Adiposity has differing associations with incident coronary heart disease and mortality in the Scottish population: cross-sectional surveys with follow-up
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OBJECTIVE: Investigation of the association of excess adiposity with three different outcomes: all-cause mortality, coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality and incident CHD.

DESIGN: Cross-sectional surveys linked to hospital admissions and death records.

SUBJECTS: 19 329 adults (aged 18–86 years) from a representative sample of the Scottish population.

MEASUREMENTS: Gender-stratified Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) for all-cause mortality, CHD mortality and incident CHD. Separate models incorporating the anthropometric measurements body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC) or waist–hip ratio (WHR) were created adjusted for age, year of survey, smoking status and alcohol consumption.

RESULTS: For both genders, BMI-defined obesity (≥30 kg m$^{-2}$) was not associated with either an increased risk of all-cause mortality or CHD mortality. However, there was an increased risk of incident CHD among the obese men (hazard ratio (HR) = 1.78; 95% confidence interval = 1.37–2.31) and obese women (HR = 1.93; 95% confidence interval = 1.44–2.59). There was a similar pattern for WC with regard to the three outcomes; for incident CHD, the HR = 1.70 (1.35–2.14) for men and 1.71 (1.28–2.29) for women in the highest WC category (men ≥102 cm, women ≥88 cm), synonymous with abdominal obesity. For men, the highest category of WHR (≥1.0) was associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality (1.29; 1.04–1.60) and incident CHD (1.55; 1.19–2.01). Among women with a high WHR (≥0.85) there was an increased risk of all outcomes: all-cause mortality (1.56; 1.26–1.94), CHD mortality (2.49; 1.36–4.56) and incident CHD (1.76; 1.31–2.38).

CONCLUSIONS: In this study excess adiposity was associated with an increased risk of incident CHD but not necessarily death. One possibility is that modern medical intervention has contributed to improved survival of first CHD events. The future health burden of increased obesity levels may manifest as an increase in the prevalence of individuals living with CHD and its consequences.
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INTRODUCTION

The high prevalence of obesity in Scotland$^1$ and other developed countries$^2$ raises concerns over its health impact. The relationship between overall body fat proportion, as summarised by body mass index (BMI), and all-cause mortality has been established by many studies to be U-shaped, with the nadir within the normal range (18.5–25 kg m$^{-2}$)$^3,4$. However, some studies using contemporary population samples have identified an apparent attenuation of this association.$^5,8$ In these analyses, overweight (25–30 kg m$^{-2}$) has been identified to be borderline protective, or even protective, and obesity (≥30 kg m$^{-2}$) was only associated with an increased risk of mortality once BMI exceeded 35 kg m$^{-2}$.

Flegal et al.$^5,9$ upon comparing cohorts recruited at different times, suggested that the influence of obesity on mortality, and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality, may have decreased over time. Similarly, on comparison of two Canadian studies, one recruited in the 1980s$^5$ and the other in the 1990s,$^7$ excess adiposity was found to have a reduced association with mortality in the later study.

It has been suggested that improvements in medical care, particularly in relation to CVD, may be one of the contributors to the diminished impact of obesity on mortality over time.$^5,9$ Advances in treatment account for at least half the recent decline in coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality.$^10,11$ In a recent study of the Scottish Health Survey (SHeS) Cohort,$^12$ we identified obesity to be associated with an increased risk of mortality once BMI was ≥40 kg m$^{-2}$. We hypothesised that if medical improvements are, in part, weakening the association between increased adiposity and mortality, then a stronger positive association would exist for incident CHD than for mortality. We therefore examined the association between BMI, as well as waist circumference (WC), and waist–hip ratio (WHR) (indices of abdominal adiposity), with the three outcomes of all-cause mortality, CHD mortality and incident CHD within this same Scottish population sample, the SHeS Cohort.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Survey method and subjects

The SHeSs 1995, 1998 and 2003 are cross-sectional nationally representa-tive surveys designed to provide information on the health and health-related behaviours of people living in private households in Scotland.
details are described elsewhere. Samples were selected using a multistage stratified clustered probability sampling design. The surveys were age limited in 1995 (16–64 years) and 1998 (2–74 years). Data were collected during two household visits: first by an interviewer (where BMI was determined), then by a nurse. Body weight was measured to the nearest 100 g using electronic scales; an estimate was requested from respondents exceeding the scales’ upper limit of 130 kg. Height was measured to the nearest millimetre using a stadiometer. Waist and hip circumferences were measured during the nurse visit using a tape with an insertion buckle. Both were taken at least twice and recorded to the nearest millimetre to provide a mean. The waist was defined as the midpoint between the lower rib and the upper margin of the iliac crest. The hips were measured at the widest circumference around the buttocks below the iliac crest. Pregnant women and participants who were chair bound were excluded from all the above measurements.

Measures

Anthropometric. BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of the height (m²). Established cut-off points for BMI were: underweight (<18.5 kg m⁻²), desirable weight (18.5–25 kg m⁻²), overweight (25–<30 kg m⁻²) and obese (≥30 kg m⁻²). WC was defined in gender-specific low, reference, moderate and high (abdominal obesity) categories (for men: <79 cm, 79–<94 cm, 94–<102 cm and ≥102 cm, and for women: <68 cm, 68–<80 cm, 80–<88 cm and ≥88 cm, respectively). WHR (WC divided by hip circumference) was categorised as low, reference, moderate and high (abdominal obesity) separately for men (<0.85, 0.85–<0.95, 0.95–<1 and ≥1) and women (≤0.7, 0.7–<0.8, 0.8–<0.85 and ≥0.85). WC and WHR included cut-offs recommended by a WHO consultation; the upper limits of the second lowest categories (reference) have been associated with increased health risk.15

Covariates. Age was recorded as age at the time of interview. Smoking status was categorised as never smoker, ex-smoker, light smoker (0–<10 cigarettes per day), moderate smoker (10–<20 cigarettes per day) and heavy smoker (≥20 cigarettes per day). Pipe and cigar smokers were included with light smokers. Alcohol consumption was defined as never or very occasional drinker, ex-drinker, 0–<7 units per week, 7–<14 units per week, 14–<21 units per week, 21–<28 units per week and ≥28 units per week (1 unit = 10 ml ethanol). For women the last two categories were merged owing to low numbers. Two socioeconomic covariates were used: individual Registrar General’s occupational social class and 2001 Carstairs score quintiles, an area-based deprivation measure.20

Outcome measures

These surveys, with participants’ consent, have been linked to a national database of deaths (till December 2008) and the Scottish Morbidity Records. The latter are a patient-based database of acute hospital discharges from 1981 to December 2008. Three outcomes were investigated: all-cause mortality; CHD mortality defined by international classification of disease codes (ICD-9 410–414 and ICD-10 I20–I25) and incident CHD (fatal and non-fatal events). The latter was defined as first hospital admission, or death, using the same ICD codes plus the surgical interventions coronary artery bypass grafting and percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.

Sample

Response for the interview stage of the survey, where BMI was determined, declined from 81% in 1995 to 76% in 1998 and finally to 60% in 2003. The proportion of these progressing to the nurse interview, where WC and WHR were ascertained, also declined from 88% in 1995 to 67% in 2003. Individuals who had consented to data linkage (approximately 92%), were between 18 and 85 years of age, had no prior hospital admissions for CHD or stroke, and had complete data were included in analyses; Figure 1 summarises the combined sample derivation. Of the first event, CHD deaths in this sample, 36% had post mortems completed and 76% occurred without prior hospitalisation (numerator, CHD death with no admission; denominator, CHD death with no admission plus all deaths within 12 months of admission).

Statistical analyses

For each of the anthropometric measures Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate HRs for the three outcomes. Models were fitted within a multilevel framework to account for the data hierarchy of individuals within postcodes. The timescale was taken as time since interview (months) and censoring occurred at 31 December 2008. Models were adjusted for age (centred), smoking status, alcohol consumption and survey cohort. Additional adjustments were then made using either social class or area of deprivation. The second lowest category was used as referent for the anthropometric covariates; all other categorical covariates used the first category. A significant interaction between BMI and gender prompted stratification of all analyses by sex. Survival curves for each gender, and outcome, were generated using the Kaplan–Meier method with formal testing using the log-rank test. Adherence to the proportional hazards assumption was investigated by incorporation of time-dependent covariates in the models and by plotting smoothed Schoenfeld residuals against time; no violations of the assumption were identified. Sensitivity

---

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of the derivation of the final combined sample size from the Scottish Health Survey Cohort for each anthropometric measure used in analyses. All respondents over 16 years of age includes 212 pregnant women.
The anthropometric measures were also fitted as continuous variables using a fractional polynomial algorithm in fully adjusted, gender-stratified, single-level models.\textsuperscript{22} Command mfp in Stata/IC 10.1 (StataCorp LP., College Station, TX, USA) was used to fit the most appropriate first-degree, or second-degree, fractional polynomial to the data using power transformation(s) from, among others, the reciprocal, logarithm, square, cube and no transformation. Age was simultaneously fitted using the same selection process.

**RESULTS**

During a median follow-up of 10.1 years (extremes 6 days to 13.8 years; men = 86 012 and women = 112 804 person-years at risk) there were 757 deaths among men and 738 deaths among women (crude death rate of 8.8 for men and 6.5 for women per 1000 person-years) (Table 1). The crude CHD death rate was 1.5 and 1.0 per 1000 person-years and the crude incident CHD (fatal and non-fatal) rate was 6.1 and 3.2 per 1000 person-years for men and women, respectively. The risk of death, CHD death and incident CHD was greater for men than for women (Figure 2). Baseline data stratified by gender are presented in Table 1.

The anthropometric measures had the highest proportion of missing data, which increased from 1995 to 2003 and was greatest for the WC and WHR measures (Table 1, Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). The proportion of women in each survey was greater than men; in the combined sample 56.6% were women. The proportion classified as obese by BMI increased from 16.7% in 1995 to 22.1% in 2003. There was a similar increasing trend for the size of the highest WC and WHR categories. For the complete case samples used in the main analyses, on the basis of BMI (\( \geq 30 \) kg m\(^{-2}\)), 20.6% of men and 22.8% of women were obese (Tables 2 and 3). On the basis of WC (men \( \geq 102 \) cm, women \( \geq 88 \) cm) 22.0% of men and 29.9% of women had abdominal obesity. WHR (men \( \geq 1.0 \), women \( \geq 0.85 \)) classified 9.4% of men and 25.2% of women as having abdominal obesity.

For both genders there was no increase in risk of all-cause death associated with BMI-defined obesity (men adjusted HR = 0.82; 95% confidence interval = 0.67–1.01 and women 0.93; 0.75–1.15) (Tables 2 and 3). A similar finding was determined for CHD death except that the estimates were > 1, although still non-significant. Similarly, overweight, based on BMI, was not associated with altered risk, except for all-cause mortality in men, where it was protective (0.64; 0.54–0.77). In contrast, the risk of an incident CHD event was increased for men and women for both overweight and obesity, as defined by BMI. The highest risk was among the obese: for men the adjusted HR = 1.78 (1.37–2.31) and for women the

---

Table 1. Baseline characteristics for the Scottish Health Surveys Survey, \( n \) (%) 1995 3108 (33.3) 3914 (32.2) 1998 3331 (35.7) 4429 (36.4) 2003 2881 (30.9) 3818 (31.4) **BMI (kg m\(^{-2}\)), \( n \) (%)**

| Category | Men | Women |
|----------|-----|-------|
| <18.5 (underweight) | 84 (0.9) | 201 (1.7) |
| 18.5–<25 (desirable weight) | 2983 (32.0) | 4633 (38.1) |
| 25–<30 (overweight) | 3711 (39.8) | 3511 (28.9) |
| \( \geq 30 \) (obese) | 1760 (18.9) | 2464 (20.3) |
| Missing | 782 (8.4) | 1352 (11.1) |

---

Table 1 (Continued). Carstairs quintile, \( n \) (%) 1—Least deprived 1742 (18.7) 2175 (17.9) 2 2057 (22.1) 2616 (21.5) 3 2060 (22.1) 2691 (22.1) 4 1673 (18.0) 2226 (18.3) 5—Most deprived 1768 (19.0) 2433 (20.0) Missing 20 (0.2) 20 (0.2)
adjusted HR = 1.93 (1.44–2.59). For all-cause mortality there was an increased risk of mortality associated with the underweight for both genders.

Among men the adjusted HR estimates associated with WC-defined abdominal obesity increased from 1.10 (0.90–1.33) for all-cause mortality, to 1.54 (0.98–2.44) for CHD mortality and finally to 1.70 (1.35–2.14) for incident CHD (Table 2). This pattern was repeated for women with HRs: respectively, 1.20 (0.97–1.47), 1.64 (0.95–2.81) and 1.71 (1.28–2.29) (Table 3). For both genders there were no increased risks associated with moderate WC, whereas a low WC was associated with a significant increased risk for all-cause mortality only. Men with a high WHR had an increased risk of all-cause mortality and there was an increased risk of incident CHD for men who were classed as having a moderate WHR (1.38; 1.10–1.72) or high WHR (1.55; 1.19–2.01) (Table 2). In contrast, women with moderate or high WHR had an increased risk of suffering from all the three outcomes. For those with a WHR denoting abdominal obesity the adjusted HRs were 1.56 (1.26–1.94), 2.49 (1.36–4.56) and 1.76 (1.31–2.38) for all-cause mortality, CHD mortality and incident CHD, respectively (Table 3).

Adjustment for social class or deprivation had minimal impact on the estimates for the anthropometric measures but did identify inverse socioeconomic gradients for both genders and all outcomes (data available on request). Sensitivity analyses excluding early follow-up or restricting the sample to complete cases for anthropometric measures had minimal impact on estimates (data available on request).

The fractional polynomial algorithm selected a U-shape (two-term fractional polynomials) to best describe the association between all-cause mortality and either continuous BMI or WC, for both genders (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 1). For all the other outcomes and for all models involving WHR, a linear relationship was identified (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figures 2–6). A linear relationship for age was deemed most appropriate in all models.

**DISCUSSION**

In the SHeS cohort, a nationally representative sample, the risk of incident CHD was higher for individuals with greater adiposity, irrespective of the anthropometric measure utilised. There appeared to be a positive gradient in the strength of association as the outcome changed from all-cause mortality to CHD mortality to incident CHD. This would imply that excess adiposity was associated with a significant increase in the risk of a CHD event occurring, but not of that event being fatal. Avoidance of fatality during, and following, an event could be related to not only its severity, a secular decline in which has been observed by some studies, but also timely use of evidence-based medical interventions that have been developed over recent decades.

A similar positive relationship between BMI, WC or WHR and incident CHD has been identified by many studies. It is likely that the contribution of obesity to CHD risk is mediated by the major CVD risk factors of hypertension, dyslipidaemia and diabetes. Overweight and obesity represent a clustering of these risk factors and are associated with an increased risk of developing these conditions. A substantial component of the increased risk of CHD associated with excess adiposity is accounted for by such risk factors. There may be an independent effect of obesity on the risk of CHD.

Although there was a tendency for an increased risk of CHD mortality associated with excess adiposity according to all three measures, in contrast to incident CHD, it was only significant according to WHR among women. Like incident CHD there was evidence that there was a linear relationship between each of the measures and CHD. Obesity has been associated with increased risk for fatal CHD events by other studies, whereas for intermediate categories, such as BMI overweight, the increase has been shown to be more marginal. The findings for all-cause mortality of a U-shaped relationship with BMI and WC are similar to that found in our previous study, albeit this time stratified by gender. Another study that incorporated the SHeS cohort also found a decrease in the mortality risk associated with overweight as defined by BMI. Others have found there to be a non-significant decrease, or increase, in the association with overweight. Some research contradicts this, having identified that overweight, and also obesity, is associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality.

Part of the lack of association between overweight according to BMI and mortality is undoubtedly a consequence of its inability to distinguish between fat mass and lean mass. It has limited accuracy in the intermediate range (overweight) where there is a greater heterogeneity in body fat proportion and this misclassifies many individuals, particularly men. This might explain the comparatively lower risk for men associated with the overweight categories for all outcomes seen in this study. The inability of BMI to differentiate a lean mass from a lack of fat mass may partly influence the association of underweight with the increased all-cause mortality seen here and elsewhere. The increased association between underweight and all-cause mortality in part reflects its principal association with non-cancer non-CVD mortality, in particular respiratory disease.

WC and WHR summarise overall fat proportion as well as fat distribution; in particular they are proxies for visceral fat accumulation. It is this that may be particularly deleterious in relation to CVD via its role, along with dyslipidaemia, systemic inflammation and insulin resistance, in metabolic syndrome. In this study the three measures were qualitatively similar in their association with incident CHD for both genders. WHR, although identifying increased risk for all-cause mortality for men in the highest category, was particularly effective in demonstrating increased risk for both moderate and high-categorised women for
Table 2. Multilevel, multivariate HR and 95% confidence intervals for all-cause mortality, CHD mortality and incident CHD, by anthropometric measure, for men in the Scottish Health Survey Cohort

| Number at risk n (%) | All-cause mortality | CHD mortality | Incident CHD |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|
|                      | Events Unadjusted HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | Events Unadjusted HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | Events Unadjusted HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) |
|                      | Events | HR (95% CI) | Events | HR (95% CI) | Events | HR (95% CI) | Events | HR (95% CI) |
| **BMI (kg m⁻²)**     |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |
| <18.5 (underweight)  | 84 (1.0) | 19 | 3.13 (1.96–5.01) | 2.53 (1.58–4.06) | 3 | 3.09 (0.94–10.1) | 2.37 (0.71–7.88) | 7 | 2.54 (1.17–5.48) | 2.02 (0.93–4.38) |
| 18.5–25 (desirable)  | 2977 (34.9) | 246 | 0.56 (0.47–0.67) | 0.64 (0.54–0.77) | 47 | 0.72 (0.47–1.11) | 0.86 (0.55–1.33) | 222 | 1.25 (0.99–1.57) | 1.48 (1.17–1.88) |
| ≥30 (obese)         | 3711 (43.5) | 148 | 0.70 (0.57–0.86) | 0.82 (0.67–1.01) | 30 | 0.94 (0.58–1.52) | 1.13 (0.69–1.86) | 128 | 1.47 (1.13–1.90) | 1.78 (1.37–2.31) |
| **WC (cm)**         |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |
| <79 (low)           | 714 (9.4) | 57 | 2.25 (1.69–3.01) | 1.89 (1.41–2.53) | 9 | 2.40 (1.15–4.98) | 1.92 (0.92–4.01) | 18 | 1.00 (0.61–1.63) | 0.84 (0.51–1.37) |
| 79–94 (reference)   | 3475 (45.7) | 243 | 1.01 (0.7–1.23) | 0.97 (0.8–1.18) | 36 | 1.02 (0.92–2.19) | 1.14 (0.93–2.23) | 90 | 1.38 (1.10–1.72) | 1.38 (1.10–1.72) |
| ≥94 (high)          | 1677 (22.0) | 189 | 1.06 (0.88–1.29) | 1.10 (0.90–1.33) | 39 | 1.44 (0.91–2.26) | 1.54 (0.98–2.46) | 155 | 1.58 (1.26–1.98) | 1.70 (1.35–2.14) |
| **WHR**             |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |
| <0.65 (low)         | 1320 (17.4) | 53 | 1.11 (0.83–1.49) | 1.04 (0.77–1.39) | 7 | 0.94 (0.43–2.09) | 0.83 (0.37–1.85) | 25 | 0.69 (0.46–1.05) | 0.64 (0.49–0.97) |
| 0.8–0.9 (reference) | 4191 (55.1) | 303 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 1 | 80 | 1 | 1 |
| ≥1 (high)           | 717 (9.4) | 119 | 1.42 (1.15–1.76) | 1.29 (1.04–1.60) | 20 | 1.43 (0.85–2.41) | 1.36 (0.80–2.31) | 80 | 1.58 (1.22–2.06) | 1.55 (1.19–2.01) |

Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; HR, hazard ratios; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist–hip ratio. Estimates presented as unadjusted and adjusted for age, smoking status, alcohol consumption and year of survey.

Table 3. Multilevel, multivariate HR and 95% confidence intervals for all-cause mortality, CHD mortality and incident CHD, by anthropometric measure, for women in the Scottish Health Survey Cohort

| Number at risk n (%) | All-cause mortality | CHD mortality | Incident CHD |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|
|                      | Events Unadjusted HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | Events Unadjusted HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | Events Unadjusted HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) |
|                      | Events | HR (95% CI) | Events | HR (95% CI) | Events | HR (95% CI) | Events | HR (95% CI) |
| **BMI (kg m⁻²)**     |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |
| <18.5 (underweight)  | 200 (1.9) | 28 | 3.52 (2.36–5.25) | 2.69 (1.80–4.03) | 2 | 1.81 (0.43–7.61) | 1.26 (0.30–5.34) | 4 | 1.26 (0.46–3.47) | 0.92 (0.33–2.51) |
| 18.5–25 (desirable)  | 4625 (428) | 216 | 0.89 (0.74–1.08) | 0.98 (0.81–1.18) | 35 | 1.06 (0.64–1.75) | 1.22 (0.73–2.03) | 128 | 1.49 (1.12–1.98) | 1.61 (1.21–2.14) |
| ≥30 (obese)         | 3509 (32.5) | 223 | 0.84 (0.68–1.04) | 0.93 (0.75–1.15) | 31 | 1.28 (0.76–2.15) | 1.51 (0.90–2.56) | 112 | 1.80 (1.35–2.42) | 1.93 (1.34–2.59) |
| **WC (cm)**         |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |
| <79 (low)           | 977 (10.3) | 47 | 1.68 (1.21–2.34) | 1.57 (1.13–2.19) | 4 | 1.22 (0.41–3.57) | 1.02 (0.35–2.98) | 11 | 0.77 (0.41–1.45) | 0.71 (0.37–1.34) |
| 79–94 (reference)   | 3522 (37.0) | 156 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 71 | 1 | 1 |
| ≥94 (high)          | 2162 (22.7) | 134 | 1.01 (0.81–1.27) | 1.05 (0.83–1.33) | 17 | 0.94 (0.49–1.79) | 1.01 (0.33–1.93) | 71 | 1.28 (0.92–1.78) | 1.33 (0.95–1.85) |
| **WHR**             |        |            |        |            |        |            |        |            |
| <0.65 (low)         | 420 (4.4) | 14 | 1.48 (0.85–2.56) | 1.72 (0.99–2.98) | 1 | 1.15 (0.55–2.47) | 1.31 (0.17–9.95) | 4 | 0.72 (0.26–1.97) | 0.79 (0.29–2.17) |
| 0.8–0.9 (reference) | 4334 (45.7) | 147 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 1 |
| ≥1 (high)           | 2392 (25.2) | 240 | 1.77 (1.43–2.18) | 1.56 (1.26–1.94) | 26 | 2.07 (1.09–3.92) | 1.91 (1.01–3.63) | 89 | 1.59 (1.17–2.17) | 1.50 (1.10–2.05) |

Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; HR, hazard ratios; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist–hip ratio. Estimates presented as unadjusted and adjusted for age, smoking status, alcohol consumption and year of survey.
both mortality outcomes. Women in the high category for WHR had an almost 150% increased risk of CHD mortality relative to the normal category. A stronger association between abdominal obesity and incident CHD for women, as seen in this study, has previously been identified.25,26 The evidence as to the best anthropometric measure in relation to mortality risk or CVD risk is conflicting; many studies have found equivalence,3,27,28 others have found either WC29 or WHR36 to be superior. It was not our intention to compare the different measures formally and care should be taken as the thresholds denoting excess adiposity used here are not necessarily comparable. It would seem prudent to consider more than one measure in epidemiological studies. A further investigation of this data set could involve use of WC or WHR in combination, or in mutual adjustment, with BMI, as this may better capture the health risks of increased adiposity.3

Figure 3. Functional form of the association of BMI with the relative hazard of (a) all-cause mortality, (b) CHD mortality, (c) incident CHD for (i) men and (ii) women, estimated in a Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for age, smoking, alcohol consumption and survey year. For (a) functions were fitted using two-term fractional polynomial functions with powers: (i) men (−0.5, −0.5) and (ii) women (−2, −2). For (b) and (c) linear functions were selected. Functions standardised such that HR = 1 at the mean of the BMI reference category (18.5–25 kg m⁻²): (i) men = 22.72 kg m⁻² and (ii) women = 22.45 kg m⁻². Dot–dash lines indicate 95% confidence interval.
This study suggests that excess adiposity is associated with an increased risk of incident CHD but not necessarily death. Modern medical interventions may have contributed to improved survival of first CHD events. Suffering a non-fatal CHD event adversely affects an individual’s quality of life and increases their risk of further CVD incidents, with life expectancy halved after a diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. The future health burden of increased population obesity levels may manifest as an increase in the prevalence of individuals living with CHD and its consequences. Public health policies to reduce obesity, such as Scotland’s route map towards healthy weight, and other related CVD-risk factors, such as hypertension and dyslipidaemia, are essential if the Scottish population is to be spared heavy financial and health burdens as a consequence of CHD, now and in the future.
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