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Abstract

Understanding the molecular basis of cell function and ultimate phenotypes is crucial for the development of biological markers. With this aim, several RNA-seq studies have been devoted to characterize the transcriptome of ejaculated spermatozoa in relation to sperm quality and fertility. Semen quality follows a seasonal pattern and decays in the summer months in several animal species. The aim of this study was to deeply profile the transcriptome of the boar sperm and to evaluate its seasonal changes. We sequenced the total and the short fractions of the sperm RNA from 10 Pietrain boars, 5 collected in summer and 5 five sampled in winter, and identified a complex and rich transcriptome with 4,436 coding genes of moderate to high abundance. Transcript fragmentation was high but less obvious in genes related to spermatogenesis, chromatin compaction and fertility. Short non-coding RNAs mostly included piwi-interacting RNAs, transfer RNAs and micro-RNAs. We also compared the transcriptome of the summer and the winter ejaculates and identified 34 coding genes and 7 micro-RNAs with a significantly distinct distribution. These genes were mostly related to oxidative stress, DNA damage and autophagy. This is the deepest characterization of the boar sperm transcriptome and the first study linking the transcriptome and the seasonal variability of semen quality in animals. The annotation described here can be used as a reference for the identification of markers of sperm quality in pigs.
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Introduction

Semen quality is highly relevant for the sustainability of modern pig breeding

Swine, together with poultry, are the most important sources of meat for human consumption (in kg) worldwide (OECD, 2018). Moreover, the global demand for animal protein is growing quickly. Thus, improving the efficiency of pork production is of paramount importance for the sustainability of the sector. Pig production relies on the genetic merit of boars kept in artificial insemination centers and the quality of their sperm to disseminate their genetic material. Hence, there is an increasing demand for molecular markers that afford early prediction of semen quality and fertility in young boars.

The sperm cell contains a complex and functionally relevant transcriptome

For decades, the ejaculated mature sperm was considered a dormant cell that only carried the paternal genome to the egg. Nonetheless, in the recent years the biological complexity of sperm has become more evident, with the discovery of a rich sperm RNA population with functional roles in spermatogenesis, fertilization, early embryo development and transgenerational epigenetic transmission (Gòdia et al., 2018b). Mature sperm RNAs have been studied by Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) in several mammalian species including human (Sendler et al., 2013), horse (Das et al., 2013), mouse (Johnson et al., 2015) and cattle (Selvaraju et al., 2017). These studies have shown a sperm-specific transcriptome with a large population of transcripts most of which are present at low levels and are also highly fragmented. The small non-coding RNA (sncRNA) population of sperm has also been interrogated in several mammals (Krawetz et al., 2011; Das et al., 2013; Capra et al., 2017), and is composed of a large and complex repertoire of microRNAs (miRNAs), piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) and transfer RNAs (tRNAs), among other RNA classes. The abundance of these transcripts has been proposed as a valuable source of bio-markers for semen quality in animal breeding and bio-medicine (Jodar et al., 2015; Salas-Huetos et al., 2015; Capra et al., 2017).

The boar sperm transcriptome

The boar sperm transcriptome has been interrogated in several studies, most employing qPCR analysis of target genes. Although qPCR is a useful tool that provides very valuable information, these studies typically assume transcript integrity and target one or two exons of only candidate genes. RNA-seq overcomes these two limitations. The first genome wide evaluation of the boar spermatozoa transcriptome was completed in 2009 by sequencing the 5'-ends of a Expressed Sequence Tag library using Sanger technology (Yang et al., 2009), which led to the identification of 514 unique sequences many of which corresponded to unknown genes. High-throughput RNA-seq was more recently applied to compare two differentially fed boars (Bruggmann et al., 2013) and to explore the short RNA component of the boar sperm (Luo et al., 2015; Pantano et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017a; Chen et al., 2017b). These studies aimed to compare the sncRNAs at different stages of spermatogenesis or between the different components of the ejaculate, and concluded that a large proportion of these short RNAs are sperm-specific. Despite these previous studies, an in-depth analysis of the boar sperm transcriptome is still missing.

Sperm quality has a seasonal component

Sperm quality can be influenced by multi-factorial genetics (Marques et al., 2017) and environmental factors such as stress and seasonality (Wettemann et al., 1976). In pigs, a
clear drop on semen quality and male fertility has been observed in the warm summer
months, possibly due to heat stress (Trudeau and Sanford, 1986; Zasiadezyk et al.,
2015). This seasonal effect has been linked to altered levels of some transcripts (Yang et
al., 2010).

The first step towards the efficient identification of RNA markers of sperm quality
requires obtaining a profound picture of the boar sperm transcriptome. Our group has
recently optimized a pipeline to extract RNA from swine mature spermatozoa and
obtain a high quality and complete transcriptome profile (Gòdia et al., 2018a). In this
study, we have profiled the sperm transcriptome from 10 boars, including both coding
and non-coding RNAs and we have evaluated the relationship between transcript
abundance and the season of collection (summer versus winter) in the northern
temperate climate zone.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection
Specialized professionals obtained fresh ejaculates from 10 Pietrain boars from a
commercial farm, with ages ranging from 9 to 28 months old, between July 2015 and
January 2017 as previously described (Gòdia et al., 2018a). Of the 10 ejaculates, 5 were
collected between December to February, and the other between May and July. No
animal experiment has been performed in the scope of this research.

RNA extraction, qPCR validation, library prep and sequencing
RNA extraction was performed and the abundances of the sperm specific PRM1 and the
somatic-cell specific PTPRC transcripts as well as the presence of genomic DNA
(gDNA) were measured by qPCR to determine the quality of the obtained RNAs as
previously described by our group (Gòdia et al., 2018a). Extracted RNA was quantified
with QubitTM RNA HS Assay kit (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, USA) and its integrity
validated with Bioanalyzer Agilent RNA 6000 Pico kit (Agilent Technologies; Santa
Clara, USA). Total RNA was subjected to ribosomal RNA depletion with the Ribo-Zero
Gold rRNA Removal Kit (Illumina) and RNA-seq libraries were constructed with the
SMARTer Low Input Library prep kit v2 (Clontech) and sequenced to generate 75 bp
paired-end reads in an Illumina’s HiSeq2500 sequencing system. Short RNA-seq
libraries were prepared from the same RNA aliquots (prior to rRNA depletion) with the
NEBNext Small RNA (New England Biolabs) and sequenced in an Illumina Hiseq2000
to produce 50 bp single reads.

Total RNA-seq mapping and analysis of the Sperm RNA Elements
The quality of the paired end reads were evaluated with FastQC v.0.11.1
(http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/fastqc), and filtered to remove low
quality reads and adaptors with Trimmomatic v.0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014). Filtered reads
were then mapped to the S.scrofa genome (Sscrofa11.1) with HISAT2 v.2.1.0 (Kim et
al., 2015) with default parameters except “--max seeds 30” and “--k 2”. Duplicate
mapped reads were removed using Picard Tools (http://picard.sourceforge.net)
MarkDuplicates. The uniquely mapped reads were used for the detection and
quantification of Sperm RNA Elements (SREs). SREs are short-size sequences
characterized by a number of RNA-seq reads clustering to a given genomic location
(Jodar et al., 2015; Gòdia et al., 2018b). This approach enables an accurate exon-
quantification (or short-size sequence quantification) instead of a whole transcript mean, which makes it useful for highly degraded tissues such as sperm. After mapping, SREs are classified as exonic (mapping to annotated exons), intronic, upstream/downstream 10 kb (if located 10 kb upstream or downstream of annotated genes) and orphan (mapping elsewhere in the genome) (Gòdia et al., 2018b). This classification was done using the pig Ensembl genome annotation (v.91) extracted with the R package “BiomaRt” (Durinck et al., 2009). Porcine orphan SREs coordinates were converted to human (hg38) coordinates and from human to bovine (bosTau8) using the UCSC liftover tool (Kuhn et al., 2013). The coefficient of variation (CV) of the RNA abundance across samples was used to classify the transcripts as highly stable (CV > 0.75), moderately stable (CV between 0.25 and 0.75) and highly unstable (CV < 0.25). Only these genes with all their SREs fitting the same stability class were considered for the GO analysis.

De novo transcriptome analysis
Reads unmapped to the Sscorfa11.1 genome were screened against the porcine Transposable Elements from the Repbase database (Bao et al., 2015) using HISAT2 v.2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2015). The remaining unmatched reads were searched against bacterial and viral genomes using Kraken v.0.10.5 (Wood and Salzberg, 2014) and removed. The remaining reads were subjected to de novo assembly with Trinity v.2.1.0 (Grabherr et al., 2011) using default parameters and databases. The assembled contigs were quantified with RSEM and only those with identity score > 85%, abundance levels > 50 FPKM and detected in 5 samples or more were kept.

Repetitive Elements and long non-coding RNAs
The proportion of reads in Repeat Elements (RE) was calculated with Bedtools (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) multicov using the RepeatMasker database (Bao et al., 2015). Read counts were normalized for RE length and sequencing depth. The same approach was used for long non-coding-RNAs (lncRNAs). Only the lncRNAs annotated in Ensembl v.91 were used. The coding genes mapping less than 20 kb apart from the lncRNAs were considered as potential cis-regulated lncRNA targets.

Transcript Integrity
RNA transcript integrity (TIN) was calculated with RseQC v.2.6.4 (Wang et al., 2012) using the Ensembl v.91 pig annotation. TIN indicates the proportion of a gene that is covered by reads. As an example, TIN = 100 indicates a fully covered transcript. Transcript abundance was calculated using expression.py from the same software. Transcript length was calculated based on CDS length, extracted with the R package “BiomaRt” (Durinck et al., 2009).

Analysis of the short non-coding RNAs
Trimming of adaptors and low quality bases were performed with Cutadapt v1.0 (Martin, 2011) and evaluated with FastQC v.0.11.1 (http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). The mapping of sncRNAs was performed with the sRNAtoolbox v.6.17 (Rueda et al., 2015) with default settings and giving as library datasets: tRNA database (Chan and Lowe, 2016), miRBase (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones, 2011) release 21, piRNA database (Rosenkranz, 2016) and Mt tRNA, Mt rRNA, snRNA, snoRNA, lincRNA, CDS and ncRNAs from Ensembl v.91. Multi-adjusted read counts were then normalized by sequencing depth. We only considered the miRNAs that were detected in all the samples processed. To determine if
piRNAs were located in RE, the overlap between REs and the piRNA clusters that were shared in at least 3 samples was checked with Bedtools (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) multicov using the RepeatMasker database (Bao et al., 2015). The short RNA-seq reads that did not align to any of the datasets provided were used for the de novo piRNA annotation using ProTRAC v.2.4.0 (Rosenkranz and Zischler, 2012) and forcing a piRNA length between 26 and 33 bp and a default minimum cluster length of 5 kb. We then kept only these putative novel clusters that were shared in at least 3 of the sperm samples.

Analysis of the seasonal variation of the boar sperm transcriptome
We studied the potential seasonal effect of the sperm transcriptome by comparing the summer (N=5) and the winter (N=5) ejaculates. Total RNA-seq analysis was performed for the transcripts annotated in the pig genome. We quantified RNA abundance with the software StringTie (Pertea et al., 2015). Transcript counts were then used for the differential analysis after correcting for the sequencing run using the R package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) correcting for sequencing run batch. Similarly, the identification of differential miRNAs was also carried with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). We only considered the differentially abundant transcripts and miRNAs with adjusted FDR values < 0.05 and FC > 1.5. Gene Ontology enrichment was performed with Cytoscape v.2.3.0 plugin ClueGO v.2.3.5 (Bindea et al., 2009) with the porcine dataset and default settings, only significant corrected p-values with Bonferroni were considered.

Results and Discussion

Total RNA-seq analysis: characterization of sperm RNA elements
RNA extraction yielded an average of 2.1 fg per cell (Supplementary File 1). These RNAs were devoid of intact ribosomal 18S and 28S RNA and were free of gDNA and RNA from somatic cell origin [22]. On average, the total RNA-seq libraries yielded 29.5 M paired-end reads (Supplementary File 1). A total of 81.3% of the reads that passed the quality control filter mapped unambiguously to the pig genome (Supplementary File 1). After duplicate removal, a mean of 5.6 M reads per sample were obtained, resulting in a percentage of unique reads similar to recent data on human sperm (unpublished results). These reads were used for further analysis and yielded 185,037 SREs (see the Methods section) (Gòdia et al., 2018b). Most SREs were present at low abundances but the 10% most abundant (top decile) SREs accounted for 65% of the read count with RNA levels ranging between 83 and 378,512 RPKM (Figure 1). Most of these top decile SREs were exonic (Supplementary File 2). Notably, the majority (65%) of the intronic and upstream/downstream 10 kb SREs mapped in or near genes that also harbored exonic SREs. The exonic, intronic and the upstream/downstream 10 kb top decile SREs (see the Methods) mapped in or near 4,436 annotated genes, which were thus considered to be abundant in the boar sperm transcriptome (Supplementary File 2). The top decile SREs also included 2,667 orphan SREs (SREs located more than 10 kb apart from the closest annotated gene) (Supplementary File 2). However, nearly 30% of the orphan SREs mapped within 30 kb from the closest gene, which indicates that, as the novel upstream/downstream 10 kb SREs, they may represent unannotated exons of these genes. In summary, only 10% of the top decile SREs were not linked to annotated genes. A recent study carried by Pertea et al. (Pertea et al., 2018) analyzed RNA-seq data from 9,795 human experiments from the GTEx project and concluded that the human genome annotation incorporates most
of the *Homo sapiens* genes but still lacks a large proportion of the splice isoforms.

While this study increased the list of coding genes by only 5%, the catalogue of splice isoforms grew by 30%. Our data is in line with these recent results and does not only indicate that the novel annotation of the pig genome annotation incorporates most of the genes found in sperm but also reveals that there is still a large amount of splice isoforms to be discovered in this species. Since it is well known that the spermatozoon harbors a very specific transcriptome, a large proportion of these unannotated isoforms are likely to be sperm-specific (Sendler et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2014).

In order to dig further into the porcine sperm transcriptome, we investigated whether the orphan SRE syntenic regions in human and cattle included additional genes not annotated in pig. 1,505 and 1,313 SREs overlapped to syntenic regions in human and bovine, respectively. Forty five of the genes annotated within these regions were detected in both human and cattle (Supplementary File 3), including CDYL, a gene implicated in spermatid development and ANXA3, which protein levels in sperm have been found altered in men with poor semen compared to men with good sperm quality (Netherton et al., 2018). Ontology analysis of the 4,436 most abundant genes together with the 45 orphan SRE orthologs showed an enrichment of the cellular protein metabolic process (q-val: 2.7 x 10^-12), macromolecular complex subunit organization (q-val: 2.1 x 10^-9), sexual reproduction (q-val: 6.5 x 10^-8), spermatogenesis (q-val: 1.2 x 10^-6) and male gamete generation (q-val: 1.4 x 10^-6), among others (Supplementary File 4).

The transcripts detected in our study are concordant with previous results in human (Jodar et al., 2016) and bovine (Selvaraju et al., 2017) sperm and included genes related to fertilization (e.g. *HSPA1L* and *PRSS37*) or spermatogenesis (*ODF2* and *SPATA18*).

The top 30 most abundant annotated protein coding SREs mapped to 27 genes (Table 1), 12 from mitochondrial origin (e.g. *COX1*, *COX2*, *ATP8*, *ATP6*, *COX3*), and 15 encoded in the nuclear genome (e.g. *PRM1*, *OAZ3*, *HSPB9*, *NDUFS4*). The abundance of mitochondrial genes reflects the high number of mitochondria typically contained in a spermatozoa cell to provide critical functions for the cell’s fertilizing ability including energy supply, regulation of molecular mechanisms involved in the development of the capacitation process, production of reactive oxygen species and calcium homeostasis (Rodriguez-Gil and Bonet, 2016). The 15 nuclear genes included members related to spermatogenesis, chromatin compaction and embryo development (Sendler et al., 2013; Selvaraju et al., 2017).

**Total RNA-seq analysis: variance on the SRE abundance**

We evaluated the transcripts that contained the 10% most abundant SREs across all samples and classified them as uniform (coefficient of variation or cv < 25%) or variable (cv > 75%). This identified 481 genes for which all their SREs were uniformly represented (cv < 25%) and 276 genes where each SRE was highly variable (cv > 75%). The list of 481 genes with constant abundance was enriched for several functions including the regulation of calcium, ATP generation and spermatid development and differentiation (Supplementary File 5). On the contrary, the highly variable genes were only enriched for the gene ontology term: single fertilization (zygote formation), which includes *SPMI*, *AQN-1* and *BSP1* among others (Supplementary File 5). This transcript variability is in general tolerated because it does not have severe phenotypic consequences. However, some of these transcripts may incur in a significant impact on semen quality and/or fertility and they could thus be biomarkers of the boar’s reproductive ability. Thus, it would be worth exploring the relationship between these genes and reproductive phenotypes in a larger study.
To further understand the functional relevance of the SRE abundance variability, we also searched for a potential relationship between this and the likely tissue of origin of the SRE. According to Jodar et al. sperm transcripts can be classified as testes-enriched, spermatozoa-enriched and seminal fluid-enriched (Jodar et al., 2016). Of the genes including the top decile SREs, 728, 381 and 448 were testes, spermatozoa and seminal fluid-enriched, respectively. We found a significant difference between the uniformity of the SRE abundance of the testes-enriched and the seminal fluid-enriched fractions (p-value: 3.6 x 10^{-4}). The seminal fluid-enriched fraction was more variable. No difference in variability was found between the SREs of the sperm-enriched and the other fractions (p-values: 0.18 - 0.20). The lack of statistical difference between the sperm-enriched and the other fractions may be explained by two facts. On the one hand, a large proportion of the testes transcripts corresponds to cells belonging to the spermatogenic lineage. On the other hand, mature spermatozoa takes up seminal plasma RNAs via seminal exosomes (Vojtech et al., 2014; Jodar et al., 2016).

### Total RNA-seq analysis: Transcript Integrity

Sperm transcripts have been found to be highly fragmented in several mammalian species (Das et al., 2013; Sendler et al., 2013; Selvaraju et al., 2017; Gòdia et al., 2018a). We sought to investigate whether this fragmentation followed a programmatic pattern or perhaps was stochastic in the pig. For each annotated transcript, we calculated the abundance levels (in FPKM) and the TIN. In average, we found 31,287 protein coding transcripts with FPKM > 0 and TIN values > 0. Most transcripts (55%) were highly fragmented (TIN ≤ 25) whilst only 181 were almost intact (TIN > 75). Interestingly, the 10 samples showed similar TIN patterns across transcripts (Pearson correlation 0.72 - 0.93) (Supplementary File 6). The correlations between TIN and transcript length and transcript abundance were low (0.16 - 0.20 and 0.17 - 0.24, respectively) (Supplementary File 6). We then searched for gene ontology enrichment using the 10% most abundant transcripts within each TIN group. The highly fragmented group (TIN < 25) was enriched for genes related to negative regulation of JNK cascade (q-val = 1.2 x 10^{-3}), spindle assembly (q-val = 5.6 x 10^{-3}), and regulation of DNA repair (q-val = 4.5 x 10^{-3}), among others. These results are comparable to a previous study in human sperm (Sendler et al., 2013), where the most fragmented transcripts were not enriched for spermatogenesis or fertility functions. On the other hand, no significant pathways were found in the group of the top 10% most intact transcripts, possibly due to the low size of this group (18 transcripts), even though it contained genes related to spermatogenesis (PRM1, OAZ3, ACSBG2), sperm movement (PRM3, SMCP) or heat stress response (HSPB9) (Table 2). Remarkably, the six aforementioned genes were also within the most intact transcripts in human sperm (Sendler et al., 2013), thereby indicating conservation across species and their likely basic function in supporting sperm development and/or fecundity. Altogether, this indicates that the transcript fragmentation typically found in sperm may follow a programmatic basis and possible owe to relevant functions during spermatogenesis or upon fertilization.

### Total RNA-seq analysis: De novo transcriptome assembly

We sought to further exploit the RNA-seq data by performing de novo assembly of the reads that did not map to the porcine genome. An average of 5.1 M unmapped reads per sample were used for the analysis (Supplementary File 1) and aligned into an average of 8,459 contigs per sample, with a median size (N50) of 259 bp (Supplementary File 7). These contigs were then contrasted by sequence homology against several databases.
and after filtering (see Methods), resulted in a list of 1,060 proteins from human, cattle, mouse, pig and other animal species with moderate to high RNA abundance (Supplementary File 8). Some of the proteins were detected in more than one species and accounted for a total of 768 unique genes (Supplementary File 9). The majority of these genes (739) were already present in the porcine annotation whilst 29 were classified as novel genes. From the annotated genes, 699 were also detected with our initial pipeline mapping the SREs to the porcine genome but 40 were only detected by this de novo assembly (Supplementary File 9). The reads that did not map to the genome but found a gene counterpart in the de novo analysis could have remained unmapped due to three main reasons. They could have either harbor more mismatches than the maximum allowed for the mapping algorithm, mapped within a repetitive element or, simply correspond to segments not assembled in the current version of the porcine genome. These three scenarios could involve full genes or just gene segments. The 40 known genes detected only by the de novo assembly together with the 29 potential novel genes did not cluster into any GO biological process. However, some of these genes have been associated to spermatogenesis or implicated in the sperm structure such as the sperm head or flagellum (e.g. ACSBG2, HSF2BP, CCNYL1, KNL1 and WBP2NL). These results are in line with the recent study carried in humans by Perea and co-authors (Pertea et al., 2018) as already detailed in relation to the orphan SREs. Although the number of novel protein-coding genes represents a modest increase (29 genes), our de novo analysis yielded a much higher number (699) potentially novel splice variants.

Total RNA-seq analysis: Repetitive Elements
REs are of particular interest as they comprise a high proportion of the porcine genome (approximately 40%) often related to genome instability (Bzymek and Lovett, 2001). Germline cells are very sensitive to the deleterious effects of active transposable elements. For example, the disruption of Long Interspersed Nuclear Element 1 (LINE1) retrotanspon silencing, the most abundant RE in the pig genome, can lead to spermatogenesis aberrations (Giòdia et al., 2018b) and embryo development arrest (Beraldi et al., 2006). Due to their relevance in spermatozoa, we annotated the RE segments that were transcribed in the pig sperm. A total of 4.6% of the mapped reads overlapped with REs, which is in line with previous data in murine sperm (Johnson et al., 2015), and accounted for 42.8 Mb of the swine genome. The most enriched RE classes included simple repeats (2.58% of the total mapped reads) which could potentially correspond to porcine nuclear matrix associated RNAs (Johnson et al., 2015). The second most abundant REs were the short Interspersed Nuclear Elements (SINEs) which accounted for 0.6% of the total read abundance. SINEs are transposable elements that can be hypo-methylated and can regulate male germ cell development, sperm packaging and embryo development (Schmid et al., 2001). In pigs, LINE1 accounts for 16.8% of the genome space and in our study, 0.19% of the mapped reads overlapped with LINE1 segments and spanned 25.5 Mb of the genome. This is nearly ten times less than in mice (1.89%) (Johnson et al., 2015) even though LINE1 is just slightly more ubiquitous in the murine genome (20%) (Waterston et al., 2002). While potentially interesting, these differences may arise due to yet unknown species-specific biological particularities or technical differences in the library preparation and/or bioinformatics methods used in both studies.

Total RNA-seq analysis: long non-coding RNAs
lncRNAs are regulatory RNAs above 200 bp long implicated in a plethora of functions, including spermatogenesis and reproduction (Gòdia et al., 2018b). Sperm lncRNAs have been reported in human (Sendler et al., 2013), mice (Zhang et al., 2017) and cattle (Selvaraju et al., 2017). We identified 27 of the 361 lncRNA annotated in Ensembl v.91, and their RNA levels were clearly below their coding SREs counterparts (Supplementary File 10). The predicted cis-regulated target genes included ZNF217, which is a transcriptional repressor, DYNLRB2 which encodes for a protein belonging to the dynein family of axoneme components related to sperm motility and YIPF5, which caused infertility in a knock-out fruitfly model (Yu et al., 2015). The annotation of lncRNAs in the swine genome remains remarkably poor and here we provide an initial catalogue that is still incomplete.

Short RNA-seq analysis

On average, 6.6 M reads were obtained for each short RNA-seq library. A mean of 83% of these reads aligned to the queried porcine (Sus scrofa) databases (Supplementary File 1). A total of 34% of the aligned reads corresponded to sncRNAs, mainly piRNAs (37% of the sncRNA fraction), tRNAs (22.6%) and miRNAs (20.2%) (Figure 2 (A); Supplementary File 11). The remaining aligned reads (66%) mostly belonged to mitochondrial transfer and ribosomal RNAs (51%) but also to nuclear protein coding genes (Supplementary File 11).

The functional relevance of miRNAs, piRNAs and tRNAs in sperm biology and fertility (Krawetz et al., 2011; Gòdia et al., 2018b), (Sharma et al., 2016) is well known. miRNAs are a class of sncRNAs that have been found in multiple cell types and involved in a plethora of phenotypes and diseases. They post-transcriptionally repress the translation of target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and can be ideal biomarkers for many traits including sperm quality and fertility. We detected 105 miRNAs (annotated in the pig) that were present in all the samples, with an average abundance that ranged from 4.6 to 13,192.2 counts per million (CPMs). Chen and colleagues (Chen et al., 2017a) detected a larger number of miRNAs (140), 75 of which were also detected in our experiment, in a RNA-seq study using 3 pooled pig sperm samples (Supplementary File 12). The reduced number of miRNAs described in our work is somewhat not surprising as we only considered those miRNAs that were present in the 10 samples. The inter-species comparison also indicates a degree of conservation in the miRNA composition of the mammalian sperm with about 70% of the miRNAs shared in cattle (Capra et al., 2017) and human (Pantano et al., 2015) (Supplementary File 12). These results suggest a conserved functional role of these miRNAs in mammals. The most abundant miRNAs in our study, miR-34c, miR-191, miR-30d, miR-10b and let-7a, among others (Supplementary File 13), are also highly abundant in cattle (Capra et al., 2017) and in human (Krawetz et al., 2011; Pantano et al., 2015) sperm. Some of these miRNAs have been linked to the male’s reproductive ability. For example, miR-34c is crucial for spermatogenesis (Yuan et al., 2015) and has been related to bull fertility (Fagerlind et al., 2015) and miR-191, miR-30d and miR-10b displayed altered levels in infertile human patients when compared to healthy controls (Salas-Huetos et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2017). We then assessed the coefficient of variation (cv) across the sperm samples to evaluate their abundance stability (Supplementary File 13). Interestingly, miRNAs showed large variability, 32% of them varied markedly (cv > 75%), including the highly abundant miR-34c, miR-30c-5p, miR-186 and miR-99a, with none showing low variability. As previously mentioned, exosome vesicles may also contribute in modulating the miRNA population of recipient cells. In fact, a recent study identified
altered miRNA profiles in seminal plasma exosomes from azoospermic patients (Barcelo et al., 2018). We did not measure the pairwise correlation between the abundance of miRNAs and mRNAs because in their canonical function, miRNAs inhibit translation but have a small impact on the levels of the target mRNAs.

piRNAs are a class of 26-32 bp size snRNAs that interact with Piwi proteins to contribute important functions to germline development, epigenetic regulation and the silencing of transposable elements (Gòdia et al., 2018b). We queried a public database of 501 piRNA clusters identified in pig testes (Rosenkranz, 2016), and found that 300 were represented in boar sperm and covered 5.03 Mb (0.20%) of the Scrofa10.2 genome assembly (Supplementary File 13). The RNA levels ranged between 3.2 and 5,242 CPMs and the cluster length between 5,077 and 114,717 bp. piRNA clusters tend to overlap with REs, in keeping with their role in genome inactivation and transposon regulation (Krawetz et al., 2011; Pantano et al., 2015; Gòdia et al., 2018b). In our work, 25% of the piRNA clusters co-localized with REs, most of which were SINEs (Figure 2 (B)). As piRNAs are tissue-specific and we queried a testes database (Rosenkranz, 2016), we also carried a de novo prediction of piRNA clusters with proTRAC using the remaining unaligned reads (average of 1.1 M reads) (Supplementary File 1). We identified 17 novel potential clusters of average abundance and length of 11.3 - 585 CPMs and 2,357 - 56,029 bp, respectively and as a whole, they covered 159.7 kb of the Sscrofa11.1 genome. Six of the novel clusters were present in the 10 samples and are thus considered of high confidence (Supplementary File 14).

tRNAs were the second most abundant class in porcine sperm, and their abundance is related to metabolic processes (Sharma et al., 2016). We identified 315 putative tRNAs from which 63% varied among samples (cv > 75%) (Supplementary File 13). Although the role of tRNAs in germ cells and in offspring health is uncertain, independent studies have shown that tRNA levels can be altered in response to certain manipulation of the paternal diet (Sharma et al., 2016; Gòdia et al., 2018b).

Seasonal differences in the boar sperm transcriptome

A seasonal variation on semen quality and fertility has been observed in several animal species including the pig. During the warm summer months, as the scrotum is unable to thermo-regulate, spermatogenesis is negatively affected and the number of sperm cells and their motility tend to decrease alongside with an increase on morphological abnormalities (Zasiadczyk et al., 2015; Rodriguez et al., 2017). This effect on semen quality and also fertility (Suriyasomboon et al., 2006) has been related to heat stress. The molecular mechanisms underlying this phenomenon remain unclear although links to oxidative stress and the production of reactive oxidative species (ROS), with the consequent damage on sperm membrane integrity, DNA damage, apoptosis, autophagy and reduction of mitochondrial activity have been proposed (Durairajanayagam et al., 2015; Argenti et al., 2018). In a recent study, Argenti and co-authors (Argenti et al., 2018) identified increased superoxide dismutase anti-oxidant activity in the sperm of boars raised in sub-tropical Brazil in the summer months probably as a molecular attempt to reduce the presence of ROS and sperm damage (Argenti et al., 2018). Moreover, dietary strategies based on supplementary Zinc (Li et al., 2017) and l-arginine (Chen et al., 2018) have been related to a reduction of oxidative stress and improvement on the epididymal function and boar sperm quality in summer.
We compared the transcriptome (mRNA transcripts and miRNA) of the sperm samples collected in the summer months (May to August; N = 5) with those collected in winter (October to February; N = 5) in a temperate climate zone (latitude 42º N). The semen quality of the summer and winter groups was not significantly different although a trend was seen for sperm cell viability (p-val = 0.05), acrosome reaction (p-val = 0.09) and neck (p-val = 0.07) and tail (p-val = 0.08) morphological abnormalities. We detected 36 transcripts displaying a significant difference in abundance. Of these, two transcripts corresponded to the same gene and they were not taken into account due to concerns on the transcript allocation carried by the software. From the 34 remaining transcripts, each from a different gene, 14 were up-regulated and 20 were down-regulated in the summer group (Table 3).

The largest difference in gene abundance between both seasonal groups (q-val = 3.13 x 10^-16) corresponded to the minichromosome maintenance 8 homologous recombination repair factor (MCM8) gene (Table 3) which is a helicase related to the initiation of eukaryotic genome replication and may be associated with the length of the reproductive lifespan and menopause. MCM8 plays a role in gametogenesis due to its essential functions in DNA damage repair via homologous recombination of DNA double strand breaks (Lutzmann et al., 2012). Another gene, the RUN Domain Containing 3B (RUNDC3B) has unknown functions but it contains a RUN domain that interacts with RAP2, a GTPase that has been linked to heat stress in plants (Figueroa-Yañez et al., 2016) and is related to male meiosis in mammals (Manterola et al., 2016). In keeping with RAP2’s function, a study in bulls found that spermatogonia undergoing meiosis during spermatogenesis were susceptible to heat stress (Rahman et al., 2018). This suggests that in mammals, spermatogonia exposed to heat stress, up-regulate the expression of RUNDC3B as a protective mechanism to ensure correct spermatogenesis and the production of normal spermatozoa. StAR Related Lipid Transfer Domain Containing 9 (STARD9), which was up-regulated in the summer group, is a lipid binding gene that has been related to asthenospermia in humans (Mao et al., 2011). Moreover, the paralog STARD6 has been linked to spermatogenesis and spermatozoa quality (Mao et al., 2011). This is in keeping with the fact that the spermatozoon is very sensitive to oxidative damage for several reasons including the high amount of the peroxidation-prone unsaturated fatty acids that are present in its plasma membrane (Aitken and De Iuliis, 2010). Another gene that was found up-regulated in the summer group is the Oxidative Stress Induced Growth Inhibitor 1 gene (OSGIN1). OSGIN1 has been related to autophagy and oxidative stress and its encoded protein regulates both cell death and apoptosis in the airway epithelium (Sukkar and Harris, 2017). Its expression is induced by DNA damage, which is one of the key sperm parameters that increase in the warm summer months (Perez-Crespo et al., 2008). Since this gene has also been identified in the sperm lineage, it could respond with a similar anti-oxidative role to heat stress in sperm.

The presence of RNA in ejaculated sperm in summer versus winter seasons has been previously interrogated using the microarray technology (Yang et al., 2010). In that study the authors identified 33 dysregulated transcripts, none of which was differentially abundant in our dataset. This lack of concordance between works could be due to both biological and technical reasons and is somewhat expected. First, the two studies interrogated different animal populations in different geographic locations. The study by Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2010) focused on Duroc boars breed in a sub-tropical region in Taiwan (25ºN) whilst we screened Pietrain males from a sub-Mediterranean
temperate climatic zone in Catalonia with warm summers and mildly cold winters (Köppen classification Cfb; latitude 42°N). Moreover, we used a RNA-seq approach targeting the whole transcriptome whilst Yang and co-authors [21] employed a custom microarray interrogating only 708 target genes and by large, ignored the vast catalogue of annotated genes.

We also identified 5 miRNAs up- and 2 miRNAs down-regulated in summer (Table 4). This set included miR-34c, which was one of the most abundant miRNAs in our study, as well as in the sperm of other species, and was down-regulated in the summer samples. The RNA levels of miR-34c were also down-regulated in the sperm of men and mice exposed to severe early life stress events (Dickson et al., 2018), and in the testis of cynomolgus monkeys exposed to testicular hyperthermia (Sakurai et al., 2016), thus suggesting a link between the seasonality of semen quality and miR-34c. miR-1249, down-regulated in the summer group, was also found to be altered in the semen of bulls with moderate fertility (Fagerlind et al., 2015). Members of the miR-106 family were recently associated with oxidative stress in several tissues and cell types. For example, miR-106b targets the 12/15-Lipoxygenase enzymes, which are involved in the metabolism of fatty acids and oxidative stress in murine neurons (Wu et al., 2017). miR-106b has also been related to autophagy and cellular stress in intestinal epithelial HCT116 cells (Zhai et al., 2013). A study in cattle identified a single nucleotide polymorphisms in a miR-378 target site of the INCENP semen quality associated gene (Liu et al., 2016). In humans, miR-378 was found to also target the autophagy related protein 12 gene (ATG12) in cervical cancer (Tan et al., 2018). Finally, miR-221 was linked to autophagy in several tissues as well (Li et al., 2016; Qian et al., 2017) and was shown to regulate SOD2, which has key mitochondrial anti-oxidant functions in a murine model of ischemic skeletal muscle regeneration (Togliatto et al., 2013).

Our data, together with previous reports in swine, indicates that there is a molecular basis related to the well-reported decrease of semen quality and fertility in swine (Suriyasomboon et al., 2006; Zasiadczyk et al., 2015). These results should therefore be confirmed using additional animals and ideally, in a matched study where the winter and summer ejaculates come from the same boars. Nevertheless, our results are in keeping with previous data suggesting oxidative stress and autophagy as the key causes of the loss of semen quality in the warm summer periods.

Conclusions
We have identified a rich and complex sperm transcriptome with known and novel coding RNAs, lncRNAs and sncRNAs that resembles the human, mouse and cattle counterparts. Their roles are mainly related to the regulation of spermatogenesis, fertility and early embryo development. These spermatozoal transcripts are fragmented, likely in a selective manner, consistently affecting some genes more than others across samples. This suggests that their fragmentation has a programmatic basis. Similarly, the variability of the transcript abundance between samples was transcript specific. This in-depth transcriptome profile can be used a reference to identify RNA markers for semen quality and male fertility in pigs and in other animal species.

Interestingly, the levels of some transcripts changed between the summer and the winter ejaculates, most likely responding to heat stress, which would in turn, cause oxidative stress, sperm membrane and DNA damage and autophagy. Our data supports previous
findings suggesting that feed supplementation can correct this seasonal effect and thus, opens the door to explore nutri-genomics research to improve semen quality and male fertility. The biological basis of these transcriptome changes needs to be further explored. In the recent years it has become evident that the ejaculate contains different sub-populations of sperm, each with specific roles upon ejaculation. Thus, the changes in transcript abundances that we identified could reflect either similar variations on the transcript’s load in all spermatozoa cells or indicate alterations in the proportion of the sperm sub-populations each carrying their specific transcript profile. Discriminating both hypotheses could help defining the best strategies to mitigate this seasonal effect. Single-cell RNA-seq, a novel and powerful technology that still needs to be optimized in spermatozoa, could allow identifying the sperm sub-populations and their relevance for seasonality, semen quality and fertility. The trans-generational consequences in these transcript profiles are also worth the study. The altered RNA levels in sperm may perpetuate in the offspring’s ejaculate and have transgenerational phenotypic consequences. This should be also explored. In conclusion, our results pave the way to carrying future research to understand the molecular basis of semen quality seasonality in pigs, humans and other affected species.
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**Legends to Figures**

**Figure 1.** Cumulative abundance of the porcine SREs. The black dots indicate the log10 of the RNA abundance of each SRE. SREs are sorted in a decreasing order by their RNA abundance in the X axis. The red line represents the total number of SREs for each abundance decile group. The first decile of the most abundant SREs accounted for 65% of the total read abundance. RPKM: Reads Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads; SRE: Sperm RNA Element.

**Figure 2.** Read mapping distribution of the short non-coding RNA types and piRNA distribution within the Repetitive Element classes. (A). Proportion of reads mapping to each short non-coding RNA type. (B). Distribution within each Repetitive Element class of the piRNA cluster reads overlapping with Repetitive Elements.

**Table 1.** List of the 30 most abundant SREs in the porcine sperm. The most abundant SREs from protein coding genes included 12 mitochondrial and 15 nuclear genes. Some genes (e.g. PRM1, OAZ3, ANKRD35) presented more than one highly abundant SRE. SD: Standard Deviation; SRE: Sperm RNA Element. The SRE genomic coordinates are displayed in the format chromosome:start location–end location. Mean abundance and abundance SD are indicated in RPKM: Reads Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads.
| Ensembl ID             | Gene ID | SRE genomic coordinates | SRE Type | Mean abundance | Abundance SD |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------------|----------|----------------|--------------|
| ENSSSCG00000018075    | COX1    | MT:6511-8055            | EXON     | 42244          | 14055        |
| ENSSSCG00000018078    | COX2    | MT:8203-8890            | EXON     | 25411          | 11931        |
| ENSSSCG00000018080    | ATP8,   |                         |          |                |              |
| ENSSSCG00000018081    | ATP6,   |                         |          |                |              |
| ENSSSCG00000018082    | COX3    | MT:8959-10583           | EXON     | 18282          | 10076        |
| ENSSSCG00000021337    | PRM1    | 3:31861071-31861233     | EXON     | 14509          | 2711         |
| ENSSSCG00000018094    | CYTB    | MT:15342-16481          | EXON     | 13414          | 6153         |
| ENSSSCG00000018091    | ND5     | MT:12935-14755          | EXON     | 12285          | 7527         |
| ENSSSCG00000027091    | OAZ3    | 4:97442381-97442556     | EXON     | 10492          | 2592         |
| ENSSSCG00000027091    | OAZ3    | 4:97441308-97441393     | EXON     | 10441          | 3563         |
| ENSSSCG00000018092    | ND6     | MT:14739-15266          | EXON     | 8983           | 5521         |
| ENSSSCG00000016203    | CFAP65  | 15:121057113-121057202  | NOVEL_INTRONIC | 8302       | 7705         |
| ENSSSCG00000018086    | ND4, LND4 | MT:11069-12736        | EXON     | 7984           | 4396         |
| ENSSSCG00000006302    | GPR161  | 4:82900699-82900818     | EXON     | 7256           | 1350         |
| ENSSSCG00000018069    | ND2     | MT:5087-6128            | EXON     | 7038           | 4966         |
| ENSSSCG00000027091    | OAZ3    | 4:97443314-97443450     | EXON     | 6469           | 1151         |
| ENSSSCG00000006688    | ANKRD35 | 4:99454337-99454374     | EXON     | 6130           | 1564         |
| ENSSSCG00000028031    | HDAC11  | 13:70866593-70866635    | EXON     | 6012           | 820          |
| ENSSSCG00000005585    | DENND1A | 1:264683712-264683755   | EXON     | 5849           | 1643         |
| ENSSSCG00000006302    | GPR161  | 4:82896938-82897042     | EXON     | 5714           | 839          |
| ENSSSCG00000017609    | ANKFN1  | 12:32508908-32509087    | NOVEL_INTRONIC | 5539       | 3823         |
| ENSSSCG00000006688    | ANKRD35 | 4:99459430-99459495     | EXON     | 5483           | 1332         |
| ENSSSCG00000007010    | ZMAT4   | 17:9836268-9836357      | NOVEL_INTRONIC | 5411       | 4921         |
| ENSSSCG00000017770    | PROCA1  | 12:44943383-44943515    | EXON     | 5242           | 1245         |
| ENSSSCG00000017413    | HSPB9   | 12:20636767-20637249    | EXON     | 5235           | 1007         |
| Ensembl Gene ID | Gene Symbol | Chromosome | Start | End | Description | Exon | Intron | Length |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-----|-------------|------|--------|--------|
| ENSSSCG00000000018 | KIAA0930 | 5 | 4184013 | 4184090 | EXON | 5176 | 1151 |
| ENSSSCG000000018065 | ND1 | MT:3922-4876 | EXON | 5155 | 3419 |
| ENSSSCG00000021337 | PRM1 | 3:31861339-31861529 | EXON | 5137 | 988 |
| ENSSSCG000000016893 | NDUFS4 | 16:32891178-32891257 | NOVEL_INTRONIC | 4843 | 2985 |
| ENSSSCG000000023974 | PHF21A | 2:16386945-16386977 | EXON | 4792 | 1481 |
| ENSSSCG00000006688 | ANKRD35 | 4:99450478-99450566 | EXON | 4760 | 753 |
| ENSSSCG00000035537 | RUNX1 | 13:198392909-198392938 | NOVEL_INTRONIC | 4759 | 5935 |
Table 2. List of the 10% most abundant intact transcripts (TIN > 75) in the boar sperm. Transcript integrity was measured as TIN: Transcript Integrity Number; TIN mean: Average TIN. SD: Standard Deviation. * Gene symbol extracted from an orthologous gene species.

| Ensembl Transcript ID | Gene ID | TIN mean | TIN SD |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|--------|
| ENSSSCT00000018955 | ZNRF4 | 97.60 | 0.56 |
| ENSSSCT0000007842 | TMEM239 | 93.54 | 2.54 |
| ENSSSCT0000019381 | HSPB9 | 92.62 | 3.48 |
| ENSSSCT0000046661 | UBL4B | 91.93 | 2.24 |
| ENSSSCT000001702 | C6orf106 | 89.91 | 4.00 |
| ENSSSCT000006503 | SPATC1 | 86.40 | 2.61 |
| ENSSSCT000030220 | OAZ3 | 84.91 | 2.74 |
| ENSSSCT000004015 | AZIN2 | 83.92 | 3.15 |
| ENSSSCT000049885 | PRM3 | 83.56 | 2.27 |
| ENSSSCT000029296 | DBIL5* | 83.21 | 3.71 |
| ENSSSCT000014766 | ZNRF4 | 82.36 | 2.23 |
| ENSSSCT000048242 | ACSBG2* | 81.15 | 2.62 |
| ENSSSCT00007224 | SMCP | 79.47 | 5.33 |
| ENSSSCT00003898 | KIF17 | 79.04 | 1.67 |
| ENSSSCT00007327 | ANKRD35 | 78.97 | 2.91 |
| ENSSSCT00012714 | DNAJB8 | 76.43 | 4.11 |
| ENSSSCT0000746 | TP11 | 75.99 | 3.63 |
| ENSSSCT000029974 | PRM1 | 75.72 | 1.44 |
Table 3. Messenger RNA transcripts showing differential abundances in the summer versus the winter ejaculates. The list includes only these transcripts with q-val < 0.05 and log2 (FC) < -1.5 or >1.5. log2 (FC) > 0 indicate up-regulation in summer when compared to winter. Empty cells in the Gene ID column correspond to transcripts without gene symbol or description. FC: Fold-Change; FDR: False Discovery Rate.

| Transcript ID | Gene ID | Log2 (FC) | p-value   | q-value (FDR) |
|---------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| ENSSSCT000000058763 | NSUN6   | -9.62     | 7.00E-16  | 4.35E-12      |
| ENSSSCT000000056639 | ATG16L1 | -7.75     | 1.03E-08  | 2.14E-05      |
| ENSSSCT000000059752 | EHBPI   | -7.49     | 7.95E-08  | 1.35E-04      |
| ENSSSCT000000059921 | CENPC   | -6.55     | 8.56E-07  | 1.06E-03      |
| ENSSSCT000000012060 | MTPAP   | -6.51     | 3.16E-07  | 4.21E-04      |
| ENSSSCT000000056608 | SMARCA2 | -6.48     | 1.66E-05  | 1.15E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000066205 | CNOT3   | -6.22     | 1.75E-06  | 1.72E-03      |
| ENSSSCT00000014560 | KIF18A  | -6.01     | 6.79E-05  | 3.62E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000057538 | ZNF24   | -5.88     | 4.01E-05  | 2.34E-02      |
| ENSSSCT00000018135 | AOAH    | -5.47     | 2.54E-05  | 1.58E-02      |
| ENSSSCT00000015909 | PSMD13  | -3.76     | 1.60E-05  | 1.15E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000037719 | STARD9  | -2.63     | 2.18E-05  | 1.45E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000039055 | CPEB3   | -2.32     | 4.98E-05  | 2.81E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000039293 | MED13L  | -2.13     | 1.62E-05  | 1.15E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000043522 | OSGIN1  | -1.75     | 9.49E-06  | 7.69E-03      |
| ENSSSCT00000012151 | CUL2    | 1.66      | 5.66E-05  | 3.10E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000001457 |         | 4.44      | 6.31E-14  | 2.94E-10      |
| ENSSSCT000000049515 | ZMYND10 | 4.72      | 1.26E-06  | 1.31E-03      |
| ENSSSCT000000011652 | TRUB1   | 4.93      | 2.33E-05  | 1.50E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000049377 | NUP58   | 5.14      | 9.56E-05  | 4.95E-02      |
| ENSSSCT000000007719 | MCM8    | 5.15      | 1.68E-20  | 3.13E-16      |
| ENSSSCT000000035098 | ERBIN   | 5.31      | 9.92E-06  | 7.71E-03      |
| ENSSSCT000000031111 | ANKR6D  | 5.53      | 2.58E-06  | 2.40E-03      |
| ENSSSCT000000038311 | MCPH1   | 5.65      | 4.31E-06  | 3.83E-03      |
| ENSSSCT000000018344 | WDR70   | 5.72      | 1.04E-06  | 1.18E-03      |
| ENSSSCT000000037667 | ASCC1   | 5.78      | 2.80E-05  | 1.69E-02      |
| ENSSSCT00000002542 | FUT8    | 6.00      | 5.14E-06  | 4.36E-03      |
| ENSSSCT000000032033 | TMEM230 | 6.01      | 2.08E-07  | 2.98E-04      |
| ENSSSCT000000050364 | PDE3B   | 6.45      | 1.62E-07  | 2.52E-04      |
| ENSSSCT000000015769 | FBXO38  | 6.48      | 3.51E-08  | 6.54E-05      |
| ENSSSCT000000043281 | ZNF280D | 6.49      | 1.08E-06  | 1.18E-03      |
| ENSSSCT000000064492 | ZNF629  | 6.73      | 2.55E-09  | 6.80E-06      |
| ENSSSCT000000028805 | ZNF58   | 7.34      | 6.81E-10  | 2.12E-06      |
| ENSSSCT000000030081 | NMNAT1  | 7.50      | 1.59E-11  | 5.94E-08      |
| ENSSSCT000000039133 | ATG16L1 | 7.76      | 4.16E-09  | 9.69E-06      |
| ENSSSCT000000038377 | RUNDC3B | 8.96      | 3.72E-16  | 3.47E-12      |
Table 4. List of the miRNAs showing distinct seasonal abundance. The list includes only these miRNAs with q-val < 0.05 and log2FC >1.5. FC: Fold-Change; FDR: False Discovery Rate.

| miRNA ID     | Log2 (FC) | p-value     | q-value (FDR) |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|
| ssc-miR-221-3p | -2.70     | 4.19E-05    | 1.54E-03      |
| ssc-miR-362  | -1.81     | 1.63E-03    | 2.18E-02      |
| ssc-miR-378  | -1.71     | 6.16E-03    | 4.94E-02      |
| ssc-miR-106a | -1.62     | 1.75E-05    | 1.29E-03      |
| ssc-miR-34c  | -1.53     | 5.87E-04    | 9.59E-03      |
| ssc-miR-1306-5p | 1.68     | 1.81E-04    | 3.81E-03      |
| ssc-miR-1249 | 3.14      | 2.58E-08    | 3.79E-06      |
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