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Abstract

**Background:** To evaluate the repeatability and reproducibility of the retinal vascular network fractal dimension (FD) measured from swept-source optical coherence tomography angiography (SS-OCTA) images of healthy eyes.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study was undertaken with young healthy volunteers who had no reported cardiac risk factors or ocular disease history. For each participant, three SS-OCTA images (12×12 mm) were acquired using the Cirrus Plex Elite 9000 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany) by two ophthalmologists. The data from one eye per participant were retained for analysis. Automated segmentation was obtained from both the superficial and deep capillary plexuses. Fractal box-counting analyses were performed. The intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) and the coefficient of variation (CV) were used as measures for repeatability and reproducibility.

**Results:** A total of 43 eyes of healthy volunteers were included. The mean ± standard deviation (SD) age was 30 ± 6.2 years. The mean retinal vascular network FD was 1.693 in the superficial and 1.694 in the deep vascular layer in our healthy cohort. For repeatability, the ICC was 0.722 (95% CI, 0.541–0.839) in the superficial capillary plexus and 0.828 (95% CI, 0.705–0.903) in the deep capillary plexus. For reproducibility, the ICC was 0.651 (95% CI, 0.439–0.795) and 0.363 (95% CI, 0.073–0.596) at the superficial and deep capillary plexus, respectively. The CV for each observer was less than 5% in the two plexuses.

**Conclusions:** In this study, the retinal vascular network FD provided new repeatability and reproducibility quantitative data using SS-OCTA with healthy participants.

Introduction

Quantitative description of the retinal vascular network may be indicative of the systemic microvascular network and may help predict future cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events [1–6]. Thus, there is growing interest in thorough quantitative measurement of retinal vascular network features. Retinal arteriole narrowing and retinal vein widening on retinal fundus photographs were shown to be associated with increased long-term cardiovascular outcomes [7]. However, the retinal vascular network could provide more information. The advances in retinal imaging and automated image processing have shed new light on associations between more complex retinal vessel metrics such as the fractal dimension (FD) and cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disorders [8]. The retinal vascular network FD is a geometric index of complexity. Mainster was the first to describe the retinal microvascular network as a fractal [9]. The FD of the retinal vascular network has already been reliably measured from fundus photographs [10, 11]. Previous studies based on fundus photography have suggested that this metric may be an effective method to assess early vascular progression of diabetic retinopathy [12] and to identify patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy [13].
Optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) may improve description of the retinal vascular network in daily clinical practice. OCTA is a non-invasive imaging technique for assessment of the retinal microcirculation without the use of dye injections [14, 15]. OCTA makes it possible to study both the superficial capillary plexus (SCP) and the deep capillary plexus (DCP), which cannot be individualised from the images acquired via standard angiography [16]. Recently introduced swept-source optical coherence tomography (SS-OCT) uses a short cavity swept laser with a tunable wavelength of operation instead of the diode laser used in spectral-domain OCT (SD-OCT). SS-OCT offers higher imaging speeds, greater detection efficiency, improved imaging range and deeper penetration with reduced sensitivity roll-off [17]. Currently, it is possible to automatically assess vascular density, vascular perfusion and the foveal avascular zone area by means of SS-OCTA. By contrast, measuring the retinal vascular network FD with SS-OCTA remains complex and there is no normative database available [18]. Previous studies reported high reproducibility for vascular density and foveal avascular zone area with OCTA in healthy volunteers and in patients with retinal diseases [19–21]. We selected the specific metric of FD because it can potentially summarise the retinal microvascular network. Retinal vascular network FD has been widely studied with fundus photography [9, 12, 10] and SD-OCTA [22, 23], but the literature on FD and SS-OCTA is sparse. Moreover, the merit of this non-invasive parameter has been proven in various multidisciplinary areas combining ophthalmology with cardiology [24, 25], neurology [8, 26] and endocrinology [12, 27].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the utility of the retinal vascular network FD, by assessing its normal values, and to assess the repeatability and reproducibility of this technique with SS-OCTA images.

Materials And Methods

Study participants

We conducted a cross-sectional descriptive study in the department of ophthalmology at Dijon University Hospital, France, between 1 November, 2017, and 1 June, 2018. The protocol was approved by the regional ethics committee and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients gave their written informed consent for the examinations before enrolment. We followed the GRRAS (Guidelines for Reporting Reliability and Agreement Studies) [28].

We enrolled consecutive healthy volunteers between 18 and 55 years of age. The participants’ demographic data were collected, including age, sex and ocular history. Participants with a self-reported eye disease, cardiovascular history or neurological history were excluded from the analysis. All participants benefited from a comprehensive eye examination and SS-OCTA acquisition on the same day. We also measured blood pressure with an automated device, after 5 min of rest in a seated position. Weight and height were recorded to calculate each participant’s body mass index (BMI). Globe axial length was measured using the IOL master 500 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany). Measurements were taken on both eyes, but the data from one eye only were retained for analysis, according to the following procedure: (1) SS-OCTA of the right eye for participants born in even-numbered years and the left eye for...
those born in odd-numbered years; (2) if a scan was uninterpretable for one eye, the scan of the other eye was retained for analysis; (3) if both eyes had inadequate signal strength (< 7/10) or significant artefacts on the perfusion map (lines or gap), the participant was excluded.

**Image acquisition**

SS-OCTA images were acquired with the Cirrus Plex Elite 9000 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany), which uses a swept laser source with a central wavelength between 1040 nm and 1060 nm and a rate of 100,000 A-scans per second. All participants underwent SS-OCTA examination under mydriasis obtained with tropicamide 0.5% eyedrops (Thea, Clermont-Ferrand, France). The study participants underwent imaging in a single visit, including three sets of scans. Two independent, trained observers performed the 12×12-mm region scans (twice, 1 min apart by observers 1 and 2) and repeated once by the second examiner 5 min later (observer 2) resulting in three 12×12-mm scans. We investigated both superficial and deep retinal capillaries (Fig. 1), which were automatically segmented by the SS-OCTA system software. We did not make any manual adjustments because we wanted to reproduce the routine followed in daily practice.

**Retinal vascular network fractal dimension analysis**

A special form of Mandelbrot's FD known as the binary box-counting dimension was used to analyse SS-OCTA images [29]. For this purpose, images were first binarised using a fixed threshold for all images to obtain microvasculature structures in the highest possible contrast from their background, where vessels were shown in white against a black background. The next step involved image skeletonisation and successive thinning of the vasculature until each vessel was presented by its centre line with a thickness of only one pixel. All images were automatically examined to ensure no discontinuities were introduced to the vessel structure due to the binarisation and skeletonisation process.

To obtain the box-counting FD, the skeletonised images were superimposed on a grid of squares and the total number of boxes (squares) containing at least one pixel of the structure were counted. This process was repeated continuously by reducing the mesh size in each step (increasing the total number of meshes covering the entire image). All data points (total number of meshes counted in each step vs mesh size) were plotted on a log-log plot and the slope of the line with the best fit to the data was taken as the measurement of box-counting FD. The retinal vascular network FD can range from 1 to 2. Lower FD values correspond to low complexity of vessel branching, while higher values correspond to greater complexity [10].

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were tested for normality (Shapiro–Wilk test). Continuous variables that follow a normal distribution are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (range) and those that follow a non-normal distribution are expressed as median (interquartile range). Categorical variables are summarised in numbers and percentages (n, %). The Student's paired t test was used to compare the differences between the scans by the two different operators.
To analyse the repeatability and reproducibility of the retinal vascular network FD, we calculated the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using Shrout–Fleiss reliability notation. We followed Cicchetti’s guidelines, which state that when the reliability coefficient is below 0.40, the level of clinical significance is poor; when it is between 0.40 and 0.59, the level of clinical significance is fair; when it is between 0.60 and 0.74, the level of clinical significance is good; and when it is between 0.75 and 1.00, the level of clinical significance is excellent [30]. Repeatability and reproducibility were also evaluated on the coefficient of variation (CV) calculated as standard deviation divided by the average of the measurements multiplied by 100. A CV of less than 5% indicates good reproducibility. In addition, intra-observer repeatability and inter-observer reproducibility were evaluated by means of Bland–Altman analysis [31]. A $P$-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**Results**

Overall, 43 eyes of 43 healthy volunteers were included in the analysis. The mean age of the participants was $30 \pm 6.2$ years (range, 23–52). Table 1 summarises the participants’ baseline demographics and clinical characteristics. None of the participants demonstrated any eye disease on clinical examination or SS-OCTA.

| Baseline characteristics          |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Age, years                       | $30 \pm 6.2$ |
| Sex, female                      | 24 (55.82) |
| Study eye, right                 | 29 (67.44) |
| Axial length, mm                 | $23.7 \pm 1.4$ |
| Body mass index, kg/m$^2$         | $21.88 \pm 2.1$ |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg    | $128.9 \pm 7.9$ |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg   | $79.3 \pm 10.2$ |

Continuous variables are displayed as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables are displayed as number (percentage).

The mean retinal vascular network FD in the superficial vascular layer was $1.694 \pm 0.010$ (range, 1.656–1.709) for observer 1 and $1.693 \pm 0.014$ (range, 1.610–1.708) for observer 2. The mean retinal vascular network FD in the deep vascular layer was $1.695 \pm 0.007$ (range, 1.662–1.704) and $1.693 \pm 0.011$ (range, 1.624–1.705), for observers 1 and 2, respectively (Table 2). No significant difference was found between
the two observers in terms of mean retinal vascular network FD for all measurements. Bland–Altman plots were used to demonstrate differences in overall mean FD measurements for the intra-observer repeatability and inter-observer reproducibility in the SCP and DCP (Figs. 2 and 3). The CV for each observer was less than 5%, which indicates low variability and therefore good reproducibility (Table 2).

| Table 2  |
|----------|
| Mean fractal dimension for the two observers |

|                  | Observer 1 | Observer 2 | P-value |
|------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Average fractal dimension |            |            |         |
| SCP              | 1.694 ± 0.010 | 1.693 ± 0.014 | 0.59    |
| DCP              | 1.695 ± 0.007 | 1.693 ± 0.011 | 0.41    |
| Coefficient of variation |            |            |         |
| SCP              | 0.60       | 0.43       |         |
| DCP              | 0.83       | 0.64       |         |

Continuous variables are displayed as mean ± standard deviation.
Coefficient of variation are displayed as percentage.
SCP = superficial capillary plexus; DCP = deep capillary plexus.

The intra-class correlation coefficients in the SCP and DCP were as follows. Intra-observer repeatability was 0.722 (95% CI, 0.541–0.839) in the SCP and 0.828 (95% CI, 0.705–0.903) in the DCP, deemed good and excellent, respectively [30]. Inter-observer reproducibility was 0.651 (95% CI, 0.439–0.795) and 0.363 (95% CI, 0.073–0.596) in the SCP and DCP, respectively, deemed good and poor, respectively, according to Cicchetti [30].

Discussion

The present study aimed to describe FD metrics of the retinal vascular network using SS-OCTCA in healthy participants and to assess its repeatability and reproducibility in clinical practice. We used an SS-OCTA device with automatic layer segmentation to achieve 12×12-mm images and then quantified the retinal vascular network FD of the SCP and DCP using independent software. The mean retinal vascular network FD was 1.693 in the superficial and 1.694 in the deep vascular layer in our healthy cohort. These results are in agreement with previous studies based on fundus photographs, which found a normal retinal vessel FD of 1.7 [9, 12]. Although we did not use the same imaging device, our results were consistent since we acquired wide-field 12×12-mm images with a field of view of 48°, which allowed us to work on the fundus area close to that obtained with fundus photographs. Previous studies have
investigated retinal vessel FD using SS-OCTA. Corvi et al. evaluated the retinal vascular network FD with seven different OCTA devices including the Cirrus Plex Elite 9000 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany) using a 3×3-mm scan, and found a mean value of 1.68 and 1.69 in the superficial and deep vascular plexuses, respectively [18]. Although the acquisition size in our study was different, our results are in agreement. Hirano et al. quantified the retinal vascular network FD with the same SS-OCTA device and image size in healthy participants and in patients with diabetes [32]. They found a mean retinal vascular network FD of 1.627 and 1.612 in the superficial and deep capillary network in healthy eyes, respectively. However, to our knowledge, none have been interested in assessing the repeatability and reproducibility of this metrics. Furthermore, it should be noted that retinal vascular network FDs in the SCP and DCP were fairly similar. Few studies have compared the retinal vascular network FD in these two networks. It was reported that the patterns of these two networks are different, and that the central avascular zone is larger in the deep network compared with the superficial one [33]. FD evaluates the retinal vascular network as a whole, which may explain why there were only a few differences in the mean FD metrics between these two networks.

The retinal vascular network FD measurements showed good repeatability and reproducibility in our study. The measurements obtained by the same operator and those obtained by two operators were not statistically significantly different when the same protocol was used. Intra-observer repeatability was good in the SCP and excellent in the DCP. However, we found that the measurements of the retinal vascular network FD in the DCP did not have very good inter-observer reproducibility. This could be explained by the difficulty of removing projection artefacts of vessels from the superficial vascular network to the deep vascular network. It has already been shown that analysis of the superficial retinal plexus is more accurate than the deeper plexus because of projection artefacts [2]. Another explanation could be motion artefacts during OCTA acquisition. However, this did not occur between two acquisitions made by the same observer and therefore it could be related to changes in the head position between the two observers. Even small head movements or eye misposition can produce dramatic changes from one B-scan to another, although the SS-OCTA device eye-tracker tried to control for these artefacts.

The results regarding reproducibility in this study were only applicable to the SS-OCTA Cirrus Plex Elite 9000 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany). Corvi et al. compared retinal vascular network FD measurements between seven different OCTA devices and found that the mean retinal vascular network FD in both the SCP and DCP were different between these instruments [18]. Differences were even found between devices using the same algorithm and segmentation limits. Thus, measurements between different OCTA devices were not interchangeable. Moreover, we only included healthy eyes in our study and, therefore, the automated segmentation was correct for all images. We should expect that in eyes with retinal disorders, automatic segmentation might compromise the repeatability of these measurements.

In previous studies, the retinal vascular network FD was considered an interesting biomarker for the study of retinal and cardiovascular diseases. These studies used methods based on the measurement of FD on fundus photographs [12, 27]. With fundus photographs, it is impossible to distinguish the two vascular
networks. Current advances in retinal imaging have allowed us to describe the retinal vascular network thoroughly. Furthermore, the swept-source technique has the advantage of being able to acquire larger images with better quality and contrast thus allowing us to study the vascular network as a whole [17]. Moreover, the benefit of using a 12×12-mm wide-field acquisition zone is to simultaneously visualise the macula region and the optic nerve head and to analyse both the macular network and radial peripapillary capillaries on the superficial and deep vascular plexus.

Fractal analysis can provide greater insight into the development of retinal vascular diseases. Recent studies have demonstrated the value of the retinal vascular network FD in clinical practice. A reduced retinal vascular network FD was found in all stages of diabetic retinopathy with SS-OCTA [32, 34]. Nevertheless, retinal vascular network FD analysis of the retinal network is a global measure of the blood vessel pattern; as such, it is not sensitive to minor alterations in a small region of the total pattern [10]. An earlier study explored the relationship between the retinal vascular network FD and neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) [35]. The relationship between quantitative OCTA parameters in patients with active nAMD under treatment and those with remission nAMD was investigated. The retinal vascular network FD in the active nAMD group was significantly lower than that in the remission group (1.44 vs 1.50, \( P < 0.001 \)) [35]. This was explained by increased branching after arteriogenesis in the remission group. The retinal vascular network FD therefore seemed to be of interest for the study of retinal vascular diseases but also macular disorders. It would also be interesting to evaluate its contribution to the diagnosis of cardiovascular disorders. Combined with other quantitative parameters such as vascular density, vascular perfusion and the area of nonperfusion, the retinal vascular network FD offers the possibility of additional parameters to monitor retinal disease and to refine risk stratification.

The potential limitations of this study should be mentioned. First, it was a small-sample single-centre study, which may limit its external validity. Second, it was a self-report study; we did not carry out a medical assessment or blood test to eliminate vascular disease such as diabetes. Third, the age range was limited to individuals aged 24–52 years. Fourth, we had artefacts during OCTA image acquisition, including motion artefacts, as well as image treatment such as automated segmentation failure and projection artefacts [36].

In conclusion, the retinal vascular network FD provided new repeatable and reproducible quantitative data using SS-OCTA with healthy participants. The clinical relevance of these findings warrants further studies.
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