Production of platinum radioisotopes at Brookhaven Linac Isotope Producer (BLIP)
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Abstract. The accelerator production of platinum isotopes was investigated at the Brookhaven Linac Isotope Producer (BLIP). In this study high purity natural platinum foils were irradiated at 53.2, 65.7, 105.2, 151.9, 162.9 and 173.3 MeV. The irradiated foils were digested in aqua regia and then converted to their hydrochloride salt with concentrated hydrochloric acid before analyzing by gamma spectrometry periodically for at least 10 days post end of bombardment. A wide range of platinum (Pt), gold (Au) and iridium (Ir) isotopes were identified. Effective cross sections at BLIP for Pt-188, Pt-189, Pt-191 and Pt-195m were compared to literature and theoretical cross sections determined using Empire-3.2. The majority of the effective cross sections (<70 MeV) confirm those reported in the literature. While the absolute values of the theoretical cross sections were up to a factor of 3 lower, Empire 3.2 modeled thresholds and maxima correlated well with experimental values. Preliminary evaluation into a rapid separation of Pt isotopes from high levels of Ir and Au isotopes proved to be a promising approach for large scale production. In conclusion, this study demonstrated that with the use of isotopically enriched target material accelerator production of selected platinum isotopes is feasible over a wide proton energy range.

1. Introduction

Approximately 50% of cancer patients receive platinum agents. They are used to treat a wide range of cancers alone and or in combination with other chemotherapeutic or biological agents such as antibodies. Their mechanism of action is similar however they have different side effects and activities against various cancers. Despite their wide spread use, there continues to be interest to gain a better understanding how to improve their efficacy and reduce side effects [1].

Personalized medicine is the use of screening methods to monitor the biological profile of a drug in a patient prior to administering therapeutic dose. For example, this can be performed by administering cisplatin and monitoring for segments of DNA that bond to the cisplatin to determine cellular responses [2]. A product under development for such as applications is PlatinDx [3]. Alternatively radiolabelled analogues of chemotherapeutic agents such as cisplatin can be used as theranostic agents which combine diagnostic and therapeutic functions into a single pharmaceutical. Using this approach, one can image the patient and determine uptake, identify responders to treatment, optimize dose and reduce side effects for the patient [4–7].

Pt-195m (T1/2 = 4 days) has been produced at a number reactor sites and its incorporation to produce such radiopharmaceuticals as 195mPt-cisplatin and 195mPt-carboplatin has been demonstrated [4]. Recently, 195mPt-cisplatin produced using the high flux reactor position at SAFARI, South Africa was used in a phase 0 clinical trial to determine biological profile in healthy individuals [6,7].

While Pt-195m has an attractive half-life and auger emissions that are ideal for therapy, its reactor production route yields products of moderate specific activity (i.e., ≈20 MBq/mg) [7]. Therefore accelerator production of a wider selection of platinum radioisotopes with higher specific activities, physical emissions and half-lives is of growing interest for applications in theranostic agents for personalized medicine [8].

Cross sections for the accelerator proton-induced nuclear reactions on natural platinum have been reported [9]. Data is available up to 70 MeV and show cross sections are suitable for production of 191Pt (T1/2 = 2.802 d) via natPt(p,pxn)191Pt, ranging from approximately 8 mb to 20 mb for energies from 19 to 30 MeV and broad peak at 40 to 65 MeV with a high cross section (≈400 mb). For the production of 195mPt, the natPt(p,pxn)195mPt cross sections vary from 7 mb at 20 MeV up to 45 mb at 65 MeV. The cross section data suggest that the production of 188Pt (T1/2 = 10.2 d) will increase at higher proton
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energies while the production of $^{189}$Pt, a relatively short lived isotope ($T_{1/2} = 10.87 \text{ hr}$) shows promise at proton energies $>50 \text{ MeV}$, continuing to increase to 350 mb at 70 MeV. Ref. [9] also propose that the indirect production route for Pt-189, Pt-188, Pt-191 via respective their Au parents is significantly higher than the direct route and as such are worthy of further investigation.

The present study examines the accelerator production of platinum isotopes across a range of proton energies and the predictive strength of the nuclear modeling code Empire-3.2 to assist in focusing research and production efforts [10]. It also reports a preliminary investigation into chemistry to isolate the Pt isotopes from large quantities of contaminating co-produced Ir and Au isotopes. Collectively the data will be used to determine commercially viable approaches (including choice of enriched target materials) to large-scale accelerator production of $^{191}$Pt, $^{195m}$Pt, $^{188}$pt and $^{189}$Pt at high proton energies.

2. Materials and methods

Chemicals used in the study were of analytical grade. Platinum foils were obtained from Goodfellow. They were of high purity (> 99.99%) and 0.025 mm thick. The foils were cut to fit within custom made aluminum (Alloy 6061) 8 screw bolted can fitted with a target well (diam. 29.21 mm). The back of the target can is cut away to allow for water cooling of the target. The target cans were sealed with an Inconel c-ring under nitrogen and then vacuum tested to ensure no nitrogen gas leaks.

The target station at BLIP is beneath ground and water-cooled. It receives direct pulses from the linac typically at 117 MeV which is used for the production of $^{82}$Sr. Two target assembly boxes and incremental tuning of the entry proton energy (i.e., 37, 66, 93, 117, 139, 160, 181, and 200 MeV) up to 200 MeV protons allows for multiple targets to be irradiated and a range of target arrays to be investigated. The proton beam from the Linac is projected through a series of windows of varying thickness (i.e., beryllium-copper (0.3048 mm)), AlBeMet' (0.3048 mm), stainless steel (0.787 mm), 2.67 mm of cooling water, stainless steel target box window (0.508 mm) and a layer of cooling water before reaching the target assembly box. To achieve desired proton energies on the platinum foils, target arrays were designed with specified metal degraders (usually aluminum or stainless steel) and at least 5.08 mm water gaps between layers to ensure adequate cooling. Energy degradation for each layer was calculated using TRIM subroutine in SRIM 2013 for each array [11].

Table 1. Experimental parameters for proton irradiations on Platinum targets including incident beam energy, target thickness and beam current.

| Energy (MeV) | Thickness of Foil (mm) | Estimated current on target (μA) |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 173.3       | 0.025                  | 96.0                            |
| 162.9       | 0.025                  | 96.0                            |
| 157.9       | 0.025                  | 96.0                            |
| 105.2       | 0.025                  | 23.8                            |
| 65.7        | 0.025                  | 115.3                           |
| 53.2        | 0.025                  | 115.2                           |

Energy degradation for each layer was calculated using TRIM subroutine in SRIM 2013 for each array [11]. Entry energy to target arrays (either 198.8 or 116.8 MeV) was determined by a laser profile monitor and the total accumulated charge during target irradiation was measured using a beam current transformer located in the beam line of the linac. The projection of beam spot area was varied using activated aluminum foils. The total number of platinum foils irradiated, their thickness and weight, the entry proton energy and estimated current on each foil is given in Table 1.

Thermal properties of platinum are attractive for high energy proton irradiations. Thermal conductivity (71.6 W.m$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$), melting (1768.8°C) and boiling (3825°C) points are all high. The heat profile of the platinum target within an aluminum can was calculated with the software program ANSYS. Beam characteristics were set with the instantaneous current of 40 mA, bunchwidth of 0.000425 sec, frequency of 6.667 Hz and protons of 1.061 x 10$^{14}$. ANSYS finite element model (parameters: 2D axi-symmetric model, steady state analysis, thermal contact resistance between layers, steady state water cooling convection on exterior and gaussian internal heat generation for all bodies-aluminum contact resistance between can and platinum target) estimated maximum temperature for platinum target foil and aluminum can in a typical irradiation at BLIP would be no more than 268°C and 223°C, respectively; well below respective melting points of 1116°C and 582°C.

2.1. Gamma spectrometry

Typically the aluminum can containing the platinum foil was allowed to decay for up to 24 hours before transporting to production facilities and opening. The platinum foils (0.025 mm) were dissolved in boiling aqua regia (10 mL) and then evaporated to dryness repeatedly with concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl) (2 mL x 3) to remove any nitrate ions. In each case the final residue were dissolved in 10 mL of 0.1 M HCl and then accurately serially diluted for analysis on the gamma spectrometer ensuring dead time remained below 10%.

Each sample was assayed on unsuppressed (ORTEC HPGe detector (AMETEK) Oak Ridge, TN) repeatedly at BNL. Selected samples were also analyzed with a 40% relative efficiency ORTEC HPGe detector surrounded by BGO scintillator to enable Compton-suppression for gamma emission assignment at U. Mass Lowell. The GammaVision-32 software, version 6.01 was used for spectra acquisition and processing. The standards were purchased from Eckert and Ziegler (Atlanta, GA). The Gamma-Vision-32 software, version 6.01 was used for spectra acquisition and processing. The standards were purchased from Eckert and Ziegler (Atlanta, GA). The Gamma-Vision spectra were converted into RADWARE format [12] and analyzed using the GF3 package. Gamma emissions from each sample were assessed multiple times (at least 5 spectra) daily on standard gamma spectrometers for at least one week and in some cases intermittently for a further 17 days. Spectra were analyzed for isotopes such as $^{191}$Ir, $^{192}$Ir, $^{193}$Ir, $^{194}$Ir, $^{195}$Ir, $^{196m}$Ir, $^{199}$Ir, $^{201}$Ir, $^{188}$Au, $^{189}$Au, $^{191}$Pt, $^{192}$Pt, and $^{186,188,189,190,192,194}$Ir as well as their decay daughters. Gammas in each spectrum were monitored for half-life and then assigned to the respective radioisotopes. Table 2. gives a list of selected Au and Pt isotopes verified in samples. Many of the gamma ray transitions for the Pt, Au and Ir isotopes produced were overlapping in energy. Care was taken to identify unique gammas for use in the determination of the effective cross sections for each isotope.
Table 2. Radioisotopes identified and their decay characteristics.

| Isotope  | Half-Life | Gammas (Intensity)* |
|----------|-----------|---------------------|
| Pt-188   | 10.2 days | 187.6 (19.4), 195.1 (18.6), 381.4 (7.5), 423.5 (4.4) |
| Pt-189   | 10.87 hours | 243.5 (7.0), 568.9 (7.1), 721.4 (9.3) |
| Pt-191   | 2.802 days | 96.5 (3.3), 129.4 (3.2), 172.2 (3.7), 351.2 (3.4), 359.9 (6.0), 409.4 (8.0), 538.9 (13.7) |
| Pt-195m  | 4.02 days | 98.9 (11.4), 129.8 (2.8) |
| Au-191g  | 3.18 hours | 277.9 (7.0), 399.8 (4.7), 478.0 (3.9), 586.4 (17.0) |
| Au-192   | 4.94 hours | 296.0 (22.0), 308.5 (3.4), 316.5 (58.0) |
| Au-196g  | 6.183 days | 333.03 (22.9), 355.73 (87.0) |
| Au-198g  | 2.695 days | 411.8 (95.6) |

* Gamma emission used to calculate cross sections are bolded.

The cross section for each isotope was calculated using the standard cross section equation. Gamma-ray yields measured were determined for unique gamma emissions of the isotope from each gamma spectrum. Analysis took into consideration beam current, counting time for spectrum, target thickness, efficiency of the detector at the energy of interest and the absolute intensity of the gamma emission per parent nucleus together with the dilution factor related with the chemical separation for the sample used to measure the cross section. Systematic uncertainties relating to the beam flux, foil processing and detector efficiency are estimated as 10% overall. In addition, the gamma-ray spectrum analysis for each isotope introduces its own uncertainty relating to the peak fitting, potential doublet nature of transitions and uncertainty in absolute gamma-ray transition strength. These uncertainties are added in quadrature to the 10% systematic uncertainty in the final quoted values.

2.2. EMPIRE calculations

The Empire 3.2 code was used to calculate the cross sections for isotopes populated by proton bombardment of a natural platinum target. Since natural platinum has six stable isotopes [Pt-190 (0.01%); Pt-192 (0.78%); Pt-194 (32.97%); Pt-195 (35.83%), Pt-196 (25.24%) Pt-198 (7.16%)] the total cross sections were determined by calculating the cross section from each individual stable isotope, then summing all isotopes, weighted by their natural abundances. The code was run by taking the standard recommended input parameters for all possible nuclei which could be populated by the nuclear reactions, including the Exciton and Hybrid Monte Carlo pre-equilibrium models and compared with the experimental results [10].

The level densities for each compound nucleus were adjusted based on the experimental level scheme. Figures 1 to 4 compare the experimental cross sections from the literature [9] and at BLIP with those calculated using Empire 3.2 for Pt-188, Pt-189, Pt-191, Pt-195m. The theoretical curves include with and without contributions from respective Au isotopes where relevant. Attempts were made to optimize the EMPIRE calculations focusing on the reproduction of the previously measured < 70 MeV data from Ref. [9]. Calculations were performed replacing the default spherical potential with a deformed one. In addition, the pre-equilibrium parameters were varied. These adjustments did not alter the EMPIRE predictions significantly.

2.3. Purification of platinum isotopes

The irradiated foils were dissolved in boiling aqua regia, evaporated to dryness and then repeatedly digested with concentrated HCl to ensure conversion of platinum species to their chloride salt. The Pt radionuclides were isolated as the platinum diaqua ammonia species in a similar manner to that described previously [5]. The residue was dissolved in 0.1 M HCl and the platinum hexachloride species was converted to ammonium iodide salt with the addition of potassium iodide and careful addition of ammonia. The precipitation of the AgCl from the addition of silver nitrate afforded conversion of the soluble (Pt(NH3)2(H2O)2(NO3)2.

3. Results and discussion

A large number of gold (Au), platinum (Pt) and Iridium (Ir) isotopes were produced when high purity natural platinum foils (with six stable isotopes) were bombarded with protons in the energy range from 53.2 to 173.3 MeV at BLIP. Spectra were quite complex and separation and identification of multiple unique gamma transitions for an isotope was not always possible for use in the quantification of accurate effective cross sections. A combination of Compton suppressed and unsuppressed gamma spectrometry along with mapping of gamma lines half lives were used to confirm assignment of gamma emissions. The spectra were analyzed using two software programs (Gamma-Vision and GF3 package). The BLIP cross sections for Pt-188, Pt-189, Pt-191 and Pt-195m are compared with cross sections reported in the literature [9] and those calculated using Empire−3.2 (see Figs. 1−4). Pt-188 has a 10.2 day half-life and gamma emissions that are suitable for in vitro and in vivo pre-clinical biodistribution and imaging studies.

The gamma emission at 195.1 keV is 18.6% abundant and ideal for the quantification of the Pt-188 production.
and calculation of the effective cross section at BLIP. Figure 1 illustrates the literature cross section and effective cross section at BLIP for various proton energies. There is excellent agreement between the literature and BLIP cross sections at both 53 and 66 MeV. The effective cross sections at $\geq 105$ MeV are higher than those calculated with EMPIRE however the trend in cross sections over the 200 MeV range correlates (i.e., thresholds and maxima) well with both sets of experimental cross section data. EMPIRE calculations indicate that Pt-194 and Pt-195 stable isotope are the main contributors to the direct production of Pt-188 at proton energies between 80 to 120 MeV.

Pt-189 is short lived radioisotope ($T_{1/2} = 10.87$ hr) that has potential for application in in vitro and metabolic studies. Pt-189 can be produced directly or indirectly from the decay of Au-189 isotopes. For this study the cross section for Pt-189 was determined after decay of the Au-189 isotopes. The effective cross section for Pt-189 at 53 MeV was measured to be 187 mb while the respective literature value was only 27 mb (see Fig. 2).

In contrast, at 66 MeV the cross section appears to converge with values of 328.3 mb and 317.8 mb respectively for BLIP and literature values. Figure 2 also illustrates plots for EMPIRE cross sections with and without contribution from Au-189. The data clearly show the contribution from Au-189 for Pt-189 values are particularly significant. The EMPIRE plots have similar shape to the literature value at lower proton energies and good agreement on threshold and trends in maxima around 70–90 MeV. However the absolute values are approximately 3 to 4 fold lower across the energy range investigated. The EMPIRE results indicate that the sharp rise at 70 MeV is most likely from the $(p, x n)$ and $(p, p x n)$ reactions on the highly abundant Pt-194 and Pt-195. This is in agreement with predictions reported in the literature [9].

Pt-191 is a particularly interesting isotope for applications in personalized medicine. Its half life (2.8 days) and gamma emissions are well suited for SPECT imaging and the drug risk assessment. As speculated in the literature Pt-191 can be produced by direct reactions on Pt targets and indirectly via the decay of Au-191. Figure 3 plots the effective cross sections (including Au-191 contributions) for Pt-191 for samples irradiated at BLIP. These values are considerably lower that those reported at 53 MeV in the literature and may be explained by the scatter and large associated errors in literature cross sections. Values obtained at 66 MeV for BLIP appear to agree within error with the literature values.

In contrast with the Pt-188 and Pt-189 predictions EMPIRE predictions for Pt-191 production agree well across the entire energy range investigated. Overall, we observe that the agreement of EMPIRE with the experimental data improves as the number of evaporated particles decreases. The rapid increase in production of Pt-191 over the 30 to 70 MeV proton energy range is mostly likely due to contribution from Au-191 as well as some from $(p, p x n)$ reaction on Pt-194, Pt-195 and Pt-196.

Pt-195m is the most studied platinum isotope in the literature. Readily produced in reactors, it has already been used as a theranostic agent, utilizing both its Auger electron emission for therapy and its gamma emission for imaging. The accelerator production provides for an alternative source for its production. Figure 4 illustrates both experimental cross section data from the literature and that determined in this work. It compares these values to the predicted EMPIRE cross section. Values obtained at BLIP are lower than those reported in the literature. This deviation could be explained by the efficiency of detector used. The 98.9 keV transition is close to the point of inflection of the efficiency curve in a standard HPGe detector. The fit for EMPIRE data are consistent with literature initially (<30 MeV). Effective cross sections determined at BLIP across the entire energy range agree well with EMPIRE data. Deviations in collected data from 30 to 70 MeV suggests further investigation is required.
Figure 5. Gamma Spectra of (a) crude Pt foil and (b) crude and purified sample Pt-188 (expanded).

3.1. Separation of platinum isotopes

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the comparison of the gamma-ray spectrum of both crude platinum target and purified sample using literature reported methods. Once the target is digested the separation was complete within 1.5 hours. Samples were run on Compton suppressed gamma spectrometer to check for purity. Spectra clearly show the Pt-188 is very pure with additional signals from Ir-188 due to the decay of its parent Pt-188. This method shows promise for adaption to large-scale production.

4. Conclusions

Cross sections for the production of various Platinum isotopes via proton bombardment of natural platinum foils were investigated at BLIP. Overall the majority of the measured BLIP cross sections confirmed the literature values [9] at low proton energies, and provided new data points at high proton energies. The comparison of the experimental results with EMPIRE calculations for Pt-188, Pt-189, Pt-191 and Pt-195m showed good agreement overall for identifying threshold and maxima for proton energies for their production. Empire provided valuable information to guide investigations into large scale production of the four platinum isotopes and assist in the choice of enriched stable isotopes to use for optimizing production yield and purity.
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