Escher-like quasiperiodic heterostructures
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Abstract

Quasiperiodic heterostructures present unique structural, electronic and vibrational properties, connected to the existence of incommensurate periods. We go beyond previous schemes, such as Fibonacci or Thue–Morse, based on substitutional sequences, by introducing construction rules generated by tessellations of the unit disc by regular polygons. We explore some of the properties exhibited by these systems.
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(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)
as well as critical phases. In addition, they have interesting algebraic properties, which are usually characterized by the nature of their Fourier or multifractal spectra (Spinadel 1999).

We wish to deal with QP systems from a radically novel perspective. Our starting point is the observation that in many problems of physical interest (Macià and Rodríguez-Oliveros 2006), the letters of the alphabet can be identified with one-dimensional linear lossless systems (i.e. with two input and two output channels). Under these general conditions, it turns out that the associated transfer matrix belongs to the group SU(1,1), which is also the basic symmetry group of the hyperbolic geometry (Coxeter 1968). In consequence, the unit disc appears as the natural arena to discuss their performance (Yonte et al. 2002, Monzón et al. 2002, Barriuso et al. 2003). Since in the Euclidean plane, QP behavior is intimately linked with tessellations, one is unfailingly led to consider the role of hyperbolic tessellations in the unit disc, much in the spirit of Escher’s masterpiece woodcut Circle Limit III (Coxeter 1996). The answer we propose seems quite promising: the tessellations by different regular polygons provide new sequences with entrancing properties that may open avenues of research in this field.

A QP system can thus be seen as a word obtained by stacking different letters of the basic alphabet. Although our theory applies to a variety of models described by a transfer matrix (Griffiths and Steinke 2001, Pérez-Alvarez et al. 2001), we deal with a specific realization and focus our attention on the optical response of a lossless superlattice. Let us consider one of these letters (which in practice can be made of several plane-parallel layers), which we assume to be sandwiched between two semi-infinite identical ambient (a) and substrate (s).

We suppose monochromatic plane waves incident from both the ambient and the substrate. As a result of multiple reflections in all the interfaces, the total electric field can be decomposed in terms of forward- and backward-traveling plane waves, denoted by $E^+$ and $E^−$, respectively (Yeh 1988). If we take these components as a vector $E = (E^+, E^−)^T$, then the amplitudes at both the ambient and the substrate sides are related by the transfer matrix $M$:

$$
E_a = ME_s.
$$

(1)

It can be shown that $M$ is of the form (Monzón et al. 2002)

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix}
1/T & R^*/T^* \\
R/T & 1/T^*
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(2)

where the complex numbers $R$ and $T$ are, respectively, the overall reflection and transmission coefficients for a wave incident from the ambient. The condition $\det M = +1$ is equivalent to the energy conservation $|R|^2 + |T|^2 = 1$, and then the set of transfer matrices reduces to the group SU(1,1). Obviously, the matrix of a word obtained by putting letters together is the product of the matrices representing each one of them, taken in an appropriate order.

To proceed further, we note that in many instances we are interested in the transformation properties of field quotients rather than the fields themselves. Therefore, it seems natural to consider the complex numbers

$$
z = \frac{E^{(-)}}{E^{(+)}},
$$

(3)

for both ambient and substrate. The action of the matrix given in equation (1) can then be seen as a function $z_a = f(z_s)$ that can be appropriately called the transfer function. From a geometrical viewpoint, this function defines a transformation of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, mapping the point $z_s$ into the point $z_a$ according to

$$
z_a = \frac{\beta^* + \alpha^* z_s}{\alpha + \beta z_s},
$$

(4)

For more details, the reader can visit the web site www.mcescher.com.
where \( \alpha = 1/T \) and \( \beta = R^*/T^* \). When no light is incident from the substrate, \( z_s = 0 \) and then \( z_a = R \). Equation (4) is a Möbius transformation: a map of this form is an orientation-preserving isometry that leaves invariant the unit disc with the hyperbolic metric. In this way, we are naturally led to the Poincaré disc model of hyperbolic geometry in which a line is represented as an arc of a circle whose endpoints are orthogonal to the boundary of the disc (Coxeter 1968). We have three different kinds of pairs of lines: intersecting, parallel (they intersect at infinity, which is precisely the boundary of the disc) and ultraparallel (they are neither intersecting nor parallel).

The idea of fixed points proves to be essential. These points are defined as the field configurations such that \( z_a = z_s \equiv z \) in equation (4), whose solutions are

\[
z = \frac{1}{2\beta} \left\{ -2i \text{Im}(\alpha) \pm \sqrt{[\text{Tr}(\mathbf{M})]^2 - 4} \right\}.
\]

The trace then provides a suitable tool for the classification of the system action.

When \( [\text{Tr}(\mathbf{M})]^2 < 4 \), the action is elliptic and it has only one fixed point inside the unit disc. Since in the Euclidean geometry a rotation is characterized by having only one invariant point, this action can be appropriately called a hyperbolic rotation.

When \( [\text{Tr}(\mathbf{M})]^2 > 4 \), the action is hyperbolic and it has two fixed points, both on the boundary of the unit disc. The geodesic line joining these two fixed points remains invariant and thus, by analogy with the Euclidean case, this action is called a hyperbolic translation.

Finally, when \( [\text{Tr}(\mathbf{M})]^2 = 4 \) the action is parabolic and it has only one (double) fixed point on the boundary of the unit disc.

The notion of periodicity is intimately connected with tessellations, i.e. tilings by identical replicas of a unit cell (or fundamental domain) that fill the plane with no overlaps and no gaps. Of special interest is the case when the primitive cell is a regular polygon with a finite area (Zieschang et al 1980). In the Euclidean plane, the associated regular tessellation is generically noted \( \{p, q\} \), where \( p \) is the number of polygon edges and \( q \) is the number of polygons that meet at a vertex. We recall that geometrical constraints limit the possible regular tilings \( \{p, q\} \) to those verifying \((p - 2)(q - 2) = 4\). This includes the classical tilings \( \{4, 4\} \) (tiling by squares) and \( \{6, 3\} \) (tiling by hexagons), plus a third one, the tiling \( \{3, 6\} \) by triangles (which is dual to \( \{6, 3\} \)).

In contrast, in the hyperbolic disc regular tiles exist provided \((p - 2)(q - 2) > 4\), which now leads to an infinite number of possibilities (Magnus 1974). The fundamental polygons are connected to the discrete subgroups of isometries (or congruent mappings): they are called Fuchsian groups (Ford 1972) and play for the hyperbolic geometry a role similar to that of crystallographic groups for the Euclidean geometry (Beardon 1983).

A tessellation of the hyperbolic plane by regular polygons has a symmetry group that is generated by reflections in geodesics, which are inversions across circles in the unit disc. These geodesics correspond to edges or axes of symmetry of the polygons. Therefore, to construct a tessellation of the unit disc one just has to build one tile and duplicate it by using reflections in the edges.

To illustrate these ideas, we consider a simple yet nontrivial example of a tessellation by squares with vertices in the unit circle, although the treatment can be immediately extended to other polygons. To this end, we construct the Fuchsian group generated by two parabolic transformations whose fixed points are at two opposite vertices of the fundamental hyperbolic square (centered at the origin). In this way, we get

\[
\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - i & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - i \end{pmatrix}.
\]

\[3\]
A realistic implementation of the generators $A$ and $B$ of the tessellation by hyperbolic squares generated by (6). The blue layers are made of cryolite ($\text{Na}_3\text{AlF}_6$), while the brown ones are zinc selenide ($\text{ZnSe}$). The wavelength in vacuum is $\lambda = 610$ nm and normal incidence (from left to right) has been assumed. The corresponding thicknesses are expressed in nanometers.

Figure 2. Tessellation of the unit disc with the matrices (6). The marked points are the centers of the squares. All of them are a transformation of the origin by a matrix that have as a reflection coefficient the complex number that links the origin with the center of the square.

The fixed point of $A$ is $+i$ and that of $B$ is $-i$. In figure 1, we show a possible way in which these matrices can be implemented in terms of two commonly employed materials in optics. Note that, in physical terms, the inverses must be constructed as independent systems.

In figure 2, we have plotted the tessellation obtained by transforming the fundamental square with the Fuchsian group generated by the powers of $\{A, B\}$ (and the inverses). The vertices of this square are at points $1, i, -1$ and $-i$ (which are the fixed points of $AB, A, BA$ and $B$, respectively). We have also indicated the centers of each square together with the resulting tree (this is called the dual graph of the tessellation), which turns out to be a Farey tree (Schröder 2006). Each line connecting two of these centers represents the action of a word (with alphabet $\{A, B\}$).

To give an explicit construction rule for the admissible words, we proceed as follows. First, we arbitrarily choose a side of the fundamental square and assign to it the value 0. Then the other three sides are numbered clockwise as 1, 2 and 3. It is easy to convince oneself that...
this assignment fixes once and for all the numbering for the sides of all the other squares in the tessellation. However, these squares can be distinguished by their orientation (as seen from the corresponding center): the clockwise oriented ones are filled in red, while the counterclockwise ones are filled in yellow. In short, we have determined a fundamental coloring of the tessellation (Grübaum and Shepard 1987).

To obtain a center \(z_{n+1}\) from a previous one \(z_n\), one looks first at the corresponding color jump. Next, the matrix that takes \(z_n\) into \(z_{n+1}\) depends on the numbering of the side (0, 1, 2, or 3) one must cross, and appears in the column labeled \(T\) in table 1. The next generation is obtained in much the same way, except for the fact that \(A_n\) and \(B_n\) must be replaced by \(A_{n+1}\) and \(B_{n+1}\), respectively, as indicated in the table. In obtaining recursively any word, the origin is denoted as \(z_0\) and the matrices \(A_0\) and \(B_0\) coincide with \(A\) and \(B\).

One can then construct any word step by step. For example, the word that transforms \(z_0\) into \(z_6\) in the zig-zag path sketched in figure 2 results:

\[
\begin{align*}
z_0 & \rightarrow z_1: \quad A, \\
z_1 & \rightarrow z_2: \quad AB^{-1}A^{-1}, \\
z_2 & \rightarrow z_3: \quad AB^{-1}A^{-1}, \\
z_3 & \rightarrow z_4: \quad AB^{-1}B^{-1}ABBA^{-1}, \\
z_4 & \rightarrow z_5: \quad AB^{-1}B^{-1}ABBA^{-1}, \\
z_5 & \rightarrow z_6: \quad AB^{-1}B^{-1}AAB^{-1}A^{-1}BBA^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

For this path, the final result of applying the recipes in table 1 can be condensed into the rules

\[
A_{n+1} = A_n B_n^{-1} A_n^{-1}, \quad B_{n+1} = A_n B_n^{-1} A_n^{-1} A_n B_n A_n^{-1}
\]

(8)

(and analogous ones for \(A_n^{-1}\) and \(B_n^{-1}\)), when the generation \(n\) is odd (i.e. the jump is red \(\Rightarrow\) yellow), while

\[
A_{n+1} = A_n, \quad B_{n+1} = B_n.
\]

(9)

when the generation is even (i.e. yellow \(\Rightarrow\) red). With this substitution rule, we can associate a matrix \(T\) (Godrèche and Luck 1992, Peng et al 1993) such that each line of \(T\) gives the number of letters (\(A, B, A^{-1}\) and \(B^{-1}\)) that appear in the transformations (8) and (9). This matrix then reads as

\[
T_{\text{odd}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{\text{even}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(10)

Because \(T_{\text{even}}\) produces a trivial effect, we concentrate on \(T_{\text{odd}}\), whose eigenvalues are 2 + \(\sqrt{5}\), 2 − \(\sqrt{5}\), 1 and −1. Since one of the eigenvalues is greater than 1 and the others...
have an absolute value less than or equal to unity (with at least one of modulus 1), the
substitution possesses the Salem property (Salem 1963). This is weaker than the well-known
Pisot property (Pisot 1938), which is fulfilled when one of the eigenvalues of the matrix \( T \)
is greater than 1 and all the others are smaller than unity in modulus. Roughly speaking,
only systems with the Pisot or the Salem properties present nontrivial characteristics where
quasiperiodicity is concerned (Bertin et al 1992).

The characterization of the QP behavior of these structures is a relevant issue (Bombieri
and Taylor 1987, Severin and Riklund 1989, Luck 1989, Cheng and Savit 1990). We do not
enter here into a detailed analysis; our purpose is rather to give some hints that we hope will
stimulate further discussion.

Given the structural model of the superlattice we deal with, we can loosely interpret each
layer in the system as a kind of diffraction center. We have then four different types of centers
and assign to each of them a fixed amplitude. This assignment is otherwise arbitrary and
does not change any essential conclusion. In consequence, we employ the quartic roots of the
identity, so that

\[
A \mapsto +i, \quad B \mapsto +1, \quad A^{-1} \mapsto -i, \quad B^{-1} \mapsto -1. \quad (11)
\]

In this way, given a word of \( N \) letters (represented by the transfer matrix \( M \)), we turn it into
a numerical sequence \( w(j) \) by using (11). Next, we calculate the discrete Fourier transform
of that sequence as

\[
W_N(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} w(j) \exp \left( -\frac{2\pi i j k}{N} \right), \quad (12)
\]

where \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \). The quantity \( S_N(k) = |W_N(k)|^2 \) is just the power spectrum (or
a structure factor) plotted in figure 3 for a word of 1600 letters that starts at the origin. The
gross features of the spectrum are seen to be humps separated by almost empty regions. Inside
these humps, there is a blurred structure built up of packed delta-spikes. The dominant peaks
tend to be isolated and larger.

From a rigorous viewpoint, the only well-defined concept attached to the Fourier spectrum
is its spectral measure. If we define

\[
d\nu_N(k) = S_N(k) \, dk, \quad (13)
\]

we will be concerned with the nature of the limit

\[
d\nu(k) = \lim_{N \to \infty} d\nu_N(k), \quad (14)
\]
which corresponds to an infinite structure and a continuous variable $k$. Just as any positive measure, (14) has a unique decomposition (Reed and Simon 1980)

$$d\nu(k) = d\nu_{pp}(k) + d\nu_{ac}(k) + d\nu_{sc}(k)$$

into its pure point, absolutely continuous and singular continuous parts.

The pure point part is seen in the presence of Bragg peaks, which are apparent in figure 3. For these peaks, the Fourier amplitude $S_N(k)$ grows typically as $N^{1/2}$ and constitutes a confirmation of the Salem property exhibited by these systems.

To describe the continuous part of the measure in an analytical way seems to be a hard task. However, we can introduce the integrals (Aubry et al 1987, Godrèche and Luck 1990)

$$I_N = \int_0^{k_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{S_N(k)} \, dk,$$

where $k_{\text{max}}$ is some arbitrary spectral cutoff. The nature of the limit measure is partly coded in the behavior of these quantities for large $N$. In fact, for an absolutely continuous measure they tend to be constant, while they scale as $I_N \sim N^{-1/2}$ for a pure point measure (Godrèche and Luck 1992). A somehow intermediate behavior can be expected for the singular continuous case. For our system, we have evaluated numerically (16), finding the law

$$I_N \sim N^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma = 0.36 \pm 0.02.$$

This rules out the existence of an absolutely continuous component and suggests that only a singular spectrum is present. This exponent can also be related to the theory of multifractals: if the measure $d\nu(k)$ has a generalized dimension function $D_k$, then $\gamma$ should be linearly related to $D_k$ (Hentschel and Procaccia 1983).

The spectral reflectance (at normal incidence) is also a good tool to characterize the optical performance. In figure 4 we have represented this magnitude for the third, fifth and seventh generations of the zig-zag path sketched in figure 2. As the spectral window of interest, we take the interval 0.4–0.8 $\mu$m. In this range the refractive index of the cryolite can be considered, to a good approximation, as constant, while for the zinc selenide we use the Sellmeier-type dispersion equation in Marple (1964). As we see, the resulting systems tend to approach a reflectance unity quite fast, which confirms the presence of a bandgap. We also observe well-defined and isolated peaks, which are reminiscent of the phenomenon of localization.

As a final and rather technical remark, we note that the quotient of the hyperbolic disc by the Fuchsian group generated by $A$ and $B$ is a 2-orbifold of genus 0 with three cusps. Each word represents a hyperbolic transformation of the disc, and the axis of the transformation is
projected onto a closed geodesic of such an orbifold. This provides an orbifold interpretation of our QP sequences.

In summary, we have presented a fundamental scheme to generate QP sequences based on tessellations of the unit disc, which is the natural scenario to describe the performance of these systems. Apart from the intrinsic beauty of the formalism, our preliminary analysis shows that these systems exhibit exceptional physical properties linked to their amazing geometrical properties. This should not come as a surprise, given the rich interplay between physics and geometry.
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