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ABSTRACT
The approach to automatically student groups detection based on Honey and Mumford's questionnaire and index of learning styles questionnaire with the help of clustering methods are proposed in the paper. The methodology of our research consists of the following stages: 1) the evaluation optimal number of clusters and clustering students data based on Honey and Mumford's questionnaire and Ward.D2 method realised in R-library NbClust; 2) the evaluation optimal number of clusters and clustering students data based on index of learning style questionnaire and k-Means method; 3) forming the clusters of students that are in one cluster based on both learning styles and description of the student clusters taking into account similarities on learning style preferences and similar interests in the social network.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The priority problems for all universities are the studying of student community in order to create a favourable atmosphere in the team, the increasing of academic performance, motivation, and involvement of students in the educational process, establishing the correspondence between teaching styles and student learning preferences, the practical skills development and the professional competencies acquiring. The learning styles are often used in students community research. Here just a few studies on the student learning styles preferences in education. For example, at the stage of students preparing for project activities in order to develop research skills based on VARK model, the index of learning styles (ILS), Kolb's learning styles (Pershukova, 2010); in the process of the adaptive learning systems development and e-learning environment creation taking into account the individual characteristics and student needs and learning strategies (Popescu, Badica & Moraret L, 2010; Ali, Eassa & Hamed, 2019); in the research process of dominant styles of student activities and their self-organization level based on situation analysis, planning, self-control, correction and volitional efforts (Epachinceva, Kozlovskaya & Kozlovskij, 2019); in the research process of the influence the learning styles on students' critical thinking (Myers & Dyer, 2006). Thus, the learning styles usage for student community studying allows to develop adaptive systems and teaching methods taking into account the personal preferences of each student and helps students to better understand their advantages and disadvantages in the learning process as well as in acquiring students' collaboration skills.

In addition to a tool based on learning styles, researchers also use methods of cluster analysis, for example, to detect the student groups with high academic performance or to identify the groups of students with low motivation and personal interests in learning (Nagesh & Satyamurty, 2018). Unfortunately, the principles of the automatic formation group of students (teams) for successful cooperation remain little studied. Meanwhile, the teachers often face with a problem of forming groups of students, for example, for foreign language learning (Nhan H & Nhan Th, 2019) or for participation in scientific research, competitions. The question is how to automate the search and formation of student groups for participation in various activities, in which students can best demonstrate their capacities.

The learning styles usage together with clustering methods, as shown in our study, creates an analytical tool for the teacher, which allows us to automatically detect the student groups with common interests and perception of information. The aim of the study is to identify regularities that can be used for the automatic student groups formation participated in various activities. Understanding such regularities allows us to create a favourable atmosphere for the development of the students' creative abilities, cognitive, communication, and professional skills. We used Honey and Mumford's questionnaire, index of learning style questionnaire, and data from open profiles in social network V'Kontakte (VK) to understand student groups that can collaborate in the learning environment.

So, let's enumerate the research questions.
1. How to detect student groups for effective collaboration?
2. What regularities can be drawn from the learning styles, clustering methods and data from the social network to better understand student groups for collaboration?
2. RELATED WORKS

The usage of clustering methods identifies the regularities for student groups description, the individual learning trajectories creation, the teaching and learning strategies development, focused on homogeneous student groups. For example, the researches intending to clusters detection for describing the satisfaction with presence in the student community (Rosa, Fida & Avallone, 2011), and the clusters for identification of career-minded students (Vashisht & Bhawna, 2019).

Numerous studies demonstrate the relationship or its absence between learning styles and academic performance, gender, demographic and other factors as well as preferred learning styles for teaching methods development. For example, no differences are found between index of learning styles such as sensing, visual, reflective, global and gender (Naik & Girish, 2012). At the same time, it is shown that the preferred learning styles are different for men and women, but if the teacher combines the different types of learning content, the academic performance and learning efficiency will be high for any gender (Suryaman, Chaerul & Rahma, 2019).

The relationship between students' stress tolerance and Felder-Silverman's preferred learning styles are investigated by Dangmei (Dangmei, 2019). At the stage of empirical study, a multiple regression model is constructed to detect that the students with strong visual and active learning styles have the greatest stress resistance. Such researches help to better understand the psychological and cognitive characteristics of the students especially at the student groups forming and creation a favorable atmosphere in the student team and aimed at the personal growth of each student. The students' self-motivation and Kolb's learning styles are studied by (Mobeva, Arnado & Buot, 2020). In particular, no significance between learning styles and students' self-motivation has been found but it is noted that students' skills adapted to learning styles can improve their academic performance. The differences between the preferred learning styles of students from different countries and cultures are discussed in (Kamisli, 2019) and the relationship with academic performance are studied (Nja et al., 2019).

3. METHODS

Our research is based on the results of student clustering with the help of the index of learning styles questionnaire, k-Means, and hierarchical clustering methods (Pasina et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2017; Kyprianidou et al., 2012). We propose to extract student groups that are similar by Honey and Mumford's styles and ILS at the same time. Additionally, we use data from the social network VK and describe clusters with recommendations for student activity in harmony with similar interests. So, the methodology of our research consists of the following stages: 1) the evaluation of the optimal number of clusters and clustering student data based on Honey and Mumford's questionnaire and Ward.D2 method, realized in R; 2) the evaluation of the optimal number of clusters and clustering of student data based on ILS questionnaire and k-Means method, realized in R; 3) forming the clusters of students that are in one cluster based on both learning styles and description of the student clusters taking into account similarities on learning style preferences and similar interests in the social network.

4. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

61 students from the Mathematics and Computer Science Department of V. I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University were participated in our experiment and filled at the same time two questionnaires based on Honey and Mumford's learning styles and ILS. Descriptive statistics of student data are shown in Table 1. As can be seen in Table 1, the students have almost identical attitude to Reflector, Theorist, Pragmatist learning styles and Active, Sensing, Visual, Sequential learning styles by Honey and Mumford and ILS respectively. Let's consider the stages of our research.

1st stage: the optimal number of clusters detection based on Honey-Mumford's questionnaire. For identifying the optimal number of clusters we use NbClust function, realized in R, based on varying of the different combinations of number of clusters, distance measure, and clustering algorithms [19]. So, the usage of function with configuration distance = "euclidean" and method = "ward.D2" in NbClust allows us to detect the optimal number of clusters that is equal 4. The results of optimal parameters detection are shown in Figure 1 (left) and the results of student data clustering and their description are shown in Table 2.
Table 1 Descriptive statistics of student data (N=61)

| Learning styles | mean | std  | min | 25%  | 50%  | 75%  | max |
|-----------------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|
| (a) Honey and Mumford’s learning styles |
| Activist        | 9.442623 | 3.662536 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 17 |
| Reflector       | 14.786885 | 3.246099 | 5 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 20 |
| Theorist        | 12.918033 | 3.536925 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 20 |
| Pragmatist      | 13.704918 | 3.051471 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 19 |
| (b) Index of learning styles |
| Active          | 2.688525 | 2.784128 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 |
| Reflective      | 0.967213 | 1.974565 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Sensing         | 3.672131 | 3.096887 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 11 |
| Intuitive       | 0.639344 | 1.30426 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Visual          | 3.245902 | 3.535911 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 |
| Verbal          | 0.967213 | 1.949079 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Sequential      | 2.934426 | 3.086902 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 |
| Global          | 0.918033 | 1.715567 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |

Table 2 Results of students clustering based on Honey and Mumford's questionnaire (N=61)

|          | Cluster1 | Cluster2 | Cluster3 | Cluster4 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| student ids |
| id69; id11; id63; id6; id94; id76; id60; id74; id23; id29; id42; id53 |
| id85; id58; id91; id75; id21; id54; id47; id48; id71; id10; id73; id33; id52; id15; id87; id62 |
| id37; id34; id8; id4; id7; id70; id12; id72; id88; id26; id79; id43; id78; id9; id20 |
| id3; id90; id36; id93; id82; id31; id56; id68; id45; id55; id92; id24; id13; id51; id81; id77; id22; id40 |
| number of students |
| 12 |
| 16 |
| 15 |
| 18 |
| centres of clusters in format [Activist; Reflector; Theorist; Pragmatist] |
| [11.0833; 10.5; 9; 11] |
| [6.8125; 16.0625; 11.75; 11.375] |
| [6.6667; 17.2; 16; 16.333333] |
| [13; 14.5; 14; 15.3888889] |
| clusters description based on Honey and Mumford's learning styles |
| no strong preferences of one learning style |
| more preference to Reflector style |
| less tendency towards Activist style |
| no strong preferences of one learning style |

2nd stage: the detection of the optimal number of clusters based on index of learning styles questionnaire. For identifying the optimal number of clusters we use NbClust with options: distance = “euclidean” and method = ”kmeans”. The results of NbClust function are shown in Figure 2 (right) and results of student data clustering and their description are shown in Table 3. The optimal number of clusters is 7.

3rd stage: forming and description of student clusters based on both learning styles taking into account similar interests in the social network VK. We considered sociability index (SI) (SI=1, if the number of friends is 0-100; SI=2, if the number of friends is 101-200 and SI=3, if the number of friends is more than 200); popularity index (PI) (PI=1, if the number of subscribers is 0-100; PI=2, if the number of subscribers is 101-200 and PI=3, if the number of subscribers is more than 200); index of interests (II) (II=1, if the number of followers is 0-100; II=2, if the number of followers is 100-200, II=3, if the number of followers is more than 200.)
Figure 1 The detection of optimal number of clusters based on Honey and Mumford's questionnaire (left) and index of learning styles questionnaire (right)

Table 3 Results of students clustering based on index of learning styles questionnaire (N=61)

| Cluster | Student IDs | Number of Students | Centres of Clusters | Clusters Description |
|---------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Cluster 1 | id8; id55 | 2 | [10; 0; 10; 0; 10; 0] | Strong preferences of Active, Sensing, Visual, Sequential styles |
|          | id45; id4; id6; id7; id9; id12; id13; id15; id56; id60; id72; id75; id31; id78; id87; id91; id21; id22 | 18 | [2.4444; 0.3333333; 5.666667; 0; 1.5; 0.3889; 1.333333; 1.1111] | Moderate preference of Sensing style |
|          | id58; id82; id85; id77; id11; id33; id71 | 7 | [3.7143; 0.142857; 1.42857; 1.4286; 0.7143; 6.42857143; 0] | Moderate preference of Sequential style |
|          | id26; id42; id3; id47; id52; id62; id74; id92; id81; id34; id51; id88 | 12 | [2.5; 0.1666667; 4.5; 0; 7.8333; 0; 14.666667; 0.3333] | Strong preferences of Visual style |
|          | id29; id53; id24; id90; id68; id23 | 6 | [6.3333; 0; 1.33333; 5.6667; 0; 1.33333333; 1.3333] | Balanced preference of Reflective, Intuitive, Verbal learning styles |
|          | id36; id37; id10; id48; id54; id63; id94; id79; id93; id40; id69; id73 | 12 | [0.5; 2.3333333; 0.916667; 1.75; 0.9167; 2.75; 0.66666667; 2] | Moderate preference of Reflective, Sensing and Sequential style |
|          | id9; id79; id70; id94 | 4 | [0; 5.5; 7; 0; 0; 3.5; 6; 0] | |

We chose clusters with the number of students from 3 to 5 and formed clusters for 35 students. Let's describe the results of student clusters creation and description (Honey & Mumford, 1986; Felder, 1996).

Cluster 1 (the students with id45, id13, id56, id31, id22) has the center of cluster [12.8; 12.2; 14; 16] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [2.6; 0; 5.4; 0; 2; 0.2; 0.8; 2.2] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: students are oriented on experimentation, problem solving and discussion in groups, too concern with details and prefer concrete and practical information. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK: students are professionally oriented (mathematics, computer science, programming) (SI=2, PI=3, II=2).

Cluster 2 (the students with id15, id75, id87, id91, id21) has the center of cluster [7.25; 17.75; 13.5; 12.75] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [2.8; 1; 2.5; 0; 1.4; 0.8; 1; 0.8] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: the students are oriented on experimentation, problem solving and discussion in groups, too concern with details and prefer concrete and practical information. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK: students are professionally oriented (mathematics, computer science, programming) (SI=2, PI=3, II=2).
prefer to use proven methods, well-thought-out strategies, and then act. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK: the students are inclined to organizational activity (SI=2, PI=2, II=3).

Cluster 3 (the students with id58, id85, id33, id71) has the center of cluster [8.75; 17.5; 8.75; 10.25] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [4; 0; 0.75; 1.75; 1.5; 1; 6.5; 0] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: the students are oriented on data preprocessing, implementation of logically sequential steps and revalidation of data. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK: the students are oriented to creative activity (graphics, drawing, modeling) (SI=2, PI=2, II=1).

Cluster 4 (the students with id26, id34, id88) has the center of cluster [6.6; 18.6; 17.6; 16.3] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [2; 3; 0; 5; 6; 0; 9; 0; 2; 6; 5; 0] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: the students are oriented on the experiments, visual presentation of data, search for practical implementation of results, and see the whole thing. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK is missing because open profiles data is uninformative (SI=1, PI=1, II=1).

Cluster 5 (the students with id3, id92, id81, id51) has the center of cluster [12.5; 15.5; 15.75; 14.5] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [3.25; 0.25; 4.5; 0; 8.5; 0; 4.25; 0.75] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: the students are oriented on data preprocessing and structuring, making plots and search of regularities. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK is missing because open profiles data is uninformative (SI=2, PI=3, II=1).

Cluster 6 (the students with id47, id52, id62) has the center of cluster [6.3; 17; 11.6; 12] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [2; 0; 3; 6; 0; 7; 0; 5; 0] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: the students are oriented on consistent actions and visual data presentation. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK is missing because open profiles data is uninformative (SI=2, PI=3, II=2).

Cluster 7 (the students with id24, id90, id68, id93) has the center of cluster [14; 16; 12.75; 16.5] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [5; 0; 0.25; 1.75; 6.5; 0; 1.25; 1.75] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: the students are oriented on new ideas search, visual results presentation and look at the issue in the broader perspective. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK: the students prefer social and organizational activity (SI=3, PI=2, II=2).

Cluster 8 (the students with id10, id48, id54, id73) has the center of cluster [4.75; 14.25; 13.25; 11] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [0; 3.5; 0.25; 2.75; 0; 3; 0.75; 2.75] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: the students are oriented on creativity, acquiring of theoretical results, the reports writing and protection of the results. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK is missing because open profiles data is uninformative (SI=1, PI=2, II=1).

Cluster 9 (the students with id9, id79, id70) has the center of cluster [5; 16.3; 14.7; 16.3] by Honey and Mumford's learning styles and center of cluster [0; 7; 5; 0; 0; 1; 6; 2; 1] by ILS. The cluster description based on both learning styles: the students are oriented on learning of detailed data. The cluster description based on open profiles data from VK is missing because open profiles data is uninformative (SI=1, PI=2, II=1).

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We propose a methodology aimed at automatically student groups detection with the help of learning styles and clustering methods to extract student groups with similar preferred learning styles and interests. Based on our approach we could automatically form student groups only for 35 students from 61 students and we construct a description of clusters that can be used for creating of recommendation for the participation of students in various activities. The results of our research do not mean that there are no regularities for other students. It is necessary to use other principles and approaches to detect groups for such students. Besides, it is important to discuss with teachers and approve with students automatically forming groups.

In further research, we will plan to understand psychological compatibility based on learning styles in automatically detected student groups and investigate students' attitudes to collaborate in the proposed team.
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