Ultrasonographic parameters of the liver, spleen and kidneys among a cohort of school children in Sri Lanka
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Abstract

Background: Liver, spleen and kidney dimensions on ultrasonography vary with the age, weight and ethnicity. Reference standards of these parameters for normal Sri Lankan children are not available. Our aim was to establish normative data for longitudinal length of liver, spleen and kidneys in healthy children.

Method: Three hundred fifty-seven children, 5–13 years of age were selected from two randomly selected schools in the Gampaha district in the western province of Sri Lanka. A questionnaire was administered to the parents after obtaining informed written consent. Participants were screened for risk factors for organomegaly and were examined by a trained officer. Children with a past history of infective, inflammatory, haematological, malignant, congestive, collagenous or congenital conditions that can affect the size of the organs were excluded as well as those with clinically evident malnutrition, anemia, lymphadenopathy or organomegaly. Ultrasonographic assessment was done using a high resolution real-time scanner with a 3.5 MHz convex transducer by a trained officer. Children with ultrasonographic abnormalities of organs were also excluded from the study and referred for further evaluation.

Results: The study comprised 332 children comprising 176 girls (53%). There was a significant difference in the longitudinal dimension of the liver between the two sexes with a higher value recorded among females (Mann Whitney U = 11,830.5, \( p = 0.037 \)). Body weight was correlated with the dimensions of the liver, the spleen and the kidneys. On multiple regression analysis body weight significantly associated with all the organs. (\( p < 0.01 \)) Percentile graphs for longitudinal length of liver, spleen, right and left kidneys were formed according to the body weight.

Conclusion: The organ dimensions showed the highest correlation with body weight. We hope the normal ultrasonographic values of healthy Sri Lankan children will assist in interpretation of sonographic examinations in daily clinical practice.
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Background
The presence of splenomegaly and hepatomegaly is routinely assessed in the pediatric population when there is a suspicion of enlargement of these organs due to infection or malignancy. As bedside methods of palpation and percussion are less reliable [1, 2], they are augmented with ultrasonographic measurements of these organs. The spleen, liver and renal sizes vary by somatic parameters [3, 4]. In the absence of standard measurements of liver, spleen and kidney sizes of Sri Lankan children, interpreting ultrasonographic reports is difficult and may lead to errors in management.

Clinical assessment of the liver is done by palpating the degree of the extension of the liver below the costal margin and the span of dullness on percussion. The normal liver edge can be felt upto 2 cm below the right costal margin and, in a newborn infant upto 3.5 cms below the costal margin in the midclavicular line. The spleen is palpable only when it is two to three times its normal size, although it may be palpable in 10% of healthy children and 15% of neonates [5]. The accuracy of measuring the spleen and liver by palpation and percussion has been shown to be less accurate particularly in detecting small increase in size of the organ [1, 6]. Kidney sizes cannot be measured by examination and only gross enlargement will be detected by ballotment.

Ultrasonography is an easy, inexpensive, noninvasive and accurate method that is commonly used to assess sizes of these intra abdominal organs. The liver is measured in the mid clavicular line with simultaneous demonstration of the right kidney during quiet breathing in young children and during breath holding in older children. The upper and lower points of the sonographic image are taken as the measurement [7]. The spleen is measured in the longitudinal coronal view. The maximal distance between the most supra – medial and inferolateral points are taken as the spleen length [8]. The lateral decubitus position is preferred to measure the kidney sizes [3, 9].

In Sri Lanka, there are no published data on ultrasonographic measurements of the liver, the spleen and the kidney. Our objective was to describe the ultrasonographic dimensions of the liver, the spleen and the renal length of Sri Lankan school aged children and to determine the relationship between the dimensions of the organs and age, sex and somatic parameters.

Methods
Three hundred fifty-seven children 5–13 years of age were recruited in to the study from 2 randomly selected schools from the Gampaha district of the western province of Sri Lanka from the list of schools obtained from the zonal educational office in Gampaha. All the children between the ages of 5–13 years studying at the selected schools were recruited in to the study.

A detailed clinical history was taken to exclude the presence of any infective, inflammatory, haematological, malignant, congestive or collagenous conditions that can affect the size of the liver and the spleen. Children with a

| Weight group (Kg) | Age (years) | Sex |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|
| ≤20               | Mean 5.97   | SD 1.01 | Median 6.00 | Inter Quartile (IQR) range 6.90–7.87 |
| 21–30             | Mean 8.19   | SD 1.67 | Median 8.00 | Inter Quartile (IQR) range 7.00–9.00 |
| 31–40             | Mean 9.83   | SD 1.83 | Median 10.00| Inter Quartile (IQR) range 8.96–11.79 |
| ≥41               | Mean 11.28  | SD 1.25 | Median 12.00| Inter Quartile (IQR) range 10.00–12.00 |
| Total             | Mean 7.66   | SD 2.06 | Median 7.00 | Inter Quartile (IQR) range 7.30–8.80 |

| Weight group (Kg) | Liver length (cm) |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| ≤20               | Median 7.40       |
|                   | IQRange 6.90–7.87 |
|                   | Mean 7.44         |
|                   | SD 0.93           |
|                   | Min 5.10          |
|                   | Max 10.4          |
| n = 126           | Upper 7.27        |
|                   | lower 7.60        |
| 21–30             | Median 8.18       |
|                   | IQRange 7.64–8.75 |
|                   | Mean 8.22         |
|                   | SD 0.91           |
|                   | Min 5.54          |
|                   | Max 10.8          |
| n = 158           | Upper 8.07        |
|                   | lower 8.36        |
| 31–40             | Median 9.39       |
|                   | IQRange 8.63–10.20|
|                   | Mean 9.42         |
|                   | SD 1.26           |
|                   | Min 5.42          |
|                   | Max 11.8          |
| n = 41            | Upper 9.02        |
|                   | lower 9.81        |
| ≥41               | Median 10.00      |
|                   | IQRange 8.74–10.60|
|                   | Mean 9.85         |
|                   | SD 1.10           |
|                   | Min 8.53          |
|                   | Max 11.5          |
| n = 7             | Upper 8.83        |
|                   | lower 10.87       |

Table 1 Age and sex distribution of the study population by body weight category

| Weight group (Kg) | Age (years) | Sex |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|
| ≤20               | Mean 5.97   | SD 1.01 | Median 6.00 | Inter Quartile (IQR) range 6.90–7.87 |
| 21–30             | Mean 8.19   | SD 1.67 | Median 8.00 | Inter Quartile (IQR) range 7.00–9.00 |
| 31–40             | Mean 9.83   | SD 1.83 | Median 10.00| Inter Quartile (IQR) range 8.96–11.79 |
| ≥41               | Mean 11.28  | SD 1.25 | Median 12.00| Inter Quartile (IQR) range 10.00–12.00 |
| Total             | Mean 7.66   | SD 2.06 | Median 7.00 | Inter Quartile (IQR) range 7.30–8.80 |

Table 2 Longitudinal length of the liver by body weight category

| Weight group (Kg) | Liver length (cm) |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| ≤20               | Median 7.40       |
|                   | IQRange 6.90–7.87 |
|                   | Mean 7.44         |
|                   | SD 0.93           |
|                   | Min 5.10          |
|                   | Max 10.4          |
| n = 126           | Upper 7.27        |
|                   | lower 7.60        |
| 21–30             | Median 8.18       |
|                   | IQRange 7.64–8.75 |
|                   | Mean 8.22         |
|                   | SD 0.91           |
|                   | Min 5.54          |
|                   | Max 10.8          |
| n = 158           | Upper 8.07        |
|                   | lower 8.36        |
| 31–40             | Median 9.39       |
|                   | IQRange 8.63–10.20|
|                   | Mean 9.42         |
|                   | SD 1.26           |
|                   | Min 5.42          |
|                   | Max 11.8          |
| n = 41            | Upper 9.02        |
|                   | lower 9.81        |
| ≥41               | Median 10.00      |
|                   | IQRange 8.74–10.60|
|                   | Mean 9.85         |
|                   | SD 1.10           |
|                   | Min 8.53          |
|                   | Max 11.5          |
| n = 7             | Upper 8.83        |
|                   | lower 10.87       |
previous history of acute or chronic hepatitis, jaundice or chronic renal failure were excluded from the study. Children with fever, lymphadenopathy, macular or maculopapular rashes within the past 3 months were also excluded. The imaging criterion for exclusion were abnormalities in the position, shape and echo texture, the presence of parenchymal mass lesions, cysts, accessory spleens, hydronephrosis, or calcyctasis.

An interviewer administered questionnaire was used to obtain personal details and past medical history. A trained examiner conducted the physical examination. Weight was measured using a calibrated electronic scale to the nearest 0.1Kg and height was measured using a stadiometer to the nearest 0.1 cm by a trained examiner. Deviation of growth parameters from the normal range demarcated in the child health development record was an exclusion criterion.

Ultrasonographic examination was done using a high resolution real-time scanner (PHILIPS HD 6, Germany) with a 3.5 MHz convex transducer. Liver measurements were performed in a supine position. The longitudinal axis was measured after clear visualization of the liver in the midclavicular plane. The uppermost edge under the dome of the diaphragm was defined as the upper margin, and the lowermost edge defined as the lower margin. Spleen measurements were performed in a lateral decubitus position. The longitudinal measurement of the spleen was taken between the most supero-medial and the most infero-lateral margins. Kidney dimensions were recorded in a lateral decubitus position with the renal hilum visualized to get the optimum longitudinal dimension. The measurements of organ dimensions was made during deep inspiration. Each organ was measured 3 times and the mean value was recorded as the absolute length.

Data entry and statistical analysis
Data entry was done using Epidata version 3.1 and statistical analysis was done using SPSS/PC version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics were used to describe the dimensions of organs. The percentiles were determined using frequency. As the age and longitudinal length of the liver were not normally distributed, Mann Whitney U statistics were used for comparison of measurements between the sexes; for comparison of the measurements of the spleen and liver between the sexes, the independent sample t-test were used. The association between organ dimensions and age, weight and height were examined using the Sperarman rank correlation coefficient. Multiple regression analysis using organ dimensions as the dependent variable with age, sex, weight and height as independent variables was carried separately for each organ.

Results
The parents of all 357 children invited to participate in the study gave consent; 25 children were excluded due

| Weight group (Kg) | Spleen length(cm) | 95% CI of the mean | Median | IQ range | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Upper | lower |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|----------|------|----|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| ≤20              | 6.90              | 6.38–7.52          | 6.93   | 0.84     | 5.0  | 9.70| 6.78| 7.08|       |       |
| n = 126          |                   |                    |        |          |      |     |      |     |       |       |
| 21–30            | 7.54              | 7.27–8.13          | 7.54   | 0.79     | 5.41 | 9.70| 7.41| 7.66|       |       |
| n = 158          |                   |                    |        |          |      |     |      |     |       |       |
| 31–40            | 8.55              | 7.76–9.17          | 8.46   | 1.04     | 5.72 | 10.7| 8.13| 8.78|       |       |
| n = 41           |                   |                    |        |          |      |     |      |     |       |       |
| ≥41              | 9.26              | 8.64–9.36          | 9.09   | 0.52     | 8.50 | 9.92| 8.61| 9.57|       |       |
| n = 7            |                   |                    |        |          |      |     |      |     |       |       |

Median and Interquartile range(IQR) was provided for clarity and for comparison of results with future studies

| Weight group (Kg) | Right kidney length(cm) | 95% CI of the mean | Median | IQ range | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Upper | lower |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|----------|------|----|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| ≤20               | 7.12                    | 6.69–7.53          | 7.12   | 0.67     | 5.50 | 9.36| 7.00| 7.24|       |       |
| n = 126           |                         |                    |        |          |      |     |      |     |       |       |
| 21–30             | 7.73                    | 7.27–8.13          | 7.72   | 0.58     | 6.14 | 9.29| 7.63| 7.81|       |       |
| n = 158           |                         |                    |        |          |      |     |      |     |       |       |
| 31–40             | 8.32                    | 8.00–8.69          | 8.33   | 0.54     | 7.05 | 9.78| 8.16| 8.49|       |       |
| n = 41            |                         |                    |        |          |      |     |      |     |       |       |
| ≥41               | 9.14                    | 8.40–9.76          | 9.10   | 0.80     | 8.00 | 10.30| 8.36| 9.84|       |       |
| n = 7             |                         |                    |        |          |      |     |      |     |       |       |

Median and Interquartile range(IQR) was provided for clarity and for comparison of results with future studies
to the presence of exclusion criterion (18 due to a past medical history and 7 due to imaging exclusion criterion). Two children with abnormal ultrasonographic appearance of the kidneys were referred to the Paediatric unit of the Colombo North Teaching Hospital. 332 children [176 girls (53%)] between 5 to 13 years (mean [SD] - 7.7[2.0] years) were evaluated. The age and sex distribution of the different weight groups are shown in Table 1.

The descriptive statistics of the organ dimensions by weight groups are shown in Tables 2, 3, 4, 5. There was no significant difference in longitudinal dimension of the spleen and kidneys between the sexes ($p > 0.05$). The longitudinal length of the liver was significantly higher among the females compared to the males (Mann Whitney U = 11,830.5, $p = 0.037$). The left kidney was 10 mm longer than the right kidney, but the difference was not significant ($p = 0.073$).

Body weight significantly correlated with the longitudinal dimensions of the liver ($r = 0.742$, $p < 0.001$), spleen ($r = 0.604$, $p < 0.001$), right kidney ($r = 0.539$, $p < 0.001$) and left kidney ($r = 0.637$, $p < 0.001$). The percentile curves of the liver, spleen and kidneys were defined according to the body weight (Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4). Height was weakly but significantly correlated with liver dimensions ($r^2 = 0.247$, $p < 0.001$); BMI was correlated with kidney dimensions ($r = 0.381$, $p < 0.001$ and $r = 0.403$, $p < 0.001$ right and left kidneys respectively). Age was correlated with the longitudinal dimension of the spleen ($r = 0.359$, $p < 0.001$) (Table 6).

### Table 5: Longitudinal length of the left kidney by body weight category

| Weight group (Kg) | Left kidney length(cm) | 95% CI of the mean | Median | IQ range | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Upper | Lower |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|------|----|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| ≤20 n = 126       | 7.23                    | 6.90 – 7.59         | 7.23   | 0.55     | 5.10 | 8.50 | 7.14 | 7.33 |
| 21–30 n = 158     | 7.87                    | 7.47 – 8.15         | 7.81   | 0.54     | 6.45 | 9.07 | 7.73 | 7.90 |
| 31–40 n = 41      | 8.50                    | 8.01 – 8.94         | 8.48   | 0.64     | 7.10 | 9.99 | 8.27 | 8.68 |
| ≥41 n = 7         | 8.88                    | 8.07 – 9.40         | 8.87   | 0.78     | 7.81 | 10.00| 8.14 | 9.59 |

Median and Interquartile range (IQR) was provided for clarity and for comparison of results with future studies.

![Fig. 1: Longitudinal length of the liver by body weight category](image-url)
On multiple regression analysis, weight and age were significant predictors of the longitudinal length of the liver after controlling for height. Weight and height were significant predictors of spleen length after controlling for age. In the case of the kidneys, only weight was a significant predictor of length after controlling for height and age, when each kidney was considered separately and when both kidneys were considered together (Table 7).

**Discussion**

There are a few studies in the literature that have published normal ultrasonographic parameters of abdominal organs in school aged children but none are available for
the Sri Lankan population [4, 10, 11]. Sonography is a common imaging method used in routine practice. The inability to interpret the results due to lack of population norms was a major knowledge gap. Our objective was to define the normal limits of liver, spleen and kidney dimensions in a large group of school aged children. To our knowledge this is the only study of this kind done on Sri Lankan school aged children.

Longitudinal measurements of the liver, spleen and kidneys have been reported to best correlate with body parameters [10, 12–14]. In obtaining measurements of the liver, the longitudinal length at the midclavicular plane has shown the best correlation with body parameters [10, 13, 14] and this was the measurement that we used in this study. Of the different methods for evaluating the kidney by sonography, lateral decubitus position was used preferentially by many previous investigators [9, 10].

Normal percentiles of the liver, spleen and kidneys have been previously described by age [12, 13] and height [4, 14, 15]. We found that the longitudinal parameters of all the organs measured, were highly correlated with body weight, similar to findings of previous studies [14–16]. Age and height were also correlated with organ dimensions but not to the extent of body weight. This is clearly seen in weight being a predictor of the dimensions of all organs, unlike height and age, in the multiple regression analyses which controlled for all the variables. Percentile curves of the liver, spleen and kidneys were thus defined according to body weight categories.

There was no significant difference in the longitudinal measurements of the spleen and kidneys between the sexes as reported by many other authors [10, 14]. However, there was a significant difference in the longitudinal length of the liver between the two sexes. In this study,

| Table 6 Correlation between organ dimensions and somatic parameters. (Spearman rank correlation coefficient) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | Liver | Spleen | Right Kidney | Left Kidney | Age         |
| Weight           | Correlation coefficient | 0.579  | 0.486  | 0.614  | 0.624  | 0.740      |
|                  | P-value              | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001     |
| Height           | Correlation coefficient | 0.530  | 0.437  | 0.591  | 0.597  | 0.839      |
|                  | P-value              | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001     |
| BMI              | Correlation coefficient | 0.384  | 0.347  | 0.350  | 0.354  | 0.320      |
|                  | P-value              | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001     |
| Age              | Correlation coefficient | 0.527  | 0.337  | 0.534  | 0.538  |            |
|                  | P-value              | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |           |
the longitudinal length of the left kidney was longer than the right, but the difference was not statistically significant; other authors have reported similar findings [10, 11]. Christophe et al. [17] also reported that the difference in the longitudinal length of the kidneys is negligible.

In comparing the normal parameters of abdominal organs on ultrasonography assessment, it was found that the liver length was significantly lower in the present study compared to Dhingra et al. [3] in the weight groups of 10–20 kgs (p < 0.001), 20–30 kgs (p < 0.001), 30–40 kgs (p < 0.001), 10–20 kgs (p < 0.001), >40kgs (p < 0.001). The mean spleen length of those in the 30–40 kgs category were significantly different (p < 0.001) to that reported by Dhingra et al., the mean spleen lengths in the other weight groups being similar to Dhingra et al.’s study.

Otive et al. [18] has reported a mean renal length for children in India by age. The mean renal length in the present study by age was compared with findings reported by Otive; there were significant differences in the 5 year (p = 0.048), 6 and 7 year (p < 0.001 in both) age groups but not in the age groups from 8 to 12 years. This confirms that even data on ultrasonographic assessment of abdominal organs reported from South Asian countries differ. Ideally, establishing normograms for each ethnic group will enable better interpretation of sonographic assessments in the pediatric population.

### Conclusion

Longitudinal parameters of liver, spleen and kidneys correlated with body weight even after adjusting for height and age. Age and height were also correlated with organ dimensions. There was a statistically significant difference of the longitudinal length of the liver between the two sexes. The difference in the longitudinal length of the kidneys was negligible.

The results of this study may be used as a guide to interpret the normal sizes of the liver, the spleen and the kidneys of school aged Sri Lankan Sinhalese children based on body weight categories. As the study population comprised only Sri Lankan Sinhalese children residing in the Gampaha district, an island wide survey including all ethnicities needs to be conducted to generate percentile graphs for generalized use.

### Abbreviations

BMI: Body Mass Index; CI: Confidence interval; SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard error
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### Table 7 Multiple regression analysis with organ dimensions as the dependent variable

| Organ dimensions (cm) | Regression coefficient | SE | p value | 95% CI | R² |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----|---------|--------|----|
| Liver                 | Constant               | 6.526 | 0.807 | <0.001 | 0.69–0.123 | 0.378 |
|                      | Weight                 | 0.096 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.069–0.123 | 0.378 |
|                      | Age                    | 0.142 | 0.043 | 0.001  | 0.058–0.226 | 0.378 |
|                      | Height                 | –0.014 | 0.009 | 0.136  | -0.032–0.004 | 0.378 |
| Spleen               | Constant               | 4.034 | 0.725 | <0.001 | 0.045–0.094 | 0.296 |
|                      | Weight                 | 0.069 | 0.012 | <0.001 | 0.045–0.094 | 0.296 |
|                      | Age                    | –0.070 | 0.038 | 0.071  | -0.145–0.006 | 0.296 |
|                      | Height                 | 0.019 | 0.008 | 0.24    | 0.003–0.035 | 0.296 |
| Right kidney         | Constant               | 4.781 | 0.505 | <0.001 | 0.031–0.656 | 0.426 |
|                      | Weight                 | 0.048 | 0.009 | <0.001 | 0.031–0.656 | 0.426 |
|                      | Age                    | 0.045 | 0.027 | 0.090  | -0.007–0.098 | 0.426 |
|                      | Height                 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.059  | 0.000–0.022 | 0.426 |
| Left Kidney          | Constant               | 5.392 | 0.472 | <0.001 | 0.034–0.666 | 0.416 |
|                      | Weight                 | 0.050 | 0.008 | <0.001 | 0.034–0.666 | 0.416 |
|                      | Age                    | 0.036 | 0.025 | 0.147  | -0.013–0.086 | 0.416 |
|                      | Height                 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.200  | -0.004–0.018 | 0.416 |
| Mean Kidney          | Constant               | 5.080 | 0.424 | <0.001 | 0.035–0.663 | 0.492 |
|                      | Weight                 | 0.049 | 0.007 | <0.001 | 0.035–0.663 | 0.492 |
|                      | Age                    | 0.041 | 0.022 | 0.072  | -0.004–0.085 | 0.492 |
|                      | Height                 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.064  | -0.001–0.019 | 0.492 |
Funding
None.

Availability of data and materials
Data will not be shared.

Authors’ contributions
DTDW- contributed to conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data and drafting the manuscript. PPUCP - contributed to conception and design, acquisition of data and drafting the manuscript. APU-contributed to conception and design, acquisition of data and drafting the manuscript. ARW- contributed to statistical analysis of data and drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Review Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya. Informed written consent was sought from the parents of the participating children and verbal assent was sought from the participants prior to assessment. Children in whom any abnormality was found were referred for specialist care at the Colombo North Teaching Hospital, Ragama.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details
1Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Thalagolla Road, Ragama 10110, Sri Lanka. 2Department of Radiology, DeSoysa Maternity Hospital, Colombo, Sri Lanka. 3Rehabilitation Hospital, Thalagolla Road, Ragama 10110, Sri Lanka. 4Department of Radiology, Colombo North Teaching Hospital, Ragama, Sri Lanka. 5Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Thalagolla, Ragama 11010, Sri Lanka.

Received: 13 July 2015 Accepted: 7 November 2017
Published online: 16 November 2017

References
1. Joshi R, Singh A, Jajoo N, Pai M, Kalantri SP. Accuracy and reliability of palpation and percussion for detecting hepatomegaly: a rural hospital-based study. Indian J Gastroenterol [Internet]. 2004;23(September):171–4. Available from [cited 2017 Sep 19]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15598999.
2. Zhang B, Lewis SM. A study of the reliability of clinical palpation of the spleen. Clin Lab Haematol [Internet]. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 1989;11(1):7–10. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2257.1989.tb00168.x.
3. Dhingra B, Sharma S, Mishra D, Kumar P, Pandey RM, Aggarwal S. Normal values of liver and spleen size by ultrasonography in Indian children. Indian Pediatr. 2010;47(6):487–92.
4. Safak AA, Simsek E, Bahcebası T. Sonographic assessment of the normal limits and percentile curves of liver, spleen, and kidney dimensions in healthy school-aged children. J Ultrasound Med. 2005;24:1359–64.
5. French J, Camitta BM. Splenomegaly. In: Behrman RE, Kliegman RM, Jenson HB, editors. Nelson Textbook of pediatrics. 17th ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders; 2004. p. 1675.
6. Deligeoroglou D, Yannakos D, Panayoton P, Dosiadis S. The normal borders of the liver in infancy and childhood. Arch Dis Child. 1970;45:702–4.
7. Dittrich M, Milde S, Dinkel E, Baumann W, Weitseg D. Sonographic biometry of liver and spleen size in childhood. Pediatr Radiol. 1983;13:206–11.
8. DeSanctis JT, Connolly SA, Bramson RT. Effect of patient position on sonographically measured renallength in neonates, infants and children. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998;170:1381–3.
9. Carrico CW, Zerin JM. Sonographic measurement ofrenal length in children: does the position of the patient matter. PediatrRadiol. 1996;26:553–5.
10. Mathur S, Chandra J, Mittal K, Mittal S, Khurana A. (1996). Sonographic renal length in Indian children. The Indian Journal of Pediatrics63, 553–557.
11. Rosenberg HK, Markowitz RI, Kolberg H, Park C, Hubbard A, Bellah RD. Normal splenic size in infants and children: sonographic measurements. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1991;157:119–21.
12. Garetzetti U, Gustavsson LP, Leach TM, Bunce H. Liver size in normal infants and children. South Med J. 1977;70:1096–7.
13. Konus OL, Ozdemir A, Akkaya A, Ergas G, Celik H, Isik S. Normal liver, spleen and kidney dimensions in neonates, infants and children: evaluation with sonography. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998;171:1693–8.
14. Megremis SD, Vlachonikolis IG, Tsilimigaki AM. Spleen length in childhood with US: normal values based on age, sex, and somatometric parameters. Radiology. 2004;231:29–34.
15. Soypak SK, Narli N, Yayicigolu H, Satar M, Sungur EH. Sonographic measurements of the liver, spleen and kidney dimensions in the healthy term and preterm newborns. Eur J Radiol. 2002;43:73–8.
16. Dinkel E, Ertel M, Dittrich M, Peters H, Beres M, Schulte-Wissermann H. Kidney size in childhood: sonographical growth charts for kidney length and volume. PediatrRadiol. 1985;15:38–43.
17. Christophe C, Cantaine F, Bogaert B, et al. Ultrasound: a method for kidney size monitoring in children. Eur J Pediatr. 1986;145:532–8.
18. Otive A, Mehta K, Ali U, Nadkarni M. Sonographic measurement of renal size in normal Indian children. Indian Paediatrics. 2012;49:533–6.