Asparagine Repeats in *Plasmodium falciparum* Proteins: Good for Nothing?
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Malaria is a deadly parasitic human disease that poses a significant health risk for about 3.3 billion people in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world [1]. The past decade has seen significant progress in our understanding of the biology of the most deadly parasite species, *Plasmodium falciparum*. The groundwork for this progress was laid by genome sequencing efforts that produced a number of surprising features [2,3]. One striking aspect of this extreme AT-rich genome is the abundance of trinucleotide repeats (predominantly AAT) coding for asparagine [3]. The wealth of low-complexity regions in *P. falciparum* proteins had been known prior to sequencing of the genome but not the overabundance of simple amino acid repeats [4].

**Amino Acid Repeat Prevalence**

The random expansion of large amino acid repeats or low-complexity regions in proteomes are usually disfavored. While the effect of these repeats on the structure of the host protein depends on their amino acid compositions, low-complexity regions have a propensity to form loops or disordered structures [5]. Most sequenced genomes have a low abundance of amino acid repeats. However, there are exceptions, notably the amoebo*Dictyostelium discoideum* and the deadly human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* [2,6].

The proteome of *D. discoideum* is rich in polyglutamine and polyasparagine runs of 20 or more residues, found in more than 2,000 proteins [6]. These repeats are overrepresented in certain protein families such as kinases, transcription factors, RNA helicases, and spliceosome components, leading to the suggestion that the expansion of low-complexity regions may be under positive selection [6]. In the case of *P. falciparum*, the repeats are present in about 30% of the proteome and are primarily composed of asparagine residues [7]. The average size of such low-complexity regions is about 37 residues [8]. The asparagine-rich, low-complexity regions in *P. falciparum* are found in all protein families in all developmental stages; they are underrepresented in heat shock proteins and surface antigens [7]. Interestingly, asparagine repeats are rare in other *Plasmodium* species, even though some are as AT-rich as *P. falciparum*. The exception (see below) is the *P. falciparum*-related chimpanzee malaria species *P. reichenowi*, whose genome has not yet been completed, but has nearly identical asparagine repeats where comparisons have been made.

**Consequences of Asparagine Repeats in Proteins**

Proteins with large stretches of asparagine repeats have a propensity to form insoluble aggregates, even more so than those with glutamine repeats [9]. Indeed, a large-scale survey of prionogenic proteins in yeast found several proteins that contain asparagine-rich, low-complexity regions and form intracellular aggregates [10]. Formation of insoluble aggregates is not deleterious in all cases [11,12]. Such protein aggregates have been shown to be important for mediating the inheritance of several phenotypes in yeast [13], persistence of synaptic facilitation in mammals [14], and antiviral innate immunity [15].

In contrast, unregulated aggregation of proteins is harmful and has been associated with various neurodegenerative diseases, systemic amyloidoses, and type II diabetes [12]. Aggregation can lead to cell death by propagating aberrant interactions and by puncturing the cell membrane [16,17]. In light of this data, the rampant presence of asparagine repeat sequences in 20–30% of all *P. falciparum* proteins is puzzling.

**Heat Shock and Asparagine Repeat–Containing Proteins in *P. falciparum***

The life cycle of *P. falciparum* forces the organism through drastic changes in its environment. Every time the parasite passes from its mosquito vector to its human host and vice versa it faces changing temperatures, with the insect at room temperature and the human at 37°C. A hallmark feature of malaria is cyclical fevers that can last several hours and can exceed 40°C. Heat shock increases the propensity of proteins to unfold and misfold, leading to the formation of aggregates. Given the abundance of asparagine repeat–containing proteins in *P. falciparum*, if all or most of them aggregated during heat shock, it would certainly lead the parasite’s demise. However, that is not the case as this is a very successful parasite that has learned to expertly navigate the changing temperature landscape despite the handicap of its asparagine repeat–rich proteome. Learning how the parasite does this holds great promise since it could inform research on how to prevent aggregation of proteins associated with human diseases.

It is possible that the parasite asparagine repeat proteins somehow intrinsically do not aggregate. We tested this hypothesis by expressing a parasite protein that contains an 83-residue asparagine repeat (PF3D7_0923500, Figure 1) in mammalian cells and comparing its aggregation properties to the well-studied asparagine/glutamine-rich prion-forming domain from the yeast.
Figure 1. The protein with the largest single continuous stretch of asparagines in the proteome of *P. falciparum*. A protein with distant homology to the regulatory subunit of cell cycle kinase, CDK2, has a stretch of 83 asparagines. Asparagine residues constitute 42% of the primary sequence and it has two asparagine-rich regions (highlighted in blue).

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003488.g001

Figure 2. Proposed role of *P. falciparum* Hsp110 and other chaperones as capacitors for evolutionary change. Malaria parasite proteins with asparagine repeat–containing sequences have a greater risk of aggregation. *P. falciparum* Hsp110c, possibly with the help of other chaperones, negates much of this risk, thereby allowing these loop-like regions to mutate. Over time these mutations can give rise to novel protein domains, allowing the parasite to develop new functionalities such as drug resistance and new pathogenic factors.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003488.g002
translation termination factor, Sup35. Both proteins formed cellular aggregates at 37°C and even more so when incubated at 40°C for a few hours [18]. When both proteins were episomally expressed in P. falciparum, no aggregate formation was observed at either temperature, suggesting that parasite chaperones are exceptionally good at preventing aggregation. Indeed, the cytoplasmic P. falciparum heat shock protein 110 (PfHsp110c) was 15 to 30 times better than its yeast or human orthologs at surviving even brief heat shock or prevent aggregation of asparagine repeat proteins in mammalian cells [18]. When the function of PfHsp110c was knocked down in parasites, they were unable to prevent the aggregation of asparagine repeat proteins in mammalian cells [18]. It is likely that PfHsp110c isn’t the only parasite chaperone that is better than its homologs at preventing aggregation. Chaperones always act in concert, so it is possible that other P. falciparum heat shock proteins have also evolved to be exceptionally good at preventing protein aggregation. Further research will shed light on this. The data also shows that malarial chaperones may be excellent targets for drug development, as shutting them down will turn the parasitic protein against itself. This work has shown us how the parasite is able to thrive in its fluctuating environment with such a dangerous proteome, but it does not answer why it has such a weird proteome.

**Is There a Functional Role for Asparagine Repeats in P. falciparum Proteins?**

Why does P. falciparum have a proteome abundant in proteins containing asparagine repeats? One obvious answer is that there is a selective advantage or a function that these repeats provide to the parasite. Several hypotheses have been posed. It has been suggested that asparagine repeats act as tRNA sponges [19] or that they have a role in immune evasion and antigenic variation [20,21] or protein-protein interactions [22]. However, a functional answer seems unlikely since these repeats are present in almost all protein families involved in every metabolic pathway. If there were a specific function for asparagine repeats, they should be enriched in proteins involved in that pathway.

There has been one experimental study that looked at the cellular function of an asparagine repeat in a specific protein. Parasite lines were generated in which a single 28-asparagine repeat in the essential proteasome component, Rpn6, was deleted from the genomic locus [23]. We did not observe any difference between wild-type Rpn6 and the deletion mutant when comparing their expression profiles, protein lifetime, cellular localization, function, and protein-protein interactions. Stressing these parasite lines via heat shock did not reveal any differences either [23]. This suggests that, at least in the case of Rpn6, the asparagine repeat does not have a cellular role.

**Evolution of Asparagine Repeats in the P. falciparum Genome**

Bioinformatics analyses suggest that asparagine repeats are primarily being spread in the genome via a DNA-based mechanism, most likely unequal crossover and replication slippage that happens due to the AT-rich nature of the repeats [8,24]. It is possible then that the abundance of asparagine repeats in the parasite proteome is just an accident of evolution that happened because there is no selective pressure against its propagation. This seems unlikely given the preponderance of evidence showing that asparagine repeat–containing proteins have a greater propensity to aggregate [9] and given the ability of P. falciparum to delete unwanted genes and many introns [25]. Others have suggested based on statistical analyses that there is positive selective pressure that specifically promotes the expansion of asparagine repeats within the proteome of P. falciparum [26]. Our data suggests that the parasite chaperones are exceptionally good at preventing aggregation and therefore neutralize the negative selective pressure against the expansion of asparagine-rich regions in the proteome of P. falciparum. We hypothesize that the P. falciparum Hsp110 acts as a capacitor for positive evolutionary change, allowing the propagation of asparagine repeats in the proteome that can then evolve into new protein domains with novel functions (Figure 2) [18,27]. In the absence of evolutionary and functional evidence, one is left to imagine that repeats like the first one in Figure 1 have started this journey.

It is likely that the last common ancestor of P. falciparum and P. reichenowi was the origin of these expanded asparagine repeats. Completion of P. reichenowi genome sequencing and annotation will shed light on their evolution. Detailed informatics analysis of the few polymorphisms in P. falciparum repeats between different strains of this species may also tell us if these features are hotspots for evolutionary change. Understanding the expansion of asparagine repeats will provide vital insights into parasite evolution that could be utilized to tackle this deadly disease.
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