Abstract

More recently in [J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 53, 115303 (2020)], we have introduced a set of noncommutative algebra that describes the space-time at the Planck scale. The interesting significant result we found is that the generalized uncertainty principle induced a maximal length of quantum gravity which has different physical implications to the one of generalized uncertainty principle with minimal length. The emergence of a maximal length in this theory revealed strong quantum gravitational effects at this scale and predicted the detection of gravity particles with low energies. To make evidence of these predictions, we study the dynamics of a free particle confined in an infinite square well potential in one dimension of this space. Since the effects of quantum gravity are strong in this space, we show that the energy spectrum of this system is weakly proportional to the ordinary one of quantum mechanics free of the theory of gravity. The states of this particle exhibit proprieties similar to the standard coherent states which are consequences of quantum fluctuation at this scale. Then, with the spectrum of this system at hand, we analyze the thermodynamic quantities within the canonical and microcanonical ensembles of an ideal gas made up of $N$ indistinguishable particles at the Planck scale. The results show a complete consistency between both statistical descriptions. Furthermore, a comparison with the results obtained in the context of minimal length scenarios and black hole theories indicates that the maximal length in this theory induces logarithmic corrections of deformed parameters which are consequences of a strong quantum gravitational effect.
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1 Introduction

In the past few years, the Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP) has emerged as a path of finding a consistent quantum formulation of the theory of gravity [2, 3, 4]. This GUP obtained by adding small quadratic corrections to the Heisenberg algebra leads to the existence of minimal uncertainties in position or in momentum [6, 7]. All the active candidates to the search of these minimal uncertainties such as string theory [8], black hole theory [9], loop quantum gravity [10] and quantum geometry [11] are mostly restricted to the case where there is a nonzero minimal uncertainty in the position. Only Doubly Special Relativity (DSR) theories [12, 13, 14, 15] suggest an addition to the minimal length, the existence of a maximal momentum. Recently, Perivolaropoulos proposed a consistent algebra that induces for a simultaneous measurement, a maximal length and a minimal momentum [16]. In this approach, the maximal length of quantum gravity is naturally arisen in cosmology due to the presence of particle horizons.

In this prophetic paper [16], Perivolaropoulos also predicted the simultaneous existence of maximal and minimal position uncertainties. More recently, without any formal knowledge of this result, we introduced a version of position-dependent noncommutative space in two-dimensional (2D) configuration spaces which, for simultaneous measurement lead to minimal and maximal lengths of quantum gravity [1]. The interesting physical consequence we found is that the existence of maximal length in this theory brings a lot of new features to the Hilbert space representation and agrees with the similar perturbative approaches predicted by DSR theories [12, 13, 14]. In this paper [1], we predicted that this concept of maximal length could induce strong graviton localizations and could be the approach candidate for the measurement of quantum gravity with low energies. In the present paper to make evidence of this prediction, we investigate in 1D, the outgoing of this GUP model with maximal length in the statistics of the canonical and microcanonical ensembles of an ideal gas at the Planck scale. Since the quantum gravity is strongly measured at this scale, the thermodynamic quantities induce for both descriptions logarithm corrections of the deformed parameter $\tau (\ln \tau)$. This situation perfectly fits with the obtained results at the extremal limit of a black hole geometry [17, 18, 19, 20]. Comparing these consequences with those of minimal length measurement [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26], show that the effects of both measurements are fundamentally different. In fact, the minimal length formalism shifts quadratically the thermodynamic quantities at the order of the deformed parameter $\beta_{GUP}$. Thus, at the extremal limit ($\beta_{GUP} \rightarrow 0$), one recovers the ordinary quantities at this scale while in this framework by tending our deformed parameter $\tau$ to zero, these thermodynamic quantities diverge. We come out with these observations that, the minimal length formalism induces weak quantum gravities while ours induce strong quantum gravities at the Planck scale.

In the present paper, before analyzing the behavior of this ideal gas, which is a classical and a well-known topic, we study the dynamics of a free particle confined an infinite square well potential at the frontier of the Planck scale. We show that the spectrum of this system is weakly proportional to the ordinary one of quantum mechanics without
gravity perturbations. This indicates contractions of the energy levels, allowing particles to jump from one state to another with low energies. These deformations observed at this scale are in perfect analogy with the theory of General Relativity (GR) where the gravitational field becomes stronger for heavy systems that contract the space, allowing light systems to fall down with low energies [27]. Since, the quantum gravitational fluctuation becomes important at this scale, the states of this particle exhibit property similar to the Gaussian states of the standard quantum mechanics. In the next section, we review in 1D, the GUP with the maximal length and its deformed translation symmetry [1]. Section 3 is devoted to the study of a non-relativistic quantum particle in an infinite square well potential at the Planck scale. We give the spectrum of this system by solving analytically the Schrödinger equation. In section 4, we deduce from this spectrum a statistical description of an ideal gas in canonical and microcanonical ensembles. We show that the canonical ensembles based on the use of the partition function and the microcanonical ensemble based on the density of states lead to the same results. The conclusion is given in section 5.

2 GUP with maximal length and its deformed translation symmetry

2.1 GUP with maximal length

The quantum gravity according to Kempf et Al formalism is manifested by the quadratic deformation of the Heisenberg algebra [2]. Recently, we proposed a new version of position-dependent deformed Heisenberg algebra in two-dimensional configuration spaces that introduces a simultaneous presence of maximal and minimal position uncertainties [1]. We define this algebra as follows:

**Definition 2.1:** Given a set of symmetric operators $\hat{X},\hat{Y},\hat{P}_x,\hat{P}_y$ defined on the 2D Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\theta,\tau} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and satisfy the following commutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
[\hat{X},\hat{Y}] &= i\theta(1 - \tau\hat{Y} + \tau^2\hat{Y}^2), \\
[\hat{X},\hat{P}_x] &= i\hbar(1 - \tau\hat{Y} + \tau^2\hat{Y}^2), \\
[\hat{Y},\hat{P}_y] &= i\hbar(1 - \tau\hat{Y} + \tau^2\hat{Y}^2), \\
[\hat{P}_x,\hat{P}_y] &= 0, \\
[\hat{Y},\hat{P}_x] &= 0, \\
[\hat{X},\hat{P}_y] &= i\hbar\tau(2\tau\hat{Y}\hat{X} - \hat{X}) + i\theta\tau(2\tau\hat{Y}\hat{P}_y - \hat{P}_y),
\end{align*}
\tag{1}
$$

where $\theta,\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ are both deformed parameters and manifest themselves as lengths describing the space at a short distance.

The parameter $\tau$ is the GUP deformed parameter [3, 4, 5] related to quantum gravitational effects at this scale. The parameter $\theta$ is related to the noncommutativity of the space at this scale [6, 7, 28]. In the framework of noncommutative classical or quantum mechanics, this parameter is proportional to the inverse of constant magnetic field such as $\theta = 1/B$ [29, 30, 31]. Since the algebra (1) describes the space at the Planck scale, then such magnetic fields are necessarily superstrong and may play the role of primordial magnetic fields in cosmological dynamics [32]. Thus, in this paper, we unified both parameters as the minimal length scale $\Delta X_{\min} = l_{\min} = \tau\theta = \tau/B$. Furthermore, at the same scale, this minimal length measure $\Delta X_{\min} = \tau\theta$ is coupled
with the maximal one $\Delta Y_{\text{max}} = \tau^{-1} = l_{\text{max}}$ by the inverse of strong magnetic fields $B$ as follows

$$\Delta X \Delta Y = \frac{1}{B} = l_{\text{min}} l_{\text{max}}. \quad (2)$$

At $n$-dimensional sets of the algebra $[1]$, the equation $[2]$ indicates a sort of discreteness of the space at this scale where one has an alternation of minimal lengths $l_{\text{min}}$ with the maximal lengths $l_{\text{max}}$. This situation can be compared to a lattice system in which, each site represented by $l_{\text{min}}$ is spaced by $l_{\text{max}}$. At each singular point $l_{\text{min}}$, result of the unification of magnetic fields and quantum gravitational fields. Since the Planck scale marks the frontier of our universe, then the existence of magnetic fields at this scale can only be from a multiverse that bounces at these minimal positions $l_{\text{min}}$. As we have predicted in our previous work $[1]$, this scenario lets break up the big bang singularity. This present part of the paper is currently under investigation and will be the object of further exhaustive study.

Now, let us start with the particular case. In one dimensional set, the algebra $[1]$ simplifies greatly.

**Proposition 2.1:** Let Hilbert $\mathcal{H}_\tau = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be one dimensional space that describes the noncommutative space. The symmetric operators $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{P}$ that act on this space satisfy the following relation

$$[\hat{X}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar (I - \tau \hat{X} + \tau^2 \hat{X}^2). \quad (3)$$

By setting these operators as follows

$$\hat{X} = \hat{x}, \quad \hat{P} = (I - \tau \hat{x} + \tau^2 \hat{x}^2)\hat{p}, \quad (4)$$

we recover the relation $[3]$, where the symmetric operators $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$ satisfy the ordinary Heisenberg algebra $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$.

From the representation $[4]$, one can interpret $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$ as the set of operators at low energies which has the standard representation in position space and $\hat{X}, \hat{P}$ as the set of operators at high energies, where they have the generalized representation in position space. Furthermore, the proposal $[3]$ is consistent with the recent approach of quantum gravity measurements introduced by Perivolaropoulos $[16]$. In this approach the quantum gravity is naturally arisen from cosmology due to the presence of particle horizons with the parameter $\alpha = \alpha_0 H_0^2 c^2$ where $H_0$ is the Hubble constant, $c$ is the speed of light and $\alpha_0$ is a dimensionless parameter. From this commutation relation $[3]$, an interesting feature can be observed through the following uncertainty relation:

$$\Delta X \Delta P \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(1 - \tau \langle \hat{X} \rangle + \tau^2 \langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle\right). \quad (5)$$

Using the relation $\langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle = \Delta X^2 + \langle \hat{X} \rangle^2$, the equation $[5]$ can be rewritten as a second order equation for $\Delta P$. The solutions for $\Delta X$ are as follows

$$\Delta X = \frac{\Delta P}{\hbar \tau^2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\hbar \tau^2}\right)^2 - \frac{\langle \hat{X} \rangle}{\tau} \left(\tau \langle \hat{X} \rangle - 1\right) - \frac{1}{\tau^2}}. \quad (6)$$
The reality of solutions gives the following minimum value for $\Delta P$

$$\Delta P = \hbar \tau \sqrt{1 - \tau \langle \hat{X} \rangle + \tau^2 \langle \hat{X} \rangle^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Therefore, these equations lead to the absolute minimal uncertainty $\Delta P_{\text{min}}$ in $P$-direction and the absolute maximal uncertainty $\Delta X_{\text{max}}$ in $X$-direction for $\langle \hat{X} \rangle = 0$, such as:

$$\Delta P_{\text{min}} = \hbar \tau, \quad \Delta X_{\text{max}} = l_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{\tau}. \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

It is well-known \cite{2} that the existence of minimal uncertainty raised the question of singularity of the space i.e space is inevitably bounded by minimal quantity beyond which any further localization of particle is not possible. In the presence situation, the minimal momentum $\Delta P_{\text{min}}$ leads to the lost of representation in $P$-direction and a maximal measurement $\Delta X_{\text{max}}$ conversely will be the physical space of wavefunction representations i.e all functions $\psi(X) \in \mathcal{H}_r = \mathcal{L}^2(-\infty, +\infty)$ vanish at the boundary $\psi(-\infty) = 0 = \psi(+\infty)$. Since particles in $P$-direction cannot be localized in a precise way. This implies a certain fuzziness of momentum in this direction. The consequence of this fuzziness can be understood by the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1:** From the representation \cite{4} follows immediately that the position operator $\hat{X}$ is symmetric while the momentum operator $\hat{P}$ is not

$$\hat{X}^\dagger = \hat{X}, \quad \hat{P}^\dagger = \hat{P} + i\hbar \tau (1 - 2\tau \hat{X}). \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

**Proof:** Since the operators $\hat{x}, \hat{p}$ are symmetric then $\hat{X}^\dagger = \hat{x}^\dagger = \hat{X}$ and $\hat{P}^\dagger = \hat{p}^\dagger(1 - \tau \hat{x} + \tau^2 \hat{x}^2) = (1 - \tau \hat{x} + \tau^2 \hat{x}^2)\hat{p} + i\hbar \tau (1 - 2\tau \hat{x}) = \hat{P} + i\hbar \tau (1 - 2\tau \hat{X})$, finishing the proof.

In order to guarantee the symmetry of this operator, we arbitrary restrict the study from the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_r$ into its bounded dense Domaine $D_\tau = \mathcal{L}^2(-l_{\text{max}}, l_{\text{max}})$ in such a way that, for $\tau \to 0$ one recovers the entire space $\mathcal{H}_r$. This restriction perfectly fits with the work of Nozari and Etemadi done in momentum space \cite{33}. In addition of this condition, we propose the following deformed completeness relation to get the symmetry of the operator $\hat{P}$.

**Proposition 2.2:** For the given complete basis $\{|x\rangle\} \in D_\tau$ such as

$$\int_{-l_{\text{max}}}^{+l_{\text{max}}} dx \frac{dx}{1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2} |x\rangle \langle x| = \mathbb{I}. \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

we have

$$\langle \phi | \hat{P} \psi \rangle = \langle \hat{P}^\dagger \phi | \psi \rangle, \hspace{1cm} (11)$$
such as

\[ D(\hat{P}) = \{ \psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{L}^2(-l_{\text{max}}, l_{\text{max}}); \psi(-l_{\text{max}}) = \psi'(l_{\text{max}}) = 0 \}, \quad (12) \]

\[ D(\hat{P}^\dagger) = \{ \psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{L}^2(-l_{\text{max}}, l_{\text{max}}) \}, \quad (13) \]

where \( D(\hat{P}) \) and \( D(\hat{P}^\dagger) \) respectively the dense domaines of the operator \( \hat{P} \) and \( \hat{P}^\dagger \).

**Proof.** For the proof of this proposition, one can refer to our previous reference [1].

Consequently, the scalar product between two states \( |\psi\rangle \) and \( |\phi\rangle \) and the orthogonality of position eigenstate become

\[ \langle \psi|\phi \rangle = \int_{-l_{\text{max}}}^{+l_{\text{max}}} \frac{dx}{1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2} \psi^*(x)\phi(x). \quad (14) \]

\[ \langle x|x' \rangle = (1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2)\delta(x - x'). \quad (15) \]

With the symmetrization of the operator \( \hat{P} \), we have:

**Lemma 2.2:** Since the operator \( \hat{P} \) is symmetric, then all eigenvalues are real and the corresponding eigenvectors are orthogonal.

**Proof.** If \( \hat{P}|\psi_i\rangle = \lambda_i|\psi_i\rangle \) \((i = 1, 2)\) with \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \), then we have \( \langle \hat{P}^\dagger\psi_1|\psi_1 \rangle = \langle \psi_1|\hat{P}\psi_1 \rangle = \lambda_i^2||\psi_1||^2 = \lambda_1||\psi_1||^2 \). Since \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \), and \( \langle \hat{P}^\dagger\psi_1|\psi_2 \rangle = \langle \psi_1|\hat{P}\psi_2 \rangle = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)|\psi_1|\psi_2 \rangle = 0 \) therefore \( \langle \psi_1|\psi_2 \rangle = 0 \), with \( (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \neq 0 \), finishing the proof.

### 2.2 Deformed translation symmetry

Let us consider for instance the configuration space wave functions in \( x \)-direction such as \( \psi(x) = \langle x|\psi \rangle \) defined in the domain \( D_\tau \), the action of the generalized position operator on this function \( \hat{P} \) yields

\[ \hat{P}\psi(x) = -i\hbar D_x\psi(x), \quad (16) \]

\[ \frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{P}\psi(x) = D_x\psi(x) \quad (17) \]

where \( D_x = (1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2)\partial_x \) is the deformed partial derivative which introduces asymmetrical effect in the system.

**Proposition 2.2:** For the operator \( \hat{P} \), one can associate through the exponential map, a \( \tau \)-translation operator \( \hat{T}_\tau \) that induces an infinitesimal distance \( \delta a \) in this direction

\[ \hat{T}_\tau(\delta a) = \exp\left( \frac{i}{\hbar}\delta a\hat{P} \right), \quad \text{with} \quad \delta a \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (18) \]
Proof: For infinitesimal translations in space follows from the Taylor series expansion: \( \psi(x + \delta a) = \psi(x) + \delta a D_x \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2!} (\delta a)^2 D_x^2 \psi(x) + \ldots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\delta a D_x)^n \psi(x) = \exp(\delta a D_x) \psi(x) \). Using the relation (17), we have \( \psi(x + \delta a) = \exp \left( i \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} a \hat{P} \right) \psi(x) \), finishing the proof.

An alternative way of seeing this result without relying on the wave function representation is by considering the following action of the exponentiated operator on the state \( |x\rangle \), translates this state by the amount of \( \delta a \) to the right

\[
\exp \left( -i \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \delta a \hat{P} \right) |x\rangle = |x + \delta a(1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2)\rangle.
\]

From this, it also follows that

\[
\langle x | \exp \left( i \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \delta a \hat{P} \right) = \langle x + \delta a(1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2) |.
\]

Next, let us characterize the unitary of this operator.

**Lemma 2.2:** Since the operator \( \hat{P} \) is symmetric \( \hat{P} = \hat{P}^\dagger \), then \( \hat{T}_\tau \) is unitary and satisfy the following relations:

(i) \( \| \hat{T}_\tau \psi \| = \| \psi \| \),

(ii) \( \langle \hat{T}_\tau \psi | \hat{T}_\tau \phi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle \),

(iii) \( (\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a))^\dagger = \hat{T}(-\delta a) = (\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a))^{-1} \).

**Proof:** (iii) \( \hat{P} \) is symmetric, then we have: \( (\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a))^\dagger = e^{-i \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \delta a \hat{P}^\dagger} = e^{-i \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \delta a \hat{P}} = \hat{T}(-\delta a) = \left[ e^{i \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \delta a \hat{P}} \right]^{-1} = (\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a))^{-1} \). Based on this equation, we have: \( (\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a))^\dagger(\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a)) = \hat{T}_\tau(-\delta a)\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a) = \exp \left( i \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \delta a \hat{P} \right) \exp \left( -i \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \delta a \hat{P} \right) = \mathbb{1} \). Then (iii) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (ii) \( \langle \hat{T}_\tau \psi | \hat{T}_\tau \phi \rangle = (\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a))^\dagger(\hat{T}_\tau(\delta a)) = \langle \hat{T}_\tau \psi | \hat{T}_\tau \phi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle = \| \psi \|^2 \), finishing the proof.

Let us consider \( \hat{H} \), the operator Hamiltonian of a system defined within this space such as

\[
\hat{H}(\hat{P}, \hat{X}) = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{X}),
\]

where \( V(x) \) is the time-independent potential energy of the system. For the Hamiltonians that describe lattice systems, one can set the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.2:** For periodical deformed potential energy such as

\[
V(\hat{x} + \delta a(1 - \tau \hat{x} + \tau^2 \hat{x}^2)) = V(\hat{x})
\]

then,

\[
[\hat{H}, \hat{T}_\tau] = 0.
\]
Proof: Considering that: \( \hat{X} \hat{T}_\tau (\delta a) |x\rangle = (x+\delta a(1-\tau x+\tau^2 x^2))|x\rangle \) and \( \hat{T}_\tau (\delta a)(\hat{X}+\delta a(1-\tau \hat{X}+\tau^2 \hat{X}^2)) |x\rangle = (x+\delta a(1-\tau x+\tau^2 x^2))|x+\delta a(1-\tau x+\tau^2 x^2)\rangle \). From these equations, we obtain the following relation: \( \hat{T}_\tau (\delta a)(\hat{X}+\delta a(1-\tau \hat{X}+\tau^2 \hat{X}^2)) = \hat{X} \hat{T}_\tau (\delta a) \). From this equation, one can progressively deduce that \( \hat{T}_\tau (\delta a) V(\hat{X}+\delta a(1-\tau \hat{X}+\tau^2 \hat{X}^2)) = V(\hat{X}) \hat{T}_\tau (\delta a) \). So, if the potential energy \( V(\hat{X}) \) is periodic, therefore it is invariant under this deformed translation such as: \( V(\hat{X}) = V(\hat{x}+\delta a(1-\tau \hat{x}+\tau^2 \hat{x}^2)) = V(\hat{x}) \). Since \( [\hat{T}_\tau, \hat{P}] = 0 \), then we get the following commutation relation: \( [\hat{H}, \hat{T}_\tau] = 0 \), finishing the proof.

The time-dependent deformed Schrödinger equation is given by

\[
\hat{H} \psi(x,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} D_x^2 \psi(x,t) + V(x) \psi(x,t) = i\hbar \partial_t \psi(x,t).
\]

If the wave function \( \psi(x,t) \) is normalized, it is possible to define a probability density \( \rho(x,t) = |\psi(x,t)|^2 \). Using Eq. (24) it is straightforward to derive a modified continuity equation

\[
\frac{\partial \rho(x,t)}{\partial t} + D_x J_\tau(x,t) = 0,
\]

where the current density is given by

\[
J_\tau(x,t) = \frac{\hbar}{2m} \left( 1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2 \right) \left( \psi^* \frac{d\psi}{dx} - \psi \frac{d\psi^*}{dx} \right).
\]

With these equations at hand, we are now in a position to determine the eigensystems of a particle in an infinite square well potential at the Planck scale and to deduce later from this spectrum its thermodynamic properties.

3 Spectrum of particle in an infinite square-well potential

Let us consider the Hamiltonian of the above quantum system confined in an infinite square well potential at the Planck scale, defined as

\[
V(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & -l_{\text{max}} < x < l_{\text{max}}, \\
\infty, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

For standing waves in a null potential, the wave function \( \psi(x) \) satisfying equation (24) obeys

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} D_x^2 \psi(x) = E \psi(x),
\]

or

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ (1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2)^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \tau(1 - 2\tau x)(1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2) \frac{d}{dx} \right] \psi(x) = E \psi(x).
\]
The solution of the equation (29) in this infinite square-well potential is given by

\[ \psi_k(x) = A \exp \left( i \frac{2k}{\tau \hbar \sqrt{3}} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{2\tau x - 1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{3}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \right] \right), \tag{30} \]

where \( k = \frac{\sqrt{2mE}}{\hbar} \) and \( A \) is a constant. Then by normalization, \( \langle \psi_k|\psi_k \rangle = 1 \), we have

\[ 1 = \int_{-l_{\text{max}}}^{+l_{\text{max}}} dx \psi^\ast(x)\psi(x) \]

\[ = A^2 \int_{-l_{\text{max}}}^{+l_{\text{max}}} dx \frac{1}{1 - \tau x + \tau^2 x^2}. \tag{31} \]

so, we find

\[ A = \sqrt{\frac{\tau \sqrt{3}}{\pi}}. \tag{32} \]

Substituting this equation (32) into the equation (30), we have

\[ \psi_k(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau \sqrt{3}}{\pi}} \exp \left( i \frac{2k}{\tau \hbar \sqrt{3}} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{2\tau x - 1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{3}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \right] \right). \tag{33} \]

Based on the reference [33], the scalar product of the formal eigenstates is given by

\[ \langle \psi_k'|\psi_k \rangle = \frac{\tau \sqrt{3}}{\pi(k - k')} e^{i \frac{2(k - k')}{\tau \hbar \sqrt{3}} \arctan \left( \frac{3}{\sqrt{3}} \right)} \]

\[ \times \left[ e^{i \left( \frac{2(k - k')}{\tau \hbar \sqrt{3}} \arctan \left( \frac{2l_{\text{max}} - 1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)} - e^{-i \left( \frac{2(k - k')}{\tau \hbar \sqrt{3}} \arctan \left( \frac{2l_{\text{max}} + 1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right)} \right]. \tag{34} \]

This relation shows that, the normalized eigenstates (33) are no longer orthogonal. However, if one tends \((k - k') \to \infty\), these states become orthogonal

\[ \lim_{(k - k') \to \infty} \langle \psi_k'|\psi_k \rangle = 0. \tag{35} \]

These properties show that, the states \(|\psi_k\rangle\) are essentially Gaussians centered at \((k - k') \to 0\) (see Figure 1). They can be assimilated to the coherent states [34] which are known as states that mediate a smooth transition between the quantum and classical worlds. This transition is manifested by the saturation of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle \( \Delta_x \Delta_p = \frac{\hbar}{2} \). In comparison with coherent states, the states \(|\psi_k\rangle\) strongly saturate the GUP \((\Delta_x X \Delta_p = \hbar)\) at the Planck scale and could be used to describe the transition states between the quantum world and unknown world for which the physical descriptions are out of reach.

We impose that the wave function satisfies the Dirichlet condition i.e it vanishes at the boundaries \( \psi_k(-l_{\text{max}}) = 0 = \psi_k(+l_{\text{max}}) \). Thus, using especially the boundary condition \( \psi(-l_{\text{max}}) = 0 \), the above wave functions (33) becomes

\[ \psi_k(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau \sqrt{3}}{2}} \sin \left( \frac{2k}{\tau \sqrt{3}} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{2\tau x - 1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + \frac{\pi}{3} \right] \right). \tag{36} \]
The quantization follows from the boundary condition $\psi(l_{\text{max}}) = 0$ and leads to the equation

$$\frac{2k_n}{\tau \sqrt{3}} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{2\tau l_{\text{max}} - 1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + \frac{\pi}{3} \right] = n\pi \quad \text{with } n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \quad (37)$$

Then, the energy spectrum of the particle is written as

$$E_n = \frac{3\pi^2 \hbar^2 n^2}{8m} \tau^2 \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{2\tau l_{\text{max}} - 1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + \frac{\pi}{3} \right]^{-2} = \frac{3\hbar^2 \pi^2 n^2}{2m}. \quad (38)$$

In term of the maximal length of quantum gravity, we have

$$E_n = \frac{3\hbar^2 \pi^2 n^2}{2ml_{\text{max}}^2} = 0.3 \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2 n^2}{2ml_{\text{max}}^2}. \quad (39)$$

From this result, if we assume that the maximal length $l_{\text{max}}$ is the order of the ordinary length $L$ of square-well potential in the basic quantum mechanics i.e $l_{\text{max}} = L$, the spectrum of this system is expressed as follow

$$E_n = 0.3\varepsilon_n \implies E_n < \varepsilon_n, \quad (40)$$
where $\varepsilon_n = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2 n^2}{2mL^2}$ is the spectrum of a free particle in an infinite square well potential of the basic quantum mechanics with the fundamental energy $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\hbar^2 x^2}{2mL^2}$. This result shows that the strong graviton measurement induces weak transition energies. This indicates that, the quantum gravity induces a more pronounced contraction of energy levels which, consequently implies the decrease of energy band structures [27].

Hereafter, with the spectrum (38) we are able to study in detail the statistical properties of this system at the Planck scale.

4 Thermodynamic descriptions

We consider canonical and microcanonical ensembles of ideal gas composed of the above systems, each of them consists of N identical noninteracting particles enclosed by the adiabatic wall with constant volume V. We suppose that the systems are in thermal equilibrium with their surroundings at temperature T.

Since the above system is strongly influenced by the maximal presence of graviton, therefore the thermodynamic quantities such as the internal energy E, the Helmholtz free energy A, the entropy S, and the chemical potential M could be also strongly modified or corrected. Furthermore, since the partition function is the key entity in the description of a canonical ensemble, we use this approach to compute the modified thermodynamic properties E, A, S, and M.

4.1 The partition function method

The partition function of a single molecule of a system is given by:

\[
Z = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \exp (-\beta E_n),
\]

where $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ represents the thermodynamic temperature. Inserting Eq. (38) in Eq. (41) yields:

\[
Z = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \exp \left( -\beta \frac{3\hbar^2 \pi^2 n^2}{2mL^2} \right).
\]

In view of the largeness of the number of states of the particles and the largeness of the volume of the well to which the particles are confined, one may regard the number of states in each coordinate direction as a continuous variable. In this case the Eq. (42) becomes:

\[
Z = \int_0^{+\infty} dx \exp \left( -\beta \frac{3\hbar^2 \pi^2 x^2}{2mL^2} \right).
\]

The integration yields

\[
Z = \frac{z_0}{\tau},
\]
where \( z_0 = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi m}{\beta}} \) is the ordinary partition function for a single particle. The partition function of the N distinguishable particle systems then can be defined as

\[
Z_N = \frac{(Z)^N}{N!}
\]

(45)

Inserting Eq.(44) in Eq.(45), the partition function becomes:

\[
Z_N = z_0^N \frac{N!}{\tau^N} = z^{\frac{N}{\tau^N}},
\]

(46)

where \( z = \frac{z_0}{\tau} \) represents the ordinary partition function and \( Z_N \) is the \( \tau \)-modified partition function of the system.

From the partition function \( Z_N \), the modified internal energy is deduced as follows

\[
E = -\left( \frac{\partial \ln Z_N}{\partial \beta} \right)_N = \frac{1}{2} Nk_B T.
\]

(47)

This equation shows that the internal energy is not influenced by the maximal length of the graviton. This observation comes to confirm the recently obtained result with Perivolaropoulos’s algebra \[35\]. In contrast with the obtained results in the presence of a minimal length, \[21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26\], the ordinary internal energy is shifted at the first order of deformed parameter and led to its decreasing. Thus, the specific heat \( C \) remains also unchanged and is given by

\[
C = \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \right)_N = \frac{1}{2} Nk_B.
\]

(48)

Concerning the modified Helmholtz free energy \( A \), it has the expression

\[
A = -k_B T \ln Z_N = a + Nk_B T \ln \tau,
\]

(49)

where \( a = -k_B T \ln z \) is the ordinary Helmholtz free energy. This shows that the ordinary Helmholtz free energy is corrected by a logarithm of the deformed parameter such as \( \Delta A = Nk_B T \ln \tau \).

Another important quantity that we can deduce from the equation (49) is the modified entropy defined as

\[
S = -\left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \right)_N = s - Nk_B \ln \tau.
\]

(50)

where the first term \( s = -\left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial T} \right)_N \) is the ordinary entropy and the second term represents the correction to the ordinary entropy \( \Delta S = -Nk_B T \ln \tau \). Note that this logarithmic correction to the ordinary entropy is known in various approaches of the extremal limit of a black hole geometries \[17, 18, 19, 20\]. According to several works in
this frame, the entropy logarithmic correction is due to large quantum fluctuations at this scale. This situation is consistent with our context since the quantum gravity measurement is important at this scale.

Finally, the generalized chemical potential, M is given by

\[ M = \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial N} \right)_T = \mu + k_B T \ln \tau, \]  

(51)

where \( \mu = \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial N} \right)_T \) stands for the chemical potential in the undeformed case and \( \Delta M = k_B T \ln \tau \) is the induced logarithmic chemical correction.

In summary of the above logarithmic corrections due to the strong gravity at this scale, we have:

\[ \Delta A = N k_B T \ln \tau, \quad \Delta S = -N k_B \ln \tau \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta M = k_B T \ln \tau. \]  

(52)

Note that at the extremal limit of the space ( \( \tau \to 0 \)), the above thermodynamic quantities divergent such as

\[ \lim_{\tau \to 0} \Delta A = \infty \implies \lim_{\tau \to 0} A = \infty. \]  

(53)

\[ \lim_{\tau \to 0} \Delta S = \infty \implies \lim_{\tau \to 0} S = \infty. \]  

(54)

\[ \lim_{\tau \to 0} \Delta M = \infty \implies \lim_{\tau \to 0} M = \infty. \]  

(55)

Comparing these results with the analogous obtained in the minimal length scenarios show that, the effect of the maximal length in this framework and that of the minimal length are fundamentally different. In fact, the minimal length induces a weak graviton localization while the maximal length in this context induces a strong graviton localization. The weak graviton measurement shifts quadratically the thermodynamic quantities and at the extremal limit of deformed parameter \( \beta_{GUP} (\beta_{GUP} \to 0) \), one recovers the ordinary quantities whereas, in this scenario, the graviton measurement induces logarithmic corrections.

4.2 The density of states method

Another important thermodynamic characteristic is the density of states. The density of states \( G_N(E) \) around the energy value \( E \) is obtained as the inverse of Laplace transform of the partition function \( Z_N \)

\[ G_N(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta' - i\infty}^{\beta' + i\infty} e^{\beta E} Z(\beta) d\beta, \quad \beta' > 0. \]  

(56)

Inserting the partition function \( Z_N \) in (56) results in

\[ G_N(E) = \frac{1}{N! \langle \hbar \tau \rangle^N} \left( \frac{2\pi m}{3} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta' - i\infty}^{\beta' + i\infty} e^{\beta E} \frac{1}{\beta^2} d\beta. \]  

(57)
This integral can be calculated by using the formula:

\[ I = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta'-i\infty}^{\beta'+i\infty} \frac{e^{\beta x}}{\beta^{n+1}} \, d\beta, & \text{for } x > 0, \\
0 & \text{for } x < 0.
\]  

Based on this integral, one gets

\[ G_N(E) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{N! (\hbar \tau)^N} \left( \frac{2\pi m}{3\hbar} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{E^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{(\frac{N}{2}-1)!}, & \text{for } E > 0, \\
0 & \text{for } E < 0.
\]  

Let us consider \( g_N(E) = \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{2\pi m}{3\hbar} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{E^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{(\frac{N}{2}-1)!} \), the ordinary density of states, the generalized density of states can be written as

\[ G_N(E) = \frac{g_N(E)}{\tau^N}, \text{ for } E > 0. \]  

This result is not only different from that of the minimal length regime, but is also different to that of obtaining with Perivolaropoulos’s maximal length.

The generalized number of microstates accessible to the system is given by

\[ \Omega(E) = \frac{\omega(E)}{\tau^N}, \text{ for } E > 0, \]

where \( \omega(E) = \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{2\pi m}{3\hbar} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{E^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{(\frac{N}{2}-1)!} \delta E \) is the ordinary number of microstates accessible to the system with energy lying between \( E \) and \( E + \delta E \).

The microcanonical ensemble defined through the parameters \( N \), and \( E \) allows the study of thermodynamic quantities by the use of the deformed entropy

\[ S = k_B \ln \Omega = s - Nk_B \ln \tau. \]

where \( s \) is the ordinary microcanonical entropy. This expression is exactly the same given by Eq.\([50]\). It is important to notice that under the effect of the strong graviton, the deformed entropy induces a logarithm correction that decreases the number of microstates accessible to the system. From this entropy, one gets the same expressions established for the rest of the thermodynamic properties in the previous subsection. The internal energy is given by

\[ dE = TdS \implies E = \frac{1}{2} Nk_B T. \]

Concerning, the corrected chemical potential, one gets

\[ M = -T \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial N} \right)_E \]
\[\mu = \mu + Tk_B \ln \tau, \quad (64)\]

where \(\mu = -T \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial N} \right)_E\) is the undeformed chemical potential.

Finally, the corrected Helmholtz free energy is related to the internal energy and the entropy via the following relation relationship

\[A = E - TS = a + Nk_B T \ln \tau \quad (65)\]

where \(a = E - Ts\) is the undeformed Helmholtz free energy.

As expected, similar to the ordinary case, both canonical and microcanonical formalisms yield identical results in the presence of a maximum length.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we studied the effects of maximal measurement of quantum gravity on the thermodynamics of canonical and microcanonical ensembles of \(N\) noninteracting particles at the Planck scale. These showed that the canonical ensemble based on the use of partition function and the microcanonical ensemble based on the density of states lead to the same result. Before computing the thermodynamic quantities, we analytically determined the spectrum of a particle in a 1D infinite square well potential in the framework of our recent position-dependent deformed algebra [1]. We showed that the energy spectrum of this system is weakly proportional to the ordinary one of quantum mechanics free of gravity theory. This characterization of quantum gravity is in perfect analogy with the one of General Relativity (GR) point of view.

The interesting physical result we found in the present paper is that, at the Planck scale with strong quantum gravity localization, the ordinary thermodynamic quantities as the Helmholtz free energy \(a\), the entropy \(s\), and the chemical potential \(\mu\) shift logarithm corrections of the deformed parameter \(\tau\), except the internal energy which is invariant under the strong influence of graviton. The logarithm corrections induced by these quantities are consistent with the ones obtained at the extremal limit of black holes [17, 18, 19, 20] due to the high effectiveness of quantum fluctuation [38]. To confirm these results, we compared our results with those of the minimal length formalism, available in the literature [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. On this basis, it is shown that both scenarios are fundamentally different. In fact, the minimal length formalism shifts quadratically the thermodynamic quantities at the order of the deformed parameter \(\beta_{GUP}\). So, at the extremal limit i.e \(\beta_{GUP} \to 0\) one recovers the ordinary quantities while in this framework by tending \(\tau \to 0\), the modified thermodynamic quantities divergent because of the logarithm corrections. With respect to these remarks, we could state that the minimal length formalism induced weak gravitons localization while the emergence of maximal length in this algebra induced strong graviton at the Planck scale. However, referring to the results of Bensalem and Bouaziz [35] where the authors computed the thermodynamic quantities of the same model in the framework of maximal length introduced by Perivolaropoulos [16]. They were coming to the conclusion
that the GUPs with the maximal length and minimal length lead to the same effects on the same thermodynamic quantities. In view of this conclusion, one could postulate that the GUP with maximal length induces weak graviton localization, which at first, seems to be counterintuitive with our proposal. Let us clarify that, the difference in the meaning of both studies is related to the forms of the introduced deformed algebras. Perivolaropoulos’s algebra [10] used to compute these thermodynamic quantities is corrected at the first order of the deformed parameter $\alpha$ while my version (3) is quadratically corrected until the second order of the deformed parameter $\tau$. So, the effects of quantum gravity increase with the order of the deformed parameter.
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