Coherent many-body spin dynamics in a long-range interacting Ising chain
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Coherent many-body quantum dynamics lies at the heart of quantum simulation and quantum computation. Both require coherent evolution in the exponentially large Hilbert space of an interacting many-body system [1 2]. To date, trapped ions have defined the state of the art in terms of achievable coherence times in interacting spin chains [3 6]. Here, we establish an alternative platform by reporting on the observation of coherent, fully interaction-driven quantum revivals of the magnetization in Rydberg-dressed Ising spin chains of atoms trapped in an optical lattice. We identify partial many-body revivals at up to about ten times the characteristic time scale set by the interactions. At the same time, single-site-resolved correlation measurements link the magnetization dynamics with inter-spin correlations appearing at different distances during the evolution. These results mark an enabling step towards the implementation of Rydberg atom based quantum annealers [7], quantum simulations of higher dimensional complex magnetic Hamiltonians [8 9], and itinerant long-range interacting quantum matter [10 12].

The coherent unitary evolution of closed many-body quantum systems initially prepared in a superposition of different eigenstates is one of the most fundamental concepts of quantum theory. It predicts a fast dephasing of the initial state, followed by its revival after long times. This dynamics originates from the discrete energy spectrum of the many-body eigenstates, each evolving with its characteristic frequency. The expected collapse and revival dynamics is in stark contrast to the experience, that in typical interacting macroscopic systems quantum revivals are entirely absent. This is a consequence of the exponential increase of the number of distinct energy levels with system size, making the spectrum effectively continuous, such that the revival time diverges. Even in small generic interacting systems with few constituents, the observation of quantum revivals is far from trivial due to residual couplings to the (macroscopic) environment causing decoherence. This renders the observation of quantum revivals in a many-body system one of the most demanding tests to demonstrate its coherent evolution, which itself is indispensable, for example, for efficient adiabatic quantum computation [1 2].

Quantum revivals have so far been observed experimentally using appropriate observables in few-particle systems, whose dynamics was additionally constrained to small parts of the Hilbert space. Seminal achievements are the observation of collapse and revivals of Rabi oscillation dynamics of Rydberg states coupled to the radiation field in a microwave cavity [13 14], of revivals of the motional state of a single trapped ion [15] or of coherent photonic states in microwave resonators coupled to a transmon qubit [16]. Revival dynamics has also been observed for small coherent states of matter realized with ultracold atoms in individual sites of optical lattices. The onsite interactions between the indistinguishable atoms led first to dephasing and later to revivals of the relative phase of the condensate wave function on the different lattice sites [17 18].

A much more complex many-body dynamics is expected when the full Hilbert space is accessible in the time evolution, and in particular in systems with long-range interactions. Quantum magnets featuring such interactions have been realized recently with trapped ions [3 6], ground state molecules [19], magnetic atoms [20] and neutral atoms coupled to Rydberg states on resonance [21 22], or off-resonantly [23 24]. Indeed, indications for partial quantum revivals have been detected in the dynamics of the next-nearest-neighbor correlations [5] and in the magnetization of small systems of three spins [23].

Here we report on the observation of quantum revivals of the transverse magnetization in Rydberg-dressed Ising chains of about ten atoms with long-range interactions. The magnetization of the chains shows partial revivals up to times exceeding ten times the characteristic timescale set by the nearest-neighbor coupling strength and single-spin resolved correlation measurements reveal the microscopic origin of the interaction-induced collapse dynamics at short times.

In our experiments, we implemented a one-dimensional (1d) Ising spin chain of N spins with soft-core type long-range interactions using ultracold rubidium-87 atoms in an optical lattice, optically “dressed” to a strongly interacting Rydberg state [10 20 27], see Fig. 1A. The spin-1/2 degree of freedom is encoded in two hyperfine ground
states and its dynamics is described by the Hamiltonian
\[ \hat{H} = \hbar \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \frac{U(d_{ij})}{2} \hat{S}_{i}^{z} \hat{S}_{j}^{z}. \] (1)

Here, \( \hat{S}_{i}^{z} \) denotes the spin operator measuring the spin in the \( z \)-direction at a lattice site \( i \) and we have omitted all terms linear in the spin operators as they are irrelevant for the subsequent discussion [28]. For the chosen parameters of the optical coupling, the interaction potential \( U(d_{ij}) \) is approximated by a soft-core shape for spins at a distance \( d_{ij} = |i - j| \) (see Fig. 1B). For distances smaller than the lattice spacing \( d_{ij} \leq a_{\text{lat}} = 532 \text{ nm} \) it saturates to the nearest-neighbor value \( U(1) = U_{0} \approx -13 \text{ kHz} \) and for larger distances it asymptotically falls off with a van-der-Waals tail, \( U(d_{ij}) \propto 1/d_{ij}^{6} \) [24].

To study the quantum evolution, the system is initially prepared in a separable coherent spin state [29] with maximal magnetization along the \( S^{y} \)-direction, \(|\psi_{0}\rangle = (|\rightarrow\rangle)^{\otimes N} \), where \(|\rightarrow\rangle = (|\rightarrow\rangle)^{\otimes N} \), and \(|\leftarrow\rangle \) is a single-spin eigenstate of \( \hat{S}^{y} \). In the \( \hat{S}^{z} \) basis, in which all many-body eigenstates of \( \hat{H} \) factorize, each spin is equally likely found in the two single-spin eigenstates of \( \hat{S}^{z} \). Thus, each many-body eigenstate \(|\lambda\rangle \) with possibly degenerate eigenenergy \( E_{\lambda} = \hbar \nu_{\lambda} \) is populated with equal probability \(|\langle \lambda |\psi_{0}\rangle|^{2} = |c_{\lambda}|^{2} \), and an amplitude of \( c_{\lambda} = 2^{-N/2} e^{i \phi_{\lambda}} \). After unitary evolution of \(|\psi_{0}\rangle \) with \( \hat{U} = e^{-i \hat{H} t/\hbar} \), the expectation value of the local transverse magnetization at site \( j \) in the chain becomes \( \langle \hat{S}_{j}^{y}(t) \rangle = 2^{-N} \sum_{\lambda \eta} e^{-i 2 \pi (\nu_{\lambda} - \nu_{\eta}) t} \langle \eta | \hat{S}_{j}^{y} | \lambda \rangle \), where the irrelevant phases \( \phi_{\lambda} \) and \( \phi_{\eta} \) have been omitted. The dynamics of \( \langle \hat{S}_{j}^{y}(t) \rangle \) is hence determined by those frequency differences \( \Delta \nu = \nu_{\lambda} - \nu_{\eta} \), for which the operator matrix-element \( \langle \eta | \hat{S}_{j}^{y} | \lambda \rangle \) does not vanish (see Fig. 1B).

Due to the contribution of different \( \Delta \nu \), the initial state and hence the magnetization is expected to undergo an initial collapse dynamics, followed by a revival on longer timescales. Importantly, this dynamics is purely driven by the build-up of correlations [6], since the initial state is a mean-field steady state with \( \langle \hat{S}_{j}^{y} \rangle = 0 \). Indeed, the collapse is accompanied by the generation of entanglement, at short evolution times in the form of spin squeezing [30, 33]. At a later time \( t = 1/2U_{0} \), the system realizes approximately a cluster state [33], a highly entangled state useful for quantum computation.

To obtain an intuitive understanding of the collapse and revival dynamics, it is illustrative to consider the case of an Ising model with only nearest-neighbor inter-
actions of strength $hU_0$. For such a case, the transverse magnetization $\langle \hat{S}_y^{\text{tot}} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \hat{S}_y^{j} \rangle$ would show periodic revivals at times $t_n = n/U_0$. This is due to the highly degenerate spectrum with all relevant energy differences $\Delta \nu$ either vanishing, or being equal to $U_0$ for a spin located in the bulk or to $U_0/2$ for a spin at the edge of the system (see Fig. 1B and 28). Adding interactions with longer range leads to a more complex spectrum, breaking many of the degeneracies present in the former case. For the interaction potential realized in the experiment and a chain of $N = 10$ atoms, also the number of relevant frequency differences increases significantly (see Fig. 1B). Whereas this shifts a possible perfect revival of the initial state to experimentally inaccessible times, the magnetization may still show dynamics with partial revivals (see Fig. 1C). The exact magnetization dynamics can be calculated analytically for our initial state and it predicts that the local transverse magnetization evolves in time as $\langle \hat{S}_y^{j}(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i \neq j}^{N} \cos(\pi U(d_{ij})t)$ [30, 33]. This confirms the intuition that partial revivals of the magnetization of a single spin originate from its interaction $U(d_{ij})$ with spins at different distances $d_{ij}$ and the resulting beat notes. Despite the conceptual similarities to previously studied revival dynamics [14][18], an important difference of the spin system with long-range interactions studied here is the absence of a spatial spin exchange symmetry and, hence, there is no simplifying description of the system’s temporal dynamics in terms of symmetric Dicke states [35].

Our experiments started with the preparation of an atomic chain of ten sites with 87(3)% filling from a Mott insulator using single-site addressing techniques [28][30][37]. Subsequently, the coherent spin state was initialized by a global microwave-induced $\pi/2$ rotation about the $S^z$-axis ending in an equal superposition of the hyperfine states $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -1\rangle$ and $|2, -2\rangle$. Next, we switched on the interactions $U(d_{ij})$ for a total time $t$ by illuminating the sample with the “dressing” laser, coupling to the $31P_{1/2}$ Rydberg state with Rabi frequency $\Omega/2\pi = 3.57(3)$ MHz and detuning $\Delta/2\pi = 11.00(2)$ MHz. This Rydberg dressing was interrupted after a time $t/2$ to implement a spin-echo pulse of area $\pi$ about the $S^z$-axis to remove trivial phases accumulated due to single atom shifts proportional to $S^z$, thereby leaving the spin-spin interaction as the only drive of the dynamics [28]. Finally, the spin along the $S^y$-direction was read out using a second $\pi/2$-pulse to rotate the spins to the $\hat{S}^z$-basis, where we separated the spins in-situ making use of their different magnetic moments. This enabled a position-
Investigating spatial spin-spin correlations provides microscopic insight into the collapse and revival dynamics. To this end, we evaluated the connected spin-spin correlator \( C_d = \langle \hat{S}_y^{(d)} \hat{S}_z^{(d)} \rangle / N \) at different distances \( d \) versus time (see Fig. 3). The correlation signal for neighboring spins \( C_1 \) reveals that the initial decay of the magnetization is driven by the build-up of strong nearest-neighbor correlations, peaking at \( t \approx 1/4U_0 \). Whereas for pure nearest-neighbor interactions one solely expects correlations extending to the neighboring spins and \( C_2 = 0 \) for all times, we also find a non-zero next-nearest-neighbor correlator \( C_2 \), peaking later at \( t \approx 2/U_0 \). In our case, a non-zero \( C_2 \) is therefore a direct manifestation of the long-range interactions. These can entangle distant parts of the system even in the absence of moving quasi-particles [4, 5, 39], which arise for example in the Ising model with transverse field as free fermions after a Jordan-Wigner transformation [35]. An illustrative interpretation of the initial strong growth of the nearest-neighbor correlation can be obtained by rewriting \( \hat{H}_{\text{int}} \) in terms of the raising and lowering operators with respect to the \( S^y \)-direction, \( \hat{S}_{j}^\pm = \hat{S}_{j}^x \pm i\hat{S}_{j}^z \), yielding 

\[
\hat{H} = \hbar N \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{U_{ij}}{4} \left( \hat{S}_{i}^+ \hat{S}_{j}^- + \hat{S}_{i}^- \hat{S}_{j}^+ - \hat{S}_{i}^+ \hat{S}_{j}^- - \hat{S}_{i}^- \hat{S}_{j}^+ \right).
\]

Starting with \(| \psi_0 \rangle \), where all spins are in state \(| \leftarrow \rangle \), only the last term in the sum contributes for short times, which flips spins pairwise. This “pair production” of \(| \rightarrow \rangle \) spins is strongest at short distances, explaining the observed correlation signal qualitatively.

All terms in the Hamiltonian above are parity-conserving since the number of spins flipped from \(| \leftarrow \rangle \) to \(| \rightarrow \rangle \) can only be changed in steps of two. Hence, ideally we expect to observe only even numbers of \(| \rightarrow \rangle \) spins to appear during the evolution. This situation is similar to spontaneous pair creation in the squeezed vacuum state of photons. There, a strongly parity-modulated signal in the excitation numbers [40] has also been observed, and interpreted as quantum interference in phase space [41]. The parity signal is directly visible in the histograms shown in Fig. 4 for different evolution times and most pronounced at short times, where spurious single-spin rotation terms proportional to \( S_i^y \) are smallest and atom number decay is negligible. We characterized the evolution of the parity of the number of detected atoms in \(| \rightarrow \rangle \) by evaluating \( \langle \hat{P} \rangle = \langle e^{-i\pi \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{S}_i^y} \rangle \), with \( \hat{S}_i^y = | \leftarrow \rangle \langle \rightarrow |_i \), detecting if atom \( i \) is in the \(| \rightarrow \rangle \) state. For the initial state at \( t = 0 \), we obtain \( \langle \hat{P} \rangle = 0.41(7) \), where the reduction of the parity compared to unity is expected due to imperfections in the microwave rotations and decoherence due to magnetic field noise, both leading to uncorrelated rotations of individual spins even in the absence of Rydberg dressing [28]. Subsequently, the observed parity signal decays with increasing dressing time \( t \) and we extract a
our experiments mark the first step towards a Rydberg quantum annealer based on coherent Rydberg-dressed interactions \cite{31} and the study of itinerant quantum matter with long-range soft-core interactions \cite{10,12}.
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Figure 4. Evolution of the spin parity in the initially unpopulated $|\rightarrow\rangle$ state. The histograms on top show the evolution of the number of detected atoms in the $|\rightarrow\rangle$ state with even numbers highlighted by the darker color. The data was binned in intervals of 0.1 ms and the bin centers are indicated. The main plot shows the extracted parity $\langle P \rangle$ versus time together with an exponential fit with time constant $\tau_P = 0.13(4)$ ms (solid line). All error bars denote one s.e.m.

time constant of $\tau_P = 0.13(4)$ ms, which is on the order of $\tau/N$, the characteristic time to lose a single atom. Hence, we conclude that off-resonant excitation to the Rydberg state followed by a loss of the excited atom is the dominating decoherence effect \cite{28}.

Our observations of coherent, interaction-driven collapse and revival dynamics establish Rydberg dressing as a promising technique to study interacting spin systems in more complex scenarios \cite{15,16,19,20}. Indeed, the demonstrated interaction to decay ratio of $2\pi U_0\tau \approx 100$ exceeds our previous result \cite{23} by a factor of 100 and is comparable to the state of the art for implementing spin Hamiltonians in ion chains \cite{14} \cite{15} \cite{20}. While in the current experiment faster dynamics at larger interaction strengths was not accessible due to merely technical limitations in the pulse timing accuracy, the interaction strength to decay ratio can be significantly increased by working closer to resonance at larger Rydberg state admixture. The improved available long coherence times combined with the controlled, optically induced interactions allow for the implementation of Loschmidt-echo type sequences to characterize the value of the generated states for quantum metrological applications \cite{13}. The study of periodically driven systems in two dimensions or in systems with periodic boundary conditions are now also within reach, holding promise to shed new light on many-body phases existing solely in non-equilibrium scenarios \cite{13,14}, among them the “Floquet time crystal” phase \cite{12} \cite{15} \cite{16}. Furthermore,
We started our experiment with a degenerate two-dimensional gas of rubidium-87 atoms, spin polarized in the hyperfine state $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -1\rangle$ and held in a single confining antinode of an optical lattice along the $z$-direction. We then switched on a square optical lattice with $a_{lat} = 532$ nm spacing in this single $x$-$y$-plane, preparing about 170 atoms in a unity-filling Mott insulator.

For the experimental sequence, we ramped the optical lattices along the $(x, y, z)$-directions to depths of $(40, 40, 80)E_r$, where $E_r = \hbar^2/2m_{lat}$ is the recoil energy for rubidium-87 in our lattice. In this regime, the spatial extent of the Wannier function of an atom in a lattice site as well as tunnelling between the sites on the timescale of the experiment can be neglected and the Mott insulator served as a well controlled starting state for our experiments with a single spin per site.

Using an addressing scheme based on a digital mirror device [36, 37], we selected a single line of 10 atoms aligned with the $x$-direction in the lattice for our experiment, optically removing all other atoms from the trap. The filling of 87(3)% of a single line is determined by infidelities in the single atom addressing scheme and the filling of the initial Mott insulator (90% and 97% respectively). In order to introduce long-range interactions, the state $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -2\rangle$ was optically coupled to the $3P_{1/2}, |J = 1/2, m_J = +1/2\rangle$ Rydberg state. The coupling laser at a wavelength of 298 nm was $\sigma_+$ polarized and propagated in the plane of the atoms at an angle of 45$^\circ$ with the initially prepared chain of atoms.

The filling of 87(3)% of a single line is determined by infidelities in the single atom addressing scheme and the filling of the initial Mott insulator (90% and 97% respectively). In order to introduce long-range interactions, the state $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -2\rangle$ was optically coupled to the $3P_{1/2}, |J = 1/2, m_J = +1/2\rangle$ Rydberg state. The coupling laser at a wavelength of 298 nm was $\sigma_+$ polarized and propagated in the plane of the atoms at an angle of 45$^\circ$ with the initially prepared chain of atoms.

We used approximately 77(10) mW of uv-light, focused in the hyperfine state $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -1\rangle$ and held in a single confining antinode of an optical lattice along the $z$-direction. We then switched on a square optical lattice with $a_{lat} = 532$ nm spacing in this single $x$-$y$-plane, preparing about 170 atoms in a unity-filling Mott insulator.

For the experimental sequence, we ramped the optical lattices along the $(x, y, z)$-directions to depths of $(40, 40, 80)E_r$, where $E_r = \hbar^2/2m_{lat}$ is the recoil energy for rubidium-87 in our lattice. In this regime, the spatial extent of the Wannier function of an atom in a lattice site as well as tunnelling between the sites on the timescale of the experiment can be neglected and the Mott insulator served as a well controlled starting state for our experiments with a single spin per site. Empowering an addressing scheme based on a digital mirror device [36, 37], we selected a single line of 10 atoms aligned with the $x$-direction in the lattice for our experiment, optically removing all other atoms from the trap. The filling of 87(3)% of a single line is determined by infidelities in the single atom addressing scheme and the filling of the initial Mott insulator (90% and 97% respectively). In order to introduce long-range interactions, the state $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -2\rangle$ was optically coupled to the $3P_{1/2}, |J = 1/2, m_J = +1/2\rangle$ Rydberg state. The coupling laser at a wavelength of 298 nm was $\sigma_+$ polarized and propagated in the plane of the atoms at an angle of 45$^\circ$ with the initially prepared chain of atoms.

We used approximately 77(10) mW of uv-light, focused down to a waist of 18(3) $\mu$m to achieve a Rabi frequency of $\Omega/2\pi = 3.57(3)$ MHz on the Rydberg transition. The Rabi frequency was calibrated by measuring the AC-Stark shift $\delta = \frac{\Omega^2}{4\Delta}$ of the dressed ground state $|2, -2\rangle$ due to the Rydberg dressing laser for different detunings $\Delta$ [23]. A magnetic field of strength $B_{xy} = 0.405$ G was applied in the plane of the atoms along the excitation laser to set a well defined quantization axis for the dressing scheme and the ground state spin basis.

Pulse sequence

The experimental sequence for measuring the collapse and revival dynamics is shown in Fig. [5]. The cancel-
The role of the pulses in the experimental sequence was to prepare all spins in an equal superposition of the states $|1\rangle$ and $|−1\rangle$ in an eigenstate of $S^y$ (1), followed by a first dressing interval of time $t/2$ (2). A spin echo pulse (3) was used to cancel phases acquired by every spin due to the dressing laser light shift after the second dressing interval of time $t/2$ (4). The final spin-readout along the $S^z$-direction was realized by a global spin rotation (5) identical to the one used for the preparation. To ensure the same decoherence due to magnetic field noise for measurements with different dressing times $t$, the time between the two $\pi/2$ pulses (1, 5) was kept constant. The microwave pulses coupling $|1, −1\rangle$ and $|2, −2\rangle$ with an area $\pi/2$ and $\pi$ lasted $22\mu s$ and $44\mu s$ respectively. The dephasing time due to drifts of the global magnetic field was $2.8(4)\text{ms}$.

Spin-resolved detection

After the second dressing interval, we applied a microwave $\pi/2$-pulse to rotate the spins to the measurement basis in the $S^z$-direction. Here, the populations of the two eigenstates $|1, −1\rangle$ and $|2, −2\rangle$ can be detected due to their different magnetic moments. To achieve the latter, we allowed tunneling orthogonal to the initially prepared chain by ramping down the $y$-lattice. At the same time, we adiabatically ramped up a magnetic field gradient in the plane of the atoms. This led to a separation of the two spin states along the $y$-direction, see Fig. 6. After the atoms had settled to their respective new equilibrium position, we ramped up the $y$-lattice, followed by a fluorescence image to obtain the site-resolved occupation of each lattice site [17]. The splitting procedure allowed the detection of the spin state of an atom with approximately 98(1)% and was mainly limited due to residual atoms in the initial two-dimensional Mott insulator which had not been removed in the addressing scheme and whose distribution had a small overlap with the $|2, −2\rangle$ spins after the splitting, see Fig. 6.

LIFETIME AND COHERENCE OF THE RYDBERG-DRESSED SPIN CHAIN

Due to the admixture of the Rydberg state with lifetime $\tau_R$ to the ground state $|2, −2\rangle$, the latter acquires a finite effective lifetime $1/\gamma = \tau_R/\beta^2$, where $\beta = \Omega/2\Delta$ denotes the admixed amplitude of the Rydberg state to the ground state. For our parameters $\beta^2 = 2.63(5)%$ and the literature value $\tau_R = 27\mu s$ [15], we expect $1/\gamma = 1.04(2)\text{ms}$ under ideal conditions. The decoherence induced by coupling to the Rydberg state results predominantly in loss from the trap to an unobserved state with rate $\gamma_0 \approx \gamma$ [23]. Experimentally, we directly obtain access to $\gamma_0$ in our system by measuring the time evolution of the total atom number $N$ in the experimental sequence used to study the magnetization dynamics. It
Spin-resolved detection of spin chains. To detect the number of spins in a chain in the states $|1, -1\rangle$ and $|2, -2\rangle$, a magnetic field gradient was applied in the plane of the atoms along the $y$-direction, orthogonal to the initially prepared chain, to separate the two components due to their different magnetic moments. The main figure shows the density of atoms obtained after this procedure as an average over many experimental shots. The panel on the right shows the average of the density along the $y$-direction for atoms in $|1, -1\rangle$ and $|2, -2\rangle$ (red and blue, respectively). The gray shading marks the region of interest chosen for extracting the number of atoms in the two states. Its width of 10 sites matches the width of the initially addressed chain. The lower panel equivalently shows the density averaged over the $x$-direction. The gray vertical line marks the cut to distinguish between atoms in $|1, -1\rangle$ (to the right) and $|2, -2\rangle$ (to the left). The position of the cut was obtained by minimizing the probability of false positive detection events for spin polarized initial states. For the chosen cut, we estimate the probability of an atom in $|1, -1\rangle$ to be falsely counted as a $|2, -2\rangle$ atom to be approximately 2%. The slight shift to the left of the central minimum is due to atoms in $|1, -1\rangle$ which were not perfectly removed in the preparation sequence of the single line. All results obtained in the main text are insensitive to small shifts of the separating line by $\pm a_{lat}$.

Lifetime of the dressed spin chain. Measured atom number after variable dressing time $t$ in the echo sequence. The lifetime extracted from an exponential fit is $\tau = 1.20(4)$ ms. Error bars denote the s.e.m. and are smaller than the size of the symbols.
Figure 8. Simulation of spatially resolved magnetization dynamics for nearest-neighbor Ising interactions. (A) Theoretically calculated evolution of the probability (color coded) for a spin at a given lattice site (labelled from 1 to 10) to point in the initially prepared $S^z$-direction along $|→⟩$ for each spin versus dressing time $t$ in a defect-free chain of $N = 10$ atoms. The out-of-phase time evolution of the edge spin is clearly visible. All bulk spins display periodic revivals at times $t = n/U_0$. (B) shows representative traces as cuts of (A) for a spin at the edge of the sample (red) and a spin in the bulk (blue), illustrating the different dynamics. (C) Probability for a spin to point in the initial $S^z$-direction along $|→⟩$ averaged over the full chain. Due to the different edge spin dynamics, every second revival is suppressed. (D) The frequency differences $\Delta \nu$ of the many-body eigenstates of the model are multiples of the nearest-neighbor interaction strength $U_0 = -13.1(5)$ kHz (gray), but only few are relevant for the dynamics of the transverse magnetization density (blue bars).

In addition to decoherence by the above discussed atom loss, also spin flips from the dressed ground state $|2, -2⟩$ to the undressed ground state $|1, -1⟩$ with rate $\gamma_4$, and dephasing of the dressed state with rate $\gamma_7$ contribute to the decay of the parity. Interestingly, the number of atoms $N$ in the system appears as a scaling factor in the exponent, making the parity a very sensitive probe for all three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes. From the measurement (see Fig. 4) we extract three decoherence processes.

DYNAMICS INCLUDING THE SPIN ECHO

In this section, a link between the time evolution including a spin echo and the evolution in a rotating frame of reference is established. To this end, we first consider the exact model realized in the experiment, where only spins in the optically dressed state $|2, -2⟩$ interact. It is given as

$$\hat{H}_a = \hbar \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{U(d_{ij})}{2} \hat{\sigma}_i^z \hat{\sigma}_j^z. \quad (4)$$

Here, $\hat{\sigma}_i^z = |e⟩⟨e|_i$ measures the occupation of the dressed state $|e⟩ = |2, -2⟩$ and $U(d_{ij})$ with $d = |i - j|$ denotes the dressed interaction potential between two dressed atoms in state $|e⟩$ at sites $i$ and $j$ respectively. This atomic model can be rewritten in terms of an Ising model [21, 49], by introducing the spin operators $\hat{S}_i^z = \frac{1}{2}(2\hat{\sigma}_i^z - 2)$, yielding

$$\hat{H}_{\text{rot}} = \hbar \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{U(d_{ij})}{2} \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z + \hbar \sum_i \Delta_i^{(\text{coll})} \hat{S}_i^z \equiv \hat{H} + \hat{H}_s. \quad (5)$$

The term $\Delta_i^{(\text{coll})} = \sum_{i \neq j} U(d_{ij})/2$ is picked up additionally in this transformation and acts as an additional longitudinal magnetic field, captured by the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_s$. It is linear in the spin operator $\hat{S}_i^z$ and could hence be eliminated in a rotating frame of reference generated by the unitary operator $\hat{U} = e^{-i2\pi \sum_i \Delta_i^{(\text{coll})} \hat{S}_i^z}$.
Figure 9. **Spatially resolved magnetization dynamics for Rydberg-dressed interactions.** (A) Theoretically calculated evolution of the probability (color coded) for a spin at a given lattice site (labelled from 1 to 10) to point in the initially prepared $S^y$-direction along $|\downarrow\rangle$ for each spin versus dressing time $t$ in a defect-free chain of $N = 10$ atoms. Contrary to the nearest-neighbor interacting Ising chain, the bulk spin-dynamics is modulated by the next-nearest-neighbor interaction $U (2\Delta_{\text{inter}}) \approx U_0 / 5$. Near the edge, spins show different temporal dynamics and now also the spins further in the chain are affected by the edge. Above, a zoom into the initial part of the dynamics, indicated by the gray area, shows the measured site-resolved probability for a spin at a given lattice site to point in the initially prepared $S^y$-direction along $|\downarrow\rangle$ versus dressing time $t$ (upper panel) and the corresponding theoretical expectation for a defect-free initial chain using the same binning as for the experimental data (lower panel). (B) Illustrates the dynamics with single spin time traces for an edge spin (red), the spin neighboring the edge spin (green) and spins in the bulk (blue), all taken as cuts of (A). (C) The average global spin now displays an interaction-induced collapse after two weak, bulk driven revivals at $t = 1 / U_0$ and $t = 2 / U_0$, before reviving at $t = 5 / U_0$ and later at $t = 10 / U_0$. (D) The frequency differences $\Delta \nu$ of the many-body eigenstates of the long-range interacting chain (gray bars) and those relevant for the dynamics of the transverse magnetization density (blue bars). Compared to the nearest-neighbor interacting Ising chain, many more frequencies contribute.

$$\bigotimes_i^N e^{-2\pi i \Delta_{\text{coll}}^i} \hat{S}_i^z = \bigotimes_i^N \hat{U}_i.$$ However, due to the spatial dependence on $i$ in a finite system, local control of the rotation operators $\hat{U}_i$ would be required. An alternative, experimentally more tractable strategy for finite systems is the introduction of spin echo pulses of the form $\Pi = \bigotimes_j^N e^{-\pi \hat{S}_j^z}$. These spin echoes can be implemented by a global microwave pulse, applied after half of the evolution time $t$. They effectively invert the roles of $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ and hence eliminate the effects of terms linear in $\hat{S}_i^z$ during the time evolution, leaving the part $\hat{H}$ of the Hamiltonian with the spin-spin interactions as the only drive for the dynamics. In order to prove that the time evolutions of $\hat{H}_{\text{rot}}$ and $\hat{H}$ are equivalent if a spin echo is applied after an evolution time $t/2$, we evaluate

$$e^{-it\hat{H}_{\text{rot}}/2\hbar} \Pi e^{-it\hat{H}_{\text{rot}}/2\hbar} = e^{-it(i\hat{H} + \hat{H}_s)/2\hbar} \Pi e^{-it(i\hat{H} + \hat{H}_s)/2\hbar} = \Pi e^{-it(i\hat{H} - \hat{H}_s)/2\hbar} e^{-it(i\hat{H} + \hat{H}_s)/2\hbar} = \Pi e^{-it\hat{H}/\hbar}.$$}

In the second step we have used the anti-commutation relation for the spin operators at the same site $i$, $\{\hat{S}_i^z, \hat{S}_j^z\} = 0$. This shows that the time evolution of the system under $\hat{H}_{\text{rot}}$ is equivalent to the one under $\hat{H}$, up to the spin echo pulse, which merely amounts to a global phase and a redefinition of the measurement basis and has no influence on the dynamics.

**SPATIALLY RESOLVED DYNAMICS OF THE REVIVALS**

For the system studied in our experiment, the expected spin dynamics can be calculated exactly. This allows to obtain both expectation values for mean spin, spin-spin correlations and the spatial structure of the revivals [24] [34]. As mentioned in the main text, the expectation...
value for a spin at a site $j$ can be evaluated to yield
\[
\langle \hat{S}_j^y(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i \neq j} \cos(\pi U(d_{ij})t).
\] (6)

The dynamics of the spin is governed by the interaction with its neighbors and the resulting beat notes due to frequency mixing. In the following, we will link this analytic result for the local magnetization dynamics to the spectral features of the Hamiltonian
\[
\hat{H} = \hbar \sum_{i \neq j} U(d_{ij}) \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z.
\] (7)

To first obtain an intuitive understanding of the dynamics, we consider the time evolution of an Ising model with an interaction potential $U(d_{ij}) = U_0$ constrained to nearest-neighbor interaction only. In this case, the mean magnetization evolves with periodic revivals at times $t = n/U_0$, multiples of the interaction time $1/U_0$ (see Fig. 8C). The frequency differences dominating the dynamics are limited to $\Delta \nu = 0, \pm U_0, \pm U_0/2$. This can be understood from the simple structure of the many-body spectrum of $\hat{H}$, whose set of eigenstates $|\lambda\rangle$ comprises all products of the single spin eigenstates $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ of $\hat{S}^z$. The spectrum of $\hat{H}$ only allows for $\Delta \nu$ being a multiple of $\pm nU_0/2$ (Fig. 8D). The matrix element $\langle \eta | \hat{S}_j^y | \lambda \rangle$ is non-zero for those many-body eigenstates $|\eta\rangle$ and $|\lambda\rangle$ which differ by a single flipped spin from $|\uparrow\rangle$ to $|\downarrow\rangle$ at the same position $j$. The cost of such a flipped spin is measured by $\Delta \nu$ and amounts to $\Delta \nu = 0$ for anti-aligned neighbors of site $j$ ($\ldots \downarrow \uparrow \ldots \rightarrow \ldots \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \ldots$), the central spin is assumed to be at site $j$) or $\Delta \nu = \pm U_0$ if neighbors are aligned ($\ldots \uparrow \uparrow \ldots \rightarrow \ldots \downarrow \down \uparrow \ldots$) or ($\ldots \down \down \ldots \rightarrow \ldots \down \up \ldots$). From this argument, it is also clear that the magnetization of the edge spin will evolve differently due to its different environment. Focusing on the spatial structure of the magnetization dynamics shown in Fig. 8A, this is directly visible. Due to the single missing neighbor, the spin flip energy $\Delta \nu$ is only $\pm U_0/2$ and hence the oscillation is correspondingly slower than that of a bulk spin. As a consequence, the strength of every second revival of the average total magnetization of the chain is reduced, since the edge spins are out-of-phase.

Similar arguments hold for the long-range interaction potential. However, here the interaction spectrum is much more complicated due to beyond nearest-neighbor interactions and the number of relevant frequency differences $\Delta \nu$ increases significantly, acquiring sidebands due to interactions with spins at larger distances (see Fig. 8D). Therefore, the revival dynamics is more complex. First, the fast oscillations of the bulk with periodicity $1/U_0$, showing full contrast in the nearest-neighbor Ising case, are modulated with the next-nearest-neighbor interaction. This leads to damping of the magnetization revival amplitude initially, but allows for the partial revivals later at $t = 5/U_0$ and $t = 10/U_0$. Second, the edge spin and the spin next to the edge spin show different dynamics compared with the bulk, see Fig. 8B, which can again be understood from the frequencies contributing to $\langle \hat{S}_j^y \rangle$. The initial out-of-phase-dynamics of the edge spin is also observed experimentally in the spatially resolved magnetization density, see Fig. 8A. Summing the time evolution traces for the mean local magnetization $\langle \hat{S}_j^y \rangle$ yields the total collapse and revival dynamics with the characteristic features observed also in the experiment (see Fig. 8C). As a final remark it should be noted that the different evolution of the edge spins is has similar dynamical features as the “collective field” $\Delta_i^{(coll)}[24]$ resulting from the symmetrization of the spin-spin interaction potential. However, contrary to the collective field it is not a mere single particle effect and it is therefore not removed by the spin-echo pulse, but rather a consequence of the direct spin-spin interaction and the finite system size.