Developing Algorithm for Random Distribution of Nanomaterials

Umut Çalışkan¹*

¹ Erciyes University, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Kayseri, Turkey, (ORCID: 0000-0002-8043-2799), ucaliskan@erciyes.edu.tr

(2nd International Conference on Access to Recent Advances in Engineering and Digitalization (ARACONF)-10–12 March 2021)

(DOI: 10.31590/ejosat.916108)

Abstract

In this study, a new algorithm was developed for the random distribution of the nanomaterials in the polymer matrix to model realistic behavior of polymer nanocomposites. The study focused on the development of this algorithm rather than the modeling of nanocomposites as a finite element method. The multi-scale method with a representative volume element (RVE) is generally used for numerical modeling of nanomaterials and polymer nanocomposites. The researchers investigate the effect of the reinforcement material and the reinforcement mechanism has not been fully explained both numerically and experimentally. The success of numerical studies is also very important to specify the effect of reinforcement mechanism in experimental studies. For this reason, an algorithm was developed to model the realistic distribution of nanomaterials in the polymer matrix and adapted to numerical studies. The algorithm provided that materials of desired geometric dimensions were randomly positioned within a control volume and did not intersect with each other and the control volume. The algorithm was developed using the Python programming language and the positions of the nanomaterials were transferred to the ABAQUS finite element program using scripting language. Graphene was used as a nanomaterial and epoxy was used as a polymer matrix. Randomly distributed RVE models gave more successful results than single element RVE models. It shows a good agreement with experimental results.
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Nanolnalzelmelerin Rastgele Dağılımı İçin Algoritma Geliştirilmesi

Öz

Bu çalışmada, polimer nanokompozitlerin gerçekçi davranışı modellemek için nanomalzellernlerin polimer matriks içerisindeki rastgele dağılımı saplandan yeni bir algoritma geliştirilmiştir. Çalışma nanokompozitlerin solunun elemanlar yöntemleri olarak modellenmesinden ziyade bu algoritmanın geliştirilmesine odaklanmıştır. Nanomalzelanler ve polimer nanokompozitlerin sayısal modellemesine genellikle tensili hacim elemanına sahip çok ölçekte moelleme yöntemi kullanılmaktadır. Takviye mazelenin etkisi araştırmacılar tarafından araştırılmaktadır olup takviye mekanizması hem sayısal hem de deneysel olarak tam olarak açıklanmıştır. Deneysel çalışmalarda takviye mekanizmasının etkisini anlamak için sayısal çalışmaların başarısı da oldukça önemlidir. Bu nedenle, nanomalzelanlerin polimer matrisindeki gerçekçi dağılımı modellemek için bir algoritma geliştirilmiş ve sayısal çalışmalara uyarlanmışdır. Algoritma, istenen geometrik boyutlara sahip malzemenlerin bir kontrol hacmi içinde rastgele konumlandırılması ve birbirleriyile ve kontrol hacmi ile kesişmemesini sağlamlaktadır. Algoritma Python programlama dili kullanılarak geliştirilmiş ve nanomalzelanerin konumları komut dosyası dili kullanılarak ABAQUS sonu eleman programına aktarılmıştır. Nanomalzeme olarak grafen ve polimer matriks olarak epoxy kullanılmıştır. Rastgele dağıtılan RVE modelleri, tek elemanlı RVE modelleren daha başarılı sonuçlar vermiştir. Deneysel sonuçlarla iyi bir uyum göstermiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Rastgele dağılım, Algoritma, Nanomalzeler, Grafen.

Corresponding Author: ucaliskan@erciyes.edu.tr
1. Introduction

Polymer materials reinforced with nanoparticles have recently attracted great attention in both scientific and industrial communities due to their superior properties. Graphene nano platelets as an additives material in small volume fractions can be added to improving the mechanical properties of polymers. (Kim et al., 2010; Potts et al., 2011; Geim and Novoselov, 2007; Cho et al., 2006; Tjong, 2006). Researchers have shown an extraordinary interest to graphene as a nano reinforcement due to its extraordinary mechanical properties. Many researches (Georgantzinos et al., 2010; Shokrieh and Rafiee, 2010; Tsai and Tu, 2010; Shokrieh et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2010) have been performed on modeling of graphene sheet to characterize its properties.

Shokrieh et al. (2017) developed a new approach for prediction of the stiffness of polymer nanocomposites with randomly distributed graphene sheets. The finite element and micromechanics methods was used for this approach. They used a finite element method to calculate stiffness of the nanocomposites with nano graphene embedded in a polymer. Structural beam was used to model covalent bond of graphene and continuum solid element was used to model for matrix materials. They used nonlinear spring elements for Van der Walls bonds in the interface region between graphene and polymer. It is impossible to model graphene as real size in the represent volume element. They used a new approach to overcome this issue. Different graphene sheets in the different sizes were used in the represent volume element in the new approach to to predict the stiffness of real size graphene with matrix. The micromechanics approach using finite element method was used to understand the effect of the random distrubition. The results of developed model was in good aggreement with the experimental ones. Manta et al. (2018) focused to validate the numerical results of graphene/polymer nanocomposite with electrical behaviour using experimental data. The multiscale method with a representative volume element (RVE) was used for aligned and randomly distributed nanoparticles. The material modeling was considered at the micro-level with a user-defined distribution of the unit cell. The direct (DC) and alternate current (AC) was compared the electrical response with a statistical sample. They investigated nanocomposite electrical behavior with different volume fraction and loading frequency, Dai and Mishnaevsky Jr. (2014) studied 3D numerical model of graphene reinforced polymer composites with damage and fracture mechanisms. The inverse modeling technique was used to model the graphene/polymer interface. If the aspect ratio, volume content and elastic properties of graphene/polymer interface layer increases, Young modulus of the nanocomposites increases. Randomly oriented graphene exhibit lower Young modulus and strength comparing with the aligned graphene nanocomposites.

Shokrieh et al., (2014) predicted the mechanical behavior of randomly distributed graphene/polymer nanocomposites using molecular dynamics and micromechanics approach. The nano graphene was investigated in terms of the different length and width dimension. The stiffness of aligned one layer graphene embedded in epoxy was predicted using molecular dynamics approach and the stiffness of randomly distribution graphene epoxy was predicted using micromechanical approach. An experimental set-up was performed to evaluate the capability of the numerical model. The result of the numerical model was very similar the experimental ones. Hadden et al., (2015) used the multi-scale method to investigated the mechanical properties of graphene/carbon fiber/epoxy hybrid composites. The effect of the graphene volume fraction, epoxy density, and graphene dispersion on the mechanical performance were studied. They developed the multiscale modeling approach with molecular dynamics and micromechanical method. They validated the results with experimental ones. Graphene volume fraction and distribution has a significant influence on the transverse mechanical properties of the hybrid composite. Its effect on axial properties is insignificant. Hussein and Kim, (2018) studied the stiffness of graphene polymer matrix nanocomposites. The study focused on the effect of the matrix modulus on the strain fields. Perfect bonding with graphene and interface of polymer with no agglomeration was considered. The matrix of the nanocomposites played an active role in stiffening with large strains level. Strain energy was measured the effect of the non-uniform strain fields in nanocomposites. They developed novel synthesis methods to enhance the graphene and its interface quality. Pontefisso and Mishnaevsky Jr. (2016) investigated the effect of the morphology, shape and distribution of nanoscale carbon reinforcement in polymers. They developed a new software and approach for the automatic generation of finite element unit cell models of nanocomposites. They studied the effect of curved, zigzagged, snakelike shapes of real carbon nanotubes and graphene on the damage evolution in the computational experiments based on the developed code. The potential of hybrid (carbon nanotubes and graphene) nanocomposites was studied with view on its effect of damage resistance.

The objective of this study is to develop an algorithm for random distribution of nanomaterials in the polymer matrix as grafen/polymer nanocomposites and understand the influence of the random distribution on the mechanical behavior of graphene nanocomposites. The mechanical behavior of nanocomposite was determined using molecular-micro mechanics approach with multi-scale finite element method. The multi-scale model and randomly distributed polymer nanocompositized results were valeted using experimental results from literature. The algorithm of random distribution was developed using analytical geometry, engineering mathematics with python scripting language.

2. Material and Method

2.1. Problem Statement

In computational nanomechanics, the energy of a system including several hundred to billions atoms is evaluated using interatomic potentials. The total potential energy function of an n-body nanostructure, always understood to refer to the configurational potential energy that can be expressed in terms of coordinates of its constituent atoms. The proposed model aims to predict the mechanical behaviour of graphene/polymer nanocomposites more accurately in accordance with the actual behavior. The finite element and micromechanics methods were used to model nanocomposites. The nanocomposites with randomly distributed graphene with real geometric shape was modelled using the representative volume element (RVE) in the polymer matrix. In predicting these behaviors, the use of a graphene nanoparticle aligned within the polymer matrix in the RVE model leads us to wrong conclusions in terms of actual behavior. Therefore, it was planned to get closer results to the
real behavior in the simulations by considering the real graphene distribution in the polymer.

2.1. Modeling Method

2.1.1. Molecular Structural Mechanics and Graphene

In this section, the modeling techniques of graphene geometry, molecular structural mechanics and RVE are expained. Figure 1 shows the one layer graphene sheet with atoms and C-C bonds.

![Figure 1. Graphene sheet](image1)

Shokrieh et al. (2017) simulated the mechanical response of graphene/polymer nanocomposites and used modeling technique in the atomistic scale. They used structural beam elements to model the covalent bonds (Carbon-Carbon bonds, CC) between the carbon atoms (Figure 2). The variation of potential energies between atoms was related to the stress energies of the structural beams. The relationships obtained by their methods are as follows:

\[
\frac{E_A}{L} = k_r, \quad \frac{EI}{L} = k_\theta, \quad \frac{GJ}{L} = k_\tau, 
\]

(1)

where \(k_r\), \(k_\theta\) and \(k_\tau\) represent the bond stretching force constant, bond bending force constant and bond torsional resistance. Moreover, \(E\), \(G\), \(A\), \(I\), \(J\) and \(L\) are the Young’s modulus, shear modulus, cross section area, second moment of area and polar moment of area of the equivalent beam, respectively.

![Figure 2. Model of graphene sheet as a space-frame structure.](image2)

A circular cross section was considered for the beam elements. According to Amber force field which is related molecular mechanics parameters with \(E\), \(G\) and diameter \(d\) of the beam elements can be applied in structural mechanics modelling (Shokrieh et al., 2017):

\[
d = 4\sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi r}}, \quad E = \frac{k_r L}{4\pi r^2}, \quad G = \frac{k_\tau k_r L}{8\pi r^3} 
\]

(2)

### Table 1. AMBER force field parameters.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| \(k_r\) (938 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) A\(^2\)) | 6.52x10\(^{-7}\) N nm\(^{-1}\) |
| \(k_\theta\) (126 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) rad\(^{-2}\)) | 8.76x10\(^{-10}\) N nm\(^{-1}\) rad\(^{-2}\) |
| \(k_\tau\) (40 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) rad\(^{-2}\)) | 2.78x10\(^{-10}\) N nm\(^{-1}\) rad\(^{-2}\) |

The finite element method was applied to this formulation to solve the system of matrices. Each node has six degrees of freedom (DOF), three translational \((x, y, z)\) and three rotational degrees of freedom (DoF).

2.1.2. Represented Volume Element, RVE

In this study, molecular structural modelling considers the Euler–Bernoulli beam element. This beam element are used the elastic parameters such as \(E\) and \(G\) and also on diameter which are obtained from molecular structural modelling method. A space-frame structure model was used to model the beam element and geometrical modeling through coordinates of carbon atoms in its molecular structure. The coordinates of atoms were taken from a nanomaterial-modeling program.

![Figure 3. RVE model](image3)

The main purpose of multi-scale modelling of nanocomposites is to analyse of a representative of the material system (Figure 3). In this study, different types of RVEs were used which include one layer graphene and randomly distributed graphene in the same weight fraction ratios.

2.2. Random Distribution Algorithm

Random distribution algorithm was developed to simulate realistic behaviour of graphene nanocomposites. This algorithm was generated using Python programming language. Figure 4 shows the flow chart of random distribution algorithm. The random distribution algorithm of nanoparticles in a polymer matrix without intersection each other was created with the following steps:
• **Input step:** Input informations are needed for algorithm logic to work. The algorithm requests from the user for the dimensions of the initial control volume as input. Then it requests for the graphene dimensions as 3D. Finally, the graphene count that is calculated according to the determined volume fraction is needed.

• **Drawing step:** According to the control volume dimensions received as input from the user, a center in 3-dimensional space is determined. Control volume in the study means polymeric representative volume element. According to this determined center, the control volume is drawn as a rectangular prism. Then, the 3D shape as graphene in this study is drawn in the center according to the particle sizes taken from the user.

• **Generating random coordinate step:** In this stage, random coordinates need for the positioning of the drawn geometries within the control volume. Three translation coordinates along the x, y and z axes and three rotation angles around the x, y and z axes whose boundaries are within the control volume are randomly generated.

• **Moving step:** Graphene particles in the center of the control volume are positioned according to randomly generated coordinates considering reference point in the center of the graphene particles.

• **Checking intersection step:** The steps of the developed algorithm up to this step include classical methods. After the graphene nanoparticle is positioned randomly within the control volume, it is necessary to check whether any of graphene cross-sections intersect with the control volume. And then, the same calculation need to be checked again if a graphene was drawn and positioned before it. The intersection control of the graphene sections by using the algorithm of passing a line through another surface in space was performed. At this stage, the coding logic controls the intersection of a line of the geometric shape and a surface. Figure 5 shows this algorithm logic. Here, the triangle shape represents a surface divided in two triangle of the rectangular prism.

If there is an intersection during the checks, the random coordinates are regenerated. For the graphene positioned according to the randomly generated coordinates, the case of intersections in the early stages is less. As the generated graphene increases, available coordinate will be determined in higher trials. However, these trials take a very short time in terms of computer language. Because the control processes will be repeated for all previously generated graphenes and control volume. As the graphene count taken from input stage is reached, the generation process stops.
3. Results and Discussion

In this study, the polymer region was modelled as a continuum phase with tetrahedron mesh algorithm. Tensile behavior was investigated to understand the effect of random distribution of graphene nanomaterials. The experimental results were obtained by taking a current study from the literature. In this way, numerical results can be compared with the experimental ones. In the numerical analysis, tensile force was applied from the top surface of the RVE and bottom surface of the RVE was fixed. Figure 7 shows the RVE models as single and randomly distributed graphene/epoxy nanocomposites. The outputs of the developing algorithm in this study are applied to the RVE model in Figure 7 b. The graphene nanoparticles are randomly distributed within the polymer epoxy without any intersections.

Since the study from the literature (Heidarhaei et al., 2020) was considered, neat, 0.1 and 0.2 wt% graphene/epoxy nanocomposites were modelled using RVE technique. Experimental results were compared with two different numerical models as single and randomly distributed graphene nanocomposites. Figure 8 shows the stress distribution of the randomly distributed graphene nanocomposites. It is clear that from this Figure, loads form the graphene nanoparticles to polymer region or exact opposite were transferred successfully.
Figure 8. Stress distribution of randomly distributed graphene/epoxy nanocomposites

Figure 9 shows the experimental and numerical stress-strain diagrams of single and randomly distributed graphene nanocomposites. The effect of the graphene reinforcement to neat epoxy is show in Figure 9 a. There are many studies about this subject in the literature and it seems results are generally no consistent. Because there are many problems in the experimental study, in this case it leads to changes in the results. This problem was overcome by selecting an up-to-date study using advanced experimental methods. According to the results of this study, as the graphene reinforcement increases, tensile strength increases. Figure 9 b shows the experimental and numerical stress-strain behavior under tension load of the 0.2 wt % graphene/epoxy nanocomposites. Numerical study was performed using two different model as single and randomly distributed graphene nanocomposites. Single graphene embedded polymer in weight fraction of 0.1% behaves more rigit and exhibit higher tensile stress as 90 MPa. As the curve trend of numerical results is considered, it is seen that lineer behavior occurs. Because the analysis is completely elastic and plastic behavior occurred in the experimental tension test of polymer. However, randomly distributed graphene nanocomposite exhibit more compatible result with experimental one. Rigidity decreases using randomly distributed graphene in polymer and the maximum tensile stress is 60 MPa of the nanocomposites. Especially in the elastic region there is quite high similarity with experimental behavior.

Figure 9 c shows the tensile behavior of 0.25 wt % graphene/polymer nanocomposites. As the maximum tensile stress of single graphene nanocomposites is about 130 MPa, randomly distributed graphene nanocomposite gives 80 MPa. In the elastic region there is similar trend.

Figure 9. Experimental and numerical stress-strain diagrams for neat, 0.1 and 0.25 wt % graphene/epoxy nanocomposites
4. Conclusions and Recommendations

In the present study, a new algorithm related randomly distributed nanomaterials was developed using the finite element and micromechanics methods. This approach is able to predict the tensile behavior of graphene/epoxy nanocomposites with randomly distributed graphene in the polymer. Using the finite element simulation, the polymer matrix was assumed as a continuum phase and using the atomistic modeling approach, each carbon-carbon bond of the graphene sheet was modeled as a structural beam. Random distribution algorithm was developed to simulate realistic behaviour of graphene nanocomposites using Python programming language. To understand the random distribution effect in nanomaterials, it is compared with the RVE model consisting of a single graphene. Two different weight fractions of 0.1 and 0.25% for the graphene reinforcement were used in this study. The RVE model of single graphene behaves more rigid than randomly distributed RVE. All results related with the randomly distributed graphene nanocomposites seem to be in agreement with the experimental results. Developing algorithm can apply for different studies like carbon nanotube nanocomposites or different material type.
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