Cofiring or coprocessing of wastes in the manufacturing of Portland cement is a practice of increasing importance, providing means to rationally use residues as substitutes for raw materials and fuels, and sparing primary resources \[1-3\]. Portland cement is produced by heating up to \(\sim 1450^\circ\text{C}\) in a rotating kiln a homogeneous mixture of raw-materials (limestone, clay, and minor amounts of iron ore and silica). Resulting pellets, known as clinker, are then milled with gypsum (\(\text{CaSO}_4\cdot\text{5H}_2\text{O}\)) to form the Portland cement.

Basalt is widely used as an aggregate for concrete, particularly in regions where quartz-feldspathic rocks are not available, and represents ca. 5% of the market for aggregates in Brazil \[4\]. Basalt quarries for aggregate production generate two types of large-scale residues: (a) quarry fines, which are in part used as fine aggregate, but also accumulate as mine-tailings. Two series of experimental clinkers were synthesized with raw-mixtures containing residues from a basalt quarry that produces aggregates for concrete. Experimental clinkers were produced from raw-mixtures with similar lime saturation factors, silica and alumina modules, which were set by adjusting the proportions of limestone, clay and iron ore to the varying proportions of basaltic materials added to them. One series of clinkers was made with basalt quarry fines, which are in part used as fine aggregate, but also accumulate as mine-tailings. Other series was made using vesicular (porous) basalt, a variety not resistant enough to be used as aggregate. It is demonstrated that the basaltic composition is fully compatible with clinker production, and no addition of fluxes or other additions is required. Composition of the raw-mixtures was checked by chemical analysis. Quantitative phase analysis of the clinkers was made by optical microscopy point counting, together with qualitative X-ray diffraction. All mixtures produced clinkers with acceptable proportions of major and minor crystalline phases, inside the range of common industrial Portland clinkers.
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by crushing, which are in part used as fine aggregates in concrete; and (b) vesicular basalt, a porous variety of basalt that is useless as aggregate, and is therefore separated in the quarry bench. Basalt in southeastern Brazil belongs to the Cretaceous Serra Geral Formation (Paraná Basin), which crops out in an area of ca. 1,200,000 km² and is the largest continental flood basalt volcanism worldwide, generated 130 Ma ago during the opening of the South Atlantic [8, 9]. According to the owner of the quarry sampled for this study, monthly aggregate production averages 40,000 m³, corresponding to 60,000 ton. By the time of the sampling (July, 2007), a 16 m thick layer of massive basalt was being mined, which was previously covered by a 9 m thick layer of vesicular basalt. Basaltic lava flows usually display a regular stratigraphy with an upper vesicular, porous layer. Vesicles are cavities formed when the upward migrating volcanic gases are trapped in the viscous lava, shortly before its full solidification. Vesicles may be either empty or filled with late-stage minerals, such as quartz, calcite and zeolites. Porosity significantly reduces resistance to compression, making vesicular basalt useless as aggregates. Quarry fines produced by crushing correspond to 18 to 20 wt.% of the aggregate production. Part of this material is used as fine aggregate in concrete, as a substitute for natural sand, but its use is restricted because of the intrinsic angularity of the particles that lowers the workability of the concrete paste.

The aim of this paper is to present a simple procedure to use basaltic waste materials as raw-mixtures for Portland cement production, in which the input of basaltic materials in the raw-mixture is counterbalanced by changing the proportion of the other raw-materials (limestone, clay, iron ore).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ordinary Portland clinker is composed by the following crystalline phases: (i) C₃S (3CaO·SiO₂) also known as alite, is a major phase, and represents 40 to 70 wt.% of the clinker; (ii) C₂S (2CaO·SiO₂) also known as belite, is the second most important phase, averaging 20 wt.%; (iii) C₃A (3CaO·Al₂O₃) and C₃AF (4CaO·Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃) are interstitial phases that form a very fine-grained intergrowth matrix involving the major phases C₃S and C₂S, comprising up to 10 wt.%; (iv) free lime (CaO) represents the excess lime that did not react with silica to form calcium silicates – it should be < 2 wt.%, because of its large heat of reaction and low contribution to the performance of the cement; (v) MgO is an inert compound that is formed if dolomitic limestones are used as raw-materials.

Chemical parameters of the raw-mixture indicate its capacity to form the above mentioned crystalline phases and are presented in Table I. The Lime Saturation Factor (LSF, industrial working range 90-100) is used to control CaO contents and avoid free lime formation. Silica module (SM, industrial working range 2.2-2.6) controls the relation between silicate phases (C₃S, C₂S) and interstitial phases (C₃A, C₃AF), while the alumina module (AM, industrial working range 1.4-2.0) is proportional to the viscosity of the liquid phase formed in the kiln.

Burnability measures the readiness of a raw-mixture to promote the reaction between lime and silica, keeping free lime in acceptable levels [5, 6]. Burnability decreases with increasing LSF and SM, suggesting that lower fractions of liquid phase are formed in the process [7].

Experimental clinkers were produced with standard limestone, clay and iron ore, and basaltic waste materials (quarry fines, vesicular basalt) collected in a quarry in the central part of São Paulo State, southeastern Brazil. Chemical composition of all raw-materials (Table II) was determined by complexometric titration and was used to calculate the chemical parameters (LSF, SM, AM) of the raw-mixtures. A reference raw-mixture (limestone, clay, iron ore) was prepared without any basaltic addition. Mine-tailings were added to the raw-mixtures in amounts of 1, 3, 5, 7 and 10 wt.% for both vesicular basalt and quarry fines. Chemical parameters were set by changing the proportions of limestone, clay and iron ore to counterbalance the input of basaltic materials. For each experimental clinker the proportion of limestone, clay, iron ore and basaltic materials was established by trial-and-error in a spreadsheet that contained the chemical composition of each raw-material and the equations for the chemical parameters — given the input of basaltic materials, the other raw-materials were mixed in order to keep the chemical parameters in the desired intervals. Lime saturation factor (LSF) was set in 91 – 92, silica module (SM) was set in 2.5 – 2.6; and alumina module (AM) was set in 1.5 - 1.6. Two raw-mixtures were prepared with limestone and a maximum content of each basaltic material (vesicular basalt 17.9 wt.%; quarry fines 16.6 wt.%), both without clay and iron ore.

The experimental clinkers were made with the following procedure. All mixtures were made with 90 wt.% of the particles passing in the #325 sieve (45 μm) and homogenized in air for 15 min in sealed plastic bags. After that, 20 wt.% H₂O was added to form 1.5 cm diameter pellets. The pellets were left at 100 °C for 24 h, calcined

| chemical parameters | lime saturation factor (LSF) | silica module (SM) | alumina module (AM) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                     | LSF= 2.8 x SiO₂ + 1.2 x Al₂O₃ + 0.65Fe₂O₃ | SM= SiO₂/Al₂O₃ + Fe₂O₃ | AM= Al₂O₃/Fe₂O₃ |

Working Table I - Chemical parameters of raw-mixtures for Portland clinker production [5]; all oxides in wt.%.
in platinum crucibles at 600 ºC for 20 min, at 1450 ºC for 30 min and air-quenched, and stored in a moisture-free container for further analysis. Quantitative phase analysis of clinker polished sections (etched with HNO₃) was made by reflected light microscopy point counting (3x900 points each) and converted into wt.% considering respective

| Table II - Chemical composition of starting materials*.
[Tabela II - Composição química das matérias-primas*.] |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| limestone | clay | iron ore | vesicular basalt | quarry fines |
| SiO₂ (wt. %) | 6.88 | 62.2 | 10.3 | 46.6 | 50.9 |
| CaO | 48.07 | 0.84 | 0.61 | 8.59 | 8.99 |
| MgO | 0.87 | 1.05 | 0.16 | 3.87 | 5.32 |
| Fe₂O₃ | 0.65 | 8.42 | 84.2 | 13.7 | 15.2 |
| Al₂O₃ | 1.32 | 17.8 | 2.02 | 11.3 | 12.5 |
| Na₂O | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | 3.81 | 3.51 |
| K₂O | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | 0.83 | 0.81 |
| TiO₂ | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | 1.98 | 2.21 |
| total | 57.78 | 90.3 | 97.3 | 90.7 | 99.4 |

CaCO₃ (stoichiometric) 85.76
MgCO₃ (stoichiometric) 1.82

*low totals refer to loss of ignition (LOI), not measured.
[*o baixo fechamento se refere à perda ao fogo (PF), não analisada.]

| Table III - Raw-materials, chemical composition and chemical parameters of raw-mixtures, and C₃S/C₅S ratio of respective clinkers.
[Tabela III - Matérias-primas, composição química e parâmetros químicos das farinhas cruas, e razões C₃S/C₅S dos respectivos clínqueres.]

| limestone | vesicular basalt | basalt quarry fines |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| reference mixture | 1 wt. % | 3 wt. % | 5 wt. % | 7 wt. % | 10 wt. % | 17.9 wt. % | 1 wt. % | 3 wt. % | 5 wt. % | 7 wt. % | 10 wt. % | 16.6 wt. % |
| limestone (wt.%) | 86.3 | 86 | 85.6 | 85.4 | 84.7 | 84 | 82.1 | 86.2 | 85.9 | 85.5 | 85.2 | 84.6 | 83.4 |
| clay | 13.4 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 6 | 0 | 12.6 | 11.1 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 0 |
| iron ore | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| basalt | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 17.9 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 16.6 |
| SiO₂ (wt.%) | 14.30 | 14.31 | 14.32 | 14.17 | 14.25 | 14.17 | 13.99 | 14.29 | 14.34 | 14.33 | 14.27 | 14.27 | 14.18 |
| CaO | 41.60 | 41.54 | 41.50 | 41.56 | 41.39 | 41.29 | 41.00 | 41.63 | 41.66 | 41.63 | 41.65 | 41.61 | 41.58 |
| MgO | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 1.18 | 1.41 | 0.93 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.19 | 1.32 | 1.61 |
| Fe₂O₃ | 1.94 | 2.02 | 2.00 | 2.05 | 2.21 | 2.42 | 2.98 | 1.94 | 1.95 | 2.11 | 2.27 | 2.52 | 3.06 |
| Al₂O₃ | 3.53 | 3.51 | 3.48 | 3.40 | 3.39 | 3.31 | 3.11 | 3.51 | 3.48 | 3.44 | 3.39 | 3.33 | 3.18 |
| total | 62.26 | 62.30 | 62.29 | 62.22 | 62.32 | 62.36 | 62.49 | 62.31 | 62.45 | 62.63 | 62.78 | 63.05 | 63.61 |

chemical parameters
LSF 91.35 91.10 91.05 92.16 91.17 91.33 91.47 91.51 91.37 91.21 91.53 91.30 91.36
SM 2.61 2.59 2.61 2.60 2.55 2.47 2.30 2.62 2.64 2.58 2.52 2.44 2.27
AM 1.82 1.74 1.74 1.66 1.53 1.37 1.04 1.81 1.79 1.63 1.49 1.32 1.04
clinker
phase
relation
C₃S/C₅S 1.47 1.43 1.26 1.58 1.43 1.15 1.78 1.61 2.07 1.58 2.97 2.49 2.63
densities ($C_S = 3.20 \text{ g/cm}^3; C'_S = 3.28 \text{ g/cm}^3; C_A$ and $C'_A + C_A = 3.41 \text{ g/cm}^3$). Free lime was also chemically determined with ethylene glycol titration.

Qualitative X-ray diffractometry was undertaken using a Rigaku RINT2000 diffractometer, Cuk-alpha radiation with 40 kV and 20 mA, in a 0:20 Bragg-Brentano geometry, and scanning from 3 to 60º, 2º/min.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Raw-material proportions, chemical compositions of raw-mixtures, together with the chemical parameters of the resulting clinkers are given in Table III. The chemical composition of raw-materials did not allow keeping AM in the ideal interval, and therefore it drifted out, in order to preserve the values LSF and SM, which are more influential in the formation of crystalline phases.

Quantitative phase analysis of clinkers indicates an increase in $C_S$ (from 47 to 53 wt.%) with increasing quarry fines in the raw mix (Fig. 1a), with corresponding decrease in $(C_S + CaO)$. Abundance of $(C_A + C_A)$ slightly increased, while MgO remained stable with increasing basaltic quarry fines addition.

On the other hand, addition of vesicular basalt (Fig. 1b) caused a slightly different trend, in which relative abundance of the crystalline phases remains close to the values of the reference clinker.

Experimental clinkers of both series exhibit crystalline phases expected for common Portland clinkers, with similar phase proportion and microscopic features, although laboratory clinkers with quarry fines display slightly higher $C_S/C'_S$ compared to those with vesicular basalt (Table III). Chemical determination of free lime indicates that burnability remained stable in raw-mixtures with both types of residues, close to the reference values. Microtextural features are similar in the two series, with $C_S$ hexagonal to sub-hexagonal, lacking evidence of corrosion, with average size around 30 µm, containing inclusions of $C_S$. $C_S$ is rounded, with average size about 16 µm, without corrosion, forming irregular, broad zones. Free lime appears in irregular aggregates, maximum size 150 µm. MgO is rare, forming rounded zones with maximum size of 60 µm. Iron ore content in the reference raw-mixture (basalt-free) is 0.3 wt.%. It was fully substituted by basaltic additions of ≥ 3 wt.% quarry fines and ≥ 5 wt.% of vesicular basalt. Clay was completely substituted in clinkers with extreme compositions, i.e., 16.6 wt.% quarry fines or 17.9 wt.% vesicular basalt, which were made with limestone and basaltic materials only. A small reduction in limestone consumption was possible with increasing addition of basaltic materials.

CONCLUSIONS

Basalt has been recently recognized as an alternative raw-material for Portland cement [10, 11], particularly as a substitute for clay in regions where this resource is scarce. It was suggested that basalt may substitute for clay in a 1:1 proportion, with an adjustment in the composition of the raw-mixture by adding 1 wt.% iron ore and 1 wt.% quartz [10]. On the ternary $SiO_2-CaO-Al_2O_3$ phase diagram, clinker composition lies between the fields of basaltic materials and the CaO corner [11], indicating that a mixture of them would provide the necessary composition for clinker formation. Experimental clinkers were produced with basaltic materials together with calcium fluoride (fluorite, $CaF_2$) in the raw-mixture [11], in order to lower temperature of clinker formation. The main finding of the present study is that basaltic waste materials can be incorporated in Portland clinker production, without any additional fluxes to the basalt-bearing raw-mixtures, since the setting of the chemical parameters is enough to guarantee the production.
of good quality clinker. Reduction of free lime in both series indicates increase in burnability with increasing basalt contents, confirming that basalts are suitable for clinker production. It can be further enhanced by the presence minor and trace elements that act as fluxes and mineralizers. Presence of volcanic glass would also facilitate the lowering of clinker formation temperature. This practice bears relevant environmental aspects by opening an alternative for management of mine-tailings, with respective conservation of primary resources. Moreover, as basalts have a CaO content of ca. 9 wt.%, basalt addition of 10 wt.% allows a decrease of ~2 wt.% of limestone in the raw mixture, reducing CO₂ emissions accordingly. A regular clinker kiln produces 1,000 ton of clinker daily, corresponding to 1,600 ton of raw-mixture. Therefore, adding 10 wt.% basalt to it would represent a monthly potential consumption of 4,800 ton of basaltic mine-tailings, instead of primary raw-materials. This volume of basaltic waste materials can be provided by a single basalt quarry, given its continuous production of quarry fines, and the occasional removal of the vesicular layer for the opening of every new mining front.
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