fctSnPM: Factorial ANOVA and post-hoc tests for Statistical nonParametric Mapping in MATLAB

Robin Trama¹, Christophe A Hautier¹, and Yoann Blache¹

¹Univ. Lyon, UCBL-Lyon 1, Laboratoire Interuniversitaire de Biologie de la Motricité, EA 7424, F 69622, Villeurbanne, France

Summary

Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) is a statistical method originally used in neuroimaging developed in the early 90’s in biomedical imaging, allowing to determine which brain zones were solicited during a functional MRI (Friston et al., 1995). Originally developed for a three dimensional analysis, the application of this method to the analysis of vectors or matrices was made possible thanks to Pataky (2010) whom allows to perform statistical inference on curves (vectors - 1D) or maps (matrices - 2D).

As in “classical” statistics on scalar values (0D), there is a parametric and a non-parametric approach to the SPM method. While the parametric method is based on random gaussian fields, the non-parametric method is based on label permutation tests (Nichols & Holmes, 2002), and thus, on re-sampling and randomness to make statistical inference. The main advantage of the non-parametric approach is that a gaussian distribution of the data is not required, making possible it to work with both curves and maps.

Statement of need

Most of physiological data measured during human movement are continuous and expressed in function of time. However, researchers predominantly analyze extracted scalar values from the continuous measurement, as the mean, the maximum, the amplitude, or the integrated value over the time. Analyzing continuous values (i.e., time series) can provide more information than extracted indicators, as the later discards one dimension of the data among the magnitude and localization in time. In addition, oscillatory signals such as muscle vibrations and electromyograms contain information in the temporal and frequency domains. However, scalar analysis reduces the information at only one dimension by discarding two dimensions among the magnitude and the localization in the time and/or frequency domain.

To analyze all the dimensions of a signal without losing information, the analysis of curves or maps was proposed, coded, and put online by Pataky. However, the use of the proposed functions does not allow the analysis of 2D data automatically. Moreover, a rather frequent error is to consider only the significance of the last statistical test performed and not the intersection between the post-hoc tests and the ANOVA. Indeed, a difference between two samples can be significant if, and only if the ANOVA is significant in the same areas. The spm1d package, redistributed with fctSnPM at .:/fctSnPM/src/spm1d_Pataky is published elsewhere, and thus is not part of this JOSS review.
The function we propose meets two objectives. 1/ to allow statistical inferences on curves and maps with a standardized format and 2/ to simplify analyses by comparing means while considering intersections with tests performed upstream (ANOVA and post-hoc of main effects).

The statistical tests are performed taking into account the independent and repeated measure effects provided in the obligatory function inputs. ANOVA, up to three-way ANOVA with three repeated measures, is performed if required, and followed by post-hoc tests as paired or independent Student t-tests. By default, the ANOVA is performed with an alpha risk of 5%, while post-hoc tests alpha risk is adjusted with Bonferroni correction. A number of 10/alpha permutations (200 for a 5% risk) is defined for each test. Statistical parameters are customizable via optional inputs, like multiPerm which can be used to increase the number of permutations and achieve numerical stability and reliable analysis (Nichols & Holmes, 2002). A Matlab (.mat) file containing the number of permutations, the significant clusters, the statistical thresholds, and the raw data used in the analysis is also generated for each test family.

To interpret the results, figures directly usable for presentations and/or articles are available. In one dimension, the main figure contains the mean and standard deviations for each group of the analyzed condition, and the results of the post-hoc tests corrected with the result of the ANOVA. In two dimensions, the mean maps and the standard deviations are on two separate figures, and the result of the statistical analysis is displayed on the map of differences between two modalities. Therewith, other figures that display absolute and relative differences, effect sizes, and the raw value of the statistical test and its threshold are available.

To personalize the figures, Matlab (.fig) files are implemented to perform a posteriori modifications, and optional inputs are available to a priori customize the figures. These parameters are gathered in three categories: 1/ general plot parameters working identically for one and two dimensions, acting on axis labels and limits, image resolution and size 2/ one dimension parameters that act on the characteristics of the curves like color and transparency, or the position of the statistical analysis relatively to curves 3/ two dimensions parameters acting on the colormap and its limits, as well as the color of the statistical test displayed on map of differences.

This function was already used in Trama et al. (2021) to compare soft-tissue and muscle vibrations of the vastus lateralis. It is currently used to assess modifications of soft-tissue vibrations caused by mountain ultra-marathons, the effect of the pedaling phase on quadriceps soft-tissue vibrations, and differences in isokinetic torque after ACL operation and rehabilitation.
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