Comparative Analysis of Soaking Time on Hardness and Tensile Strength for Mercerization Treated Raffia Palm Fibre
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Abstract
The paper aims to study the soaking time on hardness and tensile strength for mercerization modified raffia palm fibre, which will be gathered, extracted, retted, processed and treated with 5% and 10% NaOH concentration using a soaking time of 60(1hr), 120(2hrs), 180(3hrs) and 240 minutes(4hrs) at a varying fibre length of 50, 60, 70 and 80mm. From the experiment conducted for hardness, the maximum optimum value of 395 HB was recorded at 60mm fibre length for 2 hours using 10% NaOH concentration. While the strength gave an average optimum value of 768 Mpa at 70mm fibre length for 3 hours using 5% NaOH concentration at varying the soaking time for mercerization modified fibre. The fibre was grounded into smaller particles size and reinforced with high-density polyethylene (HDPE). Different test samples for the production of oil and gas facilities like pipes and pipe joints, a storage system (pressure vessel), etc. and also for producing interior paneling for aircraft and automobiles, household tables and chairs, window frames, laptop cases, and another consumer item.
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1.0 Introduction
It has been a growing environmental consciousness during the last few years, which increased the interest to use natural fibers such as raffia palm, kenaf, jute, hemp, flax, and coir instead of human-made (synthetic) fibers, i.e., glass fibers, aramid fibers, and carbon fibers in composite materials. Natural fibers have advantages which are low-density with high specific properties (properties per unit weight), inexpensive, abundance, and less tool wear. Also, natural fibers are renewable, recyclable, biodegradable and locally available (Oksman, 2000). Tensile strength and tensile modulus of natural fibers are remarkably lower than those of synthetic (human-made) fibers; all natural fibers offer significant cost advantages. The differences in fiber structure and overall environmental conditions during growth affect the natural fibers mechanical properties. The modification of natural fibre is carried out in different ways to yield reinforcing fillers having different mechanical properties. They could have mechanical properties comparable to those of glass fiber-polymer composites in some treatment (Bledzki and Gassan, 1999). Furthermore, the natural fiber-polymer composites with lightweight have a potential weight saving advantage. Meanwhile, the synthetic (human-made) fibers have serious drawback due to high cost, non-renewable, non-recycle, nonbiodegradable, and riskful. Proponents of natural fiber composites have highly exploited the shortcoming. Recently intensive researches have been carried out in several countries to study the use of natural fiber for composite applications. The automotive industries have already used natural fibre to produce by natural composites. According to Al-Qureshi et al. (2001), jute fiber reinforced composite and hybrid composite were developed, manufactured and assembled a small prototype car with all body panels. Furthermore, a significant step towards higher performance applications of automobiles was achieved with the door panels of the E-Class Mercedes-Benz. To develop the world, this sector is of interest. The door panels which were manufactured using flax/sisal mat embedded in an epoxy resin matrix has shown a remarkable weight reduction of about 20%. Furthermore, their mechanical properties that are important for passenger protection in the event of an accident have been improved. Moreover, the flax/sisal material can be molded in complicated shapes (Schuh, 2004). A production stage has reached for several building components manufactured from agricultural materials. They include low-density insulation boards, hard boards, particle boards, medium-density fiber boards and other building system components such as walls and roofs. It has been reported that in Africa, the strong particle board was made from combinations of bagasse (sugar cane residue), wood shavings, mangrove bark and corncoobs (Taylor, 2004). Usually, natural fibers are made up of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. It is useful to have the information on fiber characteristics and the factors to expand the use of agro-fibers for composites, which affect the performance of the fiber (Rowell et al., 2000). This study intends to analyze the hardness and tensile strength on soaking time for mercerization treated raffia palm fibre and the results obtained are presented.
2. Methodology

2.1 Materials
The raffia palm fibres were obtained from a river located at Ikwerre local government area, Port Harcourt, River State. In this study, the following materials were used; they comprise of distilled water, weighing scale, oven, thermometer, pH meter and graduated cylinder, glass beaker, plastic cup.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Water Retting and Fibre Extraction
The fibre was extracted and retted by retting method which is a rotting process of raffia palm in water. Developing and processing of the fibre very quickly the remnants of the raffia palm were soaked in water using about 2% detergent for three days until the fibres are distinct from pectin, hemicelluloses, oily substances, and other impurities. After that, the fibres were thoroughly washed and allowed to dry in the sun for two days. Figure 2.1 shows the extraction of raffia palm fibers before washing.

Chemical treatment of the RPFs
The fiber was modified using (Aziz and Ansel, 2004) mercerization treatment techniques
(a) Mercerization (Alkalization) Treatment
After cleaning the fibres, it was were soaked using 5% and 10% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution at a temperature of 30ºC for an hour. Later the fibres were removed from the NaOH solution and washed thoroughly using plentiful of distilled water to remove all the excess NaOH (or nonreacted alkali).

2.2.2 Raffia palm fibre characterization
Hardness and Tensile test were investigated to analyze the hardness and strength for mercerization (alkalization) modified fibre using 5% and 10% concentration of NaOH to determine the soaking time for 60(1hr), 120(2hr), 180(3hr) and 240 minutes(4hr) at varying fibre length of 50mm, 60mm, 70mm and 80mm.

- Hardness Test
The hardness of a material is defined as its resistance to permanent deformation, indentation or scratching. The hardness values of the developed fibre samples were measured using Rockwell Hardness Tester on M-Scale by ASTM D785. The results of the hardness test were recorded too.
- Tensile Test
The fibres undergo a simple tensile test. The testing was carried out using Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer (DMA Q800) with TA instrument that has a thin film tensile fixture. The sample was measured by subjecting it to force ranging from 0 to 17 Newton.

Figure 2.1: Shows the images of raffia palm fibers before washing

Figure 2.2: Shows the diagram of the dynamic mechanical analyzer (DMA) (left) with thin film test fixture (right). (Source: Patrick, 2016)

Since the sample that was tested is not thin films, more samples are needed for testing. A thick cardstock paper (1 in. by 0.5 in.) was used by cutting out small rectangular tabs, and a hole punch removed the material from the tab. 7.8 mm diameter hole or 0.3 inches was produced, and it was taken as the gauge length of the fibre sample. Superglue was used to either side of the tab with single raffia palm and dried overnight. The DMA instrument was used to clamp the thin film test fixture with the tabbed fibre specimens; the raffia palm fibre was not cut while cutting the tab at the thin sides. The thin sides were cut to guarantee that the raffia palm fiber was the only thing
receiving the force from the DMA. Metcalf-Doetsch, (2013) design is similar to the tabbed sample. The prepared samples underwent a simple tensile test within the DMA. And the test was conducted using a constant rate of extension of 0.5 mm per minute. At higher rates of extension, the DMA could not uphold continuous rate; the linear stress-strain curve shows that the sample failed easily. About ten replicate of the alkali treated fibre samples were tested. The outcome of hardness and strength test at a varying length of fibre; 50, 60, 70, 80mm using soaking time of 60 (1hr), 120(2hrs), 180(3hrs) and 240 minutes(4hrs) for mercurization modified fibre was documented.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 to 4 and figure 1 to 4 illustrates the hardness and tensile strength for mercurization treated raffia palm using 5% and 10% concentration of NaOH at different soaking time of 60 (1hr), 120(2hrs), 180(3hrs) and 240 minutes (4hrs) with a varying length of the fibre; 50, 60, 70 and 80mm were examined and the result documented.

Table 1: Hardness test for mercurization treated raffia palm fibre using 5% NaOH concentration

| Fibre Replication | Hardness HB @50mm 60mins (1hr) | Hardness HB @60mm 120mins (2hrs) | Hardness HB @70mm 180mins(3hrs) | Hardness HB @80mm 240mins(4hrs) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| R1                | 176                             | 276                              | 143                              | 148                             |
| R2                | 231                             | 141                              | 285                              | 170                             |
| R3                | 222                             | 93                               | 110                              | 198                             |
| R4                | 210                             | 167                              | 176                              | 172                             |
| R5                | 150                             | 125                              | 166                              | 138                             |

Fig. 1: Hardness for 5% soaking time at optimum NaOH concentration

Table 2: Hardness test for mercurization treated raffia palm fibre using 10% NaOH concentration

| Fibre Replications | Hardness HB @50mm 60mins(1hr) | Hardness HB @60mm 120mins(2hrs) | Hardness HB @70mm 180mins(3hrs) | Hardness HB @80mm 240mins(4hrs) |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| R1                 | 265                             | 395                              | 159                             | 165                             |
| R2                 | 182                             | 262                              | 179                             | 215                             |
| R3                 | 187                             | 305                              | 145                             | 197                             |
| R4                 | 211                             | 321                              | 161                             | 192                             |
| R5                 | 184                             | 235                              | 137                             | 195                             |
Table 3: Tensile test for mercerization treated raffia palm fibre using 5% NaOH concentration

| Fibre Replications | Tensile Strengths (Mpa) @50mm 60mins(1hr) | Tensile Strengths (Mpa) @60mm 120mins(2hrs) | Tensile Strengths (Mpa) @70mm 180mins(3hrs) | Tensile Strengths (Mpa) @80mm 240mins(4hrs) |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| R1                 | 754                                      | 444                                        | 768                                       | 409                                         |
| R2                 | 468                                      | 672                                        | 523                                       | 505                                         |
| R3                 | 375                                      | 447                                        | 468                                       | 582                                         |
| R4                 | 532                                      | 521                                        | 586                                       | 499                                         |
| R5                 | 610                                      | 450                                        | 600                                       | 505                                         |

Fig. 2: Hardness for 10% soaking time at optimum NaOH concentration

Fig. 3: Strength for 5% soaking time at optimum NaOH concentration
The hardness and tensile strengths of the fibre were studied at different soaking time and the results obtained from the experiments were analyzed using table 1-4 and figure 1-4. Mercerization (Alkalization) treatments of the fibre were studied using 5% and 10% concentrations of NaOH solution. The hardness and tensile test were examined on the mercerization treated fibres to determine the soaking time for 60, 120, 180 and 240 minutes at a different length of the fibre; 50, 60, 70 and 80mm. Based on the experimental result of table 2, the maximum value of 395 HB was recorded at 60mm fibre length for two hours using 10% concentration of NaOH at different soaking time. Based on the result, it clearly shows that hardness increases with fibre treatment. Generally, mercerized treated fibre specimens possess higher hardness. These hard and brittle fibres will incessantly oppose deformation due to indentation. The result of the experiment of the tensile test of table 3 gave the highest strength of 768Mpa at 70mm of the length of the fibre for three hours using 5% NaOH concentration at a various soaking time for mercerization treated raffia palm fibre. Hence, based on the experimental results for hardness the soaking time of two hours (120mins) at fibre length of 60mm using 5% NaOH concentration gave the highest maximum value while for strength the soaking time of three hours (180mins) at fibre length of 70mm using 5% NaOH concentration gave the highest tensile strength. Therefore, it is acknowledged as the standard or point of reference for fibre evolution.

4.0 Conclusion
All natural fibre due to excellent mechanical properties; they are available, and also agricultural products like raffia palm have an exceptional possible resource for reinforcement. The fibers are lightweight material and environmentally friendly as compared to other similar conventional composites. The major challenge of these class of fiber-reinforced composites is due to weak bonding that exists between the natural hydrophilic fibers and hydrophobic polymer matrix. This study aims to examine the soaking time on hardness, and tensile strength for mercerization (alkalization) treated or modified raffia palm fibre. For further development of the raffia palm fiber, they were gathered, extracted, processed and treated. The highly-developed raffia palm fibre can be grounded into smaller size particles and polymer reinforced to be used in the production of test samples to manufacturing various oil and gas facilities like tanks, pipes, and pipe joints among others and typical applications include aerospace, automobiles parts, household tables and chairs, window frames, laptop cases, and another consumer item.

| Fibre Replications | Tensile Strengths (Mpa) @50mm 60mins (1hr) | Tensile Strengths (Mpa) @60mm 120mins (2hrs) | Tensile Strengths (Mpa) @70mm 180mins(3hrs) | Tensile Strengths (Mpa) @80mm 240mins(4hrs) |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| R1                 | 570                                      | 611                                         | 394                                       | 684                                         |
| R2                 | 395                                      | 646                                         | 712                                       | 607                                         |
| R3                 | 538                                      | 764                                         | 409                                       | 618                                         |
| R4                 | 501                                      | 674                                         | 505                                       | 636                                         |
| R5                 | 405                                      | 620                                         | 430                                       | 650                                         |
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