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Abstract

Transitional millisecond pulsars (tMSPs) switch between an accretion-powered state without radio pulsations and a rotation-powered state with radio pulsations. In the former state, tMSPs are X-ray bright, while in the latter state, they are X-ray dim. Soft X-ray transients (SXTs) undergo similar switches in X-ray, between “high” states with bright X-ray outbursts and “low” states of quiescence. The upper limit on the quiescent X-ray luminosity of SXT 1H 1905+000 suggests that its luminosity might be similar to that of the known tMSPs. A detection of radio pulsations would link SXTs more strongly with tMSPs; and thus, e.g., put stricter constraints on tMSP transitional timescales through the connection with the well-known SXT periods of quiescence. A nondetection allows us, based on the telescope sensitivity, to estimate how likely these sources are to pulsate in radio. Over a 10-year span, 2006–2015, we carried out targeted radio observations at 400/800 MHz with Arecibo, and searched for radio pulsations from the quiescent SXT 1H 1905+000. None of the observations have revealed radio pulsations from the targeted SXT. For a 1 ms pulsar, our flux density upper limit is 10.3 $\mu$Jy. At an assumed distance of 10 kpc this translates to a pseudo-luminosity upper limit of 1.0 mJy kpc$^{-2}$, which makes our search complete to ~85% of the known MSP population. Given the high sensitivity, and the generally large beaming fraction of millisecond pulsars, we conclude that SXT 1H 1905+000 is unlikely to emit in radio as a tMSP.
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1. Introduction

Recent multiwavelength observations have uncovered a new type of neutron star (NS) binary, transitional millisecond pulsars (tMSPs), which switch between two separate states. The first state, an accretion-powered or low-mass X-ray binary (LMXB; Burderi & Di Salvo 2013) state, is usually described by an accretion disk, formed by the transfer of matter from a binary companion to a NS. It features thermal X-ray emission that is thought to arise close to the NS surface. In this state, pulsar radio emission may be hampered by, e.g., surface heat (this work), or by a disk passing through the pulsar’s light cylinder (Archibald et al. 2009). The flat-spectrum continuum radio emission can be caused by collimated polar outflows (Deller et al. 2015).

The second state, a rotation-powered or radio millisecond pulsar (MSP; Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991) state, lacks the surrounding disk but instead is characterized by two oppositely directed coherent radio beams from the NS polar cap region. The inner part of the disk is blown away possibly by the “propeller” effect (Illarionov & Sunyaev 1975) or by $\gamma$-ray photons from the pulsar magnetosphere (Takata et al. 2014). Both the LMXB and MSP states are illustrated in Figure 1.

Which physics underlies the tMSP switching between “pulsar-off” and “pulsar-on” states? And how do they evolve? Do all near-quiescent accreting millisecond X-ray pulsars with coherent pulsations with a frequency range between 150–600 Hz (AMXPs; Patruno & Watts 2012) end up as transitional radio pulsars? Transitions between the two states might be explained via the interplay of the mass accretion rate and its corresponding ram pressure, and the radiation pressure exerted by the pulsar wind (Campana et al. 1998; Burgay et al. 2003; Archibald et al. 2009).

To date, three tMSPs are known to undergo transitions:

(i) PSR J1023+0038 (Archibald et al. 2009) established the tMSP class. The NS rotates every 1.69 ms and 4.8 hr around its rotational axis and the center of the binary mass, respectively, and has a $\sim$0.2 $M_\odot$ companion.

(ii) PSR J1824-2452I is a binary source in the globular cluster M28 (and henceforth is referred to as M28-I; Papitto et al. 2013), and spins every 3.93 ms. It also has a $\sim$0.2 $M_\odot$ companion, but its orbital period is about 11 hr. So far, this is the only tMSP that also shows outbursts/Type I X-ray bursts similar to those of soft X-ray transients (SXTs).

(iii) PSR J1227-4853 (de Martino et al. 2010; Bassa et al. 2014; Roy et al. 2015) also spins with a 1.69 ms period. It takes 6.7 hr for the source to orbit the center of mass. The companion has a mass between 0.17 $M_\odot$ and 0.46 $M_\odot$.

MSPs with companions of similar mass are known as “redbacks” (Roberts 2013). The MSP-state X-ray luminosity of all three tMSPs is also of the same order: $L_X \sim 10^{30}–10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Linares et al. 2014). One tMSP (M28-I) was found in an X-ray bright outburst state with $L_X \sim 10^{34}–10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$, but similar to the two other tMSPs (PSR J1023+0038 and PSR J1227-4853), it also exhibits a disk-state at a lower luminosity of $L_X \sim 10^{32}–10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The latter two also provide $\gamma$-ray emission (Stappers et al. 2014; Johnson et al. 2015), which establishes a putative link with a few variable $\gamma$-ray LMXBs (Bogdanov & Halpern 2015;
Strader et al. 2015). Based on multiwavelength tracking of these sources, the estimated timescale between disk-MSP transitions is of the order of a decade (Tam et al. 2014; Johnson et al. 2015). At the same time, transitional timescales for tMSPs were constrained to be much shorter—from a few days to a few months (Papitto et al. 2013; Stappers et al. 2013; Bassa et al. 2014).

The hypothesis we aim to test in this paper is whether or not tMSPs are related to the NS SXTs (Stella et al. 1994). SXTs undergo transitions between two X-ray states: a bright “outburst” state ($L_X \sim 10^{35}-10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$), sometimes with regular bright thermonuclear flashes of type I X-ray bursts from the NS surface (Galloway et al. 2008), and a much fainter “quiescent” state ($L_X \sim 10^{30}-10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$) where the source remains dim (see, e.g., Campa et al. 1998; Yakovlev & Pethick 2004, for a review). Besides, SXTs outburst rise/decay times are also within the range of a few days to a few months (Campana et al. 1998). Finally, the $B > 10^{8}$ G magnetic fields constrained for some X-ray transients (e.g., Di Salvo & Burderi 2003) are of the same order as for AMSPs/tMSPs (see, e.g., Table 4 from Patruno & Watts 2012). The similarities between the X-ray luminosity, magnetic field, and transitional timescales of the tMSP makes us wonder if the radio pulsar might appear in this quiescent state.

It is not yet clear which conditions a binary system should possess in order to undergo a change of state. SAX J1808.4-3658 (Chakrabarty & Morgan 1998; Wijnands & van der Klis 1998; Hartman et al. 2008) is a good representation of an AMXP with about a 2.5 ms period and a typical $10^{-9}$–10$^{-8}$ G magnetic field that also experiences outbursts of $10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ X-ray luminosity (see, e.g., Hartman et al. 2009), but so far has not been seen in radio (Burgay et al. 2003; Iacolina et al. 2010; Patruno et al. 2016). Given the lack of understanding on transitional-pulsar system parameters, we seek to expand the population by investigating tMSP candidates, and potentially link SXTs and tMSPs.

One of the best candidate systems is SXT 1H 1905+000 (Jonker et al. 2006, 2007). It is a very low luminosity NS binary, involving a white or a brown dwarf companion ($M_{\text{comp}} \approx 0.01$–0.05 $M_\odot$) and an extremely short orbit (the orbital period $P_{\text{orb}} \lesssim 1.33$ hr). After about 11 year ($L_X \approx 4 \times 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$) outburst with several Type I X-ray bursts ending more than 18 years ago, the source has remained quiescent.

The quiescent luminosity of SXT 1H 1905+000, $L_X \lesssim 1.8 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$, is the lowest among all known NS X-ray transients, which makes the source closely related to the MSP class. As for hotter NSs, the radio pulsar emission may be inhibited via electron braking by inverse Compton scattering (see Section 5.2 and the references therein) and the low effective temperature, $T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 3.5 \times 10^8$ K, of SXT 1H 1905+000 should allow the particle to flow more freely (see, e.g., Supper & Trümper 2000). Therefore, the presumed MSP should be able to emit in radio. Past 25 ks (Jonker et al. 2006) and 300 ks (Jonker et al. 2007) Chandra X-ray observations did not detect the NS in quiescence. No new X-ray outbursts were detected in X-ray all-sky monitors, and the source stayed quiescent over the period covered in this paper. This suggests that SXT 1H 1905+000 might be capable of producing pulsar radio emission.

Revealing radio pulsations in a quiescent SXT would link the timescales on which tMSPs switch to known periods of SXT quiescence. That would be a first step toward better understanding the interaction between accretion and thermal and radio pulsar emission. In the remainder of this paper, Section 2 describes our observations of SXT 1H 1905+000. The data analysis for each targeted search is detailed in Section 3. Section 4 outlines the obtained results, followed by a discussion in Section 5, and conclusions in Section 6.

| Parameter                  | Value          |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Project ID                 | p2204          |
| Receiver (yr)              | L-wide (2006)  |
| Gain (K/\&f)               | 10             |
| System Temp (K)            | 30             |
| Native Polarization        | dual linear    |
| Sample time (\&s)          | 128            |
| Integration time (s)       | 3600           |
| Bandwidth (MHz)            | 300            |
| Central freq (MHz)         | 1410           |
| Channels                   | 1536           |
| Subbands                   | 64             |

Note. For the first 2006 observation we list only the data set with the larger contiguous band.

2. Observations

We have conducted three targeted observations of SXT 1H 1905+000, spanning a period of almost 10 years. All three observations were performed with the 305 m William E. Gordon radio telescope at Arecibo\(^5\), using the L-wide (first two observations) and S-low (third observation) receivers.\(^6\) The setup specifications, detailed in Table 1, are summarized below.

The first targeted search consisted of two separate observing sessions on 2006 May 20 and June 25. We used the Wideband Arecibo Pulsar Processor (WAPP\(^7\); Dowd et al. 2000) so every 128 \&s, it separately recorded four sets of 512 spectral frequency channels, each 0.195 MHz wide and of 16-bit total intensity. To avoid a frequency range often affected by radio-

\(^5\)<http://www.naic.edu/index_scienfiic.php>

\(^6\)<http://www.naic.edu/~astro/RXstatus/>

\(^7\)<http://www.naic.edu/~wapp/>
frequency interference (RFI) we split the recording over the 100 and 300 MHz bands around 1120 and 1410 MHz, respectively.

For our second observation on 2015 April 14 we used the Puertorican Ultimate Pulsar Processing Instrument (PUPPI) backend, with 800 MHz bandwidth and 2048 frequency channels. This resulted in the 1380 MHz central frequency, 0.390 MHz bandwidth per channel, and the minimum sampling time of 41 μs. All frequencies outside the L-wide receiver range of 1.15–1.73 MHz were zapped during the RFI masking stage in our search pipeline.

Finally, we carried out a third observation on 2015 August 26 with the same specifications as the second but at a higher central frequency of $t_{\text{obs}} = 2800$ MHz. This reduced potential scattering on the pulsar, and was less affected by RFI.

We first pointed Arecibo on a number of known pulsars to test both telescope and backend efficiencies. Test pulsars are given in Table 2 along with respective timespans, pulse periods, dispersion measures (DMs), duty cycles, nominal flux densities, and peak signal-to-noise ratios ($S/N$s). These were generally detected as predicted. The absence of PSR J1906+0746 at 2.8 GHz was not unexpected, as geodetic precession causes its radio beam to miss Earth (van Leeuwen et al. 2015).

We pointed the telescope at the best known position at the time, R.A. = 19°08′27″, decl. = +00°10′08″ (J2000). This puts the Arecibo beam well over the improved position published later in Jonker et al. (2006), as discussed in Section 5.1. We observed for 3400 and 3600 s during the two observations of the first search session, for 3600 s during the second search session, and for about 2150 s during the third search session.

### 3. Analysis

All our observations were analyzed on the Dutch national supercomputer, Cartesius. For the search itself we adopted the PRESTO pulsar search toolkit (Ransom 2001).

#### 3.1. 2006 L-wide Observation

The WAPP backend produces multiple sequential time series, divided into 100 MHz bandwidth slices. We used the data set with the contiguous 300 MHz bandwidth for the direct search and the 100 MHz data set only for verification. The estimated distance to the source is 7–10 kpc (Jonker & Nelemans 2004), and according to the galactic electron density model (ne2001; Cordes & Lazio 2002), the free electron content amounts to 250–350 pc cm$^{-3}$, respectively. As the ne2001 model continues to get supplemented and improved, especially for sources located far along the Galactic plane where most cold interstellar plasma resides (see, e.g., Cordes 2004; Yusifov & Küçük 2004; Sun & Reich 2010), we searched out to a 3 × larger maximum DM over a range from 0 to 1000 pc cm$^{-3}$. For the 80 minute orbital period and an ultra-compact binary ($M_{\text{comp}} \simeq 0.05 M_\odot$) one should expect high orbital acceleration (Johnston & Kulkarni 1991). Using a 0.05 ms sampling time, we employed the maximum possible number of acceleration drift (Fourier) bins allowed in PRESTO ($z_{\text{max}} = 0,5,100,200,1200$).

Assuming a circular, edge-on orbit ($e = 0$, $\theta = 90°$), the estimated orbital acceleration is $a = (2\pi)^{4/3} \times G^{1/3} \times M_{\text{comp}} \times \sin \theta / (P_{\text{orb}}^{4/3} \times (M_\odot + M_{\text{comp}})^{2/3}) \approx 28.6$ m s$^{-2}$, at the upper boundary of the limiting range of linear orbital accelerations $a^\star \approx 30$ m s$^{-2}$ of most known pulsar binaries (Camilo et al. 2000). As the pulsar acceleration completely reverses every half of the orbit, it is reasonable to have an integration time within a small fraction of the orbital period (generally, 0.1 $P_{\text{orb}}$; Burgay et al. 2003; Ransom et al. 2003). For this reason, we also split up the time series to $t_{\text{int}} \approx 410$ s ($\approx$7 minute) and performed the search on these shorter sets, each with less Doppler smearing. Knowing our observing duration and aiming to be sensitive down to a period of $P = 1$ ms, we would need $N_{\text{drift}} = a \times t_{\text{int}}/c \times (c \times P) \simeq 20$ Fourier bins. This is well in line with our covered bin range, even for a more massive companion or a faster MSP.

Searches over the 7 minute sets have an additional sensitivity benefit if this pulsar, like other low-mass binaries, is eclipsed over part of the binary orbit (Luo & Melrose 1995; also see Section 5.4). Shorter integrations then suffer less from the addition of noise, without periodic signal, during the eclipse.

After a number of standard periodic search steps (RFI masking, dedispersion, FFT transform, red-noise removal, RFI birdies zapping, and accelerated search; see also Mikhailov & van Leeuwen 2016), all potential candidates were ranked and inspected according to their characteristic plots (pulse and

---

**Table 2**

Parameters for Test Observations of Two Known Pulsars

| Pulsar       | $t_{\text{int}}$ (s) | $P$ (ms) | DM (pc cm$^{-3}$) | $W_{50}/P$ | $S_1$ (mJy) | $S/N_{\text{peak}}$ |
|--------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------|
| PSR J1857+0943 | 300                  | 5.362   | 13.3              | $9.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | 5.0          | 5.0+39.20          |
| PSR J1906+0746 | 600 (900)$^a$       | 144.073 | 217.20            | $4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.55         | 0.55+590.64        |
|              | 275$^b$              |         |                   |            | 1.4          | 1.4+327.35        |
|              | 900$^c$              |         |                   |            | 0.16         | 0.16+18.10        |

**Notes.** In 2006, PSR J1857+0943 was observed in session 1, and PSR J1906+0746 in both sessions, but with different integration times. $W_{50}/P$ is the pulse duty cycle ($W_{50}$ is the pulse width at half-peak height), $S_1$ is the pulsar flux density based on the ATNF pulsar catalog, and $S/N_{\text{peak}}$ is the integrated signal-to-noise ratio at the correct DM.

$^a$ 2006 L-wide observation.

$^b$ 2015 L-wide observation.

$^c$ 2015 S-low observation.
frequency channel profiles, DM curve, and $P - \dot{P}$ map; see, e.g., Figure 2).

3.2. 2015 L-wide Observation

In the 2015 1.4 GHz observations using PUPPI, the full bandwidth was recorded, including bands that are prone to RFI. Those were excised in the pipeline, strongly reducing the effective bandwidth as expected. We again carried out an acceleration search but now up to 100 Fourier bins (five times an estimated value; see above). We employed time-series slicing, and other search pipeline steps were also kept the same as in the 2006 observation.

3.3. 2015 S-low Observation

In order to avoid the RFI-contaminated part of the spectrum present in the 1.4 GHz data, and to reduce the potential interstellar scattering smearing present there, we also performed a 2.8 GHz (S-low band) observation with otherwise identical settings as the 1.4 GHz setup.

3.4. Single-pulse Search

Some pulsars do not emit periodically, but only sporadically—such sources are referred to as rotating radio transients (RRATs; McLaughlin et al. 2006). For such pulsars, data folding mostly adds noise, and therefore single-pulse investigations are more effective. As MSPs emit around 10–1000 pulses per second, it is hard to resolve individual pulses since their S/Ns are normally quite low.

At the same time, some pulsars occasionally emit giant pulses—a type of nonfrequent short duration radio pulses that can greatly exceed (by 2–3 orders of magnitude) the mean flux density of normal pulses from those pulsars. Around 10 MSPs are now known to emit giant pulses (Knight 2006; Bilous et al. 2015), the most pronounced examples being PSR B0531+21 (the Crab), PSR B0833-45 (Vela), and PSR B1937+21.

The most common S/N values found for candidates in our search were from 5 to 7, below our single-pulse detection threshold of $S/N_{\text{min}} \geq 8$. Single-pulse thresholds can, in practice, be set somewhat lower than periodicity thresholds (cf. Equation (1)) without producing overwhelming numbers of candidates. None of the candidates above this threshold were identified as genuine pulses. Most of the candidates showed a monotonic profile of S/N distribution along adjacent DM values, behavior that is typical for RFI.

4. Results

Neither the 2006 nor the 2015 observations reveal any good candidates for the potential radio MSP counterpart toward 1H 1905+000.

The RFI situation at 1.4 GHz likely impeded the identification of genuine radio pulses from 1H 1905+000; especially the 2015 observation, where the bandwidth increase over 2006 mostly added bands of unprotected, multi-use spectrum, and also contained significant amounts of RFI that resulted in the elimination of some frequency coverage, thus diminishing the potential candidate S/N compared to an RFI-free ideal.

Using the radiometer equation (Bhattacharya 1998), we can set an upper limit on the source flux density:

$$S_{\text{min}} = \frac{\beta (S/N_{\text{min}}) T_{\text{sys}}}{G_\nu n_p \Delta \nu t_{\text{int}}} \sqrt{\frac{W}{P-W}}. \tag{1}$$

Here, $\beta$ is a digitization factor and is normally around 1, $S/N_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum distinguishable S/N from a potential pulsar, and $T_{\text{sys}} = T_{\text{sys,receiver}} + T_{\text{sky}}$ is the overall system temperature. The L-wide and S-low receiver temperatures are $T_{\text{sys,LW}} \sim 25$ K and $T_{\text{sys,SL}} \sim 35$ K, respectively. The sky temperature, $T_{\text{sky}}$, was interpreted from 408 MHz contour maps (Haslam et al. 1982) and scaled to 1400 and 2800 MHz using the Lawson et al. (1987) relation, $T_{\text{sky}} \propto \nu^{-2.6}$, resulting in 5 K and 0.8 K, respectively. Next, $G_\nu$-wide = 10 K/Jy ($G_{S_{\text{low}}}$ = 8 K/Jy) is the telescope gain$^{12}$, $n_p = 2$ for two polarizations, $\Delta \nu$ is the frequency bandwidth, and $t_{\text{int}}$ is the integration time. $P$ and $W$ are the pulsar spin period and its pulse width, respectively. For typical MSP properties$^{13}$ (spin periods $P \lesssim 20$ ms, and magnetic field strengths $B \lesssim 10^6$ G), we find$^{14}$ the average pulse duty cycle ($W_{\text{duty}}/P$) to be 0.10 $\pm$ 0.07. We also include smearing effects into the pulse width broadening and bound it with a pulse period of $W = W_{\text{duty}}/P = \sqrt{(W_{\text{duty}}/P)^2 \cdot P^2 + W_{\text{smear}}^2 + W_{\text{scatter}}^2}$, where $W_{\text{smear}}$ is the instrumental (channel, sub-band, DM step, and sampling) smearing, and $W_{\text{scatter}}$ is the scattering broadening along the line of sight. For the $S/N_{\text{min}}$ we follow the usual$^{15}$ value of 10.

In Table 3 we list our set of flux-density upper limits. For the first 1.4 GHz we list only the result with the longer integration time $t_{\text{int}} = 3600$ s. The limits from the 2015 1.4 GHz and 2.8 GHz observations are based on 500 MHz of usable bandwidth out of the 800 MHz total. We see that scattering is not expected to play a significant role in the sensitivity

12 http://www.naic.edu/~astro/RXstatus/
13 http://www.cv.nrao.edu/~sransom/Exascale_Radio_Searches_2014.pdf
14 http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat/ (catalog version 1.54; Manchester et al. 2005).
15 http://www.astro.cornell.edu/~cordes/PALFA/palfa_snr_calcs.pdf
Table 3

| $d$ (kpcs) | $W_{\text{smear}}$ (ms) | $W_{\text{scatter}}$ (ms) | $S_{\text{min}}$ (mJy) | $L_{\text{pseudo}}$ (mJy kpc$^{-2}$) | $C_{\text{search}}$ (%) |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.01       | 8.4 x 10$^{-3}$          | 11.8                      | 0.6                    | 89.72                             | 2006 L-wide observation |
| 0.02       | 0.01                     | 13.0                      | 1.3                    | 76.64                             | 2015 L-wide observation |
| 0.06       | 0.4 x 10$^{-3}$          | 10.8                      | 0.5                    | 55.14                             | 2015 S-low observation |
| 0.07       | 0.6 x 10$^{-3}$          | 11.1                      | 1.1                    | 31.78                             |

Note. All estimates are tabulated for the lowest expected integer spin period of $P_{\text{min}} = 1$ ms.

compared to that of other smearing effects and that it does not change much with increasing distance. We also derive the pseudo luminosity, $L_{\text{pseudo}} = S_{\text{min}} d^2$, and the search completeness $C_{\text{search}}$, the fraction of currently known pulsars that our search could have detected at the distance of 1H 1905+000 (Coenen et al. 2011).

The sensitivity of our searches is plotted in Figure 3 for various possible pulse periods and DMs. In what we think is the most realistic case, a DM = 250–350 pc cm$^{-3}$ (highlighted in the plot) at a pulse period of 10 ms, our deepest search, the 2015 L-wide observation, had a minimum detectable flux of about 5.5 μJy.

The resulting search efficiency, the fraction of known pulsars whose 1.4 GHz pseudoluminiosities our survey could have detected at the distance of 1H 1905+000, is shown in Figure 4. There we mark each Arecibo observation and estimated distance. To compare our 2.8 GHz S-low observation with the 1.4 GHz catalog flux densities, we scaled down the search minimum detectable flux using a spectral index of $\alpha = -1.8$ (Maron et al. 2000). For each observation, we considered the pulse period range to be from 0.5 ms to 1 s, and the resulting spread for each observation is included in the figure.

Our deepest search, the 2015 L-wide observation, was sensitive enough to find up to 92% of all cataloged pulsars (including the three known tMSPs) when put at 7 kpc.

Given the possibility that tMSPs shine only intermittently, the depth at the other observation epoch is equally important. In 2005 we too would have found around 75%–90% of cataloged pulsars as well as two out of three known tMSPs.

To validate our estimated completeness, we also searched a longer, 2015, 1.4 GHz data set on PSR J1906+0746 that one of us took for long-term beam evolution studies (G. Desvignes et al. 2017, in preparation). At a flux density of $S = 2 \pm 1$ μJy, J1906+0746 is likely to be very close to our minimum detectable flux. We used the same setup as the other 2015 observations described in the current paper. We expected, from Equation (1), a S/N of about 20, given the ∼1 hr integration time, duty cycle $w_p = W_{90}/P$, scattering contribution $W_{\text{scatter}} < 0.01$ ms, and dispersion smearing contributions $W_{\text{smear}} \approx 0.2$ ms $\approx 0.05w_p$. The final pulse signal-to-noise ratio obtained, for the best-fit acceleration, was 23.7, well in line with the expectation. This confirms that our completeness limits are realistic.

5. Discussion

Given our high search completeness of ∼90%, we are confident that the source is currently not a radio MSP that shines our way. The putative pulsar itself can, of course, be inclined away from Earth. However, we know that the opening angle of the MSP beams is usually quite broad, with beaming fractions of almost 100% in several beaming
models (see, e.g., Lorimer 2008). We thus conclude that the source itself is not emitting radio pulses with luminosity above our limit.

So why is 1H 1905+000 not shining? Below we discuss how this could be related to, e.g., surface heat, and past or current mass transfer.

5.1. Position, Proper Motion, and the Small Arecibo Beam

The location of 1H 1905+000 is well known. Its outburst position error box, \( \alpha_{2000.0} = 19^h 08^m 27^s 200 \pm 0^s 084, \delta_{2000.0} = +00^\circ 10^\prime 90^\prime 10 \pm 0^\prime 087 \) (Jonker et al. 2006), falls well within the Arecibo FWHM beam size at both L-wide \((3/5 \times 3/1)\) and S-low \((2/0 \times 1/8)\) receivers.

Could 1H 1905+000 have moved out of the Arecibo beam since that outburst position was derived? Even for the smallest S-low 2.8 GHz beam, 1H 1905+000 should then travel with proper motion \( \mu = 5.4 \times 10^3 \) mas yr\(^{-1}\). For the largest distance of \( d = 10 \) kpc, the characteristic system velocity \( v_{\text{NS}} \) needs to be \( 4.74 \times \mu \times d \approx 2.6 \times 10^5 \) km s\(^{-1}\) for the source to have moved outside the Arecibo beam, which is an order of magnitude larger than what has been seen so far for NS X-ray binaries.

5.2. Temperature and Cooling Emission

In the old normal MSPs we observe, the NS cooled long ago and the thermal emission is nearly absent. In transitional MSPs, some thermal emission is only seen in the accreting phase. Yet, SXTs are known to be strong thermal emitters even in quiescence, due to the reheating of the NS by nuclear reactions deep in the crust (Brown et al. 1998). Models for such heating (e.g., Haensel & Zdunik 2003; Yakovlev et al. 2006) can explain quiescent luminosities \( L_X \sim 10^{-3} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), as observed. While the limit on 1H 1905+000 is much lower, as mentioned, its long and active state of accretion could have left it hotter than the tMSPs, which hardly appear to efficiently accrete (“radiatively inefficient” accretion; Archibald et al. 2015; Deller et al. 2015; Papitto et al. 2015) or spin up (Jaodand et al. 2016).

We hypothesize that through this enhanced thermal emission over the tMSPs, 1H 1905+000 is prevented from generating radio emission. The increased intensity of soft X-ray photons could potentially be the extinguishing agent. While some such soft thermal photons coming from the hot NS surface are needed in certain models (e.g., Zhang et al. 1997) as the seed photons that inverse Compton scatter off the primary electrons to form pair-producing photons, these same photons, once present in larger numbers, act as radiative brakes on these essential relativistic electrons (Kardashëv et al. 1984). The drag on these relativistic particles in the soft photon field in 1H 1905+000 and its hotter brethren may thus possibly quench the runaway cascade needed for robust radio emission.

If this is indeed the reason for the absence of radio emission from 1H 1905+000, such braking must already be significant at the temperature inferred by Jonker et al. (2007) of \( T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 3.5 \times 10^5 \) K or \( kT \lesssim 30 \) eV. Supper & Trümper (2000) modeled this dependence of inverse Compton scattering on temperature for values of 100, 300, and 1000 eV. At 100 eV, the electrons suffer no weakening, but achieve acceleration up to the maximum Lorentz factor \( \gamma \approx 10^6 \). For mildly higher temperatures of 300 and 1000 eV, respectively, electrons are quickly braked and reach Lorentz factors of only \( 10^4 \) and \( 10^2 \) (Equation (26) and Figures 4–6 in Supper & Trümper 2000), likely hampering subsequent formation of radio emission. Thus, while this model does show that there is a clear dependence of inverse Compton scattering on temperature, it cannot currently explain the case of 1H 1905+000.

To summarize, it seems likely that one of the main observational differences between SXTs and tMSPs, the higher temperatures of the former, is the cause of the lack of radio emission on SXTs. If that is the case, braking such as inverse Compton scattering must become important at temperatures lower than \( kT \lesssim 30 \) eV as proposed in Supper & Trümper (2000).

5.3. Ongoing Low-level Accretion

The interaction between a trickle of continuously accreting matter and the pulsar magnetosphere could potentially inhibit radio emission. There is precedent for variable low-level accretion in, e.g., Cen X-4 (Cackett et al. 2010) and XTE J1701-462 (Fridriksson et al. 2011). A mechanism linking that accretion to the cessation of coherent radio emission was qualitatively described in Archibald et al. (2015) to explain the X-ray bright mode in J1023+0038. One may wonder whether some low-level accretion in the X-ray dim state of 1H 1905+000 remains, unnoticeable under the strict limits of \( M < 10^{-13} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) (Jonker et al. 2006). Yet, even within that limit the rate would have to be sufficient to overcome the magneto-rotational plasma and the pulsar wind to enter the pulsar light cylinder, bringing the system into the “propeller” accretion regime (Illarionov & Sunyaev 1975). Overall we find little evidence for this explanation for the dim state of 1H 1905+000.

5.4. Eclipses

The companion mass and orbital period of 1H 1905+000 are similar to those found in the “black-widow” (BW) MSP class (Roberts 2013). Those pulsars and the tMSPs are frequently eclipsed in radio as radio pulses are scattered by the dense wind blown off the companion due to its evaporation (Luo & Melrose 1995). This is a possible explanation for our nondetection. Even though all three known tMSPs are redbacks, similar transitions might occur in BWs. However, short exposures and relatively little photon counts from Chandra X-ray observations of nearby BW MSPs (Gentile et al. 2014) make it difficult to prove a BW-tMSP scenario for 1H 1905+000 given its X-ray upper limits.

5.5. Lingering Magnetic Field Burial

The formation of radio-pulsar emission requires a certain magnetic field strength. Yet accretion is thought to bury and diminish radio-pulsar magnetic fields during the transition from dead, normal pulsars to reborn tMSPs. Potentially, the magnetic field strength in 1H 1905+000 is now, after a prolonged period of accretion, too low to power the acceleration of primary charged particles.

Accretion rates above \( M \approx 0.03 M_\text{Edd} \), where \( M_\text{Edd} \) is the Eddington accretion rate, are required for diamagnetic screening (“burial”) to be efficient (Cumming et al. 2001). The active-state mass accretion rate estimated to be required for 11 years of outbursts, \( M \approx 10^{-9} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) \( \approx 0.1 M_\text{Edd} \) (Jonker et al. 2006), is larger and could thus bring about such screening. In that accretion steady state, the magnetic field was likely diminished...
This can ultimately lead to a very large Ohmic magnetic brightness of the yellow area represents the positional probability of the line and the closest to known MSPs death line \( \sim (\text{et al. } 1989; \text{Romani } 1990; \text{Jahan Miri & Bhattacharya } 1994)\). Electrical currents that supply NS magnetization (large amounts of accreted matter may at some point demolish the magnetic weight in the past are still shining today many tenths of solar masses overall without collapsing to a black hole, as a significant number of MSPs that have gained similar weight in the past are still shining today (Antoniadis et al. 2016).

6. Conclusion and Future Work

Our Arecibo observations toward the dimmest known SXT, 1H 1905+000, did not reveal pulsar emission in over a decade. We have set a strong limit on its pseudoluminosity at largest distance \( L_{\text{pseudo}}(d=10 \text{kpc}) = 1.0 \text{ mJy kpc}^2 \). We are 85% certain that SXT 1H 1905+000 is presently not in the radio pulsar state. Future more sensitive and simultaneous radio and X-ray observations might reveal the exact nature of SXTs and put more constraints on their potentially tight connection with the traditional MSP class. Additional gamma-ray observations could potentially shed light on the radio-beaming fraction and the radio-eclipse scenarios, and thus support more firmly our current conclusion: 1H 1905+000 is inherently radio-quiet.
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Figure 5. Two evolutionary scenarios for radio millisecond pulsars on a general P – P diagram: a standard “recycling” evolution (Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991; blue line) and a low magnetic field “reconfiguration” evolution (red line). Only the area segment between the limiting B \( \approx 10^7 \text{ G} \) line and the closest to known MSPs death line (green dashed line; see Figure 1 from Zhang et al. 2000, line III) is suitable for SXT 1H 1905+000. The brightness of the yellow area represents the positional probability of the transient.

by \( n \approx (\dot{M}/0.02 \dot{m}_{\text{Edd}}) = 5 \) orders of magnitude (Cumming 2008). In the most extreme outburst modeled in Cumming (2008) of 2 years at 0.05 \( \dot{m}_{\text{Edd}} \), the magnetic field re-emerges on a \( \sim 1 \text{ year} \) timescale (Figure 2 in Cumming 2008 for a \( ^{26}\text{Si} \) ocean). The significantly longer and more intense (11 year, 0.1 \( \dot{m}_{\text{Edd}} \)) outburst of 1H 1905+000 may possibly be causing lingering magnetic field burial on the observed \( \sim 10 \text{ year} \) timescale, preventing radio pulsations.

Given the quiescent mass transfer rate of \( 10^{-3} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \), it might take around \( 10^4 \text{ yr} \) to build up a new accretion disk of the same amount of mass (Jonker et al. 2006) and start a new active phase. Thus even in high-accretion rate systems such as 1H 1905+000, the magnetic field appears to have time to re-emerge and effectuate radio-pulsar emission.

5.6. A Low-magnetic Field NS

Finally, as the accretion onto an NS lowers its magnetic field, large amounts of accreted matter may at some point demolish the electrical currents that supply NS magnetization (Shibazaki et al. 1989; Romani 1990; Jahan Miri & Bhattacharya 1994). This can ultimately lead to a very large Ohmic magnetic field decay, resulting in an NS with an extremely low magnetic field (see, e.g., Tauris & Konar 2001). In regular pulsars such a long-term effect (Sang & Chamnumug 1987), reducing the magnetic field by four orders of magnitude, is well-known (and illustrated in Figure 5). But as MSPs, like normal pulsars, there is a strong selection effect toward MSPs with magnetic fields of at least \( 10^8 \text{ G} \). If 1H 1905+000, due to its accretion history, now supports only an extremely low-strength down to \( B \approx 10^8 \text{ G} \) (Zhang 2013) field, it would remain radio quiet. In this case, the NS would likely have had to accrete many tenths of solar masses overall without collapsing to a black hole, as a significant number of MSPs that have gained similar weight in the past are still shining today (Antoniadis et al. 2016). If this is the reason that 1H 1905+000 is not shining, it should be positioned in a region of the standard P – P diagram (see Figure 5) that is above its limiting magnetic field line, but below its death line (e.g., Zhang et al. 2000), closest to the actual MSP population but as yet invisible.
