Design and Application of Health Education Apps Based on WeChat for Self-Management among Patients
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Abstract
Background: We started to design and test health education Apps for self-management among patients to provide a rich source of clinical support and information for patients to increase their ability of self-management.

Methods: First, a multidisciplinary research team worked together to design and conduct the research. With their help, we redesigned an app to incorporate some personalized changes for patients’ needs. Second, we chose a questionnaire from the Comprehensive Service Platform for the Elderly self-designed by CHEN Yu. Finally, a purposive sample of 34 users were tested experiences and satisfaction of users in Jul 2021.

Results: This research was successfully conducted in 22 wards among 23159 patients and 40440 chapters about healthy information sent to patients from Mar 2019 to January 2021 by smartphone. The data showed that 91.2% of participants resolved that the evaluation effect of the proposed application was better, in comparison with the paper version as routine verbal instruction. Additionally, 85.3% of participants wanted to continue to receive medical education information after discharge from the hospital. The top four most popular medical education information that they would like to receive included drug administration, disease prevention, nursing, and home care. Moreover, the top four most popular types of user suggestions were one-on-one online Q & A, continue to see every session, accelerate the speed of browsing and page updated, and free Wifi. The user satisfaction of the application was considerably high.

Conclusion: The apps was welcomed by patients who wanted to increase their knowledge level of disease and perform self-management better.
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Introduction

Smartphone-based applications (apps) have become inescapable (1). The National Health Commission of People’s Republic of China (2) encourages medical staff to utilize new technologies such as mobile apps and wearable devices to promote remote services and mobile medical care...
(3). There is a large variety of apps related to the health field, which can be used for various purposes such as nursing care, health education, and medical communication (4). Self-management support is the assistance given to patients with chronic disease (5). Mobile applications are suitable as an approach for health management (6-10). Currently, mobile application interventions are becoming increasingly essential for supporting self-management (11-13). We aimed to provide an effective source of clinical support and information for patients to increase their ability of self-management.

Materials and Methods

Design of Health Education Apps based on Wechat

A multidisciplinary research team, including physicians, nurses, software engineers from different technology companies, and patients and their caregivers, worked together to design and conduct the research (14). Researchers conducted semi-structured and focused group interviews pertaining to the patients’ needs for optimization of the apps. After bidding, the researchers decided to sign a contract with a technology company (15) whose apps can be downloaded from the apps store for free. With its help, the researchers redesigned the apps to incorporate some personalized changes for patient’s needs (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1: The Apps screenshot

The study participants downloaded the apps onto their smartphones with the help of nurses. After verifying patients’ information, they were added to a disease group QR code by nurses Method to use the apps (Fig. 2-4):
The doctor ordered the patient to be hospitalized to take further care

The patient entered the ward

The patient download App by scanning QR cord with WeChat

The patient fill personality information in app

The patient scan disease group QR code, or nurses design them into designated disease group

The patient can receive healthy information sent by app after enter group

The patients can feedback various opinions on one's own initiative, or nurses send a questionnaire to understand their need

Innovative app functions into PDCA to improve patients' satisfaction

Day 1:
- hospitalization instructions, medical staffs, Ward environment, Safety reminder

Day 2-Day X:
- disease care, biochemical examination, medicine matters, nursing

Day X:
- discharge preparation information, After discharge

After discharge Day 1:
- Self-management information

**Fig. 2:** Method of application for patients

**Fig. 3:** Introduction of the apps for chest surgery department (I)
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Fig. 4: Introduction of the app for chest surgery department (II)

1) Patients were assigned to the different groups by scanning the disease group QR code according to the classification of diseases. 2) After being assigned to a special disease group, patients can receive educational information by Wechat regarding hospitalization instructions, disease care, biochemical examination, medicine matters, nursing, discharge preparation information, etc. This information includes messages, pictures, videos (Fig. 5), flashes, records, and flow charts sent by nurses. 3) Nurses also made questionnaire surveys online to investigate patient needs and shortcomings. Additionally, they can receive feedback and suggestions from patients. For instance, a research from our team concluded that the education based on these apps, a prospective, endoscopist-blinded, randomized, controlled trial were at Qilu Hospital of Shandong University between Oct 2017–Mar 2018, was effective (16). This method was successfully applied in 22 wards among 23159 patients. 40440 chapters (or messages) of health information was delivered via a medical smartphone sent to patients from Mar 2019 to Jan 2021. For instance, if a patient who was at a higher risk owing to falling down, nurses would send a vivid flash about how to prevent a fall (Fig. 5) and teach them how to perform the prevention better. If a patient was worried about the side effects owing to some cure, injection, medicine, or operation, nurses must send information pertaining to it every day, according to the progress of the cure.
**Application of Apps**

How was the experience of users? We conducted one research to test experiences and satisfaction of users in Jul 2021.

**Participants:** A purposive sample of 34 users, who have used the apps and agreed with this survey, have tested to evaluate whether they could effectively use it to fulfil the tasks and figure out their user experiences, to reflect their satisfaction. The study was approved by the hospital ethics committee, and the document number is: (Ke) Lun Shen No. (Ke) Lun Shen No.2019 (055).

**Measurement:** We chose the questionnaire on the Comprehensive Service Platform for the Elderly, Self-designed by CHENYu in July, 2020 (17). It included socio-demographic questions and questions related to Apps of users (like patients or caregivers) and user satisfaction. In addition to the basic personal information, from the system response speed, page design, overall layout, color collocation, font size, operating habits, the ease of finding information several aspects, reflects user satisfaction with the overall use of the platform, each question was to be answered using a five-point Likert-type scale, ranging from 1 (absolutely dissatisfied) to 5 (absolutely satisfied). Meanwhile, we also prepared two questions to collect users’ suggestions. We sent them the questionnaire which is based on www.wenjuan.com, so that it can be read and rebacked by Wechat.

**Quantitative variables and Statistical methods**

Stata Software (Version14.2) was used to analyses the data. Socio-demographic information and suggestions related users of Apps (like patients or caregivers) were obtained via descriptive and frequency analysis. The satisfaction of the application variables was calculated as $X \pm S$.

**Results**

Table 1 presents the situation of the application in the top 10 departments in our hospital. It shows that more and more patients are likely to use the apps to read or learn all kinds of health information.
| Department                  | Amount of health education | Types of health education information | Timetable of useage (months) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Anus & intestine surgery    | 7942 293 1795 492 2091 2832 4 0 728 36 |
| Chest surgery department   | 7876 293 840 1394 642 4995 5 0 0 36 |
| Gastroenterology department| 7068 293 564 1844 2062 1494 0 6 1098 36 |
| Endocrinology department   | 4926 293 4079 9 134 27 0 479 198 30 |
| Reproductive medicine      | 4516 293 1086 0 2887 0 0 0 543 36 |
| Cardiology department      | 3164 293 1153 174 774 66 444 0 553 18 |
| Hepatology department      | 3048 293 616 974 406 889 0 163 0 18 |
| Hematology department      | 1449 293 163 231 456 349 4 66 180 18 |
| Neurology department       | 345 293 144 3 22 135 0 17 24 18 |
| Breast surgery department  | 76 293 2 0 42 4 28 0 0 12 |

Table 1: Present usage situation of the apps application

Table 2 shows socio-demographic information and suggestions related to users of Apps. Overall 91.2% of participants concluded that the evaluation effect of the apps was good compared with the paper version. Overall 85.3% of participants wanted to continue to receive medical education information after discharge from the hospital. The popular medical education information that they would like to receive included drug administration, disease prevention, nursing, and home care. The top four most popular types of users’ suggestions were one-on-one online Q & A, continue to see every session, accelerate the speed of browsing and page updated, and free Wifi.
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| Item                          | Characteristics                        | Frequency & Percentage (N & %) | Item                          | Characteristics                        | Frequency & Percentage (N & %) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. Gender                    | Male                                    | 10 (29.4) 24 (70.6)           | 9. Age                        | 25~30y                                 | 3 (8.8) 19 (55.9)             |
|                              | female                                  |                               |                               | 31~40y                                 |                               |
| 2. Province                  | Shandong                                | 31 (91.2)                     |                               |                                        |                               |
|                              | Neimenggu                               | 1 (2.9)                       |                               |                                        |                               |
|                              | Hebei                                   | 2 (5.9)                       |                               |                                        |                               |
| 3. Education                 | Middle school                           | 6 (17.6)                      | 10. Profession                | civil servant                          | 5 (14.7)                     |
|                              | High school                             | 4 (11.8)                      |                               | Business Manager                       | 3 (8.8)                      |
|                              | Junior College                          | 8 (23.5)                      |                               | General Staff                          | 5 (14.7)                     |
|                              | undergraduate                           | 14 (41.2)                     |                               | Professionals                          | 5 (14.7)                     |
|                              | Master or PhD                           | 2 (5.9)                       |                               | worker                                 | 2 (5.9)                      |
| 4. Type of health insurance  | Provincial medical insurance            | 4 (11.8)                      |                               | Commercial attendant                   | 1 (2.9)                      |
|                              | Provincial medical insurance others     | 21 (61.8)                     |                               | Self-employed person                  | 3 (8.8)                      |
|                              | Better                                  | 19 (55.9)                     |                               | Farmer                                 | 1 (2.9)                      |
|                              | Good                                    | 12 (35.3)                     |                               | Retirement                             | 3 (8.8)                      |
|                              | Similarity                              | 3 (8.8)                       |                               | Unemployment                           | 2 (5.9)                      |
| 5. Compared with the paper version, how do you evaluate the evaluation effect of Apps? | Yes                                     | 29 (85.3%)                    | 11. User role                          | Inpatient                     | 10 (29.4)                    |
|                              | No                                      | 2 (5.9)                       |                               | outpatient                             | 17 (50)                      |
| 5. Compared with the paper version, how do you evaluate the evaluation effect of Apps? | No                                      | 2 (5.9)                       |                               | outpatient                             | 17 (50)                      |
| 7. Which health education presentation do you prefer? (Multiple choice) | Video/flash                             | 20                             | 12. What is your experience of using this Apps (Multiple choice) | Slower web                 | 5                             |
|                              | Picture                                 | 15                             |                               | hard to find information              | 7                             |
|                              | sound                                   | 8                              |                               | without quick find key                | 10                            |
|                              | well-written one interspersed with nice pictures writing | 24                             |                               | Without one-on-one coaching           | 20                            |
| 8. What kinds of medical education information would you like | Disease Prevention                       | 12                             | 13. User suggestions (Multiple choice) | Speed up your web browsing | 9                             |
|                              | Inpatient care                          | 25                             |                               | Improve the speed of information updating | 9                             |
|                              | Drug Administration                     | 26                             |                               | Free Wifi                              | 14                            |
|                              | nursing                                 | 25                             |                               | Personalization provides inform-on-one-on-one | 7                             |
|                              | Hospital Environment                    | 5                              |                               | online Q & A                            | 18                            |
|                              | Discharge procedure and medical expendi- | 17                             |                               | Continue to see every session         | 15                            |
|                              | Home Care                               | 22                             |                               |                                        |                               |
Table 3 shows satisfaction of the apps about users, the score was high. All the scores about speed of apps response, page design, overall layout, color scheme, font size, operating habit, convenience, and information abundance, met the needs of health education being above 4.5. The data displayed that the apps received feedback 43 messages.

Table 3: Satisfaction of apps application \([N=34, \text{n (\%)}]\)

| Number | Item                                      | Minimum | Maximum | X±S  |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|---------|---------|------|
| 1     | System response speed                     | 3       | 5       | 4.71 | ±0.58 |
| 2     | Page design                               | 3       | 5       | 4.59 | ±0.74 |
| 3     | Overall layout                            | 2       | 5       | 4.62 | ±0.74 |
| 4     | Color Scheme                              | 3       | 5       | 4.62 | ±0.74 |
| 5     | Font size                                 | 3       | 5       | 4.65 | ±0.69 |
| 6     | Operating Habit                           | 3       | 5       | 4.62 | ±0.7 |
| 7     | Convenience                               | 2       | 5       | 4.56 | ±0.79 |
| 8     | Information abundance                     | 2       | 5       | 4.53 | ±0.83 |
| 9     | Meet the needs of health education         | 2       | 5       | 4.59 | ±0.74 |

The information from the feedback demonstrated that this apps is convenient, understanding, and vivid. The following are some of the feedback:

Patient A: This apps could tell me what I wanted to know. It is very convenient to read by myself instead of asking others again and again, or waited blindly and worried.

Patient B: That was good for free for patients, however, those medical staff how to upload Apps.

Patient C: Now Wechat was so popular, this Apps based on it was convenient, easy of use, easy of learn.

Patient D: That saved much time to ask and consult, patients or caregivers could understand healthy knowledge by themselves, knowledge of those people were still poor, it can be an useful and welcomed tools.

Patient E: This Apps was smarter, I can do self-management by reading messages vivid, instead of disturbing others in most times.

**Discussion**

The concept of USABILITY has been developed and improved since it was put forward in 1985. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) is currently the most widely used organization (18). Usability evaluation is one of the most commonly used methods to evaluate the usability of an information system or website, as an important field of human-computer interaction research (19). A high-availability website should be one that users can easily use to accomplish specific tasks and enjoy using. On the contrary, users will give up if their needs are not met (19). The more the satisfaction, the more apps are welcomed. This way, it could improve nursing education efficiency contributing to chronic disease management (20). So we were likely to take usability evaluation to measure Apps function of effective, efficient, and satisfactory.

Nurses have to appreciate users’ experience to send more popular medical education information such as drug administration, disease prevention, nursing, home care to satisfy theirs’ needs. The top four most popular kinds of user’ suggestions were one-on-one online Q & A, continue to see every session, accelerate the speed of browsing and page updated, and free Wifi. Those point out that our medical staff need to concentrate on those healthy needs in the future. For example, all the team require to upskill to Apps function with artificial intelligence technology to improve Intelligence (21). Some wards have not supported free Wifi equipment for users led to their unsatisfactory, in the next step, it will be addressed as a
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vital problem. Apps can receive feedback suggestions from patients, or nurses could also answer online according to the patients’ questions, so that it can save time on face-to-face consulting service back and forth, but nursing staff cannot on duty for 24-h shift, most of questions had delayed replied. That’s matter.

Limitations

There were some limitations of our study. First, it was a single-center study. Thus, the participants were not representative of the whole field and more medical centers are needed to replicate this apps and application. Second, This Apps have been to enhance the function to meet varied needs from patients. For example, Some patients suggested to design healthy information that is more suitable for different ages to get their attention, just like bigger font size for aged ones, poly-chrome font style for children .Third, Some patients could not receive information without smartphones due to financial difficulties, traditional booklet-based and verbal instructions have to maintain in some period.

Conclusion

As an effective tool, this apps can help nurses to promote health education, improve efficiency of nursing management, and help patients do self-management better.
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