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The kidney plays a fundamental role in the regulation of arterial blood pressure and fluid/electrolyte homeostasis. As congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT) constitute one of the most common human birth defects, improved understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms that lead to CAKUT is critical. Accumulating evidence indicates that aberrant signaling via receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) is causally linked to CAKUT. Upon activation by their ligands, RTKs dimerize, undergo autophosphorylation on specific tyrosine residues, and interact with adaptor proteins to activate intracellular signal transduction pathways that regulate diverse cell behaviours such as cell proliferation, survival, and movement. Here, we review the current understanding of role of RTKs and their downstream signaling pathways in the pathogenesis of CAKUT.

1. Introduction

1.1. Brief Overview of Kidney Development. Development of the kidney and urinary tract begins when the nephric duct (ND) is formed from the intermediate mesoderm on embryonic (E) day E22 in humans and E8 in mice [6]. The ND extends caudally and forms an epithelial outgrowth called the ureteric bud (UB) (E28 in humans; E10.5 in mice), which invades the adjacent metanephric mesenchyme (MM) [6] (Figure 1). The permanent or metanephric kidney is formed via reciprocal inductive interactions between the UB and the MM [7, 8]. UB outgrowth from the ND is followed by its repetitive branching, a process called branching morphogenesis [9, 10]. Initial generations of UB branches will form the ureter, renal pelvis, and calyces, whereas subsequent generations of UB branches will give rise to collecting ducts. Distal ureter will translocate from the ND to eventually fuse with the bladder [11, 12]. Each UB tip is capable of inducing the adjacent metanephric mesenchyme to form nephrons (from the glomerulus through the distal tubule) [9]. UB signals to MM by secreting wingless 9b (Wnt9b), a soluble growth factor, which acts via canonical β catenin to induce expression of fibroblast growth factor 8 (FGF8), LIM homeobox 1 (Lhx1) and Wnt4 in the MM [7, 8, 13–15]. In turn, Wnt4 induces MM cells to undergo mesenchymal-to-epithelial transformation (MET) and differentiate into nephron epithelia [13]. Six2, a homeodomain transcription factor expressed in the MM, maintains MM cells in undifferentiated state, thereby allowing continued UB branching and nephron formation to proceed [16]. Aberrant UB branching or nephron endowment is causally linked to congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT), hypertension and eventual progression, to chronic renal failure [7, 17, 18]. Although some forms of CAKUT are a part of a syndrome, most cases of renal system anomalies are sporadic and isolated to the urinary tract.

1.2. Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTKs). RTKs and their specific ligands are key regulators of critical cellular processes such as proliferation, survival, differentiation, and migration [19, 20]. Mutations in RTKs and aberrant activation of their signaling pathways have been causally linked to numerous diseases including cancers and CAKUT. For example, mutations rearranged during transfection (Ret) RTK in humans cause medullary thyroid carcinoma, breast cancer, and Hirschsprung disease [21, 22]. Humans have 58 known RTKs [23]. All RTKs have a common molecular architecture and are composed of ligand-binding domain in the extracellular region, a single transmembrane helix,
Figure 1: Schematic representation of normal development of the human kidney. (a) Invasion of the metanephric mesenchyme (MM) by the ureteric bud (UB) induces MM cells to aggregate around the UB tip. (b) MM cell aggregates undergo mesenchymal-to-epithelial transformation (MET) to form the renal vesicle (RV). (c) RV elongates along the proximal-distal axis to form comma-shaped and then S-shaped nephron. Distal ends of S-shaped nephrons fuse with UB-derived collecting ducts, whereas proximal clefts form glomeruli. Endothelial cells migrate into the proximal cleft. (d) Patterning of the S-shaped nephron and UB result in formation of mature nephron which contains glomerular capillary tuft, podocytes, proximal tubule (PT), loop of Henle, distal tubule (DT), and collecting duct. Please see text for details.

a cytoplasmic region that contains the tyrosine kinase and C-terminal domains. Upon activation by their ligands, RTKs dimerize and undergo autophosphorylation on specific tyrosine residues leading to activation of intrinsic protein tyrosine kinase activity. In turn, these docking phosphotyrosines recruit specific intracellular adaptor proteins [phospholipase C gamma (PLC\(\gamma\)), growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (Grb2), Src, Shc] and activate intracellular signal transduction pathways such as phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt, Ras/extracellular signal-regulated (Erk1/2) mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases or protein kinase C (PKC) [23, 24]. PI3Ks and MAP kinases are families of ubiquitously expressed proteins that mediate the effects of RTKs on cell proliferation, survival, differentiation, migration, and cytoskeletal reorganization [19, 20, 25]. In this review, we outline the role of RTKs and their respective ligands in normal and abnormal metanephric kidney development and discuss insights into the mechanisms by which aberrant RTK signaling causes CAKUT.

1.3. Genetic Mutations in RTKs Associated with CAKUT.
Over the past decade, a number of RTKs and their respective ligands have been implicated in the control of metanephric kidney and urinary tract development. RTKs essential for normal metanephric development include rearranged during transfection (Ret), fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) receptor, Met, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 (VEGFR1/Flt1), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2/KDR), platelet-derived growth factor receptors \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) (PDGFR\(\alpha\), PDGFR\(\beta\)), and insulin growth factor (IGF) receptors (IGF1R, IGF2R) (Table 1, Figures 2 and 3). In addition to RTKs with known roles in kidney organogenesis, other RTKs are also expressed in the developing kidney. For example, ROS1, the human homolog of the transforming gene v-ros of the avian sarcoma virus UR2, is expressed in distinctive fashion during kidney development in mice. On E11.0, ROS1 mRNA is present in the ND and the UB [46]. From E13.0 and throughout further development ROS1 is expressed specifically in UB tips. This spatial distribution suggests a direct role for ROS1 in inductive interactions between the UB and metanephric mesenchyme. Genetic inactivation of ROS1 in mice leads to aberrant regionalization and terminal differentiation of epithelial cells in the epididymis, but does not appear to alter histology of the developing kidney [47]. Notably, ROS1-null male mice do not reproduce. This is presumably due to defects in sperm maturation or ability of sperm to fertilize \(in vivo\) [47]. RTK ligands that play an important role in kidney development include EGF, FGF7, FGF8, FGF10, GDNF, HGF, IGF1, PDGF-B, and VEGF (Table 2, Figure 3).

2. Ret
The glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)/Ret pathway is the major inducer of UB and kidney development [48]. GDNF, a growth factor secreted by metanephric mesenchymal cells, interacts with its RTK, Ret, a proto-oncogene expressed in the ND to induce epithelial cell rearrangement and migration leading to the formation of the primary UB [49]. In addition, GDNF stimulates Ret signaling in the UB tip cells to induce UB branching and nephron formation [50]. Genetic inactivation of GDNF or Ret in mice results in a failure to form a UB and perinatal death with bilateral kidney agenesis [26, 42]. Notably, mutations in Ret (OMIM#164762) are found in 35% of humans with various forms of renal agenesis [51]. Animal model systems provided valuable insights into the cellular and molecular mechanisms...
that regulate Ret. Ret expression and signaling activity is inhibited by Sprouty (Spry) 1 and induced by paired box 2 (Pax2) or retinoic acid (vitamin A) [4, 52, 53]. There are 2 isoforms of Ret RTK, Ret9, and Ret51. RET9 (1072 AAs) and Ret51 (1114 AAs) are identical in N-terminal domain and diverge after residue 1063 in the C-terminal cytoplasmic domain [54]. Ret activation results in phosphorylation (P) of key docking tyrosines (Y) that bind to several intracellular adaptor proteins such as Src (at Y981), PLCγ (at Y1015), SHC, Frs2, Irs1 and 2, ENIGMA, DOKs 4, 5 and 6 (at Y1062), and Grb2 (at Y1096) [55]. Recruitment of Src to Ret Y981 activates the MAPK pathway, while recruitment of PLCγ binding to Ret Y1015 induces the PKC pathway [55]. The Ret Y1062 phosphotyrosine serves as a docking site for Shc which recruits either the Grb2-Sos complex leading to MAPK activation or Grb2-GAB1 to stimulate PI3K/Akt signaling. Grb2 also binds to PY1096, where it preferentially activates PI3K/Akt signaling [56]. Recent elegant genetic studies in mice identified distinct roles of Ret RTK Y residues and their corresponding signaling pathways in the pathogenesis of diverse types of CAKUT [57]. Mutations in Y1015 of either Ret9 or Ret51 cause supernumerary ureters, renal hypoplasia, and hydroureters. Interestingly, mutations in Y981 or Y1062 of Ret9 cause CAKUT (partial hydroureter...
Table 2: Ligands of receptor tyrosine kinases with demonstrated functions in kidney organogenesis.

| Ligand | Model | Renal phenotype | References |
|--------|-------|-----------------|------------|
| EGF | IMCD3 cells | Induction of cell migration and process formation in vitro | [36] |
|  | UB cells | Induction of branching morphogenesis in vitro | [37] |
| FGF7 | FGF7−/− | Small kidneys, reduced UB branching and nephron number | [38] |
| FGF8 | Pax3Cre/FGF loxP/loxP | Small kidneys, reduced number of collecting duct tips lack of progression of nephrogenesis to the S-shaped body stage short tubules | [39] |
|  | BrachyuryCre/FGF loxP/loxP | Small kidneys, reduced UB branching, lack of progression of nephrogenesis to the comma- and S-shaped body stages | [40] |
| FGF10 | FGF10−/− | Small kidneys, dysplasia of outer medulla | [41] |
| GDNF | GDNF−/− | Renal agenesis | [42] |
| HGF | IMCD3 cells | Induction of cell process formation in vitro | [36] |
| IGF1 | UB cells | Induction of cell process formation in vitro | [43] |
| PDGF-B | PDGF-B−/− | Lack of mesangial cells and pericytes | [44] |
| VEGF | Rat metanephrin organ culture | Induction of vasculogenesis and tubulogenesis in vitro | [32] |
|  | Mouse metanephrin organ culture | Induction of UB branching and nephrons | [31] |
|  | Rat metanephrin and isolated intact UB culture | Induction of UB branching | [33] |
|  | NephrinCre/VEGF−/−AloxF/loxP | Small glomeruli, paucity of glomerular capillary loops effacement of podocyte foot processes | [45] |

UB: ureteric bud, IMCD3: murine inner medullary collecting duct cells.
involve change in UB tip cell shape. Here, UB branching occurs through outpouring possibly mediated by wedge-shaped cells created through an apical cytoskeletal purse-string mechanism [61]. This possibility is further supported by the ability of actin depolymerizing factors, cofilin1 and destrin, to promote UB epithelial cells growth and branching [62]. Recent in vivo studies demonstrate that movement of Ret-expressing ND cells accounts for the UB outgrowth from the ND [49]. Induction of cell movement by Ret RTK is mediated by ETS transcription factors, Etv4 and Etv5 [63]. Although the mechanisms by which Ret signaling induces metanephric organogenesis remain to be fully elucidated, cell proliferation, migration, and shape change are a likely prerequisite for Ret-mediated UB branching and metanephric organogenesis.

### 3. Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptors

Fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs) have four known signaling members and 22 ligands in mammals [64]. FGFRs are expressed throughout embryogenesis in many tissues, including the kidney and are critical in the development of multiple organs [64]. Since FGFR1- and FGFR2-null mice are early embryonic lethal [65, 66], conditional gene knockout approaches were utilized to reveal roles for these RTKs in kidney development. UB-specific inactivation of the FGFR2, which mediates signaling induced by FGF7 and FGF10, in mice reduces UB branching and nephron number [27, 67, 68]. These effects are mediated, in part, by FGFR2 substrate 2alpha (Frs2alpha), a major docking protein for FGFR2 [69]. Although c-Ret gene expression is not altered in FGFR2UB−/− embryonic metanephroi [27], the full impact of FGFR2 deficiency on Ret signaling remains to be determined. Conditional inactivation of FGFR2 in the mesenchyme results in supernumerary UBs, duplex ureters, hydrourter, and renal agenesis [28]. Notably, mutations of FGFR2 in humans cause hydrourter and solitary kidney [70]. Interestingly, UB branching can be induced in vitro independently of Ret by the addition of FGF7 along with activin A, an activator of TGF-β signaling, or of FGF10 [49, 71]. Notably, FGF10 becomes critical for UB branching when Ret signaling is reduced or absent. In this regard, reduction in FGF10 gene dosage in double-transgenic GDNF−/−/Spry1−/− mice results in renal agenesis [72]. GDNF−/− or Ret−/− mice exhibit bilateral kidney agenesis [26, 42], whereas Spry1−/− mice develop supernumerary UB buds from the ND which subsequently develop into multiple ureters and kidneys [4]. Interestingly, double-transgenic GDNF−/−/Spry1−/− or Ret−/−/Spry1−/− mice form normal ureters and kidneys [72]. These findings indicate that in the absence of Spry1, a negative regulator of Ret signaling, Ret is no longer required for proper kidney development. Collectively, these observations suggest that when GDNF and Spry1 are both absent, FGF10/FGFR2 signaling allows UB branching and kidney development. Despite considerable similarities, renal phenotypes are not identical in FGF7- or FGF10-null metanephroi [27, 38, 41]. In addition, FGFR2UB−/− metanephroi exhibit defects in stromal patterning, a phenotype which was not reported in FGF7- or FGF10-null mice [27, 38, 41]. The phenotypic differences may be due to differences in background strains and therefore modifier genes. Another possibility is that collaborative effect of FGF7 and FGF10 (or FGFR2 ligands other than FGF7/FGF10) may be required for kidney morphogenesis.

FGFRs activate several signaling pathways common to Ret [73]. For example, treatment of kidneys with exogenous FGF10, but not FGF7, induces Etv4/Etv5 expression [74]. Induction of Etv4/Etv5 in the UB is abrogated by inhibition of PI3K pathway (activated by both Ret and FGFRs) [74]. Since Etv4/Etv5 expression is not altered in FGFR2-null kidneys, they may be regulated primarily by Ret, but not FGFR2, signaling. However, the ability of Etv4−/−/Etv5−/− ND cells to contribute to the UB in chimeric mouse kidneys is severely compromised compared with Ret−/− cells [63]. These observations suggest that Etv4/Etv5 not only function downstream of Ret in kidney development, but also have a broader role downstream of multiple RTKs.

### 4. Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor

Signaling through epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is critical for fundamental cellular functions such as proliferation, migration, growth, and differentiation [75]. In addition to EGF, EGF-like growth factors (HB-EGF, amphiregulin, betacellulin, epigen, and epiiregulin) bind to EGFR [76]. During metanephric development, EGFR is expressed in renal collecting ducts [29, 77]. Stimulation of EGFR with EGF enhances branching morphogenesis in murine inner medullary collecting duct (IMCD3) cells in vitro [36, 37]. Moreover, EGFR-mutant mice die within 1 week of postnatal life and exhibit abnormal collecting ducts [78]. Targeted inactivation of the EGFR in mice results in hypoplastic renal medulla, dilated collecting ducts, and reduced ability to concentrate urine [29]. These changes are accompanied by increased apoptosis of papillary epithelia. Collectively, these findings indicate that EGFR is essential for collecting duct growth/elongation and maturation of renal urine-concentrating ability. The signaling events linking EGFR activation and UB/collection duct morphogenesis may include MAP kinase and PI3K pathways. In this regard, pharmacologic inhibition of Erk1/2 or PI3K attenuates EGF-induced renal epithelial morphogenesis in vitro [36].

### 5. Met

In the developing metanephros, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) is expressed in the mesenchyme, whereas Met is localized in the mesenchyme and the UB [79]. Genetic inactivation of HGF or Met in mice results in early embryonic lethality due to liver and placental anomalies [80]. Targeted inactivation of Met in the UB causes decreased UB branching and reduced nephron number [30]. Interestingly, EGFR expression is induced in kidneys of Met−/− compared with wild-type mice. This is accompanied by increased
phosphorylation of Y992 and Y1068 of EGFR. Moreover, defects in UB branching and nephron number are more severe in double-mutant mice that lack both Met and EGFR compared with Met−/− mice [30]. These findings indicate that Met and EGFR play complementary roles in collecting duct growth and nephrogenesis. In addition, Met and α3β1 integrin, a major laminin receptor essential for kidney development, signal coordinately to regulate the expression of Wnt7b which acts to promote normal renal papillary development [81]. Met signals via Erk1/2, PI3K, Pkc, Plc, Src, Fac, and Jak/Stat [82–85]. With respect to kidney development, activation of Erk1/2, PI3K, and PLCγ signaling pathways is critical for HGF-induced cell motility and branching process formation in IMCD-3 cells in vitro [36].

6. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor 2

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) acts via VEGFR1 (Flt1) and VEGFR2 (KDR) to regulate endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation [86]. Most actions of VEGF are mediated via VEGFR2, whereas VEGFR1 is considered a “decoy” RTK. In the adult rat kidney, VEGFR2 is present in glomerular endothelial, distal tubule, and collecting duct cells [87]. VEGF mRNA is expressed in both the UB and the mesenchyme in the developing mouse metanephros [31]. It is believed that VEGF released by the podocytes induces migration of vascular endothelial cells into the vascular cleft [32]. In turn, endothelial cells promote differentiation of renal mesangial cells via production of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [88]. Exogenous VEGF stimulates nephrogenesis, UB branching and vasculogenesis [31, 32]. Notably, the effect of VEGF on UB morphogenesis is mediated via VEGFR2 [33]. Moreover, VEGFR2 physically interacts with Ret, and exogenous VEGF induces phosphorylation of Y1062 in Ret in UB-derived cells in vitro [1]. These findings suggest that cooperation of VEGFR2 and Ret may play an important role in renal collecting system development.

7. Platelet-Derived Growth Factor Receptors

Two PDGF receptors, PDGFRα and PDGFRβ, mediate the effect of five PDGFs each functioning in dimeric configurations AA, BB, AB, CC, and DD [23, 89]. In the human fetal kidney, PDGF-C localized to the mesangium, UB and the mesenchyme [90]. In the adult kidney, PDGF-C is expressed in parietal epithelial cells of Bowman’s capsule, loops of Henle, distal tubules, collecting ducts and in arterial endothelial cells [90]. Genetic inactivation of the PDGFRβ in mice results in lack of mesangial cells and pericytes of the glomerular capillaries [35]. Conditional inactivation of the PDGFRβ in mice causes podocyte hypertrophy, glomerular capillary collapse, and prevents age-related mesangial expansion [91]. PDGFRα-null mice also exhibit decreased mesangial cell recruitment and defective stromal mesenchyme [34]. Therefore, one role of PDGF released by the glomerular endothelial cells during metanephrogenesis is to promote differentiation of mesangial cells and promote glomerular vasculogenesis [88].

8. Insulin Growth Factor Receptors

The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) family includes ligands (IGF1, IGF2, and insulin) and their respective receptors (IGF1R, IGF2R, and InsR). IGFs/IGFRs play an essential role in the regulation of cell growth, proliferation, survival and affect function of many organ systems. In the adult canine kidney, both IGF1R and IGF2R are detected in the proximal tubular cells by binding autoradiography [92]. IGF1R, IGF2R and insulin receptor (INSR) mRNA and protein are expressed ubiquitously in the developing metanephros as early as on embryonic (E) day 14.0 in the rat [93]. At later stages of gestation, IGF1R expression is enhanced in the proximal tubules. IGF1/2 mRNA is also present in the rat kidney from E13.0 and treatment of metanephoiri with anti-IGF1/2 antibodies retards kidney growth ex vivo [94]. Interestingly, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) in rats is accompanied by a decreased glomerular number and reduced IGF2R protein expression [95]. In contrast, fetal kidney IGF1 and insulin protein levels are increased compared with nonmanipulated embryos. Therefore, IGFs promote nephrogenesis and renal organogenesis, and may be causally linked to occurrence of renal hypoplasia.

9. Renin-Angiotensin System-RTK Crosstalk in Kidney Development

Crosstalk with RTKs has been shown to mediate the growth factor-like effects of a number of G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR-) activating ligands, such as angiotensin (Ang) II. We recently examined the role of Ret and EGFR in Ang II-induced UB branching. The critical role of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) in metanephric development is evident from the observations that genetic inactivation of its components, such as angiotensinogen (AGT), renin, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), or Ang II receptors (AT1R, AT2R) in mice causes hydropsphrosis, hypoplastic medulla, and papilla [96]. AT2R-mutant mice demonstrate increased incidence of duplex ureters and vesicoureteral reflux [97]. Mutations in the genes encoding for AGT, renin, ACE or AT1R in humans are associated with renal tubular dysgenesis (RTD) [98]. In RTD, collapsed collecting ducts and abundant interstitial fibrosis in the medulla are observed. Given that EGFR- and Y1062Ret-mutant mice exhibit CAKUT, we recently examined the role of EGFR and Y1062Ret in Ang-II-induced UB branching morphogenesis. Ang-II increases phosphorylation of Y1173 and Y845 of EGFR in UB-derived cells in vitro and of Y1062Ret in whole embryonic metanephoiri grown ex vivo [2, 3]. Notably, Y1173 and Y845 of EGFR are the major sites of EGFR autophosphorylation which occurs as a result of EGF binding [98]. Moreover, inhibition of EGFR activity with the specific antagonist AG1478 abrogates Ang-II-induced UB branching in the intact metanephros [2]. Since, Y1062Ret serves as a
docking site for protein complexes that activate PI3K/Akt and Erk1/2 signaling pathways \([57, 99]\), we recently examined the ability of Ang II to induce phosphorylation of Akt. Treatment of whole intact E12.5 metanephiroi or UB cells with Ang II increases phosphorylation of Akt \([3]\). Moreover, Ang-II-induced increase in UB branching is abrogated by pretreatment with the specific PI3K inhibitor LY294002 or Erk1/2 inhibitor PD98059 \([3]\). These findings indicate that PI3K/Akt and Erk1/2 are essential for Ang-II-induced UB branching. Since exogenous Ang II decreases the expression of Spry1 in whole embryonic mouse metanephiroi and in UB cells cultured \textit{in vitro}, the stimulatory effects of Ang II on EGFR and Ret Y phosphorylation and UB branching may be mediated \textit{via} downregulation of Spry1, a physiological inhibitor of RTK signaling \([5]\). Collectively, our data indicate that stimulation of Ang II GPCRs by their ligand induces tyrosine phosphorylation of EGFR and Ret leading to enhanced UB morphogenetic signaling and branching. Thus, cooperation of Ang II receptor and EGFR/Ret signaling is important in the development of the renal collecting system.

10. Conclusions and Perspectives

RTKs are emerging as critical players in normal metanephric kidney development. It has become evident that not only the classical direct stimulation of RTKs by their cognate ligands, but also ligand-independent, through transactivation of RTKs by G protein-coupled receptors, or heterodimerization with other RTKs play an important role in UB branching and metanephric organogenesis. Even though significant progress have been made in defining the role of RTKs and specific contribution of RTK-dependent signal transduction in metanephric development, further characterization of the subcellular processes involved in RTK activation and signaling is needed.

What are the major open questions regarding RTK signaling during kidney organogenesis? These include elucidation of how activation of RTK signaling affects the phosphoproteome in the developing kidney and the cell type-specific responses to signals transmitted by distinct signaling pathways activated by RTKs. What are the perspectives for systems-wide approaches to understand RTK signaling in kidney organogenesis? In this regard, application of new genetic tools, such as conditional/tissue/cell-specific gene knockouts, genetic lineage tracing, and fluorescent \textit{in vivo} reporters of cell signaling, whole genome-wide analysis of gene regulatory networks (including epigenetic regulation) that control different aspects of kidney development (e.g., microarray, ChIP-Seq) should provide important insights in understanding molecular mechanisms that direct normal and abnormal metanephric kidney development. Defining molecular aberrations leading to CAKUT in animals and humans with mutations in genes encoding for RTKs and their cognate ligands will uncover biomarkers that can be used for early diagnosis or prevention of renal system anomalies in children. Finally, establishment of shared large biorepositories of patients encompassing a wide spectrum of CAKUT phenotypes for molecular, genetic, and translational studies will define clinically relevant mutations in RTKs, their ligands, and interacting genes. This information can then be used to develop new effective preventive or therapeutic interventions for CAKUT.
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