Estimation of thermal neutron flux in PET cyclotron rooms by means of radioactive analysis of bolts in the rooms
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Summary. Short-lived radionuclides for positron emission tomography (PET) examinations in medicine are produced mainly using on site small cyclotrons. The operation of the cyclotron generates a significant quantity of neutrons, which will activate the cyclotron and surrounding materials. A new technique is proposed to estimate the neutron fluxes in the cyclotron rooms, in which small bolts in the cyclotron rooms were used as surrogates for neutron detectors. The measurements were carried out at four PET cyclotrons. The induced radioactivity of the bolts was analyzed by gamma-ray spectroscopy and elemental analysis. A gold foil activation method was applied to compare the results. The neutron fluxes in the PET cyclotron rooms were in the range of $10^3 \sim 10^6$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ during $^{18}$F production. In the brass bolts, $^{60}$Zn, $^{65}$Zn, $^{64}$Cu, $^{58}$Co, and $^{60}$Co were detected. In the iron bolts, $^{56}$Mn, $^{54}$Mn, $^{59}$Fe, $^{60}$Co, $^{60}$Zn, and $^{65}$Zn were detected. In the stainless steel bolts, $^{56}$Co, $^{56}$Co, $^{56}$Mn, $^{54}$Mn, and $^{59}$Fe were detected. Neutron fluxes estimated from the activities of $^{56}$Mn agreed well with those by the Au foil method. The feasibility of estimating the neutron fluxes in cyclotron rooms by means of radioactive analysis of bolts in the rooms was proven.

1. Introduction

Positron Emission Tomography (PET) examinations are used world-wide for exploring brain function, the position of cancers, etc., as a non-invasive exploration method [1–3]. According to the Committee on PET Nuclear Medicine, the Japanese Society of Nuclear Medicine, the number of the PET cyclotrons totals 135 in July 2009 in Japan, and the number is still increasing (PET&PET 2009) [4]. As positron emission nuclides for the PET examination have quite short half-lives, they are mainly produced by on-site cyclotrons. Significant quantities of radiations are generated with the operation of the cyclotrons, i.e., gamma rays, bremsstrahlung photons, beta rays, and neutrons. Among them, the neutrons have the potential to activate the materials in the room, such as the cyclotron body; components around the cyclotron such as the target foils, target box, and shieldings, equipment in the room; as well as the walls, the ceiling and the floor of the room.

There are some reports for those radioactive by-products resulting from the operation of PET cyclotrons [5–9]. Those activated materials will ultimately become a certain amount of radioactive waste in maintaining or decommissioning the cyclotron. It is effective for assessing the amount of those activated materials to estimate the neutron fluxes and their distribution. The estimations will bring valuable information for appropriate shielding methods to reduce such a radioactive waste. Furthermore, the quantification of the effective dose of neutron in areas occupied by the medical staff provides effective safety information for the staff.

There are several reports about estimating the neutron flux around PET cyclotrons [10–15]. Obviously, the neutron flux is dependent on the acceleration voltage, the kind of the charged particle and the current, and the structure of the cyclotron and the room, etc., so it is not easy to generalize. However, assembling data from the neutron fluxes at those cyclotrons, including the data in this work, will provide effective knowledge to help with the design of PET cyclotrons and the rooms. The data assembly will contribute to improve radiation protection and to provide valuable estimates of the amount of radioactivity which can be induced. However, to measure the neutron flux is not so easy because it needs special instruments and techniques. There are insufficient instruments and techniques to measure neutrons in most hospitals. Without reliable estimation of the neutron intensity, most medical staff would rely only upon the reports estimated by the manufacturers of the cyclotron.

To evaluate the neutron fluxes is one of the measures to achieve radiation safety on the maintenance of the cyclotrons, to assess the amount of the radioactive wastes, and to estimate the exposures. A new technique is proposed to estimate the neutron fluxes in the cyclotron rooms, in which small bolts or screws in the cyclotron rooms are used as sur-
Table 1. Characteristics of the cyclotrons.

| Facility | (A) National Institute for Longevity Sciences | (B) Nagoya Rehabilitation Center | (C) Nagoya PET Imaging Center | (D) East Nagoya Imaging Diagnosis Center |
|----------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Model    | Cypris HM-18 Sumitomo Heavy Industries         | Cypris 370 Sumitomo Heavy Industries | Cyclone 18/9 IBA/JFE | Cyclone 10/5 IBA/JFE |
| Acceleration voltage | 18 MV(H−) 10 MV(D−) | 18 MV(H+) 10 MV(D+) | 18 MV(H−) 10 MV(D−) | 10 MV(H−) 5 MV(D−) |
| Mean charged particle current | 20 μA | 20 μA | 30 μA | 30 μA |
| Established | Nov 1995 | Jan 1990 | Oct 2007 | Oct 2001 |
| Main products | 18F:18O(p, n) 11C:14N(d, α) 15O:14N(d, n) | 18F:18O(p, n) 11C:14N(d, α) 15O:14N(d, n) | 18F:18O(p, n) 11C:14N(d, α) 15O:14N(d, n) | 18F:18O(p, n) 11C:14N(d, α) 15O:14N(d, n) |

The purpose of this study is to assess the feasibility of estimating the neutron fluxes in cyclotron rooms by means of radioactive analysis of the bolts in the rooms.

2. Materials and methods

The experiments were performed on four PET cyclotrons at medical facilities around the Nagoya region in Japan. The cyclotrons are used for producing radionuclides with short half-lives for PET examinations, i.e., 18F, 11C, and 15O. The characteristics of the cyclotrons are listed in Table 1. The acceleration voltage for proton for three of them was 18 MV and that for one of them was 10 MV. A photograph of one of them; Cypris 18/10 in Nagoya PET Imaging Center (facility A) is shown in Fig. 1. The plane views around the PET cyclotron rooms are illustrated in Fig. 2. All of the cyclotrons were housed in a room with 1.5–1.8 m thickness of concrete walls for shielding against the radiations.

Several bolts or screws on wall sockets or on accessories in the rooms were removed to analyze both the activities and the elementary compositions. Fig. 3 shows samples of the bolts and Au foils on an accessory in the cyclotron room at the facility A. The activities were measured with a well type high purity Ge (HPGe) detector (GWL-300-1S-15, Ortec). The compositions were analyzed using a fluorescent X-ray spectroscopy (ZSX100e, Rigaku), an electron probe micro analyzer (EPMA, JXA-8900RL WD/ED, JEOL), and an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES, OPTIMA 3300 RL, Perkin Elmer).

The method to calculate the neutron fluxes by the radioactive analysis of the bolts is mentioned below. The residual activity of a radionuclide in a bolt induced by i-th operation of a cyclotron, Ai (Bq), is expressed in an Eq. (1).

\[ A_i = \phi KN\sigma \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_i}\right) e^{-\lambda T_{wi}}. \]

where \( \phi \) (cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) is the neutron flux at the bolt, \( K \) is the abundance ratio of the target nuclide, \( N \) is the number of the atoms of the target element in the bolts, \( \sigma \) (cm\(^2\)) is the neutron cross section, \( \lambda \) (s\(^{-1}\)) is the decay constant of the induced nuclide, \( t_i \) (s) is the time of the i-th operation, and \( T_{wi} \) (s) is the time from the end of the i-th operation to the measurement of the activity. As the total activity of the induced nuclide in the bolt, \( A \) (Bq), is \( \sum A_i \), the neutron flux, \( \phi \), is,

\[ \phi = \frac{A}{K N\sigma \sum_i \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t_i}\right) e^{-\lambda T_{wi}}}. \]

To evaluate the thermal neutron fluxes in the cyclotron rooms, the gold foil activation method was carried out. Several pairs of Au foils were used for the method, each piece of the foil being 10 mm \( \times \) 10 mm and 0.1-mm thick. One of the pair was covered with 1-mm thickness of Cd plates to absorb thermal neutrons and hence detected the epithermal neutrons. The Au foils were placed near to the bolts during the operation of the cyclotron. After the operation the Au foils with relatively high activities were measured with a closed-ended coaxial type high-purity germanium detector (GEM-35190-S, Ortec), and the others were measured...
with the well type HPGe detector. The induced activities of $^{198}\text{Au}$ in the foils were analyzed from the gamma ray spectra. The thermal neutron flux, $\phi_{th}$, were computed using Eq. (3) [16].

$$\phi_{th} = \frac{R_{th}}{N \sigma} = \frac{R_{bare}}{N \sigma f(1 - F_{cd}(PR)_{cd})},$$  

(3)

where $R_{th}$ is the reaction rate of thermal neutron, $R_{bare}$ is the total reaction rate of the Au foil without Cd, $N$ is the number of Au atoms in the Au foil without Cd, $\sigma$ is the thermal neutron cross section (98.8 barn), $F_{cd}$ is the correction factor of thermal neutron, $(PR)_{cd}$ is the Cd ratio, $f$ is the perturbation factor, and $(TR)_{cd}$ is the thermal neutron translation rate of the Cd cover.

The experimental conditions are listed in Table 2, and the positions of the bolts and the Au foils are indicated in Fig. 2. The cyclotrons were routinely operated for 30–60 min in early morning to produce the radiopharmaceuticals for PET examination. The Au foils were put on in the room at the evening of the previous day of the operation. Several hours after the end of the operation while waiting for the decrease of the radiations in the room, the Au foils were collected and the bolts in the room were removed. The activities of the Au foils and the bolts were measured for $200 \sim 50\,000$ s with the HP Ge detectors.

### 3. Results and discussion

The elementary compositions of the bolts are shown in Table 3. Some bolts were made of nickel plated brass and others were made of iron or stainless steel. The brass bolts included Zn and Cu, the iron bolts included Fe, Mn, and Zn,
Table 3. Elemental compositions of the bolts.

| Exp. | (A) | (B) | (C) | (C) | (D) | (D) | (D) |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Bolt# | #1  | #2~#4 | #6, #7 | #8  | #9  | #10 | #10 (magnetic) |
| Material | Ni plated brass | Ni plated brass | Zn plated Fe | Fe | Ni plated brass | Stainless steel | Stainless steel |
| Meas. | Fluorescent X-ray | EPMA | EPMA, ICP-AES | EPMA | EPMA | EPMA | EPMA |
| Elemental composition (w/w%) | | | | | | | |
| Cu | 65 | 65 | – | – | 65 | 3.5 | – |
| Zn | 34 | 34 | 1.72 | – | 34 | – | – |
| Fe | – | – | 98 | 99 | – | 66 | 71 |
| Mn | – | – | 0.98 | 1 | – | 1.3 | 1 |
| Cr | – | – | – | – | – | 18 | 19 |
| Ni | – | – | – | – | – | 9.5 | 8 |
| Si | – | – | – | – | – | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Co | – | – | – | – | – | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| V | – | – | – | – | – | – | 0.3 |

a: EPMA; Electron Probe Micro Analyzer;  
b: ICP-AES; Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometer.

and the stainless steel bolts included Fe, Cr, Ni, Cu, Si, Co, and V.

The neutron fluxes in the cyclotron rooms during \(^{18}\)F production were evaluated \(2 \sim 9 \times 10^{5} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\) and the Cd ratios were within the range of 1.5 \sim 3.2 by means of the Au activation method. The Cd ratio suggested that the neutrons in the rooms were relatively thermalized, but there existed certain amount of epithermal and fast neutrons.

All of the bolts were measured twice; the first time measured as soon as possible to detect short half-life nuclides, and then measured again after several days to detect longer half-life nuclides. Fig. 4 indicates gamma-ray spectra of an iron bolt in facility C. Fig. 4a was the one measured 6.7 h after the last operation, and Fig. 4b was the other measured 21.6 d after. As a result of the gamma spectroscopy, the major radionuclides in the bolts are listed in Table 4. In the brass bolts, \(^{60m}\)Zn, \(^{65}\)Zn, \(^{64}\)Cu, \(^{58}\)Co, and \(^{60}\)Co were detected. In the iron bolts, \(^{56}\)Mn, \(^{54}\)Mn, \(^{59}\)Fe, \(^{59}\)Co, \(^{60m}\)Zn, and \(^{65}\)Zn were detected. In the stainless bolts, \(^{58}\)Co, \(^{56}\)Co, \(^{58}\)Mn, \(^{54}\)Mn, and \(^{59}\)Fe were detected. Table 5 lists the thermal neutron fluxes estimated from the Au activation method and those from radioactive analysis of brass bolts and Table 6 lists those from the Au method and those from radioactive analysis of iron and stainless bolts. The reason why the neutron fluxes were averaged at the bolt #6' and #7' in the facility C is as follows; the cyclotron has two target positions and the position was changed day by day. When the experiment was carried out, the target near to bolt #6 was used. The other target was near to bolt #7. Then when estimating the neutron fluxes from the activities of nuclides with longer half-lives, i.e., \(^{59}\)Fe and \(^{60}\)Zn, the comparable neutron fluxes evaluated from the Au foil method were averaged using the values from both places.

In facility A in Table 5, the neutron fluxes were relatively different from each other since the Au foils were placed somewhat apart from the bolts. In facility C and D, the neutron fluxes estimated from the activity of \(^{56}\)Mn in the iron bolts agreed with those evaluated by the Au foil activation method within 25% differences. Since the half-life of \(^{56}\)Mn is short at 2.6 h, the remaining activity in a bolt reflects only the last operation. The Au foils were irradiated at an operation that was actually the last operation. Therefore, the neutron fluxes estimated from the activities of \(^{56}\)Mn agreed with those evaluated by the Au foil method. On the other hand, the neutron fluxes estimated from the other nuclides were 1.2 \sim 2.4 times higher than those evaluated from the Au foil method. Those radionuclides reflected the operations from the past several days to several years depending on the half-lives. That should be one of the reasons for the differences of the neutron fluxes between the radioactive analysis of the bolts and the Au foil method. Another reason for the
Fig. 4. Spectra of the iron bolt #6 in the Nagoya PET Center. The upper one, (a), was measured 6.7 h after the operation, and (b) was 2.16 d after.

Table 4. Induced radionuclides and their activities in Bq at the end of operation.

| Exp. | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (D) |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Bolt | #1  | #4  | #6  | #9  | #10 |
| Material | Brass | Brass | Iron | Brass | Stainless steel |
| Weight (g) | 1.2571 | 0.6390 | 1.805 | 1.2934 | 3.2689 |
| $^{60}$Zn  | 0.58 ± 0.06 | 10.6 ± 0.5 | 0.13 ± 0.02 | 0.69 ± 0.03 | – |
| $^{65}$Zn  | 23.7 ± 0.3 | 51.9 ± 0.2 | 2.27 ± 0.03 | 19.2 ± 0.1 | – |
| $^{64}$Cu  | 216 ± 14 | 4270 ± 140 | – | 268 ± 6 | – |
| $^{50}$Co  | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 0.59 ± 0.03 | – | – | 0.15 ± 0.02 |
| $^{60}$Co | 0.029 ± 0.005 | 0.45 ± 0.02 | 0.033 ± 0.005 | – | 12.5 ± 0.1 |
| $^{56}$Mn | – | – | 119 ± 3 | – | 93 ± 3 |
| $^{54}$Mn | – | – | 0.08 ± 0.01 | – | 0.15 ± 0.02 |
| $^{59}$Fe | – | – | 1.88 ± 0.03 | – | 0.58 ± 0.04 |

differences may be that there were significant quantities of neutrons with relatively high energy in the rooms. One of the further assignments is to reduce the differences through the analysis of the neutron spectra.

According to some articles reported neutron spectra in the cyclotron vault room, there are significant quantity of fast neutrons [10–14]. The whole energy of neutrons will contribute the activation of the bolts. Although the Au foil
Those detectors require particular instruments. Most medium energy particle spectrometers were used in some reports [10–15]. Special detectors to measure neutrons, i.e., thermoluminescent dosimeters for neutron detection, and a Bonner sphere spectrometer were used in some reports [10–15]. The difference between the results from the Au foil activation method and from the activities of the iron and stainless bolts. The neutron fluxes are in 10^5 cm^-2 s^-1.

### Table 5. Thermal neutron flux estimated from the Au activation method and from the activities of the brass bolts. The neutron fluxes are in 10^5 cm^-2 s^-1.

| Exp. Bolt # | Au method | 64Cu | 60Co | 65Zn |
|-------------|------------|------|------|------|
|              | φ_n | φ_h | Ratio | φ_n | φ_h | Ratio |
| (A) #1       | 9.1 | 6.3 | 69    | –   | 4.6 | 51    |
| (B) #2       | 5.1 | 8.4 | 164   | 8.0 | 157 | 7.7   |
| #3           | 7.0 | 12  | 168   | 13  | 186 | 9.8   |
| #4           | 9.8 | 18  | 129   | 20  | 200 | 14    |
| #5           | 8.1 | 13  | 144   | 15  | 188 | 11    |
| (D) #9       | 1.5 | 2.3 | 157   | 2.7 | 186 | 3.5   |
| (E) #10      | 1.2 | 0.9 | 78    | 3   | 258 | –     |

a: Value when the neutron flux from the Au method equals 100; b: Average of the value of the position #6 and #7.

### Table 6. Thermal neutron flux estimated from the Au activation method and from the activities of the iron and stainless bolts. The neutron fluxes are in 10^5 cm^-2 s^-1.

| Exp. Bolt # | Au method | 56Mn | 59Fe | 65Zn |
|-------------|------------|------|------|------|
|              | φ_n | φ_h | Ratio | φ_n | φ_h | Ratio |
| (C) #6       | 11  | 7.8 | 74    | –   | 14  | 188  |
| #6'         | 7.3  | –  | –     | 12  | 169 | 13   |
| #7           | 4.1 | 3.1 | 75    | –   | –   | –    |
| #7'         | 7.3  | –  | –     | 12  | 169 | 13   |
| #8           | 9.8 | 8.2 | 122   | 15  | 223 | –    |
| (D) #10      | 1.2 | 0.9 | 78    | 3   | 258 | –     |

a: Value when the neutron flux from the Au method equals 100; b: Average of the value of the position #6 and #7.
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