Design and performance test of a novel UAV air-assisted electrostatic centrifugal spraying system
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Abstract: In order to improve the deposition and uniformity of the pesticide sprayed by the agricultural spraying drone, this study designed a novel spraying system, combining air-assisted spraying system with electrostatic technology. First, an air-assisted electrostatic centrifugal spray system was designed for agricultural spraying drones, including a shell, a diversion shell, and an electrostatic ring. Then, experiments were conducted to optimize the setting of the main parameters that affect the charge-to-mass ratio, and outdoor spraying experiments were carried out on the spraying effect of the air-assisted electrostatic centrifugal spray system. The results showed the optimum parameters were that the centrifugal rotation speed was 10 000 r/min, the spray pressure was 0.3 MPa, the fan rotation speed was 14 000 r/min, and the electrostatic generator voltage was 9 kV; The optimum charge-to-mass ratio of the spray system was 2.59 mC/kg. The average deposition density of droplets on the collecting platform was 366.1 particles/cm² on the upper layer, 345.1 particles/cm² on the middle layer, and 322.5 particles/cm² on the lower layer. Compared to the results of uncharged droplets on the upper, middle, and lower layers, the average deposition density was increased by 34.9%, 30.4%, and 30.2%, respectively, and the uniformity of the distribution of the droplets at different collection points was better.
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1 Introduction

Compared with hydraulic nozzles, centrifugal sprayers can atomize droplets evenly. However, since the centrifugal sprayers spray droplets in the horizontal direction, the droplets are more likely to drift[14-4]. Electrostatic spray technology, which decreases droplet drift and increases droplet deposition and penetration[15-19], are widely used in the agricultural spraying system on unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). Air-assisted spray technology, using a fan to produce stable downward wind to increase the initial velocity of the droplets[11,12], will effectively improve the insufficient deposition of the centrifugal nozzle.

The aerial electrostatic spray technology had a history of more than half a century, and many studies have been carried out[13,14]. Inculet et al.[17] designed the coplanar charging of oppositely charged droplet clouds to reduce the accumulation of charge on a plane, which marked the rapid development of the aerial electrostatic spray system.

An indicator to measure the quality of electrostatic spray is the charge-to-mass ratio, normally the greater the charge-to-mass ratio, the better the deposition effect[18]. Lan et al.[19] proposed that droplets were found to be easily charged when droplet diameter is below 120 µm, and under the charging voltage of 8 kV, the spray system had the best charge-to-mass ratio, of 0.22 mC/kg. Maski et al.[20,21] investigated the deposition of charged spray on the back and surface of the blade as influenced by the spray charging voltage, target height, and direction. They proposed that the performance combination of electrode voltage and liquid flow rate could improve the chargeability of electrostatic sprays. Zhang et al.[22] designed a UAV airflow electrostatic atomization device, and the test result showed a better deposition density on the upper, middle, and lower layers of rice crops.

Previously, researchers mainly focused on the hydraulic nozzle electrostatic spraying system, including the influence of electrode material, charging voltage, and charging method on the deposition density of the spray system. Centrifugal sprayers can spray pesticides more uniformly within the operating radius, but electrostatic spraying systems based on centrifugal sprayers have not been studied deeply.
Currently, centrifugal spraying technology atomizes pesticides mainly by centrifugal motion of centrifugal turntable.\textsuperscript{[23,24]} Centrifugal atomization technology was one of the most suitable methods to obtain uniform fine droplets and also to adjust the droplet size in a wide range. Craig et al.\textsuperscript{[25]} added sharp ejection points or needles to existing centrifugal nozzle designs, dramatically improved the efficiency of pesticide application to aircraft, and reduced the downwind buffer distance on avoiding drift damage and droplet drift. Derksen et al.\textsuperscript{[26]} proposed that pest and disease problems occurred in specific locations on plants and used air-assisted methods to increase the penetration of pesticide sprays. Bayat et al.\textsuperscript{[27]} combined centrifugal nozzle and air-assisted system to investigate its effect on spray penetration, deposition, and drift reduction, the combination largely increased the speed and droplet diameter. Meanwhile, downwind drift was partially controlled at an air-assisted velocity of 25 m/s, when the drift potential could be reduced to 39%.

In sum, previous studies mainly focused on hydraulic nozzles. However, centrifugal sprayers were not easy to block and have a larger spray radius adjustment range compared to hydraulic nozzles. Therefore, the centrifugal nozzle was selected as the research object since the centrifugal nozzle ejects droplets horizontally, the droplets have no initial downward velocity and were prone to drift, to make the deposition and uniformity of the centrifugal sprayer better, this study combines electrostatic spraying and air-assistant technology to improve the spraying performances of the centrifugal nozzle.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 UAV parameter

As shown in Figure 1, the UAV used in the study was 3WX6-10, which was produced by Hunan soar-star aviation technology Co., Ltd. The UAV featured large load capability and long endurance, which has a maximum battery duration of 28 min with a maximum load of 16 kg. The UAV is equipped with carbon fiber base with aluminum alloy arms, making it light weight and strong. Its main properties are listed in Table 1.

![Figure 1 3WX6-10 UAV](image)

Table 1 Main performance parameters of 3WX6-10 UAV

| Parameters          | Value          |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Max load/kg         | 16             |
| Max flight speed/m·s\(^{-1}\) | 7              |
| UAV (no battery) mass/kg | 18.5          |
| Paddle size/m       | 10.0×2.8       |
| Dimensions (L×W×H)/(mm×mm×mm) | 2520×2212×720 |
| Number of rotors    | 6              |
| Max endurance (no load)/min | 28            |
| Pesticide box volume/L | 10            |
| Operational efficiency/m\(^2\)·h\(^{-1}\) | 24 000        |

2.2 Air supply system

A high-flow, high-thrust electric duct fan produced by FMS-model Co. Ltd., China is shown in Figure 2. The fan is able to output a maximum of 900 grams of thrust when the rotor speed reaches 20 000 r/min.

![Figure 2 64 mm 11-blade duct fan](image)

Solidworks software was used to design the air supply cylinder as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 3 Air-assisted electrostatic centrifugal spraying system](image)

The diameter and height of this spray system are 130 mm and 210 mm, respectively. The entire spray system was composed of fan, cylinder, pipe, electrostatic ring, elliptical shell, and connection. The entire system was powered by a single 3S battery and the total power is 1200 W. The function of the air supply cylinder was to fix the fan and centrifugal turntable under drone's wings, and to guide the air conveyed by the fan. The upper connections were able to fix the cylinder under the UAV. The elliptical shell located below the fan can significantly accelerate the air outflow. To avoid droplets hitting the surface of the air supply cylinder, the end of air supply cylinder was designed to curve outward. This design will effectively avoid the waste of pesticides (droplets collision on the cylinder) and accelerate the outflow of air. An electrostatic ring is placed between the turntable and the elliptical deflector shell for charging the droplet.

2.3 Electrostatic spray system

2.3.1 Principle of electrostatic spray

For centrifugal spray systems, there were three ways to charge pesticide, namely induction charging, corona charging, and contact charging as shown in Figure 4. Comparing the three ways, it is concluded that contact charging and corona charging need more than 20 kV, while induction charging voltage is less than 10 kV, which can save battery power supply and pose a safety hazard.

![Figure 4 Charging method of electrostatic spraying](image)

Figure 5 shows an equivalent circuit of induction charging. As for induction charging, the electrostatic generator was connected to the electrostatic generating ring placed in the air.
supply cylinder. The air between the ring electrode and the liquid was considered an insulator, which was the capacitance \( C \), and its resistance is \( R_1 \).

![Equivalent electrical circuit diagram of induction charging](image)

**Figure 5** Equivalent electrical circuit diagram of induction charging

The electric potential of electrostatic generating ring is the charging voltage \( U \). The potential of the electrostatic generator is \( E \), and the internal resistance of the generating ring is \( R_2 \).

2.3.2 Design of the electrostatic spray system

The electrostatic generator, produced by Yufei Technology Co., Ltd., is shown in Figure 6, of which the length is 112 mm, the input power is less than 5 W, and the adjustable output voltage is from 5 to 20 kV.

![Electrostatic generator](image)

**Figure 6** Electrostatic generator

The high-voltage electrostatic generator was directly connected to the charging electrode to provide high voltage so that the electrostatic field is generated inside the electrode, as shown in Figure 7. The electrostatic field changes the charge distribution in the water stream, and when the water stream is broken, the droplets were charged.

![Working principle of electrostatic centrifugal spray](image)

**Figure 7** Working principle of electrostatic centrifugal spray

Under the action of electric field force and gravity and wind, the droplets moved to crops. As the droplet approaches the surface of the crop, the electrostatic induction made the crop surface negatively charged and the droplets moved to crops, and the spray system was placed above the No. 7 cups to collect the sprayed droplets, and the spray system was placed above the No. 17 cups with 10 cm intervals and 150 cm long. At the bottom of the V-grooves, 17 cups were set up to collect the sprayed droplets, and the spray system was placed above the No. 9 cup in the middle. Then spray system was turned on for 20 s, and the sprayed droplets hit the V-grooves and flowed into the measuring cups. After spraying, the weights of the measuring cups were measured with a balance. The distribution of droplets is obtained according to the distribution of the weights of the measuring cups. In order to obtain the effective working range of the fan, a single variable is adopted. First, the bottom turntable is set to the lowest limit of normal operation, and the rotation speed of the fan is gradually increased to study the change in droplet distribution in the range of the design, as shown in Figure 8.

![Electrostatic generating ring](image)

**Figure 8** Electrostatic generating ring

The electrostatic generating ring was made by cutting stainless steel. The diameter of the electrostatic generating ring is consistent with the outer diameter of the centrifugal turntable. The thickness is 1 mm, and the notch is designed to facilitate water into the water inlet.

According to Equation (3)[29], the electric field intensity is concentrated on the vertical plane, so the electrostatic ring is placed horizontally above the turntable. Here, \( \theta = 0 \) or \( \pi \), \( r \) can be replaced with \( Z \), which can calculate Equation (4).

\[
dL = 4\pi \varepsilon R^2 = \frac{2\pi \eta a}{2(a^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}
\]

where, \( E \) is the field strength in the direction. It is known that the area where the droplets form is on the edge of the centrifugal turntable, so \( r \) is the linear distance from the edge of the centrifugal turntable to the induced electrostatic generation ring. \( a \) is the inner diameter of the induction ring. According to Equation (4), \( r / a = \sqrt{2} / 2 \). Because the motor needs to be connected above the centrifugal turntable, the inner diameter of the induction motor was set to be slightly larger than the motor diameter, which is 20 mm. Therefore, in order to achieve the best charging effect, the height of the electrostatic generation ring was set to be 14 mm from the highest plane of the centrifugal turntable.

2.4 Methods

2.4.1 Fan’s effective working range

The effect of the fan on the spray radius was conducted to find out its effective working range.

As shown in Figure 9, the spray system was placed 60 cm above the inclined V-grooves with 10 cm intervals and 150 cm long. At the bottom of the V-grooves, 17 cups were set up to collect the sprayed droplets, and the spray system was placed above the No. 9 cup in the middle. Then spray system was turned on for 20 s, and the sprayed droplets hit the V-grooves and flowed into the measuring cups. After spraying, the weights of the measuring cups were measured with a balance. The distribution of droplets is obtained according to the distribution of the weights of the measuring cups. In order to obtain the effective working range of the fan, a single variable is adopted. First, the bottom turntable is set to the lowest limit of normal operation, and the rotation speed of the fan is gradually increased to study the change in droplet

\[
C = \frac{eS}{4\pi kd}
\]
distribution. Here we define that the effective working range of a fan is that the fan can significantly affect the distribution of droplets.

Figure 9  Test device of working radius

2.4.2 Parameters for suitable droplet size

From previous studies\(^{19,30}\), it is clear that droplet size under 120 \(\mu\)m is not only easy to charge but also significantly increases the effectiveness of the droplets on pest control of crops. The three parameters of the spraying system that affect the droplet size were selected for this experiment, including spraying pressure, fan speed, and bottom turntable speed.

As shown in Table 2, spray pressure was selected as the level of the pump pressure factor within the rated working spray pressure of 0.25 MPa, 0.30 MPa, and 0.35 MPa. Centrifugal turntable speed level selected 6000 r/min, 8000 r/min, and 10 000 r/min as the three levels. According to the above fan effective working range test, the fan speed was selected as three levels: 14 000 r/min, 16 000 r/min, and 18 000 r/min. Nine sets of cross-tests were conducted for the three sets of parameters.

Table 2  Cross test design for optimal parameters determination

| Test level | N1 speed/r min\(^{-1}\) | Pump pressure/MPa | N2 speed/r min\(^{-1}\) |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1          | 6000                | 0.25              | 14 000              |
| 2          | 8000                | 0.30              | 16 000              |
| 3          | 10 000              | 0.35              | 18 000              |

Prior to the test, the fan and the bottom turntable speeds were adjusted according to the cross-test table. Then as shown in Figure 10, eight collection points were set up at 1 m intervals, and water-sensitive paper was glued to the droplet collection platform at 1 m above the surface of the field. The spray system was operated over the droplet collection points and left traces on the water-sensitive paper. Droplet images were obtained by scanning the water-sensitive paper. The droplet images were analyzed to obtain the droplet diameters by image-j software.

Figure 10  Droplet size collection test

The UAV took off and after aligning the flight path, the flight height was 2 m, and the pump pressure parameters were adjusted to the specified values for that group of tests. After completing the experiments, the water-sensitive paper collected was sealed and scanned.

2.4.3 Optimal charge-to-mass ratio parameter test

In test No. 6 parameters in the previous test group: turntable speed of 800 r/min, pump pressure of 0.35 MPa, and the fan speed of 14 000 r/min, No. 7 parameters: turntable speed of 10 000 r/min, pump pressure of 0.25 MPa, and the fan speed of 18 000 r/min. The three groups of parameters were matched with five different electrostatic generators voltages of 6 kV, 7 kV, 8 kV, 9 kV, and 10 kV to compare the size of the spray charge-to-mass ratio produced under different static voltages in each parameter.

The equation for calculating the charge-to-mass ratio \(A_n\) (mC/kg) is,

\[
A_n = \frac{Q}{m} = \frac{I \cdot t}{(G_2 - G_1)}
\]

where, \(Q\) is the amount of charge, mC; \(I\) is the induced current intensity, mA; \(t\) is the measurement time, s; \(G_1\) is the initial weight on the scale; \(G_2\) is the end weight on the scale.

The magnitude of the charge-to-mass ratio was detected by the mesh target method, as shown in Figure 11 by wrapping a circle of insulating tape around the outside of the barrel to ensure that the charge inside the cylinder is not exchanged with the outside world. Barrel has two interfaces connected to the ground and the picoammeter respectively.

Figure 11  Barrel with wire mesh

As shown in Figure 12 the spray system was inserted into the insulating barrel, and when the sprayed droplets from the electrostatic spray system collided with the wire mesh inside the iron cylinder, they form a circuit with the ground and generated a microcurrent. The current \(I\) was measured with a picoammeter, Keithley 6485-A. The picoammeter has an average calculation function, which can directly read out the average value of the current in the corresponding time. At the same time, the initial and final masses \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) of the insulated cylinder per unit time \(t\) were measured with an electronic balance.

Figure 12  Devices of charge-to-mass test

2.4.4 Outdoor spray test

To test the efficiency of the air-assisted electrostatic centrifugal spray system, two control groups were set up with the electrostatic generator turned off and at different flight altitudes. The spray
distribution uniformity and droplet deposition density of the spray system were measured at positions as shown in Figure 13. Outdoor test procedures were compared under electrostatic and non-electrostatic conditions. The outdoor temperature was 25°C, the liquid in the tank was water, the amount of water carried was 5 L, and the flight speed was set to 3 m/s.

Figure 13  Outdoor test procedure

As shown in Figure 14, each droplet collection device was divided into three layers, the heights were 100 cm, 75 cm, and 50 cm. The outdoor experiments were set up in three groups of parameters: charged droplet at flight altitude 2 m, uncharged droplet at flight altitude 2 m, and uncharged droplet at flight altitude 3 m. In every case, the pump pressure was set to 0.3 MPa, the centrifugal turntable speed was set to 10 000 r/min, the fan speed was set to 14 000 r/min, and the voltage was set to 9 kV when the static electricity was turned on.

Figure 14  Field Test Collection Process

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Result of fan’s effective working range

The droplet distribution under different fan r/min and turntable r/min was shown in Figure 15, the black bar graph represented the distribution of droplets under the parameters without fan and low turntable r/min, the distribution of droplets was not uniform, and according to observation the higher bar on the left side was the result of droplets hitting on the pesticide box.

Figure 15  Bar graph of the droplets distribution

Keeping the turntable r/min unchanged, the fan speed was gradually increased until 12 000 r/min, here droplets did not hit the pesticide box. As is shown in red bar the distribution of droplets became uniform. Then, increased the speed of the turntable and kept the fan speed at 12 000 r/min, the distribution of droplets showed a normal distribution as the blue bars shown in the graph, also the droplets did not hit the tank. The upper-speed limit of the fan is 20 000 r/min, therefore the effective speed range of the fan of this spraying system is 12 000-20 000 r/min.

3.2 Result of suitable droplet size

According to the results listed in Table 3, at test numbers 6, 7, and 8, the resulting droplet sizes were less than 120 μm. These parameters were chosen for further experiments.

Table 3  Results of the droplet size

| Test number | N1 Turntable speed /r·min | P Pump pressure /MPa | N2 Fan speed /r·min | Droplet size /μm |
|-------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 1           | 6000                      | 0.25                 | 14 000               | 253.1            |
| 2           | 6000                      | 0.30                 | 16 000               | 274.5            |
| 3           | 6000                      | 0.35                 | 18 000               | 159.9            |
| 4           | 8000                      | 0.25                 | 16 000               | 123.1            |
| 5           | 8000                      | 0.30                 | 18 000               | 134.3            |
| 6           | 8000                      | 0.35                 | 14 000               | 118.1            |
| 7           | 10 000                    | 0.25                 | 18 000               | 96.6             |
| 8           | 10 000                    | 0.30                 | 14 000               | 106.3            |
| 9           | 10 000                    | 0.35                 | 16 000               | 130.2            |

The influence of parameters on particle size was further analyzed. In Table 4, the numerical values of K1, K2, and K3 correspond to the rotation speed of the centrifugal turntable, spray pressure, and the rotation speed of the channel fan at levels 1, 2, and 3, respectively. R represents the range of K1, K2, and K3. It can be seen from Table 4 that the range of the rotational speed of the centrifugal turntable is the largest, so the rotational speed of the centrifugal turntable has the greatest influence on the particle size of the droplets, followed by the effect of the ducted fan on the particle size of the droplets, and the smallest influencing factor is the water pump pressure.

Table 4  Level test standard deviation range analysis

| Standard deviation and range | N1 Turntable speed | P Pump pressure | N2 Fan speed |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|
| K1                           | 687.5              | 472.8          | 477.5        |
| K2                           | 375.5              | 515.1          | 527.8        |
| K3                           | 333.1              | 408.2          | 390.8        |
| R                            | 354.4              | 106.9          | 137.0        |

3.3 Results of charge-to-mass ratio

The results are listed in Table 5. The experiment determined that when the centrifugal turntable speed was 10 000 r/min, the pump pressure was 0.3 MPa, the fan speed was 14 000 r/min, and the charging voltage was 9 kV, the average particle size of the sprayed droplets was 106.3 μm, and the sprayed droplets were charged with the highest charge-to-mass ratio of 2.59 mC/kg. Further analysis of the relationship between the charge-to-mass ratio and the electrostatic voltage, the increasing rate of the charge-to-mass ratio fluctuated, in the interval of 7-8 kV, and 8-9 kV, the charge-to-mass ratio changed greatly, but in the interval of 9-10 kV, the charge-to-mass ratio increased little. At the same time, the voltage of the maximum charge-to-mass ratio is not the maximum voltage of 10 kV given in the test, so it is inferred that the effect of induced charging reaches saturation near the voltage of 10 kV.
### Table 5  Value of charge-to-mass ratio at different parameters

| Test number | Charge-to-mass ratio/mC·kg⁻¹ |
|-------------|------------------------------|
|             | 6 kV | 7 kV | 8 kV | 9 kV | 10 kV |
| 6           | 1.61 | 1.84 | 1.98 | 2.21 | 2.34  |
| 7           | 1.69 | 1.84 | 2.12 | 2.36 | 2.40  |
| 8           | 1.72 | 1.77 | 2.32 | 2.59 | 2.58  |

### Table 6  Deposition density results of three groups of tests (particle/cm³)

| Parameters positions | Upper average deposition density | Mid average deposition density | Lower average deposition density |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Height 2 m Charged   | 366.1                           | 345.1                         | 322.5                           |
| Height 2 m Uncharged | 271.4                           | 264.6                         | 247.6                           |
| Height 3 m Uncharged | 270.1                           | 234.7                         | 215.5                           |

### 3.4 Result of droplet deposition and distribution

The average droplet deposition and deposition results for each collection device are shown in Table 6. At a flying height of 2 m, the average deposition densities of the charged droplets are 94.7 particles/cm², 80.5 particles/cm², 74.9 particles/cm² higher than the uncharged droplet, in the upper, middle, and lower part of the collecting device perspective.

**Figure 16** Distribution of droplets on collecting points

In the case of uncharged droplets at 3 m, the deposition did not become very poor compared to a height of 2 m, therefore the flying height is not an important factor affecting the deposition effect compared to electrostatic force in this spray system. As is shown in Figure 17, when electrostatic was involved, the droplets were thinner and more evenly distributed, typically the smaller the particle size of the droplets on the crop surface, the higher the surface area of the droplets per unit mass. Which helps reduce pesticide spraying and enables precise spraying.

**Figure 17** Deposition Image of droplet on water sensitive paper

### 4 Conclusions

To reduce droplet drift and improve the uniformity of droplets, an air-driven centrifugal electrostatic spray system for plant protection UAV was designed in this study.

Through the optimal parameter tests, it was determined that when the centrifugal turntable rotation speed was 10 000 r/min, the spray pressure was 0.3 MPa, the fan speed was 14 000 r/min, and the charging voltage was 9 kV, the average particle size of the sprayed droplets was 106.3 μm. The droplet had the highest charge-to-mass ratio of 2.59 mC/kg.

Outdoor tests were conducted on the UAV electrostatic spray system under the optimal parameters, it was concluded that the air-driven centrifugal electrostatic spray system has a good effect on improving the penetration ability, uniformity of the droplets, and improving the deposition of the pesticide on the crop.
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