Influence of groundwater quality indicators on nitrate concentrations in the Zagreb aquifer system
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Abstract

Nitrates represent one of the main groups of contaminants in the Zagreb aquifer system. Some natural groundwater quality indicators can have a significant influence on their stability and mobility in the saturated zone. Correlation and multivariate statistical analyses were used to test the correlation of average values of NO$_3^-$ with O$_2$, ORP, pH, EC and temperature of groundwater, and to allocate observation wells that belong to the same clusters. ORP values didn’t relate to any observed variables, which is probably due to their variability which suggests changes in the oxidation-reduction conditions in the aquifer system. Principal component analysis was used for the determination of variables that are related to the nitrate concentrations and which were then used in cluster analysis. Other variables were excluded from cluster analysis. Three methods were used to perform cluster analysis, where the results calculated with Ward’s method were chosen as the most appropriate. In the end, two clusters were identified, one with smaller, and one with higher NO$_3^-$, O$_2$ and EC values. Observation wells from cluster 1 are generally located near the Sava River and have similar nitrate concentrations. Lack of other nitrogen species and moderately aerobic conditions suggest very fast nitrification in the shallow Holocene aquifer.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Nitrates represent one of the most frequent groundwater contaminants in the world (PEÑA-HARO et al., 2009) and in Croatia (LARVA et al., 2010; NAKIĆ et al., 2013). Due to their inability to bond in soil by adsorption, they are subject to leaching and infiltration into deeper soil layers and groundwater (CHOWDARY et al., 2005; MKANDAWIRE, 2008). When they reach groundwater, they mostly depend on transport and geochemical processes in saturated media. Many studies have shown strong relationships between agricultural activity and nitrate concentrations in groundwater (ALMASRI, 2007; PEÑA-HARO et al., 2009; LI et al., 2010; HOSONO et al., 2013). Also, nitrate concentrations can be a consequence of the simultaneous influence of other anthropogenic sources, e.g. septic tanks, sewage and landfills. Despite this, there are also different natural factors that have both an influence on their stability and their mobility through the unsaturated and saturated zones. Nitrate concentrations can depend on the depth to aquifer, recharge, aquifer media, soil media, topography, impact of vadose zone media, hydraulic conductivity, surface leaching and aquifer type (ALLER et al., 1987; LAKE et al., 2003). Nitrate leaching from the unsaturated zone is a consequence of complex interactions between many factors, e.g. soil characteristics, nitrogen dynamics, depth to water table, land use practice, on-ground nitrogen loading and recharge (ALMASRI, 2003; 2007). DIMKIĆ et al. (2008) stated that nitrate concentrations can be predominantly found in aerobic media, where they have high mobility. Other nitrogen species, like nitrite and ammonium ions, are generally stable in predominantly anaerobic media. There are different indicators of aerobic and anaerobic conditions, but concentrations of dissolved oxygen (O$_2$) and the oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) represent the most important ones. Concentrations of dissolved oxygen between 1 and 2 mg/l, and ORP values between 150 to 200 mV, represent the approximate threshold values for defining the boundary between aerobic and anaerobic conditions. KOVAČ et al. (2016) showed that there is a statistically significant positive correlation between nitrate and dissolved oxygen concentrations in the Zagreb aquifer. Correlation and multivariate statistical analyses are very often used in geochemical research and the identification of potential nitrate contaminant sources (VIDAL et al., 2000; JEONG, 2001; MORATALLA et al., 2009). Even though nitrates are defined as one of the main group of contaminants in the study area (NAKIĆ et al., 2013), and are generally the consequence of anthropogenic influence, the main objective of this work was to define and quantify the correlation of five natural indicators, i.e. O$_2$, ORP, pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and temperature of groundwater, on nitrate (NO$_3^-$) concentrations in the Zagreb aquifer system. For this purpose, correlation and multivariate statistical analyses (principal component and cluster analysis) were used. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used for the reduction of variables that were then used in cluster analysis with the purpose of identifying groups of observation wells with similar characteristics.

2. RESEARCH AREA

The Zagreb aquifer system is located in the NW part of the Republic of Croatia (Fig. 1) and it represents the only source of potable water for the inhabitants of the City of Zagreb and Zagreb County. The Zagreb aquifer system is designated as a part of country’s strategic water reserves. It is located between Medvednica Mountain in the north and the Vukomeričke Gorice hills in the south and it covers an area of approximately 350 km$^2$. The wider region is characterized by great variability in lithology, land use, pedological features and the hydraulic properties of the aquifers.

The Zagreb aquifer system is composed of sediments of Quaternary age, deposited during the Middle and Upper Pleistocene and Holocene. Pleistocene deposits are represented by lacustrine-marshy deposits, while Holocene ones are alluvial de-
posits. Microfaunal and microfloral analysis were used for the definition of the main stratigraphic units (SOKAČ, 1978; HERNITZ et al., 1981). Changes in the sedimentary environment and petrographical origin of the clasts in gravels and sands was used for the determination of the boundary between Pleistocene and Holocene deposits. In the beginning of the Holocene, the Sava River started to flow and transport material from the Alps which was mainly carbonate, in contrast to the Pleistocene deposits, which were generally siliciclastic (VELIĆ & SAFTIĆ, 1991). Overall, Lower Pleistocene deposits are mostly composed of clayey silts and silty clays with sporadic interbeds and lenses of gravelly sands, while the lower and middle part of the Middle Pleistocene is composed of sands, with silts and clays discovered in the upper part. The Late Pleistocene is characterized by frequent lateral changes in gravels, sands, silts and clays, while the Holocene is generally composed of gravels and sands (VELIĆ & DURN, 1993). Hydrogeologically, the Zagreb aquifer system is divided into three main units (Figs. 2 and 3). The first unit is overburden, which is in the most part disintegrated by anthropogenic influences. The thickness of this unit generally varies from 2 to 8 m (RUŽIĆIĆ et al., 2012). Generally, Fluvisols, Stagnic Podzoluvisols and Eutric Cambisols are developed in the research area (SOLLITTO et al., 2010). The second unit is represented by the shallowest Holocene aquifer composed mostly of gravels and sands. The third unit is the deeper Pleistocene aquifer characterized by frequent lateral and vertical alternations of sand, gravel and clays (NAKIĆ et al., 2013). The thickness of the Holocene aquifer varies from 5 to 40 m, while the deeper aquifer extends up to 60 m depth in the eastern area (NAKIĆ et al., 2011). Even though these aquifer layers are hydraulically connected, geochemical stratification with depth is recognized. Groundwater from the Holocene aquifer generally belongs to a CaMg-HCO$_3$ type while the Pleistocene aquifer can be additionally characterized by CaMgNa-HCO$_3$ hydrogeochemical facies. Higher sodium concentrations in groundwater can also be a consequence of anthropogenic influence in some areas (VLAHOVIC et al., 2009; MARKOVIC et al., 2013).

The Holocene aquifer is an unconfined aquifer. It is in direct contact with the Sava River, while the general groundwater flow is from W/NW to E/SE. The Sava River represents the main source of recharge and the main boundary condition. POSAVEC (2006) showed that during medium and low water levels, the Sava River drains the aquifer in some areas, while during high water levels it gives water to the aquifer. This means that groundwater levels and the thickness of the unsaturated zone mainly depend on the Sava River, which significantly contributes to groundwater recharge in the study area (MILETIĆ & BAČANI, 1999).

Industrial development and fast growth of the City of Zagreb have affected the groundwater quality in the research area. NAKIĆ et al. (2013) have identified pesticides, nitrates, potentially toxic metals, pharmaceuticals and chlorinated aliphatics as the main contaminants. Leakage from septic tanks, sewage systems and agricultural activity present the main potential sources of nitrate contamination in the Zagreb aquifer area. Nitrate trends are generally decreasing except in the eastern part on the left bank of the Sava River (NAKIĆ et al., 2016). It has been noted that threshold values, calculated with a VB macro BACKGROUND (NAKIĆ et al., 2007), of nitrate concentrations in groundwater of the wider Zagreb area generally range from 7.6 to 18.9 mg/l (NAKIĆ et al., 2010; KOVAČ et al., 2013; NAKIĆ et al., 2016), depending on the hydrological conditions in which they were calculated. Those concentrations suggest existence of
very high ambient background nitrate concentrations in the study area which represents direct evidence of anthropogenic influence on the groundwater quality of the Zagreb aquifer. Also, groundwater levels are declining, on average, for 1-2 metres every ten years, while the permanent groundwater reserves have decreased for about 4% from 1976 to 2006 (BAČANI et al., 2010). The main reasons for groundwater decrease are associated with the deepening of the Sava riverbed, increased groundwater abstraction and construction of dykes along the Sava River (POSAVEC, 2006).

3. DATA AND METHODS
Groundwater quality data from 1991 to 2015 were used for this research. They originate from 153 observation wells of the National Monitoring Programme of Croatian Waters and the monitoring programme of the Jakuševec landfill. It has been noted that some observation wells were used in the monitoring programme for only a few years, after which they were excluded. Also, different sampling intervals have been observed, from monthly to yearly. Therefore, given the sampling interval variation and inconsistent exclusion and inclusion of some observation wells from the monitoring network through observed time period, the data were aggregated at the level of a given observation well. Average values were calculated for all the observed parameters (NO$_3^-$, O$_2$, ORP, pH, EC and temperature of groundwater) for each observation well. All values below the limit of quantification for nitrate were not taken into account (237 values of NO$_3^-$ in

Figure 2. A schematic longitudinal hydrogeological profile across the study area (modified according to BRKIĆ, 1999).

Figure 3. Schematic cross section hydrogeological profile (modified according to NAKIĆ et al., 2016).
Figure 4. Average nitrate concentration.

Figure 5. Average dissolved oxygen concentration.
about 16000 analysis, i.e. ~1.5% of values). Due to the lack of ORP data for some observation wells, statistical analyses were done using data from just 126 observation wells. A normal distribution of selected variables was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D-calculated value; \(D_0\)-critical value based on number of cases; \(\alpha=0.05\), using Statistica 64 (version 13.1) software. All data were standardized to Z-scores. Nitrate concentrations from three river stations (Jankomir, Petruševac and Rugvica, Fig. 1) were compared to the nitrate groundwater concentrations.

Pearson \(r\) and Spearman \(\rho\) correlation coefficients were calculated for all the observed parameters. Parametric and nonparametric correlation coefficients were used due to differences in the normal distribution of the selected parameters. There are different classifications concerning the interpretation of correlation coefficients. For example, UDOVIČIĆ et al. (2007) stated that correlations coefficients values from 0 to \(\pm 0.25\) indicate absence of correlation, values from \(\pm 0.25\) to \(\pm 0.50\) indicate poor correlation, values from \(\pm 0.50\) to \(\pm 0.75\) indicate moderate to good correlation and values from \(\pm 0.75\) to \(\pm 1\) indicate very good to excellent correlation. The statistical significance of correlation coefficients was calculated using a \(t\)-test (\(\alpha=0.05\)), using Statistica 64 software. Principal component analysis (default extraction method under factor analysis in Statistica 64) was used to identify the principal components (PC) and variables that create them. Varimax rotation was used for interpretation of final loadings due to its general successful applicability (HAIR et al., 2010). Cluster analysis was then performed using only those variables that had loadings \(>\pm 0.5\) (which is consistent with guidelines provided in HAIR et al. (2010)), and were in the same principal component with nitrates. The purpose of cluster analysis was to group observation wells based on variables obtained from principal component analysis. For those purposes cluster analysis was tested using Ward’s method, single linkage and complete linkage rules, as well as Euclidean and squared Euclidean distances, while Ward’s method, single linkage and complete linkage rules, as well as Euclidean and squared Euclidean distances were used as distance measures. Even though there are different rules of thumb that prescribe the minimal requirement of sample size for factor analysis, a minimum of 100 cases (MACCALLUM et al., 1999) and a case/variable ratio of 5:1 (BRYANT & YARNOLD, 1995; MACCALLUM et al., 1999) rule was satisfied. Regarding usage of minimal sample size in cluster analysis, MOOI & SARSTEDT (2011) stated that there is no general rule of thumb that provides minimum sample size, or the relationship between the cases and number of clustering variables. In the end, all available pH and ORP data were placed on a Pourbaix diagram for nitrogen compounds, which shows the possible stable equilibrium phases of an aqueous electrochemical system. For this purpose 3202 pairs of pH and ORP values available from groundwater chemical analyses were used. Calculations and figure construction was done using Microsoft® Excel, Statistica 64 (version 13.1) and ArcMap 10.1, while a geocoded terrain (georeferenced orthophoto) image was obtained from the geoportal of the Croatian Geodetic Administration. All maps are presented using the official coordinate system of the Republic of Croatia (HTRS96/TM).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Average values of observed variables

Average nitrate concentrations from 153 observation wells are shown in Fig. 4. They vary from 0.8 to 44.20 mg l\(^{-1}\) \(\text{NO}_3^-\). The highest concentrations are registered in groundwater of the urban part of the City of Zagreb (the left bank of the Sava River) and in the predominantly agricultural area between Mala Mlaka and Velika Goricà City (the right bank of the Sava River). Dissolved oxygen concentrations are shown in Fig. 5 and are divided into 3 groups (<1, 1-2 and >2 mg l\(^{-1}\)). Dissolved oxygen concentrations were divided into 3 groups to identify observation wells with predominantly aerobic conditions (>2 mg l\(^{-1}\)), predominantly anaerobic conditions (<1 mg l\(^{-1}\)), and those that have threshold values between the two types of conditions (1-2 mg l\(^{-1}\)). It can be seen that predominantly aerobic conditions prevail in the western part of the aquifer system, while more anaerobic conditions occur in the eastern part of the aquifer system and in some observation wells that are located in the vicinity of the Sava River. Observation wells that have dissolved oxygen concentrations between 1 and 2 mg l\(^{-1}\) only occur in the eastern part, near the Sava River. Average ORP values vary from 48.82 to 524.89 mV, while pH values vary from 6.98 to 7.63. EC ranges from 425.28 to 1241.4 \(\mu\text{S/cm}\), where the higher values are mostly located on the left bank of the Sava River, in the urban part of the City of Zagreb. Water temperature varies on average from 11.4 to 17 °C.

4.2. Correlation

Results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test are shown in Table 1. Results show that ORP and temperature of groundwater are not normally distributed, while \(\text{NO}_3^-\), \(\text{O}_2\), pH and EC show normal distribution patterns.

Correlation coefficient matrices for \(r\) and \(\rho\) are shown in Table 2. All statistically significant results are marked in red. Generally, the results show that nitrate concentrations are more related to \(\text{pH}\), \(\text{O}_2\) and EC, where correlation with \(\text{pH}\) is negative, while correlation with the other two parameters is positive. Positive correlations of \(\text{NO}_3^-\) with \(\text{O}_2\) and EC seem very logical because higher \(\text{O}_2\) concentrations should provide a more stable geochemical
environment for nitrates, while higher EC values are generally associated with higher human impact, resulting in higher concentrations of dissolved substances, including higher NO₃⁻ concentrations. pH is negatively correlated with O₂, EC and NO₃⁻. ORP values show no or very poor correlation with all other variables, as well as with the groundwater temperature. Usually it is suggested that Pearson correlation coefficient shouldn’t be used when variables are not normally distributed. It has to be stressed that both Pearson and Spearman correlation statistics for ORP and groundwater temperature are very similar indicating that in some cases Pearson correlation coefficient can be used although all variables don’t follow the normal distribution. It is obvious that the Pearson correlation coefficient can be used when only one variable has a normal distribution and when a sufficiently large data size is available, which is consistent with recommendations provided by UDOVIČIĆ et al. (2007).

4.3. Principal component analysis

Principal component analysis generated 2 principal components explaining 76% of the total variance, where 54% was explained by the first component. Varimax raw rotated loadings are shown in Table 3, together with its communalities. PC 1 is presented with pH, O₂, EC and NO₃⁻; while PC 2 is presented with groundwater temperature and ORP. It is evident that an oxygenated environment generates higher nitrate concentrations and EC values which results in a more acidic environment. Also, results indicate that the ORP and temperature of groundwater are not related to nitrate concentrations, which coincides with previous results. Furthermore, results suggest that ORP and groundwater temperature present variables that are less under human influence than the variables from PC 1. Due to very low communalities of ORP (<0.5) and the affiliation of the temperature of groundwater to different principal components, these two variables were excluded from the cluster analysis. Varimax rotation loadings are shown in Fig 6.

4.4. Cluster analysis

Cluster analysis was performed using three different methods, where Ward’s method generated the two most distinctive clusters (Fig. 7 and 8). In all cases squared Euclidean distances and Euclidean distances gave similar results. However, the squared distances facilitated the drawing of conclusions regarding the number of clusters.

The results of cluster analysis obtained using Ward’s method have been taken as the most representative one, which is probably a consequence of Ward’s method of calculation. Single and linkage methods define the similarity of clusters using minimum and maximum distances between objects, while Ward’s methods maximizes the homogeneity between clusters using the sum of squares within the cluster. Evaluation of projected clusters have shown two main results. First, clusters have very distinctive concentrations of NO₃⁻, O₂ and EC (Table 4). Cluster 1 has generally lower values of variables, while cluster 2 has higher values. In cluster 1 the average value of O₂ from 61 observation wells is 1.77 mg/l, of EC is 573.31 μS/cm, and of NO₃⁻ is 7.84 mg/l. In cluster 2 the average value of O₂ from 65 observation wells is 4.8 mg/l,

| Variable | Varimax rotation | Communalities |
|----------|-----------------|---------------|
|          | PC 1 | PC 2 | From 1 PC | From 2 PC | Multiple R-Square |
| Temperature | 0.04 | -0.87 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.33 |
| pH | -0.93 | 0.13 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.93 |
| ORP | -0.28 | 0.51 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 0.11 |
| O₂ | 0.78 | 0.49 | 0.61 | 0.84 | 0.74 |
| EC | 0.92 | -0.24 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.93 |
| NO₃⁻ | 0.91 | 0.08 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.78 |

![Figure 6. Varimax raw rotated variable loadings on PC 1 and PC 2.](image-url)
of EC is 859.03 μS/cm, and of NO$_3^-$ is 25.93 mg/l. These results indicate that NO$_3^-$ concentrations are generally controlled by O$_2$ concentrations. Also, where higher concentrations of EC are recorded, higher nitrate concentrations can also be expected, which is also confirmed by correlation analysis. Secondly, if clusters are evaluated spatially (Fig. 9), observation wells in different clusters generally coincide with the average values of dissolved oxygen shown in Fig. 3. Most of the observation wells from cluster 1 are near the Sava River, in the area with the lower oxygen content. Nitrate concentrations in the Sava River have average concentrations of 7.33 mg/l at the Jankomir station, 7.5 mg/l at the Petruševec, and 6.67 mg/l at Rugvica (Table 5), while the ave-
rage NO$_3^-$ concentrations in cluster 1 are similar, i.e. 7.84 mg/l.
Lower values of O$_2$ in the eastern part of the Zagreb aquifer system are probably a consequence of greater aquifer depth and mixing of different groundwaters from Holocene (more oxidative condition) and Pleistocene aquifers (more reductive condition). Also, results indicate that the Sava River has an influence on the dissolved oxygen concentrations. The reasons for this could be varied. They can be associated with too many bacteria and an excess amount of biological oxygen demand, and maybe with fertilizer runoff from farm fields. The first reason is the more likely in this case because it can be associated with some kind of organic discharge, probably sewage. These results indicate that the quality of the Sava River is under very significant anthropogenic influences.

### 4.5. Pourbaix diagram and dominant nitrogen species

In general, all statistical analyses showed the close relationship of NO$_3^-$, O$_2$, EC and pH. ORP and groundwater temperature showed a very poor relationship with the other variables. When evaluating the Pourbaix diagram for nitrogen species and measured data (Fig. 9) in the Zagreb aquifer, it can be seen that all values are oriented more in a vertical than in a horizontal direction. This indicates constant change in oxidative and reductive conditions in the Zagreb aquifer, which is also probably the reason why the correlation between NO$_3^-$ and ORP can’t be ob-

### Table 4. Average, minimum and maximum values of two defined clusters.

| Variable | Number of observation wells | Temperature (°C) | pH | ORP (mV) | O$_2$ (mg/l) | EC (μS/cm) | NO$_3^-$ (mg/l) |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------------|----|----------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Cluster 1 | Minimum 61                  | 13.50            | 7.14| 234.27   | 4.80        | 859.03     | 25.93         |
|          | Maximum 65                  | 15.59            | 7.53| 477.24   | 7.53        | 1241.40    | 44.20         |

### Table 5. Average, minimum and maximum values of nitrate in the Sava River.

| Station   | Parameter | NO$_3^-$ (mg/l) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Jankomir  | Average   | 7.33            |
|           | Minimum   | 1.33            |
|           | Maximum   | 27.01           |
| Petrušev  | Average   | 7.5             |
|           | Minimum   | 1.77            |
|           | Maximum   | 25.67           |
| Rugvica   | Average   | 6.67            |
|           | Minimum   | 3.94            |
|           | Maximum   | 8.76            |

Figure 9. Clusters of observation wells with similar characteristics.
served. In Fig. 10 it can be seen that the most frequent average ORP class is that between 150 and 200 mV, which presents the threshold class between oxidative and reductive conditions in the aquifer (DIMKIĆ et al., 2008). Also, Fig. 10 shows that about 50% of observation wells have ORP values higher than 200 mV, which suggests that in the Zagreb aquifer system moderately oxi-

Figure 10. Pourbaix diagram for nitrogen species at Zagreb aquifer (background picture modified according to PUIGDOMENECH, 2006; HUSSON, 2013).

Figure 11. Histogram of average ORP values at Zagreb aquifer.

Figure 12. Occurrence of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_2^-$ concentrations in Zagreb aquifer from 1991 to 2015.
In cluster 1 lower values of NO₃⁻ relation analysis indicated that only O₂, EC and NO₂⁻ concentrations (249 values at 69 observation wells) observed period. Due to the great variability of ORP in the Zagreb aquifer system. Moreover, Fig. 12 shows that concentrations of NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ on most observation wells occur less than five times in the observed period.

5. CONCLUSION
Nitrates represent one of the main groups of contaminants in the Zagreb aquifer system. Their concentrations are mainly the result of anthropogenic influences. Despite this, some natural groundwater quality indicators, more or less influenced by humans, may affect their stability and mobility in groundwater. Average values of NO₃⁻, O₂, ORP, pH, EC and the temperature of groundwater were used to test their relationship using correlation and multivariate statistical analysis. Pearson and Spearman correlation statistics have produced similar results in that NO₃⁻ is generally positively correlated with O₂ and EC, and negatively with pH. ORP values showed no to very poor correlation with all other variables, as well as with the temperature of groundwater. Correlation analysis indicated that only O₂, EC and pH were variables related to nitrate, which was confirmed with multivariate statistical analysis results. PCA was used to identify principal components and variables which were then used as variables in the cluster analysis. It generated 2 PCs where only pH, O₂, EC and NO₂⁻ from PC 1, with factor loadings > 0.5, were used in the cluster analysis. Cluster analysis was tested with Ward’s method, single linkage and complete linkage rules, while Euclidean and squared Euclidean distances were used as distance measures. Different distance measures did not provide any significantly different results of the cluster analysis, but linkage rule methods did. Ward’s method generated two most distinctive clusters, probably because of the difference in calculation of the difference between clusters, and its results were used for the evaluation of two clusters. Clusters mostly differ in O₂, EC and NO₂⁻ concentrations. In cluster 1 lower values of NO₂⁻ are probably a consequence of the influence of the Sava River and greater aquifer depth in the eastern part of the aquifer system, where mixing of anaerobic and aerobic water occurs. Due to the great variability of ORP in the study area and lack of NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ species in groundwater, it can be assumed that very rapid nitrification of NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ to NO₃⁻ occurs in the Zagreb aquifer system, particularly in the shallow Holocene aquifer.
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