Analysis of the Secretomes of *Paracoccidioides* Mycelia and Yeast Cells
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**Abstract**

*Paracoccidioides*, a complex of several phylogenetic species, is the causative agent of paracoccidioidomycosis. The ability of pathogenic fungi to develop a multifaceted response to the wide variety of stressors found in the host environment is important for virulence and pathogenesis. Extracellular proteins represent key mediators of the host-parasite interaction. To analyze the expression profile of the proteins secreted by *Paracoccidioides*, Pb01 mycelia and yeast cells, we used a proteomics approach combining two-dimensional electrophoresis with matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-Q-TOF MS/MS). From three biological replicates, 356 and 388 spots were detected, in mycelium and yeast cell secretomes, respectively. In this study, 160 non-redundant proteins/isoforms were indentified, including 30 and 24 proteins preferentially secreted in mycelia and yeast cells, respectively. In *silico* analyses revealed that 65% of the identified proteins/isoforms were secreted primarily via non-conventional pathways. We also investigated the influence of protein export inhibition in the phagocytosis of *Paracoccidioides* by macrophages. The addition of Brefeldin A to the culture medium significantly decreased the production of secreted proteins by both *Paracoccidioides* and internalized yeast cells by macrophages. In contrast, the addition of concentrated culture supernatant to the co-cultivation significantly increased the number of internalized yeast cells by macrophages. Importantly, the proteins detected in the fungal secretome were also identified within macrophages. These results indicate that *Paracoccidioides* extracellular proteins are important for the fungal interaction with the host.

**Introduction**

The *Paracoccidioides* genus represents the causative agent of paracoccidioidomycosis (PCM), one of the most frequent systemic mycoses that affect rural populations in Latin America [1]. The genus comprises four phylogenetic lineages (S1, PS2, PS3 and Pb01-like) [2,3]. The phylogenetic analysis of many *Paracoccidioides* isolates has resulted in the differentiation of the genus into two species, *P. brasiliensis*, which represents a complex of three phylogenetic groups and *P. lutzii*, which includes the Pb01-like isolate [4,5].

*Paracoccidioides* grows as a yeast form in the host tissue and in culture at 36°C, while it grows as mycelium in the saprobic condition and in culture at room temperature (18–23°C) [6]. As the dimorphism is dependent on temperature, when the mycelial conidia are inhaled into the host lungs, the transition of the mycelial to the pathogenic yeast phase occurs [7].

The ability of the pathogenic fungi to develop a multifaceted response to the wide variety of stressors found in the host environment is of extreme importance for the virulence and pathogenesis [8]. Many of those molecules are extracellular factors, which are either secreted or associated with the fungal cell wall. The secreted proteins perform important functions, such as the provision of nutrients, cell-to-cell communication, and detoxification of the environment and the killing of potential competitors [9–11].

In eukaryotic cells, the classical secretory pathway of proteins is driven by a canonical N-terminal signal peptide. This classical pathway involves the recognition of a signal sequence in the proteins to be exported, which results in their translocation across the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane and delivery to the Golgi apparatus [12]. Functional proteins lacking predicted signal peptides are secreted into the extracellular medium, thereby suggesting the existence of unconventional mechanisms of protein secretion in eukaryotes [9,13,14]. A repertoire of hypothetical mechanisms for driving proteins that lack an N-terminal secretion signal through the plasma membrane to the outside of the cell has been described for *Candida albicans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. These mechanisms include: passive transport, translocation, substrate-specific recognition and the affinity of some proteins to secretory vesicles, which lead to adhesion or internalization in endosomal sub compartments [9]. In this later mechanism, which is described as nonconventional export, the formation of the exosomes is required, which involves vesicles derived from membrane invagination (endosomes) resulting in the release of internal vesicles to the extracellular environment [15–17]. Studies...
have demonstrated that several fungi produce extracellular vesicles containing key molecules associated with virulence, stress response and vesicular transport [18–20]. The extracellular vesicles produced by Cryptococcus neoformans and Histoplasma capsulatum are used for the delivery of molecules associated with pathogenesis to the extracellular space. This group of molecules includes well-known virulence factors, such as enzymes associated with capsule synthesis in C. neoformans, laccase, acid phosphatase, heat shock proteins and several antioxidant proteins, including superoxide dismutase, thioredoxin and catalases. These proteins are recognized by the sera of patients with cryptococcosis and histoplasmosis, thereby suggesting that these proteins are produced during human infection [18,19]. Additionally, the co-incubation of cryptococcal extracellular vesicles with murine macrophages results in a dose-dependent stimulation of nitric oxide production by phagocytes and an increase in extracellular tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-10 (IL-10) and transforming growth factors β (TGF-β) levels [21]. These findings indicate that the extracellular vesicles of C. neoformans are biologically active and can stimulate macrophage function, thereby activating these phagocytic cells to enhance their antimicrobial activity. Taken together, these data suggest that fungal secretory vesicles possess the potential to influence the interaction between C. neoformans and the host cell.

Our group had described extracellular proteins in Paracoccidioides. Proteins lacking predicted signal peptides, such as enolase, have been shown to be secreted by Paracoccidioides into the extracellular medium [22]. Additionally, formamidase activity has been detected in Paracoccidioides cell-free extracts [23]. An aspartyl protease has been reported in Paracoccidioides culture supernatants [24], and a serine protease, which depicted increased levels of transcript during nitrogen starvation, has also been identified in Paracoccidioides culture supernatants, thereby indicating the potential function of this protein in fungus nitrogen acquisition [25]. The Paracoccidioides serine protease transcript is induced in yeast cells infecting murine macrophages [25] and during the incubation of yeast cells with human plasma [26], thereby suggesting that the protein plays a putative role in Paracoccidioides interaction with the host cell.

In a recent study, it has been shown that extracellular vesicles in Paracoccidioides yeast cells, Pb018, carry antigenic molecules (α-galactopyranosyl epitopes) that are recognized by the sera of PCM patients [20]. The vesicle and vesicle-free fractions were used to identify the extracellular proteome via LC/MS [27]. Eighty-five proteins were identified exclusively in the vesicle fractions compared with 140 proteins that were detected solely in the vesicle-free fractions, for which 120 sequences displayed overlap in both fractions. The authors described 75 extracellular proteins that were common to Paracoccidioides, Pb18, and at least two of the other analyzed fungal species.

In the current study, we identified the most abundant constituents of the extracellular proteome in mycelia and Paracoccidioides, Pb01, yeast cells. We also identified proteins differentially secreted by mycelia and yeast cells and investigated the influence of protein export inhibition on the phagocytic ability of macrophages in an attempt to further understand the role that extracellular proteins play in the establishment and pathogenesis of the PCM.

**Materials and Methods**

2.1. Microorganism and culturing conditions

*P. lutzii*, Pb01, (ATCC MYA-826) was used in the experiments conducted in this study. The cells were cultured in Fava Netto’s medium [0.3% (w/v) proteose peptone, 1% (w/v) peptone, 0.5% (w/v) meat extract, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 4% (w/v) glucose, and 0.5% (w/v) NaCl, pH 7.2] at 36 C and 22 C, for yeast cells and the mycelia phase, respectively. Yeast cell viability and growth were evaluated in triplicate every 6 hours. A trypan blue dye exclusion test was performed to ensure over 95% cell viability during the incubation process.

2.2. Preparation of extracellular protein extracts

The yeast and mycelia extracellular proteins were prepared by inoculating 30 μg/mL of wet weight cells in Fava Netto’s liquid medium, and the cells were maintained while shaking (200 rpm) for 24 hours at 36 C and 22 C, respectively. After incubation, the cells were removed by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4 C. The culture supernatant was sequentially filtered through 0.45 μm-pore and 0.22 μm-pore membrane filters. Culture filtrates were concentrated and subsequently washed three times with ultrapure water via ultracentrifugation through a 10-kDa molecular weight cut-off in ultracel regenerated membrane (Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The protein concentrations were determined via Bradford assay [28]. For proteomic analysis, three biological replicates of protein samples obtained from three different experiments were performed for yeast cells and mycelia. The three yeast and mycelia cell-free supernatant samples were assessed for the presence of *Paracoccidioides* DNA via PCR, which if positive indicated fungal cellular lysates in the samples as described below.

2.3. The Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) analysis

The genomic DNA isolated from *Paracoccidioides* mycelia and yeast cells was obtained according to standard protocol [29]. The PCR reactions were performed with cell-free supernatant (2 μL) and genomic DNA samples as follows: 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min. A 1622-bp PCR product was generated using sense S2 (5’-ATGGGTCCTCAAGGGATTC-3’) and antisense A2 (5’-CATCCCCCTACCTGATTC-3’) oligonucleotides for the gene encoding formamidase (GenBank accession number AY163575). The PCR amplicons were detected via 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide staining. PCR sensitivity was assessed using *Paracoccidioides* Pb01 genomic DNA (at five dilutions) as a template (50 ng to 1 pg).

2.4. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE)

For the first dimension, each sample containing 500 μg of total protein was treated with a 2D-Clean-up Kit (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The amount of protein (500 μg) was diluted to 200 μL of the final sample volume and corresponded to 30 g of wet weight cells (Section 2.2). The proteins samples were diluted in 250 μL of rehydration solution containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% (w/v) ampholyte 3–11 and trace amounts of bromophenol blue [30]. These samples were loaded onto a 13 cm Immobiline™ DryStrip gel with a pH linear range of 3–11 in a Multiphor-II Electrophoresis System (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). The samples were separated according to their isoelectric points at 20°C with a current of 50 μA/strip. The following program was applied: 30 V for 14 hours; 500 V for 500 Vh (step); 1 kV for 800 Vh (gradient); 8 kV for 11.3 kVh (gradient) and 8 kV for 2.9 kVh (step). After isoelectric focusing, the strips were equilibrated twice for 40 min in equilibration buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 30% (v/v) glycerol, 2% (w/v) SDS and 0.002% (w/v) bromophenol blue] containing 18 mM DTT and 135 mM iodoacetamide [31]. The
second dimension (SDS-PAGE) was performed with 12% polyacrylamide gels using a vertical system (GE Healthcare) and standard Tris/glycine/SDS buffer for one hour at 150 V and 250 V until the end of the run at 12 °C. The gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (PlusOne Coomassie Tablets PhastGel Blue R-350, GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.5. Image analysis

The 2-D gel images were obtained using an Image Scanner III (GE Healthcare). 2D gel spot detection, matching and intensity calculations were performed with Image Master 2D Platinum v7.0 (GE Healthcare). Three independent samples were prepared for each fungal phase to ensure reproducibility. The final set contained six gel images. Software matching between the images was performed, and the matching process was thoroughly assessed via visual inspection. The volume percentage of the spots was used for statistical calculations and the determination of overexpressed proteins.

2.6. 2D-gel statistical analysis

To compare the differences in protein expression between Paracoccidioides mycelia and yeast cells the ANOVA test was applied considering statistically significant p-value ≤0.05. To compare the proteins with multiple isoforms, the sum of the percentage of the volumes (in relation to the total proteins) of each isoform was first obtained in triplicate. Next, the sum of the percentage of volumes for the proteins was used for statistical analysis, which was performed to determine the significant differences in expression profiles between Paracoccidioides mycelia and yeast proteins. All statistical calculations were performed using the software STATISTICA version 7.0 (Statsoft Inc., 2005). The spectrometry analyses were performed on spots displaying significant alterations (≥ fold change of 2.5) between yeast and mycelia samples and spots displaying similar expression levels (common spots).

2.7. Mass spectrometry analysis

Spots of interest were manually excised and digested as previously described [32,33]. Briefly, the gel pieces were resuspended in 100 μL acetonitrile (ACN) and dried in a speed vacuum. The gel pieces were then reduced with 10 mM DTT and alkylated with 55 mM iodoacetamide. The supernatant was then removed, and the gels were washed with 100 μL ammonium bicarbonate by vortexing for 10 min. The supernatant was removed, and the gel pieces were dehydrated in 100 μL of a solution containing 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate/50% (v/v) ACN, vortexed for 5 min, and centrifuged. This step was then repeated once. Next, the gel pieces were dried in a speed vacuum and 12.5 ng/mL trypsin (sequencing grade modified trypsin, Promega, Madison, WI, USA) solution was added followed by a rehydration step performed on ice at 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was removed, 25 μL of 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate was added and the supernatant was then incubated at 37 °C for 16 hours. Following digestion, the supernatant was placed in a clean tube. Next, 50 μL 50% (v/v) ACN and 5% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were then added to the gel pieces. The samples were vortexed for 30 min, sonicated for 5 min, and the solution was then combined with the aqueous extraction above. The samples were dried in a speed vacuum, the peptides were solubilized in 10 μL ultrapure water, and the samples were subsequently purified in ZipTip® Pipette Tips (ZipTips® C18 Pipette Tips, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Two microliters of each peptide sample were deposited onto a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-Q-TOF MS) target plate and dried at room temperature. Next, the peptide mixture was covered with 2 μL of matrix solution (10 mg/ml α-cyano-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid matrix in 50% (v/v) ACN and 5% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid). The mass spectra were recorded in the positive reflection mode on a MALDI-Q-TOF mass spectrometer (SYNAPT, Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK).

The search against the NCBI non-redundant database using the MS/MS data was performed using Mascot software v. 2.4 (http://www.matrixscience.com) (Matrix Science, Boston, USA). The Mascot MS/MS ion search parameters were as follows: tryptic peptides with one missed cleavage allowed; fungi taxonomic restrictions; fixed modifications; carbamidomethylation of Cys residues; variable modifications: oxidation of methionine; and an MS/MS tolerance of 0.6 Da. The identified proteins were described in functional categories according to the MIPS Functional Catalogue Database (http://fsd.riceblast.snu.ac.kr).

For the identification of the MS spectra using the NCBI database, we included the analysis of post-translational modifications (PTMs) for multiple identified proteins/isofoms. We included variable modifications in the search as follows: the acetylation of lysine and the phosphorylation of serine/tyrosine/tryptophan. All proteins/isofoms that presented matches with predicted modified peptides were selected for manual spectral analysis.

2.8. In silico analyses

A number of programs available online were used for the characterization of the identified extracellular proteins. The identified proteins were analyzed using SignalP 3.0 software (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) for the prediction of signal peptides. For cases when the signal sequence was detected, the protein was considered to be secreted via a classical pathway. For the prediction of secreted proteins by non-classical pathways, the software SecretomeP 2.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP/) was employed. Using the prediction methods, a score ranging between 0 and 1 was assigned to each protein in which a score equal or higher than 0.5 was considered indicative of secretion.

The Fungal Secretome Database (FSD) (http://fsd.riceblast.snu.ac.kr) was used to analyze our results. The FSD provides a summary of putative secretory proteins by in silico analysis based on prediction programs for 158 fungal/oomycete genomes, including Paracoccidioides Pb901 [34]. Each protein sequence identified in this study was also analyzed for adhesin function using the Faapred web server (http://bioinfo.igebr.res.in/iaap/query.html) and for GPI anchor properties using big-PI Fungal Predictor software (http://mendel.imp.univie.ac.at/gpi/fungi/gpi_fungi.htm). The Faapred web server allows for the prediction of adhesins in the fungal proteomes using an accurate method with scores higher than or equal to 0.8 [35]. The big-PI Fungal Predictor is a sensitive prediction tool used for the recognition of C-terminal motifs capable of GPI lipid anchoring in fungal sequences [36].

The ORF sequences of the identified proteins of Paracoccidioides Pb901 yeast cells were submitted for Blast analysis using the NCBI website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) against orthologues previously reported for Paracoccidioides Pb18 [27] as well the secretomes of the pathogenic fungi Histoplasma capsulatum [10,18], Cryptococcus neoformans [19] and Aspergillus fumigatus [37].

2.9. Enzymatic activity assay

To determine the activity of formamidase (FMD), ammonia formation was assessed as previously described [23]. Protein extracts were obtained as described in Section 2.2. Next, 1 μg of
total protein extract was added to 200 µL of 100 mM formamide substrate solution in 100 mM phosphate buffer containing 10 mM EDTA, pH 7.4. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Next, 400 µL phenol-nitroprusside and 400 µL alkaline hypochlorite were added to the samples. The samples were then incubated for 6 min at 50°C, and the absorbance was measured at 625 nm. The amount of ammonia released for each sample was determined using a standard curve. One unit (U) of FMD activity was defined as the amount of enzyme required to hydrolyze 1 µmol formamide per mg total protein per minute (µmol/mg/min).

The levels of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity were measured using commercially available kits (Superoxide dismutase Assay Kit and Glutathione-S-Transferase (GST) Assay Kit, Sigma-Aldrich, Co., St. Louis, MO, respectively). The SOD Assay Kit includes Dojindo’s highly water-soluble tetrazolium salt (WST-1), which produces a water-soluble formazan (WST-1 formazan) dye upon reduction with a superoxide anion. The reaction product (WST-1 formazan) absorbs at 440 nm, and it is proportional to the amount of superoxide anion. The levels of SOD activity, which were defined as inhibition rate %, were quantified by measuring the decrease in the color development at 440 nm. The GST Assay Kit employs 1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) to produce GS-DNB by conjugation of the thiol group of glutathione (GSH). The reaction product (GS-DNB) absorbs at 340 nm, and the rate of increase in the absorption is directly proportional to the GST activity of the sample. The GST-specific activity is defined as µmol of GS-DNB per mg of total protein per minute (µmol/mg/min). The enzymatic activity results represent the mean of three independent determinations, and statistical comparisons were performed using the Student’s t test. The samples with p-values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

2.10. Brefeldin A treatments and Paracoccidioides internalization by macrophages

Brefeldin A (BFA, Sigma), was used to evaluate the role of conventional protein secretion on Paracoccidioides internalization by macrophages. Stock solutions (1 mg/mL) of Brefeldin A (BFA) were prepared in methanol and stored at −20°C until use. The yeast cells were cultivated in Fava Netto’s liquid medium in either the absence or presence of BFA (6 µg/mL) for 6, 12 and 24 hours. The cell-free supernatant samples were obtained as described above, reduced to an equal final volume (1 mL), and processed for one-dimensional electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Next, 30 µL of each cell-free supernatant sample was loaded onto a 12% SDS PAGE gel, and the proteins were separated via electrophoresis. The gels were run at 150 V for approximately 2 hours and visualized using Coomassie brilliant blue staining.

Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMM) were obtained as previously described from 6 to 8-week-old BALB/c male mice [38]. The macrophages were generated by extracting bone marrow cells from the femurs of BALB/c male mice, and the cell cultures were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 in RPMI medium (RPMI 1640, Vitrocell, Brazil) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and GM-CSF (Recombinant Murine Granulocyte Macrophage Colony Stimulating Factor, PeproTech-Brasil FUNPEC, Brazil) at 10 ng/mL for 8–10 days, which prompts differentiated macrophages to adhere to the plastic-bottom plates.

To determine the number of adhered/internalized fungi cells by BMM, the differentiated macrophages were quantified and plated at 5x10^5 cells per well on glass coverslips in 6-well culture plates and infected with Paracoccidioides yeast cells at a 1:5 ratio macrophage: yeast. The cells were co-cultivated for 12 hours at 37°C in 5% CO2 to allow for fungi adhesion and/or internalization. The supernatants were then aspirated, the monolayer was gently washed twice with PBS 1X to remove any non-adhered/internalized yeast cells, and the samples were processed for microscopy. The glass coverslips were fixed with methanol and stained with Giemsa (Sigma). A total of 200 macrophages were quantified to determine the average number of adhered/internalized fungal cells [39].

The number of viable fungi after co-cultivation with macrophages was determined by quantifying the number of colony forming units (CFUs). The cells were rinsed twice with PBS 1X to remove any non-internalized yeast cells. The wells were washed with distilled water to promote macrophages lysis, and the suspensions were collected in individual tubes. Fifty microliters of cell homogenate was plated in BHI medium supplemented with 5% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 15 days. The number of CFUs was expressed as the mean value ± the standard deviation. A portion of this macrophage homogenate was processed for immunoblot analysis. The experiments were performed in triplicate, and the statistical analyses were performed using the Student’s t test.

BFA treatment was performed to evaluate the role of extracellular proteins in the adhesion/internalization of Paracoccidioides by macrophages. Paracoccidioides yeast cells were cultivated in Fava Netto’s liquid medium in either the absence (control) or presence of BFA (6 µg/mL) for 24 hours prior to the infection of macrophages. The effect of Brefeldin on macrophages was evaluated by adding BFA posteriorly to the co-culture of macrophages with fungal cells (not in the preliminary culture of Paracoccidioides cells) as an additional control (data not show). To test the biological activity of the secreted proteins released by Paracoccidioides yeast cells, we evaluated whether the addition of concentrated culture supernatant influenced the adhesion/internalization of Paracoccidioides by macrophages. We added 30 µL of extracellular protein extract of Paracoccidioides yeast cells (2 µg/µL) to the macrophage and fungal cell co-culture. The number of adhered/internalized yeast cells by BMM and the survival rate (viable fungi after co-cultivation) were determined. The results were compared with the control (absence of extracellular proteins and BFA). All animal work was conducted in accordance with the international rules for animal experimentation. The animal protocol was approved by the Universidade Federal de Goiás ethical committee of animal treatment (Number: 192/2011).

2.11. Sample preparation and nanoUPLC-MS acquisition

In vitro cultured macrophages were infected with Paracoccidioides Pd01 yeast cells, and co-cultivated for 12 hours at 37°C in 5% CO2. The infected and non-infected macrophages (BMM) were incubated in distilled water to promote macrophages lysis and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 5 min to separate the macrophage cytosol from the pellet containing host nuclei, cytoskeleton, and Paracoccidioides yeast cells. The macrophage lysate supernatant was sequentially filtered through a 0.22 µm-pore-size membrane filter, washed three times with ultrapure water by ultracentrifugation using a 10-kDa molecular weight cut off in ultracel regenerated membrane (Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA), and concentrated to a final volume of 1 mL. The protein concentrations were determined via Bradford assay [28].

To analyze the expression profile of the Paracoccidioides secreted proteins with in vitro cultured macrophages, the samples (obtained as described above) were analyzed using nanoscale liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. Sample aliquots (100 µg) were prepared for nanoLC-MS/MS as previously described [40]. The digested peptides were further separated...
via nanoUPLC-MS and analyzed using a nanoACQUITY™ system (Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK). The MS data obtained via UPLC-MS were processed and examined using the ProteinLynx Global Server (PLGS) version 2.4 (Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK). For protein identification and quantification level analysis, the observed intensity measurements were normalized with the identified peptides of the digested internal standard.

2.12. Immunoblot analysis of proteins secreted by Paracoccidioides in macrophages

For immunoblot analysis, the same samples used for nanoUPLC mass spectrometry analysis were probed with triosephosphate isomerase and enolase antibodies [22,41]. Thirty micrograms of protein sample was loaded onto a 12% SDS-PAGE gel and separated by electrophoresis. The gels were run at 150 V for approximately 2 hours. The proteins were transferred from the gels to nitrocellulose membranes at 30 V for 16 h in a buffer containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 190 mM glycine and 20% (v/v) methanol. The gels were stained with Ponceau red to verify complete protein transfer. Next, each membrane was incubated in blocking buffer (1X PBS, 1X PBS and 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20) for 2 h. The membranes were washed with buffer (1X PBS and 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20) and incubated with primary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. The primary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1 antibody/40 000 buffer (v/v). The primary polyclonal antibody employed was either anti-enolase [22] or anti-triosephosphate isomerase [41]. The membranes were then washed in blocking buffer three ×15 min. The membranes were incubated with the appropriate conjugated secondary antibody, either a horseradish-peroxidase conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG, at a 1/5000 (v/v) ratio, and the blots were developed using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (ECL, GE Healthcare).

Results

3.1. Validation of the extracellular protein extraction method

Viability analysis was performed with the yeast cells. Using trypan blue staining, we observed yeast cell viability over 95% during a 24-h cultivation in liquid medium (Figure 1A). To standardize sample acquiring, PCR analysis was performed to assess for the Paracoccidioides formamidase gene. The sensitivity of the PCR assay was assessed using FMD specific primers with a serial dilution of the genomic DNA sample (ranging from 50 ng to 1 pg). The smallest quantity of DNA that was amplified by PCR was 5 pg (Figure IC, lane 4). This result implies that technique is able to amplify the FMD gene with only 5 pg DNA present in the cell-free supernatant. As shown in Figure 1D, the FMD gene was not detected in the yeast and mycelia cell-free supernatants. These data both contribute to the hypothesis that the cell lyses failed to influence the extracellular protein profiles of the extracts obtained for proteomic analysis and validate the extraction method of extracellular proteins expressed by Paracoccidioides. Additionally, none of the secreted had motifs for GPI-anchor (data not shown), as evidenced by in silico, suggesting that the presence of the proteins in the secretome was not due to the accidental release from the fungal surface.

3.2. Analysis of secreted proteins in Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells and mycelia

In this study, we applied a proteomic strategy to identify proteins constitutively secreted by Paracoccidioides Pb01 and analyzed the proteins preferentially secreted by yeast cells and mycelia. Figure 2 depicts the representative two-dimensional gel of both phases performed in biological triplicates. Proteins were distributed in a molecular mass range from 14.10 to 105.25 kDa and an experimental pI value ranging from 3.76 to 10.18 was observed. The figure displays the presence of constitutive and differentially expressed proteins in both secretomes.

Figure 3 depicts the graphic summation of the proteomic analysis, which revealed an average of 356 spots for the mycelia and 388 spots for the yeast secretome, which were matched and assessed for statistical significance using an ANOVA test to compare the differences in protein expression between the two fungal phases. After performing the statistical analysis, it was determined that 123 and 146 spots (i.e., proteins) were differentially secreted by mycelia and yeast cells, respectively (Figure 3A).

Coomassie G-250 Blue Stained maps were used for MS identification of the individual protein spots. A total of 160 proteins/spots were identified unambiguously (displayed in Table S1), which displays all proteins and isoforms identified in the secretome analysis. The identified proteins/isoforms were analyzed using several prediction programs. While not all secreted proteins/isoforms have canonical signal peptides, 20 of the 160 (12.5%) contained a potential N-terminal secretion signal (Mean S score ≥0.5, Table S1). The proteins/isoforms that presented the signal peptide consensus included enzymes such as glycosyl hydrolase, beta glucosidase, enoyl-CoA hydratase, aminopeptidase and disulfide isomerase. Using the Secretome P algorithm, 84 of 160 (52.5%) proteins/isoforms were predicted to be secreted molecules, including aconitase, enolase, fructose-biphosphate aldolase, 2-methylcitrate synthase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, dipeptidyl-peptidase, thioesterase-like proteins and peroxisomal catalase.

Regarding the proteins identified in the secretomes, fungal adhesion prediction analysis using the Faapred web server revealed that approximately 21% of the Paracoccidioides secretome (33 proteins/isoforms) was predicted to be adhesin-like proteins, such as enolase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and triosephosphate isomerase (Table S1). All identified proteins/isoforms were grouped into Enzyme Classification (EC) according to guidelines of the Nomenclature Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (NC-IUBMB) as listed in Table S1. More than half of the Paracoccidioides Pb01 secretome comprises enzyme-like proteins (64%), and the most prevalent enzyme classes found were oxidoreductases (17%), transferases (17%), and hydrolases (14%) as depicted in Figure S1.

3.3. Constitutive proteins in the secretome of Paracoccidioides Pb01

In this report, we described the proteins constitutively present in the secretome of Paracoccidioides Pb01 mycelia and yeast cells. For this analysis, the isoforms of each protein were combined to perform the statistical analysis. Twenty-eight proteins were constitutive to mycelia and yeast secretomes (Figure 3B). Most of these proteins were grouped into the functional category of metabolism, energy and protein fate. The enzymes in the energy category, including enolase, fructose-biphosphate aldolase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and phosphoglycerate kinase, were abundant in both secretomes as depicted in Table 1.
3.4. Extracellular proteins upregulated in *Paracoccidioides Pb01* mycelia

A comparative analysis was performed between the extracellular protein profiles of mycelia and yeast cells. Based on protein categorization, 30 proteins were preferentially secreted by mycelia compared with yeast cells (Figure 3B and Table 2). The proteins identified are implicated in a variety of biological processes, such as cell rescue, cell defense, cell virulence, metabolism, energy, cell cycle, DNA processing, protein fate and protein synthesis (Table 2). Proteins that play a role in cell rescue, defense and virulence include the heat shock protein SSC1, disulfide isomerase, glutathione reductase, peroxisomal catalase, thioredoxin reductase and the TCTP family of proteins. Enzymes involved in the metabolism of amino acids were abundant in the mycelia secretome. Some of the proteins expressed in the mycelia secretome were significantly upregulated compared with the yeast cells, including the Cofilin/tropomyosin-type actin-binding family of proteins (fold change of 1911.74), peroxisomal catalase (fold change of 61.29), glutamate carboxypeptidase (fold change of 51.03), aconitase (fold change of 51.03), acinetase (fold change of 51.03), acinetase (fold change of 51.03), and heat shock protein SSC1 (fold change of 35.47) (Table 2). Interestingly, several proteins were detected exclusively in the mycelia secretome, including detoxification proteins such as thioredoxin reductase and disulfide-isomerase tigA, proteins that play a role in the metabolism of amino acids, and proteins involved in energy production. The proteins expressed exclusively in the secretome of mycelia (compared with the yeast phase) are summarized in Table S2.

3.5. Extracellular proteins upregulated in *Paracoccidioides Pb01* yeast cells

When comparing the secretome of mycelia and yeast cells, 24 proteins were identified that are preferentially secreted by yeast cells into the extracellular environment as depicted in Table 3 and Figure 3B. The differentially expressed proteins displayed fold changes ranging from 2.72 to 70672.37 when compared with mycelia. Most of these proteins play a role in cell rescue, cell defense, cell virulence, cell cycle, DNA processing, and energy production (Table 3). The proteins that play a role in cell rescue, defense and virulence, including heat shock protein 60 (fold change of 6.20), Hsp90 binding co-chaperone (fold change of 20.97), disulfide isomerase Pdi1 (fold change of 5.76), superoxide dismutase (fold change of 2.72), thioredoxin-like protein (fold change of 8.40) and hsp70-like protein (fold change of 7.91), were more abundant in the yeast secretome. The 2-methylcitrate synthase protein was significantly expressed in the yeast phase secretome with a fold change of 70672. Interestingly, several proteins were detected exclusively in the yeast phase, including glutathione S-transferase, energy production-associated enzymes such as pyruvate kinase and phosphoglycerate mutase, proteins that play a role in cell cycle and DNA processing, and proteins involved in cellular transport such as the vesicular-fusion protein, SEC17. The proteins expressed exclusively in the yeast...
secretome (compared with the mycelia) are summarized in Table S2.

3.6. Enzymatic activity correlates with proteomic data

To validate the significance of the proteomic results, enzymatic assays were performed with extracellular extracts for formamidase (FMD), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione S-transferase (GST). The differences observed with the 2D-gel analysis correlate with the enzymatic assays (Figure 4). The protein levels and enzymatic activity of FMD was increased in the mycelia secretome compared with the yeast phase as depicted in Table 2 and Figure 4, panel A. SOD and GST presented higher levels of activity in the yeast parasitic phase (Table 3 and Figure 4, panels B and C, respectively). For all the analyzed enzymes, the activity levels correlated with the proteomics data (Tables 2 and 3).

3.7. Protein isoforms and post-translational modifications

The 160 proteins/isoforms identified in the secretome of Paracoccidioides Pb01 are encoded by 86 different genes. Protein isoforms were identified for 37 of these gene products as depicted in Table S1. This observation suggests that many secreted proteins undergo post-translational modification. Table S3 presents the predicted post-translational modifications for the proteins identified in the mycelia and yeast secretomes. Hsp-70 like protein, heat shock SSC1, and 2-methylcitrate synthase represent the molecules displaying the highest number of identified isoforms (seven, eight and nine, respectively). The presence of the same protein displaying differential relative migration with 2D analysis may be associated with post-translational modification (PTM). To predict the putative PTMs, which explain the high isoform frequency, the most common S-T phosphorylation and lysine acetylation was included as a variable modification in the MASCOT search. The predicted PTMs were confirmed via manual spectral analysis as previously described [33]. In spite of the limitations associated with the MASCOT search for the PTM study, this strategy has been used previously to perform such analysis in organisms [42]. We observed an increase in peptide matches and sequence coverage when using those search criteria. Table S3 presents the predicted post-translational modifications for proteins in the mycelia and yeast secretomes. A total of 116 isoforms were analyzed using such criteria, and peptides displaying phosphorylation, acetylation or both PTMs were detected for 44 isoforms (Table S3). Serine/threonine phosphorylation was observed for 31 isoforms, while acetylation was observed for 30 isoforms (Table S4). The spectral analysis of isoform 46 of the heat shock protein, SSC1, revealed twelve phosphorylation and six acetylation sites. Many isoforms identified in the secretome displayed similar molecular weights and different pI shifts. In contrast, a series of isoforms differed in both molecular weight and pI. This observation may be due to other PTMs, such as glycosylation, which were not analyzed because of the high complexity spectrum of the structures that glycan branches assume [43]. Further studies using analytical tools more appropriate for PTM analysis are required to confirm these putative findings.

3.8. Conventional and Non-conventional protein secretion by Paracoccidioides

The in silico analysis indicated that 65% of the Paracoccidioides secretome included proteins/isoforms with known classical or non-classical secretion signals and that are actively exported to the extracellular space. Using the SignalP program, we found that 12.5% of the extracellular proteins/isoforms displayed putative signal peptide sequences (Table S1). In contrast, 52.5% of the identified extracellular proteins/isoforms were predicted, using the SecretomeP program, to be secreted by mycelia and yeast via a...
non-classical mechanism. Proteins/isoforms that were not predicted to be secreted by yeast and mycelia represented 56 of the 160 identified spots (35%) (Table S1). These data are in agreement with those reported by the Fungal Secretome Database (FSD), which describes that 58% of the 9,136 proteins expressed by the Paracoccidioides Pb01 genome are predicted to be Class N8 (non-classically secreted), while 14% display a classical secretion signal (Class SP) and 28% of the proteins have not been classified (Figure S2).

3.9. Blocking canonical protein secretion in Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells influences fungal adhesion/internalization by macrophages

Experiments were performed to investigate the effect of protein secretion on the adhesion/internalization of Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells by macrophages. As demonstrated in Figure 1A, yeast cell viability was over 90% during 24-hour incubation in the presence of the protein secretion inhibitor, Brefeldin A (BFA). Additionally, the growth rate of yeast cells in the presence of BFA was not altered during the incubation as depicted in Figure 1B. The yeast cell protein profile upon incubation with BFA was analyzed via SDS-PAGE using equal volumes of each extract (30 μL). As depicted in Figure 5A, BFA reduced the levels of proteins secreted by yeast cells starting from 12 hours with a stronger effect after 24 hours of incubation. Therefore, treatment with BFA at 24 hours was used for the adhesion/internalization experiments involving Paracoccidioides Pb01 and macrophages.

The number of internalized/adhered Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells by macrophages was significantly decreased after yeast treatment with BFA for 24 hours compared with the control as shown in Figure 5, panel B. Additionally, the yeast cell treatment with BFA resulted in a decreased recovery of viable yeast cells in macrophages, (Figure 5, panel C). This finding reflects the consequence of the decrease in the number of internalized/adhered Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells by macrophages with the addition of BFA, thereby suggesting that secretion inhibition decreases the association of macrophages with yeast cells. In contrast, the addition of concentrated culture supernatant containing Paracoccidioides extracellular proteins resulted in an increase in the number of internalized/adhered fungal cells by macrophages, as well as in increase in the recovery of viable yeast cells into macrophages (Figure 5, panels B and C, respectively).

The Brefeldin’s effect on macrophages, which was evaluated by adding BFA to the co-cultivation, failed to cause a significant difference in the average number of internalization/adherence yeast cells by macrophages (data not shown). Figure S3 depicts microscopy analysis of Paracoccidioides adhesion and internalization by macrophages, which was used to determine the average number of internalized/adhered fungi cells in the control (panels A and B), in the presence of BFA (panels C and D) and in the presence of concentrated culture supernatant (panels E and F). The BFA treatment reduced the number of yeast cells adhered/internalized by macrophages, while the addition of extracellular proteins increased the rate of phagocytosis.

3.10. Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cell secreted proteins identified in macrophages

A nanoLC-MS/MS-based proteomics approach was employed to identify Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cell secreted proteins in vitro cultured macrophages. A total of 18 Paracoccidioides Pb01 proteins were identified in the cytoplasm of infected macrophages (Table 4), including enolase, heat shock proteins, translation elongation factors, DNA damage checkpoint proteins, and peptidyl-propyl cis-trans isomerase D. No Paracoccidioides Pb01 proteins were identified in the negative control.

3.11. Immunoblot analysis of macrophage lysates

We aimed to determine whether Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cell secreted proteins were constituents of the extracellular proteome during infection in cultured macrophages by examining the secretion of candidate proteins via immunoblot analysis. The immunoblot analysis of macrophage lysates revealed that Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells secrete enolase (PbEno) and triosephosphate isomerase (PbTpi) in infected macrophages (Figure Supplementary 4, line 2, panels A and B, respectively). The pre-incubation of Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells with Brefeldin A, a protein secretion inhibitor, displayed a lack of PbEno and PbTpi expression in macrophages (Figure Supplementary 4, line 1, panels A and B, respectively). This finding is most likely due to the reduced number of internalized/adhered Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells by macrophages during BFA treatment as
Table 1. Constitutive proteins secreted by *Paracoccidioides Pb01* yeast and mycelia.

| General Information number (NCBI) | Protein description                          | Number of isoforms in *Paracoccidioides* secretome | Amount of isoform abundances | ANOVA (p-value) | SignalP Score ≥0.5 | SecretomeP Score ≥0.5 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 1. CELL RESCUE, DEFENSE and VIRULENCE |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295659787 heat shock protein Hsp88 | 1                                               | 1.24                                             | 0.3645                      | NO              | NO                 |
| gi|295659837 heat shock protein SSB1 | 1                                               | 2.77                                             | 0.0779                      | NO              | 0.8618             |
| gi|295669402 Mn superoxide dismutase  | 1                                               | 1.82                                             | 0.3780                      | NO              | 0.8823             |
| 2. METABOLISM                     |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| 2.1. Amino Acid Metabolism         |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295667902 aminomethyltransferase   | 1                                               | 1.52                                             | 0.2302                      | NO              | 0.6367             |
| gi|295658698 fumarylacetoacetase      | 4                                               | 1.50                                             | 0.7047                      | NO              | 0.7492             |
| gi|295658947 O-acetylhomoserine (thiol)-lyase | 1                                               | 1.00                                             | 0.9268                      | NO              | 0.7930             |
| gi|225683737 spermidine synthase      | 1                                               | 1.93                                             | 0.0864                      | NO              | NO                 |
| 2.2. Nucleotide Metabolism         |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295674697 adenosine kinase         | 2                                               | 0.21                                             | 0.0646                      | NO              | NO                 |
| 2.3. Secondary Metabolism          |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295666938 nucleoside diphosphate kinase | 1                                               | 1.01                                             | 0.5725                      | NO              | NO                 |
| 2.4. Phosphate Metabolism          |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295662360 mannitol-1-phosphate 5-dehydrogenase | 3                                               | 0.42                                             | 0.0766                      | NO              | NO                 |
| 2.5. C-Compound and Carbohydrate Metabolism |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295677990 beta-glucosidase         | 1                                               | 1.63                                             | 0.2116                      | 0.999           | 0.8279             |
| gi|295663469 glycosyl hydrolase       | 1                                               | 1.77                                             | 0.1350                      | 0.996           | 0.8631             |
| gi|295665168 TOS1                    | 1                                               | 1.00                                             | 0.7772                      | 0.999           | 0.9249             |
| 3. ENERGY                         |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| 3.1. Glycolysis and Gluconeogenesis |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295672732 enolase                  | 4                                               | 0.67                                             | 0.0832                      | NO              | 0.5000             |
| gi|295671120 fructose-bisphosphate aldolase | 5                                               | 398.84                                           | 0.0549                      | NO              | 0.6628             |
| gi|295658119 glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | 2                                               | 0.76                                             | 0.4655                      | NO              | 0.9120             |
| gi|295669690 phosphoglycerate kinase | 1                                               | 1.05                                             | 0.3639                      | NO              | 0.6626             |
| gi|225678203 NmsA-like family protein | 1                                               | 1.01                                             | 0.5624                      | NO              | 0.5898             |
| 3.3. Tricarboxylic-acid Pathway    |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295673937 malate dehydrogenase     | 1                                               | 1.36                                             | 0.0834                      | 0.764           | 0.8163             |
| gi|295668473 dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase | 3                                               | 17.92                                            | 0.0541                      | 0.571           | NO                 |
| 4. CELL CYCLE AND DNA PROCESSING  |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295664474 cell division cycle protein | 1                                               | 1.24                                             | 0.2116                      | NO              | NO                 |
| gi|295658863 Cofilin/tropomyosin-type actin-binding family protein | 2                                               | 1.91                                             | 0.0093                      | NO              | NO                 |
| gi|295672736 DNA damage checkpoint protein rad24 | 2                                               | 0.86                                             | 0.0693                      | NO              | NO                 |
| 5. PROTEIN FATE (folding, modification, destination) |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295663907 peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A2 | 1                                               | 1.06                                             | 0.4941                      | 0.975           | 0.8963             |
| gi|295672668 peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase B | 2                                               | 191.86                                           | 0.0920                      | 0.928           | NO                 |
| gi|295662699 peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase cypE | 1                                               | 1.12                                             | 0.2723                      | NO              | 0.8712             |
| gi|295672447 peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase H | 3                                               | 144.1                                            | 0.0755                      | NO              | 0.7266             |
| gi|295665666 Grp1p protein           | 1                                               | 1.06                                             | 0.6021                      | NO              | 0.9061             |
| 6. UNCLASSIFIED PROTEINS          |                                               |                                                     |                              |                 |                   |                      |
| gi|295667926 conserved protein        | 1                                               | 1.01                                             | 0.7060                      | 0.972           | 0.9280             |
showed in Figure S3. The negative control represents the non-infected macrophage lysate which indicated that those antibodies did not target mouse proteins (Figure Suplementary 4, line 3).

3.12. Comparative analysis between Paracoccidioides Pb01 extracellular proteins with orthologue proteins found in pathogenic fungi secretomes

We compared the ORFs sequences of the extracellular proteins identified in Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells with the orthologues previously reported in the secretome of Paracoccidioides Pb18 [27], H. capsulatum [10,18], C. neoformans [19] and A. fumigatus [37] as demonstrated in Table S5 and Figure S5. P. brasiliensis (Pb18) and P. luizii (Pb01) displayed specific variations in the yeast phase secretome. A total of 63 proteins/isoforms detected in the secretome of yeast cells in Pb01 (37%) have also been described for the secretome of Pb18. Among the common proteins, several enzymes were identified including those involved in the metabolism of carbohydrates and lipids and heat shock proteins. Additionally, 47 proteins/isoforms, from a total of 110, were not described for the secretome of Pb01 extracellular proteins with orthologue proteins in orthologues to Pb01. A total of 28 proteins, which correspond to 47 isoforms (29.37% of the total identified proteins/isoforms) were described as constitutively (no difference in protein levels) secreted by Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast and mycelia. This group includes proteins that are involved in cellular processes such stress response, metabolism, energy and protein fate. Two heat shock proteins/isoforms were constitutively secreted by mycelia and yeast cells. Heat shock proteins have also been reported to be involved in the increase of the export of proteins via non-classical mechanisms in eukaryotic cells [44–46]. Therefore, we hypothesize that heat shock proteins may be involved in protein exportation by Paracoccidioides.

We analyzed the Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells and mycelia secretomes and performed a comparative analysis of the changes in protein profiles between the two phases. In the present study, without any enrichment strategies, 118 and 110 protein/isoforms (Table S1) were detected in Paracoccidioides mycelia and yeast, respectively. The proteomic analysis resulted in the identification of 160 non-redundant proteins/isoforms, of which 98 are differentially expressed in the two phases.

Formamidase was found to be preferentially secreted by mycelia. This result was consistent with the enzymatic activity assay results, as formamidase activity was significantly higher in mycelia compared with yeast cells. Formamidase was also detected in H. capsulatum extracellular vesicles [19]. Proteins involved in amino acid metabolism, such as serine hydroxymethyl transferase, were preferentially secreted by mycelia. In microorganisms [47] and plants [48], this enzyme plays an important role in conferring tolerance to salinity stress by generating amino acids, such as serine, and ammonium quaternary compounds, such as glycine betaine. These molecules protect secreted proteins and other molecules against salinity stress by acting as non-enzymatic chaperones [48]. In Paracoccidioides, this enzyme may play role in the protection against environmental stress during the mycelia phase.

Additionally, proteins that play a role in cell defense, such as peroxisomal catalase, glutathione reductase, thioredoxin reductase and translationally-controlled tumor protein (TCTP), were identified in the Paracoccidioides mycelia secretome. The increased secretion of enzymes involved in cell defense may reflect a need for increased protection against stress. The mycelia phase of Paracoccidioides occurs in the soil and can be exposed to many environmental stresses, such as high temperature and solar radiation and reactive oxygen species that can emerge from external sources [49]. Additionally, reports have implicated the involvement of TCTP in the prevention of cell death by modulating the anti-apoptotic activity of Mcl-1 [50]. The results

| Number of isoforms in Paracoccidioides Pb01 secretome | Amount of isoform abundances | ANOVA (p-value) | SignalP Score ≥0.5 | SecretomeP Score ≥0.5 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 1                                                     | 1.00                        | 0.7950          | NO                | 0.8050               |

1. NCBI database general information number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).
2. Number of identified isoforms of proteins in Paracoccidioides Pb01 secretome.
3. The average of amount of values of abundances of all identified isoforms used to statistical test.
4. ANOVA – statistically significant differences are considered with $p<0.05$ ($^*$).
5. Secretion prediction according to Signal P 3.0 server, the number corresponds to signal peptide probability (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/).
6. Secretion prediction according to Secretome P 2.0 server, the number corresponds to neural network that exceeded a value of 0.5 (NN-score ≥0.50) (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP/).
7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052470.t001
Table 2. Preferentially secreted proteins by *Paracoccidioides Pb*01 mycelia.

| General Information number (NCBI) 1 | Protein description | Number of isoforms in mycelia 2 | Amount of isoform abundances 3 | ratio of M/Y abundance 4 | ANOVA (p-value) 5 | SignalP® Score ≥0.5 6 | SecretomeP® Score ≥0.5 7 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. CELL RESCUE, DEFENSE and VIRULENCE | gij/295671569 heat shock protein SSC1 | 6 | 8.87 | 35.47 | 0.0171* | NO | 0.6791 |
| | gij/295670457 disulfide-isomerase tigA | 1 | 0.07 | ** | NO | NO |
| | gij/295664202 glutathione reductase | 4 | 0.87 | 4.98 | 0.0121* | NO | NO |
| | gij/225681400 peroxisomal catalase | 2 | 0.28 | 61.29 | 0.0181* | NO | 0.9389 |
| | gij/295661107 thioredoxin reductase | 1 | 1.47 | ** | NO | 0.966 |
| | gij/29566848 TCTP family protein | 1 | 0.08 | ** | NO | NO |
| 2. METABOLISM | | | | | | | |
| 2.1. Amino Acid Metabolism | gij/295671621 choline dehydrogenase | 1 | 0.09 | ** | NO | NO |
| | gij/295659538 Cobalamin-independent methionine synthase | 1 | 0.04 | ** | NO | NO |
| | gij/295664250 histidine biosynthesis trifunctional protein | 1 | 0.32 | ** | NO | 0.6345 |
| | gij/295661139 methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase | 2 | 0.41 | ** | NO | 0.8078 |
| | gij/295659992 serine hydroxymethyltransferase | 1 | 0.15 | ** | NO | NO |
| 2.2. Nucleotide Metabolism | gij/29568873 phosphoribosylamine-glycine ligase | 1 | 0.14 | ** | NO | NO |
| 2.3. C-Compound and Carbohydrate Metabolism | gij/29565123 aldehyde dehydrogenase | 1 | 0.03 | ** | NO | NO |
| 2.4. Nitrogen metabolism | gij/295668479 formamidase | 5 | 1.60 | 4.59 | 0.0033* | NO | NO |
| 3. ENERGY | | | | | | | |
| 3.1. Glycolysis and Gluconeogenesis | gij/295659988 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate -independent phosphoglycerate mutase | 1 | 1.20 | ** | NO | 0.6367 |
| | gij/295670663 triosephosphate isomerase | 1 | 1.52 | 2.52 | 0.0132* | NO | NO |
| 3.2. Oxidation of fatty acids | gij/29569859 acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase | 2 | 0.29 | 6.47 | 0.0477* | NO | 0.8393 |
| | gij/295670934 electron transfer flavoprotein-ubiquinone oxidoreductase | 1 | 0.10 | ** | NO | 0.8751 |
| 3.3. Tricarboxylic-acid Pathway | gij/29564721 aconitase | 3 | 1.82 | 56.98 | 0.0064* | NO | 0.6667 |
| 3.4. Pentose-phosphate Pathway | gij/29566886 transaldolase | 2 | 0.53 | ** | NO | NO |
| | gij/29563567 6-phosphogluconolactonase | 2 | 0.25 | 5.30 | 0.2191* | NO | 0.6288 |
| 4. CELL CYCLE AND DNA PROCESSING | | | | | | | |


| General Information number (NCBI) | Protein description | Number of isoforms in mycelia | Amount of isoform abundances | ratio of M/Y abundance | ANOVA (p-value) | SignalP Score ≥ 0.5 | SecretomeP Score ≥ 0.5 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| gi|29565863 | Cofilin/tropomyosin-type actin-binding family protein | 2 | 18.754 | 1911.74 | 0.0076* | NO | NO |
| gi|295672500 | aminopeptidase | 1 | 0.33 | ** | 0.996 | 0.9401 |
| gi|295657201 | glutamate carboxypeptidase | 2 | 0.79 | 51.03 | 0.0199* | NO | 0.7370 |
| gi|295658437 | mitochondrial-processing peptidase subunit alpha | 1 | 0.09 | ** | NO | 0.6031 |
| gi|295668481 | peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase D | 1 | 0.28 | 10.46 | 0.0325* | NO | 0.8640 |
| gi|295674311 | eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A | 1 | 0.38 | 5.15 | 0.0092* | NO | NO |
| gi|295673019 | elongation factor 2 | 1 | 2.54 | ** | NO | NO |
| gi|295668925 | elongation factor 1-gamma 1 | 1 | 0.19 | ** | NO | 0.9067 |
| gi|295658312 | L-PSP endonuclease family protein | 1 | 2.02 | ** | NO | NO |

1. NCBI database general information number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).
2. Number of identified isoforms of protein in Paracoccidioides, Pb01 mycelia phase secretome.
3. The average of amount of values of abundances of all identified isoforms used to statistical test.
4. The ratio of M to Y abundance in Paracoccidioides secretome.
5. ANOVA – statistically significant differences are considered with p<0.05 (*); proteins were not detected in yeast secretome (**).
6. Secretion prediction according to SignalP 3.0 server, the number corresponds to signal peptide probability (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/).
7. Secretion prediction according to Secretome P 2.0 server, the number corresponds to neural network that exceeded a value of 0.5 (NN-score ≥ 0.5) (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP/).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052470.t002
### Table 3. Preferentially secreted proteins by *Paracoccidioides Pb01* yeast cells.

| General Information number (NCBI) | Protein description | Number of isoforms in yeast | Amount of isoform abundances | ratio of Y/M abundance | ANOVA (p-value) | SignIP Score ≥ 0.5 | SecretomeP Score ≥ 0.5 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| **1. CELL RESCUE, DEFENSE and VIRULENCE** | | | | | | | |
| gi|295658865 | heat shock protein 60 | 3 | 0.38 | 6.20 | 0.0121* | NO | NO |
| gi|295650777 | Hsp90 binding co-chaperone (Sba1) | 2 | 1.33 | 20.97 | 0.0013* | NO | NO |
| gi|295673162 | disulfide isomerase Pdi1 | 1 | 0.20 | 5.76 | 0.0323* | 0.988 | 0.899 |
| gi|295667577 | glutathione S-transferase Gs3 | 1 | 2.44 | ** | NO | 0.5119 |
| gi|295666884 | Cu - Zn superoxide dismutase | 2 | 0.46 | 2.72 | 0.0013* | NO | 0.9184 |
| gi|295659831 | thioredoxin-like protein | 2 | 2.98 | 8.40 | 0.0394* | NO | 0.8876 |
| gi|295659116 | hsp70-like protein | 6 | 596.89 | 7.91 | 0.0263* | NO | 0.5000 |
| **2. METABOLISM** | | | | | | | |
| **2.1. Amino Acid Metabolism** | | | | | | | |
| gi|225680243 | Cobalamin-independent methionine synthase | 1 | 0.04 | ** | NO | NO |
| **2.2. Secondary Metabolism** | | | | | | | |
| gi|29563891 | 2,5-diketo-D-gluconic acid reductase A | 1 | 0.09 | ** | NO | NO |
| **3. ENERGY** | | | | | | | |
| **3.1. Glycolysis and Gluconeogenesis** | | | | | | | |
| gi|29566174 | pyruvate kinase | 1 | 0.09 | ** | NO | NO |
| **3.2. Oxidation of fatty acids** | | | | | | | |
| gi|29566179 | 2-methylcitrate synthase | 8 | 645.67 | 70672.37 | 0.0039* | NO | 0.5337 |
| gi|295662074 | 3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase | 1 | 1.79 | ** | NO | NO |
| gi|295662032 | enoyl-CoA hydratase | 1 | 0.89 | ** | NO | 0.699 |
| **4. CELL CYCLE AND DNA PROCESSING** | | | | | | | |
| gi|295673184 | actin-interacting protein | 2 | 0.34 | 3.99 | 0.0018* | NO | 0.9262 |
| gi|295661300 | DNA damage checkpoint protein sdc24 | 2 | 0.85 | ** | NO | NO |
| gi|295665797 | G4 quadruplex nucleic acid binding protein | 1 | 1.96 | ** | NO | 0.9401 |
| gi|295668188 | nuclear movement protein nudC | 1 | 0.24 | ** | NO | 0.7668 |
| gi|295665468 | nucleic acid-binding protein | 2 | 18.32 | 19.49 | 0.0052* | NO | 0.5915 |
| **5. PROTEIN FATE (folding, modification, destination)** | | | | | | | |
| gi|295661012 | dipetidylyl-peptidase | 3 | 0.27 | 3.47 | 0.0250* | NO | NO |
| gi|295660961 | gamma-glutamyltranspeptidase | 1 | 1.26 | ** | NO | NO |
| gi|295672926 | proteasome component PRE4 | 1 | 0.77 | 15.82 | 0.0220* | NO | NO |
| **6. CELLULAR TRANSPORT, TRANSPORT FACILITIES AND TRANSPORT ROUTES** | | | | | | | |
| gi|295662829 | vesicular-fusion protein SEC17 | 1 | 1.38 | ** | NO | NO |
| gi|295660305 | cytochrome-c oxidase chain VI | 2 | 1.49 | ** | NO | 0.9240 |
Table 3. Cont.

| General Information | Proportion of isoforms in yeast 2 | Proportion of isoforms in mycelia | SignalP Score 5 | Secretome P Score 5 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| number (NCBI) 1     | Number of isoforms in yeast 2   | Number of isoforms in mycelia   | score 6         | score 5            |
| Yeast              | 1                              | 1                              | **NO**          | **NO**             |
| Yeast              | 0.85                           | 0.65                           | **NO**          | **NO**             |

1. NCBI database general information number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).
2. Number of identified isoforms of protein in Paracoccidioides, Pb01 yeast cells.
3. Signal prediction according to SignalP 3.0 server, the number corresponds to signal peptide probability (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/).
4. Secretion prediction according to Secretome P 2.0 server, the number corresponds to neural network that exceeded a value of 0.5 (NN-score 0.50) (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP/).
5. ANOVA - statistically significant differences are considered with \(p \leq 0.05\) (*); proteins were not detected in mycelia secretome (**).
6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052470.t003

MASCOT search revealed that 39% of the detected isoforms caused by PTM. Spectral analysis combined with a PTM method for global inspection of post-translational modification, as it is associated with shifts in protein molecular mass and charge. We speculated that some of those molecules are likely tailored to benefit the saprobe and pathogenic yeast phase grown in the same medium secrete a wide array of proteins/enzymes required to protect the saprobe phase from environmental insults.

Glutathione S-transferase (GST) was found to be preferentially secreted by Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast compared with the mycelia secretome. GST represents a group of detoxification enzymes, which are involved with protection against oxidative stress and the detoxification of xenobiotics and heavy metals [51,52]. In agreement with the proteomic data, the GST activity was significantly higher in the yeast cell secretome compared with that of the mycelia. Additionally, superoxide dismutase was preferentially secreted by Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells. The H. capsulatum extracellular superoxide dismutase (SOD3) has been shown to be preferentially expressed by yeast cells compared with the expression by non-pathogenic mycelia [10]. SOD3 specifically protects against extracellular reactive oxygen species and facilitates H. capsulatum pathogenesis by detoxifying host-derived reactive oxygen species [53]. Proteomic analysis results displayed eight isoforms of 2-methylcitrate synthase in Paracoccidioides yeast, which were predicted to be exported via a non-classical secretory pathway. The statistical analyses revealed 2-methylcitrate synthase was secreted at significant levels (fold change of 70672.37). This protein was also previously demonstrated in the extracellular vesicles of H. capsulatum and Paracoccidioides Pb01 [18,27], although its function at the extracellular environment had not been established. DNA damage checkpoint protein (14-3-3 protein family) was also preferentially expressed by the Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast secretome. The 14-3-3 protein regulates a diverse range of cell signaling pathways by forming protein-protein interactions and modulating the protein function in eukaryotic cells [54]. Moreover, 14-3-3 protein modulates vesicular trafficking and exocytosis, as displayed in different experimental systems [55,56]. This protein was also detected in the extracellular environment by the proteomic analysis of various fungi, such as C. neoformans [19], H. capsulatum [10,18] and Paracoccidioides, Pb01 [27]. Proteins that function in cell rescue, defense and virulence were more abundant in the yeast secretome, which included proteins involved in stress response and detoxification, such as heat shock proteins, disulfide isomerase Pdi1, glutathione S-transferase Gst3 and superoxide dismutase. During the infection process by the pathogenic yeast, the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by the immune effector cells plays an important role in killing microbes [57]. Therefore, the response to stress is likely to be an important virulence attribute of this pathogenic fungus.

Proteomics studies can be heavily influenced by the growth conditions. As example, Botrytis cinerea was shown to secrete a wide array of enzymes and there are significant changes in the relative abundance and composition of secreted enzymes in a substrate dependent manner [58]. We have used a carbohydrate-and peptide rich medium in our studies that could explain the abundance of some glycolysis and TCA-cycle proteins in the secretomes of yeast and mycelia. Although this consideration, the yeast and mycelia phase grown in the same medium secrete differentially a wide array of proteins/enzymes, suggesting that those molecules are likely tailored to benefit the saprobe and pathogenic yeast phase.

Despite its limitations, 2D gel electrophoresis remains a powerful method for global inspection of post-translational modification, as it is associated with shifts in protein molecular mass and charge. Among the secreted proteins identified in Paracoccidioides Pb01 mycelia and yeast cells, 37 appeared in more than one spot on the 2D-gel, thereby totaling 116 identified isoforms (72.5% of the total). We speculated that some of the \(pI\) or molecular mass changes were caused by PTM. Spectral analysis combined with a PTM search revealed that 39% of the detected isoforms...
harbor serine/tyrosine phosphorylation and/or lysine acetylation sites. Eight of the nine isoforms of 2-methylcitate synthase were found to be phosphorylated, which correlates with the decrease in pI. We also identified 30 acetylated proteins out of 116 isoforms, and all isoforms of the hsp70-like proteins harbored at least five acetylated peptides. The pI shifts in those molecules correlates with those observed in proteins derived from breast cancer cell lines, in which the pI shifts were consistent with the number and type of modifications [59]. The PTM may be associated with novel functions of intracellular proteins located outside of the fungal cells. However, the impact of these modifications on pathogenesis, environmental adaptation, nutrient uptake and morphological maintenance changes has not yet been clarified and would require a more comprehensive global PTM analysis and more detailed investigation of the impact of each modification on the function of each protein modification [42].
We investigated the function of conventional protein secretion in the Paracoccidioides macrophage interaction. The addition of BFA to the culture medium led to a decrease in secreted proteins, significantly inhibited the macrophage/fungus interaction (assessed by the adherence and internalization of yeast cells) and caused a reduction in viable cell recovery from macrophages. Consequently, it may be hypothesized that the inhibition of macrophage functions might facilitate the survival of the pathogen within the phagocytic cell [39,60]. The Paracoccidioides yeast cells are known to both be phagocytosed by and multiply inside macrophages [61]. The involvement secreted proteins in the uptake of Paracoccidioides by macrophages has been described [61]. The gp43, the main antigenic molecule secreted by the fungus, is known to be phagocytosed by and multiply inside macrophages [61]. These data attest the function of the Paracoccidioides extracellular proteins in the adherence of the fungus and uptake by macrophages. Similarly, we observed that the addition of BFA significantly inhibited the yeast cells internalization by macrophages. In contrast, the addition of concentrated culture supernatant significantly increased the interaction of macrophages with yeast cells, thereby suggesting that the secreted proteins released by Paracoccidioides yeast cells exert some biological activity. A similar ability has been described for Leishmania-secreted vesicles during infection, which deliver effectors that mediate parasite invasion favoring survival in the host [62,63]. Furthermore, Leishmania exosomes and exosomal proteins have been detected in the cytoplasmic compartment of infected macrophages [64].

We found that Paracoccidioides secrete various types of proteins, including enzymes, heat shock proteins and many conventional cytosolic factors. Although we cannot completely rule out cellular lyses, the secretome samples were assessed to ensure that cell
lyses did not influence the extracellular protein profiles. Some of the proteins here described have been detected in the extracellular environment in many fungi by different groups [9,10,19,27], thereby supporting the suggestion that they are indeed exported from intact cells. Interestingly, cystolic enzymes, such as enolase and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), exert both enzymatic activity and alternative extracellular functions in Paracoccidioides. These enzymes have been found in the cytoplasm and at the cell wall of the Paracoccidioides yeast cells [22,74]. The cell wall-associated GAPDH binds to extracellular matrix components and mediates the attachment and internalization of the Paracoccidioides yeast cells to host tissues, thereby potentially playing a role in the establishment of disease [74]. Paracoccidioides recruits plasminogen and activates the plasminogen fibrinolytic system in a process mediated by the cell wall-localized enolase, which potentially plays a role in the establishment of PCM [22]. Therefore, cystolic enzymes may represent ‘moonlighting’ proteins functioning in the extracellular environment [13,75].

Additionally, it is important to note that 63 of the 110 identified proteins/isoforms in the Pb01 yeast secretome displayed orthologues in the Pb18 secretome. The difference in the number of genes between Pb01 and Pb18 [5] may explain the variations in the protein profiles. This may also be because they were sub-cultured using different media (Fava-Netto for Pb01 and modified YPD medium for Pb18). Additionally, the application of varying proteomic methodologies to analyze the extracellular proteins of Pb01 and Pb18 may give rise to the variations found in the secretomes between the two members of the Paracoccidioides genus. The fact that members of the phylogenetic groups of Paracoccidioides produce varying combinations of extracellular yeast phase proteins is not unexpected; the pools of extracellular proteins secreted by different Histoplasma strains are also different from one another [76]. In conclusion, the results suggest that different strains may utilize different strategies for survival and pathogenesis.

Conclusion

We have identified abundant proteins expressed in Paracoccidioides yeast and mycelia secretomes. Several extracellular proteins have also been detected in the secretome of other organisms using different methodologies, which is important for the validation of our findings. Strikingly, many proteins do not use the classical secretory pathways, and many proteins most likely exert other activity once secreted. Moreover, the data clearly indicate that Paracoccidioides Pb01 predominantly uses non-classical targeting mechanisms to direct protein export. Our findings display the potential role that extracellular proteins play in fungus survival in the host and may lead to the description of molecules that function as virulence factors.

Supporting Information

**Figure S1** Enzyme Class (EC) of identified proteins. According to the Nomenclature Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (NC-IUBMB), the identified enzyme-like proteins were grouped into six classes (Ligase, Isomerase, Lyase, Hydrolase, Transferase and Oxido-reductase).

**Figure S2** Prediction of protein secretion in Paracoccidioides. All identified proteins were submitted for in silico analysis using the SignaLP 3.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignaLP/) and SecretomeP 2.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP/) programs. The results obtained for the Paracoccidioides mycelia and yeast secretomes were grouped into classes and compared with the data provided in the Fungal Secretome Database (FSD) (http://fsd.riceblast.snu.ac.kr). Class SP includes proteins that were predicted by SignaLP 3.0, Class NS represents proteins secreted via a non-conventional pathway, which were predicted by SecretomeP 2.0, and NC represents proteins that were not classified.

**Figure S3** Microscopy of adhered/internalized Paracoccidioides yeast cells by macrophages (magnification 1600X). The yeast cells adhered and internalized [panel A] or internalized [panels A–F]. The arrows indicate internalized yeast cells, and the asterisk indicates adhered yeast cells. The glass coverslips, which were cultivated in the absence of BFA [panels A and B], and the presence of BFA [panels C and D] or the presence of concentrated culture supernatant [panels E and F] as described in the Materials and Methods section, were fixed with methanol, stained with Giemsa and visualized via electronic microscopy.

**Figure S4** Immunoblot analysis of Paracoccidioides-secreted proteins inside macrophages. The tested samples included the lysate of infected macrophages with Paracoccidioides yeast cells treated previously with Brefeldin A (line 1), the lysate of infected-macrophages with Paracoccidioides yeast cells (line 2) and the lysate of non-infected macrophages as a negative control (line 3). A- Immunoblot analysis of secreted proteins in infected macrophages probed with enolase antibody (47 kDa). B- Immunoblot analysis of triosephosphate isomerase (29 kDa). C- Membranes stained with Ponceau red showing the protein profile of the tested samples (lines 1–3).

**Figure S5** Comparative analysis between Paracoccidioides Pb01 extracellular proteins and orthologous proteins found in pathogenic fungi secretomes. The bar graph showing the proteins identified in the secretome of Paracoccidioides Pb01, Paracoccidioides Pb18 [27], Histoplasma capsulatum [10,19], Cryptococcus neoformans [19] and Asperillus fumigatus [37] of various pathogenic fungi. The gray bars represent the number of extracellular orthologous proteins that overlap between Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells and the analyzed species. The white bars represent the extracellular proteins that do not overlap with Paracoccidioides Pb01 yeast cells.

**Table S1** Secreted proteins/isoforms by Paracoccidioides Pb01. 1 Spots numbers indicated in Figure 2. 2 NCBI database general information number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). 3 Molecular Mass in kDa (theoretical/experimental). 4 Isoelectric point (theoretical/experimental). 5 Mascot MS/MS score for fragmentation data (http://www.matrixscience.com). 6 Number of matched peptides (MS/MS). 7 Protein Expression in M: mycelia phase; Y: Yeast phase and C: protein common to the two fungal phases or protein with no differential expression. 8 ANOVA test - statistically significant differences are considered with p<0.05 (*); protein found at just one fungal phase (**). 9 Secretion prediction according to Signal P 3.0 server. The number corresponds to signal peptide probability (Score ≥0.5) (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). 10 Secretion prediction according to Secretome P 2.0 server, the number corresponds to neural network that exceeded a value of 0.5 [NN-score ≥0.50] (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP/). 11 Enzyme Classification recommended by Nomenclature Committee of the...
Table S2  Exclusive proteins secreted by *Paracoccidioides Pb01* yeast and mycelia. 1 Spots numbers indicated in Figure 2. 2 NCBI database general information number (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). 3 Number of identified isoforms of protein in each *Paracoccidioides, Pb01* phase secretome. 4 The average of amount of values of abundances of all identified isoforms. 5 Protein Expression in M: mycelia phase; Y: Yeast phase. 6 Secretion prediction according to Signal P 3.0 server, the number corresponds to signal peptide probability (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). 7 Secretion prediction according to Secretome P 2.0 server, the number corresponds to neural network that exceeded a value of 0.5 (NN-score ≥0.5) (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SecretomeP/).

Table S3  Predicted post-translational modifications of identified protein isoforms in mycelia and yeast secretomes. 1 Protein Expression in M: mycelia phase; Y: Yeast phase and C: common or protein with no differential expression. 2 PTM – Post translational modifications: acetyl [k] – lysine acetylation; phospo (S-T-Y) – serine, threonine and tyrosine phosphorylation. 3 Values returned by MASCOT search tool using none or specific variable modifications.

Table S4 Spectral identification of post-translational modification in identified protein/isoforms. 1 PTM – Post translational modifications: acetyl [k] – lysine acetylation; phospo (S-T-Y) – serine, threonine and tyrosine phosphorylation. 2 Peptide localization in protein sequence. 3 Theoretical peptide molecular mass without any PTM. 4 Obtained experimental mass by spectral analysis.

Table S5  Comparative analysis of secreted proteins/isoforms by *Paracoccidioides Pb01* yeast cells with others pathogenic fungal secretomes. 1 Spots numbers indicated in Figure 2. 2 NCBI database general information number of *Paracoccidioides Pb01* (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). 3 Accession number of orthologues present in the *Paracoccidioides Pb01* secretome. (Vallejo et al., 2012). 4 Accession number of orthologues present in the *Histoplasma capsulatum* secretome (Albuquerque et al, 2008; Holbrook et al., 2011). 5 Accession number of orthologues present in the *Cryptococcus neoformans* extracellular vesicles (Rodrigues et al, 2008). 6 Accession number of orthologues present in the *Aspergillus fumigatus* secretome (Wartenberg et al., 2011).
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