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Abstract

The purpose is to determine if the preoperative central endothelial cell density (ECD) in triple (phacoemulsification plus intraocular lens implantation plus DSAEK) and non-triple Descemet Stripping Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty (DSAEK) procedures have a relationship with the 5-year postoperative ECD or percent Endothelial Cell Loss (ECL).

Out of 986 consecutive DSAEK surgeries for Fuchs dystrophy, 241 eyes had 5-year ECD measurements available. Endothelial cell densities were then evaluated against preoperative ECDs to obtain measures of ECL. Triple and non-triple procedures were isolated and compared independently.

One hundred eighty two eyes had undergone a triple procedure and 59 had not. The mean ECD at 5 years was 1560 ± 648 cells/mm² for triples and 1483 ± 621 cells/mm² for non-triples (P = .42). Endothelial Cell loss was 44.4% ± 21.7% and 44.4% ± 22.0%, respectively for eyes that underwent a triple or non-triple (P = .99). There was a moderate, but significant correlation between preoperative ECD and the ECD at 5 years after DSAEK for both triples (r = 0.39, P < .001), and non-triples (r = 0.32, P = .01), respectively.

In Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty grafts, higher preoperative donor ECD was correlated with higher ECD at 5 years postoperatively but was unaffected by a concurrent cataract surgery in the triple procedure.

Abbreviations: ECD = endothelial cell density, DSAEK = Descemet Stripping Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty, ECL = Endothelial Cell Loss, EK = Endothelial Keratoplasty, PLK = Posterior Lamellar Keratoplasty, DLEK = Deep Lamellar Endothelial Keratoplasty, DSEK = Descemet Stripping Endothelial Keratoplasty, DMAEK = Descemet Membrane Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty, IOL = intraocular lens, CPTS = Cornea Preservation Time Study, PCIOL = posterior chamber intraocular lens, CO = anterior chamber, PK = Penetrating Keratoplasty, LEGF = late endothelial graft failure.
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1. Introduction

Endothelial Keratoplasty (EK) has been rapidly evolving in the past 2 decades. The first modern EK procedure was performed in 1999 and called Posterior Lamellar Keratoplasty (PLK).[1,2] Deep Lamellar Endothelial Keratoplasty (DLEK) was introduced in the following year.[3] In 2004, descemetorhexis technique was introduced and the new procedure was named Descemet Stripping Endothelial Keratoplasty (DSEK), which was further
improved through the introduction of automated microkeratome, a procedure named Descemet Stripping Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty (DSAEK),[14] Descemet Membrane Endothelial Keratoplasty (DMEK) was introduced in 2006,[15] which was later modified by DMAEK (Descemet Membrane Automated Endothelial Keratoplasty).[6,7] Although DMEK is becoming more widely adapted, DSEK/DSAEK is still the most commonly performed procedure for EK.[8]

DSAEK is frequently performed as part of a triple procedure (phacoemulsification plus intraocular lens implantation plus DSAEK). Removing the lens in the triple procedure obviates the risk of intraoperative crystalline lens damage, as well as eliminates the risk of accelerated cataract formation after DSAEK.[9,10] However, there is a theoretical risk that the greater instability of the newly placed intraocular lens (IOL) in a triple procedure and the added postoperative inflammation from the concomitant cataract surgery may increase the endothelial cell loss rate in a triple procedure graft v a DSAEK without other simultaneous surgery.[11] While the Cornea Preservation Time Study[12] (CPTS) and other single center studies[13,14] did not show a significant difference in endothelial cell loss of Triples v DSAEK alone, the study presented here has a longer postoperative time frame than the CPTS and more patients to analyze for the 5 year postoperative visit than any prior single center study.

Another major issue in DSAEK is the relevance of the preoperative endothelial cell density to the long-term health of the graft. The CPTS found that a higher preoperative cell density was not correlated with a higher graft survival rate at 3 years,[15] but did find that there was a significant positive correlation with the postoperative endothelial cell density at 3 years.[12] In this single center study, we evaluated the correlation of preoperative cell densities to postoperative cell densities out to a longer time frame of 5 years. This study is the largest single center, uniform surgical technique study of DSAEK to look at the influence of triple procedures and preoperative cell density on endothelial cell loss and endothelial cell density at 5 years after surgery.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Protocol

This is part of the EK patient registry at Devers Eye Institute, and a continuation of our prospective data collection on patients receiving EK. A Legacy Institutional Review Board approved and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act compliant clinical protocol and surgical consent form was developed and enrollment was initiated for patients with endothelial dysfunction.

No specific requests were made of the eye bank to provide tissue for EK with any different characteristics than what is normally requested for full thickness PK tissues. Donor tissues with an ECD more than 2000 cells/mm², any age between 4 and 75 years old, and death to transplantation time of up to 12 days were accepted.

Patients receiving triple procedure (DSAEK combined with cataract extraction and posterior chamber intraocular lens (PCIOIL)) for Fuchs endothelial dystrophy and cataract, and patients receiving non-triple (DSAEK only) procedure for Fuchs endothelial dystrophy were considered eligible for the study.

All DSAEK procedures were performed between 12/2005 and 10/2013 and only those eyes that had 5 year specular imaging were included in the analysis. Eyes that were not included in the final analysis were either lost to follow-up, experienced graft failure, had unanalyzable specular images, or missed the 5-year visit.

Eyes with a history of age-related macular degeneration, cystoid macular edema, controlled glaucoma and other comorbidities were not excluded as visual acuity was not an outcome of the study. Eyes with uncontrolled glaucoma or eyes with prior or subsequent glaucoma surgery were excluded.

In all cases, the phacoemulsification and intraocular lens implantation were done before DSAEK through the same 5 mm temporal scleral tunnel, but with only a 2.8 mm keratome opening into the AC. This opening was subsequently enlarged to the full 5 mm for the insertion of the donor tissue. The exact, standardized, forcep-insertion DSAEK procedure was used by attending and corneal fellows for all surgeries.

2.2. Specular microscopy data

The vast majority of donor tissue came from Lions VisionGift in Portland, Oregon. Specular images were obtained with an EB-3000 XYZ Eyebank specular microscope (HAI Laboratories, Inc., Lexington, MA). The preoperative cell counts were obtained using an apices digitized method and the manufacturers calibrations for magnification. The apices of at least 100 cells from the endothelial images of each cornea were counted. Preoperative donor tissue specular microscopy was performed by 3 trained eye bank technicians, all of whom had at least 1 year of experience with this technology. The preoperative endothelial cell density measurement was taken before the donor tissue was cut for a DSAEK graft.

Postoperative specular microscopy measurements of ECD were acquired at Devers Eye Institute using the Konan SP4000 noncontact specular microscope (Konan Medical Corp., Fairlawn, NJ). A certified ophthalmic technician (COT) performed all postoperative testing of patients using the same specular microscope each time.

These postoperative cell counts were obtained using the manufacturers calibrations for magnification and were counted with a fixed-frame method with the protocol requiring the marking of at least 50 to 100 cells for each image. Specular microscopy measurements with insufficient quality of the image were not included in the analysis. Insufficient quality of the image was determined subjectively by the examining physician based on the edge clarity of the individual cells. Approximately 8% of specular images are rejected in the authors clinical program, and approximately 10% of the time central specular images could not be obtained despite a crystal-clear graft. Clarity of the graft was not an issue with any rejected specular image. Analysis of endothelial pleomorphism and polymegathism was not performed in this study.

2.3. Surgical procedure

Phacoemulsification cataract extraction and intraocular lens implantation were done before endothelial replacement through the same 5 mm temporal scleral tunnel, but utilizing only a 2.8 mm keratome opening into the anterior chamber (AC). The scleral tunnel wound was then enlarged to 5.0 mm at the completion of the cataract surgery.

Descemets stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty was performed with a standard technique as previously pub-
lished\textsuperscript{[13,16]} In most cases, the tissue was precut by an eye bank technician and then provided to the surgeon, usually within 26 hours of precutting.\textsuperscript{[16–18]} DSAEK grafts were cut by the surgeon in the operating room for surgeries conducted prior to 11/2006. A video of the DSAEK technique using precut donor tissue is available online (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mtu8dxZUCx0) from a previous report on the use of precut tissue in DSAEK.

In brief, the standard DSAEK procedure involves placement of 2 limbal 1-mm wide paracentesis incisions on either side of a 5-mm temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wound was placed approximately 0.5 mm peripheral to the temporal limbus with a scleral temporal scleral access wound. This wind...
that underwent a triple or non-triple procedure ($P= .99$). There was a moderate, but significant correlation between preoperative ECD and the postoperative ECD at 5 years after DSAEK for both triple ($r= 0.39, P < .001$), and non-triple ($r= 0.32, P = .01$), respectively (Fig. 2A, B).

4. Discussion

This study has shown that higher preoperative ECD in DSAEK was significantly correlated to higher ECD at 5 years postoperatively. It has also shown that the ECD was not significantly affected by a concurrent cataract surgery in triple procedures.

Cataract and Fuchs dystrophy are 2 eye pathologies that are frequently encountered concomitant in the elderly, and it has been well established that corneal grafting (Penetrating Keratoplasty (PK) or EK) accelerates cataract formation.$^{[9,10,19,20]}$ This is related to the intraoperative manipulation and the steroid use postoperatively.$^{[18]}$ This rate has been shown to be maximum after the age of 50.$^{[9]}$ On the other hand, cataract extraction is a major risk factor for corneal decompensation in eyes with Fuchs dystrophy.$^{[22]}$ For these reasons, triple procedures (phacoemulsification+ IOL+ EK) have often been recommended for the management of patients with both mild cataract and corneal endothelial dysfunction. The complication rate and postoperative ECD for triple procedures compared to the DSAEK alone cases have not been shown to be different for short-term periods (6 months and 12 months) or longer-term follow-up of 3 to 5 years.$^{[12-14]}$ The 5 year ECL in our study (44.4%) was comparable to the 3 year ECL in the CPTS for the Fuchs dystrophy subgroup (44%).$^{[12]}$ The Price et al study reported a higher 5 year ECL at 53% compared to our study.$^{[22]}$ In our study here with a longer follow-up time than the CPTS and over 200% more analyzed eyes than prior single center studies,$^{[22]}$ we have confirmed that the triple procedure is comparable to DSAEK alone surgery at 5 years postoperatively. Therefore, it is recommended that surgeons not perform sequential surgery of phacoemulsification followed weeks later by endothelial keratoplasty in the setting of significant cataract and endothelial disease. These dual pathologies should be remedied in a single setting operation.

Corneal transplant surgeons often have a bias toward wanting donor tissue with a high preoperative endothelial cell density. The CPTS study analyzed 913 clear grafts at 3 years postoperatively and found that a lower preoperative ECD was significantly associated with a lower ECD at 3 years.$^{[12]}$ Our study confirms that finding with follow-up at a longer time of 5 years postoperatively in 241 eyes of strictly Fuchs dystrophy eyes and with a uniform surgical technique at a single center. Interestingly, a higher postoperative ECD did not influence graft survival in the CPTS study and eyes with a higher preoperative ECD did not have an advantage for a greater 3 year graft survival rate.$^{[13]}$ The relationship between ECD and graft survival over an extended postoperative time warrants further study. Our report also confirms findings from a Price group study in which they found a weak but still significant correlation between the preoperative ECD and the 5 years postoperative ECD.$^{[12]}$ We believe that having higher number of eyes showed more significance to the correlation. Although some previous reports have shown no significant correlation between preoperative and postoperative ECD at the short-term postoperative time points,$^{[23-24]}$ more reports have shown that preoperative ECD is significantly correlated with the medium-long term postoperative ECD.$^{[15,22,24]}$ This could be related to the fact that endothelial cells tend to expand and migrate to cover areas of endothelial loss and damage in a slow process that may show its effect over a longer period of time.

The strengths of this study are that it provides a longer period of follow-up (5 years) than the CPTS study (3 years). This study is also the largest single-center study of DSAEK at 5 years with 241 eyes analyzed vs 95 eyes in a prior study.$^{[22]}$ Another strength of the study is that the exact same surgical technique was used for all of the surgeries as opposed
to the CPTS where multiple surgical techniques by over 60 surgeons were used. The weakness of the study is that, although the data was collected prospectively, it is an unmasked, retrospective study at a single center and may not be applicable to surgeons using a different surgical technique. Also, a large portion of the 986 consecutive surgeries did not have 5-year postoperative ECD measurements due to being lost to follow-up. We are aware that only 24% of the original consecutive surgeries had 5 years ECD data available and how those missing data points could affect the mean ECD and ECL values. In order to determine that the 5 year ECD values in our sample size of 241 eyes was representative of the entire series of 986 consecutive surgeries, we recorded the number of rejection episodes, 6 month ECL, and 6 month ECD in eyes that had 5 year ECD data and in eyes that did not have 5 year ECD data. Rejection episodes can negatively influence the long-term ECD in patients receiving DSAEK for Fuchs dystrophy. A previous study from our group has shown a statistically significant increase in 2 and 3 year postoperative ECL in eyes experiencing a rejection episode compared to eyes that did not have a rejection. The 986 consecutive DSAEK surgeries in this study, 66 eyes experienced a rejection episode within 5 years of surgery. 35 of those 66 eyes had 5 year ECD data. The CPTS has reported that ECD and ECL at 6 months is associated with late endothelial graft failure (LEGF) up to 5 years postoperative in DSAEK (P<.001). The authors reported a LEGF rate of 6.5% for grafts with 6 month ECL less than 1200 cells/mm². In our study we found comparable mean 6 month ECL (P=.062) and 6 month ECD (P=.59) in eyes with vs without 5 year ECD, 25.7% ± 16.3% (2050 ± 427 cells/mm², n = 213) and 23.2% ± 16.1% (2031 ± 433 cells/mm², n = 484), respectively.

Without having a graft survival analysis of all 986 consecutive surgeries, we believe comparing the 6 month ECL, 6 month ECD, and 5 year ECD data and in eyes that did not have 5 year ECD data. The data from this study indicate that a triple procedure of DSAEK with cataract extraction and intraocular lens insertion should be performed in cases of clinically significant Fuchs corneal dystrophy and cataract. Cataract surgery and DSAEK should not be done as separate procedures sequentially. Furthermore, the preoperative ECD does influence the postoperative ECD after DSAEK at 5 years, but this does not indicate at this time that DSAEK graft survival is dependent upon preoperative ECD.
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