Chitosan-Graphene Oxide 3D scaffolds as Promising Tools for Bone Regeneration in Critical-Size Mouse Calvarial Defects
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Limited self-regenerating capacity of human skeleton makes the reconstruction of critical size bone defect a significant challenge for clinical practice. Aimed for regenerating bone tissues, this study was designed to investigate osteogenic differentiation, along with bone repair capacity of 3D chitosan (CHT) scaffolds enriched with graphene oxide (GO) in critical-sized mouse calvarial defect. Histopathological/histomorphometry and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis of the implants revealed larger amount of new bone in the CHT/GO-filled defects compared with CHT alone (p < 0.001). When combined with GO, CHT scaffolds synergistically promoted the increase of alkaline phosphatase activity both in vitro and in vivo experiments. This enhanced osteogenesis was corroborated with increased expression of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) and Runx-2 up to week 4 post-implantation, which showed that GO facilitates the differentiation of osteoprogenitor cells. Meanwhile, osteogenesis was promoted by GO at the late stage as well, as indicated by the up-regulation of osteopontin and osteocalcin at week 8 and overexpressed at week 18, for both markers. Our data suggest that CHT/GO biomaterial could represent a promising tool for the reconstruction of large bone defects, without using exogenous living cells or growth factors.

The most common approach for bone tissue engineering has attempted to mimic the natural process of bone repair by delivering a source of progenitor cells capable for osteoblastic differentiation. These requirements can be provided by three dimensional biocompatible, bioresorbable and osteoconductive scaffolding matrices, which support in vivo cellular attachment, migration, and proliferation of osteogenic cells.

Three-dimensional porous scaffolds may enhance bone regeneration by representing an appropriate environment which facilitates invasion of cells from surrounding tissues, proliferation, differentiation, development of bone extracellular matrix (ECM) and vascular beds. Potentially porous suitable scaffolds for use in bone regeneration includes ceramics, such as hydroxyapatite, beta-tricalcium phosphate, titanium dioxide and bioactive glass; synthetic or bio-polymers, such as poly-lactic acid, poly-glycolic acid, polycaprolactone, chitosan or collagen, and composites of the upper mentioned materials i.e. ceramic/polymer (hydroxyapatite/chitosan). Ceramics were extensively used as bone tissue engineering substrates, owing to their high strength, tissue compatibility and good osteoconductivity, while biodegradation, difficulty of shaping and fragile mechanical properties are important limits to be considered ideal material for clinical applications. Contrariwise, polymeric-based biomaterials were noticed for their biodegradation properties combined with excellent tissue-compatibility, however poor mechanical features or irregular release of osteoinductive factors for many polymer materials were noticed.
One of the most promising polymeric materials seems to be chitosan (CHT), a biopolymer, obtained by deacetylation of chitin. It has been reported to be safe, hemostatic, biocompatible, osteoconductive, promoter of mineralized bone matrix, and provider of a minimum inflammatory response after implantation.

In the last years, graphene oxide (GO) has attracted much interest for uses in bone tissue engineering, because of its unique physico-chemical and mechanical properties, as well as good biocompatibility. The interactions with proteins through hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions could potentially enhance the osteogenic differentiation of progenitor cells and promote bone formation. A distinct feature of GO is that it can form a uniform and stable suspension in water in contrast with graphene, which tends to form aggregates. Afterwards, aqueous GO suspension could infiltrate into the inner parts of a porous scaffold and modify the surfaces of the pore walls, which can be a really advantage for scaffold candidates of bone tissue engineering.

In our previous works, and one of the first studies worldwide, we demonstrated the importance of GO in either bidimensional (2D) or tridimensional (3D) biomaterial composition for cell viability and proliferation. Thus, chitosan/GO composite films with 0.5, 1, 2.5, and 6.0 wt.% GO proved to be biocompatible for MC3T3-E1 murine preosteoblasts, cells adapted faster and proliferated much more in contact with the composite with a higher content of GO. Similar results were obtained for other composite materials based on GO but with different polymer matrix such as chitosan–polyvinyl alcohol films (CS-PVA/GO) or films based on polysulfone (PS) and GO nanosheets. Furthermore, the addition of GO to the tridimensional composite scaffold of the CHT/GO increased proliferation profile of MC-2T3 murine preosteoblasts after 7 days of direct contact with materials and the extracts released by these composites in the surrounding environment exhibit no significant cytotoxic effects after 24 h of seeds. In other study, gelatin-poly(vinyl alcohol) biocomposites reinforced with GO provided equilibrated physico-chemical properties and low cytotoxic profile, that allowed murine preosteoblasts viability.

The potential advantages of using GO-based CHT scaffolds directly as factors inducing cellular differentiation, as well as bone tissue regeneration, are not been explored.

The objectives of the present study were to create highly porous three-dimensional chitosan/graphene oxide (CHT/GO) composite scaffolds and to evaluate the in vitro and in vivo osteogenic effects with GO addition to biomaterial composition. Bone formation, bone maturation, bone distribution, and mineralization were studied by histology, histomorphometry, and scanning electron microscopy. The osteogenic differentiation of progenitor cells, osteoblast differentiation, and proliferation were analyzed quantifying specific protein expressions and osteogenic gene by using immunofluorescence and RT-PCR assays.

**Results**

**In vitro results.** Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity during in vitro differentiation studies. In vitro osteogenic differentiation studies were performed in order to determine CHT/GO biomaterials potential to support cell differentiation processes on short term, in the view of possible implantation for bone tissue engineering purposes.

ALP is a common molecular marker for osteogenic differentiation, thus its activity was relevant to assess the evolution of the induced osteogenic process in our experimental conditions. After 7 days of differentiation, ALP levels clearly proved the activation of osteogenesis in all samples (Fig. 1). In particular, ALP activity was significantly increased in cells cultured in CHT/GO 3 wt.% (p < 0.05), as compared to the control CHT. This significant increase in ALP was also found after 14 (p < 0.01) and 28 days (p < 0.001) of differentiation, suggesting a positive contribution of 3 wt.% GO to the efficiency of osteogenic differentiation process. Interestingly, the effect of CHT/GO 0.5 wt.% material on cell osteogenic differentiation was not as constant and remarkable as of CHT/GO 3 wt.% after 14 days. ALP activity registered an important increase (p < 0.01) as compared to the control (CHT), whereas after 28 days of differentiation this difference in ALP activity related to the control was not statistically visible anymore, thus suggesting that the addition of a low percentage of GO to material composition could have an impact on cell osteogenic differentiation, but unstable on a long term.

**In vivo results.** The osteogenic potential of CHT-GO scaffolds were tested in vivo using a critical bone defect model in the mouse calvaria. The follow-up was performed 72 hours, 4, 8 and 18 weeks post-implantation.
ALP activity. As shown in Fig. 2, the level of ALP has increased after 3 days post-implantation. ALP activity analysis revealed higher level of ALP on CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% than on CHT (p < 0.001) and control (p < 0.01) at 72 hours post-implantation. Even at 18 weeks, the level of ALP on CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% is significant higher than in CHT and control (p < 0.001).

Histological findings. Qualitative histological observation of thin sections of the calvarial defects at 18 weeks post surgery showed that the bone defects filled with CHT-GO scaffolds, had superior healing compared to both untreated and chitosan scaffold-treated defects. New bone formation in the CHT scaffolds containing GO 3.0 wt.% occurred into the periphery and along the center of the implants. The quantity of newly formed bone in the CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% grafts was substantially higher at 18 weeks than that in the CHT grafts, showing more advanced stages of remodeling and consolidation (Fig. 3).

Higher-magnification images of the Masson Goldner trichrome-stained sections of the defects implanted with CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% are shown in Fig. 4A. At 72 hours post-surgery CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% led to an increased number of cells related with granulation tissue (GT) infiltration from the periphery to the defect center (Fig. 4A). Fibrovascular tissues and biomaterial resorption were increased compared with other two scaffolds, indicating that the CHT/GO 3.0 wt.%, after it had been implanted for 4 weeks, accelerated the restoration of defective bone. Eight week after surgery, histological evaluation indicated progressive growth of more newly formed bone from the calvaria margins toward the center of the bone defect. Furthermore, CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% appear well-preserved and in intimate contact with surrounding bone, without visible fibrous capsule. At week 18, new bone island were observed near the surgical bone margins, around and within graft material. The newly formed bone was mostly woven bone, enriched in collagen (green stained fibers). In other areas, we found normal architecture for new bone (Fig. 4A).

Histomorphometry revealed a significantly higher percentage of new bone area after 18 weeks in the experimental groups than in the empty defect group (Fig. 4B). However, there was significant difference between CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% group and empty defect, or control CHT group (p < 0.001).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analysis of in vivo samples. Figure 5 reproduces SEM micrographs taken from the implant area. At 72 hours after implantation, scaffolds begin to populate with cells, which is evident after 4 weeks when osteogenic cells were found on the surface and within the bulk of the scaffolds, especially for GO 3.0 wt%. Furthermore deposits of calcium phosphate crystals were observed indicating that cells start to produce ECM after 4 weeks from implantation. The micrograph confirm mineralization of CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% implant over week 8 and at week 18 there is no difference between new bone and host bone.

In vivo osteogenic differentiation. In order to examine the osteogenic differentiation of bone cells on CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% scaffold, we analyzed the expressions of early and late osteogenic markers by RT-PCR and immunofluorescence, after 72 hours, 4, 8 and 18 weeks post-implantation.

Runx-2 is an early osteogenic marker. Gene expression studies performed in mice revealed Runx-2 activation (Fig. 6A) and a maximum of expression at 4 weeks post-implantation (p < 0.001). Once the process was induced in the tissue surrounding CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% implant, Runx-2 levels significantly decreased up to 8 weeks of study (p < 0.001) and reached the basal incipient level by the end of experiment (18 weeks). This profile is typical for a gene with early activation in bone differentiation process and confirms the ability of CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% material to support and efficiently maintain bone regeneration at the implantation site.

Furthermore, late osteogenic markers typical for bone matrix OPN and OCN registered an increasing expression profile during 18 weeks of in vivo bone regeneration using CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% (Fig. 6B,C). Both OPN and OCN registered statistically significant increase in gene expression 8 weeks post-implantation (p < 0.001 and p < 0.01, respectively). Conversely, 18 weeks post-material implantation, OPN revealed a 7.5-fold difference in gene expression related to the moment of implantation, whereas OCN registered a 5-fold difference, both levels of expression being statistically significant increased (p < 0.001) as compared to 8 week levels.

Immunofluorescence targeting BMP, Runx-2, OCN and OPN was performed. BMP and Runx-2 resulted in a strong staining in all tissues (bone and connective tissue that had infiltrated the scaffolds), however a more
intense staining was found at the location of bone formation in week 4 (Fig. 6D). OCN was expressed in the bone matrix and was more intense 8 weeks post-implantation (Fig. 6E). Osteopontin was mainly expressed in the osteoblast lacunae and at the ossification line as well and has been rising gradually to 18 weeks (Fig. 6E).

Discussion
The keypoint to successful bone generation is to provide the repair site with sufficient osteoprogenitor cells in a suitable delivery biomaterial, in order to insure osteoblastic differentiation and optimal secretory activity. Porous biomimetic materials may provide a suitable microenvironment that promotes osteoblast proliferation and osteogenesis.

In order to address this issue, we aimed to determine in vitro and in vivo osteogenic differentiation and bone regeneration capacities of highly porous three dimensional chitosan composite scaffolds enriched with different concentration of graphene oxide in critical-sized mouse calvarial defect.

There is an open question about the size of bone defects in rodent calvarial bone. It is well known that for regeneration of large bone defects, is important to develop bioactive scaffolds, with distinct properties of promoting osteogenesis and inducing the formation of new bone in vivo. It is important to ensure that bone defect in the empty defect group does not heal spontaneously during the study, so as in our empty defect group, the defect was not healed by the end of the eighteen weeks. Our choice was based on the previous knowledge that 4–5 mm size of the defect in rodent calvaria is sufficient for evaluating new bone formation within timeframe between 4 and 24 weeks.

Based on a biomimetic approach, we have specifically designed a porous three dimensional chitosan-graphene oxide composite scaffold, which combines biocompatibility provided by chitosan with exceptional physical properties of graphene oxide and exhibits improved mechanical properties, appropriate pores architectures, structural features, cell proliferation and viability to support new bone formation.

The positive effects of chitosan on bone healing have been demonstrated in several in vitro and in vivo studies, while graphene oxide has been used to enhance bone healing and to accelerate the regeneration and osteointegration of biomaterials, such as hydroxyapatite, ß-tricalcium phosphate, titanium implants. However, to the best of our knowledge, the combined effect of chitosan and graphene oxide on bone defects has not been explored in vivo before.
Figure 4. (A) Histology detail of the repaired calvaria after 3 days, 4, 8 and 18 weeks of CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% implantation (a) and (b) 3 days post-implantation; (c) and (d) 4 weeks post-implantation; (e) and (f) 8 weeks post-implantation; (g) and (h) 18 weeks post-implantation; symbols: scaffold (Sc); granulation tissue (GT); fibroconnective tissue (Fc); capillary (red arrow); new bone island (Nb); osteoprogenitor cells infiltration (black arrow); Masson Goldner trichrome stain. Scale 50 µm. (B) Histomorphometric analysis of Masson Goldner trichrome-stained sections showing total bone regeneration in mice calvarial defects implanted with CHT/GO after 18 weeks post-implantation.
In our study, to evaluate bone formation, the 5 mm mouse critical-size defect was used. The results of the present study showed that the incorporation of 3.0 wt.% GO in the chitosan scaffolds significantly enhanced bone regeneration in mice calvarial defects at 18 weeks when compared to the CHT scaffolds (p < 0.001) (Fig. 4), even in the absence of exogeneous differentiating agents.

Histological observations at 18 week post-implantation in the empty defect group showed limited bone healing close to bone defect border, with a thin connective tissue bridging. This verified the incapacity of the endogenous mechanisms to fully regenerate defects of this size, without exogenous interference. In chitosan-treated defects, bone healing was only slightly higher to that of the control group, confirming the restricted osteogenic properties of the chitosan biomaterial alone. Moreover, as indicated by the histology and SEM results, chitosan scaffold showed poor osteoblast proliferation, which is in agreement with previous study. Possible reasons for this lower healing percentage (13.00 ± 4.18%) of chitosan in our study is that the scaffold was not degraded properly, biodegradation depending on different factors, e.g., degree of crystallinity, water content, the shape/condition of the surface on the material and/or intrinsic hydrolases mechanisms. In our previous studies we have demonstrated that addition of GO within CHT matrix produces changes in both structure and morphology (pores architectures). Regarding the morphology, we found that the addition of GO to CHT promotes the

Figure 5. SEM micrographs of the in vivo bone samples taken after 72 hours, 4, 8 and 18 weeks after CHT, CHT/GO 0.5 wt.% and CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% scaffold implantation.
formation of scaffolds with highly interconnected porosity and well defined pores, while the pure CHT displays a rough morphology with undefined pores. It is the authors opinion that the rough morphology with undefined pores of pure CHT scaffold is responsible on the one hand for lower migration of the cells within the scaffold and on the other hand for lower penetration of body fluids and low rate of material degradation. Histological

Figure 6. CHT/GO 3.0 wt.% implantation stimulates early and late osteogenesis markers. (A) mRNA expression of Runx-2 at 72 h, 4 weeks, 8 weeks and 18 weeks post-implantation; (B) mRNA expression of OPN at 72 h, 4 weeks, 8 weeks and 18 weeks post-implantation; (C) mRNA expression of OCN at 72 h, 4 weeks, 8 weeks and 18 weeks post-implantation; (D) Immunohistochemical expression of BMP-2 and Runx-2 at 72 h and 4 weeks post-implantation; (E) Immunohistochemical expression of OPN and OCN at 8 and 18 weeks post-implantation.
analysis of the chitosan improved with graphene oxide groups revealed that newly-formed bone was increased from the periphery to the center of the bone defect with graphene oxide concentration, rather than only at the border, as was observed in chitosan group. The difference in bone infiltration in the two types of scaffolds (CHT and CHT/GO) may result from differences in the scaffold architecture. Biomaterial porosity, pore size and pore interconnectivity, is well known to play a key effect on bone infiltration⁴⁶. Our previous study, revealed that the addition of graphene oxide into the polymer matrix led to a slight decrease of interconnected pores size. On the other hand GO - free scaffold reveals undefined pore shapes, with smooth and thick pore walls, while a more homogenous architecture is obtained with the incorporation of GO within CHT matrix and is leading to thinner yet more crumpled pore walls at the same time which allow easier cell infiltration, attachment, proliferation, differentiation, ECM development and integration with host bone⁴⁴.

In the same time, biodegradability noticed by histological observation and in vivo lower inflammatory response of CHT/GO 3.0 wt.%⁴⁰ contributes to increased bone healing abilities, comparing with CHT/GO 0.5 wt.% or CHT, and makes it one of the promising scaffolds for osteogenic applications.

A key component of this study was to evaluate the in vivo osteogenic capacity of CHT/GO scaffolds, compared with CHT alone and control one. It was also observed that the cell infiltration and vascular invasion into scaffolds is controlled by two keys factors: time frame and GO presence, i.e. the infiltration increasing over the duration of the experiment and with GO concentration. These findings indicate that cell migration into CHT/GO scaffolds and overall cell distribution can be enhanced by particular porosity, pore-size and physico-chemical properties provided by graphene oxide addition, which can influence cell attachment and infiltration, support cell proliferation and direct osteoblastic differentiation. Besides, we have shown in vitro biocompatibility of CHT/GO 0.5 wt.% and CHT/GO 3 wt.%, in terms of cell metabolic activity and proliferation, than CHT control, but with different rates p < 0.05 and p < 0.001, respectively⁴¹.

The advantageous porosity and interconnectivity of our scaffold⁴⁴ are supported by the findings in that it permitted excellent vascularization in all biomaterial area. This is of significance as bone formation is closely connected to the level of vascular network⁴¹ and is a necessary phase for bone in growth process. In this study we observed the formation of microvessels initially at the periphery of the CHT/GO scaffolds, where the bone was formed first, indicating that the newly formed bone was not deprived of oxygen and nutrients⁴⁷. The microvascularisation in the reparative area provided pluripotent perivascular cells that were capable of differentiating into osteoblasts.

Osteogenesis is a complex process with a number of factors, which are involved in the stimulation of osteoblastic differentiation. In the regulation of osteogenesis, many signaling pathways are involved in, such as the MAPK, BMP, Wnt, and NF-kB signaling⁴². Although much is known about how early and late osteogenesis markers interfaces with the regulatory signaling pathway in vitro, less is known about how they interact during bone development and repair in vivo, while none results were previous reported for CHT/GO scaffolds as osteogenic promoters in living organisms.

In the present study, we observed several GO-specific effects on osteogenesis and bone repair process. During the early proliferation period (3 days), BMP positive staining was observed directly within the scaffolds enriched with graphene oxide, with a maximum peak at week 4. This is of importance, because BMP-2 markedly up-regulates the expression of Runx-2 transcriptional factor through the activation of Smad signaling, thereby stimulating osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells⁴³.⁴⁴. Furthermore, Smad1 and Smad5 physically interact with Runx-2 and enhance its transcriptional and osteoblastogenic activity⁴⁵, which is consistent with our findings. The maximum up-regulation of Runx-2 activity obtained at week 4 with the presence of GO (Fig. 6), suggested that osteogenesis of chitosan scaffolds was improved by GO at the early stage.

At the same time, the ALP activity, marker of early osteogenic differentiation, was also enhanced by GO, both in vitro and in vivo experiments (Figs 1 and 2). These data suggest that GO facilitates the differentiation of osteoprogenitor cells to an osteogenic lineage.

Meanwhile, osteogenesis was promoted by graphene oxide at the late stage as well, as indicated by the upregulation of OPN and OCN at week 8 and overexpressed at week 18, for both markers. CHT/GO scaffolds showed a very significantly higher transcript level compared to CHT alone or even to the normal osteogenic control. The up-regulation of osteoblast-specific OPN and OCN gene expression is consistent with the change in Runx-2 mRNA and nuclear protein expression levels in response to GO addition, which implies that GO modification of osteoblast gene expression is a reflection of Runx-2 expression modulation, since OPN and OCN are regulated by Runx-2 (Fig. 6).

In the present study, group CHT/GO 3 wt.% presented a significant increase in the number of OPN and OCN-positive cells when compared to CHT/GO 0.5 wt.% or CHT alone. It reveals that the increase in graphene content enhance the induction of OCN and achieves higher surface area to promote the cell attachment, proliferation, maturation, and finally matrix mineralization⁴⁸. The new bone synthesis is directly dependent on the secretion of osteocalcin in the cells, while mineralized extracellular matrix contains smaller but significant amounts of osteocalcin⁴⁹.

Conclusions
The pattern of early and late osteogenesis markers expression and the values of related gene expression observed in mouse model group CHT/GO 3 wt.%, as well as histopathological and SEM observations, suggests that this group had the most developed healing process, when compared to CHT/GO 0.5 wt.%, or CHT alone.

Our data suggest that CHT/GO biomaterial could represent a promising tool for the reconstruction of large bone defects, without using exogenous living cells or growth factors.
Materials and Methods

**Materials.** Graphene oxide used for scaffolds fabrication was obtained according to Hummers procedure and supplied by National Institute for Research and Development in Microtechnologies (Romania) (William, Hummers, & Richard, 1958). Medium molecular weight Chitosan from crab shells and Acetic acid (≥99.7%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All materials were used without further purification and the water used in this work was double distilled water.

**For in vitro study.** Osteogenic differentiation kit was provided by Thermo (StemProOsteogenic Differentiation kit, Gibco, Thermo Fisher, Foster City, CA), while for ALP assay was used colorimetric Alkaline Phosphatase Assay Kit (Abcam).

**For in vivo study.** ALP assay kit was obtained from ChenaDiagnostica, Monsano, Italy. Histopathological reagents and staining kits were purchased from BioOptica (Italy) and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), Runx-2, Osteocalcin (OCN), Osteopontin (OPN), all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (USA). RNA isolation kit (InnuPrep RNA Mini Kit) was obtained from Analytikjena, (Berlin, Germany), Trizol from Life technologies, Thermo Fisher (Foster City, CA) and iScriptcDNA Synthesis kit from BioRad, (Hercules, CA, USA).

**Fabrication of CHT/GO 3D porous scaffolds.** CHT/GO scaffold with 0, 0.5, and 3 wt.% GO were prepared by a simple protocol followed by freeze-drying method24. Initially the chitosan was mixed in portions under constant stirring at ~50 °C with appropriate volume of acetic acid solution (10% by weight in water) in order to form homogenous viscous solution of 1wt.% concentration. Thereafter, different contents of graphene oxide flakes (0.5 and 3 wt.%) were added into Chitosan solution and dispersed through ultrasound treatment for 1 h in an ice bath. The well homogenized CHT/GO solutions were casted onto transparent glass Petri dish and frozen over the night at ~70 °C. Scaffolds in their final states were obtained by freeze-drying for 2 days at ~50 °C (0.040 mbar). The 3D dried scaffolds were thermal treated in vacuum according to the following protocol: 30 min 50 °C, 30 min 70 °C and overnight at 90 °C.

Ultrasound treatment was performed using a VCX750 ultrasonic processor from Sonics & Materials, Inc. (53 Church Hill Road, Newton, CT 06470-1614 USA) equipped with a titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) probe tip and a 750 W source operating at a frequency of 20 kHz. During the ultrasound treatment the probe tip vibrations were set to 80% amplitude and sonication time was chosen to be 1 h for GO dispersion in CHI 1 wt.% solution.

**ALP activation during in vitro studies on CHT/GO scaffolds.** The novel CHT/GO scaffolds have been tested for their ability to support osteogenic cell differentiation during in vitro studies. Briefly, murine preosteoblasts belonging to 3T3-E1 cell line have been seeded in CHT/GO 0.5–3 wt.%, as well as in the reference scaffold CHT. The 3D cell-scaffold systems were exposed to osteogenic conditions (StemProOsteogenic Differentiation kit) for up to 28 days and the evolution of the differentiation process was monitored via alkaline phosphatase (ALP) levels after 7, 14 and 28 days.

**Mouse calvarial defect model for evaluating bone regeneration.** CD1 mice (4 weeks old) were used for the experiments. Animal care was in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals at Vasile Goldis Western University of Arad. All procedures were performed under the supervision and approval of the Ethics Committee for Research of the Vasile Goldis Western University of Arad. One hundred and sixty male mice were enrolled in the calvarial bone defect experiment. The animals were placed under anesthesia during the critical bone defect surgery by intraperitoneal (I.P.) administration of 100 mg/kg b.w. ketamine hydrochloride and 10 mg/kg b.w. xylazine hydrochloride. After anesthesia, calvaria’s were exposed by linear incision in the skin, the periosteum was detached from the bone of the cranium by scraping (Fig. 7). 5-mm full-thickness bone and soft tissue were washed with PBS and fixed in 10% formalin solution for 3 days, washed with water, and then processed for histology. Blood samples were harvested by cardiac puncture and collected into sterile containers without anticoagulant. Biochemical analysis were carried out to determine the serum concentrations of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) using a Mindray BS-120 Chemistry Analyzer (ShenzenMindray Bio-Medical Electronics Co., Ltd., Nanshan, Shenzhen, China).

**Histology and histomorphometry.** The calvarial samples consisting of the defect sites with surrounding bone and soft tissue were washed with PBS and fixed in 10% formalin solution for 3 days, washed with water, and...
sunken in Biodec R (Bio-Optica) for 5 days at room temperature. After decalcification, the samples were dehydrated in ascending concentrations of alcohols, cleared, and embedded in paraffin blocks. Histological sections (5 µm) were prepared using a microtome and subsequently stained with Goldner's Masson Trichrome for observation of the new bone formation. The stained sections were examined under light microscopy using an Olympus BX43 microscope and photographed using a digital camera (Olympus XC30).

The area of newly formed bone was measured as a proportion of the total defect area and calculated as a percentage.

Immunofluorescence. The paraffin embedded calvarial sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated in alcohol gradient (100%, 96% and 70% volume). Sections were washed and antigen unmasking was performed with sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0). Slides were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 5% normal goat serum in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution and incubated for 1 h at room temperature, followed by three washes with PBS. Primary antibodies BMP, Runx-2, OPN and OCN were added in 1% BSA solution and incubated overnight at 4 °C at 1:100 dilution. The slides were washed and then incubated with the corresponding secondary antibodies diluted 1:500 (Alexa Fluor dye conjugated) in the appropriate blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature in dark. Counterstaining of nuclei was performed with DAPI. Stained slides were mounted in fluorescence mounting medium (Sigma Chemical) and analyze under a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope.

Sample preparation for scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The samples were mounted on conductive aluminum pin stub specimen using adhesive carbon discs on both sides. The samples were metallized with gold using a sputter coater Agar with a layer of 3 nm thickness/deposition for 3 times\( ^{29} \). SEM analysis parameters were HV mode, 5–20 kV, ETD, 2 magnification order 100–300x for a general overview image and higher for surface and fracture morphology side of bones. Examination and image analysis were conducted on a FEI Quanta 250 microscope.

Assessment of in vivo osteogenic markers gene expression. Bone fragments of ~0.25 cm\(^2\) from mouse calvaria including bone defect and substitution with CHT/GO biomaterials were collected after 72 h, 7 days, 4, 8 and 18 weeks of experiment from at least 3 individuals. Samples were collected and stored in RNA later and frozen at \(-80^\circ\)C until processing.
RNA was isolated from these samples using a combination of Trizol and column-based methods, due to the very low amount of material available. Briefly, bone fragments were frozen in liquid nitrogen and crushed in mortar and pestle, in 1 ml of Trizol (Life technologies, Thermo Fisher, Foster City, CA). The lysate was collected on a column available in the RNA isolation kit (InnuPrep RNA Kit, AnalytikJena, Berlin, Germany). Once the RNA was purified, it was tested for integrity (BioAnalyzer 2100, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) and then reverse transcribed to cDNA using iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). qPCR was employed to assess the expression of specific osteogenic markers- Runx-2, OPN, OCN (LightCycler Fast Start SYBR Green, Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The osteogenic marker expression was normalized against a set of two reference genes (TATAA Binding Protein (TBP) and Glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)) and qPCR data were analyzed using ∆∆CT method, in accordance with MIQE guidelines.

**Statistical Analysis.** Data was statistically processed using GraphPad Prism 3.03 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA), and one-way analysis of variance, followed by a Bonferroni test. P < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.
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