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Abstract
The relationship between collaborative marketing and customer loyalty has attracted attention in retail practice. However, in omni-channel retail, the impact mechanism of the two needs to be further defined academically. Based on the theoretical analysis of the stimulus-organism-response (SOR) framework, this paper systematically creates a research model of the impact of omni-channel collaborative marketing on new customer loyalty. It leads an empirical study using structural equation modeling with survey data from 550 agri-fresh produce omni-channel customers. The samples obtained this time is more appropriate to the overall characteristics of fresh omni-channel customers. The structural equation modeling (SEM) research model was developed on the impact of omnichannel collaborative marketing on new customer loyalty. The results show that both dimensions, i.e., price coordination and service and distribution coordination of omni-channel collaborative marketing strategy, positively affect omni-channel shopping experience and customer loyalty. Moreover, product and sales promotion coordination do not directly affect customer loyalty but through the mediating effects of the omni-channel shopping experience. Omni-channel shopping experience plays a mediating role in the relationship between omni-channel collaborative marketing and customer loyalty.
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1 Introduction

With the consumption upgrade and the advent of the experience economy, the consumption of fresh produce has shifted from “product consumption” to “life consumption” and “experiential consumption” (Wu 2020). Customers pay attention to the quality and safety of fresh agricultural products and pursue service experiences. The “anytime, anywhere” nature and convenient and quick service experience require fresh food retailers to adopt an omni-channel retail model. It integrates online and offline to respond to modern, new food customers (Wang and Zhang 2014; Tian et al. 2018). At present, more and more new food companies (i.e., Hema Fresh, Yonghui, Wal-Mart, RT-Mart, etc.) are beginning to try to transform and upgrade to omni-channel. (Tian et al. 2018) studied to weak online and offline collaboration capabilities, the effect is not satisfactory; Fresh omni-channel retail still faces many challenges (Zhang and Chen 2019).

According to Bijmolt et al. (2021), firms must coordinate their movements across channels and different phases
of the customer journey and development flow to contend in today’s omni-channel business context. It demands firms assume an integrative strategy, managing each omni-channel design decision from a dual demand-side (marketing) and supply-side (operations) outlook. According to Bayram and Frazzon (2021), a data-driven approach integrates machine-learning direction forecasting and operational planning simulation-based optimization to synchronize demand and supply in omni-channel retail supply chains adaptively. The conclusions are affirmed by using the omni-channel retail supply chain strategy. According to Bayram and Cesaret (2021), researchers evaluate a merchant to have both online and store functions, with each channel maintaining its merchandise. Store orders are fulfilled from store products. An online order can be shipped from an online satisfaction center or store, maximizing the retailer’s total profit.

An essential prerequisite for developing omni-channel retail is that different channels can be seamlessly connected (Huré et al. 2017). Therefore, achieving omni-channel synergy is the key to the success of the omni-channel retail strategy (Wang et al. 2018; Herhausen et al. 2015). Furthermore, Verhoef et al. (2009) and Poolu-langara et al. (2011) mentioned that improving customer loyalty by providing customers with a collaborative shopping experience through various channels should be a research direction that needs to be followed. However, few studies have been conducted to investigate the relationship between (Herhausen et al. 2015; Verhoef et al. 2015; Lee and Kim 2010) omni-channel collaboration promotes or dilutes customer loyalty controversial topic (Chang and Li 2020; Shen and Zhao 2020). In addition, scholars have also conducted a preliminary analysis of omni-channel collaborative marketing and strategy’s impact on customer behavior—some studies on the relationship between different dimensions of omni-channel joint marketing and customer loyalty. Meanwhile, the shopping experience is often mentioned in the research on omni-channel marketing (Gao et al. 2019). However, little attention has been paid to the role of the omni-channel shopping experience in the process of omni-channel collaboration in enhancing customer loyalty.

In summary, the influence mechanism of omni-channel collaborative marketing on new customer loyalty has not been clarified. The existing research involves specific dimensions such as product, price, service quality, and sales promotion on customer behavior (such as purchase intention, online customer loyalty, and customer retention). However, existing research lacks a comprehensive and systematic discussion about the different dimensions of omni-channel collaborative marketing on customer loyalty. Insufficient attention has been paid to the effect of the omni-channel shopping experience. In addition, most previous researches focus on the overall retail industry. However, few take the fresh market as the research objective (Wu 2017). New agricultural ‘products’ unique biological and perishable characteristics (Zan et al. 2020) makes them different from general farming products and industrial products. Furthermore, the daily life services associated with fresh agricultural products are interactive (Dan et al. 2017), so integrating new farm products and life services makes this industry unique and typical. Therefore, this paper takes new retail companies as a research objective, builds a theoretical model, and examines omni-channel collaborative marketing’s impact on customer loyalty. Therefore, this study aims to answer the following questions: (1) Which dimension of omni-channel collaborative marketing strategy will affect new customer loyalty? (2) What role does the omni-channel shopping experience play in the relationship between the omni-channel collaborative marketing strategy and customer loyalty? The research findings may reference new enterprises using omni-channel collaborative marketing to improve circulation efficiency, business efficiency, and customer performance. There are five main reasons why businesses are embracing an omnichannel strategy: Enhance customer lifetime significance, compare new customer components, expand operational efficiency, expand sales and enhance product turnover.

This paper contributes to the literature in the following aspects. First, it provides empirical evidence about the impact of omni-channel retailing on consumer perceptions and responses in agri-fresh food retail. Consumers evaluate retailers that implement omni-channel collaborative marketing more positively and have more shopping experiences and stronger. Primary research enriches the relevant theories of agricultural products. Second, this study draws upon the SOR model and captures the uniqueness of omni-channel retailing. Omni-channel collaborative marketing as the environmental stimulus and uses customer omni-channel shopping experience. An organism for explaining why channel synergy in omni-channel retailing affects consumer responses. The identification of contextual uniqueness also provides the basis for future omnichannel research. Third, the research results clarify that omni-channel collaborative marketing increase the loyalty of agri-fresh market customers. This result is a further definition of the past research on channel synergy.

The remaining content of this article is organized as follows: the second part reviews the literature and proposes research hypotheses, the third part introduces the research design ideas, the fourth part is the empirical results and explanations, and finally, the main conclusions and policy recommendations of this article.
2 Literature review and theoretical hypotheses

2.1 SOR model

Belk (1975) introduced the stimulus-organism-response (SOR) theory to marketing. The model has become a fundamental theoretical framework for studying consumer behavior in retail contexts (Pantano and Viassone 2015; Chang and Li 2020). The SOR theory consists of stimulus (Stimulus), organism (Organism), and reaction (Response). A stimulus is defined as a feature, event, object, a marketing-related factor, or an environmental component (Zhang et al. 2018). This study considers omni-channel collaboration, an essential part of the omni-channel retail environment, as the environmental stimulus. On the other hand, the organism is considered consumers’ intrinsic cognitive and affective states, reflecting the mental processing caused by stimuli, including consumers’ value perceptions and pleasure (Jiang et al. 2010). In this paper, the omni-channel shopping experience is considered the organism, as it reflects consumers’ subjective perceptions and overall evaluation of their shopping experience in different company channels. The changes mentioned above in environmental stimuli and intrinsic states will further motivate customers’ behavioral responses to agri-fresh food retailers, such as intention to repurchase (Zhu et al. 2019), recommendation, or retention behavior (Van and Dach 2005). Following Yuniarinto et al. (2017) use customer loyalty as a response to our research model.

Laato et al. (2020) studied that during the COVID-19 pandemic, unusual consumer behavior, such as saving toilet paper, was reported globally. The researcher investigated this behavior when fears of consumer market divisions started circulating to capture human behavior in this unique situation. Based on the stimulus-organism-response (S-O-R) framework, the researcher suggests a structural model correlating exposure to online information sources (environmental stimuli) to two behavioral responses: recent purchases and voluntary self-isolation. The researcher collected data from 211 Finnish respondents via an online survey and analyzed PLS-SEM to test the suggested model. The researcher found a strong link between self-intention to self-isolate and intention to make unusual purchases and provided empirical evidence of the reported consumer behavior. The results moreover revealed that exposure to online information sources led to increased information overload and cyberchondria. Recognized the hardness of the situation and cyberchondria significantly changed people’s intention to make unusual purchases and voluntarily self-isolate. Future study is needed to establish the long-term effects of the pandemic on customers and retail services.

According to Yu et al. (2021), the effect of understanding the Huawei smartphone brand as an intermediary between brand involvement and brand loyalty in China and analyzed behavioral and attitudinal loyalty as two major elements of brand loyalty. An exploratory mixed-methods design leveled in the stimulus-organism-response (S-O-R) framework entailed two interviews to construct a theoretical framework. After this, 403 Chinese smartphone consumers were investigated to test the hypotheses. The outcomes of structural equation modeling (SEM) to explore the connection between brand involvement, brand understanding, and brand loyalty reveal that brand involvement exercised a direct effect of around 67% on brand familiarity, which in favor had a direct effect of controlling 47% of the conflict in brand loyalty. Brand understanding and brand involvement had positive and statistically important effects on brand loyalty; nevertheless, brand familiarity was more substantial than brand involvement, and the former moderated the relationship between the additional two variables.

2.2 Omni-channel collaborative marketing

According to the research of He (2004), collaborative marketing is defined as “a comprehensive marketing concept and marketing method that is launched by marketing entities based on the consistent brand connotation positioning of the marketing entities participating in collaborative marketing”. Scholars have mostly conducted qualitative analyses on how retailers implement collaborative marketing strategies based on the 4P theory of marketing. Dickinson and Ramaseshan (2004), Wang and Zhang (2013), Zhang and Guo (2014), and other scholars believe that companies should carry out four dimensions, including product, price, channel, and promotion collaborative marketing. Based on the above literature, Zhang (2015) argues that collaborative marketing should also include service and distribution collaboration strategies from the perspective of complementary online and offline service items and service contents. As per Lee and Suh (2022), blended ongoing investigations for arising topics and suggestions; contend for an interaction and coordinated approach for displaying causality between ESG direct and monetary execution factors; and propose techniques to dissect the models as well as specialists to investigate the possibility that adjusting corporate lead among the E, S, and G parts might give disclosures about monetary execution.

Agri-fresh agricultural products and the distribution of requirements will be high. Therefore, consistent with Wang and Zhang (2013) and Zhang (2015) argument, this paper divides collaborative marketing into four dimensions:
product collaboration, price collaboration, sales promotion collaboration, and service and distribution collaboration.

(a) Product collaboration refers to the degree of consistency between online and offline agricultural products of agri-fresh retail companies regarding identification, category, quality, and product description.

(b) Price collaboration refers to the degree of consistency in online and offline agricultural products of agri-fresh retail companies.

(c) Sales promotion collaboration refers to the degree of cooperation and consistency in terms of the categories, time, frequency, and methods of agricultural products promoted through online and offline channels.

(d) Service and distribution collaboration refers to the degree of cooperation and consistency in order fulfillment, customer service level, image, and distribution efficiency through online and offline channels of agri-fresh retail companies.

### 2.3 Omni-channel collaborative and customer loyalty

The omni-channel collaboration reflects customers’ overall perception of online and offline channel synergy (Lin et al. 2017). The price inconsistency between online and offline channels will increase customers’ perceived unfairness (Vogel and Paul 2015). Under the online and offline same-price strategy, customers can purchase products and enjoy services between different channels at will, bringing higher brand loyalty to enterprises (Wang et al. 2017). Product consistency, sales promotion consistency, and service and distribution consistency reflect the degree of mutual collaboration and texture of fresh retail companies’ online and offline channels regarding new agricultural product categories, sales promotion strategies, customer service image, logistics, and distribution. Through collaborative marketing, new retail companies can provide customers with more information and timely communication, reducing their perception of uncertainty and confusion (Ren 2018). Lin et al. (2017) found that product consistency and sales promotion strategy consistency. It can increase customers’ trust in the merchant. Major collaboration means that they can freely choose purchase methods with lower switching costs and cross-channel purchase risk, thus improving customer loyalty (Lee and Kim 2010). And loyal customers will form a preference for retailers and may repeat purchases for a long time or actively recommend others to buy products (Qi and Zhang 2015). According to Chaithanapat et al. (2022), the intervening jobs of client information the executives and information arranged administration among these connections are featured in the SMEs, wherein HR and contributed capital are restricted and the outcome upholds the directing impact of cutthroat power on the connection between client information the board and development quality.

Further, the insufficient or untimely information sharing in terms of order information, payment information, and customer information will cause the problems of delivery delays, errors, or rot and spoilage of fresh products (Tian et al. 2017). Thus, it will increase customers’ perceived risk of buying new products through Omni-channels. Their willingness to purchase fresh products under this model will be decreased accordingly (Zhang et al. 2016). Therefore, the high coordinated channels of new retail companies can reduce customers’ searching costs, learning costs, perceived risks, and channel switching costs (Chang and Li 2020). It helps increase customers’ awareness of agricultural products or service quality (Wallace et al. 2004) and improves customer value (Oh and Teo 2010). Customer loyalty will increase as the degree of channel collaboration (unified price, unified product, unified image) of new retail enterprises increases (Van Baal 2014). Thus, this study puts forward the following hypotheses.

H1: Omni-channel collaboration positively impacts customer loyalty; when customers perceive the higher degree of online and offline cooperation between agri-fresh food companies, they will show higher commitment to the company.

H1-1: Product collaboration has a positive impact on customer loyalty to agri-agri-fresh retailers.

H1-2: Price collaboration has a positive effect on customer loyalty to agri-agri-fresh retailers.

H1-3: Sales promotion collaboration has a positive impact on customer loyalty to agri-agri-fresh retailers.

H1-4: Service and distribution collaboration has a positive impact on customer loyalty to agri-agri-fresh retailers.

### 2.4 Omni-channel collaboration and omni-channel shopping experience

New retail companies provide customers with a seamless service experience through the collaboration of online and offline channels of agricultural product information, price information, promotional information, service quality, logistics, and distribution services (Hu et al. 2019), thereby improving the company’s market competitiveness (Verhoef et al. 2015). For new enterprises, the advantage of online channels lies inconvenient information acquisition. In contrast, the benefit of offline channels lies in product purchasing and logistics distribution. The higher the degree of online and offline collaboration, the more conducive to the production and operation process of fresh agricultural products (Tian et al. 2018). The omni-channel model has both the convenience of online selection and payment and the flexibility of offline experience (Huo et al. 2018). Through
online and offline collaboration, the new food consumption scene is further enriched to ensure the quality of fresh food. The company actively delivers high-quality fresh agricultural products to customers (Xing 2019), but also realize the “picking and choosing” of new food customers and satisfy the need for convenient purchases, which will enhance customer experience and trust (Lin et al. 2017).

According to the trust transfer theory, customers’ confidence through their perception of a particular channel will affect their faith in other channels and media. Therefore, consumers are happy to freely choose the purchase method among the various channels provided by the company (Fan et al. 2020), thereby achieving a smooth and pleasant shopping experience (Yang 2015; Hahn and Kim 2009). Therefore, omni-channel collaboration means consumers can obtain a consistent and seamless shopping experience in unified channel management (Qi 2017). Thus, eliminating customer shopping doubts and uncertainties (Ren 2018). Hence, this study puts forward the following hypotheses:

H₂: Omni-channel collaboration has a positive impact on the omni-channel shopping experience. When consumers perceive a higher degree of online and offline cooperation of agri-fresh retail companies, they will have a better shopping experience.
H₂-1: Product collaboration has a positive impact on the omni-channel shopping experience.
H₂-2: Price collaboration has a positive impact on the omni-channel shopping experience.
H₂-3: Sales promotion collaboration has a positive impact on the omni-channel shopping experience.
H₂-4: Service and distribution collaboration has a positive impact on the omni-channel shopping experience.

2.5 Omni-channel shopping experience and customer loyalty

The omni-channel fresh food shopping experience reflects customers’ overall evaluation of buying new products through online and offline channels. That is a subjective feeling generated by customers interacting with different channel touchpoints provided by merchants (Pentina et al. 2011). Fresh customer experience has become an essential factor in cultivating customer stickiness, promoting customers’ continuous purchasing, and forming brand loyalty (He and Yan 2018). Experience theory believes that when consumers have a better omni-channel shopping experience. And they will be more satisfied with the products and services provided by the company, so they will be more willing to be loyal to the retailer (Gao et al. 2019). Existing studies have also shown that customer experience directly affects customer loyalty (Brynjolfsson et al. 2013; Yang and Cai 2016). Under the experience economy, fresh food customers are pleased to enjoy the comfort of quick choice and payment. They are gradually accustomed to the spiritual enjoyment of “availability at your fingertips.” The new experience consumption process is integrated with the consumption so that a consumption experience will give benefits (Yang et al. 2015). Therefore, a consistent online and offline experience will help customers obtain a pleasant shopping experience (Hahn and Kim 2009) and realize the transition from functional consumption to experiential consumption. Therefore, customers will be more likely to have a positive word of mouth for and purchase the company’s fresh agricultural products. Hence, this study puts forward the following hypothesis:

H₃: The omni-channel shopping experience has a positive impact on the loyalty of agri-fresh food customers. When customers perceive higher online and offline shopping experiences in agri-fresh food companies, they will have higher loyalty.

2.6 The mediating effect of omni-channel shopping experience

In the omni-channel retail environment, new customer loyalty not only depends on customers’ perception of online and offline channel coordination but also on customers’ omni-channel shopping experience. The high level of online and offline coordination facilitates fresh customers’ perception of companies’ consistency of online and offline images and enhances customer stickiness. Under the highly viscous omni-channel retail system, customers will treat the whole online and offline channels. And freely shuttle through the various channels to purchase fresh agricultural products and enjoy services, which will improve customers’ control over the shopping process and create a pleasant emotional experience (Van Baal 2014). Customers go beyond the material functions of consuming and enjoying fresh agricultural products and obtain emotional satisfaction. At the same time, under an excellent omni-channel coordination strategy, customers will gather the experience of each channel and form an evaluation. The overall experience of the retailer’s omni-channel (Chang and Li 2020) results in a phenomenon of integrated experience (Lueg et al. 2006). If this kind of experience is good, customers will show a higher evaluation of the retailer (Sun and Jeyaraj 2013), increasing purchasing frequency and forming customer loyalty accordingly. Fresh food retail companies want to gain a competitive advantage in the experience economy era. It must provide customers with an extraordinary omni-channel shopping experience. Fan et al. (2020) research shows that the customers’ integrated experience in omni-channel mediates the relationship between channel collaboration and channel relationship performance. Hu et al. (2019) also believe that fresh retailers’
high online and offline cooperation can enhance customers’ trust experience, improving corporate performance. To a certain extent, customer loyalty depends on the quality of collaboration between channels. The retailer also enriches the customer’s fresh food purchasing experience through channel collaboration, strengthening the retailer’s brand image and cultivating customer loyalty through online and offline channels (Kwon and Lennon 2009). Based on this, this research puts forward the following hypotheses:

H4: Omni-channel shopping experience plays a mediating role in the relationship between the omni-channel collaboration of agri-fresh retailers and customer loyalty; that is, the high combination of product, price, promotion, service, and distribution can enhance customers’ omni-channel shopping experience, thereby increasing customer loyalty.

H4-1: Omni-channel shopping experience acts as a mediating role between product collaboration and customer loyalty.

H4-2: Omni-channel shopping experience acts as a mediating role between price collaboration and customer loyalty.

H4-2: Omni-channel sales promotion experience acts as a mediating role between sales promotion collaboration and customer loyalty.

H4-2: Omni-channel shopping experience acts as a mediating role between service and distribution collaboration and customer loyalty.

Based on the above literature review and theoretical analysis, the theoretical model proposed in this paper is shown in Fig. 1.
3 Methodology

3.1 Variables and questionnaire design

This research adopts a questionnaire-based survey and investigates customer loyalty to fresh retailers, which implements the omni-channel collaborative marketing strategy. Moreover, the questionnaire items for the survey were also set based on the prerequisites that customers had omni-channel purchasing experience of fresh agricultural products. The omni-channel collaboration measurement in the research model adopts the four dimensions of collaborative marketing by Zhang (2015), which are product collaboration, price collaboration, sales promotion collaboration, service, and distribution collaboration. The measurement items of the four dimensions were adopted from the research of Oh et al. (2012), Wang (2012), Yang (2015), and Zhou et al. (2017), respectively. The measurement items of the omni-channel shopping experience were adopted from the research of Kim and Choi (2016) and Gao et al. (2019). The measurement items of customer loyalty to fresh retailers were adopted from the research of Lee and Kim (2010), and Qi and Zhang (2015). All the items were measured using the Likert 5-point scale with 1 meaning “strongly disagree” and 5 meaning “strongly agree.”

The items were adapted and translated based on the fresh retailer’s omni-channel situation. What’s more, the specific expressions of the measurement items were determined after interviews with customers who have experience in purchasing fresh agricultural products through Omni-channels. This paper also conducted a pre-survey among the graduate students and teachers at the researcher’s school. Based on the pre-survey, this paper further had in-depth interviews with experts in related fields, and the content of the formal survey questionnaire was finally determined to ensure its validity of the questionnaire. The final version of the measurement items is shown in Table 1.

3.2 Data collection

The research collects data in the form of online randomly distributed questionnaires. At the beginning of the questionnaire, a screening question was set up to ask whether the respondents had the experience of purchasing fresh agricultural products through Omni-channels. And those who did not have such a shopping experience would end the survey. The data has been collected and analyzed between April 2021 to Sep 2021. Then, customers with omni-channel purchases of new agricultural products were asked to choose a retailer and recall their omni-channel shopping experience. At the same time, to effectively identify whether the survey respondent has answered the question thoughtfully, a screening question is set in the middle of the question item. The question item is “Whether the question is answered seriously, please choose strongly disagree.” If the respondent does not check as required, then the sample is an invalid questionnaire. In this survey, a total of 606 questionnaires were collected, and 550 valid questionnaires were obtained after deleting the copies answered by customers who had no omni-channel purchasing experience. The response time was too short. Those had the same answers throughout the questionnaire. Demographic characteristics show that women respondents account for 56.4%, 18–35-year-old customers account for 72.9%, college and undergraduate customers account for 87.3%, 54.1% of customers had a monthly income of more than 5,000 yuan, and 69.6% were employees working for companies or public situations. Overall, the samples obtained this time is more appropriate to the overall characteristics of fresh omni-channel customers. The limitations of the SEM model designed and developed for Product collaboration, Price collaboration, Sales promotion collaboration, service and distribution collaboration, Omni-channel shopping experience and Omni-channel customer loyalty.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Reliability and validity test

To the validity of model fitting and hypothesis testing, it is necessary to test the reliability and validity of the measurement items. In this study, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was used to test the reliability and validity. According to Joreskog and Sorbom (1989), items with factor loadings less than 0.50 should be deleted, so items PROC4, PRC4, SPC4, SDC4, SDC5, OSE4 were deleted. The factor loadings of all other factors are between 0.837 and 0.924 (as shown in Table 2). The composition reliability (CR) is between 0.906 and 0.936. Cronbach’s alpha (Cronbach’ α) is between 0.906 and 0.936 which is higher than 0.7, indicating that the scale has high internal consistency. The average variance extraction amount (AVE) is more significant than 0.5, which shows that the scales have high reliability and aggregation validity.

As shown in Table 3, the square root value of each dimension of AVE is greater than the Pearson correlations between most of the dimensions, indicating that the scale has good discriminant validity.
| Constructs                          | Items                                                                 | Source of references                                |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
|                                     | **PROC1** The retailer’s agri-fresh agricultural products online and offline have basically the same specifications, quality, origin, and traceability certification marks | Oh et al. (2012), Wang (2012), Yang (2015), Manasvi and Matai (2021) |
| Products collaboration              | **PROC2** The retailer has basically the same number of online and offline brand choices for the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products |                                                                      |
|                                     | **PROC3** The retailer’s online and offline product quality is basically the same (such as agri-freshness, safety, etc.) for the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products |                                                                      |
|                                     | **PROC4** The retailer’s online and offline product descriptions and introductions are similar for the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products |                                                                      |
| **Price collaboration**             | **PRC1** The retailer’s online and offline product prices are basically similar for the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products |                                                                      |
|                                     | **PRC2** The retailer’s online and offline prices (including shipping costs) have little difference for the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products |                                                                      |
|                                     | **PRC3** The retailer’s online and offline price discounts are basically similar for the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products |                                                                      |
|                                     | **PRC4** The retailer’s online and offline special offer prices are basically similar for the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products |                                                                      |
| **Sale Promotional collaboration**  | **SPC1** The retailer’s online and offline promotions are basically the same for the agri-fresh food categories |                                                                      |
|                                     | **SPC2** The retailer’s online and offline promotion frequency is basically the same |                                                                      |
|                                     | **SPC3** For the promotion of the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products, the retailer’s online and offline promotion time is basically the same |                                                                      |
|                                     | **SPC4** For the promotion of the same kind of agri-fresh agricultural products, the retailer’s online and offline promotion methods (discounts, specials, lucky draws, gifts, etc.) are basically similar |                                                                      |
| **Service and distribution collaboration** | **SDC1** The retailer supports online purchasing of agri-fresh agricultural products and offline physical store pickups |                                                                      |
|                                     | **SDC2** The retailer supports online purchasing of agri-fresh agricultural products, and offline returns and exchanges in stores |                                                                      |
|                                     | **SDC3** The retailer’s online store is consistent with the offline physical store in terms of timeliness of delivery services |                                                                      |
|                                     | **SDC4** The retailer’s online store has the same service level as the offline physical store |                                                                      |
|                                     | **SDC5** I have the same perception of the retailer’s online store and offline physical store’s services (such as the timely processing of returns, updated in real time delivery information) |                                                                      |
1.3 Common method deviation control and inspection

The data was collected based on respondents’ self-reported subjective perceptions; common method bias may compromise the credibility of the data analysis results. In this regard, this study performed the one-way validated factor analysis. Results indicated that the model fit was poor, $X^2/df = 12.015$, $CFI = 0.863$, $GFI = 0.713$, $RMSEA = 0.142$, $SRMR = 0.0457$, the common method bias is not a problem to the findings of this study.

### Table 1 (continued)

| Constructs                        | Items           | Source of references |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Omni-channel shopping experience  | OSE1 I want to say that the shopping experience provided by this omni-channel retailer is very good | Kim and Choi (2016) and Gao et al. (2019) |
|                                   | OSE2 I believe that I have had a good experience when shopping through different channels of this omni-channel retailer |                          |
|                                   | OSE3 I think the overall shopping experience at this omni-channel retailer is great |                          |
|                                   | OSE4 I think the shopping experience across all channels of the omni-channel retailer is consistent |                          |
| Omni-channel customer loyalty     | OCL1 I will continue to buy agri-fresh agricultural products from this omni-channel retailer in the future | Lee and Kim (2010), Qi and Zhang (2015) |
|                                   | OCL2 I would like to recommend this omni-channel retailer to my friends |                          |
|                                   | OCL3 I will spend more time buying agri-fresh agricultural products at this omni-channel retailer |                          |

PROC product collaboration, PRC price collaboration, SPC sale promotional collaboration, SDC service distribution collaboration, OSE omni-channel shopping experience, OCL omni-channel customer loyalty

### 4.2 Common method deviation control and inspection

The data was collected based on respondents’ self-reported subjective perceptions; common method bias may compromise the credibility of the data analysis results. In this regard, this study performed the one-way validated factor analysis. Results indicated that the model fit was poor, $X^2/df = 12.015$, $CFI = 0.863$, $GFI = 0.713$, $RMSEA = 0.142$, $SRMR = 0.0457$, the common method bias is not a problem to the findings of this study.

### Table 2 Reliability and validity analysis

| Constructs | Items | Unstd. S.E. | Z-value | P    | Std. SMC | CR | Cronbach’s α | AVE |
|------------|-------|-------------|---------|------|-----------|----|---------------|-----|
| PROC       | PROC1 | 1           | 0.848   | 0.719| 0.918     | 0.916 | 0.789         |
|           | PROC2 | 1.141       | 0.039   | 29.519| ***       |       |                |
|           | PROC3 | 1.127       | 0.041   | 27.712| ***       |       |                |
|           | PROC1 | 1           | 0.904   | 0.817| 0.936     | 0.936 | 0.83          |
| PRC        | PRC2  | 1.042       | 0.031   | 33.608| ***       |       |                |
|           | PRC3  | 1.052       | 0.03    | 35.369| ***       |       |                |
| SPC        | SPC2  | 1.018       | 0.031   | 33.19 | ***       |       |                |
|           | SPC3  | 0.866       | 0.032   | 27.436| ***       |       |                |
| SDC        | SDC1  | 1           | 0.892   | 0.796| 0.928     | 0.928 | 0.811         |
|           | SDC2  | 0.981       | 0.03    | 32.368| ***       |       |                |
|           | SDC3  | 0.952       | 0.03    | 31.682| ***       |       |                |
| OSE        | OSE1  | 1           | 0.879   | 0.773| 0.906     | 0.906 | 0.763         |
|           | OSE2  | 1.03        | 0.036   | 28.579| ***       |       |                |
|           | OSE3  | 1.022       | 0.036   | 28.013| ***       |       |                |
| OCL        | OCL1  | 1           | 0.876   | 0.767| 0.920     | 0.920 | 0.794         |
|           | OCL2  | 0.988       | 0.033   | 30.348| ***       |       |                |
|           | OCL3  | 1.058       | 0.035   | 30.298| ***       |       |                |

PROC means products collaboration, PRC means price collaboration, SPC means sale promotional collaboration, SDC means service and distribution collaboration, OSE means omni-channel shopping experience, OCL means omni-channel customer loyalty

*** $p < 0.001$
4.3 Hypothesis testing and discussion

This study uses AMOS software to model the relationship path in Fig. 1. Structural model fitness indices show $X^2/df = 2.489 < 3$, RMSEA = 0.052 < 0.08, SRMR = 0.017 < 0.08, GFI = 0.945, AGFI = 0.922, CFI = 0.984 and TLI = 0.979. All indicators meet the requirements, indicating that the data fit the model well. The results of model hypothesis testing are shown in Table 4 and Fig. 2.

1. Price collaboration ($\beta = 0.139, p < 0.05$) and service & distribution collaboration ($\beta = 0.375, p < 0.001$) have significant impact on customer loyalty. Therefore, H1-2 and H1-4 are supported, consistent with Wang et al. (2017) and Qi and Zhang’s (2015) research results. It shows that when customers enjoy the same service through different channels and buy fresh produce at the same price, consumers will have higher loyalty to the company (Picot-Coupey et al. 2016). The impact of product collaboration on customer loyalty is not significant. Hence, H1-1 is not supported, which is inconsistent with the result of Zhang and Chen (2019).

Due to the differences in customers’ online and offline consumption habits, the current omni-channel fresh retailers are inconsistent in packaging, brands, and product specifications. For example, online agricultural products are usually packaged in bulk for convenient selection. And on-demand acquisition, so fresh customers perceive that retailers have a low degree of synergy in yields, which has little impact on customer loyalty. As a result, customers perceive that new retailers have a low degree of collaboration in products, so it has little effect on customer loyalty. Promotional collaboration has no significant impact on customer loyalty. Hence, H1-3 is not supported, which is similar to the viewpoint of Lin et al. (2017). Sales promotion collaboration is a short-term behavior, and improper implementation may lead to disorder transfer between channels. Therefore, to avoid vicious sales plunder and damage to brand equity, a sales promotion strategy is designed according to the characteristics of different channels. Different sales promotion times and deadlines are used to realize the complementarity between other channels (Wang and Zhang 2013). Therefore, customers’ perception of low sales promotion collaboration degree of online and offline channels has an insignificant impact on customer loyalty.

2. The different dimensions of omni-channel collaborative marketing, namely product collaboration ($\beta = 0.220, p < 0.01**$), price collaboration ($\beta = 0.164, p < 0.05$), sales promotion collaboration ($\beta = 0.378, p < 0.001$), service and distribution collaboration ($\beta = 0.233, p < 0.001$) all significantly positively affect omni-channel purchases.

| Hypotheses | Path      | Estimate | S.E. | C.R. | P    | Results     |
|------------|-----------|----------|------|------|------|-------------|
| H1-1       | PROC→OCL  | 0.022    | 0.073| 0.33 | 0.742| N.S         |
| H1-2       | PRC→OCL   | 0.139    | 0.07 | 1.982| 0.048| Significant |
| H1-3       | SPC→OCL   | 0.026    | 0.054| 0.439| 0.661| N.S         |
| H1-4       | SDC→OCL   | 0.375    | 0.052| 6.966| ***  | Significant |
| H2-1       | PROC→OSE  | 0.200    | 0.08 | 2.642| 0.004| Significant |
| H2-2       | PRC→OSE   | 0.164    | 0.078| 2.137| 0.033| Significant |
| H2-3       | SPC→OSE   | 0.378    | 0.054| 6.432| ***  | Significant |
| H2-4       | SDC→OSE   | 0.233    | 0.055| 4.17 | ***  | Significant |
| H3         | OSE→OCL   | 0.427    | 0.068| 6.189| ***  | Significant |

**p < 0.001
experience. Therefore, H2-1, H2-2, H2-3, and H2-4 are all supported. It is consistent with the views of Fan et al. (2020). The omni-channel shopping experience is directly affected by the degree of omni-channel collaboration. With the advent of consumption upgrades, customers increasingly value the service and product quality of fresh agricultural products (Feng and Chen 2018). Fresh retailers solve the last-mile distribution problem by coordinating online and offline distribution systems. And that fresh agricultural products can reach customers quickly, efficiently, and safely, which will promote customer experience (Zan et al. 2020). At the same time, the omni-channel shopping experience has a significant impact on customer loyalty ($\beta=0.427, p<0.001$), assuming H3 is supported. When customers have a better shopping experience in Omni-channels, they will be more satisfied with the products and services and more willing to be loyal to the fresh retailer. It is also in line with Gao et al. (2019) and Fan et al. (2020).

4.4 Mediating effect test

To test whether customers’ omni-channel shopping experience mediates the impact of omni-channel collaborative marketing on customer loyalty to agri-fresh retailers. This paper follows the mediation effect test put forward by Hayes. By controlling gender, age, occupation, education, and monthly income, this paper examines the mediating effect of the omni-channel shopping experience at the 95% confidence level with a sample selection of 5000. The results show that the omni-channel shopping experience plays a significant role in omni-channel collaborative marketing and customer loyalty. Specifically, the impact of product collaboration on customer loyalty is in the range (BootCI lower limit = 0.3559, BootCI upper limit = 0.5382), excluding 0, and the mediating effect is 0.4488. After controlling the omni-channel shopping experience of the intermediary variable, the independent variable, i.e., product collaboration, has a significant direct impact on customer loyalty.
with the range (BootCI lower limit = 0.2212, BootCI upper limit = 0.4498), which excludes 0. Thus, H4-1 is supported. Other paths’ mediating tests are shown in Table 5, assuming that H4-2, H4-3, and H4-4 are all supported. Therefore, the omni-channel shopping experience plays a mediating role in the relationship of omni-channel collaboration’s impact on customer loyalty. Accordingly, retail companies can improve customers’ omni-channel shopping experience by improving the consistency of products, prices, promotions, services, and distribution. Customers have a better shopping experience in the omni-channel purchase of fresh agricultural products. And they will show the retailers a higher positive evaluation and are more willing to be loyal to the retailers.

5 Conclusions

5.1 Research conclusions and contributions

Based on the SOR model, this study constructed a theoretical model of the impact of omni-channel collaborative marketing on customer loyalty to agri-fresh retailers. The empirical method of the structural equation model is used to analyze the survey data of 550 omni-channel agri-fresh customers. The results reveal the internal mechanism of the impact of omni-channel collaborative marketing on customer loyalty. And confirm that the omni-channel shopping experience plays a mediating role in the relationship of omni-channel collaboration’s impact on customer loyalty. The empirical results show that price collaboration, service, and delivery collaboration positively affect the omni-channel shopping experience and customer loyalty. Product collaboration and promotion collaboration positively affect the omni-channel shopping experience but negatively affect customer loyalty. The results of the mediation test show price collaboration and service and delivery collaboration. It impacts customer loyalty through the partial mediation of the omni-channel shopping experience. It indicates that the higher the price and service delivery synergy, the better the shopping experience generated by customers, further enhancing customer loyalty to agri-fresh retailers. Product and promotion collaboration impacts customer loyalty through the complete mediation of the omni-channel shopping experience.

The research contributes in the following aspects:

1. This study explores the emerging field of omni-channel collaboration marketing in the fresh produce industry from the customer’s perspective. In the emerging field, previous studies have mainly examined the issue of omni-channel collaboration marketing from a qualitative perspective (Dickinson and Ramaseshan 2004; Yan and Ghose 2010). But there is a lack of theoretically-driven empirical studies, especially in the context of agri-fresh produce omnichannel retailing. Based on the previous studies, this research defines the concept of omni-channel collaboration, measures and analyzes the possible results, and systematically and comprehensively discusses the omni-channel collaborative marketing strategy for agri-fresh retailers. In this sense, this research paves the way for future empirical research in the context of omni-channel retail, expands the application range of the theoretical research results, and enriches the relevant theories of agricultural product circulation research.

2. This study extends the application of the SOR model from physical and online retailing to omnichannel retailing. Generally, in SOR models, environmental attributes are usually considered as stimuli. Our study uses an
omni-channel collaboration strategy, a unique feature in omnichannel retailing, as a stimulus, unlike the previous customer trust and satisfaction (Zhang et al. 2018), as mediating variables. This study uses customer omni-channel shopping experience to explain why channel synergy in omni-channel retailing affects consumer responses. According to the SOR theoretical framework, environmental stimuli cause internal changes in individuals, bringing about relevant reactions. The shopping experience is considered an important factor in customer loyalty (Gao et al. 2019). Therefore, we thought of our SOR model of consumers' cognitive and emotional states (shopping experience). Our empirical study demonstrates the mediating effect of the omni-channel shopping experience in the relationship between the consumer's perception of omni-channel collaboration and consumer response. The excellent model fitness in our empirical study validates the appropriateness of the model application.

3. Another important finding is that omni-channel collaboration can enhance customer loyalty. Whether the influence of channel coordination on customer loyalty is negative or positive, previous studies have not yet reached a consistent conclusion. On the one hand, the coordination effect increases customer value (Oh and Teo 2010), keeps customers (Zhou et al. 2017), and improves customer loyalty (Lee and Kim 2010). But on the other hand, low channel coordination results in customers' cognitive distress (Verhoef et al. 2015), reduce their purchase intention and negatively affects customers (Chiu et al. 2011). However, this study found from an omni-channel perspective that not all the four dimensions of collaboration directly enhance customer loyalty. According to the characteristics of fresh retailers’ customers, adopting channel integration-oriented strategies in different sizes can effectively improve customer experience, affecting customer loyalty. Therefore, the results of this study are a further definition of previous studies on channel collaboration and contradiction.

5.2 Managerial implications

First, fresh retailers should rationally combine their strategic goals and resource advantages to design omni-channel coordination strategies. Based on the comprehensive analysis of customer characteristics, new product characteristics, and channel advantages. Fresh retailers can implement a channel integration-oriented process of the product, price consistency, service, and distribution shared by different channels. They can achieve consistency of online and offline fresh agricultural products by improving the consistency of online and offline channel product identification, consistency of place of origin, coordinating the number of online and offline products, providing consistent quality fresh agricultural products, and coordinating online and offline distribution systems. They can also maintain the exact prices of new agricultural products online and offline and reduce the negative impact of price and distribution conflicts. They use promotional coordination, implement a differentiated integration strategy, and make all dimensions closely related to and support each other.

Second, fresh retailers need a well-designed omni-channel purchase experience coordination strategy. New retailers should develop a comprehensive experience in the online and offline information flow display links, especially the perception of the visual, listening, taste, smell, and touch of the physical store. And they can also establish an omni-channel experience marketing scenario through online and offline channels collaboration. They provide a consistent and seamless interactive experience, allowing customers to feel joy and respect during the incident. To enhance customers’ trust in retailers and ultimately enhance channel collaboration’s marketing experience and conversion rate.

The third is to establish a new model for the collaborative flow of business, logistics, and business processes driven by information flow and gradually increase the degree of channel coordination. By constructing information platforms, enterprises can accelerate the network of logistics information and reduce various uncertain risks caused by insufficient and untimely information sharing. Support online purchase of fresh food and offline physical store services among customers. So that customers can seamlessly shuttle between channels anytime and anywhere, connecting each stage of buying fresh food and maximizing the value of their own experience. Achieving channel coordination in terms of new products’ distribution, payment, and information acquisition can bring more convenience to customers. It allows consumers to generate cross-buying intentions within the channel combination, thereby improving consumers’ purchasing convenience and efficiency and gaining customer satisfaction and loyalty.

5.3 Research limitations and future research directions

This research has obtained some exciting and innovative findings. It should also be noted that there are also some limitations. First of all, this research was conducted in China and examined fresh retailers’ omni-channel collaborative marketing strategy. The results of this study carefully extended to other cultures and industries. It is strongly recommended to use data collected from different countries for cross-sectional studies. Secondly, this research uses the overall omni-channel shopping experience scale and future. Future also uses a multi-dimensional integrated shopping experience scale (i.e., sensory experience, emotional experience, thinking experience, related experience, behavioral experience) to deeply analyze the effect of different
shopping experiences in the omni-channel shopping environment. Finally, future research can explore the influence of different moderating variables such as customer personality factors (i.e., channel usage habits, customer shopping decision styles), capital gains, technical challenges, and other retailers’ factors and further explore the effects of omni-channel collaboration. This research reveals that the customer shopping experience represents the skill level of customers’ cross-channel use. Therefore, it provides a sense of control highly correlated with the uncertainty risk.
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