ISWI chromatin remodeling complexes in the DNA damage response

Özge Z Aydin, Wim Vermeulen, and Hannes Lans*

Department of Genetics; Cancer Genomics Netherlands; Erasmus MC; Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Keywords: ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling, ISWI, SMARCA5/SNF2H, WSTF, ACF1, Homologous Recombination, Non-Homologous End-Joining, Nucleotide Excision Repair, DNA damage response

Abbreviations: ACF1, ATP-utilizing Chromatin assembly and remodeling Factor 1; BER, Base Excision Repair; DDR, DNA Damage Response; DSB, Double Strand Break; GG-NER, Global Genome Nucleotide Excision Repair; HR, Homologous Recombination; ISWI, Imitation SWItch; MRN, MRE11/Rad50/NBS1; NER, Nucleotide Excision Repair; NHEJ, Non-Homologous End Joining; PAR, Poly(ADP-Ribose); RNApolII, RNA Polymerase II; RSF1, Remodeling and Spacing Factor 1; SMARCA, SWI-SNF-related Matrix-associated Actin-dependent Regulator of Chromatin A; TC-NER, Transcription-Coupled Nucleotide Excision Repair; WSTF, Williams Syndrome Transcription Factor.

Regulation of chromatin structure is an essential component of the DNA damage response (DDR), which effectively preserves the integrity of DNA by a network of multiple DNA repair and associated signaling pathways. Within the DDR, chromatin is modified and remodeled to facilitate efficient DNA access, to control the activity of repair proteins and to mediate signaling. The mammalian ISWI family has recently emerged as one of the major ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex families that function in the DDR, as it is implicated in at least 3 major DNA repair pathways: homologous recombination, non-homologous end-joining and nucleotide excision repair. In this review, we discuss the various manners through which different ISWI complexes regulate DNA repair and how they are targeted to chromatin containing damaged DNA.

DNA damage has a major impact on health and is believed to be the underlying cause of both cancer and aging.1 To protect against the adverse effects of DNA damage, organisms are equipped with diverse mechanisms of DNA repair and associated DNA damage signaling pathways, collectively called the DNA damage response (DDR).2 Although the repair mechanisms of most DDR pathways are known in detail, how they function within chromatin and how chromatin configuration and reconfiguration facilitates the DDR has only recently obtained wider attention. In this review, we discuss novel insights that demonstrate an essential function for the ISWI family of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers in mammalian DDR regulation. As changes and defects in both chromatin remodeling and in the DDR are linked to human malignancies and aging,1,3,4 it is crucial to understand the connection between both processes.

Chromatin remodeling

The basal packaging units of the eukaryotic genome are nucleosomes, which each consist of 147 bp DNA wrapped around a histone octamer containing 2 copies of each histone (H2A, H2B, H3 and H4).5 This way of packaging of DNA into chromatin not only allows the large DNA molecule to fit in the nucleus but also serves as an efficient and important mechanism to actively regulate DNA transacting processes such as transcription, replication and DNA repair.6 Modification of chromatin regulates access of proteins to DNA and also provides cues to attract proteins or initiate signaling cascades.

Chromatin is modified by the specific activity of histone modifiers, histone chaperones and ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers. Histone modifying enzymes predominantly covalently modify the N-terminal tails of histone proteins. This in turn may lead to the modulation of nucleosome dynamics by altering histone-DNA contacts or to the chromatin association of proteins that act on DNA or mediate signaling responses.7 Many different types of histone modifications play essential roles in orchestrating DNA repair and DNA damage signaling, including acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitylation and ADP-ribosylation.8

ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes catalyze the disruption of DNA-histone contacts and can slide or evict nucleosomes by using the energy from ATP hydrolysis.9 In addition, they control nucleosome assembly and composition, in cooperation with histone chaperones, by exchanging histones and histone variants. Four structurally related, evolutionary conserved families have been described among various chromatin remodeling complexes: SWI/SNF, INO80, CHD and ISWI. Central to these complexes is a catalytic subunit harboring a SWI2/SNF2-family ATPase domain.10 The remodeling families are functionally different because of the unique domains that reside within or adjacent to this domain. In addition, tissue context and specific subunits that are associated with most ATPases determine the
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particular function of each complex. Some ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes, such as SWI/SNF, form large protein assemblies composed of up to 14 subunits, while others consist of only one or a few additional subunits, such as ISWI and some CHD complexes. In spite of their differences, all 4 families are involved in transcription, DNA replication and DDR by regulating access to DNA, efficient recruitment of repair factors and DNA damage signaling. In this review, we specifically focus on recent findings that implicate ISWI chromatin remodeling complexes in the DDR.

ISWI family of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers

ISWI (Imitation SWItch) family remodeling complexes were first discovered in Drosophila melanogaster and later shown to be conserved in many other organisms. So far, 7 different mammalian ISWI complexes have been described: WICH, NoRC, RSF, ACF, CHRAC, NURF and CERF (Fig. 1A). Each of the complexes contain one of 2 conserved ATPase subunits: SMARCA5 (SWI-SNF-related Matrix-associated Actin-dependent Regulator of Chromatin A5, also known as SNF2H) or SMARCA1 (also known as SNF2L) besides one or more accessory subunits. SMARCA5 is found in all ISWI complexes except CERF and NURF, which contain SMARCA1 (Fig. 1A), although this ATPase selectivity may in part be cell type specific. The ATPase domain of both ATPases, which is comprised of a DExx and HELICc region, belongs to the superfamily of DEAD/H-helicases and is located in the N-terminal half of the proteins (Fig. 1B). In line with this, the remodeling activity of Drosophila and yeast ISWI complexes is reduced when either the SANT domain or the SLIDE domain is deleted. Especially the SLIDE domain, which interacts with extranucleosomal DNA, stimulates ATPase activity and is required to move DNA along the nucleosome.

ISWI complexes exhibit various activities, e.g. ACF and CHRAC and yeast Isw regularly space nucleosomes, whereas others, such as NURF, show the opposite activity. By controlling nucleosome spacing, these complexes regulate transcription, either by repression or activation, stimulate replication through heterochromatin and generate and maintain higher order chromatin and chromosome organization. The accessory subunits in each complex most probably modulate the ATPase’s function and activity and are important for target recognition and specificity.

ISWI function in base excision repair

The continuous induction of a wide diversity of DNA lesions necessitates the action of distinct DNA repair mechanisms, which remove DNA damage depending on the type of lesion, location in the genome and cell cycle phase in which lesions are encountered. The major DNA repair mechanisms that have thus far been associated with ISWI chromatin remodeling are Base Excision Repair (BER) and Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER), which both repair lesions that only affect one DNA strand, and Double Strand Break (DSB) repair (Fig. 2).

BER mainly deals with non-bulky small nucleobase lesions, such as oxidative and alkylated bases, by excising and replacing incorrect or damaged bases. Lesion-specific DNA-glycosylase enzymes recognize specific types of DNA damage, flip the damaged base out of the double helix and cleave the N-glycosidic bond between the substrate base and the 2'-deoxyribose. Next, AP-endonuclease cleaves the abasic site in the sugar-phosphate backbone. The resulting single strand break is then filled in by BER-specific DNA polymerase β and ligated by the XRCC1/ligase III complex (short patch BER). Alternatively, PCNA-dependent DNA polymerase δ polymerizes several nucleotides while displacing the nicked DNA strand, which is removed by the flap-structure endonuclease FEN1, after which DNA ligase I seals the ends (long-patch BER).
How BER functions within chromatin and the role of chromatin remodeling in BER is only poorly understood. *In vitro*, BER efficiency is inhibited by the presence of nucleosomes and compact chromatin, which can be overcome by ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling. Evidence for a potential involvement of ISWI in BER comes from an *in vitro* study showing that gap-filling by DNA Polymerase β in oligonucleosome arrays is stimulated by yeast ISWI complexes ISW1 and ISW2. This may indicate that ATP-dependent nucleosome displacement facilitates access of DNA polymerase β to DNA. Although it is not understood whether ISWI complexes are similarly required *in vivo*, SMARCA5, SMARCA1 and ACF1 are shown to be recruited to 405 nm laser induced DNA damage in human cells. This type of irradiation mainly induces oxidative damage and single strand breaks, which are lesions that are commonly repaired by BER and a variant of BER called single-strand break repair. These findings suggest that human ISWI complexes may assist *in vivo* BER.

Double strand break repair

DSBs can be induced by specific chemicals or reactive oxygen compounds produced by e.g. ionizing radiation or the cell’s metabolism. In addition, processing of stalled replication on different types of lesions may eventually lead to the formation of DSBs. As both DNA strands are damaged, DBSs are very hazardous to the cell and can cause chromosome instability and genome rearrangements if not repaired properly. Two main mechanisms are employed to repair DSBs: Homologous Recombination (HR) and Non-Homologous End Joining (NHEJ).

HR only takes place in S and G2 phase of the cell cycle when the sister chromatid is present, which is used as template to repair DSBs (Fig. 2A), thereby providing a principally error-free repair of these hazardous lesions. HR is initiated when the MRE11/Rad50/NBS1 (MRN) complex binds to a DSB and together with CtIP and EXO1 resects the broken DNA ends. The created 3’ overhangs are bound by the single strand binding protein RPA, which is subsequently exchanged for RAD51 by BRCA2. The resulting RAD51 nucleoprotein filament mediates strand
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invasion into the homologous sister leading to strand exchange such that the homologous sister chromatid serves as template for novel DNA synthesis. Hereafter, the invading DNA strand dissociates or the other DNA end migrates as well and the resultant joined structures are resolved. Finally, ligation takes place to complete repair. In the NHEJ pathway, which can take place in any cell cycle, broken DNA ends are detected by the Ku70/80 heterodimer, which recruits subsequent repair factors (Fig. 2A). Following detection, the free DNA ends are processed by Artemis, DNA-PK and the MRN complex and finally joined together by the XLF/XRCC4/LigaseIV complex. NHEJ can be more error-prone than HR, because during end-processing nucleotide loss may occur prior to ligation.

DSBs further lead to an extensive signaling cascade in which chromatin serves as an important signal amplifier. This cascade is initiated by the binding of the MRN complex to DSBs which recruits and activates the PI3-kinase ATM. Activated ATM phosphorylates a range of downstream target proteins, including histone variant H2AX at residue S139 and the E3 ubiquitin ligase BRCA1. H2AX phosphorylation is a key signaling event that spreads into adjacent chromatin, thereby amplifying the signal, and is readily visible under the microscope when stained with specific antibodies - as discernable sub-nuclear foci referred to as ionizing radiation induced foci. The signaling protein MDC1 binds to phosphorylated H2AX and recruits the E3 ubiquitin ligases RNF8 and RNF168 that ubiquitylate H2A/H2AX, after which a range of signaling proteins are recruited, among which are 53BP1 and BRCA1. BRCA1 interacts with several DNA repair proteins including CtIP and, together with 53BP1, regulates whether DSBs are repaired by either NHEJ or HR.

BRCA1 furthermore regulates cell cycle arrest in concert with cell cycle checkpoint proteins that are also phosphorylated by ATM in response to DNA damage. DSB repair and signaling also involve poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR) polymerases PARP1, PARP2 and PARP3, which are thought to PARylate both histone and non-histone proteins to regulate the recruitment of specific PAR-binding repair and chromatin remodeling proteins to stimulate HR or NHEJ.

**ISWI chromatin remodelers in double strand break repair**

Most evidence for a role of ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes in DDR comes from studies of DSB repair. Research on DSB repair is attractive because of its significance for cancer biology. Moreover, cellular DSB repair studies are particularly boosted by the developed technology to induce a single break in a cell which allows the analysis of DSB repair factor binding in intact cells. Several studies have shown that different chromatin remodelers, including SMARCA5 and its binding partners, function in DSB repair. Intriguingly, however, many different activities have been ascribed to these complexes, pointing to multiple parallel functions (Fig. 3). Their interplay and the exact molecular mechanism, in which they actually remodel chromatin, is currently not well understood.

Both human ISWI ATPases SMARCA5 and SMARCA1 are rapidly recruited to DSBs and their knockdown renders cells hypersensitive to DNA damage. SMARCA5 is recruited to DSBs together with RNF168, in a PARP1-dependent manner, to regulate HR and NHEJ. SMARCA5 binds to PARylated RNF168 and stimulates RNF168-mediated histone ubiquitylation that leads to the recruitment of BRCA1. Another study showed that SMARCA5 recruitment is regulated by PARP3 and the structural nuclear protein NuMA, which interacts with SMARCA5 and is needed for efficient HR.

Single and dual silencing of NuMA and SMARCA5 led to similar defects in the DSB-induced appearance of ubiquitin foci and CtIP, BRCA1 and RAD51 recruitment, suggesting that both proteins act in a common pathway. Furthermore, SMARCA5 was found to be recruited to DSBs depending on the E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF20. RNF20 is recruited to DNA damage and ubiquitylates residue K120 of H2B at DSBs to promote the methylation of H3K4. As yeast Isw1 is recruited to chromatin by methylated H3K4, this suggests that methylated H3K4 also facilitates the binding of mammalian SMARCA5 at sites of DNA damage. Depletion of RNF20 and SMARCA5 or expression of a ubiquitylation-defective H2B mutant leads to impaired DNA end resection and RAD51 and BRCA1 foci formation and thus defective HR repair. This RNF20-associated defective HR repair may relate to the frequently observed mutations in RNF20 which are seen in colorectal cancers. Finally, SMARCA5 recruitment to DSBs was shown to depend on an interaction with the deacetylase SIRT6, which localizes early to DSBs to deacetylate H3K56 and is together with SMARCA5 required for efficient DSB signaling and repair.

As RNF20 recruitment and H2B K120 ubiquitylation were impaired in SIRT6 knockout cells, it was suggested that SIRT6 acts upstream of RNF20 in DSB repair. Intrigually, SIRT6-deficient mice showed reduced chromatin enrichment of SMARCA5, though only in brain and pancreas but not in liver and heart tissue. These data point to tissue-specific differences in genomic organization involving SMARCA5, which may not only influence tissue-specific transcription, but also DDR.

The importance of ISWI remodeling complexes in genome maintenance is further confirmed by the implication of additional ISWI subunits in chromatin reorganization during DSB repair. ACF1 (ATP-utilizing Chromatin assembly and remodeling Factor 1, also known as BAZ1A) is a noncatalytic subunit of the human ISWI complexes ACF and CHRAC (Fig. 1A). It is thought to enhance the efficiency of nucleosome sliding and to regulate the template specificity of SMARCA5, depending on the DNA flanking the nucleosomes. The ACF complex assists DNA replication through heterochromatin and regulates transcription in concert with other histone modifying enzymes and transcriptional regulators. The CHRAC complex additionally contains the histone-fold proteins CHRAC15 and CHRAC17 (Fig. 1A), which facilitate ATP-dependent nucleosome sliding by SMARCA5 and ACF1. ACF1 is implicated in G2/M checkpoint control in response to DSBs and replication stress and functions together with CHRAC15, CHRAC17 and SMARCA5 in both HR and NHEJ.
interaction of ACF1 with SMARCA5 is only partially required for ACF1 recruitment \(^{57}\) and SMARCA5 is dispensable for KU70/80 recruitment. \(^{55}\) This may indicate that SMARCA5 recruitment follows that of ACF1. Since SMARCA5 recruitment is regulated by SIRT6, RNF20, PARP and NuMA, it would be interesting to test whether ACF1 binding to DSBs also depends on these proteins and to determine whether the involvement of ACF1 and SMARCA5 in DSB repair is part of the same process or whether both proteins can act (partially) independent.

Another ISWI complex, WICH, consists of SMARCA5 and the noncatalytic subunit WSTF (Williams syndrome transcription factor, also known as BAZ1B), which is a paralog of ACF1 (Fig. 1A). \(^{67}\) WSTF interacts with the sliding clamp PCNA during replication to promote an open chromatin structure together with SMARCA5. \(^{29}\) WSTF is also recruited to DSBs \(^{57}\) and implicated in DDR signaling by regulating phosphorylation of H2AX. \(^{68}\) In unchallenged conditions, WSTF interacts with H2AX and harbors an unexpected intrinsic kinase activity that constitutively phosphorylates Tyr142 of H2AX, which upon DNA damage induction is needed for the maintenance and amplification of the canonical Ser139 phosphorylation and the sustained recruitment of ATM and MDC1 at damaged sites. \(^{68}\) Remarkably, however, in response to DNA damage, Tyr142 of H2AX is dephosphorylated by the tyrosine phosphatases EYA1 and EYA3 which is a prerequisite for the initial efficient MDC1 binding to phosphorylated Ser139 of H2AX. \(^{69,70}\) Because the pro-apoptotic kinase JNK1 associates with H2AX when it is phosphorylated on both Ser139 and Tyr142, it was therefore suggested that Tyr142 phosphorylation acts as a molecular switch for a cell to decide between repair and apoptosis. \(^{71}\) Thus, besides
their molecular activity in providing access for repair proteins, on a larger scale ISWI complexes may function in concert with other proteins, such as signaling kinases and phosphatases, to determine cell fate in response to DNA damage.

RSF is another ISWI complex implicated in regulating cell fate. It consists of SMARCA5 and RSF1 (Remodeling and spacing factor 1, also known as HBXAP), a protein that is frequently found to be overexpressed in many types of cancer. Also RSF seems to be involved in genome maintenance, because RSF1 overexpression induces DNA strand breaks via an unknown mechanism. If p53 is defective, as is the case for most ovarian serous carcinomas in which RSF1 is overexpressed, DNA damage checkpoint signaling is absent and tumor cells proliferate in the presence of DNA strand breaks, resulting in chromosomal aberrations. Thus, this suggests that the expression of chromatin remodelers must be tightly regulated to prevent genomic instability and inadequate repair. RSF1 was also shown to actively participate in DDR itself, although, surprisingly, independently of SMARCA5. Upon DSB induction, RSF interacts with and is phosphorylated by ATM. Furthermore, RSF1 accumulates at DSB sites without SMARCA5 and promotes NHEJ and HR by facilitating the loading of centromeric histone-fold proteins CENP-S and CENP-X at damaged chromatin, which assist the recruitment of the NHEJ factor XRCC4/LigIV and the interstrand crosslink repair proteins FANCD2 and FANCI with which RSF also interacts. Furthermore, RSF1 was found to promote HR through a distinct, but unresolved mechanism not involving the loading of CENP-S or CENP-X.

The current evidence suggests that distinct ISWI complexes have multiple functions in DSB repair (Fig. 3). The significance of these complexes for the DDR is emphasized by the notion that HR and NHEJ are impaired when SMARCA5 or associated subunits are depleted. It remains however to be determined whether the association of ISWI complexes with different components of the repair machinery, such as with H2AX, RNF20, RNF168 and Ku70/80, are all part of the same chromatin remodeling event or whether these represent distinct or subsequent steps of the repair reaction.

ISWI chromatin remodelers in nucleotide excision repair

NER removes a large variety of single-stranded helix-distorting DNA lesions, including UV induced DNA damage. It consists of 2 damage recognition subpathways (Fig. 2B): Global genome repair (GG-NER), which detects damage throughout the whole genome, and transcription-coupled repair (TC-NER), which detects and repairs damage specifically in the transcribed strand of active genes. GG-NER is initiated by the damage detecting protein complex XPC/HR23B, which is - for certain less bulky lesions - assisted by the UV-DDB complex. The UV-DDB complex is particularly important for regulating chromatin organization during GG-NER initiation, as it recruits several ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling protein complexes, for example, USF7. Subsequent steps are similar for TC-NER and GG-NER.

First, the transcription factor IIH unwinds the DNA helix and verifies the presence of DNA damage, after which XPA and RPA stabilize the repair complex and properly orient the structure specific endonucleases XPF/ERCC1 and XPG to excise the damaged strand (Fig. 2B). The resulting ~30 nt single stranded DNA gap is filled by DNA synthesis and ligated.

Several studies suggest a role for ATP dependent chromatin remodelers in the initiation of mammalian NER, including SWI/SNF, INO80 and the TC-NER protein CSB itself, which harbors a functional SWI2/SNF2 domain necessary for its function. Because NER intermediates induce a similar DNA damage signaling response as is observed during DSB repair, involving H2AX phosphorylation leading to MDC1 recruitment and an RNF8/RNF168 and ubiquitin-mediated signaling cascade, it is to be expected that ISWI complexes have yet another additional function in regulating UV damage repair, which differs from their identified roles in DSB repair. Within a genetic screen, isw-1, the C. elegans ortholog of SMARCA1/SMARCA5, was identified as a novel chromatin-associated protein involved in the UV-induced DDR. Follow up experiments in mammals showed that knockdown of SMARCA5, but also of ACF1 and WSTF, renders cells sensitive to UV irradiation and defective in the TC-NER dependent re-initiation of transcription after UV. SMARCA5, ACF1 and WSTF are recruited to photolesions induced by 266 nm UV-C laser irradiation and like CSB, SMARCA5 recruitment is transcription-dependent. Moreover, SMARCA5, ACF1 and WSTF are needed for efficient recruitment of CSB to UV damage, suggesting that chromatin remodeling by at least 2 distinct ISWI complexes, ACF1/CHRAC and WICH, facilitates TC-NER (Fig. 4).

Targeting ISWI complexes to DNA damage

Despite the clear evidence that ISWI complexes function in DDR, several questions remain, such as: 1) How do ISWI complexes find sites of DNA damage and identify their target nucleosomes? 2) What are the exact functional differences between the different ISWI complexes when it comes to actual chromatin remodeling in specific cases? 3) What determines the choice of chromatin remodeling complex to be used? 4) What are the interactions of the different complexes in vivo? It appears that ISWI complexes localize in a different manner to DSBs, repaired by HR and NHEJ, than to UV-C induced photolesions, repaired by NER. The rapid recruitment to DSBs involves an interaction with RNF168 and depends on PARP activity but not on transcription. In contrast, SMARCA5 does not interact with RNF168 after UV and its recruitment to 266 nm induced UV-C damage is independent of PARP but dependent on active transcription. Furthermore, the SLIDE but not the ATPase domain of SMARCA5 is sufficient for DSB recruitment, whereas in contrast both domains of SMARCA5 are required for recruitment to UV-C damage. Finally, however, recruitment to DSBs and UV-C damage may both depend on H3 methylation.
ISWI chromatin remodelers were proposed to continuously sample nucleosomes by transient binding to chromatin until they encounter a signal that permits them to bind longer and with higher affinity to allow remodeling. Particularly, specific chromatin modifications or targeting molecules may represent such a cue to target and activate ISWI complexes. Indeed, SMARCA5 activity is stimulated by unacetylated histone H4 tails and specifically inhibited by H4K16 acetylation. 

Furthermore, H3K4 methylation was shown in yeast to recruit ISW1 during transcription. 

In addition, binding and orientation of yeast SMARCA5 orthologs depends on the presence of a specific length of extranucleosomal DNA, involving an interaction with the SLIDE domain (Fig. 1B). This sampling model also provides a kinetic framework for the swift localization of ISWI complexes to UV-C damaged DNA, which represent sites where RNApolII is stalled. A similar selection mechanism involving histone mark cues could be formed by specific protein interactions, such as with SIRT6, PARylated RNF168 and NuMA. It is also likely that accessory subunits such as ACF1 participate in site selection, as it was shown that a small ACF1 interaction motif in SMARCA5 is necessary for its localization to DSBs. 

The relatively high concentration of SMARCA5 and its dynamic sampling of chromatin, as observed in live cell imaging experiments, was suggested to ensure efficient and fast loading of SMARCA5 onto its targets, including DNA damaged chromatin. This sampling model also provides a kinetic framework for the swift localization of ISWI complexes to UV-C damaged DNA, which represent sites where RNApolII is stalled (Fig. 4). 

SMARCA5 recruitment to UV-C damage is attenuated when specific cues cannot be detected, i.e. following treatment with chemical inhibitors of histone deacetylation or methylation and when the SLIDE domain that interacts with extranucleosomal DNA is deleted. 

Because recruitment to UV-C damage is also dependent on transcription, these specific histone mark cues that recruit SMARCA5 may be induced as a consequence of lesion-stalled RNApolII. A similar selection mechanism involving histone modifications could also be envisioned for DSB repair, as H3K4 methylation and H3K56 deacetylation coincide with SMARCA5 enrichment at DSBs. Additionally, these recruitment cues could be formed by specific protein interactions, such as with SIRT6, PARylated RNF168 and NuMA. It is also likely that accessory subunits such as ACF1 participate in site selection, as it was shown that a small ACF1 interaction motif in SMARCA5 is necessary for its localization to DSBs. 

The requirement of SMARCA5 to UV-C damage, but not to DSBs, depends on ATP hydrolysis. SMARCA5 was proposed to use the energy of ATP to associate to substrate nucleosomes, as part of a kinetic proofreading mechanism. In this model, ISWI complexes act as dimers, containing 2 ATPases in which the first ATP-hydrolysis is used to become committed to a nucleosomal target, after sampling for cues, which is followed by a second ATP-hydrolysis event that is used for DNA-nucleosome translocation (remodeling). This model is supported by the observation that ATP hydrolysis promotes a more stable and extensive binding of yeast ISW1a and ISW2 to template nucleosomes, before actual translocation takes place. Thus, ATPase dependent recruitment to UV-C damage may imply that SMARCA5 employs both a sampling as well as a proofreading mechanism to identify and subsequently associate with substrate nucleosomes near or containing UV-damaged DNA.

Chromatin remodeling activity of ISWI complexes at sites of DNA damage

Following target identification, several observations suggest that SMARCA5, as expected, indeed remodels nucleosomes to promote an open chromatin environment to stimulate repair. The requirement of RNF20, SIRT6 and SMARCA5 in HR can be bypassed by forced chemical chromatin relaxation and DNA near a DSB is less accessible to nuclease digestion if SIRT6 is knocked down. 

Both at DSBs and at UV-C lesions, SMARCA5 has been observed to re-localize to the periphery of a DNA damaged area upon initial recruitment which may represent the remodeling of chromatin. In case of DSBs, this re-localization depends on PARP1 activity and involves chromatin expansion and spreading of SMARCA5 and downstream DSB factors throughout
damaged chromatin. Although PARP1 also stimulates chromatin remodeling and the re-localization of histones during G2-NER initiation, a similar chromatin expansion is not observed at sites of laser-induced UV-C damage and SMARCA5 spreading to the periphery of these sites also does not depend on PARP activity. This suggests that chromatin remodeling activities of ISWI complexes at sites of DSBs and UV lesions are different, but it is not known which substrates define these differences. Dedicated research is thus required to disclose the molecular mechanisms of nucleosomes and/or histone displacement by ISWI complexes at different DNA lesions. Furthermore, understanding how ISWI complexes localize to DNA damage may prove to be very helpful to uncover how exactly chromatin remodeling complexes identify their target nucleosomes in vivo.

Concluding remarks

In summary, it appears that distinct ISWI complexes carry out a variety of different functions in the mammalian DDR and have multiple different ways of accommodating chromatin structure to facilitate efficient DNA repair and signaling. Importantly, chromatin organization involving SMARCA5 complexes may be an important determinant for cancer development and treatment. SMARCA5 expression is regulated by the miR-99 family of microRNAs, consisting of miR-99a and miR-100, which is induced upon DNA damage induction but shows reduced expression in more advanced cancers. Differences in radiation sensitivity between breast tumor cell lines were attributed to the ability of cells to express the miR-99 family in response to DNA damage. Upregulated miR-99a and miR-100 expression leads to SMARCA5 downregulation, which in turn reduces DNA repair, making cells more sensitive to DNA damage and especially to multiple rounds of DNA damage induction such as used in cancer therapy. Therefore, decreased expression of this miRNA family in cancers may be a mechanism for tumor cells to upregulate DNA repair and acquire resistance to DNA damaging agents. Thus, SMARCA5 may be a component in cancer resistance to DNA damaging agents and therefore a potential therapeutic target. Interestingly, inhibition of the other ISWI ATPase, SMARCA1, selectively activates the DDR and leads to growth inhibition and apoptosis of highly malignant tumor cells, implying that ISWI complexes in general may be promising targets for cancer treatment.
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