Extraction of Pectic Acid from Citrus Fruit Peels and its Application as Textile Printing Thickener
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Abstract

Extraction of pectic acid by acid hydrolysis from citrus fruit peels and its conversion in to textile printing paste thickener in order to use it as an alternative substitute of sodium alginate thickener in reactive printing was studied in this research. Printing with the alternative thickener, sodium pectate, printed fabric characteristics; color yield, color brightness and wash fastness were improved. Pectic acid is extracted from the identified citrus fruit peels i.e. orange peel, lemon peel and bitter orange peels. Extraction time, type of solvent and extraction pH was standardized based on pectin yield obtained. The research found environment friendly extraction of pectic acid by sodium carbonate instead of acid hydrolysis with HCL or H_2SO_4.
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Introduction

The citrus fruit is formed by the following fundamental parts: the flavedo (external colored part of the peel), the albedo (white internal part of the peel), the pericarp that contains the above mentioned parts, and the pulp subdivided in to segments and viscose containing the juice and the seeds, called endocarp. The peel, rag & seeds from the juice extractors are combined with rejected fruits & becomes the source of byproducts of citrus. The peel part which includes the inner white part of the fruit contains pectin, amino acids and other materials. The production of pectin is considered the most reasonable way of utilization of the juice industry by-product both from economical and ecological point of views. Pectin is present in the middle lamella, primary cell and secondary walls and is deposited in the early stages of growth during cell expansion. From byproducts of citrus fruit dried citrus pulp, Molasses, Citrus peel oil, Citrus seed oil; alcohol, pectin, & feed yeast have been produced to a lesser extent [1]. Pectin is found in most plants, but is most concentrated in citrus fruits (oranges, lemons, grapefruits) and apples. Pectin obtained from citrus peels is referred to as citrus pectin [2].

Pectin is used in a number of foods as gelling agent in jam and jellies, thickener, texturizer, emulsifier and stabilizer in dairy products, fruits preparations or in icings and frostings. It is also used in pharmaceutical, dental and cosmetic industries for its jellifying properties. It is generally produced by acid extraction of citrus peel followed by filtration and precipitation by alcohol as 2-propanol [3]. There are three different extraction techniques of pectin from citrus peels; acid hydrolysis, enzymatic and water extraction methods. Compared with acid hydrolysis, enzymes extraction of pectin is preferred despite of its cost because only a little effluent in the filtrate and because of consumer demands for green products. However, the mechanism of enzymatic extraction is still not fully understood [4]. Water soluble pectins inside the plant cell wall of plants can be easily extracted with boiling water. Although it is conventional and the easiest means of extracting pectin, this method is not applicable for commercial extraction of pectin because longer time and elevated temperature is required to isolate adequate pectin from the peels. Boiling the peels at elevated temperature for long time cause degradation of the pectin during extraction and is relatively costly because of the high energy requirement [5].

In this study, acid hydrolysis of pectin using HCL and H_2SO_4, new Pectin extraction method using sodium carbonate and Conversion of pectin into sodium pectate for textile reactive printing paste thickener application was investigated. The advantages of sodium
pectate as thickener than using sodium alginate is also shown with comparative study of the thickeners in terms of color yield, wash fastness and color brightness.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials, Equipments and Chemicals**

The materials used for this study were 100% cotton full bleached woven fabric, Dried Citrus Fruit peels, 8 scale gray staining and color change scale. Beaker was used to boil the mixture of citrus fruit peel, water and acid, stirring spoon, and thermo meter to control the extraction temperature, weighing balance for weighing the amount of chemicals and materials used for the extraction of Pectin, PH meter to control extraction PH, launder-meter for wash fastness testing, mini dryer to dry and cure printed fabric sample and spectrophotometer to measure k/s value of the printed area [6-10].

H$_2$SO$_4$, HCL and Na$_2$CO$_3$ were used to extract pectin from citrus peels so were used. The solvents used to precipitate pectin were ethanol, methanol and acetone. For the conversion of pectin into sodium pectate, isopropyl alcohol, NaOH and common salt are used. Isopropyl alcohol is used to wash the pectate and common salt is used to solidify the derived sodium pectate. The chemicals used to print cotton fabric were Sodium alginate as a thickener, sodium carbonate as dye fixing agent, Dispersing agent to form true solution of dye paste, Urea to prevent hygroscopic dye paste and Reactive dye.

**Method**

**Preparation of citrus fruit peel:** Citrus fruit peels were collected from juice industries. Ripened peels are sorted by identifying with their color; orange color peels are ripened, the peels are washed, dried and grinded into pieces.

**Pectin extraction and conversion in to sodium pectate:** 250 ml water was measured into a 500ml beaker and heated to 50oC. 25 gm milled citrus peel was added to the water. Measured amounts of acid added to the peel-water mixture trace by trace until the desired PH obtained. The mixture was agitated at a constant temperature until the desired extraction time elapsed. Using polyester fabric filter the solid residue of citrus fruit was removed. The filtered solution is collected and approximately the same the volume of solvent added for overnight precipitation. Extraction of pectin Using Na$_2$CO$_3$ was carried out at 50oC with the same procedure followed in acid hydrolysis but 500ml water and 50gm citrus peel was used in a bigger beaker. The precipitate pectin is removed and pectin is reacted with caustic soda and solidified by common salt [10-15]. The solidified sodium pectate is dried in oven dryer at 50oc overnight and ground in small plastic containers.

**Printing and testing:** Two Fabric samples were printed using sodium pectate and sodium alginate as thickener. The Reactive printed samples are tested for their color strength, wash fastness and hand feels. Relative to the characterized properties the thickeners; alginate thickener and sodium pectate thickeners were compared.

**Results and Discussion**

The experimental Design used was OFAT (One factor at a time). Three extractions at PH of 2.6 using 0.5M Hcl, 150 ml of ethanol, methanol and acetone, for 2 hours and 650c incubation temperature. The Experiment was conducted to choose a solvent for the precipitation of pectin which yields more pectin (Table 1). Keeping the other parameters constant, only extraction time is varied to 1hr, 1hr and 30 minutes and 2 hrs and using acetone to precipitate pectin (Table 2). Extractions were carried out for 1 hr and half using acetone to precipitate pectin at varying temperature (65oC, 80oC and 90oC) (Table 3).

| Peel (gm) | Time (hr) | pH | Temp (oC) | Water (ml) | Solvent      | Pectin (gm) | Pectin (%) |
|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 25gm      | 2         | 2.6| 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 4.97        | 19.88      |
| 25gm      | 2         | 2.6| 65        | 250        | 150 methanol | 4.6         | 18.4       |
| 25gm      | 2         | 2.6| 65        | 250        | 150 ethanol  | 3.87        | 15.48      |

**Table 1:** Keeping the other parameters constant, only extraction time is varied to 1hr, 1hr and 30 minutes and 2 hrs and using acetone to precipitate pectin.

| Peel (gm) | Time (hr) | pH | Temp (oC) | Water (ml) | Solvent      | Pectin (gm) | Pectin (%) |
|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 25gm      | 1         | 2.6| 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 5.15        | 20.48      |
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 2.6| 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 5.5         | 22         |
| 25gm      | 2         | 2.6| 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 5.1         | 20.4       |

**Table 2:** Extractions were carried out for 1 hr and half using acetone to precipitate pectin at varying temperature (65oC, 80oC and 90oC).
Table 3: Solvent type selection, Extraction time, Extraction temperature are already optimized. Optimization of PH is done by using the selected solvent type, extraction time and extraction temperature. Optimized temperature is identified by varying extraction PH to 1.6, 2.6 and 3.6.

| Peel (gm) | Time (hr) | pH  | Temp (°C) | Water (ml) | Solvent (ml) | Pectin (gm) | Pectin (%) |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 2.6 | 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 4.97        | 19.88      |
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 2.6 | 80        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 3.39        | 13.56      |
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 2.6 | 90        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 3.17        | 12.68      |

Solvent type selection, Extraction time, Extraction temperature are already optimized. Optimization of PH is done by using the selected solvent type, extraction time and extraction temperature. Optimized temperature is identified by varying extraction PH to 1.6, 2.6 and 3.6 (Table 4). This study has investigated an alternative way of extracting pectin from citrus fruit peels with minimized environment pollution. The method is environment friendly and also results in more pectin yield than acid hydrolysis of pectin. For hydrolysis purpose sodium carbonate (Na$_2$CO$_3$) was used. For 50gm dried milled peel 10gm of sodium carbonate was used. The result from the experiment is as follows (Table 5).

Table 4:

| Peel (gm) | Time (hr) | pH  | Temp (°C) | Water (ml) | Solvent (ml) | Pectin (gm) | Pectin (%) |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 1.6 | 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 3.06        | 12.24      |
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 2.6 | 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 5.8         | 23.2       |
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 3.6 | 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 3.38        | 13.52      |

Table 5:

| Peel (gm) | Time (hr) | pH  | Temp (°C) | Water (ml) | Solvent (ml) | Pectin (gm) | Pectin (%) |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 1.6 | 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 3.06        | 12.24      |
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 2.6 | 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 5.8         | 23.2       |
| 25gm      | 1.5       | 3.6 | 65        | 250        | 150 acetone  | 3.38        | 13.52      |

Effect of pH

The effect of pH on extracted pectin yield was determined by adding trace of HCL to alter the pH to the desired values of 1.6, 2.6, and 3.6. Because of slight changes in solution pH throughout the extraction, it was difficult to repeatedly reach an exact pH so approximately 2% error was allowed when measuring pH. The three experiments showed that pectin yield was 23.2% at pH 2.6. The hydrolysis of pectin is facilitated in soften peels. Lower pH, i.e., more strong acid, dissolves the citrus peels [15,16]. The dissolved peels form a thick paste of citrus peel. Pectin is entrapped in the thick paste instead of dispersing through the filter solution. Less pectin amount in the filter solution minimized the amount of precipitated and extracted pectin. Increased pH above 2.6 result in less softness of peels which result in less pectin wash off from the peels, as a consequence less pectin yield.

Effect of Extraction Time

The noticeable trend occurred with increasing extraction time from 1 hour to 1.5 hour shows increase in pectin yield. Increasing the extraction time further may result in pectin loss. Accordingly when extraction time is increased from 1.5 to 2 hours the yield of pectin. Flowing of pectin into extraction solution increase as extraction time increases up to 1hr and half. Heating the mixture of peels and water-acid mixture more than 1hr and half will dissolve peels instead of softening and minimize the pectin yield.

Effect of Temperature

With increasing extraction temperature up to 65°C pectin yield will increase. Increasing the extraction temperature further result in pectin loss. When extraction Temperature is increased above 65°C the yield of pectin will be minimized. Wash-off of pectin into extraction solution increase as extraction time increases up to 65°C after which the yield starts declining. Heating the mixture of peels and water-acid mixture above 65°C will dissolve peels instead of softening and minimize the pectin yield.

Extraction of Pectin using Na$_2$CO$_3$

Extraction with Na$_2$CO$_3$ has some advantages than acid hydrolysis of pectin from citrus fruit peel. Extraction carried out using Na$_2$CO$_3$ hydrolyzing agent has yielded more pectin. It is also environment friendly compared to acid hydrolysis. Na$_2$CO$_3$ extracted pectin has improved solubility compared to acid hydrolyzed pectin due to the presence of sodium ion which may give solubility for the extract.

Conversion of the Extracted Pectin into Sodium Pectate

The isolated precipitate of pectin which is very slightly gummy and somewhat rubbery was grounded to fine powder and the added to a mixture of 50% isopropyl alcohol and NaOH in solution and then stirred every 5 minutes at room temperature until it creates viscose solution. Finally sodium pectate is formed after which it was
filtered to remove excess liquid and washed with water followed by isopropyl alcohol wash to remove excess alkali [17-20]. The pectate may be hydrated during water wash and it must be given consideration to not cause so. Then the dried pectate was solidified with common salt and made ready to serve as printing thickener.

**Printing Fabric Samples and Testing Printed Fabrics Performance**

Evaluation of printing performance was carried out in terms of color strength, wash fastness, rubbing fastness and fabric feel. Two printed fabric samples, one sample (S1) printed by commercial sodium alginate and the other one (S2) with the derived sodium pectate. The recipes for the samples were (as shown in Tables 6 & 7):

**Table 6: Stock Paste Recipe.**

| Chemicals                | Amount |
|--------------------------|--------|
| Sodium alginate/pectin   | 4 %    |
| Dispersing agent         | 0.5%   |
| Warm Water               | 95.5%  |

**Table 7: Printing Paste Recipe.**

| Chemicals                          | Amount |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Stock paste                        | 60     |
| Water                              | 20     |
| Urea                               | 15     |
| Reactive dye                       | 3      |
| Sodium carbonate/bicarbonate       | 2      |
| Total                              | 100    |

**Procedure for the printing:** The printing was carried out using screen printing technique. The procedures followed were as follows.

a) Prepare printing paste: The paste should be as uniform as possible. For uniform mix prepare the printing the recipe for stock paste (as shown in Table 1) was mixed for 5 min at maximum speed. Then mix the components for printing paste recipe as shown in the Table 2. The actual quantity of water was to be determined practically. Viscosity should be in such a way that the paste should flow easily while printing but maintaining the sharpness of the images to be printed. Two printing pastes were prepared for alginate thickener and pectin separately.

b) Printing: After preparing the paste and sample, the next process was application of paste onto the sample. Put the sample under the screen with proper positioning of the design, put the paste at one side the screen spread uniformly along the width of the design, move the squeegee from one side to the other pushing the paste towards the other side and down wards at the same time. Here the inclination angle of squeegee and pressure applied was very important, these should be uniform, angle the squeegee should be held at 45°C approximately. Accordingly two samples were done for alginate and another two samples were done for pectin printing performance evaluation (Figure 1).

c) Drying: Then the samples were dried at 60°C for 3 min and cure at 150°C for 5 min.

d) Printing performances: Of the printed samples were measured in terms of Color strength, wash fastness, handle feel and rubbing fastness. Color yield of each of the samples was measured using Data color 650 TM spectrophotometer and wash fastness was assessed using laundry meter. For assessing the performance of the two thickeners in terms of wash fastness gray scale and gray staining was done. Accordingly printing performance of the thickeners along with the obtained result is concluded in the following (Table 8).

**Figure 1a:** Printed fabric Samples a) Printed with sodium alginate (S1) b) printed with the derived Sodium Pectate (S2).

**Table 8: Performance in terms of Color Strength (k/s).**

| Printed sample | Reflectance (%) | Color Strength (k/s) |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| S1             | 72.72           | 32.12                |
| S2             | 72.15           | 31.13                |

As it is observed from the experimental data, sample fabric printed with alginate thickener (S1) has reflectance and K/S value slightly higher than sample fabric printed with the Extracted sodium pectate (S2). Decrease in reflectance and K/S value represents...
higher shade darkness. Sade darkness increase with increase in dye absorption. This truth has shown sodium pectate thickener yield more color strong print than sodium alginate thickener even though the difference is neglecting (Table 9).

Table 9:

| Printed sample | Gay staining scale | Color change Scale |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| S1             | 4/5               | 4/5                |
| S2             | 4/5               | 4/5                |

Performance in Terms of Wash Fastness: Wash fastness was tested using the standard test method. 5g/l detergent, MLR 1:30, heat setting at 100°C for 30 minutes was used. Then gray staining and color change scales are tested using 5 scale gray scale and gray staining card. Both alginate and pectate printed fabric samples have shown very good wash fastness.

Conclusion

Pectin extraction from citrus peels can be carried out by either water extraction, acid hydrolysis, alkali hydrolysis, enzyme extraction and using sodium carbonate as hydrolyzing agent [21,22]. In this particular study extraction of pectin with Na2CO3 is given preference since it yielded more pectin and since it has advantages like environment friendliness, more solubility in water due to presence of the sodium ion which is functional to make compounds soluble.

The conversion of pectin into sodium pectate by reacting pectin with caustic soda in isopropyl alcohol must be controlled and given concentration for the production of high quality pectate thicker. Since the derived sodium pectate is washed with water and then with isopropyl alcohol for the production more pure pectate, care must be given during water washing to not hydrate the derived pectate. Solidification of the pectate is done by using common salt.

Sodium pectate thickener printed fabric sample has absorbed more dye than sodium alginate thickener printed fabric sample. The lower reflectance and k/s value has recorded for fabric sample printed with the derived sodium pectate. Even though the difference is neglect able; this truth justified that sodium pectate is more inert thickener than alginate thickener. That is the suspect behind more color strong of sodium pectate. Both alginate and pectate printed samples have very good wash fastness. Since the printing is reactive printing then the attachment of the dyes to the fibers are by covalent bond.

Generally speaking; citrus fruit peels can be used for the production of reactive printing thickeners by acid hydrolysis, using sodium carbonate to hydrolyze pectin in citrus fruits, or other extraction techniques. First pectin is extracted then pectin is reacted with caustic soda forming crude sodium pectate. The crude sodium pectate is then washed in water and in isopropyl alcohol successively to increase the purity of the pectate. The derived sodium pectate is used as textile printing thickener for reactive printing in the same manner alginate is used.
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