Replication-Competent Foamy Virus Vaccine Vectors as Novel Epitope Scaffolds for Immunotherapy
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Abstract

The use of whole viruses as antigen scaffolds is a recent development in vaccination that improves immunogenicity without the need for additional adjuvants. Previous studies highlighted the potential of foamy viruses (FVs) in prophylactic vaccination and gene therapy. Replication-competent FVs can trigger immune signaling and integrate into the host genome, resulting in persistent antigen expression and a robust immune response. Here, we explored feline foamy virus (FFV) proteins as scaffolds for therapeutic B and T cell epitope delivery in vitro. Infection- and cancer-related B and T cell epitopes were grafted into FFV Gag, Env, or Bet by residue replacement, either at sites of high local sequence homology between the epitope and the host protein or in regions known to tolerate sequence alterations. Modified proviruses were evaluated in vitro for protein steady state levels, particle release, and virus titer in permissive cells. Modification of Gag and Env was mostly detrimental to their function. As anticipated, modification of Bet had no impact on virion release and affected virus titers of only some recombinants. Further evaluation of Bet as an epitope carrier was performed using T cell epitopes from the model antigen chicken ovalbumin (OVA), human tyrosinase-related protein 2 (TRP-2), and oncoprotein E7 of human papillomavirus type 16 (HPV16E7). Transfection of murine cells with constructs encoding Bet-epitope chimeric proteins led to efficient MHC-I-restricted epitope presentation as confirmed by interferon-gamma enzyme-linked immunospot assays using epitope-specific cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) lines. FFV infection-mediated transduction of cells with epitope-carrying Bet also induced T-cell responses, albeit with reduced efficacy, in a process independent from the presence of free peptides. We show that primate FV Bet is also a promising T cell epitope carrier for clinical translation. The data demonstrate the utility of replication-competent and -attenuated FVs as antigen carriers in immunotherapy.
Introduction

Viral vaccines traditionally consist of attenuated or inactivated viral particles, sub-viral or virus-like particles, or of protein components derived from pathogenic viruses. The purpose of a vaccine is to mount B or T cell memory responses that protect against subsequent pathogen attacks [1]. These responses are often enhanced when antigens are engineered into replication-competent (RC) viral vaccine vectors, either as part of an existing viral protein or as an additional protein. Antigens presented in a highly ordered multimeric array of structural proteins tend to be more immunogenic, as particulate antigens are more likely to be recognized by B cells as foreign [2]. Whole viral particles contain pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), such as double-stranded or uncapped RNA, that trigger signaling pathways through toll-like receptors expressed by dendritic cells, thereby facilitating the activation of antigen-specific T cell responses in draining lymph nodes [3]. PAMPs are also strongly expressed during vector replication in infected cells [2]. Cellular damage caused by viruses and RC vectors may also lead to the expression of danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), further activating innate and adaptive immunity [4]. Comprehensive activation of immune signaling pathways by RC vaccine vectors is a prerequisite for the induction of a multifaceted and durable immune response. Depending on the method of application and the site of vector replication, such immune signaling may even lead to immunity in compartments such as the mucosa [5].

Tumor-derived antigens, except those of viral origin, are often poorly immunogenic due to self-tolerance, making the induction of efficient cancer immunity even more challenging. In fact, infiltration of tumors by autologous T cells has recently been correlated with favorable prognosis, suggesting a therapeutic function for tumor reactive T cells in anti-tumor immunity [6]. Within the cellular compartment of the immune system, CD8+ cytotoxic T cells (CTL) are the major effector cells in adaptive anti-tumor immunity and are capable of direct tumor cell killing. CTLs recognize short peptides that are processed from intracellular proteins by sequential proteolytic degradation steps within the cytosol, finally resulting in the presentation of 8-10mer peptides by MHC I molecules on the cell surface [7]. Recognition of such MHC-peptide complexes by activated specific CTLs cells leads to the destruction of the targeted cell.

Traditionally, biological and chemical adjuvants are used to enhance vaccine potency. Of note, current research has highlighted the suitability of RC viruses or attenuated bacteria as adjuvants [8–11]. The mechanism of tumor cell eradication by oncolytic viruses has recently been attributed to the activation of innate immunity and the creation of a hostile, inflammatory environment, rather than to direct virus-mediated tumor cell lysis [12]. Constant antigen expression and PAMP/DAMP exposure promote somatic hypermutation and antibody affinity maturation in B cells [13]. Furthermore, repeated antigen exposure reinforces immunological memory within both the cellular and humoral immune system against subsequent pathogen exposure.

Foamy viruses (FVs) may provide ideal options as RC vectors for therapeutic immunotherapy of persistent infections and cancer. FVs are retroviruses from the Spumaretrovirinae subfamily with several unique features in their replication strategy that differentiate them from other retroviruses [14]. FVs are thought to undergo close coevolution with their hosts. Zoonosis has been described among related but not between distantly related species [15]. Laboratory-derived and cloned FV isolates can establish wild-type (wt) infections in their cognate hosts and are slightly attenuated in related host species [16–18]. Despite three decades of work, FV infection has not been conclusively correlated to any disease. Though FVs integrate into host genomes, they have no preference for transcriptionally active regions, unlike their lentiviral and gammaretroviral counterparts [19–21]. Due to their apathogenicity, broad tropism, capacity to reach high titers in vitro, and their ability to persistently express heterologous
antigens, FVs appear as an attractive source of vaccine scaffolds for safe and effective immuno-
therapy approaches [14,22–27].

The suitability of FVs as replication-deficient gene therapy vectors for long-term protein
expression has been demonstrated in a dog model [28–30]. Several studies have also shown
that antibodies were generated against heterologous B cell epitopes displayed by feline foamy
virus (FFV) particles or proteins [17,31,32]. Experimental infections of large animals have not
led to vector-related pathogenesis, indicating that FVs are suitable for long-term protein, anti-
gen or epitope expression in host cells [17,27]. The use of RC viral vectors in gene therapy
raises important safety concerns. RC vectors can be shed by treated individuals or, in the case
of retroviral vectors, cause insertional mutagenesis. FV transmission requires close contact of
mucosa or bodily fluids, probably limiting e.g. the spread in zoonotically or therapeutically
infected humans. Thus, one should consider RC FV vectors for therapeutic vaccination only, if
the therapeutic benefit strongly outweighs potential adverse effects, e.g. insertional mutagene-
sis. The establishment of persistent infections should resolve problems stemming from antiviral
immunity. Pre-existing immunity is also negligible, as it can be generally assumed that only a
minor fraction of the human population has had the chance to come into contact with primate
foamy virus (PFV)-infected simian temple monkeys, bush meat, or laboratory animals [33–
38]. Clinical testing of PFV-based vectors is possible in simians, albeit at the expense of ethical
concerns and high costs. However, exploratory evaluation of FV-based vaccine vectors requires
a suitable non- primate animal model. Since no native rodent FV has been reported, we previ-
ously established an FFV infection model in the cat, enabling animal studies [39]. However,
ethical concerns, high costs, and the lack of molecular tools for use in cats are still significant
limitations. Finally, from the scientific point of view, the genetic stability of recombinant RC
vectors and the stability of heterologous gene expression appear decisive for their successful
use as viral vaccine carriers. In fact, introduction of transgenes often reduces viral fitness in
vivo, which may drive transgene loss to preserve genetic economy.

Here, we explored the suitability of FV-based vectors for potential therapeutic use. B and T
cell epitopes were selected in silico and introduced into FV proteins. To minimize the risk of
modifications with detrimental effect on viral replication and to reduce the genetic burden on
the host genome, viral protein sequences were replaced by epitopes with high sequence similar-
ity or into protein regions known to tolerate changes while maintaining functional integrity.
While replacements in structural proteins were largely detrimental, the accessory protein
Bet allowed modifications based on sequence similarity and in regions of low sequence conser-
vation. T cell epitopes derived from the model antigen ovalbumin (OVA), tyrosinase related
protein 2 (TRP-2), and the oncoprotein E7 of high-risk human papilloma virus type 16
(HPV16E7) were efficiently processed from recombinant Bet chimera and presented by MHC
I molecules on the surface of transfected target cells, resulting in interferon-γamma (IFNγ)
release by epitope-specific cytotoxic T cells (CTLs). Efficient processing of a T cell epitope
derived from OVA was observed upon FFV infection, however further vector adaptation or
purification to achieve efficient infection of murine cells are necessary before functional testing
of this novel vaccination platform in mice is feasible.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

HEK293T (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC; CRL-3216), FeFAB [40], CrFK (ATCC,
CCL-944), and KE-R (Depository for Cell Lines in Veterinary Medicine, Federal Research
Institute for Viral Animal Diseases, Riems, Germany) were propagated under standard condi-
tions in DMEM containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum plus antibiotics [40]. CrFK-
derived FeFAB (FFV-activated β-galactosidase) cells contain the β-galactosidase gene under the control of the FFV internal promoter [40]. All cells were regularly screened for the absence of mycoplasma and other agents and for cell authenticity (Multiplexion, Immenstaad, Germany).

The C57BL/6-derived tumor cell lines EL4 [41] and RMA [42] were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with Glutamax, 10% FCS, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. The transfectants E. G7 (EL4 cells expressing chicken ovalbumin) [43], 2F11 (RMA cells expressing the HPV16-derived oncoprotein E7) [44] and RMA/TRP-2 (expressing human tyrosinase related protein 2) (kindly provided by A. Paschen), were grown in the same medium containing 0.8 μg/ml G418 (Gibco). The following antigen-specific CTL lines were used: OVA-specific CTL line [45] recognizing the H2Kb-restricted OVA-derived epitope aa257-264 (SIINFEKL) [46]; E7-specific CTL line [47], reacting against the E7-derived D1-restricted epitope RAHYNI VTF (amino acids, aa, 49–57 [48], and a TRP-2-specific CTL line obtained upon TRP-2-specific DNA immunization of C57BL/6 mice (Osen, unpublished), recognizing the epitope SVYDFFVWL (aa 180–188) [49]. All CTL lines were expanded in vitro upon periodical re-stimulation with the transfectant clones expressing the respective target antigen in CTL medium, according to the protocol described in [50].

Transfection by calcium phosphate

HEK293T cells were transfected in a 6, 10, or 15 cm dish using a modified calcium phosphate method as previously described [40]. FFV titers were determined by a 1:5 serial titration of FFV-containing supernatants on FeFab cells in 96-well microtiter plates [40]. Titrations were performed in triplicate.

Nucleofection

EL4 and RMA cells were transfected using the Amaxa Cell Line Nucleofector Kit I (Lonza) according to manufacturer’s instructions using a Nucleofector 2B device.

Molecular cloning of FFV Bet proviral mutants

pCF-7 has been previously described [40]. All PCR products were amplified using Phusion Proofreading Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany).

Epitopes were identified by screening the Immune Epitope database [51,52]. To introduce T cell epitopes derived from melanoma-associated antigen (MAGE) [53,54], TRP-2, OVA, and HPV16E7, and B cell epitopes derived from HA and V5 into gag in pCF-7, half of gag was amplified by FFV Gag F and either FFV Gag LLK_MLG R, FFV Gag KVLEYVIKV R, FFV Gag Trp2 R, FFV Gag Ova R, FFV Gag HPV16 R, FFV Gag HA R, or FFV Gag V5 R using pCF-7 as template. The other half of gag was correspondingly amplified by FFV Gag R and either FFV Gag LLK_MLG F, FFV Gag KVLEYVIKV F, FFV Gag Trp2 F, FFV Gag Ova F, FFV Gag HPV16 F, FFV Gag HA F, or FFV Gag V5 F using pCF-7 as template. The two corresponding fragments were fused by overlap PCR using FFV Gag F and FFV Gag R, digested with Xhol and XmaI and cloned into correspondingly digested pCF-7.

To introduce the HIV B cell epitope 4E10 epitope [55] into env in pCF-7, env was amplified by FFV Env A F and either FFV Env AB HIV 4E10 N R or FFV Env AB HIV 4E10 D R and FFV Env B R and either FFV Env AB HIV 4E10 N F or FFV Env AB HIV 4E10 D F using pCF-7 as template. The two corresponding fragments were fused by overlap PCR using FFV Env A F and FFV Env B R. The SalI- and BspEI-digested PCR amplicons were cloned into correspondingly digested pCF-7.
To introduce the HIV B cell epitope 2F5 [56], MAGE-derived T cell epitope IMPKAGLLI, and FIV B cell epitope C8 into the C-terminal half of env, env was amplified by FFV Env B F and either FFV Env B HIV 2F5 R, FFV Env B FIV C8 R, or FFV Env B MAGE-2F5 R and FFV Env B R and either FFV Env B HIV 2F5 F, FFV Env B FIV C8 F, or FFV Env B MAGE-2F5 F using pCF-7 as template. The two fragments were each fused by overlap PCR using FFV Env B F and FFV Env B R, digested with AciI and BspEI and cloned into correspondingly digested pCF-7.

To introduce MAGE-derived epitopes into homologous regions in bet, bet was amplified by FFV Bet F and either R2 Bet sim IMP R, R2 Bet sim FLW R, R2 Bet sim MVK R, R2 Bet sim KVA R, R2 Bet sim SLL R, R2 Bet sim RAL R and FFV Bet R and either R2 Bet sim IMP F, R2 Bet sim FLW F, R2 Bet sim MVK F, R2 Bet sim KVA F, R2 Bet sim SLL F, or R2 Bet sim RAL F using pCF-7 as template. To introduce the well-described TRP2, HPV16E7, Ova- or MAGE-derived epitopes into the C-terminus of bel2, bel1 and bel2 were amplified by FFV Bet F and either pCF7 Bet-Trp2 R, pCF7 Bet-HPV16 R, Ova8 R, Ova12 R, Ova16 R, Ova20 R, Ova20C5 R, Ova20C10 R, Ova20C15 R, Ova20C20 R, R2 Bet end IMP as, R2 Bet end FLW R, R2 Bet end MVK as, R2 Bet end KVA as, R2 Bet end SLL as, or R2 Bet end RAL as and FFV Bet R and either pCF7 Bet-Trp2 F, pCF7 Bet-HPV16 F, Ova8 F, Ova12 F, Ova16 F, Ova20 F, Ova20C5 F, Ova20C10 F, Ova20C15 F, Ova20C20 F, R2 Bet end IMP s, R2 Bet end FLW F, R2 Bet end MVK s, R2 Bet end KVA s, R2 Bet end SLL s, or R2 Bet end RAL s using pCF-7 as template. The corresponding fragments were fused by overlap PCR using FFV Bet F and FFV Bet R, digested with BspEI and SphI, and cloned into correspondingly digested pCF-7.

**Molecular cloning of subgenomic Bet constructs**

pmaxGFP was obtained from Lonza. To introduce TRP2, HPV16E7, and OVA-derived epitopes into bet, bet was amplified from pBC-Bet or pBC-Bet-Ova8, pBC-Bet-Ova12, or pBC-Bet-Ova20 by Bet max F and either Bet Trp2 R, Bet HPV16 E7 R, Ova8-XhoI R, Ova12-XhoI R, or Ova20-XhoI R. Digested PCR products were cloned in pmaxGFP using Nhel and XhoI.

**Immunoblotting**

To study the cellular steady state protein levels and particle release from 293T cells transfected with vector constructs, HEK293T cells were seeded into 10 cm dishes and transfected with 10 µg vector construct or pCF-7. At 2 d post-transfection (p.t.), cells were lysed in 1% SDS containing protease inhibitor. Nucleic acids were digested using benzonase. Lysates were probed by immunoblotting. FFV Bet was detected by a rabbit anti-Bet polyclonal serum (1:2500) [57]. FFV Gag matrix (MA) was detected by a rabbit anti-Gag MA polyclonal serum (1:3000) [58]. The FFV Env transmembrane (TM) domain was detected by a goat anti-Env TM polyclonal serum (1:1000) [59]. Membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (rabbit anti-goat IgG-HRP, Sigma Aldrich, 1:5000; goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP, Sigma Aldrich, 1:5000) and visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence. Blots were probed against β-actin using a mouse anti-β-actin antibody conjugated to HRP (1:5000, Sigma Aldrich).

**IFNγ enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISpot)**

Target cells (EL4 or RMA cells) were infected by culturing 1 x 10^6 cells in a 6-well dish with 4 mL cleared supernatant from transfected HEK293T cells. Infected cells were harvested 4 d post infection, and served as targets in IFNγ ELISpot assays using 5 x 10^4 target cells/well as
described in [50]. Spots were counted using an AID ELISpot reader (Autoimmun Diagnostika, Strassberg, Germany).

Bioinformatics
A list of peptide epitopes was retrieved from the Immune Epitope Database on January 11, 2012 [51,52]. Searchable lists were generated using a Perl programming script. Peptide lists were compared to the sequence of FFV proteins Gag, Pol, Env, Bel1, and Bet using FASTA. Secondary structures were predicted using 2DSweep. Surface exposure was predicted using Antigenicity. Binding of peptides to MHC-I and MHC-II was predicted by SYFPEITHI [60]. Sequences were viewed, aligned, and annotated using Geneious Pro 5.4.3.

Data are presented as mean values with standard deviations. Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired two-tailed t-test. Graphs and p-values were calculated using GraphPad Prism 5. * represents p < 0.05, ** represents p < 0.01, *** represents p < 0.001.

Results
FFV structural proteins are poor scaffolds for heterologous peptide sequences
To evaluate the suitability of RC FFV vectors for the expression of T and B cell epitopes, natural epitopes were compared to the native protein sequence of FFV proteins in silico. Replacement of viral sequences by epitopes with similar sequences should minimize disruption of viral functions and preserve viral infectivity. Here, we compared the primary protein sequences of the five known FFV gene products Gag, Pol, Env, Bel1/Tas, and Bet (Fig 1A) to the amino acid sequences of known B and T cell epitopes retrieved from the Immune Epitope Database using FASTA. From the results showing maximal sequence similarity, which was mainly observed for T cell epitopes, we selected epitopes according to their predicted antigenicity using 2DSweep, disease relevance and epitope size. An initial panel of ten T and B cell epitopes as well as the HA and V5 protein tags, were cloned individually into the structural proteins Env and Gag (Fig 1B and 1C) of the FFV-FUV provirus pCF-7.

In Gag, the T cell epitopes LLKYRAREPVTKAEMLG and KVLEYVIKY were grafted by amino acid replacement at the site of highest sequence similarity. T cell epitopes derived from OVA, HPV16E7, and TRP-2 were included to allow further evaluation of CTL activation in vitro. The B cell epitope tags HA and V5 were directly inserted into a region of low sequence conservation between different FV Gag proteins. All modifications within Env were performed based on local sequence homology and, in only one case, required the insertion of a single additional amino acid.

Modified proviruses were transfected into HEK293T cells and analyzed after two days for protein expression and particle release. In addition, culture supernatants containing viral particles were serially passaged in permissive CrFK and KE-R cells every two days. Virus titers were determined using the CrFK-derived reporter cell line FeFAB. Since the interval between successive passages is short enough to dilute out even wt FFV (Fig 1D and 1E), this parameter imposed very stringent selection criteria upon the FFV recombinants.

Immunoblotting results demonstrated that recombinants with modifications in Gag showed impaired expression or steady state levels of the 52 kDa Gag precursor protein and its processed 48-kDa form (S1A Fig). Vectors pCF-Gag-HA and -V5 showed slightly larger Gag proteins due to the epitope insertion. Likewise, modifications in Env resulted especially in clones pCF-Env-FIV-C8 and -IMPKAGLII in reduced steady state levels and defective cleavage of the 130 kDa Env precursor into the functional 48 kDa TM protein, and a TM derivative of
Fig 1. Most modifications in structural proteins are detrimental to viral protein steady state levels and infectivity. (A) Schematic representation of the FFV genome with the long terminal repeats (LTR) and the open reading frames shown as boxes and the promoters in the 5' LTR and the internal promoter as broken arrows pointing into the direction of transcription. The epitope replacements in Env (B) and Gag (C) are shown below with original protein sequences in black and modifications in red. Epitope sequences are underlined. CrFK (D) and KE-R (E) cells were infected with virus-containing supernatants harvested from 293T cells transfected with wild-type and modified proviruses. Supernatants were serially passaged onto uninfected cells every two days. Viral titers
unknown function [61]. Consequently, FFV particle release of these recombinants was generally severely affected (S1B Fig). Gag-containing FFV particles were only released from chimeric proviruses pCF-Env-HIV-2F5, the highly related vectors pCF-EnvAB-HIV4E10N and -D, pCF-Gag-Ova and, pCF-Gag-HA. Release of Env-only subviral FFV particles [61] was observed for all recombinants except for pCF-Env-FIV-C8 and pCF-Env-IMPKAGLLI, which were both defective in Env processing. Syncytia formation, a hallmark of a productive FFV infection, was absent in all cultures transfected with recombinant provirus, except pCF-Env-HIV-2F5, pCF-Env-HIV-4E10N, pCF-Env-HIV-4E10D, pCF-Gag-Ova and pCF-Gag-HA, correlating with particle release (S1C Fig). Unsurprisingly, FFV titers were drastically reduced in most of the clones (Fig 1D and 1E). Four of the five Env recombinants and two out of seven Gag recombinants could be passaged at least once. Interestingly, pCF-Gag-Ova showed sustained infectivity, albeit at lower level, in both CrFK and KE-R cells, which became evident from the third passage on. Our results show that replacements within the amino acid sequences of the structural proteins Env and Gag are very likely to result in defective or strongly attenuated viruses.

Bet can host heterologous peptide sequences

Based on the detrimental effects observed with sequence replacements on structural protein function, we tested whether the non-structural Bet protein is similarly sensitive to modifications. Bet is the product of a bel1-bel2 spliced transcript and counteracts lethal mutagenesis of the viral genome by apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme 3 (APOBEC3) cytidine deaminases using a pathway distinct from that of lentiviral Vif proteins [58,62–64].

We evaluated the effectiveness of the protein sequence similarity-based approach and the approach based on previously identified non-conserved regions that may tolerate sequence changes in hosting different epitopes. A panel of six 9-mer T cell epitopes derived from the MAGE family was selected in silico [51,52,65]. Each epitope was inserted into the site of highest sequence homology to FFV Bet to minimize sequence invasiveness (similarity-based recombinants). In addition, each epitope was grafted into the C-terminus of Bet, which has been shown to be amenable to modifications by heterologous sequences (position-based mutants) [58] (Fig 2A). None of the insertions affected the previously identified conserved motifs in Bet [58] (Fig 2A, black boxes 1–6).

Using these two strategies, recombinant proviruses were generated and analyzed for basic viral functionality. The modified vaccine vectors, pCF-7 and empty vector pcDNA were transiently transfected into HEK293T cells using calcium phosphate. Cells were observed 2 d.p.t. for syncytia formation. All proviruses induced syncytia formation in transfected 293T cells at levels similar to the wt provirus pCF-7 (S2A Fig).

Immunoblotting analyses of lysates from transfected cells showed that Gag and Env steady state levels and protein processing were mostly not affected by the sequence modifications in Bet (S2A Fig). Moreover, levels of recombinant particle release and syncytia formation were comparable to the wt (S2B and S2C Fig). Serial passaging of mutant virus in feline APOBEC3-expressing CrFK and KE-R cells showed that similarity-based mutations were slightly less detrimental to FFV replication competence than position-based mutations when considering both, virus titers and numbers of serial passages before loss of virus (Fig 2B and 2C). The data clearly indicate that the non-structural protein Bet is a much more promising epitope scaffold than Gag and Env. While similarity-based modifications of Bet allow better retention of viral
Fig 2. Small modifications in Bet do not affect particle release but may affect FFV titers. A) Schematic representation of epitope replacements in Bet. Conserved motifs are given schematically as black boxes numbered according to [58]. Modifications based on sequence similarity are marked as "sim" and modifications based on position are marked as "end". Original protein sequences are shown in black; modifications in red. Epitope sequences are underlined. B) CrFK and C) KE-R cells were infected with virus-containing supernatants harvested from 293T cells transfected with modified and wild-type proviruses. Supernatants were passaged onto uninfected cells every two days. Viral titers were determined by titration on FeFAB cells. Cells were mock-infected with Replication-Competent Foamy Virus Vaccine Vectors.
function, position-based approaches are much more attractive for general, sequence-independent epitope incorporation strategies.

Small peptides derived from the model antigen ovalbumin can be hosted at the C-terminus of Bet

To determine whether CTL epitopes grafted into the C-terminus of Bet are capable of being processed and presented to epitope-specific CD8+ T cells, we used the H2Kb-restricted CTL epitope SIINFEKL, derived from the well-characterized model antigen chicken ovalbumin (OVA) [46]. The FFV provirus pCF-7 was modified by replacement of C-terminal amino acids of Bet by different OVA-derived sequences. MHC-I-restricted epitope presentation depends not only on delivery of the antigen into the cell, but also on efficient processing of cellular and viral proteins by the proteasome. Therefore, we constructed mutants with flanking sequences of various lengths derived from the native primary structure of the OVA protein encompassing the SIINFEKL epitope (Fig 3A). HEK293T cells were transfected with FFV Bet-Ova vectors to determine protein expression, particle release, and infectivity. Viruses containing modifications close to the C-terminus showed comparable levels of Gag and Env expression, processing, and particle release compared to wt pCF-7 (Fig 3B and 3C). However, Bet chimera Ova20C15 and Ova20C20, in which the 20-mer epitope extends 35 and 40 amino acids into the N terminus of Bet, respectively, showed decreased steady state Bet protein levels. Serial passaging in CrFK and KE-R cells revealed few or no differences for most of the chimera compared to the wt (Fig 3D and 3E). However, titers of Ova20C15 and Ova20C20 were negatively impacted. Since substantial Bet expression is required for efficient APOBEC3 inactivation [58], decreased Bet steady levels of these FFV-Ova chimera, as observed in Fig 3B, likely caused attenuated replication in APOBEC3-positive CrFK and KE-R cell lines. Since both epitope insertions strongly affect conserved motif 6 in FV Bet (Fig 2A) [58], this part of Bet may be required for protein stability or function. In summary, the data show that only proviruses with C-terminal replacements in Bet retain full replication competence.

FFV Bet can host other therapeutic T cell epitopes

While OVA represents a widely used model antigen, we also examined proteins of clinical relevance. We tested whether T cell epitopes derived from the melanoma-associated antigen TRP-2 and the high-risk HPV16 oncoprotein E7, respectively, could be functionally integrated at the C-terminus of Bet. We also investigated whether the epitopes were efficiently processed and presented to specific T cells. TRP-2 is a tautomerase involved in the melanin biosynthesis pathway and harbors the H2-Kb-restricted CTL epitope TRP-2180–188 (SVYDFFVWL) [49]. HPV16 is a high-risk mucosal HPV type associated with the development of cervical cancer [66]. The E7 oncoprotein binds to the retinoblastoma protein pRb and, in tandem with the second HPV oncoprotein, E6, promotes cell cycle progression in infected cells [67–69]. Priming of the adaptive immune system in C57BL/6 mice with the H2-Db-restricted, E7-specific CTL epitope E749-57 (RAHYNIVTF) protected mice against outgrowth of HPV16-transformed tumor cells [48].

The T cell epitopes SVYDFFVWL and RAHYNIVTF were grafted into the C-terminus of Bet in the infectious FFV proviral genome (Fig 4A). pCF-7, pCF-Bet-TRP2, or pCF-Bet-HPV16E7 were transfected into HEK293T and assayed as described above. Immunoblotting
showed that Env and Gag steady state levels in pCF-Bet-TRP2- or pCF-Bet-HPV16E7-transfected cell lysates were comparable to the wt (Fig 4B). Particle release from transfected cells was also at levels comparable to the wt pCF-7 (Fig 4C). Serial passaging of infectious viral particles showed that the HPV16 E7 vector was comparable to the wt over several passages in both cell types. In contrast, the TRP-2 vector reached wt titers in KE-R cells but showed reduced infectivity in CrFK cells, possibly due to reduced steady state levels of the chimeric Bet protein (Fig 4B, 4D and 4E).

The OVA-specific, H2-Kb-restricted T cell epitope SIINFEKL is presented by murine target cells expressing chimeric Bet-epitope fusion proteins

The results presented above show that Bet is a suitable scaffold for the incorporation of different T cell epitopes. Grafting of T cell epitopes into Bet selected from our initial in silico screen and because of the availability of required reagents was shown to have little consequence on
viral titers. Though PFV and FFV replication has been shown to be restricted in murine cells [70], we nevertheless chose murine target cells and murine CTL lines to test CTL reactivity in vitro and promising results could be further examined by validation of PFV-based therapeutic vectors in a primate model. Thus, sub-genomic Bet-Ova constructs were initially employed to analyze the expression, processing, and MHC I-restricted presentation of Bet-engrafted T cell epitopes. The use of Bet expression constructs eliminates the need for FFV-susceptible cells and FFV gene expression in murine target cells.

Recognition of a T cell epitope by CTLs is the end result of intracellular proteolytic cleavage and transport processes, culminating in binding of 8mer to 10mer peptides to MHC I molecules [71]. Using a SIINFEKL-specific CTL line established from splenocytes of immunized C57BL/6 mice, we tested whether the SIINFEKL sequence cloned into the C-terminus of Bet, flanked by sequences of various lengths derived from epitope encompassing regions within the native OVA sequence, would be properly processed and presented by transfected cells. The cDNA sequence of Bet or of epitope-carrying Bet derivatives was cloned into the expression vector.
vector pmaxGFP and tested for expression in 293T cells showing proper expression of the chimeric Bet proteins (data not shown). Murine EL4 or RMA cells were transfected with the plasmids by nucleofection and used as target cells in IFNγ ELISpot assays together with the OVA-specific CTL line.

Transfection of EL4 cells with pmaxBet-Ova8, containing the minimal 8-mer epitope, resulted in target cell lines stimulating IFNγ release by the OVA-specific T cell line to similar extend as induced by the OVA-expressing control cell line EG7 or the addition of 10 μg/ml concanavalin A. pmaxBet, lacking the SIINFEKL epitope or pmaxGFP did not induce detectable IFNγ release (Fig 5). These results prove efficient processing and presentation of the CTL epitope from the C-terminus of Bet. The flanking regions introduced into pmaxBet-Ova12, pmaxBet-Ova16, and pmaxBet-Ova20 did not appear to influence the level of IFNγ secretion, showing that their impact on efficient processing of the SIINFEKL epitope from the C-terminus was negligible.

MHC I-restricted cancer-associated T cell epitopes are presented by murine target cells expressing chimeric Bet-epitope fusion proteins

The DNA sequences encoding the T cell epitopes TRP-2180–188 and E749–57 were cloned into the C-terminus of Bet to obtain the expression vectors pmaxBet-TRP2 and pmaxBet-HPV16E7, respectively. EL4 cells were transfected by nucleofection with pmaxBet-TRP2, pmaxBet-HPV16E7, or pmaxBet. Cells were harvested two days later and co-cultured with TRP-2-specific or with E7-specific CTL lines, respectively, in IFNγ ELISpot assays. EL4 cells transfected with pmaxBet-TRP2 induced maximal IFNγ secretion among TRP-2-specific CTLs, even exceeding the magnitude of IFNγ response obtained with RMA/TRP-2 transfectants used as positive control target cell line. Cells transfected with the parental vector pmaxBet or Bet-Ova8 induced only marginal IFNγ secretion (Fig 6A). Likewise, EL4 cells transfected with pmaxBet-HPV16E7 strongly activated of RAHYNIVTF-specific CTLs (Fig 6B), again to greater extent than the control cell line expressing the target antigen endogenously, i.e RMA-HPV16E7.

PFV Bet can act as an alternative epitope carrier

Since PFV is a primate virus recovered from a zoonotically infected person and is RC in diverse human cells [37], PFV Bet was also evaluated as T cell epitope carrier. PFV Bet, which has local, limited homology to other non-primate FV Bet proteins including FFV [58], was cloned into the pmax vector by amplification from another cDNA-based expression vector [58] to yield pmaxPFVBet. The nine C-terminal amino acids of PFV Bet were replaced by the HPV16E7-specific T cell epitope RAHYNIVTF to yield pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7 (Fig 7A). In addition, these nine amino acids were also directly added to the C-terminus of PFV Bet to obtain pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7-add.

To test whether RAHYNIVTF could be expressed, processed, and presented to H2-Db-restricted, E7-specific CTLs, EL4 cells transfected with pmaxPFVBet, pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7, pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7-add, and the FFV Bet-based pmax-Bet-HPV16E7 by nucleofection were used as targets in IFNγ ELISpot assays together with the E7-specific CTL line. EL4 cells transfected with pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7 were able to stimulate IFNγ secretion by E7-specific CTLs more effectively than its FFV Bet-based counterpart (Fig 7B). Cells transfected with pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7-add or the parental construct pmaxPFVBet did not exhibit any significant CTL activation. These results indicate that the HPV16E7 epitope RAHYNIVTF is capable of inducing IFNγ responses in epitope-specific CTLs when cloned into, but not when added onto the C-terminus of PFV Bet.
SIINFEKL-encoding FFV infects murine target cells and triggers low levels of IFNγ responses among specific CTLs independent of released SIINFEKL peptides

Although EL4 and RMA cells are not fully susceptible to FFV infection (W. Liu and M. Löchelt, unpublished data) effective T cell epitope presentation may not require a full infection cycle. Transfer of the FV genome and cellular expression of trace amounts of the chimeric Bet-epitope protein could be already sufficient for epitope presentation. Here, we demonstrated the applicability of an FFV infection-based vaccine epitope delivery strategy using Bet-Ova FFV vectors.

To determine whether EL4 and RMA cells are at least partially susceptible to FFV infection, pCF-7 and different pCF-Bet-Ova vector stocks were prepared by transfection of 293T cells. Culture supernatants containing released viral particles were collected 2 d p.t. and were used to infect EL4 or RMA target cells for four days. In parallel, transduced 293T cells were used for co-cultivation with EL4 target cells. Both sets of target cells were analyzed in IFNγ ELISpot assays.

EL4 cells cultured together with FFV-Bet-Ova8-containing supernatant strongly induced IFNγ secretion from OVA-specific CTLs. IFNγ production was even stronger when EL4 cells were co-cultured together with FFV-Bet-Ova8-releasing 293T cells (Fig 8). FFV-Bet-Ova12 still induced a statistically significant CTL response in co-cultures, whereas no reactivity was observed with the parental or empty vectors or the Gag-OVA chimera pCF-Gag-Ova. These data show that SIINFEKL is processed from Bet-Ova8 and -12 within infected cells. Insertion of SIINFEKL and its flanking sequences into Bet at a site further upstream of the C-terminus, as with FFV Bet-Ova16, interfered either with EL4 target cell infection or with efficient epitope processing.
To exclude that IFNγ secretion from OVA-specific CTLs is the result of external loading with peptides or proteins from culture medium used as inoculum, supernatants from 293T cells producing FFV vectors were fractionated for subsequent individual analyzes. Supernatants from 293T cells transfected with pCF-7 and pCF-Bet-Ova8, which contained released viral particles, were collected 2 d.p.t. and passed through a 100-kDa filter to retain intact viral particles. The retentate, containing viral particles, and the filtrate, containing proteins and peptides released from the cells, were used to treat EL4 target cells. EL4 cells infected with the retentate were able to activate CTLs (32–49 IFNγ spots/well), while the same cells incubated with the filtrate were not able to activate CTLs (0–3 IFNγ spots/well) (Fig 9A). The absence of viral particles in the filtrate was confirmed by addition of the retentate and the filtrate, respectively, to FeFAB cells (Fig 9B). This result indicates that OVA-specific CTL activation was due to viral entry into target cells, intracellular antigen processing and MHC-I-restricted presentation of the OVA-specific CTL epitope.

Discussion

Immunotherapy of persistent infections and chronic diseases like HIV/AIDS and cancer represents one of the most promising and rapidly expanding avenues of clinical therapy. For therapeutic vaccination and immunotherapy, unconventional and novel adjuvants and epitope delivery platforms are needed to induce efficient clearance of persistent pathogens or of cancer cells originating from autologous tissue. Here, replicating viruses, which induce strong innate
Fig 7. Recognition of the HPV16 E7-derived H2-D^b-restricted epitope RAHYNIVTF incorporated into the PFV Bet C-terminus by E7-specific CTLs.

A) The coding sequence for PFV Bet was cloned into the expression vector pmaxGFP to yield pmaxPFVBet. The HPV16E7 T cell epitope RAHYNIVTF was cloned into the C-terminus of PFV Bet. A replacement of the last nine amino acids of PFV Bet resulted in pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7. Upon addition of the nine amino acids to the C-terminus of PFV Bet pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7-add was generated. Original protein sequences are shown in black and modifications are depicted in red.

B) EL4 cells were nucleofected with pmaxPFVBet, pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7, or pmaxPFVBet-HPV16E7-add. After 2 d, cells were harvested and analyzed in an IFNγ ELISpot assay using HPV16 E7-expressing transfectant clone RMA-E7 and RMA cells transfected with the FFV Bet-encoding plasmid pmax-Bet-HPV16E7 as positive control. Untransfected EL4 cells and EL4 transfected with FFV and PFV, and EL4 transfected with FFV and PFV.
and adaptive immune responses and long-lasting memory, are excellent vehicles for antigen expression and epitope presentation. Retroviruses are especially well-suited for this purpose because they are highly immunogenic and can persist in the host via genome integration, enabling persistent antigen expression and presentation (20). In contrast to other retroviruses, the members of the FV subfamily (Spumaretrovirinae) are considered apathogenic and may be safer alternatives compared to lenti- and gammaretrovirus-based vectors [22]. Previous studies using FVs in gene therapy and vaccination approaches have paved the way for further development of FVs as vector platforms [17,72].

This study explores the suitability of replicating FFV vaccine vectors for B and T cell epitope presentation. The epitopes tested in this exploratory study were inserted by replacing viral sequences to minimize disruptions to the vector backbone. Epitopes were selected for their disease relevance, predicted risk of secondary structure disruption, and the availability of biological tools for analysis. One strategy was dependent on the untargeted, unbiased, and bioinformatics-based identification of sequences within the five FFV proteins similar to known B and T cell epitopes and their subsequent incorporation into the identified sites. The second strategy was based on modification of domains previously identified to tolerate changes with no or minor impact on virus replication. The success of these two strategies was evaluated by

**Fig 8. Target cells infected by FFV expressing Bet-SIINFEKL vectors stimulate SIINFEKL-specific CTLs.** For infection by supernatant transfer, 293T cells were transfected with pCF-7, pCF-Bet-Ova8, pCF-Bet-Ova12, pCF-Bet-Ova16, pCF-Gag-Ova, or pcDNA. Two d.p.t., culture supernatants were cleared and applied onto EL4 cells. For infection by co-culture, 293T cells transfected with pCF-7, pCF-Bet-Ova8, or pcDNA were cultured together with EL4 cells 2 d.p.t. Thereafter, cells were harvested and co-cultured with OVA-specific CTLs in ELISpot assays. Plates were probed after 24 h of co-cultivation for the presence of secreted IFNγ. The stable OVA-expressing cell line EG7 served as positive control (black bar). Untransfected EL4, EL4 transfected with pCF7 or with pcDNA were used as negative controls (white bars). Results are shown as number of IFNγ spots per 5000 CTLs/well. Values represent a single experiment performed in triplicate. * represents a p-value of less than 0.05 when compared to pCF-7; **, p-value < 0.01; ***, p-value < 0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138458.g008
investigating protein expression, particle release, and the ability to sustain productive infection within two permissive host cell lines. Overall, both methods showed similar success rates. However, the choice of the viral protein serving as scaffold for the epitope insertion was decisive, as our results clearly show that Bet is a much more flexible scaffold for T cell epitopes than structural proteins such as Gag or Env. Viruses with epitope incorporations into the C-
terminus of Bet or based on sequence homology were more likely to remain functional, as Bet is not a structural protein involved in particle release. In addition, Bet is under positive evolution as a viral protein counteracting intrinsic immune attack of the host \cite{73}. Thus, it must rapidly adapt to host counter-defense factors and should be intrinsically flexible. A previous study showed that the C-terminus of Bet is not conserved and can be replaced by related sequences \cite{58}.

The initial aim of the study was to explore the function of fully or partially RC FFV-based vectors and their potential use for immunization. The observation that even non-replicating FFV vaccine vectors efficiently stimulated specific CTL responses in vitro strongly suggests that strong replication is not a prerequisite for functional CTL recognition. Since FVs are capable of host genome integration and prolonged protein expression, they can constantly provide epitopes for MHC-I-restricted presentation.

As virus-mediated vaccine delivery activates innate immunity, attenuated or fully replicating FV are likely to deliver the adjuvant effects needed to establish protective or even therapeutic immune responses. Though soluble peptides or proteins such as Bet could be capable of inducing CTL responses, the immunological memory established by the adaptive immune system would likely be much weaker. In addition, highly ordered structures, such as viral particles, displaying repetitive B cell epitopes are recognized during immune surveillance more efficiently \cite{2}. A study performed by Mühle et al., for example, employed purified FFV Bet proteins fused to the membrane external proximal region of HIV gp41 \cite{31}. Though HIV-specific antibodies were produced, these antibodies could not protect against HIV-1 infection. However, even if infected cells are cleared upon recognition by specific T cells, RC FV vectors may still be desirable in clinical therapy \cite{74}. They could be used for local vector delivery to target sites poorly accessible by surgical intervention.

The use of live vaccine vectors also has some practical advantages. Small amounts of RC vectors can spread and amplify in the patient, lowering material requirements and production costs. If the RC vector is safe, it can be easily produced by serial passaging in permissive cells, as shown here. Application of RC FV vectors in patients would also reveal novel insights into the immunology of an FV infection. However, RC viral vectors based on pathogenic viruses may revert to the wt; in fact, this is not a concern with FV-based vectors due to their inherent apathogenicity.

T cell epitopes were grafted into Bet and tested for efficient endogenous processing and MHC I-restricted presentation to cognate T cells using epitope-specific CTL lines. Epitope processing and presentation was evaluated independent of the transduction ability of FFV into mouse cells using transfection of sub-genomic Bet expression constructs. The insertion of three CTL epitopes, one derived from a model antigen OVA and two originating from clinically relevant tumor antigens (TRP-2, and HPV16E7) showed efficient processing from the C-terminus of FFV Bet. The results using these three T cell epitopes are the first characterization of T cell epitope generation and presentation using FVs of any species. PFV Bet was also explored as a potential scaffold for epitope incorporation. The HPV16E7 T cell epitope incorporated into the C-terminus of PFV Bet was successfully processed and presented to MHC I-restricted CTLs. Importantly, sensitization of the infected target cells for CTL recognition by external loading of MHC-I molecules with unspecific cleavage products or released peptides could be excluded (Fig 9).

Proteases involved in CTL epitope generation are thought to prefer cleavage behind specific residues \cite{75}. However, the underlying requirements are not comprehensively defined by now, making accurate prediction of proper T cell epitope cleavage from the precursor forms to a certain degree speculative. In this study, the presence/absence or composition of flanking sequences surrounding the H2-K\textsuperscript{b}-restricted CTL epitope SIINFEKL did not seem to interfere
with epitope processing, at least when the epitope was engineered into the C-terminus of Bet. This also confirms previous studies showing that SIINFEKL itself appears to be protected from proteolytic cleavage [76]. The TRP-2- and HPV16E7-specific CTL epitopes were also efficiently processed from the C-terminus of Bet.

Although there is a growing list of well-defined CTL epitopes that could be useful for immunotherapy, many of them, in particular MHC II-restricted CD4+ T cell epitopes, have a size larger than nine amino acids, thus being probably more disruptive when integrated into viral proteins [77,78]. The epitope sequences themselves can also be optimized by modifying individual residues, particularly at the anchor positions within the epitope. For example, within the MHC I restricted system, variants of the TRP-2-specific CTL epitope containing methionine instead of valine at the N-terminal anchor position were shown to improve stability of the MHC-I-peptide complex, thereby promoting improved immunogenicity [79].

This is the first study demonstrating MHC I-restricted T cell epitope presentation from FV, raising the obvious question whether FVs are suitable delivery vectors for foreign epitopes for T cell stimulation in vivo. So far, in vitro studies showed up regulation of MHC I surface expression in PFV-infected cells, though this was IFNγ-independent [80]. Moreover, a recent study showed type I IFN secretion upon FV infection in vitro and the responsiveness of FV replication towards different IFNs [81]. There is no evidence on the effect of FV infection on type II IFN (IFNγ) expression. It is also currently unknown whether and to which degree FV-specific CTLs could influence virus replication and persistence in vivo. The data presented here using the cloned FFV-FUV isolate, which is fully RC in experimentally infected cats [16], indicate that CTL responses are (at least) not fully abrogated by FFV proteins. Apparently, FFV and PFV Bet do not interfere with CTL recognition of the murine thymoma cell line EL-4. It is unclear whether other FV proteins or the recently identified FV-encoded miRNAs [82] have immune-suppressive functions. Such viral immune evasion effectors may have reduced CTL reactivity to FFV-Bet-OVA-8-transduced target cells. However, we believe this was mainly due to limited permissiveness of murine EL-4 cells toward transduction by a feline virus, since FV infection has been described to increase MHC-I expression [80].

Vaccines based on only one antigenic epitope may be rendered non-functional or ineffective when a single residue in the epitope is changed. Viruses generally mutate much faster than the host, a strategy that viruses exploit to evade immune detection [83,84]. This high mutation rate complicates the development of antiviral therapies and vaccines based on single epitopes or target antigen, as exemplified in the case of HIV. The same characteristics are embodied by cancer cells, which have a high mutation rate due to the dysfunction of several pathways including such involved in cell cycle control. The use of multiple epitopes of the same target protein would help to overcome MHC-I polymorphism and prevent immune escape.

Our studies have shown that the C-terminus of Bet is amenable to modification by small peptides, such as MHC-I-restricted CTL epitopes [31,58]. Different epitopes could be inserted into this region. Our studies as well as the in vivo and in vitro data by Schwantes et al. [16,17] indicate that a size of 15 amino acids should allow maintenance of replication as well as stable maintenance and expression of the heterologous sequences. Although not experimentally tested here, molecular characterization of the genetic stability of the replicating vectors is mandatory in future animal experiments. A panel combining multiple T or B cell epitopes, each cloned into a different vector using the same strategy, would bypass the insert size constraints seen in Schwantes et al. [16,17]. Such a panel of viruses could be applied as a mixture into the patient. Alternatively, the six epitopes derived from related proteins of the MAGE tumor antigen family [85] and tested individually in Bet (Fig 2) could be also combined in a single vector provided that Bet function is still maintained at a sufficient level. MAGE proteins are involved in cell cycle progression, have been shown to be up-regulated in melanoma, and are currently
explored as targets in immunotherapy approaches [65]. Mixtures of several of these T cell epitopes within one therapeutic vaccine should enable destruction of melanoma cells expressing any member of the protein family, thus limiting the development of resistant tumor escape variants, or the need for multiple therapeutic targets. Previous studies [16,17] exploring the use of FFV as a vector for large inserts found that inserts of more than 100 amino acids in the C-terminus of Bet were stable for more than ten weeks. Given the comparatively small size of the inserts used in this study, we do not expect any great mutation pressure on our epitopes. Moreover, FVs are also thought to have a much lower mutation rate than other retroviruses [86]. However, the inevitable occurrence of a random mutation would not render the vector ineffective. Such mutations might even allow the vector to evolve alongside the cancer cell genome as it seeks to evade immune detection by mutating targeted epitopes.

Realistically, the use of integrating RC vectors, even those based on apathogenic viruses such as FVs, would be restricted to the treatment of diseases where the benefit of immunotherapy greatly outweighs the risk of insertional mutagenesis and wt reversion. FVs do not appear to have a propensity for transcriptionally active regions, which is observed with other lentiviruses [19]. As the integration site is still random and cannot be definitively predetermined, there is still a risk of insertional mutagenesis, which must be further characterized. The clinical application of RC FV vectors will require further study of the clinical presentation and the pathways involved in FV infection. A profound understanding of the immune reaction in response to FV infection will be critical in order to define the risks associated with FVs and to determine how RC FV vectors can be used as epitope scaffolds. The lack of documentation of FV-associated disease, despite known infected populations, indicates that FVs are relatively safe vectors. However, a risk-benefit analysis would need to be performed on an individual basis.

FV-based vaccine vectors continue to appear promising as new viral vectors for gene therapy and therapeutic vaccination. The in vitro data presented here must be validated by in vivo studies. Since PFV appears to infect mouse cells efficiently, the model epitopes used in our study should be transferred into a PFV proviral backbone. To test whether epitope-specific CTLs can be activated and expanded upon exposure to the vector, CTLs should be isolated from immunized mice and tested for specificity using IFNγ ELISpot assays. Cytokine profiling of immune cells from immunized mice would not only confirm specific CTL activation but could also shed light on the innate and adaptive immune responses against the FV vector itself. Tumors expressing the epitope could be transplanted into immunized mice and the extent of tumor growth compared to a non-immunized control group could be monitored, allowing quantitative evaluation to FV-mediated tumor protection. The work presented here opens a new chapter in FV vector development. The studies in FFV need to be translated into the more promiscuous PFV, which is a potential vector in both mice and humans. By exploring T cell epitope presentation from FV proteins, the present study provides a first glimpse into the utility of FVs as a vector for the ultimate goal of human immunotherapy.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. A) Cell lysates and B) enriched culture supernatants of 293T cells transfected with modified provirus were analyzed by immunoblotting. Cells and supernatants were harvested 2 d p.t. and probed using polyclonal sera against Gag MA and Env TM. Released particles in which Env and Gag are both detectable may be whole virus. Particles containing Env only, as seen in most recombinants, are non-infectious Env SVPs. The Gag precursor (p52), cleaved mature Gag (p48), Env precursor (gp130Env), mature TM (gp48TM) and a cell lysate-associated TM isoform (TMCl) are indicated by arrows. Proper protein loading of cell lysates was
determined by probing for β-actin. C) Syncytia formation in 293T cells transfected with recombinant proviruses. Red frames indicate attenuated or defective syncytia formation.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. A) Cell lysates and B) enriched culture supernatants of 293T cells transfected with modified provirus were analyzed by immunoblotting. Cells and supernatants were harvested 2 d p.t. and probed using polyclonal sera against Gag MA and Env TM. Released particles in which Env and Gag are both detectable may be whole virus. Particles containing Env only, as seen in most recombinants, are non-infectious Env SVPs. The Gag precursor (p52), cleaved mature Gag (p48), Env precursor (gp130Env), mature TM (gp48TM) and a cell lysate-associated TM isoform (TMCL) are indicated by arrows. Proper protein loading of cell lysates was determined by probing for β-actin.

(TIF)

S1 Table. Primers used in this study.

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Plasmids constructed in this study.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Elke Dickes (Division of Translational Immunology, German Cancer Research Center) for her generous technical support in T cell culture. J.L. is supported by a PhD stipend from the Helmholtz Graduate School for Cancer Research.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JL WO LG SE ML. Performed the experiments: JL AG AHW GW. Analyzed the data: JL WO AHW GW SE ML. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: WO AHW LG SE ML. Wrote the paper: JL WO ML.

References

1. Hsu JL. A brief history of vaccines: smallpox to the present. S D Med [Internet]. 2013 Jan;Spec no:33–7: . Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23444589. Accessed 2014 Sep 8.

2. Bachmann M, Rohrer U, Kundig T, Burki K, Hengartner H, Zinkernagel R. The influence of antigen organization on B cell responsiveness. Science (80-) [Internet]. 1993 Nov 26; 262(5138):1448–51. Available: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/262/5138/1448.abstract. Accessed 2014 Sep 2.

3. Medzhitov R. Toll-like receptors and innate immunity. Nat Rev Immunol [Internet]. 2001 Nov [cited 2014 Aug 23]; 1(2):135–45. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11905821. Accessed 2014 Aug 23. PMID: 11905821

4. Tang D, Kang R, Coyne CB, Zeh HJ, Lotze MT. PAMPs and DAMPs: Signal 0s that spur autophagy and immunity. Immuno Rev. 2012; 249:158–75. doi:10.1111/j.1600-065X.2012.01146.x PMID: 22889221

5. Holmgren J, Svennerholm A-M. Vaccines against mucosal infections. Curr Opin Immunol [Internet]. 2014 Dec 3. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25580196. Accessed 2014 Oct 2. PMID: 25580196

6. Galon J, Costes A, Sanchez-Cabo F, Kirilovsky A, Mlecnik B, Lagorce-Pagès C, et al. Type, density, and location of immune cells within human colorectal tumors predict clinical outcome. Science [Internet]. 2006 Sep 29; 313(5795):1960–4. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17008531. Accessed 2014 Oct 2. PMID: 17008531

7. Van Kasteren SI, Overkleeft H, Ovaa H, Neefjes J. Chemical biology of antigen presentation by MHC molecules. Curr Opin Immunol [Internet]. 2014 Feb; 26:21–31. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24556397. Accessed 2014 Oct 9. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2013.10.005 PMID: 24556397
Replication-Competent Foamy Virus Vaccine Vectors

8. Petrovsky N, Aguilar JC. Vaccine adjuvants: current state and future trends. Immunol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2004 Oct; 82(5):488–96. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15479434. Accessed 2014 Nov 26. PMID: 15479434

9. Amanna IJ, Silfka MK. Wanted, dead or alive: new viral vaccines. Antiviral Res [Internet]. 2009 Nov; 84 (2):119–30. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2763079&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Sep 9. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2009.08.008 PMID: 19733596

10. Rappuoli R, Mandl CW, Black S, De Gregorio E. Vaccines for the twenty-first century society. Nat Rev Immunol [Internet]. 2011 Dec; 11(12):865–72. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22051890. Accessed 2014 Oct 3. doi: 10.1038/nri3085 PMID: 22051890

11. Monath TP. Classical live viral vaccines. Birkhauser Adv Infect Dis. 2011;47

12. Stanford MM, Breitbach CJ, Bell JC, McFadden G. Innate immunity, tumor microenvironment and oncolytic virus therapy: friends or foes? Curr Opin Mol Ther [Internet]. 2008 Feb; 10(1):32–7. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18228179. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. PMID: 18228179

13. Maul RW, Gearhart PJ. AID and somatic hypermutation. Adv Immunol [Internet]. 2010 Jan; 105:159–91. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2954419&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Sep 8. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2776(10)05006-6 PMID: 20510733

14. Lindemann D, Rethwilm A. Foamy virus biology and its application for vector development. Viruses [Internet]. 2011 May; 3(5):51–65. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3185757&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Jul 29. doi: 10.3390/v3050561 PMID: 2194746

15. Katzourakis A, Gifford RJ, Tristem M, Gilbert MTP, Pybus OG. Macroevolution of complex retroviruses. Science [Internet]. 2009 Sep 18; 325(5947):1512. Available: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/325/5947/1512.abstract. Accessed 2014 Aug 25. doi: 10.1126/science.1174149 PMID: 19762636

16. Schwantes A, Ortlepp I, Löchelt M. Construction and functional characterization of feline foamy virus-based retroviral vectors. Virology. 2002; 301:53–63. PMID: 12359446

17. Schwantes A, Truyen U, Weikel J, Weiss C, Löchelt M. Application of chimeric feline foamy virus-based retroviral vectors for the induction of antiviral immunity in cats. J Virol [Internet]. 2003 Jul; 77(14):7830–42. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=161927&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Jul 29. PMID: 12829823

18. Materniak M, Hechler T, Löchelt M, Kuzmak J. Similar patterns of infection with bovine foamy virus in experimentally inoculated calves and sheep. J Virol [Internet]. 2013 Mar [cited 2014 Aug 19]; 87 (6):3516–25. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3592159&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Aug 19. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02447-12 PMID: 23325680

19. Nowrouzi A, Dittrich M, Kiankelein M, Rammling M, Dandekar T, et al. Genome-wide mapping of foamy virus vector integrations into a human cell line. J Gen Virol [Internet]. 2006 May; 87(Pt 5):1339–47. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16603537. Accessed 2014 Jul 30. PMID: 16603537

20. Maier P, von Kalle C, Laufs S. Retroviral vectors for gene therapy. Future Microbiol. 2010; 5:1507–23. doi: 10.2217/fmb.10.100 PMID: 21073311

21. Deyle DR, Khan IF, Ren G, Russell DW. Lack of genotoxicity due to foamy virus vector integration in human iPSCs. Gene Ther [Internet]. 2013 Aug; 20(8):868–73. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3655141&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Jul 30. doi: 10.1038/gt.2013.6 PMID: 23388702

22. Rethwilm A. Foamy virus vectors: an awaited alternative to gammaretro- and lentiviral vectors. Curr Gene Ther. 2007; 7:261–71. PMID: 17969559

23. Kehl T, Tan J, Materniak M. Non-simian foamy viruses: molecular virology, tropism and prevalence and zoonotic/interspecies transmission. Viruses [Internet]. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute; 2013 Sep 13; 5(9):2169–209. Available: http://www.mdpi.com/1999-4815/5/9/2169/html. Accessed 2014 Jul 17. doi: 10.3390/v5092169 PMID: 24064793

24. Mergia A, Leung NJ, Blackwell E. Cell tropism of the simian foamy virus type 1 (SFV-1). J Med Primatol. 1996; 25:2–7. PMID: 8740945

25. Rua R, Betsem E, Gessain A. Viral Latency in Blood and Saliva of Simian Foamy Virus-Infected Humans. PLoS One. 2013; 8.

26. Alike A, Schwantes A, Zemba M, Flügel RM, Löchelt M. Characterization of the humoral immune response and virus replication in cats experimentally infected with feline foamy virus. Virology. 2000; 278:170–6. PMID: 11017797
27. Bauer TR, Löhchelt M, Tryon U. Demonstration of feline foamy virus in experimentally infected cats by immunohistochemistry. J Vet Med A Physiol Pathol Clin Med [Internet]. 2003 Oct; 50(8):415–7. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14633220. Accessed 2014 Jul 30. PMID: 14633220

28. Bauer TR, Olson EM, Hua Y, Tuschong LM, Allen JM, Li Y, et al. Treatment of canine leukocyte adhesion deficiency by foamy virus vectors expressing CD18 from a PGK promoter. Gene Ther [Internet]. 2011 Jun; 18(6):553–9. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3079787&tool = pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract. Accessed 2014 Jul 30. doi: 10.1038/gt.2010.169 PMID: 21228877

29. Bauer TR, Allen JM, Hai M, Tuschong LM, Khan IF, Olson EM, et al. Successful treatment of canine leukocyte adhesion deficiency by foamy virus vectors. Nat Med [Internet]. 2008 Jan; 14(1):93–7. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18157138. Accessed 2014 Sep 3. PMID: 18157138

30. Bauer TR, Tuschong LM, Calvo KR, Shive HR, Burkholder TH, Karlsson EK, et al. Long-term follow-up of foamy viral vector-mediated gene therapy for canine leukocyte adhesion deficiency. Mol Ther [Internet]. 2013 May; 21(5):964–72. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3666632&tool = pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract. Accessed 2014 Jul 30. doi: 10.1038/mt.2013.34 PMID: 23531552

31. Mühle M, Hoffmann K, Löhchelt M, Denner J. Immunisation with foamy virus Bet fusion proteins as novel strategy for HIV-1 epitope delivery. Immunol Res [Internet]. 2013 May; 56(1):61–72. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23440699. Accessed 2014 Aug 4. doi: 10.1007/s12026-013-8387-x PMID: 23440699

32. Mühle M, Hoffmann K, Löhchelt M, Denner J. Construction and characterisation of replicating foamy viral vectors expressing HIV-1 epitopes recognised by broadly neutralising antibodies. Antiviral Res [Internet]. 2013 Nov; 100(2):314–20. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24055836. Accessed 2014 Aug 4. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.09.009 PMID: 24055836

33. Smith KM, Anthony SJ, Switzer WM, Epstein JH, Seimon T, Jia H, et al. Zoonotic viruses associated with illegally imported wildlife products. PLoS One [Internet]. 2012 Jan; 7(1):e29505. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3717720&tool = pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029505 PMID: 22253731

34. Mouinga-Ondémé A, Kazanji M. Simian foamy virus in non-human primates and cross-species transmission to humans in Gabon: an emerging zoonotic disease in central Africa? Viruses [Internet]. 2013 Jun; 5(6):1536–52. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3717720&tool = pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029505 PMID: 22378311

35. Huang F, Wang H, Jing S, Zeng W. Simian foamy virus prevalence in Macaca mulatta and zookeepers. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses [Internet]. 2012 Jun; 28(6):591–3. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22236106. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. doi: 10.1089/AID.2011.0305 PMID: 22236106

36. Betsem E, Rua R, Tortevoye P, Froment A, Gessain A. Frequent and recent human acquisition of simian foamy viruses through apes’ bites in central Africa. PLoS Pathog [Internet]. 2011 Oct; 7(10): e1002306. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3203161&tool = pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002306 PMID: 22046126

37. Khan AS. Simian foamy virus infection in humans: prevalence and management. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther [Internet]. 2009 Jun; 7(5):569–80. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19485797. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. doi: 10.1586/er.09.99 PMID: 19485797

38. Engel G, Hungerford LL, Jones-Engel L, Travis D, Eberle R, Fuentes A, et al. Risk assessment: A model for predicting cross-species transmission of simian foamy virus from macaques (M. fascicularis) to humans at a monkey temple in Bali, Indonesia. Am J Primatol [Internet]. 2006 Sep; 68(9):934–48. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16900504. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. PMID: 16900504

39. Liu W, Lei J, Liu Y, Lukic DS, Räthe A-M, Bao Q, et al. Feline foamy virus-based vectors: advantages of an authentic animal model. Viruses [Internet]. 2013; 5:1702–12. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3738957&tool = pmcentrez&rendertype = abstract. doi: 10.3390/v5071702 PMID: 23857307

40. Zemba M, Alke A, Boden J, Winkler IG, Flower RL, Prepper K, et al. Construction of infectious feline foamy virus genomes: cat antisera do not cross-neutralize feline foamy virus chimera with serotype-specific Env sequences. Virology. 2000; 266:150–6. PMID: 10612669

41. Old LJ, Boyse EA, Stockert E. The G (Gross) leukemia antigen. Cancer Res [Internet]. 1965 Jul; 25(6):813–9. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4284252. Accessed 2014 Nov 19. PMID: 4284252

42. Ljunggren HG, Kärre K. Host resistance directed selectively against H-2-deficient lymphoma variants. Analysis of the mechanism. J Exp Med [Internet]. 1985 Dec 1; 162(6):1745–59. Available: http://www.
Speidel K, Osen W, Faath S, Hilgert I, Obst R, Braspenning J, et al. Priming of cytotoxic T lymphocytes

Moore MW, Carbone FR, Bevan MJ. Introduction of soluble protein into the class I pathway of antigen processing and presentation. Cell [Internet]. 1988 Sep 9; 54(6):777–85. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3261634. Accessed 2014 Nov 19. PMID: 3261634

Speidel K, Osen W, Faath S, Hilgert I, Obst R, Braspenning J, et al. Priming of cytotoxic T lymphocytes by five heat-aggregated antigens in vivo: conditions, efficiency, and relation to antibody responses. Eur J Immunol [Internet]. 1997 Sep; 27(9):2391–9. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9341785. Accessed 2014 Nov 19. PMID: 9341785

Zhao F, Falk C, Osen W, Kato M, Schadendorf D, Umansky V. Activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase drives dendritic cells to become tolerogenic in ret transgenic mice spontaneously developing melanoma. Clin Cancer Res [Internet]. 2009 Jul 1; 15(13):4382–90. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19549770. Accessed 2014 Nov 19. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0399 PMID: 19549770

Falk K, Rötzschke O, Stevanović S, Jung G, Rammensee HG. Allele-specific motifs revealed by sequencing of self-peptides eluted from MHC molecules. Nature. 1991; 351:290–6. PMID: 1709722

Dell K, Koesters R, Gissmann L. Transcutaneous immunization in mice: induction of T-helper and cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses and protection against human papillomavirus-induced tumors. Int J Cancer [Internet]. 2006 Jan 15; 118(2):364–72. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16052529. Accessed 2014 Dec 14. PMID: 16052529

Feltkamp MC, Smits HL, Vierboom MP, Minnaar RP, de Jongh BM, Drijfhout JW, et al. Vaccination with cytotoxic T lymphocyte epitope-containing peptide protects against a tumor induced by human papillomavirus type 16-transformed cells. Eur J Immunol [Internet]. 1993 Sep; 23(8):2242–9. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7690326. Accessed 2014 Jul 29. PMID: 7690326

Parkhurst MR, Fitzgerald EB, Southwood S, Sette A, Rosenberg SA, Kawakami Y. Identification of a shared HLA-A*0201-restricted T-cell epitope from the melanoma antigen tyrosinase-related protein 2 (TRP2). Cancer Res. 1998; 58:4895–901. PMID: 9809996

Samorski R, Gissmann L, Osen W. Codon optimized expression of HPV 16 E6 renders target cells susceptible to E6-specific CTL recognition. Immunol Lett [Internet]. 2006 Sep 15; 107(1):41–9. Available: http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/61/10/4072.abstract. Accessed 2014 Dec 30. PMID: 11358828

Tatsumi T, Kierstead LS, Ranieri E, Gesualdo L, Schena FP, Finke JH, et al. MAGE-6 encodes HLA-DRβ1*0401-presented epitopes recognized by CD4+ T cells from patients with melanoma or renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res [Internet]. 2003 Mar; 9(3):947–54. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12631591. Accessed 2014 Dec 30. PMID: 12631591

Zwick MB, Labrijn AF, Wang M, Spelenhauer C, Sapire E, Binley JM, et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies targeted to the membrane-proximal external region of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 glycoprotein gp41. J Virol [Internet]. 2001 Nov; 75(22):10892–905. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1146698&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Dec 14. PMID: 11602729

Muster T, Steindl F, Purtscher M, Trkola A, Klima A, Himmler G, et al. A conserved neutralizing epitope on gp41 of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp41. J Virol [Internet]. 1993 Nov; 67(11):6642–7. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2381023&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Dec 14. PMID: 7692082

Aike A, Schwantes A, Kido K, Flötenmeyer M, Flügel RM, Löchelt M. The bet gene of feline foamy virus is required for virus replication. Virology. 2001; 287:310–20. PMID: 11531409

Lukic DS, Hotz-Wagenblatt A, Lei J, Räthe A-M, Mühle M, Denner J, et al. Identification of the feline foamy virus Bet domain essential for APOBEC3 counteraction. Retrovirology [Internet]. 2013; 10:76. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3751544&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract doi: 10.1186/1742-4690-10-76 PMID: 23880220

Mühle M, Bleiholder A, Kolb S, Hübner J, Löchelt M, Denner J. Immunological properties of the transmembrane envelope protein of the feline foamy virus and its use for serological screening. Virology. 2011; 412:333–40. doi: 10.1016/j.virology.2011.01.023 PMID: 21316070
60. Ramenskii H, Bachmann J, Emmerich NP, Bachor OA, Stevanovic S. SYFPEITHI: database for MHC ligands and peptide motifs. Immunogenetics. 1999; 50:213–9. PMID: 10602881
61. Bleiholder A. Evaluation of the Feline Foamy Virus Envelope Glycoprotein as Scaffold for the Presentation of Epitope Sequences targeted by the HIV-1 broadly neutralizing antibodies 2F5 and 4E10. Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg; 2012.
62. Russell RA, Wiegand HL, Moore MD, Schäfer A, McClure MO, Cullen BR. Foamy virus Bet proteins function as novel inhibitors of the APOBEC3 family of innate antiretroviral defense factors. J Virol [Internet]. 2005 Jul; 79(14):8724–31. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1168746&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Jul 29. PMID: 15994766
63. Perkovic M, Schmidt S, Marino D, Russell RA, Stauch B, Hofmann H, et al. Species-specific inhibition of APOBEC3C by the prototype foamy virus protein bet. J Biol Chem [Internet]. 2009 Feb 27; 284(9):5819–26. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2645832&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Sep 5. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M808853200 PMID: 19074429
64. Chareza S, Slavkovic Lukic D, Liu Y, Räthe AM, Münk C, Zabogli E, et al. Molecular and functional interactions of cat APOBEC3 and feline foamy and immunodeficiency virus proteins: Different ways to counteract host-encoded restriction. Virology. 2012; 424:138–46. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2011.12.017 PMID: 22265237
65. Barker PA, Salehi A. The MAGE proteins: emerging roles in cell cycle progression, apoptosis, and neurogenetic disease. J Neurosci Res [Internet]. 2002 Mar 15; 67(6):705–12. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11991783. Accessed 2014 Sep 8. PMID: 11991783
66. Zur Hausen H. Papillomaviruses in the causation of human cancers—a brief historical account. Virology. 2000. p. 260–5.
67. Munger K, Phelps WC. The human papillomavirus E7 protein as a transforming and transactivating factor. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta—Reviews on Cancer. 1993. p. 111–23.
68. Boyer SN, Wazer DE, Band V. E7 protein of human papilloma virus-16 induces degradation of retinoblastoma protein through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Cancer Res. 1996; 56:4620–4. PMID: 8840974
69. Scheffner M, Huibregtse JM, Vierstra RD, Howley PM. The HPV-16 E6 and E6-AP complex functions as a ubiquitin-protein ligase in the ubiquitination of p53. Cell [Internet]. 1993 Nov 5; 75(3):495–505. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8221889. Accessed 2014 Nov 27. PMID: 8221889
70. Bastone P, Romen F, Liu W, Wirtz R, Koch U, Josephson N, et al. Construction and characterization of efficient, stable and safe replication-deficient foamy virus vectors. Gene Ther [Internet]. 2007 Apr; 14(7):613–20. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17203107. Accessed 2014 Jul 30. PMID: 17203107
71. Neefjes J, Ovaas H. A peptide’s perspective on antigen presentation to the immune system. Nat Chem Biol [Internet]. 2013 Dec; 9(12):769–75. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24231618. Accessed 2014 Dec 10. doi: 10.1038/ncbchem.1391 PMID: 24231618
72. Trobridge GD. Foamy virus vectors for gene transfer. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2009; 9:1427–36. doi: 10.1517/14712590903246388 PMID: 19743892
73. Löchelt M, Romen F, Bastone P, Muckenfuss H, Kirchner N, Kim Y-B, et al. The antiretroviral activity of APOBEC3 is inhibited by the foamy virus accessory Bet protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2005 May 31; 102(22):7982–7. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1142374&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Jul 29. PMID: 15911774
74. Dalba C, Bellier B, Kasahara N, Klatsmann D. Replication-competent vectors and empty virus-like particles: new retroviral vector designs for cancer gene therapy or vaccines. Mol Ther [Internet]. 2007 Mar; 15(3):457–66. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17245356. Accessed 2014 Sep 2. PMID: 17245356
75. Toes RE, Nussbaum AK, Degermann S, Schirle M, Emmerich NP, Kraft M, et al. Discrete cleavage motifs of constitutive and immunoproteasomes revealed by quantitative analysis of cleavage products. J Exp Med [Internet]. 2001 Jul 2; 194(1):1–12. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2193442&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. PMID: 11435468
76. Niedermann G, Geier E, Lucchiari-Hartz M, Hitzinger N, Ramsperger A, Eichmann K. The specificity of proteasomes: impact on MHC class I processing and presentation of antigens. Immunol Rev [Internet]. 1999 Dec; 172:29–48. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10631935. Accessed 2014 Sep 3. PMID: 10631935
77. Cohen WM, Povelle-Moratille S, Wang X-F, Farc S, Munier G, Charron D, et al. Scanning the HIV genome for CD4+ T cell epitopes restricted to HLA-DP4, the most prevalent HLA class II molecule. J...
78. Comber JD, Karabudak A, Shetty V, Testa JS, Huang X, Philip R. MHC Class I Presented T Cell Epitopes as Potential Antigens for Therapeutic Vaccine against HBV Chronic Infection. Hepat Res Treat [Internet]. 2014 Jan; 2014:860562. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4058288&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 nov 20. doi:10.1155/2014/860562 PMID: 24971174

79. Tang Y, Lin Z, Ni B, Wei J, Han J, Wang H, et al. An altered peptide ligand for naïve cytotoxic T lymphocyte epitope of TRP-2(180–188) enhanced immunogenicity. Cancer Immunol Immunother [Internet]. 2007 Mar; 56(3):319–29. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16906394. Accessed 2014 Sep 3. PMID: 16906394

80. Colas S, Bourge JF, Wybier J, Chelbi-Alix MK, Paul P, Emanoil-Ravier R. Human foamy virus infection activates class I major histocompatibility complex antigen expression. J Gen Virol [Internet]. 1995 Mar; 76 (Pt 3):661–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7534815. Accessed 2014 Dec 11. PMID: 7534815

81. Rua R, Lepelley A, Gessain A, Schwartz O. Innate sensing of foamy viruses by human hematopoietic cells. J Virol [Internet]. 2012 Jan; 86(2):909–18. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3255846&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed 2014 Sep 3. doi: 10.1128/JVI.06235-11 PMID: 22090096

82. Whisnant AW, Kehl T, Bao Q, Materniak M, Kuzmak J, Löchelt M, et al. Identification of novel, highly expressed retroviral microRNAs in cells infected by bovine foamy virus. J Virol [Internet]. 2014 May; 88 (9):4679–86. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24522910

83. Kloetzel P-M. The proteasome and MHC class I antigen processing. Biochim Biophys Acta [Internet]. 2004 Nov 29; 1695(1–3):225–33. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15571818. Accessed 2014 Sep 8. PMID: 15571818

84. Parham P, Ohta T. Population biology of antigen presentation by MHC class I molecules. Science [Internet]. 1996 Apr 5; 272(5258):67–74. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8600539. Accessed 2014 Sep 3. PMID: 8600539

85. Sang M, Lian Y, Zhou X, Shan B. MAGE-A family: attractive targets for cancer immunotherapy. Vaccine [Internet]. 2011 Nov 3; 29(47):8496–500. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21933694. Accessed 2014 Sep 3. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.09.014 PMID: 21933694

86. Switzer WM, Salemi M, Shanmugam V, Gao F, Cong M-E, Kuiken C, et al. Ancient co-speciation of simian foamy viruses and primates. Nature. 2005; 434(7031):376–80. PMID: 15772660