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Aortic stenosis in the time of COVID-19: Development and outcomes of a rapid turnaround TAVI service
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Abstract
The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in the cancellation of many elective surgical procedures. This has led to reports of an increase in mortality for patients with non-Covid health conditions due to delayed definitive management. Patients with severe aortic stenosis have a high annual mortality if left untreated. These patients are at risk due to the reduced number of surgical aortic valve replacements and competition for intensive care facilities during the COVID-19 pandemic. This case series suggests that the minimally invasive transcatheter aortic valve implantation is safe to continue during the COVID-19 pandemic with adjustments to the patient pathway to minimize hospital stay and to reduce patient and staff exposure. This helps to reduce the delay of definitive treatment for patients with severe aortic stenosis.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Symptomatic severe aortic stenosis (AS) managed medically carries an annual mortality of approximately 50%.1 TAVI has become a well-established treatment for severe aortic stenosis in patients with a high surgical risk2,3 and is increasingly considered across a spectrum of more moderate surgical risks.4

The current pandemic of the highly infectious coronavirus COVID-19 has posed significant challenges to health care systems internationally. COVID-19 is associated with a high incidence of primary respiratory failure requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, putting increased pressure on intensive care units (ITU).5 This has led to the cancellation of a huge number of elective operations.6 In particular, most cardiac centres have had to suspend or significantly reduce their elective cardiothoracic surgery services including surgical AVR.7 However, a significant proportion of the increased population mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic is not directly attributable to the virus itself. Delayed presentation and deferred definitive management of non-Covid health conditions are likely to account for much of this excess mortality.6,7

Due to the dire prognosis of untreated severe stenosis, our cardiac centre made the decision to continue TAVI procedures throughout the COVID-19 pandemic to date but with adjustments to the process aimed at rapid patient discharge, minimizing hospital stay, reducing potential staff and patient exposure and avoiding use of ITU and theater (including hybrid) facilities (Figure 1). The following changes were made:

- A virtual (video conferenced) TAVI multidisciplinary team was maintained to review all cases, including surgical cases referred because of clinical deterioration
- Pre-TAVI investigations focusing on annular dimensions and orientation, femoral access and with selection of TAVI prosthesis (chosen from four designs (Edwards group, Evolut family and Boston Scientific family (Lotus Edge and Accurate Neo) according to anatomical criteria)
- Patients were pre-counseled remotely to minimize direct contact required during procedural consent
- Once testing available, patients swab-PCR tested for COVID-19 2 weeks prior to TAVI admission and asked to isolate until their procedure
- Patients admitted on the day of their procedure with minimization of pre-procedural contact and appropriate patient and staff personal protective equipment (PPE)
Elective TAVI Care Pathway

Patient with severe AS referred

↓

Patient seen in clinic for initial meeting and discussion

Initial meeting changed from face to face to over the phone to minimise physical contact

Outpatient CT TAVI and Coronary Angiogram

↓

MDT Discussion with surgical and TAVI team to determine best treatment

MDT discussion done virtually to minimise staff contact

Discussion about TAVI procedure and preliminary consent is now done over the phone. Information booklet is mailed to patient to again minimise physical contact

Patient for TAVI

↓

Patient seen in clinic for discussion about TAVI procedure, consent and pre-assessment

2 weeks prior to procedure, Covid-19 swab is done routinely in community and patient is advised to self isolate until procedure. Patient is admitted on day of procedure rather than night before. This is to minimise Covid-19 exposure to patients

Patient is admitted to ward day before procedure and observed overnight

TAVI procedure

Transfemoral TAVI with local anaesthetic, use of arterial closure devices and early pacing when indicated
All TAVI procedures conducted under local anesthetic without transoesophageal echocardiography and via a transfemoral route with percutaneous arterial closure devices (Proglide®; Abbott Vascular inc.) with in-lab removal of sheathes. Mild sedation was only required occasionally and achieved with low dose IV opiates or low dose IV benzodiazepines. This was administered under the direction of the TAVI operator. An anaesthesiologist was not present during the procedure.

- Procedures performed in cardiac catheter laboratory as hybrid theater reassigned as COVID-19 ventilation/ICU area
- Aim for immediate permanent pacing where signs of higher degree AV-block at the end of the TAVI procedure – continuous ECG monitoring maintained on transfer to ward care
- Aim for rapid mobilization, next-day discharge and early remote follow-up

We report a series of 40 patients that underwent a TAVI procedure during a 12 week period of the COVID-19 pandemic with the adaptations made in the standard treatment pathways for severe symptomatic aortic stenosis from a single tertiary cardiac/cardiothoracic centre. We review their procedure outcomes and complications and compare them with similar data from the 12 week period immediately before the COVID-19 pandemic. Data collection was institutionally approved and registered (Ref No. 10589).

2 | CASE SERIES

From the start of the COVID-19 special measures, 40 TAVI procedures were performed over 12 weeks. During this period, 7 balloon aortic valvuloplasty (BAV) procedures and 15 isolated surgical AVRs were undertaken. This compares with the 12 weeks prior to lockdown when 40 TAVI procedures, 16 BAV procedures and 48 isolated AVRs were performed (Table 1).

Patient characteristics and outcomes including procedural mortality and complications are shown in Table 2. Patient baseline characteristics were similar in both groups. The median Euroscore II for the COVID-19 group was 3.03% compared to 4.05% in the pre COVID-19 group but was not a significant difference. Three (7.5%) patients in the COVID-19 group required a valve-in-valve procedure compared to no patients in the pre COVID-19 group. An increase in Edwards Sapien 3 device implantation was observed. Devices were selected based on patient’s anatomy and access. Where valves were felt

| TABLE 1 | Number of aortic valve procedures 12 weeks before COVID-19 lockdown and during COVID-19 lockdown |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Aortic valve procedures | Pre COVID-19 | COVID-19 |
| Balloon aortic valvuloplasty | 16 | 7 |
| Transcutaneous aortic valve implantation | 40 | 40 |
| Isolated surgical aortic valve repair | 48 | 15 |

Note: Indications for Isolated BAV procedures: 1. Emergency bridge to TAVI—done if there are capacity issues; 2. To assess symptomatic relief in patients with multiple comorbidities (e.g., advanced COPD or severe left ventricular systolic dysfunction; 3. For palliation.

| TABLE 2 | Comparison of baseline patient characteristics, procedural characteristics and outcomes for transcutaneous aortic valve implantation between 12 week period leading up to COVID-19 lockdown and 12 week period during COVID-19 lockdown |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Baseline patient characteristics | Pre COVID-19 | COVID-19 |
| Number of patients | 40 | 40 |
| Age | 85 ± 6.75 | 80 ± 9.5 |
| Male gender | 42.5% (17) | 55% (22) |
| Chronic respiratory disease | 25% (10) | 22.5% (9) |
| Previous PCI | 15% (6) | 12.5% (5) |
| Previous CABG | 7.5% (3) | 7.5% (3) |
| eGFR <45 ml/min | 20% (8) | 12.5% (5) |
| NHYA III or IV | 85% (34) | 87.5% (35) |
| Euroscore II % | 4.05 ± 3.16 | 3.03 ± 2.87 |
| Severely impaired LVEF (≤30%) | 7.5% (3) | 7.5% (3) |
| TTE AVA (cm²) | 0.7 ± 0.23 | 0.75 ± 0.3 |
| AV mean gradient (mmHg) | 35 ± 16.5 | 34.5 ± 20.28 |
| AV max gradient (mmHg) | 62 ± 23.25 | 62 ± 31.2 |
| Previous SAVR | 0% (0) | 7.5% (3) |

Procedural characteristics

| Elective | 95% (38) | 92.5% (37) |
| Urgent inpatient | 5% (2) | 7.5% (3) |
| Native valve | 100% (40) | 92.5% (37) |
| Valve in valve | 0% (0) | 7.5% (3) |
| Moderate or worse AR after deployment (Angio or Echo) | 7.5% (3) | 2.5% (1) |
| Edwards Sapien 3 | 32.5% (13) | 45% (18) |
| Medtronic Evolut | 35% (14) | 37.5% (15) |
| Lotus edge | 15% (6) | 12.5% (5) |
| Accurate neo | 10% (4) | 5% (2) |
| Abbott portico | 7.5% (3) | 0% (0) |

Patient outcomes

| Length of stay (days) | 2 ± 2 | 2 ± 1 |
| Inhospital mortality | 5% (2) | 0% (0) |
| Stroke | 0% (0) | 0% (0) |
| Bleeding requiring transfusion | 10% (4) | 0% (0) |
| Periprocedural myocardial infarction | 0% (0) | 2.5% (1) |
| Major vascular complications | 2.5% (1) | 2.5% (1) |
| Pacemaker implantation | 15% (6) | 15% (6) |
| 30-day readmission | 12.5% (5) | 12.5% (5) |
| 30-day mortality | 5% (2) | 0% (0) |
| Discharged home | 95% (38) | 100% (40) |
| COVID-19 swab positive | N/A | 2.5% (1b) |

Five patient’s AVA unable to be measured due to technical limitations.

Positive COVID-19 swab on admission.
equivalent, this valve design was generally selected during the COVID-19 pandemic due to shortened procedural times and lower anticipated requirement for pacing.

There were no procedural deaths in both groups of patients. Complication rates were similar in both groups, with both having 6 (15%) patients requiring pacemaker implantations following their procedure and both having 1 (2.5%) patient with a major vascular complication. 4 (10%) patients required blood transfusions in the pre COVID-19 group compared to none in the COVID-19 group. One patient in the COVID-19 group suffered from a periprocedural myocardial infarction whilst none did in the pre COVID-19 group.

The length of stay in hospital is shown in Figure 2, more patients were discharged on day 1 or 2 in the COVID-19 group. All patients were discharged home. There were no patients with mortality at 30-days in the COVID-19 group, however there were two patients with a 30-day mortality in the pre COVID-19 group. The first patient had an annular rupture during his TAVI procedure leading to cardiac tamponade. Although pericardiocentesis was successful, he continued to deteriorate and was started on palliative management. The second death was a patient who developed bowel ischaemia 5 days post procedure. After discharge there were 5 (12.5%) patients in both groups that required a hospital readmission after discharge. In the COVID-19 group, 1 patient was readmitted for vascular complications, 1 for decompensated heart failure, 2 with chest infections and 1 for musculoskeletal chest pain. All repeat COVID-19 swabs were negative. In the pre COVID-19 group, 1 patient was readmitted for decompensated heart failure, 2 for transient limb weakness felt due to transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs), 1 for delirium due to pneumonia and 1 for shortness of breath.

In the COVID-19 group, all patients were remotely followed up with a median follow up of 43 days. No patients reported a COVID-19 diagnosis or symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 during follow up. One patient tested positive for COVID-19 but was positive before his procedure, all other patients tested negative for COVID-19.

### FIGURE 2  Distribution graph comparing length of stay in hospital between pre COVID-19 group and COVID-19 group

3  |  DISCUSSION

During the first UK national COVID-19 lockdown, like many tertiary centres, service pressures led to a 69% reduction in surgical AVR. However, with adjustments to minimize the risk of COVID-19 infection for patients and staff, we have been able to maintain a similar throughput of TAVI procedures during this period, maintaining excellent results with rapid discharge. In parallel, virtual MDT monitoring of all patients awaiting surgical AVR or TAVI has continued, to facilitate transfer of more moderate risk surgical patients to TAVI later in the pandemic if this becomes necessary.

With identical vascular complication rates, pacemaker implantation rates, 30-day readmission rates and similar 30 day mortality in the COVID-19 cohort compared to the pre COVID-19 cohort, these initial data suggest that the practice changes necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic have been adopted safely and lead to similar complication rates. This highlights the fact that modification of procedural flows, teamwork and clear guidelines can allow a continuation of a safe and effective TAVI practice despite the current pandemic. There is some potential for selection bias with lower risk patients potentially selected for procedures during the pandemic, although the patient’s baseline characteristics before and after lockdown are similar. During the initial period of the lockdown, patients in the pre-existing list were prioritized based on clinical need. A small proportion of patients chose to reject or postpone an offered date for TAVI due to concern about the in-hospital COVID-19 infection risk. Importantly, only one patient out of the 40 tested positive for COVID-19 and this patient was known to be positive prior to the procedure, which was conducted after detailed MDT discussion to aid recovery.

None of the patients that underwent a TAVI procedure during COVID-19 required ICU admission. Adoption of a fully local anesthetic, transfemoral, catheter laboratory-based practice with mandatory arterial device closure and early pacing (where indicated) were key to avoiding an ITU requirement and ensuring rapid discharge.

4  |  CONCLUSION

The current COVID-19 pandemic is a defining event that will shape the healthcare system worldwide for some time to come. With such a heavy focus on COVID-19, it is paramount not to forget the numerous “excess deaths” not directly attributable to COVID-19, inadvertently caused by delayed presentation and diagnosis of patients and the increased burden on healthcare systems.
This case series is suggestive that with the proper precautions, cardiac centres can continue to perform TAVI safely with good outcomes and minimal burden on stretched inpatient and ITU services.
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