Therapeutic Potential of Selected Medicinal Plant Extracts against Multi-Drug Resistant Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi
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1. Introduction

Enteric fever is the most prevalent bacterial infection in developing countries caused by Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi. Bacteria are transmitted by the intake of faecal contaminated water or food (Newell et al., 2010). Headaches, chills, high fever, nausea and malaise are the characterized sign and symptoms of typhoid (Cock, 2008). Annually 12.2 million cases (Murray et al., 2012) and 190,200 deaths are reported worldwide, mostly amongst the children between the age group of 2–5 years (Lozano et al., 2012). About 0.2 million deaths due to typhoid have been reported by WHO in 2014, most of them were reported from Asia and 10% in Africa that arise in the general populations are caused with 30% mortality rate, and if the condition remains untreated.
this rate may reach up to 90% (Mogasale et al., 2014; Obaro et al., 2017). Usually chloramphenicol, ampicillin, trimethoprim and sulfamethaxaole are the first line of therapy for the typhoid fever. As the cases of multi drug resistance (MDR) reported since 1970 and 1980, the efficiency of those drugs became uncertain (Klemm et al., 2018) alternatives were tested. At first, the second line of therapy regarding multi drug resistance fluoroquinolones was effective, but with passage of time resistance to flooroquinolones has also been reported (Raveendran et al., 2008). Contrary to synthetic antibiotics medicinal plants are important antimicrobial resources to combat multidrug resistance. Currently, more than 80% of world population uses medicinal plants as a source of treatment against different ailments (Riaz et al., 2021). Isolation and validation of vibrant antimicrobial components from medicinal plants permits the production of new medicines. Thus, it is beneficial to explore the medicinal properties of plants collected from different sources (Mujaddidi et al., 2021). Adhatoda vasica is commonly known as Malabar nut, exhibit medicinal properties against various pathogens and therefore used in Ayurvedic medicines since long time (Maurya and Singh, 2010; Kaur et al., 2012). It is commonly found in Malaysia, India, Himalayan region, Sri Lanka and Burma (Kaur et al., 2012). Major components of a plant i.e. vasicinol, vasicine, vasicinolone, vasicol and adhatonine are mainly present in Adhatoda vasica. Various pharmacological properties i.e. antibacterial, anti-malarial, anti-cancerous and anti-inflammatory are exhibited by Adhatoda vasica.

Amaranthus hybridus is commonly known as “pigweed”, having height of 1–6 feet. This plant has light green color, hairy and rough leaves, having small flower and pink or red color taproot (Mepha et al., 2007). Various solvent extracts of Amaranthus are used in Thai, Chinese and Indian medicines to cure various infections such as gynecological infections, diarrhea, UTI and respiratory tract infections. *A. hybridus* is also utilized to reduce pain and cure inflammation (Baral et al., 2011). All species of *Amaranthus* have various anti-inflammatory and antioxidant potentials. Saponins, alkaloids, terpenoids, phenolic acids, flavonoids, vitamins and amino acids have been evaluated from different parts of *Amaranthus* (Kumar et al., 2011; Nana et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2012).

*Aloe barbadensis* has juicy leaves and is a stem less plant having length of 60–100 cm. *Aloe barbadensis* has thick leaves which are green in color while a number of plants show white spots on the lower and upper surface of the stem (Tyler, 1993). Water content of *Aloe barbadensis* gel is 99.3% while the other 0.7% consists of mannose and glucose. Leaf of *Aloe barbadensis* acts as skin care products due to the presence of these sugars in combinations with amino acids and other enzymes (Agarry et al., 2005). Peptic ulcer and other gastrointestinal infections can be cured by using *Aloe barbadensis* gel (Thiruppathi et al, 2010; Johnson et al, 2011). Significant antibacterial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antifungal activities of *Aloe vera* gel are reported in various studies (Fani and Kohante, 2012; Nejat-zadeh-Barandozi, 2013; Baradaran et al, 2013; Ray et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2014; Vijayalakshmi et al., 2012; Sitara et al., 2011). Antitumor and anti-aging activity of the plant is also reported while it also has applications in treatment of cardiac disorders (Chatterjee et al, 2013). *Aloe vera* consists of almost 100 phytochemical components for that reason it plays a vital role in herbal medicines since time immemorial.

The typhoidal pathogen has become resistant to different generations of commercially available drugs. Also the role of selected medicinal plants against multi drug resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi is inadequate. So the current study was designed to evaluate the phytochemical profile of various solvent extracts from different parts of selected medicinal plants and to evaluate their antibacterial activities against the multidrug resistant typhoidal bacterium.

2. Material and methods

The study was designed to evaluate the phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of three different indigenous medicinal plants against multi drug resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi strains and its respective reference strain.

2.1. Plants collection

*Adhatoda vasica, Amaranthus hybridus* and *Aloe barbadensis* were selected for current study and collected from The University of Haripur and different localities of Haripur region, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan from July to September 2020. The selected plants are commonly available in District Haripur. The voucher specimen of each plant has been submitted to Department of Horticulture, The University of Haripur for future reference.

2.1.1. Pre-extraction of plant samples for Soxhlet’s extraction

For extraction, healthy and disease-free plants were collected and washed with normal tap water to remove dust and other impurities and then washed with distilled water to remove different microbes present on plants surface. The plants materials were shade dried by following the guideline used by Sasidharan et al. (2011) to avoid direct contact with heat and light to prevent denaturation of light sensitive constituents of plants. Then these plants were ground to fine powder, mixtures were made by mixing 50 g plants powder with 100 ml solvent (methanol, ethyl-acetate, hexane and chloroform respectively) and then added to Soxhlet’s Apparatus (Behr Labor- Technik.Germany-2013) as described by Ncube, (2008). The cyclic process was continued until final product was obtained. Drying of extracts were processed in freeze drier at temperature of −60 to −65 °C for 24 h.

2.2. Screening for qualitative analysis

2.2.1. Sample preparation

For sample preparation, 5 ml distilled water, 10 ml hydrogen chloride and 2 ml of plant extract were taken in a test tube and then filtered. These filtrates were further used for phytochemical screening.

2.2.2. Alkaloids detection

All the extracts were individually dissolved in HCl and mixture was filtered. Mayer’s test was used for alkaloid’s detection. Potassium mercuric iodide (1 ml) was added to 2 ml of individual extract and formation of yellow colored precipitate indicated the presence of alkaloids.

2.2.3. Carbohydrates detection

All the extracts were mixed with 5 ml distilled water and filtered. Aqueous extracts were further processed for carbohydrates detection by Molisch’s test. 2 ml extract was taken in test tube and 2–3 drops of alcoholic α-naphthol solution was added. Violet ring formation indicated the presence of carbohydrates.

2.2.4. Glycosides detection

Diluted hydrochloric acid was added to 2 ml of each extract and Bornträger’s test was used with slight modifications. Ferric chloride solution (2–3 drops) was added to 2 ml of individual extract and kept in water bath for 5 min. The solution was cooled and then benzene was added v/v to that extract. Layer of benzene was removed, and ammonia solution was added to the solution. Presence of glycoside was detected by the formation of rose-pink color in ammonical layer.
2.2.5. Saponin detection
Froth test was used for detection of saponins in plant extract for which 10 ml of distilled water was added to 2 ml of extract and shaken for 10–15 min. Presence of saponins was detected by formation of 1 cm foam layer.

2.2.6. Phytoesterol detection
Salkowski’s Test was performed for the detection of phytoesterol in plant extract. Chloroform (1 ml) was added to 2 ml extract and then filtered. 2 ml of conc. sulphuric acid was added to the filtrate and left to stand for few minutes and presence of phytoesterols was detected by the formation of golden yellow color.

2.2.7. Phenol detection
For phenol detection ferric chloride test was performed. Ferric chloride solution of 3–4 drops was added to 2 ml of extract. Presence of phenols was detected by the formation of bluish black color.

2.2.8. Tannins detection
In plant extract, presence of tannins was detected by using Gelatin test. Individual extract of about 2 ml were treated with 2 ml of 1% gelatin solution. Presences of tannins were detected by the formation of white precipitates.

2.2.9. Flavonoids detection
Alkaline reagent test was applied for flavonoid detection for which sodium hydroxide solution (2 ml) was added to 2 ml extract. Presence of flavonoids was detected by the appearance of intense yellow color.

2.2.10. Detection of proteins
Xanthoproteic test was performed, which is one of the common tests for the detection of total proteins in the plant extract. Nitric acid (2–3 drops) was added to extract in test tube and proteins were detected by the appearance of yellow color.

2.2.11. Detection of diterpenes
Copper acetate test was applied for detection of diterpenes. Copper acetate (2–3 drops) was added drop by drop to 2 ml of extract, presence of diterpenes was detected by appearance of green color (Obasi et al., 2010).

2.3. Quantitative analysis

2.3.1. Sample preparation for total phenolic and antioxidants detection
Folin-Ciocalteau reagent was used to detect total phenolic content. Extraction mixture was prepared by the ratio 90:8:2 i.e. 90 ml of methanol was mixed with 8 ml acetone and 2 ml hydrochloric acid. Then 2 ml of plant sample were mixed with 20 ml of digestive mixture. The sample was vortexed, centrifuged at 11000 rpm for 15 min and the supernatant was collected in Eppendorf tubes for further process for total phenols. The residues were dissolved in 5 ml distilled water in test tubes to make different concentration i.e. 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 µg/500 µl. FC reagent was introduced to each tube and left for about 3 min. Then 20% Na2CO3 (2 ml) was added to each tube and mixtures were mixed vigorously. The tubes were kept in water bath for about 1 min, cooled down and absorbance was measured at 765 nm wavelength. By taking different concentrations of gallic acid, standard curve was prepared. Absorbance of each sample was measured in triplicate and then final value was taken in µg of gallic acid equivalent (Srinivasan and Kumaravel, 2015).

2.3.2. Antioxidants detection
Different concentrations of extracts i.e. 50, 100, 150 µg/ml were used for antioxidants detection. DPPH (1, 1-diphenyl 1–2-picylhydrazyl) 0.004%, was prepared in 80% methanol solution. 5 ml of DPPH solution was added to each tube and incubated for 30 min and absorbance was measured at 517 nm wavelength using spectrophotometer (UV/VIS T80+). The total scavenging activity was measured by the ratio of absorption of the sample to the control (DPPH 0.1 mM was taken as control).
Absorbance of antioxidant was calculated as following,
Radical scavenging activity (%) = (Control – Sample) / Control × 100.

2.3.3. Total alkaloid content
Plant extract of 1 g was mixed with 20% H2SO4 and 20 ml ethanol by ratio of 1:1. Mixture was filtered and 1 ml of filtrate was mixed with 60% H2SO4 (5 ml). Mixture was left for 5 min and after that 5 ml of 0.5% formaldehyde was added to the mixture and left for 3 h. The absorbance was measured at 565 nm (Ekwueme et al., 2015).

2.3.4. Total flavonoid
Total flavonoid content was determined by Kim et al. (2003). Plant extract (1 g) was taken in a tube and 4 ml of distilled water was added to it. Aluminum chloride solution (10%) i.e. 0.3 ml was added to it. For 5 min tubes were incubated at 27 °C and 2 ml of NaOH was introduced to the test tubes and then about 1–2 ml of distilled water was added to the mixture and the tubes were vortexed. Absorbance was measured at 725 nm by the appearance of pink color. All the values were taken in triplicate.

2.3.5. Total tannins content
By following Folin and Ciocalteu (FC) method tannins were quantified. Plant extract of 0.5 ml was mixed with 3.75 ml distilled water, 0.5 ml of 35% sodium carbonate solution and 0.25 ml FC reagent was introduced to it, and the absorbance was measured at 725 nm (Puneetha et al., 2014).

2.3.6. Samples preparation for enzymes detection
Plant extracts of 1 g/ml were mixed with 2 ml phosphate buffer (pH 7–7.8). Mixture was vortexed and then centrifuged for 3 min at 11000 rpm, supernatant was collected in Eppendorf tubes for further enzymes quantification.

2.3.7. Peroxidase (POX)
Phosphate Buffer (pH 5) 100 µl, 100 µl H2O2 (40 Mm) and 100 µl of guaicol was added to 100 µl of reaction mixture (prepared from methanol, acetone and HCl by the ratio of 80:9:2). Then 100 µl of prepared sample i.e. enzyme extract was introduced to the mixture. Absorbance was measured at wavelength of 470 nm. Absorbance of each sample was measured in triplicate and then final value calculated using formula,

\[ \mu g/gram = (Control – Sample) / Control \times 100 \]

2.3.8. Superoxidase dismutase (SOD)
Phosphate buffer 500 µl, methionine 200 µl, Triton X 200 µl and nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) 100 µl was added to 100 µl enzyme extract. 800 µl distilled water was added to the mixture. The mixture was kept in UV light for about 15 min and then 100 µl riboflavin was added. Absorbance was taken at the wavelength of 560 nm at spectrophotometer. Absorbance of each sample was measured in triplicate and then final value was calculated using formula,

\[ \mu g/gram = (Control – Sample) / Control \times 100 \]
2.3.9. Catalase (CAT)

Enzyme extract of 100 μl by the method mention above was mixed with 100 μl H₂O₂. Absorbance was measured at 240 nm wavelength. Absorbance of each sample was measured in triplicate and then final value was taken as follows,

\[ \mu g / gram = \frac{(Control - Sample)}{Control} \times 100 \]

2.4. Preparation of culture media for Salmonella enterica serovar typhi

Salmonella-Shigella agar (63 g/1000 ml) is a selective medium used for isolation and revival of Salmonella culture. Medium was autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 min and poured into petri disposable Petri plates.

2.5. Preparation of Muller Hinton agar (MHA)

Muller Hinton Agar is commonly used for antibiotics sensitivity testing (38 g/l). Medium was autoclaved for 20 min at 121 °C, poured into petri plates and allowed to solidify.

2.6. Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial activity of selected plants was detected through agar well diffusion method. Clinical isolate of MDR Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi (SS1) was obtained from Pathology lab of District Head Quarter Hospital Haripur. This bacterium was resistant to ampicillin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, streptomycin and erythromycin. This strain was used as reference strain. Ertapenem was used as control and the strain was sensitive to ertapenem. Clinical isolate was spread on the surface of the Mueller Hinton agar plate. With the help of sterilized cork borer about 6–8 mm bores were made. Then different volumes of plants extracts i.e. 25 μl, 50 μl and 75 μl were introduced into the wells and Petri-plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. After 24 h, zones of inhibition of each extract was measured in millimeter (Valgas et al., 2008).

2.7. Determination of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)

Minimum inhibition concentration of plants extracts was determined by using sterilized 96-well plates (Wiegand et al., 2008). To each well of 12 rows of plates, 125 μl sterilized nutrient broth was introduced. After that an extra 125 μl mixture of plant extract and nutrient broth was introduced from well 2 to 12 by making serial dilution of 40 mg/ml to 0.078 mg/ml. After that 5 μl of Salmonella typhi culture was introduced to each well from row 3 to 12, whereas row 1 was considered as negative control and row 2 was considered as positive control. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Absorbance was measured at wavelength of 600 nm. Each value was taken in triplicate and minimum inhibition concentration of plant extract was calculated (Nisa et al., 2020).

2.8. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GCMS)

Plant extracts exhibiting promising antibacterial activity were selected for GC-MS profiling. Plant samples with good MIC value were further analyzed for quantitative phytochemical analysis using “Thermo Scientific (DSQII) GC”. The GC was equipped with a TR-5MS capillary column of length 30 M, Fill Thickness 0.25 μm and Internal Diameter of 0.25 mm. The carrier gas Helium (He) was used with flow rate of 1 ml/min. The injector was operated in split mode with temperature of 250 °C.

The sample volume 1 μl was injected with initial Oven temperature of 50 °C and held for 2 min, then increased to 150 °C with the temp rate of 8 °C/min and further increased to 300 °C with temperature rate of 15 °C/min and hold for 5 min.

2.9. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis tool of MS-excel 2016 was used for the authentications of triplicate values of inhibition zones diameter and concentration values. Each experimental value was expressed in means and standard deviation (SD) was also calculated.

3. Results

3.1. Qualitative analysis of phytochemicals

The presence of alkaloids, phenols, diterpene, carbohydrate, proteins, phytosterol, tannins, flavonoids, glycosides, saponins in the leaf, seed stem and roots of Amaranthus hybridus was detected in various solvents (Fig. 1). The highest amount of carbohydrate was detected in leaf portion in solvent hexane, phytosterols and saponins were also detected in maximum amount in the leaf of Amaranthus hybridus. Alkaloids, tannins and saponins were not detected in Amaranthus hybridus (Table 1). Very high content of alkaid was detected in the leaf and stem extract of Adhatoda vasica in different solvents (Table 2). Diterpenes, carbohydrates, tannins and saponins were also detected in the highest amount in the foliar part of Adhatoda vasica. All other bioactive components were present in moderate amount, while phenol and glycosides were totally absent in the root part of selected plant. Bioactive components in leaf and root extract of Aloe barbadensis were detected in various solvents (Table 3). The highest amount of carbohydrates, proteins, phytosterols and saponins were detected in the foliar part of Aloe barbadensis. No trace of diterpenes was detected in roots while all other components were present in moderate amount (Fig 2).

3.2. Quantitative analysis of phytochemicals

3.2.1. Total alkaloid content determination

Leaf of Adhatoda vasica shows higher alkaloids content i.e. 9741.6 mg/100 g, which is followed by flower having
2816.8 mg/100 g. The leaf of *Amaranthus hybridus* had higher alkaloids content 5961.77 mg/100 g, while the alkaloids content of *Aloe barbadensis* leaf and roots was 1184.3 mg/100 g and 933.39 mg/100 g respectively (Table 6).

### 3.2.2. Total flavonoid determination

The total flavonoid content in the leaf of *Adhatoda vasica* was 3092 mg/100 g which was higher as compared to the other parts of the plant. The total flavonoid content of stem, flower and roots was 663.12 mg/100 g, 1056.5 mg/100 g and 581.05 mg/100 g. The leaf of *Amaranthus hybridus* had 3906.3 mg/100 g total flavonoid content, while the stems, seeds and roots of the plant harboured 1092.5 mg/100 g, 1379.9 mg/100 g and 581.05 mg/100 g flavonoids. The total flavonoids content of leaf of *Aloe barbadensis* was 3593.1958 mg/100 g which was much higher than the roots flavonoids content i.e. 762.7758 mg/100 g (Table 6).

### 3.2.3. Total tannins determination

Foliar part of *Adhatoda vasica* showed higher tannins content i.e., 928.7 mg/100 g, which is followed by flower having 883.54 mg/100 g. The leaves of *Amaranthus hybridus* has higher tannins content 6344.03 mg/100 g, while the tannins content of *Aloe barbadensis* leaves and roots is 1499.73 mg/100 g and 787.94 mg/100 g sown in Table 6.

### 3.2.4. Total phenolic compounds (TPC)

Higher phenolic content was measured in the *Amaranthus hybridus*, *Aloe barbadensis* and *Adhatoda vasica* leaves, flowers of *Adhatoda vasica*, seeds of *Amaranthus hybridus* and roots of *Aloe barbadensis* (Table 4).

### 3.3. Antioxidants

Percentage free radical scavenging activity was determined to detect antioxidant activity. Maximum activity was observed in foliar parts of *Amaranthus hybridus* followed by *Adhatoda vasica* and *Aloe barbadensis* with 57.75 and 55.478% radical scavenging potential. *Adhatoda vasica* flower extract exhibited 47.34 activity followed by seeds of *Amaranthus hybridus* where 45.75% inhibition of DPPH scavenging activity was noted (Table 4).

### 3.3.1. Superoxide dismutase (SOD)

Leaf of *Adhatoda vasica* had 2523.06 μg/g SODs content, while in leaf of *Amaranthus hybridus* 5505 μg/g and was in leaves of *Aloe barbadensis* 4975.83 μg/g amount of SOD was measured (Table 5).

### 3.3.2. Catalase (CAT)

The Catalase content of *Adhatoda vasica* and *Amaranthus hybridus* leaves was 631.7 μg/g while *Aloe barbadensis* leaves had 643.9 μg/g catalase content. The seeds of *Amaranthus hybridus* harboured 631.7 μg/g catalase content (Table 5).

---

**Table 1**

Bioactive components of *Amaranthus hybridus* extract in various solvents.

| Bioactive components | Part plant | Methanol | Chloroform | Ethyl-acetate | Hexane |
|---------------------|------------|----------|------------|--------------|--------|
| Alkaloid            | Leaf       | ++       |           |              | ++     |
|                     | Seed       | ++       | *          |              | ++     |
|                     | Stem       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Root       | –         | –          |              | –      |
| Phenols             | Leaf       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Seed       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Stem       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Root       | –         | –          |              | –      |
| Diterpenes          | Leaf       | *         |            |              | *      |
|                     | Seed       | –         | –          |              | –      |
|                     | Stem       | –         | –          |              | –      |
|                     | Root       | ++       |            |              | *      |
| Carbohydrates       | Leaf       | ++       | ++         |              | +++    |
|                     | Seed       | ++       | ++         |              | +++    |
|                     | Stem       | ++       | ++         |              | +++    |
|                     | Root       | ++       | ++         |              | +++    |
| Proteins            | Leaf       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Seed       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Stem       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Root       | –         | –          |              | –      |
| Phytosterols        | Leaf       | +++*     |            |              | +++*   |
|                     | Seed       | *         |            |              | *      |
|                     | Stem       | *         |            |              | *      |
|                     | Root       | –         |            |              | –      |
| Tannins             | Leaf       | –         |            |              | –      |
|                     | Seed       | –         |            |              | –      |
|                     | Stem       | –         |            |              | –      |
|                     | Root       | –         |            |              | –      |
| Flavonoids          | Leaf       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Seed       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Stem       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Root       | –         | –          |              | –      |
| Glycosides          | Leaf       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Seed       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Stem       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Root       | –         | –          |              | –      |
| Saponins            | Leaf       | +++*     |            |              | +++*   |
|                     | Seed       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Stem       | ++       | ++         |              | ++     |
|                     | Root       | –         | –          |              | –      |

* Represent highest amount of bioactive components.
Table 2
Bioactive components of *Adhatoda vasica* in various solvents.

| Bioactive components | Plant part | Methanol | Chloroform | Ethyl-acetate | Hexane |
|----------------------|------------|----------|------------|--------------|--------|
| Alkaloid              | Leaf       | +++*     | +++*       | +++*         | ++     |
|                      | Stem       | ++        | ++         | ***          | ++     |
|                      | Flower     | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Root       |            |            |              | –      |
| Phenols              | Leaf       | ++        | ++         | ++           | +      |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
| Diterpenes           | Leaf       | +++*      | **         | ***          | ++     |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
| Carbohydrates        | Leaf       | **        | +++*       | ++           | *      |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
| Proteins             | Leaf       | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
| Phytosterols         | Leaf       | ++        | **         | **           | **     |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
| Tannins              | Leaf       | +++*      | **         | ***          | ++     |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
| Flavonoids           | Leaf       | ++        | **         | *            | *      |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
| Glycosides           | Leaf       | ++        | **         | *            | *      |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
| Saponins             | Leaf       | +++*      | **         | ***          | +++*   |
|                      | Stem       | *         | *          | *            | *      |
|                      | Flower     | **        | **         | **           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *         | *          | *            | *      |

* Represent highest amount of bioactive components.

---

Table 3
Bioactive components in leaf and root extract of *Aloe barbadensis* in various solvents.

| Bioactive components | Plant part | Methanol | Chloroform | Ethyl-acetate | Hexane |
|----------------------|------------|----------|------------|--------------|--------|
| Alkaloid             | Leaf       | ++       | ++         | ++           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *        | *          | *            | *      |
| Phenols              | Leaf       | ++       | ++         | ++           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | *        | *          | *            | *      |
| Diterpenes           | Leaf       | ++       | ++         | ++           | ++     |
|                      | Root       |            |            |              | –      |
| Carbohydrates        | Leaf       | +++*     | **         | ***          | ++     |
|                      | Root       | **       | **         | **           | ++     |
| Proteins             | Leaf       | +++*     | **         | ++           | ++     |
|                      | Root       | **       | **         | **           | ++     |
| Phytosterols         | Leaf       | +++*     | **         | ***          | ++     |
|                      | Root       | **       | **         | **           | ++     |
| Tannins              | Leaf       | ++       | **         | *            | *      |
|                      | Root       | *        | *          | *            | *      |
| Flavonoids           | Leaf       | ++       | **         | *            | *      |
|                      | Root       | *        | *          | *            | *      |
| Glycosides           | Leaf       | ++       | **         | *            | *      |
|                      | Root       | *        | *          | *            | *      |
| Saponins             | Leaf       | +++*     | **         | ***          | ++     |
|                      | Root       | **       | **         | **           | ++     |

* Represent highest amount of bioactive components.
of inhibition have been detected against Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi. Different species of Amaranthus have shown diverse antimicrobial activities. Amaranthus viridis chloroform foliar extracts exhibited activity against various microbes in a study conducted by Islam et al. (2010). E. coli showed greater sensitivity to alcoholic roots extracts of Amaranthus hybridus, while the same species root extracts in ethyl-acetates proved to be effective against Staphylococcus aureus (Dahiya et al., 2010). Wide range of antimicrobial activity of different species of Amaranthus i.e. Amaranthus caudatus, Amaranthus hybridus and A. spinosus foliar extracts in various solvents has been observed (Ahmed et al., 2013). Numerous microbes seem to be sensitive to leaf extracts of Amaranthus hybridus and this activity varies among various species at different concentrations. Leaf extracts of Amaranthus hybridus were found effective against S. typii, E. coli, and P. aeruginosae having MIC range from 200 to 755 mg/ml (Maiyo et al., 2010). Bioactivity of medicinal plants can be determined by the presence of different phytochemicals. Tannin, saponin, alkaloid, phenol, glycoside and flavonoids were detected in the leaf extract of Aloe barbadensis with 1767.04 μg/g (Table 5).

3.4. Antibacterial activity of selected plants extract

Higher antibacterial potential was exhibited by Adhatoda vasica and Amaranthus hybridus leafy part extracts with 8 mm and 10.5 mm zones of inhibition against S. enterica serovar Typhi. Methanolic extract of Aloe barbadensis leaves showed 4.5 mm inhibition zone against the same pathogen (Table 7). Maximum zones of inhibition have been detected against Salmonella typhi by leaf extract of Aloe barbadensis, Amaranthus hybridus and Adhatoda vasica (Table 8).

### Table 4
Total phenolic and Antioxidants determination in Amaranthus hybridus, Adhatoda vasica and Aloe barbadensis.

| Plant extract | Part of plant | Total phenolic content TPC (mg/100 g) | % inhibition of DPPH |
|---------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Adhatoda      | Leaf          | 22.41                                  | 57.75                 |
| vasica        | Flower        | 18.32                                  | 47.34                 |
|               | Stem          | 14.68                                  | 45.752                |
|               | Root          | 15.41                                  | 35.655                |
| Amaranthus    | Leaf          | 36.50                                  | 55.47                 |
| hybridus      | Stem          | 15.05                                  | 44.186                |
|               | Seed          | 7.41                                   | 45.752                |
|               | Root          | 11.86                                  | 35.655                |
| Aloe          | Leaf          | 39.23                                  | 55.47                 |
| barbadensis   | Root          | 34.68                                  | 44.186                |

3.3.3. Peroxidase (POX)

Adhatoda vasica leaves and flowers had 1217.5 μg/g peroxidase content while Amaranthus hybridus leaves were having 2067.54 μg/g peroxidase followed by leaves of Aloe barbadensis with 1767.04 μg/g (Table 5).

3.6. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GCMS)

The GC-MS analysis of Amaranthus hybridus, Adhatoda vasica and Aloe barbadensis revealed the existence of bioactive compounds. The identified chemical profile of Amaranthus hybridus indicated 41 compounds (Table 9). The GC-MS results indicated 57 different compounds of Adhatoda vasica (Table 10). Bioactive compounds identified from the hexane extract of Aloe barbadensis leaf contained 17 active compounds (Table 11). The bioactive compounds identification was established on the basis of the peak area, and retention time (Table 9–11).

4. Discussion

Plants have been used as a rich source of active compounds and preferred for the therapeutic purpose against number of diseases (Binish et al., 2021). Current study revealed some promising results of antibacterial activity of the selected plants against MDR typhoidal pathogen. Different species of Amaranthus have shown diverse antimicrobial activities. Amaranthus viridis chloroform foliar extracts exhibited activity against various microbes in a study conducted by Islam et al. (2010). E. coli showed greater sensitivity to alcoholic roots extracts of Amaranthus hybridus, while the same species root extracts in ethyl-acetates proved to be effective against Staphylococcus aureus (Dahiya et al., 2010). Wide range of antimicrobial activity of different species of Amaranthus i.e. Amaranthus caudatus, Amaranthus hybridus and A. spinosus foliar extracts in various solvents has been observed (Ahmed et al., 2013). Numerous microbes seem to be sensitive to leaf extracts of Amaranthus hybridus and this activity varies among various species at different concentrations. Leaf extracts of Amaranthus hybridus were found effective against S. typii, E. coli, and P. aeruginosae having MIC range from 200 to 755 mg/ml (Maiyo et al., 2010). Bioactivity of medicinal plants can be determined by the presence of different phytochemicals. Tannin, saponin, alkaloid, phenol, glycoside and flavonoids were detected in the leaf extract of Aloe barbadensis in a study conducted by (Ikpe, 2017) which is similar to our findings of various phytochemicals i.e. phenols, saponin, tannin, alkaloid, carbohydrate, glycosides and protein are present in different solvent extracts of Aloe Barbadensis. A study conducted...
potential (Subramaniam et al., 2015). Respiratory disorders i.e., considered to be sensitive to since long time using cough, asthma, bronchitis and cold have been treated by the people (Maurya and Singh, 2010). Different food borne pathogens are containing anti-microbial properties and also anti-spasmodic activity including anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, anti-jaundice, vasica (Zabta et al., 2009). There are numerous biologically active vasica shows strong antibacterial activity against various types of flavonoids and alkaloids contents. 

A variety of organic actions have been acquired by Adhatoda vasica including anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, anti-jaundice, anti-microbial properties and also anti-spasmodic activity (Maurya and Singh, 2010). Different food borne pathogens are considered to be sensitive to Adhatoda vasica due to its antimicrobial potential (Subramaniam et al., 2015). Respiratory disorders i.e., cough, asthma, bronchitis and cold have been treated by the people since long time using Adhatoda vasica (Kaur et al., 2012). Adhatoda vasica shows strong antibacterial activity against various types of bacteria (Zabta et al., 2009). There are numerous biologically active constituents present in Adhatoda vasica that exhibited antibacterial activities. These components includes sterols, alkaloids, saponins, flavonoids and tannins which possess bactericidal potential against Salmonella typhi (Choudhury et al., 2013). Kumar et al., (2013) in his work stated that Salmonella typhi is more sensitive to methanol extract of Adhatoda vasica (Kumar et al., 2013).

| Name of plant | Part of plant | Total alkaloids | Total tannins | Total flavonoids |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Adhatoda vasica | Leaves | 1184.3 | 3593.19 | |
| | Stem | 1492.5 | 813.01 | |
| | Flower | 2816.5 | 883.54 | |
| | Roots | 537.5 | 497.26 | |
| Amaranthus hybridus | Leaf | 8741.6 | 928.7 | 3092 |
| | Stem | 722.5 | 853.71 | 663.12 |
| | Flower | 2816.5 | 883.54 | 1056.5 |
| | Roots | 537.5 | 497.26 | 581.05 |
| Aloe barbadensis | Leaf | 1184.3 | 1499.73 | 3593.19 |
| | Roots | 933.39 | 787.94 | 762.77 |

Table 6
Total flavonoids, tannins and alkaloid contents of Adhatoda vasica, Amaranthus hybridus and Aloe barbadensis in mg/100 g.

Table 7
Zone of inhibition of MDR strain of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi against Amaranthus hybridus, Adhatoda vasica and Aloe barbadensis extracts measured in mm.

Table 8
Measurement of Minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) values in%
Bioactive compounds identified from the methanol extract of Adhatoda vasica is 1184.3 mg/100 g, which is parallel to the methanol and hexane that is 89.28 ± 0.09 mg/g and 105.25 ± 1.05 mg/g. Another study conducted by Nana et al., (2012) showed phenolic content of 55–10.18 mg GAE/100 mg in leaf of Aloe barbadensis. Our findings also manifest higher content of Superoxide dismutase (SOD) in the leaf extract of Adhatoda vasica as compared to the methanol and hexane that is 89.28 ± 0.09 mg/g and 105.25 ± 1.05 mg/g. Another study conducted by Nana et al., (2012) showed phenolic content of 55–10.18 mg GAE/100 mg in leaf of Aloe barbadensis.

Determinations of alkaloids contents in polar solvent i.e. methanol is higher in the leaf of Adhatoda vasica 9741.6 mg/g which is parallel to the results of another study showing stronger alkaloids contents in the polar solvents from the leaf of Adhatoda vasica 14.52 ± 0.26 mg/g (Klejdus et al., 2004). Total alkaloid content of leaves from the leaf of Adhatoda vasica is 1184.3 mg/100 g, which is parallel to a study conducted by Iqbal and Ahmed (2021) as their study also shows higher content of alkaloid i.e. 1483.6 mg/g – 1670 mg/g in the leaf extract of Adhatoda vasica. Total flavonoid content of Aloe barbadensis leaf as determined by Iqbal and Ahmed (2021) varies from 0.53 mg/g – 776.7 mg/g which are in contrast to our findings i.e 3593.19 mg/100 g. Total flavonoid content from leaf extract of Adhatoda vasica was 1550 mg QE/g in a study conducted by Kokati et al., (2013) while our study indicated total flavonoid content of leaf extract of Adhatoda vasica as 3092 mg/100 g.

The maximum DPPH radical scavenging activity of the methanolic leaf extracts of Adhatoda vasica was determined at a concentration of 200 μmol/l (Rachana et al., 2015). Our findings also indicated higher DPPH radical scavenging activity in polar solvent. Higher DPPH radical scavenging activity (105.33 μmol/l) was detected in methanolic extract of Adhatoda vasica as compared to the methanol and hexane that is 89.28 ± 0.09 mg/g and 105.25 ± 1.05 mg/g. Another study conducted by Nana et al., (2012) showed phenolic content of 55–10.18 mg GAE/100 mg in leaf of Aloe barbadensis. The maximum DPPH radical scavenging activity of the methanolic leaf extracts of Adhatoda vasica was determined at a concentration of 200 μmol/l (Rachana et al., 2015). Our findings also indicated higher DPPH radical scavenging activity in polar solvent. Higher DPPH radical scavenging activity (105.33 μmol/l) was detected in methanolic extract of Adhatoda vasica as compared to the methanol and hexane that is 89.28 ± 0.09 mg/g and 105.25 ± 1.05 mg/g. Another study conducted by Nana et al., (2012) showed phenolic content of 55–10.18 mg GAE/100 mg in leaf of Aloe barbadensis.

Medicinal plants possess different concentration of various enzymes having antimicrobial role (Brand, 2012). Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is considered as the plant defense enzyme as it plays anti-oxidative role in treating different plant diseases such as atherosclerosis and various other life threatening malfunctions. Higher concentration of Superoxide dismutase (SOD) have been reported in a study conducted by Brand (2012) and Brinda et al., (2013). Ahmed et al., (2018) also reported higher SOD content in the leaf extract of Adhatoda vasica. Our findings also manifest higher content of Superoxide dismutase (SOD) in the leaf extract of Adhatoda vasica. Our findings also manifest higher content of Superoxide dismutase (SOD) in the leaf extract of Adhatoda vasica.
| S.No | Bioactive compounds identified from the methanol extract of Adhatoda vasica leaf. |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.   | Sarroside, Cyclopropanedodecanoic acid, 2-octyl-, methyl ester                  |
| 2.   | Butanoic acid, 4-hydroxy                                                        |
| 3.   | 1-Heptatriacotanol                                                              |
| 4.   | 2-Methyl-4-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-e-ol)                                     |
| 5.   | Ingol 12-acetate                                                                |
| 6.   | Propionic acid                                                                  |
| 7.   | 9,10-Secocholesta-5,7,10(19)-triene-1,3-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol   |
| 8.   | N-(2-Methylbutyl)[2,4E,8Z,10E]- dodecatetraenamide                              |
| 9.   | 7,10-Epoxy-6H-azepino[1,2-e]purine-8,9-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol    |
| 10.  | 9,10-Secocholesta-5,7,10(19)-triene-1,3-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol   |
| 11.  | Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester                      |
| 12.  | n-Hexadecanoic acid                                                             |
| 13.  | 1-Propyl-3,6-diazahomoadamantan-9-ol                                             |
| 14.  | Phytoh, 2-Methyl-4-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-e-ol)                              |
| 15.  | Ingol 12-acetate                                                                |
| 16.  | 9,10-Secocholesta-5,7,10(19)-triene-1,3-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol   |
| 17.  | N-(2-Methylbutyl)[2,4E,8Z,10E]- dodecatetraenamide                              |
| 18.  | 7,10-Epoxy-6H-azepino[1,2-e]purine-8,9-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol    |
| 19.  | Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester                      |
| 20.  | n-Hexadecanoic acid                                                             |
| 21.  | 1-Propyl-3,6-diazahomoadamantan-9-ol                                             |
| 22.  | Phytoh, 2-Methyl-4-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-e-ol)                              |
| 23.  | Ingol 12-acetate                                                                |
| 24.  | 9,10-Secocholesta-5,7,10(19)-triene-1,3-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol   |
| 25.  | N-(2-Methylbutyl)[2,4E,8Z,10E]- dodecatetraenamide                              |
| 26.  | 7,10-Epoxy-6H-azepino[1,2-e]purine-8,9-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol    |
| 27.  | Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester                      |
| 28.  | n-Hexadecanoic acid                                                             |
| 29.  | 1-Propyl-3,6-diazahomoadamantan-9-ol                                             |
| 30.  | Phytoh, 2-Methyl-4-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-e-ol)                              |
| 31.  | Ingol 12-acetate                                                                |
| 32.  | 9,10-Secocholesta-5,7,10(19)-triene-1,3-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol   |
| 33.  | N-(2-Methylbutyl)[2,4E,8Z,10E]- dodecatetraenamide                              |
| 34.  | 7,10-Epoxy-6H-azepino[1,2-e]purine-8,9-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol    |
| 35.  | Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester                      |
| 36.  | n-Hexadecanoic acid                                                             |
| 37.  | 1-Propyl-3,6-diazahomoadamantan-9-ol                                             |
| 38.  | Phytoh, 2-Methyl-4-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-e-ol)                              |
| 39.  | Ingol 12-acetate                                                                |
| 40.  | 9,10-Secocholesta-5,7,10(19)-triene-1,3-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol   |
| 41.  | N-(2-Methylbutyl)[2,4E,8Z,10E]- dodecatetraenamide                              |
| 42.  | 7,10-Epoxy-6H-azepino[1,2-e]purine-8,9-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol    |
| 43.  | Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester                      |
| 44.  | n-Hexadecanoic acid                                                             |
| 45.  | 1-Propyl-3,6-diazahomoadamantan-9-ol                                             |
| 46.  | Phytoh, 2-Methyl-4-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-e-ol)                              |
| 47.  | Ingol 12-acetate                                                                |
| 48.  | 9,10-Secocholesta-5,7,10(19)-triene-1,3-diol, (3α,5Z,7E)-2-methoxymethoxynol   |
| S.No | Compounds Name                                                                 | Formula          | Peak Area   | Peak Height | RT  |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| 49.  | -dihydroxypropyl ester, (8)                                                            | C_{29}H_{34}O_{2} | 44169437.34 | 2259393.52 | 3.30|
| 50.  | Cholestan-3-ol, 2-methylene-, (3\alpha,5\alpha)-                                         | C_{29}H_{48}O     | 16145255.46 | 861081.47  | 3.81|
| 51.  | 3,3\alpha-Epoxydicyclopenta[a,d]cyclooctan-4\alpha-ol, 9,10\alpha-dimethyl-6-methylene | C_{20}H_{32}O_{2} | 10420422.96 | 687228.04  | 4.30|
| 52.  | 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, 2,3-bis[trimethylsilyl]oxy]propyl ester,                | C_{37}H_{52}O_{2}Si_{2} | 5361621.25 | 221080.45  | 4.75|
| 53.  | Cholestan-3-one, cyclic 1,2-ethanediyl aetal, (5\alpha)-                               | C_{29}H_{50}O_{2} | 5288845.30  | 517531.76  | 5.73|
| 54.  | Stigmasterol                                                                          | C_{29}H_{48}O     | 22339759.39 | 1057363.41 | 6.26|
| 55.  | Acetic acid,17-acetoxy-4,4,10,13-tetramethyl-7-oxo-2,3,4,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-tetradecahydro-1H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-3-yl [ester] | C_{28}H_{48}O_{2} | 512237.92   | 73780.06   | 6.96|
| 56.  | Methyl 3\alpha-hydroxyolean-18-en-28-oate                                             | C_{29}H_{48}O_{2} | 3537093.97  | 88089.79   | 8.05|
| 57.  | Prosta-5,13-dien-1-oic acid,                                                           | C_{29}H_{52}O_{2}Si_{4} | 394114.81  | 332475.21  | 9.26|
Table 11
Bioactive compounds identified from the hexane extract of Aloe barbadensis leaf.

| S.No | Compounds Name                        | Formula     | RT (min) | Peak Area | Peak Height |
|------|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| 1.   | Trichloromethane                      | CHCl₃       | 0.71     | 275763672.89 | 29867826.65 |
| 2.   | Dimethylsulfoxoniumformymethylide     | C₂H₆O₂S     | 4.71     | 167352.24 | 23567.82    |
| 3.   | Fucoidin                              | C₃H₅O₂      | 6.44     | 491143.24 | 24916.05    |
| 4.   | Dimethyl Sulfoxide                    | C₂H₆O₂       | 8.80     | 303238.71 | 26415.07    |
| 5.   | Pregn-4-ene-3,20-dione,11,17,21-tris[(trimethyl-silyloxy)-bis-(O-methylxime)], (11α) | | | |
| 6.   | 4,25 Secobocurinarivin-4-one, O-acetyl-22-ethyl-15,16-dimethoxy-, (22α) | C₂₃H₂₅N₂O₪Si₂ | 11.35 | 162316.00 | 16799.85 |
| 7.   | 4,25 Secobocurinarivin-4-one, O-acetyl-22-ethyl-15,16-dimethoxy-, (22α) | C₂₃H₂₅N₂O₪Si₂ | 13.93 | 861918.04 | 33879.41 |
| 8.   | Stachyran, 1-acetyl-20α-hydroxy-16-methylene | C₂₀H₂₆N₂O₣ | 16.77 | 542567.25 | 21726.87 |
| 9.   | Glycine, N-[3(3,5,7,12,24-oxo-3,7,12-tris[(trimethylsiloxy)-cho lan-24-yl]-methyl | C₂₀H₂₂N₂O₣ | 22.96 | 144669.62 | 18424.10 |
| 10.  | Octasloxanone, 1,1,3,3,5,5,7,7,9,9,11,11,13,15-hexadecylmethyl | C₂₂H₂₆O₂ | 25.41 | 52093221.24 | 1402009.46 |
| 11.  | Hexasloxanone, 1,1,3,3,5,5,7,7,9,9,11,11-dodecamethyl | C₂₂H₂₆O₄ | 26.27 | 227024.26 | 30927.35 |
| 12.  | Octasloxanone,1,1,3,3,5,5,7,7,9,9,11,13,15-hexadecylmethyl | C₂₂H₂₆O₄ | 27.09 | 1351954.70 | 40345.25 |
| 13.  | Propanoic acid, 2-(3-acetoxy4,4,14-trimethyl-20α,21-dihydroxy-17β-yl) | C₁₀H₁₆O₄ | 27.82 | 668153.09 | 52079.34 |
| 14.  | Acetamide, N-[5-(diethylamino)-2-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)azo]-4-methoxyphenyl | C₁₉H₂₂N₆O₆ | 28.20 | 914441.12 | 60060.98 |
| 15.  | Octasloxanone, 1,1,3,3,5,5,7,7,9,9,11,13,15-hexadecamethyl | C₂₂H₂₆O₄ | 28.49 | 1247909.33 | 68278.31 |
| 16.  | Octasloxanone,1,1,3,3,5,5,7,7,9,9,11,13,13,15,15-hexadecamethyl | C₂₂H₂₆O₄ | 29.47 | 770753.60 | 34350.94 |

parallel to our study outcomes. Peroxidase (POX) have been reported in higher content in leaf of Adhatoda vasica by Ahmed et al. (2018) and similar manifestations have been revealed in the current study.

Ramachandra et al. (2012) investigated the antibacterial activity of Adhatoda vasica against Salmonella typhi and found 17.50 mm, 13.16 mm and 11.50 mm zone of inhibition in methanol, hexane and chloroform extract respectively. Here, we also found methanolic extract more effective against S. enterica serovar Typhi. The trend of zone of inhibition was methanol > hexane > chloroform > ethyl-acetate. A study conducted by Lawrence et al. (2009) revealed that Salmonella typhi showed various ranges of sensitivity against different solvent extract of Aloe barbadensis leaf and the maximum zone of inhibition was 9.66 mm in methanol. Methanolic extract of Aloe barbadensis leaf did not indicate promising results in our study. The difference may be attributed to different clinical isolate of S. typhi involved in both studies. Different concentrations of Amaranthus hybridus leaf extract against Salmonella typhi showed variable inhibition zones i.e. methanol extract (17.5 ± 2.0 mm at 100 μl/l), hexane extract (15.0 ± 1.4 mm at 50 μl/l), ethyl acetate (11.0 ± 1.7 mm at 100 μl/l) and 9.0 ± 1.4 mm at 50 μl/l (Maioy et al., 2010). Matching results were obtained in present study and methanolic extracts showed higher zone of inhibition compared to as other solvents. Higher zone of inhibition was observed in hexane extract of Adhatoda vasica leaf followed by chloroform, methanol and ethyl-acetate. The MIC (0.125 mg/ml) of Amaranthus hybridus against Salmonella typhi (Chaudhary et al., 2017) was quite lower as compared to our findings (1.25 mg/ml).

On the basis of strong phytochemical profile against S. typhi, three samples were selected for GC-MS i.e. leaf of Adhatoda vasica, leaf of Amaranthus hybridus and leaf of Aloe barbadensis. GC-MS results of foliar part of Adhatada vasica showed presence of various compounds identical to compounds reported in previous investigations (Srinivasan and Kumaravel, 2015). Similar compounds of both studies were phytol, 9,12,15- octadecatrienoic acid and hexadecanoic acid. These are bioactive compounds and play potential role against different microbes. GC-MS results of a study conducted by Sufio et al. (2016a), Sufio et al. (2016b) indicated 18 different phytochemicals in the ethanol leaf extracts of Amaranthus hybridus which is in contrast to our findings of 42 different phytochemicals in the methanol leaf extracts of Amaranthus hybridus. Differences in solvents used for extraction and site of collection may influence the quantity. The bioactive compounds belong to different groups that were identified through GC-MS having various antimicrobial properties. Hexadecanoic acid, phytol, cholestane, stigmasta, glycinene and cyclopropaenoateclic acid are frequently identified bioactive compounds and their antibacterial properties are already identified by various studies.

5. Conclusion

Evolution of antibiotic and multidrug resistance among pathogens are growing threat to human health worldwide. Self-medication and abuse of antibiotics has been developing resistance, and the presence of MDR infections including those of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi that is resistant to many antibiotics of first and 2nd line of therapy including ciprofloxacin and ampicillin. Medicinal plants are the good source and an alternate to the resistant drugs. These contain high concentration of different bioactive agents such as tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids, phenolic, antioxidinds and different enzymes which have the ability to degrade the oxygen reactive species through damage to their DNA, RNA and proteins. Current study has unraveled the detailed investigation about phytochemical compounds using GCMS against S. typhi. Further studies are recommended for isolation of novel more efficient antibacterial compounds against MDR for further clinical efficacy trials and easy and affordable testing.
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