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Comparative chemical analysis of Indigenous Nigerian soaps with conventional ones
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A B S T R A C T

This study compared the chemical properties of the indigenous Nigerian soaps with the conventional soaps in order to determine whether or not they met acceptable standards. The locally made soaps were obtained from markets in Ile-Ife, Osun State and Okitipupa, Ondo State, Nigeria. The soap samples were acid digested and trace metals (Cd, Pb, Cu, Zn, and Hg) in the digested samples were profiled using Atomic Absorption Spectrometry. Documented techniques were adopted to analyze the soaps for pH, moisture content, free fatty acid, chloride content, free caustic alkali, matters insoluble in water and ethanol. The margin of safety (MoS) and hazard index (HI) associated with the use of the soaps were also evaluated. The locally made soaps had higher physicochemical properties than the conventional soaps. Mercury (Hg) had the highest concentration in the locally made soaps ranging from 106.50 ± 0.23-273.58 ± 0.49 μg/g and 46.35 ± 0.22-55.12 ± 0.65 μg/g in the conventional soaps, while Cd had the least concentration in the locally made soaps ranging from 2.95 ± 0.45-6.05 ± 0.60 μg/g and 2.88 ± 0.11-5.20 ± 0.60 μg/g in the conventional soaps. Although highly mercuric soaps are known to kill bacteria and fungi, the observed MoS (<100) and HI (>1) indicated that the soaps might be safe if only restricted to occasional use by adults and children. A careful preliminary investigation and selection of the raw materials used in the production of indigenous soaps should be considered a necessary step.

1. Introduction

Soaps are surfactants made of natural products and represent a part of the requisite cleansing products used for domestic processes. They are required in the removal of germs, contaminants and dirt. Soaps are produced from the saponification of oil with alkali. Commonly used oils in Nigeria include coconut oil, lard, marine oil, palm kernel oil and so on. Presently, palm kernel oil and palm oil are the most widely used.

Indigenous Nigerian soap has been in use in many African countries for centuries. It has over the time translated to African black soap because of its widespread acceptance and use for bathing and general skin care. Where treatment of skin rashes, body odours, irritations, acne, oily skin, eczema and dermatitis, among others, are to be taken care of. Women, especially among the teeming rural populations, also use black soap on babies because of its purity and gentleness on sensitive skin and for skin care during and after pregnancy to treat skin conditions caused by hormonal changes (https://www.byrdie.com). The origin of indigenous Nigerian soap could be traced to the Yoruba people and communities in Nigeria, Benin Republic and Togo where the black soap is called “ose dudu” (https://www.byrdie.com).

Indigenous black soap is made from ashes obtained from dried agricultural wastes, such as plantain peels, cocoa pods, palm tree bunches, shea butter tree bark, and a combination of vegetable oils, such as palm oil, palm kernel oil, shea butter, coconut oil, or cocoa butter. The agricultural waste of interest is roasted in an earthen pot to produce ash which contains the alkali. Water is added to the ashes to dissolve the alkali, thoroughly stirred and filtered. A vegetable oil, such as shea butter, coconut oil, palm kernel oil, or cocoa butter is heated to high temperatures and added with vigorous stirring from time to time over a 24-hour period. The soap that is formed through saponification solidifies and moves to the top where it is scooped out, and allowed to cure for about two weeks.

Some cosmetic companies, such as Dudu Osun (in Nigeria), often rely heavily on black soap as their major raw material to which additives (natural ingredients and odoriferous oils) are added to improve the commercial appeal and germicidal effects of the packaged soap. Color cosmetics such as eye shadows and lipsticks, hair products such as sprays
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and shampoos, and skin care products such as soaps and creams, all belong to the group called “cosmetics”. Cosmetics is defined as “any article intended to be rubbed, poured, sprinkled or sprayed on, or introduced into or otherwise applied to the human body or any part thereof for cleansing, beautifying, promoting attractiveness, or altering the appearance, and includes any article intended for use as a component of cosmetics” (Onojah and Emurouti, 2017). Several harmful effects on the well-being of the users, such as photo reactions, sensitization, skin irritation and allergy, are associated with the use of cosmetics as a result of the different proportions of substances present in them and differences in individual user’s response. Examples of such substances include heavy metals, formaldehyde, parabens, p-phenylenediamine, triethanolamine, and phthalates (Borowska and Brzoska, 2015; Janeckova et al., 2019; Swierczek et al., 2019).

Today, cosmetics that contain natural ingredients are considered healthier and ecological, and they seem to be on high demand by consumers. The devastating effects of synthetic surfactants, the need for products that are more environmentally friendly and sustainable are being emphasized; therefore, consumers keep complaining about the use of synthetic chemicals in the production of cosmetics and beauty products. Soaps made from natural substances such as plant extract or essential oils are prepared without the use of a non-natural surfactant (Antignac et al., 2011; Hayati et al., 2020).

Physicochemical properties such as pH, free caustic alkali, moisture content, chloride content, residual glycerol and trace elements composition are important in the assessment of the quality of soap. These parameters are dependent on the kind of oil used, the purity and strength of alkali, the completeness of saponification (Roila et al., 2001; Issa et al., 2020) and the sources of the raw materials used for soap production.

The various chemical substances used in the formulation of soaps and other cosmetics have various levels of toxicity effects on humans and environment ascribed to them (Madsen et al., 2001; Tjandraatmadja et al., 2010; Soylak et al., 2013). Therefore, the use of cosmetics represents a potential source of human exposure to these chemical substances (Stance et al., 2015; Iwegbue et al., 2016; Sani et al., 2016). However, the effect of this incessant exposure and the snowballing interactions on health risk assessment are not properly understood (Stance et al., 2015). The trace metal contents of soaps are of particular health and environmental concern as a result of various exposure pathways ranging from domestic effluents into the environment to dermal contact with the soaps during bathing to direct exposure during washing (Iwegbue et al., 2019).

This study would highlight areas of competitiveness, deficiencies and possible advantages of the locally made soaps with the generally acceptable ones. It is therefore important to assess the compatibility of Nigerian locally made soaps and the conventional soaps with acceptable standards, hence this study.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection

The samples for this study were black soaps popularly called “ose dudu”. They are often made from cocoa pods, palm bunches and banana stems. In this work, two types of black soaps, those made from cocoa pods and palm bunches, were obtained from local markets within Ile-Ife, Osun State and Okitipupa area of Ondo State. Two household conventional soaps, Lux and Joy, were also obtained from shops in Ile-Ife, to serve as standards. Samples A1, A2, and A3 were labelled as soaps made from cocoa pods, B1, B2, and B3 were labelled as soaps made from palm bunches, while C1 and C2 were Lux and Joy soaps respectively.

2.2. Digestion of samples

For each sample, 0.5 g soap was weighed and placed in a quick-fit 50 mL refluxing flask. To this was added 5 mL HNO3 and refluxing was carried out using the quick-fit set of apparatus for approximately 1½ hours. Thereafter, 1 mL HClO4 and 1 mL HF acids were added and further digestion was done for about 30 min. Solution of each of the digested sample was quantitatively transferred into a 25 mL volumetric flask and made up to the mark with doubly distilled water. This was stored in a small pretreated plastic container and labelled in readiness for trace metal profiling. A blank was also prepared. Trace metals in the samples were analysed using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS, Bulk Model 205).

2.3. Determination of physicochemical properties

The physicochemical properties determined in this study include total free caustic alkali, free fatty acid, levels of chloride as AgCl, matters insoluble in water, matters insoluble in ethanol, moisture content, and pH. The methods used in the determination of the physicochemical properties are described below.

2.3.1. Total free caustic alkali

0.25 g of each samples was weighed and dissolved in 25 mL of distilled water. Activated charcoal was added and boiled in thermostated heating mantle for 30 min at 100 °C. The heated mixture was filtered and the beaker rinsed with distilled water. The filtrate was made up to 100 mL mark. 20 cm³ of the filtrate was titrated against 0.25 M HNO3 acid. A blank titration was also carried out by titrating 20 cm³ of distilled water against 0.25 M HNO3. Free caustic alkali content was evaluated from the relationship in Eq. (1) (Panda, 2011): Free caustic alkali (%) = \( \frac{V(NF)}{W} \) [1] where \( V \) = volume of alkali solution (mL) \( N \) = normality of alkali solution \( F \) = 4.7 for potassium oxide (K2O) \( W \) = sample weight (g)

2.3.2. Free fatty acid

A 1-g soap sample was dissolved in 25 mL of ethanol and filtered. The filtrate was heated to incipient boiling and 0.5 mL of 1% phenolphthalein indicator solution was added and titrated against 0.1 M NaOH solution. Free fatty acid content was evaluated from the relationship in Eq. (2) (Panda, 2011): Free fatty acid (%) = \( \frac{V(NF)}{W} \) [2] where \( V \) = volume of acid solution (mL) \( N \) = normality of acid solution \( F \) = 28.3 for oleic acid \( W \) = sample weight (g)

2.3.3. Determination of chloride as AgCl

This involved dissolving 0.5 g of soap sample in 100 mL of water followed by filtration. Thereafter, 0.5 mL of concentrated HNO3 was added to the filtrate and 0.1 N AgNO3 solution was added slowly with constant stirring until no precipitate further forms. The determination was carried out under subdued light. The precipitate was heated to about 85 °C and a few drops of AgNO3 solution were added. The beaker was allowed to stand for 1 h. The precipitate was then filtered using a properly dried filter paper with known mass. Hence, the mass of AgCl was determined using the relationship in Eq. (3): Chloride chloride (%) = \( \frac{(A - B)(N)(7.46)}{W} \) [3] where \( A \) = volume of silver nitrate solution required by the sample (mL)
\[ B = \text{volume of silver nitrate solution required by the blank (mL)} \]
\[ N = \text{normality of silver nitrate} \]
\[ W = \text{weight of sample (g)} \]

2.3.4. Determination of matters insoluble in water

A known amount of soap sample (between 2 and 5 g) was weighed and dissolved in about 50 mL of distilled water. The solution was filtered using a filter paper already dried to a constant weight (\( W_1 \)) at 80–100 °C. The filter paper and the residue were put in the oven and dried again to a constant weight (\( W_2 \)) to determine the percentage matter insoluble in water, using the relationship in Eq. (4) (Onyango et al., 2014):

\[ \text{Matters insoluble in water (\%) } = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W} \times 100 \]  

where \( W_2 \) = weight of dried filter paper + dried residue
\[ W_1 = \text{weight of dried filter paper} \]
\[ W = \text{weight of soap sample used} \]

2.3.5. Determination of matters insoluble in ethanol

Also, a known amount of soap sample (between 2 and 5 g) was weighed and dissolved in about dissolved in about 50 mL of ethanol. The solution was filtered using a filter paper already dried to a constant weight (\( W_1 \)) at 80–100 °C. The filter paper and its content (residue) were put in the oven and dried again to a constant weight (\( W_2 \)) to determine the percentage matter insoluble in ethanol, using the relationship in Eq. (5) (Onyango et al., 2014):

\[ \text{Matters insoluble in ethanol (\%) } = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W} \times 100 \]

where \( W_2 \) = weight of dried filter paper + dried residue
\[ W_1 = \text{weight of dried filter paper} \]
\[ W = \text{weight of soap sample used} \]

2.3.6. Determination of moisture content

A known mass of each sample of soap was placed in a properly dried paper of known weight, and dried in the oven at 80–100 °C to a constant weight. The moisture content was then determined using the relationship in Eq. (6) (Owoicho 2021):

\[ \text{Moisture content (\%) } = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W} \times 100 \]

where \( W_2 \) = weight of dried paper + soap sample
\[ W_1 = \text{weight of dried paper + soap sample} \]
\[ W = \text{weight of soap sample used} \]

2.3.7. Determination of pH

For each soap sample, 2 g was dissolved in 20 mL of distilled water and filtered. The filtrate was taken immediately for determination on a pH meter (Model 4330).

2.4. Evaluation of exposure risk to humans

The uncertainty factor termed “margin of safety” (MoS) was used to determine the potential human health risks emanating from exposure to metals in the soaps. The MoS is obtained by normalizing “the lowest no-observed adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) value of the metals” with their corresponding estimated systemic exposure dosage (SED) as shown in Eq. (7) (SCCS, 2012):

\[ \text{MoS} = \frac{\text{NOAEL}}{\text{SED}} \]  

The SED was evaluated by using the expression in Eq. (8) (SCCS, 2012):

\[ \text{SED} = \frac{C_s \times AA \times SSA \times F \times RF \times BF}{BW} \times 10^{-3} \]  

where \( C_s \) means the concentration of metal (μg/g) in the soap; AA represents the daily quantity of soap used (20 g); SSA means the exposed skin surface area with the soap (860 cm²); F represents the application frequency (2); RF means the retention factor (0.01); BF denotes the bioaccessibility factor; the unit conversion factor is \( 10^{-3} \). and BW means the body weight of humans (adults: 70 kg; children: 16 kg). The ages of the adults and children were assumed to be 55 years and 14 years respectively.

The NOAEL values of the metals were obtained by using the expression in Eq. (9) (SCCS, 2012):

\[ \text{NOAEL} = \frac{\text{RfD} \times UF \times MF}{RF} \]  

where RfD means the daily exposure amount to the populace (Pb:4 \( \times 10^{-3} \), Cu:4 \( \times 10^{-2} \), Hg:3 \( \times 10^{-4} \), Zn:3 \( \times 10^{-3} \), and Cd:1 \( \times 10^{-3} \)); UF means the uncertainty factor (100); and MF means the modifying factor (1). The minimum acceptably safe level for a human skin product is estimated to have a MoS value of 100. In this study, we assumed 50% (as the midpoint scenario) and 100% (as the worst-case scenario) systemic availability of the investigated metals in evaluating the safety of these soaps (SCCS, 2012).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physicochemical properties of the soaps

The percentage composition of physicochemical properties of the three categories of soaps is presented in Figure 1. The physicochemical properties of the soaps were determined in triplicates. The coefficient of variation was generally less than 20% indicating that little dispersion existed in the replicate analysis of the physicochemical properties. Minimal variations in the physicochemical properties existed among the same kind of soaps.

3.1.1. Moisture content (%)

The shelf-life of a product can be assessed by its moisture content. The moisture content of the locally made soaps from cocoa pods ranged from 9.05 ± 0.22 to 15.69 ± 0.25 % while it ranged from 9.48 ± 0.15 to 11.32 ± 0.61 % in the locally made soaps from palm bunches. The moisture content in the locally made soaps were higher than that of Lux and Joy soaps with moisture contents of 8.68 ± 0.23 and 8.85 ± 0.35 % respectively. This indicated that the soaps from cocoa pods had significantly higher water retention capacity than those made from palm bunches as well as the conventional soaps. However, the moisture content of the soaps reported in this study falls within the limits (10–15%) of Encyclopedia of Industries Chemical analysis. Hence, storage of the soaps over a period of 1–2 years might not cause water induced deteriorations and the soaps are considered safe for bathing and other domestic purposes (Onyango et al., 2014). The % moisture content of the studied soaps were lower than the findings of earlier reports (Ogunsuyi and Akinawo, 2012; Osuji et al., 2013). A relative upsurge in the moisture content of soaps may translate to a corresponding upsurge in free fatty acid levels, facilitated by the reaction of unsaponified fat with excess water in the soap to produce glycerol and free fatty acid, a phenomenon described as soap hydrolysis (Mahesar et al., 2019).

3.1.2. Matters insoluble in water (%)

The % matters insoluble in water of the soaps made from cocoa pods ranged from 5.62 ± 0.55 to 8.94 ± 1.02 % while it ranged from 8.83 ±
0.62 to 15.04 ± 1.51 % in the soaps made from palm bunches. Conventional Lux and Joy soaps had 5.77 ± 0.29 and 3.88 ± 0.61 % matters insoluble in water respectively. The locally made soaps had relatively higher levels of matters insoluble in water than the conventional soaps. The studied soaps had % matters insoluble in water above the acceptable levels (≤0.50) of the Standard Organization of Nigeria. This indicated that the soaps probably contained some sort of waxes and fats that are insoluble in water. The results presented in this study, however, compared favourably with those reported by Nangbes et al. (2014). To an extent, matter insoluble in water affects the lathering effects of soap as more soaps favourably with those reported by Nangbes et al. (2014). To an extent, matter insoluble in water affects the lathering effects of soap as more soaps favourably.

3.1.3. Matters insoluble in ethanol (%)

The quantity of builders, fillers, whitening agents, bleaches, and fluorescing agents in the finished product is expressed as the matters insoluble in ethanol (Issa et al., 2020). The % matters insoluble in ethanol of the locally made soaps from cocoa pods ranged from 11.51 ± 0.67 to 27.57 ± 1.29 % while it ranged from 8.16 ± 0.24 to 18.29 ± 1.62 % in the locally made soaps from palm bunches. Lux and Joy soaps had 3.99 ± 0.51 and 5.31 ± 0.29 % matters insoluble in ethanol respectively. These levels were higher than the acceptable limits of the Standard Organization of Nigeria (≤2.00) as well as the findings of Nangbes et al. (2014). This indicated that the soaps probably contained ethanol insoluble fats, kaolin, sodium silicate and so on.

3.1.4. Chloride content (%)

Chloride content of the locally made soaps from cocoa pods ranged from 8.67 ± 0.23 to 10.81 ± 1.11 % while it ranged from 9.89 ± 0.62 to 16.80 ± 2.92 % in the soaps made from palm bunches. The soaps used as standards (Lux and Joy soaps) had relatively lower % chloride content (2.39 ± 0.41 and 2.65 ± 0.01 respectively). The % chloride content of these soaps exceeded the acceptable limits of the Standard Organization of Nigeria (<0.75). This raises doubts on the quality of the soaps as high chloride content has been reported to cause soaps to crack (Taiwo et al., 2008). The chloride content in this study was higher than the findings of Hayati et al. (2020).

3.1.5. Free caustic alkali (%)

The free caustic alkali is a measure of the abrasiveness of soaps (Mahesar et al., 2019). The free caustic alkali in the locally made soaps from cocoa pods ranged from 5.74 ± 0.25 to 11.41 ± 1.21 % while it ranged from 2.49 ± 0.11 to 9.98 ± 0.22 % in the soaps made from palm bunches. Lux and Joy soaps (used as standards) had relatively lower % free caustic alkali (1.24 ± 0.09 and 0.99 ± 0.15 % respectively). However, these levels were higher than the acceptable limits of free caustic alkali set by the Standard Organization of Nigeria (≤0.05). This free caustic alkali levels reported in this study were also higher than the findings of earlier workers (Mak-Mensah and Firempong, 2011; Warra, 2013; Beetseh and Anza, 2013; Idoko et al., 2018). In a bid to increasing the mildness of the soaps, the body effects of the high free caustic alkali of the studied soaps could be reduced by adding humectants such as propylene glycol, glycerol and so on to the soap and/or using inorganic polyprotic acids such as phosphoric acid as a preservative for the finished product (Woollatt 1985; Nangbes et al., 2014).

3.1.6. Free fatty acid (%)

Free fatty acid is an important factor for estimating the transparency of a soap (Hayati et al. 2020). The free fatty acid content of the locally made soaps made from cocoa pods ranged from 4.81 ± 0.32 to 7.73 ± 0.27 % while it ranged from 1.42 ± 0.14 to 8.96 ± 0.61 % in soaps made from palm bunches. The soaps used as standards had relatively lower free fatty acid content (0.37 ± 0.06 and 0.28 ± 0.04 %). Free fatty acid must be less than or equal to 0.30 % in soaps (SON, 1997). Results from this study indicated higher than the acceptable levels and the 4% free fatty acid level indicated as the level that must not be exceeded if a soap sample must maintain its transparency (Tokosh, 1996). The free fatty acid levels of the locally made soaps in this study were also higher than the findings of Hayati et al. (2020).

3.1.7. pH

The pH of the locally made soaps from cocoa pods ranged from 9.19 ± 0.11 to 9.75 ± 0.02 while it ranged from 9.13 ± 0.01 to 9.62 ± 0.03 in locally made soaps from palm bunches. The results of the pH of the
locally made soaps compared favourably with that of Lux (9.55 ± 0.02) and Joy (9.38 ± 0.01) soaps respectively. The acceptable limits of pH in soaps set by Standard Organization of Nigeria are 6.5–8.5. Generally, in aqueous solutions, soaps are alkaline, and these alkaline substances neutralize the body’s protective acid mantle, acting as barriers against viruses and bacteria in the process. Nevertheless, a healthy human skin has a pH value ranging between 5.4 to 5.9 (Mak-Mensah and Firempong, 2011). pH values higher than the acceptable limits observed for the studied soap samples, due to incomplete hydrolysis of the saponification process, are an indication that the soaps would be corrosive to the skin. This corrosive action can be mitigated by the addition of excess fat and/or oil to reduce the harshness of the soap (Warra et al., 2011).

3.2. Heavy metal composition of the soap samples

A spike recovery method was adopted in a bid to validating the efficiency of the analytical method due to the unavailability of certified reference material for this type of product. As presented in Table 1, the % R values obtained were within the 70–110% recovery range for evaluating the precision and accuracy of a method. The R2 values ranging from 0.9897 in Pb to 0.9991 in Cu showed the high linearity of the AAS used, indicating the reliability of the AAS to give accurate results. Results of the composition (μg/g) of lead (Pb), copper (Cu), mercury (Hg), zinc (Zn), and cadmium (Cd) in the soap samples are presented in Table 2. Generally, the locally made soap from cocoa pods had higher metal composition than the locally made soap from palm bunches. The conventional soaps (Lux and Joy) had comparatively lower metal contents. In the locally made soaps, the order of decreasing mean metal concentration was Hg > Zn > Pb > Cu > Cd while in the conventional soaps, it followed the order: Hg > Zn > Cu > Pb > Cd.

3.2.1. Lead (Pb)

Pb had a mean concentration of 22.57 ± 0.42 μg/g and 15.03 ± 0.06 μg/g in the locally made soaps from cocoa pods and palm bunches respectively. These values exceeded the WHO limit (10 μg/g) for Pb in cosmetics (Sukender et al., 2012). Established source of Pb in the environment is vehicular emissions. The relative upsurge in Pb levels of the locally made soaps as opposed to the conventional soaps could be an indication that the cocoa pods and palm bunches were obtained from plants grown on farmlands either close to road sides or farmlands enriched by Pb. Heavy metals can enrich a farmland through a combination of anthropogenic and natural processes. It is very important that Pb risks are considered in the formulation of cosmetics. The Pb levels of the locally made soaps were higher than those reported in previous findings (Abulude et al., 2007; Chauhan et al., 2010; Sani and Shehu, 2018).

3.2.2. Copper (Cu)

Cu had a mean concentration of 20.71 ± 0.14 μg/g and 260.96 ± 1.32 μg/g in the locally made soaps from cocoa pods and palm bunches respectively. The higher levels of Cu observed in the palm bunches could be due to its high retaining ability for Cu. The levels of Cu in the locally made soaps were higher than that of the conventional soaps and also higher than those reported in previous findings (Iwegbue et al., 2019).

3.2.3. Mercury (Hg)

Mercury had a mean concentration of 171.00 ± 0.33 μg/g and 143.05 ± 0.41 μg/g in the locally made soaps from cocoa pods and palm bunches respectively. Levels of Hg were higher in the locally made soaps relative to the conventional soaps. However, Hg levels in the soaps were all above the acceptable limit (1 μg/g) for Hg in cosmetics as stated by WHO (Sukender et al., 2012). The high Hg levels observed in the black soaps probably justified the recurring use of the soaps in the treatment of bacterial and fungal diseases, because mercuric soaps have the potential to kill bacteria and fungi. Mercury is usually added to soaps in its inorganic forms (HgCl2) so as to lighten human skin (Adedajo, 2002). However, excessive exposure to Hg can result in neurological and dermal toxicity (Sin and Tsang, 2003). Mercury levels in these soaps were relatively higher than that of previous studies (Alizadeh et al., 2017; Alam et al., 2019).

3.2.4. Zinc (Zn)

Mean concentrations of 42.58 ± 0.12 μg/g and 66.01 ± 0.17 μg/g in the locally made soaps from cocoa pods and palm bunches respectively were recorded in the present study. These levels were higher than that of

### Table 1. Validation Parameters for the investigated Metals using AAS.

| Metals | Current (mA) | Wavelength (nm) | Calibration curve (R2) | %R     | LOD | LOQ |
|--------|-------------|----------------|------------------------|--------|-----|-----|
| Pb     | 10          | 282.9          | 0.9897                 | 94.66 ± 3.10 | 0.013 | 0.04 |
| Cu     | 6           | 325.1          | 0.9991                 | 89.56 ± 1.09 | 0.03  | 0.09 |
| Hg     | 10          | 253.7          | 0.9986                 | 96.35 ± 2.02 | 0.005 | 0.015 |
| Zn     | 8           | 214.2          | 0.9924                 | 93.39 ± 1.63 | 0.005 | 0.015 |
| Cd     | 8           | 228.6          | 0.9935                 | 92.33 ± 3.10 | 0.01  | 0.03 |

LOD = limit of detection, LOQ = limit of quantification.

### Table 2. Heavy metals composition (μg/g) of the soap samples.

| Sample | Pb     | Cu     | Hg      | Zn     | Cd     |
|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| A1     | 27.10 ± 1.05 | 29.00 ± 0.00 | 273.58 ± 0.49 | 50.82 ± 0.12 | 6.05 ± 0.60 |
| A2     | 24.35 ± 0.14 | 17.30 ± 0.10 | 110.10 ± 0.11 | 37.16 ± 0.01 | 2.95 ± 0.45 |
| A3     | 16.25 ± 0.09 | 15.83 ± 0.33 | 129.31 ± 0.40 | 39.75 ± 0.22 | 5.15 ± 0.02 |
| Mean ± SD | 22.57 ± 0.42 | 20.71 ± 0.14 | 171.00 ± 0.33 | 42.58 ± 0.12 | 4.72 ± 0.35 |
| B1     | 15.71 ± 0.04 | 67.00 ± 0.00 | 197.36 ± 0.84 | 26.30 ± 0.00 | 5.09 ± 0.13 |
| B2     | 10.76 ± 0.03 | 70.64 ± 2.86 | 106.5 ± 0.23 | 119.21 ± 0.20 | 3.65 ± 0.10 |
| B3     | 18.63 ± 0.10 | 14.23 ± 1.13 | 125.29 ± 0.15 | 52.53 ± 0.32 | 4.78 ± 0.41 |
| Mean ± SD | 15.03 ± 0.06 | 260.96 ± 1.32 | 143.05 ± 0.41 | 66.01 ± 0.17 | 4.51 ± 0.21 |
| C1     | 3.37 ± 0.12 | 9.82 ± 0.14 | 55.12 ± 0.65 | 17.54 ± 0.55 | 5.20 ± 0.60 |
| C2     | 5.29 ± 0.06 | 3.10 ± 0.22 | 46.35 ± 0.22 | 32.32 ± 0.13 | 2.88 ± 0.11 |

Values are means of triplicate analysis.
However, the values recorded were all higher than the WHO acceptable. These values were higher than that of the conventional soaps.

Cadmium (Cd)

Cd had mean concentrations of 4.72 ± 0.35 µg/g and 4.51 ± 0.21 µg/g in the locally made soaps from cocoa pods and palm bunches respectively. These values were higher than that of the conventional soaps. However, the values recorded were all higher than the WHO acceptable limit (0.3 µg/g) for Cd in cosmetics (Sukender et al., 2012). Cadmium is an extremely toxic element even at low concentrations. Long-term exposure to Cd can result in renal dysfunction (Sani and Shehu, 2018).

3.3. Safety evaluation of the use of studied soaps with respect to metal levels

The systemic exposure damage (SED) for metals in the studied soaps upon use by adults and children is presented in Table 3. In this study, 50% and 100% bioaccessibility to the metals were used as the midpoint

### Table 4. Margin of Safety (MoS) for Metals in Studied Soaps upon usage by adults and children.

|                | 50 % bioaccessibility factor | 100 % bioaccessibility factor |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                | Pb Cu Hg Zn Cd                | Pb Cu Hg Zn Cd                |
| Adults         |                               |                               |
| A1             | 6.00 56.13 0.04 240.24 6.72   | 3.00 28.06 0.02 120.12 3.36   |
| A2             | 6.68 94.09 0.11 328.56 13.79  | 3.34 47.04 0.05 164.28 6.89   |
| A3             | 10.01 102.83 0.09 307.15 7.90  | 5.00 51.41 0.04 153.57 3.95   |
| B1             | 10.36 24.29 0.06 464.23 7.99  | 5.18 12.14 0.03 232.11 3.99   |
| B2             | 15.12 2.32 0.11 102.41 11.15  | 7.56 1.16 0.05 51.20 5.57     |
| B3             | 8.73 114.39 0.09 232.42 8.51  | 4.36 57.19 0.04 116.21 4.25   |
| C1             | 48.30 165.77 0.22 696.08 7.82  | 24.15 82.88 0.11 348.04 3.91   |
| C2             | 30.77 525.13 0.26 377.76 14.13 | 15.38 262.56 0.13 188.88 7.06   |
| Children       |                               |                               |
| A1             | 1.37 12.83 0.01 54.91 1.53  | 0.68 6.41 0.005 27.45 0.76   |
| A2             | 1.52 21.50 0.02 75.09 3.15  | 0.76 10.75 0.01 37.54 1.57   |
| A3             | 2.28 23.50 0.02 70.20 1.80  | 1.14 11.75 0.01 35.10 0.90   |
| B1             | 2.36 5.55 0.01 106.11 1.82  | 1.18 2.77 0.007 53.05 0.91   |
| B2             | 3.45 0.53 0.02 23.40 2.54  | 1.72 0.26 0.01 11.70 1.27   |
| B3             | 1.99 26.14 0.02 53.12 1.94  | 0.99 13.07 0.01 26.56 0.97   |
| C1             | 11.04 37.89 0.05 159.10 1.78  | 5.52 18.94 0.02 79.55 0.89   |
| C2             | 7.03 120.03 0.06 86.34 3.22  | 3.51 60.01 0.03 43.17 1.61   |

Nevertheless, Cd is used in cosmetics as a coloured pigment (Godt et al., 2006). Predominant controlling factors for the human skin absorption of Cd are the interaction between free Cd ions and sulphhydryl radicals of cysteine present in epidermal keratins, or complexation and induction with metallothionein (Fasanaya-Odewumi et al., 1998). They were also higher than the findings of earlier reports (Szarek et al., 2001; Abulude et al., 2007; Sani and Shehu, 2018).
and worst-case scenario respectively. The SED values were generally higher at 100% bioaccessibility than 50% bioaccessibility, as expected. The results also indicated that the children were the more vulnerable population to the metal levels upon the use of the studied soaps, due to their relatively higher SED values. This is consistent with the assertion that children are more vulnerable to environmental contaminants than adults as reported in previous environmental studies (Chonokhuu et al., 2019; Hanfi and Yarmoshenko, 2020). Any human skin product must have a minimum safe acceptable level called “margin of safety” value of 100 (SCCS, 2012). Varying margin of safety (MoS) values (Table 4) were observed for the studied soaps. Notable among the results was the significantly lower MoS levels of Hg which were less than 1 for both adults and children at both systemic availability levels. Zinc was a notable exception at 50% and 100% systemic availability level for adults as a result of its greater than 100 MoS value. In addition to Hg, the lower MoS levels observed for the other metals suggested that there could be significant non-carcinogenic risks associated with the use of the studied soaps, particularly by the children. The MoS values reported in this study were lower than those reported by Lim et al. (2018) and Iwegbue et al. (2019). The hazard index (HI) values (Table 5) were generally greater than 1 except for Zn at both systemic availability levels for adults. This also suggested that the soaps may be unsafe for use, especially by the children.

### 4. Conclusion

In this study, locally made soaps in Nigeria were compared with conventional soaps in terms of metal levels, pH, free fatty acids, moisture content, alkali content, matters insoluble in ethanol and water, and chloride content, in a bid to assessing their compatibility with acceptable standards. While the 100% bioaccessibility level is a worst-case scenario, the metal levels were considered unsafe even at 50% systemic bioavailability level. The presence of the metals in the soaps might be related to the use of raw materials obtained from variously contaminated sources and other materials used during the production chain. The investigated physicochemical parameters were present at levels above the tolerable limits set by regulatory agencies, thereby rendering the soaps unsafe for long-term predominant domestic uses. The locally made soaps had relatively higher metal levels and physicochemical properties compared to the conventional soaps. It is therefore recommended that the raw materials used in the local production of the indigenous soaps be carefully selected so as to mitigate the potential risks users may be exposed to as a result of using the soaps as well as mitigate or reduce to the barest minimum the potential risks of the domestic effluents on the ecosystem.
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### Table 5. Hazard Index (HI) for Metals in Studied Soaps upon usage by adults and children.

|                    | 50 % bioaccessibility factor | 100 % bioaccessibility factor |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|                    | Pb  | Cu  | Hg  | Zn  | Cd  | Pb  | Cu  | Hg  | Zn  | Cd  |
| Adults             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| A1                 | 16.64 | 1.78 | 2240.75 | 0.41 | 14.86 | 33.29 | 3.56 | 4481.50 | 0.83 | 29.73 |
| A2                 | 14.95 | 1.06 | 901.77 | 0.30 | 7.24  | 29.91 | 2.12 | 1803.54 | 0.60 | 14.49 |
| A3                 | 9.98  | 0.97 | 1059.11 | 0.32 | 12.65 | 19.96 | 1.94 | 2118.22 | 0.65 | 25.30 |
| B1                 | 9.65  | 4.11 | 1616.47 | 0.21 | 12.50 | 19.30 | 8.23 | 3222.94 | 0.43 | 25.01 |
| B2                 | 6.60  | 43.10 | 872.28 | 0.97 | 8.96  | 13.21 | 86.20 | 1744.57 | 1.95 | 17.93 |
| B3                 | 11.44 | 0.87 | 1026.18 | 0.43 | 11.74 | 22.88 | 1.74 | 2052.37 | 0.86 | 23.49 |
| C1                 | 2.07  | 0.60 | 451.45 | 0.14 | 12.77 | 4.14  | 1.20 | 902.91 | 0.28 | 25.55 |
| C2                 | 3.24  | 0.19 | 379.62 | 0.26 | 7.07  | 6.49  | 0.38 | 759.25 | 0.52 | 14.15 |
| Children           |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| A1                 | 72.83 | 7.79 | 9803.28 | 1.82 | 65.03 | 145.66 | 15.58 | 19606.57 | 3.64 | 130.07 |
| A2                 | 65.44 | 4.64 | 3945.25 | 1.33 | 31.71 | 130.88 | 9.29 | 7890.50 | 2.66 | 63.42 |
| A3                 | 43.67 | 4.25 | 4633.60 | 1.42 | 55.36 | 87.54  | 8.50 | 9267.21 | 2.84 | 110.72 |
| B1                 | 42.22 | 18.00 | 7072.06 | 0.94 | 54.71 | 84.44  | 36.01 | 14144.13 | 1.88 | 109.43 |
| B2                 | 28.91 | 188.56 | 3816.25 | 4.27 | 39.23 | 57.83  | 377.13 | 7632.50 | 8.54 | 78.47 |
| B3                 | 50.06 | 3.82 | 4489.55 | 1.88 | 51.38 | 100.13 | 7.64 | 8979.11 | 3.76 | 102.77 |
| C1                 | 9.05  | 2.63 | 1975.13 | 0.62 | 55.90 | 18.11  | 5.27 | 3950.26 | 1.25 | 111.80 |
| C2                 | 14.21 | 0.83 | 1660.87 | 1.15 | 30.96 | 28.43  | 1.66 | 3321.75 | 2.31 | 61.92 |
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