Identification of Inhibitors of the \textit{Leishmania} cdc2-Related Protein Kinase CRK3
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New drugs are urgently needed for the treatment of tropical parasitic diseases such as leishmaniasis and human African trypanosomiasis (HAT). This work involved a high-throughput screen of a focussed kinase set of \~{\textasciitilde}3400 compounds to identify potent and parasite-selective inhibitors of an enzymatic \textit{Leishmania} CRK3–cyclin 6 complex. The aim of this study is to provide chemical validation that \textit{Leishmania} CRK3–CYC6 is a drug target. Eight hit series were identified, of which four were followed up. The optimisation of these series using classical SAR studies afforded low-nanomolar CRK3 inhibitors with significant selectivity over the closely related human cyclin-dependent kinase CDK2.

Introduction

Neglected diseases are a major global cause of illness and death worldwide.\[^{[1]}\] Kinetoplastid parasites, the causative agents of leishmaniasis, African sleeping sickness, and Chagas’ disease are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in developing countries. Approximately 350 million people are estimated to be at risk of leishmaniasis, with an estimated 12 million people infected worldwide.\[^{[2]}\] Despite this disease burden, there is a lack of validated drug discovery targets and lead compounds for these neglected diseases.\[^{[1]}\] Current therapeutic options are limited and unsatisfactory; therefore, there is an urgent need to discover new drugs for the treatment of major tropical parasitic diseases.

Kinases are well-known targets for a variety of diseases and disorders,\[^{[3]}\] but they are underexplored as targets for neglected diseases. Kinetoplastid parasites have a significant kinome (approximately 190 protein kinases) with major differences from the human kinome (table S1, Supporting Information). The parasites have a large number of kinases involved in cell-cycle control, and this may be a reflection of the complex life cycle of these organisms. Cyclin-dependent cdc2-related kinases (CRKs) are the most investigated kinase family in this area.\[^{[4–7]}\] The parasitic cyclin-dependent cdc2-related serine/threonine protein kinase (CRK3) has been postulated as a potential drug target for kinetoplastid species.\[^{[4]}\] The CRK3–cyclin 6 complex (CRK3–CYC6) is thought to have homologous function to the cyclin-dependent kinase 1–cyclin B complex (CDK1–CYCB) in humans,\[^{[8,9]}\] which is essential for proliferation and coordination of the eukaryotic cell cycle. Gene disruption studies carried out in \textit{Leishmania mexicana} to determine the necessity of CRK3 demonstrated that both CRK3 alleles can be replaced, although ploidy changes occurred to allow retention of the wild-type copy of CRK3, suggesting, but not confirming, that CRK3 is an essential enzyme for transition through the G\textsubscript{2}/M phase checkpoint of the \textit{Leishmania} cell cycle responsible for parasite growth and survival.\[^{[8,10]}\]

Many human CDK inhibitors have been developed and are currently undergoing clinical trials despite the fact that the CDKs inhibited by these agents can be genetically knocked out without apparent major phenotypic changes.\[^{[8]}\] This highlights the need for chemical as well as genetic validation. \textit{Leishmania} CRK3–CYC6 inhibitors with micromolar potency were recently reported by Walker et al., following a high-throughput screen with heterocyclic and kinase libraries.\[^{[11]}\] Grant et al. previously described the screening of a diverse chemical library of antimitic compounds for potential inhibitors of \textit{Leishmania} CRK3.\[^{[12]}\] Although relatively successful, the broad-spectrum inhibitors identified failed to show selectivity over the mammalian CDK1–CYCB complex, and were in many cases equally or more potent against CDK1.\[^{[12]}\]

The aim of this study was to identify novel and selective small-molecule inhibitors of \textit{Leishmania} CRK3 to act as chemical probes for investigating the essentiality of \textit{Leishmania} CRK3. There are multiple \textit{Leishmania} CDKs and cyclins, and each kinase can form an active enzyme complex with more than one cyclin. This study focussed on the \textit{L. mexicana} CRK3–
L. major CYC6 complex (the L. mexicana CRK3 gene is 99% similar to that of L. major), although other CRK–cyclin complexes could be potential targets. Compounds were counter-screened against the human cyclin-dependent kinase CDK2–cyclin A (CYCA) complex. This was used instead of CDK1–CYCB, the closest homologue of CRK3, as in our experience, CDK2 has proven to be a more promiscuous enzyme than CDK1, making it a more rigorous measure of selectivity. To check for general toxicity, a mammalian cell line was used as a secondary counter-screen.

Earlier we reported the assembly of a focussed set of potential kinase inhibitors to identify chemical starting points for kinase inhibitor discovery. This focussed set of kinase inhibitors was screened against Leishmania CRK3–CYC6 to discover leads that can be optimised into suitable probes to chemically validate CRK3 as a drug target.

Results and Discussion

Binding site analysis of Leishmania CRK3

In the absence of crystal structures of the kinetoplastid CRK3s, a homology model of L. mexicana CRK3 was built by using human CDK2 (HsCDK2) as the template. Analysis of the ATP binding sites revealed small differences between HsCDK2 and Leishmania CRK3 (Figure 1). The main divergence in amino acid side chains facing the ligand is the respective replacement of Phe 82 and Leu 83 in HsCDK2 with tyrosine and valine. In addition, Gln 85 is replaced with alanine in Leishmania CRK3, and His 84 with glutamate. However, the latter changes are present for amino acids where the side chains are oriented away from the ligand binding site, and therefore these probably have only a minor effect on binding. This analysis shows that subtle changes could be explored to achieve selective inhibition of Leishmania CRK3 over HsCDK2.

Primary screen of focussed kinase library

Our in-house kinase library containing 3383[12] compounds was screened against Leishmania CRK3–CYC6 at a concentration of 30 μM. The 11 primary assay screen plates generated a robust mean (±SD) Z’ value of 0.77 (±0.04) and a mean staurosporine potency (95% confidence interval) of 29 nM (27–32 nM). The screen identified 73 compounds with inhibition values of >40%, with 40% representing a statistically significant threshold (>3 × SD of the mean of the uninhibited control signal across all screening plates) for hit identification. These compounds were progressed into potency determination studies using 10-point dilution curves. Of these, 46 compounds gave IC50 values of ≤30 μM, with the most potent compound returning an IC50 value of 0.24 μM. Analysis of the whole data set identified eight compound series (Figure 2) and seven singletons of interest (data not shown). Because the primary screen (IMAP assay—see Enzyme assays in the Experimental Section) conditions used >10% of substrate during the course of the reaction, the potency of hits was reconfirmed with an orthodox “gold standard” radiometric
secondary assay platform for Leishmania CRK3–CYC6. Initial selectivity was assessed using a similar radiometric HsCDK2 assay. The secondary assays were run at an ATP concentration of < 5 μM for ATP, such that the IC_{50} value measured approximates to K_i, thereby providing an accurate assessment of selectivity. Despite the 100-fold decrease in ATP substrate concentration between the IMAP-FP assay and the radiometric assay resulting in an apparent increase in potency values, there was a good correlation of potency values between the two platforms, and the rank order of hit potencies was retained (figure S1, Supporting Information). Mean staurosporine potency (95% confidence interval) in the radiometric Leishmania CRK3 assay was 9.1 nM (8.0–10.5 nM) and 0.36 nM (0.31–0.43 nM) for HsCDK2. The structure and purity of the hits taken into potency determinations were confirmed using LC–MS, and key compounds were re-synthesised to confirm the initial potency data. Hit series 3, 5, 7, and 8 were followed up in hit-to-lead studies, and the structure–activity relationship (SAR) results are reported herein. Series 1 and 2 were not pursued further due to lack of potency, series 6 was more potent against the CRK3–CYC6 and 0.36 nM Leishmania CRK3, and series 4 was not pursued further due to the potential Michael acceptor moeity.

**Compound series 3**

The primary screen identified a range of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines (series 3, Figure 2) with modifications at both the 3- and 7-positions. The 35 compounds tested with aromatic substituents at the 3-position and diverse structural types from the amine at the 7-position gave inhibition values ranging from 0 to 93% at 30 μM, suggesting that SAR could be derived in this series. To confirm the data obtained from the screening samples, a further array of compounds was synthesised (table S2, Supporting Information); these, however, displayed only moderate potency and poor selectivity over HsCDK2. When an example from the series was profiled against a panel of 76 human kinases, it proved to be a nonselective inhibitor with > 50% inhibition at 10 μM for 47 of these human kinases. Due to the lack of selectivity for parasite kinases over host kinases and activity not being translated into cells in culture, this series was not developed further (table S4, Supporting Information).

**Compound series 5**

The set of focussed kinase inhibitors contained only seven examples of the aminopyrazole template (series 5, Figure 2), and these showed interesting levels of activity and potential SAR patterns (Figure 2 and Table 1, compounds 1–6). A crystal structure of HsCDK2 in complex with a pyrazole amide scaffold-based inhibitor was published previously (PDB code 1VYW). Based on this structure, binding modes for pyrazole amides bound to Leishmania CRK3 were modelled (Figure 3). It was assumed that the pyrazole amide scaffold adopts the same binding mode in Leishmania CRK3 as in HsCDK2 with all nitrogen atoms forming hydrogen bonds with the amino acid backbone of the hinge region. As a consequence, the substituents at the R1 position are oriented towards the gatekeeper residue (Phe80), and substituents at the R2 position within a lipophilic pocket are directed out towards the solvent (R1 and R2 group definitions are listed in Table 1).

The model appears to rationalise the binding data. Thus a 3,5-dimethoxyphenyl substituent of the pyrazole 1 (Table 1) showed poor activity, presumably due to the inability of the gatekeeper residue position to accommodate a group of this size. The more linear 4-chlorophenyl group of compound 2 can be accommodated with an increased affinity relative to 3, probably due to improved edge–face interactions of the electron-poor system with the gatekeeper. On the other side of the active site, the lipophilic pocket can bind the phenyl amide of 4 and the bicyclic moiety of 5, whereas larger linear groups such as the phenoxybenzamide of 6 led to a complete loss of binding affinity. This observation is consistent with the

| Table 1. Leishmania CRK3–CYC6 and HsCDK2–CYCA activity of pyrazoles 1–11 (Series 5). |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Compd** | R¹ | R² | CRK3–CYC6 Inhib. [%] | CRK3–CYC6 IC₅₀ [μM] | HsCDK2–CYCA IC₅₀ [μM] |
| 1 | 3,5-dimethoxyphenyl | methyl | 0 | – | – |
| 2 | 4-chlorophenyl | methyl | 74 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| 3 | phenyl | methyl | 17 | – | 7.0 |
| 4 | 4-methoxyphenyl | 4-methoxyphenyl | 55 | 2.4 | – |
| 5 | phenyl | 2-(3,4-dihydrobenzo[1,4]dioxin | 86 | 0.65 | 1.6 |
| 6 | methyl | 4-phenoxyphenyl | 7 | – | – |
| 7 | 4-chlorophenyl | cyclobutyl | 99 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| 8 | 4-chlorophenyl | benzyl | 88 | 3.0 | 0.49 |
| 9 | 4-chlorophenyl | phenyl | 44 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 10 | 4-chlorophenyl | 3-pyridyl | 100 | 2.4 | 5.1 |
| 11 | 4-chlorophenyl | cyclohexyl | 100 | 0.34 | > 100 |

[a] Percent inhibition of Leishmania CRK3–CYC6 activity at 30 μM. [b] Concentration required to inhibit Leishmania CRK3–CYC6 activity by 50%; data represent the mean of two or more experiments. [c] Concentration required to inhibit CDK2–CYCA activity by 50%; data represent the mean of two or more experiments.
binding mode of pyrazole amides in \( \text{HsCDK2} \) and previously established SAR data (Figure 3),\(^{[14,15]} \) where the most potent \( \text{HsCDK2} \) inhibitors in this series contain an sp\(^2\) carbon atom next to the amide group which induces an sp\(^3\) bend in the molecule. This bend allows the accommodation of bulky substituents in this area of the binding site, whereas more linear moieties lead to a steric clash. Although \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3 and \( \text{HsCDK2} \) share high sequence identity, inhibitor selectivity between closely related human CDK family members is well known.\(^{[16–18]} \) We therefore decided to pursue the preparation of compounds selective for the parasite kinase. A small set of compounds (7–11, Table 1) were synthesised to examine whether selectivity could be achieved. We focussed on modifying the 5-position substituent (\( \text{R}^1 \)) to investigate the interaction with the gatekeeper residue (Phe80 in \( \text{HsCDK2} \)), and the amide (\( \text{R}^2 \)) to probe the lipophilic pocket along the backbone of the kinase.

**Chemistry**

The synthesis of the heterocyclic core (Scheme 1) was carried out through condensation of acetonitrile with an appropriate ester to give 2-cyanoketones 12 in 26–94\% yield. Reaction of 12 with hydrazine hydrate in ethanol at reflux gave 5-substituted pyrazole-3-amines 13 in yields of 30–77\%. A general acylation method was developed that involved microwave heating and solid-supported scavengers; this allowed the rapid synthesis of 5-substituted 3-aminopyrazole-3-yl amides (Table 1, 7–11). Amines 13 were treated with acid chlorides, and then excess 13 was scavenged using polymer-supported (PS) isocyanate resin. The crude products were treated with base to hydrolyze any over-acylated products. Extraction and concentration yielded the desired final compounds 7–11 in 2–80\% yield without the need for further purification in most cases.

Modification of the amide substituent was examined by using 4-chlorophenyl (\( \text{R}^1 \)) derivatives (Table 1, synthesised compounds 7–11). Introduction of small carbocyclic rings (compound 7) resulted in a threefold improvement in \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3 activity relative to the initial screening hit 2, whereas extension to the corresponding benzyl derivative 8 had no effect. Increasing ring size to phenyl 9 from cyclobutyl 7 resulted in a complete loss of activity against both enzymes, a modification well tolerated for \( \text{HsCDK2} \) with the smaller cyclopropyl substituent at the 5-position.\(^{[12,13]} \) Interestingly, the 3-pyridyl amide 10 did not suffer from loss of activity, possibly through significant interaction either directly or through water-mediated hydrogen bonds. The cyclohexyl amide 11 afforded a 10-fold increase in activity against \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3, but more importantly, diminished \( \text{HsCDK2} \) activity, resulting in a compound with significant selectivity for \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3–CYC6, although we cannot explain the detailed reasons for this from our model.

As compound 11 was the most potent compound against \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3–CYC6 and highly selective over \( \text{HsCDK2} \), we decided to retain the cyclohexyl group on the amide and then optimise the substituent at position \( \text{R}^2 \). Further modification of \( \text{R}^2 \) of 11 either as cycloalkyl, substituted phenyl, heteroaryl, or benzyl did not afford an increase in \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3 activity (table S3, Supporting Information). Comparing the SAR generated within our studies with that reported for \( \text{HsCDK2} \) by Pevarello et al.\(^{[14,15]} \) suggested some subtle differences in the structure of \( \text{HsCDK2} \) and \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3, despite their close homology. Compound 11 proved to be highly selective against a panel of 76 human kinases, with only three kinases—ERK8, GSK3\( \beta \) and IR—showing >50\% inhibition at 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) (table S5, Supporting Information).

**Compound series 7**

\(^{[1,2,4]} \text{Triazolo[1,5-\text{a}]pyridine} \) 14 (Figure 3) was identified as an initial hit from primary screening. Compound 14 had an \( IC_{50} \) value of 0.24 \( \mu \text{M} \) against \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3 but also inhibited with similar potency in the \( \text{HsCDK2} \) counter-screen (\( IC_{50} = 0.36 \mu \text{M} \)). \(^{[1,2,4]} \text{Triazolo[1,5-\text{a}]pyridine} \)-2-ylamines are a novel structural scaffold for \( \text{Leishmania} \) CRK3 inhibition and none have been reported thus far for antiparasitic activity.

**Putative binding mode analysis**

We carried out modelling studies to guide the synthetic programme. \( \text{Triazolopyridine} \) 14 was superimposed onto the known \( \text{HsCDK2} \) aminimidazo[1,2-\text{a}]pyridine inhibitor (Figure 4).\(^{[19]} \) This was followed by energy minimisation in the
binding site using the MAB force field (see the Experimental Section). The result was a binding mode that shows a classic kinase inhibitor hydrogen bond donor/acceptor binding pattern to the hinge region.16 Although good alignment was observed for the core motifs, the substituents occupy different areas of the binding site. The proposed binding mode includes the following key interactions: a hydrogen bond between the amide N–H of 14 and the carbonyl group of Val102 (HsCDK2) (or Leu in Leishmania CRK3) (Figure 4), the triazolopyridine nitrogen hydrogen bonded to the α chain N–H group of Phe82 (HsCDK2), and a possible interaction between the 6-phenyl aromatic hydrogen atom of 14 and the backbone carbonyl group of Glu81 in both HsCDK2 and Leishmania CRK3 (a C–H hydrogen bond). This putative binding mode was used as a starting point for the rational design of inhibitors exploring two main areas in the binding site: the hydrophobic gatekeeper region and the lipophilic pocket leading to solvent (the region next to Gln85 in Figure 4). The substituents of the amide and the aromatic ring at the 6-position of the triazolopyridine scaffold 14 were investigated to optimise potency against Leishmania CRK3 and Leishmania in culture while introducing selectivity over HsCDK2.

Chemistry

The initial synthetic strategy required the synthesis of the common intermediate 6-bromo-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-2-amine (17). From this point, either R1 or R2 can be kept constant, enabling arrays to be performed by varying the other substitution vector (Scheme 2). Treatment of commercially available 2-amino-5-bromopyridine 15 with ethoxycarbonylisothiocyanate afforded the corresponding aminothiomethyl ester 16. Cyclisation to give the triazolopyridine scaffold 17 was carried out by reaction with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in the presence of base.19 Diversity can be introduced from this point; reaction of amine 17 with an acid or acid chloride affords amide 18, which undergoes facile microwave-irradiated Suzuki reaction with a series of aryl boronic acids to give 20. Reversal of the reaction order allows the R1 group to be kept constant, with variation of R2 (17 to 19 to 20).

Enzyme activity

Initial work focussed on varying R1 (which we believe may interact with the gatekeeper residue) whilst maintaining R2 as cyclohexyl (Table 2). Removal of the aromatic ring was not tolerated, with loss of activity in both Leishmania CRK3 and HsCDK2 with R1 = H. A phenyl group at R1 (compound 22) demonstrated that it is possible to achieve selectivity and retain potency. By examining the substituents on the initial hit 14 with 4-hydroxyphenyl 23 and 3-methoxyphenyl 24 separately, 4-hydroxy was found to be responsible for retention of HsCDK2 activity, while monosubstituted 3-methoxy was inactive against HsCDK2. Although not apparent in our analysis of the 3D models, this result implies that there is the potential for (water-mediated) hydrogen bonding to the hydroxy group, and that this is not essential for Leishmania CRK3 activity. 3-Methyl compound 25 was found to give the best potency and selectivity (0.064 μM Leishmania CRK3, > 100 μM HsCDK2) among those compounds investigated in the initial plan.

The homology model suggested that bulkier aromatic and hydrophobic R1 groups could be accommodated in the region of the pocket proximal to the gatekeeper. We postulated that potency could be increased by exploiting this space; therefore, further studies focussed on this hypothesis and developing the
SAR around the 3-methylphenyl substituent (Table 2). 1-Naphthyl 27, 4-indole 28, and 4-N-methyl indole 29 gave results similar to that of the 3-methyl, suggesting that there is a limited benefit. 3-Morpholine 30 was synthesised to explore the region above the gatekeeper for favourable and potentially selective polar interactions; complete selectivity for *Leishmania* CRK3 over *HscDK2* was observed, but with only moderate enzyme activity. Finally an additive approach with substituted aromatics was examined: 2,6- and 2,5-dimethyl groups (31 and 32) were detrimental to activity; however, both 3,5-dimethyl 33 and 4-methoxy-3-methyl 34 showed a two- to threefold improvement in potency. These results imply a bulky aromatic group favours inhibition of *Leishmania* CRK3, and not *HscDK2*.

SAR of the amide moiety was examined by employing the previously discovered 3-methylphenyl or 3,5-dimethylphenyl as the R′ group (Table 3). The free amine 35 was inactive against *Leishmania* CRK3 but retained weak *HscDK2* activity; removal of the amide carbonyl 36 retained only moderate *Leishmania* CRK3 activity and gave weak *HscDK2* activity. Replacement of the lipophilic cyclohexyl group with a methyl substituent 37 showed a large drop in activity and similar levels of *HscDK2* activity. 2-Tetrahydrofuran, 4-tetrahydropropylen, and 3-piperidine (compounds 38–40) were incorporated to explore the possibility of water-mediated interactions to residues such as Tyr, which replaces Phe82 in the hinge region of *Leishmania* CRK3 (Figure 4). Although these compounds retained selectivity, they showed low-micromolar *Leishmania* CRK3 activity. This set of compounds confirm that the lipophilic nature of the cyclohexyl substituent is important; replacement of the heteroatom with a carbon atom gives a minimal 10-fold increase in activity (compare compounds 38 and 39 with 43, and 40 with 25). These data imply the cyclohexyl substituent is in the lipophilic pocket as suggested by the model, giving an enhancement in potency commonly observed for *HscDK2* inhibitors.21 Rigidification of the cyclohexyl ring by replacement with a planar phenyl substituent retains selectivity, but results in a 10-fold loss in activity (compound 41). Generally, increasing the ring size of the lipophilic probe increases the potency (Table 3, 42–48). This affinity gain may be credited to a gain in entropy due to the displacement of water molecules from this region of the binding site. Insertion of a carbon linker between the amide and the cyclic alkyl group improved activity (44–46); methyl gave 2-fold and ethyl 30-fold improvements. This trend was also observed with the phenyl substituents [phenyl 41 (IC₅₀ = 1.1 µM), phenethyl 47 (IC₅₀ = 0.03 µM)]. Combining the optimal groups from the SAR studies of the aromatic and amide substituents gave the expected low-nanomolar activities (Table 3, 49–51).

### Table 2. Leishmania CRK3–CYC6 and HscDK2–CYCA activity (compounds 14–39) from initial SAR development at the R′ position of triazolopyridine 19.

| Compd | Core R | CRK3–CYC6 IC₅₀ [µM]ᵃ | HscDK2–CYCA IC₅₀ [µM]ᵇ |
|-------|--------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 14    |        | 0.23                   | 0.36                   |
| 21    | H      | >100                   | >100                   |
| 22    | phenyl | 0.27                   | >100                   |
| 23    | 4-hydroxyphenyl | 0.12                  | 0.86                   |
| 24    | 3-methoxyphenyl | 0.36                   | >100                   |
| 25    | 3-methylphenyl | 0.06                   | >100                   |
| 26    | 3-chlorophenyl | 0.35                   | >100                   |
| 27    | 1-naphthyl | 0.09                   | >100                   |
| 28    | 4-indole | 0.07                   | >100                   |
| 29    | 4-N-methylindole | 0.04                 | >100                   |
| 30    | 3-morpholinophenyl | 1.6                    | >100                   |
| 31    | 2,6-dimethylphenyl | 19.0                  | >100                   |
| 32    | 2,5-dimethylphenyl | 0.65                   | >100                   |
| 33    | 3,5-dimethylphenyl | 0.02                   | >100                   |
| 34    | 4-methoxy-3-methylphenyl | 0.03             | >100                   |

ᵃ Concentration required to inhibit *Leishmania* CRK3–CYC6 activity by 50%; data represent the mean of two or more experiments. ᵇ Concentration required to inhibit HscDK2–CYCA activity by 50%; data represent the mean of two or more experiments.

### Table 3. Leishmania CRK3–CYC6 and HscDK2–CYCA activity from initial SAR investigations at the R position of triazolopyridine 19 (Scheme 3) and a benzimidazole core.

| Compd | Core R | CRK3–CYC6 IC₅₀ [µM]ᵃ | HscDK2–CYCA IC₅₀ [µM]ᵇ |
|-------|--------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 35    | A      | free NH₂, no amide     | >100                   |
| 36    | A      | cyclohexylmethyl, no amide | 3.0                 | 64 |
| 37    | A      | methyl                 | 65                     | 26.0 |
| 38    | A      | 2-tetrahydrofuran      | 12                     | >100 |
| 39    | A      | 4-tetrahydropropylen   | 10                     | >100 |
| 40    | A      | 3-piperidine           | 12                     | >100 |
| 41    | A      | phenyl                 | 1.1                    | >100 |
| 42    | A      | cyclobutyl             | 3.3                    | >100 |
| 43    | A      | cyclopentyl            | 1.1                    | >100 |
| 44    | A      | cyclopentylmethyl      | 0.04                   | >100 |
| 45    | A      | cyclohexylmethyl       | 0.01                   | >100 |
| 46    | A      | cyclopentylethyl       | <0.005                 | >100 |
| 47    | A      | phenethyl              | 0.03                   | >100 |
| 48    | B      | cyclohexyl             | <0.005                 | >100 |

ᵃ Concentration required to inhibit *Leishmania* CRK3–CYC6 activity by 50%; data represent the mean of two or more experiments. ᵇ Concentration required to inhibit HscDK2–CYCA activity by 50%; data represent the mean of two or more experiments.
Compounds 25, 29, 33, and 34 were screened against the DSTT Dundee kinase panel (76 human kinases) and were found to be highly selective. HsCDK2–CYCA is in the Dundee human kinase panel, and none of the compounds showed inhibition of this, confirming the selectivity observed in our assays over the human orthologue. For 25, 29, 33, and 34 the main kinases showing >50% inhibition at 10 μM were DYR 1A, 2, 3, and IRR. Compound 25 showed a very clean profile, only mildly inhibiting MKK and IRR (table S6, Supporting Information). These results confirm that the compound series is highly selective for parasitic over human kinases, and does not afford broad-spectrum kinase inhibition. Compound 25 was tested against L. major wild-type promastigote cells, but limited activity was observed (IC_{50}=2–10 μM).

**Compound series 8**

Series 8 consisted of two structurally diverse disubstituted ureas (Figure 5). Compound 49 displayed moderate Leishmania CRK3 enzyme activity, and did not inhibit L. major wild-type promastigote cells (EC_{50}>50 μM); however, none of the modifications investigated increased the activity against Leishmania CRK3 or afforded any significant improvements in selectivity over HsCDK2.

![Figure 5. Series 8 urea leads. Compound 49: Leishmania CRK3 IC_{50}=1.5 μM, HsCDK2–CYCA IC_{50}=0.026 μM, MRC5 EC_{50}=12 μM; compound 50: Leishmania CRK3 IC_{50}=0.76 μM, HsCDK2–CYCA IC_{50}=100 μM, MRC5 EC_{50}=50 μM.](image)

Compound 50, with potent inhibition of Leishmania CRK3, excellent selectivity over HsCDK2, and good predicted ADME properties (see Table 5 below) represented a highly attractive lead. To further optimise Leishmania CRK3 potency, we synthesised an array of analogues by modifying the phenyl ring (Scheme 3). The activities of compounds 50, 52–61 are summarised in Table 4.

**Chemistry**

The ureas 50 and 52–61 were made by direct reaction of isocyanates with amine 51 under elevated temperature.

**Enzyme activity**

The requirement for a substantial lipophilic group was demonstrated by compound 52 (R=methyl), which was inactive, whereas the cyclohexylmethylene derivative 53 regained some activity. Replacements of the phenyl ring of 50 with cyclohexyl 54 or substitution of the aromatic ring at the 3- or 4-positions (56–58) were well tolerated overall, but did not show a significant improvement in potency. However, substitution at the 2-position (compound 55) or polar groups at the 4-position, such as ester 59, resulted in up to a 100-fold loss of activity. The majority of analogues demonstrated excellent selectivity over HsCDK2, whereas compound 60, with a 4-hydroxy group on the R1 phenyl ring, was unsselective possibly due to favourable interactions with the water pocket near Asp45 in HsCDK2. Modification of the cyclohexenyl moiety by removal of the gem-dimethyl groups (compound 61) resulted in a complete loss of activity.
Kinase selectivity

Compounds 58 and 59 proved to be highly selective against a panel of 76 human kinases, with only 59 showing > 50% inhibition at 10 μM against one kinase, ERK8.

Antiparasitic activity

Key compounds from each series were assayed against *L. major* wild-type promastigotes. Cell culture assays were performed as described recently.[11] Only compound 25 from series 7 translated into moderate cell activity; the other compounds showed no activity up to 50 μM. Due to close sequence identity across the kinetoplastid (*Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* spp.) CRK3s, it was believed that these inhibitors may be useful against other trypanosomatid parasites. Selected examples from series 3, 5, 7, and 8 were tested for antiproliferative activity against *T. brucei brucei* grown in culture. Unfortunately, the activity against *Leishmania* CRK3–CYC6 did not translate into cellular activity.

The reason for the lack of translation of *Leishmania* CRK3 inhibition into antiparasitic activity is unknown and cannot be fully investigated, as assays to determine *Leishmania* CRK3 activity in situ within parasites are not available. Unfortunately, the lack of understanding of the signalling cascades within these parasites means the downstream substrates of *Leishmania* CRK3 are unknown, preventing the development of such assays. The compounds identified across the main series studied (Table 5) have physicochemical properties regarded as suitable for membrane penetration, so an antiproliferative effect against parasites would be expected to accompany inhibition of *Leishmania* CRK3. Possible explanations for the lack of activity could be: 1) the compounds are excluded from the cells by an efflux mechanism; 2) *Leishmania* CRK3 binds to a cyclin other than CYC6 in the cell, forming an alternative complex for an efflux mechanism; and 3) *Leishmania* CRK3 is not amenable to chemical inhibition, as it is either not essential due to bypass mechanisms, or a sufficiently high level of target inhibition cannot be achieved chemically to observe a strong antiproliferative effect.

| Compd | M [Da] | log *P*[^a^] | log *D*[^b^] | HBD[^c^] | HBA[^d^] | PSA[^e^] | HIA[^f^] |
|-------|--------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 11    | 306    | 4.5        | 4.5         | 2       | 4       | 58      | +       |
| 46    | 348    | 4.6        | 4.6         | 1       | 5       | 64      | +       |
| 50    | 258    | 3.2        | 3.2         | 2       | 4       | 58      | +       |
| 56    | 340    | 3.1        | 3.1         | 2       | 5       | 67      | +       |

[^a^]: Properties were calculated using the StarDrop software package (http://www.optibrium.com/stardrop.php).[^b^]: Partition coefficient.[^c^]: Partition coefficient at pH 7.2.[^d^]: Number of hydrogen bond donors.[^e^]: Number of hydrogen bond acceptors.[^f^]: Polar surface area. [^g^]: Prediction of human intestinal absorption sufficient for an orally dosed drug.

Table 5. Properties of *Leishmania* CRK3–CYC6 inhibitors.

Conclusions

Genetic manipulation studies have suggested that *Leishmania* CRK3 kinase is essential; however, limited chemical validation has been carried out. Screening of a focussed set of kinase inhibitors against *Leishmania* CRK3–CYC6 was used to identify tool compounds suitable for chemical validation of this target. The screen identified a number of series as starting points for medicinal chemistry investigations. Optimisation of four of the series resulted in the identification of compounds with significant levels of activity against *Leishmania* CRK3–CYC6 with suitable selectivity against the highly homologous related human kinase CDK2 for two series. Classical SAR studies allowed the generation of very potent (nanomolar range) triazolopyridine inhibitors as a novel series of potent and selective inhibitors of *Leishmania* CRK3–CYC6 (IC₅₀ < 5 nm) with > 10000-fold selectivity over the human homologue HsCDK2. This is the first report of low-nanomolar species-selective *Leishmania* CRK3 inhibitors. Unfortunately, no *Leishmania* CRK3 inhibitors from these three series demonstrated significant activity against cell cultures of the *L. major* parasite, indicating that the enzyme is not essential for parasite proliferation. In addition, the lack of antiparasitic activity of these potent inhibitors of *Leishmania* CRK3 suggests that genetic studies alone are insufficient to prove validity of *Leishmania* CRK3 as a drug target; chemical validation is also required.

Experimental Section

**Preparation of Leishmania CRK3:CYC6**

Briefly, *L. major* CYC6 (LmjF32.3320; http://www.genedb.org) was cloned into pET15b to give plasmid pGL1218; this provides an N-terminal histidine tag. The *L. major* and *L. mexicana* CRK3 proteins are identical, apart from a single Thr for Ala substitution at position 217. *L. mexicana* CRK3 was therefore used as a generic *Leishmania* CRK3. *L. mexicana* CRK3 was cloned into pET28a to give pGL751a. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pLys-S cells were transformed with plasmid pGL1218 and then pGL751a to provide a CRK3–CYC6 co-expression cell line. Cell culture (1 L volume) was induced with 1 mM IPTG at 19 °C overnight with agitation. After 16 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4000 g for 15 min and resuspended in ice-cold PBS (pH 7.4) supplemented with DNAse 1 (10 μg mL⁻¹; Invitrogen) and lysozyme (100 μg mL⁻¹; Sigma) for 60 min on ice. The cell lysate was sonicated (four cycles of 30 s on/30 s off). The cell lysate was harvested by centrifugation at 12000 g for 20 min, and the soluble extract was filtered through a 0.2 μm filter syringe. The proteins were purified by BioCAD chromatography using a metal chelate Ni²⁺-charged column followed by a Hiload 16/60 Superdex-200 gel filtration column. The eluted CRK3–CYC6 complex was stored in buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 0.02% Brij-35) with 10% glycerol at −80 °C.

**CDK2**

Recombinant full-length human CDK2 protein was expressed in an *E. coli* expression system and contained an N-terminal glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag. Human cyclin A2 (S171-L432) was also expressed in an *E. coli* expression system and contained an N-termi-
nal GST tag. Both proteins were purified on a glutathione (GSH) Sepharose column, the tags were cleaved, and the cleaved proteins were purified by gel filtration chromatography (30.4 and 34.1 kDa, respectively). The CDK2–cyclin A2 complex was activated by using active CAK1.

**Enzyme assays**

IMAP-FP Screening Express Kits and the carboxyfluorescein (SFAM)-labelled peptide substrate (SFAM-GGGRSPGRRRK-OH) were purchased from Molecular Devices. \((\gamma\text{-}}^{32}\text{P})\text{ATP}\) (cat. # NEG602H) and streptavidin-coated FlashPlate PLUS 96-well plates (cat. # SMP103A) were purchased from PerkinElmer, whilst the custom-made biotinylated peptide substrate (biotin-PKTPKAKKLY-OH) used in the ratiometric assay was supplied by Pepceuticals Ltd. All other reagents were of analytical grade.

**CRK3 screen**

The focussed kinase library of compounds was solubilised in DMSO with a maximum final assay DMSO concentration of 1 % (v/v). The initial compound library screen was conducted using an immobilised metal-ion affinity partitioning (IMAP) assay platform with fluorescence polarisation detection (IMAP-FP) and a SFAM-labelled peptide substrate. Assays were carried out in black polystyrene 384-well plates at a final assay volume of 20 µL per well comprising IMAP reaction buffer containing 1 mM DTT, 30 µM test compound, 0.1 µM peptide substrate, 100 µM ATP, and 1 nM CRK3–CYC6 enzyme complex. Reaction was initiated by the addition of enzyme and incubation continued for 60 min at 20 °C before termination by the addition of 50 µL IMAP binding solution. Plates were left to equilibrate at 20 °C for a further 30–60 min prior to reading fluorescence polarisation \((\lambda_{\text{ex}} 480 \text{ nm}/\lambda_{\text{em}} 535 \text{ nm})\) on an EnVision 2102 multilabel plate reader (PerkinElmer).

**Radiometric assays**

CRK3 and CDK2: A radiometric assay was adopted for hit follow-up and all subsequent compound potency determinations. CRK3 assays were carried out in polypropylene 96-well plates at a final assay volume of 50 µL per well comprising 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), 10 mM magnesium acetate, 0.2 mM EGTA, 2 mM DTT, 1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) bovine serum albumin, 0.02 % v/v Brij-35, test compound, 1 µM peptide substrate, 1 µM ATP comprising 7.4 kBq per well \((\gamma\text{-}}^{32}\text{P})\text{ATP}\), and 5 nM CRK3–CYC6 enzyme complex. Reaction was initiated by the addition of ATP/substrate cocktail, and plates were incubated for 60 min at 20 °C on a plate shaker before reaction termination by the addition of 50 mM EDTA at 50 µL per well. FlashPlate transfer, washing, and counting was as described above for CRK3. In both primary and secondary assays, maximal signal (control) was determined in the absence of inhibitors, whilst basal signal was determined in the absence of enzyme. All assay plates included staurosporine as a reference inhibitor.

**Data analysis**

IMAP-FP output: fluorescence polarisation data were expressed in millipolarisation (mP) units, defined as: \(\text{mP} = \frac{1000 \times (S - G \times P/(S + G 	imes P))}{1000 \times (S - G \times P/(S + G \times P))}\), for which \(S\) (S-plane) = parallel-polarised light, \(P\) (P-plane) = perpendicular-polarised light, and \(G\) (grating) = instrument- and assay-dependent factor.

For all compounds in the primary screen, data were normalised to the average of the maximum uninhibited response (CTRL) as follows [Eq. (1)]:

\[
\% \text{Inhibition} = 1 - \left( \frac{\text{Mean}_{\text{BASAL}} - \text{Mean}_{\text{CTRL}}}{\text{Mean}_{\text{CTRL}} - \text{Mean}_{\text{BASAL}}} \right) \times 100
\]

(1)

The quality of the primary screening assay was determined by calculating the Z’ value as follows [Eq. (2)]:

\[
Z' = 1 - \left( \frac{3 \times \text{SD}_{\text{CTRL}} + 3 \times \text{SD}_{\text{BASAL}}}{\text{Mean}_{\text{CTRL}} - \text{Mean}_{\text{BASAL}}} \right)
\]

(2)

For which \(\text{SD}_{\text{CTRL}}\) and \(\text{SD}_{\text{BASAL}}\) represent the standard deviations of the response from 8–12 control wells (uninhibited signal) and 8–12 basal signal wells, respectively.

**IC\(_{50}\) analysis**

Data were normalised to percent inhibition and concentration–response curves plotted using a four-parameter logistic fit equation (model 205) in an ActivityBase (IDBS) template using XLfit.

**Molecular modelling**

Homology modelling: CRK3 target sequences from \(T. \text{brucei}\) and \(L. \text{mexicana}\) were aligned with the CDK2 sequence using T-coffee.\(^{28}\) Subsequently, Modeller 9.2\(^{24}\) was used to build homology models of CRK3, whereas the CDK2 crystal structures 1PYE\(^{19}\) and 1H1P\(^{24}\) were used as templates. Modeller was run with default settings, and only the highest-scoring structure was used to generate ligand binding modes.

Generation of putative binding modes: Relibase+\(^{25}\) was used to search for CDK2 crystal structures co-crystallised with ligands similar to the selected hit series compounds. By superimposing the binding site of the CRK3 homology model onto the binding site of the relevant crystal structure, an initial binding mode with CRK3 was generated. Using MOLOC, (Gerber Molecular Design), the crystal structure ligand was modified to obtain the desired CRK3 inhibitor, and its position was optimised with the MAB force field.\(^{26}\) To allow for uncertainties in the homology model, the amino acid side chains facing the binding site were kept flexible during minimisation.
Chemistry

1H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DPX 500 instrument. Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm. Signal splitting patterns are described as singlet (s), broad singlet (bs), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), multiplet (m), or combination thereof. Low-resolution electrospray (ES) mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker MicroToF mass spectrometer, run in positive ion mode, using either MeOH, MeOH/H2O (95:5), or H2O/CH3CN (1:1) + 0.1% formic acid as the mobile phase. High-resolution electrospray MS measurements were performed on a Bruker MicroToF mass spectrometer. LC-MS analyses were performed with an Agilent HPLC 1100 (Phenomenex Gemini Column 5 µm, 110A 50 × 3.0 mm, eluting with 20% MeOH/H2O, 0–3 min) and a diode array detector in series with a Bruker MicroToF mass spectrometer. All synthesised compounds were determined to be > 95% pure by LC-MS. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates were used in the corresponding solvent systems as specified in brackets. Column chromatography was performed using RedSep 4 or 12 g silica pre-packed columns. All reactions were carried out under dry and inert conditions, unless otherwise stated. Additional experimental procedures can be found in the Supporting Information.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-oxopropanenitrile (12): CH2CN (1.5 mL, 29.4 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (80 mL) under N2. The reaction mixture was cooled to −78 °C, and LiN(SiMe3)2 (30 mL of a 1 M solution in toluene, 30 mmol) was added slowly so that the temperature was kept below −60 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at −78 °C, and then methyl 4-chlorobenzoate (5 g, 29.3 mmol) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature to RT and stirred for 16 h before quenching with saturated aqueous NH4Cl (100 mL). The solution was further diluted with H2O (100 mL) and EtOAc (200 mL). The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with Et2O (100 mL) to give 12 as a white solid (3.39 g, 18.9 mmol, 64%). 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 10.76 (1 H, s, ArH, J = 9.4 and 1.9 Hz), 7.65 (1 H, d, ArH, J = 9.4 and 0.7 Hz), 1.80 (2 H, q, cyclohexyl-H, J = 12.6 Hz), 1.74 (2 H, d, cyclohexyl-H, J = 9.6 and 3.1 Hz), 1.64 (1 H, d, cyclohexyl-H, J = 11.1 Hz), 1.38 (2 H, d, cyclohexyl-H, J = 12.2 and 2.7 Hz), 1.17–1.30 ppm (4 H, m, cyclohexyl-H); LC-MS [ES +]+: m/z 395 and 397 [M + H]+ and [M + H+1]+ t½ = 0.9–1.1 min.

General procedure A: Suzuki reaction on the triazolopyridine core (18 to 19). Compound 4 (1 equiv), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF; 4 mL, mmol 4); saturated aqueous K2CO3 (4 mL, mmol K2CO3); Pd(PPh3)4 (1%), and boronic acid (2 equiv) were heated in a microwave reactor for 10 min at 140 °C, diluted with EtOAc, extracted and washed with LiCl (5%), 1 M H2O, 3 x 10 mL, and brine (1 x 10 mL), dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Column chromatography was performed by eluting with petroleum ether (40–60): ether afforded the desired aryl-substituted triazolopyridines.

General procedure B: Amide coupling on the aryl-substituted triazolopyridine core (20 to 19). Triazolopyridine 16 (1 equiv), DMAP (10 mol%), and pyridine (anhydrous, 7 mL mmol−1) was cooled to 0 °C under argon, and the acid chloride (1 equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was warmed to RT and stirred for 17 h, concentrated in vacuo, and the crude mixture partitioned between Et2O (20 mL) and H2O (10 mL), extracted with Et2O (2 x 10 mL), and LCM-MS ES+: [M + H]+ t½ = 3.6–3.7 min.
9.15 (1 H, s, ArH), 7.97 (1 H, dd, ArH, J = 9.2 and 0.7 Hz), 7.42 (2 H, s, ArH), 7.07 (1 H, s, ArH), 2.53–2.51 (1 H, m, CH), 2.37 (6 H, s, CH 3), 1.84–1.75 (4 H, m, CH), 1.68–1.65 (1 H, m, CH), 1.45–1.39 (2 H, m, CH), 1.32–1.19 ppm (3 H, m, CH); LC–MS [ES +]: m/z 349 [M + H]+ tR = 4.8–5.0 min.

2-Cyclopentyl-N-(6-m-tolyl-1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-a]pyridinyl)aceta-

mide (44): Prepared by following general procedure B to afford the desired product (20 mg, 27 %).
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