Population decline of the cape petrel (*Daption capense*) on King George Island, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica
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Abstract
The Antarctic and the surrounding Southern Ocean are currently subject to rapid environmental changes and increasing anthropogenic impacts. Seabird populations often reflect those changes and so act as indicators of environmental variability. Their population trends may provide information on a variety of environmental parameters on the scale of years or decades. We therefore provide long-term data on the cape petrel (*Daption capense*) population from a long-term monitoring program on Fildes Peninsula, South Shetland Islands, Maritime Antarctic, an area of considerable human activity. Our data, covering a period of 36 years, indicate some variability, but no clear trend in the number of breeding pairs between the breeding seasons 1985 and 2006. However, beginning in the 2008 season, the population decreased significantly and reached a minimum in the 2020 season. The mean annual decrease between 2008 and 2020 was 10.6%. We discuss possible causes of this strong negative population trend. Anthropogenic disturbance only affects a few breeding sites in the area and is therefore unable, on its own, to explain the consistent population decline at all the breeding sites studied. We think it more likely that reduced food availability was the main cause of the drastic decline in the cape petrel population.
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Introduction
Seabirds are valuable indicators of the health of the ecosystem of which they are part (Thibault et al. 2019; Velarde et al. 2019). In particular, specialist predators provide crucial information about their prey stocks (Montevecchi 1993; Furness and Camphuysen 1997; Piatt et al. 2007). This is particularly important because of the current rapid environmental changes on the Antarctic Peninsula and the increasing fishing activities in the surrounding Southern Ocean (e.g. Croxall et al. 2002; Constable et al. 2014; Turner et al. 2014). Because of this importance of predatory seabird numbers, the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resource (CCAMLR) initiated its Ecosystem Monitoring Program (CEMP) in order to record significant changes in critical components of the ecosystem (CCAMLR 2014). This programme monitors, with a set of standard methods species, selected as being likely to be affected by changes in the availability of commercially harvested species (currently krill and fish) (CCAMLR 2014). One of these CEMP indicator species is the cape petrel or Pintado petrel (*Daption capense*). The main breeding areas of this species are along the Antarctic Peninsula, South Georgia and various other Antarctic and Subantarctic islands (Marchant and Higgins 1990; Schrimpf et al. 2020). Like most other Procellariiform birds, cape petrels are highly philopatric (Weidinger 1996a). The global population of the species is currently estimated at over 2 million individuals (Brooke 2004, cited in BirdLife International 2020) and, due to the lack of evidence to the contrary, it is considered as stable (IUCN-Status “least concern”, BirdLife International 2020). Despite several studies on particular aspects in the breeding and foraging ecology of the species (e.g. Arnould and Whitehead 1991; Weidinger 1996a, 1997, 1998b; Coria et al. 1997) there are few surveys of their abundance (e.g. Coria et al. 1995, 1996; Woehler and Croxall 1997; Bó and
Copello 2000; Lumpe and Weidinger 2000; Soave et al. 2000b; Sierakowski et al. 2017; Petry et al. 2018), but these mostly do not allow any assessment of population trends. This is mainly due to cape petrel breeding habits as the birds nest on rock ledges and cliffs along the coastline and this usually hinders accurate counting. Hence, there is a lack of regional or global population assessments. To remedy this lack we assembled long-term data on a local breeding population of cape petrels in the Maritime Antarctic. The importance of the investigations lies in the listing of the species as a dependent or indicator species within the CCAMLR Ecosystem Monitoring Program in order to detect and record significant changes in critical components of the ecosystem (CCAMLR 2014). The study area is located within the CCAMLR Statistical Area No. 48.1.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted on the Fildes Peninsula and adjacent Ardley Island (hereafter Fildes Peninsula, 62° 10’ S, 59° 0’ W) southwest King George Island, South Shetland Islands, Maritime Antarctic (Fig. 1). The study area covers ~35 km² and is one of the largest ice-free areas of the Antarctic Peninsula region. It hosts thirteen breeding bird species (Braun et al. 2012). Two Antarctic Specially Protected Areas have been designated in the study area due to its relatively high biodiversity (ASPA No. 150 Ardley Island) and rich fossil outcrops (ASPA No. 125). However, the area contains many Antarctic stations and, consequently, is affected by a variety of human activities including research, logistics, tourism and educational programs. We extracted data on the distribution and intensity of human impact from published sources (Peter et al. 2008, 2013) and added data from our own observations for subsequent years.

On the Fildes Peninsula, cape petrel breeding sites are located mainly on rock ledges and steep cliffs along the coastline. Due to the local topography, most of the suitable breeding grounds are on the western coast (Fig. 1). The cape petrel breeding sites are barely accessible but well visible from the shore below the cliffs or from the top of opposite cliffs. We were thus able to systematically count the number of apparently occupied nests (AON; see Creuwels et al. 2005) within a few days during the incubation period.

Fig. 1 Distribution of cape petrel (Daption capense) breeding sites on the Fildes Peninsula and Ardley Island in the southwest of King George Island, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. The size of the filled circles indicate the maximum number of breeding pairs from 2004 to 2020. Regional breeding clusters are represented by ovals. Clusters affected by human activity are marked by asterisks. Dashed ovals indicate colonies of which only population estimates are available.
(census between 25 November and 23 December, median: 16 December). We used detailed maps of all known breeding sites and examined them, and other likely areas, with binoculars. Because mean colony attendance remains almost constant in the incubation period, we used the number of AON as a proxy for the breeding pair number even though using this proxy results in a high probability of including failed breeders or non-breeders (Weidinger 1996b; Creuwels et al. 2005). We counted all breeding pairs from a distance, even when nests were easily accessible, so as to avoid our disturbing the birds. It is unlikely that we overlooked many breeding pairs because the complete coastline was regularly monitored during the austral summer. Data were either extracted from published and unpublished reports of the seasons 1984/85 to 1986/87, 1989/90, 2000/01 and 2007/08 (Table 1) or obtained from 2003/04 to 2005/06 and 2008/09 to 2019/20 (hereafter named as season 2009, 2020, etc.) from our own GPS-based studies. Because it was difficult to allocate data from the historical reports to specific locations, we grouped the data into clusters (NW—Northwest, W—West, SW—Southwest, NE—Northeast, AI—Ardley Island, HP—Halfthree Point, Fig. 1). Data on breeding pair numbers of breeding sites on islands in the adjacent Fildes Strait (Heidelberginsel—HI, Isla Quintana—IQ) as well as the Flat Top (FT) breeding site were mostly obtained by estimation or by census at a distance. No data on reproductive performance were collected in the study area. The map was created using SigmaPlot 14.0 and the graph using ArcGIS 10.5. The mean annual change rate was calculated as: annual change (%) = ((P(t+1)/P(t))−1) * 100 (Carlini et al. 2009) where P: population size, t: year.

Results

Since the beginning of seabird monitoring, we recorded 37 breeding sites of cape petrels on the Fildes Peninsula (Fig. 1). The total number of breeding pairs at the different

| Zone/Season | NW | W* | SW | HP* | AI* | NE | Total bp | Census date | Data source |
|-------------|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|----------|-------------|-------------|
| 1980        | –  | –  | –  | –   | –   | 8  | –        | –           | December    |
| 1981        | –  | –  | –  | –   | –   | 3  | –        | –           | December    |
| 1982        | –  | –  | –  | –   | –   | 5  | –        | –           | 06.12.1981  |
| 1984        | –  | –  | –  | –   | –   | 3  | –        | –           | 25.11.1983  |
| 1985        | 15 | 0  | 248| 6   | 9   | 6  | 284      | 13.–17.12.1984 | Peter et al. 1988 |
| 1986        | 27 | 0  | 291| 12  | 8   | 0  | 338      | December    |
| 1987        | 15 | 0  | 220| 6   | 3   | 0  | 254      | 09.12.1986  |
| 1989        | –  | –  | –  | –   | –   | 7  | –        | –           | 22.11.1988  |
| 1990        | 6  | 0  | 230| 10  | 7   | 0  | 253      | 30.11.–02.12.1989 | J. Erfurt, H. Grimm^a |
| 1996        | –  | –  | –  | –   | –   | 30 | –        | –           | 23.12.1995–20.01.1996 | Soave et al. 2000b |
| 2001        | 35 | 0  | 104| 13  | 15  | 0  | 167      | 30.12.2000–11.01.2001 | Own data |
| 2002        | 22 | 10 | 154| 26  | 25  | 5  | 242      | 06.01.–17.01.2004 | Own data |
| 2005        | 42 | 8  | 164| 8   | 29  | 8  | 259      | 20.12.2004  |
| 2006        | 45 | 8  | 234| 10  | 24  | 8  | 329      | 18.12.2005  |
| 2008        | 20 | 11 | 145| 3   | 0   | 145| 179      | 06.01.–17.01.2008 | Own data |
| 2009        | 19 | 6  | 142| 1   | 18  | 4  | 190      | 15.–18.12.2008 | Own data |
| 2010        | 11 | 0  | 129| 1   | 9   | 2  | 152      | 11.–23.12.2009 | Own data |
| 2011        | 5  | 6  | 137| 5   | 8   | 2  | 163      | 17.–20.12.2010 | Own data |
| 2012        | 18 | 4  | 77 | 2   | 2   | 1  | 104      | 22.–23.12.2011 | Own data |
| 2013        | 6  | 3  | 46 | 0   | 7   | 0  | 62       | 18.–19.12.2012 | Own data |
| 2014        | 1  | 2  | 18 | 0   | 1   | 0  | 22       | 13.–15.12.2013 | Own data |
| 2015        | 2  | 1  | 12 | 0   | 0   | 0  | 15       | 12.–13.12.2014 | Own data |
| 2016        | 0  | 5  | 4  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 9        | 11.–20.12.2015 | Own data |
| 2017        | 2  | 1  | 30 | 0   | 1   | 0  | 34       | 15.–19.12.2016 | Own data |
| 2018        | 0  | 2  | 7  | 0   | 2   | 0  | 11       | 16.–17.12.2017 | Own data |
| 2019        | 0  | 0  | 8  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 8        | 12.–17.12.2018 | Own data |
| 2020        | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 1        | 08.–9.12.2019   | Own data |

^aArea affected by human activities
^bUnpublished expedition report
sites mapped between 2004 and 2020 ranged between one and 83 breeding pairs. In total, we assembled data from up to 26 breeding seasons. The number of breeding pairs of cape petrels on the Fildes Peninsula and Ardley Island showed some degree of variability between 1985 and 2006 (Fig. 2). It ranged between 203 in the 2004 season and 338 in the 1986 season (Table 1). However, beginning in the 2008 season, the population decreased drastically with a mean annual change rate of $-10.6\%$. The number reached a minimum in the 2020 season (Fig. 2; Table 1), when only one breeding pair was recorded. Considerable human impact was only recorded in the area of three smaller breeding clusters (W, HP and AI, Fig. 1). These clusters are often frequented by scientists and station personnel (Peter et al. 2008; Braun et al. 2012), even though the breeding clusters HP and AI are located within an ASPA (Fig. 1), where all unauthorized access is prohibited. All other breeding sites (NE, SW, NE) are located in more remote areas and are on rock faces or steep cliffs and are therefore hardly ever affected by direct human activity. Despite the temporary intense sea and air traffic in the study area during the austral summer, there was no increased bird activity in the petrel colonies during boat and aircraft operations. The waters off the west coast of the Fildes Peninsula are not navigable and are therefore not affected by boat traffic. Aircraft operations mainly occur above the central part of the Fildes Peninsula in an east–west axis towards the runway (Fig. 1). No spatial differences in the cape petrel population decline were detected within the study area, as the breeding pair numbers at all breeding clusters decreased in the same way (Table 1, additional graphs are given in Online Resource 1). The declining trend is supported by data from breeding sites adjacent to the Fildes Peninsula. These, however, are estimations (Online Resource 2).

**Discussion**

The number of breeding pairs of cape petrels on the Fildes Peninsula and Ardley Island recently experienced a dramatic decline. Numbers went from several hundred breeding pairs to a virtually none. We were unable to investigate the reason for this collapse within the framework of the seabird monitoring program. Nevertheless, we posit a number of possible explanations. One such explanation is human activity. This is particularly prevalent on the Fildes Peninsula because of its several Antarctic research stations. Direct anthropogenic disturbance reduces the local breeding populations of several seabird species. Those affected include the southern giant petrel (*Macronectes giganteus*), brown skua (*Stercorarius antarcticus lonnbergi*), south polar skua (*Stercorarius maccormicki*), kelp gull (*Larus dominicanus*), Antarctic tern (*Sterna vittata*), Wilson’s storm petrel (*Oceanites oceanicus*) and black-bellied storm petrel, (*Fregata tropica*) (Chupin 1997; Peter et al. 2008, 2013; Braun et al. 2012). However, this explanation cannot be the cause of the collapse in cape petrel numbers because the collapse affected all breeding sites similarly. The decline was not greater in sites where there was more disturbance pressure. Therefore, anthropogenic disturbance alone is unable to explain the significant decline in breeding pair numbers on the Fildes Peninsula.

Predation by skuas cause substantial breeding failure in cape petrels (Weidinger 1998a). This is despite cape petrels usually nesting on cliffs and rock ledges and so protecting their offspring from predation. Skuas are generalist predators with a variety of foraging strategies (Carneiro et al. 2015), but some populations specialize on one or few prey species (Mougeot et al. 1998; Reinhardt et al. 2000; Pacourea et al. 2019). Some of the brown skua breeding pairs on the Fildes Peninsula and Ardley Island forage to a large extent on seabirds other than penguins, including storm petrels or cape petrels (Peter et al. 1988). The high brown skua density in the study area therefore results in high predation on local seabird populations. This was confirmed by Weidinger (1998a) in several nearby cape petrel colonies. However, the brown skua population in the study area was stable over the years of cape petrel collapse (Krietsch et al. 2016) and thus predation alone is unable to explain the sudden decline in one prey species when others are unaffected. In addition, because skuas are generalist feeders, they are easily able to switch to other prey and alternative prey are abundant in the area as several seabird species breed there (Braun et al. 2017). Therefore, we assume severe predation contributes little to the drastic decline of the local cape petrel population, although it was

![Fig. 2 Number of breeding pairs of cape petrel (Daption capense) on the Fildes Peninsula and Ardley Island in the southwest of King George Island, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Year refers to time of chick fledging. Incomplete data are marked by asterisk. Data sources are listed in Table 1](image)
not possible to quantify its impact in this study. We therefore suspect that a more likely cause of the sharp population decline is a change in environmental conditions, possibly a lack of food or bad weather conditions. Climatic conditions are certainly able to influence the number of breeding pairs in seabirds (e.g. Trathan et al. 2007). However, since the 2008 season, when the petrel collapse seems to have begun, there have been both cool and warm summers. There has not been a steady change in summer temperatures in either direction that parallels the collapse of the petrel population. Rainfall likewise has neither consistently increased nor decreased since 2008. In any case, unlike ground-nesting birds, cape petrels nest on cliffs and rock ledges and so are protected from precipitation to a degree. We believe, therefore, that local climatic conditions played a minor role in the cape petrel population decline. It is possible, however, that climate during the non-breeding season (winter and spring) or the previous breeding season affects the survival of offspring and adults and so also the number of breeding pairs in subsequent seasons (Petry et al. 2016). Unfortunately, we were unable to test this contention because we have no data on mortality outside the breeding season.

The cape petrel is an opportunistic surface feeder (Soave et al. 2000a). Its diet consists mainly of Antarctic silverfish (Pleuragramma antarcticus), myctophids, Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) and, in a smaller proportion, of amphipods (Arnould and Whitehead 1991; Coria et al. 1997; Casaux et al. 1998). The species composition of the diet, and the proportions of these species, differ considerably between seasons and breeding areas and seem, generally, to reflect prey availability (Coria et al. 1996; Casaux et al. 1998; Soave et al. 2000a). The current decline in the cape petrel population on the Filde Peninsula is not matched by declines in populations of other seabird populations in the area, namely Adélie penguin (Pygoscelis adeliae), chinstrap penguin (Pygoscelis antarcticus), gentoo penguin (Pygoscelis papua), southern giant petrel, and kelp gull (Braun et al. 2020). However, the petrel decline does correspond with major fluctuations in the number of south polar skua breeding pairs in the area and repeated breeding failures of this species (Krietsch et al. 2016). Repeated breeding failures in south polar skuas indicate a drastic shortage in prey availability (Krietsch et al. 2016). South polar skuas share the surface feeding behaviour of cape petrels and their diets considerably overlap. Both species use the same food source in the study area (Creet et al. 1994; Casaux et al. 1998; Reinhardt et al. 2000). Therefore, it is likely that local prey availability has varied considerably over recent years with, in consequence, major effects on the two predator species (Krietsch et al. 2016). We therefore conclude that reduced food availability is the main cause of the cape petrel collapse. However, to substantiate this conclusion, more information is required on the foraging areas of cape petrels and on krill and fish stocks in the foraging area. It is also necessary to determine the impact on the birds of the fishing industry and of environmental change as both of these are able to reduced food availability (Bertrand et al. 2012; Trathan et al. 2015; Hinke et al. 2017).

To what extent the cape petrel population decline on the Filde Peninsula is reflected in other breeding areas is not clear because appropriate population data are lacking. The little information that does exist is inconsistent and mostly not based on current data (Woehler and Croxall 1997). Thus, Sierakowski et al. (2017) reported a substantial increase of breeding cape petrels between 1979 and 1997 in Admiralty Bay, King George Island. The same was found for Harmony Point, Nelson Island, between 1964 and 1989 or 1995 (Silva et al. 1998). In contrast, Petry et al. (2016) reported both an increase of breeding pairs as well as the disappearance of some smaller breeding colonies between 1979 and 2011 in Admiralty Bay in the period. However, Petry et al. (2018) reported a considerable decline from approximately 1500 breeding pairs in 1988 to approximately 600 breeding pairs in 2014 for Elephant Island. Published data on breeding success are even scarcer. Thus, the results presented here are among the first reports of a declining local cape petrel populations. In consequence, more population data on this species are needed for an inter-regional comparison of the population trend in the Antarctic.
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