SPECTRAL GAP OF SCL IN GRAPHS OF GROUPS AND 3-MANIFOLDS

LVZHOU CHEN AND NICOLAUS HEUER

ABSTRACT. Stable commutator length \( scl_G(g) \) of an element \( g \) in a group \( G \) is an invariant for group elements sensitive to the geometry and dynamics of \( G \).

For any group \( G \) acting on a tree, we prove a sharp bound \( scl_G(g) \geq 1/2 \) for any \( g \) acting without fixed points, provided that the stabilizer of each edge is \textit{relatively torsion-free} in its vertex stabilizers. The sharp gap becomes \( 1/2 - 1/n \) if the edge stabilizers are \textit{n-relatively torsion-free} in vertex stabilizers. We also compute \( scl_G \) for elements acting with a fixed point.

This implies many such groups have a \textit{spectral gap}, that is, there is a constant \( C > 0 \) such that either \( scl_G(g) \geq C \) or \( scl_G(g) = 0 \). New examples include the fundamental group of any 3-manifold using the JSJ decomposition, though the gap must depend on the manifold. We also obtain the optimal spectral gap of graph products of group without 2-torsion.

We prove these statements by characterizing maps of surfaces to a suitable \( K(G, 1) \). For groups acting on trees, we also construct explicit quasimorphisms and apply Bavard’s duality to give a different proof of our spectral gap theorem under stronger assumptions.
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1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a group and let $G' = [G, G]$ be its commutator subgroup. For an element $g \in G'$ we define the commutator length ($\text{cl}_G(g)$) of $g$ in $G$ as

$$\text{cl}_G(g) := \min \{ n \mid \exists x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in G : g = [x_1, y_1] \cdots [x_n, y_n] \},$$

and define the stable commutator length ($\text{scl}_G(g)$) of $g$ in $G$ as

$$\text{scl}_G(g) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{cl}_G(g^n)}{n}.$$

We extend $\text{scl}_G$ to an invariant on the whole group by setting $\text{scl}_G(g) = \text{scl}_G(g^N)/N$ if $g^N \in G'$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Stable commutator length ($\text{scl}$) arises naturally in geometry, topology and dynamics. See [Cal09b] for an introduction to stable commutator length.

We say that a group $G$ has a spectral gap in $\text{scl}$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $g \in G$ either $\text{scl}_G(g) \geq C$ or $\text{scl}_G(g) = 0$. We are mainly interested in the case where the gap is nontrivial, meaning that $\text{scl}_G$ does not vanish on the commutator subgroup $[G, G]$.

Nontrivial lower bounds of $\text{scl}$ can serve as an obstruction for homomorphisms due to monotonicity of $\text{scl}$. For instance a spectral gap theorem for mapping class groups by [BBF16] implies that any homomorphism from an irreducible lattice of a higher rank semisimple Lie group to a mapping class group has finite image, originally a theorem of Farb–Kaimanovich–Masur [KM96, FM98]; See Theorem 2.7 for more details. Sharp spectral gap results have also been used [IMT19] to show nonexistence of the so-called generalized torsion in certain groups; See also Section 3.3.

Many classes of groups have spectral gaps, including free groups, word-hyperbolic groups, mapping class groups of closed surfaces, and right-angled Artin groups. See Subsection 2.1 for a list of known results.

In this article we study sharp spectral gaps of groups acting on trees without inversion. By the work of Bass–Serre, such groups may be algebraically decomposed into graphs of groups built from their edge and vertex stabilizers; See Subsection 2.3. Basic examples of groups acting on trees are amalgamated free products and HNN extensions. Many classes of groups have a natural graph of groups structure associated to them. Examples include the JSJ decomposition of 3-manifolds and HNN-hierarchy of one-relator groups, as well as the decomposition of graph products into amalgamated free products.

An element acting on a tree is called elliptic if it stabilizes some vertex and hyperbolic otherwise. We will discuss the stable commutator length of both types of elements.

We say that a pair of a group $G$ and a subgroup $H \leq G$ is $n$-relatively torsion-free ($n$-RTF) if there is no $1 \leq k < n$, $g \in G \setminus H$ and $\{h_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \subset H$ such that

$$g h_1 \cdots g h_k = 1_G,$$

and simply relatively torsion-free if we can take $n = \infty$; See Definition 3.4. We say that $H$ is left relatively convex if there is a $G$-invariant order on the cosets $G/H = \{gH \mid g \in G\}$ where $G$ acts on the left. Every left relatively convex subgroup is relatively torsion-free; See Lemma 3.15.

**Theorem A** (Theorems 3.9 and 3.19). Let $G$ be a group acting on a tree such that the stabilizer of every edge is $n$-RTF in the stabilizers of the its vertices. If $g \in G$ is hyperbolic, then

$$\text{scl}_G(g) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}, \text{ if } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and }$$

$$\text{scl}_G(g) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ if } n = \infty.$$

If the stabilizer of every edge lies left relatively convex in the stabilizers of its vertices, then there is an explicit homogeneous quasimorphism $\phi$ (see Subsection 1.1.1 for the definition) on $G$ such that $\phi(g) \geq 1$ and $D(\phi) \leq 1$. 

**Proof.** We will discuss the stable commutator length of both types of elements.
Our estimates are sharp, strengthening the estimates in [CFL16] and generalizing all other spectral gap results for graphs of groups known to the authors [Che18b, DH91, Heu19]. See Subsection 1.1.2 for a stronger version that gives the estimates for individual elements under weaker assumptions.

The stable commutator length generalizes to chains, i.e. linear combinations of elements; See Subsection 2.1. We show how to compute $\text{scl}_G$ of chains of elliptic elements in terms of the stable commutator length of vertex groups.

**Theorem B** (Theorem 4.2). Let $G$ be a group acting on a tree with vertex stabilizers $\{G_v\}$ and let $c_v$ be a chain of elliptic elements in $G_v$. Then

$$\text{scl}_G(\sum_v c_v) = \inf \sum_v \text{scl}_{G_v}(c'_v),$$

where each $c'_v$ is a chain of elliptic elements in $G_v$, and the infimum is taken over all collections $\{c'_v\}$ of chains obtained from adjusting $\{c_v\}$ by chains of elements in edge stabilizers.

See Theorem 4.2 for a precise statement. For example if $G = A \ast \mathbb{Z}$ where $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $t$ then we show that $\text{scl}_G(t)$ is the minimum of $\text{scl}_A(t)$ and $\text{scl}_B(t)$; See Theorem 4.8.

We apply our results to obtain spectral gaps of 3-manifold groups using the JSJ decomposition and geometrization theorem.

**Theorem C** (Theorem 6.11). For any closed oriented connected 3-manifold $M$, there is a constant $C(M) > 0$ such that for any $g \in \pi_1(M)$ we have either $\text{scl}_{\pi_1(M)}(g) \geq C(M)$ or $\text{scl}_{\pi_1(M)}(g) = 0$.

The gap $C(M)$ must depend on $M$; See Example 4.14. However, we classify elements with $\text{scl}_{\pi_1(M)}(g) = 0$ and describe those with $\text{scl}_{\pi_1(M)}(g) < 1/48$ in Theorem 6.31. See Subsection 1.3 for more details.

As another application, we obtain spectral gaps for graph products of groups; See Subsection 1.2 and Theorem D below.

1.1. **Method.** Let $G$ be a group and $g \in G$. There are two equivalent definitions of $\text{scl}_G(g)$ that lead to two very different approaches to proving lower bounds of $\text{scl}_G(g)$.

1.1.1. **Stable commutator length via quasimorphisms.** The first one uses Bavard’s duality and homogeneous quasimorphisms. A quasimorphism on a group $G$ is a map $\phi: G \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the defect $D(\phi) := \sup_{g,h \in G} |\phi(g) + \phi(h) - \phi(gh)|$ is finite. A quasimorphism is homogeneous if it restricts to a homomorphism on each cyclic subgroup. Bavard’s Duality Theorem 2.9 asserts that

$$\text{scl}_G(g) = \sup_{\phi} \frac{\phi(g)}{2D(\phi)},$$

where the supremum ranges over all homogeneous quasimorphisms.

Using this point of view, to establish a lower bound of $\text{scl}_G(g)$, it suffices to construct one good homogeneous quasimorphism for the given element $g \in G$. This has become a common approach for proving spectral gap theorems [CP10] [BBF16] [CFL16] [Heu19]. However, it is very difficult to construct homogeneous quasimorphisms to prove sharp bounds. One recent new idea is to construct certain nice maps (called letter quasimorphisms) from $G$ to free groups that allow us to pull back nice quasimorphisms on free groups to ones on $G$. This was first used by the second author [Heu19] for amalgamated free products with left relatively convex edge groups.

Here we generalize this approach to graphs of groups, in particular HNN extensions; See Subsection 3.5. This allows us to construct the desired quasimorphisms (Theorem 3.19) as stated in the second part of Theorem A.
1.1.2. Stable commutator length via admissible surfaces. A very different approach to proving lower bounds of $\text{scl}_G(g)$ (or more generally computing it) uses the topological definition of scl via admissible surfaces.

Let $X$ be a topological space with fundamental group $G$ and let $\gamma: S^1 \to X$ be a loop representing $g \in G$. An oriented surface map $f: S \to X$ is called admissible for $g$ of degree $n(f) > 0$, if there is a covering map $\partial f: \partial S \to S^1$ of total degree $n(f)$ such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial S & \xrightarrow{\partial f} & S^1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S & \xrightarrow{f} & X
\end{array}
$$

commutes. It is known [Cal09b, Section 2.1] that

$$
\text{scl}_G(g) = \inf_{(f,S)} \frac{-\chi(S)}{2n(f)},
$$

where the infimum ranges over all admissible surfaces and where $\chi$ is the Euler characteristic ignoring sphere and disk components.

From this point of view, to establish a lower bound of $\text{scl}_G(g)$, we need a uniform lower bound over all admissible surfaces. This seemingly more difficult approach is the main point of view we use in this article. Actually, the graphs of groups structure of the underlying group $G$ allows us to simplify and decompose admissible surfaces into smaller pieces that are easy to understand.

A graph of groups $G$ has a standard realization $X$ that has fundamental group $G$ and contains vertex and edge spaces corresponding to the vertex and edge groups. Each hyperbolic element $g$ is represented by some loop $\gamma$ that cyclically visits finitely many vertex spaces, each time entering the vertex space from one adjacent edge space and exiting from another. A backtrack of $\gamma$ at a vertex space $X_v$ is a time when $\gamma$ enters and exits $X_v$ from the same edge space $X_e$. If $\gamma$ is pulled tight, each such a backtrack gives rise to a winding number $g_{e,v} \in G_v \setminus G_e$, where $G_v$ and $G_e$ are the vertex and edge groups corresponding to $X_v$ and $X_e$. See Subsection 3.1 for more details.

For a subgroup $H \leq G$ and $k \geq 2$, an element $g \in G \setminus H$ has order $\geq n \text{ rel } H$ if for all $k < n$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in H$ we have

$$
gh_1 \ldots gh_k \neq 1_G.
$$

Then $H$ is $n$-RTF if and only if each $g \in G \setminus H$ has order $\geq n \text{ rel } H$.

In Section 3 we prove the following stronger version of Theorem A.

**Theorem A** (Theorem 3.8). Let $G$ be a graph of groups and let $3 \leq n \leq \infty$. Suppose $g$ is represented by a tight loop $\gamma$ so that the winding number $g_{e,v}$ associated to any backtrack at a vertex space $X_v$ through an edge space $X_e$ has order $\geq n \text{ rel } G_v$ in $G_v \setminus G_e$. Then

$$
\text{scl}_G(g) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}.
$$

The proof is based on a linear programming duality method that we develop to uniformly estimate the Euler characteristic of all admissible surfaces in $X$ in normal form. The normal form is obtained by cutting the surface along edge spaces and simplifying the resulting surfaces, similar to the one in [Che20]. The linear programming duality method is a generalization of the argument for free products by the first author [Che18b].

1.2. Graph products. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple and not necessarily connected graph with vertex set $V$ and let $\{G_v\}_{v \in V}$ be a collection of groups. The *graph product* $G\Gamma$ is the quotient of the free product $\ast_{v \in V} G_v$ subject to the relations $[g_u, g_v]$ for any $g_u \in G_u$ and $g_v \in G_v$ such that $u, v$ are adjacent vertices.
Several classes of non-positively curved groups are graph products including right-angled Artin groups; See Example 5.2. Each vertex of the graph induces a splitting of the graph product as an amalgam; See Lemma 5.3. Using such a structure, we show:

**Theorem D** (Theorem 5.5). Let \( G_\Gamma \) be a graph product. Suppose \( g = g_1 \cdots g_m \in G_\Gamma \) (\( m \geq 1 \)) is in cyclically reduced form and there is some \( 3 \leq n \leq \infty \) such that \( g_i \in G_v \) has order at least \( n \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). Then either

\[
\text{scl}_{G_\Gamma}(g) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n},
\]

or \( \Gamma \) contains a complete subgraph \( \Lambda \) with vertex set \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\} \). In the latter case, we have

\[
\text{scl}_{G_\Gamma}(g) = \text{scl}_{G_\Lambda}(g) = \max \text{scl}_{G_i}(g_i).
\]

The estimate is sharp: For \( g_v \in G_v \) of order \( n \geq 2 \) and \( g_u \in G_u \) of order \( m \geq 2 \) with \( u \) not adjacent to \( v \) in \( \Gamma \), we have \( \text{scl}_{G_\Gamma}([g_u, g_v]) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\min(m,n)} \); See Remark 5.6. In particular, for a collection of groups with a uniform spectral gap and without 2-torsion, their graph product has a spectral gap.

In the special case of right-angled Artin groups, this provides a new proof of the sharp \( 1/2 \) gap [Heu19] that is topological in nature.

1.3. 3-manifold groups. Let \( G \) be the fundamental group of a closed oriented connected 3-manifold \( M \). The prime decomposition of \( M \) canonically splits \( G \) as a free product. For each non-geometric prime factor, the corresponding free factor has the structure of a graph of groups by the JSJ decomposition, where each vertex group is the fundamental group of a geometric 3-manifold by the geometrization theorem.

Using this structure, we prove Theorem C for any 3-manifold group in Section 6. This positively answers a question that Genevieve Walsh asked about the existence of spectral gaps of 3-manifolds after a talk by Joel Louwsma at an AMS sectional meeting in 2017.

Although the spectral gap in Theorem C cannot be uniform, its proof implies that elements with scl less than \( 1/48 \) must take certain special forms, and it allows us to classify elements with zero scl; See Theorem 6.31. Besides, as is suggested by Michael Hull, prime 3-manifolds only with hyperbolic pieces in the JSJ decomposition have finitely many conjugacy classes with scl strictly less than \( 1/48 \) (Corollary 6.32).

For hyperbolic elements in prime factors, we also have a uniform gap \( 1/48 \) using the acylindricity of the action and a gap theorem of Clay–Forester–Louwsma [CFL16, Theorem 6.11]. This gap can be improved to \( 1/6 \) unless the prime factor contains in its geometric decomposition either the twisted \( I \)-bundle over a Klein bottle or a Seifert fibered space over a hyperbolic orbifold that contains no cone points of even order. This is accomplished by using geometry to verify the 3-RTF condition in Theorem A.

The proof of Theorem C relies heavily on estimates of scl in vertex groups (Theorem 6.25). On the one hand this uses a simple estimate in terms of relative stable commutator length (Lemma 3.2) together with generalized versions of earlier gap results of hyperbolic groups [Cal08, CF10]. On the other hand, this relies on our characterization of scl in edge groups (Corollary 4.7), where the simple estimate above is useless.

**Organization of the paper.** This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic or well known results on stable commutator length and its relative version, including quasimorphisms and Bavard’s duality. In Section 3 we develop a linear programming duality method to estimate scl of hyperbolic elements in graphs of groups and prove Theorem A. Subsection 3.4 includes a discussion on the crucial \( n \)-RTF conditions and key examples. Subsection 3.5 is devoted to the construction of explicit quasimorphisms that prove our spectral gap Theorem A under the stronger left relatively convex assumption. In Section 4 we compute stable commutator length for edge
group elements in graphs of groups. Finally, we apply our results to obtain spectral gaps in graph products (Section 5) and 3-manifolds (Section 6).

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Martin Bridson, Danny Calegari and Jason Manning for many helpful suggestions and encouragement. We also thank Lei Chen, Max Forester, Michael Hull, Qixiao Ma, Ben McReynolds, Genevieve Walsh and Yiwen Zhou for useful conversations. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for careful reading and valuable suggestions that improve the exposition.

2. Background

2.1. Stable commutator length.

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ be a group and $G'$ its commutator subgroup. Each element $g \in G'$ may be written as a product of commutators $g = [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_k, b_k]$. The smallest such $k$ is called the commutator length of $g$ and denoted by $cl_G(g)$. The stable commutator length is the limit

$$scl_G(g) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{cl_G(g^n)}{n}.$$ 

It is easy to see that $cl_G$ is subadditive and thus that the limit above always exists.

Stable commutator length can be equivalently defined and generalized using admissible surfaces. An integral (rational, or real resp.) chain $c = \sum c_i g_i$ is a finite formal sum of group elements $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G$ with integral (rational, or real resp.) coefficients $c_i$.

Let $X$ be a space with fundamental group $G$ and let $c = \sum c_i g_i$ be a rational chain. Represent each $g_i$ by a loop $\gamma_i : S_{i}^{1} \to X$. An admissible surface of degree $n(f) \geq 1$ is a map $f : S \to X$ from a compact oriented surface $S$ with boundary $\partial S$ such that the following diagram commutes and $\partial f[\partial S] = n(f) \sum_i c_i [S_i^1]$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial S & \xrightarrow{\partial f} & \sqcup S_i^1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \vert \gamma_i \\
S & \xrightarrow{f} & X
\end{array}$$

where $\partial S \hookrightarrow S$ is the inclusion map.

Such surfaces exist when the rational chain $c$ is null-homologous, i.e. $[c] = 0 \in H_1(G; \mathbb{Q})$. An admissible surface $S$ is monotone if $\partial f$ is a covering map of positive degree on every boundary component of $S$.

For any connected orientable compact surface $S$, let $\chi^{-}(S)$ be $\chi(S)$ unless $S$ is a disk or a sphere, in which case we set $\chi^{-}(S) = 0$. If $S$ is disconnected, we define $\chi^{-}(S)$ as the sum of $\chi^{-}(\Sigma)$ over all components $\Sigma$ of $S$. Equivalently, $\chi^{-}(S)$ is the Euler characteristic of $S$ after removing disk and sphere components.

Definition 2.2. For a null-homologous rational chain $c = \sum c_i g_i$ in $G$ as above, its stable commutator length is defined as

$$scl_G(c) = \inf_S \frac{-\chi^{-}(S)}{2n(f)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all admissible surfaces $S$, where $n(f)$ is the corresponding degree. If $c$ is nontrivial in the first (rational) homology, we make the convention that $scl_G(c) = +\infty$.

By \cite[Proposition 2.13]{Cal09b}, the infimum remains the same if we restrict to monotone admissible surfaces. For the rest of this paper we mostly use monotone admissible surfaces. If a chain is a single element $c = g \in G'$, then this topological definition agrees with Definition 2.1.

Let $C_1(G)$ be the space of real chains in $G$, i.e. the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space with basis $G$. Let $H(G)$ be the subspace spanned by all elements of the form $g^n - ng$ for $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $hgh^{-1} - g$ for
Lemma 2.6. Our Theorem C adds all 3-manifold groups to the list.

Definition 2.3. For any null-homologous real chain \( c = \sum c_i g_i \in C_1(G) \), there are null-homologous rational chains \( c' = \sum c'_i g_i, \ c'_i \in \mathbb{Q} \) with each \( c'_i \) arbitrarily close to \( c_i \). As \( c'_i \in \mathbb{Q} \) tends to \( c_i \) for all \( i \), for such chains \( \text{scl}_G(c') \) converges to a unique number, which agrees with \( \text{scl}_G(c) \) if \( c \) is itself rational and is defined to be \( \text{scl}_G(c) \) if \( c \) is irrational.

\( \text{scl} \) vanishes on \( H(G) \) and induces a semi-norm on \( B_1^H(G) \). See [Cal09, Chapter 2]. Sometimes, \( \text{scl} \) is a genuine norm, for example if \( G \) is word-hyperbolic [CF10].

Here are some basic properties of \( \text{scl} \) that easily follow from the definitions.

Lemma 2.4. (1) (Stability) \( \text{scl}_G(g^n) = n \cdot \text{scl}_G(g) \);

(2) (Monotonicity) For any homomorphism \( \phi : G \to H \) and any chain \( c \in C_1(G) \), we have \( \text{scl}_G(c) \geq \text{scl}_H(\phi(c)) \);

(3) (Retract) If a subgroup \( H \leq G \) is a retract, i.e. there is a homomorphism \( r : G \to H \) with \( r|_H = \text{id} \), then \( \text{scl}_G(c) = \text{scl}_H(c) \) for all chains \( c \in C_1(H) \);

(4) (Direct product) For \( a \in A \) and \( b \in B \) in the direct product \( G = A \times B \), we have \( \text{cl}_G(a, b) = \max\{\text{cl}_A(a), \text{cl}_B(b)\} \) and \( \text{scl}_G(a, b) = \max\{\text{scl}_A(a), \text{scl}_B(b)\} \).

Note that finite-order elements can be removed from a chain without changing \( \text{scl} \). Thus we will often assume elements in chains to have infinite order.

Definition 2.5. A group \( G \) has a spectral gap \( C > 0 \) if for any \( g \in G \) either \( \text{scl}_G(g) \geq C \) or \( \text{scl}_G(g) = 0 \). If in addition, the case \( \text{scl}_G(g) = 0 \) only occurs when \( g \) is torsion, we say \( G \) has a strong spectral gap \( C \).

Many classes of groups are known to have a spectral gap.

(1) \( G \) trivially has a spectral gap \( C \) for any \( C > 0 \) if \( \text{scl}_G \) vanishes on \( B_1^H(G) \), which is the case if \( G \) is amenable [Cal09, Theorem 2.47] or an irreducible lattice in a semisimple Lie group of higher rank [BM99, BM02]. The gap is strong if \( G \) is abelian;

(2) \( G \) has a strong spectral gap \( 1/2 \) if \( G \) is residually free [DH91].

(3) \( \delta \)-hyperbolic groups have gaps depending on the number of generators and \( \delta \) [CF10]. The gap is strong if the group is also torsion-free.

(4) Any finite index subgroup of the mapping class group of a (possibly punctured) closed surface has a spectral gap [BBF16]. Moreover, each such mapping class group contains a finite index (torsion-free) subgroup \( G \) that has a strong spectral gap \( \epsilon > 0 \).

(5) All right-angled Artin groups have a strong gap \( 1/2 \) [Hen19]; See [FFT19, FSTar] for earlier weaker estimates.

(6) All Baumslag–Solitar groups have a gap \( 1/12 \) [CFL16].

Our Theorem C adds all 3-manifold groups to the list.

The gap property is essentially preserved under taking free products.

Lemma 2.6 (Clay–Forrester–Louwsma). Let \( G = \ast_{\lambda} G_{\lambda} \) be a free product. Then for any \( g \in G \) not conjugate into any free factor, we have either \( \text{scl}_G(g) = 0 \) or \( \text{scl}_G(g) \geq 1/12 \). Moreover, \( \text{scl}_G(g) = 0 \) if and only if \( g \) is conjugate to \( g^{-1} \). Thus if the groups \( G_{\lambda} \) have a uniform spectral gap \( C > 0 \), then \( G \) has a gap \( \min\{C, 1/12\} \).

Proof. If \( g \) is not conjugate into any free factor, then it either satisfies the so-called well-aligned condition in [CFL16] or is conjugate to its inverse. For such \( g \), it follows from [CFL16 Theorem 6.9] that either \( \text{scl}_G(g) \geq 1/12 \) or \( \text{scl}_G(g) = 0 \), corresponding to the two situations. Assuming the free factors have a uniform gap \( C \), if an element \( g \in G \) is conjugate into some free factor \( G_{\lambda} \), then \( \text{scl}_G(g) = \text{scl}_{G_{\lambda}}(g) \geq C \) since \( G_{\lambda} \) is a retract of \( G \).

\[ \square \]
The constant 1/12 is optimal in general, but can be improved if there is no torsion of small order. See [Che18b] or [IK18].

Many other groups have a uniform positive lower bound on most elements. They often satisfy a spectral gap in a relative sense, which we introduce in Subsection 2.3.

One can obtain rigidity results using spectral gap theorems. The following proof is not original but we can find it in the literature.

**Theorem 2.7** (Farb–Kaimanovich–Masur [KM96, FM98]). For any irreducible higher rank lattice $\Gamma$ and a mapping class group $\text{Mod}(S)$, any homomorphism $h : \Gamma \to \text{Mod}(S)$ has finite image.

**Proof.** By [BBF16] Theorem B, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ and a finite index subgroup $G \leq \text{Mod}(S)$ such that $\text{scl}_G(g) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $g \neq \text{id} \in G$. We may replace $\Gamma$ by its finite index subgroup $\Lambda := h^{-1}G$, for which the same assumption holds. So we may assume without loss of generality that $h(\Gamma) \subset G$. By theorems of Burger–Monod [BM99, BM02] and the fact that higher rank lattices have finite abelianization, we have $\text{scl}_\Gamma(\gamma) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence by monotonicity, $\text{scl}_G(h(\gamma)) \leq \text{scl}_\Gamma(\gamma) = 0$, so $\text{scl}_G(h(\gamma)) = 0$ and $h(\gamma) = \text{id}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since we passed to a finite index subgroup at the beginning, we only conclude that $h$ has finite image in general. □

### 2.2. Quasimorphisms and Bavard’s duality.

Stable commutator length is dual to the so-called homogeneous quasimorphisms.

A **quasimorphism** on a group $G$ is a map $\phi : G \to \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$D(\phi) := \sup_{g,h \in G} |\phi(g) + \phi(h) - \phi(gh)| < \infty,$$

and $D(\phi)$ is called the **defect** of $\phi$. A quasimorphism $\phi : G \to \mathbb{R}$ is **homogeneous** if for all $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\phi(g^n) = n \cdot \phi(g)$. Homogeneous quasimorphisms form a vector space $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ under pointwise addition and $\mathbb{R}$-scalar multiplication. Homomorphisms to $\mathbb{R}$ are trivial examples of homogeneous quasimorphisms, which form a vector subspace $H^1(G) \leq \mathcal{Q}(G)$.

We collect some well known properties for homogeneous quasimorphisms:

**Proposition 2.8** ([Cal09b]). Let $\phi : G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a homogeneous quasimorphism. Then $\phi$ is constant on each conjugacy class and restricts to a homomorphism on each amenable subgroup $H \leq G$. In particular, $\phi(gh) = \phi(g) + \phi(h)$ if $g$ and $h$ commute.

We can pullback a homogeneous quasimorphism $\phi \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$ by any homomorphism $f : H \to G$ via $f^* \phi := \phi \circ f \in \mathcal{Q}(H)$. It follows from the definition that $D(f^* \phi) \leq D(\phi)$.

Bavard’s duality theorem [Bav91] provides the connection to stable commutator length. Here we state the generalized version for chains [Cal09b] Theorem 2.79).

**Theorem 2.9** (Bavard’s duality). Let $c$ be a null homologous chain in a group $G$. Then

$$\text{scl}(c) = \sup_{\phi} \frac{|\phi(c)|}{2D(\phi)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all homogeneous quasimorphisms $\phi \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$, and $\phi(c)$ is defined by linearity.

Equivalently, this shows that the quotient space of $B_1(G)$ by the subspace of chains with zero scl, equipped the induced scl norm, has dual space isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}(G)/H^1(G)$ with norm $2D(\cdot)$; See [Cal09b] Sections 2.4 and 2.5).

For a fixed $g \in G$ the supremum is achieved by an **extremal** quasimorphism $\phi$ [Cal09b, Proposition 2.88]. However, extremal quasimorphisms are notoriously hard to construct. For free groups, explicit constructions of extremal quasimorphisms are known for words with scl value $1/2$ but not in general; See [Heu19] and also [Cal09a, CFL16].

Note that, by Bavard’s duality, we obtain a lower bound $\text{scl}(c) \geq C$ once we construct a homogeneous quasimorphism $\phi \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$ with $D(\phi) \leq 1$ and $\phi(c) \geq 2C$. In this case, we say the
quasimorphism $\phi$ detects the lower bound $C$. Similarly, one may construct a family of quasimorphisms to obtain a spectral gap $C$, in which case we say such quasimorphisms detect the spectral gap $C$.

2.3. Relative stable commutator length. We will use relative stable commutator length to state our results in the most natural and the strongest form. It was informally mentioned or implicitly used in [CF10, CFL16, IK18], and it was formalized and shown to be useful in scl computations in [Che20].

**Definition 2.10.** Let $\{G_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a collection of subgroups of $G$. Let $C_1(\{G_\lambda\})$ be the subspace of $C_1(G)$ consisting of chains of the form $\sum_\lambda c_\lambda$ with $c_\lambda \in C_1(G_\lambda)$, where all but finitely many $c_\lambda$ vanish in each summation.

For any chain $c \in C_1(G)$, define its relative stable commutator length to be

$$\text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\}))(c) := \inf\{\text{scl}_G(c + c') : c' \in C_1(\{G_\lambda\})\}.$$ 

Let $H_1(\{G_\lambda\}) \leq H_1(G; \mathbb{R})$ be the subspace of homology classes represented by chains in $C_1(\{G_\lambda\})$. Recall that we have a linear map $h_G : C^H_1(G) \to H_1(G)$ taking chains to their homology classes. Denote $B^H_1(G, \{G_\lambda\}) := h_G^{-1}H_1(\{G_\lambda\})$, which contains $B^H_1(G)$ as a subspace. Then $\text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\})}$ is finite on $B^H_1(G, \{G_\lambda\})$ and is a semi-norm.

The following basic properties of relative scl will be used later.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let $G$ be a group and $\{G_\lambda\}$ be a collection of subgroups.

1. $\text{scl}_G(c) \geq \text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\}))(c)$ for any $c \in C^H_1(G)$.
2. If $g^n$ is conjugate into some $G_\lambda$ for some integer $n \neq 0$, then $\text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\})}(g) = 0$.
3. (Stability) For any $g \in G$, we have $\text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\})}(g^n) = n \cdot \text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\})}(g)$.
4. (Monotonicity) Let $\phi : G \to H$ be a homomorphism such that $\phi(G_\lambda) \subset H_\lambda$ for a collection of subgroups $H_\lambda$ of $H$, then for any $c \in C_1(G)$ we have

$$\text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\})}(c) \geq \text{scl}_{(H,\{H_\lambda\})}(\phi(c)).$$

For rational chains, relative scl can be computed using relative admissible surfaces, which are admissible surfaces possibly with extra boundary components in $\{G_\lambda\}$. This is [Che20, Proposition 2.9] stated as Lemma 2.13 below.

**Definition 2.12.** Let $c \in B^H_1(G, \{G_\lambda\})$ be a rational chain. A surface $S$ together with a specified collection of boundary components $\partial_0 \subset \partial S$ is called relative admissible for $c$ of degree $n > 0$ if $\partial_0$ represents $[nc] \in C^H_1(G)$ and every other boundary component of $S$ represents an element conjugate into $G_\lambda$.

**Lemma 2.13 ([Che20]).** For any rational chain $c \in B^H_1(G, \{G_\lambda\})$, we have

$$\text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\})}(c) = \inf \frac{-\chi^-(S)}{2n},$$

where the infimum is taken over all relative admissible surfaces for $c$.

The Bavard duality Theorem 2.9 naturally generalizes to relative stable commutator length.

**Lemma 2.14 (Relative Bavard’s Duality).** For any chain $c \in B^H_1(G, \{G_\lambda\})$, we have

$$\text{scl}_{(G,\{G_\lambda\})}(c) = \sup \frac{f(c)}{2D(f)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all homogeneous quasimorphisms $f$ on $G$ that vanish on $C_1(\{G_\lambda\})$. 

\textbf{Proof.} This essentially follows from Bavard’s duality and the following standard fact: For a normed vector space \((W, \cdot | \cdot)\) and its dual \((W^*, \cdot | \cdot)_*\), the quotient \(V = W/U\) by a closed subspace \(U\) with the induced norm had dual space isometrically isomorphic to the subspace of \(W^*\) consisting of functionals that vanish on \(U\).

Recall that \(Q(G)\) is the space of homogeneous quasimorphisms on \(G\). Let \(N(G)\) be the subspace of \(B_1(G)\) where \(\text{scl}\) vanishes. Then the quotient \(B_1(G)/N(G)\) with induced \(\text{scl}\) becomes a normed vector space. Denote the quotient map by \(\pi : B_1(G) \to B_1(G)/N(G)\). As we mentioned earlier, Bavard’s duality Theorem 2.9 shows that the dual space of \(B_1(G)/N(G)\) is exactly \(Q(G)/H^1(G)\) equipped with the norm \(2D(\cdot)\). Then \(\text{scl}\) further induces a norm \(\| \cdot \|\) on the quotient space \(V\) of \(B_1(G)/N(G)\) by the closure of \(\pi(G_1(\{G_\lambda\}) \cap B_1(G))\). By definition we have \(\| \bar{c} \| = \text{scl}(G, G_\lambda)(\bar{c})\) for any \(\bar{c} \in B_1(G)\), where \(\bar{c}\) is the image in \(V\). By the standard fact above, the dual space of \(V\) is naturally isomorphic to the subspace of \(Q(G)/H^1(G)\) consisting of linear functionals that vanish on \(C_1(\{G_\lambda\}) \cap B_1(G)\). Any \(\bar{f} \in Q(G)/H^1(G)\) with this vanishing property can be represented by some \(f \in Q(G)\) that vanishes on \(C_1(\{G_\lambda\})\). This proves the assertion assuming \(c \in B_1^H(G)\). The general case easily follows since any \(\bar{c} \in B_1^H(G, \{G_\lambda\})\) can be replaced by \(c + c' \in B_1^H(G)\) for some \(c' \in C_1(\{G_\lambda\})\) without changing both sides of the equation. \(\square\)

\textbf{Definition 2.15.} For a collection of subgroups \(\{G_\lambda\}\) of \(G\) and a positive number \(C\), we say \((G, \{G_\lambda\})\) has a strong relative spectral gap \(C\) if either \(\text{scl}(G, \{G_\lambda\})(g) \geq C\) or \(\text{scl}(G, \{G_\lambda\})(g) = 0\) for all \(g \in G\), where the latter case occurs if and only if \(g^n\) is conjugate into some \(G_\lambda\) for some \(n \neq 0\).

Some previous work on spectral gap properties of \(\text{scl}\) can be stated in terms of or strengthened to strong relative spectral gap. Here are two results that we will use in Section 6.

\textbf{Theorem 2.16.}

\(1\) [CF10, Theorem A] Let \(G\) be \(\delta\)-hyperbolic with symmetric generating set \(S\). Let \(a\) be an element with \(a^n \neq ba^{-n}b^{-1}\) for all \(n \neq 0\) and all \(b \in G\). Let \(\{a_i\}\) be a collection of elements with translation lengths bounded by \(T\). Suppose \(a^n\) is not conjugate into any \(G_i := \langle a_i \rangle\) for any \(n \neq 0\), then there is \(C = C(\delta, |S|, T) > 0\) such that \(\text{scl}(G, \{G_i\})(a) \geq C\).

\(2\) [Cal08, Theorem C] Let \(M\) be a compact 3-manifold with tori boundary (possibly empty). Suppose the interior of \(M\) is hyperbolic with finite volume. Then \((\pi_1 M, \pi_1 \partial M)\) has a strong relative spectral gap \(C(M) > 0\), where \(\pi_1 \partial M\) is the collection of peripheral subgroups.

\textbf{Proof.} Part \(1\) is an equivalent statement of the original theorem [CF10, Theorem A] in view of Lemma 2.14. Part \(2\) is stated stronger than the original form [Cal08, Theorem C] but can be proved in the same way. See Theorem 6.9 for the detailed proof. \(\square\)

In addition, our Theorem 3.9 immediately implies the following strong relative spectral gap results, which are stronger than the original statements cited.

\textbf{Theorem 2.17.}

\(1\) [Che18b, Theorem 3.1] Let \(n \geq 3\) and let \(G = *_{\lambda} G_\lambda\) be a free product where \(G_\lambda\) has no \(k\)-torsion for all \(k < n\). Then \((G, \{G_\lambda\})\) has a strong relative spectral gap \(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{n}\).

\(2\) [Heu19, Theorem 6.3] Suppose we have inclusions of groups \(C \hookrightarrow A\) and \(C \hookrightarrow B\) such that both images are left relatively convex subgroups (see Definition 3.13). Let \(G = A *_C B\) be the associated amalgam. Then \((G, \{A, B\})\) has a strong relative spectral gap \(\frac{1}{2}\).

2.4. Bounded cohomology and circle actions. We recall the close connection between quasimorphisms and the second bounded cohomology, with an emphasis on the rotation quasimorphism and the (bounded) Euler class associated to a group acting on the circle. See [Fri17, Ghy87] and also [BFH16] for a thorough treatment of such topics.

Let \(G\) be a group and let \(V\) be either \(\mathbb{Z}\) or \(\mathbb{R}\). The \textit{bounded cohomology with coefficients in} \(V\), denoted as \(H^*_b(G; V)\), is the homology of the (co)chain complex \((C^*_b(G, V), \delta^n)\), where we think of
$C^0_b(G, V)$ as the set of $V$-valued bounded functions on $G^n$ in the inhomogeneous resolution setting. There is a natural comparison map $c : H^n_b(G; V) \to H^n(G; V)$ by treating a bounded cocycle just as a usual cocycle.

The coboundary $\delta \phi$ of any homogeneous quasimorphism $\phi$ on $G$ represents a bounded cohomology class in $H^2_b(G; \mathbb{R})$, which is trivial if $\phi \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R})$. This defines a linear map $\delta : Q(G)/H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \to H^2_b(G; \mathbb{R})$, which fits into the following exact sequence [Cal09b, Theorem 2.50]:

$$0 \to Q(G)/H^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2_b(G; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\cdot} H^2(G; \mathbb{R}).$$

For an exact sequence of group homomorphisms $K \to G \to H \to 1$, we also have the following exact sequence [Bou95]:

$$0 \to H^2_b(H, \mathbb{R}) \to H^2_b(G, \mathbb{R}) \to H^2_b(K, \mathbb{R}).$$

The same holds replacing $H^2_b(\cdot)$ by $Q(\cdot)$; See also [Cal09b, Theorem 2.49 and Remark 2.90].

Now we turn to orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the circle. There is a bounded Euler class $e^Z_b \in H^2_b(\text{Homeo}^+(S^1); \mathbb{Z})$, whose image under the comparison map is the ordinary Euler class $e^Z \in H^2(\text{Homeo}^+(S^1); \mathbb{Z})$. Identify $S^1$ with $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\tau(x) = x + 1$ be a generator of the deck transformation. Then all lifts of homeomorphisms in $\text{Homeo}^+(S^1)$ to the universal cover $\mathbb{R}$ form a group

$$\text{Homeo}^+_Z(\mathbb{R}) := \{ h \in \text{Homeo}^+(\mathbb{R}) \mid h \circ \tau = \tau \circ h \}.$$  

This gives a central extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \text{Homeo}^+_Z(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Homeo}^+(S^1) \to 1,$$

where the central subgroup $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\tau$. The Euler class associated to this central extension is exactly $e^Z$. For our purposes, we only care about the image $e^R_b \in H^2_b(\text{Homeo}^+(S^1); \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $e^R \in H^2(\text{Homeo}^+(S^1); \mathbb{R})$) of $e^Z$ (resp. $e^Z_b$) by a change of coefficient $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$.

There is a rotation quasimorphism $\text{rot} \in Q(\text{Homeo}^+_Z(\mathbb{R}))$ defined as

$$\text{rot}(h) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h^n(x)}{n}.$$  

The limit exists and it is independent of the reference point $x \in \mathbb{R}$; See [Ghy01].

**Theorem 2.18** ([Cal09b, Theorem 2.43], [Ghy01]). The map $\text{rot}$ is a homogeneous quasimorphism of defect 1, and $Q(\text{Homeo}^+_Z(\mathbb{R}))$ is one-dimensional, spanned by $\text{rot}$. Moreover, for any $h \in \text{Homeo}^+_Z(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\text{rot}(h) \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if the image $\pi(h) \in \text{Homeo}^+(S^1)$ acts with a fixed point on $S^1$.

The rotation quasimorphism is related to the bounded Euler class by the equation $\delta \text{rot} = \pi^* e^R_b \in H^2_b(\text{Homeo}^+_Z(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{R})$.

Given a group action $\rho : G \to \text{Homeo}^+(S^1)$, the associated bounded (resp. ordinary) Euler class with real coefficient is $e_b(\rho) := \rho^* e^R_b$ (resp. $e(\rho) := \rho^* e^R$). If we have a central extension $1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \hat{G} \xrightarrow{\rho} G \to 1$ and an action $\hat{\rho} : \hat{G} \to \text{Homeo}^+_Z(\mathbb{R})$ with $\pi \circ \hat{\rho} = \rho \circ p$, then by naturality and the aforementioned equation, we have

$$\delta \hat{\rho}^* \text{rot} = \hat{\rho}^* \pi^* e^R_b = \rho^* \rho^* e^R_b = \rho^* e_b(\rho).$$  

In addition, the pullback $\hat{\rho}^* \text{rot} \in Q(\hat{G})$ of the rotation quasimorphism has $D(\hat{\rho}^* \text{rot}) \leq D(\text{rot}) = 1$.

**2.5. Graphs of groups.** Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph with vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$. Each edge $e \in E$ is oriented with origin $o(e)$ and terminus $t(e)$. Denote the same edge with opposite orientation by $\bar{e}$, which provides an involution on $E$ satisfying $t(\bar{e}) = o(e)$ and $o(\bar{e}) = t(e)$.

A graph of groups with underlying graph $\Gamma$ is a collection of vertex groups $\{G_v\}_{v \in V}$ and edge groups $\{G_e\}_{e \in E}$, with $G_e = G_{\bar{e}}$, as well as injections $t_e : G_e \hookrightarrow G_{t(e)}$ and $o_e : G_e \hookrightarrow G_{o(e)}$ satisfying $t\bar{e} = o_e$. Let $(X_v, b_v)$ and $(X_e, b_e)$ be pointed $K(G_v, 1)$ and $K(G_e, 1)$ spaces respectively, and denote...
Again by \( t_e, o_e \) the maps between spaces inducing the given homomorphisms \( t_e, o_e \) on fundamental groups. Let \( X \) be the space obtained from the disjoint union of \( \sqcup_{e \in E} X_e \times [-1, 1] \) and \( \sqcup_{v \in V} X_v \) by gluing \( X_e \times \{1\} \) to \( X_{t(e)} \) via \( t_e \) and identifying \( X_e \times \{s\} \) with \( X_{\bar{e}} \times \{-s\} \) for all \( s \in [-1, 1] \) and \( e \in E \). We refer to \( X \) as the standard realization of the graphs of groups and denote its fundamental group by \( G = G(\Gamma, \{G_v\}, \{G_e\}) \). This is called the fundamental group of the graph of groups. When there is no danger of ambiguity, we will simply refer to \( G \) as the graph of groups.

In practice, we will choose a preferred orientation for each unoriented edge \( \{e, \bar{e}\} \) by working with \( e \) and ignoring \( \bar{e} \).

**Example 2.19.**

1. Let \( \Gamma \) be the graph with a single vertex \( v \) and an edge \( \{e, \bar{e}\} \) connecting \( v \) to itself. Let \( G_e \cong G_v \cong \mathbb{Z} \). Fix nonzero integers \( m, \ell \), and let the edge inclusions \( o_e, t_e : G_e \hookrightarrow G_v \) be given by \( o_e(1) = m \) and \( t_e(1) = \ell \). Let the edge space \( X_e \) and vertex space \( X_v \) be circles \( S^1_e \) and \( S^1_v \) respectively. Then the standard realization \( X \) is obtained by gluing the two boundary components of a cylinder \( S^1_e \times [-1, 1] \) to the circle \( S^1_v \) wrapping around \( m \) and \( \ell \) times respectively. See the left of Figure 1. The fundamental group is the Baumslag–Solitar group \( BS(m, \ell) \), which has presentation

\[
BS(m, \ell) = \langle a, t \mid a^m = t^\ell a^t \rangle.
\]

In general, with the same graph \( \Gamma \), for any groups \( G_e = C \) and \( G_v = A \) together with two inclusions \( t_e, o_e : C \hookrightarrow A \), the corresponding graph of groups is the HNN extension \( G = A \ast_C \).

2. Similarly, if we let \( \Gamma \) be the graph with a single edge \( \{e, \bar{e}\} \) connecting two vertices \( v_1 = o(e) \) and \( v_2 = t(e) \), the graph of groups associated to two inclusions \( t_e : G_e \rightarrow G_{v_1} \) and \( o_e : G_e \rightarrow G_{v_2} \) is the amalgam \( G_{v_1} *_{G_e} G_{v_2} \). See the right of Figure 1 for an example where all edge and vertex groups are \( \mathbb{Z} \).

In general, each connected subgraph of \( \Gamma \) gives a graph of groups, whose fundamental group injects into \( G \), from which we see that each separating edge of \( \Gamma \) splits \( G \) as an amalgam and each non-separating edge splits \( G \) as an HNN extension. Hence \( G \) arises as a sequence of amalgamations and HNN extensions.

It is a fundamental result of the Bass–Serre theory that there is a correspondence between groups acting on trees (without inversions) and graphs of groups, where vertex and edge stabilizers...
correspond to vertex and edge groups respectively. See [Ser80] for more details about graphs of groups and their relation to groups acting on trees.

In the standard realization $X$, the homeomorphic images of $X_e \times \{0\} \cong X_e$ and $X_v$ are called an edge space and a vertex space respectively. The image of $X_v \cup \bigcup_{e|t(e)=v} X_e \times [0,1)$ deformation retracts to $X_v$. We refer to its completion $N(X_v)$ as the thickened vertex space; See Figure 2.

Free homotopy classes of loops in $X$ fall into two types. Elliptic loops are those admitting a representative supported in some vertex space, and such a representative is called a tight elliptic loop. Loops of the other type are called hyperbolic. We can deform any hyperbolic loop $\gamma$ so that, for each $s \in (-1,1)$ and $e \in X_e$, $\gamma$ is either disjoint from $X_e \times \{s\}$ or intersects it only at $\{b_e\} \times \{s\}$ transversely. For such a representative, the edge spaces cut $\gamma$ into finitely many arcs, each supported in some thickened vertex space $N(X_v)$. The image of each arc $\alpha$ in $N(X_v)$ under the deformation retraction $N(X_v) \to X_v$ becomes a based loop in $X_v$ and thus represents an element $w(\alpha) \in G_v$. If some arc $\alpha$ enters and leaves $X_v$ via the same end of an edge $e$ with $t(e)=v$ and $w(\alpha) \in t_e(G_v)$, then we say $\gamma$ trivially backtracks at $\alpha$ and can push $\alpha$ off $X_v$ to further simplify $\gamma$; See Figure 3. After finitely many such simplifications, we may assume that $\gamma$ does not trivially backtrack. Refer to such a representative as a tight hyperbolic loop, which exists in each hyperbolic homotopy class by the procedure above.

On the group theoretic side, an element $g \in G$ is elliptic (resp. hyperbolic) if it is represented by an elliptic (resp. hyperbolic) loop in $X$, which we usually choose to be tight. Then an element is elliptic if and only if it is conjugate into some vertex group.

3. Scl of hyperbolic elements

3.1. Surfaces in graphs of groups. In this subsection, we investigate surfaces in graphs of groups and their normal forms following [Che20], which will be used to estimate (relative) scl.

Let $G$ be a graph of groups. With the setup in Subsection 2.5, let $X$ be the standard realization of $G$. Let $g = \{g_i, i \in I\}$ be a finite collection of infinite-order elements indexed by $I$ and let $c = \sum r_ig_i$ be a rational chain with $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_i, i \in I\}$ be tight loops representing
elements in \( g \). Recall that the edge spaces cut the hyperbolic tight loops into arcs, and denote by \( A_v \) the collection of arcs supported in the thickened vertex space \( N(X_v) \).

Let \( S \) be any aspherical (monotone) admissible surface for \( c \). Put \( S \) in general position so that it is transverse to all edge spaces. Then the preimage \( F \) of the union of edge spaces is a collection of disjoint embedded proper arcs and loops. Up to homotopy and compression that simplifies \( S \), every loop in \( F \) represents a nontrivial conjugacy class in some edge group.

Now cut \( S \) along \( F \) into subsurfaces. Then each component \( \Sigma \) is a surface (possibly with corner) supported in some thickened vertex space \( N(X_v) \). Boundary components of \( \Sigma \) fall into two types (see Figure 4):

1. **Loop boundary**: these are boundary components containing no corners. Each such boundary is either a loop in \( F \) or a loop in \( \partial S \) that winds around an elliptic tight loop in \( \gamma \).
2. **Polygonal boundary**: these are boundary components containing corners. Each such boundary is necessarily divided into segments by the corners, such that the segments alternate between arcs in \( A_v \) and proper arcs in \( F \) (called turns).

Note that any component \( \Sigma \) with positive Euler characteristic must be a disk with polygonal boundary since elements in \( g \) have infinite-order and loops in \( F \) are nontrivial in edge spaces.

For \( \Sigma \) as above, we say a turn has type \( (a_1, w, a_2) \) for some \( a_1, a_2 \in A_v \) and \( w \in G_e \) for some \( e \) adjacent to \( v \) if it travels from \( a_1 \) to \( a_2 \) as a based loop supported on \( X_e \) representing \( w \), referred to as the **winding number** of the turn. We say two turn types \( (a_1, w, a_2) \) and \( (a_1', w', a_2') \) are paired...
Lemma 3.2. For any vertex $v$ and any chain $c \in C_1(G_v)$, we have

$$\text{scl}_G(c) \geq \text{scl}_{(G_v, (G_e)_{\pi(e)=v})}(c).$$
Proof. We assume $c$ to be null-homologous in $G$, since otherwise the result is trivially true. By continuity, we may further assume $c$ to be a rational chain after an arbitrarily small change. Represent $c$ by elliptic tight loops supported in $X_v$. Suppose $f : S \to X$ is an admissible surface for $c$ of degree $k$ in normal form. Let $S_v$ be the disjoint union of components supported in $N(X_v)$. Since there are no hyperbolic loops in our chain, each component of $S_v$ has non-positive Euler characteristic. So equation (3.3) implies

$$\frac{-\chi^-(S)}{k} \geq \frac{-\chi(S_v)}{k} = \frac{-\chi(S_v)}{k}.$$  

Note that $S_v$ is admissible of degree $k$ for $c$ in $G_v$ relative to the nearby edge groups. It follows that $-\chi^-(S_v)/k \geq 2 \cdot \text{scl}(G_v, (G_v)_{(a)=v})(c)$. Combining this with the inequality above, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.13 since $S$ is arbitrary. \hfill $\square$

3.2. Lower bounds from linear programming duality. We introduce a general strategy to obtain lower bounds of scl in graphs of groups relative to vertex groups using the idea of linear programming duality. This has been used by the first author in the special case of free products to obtain uniform sharp lower bounds of scl $[\text{Che18b}]$ and in the case of Baumslag–Solitar groups to compute scl of certain families of chains $[\text{Che20}]$.

Consider a rational chain $c = \sum r_i g_i$ with $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $g = \{g_i, i \in I\}$ consisting of finitely many hyperbolic elements represented by tight loops $\gamma = \{\gamma_i, i \in I\}$. With notation as in the previous subsection, for each turn type $(a_1, w, a_2)$, we assign a non-negative cost $$(a_1, w, a_2) \geq 0$$. Suppose $S$ is an admissible surface of degree $k$ for $c$ relative to vertex groups. Then $S$ is by definition admissible (in the absolute sense) of degree $k$ for some rational chain $c' = c + c_{\text{ell}}$ where $c_{\text{ell}}$ is a rational chain of elliptic elements, each of which can be assumed to have infinite order. Hence the normal form discussed in the previous subsection applies to $S$.

The assignment above induces by linearity a non-negative cost for each component of $\Sigma$ in the decomposition of $S$ in normal form. To be more specific, recall that there are two kinds of boundary components of $\Sigma$, loop boundaries and polygonal boundaries. The induced cost of each polygonal boundary is the sum of costs of its turns, and the cost of $\Sigma$ is the sum of costs of all polygonal boundaries.

Lemma 3.3. Let $S$ be any relative admissible surface for $c$ of degree $k$ in normal form. With the $t(a_1, w, a_2)$ notation (normalized number of turns) in the estimate (3.3), if every disk component $\Sigma$ in the normal form of $S$ has cost at least 1, then the normalized total cost  

$$\sum_{(a_1, w, a_2)} t(a_1, w, a_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(S)} r_i |\gamma_i| + \frac{\chi(S)}{k}.$$  

Proof. By (3.3), it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{(a_1, w, a_2)} \frac{\chi(\Sigma)}{k} \leq \sum_{(a_1, w, a_2)} t(a_1, w, a_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(S)} r_i |\gamma_i| + \frac{\chi(S)}{k}.$$  

Note that, for each component $\Sigma$ in the normal form of $S$, either $\chi(\Sigma) \leq 0$ which does not exceed its cost, or $\Sigma$ is a disk component and $\chi(\Sigma) = 1$ which is also no more than its cost by our assumption. The desired estimate follows by summing up these inequalities and dividing by $k$. \hfill $\square$

In light of Lemma 3.3, to get lower bounds of scl relative to vertex groups, the strategy is to come up with suitable cost assignments $(a_1, w, a_2)$ such that

1. every possible disk component has cost at least 1; and
2. one can use the gluing condition (3.1) and normalizing condition (3.2) to bound the quantity

$$(a_1, w, a_2) t(a_1, w, a_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\chi(S)} r_i |\gamma_i| + \frac{\chi(S)}{k}.$$  

From above by a constant.
3.3. Uniform lower bounds. Now we use the duality method above to prove sharp uniform lower bounds of scl in graphs of groups relative to vertex groups. The results are subject to some local conditions introduced as follows.

Definition 3.4. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. For $2 \leq k < \infty$, an element $g \in G \setminus H$ has order $k$ rel $H$ if for some $h_i \in H$ we have

$$gh_1 \ldots gh_k = \text{id}.$$  

For $3 \leq n \leq \infty$, we say $g$ is has order $\geq n$ rel $H$ if $g \in G \setminus H$ does not have order $k$ rel $H$ for all $2 \leq k < n$. Similarly, we say the subgroup $H$ is $n$-relatively torsion-free (n-RTF) in $G$ if each element $g \in G \setminus H$ has order $\geq n$ rel $H$. For clarity, sometimes we say the pair $(G, H)$ is n-RTF.

By definition, $m$-RTF implies $n$-RTF whenever $m \geq n$.

Example 3.5. If $H$ is normal in $G$, then $g$ has order $k$ rel $H$ if and only if its image in $G/H$ is a $k$-torsion. In this case, $H$ is n-RTF if and only if $G/H$ contains no $k$-torsion for all $k < n$, and in particular, $H$ is infinite-RTF if and only if $G/H$ is torsion-free. Concretely, the subgroup $H = 6\mathbb{Z}$ in $G = \mathbb{Z}$ is not n-RTF for all $n \geq 3$ since $z^3$ has order 2 rel $H$, where $z$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}$. More generally, the subgroup $H = m\mathbb{Z}$ is $p_m$-RTF if $m$ is odd and $p_m$ is the smallest prime factor of $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

For a less trivial example, let $S$ be an orientable closed surface of positive genus and let $g \in \pi_1(S)$ be an element represented by a simple closed curve. Then the cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ is $\infty$-RTF in $\pi_1(S)$. One can see this from either Lemma 3.15 or Lemma 3.18 below.

The equation (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$g \cdot \tilde{h}_1g^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \tilde{h}_{k-1}g^{-1} \cdot \tilde{h}_{k-1}^{-1} = \tilde{h}_k^{-1},$$

where $\tilde{h}_i := h_1 \ldots h_i$. This is closely related to the notion of generalized $k$-torsion.

Definition 3.6. For $k \geq 2$, an element $g \neq \text{id} \in G$ is a generalized $k$-torsion if

$$g_1g_2^{-1} \ldots g_kg_k^{-1} = \text{id}$$

for some $g_i \in G$.

If equation (3.4) holds with $\tilde{h}_k = h_1h_2 \ldots h_k = \text{id}$, then $g$ is a generalized $k$-torsion.

It is observed in [IMT19, Theorem 2.4] that a generalized $k$-torsion cannot have scl exceeding $1/2 - 1/k$ for a reason similar to Proposition 3.7 below. On the other hand, it is well known and easy to note that the existence of any generalized torsion is an obstruction for a group $G$ to be bi-orderable, i.e. to admit a total order on $G$ that is invariant under left and right multiplications.

More properties of the n-RTF condition can be found in Subsection 3.4. Now we turn to the relation between the n-RTF condition and scl estimates.

The n-RTF condition is closely related to lower bounds of relative scl.

Proposition 3.7. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. If

$$\operatorname{scl}_{(G,H)}(g) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n},$$

then $g \in G$ has order $\geq n$ rel $H$.

Proof. Suppose equation (3.5) holds for some $k \geq 2$. Then this gives rise to an admissible surface $S$ in $G$ for $g$ of degree $k$ relative to $H$, where $S$ is a sphere with $k + 1$ punctures: $k$ of them each wraps around $g$ once, and the other maps to $\tilde{h}_k$. This implies

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n} \leq \operatorname{scl}_{(G,H)}(g) \leq \frac{-\chi(S)}{2k} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k},$$

and thus $k \geq n$. $\square$
Conversely, the \( n \)-RTF condition implies a lower bound for relative \( \text{scl} \) in the case of graphs of groups.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let \( G = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma, \{G_v\}, \{G_e\}) \) be a graph of groups. Let \( \gamma \) be a tight loop cut into arcs \( a_1, \ldots, a_L \) by the edge spaces, where \( a_i \) is supported in a thickened vertex space \( N(X_{v_i}) \) and \( v_1, e_1, \ldots, v_L, e_L \) form a loop in \( \Gamma \) with \( o(e_i) = v_i \) and \( t(e_i) = v_{i+1} \), indices taken mod \( L \). Suppose for some \( n \geq 3 \), whenever \( e_{i-1} = e_i \), the winding number \( w(a_i) \in G_{v_i} \) of \( a_i \) has order \( \geq n \) rel \( o_{e_i}(G_{e_i}) \). Then

\[
\text{scl}(G, \{G_v\})(g) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( S \) be a (monotone) relative admissible surface for \( \gamma \) of degree \( k \). Put \( S \) in its normal form with components \( \Sigma \). We follow the strategy and notation in Subsection 3.2 and assign costs in a way that does not depend on the winding numbers of turns. That is, for any \( 1 \leq i, j \leq L \), the cost \( \$(a_i, w, a_j) = \$$ \) where

\[
\$_{ij} := \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } i < j \\
\frac{1}{n} & \text{if } i \geq j.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( t_{ij} = \sum_w t_{(a_i, w, a_j)} \) be the (normalized) total number of turns of the form \( (a_i, w, a_j) \).

For any disk component \( \Sigma \), let \( \sigma_1 = a_{i_1}, \ldots, \sigma_s = a_{i_s} \) be the arcs of \( \gamma \) on the polygonal boundary of \( \Sigma \) in cyclic order; See the disk component in Figure 4. There are two cases:

1. \( \sigma_1 = \cdots = \sigma_s = a_i \) for some \( i \). Then we necessarily have \( e_{i-1} = \bar{e}_i \), and \( a_i \in G_{v_i} \setminus o_{e_i}(G_{e_i}) \) since \( \gamma \) is tight. For each \( 1 \leq j \leq s \), let \( w_j \in o_{e_i}(G_{e_i}) \) be the winding number of the turn from \( \sigma_j \) to \( \sigma_{j+1} \), where the index is taken mod \( s \). Since \( \Sigma \) is a disk, we have \( w(a_i)w_1 \cdots w(a_i)w_s = id \in G_{v_i} \). Then we must have \( s \geq n \) since \( w(a_i) \in G_{v_i} \) has order \( \geq n \) rel \( o_{e_i}(G_{e_i}) \) by assumption, and thus the cost

\[
\$(\Sigma) = s \cdot \$_{ii} = \frac{s}{n} \geq 1.
\]

2. Some \( \sigma_j \neq \sigma_j' \). Then there are \( 1 \leq m \neq M \leq s \) such that \( i_m < i_{m+1} \) and \( i_M > i_{M+1} \), where subscripts are interpreted mod \( s \). Hence the cost

\[
\$(\Sigma) \geq \$_{m, m+1} + \$_{M, M+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 1.
\]

In summary, we always have \( \$(\Sigma) \geq 1 \) for any disk component \( \Sigma \). Hence by Lemma 3.3 we have

\[
-\frac{\chi^-(S)}{2k} \geq \frac{L}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \$_{ij} t_{ij}
\]

since \( |\gamma| = L \).

On the other hand, for any \( 1 \leq i, j \leq L \), we have \( t_{ij} = t_{j-1,i+1} \) by the gluing condition (3.1) and \( \sum_i t_{ij} = \sum_j t_{ij} = 1 \) by the normalizing condition (3.2), indices taken mod \( L \). We also have \( t_{i,i+1} = 0 \) since \( \gamma \) is tight and intersects edge spaces transversely. Thus

\[
\sum_{i,j} \$_{ij} t_{ij} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{n} t_{ij} + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \sum_{i < j} t_{ij} = \frac{L}{n} + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \sum_{i < j} t_{ij}.
\]
and

\[
2 \sum_{i<j} t_{ij} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq L} t_{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq L} t_{j-1,i+1}
\]

\[
= \sum_{1 \leq i < L-1} t_{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq L-1} t_{i,i+1}
\]

\[
= \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq L} t_{ij} - \sum_{i} t_{i1} - \sum_{j} t_{Lj} \right] + [0]
\]

\[
= L - 2,
\]

where the first two equalities can be visualized in Figure 6.

Putting the equations above together, we have

\[
\sum_{ij} s_{ij} t_{ij} = \frac{L}{n} + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{L - 2}{2} = \frac{L}{2} - \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)
\]

and

\[
-\chi^{-}(S) \geq \frac{L}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} s_{ij} t_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}
\]

for any relative admissible surface \(S\). Thus the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.13.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \(G = G(\Gamma, \{G_v\}, \{G_e\})\) be a graph of groups. If for some \(3 \leq n \leq \infty\) the inclusion of each edge group into vertex group is \(n\)-RTF, then

\[
scl_{G}(g) \geq scl_{(G_v, \{G_e\})}(g) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}
\]

for any hyperbolic element \(g \in G\).

More generally, under the same assumptions, the same inequality also holds for \(scl\) relative to some collections of subgroups in \(G\) below other than \(\{G_v\}\). Let \(\{\Gamma_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\) be a collection of pairwise disjoint connected subgraphs of \(\Gamma\), each of which defines (up to conjugation) a subgroup \(G_\lambda\) of \(G\) as the graph of groups associated to \(\Gamma_\lambda\). Then under the same assumptions above on edge groups, unless \(g \in G\) is conjugate into some \(G_\lambda\), we have

\[
scl_{G}(g) \geq scl_{(G_\lambda, \{G_e\})}(g) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}
\]

Hence \((G, \{G_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})\) has a strong relative spectral gap \(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\).
Each hyperbolic element $g$ is represented by a tight loop $\gamma$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.8 since the inclusions of edge groups are n-RTF. This implies the special case where $\{\Gamma_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the set of vertices of $\Gamma$.

To see the general case, by possibly adding some subgraphs each consisting of a single vertex, we assume each vertex is contained in some $\Gamma_\lambda$. By collapsing each $\Gamma_\lambda$ to a single vertex, we obtain a new splitting of $G$ as a graph of groups where $\{G_\lambda\}$ is the new collection of vertex groups. Each new edge group is $G_e$ for some edge $e$ of $\Gamma$ connecting two $\Gamma_\lambda$’s. Let $\Gamma_\lambda$ be the subgraph containing the vertex $v = \ell(e)$, then $(G_\lambda, G_e)$ is n-RTF by Lemma 3.18 in the next subsection and $(G_v, G_e)$ is n-RTF by assumption. Thus $(G_\lambda, G_e)$ is also n-RTF by Lemma 3.12. Therefore the edge group inclusions in this new splitting also satisfy the n-RTF condition, so the general case follows from the special case proved above.

As we will see below in Lemma 3.15, left relatively convexity implies $\infty$-RTF. Thus in the case of an amalgam $G = A \ast_C B$, Theorem 3.9 implies [Heu19, Theorem 6.3], which is the main input to obtain gap $1/2$ in all right-angled Artin groups in [Heu19]. We will discuss similar applications in Section 5.

For the moment, let us consider the case of Baumslag–Solitar groups.

**Corollary 3.10.** Let $\text{BS}(m, \ell) := \langle a, t \mid a^m = ta^\ell t^{-1} \rangle$ be the Baumslag–Solitar group, where $|m|, |\ell| \geq 2$. Let $p_m$ and $p_\ell$ be the smallest prime factors of $|m|$ and $|\ell|$ respectively. Then $\text{BS}(m, \ell)$ has strong spectral gap $1/2 - 1/\min(p_m, p_\ell)$ relative to the subgroup $\langle a \rangle$ if $m, \ell$ are both odd. This estimate is sharp since for $g = a^{m/p_m} t^{\ell/p_\ell} t^{-1} a^{-m} t^{\ell/p_\ell} t^{-1}$ we have

$$\text{scl}_{\text{BS}(m, \ell)}(g) = \text{scl}_{\langle \text{BS}(m, \ell), \langle a \rangle \rangle}(g) = 1/2 - 1/\min(p_m, p_\ell).$$

**Proof.** Let $n = \min(p_m, p_\ell)$. The Baumslag–Solitar group $\text{BS}(m, \ell)$ is the HNN extension associated to the inclusions $\mathbb{Z} \times_{m} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \times_{\ell} \mathbb{Z}$, which are both n-RTF. Thus the strong relative spectral gap follows from Theorem 3.9. The example achieving the lower bound follows from [Che20 Corollary 3.12], [Che18a, Proposition 5.6] and [Che20 Proposition 2.11].

If at least one of $m$ and $\ell$ is even, the word $g$ above has scl value 0, and thus one cannot have a strong relative spectral gap. However, we do have a (relative) spectral gap $1/12$ by [CFL16 Theorem 7.8], which is sharp for example when $p_m = 2$ and $p_\ell = 3$. When $p_m = 2$ and $p_\ell \geq 3$, the smallest known positive scl in $\text{BS}(m, \ell)$ is $1/4 - 1/2p_\ell$ achieved by the word $a^{m/p_m} t^{\ell/p_\ell} t^{-1}$.

**Question 3.11.** If $p_m = 2$ and $p_\ell \geq 3$, does $\text{BS}(m, \ell)$ have (relative) spectral gap $1/4 - 1/2p_\ell$?

### 3.4. The n-RTF condition

The goal of this subsection is to investigate the n-RTF condition that plays an important role in Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.9.

Let us start with some basic properties.

**Lemma 3.12.** Suppose we have groups $K \leq H \leq G$.

1. If $(G, K)$ is n-RTF, then so is $(H, K)$;
2. If $g \in G \setminus H$ has order $\geq n$ rel $H$, then $g$ is also has order $\geq n$ rel $K$;
3. If both $(G, H)$ and $(H, K)$ are n-RTF, then so is $(G, K)$.

**Proof.** (1) and (2) are clear from the definition. As for (3), suppose $gk_1 \ldots gk_i = id$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ where each $k_j \in K$. Then $g \in H$ since $(G, H)$ is n-RTF, from which we get $g \in K$ since $(H, K)$ is n-RTF.

The n-RTF condition is closely related to orders on groups.

**Definition 3.13.** A subgroup $H$ is **left relatively convex** in $G$ if there is a total order on the left cosets $G/H$ that is $G$-invariant, i.e. $gg_1H < gg_2H$ for all $g$ if $g_1H < g_2H$. 

The definition does not require $G$ to be left-orderable, i.e. $G$ may not have a total order invariant under the left $G$-action. Actually, if $H$ is left-orderable, then $H$ is left relatively convex in $G$ if and only if $G$ has a left $G$-invariant order $\prec$ such that $H$ is convex, i.e. $h \prec g \prec h'$ for some $h, h' \in H$ implies $g \in H$. Many examples and properties of left relatively convex subgroups are discussed in [ADS18].

**Example 3.14 (ADS18).** Let $G$ be a surface group, a pure braid group or a subgroup of some right-angled Artin group. Let $H$ be any maximal cyclic subgroup of $G$, that is, there is no cyclic subgroup of $G$ strictly containing $H$. Then $H$ is left relatively convex in $G$.

The $n$-RTF conditions share similar properties with the left relatively convex condition, and they are weaker.

**Lemma 3.15.** If $H$ is left relatively convex in $G$, then $(G, H)$ is $\infty$-RTF.

**Proof.** Suppose for some $g \in G$ we have $gh_1 \ldots gh_n = id$ for some $n \geq 2$ and $h_i \in H$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose $gH \triangleright H$. Then $gh_{n-1}gH \triangleright gh_{n-1}H = gH \triangleright H$ by left-invariance. By induction, we have $gh_1 \ldots gh_{n-1}gH \triangleright H$, but $gh_1 \ldots gh_nH = gh_1 \ldots gh_nH = H$, contradicting our assumption. A similar argument shows that we cannot have $gH \triangleleft H$. Thus we must have $g \in H$.

The $n$-RTF condition has nice inheritance in graphs of groups (Lemma 3.16). To prove it together with a more precise statement (Lemma 3.18), we first briefly introduce reduced words of elements in graphs of groups. See [Ser80] for more details. For a graph of groups $G(\Gamma) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma, \{G_v\}, \{G_e\})$, let $F(\Gamma)$ be the quotient group of $(\ast G_v) \ast F_E$ by relations $e = e^{-1}$ and $et_e(g)e^{-1} = o_e(g)$ for any edge $e \in E$ and $g \in G_e$, where $F_E$ is the free group generated by the edge set $E$. Let $P = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, e_k, v_k)$ be any oriented path (so $o(e_1) = v_{i-1}, t(e_i) = v_i$), and let $\mu = (g_0, \ldots, g_k)$ be a sequence of elements with $g_i \in G_{v_i}$. We say any word of the form $g_0 e_1 g_1 \cdots e_k g_k$ is of type $(P, \mu)$, and it is reduced if

1. $k \geq 1$ and $g_i \notin \text{Im} t_{e_i}$ whenever $\bar{e}_i = e_{i+1}$; or
2. $k = 0$ and $g_0 \neq id$.

It is known that every reduced word represents a nontrivial element in $F(\Gamma)$. Fix any base vertex $v_0$, then $G(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $F(\Gamma)$ consisting of words of type $(L, \mu)$ for any oriented loop $L$ based at $v_0$ and any $\mu$. Moreover, any nontrivial element is represented by some reduced word of type $(L, \mu)$ as above.

**Lemma 3.16.** With notation as above, let $g \in G = G(\Gamma) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma, \{G_v\}, \{G_e\})$ be an element represented by a reduced word of type $(L, \mu)$ with an oriented loop $L = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, e_j, v_j = v_0)$ and $\mu = (g_0, \ldots, g_j)$, $j \geq 1$. If $j$ is odd, then $g$ is $\infty$-RTF in $(G, G_{v_j})$; if $j$ is even and $g_{j/2} \in G_{v_{j/2}}$ has order $\geq n$ rel $\text{Im} t_{e_{j/2}}$ for some $n \geq 3$, then $g \in G$ has order $\geq n \in G_{v_0}$.

**Proof.** If $j$ is odd, then the projection $\bar{g}$ of $g$ in the free group $F_E$ is represented by a word of odd length, and thus must be of infinite order. It follows that the projection of $gh_1 \cdots gh_k$ is $\bar{g}^k$ for any $h_i \in G_{v_0}$ and $k > 0$, which must be nontrivial.

Now suppose $j = 2m$ is even and consider $w := gh_1 \cdots gh_k$ for some $1 \leq k < n$ and $h_i \in G_{v_0}$. We claim that there cannot be too much cancellation between the suffix and prefix of two nearby copies of $g$, more precisely, $g_m e_{m+1} \cdots e_j g_j h g_0 e_1 \cdots e_m g_m$ for any $h \in G_{v_0}$ can be represented by

1. $g_m g_{m+1} \cdots g_j h g_0 e_1 \cdots e_m g_m$ for some $g_m \in \text{Im} t_{e_m}$; or
2. a reduced word $g_m e_{m+1} \cdots g_j s^{-1} e_{j-s} g_{j-s} e_{s} \cdots g_{s+1} e_{s+1} \cdots e_m g_m$ with $0 \leq s < m$.

In fact, if either $e_j \neq \bar{e}_1$, or $e_j = \bar{e}_1$ and $g_j h g_0 \notin \text{Im} t_{e_j}$, then we have case (2) with $s = 0$ and $g_j = g_j h g_0$. If $e_j = \bar{e}_1$ and $g_j h g_0 \in \text{Im} t_{e_j}$, then $v_{j-1} = v_1 = o(e_j)$ and we can replace $g_j e_j g_j h g_0 e_1 g_1$ by $g_{j-1} e_j t_{e_j}^{-1}(g_j h g_0) g_1 \in G_{v_{j-1}} = G_{v_1}$ to simplify $w$ to a word of shorter length. This simplification procedure either stops in $s$ steps with $s < m$ and we end up with case (2) or it continues until
we arrive at \( g_m o_{e_{m+1}}(g_m^*) g_m \) for some \( g_m^* \in G_{e_{m+1}} \). Note that in the latter case, we must have \( e_m = e_{m+1} \) since the simplification continues all the way. Thus \( g_m^* := o_{e_{m+1}}(g_m^*) = t_{e_m}(g_m^*) \in \text{Im} t_{e_m} \).

For each \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), write \( w_i := e_{m+1} \cdots e_j q_j h_i q_j \cdots e_m \) in a reduced form so that \( g_m w_i g_m \) is of the form \( \mathbf{1} \) above for all \( i \), then \( w_i \in \text{Im} t_{e_m} \) and \( g_m w_i \cdots g_m w_k \neq \text{id} \) since \( g_m \) has order \( \geq n \) rel \( \text{Im} t_{e_m} \) by assumption. Now suppose \( i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \) are the indices \( i \) such that \( g_m w_i g_m \) is of the form \( \mathbf{2} \) above, where \( k' \geq 1 \). Up to a cyclic conjugation, assume \( i_{k'} = k \) and let \( i_0 = 0 \). We write

\[
g_m w_1 \cdots g_m w_k = \tilde{g}_1 w_1 \cdots \tilde{g}_k w_{i_{k'}},
\]

where \( \tilde{g}_s := g_m w_{i_{s+1}} \cdots g_m w_{i_s}^{-1} g_m \). Note by the definition of the \( i_s \), each \( w_i \) that appears in \( \tilde{g}_s \) (i.e. \( i_{s-1} + 1 \leq i \leq i_s - 1 \)) lies in \( \text{Im} t_{e_m} \). It follows that each \( \tilde{g}_s \in G_{e_m} \setminus \text{Im} t_{e_m} \) since \( g_m \) has order \( \geq n \) rel \( \text{Im} t_{e_m} \) by assumption and \( i_s - i_{s-1} - 1 < n \). Thus the expression above puts a conjugate of \( w \) in reduced form, and hence \( w \neq \text{id} \).

**Corollary 3.17.** With notation as above, let \( g \in G = G(\Gamma) \) be an element represented by a reduced word of type \(( \mathbb{L}, \mu \)) with an oriented loop \( \mathbb{L} = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, e_j, v_j = v_0) \) and \( \mu = (g_0, \ldots, g_j) \), \( j \geq 1 \). Suppose for some \( n \geq 3 \) each \( g_i \in G_{v_i} \) has order \( \geq n \) rel \( G_{e} \) for any edge \( e \) adjacent to \( v_i \). Then \( g \in G \) has order \( \geq n \) rel \( G_{v_0} \).

**Proof.** This immediately follows from Lemma 3.16. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 3.18.** Let \( G(\Gamma) = G(\Lambda,\{G_v\},\{G_e\}) \) be a graph of groups. If the inclusion of each edge group into an adjacent vertex group is \( n \)-RTF, then for any connected subgraph \( \Lambda \subset \Gamma \), the inclusion of \( G(\Lambda) := G(\Lambda,\{G_v\},\{G_e\}) \) into \( G(\Gamma) \) is also \( n \)-RTF.

**Proof.** The case where \( \Lambda \) is a single vertex \( v \) immediately follows from Corollary 3.17 by choosing \( v \) to be the base point in the definition of \( G(\Gamma) \) as a subgroup of \( F(\Gamma) \).

Now we prove the general case with the additional assumption that \( \Gamma \setminus \Lambda \) contains only finitely many edges. We proceed by induction on the number of such edges. The assertion is trivially true for the base case \( \Lambda = \Gamma \). For the inductive step, let \( e \) be some edge outside of \( \Lambda \). If \( e \) is non-separating, then \( G(\Gamma) \) splits as an HNN extension with vertex group \( G(\Gamma - \{e\}) \). In this case, the inclusion of the edge group \( G_e \) is \( n \)-RTF in \( G_{e(\mathbb{L})} \), which is in turn \( n \)-RTF in \( G(\Gamma - \{e\}) \) by the single vertex case above. Thus by Lemma 3.12, the inclusion \( G_e \hookrightarrow G(\Gamma - \{e\}) \) is also \( n \)-RTF. The same holds for the inclusion of \( G_e \) into \( G(\Gamma - \{e\}) \) through \( G_{e(\mathbb{L})} \). Therefore, using the single vertex case again for the HNN extension, we see that \( (G(\Gamma), G(\Gamma - \{e\})) \) is \( n \)-RTF. Together with the induction hypothesis that \( (G(\Gamma - \{e\}), G(\Lambda)) \) is \( n \)-RTF, this implies that \( (G(\Gamma), G(\Lambda)) \) is \( n \)-RTF by Lemma 3.12. If \( e \) is separating, then \( G(\Gamma) \) splits as an amalgam with vertex groups \( G(\Gamma_1) \) and \( G(\Gamma_2) \) such that \( \Gamma = \Gamma_1 \sqcup \{e\} \sqcup \Gamma_2 \) and \( \Lambda \subset \Gamma_1 \). The rest of the argument is similar to the previous case.

Finally the general case easily follows from what we have shown, as any \( g \in G(\Gamma) \setminus G(\Lambda) \) can be viewed as an element in \( G(\Gamma') \setminus G(\Lambda) \) for some connected subgraph \( \Gamma' \) of \( \Gamma \) with only finitely many edges in \( \Gamma \setminus \Lambda \). \( \Box \)

See Lemma 5.4 for a discussion on the \( n \)-RTF conditions in graph products. One can also use geometry to show that the peripheral subgroups of the fundamental group of certain compact 3-manifolds are \( 3 \)-RTF; See Lemma 6.27.

### 3.5. Quasimorphisms detecting the spectral gap for \( n \)-RTF

Recall from Definition 3.13 that a subgroup \( H \leq G \) of a group \( H \) is called **left relatively convex**, if there is a left \( G \)-invariant order \( \prec \) on the cosets \( G/H = \{gH \mid g \in G\} \). This property has been studied in [18]. Since left relatively convex subgroups are \( \infty \)-RTF by Lemma 3.15, Theorem 3.9 implies a sharp gap of \( 1/2 \) for hyperbolic elements in graphs of groups where the edge groups are left relatively convex in the vertex groups. The aim of this subsection is to show the following
Theorem 3.19. Let $G$ be a graph of groups and let $g \in G$ be a hyperbolic element. If every edge group lies left relatively convex in its corresponding vertex groups then there is an explicit homogeneous quasimorphism $\phi: G \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(g) \geq 1$ and $D(\phi) \leq 1$.

We will reduce it to proving the result for amalgamated free products and HNN extensions. The first case has been done in [Heu19, Theorem 6.3]. In both cases we construct a so-called letter-quasimorphism (see Definition 3.20 below) and apply Theorem 3.21 below to produce the desired quasimorphism.

Roughly speaking, a letter-quasimorphism is a map $\Phi: G \to A$ which has at most one letter “as a defect”, where $A$ is the set of alternating (possibly empty) words in $F(\{a, b\})$.

Definition 3.20 (Letter Quasimorphism). A letter-quasimorphism is a map $\Phi: G \to A$ which is alternating, i.e. $\Phi(g^{-1}) = \Phi(g)^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$, and such that for every $g, h \in G$, one of the following cases hold:

1. $\Phi(g) \cdot \Phi(h) = \Phi(gh)$, or
2. there are elements $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in A$ and a letter $x \in \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\}$ such that up to a cyclic permutation of $\Phi(g), \Phi(h), \Phi(gh)^{-1}$ we have that
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   \Phi(g) &= c_1^{-1}xc_2 \\
   \Phi(h) &= c_2^{-1}xc_3 \\
   \Phi(gh)^{-1} &= c_3^{-1}x^{-1}c_1
   \end{align*}
   \]

where all expressions are supposed to be reduced.

The following Theorem 3.21, producing a quasimorphism out of a letter-quasimorphism, is a key result of [Heu19] stated in slightly greater generality. In the original statement $w_l$ and $w_r$ are required to be the identity element, but the same proof works for the more general statement. A more direct and completely different argument can be found in the old version of the current paper [CH20], which will now appear in a separate companion paper.

Theorem 3.21 ([Heu19, Theorem 4.7]). Let $\Phi: G \to A$ be a letter-quasimorphism and let $g_0 \in G$ be an element such that there are $K > 0$ and $w_l, w, w_r \in A$ with $\Phi(g_0^n) = w_lw^n-Kw_r$ for all $n \geq K$, where $w \notin \{id, a, b\}$. Then there is a homogeneous quasimorphism $\phi: G \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(g) \geq 1$ and $D(\phi) \leq 1$. In particular, $\text{scl}_G(g_0) \geq 1/2$.

We first sketch the construction of the desired letter-quasimorphism in the case of amalgamated free products from [Heu19]. Let $G = A \ast_C B$ be an amalgamated free product of $A$ and $B$ over the subgroup $C$ and suppose that $C \leq A$ (resp. $C \leq B$) is left relatively convex with order $\prec_A$ (resp. $\prec_B$). Define a function $\text{sign}_A$ on $A$ by setting

\[
\text{sign}_A(a) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } aC \prec_A C \\
0 & \text{if } aC = C \\
1 & \text{if } aC \succ_A C,
\end{cases}
\]

and define $\text{sign}_B$ analogously. Every element $g \in G$ either lies in $C$ or may be written as a product

\[
g = a_0b_0 \cdots a_nb_n
\]

where possibly $a_0$ and $b_n$ are the identity and all other $a_i \in A \setminus C$ and $b_i \in B \setminus C$.

Then we define a map $\Phi: G \to A$ as follows: If $g \in C$ set $\Phi(g) = e$. If $g = a_0b_0 \cdots a_nb_n$ set

\[
\Phi(g) = a^{\text{sign}_A(a_0)}b^{\text{sign}_B(b_n)} \cdots a^{\text{sign}_A(a_n)}b^{\text{sign}_B(b_n)}.
\]
This is well defined although the expression of \( g \) is not unique: Each double coset \( Ca_iC \) (resp. \( Cb_iC \)) is uniquely determined and \( \text{sign}_A \) (resp. \( \text{sign}_B \)) is bi-invariant under multiplication by \( C \).

The lemma below shows that \( \Phi \) is a desired letter-quasimorphism for all hyperbolic elements in \( G \).

**Lemma 3.22** ([Heu19, Theorem 6.3 and Lemma 6.1]). Let \( G = A \ast_{C} B \) be an amalgamated free product where \( C \) lies left relatively convex in both \( A \) and \( B \). Then the map \( \Phi : G \to A \) defined as above is a letter-quasimorphism. If \( g \in G \) is a hyperbolic element then there is a conjugate \( g_0 \in G \) of \( g \) and \( \epsilon \in A \) such that \( \Phi(g_0^{\epsilon^n}) = \epsilon^n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

We now describe a similar construction for HNN extensions. Let \( V \) be a group and let \( \phi : A \to B \) be an isomorphism between two subgroups \( A, B \) of \( V \). Let

\[
G = V \ast_{\phi} := \langle V, t \mid \phi(a) = tat^{-1}, a \in A \rangle
\]

be the associated HNN extension with stable letter \( t \).

Our construction makes use of a function \( \text{sign}_A : G \to \{-1, 0, 1\} \) as follows. If \( A, B \) are left relatively convex in \( V \), then a result of Antolín–Dicks–Šunić [ADS18, Theorem 14] shows that \( A \) is also left relatively convex in \( G \). Define \( \text{sign}_A : G \to \{-1, 0, 1\} \) as

\[
\text{sign}_A(g) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } gA < A \\
0 & \text{if } gA = A \\
1 & \text{if } gA > A.
\end{cases}
\]

Observe that for every \( g \in G \) and \( a \in A \), \( \text{sign}_A(g) = \text{sign}_A(ga) = \text{sign}_A(aga) \), i.e. \( \text{sign}_A \) is invariant under both left and right multiplications by \( A \).

Britton’s lemma [LS77] implies that each \( g \in G \) in the HNN extension may be written as

\[
g = v_0 t_0^{e_0} \cdots t_n^{e_n} v_n
\]

where \( e_i \in \{+1, -1\}, v_i \in V \) and there is no subword \( tat^{-1} \) with \( a \in A \) or \( t^{-1}bt \) with \( b \in B \).

Moreover, such an expression is unique in the following sense. If \( v_0' t_0' \cdots t_n' v_n' \) is another such expression then \( n = n', e_i = e_i' \) for all \( i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \), and

\[
v_i = h_i v_i' h_i',
\]

where

\[
\begin{cases} 
h_i^l = e & \text{if } i = 0, \\
h_i^l \in A & \text{if } e_{i-1} = 1, i > 0 \\
h_i^l \in B & \text{if } e_{i-1} = -1, i > 0
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
\begin{cases} 
h_i^r = e & \text{if } i = n, \\
h_i^r \in A & \text{if } e_i = -1, i < n \\
h_i^r \in B & \text{if } e_i = 1, i < n.
\end{cases}
\]

We define a letter-quasimorphism \( \Phi : G \to F(\{a, b\}) \) as follows. If \( g \in V \), set \( \Phi(g) = e \). Otherwise, express \( g = v_0 t_0^{e_0} \cdots t_n^{e_n} v_n \) in the above form. Then set

\[
\Phi(g) = ab^{e_0} a^{\text{sign}_A(\tilde{v}_1)} b^{e_1} \cdots a^{\text{sign}_A(\tilde{v}_{n-1})} b^{e_{n-1}} a^{-1}
\]

where \( \tilde{v}_i = t_i^l v_i t_i^r \) with

\[
t_i^l = \begin{cases} 
t^{-1} & \text{if } e_{i-1} = -1 \\
e & \text{else,}
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
t_i^r = \begin{cases} 
t & \text{if } e_i = +1 \\
e & \text{else.}
\end{cases}
\]
We verify below that the map \( \Phi \) is well defined. If \( v_0 t_0 v_1 t_1 \cdots t_{n-1} v_n \) is another such expression, then we know that \( \epsilon_i = \epsilon_i' \), and thus the \( b \)-terms agree.

We are left to verify that the signs of \( t_i^l v_i t_i^r \) and \( t_i^l v_i' t_i^r \) agree, where we know that \( v_i = h_i^l v_i^r h_i^r \) for some \( h_i^l, h_i^r \) as above.

If \( \epsilon_i-1 = 1 \), then
\[
\text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i t_i^r) = \text{sign}_A(h_i^l v_i^r h_i^r) = \text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i^r h_i^r)
\]
using that \( t_i^l \) is trivial, \( h_i^l \in A \) and that \( \text{sign}_A \) is invariant under left multiplication. If \( \epsilon_i-1 = -1 \), then
\[
\text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i t_i^r) = \text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i^r) = \text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i^r h_i^r)
\]
using that \( t_i^l = t^{-1} \), \( h_i^l \in B \), \( t^{-1} h_i^l t \in A \) and that \( \text{sign}_A \) is invariant under left multiplication. In each case we see that
\[
\text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i t_i^r) = \text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i^r).
\]
By an analogous argument for the right hand side of \( v_i \) and \( v_i' \) we see that
\[
\text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i t_i^r) = \text{sign}_A(t_i^l v_i^r).\]
Thus \( \Phi \) is indeed well defined.

**Lemma 3.23.** Let \( V \) be a group with left relatively convex subgroups \( A, B \leq V \). Let \( \phi : A \to B \) be an isomorphism between \( A \) and \( B \) and let \( G = V \rtimes \phi \) be the associated HNN extension. Then the map \( \Phi : G \to A \) defined as above is a letter-quasimorphism. If \( g \) is a hyperbolic element, then there is a conjugate \( g_0 \in G \) and \( w_1, w, w_r \in A \) such that \( \Phi(g_0) = w_1 w^r w_r \) for all \( m \geq 1 \) where \( w \in A \) is nontrivial and has even length.

We will show that for every \( g, h \in G \) either (1) or (2) of Definition 3.20 holds by realizing \( \Phi \) as a map defined on the Bass–Serre tree associated to the HNN extension, which is similar to the proof for the case of amalgamated free products [Heu19, Lemma 6.1].

Let \( T \) be a tree with vertex set \( V(T) = \{gV \mid g \in G\} \) and edge set
\[
E(T) = \{(gV, gtV) \mid g \in G\} \cup \{(gV, gt^{-1}V) \mid g \in G\}.
\]
Define \( o, \tau : E(T) \to V(T) \) by setting \( o(gV, gtV) = gV, \tau(gV, gtV) = gtV, o(gV, gt^{-1}V) = gV, \tau(gV, gt^{-1}V) = gt^{-1}V \). Moreover, set \( (gV, gtV) = (gtV, gV) \) and \( (gV, gt^{-1}V) = (gt^{-1}V, gV) \). It is well known [Ser80] that \( T \) is a tree and that \( G \) acts on \( T \) with vertex stabilizers conjugate to \( V \) and edge stabilizers conjugate to \( A \). For what follows, we will define two maps \( \text{sign}_t : E(T) \to \{1, -1\} \) and \( \mu : E(T) \to G/A \) on the set of edges. We set
- \( \text{sign}_t(e) = 1 \) if \( e = (gV, gtV) \) and \( \text{sign}_t(e) = -1 \) if \( e = (gV, gt^{-1}V) \). In the first case we call \( e \) positive and in the second case negative.
- \( \mu(e) = gtA \) if \( e = (gV, gtV) \), and \( \mu(e) = gA \) if \( e = (gV, gt^{-1}V) \).

**Claim 3.24.** Both \( \text{sign}_t \) and \( \mu \) are well defined.

**Proof.** For \( \text{sign}_t \) there is nothing to prove. For \( \mu \), observe that if \( (gV, gtV) = (g'V, g'tV) \), then there is an element \( b \in B \) such that \( g = g'b \). Thus \( g'tA = g'bA = g'^{-1}tA \), using that \( tA^{-1} = B \) in \( G \). Similarly, we see that \( \mu \) is also well defined for negative edges. \( \square \)

**Claim 3.25.** Let \( e \in E(T) \) be an edge. Then \( \text{sign}_t(\bar{e}) = -\text{sign}_t(e) \) and \( \mu(e) = \mu(\bar{e}) \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( e = (gV, gtV) \). Hence \( \text{sign}_t(e) = 1 \) and \( \mu(e) = gtA \). We see that \( \bar{e} = (gtV, gV) = ((gt)V, (gt)t^{-1}V) \). Thus \( \text{sign}_t(\bar{e}) = -1 \) and \( \mu(\bar{e}) = gtA \). The case where \( e \) is an edge of the form \( (gV, gt^{-1}V) \) is analogous. \( \square \)
A reduced path in $T$ is a sequence $\varphi = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$, $e_i \in E(T)$ of edges such that $\tau(e_i) = o(e_{i+1})$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, without backtracking. For what follows, $\mathcal{P}$ will be the set of all reduced paths. We also allow the empty path.

We define the following map $\Xi : \mathcal{P} \to A$ assigning an alternating word to each path of edges. If $\varphi$ is empty, set $\Xi(\varphi) = \varepsilon$. Otherwise, suppose that $\varphi = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$. Then define $\Xi(\varphi) \in F(\{a, b\})$ as

$$ab^{\text{sign}(e_1)}a^{\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_2))}b^{\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_2)^{-1}\mu(e_3))} \cdots a^{\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_{n-1})^{-1}\mu(e_n))}b^{\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_n)^{-1}a^{-1})}.$$ 

For any $g \in G$, let $\varphi(g)$ be the unique geodesic in $T$ from $V$ to $gV$.

**Claim 3.26.** $\Xi : \mathcal{P} \to A$ has the following properties:

(i) For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$ and $g \in G$ we have $\Xi(g \varphi) = \Xi(\varphi)$, where $g \varphi$ is the translate of $\varphi$ by $g \in G$.

(ii) Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ be two reduced paths such that the last edge in $\varphi_1$ is $e_1$, the first edge in $\varphi_2$ is $e_2$, so that $\tau(e_1) = o(e_2)$ and $e_1 \neq e_2$. Then

$$\Xi(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2) = \Xi(\varphi_1)a^{\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_2))}\Xi(\varphi_2)$$

as reduced words, where $\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2$ denotes the concatenation of paths.

(iii) For any $g \in G$ we have $\Phi(g) = \Xi(\varphi(g))$, where $\Phi$ is the map of interest in Lemma 3.23.

**Proof.** To see [i], denote by $ge$ the translate of an edge $e$ by the element $g \in G$. Then observe that $\mu(ge) = g\mu(e)$ and that $\text{sign}_A(ge) = \text{sign}_A(e)$. Thus for every sequence $(ge_1, ge_2)$ of edges we see that $\mu(ge_1)^{-1}\mu(ge_2) = \mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_2)$. Property [ii] follows immediately from the definition. For [iii], let $g = v_0^{t_0} \cdots v_{n-1}^{t_{n-1}} \in G$. Then the unique geodesic between $V$ and $gV$ may be described as

$$(v_0V, v_0^{t_0}v_1V, v_0^{t_0}v_1^{t_1}V, \ldots, v_0^{t_0}v_1^{t_{n-2}}v_{n-1}V, gV).$$

We conclude by multiple applications of [ii]. □

We can now prove Lemma 3.23.

**Proof of Lemma 3.23.** Since $\text{sign}_A(g^{-1}) = -\text{sign}_A(g)$, it is easy to see that $\Phi$ is alternating, i.e. $\Phi(g^{-1}) = \Phi(g)^{-1}$. Let $g \in G$ be a hyperbolic element. A conjugate $g_0$ of $g$ may be written as a cyclically reduced word $g_0 = v_0^{t_0} \cdots v_n^{t_n}$. So the subword $t_0^{n}v_0^{t_0}$ is reduced. We observe that

$$\Phi(g_0^m) = a^{b_0^{m-1}a^{\text{sign}_A(v_0)}a^{\text{sign}_A(v_1)} \cdots a^{\text{sign}_A(v_n)}b_{n}^{m-1}a^{\text{sign}_A(v_1)} \cdots a^{\text{sign}_A(v_n)}b_{n}^{m-1}}$$

where $v_i$ is defined as before, interpreting indices mod $n$ in the case of $v_0$. This produces desired $w_i, w, w_r \in A$ such that $\Phi(g_0^m) = w_i w_r^{m+1}w_r$ for all $m \geq 1$.

It remains to show that $\Phi$ is a letter-quasimorphism. Let $g, h \in G$. First, suppose that $T, gV$ and $ghV$ lie on a geodesic segment of $T$. If $gV$ lies in the middle of this segment, then there are paths $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ such that $\varphi(g) = \varphi_1$, $g\varphi(h) = \varphi_2$ and $\varphi(gh) = \varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2$. Using Claim 3.26 points [i] and [ii] we see that $\Phi$ behaves as a letter-quasimorphism on such $g, h$. The cases where $V$ or $ghV$ lie in the middle of the segment are similar.

Now suppose that $V, gV$ and $ghV$ do not lie on a common geodesic segment, so they bound a tripod. Then there are nontrivial paths $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in \mathcal{P}$ with initial edges $e_1, e_2, e_3$ satisfying $\tau(e_1) = o(e_2) = o(e_3)$ and $e_i \neq e_j$ for $i \neq j$ such that

$$\varphi(g) = \varphi_1^{-1} \cdot \varphi_2, g\varphi(h) = \varphi_2^{-1} \cdot \varphi_3, \text{ and } g\varphi((gh)^{-1}) = \varphi_3^{-1} \cdot \varphi_1.$$

By Claim 3.26, for $c_i = \Xi(\varphi_i)$, we have

$$\Phi(g) = c_1^{-1}a^{\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_2))}c_2,$$

$$\Phi(h) = c_2^{-1}a^{\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_2)^{-1}\mu(e_3))}c_3,$$

$$\Phi(gh)^{-1} = c_3^{-1}a^{\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_3)^{-1}\mu(e_1))}c_1.$$
The same holds if we remove the starting letter $a$ of each $c_i$, and then expressions above are reduced.

It remains to verify that not all of the above signs can be the same. Indeed suppose that

$$\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_2)) = \text{sign}_A(\mu(e_2)^{-1}\mu(e_3)) = \text{sign}_A(\mu(e_3)^{-1}\mu(e_1)) = 1.$$  

Then $\mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_2)A > A$ and $\mu(e_2)^{-1}\mu(e_3)A > A$, thus by transitivity and invariance of the order

$$\mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_3)A = \mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_2)\mu(e_2)^{-1}\mu(e_3)A > \mu(e_1)^{-1}\mu(e_2)A > A.$$  

But then $\mu(e_3)^{-1}\mu(e_1)A < A$, contradicting $\text{sign}_A(\mu(e_3)^{-1}\mu(e_1)) = 1$. Similarly the signs cannot all be $-1$. Thus $\Phi$ is a letter-quasimorphism.

We may now prove Theorem 3.19.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be the fundamental group of a graph of groups such that the edge groups are left relatively convex. Let $g \in G$ be a hyperbolic element. $G$ arises as a succession of amalgamated free products and HNN extensions. In particular, similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.18, we may write $G$ either as an amalgamated free product or an HNN extension such that $g$ is hyperbolic. By a result of Antolín–Dicks–Šunić [ADS18, Theorem 14], the edge groups of this HNN extension or amalgamated free product are left relatively convex. Lemmas 3.22 and 3.23 assert that there is a letter-quasimorphism $\Phi : G \to A$ such that for a conjugate $g_0 \in G$ of $g$ we have $\Phi(g_0^n) = w_1w_2^{-1}w_r$ for all $n \geq 1$ and where $w \in A \setminus \{id, a, b\}$. Now we conclude using Theorem 3.21 as it provides the desired homogeneous quasiisomorphism with $\phi(g) = \phi(g_0) \geq 1$.

4. **Scl of vertex and edge groups elements**

To promote relative spectral gap of graphs of groups to spectral gap in the absolute sense, one needs to further control scl in vertex groups. For a graph of groups $G = G(\Gamma, \{G_v\}, \{G_e\})$, the goal of this section is to characterize $\text{scl}_G$ of chains in vertex groups in terms of $\{\text{scl}_{G_v}\}_{v \in V}$.

Let us start with simple examples. For a free product $G = A \ast B$, we know that $G$ has a retract to each free factor, and thus $\text{scl}_G(a) = \text{scl}_A(a)$ for any $a \in A$. This is no longer true in general for amalgams.

**Example 4.1.** Let $S$ be a closed surface of genus $g > 4$. Let $\gamma$ be a separating simple closed curve that cuts $S$ into $S_A$ and $S_B$, where $S_A$ has genus $g - 1$ and $S_B$ has genus 1. Let $a$ be an element represented by a simple closed loop $\alpha$ in $S_A$ that co-bounds a twice-punctured torus $S_m$ with $\gamma$; See Figure 7. Then $G = \pi_1(S)$ splits as $G = A \ast_C B$, where $A = \pi_1(S_A)$, $B = \pi_1(S_B)$ and $C$ is the cyclic group generated by an element represented by $\gamma$. In this case, the element $a$ is supported in $A$ and the corresponding loop $\alpha$ does bound a subsurface $S_\ell$ in $S_A$ of genus $g - 2$, which is actually a retract of $S_A$ and we have $\text{scl}_A(a) = g - 5/2$. However, $\alpha$ also bounds a genus two surface from the $B$ side, which is the union of $S_B$ and $S_m$, showing that $\text{scl}_G(a) \leq 3/2$, which is smaller than $\text{scl}_A(a)$ since $g > 4$.

The example above shows that one can use chains in edge groups to adjust the given chain in vertex groups to a better one before evaluating it in individual vertex groups. Actually, $\text{scl}_G$ of such a chain is obtained by making the best adjustment of this kind.
**Theorem 4.2.** Let $G = G(\Gamma, \{G_v\}, \{G_e\})$ be a graph of groups with $\Gamma = (V, E)$. For any finite collection of chains $c_v \in C^1_H(G_v)$, $v \in V$, we have

\[
\text{scl}_G(\sum_v c_v) = \inf \sum_v \text{scl}_{G_v}(c_v) + \sum_{t(e)=v} c_e,
\]

where the infimum is taken over all finite collections of chains $c_e \in C^1_H(G_e)$ satisfying $c_e + c_{\bar{e}} = 0$ for each $e \in E$.

**Proof.** By Mayer–Vietoris (or rational abelianization), $H_1(G)$ is the direct sum $H_1(\Gamma) \oplus (\oplus_v H_1(G_v))$ mod relations of the form $t_{e*}(c) - t_{\bar{e}^*}(c)$ for chains $c \in C_1(G_e)$ and $e \in \bar{E}$. It follows that $\text{scl}_G(\sum_v c_v)$ is finite if and only if the other side of the equation is. Thus we assume both to be finite in the sequel. We will prove the equality for an arbitrary collection of rational chains $c_v$. Then the general case follows by continuity: $\text{scl}$ of a null-homologous real chain is defined as the limit of $\text{scl}$ of null-homologous rational chains with perturbed coefficients; See Definition 2.3. For a similar reason, when the chains $c_v$’s are rational, we can in addition require each $c_e$ to be rational, which does not affect the infimum in equation (4.1).

Let $X$ be the standard realization of $G$ as in Section 2.5. Represent each chain $c_v$ by a rational formal sum of elliptic tight loops in the corresponding vertex space $X_v$. Let $f : S \to X$ be any admissible surface in normal form (see Definition 3.1) of degree $n$ for the rational chain $\sum_v c_v$ in $C^1_H(G)$. For each $v \in V$, let $S_v$ be the union of components in the decomposition of $S$ that are supported in the thickened vertex space $N(X_v)$. Note that $S_v$ only has loop boundary since our chain is represented by elliptic loops. Moreover, any loop boundary supported in some edge space is obtained from cutting $S$ along edge spaces. For each edge $e$ with $t(e) = v$, let $c_e \in C^1_H(G_e)$ be the integral chain that represents the union of loop boundary components of $S_v$ supported in $X_e$. Then $S_v$ is admissible of degree $n$ for the chain $c_v + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t(e)=v} c_e$ in $C^1_H(G_v)$ for all $v \in V$. See Figure 8. Note that we must have $c_e + c_{\bar{e}} = 0$ since loops in $c_e$ and $c_{\bar{e}}$ are paired and have opposite orientations. Since $S$ is in normal form and $\sqcup S_v$ has no polygonal boundary, there are no disk components and hence we have

\[
-\frac{\chi(S_v)}{2n} = -\frac{\chi^-(S_v)}{2n} \geq \text{scl}_{G_v}(c_v + \sum_{t(e)=v} c_e), \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
-\frac{\chi(S)}{2n} = \sum_v -\frac{\chi(S_v)}{2n} \geq \sum_v \text{scl}_{G_v}(c_v + \sum_{t(e)=v} c_e).
\]

Since $S$ is arbitrary, this proves the “$\geq$” direction in (4.1).

Conversely, consider any collection of chains $c_v \in C^1_H(G_v)$ satisfying $c_e + c_{\bar{e}} = 0$. Recall that each $c_v$ is rational and we in addition require each $c_e$ to be a rational chain. For each $v \in V$, let $S_v$ be any admissible surface for the rational chain $c_v + \sum_{t(e)=v} c_e$ of a certain degree $n_v$. Let $n$ be a common multiple of all $n_v$’s. Replacing $S_v$ by $n/n_v$ disjoint copies of itself, we may assume all $S_v$’s have the same degree $n$. Since $c_e + c_{\bar{e}} = 0$ for all $e \in E$, the union $\sqcup_v S_v$ is an admissible surface of degree $n$ for a chain equivalent to $\sum_v c_v$ in $C^1_H(G)$. Since the surface $S_v$ and the collection $c_v$ are arbitrary, this proves the other direction of (4.1). \qed

**Remark 4.3.** If some $e \in E$ has $\text{scl}_{G_e} \equiv 0$ (e.g. when $G_e$ is amenable), then $\text{scl}_{G(t(e))}$ and $\text{scl}_G$ both vanish on $B^H(G_e)$ by monotonicity. Given this, the typically infinite-dimensional space $C^1_H(G_e)$ in Theorem 4.2 can be replaced by the quotient $C^1_H(G_e)/B^1_H(G_e) \cong H_1(G_e, \mathbb{R})$, which is often (e.g. when $G_e$ is finitely generated) finite-dimensional, for which Theorem 4.2 is still valid. Thus if all edge groups have vanishing scl and $\text{scl}_{G_v}$ is understood in each finite-dimensional subspace of $C^1_H(G_v)$ for all $v$, then $\text{scl}_G$ in vertex groups can be practically understood by equation (4.1), which is a convex programming problem.
Corollary 4.4. Let $G = A \ast_C B$ be an amalgam. If $C$ has vanishing scl and $H_1(C; \mathbb{R}) = 0$, then scl$_G(a) = $ scl$_A(a)$ for any $a \in C_1^H(A)$.

Proof. For any chain $c \in C_1^H(C)$, we have scl$_C(c) = 0$ by our assumption, and thus scl$_A(c) = $ scl$_B(c) = 0$ by monotonicity of scl. The conclusion follows readily from Theorem 4.2. \qed

It is clear from Example 4.1 that the assumption $H_1(C; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ is essential in Corollary 4.4.

By inclusion of edge groups into vertex groups, apparently Theorem 4.2 also applies to chains supported in edge groups. For later applications, we would like to carry out the characterization of scl in edge groups carefully, where we view scl as a degenerate norm.

Definition 4.5. A degenerate norm $\| \cdot \|$ on a vector space $V$ is a semi-norm on a linear subspace $V^f$, called the domain of $\| \cdot \|$, and is $+\infty$ outside $V^f$. The unit norm ball $B$ of $\| \cdot \|$ is the (convex) set of vectors $v$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$. The vanishing locus $V^z$ is the subspace consisting of vectors $v$ with $\|v\| = 0$. Note that $V^z \subset B \subset V^f$.

In the sequel, norms refer to degenerate norms unless emphasized as genuine norms. A norm in a finite-dimensional space with rational vanishing locus automatically has a “spectral gap”.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\| \cdot \|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. If the vanishing locus $V^z$ is a rational subspace, then $\| \cdot \|$ satisfies a gap property on $\mathbb{Z}^n$: there exists $C > 0$ such that either $\|P\| = 0$ or $\|P\| \geq C$ for all $P \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof. Extend a rational basis $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ of $V^z$ to a rational basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $m \leq n$. Then there is some integer $N > 0$ such that any $P = \sum_i P_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ has $NP_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i$. The restriction of $\| \cdot \|$ to the subspace spanned by $e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n$ has trivial vanishing locus and thus there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\| \sum_{j=m+1}^n Q_j e_j \| \geq NC$ for any integers $Q_{m+1}, \ldots, Q_n$ unless they all vanish. Therefore, if $P = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus V^z$, then $\|P\| = \| \sum_{j=m+1}^n NP_j e_j \|/N \geq C$. \qed

Recall from Subsection 2.1 that scl$_G$ is a (degenerate) norm on $C_1^H(G)$. If $G$ is a subgroup of $\tilde{G}$, then scl$_G$ also restricts to a norm on $C_1^H(G)$. 

**Figure 8.** This is an example where $\sum c_i = c_{v_2}$ is supported in a single vertex group $G_{v_2}$, represented as the formal sum of the blue loops in $X_{v_2}$. On the left we have an admissible surface $\tilde{S}$ for $c_{v_2}$ of degree 1. The edge spaces $X_{e_1}, X_{e_2}$ cut $S$ in to $S_{v_1}, S_{v_2}, S_{e_3}$ shown on the right. The edges are oriented so that $t(e_1) = v_1$ and $t(e_2) = v_2$. Then $S_{v_1}$ is admissible for its boundary, which is the chain $c_{e_1}$, and similarly $S_{v_2}$ is admissible for $c_{e_2}$. The surface $S_{v_2}$ is admissible for $c_{e_2} + e_{e_1}$, where $c_{e_1} = -c_{e_1}$ due to opposite orientations induced from $S_{v_2}$ and $S_{v_1}$, and similarly $c_{e_3} = -c_{e_2}$. Thus the sum of all boundary components of $\bigsqcup_i S_{v_i}$ is equal to $c_{v_2}$ in $C_1^H(G)$.
Let us set up some notation. For a graph of groups $G = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma, \{G_v\}, \{G_e\})$ with $\Gamma = (V, E)$. For each vertex $v \in V$, let $C_v := \bigoplus_{t(e) = v} C^H_1(G_e)$ be the space parameterizing chains in edge groups adjacent to $v$. Let $C_E := \bigoplus_{t(e) \in V} C^H_1(G_e)$ parameterize chains in all edge groups, one for each unoriented edge $\{e, \bar{e}\}$.

Define $\|c_{(e, \bar{e})}\| := \text{scl}_G(\sum c_{(e, \bar{e})})$ for any $(c_{(e, \bar{e})}) \in C_E$. Equivalently, $\| \cdot \|_E$ is the pullback of $\text{scl}_G$ via

$$\bigoplus_{(e, \bar{e})} C^H_1(G_e) \to \bigoplus_{(e, \bar{e})} C^H_1(G) \to C^H_1(G),$$

where the former map is inclusion on each summand and the latter map takes the summation.

Similarly, for each vertex $v \in V$, we pull back $\text{scl}_{G_v}$ to get a norm $\| \cdot \|_v$ on $C_v = \bigoplus_{t(e) = v} C^H_1(G_e)$ via the composition

$$\bigoplus_{e : t(e) = v} C^H_1(G_e) \to \bigoplus_{e : t(e) = v} C^H_1(G) \to C^H_1(G_v),$$

Note that $\bigoplus_{v \in V} C_v$ is naturally isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{(e, \bar{e})} (C^H_1(G_e) \oplus C^H_1(G_\bar{e}))$, which has a surjective map $\pi$ to $C_E$ whose restriction on each summand is

$$C^H_1(G_e) \oplus C^H_1(G_\bar{e}) = C^H_1(G_e) \oplus C^H_1(G_\bar{e}) \to C^H_1(G_e).$$

The kernel of $\pi$ is exactly the collections of chains $c_\bar{e}$ over which we take infimum in equation (4.1).

Equip $\bigoplus_{v \in V} C_v$ with the $\ell^1$ product norm given by $\|(x_v)\|_1 := \sum_{v \in V} \|x_v\|_v$. That is, the $\ell^1$ norm of a vector $(x_v)$ is the sum of norms $\|x_v\|_v$ of individual components $x_v \in C_v$. This induces a norm $\| \cdot \|$ on the quotient $C_E$ via $\|x\| := \inf_{x = \pi(y)} \|y\|_1$.

**Corollary 4.7.** With the notation above, the two norms $\| \cdot \|$ and $\| \cdot \|_E$ on $C_E$ agree.

**Proof.** Choose an orientation $e$ for each unoriented edge $\{e, \bar{e}\}$. For any $(c_{(e, \bar{e})}) \in C_E$, let $c_v = \sum_{t(e) = v} t_e c_{(e, \bar{e})} \in C^H_1(G_v)$ using the chosen orientation for each $v \in V$. Then by definition we have $\|(c_{(e, \bar{e})})\|_E = \text{scl}_G(\sum c_v)$ and $\|(c_{(e, \bar{e})})\| = \inf \sum_v \text{scl}_{G_v}(c_v + \sum c_e)$. Thus the assertion follows immediately from Theorem 4.2. \hfill \Box

### 4.1. Scl in the edge group of amalgamated free products

Corollary 4.7 is particularly simple in the special case of amalgams $G = A \star_C B$. We also give a precise description of the unit norm ball in Theorem 4.13.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let $G = A \star_C B$ be the amalgamated free product associated to inclusions $\iota_A : C \to A$ and $\iota_B : C \to B$. Then for any chain $c \in C^H_1(C)$, we have

$$\text{scl}_G(c) = \inf_{c_1, c_2 \in C^H_1(C)} \{\text{scl}_A(c_1) + \text{scl}_B(c_2)\}. \tag{4.2}$$

In particular, if $C \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator $t$, then

$$\text{scl}_G(t) = \min\{\text{scl}_A(t), \text{scl}_B(t)\}. \tag{4.3}$$

**Proof.** Equation (4.2) is an explicit equivalent form of Corollary 4.7 in our case. When $C \cong \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ and $c = t$, we have $c_1 = \lambda t$ and $c_2 = (1 - \lambda)t$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{scl}_G(t) = \inf_{\lambda} \{|\lambda| \text{scl}_A(t) + |1 - \lambda| \text{scl}_B(t)|\}$, where the optimization is achieved at either $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$. \hfill \Box

The simple formula (4.2) in the case of amalgams allows us to describe the unit norm ball of $(\text{scl}_G)_{C^H_1(C)}$. To accomplish this, we need the following notion from convex analysis. The *algebraic closure* of a set $A$ in a vector space $V$ consists of points $x$ such that there is some $v \in V$ so that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is some $t \in [0, \epsilon]$ with $x + tv \in A$. If $A$ is convex, the algebraic closure of $A$ coincides with $\text{lin}(A)$ defined in [Hol75, p. 9], which is also convex. If $A$ is in addition finite-dimensional, then its algebraic closure agrees with the topological closure of $A$ [Hol75, p. 59].
We also introduce the following definition for the discussion below.

**Definition 4.9.** For two degenerate norms $\| \cdot \|_1$ and $\| \cdot \|_2$ on a vector space $V$. The $\ell^1$-mixture norm $\| \cdot \|_m$ is defined as

$$\|v\|_m = \inf_{v_1 + v_2 = v} (\|v_1\|_1 + \|v_2\|_2).$$

Then the norm $(scl_G)_{G_m^i(C)}$ is the $\ell^1$-mixture of $scl_A$ and $scl_B$ by (4.2) in Theorem 4.8.

Let $V_i^f$, $V_i^z$ and $B_i$ be the domain, vanishing locus and unit ball of the norm $\| \cdot \|_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ respectively. Note that the domain $V_m^f$ of $\| \cdot \|_m$ is $V_1^f + V_2^f$, and the vanishing locus $V_m^z$ of $\| \cdot \|_m$ contains $V_1^z + V_2^z$ as a subspace.

**Lemma 4.10.** The vanishing locus $V_m^z$ of $\| \cdot \|_m$ is $V_1^z + V_2^z$ if $V$ is finite-dimensional.

**Proof.** Fix an arbitrary genuine norm $\| \cdot \|_1$ on $V$. Let $E_1$ be a subspace of $V_1^f$ such that $V_1^f$ is the direct sum of $E_1$ and $V_i^z$. Then $\| \cdot \|_1$ is a genuine norm on $E_1$, a finite-dimensional space, and thus there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that any $u \in E_1$ with $\|u\|_1 \leq 1$ has $\|u\|_1 < r_1$. It follows that every vector $v$ in $B_1$ can be written as $v_0 + u$ where $v_0 \in V_i^z$ and $\|u\|_1 \leq r_1$. A similar result holds for $\| \cdot \|_2$ with some constant $r_2 > 0$. Then for any $v \in V_m^z$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $v = v_1 + v_2 + u_1 + u_2$ for some $v_i \in V_i^z$ and $u_i$ satisfying $\|u_i\|_1 \leq \epsilon r_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Hence $V_m^z$ is contained in the closure of $V_1^z + V_2^z$. But $V_1^z + V_2^z$ is already closed since $V$ is finite-dimensional.

The unit norm ball $B_m$ of an $\ell^1$-mixture norm $\| \cdot \|_m$ has a simple description.

**Lemma 4.11.** The unit norm ball $B_m$ is the algebraic closure of $\text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2)$, where $\text{conv}($) takes the convex hull. If the underlying space is finite-dimensional, then we can take the topological closure of $\text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2)$ instead.

**Proof.** Fix any $v \in B_m$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $v_1, v_2$ with $v = v_1 + v_2$ and $\|v_1\|_1 + \|v_2\|_2 < 1 + \epsilon$. Let $u_i \in B_i$ be $v_i/\|v_i\|_i$ if $\|v_i\|_i \neq 0$, and $0$ otherwise. With $d = \max(1, \|v_1\|_1 + \|v_2\|_2)$, we have

$$v = \frac{v_1}{d} \cdot u_1 + \frac{v_2}{d} \cdot u_2 + (1 - \frac{\|v_1\|_1 + \|v_2\|_2}{d}) \cdot 0 \in \text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2).$$

It follows that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is some $0 < t < \epsilon$ such that $(1 - t)v \in \text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2)$. Thus $v$ is in the algebraic closure of $\text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2)$.

Conversely, fix any $v$ in the algebraic closure of $\text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2)$. Note that $\text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2)$ is a subset of $V_1^f + V_2^f$ and that any linear subspace is algebraically closed, so the algebraic closure of $\text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2)$ is a subset of $V_1^f + V_2^f$. Then by definition, there is some $u = u_1 + u_2$ with $u_i \in V_i^f$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $v + tu \in \text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2)$ for some $0 \leq t \leq \epsilon$. Thus $v = \lambda v_1 + (1 - \lambda) v_2 - tu = [\lambda v_1 - tu_1] + [(1 - \lambda) v_2 - tu_2] \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1] \text{ and } v_i \in B_i$. We see

$$\|v\|_m \leq \|\lambda v_1 - tu_1\|_1 + \|(1 - \lambda) v_2 - tu_2\|_2 \leq \lambda \|v_1\|_1 + (1 - \lambda) \|v_2\|_2 + t(\|u_1\|_1 + \|u_2\|_2) \leq 1 + \epsilon (\|u_1\|_1 + \|u_2\|_2).$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary and $\|u_1\|_1 + \|u_2\|_2$ is finite, we get $v \in B_m$. 

**Remark 4.12.** It is necessary to take the algebraic closure. On $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(x, y)\}$, let $\| (x, y) \|_1 = \infty$ if $y \neq 0$ and $\| (x, 0) \|_1 = |x|$, and let $\| (x, y) \|_2 = \infty$ if $x \neq 0$ and $\| (0, y) \|_2 = 0$. Then their $\ell^1$-mixture has formula $\| (x, y) \|_m = |x|$. Thus the unit balls $B_1 = [-1, 1] \times \{0\}$, $B_2 = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $B_m = [-1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus $\text{conv}(B_1 \cup B_2) = (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \cup \{(1, 0)\}$ does not agree with $B_m$ but its algebraic closure does.

**Lemma 4.11** in combination with Theorem 4.8 allows us to describe the unit norm ball of $scl_G$ on the edge group $C$ for $G = A \ast_C B$. 
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Theorem 4.13. Let $G = A \ast_C B$ be the amalgamated free product associated to inclusions $\iota_A : C \to A$ and $\iota_B : C \to B$. Then unit ball of $scl_G$ on $C$ equals the algebraic closure of $\text{conv}(B_A \cup B_B)$, where $\text{conv}(\cdot)$ denotes the convex hull, $B_A$ and $B_B$ are the unit norm balls of the pullbacks of $scl_A$ and $scl_B$ via $\iota_A$ and $\iota_B$ on $C_1^H(C)$ respectively.

Proof. By Theorem 4.8, the norm $scl_G$ restricted to $C_1^H(C)$ is the $\ell^1$-mixture of (the pullback of) $scl_A$ and $scl_B$. Thus the result follows from Lemma 4.11. \hfill \square

This enables us to look at explicit examples showing how $scl$ behaves under surgeries.

Example 4.14. Let $\Sigma$ be a torus with one boundary $\gamma = \partial \Sigma$. Then $X_A := S^1 \times \Sigma$ is a compact 3-manifolds with torus boundary $T_A$. Let $\gamma_A$ be a chosen section of $\gamma$ in $T_A$ and let $\tau_A$ be a simple closed curve on $T_A$ representing the $S^1$ factor. Let $C := \pi_1(T_A) = \langle \gamma_A, \tau_A \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the peripheral subgroup of $A := \pi_1(X_A)$, where we abuse the notation and use $\gamma_A$, $\tau_A$ to denote their corresponding elements in $\pi_1(T_A)$.

Let $X_B$ be another copy of $X_A$, where $T_B$, $\gamma_B$ and $\tau_B$ correspond to $T_A$, $\gamma_A$ and $\tau_A$ respectively. For any coprime integers $p, q$, there is an orientable closed $3$-manifold $M_{p,q}$ obtained by gluing $T_A$ and $T_B$ via a map $\phi : \pi_1(T_B) \to \pi_1(T_A) = C$ taking $\gamma_B$ to $p\gamma_A + q\tau_A$. Then $\pi_1(M_{p,q})$ is an amalgam $A \ast_C B$ where $B := \pi_1(X_B)$ and the inclusion $C \to B$ is given by $C \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \pi_1(T_B) \hookrightarrow B$.

Identify $H_1(C; \mathbb{R})$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $(1,0)$ representing $[\gamma_A]$ and $(0,1)$ representing $[\tau_A]$. According to Remark 4.3, $scl_A$ and $scl_B$ induce norms on $H_1(C; \mathbb{R}) \cong C_1^H(C)/B_1^H(C)$. Then the norm $scl_A$ on $H_1(C; \mathbb{R})$ has a one-dimensional unit norm ball, which is the segment connecting $(-2,0)$ and $(2,0)$ since $scl_A(\gamma_A) = scl_A(\tau_A) = 1/2$. Similarly the unit norm ball of $scl_B$ on $H_1(C; \mathbb{R})$ is the segment connecting $(2p, 2q)$ and $(-2p, -2q)$. By Theorem 4.13, the unit norm ball of $scl_{M_{p,q}}$ on $H_1(C; \mathbb{R})$ is the convex hull of $\{\pm(2,0), \pm(2p, 2q)\}$ (which is already closed), which intersects the positive $y$-axis at $(0, \frac{2q}{p+1})$ when $p, q \geq 0$. In this case, we have $scl_{M_{p,q}}(\tau_A) = \frac{p+1}{2q}$.

5. Spectral gaps of graph products

In this section we apply Theorem 3.8 to obtain sharp gaps of $scl$ in graph products, which are groups obtained from given collections of groups generalizing both free products and direct products.

Definition 5.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple graph (not necessarily connected or finite) and let $\{G_v\}$ be a collection of groups each associated to a vertex of $\Gamma$. The graph products $G_\Gamma$ is the quotient of the free product $\ast_{v \in \Gamma} G_v$ by the set of relations $\{[g_u, g_v] = 1 \mid g_u \in G_u, g_v \in G_v, u, v \text{ are adjacent}\}$.

Example 5.2. Here are some well known examples.

1. If $\Gamma$ has no edges at all, then $G_\Gamma$ is the free product $\ast_{v \in \Gamma} G_v$;
2. If $\Gamma$ is a complete graph, then $G_\Gamma$ is the direct product $\oplus_{v \in \Gamma} G_v$;
3. If each $G_v \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then $G_\Gamma$ is called the right-angled Artin group (RAAG for short) associated to $\Gamma$;
4. If each $G_v \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then $G_\Gamma$ is called the right-angled Coxeter group associated to $\Gamma$.

We first introduce some terminology necessary for the statements and proofs. Denote the vertex set of $\Gamma$ by $V(\Gamma)$. For any $V' \subset V(\Gamma)$, the full subgraph on $V'$ is the subgraph of $\Gamma$ whose vertex set is $V'$ and edge set consists of all edges of $\Gamma$ connecting vertices in $V'$. Any full subgraph $\Lambda$ gives a graph product denoted $G_\Lambda$ which is naturally a subgroup of $G_\Gamma$. It is actually a retract of $G_\Gamma$, by trivializing $G_v$ for all $v \notin \Lambda$. Denote by $lk(v)$ the link of a vertex $v$, which is the full subgraph of $\{w \mid w \text{ is adjacent to } v\}$. The star $st(v)$ is the full subgraph of $\{v\} \cup \{w \mid w \text{ is adjacent to } v\}$.

Finally, each element $g \in G_\Gamma$ can be written as a product $g_1 \cdots g_m$ with $g_i \in G_{v_i}$. Such a product is reduced if

1. $g_i \neq id$ for all $i$, and
(2) $v_i \neq v_j$ whenever we have $i \leq k < j$ such that $[g_i, g_t] = id$ for all $i \leq t \leq k$ and $[g_t, g_j] = id$ for all $k + 1 \leq t \leq j$.

It is known that every nontrivial element of $G_{1}$ can be written in a reduced form, which is unique up to certain operations (syllable shuffling) [Gre90, Theorem 3.9]. In particular, any $g$ expressed in the reduced form above is nontrivial in $G_{1}$.

The following standard splitting of graph products is the key to applying our techniques.

**Lemma 5.3.** For a graph product $G_{1}$ and any vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$, the group $G_{1}$ is an amalgam $A \ast_{C} B$ with $A = G_{1}(v)$, $C = G_{1}(v)$ and $B = G_{1}$, where $\Lambda$ is the full subgraph of the complement of $v$ in $\Gamma$.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $g = g_{1} \cdots g_{m} \in G_{1}$ ($m \geq 1$) be in reduced form such that for some $n \geq 3$ each $g_{i} \in G_{v_{i}}$ has order at least $n$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $g$ has order $\geq n$ rel $G_{1}$ for a full subgraph $A \subset C$ unless $v_{i} \in A$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on $m$. The base case $m = 1$ is obvious using the retract from $G_{1}$ to $G_{v_{i}}$. For the inductive step, we show $g$ has order $\geq n$ rel $G_{1}$ if $v_{i} \notin A$, and the proof is similar if $v_{i} \notin \Lambda$ for some $i > 1$. It suffices to prove that $g \in G_{1}$ has order $\geq n$ rel $G_{1}$, where $\Lambda$ is the full subgraph of the complement of $v_{i}$ in $\Gamma$ since $G_{1} \leq G_{1}$ and using Lemma 3.12 [2]. Consider $G_{1}$ as an amalgam $A \ast_{C} B$ with $A = G_{1}(v_{i})$, $C = G_{1}(v_{i})$ and $B = G_{1}$ as in Lemma 5.3. Then there is a unique decomposition of $g$ into $g = a_{1}b_{1} \cdots a_{\ell}b_{\ell}$ with $a_{i} \in A$ and $b_{i} \in B$, where each $a_{i}$ is a maximal subword of $g_{1} \cdots g_{m}$ that stays in $A - C$. To be precise, there is some $\ell \geq 1$ and indices $0 = \beta_{0} < \alpha_{1} < \beta_{1} < \cdots < \alpha_{\ell} \leq \beta_{\ell} \leq m$, such that $g = a_{1}b_{1} \cdots a_{\ell}b_{\ell}$, where $a_{i} = g_{\beta_{i-1}+1} \cdots g_{\alpha_{i}} \in A$ and $b_{i} = g_{\alpha_{i}+1} \cdots g_{\beta_{i}} \in B$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and such that

1. $b_{\ell} = id$ if $\alpha_{\ell} = m$;
2. For each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, we have $v_{j} \in st(v_{i})$ for all $\beta_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq \alpha_{i}$, and $v_{j} = v_{1}$ for some $\beta_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq \alpha_{i}$; and
3. For each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ (or $i < \ell$ if $\alpha_{\ell} = m$), we have $v_{j} \neq v_{1}$ for all $\alpha_{i} + 1 \leq j \leq \beta_{i}$, and $v_{\alpha_{i}+1}, v_{\beta_{i}} \notin st(v_{1})$.

Since $g$ is reduced, so are each $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$. Thus each $a_{i} \in A$ (resp. $b_{i} \in B$, except the case $b_{i} = id$) has order $\geq n$ rel $C$ by the induction hypothesis, and thus $g \in G_{1}$ has order $\geq n$ rel $B$ by Lemma 3.16 unless $\ell = 1$ and $b_{1} = id$. In the exceptional case we have $v_{i} \in st(v_{1})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, and the assertion is obvious using the direct product structure of $G_{1}(v_{1})$ and the fact that $g = g_{1} \cdots g_{m}$ is reduced.

Now we can deduce lower bounds of scl in graph products using Theorem 3.8.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $G_{1}$ be a graph product. Suppose $g = g_{1} \cdots g_{m} \in G_{1}$ ($m \geq 1$) is in cyclically reduced form such that for some $n \geq 3$ each $g_{i} \in G_{v_{i}}$ has order at least $n$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then either

$$\text{scl}_{G_{1}}(g) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n},$$

or the full subgraph $A$ on $\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\}$ in $\Gamma$ is a complete graph. In the latter case, we have

$$\text{scl}_{G_{1}}(g) = \text{scl}_{G_{1}}(g) = \max_{i} \text{scl}_{G_{1}}(g_{i}).$$

**Proof.** Fix any $v_{k}$, similar to the proof of Lemma 5.4, we express $G_{1}$ as an amalgam $A \ast_{C} B$, where $A$, $C$ and $B$ are the graph products associated to $st(v_{k}), lk(v_{k})$ and the full subgraph on $V(\Gamma) - \{v_{k}\}$ respectively. If there is some $v_{i} \notin st(v_{k})$, then up to a cyclic conjugation, $g = a_{1}b_{1} \cdots a_{\ell}b_{\ell}$ where $\ell \geq 1$, each $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ is a product of consecutive $g_{j}$'s such that $b_{i} \in B - C$ and each $a_{i} \in A - C$ is of maximal length. Since $g$ is cyclically reduced, each $a_{i} \in A$ (resp. $b_{i} \in B$) has order $\geq n$ rel $C$ by Lemma 5.4. It follows from Theorem 3.8 that $\text{scl}_{G_{1}}(g) \geq 1/2 - 1/n$.

Therefore, the argument above implies $\text{scl}_{G_{1}}(g) \geq 1/2 - 1/n$ unless $v_{i} \in st(v_{k})$ for all $i$, which holds for all $k$ only when the full subgraph $A$ on $\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\}$ in $\Gamma$ is complete. In this case, $G_{1}$
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Decompositions of 3.1. Decompositions of 3-manifolds. We first recall some important decompositions of 3-manifolds and the geometrization theorem. Every 3-manifold has a unique prime decomposition as a connected sum of finitely many prime 3-manifolds. We call a prime 3-manifold non-geometric if it does not admit one of the eight model geometries.

By the geometrization theorem, conjectured by Thurston [Thu82] and proved by Perelman [Per02, Per03b, Per03a], the JSJ decomposition [JS79, Joh79] cuts each non-geometric prime 3-manifold along a nonempty minimal finite collection of embedded disjoint incompressible 2-tori into compact manifolds (with incompressible tori boundary) that each either admits one of the eight model geometries in the interior of finite volume or is the twisted I-bundle K over the Klein bottle defined below. Here K is (T^2 x [0,1])/σ, where the involution σ is defined as σ(x, t) = (τ(x), 1 − t) and τ is the unique nontrivial deck transformation for the 2-fold covering of the Klein bottle by T^2. The compact manifold (with torus boundary) K is also recognized as the compact regular neighborhood of any embedded one-sided Klein bottle in any 3-manifold.

We will refer to such a decomposition of prime manifolds as the geometric decomposition. The only place that it differs from applying the JSJ decomposition to all prime factors is that some

Remark 5.6. The estimate is sharp in the following sense. For any g_v ∈ G_v of order n ≥ 2 and any g_u ∈ G_u of order m ≥ 2 with u not equal or adjacent to v, then the retract from G_Γ to G_u ∗ G_v gives

scl_{G_Γ}([g_u, g_v]) = scl_{G_u ∗ G_v}([g_u, g_v]) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\min(m, n)}

by [Che18a, Proposition 5.6].

Theorem 5.7. Let G_Γ be the graph product of \{G_v\}. Suppose for some n ≥ 3 and C > 0, each G_v has no k-torsion for all 2 ≤ k ≤ n and has a strong gap C. Then G_Γ has a strong gap min\{C, 1/2 − 1/n\}.

Proof. For any nontrivial g ∈ G_Γ written in reduced form, by Theorem 5.5, we either have scl_{G_Γ}(g) ≥ 1/2 − 1/n or scl_{G_Γ}(g) = \max scl_{G_i}(g_i) ≥ C. □

Corollary 5.8. For n ≥ 3, any graph product of abelian groups without k-torsion for all 2 ≤ k ≤ n have strong gap 1/2 − 1/n. In particular, all right-angled Artin groups have strong gap 1/2, originally proved by the second author [Hen19].

Unfortunately, our result does not say much about the interesting case of right-angled Coxeter groups due to the presence of 2-torsion.

Question 5.9. Is there a spectral gap for every right-angled Coxeter group? If so, is there a uniform gap?

The first part of the question has now been answered affirmatively by the authors in a subsequent paper [CH23, Corollary 6.18], but the gap obtained is not uniform over all RACGs, relying on the defining graph. However, we do have a uniform gap 1/60 restricting to hyperbolic RACGs [CH23, Corollary 6.19]. It is still not clear if there is a uniform gap for all RACGs.

6. Spectral gap of 3-manifold groups

In this section, we show that any closed connected orientable 3-manifold has a scl spectral gap. All 3-manifolds in this section are assumed to be orientable, connected and closed unless stated otherwise. Throughout this section, we will use scl_M to denote scl_{π_1(M)} and use scl_(M,∂M) to denote scl in π_1(M) relative to the peripheral subgroups when M potentially has boundary.

6.1. Decompositions of 3-manifolds. We first recall some important decompositions of 3-manifolds and the geometrization theorem. Every 3-manifold has a unique prime decomposition as a connected sum of finitely many prime 3-manifolds. We call a prime 3-manifold non-geometric if it does not admit one of the eight model geometries.

By the geometrization theorem, conjectured by Thurston [Thu82] and proved by Perelman [Per02, Per03b, Per03a], the JSJ decomposition [JS79, Joh79] cuts each non-geometric prime 3-manifold along a nonempty minimal finite collection of embedded disjoint incompressible 2-tori into compact manifolds (with incompressible tori boundary) that each either admits one of the eight model geometries in the interior of finite volume or is the twisted I-bundle K over the Klein bottle defined below. Here K is (T^2 x [0,1])/σ, where the involution σ is defined as σ(x, t) = (τ(x), 1 − t) and τ is the unique nontrivial deck transformation for the 2-fold covering of the Klein bottle by T^2. The compact manifold (with torus boundary) K is also recognized as the compact regular neighborhood of any embedded one-sided Klein bottle in any 3-manifold.

We will refer to such a decomposition of prime manifolds as the geometric decomposition.
geometric prime 3-manifolds (e.g. of Sol geometry) admit nontrivial JSJ decomposition, but we do not cut them into smaller pieces in the geometric decomposition.

Furthermore, five \((\mathbb{S}^3, \mathbb{E}^3, \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}, \text{Nil}, \text{Sol})\) out of the eight geometries have no non-cocompact lattice \([\text{Thu97}, \text{Theorem 4.7.10}]\). It follows that pieces obtained by a nontrivial geometric decomposition are either \(K\) or have one of the other three geometries in the interior: \(\mathbb{H}^3\), \(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}\) or \(\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}\).

If a compact manifold \(M\) with (possibly empty) tori boundary has \(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}\) or \(\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}\) geometry in the interior with finite volume, then it is \textit{Seifert fibered} over a 2-dimensional compact orbifold \(B\) whose orbifold Euler characteristic \(\chi_o(B) < 0\); See \([\text{Sco83}, \text{Theorems 4.13 and 4.15}]\) and \([\text{Thu97}, \text{Corollary 4.7.3}]\). We will introduce basic properties of Seifert fibered spaces and orbifolds in Subsection \(6.2\).

We summarize the discussion above as the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.1.** There is a unique geometric decomposition of every prime 3-manifold \(M\), which is trivial if \(M\) already admits one of the eight geometries and is the JSJ decomposition if \(M\) is non-geometric. Moreover, if \(M\) is non-geometric, then each piece \(N\) in its geometric decomposition has incompressible tori boundary, and exactly one of the following three cases occurs:

1. \(N\) has hyperbolic geometry of finite volume in the interior,
2. \(N\) is Seifert fibered over a compact orbifold \(B\) with \(\chi_o(B) < 0\), or
3. \(N\) is homeomorphic to the twisted I-bundle \(K\) over the Klein bottle.

The minimality of the JSJ decomposition implies that the fiber directions disagree when two boundary components from Seifert fibered pieces are glued. \(M\) being non-geometric implies that no two pieces homeomorphic to \(K\) are glued together.

Since the tori in the geometric decomposition are incompressible, the fundamental group \(\pi_1(M)\) of any non-geometric prime manifold \(M\) has the structure of a graph of groups. We refer to the corresponding tree that \(\pi_1(M)\) acts on as the \textit{JSJ-tree}. By basic hyperbolic geometry and the minimality of JSJ decomposition, it is noticed by Wilton–Zalesskii \([\text{WZ10}, \text{Lemma 2.4}]\) that the action on the JSJ-tree has a nice \textit{acylindricity} property.

**Definition 6.2.** For any \(K \geq 1\), an action of \(G\) on a tree is \textit{\(K\)-acylindrical} if the fixed point set of any \(g \neq \text{id} \in G\) has diameter at most \(K\).

**Lemma 6.3** (Wilton–Zalesskii). The action of any non-geometric prime 3-manifold group on its JSJ-tree is 4-acylindrical.

### 6.2. 2-dimensional orbifolds and Seifert fibered 3-manifolds.

For our purpose, a compact 2-dimensional (cone-type) orbifold \(B\) is a compact possibly nonorientable surface with finitely many so-called cone points in its interior. Each cone point has an order \(n \geq 2\), meaning that locally it is modeled on the quotient of a round disk by a rotation of angle \(2\pi/n\). The orbifold Euler characteristic \(\chi_o(B)\) is the Euler characteristic of the surface minus \(\sum (1 - 1/n_i)\), where the sum is taken over all cone points and \(n_i\) is the corresponding order.

Only those orbifolds \(B\) with negative \(\chi_o(B)\) will appear in our discussion, all of which have hyperbolic structures of finite volume realizing each boundary as a cusp \([\text{DM84}]\). In this case, \(B\) can be thought of as \(\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma\), where \(\Gamma\) is a discrete subgroup of \(\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)\) isomorphic to the orbifold fundamental group \(\pi_1(B)\). It follows that each element in \(\pi_1(B)\) of finite order acts by an elliptic isometry such that its order divides the order of some cone point, an element acts by parabolic isometry if and only if its conjugacy class represents a loop on the boundary, and all other elements act by hyperbolic isometries (possibly composed with a reflection about the axis of translation). It also follows that a finite orbifold cover of \(B\) is a hyperbolic surface, and thus \(\pi_1(B)\) is word-hyperbolic.

For any compact 2-dimensional orbifold \(B\) with \(\chi_o(B) < 0\), it is known that \(H^k(\pi_1(B); \mathbb{R}) = 0\) for all \(k > 2\), and that \(H^2(\pi_1(B); \mathbb{R}) \neq 0\) if and only if \(B\) is closed and orientable, in which case a
generator is given by the Euler class \( \text{eu}(B) \) associated to the \( \pi_1(B) \) action on the circle at infinity of the hyperbolic plane (for any fixed hyperbolic structure on \( B \)).

A compact 3-manifold \( M \) with (possibly empty) tori boundary is Seifert fibered over an orbifold \( B \) if there is a projection \( p : M \to B \) such that

1. each fiber \( p^{-1}(b) \) is \( S^1 \),
2. it is an \( S^1 \) bundle away from the preimage of cone points of \( B \), and
3. for each cone point \( b \) of order \( n \), a neighborhood of \( p^{-1}(b) \) is obtained by gluing the bottom of a solid cylinder to its top by a rotation of angle \( 2m \pi/n \) for some \( m \) coprime to \( n \).

A fiber \( p^{-1}(b) \) is regular if \( b \) is not a cone point. If \( b \) is a cone point of order \( n \), we say the fiber \( p^{-1}(b) \) has multiplicity \( n \). When \( \chi_o(B) < 0 \), \( M \) is aspherical and thus \( \pi_1(M) \) is torsion-free [Sco83, Lemma 3.1], and moreover \( \pi_1(M) \) fits into a short exact sequence

\[
1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{p} \pi_1(B) \to 1,
\]

where the normal \( \mathbb{Z} \) subgroup is generated by any regular fiber [Sco83, Lemma 3.2]. This is a central extension if the bundle is orientable, or equivalently \( B \) is orientable since \( M \) is orientable. Note that \( \partial M \) is exactly the preimage of \( \partial B \), and thus the \( \mathbb{Z} \) subgroup lies in every peripheral \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) subgroup of \( \pi_1(M) \) if \( \partial M \neq \emptyset \).

We will frequently use the map \( p \) and monotonicity of scl in our estimates. In many cases, \( p \) actually preserves scl.

**Lemma 6.4 (Calegari).** Let \( M \) be a 3-manifold Seifert fibered over an orbifold \( B \) with \( \chi_o(B) < 0 \). Let \( p : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(B) \) be induced by the projection and let \( z \in \pi_1(M) \) represent a regular fiber. Then \( \text{scl}_M(g) = \text{scl}_B(p(g)) \) for all null-homologous \( g \in \pi_1(M) \) if \( H^2(\pi_1(B); \mathbb{R}) = 0 \).

**Proof.** This is the proof of [Cal09b, Proposition 4.30] applied to the exact sequence (6.1). \( \square \)

When the base space \( B \) is closed and orientable, we need the following two lemmas as additional tools.

**Lemma 6.5.** Let \( M \) be a closed Seifert fibered 3-manifold with orientable base orbifold \( B \) so that \( \chi_o(B) < 0 \). Let \( z \in \pi_1(M) \) represent a regular fiber. Then \( M \) has \( \text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E} \) geometry, and the former case arises iff \( [z] = 0 \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R}) \).

**Proof.** We know \( M \) admits \( \text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E} \) geometry by our discussion in Section 6.1. See also [Sco83]. We give a dumb but direct way to check the second assertion: \( M \) has \( \text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{R} \) geometry if and only if the Euler number of the Seifert fibration is nonzero [Sco83], and it is straightforward from the presentation of \( \pi_1(M) \) (see [Orl72, Section 5.3]) that this is also equivalent to \( [z] = 0 \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R}) \), using the formula in [Sco83, Page 437] relating the Euler number to the Seifert invariants. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.6.** Let \( M \) be a closed 3-manifold admitting \( \text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{R} \) geometry. Suppose the Seifert fibration of \( M \) is over an orientable orbifold \( B \). Let \( p : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(B) \) be induced by the projection and let \( z \in \pi_1(M) \) represent a regular fiber, which is rationally null-homologous. Pulling back the standard \( \text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{R} \) action on \( \mathbb{R} \) (covering the \( \text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{R} \) action on \( S^1 = \partial \mathbb{H}^2 \)) by the representation \( \pi_1 M \to \text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{R} \), we obtain an action \( \rho : \pi_1 M \to \text{Homeo}_+^+(\mathbb{R}) \) covering the \( \pi_1(B) \) action on \( S^1 = \partial \mathbb{H}^2 \). Then the homogeneous quasimorphism \( \phi := \rho^* \text{rot} \in Q(\pi_1(M)) \) has the following properties:

1. the defect \( D(\phi) \leq 1 \),
2. \( \phi(z) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \),
3. \( \phi(g) \in \mathbb{Z} \) whenever \( p(g) \) is of infinite order, and
4. \( Q(\pi_1(M))/H^1(\pi_1(M)) \) is spanned by \( \phi \) and the image of \( Q(\pi_1(B))/H^1(\pi_1(B)) \) under \( p^* \).
Proof. Let $Z = \langle z \rangle$. We have the following commutative diagram, where $i : \pi_1(M) \to \widetilde{\operatorname{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}}$ is the representation endowing $M$ with $\operatorname{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}$ geometry, and both rows are central extensions with the first one identical to (6.1).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & \pi_1M & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \iota \\
1 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\operatorname{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & 1,
\end{array}
\]

Let $\rho_0 : \widetilde{\operatorname{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}} \to \operatorname{Homeo}_+^+(\mathbb{R})$ be the action on $\mathbb{R}$ lifting the standard action $\bar{\rho}_0 : \operatorname{PSL}_2\mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{Homeo}_+^+(S^1)$, where we identify $S^1$ with $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$. Then the action $\rho$ is by definition $\rho = \rho_0 \circ i$, which is a lift of $\rho_0 i p = \rho_0 i : \pi_1M \to \operatorname{Homeo}_+^+(S^1)$. The first desired property follows from the fact that $D(\text{rot}) = 1$ (Theorem 2.18) and $D(\phi) = D(\rho^*\text{rot}) \leq D(\text{rot})$.

Let $z_0$ be the generator of the central $\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}$ that acts on $\mathbb{R}$ by translation $+1$. We have $i(z) = n z_0$ for some $n \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $i$ is faithful, so $\phi(z) = \text{rot}(\rho(z)) = n \text{rot}(\rho_0(z_0)) = n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, verifying the second property.

For any $g \in \pi_1(M)$, the projection $\rho(g)$ is either hyperbolic (and of infinite order) or elliptic (and of finite order), as $M$ (and thus $B$) is closed. Since any hyperbolic isometry has fixed points on $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$, we know $\phi(g) = \text{rot}(\rho(g)) \in \mathbb{Z}$, proving the third property.

Finally, we prove the last property using a diagram chase originated from the proof of [Cal09a, Proposition 4.30]. We have the following diagram with exact rows and columns (Section 2.4), where all (bounded) cohomology groups are in $\mathbb{R}$ coefficients:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & Q(\pi_1B)/H^1(\pi_1B) & \longrightarrow & H^2_0(\pi_1B) & \longrightarrow & H^2(\pi_1B) \\
& & \downarrow \delta & & \simeq \downarrow p^* & \downarrow \cong & \downarrow p^* \\
0 & \longrightarrow & Q(\pi_1M)/H^1(\pi_1M) & \longrightarrow & H^2_0(\pi_1M) & \longrightarrow & H^2(M) \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \\
& & & & H^2_0(\mathbb{Z}) = 0
\end{array}
\]

Let $\text{eu}(B) := \text{eu}(\bar{\rho}_0 \bar{\pi})$ (resp. $\text{eu}_b(B) := \text{eu}_b(\bar{\rho}_0 \bar{\pi})$) be the (resp. bounded) Euler class associated to the $\pi_1(B)$ action on $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$. Then $\delta \phi = \delta \rho^* \text{rot} = p^* \text{eu}(B)$ by equation (2.1).

For any $f \in Q(\pi_1M)/H^1(\pi_1M)$, there is some $\sigma \in H^2_0(\pi_1M)$ such that $p^* \sigma = \delta f$. Since $\text{eu}(B)$ generates $H^2(\pi_1B)$ and $c(\text{eu}_b(B)) = \text{eu}(B)$, we can write $\sigma = \delta \psi + \text{eu}_b(B)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi \in Q(\pi_1B)/H^1(\pi_1B)$. Thus

$$
\delta(f - p^* \psi - t \phi) = p^* (\delta \psi + \text{eu}_b(B)) - \delta p^* \psi - t p^* \text{eu}_b(B) = 0.
$$

This shows that $f = p^* \psi - t \phi$ and completes the proof. \hfill \square

See [Sco83] for a more detailed introduction to orbifolds, Seifert fibered spaces, and their relation to the eight geometries.

6.3. Gaps from hyperbolicity. We will need a few tools for our estimates. The first is the following spectral gap theorem for word-hyperbolic groups, which is a corollary of [CF10, Theorem A'].

Theorem 6.7 (Calegari–Fujiiwara). Let $G$ be a $\delta$-hyperbolic group with a finite generating set $S$. Fix finitely many group elements $\{a_i\}$. Then there is a constant $C = C(\delta, |S|, \{a_i\})$ such that, for any $g \in G$ satisfying


(1) there is no \( n \geq 1 \) such that \( g^n \) is conjugate to \( g^{-n} \) in \( G \); and
(2) there is no \( m, n \neq 0 \) and index \( i \) such that \( g^n \) is conjugate to \( a_i^m \),
we have \( \text{scl}_{(G,A)}(g) \geq C \), where \( A \) is the collection of cyclic groups \( \langle a_i \rangle \).

**Proof.** Since \( \{a_i\} \) is a finite collection, we automatically have a uniform bound \( T \) on their translation lengths. Thus this follows from Theorem 2.16. \( \square \)

Their technique also has applications to groups acting on hyperbolic spaces. The special case of action on trees is carried out carefully by Clay–Forester–Louwsma [CFL16] to make the estimate explicit. We will use the following theorem from [CFL16] Theorem 6.11. Note the potential confusion that a \( K \)-acylindrical action by our definition is \((K + 1)\)-acylindrical in [CFL16], and the statement below has been tailored for our use.

**Theorem 6.8** (Clay–Forester–Louwsma). Suppose \( G \) acts \( K \)-acylindrically on a tree and let \( N \) be the smallest integer greater than or equal to \((K + 3)/2\). If \( g \in G \) is hyperbolic, then either \( \text{scl}_G(g) \geq 1/12N \) or \( \text{scl}_G(g) = 0 \). Moreover, the latter case occurs if and only if \( g \) is conjugate to \( g^{-1} \).

We also need a strengthened version of Calegari’s gap theorem for hyperbolic manifolds (not necessarily closed) [Cal08, Theorem C]. The only difference is that we deal with \( \text{scl} \) relative to the peripheral subgroups.

**Theorem 6.9** (Calegari). Let \( M \) be a complete hyperbolic manifold of dimension \( m \). Then for any \( \kappa > 0 \), there is a constant \( \delta(\kappa, m) > 0 \) such that for any hyperbolic element \( g \in \pi_1(M) \) with \( \text{scl}_{(M,\partial M)}(g) < \delta \), the unique geodesic loop \( \gamma \) representing \( g \) has hyperbolic length no more than \( \kappa \).

**Proof.** The proof of the original theorem [Cal08, Theorem C] works without much change. We briefly go through it for completeness.

Let \( S \) be a relative admissible surface for \( g \) of degree \( n \). By simplifying \( S \), we may assume \( S \) to be a pleated surface and \( -\chi(S)/n = -\chi^-(S)/n \). That is, \( S \) is a hyperbolic surface of finite volume with geodesic boundary, the map \( f : S \to M \) takes cusps into cusps and preserves the lengths of all rectifiable curves, and each point \( p \in S \) is in the interior of a straight line segment which is mapped by \( f \) to a straight line segment. The nice properties that we will use are area\((S) = -2\pi\chi(S)\) and that \( f \) preserves lengths, in particular length\((\partial S) = n \cdot \text{length}(\gamma)\).

Choose \( \epsilon \) small compared to the \( 2 \)-dimensional Margulis constant and length\((\gamma)\), and take the thin-thick decomposition of \( DS \), where \( DS \) is the double of \( S \) along its geodesic boundaries. Let \( D_{\text{thick}} \) (resp. \( D_{\text{thin}} \)) be the part with injectivity radius \( \geq 2\epsilon \) (resp. \( < 2\epsilon \)), and let \( S_{\text{thick}} \) (resp. \( S_{\text{thin}} \)) be \( S \cap D_{\text{thick}} \) (resp. \( S \cap D_{\text{thin}} \)). Then \( S_{\text{thin}} \) is a union of cusp neighborhoods, open embedded annuli around short simple geodesic loops, and open embedded rectangles between pairs of geodesic segments of \( \partial S \) which are distance \( < \epsilon \) apart at every point. Each such a rectangle doubles to an open annulus in \( D_{\text{thin}} \). Let \( r \) be the number of rectangles and \( s \) be the number of annuli in \( S_{\text{thin}} \), then there are \( 2s + r \) annuli in \( D_{\text{thin}} \), which are disjoint and non-isotopic. Hence \( 2s + r \) is no more than the maximal number of disjoint non-isotopic simple closed curves on \( DS \), and thus

\[
r \leq 2s + r \leq -\frac{3}{2}\chi(DS) = -3\chi(S).
\]

For convenience, add the cusp neighborhoods and open annuli of \( S_{\text{thin}} \) back to \( S_{\text{thick}} \) so that \( S_{\text{thin}} \) consists of \( r \) thin rectangles. By definition, the \( \epsilon/2 \)-neighborhood of the geodesic boundary in \( S_{\text{thick}} \) is embedded, and thus

\[
-2\pi\chi(S) = \text{area}(S) \geq \text{area}(S_{\text{thick}}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{length}(\partial S \cap S_{\text{thick}}).
\]
Since each component of $S_{\text{thin}}$ intersects $\partial S$ in two components, there are at most $-6\chi(S)$ components of $\partial S \cap S_{\text{thin}}$, while their total length

$$\text{length}(\partial S \cap S_{\text{thin}}) = \text{length}(\partial S) - \text{length}(\partial S \cap S_{\text{thick}}) \geq n \cdot \text{length}(\gamma) - \frac{-4\pi \chi(S)}{\epsilon}.$$ 

Therefore, at least one component $\sigma$ of $\partial S \cap S_{\text{thin}}$ satisfies

$$\text{length}(\sigma) \geq n \cdot \text{length}(\gamma) - \frac{-4\pi \chi(S)}{\epsilon} - 6\chi(S) = n \cdot \text{length}(\gamma) - \frac{2\pi}{3\epsilon}.$$ 

On the other hand, $\text{length}(\sigma)$ cannot be much longer than $\text{length}(\gamma)$, otherwise we will have two long anti-aligned geodesic segments on $\gamma$, which according to the Margulis lemma would violate either the discreteness of $\pi_1(M)$ or the fact that there are no order-2 elements in $\pi_1(M)$. More precisely, choosing $\epsilon$ small in the beginning so that $4\epsilon$ is less than the $m$-dimensional Margulis constant, it is shown in the original proof of [Cal08, Theorem C] that

$$\text{length}(\sigma) \leq 2 \cdot \text{length}(\gamma) + 4\epsilon.$$ 

Combining this inequality with our earlier estimates, we have

$$2 \cdot \text{length}(\gamma) + 4\epsilon \geq \frac{n \cdot \text{length}(\gamma)}{-6\chi(S)} - \frac{2\pi}{3\epsilon},$$

or equivalently

$$\left(\frac{n}{-6\chi^-(S)} - 2\right) \text{length}(\gamma) \leq 4\epsilon + \frac{2\pi}{3\epsilon}.$$ 

The result follows since $\epsilon$ is a constant depending only on the dimension $m$. \hfill \square

From the proof above, we deduce the following analog of [Cal08, Theorem D] (and the claims made in [Cal08, Remark 5.6]).

**Theorem 6.10.** Let $M$ be a complete hyperbolic manifold of dimension $m$. Then for any $0 < \delta < 1/24$, there is a constant $\kappa(\delta, m) > 0$ such that for any hyperbolic element $g \in \pi_1(M)$ with $\text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) < \delta$, the unique geodesic loop $\gamma$ representing $g$ has hyperbolic length no more than $\kappa$.

**Proof.** Following the same proof as above, for a small constant $\epsilon$ depending on the dimension $m$, if $\text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) < \delta$, then there is a relative admissible surface $S$ of some degree $n$ that is pleated, such that $-\chi^-(S)/2n < \delta$ and

$$\left(\frac{n}{-6\chi^-(S)} - 2\right) \text{length}(\gamma) \leq 4\epsilon + \frac{2\pi}{3\epsilon}.$$ 

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{12\delta} - 2\right) \text{length}(\gamma) \leq 4\epsilon + \frac{2\pi}{3\epsilon}.$$ 

Since $\frac{1}{12\delta} > 2$ by assumption, and the right-hand side of the equation above only depends on $m$, we obtain an upper bound $\kappa$ of length($\gamma$) relying only on $\delta$ and $m$ as desired. \hfill \square

### 6.4. Estimates of scl in 3-manifolds.

The main theorem of this section is the following gap theorem.

**Theorem 6.11.** For any 3-manifold $M$, there is a constant $C = C(M) > 0$ such that for any $g \in \pi_1(M)$, we have either $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq C$ or $\text{scl}_M(g) = 0$. 

The size of $C$ does depend on $M$, but we will describe elements with $\text{scl} < 1/48$ and classify those with vanishing $\text{scl}$ in Theorem 6.31.

As for concrete examples of elements with small $\text{scl}$, one can perform Dehn fillings on a knot complement to produce a sequence of closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds and loops with hyperbolic lengths and stable commutator lengths both converging to 0; See [CF10, Example 2.4]. Here we give a different example among the so-called graph manifolds.

**Example 6.12.** Consider the manifolds $M_{p,q}$ in Example 4.14 with $p = 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The image of the loop $\tau_A$ has positive $\text{scl}$ $1/q$ converging to 0 as $q$ goes to infinity. In this example, the geometric decomposition is obtained by cutting along the image of the torus $T_A$, where the resulting manifolds $X_A$ and $X_B$ are both trivially Seifert fibered and admit $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}$ geometry of finite volume in the interior.

To show Theorem 6.11 by Lemma 2.6 it suffices to estimate $\text{scl}$ of loops in each prime factor. We first focus on geometric prime manifolds and then deal with non-geometric ones.

### 6.4.1. The geometric cases.

For geometric prime manifolds, we first focus on the harder case of those with $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}$ or $\overline{\text{PSL}}_2 \mathbb{R}$ geometry.

**Theorem 6.13.** Let $M$ be a prime 3-manifold with $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}$ or $\overline{\text{PSL}}_2 \mathbb{R}$ geometry. So $M$ is Seifert fibered over some closed orbifold $B$ with $\chi_B(B) < 0$. Then there is a constant $C = C(M) > 0$ such that either $\text{scl}_B(g) \geq C$ or $\text{scl}_B(g) = 0$ for any $g \in \pi_1(M)$. Moreover, $\text{scl}_B(g) = 0$ if and only if

1. either $B$ is nonorientable and $g^n h^m h^{-1}$ represents a multiple of the regular fiber for some $h \in \pi_1(M)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, or
2. $g^n h^m h^{-1} = \text{id}$ for some $h \in \pi_1(M)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

**Proof.** Let $z \in \pi_1(M)$ represent a regular fiber, and let $p$ be the projection in the short exact sequence $6.1$. By Theorem 2.16 and the fact that $\pi_1(B)$ is word-hyperbolic, there is some $C(B) > 0$ such that either $\text{scl}_B(g) \geq C(B)$ or $\text{scl}_B(g) = 0$ for any $g \in \pi_1(B)$. Hence by monotonicity, $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq \text{scl}_B(p(g)) \geq C(B)$ unless $\text{scl}_B(p(g)) = 0$. Moreover, the latter case occurs if and only if there is some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in \pi_1(M)$ such that $g^n h^m h^{-1} = z^m$. Thus it remains to analyze $\text{scl}_M(g)$ for such exceptional $g$ satisfying $g^n h^m h^{-1} = z^m$.

If $B$ is nonorientable, then $H^2(\pi_1(B); \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $p$ preserves $\text{scl}$ by Lemma 6.4. Moreover, the bundle must be nonorientable and $z$ is conjugate to $z^{-1}$. Thus our equation implies that $[g] = m[z]/2n = 0 \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$. So we have $\text{scl}_M(g) = \text{scl}_B(p(g)) = 0$ for all such exceptional $g$.

Now suppose $B$ is orientable. Consider two cases:

1. Suppose $[z] \neq 0 \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$, i.e. $M$ admits $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}$ geometry by Lemma 6.5. Then $g^n h^m h^{-1} = z^m$ implies $2n[g] = m[z] \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$. If $m = 0$, then $g^n$ is conjugate to $g^{-n}$ and thus $\text{scl}_M(g) = 0$. If $m \neq 0$, then $[g] \neq 0 \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$ and thus $\text{scl}_M(g) = \infty$.

2. Suppose $[z] = 0 \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$, i.e. $M$ admits $\overline{\text{PSL}}_2 \mathbb{R}$ geometry. Let $\phi = \rho^* \text{rot} \in \mathcal{Q}(\pi_1 M)$ be the homogeneous quasimorphism from Lemma 6.6 where $\rho$ is the $\pi_1$ action on $\mathbb{R}$ covering the $\pi_1 B$ action on $S^1 = \partial \mathbb{H}^2$. For any exceptional $g$ satisfying $g^n h^m h^{-1} = z^m$, or equivalently $g^n = h g^{-n} h^{-1} z^m$, since $z$ is central, using Proposition 2.8 we have $n \phi(g) = \phi(g^n) = \phi(h g^{-n} h^{-1}) + \phi(z^m) = -n\phi(g) + m\phi(z)$. As $\phi(z) \neq 0$, we have $\phi(g) = 0$ if and only if $m = 0$, i.e. $g^n h^m h^{-1} = \text{id}$, in which case $\text{scl}_M(g) = 0$ as shown in the previous case.

Now suppose $\phi(g) \neq 0$, i.e. $m \neq 0$.

(a) If $p(g)$ is hyperbolic, it acts on $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ with fixed points, so $\phi(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 6.6. Thus $\phi(g) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. As $D(\phi) \leq 1$ by Lemma 6.6 Bavard’s duality implies $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq \frac{|\phi(g)|}{2D(\phi)} \geq \frac{1}{2}$.
In summary, for $B$ orbifold we have either $scl_M(g) = 0$ or $scl_M(g)$ is finite for all $g \in \pi_1(M)$, and the latter case occurs exactly in the asserted scenarios.

We summarize our result for the geometric cases in the theorem below.

**Theorem 6.14.** Let $M$ be a closed prime 3-manifold that admits one of the eight geometries. Then there is $C(M) > 0$ such that for all $g \in \pi_1(M)$, either $scl_M(g) \geq C(M)$ or $scl_M(g) = 0$.

**Proof.** If $M$ is hyperbolic, then the result follows from Theorem 6.9 by choosing $\kappa$ less than the injectivity radius of $M$ and $C(M) = \delta(\kappa, 3)$. In this case, $scl_M(g) = 0$ only holds for $g = id$ as $\pi_1M$ is torsion-free hyperbolic or by Theorem 6.9.

If $M$ has $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}$ or $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}$ geometry, this is shown by Theorem 6.13 above. For the other five geometries, $\pi_1M$ is amenable and $scl_M \equiv 0$, so the result holds trivially for any $C(M) > 0$.

6.4.2. The non-geometric cases. Now we consider prime manifolds with nontrivial geometric decomposition. We will treat the fundamental group as a graph of groups with $\mathbb{Z}^2$ edge groups according to the geometric decomposition. We first establish $scl$ gaps of vertex groups relative to adjacent edge groups, which would help us estimate $scl_M$ in the vertex groups using Lemma 2.11.

**Lemma 6.15.** Let $M$ be a compact 3-manifold with tori boundary (possibly empty). Suppose the interior of $M$ is hyperbolic with finite volume. Then $\pi_1(M)$ has a strong spectral gap relative to the peripheral subgroups. Moreover, $scl_{(M,\partial M)}(g) = 0$ occurs if and only if $g$ is conjugate into some peripheral subgroup.

**Proof.** If $g$ is conjugate into some peripheral subgroup, then obviously $scl_{(M,\partial M)}(g) = 0$. Assume that this is not the case, then $g$ is a hyperbolic element. In the thin-thick decomposition, there are only finitely many neighborhoods of short loops in the thin part as the interior of $M$ has finite volume. So we may choose a constant $\kappa = \kappa(M) > 0$ so that any geodesic loop in $M$ has length at least $\kappa$. Then there is a constant $\delta > 0$ by Theorem 6.9 such that $scl_{(M,\partial M)}(g) \geq \delta$ for all such $g$.

A similar result holds for Seifert fibered manifolds. Here we focus on those with nonempty boundary. See Theorem 6.13 for the case of closed Seifert fibered manifolds.

**Lemma 6.16.** Let $M$ be a compact 3-manifold with nonempty tori boundary, Seifert fibered over an orbifold $B$ with $\chi_o(B) < 0$. Then $\pi_1M$ has a spectral gap relative to the peripheral $\mathbb{Z}^2$-subgroups. Moreover, $scl_{(M,\partial M)}(g) = 0$ if and only if one of the following cases occurs, where $z \in \pi_1M$ represents a regular fiber:

1. $g$ is conjugate into some peripheral subgroup;
2. $g^n = z^m$ for some $n > 0$ no greater than the maximal order of cone points on $B$ and for some $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$;
3. There is $h \in \pi_1M$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ghgh^{-1} = z^m$ (so $p(g)$ is conjugate to $p(g^{-1})$ in $\pi_1(B)$), and $scl_M(g^2 - z^m) = 0$, where $p : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(B)$ is the projection map.
Proof. Since \( B \) has nonempty boundary, Lemma 6.4 implies that \( H^2(\pi_1(B); \mathbb{R}) = 0 \) and \( p \) preserves scl. That is, for any \( g \in \pi_1(M) \), either \( \text{scl}_M(g) = \infty \) or \( \text{scl}_M(g) = \text{scl}_B(p(g)) \).

If \( p(g) \) is of finite order \( n \), then by the exact sequence (6.1), we have \( z^m = g^n \) for some integer \( m \), where \( n \) divides the order of some cone points on \( B \) by the structure of \( \pi_1(B) \). We have \( \text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) = 0 \) since \( z \) is peripheral. Moreover \( m \neq 0 \) since \( \pi_1M \) is torsion-free.

Suppose \( p(g) \) is of infinite order in the sequel. Recall that \( \pi_1(B) \) is a lattice in \( \text{PSL}_2\mathbb{R} \) and Gromov-hyperbolic. Then \( p(g) \) either acts as a parabolic isometry or acts as a (possibly orientation-reversing) hyperbolic isometry.

1. If \( p(g) \) is parabolic, then it is conjugate into a peripheral \( \mathbb{Z} \)-subgroup of \( \pi_1(B) \), which implies that \( g \) is conjugate into a peripheral \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \)-subgroup of \( \pi_1(M) \) and \( \text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) = 0 \).

2. If \( p(g) \) is hyperbolic and \( hp(g^n)h^{-1} \neq p(g^{-n}) \) for any \( n \neq 0 \) and \( h \in \pi_1(B) \). Fix a generator \( g \) for each peripheral subgroup of \( \pi_1(B) \). Since \( p(g) \) acts as a hyperbolic isometry, \( hp(g^n)h^{-1} \neq g^m \) for any \( m, n \neq 0 \) and any \( h \in \pi_1(B) \). Then Theorem 6.7 implies \( \text{scl}_{(B, \partial B)}(p(g)) \geq C \) for a constant \( C = C(B) \), where \( \text{scl}_{(B, \partial B)} \) denotes scl of \( \pi_1(B) \) relative to its peripheral \( \mathbb{Z} \)-subgroups. This implies \( \text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) \geq \text{scl}_{(B, \partial B)}(p(g)) \geq C \) by monotonicity of relative scl.

3. If \( p(g) \) is hyperbolic and \( hp(g^n)h^{-1} = p(g^{-n}) \) for some \( n \geq 1 \) and \( h \in \pi_1(B) \). Then \( h \) must interchange the two endpoints of the axis of \( p(g) \), and thus \( hp(g)h^{-1} = p(g^{-1}) \). This implies \( hgh^{-1}g = z^m \) for some \( h \in \pi_1(M) \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). Hence the chain \( g - m/2 \) \( z \) is null-homologous. Since \( p \) preserves scl and \( p(g) \) is conjugate to its inverse, we have \( \text{scl}_M(g - m/2) = \text{scl}_B(p(g)) = 0 \). Since \( z \) is peripheral, we conclude that \( \text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) = 0 \) in this case.

Combining the cases above, any \( g \in \pi_1(M) \) either has \( \text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) \geq C \) or \( \text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) = 0 \). Moreover, if \( \text{scl}_{(M, \partial M)}(g) = 0 \), then

1. either \( p(g) \) is of finite order and \( g^n = z^m \) for some \( n \neq 0 \) and \( n > 0 \) no greater than the maximal order of cone points on \( B \);
2. or \( p(g) \) is of infinite order and
   (a) \( p(g) \) is parabolic, which implies that \( g \) is conjugate into some peripheral subgroup; or
   (b) \( p(g) \) is hyperbolic, \( ghgh^{-1} = z^m \) for some \( h \in \pi_1(M) \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \), and \( \text{scl}_M(g^2 - z^m) = 0 \).

\( \square \)

Remark 6.17. In the proof above, the gap \( C \) comes from the spectral gap of scl in 2-orbifolds relative to nonempty boundary, which can be made uniform and explicit with \( C = 1/24 \); See the old version of this paper [CT20] Theorem 9.4, which may appear in a separate note.

Next we control scl of integral chains in the edge spaces in the geometric decomposition of a prime manifold (Theorem 6.23). We need the following two lemmas and their corollaries.

Lemma 6.18. Let \( M \) be a compact 3-manifold with boundary consisting of tori \( T_i \), \( i \in I \) (\( I \) could be empty). Suppose the interior of \( M \) is hyperbolic with finite volume. Then there exists \( C > 0 \) such that \( \text{scl}_{(M, \{T_i\})}(g) \geq C \) for any \( g \in \pi_1(T) \setminus \{\text{id}\} \).

Proof. By the hyperbolic Dehn filling theorem [Thu78] Theorem 5.8.2], we can fix two different Dehn fillings of the end \( T \) such that the resulting manifolds \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are both hyperbolic with cusp ends \( T_i \), \( i \in I \). For any \( g \neq \text{id} \in \pi_1(T) \), it is a nontrivial hyperbolic element in at least one of \( \pi_1(M_1) \) and \( \pi_1(M_2) \). Thus the result follows from Lemma 6.15 and monotonicity. \( \square \)

Corollary 6.19. Let \( M \) be a compact 3-manifold with boundary tori \( T_i \) (\( i \in I \)). Suppose the interior of \( M \) is hyperbolic with finite volume. Then for any chain \( c \) of the form \( \sum_{i \in I} t_ig_i \) with \( t_i \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( g_i \in \pi_1(T_i) \setminus \{\text{id}\} \), we have \( \text{scl}_M(c) > 0 \) unless \( t_i = 0 \) for all \( i \in I \).
Proof. Suppose $t_j \neq 0$ for some $j$, then Lemma 6.18 provides a constant $C_j > 0$ such that
\[ \text{scl}_M(\sum t_i g_i) \geq |t_j| \cdot \text{scl}_{M,T_i}(g_j) \geq |t_j| C_j > 0. \]
\[ \square \]

Lemma 6.20. Let $M$ be a compact 3-manifold with boundary consisting of tori $T$ and $T_i$, $i \in I$ ($I$ could be empty). Suppose $M$ is Seifert fibered with bundle projection $p : M \to B$ where $B$ is an orbifold with $\chi_o(B) < 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that $\text{scl}_{M,T_i}(g) \geq C$ for any $g \in \pi_1(T)\setminus \ker p$.
\[ \square \]

Proof. We have the short exact sequence (6.1). Consider any $g \in \pi_1(T)\setminus \ker p$. Fix any hyperbolic structure of $B$ realizing boundaries as cusps. Then $p(g)$ is a parabolic element. We know $h p(g^n) h^{-1} \neq p(g^{-n})$ for any $h \in \pi_1(B)$ and any $n \neq 0$ since otherwise $h$ must be a hyperbolic reflection, which cannot appear in $\pi_1(B)$. Since different boundary components of $B$ cannot be homotopic and $\pi_1(B)$ is $\delta$-hyperbolic, Theorem 6.7 implies the existence of $C > 0$ such that
\[ \text{scl}_{M,T_i}(g) \geq \text{scl}_{B,p(T_i)}(p(g)) \geq C \]
for all $g \in \pi_1(T)\setminus \ker p$. \[ \square \]

Again in the lemma above, we can take $C = 1/24$ just as commented in Remark 6.17.

Corollary 6.21. Let $M$ be a compact 3-manifold with nonempty boundary tori $T_i$ ($i \in I$). Suppose $M$ is Seifert fibered with bundle projection $p : M \to B$ where $B$ is an orbifold with $\chi_o(B) < 0$. Then for any chain $c$ of the form $\sum_{i \in I} t_i g_i$ with $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero and $g_i \neq id \in \pi_1(T_i)$, we have $\text{scl}_M(c) = 0$ if and only if $g_i \in \ker p$ for all $i \in I$ and $[c] = 0 \in H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$.
\[ \square \]

Proof. If $g_j \notin \ker p$ for some $j$, then Lemma 6.20 provides a constant $C_j > 0$ such that
\[ \text{scl}_M(\sum t_i g_i) \geq |t_j| \cdot \text{scl}_{M,T_i}(g_j) \geq |t_j| C_j > 0. \]
Suppose $g_i \in \ker p$ for all $i \in I$. Note that $H^2(\pi_1(B); \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $p$ preserves scl by Lemma 6.4, since $B$ has boundary. Hence if $[c] = 0 \in H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$, then $\text{scl}_M(c) = \text{scl}_B(p(c)) = \text{scl}_B(0) = 0$. \[ \square \]

We are now ready to prove a gap result for $\text{scl}_M(c)$, where $c$ is an integral chain supported in the edge groups. In order to characterize those elements $c$ in the edge group with $\text{scl}_M(g) = 0$, it is convenient to introduce the following notion.

Definition 6.22. We say $(g, N)$ is a vanishing pair in a prime 3-manifold $M$ if $N$ is a piece in the (nontrivial) geometric decomposition of $M$ and $g \in \pi_1 N$ is represented by a loop on a torus boundary of $N$ such that
(1) $g = id$,
(2) $N = K$ is the twisted $I$ bundle over the Klein bottle and $g$ is null-homologous,
(3) or $N$ is Seifert fibered over base $B$ with $\chi_o(B) < 0$, $g = z^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z$ represents the regular fiber, such that (a) $B$ is nonorientable or (b) at least one boundary component of $N$ is glued to a copy of the twisted $I$-bundle $K$ such that the pair $(z', K)$ satisfies case (2) above for the image $z'$ of $z$ in $\pi_1 K$.

Theorem 6.23. Let $M$ be a non-geometric prime 3-manifold. Let $T$ be the collection of tori in the JSJ decomposition of $M$. Then there is a constant $C_M > 0$ such that, for any integral chain $c = \sum_{T \in T} g_T$ with $g_T \in \pi_1(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have either $\text{scl}_M(c) = 0$ or $\text{scl}_M(c) \geq C_M$. Moreover, if $c$ is a single loop supported in a JSJ torus $T$, then $\text{scl}_M(c) = 0$ if and only if $T$ identifies boundary components $\partial_1 \subset N_1$ and $\partial_2 \subset N_2$ of (possibly the same) pieces $N_1, N_2$, and $c = ab \in \pi_1(T)$, such that under the identification of $T$ with $\partial_1$ and $\partial_2$ respectively, the images $a', b'$ of $a, b$ in $\pi_1 N_1$ and $\pi_1 N_2$, respectively, satisfy:
(1) either $(a', N_1)$ and $(b', N_2)$ are both vanishing pairs,
(2) or \( N_1 = N_2 \) is Seifert fibered with regular fiber represented by \( z \) so that \( a' = z^m \) and \( b' = z^{-m} \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** As we mentioned earlier, the geometric decomposition endows \( \pi_1(M) \) with the structure of a graph of groups, where the vertex groups are the fundamental groups of geometric pieces \( N \) and the edge groups are the fundamental groups of those tori \( T \) we cut along.

For each \( N \in \mathcal{N} \), let \( V_N := \bigoplus_{T \in \partial N} H_1(T; \mathbb{R}) \) and equip it with the degenerate norm \( \| (h_T)_{T \in \partial N} \|_N := \text{scl}(\sum_{T \in \partial N} h_T) \) where \( h_T \in H_1(T) \). Then \( \bigoplus_{N \in \mathcal{N}} V_N \) is naturally equipped with \( \| \cdot \|_1 \), the \( \ell^1 \)-product norm of all \( \| \cdot \|_N \). As we observed in Section 4, \( \bigoplus_{N \in \mathcal{N}} V_N \) as a projection \( \pi : \bigoplus_{N \in \mathcal{N}} V_N \to V_T \). Equips \( V_T \) with the quotient norm \( \| \cdot \| \) of \( \| \cdot \|_1 \). By Corollary 4.7 and Remark 4.3 we have \( \|(h_T)_{T \in \mathcal{T}}\| = \text{scl}(\sum h_T) \) for any \( h_T \in H_1(T) \), \( T \in \mathcal{T} \). If \( N \) is the twisted \( I \)-bundle over the Klein bottle, then a loop on its boundary has vanishing scl if and only if it is null-homologous since the fundamental group of the Klein bottle is virtually abelian. Combining this with Corollary 6.19 and Corollary 6.21 we note that the vanishing locus of \( \| \cdot \|_N \) on \( V_N \) is rational for each \( N \in \mathcal{N} \). Thus the vanishing locus of \( \| \cdot \|_1 \) is also rational since it is the direct sum over all \( N \) of the vanishing locus of \( \| \cdot \|_N \) on \( V_N \). Then its image under the projection \( \pi \) is rational, which is exactly the vanishing locus of \( \| \cdot \| \). Hence by Lemma 4.6 the desired constant \( C_M \) exists.

Suppose \( c \) is a single loop in some edge space with \( \text{scl}_M(c) = 0 \). Then there is some \( (v_N) \in \bigoplus_{N \in \mathcal{N}} V_N \) such that \( \pi(v_N) = c \) and \( \| v_N \|_N = 0 \) for all \( N \). By Corollary 6.19 we have \( v_N = 0 \) for all hyperbolic pieces \( N \). By Corollary 6.21 each \( v_N \) is a sum of fibers on the boundary components of \( N \) with \( \| v_N \| = 0 \in H_1(N; \mathbb{R}) \) for each Seifert fibered piece \( N \). Finally, \( v_N \) is a null-homologous loop in \( N \) if \( N \) is the twisted \( I \)-bundle over the Klein bottle. Combining these facts, to annihilate the scl of a nontrivial loop, hyperbolic pieces make no contribution, and Seifert fibered pieces away from \( T \) cannot contribute either by the minimality of JSJ decomposition. Also note that no two twisted \( I \) bundles over the Klein bottle can be glued together as \( M \) is non-geometric (see Theorem 6.1). We obtain the desired classification of such loops \( c \) via a case-by-case study.

The size of \( C_M \) is not explicit in Theorem 6.23. We notice from Example 6.12 that \( C_M \) could be very small and depends on how the geometric pieces are glued together. We record the following special case for later use.

**Corollary 6.24.** Let \( M \) be a non-geometric prime 3-manifold. Suppose \( N \) is a Seifert fibered geometric piece in \( M \), and \( z \in \pi_1 N \leq \pi_1 M \) represents a regular fiber. If \( \text{scl}_M(z) = 0 \), then \( (z, N) \) is a vanishing pair.

**Proof.** Represent \( z \) by a loop supported on a JSJ torus \( T \). We have a decomposition \( z = ab \) as in Theorem 6.23. Without loss of generality \( N = N_1 \), then \( a \) must be a power of \( z \), and so is \( b \). We cannot have \( N_1 = N_2 = N \) since \( z \) is already in the fiber direction and the JSJ decomposition is minimal. Minimality also implies that \( N_2 \neq N \) cannot be Seifert fibered unless \( b = id \). So either \( a = z \) or \( N_2 \) is the twisted \( I \) bundle over a Klein bottle. In either case, \( (z, N) \) must be a vanishing pair.

Now we estimate \( \text{scl}_M(g) \) for elements \( g \) in vertex groups.

**Theorem 6.25.** Let \( M \) be a non-geometric prime 3-manifold. Then for each geometric piece \( N \) in the JSJ decomposition of \( M \), there is a constant \( C_N > 0 \) such that for any \( g \) representing a loop in \( N \), we have either \( \text{scl}_M(g) = 0 \) or \( \text{scl}_M(g) \geq C_N \).

**Proof.** Endow \( \pi_1(M) \) with the structure of a graph of groups from the geometric decomposition, then \( g \) is conjugate into the vertex group \( \pi_1(N) \). The boundary of \( N \) consists of a nonempty collection of tori. Let \( C_M \) be the bound for integral chains supported in the edge groups from Theorem 6.23. There are three cases:
(1) The interior of $N$ is hyperbolic with finite volume. If $g$ is conjugate into a peripheral subgroup of $\pi_1(N)$, then our control on edge groups shows that either $\text{scl}_1(g) = 0$ or $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq C_M$. If $g$ is not conjugate into any peripheral subgroup of $\pi_1(N)$, then by Lemmas 3.2 and 6.15 there exists $C > 0$ such that $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq \text{scl}_M(\partial N)(g) \geq C$ for all such $g$. Thus the conclusion holds with $C_N := \min(C, C_M)$ in this case.

(2) $N$ is Seifert fibered over an orbifold $B$ such that $\chi_0(B) < 0$. By Lemma 6.16, there is a constant $C = C(N)$ such that either $\text{scl}_N(\partial N)(g) \geq C$ or $\text{scl}_N(\partial N)(g) = 0$. Moreover, $\text{scl}_N(\partial N)(g) = 0$ only occurs in two cases:
   (a) either $g^n$ is conjugate into some peripheral subgroup of $\pi_1(N)$ for some $n > 0$ not exceeding the maximal order $O_N$ of cone points on $B$, then by our control of $\text{scl}_M$ on the edge groups, either $\text{scl}_M(g) = 0$ or $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq C_M/O_N$;
   (b) or $\text{scl}_N(g^2 - z^m) = 0$ for some integer $m$, where $z$ is a generator of $\ker p \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $p : \pi_1(N) \to \pi_1(B)$ is the projection map. In this case, by monotonicity, we have $\text{scl}_N(g^2 - z^m) = 0$ and $\text{scl}_M(g) = \frac{|m|}{2}\text{scl}_M(z)$. If $m = 0$ or $\text{scl}_M(z) = 0$, then $\text{scl}_M(g) = 0$; otherwise, $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq \text{scl}_M(z)/2 \geq C_M/2$.

In summary, we may choose $C_N := \min(C, C_M/O_N, C_M/2)$ in this case.

(3) $N$ is homeomorphic to the regular neighborhood $K$ of a one-sided Klein bottle. Then $g^2$ is conjugate to an edge group element, and thus either $\text{scl}_M(g) = 0$ or $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq C_N := C_M/2$.

Finally, we control $\text{scl}_M(g)$ for hyperbolic elements $g$ using Theorem 6.8.

**Lemma 6.26.** For any non-geometric prime 3-manifold $M$ and any $g \in \pi_1(M)$ that is hyperbolic for the action on the JSJ-tree, either $\text{scl}_M(g) \geq 1/48$ or $g$ is conjugate to its inverse, in which case $\text{scl}_M(g) = 0$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 6.3, the action of $\pi_1(M)$ on the JSJ-tree is 4-acylindrical, thus the result follows from Theorem 6.8 with $K = 4$ and $N = 4$.

The bound can be improved by Theorem 3.9 if certain pieces do not appear in the geometric decomposition. This is done by verifying the 3-RTF condition using geometry.

**Lemma 6.27.** Let $M$ be a compact 3-manifold with tori boundary and let $T$ be a boundary component. Suppose either the interior of $M$ is hyperbolic with finite volume, or $M$ is Seifert fibered over an orbifold $B$ such that $\chi_0(B) < 0$ and $B$ has no cone points of even order. Then $\pi_1(T)$ is 3-RTF in $\pi_1(M)$.

**Proof.** We focus on the case where $M$ is Seifert fibered. We will use the exact sequence (6.1) again. Note that $\pi_1(B)$ embeds in $\text{PGL}_2\mathbb{R} \cong \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ as a lattice and, up to a conjugation, $H := p(\pi_1(T))$ is a subgroup of

$$P := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong (\mathbb{R}, +)$$

in $\text{PGL}_2\mathbb{R}$. As a result, each $h \in H$ has a unique square root $\sqrt{h} \in P$, i.e. one that satisfies $(\sqrt{h})^2 = h$. Also note that $P \cap \pi_1(B) = H$.

To show that $\pi_1(T)$ is 3-RTF in $\pi_1(M)$, it suffices to show that $H$ is 3-RTF in $\pi_1(B)$. Suppose $g \in \pi_1(B)$ satisfies $gh_1g h_2 = \text{id}$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in H$. We need to show $g \in H$. Let $h^* := \sqrt{h_1}h_2 \in P$ and $g^* := gh^* \in \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$. Since $P$ is abelian, we note that $(h^*)^{-1}h_2(h^*)^{-1}h_1 = \text{id}$, i.e. $(h^*)^{-1}h_2 = [(h^*)^{-1}h_1]^{-1}$. Thus we have

$$(g^*)^{-1} = (h^*)^{-1}g^{-1} = (h^*)^{-1}h_1gh_2 = (h^*)^{-1}h_1g^*(h^*)^{-1}h_2 = ((h^*)^{-1}h_1)g^*((h^*)^{-1}h_1)^{-1}.$$ 

We have three cases:

1. $g^*$ fixes some point in $\mathbb{H}^2$. Then the fixed point set is a geodesic subspace $X$ in $\mathbb{H}^2$, which must be preserved by $(h^*)^{-1}h_1$. Since $(h^*)^{-1}h_1$ lies in $P$, this is impossible unless
(1a) \((h^*)^{-1}h_1 = id\); or
(1b) \(X = \mathbb{H}^2\).

In the first subcase, we get \(h^* = h_1 = h_2\) and \(g^* = (g^*)^{-1}\), but now \(g^* = gh^* = gh_1\) is an element of \(\pi_1(B)\), which contains no 2-torsion since \(B\) has no cone points of even order. So \(g^* = id\) and \(g = h_1^{-1} \in H\). In the second subcase, we have \(g^* = id\), i.e. \(g = (h^*)^{-1}\) which lies in \(P \cap \pi_1(B) = H\).

(2) \(g^*\) is parabolic. Then \(g^*\) fixes a unique point on \(\partial \mathbb{H}^2\), which is also fixed by \((h^*)^{-1}h_1\). So either
(2a) \((h^*)^{-1}h_1 = id\); or
(2b) \(g^*\) fixes the unique fixed point of \(P\).

The first subcase (2a) is similar to (1a). In the second subcase, we have \(g^* \in P\), and thus \(g = g^*(h^*)^{-1} \in P \cap \pi_1(B) = H\).

(3) \(g^*\) is hyperbolic, possibly further composed with a reflection across the axis of translation. Then \((h^*)^{-1}h_1\) must switch the two unique points on \(\partial \mathbb{H}^2\) fixed by \(g^*\), which is impossible since \((h^*)^{-1}h_1\) is parabolic.

For the case where the interior of \(M\) is hyperbolic with finite volume, a similar argument works by replacing \(\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)\) by \(\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)\). This is even easier since \(M\) is orientable and \(\pi_1(M)\) is torsion-free, and thus we omit the proof. \(\qed\)

We do not know if the result above is optimal in the hyperbolic case.

**Question 6.28.** Is there some \(n > 3\) such that for any hyperbolic 3-manifold \(M\) of finite volume with torus cusps, every peripheral subgroup of \(\pi_1(M)\) is \(n\)-RTF?

It is essential in Lemma 6.27 to assume that the orbifold \(B\) has no cone points of even order: If \(z_0\) represents the singular fiber over a cone point of order \(2m\), then the square of \(z_0^m\) represents a regular fiber, which lies in peripheral subgroups. The analogous statement of Lemma 6.27 does not hold for the twisted \(I\)-bundle \(K\) over a Klein bottle with respect to its torus boundary, since the peripheral \(\mathbb{Z}^2\) subgroup has index two in \(\pi_1(K)\) which is the fundamental group of the core Klein bottle.

Excluding these pieces in a non-geometric prime 3-manifold, the bound in Lemma 6.26 can be improved to \(1/6\).

**Lemma 6.29.** Suppose \(M\) is a non-geometric prime 3-manifold where none of the pieces in its geometric decomposition is the twisted \(I\)-bundle over a Klein bottle or Seifert fibered with a fiber of even multiplicity. Then any \(g \in \pi_1(M)\) acting hyperbolically on the JSJ-tree has \(\text{scl}_M(g) \geq 1/6\).

**Proof.** This is simply a combination of Lemma 6.27 and Theorem 3.9. \(\qed\)

Now we are in a place to prove a gap theorem for non-geometric prime 3-manifolds.

**Theorem 6.30.** Let \(M\) be a non-geometric prime 3-manifold. Then there is a constant \(C = C(M) > 0\) such that either \(\text{scl}_M(g) \geq C\) or \(\text{scl}_M(g) = 0\) for any \(g \in \pi_1(M)\). Moreover, if \(\text{scl}_C(g) < 1/48\), then either \(g\) is conjugate to its inverse or it is represented by a loop supported in a single piece of the geometric decomposition of \(M\).

**Proof.** Endow \(\pi_1(M)\) with the structure of a graph of groups according to the geometric decomposition, where the vertex groups are the fundamental groups of geometric pieces \(N\) and the edge groups are the fundamental groups of those tori \(T\) we cut along. By Lemma 6.26 if \(g\) is hyperbolic, then either \(g\) is conjugate to its inverse and \(\text{scl}_C(g) = 0\), or \(\text{scl}_C(g) \geq 1/48\).

On the other hand, by Theorem 6.25 there is a constant \(C_N > 0\) for each geometric piece \(N\) such that, if \(g\) is conjugate into \(\pi_1(N)\) then either \(\text{scl}_M(g) \geq C_N\) or \(\text{scl}_M(g) = 0\).

Combining the two parts above, for any \(g \in \pi_1(M)\), we have either \(\text{scl}_M(g) = 0\) or \(\text{scl}_M(g) \geq C\), where \(C := \min\{1/48, C_N\} > 0\) with \(N\) ranging over all geometric pieces of \(M\). \(\qed\)
6.4.3. Proofs of main results of this section. We can now prove Theorem 6.11.

Proof of Theorem 6.11. The prime decomposition splits \( \pi_1(M) \) as a free product. By Lemma 2.6, we have either \( \text{scl}_M(g) \geq 1/12 \) or \( \text{scl}_M(g) = 0 \), unless \( g \) is represented by a loop supported in a single prime factor. Thus it suffices to prove the result for any prime manifold. Depending on whether the prime manifold \( M \) admits one of the eight geometries, the result follows from either Theorem 6.14 or Theorem 6.30.

Moreover, following the proof above, we can classify elements whose scl vanishes and list the sources of elements with scl less than \( 1/48 \).

**Theorem 6.31.** For any 3-manifold \( M \), if a null-homologous element \( g \in \pi_1(M) \) represented by a loop \( \gamma \) has \( \text{scl}_M(g) < 1/48 \), then one of the following cases occurs:

1. There is some \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( h \in \pi_1(M) \) such that \( g^nhg^{-n} = \text{id} \);
2. \( \gamma \) up to homotopy is supported in a prime factor of \( M \) that admits \( \mathbb{S}^3, \mathbb{E}^3, \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}, \text{Nil} \), or \( \text{Sol} \) geometry of finite volume;
3. \( \gamma \) up to homotopy is supported in a piece with \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) geometry of finite volume in the (possibly trivial) geometric decomposition of a prime factor of \( M \), such that the geodesic length of \( \gamma \) is less than a universal constant \( C \);
4. \( \gamma \) up to homotopy is supported in a piece \( N \) with \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E} \) or \( \overline{\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}} \) geometry of finite volume in the (possibly trivial) geometric decomposition of a prime factor of \( M \), such that \( \text{scl}_M(p(g)) < 1/48 \), where \( p : \pi_1(N) \to \pi_1(B) \) is the projection induced by the Seifert fibration of \( N \) over an orbifold \( B \);
5. \( g^2 \) is represented by a loop in a torus of the JSJ decomposition of a prime factor of \( M \);

Moreover, \( \text{scl}_M(g) = 0 \) if and only if we have cases (1), (2), or the following two special cases of (4) and (5):

4*. \( g^nhg^{-n} = z^\ell \) for some \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( h \in \pi_1(N) \), where \( z \) represents a regular fiber, so that \((z,N)\) is a vanishing pair (see Definition 6.22);
5*. \( g^2 \) is represented by a loop in a JSJ torus \( T \) that identifies boundary components \( \partial_1 \subset N_1 \) and \( \partial_2 \subset N_2 \) of (possibly the same) pieces \( N_1, N_2 \), and \( g^2 = ab \in \pi_1(T) \), such that under the identification of \( T \) with \( \partial_1 \) and \( \partial_2 \) respectively, the images \( a', b' \) of \( a, b \) in \( \pi_1 N_1 \) and \( \pi_1 N_2 \) satisfy: (a) either \((a',N_1)\) and \((b',N_2)\) are both vanishing pairs, (b) or \( N_1 = N_2 = \text{Seifert} \) fibered with regular fiber represented by \( z \) so that \( a' = z^m \) and \( b' = z^{-m} \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( \text{scl}_M(g) < 1/48 \). If \( g^nhg^{-n} = \text{id} \) for some \( h \in \pi_1(M) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), then \( \text{scl}_M(g) = 0 \). Assume this is not the case in the sequel. Then \( \gamma \) up to homotopy is supported in a prime factor \( M_0 \) by Lemma 2.6. Now we focus on \( M_0 \) and \( \text{scl}_{M_0}(g) = \text{scl}_M(g) < 1/48 \).

If \( M_0 \) has one of the five geometries in case (2), then \( \text{scl}_{M_0}(g) = 0 \) since \( \pi_1(M_0) \) is amenable.

If \( M_0 \) has hyperbolic geometry, take \( \delta = 1/48, m = 3 \) and \( C = \kappa(\delta, m) \) in Theorem 6.10, then \( \text{scl}_{M_0}(g) < 1/48 \) implies that \( \gamma \) has geodesic length no more than \( C \). Moreover, \( \text{scl}_{M_0}(g) > 0 \) unless \( g = \text{id} \) by Theorem 6.9.

If \( M_0 \) has \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E} \) or \( \overline{\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{R}} \) geometry, then \( M_0 \) is Seifert fibered over a closed orbifold \( B \) with \( \chi_o(B) < 0 \). The monotonicity of scl implies that \( \text{scl}_B(p(g)) < 1/48 \) where \( p : \pi_1(M_0) \to \pi_1(B) \) is the projection induced by the Seifert fibration. Moreover, by Theorem 6.13 if \( \text{scl}_{M_0}(g) = 0 \), then we are in case (4*) since we assumed above that case (4) does not occur.

Now suppose \( M_0 \) has a nontrivial geometric decomposition. By Theorem 6.30 and the assumption above, \( \gamma \) up to homotopy is supported in some piece \( N \) of the JSJ decomposition of \( M_0 \). Suppose \( \gamma \) is homotopic to a loop in a JSJ torus \( T \), then by Theorem 6.23 \( \text{scl}_{M_0}(g) = 0 \) only occurs if we are in case (5*).

Suppose \( \gamma \) is not homotopic to a loop in a JSJ torus. Then depending on the type of \( N \) we have three cases:
(a) If $N$ has hyperbolic geometry in the interior, then by Theorem 6.10, $\gamma$ has geodesic length less than the universal constant $C$ mentioned above in the closed hyperbolic case, and $\text{scl}_{M_0}(g) > 0$ by Lemma 6.15 since $N$ is not boundary parallel.

(b) If $N$ is Seifert fibered over an orbifold $B$ with $\chi_o(B) < 0$, then $p : \pi_1(N) \to \pi_1(B)$ preserves scl since $B$ has boundary, and we have $\text{scl}_{(B,\partial B)}(p(g)) = \text{scl}_{(N,\partial N)}(g) \leq \text{scl}_{M_0}(g) < 1/48$ by Lemma 3.2. Moreover, if $\text{scl}_{M_0}(g) = 0$, then we have $\text{scl}_{(N,\partial N)}(g) = 0$. Since $g$ is not conjugate into any peripheral subgroup, the classification in Lemma 6.16 implies that, either $g^n = z^m$ for some $n > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, or there is $h \in \pi_1 N$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ghgh^{-1} = z^m$ and $\text{scl}_{N}(g^2 - z^m) = 0$. In the former case, $g^nhg^{-1} = z^{2m}$ with $h = id$, and $\text{scl}_{M_0}(z) = n\text{scl}_{M_0}(g)/|m| = 0$, so we are in case (3) by Corollary 6.24. For the other case, we must have $m \neq 0$ by our assumption at the beginning of the proof. Now $\text{scl}_{N}(g^2 - z^m) = 0$ implies $\text{scl}_{M_0}(g^2 - z^m) = 0$ by monotonicity. Thus $\text{scl}_{M_0}(z^m) = \text{scl}_{M_0}(g^2) = 0$, from which we get $\text{scl}_{M_0}(z) = 0$ as $m \neq 0$. So we again have case (4).

(c) If $N$ is the twisted $I$-bundle over the Klein bottle, then $g^2$ is supported in $\partial N$. Moreover, $\text{scl}_{M_0}(g) = 0$ implies $\text{scl}_{M_0}(g^2) = 0$, which happens only if we have case (5).

\[\square\]

Note that there are few types of conjugacy classes as above with scl strictly between 0 and 1/48, so one may expect many 3-manifolds to have only finitely many such conjugacy classes. For example, Michael Hull suggested the following statement in personal communications.

**Corollary 6.32.** Let $M$ be a prime 3-manifold with only hyperbolic pieces in its (possibly trivial) geometric decomposition, then $\text{scl}_{M}(g) > 0$ for all $g \neq id$ and there are only finitely many conjugacy classes $g$ with $\text{scl}_{M}(g) < 1/48$.

**Proof.** Let $g \neq id$. If $g$ is not conjugate into any vertex group, then $\text{scl}_{M}(g) \geq 1/6$ by Lemma 6.20. If $g$ lies in a hyperbolic piece $N$ and is not conjugate into any peripheral subgroup, then $\text{scl}_{M}(g) \geq \text{scl}_{(N,\partial N)}(g) > 0$ by Lemma 6.15. If $g$ is conjugate into some JSJ torus, then the proof of Theorem 6.23 shows that $\text{scl}_{M}$ restricted to the edge groups can be computed by a degenerate norm $\|\cdot\|$. Having only hyperbolic pieces implies that $\|\cdot\|$ has trivial vanishing locus by Corollary 6.19 and thus $\text{scl}_{M}(g) > 0$ for all such $g$, and there are only finitely many integer points with norm less than 1/48.

Suppose $\text{scl}_{M}(g) < 1/48$. Then by our assumption, $g$ must fall into cases (3) or (5) in Theorem 6.31. We have discussed the case where $g$ is conjugate into an edge group above. As for the other case, there are only finitely many conjugacy classes supported in a hyperbolic piece of $M$ with bounded geodesic length since each piece has finite volume; See for example CL07.

\[\square\]

One should not expect a similar result in general if we allow Seifert fibered pieces, for the norm $\|\cdot\|$ may have nontrivial vanishing locus. For example, let $M_1$ be a hyperbolic 3-manifold with one cusp so that a loop $\gamma$ on the boundary has small positive scl. Let $M_2$ be a Seifert fibered 3-manifold with a nonorientable base space and one torus boundary. Glue $M_1$ and $M_2$ along their boundary to obtain $M$ so that $\gamma$ is not identified with the fiber direction of $M_2$. Then all elements of the form $g^m$ have the same small positive scl value in $M$, where $g$ and $z$ represent the image of $\gamma$ and the fiber direction of $M_2$ respectively.
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