Quantifying landscape change in an arctic coastal lowland using repeat airborne LiDAR
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Abstract

Increases in air, permafrost, and sea surface temperature, loss of sea ice, the potential for increased wave energy, and higher river discharge may all be interacting to escalate erosion of arctic coastal lowland landscapes. Here we use airborne light detection and ranging (LiDAR) data acquired in 2006 and 2010 to detect landscape change in a 100 km² study area on the Beaufort Sea coastal plain of northern Alaska. We detected statistically significant change (99% confidence interval), defined as contiguous areas (>10 m²) that had changed in height by at least 0.55 m, in 0.3% of the study region. Erosional features indicative of ice-rich permafrost degradation were associated with ice-bonded coastal, river, and lake bluffs, frost mounds, ice wedges, and thermo-erosional gullies. These features accounted for about half of the area where vertical change was detected. Inferred thermo-denudation and thermo-abrasion of coastal and river bluffs likely accounted for the dominant permafrost-related degradational processes with respect to area (42%) and volume (51%). More than 300 thermokarst pits significantly subsided during the study period, likely as a result of storm surge flooding of low-lying tundra (<1.4 m asl) as well as the lasting impact of warm summers in the late-1980s and mid-1990s. Our results indicate that repeat airborne LiDAR can be used to detect landscape change in arctic coastal lowland regions at large spatial scales over sub-decadal time periods.
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1. Introduction

Recent reductions in Arctic sea ice extent have been well documented (Stroeve et al 2012). Reduced sea ice cover, combined with earlier seasonal thaw and later winter freeze-up results in increased effective fetch for wind-wave generation and a longer time period of open water for storms to impact arctic coastal lowlands (Overeem et al 2011). Increases in river discharge may also be enhancing erosion along riverine corridors, although pan-Arctic evaluations of river discharge reveal contrasting regional trends (McClelland et al 2006). In addition, warming of terrestrial permafrost (Romanovsky et al 2010, Smith et al 2010) may lead to thermokarst development and other thaw-related phenomena in ice-rich permafrost terrain (Jorgenson et al 2006).
Thus, terrestrial, aquatic, and marine environmental changes occurring in the Arctic may be interacting to increase erosion of coastal lowland landscapes which in turn may lead to mobilization of carbon previously frozen in permafrost (Jorgenson and Brown 2005, Lantuit et al 2009).

Thus far, most remote sensing studies focused on identifying landscape change of near surface permafrost terrain in arctic lowland regions primarily rely on two-dimensional measurements to quantify lateral rates of change for thermokarst lakes (Arp et al 2011, Jones et al 2011), thermokarst lake drainage (Hinkel et al 2007, Marsh et al 2009), retrogressive thaw slump headwall retreat (Lantz and Kokelj 2008, Lantuit and Pollard 2008), thermo-erosional gully formation and expansion (Fortier et al 2007), erosion of coasts by block collapse and mass wasting (Jones et al 2009), and degradation of ice wedges and thermokarst pit formation (Jorgenson et al 2006). A few remote sensing studies in lowland permafrost terrain have targeted three-dimensional landscape change relying on Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) techniques (e.g. Liu et al 2010). While InSAR is capable of capturing broad regional dynamics of land surface heave and subsidence the method is limited in its applicability for detecting thermokarst features (Short et al 2011). In addition, stereophotogrammetric change detection studies have been limited in nature and spatial extent in lowland permafrost regions (Lantuit and Pollard 2005, Günther et al 2013), whereas their use is more widespread in mountainous permafrost regions (e.g. Kääb 2008).

The ability to detect vertical change in permafrost regions is critical to advancing our understanding of landscape evolution and carbon cycling. Light detection and ranging (LiDAR) measurements provide a powerful method for imaging the spatial and vertical intricacies of the landscape (e.g. Ritchie 1995). Successful applications of airborne LiDAR include the study of stream morphology (e.g. Snyder 2009), mapping coastline morphologic change (e.g. White and Wang 2003), measuring volumetric change in sand dunes (e.g. Woolard and Colby 2002), landslide monitoring (e.g. Glenn et al 2006), and topographic change of periglacial mountainous terrain (Fischer et al 2011). However, airborne LiDAR data has seldom been used to characterize periglacial lowland regions (Marsh et al 2009, Hubbard et al 2013). To date, an airborne LiDAR change detection study for coastal lowland permafrost terrain has not been attempted to the best of our knowledge.

In this study, we contrast airborne LiDAR data collected in July 2006 and July 2010 for a 100 km² area on the central Beaufort Sea coastal plain in northern Alaska. We use repeat LiDAR to test whether this approach is capable of detecting geomorphic changes occurring in arctic coastal lowland landscapes, particularly those associated with thermokarst and other thaw-related processes. We also present trends in mean annual air temperature, thawing degree days (TDD), near surface permafrost temperature, and active layer thickness for monitoring sites in close proximity to our study region. In addition, we provide an example of how repeat LiDAR data may be used regarding questions of carbon mobilization from permafrost terrain. These inquiries align with the increasing interest in the spatial and temporal dynamics of permafrost-dominated landscapes from a wide range of science and engineering disciplines (landscape ecology, hydrology, civil engineering, and biogeochemistry) and will be helpful in addressing land management issues such as infrastructure planning, habitat mapping, and landscape evolution in the Arctic.

2. Study area

The study area is located on the Beaufort Sea coastal plain of northern Alaska and was selected due to existing, overlapping coverage of airborne LiDAR data acquired in July 2006 and July 2010. Repeat LiDAR data were available for a nearly 100 km² land area along the coast from the Sagavanirktok River delta in the west to Point Thomson in the east, with an inland coverage of 2–4 km (figure 1). The study region contains thermokarst and other thaw-related landscape features as well as nearshore coastal and fluvial morphology (figure 2). The backshore lithology consists of ice cemented, pebbly silty sand, with 70–80% volumetric ground ice content, and 10–20% by volume of ice-wedge ice (Jorgenson and Brown 2005, Kanevskiy et al 2013). Sea ice, predominantly landfast ice, is typically present along the coast for 8–9 months of the year. The astronomical tides in this region are typically less than 0.2 m, however, atmospheric and oceanic conditions have led to storm surges as high as 1.4 m above mean sea level during the last two decades (Sultan et al 2011). Long-term mean annual coastal erosion rates in our study region are 0.9 m yr⁻¹ and maximum observed rates are 7.0 m yr⁻¹ (Jorgenson and Brown 2005, Gibbs et al 2011), and there has been a doubling of the mean decadal-scale coastal erosion rate since the 1980s (Ping et al 2011). Estimates of total organic carbon (OC) in the upper 1 m of the landscape range from 56 to 66 kgOC m⁻² at particular sample sites (Ping et al 2008) and estimates of carbon mobilization in our study area as a result of coastal erosion range from 3.2 to 7.1 Gg yr⁻¹ (Jorgenson and Brown 2005).

The study area also encompasses existing and planned oil and gas infrastructure. Development within the study area primarily consists of commercial resource extraction and resource transportation infrastructure emplaced by the oil and gas industries (e.g. drilling pads, pipelines, airstrips and docks). There are approximately eight known exploratory or production well sites within the bounds of the study area, the largest being the Badami oil field. Standard construction methods for development in this area utilize gravel pads to thermally buffer the underlying permafrost. These gravel features provide an excellent location for validating the comparability in the two LiDAR datasets as their vertical motion should be near zero (figure 3).

3. Methods

3.1. Airborne LiDAR data

LiDAR was collected in the summers of 2006 and 2010 by Aero-metric Inc. The 2006 data were delivered as classified
Figure 1. The 100 km² repeat LiDAR study area on the central Beaufort Sea coastal plain is outlined with the yellow polygon. The background image is a 30 m resolution Landsat image. The climate station is the location of observations on mean annual air temperature and thawing degree day sums. The West Dock (WD) and Deadhorse (DH) Thermal State of Permafrost (TSP) observatories are the location of ground temperature data and active layer thickness measurements used in this study. The location of the study region (red box) in Alaska is shown in the map on the right.

Figure 2. Oblique photos from the study region acquired in 2006 showing the coastal setting and hinterland features (Gibbs and Richmond 2009). (a) A coastal bluff showing a thermo-erosional gully, thermo-denudation, and ice-wedge polygons; (b) a coastal lowland setting showing degraded ice wedges, thermokarst ponds, and beach deposits; (c) spit and beach features enclosing a shallow lagoon; and (d) a low gradient river channel, river bar, and cut bank.

Point clouds in NAD 83 Alaska State Plane Zone 3 in feet, with elevations referenced to Geoid99 in US Survey feet. The 2010 data were delivered as last return point clouds in NAD 83 UTM zone 6N in meters, with elevations referenced to the GRS 1980 Ellipsoid. The 2006 data were transformed to match the horizontal and vertical units and Ellipsoid reference frame of the 2010 data. Nominal point spacing for the data was on average one point per 1–1.5 m for both datasets.
Both last return, point cloud datasets were clipped to their overlapping extents, and then converted to surfaces, first by using Terrascan (version 12)® and a Triangulated Irregular Network (TIN) approach. The TIN connects LiDAR returns by a set of contiguous, non-overlapping, Delaunay triangles. The elevations between each triangle vertex are interpolated as definitions of planes and thus together construct a surface. These surfaces were then exported to lattice datasets, and finally converted to 1.5 m raster grids to be used in a GIS.

The two datasets were differenced to identify potential changes in elevation between 2006 and 2010. The reported vertical accuracies of the data were 0.12 m (2006 dataset) and 0.14 m (2010 dataset) RMSE. To determine if there were any errors introduced in the conversion/transformation process we compared elevations along transects that likely represented stable terrain features associated with oil infrastructure gravel pads between the two acquisition dates. The difference in elevation along the unvegetated land surfaces were less than ±0.10 m (figure 3). However to be conservative in our detection of change, and with the realization that comparison of the data acquired over gravel pads represent a best case scenario, we used the RMSE of the individual datasets to calculate (equation (1)) a threshold that describes the minimum difference between both datasets that meets a significant elevation change at the 99% confidence level or those pixels exceeding three standard deviations (Jaw 2001):

\[
3\sqrt{\left(\text{2006 vertical accuracy}\right)^2 + \left(\text{2010 vertical accuracy}\right)^2} = 0.55 \text{ m.}
\]

In the surface differenced raster, we considered any pixel with a height difference residual above or below 0.55 m as a statistically significant change in elevation. These areas were reclassified as (significant increase) or (significant decrease) per pixel. Objects demonstrating significant increases or decreases in elevation were extracted from the dataset by selecting areas that had at least five contiguous pixels of significant change. This resulted in a minimum object size greater than 10 m² and helped minimize potential errors associated with raster grid creation and horizontal positional accuracy (±0.60 m). While there were detectable changes of smaller amplitude in the difference image we did not consider these in our further assessment. In addition, all changes interpreted as indicating water level differences as well as a result of human-caused landscape changes, such as from infrastructure construction or material movement, were excluded from further analysis.

3.2. Geomorphic classification of landscape change units

Objects that represented a statistically significant change in elevation were manually classified as one of 13 categories via visual inspection of the LiDAR imagery. Nine of these classes represented land subsidence or loss and four represented land uplift or deposition. Objects that were indicative of subsidence included thermokarst and thaw-related features associated with ice-bonded coastal, river, and lake bluffs, frost mounds, ice wedges, and thermo-erosional gullies. Non-thaw-related erosional features included beaches and spits, river bars and deltas, and sand dunes. Areas that represented landscape uplift or deposition included permafrost heave features and deposition associated with beaches and spits, river bars and deltas, and sand dunes (often in close proximity to an eroding feature).

3.3. Air and permafrost temperature and active layer thickness measurements

The West Dock (WD) and Deadhorse (DH) Thermal State of Permafrost (TSP) permafrost observatories were established in the late 1970s (Osterkamp 2003). The WD TSP site is located 0.3–0.4 km from the coast and the DH TSP site is located 15 km from the coast (figure 1). Vegetation at each site consists of wet non-acidic tundra with a continuous cover of graminoid and moss species and wet and moist non-acidic tundra composed of graminoid–moss tundra and graminoid, prostrate-dwarf-shrub, moss tundra, respectively (Walker et al...
Results

Comparison between the 2006 and 2010 LiDAR datasets revealed that 0.3\% (by area) of the landscape experienced a statistically significant change in elevation (>0.55 m) over the four year period. A total of 1718 discrete objects (>10 m²) with significant change were identified. Changes in elevation were classified by geomorphic unit where the most likely mechanism of change was inferred to be: thaw slumping (figure 4(a)), coastal bluff erosion (figure 4(b)), river bluff erosion, thermokarst lake expansion, thermokarst pit subsidence (figure 4(c)), thermo-erosional gully erosion, permafrost heave, beach/spit erosion/deposition, delta/river bar erosion/deposition, and sand dune erosion/deposition (table 1). Coastal bluff erosion, thermokarst pit subsidence, and beach/spit erosion accounted for 66\% of the identified objects. Thaw slumps, thermokarst lake expansion, river bluff erosion, thermo-erosional gullies, delta/river bar erosion, and sand dune blowouts accounted for the remainder of the land lowering/loss. Beach/spit deposition accounted for 82\% of the significant increase in surface elevation; whereas heave features, delta/river bar deposition, and sand dune accumulation accounted for the remainder of the detectable increase in the land surface elevation.

The largest individual, contiguous erosional objects by mean area were coastal bluffs (360 m²), beaches/spits (295 m²), and expanding thermokarst lake margins (115 m²). All other erosional and depositional objects had a mean surface area of less than 100 m². Coastal bluff erosion accounted for 40\% of the total area where elevation changes were observed and beach spit erosion accounted for 43\%. Thermokarst pit subsidence, river bluff erosion, and delta/river bar erosion each accounted for 2\% of the area with observed elevation change. All other erosional features accounted for less than 1\% of the total detectable surface area change. In terms of vertical change, coastal bluffs (4.4 m), river bluffs (3.8 m), beaches/spits (2.6 m), and thermokarst lake bluffs (2.4 m) accounted for objects with the greatest maximum change in elevation. Volumetrically, coastal bluff...
Figure 4. Example of change detection results from the repeat LiDAR datasets showing a hillshade image on the left and elevation difference profiles on the right. The red polygons on the left indicate significant change objects as do the segments of the elevation difference profiles (taken from transects marked with white line on left) below the red dashed line. (a) Degradation of a frost mound in a drained thermokarst lake basin. Note the excellent agreement between the 2006 and 2010 profiles. (b) Erosion along a 4 m high coastal bluff. Thermokarst gully and thermokarst pit formation are also evident (similar to the setting in figure 2(a)). (c) Thermokarst pit formation in coastal lowland (similar to the setting in figure 2(b)). Note general lowering of the land surface in examples (b) and (c).
erosion accounted for 51% and beach/spit erosion 47% of the detectable changes. Beach/spit deposition accounted for 1.5% and all other features were equal to or less than 0.1% of the volume change.

5. Discussion

5.1. Airborne LiDAR change detection

Our results indicate that repeat airborne LiDAR measurements provide a straightforward, readily applied tool for quantifying landscape change in arctic coastal lowland regions. Comparison of the two LiDAR datasets revealed that 0.3% of the landscape area experienced a significant change in elevation between 2006 and 2010 that resulted from thaw and non-thaw-related processes. Thermokarst or thaw-related landscape features associated with ice-bonded coastal, river, and lake bluffs, thermokarst pits, thaw slumps, and thermo-erosional gullies accounted for 46% of the change in area over the four year study period and more than half of the significant change (56%) resulted from erosion and deposition associated with beach and spit deposits, riverine and deltaic flats, and sand dunes. These short-term landscape dynamics are likely a result of a combination of factors related to both natural processes and changes in the terrestrial, aquatic, and marine controls on the region.

Detailed examples of features detected in the study area include frost mound degradation (figure 4(a)); coastal bluff erosion, thermo-erosional gully expansion, and upland ice-wedge degradation (figure 4(b)); and lowland ice wedge degradation and thaw pit formation (figure 4(c)). Comparison between the 2006 and 2010 LiDAR data for the frost mound shows the excellent agreement (typically ±0.15 m) between the two datasets for a vegetated and sloping surface that experienced a minimal change in height. Degradation or slumping of the eastern margin of the feature accounted for quantifiable change. In the example given in figure 4(b), the ~4 m high bluff-face migrated 13 m inland over the four year study period. Also, evident in this example is an overall lower tundra relief in 2010 compared to 2006. Tundra lowering in this example did not meet our minimum threshold for change detection however, a consistent decrease in the elevation is visible in the plotted data that could be a result of top down permafrost thaw and soil consolidation. This general pattern of tundra relief lowering is also evident in figure 4(c) for which we also detected a number of degrading ice wedges. The changes evident in this example may be a result of frequent inundation of the terrain during storm surges (Sultan et al 2011) which may lead to degradation of the ice-rich permafrost and degradation of ice wedges (figure 2(b)). With more local ground control statistically significant changes in elevation could be refined to capture these more subtle surface changes.

5.2. Factors contributing to landscape change

Arctic coastal lowland regions are particularly vulnerable to change given the interaction of atmospheric, terrestrial, aquatic, and marine influences on landscape configuration. Thermokarst and other thaw-related landscape features are driven by either local or regional disturbances. Jorgenson et al (2006) noted an abrupt increase in ice-wedge degradation and thermokarst pit formation on the Beaufort Sea coastal plain that was attributed to an increase in TDD in the late-1980s and throughout the 1990s. A compilation of TDD from 1983 to 2010 for the Deadhorse climate station shows that the TDD in 2006, 2008, and 2010 exceeded the ~30 year average (figure 5). In addition, the 2006–2010 time period experienced some of the highest combined, continuous mean annual and mean summer temperatures over the course of the record for the Deadhorse climate station. No individual year rivaled the number of TDD that occurred in 1989, 1995, or 1998, which Jorgenson et al (2006) attributed to the widespread formation of thermokarst pits. It should be noted however that the warm summers in the late-1980s and mid-1990s could also be responsible for some of the observed increase in pit formation in our study region between 2006 and 2010, as the thermokarst pits that likely formed during the 1990s have continued to subside. A recent analysis of thermokarst pit formation over a 60 year period in the Prudhoe Bay oil fields indeed shows a substantial increase in thermokarst pit formation between 1990 and 2001 that has continued through 2010 (Raynolds et al 2013).

Mean annual air temperature and the temperature of the ground as measured at a depth of 70 cm have warmed in the study region during the past ~20 to ~30 years, respectively (figures 5 and 6(a)). Interestingly, there is a mismatch between these warming trends and the trend in active layer thickness (figure 6(b)). This lack of coherence may be explained by the thaw of ice-rich permafrost and settlement of the ground surface as excess ice melts and the soil consolidates. Liu et al (2010) measured ground deformation using InSAR from 1992 to 2000 in this region and found that seasonal vertical
displacements occurred as a result of freezing and thawing of the ground surface but that there was a secular subsidence of the land surface on the order of 1–4 cm/decade. Ground based studies on the Beaufort Coastal Plain from 2001 to 2006 indicate that >2.0 cm yr\(^{-1}\) of subsidence occurred and that when combined with mechanical probing measurements of the active layer that there was an increase in the ‘true’ thaw depth (Streletskiy et al 2008). Thus, while general ground subsidence was not detected due to our conservative measure of change some of the lowering of the landscape observed in the data outside our defined level of confidence may indeed be a result of top down permafrost thaw.

The Alaskan Beaufort Sea coast has experienced varying levels of increased rates of erosion since the 1950s (Jones et al 2009, Gibbs et al 2011, Ping et al 2011). Factors responsible for this likely include loss of sea ice, warming ocean temperatures, and an increase in total wave energy (Overeem et al 2011). While no comprehensive studies on river discharge or river bluff erosion exist in the study region, Arctic wide assessments indicate varying degrees of changes to river discharge (McClelland et al 2006) which may be impacting erosion of river bluffs. These changes are likely interacting to increase the effectiveness of thermal erosion and thermal abrasion of coastal and river bluffs in our study area. These processes likely accounted for the dominant permafrost-related degradational processes with respect to area (42%) and volume (51%) in the study region. In addition, areas lower than 1.4 m relative to mean sea level have been inundated during storm surges over the past two decades (Sultan et al 2011). Two of the ten highest storm surges between 1993 and 2010 occurred during our study period and all but one occurred between 2000 and 2010. Inundation of the low-lying coastal zone in our study area has likely contributed to the formation of thermokarst pits, with 60% of the observed change associated with these features below this approximate elevation (figure 4(c)). These inundation events may have also contributed to some of the general land lowering along the low-lying coastal zone that was observed in the data but outside our defined level of confidence.

5.3. Organic carbon mobilization

Estimates of total organic carbon in the upper 1 m of the studied landscape range from 56 to 66 kg OC m\(^{-2}\) at sample sites located in the study region (Ping et al 2008). Based on these estimates, the loss of land between 2006 and 2010 along coast and river bluffs may have mobilized 1.8–2.1 Gg yr\(^{-1}\). However, given our conservative estimates of quantifiable change this number should be viewed as a minimum estimate since long-term estimates of carbon flux from this coastal stretch range from 3.0 to 7.0 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) (Jorgenson and Brown 2005). This exercise simply illustrates a basic type of analysis for carbon-related studies in permafrost terrain where repeat airborne LiDAR surveys have been acquired.

6. Conclusion

We compared airborne LiDAR data from 2006 and 2010 and demonstrated that it is possible to detect and characterize a number of thaw-related and non-thaw-related landscape changes occurring in arctic coastal lowlands. Erosion of ice-bonded coastal and river bluffs, thaw slumping, thermokarst lake expansion, thermokarst pit subsidence, and thermo-erosional gully expansion indicated ice-rich permafrost degradation and accounted for nearly half of the area and half of the volume change that occurred over the four year study period. Erosion and deposition associated with beach and spit deposits, riverine and deltaic flats, and sand dunes accounted for the majority of the remaining significant landscape change. Our study demonstrates the utility of assessing landscape change in an arctic coastal lowland with repeat airborne LiDAR data, in particular for spatially small but widespread permafrost thaw-related processes. We hope that this study will encourage the collection of repeat LiDAR data in other permafrost terrain.
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