Editing Citrus Genome via SaCas9/sgRNA System
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SaCas9/sgRNA, derived from Staphylococcus aureus, is an alternative system for genome editing to Streptococcus pyogenes SpCas9/sgRNA. The smaller SaCas9 recognizes a different protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence from SpCas9. SaCas9/sgRNA has been employed to edit the genomes of Arabidopsis, tobacco and rice. In this study, we aimed to test its potential in genome editing of citrus. Transient expression of SaCas9/sgRNA in Duncan grapefruit via Xcc-facilitated agroinfiltration showed it can successfully modify CsPDS and Cs2g12470. Subsequently, binary vector GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/35S-sgRNA1:AtU6-sgRNA2 was developed to edit two target sites of Cs7g03360 in transgenic Carrizo citrange. Twelve GFP-positive Carrizo transformants were successfully established, designated as #Cz1 to #Cz12. Based on targeted next generation sequencing results, the mutation rates for the two targets ranged from 15.55 to 39.13% for sgRNA1 and 49.01 to 79.67% for sgRNA2. Therefore, SaCas9/sgRNA can be used as an alternative tool to SpCas9/sgRNA for citrus genome editing.
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INTRODUCTION

Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated sequences (Cas) systems provide adaptive immunity against viruses and other invading genetic materials (Garneau et al., 2010; Horvath and Barrangou, 2010). The CRISPR/Cas systems are diverse in prokaryotes and divided into Class I (Makarova et al., 2017a), where the effector complex is multimeric, and Class 2, which contains monomeric complex (Makarova et al., 2017b). Three types of CRISPR/Cas (types I, III, and IV) belong to Class 1, whereas types II, V, and VI are in Class 2. Among them, Cas9 in the Type II CRISPR/Cas system is a RNA-guided endonuclease that induces a double-strand break (DSB) into target genes. The specificity of Cas9 is guided by a trans-activating small RNA (tracrRNA) and CRISPR RNA (crRNA). Introducing DSBs at targeted sites by Cas9 via tracrRNA/crRNA recognition, which subsequently results in mutations through DNA repair, has been used to make genome modification. In 2012, the type II CRISPR/Cas system from Streptococcus pyogenes SF370 was adapted from a four-component to a more manageable two-component SpCas9/single guide RNA (sgRNA) system (Jinek et al., 2012). To date, SpCas9/sgRNA technology has been successfully exploited to modify the genomes of animal, plant, fungus, and microbe (Cho et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Altenbuchner, 2016).
CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome editing provides a unique opportunity to accelerate and simplify the improvement of many crops which are difficult to achieve using traditional approaches. Among them, citrus is an important fruit crop grown worldwide. Traditional breeding to improve citrus traits is time-consuming and difficult due to tree size, polyembryony, pollen-ovule sterility, sexual and graft incompatibilities, and extended juvenility (Davey et al., 2005). In addition, citrus production has been devastated by Huanglongbing (HLB, *Xylella fastidiosa*) in many places of the world, such as Florida (Gottwald, 2016) and other citrus breeding via citrus transformation method (Wang et al., 2017). New biotechnology methods like CRISPR/Cas system, is urgently required for extending juvenility (Davey et al., 2005). In addition, citrus production has been devastated by Huanglongbing (HLB, *Xylella fastidiosa*). It should be noted that one of the significant advantages of CRISPR/Cas system over other citrus breeding via citrus transformation method is the precise targeting of user-singled-out genome sequences related to agronomic traits. For example, SpCas9/sgRNA system has been used successfully to produce canker-resistant citrus through disrupting canker susceptibility gene CsLOB1 or its promoter region (Jia et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2017).

Besides SpCas9/sgRNA, several other type II CRISPR/Cas systems have been exploited to target different PAM sequences other than 5′NGG motif, which is commonly used as SpCas9/sgRNA PAM. Among them, *Staphylococcus aureus*-derived SaCas9/sgRNA, whose preferred PAM sequence is 5′NGRRT, is regarded as a promising alternative to SpCas9/sgRNA (Ran et al., 2015), since SaCas9 is similar to SpCas9 as for crystal structure and genome-editing efficiency (Nishimasu et al., 2015). Notably, SaCas9 (1053 amino acids) is smaller than SpCas9 (1368 amino acids). SaCas9 requires a natural guide sequence of 21–23 nucleotides, whereas SpCas9 natural guide sequence is 20-nucleotide. The first study of SaCas9/sgRNA confirmed that SaCas9/sgRNA could be employed to edit mouse genome in vivo (Ran et al., 2015). Subsequently, SaCas9/sgRNA system has been reported to modify plant genome, including *Arabidopsis*, tobacco and rice (Steinert et al., 2015; Kaya et al., 2016). In the present study, we tested SaCas9/sgRNA application in citrus genome editing. Application of SaCas9/sgRNA in citrus genome editing has potential to broaden target selection and take advantage of the smaller size of SaCas9.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmid Construction**

pX602-AAV-TBG:pX602-AAV-TBG::Jia et al. Genome Editing via SaCas9/sgRNA System

The sgRNA scaffold portion was amplified from pX602-AAV-TBG::NLS-SaCas9-NLS-HA-OLLAS-bGHpA;U6::BsaI-sgRNA, using a pair of primers sgRNA-5′-BamHI (†AGGTGGATCCCTGCTTATTCCGTAACCTTTGAAAGATTATG) and sgRNA-3′-KpnI (†AGGTGTTACCAAAATCTCGCCAAACAGTTGAC). The NosT fragment was amplified from GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA: cslob1, using NosT-5′-KpnI (†AGGTGGTACCAAAATCTCCGGTTTATACCAT) and NosT-3′-AscI (ACCTGGGCCC GGCGGCGGCTGACCTAGCATACTAGTAGTA). Through three-way ligation, BamHI-KpnI-digested sgRNA and KpnI-AscI-cut NosT were constructed into BamHI-AscI-treated p1380N-SaCas9 to form p1380N-sgRNA.

From p1380N-sgRNA, the CaMV 35S promoter was amplified using primers CaMV35-5′-XhoI (5′-ACTCGAGACTAGTACC ATGTGTGACTCTCTCTTA-3′) and sgRNA-cspds-P1 (5′-phosphorylated-GCAATGAAATTGCCACGCGCTCTCGCTCTCCAAATGAATATGA CTCT-3′), and the sgRNA-NosT fragment was amplified using primers sgRNA-cspds-P2 (5′-phosphorylated- ACTAACC ATATTTTCTAGTACTCTGGAAAACAGATA-3′) and NosT-3′-AscI. Through three-way ligation, XhoI-cut SaCas9/sgRNA and AscI-digested sgRNA-NosT were inserted into Xhol-AscI-cut GFP-p1380N-SaCas9 to build GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA-cspds (Figure 1A), which is designed to edit the sequence located 15736 bp downstream of ATG in CsPDS (Supplementary Figure 1A). Similarly, GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470 was developed, except using primers sgRNA-cs2g12470-P1 (5′-phosphorylated-GCAAAGCTAACCCCTCTCCAAATGAAATGAACTTC-3′) and sgRNA-cs2g12470-P2 (5′-phosphorylated- AGAGGGCC TGAATTCTTAGTACTCTGGAAAACAGATA-3′) (Figure 1B).

GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470 is designed to target the sequence located 259 bp downstream of ATG in CaMV35S and AscI-digested sgRNA-NosT were inserted into GFP-p1380N-SaCas9 to form GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470 (Supplementary Figure 1A).

Using p1380N-sgRNA as template, the CaMV 35S promoter was PCR-amplified using primers CaMV35-5′-XhoI and sgRNA-Cs7g03360-P1 (5′-phosphorylated-GAATATGGCCACGCCCT CTCCTGAATGAAAATGAACTTC-3′), and the sgRNA1-NosT fragment was PCR-amplified using primers sgRNA-Cs7g03360-P2 (5′-phosphorylated- AAACCATTGTTTTAGTACTCTGGAAACAGATA-3′) and NosT-3′-AscI. XhoI-cut SaCas9/sgRNA and AscI-digested sgRNA-NosT were inserted into Xhol-AscI-cut GFP-p1380N-SaCas9 to build GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA-Cs7g03360sgRNA1 through three-way ligation. Using Arabidopsis genome as template, the AtU6-1 was amplified using AtU6-1-5′-AscI (5′-AGGTGGCGGTTGCC TCTTACAGCTTAAAGCTCTGCA-3′) and sgRNA-Cs7g03360-P3 (5′-phosphorylated- CAGCCTCTGATAGCTAGATCGAATGAACTTC-3′), and the sgRNA2-NosT fragment was PCR-amplified using primers sgRNA-Cs7g03360-P4 (5′-phosphorylated- AGTTGAGCTAAGGGTTTTAGTACT CTGGAAAACAGATA-3′) and NosT-3′-P (5′-AGGTACTATGGC ATCTAGTACATAGTACGA-3′). The sgRNA2-NosT fragment was amplified from pX602-sgRNA. Through three-way ligation, AscI-cut AtU6-1 and non-digested sgRNA-NosT were inserted into AscI-PmeI-treated GFP-p1380N-Cs7g03360-
SaCas9/35S-Cs7g03360sgRNA1 to form GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/35S-sgRNA1:AtU6-sgRNA2 (Figure 1C).

Using freeze-thaw method, Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105 was transformed by binary vectors GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cspsds, GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470 and GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/35S-sgRNA1: AtU6-sgRNA2, respectively. The PCR-positive Agrobacterium colonies were singled out for Xcc-facilitated agroinfiltration or citrus transformation.

**Xcc-Facilitated Agroinfiltration in Duncan Grapefruit and Agrobacterium-Mediated Carrizo Citrange Transformation**

Duncan grapefruit (Citrus paradisi) was grown in a greenhouse with temperature ranged from 25 to 30°C. The plants were pruned for flushing before Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri (Xcc)-facilitated agroinfiltration was perform. The recombinant Agrobacterium cells contained binary vector GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cspsds or GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470. The detailed protocol for Xcc-facilitated agroinfiltration in citrus leaves was described in previous work (Jia and Wang, 2014b; Jia et al., 2017). Four days after agroinfiltration, genomic DNA was extracted from the treated leaves after GFP fluorescence detection.

The epicotyls of Carrizo citrange were employed as explants to establish transgenic plants by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. The recombinant Agrobacterium cells harbored binary vector GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cspsds or GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470. The number of explants used in these experiments was 5460. On those explants, there were 818 shoots. Out of 818 Carrizo shoots, 12 of them exhibited GFP fluorescence in all their tissues, whereas 31 shoots were chimeric. Only the 12 GFP-positive regenerants were subjected to subsequent grafting for PCR verification and SaCas9/sgRNA-mediated indel analysis.

The transgenic Carrizo citrange was verified by PCR amplification, using primers, AtU6-1-5′-Ascl and NosP-3′-P2 (5′-TTGTGTTTCCCCGCTTCAGT-3′).

**GFP Detection**

GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/35S-sgRNA1:AtU6-sgRNA2-transformed Carrizo citrange was observed under illumination of the Stereo Microscope Fluorescence Adapter (NIGHTSEA) by using a Zeiss Stemi SV11 dissecting microscope, which is equipped with an Omax camera. The transgenic plant leaves were photographed by using the Omax Touview software.

**Cs7g03360 Sequencing and Analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from wild type (WT) Carrizo citrange, transgenic Carrizo plants, or the Duncan leaves treated by Xcc-facilitated agroinfiltration. To figure out the conserved region of Cs7g03360 in Carrizo, PCR was performed with the Phusion DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) and a pair of primers, Cs7g03360-5′-P1 (5′-TATCATGATCCAGTACCAAGCCAT-3′) and Cs7g03360-3′-P2 (5′-TCTATATTAGAAGAGTTCCGCCAGT-3′) (Supplementary Figure 1B). After sequencing, seven potential sgRNAs were found in the conserved sequences, and two were singled out as SaCas9/sgRNA-directed targets, which were adjacent to PAM 5′NNNTRRT (Supplementary Figure 1B). The conserved sequences were chosen as targets to target both allele of Cs7g03360 by the same sgRNA. Importantly, the sgRNA-targeting regions locate in the first exon of Cs7g03360 (Supplementary Figure 1).

**Selective PCR Amplification of Mutagenized CsPDS and Cs2g12470**

To test the GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cspsds-mediated indels to CsPDS gene, 600 ng of Duncan genomic DNA, extracted from leaves treated by GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cspsds, was digested with Ndel overnight. Using the Ndel-cut genomic DNA as template, PCR was performed using primers CsPDS-5′-P5 (5′-TGCAATGTGATTGACGGAGATGC-3′) and CsPDS-3′-P6 (5′-ATGATCCTCCTTGTTACTTCCAGT-3′), flanking the targeted site of CsPDS.

Similarly, using Stul-digested Duncan genomic DNA prepared from leaves treated by GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470, the SaCas9/sgRNA-mediated modification was analyzed with a pair of primers Cs2g12470-5′-P1 (5′-AGCTGATAGGCTTGTGCTTCAG-3′) and Cs2g12470-3′-P2 (5′-AGGCATCTGGAGACGGATGC-3′), which were adjacent to PAM 5′NNNTRRT. PCR products were ligated to the PCR-BluntII-TOPO vector (Life Technologies), and 16 random colonies were chosen for DNA sequencing. Chromas Lite program was employed to analyze the sequencing results.

**Targeted Next Generation Sequencing Analysis**

Genomic DNA from 12 transgenic plants was used as template for PCR amplification using a pair of primers, Cs7g03360-5′-P1 and Cs7g03360-5′-P2. All PCR products were pooled to construct the DNA library for sequencing using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform at Novogene (Beijing, China). More than 50,000 paired-end reads were generated for each sample. The raw amplicon sequencing reads have been deposited in NCBI Bioproject database under the accession number PRJNA416781. The high quality reads were generated from the raw reads by de-multiplex, barcode and primer deletion using custom Perl script. To further guarantee the quality for each sample, the raw reads were trimmed using sickle software with parameters average quality 30 and reads length threshold 200 bp (Fass et al., 2011). The remaining high-quality reads were clustered with a threshold of 100% pairwise identity using UCLUST (Edgar, 2010). The representative sequences from abundant clusters with relative abundance >1% were aligned using MEGAK (Tamura et al., 2013) and further be analyzed for indel mutation genotype.
RESULTS

Editing CsPDS and Cs2g12470 in Duncan Grapefruit through Transient Expression of SaCas9/sgRNA via Xcc-Facilitated Agroinfiltration

Though SaCas9/sgRNA has been used to modify Arabidopsis, tobacco and rice genome (Steinert et al., 2015; Kaya et al., 2016), it has not been used in citrus. Previously, Xcc-facilitated agroinfiltration was employed as rapid functional analysis of transgenes in citrus leaves, including SpCas9/sgRNA system (Jia and Wang, 2014b). Here, Xcc-facilitated agroinfiltration was employed to test whether SaCas9/sgRNA could be used to conduct citrus genome editing in Duncan grapefruit. Binary vectors GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:csPDS and GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470 were constructed to edit CsPDS and Cs2g12470 of Duncan grapefruit, respectively (Figures 1A,B and Supplementary Figure 1A). It should be pointed out that the sgRNA-targeting region of CsPDS harbors NdeI (Figure 1A), and the sgRNA-targeting region of Cs2g12470 contains StuI (Figure 1B). Both NdeI and StuI locate in the predicted SaCas9/sgRNA-cleavage site (Ran et al., 2015), which is necessary for selective PCR amplification. Using either NdeI-digested or StuI-digested genome as template, selective PCR amplification of mutagenized CsPDS and Cs2g12470 was performed to assess SaCas9/sgRNA-mediated mutagenesis after Xcc-facilitated agroinfiltration. The sequencing results of 16 random colonies confirmed that both CsPDS and Cs2g12470 were mutated via SaCas9/sgRNA-mediated mutations (Figure 2), and the mutation occurred 3 bp upstream of the PAM sequence. It should be pointed out that the PAM sequence in CsPDS is 5′-GTGGAT-, which is a canonical SaCas9 PAM 5′-NNGRRT, whereas in Cs2g12470 it is 5′-TAGAGA, which belongs to the non-canonical PAM sequence (Ran et al., 2015; Kaya et al., 2016). Our results are consistent with the previous studies, in which SaCas9/sgRNA was reported to be capable of editing the sequence upstream of both the canonical and non-canonical PAMs (Ran et al., 2015; Kaya et al., 2016).

Modification of Cs7g03360 in Carrizo Citrange via Transgenic Expression of SaCas9/sgRNA

To generate citrus plants with homozygous mutations, we designed a binary vector to target Cs7g03360, a homologous gene of tomato Argonaute7 (SlAGo7), of Carrizo citrange. The homozygous mutant tomato, created by SpCas9/sgRNA-mediated disruption of SlAGo7, has the clear phenotype represented by the first leaves having leaflets without petioles and later-formed leaves lacking laminae (Brooks et al., 2014). Carrizo citrange leaf is composed of three leaflets, which is different from single leaf of Duncan grapefruit. Therefore it is reasonable to assume that easily detectable phenotypic changes will appear in Carrizo leaf, given that homozygous mutant Carrizo could be established by SaCas9/sgRNA-mediated Cs7g03360 targeting.

Sequence of Cs7g03360 was confirmed by sequencing and sgRNA was designed to target two conserved regions of Cs7g03360 in Carrizo citrange to generate deletion (Supplementary Figure 1B). The binary vector GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/35S-sgRNA1:AtU6-sgRNA2 was constructed to modify the two sites, which were designated as Site 1 and Site 2 (Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure 1B). Through Agrobacterium-mediated epicotyl transformation, 12

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**FIGURE 1** | The schematic diagram of binary vectors. (A) Schematic diagram of p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:csPDS. A 22 bp sgRNA was used to target CsPDS coding region, which contains NdeI. (B) Schematic diagram of GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/sgRNA:cs2g12470. A 22 bp sgRNA was employed to target Cs2g12470 coding region, which contains StuI. (C) Schematic diagram of GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/35S-sgRNA1:AtU6-sgRNA2. A pair of 23 bp sgRNAs were designed to edit Site 1 and Site 2 of Cs7g03360. CsMV, the cassava vein mosaic virus promoter; GFP, green fluorescent protein; CaMV 35S and 35T, the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and its terminator; AtU6, Arabidopsis U6-1 promoter; SaCas9-NLS-HA, the Cas9 endonuclease containing nuclear location signal and HA tag at its C-terminal; targets were highlighted by blue. PAM, protospacer-adjacent motif, highlighted by red; sgRNA scaffold, a synthetic single-guide RNA composed of a fusion of CRISPR RNA and trans-activating CRISPR RNA; NosP and NosT, the nopaline synthase gene promoter and its terminator; NosT, neomycin phosphotransferase II; LB and RB, the left and right borders of the T-DNA region.
GFP-p1380N-SaCas9/35S-sgRNA1:AtU6-sgRNA2-transformed Carrizo plants were created, which were subsequently verified by GFP fluorescence and PCR (Figure 3).

The transgenic Carrizo was subjected to targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) to analyze mutation genotypes and indel rate, mediated by SaCas9/sgRNA. The NGS results indicated that no large fragment deletion between Site 1 and Site 2 took place for all of Carrizo transformants, though SpCas9/sgRNA was successfully used to delete large fragment between two sgRNAs in some plant species (Ma et al., 2015). On the other hand, indel mutations mediated by SaCas9/sgRNA were observed for individual Site 1 and Site 2, as expected, occurring at 3 bp upstream of the PAM site (Figure 4), same as SpCas9/sgRNA-mediated mutations (Jia et al., 2016, 2017). Since the genotype of Site 1 and Site 2 could be either WT or Indel, there were four kinds of genotype combinations in transgenic Carrizo, (1) WT1/.../WT2; both Site 1 and Site 2 are WT, (2) WT1/.../Indel2: Site 1 is WT, whereas Site 2 is indel, (3) Indel1/.../WT2: Site 1 is indel, whereas Site 2 is WT, (4) Indel1/.../Indel2: both Site 1 and Site 2 are indel (Figures 4, 5A). It should be noted that Site 1 mutation genotypes were either 1A insertion or 1T insertion, whereas Site 2 mutation genotypes included 1A insertion, 1T insertion, and a variety of short deletions (Figures 4, 5A), which indicated that all Carrizo transformants were chimeric.

Based on targeted NGS results, the mutation rates of 12 Carrizo transformants were calculated. Including both Site 1 and Site 2, the rate of genotype WT1/.../WT2 was from 20.11 (Cz3) to 49.60% (Cz9), that of WT1/.../Indel2 was from 13.23 (Cz7) to 53.42% (Cz3), that of Indel1/.../WT2 was from 0.07 (Cz10) to 2.16% (Cz2), that of Indel1/.../Indel2 was from 14.57 (Cz12) to 38.42% (Cz7) (Figure 5A). When Cs7g03360 Site 1 was calculated alone, the mutation rates were from 15.55 (Cz12) to 39.13% (Cz7) (Figure 5B). In the case that only Cs7g03360 Site 2 was calculated alone, the mutation rates were from 15.55 (Cz12) to 39.13% (Cz7) (Figure 5C). Therefore, the mutation rate was different for each Carrizo transgenic line. Consistently, the SpCas9/sgRNA-transformed Duncan grapefruit also had different mutation rates (Jia et al., 2016, 2017).
Cs7g03360 function is unknown in Citrus. SlAgo, a homologous gene of Cs7g03360 in tomato, affects leaf development. Loss of its function in homozygous tomato leads to leaf abnormality (Brooks et al., 2014). In this study, there were no any visible phenotypes among all transgenic Carrizo plants (Supplementary Figure 2), which might be attributed to none of
transgenic Carrizo containing C7g03360 homozygous mutation (Figures 4, 5). In SaCas9/sgRNA-transformed Arabidopsis, the indel mutations were heritable, and the homozygous plants were created in next generation (Steinert et al., 2015). It is possible to obtain the homozygous C7g03360-mutated Carrizo in the T1 generation, which is useful to study C7g03360 function in citrus. Alternatively, the transgenic Carrizo could be harnessed as either maternal or paternal donor to produce C7g03360-knock-out plants in next generation through hybridizing with other citrus cultivar. However, to create homozygous Carrizo transients in the T0 generation via CRISPR/Cas9 transforms still remains a great challenge for citrus genome editing. In a recent study, YAO promoter was harnessed to drive SpCas9 expression to promote indel efficiency in citrus (Zhang et al., 2017). It is reported that the Csy4 ribonuclease and tRNA processing enzymes can be used to simultaneously express 12 sgRNAs for efficient indel mutations, and the TREX2 exonuclease can also enhance mutagenesis (Cermak et al., 2017).

Using a web tool1 (Bae et al., 2014), potential off-target mutagenesis induced by SaCas9/35S-sgRNA1:AtU6-sgRNA2 was filtered (Mismatch number = 3, RNA bulge size = 1), based on sweet orange genome. There was no off-targets for C7g03360 Site 1, on the other hand, three potential off-targets of C7g03360 Site 2 were identified (Supplementary Figure 3). The three potential off-targets were subjected to PCR-amplification, and sub-cloning. Five colonies for each off-target were randomly chosen for sequencing. The results demonstrated that no mutations took place in the three potential off-targets in the transgenic Carrizo plants (Supplementary Table 1).

1http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder/

DISCUSSION

Our results clearly show that SaCas9/sgRNA can be used to modify citrus genome via either transient expression or transgenic expression. It was reported that the genome editing of Arabidopsis thaliana mediated by SaCas9/sgRNA is comparable to SpCas9/sgRNA (Steinert et al., 2015). We have previously used SpCas9/sgRNA to modify citrus genome (Jia and Wang, 2014a; Jia et al., 2016, 2017). It appears that the genome editing of citrus mediated by SaCas9/sgRNA is comparable to SpCas9/sgRNA. Importantly, SaCas9 is 1053 aa nuclease, much smaller than SpCas9 (1368 aa), which renders SaCas9 easier to handle and transform of target cells. To bind to its target sequence, the Cas9 nuclease also requires the PAM sequence. SaCas9 recognizes NNGRRT whereas SpCas9 recognizes NGG (Anders et al., 2014; Steinert et al., 2015). Thus, successful application of SaCas9 in citrus genome editing has expanded the target repertoire of Cas9 in citrus. It should be feasible to simultaneously employ SaCas9 and SpCas9 recognizing different sequence motifs in the same citrus cell. Furthermore, different SaCas9 orthologues could be developed to switch on one gene and off another in citrus, since dSpCas9 has been used to activate or repress gene transcription in plants (Piatek et al., 2015). The PAM sequence of SaCas9 is predicted to occur once every 32 bp, compared to once every 8 bp for SpCas9 (Kleinsteiver et al., 2015). According to fuzznuc commandline analysis from EMBOSS package2, in sweet orange the PAM sequence of SaCas9 occurs once every 79 bp and every 32 bp. Thus, SaCas9/sgRNA system has potential to improve the specificity of genome editing and reduce off-target.

2http://emboss.sourceforge.net/
No off-targets were observed for citrus genome editing in our study. In transgenic tobacco, SaCas9/sgRNA-mediated off-targets have not been detected either (Kaya et al., 2016).

Most of mutation genotypes of SaCas9/sgRNA in citrus was 1 bp (1A or 1T) insertion (Figures 4, 5), which are similar to those of SpCas9/sgRNA-mediated modification (Jia et al., 2016, 2017). It was previously reported that there were long deletions of up to 50 bp in SaCas9/sgRNA-transformed Arabidopsis and long deletions up to 57 bp in SaCas9/sgRNA-transformed rice (Steinert et al., 2015; Kaya et al., 2016). In this study, the long deletion of 141 bp was observed (Figure 4), which is longer than the deletion of 127 bp in SpCas9/sgRNA-transformed rice (Kaya et al., 2016). The mechanism underlying the long deletion is unknown. Intriguingly, the Indel2 rate was much higher than that of Indel1 (Figures 5B,C), and the mutation genotypes of Indel2 was more variable than those of Indel1 (Figure 4). We reason that the differences might result from that CaMV 35S was used to drive Cs7g03360sgRNA1, AtU6-1 for Cs7g03360sgRNA2. In transgenic citrus, both 35S and U6 have been successfully employed to promote the transcription of sgRNA (Jia et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Though the mutation efficiency is up to 100% in two study employing U6 (Peng et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017), further work is necessary to answer which promoter is more efficient. On the other hand, Cs7g03360sgRNA1 recognizes the positive strand of DNA, Cs7g03360sgRNA2 recognizes the negative strand, we could not rule out the effect of positive strand and negative strand on the efficacy of genome editing. It has been suggested that CRISPR/Cas9 is more efficient when sgRNA is targeting the negative strand than targeting the positive strand (Bortesi et al., 2016). In addition, the GC content is different between Cs7g03360sgRNA1 and Cs7g03360sgRNA2, which has a notable effect on CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutation efficiency (Bortesi et al., 2016).

CONCLUSION

We have clearly shown that SaCas9 can be used to modify the citrus genome. Further optimization of SaCas9/sgRNA system is needed to increase the mutation rate, including SaCas9 expression driven by Yao promoter, multiple sgRNAs expressed via the CaSy4 ribonuclease and tRNA processing enzymes.
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