Thermocapillary migration of a planar droplet at moderate and large Marangoni numbers
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Abstract

Thermocapillary migration of a planar non-deformable droplet in flow fields with two uniform temperature gradients at moderate and large Marangoni numbers is studied numerically by using the front-tracking method. It is observed that the thermocapillary motion of planar droplets in the uniform temperature gradients is steady at moderate Marangoni numbers, but unsteady at large Marangoni numbers. The instantaneous migration velocity at a fixed migration distance decreases with increasing Marangoni numbers. The simulation results of the thermocapillary droplet migration at large Marangoni numbers are found in qualitative agreement with those of experimental investigations. Moreover, the results concerned with steady and unsteady migration processes are further confirmed by comparing the variations of temperature fields inside and outside the droplet. It is evident that at large Marangoni numbers the weak transport of thermal energy from outside of the droplet into inside cannot satisfy the condition of steady migration process, which implies that the advection around the droplet is a more significant mechanism for heat transfer across/around the droplet at large Ma numbers. Furthermore, from the condition of overall steady-state energy balance in the flow domain, the thermal flux across its surface is studied for a steady thermocapillary droplet migration in a flow field with uniform temperature gradient. By using the asymptotic expansion method, a nonconservative integral thermal flux across the surface is identified in the steady thermocapillary droplet migration at large Marangoni numbers. This nonconservative flux may well result from the invalid assumption of quasi-steady state, which indicates that the thermocapillary droplet migration at large Marangoni numbers cannot reach steady state and is thus a unsteady process.
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1 Introduction

The transport phenomenon of droplets/bubbles in a liquid is a very important topic for both fundamental hydrodynamics and practical applications such as production of pure materials in manufacturing industry and mass transfer in chemical engineering. Under normal gravity, the motion of droplet/bubbles results from the buoyancy when the densities of two fluids are different. With fast development of space exploration, the studies on the physical mechanism of droplet/bubble migration phenomena under reduced gravity become more and more important. In this case, the buoyant effect vanishes, the droplet/bubble moves as a result of the variance of interface tension. Thus, in the microgravity environment, a droplet/bubble suspended in an ambient fluid will move in the direction of temperature gradient due to thermocapillary force[1]. Thermocapillary motion of a single droplet was firstly examined both theoretically and experimentally by Young, Goldstein & Block (1959)[2]. They gave an analytical prediction on its migration speed in the limit case of zero Reynolds (Re) and zero Marangoni (Ma) numbers, which is called as YGB model. Since then, the thermocapillary migration of a bubble has been studied extensively by a series of theoretical analyses[3, 4, 5, 6], numerical simulations[7, 8, 9, 10] and experimental investigations[11]. In the mean time, several numerical techniques for treating the two-phase flow, such as the front-tracking method[12, 13] and the level-set method[14], have also been developed, which may provide effective techniques to directly investigate thermocapillary migration processes of bubbles or droplets[15, 16, 17, 18], interfacial mass transfer[19, 20] and interfacial flows with soluble surfactants[21, 22].

For the migration of a droplet, the experimental result for the migration speed at small Re numbers obtained by Braun et al(1993)[23] agrees with the
YGB model. To include small inertial effects, the YGB model analysis was extended to the range of small Ma numbers\[24\]. For finite Ma numbers, several numerical simulation on the three-dimensional thermocapillary motion of non-deformable and deformable droplets were reported by Wang et al\[25\] and Haj-Hariri et al\[17\], respectively. They used the front-tracking and level-set methods to catch the interface and investigate the effects of physical parameters on migration speeds and mobility, respectively. For large Ma numbers, Balasubramanian & Subramanian(2000)\[26\] used thermal boundary layers and found that the migration speed of a droplet increased with increasing Ma number, which is in qualitative agreement with corresponding numerical simulations\[27\]. Both the theoretical analysis and numerical simulation are based on the assumptions of quasi-steady state and non-deformation of the droplet. However, the experimental results of Hadland et al(1999)\[11\] and Xie et al(2005)\[28\] were not in qualitative agreement with the above theoretical and numerical results, and it was shown that the droplet migration speed non-dimensionalized by the YGB velocity decreased as Ma number increased. The experiment investigation was completed in several ranges of large Ma numbers, where the droplet migration was in an accelerating state and did not reach a steady one. Recently, a numerical investigation based on an axisymmetric droplet model\[29, 30\] found that the steady thermocapillary migration process did exist in a laboratory coordinate system, and verified the above experimental results for the case of large Ma numbers, however, the effects of Capillary numbers were not given in the calculations. Owing to the invariance theory under transformation between two inertia frames, the above numerical result in a laboratory coordinate frame, i.e., the steady migration speed of the droplet decreases as Ma increases, should be in agreement with the theoretical and numerical results in a reference frame moving
with the steady droplet velocity\cite{26, 27}. However, this seems impossible. Moreover, Herrmann et al\cite{18} adopted numerical method to investigate the thermocapillary motion of deformable droplets and indicated that for large Ma numbers the assumption of quasi-steady state was not valid. Therefore, the thermocapillary droplet migration at large Ma numbers is still a topic to be studied with emphasis laid on its physical mechanism.

The planar or cylindrical droplet/bubble as a simple model has been extensively used to study its dynamical mechanism\cite{31, 32, 33}. In this paper, we use the front-tracking method to numerically study the thermocapillary migration of a non-deformable planar droplet in the liquids at moderate and large Ma numbers, and analyze in detail the relation of the migration velocity to the temperature distribution inside and outside the droplet. Moreover, by using asymptotic analysis, we investigate the continuity of thermal flux across the surface based on the overall energy balance of the droplet, and analyze the existence of quasi-steady migration of the droplet at large Ma numbers.

## 2 Governing equations

Consider the thermocapillary migration of a planar droplet in a continuous phase fluid of infinite extent under a uniform temperature gradient $G$. Gravity and deformation of the droplet shape are ignored. Two-dimensional continuous, momentum and energy equations for the continuous phase fluid and the droplet in a laboratory coordinate system are written as follows

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{v}_i) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i) = -\nabla p_i + \nabla \cdot \mu_i (\nabla \mathbf{v}_i + \nabla^T \mathbf{v}_i) + \mathbf{F}_\sigma,$$

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_i T_i) = \frac{k_i}{\rho_i c_i} \nabla \cdot (k_i \nabla T_i),$$

(1)
where $v_i$ and $T_i$ are velocity and temperature, respectively. $F_\sigma$ is the surface tension force acting on the interface. $\rho_i$, $\mu_i$, $k_i$, $\kappa_i$ represent density, dynamic viscosity, thermal conductivity, and thermal diffusivity, respectively. Symbols with subscript 1 and 2 denote physical coefficients of the continuous fluid and the droplet, respectively. The solutions of Eqs. (1) have to satisfy the boundary conditions at infinity

$$v_1 = 0, T_1 \to T_0 + Gz, \quad (2)$$

where $T_0$ is the undisturbed temperature of the continuous phase and the boundary conditions at the interface $(r_b, z_b)$ of the two fluids

$$v_1(r_b, z_b, t) = v_2(r_b, z_b, t),$$

$$T_1(r_b, z_b, t) = T_2(r_b, z_b, t),$$

$$k_1 \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial n}(r_b, z_b, t) = k_2 \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial n}(r_b, z_b, t), \quad (3)$$

where $n$ is a unit vector normal to the interface. In the modelling assumptions, both fluids are immiscible and the physical properties are constant. The equations of state for density, viscosity, heat conduction and heat diffusivity are written as follows

$$\frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = \frac{d\mu_i}{dt} = \frac{dk_i}{dt} = \frac{d\kappa_i}{dt} = 0. \quad (4)$$

The reference velocity is defined as

$$v_o = -\sigma_T G R_0 / \mu_1, \quad (5)$$

where $R_0$ is the radius of the droplet, and $\sigma_T (= d\sigma/dT)$ is the change rate of interfacial tension with temperature. By taking $R_0$, $v_o$ and $G R_0$ as the characteristic quantities to make coordinates, velocity and temperature di-
mensionless, Eqs. (1) are rewritten in the following non-dimensional form

\[ \nabla \cdot v_i = 0, \]
\[ \frac{\partial \rho_i v_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i v_i v_i) = -\nabla p_i + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla \cdot \left( \mu_i (\nabla v_i + \nabla^T v_i) \right) + f_\sigma, \]
\[ \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (v_i T_i) = \frac{1}{Ma} \kappa_i \nabla \cdot (k_i \nabla T_i), \]

where the physical coefficients are non-dimensionlized by the characteristic quantities of continuous fluid and \( f_\sigma = F_\sigma R_0/\rho_1 v_0^2 \). The boundary conditions (2) and (3) are non-dimensionlized as

\[ v_1 = 0, T_1 \to T_0 + z, \]

at infinity and

\[ v_1(r_b, z_b, t) = v_2(r_b, z_b, t), \]
\[ T_1(r_b, z_b, t) = T_2(r_b, z_b, t), \]
\[ k_1 \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial n}(r_b, z_b, t) = k_2 \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial n}(r_b, z_b, t), \]

at the interface between two fluids. The Reynolds number and Marangoni number are defined as

\[ Re = \frac{\rho_1 v_0 R_0}{\mu_1}, \quad Ma = \frac{v_0 R_0}{\kappa_1} = Pr Re, \]

where \( Pr = \mu_1/\rho_1 \kappa_1 \) is the Prandtl (Pr) number. In what follows, the undisturbed temperature \( T_0 \) and non-dimensional physical parameters (\( \rho_1 = \mu_1 = k_1 = \kappa_1 = 1 \)) of the continuous phase are reduced for simplicity, except when otherwise indicated.
3   Numerical simulation of thermocapillary droplet migration at moderate and large Ma numbers

3.1 Models and numerical methods

As shown schematically in Fig.1, the symmetric axis of the container is taken as the $z$-axis. A droplet is placed initially at the center of coordinates and then moved along the $z$-axis. Thus, the solution of Eqs. (6) should satisfy the following initial conditions in the whole domain $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ and $z \in [z_0, z_1]$

$$v_i = 0, \quad T_i = z$$

and non-slip/periodic boundary conditions at the top and bottom walls/the horizontal boundaries

$$v_1(x, z_0) = v_1(x, z_1) = 0, \quad T_1(x, z_0) = z_0, T_1(x, z_1) = z_1,$$

$$v_1(x_0, z) = v_1(x_1, z), \quad T_1(x_0, z) = T_1(x_1, z).$$

(11)

In the computation, we use a fixed regular staggered MAC grid in the computational domain. To discretize Eqs. (6), we adopt a second-order central difference scheme for the spatial variables and an explicit predictor-corrector second-order scheme for time integration. The predictor-corrector method is a combination of the explicit Euler and the implicit trapezoidal methods to obtain an explicit technique with better convergence characteristic. In the method, the solution at time step $n + 1$ is predicted by using the explicit Euler method

$$\phi_{n+1}^{\dagger} = \phi^n + f(t_n, \phi^n) \Delta t,$$

(12)

where $\dagger$ indicates that this is not the final value of the solution at $t_{n+1}$. 
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Rather, the solution is corrected by applying the trapezoid rule

$$\phi^{n+1} = \phi^n + \frac{1}{2} [f(t_n, \phi) + f(t_{n+1}, \phi^I_{n+1})] \Delta t. \quad (13)$$

To achieve the second-order accurate time integration of the velocity and temperature fields in Eqs. (6), we employ the Chorin’s projection method to outline the first-order Euler integration in (12) as follows.

Since both fluids are assumed immiscible, all physical coefficients are discontinuous across the interface. The interface is captured and updated by the front-tracking method\[12, 13\]. When the interface is moved to a new position, the density field is updated. The interface is considered to have a finite width so that the density across the interface is continuous. Here, a weighting function suggested by Peskin\[34\] is adopted as

$$w_{ij}(r_p) = d(x_p - i\Delta x)d(z_p - j\Delta z), \quad (14)$$

where

$$d(r) = \begin{cases} 
(1/4\Delta r)[1 + \cos(\pi r/2h)], & |r| < 2\Delta r, \\
0, & |r| \geq 2\Delta r,
\end{cases} \quad (15)$$

and $(x_p, z_p)$ is the interface node. Once the density is updated, the velocity field will be computed by the Chorin’s projection method, which is divided into two steps. One is a prediction step, where the effect of the pressure is ignored

$$\frac{\rho_i^{n+1}v_i^* - \rho_i^n v_i^n}{\Delta t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho_i^n v_i^n v_i^n) + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla \cdot \mu_i^n (\nabla v_i^n + \nabla^T v_i^n) + f_i. \quad (16)$$

Another is a correction step in terms of the pressure gradient

$$\frac{\rho_i^{n+1}v_i^{n+1} - \rho_i^{n+1}v_i^*}{\Delta t} = -\nabla p_i^{n+1}, \quad (17)$$
where the pressure is obtained by solving the following Poisson equation

$$\nabla \cdot \frac{1}{\rho_i^{n+1}} \nabla p_i^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i^n.$$  \hfill (18)

In solving Eq. (18), we use the successive over relaxation iteration method to get \(p_i^{n+1}\). When the pressure is obtained, the corrected velocity field \(\mathbf{v}_i^{n+1}\) is determined from Eq. (17). Similarly, the energy equation is discretized in the form

$$\frac{T_i^{n+1} - T_i^n}{\Delta t} = - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_i^{n+1} T_i^n) + \frac{1}{Ma k_i^n} \nabla \cdot (k_i^n \nabla T_i^n).$$  \hfill (19)

In terms of the corrected velocity field \(\mathbf{v}_i^{n+1}\), the temperature field \(T_i^{n+1}\) is determined. Until now, by using the projection method, the first-order time integration \(\phi_i^{n+1}\) of the velocity, pressure and temperature fields is completed. In the mean time, other physical coefficients \((\mu, k, \kappa)\) across the interface at the time step \(n + 1\) are also updated to have the same distribution as the density. Repeating the above process, we get a second first-order accurate solution \(\phi_i^{n+2}\) at time step \(n + 2\) based on the first-order accurate solution \(\phi_i^{n+1}

\phi_i^{n+2} = \phi_i^{n+1} + f(t_{n+1}, \phi_i^{n+1}) \Delta t. \hfill (20)

Finally, the solution for the second-order time integration is obtained as follows

$$\phi_i^{n+1} = (\phi_i^n + \phi_i^{n+2})/2.$$  \hfill (21)

Since the droplet in the migration process is assumed non-deformable, the vertical area average velocity in the droplet is taken as the droplet migration velocity \(V_z\). The nodes of interface are moved with this velocity at each time step.

In solving Eq. (16), the surface tension force \(f_s\) is determined by referring to the temperature field. In general, the surface tension force on a short front
element is defined as
\[
\delta F_\sigma = \int_{\Delta s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\sigma \mathbf{t}) ds = (\sigma \mathbf{t})_2 - (\sigma \mathbf{t})_1 = \Delta_{21}(\sigma \mathbf{t}),
\]  
(22)
where \( \mathbf{t} \) is an unit tangent vector, \( s \) is the arc length along the interface. \( \sigma \) is the surface tension coefficient written as
\[
\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sigma_T T,
\]  
(23)
where \( \sigma_0 \) is the surface tension coefficient at a reference temperature \( T_0 \), and \( \sigma_T \) is a negative constant for most fluids. By using the above characteristic quantities, the non-dimensional surface tension force is written in the form of body force as
\[
\delta f_\sigma = \delta F_\sigma (R_0/\rho_1 v_0^2)/(R_0^2 \delta x \delta z) \\
= \Delta_{21}(\sigma \mathbf{t})/\rho_1 v_0^2 R_0 \delta x \delta z \\
= \Delta_{21}[(\sigma_0/v_0 \mu_1 - T)\mathbf{t}]/Re \delta x \delta z \\
= \Delta_{21}[(1/Ca - T)\mathbf{t}]/Re \delta x \delta z,
\]  
(24)
where \( Ca(=v_0 \mu_1/\sigma_0) \) is the Capillary number. To calculate the surface tension force \( f_\sigma \), the surface temperature on the interface is firstly obtained by interpolating values on the grid points. The tangent vector is computed from a Lagrangian polynomial fitting through four interface nodes. Then, the surface tension force on the interface is distributed to the grid points by means of weighting function (14).

3.2 Results and analysis

To check the sensitivity of the results to grid refinements, we perform calculations for a planar droplet migration at \( Re=5, Ma=20, Ca=0.01666 \) and \( \rho_2=\mu_2=k_2=\kappa_2=0.5 \) using the method described above. The computational domain is chosen as \( 4 \times 8 \). Based on \( 64 \times 128, 96 \times 192 \) and \( 128 \times 256 \) grid points,
i.e., 16, 24 and 32 grid points per droplet radius, the time evolution of the
droplet migration velocity is calculated and plotted in Fig. 2. The migration
velocity curve seems to converge when the grid becomes finer. The difference
in the migration velocities computed with 24 and 32 grid points per droplet
radius is very small (about 1.5%). In the following calculations we fix 24 grid
points per droplet radius as the grid resolution. To further validate our code,
we compare the current computation results with Nas & Tryggvason’s[15],
where the deformation of the droplet is considered. In Fig. 3, it is observed
that both results have the same trends and the migration velocities are close
together.

In the following calculations, we adopt the silicone oil of nominal viscosity
5cst and the FC-75 Fluorinert liquid, i.e., the working media in the space
experiment[28], as the continuous phase fluid and the droplet, respectively.
The physical parameters of the continuous fluid and the droplet at temper-
ature 25°C are given in Table I. σT is fixed as -0.044 dyn/cmK[28] and σ0 ≈ 6 dyn/cm[35] is adopted. From the values of the continuous fluid para-
eters, the Pr number and the capillary length λ0 = \sqrt{\sigma_0/\rho_1 g_0} (with the earth’s
gravity g_0 = 980cm/s^2) are determined as 67.8 and 0.08cm, respectively. The
most of droplets in the space experiment[28] have R_0 ≥ λ_0, which refers to
the domination of the gravitational effect to the droplet shapes on the earth.
However, in the microgravity environment (the effective gravity g_e is about
O(10^{-6}) of g_0), the gravitational effect is neglected (R_0 \ll \lambda_e), so the droplet
shapes are dominated by the capillary effect. The computational domain is
chosen as \{x, z\} ∈ \{[-4, 4], [-4, 16]\} and the resolution is fixed at 192 × 480.
The initial droplet is placed at the position (0,0) and the time step is 0.0002.
3.2.1 Flow field with the temperature gradient $G=12$ K/cm

In the space experiments with $G=12$ K/cm\cite{28}, Re and Ma lie respectively in the ranges of 4.5-302.6 and 145-5525, their specific values depending on $R_0$. To simulate the experimental processes, the physical coefficients in the droplet migration processes are determined by changing $R_0$. The correspondence of Re, Ma and Ca to $R_0$ is presented in Table II, where Re is in a range of moderate values and Ma have both moderate and large numbers. Fig. 4 displays the time evolution of droplet migration velocities for five sets of non-dimensional coefficients. In the present range of Ma, the migration velocities versus time have complex behaviors, which can be classified into three types based on the curve characters. At $Ma=44.7(Re=0.66)$, the initial migration velocity increases sharply before $t = 3$, and then drops to approach a steady value. For $Ma=402.5-1118.1(Re=5.93-16.5)$, the initial accelerating process has smaller peak value as Ma increases. After the increasing-decreasing oscillation process, the terminal droplet migration velocity increases with time, i.e., the droplet migration is in an accelerating state. The slope of the curve increases as Ma increases. For $Ma=2191.6-3622.8(Re=32.3-53.4)$, the droplet migration velocity increases monotonously with time and decreases with increasing Ma. We can thus conclude that in the time frame under investigation the thermocapillary droplet migration is steady at moderate Ma numbers, but becomes unsteady at large Ma numbers. In the two space experiments, Figs. 4 of [11] and [28] showed that the whole migration processes were unsteady and didn’t reach any steady state. Even a plateau appears in the curve of migration velocity vs migration distance, the migration process seems to be an accelerating one after the slow varying period. To further compare with the experimental investigation\cite{28}, we take several fixed migration distances $l_z$ and determine the relation between instantaneous non-dimensional...
migration velocity $V_z$ and Ma numbers. The numerical and experimental results are plotted in Fig. 5, from which it is evident that both the numerical and experimental migration velocities of droplet decrease as Ma increases in the range of large Ma numbers. Hence, at large Ma numbers, the above simulation results are in qualitative agreement with those of experimental investigations\cite{11, 28}.

In order to understand the phenomena exhibited in droplet migration processes, it is important to analyze the evolution of the velocity and temperature fields. Fig. 6 displays the computed velocity fields at $t = 20$ in both the laboratory coordinate frame and the reference frame moving with the droplet at $Re=16.5(Ma=1118.1)$. In the laboratory coordinate frame, the streamlines for a moving droplet are closed and symmetric about the $z$-axis. In the reference frame, when the external streamlines go around the droplet, a pair of vortices is formed inside the droplet. It is evident that in the reference frame recirculation flows in both the continuous phase fluid and the droplet are driven by the surface tension force generated by the temperature gradient along the surface. In Fig. 7, we depict the pattern evolution of streamlines with time in a reference frame moving with the droplet at $Re=16.5(Ma=1118.1)$. Initially, there appear two vortices symmetric about the vertical diameter. Along with the rising of the droplet, the pair of vortices in the droplet is kept, but the vortex centers are moving up. In the whole process, the basic types of streamlines for both the internal and external motions are not changed. For moderate Re numbers, both the convection and viscous terms in the momentum equation have important effects on the fluid flow. The external flow just passes around the droplet and does not separate from the droplet surface, and thus the computed velocity fields are similar in the range of moderate Re numbers.
In the range of $R_0$, the fluid flow has behaviors similar to those for moderate Re numbers, but the thermal transfer exhibits different characters for moderate and large Ma numbers. For moderate Ma numbers, both the heat convection and the heat conduction have important effects on the energy transfer. Fig. 8 displays the time evolution of isotherms at moderate Ma ($Ma=44.7$, $Re=0.66$), which corresponds to that of migration velocities shown in Fig. 4. As given in Eq. (23), the surface tension coefficient decreases with the increasing of the local temperature. For a temperature field with its gradient in the $z$ direction, the generated surface tension force is a net force along the surface. At the beginning, the droplet starts to move towards the warm side under the action of net force. It induces in turn viscous stresses in both fluids, which causes streamlines inside and outside the droplet to form double vortices and to go around the droplet, respectively. The temperature field inside the droplet is affected by the two rotating vortices. The horizontal isotherm $T = 0$ in the droplet is moving up, as well as bending along the migration direction. Along with the rising of the droplet, both the internal and external temperature fields around the droplet surface are redistributed due to the action of heat convection. In the process, the isotherm $T = 0$ moves up and approaches the top of the droplet. At $t = 20$, a small cap-type isotherm $T = 0$ is formed within the droplet. Meanwhile, under the action of heat conduction, the thermal energy is transferred from outside of the droplet to inside, so the temperature inside the droplet increases. At $t = 60$, the temperature of cap-type isotherm in the droplet reaches $T = 2$. Hence, both the temperature fields inside and outside the droplet increase with time. Only when both the internal and external temperature fields satisfy linear relations with the same slope, does the droplet migration reach a steady state. For large Ma numbers, the effect of heat convection is
stronger than that of heat conduction. Fig. 9 displays the time evolution of isotherms at large Ma (Ma=402.5, Re=5.93), which corresponds to that of migration velocity shown in Fig. 4. In the initial (accelerating) stage of migration, the evolution of the isotherms is similar to that shown in Fig. 8. In the following (oscillation) stage of migration, the bent isotherms in the droplet move to approach the top of the droplet and are converted to pea-type ones, and then to earphone-type ones. In the last (accelerating) stage of migration, the earphone-type isotherms are transformed into those with two symmetric vortices. In the whole migration process, although the temperature inside the droplet increases, the temperature of minimal isotherm is still kept at $T = 0$. It implies that the thermal energy transfer from outside of the droplet to inside is weaker than that shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 10 displays the time evolution of isotherms at a still larger Ma (Ma=2191.6, Re=32.3), which corresponds to that of migration velocity shown in Fig. 4. In the whole (accelerating) process of migration, the initial evolution of the isotherms is similar to that shown in Fig. 9, except for the slower movement of the isotherms in approaching the top of the droplet. Then, the pea-type isotherms are converted to earphone-type ones. And finally, the isotherms with two vortices symmetric about the vertical diameter in the droplet are formed. At $t = 60$, the fact that the minimal isotherm $T = 0$ has larger closed area in the droplet means that the thermal energy transfer from outside of the droplet to inside is weaker than that shown in Fig. 9. Thus, at large Ma numbers, although the temperature outside the droplet increases fast as the droplet rises, the temperature inside the droplet has only a slow increase. The droplet migration does not reach a steady state, and is thus a unsteady process.

To further quantitatively depict the steady and unsteady migration pro-
cesses, we will investigate the time evolution of temperature fields inside and outside the droplet. Fig. 11 displays the temperature at the point \((x_c, z_c)\) inside the droplet and the point \((x_c, z_c + 2)\) outside the droplet in the migration processes, where \((x_c, z_c)\) is the center of the droplet in the laboratory coordinate system. It is evident that for the moderate \(
abla = 44.9\) number time evolution curves of the temperature at these two points after \(t_0 = 20\) are approximately linear and parallel. Both the temperature inside and outside the droplet satisfy the linear relation: 

\[
T_i = T_i(t_0) + V_\infty(t - t_0),
\]

indicates a steady migration process with the constant velocity \(V_z = V_\infty\).

However, for large \(\nabla\) numbers, although the time evolution curves of the temperature inside and outside the droplet after \(t_0 = 20\) are approximately linear, but they are not parallel. The slope of the time evolution curve of the temperature inside the droplet is smaller than that outside the droplet, so the difference of the temperatures at these two points increases as time increases. It implies that the terminal droplet migration does not reach a steady state, and is thus a unsteady process. Therefore, the advection around the droplet is a more significant mechanism for heat transfer across/around the droplet at large \(\nabla\) numbers.

3.2.2 Flow field with the temperature gradient \(G=9\) K/cm

In the space experiments with \(G=9\) K/cm, \(\kappa\) and \(\nabla\) lie respectively in the ranges of 3.2-89.8 and 148-4103, their specific values depending on \(R_0\). To simulate the experimental processes, the physical coefficients in the droplet migration processes are determined by changing \(R_0\). The correspondence of \(\kappa\), \(\nabla\) and \(C\) to \(R_0\) is presented in Table III, where \(\kappa\) is in a range of moderate values and \(\nabla\) have both moderate and large numbers. Fig. 12 displays the time evolution of droplet migration velocities
for five sets of non-dimensional coefficients. In the present range of Ma, the curves of migration velocities versus time are classified into three types based on their characters. At Ma=33.5(Re=0.49), the initial migration velocity increases sharply near \( t = 2 \), and then drops to approach a steady value. For Ma=301.9-838.6(Re=4.45-12.4), after the increasing-decreasing oscillation process, the droplet migration is in an accelerating state. For Ma=1643.6-2717.1(Re=24.2-40.1), the droplet migration velocity increases monotonously with time and decreases with increasing Ma. We can thus conclude that in the time frame under investigation the thermocapillary droplet migration is steady at moderate Ma numbers, but becomes unsteady at large Ma numbers. To further compare with the experimental investigation\{28\}, we take several fixed migration distances \( l_z \) and determine the relation between instantaneous non-dimensional migration velocity \( V_z \) and Ma numbers. The numerical and experimental results are plotted in Fig. 13, from which it is evident that both the numerical and experimental migration velocities of droplet decrease as Ma increases in the range of large Ma numbers. Hence, at large Ma numbers, the above simulation results are in qualitative agreement with those of experimental investigations.

4 Theoretical analysis of thermocapillary droplet migration at large Ma numbers

4.1 Quasi-steady state assumption

In general, the surface tension is a linear decreasing function of the local temperature. For a temperature field with its gradient in the \( z \) direction, the generated surface tension force is a net force along the surface and the
droplet starts to move towards the warm side under the action of net force. When the net force acting on the droplet at the flow direction is zero, the thermocapillary droplet migration reaches a steady process. However, due to the variation of physical parameters with the ambient temperature, the migration process may not reach any steady state. Only when the migration is sufficiently slow that the order of relevant time scale for the transport process to generate steady velocity and temperature fields is smaller than that for the droplet to move an appreciable distance, the assumption of the quasi-steady state is valid. It means that after experiencing an initial unstable migration process, the droplet migration may reach a steady state at the time \( t_0 \) and the position \( r_0 = z_0 \mathbf{k} \), i.e., migrating with a constant droplet migration speed \( V_\infty \). Using the coordinate transformation from the laboratory coordinate system to a coordinate system moving with the droplet velocity \( V_\infty \)

\[
\mathbf{r} = \bar{\mathbf{r}} + r_0 + V_\infty (t - t_0) \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{v}_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \bar{\mathbf{v}}_i(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) + V_\infty \mathbf{k}, \quad T_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \bar{T}_i(\bar{\mathbf{r}}) + z_0 + V_\infty (t - t_0),
\]

the energy equation in Eqs.(6) can be formulated as

\[
V_\infty + \nabla \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{v}}_i \bar{T}_i) = \frac{1}{\rho a_k} \mathbf{z}_i \cdot \nabla \cdot (k_i \nabla T_i),
\]

in a polar coordinate system \( \bar{\mathbf{r}} = (\bar{r}, \theta) \). Under the assumption of non-deformable droplet, the radial coordinate axis is the outer normal vector of the interface. By using the transformation (25), the boundary conditions (7) and (8) can be respectively written as follows

\[
\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1 = -V_\infty \mathbf{k}, \quad \bar{T}_1 \rightarrow \bar{r} \cos \theta,
\]
at places far away from the droplet and
\begin{align*}
\bar{u}_{1n}(1, \theta) &= \bar{u}_{2n}(1, \theta) = 0, \\
\bar{v}_{1s}(1, \theta) &= \bar{v}_{2s}(1, \theta), \\
\bar{T}_1(1, \theta) &= \bar{T}_2(1, \theta), \\
\frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial n}(1, \theta) &= k_2 \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial n}(1, \theta)
\end{align*}
(28)

at the interface of the two fluids. Thus, once the droplet migration reaches a steady state, the above problem of (6)(7)(8) in the laboratory coordinate system can be described by steady energy equations (26) with boundary conditions (27)(28) in the coordinate system moving with the droplet velocity. This implies the overall steady-state energy balance with two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference.

4.2 Nonconservative integral thermal flux across the droplet surface at large Ma numbers

To analyze the energy equations with a small parameter $\epsilon = 1/\sqrt{V_\infty Ma}$, Eqs. (26) are rewritten as
\begin{align*}
1 + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\bar{v}_i \bar{T}_i) &= \epsilon^2 \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \bar{T}_i), \\
1 + \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\bar{v}_2 \bar{T}_2) &= \epsilon^2 \kappa_2 \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \bar{T}_2),
\end{align*}
(29)
(30)

where both the velocity fields $\bar{v}_i$ in the surrounding fluids and in the droplet are rescaled by $V_\infty$.

To confirm the overall steady-state energy balance of two phases in the flow domain with respect to the co-moving frame of reference, we have to integrate Eqs. (29)(30) with an asymptotic expansion of the outer temperature field at infinity with respect to the small parameter $\epsilon$. To determine the asymptotic behavior of $\bar{T}_1$ at $\bar{r} \gg 1$, we rewrite Eq. (29) as follows
\begin{align*}
1 + \bar{u}_1 \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\bar{v}_1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \theta} &= \epsilon^2 \Delta \bar{T}_1.
\end{align*}
(31)
Let
\[
\bar{u}_1 = \bar{u}_0^1 + o(1),
\]
\[
\bar{v}_1 = \bar{v}_0^1 + o(1),
\]
\[
\bar{T}_1 = \bar{T}_0^1 + o(1),
\]
we have the energy equation to leading order
\[
1 + \bar{u}_0^1 \frac{\partial \bar{T}_0^1}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{\bar{v}_0^1}{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_0^1}{\partial \theta} = 0.
\]
\[
(33)
\]
By using the characteristic line method, the primary approximation of the outer temperature field in the continuous phase is derived as
\[
\bar{T}_1 = \bar{r} \cos \theta + \int_\infty^\bar{r} \frac{\bar{v}_0^1 \sin \theta - \bar{u}_0^1 \cos \theta - 1}{\bar{u}_0^1} |\Psi| \bar{r} d\bar{r} + o(1),
\]
\[
(34)
\]
where \( \Psi \sim (\bar{r} - 1/\bar{r}) \sin \theta \). For moderate Re numbers, the velocity fields in Eq. (34) can be described by the potential flows. By using the scaled inviscid velocity field in the continuous phase flow passing a circular cylinder \[36\]
\[
\bar{u}_0^1 = -\cos \theta(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{r}}),
\]
\[
\bar{v}_0^1 = \sin \theta(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{r}}),
\]
\[
(35)
\]
Eq. (34) is written as
\[
\bar{T}_1 = \bar{r} \cos \theta + \int_\infty^\bar{r} \frac{1}{\bar{r}^2 - 1} \frac{2\Psi^2/((\bar{r} - 1/\bar{r})^2 - 1)}{\bar{r}^2 - 1 + \sqrt{1 - \Psi^2/((\bar{r} - 1/\bar{r})^2 - 1)^2}} |\Psi| \bar{r} d\bar{r} + o(1),
\]
\[
(36)
\]
where \( \Psi = \sin \theta(\bar{r} - 1/\bar{r}) \) is streamfunction of the continuous phase, the symbol "+" before the integral is so determined as to preserve the monotonously increasing trend of \( \bar{T}_1(\bar{r}, 0) \) with \( \bar{r} > 1 \) in the continuous phase and the symbol "±" in the integral depends on the value of \( \theta \) (the symbol "+"/"-" corresponds to \( \theta \in [0, \pi/2]/[\pi/2, \pi) \)). At \( \bar{r} \gg 1 \), Eq. (36) can be expressed as
\[
\bar{T}_1 \approx \bar{r} \cos \theta + \int_\infty^\bar{r} \frac{1}{\bar{r}^2 - 1} \frac{2\Psi^2/((\bar{r} - 1/\bar{r})^2 - 1)}{\bar{r}^2 - 1 + \sqrt{1 - \Psi^2/((\bar{r} - 1/\bar{r})^2 - 1)^2}} |\Psi| \bar{r} d\bar{r} + o(1)
\]
\[
= \bar{r} \cos \theta - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \cos \theta + o(1),
\]
\[
(37)
\]
where \( \Psi \approx \sin \theta \bar{r} \).

In physics, the thermocapillary migration of a planar droplet has the mirror symmetry about the coordinate axis \( \theta = 0 \) or \( \pi \), so the overall energy balance in the whole flow domain \( \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \) can be generated by combining the energy balance in two connected flow domains \( \theta \in [0, \pi) \) and \( \hat{\theta} \in [0, \pi) \) through the transformation \( \hat{\theta} = 2\pi - \theta \). Integrating Eq. (29) and Eq. (30) in the continuous phase domain \( (\bar{r} \in [1, \bar{r}_\infty], \theta \in [0, 2\pi]) \) and within the droplet region \( (\bar{r} \in [0, 1], \theta \in [0, 2\pi]) \), and then transforming them to linear integration on the droplet surface and the surface at infinity by using the Green’s formula, we have

\[
\pi (\bar{r}_\infty^2 - 1) + \oint \bar{u}_1 |_{\bar{r}_\infty} ds - \oint \bar{u}_1 \bar{T}_1 |_{1} ds = \varepsilon^2 \left( \oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial n} |_{\bar{r}_\infty} ds - \oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial n} |_{1} ds \right) (38)
\]

and

\[
\pi + \oint \bar{u}_2 |_{1} ds = \varepsilon^2 \kappa_2 \oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial n} |_{1} ds. \tag{39}
\]

Using the normal velocity boundary condition at the interface in (28) and the temperature field at the infinity in (37), we can derive

\[
\oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial n} |_{1} ds = -\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\bar{r}_\infty^2} \right) + o\left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \approx -\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon^2} \tag{40}
\]

and

\[
\oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial n} |_{1} ds = \frac{\pi}{\kappa_2 \varepsilon^2}. \tag{41}
\]

To analyze the thermal flux near the boundary, we write the integrals of Eq. (40) and Eq. (41) in their discretization forms and simplify the expressions in terms of the mirror symmetrical relationship \( \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n} |_{1, \theta} = \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n} |_{1, 2\pi - \theta} \) as

\[
\oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial n} |_{1} ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}} |_{1} d\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}} |_{1} \Delta \theta = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}} |_{1} \Delta \theta < 0 \tag{42}
\]

and

\[
\oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial n} |_{1} ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial \bar{r}} |_{1} d\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial \bar{r}} |_{1} \Delta \theta = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial \bar{r}} |_{1} \Delta \theta > 0, \tag{43}
\]
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where $\Delta \theta = 2\pi/N$. And thus we arrive at a conclusion that there must be some interface points $\theta_i \in [0, \pi]$ where the following equation holds

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}}(1, \theta_i) < 0 < \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial \bar{r}}(1, \theta_i) \quad (44)$$

or some interface points $\theta_i$ and $\theta_j \in [0, \pi]$ where the following equations hold

$$0 < \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}}(1, \theta_i) < \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial \bar{r}}(1, \theta_i),$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}}(1, \theta_j) < \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial \bar{r}}(1, \theta_j) < 0. \quad (45)$$

Physically, this means that near these points $\theta_i$, the thermal energy is transferred from the interface to outside (the surrounding fluid) as well as from the interface to inside (the droplet) or near these points $\theta_i/\theta_j$ the transference of thermal energy from outside/the interface to the interface/outside is weaker/stronger than that from the interface/inside to inside/the interface.

On the one hand, if Eq. (44) can satisfy the thermal flux boundary condition in Eqs. (28), thermal sources inside the interface will be introduced to balance the transference of thermal energy. On the other hand, if Eqs. (45) can satisfy the thermal flux boundary condition in Eqs. (28), thermal sinks inside the interface or thermal sources in the droplet will be introduced to decrease the transference of thermal energy from the interface to outside or increase the transference of thermal energy from inside to the interface. Since there is absolutely no thermal sources or sinks inside the interface or thermal sources in the droplet, the above transport processes of thermal energy near the interface seem impossible. It means that the thermal flux across the droplet surface is nonconservative. Moreover, from Eq. (40) and Eq. (41), we have

$$\oint [k_2 \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial \bar{r}}|_1 - \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}}|_1]ds = \pi \frac{k_2}{\epsilon^2}(1 + \frac{k_2}{\kappa_2}) = \pi(1 + \frac{k_2}{\kappa_2})V_{\infty}Ma. \quad (46)$$

Since both $k_2$ and $\kappa_2$ are positive, we have

$$k_2 \oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_2}{\partial \bar{r}}|_1 ds \gg \oint \frac{\partial \bar{T}_1}{\partial \bar{r}}|_1 ds. \quad (47)$$
From Eqs. (28), we obtain the equivalent integral thermal flux at the boundary

$$\oint \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial r} \bigg|_1 ds = k_2 \oint \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial r} \bigg|_1 ds.$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

So, if the thermal flux boundary condition in Eqs.(28) is satisfied, Eq. (47) should be reduced to Eq. (48), which seems impossible. It is termed as a nonconservative integral thermal flux across the surface for the steady thermocapillary droplet migration at large Ma numbers. This implies the overall steady-state energy unbalance of two phases in the flow domain in the co-moving frame of reference.

Eq. (47) indicates that at large Ma numbers the integral thermal flux across the surface within the droplet is larger than the surface thermal flux with respect to the continuous phase fluid. However, it should be noted that the droplet migration is, at that time, still in an unsteady state. In the analytical and numerical results\cite{26, 27}, the steady migration velocity (in direct proportion to Ma) is large at high Ma numbers. Under the condition of large migration velocity, it is unlikely that the order of relevant time scale for the transport process to generate steady velocity and temperature fields is smaller than that for the droplet to move an appreciable distance. So, due to the variation of physical parameters with the ambient temperature, a steady migration process may not be reached. Both experimental results in Fig. 4(a)(b) of \cite{11} and Fig. 4(b)(d) of \cite{28} clearly display that the thermocapillary droplet migration at large Ma numbers is in an accelerating state and does not reach any steady one. Moreover, numerical simulations of the thermocapillary motion of deformable and non-deformable droplets in \cite{18} and the above section 3 indicate that the assumption of quasi-steady state is not valid for large Ma numbers. Thus, it is clear that the invalid assumption of quasi-steady state for the thermocapillary droplet migration
process is a reasonable explanation for the nonconservative integral thermal flux across the droplet surface.

5 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, numerical studies are carried out for thermocapillary migration of a planar non-deformable droplet in two uniform temperature gradients at moderate and large Ma numbers by using the front-tracking method. Some calculations at moderate and large Ma numbers are performed to analyze the thermocapillary migration for droplets with different sizes. In the range of droplet radius under study, Re takes moderate values, and thus the computed flow fields are similar. There appear different types of migration processes in the time frame under investigation depending on the values of Ma, which varies within a large range. At moderate Ma numbers, after an increase-decrease process in the time evolution of droplet velocity, the droplet migration reaches a steady state. In the range of large Ma numbers, the oscillation process in the time evolution of droplet velocity is transformed into a monotonous accelerating process as Ma increases. The terminal droplet migration is in an acceleration process and doesn’t reach any steady state. The instantaneous migration velocity at a fixed migration distance decreases with increasing Ma number. The numerical simulation results are in qualitative agreement with experimental ones.

Moreover, in comparing the variations of temperature fields inside and outside the droplet, it is evident that at large Ma numbers the weak transport of thermal energy from outside of the droplet into inside cannot meet the requirement put forward by the steady migration process, which implies that the advection around the droplet is a more significant mechanism for heat
transfer across/around the droplet at large Ma numbers.

Furthermore, from the condition of overall steady-state energy balance in the flow domain, we have identified a nonconservative integral thermal flux across the surface for a steady thermocapillary drop migration in a uniform temperature gradient at large Ma (Re) numbers. It may well result from the invalid assumption of quasi-steady state, and this conclusion implies that the thermocapillary drop migration at large Ma (Re) cannot reach any steady state and is thus a unsteady process.

We emphasize that all of the above numerical and theoretical results about the thermocapillary migration system of droplets involves assumptions of planar non-deformable interfaces and is subject to constant physical parameters. As mentioned in the section 1, the simple modelling is easily applied to explore the dynamical mechanism of droplets, but has potential drawbacks to reproduce the experimental results[28]. Extension to the more realistic case of three-dimensional deformable droplets migrating in a flow field with temperature-dependance physical parameters remains to be implemented.
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Table I. Physical parameters of the continuous fluid (5cst Silicone oil) and the droplet (FC-75) at temperature 25°C, which are the working media in the space experiment [28].

|          | \( \rho (g/cm^3) \) | \( \mu \times 10^{-2} (dyne/cm^2) \) | \( k (W/mK) \) | \( \kappa \times 10^{-4} (cm^2/s) \) |
|----------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Silicone oil | 0.91                | 4.268                               | 0.111          | 6.915                         |
| FC-75     | 1.77                | 1.416                               | 0.063          | 2.018                         |

Table II. Correspondence of non-dimensional parameters Re, Ma and Ca to the droplet radius \( R_0 \) for the droplet migration in a flow field with the temperature gradient \( G=12K/cm \).

| \( R_0 (cm) \) | Re  | Ma  | Ca  |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 0.05           | 0.66| 44.7| 0.0044|
| 0.15           | 5.93| 402.5| 0.013 |
| 0.25           | 16.5| 1118.1| 0.022 |
| 0.35           | 32.3| 2191.6| 0.031 |
| 0.45           | 53.4| 3622.8| 0.040 |

Table III. Correspondence of non-dimensional parameters Re, Ma and Ca to the droplet radius \( R_0 \) for the droplet migration in a flow field with the temperature gradient \( G=9K/cm \).

| \( R_0 (cm) \) | Re  | Ma  | Ca  |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 0.05           | 0.49| 33.5| 0.0033|
| 0.15           | 4.45| 301.9| 0.010 |
| 0.25           | 12.4| 838.6| 0.017 |
| 0.35           | 24.2| 1643.6| 0.023 |
| 0.45           | 40.1| 2717.1| 0.030 |
Figure caption

Fig. 1. Schematic of the computation domain for a planar droplet migration. The top and bottom walls are non-slip boundaries and the left and right boundaries are periodic ones.

Fig. 2. Droplet migration velocity versus non-dimensional time for three grid resolutions $64 \times 128$, $96 \times 192$ and $128 \times 256$ at a fixed domain $4 \times 8$ under $Re=5$, $Ma=20$, $Ca=0.01666$ and $\rho_2/\rho_1 = \mu_2/\mu_1 = k_2/k_1 = \kappa_2/\kappa_1 = 0.5$.

Fig. 3. Time evolution of the droplet migration velocity for grid resolution $96 \times 192$ and its comparison with Nas & Tryggvason’s result [15] for the same parameters as given in Fig. 2.

Fig. 4. Droplet migration velocity in a flow field with the temperature gradient $G = 12\,\text{K/cm}$ versus non-dimensional time at $Ma=44.7$, $402.5$, $1118.1$, $2191.6$ and $3622.8$.

Fig. 5. Instantaneous thermocapillary migration velocity of the droplet in a flow field with the temperature gradient $G = 12\,\text{K/cm}$ at a fixed migration distance $l_z = 1/1.5/2\,\text{cm}$ denoted by diamonds/deltas/squares versus large Ma numbers. The experimental results [28] rescaled by $V_{YGB}/v_0 = 2/[(2 + 3\mu_2/\mu_1)(2 + k_2/k_1)]$ are plotted and denoted by circles.

Fig. 6. Computed velocity fields at $t=20$ under $R_0=0.25\,\text{cm}$, $Re=16.5$, $Ma=1118.1$ in (a) the laboratory coordinate frame and (b) the reference frame moving with the droplet.

Fig. 7. Streamlines in a reference frame moving with the droplet under $R_0=0.25\,\text{cm}$, $Re=16.5$, $Ma=1118.1$. Their time evolution is displayed in 5 small figures from left to right. The non-dimensional time is chosen as 3, 10, 20, 40 and 60, respectively.

Fig. 8. Isotherms in a laboratory coordinate frame are selected from the computation of the droplet migration under $R_0=0.05\,\text{cm}$, $Re=0.66$, $Ma=44.7$. 
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Notation is the same as in Fig. 7.

Fig. 9. Same as Fig. 8, except $R_0=0.15\text{cm}$, $Re=5.93$, $Ma=402.5$.

Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 8, except $R_0=0.35\text{cm}$, $Re=32.3$, $Ma=2191.6$.

Fig. 11. Time evolution of temperature at point $(x_c, z_c)$ inside the droplet and point $(x_c, z_c+2)$ outside the droplet, where $(x_c, z_c)$ is the center of the droplet in the laboratory coordinate system.

Fig. 12. Droplet migration velocity in a flow field with the temperature gradient $G = 9\text{K/cm}$ versus non-dimensional time at $Ma=44.7, 301.9, 838.6, 1643.7$ and $2717.1$.

Fig. 13. Instantaneous thermocapillary migration velocity of the droplet in a flow field with the temperature gradient $G = 9\text{K/cm}$ at a fixed migration distance $l_z = 1/1.5/2\text{cm}$ denoted by diamonds/deltas/squares versus large Ma numbers. The experimental results\cite{28} rescaled by $V_{YGB}/v_0 = 2/[(2 + 3\mu_2/\mu_1)(2 + k_2/k_1)]$ are plotted and denoted by circles.