Effect of remifentanil on intraoperative fluid balance: a retrospective statistical examination of factors contributing to fluid balance
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Background: Postoperative fluid retention is a factor that causes delay in recovery and unexpected adverse events. It is important to prevent intraoperative fluid retention, which is putatively caused by intraoperative release of stress hormones, such as ADH (anti-diuretic hormone) or others. We hypothesized that intraoperative analgesia may prevent pathological fluid retention. We retrospectively explored the relationship between analgesics and in-out balance in surgical patients from anesthesia records.

Methods: Anesthetic records of 80 patients who had undergone orthognathic surgery were checked in this study. Patients were anesthetized with either TIVA (propofol and remifentanil) or inhalational anesthesia (sevoflurane and remifentanil). During surgery, acetated Ringer’s solution was infused for maintenance at a rate of 3-5 ml/kg/h at the discretion of the anesthetist. The perioperative parameters, including the amount of crystalloid and colloid infused, and the amount of urine and bleeding were checked. Furthermore, we checked the amount and administration rate of remifentanil during the surgical procedure. The correlation coefficient between the remifentanil dose and the in-out balance or the urinary output was analyzed using the Pearson correlation coefficient. The contributing factor to fluid retention, including urinary output, was statistically examined by means of multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Results: A significant positive correlation was found between remifentanil dose and urinary output. Urinary output less than 0.04 ml/kg/min was suggested to cause positive fluid balance. Although in-out balance approaches zero balance with increase in remifentanil administration rate, no contributing factor for near-zero fluid balance was statistically picked up. The remifentanil administration rate was statistically picked up as the significant factor for higher urinary output (> 0.04 ml/kg/min) (OR, 2,644; 95% CI, 3.2-2.2 × 10^6) among perioperative parameters.

Conclusions: In conclusion, remifentanil contributes in maintaining the urinary output during general anesthesia. Although further prospective study is needed to confirm this hypothesis, it was suggested that fluid retention could be avoided through suppressing intraoperative stress response by means of appropriate maintenance of remifentanil infusion rate.
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INTRODUCTION

During general anesthesia, fluid balance should be monitored and maintained at an optimal level. General anesthesia itself causes fluid retention, as compared with the awake state [1]. This effect might be attributable to the stress response, which triggers the release of anti-diuretic hormone (ADH). If this stress response is prolonged, intraoperative fluid retention can become a
key factor in postoperative adverse events [2,3]. Avoiding fluid retention during the perioperative period can lead to a good prognosis. Over the last few decades, excessive fluid administration has been avoided, and the optimization of fluid therapy has been explored [4]. Even though fluids can be massively administered incidentally, adverse effects can be avoided if the fluid is rapidly excreted via the kidneys. The in-out balance may be more important than the amount of infused fluid in terms of avoiding postoperative adverse events [5]. The optimal in-out fluid balance to avoid adverse events has been explored clinically [6,7].

Painful stimuli are major factors for releasing ADH, leading to fluid retention. The continuous infusion of remifentanil can maintain the level of analgesia, enabling a stress-less intraoperative condition. The continuous intraoperative infusion of remifentanil has been shown to enable insulin resistance to be avoided through a pathway involving the release of stress hormones [8]. Remifentanil was previously reported to increase urinary output by suppressing ADH release [9]. Therefore, sufficient analgesia through remifentanil infusion could conceivably be used to optimize the fluid balance. Here, we examined the effect of intraoperative remifentanil dose on the intraoperative fluid balance and retrospectively examined whether the in-out balance could be driven by the intraoperative use of remifentanil.

METHODS

1. Retrospective examination of anesthetic records

The anesthesia records of consecutive patients (n = 80) who underwent orthognathic surgery to correct deformities of the maxilla and mandible between 2016 and 2017 were retrospectively reviewed. No abnormal outcomes occurred among these consecutive patients; thus, none of the patients were excluded from the statistical analysis. Patients were anesthetized with either TIVA (propofol and remifentanil) or inhalational anesthesia (sevoflurane and remifentanil). After endotracheal intubation and monitor set-up, an arterial line was placed in a radial artery. During surgery, acetated Ringer’s solution was infused for maintenance at a rate of 3-5 ml/kg/h and was administered on a supplementary basis as needed at the discretion of the anesthetist. We did not induce hypotension for down fracture of the maxilla. The bleeding amount was calculated using the weight of the gauze and a measured suction container, from which surgical irrigation fluid was subtracted. Information on the following perioperative parameters were obtained from the anesthetic records: age, height, weight, sex, surgical duration, duration of anesthesia, doses of remifentanil and fentanyl, and ASA classification.

To calculate the in-out balance, we calculated the amounts of fluid, crystalloid and colloid, that were administered subtracted by the urine output and the amount of bleeding. Since the amount of bleeding and the amount of administered colloid were similar and none of the cases required a transfusion, we did not differentiate the amounts of administered colloid and bleeding from the amount of administered crystalloid and the urine output.

2. Statistical analysis

The values were represented as the mean +/- SD. The correlation coefficient between the remifentanil dose and the in-out balance or the urinary output was computed using the Pearson correlation coefficient (statistical software, SPSS ver.17; SPSS Inc.).

We calculated the propensity score using the original dataset that was divided according to the dose of remifentanil to reduce any bias between the two groups. The final dataset was used for data presentation and logistic regression analysis (n = 33, each).

Perioperative variables, including the patients’ background characteristics (age, weight, height, remifentanil administration rate μg/kg/min, amount of bleeding, duration of anesthesia, surgical duration, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and heart rate at the beginning and end of anesthesia), were entered in a multivariate logistic regression analysis (forward selection, maximum likelihood ratio method). The factors
Table 1. Patient background characteristics after propensity score matching

| Variable           | Value                          |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Sex, M/F           | 24/42                          |
| Age, years         | 25 ± 7 (17-50)                 |
| Body height, cm    | 164 ± 7 (150-185)              |
| Body weight, kg    | 58 ± 10 (35-101)               |
| Anesthesia method  | TIVA, 53/AOS, 13               |

TIVA, total intravenous anesthesia; AOS, air-oxygen-sevoflurane anesthesia (max-min)

contributing to urinary outflow were then statistically extracted (P < 0.05).

Since we were unable to find a study on how to calculate the appropriate sample size for this study, we performed a “post-hoc power analysis.” Our sample size for the primary endpoint (urinary output less than 0.04 ml/kg/min) was confirmed to be appropriate using the G-Power 3.1.9.4 statistical package. Specifically, α was set at .05 for the rejection of the null hypothesis, and the incident rate of a low urinary output (less than 0.04 ml/kg/min) was 0.379. The statistical power level (1-β err prob) was calculated to be 0.811, which was more than 0.8.

3. Ethical considerations

This retrospective study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Showa University School of Dentistry (DH2018-007;) and was registered at UMIN-CTR (UMIN000036073). The ethics committee approved the study on September 21, 2018. This study was disclosed on the department’s homepage, and all the participants had an opportunity to request the exclusion of their medical records from this study.

RESULTS

The enrolled patients (n = 33) were relatively young and healthy (Table 1). All the patients underwent uneventful orthognathic surgical procedures that were completed under general anesthesia. The duration of anesthesia was 343 ± 93 min, the amount of bleeding was 223 ± 153 ml, and the amount of crystalloid infusion
Table 2. Bivariate analysis of factors associated with remifentanil administration rate

|                          | Low dose of remifentanil < 0.42 μg/kg/min | High dose of remifentanil > 0.42 μg/kg/min |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Number of patients       | 33                                       | 33                                        |
| Age, years               | 24.4 ± 6.2                               | 23.6 ± 6.4                                |
| Body height, cm          | 165.3 ± 8.0                              | 165.1 ± 7.0                               |
| Body weight, kg          | 57.7 ± 10.4                              | 57.5 ± 9.4                                |
| Blood loss, ml           | 207 ± 147                                | 240 ± 159                                 |
| Urine volume, ml         | 826 ± 600                                | 1,165 ± 559*                              |
| Urine ate, ml/min        | 2.4 ± 1.5                                | 3.2 ± 1.2*                                |
| Infusion volume, ml      | 1,269 ± 468                              | 1,561 ± 524*                              |
| Crystalloid volume, ml/min| 1,125 ± 406                             | 1,309 ± 389                               |
| Fluid balance, ml        | 236 ± 532                                | 156 ± 352                                 |
| Fluid balance, ml/min    | 0.73 ± 1.49                              | 0.56 ± 1.16                               |
| Duration of surgery, min | 279 ± 87                                 | 293 ± 87                                  |
| Pre Sys BP               | 124 ± 12                                 | 128 ± 19                                  |
| Pre Dia BP               | 71 ± 8                                   | 77 ± 12                                   |
| Pre HR                   | 76 ± 14                                  | 82 ± 18                                   |
| Post Sys BP              | 120 ± 16                                 | 119 ± 17                                  |
| Post Dia BP              | 67 ± 11                                  | 71 ± 11                                   |
| Post HR                  | 85 ± 18                                  | 87 ± 22                                   |

*P < 0.05
Pre, the value at the induction of anesthesia; Post, the value at the end of anesthesia; Sys, systolic; Dia, diastolic; BP, blood pressure; HR, heart rate

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of predictors associated with urinary outflow

| Predictors               | Odds ratio | 95% CI          | P     |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------|
| Remifentanil dose, μg/kg/min | 2.644      | 3.2 - 2,203,999 | 0.022 |
| Body weight, kg          | 0.912      | 0.845 - 0.984   | 0.017 |
| Infusion volume, ml      | 1.002      | 1.001 - 1.004   | 0.005 |

95% CI, 95% confidence interval

was 1217 ± 405 ml (max, 2500 ml; min, 500 ml). None of the patients required a transfusion.

We confirmed a significant correlation (r = 0.366 P < 0.01) between the urinary output rate and the remifentanil infusion rate (Fig. 1). The remifentanil infusion rate was the averaged value of the remifentanil infusion rate during anesthesia. Furthermore, we presented the relationships between the remifentanil infusion rate and the intraoperative fluid balance (Fig. 2). Of note, the fluid balance approached zero as the remifentanil dose increased.

However, we failed to find a factor among the perioperative parameters that contributed to a low fluid balance (near-zero, less than ± 500 ml) using logistic regression analysis. As noted in Fig. 2, the remifentanil dose was not a dependent parameter for fluid balance. The urinary output rate showed a linear relationship with the fluid balance, and a value of 0.04 ml/kg/min was thought to be the threshold for a positive and negative fluid balance (Fig. 3). This binary can be used as an indirect parameter for fluid balance. We used this value as a binary to examine factors among the perioperative parameters that contributed to the urinary output rate statistically. We stratified the patients into two groups according to the remifentanil administration rate: a higher group (more than 0.42 μg/kg/min, n = 33) and a lower group (less than 0.42 μg/kg/min, n = 33). We found statistically significant differences in each of the parameters between the two groups, except for body height (Table 2).

The logistic regression analysis showed that the average speed of remifentanil administration rate
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(\mu g/kg/min) was the significant factor contributing to a high urinary output (> 0.04 ml/kg/min) (OR; 2.644, 95%CI; 3.2 \times 2.2 \times 10^6) (Table 3). Body weight and infusion volume had small but significant effects on urinary output (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Intraoperative fluid infusion is based on the traditional concept of compensating for an assumed fluid deficit. The estimated fluid deficit is predicted using a formula, including items such as the volume of the third space, insensible vapor from the surgical site, preoperative “not per-os” fluid, and other parameters. However, if the surgery is prolonged and more invasive, the accumulated fluid cannot be readily excreted, resulting in fluid retention [3,10]. Over the last decade, pathological fluid retention has become an issue of concern, and fluid therapy oriented toward the in-out balance has become a priority [7,11]. Therefore, our basic knowledge of intraoperative fluid balance should be expanded.

Several reports have demonstrated that the intraoperative use of remifentanil increases urinary output [9,12-15]. During cardiac surgery, renal blood flow can be temporarily disturbed because of fluctuating cardiac output. However, remifentanil has been shown to offer an advantage over fentanyl in terms of urinary output [15]. Furthermore, laparoscopic abdominal surgery also carries a risk of disturbances in renal blood flow because of unphysiological intraabdominal pressure. Even during this type of surgery, remifentanil has an advantage in terms of dose-dependent urinary output [13]. This observation was supported by another independent study measuring stress hormone levels during pneumoperitoneum under remifentanil administration [16]. Terashi reported that remifentanil temporarily improved the glomerular filtration rate during the postoperative period in patients with chronic kidney disease [17]. These clinical data suggest that remifentanil might have a direct effect on renal function. However, the most convincing mechanism would be a potent and stable analgesic effect of remifentanil on the hormonal control of urinary production. Shinoda et al. demonstrated that remifentanil contributed to an increase in urine production over fentanyl by nearly three times, and this increase was accompanied by a suppression of the release of stress hormones, ADH, adrenaline, and others [9]. This hypothesis is supported by a report from another independent institute. Winterhalter compared the release of stress hormones in a remifentanil group and an intermittent fentanyl group among cardiac surgery cases [18]. A dose-response effect of remifentanil on ADH release was also demonstrated during pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic colectomy [19]. Remifentanil administration before peritoneal incision has also been reported to have a partial effect on the reduction of hormonal stress response during cesarean sections [20]. Thus, the effect of remifentanil on urine output could be attributed to a hormonal pathway through an analgesic effect. Our data demonstrated that the in-out balance converged to zero, and not toward a negative balance, in parallel with the remifentanil dose. This result suggests that remifentanil prevents fluid retention, rather than facilitating urinary production. However, we failed to identify remifentanil administration rate as a significant factor influencing fluid balance. In this retrospective study, we were only able to obtain indirect evidence explaining the relationship between the analgesic effect and fluid balance. We found a significant correlation between the remifentanil dose and urinary output (Fig. 1). Furthermore, there was a significant correlation between urinary output rate and fluid balance. This strong correlation offered us a threshold value for a urinary output rate resulting in a positive fluid balance. Thus, we used a threshold of 0.04 ml/kg/h to identify perioperative parameters contributing to fluid retention, since a value less than 0.04 ml/kg/h corresponded to a positive fluid balance (Fig. 3). This threshold corresponded to 2.4 mg/kg/h, which is considerably higher than the usual intraoperative urine production goal. However, this threshold was useful as a benchmark for estimating a positive fluid balance. Thus,
we speculated that the remifentanil administration rate was a significant parameter for preventing fluid retention.

We previously demonstrated that fluid absorption, expressed as the retained fraction of the infused volume, does not depend on the infused fluid volume. In contrast, the filtrated volume, expressed as the excreted fraction of the infused volume, closely correlated with the infused volume [21]. Thus, fluid retention is probably affected mainly by hormonal control, rather than the infused volume.

Our study should be interpreted with caution. This study was a retrospective analysis of anesthesia records. A specific protocol for intraoperative fluid therapy was not used in this study. Thus, the decisions of individual anesthetists regarding fluid therapy may have influenced the study findings. However, a higher dose of remifentanil was shown to not induce fluid retention. A prospective study, including a control group, would be necessary for elucidating the etiological relationship between remifentanil and fluid balance. Furthermore, a hormonal analysis would also provide useful information for interpreting the results of this study.

All the study subjects were healthy, and none of them had pathological fluid retention postoperatively. This study cohort might not be suitable for detecting factors that lead to the deterioration in fluid retention. However, this uniform cohort was suitable for detecting factors that influenced urinary output, which was driven by multiple factors.

In conclusion, remifentanil increases the urinary output, thereby contributing to the optimization of postoperative fluid balance. Further prospective study may help to elucidate the relationship between intraoperative analgesia and fluid balance.
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