INTRODUCTION

Hygiene practice is very essential for preventing transmission of infectious agents in every sector including food industry, healthcare institutions, household works and other sectors in the community (1-4). Bangle, wrist watch, rings, nose pin, ear rings and cell phone are the common accessories worn or carried by women of all socioeconomic status. Hand hygiene is linked with the usage and maintenance of jewelry items worn by the women population. The skins underneath rings were reported to have more microorganisms than those of control sites (5-7). Some previous studies demonstrated that rings can act as carrier of Gram-negative bacilli and Staphylococcus aureus on hands, which can be transmitted and cause nosocomial infections as well as food borne illness (8, 6, 9). If Health care and foodservice workers wear rings with complicated designs they could serve as carriers of pathogenic bacteria causing nosocomial and foodborne infections (10, 11). Bacteria found on hands could be categorized as either resident or transient micro biota (5). Wearing rings can help increase skin contamination especially with yeasts and Gram-negative bacilli up to 10 fold in hands of nurses (12-14).

Besides healthcare services, food sector requires compulsory knowledge of hygiene of food workers. Food processing area of both domestics and restaurant kitchens should be free from pathogenic microorganisms in order to ensure the safety and quality of food (15-17). In addition to this, food handlers are often not aware of standard hygiene protocols which should be followed by the personnel who is directly or indirectly associated with food making. Consequently, they are serving as major sources of contamination in food processes areas (18, 19). Several foodborne outbreaks caused due to lack of hygienic practices among the food makers were reported by other researchers (20). Presence of Staphylococcus spp. and Escherichia coli in food samples indicates the possibility of contamination from worker during cooking and serving (21, 22). It has been already proven that worker’s skin lesions, sneezing or coughing are possible causes of contamination from S. aureus. Prevalence of E. coli also indicates fecal contamination in foods through contaminated hands of food makers (23, 24, 25). Based on the previous findings, this study was designed to determine the presence of microorganisms in the accessories of several groups of working women and students which may pose risk of food contamination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection. Samples were collected from women of different perspectives like students, housewives, maids, hospital cleaners and working women. Sampling was done from different ornament surfaces which remained in direct contact with the skin such as nose pins, bangles, ear rings, wrist watches and chains. These samples have been collected from women belonging to different places like universities, hospitals, banks and houses between October and November 2019.

Sample processing. Some Glass vials containing 3 ml of normal saline (0.85% NaCl) in each were used to collect surface samples from various ornament surfaces of different women with sterile cotton swabs (14). After collecting sample, 1 ml of normal saline was transferred into test tubes containing 9 ml of nutrient broth (NB) in each tube and kept in the incubator
at 37ºC for 24 hours for enrichment so that organisms which are under stressed or starved condition to allow them grow or multiply before they are introduced into different growth media. This enrichment step is included in order to assist stressed microorganisms to utilize complex nutrients while growing in different media and. After 24 h of enrichment, samples were inoculated (by making lawn) onto different media using sterile cotton swabs (26).

Identifying microorganisms from different ornament surfaces. In this experiment, different types of agar media were used to determine the presence of pathogenic microorganisms and measuring the microbial loads and on different ornaments surfaces. Nutrient Agar (NA) media were used for determining total bacterial growth. Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) media were used for estimating total fungal growth, Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) media were used for determining growth of Staphylococcus spp. McConkey Agar (MAC) media were used for the estimation of growth of E. coli and Klebsiella spp. and Cetrimide agar was used for isolation and of Pseudomonas spp. All the media plates were incubated at 37ºC for 24 h for determining bacterial growth and at 35ºC for 48 h for estimating fungal growth (SDA) (17). The presence of microorganisms has been displayed as ‘+’ and the absence of microbial growth is indicated as ‘-’ sign. A series of biochemical tests were performed following the standard protocol to identify the bacteria isolated from the ornaments.

Determination of antibiotic susceptibility. The standard agar disc diffusion method known as the Kirby-Bauer method was applied (27). Suspensions of the test organisms were prepared by adjusting the turbidity of the broth in phosphate buffer saline by comparing with McFarland 0.5 solution. Bacterial lawn from each suspension was prepared on Muller Hinton agar plates using sterile cotton swabs. Commercially available antimicrobial discs (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) were placed aseptically (neomycin-10 µg, chloramphenicol-10 µg, polymyxin B-30 µg, ofloxacin-5 µg, amoxicillin-10 µg, ciprofloxacin-5 µg, cefpodoxime-30 µg, nalidixic acid-30 µg, imipenem-10 µg, tetracycline-30 µg) on the surface of the inoculated plates at appropriate spatial arrangement by means of a sterile needle. Susceptibility to the specific antibiotic was interpreted by the presence of the clear zone around the disc after incubation (27).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 summarizes the prevalence of the monitored microorganisms in various types of ornament samples examined in this study. Total viable bacteria (TVB) were present in all accessories during this study. Heavy growth of TVB was found in ring samples compared to other samples. Presence of fungus was noticed in the finger ring which was collected from housewives, servants and nurses. The fungal load was predominant in the finger ring and bangle of housewives and servants. Lower growth of Staphylococcus spp. was found in finger ring and nose pin from all categories of individuals. Finger rings, nose pins and bangles of housewives and servants showed growth of Staphylococcus spp. Finger rings, bangles, chains and nose pin of students, health workers and nurses were also found to be a reservoir of Staphylococcus spp. Pseudomonas spp. was found in finger rings, ear rings and bangles of every individual. Escherichia coli were infrequently present in their finger and ear rings. Out of 10 common antibiotics, tetracycline and chloramphenicol were found to be effective against E. coli isolates. Imipenem, nalidixic acid and cefpodoxime were found effective against Pseudomonas spp. and ciprofloxacin, tetracyclin and ofloxacin were found to be effective against S. aureus (Table 3).

Proper hand hygiene can reduce the incidence of both foodborne and skin infections. Firstly, the sources of foodborne disease could be the handlers but it is difficult to find out the true etiology of the outbreak. A fresh uncontaminated food can be contaminated from the kitchen and unhygienic hands.

(3). Secondly, to prevent the post-surgical infection and nosocomial infection, hygiene practices are crucial for the nurse and health care workers (28). Finally and most importantly for personal safety and for a healthy life, individuals from every occupation must follow the rules of hygiene. The presence of Staphylococcus spp. and E. coli is highly alarming as they can cause foodborne intoxication and presence of E. coli is an indicator of fecal contamination. Intricate design of jewelry can entrap microorganisms from different sources, and they can be shed into food items during handling. On the other hand presence of fungi in ornaments samples increase the chances of skin lesions or infections. The fungus settles on the skin and forms hyphae, which grows out to produce circular lesions. Pieces of jewelry harboring these organisms could serve as a vehicle for transmission of fungi from health worker to immune-compromised patient (29). Antibiotic resistant isolates increases the risk of infections spreading due to wearing jewelry.

Table 1. Microbiological analysis of ornament samples.

| Group        | Accessories | Total viable bacteria | Fungi | Staphylococcus spp. | E. coli | Pseudomonas spp. |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------------|---------|------------------|
| House wife   | Finger ring | ++                    | +     | +                   | +       | +                |
|              | Chain       | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Nose Pin    | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Ear Ring    | +++                   | -     | -                   | +       | +                |
|              | Bangle      | +++                   | +     | +                   | +       | -                |
| Hospital cleaner | Finger ring | +++                   | +     | +                   | +       | +                |
|              | Chain       | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Nose Pin    | ++                    | +     | +                   | +       | +                |
|              | Ear Ring    | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Bangle      | +++                   | +     | +                   | +       | -                |
| Student      | Finger ring | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Chain       | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Nose Pin    | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Ear Ring    | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Bangle      | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
| Working woman | Finger ring | +++                   | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Chain       | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Nose Pin    | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Ear Ring    | +++                   | -     | -                   | +       | +                |
|              | Bangle      | +++                   | +     | +                   | +       | -                |
| Nurse        | Finger ring | +++                   | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Chain       | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Nose Pin    | ++                    | -     | -                   | -       | -                |
|              | Ear Ring    | +++                   | -     | -                   | +       | +                |
|              | Bangle      | +++                   | +     | +                   | +       | -                |

Note: +, poor growth; ++, moderate growth; ++++, heavy growth; -, no growth.
CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates that accessories from every individual can be a source of significant bacterial source which means that these objects can act as potential sources of contamination of foods if they are not removed when working at their work place. According to the discussion above, it would be convenient to restrict the use of accessories during surgical operations, cooking, serving and most importantly while using toilet. Overall, everyone should follow the guidelines for routine hand hygiene and to protect against increased transmission of pathogenic bacteria.
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