A fractional corner anomaly reveals higher-order topology
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Spectral measurements of boundary-localized topological modes are commonly used to identify topological insulators. For high-order insulators, these modes appear at boundaries of higher codimension, such as the corners of a two-dimensional material. Unfortunately, this spectroscopic approach is only viable if the energies of the topological modes lie within the bulk bandgap, which is not required for many topological crystalline insulators. The topological feature in these insulators is instead fractional charge density arising from filled bulk bands, but measurements of such charge distributions have not been accessible to date. We experimentally measure boundary-localized fractional charge density in rotationally symmetric two-dimensional metamaterials and find one-fourth and one-third fractionalization. We then introduce a topological indicator that allows for the unambiguous identification of higher-order topology, even without in-gap states, and we demonstrate the associated higher-order bulk-boundary correspondence.

Topological insulators (TIs) are materials with a gapped band structure characterized by quantized quantities, called topological invariants, that are invariant under deformations that preserve both the bulk bandgap and any protective symmetries (1, 2). At a boundary between two materials that have different strong topological invariants—i.e., where a topological invariant changes in space—the bandgap closes, and robust boundary-localized gapless modes appear. Detection of these robust gapless boundary modes is therefore one of the most striking signatures of topological materials.

We focus on two-dimensional (2D) TIs in class AI (spinless and time-reversal symmetric) (3). In this class and dimension, no nontrivial strong topological invariants exist (i.e., those protected by particle-hole, chiral, and/or time-reversal symmetry, such as the $Z_2$ invariant for a quantum spin Hall insulator in class AII), but invariants can be defined if additional spatial symmetries are present. Materials with invariants protected by spatial symmetries are known as topological crystalline insulators (TCIs) (4, 5). We are specifically interested in a recently discovered class of TCIs whose members have gapped boundaries of codimension one but host gapless modes at boundaries with codimension greater than one, i.e., at a boundary of a boundary (6–9). Because these insulators manifest robust gapless modes at boundaries with higher codimension, they have been termed higher-order topological insulators (HOTIs). We note that spatial symmetries are essential for these HOTIs because they prevent bulk and surface deformations that hybridize and gap out the set of higher-order gapless states.

Only a few naturally occurring HOTIs have been identified (8, 9). Instead, much of the experimental study of HOTIs (primarily first-order TCIs in d dimensions) has been performed in engineered metamaterials, such as networks of coupled resonators (10–16), waveguide arrays (17, 18), and photonic or sonic crystals (19–23). So far, the clearest indicator of higher-order topology in such systems has been the spectroscopic measurement of robust localized corner modes with energies inside the bulk bandgap of 2D (10–14, 17–23) and 3D (15, 16) HOTIs.

However, there is a fundamental problem with using localized in-gap boundary modes to identify higher-order topology (or, generally, topology protected by spatial symmetries). Spatial symmetries essentially divide a material into symmetric sectors and require that localized modes in each sector are identical. Hence, these symmetries protect the degeneracy of boundary-localized modes but do not restrict their energy (24). Additional local symmetries (e.g., chiral symmetry or particle-hole symmetry) can pin the boundary modes to zero energy (midgap) (24, 25), but these symmetries are not actually necessary to protect the higher-order topology, and many lattice models do not support their implementation at all. This implies that the energy of localized boundary modes may reside either in the bulk gap or fully within the bulk bands of a HOTI, depending on the material’s details. TIs that fall into the latter case do not host gapless boundary modes within their bulk bandgap and, as such, cannot be distinguished from trivial insulators by their spectrum alone, even with fully open boundary conditions.

This fundamental principle means that HOTIs could be misidentified when their spectra do not exhibit in-gap modes, and it motivates the search for an experimentally measurable indicator of higher-order topology that is protected by only spatial symmetries. It has previously been established that spatial symmetries protect boundary-localized, quantized fractional charge in TCIs (6, 24–29). In this work, we demonstrate that a similar feature in metamaterials—namely, the mode density of the spectral bands—can also be fractionally quantized and can diagnose both first-order and higher-order topology in gapped TCIs. In two dimensions, we term the quantity indicating second-order topology as a fractional corner anomaly (FCA) in the bulk mode density.

We define mode density as the local density of states (DOS) integrated over an entire band, which is equivalent to the charge density of a filled band in an electronic insulator. Unlike charge density, using mode density enables us to study the topology of bands without regard for electronic filling or constraints imposed by charge neutrality. In 2D TCIs, first-order nontrivial topology manifests as an edge-localized fractional mode density $\sigma$. For TCIs with only first-order topology, the corner-localized fractional mode density $\rho$ is the sum of the fractional mode densities, $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$, that respectively manifest at the edges that intersect to form that corner, such that $\rho = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \mod 1$ (modulo operation 1) (30). A fractional quantized deviation from this value definitively indicates higher-order topology, such that 2D TCIs without this deviation are not higher-order. To measure this type of higher-order feature in the bulk mode density, we define the FCA $\phi$, where

\[ \phi = \rho - (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \mod 1 \]  

(1)

to capture second-order topology in 2D TCIs. A detailed motivation for the FCA and a generalized proof of this definition are provided in the supplementary materials (30).

Notably, although a nonzero FCA does not indicate that corner modes lie within the bulk bandgap, it does indicate the existence of robust topological corner modes somewhere in the spectrum—i.e., either within the bulk bands (or edge bands) or the bandgap. When topological corner modes are not spectrally isolated, the corner modes can generally couple to, and hybridize with, bulk or edge modes, although it was recently shown that in some cases corner modes within a bulk band can act as bound states in the continuum (31). However, when spectrally isolated from both the bulk and edge modes, they form the familiar exponentially localized 0D in-gap corner modes (10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20). In the
In the next section, we experimentally measure a nonzero FCA in insulators where the corner modes are hybridized with bulk modes. Simulation results, detailed in the supplementary materials (30), show that the energy of topological corner modes can be tuned into, and even fully across, the bulk bandgaps (and any edge bandgaps) when a localized potential is applied to only the corner unit cells. We then demonstrate that these corner modes can be spectrally isolated and exponentially localized by deformation of the corner unit cell.

To observe the FCA experimentally, we constructed two rotationally symmetric TI metamaterials in microwave-frequency coupled resonator arrays. We chose to test two insulators with different symmetries because the quantization of the fractional mode density and FCA depends on the rotation symmetry group (24). The first insulator, shown in Fig. 1A, is on a square lattice with $C_4$ symmetry, and the second insulator, shown in Fig. 1B, is on a kagome lattice with $C_3$ symmetry. We first found the spectral DOS of both metamaterials by means of reflection measurements; see the supplementary materials (30) for details of the measurement technique. The measured spectrum of the $C_4$-symmetric insulator, shown in Fig. 1C, has three distinct bands. The measured spectrum of the $C_3$-symmetric insulator, shown in Fig. 1D, has two bands. Neither of these insulators have in-gap modes, so from the spectra alone it is not possible to tell whether either metamaterial is topologically nontrivial. However, as we will show, both are in fact nontrivial, and the intrinsic chiral symmetry breaking causes the edge and corner modes to lie within the bulk bands (30).

Next, we calculate the mode density of the measured bands by integrating the local DOS in each unit cell over their respective frequency ranges, as shown for both insulators in Fig. 2. The mode density of the $C_4$-symmetric insulator is shown in Fig. 2A and has several important features on which we will focus. First, we find that the resonators in the bulk unit cells are excited in all three bands, which indicates that this insulator nominally has three bulk bands. We observe that the total mode density of these bands in each sector is approximately equal, which demonstrates that this insulator has an integer fractional mode density to the boundary. The approximate fractions written above are obtained by rounding to the nearest quarter, as we anticipate that $C_4$ symmetry quantizes the fractional mode density in fractions of one-fourth (24).

We can now extract the FCA $\phi$ for each bulk band using the mode density data in Fig. 2A. Because the system is near a zero–correlation-length limit, we find $\phi$ using the simple formula $\phi = \rho - 2\sigma$, where $\rho$ is the fractional mode density of the corner unit cell and $\sigma$ is the fractional mode density of the edge unit cells (because of $C_4$ symmetry, all edges are expected to be identical). Here, because there is a small amount of unavoidable disorder in the experiment (which slightly breaks $C_4$ symmetry), we average over all the edges to find $\sigma$ and over all the corners to find $\rho$. 

**Fig. 1. Fabricated metamaterials and measured spectra.** (A) Photograph of the experimental resonator array with $C_4$ symmetry. The schematic on the right illustrates the coupling between resonators. (B) Photograph of the experimental resonator array with $C_3$ symmetry. The schematic on the right illustrates the coupling between resonators. (C) Measured DOS spectrum for the resonator array in (A). arb. units, arbitrary units. (D) Measured DOS spectrum for the resonator array in (B).
such that $\phi_1^{(4)}$—i.e., the FCA for band 1 in the $C_4$-symmetric metamaterial—is

$$\phi_1^{(4)} = \rho_1^{(4)} - 2\sigma_1^{(4)} = 0.23 - 0.98 = 0.25 = \frac{1}{4} \tag{2}$$

A similar calculation can be carried out for the other bulk bands, giving $\phi_2^{(4)} = 0.55 = \frac{1}{2}$ for band 2 and $\phi_3^{(4)} = 0.19 = \frac{1}{5}$ for band 3. The sum of the FCA over all the bulk bands is always an integer (here rounding gives $\phi_1^{(4)} + \phi_2^{(4)} + \phi_3^{(4)} = 0.99$), and $\phi_2^{(4)}$ is twice $\phi_1^{(4)}$, as expected.

Next, we move on to the mode density for the $C_3$-symmetric system, shown in Fig. 2B. For this material, we again find that the bulk unit cells have integer mode density, $\mu_{(3)}^{(1)} = 2$ (a twofold degenerate band) and $\mu_{(3)}^{(2)} = 1$. As in the previous system, the total mode density of these bands in each sector is approximately equal. The edge unit cells have a fractional mode density of $\sigma_{(3)}^{(3)} = \frac{2}{3}$ in band 1 and $\sigma_{(3)}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{3}$ in band 2. Here, the approximate fractions are obtained by rounding to the nearest third because this system is $C_3$ symmetric (24). Notably, although this material does not have a fractional mode density in the corner unit cells, the FCA indicator is nonzero, $\phi_{(3)}^{(1)} = 0.70 = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\phi_{(3)}^{(2)} = 0.30 = \frac{1}{3}$. For comparison, in the supplementary materials (30) we also present experimental measurements of the FCA for a trivial insulator and show that $\phi_{(3),\text{triv}} = 0$ for all bands.

Fig. 2. Spatial distribution of bands. (A) Measured mode density for the $C_4$-symmetric insulator. The mode density for each band is shown separately. Each filled circle represents a resonator, with the area of the circle corresponding to the measured mode density of that resonator in that band. The total mode density of each unit cell is shown with black text, and the total mode density of each sector is shown in purple with white text. (B) Same as (A) but for the $C_3$-symmetric insulator.
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The nonzero FCA in both metamaterials indicates that they are indeed HOTIs, and we have argued above that they should host second-order topological modes at their corners. Because we have not observed these expected topological modes within the bulk bandgap, we can estimate their spectral location by finding the band in which the corner resonators are most strongly excited. In the C3-symmetric system, the corner resonators, around which the second-order topological modes are expected to exist, are mainly excited in band 3, which indicates that the corner modes lie in this band. Moreover, this implies that we can spectrally localize these modes by slightly lowering the resonance frequency of the corner resonators. As illustrated in Fig. 3A, we applied a small negative potential to the corner resonators using a capacitor connected to ground, which decreases the electrical length (and thus the resonance frequency) of the corner resonators. When the potential is applied, we observe topological modes moving into the bandgap between bands 2 and 3 and becoming exponentially localized to the corner and confined to one sublattice.

In the C3-symmetric system, the corner resonators are only excited in band 2, which again indicates that the energy of the corner modes is too high and should be lowered to bring the modes into the bandgap. We pull these modes into the bandgap by similarly applying a small negative potential to the corners, as illustrated in Fig. 3B. The topological modes are observed to spectrally localize within the bandgap and spatially localize to the corners with confinement on one sublattice. We also conducted a similar experiment on a trivial insulator (30) and found that localized corner modes cannot be isolated within the bulk bandgap, regardless of the applied potential strength.

The definition of the FCA can also be extended beyond two dimensions to identify d-th-order topology in fully gapped d-dimensional insulators. For example, the FCA for third-order TCIs in three dimensions is

$$\phi = \sum_{i,j} (\delta - \rho_j - \sigma_i) \mod 1$$

where $\delta$ is corner-localized fractional mode density, $\rho_j$ is hinge-localized fractional mode density, and $\sigma_i$ is surface-localized fractional mode density. Because this indicator captures fundamental topological features that are protected by spatial symmetries, we expect that it can assist with the experimental identification of materials with higher-order topology, which could otherwise be misidentified by only searching for in-gap corner modes. From a practical perspective, focusing on bulk-derived fractional mode density instead of localized modes could simplify experimental confirmations of novel TIs, which often use auxiliary resonators or loading capacitors to spectrally shift topological modes into the bandgap (22–25, 28, 29, 31).
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