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We investigate the impact of s-wave spin-singlet pairing on antiferromagnetic semimetals with Dirac points or nodal loops at the Fermi level. The electron pairing is generally shown to convert the semimetal into a tunable nodal superconductor. The changeover from fully gapped to gapless phases is dictated by symmetry properties of the antiferromagnetic-superconducting state that set the occurrence of a large variety of electronic topological transitions. We provide a general criterion for predicting a series of transitions between nodal and fully gapped superconducting phases. Different types of antiferromagnetic patterns are then employed to explicitly demonstrate the microscopic mechanisms that control the character of the quasiparticle spectrum. These findings unveil a novel type of nodal superconductivity emerging from the interplay of Dirac fermions and conventional forms of ordering.

Introduction. After the great impact of topological insulators\textsuperscript{12}, there has been a significant expansion towards metals and semimetals (SMs)\textsuperscript{3} as well as quantum materials combining topological and conventional forms of order. Topological SMs\textsuperscript{4} are materials where conduction and valence bands cross in some points or lines in the Brillouin zone and the crossing are protected by certain symmetry of the system and by the presence of ensuing topological invariants. Among them, Dirac SMs are of particular interest, with massless Dirac fermions emerging as low-energy fundamental excitations. Due to their intrinsic instability, to guarantee the robustness, symmetry protection is necessary\textsuperscript{5,7}, as, for instance, it occurs in graphene. The search for new variants of SMs recently highlighted the interplay of Dirac fermions physics and magnetism. Indeed, while most of the currently known SMs are non-magnetic, antiferromagnetic (AFM) SMs can be obtained where both time and inversion are broken while their combination is kept or due to chiral- and time-symmetry combined with non-symmorphic transformations.

Apart from the large variety of fundamental aspects related to Dirac systems, the combination with other type of conventional orders (e.g. magnetism or superconductivity) represents an ideal testbed for achieving new phases of matter and materials for future emergent technologies\textsuperscript{10,11}. For instance, hallmarks of deviations from a conventional behavior arises when s-wave superconductivity is placed in proximity of a Dirac system, as for the helical superconductor generated at the two-dimensional (2D) boundary of a 3D topological insulator, with vortices hosting Majorana fermions (MFs)\textsuperscript{15} and supersymmetric behavior\textsuperscript{19,20}. The tantalizing perspective of topological quantum computing based on MFs motivated the proposal of artificial topological superconductors\textsuperscript{21–28} and the observation of MFs signatures in hybrid superconducting devices\textsuperscript{29,30}. Although non-magnetic Dirac SMs are natural candidates for topological superconductivity\textsuperscript{39,42}, the role of magnetism in this framework is still largely unexplored. Such observations together with the traditional strong proximity between superconductivity and antiferromagnetism\textsuperscript{14–17} in cuprates, iron pnictides, and heavy fermions, pose fundamental questions concerning the impact of pairing on AFM-SMs. Along this direction, the very recent observation of an anomalous coexistence state of antiferromagnetism and superconductivity in monolayer FeTe grown on a topological insulator\textsuperscript{33}, reinforces the idea that unexpected quantum effects occur in materials platforms that combine Dirac physics, electron pairing and magnetism.

In this Letter, we unveil the nature of the low energy excitations of an AFM semimetal in the presence of spin-singlet s-wave pairing (SWP). Contrary to the common view of isotropic pairing leading to fully gapped superconductors, we find that an SWP generally converts the AFM-SM into a tunable nodal superconductor (NSC). A series of electronic topological transitions (i.e. Lifshitz type)\textsuperscript{44} are predicted on the basis of symmetry principles related to the antiferromagnetic-superconducting state. For this purpose, we provide a general criterion that establishes the relation between the excitations spectrum of the AFM-SM and that one of the NSC. Then, different types of AFM-SMs are explicitly investigated to demonstrate how to directly shape the electronic structure of the NSC.

Chiral symmetries and criterion for nodal excitations. We consider a system of multi-orbital itinerant electrons that are coupled via an axial spin-symmetric spin-orbital interaction and through magnetic exchange, $J_H$, with localized collinear spins in an AFM pattern described by the variable $S_i^\alpha = \pm 1$. Electrons can locally form spin-singlet pairs with amplitude $\Delta$, spatially dependent on the position $i$ in the unit cell and compatible with the periodicity of the AFM pattern. The Hamiltonian can
be then generally expressed as
\[ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^t + \mathcal{H}^\tau + \mathcal{H}^\Delta \]
\[ \mathcal{H}^\sigma = -J_H \sum_i \sigma S_i^z + H_i^\sigma \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_\Delta = \sum_i \left( \Delta_i d_i^\dagger d_i^\dagger + \Delta_i d_i d_i^\dagger \right) \tag{1} \]

with \( H^t \) and \( \mathcal{H}^\Delta \) being the kinetic and pairing terms, respectively. The kinetic part can include both spin-independent hoppings and axial symmetric spin-dependent ones (i.e. spin-orbital coupling as in Refs. [45, 46]) in such a way that, for a given AFM pattern with opposite spin domains and an equal number of sites, \( \mathcal{H}^\sigma \) has a chiral symmetry, i.e. there exists a unitary operator anticommuting with \( \mathcal{H}^\sigma \). The chiral symmetry (CS) is a fundamental property for the classification of topological states of matter [45, 51]. The CS generally relates positive and negative parts of the energy spectrum and it can be associated with the presence of effective sublattices in the phase space. Indeed, one can construct a basis with different eigenvalues of the chiral operator with the Hamiltonian having vanishing matrix elements inside the same sublattice sector.

Hence, the structure of the resulting Hamiltonian in the \( k \)-space is
\[ \mathcal{H}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_k^\tau & \hat{\Delta} \\ \hat{\Delta} & \hat{H}_k^\Delta \end{pmatrix} \tag{2} \]

where \( \hat{H}_k^\Delta = -(\hat{H}_k^\tau)^T \), while the matrix \( \hat{\Delta} \) has entries \( \Delta_p \) (\( p \) being the sites label) and a dimension that is set by the number of sites in the unit cell and on-site orbital degrees. If \( \hat{\chi}_k \) is a CS operator for both particle and hole sectors and commutes with \( \hat{\Delta} \) then, by construction, we have \( \{ \hat{H}_k^\tau, \hat{S}_k^z \} = 0 \) for \( \hat{S}_k^z = \hat{\chi}_k^* \tau_z \), with \( \tau_z \) being the Pauli matrix acting in the particle-hole space. Due to the AFM structure, \( \hat{\chi}_k \) includes a translation of a half-vector of the Bravais lattice, thus it has a non-symorphic character [52]. Taking into account the structure of the Hamiltonian, another independent CS operator, \( S_k' \), can be constructed by introducing a unitary transformation \( \hat{\eta} \) with the following properties: i) \( \{ \hat{\eta}, \hat{\Delta} \} = 0 \), ii) \( \hat{\eta}^2 = 1 \), and iii) \( \hat{\eta} \hat{H}_k^\tau \hat{\eta}^\dagger = \hat{H}_k^\tau \). Upon these assumptions \( S_k' \) is given by \( S_k' = i \hat{\eta} \hat{\chi}_k^* \tau_y \).

In terms of physical transformations, \( S_k' \) acts to trans- pose the magnetic domains for both electrons and holes whereas \( S_k' \) interchanges electrons in the \( S_k^z = \pm 1 \) spin domain with holes in the opposite one, i.e. \( S_k^z = \mp 1 \) (see Fig 1(a)). From the properties of \( \hat{\eta} \) and \( \hat{\chi}_k \) one can observe that they either commute or anticommute, and consequently, a reversed algebra is due for \( S_k \) and \( S_k' \), respectively. More importantly, since we deal with CS operators, their product \( \Sigma = S_k S_k' \) leads to a symmetry for the Hamiltonian, i.e. \( \{ \Sigma, \mathcal{H}_k \} = 0 \). Hence, we end up with a unitary operator \( \Sigma \) which squares to identity and it has eigenvalues \( \pm 1 \). Remarkably, in the \( \Sigma \) eigenbasis the Hamiltonian can be rewritten in such a way that
\[ \hat{H}_k^\Sigma = \hat{H}_k^\Sigma^+ \pm \hat{\eta} \hat{\Delta} \tag{3} \]

and the pairing term becomes an effective potential that separates in each \( \Sigma \) symmetric sector. This is one of the central results of the Letter. In the symmetry projected basis, electrons with a given spin polarization are coupled to the AFM background and interact with an effective potential that arises from the pairing term through the CS derived transformations. Interestingly, the induced potential can even break the CS within each \( \Sigma \) sector which is, however, recovered when both the spectra of \( \hat{H}_k^\Sigma^+ \) and \( \hat{H}_k^\Sigma^- \) are merged together.

The structure of the symmetry projected Hamiltonian allows us to deduce important consequences on the evolution of the low-energy excitations spectra. Since the AFM-SM has Dirac points (DPs) or line nodes (LNs) at the Fermi level, for such \( \tilde{k} \in \) points the phase space, at a given spin polarization, is spanned by two vectors.
FIG. 2. Upper row: schematics of AFM patterns. (a) AFM zig-zag with length \( L = 2 \) \((z2)\), (b) \( L = 3 \) \((z3)\), (c) AFM Neél state and (d) checkerboard with \(2 \times 2\) magnetic domains \((c2)\). The orange (blue) squares indicate sites with spin up (down). The unit cell is marked by thick blue frame and \(\hat{a}_{1,2}\) are the basis vectors of the Bravais lattice. Dashed lines indicate the normal mirror or glide mirror lines when considering the zig-zag AFM patterns \((a)\) and \((b)\). The gliding transformation is shown by red dots and arrows, i.e. dot is firstly reflected via \(m_2\) and then translated by a vector \(\vec{t}\) parallel to the mirror line \(m_2\). For z3 pattern, red and green lines connect dots related to the second and third neighbor hopping amplitudes \(\pm \delta\) for spin up/down domains, respectively. Bottom row: electronic spectra associated with the corresponding AFM patterns \((upper\ row)\). For a given spin-polarization Dirac points or nodal loop occur at the Fermi level for \(\Delta = 0\).

\[
\langle E^{(0)}_{\chi,\vec{k}} \rangle \text{ labeled by the eigenvalues of } \hat{\chi}_{\vec{k}}, \text{ i.e. } \chi = \pm 1.
\]

Then, to address the energy correction to the DPs or nodes, one can employ a perturbation approach by expressing the effective pairing interaction \(\hat{V} \equiv \eta \hat{\Delta}\), in the \(\vec{k}\)-dependent basis of \(\langle E^{(0)}_{\chi,\vec{k}} \rangle\) as \(\hat{V}_{\chi,\chi'} \equiv \langle E^{(0)}_{\chi,\vec{k}} | \eta \hat{\Delta} | E^{(0)}_{\chi',\vec{k}} \rangle\).

The eigenvalues of \(\hat{V}_{\chi,\chi'}\) matrix provide corrections to the zero energy Dirac states and, in turn, set their evolution for each \(\Sigma\) sector. A schematic view of this process is presented in Fig. 1(b). Indeed, except for symmetry protected or accidental cases where \(\hat{V}\) eigenvalues are identically vanishing, it is their sign that generally yields the evolution of the DPs at the Fermi level. With opposite sign eigenvalues, under the action of \(\hat{\Delta}\), the DPs acquire a mass, evolving into positive and negative-energy states, and thus generally a gap opening occurs (Fig. 1(b)). Otherwise, for eigenvalues having the same sign, the spectrum rigidly shifts above or below zero energy, thus leading to gapless excitations at the Fermi level. In this case, although a gap opening may occur at the Dirac point, a zero-energy crossing of the spectra and gapless modes in the superconducting state are expected (Fig. 1(b)).

In general we observe that the impact of the pairing on the AFM-SM can be assessed by evaluating the determinant \(\mathcal{D}_{\vec{k}} \equiv \det \hat{V}_{\vec{k}}\) in the whole Brillouin zone. Positive (negative) values for \(\mathcal{D}_{\vec{k}}\) translate into gapless (gapped) modes at a given infinitesimally small amplitude of \(\hat{\Delta}\). The sign of \(\mathcal{D}_{\vec{k}}\) thus gives a general criterion for predicting the occurrence of nodal phases and induced Lifshits transitions once the amplitude of \(\hat{\Delta}\) is varied. Since there are no symmetry constraints for the evolution of the spectra in the \(\Sigma\) symmetric sectors, the emerging SC state can exhibit a large variety of transitions from gapless to gapped configurations within the Brillouin zone. We point out that this result holds independently of the symmetry protection behind the AFM-SM.

**Nodal superconductivity in multi-orbital AFM-SMs.** In order to explicitly demonstrate the impact of spin-singlet pairing in chiral symmetric AFM-SMs we employ a model system which allows to have Dirac points or nodal loops at the Fermi level. Such states can be realized in an effective 2D orbital-directional double-exchange model \([9, 54]\) describing itinerant electrons (e.g. \(t_{2g}\) or \(p\) bands) in the presence of an anisotropic spin-orbit coupling, as due to tetragonal crystal field splitting, and Hund coupled to localized spin moments forming AFM patterns (see Figs. 2(a)-(d)). The kinetic part \(H_t^\sigma\), as in Eq. 1, is then given by

\[
H_t^\sigma = \sum_i \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \gamma,\alpha,\beta \left( \bar{d}_{i,\alpha}\bar{d}_{i+\gamma,\beta} + h.c. \right) + \lambda \sum_i l_i^\sigma S_i^\sigma,
\]

where the only non-vanishing hopping amplitudes are
FIG. 3. Phase diagrams for gapless (colored) and gapped (white) SC states and induced Lifshitz transitions by varying the pairing amplitude in the unit cell for $z^2$ (a1), $z^3$ (a2) and $c^2$ (a3) AFM patterns. Parameterization of the unit cell pairing components $\Delta_i$ of $\hat{\Delta}$ is made by introducing the angles $\theta$ and $\phi$: $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4) = (1, \sqrt{3} \cos \theta, \sqrt{3} \sin \theta \cos \phi, \sqrt{3} \sin \theta \sin \phi)$ in (a1), $\Delta_{\mu(1,2)} = 1$, $\Delta_1 = \sqrt{2} \sin \theta$ and $\Delta_2 = \sqrt{2} \cos \theta$ in (a2) and (a3). Representative evolution of the semimetal phase for different configurations of $\hat{\Delta}$ in the unit cell (see Figs. 1 (a)-(c)) associated with $\hat{\Delta}_{I, II, III}$ in the phase diagrams (ai, i = 1, 2, 3): (b1)-(d1) for $z^2$, (b2)-(d2) for $z^3$, and (b3)-(d3) for $c^2$ AFM patterns. $\alpha$ indicates the angular position of a given $k$ point at the Fermi level. Site labels and schematic of the unit cell are in the center of the panels (b2), (c2), (d2) with $i = 1, 2, 3$; $a$ and $b$ refer to the orbitals and (+,−) indicate the sign of the pairing amplitude. Blue lines or dots in (b2), (c2) and (d2) [i = 1, 2, 3] denote the nodal superconducting Fermi surface ($\Delta \neq 0$)), black/red lines or dots indicate the Fermi point and the nodal loops for the AFM semimetal ($\Delta = 0$).

$t_{a,bb} = t_{b,aa} = -t$ and $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{a}, \hat{b}$ are bond directions on a square lattice. $\lambda$ is the spin-orbit coupling in the $(a,b)$ orbitals subspace, with $l^z_i = i \left( \delta_{i,a}^I d_{i,a}^I d_{i,a} - \delta_{i,b}^I d_{i,b}^I d_{i,a} \right)$. Localized spins $S^z_i$ can form zig-zag or checkerboard patterns as depicted in Fig. 2. For all the examined AFM configurations, the model exhibits two chiral symmetries with $\hat{\eta}$ being a diagonal matrix with $\pm 1$ entries $[53]$. Since $\hat{\eta}$ commutes with $\hat{\chi}_F$ $[53]$ when considering zig-zag $z^2$, $z^3$ or checkerboard $c^2$, one can make use of the criterion for the sign of $D^\pm_F$ to predict the evolution of the superconducting spectra at any given pairing configuration of $\hat{\Delta}$. On the other hand, for the Néel AFM state (Fig. 2(c)), $\hat{\eta}$ anticommutes with $\hat{\chi}_F$. Thus, a finite amplitude of $\hat{\Delta}$ does not break the chiral symmetry in each $\hat{H}^\pm_F$ sector and the criterion does not directly apply. In this case, the SC has point or line nodes in each $\Sigma$ sector $[53]$. Since the unit cell in the AFM phases have inequivalent sites, inhomogeneities in the superconducting order parameters are physically relevant for the coexistence state.

For this aim, we investigate the role of both amplitude and sign change of the spin-singlet pairing within the various types of AFM-SMs. We find that, as expected from the general analysis, the superconducting state exhibits distinct transitions from gapped to gapless phases (Fig. 3 (a1)-(a3)) that demonstrate a high degree of tunability.
of the spectra. For instance, starting from an AFM-SM with Dirac points (Fig. 3 (b1)) or nodal line (Fig. 3 (b2) or (b3)), a pattern $\hat{\Delta}$ with uniform sign in the unit cell can lead to i) a fully gapped SC (Fig. 3 (b1)), ii) a SC with double nodal Fermi surfaces (Fig. 3 (b2)), iii) or a point node SC (Fig. 3 (b3)). The occurrence of the evolution of the superconducting state for the Néel AFM state is also reported.

Concluding remarks and materials outlook. We demonstrate that a completely novel type of NSC can arise by combining an AFM-SM and spin-singlet SWP. Concerning the materials cases, in the half-Heusler family superconductivity can coexist with antiferromagnetism and SM phases have been predicted. Moreover, heterostructures made of an AFM-SM interfaced with conventional spin-singlet SC is well suited for observing such form of nodal superconductivity. Although CS in our model holds at a given electron filling in the AFM-SM, for materials purposes CS can generally be preserved in the low-energy sector where superconducting correlations are relevant for setting the coexistence state. Apart from direct signatures of NSC by angle resolved spectroscopy and scanning tunneling microscopy, the sensitivity to spatial variation of the superconducting order parameter can lead to unique thermodynamical features with a cascade of Lifshitz transitions that get thermally activated.
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I. APPENDIX

In the Appendix we provide details for the derivation of the criterion for nodal superconductors. For z2 spin pattern we explicitly report the matrix structure of $\hat{\eta}$ and $\hat{\chi}$ that build up the chiral symmetry operators. Then, a representative case of the evolution of the superconducting state for the Néel AFM state is also reported.

A. Details on zig-zag 2 system

For a zig-zag 2 spin pattern the electron Hamiltonians for the fixed spin channel $\sigma$ have a form of $8 \times 8$ matrices given by. The internal chirality (not related to superconductivity) $\chi_k$ has a form of,

$$
\hat{\chi}_k = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -e^{-ik_+} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-i \frac{k}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-e^{-ik_+} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \frac{k}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{-i \frac{k}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-i \frac{k}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{i \frac{k}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i \frac{k}{2}} & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

and $\hat{\eta}$ operator connecting the Hamiltonians for electron and hole sectors as $\hat{\eta}\hat{H}^\sigma_{k,\uparrow}\hat{\eta} = \hat{H}^\sigma_{k,\downarrow}$ is,

$$
\hat{\eta} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

B. Criterion for occurrence of nodal superconductivity

We start by demonstrating how to get the effective pairing potential in the $\Sigma$ symmetric representation. The eigenbasis of $\Sigma$ can be expressed by an orthogonal matrix $V_\Sigma$

$$
\nu_\Sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix}
-\hat{\eta} & \hat{\eta}
\end{pmatrix} , \quad V_\Sigma^T \Sigma V_\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
-\hat{1} & 0 \\
0 & \hat{1}
\end{pmatrix} . \tag{8}
$$

By means of $V_\pm$ one can rewrite $\hat{H}_\pm$ in a block-diagonal form. Indeed, since $\hat{\eta}^2 = \hat{1}$ and $\hat{\eta}\hat{H}^\sigma_{k,\uparrow}\hat{\eta} = \hat{H}^\sigma_{k,\downarrow}$, one obtains

$$
\hat{H}_\pm = V_\Sigma^T \hat{\Sigma} V_\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{H}^b_{k,\uparrow} - \hat{\eta} \hat{\Delta} & 0 \\
0 & \hat{H}^b_{k,\downarrow} + \hat{\eta} \hat{\Delta}
\end{pmatrix} . \tag{9}
$$

Thus, the pairing term effectively separates in the $\Sigma$ symmetric sectors. When $\hat{\eta}$ commutes with $\hat{\chi}_k$, the action of $\hat{\Delta}$ is to break the chiral symmetry within each sector, $\hat{H}_\pm = \hat{H}^b_{k,\downarrow} \pm \hat{\eta} \hat{\Delta}$ of $\hat{H}_k$. The overall chiral symmetry of $\hat{H}_k$ is recovered by taking the two $\Sigma$ symmetric blocks together. This means that the spectrum of $\hat{H}^\pm_k$ is linked to that of $\hat{H}^-_k$ by a sign reversal. On the other hand, for
\[ \hat{H}^+_{k,\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma J_H - t e^{-i k_2} & 0 & -t & i \sigma \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -t e^{i k_2} & \sigma J_H - t e^{-i k_1} & 0 & 0 & i \sigma \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t e^{i k_1} & -\sigma J_H - t e^{-i k_2} & 0 & 0 & -\sigma \lambda & 0 \\ -t & 0 & -t e^{i k_2} & -\sigma J_H & 0 & 0 & -\sigma \lambda \\ -i \sigma \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma J_H - t & 0 & -e^{-i k_2} \\ 0 & -i \sigma \lambda & 0 & 0 & -t & -e^{i k_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \sigma \lambda & 0 & -t e^{i (k_1 - k_2)} & 0 & -t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \sigma \lambda & -e^{i k_2} & 0 & -t \\ \end{pmatrix} \] (5)

where \( \hat{\Pi}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \hat{x}_k) \) is a projector on \( \chi = \pm 1 \) eigenspace of \( \hat{x}_k \).

FIG. 4. Representative nodal superconductivity evolving from a Néel AFM semimetal (see Fig. 1 in the main text) with Dirac points indicated by red dots. at zero (a) uniform case and (b) non-uniform configuration for the pairing amplitude in the unit cell. Site labels and schematic of the unit cell are in the center of the panels (blue and orange are for spin up and down). \( a \) and \( b \) refer to the orbitals and \( (+, -) \) indicate the sign of the pairing amplitude. Different \( \Sigma \) sectors yield inequivalent point nodes or nodal rings in the superconducting state. In case (a) both chiral symmetry operators commute with each other and with \( \Sigma \), so each \( \Sigma \) sector is chiral symmetric at any \( \hat{\Delta} \). In case (b) both chiral symmetries are broken by \( \hat{\Delta} \) and only their product, i.e., the \( \Sigma \) symmetry is preserved.

C. Néel spin pattern

In Fig. 4 we report the excitations spectra of the coexistence state of Néel AFM state and s-wave pairing. The Dirac AFM semimetal with point nodes is converted into a nodal superconductor with point nodes in each \( \Sigma \) symmetric block (Fig. 4(a)) for a uniform pairing amplitude or into multiple nodal rings superconductor in the presence of orbitally inequivalent pairing amplitudes in the unit cell (Fig. 4(b)).
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