Sensitization to Common Aeroallergens in Asthmatic Children in the Eastern Region of Saudi Arabia
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ABSTRACT

Background: Allergic disorders, particularly bronchial asthma, are one of the most common chronic childhood diseases. Bronchial asthma is more prevalent among children of the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia compared with the other provinces. Environmental factors play major roles in the disease pathogenesis in genetically predisposed hosts. In this study, we characterize the pattern of allergenicity in asthmatic children in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia.

Materials and Methods: This study is a retrospective, cross-sectional analysis of skin sensitization profiles of 100 Saudi asthmatic children living in Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia. The group compromised 32 females and 68 males, aged 5–14 years with a mean age of 8.98 ± 2.93 years. Skin prick tests were performed in a regional tertiary center, in the period between January 2011 and December 2012, using a variety of indoor and outdoor allergens.

Results: The most common indoor sensitizing allergens found were the house dust mite (54%), cat fur (53%) and the German cockroach (26%). Among outdoor allergens, *Salsola kali* was the most common (48%), followed by Timothy grass (23%) and *Chenopodium album* (23%). Among trees, *Prosopis glandulosa* was found to be the most prevalent sensitizer (19%). Among the molds, *Alternaria* and *Aspergillus* species were the most prevalent (21% each).

Conclusion: A high rate of sensitization to aeroallergens was found in asthmatic children living in Al-Khobar city. The pattern of sensitization found in our study reflects the newly altered nature of an ancient humid desert that has been influenced by the recent artificial modernization of the region.
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INTRODUCTION

Allergic disorders are considered one of the most common chronic childhood disorders. Asthma affects up to 25% of school-aged children in Saudi Arabia, and its prevalence has increased dramatically over the last few decades.\(^{[1]}\) The rising prevalence has been attributed, in part, to the influence of climatic and environmental factors on the allergic and genetically predisposed host.\(^{[2-4]}\) The highest prevalence of asthma was found to affect children residing in the eastern region of Saudi Arabia, with an estimated prevalence of 33.7% compared with 17.7% and 14.1% in the central and western regions, respectively.\(^{[5]}\)

The pattern and extent of allergen sensitization to various indoor and outdoor allergens are believed to contribute to the severity and progression of asthma symptoms.\(^{[6-8]}\) A significant reduction in the risk of future asthma development was observed in high-risk children when specific environmental avoidance measures were practiced during their early years of life.\(^{[9]}\) Once asthma has developed, avoidance of allergenic triggers significantly reduces airway hyperactivity and improves the overall quality of life in asthmatic children.\(^{[6,10]}\)

Al-Khobar is a heavily populated city, located on the Arabian Gulf coast, in the eastern region of Saudi Arabia. For most of the year, the city experiences high humidity, which contributes to the survival of various species that possess different allergenicities.\(^{[2,11,12]}\) Several studies have evaluated the pattern of allergen sensitization of asthmatic children in Saudi Arabia and other countries near the Arabian Gulf.\(^{[2,7,13-15]}\) No recent reports are available on the allergen sensitization pattern of asthmatic children in Al-Khobar area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective cross-sectional study analyzing the results of allergy skin prick tests (SPTs) performed on 100 Saudi asthmatic children aged 5–14 years. These children were selected from patients living in the city of Al-Khobar and had been referred to a regional tertiary center. The referrals were for the purpose of evaluation of recurrent episodes of wheeze, confirmation of the diagnosis of asthma or performance of SPT. The tests were performed between January 2011 and December 2012.

Subjects who met the following criteria were included in the study (a) Saudi children aged 5–14 years with a clinical diagnosis of asthma made by the consulting allergist based on the Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines and the Saudi Initiative for Asthma guidelines;\(^{[16,17]}\) (b) subjects tested with the same panel of allergens; and (c) subjects with a valid skin test, defined as a positive reaction (wheat diameter ≥3 mm) to histamine (positive control) and a negative reaction to saline (negative control). Subjects excluded from the study were those with (a) uncertain diagnosis; (b) nonreactive skin, as evidenced by a reaction to histamine equal to or less than the reaction to saline; (c) dermatographic or hyper-reactive skin, defined by a positive reaction to saline and (d) use of antihistamine within a week prior to the SPT or prolonged use of a systemic steroid.

The SPT, which is considered the most reliable method to test for specific IgE antibodies against specific environmental allergens, was performed by an allergist using standardized commercial glycerinated extracts in a standardized procedure according to previously published guidelines.\(^{[18-20]}\) One drop of each extract was applied to the volar surface of the arm and then the skin was pricked using a disposable acrylic needle (Alyostal® Stallergenes, France) to introduce the specific allergen. Negative (saline) and positive (1 mg/ml histamine dihydrogen phosphate) controls were required to validate each test. The responses were evaluated 15 min later, with a positive reaction defined as a wheat ≥3 mm in diameter more than the negative control.\(^{[19]}\) A patient was considered atopic if he/she had a positive reaction to at least one of the allergens. All extracts were purchased from the same vendor (Stallergenes, France), and all patients were tested with the same lot by the same allergist. The SPT included 20 indoor and outdoor allergens chosen based on their frequencies in the region.\(^{[1,11,12,21]}\) The allergen panel included a combination of house dust mites (HDM) species; cat fur; German cockroaches; tree, weed and grass pollens as well as molds. Table 1 shows the composition of each extract.

Statistical analyses were performed, including cell counts and percentages which were reported for the categorical data. The mean and standard deviation or median (range) were reported for continuous data. Chi-square tests and Fisher’s exact tests were used to analyze differences among the three age groups. All tests were two-sided.
The most common indoor sensitizing allergen was the HDM, which had sensitized 54% of the subjects. The sensitization rates for individual dust mite species were 48% for *Dermatophagooides farina* and 45% for *Dermatophagooides pteronyssinus*. Sensitization to both species occurred in 38% of the subjects. The other common indoor sensitizing allergens were cat fur (53% of subjects) and the German cockroach (26% of subjects) [Figure 1]. In addition, 32% of the cohort was sensitized to molds. The most common among the molds were the *Aspergillus* species and *Alternaria alternata* (21% each), followed by *Cladosporium* (15%) and *Penicillium* (6%). Among the outdoor allergens, the most common sensitizer was weed pollen, which sensitized 61% of the subjects. Among the weed family, *Salsola kali* (Russian thistle) was the most prevalent pollen sensitizer (48%), followed by *Chenopodium album* (lamb’s quarter) (23%), *Artemisia vulgaris* (mugwort) (19%), *Plantago lanceolate* (plantain) (17%), *Amaranthus spinosus* (rough pigweed) (16%) and ragweed mix (8%). Furthermore, 32% of the children were sensitized to at least one type of grass. The most prevalent was Timothy grass (23%), followed by perennial rye (12%) and Bermuda grass (10%). Sensitization to tree pollens occurred in 33% of the subjects, with the most common tree pollen allergens being *Prosopis glandulosa* (mesquite tree) (19%), followed by acacia species (17%) and *Phoenix dactylifera* (date palm) (11%) [Figure 2].

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the pattern of immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions to aeroallergens among atopic asthmatic children was determined. The study was performed in the city of Al-Khobar, in the Eastern Province of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. In our study, the majority of the asthmatic population was found to be atopic, as 86% of the children tested positive to at least one allergenic extract. Moreover, the difference in the rate of atopy among the three groups indicated that atopy does not occur equally at all ages, with the highest atopy

---

**Table 1: Standard panel for aeroallergen extracts and their composition (Stallergenes, France)**

| Allergen       | Composition                                                                 |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| House dust mite| *Dermatophagooides pteronyssinus*                                           |
|                | *Dermatophagooides farinae*                                                 |
| Cockroach      | *Blattella germanica* (German cockroach)                                    |
| Animal dander  | *Felis domesticus* (cat fur)                                                |
| Molds          | *Alternaria alternata*                                                      |
|                | *Cladosporium mix* (*Cladosporium cladosporioides*, *Cladosporium herbarum*)|
|                | *Penicillium mix* (*Penicillium digitatum*, *Penicillium expansum*, *Penicillium notatum*)|
|                | *Aspergillus mix* (*Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus nidulans*, *Aspergillus niger*)|
| Tree pollen    | *Prosopis glandulosa* (mesquite tree)                                       |
|                | *Phoenix dactylifera* (palm tree)                                           |
|                | *Acacia* (acacia species)                                                   |
| Weed pollen    | *Ragweed mix*                                                               |
|                | *Chenopodium album* (lamb’s quarter)                                        |
|                | *Artemisia vulgaris* (mugwort)                                              |
|                | *Amaranthus spinosus* (rough pigweed)                                       |
|                | *Salsola kali* (Russian thistle)                                            |
| Grass pollen   | *Plantago lanceolate* (plantain)                                            |
|                | *Phleum pratense* (Timothy grass)                                           |
|                | *Lolium perenne* (perennial ryegrass)                                        |
|                | *Cynodon dactylon* (Bermuda grass)                                          |

Monosensitization, defined as sensitization to a single allergen, was observed in 13 patients, with the most common monosensitizing agent being the HDM. Monosensitization was more common in the youngest age group, as 21.62% (8/37) of Group A subjects were monosensitive compared with 10% (3/30) in Group B and 6.06% (2/33) in Group C, with an overall $P = 0.662$. The maximum number of positive reactions in a single patient was 12 in Group A, 14 in Group B and 20 in Group C.

with a $P < 0.05$ considered to be significant. Analyses were carried out using R software version 3.2.0 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**RESULTS**

A total of 100 Saudi asthmatic children were included in the study. The patients in the cohort were aged between 5 and 14 years with a mean age of 8.98 ± 2.93 years, and included 32 females and 68 males ($P = 0.0003$). All subjects had resided in the Eastern Province for 5 years before the skin test. Of the 100 asthmatic patients enrolled, 86 patients had exhibited atopy, defined as sensitization to at least one allergenic extract. The rate of atopy was 75.68% (28/37) in Group A, 83.33% (25/30) in Group B and 93.93% (31/33) in Group C. Comparison of the data revealed that the overall difference in the rate of atopy among the three groups was trending toward significant ($P = 0.11$). We further looked at pairwise comparisons: Group C versus Group B ($P = 0.243$), Group A versus Group C ($P = 0.049$) (significant) and Group A versus Group B ($P = 0.55$). Hence, Group A had a significantly lower atopy rate than did Group C, but no significant difference in atopy between Group A and Group B or Group B and Group C.
rate being exhibited by the oldest age group. A recent study in Riyadh, in the central region of Saudi Arabia, found 39% of children with airway allergy (asthma or allergic rhinitis) to be atopic. In another study that compared the sensitization rate between two major Saudi cities, atopy was present in 59.2% of asthmatic children residing in Riyadh, whereas 72.5% of asthmatic children in Makkah, a city in western Saudi Arabia, were found to be atopic. These differences across the country’s regions could be the result of genetic and geographical variations, in addition to unique host-environment interactions. Of note, the proportion of males in our study was significantly higher than females. Gender differences in the occurrence of asthma and atopy have been observed, with a predominance of the disease occurring in boys before puberty.

The most common aeroallergen causing sensitization in our cohort of asthmatic children was the HDM, which is consistent with findings from other Saudi regions, neighboring Gulf countries and international reports. In addition, the HDM was the most common monosensitizing agent found in our study, in agreement with other reports. Monosensitization appeared to be more prevalent among the younger age groups, consistent with the natural history of atopy and the evolution of the disease, as allergic individuals become sensitized over time to multiple environmental agents. However, the study was not powered enough to yield significant differences in monosensitization rates among the three groups.

Another important indoor allergen is the cockroach, which is known to cause significant morbidities in inner-city asthmatics, including increased rates of hospitalizations and the use of corticosteroids. Our population exhibited a sensitization rate of 26% to an extract derived from the German cockroach (Blattella germanica) species. Other cockroach species are known to contribute to asthma symptoms, including Periplaneta americana (American cockroach) and Blattella orientalis (oriental cockroach). Testing for these other species may have resulted in an even higher rate of sensitization to cockroaches in our population.

Amongst the outdoor aeroallergens, the most prevalent sensitizer was the Russian thistle, followed by Timothy grass and C. album from the Chenopodiaceae family of weed pollens. C. album was found previously to be the most common sensitizer among adults with respiratory allergies residing in the Eastern Province, exhibiting a sensitization rate of 55%. Aerobiological surveys in the past have also identified Chenopodiaceae and grass pollens to be the most common botanical aeroallergens isolated in the region. Comparably, our study demonstrated that weed pollens were the most prevalent sensitizers found in our region, as 61% of the entire cohort was sensitized to at least one type of weed pollen. This was followed by sensitization to tree pollen (33%), grass pollen and molds (32% each). Furthermore, among the tree pollens we tested, P. glandulosa was found to be the most prevalent sensitizer. Indeed, a large number of trees of various species of the Proposis genus are planted on the side roads in the city of Al-Khobar. In fact, an allergological study performed earlier on the Proposis family of trees in the Kingdom found that the sensitization rate of atopic individuals to Prosopis juliflora antigen in Al-Hofuf city, in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia, was 11.11%. Our population was tested for P. glandulosa species and showed a sensitization rate of 17.6%. The variations in the obtained results may be attributed to the different species used for antigen testing or to the abundancy of other pollen antigens in Al-Hofuf city, which is a major agricultural district. In addition, allergen concentration in the environment plays an important role in determining the level of sensitization among the atopic individuals. Therefore, the recent expansion of the Prosopis trees in the city had
probably contributed to the higher sensitization rates to the related antigen. Currently, no allergological surveys have assessed the levels of *Prosopis* pollen antigens in Al-Khobar, despite the concerns of the Ministry of Health and Ministry of Municipal and Rural Affairs over the tree’s known allergenic properties.\[30\]

In regards to sensitization to molds, in previous studies, *Alternaria* and *Cladosporium* species were found to be major contributors to the allergenic nature of the outdoor environment in Al-Khobar city.\[32,33\] Our study demonstrated that *Alternaria* and *Aspergillus* species were the most common sensitizing molds. In a previous study, the *Alternaria* species had been linked to the development of asthma in children and was found also to be related to the severity of the disease.\[34\] The unique high humidity that characterizes the region does contribute to the abundancy of molds and may explain the higher rates of bronchial asthma among the children residing in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia.\[5\] In contrast, the sensitization pattern of our population to trees and grass pollens is probably not related to the geographical distribution or climate but rather to the recent regional modernization. Interestingly, the findings reflect the region’s newly altered nature within a preserved ancient humid desert, as, in addition to the high concentration of weeds, there is an abundance of ornamental trees and grasses that are artificially maintained through irrigation systems. This combination has probably contributed to a unique sensitization pattern among our allergic individuals and may have resulted in the higher rate of sensitization and atopy noted in our study when compared to other regions of the Kingdom.\[26,35\]

Notably, the high rate of sensitization noted here may also reflect the highly selective nature of the population. Our subjects were selected from a specialized allergy clinic in a tertiary hospital; therefore, most of the subjects had moderate-to-severe asthma in comparison with the predominantly milder asthma present in the general population. This difference can be avoided in the future by designing a large community-based survey in parallel to pollen surveys. The information obtained from such surveys can help shed more light on the influence of regional climatic factors on disease activity. This is in order to gain more control on the disease processes and to plan for future preventive programs.
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