A GATA4-regulated secretory program suppresses tumors through recruitment of cytotoxic CD8 T cells
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The GATA4 transcription factor acts as a master regulator of development of multiple tissues. GATA4 also acts in a distinct capacity to control a stress-inducible pro-inflammatory secretory program that is associated with senescence, a potent tumor suppression mechanism, but also operates in non-senescent contexts such as tumorigenesis. This secretory pathway is composed of chemokines, cytokines, growth factors, and proteases. Since GATA4 is deleted or epigenetically silenced in cancer, here we examine the role of GATA4 in tumorigenesis in mouse models through both loss-of-function and overexpression experiments. We find that GATA4 promotes non-cell autonomous tumor suppression in multiple model systems. Mechanistically, we show that Gata4-dependent tumor suppression requires cytotoxic CD8 T cells and partially requires the secreted chemokine CCL2. Analysis of transcriptome data in human tumors reveals reduced lymphocyte infiltration in GATA4-deficient tumors, consistent with our murine data. Notably, activation of the GATA4-dependent secretory program combined with an anti-PD-1 antibody robustly abrogates tumor growth in vivo.
Due to homeostatic imbalances that accompany tumorigenesis, cancer cells experience multiple stresses that are detected by a variety of sensory pathways that help ameliorate stress internally and communicate to the rest of the organism that stress is occurring. One such pathway is the DNA damage response (DDR) pathway that is triggered by stresses that act to impair DNA integrity, DNA replication processes, or even the oxidative stress status of cells. One functional output of these sensory pathways is to alert the immune system that unusual events are occurring in case some of the stress-inducing events might involve pathogens. For example, DNA damage has been shown to activate NKG2D ligand expression to bring about innate immune surveillance.

Another set of responses activated by the DDR is tumor suppressive in nature and include the activation of apoptosis, which kills cells, and a terminal differentiation pathway called cellular senescence. Senescence is a response to cellular stresses, such as genotoxic stress, shortened telomeres, or oncogenic mutations, which results in an irreversible cell cycle arrest mediated by activation of the CDK inhibitors p21CIP/WAF1 (CDKN1A) via TP53 activation and transcriptional upregulation of p16INK4A (CDKN2A)1–4. Furthermore, this cessation of proliferation cannot be rescued by mitogens and growth factors3,4. Senescent cells play a role in physiological processes such as wound healing, but their accumulation in organisms during aging has also been implicated in promoting age-related pathologies such as loss of tissue homeostasis, atherosclerosis, and tau-dependent pathology5–9. Consistent with a role in aging10–13, properties that regulate the rate of senescence induction, such as telomere length and the rate of telomere attrition, are linked to lifespan14–19.

Associated with senescence is an inflammatory secretory response called SASP5,6. While senescence-associated, the term SASP is somewhat imprecise in the sense that it happens to be activated by similar stresses but can be activated in replicating cells independently of the cell cycle arrest pathways that are required for senescence. This secretory response to DNA damage can be genetically separated from the mitotic arrest in senescent cells by mutation of the GATA-binding protein 4 (GATA4) transcription factor. GATA4 has established roles in the development of tissues in the heart, testis, liver, foregut, and pancreas16. We recently identified GATA4 as the master transcriptional regulator of this damage-induced secretory response and the miR146a gene, previously used to identify genes that contribute to senescence in genetic screens17,18. GATA4 protein stability is regulated by selective autophagy via association with p62 (SQSTM1). In response to DNA damage and oncogenic stress, GATA4 interaction with p62 is abrogated, resulting in increased GATA4 stability and expression of downstream secreted proteins including chemokines, cytokines, growth factors, and proteases19,20. Notably, GATA4 functions independently of the TP53-CDKN1A and RB-CDKN2A pathways but like the TP53 pathway is regulated by USP2821 which is a direct target of the DDR-activated ATM protein kinase22,23. Activated GATA4 not only positively regulates the NFkB transcription factor but also displays NFkB-independent functions17. Previous studies have nominated GATA4 as a candidate tumor suppressor gene in part because GATA4 experiences promoter hypermethylation in glioblastoma, ovarian, endometrial, and colorectal carcinomas24–28. Moreover, GATA4 is thought to regulate cellular proliferation in pancreatic, liver, lung, and breast cancers as restoration of GATA4 expression in cell line models suppressed tumorigenesis to varying degrees, although in some cases decreased cell division was potentially attributed to differentiation programs regulated by GATA429–31. While these studies focused on exploring the role of GATA4 in controlling proliferation, its role as a regulator of the pro-inflammatory secretome in cancer has not been extensively studied in vivo. Therefore, interrogation of GATA4 provides an opportunity to study the poorly understood role of this stress-regulated secretory response during tumorigenesis. Importantly, mechanisms that bypass senescence induction are required for tumor progression, and GATA4-dependent effects in this context would be independent of cell cycle arrest.

Here, we interrogated the role of GATA4 in tumorigenesis via genetic targeting of Gata4 in multiple in vivo tumor models to clarify its function during tumorigenesis. We found that GATA4 regulates the expression of a secretory program that suppresses tumorigenesis through the recruitment of CD8+ T cells.

Results

Gata4 loss enhances tumor progression in an autochthonous model of Kras-driven murine lung adenocarcinoma. TP53 has previously been shown to be a negative regulator of the secretory pathway co-activated along with senescence19,32. Given the prevalence of defects in the TP53 pathway in cancer, we chose to explore the role of Gata4 in tumorigenesis by deleting Gata4 in a p53-deficient genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM) of lung adenocarcinoma. The KP (loxP-Stop-lox KrasG12D+/+; p53fl/fl) GEMM develops lung adenocarcinomas after intratracheal delivery of lentiviral vectors expressing Cre recombinase33,34. To rapidly interrogate the functional consequences of Gata4 loss during tumor initiation in the KP model, we crossed KP mice with a conditional allele of the CRISPR-associated endonuclease, Cas9 (RosaspSL-Cas9, hereafter KPC)35. We induced tumors in KPC mice using pUSEC lentiviruses36 expressing Cre recombinase and either control sgRNAs (sgCtrl), two independent sgRNAs targeting Gata4 (sgGata4), or an sgRNA targeting the senescence cell cycle regulator Cdkn2a (sgCdkn2a) (Fig. 1a).

Lungs were harvested at 8 weeks and 16 weeks post tumor initiation to assess differences in tumor burden and histopathological changes upon targeted somatic genome editing (Fig. 1b). In two independent experiments, mice targeted with sgGata4 exhibited increased tumor burden at 16 weeks when compared to sgCtrl mice, suggesting a Gata4-dependent tumor suppressive phenotype (Fig. 1c). As expected, somatic disruption of the known senescence cell cycle regulator and potent tumor suppressor, Cdkn2a, also resulted in increased tumor burden. Gata4-targeted mice had histopathologically more advanced tumors compared to sgCtrl mice, indicating that targeted Gata4 somatic editing results in more aggressive tumors (Fig. 1d). Interestingly, analysis of mice 8 weeks post-infection similarly revealed that somatic editing of Gata4 not only increased both the tumor burden and histopathological grade, but also total tumor numbers when compared to sgCtrl mice (Fig. 1e, f, g. Supplementary Fig. 1b), indicating that Gata4 loss may contribute to enhanced tumor initiation. Deep sequencing of the Gata4 locus in micro-dissected tumors revealed a clear selection for loss of function mutations in sgGata4 tumors, as was observed for the Cdkn2a locus in tumors isolated from sgCdkn2a mice (Fig. 1h, Supplementary Fig. 1c, d). The finding that Gata4 deficiency impacted both tumor burden and tumor initiation are consistent with the hypotheses that Gata4 might operate by regulating cellular proliferation to restrict tumor growth. However, immunohistochemistry of phospho-Histone H3 (pHH3), a marker of proliferation, was reduced in sgGata4-targeted tumors when compared to sgCtrl mice at the 16-week timepoint and trended downward at the 8-week timepoint, suggesting overall that an increase in tumor burden in sgGata4 mice was not due to an increase in cell cycle activity (Fig. 1i, Supplementary Fig. 1e). Taken together, these data suggest that inactivation of the SASP
A regulator Gata4 enhances tumorigenesis by increasing tumor initiation rather than by increasing cell proliferation.

sgGata4-targeted tumors in the autochthonous model of lung adenocarcinoma have fewer TILs than control tumors. We interrogated the transcriptional consequences of CRISPR targeting of Gata4 by performing RNA-seq on micro-dissected tumors from sgGata4- and sgCtrl-targeted tumor-bearing mice. RNA-seq of bulk tumors revealed a number of differentially expressed genes between Gata4-targeted tumors and controls (Fig. 2a-b, Supplementary Data 1). Geneset enrichment analysis (GSEA) on the list of differentially expressed genes showed that pathways depleted in Gata4-targeted tumors relative to controls include inflammatory pathways, such as interferon-beta signaling.
interferon-gamma signaling, immunoregulatory interactions, cytokine signaling in the immune system, and signaling by interleukins; collectively, these inflammatory pathways are relevant to lymphocytes (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Data 2)37. By contrast, enriched pathways in sgGata4-targeted tumors included functions found in metabolically active cells, including cholesterol biosynthesis, DNA repair, transcription, and mRNA processing (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Data 2). Cell cycle and mitotic pathways were not included in the top differentially expressed pathways, again suggesting that cellular proliferation is not the primary driver of Gata4-dependent tumor suppression. Among the most enriched pathways expressed in sgGata4-targeted tumors were the TGF beta and SMAD signaling genesets. Activation of the TGF beta-SMAD pathway promotes a potent immunosuppressive environment required for the differentiation, maintenance, and effector functions of suppressive immune populations such as regulatory T cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells, suggesting that sgGata4-targeted tumors have increased immune-suppressive signaling. Genes whose expression has been previously validated to correlate with the abundance of lymphocytes such as B cells and T cells are also downregulated in sgGata4-targeted tumors, suggesting that sgGata4-targeted tumors experience reduced immune surveillance relative to sgCtrl tumors (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Data 3), and this was confirmed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) for the pan T cell marker CD3 and the cytotoxic T-cell-specific marker CD8 (Fig. 2c–d, Supplementary Fig. 2a). We confirmed that lymphocyte-specific signatures were reduced in tumors isolated from sgGata4-targeted mice by applying genesets derived from published datasets of single-cell RNA sequencing experiments of lymphocytes (Supplementary Fig. 2b, Supplementary Data 3). The phenotype of reduced TILs is specific to sgGata4-targeted tumors and is not present in tumors isolated from sgCdkn2a-targeted mice (Supplementary Fig. 3a). Interestingly, the expression of genes required for antigen presentation, such as the interferon-inducible Tap1 and Tap2, are also reduced in tumors from sgGata4-targeted mice (Supplementary Fig. 3b), possibly due to the absence of γ-interferon from TILs. Our findings demonstrate that Gata4 is important for lymphocyte recruitment to tumors.

GATA4 copy number loss is associated with lower immune infiltrate in human tumors. GATA4 is not frequently mutated but is frequently deleted in multiple human tumor types and frequently silenced in lung and gastric cancers28,38 and 61% of colon cancers28. To further explore this, we examined whether genomic alterations affecting GATA4 correlated with immune infiltration in tumors. GATA4 resides on chromosome 8p, which is deleted in multiple tumor types at a frequency similar to that of TP53 deletion on 17p (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 4a-b). At least one copy of GATA4/8p is deleted in 51% of lung adenocarcinoma tumors (Supplementary Figs. 4c, 5c). Based on the immune-cold phenotype observed with targeted loss of Gata4 in the KPC model, we hypothesized that loss of GATA4/8p may correlate with TIL abundance in human tumors. To examine this relationship, we used purity-corrected copy number data from the TCGA database to identify tumors that had lost at least 1 copy of GATA4 and assessed differential expression in the GATA4-deleted tumors compared to GATA4-wild-type tumors. We then performed geneset enrichment analysis using immune cell-type-specific genesets to determine whether any immune cell populations were depleted or enriched in GATA4-deleted tumors. In six of nine tumor types examined, loss of GATA4 was significantly associated with decreased abundance of specific immune-related transcript sets indicative of tumor-infiltrating cytotoxic CD8 T cells, CD4 T cells, and B cells (Fig. 3b–c, Supplementary Fig. 6a-b, Supplementary Data 3–4).

Since there are several potentially confounding genomic covariates associated with GATA4 loss that may affect immune infiltration, including total aneuploidy levels which have been shown to negatively correlate with immune infiltrate (Davoli et al., 2017), as well as specific CNAs such as +8q, which is enriched in −8p samples, we took several different approaches to account for covariates. First, we analyzed the association of GATA4 loss with immune infiltration in subsets of tumors with overall low levels of aneuploidy, and find that this association is still present (Supplementary Fig. 6c). Second, since the top CNA covariate of loss of 8p is gain of 8q across tumor types (Supplementary Fig. 5b, c), possibly due to structural mechanisms like isochromosome formation, we specifically addressed the +8q covariate, particularly since 8q contains the prominent oncogene MYC. We analyzed tumors that lost 8p but did not gain 8q and found that 8p loss in the absence of 8q gain is still associated with lower levels of TIL-associated transcripts (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Fig. 7a, Supplementary Data 5). Thus, a break in the centromeric region of chromosome 8 followed by isochromosome-8q formation or other types of resolution that could facilitate an extra copy of 8q concurrent with 8p loss could have two independent protumorigenic effects: gain of MYC to promote cell proliferation and growth, and loss of GATA4 to reduce immune surveillance.
**Fig. 2 Loss of Gata4 in vivo is associated with reduction of inflammatory pathways and results in reduced tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.**

a | RNA-seq was performed on bulk tumors and included both tumor and stromal cells. Individual tumors were micro-dissected from mouse lung tissue. GSEA on differentially expressed genes between sgGata4-targeted tumors and sgCtrl-targeted tumors is shown; genesets of interest were derived from the curated genesets from the Reactome database. Genesets of interest that were depleted in sgGata4-targeted tumors versus sgCtrl-targeted tumors are shown in red. Genesets of interest, which were enriched in sgGata4-targeted tumors versus sgCtrl-targeted tumors are shown in green; 10 out of the top 16 differentially expressed genesets are shown. All genesets displayed have a statistically significant FDR < 0.01.

b | Sets of genes whose expression correlates with the abundance of different subsets of immune cells (see Supplementary Data 1) were analyzed for their expression in sgGata4-targeted tumors versus sgCtrl-targeted tumors. Representative genes characterizing each immune cell type are shown with a heatmap representing the corresponding FDR q-value derived from the RNA-seq analysis of tumors. Quantification of IHC of CD3 staining of serially sectioned lung lobes collected 16 weeks P.I. from the autochthonous KPC lung cancer model. Representative images are shown with a heatmap representing the corresponding FDR q-value derived from the RNA-seq analysis of tumors.

c | Quantification of IHC of CD8 staining of serially sectioned lung lobes collected 16 weeks P.I. Error bars represent the mean ± SD and statistics were derived from a two-sided t-test. Data are derived from n = 4 mice totalling n = 139 tumors for sgCtrl and n = 6 mice totalling n = 262 tumors for sgGata4. Quantification of IHC of CD8 staining of serially sectioned lung lobes collected 16 weeks P.I. Error bars represent the mean ± SD, and statistics were derived from a two-sided t-test. Data are derived from n = 4 mice totalling n = 183 tumors for sgCtrl and n = 6 mice totalling n = 171 tumors for sgGata4.

d | Clustering and to disambiguate it from other inflammatory pathways and results in reduced tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. a | RNA-seq was performed on bulk tumors and included both tumor and stromal cells. Individual tumors were micro-dissected from mouse lung tissue. GSEA on differentially expressed genes between sgGata4-targeted tumors and sgCtrl-targeted tumors is shown; genesets of interest were derived from the curated genesets from the Reactome database. Genesets of interest that were depleted in sgGata4-targeted tumors versus sgCtrl-targeted tumors are shown in red. Genesets of interest, which were enriched in sgGata4-targeted tumors versus sgCtrl-targeted tumors are shown in green; 10 out of the top 16 differentially expressed genesets are shown. All genesets displayed have a statistically significant FDR < 0.01.

Finally, to further clarify the association of GATA4 gene dosage with immune infiltration and to disambiguate it from other chromosome arm-level events that co-occur with GATA4/8p loss (not limited to +8q), we developed a cohort CNA normalization strategy, C-Norm, for Copy number covariate Normalization, to control for all correlated and anticorrelated CNAs. We first calculated the over- or under-representation of every arm-level CNA with GATA4/8p loss compared to GATA4/8p-neutral tumors, then we prioritized samples to mask from either group based on how many over-represented CNAs were present in the sample. After removing a small number of prioritized samples at random, CNA rates were recalculated and this process was...
Fig. 3 GATA4 is deleted in human cancer and is associated with the abundance of TILs. a Summary of TCGA data showing the most common chromosomal deletions (blue) and amplifications (red) in human lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) tumor samples. Chromosome arm 8p contains the human GATA4 gene. Chromosome 8 is highlighted. b CD8 T cell-associated transcripts are decreased in GATA4-deleted tumors in lung adenocarcinoma. c Analysis of the abundance of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in TCGA tumor samples of various subtypes. Tumor samples were corrected for tumor purity before calling copy number alterations. TCGA RNA-seq data were used to calculate the association between GATA4 copy number and the abundance of TIL subtypes in each tumor type. Color indicates the FDR of the correlation between GATA4 copy number and the abundance of TIL subtypes. Darker colors indicate higher significance. Abbreviations are breast adenocarcinoma (BRCA; n = 256 GATA4 loss, n = 460 no GATA4 loss), lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD; n = 120 GATA4 loss, n = 319 no GATA4 loss), melanoma (SKCM; n = 20 GATA4 loss, n = 82 no GATA4 loss), colorectal adenocarcinoma (COAD; n = 97 GATA4 loss, n = 167 no GATA4 loss), bladder adenocarcinoma (BLCA; n = 138 GATA4 loss, n = 262 no GATA4 loss), prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD; n = 147 GATA4 loss, n = 266 no GATA4 loss), liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC; n = 216 GATA4 loss, n = 149 no GATA4 loss), lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC; n = 124 GATA4 loss, n = 229 no GATA4 loss), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC; n = 148 GATA4 loss, n = 363 no GATA4 loss). d Analysis of the association of loss of GATA4/8p with TIL subtypes in samples with or without the co-associated CNA + 8q in colorectal adenocarcinoma. e Extended CNA profile normalization analysis across tissue types with respect to TIL subtype abundance associations. Here we normalized tumor cohorts for all GATA4//8p-correlated and anticorrelated CNA sets in each subtype, beyond just the +8q co-association. Abbreviations are breast adenocarcinoma (BRCA; n = 127 GATA4 loss, n = 313 no GATA4 loss), lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD; n = 63 GATA4 loss, n = 277 no GATA4 loss), uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma (UCEC; n = 19 GATA4 loss, n = 92 no GATA4 loss), colorectal adenocarcinoma (COAD; n = 47 GATA4 loss, n = 131 no GATA4 loss), bladder adenocarcinoma (BLCA; n = 68 GATA4 loss, n = 202 no GATA4 loss), liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC; n = 148 GATA4 loss, n = 114 no GATA4 loss), lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC; n = 60 GATA4 loss, n = 200 no GATA4 loss), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC; n = 73 GATA4 loss, n = 323 no GATA4 loss). f GATA4 copy number loss is co-associated with TP53 loss (mutation or deletion) in most tumor types. P-values are calculated using hypergeometric tests.
repeated iteratively until equal or near-equal incidence of each CNA was achieved in each group, except for the group-defining CNA of interest (GATA4/8p loss) (Supplementary Fig. 7b). Most tissue types were compatible with this analysis strategy. We find that the negative associations between GATA4 loss and immune infiltration are significant in 5 out of 8 tissue types when normalizing all other correlated and anticorrelated copy number events: breast invasive carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma (Fig. 3e). SASP has been shown to be negatively regulated by TP5332. Loss of TP53 enhances senescence-associated secretory phenotype induced by DNA damage and would therefore increase the selective pressure for cells to avoid the immune system by reducing SASP. If true, TP53-mutant tumors should have co-mutation of GATA4 more frequently than expected. Upon examination, we found that GATA4 deletion co-occurs with TP53 mutation or deletion more often than expected by chance (Fig. 3f) and is more strongly associated with TP53 loss than other common tissue-specific arm-level losses (Supplementary Fig. 5a).

**Gata4 is tumor suppressive in multiple cell types.** To develop a tractable system to study the effects of GATA4 on tumor formation, we chose to ectopically activate the GATA4-regulated secretory program by forced Gata4 expression. We developed lentiviral vectors to induce the expression of mouse Gata4 (hereafter tet-Gata4) or a control cDNA encoding GFP (hereafter tet-GFP) and infected B16 murine melanoma cells, PyMT S2WTp3 murine breast cancer cells, and KP-derived primary murine lung adenocarcinoma cells (Supplementary Fig. 8a). With respect to the KP line, we enhanced its immunogenicity by infection with a Lenti-LucOS luciferase fusion construct containing strongly immunogenic CD4 and CD8 model antigens39–41. Transplant experiments showed that Gata4 expression restricted tumor growth and resulted in reduced tumor volume, indicating that Gata4’s tumor-suppressive effects are conserved across a variety of tumor types with varying immunogenicity (Fig. 4a, Supplementary Fig. 8b-d).

**Gata4’s effects are non-cell-autonomous, dose-dependent, and require cytotoxic CD8 T cells.** To investigate whether the tumor-suppressive effects of Gata4 are cell-autonomous or non-cell-autonomous, we mixed KP lung adenocarcinoma cells containing either tet-Gata4 or tet-GFP. To control for GFP-specific immunogenicity, both the tet-Gata4 and tet-GFP cell populations expressed constitutive GFP. We created a 33% tet-Gata4 and 67% tet-GFP tumor cell population and transplanted the mixture subcutaneously. Upon doxycycline induction, Gata4 expression in the mixed population led to robust suppression of tumor growth compared to the vehicle-treated group (Supplementary Fig. 8e), indicating that Gata4 regulates tumor growth via a non-cell-autonomous mechanism. To determine if the effects of Gata4 on tumor suppression were dose-dependent, we generated mixed populations with the following percentages of tet-Gata4 cells, with the remaining cells being tet-GFP: 33%, 15%, and 1% (Fig. 4b). We transplanted these tumor cell mixtures into the flanks of immunocompetent syngeneic hosts. Consistent with our previous mixing experiment, Gata4 induction led to decreased tumor size (Fig. 4c). Moreover, tumor volume was inversely proportional to the percent of cells with dox-inducible Gata4 (Fig. 4c). Flow cytometric analysis of the tumors showed that the percent of tet-Gata4 cells in each tumor remained constant throughout the experiment rather than decreasing relative to tet-GFP cells (Fig. 4d). Infiltrating CD45+CD3+CD4+ and CD45+CD3+CD8+ T cells were more abundant in Gata4-activated tumors than controls, and the increase was dose-dependent, suggesting that there is a minimum number of Gata4-expressing cells necessary in a tumor to achieve maximal tumor suppression (Fig. 4e). Combining the observation that the fraction of Gata4-expressing cells did not decrease during tumor growth with the finding that a subset of tumor cells overexpressing Gata4 is sufficient to suppress tumor growth, suggests that, in this context, Gata4 promotes tumor control via non-cell-autonomous effects rather than cell-autonomous cell cycle effects.

To determine whether this non-cell-autonomous effect is mediated by the adaptive branch of the immune system, we transplanted tet-Gata4 cells as either a pure or a mixed population into Rag1−/− syngeneic hosts that lack functional T and B cell compartments. We repeated the tumor cell mixing experiment described above to see whether Gata4-mediated tumor suppression depends on adaptive immune responses rather than direct tumor cell-specific paracrine effects. Remarkably, we observed that Gata4-mediated tumor suppression was circumvented by loss of the adaptive immune system (Fig. 4f, Supplementary Fig. 9e). Non-adaptive immune mechanisms such as macrophage or NK cell recognition have been shown to clear senescent tumor cells42–44, but given that we see no tumor-suppressive effect in Rag1−/− mice that have macrophages and NK cells, we do not believe there is an obvious role for NK cells in our tumor models.

To unravel which cellular components of adaptive immunity are required, we used CD4- and CD8a-specific depleting antibodies to deplete CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, or both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, followed by transplantation of the dox-inducible Gata4 KP lung cell line into pre-depleted syngeneic hosts. Mice received depleting antibodies or an isotype control antibody continuously throughout the experiment to ensure that the target cell type was thoroughly depleted. Flow cytometry-based quantification of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in peripheral blood confirmed-on-target effects (Supplementary Fig. 9a–d). Depletion of CD8+ T cells either alone or in combination with CD4+ T cells completely rescued the tumor-suppressive phenotype of Gata4 (Fig. 4g, Supplementary Fig. 9f). However, CD4 depletion alone could not rescue the effects of Gata4 overexpression in this setting (Fig. 4g). These results support the initial observations that GATA4 might act in a tumor-suppressive manner and implicate a role for the GATA4-regulated secretome in recruiting the immune system into tumors to limit their initiation and the rate of tumor growth in a cell non-autonomous manner.

Bulk tumor RNA-seq of somatically edited tumors in the autochthonous KPC model of lung cancer showed numerous changes in the microenvironment of sgGata4-targeted tumors; however, it is not clear which changes can be directly attributed to cells in which Gata4 was edited. To identify factors secreted by tumor cells directly in response to Gata4 overexpression, we used cytokine arrays to assay conditioned media from tet-Gata4 and tet-GFP KP tumor cells. We identified CCL2 as a secreted factor produced by KP cells in response to GATA4 pathway activation (Fig. 5a). To determine whether CCL2 was required for Gata4-mediated tumor suppression, we transplanted KP tet-Gata4 tumor cells into immunocompetent syngeneic hosts and divided mice into control or doxycycline groups with either a CCL2-neutralizing antibody or isotype control. CCL2 neutralization partially rescued Gata4’s tumor-suppressive phenotype (Fig. 5b).

Immunotherapy via immune checkpoint blockade has emerged as an effective cancer therapy. B16 melanoma cell tumor transplants are responsive to immune checkpoint blockade45. To test whether GATA4 pathway activation impacts response to immunotherapy, we transplanted B16 melanoma cells with the tet-Gata4 construct into immunocompetent syngeneic hosts and divided mice into control or doxycycline groups with an
anti-PD-1 antibody or isotype control. We also used GVAX, an established cell-based vaccine that primes the host immune system to respond effectively to anti-PD-1 therapy and clear tumors. Gata4 overexpression combined with anti-PD-1 antibody led to tumor suppression that was not statistically different from GVAX combined with anti-PD-1 antibody. GVAX, Gata4 overexpression, and anti-PD-1 antibody together efficiently prevented detectible tumor growth (Fig. 5c).

**Discussion**

GATA4 is frequently lost in human cancer and our analyses found that GATA4 copy number is positively correlated with the number of TILs in multiple human tumor types. While other genes linked to GATA4 on chromosome 8p may also participate in this phenotype, our in vivo studies in GEMMs and transplant models suggest that GATA4 perturbation may play a significant role in preventing immune infiltration of tumors. Gata4 expression serves as a potent tumor-suppressive mechanism in an autochthonous model of Kras-driven lung adenocarcinoma, affecting tumor initiation, but not cell proliferation (Fig. 1i). Somatic editing of Gata4 results in tumors that recruit fewer TILs, and this effect is specific to inactivation of the Gata4 branch and not to mutation of the senescence regulator Cdkn2a, which does not impact TIL recruitment as revealed by RNA-seq data (Supplementary Fig. 3a).

Gata4 overexpression can mimic its stabilization during senescence induction17. When overexpressed in tumor cells transplanted into immune-competent mice, Gata4 suppressed tumor growth in multiple tumor types, even when only a subset of tumor cells was programmed to express Gata4. Importantly, the Gata4 pathway functioned in a cell non-autonomous, dose-dependent manner to recruit TILs and suppress tumor growth. We did not observe any effect of Gata4 on the cell cycle in vivo. Gata4 overexpressing cells were not depleted relative to control tumor cells in the same tumor, revealing that Gata4 does not function to regulate the cell cycle in a cell-autonomous manner. Importantly, Gata4 required an intact adaptive immune system, specifically CD8 cytotoxic T cells, in order to suppress tumor growth. This is in contrast to a previously defined role for NK...
Fig. 4 Gata4 acts in a non-cell-autonomous, CD8 cytotoxic T cell-dependent mechanism to suppress tumor growth. a Tumor volumes from experiments. GFP+ KP lung cells with either dox-inducible GFP or dox-inducible Gata4 were transplanted subcutaneously into the flanks of C57BL/6J mice. GFP was expressed in all cell types to control for the potential immunogenicity of GFP. Dox administration began the day before tumor cell transplantation. The experiment was performed twice and the combined normalized volumes from both experiments are displayed. Data are mean ± SD and n = 7 tumors for GFP– dox, n = 7 tumors for GFP + dox, n = 9 tumors for Gata4–dox, and n = 8 tumors for Gata4 + dox. P-values are from two-tailed Mann–Whitney tests and n = 11 for GFP – dox, n = 7 for GFP + dox, n = 9 for Gata4 – dox, and n = 8 for Gata4 + dox, where n represents the total number of tumors. b Schematic overview of the experiment to determine whether Gata4 has cell-autonomous or non-cell-autonomous effects on tumor suppression and whether cell cycle regulation is important. KP cells were transduced with pindle30 Gata4-κA-HA (Thy1.1 + and GFP+). Control KP cells were transduced with pindle30 GFP-κA-HA (Thy1.1 + and GFP–). The cell populations are mixed together at the specified ratios and transplanted into immune-competent C57BL/6J mice. Tumor cells can be flow cytometrically differentiated from non-tumor cells via GFP expression. c Populations outlined in b are mixed with the specified proportions of KP cells with dox-inducible GFP and KP cells with dox-inducible Gata4. Cells were transplanted into the flanks of immune-competent C57BL/6J mice; dox was started in the indicated groups one day before tumor cell transplant and administered continuously until endpoint. Tumor volumes throughout the course of the experiment are shown. Data are mean ± SD. P-values are from two-tailed Mann–Whitney test at the day 19 timepoint and n = 10 mice per group. d Flow cytometry-based quantification of the proportion of dox-inducible Gata4 tumor cells (GFP+Thy1.1+) as a fraction of all tumor cells (GFP+) at the experimental endpoint of the experiment shown in c. Data are mean ± SD and n = 4 or 5 tumors per group. e Flow cytometry-based immunoprofiling of TILs in tumors from the experiment shown in c. Tumors were extracted at the experimental endpoint, dissociated to a single-cell suspension, and stained for the indicated TIL subtype. Data show quantification of the number of cells of the indicated TIL subtype per tumor. P-values are from unpaired two-way t-tests and n = 5 per group. f KP cells with dox-inducible Gata4 at the specified ratios were transplanted into Rag1–/– C57BL/6J mice. The 33% Gata4 population was composed of 33% of cells with dox-inducible Gata4 and 67% of cells with dox-inducible GFP as in c. Dox was administrated to mice one day before tumor cell transplant and was administrated continuously until endpoint. Tumor volumes are shown. Data shown are mean ± SD and n = 10 per group combined from 2 independent experiments. P-values are from a two-tailed Mann–Whitney test at the Day 21 timepoint. g C57BL/6J mice received depleting antibodies against CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, or an isotype control antibody. All mice received the same total amount of antibody. The single depletion groups received isotype control antibody in addition to depletion antibody in order to ensure that all groups of mice received the same amount of antibody as the double depletion group. KP cells with dox-inducible Gata4 were transplanted into the flanks of the pre-depleted mice. Dox was initiated one day before transplant in the indicated groups and was administered continuously until the experimental endpoint. Tumor volumes are shown. Data are mean ± SD and n = 10 per group combined from 2 independent experiments. P-values are from two-tailed Mann–Whitney tests at Day 19 timepoint. Specifically, p-values are as follows: p < 0.0001 for isotype – dox versus isotype + dox, p < 0.0001 for isotype -dox versus anti-CD4 + dox, p = 0.9243 for isotype – dox versus anti-CD8 + dox, and p = 0.9425 for isotype – dox versus anti-CD4/CD8 + dox. For this figure, source data are provided as a Source Data file.

During the course of this work, a study was published showing Gata4 loss was tumorigenic in a Kras-driven GEMM with wild-type p53 but found no role for SASP and further suggested that cell proliferation might be responsible for increased tumor burden. It is unclear why no evidence for SASP was found, but as p53 is known to inhibit SASP, this difference may be responsible for the discrepancy in results. The presence of TP53 may prevent strong activation of the GATA4 pathway as such strong activation signals might activate TP53 and promote apoptosis or senescence, eliminating those cells from the tumor while selecting for pathways that do not activate SASP in the same manner as the KP mouse model. Indeed, we find that loss of GATA4 in human tumors occurs largely in the presence of TP53 mutation or deletion, suggesting a conditional tumor-suppressive role of the GATA4-SASP pathway only in the absence of TP53. Which signals and at what strength they are needed to activate the TP53 versus GATA4 branches in tumors is not known nor is the composition of the SASP pathway in these contexts. These are important questions for future study.

It is not clear which cells are in a SASP-like state in KP-mutant lung tumors and whether they represent a subset of senescent cells or if all tumor cells are “SASPing”. Given that the vast majority of mouse senescence is p53-dependent, it is likely that the loss of p53 prevents senescence in the classical sense but not parallel pathways regulated by GATA4. However, in the heterotopic tumor models, it is clear that the proliferating non-senescent tumor cells are “SASPing” because their numbers as a proportion of the control tumor cells remain constant throughout tumor progression. Instead, it is a tumor-associated secretory phenotype we can refer to as TASP. How tumors activate TASP and whether it presents the same or a modified SASP pathway remains to be determined. The fact that Gata4 copy number correlates with TIL infiltration, which is known to be a positive predictive factor for immune checkpoint responsiveness, raises
the interesting possibility that SASP/TASP in tumors could be therapeutically advantageous during immune checkpoint therapy. Together, these studies argue that SASP/TASP enhances the immune scrutiny of cells in a tumor setting by leveraging the adaptive immune system and is likely to do so in the context of other senescence-inducing conditions. Precisely which factors are involved in promoting the influx of the different immune cell classes into tumors and whether these factors act directly to recruit these lymphocytes or work through a cytokine/chemokine cascade that instructs other cells in the stroma to participate in recruitment remains to be determined. Regardless of the precise mechanisms employed, these findings provide a clearer picture of the biological mechanisms underpinning cancer evolution by genetically disentangling the non-cell-autonomous role of SASP/TASP from cell proliferation and suggest potential strategies to counter tumorigenesis.

**Methods**

Our research complies with all relevant ethical regulations and has been approved by the Brigham & Women’s Hospital institutional review board and follows their prescribed ethical guidelines.

**TCGA analysis.** Genome-wide copy number, RNA-seq, and mutational data were generated by the TCGA Research Network (https://www.cancer.gov/tcga), and downloaded using the Broad GDAC firehose (https://doi.org/10.7908/C11G0KM9 (http://gdac.broadinstitute.org)) for tumor types lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), breast adenocarcinoma (BRCA), colorectal adenocarcinoma (COAD), skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM), prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD), bladder adenocarcinoma (BLCA), uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma (UCEC), liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC). The level three data types used were SNP array-based segmented copy number (minus germline) files for CNA analysis, RSEM normalized files for gene expression analysis, and mutation annotation files (MAF) for exome calling. To determine with the highest confidence tumor samples in which GATA4 is deleted, we first corrected the log2 segment mean copy number ratios for tumor purity using previously defined purity estimates based on
differential methylation data\textsuperscript{47,48}, so as not to confound our results by using purity estimates based on the data types used in downstream analyses (i.e., snp-based allelic data or RNA-seq data). These differential methylation-based purity estimates are highly concordant with ABSOLUTE-based purity estimates\textsuperscript{48}. For a log₂-transformed copy number ratio \(x\), and tumor purity fraction \(p\), we derived a purity-corrected log₂-transformed copy number ratio \(c\) as follows:

\[
c = \log_2 \left( \frac{2x - 1 - p}{p} \right)
\]

We utilized a threshold for calling GATA4 loss based on purity-corrected copy number ratios corresponding to loss of at least one copy in a triploid tumor background, i.e., loss of 33%. The fraction of the genome altered by copy number alteration was determined using the same purity-corrected log₂-transformed copy number ratios used to determine GATA4 CNAs, with cutoffs corresponding to gain or loss of one copy in a triploid background, i.e. gain or loss of 33%. The sum of the lengths of all copy number altered genomic regions was divided by total length of the genome surveyed by snp probes to derive the fraction of the genome altered per tumor.

"Low aneuploidy" samples were defined as members of the lowest tercile of the distribution of fractions genome altered. To determine differential gene expression in GATA4-lost versus GATA4-wt/GATA4-gained tumors, we tested for differential distributions of expression levels for every gene measured by RNA-seq in the two groups using edgeR glmFIT and glmRT functions\textsuperscript{49,50}. FDR corrections were used to adjust \(p\) values for multiple hypothesis testing. FDR-ranked differentially expressed gene lists were used for downstream GSEA analysis. All arm-level CNAs were determined using the following criteria: gain or loss of at least one copy in a triploid background, with at least 75% of the arm affected. LOF events were determined based on non-synonymous status in the mutant allele frequency datasets. Hypergeometric tests were used to determine significant overlaps between focal or arm-level CNA status and TP53 mutation/loss status. Gene-based number plots in Supplementary Figs 4 and 5 were made using a modified version of Copy Number Explorer\textsuperscript{36}.

Colony normalization (Norm) of CNA landscapes was performed using copy number calls for each tumor based on the above criteria. We first calculated the population frequencies of all CNAs in GATA4-deleted and GATA-wt sample groups. We then categorized CNAs as either enriched in GATA4-deleted tumors or enriched in GATA4-wt tumors, if the difference in frequencies of the CNA in the two population groups was greater than 0.50. We then assigned a score to each sample in each group equivalent to the number of group-specific over-represented CNAs present in the sample. We then removed several samples with the highest overrepresentation scores at random and recalculated all CNA frequencies in each group. We repeated this process iteratively until all CNAs were at near-equivalent frequencies in each population. If perfect normalization could not be achieved due to very strong co-associations, we achieved the highest level of CNA frequency concordance possible while removing no more than 50% of samples in either group.

GSEA. For the TCGA analysis, GSEA analysis using the GSEA PreRanked weighted mode\textsuperscript{37} using custom, published genotypes\textsuperscript{51} specific to immune cell subtypes was applied to the ranked list of genes described above. The FDR \(q\)-value for the degree of positive correlation between each immune cell subtype-specific genset and GATA4 copy number is shown in Fig. 1d. For analysis of mouse tumors, GSEA of analysis using the GSEA PreRanked weighted mode using the Reactome, Hallmark, and Biocarta databases was applied to ranked gene lists considering all of the available gene signatures from the databases. The top-ranked pathways, or a subset of top-ranked pathways, were displayed in Fig. 3a. The FDR \(q\)-values for displayed gensets are less than 0.05.

Lentiviral vectors and sgRNA cloning. The pUSEC lentiviral vector and cloning strategies were previously described\textsuperscript{36}. For sgRNA cloning, all vectors were digested with BsmBI and ligated with BsmBI-compatible annealed oligos for sgRNAs and cloned as previously described (Supplementary Sequences), plncl21 and plncl30 both had the murine Gata4 gene and the control GFP gene cloned into them. In each case, to control for the immunogenicity of the control GFP gene, GFP was used as the selection marker for infection so that it was present in all cells.

Lentiviral production. Lentiviruses were produced by co-transfection of HEK 293 cells with lentiviral backbone constructs and packaging vectors (psPA2 and pMD2.G; Addgene #12260 and #12259) using TransIT-LT1 (Mirus Bio #MR 2306). The supernatant was collected 48 and 72 h post-transfection, concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 9000 \(\times\) g for 120 min and resuspended in an appropriate volume of OptiMEM ( Gibco #31985-062).

Cell culture. All cells were cultured at physiologic \(O_2\) levels using low-oxygen incubators. All cells were cultured using DMEM (Gibco #21013024) with 10% FBS (GE Life Sciences #SH30701.03).

Mice. All animal studies described in this study were approved by the Brigham & Women’s Hospital Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee or the MIT Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee ethical guidelines. Kras\textsuperscript{L1461Asp}; Rosa26\textsuperscript{SL-Cagfl} (KPC) mice have already been described\textsuperscript{34}. For all animal studies, \(\geq 3\) animals were used for each experimental cohort per specified genotype. KPC mice were maintained on a mixed C57BL/6SV129 genetic background. C57BL/6J mice and CD57BL/6J, Rap1\textsuperscript{fl/fl} mice were acquired from Jackson Labs and were of both sexes. All mice were ordered at \(-12\) weeks of age. Total burden and grading analyses were conducted on \(>3\) mice per genotype. Animals lacking detectable tumors by histopathology were excluded from the analysis to ensure all animals were properly infected with pUSEC lentivirus. Appropriately genotyped between the ages of 6–10 weeks were randomly selected to begin tumor initiation studies with pUSEC lentiviruses. Mice were infected intra-tracheally with 25,000 TU’s of lentiviruses as described\textsuperscript{34}. Total lung area occupied by tumor was measured on hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained slides using NIH Elements software. Histological quantification of mouse lung tumor burden by grade was performed by an automated deep neural network developed by Afioira Technologies in collaboration with the Jacks lab, and in consultation with veterinarian pathologist Dr. Roderick Bronson. The algorithm performed consistently with high correlation with human graders across multiple validation datasets independent of the training dataset. The mouse tumor size was estimated by the institutional review board is 1 cubic centimeter and we have compiled with this policy.

Immunohistochemistry. Mice were euthanized by carbon dioxide asphyxiation. Lungs were perfused through the trachea with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), fixed overnight, transferred to 70% ethanol and subsequently embedded in paraffin.

Sections were cut at a thickness of 4 mm and stained with H&E for pathological examination. Chromogenic immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on a Ventana Medical Systems Discovery XT instrument with online deparaffinization using Ventana’s reagents and detection kits and antigen retrieved in Ventana Cell Conditioner 1 or 2. The following antibodies were used for IHC: anti-phospho-Histone H3 (pHH3) (Ser10) (Cell Signalling, 9701, 1:200), CD3 (Abcam, ab16669, 1:200), CD8 (Abcam, ab217340, 1:500), and CD4 (Abcam, ab106709, 1:500). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) amplification was used for all and was antigen retrieved in Ventana Cell Conditioner 1 (Tris-Borate-EDTA). Pictures were obtained using a digital whole slide scanner Leica SCN400F and Slidepath software version 4.0.8.

Bioinformatic analysis of CRISPR-targeted loci. For PCR amplicons (sequenced at the MGH sequencing facility), 150–300 bp paired-end reads were used in downstream analyses. The reference sequence of the target locus was supplemented with genomic flanks and the RNA-seq reads were indexed using an ensembl index. Read ends were anchored in the reference sequence using 10 bp terminal segments for a suffix array index lookup to search for exact matches. A sliding window of unit step size and a maximal soft-clip limit of 10 bp was used to search for possible anchors at either end of each read. For each read, optimal Smith-Waterman dynamic programming alignment\textsuperscript{34} was performed between the reduced state space of the read sequence and the corresponding reference sequence spanning the maximally distanced anchor locations. Scoring parameters were selected to allow for sensitive detection of short and long insertions and deletions while allowing for up to four mismatches and the highest-scoring alignment was selected. Read pairs with both read ends in the proper orientation were processed to count the number of wild-type reads and the location and size of each insertion and deletion event. Overlapping reads within pairs were both required to support the event if they overlapped across the event location. Additionally, mutation events and wild-type reads were summarized within the extents of the sgRNA sequence and PAM site by counting the alignment of 20 base pairs. Read ends were indexed using 10 bp terminal segments for the Subread\textsuperscript{34} package. Differentiation between groups was assessed using edger\textsuperscript{52}.
Circulating lymphocytes were profiled by collecting peripheral blood and performing flow cytometric analysis.

**Antibody-mediated neutralization of CCL2.** CCL2-neutralizing antibody (#B80185) and its isotype control were acquired from BioXCell. Antibodies were diluted using dilution buffer (BioXCell #IP0070) to 100 ug/100 uL.

**Immune checkpoint blockade with anti-PD-1 antibody.** Anti-PD-1 antibody (#BP0273) and its isotype control were acquired from BioXCell.

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability.** The RNA-Seq data are deposited in the Sequences Read Archive (SRA) with the project accession number PRIN77868. The remaining data are available within the Article, Supplementary Information or Source Data file. Source data are provided with this paper.

**Code availability.** The source code used to analyze data are provided at the following publicly available repository: https://github.com/emmawatson/CNorm.
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