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**Abstract**

Phytochemicals are directly involved in therapeutic treatment or precursors to synthesize useful drugs. The current study was aimed to evaluate the phytocompounds and their biopotentials using methanolic and n-hexane extracts of various parts of *Oxalis pes-caprae*. For the phytochemical analysis, standard procedures were used, whereas Aluminum Chloride reagent and Follin-ciocalteau reagent methods were used to determine total flavonoid and phenolic contents. Radical scavenging DPPH, phosphomolybdenum reduction, and reducing power assays were used to assess antioxidative potentials. Antibacterial potential was determined by applying disc diffusion method while cytotoxicity was determined employing brine shrimp assay. FT-IR (Fourier-transform infrared) analysis was utilized to gather spectral information, while molecular docking tools were employed to look at how *O. pes-caprae* plant-based ligands interact with the target protein COVID-19 3CLPro (PDB:6LU7). Phenols, flavonoids, alkaloids and saponins were tested positive in preliminary phytochemical studies. TPC and TFC in different extracts ranging from (38.55 ± 1.72) to (65.68 ± 0.88) mg/g GAE/g and (24.75 ± 1.80) to (14.83 ± 0.92) mg/g QUE/g were used respectively. IC50 value (24.75 ± 0.76 g/mL) by OXFH, total antioxidant capacity (55.89 ± 1.75) mg/g by OXLM, reducing potential (34.98 ± 1.089) mg/g by OXSM, maximum zone of inhibition against *B. subtilis* (24 ± 0.65 mm) by OXLM and maximum cytotoxicity 96% with LD50 19.66 (l g/mL) by OXSM were the best calculated values among all extracts. Using molecular docking, it was found that Caeruleanone A, 2\,4-Dihydroxy-2\,-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) dihydrofuro [2,3-h] flavanone and Vadimezan demonstrated best affinity with the investigated SARS CoV-2 Mpro protein. This work provide justification about this plant as a source of effective phytochemicals and their potential against microbes could lead to development of biosafe drugs for the welfare of human being. In future, different in vitro and in vivo biological studies can be performed to further investigate its biomedical potentials.

**1. Introduction**

A temperate and Mediterranean invasive weed plant, *Oxalis pes-caprae* (family Oxalidaceae) (DellaGreca et al., 2009) also known as Bermuda buttercup is a highly clonal, polyploid medicinal plant (Barrett, 2015). In cultivated areas it tends to do best on heavy, well-drained soil (DellaGreca et al., 2008). Oxalic acid is responsible for sour taste of this plant in large herbivores and can cause nervous system paralysis, if taken in excessive amount (Herbert and Dittmer, 2017). For centuries, Oxalis roots have been used in folk medicines for their diuretic and leaves for antihypertensive...
properties (Gaspar et al., 2018). Oxalis species have recently been evaluated for their nutritional values. Oxalis acetosella is a rich source of B-carotenes, tocopherols, ascorbic acid, and xanthophylls, as well as flavonoids, especially rutin (Sircelj et al., 2010). The aerial parts of O. pes-caprae contained phenols, ester, phenyl cinna- mate derivatives, aromatic compounds, cinnamic acid ester, two dihydricinnamic acid esters, cinnamic and dihydrocinamic acids, phenols and coumarins. Two compounds, 2-methoxyphenyl 3- phenyl-propanoate, and 2-hydroxyethyl 3-phenylpropanoate are reported first time as natural products from O. pes-caprae (DellaGrecia et al., 2008; DellaGrecia et al., 2009; DellaGrecia et al., 2010). The conjugates of cinnamic acid with primamaque, were observed effective against human coronavirus (Pavić et al., 2016).

The sequence type of COVID-19 has a nucleotide sequence similarity of 82% with human SARS-CoV (severe acute respiratory syn- drome) sequence (Chan et al., 2020; Lee and Hsueh, 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Prof. Zihe Rao and his investigation squad recently suc- ceded in expressing the COVID-19 3CLpro (PDB: 6LU7) was described and determined (Liu et al., 2020). The chymotrypsin- like protease is well-known for its importance in the virus’s life cycle, and the protease is stable within -coronaviruses. As a result, the COVID-19 3CLpro may be a candidate for new anti-COVID-19 drugs.

In this regard, we have conducted research using Oxalix pes- caprae as low-cost, renewable bioactive natural product sources. In the present study, in vitro antibacterial and, antioxidant activity of O. pes-caprae’s different extracts carried out. To explore the potential of natural compounds from Oxalix pes-caprae by in silico molecular docking studies that target the main protease (Mpro) of SARS CoV-2 to discover lead compound for clinical use.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant collection and extract preparation

Fresh plants i.e., Oxalix pes-caprae L. at flowering stage (leaves, stem, and flowers) were collected from Bhakkar 31°36’ 29.554166° North latitude and 71°5’ 7.85588° East longitude at a height of 531 ft. above sea level during the months of March and April 2018 and was identified from Herbarium of Quaid-I Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan. Plants were dried under shade, powered and then kept in contact with methanol an n- hexane in a concentration of 30 g/300 ml for one week. After filtration, supernatants were collected, and the solvents were evaporated to make the crude extracts and maintained at 4 °C for further studies.

2.2. Phytochemical analysis

The extracts of all plant parts underwent for phytochemical analysis to check the presence or absence of phenols by using Ferric chloride test, alkaloids by Mayer’s test, flavonoids by Ammonium test and saponins by Froth test with little modifications (Una and Sekar, 2014; Ahmed et al., 2017).

2.3. Total phenolic and flavonoid contents

To check total phenolic contents, Folin-Ciocalteau reagent was used. Calibration curve was generated by mixing 90 μL Folin-Ciocalteau reagent and 90 μL NaCO₃ solution with gallic acid. Similarly, each plant extract of 20 μL was mixed with reagent and absorbance was measured, as stated by Krishnaiah et al. (2015) with few modifications. Total flavonoid content was measured by applying method of Aluminium Chloride Colorimetric and the total flavonoid contents were articulated as quercetin (mg) equivalents per gram of sample (Mak et al., 2013).

2.4. In vitro antioxidant activities

2.4.1. Free radical scavenging activity (DPPH Assay)

Antioxidative assays were performed as stated by Iqbal et al. (2019a,b) with some modifications. The DPPH (1,1-Diphenyl-2- picryl hydrazyl) assay was used to detect free radical scavenging activity, in which various concentrations of methanolic and n- hexane extracts have been pipetted out in test tubes. Freshly pre- pared 190 μL DPPH solution was added to each tube containing 10 μL of plant samples to make final volume of 200 μL. Resulted mixtures were left for incubation in dark for half an hour at room temperature and absorbance was checked at 517 nm. Ascorbic acid used as control. The percentage inhibition was determined by following formula.

\[
\text{Percent scavenging of sample} = \frac{\text{Abs. of control} - \text{Abs. of sample}}{\text{Abs. of control}} \times 100
\]

2.4.2. Total antioxidant capacity (phosphomolybdenum reduction assay)

To determine total antioxidant capacity (TAC), each plant sam- ple of 100 μL from stock solution mixed with 900 μL of reagent (The reagent used was prepared by mixing sodium phosphate 28 mM, ammonium molybdate 4 mM, sulfuric acid 0.6 M), followed by incubation for 90 min at 95 °C. After cooling at room tem- perature, the absorbance of each testing sample was measured at 630 nm in spectrophotometer. Ascorbic acid under same condi- tions served as standard.

2.4.3. Reducing power assay

For this assay, 100 μL each plant sample mixed with 250 μL (1%) potassium ferricyanide solution and 200 μL phosphate buffer (0.2 M) incubated for 20 mins at 50 °C in water bath. Then, 200 μL trichloroacetic acid added and centrifugation done at 3000 rpm for 10 min. After centrifugation, 150 μL supernatant picked and mixed with 50 μL (0.1%) ferric chloride and absorbance of resultant mixture was measured at 630 nm by a microplate reader. Gallic acid served as positive control and reduction poten- tial was recorded as gallic acid equals to mg/g of extract.

2.5. Antibacterial potential (disc diffusion method)

This assay was performed as narrated by Alabri et al. (2014), with little modifications. In this assay, 100 μL inoculum of 24 h grown five bacterial strain Escherichia coli (ATCC 33456), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 90271), Bacillus subtilis (ATCC 19659), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538) and Klebsiella pneumonia (ATCC 1705) was spread on the media pates with sterile cotton swabs. Paper disc of 6 mm diameters were soaked with 25 μL of the stock solution of several concentrations (100, 60, 50, 30, 20, and 10 μg/mL) of each extract loaded on plates having bacterial inoculum. After incubation for 24 h at 37 °C, their MIC values were measured. Oxymetacin was used as standard.

2.6. Brine shrimp cytotoxicity assay

The brine shrimp’s lethality potential was assessed at various extract concentrations. Ocean Star (Artemia salina) eggs were hatched for 24 h in artificial sea water (3.8% sea salt augmented with 6 mg/ml yeast at pH 7). Plant extracts in various concentra- tions (1000, 500, 250, 62.5, and 31.25 μL) were poured into the
vials and the final volume was raised to 5 mL using an artificial sea salt solution. After 24 h, 10 brine shrimps were placed into each vial and incubated for 24 h at 32°C Celsius, with living shrimps were counted in each vial. Finally, *Artemia salina* LC50 values and percent mortality were determined (Supraja et al., 2018).

2.7. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy analysis (FTIR)

To analyze the spectral information of plant samples, FTIR spectra in range of 400–4000 nm were recorded with a FTIR spectrophotometer.

2.8. Molecular docking data preparation

Twenty-four phytocompounds that are documented in *O. pes-caprae* were selected as ligands. The 2D molecular structures were retrieved from chemical database PubChem (Table 1). The retrieved files were converted to PDB file format by using PyMOL software. The RCSB PDB (protein data bank) database was used to obtain the crystal structure of the protein COVID-19 3CLpro (PDB: 6LU7). Three-dimensional (3D) structure of selected target protein is presented in Fig. 1. The files were saved as Target.pdb. Using AutoDock Vina tool (Trott and Olson, 2010), polar hydrogen atoms and Kollman partial charges were added to the 3D structures. The macromolecule file was written as. PDBQT file format for further analysis.

2.8.1. Molecular docking analysis

Docking calculations were performed using AutoDock Vina software. Ligands were docked into the target structures. For protein binding pocket the amino acids in the receptor's active site were identified, as stated in the protein analysis (Liu et al., 2020). In this study, the grid size was set to 60 × 60 × 60 points with 0.5 Å spacing centered on Mpro (6LU7). To study protein–ligand interactions,

Table 1
List of Chemical compounds (Ligands) applied in current study.

| S. No | Chemical Compound | Formula | m/z   | Compound CID | Reference         |
|-------|-------------------|---------|-------|--------------|-------------------|
| 01    | Caeruleanone A    | C₄₀H₃₀O₇ | 478.5 g/mol | 102231361    | DellaGreca et al., 2010 |
| 02    | Loliolide         | C₁₁H₁₃O₇ | 196.24 g/mol | 100332       | –                 |
| 03    | Imperatorin       | C₁₆H₁₄O₄ | 270.28 g/mol | 10212        | DellaGreca et al., 2009 |
| 04    | Pterostilbene     | C₁₆H₁₆O₃ | 256.30 g/mol | 5281727      | –                 |
| 05    | Butylparaben      | C₁₁H₁₃O₇ | 194.23 g/mol | 7184         | –                 |
| 06    | Calycosin         | C₁₆H₁₂O₅ | 284.26 g/mol | 5280448      | –                 |
| 07    | Pelubiprofen      | C₁₂H₂₁O₇ | 258.31 g/mol | 5282203      | –                 |
| 08    | p-coumaric acid   | C₆H₆O₃   | 164.16 g/mol | 637542       | –                 |
| 09    | 3-Phenylpropionic acid | C₁₆H₁₆O₃ | 150.17 g/mol | 107         | –                 |
| 10    | cis-p-Coumaric acid | C₆H₆O₃   | 164.16 g/mol | 1549106      | –                 |
| 11    | Cinnamic acid     | C₆H₈O₂   | 148.16 g/mol | 444539       | –                 |
| 12    | 3-methoxyphenol   | C₇H₈O₃   | 124.14 g/mol | 9007         | –                 |
| 13    | 2- methoxyphenol  | C₇H₇NO₂  | 167.2 g/mol  | 1669         | –                 |
| 14    | 4-hydroxybenzoic acid | C₆H₄O₃   | 138.12 g/mol | 135         | –                 |
| 15    | 2,6-Ditert-butyl-4-(1-hydroxyethyl) phenol | C₁₆H₂₃O₅ | 250.38 g/mol | 12576677    | –                 |
| 16    | 3-(1-hydroxyethyl) phenol | C₆H₈O₂ | 138.16 g/mol | 13542886    | –                 |
| 17    | Tangeretin        | C₂₀H₂₀O₇ | 328.29 g/mol | 123964       | DellaGreca et al., 2008 |
| 18    | Nobiletin         | C₁₉H₁₈O₆ | 342.3         | 629965       | –                 |
| 19    | Demethylnobiletin | C₂₀H₂₀O₈ | 358.4         | 10291777    | –                 |
| 20    | Mataresinol       | C₂₀H₂₂O₆ | 358.4         | 5373259     | –                 |

Fig. 1. Three-dimensional structure of 6LU7 main protease (Mpro): [PDB accession ID: 002214U].
the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA) was used. For other parameters, default setting was applied. The grid and docking parameter files were created with AutoDock tools. Configuration text file was generated to coordinate the specific set parameters for performing molecular docking of selected dataset, after running the script file you will have the result of top ten models with the best confirmations of docked complex, the topmost result with the low energy model selected as the best because it is based on the best bound ligand pose in the protein binding pocket. For each ligand, twenty-four independent runs were completed.

2.8.2. Protein–ligand complex analysis

The software LigPlot was used to analyze and visualize protein–ligand interaction. The software was also used to analyze and characterize the polar and hydrophobic interactions between the ligand and the target, in a docked protein–ligand complex. The illustrations of these kind of instructions were created.

3. Result

3.1. Preliminary phytochemical test

The qualitative screening of all plant parts demonstrated the presence of biologically active compounds such as alkaloids, flavonoids, phenols, and saponins. All parts of plant, especially leaves contain abundance of phytocompounds (Abbasi et al., 2020b). The phytocompounds are known to have medicinal importance being chemotherapeutic and chemo preventive sources (Abbasi et al., 2018; Iqbal et al., 2019a,b), as alkaloids derived from medicinal plants have biological properties like anti-cancer (Gupta et al., 2015; Abbasi et al., 2019), anti-larval (Qi et al., 2010), antimicrobial (Greger, 2017; Adamski et al., 2020), and insecticidal potential (Greger, 2019). Flavonoids are extensively used in medicine as anti-cancer (Zhao et al., 2019), antioxidant, antimalarial, antitumor, anti-proliferative, neuroprotective (Patel et al., 2018), and antiangiogenic

| Table 2 | Total flavonoid and phenolic contents. |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Plant extracts | Total flavonoid contents (quercetin mg/g) | Total phenolic contents (gallic acid mg/g) |
| OXFM | 24.75 ± 1.80 | 65.68 ± 0.88 |
| OXFH | 22.93 ± 1.82 | 38.55 ± 1.72 |
| OXSM | 16.98 ± 1.31 | 53.94 ± 1.48 |
| OXSH | 14.83 ± 0.92 | 41.01 ± 1.19 |
| OXLM | 23.35 ± 1.35 | 57.04 ± 1.48 |
| OXLH | 18.95 ± 0.51 | 61.41 ± 1.55 |

Abbreviations: OXFM = O. pes-caprae flower methanol; OXFH = O. pes-caprae flower n-hexane; OXSM = O. pes-caprae stem methanol; OXSH = O. pes-caprae stem n-hexane; OXLM = O. pes-caprae leave methanol; OXLH = O. pes-caprae leave n-hexane.

| Table 3 | Brine shrimp cytotoxicity and DPPH scavenging assay. |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| Plant samples | Percentage mortality (250 μg/mL) | LD₅₀ (μg/mL) | DPPH scavenging activity IC₅₀ (μg/mL) |
| OXFM | 82.1 ± 2.42 | 26.46 ± 1.64 | 36.36 ± 0.56 |
| OXFH | 61.90 ± 2.76 | 51.06 ± 2.11 | 24.57 ± 0.76 |
| OXSM | 96.66 ± 1.89 | 19.66 ± 1.98 | 57.1 ± 0.65 |
| OXSH | 76.28 ± 1.60 | 48.78 ± 2.06 | 66.8 ± 0.67 |
| OXLM | 53.29 ± 1.73 | 78.56 ± 1.57 | 46.3 ± 0.76 |
| OXLH | 44.31 ± 2.11 | 102.87 ± 1.90 | 56.2 ± 0.67 |
| Ascorbic acid | – | – | 23.74 ± 0.01 |

Abbreviations: OXFM = O. pes-caprae flower methanol; OXFH = O. pes-caprae flower n-hexane; OXSM = O. pes-caprae stem methanol; OXSH = O. pes-caprae stem n-hexane; OXLM = O. pes-caprae leave methanol; OXLH = O. pes-caprae leave n-hexane.

Fig. 2. (A) Total antioxidant capacity (B) total reducing power and (C) antibacterial potential exhibited by different extracts of O. pes-caprae. Through triplicate analysis (means ± SD) were obtained. Abbreviations: OXFM = O. pes-caprae flower methanol; OXFH = O. pes-caprae flower n-hexane; OXSM = O. pes-caprae stem methanol; OXSH = O. pes-caprae stem n-hexane; OXLM = O. pes-caprae leave methanol; OXLH = O. pes-caprae leave n-hexane; OTC = Oxytetracycline.
agents (Camero et al., 2018). Similarly, phenols and saponins have defensive properties for various ailment (Shoker, 2020) and anti-diabetic potential (Uzayisenga et al., 2014) respectively. These phytochemical substances found in the plants could be responsible for *O. pes-caprae* biological potential, as well as its traditional medicinal uses.

3.2. Total phenolic and flavonoid contents

Any plant’s pharmacological potential is determined by secondary metabolites and phenolic chemicals that are involved in the maintenance of detoxification (Zahra et al., 2021). The presence of total phenolic and total flavonoid contents in the plant extracts are presented in Table 2. Güçlütürk et al. (2012) evaluated methanolic extracts of *O. pes-caprae* (arial parts) with total phenolic contents as (4.16 ± 0.32) mg/g. In current studies, TPC and TFC varied greatly, and ranged from (38.55 ± 1.72) to (65.68 ± 0.88) mg/g GAE/g and (24.75 ± 1.80) to (14.83 ± 0.92) mg/g QUE/g of different extracts respectively.

3.3. In vitro antioxidant activities

Plant’s antioxidant and radical-scavenging abilities are linked to their therapeutic qualities. The IC50 value which shows the quantity of antioxidant required to reduce radical concentration by 50%, is negatively associated to antioxidant capacity (Basuny et al., 2012). Three distinct assays were used to access antioxidant activity in this investigation, namely DPPH, TAC and, reducing power assay. By DPPH free radical scavenging assay, best calculated IC50 value (24.57 ± 0.76 μg/mL) by OXFH, while lowest potential exhibited by n-hexane extract of stem was (66.8 ± 0.67 μg/mL). Depicted IC50 values by various parts of *O. pes-caprae* were as followed OXFH (24.57 ± 0.76), OXF (36.36 ± 0.56), OXLM (46.3 ± 0.76), OXLH (56.2 ± 0.87), OXS (57.1 ± 0.65), and OXSH (66.8 ± 0.67) μg/mL presented in Table 3. GraphPad Prism Software was used to create a percent DPPH scavenging activity by IC50 values.

The phosphomolybdate assay was applied to evaluate the total antioxidant capacity (TAC). This assay is used to access a chemical’s ability to scavenge ROS (Abbasi et al., 2020a). The assay is because when a reducing agent (antioxidant) is present, molybdenum (VI) is reduced to molybdenum (V), generating a green color phosphomolybdate (V) complex, that maybe spectrophotometrically assessed (Jan et al., 2013). Total antioxidant capacity is a significant tool for inspecting the correlation of antioxidants and pathologies incited by the oxidative stresses (Pisoschi and Negulescu, 2011). Total antioxidant capacity of selected plants was expressed as ascorbic acid equivalent mg/g of each extract shown in Fig. 2(A).

![Graph showing antioxidant activity](image)

**Fig. 3.** (A; B) FTIR analysis displays the functional groups and frequency range of inorganic and organic compounds in *O. pes-caprae* flower.
Highest value of TAC was \((55.89 \pm 1.758 \text{ mg/g})\) observed by OXLM, lowest value was \((33.98 \pm 1.874 \text{ mg/g})\) by OXFH. The principle of reducing power assay work as, the reducers i.e., antioxidants cause the reduction of Fe\(^{3+}/\text{ferricyanide}\) complex to ferrous form. As a result, the Perl’s Prussian Blue production can be utilized to observe Fe\(^{2+}\) content (Ferreira et al., 2007). Reducing potential of plants is calculated by total reducing power assessment as shown in Fig. 2(B) with maximum value \((34.98 \pm 1.089 \text{ mg/g})\) by OXSM.

3.4. Screening of crude extracts for antibacterial activity (Disc diffusion assay)

Plants are a potent source of phyto compounds which play an incredible role against microorganisms. To assess the antibacterial potential of selected plants disc diffusion method was applied. Plant extracts were tested for antibacterial activity against gram +ve (\(B.\ subtilis\), \(S.\ aureus\)) and gram negative (\(E.\ coli\), \(K.\ pneumonia\), and \(P.\ aeruginosa\)) bacteria. Antibacterial potential as evaluated by zone of inhibition showed significant variation. After 24 h of incubation, the maximum zone of inhibition by extracts of \(O.\ pes-caprae\) leave for both methanol and n-hexane were observed against \(B.\ subtilis\) as \((24 \pm 0.65 \text{ mm})\) and \((20 \pm 0.34 \text{ mm})\) respectively. For \(S.\ aureus\), \(P.\ aeruginosa\), \(E.\ coli\) and \(K.\ pneumonia\) maximum inhibition were \((16 \pm 0.45)\) by OXLH, \((19 \pm 0.34)\) by OXLH and \((13 \pm 0.23)\) mm by OXSM respectively. Fig. 2 (C) graphically representing zone of inhibition of \(O.\ pes-caprae\) extracts.

3.5. Brine shrimp cytotoxicity assay (BSCA)

The appropriate assay for determination of cytotoxicity of plants extracts and their assorted compounds is, BSCA (Olowa and Nuñez, 2013). The findings revealed a concentration-dependent response, with an increase in concentration of extract, leading to an increase in brine shrimps’ mortality, whereas a decrease in plant extract concentration leads to a decrease in mortality. In \(O.\ pes-caprae\) extracts, lethality was observed, indicating the presence of potent cytotoxicity. Among all extracts of \(O.\ pes-caprae\), OXSM and OXFM exhibited high percentage of mortality of \(Artemia salina\) of 96 and 82%, with LD\(_{50}\) 19.66 and 26.46 \((\mu\text{g/mL})\) respectively (Table 3).

3.6. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy applied to identify functional groups and type of chemical bonds that exist in phytochemicals. The main component of chemical bonding is light absorbed wavelength, which may be seen through a spectrum interpretation. By analyzing the absorption infrared spectrum, compound chemical bonds can be determined (Ahmad et al., 2016). In the present study functional groups were examined using FTIR spectroscopy based on their absorbance (Figs. 3 and 4). The peaks obtained from the analysis were decoded and the functional groups were determined. The functional groups present in the plant sample refers to specific class of compounds (Figs. 3; 4).

Fig. 4. (A; B) FTIR analysis displays the functional groups and frequency range of inorganic and organic compounds in \(O.\ pes-caprae\) stem.
# Table 4
Two-dimensional structures and the binding strength of investigated ligands with the SARS CoV-2 protein.

| S. No. | Chemical Compound | Chemical structure | Binding affinity (kcal/mol) |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 01     | Caeruleanone A    | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 11.1                     |
| 02     | 2',4'-Dihydroxy-2''-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) dihydrofuro [2,3-h] flavanone | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 10.5                     |
| 03     | Matairesinol      | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 10.3                     |
| 04     | Vadimezan         | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 9.6                      |
| 05     | Tangeretin        | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 9.3                      |
| 06     | Imperatorin       | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 9.2                      |
| 07     | Nobiletin         | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 9.2                      |
| 08     | Demethylnobiletin | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 9.2                      |
| 09     | 2,6-Ditert-butyl-4-(1-hydroxyethyl) phenol | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 9.1                      |
| 10     | Zapotin           | ![Chemical Structure](image) | – 9.0                      |

(continued on next page)
Table 4 (continued)

| S. No. | Chemical Compound | Chemical structure | Binding affinity (kcal/mol) |
|--------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 11     | Calycosin         | ![Calycosin structure](image) | -8.7                      |
| 12     | 2'-Hydroxy-3,4,4',6'-tetramethoxychalcone | ![Structure](image) | -8.6                      |
| 13     | Pelubiprofen      | ![Pelubiprofen structure](image) | -8.5                      |
| 14     | Pterostilbene     | ![Pterostilbene structure](image) | -7.7                      |
| 15     | Loliolide         | ![Loliolide structure](image) | -7.2                      |
| 16     | p-coumaric acid   | ![p-coumaric acid structure](image) | -6.7                      |
| 17     | 3-Phenylpropionic acid | ![3-Phenylpropionic acid structure](image) | -6.6                      |
| 18     | 2- methoxyphenol  | ![2-methoxyphenol structure](image) | -6.5                      |
| 19     | Butylparaben      | ![Butylparaben structure](image) | -6.5                      |
| 20     | cis-p-Coumaric acid | ![cis-p-Coumaric acid structure](image) | -6.5                      |
3.7. Molecular docking results

After docking process, the ligands were classified according to their protein–ligand binding energies. The results were analyzed based on the interactions between SARS Covid-2 protein and the compounds as well as the binding energies of the complexes. Target protein and chemical compounds are the requirements to start the molecular docking procedure. Previously reported 24 compounds from *O. pes-caprae* were used as datasets for molecular docking studies. The two-dimensional structures of selected 24 compounds were drawn very carefully by ChemDraw Ultra 12.0 software illustrated in Table 4. Three-dimensional structure of selected targeted protein; 6LU7 protein was recovered from protein data bank (accession ID 002214) with resolution 2.16 Å. These natural compounds were expected to have anti-viral potential, so it is validated by the application of computer-aided drug design procedures, such as the calculation of protein–ligand binding interaction behavior with 6LU7 protein target, which is one of the novel therapeutic agents to design potential drugs for COVID-19. Results for binding energy (kcal/mol) of selected phytochemical compounds with the COVID-19 3clpro/Mpro (PDB ID: 6LU7) using AutoDock vina are summarized in Table 5. The ligands bind to the active site of the 6LU7 protein with significant binding energy in the same hydrophobic pocket as the Diclofenac regulation, according to docking studies. In the current study we find that Caeruleanone A, 2,4-Dihydroxy-2’-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) dihydrofuro [2,3-h] flavanone and Vadimezan demonstrated best affinity with the investigated SARS CoV-2 Mpro protein.

Summary of the molecular docking results of 14 potential hits are given in Table 5 also include docking parameters including binding energy and FF score alongside the amino acids (residues) contained in active site pockets of 6LU7. Caeruleanone A presented highest binding affinity – 11.1 Kcal/mol as compared to other compounds and formed nine hydrophobic interactions with Thr111(A), Asn151(A), Phe294(A), Phe8(A), Asp153(A), Ile152(A), Arg105(A), Ile106(A) and Gln107(A) residues and formed one hydrogen bond with Gln107(A) residue with length of 2.89 Å. 2,4-Dihydroxy-2’-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) dihydrofuro [2,3-h] flavanone formed four hydrophobic interactions with Ile152(A), Asn151(A), Gln110 (A), Phe294(A) and Val104(A) residues and four hydrogen bonds with three different residues Ser158(A) (1), Asp153(A) (1) and Thr111(A) (2). The interactions resulted in very good binding energy of –10.5 Kcal/mol. Matairesinol formed seven hydrophobic interactions with Gln110(A), Ile106(A), Asn151(A), Val104(A), Asp153(A), Phe294(A) and Ile152(A) residues and only one hydrogen bond with Thr111(A) residue of Mpro target protein with bond distance of 3.17 Å. Although the interactions resulted in the binding energy of –10.3 Kcal/mol.

Likewise, Vadimezan also formed five hydrophobic interactions with Gln110(A), Thr292(A), Phe294(A), Asn151(A) and Ile106(A) residues and three hydrogen bonds with Thr111(A) residue with length of 3.14, 2.93 and 2.83 Å. These interactions resulted in binding energy of –9.6 Kcal/mol. Tangeretin presented very good binding affinity – 9.3 kcal/mol during docking and formed seven hydrophobic interactions with Phe294(A), Asn151(A), Arg105(A), Val104(A), Thr111(A) and Gln110(A) residues of targeted protein but no hydrogen bonding was observed. Imperatorin showed good binding energy – 9.2 kcal/mol and formed hydrophobic interactions with Gln110(A), Thr111(A), Ile106(A), Asn151(A), Val104(A), Arg105(A) and Thr292(A) residues and two hydrogen bonds with Thr111(A). Nobiletin resulted in –9.2 kcal/mol binding energy during docking analysis. Nobiletin also illustrated hydrophobic interactions with Thr111(A), Asn151(A), Phe294(A), Gln110(A), Ile106 (A), Arg105(A) and Val104(A) residues of 6LU7 target protein but no hydrogen bonding has been observed. Demethylnobiletin during molecular docking investigation presented good binding affinity (–9.2 kcal/mol). Formed six hydrophobic interactions with Phe294(A), Asn151(A), Ile106(A), Gln110(A), Ile106 (A), Arg105(A) and Val104(A) residues and one hydrogen bond was also observed with Thr111 (A) residue. 2,6-Ditert-butyl-4-(1-hydroxyethyl) phenol presented

### Table 4

| S. No. | Chemical Compound       | Chemical structure | Binding affinity (kcal/mol) |
|--------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 21     | Cinnamic acid           | ![Chemical Structure](image) | −6.1                        |
| 22     | 4-hydroxybenzoic acid  | ![Chemical Structure](image) | −6.1                        |
| 23     | 3-(1-hydroxyethyl) phenol | ![Chemical Structure](image) | −5.7                        |
| 24     | 3-methoxyphenol         | ![Chemical Structure](image) | −5.0                        |
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Table 5
Interactions plots and interacting residues of fourteen potential hits with the SARS CoV-2 Mpro proteins are summarized with the number of hydrophobic interactions and the number of hydrogen bonds (where red spikes represent hydrophobic residues, green-colored residues represent hydrogen bonds).

| S. No. | Chemical Compound | Functional Residues Involved in Hydrophobic Interactions | Total Hydrogen Bonds with Distance | Interaction plots | Binding affinity (kcal/mol) |
|-------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| 01    | Caeruleanone A   | Thr111(A), Asn151(A), Phe294(A), Phe8(A), Asp153(A), Ile152(A), Arg105(A), Ile106(A), Gln107(A) | (1) Gln107(A) NE2-O3 = 2.89 Å | ![Interaction plot 1] | −11.1 |
| 02    | 2',4'-Dihydroxy-2'-[1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl] dihydrofuro [2,3-h] flavanone | Ile152(A), Asn151(A), Gln10(A), Phe294(A), Val104(A) | (4) Ser158(A) OG-O5 = 3.24 Å Asp153(A) OD2-O5 = 3.07 Å Thr111(A) O1-O3 = 3.24 Å N-O3 = 3.20 Å | ![Interaction plot 2] | −10.5 |
| 03    | Matairesinol    | Gln110(A), Ile106(A), Asn151(A), Val104(A), Asp153(A), Phe294(A), Ile152(A) | (1) Thr111(A) OG1-O2 = 3.17 Å | ![Interaction plot 3] | −10.3 |
| 04    | Vadimezan       | Gln110(A), Thr292(A), Phe294(A), Asn151(A), Ile106(A) | (3) Thr111(A) O-O3 = 3.14 Å N-O3 = 2.93 Å OG1-O3 = 2.83 Å | ![Interaction plot 4] | −9.6 |
Table 5 (continued)

| S. No. | Chemical Compound | Functional Residues Involved in Hydrophobic Interactions | Total Hydrogen Bonds with Distance | Interaction plots | Binding affinity (kcal/mol) |
|--------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| 05     | Tangeretin        | Phe294(A), Asn151(A), Arg105(A), Val104(A), Ile106(A), Thr111(A), Gln110(A) | NO hydrogen bonding               |                   | −9.3                        |
| 06     | Imperatorin       | Gln110(A), Gln107(A), Ile106(A), Asn151(A), Phe294(A), Thr292(A) | (2) Thr111(A) O4 = 2.75 Å, N-O4 = 3.08 Å |                   | −9.2                        |
| 07     | Nobiletin         | Thr111(A), Asn151(A), Phe294(A), Gln110(A), Ile106(A), Arg105(A), Val104(A) | NO hydrogen bonding               |                   | −9.2                        |
| 08     | Demethylnobiletin | Phe294(A), Asn151(A), Ile106(A), Gln110(A), Arg105(A), Val104(A) | (1) Thr111(A) O-O5 = 3.19 Å      |                   | −9.2                        |
| S. No. | Chemical Compound | Functional Residues Involved in Hydrophobic Interactions | Total Hydrogen Bonds with Distance | Interaction plots | Binding affinity (kcal/mol) |
|-------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 09    | 2,6-Di tert-butyl-4-(1-hydroxyethyl) phenol | Asp295(A) Phe294(A) Asn151(A) Ile106(A) | (3) Thr111(A) OG1-O2 = 3.18 Å N-O2 = 3.07 Å Gln110(A) NE2-O2 = 3.09 Å | ![Interaction plots](image) | 9.1 |
| 10    | Zapotin           | Gln107(A) Arg105(A) Ile106(A) Val104(A) Asn151(A) Asp153(A) Phe294(A) | (1) Gln110(A) NE2-O6 = 2.96 Å | ![Interaction plots](image) | 9.0 |
| 11    | Calycosin         | Val104(A) Ile106(A) Phe294(A) Asn151(A) Ser158(A) Gln110(A) | (3) Asp295(A) OD1-O5 = 3.12 Å Thr202(A) OG1-O5 = 3.30 Å Thr111(A) OG1-O5 = 2.72 Å | ![Interaction plots](image) | 8.7 |
| 12    | 2'-Hydroxy-3,4,4',6'-tetramethoxychalcone | Ile106(A) Arg105(A) Val104(A) Asn151(A) Phe294(A) | (2) Thr111(A) O-O4 = 3.11 Å Gln110(A) NE2-O4 = 3.08 Å | ![Interaction plots](image) | 8.6 |
good network of hydrophobic interactions with Asp295(A), Phe294 (A), Asn151(A) and Ile106(A) residues and three hydrogen bonds with Thr111(A) and Gln110(A) residues of Mpro target protein have been observed. The interactions resulted in the binding energy of −9.1 kCal/mol. Zapotin formed seven hydrophobic interactions with Gln107(A), Arg105(A), Ile106(A), Val104(A), Asn151 (A), Asp153(A) and Phe294(A) residues and one hydrogen bond with Gln110(A) residue. Calycosin presented good binding energy (−8.7 kcal/mol) during docking analysis and showed hydrophobic interactions with Val104(A), Ile106(A), Phe294(A), Asn151(A), Ser158(A) and Gln110(A) and formed three hydrogen bonds with Asp295(A), Thr292(A) and Thr111(A) residues of 6LU7 target protein. 2'-Hydroxy-3,4,4',6'-tetramethoxychalcone, Pelubiprofen and Pterostilbene also presented very good network of hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonding interactions with binding affinity −8.6, −8.5 and −7.7 respectively.

4. Conclusion

A viable and valuable alternative is plant derived bioactive molecules with pharmacological action. Many researchers have been enthralled by the prospect of discovering novel pharmacologically active phytoconstituents from plants, primarily to progress the treatment of various diseases. In this study we report that the methanolic and n-hexane extracts of various parts of O. pescaprae contain different phytocompounds which have antioxidant and biological potential. These phytocompounds were further evaluated based on their binding energy against COVID-19 target protein. Knowing that many phytocompounds are already identified for their antiviral potential, we can refer to computational chemistry and bioinformatics resources to help in the study of different activities of medicinal plants. The 6LU7 Mpro has shown to be crucial and highly potent target for the inhibition of novel COVID-19. This study concludes 4 natural compounds (Caeruleanone A, 2',4'-Dihydroxy-2''-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl) dihydrofuro [2,3-h] flavanone, Matairesinol, Vadimezan) as a potential inhibitor of 6LU7 Mpro. Molecular docking analysis revealed that these molecules possess strong binding energy and interactions based on hydrogen bonding. These results can provide the outline for synthetic modification of bioactive compounds, de novo synthesis of structural motifs, and lead to additional phytochemical investigation. The information generated from this study may be utilized in future for development of more phytochemical-based therapeutics against COVID-19. In future, different in vitro and in vivo biological studies can be performed to further investigate its biomedical potentials.
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