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We address the inverse Frobenius–Perron problem: given a prescribed target distribution \( \rho \), find a deterministic map \( M \) such that iterations of \( M \) tend to \( \rho \) in distribution. We show that all solutions may be written in terms of a factorization that combines the forward and inverse Rosenblatt transformations with a uniform map, that is, a map under which the uniform distribution on the \( d \)-dimensional hypercube is invariant. Indeed, every solution is equivalent to the choice of a uniform map. We motivate this factorization via 1-dimensional examples, and then use the factorization to present solutions in 1 and 2 dimensions induced by a range of uniform maps.

I. INTRODUCTION

A basic question in the theory of discrete dynamical systems, and in statistical mechanics, is whether a chaotic iterated function \( M : X \to X \), that maps a space \( X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) back onto \( X \), has an equilibrium distribution, with probability density function (PDF) \( \rho(x) \) \[1\]. A necessary condition is that the distribution \( \rho \) is invariant under \( M \), i.e. if \( x \sim \rho \) (\( x \) is distributed as \( \rho \)) then so is \( M(x) \), and further that the orbit of almost all points \( x \in X \) defined as \( \mathcal{O}(x) = \{ x, M(x), M^2(x), M^3(x), \ldots \} \) tends in distribution to \( \rho \). Then, under mild conditions, the map is ergodic for \( \rho \), that is, expectations with respect to \( \rho \) may be replaced by averages over the orbit \[2,3\].

For example, it is well known \[2,3\] that the logistic map \( M_{\text{log}}(x) = 4x(1-x) \), for \( x \in [0,1] \), is chaotic with the equilibrium distribution having PDF

\[
\rho_{\text{log}}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x(1-x)}},
\]

implying that \( M_{\text{log}} \) is ergodic for \( \rho_{\text{log}} \).

Our motivating interest is in using this ergodic property to implement sample-based inference for Bayesian analysis or machine learning. In those settings, the target distribution \( \rho \) is defined by the application. Generating a sequence \( \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots \} \) that is ergodic for \( \rho \) is useful because then expectations of any function of interest can be computed as averages over the sequence, i.e.,

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g(x_i) = \int_X g(x) \rho(x) \, dx. \tag{1}
\]

Commonly in statistics, such ergodic sequences are generated using a stochastic iteration that simulates a Markov chain targeting \( \rho \) \[6\]; here we explore deterministic iterations that generate an orbit that is ergodic for \( \rho \).

The equilibrium distribution for a given iterated function, if it exists, can be approximated by computing the orbit of the map for some starting point then performing kernel density estimation, or theoretically by seeking the stationary distribution of the Frobenius–Perron (FP) operator that is the transfer \[7\], aka push-forward, operator induced by a deterministic map \[2,8\]; we present the FP equation in Section \[1\].

The inverse problem that we consider here, of determining a map that gives a prescribed equilibrium distribution, is the inverse Frobenius–Perron problem (IFPP) and has been studied extensively \[8,9\]. Summaries of previous approaches to the IFPP are presented in \[13,14\] that characterize approaches as based on conjugate functions (see \[8\] for details) or the matrix method (see \[10\] for details), and \[17\] that also lists the differential equation approach. Existing work almost solely considers the IFPP in one-variable, \( d = 1 \), the exception being the development of a two-dimensional solution in \[13\] that is also presented in \[10\].

The matrix method, first suggested by Ulam \[13\], solves the IFPP for a piecewise-constant approximation to the target density, using a transition matrix representation of the approximated FP operator. Convergence of the discrete approximation is related to Ulam’s conjecture, and has been proved for the multidimensional problem; see \[17\] and references therein. While the matrix method allows construction of solutions, at least in the limit, existing methods only offer a limited class of very non-smooth solutions, so are not clearly useful for characterizing all solutions, as we do here. We do not further consider the matrix methods.

The development in this paper starts with the differential equation approach in which the IFPP for restricted forms of distributions and maps is written as a differential equation that may be solved. We re-derive some existing solutions to the IFPP this way in Sec. \[III\] The main con-
tribution of this paper is to show that the form of these solutions may be generalized to give the general solution of the IFPP for any probability distribution in any dimension $d$, as presented in the factorization theorem of Sec. [IV]. This novel factorization represents solutions of the IFPP in terms of the Rosenblatt transformation [19] and a uniform map, that is a map on $[0,1]^d$ that leaves the uniform distribution invariant. In particular, we show that the conjugating functions in [8] are exactly the inverse Rosenblatt transformations. For a given Rosenblatt transformation, there is a one-to-one correspondence between solution of the IFPP and choice of a uniform map.

This reformulation of the IFPP in terms of well-studied constructs leads to practical analytic and numerical solutions by exploiting existing, well-developed methods for Rosenblatt transformations, and for deterministic iterations that target the uniform distribution. The factorization also allows us to establish equivalence of solutions of the IFPP and other methods that employ a deterministic map within the generation of ergodic sequences. This standardizes and simplifies existing solution methods by showing that they are special cases of constructing the Rosenblatt transformation (or its inverse), and selection of a uniform map.

This paper starts with definitions of the IFPP and the Lyapunov exponent in Sec. [II]. Solutions of the IFPP in one-dimension, $d=1$, are developed in Sec. [III]. These solutions for $d=1$ motivate the factorization theorem in Sec. [IV] that presents a general solution to the IFPP for probability distributions with domains in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for any $d$. Sec. [V] presents further examples of univariate, $d=1$, solutions to the IFPP based on the factorization theorem in Sec. [IV]. Two 2-dimensional numerical examples are presented in Section [VII] to demonstrate that the theoretical constructs may be implemented in practice. A summary and discussion of results is presented in Section [VIII], including a discussion of some existing computational methods that can be viewed as implicitly implementing the factorization solution of the IFPP presented here.

II. INVERSE FROBENIUS–PERRON PROBLEM AND LYAPUNOV EXPONENT

In this section we define the forward and inverse Frobenius–Perron problems, and also the Lyapunov exponent that measures chaotic behaviour.

A. Frobenius–Perron Operator

A deterministic map $x_{n+1} = M(x_n)$ defines a map on probability distributions over state, called the transfer operator [7]. Consider the case where the initial state $x_0 \sim \rho_0(\cdot)$ ($x_0$ is distributed as $\rho_0$) for some distribution $\rho_0$ and let $\rho_n$ denote the $n$-step distribution, i.e., the distribution over $x_n = M^n(x_0)$ at iteration $n$. The transfer operator that maps $\rho_n \mapsto \rho_{n+1}$ induced by $M$ is given by the Frobenius–Perron operator associated with $M : x \mapsto y$ [2, 3, 20]

$$\rho_{n+1}(y) = \sum_{x \in M^{-1}(y)} \frac{\rho_n(x)}{|J(x)|}$$

(2)

where $|J(x)|$ denotes the Jacobian determinant [21] of $M$ at $x$, and the sum is over inverse images of $y$.

The equilibrium distribution $\rho$ of $M$ satisfies

$$\rho(y) = \sum_{x \in M^{-1}(y)} \frac{\rho(x)}{|J(x)|}$$

(3)

and we say that $\rho$ is invariant under $M$.

B. Inverse Frobenius–Perron Problem

The inverse problem that we address is finding an iterative map $M$ that has a given distribution $\rho$ as its equilibrium distribution. We do this by performing the inverse Frobenius–Perron problem (IFPP) of finding $M$ that satisfies [3] to ensure that $\rho$ is an invariant distribution of $M$. Establishing chaotic hence ergodic behaviour is a separate calculation.

We will assume throughout that $\rho$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the underlying measure so that a probability density function $\rho(x)$ exists, and, furthermore, that $\rho(x) > 0, \forall x \in X$.

C. Lyapunov Exponent

The Lyapunov exponent $h$ of an iterative map gives the average exponential rate of divergence of trajectories. We define the (maximal) Lyapunov exponent $h$ as [2, 3]

$$h = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \left| \frac{d x_N}{dx_0} \right| = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \log |J(x_n)|$$

(4)

that features the starting value $x_0$. For ergodic maps the dependency on $x_0$ is lost as $N \to \infty$ and the Lyapunov exponent may be written

$$h = \int_X \log |J(x)| \rho(x) \, dx$$

(5)

where $\rho(x)$ is the invariant density. A positive Lyapunov exponent $h$ indicates that the map is chaotic.

The theoretical value for the Lyapunov exponent may be obtained using [5], while [4] provides an empirical value obtained by iterating the map $M$. For example, the Lyapunov exponent of the logistic map evaluated by [5] is $h_{\log} = \log 2 \approx 0.693147$ while [4] evaluated over an orbit with 10000 iterations gave $h_{\log} \approx 0.693140$.

For chaotic maps, any uncertainty in initial value means that an orbit cannot be precisely predicted, since
initial states with any separation become arbitrarily far apart, within $X$, as iterations increase. Hence it is useful to characterize the orbit statistically, in terms of the equilibrium distribution over states in the orbit.

It is interesting to note that theoretical chaotic and ergodic behaviour does not necessarily occur when iterations of a map are implemented on a finite-precision computer. For example, when the logistic map, above, on $[0,1]$ is iterated on a binary computer the multiplication by 4 corresponds to a shift left by two bits and all subsequent operations maintain lowest order bits that are zero. Repeated iterations eventually produce the number zero, no matter the starting value. While it is simple to correct this non-ideal behaviour, as was done to give the numerical Lyapunov exponent, above, it is important to note that computer implementation can have very different dynamics to the mathematical model.

III. SOLUTION OF THE IFPP IN 1-DIMENSION

In this Section we develop solutions of the IFPP in one-dimension, $d = 1$. Without loss of generality we consider distributions on the unit interval $X = [0,1]$, as the domain of any univariate distribution may be transformed by a change of variable to $X = [0,1]$, including when the domain is the whole real line ($-\infty, \infty$).

For distributions over a scalar random variable, the FP equation for the invariant density \( \rho \) simplifies to

$$\rho(y) = \sum_{x \in M^{-1}(y)} \frac{\rho(x)}{|M'(x)|}, \quad (6)$$

A. The Simplest Solution

We first note, almost trivially, that the identity map $M = I$, where $I(x) = x$, has $\rho$ as an invariant distribution, so solves the IFPP for any $\rho$. Somewhat less trivial is to derive this simplest solution by assuming that $M$ is monotonic increasing and $M(0) = 0$, so that there is just one inverse image in \( \mathbb{Z} \). Writing $|M'(x)| = dM/dx$ gives the differential equation with separated variables

$$\rho(M) dM = \rho(x) dx \quad (7)$$

that has solution

$$F(M) = F(x)$$

where $F(x) = \int_{0}^{x} \rho(x') \, dx'$ is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) for $\rho$. If $F$ is invertible denote the inverse by $F^{-1}$, called the the inverse distribution function (IDF), otherwise let $F^{-1}$ denote the generalized inverse distribution function, $F^{-1}(p) = \inf\{x \in X : F(x) \geq p\}$. Then, $M = F^{-1}(F(x)) = x$, or $M(x) = x$, almost everywhere. Hence the identity map is the unique monotonic increasing map that has $\rho$ as its invariant distribution. Clearly, the identity map is not ergodic for $\rho$.

We may generalize this solution by setting $M(0) = k$, for some $k \in [0,1]$, and also only requiring $M$ to be piecewise continuous. Allowing one discontinuity in $M$ we write the integral of the separated differential equation \( \overline{\mathbf{6}} \) as

$$F(M) = F(x) + k \mod 1$$

giving the solution to the IFPP

$$M(x) = (F^{-1} \circ T^c \circ F)(x) \quad (8)$$

where $c = F^{-1}(k)$. Here $T^c$ denotes the operator that translates by $c$ with wrap-around on $[0,1]$ \[22\]

$$T^c(y) = y + c - \lfloor y + c \rfloor, \quad (9)$$

where $\lfloor \rfloor$ denotes the floor function; see Figure 1 (left). The identity map is recovered when $k = c = 0$.

It is easily seen that the Lyapunov exponent of the map in \( \overline{\mathbf{6}} \) is $h = 0$, so the map is not chaotic. However, interestingly, this map can generate a sequence of states that produce a numerical integration rule with respect to $\rho$, since appropriate choices of $c$ and number of iterations $N$ can produce a rectangle rule quadrature or a quasi Monte Carlo lattice rule; see Sec. \[IV C\]

B. Exploiting Symmetry in $\rho(x)$

When the PDF $\rho$ has reflexive symmetry about $1/2$, i.e. $\rho(x) = \rho(1-x)$, we can simplify the FP equation \( \overline{\mathbf{6}} \) by assuming that the map $M$ has the same symmetry. Specifically, we write the triangle map (see Figure 1 (right))

$$t_1(x) = 1 - 2|x - 1/2| \quad (10)$$

that has reflexive symmetry about $1/2$, and write

$$M(x) = m(t_1(x)) \quad (11)$$

where $m(x) : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a monotonic increasing map with $m(0) = 0$ (and, it will turn out, $m(1) = 1$). Hence the FP equation simplifies to

$$\rho(y) = 2 \frac{\rho(x)}{|M'(x)|}, \quad x \in M^{-1}(y), \quad (12)$$

FIG. 1. Translation operator $T^c$ for $c = 0.3$ (left) and triangle map $t_1$ (right).
that we can write as the separated equations
\[ \rho(M) \, dM = 2\rho(x) \, dx, \quad x < 1/2, \]
\[ \rho(M) \, dM = -2\rho(x) \, dx, \quad x > 1/2, \]
that has the continuous solution
\[ F(M) = t_1(F(x)) \]
giving the continuous solution to the IFPP
\[ M(x) = (F^{-1} \circ t_1 \circ F)(x). \quad (13) \]
One can solve for \( m(x) = F^{-1}(2F(x)/2) \), though we do not further consider the function \( m \).

The approach we have used here simplifies the approach in [10], while ‘doubly symmetric’ maps of the form [11] were considered in [23], and again in [11][12].

C. Symmetric Triangular Distribution

To give a concrete example of the solution in [13], we consider the symmetric triangular distribution on \([0, 1] \] with PDF
\[ \rho_{\text{tri}}(x) = 2 - 4 \left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right| \quad (14) \]
that has reflexive symmetry about \( x = \frac{1}{2} \). The CDF is
\[ F_{\text{tri}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
2x^2 & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} + 4x - 2x^2 & \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 
\end{cases} \]
giving the unimodal map, after substituting into [13],
\[ M_{\text{tri}}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{2x} & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \\
1 - \sqrt{2 - 2x^2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - 2(1-x)^2 & \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 \\
\sqrt{2}(1-x) & 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \leq x \leq 1 
\end{cases} \quad (15) \]
shown in Figure 2 (left). The same map was derived in [8]. Figure 2 (right) shows a normalized histogram of \( 10^6 \) iterates of \( M_{\text{tri}} \) starting at \( x = 0.3 \), confirming that the orbit of \( M_{\text{tri}} \) converges to the desired triangular distribution. The numerical implementation avoids finite-precision effects, as discussed later.

The theoretical Lyapunov exponent for \( M_{\text{tri}} \) is \( h_{\text{tri}} = \log 2 \approx 0.693147 \) while [4] evaluated over an orbit with \( 10^6 \) iterations gave \( h_{\text{tri}} \approx 0.693148 \).

IV. SOLUTIONS OF THE IFPP FOR GENERAL MULTI-VARIATE TARGET DISTRIBUTIONS

The solutions to the 1-dimensional IFPP with special structure in Eqs [8] and [13] are actually examples of a general solution to the IFPP for multi-variate probability distributions with no special structure. We state that connection via a theorem that establishes a factorization of all possible solutions to the IFPP, and that also provides a practical means of solving the IFPP.

We first introduce the forward and inverse Rosenblatt transformations, that is the multi-variate generalization the CDF and IDF for univariate distributions.

A. Forward and Inverse Rosenblatt Transformations

A simple transformation of an absolutely continuous 1-variate distribution into the uniform distribution on the \( d \)-dimensional hypercube was introduced by Rosenblatt [10], as follows. The joint PDF can be written as a product of conditional densities,
\[ \rho(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \rho_1(x_1)\rho_2(x_2|x_1) \cdots \rho_d(x_d|x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}), \]
where \( \rho_k(x_k|x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}) \) is a conditional density given by
\[ \rho_k(x_k|x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}) = \frac{p_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k)}{p_{k-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1})}, \quad (16) \]
in terms of the marginal densities,
\[ p_k = \int \rho(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \, dx_{k+1} \cdots dx_d, \quad (17) \]
where \( k = 1, \ldots, d \).

Let \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) = R(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \) where \( R \) is the Rosenblatt transformation [10] from the state-space \( X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) of \( \rho \) to the \( d \)-dimensional unit cube \([0, 1]^d\), defined in terms of the (cumulative) distribution function \( F \) by
\[ z_1 = F_1(x_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \rho_1(x_1) \, dx_1', \]
\[ z_2 = F_2(x_2|x_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} \rho_2(x_2'|x_1) \, dx_2', \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ z_d = F_d(x_d|x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_d} \rho_d(x_d'|x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) \, dx_d'. \]
As noted in [19], there are $d!$ transformations of this type, corresponding to the $d!$ ways of ordering the coordinates. Further multiplicity is introduced by considering coordinate transformations, such as rotations.

Notice that in 1-dimension, the Rosenblatt transformation $R(x)$ is simply the CDF $F(x)$.

It follows that if $z \sim \rho$ then $z = R(x) \sim \text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$, i.e., $z$ is uniformly distributed on the $d$-dimensional unit cube [19]. When $\rho(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in X$ the distribution functions are strictly monotonically increasing and the inverse of the Rosenblatt transformation $R^{-1}$ is well defined, otherwise let $R^{-1}$ denote the generalized inverse as in Sec. III A. Then, if $z \sim \text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$ it follows that $x = R^{-1}(z) \sim \rho$, i.e., $x$ is distributed as the desired target distribution $\rho$ [24]; this is the basis of the conditional distribution method for generating multi-variate random variables, that generalizes the inverse cumulative transformation method for univariate distributions [24–27]. These results may also be established by substituting $R$ or $R^{-1}$ into the the FP equation [2], noting that there is a single inverse image and that the Jacobian determinant of $R$ equals the target PDF $\rho(x)$.

In the remainder of this paper, we refer to any map $R$ satisfying $x \sim \rho \Rightarrow R(x) \sim \text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$ as a Rosenblatt transformation, with (generalized) inverse as defined above.

B. Factorization Theorem

The following theorem characterizes solutions to the IFPP.

**Theorem 1.** Given a probability distribution $\rho$ in $d$-dimensions, a map $M(x)$ is a solution of the IFPP, that is, $M(x)$ satisfies the FP equation [3], if and only if

$$M(x) = (R^{-1} \circ U \circ R)(x), \tag{18}$$

where $R$ is a Rosenblatt transformation and $U$ is a ‘uniform map’ on the unit $d$-dimensional hypercube, i.e., a map that has $\text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$ as invariant distribution [20].

**Proof:** We will show that $\rho$ is an invariant distribution of $M$ iff $M$ has the form [18]. ($\Rightarrow$) Assume $M$ has the form [18]. If $x \sim \rho$ then $R(x) \sim \text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$ hence $U(R(x)) \sim \text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$, as $\text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$ is invariant under $U$, hence $M(x) = R^{-1}(U(R(x))) \sim \rho$, as desired. ($\Leftarrow$) If $\rho$ is invariant under $M$ then $U = R \circ M \circ R^{-1}$ is a uniform map, since if $z \sim \text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$ then $R^{-1}(z) \sim \rho$, $M(R^{-1}(z)) \sim \rho$, and $R(M(R^{-1}(z))) \sim \text{Unif}([0,1]^d)$. Inserting this $U$ into [18] gives the desired factorization.

The first part of the proof shows that any uniform map $U$ induces a solution to the IFPP, though the particular solution depends on the particular Rosenblatt transformation. The second part of the proof shows that different solutions to the IFPP effectively differ only by the choice of the uniform map $U$, once the Rosenblatt transformation is determined, that is, a coordinate system is chosen with an ordering of those coordinates.

Grossmann and Thomae [3] called dynamical systems $M$ and $U$ related by a formula of the form [18] as ‘related by conjugation’, or just ‘conjugate’, and the map $R^{-1}$ in [18] is a ‘conjugating function’. Thus, in the language of [3], Theorem 1 shows that the IFPP for any distribution $\rho$ has a solution (actually, it shows that there are infinitely many solutions), every solution map is conjugate to a uniform map, and the conjugating function is precisely the inverse Rosenblatt transformation.

Notice that both the translation operator $T_c$ in [9] and the triangle map in [10] are uniform maps on the unit interval [0,1]. Thus, the solutions to the IFPP given in Eqs [8] and [13] are examples of the general solution form in [18]. In particular, while the solution to the IFPP in [13] was derived assuming symmetry of the target density $\rho(\cdot)$, [13] actually gives a solution of the IFPP for any density $\rho(\cdot)$. Unimodal maps of this form were derived in [9].

Computed examples of solutions to the IFPP given by the factorization [18] are presented in Sec. V in one dimension, and in Sec. VI in two dimensions. High dimensional calculations are discussed in Sec. VII.

C. Properties of $M$ from $U$

Many properties of the map $M$ are inherited from the uniform map $U$.

When $R$ and $R^{-1}$ are continuous, $M$ is continuous iff $U$ is continuous. In one dimension, monotonicity of the CDF and IDF imply that the number of modes of $U$ equals the number of modes of $M$; in particular, $M$ is unimodal iff $U$ is unimodal.

Constructing iterative maps with specific periodicity of the orbit is possible through the use of translation operators $T_c$ as uniform maps, defined in Eq. [9]. Consider, first, maps in one dimension on $[0,1]$. If the shift $c \neq 0$ and $c \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the map is aperiodic. However, in the case that $c \neq 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$c = \frac{N}{D} \tag{19}$$

with $N,D \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{gcd}(N,D) = 1$, then the map is periodic with periodicity $D$, and iterative maps constructed with $U = T_c$ exhibit the same periodicity. These properties may be extended to multi-dimensional settings when the translation constant $c$ is a vector of shifts in each coordinate direction, as used in rank-one lattice rules for quasi-Monte Carlo integration [29].

The factorization in Theorem [1] also shows that performing an iteration $x_{n+1} = M(x_n)$ with an iterative map $M$ on the space $X$ is equivalent to applying the corresponding uniform map $z_{n+1} = U(z_n)$ on the space $[0,1]^d$ through the transformations $R$ and $R^{-1}$, as indi-
cated in the following (commuting) diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
x_n \\ z_{n+1}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
M \\ R
\end{array}
\end{array}
\] \[ x_{n+1} \xrightarrow{R^{-1}} z_n \]

Using this commuting property, it is straightforward to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** For given distribution \( \rho \), let \( R \) be a Rosenblatt transformation for \( \rho \). Let \( M = R^{-1} \circ U \circ R \) be a solution of the IFFP, as guaranteed by Theorem 1 where \( U \) is a uniform map. Then

\[
O_M^+(x_0) = R^{-1} \left( O_U^+(R(x_0)) \right). \tag{20}
\]

Hence, instead of iterating \( M \) on the space \( X \) to produce the sequence \( \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \), Eq. (20) shows that one can iterate the map \( U \) on the space \([0, 1]^d\) to produce the sequence \( \{z_1, z_2, z_3, \ldots\} \) and then evaluate \( x_n = R^{-1}z_n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), to produce exactly the same sequence on \( X \). Since the map \( M \) is mixing or ergodic iff the uniform map \( U \) is mixing or ergodic, respectively, in this sense mixing and ergodicity of \( M \) is inherited from \( U \).

Using the expansion in Eq. (20), we see that \( M \) is deterministic or stochastic iff \( U \) is deterministic or stochastic, respectively. Even though we are not considering stochastic maps here, we note that, for stochastic maps, iterations of \( M \) are correlated or independent iff iterations of \( U \) are correlated or independent, respectively.

Some other properties that are, and are not, preserved by the transformation from \( U \) to \( M \) are discussed in [8].

V. EXAMPLES IN ONE DIMENSION

A. Uniform Maps on [0, 1]

We have already encountered three uniform maps on the interval [0, 1], namely the identity map \( I(x) = x \) (Figure 3 (top, left)) and the translation operator \( \mathcal{T}^c \) (Figure 3 (left)), that have Lyapunov exponent \( h = 0 \), and the triangle map (Figure 4 (right)) with Lyapunov exponent \( h = \log 2 \).

Some further elementary uniform maps on [0, 1], and associated Lyapunov exponents, are:

- \( \ell \) periods of a sawtooth function on [0, 1] (Figure 3 (top, right), for \( \ell = 3 \) periods) \[ s_\ell(x) = \ell \times x - \lfloor \ell \times x \rfloor, \tag{21} \]
  with Lyapunov exponent \( h = \log \ell \),

- \( \ell \) periods of a triangle function on [0, 1] (Figure 3 (bottom, left) for \( \ell = 3 \) periods) \[ t_\ell(x) = 1 - 2\lfloor \ell \times x \rfloor - 1/2, \tag{22} \]
  with Lyapunov exponent \( h = \log 2\ell \),

- and the asymmetric triangle, for \( c \in (0, 1) \) (Figure 3 (bottom, right) for \( c = 0.3 \))

\[
t^c(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x}{c} & 0 \leq x \leq c \\
1 - x & c \leq x \leq 1
\end{cases} \tag{23}
\]

with Lyapunov exponent \( 0 \leq h = -c \log c - (1 - c) \log(1 - c) \leq \log 2 \).

Obviously, many more uniform maps are possible. Further examples can be formed by partitioning the domain and range of any uniform map, and then permuting the subintervals. Many existing ‘matrix-based’ methods for constructing solutions to the IFFP, can be viewed as examples of such a partition-and-permute of an elementary uniform map [52]. Uniform maps of other forms are developed in [53] from two-segmental Lebesgue processes, producing uniform maps that are curiously non-linear.

**Lemma 2.** The composition of uniform maps is also a uniform map, i.e., if \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) are uniform maps then so is \( U = U_1 \circ U_2 \).

An example is \( t_\ell \), that can be constructed as the composition \( t_\ell = s_\ell \circ t_1 \).

We mentioned the numerical artifacts that can occur with finite-precision arithmetic, particularly when implementing maps on a binary computer and when the endpoints of the interval \( X \) and constants in the maps have exact binary representations. We avoided these artifacts by composing the stated uniform map with the translation \( T^c \) for \( c = 1/3 \times 10^{-9} \) that does not have finite binary representation [54]. This small shift is indiscernible in the graphs of the maps.
FIG. 4. Iterative map $M_{\text{ramp}}$ in (25) (left) and a normalized histogram of $1 \times 10^6$ iterates that approximates the equilibrium PDF (right).

B. Ramp Distribution

To give a concrete example of the solution in (13) for a distribution without reflexive symmetry, we consider the ramp distribution with PDF

$$\rho_{\text{ramp}}(x) = 2x$$

that has CDF

$$F_{\text{ramp}}(x) = x^2.$$  

We produce a unimodal, continuous map by choosing the uniform map $t_1$, as in (13), to give

$$M_{\text{ramp}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{2x} & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \sqrt{2(1-x^2)} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq x \leq 1 \end{array} \right. $$

(25) shown in Figure 4 (left). Figure 2 (right) shows a normalized histogram of $10^6$ iterates of $M_{\text{ramp}}$ starting at $x = 0.3$, confirming that the orbit of $M_{\text{ramp}}$ converges to the desired ramp distribution, as guaranteed by Theorem 1. The numerical implementation avoids finite-precision effects, as discussed earlier. The estimated Lyapunov exponent for this map is $h \approx 0.98612$, which is the same numerical value as the logistic map (26) is transformed into the triangle map $t_1$ which is log 2 = 0.693147. Using a different uniform map gives a different solution to the IFPP. For example, choosing $s_3$ gives the map $M = F^{-1} \circ s_3 \circ F$ shown in Figure 5 (left). A normalized histogram over an orbit of $10^6$ iterations is shown in Figure 5 (right), confirming that this map is also ergodic for $\rho_{\text{ramp}}$. The estimated Lyapunov exponent for this map is $h \approx 1.098612$, which is the same numerical value as the Lyapunov exponent for the sawtooth map $s_3$ which is log 3 = 1.098612. Comparing with the result for the map induced by $t_1$, this shows that the Lyapunov exponent of a map is not generally equal to the Lyapunov exponent of the inducing uniform map.

C. The Logistic Map and Alternatives

The logistic map, mentioned in the introduction, is

$$M_{\log}(x) = 4x(1-x).$$

(26)

The equilibrium distribution of this map

$$\rho_{\log}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x(1-x)}},$$

(27)
can be easily verified by substituting into the FP equation (6). The CDF of $\rho_{\log}(x)$ is

$$F_{\log}(x) = \int_0^x \rho_{\log}(x')dx' = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin(\sqrt{x}),$$

(28)

and the IDF is

$$F_{\log}^{-1}(x) = \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos(\pi x)).$$

(29)

The logistic map (26) is induced by the factorization (18) by choosing the triangle map $t_1$ as uniform map, i.e., substituting the CDF (28) and IDF (29) into (13); see Figure 6 (left). Equivalently, one may note that the logistic map (26) is transformed into the triangle map $t_1$ by the change of variables $z = F^{-1}(x)$; in the language of 3. $M_{\log}$ and $t_1$ are conjugate dynamical laws.

Other iterative maps which preserves the same equilibrium distribution (27) can be constructed by choosing another uniform map, such as $\ell$ periods of a triangle function (23). This gives the iterative maps

$$M_\ell = F_{\log}^{-1} \circ t_\ell \circ F_{\log} = \sin^2(2\ell \arcsin(\sqrt{x})), \ell \geq 1,$$

(30)

that coincide with the $n^{th}$ power of the logistic map (26) for $\ell = 2^{n-1}$. Figure 6 (right) shows the map which preserves the same equilibrium distribution as the logistic map but induced by the uniform map $t_3$. Since 3 is not of the form $2^{n-1}$, this map is not simply a power of the logistic map.

The theoretical value of the Lyapunov exponent of the map in (30) is log 2$\ell$, using (5). Table I gives the theoretical values of the Lyapunov exponent and experimentally calculated values using 10000 iterations, as in (4), for some values of $\ell$. 
VI. TWO EXAMPLES IN TWO DIMENSIONS

A. Uniform Maps on $[0,1]^2$

Two well-known examples of uniform maps in the two-dimensional unit square, $X = [0,1]^2$, are the baker’s map

$$U_b(x_1, x_2) = \left(2x_1 \mod 1, \frac{x_2 + u(x_1 - \frac{1}{2})}{2}\right),$$

where $u$ is the unit step function, and the Arnold cat map

$$U_A(x_1, x_2) = ((2x_1 + x_2) \mod 1, (x_1 + x_2) \mod 1).$$

Other uniform maps in $d > 1$ dimensions may be formed by 1-dimensional uniform maps acting on each coordinate, giving the coordinate-wise uniform map

$$U(x) = (U_1(x_1), U_2(x_2), \ldots, U_d(x_d))$$

where $U_i(x), i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, are uniform maps in one dimension. We will use the baker’s map (31) and a coordinate-wise uniform map in the 2-dimensional examples that follow.

B. Checker-Board Distribution

This example demonstrates construction of a map in two-dimensions that targets the checker board distribution, shown in Figure 8 (bottom-left) and Figure 9 (bottom-left), using the factorization (18).

The first step in constructing a solution to the IFPP for this distribution is to construct the forward and inverse Rosenblatt transformations, which requires the marginal density functions (17) that may be evaluated analytically in this case. A plot of the two components of the functions $R$ and $R^{-1}$ is shown in Figure 7.

We construct two solutions to the IFPP, each induced by choosing a particular uniform map: the first is the baker’s map (31); the second is a component-wise uniform map (33) with an asymmetric triangle map (23) acting on each component,

$$U(x_1, x_2) = (U_1(x_1), U_2(x_2))$$

where $U_1 = t^c$ for $c = 0.3$ and $U_2 = t^c$ with $c = 0.9$.

Figure 8 (top row) shows the two components of the map induced by the baker’s map, the checker-board distribution (bottom-left), and a histogram of $10^6$ iterates (bottom-right) showing that the map does indeed converge in distribution to the desired distribution.

Figure 9 (top row) shows the two components of the map constructed using the two component-wise asymmetric triangular maps, the checker-board distribution (bottom-left), and a histogram of $10^6$ iterates (bottom-right) showing that the map also converges in distribution to the desired distribution, and hence is also a solution to the IFPP.

C. A Numerical Construction

Numerical implementation of the factorized solution (18) is not difficult in few dimensions. In this section we present an example of numerical implementation using a normalized greyscale image of a coin [35], piecewise

TABLE I. Experimental $h_b$ and theoretical $h_t$ Lyapunov exponents for the maps in (6) for a range of $\ell$, given to 6 decimal places.

| $\ell$ | $h_b$ | $h_t$ |
|-------|-------|-------|
| 1     | 0.692819 | 0.693147 |
| 2     | 1.386284 | 1.386294 |
| 4     | 2.079420 | 2.079442 |
| $2^{23}$ | 17.328504 | 17.328680 |
| $2^{39}$ | 27.725713 | 27.725887 |
The resulting map is given by Eq. (18).

where

constant over pixels, as the target distribution; see Figure 10 (left). The marginal distributions (17) are evaluated as linear interpolation of cumulative sums over pixel values, and hence the CDF and then forward and inverse Rosenblatt transformations follow as in section VI A. The uniform map was produced as component-wise univariate translation maps, specifically

where \( U_1 = T^c \) for \( c = 0.6 \) and \( U_2 = T^c \) with \( c = 0.2 \). The resulting map is given by Eq. (18).

Figure 10 (right) shows a normalized histogram, binned to pixels, of \( 10^6 \) iterations of this map. As can be seen, the estimated PDF from the orbit of this map does reproduce the image of the coin. However, there are also obvious artifacts near the edge of the image showing that mixing could be better. We conjecture that a chaotic uniform map would produce better mixing and fewer numerical artifacts.

VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have shown how the solution of the IFPP, of finding an iterative map with a given invariant distribution, can be constructed from uniform maps through the factorization established in Theorem 1,

\[
M = R^{-1} \circ U \circ R
\]

where \( R \) denotes the Rosenblatt transformation that has Jacobian determinant equal to density function of the invariant distribution. In one-dimension, \( R \) is exactly the CDF of the given distribution, so the factorization generalizes existing one-dimensional solutions to the setting of arbitrary multi-variate distributions. The factorization also shows the relationship between arbitrary iterative maps and uniform maps, i.e., given a Rosenblatt transformation the solution of the IFPP is equivalent to the choice of a uniform map that has \( \text{Unif}([0, 1]^d) \) as invariant distribution.

We find the factorization (18) appealing as it shows that solution of the IFPP for arbitrary distributions, and in multi dimensions, is reduced to two standard and well-studied problems, i.e., constructing the Rosenblatt transformation (or CDF in one dimension) and designing a uniform map. It is therefore surprising, to us, that the factorization (18), and more generally the Rosenblatt transformation, appears to not be widely used in the study of chaotic iterated functions and the IFPP. Grossmann and Thomae [8], in one of the earliest studies of the IFPP, essentially derived the factorization (18) by introducing conjugate maps and establishing the relation (in their notation) that \( \rho^*(x) = dh^{-1}(x)/dx \) where \( \rho^* \) is the invariant distribution and \( h \) is the conjugating function; see [8] Figure 3. It is a small step to identify that \( h \) is the IDF, generalized in multi-dimensions...
by the inverse Rosenblatt transformation. However, the connection was not made in [8], despite the Rosenblatt transformation having been already known, in statistics, for some decades [19].

We constructed solutions to the IFPP for distributions with special reflexive symmetry structure, and then with no special structure, by constructing the Rosenblatt transformation, and its inverse, for some examples in one and two dimensions. For simple distributions with analytic form the Rosenblatt transformation may be constructed analytically, while numerically-defined distributions required calculation of the marginal distributions [17] using numerical techniques.

Although this factorization and construction is applicable to high-dimensional problems, the main difficulty is obtaining all necessary marginal densities, which requires the high-dimensional integral over \( x_{k+1} \ldots x_d \) in [17]. In general, this calculation can be extremely costly. Even a simple discretization of the PDF \( \rho \), or of the argument of the marginal densities [17], leads to exponential cost with dimension.

To overcome this cost, Dolgov et al. [27] precomputed an approximation of \( \rho(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \) in a compressed tensor train representation that allows fast computation of integrals in [17], and subsequent simulation of the inverse Rosenblatt transformation \( R^{-1} \) from the conditionals in [17], and showed that computational cost scales linearly with dimension \( d \). Practical examples presented in [27], in dimension \( d \leq 32 \), demonstrate that operation by the forward and inverse Rosenblatt transformations is computationally feasible for multivariate problems with no special structure.

Finding a solution of the IFPP with desired properties is reduced to a standard problem of designing a uniform map on \([0,1]^d\), for which there are many existing efficient options. For example, standard computational uniform random number generators, that produce pseudo-random sequences of numbers, are one such existing uniform map, as are the quasi-Monte Carlo rules that we mentioned earlier [29]. These induce pseudo-random and quasi-Monte Carlo sequences, respectively, on the space \( X \) via the inverse Rosenblatt transformation \( R^{-1} \) [30]. Both these schemes were demonstrated in practical high-dimensional settings in [27].

The RHS of Eq. (20) in \( d = 1 \) dimension is exactly the standard computational route for implementing inverse cumulative transformation sampling from \( \rho \), since computational uniform pseudo-random number generators perform a deterministic iteration on \([0,1]\) to implement a uniform map [30]. For \( d > 1 \), the RHS of Eq. (20) is the conditional distribution method that generalizes the inverse cumulative transformation method, as mentioned above [27]. Hence Lemma 7 shows that standard computational implementation of both the inverse cumulative transformation method in \( d = 1 \) and the conditional distribution method in \( d > 1 \) is equivalent to implementing a solution to the IFPP. In this sense, computational inverse cumulative transformation sampling from \( \rho \) can be viewed as the prototype for all iterative maps that target the distribution \( \rho \), with each ergodic sequence corresponding to a particular choice of uniform map.

We mentioned that the Rosenblatt transformation associated with a given distribution \( \rho \) is not unique. Actually, any two Rosenblatt transformations for \( \rho \) are related by a uniform map, as shown in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.** If \( R_1 \) is a Rosenblatt transformation for \( \rho \) then \( R_2 \) is a Rosenblatt transformation for \( \rho \) if and only if

\[
R_2 = U \circ R_1
\]

for some uniform map \( U \).

**Proof:** \( (\Rightarrow) \) Since \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are Rosenblatt transformations for \( \rho \), then \( U = R_2 \circ R_1^{-1} \) is a uniform map and \( R_2 = U \circ R_1 \). \( (\Leftarrow) \) If \( R_2 = U \circ R_1 \) then if \( x \sim \rho \), \( R_1(x) \sim \text{Unif}(0,1]^d \) and \( U \circ R_1(x) \sim \text{Unif}(0,1]^d \) so \( R_2 \) is a Rosenblatt transformation.

Hence, any Rosenblatt transformation \( R \) may be written as \( R = U \circ R_0 \) for some uniform map \( U \) and a fixed Rosenblatt transformation \( R_0 \).

The Rosenblatt transformations that map any distribution to the uniform distribution on the hypercube may also be used to understand mappings between spaces that are designed to transform one distribution to another, such as the ‘transport maps’ developed in [37]. Consider distributions \( \rho_A \) and \( \rho_B \), with Rosenblatt transformations \( R_A \) and \( R_B \), respectively, that may be related as in the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho_A & \overset{R_A}{\longrightarrow} & \text{Unif}(0,1]^d \\
& \overset{U}{\downarrow} & \\
& \overset{R_B^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} & \rho_B \\
\end{array}
\]

The diagram suggests a proof of the following lemma, that generalizes the factorization Theorem 1.

**Lemma 4.** A map \( M \) satisfies \( x \sim \rho_A \Rightarrow M(x) \sim \rho_B \) iff it can be written as \( M = R_B^{-1} \circ U \circ R_A \), where \( R_A \) and \( R_B \) are Rosenblatt transformations for \( \rho_A \) and \( \rho_B \), respectively, and \( U \) is a uniform map.

Hence, for given Rosenblatt transformations, the choice of a map, that maps samples from \( \rho_A \) to samples from \( \rho_B \), is equivalent to the choice of a uniform map. Alternatively, if a fixed uniform map is selected, such as the identity map, the choice of map \( M \) is completely equivalent to the choice of Rosenblatt transformations. This factorization also shows that the equivalence class of conjugate maps, noted in [8], for each dimension \( d \), is generated by the uniform maps, and each member of the equivalence class contains maps that target each distribution, when the associated Rosenblatt transformation satisfies the mild conditions to be a conjugating function as defined in [8].
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