Analysis of 24-h Rhythm in Ventricular Repolarization Identifies QT Diurnality As a Novel Clinical Parameter Associated with Previous Ventricular Arrhythmias in Heart Failure Patients
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Introduction: Cardiac repolarization abnormalities are among the major causes of ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. In humans, cardiac repolarization duration has a 24-h rhythm. Animal studies show that this rhythm is regulated by 24-h rhythms in ion channel function and that disruption of this rhythm leads to ventricular arrhythmias. We hypothesized that 24-h rhythms in QT duration can be used as a predictor for sudden cardiac death and are associated with ventricular arrhythmias. Secondly, we assessed a possible mechanistic explanation by studying the putative role of hERG channel dysfunction.

Materials and Methods: In 2 retrospective studies, measures of the 24-h variation in the QT and QTc intervals (QT and QTc diurnality, QTd and QTcd, respectively) have been derived from Holter analyses and compared between groups: 1) 39 post-infarct patients with systolic heart failure (CHF: EF < 35%), of which 14 with, and 25 without a history of ventricular arrhythmias and 2) five patients with proven (LQTS2) and 16 with potential (Sotalol-induced) hERG channel dysfunction vs. 22 controls.

Results: QTd was two-fold higher in CHF patients with a history of ventricular arrhythmias (38 ± 15 ms) compared to CHF patients without VT (16 ± 9 ms, p = 0.001). QTd was significantly increased in LQT2 patients (43 ± 24 ms) or those treated with Sotalol (30 ± 10 ms) compared to controls (21 ± 8 ms, p < 0.05 for both).

Discussion: QT diurnality presents a novel clinical parameter of repolarization that can be derived from Holter registrations and may be useful for identification of patients at risk for ventricular arrhythmias.
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INTRODUCTION

Sudden cardiac death (SCD), often caused by ventricular arrhythmias, is a major health problem, which affects 1 in every 500 persons per year (Niemeyer et al., 2015). Several parameters to identify patients at risk for SCD have been proposed in the last decades. In patients with primary prophylactic implantable cardioverter/defibrillator (ICD) therapy, however, the number needed to treat is still high [11 in MADIT2 (Moss et al., 2002) and 14 in SCD-HeFT (Bardy et al., 2005)] and possibly underestimated. Criteria that can decrease the number of patients needed to treat will reduce the ICD burden, which consists of regular ICD device checks, battery changes, occupational/lifestyle restrictions and the risk of surgical complications or unnecessary shocks (Szwejkowski et al., 2015). Furthermore, better risk prediction will make ICD therapy more cost effective. Clearly, there is a high demand for better SCD prediction in patients currently treated with a primary prophylactic ICD.

24-h rhythms are biorhythms present within many cardiac electrophysiological parameters, including QRS duration, QT interval, and heart rate variability (HRV; Nakagawa et al., 1998). These rhythms are regulated by diurnal changes in ion channel function, such as the hERG channel (Schroder et al., 2015). In addition, 24-h rhythmicity has been linked to the incidence and pathophysiology of ventricular arrhythmias and SCD, which display an increased incidence in the morning. In animal studies, disruption of the normal 24-h rhythm leads to a severely depressed functioning of hERG channels and a concomitant increased susceptibility to ventricular arrhythmias (Jeyaraj et al., 2012; Schroder et al., 2015). Ventricular repolarization is humans however, significantly differs between humans and animals (ICH S7B guidelines, 2015). So far, it remains unknown whether the presence and nature of 24-h rhythms in electrophysiological parameters in humans possess predictive value for the manifestation of ventricular arrhythmias and SCD.

We hypothesized that disruption of physiological 24-h rhythms in ventricular repolarization duration is associated with ventricular arrhythmias. In the current retrospective study, we therefore compared 24-h rhythms in QT interval duration between heart failure patients with and without history of ventricular arrhythmias. Our data suggest that the amplitude of the 24-h rhythms in QT and QTc interval (QT and QTc diurnality, QTd, and QTcd, respectively) is not only associated with ventricular arrhythmias, but also linked to disturbed functioning of I_Kr.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study consists of two analyses. In the first analysis, we compared 24-h rhythms in QT interval duration between heart failure patients with and without history of ventricular arrhythmias. In this first part, we introduce two novel parameters QT and QTc diurnality, which are measures for the amount of 24-h variation (amplitude) in the QT and QTc interval (Figure 2). In the second analysis, we studied whether these parameters are related to hERG channel functionality. We compared QTd and QTcd levels between subjects with genetic or drug-induced hERG channel dysfunction and controls.

Patient Selection

24-h rhythms in repolarization and ventricular arrhythmias (n = 39)

Patients with systolic heart failure (HFrEF; heart failure NYHA class II and above with an LVEF <35%) and a history of a myocardial infarction were included from three different sources: (1) Patients of the UMC Utrecht participating in the EUTrigTreat study (Seegers et al., 2012). Holter analysis was part of the EUTrigTreat study protocol and already performed in all patients before the start of the current study. (2) Patients of the UMC Utrecht who received a guideline-indicated ICD implantation as primary prevention (EF <35%) between 2009 and 2011 (Zipes et al., 2006), and that received a Holter registration after ICD implantation. (3) Patients who attended the outpatient clinic of the UMC Utrecht between September and November 2014 and had a history of ventricular arrhythmias. In patients from the third source (outpatient clinic UMC Utrecht, all with ICD upon inclusion) Holter analysis was performed after informed consent was obtained.

Exclusion criteria for all patients in this study were: (1) Use of antiarrhythmic drugs (all classes, slow-release beta-blockers excluded) during or in the 6 weeks before the Holter registration. (2) Supraventricular arrhythmias during Holter registration. (3) Myocardial infarction in the 3 years prior to Holter registration.

All patients included in this study were subdivided in two groups, based on history of ventricular arrhythmias. VT+ means that the arrhythmia occurred after the moment that EF was reduced below 35%. All VT+ were confirmed by either a electrocardiogram or manual check of the ICD-recording.

24-H Rhythms in Repolarization and hERG Channel Functionality

Genetic hERG Channel Dysfunction: LQTS2 (n = 5)

Patients clinically diagnosed with LQTS type II carrying a loss-of function mutation of the KCNH2 gene encoding the protein that constitutes the hERG channel, which attended the outpatient clinic of the UMC Utrecht and received a 24-h electrocardiogram recording (Holter) between 2009 and 2015, were included.

Drug-Induced hERG Channel Dysfunction: Sotalol Users (n = 16) (and Controls, n = 22)

Patients who successfully underwent pulmonary vein isolation for treatment of atrial fibrillation, and received a Holter between May and September 2015, were screened. Subjects that used Sotalol for at least 2 weeks or were devoid of anti-arrhythmic medication for at least 2 weeks (controls) during their Holter were included. Exclusion criteria were the use of other anti-arrhythmic drugs (all classes, beta blockers excluded), a left
ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of <45%, and presence of supraventricular arrhythmia during Holter registration.

A summary of patient source and stratification is provided in Table 1.

The investigation conforms with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. The Medical Ethical Committee of the UMC Utrecht approved both retrospective studies (reference #WAG/mb/15/036026).

**Patient Characteristics**

Age, gender, medication use, echocardiography parameters, NYHA classification, comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, renal failure), medication history, and history of ventricular arrhythmias at the time of the Holter were collected from the electronic patient file. Ventricular arrhythmias (Ventricular fibrillation or sustained ventricular tachycardia) were only qualified as such when recorded on an electrocardiogram (ECG) lasting at least 30 s. Ventricular arrhythmias detected by the ICD were manually checked for accuracy.

An overview of both analyses is depicted in Figure 1.

**Holter**

Holters recordings were obtained with a standard 125Hz 3 lead Holter registration system (SEER Light Holter Recorder, GE healthcare, UK). A trained nurse attached all devices and placed leads at locations V1, V3, and V5. All registrations were done outside the hospital and patients were instructed to follow their normal routine. RR, QT (measured both until the peak (QTp) and end (QTe) of T-wave) and QTc (corrected with the Bazett’s Formula) interval were measured every 15 s. Hourly averages were calculated using the manufacturers software (MARS version 7.2, GE Healthcare, UK). Based on the hourly averages, the best-fitting cosine curves with a period of 24-h were calculated for all parameters using a non-linear regression model (R statistics Version 3.0, R Development Core Team, New Zealand).

From this cosine curve, 24-h averages, peak time, and diurnality were derived. We defined diurnality as the amplitude of the cosine curve, or half of the difference between peak and trough of the 24-h cosine curve. QT analysis and a visualization of diurnality of one of the patients are depicted in Figure 2.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data is presented as averages ± standard deviation. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to compare variables. Levene’s test was used to check equality of variances. When homoscedastic, one- or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to compare groups. If not, the Kruskal-Wallis post-hoc analysis was performed. In analyses with multiple groups, Bonferroni analysis was used to compare subjects with controls. Pearson’s chi-squared test was used to compare categorical data. P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Predictive power of parameters that were significantly different between groups was quantified using area under curves (AUC) of the receiver-operator characteristics.

**RESULTS**

**24-h Rhythms in Repolarization and Ventricular Arrhythmias**

**Patient Collection**

Thirty-nine patients with systolic heart failure and a history of myocardial infarction were included (see Table 1). Baseline characteristics of all patients are depicted in Table 2. The only significant difference between patients with and without arrhythmias was the prevalence of Diabetes Mellitus (DM). Our main parameters, QTd and QTcd however, did not differ between patients with and without DM (QTd 20 ± 19 vs. 23 ± 11 ms, p = 0.90; QTcd 13 ± 20 vs. 13 ± 7 ms p = 0.99, respectively).

**Accurate Automatic 24-h Measurements**

Previous studies showed that automatic measurements of the QT interval can be challenging (Tyl et al., 2011). To assure the accuracy of the automated measurements in this current study, we performed several validations that are specified in the supplementary data. (Supplementary Figures 1–3).

**Ventricular Arrhythmias are Associated with High QTd/QTcd**

24-h rhythms in RR and QT(c) interval duration were compared between patients with and without history of ventricular arrhythmias. History of ventricular arrhythmias was associated with a two-fold increase of QTd/QTcd as compared to CHF VT-patients (QTd 38 ± 15 vs. 16 ± 9 ms p = 0.001 and QTcd 19 ± 17 vs. 9 ± 6 ms, respectively, p = 0.003). In addition to these large differences, overlap of QTd values between the two groups was low: the lowest QTd of patients with ventricular arrhythmias was 18 ms, whereas approximately two-thirds (17/25) of the VT-patients had a QTd below this value (Figure 3 and Table 3). This resulted in a high discriminative power (Area under curve (AUC) of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve QTd and QTcd, 0.932 ± 0.038 and 0.818 ± 0.068, respectively).

---

**Table 1** | Patient source and stratification.

| Source                      | Analysis 1 | Analysis 2 |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|
|                             | VT/VF−     | VT/VF+     |
|                             | Control    | Sotalol    | QTcS2      |
| EUTrigTreat                 | 11         | 7          |
| ICD Implantation            | 14         | 2          |
| Database 2009-2011          | 14         | 2          |
| Outpatient Clinic Cardiology: Sep–Nov 2014 | 5         |            |
| Outpatient Clinic           |            |            |
| Cardiology: All             |            |            |
| Holter Database: PVI         | 22         | 16         |
| Patients May–Sep 2015       |            |            |

ICD, Implantable cardioverter/defibrillator; PVI, Pulmonary Vein Isolation; VT/VF, Ventricular Tachycardia/Ventricular Fibrillation.
24-h Rhythms in Repolarization and hERG Channel Functionality

Cardiovascular disease is associated with, in general, tempered or disrupted 24-h rhythms (Durgan and Young, 2010; Hermida et al., 2011; Jeyaraj et al., 2012). Schroder et al. published a study which showed that normal expression of KCNH2, a gene encoding the hERG (human Ether-à-go-go-Related Gene, Kv11.1) protein, has a 24-h rhythm in mice (Schroder et al., 2015). hERG is the α-subunit of the delayed rectifier current (I_{Kr}) channel, a potassium channel which mediates repolarization duration of the action potential (Sanguinetti et al., 1995). Schroder et al showed that the 24-h rhythm in hERG channel expression suppresses a rhythm in repolarization duration under physiological conditions. Consequently, when rhythmicity in hERG channel expression was disrupted, the 24-h rhythm in repolarization increased.

Based on this observation, we hypothesized that in humans, a rhythm in ion channel functioning, such as the hERG channel, could also be of importance to control a 24-h rhythm in repolarization. If so, alike in mice, this could explain why an increase of QTd (and not a decrease) is associated with ventricular arrhythmias. To test this hypothesis, in a second retrospective study, we investigated whether depression of hERG channel functionality in patients resulted in an increase of QTd by comparing QTd of patients with a proven hERG channel dysfunction (LQTS2) or those in which depressed hERG channel functionality is anticipated (Sotalol treated), to controls.

TABLE 2 | Patient characteristics at time of Holter.

| Baseline VT/VF− | VT/VF+ | Significance |
|-----------------|--------|--------------|
| Characteristics | n = 25 | n = 14 | ns |
| Age (years)     | 51 ± 16 | 55 ± 10 | ns |
| Gender (% male) | 80     | 79     | ns |
| Ejection Fraction (%) | 29 ± 5 | 26 ± 5 | ns |
| LVEDD (cm)      | 6.3 ± 0.9 | 6.2 ± 1.2 | ns |
| LVESD (cm)      | 5.2 ± 1.0 | 5.3 ± 1.0 | ns |
| EDV (ml)        | 181 ± 74 | 198 ± 91 | ns |
| ESV (ml)        | 120 ± 42 | 150 ± 73 | ns |
| SV (ml)         | 60 ± 29 | 51 ± 24 | ns |
| β-blocker (used in all patients, converted to mg metoprolol) | 113 ± 102 | 98 ± 75 | ns |
| GRF (ml/min)    | 51 ± 16 | 55 ± 10 | ns |
| DM (%)          | 44     | 7      | p = 0.017 |
| NYHA Classification | 2.1 ± 0.8 | 1.9 ± 0.5 | ns |

DM, Diabetes Mellitus; EDV, End Diastolic Volume; ESV, End Systolic Volume; GRF, Glomerular Filtration Rate; LVEDD, Left Ventricular End Diastolic Diameter; LVESD, Left Ventricular End Systolic Diameter; ns, not significant; SV, Stroke Volume; VT/VF, Ventricular Tachycardia/Ventricular Fibrillation.
TABLE 3 | Holter parameters of systolic heart failure patients with and without history of VT/VF.

| Baseline characteristics | VT/VF− n = 25 | VT/VF+ n = 14 | Significance |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| RR Peak Time (h)         | 4.5 ± 3.9     | 3.8 ± 2.2     | ns           |
| QT Peak Time (h)         | 5.4 ± 6.3     | 4.4 ± 2.7     | ns           |
| QTc Peak Time (h)        | 8.8 ± 7.2     | 9.6 ± 6.1     | ns           |
| RR Average (ms)          | 861 ± 107     | 895 ± 133     | ns           |
| QT Average (ms)          | 425 ± 29      | 428 ± 33      | ns           |
| QTc Average (ms)         | 460 ± 25      | 455 ± 20      | ns           |
| RR Diurnality (ms)       | 70 ± 41       | 111 ± 43      | ns           |
| QT Diurnality (ms)       | 16 ± 9        | 38 ± 15       | p = 0.001    |
| QTc Diurnality (ms)      | 9 ± 6         | 19 ± 17       | p = 0.003    |
| QRS Duration (ms)        | 119 ± 24      | 112 ± 31      | ns           |
| HRV (SDNN)               | 114 ± 38      | 107 ± 35      | ns           |

Sotalol Usage Is Associated with High QTd/QTcd

In the nine patients in whom two Holter recordings were available (with and without Sotalol), 24-h averages, QT diurnality, and peak time of RR, QT, and QTc were analyzed. Peak times, 24 h averages, and RR diurnality did not differ significantly between the two Holters (Table 4). Remarkably, QTd and QTcd increased with Sotalol use (10 ± 13 and 4 ± 6 ms increase, p = 0.02 and 0.04, respectively). Data are depicted in Figure 4.

Sotalol, especially at lower doses, does not lead to full hERG channel dysfunction (Hohnloser and Woosley, 1994). To further explore the relation between repolarization and Sotalol, we therefore compared daily Sotalol dosage to QTd and QTcd. For QTd, no relation was found (R² = 0.02, p = 0.59), but as expected, longer QTcd was associated with higher doses of Sotalol (R² = 0.35 p = 0.02). These findings correspond to the known dose-dependent pro-arrhythmic effects of Sotalol (Hohnloser, 1997). More importantly, patients with the lowest daily Sotalol dosage (80 mg) all had low (<10 ms) QTcd values (Supplemental Figure 1). Previous studies revealed that low doses of Sotalol have class I (beta-adrenergic) anti-arrhythmic effects, but no effects on repolarization (class III), including pro-arrhythmic side effects (Hohnloser, 1997; Singh, 1999). We therefore excluded patients with a daily dose of 80 mg Sotalol from further analysis. Mean dose of the remaining subjects was 196 mg (range 120–320 mg).

hERG Channel Dysfunction Is Associated with QTd and QTcd

As compared to controls, QTd and QTcd were increased in both Sotalol users and QTLS2 patients (QTd 21 ± 8 vs. 29 ± 9 and 43 ± 24 ms, p = 0.031 and p = 0.001 QTcd 8 ± 4 vs. 12 ± 6 and 12 ± 7 ms, p = 0.027 and p = 0.049 for controls vs. Sotalol, and LQTS2 resp.). Compared to those controls, 24-h QT and QTc averages were significantly higher in LQTS2 patients (QT 421 ± 37 ms vs. 474 ± 18, p = 0.010 and QTc 448 ± 20 vs. 494 ± 33 ms, p = 0.002 resp.), but no other significant 24-h differences were found between Sotalol / LQTS2 vs. controls (Data in Table 5 and Figure 5). These data confirm our hypothesis that hERG channel

TABLE 4 | Holter parameters of patients with Holter with and without Sotalol.

| Baseline characteristics | Sotalol− n = 9 | Sotalol+ n = 9 | Significance |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| RR Peak Time (h)         | 2.8 ± 2.4     | 2.9 ± 2.0     | ns           |
| QT Peak Time (h)         | 2.6 ± 2.4     | 2.6 ± 1.9     | ns           |
| QTc Peak Time (h)        | 3.8 ± 5.7     | 3.5 ± 7.0     | ns           |
| RR Average (ms)          | 948 ± 133     | 932 ± 101     | ns           |
| QT Average (ms)          | 434 ± 44      | 440 ± 39      | ns           |
| QTc Average (ms)         | 447 ± 28      | 457 ± 30      | ns           |
| RR Diurnality (ms)       | 70 ± 46       | 89 ± 39       | ns           |
| QT Diurnality (ms)       | 18 ± 8        | 29 ± 10       | p = 0.02     |
| QTc Diurnality (ms)      | 7 ± 2         | 10 ± 6        | p = 0.04     |

ns: not significant.
**TABLE 5** Holter parameters of Sotalol users, LQTS2 patients and control patients.

| Baseline characteristics | Controls (n = 22) | Sotalol (n = 9) | LQTS2 (n = 5) | Significance (controls vs. Sotalol and LQTS2 resp.) |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| RR Average (ms)          | 897 ± 144        | 954 ± 73       | 936 ± 104    | Both ns                                       |
| QT Average (ms)          | 421 ± 37         | 442 ± 37       | 474 ± 18     | ns, p = 0.010                                 |
| QTc Average (ms)         | 448 ± 20         | 454 ± 29       | 494 ± 33     | ns, p = 0.002                                 |
| RR Diurnality (ms)       | 83 ± 36          | 89 ± 47        | 119 ± 66     | Both ns                                       |
| QT Diurnality (ms)       | 21 ± 8           | 29 ± 9         | 43 ± 24      | p = 0.031 and p = 0.001                       |
| QTc Diurnality (ms)      | 8 ± 4            | 12 ± 6         | 12 ± 7       | p = 0.027 and p = 0.049                       |

LQTS2, Patients with proven mutation in hERG channel; ns, not significant.

In this study, we investigated 24-h rhythms in repolarization and introduced the new clinical parameters QT and QTc diurnality (QTd and QTcd, respectively). In a retrospective study, we showed that QTd and QTcd are associated with ventricular arrhythmias in post-infarct patients with a LVEF <35%. We found that ventricular arrhythmias are associated with a high QTd and QTcd. About 2/3 of patients without arrhythmias showed values of QTd lower than the value of the arrhythmia-positive patient with the lowest QTd value in that group. In an attempt to deduce a potential causative explanation for these observations, we performed a second retrospective study and revealed that (high) QTd and QTcd seem associated with hERG channel dysfunction.

Susceptibility for repolarization related ventricular tachyarrhythmias is often related to an inherited and/or acquired reduced repolarization reserve, which regularly includes a downregulation of the hERG protein and function. To quantify repolarization reserve, QT length is not optimal and...
other techniques have been applied in the scientific community: (1) exaggerated increase in QT after a hERG blocking drug (Kaab et al., 2003), (2) parameters of temporal repolarization dispersion quantified as temporal QTVI/N (Berger et al., 1997) and STV (Hinterseer et al., 2008), (3) alterations in T wave morphology after the administration of a hERG blocking drug (Couderc et al., 2011). So far however, these parameters are not widely used in daily practice.

Some previous publications confirmed the relation between 24-h rhythm and repolarization parameters. QTVI and QTVC for example, two short-term repolarization parameters, showed a diurnal rhythm (Dobson et al., 2009). In addition, Van der Berg et al. showed that at night, maximum ventricular repolarization duration corresponds to an increased incidence of SCD in LQT3-Brugada patients (van den Berg et al., 2006). To our knowledge however, the current study is the first to study diurnality (amplitude) of repolarization and identifies this parameter as potentially discriminating/predictive for ventricular arrhythmias in humans.

In the current study, we propose that QTd and QTcd are associated with hERG channel dysfunction. Expression profiles of several cardiac ion channels are disrupted in heart failure patients (Sugiyama et al., 2011). We hesitate however, that dysfunction of the hERG channels fully explains the increase in QTc/QTcd and occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias. hERG channel disruption for example, is often associated with other electrophysiological changes such as an increase in (average) QTc or HRV. The patients with reduced LVEF in the current study that faced arrhythmic events, however, do not show these changes. In addition, differences between arrhythmia and non-arrhythmia patients are larger for QTd than for QTc, suggesting that other factors such as changes in autonomic drive may have contributed to the differences in QTd and QTc as well. Also in the patients that used Sotalol for treatment of AF, QT, and QTc are not prolonged though in these patients we anticipate only a mild degree of hERG block to avoid triggering of ventricular arrhythmias. In line with that, QTd shows an increased value though to a much lower extent when compared to the patients with LQTS2 or those with a reduced EF. On the other hand, in the small group of LQTS2 patients that do have a proven hERG dysfunction and a history of VT but without a low EF, QTd value was even higher as compared to the QTd of the group with low EF and, in this case also QT and QTc were significantly prolonged.

Correct 24-h measurement of the QT interval is challenging. Not only is the QT interval under influence of heart rate and has automatic measurement proven difficult, positional changes of heart within the thorax and fluctuations in drug plasma levels during the day complicate accurate measurement for longer periods even further. To minimize the risk of inaccurate measurements, we used several strategies. First, automated measurements were done with validated, commercially used software (MARS version 7.2, GE Healthcare, UK) and we checked whether measurements were accurate (Supplemental Figures 2–4).

Secondly, to prevent any interaction of fluctuating drug plasma levels we excluded all patients with anti-arrhythmic drugs despite those that used slow-releasing beta-blockers, which beta-adrenergic effects have been reported to be relatively constant (Sandberg et al., 1988). In the subgroup of Sotalol patients, we analyzed the effects of fluctuating plasma levels on QTd because of the relatively short half-life (±12 h). Peak times of the 24-h QT rhythm in Sotalol users were similar to controls. In addition, ECG changes reach a maximum at 1.5 h after Sotalol administration (Singh, 1999). The single QT peak in the middle of the night that is observed in Sotalol users, is therefore unlikely to be caused by a drug regimen of two intakes in the morning and evening.

Third, because repolarization duration depends on heart rate, we considered an effect of 24-h heart rate variation on QTd. To measure heart-rate independent repolarization values, several formulas were developed, such as Bazett’s Formula, Fridericia’s Formula, and individually optimized curvature corrections. Previous studies showed that 24-h rhythms in QTc depend on the method used (Malik et al., 2008). In our study, we used Bazett’s formula. We purposely did not correct for 24-h QT/RR
hyperesthesia since this filters 24-h rhythms, but other methods such as Frederica’s Formula or QT/RR hyperesthesia taken at a specific time point might have yielded different results. In addition, differences in heart rate, for example caused by beta-adrenergic effects of Sotalol, have previously shown to affect Sotalol activity (Hayward and Taggart, 1986). The QTd data of Sotalol users vs. controls however, shows similar RR averages and RR diurnality levels in combination with significant differences in QTd, confirming that the differences in QTd found are independent of heart rate.

Finally, there is a risk that the position of the heart in the thorax changes throughout the day. However, patient characteristics of those positive for ventricular arrhythmia patients and controls were similar (age, gender, cardiac function, severity of symptoms). Even though 24-h variation in cardiac position may have influenced QTd, we could not define any reason why this would be different in arrhythmia positive patients compared to those who were devoid of arrhythmias.

**Clinical Implications**

In the post-infarct patient with a LVEF <35%, differences in QTd between patients with and without arrhythmias were not only statistically significant, but also large: 2/3 of the patients without arrhythmias have a lower QTd than the arrhythmia-positive patient with the lowest QTd value. According to current guidelines, all patients with an LVEF <35% receive an ICD, but only a minority will get a ventricular arrhythmia and benefit from this ICD. 80% of those patients receive an ICD without any benefit but with the risk of complications such as lead revisions and inappropriate shocks. In combination with the high costs of an ICD implantation, there is an urgent clinical need for a better risk stratification in this patient group. The large difference in QTd values and the small overlap between patients with and without history of ventricular arrhythmias, make QTd a promising candidate to follow up as a new and additive arrhythmia predictor in patients at risk.

**Study Limitations**

Because our study was observational, we are not able to confirm a direct causal relationship between hERG channel dysfunction, QTd, and development of ventricular arrhythmias. Secondly, the retrospective study setup and relatively small patient groups do not allow predictive conclusions. To achieve in that, further prospective studies in large patient cohorts will be required in order to reproduce our findings, evaluate the definite predictive value of QTd, and to define cut-off values for QTd that discriminate between being at risk or not.
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**SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

**Accuracy of Automatic 24-h Measurements**

To check the accuracy of the automated measurements in this study, we compared manual and automated calculations of the 24-h rhythm in QT interval. Although, absolute values slightly differed, the correlation between manual and automated measurements was high ($R^2 = 0.97, p < 0.001$, Supplemental Figure 2).
To further validate the automated measurements, we compared different QT measurement options. QTend values (QT interval from onset of the QRS-complex to the peak of the T-wave; used in the current study) were compared to QTpeak values (until the top of the T-wave). QTend values were ±80 ms larger than QTpeak values and were strongly correlated ($R^2 = 0.99, p < 0.001$, Supplemental Figure 3). Next, QT measurements of different leads were compared. Lead V5 (used in the analyses) and V3, and V5 and V1 have slightly different ($±15$ ms) absolute values but correlate very well ($R^2 = 0.99, p < 0.001$ and $R^2 = 0.97 p < 0.001$, respectively), V5 vs. V3 in Supplemental Figure 3).

Finally, we compared cosine curve analysis to other methods of measuring 24-h parameters. 24-h averages derived from the cosine curve matched the average of the 24-hourly averages ($R^2 = 0.99, p < 0.001$). Peak times derived from the cosine curve corresponded to the time point of the maximum hourly average ($R^2 = 0.87, p < 0.001$) and diurnality correlated to the difference between the two maximal and minimal hourly averages (range, $R^2 = 0.83, p < 0.001$). Importantly, differences between QTd or QTcd, and range were often caused by 1 or 2 outliers (Supplemental Figure 4) that affected range, but not diurnality.
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