Key enzymes catalyzing glycerol to 1,3-propanediol
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Abstract
Biodiesel can replace petroleum diesel as it is produced from animal fats and vegetable oils, and it produces about 10 % (w/w) glycerol, which is a promising new industrial microbial carbon, as a major by-product. One of the most potential applications of glycerol is its biotransformation to high value chemicals such as 1,3-propanediol (1,3-PD), dihydroxyacetone (DHA), succinic acid, etc., through microbial fermentation. Glycerol dehydratase, 1,3-propanediol dehydrogenase (1,3-propanediol-oxydoreductase), and glycerol dehydrogenase, which were encoded, respectively, by dhaB, dhaT, and dhaD and with DHA kinase are encompassed by the dha regulon, are the three key enzymes in glycerol bioconversion into 1,3-PD and DHA, and these are discussed in this review article. The summary of the main research direction of these three key enzyme and methods of glycerol bioconversion into 1,3-PD and DHA indicates their potential application in future enzymatic research and industrial production, especially in biodiesel industry.
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Background
The demand for biofuels is increasing worldwide; as raw material, it is one of the most promising alternative sources of energy. Biodiesel can replace petroleum diesel as it is derived from vegetable oils and animal fats, and it produces about 10 % (w/w) glycerol as a major by-product [1–6]. However, as glycerol cannot be disposed of in the environment safely, surplus glycerol generated could become an environmental threat. In the EU, some biodiesel companies face many serious problems with regard to the removal of excess glycerol, and the disposal of glycerol is quite expensive. Collapse of the price of glycerol is the main problem faced by these companies [7–11]. One of the promising applications of glycerol is its bioconversion to 1,3-propanediol (1,3-PD) [12–15], which is a valuable chemical and one of the six new petrochemical products, as it can be used as a monomer for polycondensation to manufacture plastics with special properties, i.e., polyesters, polyethers, polyurethanes, polytrimethylene terephthalate [16–24], as a monomer for cyclic compounds [25, 26], and as a polyglycol-type lubricant. Besides, it may also serve as a solvent [27, 28]. In the market for these new products, exploiting low-cost 1,3-PD will be the key to its competitiveness.

More than 105 tons of 1,3-PD are produced every year. Most of them are obtained through chemical synthesis, but this process is expensive and environmentally hazardous as it requires high temperature, high pressure, expensive catalysts, and produces toxic intermediates; hence, 1,3-PD still has a low market volume on industrial scale [29–36]. In contrast, the biotechnological synthesis of 1,3-PD appears to be a promising alternative to the chemical synthesis as it offers environmental benefits and allows for the use of renewable feedstock [37, 38]. 1,3-PD was originally obtained from glycerol fermentation in 1881 [39]. Glycerol is metabolized both oxidatively and reductively in *Citrobacter*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Clostridium*, etc. [40, 41]. The pathway of conversion from glycerol to 1,3-PD is shown in Fig. 1. More studies on the three strains, *K. pneumoniae*, *C. butyricum*, and *C. freundii*, have been performed than on other species, as these species have high rates of conversion to 1,3-PD and great production intensities.
1,3-PD is most likely to become the most widely used bulk chemical produced from a renewable resource with biological technology [42]. In recent years, the interest has been focused on the production of 1,3-PD using enzymatic conversion. The reductive pathway is regulated and controlled by coenzyme B_{12}-dependent glycerol dehydrogenase (GDHt) (EC, 4.2.1.30) and related diol dehydrogenases (DDHs) (EC 4.2.1.28) [25, 43–45], converting glycerol to 3-hydroxypropionaldehyde (HPA) [46–49], and by the coenzyme nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH)+H\textsuperscript{+}-dependent enzyme, 1,3-propanediol-oxidoreductase (PDOR) (EC 1.1.1.202), reducing 3-HPA to 1,3-PD and regenerating NAD\textsuperscript{+} [30, 50–54] (Fig. 1). In the oxidative pathway, the NAD\textsuperscript{+}-dependent enzyme glycerol dehydrogenase (GDH) (EC 1.1.1.6) catalyzes the conversion of glycerol to dihydroxyacetone (DHA), then the glycolytic enzyme DHA kinase (EC 2.7.1.29, dhaK) phosphorylates the DHA to the latter product [51, 55–57], which is then funneled to glycolysis (Fig. 1). GDH, GDHt, and PDOR, which were encoded, respectively, by dhaB, dhaT, and dhaD and with dhaK are encompassed by the dha regulon [40, 44, 45], are the three key enzymes in the bioconversion of glycerol in 1,3-PD and DHA. Furthermore, these are discussed in this review article.

**Glycerol dehydratase**

GDHt, which catalyzes the penultimate step in the fermentation pathway to produce 1,3-PD [29, 44, 46], is a key and rate-limiting enzyme for the conversion of glycerol to 3-HPA. 3-HPA is further reduced to 1,3-PD by the NADH-linked PDOR. Furthermore, the genes of the GDHt are located in the DHA regulon [58, 59]. Mainly, the GDHt, which is mostly found in *C. freundii*, *K. pneumoniae*, *C. pasteurianum*, etc. [51, 58, 60, 61], consists of three types of subunits, i.e., α, β, and γ, and exists in the form of α₂β₂γ₂ heterohexamer.

The 1,3-PD operon of *C. butyricum* comprises three genes, a different type of GDHt (dhaB1), its activator protein (dhaB2), and dhaT [33]. In this bacterium, GDHt is extremely oxygen sensitive, strongly associated with the cell membrane, and independent of vitamin B\textsubscript{12} [33–35, 62–64]. The GDHt limits the activity of the propanediol dehydrogenase [65]. Moreover, the GDHt is a key functional molecule in the catabolism of glycerol by *C. butyricum*. Hence, this rate-limiting step avoids intracellular accumulation of 3-HPA, a very toxic compound. A summary of main research direction and research content of GDHt is shown in Fig. 2.

**Classification of GDHt**

GDHt and DDH from 1,3-PD-producing bacteria can be categorized into three categories: the first one, which is distributed on the cell membrane, does not rely on the coenzyme B\textsubscript{12}, is sensitive to oxygen, and is representative of ethylene glycol *C. glycolycum* of DDH; the second relies on the coenzyme B\textsubscript{12}, shows resistance to oxygen, undergoes suicidal inactivation by the substrate glycerol and is represented by GDHt of *K. pneumoniae*, *Citrobacter*, and *C. pasteurianum* of the GDHt (Fig. 2); the third class does not rely on the coenzyme B\textsubscript{12}, is sensitive to oxygen, undergoes suicidal inactivation by the substrate glycerol, activated again with the help of SAM, and is representative of *C. butyricum* in the GDHt (Fig. 2).

The differences between GDHt and DDH were shown by comparing the three-dimensional structures of GDHt to that of DDH [66–68]. It was demonstrated that the substrate bound to GDHt was assigned the (R)-isomer, which is the difference between the two enzymes for suicidal inactivation of sensitivity by glycerol [66]. A new type of GDHt shows no homology with the well-known B\textsubscript{12}-dependent GDHt, but shows significant similarity with the pyruvate formate lyases (PFLs). PFLs activate enzymes and their homologous compounds, which are encoded by the dhaB1 from *C. butyricum*, were cloned and analyzed [33], and they were proved to be belonging to a new family of coenzyme B\textsubscript{12}-independent GDHt. The enzyme is different from the coenzyme B\textsubscript{12}-dependent dehydrogenases in that (i) is extremely oxygen sensitive, (ii) is strongly associated with the cell membrane, and (iii) does not use cobalamin coenzyme as a cofactor [69]. The genes encoding the 1,3-PD operon of *C. butyricum* VP1718 comprises three genes: dhaB1, dhaB2, and dhaT, which encode a new type of GDHt, its activator protein and PDOR, respectively. In our group, through the expressing recombinant expression vector pET-22 dhaB\textsubscript{B}B\textsubscript{B} in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3), the activity of GDHt was found to be six times higher than that in *C. butyricum* (2.37 U/mL), and its specific activity was 36.3 U/mg [70], suggesting that protein engineering
can be used as an ideal research direction to improve the enzyme activity (Fig. 2).

The software Molsoft ICM-Pro was used to maximize the overlap of coenzyme-dependent and -independent GDHt tertiary structures, and the result is shown in Fig. 3 (left). Although both were dimers, they showed a vast difference in spatial structures and less overlap. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) was introduced as a parameter to measure the overlapping effect. The greater the RMSD, the lesser the overlap. The overlapping RMSD was 27.104749. On comparing the overlap from \textit{C. novyi} persistent functional language (PFL) and independent GDHt, the similarity was found to be 78%, and the RMSD was found to be 9.607466 (right), as shown in Fig. 3.

It has been demonstrated that the C-terminal-conserved domain is the binding site of the GDHt and its reactivators. Coenzyme B$_{12}$-independent GDHt is a monomer-subunit dimer [71]. Two monomers, which constitute the GDHt dimers through a noncovalent bond and comprise 10 β/α barrel structure, had almost perfect centrosymmetry. The C terminus is a highly conservative region and a reactivator-binding site. The β/α barrel structure, the substrate glycerol, or 1,2-propylene-binding region of the GDHt is similar to the β/α barrel structure of the PFL and anaerobic ribonucleotide reductase. The most conservative regions in the \textit{C. terminus} of the GDHt and PFL are the corresponding amino acid residues 731–782 of the former and 702–754 of the latter, respectively. Moreover, the RMSD is only 7 nm. Using site-directed mutagenesis, O’Brien et al. had demonstrated that R782 residues participated in the proton transfer in the enzyme catalytic process [72]; hence, the C-terminal conserved domain is identified as the binding site of the GDHt and its reactivators. However, the research about the bonding mechanism is scarce.

As all B$_{12}$-dependent GDHts need a number of coenzymes, vitamin B$_{12}$ leads to the high cost of biological process for producing 1,3-PD. It would be an ideal research direction to modify the B$_{12}$-dependent GDHt mutate to the B$_{12}$-independent GDHt (Fig. 2). Moreover, the coenzyme B$_{12}$-independent GDHt should help to improve the development of an economic and vitamin B$_{12}$-free procedure for conversion of renewable resources such as glucose to 1,3-PD.

**Cloning and characterization of the GDHt**

Macis et al. first reported the sequences of genes encoding key enzymes (GDHt) involved in glycerol bioconversion to 1,3-PD [51]. Then, the genes from several bacteria, \textit{C. freundii}, \textit{Cl. pasteurianum}, \textit{C. Butyricum}, and \textit{K. pneumoniae}, encoding GDHt were cloned and characterized [33, 51, 58, 59]. Names and lengths of genes, protein molecular masses of GDHt and DDH from different organisms are shown in Table 1. Yakusheva et al. [73] made a sensitive new recording method for determining the activity of GDHt by modifying the two calorimetric methods elaborated [74]. Ahrens et al. modified this method [50] and used a correction factor of 1.41. With different host bacteria, the activity of the GDHt was different, which was shown by Macis et al. [51]. Even through there are a large number of reports about the cloning and characterization (Fig. 2) of the B$_{12}$-dependent GDHt, the characterization of coenzyme B$_{12}$-independent GDHt is poorly understood.
The mechanisms of coenzyme-dependent GDHt and DDH have been studied extensively and are fairly well understood [75, 76]. Crystal structures of the coenzyme-dependent GDHt, which forms a complex with K\(^+\), cobalamin, and propane-1,2-diol, respectively, were reported [66, 77]. The biological form and the subunit composition of the coenzyme-dependent GDHt are \(\alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_2\) heterohexamers [66].

The GDHt is assembled in the form of a dimer of \(\alpha\beta\gamma\) heterotrimers. Moreover, the \(\alpha\) subunit contains a triosephosphate isomerase (TIM) barrel structure with the active site isolated inside the central barrel formed by eight parallel \(\beta\) strands. The \(\alpha\) subunit is a coenzyme-dependent activity of GDHt center, which contains essentially factor \(K^+\)-binding sites. Coenzyme \(B_{12}\) vitamins are located in between the TIM barrel structure and \(\beta\) subunit. \(K^+\) ions, the substrate molecule, and coenzyme adenosine bind only with \(\alpha\) subunit. The \(B_{12}\) is sandwiched between the open end of the central barrel and the \(\beta\) subunit. It was demonstrated that once the GDH-B\(_{12}\)-\(K^+\) complex was formed, even with the substrate, the \(K^+\) ion in the active site is unlikely to readily exchange with other monovalent cations such as \(\text{NH}_4^+\), while the substrate is likely to reduce the mobility of the \(K^+\) in the active site [77]. The structure–function relationship of the coenzyme-dependent GDHt with its coenzyme has also been investigated extensively [78–81], and the crystal should be of help in studying the structure–function relationship and performing evolution by genetic engineering (Fig. 2).

### Table 1 The codings of GDHt and DDH from different organisms

| Organism              | Gene name       | Gene length | Protein molecular mass (Da) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------|
|                       | \(\alpha\) | \(\beta\) | \(\gamma\) | \(\alpha\) | \(\beta\) | \(\gamma\) | \(\alpha\) | \(\beta\) | \(\gamma\) |
| \(K. pneumoniae\)     | gldA  | gldB  | gldC  | 1668 | 585  | 426  | 60,621 | 21,310 | 16,094 |
| \(K. oxytoca\)        | pddA  | pddB  | pddC  | 1668 | 675  | 522  | 60,348 | 24,113 | 19,173 |
| \(K. pneumoniae\)     | dhaB  | dhaC  | dhaE  | 1668 | 584  | 425  | 60,702 | 21,322 | 16,101 |
| \(C. pasteurianum\)   | dhaA  | dhaC  | dhaE  | 1665 | 540  | 441  | 60,813 | 19,549 | 16,722 |
| \(C. freundii\)       | dhaA  | dhaC  | dhaE  | 1665 | 540  | 441  | 60,813 | 19,549 | 16,722 |
| \(C. freundii\)       | dhaB  | dhaC  | dhaE  | 1668 | 585  | 429  | 60,433 | 21,487 | 16,121 |
| \(Clostridium butyrium\) | dhbB1 | 2364 | 88,074 | 60,307 | 24,157 | 19,131 |
| \(S. typhimurium\)    | pduC  | pduD  | pduE  | 1665 | 675  | 522  | 60,307 | 24,157 | 19,131 |

The \(dhb\)\(_{BCE}\) genes of \(K. pneumoniae\) \(XJPD-Li\), \(C. pasteurianum\) and \(C. freundii\); the \(gld\)\(_{ABC}\) genes of \(K. pneumoniae\) encode coenzyme \(B_{12}\)-dependent glycerol dehydratase; The \(pdd\)\(_{ABC}\) genes of \(K. oxytoca\) and the \(pdu\)\(_{CDE}\) genes of \(S. typhimurium\) encode coenzyme \(B_{12}\)-dependent diol dehydratase; The \(dhb\)\(_{B1}\) gene of \(Clostridium butyrium\) encode coenzyme \(B_{12}\)-independent glycerol dehydratase; \(\alpha\), large subunit; \(\beta\), intermediate subunit; \(\gamma\), small subunit.

### Bioinformatics and crystal structure of the GDHt

The structure of the GDHt is a limiting step in the production of 1,3-PD, especially at high concentrations.
of glycerol [50, 65], it is suggested that increasing the enzyme activity could contribute to an increase in the productivity of 1,3-PD from renewable resources. At present, there are several challenges to be addressed in the bioproduction of 1,3-PD: when high concentration of glycerol is used, GDHt is the major rate-limiting enzyme in K. pneumoniae and C. butyricum [50, 65]; high concentrations of glycerol and 3-HPA inhibit GDHt and render irreversible suicide inactivation of GDHt [48, 55, 82, 83]; and the cost of the coenzyme. The improvement in the enzymatic properties of GDHt is desirable for the biosynthesis of 1,3-PD. Genetic engineering and protein engineering (Fig. 2) can improve enzymatic properties and solve these problems for industrial production.

**Rational design**

Rational design as a simple and promising method was applied to improve the performance of industrial enzymes such as GDHt. The GDHt is a complex enzyme consisting of three different subunits in the active form of α₂β₂γ₂. Only one study reported about its protein engineering using subunit gene swapping [84]. The thermal, pH stability, and V_max of the GDHt were markedly improved by 2-5 times compared with the wild type by rational design [84, 85]. PoPMuSiC is an effective computer-aided rational design program for site-mutation study of proteins or polypeptides [86]. Rational design is more efficient, with the sample and the targeting being easier, compared with the directed evolution method (also called irrational design such as error-prone polymerase chain reaction [PCR] and DNA shuffling), and hence, it can be used as a promising method to ameliorate enzymatic properties.

**Saturation-mutagenesis and distant mutations**

Saturation-mutagenesis and distant mutations have made a contribution to the toolbox of industrial enzyme amelioration, and there are many successful cases [87–89]. Through these methods, the catalytic activity of a mutant in β-subunit (β-Q42F, 29.6 Å from the active site) was improved by 8.3-fold higher than the wild type, and the catalytic efficiencies of other two mutants β-Q42L and β-Q42S for substrate glycerol were, respectively, 336- and 80-folds higher than that for 1,2-propanediol [90]. The optimal temperature of GDHt, from K. pneumoniae XJPD-Li, was 5 °C lower for the mutant compared with the wild type [91]. In comparison, by making a mutant to be near the active sites and the others at distant positions at the same time, some interesting phenomenon might be found.

**Direct expression, coexpression, and fusion expression**

Direct expression, coexpression, and fusion expression methods were used for heterologous expression and characterization of GDHt from K. pneumoniae in E. coli, and the activities of three heterologous expression products were found to be 27.4, 2.3, and 0.2 U/mg, respectively. It was demonstrated that the highest enzyme activity was almost 17 times of that in K. pneumoniae [92], while these researches had always focused on only one enzyme. These methods could be used for the coexpressions of dhaB and dhaT genes to efficiently convert glycerol to 1,3-PD, and even in other bioconversion processes involving multienzymatic coexpressions, such as coexpressions of the GDHt, PDOR, and the reactivating factor.

**Inactivation and reactivation of GDHt**

The inactivation of GDHt during catalysis had been demonstrated in many studies [77, 93, 94]. It catalyzes the conversion of glycerol to 3-HPA via a radical mechanism that requires 5′-deoxyadenosylcobalamin (coB12) and monovalent cations [77]. Both ammonium and rubidium ions can substitute for K⁺ to activate the enzyme. Moreover, K⁺ is heptacoordinated by the two hydroxyls from the substrate and five oxygen atoms from the active-site residues [66, 95]. Cross-activation had demonstrated that the reactivase from K. pneumoniae for GDHt is much slower in reactivating inactive DDH, while the reactivase of K. oxytoca for DDH can reactivate at higher rate than that of the inactive GDHt [96]. It has been shown that out of 22 residues, which come from subunit α (16), β (4), and γ (2) identified as potentially important for reactivase specificity, 14 of them have changes in charge between the two groups of dehydratases [94].

In the future, computer modeling, site-directed mutagenesis, and protein engineering could be used to study the reactivase specificity characteristics such as the nature of GDHt/DDHt-reactivase interaction and the GDHt/DDHt interacting surface with the reactivase. It had been demonstrated that the function of GDHt reactivase is to remove damaged coenzyme B₁₂ from GDHt, which has suffered mechanism-based inactivation [94]. Based on the structural features, Liao et al. proposed a hypothesis about the reactivation mechanism of reactivase [94]. Both GDHt and its isofunctional homolog DDH undergo mechanism-based inactivation by glycerol during catalysis. This phenomenon was caused by the loss of the intermediate radical from the active site, leaving catalytically incompetent cofactor [cob (II) alamin and 5′-deoxyadenosine] tightly bound in the active site. This would appear to impose a severe limitation to an organism’s ability to ferment glycerol. To overcome this limitation, specific protein-reactivating factors (reactivases) for both GDHt and DDH have been identified, which catalyze the adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-dependent exchange of cob (II)-alam and 5′-deoxyadenosine for
coenzyme $B_12$ from the medium [97]. Mori and Toraya et al. have demonstrated that reactivase mediates an ATP-dependent reactivation [98]. It has been proposed and demonstrated that reactivase can be seen as a new type of molecular chaperone involved in the reactivation of the inactivated enzyme [94, 98–100]. The amino acid sequences of the $\beta$ subunit of the GDHt/DDH and the $\beta$ subunit of GDHt/DDH reactivases are very similar [100]. The GDHt reactivases are capable of binding to $B_{12}$ from $C. freundii$ [48], but there are no reports about reactivases with specific binding activity to cobalamin. The reactivation mechanism had been demonstrated through implications of reactivase structural features. Moreover, the function of reactivase is to help in some other manner with the relaxation of the GDH $\beta$-subunit to facilitate release of the damaged cofactor [94].

Reactivating factors for GDHt and DDH were discovered, and the mechanisms of their actions were established [98]. The $gdrA$ and $gdrB$, two open-reading frames of $K. pneumoniae$, as the genes for a reactivating factor for GDHt were obtained [101]. The reactivating factors, DdrA and DdrB proteins, are highly homologous to the products of $gdrA$ ($dhaB4$) and $gdrB$ ($orf2b$) of $K. pneumoniae$, $orfZ$ of $C. freundii$, [58] and $orfX$ C. pasteurianum [51]. Moreover, the DdrB protein is homologous to the $\beta$ subunits of DDH [102] and GDHt [58], while the gene product of the $orfZ$ in $K. oxytoca$ is considered to be involved in the reaction of the coenzyme Co–C bond activation with O$_2$ [104]. With free AdoCbl, Mg$^{2+}$, and ATP, the reactivating factor can reactivate glycerol-inactivated, O$_2$-inactivated holoenzymes, and activate the enzyme, CN-CbI complex [75, 76]. Cloning, identification, expression, and analysis of the GDHt and its reactivating factor have been reported [48, 59, 83, 105]. The system, which made the inactivated GDHt effectively reactivated, is as follows: the concentrations of coenzyme B12, Mg$^{2+}$, and ATP were 3 $\mu$M, 10, and 50 mM, respectively, when the ratio (W/W) of reactivation to GDHt factor was 1:4. As a result, the O$_2$-inactivated and glycerol-inactivated dehydratase could be reactivated to 97.3 and 98.9 % of initial activity in 10 min, respectively. A reactivating system, with ATP, Mg$^{2+}$ ions, and AdoCbl, has demonstrated that the cobalamin is released from the inactivated enzyme in exchange for free AdoCbl. Moreover, this has been found to occur in GDHt, DDH, and ethanolamine ammonia lyase [106]. Coenzyme $B_{12}$-dependent enzymes may bind to their cofactors in two different ways: the “base-on” mode and the “base-off” mode, which were defined as the reactivation factors together with ATP [107]. It was confirmed that both GDHt and DDH reactions utilize the “base-off” mode of coenzyme-B$_{12}$ [108]. Even though there are many researches about enzyme activation, it is
far from achieving practical production, and there is no report on actual catalytic activity.

In our group, two incompatible plasmids, pET-32gd-ABC and pET-28gdAB, under screening pressure by ampicillin and kanamycin, were used for coexpression of GDHt and its reactivase. The gldABC and gdrAB, from K. pneumoniae DSM2026, encoded GDHt and its reactivase, respectively. The glycerol-inactivated GDHt in permeabilized cells underwent rapid reactivation in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$, ATP, and coenzyme B$_{12}$ and produced 3-HPA two times more than that without reactivation. This result identified the reactivation ability of GDHt reactivase, and successfully coexpressed GDHt, and its reactivase in vivo with two incompatible recombinant plasmids. This is the first case about using incompatible recombinant plasmids to coexpress GDHt and its reactivase.

**GDHt and NADH/NAD$^+$**

While metabolic engineering studies have focused on manipulating enzyme levels using amplification, addition or deletion of a particular pathway, cofactor operations can potentially become a powerful metabolic engineering tool [109]. Cofactors NADH/NAD$^+$ play an important role in microbe catabolism. Moreover, for further increase in system productivity, the cofactor manipulation may become crucial.

The natural fermentation process of transformation from glycerol to 1,3-PD and recent developments related to the effort of the metabolic engineering of the novel d-glucose pathway to 1,3-PD have been reviewed [110, 111]. A series of patents and applications regarding the organism catalyst for the direct conversion of d-glucose to 1,3-PD have been reviewed [110, 111]. The enzymes GDHt and PDOR or PDOR isoenzymes to produce 1,3-PD [21, 118, 119]. The US patent is based on a recombinant bacterium, which contains genes encoding GDHt, PDOR, and vitamin B$_{12}$ transport proteins, connecting ATP, vitamin receptor precursor gene, and vitamin B$_{12}$ transfer protein gene. Moreover, the recombinant bacterium can undergo fermentation to produce 1,3-PD [120].

The effects on glycerol fermentation of GDHt overexpressing separately or collaboratively with PDOR in K. pneumoniae DSM2026 were investigated, and the role of DhaR as a positive regulator of the dhaT gene had been demonstrated [21]. The enzymes GDHt and PDOR obtained from K. pneumoniae have been overexpressed in E. coli [47, 116]. Zhang and Jian established a temperature-controlled expression vector pHsh harboring yqhD, dhaB, dhaG, and dhaT and produced an increase in the recombinant strain yield of 1,3-PD by 28% [117]. Huijin et al., Zheng et al., and Zhu et al. used coexpressing GDHt and PDOR or PDOR isoenzymes to produce 1,3-PD [21, 118, 119]. The US patent is based on a recombinant bacterium, which contains genes encoding GDHt, PDOR, and vitamin B$_{12}$ transport proteins, connecting ATP, vitamin receptor precursor gene, and vitamin B$_{12}$ transfer protein gene. Moreover, the recombinant bacterium can undergo fermentation to produce 1,3-PD [120].

In our group, the recombinant plasmids pET-32a-gld-ABC (for cellular expression) and pET-22b-gldABC (for extracellular expression) have been constructed [70]. The enzymes have been found to be 0.476 and 0.224 U/mL and have six and three times of activities of the wild-type strain, respectively [70]. Through the introduction of two restricted enzyme positions between gldA and gldC genes, directed evolution of mutant library of the dhaB1 and dhaB2 YqhD.

The genes, dhaT and dhaB, from C. freundii or K. pneumoniae were also used to produce 1,3-PD by coupling catalysis in E. coli [110], through coexpression of the dhaB1 and dhaB2 genes, which encode the vitamin B$_{12}$-independent GDHt, DhaB1, and its activating factor, DhaB2, respectively. The yqhD gene encodes the PDOR isoenzyme dhaT, an NADP-dependent dehydrogenase. Moreover, it can directly convert glycerol to 1,3-PD, and the highest yield and the efficiency of productivity of 1,3-PD were obtained compared with other reports [115].

The method has further promise for industrial applications, as it overcomes the consumption of isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside and helps in enlarging the substrate range of the 1,3-PD synthesis pathway to more-abundant renewable feedstock such as sugar and starch.

**GDHt and PDOR**

As PDOR catalyzes the reaction that converts 3-HPA to 1,3-PD, and a high concentration of 3-HPA makes the GDHt to lose its activity. The enzyme-coupling catalysis of PDOR and GDHt probably improves the accumulation of 1,3-PD. Also the GDHt, the reactivating factor, and PDOR can be coexpressed in one host to construct an engineered bacterium, which could greatly improve the efficiency of converting glycerol to 1,3-PD.

The effects on glycerol fermentation of GDHt overexpressing separately or collaboratively with PDOR in K. pneumoniae DSM2026 were investigated, and the role of DhaR as a positive regulator of the dhaT gene had been demonstrated [21]. The enzymes GDHt and PDOR obtained from K. pneumoniae have been overexpressed in E. coli [47, 116]. Zhang and Jian established a temperature-controlled expression vector pHsh harboring yqhD, dhaB, dhaG, and dhaT and produced an increase in the recombinant strain yield of 1,3-PD by 28% [117]. Huijin et al., Zheng et al., and Zhu et al. used coexpressing GDHt and PDOR or PDOR isoenzymes to produce 1,3-PD [21, 118, 119]. The US patent is based on a recombinant bacterium, which contains genes encoding GDHt, PDOR, and vitamin B$_{12}$ transport proteins, connecting ATP, vitamin receptor precursor gene, and vitamin B$_{12}$ transfer protein gene. Moreover, the recombinant bacterium can undergo fermentation to produce 1,3-PD [120].

In our group, the recombinant plasmids pET-32a-gld-ABC (for cellular expression) and pET-22b-gldABC (for extracellular expression) have been constructed [70]. The enzymes have been found to be 0.476 and 0.224 U/mL and have six and three times of activities of the wild-type strain, respectively [70]. Through the introduction of two restricted enzyme positions between gldA and gldC genes, directed evolution of mutant library of the
**gldB** was built to improve the enzymatic properties using error-prone PCR [121].

**GDHt, O₂ and NADH oxidase**
With O₂ as the substrate, NADH oxidase can produce H₂O. It is ideal to build the coupling system that co-expresses NADH oxidase with the coenzyme B₁₂-independent GDHt, which is sensitive to oxygen. As the B₁₂-independent GDHt does not need coenzyme vitamin B₁₂ and avoids a biological process with high cost for producing 1,3-PD, it should help in the development of an economic B₁₂-independent process for the production of 1,3-PD from renewable resources.

**Application of GDHt in the bioconversion of glycerol to 1,3-PD**
The biotechnological production of 1,3-PD from glycerol has been demonstrated for several bacteria such as *Lactobacillus brevis*, *Lactobacillus buchnerii*, *Bacillus welchii*, *C. freundii*, *K. pneumoniae*, *C. pasteurianum*, *C. butyricum*, and *E. agglomerans* [19, 29, 55, 64, 122–127]. The amount of 1,3-PD can be increased greatly, while these original strains are reformed for genetic improvement. So far, there have been two alternatives for manufacturing 1,3-PD using biotechnological route: using natural bacterial strains such as *C. freundii*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *C. butyricum*; and utilizing glucose as feed stock by employing recombinant bacteria. Coexpressing GDHt and dehydratase reactivation factor in recombinant *E. coli* offer a promising potential in enhancing the ability of producing glycerol (from glucose) [128]. Using an alternative substrate such as glucose instead of glycerol could be cost effective in the production of 1,3-PD for making a “green” polyester. 1,3-PD may be the first bulk chemical produced by engineering a bacterium by combining the pathway from glucose to glycerol successfully with a genetically engineered microorganism. Glycerol/glucose-fed batch cofermentations of *E. coli* AG1 harboring these synthetic operons, which were constructed using *dhaB* and *dhaT* under the control of a single trc promoter by Skrably et al., yielded a final concentration of 6.33 g/L 1,3-PD [116]. Nevoigt and Stahl demonstrated the expressions of *dha B,C,E* and *dha T* in prokaryotes and eukaryotes [129], but 1,3-PD was detected at very low concentrations. The highest yield and productivity of 1,3-PD were 104.4 g/L and 2.61 g/L/h, respectively, while using glycerol as the sole carbon source. They were obtained by engineering a novel polycistronic operon under the control of the temperature-sensitive lambda phage P₃P₄ Promoter in *E.coli*, and the rate of bioconversion of glycerol to 1,3-PD attained 90.2 % (g/g) [115].

The main research direction, research content, and possible future directions of the GDHt are summarized in Fig. 2. The train of thought and framework of the GDHt can provide reference for the study of other enzymes, and these conclusions also help to improve the output of 3-HPA and 1,3-PD. These factors (high or low activity of GDHt, high or low sensitivity to the inactivation of the substrate glycerol, its dependence on vitamin B₁₂, and its rate of production of 3-HPA), are key in influencing the rate of production of 1,3-PD.

**1,3-Propanediol oxidoreductase**
PDOR, which directly hydrogenates 3-HPA to 1,3-PD and encoded by *dhaT* gene, is one of the key enzymes in the pathway for the conversion of glycerol to 1,3-PD. The PDOR is inhibited by 1,3-PD in metabolic pathways, and hence it resulted in the accumulation of 3-HPA [130]. The accumulation of 3-HPA can inhibit the activity of GDHt to prevent the growth of bacteria and result in reducing the production of 1,3-PD, leading to a major influence in the production of 1,3-PD. Hence, the high or low PDOR activity and the ability of tolerance level of the 1,3-PD are the key factors influencing the concentration of the product of the metabolic pathway. Hence, the importance of study on PDOR is suggested. The main research direction and research content of PDOR are shown in Fig. 5.

**Characteristic and characterization**
PDOR belongs to the Fe-NAD-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase third family, and it is also a typical iron-iron activation-type dehydrogenase. In the reduction reaction, PDOR needs NADH as a cofactor that was generated during the oxidation of glycerol to DHA, resulting in the reduction of 3-HPA to 1,3-PD. Moreover, the NADH was oxidized to NAD⁺ at the same time. It needs NAD⁺ as a cofactor in the oxidation reaction.

The 1,3-PD is a natural substrate for PDOR, and the catalytic process needs NAD⁺ as a cofactor. It was demonstrated that the enzyme could also use the 1,4-butanediol, 1-butyl alcohol, 1-propanol, glycerol, or 1,2-propylene glycol, etc. as the substrate. For the PDORs, from *C. butyricum* E5 and *C. freundii* DSM 30040, the optimal substrate was 3-HPA in the catalytic reduction reaction, and the optimal substrate was 1,3-PD in the catalytic oxidation reaction [55, 131].

Optimal pH for different PDORs showed almost no difference, but the difference varies according to the role. When as a reductase, the optimal pH is about 9.0 [53, 131, 132]. While as an oxidase, the optimal pH is about 6.6 [53, 133]. The optimal temperature for the enzyme was different in different species ranging from 25 to 57 °C. The PDORs contained 380–400 amino acid residues, which usually exist in the form of eight polymers in nature, as well as in the form of four polymers.
The PDOR can be activated by divalent metal ions, but a direct activator for the enzyme has not been reported. Table 2 shows the influence of metal ions on the PDOR enzyme activity. It was reported that the PDOR from *K. pneumoniae* could be reactivated by Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ [132]. Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ had the activation effect on the PDOR from *C. freundii* DSM 30040 [55]. The PDOR from *C. butyricum* E5 showed that the highest enzyme activity exists only in Mn$^{2+}$, while the enzyme activity declined by 60–90% in other cations [131]. These results could be considered as effective reference for practical production.

Cloning and directed evolution

In 1995, for the first time, the *dha*T gene, encoding PDOR, was cloned from *C. freundii*, and it was expressed in *E. coli* [55]. Later, the PDORs were purified from *L. brevis, K. pneumoniae*, and *C. butyricum*, and the properties of different microbe PDORs are shown in Table 3. Genes, belonging to the 1,3-PD production strain, encoding PDOR is referred to as the regulation of *dha* subsystem (Fig. 6). Moreover, the PDOR was encoded by the *dha*T in *C. freundii* and *C. butyricum* [134]. Using a single-factor and uniform design, the most optimal PCR system was built to amplify the *dha*T gene [135, 136]. The *yqhD*, which encodes the PDOR isozyme, was first cloned from *E. coli* by Zhang et al. [117], and the Dupont company is currently using *yqhD* genes to construct genetically engineered bacteria with relatively high performance.

Enzyme needs to be kept highly active in extreme environments for a long time during practical application. In spite of the effort put into the biological production of 1,3-PD,

![Figure 5](image_url) The main research direction about PDOR

### Table 2 The effects of PDORs from different organisms by metal ions

| Metal ions | Strains | Mark | The data source |
|------------|---------|------|-----------------|
| Ca$^{2+}$  | *Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus buchneri* | – | Veiga-da-Cunha and Foster [53] |
| Fe$^{2+}$  | *Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus buchneri, Citrobacter freundii* | – | Veiga-da-Cunha and Foster MA [53], Daniel et al. [55] |
| K$^{+}$    | *Lactobacillus reuteri* | Highest levels of activity in the presence of 100 mM K$^+$ | Talarico et al. [133] |
| Li$^{+}$   | *Clostridium butyricum* | – | Malaoui and Marczak [131] |
| Mg$^{2+}$  | *Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus buchneri* | – | Veiga-da-Cunha and Foster [53] |
| Mn$^{2+}$  | *Clostridium butyricum, Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus buchneri, Citrobacter freundii* | – | Veiga-da-Cunha and Foster [53], Daniel et al. [55], Malaoui and Marczak [131] |
| Na$^{+}$   | *Clostridium butyricum* | – | Malaoui, Marczak [131] |
PDOR is not available commercially [52]. The importance of modifying the natural PDOR is suggested. Moreover, for the research direction and method of modifying the PDOR, one can refer to the research direction of the GDHt (Fig. 2). Error-prone PCR, DNA shuffling, exon shuffling, random priming in vitro recombination, stagger extension process, random insertional–deletional strand exchange mutagenesis, and RAISE are the most common methods of directed evolution. Error-prone PCR is one of the most widely evolutionary means for its simple operation and effective advantages.

PDOR’s activity and its tolerance of 1,3-PD can be improved by at least four methods: (1) using genetic engineering, the gene encoding PDOR was cloned in *E. coli* generating genetically engineered bacteria, and the highly active and highly expressing PDORs were obtained by optimizing the induced conditions; (2) using directed evolution methods (such as error-prone PCR, DNA rearrangement, the family of DNA rearrangement methods) to modify the PDOR molecules, to obtain a PDOR with high activity and resistance to high concentrations of 1,3-PD; (3) combining (1) and (2) to improve the activity of PDOR and its tolerance of 1,3-PD; and (4) changing the mode of production such as enzyme-coupling method which can be used in vitro for 1,3-PD enzymatic production. Some researchers have already started the investigations on these lines [137].

**Crystallographic structural analysis**

The PDOR monomer can be categorized into two-functional domain structures: N-terminal domain structure

### Table 3 The properties of PDORs from different microbial sources

| Strains            | Relative molecular mass (kD) | Subunit relative molecular mass (kD) | Specific activity (U/mg) | Km (mmol/L) | Optimal temperature (°C) | Optimal pH | Metal ions with the enzyme activity                                                                 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| *L. brevis*        | 350                         | 41–46                                | 7.28                     | 300         | 37                         | 6.6        | Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺: the enzyme activation factors                                                            |
| *K. pneumoniae*    | 336                         | 42                                   | 37                       | 18          | 30                         | 9.5        | Fe²⁺ or Mn²⁺ can make its recovery activities                                                       |
| *C. freundii*      | 347                         | 43.4                                 | 11                       | 140         | 37                         | 7.7        | Mn²⁺: the enzyme activation factors                                                                 |
| *E. agglomerans*   | 355 ± 5                     | 38.5 ± 0.5                           | 3.42                     | 13.7        | 37                         | 7.8        | Fe²⁺, Na⁺, NH₄⁺, and Mn²⁺: auxo-action on the enzyme activity                                       |
| *K. pneumoniae* DSM2026 | 387                     | 41.5                                 | 9.85                     | –           | 55                         | 10.0       | Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺, and Na⁺, the enzyme activation factors                                                 |
| *C. butyricum*     | 384.2 ± 31.1                | 42                                   | 4.51                     | 0.17        | 37                         | 9.07       | Li²⁺, Mn²⁺, and Na⁺, the enzyme activation factors                                                 |

*Fig. 6* The structure of dha subsystem. Black arrows indicate a 1,3 propanediol dehydrogenase gene transcription direction.
is responsible for the identification and combination of substrates, and C-side domain structure is responsible for the combination of iron ions. Members of the Fe-NAD-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase third family share an iron ion combination model, and this model consists of three histidines (His267, His281, and His202) and an aspartic acid (Asp198). Overall, 387 amino acids comprising monomers formed 13 α helix and 8 β folding. The PDOR monomers, which are similar to any other member of ethanol dehydrogenase third family structure, were categorized into two domains using a hydrophobic pocket. Iron ion combines with the bottom of the pocket and the sites, His267 His281, His202, and Asp198, while the fifth site was set aside to combine with the substrate. The NADH, which is involved in the enzyme reaction, was also predicted to be in the hydrophobic pocket position. The amino acid composition is highly conservative due to the important role of hydrophobic pockets. Moreover, the PDOR crystal structure was parsed for the first time by Rondon et al. [138]. The quaternary structure of PDOR from K. pneumoniae (shown in 2009) consists of two closely connected dimer small subunits [138].

Studies on the crystal structure and on the interpretation of its structure would lay a solid foundation to our further understanding of the enzyme molecule catalytic mechanism. Sulzenbacher et al. first parsed the crystal structure of PDOR isoenzyme, which was encoded by yqhD [131]. The enzyme comprises two monomer dimers which were similar to the PDOR of Thermotoga maritima. Each monomer comprised 387 peptide residues containing two domain structures constituted by Rossmann fold and α helix, and the structural domain contains NADP coenzyme-binding sites [139].

The width of cleft prone changed at various pH conditions. The width of the cleft was relatively small in alkaline environment but large in neutral environment. At the same time, PDOR binds better to the NAD when the cleft narrows. The docking research matches the result, that is, the PDOR's activities at various pH values can be related to the width of its cleft, and the PDOR has a better activity when it has a narrow cleft [140].

Expression strategies

In recent years, many expression strategies, optimization of expression conditions, medium components, and conditions of fermentation, codon optimization, intracellular and extracellular expression technology, expression of temperature control, gene knockout etc., were used for the efficient expression of PDOR. Moreover, these expression methods, which are summarized in Fig. 5, also can be used to improve the expression levels of the GDH, GDH, and other enzymes. The optimization of expression conditions of PDOR was obtained using uniform design and regression analysis [141], but it was not executed in 1,3-PD production. Single-factor design and response surface methodology were used to optimize the medium components and the optimal condition of fermentation for the expression of PDOR [142]. The PDOR isozyme activity increased 4.6 times, while the yqhD gene was amplified in the K. pneumoniae mutant strains AK, which knocked out the GDH and PDOR [143]. The dhaT gene encoding GDH and the dhaK gene encoding dhaK were knocked out to eliminate oxidation to enhance reduction, resulting in an increase in the yield of the 1,3-PD by 2.06 times compared to that of the wild-type K. pneumoniae [144]. Moreover, the activities of the GDHt and PDOR have increased by 6.09 and 6.46 times, respectively [144].

The by-products declined, and the production of 1,3-PD increased by 11.8 %, while the three strains, K. pneumoniae/pET-ac-yqhD. K. pneumoniae/pET-ac-dhaT and K. pneumoniae/pET-dhaT-ac-yqhD were coexpressed [145]. Nakamura and Whited demonstrated that the yqhD gene from E. coli encoding PDOR isoenzyme was an obvious improvement compared with the PDOR [110].

To achieve the PDOR intracellular and extracellular expressions, the intracellular expression vector pET-22b-dhaT and the secretion expression vector pET-22b-dhaT were constructed [119]. The PDOR isozyme from E. coli was efficiently expressed using temperature-controlled expression vector, and the expression level was controlled using temperature [117]. These methods also can be used in the field of the GDHt and GDH, etc.

Currently, almost all reports of the PDOR cloning and expression are heterologous expressions using genetic engineering. However, the biggest problem for the functional protein expression in heterologous hosts is that the protein genes possibly use the rare codon of host cells, so that the exogenous gene expression level is either very low or there is no expression at all. The maximum yield of the PDOR produced by the synthetic DNA was 385 U/mL, which is nearly fivefolds higher than that of the wild type (82 U/mL). These results were obtained after the gene dhaT from K. pneumoniae was de novo compounded according to the codon usage of E. coli, as well as mRNA secondary structure (unpublished).

Tandem expression and coenzyme regeneration system

There are at least three types of effective recombinant DNA methods that exist for the strain to directly transform the low-cost substrate to 1,3-PD: (1) transferring the genes, which were needed in the conversion of glycerol to 1,3-PD, to the strain that can use low-cost substrate product glycerol; (2) the genes, which can convert low-cost substrates to glycerol, being transferred to the strains that can use glycerol to produce 1,3-PD; (3) all relevant genes in (1) and (2) being transferred to the
strains that neither produce glycerol nor 1,3-PD such as E. coli. GDHt and PDOR were essential for the production of glycerol fermentation of 1,3-PD. The strain could use glycerol to produce 1,3-PD, while it has the two enzymes, which was demonstrated by Skraly et al. and Zheng et al. [21, 52]. The coexpressions of the PDOR and GDH in K. pneumoniae result in an increase of molar yield from 50.6 to 64.0 % of 1,3-PD [146]. The dhaT and dhaB from K. pneumoniae were coexpressed in E. coli JM109 and S. cerevisiae, respectively, while the activities of the two enzymes in the S. cerevisiae were more than six times that of their activities in E. coli JM109 [147]. Glucose was directly converted to 1,3-PD by the genetically engineered bacterium, which was obtained through the coexpressions of the dhaB and dhaT genes (from 1,3-PD production bacteria), and dar1 and gpp2 genes (from glycerol-producing bacteria) [110].

The coenzyme regeneration system, regeneration of coenzymes NAD+/NADH multienzyme (GDHt, GDH, and PDOR) system, in vitro continuous catalytic production of 1,3-PD, can effectively reduce the cost of production and obtain high commercial value of 1,3-PD and DHA. About 90 types of oxidoreductases have potential commercial application value [148], and approximately 80 % of these oxidoreductases need NAD and NADH as coenzymes and about 10 % need NADP and NAPDH as coenzymes. The prices of coenzymes are relatively expensive. Hence, the mode of production directly adds to the cost of production of coenzyme inevitably leading to higher production costs. The coenzyme NADH which was produced by the GDH reduction of glycerol to DHA solves the problem of coenzyme regeneration when PDOR oxidizes 3-HPA into 1,3-PD. Moreover, the DHA—a type of important chemical intermediate, multifunctional reagent, pharmaceutical intermediate, and antiviral agent [149]—was obtained at the same time. The types of coenzyme regeneration methods, which are summarized in Figs. 2 and 5 for the GDHt and PDOR, respectively, can complement each other for cofactor regeneration.

The biotechnological way of producing 1,3-PD from waste biomass (e.g., crude glycerol) is an attractive alternative to traditional chemical production. By studying the characteristics of the key and speed-limiting enzymes in the production of 1,3-PD, efficient engineered bacteria can be constructed, which can improve the ability of fermentation in the production of 1,3-PD. Moreover, constructing an environment-friendly biological transformation path that can use cheap substrates such as sugar to produce 1,3-PD would help to improve the existing production capacity of 1,3-PD. The simulation enzymes, which are manufactured based on the principle of the role of the enzyme, simulate the enzyme’s active center, and the catalytic mechanism would be helpful for the efficient production of 1,3-PD [150]. Moreover, it would be an ideal method to improve the properties of enzyme or create a new enzyme.

**Glycerol dehydrogenase**

GDH is one of the key enzymes in the production of DHA and 1,3-PD by the enzymatic method. The GDHs are categorized into three types depending on the site of oxidation of the glycerol and the nature of the coenzyme required [151]. A summary of main research direction of GDHts is shown in Fig. 7, and there are some directions that are similar to both the GDHt and PDOR (Figs. 2, 5).

**Clone, structure, and mutant**

Various GDHs, which originate from Geotrichum candidum, E. coli, Zymomonas mobilis, Methylotrophic yeast, K. aerogenes, etc., were reported and characterized in recent years. The substrate specificity values of the GDHs from Hansenula ofunensis and K. pneumoniae; the kinetic parameters; and the enzymatic properties of GDHs from various organisms are shown in Tables 4, 5, and 6, respectively.

Richter et al. described the complex functional structures of other members of family 11 of the AKR superfamily. The structure of AKR11B4 shows that the AKR-typical (β/α) 8 TIM-barrel fold, with three loops and the C-terminal tail determining the particular enzymatic properties [151–153]. The crystal and three-dimensional structures of GDHs, which come from different strains or combine with other perssads, are exhibited elsewhere [154, 155]. The GDH encoded by gldA gene from S. marcescens H30 was expressed in DE3 [22], and the potential application of 2,3-butanediol indicates its properties. The crystal structure of GDH from Geobacillus stearothermophilus has been determined and provides structural insights into the enzyme's catalytic mechanism and substrate specificity. The first structure of the enterobacterial GDH from Serratia was reported [154], which is helpful in the study of the diseases caused by gut microbes. The molecular dynamic simulation of the constructed three-dimensional structure of sldha was performed using Gromacs 4.0.5 [156]. This information from the three-dimensional structure of GDH would be beneficial in improving the GDH’s properties using the genetic engineering methods of the GDHt in the Fig. 2.

For industrial application, enzymes are supposed to be highly active in extreme environments such as high temperature, high pressure, and high salt concentration. Therefore, the modification of the natural GDH is needed. The GDH enzyme gene from K. pneumoniae was repeatedly amplified by two sequential error-prone PCR. Moreover, the activity of the gldA-74 mutant was shown...
to be 2.73-fold higher than that of the parent recombinase [157].

**Metal ions and chemical modification**

Chemical modification greatly improved the activity and stability of GDH enzyme, which provides greater space for industrial application of GDH. Chemical modification of the GDH was explored in recent years [158]. Spencer et al. described the studies that examined the structure or structures of the metal-depleted enzyme and proposed a model to explain the complex reactivation process observed [159]. Compared to the original activity of GDH (3.17 U/mL), the activities of GDH modified by Mg$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, and Mn$^{2+}$ were improved by 14.9-, 11.3-, and 12.4-folds after optimization, respectively [160]. The GDH catalytic zinc ion substitution by other divalent metal ions, Mn$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$, had increased the activities of GDH; however, their thermostability and catalytic promiscuity have not yet been studied [161] (Table 7).

The mechanism of the metal ion’s role in the catalytic enhancement was explained [12]. After OPA modification, the GDH from *E. aerogenes* showed a proximal lysine residue to form thioisindole derivatives. The reaction catalyzed by the GDH was analyzed, and the results showed that the GDH and alcohol dehydrogenases have

---

**Table 4** Substrate specificity values of glycerol dehydrogenase from *Hansenula ofunaensis* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

| Substrates       | Relative activity (%) | *Hansenula ofunaensis* | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Glycerol         | 100                   | 100                    |                         |
| 1,3-Butanediol   | 160                   | 5                      |                         |
| 1,2-Propanediol  | 140                   | 60                     |                         |
| 1,3-Propanediol  | 4                     | 2                      |                         |

---

**Table 5** Kinetic parameters of GDH from various organisms

| Strain                      | $K_m$ (Glycerol) | $K_m$ (NAD$^+$) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| *Schizazacharyomycetes pombe* | 0.5             | 0.13            |
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae*     | 0.75            | 120             |
| *Citrobacter freundii*      | 1.27            | 0.057           |
| *Cellulomonas sp. NT3060*   | 10.9            | 0.09            |
| *Clostridium butyricum* E3  | 91.7            | 4.07            |

Units for $K_m$ (glycerol) is mmol/L, units for $K_m$ (NAD$^+$) is μmol/L

---

**Table 6** Enzymatic properties of GDH from various organisms

| Enzymatic properties | GDH from *K. pneumonia* DSM 2026 | GDH from *E. coli* BL21(DE3)/pET-gldA | GDH from *E. coli* BL21(DE3)/pET-gldA-A-74 |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Optimal pH           | 11.0                             | 11.0                                 | 12.5                                      |
| Optimal temperature (°C) | 65                                | 55                                   |                                           |
| $K_m$ (glycerol) (mmol/L) | 0.79                             | 0.58                                 | 0.63                                      |
| $K_m$ (NAD$^+$) (mmol/L) | 0.13                             | 0.74                                 | 0.77                                      |

---

**Fig. 7** Summary of main research direction of GDHt
arrived at a common catalytic solution in spite of their different active site components [162]. These results indicated that metal ion substitutions could be applied to improve the catalytic properties of GDH.

**Metabolic engineering**

Metabolic engineering can significantly improve the application of GDH in the industrial production of 1,3-PD and DHA. The ability of glycerol metabolism was significantly improved by coexpressing GDH and dhaK in recombinant E. coli, and increased by 42% compared with the wild strain [163]. The process of simulation design and technoeconomic analysis of production technology of GDH were built by Zeng and his co-workers [164]. Being strong promoters, tufB and gdh, were used to overexpress the film system dehydrogenase slAB gene from *Gluconobacter oxydans*, which increases the density of bacterial growth and significantly increases the concentration and rate of conversion. It was demonstrated that the GDH, encoding gldB in *Aspergillus nidulans*, was essential for osmotolerance [165]. The gld1 gene, which encodes GDH, was de-repressed in scr1 Delta and tup12 Delta strains, and it was regulated by glucose repression [166]. Using AT-rich codons immediately downstream of the initiation codon strengthens the expression efficiency of recombinant proteins, while it did not affect the enzymatic properties of recombinant protein [167], suggesting this method can be used for 1,3-PD production.

Overexpression of GDH could decrease the yield of ethanol and 2,3-butanediol and increase the concentration of acetic acid [168]. When the GDH is overexpressed, the newly developed strain *G. oxydans* with high productivity generated resistance in the process of industrial bioconversion of potential DHA production [169]. Enhanced production of DHA from glycerol was due to the overexpression of GDH in an alcohol dehydrogenase-deficient mutant of *G. oxydans* [169]. Using these methods for producing 1,3-PD should be implemented in the future.

**Immobilization of GDH**

The immobilized GDH shows better properties than the free GDH. Immobilization of GDH was studied in recent years [2, 170]. The next step is to find more convenient immobilization methods for GlyDH-PQQ, where the solution might be found by the application of more protein-friendly environments such as sol–gels or conducting polymers [171]. The immobilized GDH showed less sensitivity to pH and temperature changes, and exhibited a 5.3-fold improvement in thermal stability at 50 °C, while it exhibited excellent reusability [172]. However, ten cycles of reuse led only to 9% loss of enzyme activity. The GDH with higher stability and lower product inhibition was obtained using three recombinant GDHs from *G. stearothermophilus, C. braakii*, and *Cellulomonas sp.* Moreover, it was stabilized by fixing these enzymes with polyethylene glycol [173].

**Coupling and coexpression**

The GDH was used for the products 1,3-PD and DHA through the methods such as enzyme coupling and coexpression. Zhao et al. illuminated the coexpressions of the GDH and PDOR in *K. pneumoniae* for improving their effects on the conversion of glycerol to 1,3-PD in the resting cell system [168]. A newly developed strain *G. oxydans* M5AM/GDH with high productivity and increased resistance to product inhibition was obtained [169]. The kinetics of the GDH and PDOR of *K. pneumoniae* were studied [174], which is helpful to improve our understanding how these enzymes are regulated and for further enzyme catalysis and metabolic engineering studies.

GDH enzyme’s catalytic glycerol production of DHA needs NAD+ as a coenzyme and therefore is expensive. The generated reduced coenzyme NADH is the competitive inhibitor of NAD+; hence, it is necessary that the coenzyme is recycled. In recent years, scholars like Baishan Fang have started considering the use of enzyme-coupling reaction [168, 175, 176], a coenzyme regeneration in the process of enzyme catalysis, to solve this problem. The coenzyme regeneration can be achieved by enzyme-coupling system of GDH with NADH oxidase or GDH with PDOR (Figs. 1, 5, 7).

**Conclusions**

In general, use of renewable waste substrates is an environment-friendly choice that also contributes to the reduction in the costs of waste treatment and increases the economic value of by-products. Most research studies have concentrated on the use of glycerol in production of solvents such as DHA and 1,3-PD. Expanding the glycerol-based natural process to a more efficient process based on less-expensive carbon feedstock involves several steps: changing the anaerobic process to an aerobic one; replacing the feedstock uptake (transport) mechanism of the host organism; applying intergeneric transfer of complex metabolic pathways; and adopting both the design and implementation of an optimal solution to

| Source               | Metal ions | Activity (fold) | Thermostability |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | Mg2+       | 14.9            | Increased       |
|                      | Ba2+       | 11.3            | –               |
|                      | Mn2+       | 12.4            | Increased       |
the balance of carbon, redox, and energy with regard to microbial growth and product formation.

To form a metabolic pathway, coexpressions of the key enzymes such as GDHt, PDOR, GDHt reactivase factor, DDH, etc. could directly convert cheap substrates such as glucose to 1,3-PD, and it would be ideal for the environment and recycling of resources. Some studies have been performed on this line, but it is necessary to ameliorate the metabolic system for improving the rate of conversion and productivity of 1,3-PD. Improving the societal benefit was obtained through the production of chemicals from renewable resources. The success of the project bodes well for future metabolic engineering efforts. While the study of GDHt was mainly concentrated on the coenzyme B12-dependent GDHt, the research on coenzyme B12-independent GDHt needs to be further explored due to it is increased stability, low cost for reactivation, and there being no need for coenzyme B12. It could be helpful for glycerol bioconversion and large-scale production of 1,3-PD by applying collective removal method for different types of impurities and carrying out a feasibility study of its industrial scale application. In addition, the technology of integrating catalysis, genetic engineering, protein engineering, immobilized enzyme, and the preparation of simulated enzymes holds promises for finding more new varieties of GDHt, PDOR, and GDH, and finding new strains that have the new GDHt, PDOR, or GDH (Figs. 2, 5, 7) was useful for promoting the improvement of other enzymes’ properties and the development of an ecofriendly and economic biological process for the industrial production of 1,3-PD or other important chemicals from renewable resources.

Changing the mode of production such as the enzyme-coupling method is used in the in vitro catalytic production of 1,3-PD. Compared to traditional microbial fermentation, the enzyme-coupling method has some advantages: use of enzyme catalysis technology maximizes the yield of the product and production capacity; coenzyme regeneration measures can reduce the high costs of adding coenzyme; the product of 1,3-PD can be separated in time, and thus it is no longer needed to consider the question of inhibition of 1,3-PD and PDOR; making full and optimal use of raw and auxiliary materials realizes “zero discharge” of the production process and enables easier-to-implement cleaner production.
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