A Randomized Study Assessing the Effects of Pretreatment with Cilostazol on Periprocedural Myonecrosis after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention
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Purpose: It is unknown whether cilostazol pretreatment reduces postprocedural myonecrosis (PPMN). Cilostazol pretreatment reduces PPMN after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Materials and Methods: A total of 120 patients with stable angina scheduled for elective PCI were randomly assigned to a 7-day pretreatment with Cilostazol (200 mg/day) or to a control group. Creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB) and cardiac troponin I (cTnI) levels were measured at baseline and at 6 and 24 hours after PCI. The primary end-point was the occurrence of PPMN, defined as any CK-MB elevation above the upper normal limit (UNL). Aspirin and clopidogrel were co-administered for 7 days before PCI, and resistance to these agents was then assayed using the VerifyNow System. Results: There was no difference in baseline characteristics between the final analyzable cilostazol (n=54) and the control group (n=56). Despite a significantly greater % inhibition of clopidogrel in the cilostazol group (39±23% versus 25±22%, p=0.003), the incidence of PPMN was similar between the cilostazol group (24%) and the control group (25%, p=1.000). The rate of CK-MB elevation at ≥3 times UNL was also similar between the two groups (6% versus 5%, p=0.583). The incidence of cTnI increase over the UNL or to 3 times the UNL was not different between the two groups. There was no significant difference in terms of the rate of adverse events during follow-up, although the cilostazol group showed a tendency to have a slightly higher incidence of entry site hematoma. Conclusion: This trial demonstrated that adjunctive cilostazol pretreatment might not significantly reduce PPMN after elective PCI in patients with stable angina.
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INTRODUCTION

Periprocedural myocardial injury, as assessed by creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB) elevation, is a frequent complication of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), occurring in up to 40-45% of cases.1-3 An increase in CK-MB after PCI is associat-
ed with higher mortality during follow-up. Moreover, it has been reported that even a mild postprocedural elevation of CK-MB can influence the mortality rate. Although many strategies have been proposed for reducing periprocedural myocardial injury after PCI to date, there have been no studies evaluating the effectiveness of pre-treatment with cilostazol, a potent type III phosphodiesterase inhibitor, which is already well-known for its various benefits, such as antiplatelet and antithrombotic effects, vasodilating properties, reductions in clopidogrel resistance, and other pleiotropic actions.

Therefore, we designed a prospective randomized study to assess whether pretreatment with cilostazol before PCI in patients with stable angina could reduce periprocedural myonecrosis, which was defined as any CK-MB elevation above the upper normal limit (UNL) after PCI.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patients and study design

The study design is shown in Fig. 1. The CiLostazol administration before pErcutaneous coronary interventional for Reduction of periprocedural myocardial injury trial (CLEAR trial) was a single center, randomized, prospective trial. Between June 2007 and May 2009, patients with typical angina, not taking statins and without elevated levels of cardiac enzymes, who were scheduled for drug-eluting stent (DES) implantation in de novo coronary artery lesions were randomly assigned 1:1 to pretreatment with cilostazol 200 mg/day for 7 days (Cilostazol group) or to no pretreatment (control). Stratified randomization was performed according to age (≥65 or <65 years), presence of bifurcation, and lesion length (≥28 or <28 mm). Inclusion criteria were stable angina with the presence of typical angina or positive stress test (electrocardiogram, treadmill test, or nuclear scan) and indications for stent implantation. Exclusion criteria were: any increase in CK-MB or cardiac troponin I (cTnI); acute myocardial infarction (MI) (<3 months); any increase in liver enzyme [aspartate aminotransferase (AST)/alanine aminotransferase (ALT)]; left ventricle ejection fraction <30%; renal failure with creatinine >3 mg/dL; history of liver or muscle disease; coronary lesions with left main disease, chronic total occlusion, in-stent restenosis, or bare-metal stent (BMS) implantation; current therapy with statins (for the exclusion of the effects of pretreatment with various statins); or needing PCI within 7 days of diagnostic coronary angiography. After undergoing diagnostic coronary angiography using radial artery access at an outpatient clinic, patients fulfilling the criteria were randomly assigned to each group. Randomization was stratified according to age (<65 or ≥65 years), presence of bifurcation, and lesion length (<28 or ≥28 mm), which were regarded as the important predictors for periprocedural myonecrosis. Clinical follow-up of enrolled patients was performed for 6 months. The local ethics committee approved the study, and all patients gave written informed consent.

#### Percutaneous coronary intervention procedure

Stents were implanted according to current clinical practice guidelines. Angiographic success was defined as final angiographic residual stenosis of <20% by quantitative coronary angiographic analysis. All patients received daily aspirin 100-200 mg and clopidogrel 75 mg starting from 7 days before the elective PCI. Before PCI, all patients received a 60 IU/kg intravenous bolus of unfractionated heparin. Gly-
coprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors were administered according to the operator’s discretion. Angiographic analysis was performed with a computer-assisted automated edge-detection algorithm (Phillip INTEGRIS BH 5,000, Phillips Medical Systems, the Netherlands). Angiographic complications included: side branch occlusions (transient or permanent); abrupt intra-procedural vessel closure; major or minor dissection; thrombus formation; transient and/or prolonged slow-no reflow; and distal embolization. All patients received clopidogrel 75 mg/day for at least 6 months in addition to continued aspirin (100 mg/day).

**Laboratory measurements**

Venous blood samples were drawn immediately after randomization (baseline), before (7 days later after pre-treatment), and 6 and 24 hours after PCI. CK-MB and cTnI levels were determined using a radioimmunoassay analyzer (Dimension RxL Analyzer, Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany). The UNL, which represents the 99th percentile of the distribution of a reference control group with an analytical imprecision of more than 10%, was 4 ng/mL for CK-MB and 0.2 ng/mL for cTnI. The peak enzyme ratio was calculated as the level of peak cardiac enzyme divided by the value of the upper normal limit. Before discharging the patients, we performed aspirin and clopidogrel resistance testing using the VerifyNow-ASA and -P2Y12 assays (Accumetrics Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Samples were obtained by antecubital venipuncture using a 23-gauge syringe, and the initial 3 to 4 mm of blood was discarded. The second samples were collected in 4.5-mL plastic tubes containing 3.2% citrate for rapid platelet-function assay.

**Statistical analysis**

According to recent studies, loading of clopidogrel before PCI reduced the occurrence of postprocedural ischemic complications by 45%.

The percentage inhibition of clopidogrel was calculated as (1- P2Y12 reaction unit/ estimated baseline) ×100. Aspirin resistance was defined as an ARU of ≥550, and clopidogrel resistance as percentage inhibition of clopidogrel <20%.

**Study end points**

The primary end point was the occurrence of periprocedural myonecrosis, defined as any CK-MB elevation above the UNL. Secondary end points included: 1) the occurrence of periprocedural MI, defined as a postprocedural increase in CK-MB level ≥3 times above the UNL; 2) the occurrence of a large periprocedural MI, defined as a postprocedural increase of CK-MB ≥10 times above the UNL; 3) cTnI elevation above the UNL, 3 times, or 10 times above the UNL after PCI; 4) comparison of the rate of periprocedural myonecrosis according to the presence of clopidogrel resistance; and 5) rate of in-hospital and 6-month adverse events such as major adverse cardiac events (MACE; death, acute MI, need for bypass surgery or repeat PCI), stent thrombosis, cerebrovascular accidents (CVA), bleeding, or other serious side effects.

Bleeding was defined based on the Global Utilization of Streptokinase and Tissue Plasminogen Activator for Occluded Coronary Arteries bleeding classification: severe or life-threatening bleeding was defined as intracerebral bleeding or bleeding with substantial hemodynamic compromise requiring treatment. Moderate bleeding was defined by the need for transfusion. Minor bleeding referred to other bleeding, not requiring transfusion or causing hemodynamic compromise. Bleeding at the percutaneous entry site was defined by the size of external hematoma (>10 cm for femoral and >2 cm for radial access) during or after the catheterization laboratory visit until discharge.

Statistical analysis was performed with Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical data, presented as numbers with frequencies, were compared with chi-square statistics or Fisher’s exact test, while continuous data, presented as mean±SD, were compared with Student’s t-test for normally distributed values; otherwise, the Mann Whitney U test was applied. To determine the independent predictors for periprocedural myonecrosis, multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed, and variables that were predictive at the 0.1 level by univariate analysis were entered into final multivariate analysis. A value of p<0.05 denoted significance.
RESULTS

Baseline clinical and angiographic data
Among all the enrolled patients, 10 were excluded, and the remaining 110 were ultimately eligible for our study; [cilostazol group (n=54)] versus [control group (n=56)] (Fig. 1). There were no significant differences in baseline clinical and biochemical variables between the two groups (Table 1). The angiographic and procedural characteristics were similar between the two groups (Table 2 and 3). The occurrence of angiographic complications during the procedure

| Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of the Patients Enrolled in the Two Groups |
|---|---|---|
| Variable | Cilostazol (n=54) | Control (n=56) | p value |
| Age (yrs) | 64±9 | 67±9 | 0.131 |
| Men, n (%) | 28 (52) | 25 (45) | 0.449 |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 37 (69) | 38 (68) | 0.941 |
| Active smokers, n (%) | 8 (15) | 7 (13) | 0.752 |
| Diabetes, n (%) | 21 (39) | 25 (45) | 0.541 |
| Hyperlipidemia, n (%) | 9 (17) | 15 (27) | 0.189 |
| Previous stroke, n (%) | 1 (2) | 2 (4) | 1.000 |
| Previous myocardial infarction, n (%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) | 1.000 |
| Previous coronary intervention, n (%) | 2 (4) | 4 (7) | 0.679 |
| Previous bypass surgery, n (%) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1.000 |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction (%) | 66±6 | 65±7 | 0.404 |

| Medications |
|---|
| Aspirin, n (%) | 54 (100) | 56 (100) | 1.000 |
| Clopidogrel, n (%) | 53 (98) | 56 (100) | 0.439 |
| β-Blockers, n (%) | 34 (63) | 31 (55) | 0.417 |
| ACE inhibitors or ARB, n (%) | 35 (65) | 43 (77) | 0.167 |

| Laboratory test before randomization |
|---|
| WBC (/μL) | 6,931±1,822 | 6,647±1,950 | 0.446 |
| hs-CRP (mg/dL) | 0.29±0.36 | 0.26±0.53 | 0.740 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) | 12±5 | 13±4 | 0.089 |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.9±0.4 | 0.9±0.2 | 0.317 |
| AST (IU/L) | 22±7 | 25±14 | 0.132 |
| ALT (IU/L) | 21±10 | 21±9 | 0.898 |

Values are means±SD or numbers (percentages).

| Table 2. Angiographic Characteristics |
|---|
| Variable | Cilostazol (n=54) | Control (n=56) | p value |
| Numbers of lesions treated | 70 | 79 | 0.994 |
| Vessel treated, Left anterior descending, n (%) | 38 (54) | 43 (55) | 0.460 |
| Number of diseased vessels | | | |
| 1, n (%) | 28 (52) | 22 (41) | 0.743 |
| 2, n (%) | 14 (26) | 20 (36) | 0.739 |
| 3, n (%) | 12 (22) | 14 (25) | 0.599 |
| Lesion type B2/C, n (%) | 41 (59) | 41 (52) | 0.075 |
| Bifurcation, n (%) | 22 (31) | 16 (20) | 0.019 |
| Reference vessel diameter (mm) | | | |
| Pre | 2.74±0.36 | 2.76±0.39 | 0.739 |
| Post | 2.88±0.37 | 2.90±0.40 | 0.462 |
| Minimal lumen diameter (mm) | | | |
| Pre | 0.80±0.31 | 0.84±0.33 | 0.759 |
| Post | 2.69±0.38 | 2.67±0.44 | 0.591 |

Values are means±SD or numbers (percentages).
was similar between the two groups (Table 3).

**Aspirin and clopidogrel resistance after pretreatment**

After 7 days of pretreatment, there was no significant difference in ARU between the cilostazol and control groups (418±71 versus 435±46, *p* =0.170) (Fig. 2A). However, the % inhibition of clopidogrel was significantly higher in the cilostazol group (39±23%) than in the control group (25±22%, *p*=0.003) (Fig. 2B). The prevalence of clopidogrel resistance, defined by % inhibition of <20%, was significantly lower in the cilostazol group [12 patient (22%)] than in the control group [27 patients (48%), *p*=0.006] (Fig. 2C), which means the cilostazol group had significantly reduced clopidogrel resistance.

**Postprocedural cardiac enzyme increases**

Periprocedural myonecrosis, defined as any postprocedural increase in CK-MB above the UNL, was detected in 13

---

**Table 3. Procedural Characteristics**

| Variable                                      | Cilostazol (n=54) | Control (n=56) | *p* value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Type of stent                                 |                   |                | 0.723     |
| Sirolimus-eluting stent, n (%)                | 35 (50)           | 44 (56)        |           |
| Paclitaxel-eluting stent, n (%)               | 8 (11)            | 9 (11)         |           |
| Zotarolimus-eluting stent, n (%)             | 15 (22)           | 16 (20)        |           |
| Everolimus-eluting stent, n (%)              | 7 (10)            | 6 (8)          |           |
| Others, n (%)                                | 5 (7)             | 4 (5)          |           |
| Angiographic success, n (%)                  | 54 (100)          | 56 (100)       | 1.000     |
| Multi-vessel coronary intervention, n (%)    | 11 (20)           | 17 (30)        | 0.200     |
| Stent diameter (mm)                          | 2.97±0.39         | 2.96±0.38      | 0.821     |
| Total stented length (mm)                    | 25.7±10.7         | 28.4±12.1      | 0.145     |
| Multiple overlapping stents, n (%)           | 7 (10)            | 12 (15)        | 0.465     |
| Direct stenting, n (%)                       | 14 (20)           | 11 (14)        | 0.236     |
| Duration of stent deployment (seconds)        | 11±3              | 11±4           | 0.896     |
| Maximum pressure inflation (atm)             | 15±3              | 16±3           | 0.515     |
| Use of postdilation, n (%)                   | 66 (94)           | 75 (95)        | 1.000     |
| Use of IIb/IIIa inhibitors, n (%)            | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 1.000     |
| Angiographic complications                   |                   |                |           |
| Major dissection, n (%)                      | 1 (2)             | 0 (0)          | 0.495     |
| Abrupt closure, n (%)                        | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 1.000     |
| Slow/No reflow, n (%)                        | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)          | 1.000     |
| Thrombus formation, n (%)                    | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 1.000     |
| Distal embolization, n (%)                   | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 1.000     |
| Side-branch occlusion, n (%)                 | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 1.000     |

Values are mean±SD or numbers (percentages).

---

**Fig. 2.** Antiplatelet responsiveness in the two study arms. Comparison of Aspirin Resistance unit (A), percentage of inhibition of clopidogrel (B), and the incidence of clopidogrel resistance (C) between the two groups.
significant independent predictor for any CK-MB elevation was the presence of multivessel disease [odds ratio (OR) =4.25, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.49-10.09, \( p=0.010 \)]. However, cilostazol pretreatment was not a significant predictor (OR=0.92, 95% CI 0.36-2.40, \( p=0.814 \)) (Table 4).

**Adverse events during hospital stay and 6-month follow-up period**

Both in the hospital and during the 6 months of follow-up, there was no significant difference in the occurrence of fatal (24%) patients in the cilostazol group and in 14 (25%) in control group, showing similar rates \( (p=1.000) \) (Fig. 3A). The incidences of periprocedural MI (CK-MB \( \geq 3 \) times) or large periprocedural MI (\( \geq 10 \) times UNL) were not significantly different between the two groups. cTnl elevation above the UNL or \( \geq 3 \) or 10 times above the UNL occurred at a similar rate between the two groups (Fig. 3B). In addition, there were no differences in the level of peak or peak ratio of CK-MB or cTnl after PCI (Fig. 4).

Using multivariate logistic regression analysis, the most

**Table 4. Multivariate Predictors for the Occurrence of Periprocedural Myonecrosis**

| Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | \( p \) value |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Age        | 1.01                    | 0.95-1.07    | 0.822 |
| Diabetes   | 3.35                    | 1.28-15.91   | 0.040 |
| Cilostazol pretreatment | 0.77 | 0.28-2.15 | 0.623 |
| Baseline hs-CRP | 1.00 | 0.99-1.01 | 0.782 |
| Multi-vessel diseases | 4.25 | 1.49-10.09 | 0.010 |
| Complex lesions | 2.17 | 0.62-7.63 | 0.226 |
| Multi-vessel PCI | 1.67 | 0.53-5.22 | 0.382 |

hs-CRP, high-sensitive C-reactive protein; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

**Fig. 3.** Comparison of postprocedural creatine kinase MB (CK-MB) and cardiac troponin I (cTnl) elevation in the two study groups. The combined areas of the boxes in three different colors (white, black, and gray) indicate the proportion of patients with any CK-MB (24% in cilostazol group and 25% in control) (A) or cTnl (33% in cilostazol group and 39% in control) (B) elevation above the upper normal limit.

**Fig. 4.** Comparison of the postprocedural peak value and the peak ratio of creatine kinase MB (CK-MB) (A) and cardiac troponin I (cTnl) (B) between the cilostazol and control groups. Peak enzyme ratio was calculated as peak cardiac enzyme divided by the value of the upper normal limit.
events (Table 5). The occurrence of bleeding events was not significantly different between the two groups. However, the cilostazol group showed a tendency toward a slightly higher rate of any bleeding, chiefly due to the high incidence of entry site hematoma. Closure devices were used for all enrolled patients and the proportions of use of various types did not differ between the groups. There were no cases with retroperitoneal bleeding or requiring further intervention to control bleeding. All entry site hematomas spontaneously resolved before discharge. In addition, there was no relationship between bleeding episodes, including major and minor bleeding, and those resultant clinical outcomes.

Relationship between clopidogrel resistance, postprocedural cardiac enzyme increases and follow-up clinical outcomes

To evaluate the effects of clopidogrel resistance on postprocedural enzyme level increases and clinical outcomes, we divided patients into two groups based on the value of % inhibition of clopidogrel: patients with clopidogrel resistance (% inhibition <20) [n=37 (34%)] and patients with normal response to clopidogrel (% inhibition ≥20) [n=73 (66%)] (Table 6). The incidences of any CK-MB or cTnl elevation were not different between the two groups. In addition, there were no significant differences in the incidence of postprocedural CK-MB or cTnl level ≥3 times or 10 times above the UNL between the two groups, respectively. The level of peak CK-MB or cTnl of the patients with clopidogrel resistance was not significantly different from those of the patients with normal response to clopidogrel (peak CK-MB; 5.4±19.7 vs. 5.1±10.1 ng/mL, p=0.904, peak cTnl; 0.7±3.1 vs. 0.7±2.5 ng/mL, p=0.910). The composite incidence of cardiac death, MI, target-lesion revascularization, or CVA in patients with clopidogrel resistance was slightly higher than that of patients with normal responses to the clopidogrel, but the difference did not achieve statistical significance (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

This randomized CLEAR trial demonstrates that pretreatment with cilostazol 200 mg/d for 7 days did not significantly reduce the rate of periprocedural myonecrosis, defined as any elevation of CK-MB after elective PCI, in patients with stable angina, despite a reduction of clopidogrel resistance by cilostazol.

Increases in postprocedural cardiac enzyme could be related with multiple factors, such as: 1) procedure-related complications; 2) lesion-specific characteristics; 3) platelet activity related with micro-embozization; 4) arterial inflammation; 5) antiplatelet resistance; and 6) genetic predisposi-

Table 5. Adverse Events during In-Hospital and 6-Month Follow-Up

| Variable                      | Cilostazol (n=54) | Control (n=56) | p value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| In-hospital events            |                   |                |         |
| Cardiac death, MI, or TLR, n (%) | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 1.000   |
| CVA, n (%)                    | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)          | 1.000   |
| 6-month cumulative events     |                   |                |         |
| Death, cardiac, n (%)         | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)          | 1.000   |
| MI, n (%)                     | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)          | 1.000   |
| TLR, n (%)                    | 1 (2)             | 2 (4)          | 0.698   |
| Non-TLR, n (%)                | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 1.000   |
| CVA, n (%)                    | 0 (0)             | 1 (2)          | 1.000   |
| Death, MI, or TLR, n (%)      | 1 (2)             | 4 (7)          | 0.364   |
| Death, MI, TLR, or CVA, n (%) | 1 (2)             | 5 (9)          | 0.206   |
| Stent thrombosis, n (%)       | 0 (0)             | 2 (4)          | 0.241   |
| Drug discontinuation due to side effects, n (%) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1.000 |
| Bleeding events by GUSTO classification |      |                |         |
| Severe, n (%)                 | 2 (4)             | 0 (0)          | 0.146   |
| Moderate, n (%)               | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 1.000   |
| Mild, n (%)                   | 9 (17)            | 5 (9)          | 0.190   |
| Any bleeding, n (%)           | 11 (20)           | 5 (9)          | 0.089   |
| Entry site hematoma, n (%)    | 9 (17)            | 4 (7)          | 0.223   |

Values are mean±SD or numbers (percentages).

MI, myocardial infarction; TLR, target-lesion revascularization; CVA, cerebrovascular accidents; non-TLR, non-target lesion revascularization.
dogrel resistance has been known to affect the incidence of adverse outcomes following stent implantation.\textsuperscript{11,18,19} However, a non-randomized study by Buch, et al.\textsuperscript{18} found no correlation between aspirin or clopidogrel resistance and myocardial necrosis. Similarly, our randomized trial demonstrated that there was no significant relationship between clopidogrel resistance and the incidence of periprocedural myocardial necrosis, despite the lower rate of clopidogrel resistance by cilostazol pretreatment. This finding suggests that clopidogrel resistance may not contribute as much to the occurrence of postprocedural myocardial injury as other important factors. However, as this CLEAR trial was not designed for the determination of the role of clopidogrel resistance on periprocedural myonecrosis, further investigation is warranted. In addition, patients with acute coronary syndrome were initially excluded in this study. Therefore, for the definite establishment of the role of cilostazol in the prevention of periprocedural myocardial infarction, a study including patients with acute coronary syndrome will be necessary in the future.

The surprisingly low incidence of CK-MB elevation in the control group could underlie the negative results in the CLEAR trial. The incidence of any CK-MB elevation in the control group was 25%, and that of CK-MB elevation ≥3 times the UNL was only 6%. This low incidence might be explained by the more stable characteristics of the enrolled patients or lesions, as compared with those in other studies or in usual daily practice. Because PCI in the CLEAR study was required to be performed 7 days after diagnostic

| Variable                                      | Clopidogrel resistance (n=37) | Normal response (n=73) | \( p \) value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Any CK-MB elevation, n (%)                   | 8 (22)                       | 19 (26)               | 0.797        |
| CK-MK elevation ≥3 times UNL, n (%)           | 2 (5)                        | 4 (5)                 | 1.000        |
| CK-MK elevation ≥10 times UNL, n (%)          | 1 (3)                        | 2 (3)                 | 1.000        |
| Any cTnl elevation, n (%)                    | 14 (38)                      | 26 (36)               | 0.826        |
| cTnl elevation ≥3 times UNL, n (%)            | 5 (14)                       | 14 (19)               | 0.765        |
| cTnl elevation ≥10 times UNL, n (%)           | 3 (8)                        | 7 (10)                | 0.870        |

6-month cumulative events

| Variable                                      | Clopidogrel resistance (n=37) | Normal response (n=73) | \( p \) value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Death, cardiac, n (%)                         | 0 (0)                        | 1 (1)                  | 1.000        |
| MI, n (%)                                     | 1 (3)                        | 0 (0)                  | 0.177        |
| TLR, n (%)                                    | 2 (5)                        | 1 (1)                  | 0.298        |
| CVA, n (%)                                    | 1 (3)                        | 0 (0)                  | 0.177        |
| Death, MI, TLR, or CVA, n (%)                 | 4 (11)                       | 2 (3)                  | 0.100        |
| Stent thrombosis, n (%)                       | 1 (3)                        | 1 (1)                  | 0.670        |

Values are mean±SD or numbers (percentages).
CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB; UNL, upper normal limit; cTnl, cardiac troponin I; MI, myocardial infarction; TLR, target-lesion revascularization; CVA, cerebrovascular accidents.
angiography and concomitant statin use was not allowed, patients with aggravated symptoms or severely tight stenotic lesions tended to be excluded, although these were not among the exclusion criteria. These features could have affected the low incidence of CK-MB elevation and negative results of this study.

The safety of concomitant use of cilostazol and dual antiplatelet has already been clearly proven in many clinical trials. However, there have been no reports regarding the safety of pretreatment with cilostazol before PCI. Similar to previous cilostazol trials, the rate of serious side effects such as bleeding episodes in the cilostazol pretreatment group did not significantly differ from that of the control group. However, the cilostazol group showed a tendency toward a slightly higher incidence of minor bleeding, mainly due to the high incidence of entry site hematoma, although no cases required further intervention to control bleeding. Invasive procedures in patients on cilostazol treatment might demand caution.

This study has several limitations. First, because there were no previous data regarding the effects of cilostazol pretreatment on periprocedural myocardial ischemia, we calculated the sample size by assuming that of a previous clopidogrel study. However, due to the low-risk characteristics of the patients enrolled in this study, the rate of periprocedural myocardial ischemia was not as high as we expected. Therefore, our study lacked the power to detect the differences between the treated group and the control group. Second, it should be reconsidered whether any CK-MB elevation, the primary end point of our study, would be the proper surrogate marker for postprocedural myocardial injury in the DES era. Although a direct association between any level of postprocedural CK-MB elevation and subsequent mortality is widely accepted, there remain controversies as to whether these mild to moderate postprocedural CK-MB elevations might be a surrogate end point for late mortality. CK-MB increases ≥3 times the UNL, which was the definition of periprocedural MI, could serve better, if the sample size did not matter. Third, as previously mentioned, the sample size of the CLEAR trial was not calculated to determine the relationship between clopidogrel resistance and postprocedural myonecrosis. Fourth, this study was a single center, non-blinded study. Fifth, although VerifyNow test has already been validated and approved for analyzing aspirin and clopidogrel resistance, conventional light transmission aggregometry, considered to be the standard method for assessing platelet reactivity, was not performed as a comparator. Finally, the theoretical background for a 7-day duration of cilostazol pretreatment was not clearly established. In addition, there was no value regarding P2Y12 reaction units.

In conclusion, this randomized CLEAR trial failed to demonstrate any cardioprotective effects of pretreatment with cilostazol (200 mg/d for 7 days) on periprocedural myonecrosis in patients with stable angina undergoing elective PCI. Although cilostazol pretreatment could successfully reduce clopidogrel resistance in addition to inhibiting platelets, these were not translated into the reduction of periprocedural myonecrosis. Eventually, some potential actions of cilostazol pretreatment for the reduction of periprocedural myonecrosis might be less prominent than those of other well-known protectors of the myocardium, such as statins with anti-inflammatory action or aspirin or clopidogrel with antiplatelet function. However, for proper understanding of the mechanisms and the exact role of cilostazol, a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-center trial will be needed with a larger sample size.
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