MiR-34a suppression targets Nampt to ameliorate bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell senescence by regulating NAD$^+$-Sirt1 pathway
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Abstract

**Background:** Expansion-mediated replicative senescence and age-related natural senescence have adverse effects on mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) regenerative capability and functionality, thus severely impairing the extensive applications of MSC-based therapies. Emerging evidences suggest that microRNA-34a (miR-34a) has been implicated in the process of MSC senescence; however, the molecular mechanisms with regard to how miR-34a influencing MSC senescence remain largely undetermined.

**Methods:** MiR-34a expression in MSCs was evaluated utilizing RT-qPCR. The functional effects of miR-34a exerting on MSC senescence were investigated via gene manipulation. Relevant gene and protein expression levels were analyzed by RT-qPCR and western blot. Luciferase reporter assays were applied to confirm that Nampt is a direct target of miR-34a. The underlying regulatory mechanism of miR-34a targeting Nampt in MSC senescence was further explored by measuring intracellular NAD$^+$ content, NAD$^+$/NADH ratio and Sirt1 activity.

**Results:** In contrast to Nampt expression, miR-34a expression incremented in senescent MSCs. MiR-34a overexpression in young MSCs resulted in senescence-associated characteristics as displayed by senescence-like morphology, prolonged cell proliferation, declined osteogenic differentiation potency, heightened senescence-associated-β-galactosidase activity, and upregulated expression levels of the senescence-associated factors. Conversely, miR-34a suppression in replicative senescent and natural senescent MSCs contributed to diminished senescence-related phenotypic features. We identified Nampt as a direct target gene of miR-34a. In addition, miR-34a repletion resulted in prominent reductions in Nampt expression levels, NAD$^+$ content, NAD$^+$/NADH ratio, and Sirt1 activity, whereas anti-miR-34a treatment exerted the opposite effects. Furthermore, miR-34a-mediated MSC senescence was evidently rescued following the co-treatment with Nampt overexpression.

(Continued on next page)
Conclusion: This study identifies a significant role of miR-34a playing in MSC replicative senescence and natural senescence via targeting Nampt and further mediating by NAD⁺-Sirt1 pathway, carrying great implications for optimal strategies for MSC therapeutic applications.
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Background

Aging is a multifactorial process accompanied by conspicuous decline in quantity and quality of adult stem cells (SCs) and incremented susceptibility to age-related diseases [1]. Adult SCs, existing in almost all tissues and organs, are essential for maintaining tissue regeneration and homeostasis [2]. Nonetheless, SCs predispose to senesce with advancing age, which is one of the crucially precipitating factors during individual aging [1] and multiple age-associated disorders, such as atherosclerosis [3], diabetes mellitus [4], and neurodegenerative diseases [5].

Ascribed to wide variety of sources, multi-lineage differentiation potency, and plasticity in immunomodulatory capability, adult bone marrow-derived mesenchymal SCs (MSCs) have been one of the most invaluable candidates in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine [6, 7]. Before administration for transplantation, MSCs require extensive multi-passage in vitro expansion to acquire sufficient cells for treatment. However, even with highly self-renewal and regenerative capacity, MSCs will ineluctably undergo senescent state, which can be generally divided into two subsets. Cultivated primary cells in vitro undergo replicative senescence, which is telomere-initiated senescence [8, 9]. Another type of cellular senescence arising from in vivo chronological aging process of individuals, inescapably accompanied by distinctive senescence-related phenotypic characterization, is named as natural senescence [10]. These are considered as major impediments to applications in basic scientific research and clinical MSC-based therapeutic strategies. Hence, promising strategies to rejuvenate replicative and natural senescent MSCs merit urgent exploration.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) have been participated in the modulation of multiple biological processes in organisms, encompassing cell proliferation and differentiation, cellular senescence, and energy metabolism [11, 12]. These RNA molecules influence gene expression by directly binding to the complementary sites presented in the 3′ untranslated region (3′UTR) of target messenger RNAs (mRNAs), resulting in either posttranscriptional repression or degradation [11], given that MSC senescence is not only modulated by genetics, but also under the control of epigenetics [13]. As one of the vital mechanisms of epigenetic regulation, microRNA-34a (miR-34a), implicated in the senescence regulation network, has increasingly become a focus of intensive investigations [14, 15]. Nevertheless, the relationship between miR-34a and MSC senescence, and the detailed mechanisms are still far to be illuminated.

As proposed in the mammalian aging theory "NAD⁺ world," nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺), served as a key node, closely connects nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (Nampt) and silent information regulator 2 ortholog (Sirt1) [16, 17]. Nampt, as the initiator, is the crucial rate-limiting enzyme involved in the NAD⁺ salvaging pathway, which can directly regulate the rate and yield of NAD⁺ biosynthesis, thus further affecting Sirt1 activity; Sirt1, as the effector, comes from a family of highly conserved NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylases, and its activity is strictly controlled by NAD⁺ content [18, 19]. This tightly functional interplay between Nampt-mediated NAD⁺ biosynthesis and Sirt1 constitutes a systemic regulatory network, and we term it Nampt-NAD⁺-Sirt1 axis, which is of great significance to the maintenance of SCs function, cellular senescence, organismal aging, and homeostasis of energy metabolism [20, 21].

Nampt plays functional roles particularly in individual aging, cellular senescence, cell cycle maintenance, and cellular metabolism [22, 23]. Previously, we have validated that Nampt plays a pivotal role in the modulation of MSC natural and replicative senescence by mediating NAD⁺-Sirt1 signaling [10, 24]. However, it is still largely undefined the contribution of miR-34a to MSC senescence and whether the regulatory effects of Nampt on MSC senescence is modulated by miR-34a. Concomitantly, bioinformatics prediction implying that miR-34a has potential seed region binding sites with the 3′UTR of Nampt mRNA, we therefore hypothesized that miR-34a exerts functional effects on MSC senescence, and this process might be likely associated with Nampt-NAD⁺-Sirt1 axis. Here, we undertake a systematic study on the regulatory effects and molecular mechanism of miR-34a exerting on MSC replicative and natural senescence via gene manipulation and identified Nampt as its direct target gene.

Materials and methods

BM-derived MSC isolation and subculture

Primary MSCs of healthy, young (1–2 months old, Y) and old (15–18 months old, O) male Wistar rats were dissociated as previously described [10]. Briefly, single-
cell suspensions of bone marrow cells were aseptically flushed out from the femurs and humeri with complete medium comprising Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium with nutrient mixture F-12 (DMEM-F12, Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA), 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Invitrogen), and 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution (Gibco, Invitrogen). The medium was replenished every 2–3 days thereafter. Then, MSCs were detached by 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Gibco, Invitrogen) and expanded at a ratio of 1:3 after reaching 80% confluence. MSCs at early passage 3, termed as P3MSCs and OMSCs, were obtained via serial cultivation in vitro from young and aged rats. And MSCs at late passages, P10MSCs used in the subsequent experiments were acquired from young P3MSCs via successive passages.

Senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity assay
Senescent cell histochemical staining kit (Beyotime, Beijing, China) was used to evaluate SA-β-gal activity. Briefly, after, cells were fixed in fixation buffer for 15 min at RT, washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and incubated in Staining Solution Mix for 12 h while being sealed and protected from light at 37 °C without CO2. The reaction was stopped by PBS. Statistical analysis was performed by assessing the percentages of β-gal-positive cells in different microscopic fields.

Gene expression analysis
Total RNA was extracted from tissues or MSCs using QIAzol Lysis Reagent from miRNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). After complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized with 1000 ng of miRNA using All-in-One™ miRNA First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (GeneCopoeia, USA), the expression levels of miR-34 family members (miR-34a, miR-34b and miR-34c) were measured by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) using miRNA-specific qPCR primers and All-in-One miRNA RT-qPCR Detection Kit (GeneCopoeia) in a 7300 Real-Time PCR System. U6 was amplified as a reference gene to normalize the relative expression of miRNA using the 2−ΔΔCt cycle threshold method.

For detection of other gene expression, 500 ng of total RNA was used to synthesize cDNA with TransScript All-in-One First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Super-Mix for qPCR (Transgen biotech, Beijing, China), and then relative amount of genes were measured by TransStart Top Green qPCR SuperMix (Transgen biotech) in ABI 7300 Real-Time PCR System. The rat specific primer sequences used are listed in Table 1. β-actin was amplified as a reference gene to normalize the relative expression of mRNA using the 2−ΔΔCt cycle threshold method.

Lentiviral transduction of MSCs
Prior to cell transduction, MSCs were seeded into 24-well plates at a density of 1.5 × 10⁴ per well. When the 40–50% confluence reached, cells were transduced with the purchased lentiviral particles encoding miR-34a and its LV-vector control miR-NC, anti-miR-34a, and the non-targeting anti-miR-NC (GeneChem, Shanghai, China) in the presence of 5 μg/mL polybrene (GeneChem) for 10-12 h. And as for miR-34a rescue assay, cells were co-transfected with lentiviral particles encoding rat Nampt or control vector (GeneChem) and miR-34a or miR-NC, respectively. Seventy-two to 96 h after the transduction, EGFP expression was monitored under a fluorescence microscope and then transduction efficiency was determined by RT-qPCR and western blot.

Cell viability and proliferation assay
To evaluate cell proliferative ability, cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay (Dojindo, Japan) was performed and PDT of MSCs was calculated as previously described [24]. Briefly, 1.0 × 10³ cells per well were seeded on a 96-well plate in triplicate. Then, 10 μl CCK-8 reagent was added to each well and mixed with the medium. After incubation at 37 °C for 2 h, the absorbance at 450 nm was measured by an ELISA plate reader (Thermo Labsystems, Finland) and monitored for 1 week. Cell growth curves were then produced to reflect cell growth kinetics. For

Table 1 Primers for RT-qPCR

| Gene       | Forward primers (5′-3′)                             | Reverse primers (3′-5′)                  |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Nampt      | AGGGGCATCTGCTCATTGGA                                | TGGTACTGTGCTCTGCGCT                    |
| pi3KNM     | AAACACTTTCGCCATGACCC                                | GTCTCAGCTCGACCT                       |
| p21WAF1/CIP| GACATACACAGGATCGACAT                                 | GCAAGCATGACTACGGCGAAGT                |
| β-actin    | GGAGATTACTGCCCTGCGCTTCA                             | GACTCATCAGTCTCGTCCTT                      |
| snRNA U6   | RnmiRQ9003                                         | GeneCopoeia, China                     |
| moto-MIR34a-5p | RnmiRQ0440                                          | GeneCopoeia, China                     |
| moto-MIR34b-5p | RnmiRQ0980                                          | GeneCopoeia, China                     |
| moto-MIR34c-5p | RnmiRQ0444                                          | GeneCopoeia, China                     |
PDT, 1.5 × 10^4 cells were placed in a 24-well plate in triplicate and cultured. When cell confluence reached 80%, cells were harvested and recounted. PDT was calculated by the following equation: PDT = Ct/(In(Nf/Ni)/ln(2)); Ct = Ti – Tt, in which Ni is the number of initial seeded cells, Nf is the number of harvested cells, and Ct is the culture time.

Cell cycle analysis
Cell cycle analysis was conducted using a Cell Cycle Detection Kit (KeyGEN BioTECH, Nanjing, China) as recommended by the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 5 × 10^5 cells of each group were fixed in 70% ethanol overnight at 4°C. After rinsing 3 times with ice-cold PBS, cell pellets were treated with 100 μl RNaseA and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Next, 200 μl propidium iodide (PI) was added into the mixture for incubation at 4°C for 20 min in the dark. DNA content was analyzed using the flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, USA), and the proliferative index (PI) and S-phase fraction (SPF) were compared and calculated with Cell Quest software.

Osteogenic differentiation assay
Cells were cultivated in complete osteogenic culture medium when reached 70% confluence. A half osteogenic culture medium change was performed every 3 days. After the osteogenic induction of 2–3 weeks, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at 37°C and then stained with alizarin red S working solution for 5 min to observe bone matrix mineralization. Further, to quantify mineralization, 10% cetylpyridinium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added for 30 min at 37°C. Respective absorbance values were measured by a kinetics ELISA reader (Thermo Labsys-
Statistical analysis
All experiments were performed three times independently and data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical significance between groups was determined by using a two-tailed Student’s t test or a one-way ANOVA. P values indicated thusly: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Increased miR-34a expression levels along with expansion-mediated MSC replicative senescence and age-related MSC natural senescence
In the current study, young MSCs at early passage 3 (P3MSCs, YMSCs) were obtained from young (1–2 months old, Y) rats, whereas senescent MSCs were either generated via serial cultivation in vitro to get MSCs at late passage 10 (P10MSCs) or derived from old (15–18 months old, O) rats to get natural senescent MSCs (OMSCs). Young P3MSCs, senescent P10MSCs, and OMSCs presented conspicuously different morphological features (Fig. 1a). P3MSCs displayed fibroblastic-like morphology with elongated and spindle-shaped cell bodies; meanwhile, both P10MSCs and OMSCs exhibited senescence-like morphology with flattened, enlarged, and irregular-shaped cell bodies, less stereoscopic and visible granules, and particles in the cytoplasm. Quantitative analysis for cell morphology revealed that the cell aspect ratio gradually decreased (Fig. 1c) in P10MSCs or OMSCs in comparison to P3MSCs. Cellular senescence-associated-β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) staining has been considered as the gold standard to identify senescent cells in cell cultures [25, 26]. As displayed in Fig. 1d, only a small fraction of blue-stained cells was observed in P3MSCs, whereas this population was increment in P10MSCs or OMSCs. And quantitative analysis (Fig. 1e) showed that the percentage of SA-β-gal-positive cells in P10MSCs or OMSCs was significantly more abundant than that in P3MSCs. These observations displayed that P10MSCs and OMSCs showed the senescent alterations unlike young P3MSCs/OMSCs, suggesting that replicative senescence appeared with extensive passages and natural senescence occurred with advancing age.

As miR-34a has been reported to be implicated in regulating senescence [27, 28], we next detected the expression of miR-34a family members (consisting of miR-34a, miR-34b, and miR-34c) by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). And we observed the obviously elevated expression of the three miR-34 family members in MSC replicative senescence (Fig. 1f) and natural senescence (Fig. 1g), and among which miR-34a was the predominantly expressed one. Thence, we mainly dedicated to investigating the role of miR-34a in our subsequent experiments. MiR-34a expression levels in both tissues and cells derived from young and aged individuals were evaluated by RT-qPCR. Several tissues and organs including hearts, brains, livers, kidneys, and lungs obtained from young and aged rats were examined for miR-34a levels, and the results demonstrated that miR-34a expression levels were higher in all the tissues of old rats than those of the young ones, particularly in the hearts (Fig. 1h). Moreover, miR-34a expression levels incremented obviously in P10MSCs and OMSCs as compared to that in P3MSCs/OMSCs (Fig. 1i). The data indicated that miR-34a could be implicated in the modulation of expansion-mediated MSC replicative senescence and age-related MSC natural senescence.

MiR-34a repletion accelerates young MSC senescence
To corroborate the functional role of miR-34a play in MSC senescence, we first generated miR-34a over-expressed MSCs by transduction of lentiviral particles encoding miR-34a into young P3MSCs. Subsequently, the transduction efficacy was evaluated by observing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) expression and RT-qPCR analysis. As shown in Fig. 2a, mRNA levels of miR-34a expression were upregulated approximately 4.41-folds in miR-34a-replenished group in comparison with its negative control miR-NC group. Lentiviral-mediated miR-34a repletion made young P3MSCs switch into senescence-like morphology coupled with flattened and enlarged cell bodies, and less recognizable cell borders (Fig. 2a). The cell surface area was substantially increased, and the cell aspect ratio was noticeably decreased (Fig. 2a). In addition, cell proliferation as displayed by cell growth curves dampened (Fig. 2b) and the population doubling time (PDT) was conspicuously protracted (Fig. 2c), indicating a poor proliferation capacity after miR-34a overexpression. The percentages of each phase of cell cycle were analyzed and revealed that there was an obviously numerical increase of cells arrested in G1 phase, and the proliferative index (PI) and S-phase fraction (SPF) were much lower in the miR-34a over-expressed group than those in miR-NC group (Fig. 2d).

Stem cells are not only characterized by self-renewal and cell proliferation capability, but are also fundamentally featured by multilineage differentiation. We next found that miR-34a over-expressed MSCs presented impaired osteogenic differentiation with diminished bone matrix mineralization, as assessed by Alizarin red S staining (Fig. 2e). Quantitative analysis demonstrated that osteogenic differentiation potential of P3MSCs was significantly attenuated following the upregulation of miR-34a expression. Furthermore, the percentage of SA-β-gal-positive cells accumulated extensively (Fig. 2f) in the miR-34a sufficient group. And the gene expression of
senescence-associated factor p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} heightened markedly, whereas p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/CIP} mRNA levels showed no significant changes in young P3MSCs after miR-34a over-expressing treatment (Fig. 2g). Accordingly, these observations support the notion that miR-34a replenishment negatively affects and induces or promotes senescence-related variations of young MSCs.

**MiR-34a depletion alleviates expansion-mediated MSC replicative senescence**

With respect to the high levels of miR-34a expression exhibited in replicative senescent P10MSCs, we investigated whether abating miR-34a expression could attenuate MSC replicative senescence. For this purpose, P10MSCs were transduced with lentivirus expressing
anti-sense miR-34a (anti-34a) and the lentiviral vector (anti-NC). And the transduction efficacy and loss of miR-34a expression at mRNA level was markedly reduced by nearly 50% in P10 anti-34a group as compared to that in P10 anti-NC group (Fig. 3a). Notably, miR-34a deficiency led to the morphological alterations in P10MSCs from senescence-like enlarged and flattened cells to elongated and spindle-shaped ones with decreased cell area and increased cell aspect ratio (Fig. 3a). And miR-34a deficiency led to the morphological alterations in P10MSCs from senescence-like enlarged and flattened cells to elongated and spindle-shaped ones with decreased cell area and increased cell aspect ratio (Fig. 3a). The cell growth became faster and cell proliferation capability was enhanced (Fig. 3b), whereas the PDT was shortened evidently (Fig. 3c). What is more, cell cycle analysis showed that there was a numerical decrease of cells arrested in G1 phase; both PI and SPF were much higher in the miR-34a-inhibited group than those in P10 anti-NC group (Fig. 3d). Of note, mineralized nodule

---

**Fig. 2** MiR-34a overexpression exacerbates senescence-associated variations of young P3MSCs. a Morphological features and EGFP expression under fluorescence microscope (scale bar = 50 μm). Demonstration of the transduction efficiency of miR-34a overexpression in P3MSCs by RT-qPCR. Quantitative analysis for cell surface area and cell aspect ratio in miR-NC and miR-34a over-expressed P3MSCs. b Cell growth curves in miR-34a over-expressed P3MSCs. c Cell population doubling time (PDT). d Detection of cell cycle by flow cytometry and analysis of proliferation index (PI) and S-phases fraction (SPF). e Determination of osteogenic differentiation in miR-34a over-expressed P3MSCs by Alizarin Red S staining (scale bar = 100 μm). f SA-β-gal staining (scale bar = 50 μm) and quantification of β-gal positive cell numbers. g Gene expression of the senescence-related factors p16^INK4a and p21^WAF1/CIP ; n = 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs. miR-NC, N.S., not significant.
formation in P10MSCs was obviously increased after abating miR-34a expression. Quantitative analysis indicated that osteogenic differentiation potency of P10MSCs was significantly improved by anti-miR-34a treatment in these replicative senescent cells (Fig. 3e). Furthermore, SA-β-gal staining and quantitative analysis demonstrated that SA-β-gal activity in miR-34a-deficient P10MSCs noticeably declined as compared to that in P10 anti-NC group (Fig. 3f). And the levels of p16INK4a expression were dramatically downregulated, whereas the levels of p21WAF1/CIP expression displayed no significant alterations in replicative senescent P10MSCs after miR-34a silencing (Fig. 3g). Taken together, these data manifested that miR-34a depletion can positively influence cellular senescence and contribute to diminished senescent phenotypes in replicative senescent P10MSCs, suggesting that miR-34a inhibition can alleviate or suppress expansion-mediated MSC replicative senescence.
MiR-34a suppression mitigates age-related MSC natural senescence

Given that miR-34a also highly expressed in OMSCs, we wondered whether miR-34a is also participated in regulating MSC natural senescence. Thence, OMSCs were generated through transducing with lentivirus expressing anti-34a and the lentiviral vector anti-NC (Fig. 4a). As indicated by the results of RT-qPCR analysis, miR-34a expression of OMSCs was successfully depleted at mRNA levels (Fig. 4a). Moreover, cellular morphology was noticeably altered by miR-34a deficiency. These flattened and enlarged senescent cell bodies in O anti-NC group became substantially slenderer and more elongated, and the cell borders became more distinct. The cell surface area prominently decreased, whereas the cell aspect ratio markedly increased (Fig. 4a). On the other hand, miR-34a-suppressed OMSCs grew faster (Fig. 4b), and the PDT was shortened (Fig. 4c). The percentage of cells arrested in G1 phase was also obviously decreased by miR-34a depletion, and both PI and SPF were obviously boosted in O anti-34a group when compared to the O anti-NC group (Fig. 4d). As shown in Fig. 4e, matrix mineralization in OMSCs was largely augmented following miR-34a inhibition. Quantitative analysis exhibited that osteogenesis in natural senescent OMSCs were remarkably augmented after suppressing miR-34a expression. In addition, SA-β-gal staining and quantitative analysis manifested that the ratios of SA-β-gal-positive cells in miR-34a-repressed OMSCs were reduced after the anti-miR-34a treatment (Fig. 4f), and other senescence-associated biomarkers, both p16INK4a and p21WAF1/CIP mRNA expression displayed obviously downward trend in miR-34a-repressed OMSCs (Fig. 4g). Together, these studies suggested that miR-34a inhibition is implicated in the positive regulation of MSC natural senescence resulting in the alleviation of senescence-associated changes in natural senescent OMSCs, indicating that miR-34a suppression can ameliorate or repress age-related MSC senescence.

Inverse correlation between miR-34a and Nampt-mediated NAD⁺ Synthesis and Sirt1 deacetylase activity

In our preliminary studies, it has been authenticated that in comparison with their respective control, miR-34a-repressed P10MSCs and OMSCs (Fig. 6d). Together, these studies suggested that miR-34a inhibition is implicated in the positive regulation of MSC natural senescence resulting in the alleviation of senescence-associated changes in natural senescent OMSCs, indicating that miR-34a suppression can ameliorate or repress age-related MSC senescence.

Nampt identified as a direct target gene of miR-34a

To assess our hypothesis, we identify whether Nampt is the putative target mRNAs of miR-34a applying several bioinformatics algorithms. The bioinformatics analysis revealed that there existed potential seed-matching sites between miR-34a and the 3’UTR of Nampt mRNA, indicating that Nampt was a candidate target gene for miR-34a (Fig. 6a). For the purpose of determining whether Nampt is a potential target gene of miR-34a, we performed luciferase reporter assay. To this end, luciferase reporter constructs were generated, in which the Nampt 3’UTR or the putative miR-34a seed sequence binding site mutated from 5′-ACTGGCAAT-3′ to 5′-CACTGCCC-3′ (Fig. 6a) is inserted behind the luciferase gene. As displayed in Fig. 6b, miR-34a repletion significantly suppressed the luciferase activity, manifesting that miR-34a can bind to the Nampt 3’UTR, whereas no effects were observed as binding sites of miR-34a was mutated. What is more, the intensity of Nampt protein expression, as quantified from the immunoblots, displayed that in comparison with their respective control, miR-34a repletion could obviously downregulate Nampt expression in P3MSCs at protein levels, while miR-34a suppression in P10MSCs and OMSCs could evidently upregulate Nampt protein expression (Fig. 6c).

Congruent with the observations at protein levels, the mRNA levels of Nampt expression following miR-34a or anti-miR-34a treatment by RT-qPCR analysis showed a markedly decrease in miR-34a-over-expressed P3MSCs, while the increase was much more pronounced in miR-34a-repressed P10MSCs and OMSCs (Fig. 6d). Together, we thus infer that miR-34a directly targets Nampt and negatively regulates Nampt.
MiR-34a-induced senescence rescued evidently by Nampt replenishment and mediated by NAD⁺-Sirt1 signaling pathway

To gain deeper insights into the mechanism underlying how miR-34a instigated MSC senescence, we next detected senescent-associated phenotypes following miR-34a overexpression and co-transfection of miR-34a repletion with lentivirus expressing Nampt (LV-Nampt) or with its lentiviral vector (LV-Vector) in young P3MSCs. SA-β-gal staining (Fig. 7a) and quantitative analysis (Fig. 7b) revealed that, in comparison with the miR-NC group, SA-β-gal activity was strikingly more abundant in miR-34a over-expressed P3MSCs, but later, the SA-β-gal activity was noticeably downregulated in response to Nampt repletion. Additionally, the supplementation of Nampt could not only significantly antagonized the effect of miR-34a-induced diminished Nampt expression in P3MSCs (Fig. 7c), but could also downregulated the elevated levels of p16 INK4a expression caused by miR-34a repletion (Fig. 7d). These results illustrated that the
strong induction of MSC senescence instigated by miR-
34a sufficiency can be obviously recovered by Nampt re-
pletion, further indicating that miR-34a affects MSC
senescence through directly interacting with Nampt. It
has been validated that Nampt can postpone both MSC
replicative and natural senescence by mediating the
NAD⁺-Sirt1 axis [10, 24]. To further prove that miR-
34a-mediated MSC senescence by targeting Nampt is
relevant to NAD⁺-Sirt1 signaling, we assessed the effects
of miR-34a repletion and miR-34a depletion on intracel-
lular NAD⁺ content, NAD⁺/NADH ratio, and Sirt1
decaylase activity. From these results, we found that
miR-34a overexpression in P3MSCs led to prominent re-
ductions in the NAD⁺ content (Fig. 7e), as well as
NAD⁺/NADH ratio (Fig. 7f), and Sirt1 activity (Fig. 7g).
On the contrary, miR-34a suppression in either
P10MSCs or OMSCs contributed to conspicuously
higher NAD⁺ content (Fig. 7e), NAD⁺/NADH ratio (Fig.
7f) and Sirt1 activity (Fig.7g) than those in the control
group (P10 anti-NC or O anti-NC). Collectively, the
aforementioned results support the view that miR-34a-
induced senescence can be rescued by Nampt replenish-
ment via preserving NAD⁺ biosynthesis and Sirt1 activ-
ity, further verifying that miR-34a exacerbates MSC
senescence by directly targeting Nampt and then medi-
ating NAD⁺-Sirt1 signaling pathway.

Discussion
The evolutionarily conserved miR-34 family comprises
three homologous genes, miR-34a, miR-34b, and miR-
34c. As evidence for modulatory role of miR-34 in
senescence is growing [27, 28], we concentrated on
this three-member miRNA family. On the one hand,
we showed that the expression levels of miR-34 family
members increased progressively during the
process of consecutive passages in vitro. Of note,
miR-34a expressed much more abundant than miR-
34b/c, which is similar to the results of Ho Park et al
[29]. On the other hand, we found that senescence in
MSCs derived from aged rats contributed to the dif-
ferent degrees of increase in miR-34 family levels, and
particularly, miR-34a expression elevated the most
prominently as compared to that in young controls. These data were congruent with the findings of Boon et al [15]. Hence, we confirmed the upregulation of miR-34 family members in senescent MSCs, and among which miR-34a was the predominantly expressed one and presented a significant passage-dependent and age-dependent elevation.

MiR-34a, located in the region of chromosome 1p36 and encoded by its own transcript, is originally identified as a p53 responsive miRNA [30]. Recently, miR-34a has increasingly emerged as a potent posttranscriptional regulator in orchestrating aging process and cellular senescence [14, 15, 31]. In addition, ample evidences have confirmed elevated miR-34a levels were induced in various cells, tissues, and organs, including senescent endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), lung myofibroblasts in both human and mouse [32], hearts of aged mice [15], and liver, kidney, and brain of old rats [33–35]. In accordance to these data, herein, we unveiled that miR-34a levels were higher to a varying degree in the hearts, brains, livers, kidneys, and lungs of old rats than those of the young ones, and especially enriched in the hearts. The discrepant findings in miR-34a expression between different cell types and tissues might be ascribed to the biological distinction of different species or tissue-specific expression of miRNAs in different developmental stages. On account of these, we focused on miR-34a instead of miR-34b/c.

**Fig. 6** MiR-34a directly targets Nampt. a Schematic representation of the predicted complimentary base pairing between miR-34a and the 3’UTR of Nampt and the mutated binding site of putative miR-34a seed sequence. b Analysis of luciferase activity in cells co-transfected with miR-NC or miR-34a and plasmid containing the 3’UTR of the wild type (WT) Nampt or a mutated (Mut) Nampt sequence in luciferase reporter assay. c Detection of Nampt protein expression levels by western blotting. d Detection of Nampt mRNA expression levels by RT-qPCR after interfering with miR-34a expression; n = 3 independent experiments. **P < 0.01 vs. miR-NC, P10 anti-NC, or O anti-NC.
in this report and speculate that miR-34a might play a pivotal regulatory role in MSC replicative and natural senescence.

To test our speculation of miR-34a crucially contributing to both the two types of MSC senescence, we modulated miR-34a expression via gene manipulation in young and senescent MSCs. As expected, we observed that miR-34a overexpression in young P3MSCs markedly induced senescence-related alterations, including senescence-like morphology, declined cell proliferation capacity, and retarded cell cycle progression with the majority of cells arrested in G1 phase. With regard to osteogenic differentiation potency is another salient property of SCs, we set out to determine whether the changes of miR-34a expression influence it. Ho Park et al. reported that miR-34a treatment of human adipose tissue-derived SCs (ADSCs) prominently reduced their osteogenesis, and this reduction was recovered by co-treatment with anti-miR-34a, indicating that miR-34a could be significant in determining the osteogenesis potency of ADSCs [29]. Consistent herewith, we displayed that miR-34a repletion impaired osteogenic differentiation capacity, whereas miR-34a depletion improved it. Besides, the results of Li Chen et al. also indicated that...
miR-34a was a negative regulator of osteoblast (OB) differentiation in human ADSCs, since its overexpression consistently resulted in attenuated in vitro OB differentiation and in vivo bone formation, and its silencing led to the converse effects [36]. Nevertheless, our finding is at variance with a recently reported scenario where miR-34a overexpression exerted promotion effects on the osteogenic differentiation potential of human adipose-derived stem cells (hASCs) both in vitro and in vivo, while miR-34a knockdown resulted in the opposite tendency [37]. The discrepant observations might owe to the different sources of SCs and the uncontrollability of the microenvironment, such as the divergent cell culture conditions and the discrepancy between model species.

To verify the occurrence of senescence in miR-34a over-expressed young MSCs, we firstly performed SA-β-gal staining at the cellular level. The lysosomal SA-β-gal activity accumulated more abundant in miR-34a sufficient group than miR-NC group, implying that miR-34a replenishment could induce or accelerate senescence of young MSCs. Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p16 INK4A and p21 WAF1/CIP are reliable biomarkers to identify senescent cells [38–40]. Ju Li et al. reported that the expression of both p16 INK4A and p21 WAF1/CIP were augmented in aged muscle SCs in comparison with their levels in young cells [39]. Previously, we have found that both senescence-associated factors p16 INK4A and p21 WAF1/CIP levels were increased in natural senescent MSCs acquired from old rats as compared to their levels in MSCs from young controls, with p16 INK4A expression increasing much more dramatically [10]. Moreover, in spite of the significantly upregulated p16 INK4A mRNA expression levels exhibited in replicative senescent MSCs, inconspicuous alteration in p21 WAF1/CIP mRNA expression was observed following serial passages [24].

In good agreement with our previous studies, we herein manifested that, in miR-34a over-expressed young P3MSCs, p16 INK4A levels heightened markedly, whereas changes of p21 WAF1/CIP mRNA levels were unnoticeable; in natural senescent OMSCs, both p16 INK4A and p21 WAF1/CIP expression presented significantly downward trend after miR-34a silencing; and in replicative senescent P10MSCs, p16 INK4A levels dramatically down-regulated; however, p21 WAF1/CIP levels were unchanged after the anti-miR-34a treatment. And the reason why p16 INK4A and p21 WAF1/CIP expressed inconsistently might be attributed to the discrepancy in the different regulatory mechanism concerning natural senescence and replicative senescence, concretely, the possibility of activating either or both the canonical p53/p21 and p16/pRb pathway, which are considered as the final effectors of the senescence program, and thus further explorations are warranted [41, 42]. Consequently, these data yielded the finding that miR-34a repletion can accelerate senescence-related variations of young P3MSCs. In addition, we analyzed miR-34a-deficient P10MSCs and OMSCs as well. In contrast to repletion, specific depletion of miR-34a in senescent MSCs can ameliorate replicative and natural senescence. Thus, we provide a proof of concept that miR-34a exerts regulatory functions on both MSC replicative senescence and natural senescence.

Along with the MSC replicative and natural senescence, we found intriguingly that Nampt expression decreased whereas miR-34a expression inversely incremented. And considered the miRNA-target prediction analysis predicting that there exist potential seed-matching sites between miR-34a and the 3′UTR of Nampt mRNA, whether Nampt is precisely regulated by miR-34a in MSC senescence still remains elusive. To this end, we then performed the luciferase reporter assay and the result verified that miR-34a specifically bound and interacted with its potential target Nampt, which was consistent with the published findings [14, 43]. In parallel, we determined the effect of miR-34a on alterations of Nampt expression levels in both young and senescent MSCs. Our findings confirmed that miR-34a could directly interact with Nampt and negatively regulates Nampt. Subsequently, we certified that miR-34a-induced senescence in young P3MSCs could be rescued by Nampt restoration, as evidenced from the significant alleviation of miR-34a-induced augmented β-gal-positive cells and the obvious downregulated expression levels of Nampt and p16 INK4A. Here, our results further highlight the notion that miR-34a could functionally influence MSC senescence by directly targeting Nampt.

Energy metabolism dysfunction is one of the molecular bases of cellular senescence. The stem cell lifecycle—from acquisition and maintenance of stemness to lineage determination—is gradually recognized as a metabolism-dependent process [44]. NAD+ depletion has emerged as a elementary feature of aging that may predispose to a wide range of age-associated disorders, such as cancer, diabetes mellitus, and Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease [45, 46]. Studies have shown that senescent cells have undergone significant metabolic changes [47, 48], among which NAD+, acting as a critical coenzyme in cellular energy conversion, participates in major energy production pathways, such as glycolysis, oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos), and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle [49]. Sirt1, serving as cellular sensor to monitor energy availability and regulate metabolic processes, is central to the control of metabolic processes and its function is intrinsically linked to cellular metabolism [50, 51]. And Sirt1 can be activated by high NAD+ levels, a condition caused by low cellular energy status, thus NAD+-SIRT1 pathway playing a pivotal role in increasing cellular.
energy stores and eventually maintaining cellular energy homeostasis, affecting cellular senescence [44, 52].

Moreover, in the Nampt-NAD⁺-Sirt1 axis, Nampt indirectly modulates Sirt1 deacetylase activity via affecting NAD⁺ biosynthesis, and subsequently, Sirt1 regulates a large number of age-associated signaling molecules downstream by deacetylation, thereby exerting a pivotal influence on individual aging and cellular senescence [20, 53]. Currently, NAD⁺ level as well as NAD⁺/NADH ratio was reduced, and Sirt1 activity was attenuated upon interference with miR-34a overexpression in young MSCs. On the contrary, miR-34a suppression contributed opposite effects to senescent MSCs. These results were in accordance with the published data displaying that the levels of miR-34a expression augmented in obese mice, thus leading to the reduced hepatic NAD⁺ content and Sirt1 activity via targeting Nampt, which also indicated that diminished Nampt expression contributed to miR-34a-induced hepatic NAD⁺ insufficiency in obesity [14].

Conclusions
Our data validate that miR-34a exerts regulatory effects on MSC senescence, containing replicative senescence and natural senescence, by directly targeting downstream Nampt. Further, our study for the first time highlights that Nampt-mediated NAD⁺ biosynthesis and Sirt1 deacetylase activity are critical determinants in miR-34a-mediated MSC senescence. Our study deciphered the mechanism implicated in miR-34a-Nampt network modulating MSC senescence from the perspective of epigenetic regulation, which may not only potentially enrich insights into the molecular mechanism underlying MSC senescence, but may also afford a new stem cell rejuvenation approach and possibly a novel targeted therapeutic strategy for the prevention or treatment of age-associated disorders. Nevertheless, deeper studies on delineating miR-34a-mediated functions by in vivo approach as well as other epigenetic mechanism governing interactions of miR-34a-Nampt network on MSC senescence are warranted to be confirmed later, for there are other miRNA-target gene regulatory machinery or other senescence-associated long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) or circular RNAs (circRNAs) that might be also involved. Correspondingly, investigations are needed to unravel these issues to further benefit future applications of MSCs.
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