Genome-wide association study of rust traits in orchardgrass using SLAF-seq technology
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Abstract

Background: While orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata* L.) is a well-known perennial forage species, rust diseases cause serious reductions in the yield and quality of orchardgrass; however, genetic mechanisms of rust resistance are not well understood in orchardgrass.

Results: In this study, a genome-wide association study (GWAS) was performed using specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing (SLAF-seq) technology in orchardgrass. A total of 2,334,889 SLAF tags were generated to produce 2,309,777 SNPs. ADMIXTURE analysis revealed unstructured subpopulations for 33 accessions, indicating that this orchardgrass population could be used for association analysis. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis revealed an average $r^2$ of 0.4 across all SNP pairs, indicating a high extent of LD in these samples. Through GWAS, a total of 4,604 SNPs were found to be significantly ($P < 0.01$) associated with the rust trait. The bulk analysis discovered a number of 5,211 SNPs related to rust trait. Two candidate genes, including cytochrome P450, and prolamin were implicated in disease resistance through prediction of functional genes surrounding each high-quality SNP ($P < 0.01$) associated with rust traits based on GWAS analysis and bulk analysis

Conclusions: The large number of SNPs associated with rust traits and these two candidate genes may provide the basis for further research on rust resistance mechanisms and marker-assisted selection (MAS) for rust-resistant lineages.
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Background

Orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata* L.) is a cool-season forage grass that is wildly cultivated in all mild, subtropical regions of the world [1]. Due to its high yield, high nutritional value, and shade tolerance, it has become a valuable pasture species in China [2]. However, orchardgrass is frequently infected by rust disease, which leads to low yield and poor quality [3].

Several studies on rust disease in orchardgrass have been reported. Tajimi et al. [4] studied orchardgrass clonal lines and found that the rusts of orchardgrass, timothy, and ryegrass were closely related and that stem rust was under the genetic control of orchardgrass. Miller and Carlson [5] evaluated rust resistance in orchardgrass based on both phenotypic performance and a polycross progeny test through phenotypic selection. Yan et al. [6] investigated 242 germplasm resources of orchardgrass for 2 years, finding that 13 accessions exhibited high resistance to rust disease. However, the phenotype of an organism can be influenced by both its genotype and its environment. To better assess the impact of this disease, additional methods are needed to study the desirable genetic traits for these plants.

Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have become one of the most commonly strategies for identifying genes underlying complex traits in plants. In model species, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the potential of GWAS to reveal genetic traits has been demonstrated...
successfully by the functional validation of the accelerated cell death 6 (ACD6) gene [7]. This approach has also been used to develop a precise estimation of variation in traits such as flowering time [8] of Arabidopsis thaliana, leaf architecture [9] of maize (Zea mays), and blight resistance [10] of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). In recent studies on rice (Oryza sativa), several genes that have large effects on traits involved in determining yield, morphology, and salinity tolerance have been identified [11–13]. In the past few years, flowering time genes in barley (Hordeum vulgare) [14], the Psyl-AI locus in wheat [15], and the rhg-1 gene in soybean (Glycine max) [16] have been identified as candidate genes [17] through GWAS. Rust disease has seriously influenced plant growth and development, so some studies focus on conducting GWAS to plant rust disease. For example, a GWAS was conducted using 232 winter wheat breeding lines to identify loci conferring resistance to Ug99 that is a new race of stem rust and threatens global wheat production, and 12 loci associated with Ug99 resistance were discovered in this study [18]. A number of 177 oat (Avena sativa) accessions were evaluated for disease resistance and further genotyped with 15,000 Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) and 31 simple sequence repeat markers to disclose association with disease resistance trait and found five markers were associated with rust resistance [19]. Consequently, several reduced-representation sequencing technologies, including restriction site-associated sequencing (RADseq), double digest RADseq, and twoenzyme genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS), were developed as cost-effective methods for SNP discovery and high-throughput genotyping [20]. Recently, specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing (SLAF-seq), a more efficient solution for large-scale genotyping, was developed by Sun et al. [21]. This approach involves several distinguishing characteristics: (1) fine-mapping resolution among founders can be performed given a high-density of genotypes; (2) outcrossing reshuffles variation in the founder genomes, providing some control over population structure effects; (3) joint-linkage mapping identifies low-resolution quantitative trait loci (QTLs) across all recombinant inbred line (RIL) families, and this genetic background can be controlled while performing nested associations for fine mapping; and (4) the use of RILs allows repeated measurement of phenotypes on the same lines in common and different environments [22]. This approach has previously been used successfully to perform GWAS [23].

SLAF-seq is highly automated due to the development of bioinformatics tools and high-throughput sequencing technology applications. SLAF sequencing methods ascertain the uniformity, efficiency, and density of marker development, and they have been applied in several studies. For example, Li et al. [24] constructed a high-density soybean genetic map to discover QTLs pertaining to isoflavone content that were consistent across various environments; Wei et al. [25] established a high-density SNP map for cucumber (Cucumis sativus L) through SLAF-seq to detect fruit-related QTLs. Additional studies have created high-density genetic maps in order to provide a platform for gene/QTL fine-mapping [20, 26, 27]. These studies showed that SLAF-seq methods are used primarily to construct genetic maps for discovering valuable QTLs; however, for QTL mapping, a large investment of time is needed to establish mapping populations, and the intensive labor required may be a limitation for identification of specific traits. To avoid the limitations of this approach, GWAS is a reliable method used to confirm molecular markers relating to important economic traits. SNP markers that cover the entire genome may be analyzed without the construction of mapping populations [28]. Moreover, several studies have used SLAF-seq for GWAS [23, 29–31].

In this study, we use the SLAF-seq technology to perform a GWAS of rust traits in orchardgrass to identify the associated SNPs and predict functional genes. These results will provide a basis for orchardgrass breeding and may be helpful in enhancing rust resistance in orchardgrass.

### Results and Discussion

#### Sequence and quality statistics

A total of 76.74 M reads were obtained from this experiment. The average Q3 value was 86.91%, and the average GC content was 46.97%. Details are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1.

#### Specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing results

In total, 76.74 M reads were generated for the 33 genotypes, encompassing 4.30 Gb of the orchardgrass genomic DNA sequence. A total of 2,334,889 SLAF tags were identified throughout the genome (Table 1). These SLAF tags were divided into three types: Marker (polymorphism tags), No poly (no polymorphism tags), and Repeat (SLAF tags on repeat sequences) (Table 1). PLINK (v1.07) [32] was used to carry out quality control of the data. Those SNPs with low integrity (<85%), low minor allele frequency (<5%), and insufficient reads (<90%) were discarded. After filtration, 2,309,777 SNPs were used for further analysis.

| Table 1 | The statistical results for each type of SLAF tags |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Type** | **Marker** | **NoPoly** | **Repeat** | **Total** |
| Number  | 643 008 | 1 689 409 | 2 472 | 2 334 889 |
| Percent | 27.54% | 72.36% | 0.11% | 100% |

Note: Marker, pleomorphic tags; No poly, non-pleomorphic tags; Repeat: SLAF tags on repeat sequence.
Phylogenetic analysis
The 33 orchardgrass samples could not be clearly divided into subgroups by the neighbor-joining analysis using MEGA software. However, the results from this phylogenetic analysis showed that the HS (high susceptibility of disease) materials were relatively concentrated, comparing with HR (high level of resistance of disease) materials (Fig. 1).

Group structure and clustering analysis
The best dataset was produced by using a K-value of 2, indicating that our samples probably derived from 2 ancestors. With the maximum membership probability, 5 accessions were assigned to group 1 (G1), while 28 accessions were assigned to group 2 (G2). All 5 accessions from G1 were HR samples, and all 16 HS accessions (16) were included in G2 (Fig. 2).

PCA analysis
A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using the 2,309,777 SNPs from all 33 accessions to estimate the clusters of population. Principal Component 1 (PC1) explained 7.94% of the variation in the genotypic data, while PC2 and PC3 explained 5.20% and 2.57% of the variation, respectively. Although there are intermediate accessions that make the groupings less clear, the PCA results indicate that the HS samples can be clustered into one group (Fig. 3).

We applied a clustering strategy to the samples with ADMIXTURE software. This method has been used with large sample sizes, exhibiting a strong capability to assign individuals into populations. The best dataset (K = 2) showed that our samples probably derive from two ancestors. It is important to use population-based methods to separate accessions from mixed populations into unstructured subpopulations, allowing for association analyses between phenotypes and molecular bands to be conducted in homogeneous subpopulations [33, 34]. In this study, the accessions associated with resistant and susceptible traits remained in G1, indicating that this orchardgrass population could be used for association analysis.

Linkage disequilibrium analysis
The 2,309,777 SNPs generated from the 2,334,889 SLAF markers with unknown chromosome information were used to evaluate the extent of LD in the 33 orchardgrass accessions. In total, 9,345,646 pairs of SNPs were detected and an r^2 value was calculated for each pair. The values ranged from 0.0000 to 1.0000, with an average r^2 of 0.4, indicating a substantial amount of LD existing in these SNPs. Of the 9,345,646 pairs, 7,270 (0.08%) were considered to be in LD with strong linkage at r^2 > 0.8 (Additional file 2).

GWAS analysis and bulk analysis
The association analysis between SNP markers and the rust trait was performed using TASSEL to search for associated tags and allelic variation. After general
linear model (GLM) analysis of 2,309,777 SNPs, 4,604 SNPs were significantly ($P < 0.01$) associated with the rust trait (Additional file 3). At a more stringent $P$-value cutoff of $< 0.001$, 1,761 SNPs were still associated with the rust trait (Additional file 4). The bulk analysis was conducted to discover 69,690 SNPs related to the rust trait, and a total number of 5,211 SNPs were filtered when the SNP index is over 0.3 (Additional file 5).

**Bioinformatics analysis of candidate genes**

We predicted 555 genes from these 4,604 SNPs in our study (Additional file 6) through GWAS analysis, and only four candidate genes, including cytochrome P450, Pc68LrkC4, prolamin, and EF-hand Ca$^{2+}$-binding protein (TaCab1), were implicated with disease resistance in the Nonredundant NCBI nucleotide sequences (NT) database, and their predicted molecular functions and biological processes are specified in Table 2.
Four genes, including cytochrome P450, Pc68LrkC4, prolamin, and TaCab1, were discovered by detecting genes surrounding each candidate SNP (<1 Mb). Cytochrome P450 plays an important role in the synthesis of secondary metabolites of fungi and metabolism of foreign compounds [35]. Studies on *Populus tomentosa* infected by stripe rust showed that Cytochrome P450 is one of the genes related to germ defense [36]. Pc68LrkC4 was isolated from *Avena sativa* and contains a retrotransposon and repetitive DNA linked to a receptor kinase gene. It has been shown that the leaf rust resistance gene Lr10 of *Triticum aestivum* encodes an extracellular receptor protein kinase with similar domains [37]. Several studies on prolamin have found that proteins with the same or similar rust resistance are closely related to prolamin, and most of these cluster in dendrograms. For example, wheat stripe rust resistance was related to the genetic distance of prolamin, guessing it might because wheat stripe rust gene and partial encoded gene of prolamin were chained on 1BS [38, 39]. In affinity reactions between wheat and stripe rust, the expression of the TaCab1 gene increases significantly. At addition, its expression can be induced by several different biotic stresses. The TaCab1 gene exhibits a marked change in expression after being treated with CaCl2. Researchers have guessed that TaCab1 plays a role in the interaction between wheat and stripe rust via Ca2+ transport. In addition, TaCab1 gene silencing increases wheat's resistance to stripe rust. Therefore, researchers have shown that the TaCab1 gene is correlated with susceptibility to stripe rust [40]. In conclusion, these four candidate genes play important roles in disease progression, particularly the TaCab1 gene. This indicates that these candidate genes are likely to be critical in orchardgrass resistance to rust disease.

We also associated the results between GWAS analysis and bulk analysis to confirm these four predicted genes; however, two types of analysis only shared three markers representing two predicted genes including Cytochrome P450 and Prolamin gene (Table 2). Therefore, these two genes might be more reliable for regulation mechanism of rust resistance in orchardgrass.

| SLAF marker | E_value  | Nearest gene       | Predict Function         |
|-------------|----------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Marker10747 | 5.00E-18 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker11029 | 2.00E-12 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker13729 | 2.00E-17 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker17004 | 5.00E-13 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker3124  | 5.00E-18 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker33680 | 5.00E-18 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker4015  | 2.00E-11 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker4728  | 2.00E-27 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker5389  | 1.00E-08 | Cytochrome P450    | Resist pathogenic bacterial |
| Marker12565 | 6.00E-22 | Pc68LrkC4          | Activate chemical reactions |
| Marker23129 | 8.00E-16 | Pc68LrkC4          | Activate chemical reactions |
| Marker2649  | 1.00E-24 | Prolamin gene      | Regulate stripe rust resistance |
| Marker10408 | 1.00E-08 | Prolamin gene      | Regulate stripe rust resistance |
| Marker21044 | 8.00E-21 | TaCab1             | Regulate stripe rust resistance |

Note: * means that makers have also been found in bulk analysis

**Method**

**Experimental materials**

A total of 33 orchardgrass accessions, with high level of resistance of disease (HR) and high susceptibility of disease (HS), as evaluated by Yan et al. [6], were chosen for the experiment, including 17 with HR and 16 with HS in 2011 or 2012 (Table 3). The germplasm of orchardgrass used in this study consisted of 33 accessions that originated from Asia, Africa, Europe, and Oceania. For each accession, 10 individuals were randomly, and 0.5 g of clean young leaves were selected per plant in 2014 for further DNA extraction.

**Specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing**

Total orchardgrass genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen USA); the quality and quantity of DNA was then inspected using 0.8% gel electrophoresis. The quantified DNA was diluted to 20 μg/μL and was stored at −20 °C before use.

The orchardgrass genomic DNA was analyzed with SLAF-seq [21]. Sequencing libraries of each accession
were constructed through digestion with the restriction enzyme HaeIII, (New England Biolabs, USA). A single nucleotide (A) overhang was added to the digested fragments with Klenow Fragment (3′ → 5´ exo–) (New England Biosciences, USA) and dATP at 37 °C, and then duplex tag-labeled sequencing adapters (PAGE purified, Life Technologies, Beijing, China) were ligated to the A-tailed DNA with T4 DNA ligase. The PCR products were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, High Wycombe, UK) and pooled. The pooled sample was separated via electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel. Fragments with indexes and adaptors from 400 to 450 bp were excised and purified using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, Duesseldorf, Germany). Finally, the gel-purified product was sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 system (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The average depth of sequencing was 6.7×. After sequencing, low-quality reads were filtered out (quality score < 20). Reads with double ends were compared with similar sequences that could be labelled as a candidate SLAF to proceed with the next step. SNPs with low minor allele frequencies (<5%) and low call frequencies (<85%) were deleted [32]. Finally, 33 samples and 2,309,777 SNPs remained for genome-wide association analysis (Additional file 7: Table S2).

Phylogenetic analysis
Based on the SNP genotype data from the 33 orchardgrass samples, a dendrogram was constructed in MEGA5 [41] using the neighbor-joining algorithm [42]. The structure of the orchardgrass population was analyzed using ADMIXTURE software based on SNP genotype data [43]. The pre-defined K, which indicates the number of groups in a population, varied from 1 to 10 in ADMIXTURE models. A K value was selected when the estimate of lnPr(X|K) peaked in the range of 1 to 10 subpopulations. Delta K (\(\Delta K\)), as an ad hoc quantity related to the second order change in the log probability of the data with respect to the number of clusters, was considered as the most probable value of K according to the model choice criteria [42]. A PCA approach with the cluster software [44] was used to cluster the orchardgrass population.

Evaluation of linkage disequilibrium and GWAS analysis
The squared correlations (\(r^2\)) between all combinations of SNPs (2,309,777) were used to evaluate the significance of pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) using Haploview [45] (http://www.softpedia.com/get/Science-CAD/Haploview.shtml). Each pair of SNPs was considered to have strong linkage if \(r^2 > 0.8\). Based on SNP genotype data, the GWAS analysis was conducted using a GLM in the TASSEL software [43] as follows:

\[
Y = X\alpha + Q\beta + K\mu + eY
\]

where \(Y\) is the phenotype value, \(Q\) is the population structure matrix calculated by the ADMIXTURE program, \(X\) is the genotype matrix, \(\alpha\) is the effect of genotype, \(\beta\) is the effect of population structure, \(\mu\) is the effect of kinship, and \(e\) is the residual error. Finally, each SNP locus was assigned a value associated with related traits.

Table 3 The detailed information about accession number, name, origin, and rust resistance in orchardgrass for 2011 and 2012

| Number | Accession name | Origin | 2011 | 2012 |
|--------|----------------|--------|------|------|
| 1      | 01819-6        | Beijing, China | HS   | HS   |
| 2      | 01824-2        | Beijing, China | HR   | HR   |
| 3      | 02122-5        | Hubei, China  | HR   | HR   |
| 4      | 231469-1       | Libya    | HS   | HS   |
| 5      | 2410-2         | Xinjiang, China | HS | HS   |
| 6      | 2410-6         | Xinjiang, China | HS | HS   |
| 7      | 2410-7         | Xinjiang, China | HS | HS   |
| 8      | 287804-1       | Spain    | HS   | HS   |
| 9      | 287804-2       | Spain    | HS   | HS   |
| 10     | 287804-3       | Spain    | HS   | HS   |
| 11     | 287804-4       | Spain    | HS   | HS   |
| 12     | 287804-5       | Spain    | HS   | HS   |
| 13     | 287804-8       | Spain    | HS   | HS   |
| 14     | 292587-1       | Israel   | HS   | HS   |
| 15     | 308794-3       | Spain    | HR   | HR   |
| 16     | 308794-1       | India    | HR   | HR   |
| 17     | 308794-2       | India    | HR   | HR   |
| 18     | 308794-3       | India    | HR   | HR   |
| 19     | 308794-5       | India    | HR   | HR   |
| 20     | 308794-7       | India    | HR   | HR   |
| 21     | 308794-8       | India    | HR   | HR   |
| 22     | 325293-2       | Russian Federation | HR | HR   |
| 23     | 325293-4       | Russian Federation | HR | HR   |
| 24     | 325293-5       | Russian Federation | HR | HR   |
| 25     | 325293-6       | Russian Federation | HR | HR   |
| 26     | 325293-7       | Russian Federation | HR | HR   |
| 27     | 325293-8       | Russian Federation | HR | HR   |
| 28     | 578635-7       | Morocco  | HS   | HS   |
| 29     | 578635-8       | Morocco  | HS   | HS   |
| 30     | 79-118-2       | Netherlands | HS | HS  |
| 31     | woronowi(H12)-3 | New Zealand | HR | HR   |
| 32     | woronowi(H12)-4 | New Zealand | HR | HR   |
| 33     | woronowi(H12)-7 | New Zealand | HR | HR   |

Note: HR high resistance, HS high sense of disease
Bulk analysis
Two groups (HS and HR) were used to conduct a bulk analysis, and the procedures were followed as previously described [46]. To identify potential trait-related SNPs, we aligned the short reads obtained from the two DNA bulks to the reference genome (sequences from the HR group were regarded as reference genome) using bwa software [47], and alignment files were converted to SAM/BAM files using SAMtools [48]. SNP-index was calculated for all the SNP positions. We further excluded SNP positions with SNP-index of <0.3 from the two sequences to avoid spurious SNPs called due to alignment errors.

Bioinformatics analysis of candidate regions
The genetic data relating to rust traits of a 500-Kb window surrounding each SNP were downloaded from Ensembl (http://ensemblegenomes.org/) and NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The NT databases were used to conduct pathway analysis and functional annotation for predicted genes [49].

Conclusions
In this study, SLAF-seq technology was used to conduct the GWAS in orchardgrass, and a number of 2,334,889 SLAF tags were produced to generate 2,309,777 SNPs. The GWAS showed that a total number of 4,604 SNPs were significantly (P < 0.01) associated with the rust trait, while a total number of 5,211 SNPs were filtered by bulk analysis. Based on bioinformatics analysis for GWAS results, four candidate genes, including cytochrome P450, Pcl68LrkC4, prolamin, and TaCab1 were predicted involving in disease resistance, and the bulk analysis further confirmed cytochrome P450 and prolamin genes were reliable. Besides, further research should be done to determine how these two genes work for resisting diseases. The results can also provide basic information for MAS of rust-resistant lineages.

Additional files

| Additional file 1 | DOCX 21 kb |
| Additional file 2 | XLSX 181 kb |
| Additional file 3 | XLSX 173 kb |
| Additional file 4 | XLSX 79 kb |
| Additional file 5 | XLSX 328 kb |
| Additional file 6 | XLSX 50 kb |
| Additional file 7 | XLSX 19 kb |

Abbreviations
ACD6: Cell death 6; G1: Group 1; G2: Group 2; GBS: Genotyping-by-sequencing; GLM: General linear model; GWAS: Genome-wide association study; HR: High level of resistance of disease; HS: High susceptibility of disease; LD: Linkage disequilibrium; MAS: Marker-assisted selection; NT: Nucleotide sequences; PC: Principal component analysis; PC1: Principal Component 1; QTLs: Quantitative trait loci; RADseq: Restriction site-associated sequencing; RIL: Recombinant inbred line; SLAF-seq: Specific-locus amplified fragment sequencing; TaCab1: EF-hand Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding protein

Acknowledgments
This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (2014CB138705) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China, NSFC (31101760).

Funding
This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (2014CB138705), Science and technology commission of livelihoods and social security project, and construction and demonstration promotion of grass and sheep matching model under whole barn feeding conditions for goats in Chongqing (cstc2016shmsx0473), Agriculture committee project and forage grass laboratory construction project of goat industrial technology system in Chongqing, and Funding project of innovation team construction in chongqing universities: modern production technology of beef (CXTDG201602003). And these four programs contribute to the design of the study, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials
We have provided detailed information about materials and method in our manuscript, so we will not provide data and supporting materials in this section.

Authors’ contributions
BZ and LH conceived and designed the experiments; HY and BZ performed the experiments; HY, XL and BZ analyzed the data; WZ, AZ, SZ, JL and HY contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; HY, WZ, AZ and SZ wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Author details
1Department of Animal Science, Southwest University, Rongchang, Chongqing 402460, China. 2Department of Grassland Science, Animal Science and Technology College, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu 611130, China. 3Agricultural College, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu 611130, China. 4College of Life Science, China West Normal University, Nanchong 637009, China.

Received: 20 August 2016 Accepted: 24 January 2017

Published online: 23 February 2017

References
1. Stewart AV, Ellison NW. The genus Dactylis; wealth of wild species: role in plant genome elucidation and improvement. New York: Springer; 2010.
2. Xie WG, Zhang XQ, Cai HW, Liu W, Peng Y. Genetic diversity analysis and transferability of cereal EST-SSR markers to orchardgrass (Dactylis glomerata L.). Biochem Syst Ecol. 2010;38(4):740–9.
3. Zeng B, Zhang Y, Huang LK, Jiang XM, Luo D, Yin GH. Genetic diversity of orchardgrass (Dactylis glomerata L.) germplasm for high rust resistance and high summer disease revealed by Start Codon Targeted (SCoT) markers. Biochem Syst Ecol. 2014;54:96–102.
4. Tajimi A. Differentiation and maintenance of three graminicolous formae specialis of stem rust with clonal lines of orchardgrass, Dactylis glomerata L. J Jpan Grassl Sci. 1975;20:26–30.
5. Miller TL, Carlson IT. Breeding for rust resistance in orchardgrass by phenotypic and phenotypic-genotypic selection. Crop Sci. 1982;22(6):1218–21.
6. Yan HD, Zeng B, Zhang XQ, Cheng L, Miller S, Huang LK. Screening orchardgrass (Dactylis glomerata L.) germplasm for high rust resistance and high summer survival rate in a subtropical climate. Grassl Sci. 2013;59(4):205–10.
7. Todesco M, Balasubramanian S, Hu TT, Traw MB, Horton M, Epple P, et al. Natural allelic variation underlying a major fitness trade-off in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nature. 2010;465(7308):632–6.
28. Visscher PM, Brown MA, McCarthy MI, Yang J. Five years of GWAS discovery.
27. Xu XW, Xu RX, Zhu BY, Yu T, Qu WQ, Lu L, et al. A high-density genetic map
25. Wei QZ, Wang YZ, Qin XD, Zhang YX, Zhang ZT, Wang J, et al. An SNP-
29. Zhao X, Han YP, Li YH, Liu DY, Sun MM, Zhao Y, et al. Loci and candidate gene
21. Sun XW, Liu DY, Zhang XF, Li WB, Liu H, Hong WG, et al. SLAF-seq: an
20. Qi ZM, Huang L, Zhu RS, Xin DW, Liu CY, Han X, et al. A high-density
19. Montilla-Bascón G, Rispail N, Sánchez-Martín J, Rubiales D, Mur LAJ,
18. Yu LX, Morgounov A, Wanyera R, Keser M, Singh SK, Sorrells M.
17. Pasam RK, Sharma R, Malosetti M, van Eeuwijk FA, Haseney G, Kilian B,
15. Singh A, Reimer S, Pozniak CJ, Clarke FR, Clarke JM, Knox RE, et al. Allelic
11. Begum H, Spindel JE, Lalusin A, Borromeo T, Gregorio G, Hernandez J, et al. Association mapping reveals gene action and interactions in the determination of flowering time in barley. Theor Appl Genet. 2009;118(2):59–73.
10. Kollers S, Rodemann B, Ling J, Korzun V, Ebmeyer E, Argillier O, et al. Population structure and linkage disequilibrium in US barley germplasm: implications for association mapping. Crop Sci. 2010;50(2):556–66.
9. Groth D, Hartmann S, Klie S, Selbig J. Principal components analysis. Computational Toxicology: Volume II. 2013;2013:527–47.
8. Groth D, Hartmann S, Klie S, Selbig J. Principal components analysis. Computational Toxicology: Volume II. 2013;2013:527–47.
7. Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinform. 2005;21(2):263–70.
6. Purcell S, Neale B, Dina V, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 2007;81(3):559–75.
5. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Rosenberg NA, Donnelly P. Association mapping in structured populations. Am J Hum Genet. 2000;67(1):170–81.
4. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Rosenberg NA, Donnelly P. Association mapping in structured populations. Am J Hum Genet. 2000;67(1):170–81.
3. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 2007;81(3):559–75.
2. Groth D, Hartmann S, Klie S, Selbig J. Principal components analysis. Computational Toxicology: Volume II. 2013;2013:527–47.
1. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Rosenberg NA, Donnelly P. Association mapping in structured populations. Am J Hum Genet. 2000;67(1):170–81.