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ABSTRACT

Aromatic hydrocarbons are toxic pollutants that enter into environment through various industries. These pollutants are carcinogenic and cause genetic mutations. There are various solutions, including biological methods, extraction, and electrocoagulation. This research aims to synthesize the nano zero-valent iron (nZVI) from the ferrous waste and granules of nZVI by the chemical combination of nZVI with polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). The performance of these two adsorbents was evaluated by degradation of phenol in an aqueous solution. The physical properties of the synthesized nanoparticles were determined using SEM analysis. Effect of pH, contact time, contaminant concentration, and adsorbent dosage on the removal efficiency were studied. The results showed that the maximum removal efficiency of phenol by nZVI and GnZVI was 78, 57.83 %, respectively, at the condition of pH 3, 60 minutes initial concentration of 8 ppm and adsorbent dosage of 2.5 g. The removal efficiency of phenol in acidic conditions and laboratory temperature by adsorption of nZVI is higher than GnZVI with a difference in removal efficiency of approximately 20 %. Equilibrium isotherms were analyzed by Langmuir and Freundlich equations and it was observed that these experiments followed Freundlich model.
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INTRODUCTION

Cyclic hydrocarbons are organic compounds composed of carbon and hydrogen atoms that form rings or cyclic structures by bonding together. The simplest and first known hydrocarbon is benzene, which is highly toxic and carcinogenic. Its derivatives include phenol, which is typically soluble in aqueous media due to its use in industry. Pollution of water resources with phenol can endanger the health of humans and living organisms and pose a worrying health threat [1, 2]. There are several methods for removing phenol, such as electrocoagulation [3, 4], biological methods [5, 6], extraction [7], electro-Fenton method [8], evaporation [9, 10], precipitation [11]. One of these methods is adsorption, which uses different adsorbents. For example, in Equation (1), zero-valent iron reacts with the pollutant as an electron donor, causing a pollutant such as phenol to be reduced by receiving electrons in the form of Equation (2).

\[
Fe^{2+} + 2e^- \rightarrow Fe \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Contaminant} + ne^- \rightarrow \text{Reduced contaminant} \tag{2}
\]

Since the preparation of the adsorbent requires a high cost of raw materials, waste that is made of this adsorbent can be used. For example, activated carbon was used to remove contaminants and it was shown that the amount of phenol adsorption depends on the surface chemistry of the adsorbents and their porosity [12]. Another study using adsorbents of almond peel and walnut charcoal reached to 91.36 and 79.17%, respectively. The removal efficiency for phenol in contaminated industrial wastewater for the natural adsorbnts of almond peel and walnut charcoal were 85.54 and 65.49%, respectively [13]. Another study in 2013 showed that adsorbents such as chemical olive stones of activated carbon also have a good ability to remove phenol [14]. Other adsorbents include chitosan beads [15], peat, fly ash, and bentonite [16, 17], wheat husk [18], and sawdust [19].
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In this study, the synthesis of the nano zero-valent iron (nZVI) and granular nano zero-valent iron (GnZVI) from the iron waste of the steel plant and the performance of two adsorbents on the degradation of phenol as an aromatic hydrocarbon from the aqueous medium were investigated. The effect of several parameters such as; pH, contact times, contaminant concentrations, and adsorbent dosages on the removal efficiency of phenol was evaluated. The SEM test was used to observe the size of nZVI.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

All chemicals were purchased from the German company Merck and ferrous waste was collected from the steel plant. Also, phenol as an aromatic hydrocarbon with a density of 1.07 g/cm\(^3\) is a colorless and moist crystalline solid that was prepared as a pollutant. The sodium borohydride (0.16 M), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), paraffin, and sulfuric acid were used in the synthesis and granulation of nZVI. Ultrasonic bath, centrifuge, and vacuum pump were used, too.

Methods

To prepare nZVI from ferrous waste, the liquid-phase reduction method was used for synthesis, which is a chemical method using sodium borohydride as a reducing agent [20, 21]. After the synthesis of nZVI, and SEM test was performed on it. The synthesis of nZVI, a granular adsorbent was made using a polymer called polyvinyl alcohol. Thus, 3 g of nZVI adsorbent with 5 g of polymer, 2 mL of sulfuric acid, and 30 mL of paraffin was converted to GnZVI after 72 hours [22, 23].

After preparing the adsorbents using two Erlenmeyer under constant laboratory conditions, 20 mg/L phenol was exposed to 2.5 g of the adsorbent for 60 minutes at an acidic pH of 3. Phenol concentration was measured by a spectrophotometer at wavelength of 500 nm.

To explain the equilibrium state of the adsorbed component between the solid and liquid phases, the experimental adsorption equilibrium data were studied by Freundlich isotherm models in Equations (3) and (4) and Langmuir in Equations (5) and (6).

\[
q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\ln q_e = 1/n \ln C_e + \ln K_f \quad (4)
\]

\[
q_e = \frac{q_m K_f C_e}{1 + K_f C_e} \quad (5)
\]

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{C_e}{q_m} + \frac{1}{q_m K_L} \quad (6)
\]

where \( n \) and \( K_f \) are dimensionless constants that are related to adsorption capacity and surface adsorption intensity, respectively. Freundlich parameters are calculated using the slope of the line and the width of the origin from the equation of line Log \( q_e \) versus Log \( C_e \). \( q_e \) is also the amount of adsorption of phenol on the adsorbent (mg/g), \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration of solute in the initial solution (mg/L). \( q_m \) and \( K_L \) represent the adsorption capacity of a monolayer and are related to the Langmuir adsorption equation constants. The Langmuir parameters are calculated using the slope of the line and the width of the origin from the equation of the line \( C_e \) versus \( C_e/q_e \) [24].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using SEM analysis, the size of the nZVI produced was approximately 55 nm which is shown in Figure 1. The nanoparticles produced are black, which indicates that they are zero-valent.

Effect of pH on the removal efficiency of phenol by adsorbents

Figures 2 and 3 show the results related to the removal efficiency of adsorbents of nZVI and GnZVI against different pHs with the same laboratory and measured parameters. Phenol has a higher removal efficiency in contact with adsorbents at acidic pHs, which

Figure 1. Scanning electron microscope of synthesized nZVI

Figure 2. Removal of phenol at different solution pH (contact time: 60 min, phenol concentration: 8 mg/L, nZVI doses: 2.5 g)
Figure 3. Removal of phenol at different solution pH (contact time: 60 min, phenol concentration: 8 mg/L, GnZVI doses: 2.5 g)

Figure 5. Removal efficiency of phenol at different times (pH: 3, phenol concentration: 8 mg/L, GnZVI doses: 2.5 g)

Effect of adsorbent dosage on phenol removal efficiency
The adsorbents used in this study were prepared in the amounts of 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 g. Figure 6 shows that the lowest amount of nZVI for phenol removal has an efficiency of 31.75% and with increasing the amount of adsorbent to 2.5 g, the removal efficiency increases to 78.05%. GnZVI in the lowest and highest adsorbent for phenol removal in Figure 7 shows the numbers 18.43 and 58%, respectively. In fact, with increasing the amount of adsorbents, the efficiency of the phenol removal process increases. This is due to the greater participation of particles in the process, followed by higher levels of adsorption.

The effect of increasing phenol dosage on removal efficiency
Figures 8 and 9 show the effect of increasing the phenol concentration from 8 to 32 ppm for the two adsorbents. The finding indicates that an increase in phenol concentration decreased the adsorption capacity. nZVI and GnZVI at concentrations of 8 ppm phenol show efficiencies of 78.05 and 57.83%, respectively. These numbers indicate that at high concentrations, the active sites of adsorption are saturated with contaminant ions,
Figure 7. Removal of phenol by using different GnZVI dosage (pH: 3, phenol concentration: 8 mg/L, contact time: 60 min)

which reduces the efficiency of the process. As can be seen at a concentration of 32 ppm, the removal efficiencies for nZVI and GnZVI adsorbents are 26.18 and 7.85%, respectively.

**Evaluation of adsorption isotherms**

Adsorption data were analyzed by two types of Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm models. The theoretical parameters of the models along with the regression coefficient are shown in Table 1. According to the R² value of each model, it is determined that the Freundlich model has the highest value and is the best model in the adsorption of phenol by the studied nZVI adsorbent.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of this study show that the adsorption process with adsorbents produced from the waste is a good way to remove phenol. The removal efficiency depends on various factors such as pH, contact time, adsorbent amount, and contaminant concentration. In this study, the highest removal efficiency was obtained at acidic pH 3, contact time of 60 minutes, amount of 2.5 g of adsorbent, and concentration of 8 mg/L phenol. Under these conditions, the amount of phenol removal by nZVI and GnZVI was 78.05 and 58.19%, respectively. The findings also show that the adsorption of phenol by nZVI in all experiments is more than GnZVI, which can be attributed to the increase in contact surface and high active sites for nZVI compared to GnZVI. Results from isothermal studies showed that the correlation coefficient of the Freundlich isotherm equation in the limit is relatively high. Therefore, it can be said that phenol removal follows the Freundlich isotherm equation.
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چکیده

هیدروکربن‌های آروماتیک آلاینده‌های سمنی هستند که از طریق صنایع مختلف وارد محیط می‌شوند. این آلاینده‌ها سرطان‌زا هستند و جهش‌های زننده ایجاد می‌کنند. راه حل‌های مختلف برای حذف این آلاینده‌ها وجود دارد، از جمله می‌توان به روش‌های بیولوژیکی، استخراج و انعقاد الکتریکی اشاره کرد. در این مطالعه حذف آلاینده‌ها از روش جذب با پسماندهای آلاینده‌های سنتزی شده، و کرانول‌های ساخته شده انجام شده است. ذرات نانو آهن صفر طرفینشی به روش شیمیایی سنتز شده و با ترکیب پلاستیک پلی‌اکسی‌تیلیک پلی‌آتیلن ایجاد نانوذرات با استفاده از روش جاذبیتی، از مدل SEM تعیین شد. عملکرد این دو جذب برابری تخریب فیل از محیط آب مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. نتایج نشان داده است که حداکثر باریک حذف فنل توسط نانوذرات صفر طرفینشی آهن و کرانول‌های ساخته شده به ترتیب 78 و 82 درصد در شرایط pH 3 و زمان 60 دقیقه، ضایعاتی از لیلی گرمی بر لیتر و دوز جاذب 2/5 گرم می‌باشد. باریک حذف فنل در شرایط اسیدی و دمای آزمایشگاه توسط جاذب نانوذرات صفر طرفینشی آهن با اختلاف 20 درصدی در کارایی حذف بالاتر از جاذب کرانولی است. از آزمایش‌های تحلیل با معادلات لانگمویر و فروندلیج مورد تجزیه و تحلیل قرار گرفتند. مشاهده شد که این آزمایشات از مدل فرودنلیج پیروی می‌کند.