Controlled Atmosphere Storage, Temperature Conditioning, and Antioxidant Treatment Alter Postharvest ‘Honeycrisp’ Metabolism
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Abstract. The physiology and metabolism characterizing postharvest chilling and CO2 injury in apple has important implications for postharvest management of soft scald and soggy breakdown. This research assessed differences of primary metabolism related to soggy breakdown (cortex CI) and CO2 cortex injury in ‘Honeycrisp’ apple fruits. Results indicate that pretreatment temperature conditioning, diphenylamine (DPA), and CA treatments alter fruit metabolism and affect peel and cortex storage disorder outcome. A preliminary summary of primary metabolism involved with soggy breakdown under high CO2 includes increased activity in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, propionate metabolism, and alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism.

CI of ‘Honeycrisp’ apple fruit is often manifested as either soft scald, which is characterized by sunken, ribbon-like brown regions of the peel (Barker, 1938; Snowdon, 1990), or soggy breakdown, a cortex disorder characterized by similarly demarcated regions of flesh tissue that can occur in the absence of soft scald (Plagge and Maney, 1928). Soft scald and soggy breakdown risk tends to be elevated as harvest maturity increases (Tong et al., 2003; Watkins et al., 2005). Soft scald and soggy breakdown can also occur simultaneously (Watkins et al., 2005).

Soggy breakdown has been attributed to CI (Plagge and Maney, 1928; Smock, 1977), but evidence of anaerobic metabolism (Leisson et al., 2015) suggests gas exchange or respiration rates may affect symptom development. Previous research also reveals increases in ethanol and fermentative odors concurrent with soggy breakdown development (Smock, 1977).

Internal CO2 injury of ‘Honeycrisp’ apple fruit has not been exhaustively studied, but it has been reported to be exacerbated by controlled atmosphere (CA) conditions with elevated CO2 (3 kPa) and reduced O2 (0 kPa) (Contreras et al., 2014). CO2 injury of apple fruit generally exhibits either of two types of symptoms: externally, as rugose, sunken, browned peel, or internally as browned cortex tissue that develops into open ovate pits with time; internal symptoms tend to be more severe toward the calyx end of the fruit (Smock, 1977). In one study, focusing specifically on internal CO2 injury, harvest maturity did not appear to affect disorder incidence (Contreras et al., 2014), unlike soft scald and soggy breakdown. However, both soft scald (Watkins et al., 2004) and CO2 injury (Contreras et al., 2014) can be reduced by conditioning apples at a higher temperature before longer-term CA or cold storage. CA management is an important tool for increasing fruit longevity in storage, but the prevalence of physiologically disorders perceived as internal CO2 injury complicates recommendations for specific CA regimes for ‘Honeycrisp’ apples (Beaudry and Contreras, 2010; Hanrahan and McFerson, 2010).

Prange and DeLong (2006) divided CA disorders into three categories: “1) disorders that occur in air storage that are alleviated by CA; 2) disorders that occur in air storage that are aggravated by CA; and 3) disorders that are not known to occur or rarely occur in air storage and are induced by CA.” It remains unclear whether soggy breakdown (internal cortex injury) is caused primarily by chilling or if elevated CO2 influences symptom development, possibly exacerbating gaseous diffusion resistance gradients within apples, which have been characterized in ‘Kanzi’, ‘Jonagold’, and ‘Braeburn’ (Ho et al., 2010). Symptoms similar to soggy breakdown (sharply demarcated regions of browned flesh) were exacerbated in high CO2 storage environments alongside typical CO2 injury symptoms (browned flesh tissue containing lens-shaped pits), confounding visual diagnosis (Contreras et al., 2014). Focusing on the metabolic profile associated with these disorders could lend insight into whether these disorders share a similar etiology or are metabolically distinct.

Postharvest disorders associated with elevated CO2 levels may also be distinguished from other disorders using the antioxidant DPA and elevated CO2 during CA storage. A DPA brench before storage reduces or eliminates internal (Argenta et al., 2002; de Castro et al., 2008; Felicetti et al., 2011; Meheriuk and Lau, 1984) and external CO2 injury during CA storage in a number of susceptible apple cultivars, although any further relationship with oxidative stress remains to be established (Fernández-Trujillo et al., 2001). DPA treatment reduced soft scald/soggy breakdown in one experiment, but was not consistent for controlling soft scald/soggy breakdown in ‘Honeycrisp’ (Watkins et al., 2004).

Metabolomics has been described as the apogee of the omics trifecta, among transcriptomics and proteomics (Patti et al., 2012) and, as the final step of many cell regulatory processes and the composition of any organism, a metabolic profile represents the ultimate construct of the phenotype (Fiehn, 2002). Metabolomic approaches are either targeted or untargeted, where targeted approaches assess metabolites of specific chemical classes or pathways [e.g., Zhang et al. (2010)], and untargeted approaches assess as many metabolites as possible through several complementary laboratory and instrumental combinations (Patti et al., 2012). Assessment of a metabolic profile for a fruit or subset of fruit begins with sample collection and cryostabilization, followed by metabolite extraction using multiple methods to estimate multiple classes of metabolites (Rudell and Mattheis, 2009; Rudell et al., 2009, 2011). Metabolic profiling has been used to characterize metabolism associated with apple fruit disorders and lends insight into the biological mechanisms underlying disorder development (Lee et al., 2012b; Rudell and Mattheis, 2009).

This experiment combined CA, temperature conditioning, and DPA treatments to provoke differential incidence of soft scald/soggy breakdown and CO2 injury. Our hypothesis was that symptoms and cortex metabolic profile would differ depending upon CO2 levels, DPA treatment, and temperature regardless of symptom appearance.

Materials and Methods

‘Honeycrisp’ apples were picked on 26 Sept. 2012 from a commercial orchard near Yakima, WA (lat. 46.57583, long.
Table 1. Postharvest ‘Honeycrisp’ treatments (storage and crop protectant regimes), Fall 2012–13. Treatments were assigned to assess the impact of storage immediately transported to Wenatchee, –120.63855). This was the third commercial pick from this orchard. Fruit were immediately transported to Wenatchee, WA. At-harvest maturity was assessed as outlined previously including starch, Brix, titratable acidity, and internal ethylene concentration (IEC) (Leiso et al., 2015). External disorder and damage free fruit were selected from each bin and placed onto pressed paper trays (16 fruit per tray); a total of eight trays were assigned to each of seven treatments. Chemical and temperature treatments were initiated or applied the same day at listed in Table 1; CA treatments were initiated 24 h following harvest in automatically controlled chambers. Treatments are hereby referred to as T1–T7. Each treatment was ultimately stored in a separate small CA chamber, with the exception of T7, which was stored in two apple boxes on a small pallet in the same room as the CA chambers. Chamber dimensions and automated atmospheric controlled were as described by Mattheis et al. (1998). For T4, an emulsion containing DPA was made by dissolving 2 g of DPA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in a solution of 2.5 mL of isopropanol and 8 mL of Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich), and then bringing the final volume to 1 L using dH2O. Fruit were treated by immersion in the emulsion for 1 min and then air dried in an upright position (calyx end down) on the tray before storage. T5 was a “carrier” control for T4 containing 2.5 mL isopropanol and 8 mL of Triton X-100 diluted to a final volume of 1 L. Fruit from T1 and T2 were temperature conditioned (7 d at 10 °C) in a separate cold storage room and, then moved to heated chambers (to maintain 3 °C) in the same room as the other CA chambers, which was maintained at 1 °C.

External and internal disorder symptoms were evaluated weekly from 0 to 24 weeks storage on one tray per treatment. At 8 weeks, all trays were removed from the CA chambers and evaluated for incidence and severity of peel damage. Two trays (32 fruit) were retained for each treatment, and fruit weight was measured and cortex browning incidence and severity were rated (Table 2), while the remaining trays (four trays, 64 fruit) were returned to the CA chambers for subsequent disorder monitoring. Cortex from the two rated trays was then sampled for further metabolic analyses by dissecting healthy tissue (W) from browned tissue (B); wholly healthy fruit were also sampled (H). Cortex tissue was primarily excised from a region 5 mm below the peel and above the core line. Fruit pieces were rapidly diced into small cubes (≈10 mm³), and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. All evaluations and sampling were performed at 1 °C. Cortex from all treatment (T1–T7)/tissue (H, W, and B) combinations were replicated three times with each replicate containing tissue from three different fruit, with the exception of T1, where only one biological replication was possible for W and B tissue due to low injury incidence.

Volatile metabolites and organic acids, carbohydrates, phenolics, and amino acids were assessed for tissue collected at 8 weeks of storage, using extraction methods and GC-MS instrumentation as previously described (Lee et al., 2012a; Rudell et al., 2011). Each biological replication was assessed individually, with the exception of T1 (tissues W and B) where the sole biological sample (containing pieces of three fruit) was assessed in three separate technical replications.

GC-MS data were collected and assessed using ChemStation (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA) and the deconvolution reporting service (DRS) program for volatilize metabolites, organic acids, carbohydrates, phenolics, and amino acids (Table 3) as well as other metabolites characterized only by mass spectral tags (principal ions and Kovat’s index). A Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) number was also assigned to each metabolite for use in pathway analysis via Metabolomic Pathway Analysis (MetPA) (Xia et al., 2012; Xia et al., 2009). For subsequent statistical analyses, data were normalized by, first, mean centering and, then, dividing by the square root of the standard deviation of each variable.

Principal components analyses (PCA), a type of unsupervised multivariate modeling, were carried out on metabolite data using Unscrambler version 10.2 (Camo Software Inc., Woodbridge, NJ). For this multivariate modeling method, an algorithm (NIPALS) partitions the variance among samples in an iterative fashion, such that the first factor explains the most of the sample variance, the second slightly less. The number of factors required to explain the greater portion of the variance can be indication of the complexity of the data. As an unsupervised type of multivariate modeling, PCA enables discernment of latent trends in the metabolite data without specifically assessing the effects of a particular treatment.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) was used to assess the significant difference of individual metabolite levels among treatments or tissue. In addition, MetPA (Xia et al., 2012) was used to identify specific biochemical pathways that were altered by treatments. MetPA uses KEGG database (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000) for metabolic pathway information on model organisms. Arabidopsis thaliana was used for pathway mapping. On the basis of the subset of identified metabolites annotated in KEGG, injury affected pathways were found using the “global test” in pathway enrichment analysis and “relative-betweenness centrality” for pathway topology analysis.

Results and Discussion

Values for harvest maturity indices were as follows: starch index (1–6) = 5.7 ± 0.072 (SE), soluble solids = 12.9 ± 0.10 °Brix, titratable acidity = 0.522 ± 0.0087 g/100 mL, and internal ethylene concentration (IEC) = 0.78 ± 0.072 μL·L⁻¹. The starch index indicates fruit were climacteric although the IEC indicates otherwise. Susceptibility to soggy breakdown typically increases with harvest maturity (Tong et al., 2003).

Fruit treated using temperature conditioning (T1 and T2) had the lowest peel and cortex disorder incidence (Table 2). The number of fruit affected by internal browning disorders under the same temperature conditioning regime was significantly higher in T2 (high CO₂) than T1 (lower CO₂), suggesting atmospheric conditions impacted disorder development. Temperature conditioning has repeatedly proved an effective means for reducing soft scald/soggy breakdown incidence (DeLong et al., 2004, 2006; Watkins et al., 2004) and CO₂ injury (Contreras et al., 2014). DPA treatment (T4) reduced total disorder incidence relative to other fruit stored under similar high CO₂ CA (5 kPa CO₂) and low-temperature (1 °C) conditions (T5 and T6), although less than temperature conditioning. Remaining treatments all had similar high incidence of peel and cortex injury to T5 and T6 (Table 2) indicating that
Table 2. Fruit quality assessment of ‘Honeycrisp’ apples following 8 weeks storage under different storage and crop protectant regimes. Percentages are given of fruit impacted by one or more variables (columns 2 and 4) as well as percentage of total tissue impacted (columns 3 and 5). Lower case letters indicate significant differences within each variable as determined using pairwise chi-square tests for incidence comparison or analysis of variance followed by Fisher’s least significant difference post hoc test for total area and volume comparison.

| Number | Preconditioning (7 d) | Storage temp | Atmospheric conditions | % fruit affected by peel browning (n = 96) | % peel area affected by browning | % fruit affected by cortex browning (n = 32) | % volume affected by cortex browning | Fruit wt (g) (n = 32) |
|--------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| T1     | 10 °C (50 °F)          | 3 °C (37.5 °F) | Normal CA (1 kPa CO₂, 2 kPa O₂) | 3 b                                        | 0.6 b                           | 9 d                                        | 0.7 b                                | 197.1               |
| T2     | 10 °C (50 °F)          | 3 °C (37.5 °F) | High CO₂ (5 kPa CO₂, 2 kPa O₂) | 16 b                                       | 0.9 b                           | 25 c                                       | 6.1 b                                | 193.6               |
| T3     | 1 °C (33 °F)           | 3 °C (33 °F)  | Normal CA (1 kPa CO₂, 2 kPa O₂) | 90 a                                       | 53.0 a                          | 88 a                                       | 56.6 a                               | 204.7               |
| T4     | 1 °C (33 °F)           | 3 °C (33 °F)  | High CO₂ (5 kPa CO₂, 2 kPa O₂ + DPA) | 59 a                                       | 10.1 b                          | 47 b                                       | 7.0 b                                | 203.1               |
| T5     | 1 °C (33 °F)           | 3 °C (33 °F)  | High CO₂ (5 kPa CO₂, 2 kPa O₂ + isopropanol) | 88 a                                       | 57.2 a                          | 88 a                                       | 68.6 a                               | 218.2               |
| T6     | 1 °C (33 °F)           | 3 °C (33 °F)  | High CO₂ (5 kPa CO₂, 2 kPa O₂) | 79 a                                       | 52.0 a                          | 88 a                                       | 68.4 a                               | 199.5               |
| T7     | 1 °C (33 °F)           | Open air in CA chamber room |                          | 85 a                                       | 55.0 a                          | 84 a                                       | 51.6 a                               | 201.1               |

Table 3. Metabolites detected in ‘Honeycrisp’ apple cortex tissue collected following 8 weeks of different postharvest storage and crop protectant regimes. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) numbers were assigned to identify metabolites to enable pathway analysis.

| Metabolite          | KEGG number | Metabolite          | KEGG number |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| (E)-2-hexenal       | C08497      | Galactose           | C00124      |
| (E,E)-2,4-hexadienal| C19249      | Galacturonic acid   | C08348      |
| (Z)-3-hexen-1-ol    | C08492      | Glucose             | C00031      |
| (Z,E)-a-farnesene   | C09665      | Glucose-6-phosphate | C00668      |
| 1-butanol           | C06142      | Gluconic acid       | C00191      |
| 1-pentanol          | C16834      | Glycerol            | C00116      |
| 1-propanol          | C05979      | Hexanoic acid       | C01385      |
| 2,3-butanediol      | C03044      | Homoserine          | C00263      |
| 2-ethyl-1-hexanol   | C02498      | Isositol            | C00137      |
| 2-methyl-1-propanol | C14710      | Isoleucine          | C00407      |
| 2-methylbutanal     | C02223      | Leucine             | C00123      |
| 2-propanol          | C01845      | Linalool            | C11388      |
| 5-oxo-proline       | C01879      | Maleic acid         | C01384      |
| 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-ol| C07288     | Malic acid          | C00149      |
| 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one | C07287   | Malonic acid        | C00383      |
| Acetaldehyde        | C00084      | Methionine          | C00073      |
| Acetic acid         | C00033      | Methyl-2-methylbutyrate | C18319   |
| Acetone             | C00207      | Methyl acetate      | C17530      |
| Alanine             | C00041      | Methyl alcohol      | C00132      |
| Arginine            | C00062      | Norvaline           | C01826      |
| Ascorbic acid       | C00072      | Octanal             | C01545      |
| Asparagine          | C00152      | Pentanal            | C07329      |
| Aspartic acid       | C00049      | Phenylalanine       | C00079      |
| Benzaldehyde        | C00261      | Phosphoric acid     | C00009      |
| Benzylic alcohol    | C00556      | Ppinicolic acid     | C00408      |
| p-ferulic acid      | C09668      | Prolene             | C00148      |
| Butanal             | C01412      | Propanal            | C00479      |
| Butyl acetate       | C12304      | Pyruvic acid        | C00022      |
| Citric acid         | C00158      | Quinic acid         | C006746     |
| Cysteine            | C00736      | Raffinose           | C00492      |
| Decanal             | C12307      | Rhamnose            | C00507      |
| Erythrose           | C00279      | S-adenosylmethionine | C00019   |
| Estragole           | C10452      | Salicic acid        | C00805      |
| Ethanol             | C00469      | Serine              | C00065      |
| Ethyl 2,4-decaenedioe| C04866     | Shikimic acid       | C00493      |
| Ethyl Acetate       | C00849      | Sorbitol            | C00794      |
| Ethylbenzene        | C07111      | Succinic acid       | C00042      |
| Fructose            | C00095      | Sucrose             | C00089      |
| Fructose 6-phosphate| C00085      | Threonine           | C00188      |
| Fumaric acid        | C00122      | Tryptophan          | C00078      |
| Galactose           | C00124      | Valine              | C00183      |
| Galacturonic acid   | C08348      | Glucose             | C00031      |
| Glucose             | C00668      | Glucose-6-phosphate | C00031      |
| Glucronic acid      | C00191      | Glucronic acid      | C00031      |

It could be either soft scald/soggy breakdown or CO₂ injury that was reduced by DPA treatment. Our visual and metabolic examination sought to confirm whether the injury reduced using DPA treatment is actually CO₂ injury as previously reported (Contreras et al., 2014) or soft scald/soggy breakdown of ‘Jonathan’ (Wills et al., 1981; Wills and Scott, 1982).

Cortex and peel browning symptoms varied among treatments (Fig. 1). Fruit affected by internal and external browning from T1 (temperature conditioning, stored at 3 °C + 1 kPa CO₂ + 2 kPa O₂) exhibited sharply demarcated peel lesions, and also sharply demarcated brown regions in the cortex. Temperature conditioned + high CO₂ fruit (T2) exhibited symptoms most similar to Smock’s description of internal CO₂ damage (1977), with extensive regions of browned cortex tissue toward the calyx end of the fruit (Fig. 1, T2-b). Only a small amount of damage was detected on the fruit surface (Fig. 1, T2-a), as may be expected on fruit with internal CO₂ injury. Fruit stored in low-temperature CA with no conditioning (T3) mostly had symptoms typical of soft scald, with ribbon-like regions of browned peel corresponding to damaged cortex (Fig. 1, T3) and no indication of the characteristic radial browning toward the calyx end typical of CO₂ injury. However, disorder incidence and severity in this treatment were relatively high. At 8 weeks, it was difficult to distinguish symptoms since so much of the cortex had already browned, although, at 4 weeks, symptoms were more typical to soft scald (not shown).

Despite the application of DPA, fruit stored at low temperature in CA + high CO₂ (T4) to induce CO₂ injury (Contreras et al., 2014) and soft scald (Wills et al., 1981) developed symptoms that included ribbon lesions typical to soft scald (Fig. 1, T4-a).
However, disorder onset was delayed relative to other treatments, and no symptoms were apparent in this treatment at 4 weeks (data not shown). Although symptoms appeared similar to soft scald, one of 32 fruit sampled had internal damage confined to the calyx end, which is more similar to that attributed to CO₂ injury. Also, two fruit from this treatment had symptoms of soft scald only confined to the peel. Overall, this treatment had lower severity of internal damage relative to peel injury compared with other treatments stored at 1°C that were not conditioned before storage (Table 2).

Similarly, cortex browning symptom descriptions, where DPA was not used alongside CA + high CO₂ (T5 and T6), were mixed, with peel lesions similar to soft scald, as well as internal browning confined to the calyx end of the fruit (Fig. 1, T5/6 a, b). Later in storage (24 weeks), cortex tissue from several treatments T2, T5, and T6 developed lens shaped pits more typical of CO₂ injury, which was not found in cortex from other treatments, including DPA + CA + high CO₂ (T4). Symptons of browning in T7 were more typical to soft scald (Fig. 1, T7).

Lens shaped pits have also been reported previously in ‘Honeycrisp’ cortex stored under conditions conducive to CO₂ injury (Chiu et al., 2015; Contreras et al., 2014).

To summarize symptom presence/etiology with relation to treatments, disorder incidence was lowest in fruit treated with temperature conditioning and stored at higher temperature in CA (1 kPa CO₂, 2 kPa O₂), but since fruit were also under CA it is not possible to rule out the impact of low O₂ on disorder development. Results suggested DPA may more effectively reduce CO₂ injury symptoms than CI (soggy breakdown symptoms). DPA treatment has been purportedly effective for control of soft scald/soggy breakdown in other cultivars (cv. Jonathan) (Wills et al., 1981; Wills and Scott, 1982), although results are variable for ‘Honeycrisp’ (Watkins et al., 2004). This may indicate either that DPA can reduce these disorders in some cases or that CO₂ injury may have common causes with respect to oxidative stress.

Overall differences of metabolism among treatments/tissue type (tissue health) combinations were, first, evaluated using PCA to model metabolic data (Fig. 2A). Also, metabolic differences among treatments within a single tissue type (H, W, or B) were analyzed separately (Fig. 2B). The variation accounted for in the first two principal components was under 20% in every case indicating that much of the variability could not be structured and is inherent to further dimensions. In multivariate modeling, the proximity of one sample to another reflects the similarities in patterns of the underlying metabolic trends. All replications of every treatment/tissue combination were included in the analysis represented by three biological replications, although low soggy breakdown incidence only allowed enough tissue from T1-W and T1-B for technical replication rather than eliminating those treatment/tissue combinations from the metabolic analysis. Replications of these combinations, as may be expected, had more similar PCA scores than the biological replications of the other combinations.

To reduce the variance in the overall model and, consequently clarify associations among treatments as they are reflected in each treatment, samples from each tissue type (H, W, and B) were analyzed using
conditions, some of which may be related to the imposed postharvest environment (Rudell, 2008). Similarities among T4, T5, and T6 most likely reflect the response of healthy tissue to the imposed postharvest conditions, some of which may be related to fruit adaptation to the low temperature/high CO₂ environment.

Scores of cortex metabolomes from asymptomatic tissue adjacent to symptomatic tissue (W) were somewhat similar to the scores of H cortex in this model with respect to their relationship on PC1 (Fig. 3B). CA + High CO₂ (T6) scores for two replications (T6-W) were more closely related with those of treatments using temperature conditioning and higher storage temperatures (T1 and T2) or low CO₂ (T3). T6 was unlike T5 (CA + high CO₂ + isopropyl alcohol/Triton X-100) suggesting the in-active ingredient in the DPA formulation may have impacted metabolism in this tissue. As with H tissue, T4 and T5 had similar distribution in PCA scores plots, indicating similar metabolism provoked by high CO₂ and colder storage temperature leading to adaptation rather than the symptom development that occurred in adjacent tissue. Replications of air stored fruit (T7) were inconsistent, but divergent from all other treatments, indicating regular air storage affects fruit metabolism with respect to disorder onset. Metabolic composition was not clearly delineated on the basis of merely high CO₂ CA (T2, T4, T5, and T6) or low temperature (T3, T4, T5, T6, and T7) in the first two principal components.

In browned symptomatic tissue (B), treatments expected to have underwent less chilling stress (T1 and T2), were closely grouped indicating a key effector of the metabolomes of symptomatic tissue is storage temperature (Fig. 3C). As B tissue has already developed symptoms, these differences may be less related to metabolic adaptation than merely a reflection of metabolism associated with temperature.

There were metabolites that were consistently associated with particular imposed treatment factors in the loading plots of the PCA of H, W, and B (Supplemental Fig. 1A–C). Malic acid levels were higher in cortex from treatments where ripening was expected to be most impeded (low temperature and high CO₂). Additionally, elevated succinic acid levels have been found in apple cortex stored in high CO₂ conditions (Hulme, 1956) as well as with other cortex browning disorders (Williams and Patterson, 1964). This was confirmed in the current study where succinic acid was associated, regardless of tissue condition, with cortex stored under high CO₂ conditions (Fig. 4). The accumulation of these amino acids in CA has been previously documented (Lee et al., 2012b), but evidently temperature also affects their metabolism, as temperature-conditioned + higher storage temperature (T1) with the same CA conditions as T3 did not have similar accumulations. Isoleucine and valine are potential substrates for 2-methylbutyl moieties formation has been previously explored (Defilippi et al., 2005; Rowan et al., 1996), but a concomitant increase of 2-methylbutanol and 2-methylbutyl acetate was not readily apparent.

Higher storage temperatures and CA affected fruit volatile production (Fig. 4). The accumulation of these amino acids in CA has been previously documented (Lee et al., 2012b), but evidently temperature also affects their metabolism, as temperature-conditioned + higher storage temperature (T1) with the same CA conditions as T3 did not have similar accumulations. Isoleucine and valine are potential substrates for 2-methylbutyl moieties formation has been previously explored (Defilippi et al., 2005; Rowan et al., 1996), but a concomitant increase of 2-methylbutanol and 2-methylbutyl acetate was not readily apparent.

Higher storage temperatures and CA affected fruit volatile production (Fig. 4). The accumulation of these amino acids in CA has been previously documented (Lee et al., 2012b), but evidently temperature also affects their metabolism, as temperature-conditioned + higher storage temperature (T1) with the same CA conditions as T3 did not have similar accumulations. Isoleucine and valine are potential substrates for 2-methylbutyl moieties formation has been previously explored (Defilippi et al., 2005; Rowan et al., 1996), but a concomitant increase of 2-methylbutanol and 2-methylbutyl acetate was not readily apparent.

Separate PCA models. The variation accounted for in the first two principal components was under 30% in every case indicating that model did not explain the greater portion of the variance. However, this visualization of the scores plots revealed that differences among the treatment metabolomes are most apparent in browned symptomatic tissue (H; Fig. 3A) followed by the asymptomatic tissue in soggy breakdown affected fruit (W; Fig. 3B) and, finally, cortex from entirely healthy fruit (B; Fig. 3C).

In the comparison of the metabolic profiles of fruit that remained entirely disorder-free (H), treatments expected to have greater acclimation and less chilling stress (T1 and T2) or lower CO₂ levels (T2, T3, and T7) exhibited the most divergence from DPA treatment (T4) and high CO₂ + low-temperature storage (T4, T5, and T6) (Fig. 3A). This supports the previous model indicating, in cortex tissue, DPA has a profound impact on fruit metabolism which has also been demonstrated in other studies (Matthes and Rudell, 2008). Similarities among T4, T5, and T6 most likely represent the response of healthy fruit to the imposed postharvest conditions, some of which may be related to.

Among compounds associated with treatment in all tissue types using PCA, succinic acid, malic acid, isoleucine, valine, serine, threonine, 1-butanol, and butyl acetate were significantly different among the treatments (Fig. 4). Elevated levels of succinic acid were associated with high CO₂, particularly with chilling stress coupled with high CO₂ (T4–T6) (Fig. 5). No conditioning + low-temperature storage + high CO₂ + DPA (T4) had the highest levels of succinic acid (Fig. 4), yet this treatment had the least disorder incidence among all the low-temperature treatments. These results have several potential implications. chilling may exacerbate high CO₂ stress as higher disorder incidence in high CO₂/low-temperature stored fruit than high CO₂/warmer temperatures stored fruit, DPA may reduce CO₂ injury, as symptoms in T4 were more similar to soggy breakdown, and DPA may affect the metabolism of succinic acid. The relationship between CO₂, CO₂ injury, and other apple cortex browning injuries and succinic acid accumulation has been previously explored (Fernández-Trujillo et al., 2001; Hulme, 1956), with some suggestion of succinic acid affecting symptom development, although this new evidence indicates the relationship may not be direct given the contrasting influence of DPA treatment. Levels of acetic acid, 2-methylpropyl acetate, and ethyl 2-methylpropionate were also elevated in DPA treated fruit, suggesting additional impacts of DPA on fruit metabolism.

Higher levels of isoleucine, valine, serine, and threonine were associated with treatments with low-temperature storage and CA (T3) (Fig. 4). The accumulation of these amino acids in CA has been previously documented (Lee et al., 2012b), but evidently temperature also affects their metabolism, as temperature-conditioned + higher storage temperature (T1) with the same CA conditions as T3 did not have similar accumulations. Isoleucine and valine are potential substrates for 2-methylbutyl moieties formation has been previously explored (Defilippi et al., 2005; Rowan et al., 1996), but a concomitant increase of 2-methylbutanol and 2-methylbutyl acetate was not readily apparent.

Higher storage temperatures and CA affected fruit volatile production (Fig. 4) (T1). Butanal, butanol, butyl acetate, as well as hexanl, hexyl acetate, and hexyl butyrate were higher in fruit from T1. In ‘Granny Smith’, butyl and hexyl acetate levels peaked poststorage ripening from early in storage (0–60 d), while hexanol and butanol peaked late in storage (120–180 d) (Leisso et al., 2013).

Ethanol levels were significantly altered, most likely reflecting a combination of soggy breakdown (CI) severity and ripeness (Fig. 5). Production of all three of these compounds is typically provoked by low oxygen conditions, in this case provoked by tissue injury, and ethyl acetate production is likely enhanced by available oxygen in the.
surrounding tissue. To test this, ANOVA, followed by Fishers LSD post hoc test, was used to consider overall comparisons exclusive to tissue type. Brown cortex tissue had elevated levels of ethanol, acetaldehyde, and ethyl acetate in comparison with H tissue although W and H tissue were not always different (Fig. 5). Levels of acetaldehyde, ethanol, and ethyl acetate higher browned cortex were also elevated tissues adjacent, healthy tissues, as in an earlier study ostensibly due to diffusion while less volatile compounds associated with damaged tissues remained in place (Leisso et al., 2015). Ethanol and acetaldehyde production are associated with both cortex affected by soggy breakdown of ‘Honeycrisp’ (Leisso et al., 2015; Watkins and Nock, 2012) and CO₂ injury in many cultivars (Argenta et al., 2004; Fernández-Trujillo et al., 2001).

With the exception of succinic acid, volatile metabolites were more significantly affected by treatment and tissue health than primary metabolites. To determine the apparently more subtle effects of storage treatment effects on browning-related metabolism of other compounds evaluated in cortex tissue, pathway analysis in MetPA (Xia et al., 2012) was used to summarize differential effects of treatments on compiled data from all tissues with respect to pathways involved (Fig. 6). The comparison of CA + conditioning (T1) and CA (T3) illustrates the effects of pre-storage temperature conditioning on fruit metabolism (Fig. 6A). By 8 weeks, it appeared that levels of metabolites from several pathways involved in primary metabolism, were downregulated in CA with no conditioning (T3) fruit compared with CA + conditioning (T1), including pyruvate metabolism, glycolysis or gluconeogenesis, and branched-chain amino acid (valine, leucine, and isoleucine) biosynthesis. Although the rate of fruit respiration was not measured on these fruit, since T1 had a conditioning period as well as warmer storage temperatures, overall decreases of metabolite levels may be due to enhanced respiration and metabolism during storage, potentially impacting CI related pathways less than colder temperatures which was also reflected in the reduced incidence of either cortex disorder. In other words, the fruit may be more ripe following storage, but were the least damaged by physiological storage disorders.

Comparison of conditioning + CA with (T2) or without high CO₂ (T1) may indicate pathways enhanced under high CO₂ (Fig. 6B) under conditions that alleviated overall disorder incidence. The limited symptoms that developed in T2 were consistent with internal CO₂ injury described by Smock (1977). Overall, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, propionate metabolism, and alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism were reduced in high CO₂ with conditioning and storage at the warmer temperature. Metabolite levels were different in other pathways although not consistently among glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, pyruvate metabolism, and TCA cycle.

CA + high CO₂ + DPA (T4) and CA + high CO₂ (T6) were compared with the goal of distinguishing metabolism that may be directly associated with soggy breakdown or CO₂ injury at the lower temperatures as DPA used in T4 was expected to prevent CO₂ injury while not impacting soft scald/soggy breakdown development (Fig. 6C). This is based on the hypothesis that oxidative stress is more of an important cause of CO₂ injury, although some evidence suggests oxidative stress is also linked to other disorders occurring in CA conditions (Burmeister and Dilley, 1995). The primary differences among these treatments were decreased amino acid metabolism in the DPA treated fruit. Other pathways were impacted, but as before, overall increases

Fig. 3. Principal components analysis (PCA) scores plots from metabolic profiles of ‘Honeycrisp’ apple cortex from (A) wholly healthy fruit (H), (B) white tissue next to browned tissue (W), and (C) browned tissue (B). Scores represent overall differences in the metabolic profile among different treatments within a specific tissue condition related to browning symptoms. Sample name and color indicates treatments (T1 = black, T2 = red, T3 = green, T4 = blue, T5 = cyan, T6 = magenta, T7 = ochre); sample name also indicates sample tissue (H = wholly healthy fruit, W = healthy tissue in fruit affected by disorders, B = browned tissue in fruit affected by disorders).
or decreases were not consistent within a specific pathway (phenylalanine metabolism, butanoate metabolism, glycolysis or gluconeogenesis, and glycerolipid metabolism).

While it is clear that prestorage temperature conditioning, DPA, and CA treatments alter fruit metabolism, it remains uncertain whether CO₂ injury is metabolically distinct relative to soggy breakdown. Visual assessment of fruit suggested DPA has greater effect on CO₂ injury symptoms than CI (soft scald/soggy breakdown), but this study did not definitively indicate DPA has no effect on the incidence of soft scald/soggy breakdown. Each treatment condition provoked differing metabolite profiles, although some metabolic trends/pathways were consistent in distinguishing treatments. Succinic acid levels were elevated where a high CO₂ storage environment was
used and products of fermentation were associated with symptomatic tissue and, less so, with adjacent asymptomatic tissue within the same apple. Separating these tissues allowed for the assignment of metabolic pathways possibly specific to CO2 damage including increased activity in glycolysis/glucogenesis, propanoate metabolism, and alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism.

Fig. 5. Cortex metabolites differing among ‘Honeycrisp’ according to tissue condition with respect to browning symptoms and irrespective of treatment (where browning is present). Apples were stored under storage and crop protectant regimes that impacted postharvest disorder incidence. Boxes topped with different letters among treatments indicate significant difference. Significance was determined using a one-way analysis of variance and Fisher’s least significant difference post hoc test at $P < 0.05$. Boxes represent 25% to 75%, bars represent 1.5 interquartile range and horizontal lines designate the median. H = cortex tissue from wholly healthy fruit; W = healthy-appearing tissue next to browned cortex; B = brown cortex.

Fig. 6. Pathway analysis using MetPA (Xia et al., 2012) comparison of key combinations of postharvest treatments incorporating tissues of all conditions with respect to browning symptoms of treatments related to chilling and CO2 injury. ‘Honeycrisp’ apples were stored under storage and crop protectant regimes that impacted postharvest disorder incidence. Bubbles represent metabolic categories where metabolism differs between the 2 treatments indicated in each title. Altered pathways were determined using the “global test” for pathway enrichment analysis and “relative-betweenness centrality” for pathway topology analysis. Bubble color indicates level of significance based on “global test” and bubble size indicates number of compounds represented in a specific category.
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Supplemental Fig. 1. Principal components analysis (PCA) correlation loading plots from metabolic profiles of ‘Honeycrisp’ apple cortex from (A) wholly healthy fruit, (B) white tissue next to browned tissue, and (C) browned tissue. Position of metabolite labels with respect to scores for each observation (Fig. 3A–C) indicates association among metabolites and that observation.