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Current gene therapies predominantly use small, strong, and readily available ubiquitous promoters. However, as the field matures, the availability of small, cell-specific promoters would be greatly beneficial. Here we design seven small promoters from the human paired box 6 (PAX6) gene and test them in the adult mouse retina using recombinant adeno-associated virus. We chose the retina due to previous successes in gene therapy for blindness, and the PAX6 gene since it is: well studied; known to be driven by discrete regulatory regions; expressed in therapeutically interesting retinal cell types; and mutated in the vision-loss disorder aniridia, which is in need of improved therapy. At the PAX6 locus, 31 regulatory regions were bioinformatically predicted, and nine regulatory regions were constructed into seven MiniPromoters. Driving Emerald GFP, these MiniPromoters were packaged into recombinant adeno-associated virus, and injected intravitreally into postnatal day 14 mice. Four MiniPromoters drove consistent retinal expression in the adult mouse, driving expression in combinations of cell-types that endogenously express PAX6: ganglion, amacrine, horizontal, and Müller glia. Two PAX6-MiniPromoters drive expression in three of the four cell types that express PAX6 in the adult mouse retina. Combined, they capture all four cell types, making them potential tools for research, and PAX6-gene therapy for aniridia.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Gene therapy for ocular disorders is reaching the clinic, with long-term trials of gene therapies for Leber’s congenital amaurosis reporting improvements in patients.1,2 Promoters such as the chicken beta actin and cytomegalovirus are common in current gene therapies, particularly because they are strong, small, and well characterized.3,4 However, in applications where restricted expression is desired, such as targeting transcription to a specific tissue,5 or limiting it to particular cells,6,7 a toolbox of specific promoters would be advantageous. Previously, we have developed Pleiades MiniPromoters (MiniPs; ~4 kb human regulatory sequences for tissue and cell-specific expression), using bioinformatics, and single copy knock-ins to the mouse genome.8–10 Building on, and further refining these techniques, we are expanding the current toolbox by introducing new MiniPs from paired box 6 (PAX6 (OMIM: 607108)).

Capturing the endogenous expression pattern of a human gene in a small promoter is challenging, considering that eukaryotic genes can be regulated by a large number of regulatory regions (RRs) megabases away from the transcriptional start site (TSSs).11–14 However, it is possible to narrow the search field using high-throughput chromosome capture (Hi-C) data, which reflects physical interactions on a chromosome and can highlight a window in the genome within which regulation of a particular gene is likely to occur.15 Working within such a window, resources such as FANTOM5 and ENCODE provide data predictive of TSSs and enhancers.16–19 Segmentation tools such as Segway and ChromHMM are also helpful as they use features such as DNAase1 hypersensitivity and epigenetic markers to help predict enhancer and promoter regions.17 Finally the JASPAR database further informs these predictions by supplying transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs), which are features of RRs.20 In combination with a gene transfer platform such as recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) to screen the designs in vivo, these bioinformatics tools may allow for the design, and rapid testing, of new custom MiniPs. Importantly, MiniPs developed using one research and therapeutic modality, such as rAAV, may be generally useful in other viruses, plasmids, and in a genomic context.21–25

PAX6 is a well-studied gene, potentially amenable to the development of MiniPs. Although PAX6 is expressed in a variety of tissues including the central nervous system (CNS), pancreas, and small intestine, it is best known as the essential transcription factor for aniridia.
panocular development in species as diverse as flies (Drosophila melanogaster), mice (Mus musculus), and humans.26–30 In humans, loss-of-function mutations produce the ocular disorder aniridia (OMIM: 106210). Although named for the lack of iris, aniridia is panocular, with vision loss attributable to three main causes: (i) hypomorphic fovea, (ii) progressive corneal clouding, and (iii) progressive glaucoma.31,32 Of these, glaucoma is the best managed, leaving hypomorphic fovea and corneal clouding in need of a vision-saving therapy, for which gene-based therapies are being developed. For instance, it has already been reported that postnatal normalization of PAX6 in a mouse model of aniridia can restore electrical activity in the retina and mouse visual behavior, even when administration begins at postnatal day 14 (P14).33 However, this effect was achieved using a small molecule drug that allows read-through of specific nonsense mutations, which represent only ~12% of reported human PAX6 mutations (http://lsdb.hgu.mrc.ac.uk/home.php?select_db=PAX6). Therefore, a large portion of the aniridia patient community stands to benefit from other approaches to gene augmentation, such as rAAV gene therapy.

One challenge for PAX6 gene therapy is that expression of the endogenous protein is complex, and inappropriate PAX6 could be detrimental. Ectopic expression of PAX6 orthologues in D. melanogaster and Xenopus laevis resulted in the formation of ectopic eyes.34,35 Furthermore, transgenic mice carrying human PAX6, and transcribing in total 2.5x normal levels, were found to have abnormal ocular development resulting in microphthalmia.36,37 Finally, expression is temporally regulated with, for example, broad and robust developmental expression being restricted to ganglion, amacrine, horizontal, and Müller glia cells in the adult retina.31,32 At least 39 cis-regulatory elements have been verified in vivo.11,12,45–48 Of these elements, those with known adult expression, and those amenable to “cut down” are of greatest interest for this work, as they would be suited for gene therapies administered after development, and compatible with the 4.9-kb packaging capacity of rAAV.39

In this work, we utilize bioinformatics to design MiniPs with specific expression suitable for use in rAAV-based studies. Building on the extensive knowledge of PAX6 regulation, we identified 31 potential RRs and selected nine for testing in seven MiniPs. DNA synthesis allowed precise and prompt generation of MiniPs, and a “plug and play” rAAV-genome plasmid enabled rapid virus production and testing in mice. We expected to identify unique aspects of PAX6 expression, but were pleasantly surprised to find that between only two promoters, all of the adult retina cell types that endogenously express PAX6 were captured. Thus, we have developed PAX6 MiniPs that target therapeutically interesting cell types, which may be of use for the gene therapy treatment of diseases affecting the inner retina such as diabetic retinopathy,60 glaucoma,61 and recessive retinitis pigmentosa inner retinopathy,62 as well as for ocular PAX6-gene therapy for aniridia.

RESULTS
Highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood revealed at the PAX6 locus

Topologically associating domains (TADs), which are sub-regions of chromosomes defined by an elevated frequency of intraregional DNA–DNA interactions in Hi-C experiments, were examined from mouse J1 embryonic stem cells (mESCs), mouse cortex cells, human H1 embryonic stem cells (hESCs), and a human IMR90 fibroblast cell line.18,19 All 39 published RRs of PAX6 (listed in Supplementary Table S1) are situated within the PAX6-containing TAD in all cell types examined (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1). We then developed a local clustering approach to search for highly interactive neighborhoods. This revealed that within the PAX6-containing TAD, there is a highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood containing all the PAX6 TSSs. Although PAX6 expression is not high in mouse cortex cells and is supressed in mESCs,46 this highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood overlapped almost perfectly between the two cell types (Figure 1a; mm9 coordinates: chr2:105495781-105653515 for mouse cortex cells at 99.7 percentile and chr2:105501001-105652563 for the mESCs at 99.6 percentile). Lifting over the genomic coordinates of the regulatory neighborhood from mouse mm9 to the human hg19 genome assembly (Figure 1b), it was revealed that the mouse regulatory neighborhood overlapped with the highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood similarly identified in the human data (overlaps of 98.7 and 100 percent for hESCs and the IMR90 fibroblast cell line respectively). Spanning from the 5’ end of Pax6os1 to the last four exons of Elp4 on the 3’ end, the <160 kb PAX6 highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood overlaps with 33 (85%) previously published RRs. The rest of published RRs (15%) were located within a weaker interacting region situated between Pax6 and the Rcn1 promoter (Figure 1).

PAX6 is transcribed from at least three promoters

The PAX6 exon structure was defined using the 10 different PAX6 transcripts reported in UCSC (hg19 assembly; https://genenome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway), which had also been presented by at least one of the following resources: Protein Data Bank (www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do), RefSeq (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ refseq), SwissProt (www.uniprot.org/), or CCDS (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/CCDS/CcdsBrowse.cgi) (Supplementary Figure S2a). This complexity is the product of alternative splicing and the use of multiple promoters.56 CAGE data from the FANTOM5 consortium supports a three-promoter model for PAX6, with transcription being driven from P0, P1, and Pα (Supplementary Figure S2b).46 Interestingly, this CAGE data does not indicated the existence of a promoter P4.48 For this analysis, the CAGE data was curated into three groups, after cancer and induced pluripotent stem cell reads were removed, producing: “All human tissues”, “CNS tissues”, and “ocular tissues” groupings. The all human tissues group contains all of the data presented in the other two groups, while the CNS and ocular tissues groups are mutually exclusive. Interestingly, in the “all humans tissues” group,56 PAX6 expression was predominantly driven by promoter P1, with P0 initiating proportionally fewer transcripts, and Pα only producing a small minority of the transcripts, which failed to exceed baseline in the CNS tissues. Additionally, while the transcripts initiated by P0 and Pα start from focused TSSs, the transcripts initiated by P1 appear to originate from a range of TSSs spanning more than 300 bp. Combining the 10 mRNA transcripts with TSSs results in a complex model of PAX6 where each promoter drives at least two different isoforms of the mRNA (Supplementary Figure S2c).

Thirty-one RRs predicted by bioinformatic analysis of PAX6

We conducted an initial literature and database assessment of PAX6, and created entries for the human and mouse genes in the Transcription Factor Encyclopedia (www.cisreg.ca/cgi-bin/tfe/home.pl), and deposited regulatory data in PAZAR (www.pazar.info). Later we developed a computational approach to predict RRs within the highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood at PAX6. In brief, regulatory potential was computed using three combined criteria: conservation, ChIP-seq supported TFBS, and predicted RRs from segmentation methods (Segway...
and ChromHMM).\textsuperscript{17} Regulatory prediction scores (RPS) for these three criteria were computed by applying a 200 bp sliding window to the \textit{PAX6} highly interactive neighborhood. Each of the three criteria contributed a low, medium, or high RPS of 0, 0.5, or 1.0 respectively, for a maximum score of 3.0 (Figure 2, Supplementary Table S2). All overlapping and immediately adjacent (book-ended) windows with scores ≥ two were merged, which produced 31 RRs predicted to have high regulatory potential (Supplementary Figure S3). Of the 31 regions, 19 overlap with one or more previously published regulatory elements.

Seven \textit{PAX6} MiniPromoters were constructed from nine bioinformatically predicted RRs

Of the 31 predicted RRs, nine were hand selected to be tested as components of MiniPs based on the literature and bioinformatics information available at the time (before 1 January 2012). For this work, RRs with known biological function as established in transgenic mice were considered, however as our system explores expression from a viral genome, regions with a breadth of supporting data were preferred. However, RRs overlapping with elements such as the pancreatic enhancer, repressor element, CNS

---

**Figure 1**  A paired box 6 (\textit{PAX6}) containing highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood, encompassing the majority of previously published \textit{PAX6} regulatory regions (RRs), is revealed by mouse and human chromosome interaction data. Topologically associating domains (TADs) and high-throughput chromosome capture (Hi-C) data are indicative of interactions between distal RRs and promoters. Presented are two-dimensional heatmap visualizations of previously published Hi-C chromatin interaction datasets.\textsuperscript{18,19} The interaction strength is indicated by color, ranging from red (set as ≥90th percentile of counts) to white (no observed interactions). These values correspond to the number of interacting segments observed in the DNA sequences for pairings of 10-kb bins. A \textit{PAX6} containing highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood computed from mouse cortex cells is highlighted in orange. Gene transcripts are indicated in blue and the “Regulatory regions published” displays our curation of all previously published \textit{PAX6} RRs as black rectangles. (a) Visualization of datasets from mouse cells: top, mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) line J1; bottom, adult C57BL/6NCrl mouse cortex. The 90th percentile is 22 and five counts for mESC and cortex respectively. The displayed segment corresponds to 105,300,000–105,750,000 on Chromosome 2, mm9 assembly. (b) Visualization of datasets from human cells: top, human embryonic stem cell (hESC) line H1; bottom, human fibroblast cell line IMR90. The 90th percentile is 21 and 10.5 counts for hESC and IMR90 respectively. The position of the human \textit{PAX6} containing highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood is matched by position using the lift-over function of the UCSC Genome Browser to the region defined in mice. The displayed segment corresponds to 32,170,000–31,500,001 on Chromosome 11, hg19 assembly.
element, CE2, and HS5 were excluded from selection if they overlapped with previously published RRs that drive expression only during development, or exclusively outside of the retina. Conversely, RRs overlapping with regions that have been previously shown to drive expression in the adult retina were favored producing: P0 and P1, which overlap with promoters 0 and 1 respectively. RR4 with promoter α enhancer, and ele4H; RR5 with CE1; RR6 with HS234Z; and RR7 with HS6 (ref. 50) (Supplementary Figure S3). Three RRs (RR2, RR5, and RR6) were formed by connecting two high conservation. A core promoter based on 454 bp of the sequence highly conserved sequence between them. The final sequence of P0 was determined by first aligning a previously described P0 sequence from mice to the human genome, and then trimming it down to 454 bp based on conservation. A core promoter based on 454 bp of the sequence was designed by lengthening a smaller, previously tested, promoter sequence. The 3' end was extended to reach the final size of 454 bp.

Since the viral packaging size of rAAV is only ~4.9 kb, the maximum size of a PAX6 MiniPromoter was set at 2.15 kb for this study, leaving room in the rAAV genome for reporter constructs such as Emerald GFP (EmGFP, 720 bp) and other elements such as woodchuck hepatitis virus posttranscriptional regulatory element (WPRE, 587 bp), a poly adenosine tail (222 bp), and inverted terminal repeats. Subtracting 454 bp for the core promoter RR included in each MiniPromoter, 1,696 bp was reserved for each of the seven remaining RRs. Taking conservation into consideration, the size of each RR was maximized to provide the best chance of capturing important regulatory sequences. The final sequence of each MiniPromoter contains at least one of RR1-RR7 and either P0 or P1 (Figure 3a).

Four PAX6 MiniPromoters drive consistent EmGFP expression in PAX6 expressing cells

All seven MiniPs were synthesized and cloned into a rAAV genome containing a chimeric intron, EmGFP reporter, WPRE mut6, SV40 polyA sequence, and AAV2 inverted terminal repeats (Figure 3b). EmGFP was selected because it has been shown to fluoresce brighter than Enhanced GFP. The viral genomes were packaged into rAAV2(Y272F, Y444F, Y500F, Y730F, and T491V) (hereafter referred to as rAAV2(QuadYF+TV)) and administered by intravitreal injection into P14 mice. Using a GFP antibody to enhance the fluorescent signal and better visualize cellular processes, all MiniPs drove detectable EmGFP expression (Figure 4). Intravenous injections at P4 of rAAV9 were used to compare quantitatively Ple254 and Ple255 with the ubiquitous promoter smCBA (Supplementary Figure S4). This methodology does not label the outer retina well, but does show the restricted expression of the two PAX6 MiniPs, and that overall expression strength was similar to the ubiquitous promoter.

Four MiniPs (Ple254, Ple255, Ple259, and Ple260) drove consistent expression in the inner nuclear and ganglion cell layers of the adult mouse retina (Figures 4–8). Images of the same MiniP presented in Figure 4 and Figure 5 come from different mice, and are representative of consistent results for at least four of the five eyes injected per construct. For Ple254, an inconsistent observation of expression in the outer nuclear layer was also seen. Colocalization of GFP and PAX6 immunofluorescent staining suggested that, Ple254, Ple255,
Ple259, and Ple260 consistently drove EmGFP expression in patterns that overlap with the expression of PAX6 in the adult mouse retina (Figure 5). More specifically, colocalization of GFP immunofluorescence with antibody staining for Brn-3 (a ganglion cell marker) and Syntaxin (an amacrine cell marker) revealed that Ple254, Ple255, Ple259, and Ple260 drove expression in ganglion and amacrine cells, cell types that endogenously express PAX6 (Figures 5–8). Ple255 also drove expression in horizontal cells, as initially identified by GFP immunostaining of cells in the inner nuclear layer with processes that extended through the outer plexiform layer (Figure 4), and subsequently by colocalization of GFP immunofluorescent staining with PAX6 (Figure 5) and the horizontal cell marker Calbindin-D-28K (Figure 7). Ple259 also drove expression in Müller glia, as initially identified by GFP immunostaining of cells residing in the inner nuclear layer and process that extended from the outer nuclear layer through the inner nuclear layer to the ganglion cell layer (Figures 4 and 5), and subsequently by colocalization of GFP immunofluorescent staining with the Müller glia marker SOX9 (Figure 8).41

**DISCUSSION**

A highly-interacting local neighborhood at the PAX6 locus defined the search area for predicting RRs. Chromatin interaction data has been shown to reflect the degree of interaction between pairs of fragments in the genome, including that of promoter and RRs.15 Using public Hi-C datasets for mouse and human cells, we found all previously published RRs that drive the endogenous expression of PAX6 to be within the PAX6-containing TADs in all four cell types examined. The identification of a highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood encompassing the PAX6 locus narrowed our focus to a region that contains 85% of the previously described PAX6 RRs. This indicated an association between 3D proximity and regulatory targets, which further supports the potential application of chromatin interaction data in guiding the identification of novel RRs of PAX6. Thus, we used the boundaries of the highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood to focus our bioinformatics work, within which we predicted RRs for use in PAX6 MiniP development.

PAX6 promoter analysis supported selection of P0 as the core promoter for MiniP development. CAGE data from the FANTOM5 consortium supports a three-promoter model of PAX6. In choosing amongst the PAX6 core promoters, the CAGE data revealed that P1 dominates transcript initiation in both the CNS and ocular tissues examined. However, it was also noted that P1 initiates transcription over a 300 bp region, raising concerns regarding the size of the promoter region that would be needed. To test this, we defined a small P1 promoter and tested it successfully in Ple260. At P0, the CAGE data revealed less, but clearly presents transcription initiation in the relevant tissues, which was focal. In addition, a core mouse promoter had previously been used from this site. In combination
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**Figure 3** Paired box 6 (PAX6) MiniPromoters, concatenations of regulatory elements found within the PAX6 containing highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood, were cloned into a custom recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) genome. (a) Seven MiniPromoters, named Ple254-Ple260, were designed by concatenating seven hand selected regulatory regions (RRs) with either the P0 (Ple254-Ple259) or P1 (Ple260) core promoter sequence. Ple254 and Ple260 are related in that they both contain RR4, but differ in that they contain P0 and P1 respectively. (b) Custom rAAV plasmid backbone streamlined assembly of viral genomes. A plasmid backbone was assembled to facilitate easy cloning of promoters and reporters into a rAAV genome. A representative viral genome (pEMS2043) contains a 5' inverted terminal repeat (light gray arrow pointing clockwise) restriction sites (AvrII, FseI, MluI, and Ascl), a representative MiniPromoter (Ple254; white arrow with black outline), chimeric intron, Emerald GFP (EmGFP) reporter open reading frame (ORF) (black arrow) flanked by NotI sites (the 5' NotI site forms a Kozak sequence with the 5' end of the reporter construct), Woodchuck Hepatitis Virus Posttranscriptional Regulatory Element (WPRE; dark gray arrow) flanked by AsII restriction sites, and a 3' inverted terminal repeat (light gray arrow pointing counter clockwise). The plasmid also carries an ampicillin resistance gene (black arrow) and a ColE1 origin of replication (gray rectangle).
with the α enhancer, this P0 mouse core promoter was found to drive expression in the retina of postnatal day 20 mice.\textsuperscript{58,64} Thus, we conservatively chose and successfully defined a small human P0 promoter in Ple254, Ple255, and Ple259.

Bioinformatic analysis recapitulated and refined previously published PAX6 RRs. During the Pleiades Promoter Project, MiniP design was largely based on RRs predicted using conservation, literature-based annotation, and early ChIP-chip data.\textsuperscript{8,9} Expanding on these techniques we used recent computational tools such as Hi-C chromatin capture, ENCODE, and FANTOM5 data sets to explore and evaluate the PAX6 locus.\textsuperscript{8,17,68} Using the highly-interactive regulatory neighborhood as a guide, we predicted RRs for PAX6 employing three criteria: conservation, TFBS, and predicted sequence classification (combined Segway and ChromHMM segmentation). This approach, while highlighting previously reported RRs such as CE1 and HS234Z, also revealed new potential RRs for future investigation.\textsuperscript{12,48,54} Pre-empting some of this work, it has been reported recently that sequencing of the PAX6 loci in elephant sharks revealed new RRs such as agCNE9 and agCNE11 which overlap with RR1 and RR2 respectively.\textsuperscript{51} Thus, this combined bioinformatics approach has potential for predicting regulatory elements to gain a deeper insight into how other genes are regulated, or to guide the design of other tissue- and cell-type specific MiniPs.

Nine bioinformatically predicted RRs were used to make seven MiniPs for rapid screening in rAAV2(QuadYF+TV). A total of 31 RRs were predicted to have high regulatory potential, of which 19 overlapped one or more previously published RRs. While it may have seemed easier to use the previously published RRs, many of

Figure 4  Four paired box 6 (PAX6) MiniPromoters drove consistent Emerald GFP (EmGFP) expression overlapping with PAX6 in the adult mouse retina. (a) Green (GFP antibody) labelling of mouse retinas transduced after intravitreal injection with rAAV2(QuadYF+TV) encoding PAX6 MiniPromoters driving EmGFP expression revealed MiniPromoter expression patterns. Ple254, Ple255, Ple259, and Ple260 all drove consistent EmGFP expression in the ganglion cell layer (GCL) and inner nuclear layer (INL) whereas Ple256, Ple257, and Ple258 drove inconsistent rare EmGFP expression. IPL, inner plexiform layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; scale bar, 50 μm (all images taken at same magnification).
these regions were unsuitable for this study. First, RRs that drove expression exclusively during development, or exclusively outside of the retina, were not suitable in the design of MiniPs for adult retinal expression. Second, MiniPs needed to be ~2 kb to be useful in the size-restricted rAAV genome. Consequently, new prediction of regulatory sequences was important to uncover elements of appropriate size for use in MiniPs, or emphasize the regions of previously described elements to allow for “cut down”.

In our experiments, it was essential that the tropism of the virus serotype did not limit the expression profile of the MiniPs. The initial published characterization of rAAV2(QuadYF+TV) demonstrated that it can transduce cells across the entire retina, and in particular

Figure 5 Ple254, Ple255, Ple259, and Ple260 drove expression overlapping with the expression pattern of paired box 6 (PAX6) in the mouse retina. Representative histological sections of mouse retinas transduced by intravitreally injected rAAV2(QuadYF+TV) encoding PAX6 MiniPromoters driving EmGFP (Emerald GFP). Green (GFP antibody) and red (PAX6 antibody) colabelling revealed that all four MiniPromoters drive EmGFP expression overlapping with elements of the PAX6 expression profile in the ganglion cell layer (GCL) and inner nuclear layer (INL). IPL, inner plexiform layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; ONL, outer nuclear layer; blue, Hoechst; arrows, examples of colabeled cells; scale bar, 50 µm (all images taken at same magnification).
the photoreceptors. Our recent work with MiniP Ple155 revealed that rAAV2(QuadYF+TV) can transduce bipolar cells. Here we demonstrate transduction of ganglion, amacrine, Müller glia, and horizontal cells, which in combination with previous efforts, provides evidence that rAAV2(QuadYF+TV) is capable of transducing all major cell types of the retina. Thus, the MiniPs drive the restricted expression profile observed rather than the virus serotype. This is further supported by the change in expression profile observed between PAX6 MiniPs, when RRs are shuffled while the injection technique, serotype, inverted terminal repeats, and all other viral components are held constant.

Four PAX6 MiniPs drove consistent EmGFP expression from rAAV when delivered intravitreally, which overlaps with PAX6 expression in the adult mouse retina. Using rAAV2(QuadYF+TV) to test MiniPs directly in the mouse eye, a departure from the more time consuming method of testing MiniPs in genome-engineered mice, we found that four of the seven MiniPs drive expression that overlaps with that of PAX6. Rather than producing a promoter that drove expression in a single PAX6 expressing cell type, the objective of this study was to generate a promoter that best recapitulated the entirety of the expression profile of PAX6 in the adult retina. Toward this objective two PAX6 MiniPs, Ple255 and Ple259, are particularly interesting in that each capture three of the four cell types that express PAX6, and together they capture the entirety of PAX6 expression in the adult mouse retina. As both specificity and strength are important features in a MiniP, both promoters are appealing candidates for future optimization to capture the entire adult retinal expression pattern of PAX6, and for use in PAX6 research and gene therapy for aniridia and other ocular diseases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Chromatin interaction from Hi-C dataset

Publically available datasets for TADs and Hi-C experiments using the restriction enzyme HindIII in mESC, mouse cortex cells from eight-week old male C57BL/6NCrl mice, iESC, and human IMR90 fibroblast cells were accessed to explore chromatin interactions at the PAX6 locus. Summary files from Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE35156), which listed paired-end reads mapped to mouse mm9 and human hg18 genome assemblies were retrieved. We mapped the genomic coordinates of TADs and paired-end reads, originally defined on the hg18 human assembly, onto the hg18 human assembly using the liftOver tool provided by the UCSC Genome Browser. Reads from duplicated Hi-C datasets of the same cell type were combined. Numbers of paired-end reads linking each possible pair of 10-kb bins were counted, and each 10-kb bin was set to overlap with 6kb of the bin that came before it. The datasets were plotted as two-dimensional heat maps using the “HiTC” R-package (www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/HiTC.html, version 1.6.0, R version 3.0.2).
Figure 7  Ple255 drove EmGFP (emerald GFP) expression in mouse retinal ganglion, amacrine, and horizontal cells. (a) Green (GFP antibody) and red (Brn-3 antibody, a retinal ganglion cell marker) colabelling revealed that Ple255 drives expression in retinal ganglion cells. (b) Green (GFP antibody) and red (Syntaxin antibody, an amacrine cell marker) colabelling revealed that Ple255 drives expression in amacrine cells. (c) Green (GFP antibody) and red (Calbindin-D-28K antibody, a horizontal cell marker) colabelling revealed that Ple255 drives expression in horizontal cells. GCL, ganglion cell layer; IPL, inner plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; ONL, outer nuclear layer; blue, Hoechst; arrows, examples of colabeled cells; scale bar, 50 µm (all images taken at same magnification).

Figure 8  Ple259 drove EmGFP (emerald GFP) expression in mouse retinal ganglion, amacrine, and Müller glia cells. (a) Green (GFP antibody) and red (Brn-3 antibody, retinal ganglion cell marker) colabelling revealed that Ple259 drives expression in retinal ganglion cells. (b) Green (GFP antibody) and red (Syntaxin antibody, amacrine cell marker) colabelling revealed that Ple259 drives expression in amacrine cells. (c) Green (GFP antibody) and red (SOX9 antibody, Müller glia marker) colabelling revealed that Ple259 drives expression in Müller glia. GCL, ganglion cell layer; IPL, inner plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; ONL, outer nuclear layer; blue, Hoechst; arrows, examples of colabeled cells; scale bar, 50 µm (all images taken at same magnification).
Local clustering approach to identify highly interactive neighborhoods
Highly interactive regions around PAX6 in all cells were identified through a local neighborhood clustering approach. A search was initiated from the PAX6 containing TAD of each corresponding cell type. In a sliding window analysis, each window contained 2n + 1 of the 10-kb bins, where n is the number of bins extended from the center bin of each given window in both directions, we summed the total interactions for the 2n + 1 consecutive bins. We determined the maximum interaction sum among all analyzed windows containing all PAX6 TSSs (as specified in UCSC Genes annotation), and reported the percentile of this observed sum relative to the distribution of all sums observed for all windows of the same size within the TAD. We reported this percentile (0–100) as the interactive score. The procedure was repeated for n from 5–45 for each cell type, and the highly interactive neighborhood was defined as the window of size 2n + 1 with the highest interactive score.

PAX6 transcription start sites
The promoter structure of PAX6 was delineated using capped 5′ mRNA end positions determined from FANTOM5 cap analysis gene expression (CAGE) data.46 PAX6 CAGE data was collected for all available human tissues using the ZENBU data explorer (http://fantom.gsc.riken.jp/zenbu/, accessed January 2014). The CAGE data was then manually curated to exclude reads from cancer cells and induced pluripotent stem cell experiments, generating the “All human tissues” group. From the “All human tissues” reads, the CNS tissue including neural retina was selected and copied into the “CNS tissues” group, similarly reads from ocular tissues (including the neural retina) were selected and copied into the “Ocular tissues” group. The resulting “CNS tissues” and “Ocular tissues” groups contained mutually exclusive subsets of the “All human tissues” group.

Computational prediction of RRs
The regulatory potential regions of territories within the PAX6 regulatory domain (chr11:31,616,062-31,848,751 on the hg19 assembly) was computed using three combined criteria: conservation, ChiP-seq supported TFBS, and predicted RRs from segmentation methods (Segway and ChromHMM).17 RPS for these three criteria were computed by applying a 200 bp sliding window to the PAX6 highly interactive neighborhood with a step size of 100 bp (n = 2,325). Each of the three criteria contributed a low, medium, or high RPS of 0.5, 1.0 respectively, for a maximum score of 3.0; details of the individual component scoring follow.

Conservation scores were computed using the 100 vertebrate phastCons data from the UCSC genome browser.47 For each window the mean phastCons score was calculated. The low, medium, and high score thresholds were determined by taking the distribution of the mean phastCons scores for each of the 2,325 scoring windows and applying a Gaussian mixture model using the R-statistics package (www.r-project.org, version 3.1.2) with the mixtools library (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mixtools/index.html, version 1.0.1). The data were consistent with two overlapping distributions. The right-hand distribution was consistent with selective pressure. The mean and standard deviation of this distribution was used to compute the score thresholds with a low, medium, and high RPS corresponding to mean phastCons scores ≤ 0.17, between 0.17 and 0.79, and > 0.79 respectively.

Predicted TFBSs within ChiP-seq peaks were retrieved from the MANTA database.40 Precisely, TFBSs were defined by scanning the peaks from a set of 477 TF ChiP-seq experiments from ENCODE (http://www.encodeproject.org, accessed March 2014)47 and PAZAR (www.pazarr.info, accessed March 2014)44 with the corresponding transcription factor binding profiles were retrieved from the JASPAR database of transcription factor binding site profiles (http://jaspar.genereg.net/, version 5.0).20,27–25 All positions within ChiP-seq peaks with a relative profile score ≥85% were recorded. The count of TFBSs within each scoring window was used to assign the RPS. Windows with either no TFBS or >10 TFBS were assigned a low RPS. The rationale for the latter is based on the idea that too many binding sites are suggestive of nonspecific binding properties.20 Windows with a count of 1–5 TFBS were assigned a medium RPS, and windows with 6–10 TFBS were assigned a high RPS. Similar to the phastCons scoring method, the choice of threshold on the number of predicted TFBS used to assign low, medium, or high RPS was determined based on the distribution of the TFBS counts.

The combined Segway and ChromHMM segmentation data17 was obtained from the ENCODE project at UCSC (http://genome.ucsc.edu/ ENCODE/downloads.html), accessed March 2014. All segments within the PAX6 regulatory domain predicted to be either weak enhancers, enhancers, promoter flanking regions, or TSS were used. Windows overlapping at least one enhancers or TSS element were assigned a high RPS. Windows overlapping at least one weak enhancers or promoter flanking regions element (but no enhancers or TSS elements) were assigned a medium RPS, and windows with no overlapping elements received a low RPS. For each of six profiled cell types (GM12878, H1-hESC, HeLa-S3, HepG2, HUVEC, and K562), the presence of enhancers, promoters, and TSS were documented.

Regulatory region selection and MiniPromoter design
Predicted RRs were hand selected for testing in rAAV as part of a MiniP. From the pool of predicted RRs, regions were excluded from selection if they overlapped with previously characterized RRs that drive expression exclusively outside of the eye, or exclusively during development. Conversely, regions were favored if they overlapped with previously published elements known to drive expression in the adult retina or were previously untested. The boundaries of each regulatory region were determined by conservation, where the edges were extended in a direction consistent with conservation up to the maximum size, or the loss of conservation constituted the boundary of the RR.

Cloning of the rAAV backbone and viral genomes
The expression cassette (EcoRI site, multiple cloning site, Mulu site, Ple251 MiniP, Ascl site, pC1 (chimeric intron), NotI site, icre open reading frame, NotI site, AsSI site, a mutant WPRE mut6 (ref. 65) sequence, AsSI site, SV40 poly-adenosine tail, and Sall site) was synthesized (DNA2.0, Menlo Park, CA) and cloned into the EcoRI/Sall sites of P2393 (pENN.AAV.tMCK. Pl.ILLuc.bgh; University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA). An EmGFp open reading frame, sequence from Vivid ColorsRcDNA6.2/N-emGFp-DEST (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), all with Mulu and Ascl restriction sites on the 5′ and 3′ ends respectively. The rAAV backbone and MiniPs were double digested with Mulu and Ascl, and the MiniPs were subsequently ligated into the rAAV backbone.

Packaging of viral genomes into rAAV2(Y272F, Y444F, Y500F, Y730F, and T491V) and rAAV9
rAAV vector plasmids were packaged into a capsid variant of rAAV2 with four tyrosine to phenylalanine mutations (Y272F+Y444F+Y500F+Y730F) and a threonine to valine (T491V) mutation, referred as rAAV2(QuadYF+TV).24 Packaging, purification, and the titre was measured at the University of Florida Retinal Gene Therapy Group vector lab as previously described.23 The virus was suspended in Balanced Salt Solution (Alcon Canada, Mississauga, Canada) + 0.014% tween 40 producing a minimum titre of 1012 viral genomes/ml (vg/ml) as determined by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). Virus was then shipped to the University of British Columbia on dry ice and stored at −80°C upon arrival. Additionally, a subset of the MiniPses (Ple254 and Ple255) and a ubiquitous control (smCBA) were packaged into rAAV9 at the Vector Core at the University of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia, PA).

Production of postnatal day 4 and 14 mice
Virus was injected into P14 B6129F1 hybrid mice generated by mating C57BL/6J (Jackson Laboratory, JAX Stock # 000664, Bar Harbor, ME) dams and 129S1/SvImJ (JAX Stock # 002448) sires. Mating cages were monitored daily for newborn pups, starting 18 days after the dam and sire were setup. The day the pups were found was recorded as postnatal day 0 (P0). Mouse pups were then left undisturbed in the mating cage with their parents until the day of injection, P4 or P14.

Intravitreal and intravenous injection of mouse pups
For intravitreal injections, virus was diluted to 8.22 × 1011 vg/ml with BSS (Alcon Canada, Mississauga, Canada) and further diluted 5 × 1012 vg/ml with BSS + 0.05% Fast Green (Sigma Aldrich, Catalog# F7252, St. Louis, MO). For each injection, 5 μl of virus was loaded into a BD Ultra-Fine II insulin syringe (Becton Dickinson, Catalog# 328289, Franklin Lakes, NJ). P14 mice
were anesthetized with isoflurane and placed under a dissection microscope. The right eye was covered in Refresh Lacri-lube (Allergen, Dublin, Ireland) and the left eye was washed with Eye Stream (Alcon Canada) shed treated with one or two drops of Alcaine Eye Drops (Alcon Canada). Mice were then ear notched for identification. Next a BD 26G3/8 hypodermic needle (Becton Dickinson Cat# 305110) was used to make an aperture through the conjunctiva adjacent to the limbus on the nasal side of the eye. Finally, the insulin syringe was inserted through the sclera on the temporal side of the eye, into the vitreavital space, and 5 µl of rAAV solution (5 × 1013 vg/ml) was administered.

For intravenous injections, viruses were diluted to 1 × 1011 vg/ml in phosphate-buffered saline +0.05% Fast Green (Sigma Aldrich) and 50 µl were injected into the superficial temporal vein using a 30-gauge needle and 1 cc syringe. Mouse pups were then tattooed for identification and returned to their cage.

Tissue harvesting, sectioning, fluorescent antibody staining, and epifluorescence quantification

Eyes were collected 40 days (intravitreal injections) and 28 days (intravenous injections) post injection, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 2 hours at 4°C, rinsed with phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and dehydrated in 25% sucrose overnight at 4°C. Eyes were embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. compound (Sakura Finetek, Catalog# 4583, Torrance, CA) and 16 µm (intravitreal injections) or 20 µm (intravenous injections) sections were cut with a Microm HM550 cryo- 

Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA).

For fluorescent antibody staining, sections were blocked for 30 minutes at room temperature in 10% bovine serum albumin (Sigma Aldrich, Catalog# A97906 St. Louis, MO) + 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich, Catalog# T8787, St. Louis, MO). Once blocked, sections were incubated in primary antibody stain (GGF antibody (1:100; AVES, Catalog# GGF-1020, Tigard, OR), PAX6 antibody (1:100; Covance Cat# PRB-278P, Princeton, NJ), Brn-3 antibody (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Catalog# sc-28595, Dallas, TX), Syntaxin antibody (1:100; Sigma-Aldrich Catalog# 50664, St. Louis, MO), Calbindin-D28K antibody (1:100; Sigma-Aldrich Catalog# C9848, St. Louis, MO), or SOX9 (1:100; Millipore, Catalog# ABES571, Billerica, MA) in phosphate buffer containing 2.5% bovine serum albumin with 0.1% Triton X-100 at room temperature for 2 hours. Next, sections were rinsed 3 times for 5 minutes each in phosphate buffer and stained with a secondary antibody (either Alexa594 conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin, Alexa594 conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin, or Alexa488 conjugated goat anti-chicken immunoglobulin (1:1,000; Molecular Probes Catalog numbers A-11012, A-11095, and A-11039 respectively, Eugene, OR)) and counter stained with Hoechst (1:1,000 from 2 µg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich Catalog# 681405, St. Louis, MO) for 1 hour at RT. Sections were given 3 five-minute washes and were mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (Life Technologies, Catalog# P36930, Carlsbad, CA). Sections were imaged on a Bx61 Microscope (Olympus America, Centre Valley, PA) using cellSens software (Olympus America, Centre Valley, PA) at either 10× magnification (Figures 4 and 5) or 20× magnification (Figures 6–8). Raw image files were converted to composite image files using cellSens software (Olympus America, Centre Valley, PA) at either 10× magnification (Figures 4 and 5) or 20× magnification (Figures 6–8). Raw image files were converted to composite image files using cellSens software (Olympus America, Centre Valley, PA) at either 10× magnification (Figures 4 and 5) or 20× magnification (Figures 6–8). Raw image files were converted to composite image files using cellSens software (Olympus America, Centre Valley, PA) at either 10× magnification (Figures 4 and 5) or 20× magnification (Figures 6–8). Raw image files were converted to composite image files using cellSens software (Olympus America, Centre Valley, PA) at either 10× magnification (Figures 4 and 5) or 20× magnification (Figures 6–8).

For epifluorescence quantification, sections were imaged on a Bx61 Microscope (Olympus America, Centre Valley, PA) at either 10× magnification (Figures 4 and 5) or 20× magnification (Figures 6–8). Raw image files were converted to composite, or single color, TIFF images. To produce images with only partial blue and green epifluorescence of background readings. All images were taken at the same excitation and at the same exposure time. Images were imported and the multichannel images were exported as composite, or single color, TIFF images.
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