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Abstract

Despite advancements in medical therapy of Crohn's disease (CD), majority of patients with CD will eventually require surgical intervention, with at least a third of patients requiring multiple surgeries. It is important to understand the role and timing of surgery, with the goals of therapy to reduce the need for surgery without increasing the odds of emergency surgery and its associated morbidity, as well as to limit surgical recurrence and avoid intestinal failure. The profile of CD patients requiring surgical intervention has changed over the decades with improvements in medical therapy with immunomodulators and biological agents. The most common indication for surgery is obstruction from stricturing disease, followed by abscesses and fistulae. The risk of gastrointestinal bleeding in CD is high but the likelihood of needing surgery for bleeding is low. Most major gastrointestinal bleeding episodes resolve spontaneously, albeit the risk of re-bleeding is high. The risk of colorectal cancer associated with CD is low. While current surgical guidelines recommend a total proctocolectomy for colorectal cancer associated with CD, subtotal colectomy or segmental colectomy with endoscopic surveillance may be a reasonable option. Approximately 20%-40% of CD patients will...
need perianal surgery during their lifetime. This review assesses the practice parameters and guidelines in the surgical management of CD, with a focus on the indications for surgery in CD (and when not to operate), and a critical evaluation of the timing and surgical options available to improve outcomes and reduce recurrence rates.
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**Core tip:** Despite significant advances in the medical management of Crohn’s disease (CD), most patients will still need surgery during their lifetime, with a third requiring multiple surgeries. It is important to optimise the surgical management of CD in order to reduce rates of emergency surgery, surgical recurrence and intestinal failure. Surgical options depend on the phenotype of CD. The most common indications for surgery include stricturing disease, fistulae and abscesses whereas surgery for bleeding and cancer associated with CD is less common. It is vital to understand the role and timing of surgery, and the best surgical options in the management of CD.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Seventy to ninety percent of patients with Crohn’s disease (CD) will eventually need surgery[1]. The likelihood of surgery in CD after initial diagnosis has been reported in a recent systematic review to be 16.3% at one year, 33.3% at three years and 46.6% at 5 years[2]. The surgical mortality rate is 0%-8.4%[3-5], with most deaths resulting from intra-abdominal sepsis. Absolute indications for surgery in CD include cancer, perforation, toxic megacolon and major life-threatening gastrointestinal tract (GIT) bleeding. Relative indications include strictures, phlegmon, fistulae, intra-abdominal abscesses, GIT bleeding, dysplasia-associated lesion or mass (DALM), high grade dysplasia detected on surveillance, growth retardation in children and failure of medical therapy. The decision of when to operate is difficult in CD. Delaying surgery for prolonged medical management may increase complication rates as well as increasing the technical difficulties encountered during surgery and the rates of emergency surgery, which is associated with increased stomata rates and at least a three-fold increase in mortality compared to elective surgery.

CD is associated with high surgical recurrence, such that most patients with CD will have multiple operations during their lifetime and 5%-18% of patients eventually require parenteral nutrition for intestinal failure[6-7]. The risk of intestinal failure rises significantly with multiple surgeries, particularly when intestinal length is shorter than 150 cm[7] and is imminent when intestinal length is less than 100 cm[8]. This review assesses the available evidence in the current literature on the surgical management of CD, focusing on the indications for surgery (Figure 1) and the surgical options for small bowel, large bowel and perianal CD.

**LITERATURE SEARCH**

The Preferred Reporting Item for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines was used in this review. Two databases (MEDLINE and Embase from 2010-2016). Search terms included “Crohn’s disease”, “perianal”, “large bowel” or “large intestines” or “colon”, “small bowel” or “small intestines” and “surgery” or “surgical indications”. Five hundred and ten studies were identified through MEDLINE and Embase, 189 additional studies were found from hand-searching references.

Abstracts were reviewed by two investigators independently, and only studies excluded by both investigators were excluded. When only one investigator excluded the study, these studies were included for full text review. Studies excluded based on abstract included non-human studies, non-English language, studies on immunomodulators and biological agents only, no reference to surgery for CD, reference to ulcerative colitis only, upper gastrointestinal CD only or inflammatory bowel disease in general but not specifically CD. Studies reporting mainly on immunomodulators but with references to surgery and studies on inflammatory bowel disease with reference to CD were included for full text review. Only studies which reported on indications and surgical options for small bowel, large bowel or perianal CD were eligible for qualitative synthesis. One hundred and twenty-eight full text studies were reviewed after duplicates were removed. One hundred and fifteen studies were included in this review.

**INDICATIONS FOR MAJOR GASTROINTESTINAL SURGERY IN CD**

**Stricture and obstruction**

Bowel obstruction from stricture (Figure 2) is the most common reason for surgery in CD[9]. Stricturing phenotype of CD is most common in ileal disease and in patients diagnosed with CD at a younger age. Patients may also develop anastomotic fibrotic
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strictures post-surgery for CD. Strictures disease phenotype has often been associated with penetrating disease phenotype.

Intraabdominal phlegmon, abscesses and fistulae
Abscesses, phlegmons and fistulae in CD are associated with a penetrating phenotype. Younger patients with CD are more likely to have penetrating disease[10]. Intra-abdominal abscesses are common in CD, and may be associated with fistulae and/or intra-abdominal sepsis. Approximately one third of patients with CD develop intra-abdominal fistulae during their lifetime. Enteroenteric fistulae are the most common, followed by enterocutaneous and enterosigmoid fistulae[11]. Pregnancy is associated with higher rates of intestinal-genitourinary fistulas[12]. Occasionally, patients may present with phlegmon without abscess or fistula.

Perforation
Free perforation is the initial symptom of CD in 1%-3%[13] up to 30%[14]. Free perforation is the indication for surgery in 1%-16% of surgical intervention in CD[15]. The mean time from initial diagnosis to perforation is approximately 3 years, shorter in duration than development of fistulas, strictures and intra-abdominal abscesses[14]. Perforation may be from regional severe colitis or ileitis, or from toxic megacolon associated with fulminant colitis. A high index of suspicion is required as corticosteroids may...

Figure 1 Indications for surgery in Crohn’s disease. DALM: Dysplasia-associated lesion or mass.

Figure 2 Stricturing disease. SLB: Strictured large bowel; SI: Strictured ileum.
Toxic megacolon may be associated with fulminant colitis and with co-infections such as clostridium difficile. Toxic megacolon may be associated with commencement of narcotics and anti-diarrhoeals used to manage bloody diarrhoea associated with severe colitis. For this reason, in general, anti-diarrhoeals should be avoided in management of colitis associated with CD.

Neoplasia and dysplasia
Cancer of the small bowel and colon is an absolute indication for surgery. The risk of cancer ranges from 1%-5% in CD\(^2\,3\,4\), representing a 2-3 times increased risk of developing colorectal cancer and >18 times increased risk of developing small bowel cancer when compared to the general population\(^5\,6\). Patients may present with bowel obstruction (Figure 3), bleeding or non-specific gastrointestinal symptoms, or cancer may be detected during surveillance colonoscopy. Colorectal cancer in CD usually develops at an earlier age when compared to the baseline population\(^7\). For patients with CD colitis, the risk of developing cancer is 3%-4%\(^8\). Severe ileitis is associated with increased risk of small bowel cancer (approximately 2%). Dysplasia, earlier age of diagnosis of CD, extensive colitis or ileitis, length of disease >10 years, presence of pseudopolyps (Figure 4), presence of anal fistula or other penetrating disease and primary sclerosing cholangitis is associated with increased risk of cancer in CD\(^9\).  

Growth retardation
One in 4 patients with CD are diagnosed before the age of 18 years old. CD has a bimodal distribution, with patients diagnosed during childhood and adolescence with a more severe phenotype. Growth retardation is associated with poor nutritional status associated with CD due to malabsorption and administration of corticosteroids\(^10\).

Failure of medical therapy
Failure of medical therapy is defined as failure to achieve sufficient response, development of significant complications from medical therapy, non-compliance with medical therapy, incompatibility with lifestyle or livelihood and steroid dependence with intolerability to other medications. There is no consensus as to what is sufficient response. A common definition is failure of complete clinical response with 8-12 wk of oral steroids and other agents. Approximately 20%-30% of CD patients do not respond to steroids, and up to 45% of CD patients will relapse on weaning of steroids\(^11\). Patients who have good response to medical therapy, and are able to tolerate immunosuppressants are usually recommended to remain on therapy for at least 3 to 4 years to reduce the likelihood of early relapse\(^12\).

Oral prednisolone is usually started at 40 mg daily, and titrated up for clinical response for up to 12 wk\(^13\) with commencement of immunomodulators or biological agents. As immunomodulators take up to 16 wk for response, some definitions of failed therapy suggest ongoing symptoms and signs despite medical therapy for up to 16 wk.

Major gastrointestinal bleeding
Severe gastrointestinal haemorrhage is rare\(^14\). Approximately 5% of CD patients will experience a major GIT bleed during their lifetime. It most commonly arises from ulcerated colonic areas, with colonic involvement more likely than small bowel involvement\(^15\).

Toxic megacolon
In CD, the rate of toxic megacolon is approximately 2%\(^16\). Toxic megacolon is defined by total or segmental colonic distension and systemic toxicity\(^17\).
SURGICAL OPTIONS IN SMALL BOWEL AND LARGE BOWEL CD

**Stricture and obstruction**

Strictureing CD, when associated with internal fistula, small bowel obstruction, bowel dilatation > 3 cm or inflammatory phlegmon or abscess, are considered high risk strictures that usually require surgery. Patients with fibrotic anastomotic strictures rather than de novo strictures are also more likely to require surgery. Surgical options include resection of stricture with primary anastomosis or stricturoplasty. Stricturoplasty leads to higher surgical recurrence than resection.

**Ileocolic**

The most common surgery for strictureing disease in CD is ileocolic resection for ileocaecal or distal ileal disease. Approximately 87% of patients with ileocaecal disease eventually require resection. A significant proportion ileocolic resections for CD are performed in the emergency setting. While there is no benefit from initiation of anti-TNF therapy prior to surgery for patients with severe ileocaecal CD, there is evidence that percutaneous drainage of abscess prior to surgery reduces the risk of anastomotic leak and rates of faecal diversion and this should be considered in stable patients without generalised peritonitis or clinical deterioration. If a CD patient is dependent on steroids and has a pre-operative abscess and requires immediate surgery, the risk of anastomotic complications is 40% and a stoma should be strongly considered.

Eighty percent of ileocolic resections are without clinical recurrence at 2 years and the long term relapse rate is 36%. Ileocolic resections are often complicated by chronic diarrhoea due to loss of ileocaecal valve. Novel methods of forming pseudovalves, such as the nipple valve anastomosis technique have been reported, but there is no evidence to recommend routine formation of pseudovalves post ileocolic resection.

Approximately 30% of patients post ileocolic resection develop an anastomotic stricture. Dealing with an anastomotic stricture associated with recurrent CD is difficult and usually require resection if endoscopic balloon dilatation (EBD) fails. Strictureplasties are associated with short term resolution of symptoms but a higher rate of recurrence than for resection, particularly in children and adolescents with CD.

**Ileal**

Stricturoplasties are considered a safe alternative to resection and are an important strategy to preserve bowel length. The Heineke-Mikulicz and Finney techniques are the most common types of stricturoplasty procedures. Other techniques of stricturoplasties such as the Michelassi technique and modified approaches have also been reviewed in meta-analyses, and are considered safe.

**Colonic**

For large bowel strictures not complicated by fulminant colitis, toxic megacolon or cancer, segmental resection and anastomosis with or without diversion for localised disease offers better quality of life, although it is associated with a higher risk of recurrence. Prabhakar et al. reported on 49 patients who had segmental colon resection without a permanent stoma for primary colonic CD followed up for over 10 years. Forty-five percent required no further treatment, 22% required medical therapy (only 8% required medical therapy for > 1 year) and only 33% required re-operation (10% multiple re-operations). A significant proportion remained stoma free, and of those who subsequently required a stoma, the average stoma-free interval was approximately 2 years.

A meta-analysis by Tekkis et al. reported that segmental colectomy with primary anastomosis was just as good as colectomy with ileorectal anastomosis for single segment colonic CD with no increase in recurrence. Recurrence rates only increased when segmental colectomies were performed for CD patients who had two or more colonic segments involved. For this reason, segmental resections for localised colonic CD strictures or colitis is a reasonable option. Total colectomy is reserved for patients with multiple strictures, severe pancolitis, fulminant colitis, toxic megacolon or cancer.

Stricturoplasties may be performed for CD large bowel strictures with good functional outcomes. It is not uncommonly performed as it is associated with increased recurrence rates when compared to resection. There is however, no evidence that large bowel stricturoplasty increases the leak rate when compared to resection.

**Non-surgical management of strictures**

Not all strictureing disease requires surgery. The benefit of EBD is that 1 in 2 have sustained response and may avoid surgery, albeit requiring repeated EBD. Repeated interval stricture dilation has been successful in delaying the need for surgery without significant morbidity.

Despite short term success rates for EBD being favourable, there is an increased rate of reintervention and complications with EBD. The long-term outcome after surgery is better than for EBD and salvage surgery after failure of EBD is associated with higher risk of stoma, surgical site infections, reoperations and readmissions when compared with surgery first approach.

Stenting for CD strictures has been described in case reports with reasonable short term outcomes. However, this is not commonly performed, and there is insufficient evidence to recommend this practice.
Intraabdominal phlegmon, abscesses and fistulae

Phlegmons: Abdominal phlegmons may be treated non-surgically with antibiotics and anti-TNF therapy without the need for surgery if the patient is stable with no evidence of generalised peritonitis or clinical deterioration. Successful management of phlegmon long term has been reported in the literature.\(^{(56)}\)

Intra-abdominal abscesses: The majority of intra-abdominal abscesses require definitive surgical management and intestinal resection.\(^{(57)}\) Immediate surgical intervention should only be reserved for unstable patients with generalised peritonitis or clinical deterioration.

Drainage of abscess prior to surgery and delaying surgery reduces the risk of anastomotic complications. Emergency surgery for intra-abdominal abscess when patient is septic and on steroids is associated with high anastomotic leak rates.\(^{(35)}\)

There is, however, a high failure rate with percutaneous drainage alone. In paediatric patients with intra-abdominal abscesses, over 60% of patients initially managed medically or treated with percutaneous drainage will require surgery within 1 year.\(^{(58)}\) In adult CD, medical management and percutaneous drainage alone without removing the diseased intestine is associated with an unacceptably high failure rate, particularly for patients with larger abscesses or abscesses with a detectable fistula on imaging.\(^{(39)}\)

It is reasonable to consider non-surgical treatment of abdominal abscesses if there is no abscess or fistula on repeat imaging and there is no ongoing steroid requirement.\(^{(60)}\) Initial management with non-surgical management may reduce the need for surgery in a small number of cases,\(^{(61)}\) although majority require surgery.

For larger or complex abscesses or when associated with fistula, planned bowel resection after initial management with percutaneous drainage of abscess, high dose steroids (up to 300 mg IV hydrocortisone per day) and IV antibiotics for at least 5 d increases the likelihood of primary anastomosis without the need for ileostomy, and avoids the morbidity of high failure rates with conservative management alone.\(^{(68)}\)

There is no evidence for surgical drainage of abscess without intestinal resection. This has no advantage over percutaneous drainage.\(^{(62)}\)

Intra-abdominal fistulae: Anti-TNF therapy heals about one third of fistulae. The rest require surgery. Traditionally, majority of intra-abdominal fistulae undergo intestinal resection and primary anastomosis.\(^{(11)}\) The main caveats in CD fistula are large bowel fistulae are more likely to require surgery than small bowel fistulae and colo-cutaneous and entero-cutaneous fistulae usually require surgical intervention,\(^{(64)}\) although in the era of anti-TNF therapy, medical management facilitates fistulae closure in up to one-third of patients.\(^{(65)}\)

1. Small bowel fistulae: Long term remission may be achieved with medical therapy, such as ileovesical fistulae when there are no other CD complications.
2. Large bowel fistulae: Surgery is nearly always indicated. A common large bowel fistula is the ileo-sigmoid fistula (Figure 5), which is a well-known manifestation of CD. These patients require ileocolic resection and either primary repair or segmental resection of the sigmoid, or a subtotal colectomy.

In a study of ileocolic resection and primary repair of sigmoid vs ileocolic resection and segmental resection of sigmoid vs subtotal colectomy, ileocolic resection with primary repair or segmental sigmoid resection was shown to have comparable morbidity.\(^{(67)}\) However, there have been reports of increased rates of postoperative sepsis with sigmoidectomy when compared with primary repair of sigmoid.\(^{(68)}\)

Subtotal colectomy is not required unless there is moderate-severe pancolitis.

Perforation

Perforation is an absolute indication for surgery. Surgical management depends on the site of perforation. Simple suture closure of the perforation is associated with a high mortality rate, and intestinal resection of the perforated viscus is warranted. If there is faecal contamination, abscess or the patient is on high dose steroids, then primary anastomosis is associated with significant risk of anastomotic complications.

Patients on corticosteroid dose equivalent to 20 mg of prednisolone or greater have anastomotic complication rates of up to 20%.\(^{(69)}\) If perforation is associated with severe ileitis, a temporary abcarian stoma may be safer than primary anastomosis. If...
perforation is associated with severe CD colitis, a segmental resection, subtotal or total colectomy and end ileostomy may be performed with an exteriorised mucus fistula or stump placed intraperitoneally or subcutaneously. In considering whether to provide the patient with a stoma in the setting of perforated CD, high degree of contamination, low albumin\textsuperscript{[70]} and presence of intra-abdominal abscess\textsuperscript{[35]} should be considerations towards faecal diversion.

**Failure of medical therapy**

CD patients who continue to have symptoms of abdominal pain, severe diarrhoea, bleeding, obstructive symptoms, weight loss, malabsorption, dehydration or signs of systemic toxicity despite 12-16 wk of medical therapy including steroids and first and second line immunomodulators and biological agents require surgery. Surgical options depend on the phenotype of CD.

In patients with severe CD requiring emergency admission to the hospital, failure to improve with steroids over a 72 h period may require escalation to surgery.

Not all patients should wait 12-16 wk before escalating to surgery, particularly patients with severe strictureing ileocolic disease which usually fails conservative management\textsuperscript{[36]}, or patients with risk factors for further medical therapy. These patients should have surgery earlier than 12-16 wk if not responding early to medical therapy.

**Major gastrointestinal bleeding**

The majority of bleeding resolves spontaneously or with medical management - conservative measures are successful in 80\% of cases. This includes blood transfusions, supportive measures, corticosteroids, cyclosporins and biological agents. However, 40\% of patients with massive bleeding experience severe re-bleeding episodes. Medications such as infliximab are useful in combating the long term risk of bleeding recurrences\textsuperscript{[71]}. To control acute massive bleeding, interventions such as angio-embolisation and endoscopic treatment should be attempted, but often fail. This is because of difficulties in identifying a precise bleeding point both angiographically and endoscopically as bleeding usually occurs in multiple inflamed areas\textsuperscript{[20]}.

From a therapeutic point of view, endoscopic or radiological haemostasis has a low rate of success\textsuperscript{[19]}.

Surgery is reserved to salvage patients with intractable bleeding who clinically deteriorate or become haemodynamically unstable\textsuperscript{[72]}. A total colectomy is indicated in life-threatening cases refractory to non-surgical management. Surgery usually is effective with a low risk of rebleeding\textsuperscript{[20]}, however is associated with high morbidity.

**Toxic megacolon**

A diameter of the transverse colon of > 5.5 cm with systemic toxicity and abdominal pain in a CD patient is an indication for surgery\textsuperscript{[72]}. Steroids and biological agents are often used but medical management including bowel rest and total parenteral nutrition often fail.

Subtotal colectomy, end ileostomy and subcutaneous placement of sigmoid stump or exteriorisation of the stump is associated with lower mortality than total colectomy in the management of toxic megacolon\textsuperscript{[73]}. The decision to operate is based on clinical acumen as emergency surgery is guided by clinical signs of impending perforation rather than an exact diameter of colon demonstrated on CT or X-ray.

Dealing with the retained rectum or rectosigmoid is an important consideration after subtotal colectomy. There is no evidence that a second stoma or mucus fistula improves outcome. Subcutaneous placement of the stump has the lowest reported morbidity. Intraperitoneal placement is associated with a significantly higher risk of pelvic sepsis from stump blowout\textsuperscript{[74]}.

**Cancer**

The recent practice guidelines by Strong et al\textsuperscript{[15]} on surgical management of CD provided strong recommendations for total proctocolectomy for patients with cancer, DALM, high grade dysplasia or multifocal low grade dysplasia. The rationale for total proctocolectomy is the high rate of metachronous cancers seen following segmental or subtotal colectomies associated with CD\textsuperscript{[75]} - up to 40\% for segmental resection, and 35\% for subtotal colectomy. The mean time for development of metachronous cancer is approximately 7 years from initial surgery\textsuperscript{[75]}. While the current guidelines recommend total proctocolectomy for CD associated colon cancer, a meta-analysis has shown increased risk of colon cancer but not rectal cancer in CD\textsuperscript{[26]} and a total colectomy with surveillance of the remaining rectum is a reasonable option.

**Dysplasia**

The risk of cancer with high grade dysplasia is > 70\%, and with low grade dysplasia about 30\%-40\%\textsuperscript{[70]}. Approximately 50\% of cancers in CD is associated with dysplasia\textsuperscript{[77]}.

Dysplasia is usually multifocal in CD colitis, and for this reason, segmental or subtotal colectomy for dysplasia is associated with high risk of developing subsequent malignancies. For patients assessed to be fit for surgery, total colectomy or proctocolectomy is recommended. High risk surgical candidates should have close endoscopic surveillance if the risks of surgery for dysplasia outweigh the benefits\textsuperscript{[76]}.

**Dysplasia surveillance:** CD colitis is associated with increased risk of cancer. Yearly colonoscopy is recommended for high risk CD patients who have one or more high risk factors including moderate to severe active inflammation, colonic stricture or dysplasia in
the past 5 years, primary sclerosing cholangitis or family history of colorectal cancer < 50 years old. 3-yearly colonoscopy is recommended for intermediate risk CD patients including mild active colitis, inflammatory polyps or family history of colorectal cancer > 50 years old. Patients are low risk if there is no active inflammation (even with extensive colitis < 50% of colon), and these patients require 5-yearly colonoscopy\(^\text{[29]}\).

**Growth retardation**
Paediatric CD may be complicated by growth retardation. Well-timed surgery for CD before puberty begins can help to control disease activity and improve nutritional status and reduce corticosteroid requirement\(^\text{[30]}\). However, early surgical recurrences are common due to more severe CD phenotype in younger patients, and this may limit the benefit of surgery\(^\text{[29]}\).

### INDICATIONS FOR SURGERY IN PERIANAL CD
Perianal pathology occurs in 40%-80% of patients with CD\(^\text{[83]}\). Colonic and rectal CD phenotypes are associated with increased risk of perianal disease\(^\text{[81,82]}\). Anal fistulae in CD may be secondary to CD or associated with cryptoglandular origin. Anal cancers are rare in CD. There is not a significant increase in incidence of anal cancers in CD compared to the normal population.

### SURGICAL OPTIONS FOR PERIANAL CD
**Perianal fistulae and abscesses**
Perianal disease causes significant impairment in the quality of life for CD patients\(^\text{[83]}\). 20% of CD patients require perianal surgical intervention at some stage\(^\text{[84]}\) although the rate of surgery for perianal disease in CD is falling with increasing use of thiopurine and infliximab therapy\(^\text{[85]}\).

The management of perianal abscesses in CD is the same as for the normal population - incision and drainage of abscess. Perianal abscesses may often be associated with complex fistulating disease or may be crypto-glandular. Endoanal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be useful to evaluate complex perianal disease.

For perianal fistulae, medical therapy is the mainstay of treatment. Surgery is reserved for patients who develop abscesses or sepsis. Anti-TNF therapy has been shown to be an effective treatment for closure of perianal fistulizing CD\(^\text{[65]}\). Infliximab or adalimumab step up therapy for CD guided by assessment of disease severity by anal ultrasound is associated with a high rate of fistula closure\(^\text{[86]}\).

Low CD perianal fistulae may be treated by fistulotomy. Complex or high CD fistulae should be managed with placement of long-term setons. There is limited evidence for advancement flap closures, debridement, fistula plug and fibrin glue. The current gold standard is long-term setons with infliximab therapy. Closure rates of up to 60% for perianal CD fistulae have been reported, although results have been mixed\(^\text{[87]}\). If the patient is asymptomatic, however, there is no need for surgery or setons.

There is a very limited role for faecal diversion. As biological drugs may induce full regression in 80% of cases of anorectal disease\(^\text{[88]}\), diversional stoma for perianal disease should be reserved for difficult cases refractory to medical therapy and drainage. There is a high likelihood that “temporary” faecal diversion to manage severe perianal CD is usually permanent\(\text{[89,90]}\). Sauk et al\(^\text{[90]}\) reported 49 patients who underwent faecal diversion for severe CD perianal disease of which 15/49 (30.6%) had reversal of stoma but ten of these (66.7%) required re-diversion of the faecal stream. Of the five patients who maintained intestinal continuity, three required further surgical interventions to control sepsis. The likelihood of restoration of intestinal continuity post faecal diversion is less than 20%\(\text{[90]}\). In CD, perianal disease remains the most common reason for stoma\(\text{[91]}\). Only patients with very severe anorectal involvement with CD, including anovaginal or rectovaginal fistulae, should have total proctocolectomy as anorectal CD usually responds well to biological agents with full regression of disease.

**Anal cancer**
Anal cancers are not common in CD and are difficult to diagnose. Only 61 cases of carcinomas arising in perineal fistulae associated with CD was reported in a systematic review in 2009\(\text{[91]}\). In the majority of reported case, biopsies were only proven in 20% of cases\(\text{[91]}\). A high index of suspicion for malignancy is required as biopsies of malignancy have a high false negative rate, with malignant cells deep within fistulae. In CD anal cancers, there is similar incidence of adenocarcinomas and squamous cell cancers.

The treatment modalities for anal cancers associated with CD is the same as with anal cancers in the normal population.

**Anal fissures and tags**
Anal fissures and bulky skin tags are common in CD. Avoid extensive surgery due to poor wound healing for skin tags and fissures. In the management of fissures, avoid lateral sphincterotomy. Management with lifestyle changes, botox and immunomodulators is preferable to surgery.

### TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN SURGERY FOR CD
**Minimally invasive surgery**
Laparoscopic colectomy is safe in the management
The ileal pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA) is associated with high failure rates and poor functional outcomes\(^{113}\), particularly for CD with NOD2 mutation which is associated with severe pouchitis\(^{114,115}\). Inflammation in the pouch is significantly higher for CD than for UC\(^{116}\). However, most cases of pouchitis can be managed with antibiotics, and those that are antibiotic resistant may be treated successfully with thiopurines alone\(^{117}\). Strictures of the pouch also respond well to thiopurines but fistulising disease does not respond well to medical therapy and even with step up therapy to infliximab, the stoma rates are high\(^{117}\).

The risk of malignancy within the IPAA associated with CD is small, with only a handful of case reports.

**CONCLUSION**

The management strategies of CD are largely dependent on phenotypic classification and indication. Advances in surgical management has reduced perioperative mortality rates to < 1%\(^{118}\), with minimally invasive surgery shown to be safe in CD. However, unfortunately, improvements in surgical techniques have only been accompanied by modest improvements in surgical rates, recurrences and overall mortality.
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