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ABSTRACT
Background: Gram-negative bacteria are associated with an increase in rates of antibacterial resistance. In most low- and middle-income countries such as Iran, there is no continuous surveillance system for antibiotic resistance.

Objective: The purpose of this survey was to determine the pattern of antimicrobial sensitivity of gram-negative bacteria within 3 consecutive years at a nephrology ward of Nemaze hospital in Shiraz.

Materials and Methods: During a 3-year period from 2013 to 2015 at the adult nephrology ward, bacteriological data of all biological samples of hospitalized patients in favor of gram-negative microorganisms were analyzed retrospectively. Antimicrobial susceptibility was performed by the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method.

Results: The most common gram negative bacterium isolated from biological samples was Escherichia coli (43.9%). The highest (86.3%-94.1%) antibacterial resistance rate was associated with Acinetobacter spp. The most frequent resistance was seen with cephalosporins. In contrast to ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, nitrofurantoin and aminoglycosides remained their acceptable activity against E. coli. At least three-fourths (75%) of Acinetobacter spp. isolates was resistant to either aminoglycosides or imipenem. All (100%) isolated Acinetobacter spp. and Pseudomonas aeruginosa species were susceptible to colistin. The rate of Acinetobacter spp. and P. aeruginosa resistant to three or more drugs was 81.7% and 74.6%, respectively.

Conclusions: The resistant rate of gram negative pathogens to different tested antibacterial agents was considerably high and has increased during the recent three years in our center.

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:
Antibiotic resistance is responsible for considerable morbidity and mortality worldwide. Most low- and middle-income countries such as Iran lack national continuous surveillance systems for antibiotic resistance. Resistant rate of gram-negative pathogens to different antibacterial agents especially cephalosporins are considerably high in the nephrology ward. Nitrofurantoin and aminoglycosides remained their acceptable activity against Escherichia coli during the recent 3 years in the nephrology ward. Resistant rate of gram-negative pathogens especially Acinetobacter spp. have increased for most tested antibacterial agents during the recent 3 years in the nephrology ward.
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1. Background
Infections caused by antibiotic resistant bacteria are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide (1). According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimation, antibiotic resistance is responsible for more than 2 million infections and

*Corresponding author: Iman Karimzadeh, Email: karimzadehiman@yahoo.com
23000 deaths each year in the United States (2). It has been also estimated that more than 70% of the bacteria that causes hospital acquired infections are resistant to at least one antibiotic (3). Infectious diseases are common cause of morbidity and considered as the second cause of mortality in chronic kidney disease patients (4). Sepsis-related death among hemodialysis patients is 100 times more than the general population (5). On the other hand, urinary, respiratory, and hemodialysis catheter-related bloodstream infections are common among patients with renal diseases (4).

In line with gram-positive pathogens (mainly Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis), gram-negative bacteria are also associated with an increase in rates of antibacterial resistance in catheter-related infections. Among gram negatives, extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL), carbapenem-resistant, and multi-drug resistant Enterobacteriaceae specially pose a serious threat to patients in healthcare settings (6). Most low- and middle-income countries such as Iran lack national continuous surveillance systems for antibiotic resistance. Having awareness of antimicrobial resistance pattern can lead to selecting an optimized antimicrobial agent or regimen and consequently, minimizing duration of hospitalization, morbidity, mortality, and direct as well as indirect health care costs (7).

2. Objectives
The purpose of the current study was to determine the plausible changing pattern of antimicrobial sensitivity of gram negative bacteria within 3 consecutive years at a nephrology ward of a referral teaching hospital in Shiraz, Southwest of Iran.

3. Materials and Methods
A retrospective study was conducted on microbiological data of hospitalized patients between 2013 and 2015 at a 20-bed adult nephrology ward of Nemazee hospital affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

Bacteriological data of all biological isolates including blood, urine, sputum, wound drainage, abscess, synovial, pleural, ascitic, tip of catheter, and cerebrospinal fluid taken either before as empirical therapy or within antibacterial treatment as definite therapy of both community and hospital-acquired infections sent to the laboratory of the hospital were collected. Except for hospitalization at the nephrology ward during the study period, no specific inclusion–exclusion criteria were implemented for patient recruitment.

Identification of bacteria was done by the initial gram staining and additional biochemical tests such as nitrate, indole, oxidase, urease, and DNase. Mueller-Hinton agar culture medium (Merck, Germany) was inoculated with a saline suspension of isolated aerobic gram negative bacteria equivalent to McFarland 0.5 turbidity standards. Antibiotic discs (Padtan Teb Co, Iran) were applied on the surface of agar. After 16-18 hours incubation at 37°C, the antimicrobial susceptibility of gram-negative bacteria was determined by the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method based on the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guideline (8). The isolated aerobic gram-negative bacteria were categorized to be resistant, intermediate resistant, or sensitive regarding the size of inhibition zone. The following antibiotic discs (per unit disc) were used for antimicrobial susceptibility of isolated gram-negative bacteria: cephalexin (30 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), cefotaxime (30 µg), ceftizoxime (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), cefixime (5 µg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole [25 (1.25/23.75) µg], gentamicin (10 µg), amikacin (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), nitrofurantoin (300 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), imipenem (10 µg), and colistin (10 µg). Isolates simultaneously resistant to 2 or more drugs were considered as multidrug resistant (MDR).

3.1. Ethical issues
1) The research followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki; and 2). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) and the Medical Ethics Committee of the Nemazee hospital related to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

3.2. Statistical analysis
Continuous and categorical variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and percentage, respectively. Descriptive analyses were carried out by SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 20 software.

4. Results
A total number of 305 gram-negative bacteria (109, 100, and 96 isolates in 2013, 2014, and 2015, respectively) were isolated from 252 patients (89, 83, and 80 subjects in 2013, 2014, and 2015, respectively). The mean ± SD of the study population was 53.1 ± 19.3 years. One hundred three and 149 patients were male and female, respectively. The most common isolated gram-negative bacteria from all biological samples of patients were Escherichia coli (43.9%), Klebsiella spp. (19.3%), and Acinetobacter spp. (15.4%).
(57.1%) followed by blood (19.7%) (Table 1).

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the antimicrobial resistance pattern of isolated gram-negative bacteria to different antibiotics during 3 consecutive years. Among identified gram-negatives, Acinetobacter spp. and Enterobacter spp. were associated with the highest (86.3%-94.1%) and lowest (9.5%-44.7%) antibacterial resistance rates, respectively. Regarding antibacterial agents studied, the most frequent resistance was seen with cephalosporins including cephalaxin (80-95.7%), cefixime (70.6%-89.2%), ceftriaxone (67.6%-94.9%), cefotaxime (70.4%-100%), and ceftizidime (75%-100%). The 3 antibacterial agents with the lowest resistant rates were colistin (4.76%), amikacin (27.3%-35%), and chloramphenicol (41.2%-52.3%).

Regarding E. coli, the rate of ceftriaxone resistance in 2013, 2014, and 2015 was 97.2%, 72.3%, and 73.7%, respectively. Ciprofloxacin-resistant E. coli was 69.5% in 2013. Interestingly, its rates were 66.7% in both 2014 and 2015. The rate of E. coli resistant to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole increased from 62.5% in 2013 to 73.9% in 2015. All (100%) E. coli isolates were resistant to nitrofurantoin in 2013. However, the rate of nitrofurantoin-resistant E. coli decreased to 11.8%, and 0% in 2014 and 2015, respectively. Frequency of E. coli resistant to aminoglycosides including amikacin and gentamicin ranged between 27.5% and 31.4% during the study period. The rate of imipenem-resistant Klebsiella spp. in 2013, 2014, and 2015 was 0%, 100%, and 40%, respectively. All isolates of this bacteria was sensitive to colistin in 2015. Aminoglycoside-resistant Klebsiella spp. decreased from 36.1% in 2013 to 31.3% in 2015. Similarly, the frequency of Klebsiella spp. resistant to ciprofloxacin decreased from 42.1% in 2013 to 33.3% in 2015. Between 52.6% and 65% of Klebsiella spp. isolates were resistant to all tested third-generation cephalosporins within the study period.

All (100%) isolates of Acinetobacter spp. were susceptible to colistin within the study. In contrast, all Acinetobacter spp. were resistant to chloramphenicol. The rates of imipenem-resistant Acinetobacter spp. in 2013, 2014, and 2015 were 75%, 100%, and 100%, respectively. Frequency of aminoglycosides (including amikacin and gentamicin) resistance Acinetobacter spp. increased from 93.6% in 2013 to 100% in 2015. More than one-fifth (21.1%) of Acinetobacter spp. isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin in 2013. However, all Acinetobacter spp. isolates became resistant to this agent in 2014 and 2015. Resistance to three or more drugs was observed in 81.7% of isolated Acinetobacter spp. during the study.

In 2015, all (100%) isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa were sensitive to both colistin and imipenem. Frequency of P. aeruginosa resistant to aminoglycosides including amikacin and gentamicin decreased from 100% in 2013 to 40% in 2015. In contrast, the rate of ciprofloxacin-resistant P. aeruginosa increased from 25% in 2013 to 50% in 2015. The resistance of this pathogen to both trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and chloramphenicol was 100% within 3 years. During the study period, 74.6% of isolated P. aeruginosa were considered as MDR.

5. Discussion

The most frequent gram-negative pathogen in our survey was E. coli. This is in accordance with a European and North American surveillance study on more than 220 000 samples collected from intensive care units during 2000-2002 (9). A cross-sectional study on 86 patients with infected diabetic foot admitted to Nemazee hospital from July 2011 to June 2012 demonstrated E. coli as the most common gram-negative bacteria (10). Similar findings were also reported by Khalilli et al (11) and Moradi et al (12) from 2 teaching hospitals at Tehran and Bandar Abbas in Iran, respectively.

Among studied antibacterial agents, the most

Table 1. Frequency of gram-negative bacteria isolated from different biological specimens of patients hospitalized at the nephrology ward (n = 305)

| Microorganism/Sample | Blood (n) | Urine (n) | Sputum (n) | Other* (n) |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Klebsiella spp.      | 16        | 28        | 7          | 8          |
| E. coli              | 18        | 104       | 4          | 8          |
| Acinetobacter spp.   | 8         | 14        | 16         | 9          |
| Enterobacter spp.    | 11        | 13        | 5          | 5          |
| Citrobacter spp.     | -         | 4         | -          | 1          |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 5       | 10        | 3          | 4          |
| Stenotrophomonas maltophilia | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Total                | 60        | 174       | 36         | 35         |
| Percent              | 19.7      | 57.1      | 11.8       | 11.5       |

*Including abscess, synovial, pleural, ascitic, tip of catheter, and cerebrospinal fluids.
Table 2. Sensitivity, intermediate resistance, and resistance frequency of isolated gram negative bacteria to different tested antimicrobials during 2013, 2014, and 2015 at the nephrology ward

| Antimicrobial agent/Microorganism | Sensitive (n) | Intermediate (n) | Resistant (n) |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
|                                  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole     |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 22  | 35   | 10   | -   | 2    | 1    | 45   | 74   | 31   |
| Percent                          | 32.8| 31.5 | 23.8 | -   | 1.8  | 2.4  | 67.2 | 66.7 | 73.8 |
| Amikacin                         |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 51  | 52   | 19   | 25  | 25   | 13   | 41   | 35   | 12   |
| Percent                          | 43.6| 46.4 | 43.2 | 21.4| 22.3 | 29.6 | 35   | 31.3 | 27.3 |
| Gentamicin                       |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 20  | 62   | 17   | 1   | 3    | 2    | 28   | 49   | 23   |
| Percent                          | 40.8| 54.4 | 40.5 | 2   | 2.6  | 4.8  | 57.1 | 42.9 | 54.8 |
| Ciprofloxacin                    |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 46  | 51   | 15   | 1   | 1    | 4    | 66   | 67   | 28   |
| Percent                          | 40.7| 42.9 | 31.9 | 0.9 | 0.8  | 8.5  | 58.4 | 56.3 | 59.6 |
| Nitrofurantoin                   |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 4   | 39   | 15   | 1   | 13   | 3    | 33   | 26   | 5    |
| Percent                          | 10.5| 50   | 65.2 | 2.6 | 16.7 | 13   | 86.8 | 33.3 | 21.7 |
| Chloramphenicol                  |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 18  | 24   | 9    | 3   | -    | 1    | 23   | 17   | 7    |
| Percent                          | 40.9| 58.5 | 52.9 | 6.8 | -    | 5.9  | 52.3 | 41.5 | 41.2 |
| Cefalexin                        |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 2   | 20   | 6    | -   | 2    | 4    | 44   | 82   | 32   |
| Percent                          | 4.4 | 19.2 | 25   | -   | 1.9  | 5    | 95.7 | 78.9 | 80   |
| Cefixime                         |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 12  | 31   | 4    | 3   | 1    | -    | -    | 77   | 33   |
| Percent                          | 80  | 28.4 | 10.8 | 20  | 0.9  | -    | -    | 70.6 | 89.2 |
| Ceftriazone                      |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | -   | 23   | 6    | 2   | 1    | -    | 37   | 50   | 20   |
| Percent                          | -   | 31.1 | 23.1 | 5.1 | 1.4  | -    | 94.9 | 67.6 | 76.9 |
| Cefotaxime                       |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | -   | 8    | 8    | -   | -    | -    | 1    | 32   | 19   |
| Percent                          | -   | 20   | 29.6 | -   | -    | -    | 100  | 80   | 70.4 |
| Ceftazidime                      |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | -   | -    | -    | -   | 1    | -    | -    | 3    | 4    |
| Percent                          | -   | -    | -    | -   | 25   | -    | -    | 75   | 100  |
| Ceftizoxime                      |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 6   | 29   | 3    | -   | 6    | 2    | 37   | 37   | 12   |
| Percent                          | 13.9| 40.3 | 17.7 | -   | 8.3  | 11.8 | 86.1 | 51.4 | 70.6 |
| Imipenem                         |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | 5   | 4    | 11   | 1   | -    | 1    | 6    | 6    | 13   |
| Percent                          | 41.7| 44   | 8.3  | -   | 4    | 50   | 60   | 52   |
| Colistin                         |     |      |      |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| Number                           | -   | 3    | 19   | -   | -    | 1    | -    | -    | 1    |
| Percent                          | -   | 100  | 90.5 | -   | 4.8  | -    | -    | -    | 4.8  |
| Total number                     | 186 | 381  | 142  | 37  | 55   | 30   | 361  | 555  | 240  |
| Total percent                    | 31.9| 38.5 | 34.5 | 6.3 | 5.6  | 7.3  | 61.8 | 56   | 58.3 |
Table 3. Sensitivity, intermediate resistance, and resistance frequency of some isolated gram negative bacteria to different tested antimicrobials during 2013, 2014, and 2015 at the nephrology ward

| Antimicrobial agent/Microorganism | Sensitive (n) | Intermediate (n) | Resistant (n) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                                  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| **E. coli**                       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole     | 8    | 14   | 6    | -    | -    | -    | 5    | 42   | 17   |
| Ciprofloxacin                     | 17   | 18   | 7    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 41   | 38   | 16   |
| Chloramphenicol                   | 8    | 10   | 5    | 3    | -    | -    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| Nitrofurantoin                    | -    | 35   | 15   | -    | 10   | 2    | 12   | 6    | -    |
| Amikacin                          | -    | 27   | 12   | 24   | 18   | 7    | 11   | 13   | 4    |
| Gentamicin                        | -    | 39   | 12   | -    | 3    | -    | 20   | 10   | -    |
| Imipenem                          | -    | 1    | 3    | 1    | -    | -    | 2    | 2    | 3    |
| Colistin                          | -    | 9    | 6    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Cefixime                          | 12   | 15   | 5    | 1    | 1    | -    | 2    | 2    | 3    |
| Ceftriaxone                       | -    | 13   | 5    | 1    | -    | 36   | 34   | 14   | -    |
| Ceftizoxime                       | -    | 13   | -    | 4    | 3    | 37   | 24   | 4    | -    |
| Ceftazidime                       | -    | 7    | 5    | -    | -    | -    | 1    | -    | -    |
| Cefotaxime                        | 12   | 15   | 5    | 1    | 1    | -    | 2    | 2    | 3    |
| Total                             | 45   | 200  | 101  | 31   | 38   | 12   | 189  | 273  | 116  |
| Percent                           | 16.9 | 39.1 | 44.1 | 11.7 | 7.4  | 5.2  | 71.3 | 53.4 | 50.7 |
| **Klebsiella spp.**               |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole     | 7    | 12   | 2    | -    | -    | -    | 12   | 11   | 5    |
| Ciprofloxacin                     | 11   | 15   | 4    | -    | -    | 2    | 8    | 8    | 3    |
| Chloramphenicol                   | 9    | 6    | 3    | -    | -    | -    | 2    | 3    | -    |
| Nitrofurantoin                    | 2    | 1    | -    | 2    | 2    | 1    | 7    | 11   | 3    |
| Amikacin                          | 8    | 12   | 3    | -    | 4    | 5    | 8    | 6    | 1    |
| Gentamicin                        | 15   | 16   | 2    | -    | -    | 1    | 5    | 8    | 4    |
| Imipenem                          | 4    | -    | 2    | -    | -    | 1    | 1    | 2    | -    |
| Colistin                          | -    | 9    | 6    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Cephalaxin                        | -    | 8    | 1    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Cefixime                          | -    | 9    | 2    | -    | -    | -    | 12   | 5    | -    |
| Ceftizoxime                       | -    | 9    | 1    | -    | 1    | 2    | -    | -    | 3    |
| Ceftriaxone                       | -    | 5    | 1    | -    | 1    | -    | 7    | 3    | -    |
| Ceftazidime                       | -    | 2    | 1    | -    | -    | -    | 10   | 2    | -    |
| Total                             | 56   | 96   | 25   | 2    | 8    | 12   | 42   | 93   | 37   |
| Percent                           | 56   | 48.7 | 33.8 | 2    | 4.1  | 16.2 | 42   | 47.2 | 50   |
| **Acinetobacter spp.**            |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole     | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 1    | 19   | 10   |
| Ciprofloxacin                     | 4    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 1    | 15   | 14   |
| Nitrofurantoin                    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 7    | 5    | -    |
| Chloramphenicol                   | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 13   | 8    | 5    |
| Amikacin                          | -    | 1    | -    | 1    | -    | 17   | 13   | 11   | 7    |
| Gentamicin                        | 1    | 1    | -    | 1    | -    | 1    | 16   | 12   | 7    |
| Imipenem                          | 1    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 3    | 3    | 7    |
| Colistin                          | -    | 2    | 7    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Ceftazidime                       | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 2    | 3    | -    |
| Cefixime                          | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Ceftizoxime                       | -    | 1    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 6    | 4    | -    |
| Cefotaxime                        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 6    | 1    | -    |
| Ceftriaxone                       | -    | 1    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 5    | 2    | -    |
| Total                             | 6    | 6    | 7    | 1    | -    | 2    | 86   | 96   | 56   |
| Percent                           | 6.5  | 5.9  | 10.8 | 1.1  | -    | 3.1  | 92.5 | 94.1 | 86.2 |
frequent resistance in our population was seen with cephalosporins. Regarding ceftazidime as the only current third generation cephalosporin active against Pseudomonas for example, between 75% and 100% of all isolated gram-negative pathogens were demonstrated to be resistant to this agent in the present study. In contrast, antimicrobial resistance surveillance study at 100 medical centers in Japan in 2004 demonstrated that only 6% of Acinetobacter spp. and 9.9% of P. aeruginosa isolates were resistant to ceftazidime (13). The rate of ceftazidime-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii at Saudi Arabia in 2011 was reported to be 89% (14). At least one study from a hospital in Tehran also reported that cefixime, cefotaxime, ceftizoxime, and ceftazidime were associated with the highest rate of resistance of isolated gram-negative bacteria (11). Hadadi et al demonstrated that more than two-thirds (76.5%) of these pathogens from 2 university hospitals in Iran were resistant to ceftazidime (15). The resistant rate of gram-negative bacteria to ceftazidime isolated from patients with ICU-acquired infections in 2 studies at Shiraz ranged between 57.9% (16) and 61.5% (17). These findings may be due to antimicrobial selection pressure caused by overuse of this class of antibiotic. In this regards, according to the National Rational Drug Use Committee official report, cefixime has been ranked as the eighth, sixth, and fifth commonly prescribed medications by physicians in Iran at 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively (18). Availability, low rate of side effects, and relatively low cost partially can be taken into account this issue (15).

Although variable and even decreased in the case of ceftriaxone during 3 years, but the rate of E. coli resistant to commonly used antibiotics for this pathogen in the setting of urinary tract infection including ceftriaxone (72.3%-97.2%), ciprofloxacin (66.7%-69.5%), and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (62.5%-73.9%) was relatively high in our study. Similarly, Hadadi et al reported that 68% and 72%
of isolated *E. coli* were resistant to ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin, respectively (15). In contrast to these data, the frequency of ciprofloxacin-resistant *E. coli* in at least 2 Karaj (19) and Tehran (Imam Khomeini) (11) hospitals was relatively low (from 26.3% to 54.5%). These variations can be partially explained by the fact that fluoroquinolones may not have been routinely used as an empirical antibiotic for infectious diseases in hospitals with favorable susceptibility rates to these agents. Although not tested in our survey, the resistant pattern of *E. coli* to ciprofloxacin can be extrapolated to levofloxacin, as a new commonly prescribed agent by most physicians for the treatment of urinary tract infections in Iran, due to possible cross-resistance within fluoroquinolones (20).

Nitrofurantoin is highly effective against *E. coli* with relatively low rates of resistance (<10%) in most geographic areas of the world (21). Two studies from Karaj and Ahvaz reported that the rates of *E. coli* susceptibility to nitrofurantoin were 90.8% (19) and 71.2% (22), respectively. Japoni et al survey on 200 *E. coli* isolates from 3 hospitals and 2 health centers affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences demonstrated the resistant rate of 25% to nitrofurantoin (23). *E. coli* strains recovered from urine samples of children with community-acquired urinary tract infections in Jahrom were susceptible to nitrofurantoin in 96.8% of cases (24). The rate of nitrofurantoin-resistant *E. coli* decreased considerably within 3 years in the current study. Although the rate of *E. coli* susceptibility to nitrofurantoin was relatively acceptable in our clinical setting, this agent seems not to be a favorable antibacterial in nephrology wards. This may be due to fact that most of admitted patients have impaired renal function and administering nitrofurantoin is not generally recommended in individuals with creatinine clearance less than 60 or 40 mL/min in spite of controversies and lack of enough clinical data in this regard (25).

The similar story appears to exist for aminoglycosides. The resistance rates of *E. coli* to amikacin and gentamicin in the present study were only 4%-11% and 10%-20%, respectively. In addition, the resistant rate of all our isolated gram-negative bacteria to amikacin was 27.3%-35% and to gentamicin was 42.9%-57.1%. In agreement with our data, Khalili et al implicated that only 21.3%-33.3% of gram-negative pathogens during a four-year period were resistant to amikacin at a referral infectious disease ward in Tehran (11). This ranged from 0% to 21.4% at a teaching hospital in Urmia (26). Poorabbas et al reported that with the exception of *Acinetobacter* spp., other gram-negative pathogens isolated from seven teaching hospitals in Iran exhibited susceptibility rate of at least 60% to amikacin (27). Investigations over a long time period in other countries such as the United States and Taiwan have also revealed that an increase in the rate of resistance to aminoglycosides has been somewhat milder compared to other antibacterial agents (28, 29). Despite its relative favorable susceptibility pattern in our ward, nephrologists generally do not prefer aminoglycosides as drugs of choice for treatment of gram-negative infections (e.g., *E. coli*) due to the presence of an underlying kidney disease in admitted patients and also the nephrotoxic as well as ototoxic properties of these agents. Lack of routine therapeutic drug monitoring for aminoglycosides in our center can partially justify clinician concerns on this issue. Except for colistin, the resistant rate of *Acinetobacter* spp. to other studied antibacterials during the study period was considerably high. This is especially concerning for imipenem because carbapenems were previously considered as the most effective antibacterial agent for the treatment of *Acinetobacter* spp. infections. The frequency of resistance to imipenem was reported to be 13%, 8%, and 33.4% in Belgium (30), Polish (31), and Turkey (32), respectively. During the recent decade in Iran, the frequency of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. has been increased. It has been ranged from only 14.6% in 2004-2005 to 50.9%, 52.5%, 62%, 67.5%, 91.5%, and 99% in years between 2008 and 2013 at Tehran hospitals (33). This rate reported from other regions of Iran has been 60.8% in Bandar Abbas at 2006 (12), 96.1% in Ahvaz at 2013 (34), and 75%-79.8% in Kermanshah at 2013 (35). According to a multicentre collaborative study within 2008-2009 on seven major teaching hospitals in Shiraz, Tabriz, Sari, Mashhad, Sanandaj, Ahvaz, and Isfahan, 36% of *Acinetobacter* strains were resistant or intermediate-resistant to imipenem (27). In Shiraz, a survey on 836 adult patients admitted to ICUs at the Nemazee hospital during 2008 and 2009 demonstrated that only 20% of *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates were resistant to either imipenem or meropenem (16). A newer study on four Shiraz teaching hospitals at 2013 reported 98.5% and 99.5% as the rate of imipenem- and meropenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. (33).

Apart from carbapenem resistance, 75.6% of *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates in our survey were considered to be MDR. The rate of MDR among *A. baumannii* strains was 27.6% to 32.5% in non-ICU inpatients isolates and 11.6% to 24.2% from ICU inpatients from a study in the United States (36). In a survey from 2000 to 2002 at a university hospital in the neighbor country, Turkey, MDR was reported in 45.4% and 37.7% of *Acinetobacter* spp.
and *P. aeruginosa* isolates, respectively (37). This rate was reported to be 81.7% at 2 hospitals in Tehran (15) and 95% in Isfahan (38) within the ICU setting. These data highlight the concern over a real threat of untreated *Acinetobacter* spp. infections. Inappropriate utilization pattern along with overuse of agents with activity against gram-negative bacteria especially carbapenems for the treatment of both community and hospital acquired infections, as shown in at least 2 studies from Sari (39) and Tehran (40), appear to be the main cause of high burden of *Acinetobacter* spp. resistant to carbapenems in our country. Interestingly, Sistanizad et al demonstrated that implementing a restriction policy through prescribing carbapenems only for infections caused by culture proven multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria only sensitive to these agents resulted in a significant increase in the sensitivity rate of *P. aeruginosa* to imipenem at ICU after 6 months (41).

All isolated *Acinetobacter* spp. and *P. aeruginosa* species in our ward were susceptible to colistin. Low rates of colistin-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. have been reported in our country. For example, 1% of *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates at Hamedan in 2011-2012 (42), 11.6% at Isfahan in 2011-2012, (38) and 12% at Tehran in 2009-2010 (43) were resistant to colistin. However, most recent studies from different parts of Iran including Tehran (44), Ahvaz (34), and Kermanshah (35) revealed that the susceptible rate of *Acinetobacter* spp. to colistin has been 100%. Similar findings have been reported from at least 2 surveys in Shiraz including Nemazee hospital in 2008-2009 (16) and 2013 (33). Therefore, this agent in combination with other appropriate antibiotics can be considered as the last antibacterial resort for treatment of infections caused by MDR gram-negative pathogens in our center. However, noting that routine use of colistin antibiogram for Enterobacteriaceae isolates has been initiated only in limited wards of Nemazee hospital from 2014. Thus, our data in this regard need validation with new, long enough surveys.

### 6. Conclusions

Our findings implicated that resistant rate of gram-negative pathogens to different antibacterial agents especially cephalosporins are considerably high and increased during the recent years. At least 50% of isolated gram-negative bacteria were resistant to imipenem. Among gram-negative isolates, *Acinetobacter* spp. is associated with the highest rate of resistance. Except for *Acinetobacter* spp., the resistant rate of all isolated gram-negative isolates to tested aminoglycosides appears to be relatively favorable.

Performing regular and periodic surveillance of antimicrobial resistance pattern by the comprehensive, multi-specialty infection control committee appear to have a key role in optimizing both the empirical and definite antibacterial treatment regimens and may also slow down the runaway train of antibacterial resistance at our clinical settings in Iran.

### Limitations of the study

The present study suffers from 3 major limitations. First, the possible association of patients’ antibiogram with their response to antimicrobial treatment in real clinical conditions could not be assessed due to the retrospective pattern of the study. Second, antimicrobial susceptibility test was performed by the classic disc diffusion method rather than more accurate methods such as microbroth dilution or E-test. Third, some antibacterial agents with defined activity against gram-negative bacteria including piperacillin/tazobactam, cefepime, oxofloxacin, levofloxacin, and gemifloxacin currently available in Iran were not tested by the hospital laboratory during the study period and their susceptibility rates remain unknown.
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