Systematic uncertainty of first-principle calculations of the radiation energy emitted by extensive air showers
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Abstract. The energy of extensive air showers can be determined from the energy radiated in the form of radio signals. The so-called radiation energy can be predicted with modern simulation codes using first-principle calculations without the need of free parameters. Here, we verify the consistency of radiation energy calculations by comparing a large set of Monte Carlo simulations made with the two codes CoREAS and ZHAireS. For the frequency band of 30 – 80 MHz, typically used by many current radio detectors, we observe a difference in the radiation energy prediction of 5.2%. This corresponds to a radio emission modelling uncertainty of 2.6% for the determination of the absolute cosmic-ray energy scale. Hence, radio detection offers the opportunity for a precise, accurate and independent measurement of the absolute energy of cosmic rays.

1 Introduction

The broadband radio emission from extensive air showers allows a derivation of the properties of the primary cosmic ray particle. The energy emitted in the form of radio signals (radiation energy, \( E_{\text{rad}} \)) can be used for an accurate determination of the absolute cosmic-ray energy scale [1, 2]. In simulations, the radio emission can be calculated by employing classical electrodynamics directly to the movement of the shower particles.

The influence of several aspects of the calculation have already been studied [3] and are summarized in table 1. Here, we continue the investigation of the influence of approximations in simulations by studying the impact of a finite step-length. We also perform a detailed comparison of the two independent simulation codes CoREAS and ZHAireS [4]. Both codes use different formalisms for the calculation of the radio emission. In CoREAS the “endpoint formalism” is implemented, in ZHAireS we use the time-domain implementation of the “ZHS algorithm”. The numerical implementation of these algorithms might add additional uncertainties. A systematic study of the radio emission of both codes allows us to estimate the systematic uncertainty in the calculations of the radiation energy. This value is a universal quantity, valid for all air shower radio experiments. Measurement uncertainties recently decreased below the 10 %-level [5], consequently even minor uncertainties in the calculations are relevant.
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| source of uncertainty                        | uncertainty of radiation energy |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| hadronic interaction models                 | 0.3%                            |
| approximations in the air-shower simulation |                                  |
| particle thinning                           | < 0.3%                          |
| energy thresholds of shower particles       | < 1%                            |
| state of the atmosphere                     | < 3%                            |
| underlying first principle calculations     | < 5.2%                          |

Table 1. Systematic uncertainties of the calculation of the radiation energy from the electromagnetic shower energy. Non-bold values taken from [3].

2 Simulation Setup

We simulate 1000 proton- and 1000 iron-induced air showers with each code. The primary energies follow a uniform distribution of the logarithmic energy between $10^{17}$ eV and $10^{19}$ eV. The azimuth angle is distributed uniformly between 0° and 360° and the zenith angle uniformly between 0° and 75°. We use the same description of the atmosphere, refractivity and hadronic interaction model in both codes. The geomagnetic field and the refractivity at sea level correspond to the environmental conditions of the Engineering Radio Array of the Pierre Auger Observatory [6]. The CoREAS traces are zero-padded to match the length of the ZHAireS traces. This ensures an identical bandwidth for the filtering to the 30 – 80 MHz frequency band, the typical band used in cosmic-ray radio detectors today.

We only simulate antennas on the $v \times (v \times B)$ axis ($v$: shower axis, $B$: magnetic field) in the showerplane. Then, $E_{rad}$ can be computed as an integral of the energy fluence $f$ as

$$ E_{rad} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dr \ r f(r). $$

(1)

We correct $E_{rad}$ for effects due to geomagnetic angle $\alpha$ and atmospheric density at the shower maximum $\rho(X_{max})$ and obtain the corrected radiation energy (as described in [3]) $S_{rad}$ via

$$ S_{rad} = \frac{E_{rad}}{a(\rho(X_{max}))^2 + (1 - a(\rho(X_{max}))^2) \sin^2 \alpha} \frac{1}{(1 - p_0 + p_0 \exp \{p_1(\rho(X_{max}) - \rho(\langle X_{max} \rangle))\})^2}, $$

(2)

with a parametrized charge-excess fraction $a(\rho(X_{max}))$.

3 Results

We find that $E_{rad}$ increased by 11% with a decrease in step size in CORSIKA, i.e. reducing the “STEPFC” parameters from default value 1 to 0.05 (cf. Fig 1). Previous comparisons between measured data and CoREAS simulations might improve by taking this modification into account. A
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comparison of the step sizes between both codes reveals slightly larger steps for ZHAireS at low altitudes. From the maximal observed differences we estimate a possible increase of E_rad for ZHAireS by approximately 1 % if smaller step sizes were used.

The simulation setup allows to disentangle the contribution of both radio emission mechanisms and to calculate the charge-excess fraction for both codes as a function of the density at the shower maximum. We find a good agreement between CoREAS and ZHAireS. With the data of both codes we obtain a combined parametrization for the charge excess fraction. We fit Eq. 2 and a power law

\[ S_{rad} = A \cdot 10^7 \text{eV}(E_{em}/10^{18} \text{eV})^B \] (3)

to obtain the parameters \( p_0 \) and \( p_1 \) of Eq. 2. With the combined parametrizations we correct \( E_{rad} \) and fit the power law individually for each code. We find \( B \) equal to two as expected for coherent emission and a 5.2 % larger value for \( A \) with CoREAS in comparison to ZHAireS. If we interpret this deviation as a systematic uncertainty on the absolute prediction of the radiation energy we obtain an uncertainty in the determination of the absolute scale of the electromagnetic energy of 2.6 %. Thus, Monte Carlo predictions of the radiation energy of extensive air showers in the 30–80 MHz band can be used to set an accurate absolute energy scale for cosmic ray detectors.
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