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ABSTRACT: Here we present the design of a highly enantioselective, catalytic (4 + 3) cycloaddition of gem-dialkyl 2-indolyl alcohols and dienolsilanes, enabled by strong and confined IDPi Lewis acids. The method furnishes novel bicyclo[3.2.2]cyclohepta[b]indoles with up to three stereogenic centers, one of which is quaternary. A broad substrate scope is accompanied by versatile downstream chemical modifications. Density functional theory-supported mechanistic studies shed light on the importance of the in situ generated silylium species in an overall concerted yet asynchronous cycloaddition.

Asymmetric cycloadditions are prominently sought-after disconnection strategies and immensely valuable for the rapid construction of stereochemical complexity while retaining a rational sense of modularity. Yet, to date, in contrast to well-developed asymmetric (4 + 2) and (3 + 2) cycloadditions, asymmetric (4 + 3) cycloadditions are underdeveloped. While a few pioneering achievements have been recorded, highly active furans have invariably been used to react with preactivated oxyallyl precursors, thus limiting the generality and diversity of these methods. Therefore, expanding the structural diversity of catalytic asymmetric (4 + 3) cycloadditions appears to be a worthwhile endeavor. In this context, highly reactive dearomatized indole frameworks display a privileged role in nature as well as in chemical synthesis (Scheme 1A). For example, Martin and Rawal et al. found that 2-methide-2H-indoles rapidly undergo efficient (4 + 3) cycloadditions with electron-rich dienolsilanes to furnish racemic cyclohepta[b]indoles, which were further applied to the nonasymmetric synthesis of natural products actinophyllicin and ambiguine P.4

The high demand for a catalytic asymmetric solution to this problem has been recognized.5 Due to the high-energy nature of the reactive intermediate, in situ generation of the critical cationic species is generally required, and acid catalysis offers a broad spectrum of opportunities in combination with the respective 2- or 3-indolyl alcohols (Scheme 1B). Schneider and co-workers subjected 2-indolyl alcohols to the action of chiral phosphoric acid catalysts in hetero-(3 + 2) cycloadditions with 2-vinylindoles,6 and, more recently, Shi et al. applied a similar concept to a formal hetero-(4 + 3) cycloaddition with in situ generated ortho-quinone methides.7 Additionally, Masson and co-workers demonstrated viable (4 + 3) cycloadditions of 3-methide-3H-indoles with dienamines.8 Notably, the common ground for all of these reactions is the necessity of electron-donating substituents for two reasons: (1) stabilization of the electron-poor reactive intermediate and (2) prevention of any side reactions because of the high basicity of the chiral phosphate anion. For this reason, a general approach to this challenge still remains elusive.

We reasoned that, by virtue of a stronger acid, the higher energetic barrier toward the generation of more diverse substituted intermediates from the corresponding indolyl alcohol might be overcome. Encouraged by our recent studies on silylum-based asymmetric counteranion-directed catalysis (Si-ACDC),8 an IDPi catalyst would generate a strong Lewis acid in the presence of a dienolsilane, followed by the generation of the protonated 2-methide-2H-indole and subsequent (4 + 3) cycloaddition to deliver bicyclo[3.2.2]-cyclohepta[b]indoles. The IDPi anion’s inherent confined microenvironment is expected to benefit stereoinduction as well as chemoselectivity. Herein, we report on the realization of this newly designed cycloaddition with high enantioselectivity (Scheme 1C).

We initiated our studies by reacting indolyl alcohol 1a with dienolsilane 2a in the presence of Tf2NH (pK\text{a} = 0.3 in acetonitrile) at 25 °C, which cleanly provided product 3a. In contrast, weak acids such as chiral phosphoric acid (CPA) 6a (pK\text{a} = 13.6 in acetonitrile) and N-(perfluoronaphthalen-2-yl)sulfonyl-phosphoramidate 6b did not yield any product, most likely due to the formation of a covalently silylated CPA species displaying insufficient Lewis acidity for turnover. Remarkably, however, in the absence of dienolsilane 2a, CPA 6a rapidly furnished dimer 5 within minutes (Table 1, entry 3). This is mainly attributed to the rapid formation of a highly nucleophilic 2-vinyl-1H-indole, which would directly capture the reactive intermediate in a dimerization event already under weakly Brønsted acidic conditions.9 Using IDPi 6c and 6d as catalysts at 25 °C, the desired product is indeed formed, along with dimer 5. IDPi 6c with a modified 3-biphenyl substitution pattern in the BINOL backbone affords a clean reaction profile.
but with poor enantioselectivity. Lowering the temperature to −50 °C could also suppress side product formation and furnish the desired product with excellent yield and promising enantioselectivity of 66:34 (entry 8). Because of the confined active site of the IDPi catalyst, fine-tuning of the structural parameters was necessary in order to further improve the enantioselectivity. Extensive screenings effectively showed 2-tetrahydronaphthalenyl-substitution on the 3,3′-positions of the BINOL backbone as a privileged motif (IDPi 6f, entry 9).

Amidification of the inner sulfonamide core from trifluoromethyl to perfluoronaphthalen-2-yl enhanced the enantiomeric ratio from 86:14 to 95.5:4.5, maintaining the excellent yield and 3a:5 ratio (entry 12).

Having identified the optimal catalyst and reaction conditions, we were keen to explore differently substituted 2-indolyl alcohols (Scheme 2A). First, we evaluated systematic methyl substitution patterns on the indole backbone at the 4-, 5-, 6-, and 7-positions (1b−1e), as well as tetrahydrocyclopenta[g]indole 1f and benzo[g]indole 1g. Gratifyingly, these substrates are well tolerated under our optimal reaction conditions. Employing IDPis 6h or 6i, we could obtain products 4b−4g with excellent yields and enantioselectivities. Additionally, electronic modification of the aromatic system via halogenation (1h to 1j) and introduction of a methoxy group (1k) enable highly enantioselective access to products 4h−4k as well. Having established a reactivity platform for the transformation of gem-dialkyl-substituted 2-indolyl alcohols, the possibility of introducing two nonidentical alkyl substituents arises, generating an additional quaternary stereogenic center. We were delighted that substrates 1l−1p readily engaged in this (4 + 3) cycloaddition to give 4l−4p with good diastereoselectivities and excellent yields as well as enantioselectivities. Notably, a terminal alkene and TMS-alkyne (4n, 4p) were also well tolerated, providing opportunities for further elaboration of the products.

Scheme 1. (A) The Privileged Cyclohepta[b]indole Motif in Several Natural Products; (B) Previously Applied Dearomatized Indole Frameworks in Asymmetric Organocatalysis; (C) This Work: Newly Designed (4 + 3) Cycloaddition of 2-Indolyl Alcohols with Dienolsilanes
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Table 1. Reaction Development

| Entry | Catalyst | T (°C) | 3a Yield (%) | 3a:5 | 4a er<sup>b</sup> |
|-------|----------|--------|--------------|------|-----------------|
| 1     | T<sub>f</sub>NH | 25     | 90           | >25:1| -               |
| 2     | 6a       | 25     | NR           | -    | -               |
| 3<sup>c</sup> | 6a       | 25     | <1:100       | -    | -               |
| 4     | 6b       | 25     | NR           | -    | -               |
| 5     | 6c       | 25     | 87           | 20:1 | 56:44           |
| 6     | 6d       | 25     | 42           | 2:1  | 64:36           |
| 7     | 6e       | 25     | 87           | 20:1 | 55:45           |
| 8     | 6c       | −50    | 98           | >25:1| 66:34           |
| 9     | 6f       | −50    | 99           | >25:1| 86:14           |
| 10    | 6g       | −50    | 98           | >25:1| 90:10           |
| 11    | 6h       | −50    | 98           | >25:1| 94:6            |
| 12    | 6i       | −50    | 98           | >25:1| 95.5:4.5        |

<sup>a</sup> Reactions were performed with substrate 1a (0.01 mmol), catalyst (2.5 mol %), 2a (4.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.4 mL); yield of indole 3a and the ratio of 3a:5 was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. <sup>b</sup> After the deprotection by trifluoroacetic acid (10 μL), enantiomeric ratios (er) of ketone 4a were measured by HPLC. Without 2a, NR, no reaction.
Scheme 2. (A) Scope; (B) Derivatizations of Product 4a

Reactions were carried out with 0.08−0.10 mmol of substrate 1, IDPi 6h or 6i (2.0 to 2.5 mol %), diene 2a (4.0 equiv) in CH2Cl2 (0.05 M) at −50 °C unless noted otherwise. *IDPi 6i was used. Enantiomeric ratios (er) were measured by HPLC or GC and unless otherwise indicated, all diastereomeric ratios (at C10) of products 4l−4q were measured by GC. (B) Reagents and conditions: (i) 4a (1.0 equiv, 95.5:4.5 er), Hydroxylamine hydrochloride (10 equiv), 25 °C, pyridine/MeOH (1:1, 0.4 mL), 84%. (ii) 8 (1.0 equiv), TsCl (1.0 equiv), triethylamine (2.0 equiv), 0 to 25 °C, CH2Cl2, 53%, 95:5 er. (iii) 4a (1.0 equiv), DMAP (1.5 equiv), Boc2O (12.0 equiv), toluene (0.1 mL), 95 °C, 78%. (iv) 10 (1.0 equiv), LiHMDS (10.0 equiv), Comins’ reagent (1.7 equiv), THF, −78 to 25 °C, 93%. (v) 11 (1.0 equiv), isopropenylboronic acid pinacol ester (4.0 equiv), Pd(PPh3)4 (10 mol %), K2CO3 (2.0 M, 80.0 equiv), 1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 90%, 96:4 er. (vi) NaBH4, MeOH, 0 °C, 95%, dr >20:1. (vii) Pb(OAc)4 (1.7 equiv), benzene, 80 °C, 30%, 94:6 er. (viii) 10 (1.0 equiv), LiHMDS (6.0 equiv), allylic bromide (2.0 equiv), THF, −78 to 25 °C, 5 h, 40% (b.r.s.m. 80%), 95:5 er. TsCl, p-toluenesulfonyl chloride. DMAP, 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine. LiHMDS, lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide. Comins’ reagent, N-(5-chloro-2-pyridyl)bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide). THF, tetrahydrofuran.
2-indolyl alcohol, was converted with moderate yield and enantioselectivity of 85:15 er. Considering the potential significance and accessibility of the novel and enantioenriched bicyclo[3.2.2]cycloheptab[3]indole frameworks, we sought to explore various synthetic transformations starting from adduct 4a (Scheme 2B). First, a two-step Beckmann rearrangement was employed to prepare lactam 9 without deterioration of enantiopurity. After N-Boc-protection, ketone 10 could be converted into corresponding enol triflate 11 via Comins’ reagent and was applied in a Suzuki coupling to furnish diene 12, which could be used for further transformations such as a Diels−Alder reaction. Besides, in an attempt to oxidatively cyclize the single diastereoisomer of alcohol 13 in a Suarez-type alkoxy radical-mediated reaction with Pb(OAc)₄, we isolated rearranged spirooxindole 14 as the sole product. Allylation of ketone 10 proceeded to give 15 as a single diastereoisomer.

Scheme 3. Mechanistic Studies

**Methodology**

(A) Control experiments. (B) ³¹P NMR study. (C) DFT-supported catalytic cycle (B3LYP-D3(BJ)/def2-TZVP+CPCM-(dichloromethane)//PBE-D3/def2-SVP level with TMS-dienolsilane as model).
Finally, we were keen on understanding the underlying reaction mechanism. Reaction with cyclopentadiene or dieneol ether 2b exclusively yielded complex reaction mixtures along with traces of (3 + 2) cycloaddition dimer (Scheme 3A, (i)). Moreover, addition of molecular sieves completely shut down reactivity, suggesting the crucial influence of the active species in the catalytic cycle (Scheme 3A, (ii)). When N-methylated 2-indolyl alcohol 1s was used, no reactivity was observed, pointing toward NH hydrogen bonding as prerequisite for conversion. To gain deeper insight into the reaction progress, a 31P NMR study was conducted (for 1H NMR reaction progress kinetic analysis with different electronically biased substrates, see Supporting Information).

Isolated IDPi 6d (1.0 equiv) resonates as a sharp singlet at −15.0 ppm (Scheme 3B, (i)) and was almost completely converted into a new species showing two doublets (Jpp = 111.3 Hz) at −8.6 and −12.7 ppm upon addition of an excess of dienolsilane 2a (Scheme 3B, (ii)). This desymmetrization can most likely be traced back to immediate silylation of one of the diastereotopic oxygen atoms of the IDPi’s inner sulfonamide core. Interestingly, after addition of 1.0 equiv of starting material 1a, protonated IDPi 6d was regenerated, indicating silyl transfer.

Taking all of the experimentally gathered data into account, we propose a mechanistic cycle based on DFT ground-state and transition state energies. The ionization step occurring after substrate silylation was indeed found to be rate-limiting (14.0 kcal/mol). Besides, hydrogen bonding between the 2H-indolium and an inner sulfonamide core oxygen atom was shown to be a crucial catalyst-substrate interaction (d(NH−O) = 1.84 Å). Moreover, in line with previous reports by Jacobsen and Houk, all our attempts to identify a stepwise mechanism remained futile. However, we identified a concerted yet highly asynchronous (4 + 3) cycloaddition, demonstrating a significant bond length difference in the major transition state between C10−C11 (2.42 Å) compared to C9−C14 (3.17 Å) (Scheme 3C). Additionally, the enantiofacial discrimination of the dienolsilane appears to be controlled by a nonclassical hydrogen bond between one of the TMS methyl groups and an aromatic fluorine atom of the inner catalyst core (2.30 Å, see the Supporting Information). All these indications lay the foundation for the mechanistic description of this catalytic asymmetric (4 + 3) cycloaddition. The strong Bronsted IDPi as the resting state commences to react with dienolsilane 2a to form the active silylum Lewis acid I. The following reaction with the substrate leads to the formation of complex II, which reacts further via C−O bond cleavage to liberate TBSOH and intermediate III in the rate-limiting step. Subsequent highly exergonic asynchronous-concerted cycloaddition immediately yields IV, followed by reorganization to deliver product 3a and the IDPi.

In conclusion, we present a newly designed and powerful catalytic asymmetric (4 + 3) cycloaddition of gem-dialkyl-substituted 2-indolyl alcohols with dienolsilanes. This transformation was made possible by the application of strongly acidic and confined IDPi catalysts, thus overcoming major limitations of previously investigated systems. Using this new method, a variety of bicyclo[3.2.2]cyclohepta[β]indoles are readily accessible in excellent yields and enantioselectivities. By a combination of kinetic and computational studies, we disclose the crucial influence of the silylum species within the catalytic cycle and expect our approach to be valuable for the rapid and efficient asymmetric synthesis of several potentially biologically active natural products.
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