Subclinical biventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with systemic sclerosis
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Abstract

Objective: Silent myocardial involvement is associated with poor prognosis in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc). Here we aimed to evaluate the subclinical left ventricular (LV) and right ventricular (RV) systolic dysfunction in patients with SSc without any cardiovascular diseases, by using both strain imaging methods, speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) and real-time 3D echocardiography (RT3DE).

Methods: A total of 47 patients with SSc and 20 age- and gender-matched healthy controls (HC) were studied. Conventional echocardiography, STE-based strain imaging, and real-time 3D echocardiography (Bothell, WA, USA) were performed to assess the biventricular deformation. Clinical and serological findings were sought.

Results: Conventional echocardiographic LV measurements were similar between SSc and HC. Both the LV and RV longitudinal peak systolic strain/rate were significantly impaired in SSc, demonstrating subclinical LV and RV systolic dysfunction (p≤0.001). Systolic pulmonary artery pressure (SPAP) was negatively correlated with both the LV and RV longitudinal peak systolic strain/rate (LV, r=−0.554 and r=−0.642, respectively, p<0.001; and RV, r=−0.554 and r=−0.642, respectively, p=0.001). There was a trend for decreasing LV strain and increasing LVEDV in a 1-year analysis of patients with SSc.

Conclusion: SSc is associated with myocardial systolic dysfunction. A deformation scrutiny conducted by both the STE-based strain imaging and end-systolic LV volume analysis by real-time 3D echocardiography are promising modalities that allow us for non-invasive, comprehensive investigation of subtle deterioration in the biventricular systolic function of patients with SSc.
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Introduction

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a connective tissue disease characterized by inflammation, vasculopathy, and fibrosis of the skin and internal organs, including the heart, lung, and kidneys. The heart involvement is usually occult, but it occurs in a significant percentage of patients. All cardiac structures can be affected in SSc and clinically manifested as myocardial disease, conduction system abnormalities, arrhythmias, pericardial disease, or heart failure (1, 2). Myocardial involvement is associated with poor prognosis, and when clinically evident, the mortality rate rises to 70% at 5 years (3, 4). The detection of myocardial involvement is important to increase the survival rates.

Myocardial involvement is very common in patients with SSc and is related to abnormal vasoreactivity. A recurrent ischemic injury, myocardial Raynaud’s phenomenon, is responsible for irreversible myocardial fibrosis. Depressed myocardial contractility is the hallmark of primary myocardial involvement (2). The EULAR registry reported the prevalence of depressed left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) as 5.4% in patients with SSc (5). On the other hand, the systolic and diastolic dysfunction can occur in the early stages of the disease before clinical manifestations become relevant. Some studies reported that reduced myocardial contractility can be underestimated by using the conventional echocardiography technique (6-7). This conventional method lacks sensitivity to detect the preclinical stage, and more sensitive techniques are needed to determine the subclinical myocardial involvement.

In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the subclinical myocardial involvement in patients with SSc who had no other cardiovascular diseases or overt heart failure. For this purpose, we used two novel echocardiographic techniques: speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) and real-time 3D echocardiography (RT3DE). The left ventricular (LV) and right ventricular (RV) systolic function were evaluated using the strain imaging method, and LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes were measured by RT3DE.
Methods
Fifty-four (F/M:50/4) consecutive patients who were regularly seen at our rheumatology outpatient clinic were enrolled into this cross-sectional and prospective study. All patients fulfilled the ACR/EULAR SSc classification criteria (8). Twenty age- and gender-matched volunteers, without any cardiac disease and with a preserved LVEF, were included in the study as a healthy control (HC) group. We excluded patients younger than 18 years of age, having pulmonary hypertension (PH) (systolic pulmonary pressure ≥40 mmHg on echocardiography), coronary artery disease (CAD), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), valvular heart disease, and uncontrolled hypertension.

The demographic data, including age, gender, disease duration from the time of formal diagnosis, disease subset (diffuse, limited, or sine scleroderma), organ involvement (interstitial lung disease, etc.), and cardiovascular risk factors including the smoking status, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and obesity were recorded. The lung involvement was evaluated by pulmonary function tests (PFT), the lung carbon monoxide transfer factor, and high-resolution computerized tomography (HRCT). Interstitial lung disease was defined as the presence of typical features, such as bilateral interstitial fibrosis on a HRCT scan of the chest, along with the restrictive pattern on PFT. Restrictive ventilator defect was defined as the FEV₁/FVC ≥70% and the FVC <80% according to the American Thoracic Society criteria (9).

The biventricular deformation was evaluated using STE in all patients with SSC and healthy individuals at the baseline and 1-year follow-up. LV volumes were measured in 44 patients with SSC at the baseline and 30 of them at a 1-year follow-up by RT3DE. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of our Istanbul Bilim University, and all patients and controls gave written informed consent.

Echocardiographic measurements
Patients underwent a transthoracic echocardiography (Siemens, Sequoia, C256; Mount Sinai Hospital, CA, USA; and matrix iE33, Philips, The Netherlands) using a 2.3–3.5 MHz transducer. Interventricular septum (IVS) and posterior wall thicknesses and left ventricular end-diastolic (LVEDD) and end-systolic diameters (LVESD) were measured from the parasternal long-axis view using the M-mode. LVEF was calculated using a modified Simpson’s method from the apical four-chamber view (10). The RV systolic function was evaluated by tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), and the RV end-diastolic and end-systolic diameters were measured from the apical four-chamber view.

Speckle tracking echocardiography
We obtained the LV apical four-chamber views using a frame rate of 50–100 frames/s, and at least three cardiac cycles were acquired at the end-expiration breath holding and stored digitally on a hard disk for an offline analysis. The analysis was performed offline on a PC workstation using a custom analysis software (QLab advanced quantification software version 8.1, Philips, The Netherlands). The LV and RV endocardial border of the end-systolic frame was traced. From this line, a region of interest, including the entire transmural wall in all patients, was created automatically, and the software selected natural acoustic markers moving with the tissue. Frame-by-frame tracking of these markers during the cardiac cycle provided a measure of strain and the strain rate at any point of myocardium. In the present study, the LV and RV longitudinal global strain and SRs were measured on the apical four-chamber view (11) (Figure 1).

Real-time 3D echocardiography data analysis
Analysis was performed using the Qlab advanced quantification software version 8.1 (Philips, Bothell, WA, USA). The observer determined the end-diastolic and end-systolic frame visually. Contour tracing was executed semiautomatically by defining the mitral valve annulus in the LV four-chamber and two-chamber views and the apex in four-chamber view in both the end-systolic and end-diastolic frames (Figure 2).

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, unless stated otherwise. Comparisons between the groups were made using the Mann–Whitney U test and chi-squared fit test for binary variables. Comparisons were made between the baseline and 1-year follow-up parameters with the Wilcoxon test. Correlations were expressed in terms of the Pearson correlation coefficients. P-values ≤0.05 were considered as significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 11.5 (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA).
Results
We screened a total of 54 patients, and seven of them were excluded because of cardiovascular problems (four PH, 1 LVH, one CAD, and one mitral valve disease). We studied 47 patients with SSc (diffuse, 12; limited, 35). Patients characteristics were shown in Table 1. The mean age was 48.2±12.4 and 47.5±9.4 years in SSc patients and HC, respectively.

Conventional echocardiographic measurements
Conventional echocardiographic findings are presented in Table 2. LV conventional echocardiographic measurements were similar between SSc and HC. Regarding the right heart conventional parameters, right atrium and RV were significantly enlarged, while the TAPSE was decreased, and the systolic pulmonary artery pressure was increased in SSc compared to HC (p=0.001) (Table 2).

Speckle tracking echocardiography analysis
Both the LV and RV longitudinal global peak systolic strain/strain rates were significantly impaired in SSc, demonstrating a subclinical LV and RV systolic dysfunction (Table 3). We obtained a significant positive correlation between the TAPSE and RV longitudinal global peak systolic strain/strain rate (r=0.753 and r=0.71, respectively, p=0.0001). Systolic PAP was negatively correlated with both the LV and RV longitudinal global peak systolic strain/strain rate (LV, r=−0.554 and r=−0.642, respectively, p=0.001). The anti-Scl-70-positive patients had impaired LV longitudinal peak systolic strain rate values, compared to others; however, the difference did not reach a statistical significance (13.01±1.26% to 13.04±1.90%, p=0.96, for strain; 0.30±0.06 1/s to 0.31±0.15 1/s, p=0.79, for strain rate). There weren't any statistically difference for the strain measurements at the first year follow up (Table 4).

We observed no association between the age, disease duration, or Rodnan skin score and STE measurements. Both LV and RV longitudinal peak systolic strain and strain rate values were similar among patients according to disease subset, anti-Scl-70, the presence of digital ulcer, or interstitial lung disease.

Real-time 3D echocardiography analysis
Left ventricular end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes were similar between SSc and HC (Table 5). According to the first year follow-up, diastolic volumes were similar, whereas systolic volumes had a tendency to increase, although they did not reach statistical significance (Table 6).

Discussion
In the present study, we observed that the LV conventional echocardiographic measurements were similar between the patients with SSc and HC. However, both LV and RV longitudinal peak systolic strain/strain rates were significantly impaired in patients with SSc, suggesting a subclinical biventricular dysfunction. A year after the first results, LV strain measurements tended to be lower in the patient group. Compatible to these findings, based on the RT3DE measurements, LV end-systolic volumes of the patients with SSc were found to be significantly increased compared to HC, suggesting a lower cardiac output and EF. First year RT3DE measurements in patients revealed a tendency of increasing LVES volumes without statistical significance.

Systemic sclerosis can cause a wide variety of cardiac abnormalities, including microvascular CAD, myocardial fibrosis, LV systolic and diastolic dysfunction, pericardial disease, and conduction abnormalities. Clinically evident cardiac involvement in SSc is associated with an increased risk of death (1, 2). Cardiac involvement is usually clinically asymptomatic and begins in the early stages of the disease. Nevertheless, several clinical and histological studies revealed that it is more common than expected and associated with poor prognosis (12-14). Significant improvements have been recently achieved regarding organ-specific therapy, and therefore, a detection of cardiac involvement in the subtle status is important for risk stratification and selecting an appropriate therapy.

In general practice, cardiac evaluation is usually performed by the LV global systolic function assessment based on the conventional LVEF. Still, 2DE LVEF is insufficient because of a complex

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Patients With Scleroderma (n=47)

| Age (year) (mean±SD) | 48.2±12.4 |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Gender (F/M) (n)    | 43/4      |
| Disease duration (years) (mean±SD) | 8.8±8.2 |
| Disease subset Limited n(%) | 35 (74.5) |
| Diffuse n(%)        | 12 (25.5) |
| Digital ulcer n(%)  | 20 (42.5) |
| Intestinal lung disease n(%) | 28 (59.5) |
| Gastrointestinal involvement n(%) | 12 (25.5) |
| Rodnan skin score (mean±SD) | 11.1±5.5 |
| Anti-Scl-70 n(%)    | 20 (42.5) |
| Concomitant diseases n(%) |
| Diabetes mellitus   | 3 (6.3) |
| Hypertension        | 18 (41.2) |
| Hyperlipidemia      | 13 (27.6) |
| Obesity             | 10 (21.2) |
| Smoking history n(%) | 7 (14.8) |
myocardium motion. 2D LVEF is considered to reflect the circumferential and radial function but not the longitudinal movement, which is influenced by the motion of subendocardial fibers, which is most susceptible to evident myocardial damage (15). Due to its ability to provide a quantitative endocardial deformation analysis, strain imaging is considered to be superior to conventional echocardiographic parameters (16, 17). The LV global longitudinal strain reflects the long-axis mechanics. Subclinical impairment of the long-axis mechanics has been demonstrated to be associated with mortality in the general population (18, 19). Strain imaging can be achieved by different methods. STE is performed by using acoustic markers tracking of acoustic markers, which minimizes the user interference. It is based on the real-time following analysis of LV volumes than 2D echocardiography, whereas EF was similar between both methods. 3D echocardiography has been reported to improve accuracy in the assessment of LV volumes (27, 28). In the EUSTAR database, the male gender, age, diffuse cutaneous disease, digital ulceration, myositis, and no use of calcium channel blockers were found as independent risk factors for LV dysfunction (5). However, we did not observe any relationship between clinical findings and echocardiographic measurements.

In our study, we were able to assess the myocardial function of patients with SSc, both by STE and RT3DE methods, and we observed the subclinical cardiac dysfunction compared to normal subjects. We excluded patients with PH, which can be related to RV dysfunction as a result of pulmonary complications and valvular and known coronary heart diseases to avoid evident myocardial dysfunction (16). 3D deformation imaging was considered to be superior to conventional quantitative endocardial deformation analysis, strain imaging is considered to be superior to conventional echocardiographic parameters (16, 17). The LV global longitudinal strain reflects the long-axis mechanics. Subclinical impairment of the long-axis mechanics has been demonstrated to be associated with mortality in the general population (18, 19). Strain imaging can be achieved by different methods. STE is performed by using a semi-automated algorithm, which minimizes the user interference. It is based on the real-time tracking of acoustic markers, which minimizes the user interference. It is based on the real-time tracking of acoustic markers, which minimizes the user interference. It is based on the real-time tracking of acoustic markers, which minimizes the user interference. It is based on the real-time tracking of acoustic markers, which minimizes the user interference. It is based on the real-time tracking of acoustic markers, which minimizes the user interference.

Table 2. Conventional Echocardiographic Findings

|                | SSc (n=47) | HC (n=20) | p   |
|----------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| LVEDD (cm)     | 4.95±0.22  | 4.91±0.17 | 0.45|
| LVEDD (cm)     | 3.09±0.2   | 3.05±0.2  | 0.51|
| EF (%)         | 60.1±2.18  | 60.7±1.83 | 0.30|
| RAD (cm)       | 3.75±0.27  | 3.49±0.34 | 0.001|
| RVD (cm)       | 2.34±0.18  | 2.19±0.15 | 0.002|
| SPAP (mmHg)    | 30.78±4.83 | 23.35±3.23| 0.001|
| TRV (m/sn)     | 2.62±0.31  | 2.02±0.19 | 0.001|

LVEDD: left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEDV: left ventricular end-systolic diameter; EF: ejection fraction; RAD: right atrial diameter; RVD: right ventricular diameter; TAPSE: tricuspidal annular plane systolic excursion; SPAP: systolic pulmonary artery pressure

Table 3. Speckle Tracking Echocardiographic Findings

|                  | SSc (n=47) | HC (n=20) | p   |
|------------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| LV LGS (%)       | 12.81±1.12 | 20.35±3.05| 0.001|
| LVSR (1/s)       | 0.50±0.03  | 1.70±0.47 | 0.0001|
| RV LGS (%)       | 11.68±1.61 | 14.63±2.55| 0.0001|
| RVSR (1/s)       | 0.31±0.01  | 1.73±0.50 | 0.0001|

LV LGS: left ventricular longitudinal global strain; LVSR: left ventricular strain rate; RV LGS: right ventricular longitudinal global strain; RVSR: right ventricular strain rate

Table 4. Follow-Up STE Analysis

|                  | Basal (n=47) | First Year (n=30) | p   |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----|
| LV LGS (%)       | 12.81±1.12   | 12.37±1.10        | 0.346|
| LVSR (1/s)       | 0.50±0.03    | 0.48±0.02         | 0.19 |
| RV LGS (%)       | 11.68±1.61   | 11.66±1.55        | 0.98 |
| RVSR (1/s)       | 0.31±0.01    | 0.30±0.03         | 0.82 |

STE: speckle tracking echocardiography; LV LGS: left ventricular longitudinal global strain; LVSR: left ventricular strain rate; RV LGS: right ventricular longitudinal global strain; RVSR: right ventricular strain rate

Table 5. RT3DE Analysis

|                | SSc (n=47) | HC (n=20) | p   |
|----------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| LVEDV (ml)     | 104.97±16.27| 106.70±17.52| 0.63 |
| LVEDV (ml)     | 44.42±7.26 | 42.35±7.27 | 0.32 |

RT3DE: real-time 3D echocardiography; LVEDV: left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEDV: left ventricular end-systolic volume

Table 6. Follow-Up RT3DE Analysis

|                | Basal (n=30) | First Year (n=30) | p   |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|-----|
| LVEDV (ml)     | 104.97±16.27 | 105.65±13.61      | 0.45 |
| LVEDV (ml)     | 44.42±7.26   | 44.48±7.27        | 0.16 |

RT3DE: real-time 3D echocardiography; LVEDV: left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEDV: left ventricular end-systolic volume

Limitations and strengths

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first that evaluated the myocardial function using two novel techniques with a 1-year follow-up data in patients with SSc. A detailed assessment of biventricular myocardial functions, based on novel
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Follow-Up RT3DE Analysis

LVEDV (ml) 44.42±7.26 44.48±7.27 0.16

RT3DE: real-time 3D echocardiography; LVEDV: left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEDV: left ventricular end-systolic volume
tools, in patients with preserved EF is the strength of this study. The major limitation of our study is that we were not able to demonstrate the exact mechanism of subtle changes in myocardial contractility, based on other methods, such as cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Myocardial fibrosis, which is the potential mechanism of myocardial dysfunction in these patients, was not verified. In addition, the right heart catheterization (RHC) was not part of the study protocol for diagnosing PH and coronary angiography to exclude coronary heart disease. Therefore, PH can be assessed only by echocardiographic measurements, which can underestimate exact values. However, according to the 2015 ESC/ERS recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension, RHC should be performed for the high-risk echocardiographic probability of patients with PH, who were excluded from our study (29).
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