New Postcranial Hominin Fossils from the Central Narmada Valley, India
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Hathnora in Central Narmada valley (Madhya Pradesh) has earlier yielded a partial skullcap, and two clavicles and a 9th rib of Middle Pleistocene hominins. Recent explorations have brought to light two more hominin fossils—a humerus and a femur from a new locality, Netankheri. The femur is derived from the Middle Pleistocene stratigraphic horizon as the Hathnora skullcap, and shares similar “archaic” mosaic morphology of Homo heidelbergensis, also attested by new bio-stratigraphic and Palaeolithic data. The humerus is derived from the pre-YTA (~75 Kya) Upper Pleistocene strata in association with unique fossilized bone artifacts and documents the early emergence of anatomically modern Homo sapiens in South Asia.
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Introduction

Since 1830s the Central Narmada Valley in Madhya Pradesh has yielded innumerable Palaeolithic artefacts and Pleistocene mammalian fauna. 150 years later, the year 1984 saw a discovery of Middle Pleistocene hominin partial cranium (Sonakia, 1984), followed later by the discovery of two clavicles and a partial left 9th rib (Sankhyan, 1997a, 1997b, 2005) from a layer just above near the same site, Hathnora. These findings brought Central Narmada valley to the forefront of human evolution in South Asia and generated considerable debate on the taxonomic status of Narmada hominin. The partial cranium received greater attention from Lumley & Sonakia (1985), who conceived it as of an “evolved” Homo erectus, the stand more recently continued by another French scholar, Mallasse (2009). This was followed by another study by Kennedy et al. (1991), who highlighted several advanced traits and therefore referred it, to an “archaic” Homo sapiens. Several scholars viewed the cranium in the same manner on different grounds (Badam et al., 1986; Sankhyan, 1999, 2006; Kennedy, 2000; Cameron, 2004). Of late, the terms “evolved” Homo erectus “archaic” or “archaic” Homo sapiens cladistically received different connotations, and therefore, Narmada partial cranium was referred to a much wider Old World species, Homo heidelbergensis (Kennedy, 2007; Athreya, 2007; Sankhyan, 2010).

Initially, the two clavicles and the rib fossils were thought to have been derived from the same cranially known Middle Pleistocene hominin on grounds of stratigraphic proximity and inferred female sex and similar estimated age at death (Sankhyan, 1999). But, they remained enigmatic mismatch (Pearson, 2000) to the cranium, and therefore were ignored by notable scholars like Kennedy (2000) in discussion on hominin evolution in Narmada valley. Of course, they do not corroborate in the estimated body dimensions of a single hominin, and appeared morphologically distinct (Sankhyan, 2007), and therefore open up a possibility of a hitherto unknown Pygmy-sized species or archaic population in Narmada valley (Sankhyan & Rao, 2007; Van Heteren & Sankhyan, 2009), strengthened by a recent study (Sankhyan, 2010).

The New Findings

The present study reports two new hominin fossils from the Central Narmada valley described here. They include a partial left humeral diaphysis NTK-F-02-07 (Figure 1) and a distal shaft fragment of the left femur NTK-F-07-05 (Figure 2), housed at Kolkata in the Palaeoanthropology Repository of the Anthropological Survey of India. These were derived from two different stratigraphic levels of a new fossil locality, Netankheri, located about 3 km upstream of Hathnora, along the northern bank of River Narmada (Figures 3 and 4).

The Humerus

The specimen is fully mineralized and exhibits post-fossilization linear cracks, especially on the medial border. The preserved portion below the radial sulcus (spiral groove) unto the upper margin of the olecranon fossa measures 84 mm. The specimen is cylindrical proximally, widening and turning prismatic distally. It is bounded by three borders and three surfaces, and shows a medial bend on the posterior surface, where the brachialis narrows upward and widens downward. Distally, the posterior surface is flattened and covered by the lateral and medial heads of the triceps brachia that give rise to part of the
Figure 1.
Netankheri left humerus fossil: (1A) (anterior); (1B) (posterior); (1C), (1D), (1E) comparisons in different views with two modern chaurite.

Figure 2.
Netankheri distal femur fossil (2A) (anterior); (2B) (posterior) and comparison with modern; Neanderthal and Homo erectus femora (2C) and in cross sections (2D).

Figure 3.
The humerus site (3A) and the stratigraphic position (3B) at U3 boulder conglomerate at Netankheri, right bank of Narmada River. Lithosection at Bankheri (3C) on the left bank of Narmada River near Babai containing the YTA layers in the Baneta Fm (3D).
The anterior border is smooth and rounded. The lateral border is sharp crest like and rough distally; upraises obliquely medial ward with a broad shallow oblique depression in the centre. The medial border is broken at its centre.

**Comparative Assessment**

It is relevant to know whether the NTK humerus is of an archaic hominin or of modern human. A recent study (Todd & Churchill, 2006) shows that archaic vs modern human differentiation could be established by the proximal end of ulna, and not by the distal humerus. Nevertheless, fossilization and stout morphology may indicate a “late archaic” character of the NTK fossil humerus. Its 84 mm preserved fragment yields an estimated total length of 240 mm (Table 1), which is even shorter than the mean length of five Chaurite humeri (291.4 ± 13.43 mm) as well as from a larger sample of 33 mixed mainland Indian including Chaurite (284.74 ± 27.19 mm). Interestingly, the NTK and Chaurite Nicobari population is shorter and stockier in the available comparative sample which includes Omo Kibish and Cro-Magnon 1 (Carretero, 2009; Bermudez de Castro et al., 2012, personal communication).

Thus, coupled with the earlier findings of two clavicles and a rib, the NTK humerus suggests that the Central Narmada Valley was continuously occupied by “short and stocky” early to late archaic or early modern *Homo sapiens* populations during Middle to Late Pleistocene of South Asia, and it is not unlikely they included the ancestors of similar short-bodied ancient Indians/South Asians including the Andaman Pygmy.

**The Femur**

The specimen (Figures 2(A) and (B)) is fully mineralized, 81 mm distal-most shaft portion of the left femur, detached from the condyles. The popliteal surface is well preserved while articular surface of the patella intercondylar fossa is eroded. Direct comparisons with similar sized mammalian femurs ruled out its non-hominin identity, and the specimen shows a typical cylindrical shape of the hominin femur body or corpus femoris, which broadens and flattens distally near the condylar region forming a distinct triangular popliteal surface on the posterior aspect. When the femur is held with its body perpendicular, its lateral surface looks larger and rounded compared to the relatively narrow and slightly pinched medial surface above the condyles, which flares more medially backward. This demonstrates that it is a left femur.

**Comparative Assessment**

Comparative morphometrics of femur specimens are presented in Table 1. Although limited by preservation, the most
Table 1.
Metric comparison of the Narmada fossilized humerus and femur with modern mainland Indians and Chaurite Nicobari of Andaman-Nicobar Islands, and other Pleistocene hominins. M-L = medio-lateral diameter or lateral pillar thickness; A-P = antero-posterior diameter or middle pillar thickness; all measurements in millimeter.

| Skeletal Population | N & Value | M-L/LPT | A-P/MPT | Girth | Length |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| Netankheri Fossil (NTK-F-02-07) | 1 | 18.3 | 18.7 | 67.5 | 84 = 240° |
|                      | 33 | 16.02 | 16.56 | 60.02 | 284.70 |
| Mainland Indians + Chaurite Islanders | SD | 2.65 | 2.43 | 5.82 | 24.19 |
|                      | SE | 0.46 | 0.42 | 1.01 | 4.21 |
|                      | 5 | 19.21 | 19.08 | 65.95 | 291.4 |
| Chaurite Islanders | SD | 3.15 | 1.20 | 4.75 | 13.43 |
|                      | SE | 1.41 | 0.54 | 2.46 | 6.00 |
| KNM-WT 15000F | 1 | 17.3 | 11.8 |
| Gombore IB-7594 | 1 | 15.6 | 11.61 |
| ATD6-148 | 1 | 14.0 | 7.0 |
| BOD-VP-1/2b | 1 | 18.0 | 9.0 |
| Kabwe | 1 | 18.4 | 11.9 |
| Skhul IV | 1 | 18.6 | 14.2 |
| Omo Kibish I-r (KHS-1-30) | 1 | 19.9 | 11.5 |
| Omo Kibish I-l (KHS-1-31) | 1 | 20.6 | 12.0 |
| Cro-Magnon 1 | 1 | 20.7 | 14.0 |
| Dolni Věstonice | 4 | 16.8 ± 1.7 | 9.1 ± 2.8 |
| Sima de los Huesos | 6/9 | 15.7 ± 2.0 | 8.6 ± 1.3 |
| Neandertals | 21/23 | 15 ± 2.2 | 7.7 ± 1.8 |

Femur Distal Mid-Shaft Measurement

| Skeletal Population | N & Value | M-L/LPT | A-P/MPT | Girth | Length |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| NTK-F-07-05 (L) | 1 | 44.85 | 38.05 | 125.5 |
| Neandertal (R) | 1 | 47.3 | 40.7 | 133.5 |
| Homo erectus (L) (Java) | 1 | 37.65 | 34.15 | 114.5 |
| Homo erectus (Tautavel) | 1 | 36.9 | 32.55 | - |
| Modern Indians (Homo sapiens) | 18 | 34.87 | 27.68 | 102.85 |
|                      | SD | 34.87 | 28.11 | 104.65 |
|                      | SE | 3.643 | 2.93 | 10.095 |

*Estimated, †Measurements on replicas; ‡On original at Tautavel, France taken in July 2009, access courtesy Professor Henry de Lumley; and 3On original in Anthropological Survey of India Palaeoanthropology Laboratory, Kolkata.

A notable feature of the NTK femur is its inconspicuous medial and lateral lips resulting in a sub-circular/ovoid shape of the diaphysis in cross section (Figure 2(D)). This is in contrast to the modern human femora, where the two lips emerge into prominent ridges, the linea aspera, which result in a posterior pilaster or flatness. In this respect, the NTK femur is comparable to the robust and rounded femur of the Neanderthal man which lacks the pilaster (Figure 2(C)). Direct comparison with the “late archaic” hominins (Trinkaus, 2007; Trinkaus & Ruff, 1999; Churchill, 2007; Weaver, 2009), e.g., Tabun 3, Qafze 9 and Skhul 5 was not possible, their cross sections (Figure 2(D)) look apparently similar. Nevertheless, striking metric similarity is with the Neanderthal than Java Homo erectus (Table 1).

The Litho-Stratigraphy at Netankheri

The two specimens were derived from two different stratigraphic levels exposed near the village Netankheri, located (22°50′25″N, 77°53′6″E) along the northern bank of the east-west flowing River Narmada (Figure 3(A)), three kilometers east upstream from Hathnora, 25 km east of Shahganj. The Netankheri Quaternary litho-stratigraphic section (Figure 3(C)) is 19.2 m thick; its 3.7 m lower part is attributed to the Middle Pleistocene Surajkund Formation and the upper to the Upper Pleistocene Baneta Formation (Tiwari & Bhai, 1997). Like Hathnora (Figure 3(B)), the Surajkund Formation at Netankheri is comprised of three distinct cemented pebble conglomerate beds (Khan & Sonakia, 1992), denoted as U1, U2 & U3 with inter-layers of yellow sands. A striking feature here is that the U2/U3 units of the Surajkund Fm cemented gravel beds are collapsed and spread as a gravely sand bar for a longer distance by the recent neotectonic anticlines and synclines, besides erosional and re-depositional activities of River Narmada. The collapsed segment contains the fragments of Vindhyan sandstone.
stone, and other volcanic materials, like chert, jasper, agate, etc. derived from the Satpura Hills. The lower portion of the Surajkund Fm has sequences of yellowish brown coarse sand and pebbles, and the cemented gravel. The Baneta overlays disconformably as fine brown calcareous clayey silt with grey sand lenses, and thick brown silty clay.

The Associated Palaeontological & Archaeological Findings

The U1 level yielded a heavy duty Acheulian pick and a few handaxes at Netankheri, but remarkably over hundred refined heavy duty large Acheulian handaxes, picks, cleavers and chopping tools were recovered in situ at Hathnora. At Netankheri the femur discovered at the U1 level of the Surajkund formation was associated with heavy duty Acheulian implements and a molar fragment of *Stegodon insignis ganesa*.

The U1 level at Hathnora however yielded a richer assemblage of complete crania and mandibles of *Equus namadicus*, *Elephas namadicus*, *Bos namadicus*, *Bubalus palaeacニックus* and *Hexaprotodon namadicus* (Sankhyan, 2010). One complete mandible of *Equus namadicus* was excavated in shallow waters with an Acheulian tool embedded in the conglomerate matrix that filled the mandibular rami. This is a direct evidence of the contemporaneity of the fauna and the cultural artifacts. The fauna from the U1 level indicate a later Middle Pleistocene age (Sankhyan, 2010; Badam & Sankhyan, 2009) to the hominin skullcap as well as femur.

In addition, the Neanderthal-like archaic robust morphology of the NTK femur is in concordance with the robust archaic mosaic morphology of the Hathnora partial cranium; the rich megamamalian fossils as well as heavy duty Acheulian implements recovered from this level (Sankhyan, 2010) also go in line with this inference. Therefore, the Neanderthal-like femur may best be regarded as *Homo heidelbergensis* since Hathnora calvaria are also better identified with *Homo heidelbergensis* in recent years.

The NTK humerus derived from the U2/U3 interface (Figure 3D) was found in association with typical Upper Palaeolithic implements as well as the fossilized bone implements; an isolated dentition of *Equus hemionus khur* was also found at this level. The U2/U3 interface at Hathnora has yielded two hominin clavicles and a rib of a Pygmy-sized short and stocky hominin (Sankhyan, 1997, 2005). We recently excavated several light-duty Late Acheulian and Middle Palaeolithic implements from this level, (Sankhyan, 2010). Earlier workers (Badam et al., 1986; Donnelly & Sonakia, 1985) also reported similar artifacts from this stratigraphic level and thought these associated with the calvaria. Now, it is clear that they were likely the handiwork of the Pygmy-sized short and stocky hominin (Sankhyan, 2010).

The Chronology of the Findings

An electron spin resonance (ESR) date of >236 Kya (Cameron et al., 2004) is concordant with the biostratigraphic and cultural findings, though more recent attempts based on linear Uranium uptakes show a wider range 40 to 280 Kya (Patnaik et al., 2009). Probably, the Hathnora calvaria and the Netankheri femur may probably be of ~250 Kya old, whereas, Hathnora clavicles and the rib specimen could be younger to ~200 Kya in consideration of the totality of faunal and archaeological evidence.

As the humerus specimen was discovered from the collapsed U2/U3 interface (Figure 2A) of the Surajkund Formation, below the Baneta Formation stratigraphic boundary, it is older than the YTA date of ~75 Kya (Rose and Chesner, 1990; Chesner, 1991) since so far only the Baneta and Hirddepur formations of the Central Narmada Valley are known to contain the Youngest Toba Ash layers (Acharya & Basu, 1993; Westgate et al., 1998; Ganjoo et al., 1996).

Discussion & Conclusion

Above observations indicate presence of two types of archaic hominins in Narmada valley during Middle to Late Pleistocene times. The “large-bodied” species was wide spread during Middle Pleistocene at lower Surajkund at U1 stratigraphic level and hunted mega mammals with typical large-sized Acheulian implements. The “short and stocky” archaic hominins appeared at upper Surajkund level during later Middle Pleistocene time and hunted relatively small game animals using Late Acheulian and Middle Palaeolithic technology. The Netankheri humerus provides evidence for an adaptive continuity of the “short and stocky” hominins during Upper Pleistocene, and they likely formed the ancestral substratum for the later short-bodied populations of South Asia including the Pygmy.

Thus, the “short and stocky” mode 3 archaic hominins may have been but an early (Pre-Toba) “African import” to South Asia thought via Arabian Peninsula (Petraglia, 2007; Petraglia & Alsharekh, 2003; James & Petraglia, 2005). They might have survived the “Volcanic Winter” (Ambrose, 1998; Oppenheimer, 2002, 2003) due to unique cultural adaptations (such as bonetool technology), which could have facilitated rapid attainment of anatomical modernity as well (Sankhyan, 2010). The recent mtDNA M signatures of >60 Kya likely attest continuity of the “short-bodied” populations indirectly, like the Munda, inhabiting the easternmost fringe of the Narmada Valley, who surprisingly, shares these signatures with the Andaman pygmys (Barik et al., 2008; Chandrasekar, 2009). Recent archaeological studies have demonstrated wide-spread and south-eastward expansions of technologically Narmada-like Late Acheulian to Upper Palaeolithic and Mesolithic hominins (Sankhyan et al., 2011). Moreover, there is considerable anatomical gap between the NTK humerus and later Pleistocene (~30 Kya old) occupants of the Fa Hien cave of Sri Lanka (Kennedy & Deraniyagala, 1989) and Darri-I-Kur of northeastern Afghanistan (Angel, 1972) which would continue to fuel debate on “Continuity” vs “Replacement”.
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