Analysis of surgery for incurable gastric cancer
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Abstract

**Background:** It is important to evaluate the curability of and avoid unnecessary exploratory surgery for gastric cancer preoperatively. However, no related research has been reported until now. The aim of this study was to evaluate the factors influencing surgery for incurable gastric cancer.

**Methods:** 310 cases of T3–4 gastric cancer patients were analyzed retrospectively, including 141 cases with radical surgery and 169 with surgery for incurable gastric cancer. The incurable factors were categorized as T status (unresectable T4 tumor), N status (unresectable lymph node), peritoneal metastasis, and distant metastasis. $\chi^2$ test and logistic regression were performed to analyze the associations between curability, T status, N status, peritoneal metastasis, or distant metastasis and clinicopathological data.

**Results:** Esophageal involvement and T grade were associated with curability. Cardia involvement and Borrmann type were associated with T status. Esophageal involvement and T grade were associated with N status. Gastric body involvement, esophageal involvement, and T grade were associated with peritoneal metastasis. Gastric antrum involvement was associated with distant metastasis.

**Conclusions:** The influencing factors of surgery for incurable gastric cancer should be analyzed preoperatively. Resectability should be evaluated according to these influencing factors combined with imaging analysis.
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Background

Gastric cancer is one of the most frequently diagnosed cancers worldwide. According to GLOBOCAN 2012, 952,000 new cases of gastric cancer were estimated to have occurred in 2012 (6.8% of the total cancer burden) and gastric cancer ranks fifth among most common malignancies. Approximately 24% of gastric cancer cases occurred in China \cite{1}, and the disease is the second most common cancer in China \cite{2,3}. Gastric cancer is the third leading cause of cancer-related death globally. Over 95% of cases have an adenocarcinoma histology, and the disease is often diagnosed at an advanced stage.

The prognosis of gastric cancer has improved with advances in surgical techniques, chemoradiotherapy, and molecular targeted therapy \cite{4}. However, the long-term outcomes of patients with gastric cancer remain poor, particularly for those with advanced disease. The 5-year survival rate in patients with early gastric cancer is 85–100%, while it is only 5–20% for advanced gastric cancer patients. Surgery is still the cornerstone of gastric cancer treatment, and locoregional control is important \cite{5}. However, the R0 resection rate for gastric cancer is only 66.7% with surgery alone \cite{6}. There is no benefit for patients with incurable disease to undergo surgical treatment. Therefore, it is important to evaluate the curability of and avoid unnecessary exploratory surgery for gastric cancer preoperatively. However, no related research has been reported until now.

In our study, the clinicopathological data for gastric cancer for surgery were reviewed. The associations between these clinicopathological factors and curability of gastric cancer were analyzed. Simultaneously, the incurable factors were classified as T status (unresectable T4 tumor), N status (unresectable lymph node), peritoneal metastasis, and distant metastasis. The related clinicopathological factors for each incurable factor were
analyzed. This study could provide a reference for the curability evaluation of gastric cancer.

**Methods**

**Patients**

A total of 1961 cases of primary gastric cancer were treated by surgery at Zhejiang Cancer Hospital (Hangzhou, China) from January 2007 to December 2009. Among them, 1790 cases (91.3%) received curative resection, which was defined as absence of tumor macroscopically after operation. One hundred seventy-one cases (8.7%) were incurable (45 cases underwent palliative resection, and 126 cases underwent exploratory or bypass surgery). Two cases were excluded from the 171 incurable cases, because they had other cancers simultaneously. Therefore, 169 incurable cases were analyzed. All of the incurable cases were grades T3–4. Meanwhile, 141 cases with pathological T3–4 stages who underwent radical surgery from January 2007 to August 2007 were chosen as the control group. All cases had undergone both computed tomography (CT) and endoscopy preoperatively. Cases with other cancers simultaneously were excluded from this research.

**Data collection**

The clinicopathological data (gender, age, surgery properties, tumor region, esophageal involvement, duodenal involvement, Borrmann type, pathologic type, grading of gastric cancer, and T grade) of all gastric cancer cases with surgery for incurable disease and those with radical surgery were collected. However, not all cases had data for the Borrmann type or grading of gastric cancer. The Borrmann type data were complete in 223 cases, and the grading data were complete in 262 cases.

The tumor staging was determined according to the 2002 American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC). The TNM stage system and T grade were applied according to WHO guidelines. Pathological T was used in radical or palliative resection cases. For those cases who had undergone exploratory or bypass surgery, pathological T was impossible; therefore, surgical staging was used. The presence or absence of lymph node metastasis was evaluated according to the tumor node metastasis classification based on the postoperative histopathologic examination. Incurable factors were categorized as T status (unresectable T4 tumor), N status (unresectable lymph node), peritoneal metastasis, and distant metastasis.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The statistical analyses were as follows:

Step 1: The associations between curability, T status, N status, peritoneal metastasis, or distant metastasis and clinicopathological data were analyzed by chi-square test.

Step 2: Binary logistic regression (backward: conditional method) was performed using curability, T status, N status, peritoneal metastasis, and distant metastasis as dependent variables and the related clinicopathological data from step 1 (except Borrmann type and grading) as covariates, because some cases had no available Borrmann type or grading data.

Step 3: If Borrmann type or grading factor was the related factor, binary logistic regression was then performed again using curability, T status, N status, peritoneal metastasis, or distant metastasis as dependent variables and the related clinicopathological data from step 2 plus Borrmann type or grading as covariates in those cases with complete Borrmann type or grading factor.

Step 4: If Borrmann type or grading factor was not the related factor by binary logistic regression from step 3, the results in step 2 were the final result. However, if Borrmann type or grading factor was the related factor, the results in step 3 were the final result.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

310 cases of gastric cancer were included in our study. Of these cases, 141 underwent radical surgery and 169 cases underwent surgery for incurable disease (44 cases underwent palliative resection, and 125 cases underwent exploratory or bypass surgery). The mean age was 58.49 ± 10.28 years (range 29 to 61 years) in the radical surgery group and 56.75 ± 11.57 years (range 27 to 77 years) in the incurable surgery group (p = 0.167). The radical surgery group consisted of 106 male cases (75.2%) and 35 female cases (24.8%), and the incurable surgery group consisted of 120 male cases (71.0%) and 49 female cases (29.0%) (p = 0.411). One or more factors existed in each incurable case. They were categorized as T status in 97 cases, N status in 53 cases, peritoneal metastasis in 103 cases, and distant metastasis in 19 cases (hepatic metastasis in 17 cases, supraclavicular lymph node metastasis in 2 cases). Borrmann type data were complete in 223 cases, and grading data were complete in 262 cases.

**Associations between curability, T status, N status, peritoneal metastasis, or distant metastasis and clinicopathological factors**

Gender, age, surgical properties, tumor region, esophageal involvement, duodenal involvement, pathologic type, and T grade were analyzed in 310 cases, Borrmann type was analyzed in 223 cases, and the grading of
gastric cancer was analyzed in 262 cases. The results showed that cardia involvement, gastric antrum involvement, esophageal involvement, T grade, Borrmann type, and grading of gastric cancer were associated with curability in univariate analysis by chi-square test (Table 1).

Cardia involvement, gastric antrum involvement, esophageal involvement, duodenal involvement, T grade, N status, peritoneal metastasis, and Borrmann type were associated with T status. Cardia involvement, esophageal involvement, T grade, and Borrmann type were associated with N status (Table 2). Age, gastric body involvement, the number of regions, esophageal involvement, signet ring cell carcinoma, T grade, Borrmann type, and grading were associated with peritoneal metastasis. Gastric antrum involvement was associated with distant metastasis. The number of regions was nearly related to distant metastasis (Table 3).

**Table 1** Associations between curability and clinicopathological data

|                         | Curability (n = 310) |         |   |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------|---|
|                         | Yes                  | No      | p |
| Gender                  |                      |         |   |
| Male                    | 106                  | 120     | 0.411 |
| Female                  | 35                   | 49      |   |
| Age                     |                      |         |   |
| <60 years               | 72                   | 96      | 0.312 |
| ≥60 years               | 69                   | 73      |   |
| Cardia                  |                      |         |   |
| Not involved            | 86                   | 143     | 0.000** |
| Involved                | 55                   | 26      |   |
| Gastric body            |                      |         |   |
| Not involved            | 65                   | 75      | 0.762 |
| Involved                | 76                   | 94      |   |
| Gastric antrum          |                      |         |   |
| Not involved            | 72                   | 51      | 0.000** |
| Involved                | 69                   | 118     |   |
| Number of regions       |                      |         |   |
| 1                       | 84                   | 106     | 0.355 |
| 2                       | 55                   | 57      |   |
| 3                       | 2                    | 6       |   |
| Esophagus               |                      |         |   |
| Not involved            | 97                   | 161     | 0.000** |
| Involved                | 44                   | 8       |   |
| Duodenum                |                      |         |   |
| No                      | 136                  | 157     | 0.171 |
| Yes                     | 5                    | 12      |   |
| Signet ring cell carcinoma |                |         |   |
| No                      | 111                  | 124     | 0.121 |
| Partly                  | 26                   | 31      |   |
| Mainly                  | 4                    | 14      |   |
| T grade                 |                      |         |   |
| 3                       | 136                  | 72      | 0.000** |
| 4                       | 5                    | 97      |   |
| Borrmann type           |                      |         |   |
| I + II                  | 49                   | 33      | 0.000** |
| III                     | 86                   | 28      |   |
| IV                      | 6                    | 21      |   |
| Grading                 |                      |         |   |
| Well and moderately differentiated | |         |   |
| Poorly differentiated   | 20                   | 9       | 0.046* |
|                         | 115                  | 118     |   |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

**Multivariate analyses for curability, T status, N status, peritoneal metastasis, and distant metastasis**

Esophageal involvement and T grade were associated with curability in 310 cases in multivariate analysis by logistic regression. Next, the relationships of Borrmann type, esophageal involvement, and T grade with curability were analyzed in 223 cases by logistic regression. The relationship between grading, esophageal involvement, or T grade with incurable factors was analyzed in 223 cases by logistic regression. The results showed that both esophageal involvement and the T grade were associated with curability (Table 4).

Cardia involvement and duodenal involvement were nearly related to the T status in 310 cases in multivariate analysis by logistic regression. Next, the relationships of Borrmann type, cardia involvement, and duodenal involvement with incurable factors were analyzed in 223
cases by logistic regression. The results showed that duodenal involvement was not related to incurable factors in multivariate analysis. However, cardia involvement and Borrmann type were associated with T status (Table 5).

Esophageal involvement and T grade were related to N status in 310 cases in multivariate analysis by logistic regression. The relationships of Borrmann type, esophageal involvement, and T grade with N status were analyzed in 223 cases by logistic regression. The results showed that esophageal involvement and T grade were associated with N status (Table 6).

Gastric body involvement, esophageal involvement, and T grade were related to peritoneal metastasis in 310 cases in multivariate analysis by logistic regression. The relationships of grading, gastric body involvement, esophageal involvement, and T grade with peritoneal metastasis were analyzed in 223 cases by logistic regression. The results showed that gastric body involvement, esophageal involvement, and T grade were associated with peritoneal metastasis (Table 7).

Gastric antrum involvement was associated with distant metastasis in 310 cases in multivariate analysis by logistic regression (Table 8).

**Discussion**

Although incurable gastrectomy may lead to a higher quality of life and longer survival time in some reports [7–10], the data are still disputable [11, 12]. Incurable resection is associated with significant perioperative morbidity and mortality as well as a limited overall

### Table 2: Associations between the T status or N status and clinicopathological data

|                      | T status |         |         | N status |         |         |
|----------------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
|                      | No       | Yes     | p       | No       | Yes     | p       |
| Gender               |          |         |         |          |         |         |
| Male                 | 155      | 71      | 0.938   | 187      | 39      | 0.902   |
| Female               | 58       | 26      | 0.915   | 70       | 14      | 0.933   |
| Age      | <60 years | 115     | 53      | 0.915   | 139     | 29      | 0.933   |
| ≥60 years | 98      | 44      | 0.915   | 118      | 24      | 0.933   |
| Cardia Not involved | 142      | 87      | 0.000** | 184      | 45      | 0.045   |
| Cardia Involved      | 71       | 10      | 0.000** | 73       | 8       | 0.000** |
| Involved             | 120      | 50      | 0.000** | 139      | 31      | 0.000** |
| Gastric body Involved| 99       | 24      | 0.000** | 106      | 17      | 0.214   |
| Gastric antrum No    | 127      | 63      | 0.657   | 159      | 31      | 0.326   |
| Gastric antrum Involved| 80   | 32      | 0.012   | 80       | 22      | 0.012   |
| Number of regions 1  | 6        | 2       | 0.000** | 8        | 0       | 0.000** |
| Number of regions 2  | 64       | 29      | 0.000** | 64       | 29      | 0.000** |
| Number of regions 3  | 64       | 29      | 0.000** | 64       | 29      | 0.000** |
| Esophagus No Involved| 166      | 92      | 0.000** | 207      | 51      | 0.005   |
| Esophagus Involved   | 47       | 5       | 0.000** | 47       | 5       | 0.000** |
| Duodenum No          | 206      | 87      | 0.012   | 243      | 50      | 0.051   |
| Duodenum Yes         | 7        | 10      | 0.012   | 7        | 10      | 0.012   |
| Signet ring cell carcinoma No | 160 | 75 | 0.838 | 193 | 42 | 0.787 |
| Signet ring cell carcinoma Partly | 41 | 16 | 0.838 | 49 | 8 | 0.838 |
| Signet ring cell carcinoma Mainly | 12 | 6 | 0.838 | 15 | 3 | 0.838 |
| T grade 3            | 208      | 97      | 0.000** | 187      | 21      | 0.000   |
| T grade 4            | 5        | 97      | 0.000** | 70       | 32      | 0.000   |
| Borrmann type I + II | 70       | 12      | 0.000** | 76       | 6       | 0.002** |
| Borrmann type III    | 98       | 16      | 0.000   | 108      | 6       | 0.000   |
| Borrmann type IV     | 12       | 15      | 12       | 15       | 20      | 7       |
| Grading Well and moderately differentiated | 24 | 5 | 0.275 | 26 | 3 | 0.404 |
| Grading Poorly differentiated | 171 | 62 | 0.275 | 195 | 38 | 0.275 |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01
survival; therefore, it should be performed judiciously [11]. According to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines version 1.2014, gastric resections should be reserved for the palliation of symptoms (e.g., obstruction or uncontrollable bleeding) in patients with incurable disease. In fact, in most cases, the decision of palliative resection was made when the tumor was found to be incurable by surgery in those patients scheduled for potentially curative resection. Over the last few decades, surgery as the sole form of treatment has been replaced by different forms of multidisciplinary treatment for gastric cancer worldwide. The R0 resection rate has been significantly increased with neoadjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer [6].

| Gender     | Peritoneal metastasis | Distant metastasis |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|            | No        | Yes | χ² | p   | No | Yes | χ² | p   |
| Male       | 153 | 73 | 0.322 | 0.571 | 211 | 15 | 0.374 | 0.541 |
| Female     | 54  | 30 | 0    | 0    | 80  | 4  | 0    | 0    |
| Age <60 years | 104 | 64 | 3.92 | 0.048 | 155 | 13 | 1.65 | 0.199 |
| ≥60 years  | 103 | 39 |      |      | 136 | 6  |      |      |
| Cardia     | Not involved | 148 | 81 | 1.818 | 0.178 | 214 | 15 | 0.27 | 0.603 |
|            | Involved    | 59  | 22  |      |      | 77  | 4  |      |      |
| Gastric body | Not involved | 108 | 32 | 12.371 | 0.000** | 130 | 10 | 0.456 | 0.499 |
|            | Involved    | 99  | 71  |      |      | 161 | 9  |      |      |
| Gastric antrum | Not involved | 81  | 42  | 0.078 | 0.780 | 120 | 3  | 4.826 | 0.028 |
|            | Involved    | 126 | 61  |      |      | 171 | 16 |      |      |
| Number of regions | 1 | 132 | 58 | 7.045 | 0.030* | 179 | 11 | 5.106 | 0.078 |
|            | 2          | 73  | 39  |      |      | 106 | 6  |      |      |
|            | 3          | 2   | 6   |      |      | 6   | 2  |      |      |
| Esophagus  | Not involved | 162 | 96 | 11.001 | 0.001** | 240 | 18 | 1.921 | 0.166 |
|            | Involved    | 45  | 7   |      |      | 51  | 1  |      |      |
| Duodenum   | No         | 194 | 99  | 0.762 | 0.383 | 276 | 17 | 0.993 | 0.319 |
|            | Yes        | 13  | 4   |      |      | 15  | 2  |      |      |
| Signet ring cell carcinoma | No | 164 | 71 | 5.748 | 0.056 | 220 | 15 | 1.28 | 0.527 |
|            | Partly     | 35  | 22  |      |      | 53  | 4  |      |      |
|            | Mainly     | 8   | 10  |      |      | 18  | 0  |      |      |
| T grade    | 3          | 153 | 55  | 13.111 | 0.000** | 198 | 10 | 1.918 | 0.166 |
|            | 4          | 54  | 48  |      |      | 93  | 9  |      |      |
| Borrmann type | I + II | 64  | 18  | 16.338 | 0.000** | 77  | 5  | 1.488 | 0.475 |
|            | III        | 96  | 18  |      |      | 111 | 3  |      |      |
|            | IV         | 13  | 14  |      |      | 26  | 1  |      |      |
| Grading    | Well and moderately differentiated | 25  | 4   | 3.822 | 0.051 | 28  | 1  | 0.497 | 0.481 |
|            | Poorly differentiated | 160 | 73  |      |      | 217 | 16 |      |      |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01
However, the evaluation of curability for gastric cancer is not completely accurate based on current imaging technology. The current gold standard for T staging is endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS), which has an accuracy between 65 and 92 % [13] and a sensitivity and specificity of 88 and 100 % for T1, 82 and 96 % for T2, 90 and 95 % for T3, and 99 and 97 % for T4, respectively [14]. Multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT) for T staging is less accurate than EUS, although the sensitivity and specificity of serosa involvement are similar to those of EUS [13, 14]. A meta-analysis involving nine studies utilizing positron emission tomography (PET) to evaluate gastric cancer reported that, despite the inability to stage gastric cancer by tumor depth, PET has a pooled primary tumor detection rate of 80 % in identifying the existence of gastric cancer [15]. The sensitivity and specificity for N staging using EUS are approximately 50–60 and 85–95 % [14], respectively, and MDCT is not superior to EUS [13, 14]. PET can evaluate node metabolism using the standardized uptake value (SUV) in addition to acquiring the size of the lymph nodes. However, the mean SUV noted for N staging can also vary, with overall values ranging from 4.5 to 6.8 and an overall accuracy ranging from 17.7 to 79.2 % [15]. The role of PET/CT is limited in T staging of primary tumors due to its low spatial resolution, preventing the evaluation of adjacent organ invasion [16]. For N staging, PET/CT is considered to have similar diagnostic performance to that of contrast-enhanced CT [17]. CT was superior to PET in terms of sensitivity ($p < 0.0001$), and PET was superior to CT in terms of specificity ($p < 0.0001$) and the positive predictive value (PPV) ($p = 0.05$) [18].

For the preoperative diagnosis of peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC) of gastric cancer origin, useful imaging techniques include ultrasonography, CT, magnetic resonance imaging, and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET-CT (FDG PET-CT), but all of these imaging techniques have major limitations in diagnosing PC because of the low-volume density of peritoneal nodules. Concerning PC of gastric cancer origin, Yang et al. [19] reported a PET-CT accuracy of 87 %, with a sensitivity and specificity of 72.7 and 93.6 %, respectively, which were better than those for CT; however, for primary gastric cancer and lymph node metastases, the accuracy for PET-CT is 54 %. CT is not accurate (8–17 % of sensitivity), particularly for malignant granulations less than 5 mm in diameter and small bowel nodulations. Due to the low accuracy provided by the imaging, the main diagnostic methods currently used to evaluate the peritoneal surface are diagnostic laparoscopy or laparotomy and peritoneal cytological examination, which show greater accuracies in diagnosing PC [20].

Therefore, the risk factors of surgery for incurable gastric cancer need to be identified to evaluate curability. However, no related research has been reported until now. To our knowledge, the present study may be the first to evaluate the risk factors for surgery of incurable gastric cancer.

Our study found that esophageal involvement and T grade were associated with curability. Cardia involvement and Borrmann type were associated with T status.

**Table 5** Multivariate analyses for the T status

| T status | OR | p   | aOR | p   |
|----------|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Cardia   |    |     |     |     |
| Not involved | 1 | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| Involved  | 0.263 (0.099–0.703) | 0.008 | 0.275 (0.101–0.748) | 0.011* |
| Borrmann type |    |     |     |     |
| I + II   | 1  | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| III      | 0.952 (0.424–2.138) | 0.906 | 0.832 (0.363–1.908) | 0.665 |
| IV       | 2.700 (1.658–4.397) | 0    | 2.767 (1.647–4.650) | 0.000** |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

**Table 6** Multivariate analyses for the N status

| N status | OR | p   | aOR | p   |
|----------|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Esophageal |    |     |     |     |
| Not involved | 1 | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| Involved  | 0.162 (0.038–0.689) | 0.014 | 0.220 (0.051–0.951) | 0.043* |
| T grade   |    |     |     |     |
| 3         | 1  | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 4         | 4.071 (2.201–7.531) | 0    | 3.581 (1.921–6.676) | 0.000** |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01
Esophageal involvement and T grade were associated with N status. Gastric body involvement, esophageal involvement, and T grade were associated with peritoneal metastasis. Gastric antrum involvement was associated with distant metastasis.

T grade is an important factor in tumor evaluation. According to the AJCC international staging standard, the T grade can be classified as T1 to T4. Patients who present with T4 gastric cancer (~20% of the patient population) will benefit from aggressive en bloc surgical resection and should not be considered to have unresectable tumors [21]. However, some T4 tumors were unresectable, forming the T status of surgery for incurable gastric cancer. The risk of regional nodal involvement increases with deep penetration through the gastric wall [22], and the nodal extension of the cancer occurs gradually, radiating from the primary location via the lymphatic system [23, 24]. Nodal metastases are observed in 3–5% of gastric carcinomas limited to the mucosa. Of these nodal metastases, 11–25% extend to the submucosa, 50% of the latter reach the muscularis (T2), and 83% of the latter extend to the serosa (T3) [25, 26]. Our study revealed that T grade is correlated with the N status and peritoneal dissemination with surgery for incurable disease.

Esophageal involvement is a protective factor for surgery for incurable disease and N status and peritoneal dissemination with surgery for incurable disease. Cardia involvement is a protective T factor for surgery for incurable disease likely because esophageal or cardia involvement can cause digestive tract obstruction and can be diagnosed earlier. Moreover, even if the tissues at the gastroesophageal junction are invaded, they can be resected easily.

The Borrmann type is divided into four types, and they infiltrate in different ways. We discovered that the Borrmann type is related to the T status for surgery for incurable disease.

The penetration and dissemination of gastric cancer cells into the peritoneal cavity is referred to as PC [27], which is considered stage IV disease. Peritoneal dissemination occurs more frequently than do hematogenous metastases. The prognosis of gastric cancer patients with PC is poor. Currently, in the intraoperative abdominal examination, peritoneal seeding is found in 10–20% of patients scheduled for potentially curative resection and in 40% of those at stage II–III [28–30]. Cell distribution into the peritoneal cavity is also dependent on physical factors: the tumor primary site, effects of gravity, the presence of fluids (e.g., ascites and mucus), and intrinsic biological aggressiveness [31].

We discovered that gastric body involvement and T grade were risk factors for peritoneal dissemination. Peritoneal dissemination occurs only when the cancer invades into the serosa, particularly when the gastric body is involved. Esophageal involvement is a protective factor for peritoneal dissemination with surgery for incurable disease. Esophageal involvement can cause digestive tract obstruction and be diagnosed earlier. Cancer more readily invades the tissue around the gastroesophageal junction than the peritoneal cavity, even if the serosa has been invaded.

Our study revealed that gastric antrum involvement is a risk factor for distant metastasis. The blood from the gastric antrum flows to the portal vein through the right gastric vein, possibly leading to liver metastasis.

We believe that the factors influencing surgery for incurable disease should be analyzed preoperatively. Resectability should be evaluated according to these influencing factors combined with imaging analysis. Laparoscopic exploration is feasible when gastric cancer is potentially incurable by surgery.

### Conclusions

Esophageal involvement and the T grade were associated with curability. Cardia involvement and Borrmann type

---

**Table 7 Multivariate analyses for peritoneal metastasis**

|                | Peritoneal metastasis |     |     | p   |     | aOR |     | p   |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Gastric body   | Not involved          | 1   |     | 1   |     |     |     |     |
|                | Involved              | 2.420 (1.470–3.985) | 0.001 | 2.576 (1.531–4.334) | 0.000** |
| Esophageal     | Not involved          | 1   |     | 1   |     |     |     |     |
|                | Involved              | 0.263 (0.114–0.605) | 0.002 | 0.307 (0.130–0.728) | 0.000** |
| T grade        | 3                     |     |     | 1   |     |     |     |     |
|                | 4                     | 2.473 (1.506–4.061) | 0     | 2.348 (1.397–3.946) | 0.000** |

**Table 8 Multivariate analyses for distant metastasis**

|                | Distant metastasis |     |     | p   |
|----------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Gastric antrum | Not involved      | 1   |     |     |
|                | Involved          | 3.743 (1.067–13.129) | 0.039* |

*p < 0.05
were associated with the T status. Esophageal involvement and the T grade were associated with the N status. Gastric body involvement, esophageal involvement, and the T grade were associated with peritoneal metastasis. Gastric antrum involvement was associated with distant metastasis. The factors influencing surgery for incurable disease should be analyzed preoperatively. Resectability should be evaluated according to these influencing factors combined with imaging analysis.
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