INTRODUCTION

The *Saccharomyces* sensu stricto group is composed of eight biologically distinct yeast species, namely *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *S. paradoxus*, *S. cariocanus*, *S. uvarum*, *S. mikatae*, *S. kudriavzevii*, *S. arboricola* and *S. eubayanus* [1–6], and two natural hybrids, namely *S. pastorianus* [7, 8] and *S. bayanus* [9]. *S. cariocanus* was initially included in the genus based on karyotyping and reproductive isolation [3]. However, subsequent genome sequence analysis of the only two known strains (of *S. cariocanus*) showed them to belong to one of three geographically well-defined populations of *S. paradoxus* (i.e. American population) [10, 11]. The most recent phylogenetic analyses of the genus excluded both *S. cariocanus* and *S. bayanus*, the latter due to it being of hybrid origin [12, 13]. The cryotolerant yeast *S. eubayanus* is the latest addition to the genus. This species was first isolated in *Nothofagus* (southern beech) forests in Patagonia, Argentina [6], but has since been found in North America, on the Tibetan Plateau and most recently on the North Island of New Zealand [14–16].

The *Saccharomyces* species are defined by the biological species concept since they are reproductively isolated via postzygotic barriers [3, 10, 17]. All of these species possess typical budding shape morphology, have the same number of 16 chromosomes [18], and they can be differentiated from one another based on the sequences of their internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and 26S rRNA D1/D2 regions [19, 20]. It has been shown that the majority of yeast species can be identified from sequence divergence of the D1/D2 domain [21]. Sequencing of the ITS1 and D1/D2 regions is therefore routinely used for identifying yeast strains [3, 22–25].

*Saccharomyces* yeasts have been isolated from a wide variety of different substrates including deciduous tree bark, surrounding soil, tree exudates (sap), fruits, insects and vineyard grapes [2, 26–28]. *S. paradoxus* is the most commonly isolated species in nature and has been found globally from natural resources, and most notably from oak trees (*Quercus* spp.) and surrounding soil [11, 29]. Moreover, *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* have been isolated from the same locations, indicating that populations of the two species coexist in nature [30, 31]. *Saccharomyces* hybrids have been often isolated from domesticated environments such as vineyards [32] and breweries, and are known to be associated with fermentation processes for the production of wine and beer. The best example of this is the lager yeast *S. pastorianus* (syn. *S. carlsbergensis*), a cold-adapted *S. cerevisiae × S. eubayanus* allopolyploid hybrid [6].
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Two strains, D5088† and D5095, representing a novel yeast species belonging to the genus *Saccharomyces* were isolated from oak tree bark and surrounding soil located at an altitude of 1000 m above sea level in Saint Auban, France. Sequence analyses of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region and 26S rRNA D1/D2 domains indicated that the two strains were most closely related to *Saccharomyces mikatae* and *Saccharomyces paradoxus*. Genetic hybridization analyses showed that both strains are reproductively isolated from all other *Saccharomyces* species and, therefore, represent a distinct biological species. The species name *Saccharomyces jurei* sp. nov. is proposed to accommodate these two strains, with D5088† (=CBS 14759T=NCYC 3947T) designated as the type strain.
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The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the 26S rRNA D1/D2 and ITS sequences of D5088† are HG764813 and HG764814, respectively. The MycoBank number for *Saccharomyces jurei* sp. nov. is MB 819910.

Three supplementary tables and one supplementary figure are available with the online Supplementary Material.
To date, most of the Saccharomyces strains held in international yeast collections (e.g. the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, CBS) have been isolated from substrates collected and sampled at low altitudes [26, 30, 33, 34]. Some species found from higher altitude include S. eubayanus isolated from the Tibetan Plateau [14] and S. arboricola from the Qinling Mountains [2]. Consequently, very little is known about the ecology and geographical distribution of Saccharomyces yeasts found at higher altitudes and cooler conditions. Thus, sampling substrates such as soil and trees at higher altitudes may lead to the discovery of new cryptolerant yeast strains and species. In this study, we sampled oak tree bark and surrounding soil at an altitude of 1000 m above sea level in Saint Auban, France. The yeast community was isolated and the species identities were determined by standard ITS and D1/D2 sequencing. Whilst the majority of isolated Saccharomyces were identified as S. paradoxus, two strains (D5088 and D5095) were recovered and found to represent a novel species belonging to the genus Saccharomyces. The novel species is named Saccharomyces jurei sp. nov., in memory of the yeast researcher Professor Jure Piškur. We show here that S. jurei is reproductively isolated from other Saccharomyces species by performing genetic crosses and testing for hybrid sterility. Both strains formed viable hybrids with all other Saccharomyces species and were, as expected from crosses between different biological species, predominantly sterile (with a spore viability ranging from 0 to 3%).

METHODOLOGY

Yeast isolation, media and maintenance
Samples of bark and soil were obtained in July 2013 from oak trees (Quercus) growing at an altitude of 1000 m above sea level in the Saint Auban region of south-eastern France (43° 5.2’ N, 006° 44’ E). The samples were collected aseptically and stored in sterile bags or Petri dishes. Equal amounts of each bark and soil sample were independently placed into one of two 50 ml sterile Falcon tubes containing Snigowski enrichment medium consisting of 3 g yeast extract, 3 g malt extract, 5 g peptone, 10 g sucrose, 76 ml EtOH, 1 mg chloramphenicol and 1 ml of 1 M HCl per litre [31]. The Falcon tubes were tightly capped and one set was incubated (without agitation) at 30 °C, while the other was incubated at 20 °C for 20–25 days. The tubes were periodically examined for turbidity and fermentation (i.e. evidence of gas formation). These observations were done after 10 days for the samples incubated at 30 °C and after 20 days for the 20 °C samples. Samples showing signs of either turbidity or fermentation were further examined, for signs of yeast growth, by standard light microscopy. All samples positive for yeast growth were plated onto Snigowski selection medium (SSE) [31] and incubated at 30 °C for several days. Individual yeast colonies were picked and re-streaked onto fresh SSE plates for further characterization.

Morphological and physiological characterization of yeasts
The two strains were characterized biochemically, morphologically and physiologically according to standard methods described previously [35]. Growth temperature was determined by cultivation on YM (yeast extract-malt extract) agar. Sporulation tests were performed on cornmeal agar, Gorodkowa agar, potassium acetate agar and YM agar, and plates were incubated at 25 °C for 3–4 weeks in individual and mixed cultures.

Images of the asci were taken using an Olympus model BH-2 light microscope and a scanning electron microscope. The asci formed on acetate agar after 5 days at 25 °C, and spontaneously broke as result of the general fixing process.

DNA extraction
For ITS1 and 26S rRNA D1/D2 sequencing, genomic DNA was isolated from cultures freshly grown on plates using the Masterpure Yeast DNA extraction kit (catalogue no. MPY80200) and following the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA yields and A260/A280 ratios were measured using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ND-1000), while DNA purity and integrity were checked by 0.8 % agarose gel electrophoresis.

DNA sequencing
The variable D1 and D2 domains of the 26S rRNA gene were amplified and sequenced using primers NL1 and NL4 [36]. The ribosomal ITS region was amplified using primers ITS4 and ITS5, and sequenced using these primers as well as internal primers ITS2 and ITS3 [20, 37]. Translation EF-1αA (TEF1) and RPB2 genes were amplified and sequenced as described previously [23]. Other nuclear genes (CAT8, CYRI, GSYI, MET6 and OPY1) were amplified and sequenced using previously published primers [32]. The PCR fragments were analysed by standard 1 % agarose gel electrophoresis, purified and concentrated using QIAquick PCR purification spin columns (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The purified products were sequenced using the BigDye Terminator Ready Reaction kit, version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequence traces were edited manually, and consensus sequences were generated using the program SEQUENcher, version 11 (DNAStar). The sequences were compared pairwise using a FASTA similarity search [38] and were aligned with the sequences of closely related taxa, retrieved from the EMBL sequence database, using the multiple alignment program CLUSTAL W [39] included in the MEGA version 6 software package [40]. A phylogenetic tree was reconstructed from the combined sequences of the 26S rRNA D1/D2 and ITS regions (including the 5.8S rRNA) using the neighbour-joining (NJ) program [41] included in MEGA, with the Kimura two-parameter (K2P) distance measure and Naumovozyma castellii selected as the outgroup species. Bootstrap support for the NJ tree was determined from 1000 replicates.
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Construction of stable haploid strains possessing auxotrophic marker

Prototrophic diploid strains D5088 and D5095 of *S. jurei* were made heterothallic by knocking out the *HO* gene. The heterozygote *HO/hoΔ* diploid strains were sporulated and tetrads were dissected to obtain stable *Matα* and *Matα hoΔ* haploid strains. The mating types were determined by PCR as described previously [42]. A PCR-mediated gene deletion strategy using drug resistance cassettes was applied to generate *ura3* auxotrophic strains of *S. jurei* [43]. A standard PEG/LiAc heat-shock protocol with some modifications was used for transformation. In our modified protocol, 1.0–3.0 µg of PCR product was transformed and cells were incubated at 30°C for 30 min followed by heat-shock at 37°C for 20 min. For the selection of transformants, the cells were incubated overnight at room temperature before being plated on selective media. The verification of gene deletions was performed by diagnostic colony PCR using gene-specific and cassette-specific primers.

Spore viability analysis

*S. jurei* strains D5088 and D5095 were crossed with other species of *Saccharomyces* using a micromanipulator. The hybrids were selected on SD plates containing different selective markers [44]. The tetrads were formed by growing the hybrids in pre-sporulation medium at 30°C for 12 h before plating on minimal sporulation medium. The sporulation plates were incubated at 20°C for 7–10 days for the formation of tetrads. The tetrads were dissected using a Singer MSM-300 micromanipulator. Spore viability was calculated based on the percentage of viable spores that had grown for each variant of the strain out of a possible 64 dissected tetrads.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of yeast species from *Quercus robur*

We obtained a total of 284 yeast isolates from oak tree bark and soil samples incubated at 20 and 30°C (see Table S1, available with the online Supplementary Material). *S. paradoxus* was by far the most abundant species isolated from the bark and soil samples, with *Kazachstania servazzii* and *Lachancea* (*Kluyveromyces*) thermotolerans being the other species recovered from this site.

DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

All yeast isolates were initially screened by amplifying the ITS region to distinguish between *Saccharomyces* and non-*Saccharomyces* species based on differing fragment size. Of 284 isolates collected, 180 amplified ITS fragments of the correct size for *Saccharomyces* yeasts (~850 bp), and their species identities were confirmed by sequencing the ITS1 region. Although the majority of isolates were identified as representing *S. paradoxus* (172 isolates), two isolates, D5088 and D5095, had ITS1 sequences that did not match with any currently described *Saccharomyces* species. Both isolates had identical ITS1 sequences, and a FASTA sequence similarity search of the EMBL fungal sequence database revealed no other yeast taxon, either *Saccharomyces* or non-*Saccharomyces*, with an ITS1 sequence identical to these isolates. In terms of pairwise sequence similarity, the closest taxa were *S. mikatae* (98.1%; 7 nt substitutions in 360 nt) and *S. paradoxus* (96.1%; 12 nt substitutions and one indel in 362 nt). Indeed, an ITS1 sequence alignment of the novel *Saccharomyces* taxon, *S. cerevisiae, S. mikatae* and representatives of the three geographically distinct populations of *S. paradoxus* (i.e. North American, European and Far Eastern) confirmed that the ITS1 region of strains D5088 and
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**Fig. 1.** NJ dendrogram based on the combined sequences of the LSU D1/D2 and ITS regions (including 5.8S rRNA) of *Saccharomyces jurei* sp. nov. and its closest relatives. Species names are followed by CBS, NCYC, NRRL or PYCC strain accession numbers and, respectively, the EMBL/GenBank accession numbers for the ITS and LSU D1/D2 regions. *Naumovozyma castellii* was used as the outgroup species for the analysis. Bootstrap values of >50%, determined from 1000 replicates, are shown at branch nodes. Bar, 2 base substitutions per 100 nt.
D5095 was unique and possessed four species-specific single nucleotide polymorphisms (Fig. S1). The level of sequence similarity seen between S. jurei and S. paradoxus is comparable to that observed between S. mikatae and S. paradoxus (96.1 % versus 96.4 %). Furthermore, the level of sequence similarity between S. jurei and S. mikatae (98.1 %) is lower than that observed between the three S. paradoxus populations (99.2–99.7 %). In contrast to the ITS1 region, a FASTA sequence similarity search with the 26S rRNA D1/D2 sequence revealed that the closest known taxon was S. paradoxus (99.8 %; 1 nt substitution in 579 nt), with sequence similarity search with the 26S rRNA D1/D2 indel in 574 nt). Sequence analysis of seven other nuclear genes (CAT8, CYR1, OPY1, GSY1, MET6, TEF1 and RPB2) showed that S. jurei is divergent from S. cerevisiae, S. mikatae and S. paradoxus (Table S2). Moreover, different populations of S. paradoxus and S. cerevisiae possess approximately 98–99 % sequence similarity for the seven nuclear genes analysed in this study. A phylogenetic analysis based on the combined (i.e. concatenated) sequences of the ITS and 26S rRNA D1/D2 regions showed that the novel taxon [as represented by D5088 (=NCYC 3947)] belonged to the genus Saccharomyces, and is located between S. mikatae and the species pair of S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus (Fig. 1).

Genetic hybridization analysis

All eight members of the Saccharomyces genus are biological species since they are reproductively isolated from each other [3]. The species of this genus can readily hybridize with each other, although the interspecific hybrids (F1 hybrids) formed are sexually sterile [45, 46]. This sterility is caused by the incompatibility of the two diverged homologous chromosomes to recombine during meiosis [17, 47, 48]. The presence of chromosomal rearrangements also lowers spore viability and contributes to hybrid infertility [10, 49, 50]. In contrast, intraspecific Saccharomyces hybrids are fertile and yield highly viable ascospores [3]. To establish that S. jurei is a novel biological species of the genus, we performed direct genetic crosses with representative strains from all other Saccharomyces species and tested the fertility of the resulting hybrids.

We first analysed the fertility of strains D5088T and D5095. Both were observed to be homothallic and highly fertile, with ascospore viability ranging from 95 to 100 %. To test the fertility of intra- and interspecific hybrids, we successfully constructed genetically stable haploid strains (a and α mating types) of D5088T and D5095 possessing ura3 auxotrophy and drug resistance markers (Clonat and KanMX, respectively). The hybrids produced from crossing D5088T with D5095 showed high spore viability of ca. 89 %, confirming that both strains belong to the same biological species (Table 1). In contrast, the interspecific hybrids produced from crosses between S. jurei and the other Saccharomyces species although viable displayed extremely low spore viability, ranging from 0 to 0.3 % (Table 1). Collectively, these data confirm the post-zygotic isolation between S. jurei and the other member species of the genus Saccharomyces, and demonstrate that it represents a biologically distinct novel Saccharomyces species.

Phenotypic characterization

Strains D5088T and D5095 exhibited similar morphological and physiological characters that are typical for species belonging to the genus Saccharomyces [51]. Moderate sporulation was observed for both strains at 25 °C on potassium acetate agar, cornmeal agar and YM agar. The asci had a spherical shape with two to four oval spores per ascus (Fig. 2).

Phenotypically, as shown in Table S3, there appear to be no standard assimilation or fermentation tests which can be used reliably to differentiate between S. jurei and its closest relatives, namely S. cerevisiae, S. mikatae and S. paradoxus. Amongst these four Saccharomyces species, S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus are the only ones which are able to grow at the elevated temperature of 37 °C, although this trait is
somewhat strain-variable [51, 52]. At present, the species descriptions of *S. jurei* (this study) and *S. mikatae* [3, 52] are restricted to just two strains each. However, it is quite possible that in time, as additional strains of each of these species are discovered, some of the traits currently listed as positive (e.g. maltose assimilation and fermentation; Table S3) will be found to be variable, as is the case for both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* [51–55]. The molecular comparisons showed that strains D5088 and D5095 represent a novel species of the genus *Saccharomyces*, for which the name *Saccharomyces jurei* sp. nov. is proposed.

**DESCRIPTION OF SACCHAROMYCES JUREI**

**NASEEB S, JAMES SA, ALSAMMAR H, MICHAELS C, GINI B, NEUNO-PALOP C, BOND CJ, MCGHIE H, ROBERTS IN, DELNERI D., SP. NOV.**

*Saccharomyces jurei* (ju’re.i. N.L. gen. n. jurei in memory of Professor Jure Piškur for his considerable contribution to the fields of yeast genetics and molecular biology).

On YM agar, after 3 days incubation at 25°C, colonies are light cream-coloured, slightly shiny, smooth and with an entire margin. In YM broth, after 2 days of incubation at 25°C, cells are spherical to ovoid (5.0–8.0×6.0–10.0 µm) and occur singly or in pairs. Budding is multipolar. No pseudohyphae are observed in cultures grown on cornmeal agar or potato agar. Oval asci containing 2–4 smooth round ascospores are formed after incubation for 1–3 weeks at 25°C on cornmeal agar, potassium acetate agar and YM agar (Fig. 2). Asci are persistent. Glucose, galactose, sucrose, maltose, raffinose, melizitose and methyl α-D-glucoside are fermented, but not lactose, trehalose, melibiose, cellobiose, inulin, soluble starch or D-xylose. Glucose, sucrose, raffinose, galactose, trehalose (latent or weak), maltose, melezitose, methyl α-D-glucoside, ethanol, glycerol (latent), D-mannitol and D,L-lactate are assimilated. No growth occurs on inulin, melibiose, lactose, soluble starch, cellobiose, salicin, L-sorbose, L-rhamnose, D-xylose, L-arabinose, D-arabinose, D-ribose, methanol, erythritol, ribitol, xylitol, galactitol, D-glucitol, inositol, succinate or citrate. No growth occurs on cadaverine, lysine, ethylamine hydrochloride or nitrate. Growth occurs at 30°C, but not at 37°C. No growth occurs on either YM agar with 10 % (w/v) NaCl or on 100 µg cycloheximide ml⁻¹. Growth occurs on 50 % glucose/yeast extract. Starch-like compounds are not produced.

The type strain, D5088ᵀ, was isolated from north-facing oak bark, collected at an altitude of 1000 m above sea level in the Saint Auban region of south-eastern France. This strain has been deposited in the National Collection of Yeast Cultures (NCYC), Norwich, UK, as NCYC 3947ᵀ (=CBS 14759ᵀ), and is stored in a metabolically inactive form in accordance with the Code. Strain D5095 has also been deposited in the NCYC as NCYC 3962. The MycoBank deposit number is MB 819910.

**Fig. 2.** Phenotypic characteristics of *Saccharomyces jurei* sp. nov. D5088ᵀ. Photomicrograph of the ascus (a) and scanning electron micrographs of ascospores (b and c) formed on acetate agar after 5 days at 25°C. Bars, 2 µm
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