Effect of Different Inorganic Substrates on Growth Performance of African Catfish (Clarias gariepinus, Burchell 1822) and Lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.)
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A B S T R A C T

Lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) and African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) were preferred to grow in aquaponics due to their high and fast productivity growth. However, limited research was conducted on the impact on different inorganic substrates’ growth performance in aquaponics. In this study, lettuce’s growth performance was determined in four different kinds of inorganic substrates in Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) aquaponics by measuring final weight, daily growth rate, stem diameter, plant and root lengths, leaf number per plant and shoot/root ratio. Polyester fiber, rock wool, zeolite, and gravel were used as inorganic substrate materials. A constant flow rate of 0.3 L/min was maintained using with a submersible pump motor. At the end of the study, the African catfish’s feed conversion ratio was estimated to be 0.66, while the specific growth rate (SGR) was 2.3%. Total lettuce yields for polyester fiber, rock wool, zeolite and gravel were obtained as 5.072.22 kg/m², 4.934.03 kg/m², 6.067 kg/m², and 5.382.64 kg/m² respectively. There were statistically significant differences for daily growth rate between the inorganic substrates that the significantly highest values were recorded in the zeolite. The results revealed that initial plant length and shoot/root ratio were the significant factors on the growth performance for lettuce in aquaponic system tested. The best lettuce yield performance was observed in zeolite substrate but, economically available option was found as gravel for hydroponic troughs.

Introduction

Freshwater demand has tripled over the past 50 years and it has been increasing in parallel with population growth (Molden, 2017). Since, most freshwater is mainly used for food production, the sustainability of food and drinkable water is becoming more critical every year. According to Food and Agriculture Organization the average withdrawal of freshwater is 350 tonnes/year per capita globally, and agriculture is by far the biggest user of water (FAO, 2016). The water withdrawal ratios are 69% for agriculture, 12% for municipalities, and 19% for the industry. For more sustainable production and well-managed freshwater resources and wastewater treatment programs or systems, recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) have remarkably gained importance. In RAS, ammonia excreted by fish is converted to nitrite and non-toxic nitrate by the genera of Nitrobacter and Nitrosipra (Cebron and Garnier, 2005) at a suitable pH range between 7 and 8.5 (Tyson, 2004; Blackburne et al., 2007).

Aquaponics is another type of RAS that includes symbiotic fish-vegetable-bacteria co-culturing in the same system (Rakocy, 2012). Aquaponic systems are relatively new compared to other aquaculture production systems considering that research studies on it started in the 1980s (Rakocy, 1984).

In addition to benefits for aquaculture production and water use of aquaponics, it is the soil-free production of plants, meaning that farmers can grow plants without using chemical fertilizers, herbicides or pesticides for plants (Milicic et al., 2017). The density of aquaponic plant production is directly linked to the aquaculture water’s nutrient content, which is closely associated with the amount fish, feed quantity, and feed nutrient compositions. There are 16 macro and micronutrients such as nitrate, ammonium, phosphate, etc. for well-grown plants balanced with each other in aquaponics. All of these organic and inorganic factors in the aquaponics affect fish and plant growth.
(Somerville et al., 2014). The cultivation of plants in aquaponics systems have advantageous with their clean leaf production (Nicola et al., 2005). Another advantage of aquaponics is that it is well suited for urban environments since it requires a relatively small space. With this advantage alone, aquaponics can be located close to consumers, reducing cost of transportation, packaging, and storage of products (Rakocy et al., 2006; Somerville et al., 2014).

The main principle in aquaponics is the transformation of fish feces and uneaten fish feeds to useful plant nutrients such as nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate (Chen et al., 1997). Sengbusch et al. (1967) firstly tried to determine the problems in RAS. Sneed et al. (1975) studied fish farming and hydroponics together before Rakocy et al. (2006) started to set up their first commercial-scale aquaponic systems at the University of Virgin Islands. Also McMurtry et al. (1990) built the first aquaponic system containing fish, plants and bacteria units and studied blue tilapia (Sarotherodon aureus L.) and some plants e.g. bush bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.), cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) and tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) in Greenhouse. These pioneering studies were followed with other combinations such as tomato (Solanum lycopersicum)-tilapia (Watten and Busch, 1984; McMurtry et al., 1997), lettuce (Lactuca sativa)-tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) (Rakocy et al., 1997), basil (Ocimum basilicum)-lettuce (Lactuca sativa)-rainbow trout (Oncorynchus mykiss) (Adler et al., 2001), basil (Ocimum basilicum)-okra (Abelmoschus esculentus)-tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) (Rakocy et al., 2004), lettuce (Lactuca sativa)-Murray cod (Maccullochella peeli) (Lennard and Leonard, 2006), lettuce (Lactuca sativa)-tomato-pepper-cucumber-harramundi (Nelson, 2007) and cucumber-tilapia (Tyson et al., 2008).

Substrates are commonly used components for soilless plant production systems. The type of different substrates is known to have direct and indirect effects on plant yield such as on germination (Gell et al., 2011), phytotoxic effect (Abdullahi et al., 2008), growth (Sanchez et al. 2008). Several studies on the effectiveness of substrates in the hydroponic part of aquaponic systems have been carried out. In a study by Jordan et al. (2018) five substrates including crushed stone, zeolite, coconut shell fiber, phenolic foam and expanded vermiculite were tested in aquaponics and phenolic foam presented in the lowest lettuce yield with 1.94 kg/m² while coconut shell fiber generated the highest yield with 2.88 kg/m². Sole perlite, 75% perlite + 25% cocopeat, %50 perlite + %50 cocopeat, 25% perlite + 75% cocopeat and sole cocopeat substrates were investigated to determine the strawberry yield in aquaponics and higher levels of perlite positively influenced the production (Roosta, and Afsharipoor, 2012). In another study, flexible polyurethane foam was found to be a better substrate than crushed stone for lettuce production combined with tilapia production (Geisenhoff et al., 2016). Mineral wool and vermiculite were better substrates than perlite, coconut fiber, hydroloca, sphagnum moss, algae, and charcoal substrates in terms of spinach, chicory and basil production in aquaponic over the summer and autumn sessions (Khandaker and Kotzen, 2018; Nuwansi et al., 2016). A recent experiment found that lightweight expanded clay aggregate (LECA) as substrate yielded a higher lettuce product than the floating raft although the latter showed longer plant root (Velichkova et al., 2019).

Briefly, the use of different substrate in aquaponic systems may bring several benefits in terms of plant production and water quality by providing a different media for nitrogen converting bacteria and acting as a particle filter medium but not without some reservations such as clogging, the formation of death zones and cleaning difficulty (Rakocy et al., 2006). The latter issues are significant for smooth operation during and between the growing periods. Using substrate in only seedling baskets may be a solution for the problems but this issue has never been studied. Therefore, the present study was planned to investigate the effects of commonly available cheap inorganic substrates in only seedling baskets on plant production (lettuce) in an aquaponic system.

Materials and methods

System Setup

This experiment was conducted from April 1st to May 12th 2019 under Mediterranean climate conditions at the Kepez Unit of Mediterranean Fisheries Research Production and Training Institute (MEDFRI), Antalya, Turkey. The aquaponic system was set up with one circular rearing tank (2500 L water capacity) with dual drain, two particle sedimentation tanks (each 80 L), two nitrification tanks full of biomass (each 140 L), 12 hydroponic troughs (each 21.6L) and one sump tank (140 L). The overall dimension of the system is about 20m² (Figure 1).

Figure 1. A schematic view of the aquaponics system used for this study 1. Rearing Tank, 2. Radial Flow Separators, 3. Biofilters, 4. Plant Rafts, 5. Sump/Pump

Lactuca sativa (curly leaved variety of lettuce) is the most common plant for use in aquaponics. In this study, four different inorganic substrates, fiber, rock wool, zeolite and gravel, were used to determine their suitability for lettuce performance at a constant flow rate of 0.3 L/min. Lettuce seedlings were obtained from a local greenhouse. Each substrate was tested in triplicated troughs. A total of one-hundred twenty 3-6 leafed seedlings were used in the system, i.e., ten pieces of lettuce were tested in each trough. For the plantation of seedlings, styrofoam boards and foam cups were used as plant carrier. The seedlings’ initial weight and length were 2.18 g ± 0.47 g and 113.2 mm ± 13.17 mm, respectively. Planting distance between seedlings was equidistant at 12 cm. Fe-EDDHA supplementation was used three times as a chelated iron agent at dose of 2 ppm to protect the plants against chlorosis. Only natural sunlight...
and photoperiod during April 1st-May 12th 2019 were used in the system. At the end of the experiment, plants were harvested, final weight, specific growth rate (g/day), final stem diameter (mm), final plant length (mm), final root length (mm), final leaf number per plant and shoot length/root length ratio were calculated.

African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*, used in the experiment were obtained from a large population produced at the hatchery of MEDFRI. A total of 207 African catfish with an initial average weight of 48.32±12.84 g (initial stocking density of 5 kg/m³) was stocked. Fish were fed with a commercial extruded trout feed with 6 mm diameter containing 45% crude protein, 20% crude lipid, 10% crude ash, 1% crude cellulose, 1.2% calcium, 1.1% phosphorus, 0.3% sodium, 12% moisture. Feeding was done twice a day at 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Feeding level was adjusted at 0.75% of total biomass during the first two weeks, 1.4% during 2-4 weeks, 2.8% during the last weeks of the experiment considering water temperature. Sludge consisting of the fish feces and uneaten feed accumulated in the sedimentation tanks was removed from the system.

Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT) was calculated using the following formula. HRT= Water Flow Rate (L/day) / Raft Volume (L).

Feed conversion ratio (FCR) is a good instrument to determine of acceptability of fish feed for fish. The feed conversion ratio was computed in accordance with the following formula (Jhingran, 1991). FCR= Total amount of feed (kg) / Total amount of fish produced (kg). Specific growth rate of African catfish was calculated using as following formula.

\[
SGR = \ln(MW1) - \ln(MW2) \times 100/\text{number of days}
\]

\[
WM1 : \text{Mean weight at day 42 (g)}
\]

\[
WM2 : \text{Mean weight at day 1 (g)}
\]

**Water Quality**

All water quality parameters measured daily in the aquaponics are shown in Figure 2. Before the commencement of this study, an adaptation period of one month was implemented for a steady-state *Nitrosomonas* and *Nitrobacter* bacterial biomass in the biofilter converting ammonia nitrogen to nitrite and nitrate respectively. To accumulate right amount of nitrification bacteria in a biofilter tank, some African catfish were fed with commercial feed in that period before the study. Water temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), oxygen saturation (%), conductivity (mS/m) and salinity (ppt) were measured using a handheld multiparameter instrument (model ProDSS, YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, Ohio) in the field, pH values are determined by benchtop instrument in the laboratory (Orion 4 Star, Thermo Scientific). All parameters were measured twice a day before feeding.

To determine ion concentrations, weekly water samples are collected from close to the inlet of each unit of the system (fish rearing, sedimentation, biofilter and sump tanks). Each water sample filtered with 0.45 µm filters before analysis with 10 mL sample volume. Ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, phosphate, potassium, magnesium, calcium, sulfate, chloride, sodium ions were determined using ion chromatography ( Dionex ICS 3000, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) according to the manufacturer instructions. Total suspended solids (TSS) were determined gravimetrically using 0.7 µm glass fiber filter disks (APHA, 1998).

**Statistical Data Analysis**

The statistical analyses were performed using JMP 7 Software (Ver. 12.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N. C.), using variance (One-way ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s HSD post comparison test was performed. Confidential interval was 95%, and results were given as mean ± standard deviation.

**Results and Discussion**

No fish death and no fungal and bacterial diseases were detected over the study period. The fish demonstrated productive growth. Fish grew from 48 g to 133 g over 42 days of experiment with a specific growth rate of 2.36% (Table 1). African catfish specific fish growth rate was found better in comparison to some other studies such as 0.48% (Baßmann et al., 2017), 1.68% to 1.80% in different hydraulic loading rates (Endut et al., 2010), 0.73% to 0.80% in different plant (*Ocimum basilicum*) densities (Baßmann et al., 2020). The feed conversion ratio of African catfish kept in the aquaponic system here were calculated as 0.66, which is better than those reported for African catfish maintained in conventional and recirculating aquaculture (Hogendoorn et al., 1983; Strauch et al., 2018) as well as in aquaponic system (Palm et al., 2014). Better FCR result of this study might linked to fish wealth in fish tank. Total suspended solids (TSS) in recirculating aquaculture systems have a potential for African catfish wealth. African catfish likes to live in turbid water TSS is directly related to the amount of fish feed, fish feces and microorganisms decomposition and decay. TSS caused turbid water in fish tank and this may have caused to visual restriction among fish. Visual restriction might have prevented fish fight for territory and TSS depended turbidity may have directly increased the FCR value and survival rate (Mukai et al., 2013). The study’s total fish production was 27.56 kg with a stocking density of 11 kg/m³, suggesting that the aquaponic system used provided acceptable water quality over the experimental period.

| Parameters                          | Weight     |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Initial weight (g/fish)            | 48.32±12.84|
| Final weight (g/fish)              | 133.1±57.1 |
| Specific growth rate (%/day)       | 2.36       |
| Harvest weight (kg)                | 27.56      |
| Stock density at harvest (kg/m³)   | 11.02      |
| Survival rate (%)                  | 100        |
| Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)        | 0.66       |

Water temperature at the beginning of the study increased from 14.5°C to 24°C in the last period of the experiment, depending on the environmental temperature (Figure 2). There was a decreasing trend in dissolved oxygen concentrations in the whole system, which appears
to increase fish mass, feeding level, and water temperature. Expectedly, dissolved oxygen levels were lower in sedimentation and biofilter tanks compared with the other units, considering that a remarkable oxygen consumption of heterotrophic bacteria in the former, and Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter in the latter (Hagopian and Riley, 1998; Davidson et al., 2008; Ebeling and Timmons, 2012).

Dissolved oxygen concentrations and saturation in the sump tank were significantly higher than the radial flow separator tank and biofilter tank (F_{a,b}=36.081, P<0.05; F_{a,b}=42.037, P<0.05 respectively). In fish rearing tank, dissolved oxygen concentration was between 3 and 9 mg/L which was suitable for catfish culture (Toko et al., 2007).

Figure 2. Variations of physicochemical water quality of aquaponics units

There was an increasing electrical current (EC) and salinity trend in all sampling points over the study period, with no significant differences between the system’s parts (Figure 2). This trend seems to have a slight plateau during the last part of the study, being consistent with Danaher et al. (2013) observations, who underlined the importance of increasing feed usage with growing fish size over the culture period daily increased 10 to 15 g/m²/d. Feed usage, indeed, can result in more nutrient and ion accumulations with concomitant increase of EC in aquaponic systems (Maucieri et al. 2019). However, lettuce’s increasing nutrient demands during the final stage may have played a role in slowing or leveling off the trend in EC and salinity as underlined by Lennard and Leonard (2006) and Palm et al. (2014).

A general increasing trend of dissolved cations and anions from the beginning to the trial ending was observed (Figure 3). Although ammonia concentrations in particle separation tanks were higher than other parts during the first four weeks changing in a range of <0.3-1.20 mg/L, the difference was not statistically significant (P>0.05). Other studies such as Antoniou et al. (1990), Marschner (2003) and Tyson et al. (2011) have demonstrated that low temperatures mostly increased the reliance of primary producers on ammonium rather than nitrate as a N resource. Toxic effects of high levels of unionized ammonia in aquaponic water are buffered by low pH (Wurts, 2003), which was possibly the case in the present study with decreasing pH trend over the study period be seen in Figure 1. Nitrite concentrations showed a higher variation between units of the system. Indeed, significant differences (P<0.05) in the concentrations were determined with higher values in the separation tank than the biofilter tank. Nitrite concentrations increased highly in all parts of the system in the last part of the trial with a change from <0.00 to 2.00 mg/L, except for the sump tank. Nitrate accumulations were observed in all aquaponics units thanks to the bacterial nitrification activity, and the maximum level reached 222.78 mg/L. Although nitrate concentrations higher than 140 mg/L were not suggested for African catfish due to reduced feed intake and growth performance (Schram et al. 2014), the maximum level recorded here appeared to be no problem for fish considering excellent growth rate and FCR.
There were a general increasing trend of dissolved anions such as calcium and sodium. A smooth increasing trend compared to other anions was the case for chloride concentrations with the change between 18.8 and 60.0 mg/L. There were no significant differences between the concentrations of any of these anions among the system units (P > 0.05). Phosphate levels in all units increased up to 7 mg/L in all tanks (Figure 2), consistent with the observations of da Silva Cerozi and Fitzsimmons (2017). Phosphorus availability for plants rises with a decrease in pH and an increase in temperature (Cerozi et al., 2016).

Ion concentration in all tanks was found to be directly related to the pH level of aquaponics water. While ion concentrations in general increased with time, an opposite trend was the case for pH levels due to the bacterial conversion process of NH₄ to NO₃, which includes acid-producing reactions (Losordo et al. 2009). Decreasing pH in aquaponics is sought for increased solubilites and better absorption of nutrients such as boron, Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺, PO₄³⁻, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ due for plant growth (Maucieri et al. 2019). pH exhibited lower values in the sump tank where hydroponic outlets are collected compared to other units (Fₓ,y=2.753, P > 0.05). This presumably was a result of more nitrification processes taking place in the plant production troughs. Concentrations of sulfate, one of the most essential nutrients for plant growth, showed a similar increasing trend in all tanks during the period of the study, as observed by Robinson et al. (2011). Despite an increase of sulfate concentrations, Villarroel et al. (2011) recommended supplemental sulfate for strawberry growth in the aquaponic system including tilapia.

Zou et al. (2016) found that pH has an opposite relationship between fish biomass increase (kg/m³) and plant biomass increase (kg/m²). When pH increases, plant biomass decreases while fish biomass increases were reported.

The FCR calculated as 0.66 is an excellent score in comparison with the FCR value of (Endut et al., 2010) 1.23±1.39, (Akinwole and Faturoti, 2007) 1.1±1.7 and, 0.85 (Eding and Kamstra 2001). There was no adverse effect of inorganic substrates on the survival rate of African catfish, the survival rate was 100% at the end of the study. The specific growth rate was 2.36%.

| Table 2. Growth parameters of whole plant reared in different substrates in an aquaponics system for 6 weeks. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| IPL (mm) | Polyester Fiber, Zeolite, Gravel | Rock wool, Gravel | Zeolite | Gravel | ANOVA | CV (%) | Tukey-HSD |
| 113.8±11.1° | 117.8±12.5° | 119.9±9.7° | 101.1±13.5° | P < 0.01 | 0.11 | 8.05 |
| FPL (mm) | 502.5±62.3° | 525.1±69.6° | 485.6±67.2° | 326.5±34.6° | P < 0.01 | 0.11 | 35.02 |
| IRL (mm) | 58.0±7.5° | 59.0±8.3° | 58.5±7.6° | 53.2±9.5° | P < 0.05 | 0.15 | 5.66 |
| FRL (mm) | 298.2±56.4° | 335.6±60.7° | 275.9±54.7° | 140.5±25.7° | P < 0.01 | 0.14 | 25.61 |
| ISD (mm) | 2.7±0.3 | 2.6±0.5 | 2.5±0.5 | 2.5±0.4 | P < 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.27 |
| FSD (mm) | 21.3±2.6° | 21.1±2.7° | 23.0±2.1° | 21.6±3.2° | P < 0.05 | 0.12 | 1.83 |
| IPW (g) | 2.4±0.5 | 2.3±0.4 | 2.1±0.4 | 2.1±0.6 | P < 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.32 |
| FPW (g) | 243.5±60.0° | 236.8±49.5° | 291.1±81.4° | 258.4±80.0° | P < 0.05 | 0.28 | 47.89 |
| ILN | 3.9±0.4° | 4.5±0.6° | 4.2±0.5° | 4.8±0.8° | P < 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.40 |
| FLN | 37.1±4.3 | 35.3±3.5 | 35.6±3.9 | 34.5±5.5 | P < 0.05 | 0.12 | 2.79 |
| GR (% g/day) | 5.7±1.4° | 5.6±1.8° | 6.9±1.9° | 6.1±2.0° | P < 0.01 | 0.28 | 1.14 |
| Shoot/root | 15.4±3.0° | 18.7±4.6° | 11.1±1.4° | 10.0±1.4° | P < 0.01 | 0.18 | 1.62 |
| Total Yield (g/m²) | 5072.2 | 4934.0 | 6067.4 | 5382.6 |

IPL= Initial Plant Length, FPL= Final Plant Length, IRL= Initial Root Length, FRL= Final Root Length, ISD= Initial Stem Diameter, FSD= Final Stem Diameter, ISD= Initial Plant Weight, FPW= Final Plant Weight, ILN= Initial Leaf Number, FLN= Final Leaf Number, GR= Growth Rate, Values are given as mean (n=3) with standard deviation.

There were a general increasing trend of dissolved anions such as calcium and sodium. A smooth increasing trend compared to other anions was the case for chloride concentrations with the change between 18.8 and 60.0 mg/L. There were no significant differences between the concentrations of any of these anions among the system units (P > 0.05). Phosphate levels in all units increased up to 7 mg/L in all tanks (Figure 2), consistent with the observations of da Silva Cerozi and Fitzsimmons (2017). Phosphorus availability for plants rises with a decrease in pH and an increase in temperature (Cerozi et al., 2016).

Ion concentration in all tanks was found to be directly related to the pH level of aquaponics water. While ion concentrations in general increased with time, an opposite trend was the case for pH levels due to the bacterial conversion process of NH₄ to NO₃, which includes acid-producing reactions (Losordo et al. 2009). Decreasing pH in aquaponics is sought for increased solubilites and better better availabilities of nutrients such as boron, Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺, PO₄³⁻, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ due for plant growth (Maucieri et al. 2019). pH exhibited lower values in the sump tank where hydroponic outlets are collected compared to other units (Fₓ,y=2.753, P > 0.05). This presumably was a result of more nitrification processes taking place in the plant production troughs. Concentrations of sulfate, one of the most essential nutrients for plant growth, showed a similar increasing trend in all tanks during the period of the study, as observed by Robinson et al. (2011). Despite an increase of sulfate concentrations, Villarroel et al. (2011) recommended supplemental sulfate for strawberry growth in the aquaponic system including tilapia.

Zou et al. (2016) found that pH has an opposite relationship between fish biomass increase (kg/m³) and plant biomass increase (kg/m²). When pH increases, plant biomass decreases while fish biomass increases were reported.

The FCR calculated as 0.66 is an excellent score in comparison with the FCR value of (Endut et al., 2010) 1.23±1.39, (Akinwole and Faturoti, 2007) 1.1±1.7 and, 0.85 (Eding and Kamstra 2001). There was no adverse effect of inorganic substrates on the survival rate of African catfish, the survival rate was 100% at the end of the study. The specific growth rate was 2.36%.

Plant growth rate, final plant length, final root length, final stem diameter, final plant weight, shoot/root ratio, feed conversion ratio and yield differ significantly among substrate materials. At the end of the study, the maximum average final plant length (FPL) was 525.1±69.6 mm in the rock wool group, and the minimum average final plant length (FPL) was 326.5±34.6 mm in the gravel group with a statistically different (P < 0.05). The maximum shoot/root ratio was in the rock wool group as 18.7±4.6 mm and minimum shoot/root ratio was observed in the gravel group with 10.0±1.4. While the final heaviest average plant weight was observed in the zeolite group with 291.1±81.4 g, the lightest final average weight was monitored in the rock wool group with 236.8±49.5 g. Average plant productions are 5072±2, 4924±2, 6067±4, 5382±3, and 5382±3 g/m² fiber, rock wool, zeolite, and gravel respectively. The highest growth rate was observed in the zeolite group with 6.9±1.9 g/day (Table 2). Final leaf numbers of all groups have no statistically significant differences among them. The relatively higher final plant weight yield of the zeolite group can be explained by the adherence of total ammonium, nitrite and nitrate-nitrogen to the zeolite material (Maucieri et al. 2018). Zeolites are characterized by high ammonium and nitrate nitrogen adsorbers (Wang and Peng 2010; Mazeikiene et al., 2010). Rafiee and Saad (2006) compared natural zeolite substrates with a control group with no substrate, which is integrated with Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). They found the Zeolite group is more advantageous, approximately 5.5 times to control group in terms of yield. Sikawa and Yakupitiyage (2010) used sand and gravel substrate materials for growing lettuce in hybrid catfish (Clarias microcephalus x C. gariepinus) pond water. They found that filtered water increased lettuce yields by 87% (control), 63% (gravel) and 52% (sand). In this study, gravel substrate showed statistically important plant weight gain with zeolite substrate when compared with other substrates (P < 0.05, Table 2). In another study, rock wool and cotton wool substrates have been compared to establish plant yield. At the end of the study, the rock wool medium had a 17.6% higher common carp, Cyprinus carpio, average weight compared to cotton wool (Sirakov et al., 2017).
Figure 3. Ion concentrations of aquaponics’ units

(Rearing Tank, Separation Tank, Biofilter, Sump/Pump)
In lettuce hydroponics, different rock wool and perlite ratios have been compared with each other after 10 days. Also, 100% rock wool substrate had more than two times better results versus 100% perlite substrate considering wet weight (Tapia and Caro, 2009). Rock wool, fiber and zeolite have better final plant length results compared to gravel (Table 2). Clinoptilolite zeolite was used as a substrate for sweet pepper crops in a hydroponic system with its environmentally friendly properties. In recirculating hydroponic systems, plant uptake and zeolite substrate reduced nitrate-nitrogen levels in water (Harland et al, 1997). This adhesion property of zeolite can be an advantage for the final plant weight (Table 2). At the end of the study, maximum plant yield was calculated in the zeolite group as 6067 g/m², the minimum yield was measured in the fiber group as 5072 g/m².

In this study hydraulic retention rate was calculated as 20 times a day. In a study about the hydraulic retention rate of aquaponics, four different flow rates were examined: 50%, 100%, 200%, and 400% with Ipomea aquatica, Lactuca sativa, and Canna glauca. The highest yield of the plants was found in 400% recirculation rate at the low fish density of 122/m³ (Trang et al. 2017).

Three different sized live feed species naturally occurred in plant raft unit which are Physella acuta, Daphnia pulex, and Cyclops vicinus. These species can eat naturally grown phytoplankton in troughs. Furthermore, these species may be used for the juvenile fish feeding also. This type of aquaponic system may use for alternatively live feed species’ coproduction. 30% of international aquaponics practitioners use live feed for feeding fish in their aquaponics (Love et al., 2014), and 27% of South African aquaponics practitioners use live feed in their aquaponics (Mchunu et al., 2018).

Parameters about plant growth indicate that the use of inorganic substrate even only in seedling baskets has a remarkable potential growth to affect lettuce development. Since we did not analyze each of the troughs separately in terms of ions, we cannot make a clear conclusion about the nutrient absorptions of lettuce kept in different substrates.

Conclusions

In this study, different substrates were examined to determine the best growing environment with fiber, rock wool, zeolite, and gravel. Fiber and rock wool substrate materials were not useful substrates as the plant’s roots were difficult to separate from the substrate materials, and since they are filament-based, these materials cost much more by comparison with gravel and zeolite. These results indicate that the selection of relatively large gravel will be more profitable and more labor-friendly.

Thanks to African catfish’ outstanding feed conversion rate, nitrogen in the water was well managed with the nitrification process. This study also suggests that intensive and extensive aquaculture facilities can modify their production units at a low cost to prevent discharge based unwanted eutrophication in Turkish freshwater.

Zeolite raft substrate results were better than the other substrates thanks to its particular nitrogen adhesive property. Rock wool substrate did not perform as well as zeolite, fiber and gravel substrates statistically. It was noticed that unsuitable sized gravels could block water flow in rafts. However, gravels were the cheapest substrate when compared to others. Fiber and rock wool have disadvantages in that they need to be washed to eliminate hazardous chemicals before use in aquaponics.

This research showed that zeolite, fiber and gravel substrates statistically significant differences among all substrates in yield, however the most economical substrate choice could be gravel for lettuce integrated with African catfish.
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