The *BIM* deletion polymorphism is a prognostic biomarker of EGFR-TKIs response in NSCLC: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

The prognostic value of Bcl-2-like protein 11 (*BIM*) deletion polymorphism for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) treatment in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) were reported. However, the results remained controversial. Thus, we did this systematic review and meta-analysis to address this issue. Databases including PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Register of Controlled Trials were searched to find relevant studies. The primary outcome was progression-free survival (PFS). Five retrospective cohort studies were included. All of the studies were conducted in Asian population (n = 951). The methodological quality of all included studies was high. Compared with *BIM* wild type, *BIM* deletion polymorphism was predictive of shorter PFS in NSCLC patients who were treated with EGFR-TKIs (adjusted HR = 2.38, 95% CI 1.66–2.41, P < 0.001). In conclusion, the *BIM* deletion polymorphism was associated with poor response in NSCLC patients who received EGFR-TKIs treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) became the first-line treatment for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring mutant *EGFR* gene [1]. Most of these patients are highly responsive to treatment with EGFR-TKIs. However, about 20–30% patients with EGFR activating mutations show primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs [2]. Therefore, identifying the prognostic biomarkers of primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs in these patients is urgently needed.

*Bcl*-2-like protein 11 (*BIM*) is a BH3-only proapoptotic member of the Bcl-2 protein family [3]. Up-regulation of BIM correlated with gefitinib-induced apoptosis in gefitinib-sensitive *EGFR*-mutant lung cancer cells [4]. In addition, knockdown of BIM expression by RNA interference eliminated erlotinib-induced cell killing *in vitro* [5]. Addition of a BH3 mimic significantly enhanced killing of NSCLC cells by gefitinib [6]. Furthermore, high level of BIM expression was a marker of longer progression-free survival (PFS) in *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC treated with erlotinib [7]. Therefore, BIM might be a biomarker of survival in *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC.

Recently, King Pan Ng and colleagues identified a common intronic deletion polymorphism in *BIM* [8]. This *BIM* deletion polymorphism was absent in individuals from African and European populations, but was found in about 12% of Asian population [8]. They demonstrated that patients with *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC harboring *BIM* deletion polymorphism showed significant inferior responses to TKIs than patients without this polymorphism [9]. This finding was confirmed by several studies [9–11]. However, Lee et al. suggested that *BIM* deletion polymorphism was not predictive of PFS for EGFR-TKIs [12]. The aim of this meta-analysis was to summarize all the available evidence and determine the predictive role of *BIM* deletion polymorphism for EGFR-TKIs in NSCLC.
RESULTS

Literature search

The process of identifying studies is shown in Figure 1. A total of 95 publications were identified in the initial search, and 1 publication was identified from other source. Based on screening of titles or abstracts, 78 records were excluded. Full text articles were retrieved only for 18 publications and assessed for eligibility. Of these 18 publications, 13 publications were excluded. Finally, 5 studies were included in this meta-analysis.

Study characteristics

Five retrospective cohort studies were included. All of the studies were conducted in Asian population (n = 951). Four studies included advanced NSCLC patients, and one study included NSCLC from early stage to advanced stage. Five studies reported the status of EGFR mutations, while two studies did not provide this information. All studies were assessed by Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS). The quality scores ranged from 8 to 9, suggesting that the methodological quality was high. The characteristics of each study are presented in Table 1.

Quantitative data synthesis

All the studies reported the data of PFS. Except the study by Lee JK, all the studies suggested that BIM deletion polymorphism was associated with reduced PFS. Three studies reported adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Compared with BIM wild type, BIM deletion polymorphism was predictive of shorter PFS in NSCLC patients who were treated with EGFR-TKIs (adjusted HR = 2.38, 95% CI 1.66–3.41, P < 0.001; Figure 2). No significant heterogeneity was observed (I² = 11%).

DISCUSSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis found that BIM deletion polymorphism was associated with a poor response to EGFR-TKIs in NSCLC patients. NSCLC patients with BIM deletion polymorphism exhibited shorter PFS when they received EGFR-TKIs.

As for overall survival (OS), two studies provided the median OS in BIM deletion polymorphism group and BIM wild type group. One studies indicated that patients with BIM deletion polymorphism had shorter OS than did those without BIM deletion polymorphism, while another study did not confirm this result. All the two studies did not provide statistical results, thus we did not do meta-analysis of OS.

The BIM deletion polymorphism contained a deletion of a 2903 bp fragment in intron 2. This deletion resulted in the preferential splicing of exon 3 over exon 4, which generated a BIM isoform that lacked the BH3 [8], thereby causing EGFR-TKI resistance. They also reported that the addition of BH3-mimetic drugs could restore TKIs sensitivity [8]. Recently, Nakagawa et al. suggested the histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor vorinostat could circumvent EGFR-TKI resistance in EGFR-mutant NSCLC cell lines and in xenograft models [13]. The combination of EGFR-TKIs plus a BH3-mimetic drug or vorinostat should be considered for the NSCLC patients with BIM deletion polymorphism in the future.

This meta-analysis had some advantages. First, this was the first meta-analysis which assessed the association between BIM deletion polymorphism and efficacy of
EGFR-TKIs. Second, no significant heterogeneity was found in this meta-analysis. Third, the quality of the included studies was high. However, the limitations should also be acknowledged. First, there were only five studies included in this meta-analysis. Although all the studies reported PFS, only two studies provided OS. Thus, it was still unclear whether BIM deletion polymorphism was a prognostic marker of OS. Second, all of the studies were retrospective design, which were prone to bias (e.g., recall and selection bias). Therefore, prospective studies should be designed to validate the results of this meta-analysis. Third, we could not perform subgroup analyses by age, gender, smoking status, and EGFR mutations due to the insufficient data.

Figure 2: The prognostic role of BIM deletion polymorphism on PFS.

NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; BIM, Bcl-2-like protein 11; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; NA, not available.
In conclusion, the \textit{BIM} deletion polymorphism was significantly associated with poor response in NSCLC patients who received EGFR-TKIs treatment. The \textit{BIM} deletion polymorphism could be a prognostic biomarker of EGFR-TKIs resistance in NSCLC.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Literature search}

PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Register of Controlled Trials were searched for relevant studies published up to 20 Apr. 2015. The following terms were used: (“NSCLC” or “lung cancer” or “non-small cell lung cancer”) and (“Bcl-2-like protein 11” or “Bcl-2-like 11” or \textit{BIM} or \textit{BCL2L11”}. No language restrictions were imposed. References from relevant articles, including review papers, were also reviewed.

\textbf{Study selection}

Studies were included in the meta-analysis if they fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: 1) study design: cohort studies; 2) population: NSCLC patients with \textit{EGFR} activating mutations; 3) intervention: EGFR-TKIs; 4) primary outcome: the effect of \textit{BIM} deletion polymorphism on PFS. Abstract, case reports, review articles, experimental studies and commentary articles were excluded.

\textbf{Data collection and methodological quality assessment}

The following data were extracted from each study: the first author, publication year, study design, ethnicity, included patients, number of patients, the percent of \textit{BIM} deletion, sex, age, smoking status, the percent of adenocarcinoma, \textit{EGFR} mutations, clinical stage of patients, reported PFS, and covariates controlled for multivariable analysis. Two reviewers independently extracted the relevant data. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus in meetings with all investigators.

Two reviewers completed the quality assessment independently. The NOS was used to evaluate the methodological quality, which scored studies by the selection of the study groups, the comparability of the groups, and the ascertainment of the outcome of interest [14]. We considered a study awarded 0–3, 4–6, or 7–9 as a low-, moderate-, or high-quality study, respectively. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus and discussion.

\textbf{Statistical analysis}

We estimated the HR with 95\% CI for primary outcome. The multivariable-adjusted HRs with 95\% CIs were pooled in our analysis. We used a random effects model. Statistical heterogeneity among studies was evaluated using the Q and $I^2$ statistics. Publication bias was investigated by funnel plot if more than 10 studies were included. All statistical analyses were performed with Revman 5.1 software (Nordic Cochrane Center, Copenhagen, Denmark).
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