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Abstract
The Rao-Blackwell theorem is utilized to analyze and improve the scalability of inference in large probabilistic models that exhibit symmetries. A novel marginal density estimator is introduced and shown both analytically and empirically to outperform standard estimators by several orders of magnitude. The developed theory and algorithms apply to a broad class of probabilistic models including statistical relational models considered not susceptible to lifted probabilistic inference.

Introduction
Many successful applications of artificial intelligence research are based on large probabilistic models. Examples include Markov logic networks (Richardson and Domingos 2006), conditional random fields (Lafferty, McCallum, and Pereira 2001) and, more recently, deep learning architectures (Hinton, Osindero, and Teh 2006; Bengio and LeCun 2007; Poon and Domingos 2011). Especially the models one encounters in the statistical relational learning (SRL) literature often have joint distributions spanning millions of variables and features. Indeed, these models are so large that, at first sight, inference and learning seem daunting. For numerous of these models, however, scalable approximate and, to a lesser extend, exact inference algorithms do exist. Most notably, there has been a strong focus on lifted inference algorithms, that is, algorithms that group indistinguishable variables and features during inference. For an overview we refer the reader to (Kersting 2012). Lifted algorithms facilitate efficient inference in numerous large probabilistic models for which inference is NP-hard in principle.

We are concerned with the estimation of marginal probabilities based on a finite number of sample points. We show that the feasibility of inference and learning in large and highly symmetric probabilistic models can be explained with the Rao-Blackwell theorem from the field of statistics. The theory and algorithms do not directly depend on the syntactical nature of the relational models such as arity of predicates and number of variables per formula but only on the given automorphism group of the probabilistic model, and are applicable to classes of probabilistic models much broader than the class of statistical relational models.

Consider an experiment where a coin is flipped \( n \) times. While a frequentist would assume the flips to be i.i.d., a Bayesian typically makes the weaker assumption of exchangeability – that the probability of an outcome sequence only depends on the number of “heads” in the sequence and not on their order. Under the non-i.i.d. assumption, a possible corresponding graphical model is the fully connected graph with \( n \) nodes and high treewidth. The actual number of parameters required to specify the distribution, however, is only \( n+1 \), one for each sequence with \( 0 \leq k \leq n \) “heads.” Bruno de Finetti was the first to realize that such a sequence of random variables can be (re-)parameterized as a unique mixture of \( n+1 \) independent urn processes (de Finetti 1938). It is this notion of a parameterization as a mixture of urn processes that is at the heart of our work. A direct application of de Finetti’s results, however, is often impossible since not all variables are exchangeable in realistic probabilistic models.

Motivated by the intuition of exchangeability, we show that arbitrary model symmetries allow us to re-parameterize the distribution as a mixture of independent urn processes where each urn consists of isomorphic joint assignments. Most importantly, we develop a novel Rao-Blackwellized estimator that implicitly estimates the fewer parameters of the simpler mixture model and, based on these, computes the marginal densities. We identify situations in which the application of the Rao-Blackwell estimator is tractable. In particular, we show that the Rao-Blackwell estimator is always linear-time computable for single-variable marginal density estimation. By invoking the Rao-Blackwell theorem, we show that the mean squared error of the novel estimator is at least as small as that of the standard estimator and strictly smaller under non-trivial symmetries of the probabilistic model. Moreover, we prove that for estimates based on sample points drawn from a Markov chain \( \mathcal{M} \), the bias of the Rao-Blackwell estimator is governed by the mixing time of the quotient Markov chain whose convergence behavior is superior to that of \( \mathcal{M} \).

We present empirical results verifying that the Rao-Blackwell estimator always outperforms the standard estimator by up to several orders of magnitude, irrespective of the model structure. Indeed, we show that the results of the novel estimator resemble those typically observed in lifted inference papers. For the first time such a performance is shown for an SRL model with a transitivity formula.
Background

We review some concepts from group and estimation theory.

**Group Theory** A group is an algebraic structure \((\mathfrak{G}, \circ)\), where \(\mathfrak{G}\) is a set closed under a binary associative operation \(\circ\) with an identity element and a unique inverse for each element. We often write \(\mathfrak{G}\) rather than \((\mathfrak{G}, \circ)\). A permutation group acting on a set \(\Omega\) is a set of bijections \(g : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega\) that form a group. Let \(\mathfrak{G}\) be a finite set and let \(\mathfrak{G}\) be a permutation group acting on \(\Omega\). If \(\alpha \in \Omega\) and \(g \in \mathfrak{G}\) we write \(\alpha^g\) to denote the image of \(\alpha\) under \(g\). A cycle \((\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \ldots \alpha_n)\) represents the permutation that maps \(\alpha_1\) to \(\alpha_2\), \(\alpha_2\) to \(\alpha_3\),..., and \(\alpha_n\) to \(\alpha_1\). Every permutation can be written as a product of disjoint cycles. A generating set \(R\) of a group is a subset of its stationary distribution and is called ergodic.

We say that \(P\) is a transition matrix of a Markov chain \(X\) and let \(\pi(x)\) denote the orbit of the sequence \(X\). Let \(\pi(x)\) be the orbit partition induced by \(\pi\). We call this partition of \(\Omega\) the orbit partition of the assignment space and do not invoke the Rao-Blackwell theorem.

**Point Estimation** Let \(s_1, \ldots, s_N\) be \(N\) sample points drawn from some distribution \(P\). An estimator \(\hat{\theta}\) of a parameter \(\theta\) is a function of \(s_1, \ldots, s_N\). The bias of an estimator is defined by \(\text{bias}(\hat{\theta}) := \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} - \theta]\) and the variance by \(\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) := \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta}))^2]\), where \(\mathbb{E}\) is the expectation with respect to \(P\), the distribution that generated the data. We say that \(\hat{\theta}\) is unbiased if \(\text{bias}(\hat{\theta}) = 0\). The quality of an estimator is often assessed with the mean squared error (MSE) defined by \(\text{MSE}[\hat{\theta}] := \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) + \text{bias}(\hat{\theta})^2\).

**Theorem 1 (Rao-Blackwell).** Let \(\hat{\theta}\) be an estimator with \(\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}^2] < \infty\) and \(T\) a sufficient statistic both for \(\theta\) and let \(\bar{T} := \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} \mid T]\). Then, \(\text{MSE}[\bar{T}] < \text{MSE}[\hat{\theta}]\). Moreover, \(\text{MSE}[\bar{T}] < \text{MSE}[\hat{\theta}]\) unless \(\hat{\theta}\) is a function of \(\theta\).

**Finite Markov chains** A finite Markov chain \(M\) defines a random walk on elements of a finite set \(\Omega\). For all \(x, y \in \Omega\), \(Q(x, y)\) is the chain’s probability to transition from \(x\) to \(y\), and \(Q^t(x, y) = Q^t_\lambda(y)\) the probability of being in state \(y\) after \(t\) steps if the chain starts at \(x\). A Markov chain is irreducible if for all \(x, y \in \Omega\) there exists a \(t\) such that \(Q^t(x, y) > 0\) and aperiodic if for all \(x \in \Omega\), \(\gcd\{t \geq 1 \mid Q^t(x, y) > 0\} = 1\). An irreducible and aperiodic converges to its unique stationary distribution and is called ergodic.

The total variation distance \(d_{tv}\) of the Markov chain from its stationary distribution \(\pi\) at time \(t\) with initial state \(x\) is defined by
\[
d_{tv}(Q^t_\lambda, \pi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \Omega} |Q^t_\lambda(x, y) - \pi(y)|.
\]
For \(\varepsilon > 0\), let \(\tau_\varepsilon(\varepsilon)\) denote the least value \(T\) such that \(d_{tv}(Q^t_\lambda, \pi) \leq \varepsilon\) for all \(t \geq T\). The mixing time \(\tau(\varepsilon)\) is defined by \(\tau(\varepsilon) = \max\{\tau_\varepsilon(\varepsilon) \mid x \in \Omega\}\).

**Related Work**

There are numerous lifted inference algorithms such as lifted variable elimination (Poole 2003), lifted belief propagation (Singla and Domingos 2008; Kersting, Ahmed, and Natarajan 2009), first-order knowledge compilation (Van den Broeck 2011), and lifted variational inference (Choi and Amir 2012). Probabilistic theorem proving applied to a clustering of the relational model was used to lift the Gibbs sampler (Venugopal and Gogate 2012). Recent work exploits automorphism groups of probabilistic models for more efficient probabilistic inference (Bui, Huynh, and Riedel 2012; Niepert 2012). Orbital Markov chains (Niepert 2012) are a class of Markov chains that implicitly operate on the orbit partition of the assignment space and do not invoke the Rao-Blackwell theorem.

Rao-Blackwellized (RB) estimators have been used for inference in Bayesian networks (Doucet et al. 2000; Bideyuk and Dechter 2007) and latent Dirichlet allocation (Teh, Newman, and Welling 2006) with application in robotics (Stachniss, Grisetti, and Burgard 2005) and activity recognition (Bui, Venkatesh, and West 2002). The RB theorem and estimator are important concepts in statistics (Gelfand and Smith 1990; Casella and Robert 1996).

**Symmetry-Aware Point Estimation**

An automorphism group of a probabilistic model is a group whose elements are permutations of the probabilistic model’s random variables \(X\) that leave the joint distribution \(P(X)\) invariant. There is a growing interest in computing and utilizing automorphism groups of probabilistic models for more efficient inference algorithms (Bui, Huynh, and Riedel 2012; Niepert 2012). The line of research is primarily motivated by the highly symmetric nature of statistical relational models and provides a complementary view on lifted probabilistic inference. Here, we will not be concerned with deriving automorphism groups of probabilistic models but with developing algorithms that utilize these permutation groups for efficient marginal density estimation. Hence, we always assume a given automorphism group \(\mathfrak{G}\) of the probabilistic model under consideration.

We begin by deriving a re-parameterization of the joint distribution in the presence of symmetries that generalizes the mixture of independent urn processes parameterization for finitely exchangeable variables (Diaconis and Freedman 1980). All random variables are assumed to be discrete.

Let \(X = \langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle\) be a finite sequence of discrete random variables with joint distribution \(P(X)\), let \(\mathfrak{G}\) be an automorphism group of \(X\), and let \(O\) be an orbit partition of the assignment space induced by \(\mathfrak{G}\). Please note that for any \(x, x' \in O \in \Omega\) we have \(P(x) = P(x')\). For a subsequence \(\hat{X}\) of \(X\) and an orbit \(O \in \Omega\) we write \(P(\hat{X} = \hat{x} \mid O)\) for the marginal density \(P(\hat{X} = \hat{x})\) conditioned on \(O\). Thus,
\[
P(\hat{X} = \hat{x} \mid O) = \frac{1}{|O|} \sum_{x \in O} I_{x(x) = \hat{x}}.
\]
A renaming automorphism is a permutation of constants that forms an isomorphism between two graphical models. (a) An MLN with three formulas and the grounding for two constants A and B; (b) the state space of a Gibbs chain with non-zero transitions indicated by lines and without self-arcs; (c) the lumped state space of the quotient Markov chain.

Figure 1: Illustration of the orbit partition of the assignment space induced by the renaming automorphism group \{ (A B), () \}. A renaming automorphism is a permutation of constants that forms an isomorphism between two graphical models.

where \( \mathbb{I} \) is the indicator function and \( x(\hat{x}) \) the assignment within \( x \) to the variables in the sequence \( \hat{x} \). We can now (re-)parameterize the marginal density as a mixture of independent orbit distributions

\[
P(\hat{x}) = \sum_{O \in \Theta} P(\hat{x} | O)P(O),
\]

where \( P(O) = \sum_{x \in O} P(x) \). For instance, the joint distribution of the Markov logic network in Figure 1(a) can be parameterized as a mixture of the distributions for the 10 orbits depicted in Figure 1(c).

Let us first recall the standard estimator used in most sampling approaches. After collecting \( N \) sample points \( s_1, \ldots, s_N \) the standard estimator for the marginal density \( \theta := P(\hat{x}) \) is defined as

\[
\hat{\theta}_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{I}_{s_i(\hat{x})=\hat{x}}.
\]

Now, the symmetry-aware Rao-Blackwell estimator for \( N \) sample points \( s_1, \ldots, s_N \) is defined as

\[
\hat{\theta}^\Theta_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(s_i | x)P(x),
\]

where \( \Theta \) is the given automorphism group that induces \( O \). Hence, the unbiased Rao-Blackwell estimator integrates out the joint assignments of each orbit. We will prove that the mean squared error of the Rao-Blackwell estimator is less than or equal to that of the standard estimator. First, however, we want to investigate under what conditions we can efficiently compute the conditional density of equation (2).

To this end, we establish a connection between the orbit of the subsequence \( \hat{x} \) under the automorphism group \( \Theta \) and the orbit partition of the assignment space induced by \( \Theta \).

**Definition 2.** Let \( X \) be a finite sequence of random variables with joint distribution \( P(X) \), let \( \Theta \) be an automorphism group of \( X \), let \( \hat{X} \) be a subsequence of \( X \), let \( Val(X) \) be the assignment space of \( X \), and let \( s \in Val(X) \). The orbit Hamming weight of \( s \) with respect to the marginal assignment \( \hat{x} \) is defined by

\[
H^\Theta_{\hat{x}=\hat{x}}(s) := \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{x}} \mathbb{I}_{s(\lambda)=\hat{x}}.
\]

Based on this definition, we state a lemma which allows us to compute the density of equation (2) in closed form, without having to enumerate all of the orbit’s elements.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( X \) be a finite sequence of random variables with joint distribution \( P(X) \), let \( \Theta \) be an automorphism group of \( X \), let \( \hat{X} \) be a subsequence of \( X \), and let \( s \in Val(X) \). Then,

\[
P(\hat{X} = \hat{x} | s^\Theta) = \frac{H^\Theta_{\hat{X}=\hat{x}}(s)}{|H^\Theta_{\hat{X}=\hat{x}}|} = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_N | |H^\Theta_{\hat{X}=\hat{x}}(s)|].
\]

The following example demonstrates the application of the lemma to the special case of single-variable marginal density estimation for the MLN in Figure 1.

**Example 4.** Let us assume we want to estimate the marginal density \( P(\text{smokes}(A)=1) \) of the MLN in Figure 1(a). Since \( \Theta = \{ \{ \text{smokes}(A) \} \text{smokes}(B) \} \{ \text{cancer}(A) \} \{ \text{cancer}(B) \} \} \) we have that \( H^\Theta_{\hat{x}=\hat{x}}(s) = 1 \) and \( P(\text{smokes}(A)=1 | s^\Theta) = \frac{1}{2} \).

Thus, given a sample point \( s \), the marginal density conditioned on an orbit of the assignment space is computable in closed form using the orbit Hamming weight of \( s \) with respect to the marginal assignment since it is a sufficient statistic for the marginal density. If the probabilistic model exhibits symmetries, then the Rao-Blackwell estimator’s MSE is less than or equal to that of the standard estimator.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( X \) be a finite sequence of random variables with joint distribution \( P(X) \), let \( \Theta \) be an automorphism group of \( X \) given by a generating set \( R \), let \( \hat{X} \) be a subsequence of \( X \), and let \( \theta := P(\hat{X} = \hat{x}) \) be the marginal density to be estimated. The Rao-Blackwell estimator \( \hat{\theta}^\Theta_N \) has the following properties:

(a) Its worst-case time complexity is \( O(R(|\hat{X}|+N|\hat{X}|^2)) \);

(b) \( \text{MSE}[\hat{\theta}^\Theta_N] \leq \text{MSE}[\hat{\theta}_N] \).

The inequality of (b) is strict if there exists a joint assignment \( s \) with non-zero density and \( 0 < H^\Theta_{\hat{X}=\hat{x}}(s) < |\hat{X}| > 1 \).

\(^1\)Please note the two different types of orbit partitions discussed here. One results from \( \Theta \) acting on the assignment space the other from \( \Theta \) acting on sequences of random variables.
For single-variable density estimation the worst-case time complexity of the Rao-Blackwell estimator is $O(R|X| + N|X|)$ and, therefore, linear both in the number of variables and the number of sample points. For most symmetric models, the inequality of Theorem 5(b) is strict and the Rao-Blackwell estimator outperforms the standard estimator, a behavior we will verify empirically.

Please note that in the special case of single-variable marginal density estimation the RB estimator is identical to the estimator that averages the identically distributed variables located in the same orbit. The advantages of utilizing the Rao-Blackwell theory are (1) it directly provides conditions for which the inequality of Theorem 5(b) is strict; (2) it generalizes the single-variable case to marginals spanning multiple variables; (3) it allows us to investigate the completeness of an estimator with respect to a given automorphism group; and (4) it provides the link to the quotient Markov chain in the MCMC setting and its superior convergence behavior presented in the following section.

The Rao-Blackwell estimator is unbiased if the drawn sample points are independent. Since it is often only practical to collect sample points from a Markov chain, the bias for a finite number of $N$ points will depend on the chain’s mixing behavior. We will show that if there are no-trivial model symmetries and if we are using the Rao-Blackwell estimator, we only need to worry about the mixing behavior of the Markov chain whose state space is the orbit partition.

**Symmetry-Aware MCMC**

Whenever we collect sample points from a Markov chain, the efficiency of an estimator is influenced by (a) the mixing behavior of the Markov chain and (b) the variance of the estimator under the assumption that the Markov chain has reached stationarity, that is, the asymptotic variance (Neal 2004). That the Rao-Blackwell estimator’s asymptotic variance is at least as low as that of the standard estimator is a corollary of Theorem 5. We show that the same is true for the bias that is caused by the fact that we collect a finite number of sample points from Markov chains which never exactly reach stationarity.

A lumping of a Markov chain is a partition of its state space which is possible under certain conditions on the transition probabilities of the original Markov chain (Buchholz 1994; Derisavi, Hermanns, and Sanders 2003).

**Definition 6.** Let $M$ be an ergodic Markov chain with transition matrix $Q$, stationary distribution $\pi$, and state space $\Omega$, and let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \ldots, C_n\}$ be a partition of the state space. If for all $C_i, C_j \in \mathcal{C}$ and all $s'_i, s''_j \in C_i$

$$Q'(C_i, C_j) := \sum_{s_j \in C_j} Q(s'_i, s_j) = \sum_{s_j \in C_j} Q(s''_j, s_j)$$

then we say that $M$ is ordinary lumpable with respect to $\mathcal{C}$. If, in addition, $\pi(s'_i) = \pi(s''_j)$ for all $s'_i, s''_j \in C_i$ and all $C_i \in \mathcal{C}$ then $M$ is exactly lumpable with respect to $\mathcal{C}$. The Markov chain $M'$ with state space $\mathcal{C}$ and transition matrix $Q'$ is called the quotient chain of $M$ with respect to $\mathcal{C}$.

Every finite ergodic Markov chain is exactly lumpable with respect to an orbit partition of its state space. The following theorem states this and the convergence behavior of the quotient Markov chain in relation to the original Markov chain (cf. (Boyd et al. 2005)).

**Proposition 7.** Let $M$ be an ergodic Markov chain and let $\mathcal{O}$ be an orbit partition of its state space. Then, the Markov chain $M$ is exactly lumpable with respect to $\mathcal{O}$. If $M$ is reversible, then the quotient Markov chain $M'$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}$ is also reversible. Moreover, the mixing time of $M'$ is smaller than or equal to the mixing time of $M$.

**Example 8.** Figure 1(b) depicts the state space of the Gibbs chain for the MLN shown in Figure 1(a). The constants renaming automorphism group $\{(A, B), (s)\}$ acting on the sets of constants leads to the automorphism group $\{(\text{smokes}(A) \text{smokes}(B)), (\text{cancer}(A) \text{cancer}(B)), (s)\}$ on the ground level. This permutation group acting on the state space of the Gibbs chain induces an orbit partition which is the state space of the quotient Markov chain (see Figure 1(c)).

The explicit construction of the state space of a quotient Markov chain is intractable. Given an automorphism group $\mathcal{G}$, merely counting the number of equivalence classes of the orbit partition of the assignment space induced by $\mathcal{G}$ is known to be a #P-complete problem (Goldberg 2001). Nevertheless, if the Rao-Blackwell estimator is utilized, one can draw the sample points from the original Markov chain while analyzing the convergence behavior of the quotient Markov chain of the original chain.

**Theorem 9.** Let $X$ be a finite sequence of random variables with joint distribution $P(X)$, let $M$ be an ergodic Markov chain with stationary distribution $P$, and let $\mathcal{O}$ be an orbit partition of $M$’s state space. Let $\theta^N_N$ be the Rao-Blackwell estimator for $N$ sample points $s_{T+1}, \ldots, s_{T+N}$ collected from $M$, after discarding the first $T$ sample points. Then, $|\text{bias}(\theta^N_N)| \leq \epsilon$ if $T \geq \tau'(\epsilon)$, where $\tau'(\epsilon)$ is the mixing time of the quotient Markov chain of $M$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}$.

Hence, if one wants to make sure that the absolute value of the bias of the Rao-Blackwell estimator is smaller than a given $\epsilon > 0$, one only needs a burn-in period consisting of $\tau'(\epsilon)$ simulation steps, where $\tau'(\epsilon)$ is the mixing time of the quotient Markov chain. Existing work on analyzing the influence of symmetries in random walks has shown that it is often more convenient to investigate the mixing behavior of the quotient Markov chain (Boyd et al. 2005). In the context of marginal density estimation, Markov chains implicitly operating on the orbit partition of the assignment space were shown to have better mixing behavior (Niepert 2012).

In summary, whenever probabilistic models exhibit non-trivial symmetries we can have the best of both worlds. The bias owed to the fact that we are collecting a finite number of sample points from a Markov chain as well as the asymptotic variance (Neal 2004) of the Rao-Blackwell estimator are at least as small as those of the standard estimator. The more symmetric the probabilistic model the larger the reduction in mean squared error.

We now present the experimental results for several large probabilistic models, both relational and non-relational.
The aim of the empirical investigation is twofold. First, we want to verify the efficiency of the novel Rao-Blackwell estimator when applied as a post-processing step to the output of state-of-the-art sampling algorithms. Second, we want to test the hypothesis that the efficiency gains of the novel estimator on standard SRL models are similar empirically to those of state-of-the-art lifted inference algorithms.

For the SRL models we computed the orbit partitions of the variables based on the model’s renaming automorphisms (Bui, Huynh, and Riedel 2012). As discussed earlier, renaming automorphisms are computable in time linear in the domain size. We applied \( GAP \) (GAP 2012) to compute the variables’ orbit partition. For all non SRL models we computed the automorphism group and the orbit partitions as in (Niepert 2012) using the graph automorphism algorithm \textsc{Saucy} (Darga, Sakallah, and Markov 2008) and the \textsc{GAP} system, respectively. Overall, the computation of the orbit partitions of the models’ variables took less than one second for each of the probabilistic models we considered.

We conducted experiments with several benchmark Markov logic networks, a statistical relational language general enough to capture numerous types of graphical models (Richardson and Domingos 2006). Here, we used (a) the asthma-smokes-cancer MLN (Venugopal and Gogate 2012) with 10% evidence\(^2\); (b) the “Friends & Smokers” MLN exactly as specified in (Singla and Domingos 2008) with 10% evidence; and the “Friends & Smokers” MLN with the transitivity formula on the \textit{friends} relation having weight 1.0, (c) without and (d) with 10% evidence. Each of the models had between 10 and 100 objects in the domain, leading to log-linear models with \(10^2\times10^4\) variables and \(10^2\times10^6\) features. We used \textsc{Wfomc} (Van den Broeck 2011), to compute the exact single-variable marginals of the asthma MLN. For all other MLNs, existing exact lifted inference algorithms were unable to compute single-variable densities. In these cases, we performed several very long runs (burn-in 1 day; overall 5 days) of a Gibbs sampler guaranteed to be ergodic and made sure that state-of-the-art MCMC diagnostics indicated convergence (Brooks and Gelman 1998).

We executed our implementation of the standard Gibbs sampler and \textsc{Alchemy}’s implementation of the \textsc{MC-SAT} algorithm (Poon and Domingos 2006) on the MLNs based on 10 separate runs, without a burn-in period. For each sampling algorithm we computed the marginal densities with the standard estimator and the Rao-Blackwell estimator, respectively, which we implemented in the \textsc{GAP} programming language\(^3\). Figure 2 depicts, for each MLN, the average Kullback-Leibler divergence\(^4\) between the estimated and precomputed true single-variable marginals of the non-evidence variables plotted against the absolute running time.

---

\(^2\)For a random 10% of all people it is known (a) whether they smoke or not and (b) who 10 of their friends are.

\(^3\)https://code.google.com/p/lifted-mcmc/

\(^4\)We computed both MSE and average KL divergence but omitted the qualitatively identical MSE results due to space constraints.
of the algorithms in seconds.

The Rao-Blackwell estimator improves the density estimates by at least an order of magnitude and, in the absence of evidence, even up to four orders of magnitude relative to the standard estimator. The improvement of the empirical results is independent of the relational structure of the MLNs. For the MLN with a transitivity formula on the \textit{friends} relation, generally considered a problematic and as of now not domain-liftable model, the results are as pronounced as for the MLNs known to be domain-liftable.

We also conducted experiments with non-SRL models to investigate the efficiency of the approach on graphical models. We executed the Gibbs sampler with and without using the Rao-Blackwell estimator on a $100 \times 100$ 2-coloring grid model with binary random variables. The symmetries of the model are the reflection and rotation automorphisms of the 2-dimensional square grid. Figure 2(e) depicts the plot of the average KL divergence against the running time in seconds, where each pairwise factor between neighboring variables $X, Y$ was defined as $\exp(0.2)$ if $X \neq Y$, and 1 otherwise. Figure 2(f) depicts the plot of the same grid model except that the pairwise factors were defined as 1 if $X \neq Y$, and 0 otherwise. The results clearly demonstrate the superior performance of the Rao-Blackwell estimator even for probabilistic models with a smaller number of symmetries.

In addition, we analyzed the impact of the domain size on the estimator performance for (a) domain-liftable MLNs and (b) MLNs not liftable by any state-of-the-art exact lifted inference algorithm. We used the “Friends & Smokers” MLN without evidence; and with and without the transitivity formula on the \textit{friends} relation. The MLN without transitivity is a standard benchmark for lifted algorithms whereas MLNs with transitivity are considered difficult and no exact lifted inference algorithm exists for such MLNs as of now. Figures 3(a)\&(c) depict the time needed to achieve an average KL divergence of less than $10^{-4}$ plotted against the domain size of the models without and with transitivity. The increase in runtime is far less pronounced with the Rao-Blackwell estimator. The plots resemble those often shown in lifted inference papers where an algorithm that can lift a model is contrasted with one that cannot. The increase in runtime is slightly higher for the model with transitivity but this is owed to the size increase of each variable’s Markov blanket and, thus, the time needed for each Gibbs sampler.

In Figure 3(a) we plot the results of W\textsc{fomc} for compiling a first-order circuit and computing (a) one single-variable marginal and (b) all single-variable marginals. W\textsc{fomc} has constant runtime for exactly computing one single-variable marginal density. The Rao-Blackwell estimation for all of the model’s variables scales sub-linearly and is more efficient than repeated calls to W\textsc{fomc}. While we do not need to run W\textsc{fomc} once per single-variable marginal density if the variables are first partitioned into sets of variables with identical marginal densities (de Salvo Braz, Amir, and Roth 2005), the results demonstrate that the symmetry-aware estimator scales comparably to exact lifted inference algorithms on domain-liftable models and that its runtime is polynomial in the domain size of the MLNs.

**Discussion**

A Rao-Blackwell estimator was developed and shown, both analytically and empirically, to have lower mean squared error under non-trivial model symmetries. The presented theory provides a novel perspective on the notion of lifted inference and the underlying reasons for the feasibility of marginal density estimation in large but highly symmetric probabilistic models. For the first time, the applicability of such an approach does not directly depend on the properties of the relational structure such as the arity of predicates and the type of formulas but only on the given evidence and the corresponding automorphism group of the model. We believe the theoretical and empirical insights to be of great interest to the machine learning community and that the presented work might contribute to a deeper understanding of lifted inference algorithms.
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