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1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, times of emergency have necessitated rapid searches for victims in collapsed buildings. However, searches conducted by humans involve risks of secondary disasters. Therefore, it is expected that rescue robots search for victims before entry by humans [1].

Most existing rescue robots are controlled by teleoperation, because fully autonomous control may be dangerous in uncertain disaster environments. However, full teleoperation is difficult for a human operator, because a rescue robot has multiple degrees of freedom in general. Therefore, human support control system, "semi-autonomous control" in other words, is needed [2].

One of most critical incidents is falling down of the robot. Figure 1 shows a robot which falls down in the RoboCup Rescue Robot League competition. As shown in Figure 1, the fallen robot cannot run again without someone's supports.

Okada et al. [3] proposed a semi-autonomous control system to avoid the falling-down situation by using the normalized energy stability margin (S_{NE}) proposed by Hirose et al. [4]. However, the authors assume that the circumference of the robot contacts with the ground. Therefore, the system often cannot calculate the risk of the fall precisely, when the robot runs on a rough terrain, because the contact point between the robot and the ground may locate on the inner part of the robot.

In the existing works, the assumption about the contact points between the ground and the robot are necessary because S_{NE} is obtained from the position of the contact points and it is difficult to get the precise position of the contact point. However, the assumptions of existing studied do not often satisfy when the robot runs on a rough terrain.

In our previous work, we developed estimating system of the contact points by using a reconstructed 3D map from the point cloud data of a 3D depth sensor, such as KINECT [5]. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to develop a semi-autonomous system to avoid the falling-down situation. In our system, we use partial differentiation of the S_{NE}. The contact points are estimated by the system which is developed in our previous work.

2. CALCULATION METHOD OF NORMALIZED ENERGY STABILITY MARGIN

2.1. Normalized Energy Stability Margin

The normalized energy stability margin proposed by Hirose et al. [4] is one of the most popular indexes of risk of fall because the calculation is very simple. The normalized energy stability margin S_{NE} is obtained by Equation (1)

\[ S_{NE} = h_{\text{max}} - h, \]

where \( h_{\text{max}} \) is the highest height of the Center of Gravity (COG) of the robot when the robot falls down and \( h \) is the current height of the COG of the robot, as shown in Figure 2. As mentioned in Section 1, to calculate S_{NE}, the positions of the contact points are necessary because the axis of the fall is obtained by the positions of the contact points.
2.2. Estimation of Contact Points

To estimate the contact points between the ground and the robot, the 3D map is reconstructed by using a 3D depth sensor. The simultaneous localization and mapping technique is used for the map building with Robot Operation System (ROS) by Willow Garage. By using ROS, a developer can easily use someone’s open-source programs. In this study, we use Real-Time Appearance-Based Mapping (RTAB-Map) developed by Labbe et al. [6]. And since we also use ROS, other developers can easily use our system, only putting a 3D depth sensor on the robot.

2.3. Estimation of Axis of Fall

We set the detection area along with the shape of the bottom part of the robot as shown in Figure 3. If a point in the 3D map exists in the detection area, the point is set as a contact point as shown in Figure 4. A convex polygon is made from the contact points and the edges of the convex polygon are set as the axes of fall. After obtaining the axes of fall, the normalized energy stability margin $S_{NE}$ is calculated by Equation (1).

3. CONTROL METHOD OF SUB-CRAWLERS

To avoid the tumble situation, $S_{NE}$ should be increased by moving the sub-crawlers, when the $S_{NE}$ becomes almost zero. Therefore, the sub-crawlers should be moved so that

$$\frac{dS_{NE}}{dt} > 0.$$  (2)

$S_{NE}$ is a function of the contact points and the angle of the sub-crawlers. In this study, we assume that the contact points are known by the proposed estimation system described in Section 2 and $S_{NE}$ is the function of the angle of the sub-crawlers only. Therefore,

$$\frac{dS_{NE}}{dt} = \frac{\partial S_{NE}}{\partial \theta_{FR}} \frac{d\theta_{FR}}{dt} + \frac{\partial S_{NE}}{\partial \theta_{FL}} \frac{d\theta_{FL}}{dt} + \frac{\partial S_{NE}}{\partial \theta_{RR}} \frac{d\theta_{RR}}{dt} + \frac{\partial S_{NE}}{\partial \theta_{RL}} \frac{d\theta_{RL}}{dt}.$$  (3)

The definition of $\theta_{YX} = \theta_{FR}, \theta_{FL}, \theta_{RR}, \theta_{RL}$ is shown in Figure 5. The target angle of the sub-crawlers are set as Equation (4) in this study.

$$\frac{d\theta_{YX}}{dt} = k \frac{\partial S_{NE}}{\partial \theta_{YX}} \quad (K: \text{Constant value})$$  (4)
By applying Equation (4) to Equation (3), we obtain the following Equation (5):

$$\frac{dS_{NE}}{dt} = K \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial S_{NE}}{\partial \theta_{FR}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial S_{NE}}{\partial \theta_{RR}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial S_{NE}}{\partial \gamma} \right)^2 \right\}$$

(5)

Therefore, in the case of $K > 0$, the right side of Equation (5) is always positive, i.e., to avoid the tumble situation by satisfying Equation (2), the target angles of the sub-crawlers should be set as Equation (4).

In the proposed control system, the robot is teleoperated by an operator. However, when the $S_{NE}$ becomes almost zero, the target angles of the sub-crawlers are used as Equation (4) instead of the input by the operator to avoid the tumble situation. Figure 6 shows the control flow chart of the proposed control system.

4. EXPERIMENT

4.1. Experiment Method

The purpose of the experiment is to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method compared with the existing method [3]. The experiment environment and the motion of the robot is shown in Figure 7. The left side of the robot is on the concrete block and the other side is on the ground. The robot repeats stop and go for 2.5 s. The evaluation index is $S_{NE}$.

4.2. Result

The result of the experiment is shown in Figure 8. The horizontal axis is time and the vertical axis is $S_{NE}$. The green and orange line shows the $S_{NE}$ by the existing method and the proposed method, respectively. As shown in Figure 8, in the case of the proposed method, the decrease of the $S_{NE}$ becomes gradual after 9.2 s and the $S_{NE}$ increases after 10 s. On the other hand, in the case of the existing method, the $S_{NE}$ continues to decrease after 9.2 s. Note that the reason, why the $S_{NE}$ was slightly changed at 6.6 s and around 10.5 s when the robot stops, is that the position of the robot estimated by RTAB-Map was slightly changed (about 6 cm).

4.3. Consideration

As shown in Figure 8, the large difference between the proposed method and the existing method is observed after 9 s. Therefore, Figures 9 and 10 show the angle of the sub-crawlers after 9 s in the case of the existing method and the proposed method, respectively.

As shown in Figure 9, the sub-crawlers did not move because of the assumption that the circumference of the robot contacts with the block and the ground. On the other hand, as shown in Figure 10, in the case of the proposed method, the front- and rear-right sub-crawlers are moved after 9.2 s, and then the $S_{NE}$ increases, because the contact points and the axis of the fall are precisely estimated and the motions of the sub-crawlers are correct. The average of $S_{NE}$ from 11 to 12 s of the proposed method and the existing method are about 0.049 and 0.016 m, respectively.
5. CONCLUSION

In this study, we propose a tumble-risk-assessment system, which calculates a normalized energy stability margin by using a 3D depth sensor. Moreover, a control system to avoid the tumble situation by moving the sub-crawlers automatically is proposed. We implemented the proposed systems to a real robot, carried out experiments, and confirmed the effectiveness of the proposed method.

In the situation where it is difficult for the existing to control the proposed method can increase $S_{NE}$ by about three times.

The future works are to carry out the experiment on rough terrains and to develop a system not to become a dangerous situation by predicting the $S_{NE}$.
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