WASP-157b, a Transiting Hot Jupiter Observed with K2
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Abstract

We announce the discovery of the transiting hot Jupiter WASP-157b in a 3.95-d orbit around a V = 12.9 G2 main-sequence star. This moderately inflated planet has a Saturn-like density, with a mass of $0.57 \pm 0.10 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$ and a radius of $1.06 \pm 0.05 \, R_{\text{Jup}}$. We do not detect any rotational or phase curve modulations, nor the secondary eclipse, with conservative semi-amplitude upper limits of 250 and 20 ppm, respectively.
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1. Introduction

The K2 mission (Howell et al. 2014) observes fields along the ecliptic. Some of those fields contain planets discovered by the WASP transit search (Pollacco et al. 2006), so K2 is also observing some of the current WASP candidates. K2’s high-precision photometry has allowed the detection of two additional close-in transiting exoplanets in the WASP-47 system (Becker et al. 2015) and the detection of starspot occultations in WASP-85 (Močnik et al. 2016), with several more WASP planets either recently observed or scheduled for future K2 campaigns.

The current planet formation and migration theories predict that hot Jupiters could not have formed in situ and instead must have formed at larger distances from their host stars and migrated inward after they were formed (Lin et al. 1996). One of the tracers of hot Jupiters’ migration processes is an obliquity, i.e., a misalignment angle between stellar rotation and a planet’s orbital axis. The aligned systems could result from a disk migration mechanism, whereas planet–planet scattering, the Kozai mechanism, and tidal dissipation are believed to play an additional role in shaping misaligned orbits of hot Jupiters (e.g., Triaud et al. 2010). Obliquity can be measured in two ways: (1) spectroscopically by measuring the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect if the host star is bright enough (Gaudi & Winn 2007), and (2) photometrically by tracing the recurring starspot occultation events if the host star is photometrically active (Sanchis-Ojeda & Winn 2011).

At the time of writing, the Transiting Extrasolar Planets Catalogue (TEPCat)9 (Southworth 2011) lists 95 planetary systems with known obliquities. A large portion of these, 75, are transiting hot Jupiters (defined here as planets with orbital periods of less than 10 days and masses between 0.3 and 13 $M_{\text{Jup}}$) orbiting stars brighter than $V = 13$. To try to fully understand how planets migrate it is crucial to expand the currently modest sample of planets with known obliquities by discovering and characterizing additional nearby transiting hot Jupiters.

Many hot Jupiters exhibit radii well in excess of the predictions of the standard model of planets’ cooling and contraction. This radius anomaly has been found to be negatively correlated with the planets’ ages (Leconte et al. 2009). Several mechanisms have been proposed to account for the inflated radii, and are grouped into incident flux-driven mechanisms, tidal mechanisms, and delayed contraction (Weiss et al. 2013). However, none of the mechanisms have yet received a consensus. The radius anomaly currently remains unexplained and would benefit from extending a sample of known hot Jupiters.

Here we present the discovery of an inflated transiting hot Jupiter, WASP-157b, orbiting a fairly bright and photometrically inactive host star. In Section 2 we introduce the photometric and

9 http://www.astro.keele.ac.uk/jkt/tepcat/tepcat.html
spectroscopic data sets. Basic properties of the host star are listed in Section 3, and in Section 4 we discuss light curve modulations. A description of the spectrophotometric analysis and a list of system parameters are shown in Section 5, and a stellar age estimate is provided in Section 6.

2. Observations

WASP-157b was identified as an exoplanet candidate from observations with both WASP-South and SuperWASP-North from 2008 to 2010 (see Table 1). For a detailed description of the WASP telescopes, observing strategy, data reduction, and candidate identification and selection procedures, see Pollacco et al. (2006, 2008) and Collier Cameron et al. (2007a). A transit was then observed with the TRAPPIST photometer (Jehin et al. 2011; Gillon et al. 2011) on 2016 February 05.

WASP-157 (EPIC 212697709) was also observed with K2 in the long-cadence observing mode during Campaign 6 (from 2015 July 13 to 2015 September 30). Since the failure of the second out of four of Kerpl’s reaction wheels the spacecraft is no longer able to point as stably and the extracted photometry exhibits drift artifacts. Vanderburg & Johnson (2014) presented a self-flat-fielding (SFF) correction procedure that corrects the drift artifacts by correlating the measured flux with the arclength of the spacecraft’s drift. We retrieved the publicly available extracted and SFF-corrected light curve file provided by the K2 High Level Science Product K2SFF, accessible through the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). The applied SFF correction improved the median 6-hour photometric precision from 450 parts per million (ppm) to 26 ppm, which is comparable to the original Kerpl precision for a similar bright star. We normalized the downloaded K2SFF light curve using a KEPFLATTEN command, which is part of the PyRAF tools for Kerpl (PyKE) version 2.6.2 (Still & Barclay 2012). We used low-order polynomial fits with windows and step sizes of 3 and 0.3 days, respectively. The reduced and normalized K2 light curve is shown in Figure 1.

Spectroscopic radial velocity (RV) follow-up was performed using the fiber-fed CORALIE spectrograph at the 1.2-m Swiss Euler Telescope at La Silla (Queloz et al. 2000). One spectrum was obtained in 2015 June and a further seven spectra were obtained in 2016 February and March. We also obtained spectra on four consecutive nights in 2016 March with the HARPS spectrograph mounted at the ESO 3.6-m telescope (Mayor et al. 2003), which is also at La Silla observatory (see Table 1). The spectroscopic data have been reduced with the standard HARPS and CORALIE reduction pipelines. The RVs were extracted with the weighted cross-correlation of each spectrum with a G2 mask and the simultaneous Th-Ar wavelength calibration reference (see Pepe et al. (2002) for details). The resulting RVs and bisector spans (BS) are given in Table 2.

3. Spectral Analysis

We analyzed the spectroscopic properties of the host star from a co-added HARPS spectrum with a final signal-to-noise ratio of 38. The analysis methods are described in Gillon et al. (2009) and Doyle et al. (2013). We used the Hα line to estimate the effective temperature ($T_{\text{eff}}$), and the Na I D and Mg I b lines as diagnostics of the surface gravity ($\log g$). The projected rotational velocity ($v\sin i$) was determined by fitting the profiles of the Fe I lines after convolving with the HARPS instrumental resolution ($R = 120,000$) and a macroturbulent velocity adopted from the calibration of Doyle et al. (2014). The iron abundances were determined from equivalent width measurements of several clean and unblended Fe I lines and are given relative to the solar value presented in Asplund et al. (2009). The [Fe/H] abundance error includes that given by the uncertainties in $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$, as well as the scatter due to measurement and atomic data uncertainties. We calculated the chromospheric activity index $\log R'_{\text{HK}}$ using the emission in the cores of the Ca II H and K lines following Noyes et al. (1984). The resulting parameters are listed in Table 3. Only an upper limit is given for Li abundance since we do not detect the Li line at 6707.79 Å, with an upper limit of 2 mÅ.

4. Light Curve Modulations

We searched for any rotational modulation of WASP-157 using 2.2 yr of WASP light curves, following the procedure of Maxted et al. (2011). We found no modulations with semi-amplitudes above 2 mmag, which suggests that the host star is inactive. We also exclude any coherent rotational modulations above 250 ppm in the 79-d K2 fluxed light curve.

We also searched the phase-folded and binned K2 light curve for any phase curve modulations, including a secondary eclipse. For this task, we normalized the K2 light curve using larger values for the KEPFLATTEN window and step sizes of 5

| Instrument | Dates | Filter or Wavelength | $t_{\text{exp}}$ [s] | $N_{\text{data}}$ |
|------------|-------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| WASP       | 2008 May 04–2010 Jul 12 | $R_c$ | 30 | 42528 |
| K2         | 2015 Jul 13–2015 Sep 30 | Kepler | 1625$^a$ | 3381 |
| TRAPPIST   | 2016 Feb 05 | $I + i'$ | 15 | 529 |
| CORALIE    | 2015 Jun 18–2016 Mar 14 | 390–680 nm | 1800 | 8 |
| HARPS      | 2016 Mar 01–2016 Mar 04 | 383–693 nm | 1000 | 4 |

Note.

$^a$ Long-cadence K2 images are downlinked as a median of 270 frames of 6.02 s exposure and 0.52 s readout each, resulting in a final effective exposure time of 27.1 min obtained with a cadence of 29.4 min.
and 1 days, respectively. These settings were the best compromise between minimizing the removal of the phase-curve modulations while still effectively removing the low-frequency incoherent light curve modulations. To check what portion of a potential phase curve modulation gets removed using this normalization procedure, we injected various phase-curve modulation signals in the pre-normalized light curve, performed the normalization with the abovementioned settings, and found that we could recover injected signals from the normalized light curve at 75% of their initial amplitudes. Based on $\chi^2$ statistics we could exclude phase curve modulations with semi-amplitudes above 6 ppm at the 95% confidence level.

| Table 2 | CORALIE and HARPS Radial Velocities and Bisector Spans |
|---|---|
| **CORALIE** | 
| BJD - 2450000 | RV [km s$^{-1}$] | BS [km s$^{-1}$] |
| 7191.64114 | $-22.041 \pm 0.040$ | $-0.073 \pm 0.079$ |
| 7430.78206 | $-21.905 \pm 0.024$ | $-0.033 \pm 0.048$ |
| 7431.74596 | $-21.968 \pm 0.019$ | $-0.060 \pm 0.038$ |
| 7432.85793 | $-21.991 \pm 0.017$ | $-0.048 \pm 0.034$ |
| 7433.78781 | $-21.940 \pm 0.020$ | $0.026 \pm 0.040$ |
| 7434.79450 | $-21.877 \pm 0.020$ | $-0.043 \pm 0.039$ |
| 7455.85032 | $-21.909 \pm 0.014$ | $-0.038 \pm 0.028$ |
| **HARPS** | 
| BJD - 2450000 | RV [km s$^{-1}$] | BS [km s$^{-1}$] |
| 7448.73724 | $-21.9940 \pm 0.0063$ | $-0.019 \pm 0.012$ |
| 7449.89057 | $-21.8974 \pm 0.0052$ | $-0.030 \pm 0.010$ |
| 7450.70282 | $-21.8708 \pm 0.0127$ | $-0.002 \pm 0.025$ |
| 7451.78908 | $-21.9657 \pm 0.0037$ | $-0.018 \pm 0.007$ |

| Table 3 | Host Star Parameters Derived From Our Spectroscopic Analysis |
|---|---|
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
| Identifiers | WASP-157 |
| 1SWASP J132637.24–081903.2 | |
| 2MASS J13263727–0819033 | |
| EPIC 212697709 | |
| TYC 5544-596-1 | |
| **Spectral type** | G2V |
| **V** | 12.91 |
| **$T_{\text{eff}}$** | 5840 ± 140 K |
| **log $g$** | 4.5 ± 0.2 cm s$^{-2}$ |
| **$v \sin i$** | 1.0 ± 0.9 km s$^{-1}$ |
| **$v_{\text{mic}}$** | 3.4 ± 0.7 km s$^{-1}$ |
| **[Fe/H]** | +0.34 ± 0.09 |
| **log $A_{\text{Fe}}$** | $-4.8 \pm 0.2$ |
| **log $A_{\text{Li}}$** | $<0.9$ |

**Note.** The J2000 coordinates can be found in the 1SWASP identifier. V-magnitude is taken from Høg et al. (2000).
after taking into account the 75% throughput of the normalization procedure. However, the $\chi^2$-based upper limit does not account for the red noise, which is expected to be present in the binned phase curve. Therefore, we provide a more conservative estimate of the phase curve semi-amplitude upper limit of 20 ppm. Figure 2 shows the K2 light curve folded on the orbital period along with a reflection modulation model.

To look for any additional transiting planets we examined the periodogram obtained with PyKE tool KEPBLS which searches for periodic transits by utilizing the box least square fitting algorithm by Kovács et al. (2002). After removing WASP-157b’s transits from the K2 light curve by replacing the measured normalized flux values around transits with unity, we do not find any significant residual peaks in the period region between 0.5 and 30 d. The upper limit for additional transits is 250 ppm.

Transit-timing variations (TTVs) and transit-duration variations (TDVs) can also reveal additional planets in the system (e.g., Mazeh et al. (2013) and the references therein). However, due to the K2 long-cadence observing mode, TTV and TDV sinusoidal semi-amplitude upper limits are only weakly constrained to 1 and 5 minutes for periods up to 80 days, respectively.

5. System Parameters

To obtain stellar and planetary parameters we carried out a simultaneous analysis of the photometric and spectroscopic data sets. For analyzing the transit shape we used primarily the K2 data, which have the best photometric precision, although with a 30-min cadence.

Since the effective exposure time of K2 long-cadence observations is significant compared to the timescale at which the transit features occur, we performed the analysis where the transit model was computed with a sampling of 3 min, and integrated to the 30-min K2 sampling, to correct for the smearing effect caused by the long effective exposure time. The corresponding JKTEBOP model without numerical integration is shown in red. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

10 http://www.astro.keele.ac.uk/jkt/codes/jktebop.html
Table 4
System Parameters for WASP-157b and Its Host Star

| Parameter                  | Symbol | Value                           | Unit   |
|----------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Transit epoch              | \( t_0 \) | 2457257.803194 ± 0.000088       | BJD    |
| Orbital period             | \( P \)  | 3.9516205 ± 0.0000040          | d      |
| Area ratio \((R_p/R_*)^2\) |        | 0.00891 ± 0.00035              |        |
| Transit width              | \( t_{14} \)  | 0.0811 ± 0.0043                | d      |
| Impact parameter           | \( b \)  | 0.887 ± 0.0054                 |        |
| Orbital inclination        | \( i \)  | 84.93 ± 0.45                  | \(^\circ\) |
| Orbital eccentricity       | \( e \)  | 0 (adopted; <0.11 at 2\(\sigma\)) |        |
| Orbital separation         | \( a \)  | 0.0529 ± 0.0017                | AU     |
| Stellar effective temperature | \( T_{\text{eff}} \) | 5838 ± 140                    | K      |
| Stellar mass               | \( M_* \) | 1.26 ± 0.12                   | \( M_\odot \) |
| Stellar radius             | \( R_* \) | 1.134 ± 0.051                 | \( R_\odot \) |
| Stellar density            | \( \rho_* \) | 0.86 ± 0.14                    | \( \rho_\odot \) |
| Planet equilibrium temperature | \( T_P \)  | 1339 ± 93                     | K      |
| Planet mass                | \( M_P \) | 0.574 ± 0.093                  | \( M_{\text{Jup}} \) |
| Planet radius              | \( R_P \) | 1.065 ± 0.047                  | \( R_{\text{Jup}} \) |
| Planet density             | \( \rho_P \) | 0.48 ± 0.10                    | \( \rho_{\text{Jup}} \) |
| System RV                  | \( \gamma \) | -21.9522 ± 0.0026             | km s\(^{-1}\) |
| RV semi-amplitude          | \( K_1 \) | 0.0616 ± 0.0038                | km s\(^{-1}\) |
| RV data sets offset        | \( \gamma_{\text{HARPS}} - \gamma_{\text{COR}} \) | 0.0177 ± 0.0015 | km s\(^{-1}\) |

**Notes.**

a Epoch and period derived by fitting the photometric data sets from the K2 and available ground-based observations.
b Planet equilibrium temperature is based on assumptions of zero Bond albedo and complete redistribution.

JKTEBOP fit (blue line) with the unintegrated fit (red line) in Figure 3. Quadratic limb-darkening was used in the JKTEBOP analysis.

Since JKTEBOP does not provide all the relevant system parameters, such as stellar and planetary mass, we produced a separate Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis using the code presented in Collier Cameron et al. (2007b) and further described in Pollacco et al. (2008) and Anderson et al. (2015). We accounted for limb-darkening by interpolating within tables of four-parameter limb-darkening coefficients from Claret (2000, 2004) and Sing (2010), as appropriate for different filters used among the three photometric data sets (see Table 1). To avoid any bias because of the K2 smearing effect, we only included WASP and TRAPPIST photometry along with both spectroscopic data sets to obtain the remaining system parameters. We checked the compatibility between the JKTEBOP analysis using the K2 data, and the MCMC analysis of the ground-based observations alone, and found that the resulting parameters are fully consistent. For determining the epoch and period with the smallest uncertainty possible we ran another MCMC analysis using all the available data sets, which extended the photometric baseline from 79 d for K2 photometry to 7.8 yr and reduced the uncertainty on the period by a factor of 3.

For all the system parameters analysis we imposed a circular orbit since hot Jupiters are expected to circularize on a timescale less than their age, so adopting a circular orbit gives the most likely parameters (e.g., Anderson et al. 2012). To estimate the upper limit on eccentricity, we ran a separate MCMC analysis with eccentricity being fitted as a free parameter and checked the eccentricity distribution in the resulting MCMC chain.
We present the system parameters in Table 4 and superimpose the corresponding transit model on the measured light curves and RVs in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.

The bottom panel of Figure 4 shows the measured bisector span (BS) for each spectrum, which characterizes the asymmetry in the stellar line profiles (Queloz et al. 2001). A significant correlation between BS and RV may indicate a transit mimic, such as a blended eclipsing binary (Queloz et al. 2001). We, however, do not measure any statistically significant correlation between RVs and BSs.

6. Stellar Age

An age constraint can be evaluated through a comparison to theoretical stellar models. As done in Maxted et al. (2016), we compare $\rho_s$ and $T_{\text{eff}}$ to isochrones and evaluate the age of the star using the Bayesian mass and age estimator BAGEMASS by Maxted et al. (2015). The stellar evolution models used in BAGEMASS were calculated using the GARSTEC code (Weiss & Schlattl 2008). The best-fit stellar evolution track is shown in Figure 5 and provides an age estimate of $1.6^{+2.5}_{-0.8}$ Gyr.

Another age estimator is the chromospheric activity index log $R'_{\text{HK}}$. Using the measured value from Table 3 and the relation between stellar age and activity for solar type stars from Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008), we derive an age estimate of $3.2^{+3.4}_{-2.1}$ Gyr.

The measured Li abundance upper limit of $\log A(\text{Li}) < 0.9$ (see Table 3) constrains the age of this Li-poor star only very weakly as being several Gyr old (Sestito & Randich 2005; Baumann et al. 2010).

7. Conclusions

WASP-157b is very much a typical hot Jupiter. The orbital period of 3.95 d is typical, while the moderately inflated size ($1.1R_{\text{Jup}}$ for $0.57M_{\text{Jup}}$) is also characteristic of hot Jupiters. The impact parameter is higher than average, leading to a shorter, v-shaped transit. WASP-157 is notable for now being one of fewer than 20 hot Jupiter hosts with $V < 13$ to have a Kepler-quality light curve.

Our measured and derived stellar parameters from Tables 3 and 4 agree within about $1\sigma$ with the values provided by the K2 Ecliptic Planet Input Catalogue (EPIC; Huber et al. 2016). The only discrepant parameter is metallicity, which has only been estimated statistically by EPIC because no spectroscopic input was provided for this star.
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