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Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of young and mature mango (Mangifera indica) and avocado (Persea americana) leave extracts
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Abstract

Polyphenols are groups of secondary metabolites in plants, known with their various biological activities, including their ability to act as antioxidants. Due to the side effects of the use of synthetic antioxidants on human's health, the search for natural less toxic compounds has significantly increased. This study was carried out to evaluate the phenolic content and antioxidant activity of young and mature avocado (Persea americana) and mango leaves (Mangifera indica). Different extracts were prepared by maceration in methanol, ethanol, cold and hot water. The phenolic content of the extracts was determined using the Folic-Ciocalteu Method. A total of three antioxidant tests were done on the extracts: the 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl test (DPPH test), the Ferric reducing antioxidant power and the Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity. Results of these investigations generally showed that the mature leaves of mango and young leaves of avocado exhibited the highest phenolic and flavonoid contents, as well as the antioxidant activity. They can be recommended as good sources of antioxidants to reduce the damages caused by free radicals and reactive oxygenated species in the body. They can also be recommended as source of antioxidants for the preservation of oils, food containing lipids and pharmaceutical products.
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Introduction

Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) and free radicals are molecules that are naturally produced in living organisms from metabolic reactions [1]. They play some beneficial role in the body as they contribute in the destruction of microorganisms or pathogens. However, when they are produced in excess they lead in the body to oxidative stress [2]. It is well known nowadays that oxidative stress is the number one killer in the world as it has been proven to be implicated in several degenerative disorders such as mutagenesis, cardiovascular diseases, carcinogenesis, Parkinson’s disease [3]. Oxidative stress does not only affect living organisms, they are also harmful to the food industry by promoting oxidation reactions of oils, fats, and food containing lipids leading to the reduction of their nutritional value and organoleptic properties [4].

Several biological molecules have been proven capable of preventing or delaying oxidative stress in living organisms and foods. Those molecules have the capacity to donate their hydrogen atom for the stabilization of free radicals or Reactive Oxygen Species. They are generally called antioxidants [4]. Two different types of antioxidants exist, synthetic and natural...
Material and Methods

Material

Young and mature leaves of Mango (*Mangifera indica*) and Avocado (*Persea americana*) were freshly harvested from the Wokeka farm of the Catholic University Institute of Buea, Muea, South-West Region, Cameroon, in February 2018. All the chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

Methods

Extraction of natural antioxidants

Polyphenols were extracted from plant materials using the maceration method, as described by Womeni *et al.* [5]. The fresh leaves (young and mature) were cleaned, and cut into small pieces using a knife, in order to facilitate the drying process. After this, the leaves were dried in an electric air-dried oven at 45 °C for 48 hours. The dried leaves were ground in a blender machine (Moulinex) and sieved (Diameter of pore: 1mm). About 20 g of each powder was extracted into 200 ml of Methanol, Ethanol, Water and Boiled water respectively. The mixture was regularly subjected to shaking during the extraction. After the 48 hours of maceration, the mixture was filtered with a Whatman No.1 filter paper. The obtained filtrates were subjected to rotatory evaporation at 45 °C under reduced pressure for the removal of the solvent, and the solvent residues was removed by drying the extract at 45 °C until the extract became solid and the weight constant. The dried extracts were stored at 4 °C for further analysis.

Determination of the total phenolic content

The total phenolic content of Mango and Avocado leaves was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric method, as described by Gao *et al.* [14]. In a test tube of 5 ml volume, 20 µl of a 2 mg/ml extract solution was added, followed by the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (0.2 ml) and distilled water (2 ml). After 3 min incubation of the solution mixture at room temperature, 1 ml of 20% sodium carbonate solution was added and the mixture re-incubated for 20 min under the same conditions. The absorbance of the resulting blue-coloured solution was measured at 765 nm using a spectrophotometer. The total phenolic content of the extract was calculated from the gallic acid standard curve, and expressed as milligrams equivalents gallic acid per gram of extract.

Determination of the total flavonoid content

Aluminium chloride method was used for flavonoid determination using the method described by Quettier *et al.* [15]. 0.1ml of each extract was mixed with 1.9ml distilled water, then 0.1 ml 10% aluminium chloride-hexa hydrate, 0.1 ml 1M potassium acetate and 2.8 ml of distilled water were added. The reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 40 minutes. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 415nm. Cathechin (0.2mg/ml) was used as a standard. Total flavonoid content was expressed as mg CAT/g of extract.
Determination of the antioxidant activity

**DPPH radical scavenging assay** The radical scavenging ability of the extracts was determined according to the method of Braca et al. [16]. 4.5 ml of 0.002% alcoholic solution of DPPH was added to 0.5 ml of different concentrations (125, 250, 500, 1000 and 2000 µg/ml) of samples and standard solutions separately, in order to have final concentrations of products of 25-200 µg/ml. The samples were kept at room temperature in the dark and after 30 min and the absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at 517 nm. The absorbance of the samples, control and blank was measured in comparison with methanol. Synthetic antioxidant, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), which is a recognized powerful hydrogen donor, was used as positive control. The antiradical activity (AA) was determined using the following formula:

\[
AA\% = \left(\frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Where \(\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}\) was the absorbance of control and \(\text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}\) the absorbance of the sample or standard.

**Ferric reducing antioxidant power** The antioxidant potential of Mango and Avocado leave extracts was also evaluated by their ability to reduce iron (III) to iron (II) following the method of Oyaiyu [17]. An aliquot of 0.5 ml plant extract (125, 250, 500, 1000 and 2000 µg/ml) was mixed with 1 ml phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 1 ml of 1% aqueous \(\text{K}_3\text{Fe} (\text{CN})_6\) solution, well shaken and incubated at 50 °C for 30 min. After incubation, 1 ml of 10% TCA solution was added to stop the reaction and the mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. 1.5 ml of supernatant, 1.5 ml of distilled water and 0.1 ml of 0.1% \(\text{FeCl}_3\) solution were mixed and incubated for 10 min and absorbance read at 700 nm on spectrophotometer. A sample blank, containing all the reagents but no extract was prepared in the same conditions. Catechin, a recognized powerful ferric reducer, was used as positive control to compare the reducing power of the extracts. A higher absorbance indicates a higher reducing power.

**Hydroxyl radical scavenging ability** The hydroxyl radical scavenging capacity of the leaves extracts was evaluated by the method described by Olabinri et al. [18]. 60µl of \(\text{FeSO}_4\cdot7\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (1 mM) was added to 90µl of aqueous 1.10 phenanthrolone(1 mM), 2.4 ml of 0.2 M phosphate buffer pH 7.8 was added to the above mixture, followed by addition of 150 µl of hydrogen peroxide (0.17 mM) and 1.5ml of different concentrations of sample in sequence. The mixture was incubated for 5min at room temperature. The absorbance of the mixture was read at 560 nm against blank. All readings were taken in triplicate and Catechin was used as the standard. The % inhibition was calculated by following equation.

\[
\% \text{ Hydroxyl radical scavenging capacity} = \left(\frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Where \(\text{Abs}_{\text{control}}\) was the absorbance of control and \(\text{Abs}_{\text{sample}}\) was the absorbance of the sample or standard.

**Statistical analysis**

Results obtained in the present study were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnet and Student-Newman-Keuls tests using Graphpad-InStat version 3.05, to evaluate the statistical significance of the data. A probability value at \(p<0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

**Results and discussion**

**Total Phenolic content**

Phenolic compounds are the major secondary metabolites found in plants which are used for their defence. In many studies the antioxidant activity of plant extracts has been attributed to these molecules [5, 19, 20]. The Total Phenolic content of Young and Mature Mangifera indica and Persea americana leaves measured by the Folin-Ciocalteu method is presented in Figure 1 (A-B). From Figure 1 (A) it is clearly observed that the methanolic and ethanolic extracts of Young Avocado leaves and the hot aqueous extract of Mature Avocado leaves have exhibited the highest phenolic content. No significant difference (\(p>0.05\)) was recorded between these samples. However, their total phenolic content was significantly higher (\(p<0.05\)) than that of the other extracts. No significant difference (\(p>0.05\)) was registered between the phenolic content of the ethanolic, methanolic and cold aqueous extract of Mature Avocado leaves and cold and hot aqueous extract of Young leaves. In Figure 1 (B) it is clear that the ethanolic and methanolic extracts of Young and Mature Mangifera indica have exhibited the highest total phenolic content compared to the cold and hot aqueous extracts of these same samples. However, the phenolic content of the ethanolic and methanolic extracts of Young Mango leaves was higher (\(p<0.05\)) than that of the Mature leaves of the same plant.

Generally, the total phenolic content of all the extracts was falling within 40-90 mg GAE/g. The fact that Mangifera indica and Persea americana extracts are rich in phenolic compounds has already been proven. Kaur et al. [21] reported that the total phenolic content of Mangifera indica bark aqueous, ethanolic and methanolic extracts were respectively 128.6, 196.5, and 166.7 mg GAE/ml respectively. In the same line, Vinha et al. [22] demonstrated that the total phenolic content of Algarvian Avocado (Persea americana) pulp, skin and seeds were respectively 410.2, 679.0, and 704.0 mg/100 g respectively. The values obtained by Kaur et al. [21] were significantly higher than those obtained in this study. However, the data obtained in this work
with *Persea americana* was significantly higher than that obtained by Vinha et al. [22]. The difference observed between the total phenolic content obtained in this study and those reported in the literature can be attributed to genotypic and environmental differences (climate, temperature, location) between these plants. The choice of the part tested, the harvesting period, the extraction and characterization methods [23, 24]. From this study it was noticed that Young leaf extracts were richer in phenolic compounds than Mature ones. Similar results were previously reported by Habermann et al. [6] with the young and mature leaves of *Blepharocalyx salicifolius*.

**Total flavonoid content**

Flavonoids are the most represented family of phenolic compounds. They have been proven to have good antioxidant activity through several mechanisms of action [25]. This has been related to their complex structure compared to that of phenolic acids. The flavonoid content of *Mangifera indica* and *Persea americana* extracts are illustrated in Figure 2 (A-B). The methanolic, cold and hot aqueous extracts of Mature *Persea americana* leaves and the ethanolic, methanolic and hot aqueous extracts of the Young leaves of this same plant have exhibited significantly higher (p<0.05) flavonoid content compared to the other extracts. However, the highest value was recorded with the methanolic extract of its Young leaves (Figure 2A). In Figure 2B, apart from the hot aqueous extract of Mature *Mangifera indica* leaves and the cold and hot aqueous extracts of its Young leaves which have presented the lowest (p<0.05) flavonoid content, all the other extracts have exhibited significantly higher (p<0.05) total flavonoid content.

From this analysis, it is clear that the samples that have presented good phenolic content have also exhibited good flavonoid content. Generally, the total flavonoid content of this plant extract varied from 6 to 14 mg CAT/g. The presence of flavonoids in *Persea americana* extract has already been reported. Vinha et al. [22] demonstrated that the total flavonoid content of *Persea americana* pulp, skin and seed were respectively 21.9, 44.3, and 47.9 mg/100 g. On the other hand Arukwe et al. [26] showed that the total flavonoid content of *Persea americana*’s leaf, fruit and seed were respectively 8.11, 4.25 and 1.90 mg/100 g. In the same line, Duresa [27] reported the presence of flavonoids in *Mangifera indica* and *Persea americana* fruits. The total flavonoid content obtained in this study was significantly higher than those reported by these authors. The environmental conditions, the part of the plant used, the nature of the extraction solvent and the age of the plant can explain these variations [23, 24].

**Antioxidant activity**

**DPPH radical scavenging assay**

In this study, the free radical scavenging capacity of *Mangifera indica* and *Persea americana* extracts was also evaluated and the results are presented in Figure 3 (A-B). Generally, the DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity of the extracts of both plants was significantly increasing (p<0.05) with their concentration. In Figure 3 (A), at concentration 25 µg/ml, the activities of the ethanolic and methanolic extracts of Young *Persea americana* leaves were significantly higher (p<0.05) than that of BHT and all the other samples. At concentration 50 µg/ml, the aqueous and organic solvent extracts of Young Avocado leaves and the methanolic extract of Mature leaves of this same plant were significantly higher (p<0.05) than that of the synthetic antioxidant tested. However, at concentration 100 µg/ml apart from the methanolic and hot aqueous extracts of the Mature leaves which presented significantly lower (p<0.05) radical scavenging activity, the other extracts exhibited similar activity with the BHT. At concentration 200 µg/ml, all the extracts showed very good DPPH radical scavenging activities.

Concerning the activity of *Mangifera indica* leaves extract, at concentration 25 µg/ml the activity of the Mature leaves was significantly higher (p<0.05) than that of the Young leaves (Figure 3B). The highest activities were recorded with the methanolic and cold aqueous extracts. At concentration 50 µg/ml, the Mature leaves extracts still presented the best activity compared to the Young leaves. However, the methanolic extract of young leaves alone exhibited the highest scavenging activity. At concentration 100 µg/ml, the activity of all the extracts were significantly higher or equal to that of BHT. At 200 µg/ml, the BHT exhibited the highest (p<0.05) antioxidant activity and no significant difference (p>0.05) was recorded between all the extracts.

The results obtained in this study globally show that Young Avocado leaves extracts are more active against the DPPH radical than mature ones. This result is in agreement with those reported by Habermann et al. [28] who reported that the aqueous extract of Young leaves of *Blepharocalyx salicifolius* has a good DPPH radical scavenging activity compared to Mature leaves. On the other hand, the Mature leaves of *Mangifera indica* were the best in Scavenging the DPPH radical compared to Young leaves. This result is contradictory to those reported by Habermann et al. [28]. The fact that the ethanolic and methanolic extracts of *Mangifera indica* leaves exhibit better antioxidant activity than the aqueous extract has already been reported by Kaur et al. [21]. The interesting DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity of *Persea americana* leaves has also been previously reported by Vinha et al. [22]. Generally, the plant extracts which have exhibited higher phenolic and flavonoid contents have also pre-
Figure 1 (A-B): Total Phenolic content of Young and Mature leaves of Persea americana (A) and Mangifera indica (B). MALEt.OH: Ethanolic extract of mature avocado leaves, MAL Me.OH: Methanolic extract of mature avocado leaves, MAL Hot water: warm aqueous extract of mature avocado leaves, YAL Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of young avocado leaves, YAL Me.OH: Methanolic extract of young avocado leaves, YAL water cold: cold aqueous extract of young avocado leaves, YAL Hot water: warm aqueous extract of young avocado leaves; MML Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of mature mango leaves, MML Me.OH: Methanolic extract of mature mango leaves, MML water cold: cold aqueous extract of mature mango leaves, MML Hot water: warm aqueous extract of mature mango leaves, YML Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of young mango leaves, YML Me.OH: Methanolic extract of young mango leaves, YML water cold: cold aqueous extract of young mango leaves, YML Hot water: warm aqueous extract of young mango leaves.

Values are presented as mean ± Standard deviation. Means with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).

Presented the best antioxidant activities. These results are in agreement with those reported by Womeni et al. [5], Bouba et al. [19] and Womeni et al. [20] who reported that plants with high phenolic content generally exhibit high DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity.

Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity (HRSA)

The Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity of Mangifera indica and Persea americana leaves extracts is presented in Figure 4 (A-B). The results obtained from this figure show that the BHT has exhibited the highest activity compared to the plant extracts. In Figure 4 (A) it is clearly observed that the young and mature ethanolic and methanolic extracts of Persea americana leaves presented a significantly higher (p<0.05) Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity compared to the aqueous extract. However, the Young leaves were the best in stabilizing this radical (OH·). However in Figure 4 (B), the mature leaves, especially those extracted with methanol have exhibited the highest HRSA compared to the other plant extracts. They were followed by the methanolic extracts of the young leaves. The results obtained in this study with Persea americana leaves are in agreement with those obtained from the DPPH test were the Young leaves were the most active against the DPPH radical. The active molecule extracted from the leaves of these plants (phenolic antioxidants) may have several mechanisms of action. However, a different observation is made with Mangifera indica leaves extracts, as only the methanolic extract was the most active. This can be explained by the fact that the antioxidant having the ability to scavenge the Hydroxyl radical was only extracted by methanol. In many studies the best antioxidant activity of plant extracts was reported with methanol and this was related to their strong extraction power. It has the ability to extract at the same time polar
and non-polar molecules (as essential oil components) [19, 29]. The abundance in this extract of antioxidant molecules with different structures and mechanism of actions can explain the obtained results.

**Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP)**

This test is generally used to evaluate the ability of a substance to reduce Ferric iron into Ferrous iron, by donating its electron. This mechanism of action is known as a good indicator of the Antioxidant Activity of a substance. The Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power of *Mangifera indica* and *Persea americana* leaves extracts are presented in Figure 5 (A-B). Almost all the extracts have exhibited good Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power. The highest activity was recorded with the cold aqueous extract of Young Avocado leaves (Figure 5A). Its activity was higher than that of the synthetic Antioxidant used (Vitamin C). However at concentration 100 and 200 µg/ml the activity of all the other plant extracts was similar or slightly higher than that of Vitamin C. In Figure 5 (B), the lowest (p<0.05) Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power was recorded with cold and hot aqueous extracts of *Mangifera indica* leaves and this at all concentrations. However, the activity of the other extracts was similar to that of the Vitamin C. This result confirms once again the fact that Mature Mango leaves have good antioxidant activity than Young ones. The interesting activity registered with these plant extracts can be attributed to their good Total phenolic and Flavonoid contents. The highest antioxidant activity obtained with the cold aqueous extract of Avocado leaves can be attributed to the presence in that extract of a powerful ferric reducer which was not extracted by other solvents and which was abundant in Young leaves than Mature leaves as it has been proven that Young leaves generally exhibit good antioxidant activity compared to mature leaves [28]. The results obtained in this study showing that the ethanolic, methanolic and aqueous extracts of *Mangifera indica* are in accordance with those reported by Kaur et al. [21] who showed that the aqueous, ethanolic and methanolic extracts of *Mangifera indica* have a ferric
Figure 3 (A-B): DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity of Young and Mature leaves of Persea americana (A) and Mangifera indica (B). MAL Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of mature avocado leaves, MAL Me.OH: Methanolic extract mature of avocado leaves, MAL water cold: cold aqueous extract of mature avocado leaves, MAL Hot water: warm aqueous extract of mature avocado leaves, YAL Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of young avocado leaves, YAL Me.OH: Methanolic extract young of avocado leaves, YAL water cold: cold aqueous extract of young avocado leaves, YAL Hot water: warm aqueous extract of young avocado leaves; MML Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of mature mango leaves, MML Me.OH: Methanolic extract mature of mango leaves, MML water cold: cold aqueous extract of mature mango leaves, MML Hot water: warm aqueous extract of mature mango leaves, YML Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of young mango leaves, YML Me.OH: Methanolic extract young of mango leaves, YML water cold: cold aqueous extract of young mango leaves, YML Hot water: warm aqueous extract of young mango leaves. *−f Values are presented as mean ± Standard deviation. Means with different superscripts for each concentration are significantly different (p<0.05).

Figure 4 (A-B): Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity of Young and Mature leaves of Persea americana (A) and Mangifera indica (B). MAL Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of mature avocado leaves, MAL Me.OH: Methanolic extract mature of avocado leaves, MAL water cold: cold aqueous extract of mature avocado leaves, MAL Hot water: warm aqueous extract of mature avocado leaves, YAL Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of young avocado leaves, YAL Me.OH: Methanolic extract young of avocado leaves, YAL water cold: cold aqueous extract of young avocado leaves, YAL Hot water: warm aqueous extract of young avocado leaves; MML Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of mature mango leaves, MML Me.OH: Methanolic extract mature of mango leaves, MML water cold: cold aqueous extract of mature mango leaves, MML Hot water: warm aqueous extract of mature mango leaves, YML Et.OH: Ethanolic extract of young mango leaves, YML Me.OH: Methanolic extract young of mango leaves, YML water cold: cold aqueous extract of young mango leaves, YML Hot water: warm aqueous extract of young mango leaves. *−f Values are presented as mean ± Standard deviation. Means with different superscripts for each concentration are significantly different (p<0.05).
reducing antioxidant power of 40, 60 and 50 % respectively. In the same line Tremoccoldi et al. [30] showed that the Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power of Avocado fruits (Hass and Fuerte varieties) were respectively 1.17, 656.9, 1.88 and 931.7 (µmole Fe²⁺ / g)³ for Hass peel, Hass seeds, Fuerte peel and Fuerte seeds respectively.

**Conclusion**

The objective of this study was to evaluate the Total Phenolic content and Antioxidant Activity of the methanolic, ethanolic, aqueous and infusion extracts of Young and Mature Mangifera indica and Persea americana leaves. Generally the results of this investigation show that the ethanolic and methanolic extracts of Young and Mature leaves have the best phenolic and flavonoid content. However, the Young leaves of Persea americana and the Mature leaves of Mangifera indica were shown to have the best DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity, Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power and Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity. Amongst the aqueous extracts hot water was more efficient as antioxidant than cold one. The Young leaves of Persea americana and Mature leaves of Mangifera indica are good sources of natural antioxidants that can be used to prevent the body against the damages caused by free radicals. They can be used as medicine or food preservatives, especially in foods containing polyunsaturated fatty acids. For those who generally use these leaves as traditional medicine, it is better to do the extraction in hot water than cold water.
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