THz Beam Shaper Realizing Fan-Out Patterns
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Abstract Fan-out elements create an array of beams radiating at particular angles along the propagation axis. Therefore, they are able to form a matrix of equidistant spots in the far-field diffraction region. In this work, we report on the first fan-out structures designed for the THz range of radiation. Two types of light-dividing fan-out structures are demonstrated: (i) the 3×1 matrix fan-out structure based on the optimized binary phase grating and (ii) the 3×3 fan-out structure designed on the basis of the well-known Dammann grating. The structures were generated numerically and manufactured using the 3D printing technique with polyamide PA12. To obtain equal powers and symmetry of diffracted beams, the computer-aided optimization algorithm was used. Diffractive optical elements designed for 140 and 282 GHz were evaluated experimentally at both these frequencies using illumination with the wavefront coming from the point-like source. Described fan-out elements formed uniform intensity and equidistant energy distribution in agreement with the numerical simulations.
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1 Introduction

The aim of this study was to design, manufacture, and examine diffractive optical structures that divide the light into a precise number of beams in the THz range. They can be used for fan-out applications, for example for THz communication [1] and space observation [2]. Moreover, they can be applied in cases where it can be helpful to illuminate the object with a larger number of points (or lines) to increase the spatial resolution of scanning or imaging. For example, the THz postal scanner [3] that utilizes a hyperbolic-lens-like structure to focus
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the beam from the source into a one line segment in the focal plane was previously described. Such a line-shape wavefront propagates through the scanned medium and it is then registered by the field effect transistors (FET) [4]. The FET detectors cannot be placed close to each other due to the soldering difficulties. Placing them in the three-line-configuration, where each is shifted in relation to the other, reduces the problem of low resolution. For the three lines of detectors to work correctly, it was necessary to split the illumination beam into three. As a result of that arrangement, the spatial resolution can be significantly increased [5]. Multiple light beams with similar power distribution are also crucial in guiding and positioning systems [6]. The fan-out systems that operate in the THz range can also be successfully applied on board of helicopters to improve safety while encountering the brown-out or white-out when landing [7].

Nowadays, commercial fan-out elements for visible light split the incident light into a defined number of diffraction orders with equal powers. Moreover, the advanced shaping of the beam (its controlling and changing into different patterns) can be most effectively realized by diffractive optical elements [8]. Such elements are widely used for contact-less testing, metrology, remote sensing etc. In case of fan-out elements an array of dots (or different shapes like lines, circles, etc.) can be generated by the use of either Dammann gratings [9] or periodic structures coded as kinoforms (continuous-relief elements) [10]. They can obtain diffraction efficiencies up to 80 and 95%, respectively [11]. By using more complicated structures, we can obtain fan-out optical elements (OE) optimized with Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm [12] or OE that generate sub-diffraction-limit spot arrays [13]. The continuous-relief elements are difficult to manufacture and are sensitive to fabrication errors. That can significantly decrease the uniformity of the generated diffraction orders intensity [14]. Although the fan-out elements based on Dammann gratings have lower diffractive efficiencies, they are much simpler in manufacturing. Furthermore, their optimization algorithms are already well-known [9].

Using fan-out elements for the THz range of radiation seems a very desiring and interesting solution, therefore, we demonstrated two types of such structures: (i) the 3×1 matrix fan-out structure based on the optimized binary phase grating and (ii) the 3×3 fan-out structure designed on the basis of the well-known Dammann grating [9, 15, 16]. Nevertheless, it should be underlined that the Dammann grating used in the visible range of electromagnetic waves consists of thousands of periods. For the wavelength in the range of millimeters, only few periods form the whole structure. Therefore, the optimization process is necessary [17]. Originally, the Dammann grating is a binary phase (0, \( \pi \)) structure forming an array illumination. Each period of such a grating consists of rectangular elements introducing either 0 or \( \pi \) phase retardation. In case of binary phase transmittances, we can expand the theoretical 1D considerations into a 2D grating by multiplying two 1D optical elements.

Most of the fan-out structures are designed for an ideal plane wave illumination. In this case, there is a need for two optical elements: one to form a plane wave and a second one to form the fan-out motif. The structures proposed in this article were designed to be illuminated directly with the divergent wavefront coming from THz source (e.g., directly from the horn). The modeled structures consisted of the described optimized phase grating and a converging lens. As a result, 2-in-1 structures were obtained that can replace two independent elements: a focusing lens and a separating optical fan-out element.

Due to our broad experience in designing THz optical elements (broad band double side lenses [18], high-order kinoforms [19], diffractive paper lenses [20], hyperbolical lenses [3], lens-like structures with different focal curves [21, 22], different materials can be listed as possible solutions of manufacturing described fan-out elements: paper [20], high-density
polyamide—HDPE [18], polyamide 12 - PA12 [3], metal [23] etc. Moreover, depending on used material various manufacturing techniques must be used, like 3D printing [24], milling, laser cutting, etc. The 3D printing technology is fast growing field [25–27]. The structures proposed in this paper were created in the selective laser sintering 3D printing technology (SLS), which allows good enough resolution. PA12 material was chosen for structure production for its relatively good transmission, and possibility of printing from it on SLS [28, 29]. Manufactured structures were lightweight, durable, and low-cost that is indispensable in outdoor applications, or in other adverse conditions. For predetermined wavelengths (1.064 and 2.141 mm, corresponding to 140 and 282 GHz) manufacturing accuracy was sufficient and fulfilled the optical requirements.

2 Design of Fan-Out Element Forming 3×1 and 3×3 Arrays

The numerical modeling for the 3×1 fan-out structure is based on a basic binary grating with 0–π phase retardation. This type of the structure has never been created for the THz range of frequencies. The 3×1 fan-out structure was designed and manufactured for two particular types of sources that generate the radiation with frequencies 140 and 282 GHz. For the 3×1 element, the algorithm used to optimize parameters of the binary phase grating was developed assuming finite dimensions of the structure. We analyzed different values of φ-phase delay introduced by the grating and different values of its shift – S (the grating can be ideally symmetrical or not), marked in Fig. 1.

As a result of the optimization algorithm (Fig. 2), two parameters: \( \varphi_{\text{OPTIMAL}} \) and \( S_{\text{OPTIMAL}} \) were determined for a specific wavelength \( \lambda \) and an angle of diffraction (closely related to the grating period).

At first, the optimization of the grating shift – \( S \) was carried out to obtain perfectly symmetrical output beams in ±1 order of diffraction. The initial phase retardation was set to \( \pi \). As a result a phase grating with a 0.5 fill factor was obtained. Such a grating deflects in summary 81% of the incoming radiation on +1st and −1st orders (and the rest of the energy is redirected into higher odd orders of diffraction). To focus some energy into 0th order of diffraction, it was necessary to change the introduced phase delay (from \( \pi \) value). The phase delay value was optimized until equal intensity in +1st, −1st and 0th orders of diffraction was obtained. At the end of the algorithm, the phase distribution of the converging lens was added to the phase distribution of the grating. Introducing the lens with focal length equal to 300 mm corrected the divergent wavefront coming from the point-like source placed at a distance of \( Z_A = 300 \) mm before the fan-out structure.

**Fig. 1** The schematic of the diffraction grating phase profile. Optimized parameters \( \varphi \) (phase step value) and \( S \) (shift of the grating) are marked. This graph corresponds to the cross-section of the designed structure, where \( X \) is its horizontal axis and \( Y \) is the height of the structure (strictly referred to its phase retardation).
The 3×3 fan-out structure design was carried out on the basis of the Dammann gratings that are described in the literature [9, 15–17]. The designed fan-out element consisted of the Dammann grating that is able to create a 3×3 array of points with the diffraction angle of 6° and a converging lens (with a focal length \( f = 495 \) mm). Such focal length compensates the diverging wavefront coming from the point-like source located at a distance \( Z_A = 495 \) mm before the designed element. This element was designed only for the wavelength equal to 1.064 mm corresponding to the frequency of 282 GHz.

### 3 Numerical Modeling Results

The modeling of all elements was carried out on calculation arrays of 4096 × 4096 pixels with the sampling of 117 \( \mu \text{m} \times 117 \mu \text{m} \) for both frequencies: 140 and 282 GHz. Sampling used in the simulations was determined by the precision of the manufacturing device. The structures were modeled on square calculation arrays with the assumption that they are illuminated by the point-like source. The real size of the horn was also considered in the computer modeling.
Figure 3a presents the phase distribution of the 3×1 fan-out OE designed for 140 GHz (design wavelength DWL = 2.141 mm) with the grating period of 21.57 mm for the diffraction angle of about 6°. Figure 3b shows the phase distribution of the 3×1 fan-out OE for 282 GHz (DWL 1.064 mm) with the grating period equal to 10.7 mm for the same diffraction angle.

The scheme of the modeled systems is shown in Fig. 4. The numerical modeling was performed with the use of LightSword 6.0 software (LS 6.0) which is based on the wave approach of the propagation phenomenon modeling. Propagation in free space is calculated on the basis of the decomposition of complex light waves into plane waves. Additionally, for better consistence with experimental results, the volume algorithm based on modified beam propagation method (mBPM) [30] was used. Source spatial dimensions were modeled to correspond with dimensions of experimental setups. We assumed the source diameter of 18 and 6.5 mm, respectively for 140 and 282 GHz.

The intensity distribution was examined for three different distances (ZB): 100, 300, and 900 mm. The computer modeling results are presented in Tables 1 and 2 in Section 5.

For the 3×3 fan-out element case, the structure was designed only for the frequency of 282 GHz (DWL 1.064 mm). The 3×3 fan-out optical element consisted of the Dammam grating with 10.7-mm period and a focusing lens with the focal length of 495 mm. The structure was designed as a circle with a diameter of 160 mm. Because of bigger diameter of the structure the distance from the source to the structure was enlarged to assure uniform illumination of the OE. Figure 5a presents the phase distribution of the designed 3×3 fan-out OE for 282 GHz and Fig. 5b the scheme of the modeled system. Due to the experimental setup configuration, the designed OE was illuminated with the divergent wavefront (radiating from the point-like source distant \( Z_A = 495 \) mm from it). The separation of diffracted beams occurred relatively far away and could not have been easily measured by the used detector. The intensity pattern in the far-field diffraction region (Fraunhofer diffraction zone) is described by the Fourier transform of the input wavefront (with scaling factor). Therefore, we decided to perform the measurement of the corresponding intensity pattern in the Fourier plane of the additional lens. The intensity pattern observed in this plane is qualitatively the same as the pattern in the far-field region. Thus, an additional converging lens with focal length \( f = 300 \) mm and diameter \( d = 300 \) mm was added. It focused the radiation and the distribution was scanned in its Fourier plane.

![Fig. 3](image-url)  The phase distribution of the 3×1 fan-out optical element for a 140 and b 282 GHz
The intensity distribution was modeled for the distance $Z_B = 300$ mm behind the additional converging lens (in Fourier plane). The computer modeling results are presented in Fig. 9 (results Section 5).

4 Experimental Results

All three optical elements were manufactured using the laser sintering 3D printing method [18] with polyamide 12 (PA12) material. The refractive index of PA12 material for both frequencies was $n = 1.59$. These parameters were determined using the TDS system prior to the start of the experiment.

Created structures had spatially variable thickness (they were kinoform structures and their photographs are shown in Fig. 6), therefore calculation of exact attenuation of the elements was very difficult. For 140 GHz the structure thickness varied from 1 mm (thickness of the substrate layer) to 4.6 mm (highest phase shift area) with absorption coefficient equal to 0.3 cm$^{-1}$, the attenuation would change from 0.03 to 0.14 dB. The thickness of the structure for 282 GHz varied from 1 to 2.8 mm with absorption coefficient equal to 1.6 cm$^{-1}$, so the absorption should change in between 0.16–0.45 dB.

Table 1 The comparison of the computer modeling and the experimental results for the 3×1 fan-out OE for 140 GHz frequency at the distances $Z_B$ equal to 100, 300, and 900 mm

| Distance $Z_B$ | Computer modeling | Experimental results |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| $Z_B = 100$ mm | ![Image](image1.png) | ![Image](image2.png) |
| $Z_B = 300$ mm | ![Image](image3.png) | ![Image](image4.png) |
| $Z_B = 900$ mm | ![Image](image5.png) | ![Image](image6.png) |

| Normalized intensity values for $Z_B = 900$ mm |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1 order 0.83 |
| 0 order 1.00 |
| +1 order 0.82 |
| 1 order 0.79 |
| 0 order 1.00 |
| +1 order 0.78 |
The source used in the optical system had power of 29 mW for 140 GHz and 4.5 mW for 282 GHz. The pyroelectric sensor used as a detector for both wavelengths had the sensitivity of 44.8 V/W. Moreover, the diameter of pyroelectric window was 20 mm. However, the scanning step was equal to 5 mm to increase the resolution, which is visible in experimental results (Tables 1 and 2 and Fig. 9).

The scheme of the optical system used to measure the 3×1 fan-out element for 140 and 282 GHz frequencies is shown in Fig. 7. Additionally, to increase the sensitivity of the optical system (emitter-detector) the lock-in system was used.

The experimental results showing the intensity distribution were examined for three different distances ($Z_B$) 100, 300, and 900 mm and are presented in Table 1 for 140 GHz and Table 2 for 282 GHz in Section 5.

The scheme of the experimental optical system for the 3×3 fan-out element for 282 GHz case is shown in Fig. 8a. The additional converging lens was used here (shown in Fig. 8b) to focus the radiation into Fourier plane instead of Fraunhofer diffraction region. This lens was HDPE lens that was previously designed and was a high order kinoform [19] having the focal length equal to 300 mm and the diameter equal to 300 mm. Thus, the Fourier plane was located at a distance of 300 mm behind the converging lens (where the scanning plane was). The manufactured 3×3 fan-out optical element is presented in Fig. 8c.

| Distance | $Z_B = 100$ mm | $Z_B = 300$ mm | $Z_B = 900$ mm |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Computer modeling | ![Image](image1.png) | ![Image](image2.png) | ![Image](image3.png) |
| Experimental results | ![Image](image4.png) | ![Image](image5.png) | ![Image](image6.png) |

Table 2 The comparison of the computer modeling and the experimental results for the 3×1 fan-out OE for 282 GHz frequency at the distances of 100, 300, and 900 mm

| 3×1 Fan-out optical element for 282 GHz | Normalized intensity values for $Z_B = 900$ mm |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Computer modeling | ![Image](image7.png) | ![Image](image8.png) | ![Image](image9.png) |
| Experimental results | ![Image](image10.png) | ![Image](image11.png) | ![Image](image12.png) |
| Distance | $Z_B = 100$ mm | $Z_B = 300$ mm | $Z_B = 900$ mm |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Computer modeling | ![Image](image13.png) | ![Image](image14.png) | ![Image](image15.png) |
| Experimental results | ![Image](image16.png) | ![Image](image17.png) | ![Image](image18.png) |

Fig. 5 a) The phase distribution of the 3×3 fan-out optical element designed for 282 GHz, b) the scheme of the modeled optical system for this frequency
Originally, the designed and manufactured structure for the 3×3 fan-out element case originally had a diameter of 160 mm. To obtain a satisfactory separation of diffracted beams at a distance $Z_B = 300$ mm, the optical element was further limited with the metallic aperture absorbing THz radiation attached to the flat side of the lens. This aperture limited the active diameter to 80 mm. The photograph of the structure with the marked size of the aperture is presented in Fig. 8c. In the experimental evaluation, we decided to limit the aperture because then we obtained better results which could be due to the fact that the compensating lens was designed in paraxial approach. Therefore, it could introduce mistakes at the edges of the structure causing its lower efficiency, and the aperture was finally limited. Additionally, shadow effect could decrease the efficiency at the edges [31]. The experimental results showing the intensity distribution were examined for the distance of 300 mm and are presented in Fig. 9 in Section 5.

5 Results

As previously mentioned, the pyroelectric window had the diameter equal to 20 mm. The sampling in computer simulations was 117 μm × 117 μm (resulting from production process requirements and accuracy). Therefore, in numerical modeling process the size of the detector was taken into account (as a convolution of the simulation data with the aperture of detector.
window) that enabled a comparison between results obtained for the computer modeling and the experiment.

Table 1 presents the modeling and the experimental results for the 3×1 fan-out optical element for 140 GHz.

At the distance $Z_B = 900$ mm there were exactly three separated points with similar intensities. The element was designed as an optimized diffraction grating which theoretically forms the desired intensity pattern in the infinity. In the experimental setup, the measurement of the intensity pattern was carried out at a distance corresponding to the far-field region.

Fig. 8 The 3×3 fan-out element case, for 282 GHz. a The scheme of the real optical system, b the additional converging lens used in the optical system having $f = 300$ mm and $d = 300$ mm made from HDPE material, which was used to shorten the necessary measurement distance. c The manufactured 3×3 fan-out optical element with a side length equal to 160 mm. The red arrow indicated limited area to the diameter of 80 mm.

Fig. 9 The comparison of the computer modeling and the experimental data for the 3×3 fan-out element case for 282 GHz frequency. In the modeling process the size of the detector was taken into account.
(Z_B = 900 mm). For the designed diameter of the structure, it can be assumed that the distance of 900 mm belongs to the far-field region. For the THz frequencies, the OE consisted of a small number of periods which additionally reduced its efficiency and led to the non-uniformity of intensity in all three diffraction orders.

The intensity of the 0th order spot was bigger (around 20%), but it should be underlined that all intensities should be equal in infinity. As it is shown in intensity levels, theoretical and experimental intensity distribution variation is similar. The results of the intensity distribution were normalized to 0th order of diffraction to clearly present the uniformity of intensity distribution between the three beams. It is worth noticing that the +1st and −1st orders of diffraction were almost equal, therefore the algorithm optimizing symmetry was carried out properly.

The source of 282 GHz radiation had smaller power, which resulted in a relatively large noise to information ratio in the experimental results. Additionally, although the structure for 282 GHz was thinner, it transmitted less radiation than structure designed for 140 GHz. It was a result of PA12’s bigger attenuation coefficient value for higher frequency.

While discussing the results illustrated in Table 2, it should be taken into consideration that the frequency of 282 GHz was likely to be the limit of the sensitivity of the detector. Nevertheless, the three spots were separated properly corresponding to the simulation results but they had less energy in comparison with the results obtained for 140 GHz. For both frequencies, 140 and 282 GHz, we were able to optimize the structure, so that three spots, that had similar intensities were visible in the far-field region (here Z_B = 900 mm). As it can be seen, the size of the spots in Table 2 is bigger than in Table 1. It corresponds to the theory stating that for the same aperture size of the element the spot size depends on the frequency (directly proportional).

Figure 9 illustrates the intensity distribution of the computer modeling and the experimental data in the Fourier plane. The separation of spots in a 3×3 array is consistent with simulation predictions and the intensities of spots are similar. The additional beams that are quite well seen in the simulation results are almost not seen in the experiment due to their value being similar to the registered noise.

6 Conclusion

Depending on the final use of the fan-out elements, a pre-defined number of diffracted beams can be easily formed. Diffraction efficiency of the presented optical elements is high enough to provide a full separation at a particular distance (corresponding to the Fraunhofer region). One durable structure can replace the need to use a matrix of sources. It radically simplifies the design and reduces the mechanical sensitivity of the system. It will also enable to preserve mutual coherence of multiple beams in case when it is needed.

In the experiment, the 3×3 fan-out structure showed better efficiency and therefore better results. That is caused mostly by the fact that additional converging lens was used in the experiment, which enabled observation not in the far-field region but in the Fourier plane and allowed to obtain larger intensities of spots in array. Moreover, in comparison with the 3×1 fan-out structure, the 3×3 fan-out structure was a Dammann-like structure that was optimized to assure uniform distribution between all the spots in the designed array.
Therefore, the designed 3×1 and 3×3 fan-out structures may have the practical use in illuminating systems in the THz range of radiation including the THz scanners [3], the THz multipoint communication systems [1], and the space observation instruments [2].
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