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The liquefaction of tailings is a common failure mode under earthquake actions. The traditional treatment measures of waste rubber have the disadvantages of environmental pollution and occupying soil resources. The combined treatment of waste rubber and tailings is very likely to have important engineering application and theoretical value, and the dynamic characteristics of the rubber-tailings mixture (RTM) are essential and indispensable for handling them together. In this paper, the dynamic triaxial test was used to study the dynamic mechanical characteristics of the RTM. The test was divided into 5 groups with 54 valid samples. The effects of the rubber particle size, rubber content, consolidation pressure, pH value, and soaking time on the dynamic characteristics of the RTM were studied and discussed. The results show that the liquefaction cycle number of the RTM is 50% higher than that of tailings, and the particle cluster effect theory of the RTM is put forward. It will provide a theoretical basis and guidance for the treatment of the waste rubber and tailings.

1. Introduction

The tailings dam is an artificial dangerous debris flow with high potential energy. China’s tailings dam is not only large in quantity but also small in scale and is widely distributed. At present, most of the tailings are disposed of by stacking tailings dam. However, due to the poor dynamic characteristics and low liquefaction resistance of tailings material, the dam break disasters or related accidents of tailings dam occur frequently under earthquake actions [1]. By November 2015, the total amount of tailings in use or untreated only in China exceeded 20 billion tons [2]. Consequently, the safe and effective treatment measures of tailings have important engineering application value.

With the rapid development of the global economy, the number of waste rubbers is quickly increasing. According to statistics, $5 \times 10^6$ tons of waste rubber are produced every year in the world [3]. Waste rubber occupies a lot of land resources, destroys vegetation growth, and easily leads to fire [4]. Furthermore, waste rubber has strong heat resistance, mechanical abrasion resistance, and corrosion resistance, which will cause long-term harm to the environment [5]. The rubber particles made from waste rubber have the characteristics of low relative density, high water permeability, high elastic deformation, and high damping ratio [6]. The rubber particles can absorb seismic load under earthquake load. However, the deformation modulus of rubber particles is low and the bearing capacity is insufficient. If rubber particles are mixed with tailings, the dynamic characteristics of rubber-tailings mixture (RTM) may be greatly improved, which can be widely and extensively used in the retaining wall, road subgrade, and airport runway [7]. Considering the similarity of mechanical properties between tailings and sand, this paper reviews the research
The progress of the RTM from the characteristics of rubber-sand mixtures (RSM). In recent years, the mechanical properties of the RSM have been widely investigated by scholars. Figure 1 shows the research paper related to the RSM publications on Web of Science, in which the search keywords are two titles, rubber and sand, and the logical relationship is “and.” It can be seen from Figure 1 that the research on RSM is in a trend that constantly moves upward. Lee et al. [8], Wang et al. [9], and Edil and Bosscher [10] had proved that the RSM could be used in the retaining wall, Earth fill, and highway base on the triaxial test, constant head permeability test, consolidated drainage triaxial test, and large-scale direct shear test. Cristine [11] proved that the addition of rubber could reduce the cost and displacement of the retaining wall. Moghaddas and Norouzi [12] obtained the best ratio, thickness, and buried depth of cushion of the RSM through the bearing capacity test. Panjamani and Manohar [13] proved that the peak strength, brittleness index, and energy consumption capacity of the RSM could be increased to about 25% through the direct shear test and triaxial test. Pamukcu and Akbulut [14] showed that the addition of rubber particles increased the damping ratio of pure sand by conducting torsional resonance column tests of the RSM. Marto et al. [15] attested that adding rubber particles into sand could effectively improve its shear properties through the direct shear test of the RSM. Abdelhaleem and Lotty [16] and Hazarika et al. [17] revealed that the RSM could effectively improve resistant seismic load, increase the natural period of site soil, inhibit low cycle action under seismic, and reduce the residual displacement under seismic action through the vibrating table tests and other studies. Okur and Umu [18] discussed the effects of the relative size and proportion of the rubber on the dynamic characteristics of the RTM and developed semiempirical relationships to determine the cyclic characteristics of the RTM. Conducting the torsional resonance column tests and dynamic triaxial tests of rubber soil and RSM, Anastasiadis et al. [19, 20] stated that the shear modulus and damping ratio of the RTM were significantly affected by the content of rubber and the relative size of rubber to soil particles. Furthermore, Anastasiadis et al. [21], Ehsani et al. [22], Zheng and Sutter [23], and Bahador and Manafi [24] showed that the shear modulus decreased and the damping ratio increased with the content of rubber particle.

Although the mechanical properties of tailings and sand are similar, there are great differences between them. The tailings material is particles of original rock ore after crushing and grinding. Compared with the original rock, the size and shape of tailings particles are greatly different in the process of mining engineering. Contrasted with the natural sand, the tailings material has great differences in the particle size, particle shape, and particle distribution, because the tailings material has undergone acid washing and other operations in the process of mineral extraction. According to the above research, there are many researches on the RSM but few reports on the RTM. The RTM is likely to be used as a subgrade filler and other related projects. Nonetheless, the tailings are often acidic, which may affect the mechanical characteristics of the RTM. Therefore, it is of great engineering application and theoretical value to study the dynamic characteristics of the RTM under the influence of multiple factors.

In this research, the dynamic characteristics of the RTM under different effects, namely, the rubber particle size, rubber particle content, consolidation pressure, pH value, and acid immersion time, were analyzed by the dynamic triaxial test. The mechanism of its change law is elaborated, and the particle cluster effect is proposed. It is of great significance to improve the dynamic characteristics of tailings, reduce the occurrence of disasters, and realize the reuse of waste rubber.

2. Experimental Program

2.1. Material. The tailings selected in this experiment are from Kafang tailings pond in Gejiu of Yunnan Province, belonging to tin tailings. The particle-size distribution chart of tailings is shown in Figure 2, in which the nonuniformity coefficient $C_u$ is 2.80, and the curvature coefficient $C_c$ is 0.85. The density of tailings $\rho$ is 2.80, the specific density $G_s$ is 3.07, and the vertical permeability coefficient $k_v$ is $2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ m/s. The scanning electron microscope of tailings is shown in Figure 3.

The rubber particles were provided by Huayi Rubber Co., Ltd., and were made from waste tires by multistep crushing. The bulk density of rubber particles was about 0.75 g/cm$^3$ and the relative density was 1.13 g/cm$^3$. Four kinds of rubber particles—called CR1, CR2, CR3, and CR4—were prepared. The size of rubber particles CR1 was from 3.35 mm to 4 mm, that of CR2 was from 1.18 mm to 2 mm, that of CR3 was from 0.60 mm to 0.85 mm, and that of CR4 was from 0.18 mm to 0.25 mm.

2.2. Experimental Procedure. This test mainly studied the dynamic characteristics of the RTM under multiple effects, namely, rubber particle content, rubber particle size, consolidation pressure, pH value of the soaked solution, and acid soaking time. The test scheme was shown in Table 1.
Figure 2: The particle-size distribution chart of Kafang tailings.

Figure 3: The scanning electron microscope of tailings particles.

Table 1: The table of test samples for each variable.

| Label of experimental sample | Rubber particle content (%) | Rubber particle size (mm) | Consolidation pressure (kPa) | pH value of soaked solution | Acid soaking time (d) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| RT1                          | 0                           |                          |                               |                           |                      |
| RT2                          | 5                           | 2–1.18                   | 100                           | 7                         | 28                   |
| RT3                          | 15                          |                          |                               |                           |                      |
| RT4                          | 35                          |                          |                               |                           |                      |
| RT5                          | 15                          | 4–3.35                   |                               | 7                         | 28                   |
| RT6                          | 15                          | 2–1.18                   | 100                           | 7                         | 28                   |
| RT7                          | 15                          | 0.85–0.60                |                               |                           |                      |
|                              |                             | 0.25–0.18                |                               |                           |                      |
| RT8                          | 15                          | 2–1.18                   | 100                           | 7                         | 28                   |
| RT9                          |                             |                          | 150                           |                           |                      |
| RT10                         |                             |                          | 200                           |                           |                      |
| RT11                         |                             |                          |                               | 1                         |                      |
| RT12                         |                             |                          |                               | 2                         |                      |
| RT3                          |                             |                          |                               | 3                         |                      |
|                              |                             |                          |                               |                           |                      |
| RT13                         |                             |                          |                               |                           |                      |
| RT14                         |                             |                          |                               |                           |                      |
| RT15                         |                             |                          |                               |                           |                      |
| RT12                         |                             |                          |                               |                           |                      |

Note. The content of rubber particles in the RTM is the volume ratio content.
2.3. Sample Preparation. Before mixing rubber particles with tailings, due to the existence of some small bubbles and hydrophobic layers, the rubber particles floated on the water surface and were difficult to reach the saturation state. In order to shorten the saturation time of rubber particles and improve the saturation effect, the rubber particles were rubbed in water every other day to drive out the bubbles as soon as possible.

For the samples from RT10 to RT15, the rubber particles were soaked in the acid solution with predetermined pH value and kneaded in water to make the rubber particles reach the saturation state, as shown in Figure 4. After the predetermined soaking time was reached, the rubber particles were repeatedly rubbed in distilled water with pH = 7, and the pure water was continuously replaced to make the pH value of rubber particles reach 7.

The rubber particles and tailings were vacuum-saturated in distilled water for 24 h. The wet ramming method was used to make the specimen [25]. The rubber and tailings were mixed and stirred manually for 5 min to reach the uniform state. Then they were put into the sample mold for vibration and sample preparation. The B value of the sample must be above 0.96 during the saturation process of the testing machine to make the sample reach the saturation state.

2.4. Experiment Instrument. The electromagnetic vibration triaxial test system, whose type is DDS-70, is used in this experiment, as shown in Figure 5. The axial pressure is less than or equal to 120 N, and the load frequency is less than or equal to 60 Hz. Peng et al. measured the seismic-time curve and analyzed its frequency spectrum characteristics. It was demonstrated that the dominant frequency of earthquake was about 1.4–7.25 Hz, while the fundamental frequency was about 1 Hz [26]. According to the field measured data of traffic load, the vibration frequency is constantly changing [27]. Combined with the range of test instruments, the test load adopts a sine wave with the frequency of 10 Hz. Zhang and Wang [28] carried out tests with saturated standard coarse sand at different frequencies from 1 Hz to 20 Hz, which showed that the same liquefaction effect could be obtained by long vibration time at low frequency and short vibration time at high frequency. The vibration frequency has a significant influence on the development of axial strain during the vibration process of saturated dense sand [29]. The increase of frequency will reduce the axial strain of sand during liquefaction. Different vibration frequency will change the growth rate of axial strain with time and vibration number before liquefaction and affect the relationship between axial strain ratio and vibration number ratio [30].

3. Effects of Rubber Crumbs on the Resistance to Liquefaction of Tailings

3.1. Effects of Particle Size of the Rubber. The displacement-time curve of the RTM is shown in Figure 6. The displacement time can be divided into three stages. The first stage is called stage I—deformation oscillation—in which there is no large deformation. The second stage is called stage II—deformation aggravation—in which the deformation increases rapidly and the deformation speed is fast. The third stage is called stage III—stability stage—in which the deformation is stable and the deformation increment is small. It can be seen that stage I is not obvious, but stage II and stage III are more conspicuous. Compared with the displacement-time diagram of the test, it can be seen that the time required for stage II gradually increases, and the transition of stage II and stage III...
gradually slows down as the size of rubber particles
increases.

The diagram between pore water pressure and time of
the RTM is shown in Figure 7. The accumulation rate of pore
water pressure gradually slows down with the increase of
rubber particle size. The cumulative velocity of pore water
pressure is significantly slower than that of other samples,
especially for the RTM which adds Cr4.

The chart between the liquefaction cycle number and
particle size under different rubber particle sizes of the RTM
is shown in Figure 8. As the content of rubber particles is
15%, the liquefaction cycle number of rubber particles with
the particle size of 0.25 mm, 0.85 mm, 2 mm, and 4 mm is 17,
25, 60, and 56, respectively. It can be seen that the lique-
facation cycle number of the RTM increases rapidly at first
and then decreases slowly with the rubber particle size. The
area can be divided into parts I and II, as shown in Figure 8.
In the particle size range of 0–2 mm—zone I—the lique-
facation cycle number of the RTM is basically linear with the
particle size of rubber, and the goodness of fit of the linear
fitting is 0.944. When the particle size of rubber exceeds
2 mm—zone II—the liquefaction resistance of the RTM
decreases with the rubber particle size. The failure or liq-
uefaction criteria for all tests are taken as the state when the
cumulative dynamic strain reaches 10%.

3.2. Effects of Rubber Fraction. The relationship between the
rubber content and pore water pressure is shown in Figure 9,
and it can be seen that the growth rate of pore water pressure
gradually slows down, but the pore water pressure also
gradually decreases when it reaches the liquefaction stan-
dard with the increase of the mixing amount. In other words,
the increase of rubber particle content can reduce the in-
creased rate of pore water pressure and also reduce the value
of pore water pressure during liquefaction.

The relationship between rubber content and liquefac-
tion cycle number is shown in Figure 10. The liquefaction
resistance of the RTM increases rapidly with rubber content
in the range of 0–15%. When the content is more than 15%,
the antiliquiefcation ability gradually decreases. The fitting
formula is \( N = 0.892 + 5.223C - 0.125C^2 \), and \( R \) value
reaches 0.987, which basically conforms to the change law of
quadratic parabola. The liquefaction resistance of the RTM
increases with the content of rubber particles in a certain
range, but the increase rate gradually decreases. When the
content of the rubber particle reaches a certain value, the
continuous increase of rubber particle content will lead to
the decrease of the antiliquiefcation ability of the RTM.

3.3. Effects of Confining Pressure. Manipulating multiple
groups of data, the average value is taken after removing the
data with large variance. The relationship between the
consolidation pressure and liquefaction cycle number is
shown in Figure 11. The liquefaction cycle number of the RTM samples increases at first and then decreases with the
increase of consolidation pressure, which is different from
the curve obtained from pure sand by other researchers. The
liquefaction cycle number of the RTM sample increases

![Figure 7: The pore pressure diagram-time chart under different rubber particle size.](image)

![Figure 8: The diagram between liquefaction cycle number and particle size.](image)

![Figure 9: The diagram between pore water pressure and time under different mixing amount.](image)
rapidly in the range of 100–150 kPa, while the liquefaction cycle number of the RTM sample decreases in the range of 150–200 kPa.

The displacement-time curves under different consolidation pressures are compared, showing a significant difference in stage I of each curve, as shown in Figure 12. Compared with the displacement-time diagrams in Figure 12, the time required for stage I increases significantly with the consolidation pressure. It indicates that the time required for stage II and stage III is significantly reduced by comparing the displacement-time diagram under 150 kPa and 200 kPa consolidation pressure. Furthermore, the increasing rate of pore water pressure also shows a significant slowdown with the increase of consolidation pressure, as shown in Figure 13.

3.4. Effects of the pH of the Solution Fraction. Compared with the RTM unsoaked in acid solution, the liquefaction cycle number of the RTM soaked in acid solution is significantly reduced, as shown in Figure 14. The antiliquefaction ability of RTM decreases rapidly when the pH value of the soaked solution decreases from 7 to 2. When the pH value of the soaked solution continued to decrease, the antiliquefaction ability of the RTM was improved. However, the liquefaction resistance of the RTM is still far lower than that of the RTM without acid soaking treatment.

3.5. Effects of the Acid Treatment Time. Compared with the RTM soaked in water, the liquefaction resistance of the RTM decreases significantly, and the liquefaction resistance of the RTM with both types is obviously higher than that of the pure tailings. When the soaking time is extended
from 3 days to 28 days, the change of liquefaction cycle times is not more than 10% and the change is not obvious, as shown in Figure 15. The results show that most rubber particles have been corroded after soaking in sulfuric acid solution with pH = 2 for 3 days. Magnifying the broken line at 3–28 days, the broken line rises first and then decreases.

4. Discussion

4.1. Particle Size Variable. When some small particles gather together due to the force between the particles, they play the role of large particles in the sample, which is called the particle cluster effect. In this experiment, the volume of rubber particles with a large particle size is much larger than that of tailings particles, and the surface is uneven with a large number of convex and concave shape. Due to the low compression modulus of rubber particles, the convex shape of rubber particles will deform subjecting to external actions, consolidation stress and dynamic load, which will increase the contact effect on tailings particles and even produce the wrapping effect. When rubber particles contact with tailings, the rubber particles will become the core, and the tailings will be wrapped to form larger particle clusters, which will produce the particle cluster effect and improve its liquefaction resistance. When the rubber particle size is small, the convex and concave regions on the surface of rubber particles are small, weakening the binding effect on tailings particles and the particle cluster effect. The effective restraint of rubber particles by the convex and concave region of rubber particles is gradually enhanced, and the particle cluster effect is also gradually enhanced with the increase of rubber particle size. When the rubber particles are large, the ratio of surface to volume decreases with the increase of rubber particle size, although the convex and concave regions of rubber particles can effectively restrain the tailings particles. When the rubber particles have the same content, the surface area of large-size rubber particles is smaller, whose particle cluster effect is not as good as that of medium-size rubber particles, as shown in Figure 16.

The influence of small rubber particles on the permeability coefficient of the RTM cannot be ignored. Extruded by the external force, the rubber particles will produce elastic deformation due to the large compressibility and block the drainage channel. Compared with ordinary tailings, the powder of fine rubber particles has the effect of filling the gap of particles because of the small particle size and reduces its permeability coefficient, which weakens the liquefaction resistance of the RTM. The effect of fine rubber particles in the RTM is similar to that of silt or clay in the sand [31]. Sladen et al. [32] found that the compressibility of sand-mixed large amounts of fine-grained sand would be improved and the permeability coefficient would be reduced under the constant external load through experimental analysis.

The large rubber particles can significantly improve the drainage channel of the RTM. According to the research of Li et al. [33], adding rubber particles with larger size into the standard sand can strengthen the particle cluster effect, increase the permeability coefficient, and improve the liquefaction resistance. When the dynamic stress acts on the sample, the pore water pressure generated is uneven in all parts of the sample, and seepage will occur in the soil, which will induce seepage liquefaction. The addition of rubber particles can improve the internal drainage conditions of soil, optimize the drainage channel, and reduce the influence of dynamic load on seepage liquefaction.
In general, the fine particles will not be evenly distributed in the sample and will form a layer or block of fine particles. Seid-Karbasi and Byrne [34] studied the effect of fine particle layer on the liquefaction resistance of sand in the experiment of pore redistribution. It was found that the fine particle layer can destroy the particle structure among sand particles by hindering the dissipation of excess pore water pressure and thus lead to the destruction of the stability of the sample in the liquefaction process. In practical engineering, the RTM may be used as reinforced building materials to improve the liquefaction performance of building materials. The mixture must be fully mixed evenly, avoiding the powder of fine rubber to form a layered structure, which will reduce the permeability coefficient of the RTM and eventually weaken its antiliquefaction ability.

The results show that the effect of fine-grained rubber in the RTM is not obvious and cannot increase the permeability coefficient. However, the antiliquefaction coefficient of the sample is still significantly improved due to the energy absorption of rubber particles. The high damping rubber is a kind of polymer formed by macromolecular chain segments, which are irregular and tortuous. When the external work is done, the polymer has a relative displacement. The internal friction force can change the external work into heat energy, showing the characteristics of energy absorption for the rubber particles [35]. When the RTM is subjected to dynamic load, the rubber particles are compressed and deformed. Due to the internal friction force, the polymer will change the load into heat energy and dissipate part of the external force because of energy absorption characteristics, which improve the antiliquefaction ability of tailings. The energy absorption of rubber particles is only related to the percentage of the rubber particles and has no obvious relationship with the particle size.

In short, the influence of rubber particle size on the liquefaction resistance of the RTM is particle cluster effect, increasing drainage channel and energy absorption effect. The particle cluster effect of rubber particles with medium particle size is the most obvious under the same content of rubber particles. Small rubber particles decrease the permeability coefficient of the RTM, while large rubber particles increase the permeability coefficient of the RTM. The energy absorption of rubber particles is only related to the content of rubble particles and is independent of particle size. Therefore, the antiliquefaction performance of the RTM needs to select rubber particles with different particle sizes as additives according to the specific requirements in practical engineering.

4.2. Content Variable. Under the same size of rubber particles, the aggregate formed by the rubber particles and tailings increases with the content of rubber particles, which indicates that the content of coarse aggregate in the RTM increases. Due to the fact that the size of rubber particles is larger than tailings particles, the permeability coefficient increases and the antiliquefaction ability is enhanced considering the particle cluster effect. However, when the content of rubber particles increases to a certain extent, the particle cluster effect will also reach its limitation, which has an upper limit on the antiliquefaction capability of the RTM. When the content of rubber particles is too high, the influence areas of different rubber particles overlap each other, as shown in Figure 17. Therefore, the particle cluster effect caused by rubber particles cannot increase the liquefaction resistance further.

The energy absorption effect of the RTM is closely related to the content of rubber particles. The proportion of rubber area on the same cross section also increases with the rubber content, and the energy absorption effect of the rubber is more obvious. However, a too high amount of rubber particles will affect the compression properties of the RTM. Due to the low density of rubber itself and the high modulus of resilience, the rubber particles are deformed greatly after compression under the same compaction condition, and the rubber particles rebound after the load is removed.

The compression modulus of rubber particles is much lower than that of tailings. When the RTM specimen is compressed under load, the rubber particles will have greater compression deformation. When the rubber particle content in the specimen is too high, the main stress frame of the specimen is made of rubber, and it is easy to cause large displacement under compression, which makes the geological structure not to meet the limit state of normal use due to excessive deformation.

4.3. Confining Pressure Variable. In the range of Section 1, the contact of tailings to tailings and rubber to rubber and a small amount of rubber to rubber are more closely with the increase of confining pressure. Due to the particle cluster effect, the size and quantity of large particle clusters increase, leading to an increase of the liquefaction resistance.

In the range of Section 2, the compression amount of rubber particles increases with the confining pressure. The relationship between the compression amount of rubber particles and the required force is $L = kx^2$. When force $F_1$ acts on the rubber block, the displacement $X_1$ is produced. The added force $F_2$ acts based on $F_1$, and the total displacement is $X_1 + X_2$. When force $F_1$ is larger, the displacement $X_2$ produced by the same $F_2$ is smaller. In terms of energy absorption effect of rubber, the smaller the displacement is, the lower the heat generated by the friction of rubber particles is, which indicates that the energy absorption effect of rubber particles has a close relationship with displacement. When the consolidation pressure increases, the particle clusters formed by the particle cluster effect are more compact and larger. However, the density increase of particle clusters does not increase the liquefaction resistance of the sample, as the confining pressure increases. Because of the limitation of the rubber surface area, the size of particle clusters also reaches its upper limit. Considering the above two reasons, the liquefaction resistance of RTM cannot increase linearly with the confining pressure.

4.4. Acid Treatment Time Variable. The rubber particles unsoaked in acid solution have a smooth surface and airtight and flat. When the rubber particles are soaked in the acid solution for 3–7 days, some cavities appear on the surface of
rubber particles. After soaking for 14 days, the cavity of rubber particles is further reduced and the dense structure is exposed. When the number of holes is basically the same after 28 days, and the size of rubber particles becomes slightly small.

4.5. The pH Value of the Solution Fraction Variable. The concentration of hydrogen ion provided by acid solution with pH = 2 is higher than that with pH = 3. The effects of two rubber particles on tailings are very similar after soaking for 28 days. The reason may be that the concentration of hydrogen ion provided by the two solutions is enough to meet the demand for rubber reaction. However, the antiliquefaction ability of the RTM is slightly improved by soaking rubber particles in the acid solution with pH = 1. The reason may be that the acid solution with pH = 1 has stronger oxidation, which makes rubber particles oxidize and changes the physical properties.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the dynamic triaxial test of the RTM, the influence of different factors on its dynamic performance was studied.

(1) Under the same content of rubber particle, the liquefaction resistance of the RTM samples increases at first and then decreases with the increase of particle size.

(2) On the premise of unchanged rubber particle size, the liquefaction resistance of the RTM samples increases first and then decreases with the increase of particle content, which is in line with the quadratic parabolic law.

(3) Assuming that the components in the specimen remain unchanged, the liquefaction resistance of the RTM samples increases first and then decreases with the increase of consolidation pressure.

(4) The antiliquefaction properties of the RTM samples soaked in different pH value after 28 days are significantly reduced. The liquefaction resistance of the RTM first decreases and then increases with the increase of pH values. Interestingly, the effect of different pH value of the soaked solution is similar, and the difference is not significant.

(5) The RTM made by soaking rubber particles in acid solution with pH = 2 for different days increases first and then decreases with the increase of immersion days. The influence of rubber particles with different soaking days on the antiliquefaction performance of tailings is not significant.

(6) The displacement liquefaction curve of RTM is different from that of standard sand, which can be divided into three stages. Stage I is the stable stage, in which there is no large deformation. Stage II is the catastrophe stage, in which the deformation increases sharply and the deformation speed is fast. Stage III is the restabilization stage, in which the deformation is basically stable, the deformation increment is small and the deformation speed is slow.
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