Mec1 Modulates Interhomolog Crossover and Interplays with Tel1 at Post Double-Strand Break Stages
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Introduction

During meiosis, duplicated chromosomes undergo recombination, wherein they exchange genetic material and form recombinants called crossovers (COs), which are essential for genetic diversity. In the first meiotic division, homologous recombination yields COs that are also required for appropriate chromosome segregation [1]. Premiotic DNA replication occurs—before initiation of meiosis—yielding sister chromatids tightly held by the cohesin complex to prevent premature chromosome segregation. Parental chromosomes are aligned to form the synaptonemal complex (SC), a meiosis-specific chromosome structure playing essential roles in accurate chromosome segregation and meiotic recombination. Recombination occurs at the central regions of the SC via an association between the homologous chromosomal axis (maternal and paternal) and maturation/formation of the chromosome axis [2–4]. Meiotic recombination is initiated by programmed double-strand breaks (DSBs) generated by the type II topoisomerase-like transrease, Spo11 [5–7], which interacts with diverse accessory factors (Rec102, Rec104, Rec114, Mei4, Ski8, and Mer2) to regulate the cellular machinery for DSB formation [8]. Thereafter, DSBs are processed by the endonucleolytic protein complex Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2 [9, 10], and the 5’ ends of DSBs are further resected by Exo1, Dna2, and the Sgs1-Top3-Rmi1 complex to expose 3’ single-stranded DNA tails [9], which are coated by replication protein A (RPA) complex to prevent the formation of DNA secondary structures and form nucleofilaments with RecA homologs Dmc1 and Rad51 [11–13]. These nucleofilaments containing RecA homolog-ssDNA complexes invade templates, and are stabilized in single-end invasions (SEIs) and double Holliday junctions (dHJs) and are finally processed to form crossovers (COs) [2, 13, 14]. Other species are processed as interhomolog non-crossovers (NCOs) without the exchange of flanking genes [14].

Mec1 and Tel1 in budding yeast, also known as ATR and ATM, respectively, are involved in the meiotic checkpoint network, DSB formation, and recombination [15–17]. Tel1ATM and Mec1ATR are serine/threonine kinases that induce phosphorylation at Ser or Thr residues preceding Gln residues, which are called SQ/TQ motifs.
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Thus, many Mec1<sub>ATR</sub> and Tel1<sub>ATM</sub> targets include SQ/TQ cluster domains (SCDs) [18]. During meiosis, Mec1<sub>ATR</sub> and Tel1<sub>ATM</sub> phosphorylate Hop1-T318 in Hop1 SCD and affect the activity of Hop1, a regulator of meiotic interhomolog recombination [17, 19]. Moreover, in budding yeast, Mec1<sub>ATR</sub> localizes to replication protein A (RPA)-coated ssDNA sites via Ddc2 [20]. Tel1<sub>ATM</sub> is activated upon DSB formation and is recruited to unresected DSBs by the MRX complex [21–23]. Moreover, phosphorylation of adaptor-proteins by Tel1<sub>ATM</sub> and Mec1<sub>ATR</sub> activates downstream effector kinases during meiosis [24]. In this study, we analyzed the roles of Tel1<sub>ATM</sub> and Mec1<sub>ATR</sub> in meiotic recombination via DNA physical analysis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Yeast Strains**

Yeast strains used herein were derived from SK1. They were homozygous for MATa/MATα (Table S1). The HIS4LEU2 locus and restriction sites are shown in Fig. 1.

**Meiotic Time Course**

Diploid cells were plated on a YPG plate (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 3% glycerol, and 2% bacto-agar) and incubated at 30°C. Cells were streaked on a YPD plate (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose, and 2% bacto-agar) and incubated at 30°C. A single colony was inoculated in 2 ml YPD liquid medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.17% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 0.5% ammonium sulfate, and 0.05 M potassium biphthalate; pH 5.5) and cultured for 24 h at 30°C [25, 26]. To synchronize cells in the G1 stage, cultured cells were diluted 1:500 with SPS medium (1% potassium acetate, 1% peptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.17% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 0.5% ammonium sulfate, and 0.05 M potassium biphthalate; pH 5.5) and cultured for 18 h at 30°C in a shaking incubator. Synchronized cells were washed with SPM medium (1% potassium acetate, 0.02% raffinose, and 150 µl/l antifoam) at the same volume. To initiate meiosis, synchronized cells were resuspended in SPM medium and harvested at each time point and cross-linked with 0.1 mg/ml trioxalen via UV irradiation at 365 nm for 15 min.

**DNA Physical Analysis and Southern Blotting**

Genomic DNA was extracted and physical analysis of DNA was performed as reported previously [2, 12]. For one-
products at the molecular weight (Fig. 1C) [2, 13, 27]. CO and NCO were distinguished on the basis of their conformation and were detected using native-native 2D gel analysis and electrophoresis (Figs. 1A and 1B). Joint molecules (JMs) and 2D gel analysis, followed by Southern blot hybridization was extracted and digested with 60 units of XhoI enzyme. Psoralen and exposed to UV irradiation. Genomic DNA crosslink DNA inter-strands, cells were treated with in the G1 phase were harvested at indicated time points. To via 1D gel electrophoresis (Figs. 1A and 1B) [2, 14].

Assessment of Meiotic Division

Meiotic cells from SPM cultures were harvested and stored in 0.1 M sorbitol containing 40% ethanol at −20°C. To visualize and count cells in meiosis I and II, cells were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and visualized using an Olympus BX53 fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Japan).

Results and Discussion

DNA Physical Analysis for Meiotic Recombination

Meiotic recombination intermediates were analyzed using one-dimensional (1D) and two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis of the HIS4LEU2 locus, which is a well-characterized hot spot (Fig. 1) [2, 27–29]. Cells synchronized in the G1 phase were harvested at indicated time points. To crosslink DNA inter-strands, cells were treated with Psoralen and exposed to UV irradiation. Genomic DNA was extracted and digested with 60 units of Xhol enzyme. Digested genomic DNA fragments were separated via 1D and 2D gel analysis, followed by Southern blot hybridization (Fig. 1). DSBs were detected at ~3.0 and ~3.3 kb via 1D gel electrophoresis (Figs. 1A and 1B). Joint molecules (JMs) were detected using native-native 2D gel analysis and distinguished on the basis of their conformation and molecular weight (Fig. 1C) [2, 13, 27]. CO and NCO products at the HIS4LEU2 locus were digested by Xhol and NγoMIV. CO and NCO were detected at 4.6 kb and 4.3 kb via 1D gel electrophoresis (Figs. 1A and 1B) [2, 14].

Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM} Restricts DSB Levels

Meiotic DSBs are induced by Spo11 and its accessory factors, and repaired via recombination [5, 17]. Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM} suppresses clustered meiotic DSB formation and Spo11 via a negative feedback mechanism [30, 31]. Further, it has been reported that chromosome-based Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM} negatively regulates DSB formation by trans inhibition activity [30]. Thus, to investigate the roles of Mec1\textsuperscript{ATR} and Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM} in DSB formation, we assessed the recombination process via DNA physical analysis at the HIS4LEU2 hotspot on chromosome III in the absence of Mec1\textsuperscript{ATR} and Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM}. The absence of Mec1\textsuperscript{ATR} causes cell death via cell cycle arrest, suppression of DNA replication, and response to DNA damage [33, 34]. To overcome cell growth defects in the absence of Mec1\textsuperscript{ATR}, we introduced a deletion in SML1, which decreased the resistance to DNA-damaging agents and DNA replication defects by suppressing dNTP synthesis and inhibiting interactions with ribonucleotide reductase, Rnr1, to examine the function of Mec1\textsuperscript{ATR} [35]. In WT cells, DSBs were initiated at 2.5 h and peaked (~7.5%) at 3.5 h before gradually reducing in number. In sml1A and sml1A mec1Δ, DSBs were initiated at 2.5 h and peaked (~7%), being slightly reduced in comparison with that in WT cells; however, these mutants displayed similar DSB levels. In the absence of Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM}, DSBs appeared at 2.5 h, in a manner similar to that in WT cells, while the number of DSB at 4 h increased to 2-fold (~14%) in tel1Δ cells in comparison with that in WT cells (Figs. 2A and 2B). Through DNA physical analysis, we observed that levels of DSB at the HIS4LEU2 locus were markedly increased in the absence of Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM}. Spo11 and its accessory factors were regulated by Mec1\textsuperscript{ATR} and Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM}, which are required for the DNA damage response with the MRX complex [36], which in turn is involved in meiotic recombination and required for Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM} localization at the DSB sites [21, 37–39]. Thus, high DSB levels in tel1Δ cells may have resulted from unregulated Spo11 and MRX along with other accessory factors modulating the Spo11-oligonucleotide complex at early stages of meiotic recombination.

Absence of Mec1\textsuperscript{ATR} Reduces Levels of IH-JMs

Homologs rather than sister chromatids are preferred as templates at DSBs during meiotic recombination [2]. In contrast, the biological importance of mitotic DSB repair is inter-sister recombination. To assess the formation of JMs in the absence of Mec1\textsuperscript{ATR} and Tel1\textsuperscript{ATM} during meiotic recombination, we performed 2D gel analysis in each single and double mutant. In WT cells, SEIs reached 1.5%
and the IH:IS-dHJs ratio was 5:1. In tel1Δ cells, the levels of JMs including IH-dHJs and IS-dHJs were significantly increased in comparison with those in WT, and the ratio of IH:IS-dHJs was ~5:1 (Fig. 2). In the absence of Sml1, DSBs, SEIs, and dHJs exhibited a pattern similar to that observed in WT cells; however, in the sml1Δ mec1Δ strain, the level of IH-dHJs was reduced, while that of IS-dHJs was comparably increased. Moreover, the IH:IS-dHJ ratio was altered to ~2:1 in the absence of Sml1 and Mec1ATR (Figs. 2A and 2C). Thus, Mec1ATR promotes interhomolog interaction to form IH-dHJ, while Tel1ATM regulates DSB formation. During meiosis, the biological imperative is inter-homolog recombination, although sister chromatids are present. To promote this phenomenon, Mec1ATR and its interacting proteins potentially regulate the molecular system to govern IH recombination. Hop1, an axial element protein, is a downstream target of Mec1ATR. Phosphorylated Hop1 promotes chromosomal localization and activation of Mek1, which also promotes IH interaction in meiotic recombination. Therefore, Mec1-mediated Hop1 phosphorylation might promote IH formation by Rad51 activity in meiotic mode, which is regulated by Mek1 phosphorylation and recruitment.

**Mec1ATR Promotes CO-Designated Recombination**

The formation of COs requires SC formation, which stabilizes the progression of IH-SEIs to promote CO-designated DSB repair. Mec1ATR and Tel1ATM phosphorylate Hop1, thus stabilizing the SC via formation of the chromosome axis [17]. To investigate whether Mec1ATR and Tel1ATM are involved in both CO and NCO recombination, we examined physical analysis of recombination in mutant strains. In WT cells, the maximum levels of CO and NCO species were ~5% and ~3.5%, respectively. In the absence of Tel1ATM, CO and NCO levels increased to ~6.8% and ~5.5%, respectively in accordance with the increase in DSB levels in comparison with levels in WT cells (Fig. 3B). In sml1Δ mutants, the maximum levels of CO and NCO were
slightly reduced in comparison with those in WT cells; however, the CO:NCO ratio exhibited a similar pattern as shown in WT cells. In contrast, NCO levels were similar in mec1Δ sml1Δ mutant and WT cells; however, the occurrence of COs was ~33% lower than that in the sml1Δ mutant (Fig. 3). Thus, Mec1ATR is specifically required for CO formation, but not NCO formation (Fig. 3).

Mec1ATR and Tel1ATM Cooperatively Promote the DSB-to-SEI Transition

To assess the progress of meiotic recombination in the absence of both Mec1ATR and Tel1ATM, we constructed a homogeneous pCLB2-TEL1 strain. The Tel1ATM promoter was replaced by the CLB2 promoter, a mitosis-specific allele activated during the mitotic cell cycle but suppressed in the meiotic cell cycle [40, 41]. In pCLB2-TEL1 mec1Δ sml1Δ mutant cells, DSBs were formed at 2.5 h and their levels increased significantly in comparison with those in WT cells. Moreover, DSBs were not normally resected and were retained until 24 h (Fig. S2). Furthermore, SEIs and dHJs were not detectable upon 2D gel analysis in the pCLB2-TEL1 mec1Δ sml1Δ mutant (Fig. S2). These results suggest that Mec1ATR and Tel1ATM are both required for the DSB-to-SEI transition during meiotic recombination.

Mec1ATR Promotes Recombination Independently of Rec8

The meiosis-specific α-kleisin subunit, Rec8, is required for sister chromatid axis formation, and the formation of appropriate synaptonemal complexes (SCs) [2, 42, 43]. Moreover, Rec8 is required for maintenance of homolog bias [2, 42]. In the absence of Rec8, the ratio of IH:IS-dHJ was ~1:1. Similarly, in the mec1Δ mutant, the interhomolog dHJs were reduced; however, the intersister dHJs increased in the 2D gel (Fig. 2). Furthermore, we assessed the association between Rec8 and Mec1 in rec8Δ sml1Δ and rec8Δ sml1Δ mec1Δ mutants (Fig. 4). At the HIS4LEU2 hotspot, both rec8Δ sml1Δ and rec8Δ sml1Δ mec1Δ mutant displayed reduced DSB levels (Fig. 4B). Moreover, in the rec8Δ sml1Δ mec1Δ mutant, DSB turnover was delayed relative to that in the sml1Δ mec1Δ mutant (Fig. 4B). The IH:IS ratio in rec8Δ sml1Δ and rec8Δ sml1Δ mec1Δ mutants was 1:1 (IH:IS dHJs), consistent with the rec8Δ mutant phenotypes (Fig. 4B) [2]. Further, the rec8Δ sml1Δ mec1Δ mutant exhibited a reduction in the levels of CO and NCO (Figs. 4C and 4D). Thus, Mec1ATR contributes to post-DSB stages independent of Rec8 during meiotic recombination.

Mec1ATR and Tel1ATM function in the DNA damage response, cell cycle checkpoint, and meiotic recombination. The present results elucidate the roles of Tel1ATM in restriction of DSB formation and Mec1ATR in CO-specific recombination at post-DSB stages during meiotic prophase. However, further studies are required to define the meiosis-specific effects of Mec1ATR and Tel1ATM during recombination and chromosome dynamics.
Fig. 4. Cohesin-independent role of Mecl in meiotic recombination. (A) Representative images of 2D gel analysis for rec8Δ sml1Δ and rec8Δ sml1Δ mec1Δ. (B) Quantitative analysis of DSBs, SEIs, IH-dHJs, and IS-dHJs in rec8Δ sml1Δ and rec8Δ sml1Δ mec1Δ. (C) Representative images of 1D and CO/NCO gel analysis for rec8Δ sml1Δ and rec8Δ sml1Δ mec1Δ. (D) Quantitative analysis of CO and NCO.
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