 0$, $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) = C^0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is all of continuous vector-valued functions. Let $AC[0, T]$ be the space of functions which are absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$. We denote by $AC^n[0, T]$ the space of complex-valued functions $f(x)$ which have continuous derivatives up to order $n - 1$ on $[0, T]$ such that $f^{(n-1)}(x) \in AC[0, T]$. Let $\mathbf{\nu}$ and an increasing
function $\mu$ on $[0, T]$ be integrable and continuously differentiable, respectively and let $\mu'(t) \neq 0$ $t \in [0, T]$, $\mu$-Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals\cite{5,6} of $\gamma \in AC^n[0, T]$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are given by

$$\left(^{RL}_{0+}\mathbb{D}^\alpha_{\mu}\gamma\right)(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (\mu(t) - \mu(s))^{\alpha-1} \gamma(s) d\mu(s),$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$ with $Re(\alpha) > 0$. $\mu$-Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives\cite{5,6} of $\gamma \in AC^n[0, T]$ of order $\alpha > 0$ are given by

$$\left(^{RL}_{0+}\mathbb{D}^\alpha_{\mu}\gamma\right)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{d\mu(t)}\right)^n \int_0^t (\mu(t) - \mu(s))^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) d\mu(s),$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$. If $\gamma \in AC^n([0, T], \mathbb{R})$, $\mu \in C^n([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ with $\mu$ is increasing and $\mu'(t) \neq 0$ for every $t \in [0, T]$, then the $\mu$-Caputo fractional derivatives\cite{5,6} of $\gamma$ of order $\alpha$ is defined as

$$^{C}_{0+}\mathbb{D}^\alpha_{\mu}\gamma(t) := \left(\frac{1}{\mu'(t)}\right)^n \left(\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\right)\gamma(t).$$

From\cite{5} and\cite{6}, we have, for $\Re(\alpha) \geq 0$, $\Re(\beta) > 0$, and $\alpha \in (0, 1),$

$$\left(^{C}_{0+}\mathbb{D}^\alpha_{\mu}\gamma\right)(t) = \int_0^t \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left(\frac{1}{\mu'(t)}\right)^n \left(\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\right)\gamma(t).$$

\section{Main Results}

In the current section, we present our findings to begin with defining the $\mu$-neutral multi-delayed perturbation of Mittag-Leffler type matrix function. We look for a solution of system (4) and prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions and Ulam-Hyers stability of system (4).

\subsection{The $\mu$- neutral multi-delayed perturbation of Mittag-Leffler type matrix function}

For now on, we exploit the $\mu$-NMDP of ML function for the $\mu$-neutral multi-delayed perturbation of Mittag-Leffler type matrix function.

It is clear that a generalisation of the exponential function is the Mittag-Leffler function. Delayed and Delayed perturbed and multi-delayed perturbed versions which are called delayed Mittag-Leffler type matrix function, delayed perturbation of Mittag-Leffler type matrix function and multi-delayed perturbation of Mittag-Leffler type matrix function by the numbers are presented in the work\cite{10,12,14} respectively. In the current study, the $\mu$-NMDP of ML function is given through identifying matrix equation for $Q_j(s)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

$$Q_{j+1}\left(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d\right) = BQ_j\left(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} F_iQ_j\left(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_i - r_i, \ldots, s_d\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_iQ_{j+1}\left(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_i - r_i, \ldots, s_d\right),$$

(7)

$$Q_0\left(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d\right) = Q_0\left(-r_1, \ldots, s_d\right) = Q_0\left(s_1, \ldots, -r_d\right) = Q_1\left(0, \ldots, 0\right) = I, \quad Q_1\left(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d\right) = \Theta, \quad s_i \neq 0.$$

where $s_i = 0, r_1, 2r_i, \ldots, \Theta$ is the zero matrix, and $I$ is the unit matrix.

In the following definition, we give the function of $\mu$-NMDP of ML type matrix with the aid of the multivariate function $Q_{k+1}\left(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d\right)$

\begin{definition}

The $\mu$-neutral multi-delayed perturbation of the Mittag-Leffler type matrix function $X^{\alpha, \beta}_{\mu}(t, s)$ is given by

$$X^{\alpha, \beta}_{\mu}(t, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}\left(i_1r_1, \ldots, i_dr_d\right),$$

where $Q_{k+1} := Q_{k+1}(i_1r_1, \ldots, i_dr_d)$, $[t]_+ = max(0, t)$.

\end{definition}
Remark 3 Let $\mu(t) = t$, and $s = 0$ in $X^\alpha,\beta( t, s)$. Then we have,

1. For $A_i = F_i = \Theta$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, The $\mu$-NMDP ML function reduces to the ML function\textsuperscript{[12]} i.e. $X^\alpha,\beta( t, s) = t^\beta - 1 E_{\alpha,\beta} (B t^\alpha)$. 
2. For $A_i = \Theta$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ and $F_i = \Theta$, $i = 2, \ldots, d$, then the $\mu$-NMDP ML function matches up with delay perturbation of ML function\textsuperscript{[10]}. 
3. For $A_i = \Theta$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ and $F_i = \Theta$, $i = 2, \ldots, d$, $B = \Theta$, $X^\alpha,\beta( t, s)$ reduces to delayed ML function\textsuperscript{[14]}. 
4. The $\mu$-NMDP ML function is not equal to that one of\textsuperscript{[12]} Def. 3.3 because the matrix $Q_k( s)$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ in (7) is different from that of the study\textsuperscript{[12]}. Under the condition $\Lambda_i = \Theta$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, however, they coincide. 
5. Since the constant matrices are commutative together with suitable selections, $X^\alpha,\beta( t, s)$ overlaps with $X(t)$ in (2.4) in the work\textsuperscript{[10]}. 
6. By depending on suitable selections $r$, $A_i, F_i, B$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, one can easily obtain $\mathcal{E} \tau_1, \tau_2( A, B, F; x)$ in Definition 3.1 in the work\textsuperscript{[10]} from $X^\alpha,\beta( t, s)$.

2.2 The $\mu$-neutral multi-delayed Caputo fractional differential equations’ analytic solutions

First of all, we share beneficial theorem and lemma to be exploited in the next proofs.

Theorem 4 Let $X^\alpha,\beta( t, s)$ be as defined in (3). The following holds true

$$C_0^+ \mathcal{D}_\mu^\alpha X^\alpha,\beta( t, 0) - \sum_{j=1}^{d} A_j X^\alpha,\beta( t, r_j) = B X^\alpha,\beta( t, 0) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} F_j X^\alpha,\beta( t, r_j)$$

Proof. Firstly, we compute the term $C_0^+ \mathcal{D}_\mu^\alpha X^\alpha,\beta ( t, 0)$.

$$C_0^+ \mathcal{D}_\mu^\alpha X^\alpha,\beta ( t, 0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d=0}^{\infty} Q_k + (i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) C_0^+ \mathcal{D}_\mu^\alpha \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu \left( \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n \right) }{\Gamma(k \alpha + 1)} \right]^k \alpha, \beta$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d=0}^{\infty} Q_k + (i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu \left( \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n \right) }{\Gamma(k \alpha + 1)} \right]^k \alpha, \beta. \quad (9)$$

One can obtain $C_0^+ \mathcal{D}_\mu^\alpha X^\alpha,\beta ( t, r_j)$ as follows by using the similar calculations.

$$C_0^+ \mathcal{D}_\mu^\alpha X^\alpha,\beta ( t, r_j) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d=0}^{\infty} Q_k + (i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu \left( r_j + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n \right) }{\Gamma(k \alpha + 1)} \right]^k \alpha, \beta.$$
By combining \( \text{(7)} \) with \( \text{(9)} \), we keep calculating
\[
C_0^\alpha X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (t, 0)
\]
\[
= B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu\left( \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n \right)\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{d} F_j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu\left( \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n \right)\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{d} A_j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+2}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu\left( \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n \right)\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)} \right] = B X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (t, 0) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} F_j X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (t, r_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} A_j \left( C_0^\alpha X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (t, r_j) \right).
\]
which provides the craved result.

**Corollary 5** A solution of system \( \text{(7)} \) is
\[
w(t) = \left[ X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (t, 0) - \sum_{j=1}^{d} X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (t, r_j) A_j \right] \varphi(0),
\]
provided that \( w(t) = \varphi(t) \) with \( -r \leq t \leq 0 \).

**Proof.** With the help of the variation of constants’ technique, set
\[
w(t) = \left[ X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (t, 0) - \sum_{j=1}^{d} X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (t, r_j) A_j \right] \kappa,
\]
where a constant \( \kappa \) is unknown. It is clear that \( X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (0, 0) = I \) and \( X_{\mu}^{\alpha, 1} (0, r_j) = \Theta \). As a result, \( \kappa = \varphi(0) \).

**Lemma 6** Let \( X_{\mu}^{\alpha, \beta} (t, s) \) be as in \( \text{(5)} \). The following mathematical equation is true:
\[
\int_0^t (\mu(t) - \mu(s))^{-\alpha} \int_0^s X_{\mu}^{\alpha, \alpha} (s, x) \nabla(x) d\mu(x) d\mu(s)
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) \int_0^{\infty} \Gamma(1 - \alpha) \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu(\sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n)\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)} \right] \nabla(x) d\mu(x).
\]
**Proof.** One can easily prove this theorem with the help of a simple substitution \( v = \frac{\mu(s) - \mu(x + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n)}{\mu(t) - \mu(x + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n)} \) together with the expansion of \( X_{\mu}^{\alpha, \alpha} \), so it is ignored.
Theorem 7 The following function

\[ w(t) = \int_0^t \chi_{\mu}^{\alpha,t} (t, s) \gamma(s) \, d\mu(s), \quad t \geq 0, \]

is a solution of system (7) under the condition \( w(t) = 0 \) with \( -r \leq t \leq 0 \).

**Proof.** To see this, we consider the following expression by keeping Lemma 6 in mind

\[
C_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^\alpha \left( \int_0^t \chi_{\mu}^{\alpha,t} (t, x) \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{d\mu(t)} \int_0^t (\mu(t) - \mu(s))^{-\alpha} \int_0^s \chi_{\mu}^{\alpha,s} (s, x) \gamma(x) \, d\mu(s) \, d\mu(t)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d = 0} \sum_{k+1}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d)
\]

\[
\times \frac{d}{d\mu(t)} \int_0^t \left[ \mu(t) - \mu(x + \sum_{n=1}^d i_n r_n) \right]^{k\alpha} \Gamma(k\alpha + 1) \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d = 0} \sum_{k+1}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d)
\]

\[
\times \frac{d}{d\mu(t)} \int_0^t \left[ \mu(t) - \mu(x + \sum_{n=1}^d i_n r_n) \right]^{k\alpha} \Gamma(k\alpha + 1) \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x) + \gamma(t)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d = 0} \sum_{k+2}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d)
\]

\[
\times \int_0^t \left[ \mu(t) - \mu(x + \sum_{n=1}^d i_n r_n) \right]^{k\alpha + \alpha - 1} \Gamma(k\alpha + \alpha + 1) \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x) + \gamma(t).
\]

One can easily obtain

\[
C_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^\alpha \left( \int_0^t \chi_{\mu}^{\alpha,t} (t, x + r_j) \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x) \right) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d = 0} Q_{k+2}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d)
\]

\[
\times \int_0^t \left[ \mu(t) - \mu(x + r_j + \sum_{n=1}^d i_n r_n) \right]^{k\alpha + \alpha - 1} \Gamma(k\alpha + \alpha) \gamma(x) \, d\mu(x)
\]

(10)
By having Lemma 6 in mind, we combine (7) with (10) to obtain the following

\[
C_\alpha \Gamma_n \left( \int_0^t \chi_\mu^{\alpha,\alpha} (t, x) \nabla (x) d\mu(x) \right)
\]

\[
= B \sum_{k=0, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d} \infty Q_{k+1}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d)
\]

\[
\times \int_0^t \left[ \mu(t) - \mu(x + \sum_{n=1}^d i_n r_n) \right]^{k\alpha+\alpha-1} \nabla(x) d\mu(x) + \nabla(t)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=0, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d} \infty Q_{k+1}(i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d)
\]

\[
\times \int_0^t \left[ \mu(t) - \mu(x + \sum_{n=1}^d i_n r_n) \right]^{k\alpha+\alpha-1} \nabla(x) d\mu(x) + \nabla(t)
\]

which gives the inevitable result.

The next theorem is the last one of main theorems as to a solution of the homogeneous part of system (4).

**Theorem 8** The following \( \mathbb{R}^n \)-valued continuous function

\[
w(t) = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{-r_j}^0 \chi_\mu^{\alpha,\alpha} (t, r_j + s) \left[ F_j \varphi(s) + A_j \left( C_\alpha \Gamma_n \varphi \right)(s) \right] d\mu(s)
\]

is a solution of system (4) with \( w(t) = \varphi(t), \ -r \leq t \leq 0 \) and \( \nabla = 0 \).
Proof. Now we consider

\[ C_\alpha^0 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} \lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha,\alpha} (t, r_j + x) F_j \phi (x) d\mu (x) \right) \]

\[ = C_\alpha^0 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1} (i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) \right. \]

\[ \times \left. \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu(x + r_j + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \alpha)} \right] \right] \]

\[ = C_\alpha^0 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+2} (i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d) \right. \]

\[ \times \left. \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu(x + r_j + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 2\alpha)} \right] \right] \]

\[ F_j \phi (x) d\mu (x) \]
By applying (7) to (11), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
& C_0^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\mu^\nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} X^{\alpha,\alpha}_{\mu} \left( t, x + r_j \right) F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \right) \\
& = B \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d = 0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}^1 \left( i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d \right) \\
& \times C_0^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\mu^\nu \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu(t + r_j + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 2\alpha)} \right] F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \\
& + \sum_{m=1}^{d} C_m \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_d = 0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}^1 \left( i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_m r_m - r_m, \ldots, i_d r_d \right) \\
& \times C_0^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\mu^\nu \left[ \frac{\mu(t) - \mu(t + r_j + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 2\alpha)} \right] F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \\
& = B \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d = 0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}^1 \left( i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_d r_d \right) \\
& \times \frac{\left[ \mu(t) - \mu(t + r_j + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n) \right]}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \alpha)} F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \\
& + \sum_{m=1}^{d} C_m \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_d = 0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}^1 \left( i_1 r_1, \ldots, i_m r_m - r_m, \ldots, i_d r_d \right) \\
& \times \frac{\left[ \mu(t) - \mu(t + r_j + \sum_{n=1}^{d} i_n r_n) \right]}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \alpha)} F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \\
& = B \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} X^{\alpha,\alpha}_{\mu} \left( t, x + r_j \right) F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \right) \\
& + \sum_{m=1}^{d} C_m \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} P_{\alpha,\alpha} \left( t, x + r_j + r_m \right) F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \right) \\
& + \sum_{m=1}^{d} A_m \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} X^{\alpha,\alpha}_{\mu} \left( t, x + r_j + r_m \right) F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

which provides \( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} X^{\alpha,\alpha}_{\mu} \left( t, x + r_j \right) A_j \left( C_0^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\mu^\nu \varphi \right) \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \). In a similar way, it can be easily shown that \( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{-r_j}^{0} X^{\alpha,\alpha}_{\mu} \left( t, x + r_j \right) F_j \varphi \left( x \right) d\mu \left( x \right) \) is a solution. Furthermore, summation of them is also a solution due to superposition technique. This completes the proof. \( \blacksquare \)
So far we have found the parts of the stepwise solution, now let’s put the parts together in the below corollary which stands for a whole solution of system (1).

**Corollary 9** The following \( \mathbb{R}^n \)-valued continuous function

\[
    w(t) = \left[ X_{
u}^{\alpha,1}(t, 0) - \sum_{m=1}^{d} X_{
u}^{\alpha,1}(t, r_m) A_m \right] \varphi(0) + \int_0^t X_{
u}^{\alpha,\alpha}(t, s) \gamma(s) d\mu(s) + \sum_{m=1}^{d} \int_{r_m}^{t} X_{
u}^{\alpha,\alpha}(t, s + r_m) \left[ F_m \varphi(s) + A_m \left( C_{\nu} \varphi \right)(s) \right] d\mu(s)
\]

is an exact analytical solution of system (4). In the light of Corollary 9, one can easily acquire a mild Volterra type integral equation’s solution formula of system (5).

**Remark 10** Here are some special cases depending on selections of the coefficient matrices. For \( \mu(x) = x \) and \( y = 0 \)

1. If \( A_j = \Theta, j = 1, 2, \ldots, d \) and \( F_j = \Theta, j = 2, \ldots, d \), then Corollary 9 matches up with Corollary 1 in the reference (10).
2. Corollary 9 with \( \gamma = 0 \) reduces to Theorem 3.2 in the work (14) providing that \( a_j = \Theta, j = 1, 2, \ldots, d \) and \( F_j = \Theta, j = 2, \ldots, d \),\( B = \Theta \).
3. Corollary 9 overlap with Theorem 4.2 in the study (12) under the condition \( A_j = \Theta, j = 1, 2, \ldots, d \).
4. Even if the constant coefficient matrices are commutative, our findings also are valid. If the coefficient matrices are permutable in addition to appropriate selections, Corollary 9 reduces to Theorem 6 in the paper (10).
5. Corollary 9 reduces to Theorem 3.5 in the paper (14) on taking \( d = 2 \) and without loss of generality \( A_1 = F_2 = \Theta \).

### 2.3 Existence and uniqueness of solutions of neutral fractional multi-delayed differential equations’ system

In this subsection, we look for answers to three kinds of questions: is there a solution for system (5)\?, is the solution unique? Subsequent to given answers, we finish discussing. When we look at features of each term in system (5) like \( \gamma(t, w(t)) \) is continuous, we find an explicit solution in corollary 9. Unfortunately, these features or conditions are not enough to make the explicit solution unique. So, we add one more feature to the continuous function \( \gamma(t, w(t)) \) in order to make the explicit solution satisfy the uniqueness. This feature is that the continuous function \( \gamma(t, w(t)) \) satisfies the Lipschitz condition in the second component with the Lipschitz constant \( L_{\gamma} \), that is

\[
\| \gamma(t, w(t)) - \gamma(t, u(t)) \| \leq L_{\gamma} \| w(t) - u(t) \|.
\]

Prior to carrying on, we discuss an inequality about \( X_{\nu}^{\alpha,\beta} \) in the following lemma.

**Lemma 11** Let \( X_{\nu}^{\alpha,\beta} \) be as in (5).

\[
\int_0^t \| X_{\nu}^{\alpha,\beta} (t, s) \| d\mu(s) \leq (\mu(t) - \mu(0)) \| X_{\nu}^{\alpha,\beta} (t, 0) \|
\]

holds true.

**Proof.** In order to see whether the above inequality is true, it is sufficient to use 
\[
[\mu(t) - \mu \left( s + \sum_{j=1}^{d} i_j r_j \right)] \leq [\mu(t) - \mu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} i_j r_j \right)]
\]
along with the expansion \( X_{\nu}^{\alpha,\beta}(t, s) \). So, it is omitted. ■

The following theorem is about existence and uniqueness of system (5).

**Theorem 12** If the continuous function \( \gamma(t, w(t)) \) satisfies the Lipschitz condition in the second component with the Lipschitz constant \( L_{\gamma} \) with \( L_{\gamma}(\mu(T) - \mu(0)) \| X_{\nu}^{\alpha,\alpha}(T, 0) \| < 1 \), then the integral equation in the corollary 9 is of a unique solution in \([ -d, T ] \).
Proof. Define \( G : C ([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) by

\[
Gw (t) = \left[ \lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha,1} (t, 0) - \sum_{m=1}^{d} \lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha,1} (t, r_m) A_m \right] \varphi (0) + \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha,\alpha} (t, x) \nabla (x, w(x)) d\mu(x) + \sum_{m=1}^{d} \int_{-r_m}^{0} \lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha,\alpha} (t, x + r_m) \left[ F_m r(x) + A_m \left( \frac{C}{\mathcal{W} \alpha} \right) \right] d\mu(x)
\]

For arbitrary \( w, u \in C ([-r, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \), we consider by using Lemma \[ \[ \]

\[
\|Gw (t) - Gu(t)\| \leq \int_{0}^{t} \|\lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha,\alpha} (t, s)\| \|\nabla (s, w(s)) - \nabla (s, u(s))\| ds = \int_{0}^{\alpha} \|\lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha,\alpha} (T, 0)\| \|w - u\| C.
\]

The statements of this theorem ensure that \( G \) is a contraction. By the Banach Contraction principle, \( G \) is of a unique fixed point on \([-r, T] \), that is \( \exists w_0 \in C ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \), \( w_0 (t) = Gw_0(t) \). \( \blacksquare \)

2.4 Stability of neutral fractional order multi-delayed differential system in the sense of Ulam-Hyers

We investigate the stability of system (5).

Definition 13 Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). The system (5) is said to be Ulam-Hyers stable if for every solution \( w \in C ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) of inequality,

\[
\left\| C_{0+}^{\mathcal{W} \alpha} \left[ w (t) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i w (t - r_i) \right] - B w (t) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} F_i w (t - r_i) - \nabla (t, w(t)) \right\| \leq \varepsilon,
\]

there exists a solution \( w_0 \in C ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) of system (5), and \( \eta > 0 \) such that

\[
\|w(t) - w_0(t)\| \leq \eta \varepsilon, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

Remark 14 A function \( w \in C^1 ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) is a solution of the inequality equation (12) if and only if there exists a function \( z \in C^1 ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( \|z(t)\| < \varepsilon \) and \( C_{0+}^{\mathcal{W} \alpha} \left[ w (t) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i w (t - r_i) \right] = B w (t) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} F_i w (t - r_i) + \nabla (t, w(t)) + z(t) \).

Theorem 15 Suppose that all of the assumptions of Theorem (12) are hold. Then system (5) is Ulam-Hyers stable.

Proof. Let \( w \in C ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) be a solution of the inequality (12), i.e.

\[
\left\| C_{0+}^{\mathcal{W} \alpha} \left[ w (t) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i w (t - r_i) \right] - B w (t) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} F_i w (t - r_i) - \nabla (t, w(t)) \right\| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Let \( w_0 \in C ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) be the unique solution of system (5), so that

\[
C_{0+}^{\mathcal{W} \alpha} \left[ w_0 (t) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i w_0 (t - r_i) \right] = B w_0 (t) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} F_i w_0 (t - r_i) + \nabla (t, w_0(t))
\]

for each \( t \in [0, T] \) and \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), \( w_0 (t) = w (t) \) with \(-r \leq t \leq 0 \). By combining Remark 14 and equation (13), there exists a function \( z \in C ([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( \|z(t)\| < \varepsilon \),

\[
C_{0+}^{\mathcal{W} \alpha} \left[ w (t) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i w (t - r_i) \right] = B w (t) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} F_i w (t - r_i) + \nabla (t, w(t)) + z (t).
\]

So we deduced the solution \( w (t) \) from (14) with the aid of Corollary 9 and the function \( G \),

\[
w (t) = Gw (t) + \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{\mu}^{\alpha,\alpha} (t, x) z (x) d\mu(x)
\]
So we have the following estimation
\[ \| Gw(t) - w(t) \| \leq \int_0^t \| \mathcal{X}^\alpha(t, s) \| z(s) \| d\mu(s) \leq (\mu(T) - \mu(0)) \| \mathcal{X}^\alpha(T, 0) \| \varepsilon. \]

By the fixed point property of the operator \( \mathcal{G} \) given in the proof of Theorem\[12\] we obtain
\[ \| w_0(t) - w(t) \| \leq \| Gw_0(t) - Gw(t) \| + \| Gw(t) - w(t) \| \leq L\gamma(\mu(T) - \mu(0)) \| \mathcal{X}^\alpha(T, 0) \| \| w - u \|_C \\
+ (\mu(T) - \mu(0)) \| \mathcal{X}^\alpha(T, 0) \| \varepsilon. \]

By taking \( C \)-norm on the left hand side and then rearranging the above equation
\[ (1 - L\gamma(\mu(T) - \mu(0)) \| \mathcal{X}^\alpha(T, 0) \|) \| w - u \|_C \leq (\mu(T) - \mu(0)) \| \mathcal{X}^\alpha(T, 0) \| \varepsilon, \]
then we get the desired result
\[ \| w - u \|_C \leq \eta \varepsilon, \quad \eta = \frac{(\mu(T) - \mu(0)) \| \mathcal{X}^\alpha(T, 0) \|}{(1 - L\gamma(\mu(T) - \mu(0)) \| \mathcal{X}^\alpha(T, 0) \|)}> 0. \]

\[ \boxed{\text{Remark 16} \quad \text{It is clear as day that all findings are valid when the coefficient matrices are commutative.} \]

3 An illustrated example

We will consider \( \sqrt{T} \)-Caputo type fractional neutral differential multi-delayed equations
\[
\begin{align*}
C_{0+}^{\alpha_{\mu,8}^T} \left[ w(t) - A_1 w(t - 0.3) - A_2 w(t - 0.2) \right] &= Bw(t) \\
+ F_1 w(t - 0.3) + \frac{e^{\varepsilon t}}{4(1 + \varepsilon)} \sin(w(t)), & t \in (0, 0.6], \\
w(t) &= (t^3 2t + 1)^T, & -0.3 \leq t \leq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \mu(t) = \sqrt{T} \), and \( A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.170 & 0.830 \\ 0 & 0.350 \end{pmatrix} \), \( A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.36 & 0.64 \\ 0.07 & 0.11 \end{pmatrix} \), \( B = \begin{pmatrix} 0.33 & 0 \\ 0.03 & 0.125 \end{pmatrix} \), \( F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.43 & 470 \\ 0.03 & 0.125 \end{pmatrix} \),

\( \) which are pairwise noncommutative matrices, e.g., \( A_1 A_2 \neq A_2 A_1, A_1 B \neq BA_1, A_2 B \neq BA_2, F_1 A_2 \neq A_2 F_1 \), etc. With the well-known maximum absolute row sum of the matrix \( \| \cdot \|_\infty \); \( \| A_1 \|_\infty = 1, \| A_2 \|_\infty = 1, \| B \|_\infty = 0.33 \), and \( \| F_1 \|_\infty = 1 \). One can easily check that \( \sqrt{T}(t, w(t)) = \frac{e^{\varepsilon t}}{4(1 + \varepsilon)} \sin(w(t)) \) is continuous in addition being the Lipschitz function with the Lipschitz constant \( L\gamma = 0.25 \) and
\[ L\gamma(\mu(0.6) - \mu(0)) X_{\mu,0.8} A_{0.8} B_{\infty} \| F_1 \|_\infty (0.6, 0) \cong 0.050917 < 1. \]

Hence, all of conditions of Theorem\[12\] and \[15\] holds, so system \[15\] which has an unique solution is Ulam-Hyers stable.

4 Conclusion

In the current paper, we firstly introduce the \( \mu \)-neutral Caputo type fractional linear multi-delayed differential equations with non-permutable constant coefficient matrices. To obtain a representation of a solution for it, we newly define the \( \mu \)-neutral multi-delayed perturbation of two parameter Mittag-Leffler type matrix function. We consider the existence and uniqueness of solutions and Ulam-Hyers stability of the \( \mu \)-neutral fractional multi-delayed differential equations’ system.

In terms of qualitative properties and fractional differential equations, this paper includes many different kinds of comprehensive studies\[10\][12][16] because for some special cases of \( \mu \), we obtain the classical Caputo fractional derivative\[5\][17]. Hadamard fractional derivative\[5\], the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative\[18\] and the Caputo–Erdélyi–Kober fractional derivative\[19\].

The next possible further work can be devoted to study asymptotic stability, exponential stability, finite time stability, and also Lyapunov type stability of the \( \mu \)-neutral Caputo fractional multi-delayed differential equations with noncommutative coefficient matrices as well as its relative controllability and iterative learning controllability. Another possible direction for additional studies is to extend our system \[4\] to \( \mu \)–fractional functional evolution equations and all possibilities as noted just above can be questioned once again for this new system.
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