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Abstract: Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.), as an edible flower, has long provided an array of positive effects on human health. This benefit is a result of phenolic compounds that are naturally present mainly in the calyx. Plentiful medicinal remedies and functional foods based on this flower are available worldwide, as supported by the studies of phenolic compounds in recent decades. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of the composition, biological activity, and beneficial effects on human health of phenolic compounds in roselle. This review was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. A structured search in the published literature for phenolics compositions in roselle was required prior to the evaluation on the validity of the reported analytical methods. Reliable identification and quantification of phenolic compounds in roselle can be achieved by employing the proper extraction and separation methods. With ample alternative analytical methods discussed here, this review provided an aid for comprehending and selecting the most appropriate method for a particular study. The applications of the analytical methods highlighted indicated that phenolic acids, flavonoids, and their derivatives have been identified and quantified in roselle with a range of biological activities and beneficial effects on human health. It was also disclosed that the composition and concentration of phenolic compounds in roselle vary due to the growth factors, cultivars, and environmental influence. Finally, apart from the research progress carried out with roselle during the last ten years, this review also proposed relevant future works.
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1. Introduction

The trend of healthy lifestyles has changed society’s eating habits. The population prefers to consume foods that provide additional health benefits in addition to a consideration of sensory attributes, such as flavor, taste, and appearance. Hence, the market for functional foods is facing increasing demand, particularly when enhanced by the attractiveness of edible flowers [1]. One of the most widely utilized edible flowers is roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) [2–4].

The primarily utilized part of the roselle plant is the floral calyx, which is medicinally useful. Traditionally, roselle is consumed as a healthy drink by infusing its petals and served either cold or hot [5]. Presently, roselle has also been incorporated in diverse applications, including foods, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals [6–8]. To further incorporate the flower in industrial product development, research into roselle calyces has notably increased within the last decade [9–11]. Studies have reported that the calyx contains a number of phytochemical compounds, and phenolics are considered to be the most influential in providing various health benefits [12]. Some of the phenolic compounds found in
roselle calyces are flavonoids and phenolic acids [13,14]. These compounds have been confirmed to provide health benefits, such as lipid-lowering activity and antihypertensive, antibacterial, antidiabetic, antioxidant, nephroprotective, hepatoprotective, renal/diuretic effects, and anti-cholesterol effects [15].

Previous reviews and meta-analysis studies on roselle have mostly reported the functional properties ensuring health benefits for the population [13,14,16–20]. Corresponding to the growing interest in roselle and the current challenges to developing new healthy products [21], beneficial compounds should be determined by reliable analytical methods [22]. The application of those analytical methods will provide opportunities in many related studies, including analyses of the composition of beneficial compounds in roselle, and further evaluate the biological activity and beneficial effects of phenolic compounds in roselle on human health.

2. Methods

2.1. Data Sources

This systematic review focused on current studies of advances in the analysis of phenolic compounds in roselle that were further applied to determine the composition, biological activity, and beneficial effects on human health. The information source was the Scopus database via the keywords of “Hibiscus sabdariffa” and “roselle”, searched separately or combined in a title, abstract, and keyword. The information was collected from documents published from January 2010 to January 2021.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion of Data and Data Quality

This review was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, as presented in Figure 1. The document selection had to meet the (1) inclusion criteria: (a) identify and quantify the phenolics and their derivatives and (b) evaluate the biological activity and beneficial effects on human health and (2) exclusion criteria: (a) published in a non-English language, (b) non-research article, and (c) did not measure roselle calyx tissue.
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3. Roselle Overview

It is assumed that the roselle plant was first recognized before 4000 BC in Africa, specifically in Western Sudan [23]. A historical study in 1911 by Wester disclosed that the plant was allegedly brought from India to Turkey and named “Sabdariffa”. The name is claimed to be adopted from a Turkish word [24]. Later on, roselle was phylogenetically classified in the genus Hibiscus and the Malvaceae family. As civilization expanded, roselle was widely grown and cultivated in tropical and subtropical countries, such as South and Southeast Asia, Africa, and Central America [25]. *H. sabdariffa* var. Sabdariffa and *H. sabdariffa* var. Altissimac Wester are two types of roselle that are commonly cultivated worldwide for economic purposes [24].

Roselle plants, characterized as an annual shrub, were reported as having erect reddish stems, branching, and growth up to 3.5 m [26]. The leaves sprout alternately on the stem and are usually around 7.5 and 12.5 cm in length [24]. The flower’s positions are axillary or terminal and 8–10 cm in diameter. The flower color varies from white to pale yellow, with a dark red spot at each petal base. It is described as having a stout fleshy calyx at the base 1 to 2 cm wide and enlarging to 3–3.5 cm. When the flower matures, it will turn fleshy and bright red [14]. The plant requires an adequate amount of water and sunlight throughout the day; thus, it can easily grow in areas with tropical climates [26]. Roselle can be planted when the seedlings are 10–15 days old. During the initial growth period, roselle needs 13 h of sun exposure per day and a temperature no lower than 20 °C at night. The amount of rainfall required is around 130–250 mm per month, or sufficient watering is needed. The time needed for roselle to be harvested is approximately 4 to 5 months, and the optimum period of the year to start planting is December to January [23,24].

The harvest time and postharvest handling, especially the drying procedure, affect the physical and chemical properties of roselle [23]. Other factors, such as the specific cultivar and environmental conditions, also strongly influence the floral properties [27,28]. In fact, these two factors define the level of mineral and phytochemical compounds in the calyces [29,30]. The concentration of the phenolic compounds and the antioxidant activity of the calyx, for instance, can be altered by increasing the CO₂ content in the atmosphere of the growing areas of roselle plants [31]. Hence, to achieve a phenolic-rich calyx providing significant antioxidant activity, the aforementioned factors must be modified or optimized.

Phenolic compounds naturally found in roselle are phenolic acids and flavonoids. The phenolic acids described in roselle include neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, methyl chlorogenate, coumaroylquinic acid, dihydroferulic acid-4-O-glucuronide, ethyl chlorogenate, and 5-O-caffeoyl shikimic acid. At least 95 flavonoids have been identified in the roselle calyx [32]. Among the identified flavonoids, anthocyanins are most abundant and mainly consist of delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside [33]. Frequently reported flavonoids also include quercetin-3-glucoside, methyl epigallocatechin, myricetin, quercetin, and kaempferol. Other important compounds like organic acids are also presented in roselles, such as hydroxycitric acid, hibiscus acid, hibiscus acid hydroxyethyl ester, and hibiscus acid dimethyl ester [12].

The compounds mentioned above have been confirmed through a number of former studies to provide diverse health benefits. The level of total phenolics has been positively correlated with the antioxidant activity [34–37]. Specific types of compounds, i.e., phenolic acids (ferulic and chlorogenic acids), organic acids (citrate derivatives), and flavonoids (kaempferol), have demonstrated antihypertensive properties [38]. In addition, hydroxycitric acid from a roselle calyx could be a weight loss agent [39], gossypetin, an antidepressant [40], and hibiscus acid, an antimicrobial compound [41]. Having these beneficial effects, the consumption and demand for roselle have continued to increase over the last decade.

Roselle is practically consumed as a hot or cold infusion of the flower petals into a liquid or may further be processed into a fermented drink [5]. Besides that, the calyces are used as the primary material to prepare roselle syrup, jam, jelly, and other food industry products. Furthermore, the water extract of the calyx can be incorporated with other food
material into refreshing beverages, vitamin and mineral supplements, yogurt, and hard rock candy [21].

The young leaves and tender stems of roselle are frequently consumed raw or cooked, including in salads or curry condiments. The seeds are roasted or ground for cooking or oil extraction, while the grouts are used as soup ingredients [7,23].

The consumption of roselle has been reported to reduce the risk of various degenerative diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and obesity. The health benefits of roselle consumption have been confirmed through both in vitro and in vivo studies [42–44]. The recognized health-boosting mechanisms include lowering significantly the levels of total and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and fasting plasma glucose [17–20]. Increasingly acknowledged for its health benefits, roselle has been used in traditional medicine around the globe [16,39].

4. Analytical Methods for Phenolic Compounds in Roselle

A reliable analytical method is required before performing related studies to take advantage of the phenolic compounds in roselle and its derived products. Valid identification and quantification by an appropriate analytical method could provide the right composition of phenolic compounds in the matrix. The selection of the analytical methods, including extraction, separation, and detection, depends on the characteristics of the sample matrices and target analytes. The development of alternative analytical methods and determination of the phenolic compounds in roselle calyx are discussed in this section.

4.1. Sample Preparation

The initial step in most analytical procedures is sample preparation to convert a real matrix into a sample suitable for analysis. It is crucial when handling complex matrices of samples containing lipids, proteins, carbohydrates, or other constituents that may interfere with the target analyte. In this case, a method of separation or purification of nontarget compounds is required to remove the impurities, and extraction is frequently performed for sample preparation. However, special conditions might restrict the extraction of fresh samples; thus, additional sample preparation, viz., a drying procedure, is often required [45,46]. Conventional extraction, such as Soxhlet, maceration, percolation, and infusion, has been routinely used due to its simplicity [45,47]. With technological developments, some advanced extraction methods have been developed, including microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), pressurized liquid extraction (PLE), and supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) [48]. These newer methods are beneficial in reducing the extraction time and solvent volume [45]. These novel extraction methods employed for phenolic compound extraction from the roselle calyx are compiled in Figure 2.

The most frequently used methods to extract phenolic compounds from roselle calyx are maceration, UAE, MAE, and SFE. Table 1 compiles alternatives of the extraction methods for phenolic compounds in different roselle cultivars. The selection of the extraction method depends on the type of phenolics and sample matrices [49]. Meanwhile, the method development requires the optimization of factors that likely affect the extraction efficiency, such as solvent composition, particle size, solid-to-solvent ratio, extraction temperature, and time [45,47,50].

The most commonly used extraction solvents for phenolic compounds from roselle are distilled or purified water [51–61], ethanol [10,32,62,63], methanol [38,64,65], and mixtures of the aforementioned solvents with acids, i.e., hydrochloric acid [3], formic acid [58,66], and citric acid-based deep eutectic solvent (DES) [67]. The acidification treatment of solvent in the extraction procedure has resulted in higher functional properties of the phenolics [3,8,68]. Furthermore, as an acidic environment maintains the stability of anthocyanins, a complete recovery for these analytes can be achieved by utilizing acidic solvents [69–71]. In contrast, the optimum solvent composition for recovering flavonoids was 50% ethanol [64]. Another study determined that 80% methanol could extract flavonoids with the highest angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activity [38].
The extraction recovery was also affected by the particle size of the sample [55,72]. A study on the particle size of roselle calyx powder (0–180 µm, 180–212 µm, 212–315 µm, and ≥315 µm) reported that the highest recovery of phenolic compounds producing antioxidant activity was obtained from a sample with particle sizes of 212–315 µm [72]. This result was similar to a former report that particle sizes of 250–177 µm recovered higher phenolics than the smaller particle sizes 177–150 and 150–74 µm [55]. Specifically, for anthocyanins, a higher recovery was obtained by extracting calyx powder with particle sizes smaller than 250 µm [73].
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A higher recovery can also be achieved with an optimum tissue-to-solvent ratio when extracting the total phenolics, flavonoids, and anthocyanins in roselle [10,11,52] with higher antioxidant activities [74]. For instance, a tissue-to-solvent ratio of 1:14 was reported in a study providing extracts with a higher total phenolic compound (TPC) value than those at lower (1:10) or higher (1:16 and 1:18) ratios [11]. Furthermore, for anthocyanin extraction, increasing the tissue-to-solvent ratio from 1:2 to 1:8 increased the total anthocyanin compound (TAC) value from 87.47 mg/L to 158.56 mg/L; however, the value decreased at a ratio of 1:10 [62]. A larger amount of extraction solvent facilitated the dissolution of the analyte as a result of a significant mass transfer, thus accelerating the diffusion. Before the process reached its equilibrium, the extraction process remained unchanged [10].

The extraction rate was also regulated by the extraction temperature and time. A higher temperature led to a higher diffusion rate, extracting a greater amount of target compounds [52]. While increasing the extraction time, significant increases in the TPC, TAC,
and antioxidant capacity were observed [10,22,75]. A single-factor experiment evaluating the temperature reported a range of 60–80 °C as the appropriate extraction temperature for the total flavonoid compound (TFC), while temperatures higher than 60 °C were suitable for TPC extraction [75]. However, a longer extraction time with a higher temperature also tended to increase the degradation rate of phenolics due to exposure to a favorable environment [76]. When the degradation rate was higher than the extraction rate, the extracted thermolabile flavonoids could decrease [77].

Due to the influence of various extraction factors, both single and concurrent optimization were performed to develop the extraction methods for phenolic components in roselle, including maceration [6,52,76,78], UAE [9,54,79], MAE [11,67,77], and SFE [12,80].

4.1.1. Maceration

Maceration is the most practical solid–liquid extraction (SLE) widely used conventional extraction method to recover phenolic compounds from roselle calyx [3,6,34,52,55–60,62,63,65,75,76,78,81–83]. This extraction method relies on both conductive and convective processes to heat the product [49]. Hence, the extraction recovery is greatly influenced by the temperature. The maceration method was evaluated with temperatures ranging from 25 to 180 °C in a number of studies listed in Table 1. It was subsequently found that the optimum temperature ranged from 40 to 60 °C [6,52,55–60,62,63,65,74–76,78,81,82]. Nonetheless, a lower temperature is more appropriate to recover a higher level of anthocyanins [39].

In addition to the extraction temperature in question, the extraction time is also considered an influential factor in maceration. The effect could be evaluated during a mass transfer kinetic study where the more prolonged the extraction time, the higher the level of the extracted analyte. However, unnecessary extended extraction times could lead to a lower recovery when the degradation rate of the thermolabile phenolics is higher than the extraction rate. The extraction times reported in some studies for the maceration method ranged from 10 min to 72 h [58,65], but it commonly takes place from 1 to 4 h. A 20-min maceration at 60 °C has been proven to reach a complete recovery for anthocyanins from roselle provided water was used as the optimized extraction solvent [76]. Apart from selecting the right solvent for a certain analyte, the ratio between the sample and solvent must also be optimized when developing an efficient extraction. In maceration, the ratio of sample-to-solvent ranged from 1:4 to 1:100 [58,81].

4.1.2. Ultrasound-Assisted-Extraction (UAE)

Among the alternative modern extraction methods (Table 1), ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) is the most frequently used for phenolic extraction from roselle. The extraction is aided by ultrasonic waves at specific frequencies and amplitudes, producing cavitation bubbles. Provided that the ultrasound-induced cavitation bubbles collapse, high shear stresses in the fluid are created, breaking the cell walls and triggering analyte release to the solvent [48]. This mechanism can be appropriately set by optimizing the pulse duty cycle and the ultrasonic power. Other UAE factors, such as extraction time, temperature, solvent, and tissue-to-solvent ratio, also influence the process [49].

The extraction conditions evaluated in various studies of phenolics in roselle for UAE include extraction time (26–45 min), temperature (25–65 °C), ultrasound power (300 W), and frequency (40 kHz). UAE optimization for flavonoid extraction resulted in an extraction setting as follows: 25% ethanol as the extraction solvent at 65 °C in 45 min. This UAE condition provided extracts with a higher level of quercetin hexoside, quercetin, myricetin, and anthocyanin compared to the maceration-produced extract [9]. In addition, the levels of extracted total phenolics and anthocyanins (delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside) were two-to-four times higher in UAE over the conventional heat-assisted SLE [9,79]. Furthermore, applying the same extraction temperature and solvent, UAE can have a shorter extraction time than the conventional SLE method, even with higher levels of bioactive compounds in the extract [10].
4.1.3. Microwave-Assisted Extraction (MAE)

The unique characteristic of microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) is the heating mechanism utilizing radiation at a frequency ranging from 300 MHz to 300 GHz. The sample is heated through dielectric heating (continuous dipole rotation) and frictional resistance to the ion flow. Both processes trigger an increase in the heating energy to achieve an efficient extraction [47]. Besides the radiation energy, the MAE method is also affected by the extraction time, temperature, solvent composition, and tissue-to-solvent ratio [49].

The main advantage of MAE over UAE is that the system allows multiple extractions at a time. MAE facilitates up to 24 extraction vessels that can be used in a single procedure. Hence, the actual extraction time per sample in one MAE batch running for multiple vessels can provide a fast extraction method for routine analysis. A 3-min extraction at 60 °C with 500-W microwave power could yield 70.53-mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/g roselle calyx [11]. In comparison, applying the optimized flavonoid extraction (450-W microwave power, 40 °C extraction temperature, 52% ethanol, and 15:1 solvent-to-sample ratio) results in a TFC value of 94.32-mg quercetin equivalents (QE)/g roselle calyx in merely 4 min of extraction time [32]. Contrasted with conventional SLE, MAE consumes one-third of the extraction time by maceration while producing extract with a higher antioxidant activity [8].

4.1.4. Supercritical Fluid Extraction (SFE)

Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) utilizes a gas above the critical point, exhibiting liquid-like properties while still maintaining the nature of a gas [84]. Hence, the most influential SFE factors are the extraction solvent, pressure, and temperature [85]. SFE improved the collection of hibiscus acid and its derivatives from roselle after maceration. In the extraction of phenolic compounds in roselle, applying extraction conditions at 50 °C and 250 bar with 16.7% ethanol, SFE provided total phenolic compounds (TPC) of 113-mg GAE/g extract [12]. Another study reported that 8.63-mg GAE/g dried roselle was obtained by SFE at 343.15 K with 24 MPa in 2.5 h. An increase in the extraction pressure and temperature would raise the amount of extracted phenolic compounds [80].

4.1.5. Miscellaneous Extraction Techniques

Apart from the extraction methods mentioned above, other techniques to recover phenolic compounds from roselle calyx are pressurized hot water extraction and enzyme-assisted extraction. To enhance the purity of the phenolic compounds in a roselle extract, fractionation and purification techniques were used for the isolation of the compounds. This approach included the use of polyamide column chromatographic purification [86], a combination of macroporous resins and a C<sub>18</sub> Sep-Pak cartridge [87], a single-step process fractionation using a Sep-Pak C<sub>18</sub> cartridge [71], and semipreparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) purification [88].

Table 1. The extraction methods used for roselle phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities. Abbreviations: TPC: total phenolic compound, TFC: total flavonoid compound, TAC: total anthocyanin compound, UAE: ultrasound-assisted extraction, MAE: microwave-assisted-extraction, SFE: supercritical fluid extraction, GAE: gallic acid equivalents, Cy-3-GE: cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalents, CE: catechin equivalents, QE: quercetin equivalents, ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory, FRAP: ferric ion-reducing antioxidant power, ORAC: oxygen radical absorbance capacity, DPPH: 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl, and ABTS: 2,2'-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid.

| Country of Origin | Extraction Method | Solvent | Extraction Condition | Phenolics and Antioxidant Activity | Ref |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Vietnam           | maceration        | 50% ethanol | samplesolvent ratio 1:7.7, extraction temperature 56.9 °C, extraction time 33.29 min | TAC (186.01 mg/L) | [6] |
| Mexico            | maceration        | purified water | samplesolvent ratio 1:16.7, extraction temperature 95 °C, extraction time 20 min particle size 250–177 µm | TPC (14.10 mg GAE/g), TFC (10.18 mg CE/g), and TAC (5.68 mg Cy-3-GE/g) | [55] |
| Country of Origin | Extraction Method | Solvent         | Extraction Condition                                                                 | Phenolics and Antioxidant Activity                                                                 | Ref |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Saudi Arabia      | maceration        | water           | samplesolvent ratio 1:10 extraction temperature 100 °C extraction time 10 min          | TPC, TAC, FRAP, ABTS, delphinidin 3-sambubioside, delphinidin 3-glucoside, cyanidin 3-sambubioside, and cyanidin 3-glucoside | [58] |
| Mexico            | maceration        | 50% ethanol     | samplesolvent ratio 1:10 extraction temperature 2 h light protection with aluminum foil | TPC, TAC, DPPH, delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside, delphinidin-3-O-glucoside (myrtillin), and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside | [59] |
| Vietnam           | maceration        | 50% ethanol     | samplesolvent ratio 1:8 extraction temperature 60 °C extraction time 30 min            | TAC (180,82 mg Cy-3-GE/L)                                                                          | [62] |
| Brazil            | maceration        | 50% ethanol     | samplesolvent ratio 1:12.5 extraction temperature 56 °C extraction time 72 h          | Yield (27.3%), TPC (29.2 mg GAE/g), TFC (36.7 mg QE/g), DPPH (78%), and TBARS (21%)               | [65] |
| Nigeria           | maceration        | methanol        | samplesolvent ratio 1:50 extraction temperature 65 °C extraction time 110 min, stirring | TPC (5.01 mg GAE/g)                                                                                | [63] |
| Mexico            | maceration        | 96% ethanol     | samplesolvent ratio 1:12.5 extraction temperature 65 °C extraction time 24 h         | TAC (1.50 mg Cy-3-GE/g)                                                                            | [78] |
| Thailand          | maceration        | 95% ethanol     | samplesolvent ratio 1:4 extraction temperature 50 °C extraction time 48 h            | Yield (4.91%), TPC (0.05 mg GAE/g), gallic acid (0.75 g/kg), caffeic acid (0.39 g/kg), ferulic acid (0.06 g/kg), chlorogenic acid (0.74 g/kg) and quercetin (0.45 g/kg) | [81] |
| Germany           | maceration        | 70% ethanol     | samplesolvent ratio 1:10 extraction temperature 50 °C extraction time 48 h shaking at 150 rpm | TPC (0.04 µg/mL), TAC (3.16 mg Cy-3-GE/L), and DPPH (60.38%)                                         | [82] |
| Senegal           | maceration        | water           | samplesolvent ratio 1:18 extraction temperature 30 °C extraction time 240 min        | TAC (220 mg delphinidin 3-xyllosylglucoside/L), ORAC (165 µmol Trolox/g)                          | [89] |
| Iran              | maceration        | 80% ethanol and water | samplesolvent ratio 1:10 extraction temperature 24 h samplesolvent ratio 1:10 extraction time 24 h | TPC (80% ethanol: 9.34 mg/g; water: 9.59 mg/g), TFC (80% ethanol: 4.76 mg/g; water: 3.53 mg/g), TAC (80% ethanol: 0.042 mg/g; water: 0.044 mg/g) Caffeic acid (5.08 mg/g), chlorogenic acid (1.09 mg/g), p-coumaric acid (0.07 mg/g), catechin (0.92 mg/g), quercetin (0.16 mg/g), hesperidin (0.14 mg/g), hesperetin (0.51 mg/g) | [34] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Country of Origin | Extraction Method | Solvent | Extraction Condition | Phenolics and Antioxidant Activity | Ref |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----|
| Egypt             | maceration        | 50% ethanol | samplesolvent ratio 1:50 extraction temperature 180 °C extraction time 30 min | TPC (43.1 mg GAE/g), gallic acid (0.91 mg/g), protocatechuic acid (163.20 mg/g) | [90] |
| Mexico            | maceration        | distilled water | samplesolvent ratio 1:50 extraction temperature 95 °C extraction time 60 min | TPC, phenolic acids, flavonoids, anthocyanins | [57] |
| China             | maceration UAE    | 0.1% HCl aqueous solution HPβ-CD aqueous solution | samplesolvent ratio 1:15 extraction temperature 25 °C extraction time 30 min samplesolvent ratio 1:27 extraction temperature 54 °C extraction time 53 min 300 W; 25 kHz | TAC (4.80 mg/g) | [33] |
| Malaysia          | UAE               | 80% methanol | samplesolvent ratio 1:20 extraction temperature 60 °C extraction time 30 min | TPC, ACEI activity (0.01 µg/mL), 36 identified metabolites, including flavonoids, anthocyanins, and organic acids | [38] |
| Brazil            | UAE               | 25% ethanol | extraction temperature 65 °C extraction time 45 min | TPC (2.24 mg GAE/g), TAC (3.58 mg Cy-3-GE/g) | [9] |
| Mexico            | UAE               | 80% ethanol | samplesolvent ratio 1:20 extraction temperature 60 °C extraction time 32 min 180 W; 40 KHz | Yield (red calyx: 20.84%; white calyx: 13.74%), TPC (red calyx: 13.02 mg GAE/g; white calyx: 12.74 mg GAE/g), TFC (red calyx: 4.42 mg CE/g; white calyx: 4.53 mg CE/g), TAC (red calyx: 1.80 mg Cy-3-GE/g; white calyx: 0.01 mg Cy-3-GE/g), DPPH activity (red calyx: 74.58%; white calyx: 36.95%) | [10] |
| Indonesia         | UAE               | water | samplesolvent ratio 1:15 extraction at room temperature extraction time 30 min frequency 40 kHz | TAC | [54] |
| Portugal          | UAE               | 39.1% ethanol | extraction time 26.1 min power 296.6 W | TAC (51.76 mg/g), delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside, cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside | [79] |
| Malaysia          | MAE               | 52% ethanol | samplesolvent ratio 1:15 extraction time 4 min microwave power 450 W | TFC (94.32 mg QE/g), 95 flavonoid compounds | [32] |
| NA                | MAE               | water | samplesolvent ratio 1:10 extraction time 15 min microwave power 10 W | yield (24.6%), TPC (2.72%) | [51] |
| Turkey            | MAE               | DES in 50% water | microwave power 550 W | TPC (31.90 mg GAE/g), TAC (3.00 mg Cy-3-GE/g), DPPH 95.89% | [67] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Country of Origin | Extraction Method | Solvent | Extraction Condition | Phenolics and Antioxidant Activity | Ref |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----|
| Malaysia | MAE | distilled water | samplesolvent ratio 1:14 extraction temperature 60 °C extraction time 3 minmicrowave power 500 W | TPC (70.53 mg GAE/g) 77 phenolic compounds | [11] |
| Spain | SFE | 16.7% ethanol | extraction temperature 64 °C pressure 391 bar | TPC (8.63 mg GAE/g) 22 identified and quantified phenolic compounds | [12] |
| Malaysia | SFE | CO₂, co-solvent 75% ethanol | extraction temperature 70 °C extraction pressure 8.90 MPa flow rate 9.49%particle size 350 µm | yield 26.73% | [85] |
| Indonesia | SFE | CO₂, co-solvent acetone (5% V/V) | extraction time 2.5 h extraction temperature 343.15 K pressure 24 Mpa | TPC (8.63 mg GAE/g) | [80] |

4.2. Identification and Quantification of Phenolic Compounds

The current studies have indicated that it is particularly important to accurately identify and quantify the levels of phenolics, especially in view of the complex chemistry of plant tissues, which contain a diverse range of primary and secondary metabolites. The determination of phenolic compounds remains challenging due to several constraints, such as numerous types of phenolic compounds with a wide range of polarities, different degrees of stability, the low levels of the compounds, and the matrix effect with interferences by impurities and compounds. Given the role of phenolic compounds as powerful antioxidants, the development of an optimal method for the extraction of multianalytes from a complex matrix presents some difficulties due to the possible rapid interactions of targeted analytes with other constituents in the matrix. Additionally, the determination of phenolic compounds is limited to the fact that these substances are structurally similar, thus possessing comparable absorption spectra in the UV wavelength range. A number of studies have already addressed this particular challenge of developing analytical methods for these compounds. Henceforth, this section aims to provide a specific review of the most updated analytical methods for determining phenolic compounds in roselle calyx, and Table 2 compiles the essential chromatography methods.

4.2.1. Colorimetric Assays

These assays were commonly applied to determine the phenolics, such as the total phenolics (TPC), total flavonoids (TFC), and total anthocyanins (TAC), from roselle calyx. The widely used approach for TPC is the Folin–Ciocalteu method [3,34,52,58,59,64,65,68,75,76,81,82,90–93]. The absorbance of the resulting complex of phenolic and Folin–Ciocalteu reagents, measured at 510 nm–700 nm by a spectrophotometer, indicates the level of TPC. The final calculation for the TPC is provided in units of mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per g of fresh or dry calyces. In the TFC determination using the aluminum chloride method, the absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 415 nm–510 nm [34,52,64,65,68,75,76]. Quercetin, rutin, and catechin are commonly used to establish the calibration curve for the TF quantification. Hence, the units to present the TFC level are mg quercetin equivalents (QE), rutin equivalents (RE), or catechin equivalents (CE) per g of fresh or dry sample. In contrast to the TPC and TFC, the determination of the TAC can be performed without the prior establishment of a calibration curve using standard compounds. This approach measures the TAC level by a pH differential method employing pH 1 and 4.5 [6,34,78,94–96]. The absorbance was measured at 510 nm–700 nm. However, some proposed methods utilized external standards for the TAC quantification.
4.2.2. Liquid Chromatography

Liquid chromatography has been the most-employed technique in roselle studies to measure phenolic compounds from roselle calyx, aiming to identify or quantify specific compounds. There is a growing interest in separation science to achieve still-better resolution, speed, and sensitivity in liquid chromatography. Significant advances in technology were made for ultra-fast separation with high efficiency through ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC). UPLC has overcome the negative aspect of packed columns used in high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) by precisely delivering mobile phases at pressures up to 15,000 psi. The most used column type in roselle studies has been reverse phase C$_{18}$ [9,34,38,46,55,97], and the most widely used mobile phases have been acidified water and acetonitrile [46,55,98,99] (Table 2). Reverse-phase C$_{18}$ is a hydrophobic column that is most suited for the separation of polar compounds of phenolics present in roselle. Additionally, acidified water and acetonitrile are commonly used for mobile phases as a result of the methods developed for phenolics determination by chromatographic techniques [79,100]. Almost all analyses were conducted using gradient elution with the analysis run time around 15–50 min. Various types of detectors, including UV-Vis, photodiode array detection (DAD), electrochemical detection (ECD), and fluorometric detection (FLD), are paired with the liquid chromatography instrument in accordance with the purpose of the analysis to improve the detection and quantification of the phenolic compounds. For phenolic compounds in roselle calyx, UV-Vis or DAD/PDA detectors are widely used to quantify specific compounds by comparing samples and the standard light absorption spectra (Table 2). Mass spectrophotometry (MS) detection is widely used in identification studies—identity based on the mass compound. Aiming to improve the method selectivity and sensitivity, several studies have combined two or more detectors [28,55,79,99,101,102].

4.2.3. Gas Chromatography (GC)

GC instruments have been widely used in studies to determine the volatile phenolic compounds in roselle calyces (Table 2) [82,91,103–109]. The main constraints in GC application were derivatization procedures and the volatility of phenolic compounds [110]. This technique was designed as a powerful separation method of volatile compounds from a complex mixture using a heated column. The most widely used column to separate the volatile compounds of roselle has been a DB-Wax capillary column. The column was set with an initial temperature range from 40–50 °C, then gradually increased to 200–250 °C to separate the compounds based on their volatility properties. Helium was the most frequently used carrier gas; however, some studies also proposed nitrogen and hydrogen carriers. The gas flow rate ranged from 1–1.7 mL/min. To identify and quantify the volatile compounds in roselle, the GC instruments were in tandem with MS, a flame ionization detector (FID), or coupled with olfactory detection.

4.2.4. Thin-Layer Chromatography (TLC)

An alternative for quick and cheap chromatography has also been developed—thin-layer chromatography (TLC). The studies employing the TLC method in roselle calyx are summarized in Table 2. This analysis facilitates multiple compound detection on the same TLC plate during a single batch of analyses. The developed TLC method successfully separated glycosides, alkaloids, steroids, triterpenoids, tannins, and flavonoids based on their polarity in the roselle matrix. The separation procedure employed a mixture of chloroform and methanol (4:1) as a mobile phase and a thin plate coated with silica gel as a stationary phase. Subsequently, the TLC plate was exposed by a UV lamp at a wavelength of 254 nm [111]. Another study reported the TLC method for flavonoids from roselle tissue using butanol:acetic:water (4:1:5) and methanol:water (95:5) as the mobile phase, and the plates were observed at 265 nm [112].
4.2.5. Miscellaneous Determination Techniques

Emerging spectroscopy techniques have significantly improved the detection of phenolic compounds in roselle calyx. Apart from the aforementioned methods, the currently available techniques include near-infrared spectroscopy (NIR), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, and fluorescence spectroscopy [27,39,71,113–115]. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy was employed in the isolation of protocatechuic acid, gallic acid, and anthocyanin derivatives [71,113]. A combination of NMR and RP-UHPLC-MS [39] or HPLC-ESI/MS [71] was able to identify phenolics in the purified extracts of roselle. UHPLC-MS offered a higher detection level and a more reliable model than NMR, although NMR proved to be more potent in the structural characterization and precise quantification of metabolites [39]. The use of low-field NMR (LF-NMR) with NIR and fluorescence spectroscopy was reported in a study of geographical origin authentication of roselle. The study was conducted by determining compounds in 64 roselle samples from Sudan and eight from China. The resulting data were processed with a principal components analysis (PCA), hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA), and PCA combined with linear discriminant analysis (PCA-LDA). The proposed method combined with chemometrics was feasible for grouping roselle samples by country of origin [27].

Table 2. Recent chromatography methods to determine the phenolic compounds in roselle calyx. Abbreviations: N/A: not available, LC: liquid chromatography, HPLC: high-performance liquid chromatography, UPLC: ultra-performance liquid chromatography, GC: gas chromatography, TLC: thin-layer chromatography, UV-Vis: ultraviolet-visible, DAD: diode array detector, PDA: photodiode array detector, FID: flame ionization detector, MS: mass spectrophotometry, O: olfactometry, ESI: electrospray ionization, TOF/QTOF: quadrupole time-of-flight, and NMR: nuclear magnetic resonance.

| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound | Ref |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Solvent evaporation at 35 °C and the obtained residue redissolved in water | LC-DAD-ESI/MS | Column: Waters C18 (4.6 mm × 150 mm, 3 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in water (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside | [79] |
| N/A | LC-DAD-MS HPLC-PDA | Column: Dionex C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water (B) 60% methanol in water Elution: gradient Column: Dionex C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water (B) 60% methanol in water Elution: gradient | Chlorogenic acid, gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, quercetin, delphinidin-3-glucoside, and cyanidin-3-glucoside | [83] |
| N/A | LC-ESI-MS/MS | Column: C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm × 1.8 µm, 5 µm) Elution: gradient | 77 phenolic compounds | [11] |
| N/A | LC-MS-TOF-HPLC-DAD | Column: Phenomenex Gemini C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm). Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid (B) trifluoroacetic acid/acetonitrile/water (50:49:0.1) Flow rate: 1 mL/min Elution: gradient | Gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid caffeic acid myricetin 3-O-arabinogalactoside quercetin 3-O-sambubioside delphinidin 3-O-sambubioside delphinidin 3-O-glucoside cyanidin 3-O-sambubioside | [30] |
Table 2. Cont.

| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound                                                                 | Ref |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| N/A               | HPLC-PDA             |                    | Column: Gemini C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid (B) trifluoroacetic acid/acetonitrile/water (50:49.9:0.1) Elution: gradient | [109] |
|                   | HPLC-Q/TOF/MS/ESI    |                    | Quantification: gallic acid, caffeic acid, delphinidin 3-O-sambubioside, cyanidin 3-O-sambubioside, myricetin-3-arabinogalactoside, quercetin-3-sambubioside Identification: 11 derivatives anthocyanins and pyranoanthocyanins |
| N/A               | HPLC                 |                    | Gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-hydroxybenzoic, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, syringic acid, p-coumaric acid, and ferulic acid | [92] |
| N/A               | HPLC-UV              |                    | Gallic acid and protocatechuic acid | [90] |
| N/A               | HPLC-UV-Vis          |                    | Delphinidin-3-sambubioside, cyanidin-3-sambubioside, rutin, chlorogenic acid | [86] |
| The extract was   | HPLC-UV-Vis          |                    | Phenolic acids, flavonoids, anthocyanins | [57] |
| diluted in methanol|                      |                    |                                                                                  |
| N/A               | HPLC-UV              |                    | Column: Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 1.8 µm) Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min Injection volume: 10 µL Mobile phase for non-anthocyanin compounds: (A) acidified (1% acetic acid) water:acetonitrile 90:10 (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient Mobile phase for anthocyanin compounds: (A) acidified water (10% acetic acid) and (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient Instrument: microTOF, ESI-TOF mass spectrometer | [116] |
|                   | ESI-TOF-MS           |                    | Chlorogenic acid, quercetin 3-rutinoside, quercetin 3-glucoside, kaemperol 3-O-rutinoside and kaemperol 3-(p-coumarylglicoside), quercetin, 4-hydroxycoumarin and delphinidin-3-sambubioside |
| Dried extract was | HPLC-DAD             |                    | Cyanidin 3-sambubioside | [117] |
| redissolved in 4% |                      |                    |                                                                                  |
| acetic acid in water |                    |                    |                                                                                  |
Table 2. Cont.

| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound | Ref |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| N/A               | HPLC -DAD            | Column: RP C18 (25 cm × 0.4 cm, 5 mm) Mobile phase: acetonitrile:formic acid (4.5%) Elution: isocratic | Anthocyanins (delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside, delphinidin-3-O-glucoside (myrtillin), and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside) | [59] |
| N/A               | HPLC -DAD            | Column: C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm) Mobile phase: (A) water:acetonitrile:trifluoroacetic acid (50:50:0.1) and (B) acetonitrile:water:trifluoroacetic acid (10:90:0.1) Elution: gradient | Gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, caffeic acid, gentisic, chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid, benzoic acid, syringic acid, catechin, and epicatechin | [35] |
| 80% methanol extract | HPLC-DAD            | Column: zorbax eclipse XDB-C18 column (4.6 mm × 150 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) methanol 100% (B) formic acid 1%; Elution: gradient | Caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, p-coumaric acid, catechin, quercetin, hesperidin, hesperetin | [34] |
| Extract was re-dissolved in 2.5 mL methanol | HPLC-DAD            | Column: C18 reversed-phase Xbridge (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% acetic acid in distilled water (B) acetonitrile Injection volume: 10 µL Flow rate: 0.8 mL/min | Protocatechuic acid, catechin | [46] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD            | Column: C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 2% acetic acid in distilled water (B) 100% methanol Elution: gradient | Gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, catechin, epicatechin, rutin, quercetin, quercitrin, and kaempferol | [97] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD            | Column: Zorbax C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Cyanidin 3-sambubioside (Cy-3-Sa), delphinidin-3-sambubioside | [73] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD            | Column: C18 (250 mm × 4 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water/acetonitrile/formic acid (87:13:10% v/v/v) (B) water/acetonitrile/formic acid (40:50:10% v/v/v) Elution: gradient | delphinidin chloride, malvidin chloride, cyanidin chloride and pelargonidin chloride | [70] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD            | Non-anthocyanins column: C18 Poroshell (50 mm × 4 mm × 6 mm, 2.7 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% formic acid in water (B) acetonitrile Anthocyanins column: Zorbax C18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm); Mobile phase: (A) trifluoroacetic acid, 0.1% (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Flavanols, flavonols, benzoic, hibiscus and phenolic acids as well as two main anthocyanins (cyanidin 3-sambubioside and delphinidin 3-sambubioside) | [98] |
| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound | Ref |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD             | Column: hypersil ODS.2 analytical (250 mm × 46 mm, 5 μm) Mobile phase: methanol:acetic acid:water (25:1.75 v/v/v) Elution: isocratic | Caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, ferulic acid, gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-coumaric acid, p-hydroxybenzoic acid and syringic acid | [118] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD             | Column: RP Alltech Prevail C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) Mobile phase: (A) AcCN (B) 3% aq. AcOH Elution: gradient | Gallic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, chlorogenic acid and quercetin | [81] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD             | Column: Kromasil C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) Mobile phase: (A) 10 mM phosphoric acid (pH 2.5) (B) 100% methanol Elution: gradient | Caffeic acid and p-coumaric acid | [114] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD             | Column: ACE®C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) Mobile phase: (A) acetonitrile (B) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) Elution: gradient | Anthocyanins (cyanidin 3-glucoside, delphinidin 3-glucoside, malvidin 3-glucoside, and peonidin 3-glucoside) and anthocyanidins (Cyanidin chloride, delphinidin chloride, malvidin chloride, and peonidin chloride) | [119] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD             | Column: RP-ACEC18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) Mobile phase: (A) water/formic acid/acetonitrile (97.2/2.0/0.8 v/v/v) (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Anthocyanins (Del 3-O-Sb and Cya 3-O-Sb), gallic and protocatechuic acids | [120] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD             | Column: promosil C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm); Mobile phase: (A) 1.5% formic acid aqueous solution (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Delphinidin-3-Osambubioside (D3S) | [87] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD HPLC-ESI-MS | Column: promosil C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution (B) methanol containing 0.1% formic acid Elution: gradient | Delphinidin 3-sambubioside, delphinidin 3-glucoside, cyanidin 3-sambubioside and cyanidin 3-glucoside | [58] |
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD HPLC-ESI-MS | Column: Gemini C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.2% (v/v) formic acid in water, (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Delphinidin 3-sambubioside, delphinidin 3-glucoside, cyanidin 3-sambubioside and cyanidin 3-glucoside | [58] |
| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound | Ref |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| **Purification using TLC** | HPLC-DAD HPLC-ESI-MS | Column: Kinetex C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) formic acid (5%, v/v) (B) acetonitrile (5%, v/v formic acid) Elution: gradient | Anthocyanins | [88] |
| **Diluted with purified distilled water** | HPLC-DAD NMR | Column: prontosil C-18 (250 mm × 4 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in water (B) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Protocatechuic acid and anthocyanins | [121] |
| **Diluted with ultrapure water** | HPLC-DAD-ESI-TOF | Column: Zorbax C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: 50:49.99:0.01 (v/v) methanol:water: trifluoroacetic acid Elution: isocratic | Anthocyanins | [122] |
| **Diluted with solvent A** | HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS | Column: C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 4.0 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water/formic acid (99.5:0.5, v/v) (B) acetonitrile/formic acid (99.5:0.5, v/v) Elution: gradient | 3-caffeoylquinic acid, delphinidin 3-sambubioside, 3-p-coumaroylquinic acid, cyanidin 3-sambubioside, 5-cafeoylquinic acid, 4-cafeoylquinic acid, myricetin 3-sambubioside, quercetin 3-sambubioside, 5-O-cafeoylshikimic acid, 5-p-coumaroylquinic acid, quercetin 3-rutinoside, quercetin 3-glucoside, kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside | [8] |
| **Concentrated and resuspended in the mobile phase** | HPLC-DAD-MS | Column: phenomenex C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water: acetonitrile: formic acid 90:10:1 (v/v) (B) acetonitrile (v/v) Elution: gradient | Anthocyanins | [55] |
| **Dissolved in 20 mL of a methanol/water mixture (50/50 v/v)** | HPLC–DAD-MS | Identification column: ACEC18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water/formic acid/acetonitrile (99.1:0.1/0.8 v/v/v) (B) acetonitrile Injection volume: 20 µL Flow rate: 0.7 mL min Elution: gradient. Quantification: the same operating conditions as for identification with Mobile phase: (A) 97.2/2/0.8 (v/v/v) of water/formic acid/acetonitrile. | Anthocyanins, flavonols, chlorogenic acid, gallic acid, and protocatechuic acid | [100] |
| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound | Ref |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| N/A               | HPLC-DAD-MS/MS       | Column: Synergi Hydro-RP C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 4 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water–formic acid (99.5:0.5, v/v) (B) acetonitrile–formic acid (99.5:0.5, v/v) Elution: gradient | 20 identified and quantified phenolic compounds. Major found as delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside and 3-caffeoylquinic acid | [102] |
| N/A               | HPLC-PDA             | Column: C18 Phenomenax Luna (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 10 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% phosphoric acid (B)100% acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Chlorogenic acid, coumaric acid, ferulic acid, quercetin and cyanidine-3-sambubioside | [3] |
| Dissolved in 1 mL of 0.1% formic acid in water, sonicated for 5 min | HPLC-PDA | Column: Purospher STAR RP-18e LichroCART (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% formic acid in water (B) 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Ascorbic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside | [123] |
| Dissolved in 1 mL of 0.1% formic acid in water. Samples were sonicated for 5 min | HPLC-PDA | Column: Purospher STAR RP-18e LichroCART column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% formic acid in water (B) 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Gallic acid, ascorbic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside | [124] |
| N/A               | HPLC-PDA             | Column: RP C18 (3.0 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (B) acetonitrile (85, v/v, 0.085%) Elution: gradient | (+)catechin, gallic, chlorogenic, caffeic, syringic and ferulic acids | [125] |
| Purification and fractionation | HPLC-ESI-MSNMR | Column: RP-18 Lichrocart (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) [formic acid (1%, v/v) (B) MeCN(formic acid 1%, v/v)] Elution: gradient | delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside (Dp-samb) and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside (cy-samb) | [71] |
| N/A               | HPLC-ESI-TOF-MS      | Column: Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 1.8 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water plus 0.1% of formic acid (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | 22 identified and quantified phenolic compounds, including: organic acid, phenolic acid, and flavonoids | [12] |
| N/A               | HPLC-ESI-TOF-MS      | Column: Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 1.8 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water plus 0.1% of formic acid (B) acetonitrile Flow rate: of 0.5 mL/min Injection volume: 10 µL Elution: gradient | organic acids, anthocyanins, flavonoids, phenolic acid | [77] |
| N/A               | HPLC-MS              | N/A                | protocatechuic acid | [126] |
| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound | Ref |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Sonicated for 30 min | HPLC-MS | Column: Polaris C18 Amide (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.4% formic acid/water and (B) 0.4% formic acid/acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Delphinidin (De) and cyanidin (Cy), delphinidin-3-sambubioside (De-Sam) and cyanidin-3-sambubioside (Cy-Sam) | [127] |
| N/A | HPLC-DAD HPLC-MS | Column: Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse Plus C18 (100 mm × 4.5 mm, 3.5 µm) Mobile phase: ethanol and tartaric acid aqueous solution (0.25 mol/L) Elution: gradient Column: Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse Plus C18 (100 mm × 4.5 mm, 3.5 µm) Mobile phase: ethanol and formic acid aqueous solution (0.1%, v/v) Elution: gradient | Delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside | [33] |
| N/A | UPLC | Column: UPLC BEH RP C18 (50 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm) Mobile phase: (A) 0.3% phosphoric acid in water (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Anthocyanins: delphinidin, cyanidin, petunidin, peonidin, pelargonidin, malvidin | [115] |
| N/A | UPLC-DAD-ESI/MS | Column: Waters Spherisorb S3 ODS-2 C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 3 µm) Mobile phase for anthocyanin separation: (A) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in water (B) acetonitrile Mobile phase for non-anthocyanin separation: (A) 0.1% formic acid in water (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid, 4-O-cafeoylquinic acid, 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid, caffeic acid, myricetin-3-O-sambubioside, quercetin-3-O-sambubioside, quercetin-3-O-glucoside, kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside | [28] |
| N/A | UPLC-DAD-ESI/MS | Column: Waters Spherisorb S3 ODS-2 C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 3 µm) Mobile phase for anthocyanin separation: (A) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in water (B) acetonitrile Mobile phase for non-anthocyanin separation: (A) 0.1% formic acid in water (B) acetonitrile Elution: gradient | Anthocyanin and non-anthocyanin | [99] |
| N/A | UPLC-MS/MS | Column: RP HSS T3 C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm) Mobile phase: (A) formic acid 0.1% (B) acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid Elution: gradient | 36 identified metabolites, including flavonoids, anthocyanins, phenolic and organic acids | [38] |
Table 2. Cont.

| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound | Ref |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| N/A               | UPLC-MS/MS           | Column: BEH C18 (50 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm) Mobile phase: (A) ultrapure water acidified with 0.1% formic acid (B) methanol Elution: gradient | Hydroxycitric acid, caffeoylquinic acid, quercetin 3-O-glycoside, cyanidin 3-O-rutinoside, myricetin, rutin, pelargonide, cyanidin, delphinidin, 3-O-sambubioside delphinidin and cyanidin 3-O-sambubioside, quercetin hexoside, quercetin, myricitin, and 4-cafeoylquinic acid | [9] |
| The dried extract was reconstituted in the mobile phase (water with 0.1% formic acid) | UPLC-QTOF-MS-ESI | Column: BEH C18 (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7µm) Mobile phase: (A)water with 0.1% formic acid (B) acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid Elution: gradient | 34 identified extractable polyphenols (EPP), hydrolysable non-extractable polyphenols (NEPP), and 2 organic acids | [128] |
| The dried extract was dissolved in 1 mL methanol | UHPLC-MS/MS | Column: N/A Mobile phase: Formic acid 0.1% Electrospray Ionization (ESI) (voltage 3 kV; evaporation temperature 250 °C; capillary temperature 300 °C; nitrogen 40 psi, and Aux 10 psi with argon gas) | Myricetin, β-carotene, ascorbic acid | [129] |
| The dried extract was reconstituted in 300 µL of methanol | UHPLC-MS NMR | Column: Waters Acquity HSS T3 RP (150 mm × 1 mm, 1.8 µm) Mobile phase: (A) water contain 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (B) acetonitrile contain 0.1% (v/v) formic acid Elution: gradient | 33 metabolites: sugars, flavonoids, anthocyanins, and phenolic and aliphatic organic acids | [39] |
| Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) | GC GC-MS | N/A | Volatile compounds | [82] |
| The extract was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated to 0.6 mL on a Kuderna–Danish evaporator with a 12-cm Vigreux column and further evaporated to 0.2 mL with a gentle nitrogen stream | GC–FID GC-MS | Column: AT-5 ms (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.5 µm) or DB-Wax column (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) Split mode (1:50) at 250 °C Carrier gas: Helium Flow rate: 1 mL/min Same condition with GC-FID | Volatile compounds | [105] |
| Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) | GC-MS | Column: Agilent J&W DB-WAX 122~7062 (60 m × 0.25 mm, 25 µm) Split ratio of 1:10 Flow rate: 1 mL/min Carrier gas: Helium | Volatile compounds | [108] |
| Pre-Analysis Step | Determination Method | Analysis Condition | Target Compound | Ref |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----|
| **SPME**          | GC-MS                | Column: DBS-MS (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) | Volatile compounds | [107] |
|                   |                      | Splitless mode for 30 s |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Carrier gas: Helium |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Flow rate: 1 mL/min |                 |     |
| **SPME**          | GC-MS                | Column: CP-Wax 52 CB (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) | Volatile compounds | [106] |
|                   |                      | Split mode (1:10) |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Initial temperature: 60 °C (2 min) |                 |     |
| **SPME**          | GC-MS                | Column: HP5 (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) and BPX70 (70% Cyanopropyl-Polysilphenylene-Siloxane) capillary column (50 m × 0.22 mm × 0.25 µm) | Volatile compounds | [104] |
|                   |                      | Carrier gas: Helium |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Flow rate of 1.7 mL/min |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Initial temperature 50 °C |                 |     |
| **LLE**           | GC-MS-O              | Column: DB-Wax (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.5 µm) and a Gerstel ODP-2 (Linthicum, MD) sniffing port using deactivated capillary column (30 cm–0.25 mm) | Volatile compounds | [130] |
|                   |                      | Flow rate of 1.5 mL/min |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Carrier gas: Helium |                 |     |
| **Dynamic headspace sampling using Tenax TA cold trap** | GC-MS | Column: DBWax (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.50 µm) | Volatile compounds | [131] |
|                   | GC-O                 | Flow rate of 1.4 mL min |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Carrier gas: Hydrogen |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Column: DB-Wax column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.5 µm film thickness) |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Carrier gas: Helium |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Flow rate: 1 mL min; split ratio, 1:20 |                 |     |
| **N/A**           | GC-MS                | Column: direct capillary column TG ram negative 5MS (30 mm × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) | Hydrocarbons (alkan)-saturated compounds, alcoholic compounds, triazine derivatives, unsat. alcoholic compounds, unsat. ester, mercoeto compound, alkenes, primary alcohols, natural product (cholesterol) | [132] |
|                   |                      | Carrier gas: Helium |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Elution: gradient |                 |     |
| **The extract was diluted in methanol** | TLC | mixture of chloroform (CHCl3) and methanol (CH3OH) in ratio 4:1 | glycosides, alkaloids, steroids, triterpenoids, tannins, and flavonoid | [111] |
| **N/A**           | TLC                  | Column: (silica gel G60 F254 TLC plates of E. Merck, layer thickness 0.2 mm) | flavonoid | [112] |
|                   |                      | Mobile phased: butanol:acetic:water (4:1:5) and methanol:water (95:5) |                 |     |
|                   |                      | Wavelength: 365–254 nm |                 |     |
5. Phenolic Compounds in Roselle

5.1. Total Phenolics

Various studies of the phenolic compound content of roselle calyx tissue, identifying and quantifying the total and specific compounds, have been carried out over the last decade (Tables 1 and 2). Most of the studies were carried out by measuring the phenolic content as a response parameter of the total compounds, referred to as the total phenolic compounds (TPC), total flavonoid compounds (TFC), and total anthocyanin compounds (TAC). The list of studies reporting the phenolic compound contents as total compounds are shown in Table 3. Generally, the TPC was determined as gallic acid equivalents; TFC as quercetin, rutin, or catechin; and TAC as cyanidin-3-sambusioside (Cy-3-S) or delphinidin-3-sambusioside (Dep-3-S).

From various reports of the total phenolic compound levels as TPC, TFC, and TAC, the differences between studies can be observed. Besides being influenced by sample conditions such as cultivars, growing environments, and processing treatments, the variations between studies might be caused by differences in analytical methods, extraction steps, and analytical conditions. The variety of samples, combined with processing conditions and analysis methods, caused difficulty in conducting direct comparisons between studies [27,122,133,134]. For example, in determining the TAC levels of a Sudanese cultivar with a dark-red calyx, one study reported a TAC level of 107.7 mg/g (expressed as cyanidin-3-sambubioside, Cy-3-S) [27], while, in their previous study, the TAC level of the same cultivar was reported as 32.96-mg Cy-3-S/g using a different analytical method [108]. Furthermore, a sample of a Sudan cultivar from Mexico was reported to have a value of 10.99-mg Cy-3-S/g [118], while a recent study from Mexico reported a TAC level of 6.56-mg Cy-3-S/g [98].

5.1.1. Total Phenolic Compounds

Comparative studies of the total phenolic compounds from roselle to other species have been reported [34,35,63,90,92,114,115,119,132,135–141]. Roselle was reported to have the highest level of TPC compared to cabbage (Brassica oleracea) and beet (Beta vulgaris) [132]. Other studies also reported that roselle had the highest TPC level compared to four other edible flowers: carnations (Dianthus caryophyllus), sunflowers (Helianthus annuus), and purple and pink violets (Saintpaulia ionantha) [141]; ten traditional plants from the Andaman and Nicobar Islands [140]; and ten common edible plant species found in the Mediterranean region [35]. As presented in Table 3, the reported TPC value of roselle calyx ranged from 0.78 to 291.78 mg GAE/g, in which the lowest value was found in a white-calyx variety from Sudan [108], while the highest value found in “Cruza Negra”, a cultivar from Mexico [122].

Generally, the TPC value from a dark-red calyx roselle cultivar—namely, a “white Al-Rahad” variety from Sudan and “Cruza Negra” from Mexico—had a higher value compared to roselle cultivars with a light-red calyx “Criolla” or white calyx “Blanca” from Mexico. Therefore, it is assumed that the presence of pigment compounds in roselle cultivars—specifically, the anthocyanin content—might influence the TPC value. However, the cultivar with highest TAC value did not necessarily have the highest TPC value [68,142]. It was strongly suspected that white or bright-colored calyx cultivars with no detectable TAC or only trace levels might have other phenolic compounds at high levels—for example, TFC; therefore, even a white calyx cultivar could have a high TPC value [29,108].

A study investigating the effects of the genotype, environmental conditions, and their interactions on the phenolic contents of 53 roselle cultivars from several regions in Mexico revealed that the genotype alone accounted for 44% of the variation in TPC levels, followed by interactions between the genotypes and environmental conditions [142]. Regarding the environmental conditions—namely, agricultural practices—a study reported that the TPC values of roselle plants might be increased by improving the nutrient balance and physical properties of the soil. However, no significant difference was detected between the treatments and control [145]. In addition to environmental conditions, an increase in
the CO₂ pressure strongly correlated with higher TPC levels in the calyx. Differences in the CO₂ pressure in the plant environment might cause differences in the plant growth and production of carbon-based compounds, including phenolic compounds [31].

In terms of postharvest processing conditions, the drying and storage conditions of calyx roselle will also affect the TPC levels. Several studies investigated the retention of TPC in the calyx after extraction or calyx byproducts after extraction with a combination of various drying techniques [117,146–148]. One of the studies reported that the TPC value was higher with a lower drying temperature and lower airflow velocity, indicating that the phenolic compounds were sensitive to elevated temperatures [117]. The stability of the TPC in roselle towards various exposures in storage—namely, temperature, light, and pH—were also investigated in other studies [149,150]. During 22 storage days at a temperature of 4 °C without light exposure, the TPC content of the extracts in ethanol was degraded by 15%, and when stored at a temperature of 25 °C, the degradation increased to 23% and was even higher with light exposure [149]. Another study investigated the influence of the water activity or \( a_w \) on the phenolic compound stability during storage [150]. They reported that the TPC content of roselle calyx was stable at a low \( a_w \); thus, they found a value of 0.288 as the most stable value of \( a_w \) where the prevention of the polyphenol compound breakdown could best be achieved. However, \( a_w \) values above 0.329 increased the phenolic content extractability, but the degradation of the phenolic components also increased due to the release of more free phenolic compounds and enzymatic activity by swelling the surrounding tissues and dissolution of the components in the matrix.

| Country   | TPC         | TFC         | TAC                                      | Sample                                           | Ref   |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Sudan     | N/A         | N/A         | L: 0.02 mg Cy-3-G/g (Al-Ubayyid)          | 8 cultivars                                      | [27]  |
|           |             |             | H: 107.7 mg Cy-3-G/g (Al-Rahad)           | Al-Ubayyid (white), Al-Gezira, Nyala, Al-Rahad, Al-Ubayyid, Kaduqli, El Geneina, Al-Fashir, China |       |
| Senegal   | L: 19.30 mg GAE/g (Thai) | N/A         | L: 8.20 mg D-3-D-X/g (Koor)               | 4 cultivars                                      | [133] |
|           | H: 28.20 mg GAE/g (Vimto) |             | H: 17.30 mg D-3-D-X/g (CLT92)             | (Vimto, Koor, Thaï, CLT92)                       |       |
| Thailand  | N/A         | N/A         | L: 0.02 mg Cy-3-G/g (White)               | 15 genotypes                                     | [134] |
|           |             |             | H: 19.48 mg Cy-3-G/g (HAC, Dark-purple closed calyx) | Purple-Jumbo; Red-Jumbo; Pink-Jumbo; White calyx; Purple-Jumbo-Opened; Red-Jumbo-Opened; Pink-Jumbo-Opened; Purple-Jumbo-Closed; Red-Jumbo-Closed; Pink-Jumbo-Closed; Dark-purple-Closed; Dark-purple-Opened; Purple calyx-5-lobed leaf; Dark-red; Orange-Red |
| Country | TPC | TFC | TAC | Sample | Ref |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----|
| Mexico  | L: 0.14 mg GAE/g (UAN16-2) | H: 291.78 mg GAE/g (Cruza Negra) | L: 10.00 Cy-3-G/g (UAN25-1) H: 180.00 Cy-3-G/g (Cruza Negra) | 6 cultivars Cruza Negra, Criolla Huajicori, UAN25-1, UAN16-2, 4Q4, UAN6-Puga | [29] |
| Nigeria | L: 36.04 mg GAE/g (dark-red wet season) | H: 38.00 mg GAE/g (dark-red dry season) | L: 19.57 mg/g (dark-red wet season) H: 27.10 mg/g (dark-red dry season) | dark red cultivar in wet and dry season | [30] |
| Mexico  | L: 11.64 mg GAE/g (Alma Blanca) | H: 17.57 mg GAE/g (Criolla) | L: 0.12 mg Cy-3-G/g (Alma Blanca) H: 3.98 mg Cy-3-G/g (Criolla) | 2 cultivars Criolla, Alma Blanca, Criolla variety, Organic Criolla | [68] |
| Sudan   | L: 0.80 mg GAE/g (White) | H: 1.48 mg GAE/g (Al-Rahad) | L: 0.18 mg QE/g (White) H: 0.27 mg QE/g (Al-Rahad) | 4 cultivars White, Al-Gezira, Al-Fashir, Al-Rahad | [108] |
| Mexico  | L: 6.20 mg GAE/g (DMS) | H: 36.40 mg GAE/g (UAN21) | L: 1.48 mg Cy-3-G/g (DMS) H: 7.57 mg Cy-3-G/g (UAN18) | 53 genotypes Tempranilla Negra, Tempranilla Flor, Colima, Jersey Acriollada, Criolla Roja Violeta, Criolla Huajicor, Negra UAN, Criolla Morada, UAN5, Criolla Super Precoz, Criolla Puebla Precoz, Criolla Precoz, Negra Quiviquinta, China, UAN 6 Puga, UAN 31, UAN 6-1, UAN 16-2, UAN 6 Novillero, MoradaXRoja, UAN 25, UAN 7, Tempranilla Roja, UAN 23, UAN 11, UAN 24, UAN 21, UAN 8, UAN 13, UAN 17, UAN 26, UAN 27, UAN 12-1, UAN 15, UAN 24-1, UAN 12, UAN 20, UAN 22, UAN 10-1, UAN 29, UAN 19, UAN 30, UAN 16, UAN 18, UAN 21-1, UAN 10-2, 2MQ2, 3Q3, 6Q6, 7Q7, 10, CONEJA, Q12, DMS | [142] |
| USA     | L: 15.92 mg GAE/g (Jamaica) | H: 19.25 mg GAE/g (Senegal) | | 3 accessions from Jamaica, Senegal, Malaysia | [127] |
### Table 3. Cont.

| Country | TPC | TFC | TAC | Sample | Ref |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----|
| **Mexico** |     | N/A | N/A |        |     |
|         | L: 24.00 mg GAE/g (Blanca) | L: 4.19 mg QE/g (Talpa) | L: 0.00 mg Cy-cl/g, 0.00 mgDep-cl/g (JB 00001 SM) | 4 cultivars Negra, Sudan, Rosa, Blanca | [106] |
|         | H: 100.00 mg GAE/g (Real) | H: 22.6 mg QE/g (Reyna) | H: 8.73 mg Cy-cl/g, 35.35 mgDep-cl/g (Tempranilla) | 25 cultivars Americana, Tepalcatepec, Diamante, Colima, Tempranilla, Talpa, Violenta, Queseria, CriollaTala, Teconman, El Bordo, Tempranilla, Sudan, Media Luna, Pisila/Colima, JB 00001 SM, JCP 0001 T, Mutante Blanca, JF 00001 SM, JR 00001 C, Americana, Puerta de Anzar, Variedad Blanca, Real, Reyna | [122] |
| **India** |     | N/A | N/A |        |     |
|         | L: 134.32 mg GAE/g (Red) | L: 13.50 mg GAE/g (Alma Blanca, White) | L: 0.20 mg Cy-3-G/g Alma (Blanca, white) | 2 cultivars red and white | [143] |
|         | H: 154.60 mg GAE/g (White) | H: 36.50 mg GAE/g (Sudan, Dark-red) | H: 10.99 mg Cy-3-G/g (Sudan, dark-red) | 3 cultivars Alma Blanca (white), Criolla Nayarit (light red), Sudan (dark red) | [118] |
| **Mexico** |     | N/A | N/A |        |     |
|         | L: 2.80 mg GAE/g (Criolla) | L: 2.80 mg GAE/g (Criolla) | L: 1.14 mg Cy-3-G/g (China) | 4 cultivars Criolla, Rosalis, Teconanapa, China | [144] |
|         | H: 6.80 mg GAE/g (China) | H: 6.80 mg GAE/g (China) | vH: 7.24 mg Cy-3-G/g (Reyna) | 3 cultivars in different maturing stages Criolla/Criolla, Reina/Queen, China | [93] |
| **Mexico** |     | N/A | N/A |        |     |
|         | L: 16.40 mg GAE/g (Turkey/Kızılay) | L: 16.40 mg GAE/g (Turkey/Kızılay) | L: 16.40 mg GAE/g (Turkey/Kızılay) | 8 regions Kızılay, Söğütözü, Tunah Hılim, Kızılay, Ulus, Kızılay, Ulus, Söğütözü | [36] |
| **Turkey** |     | N/A | N/A |        |     |
|         | H: 49.1 mg GAE/g (Turkey/ Söğütözü) | H: 49.1 mg GAE/g (Turkey/ Söğütözü) | H: 49.1 mg GAE/g (Turkey/ Söğütözü) | 3 cultivars in different maturing stages Criolla/Criolla, Reina/Queen, China | [93] |

5.1.2. Total Flavonoid Compounds

Roselle was reported to have the highest levels of TFC compared to cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) and beets (*Beta vulgaris*) [132]. Several studies conducting comparisons of the TFC values of roselle calyx among cultivars [10,108,122] revealed a range of TFC values from 0.1805–22.6 mg QE/g (Table 3), with the lowest value in “White” from Sudan [27] and the highest value in “Reyna”, a cultivar from Mexico [122]. Unlike the TPC value, a roselle cultivar with a darker calyx color did not necessarily correspond to a high TFC value. This can be seen with the cultivar “Alma Blanca” with a white calyx color (without red pigment) that was reported to have a higher value of TFC (143.23 mg QE/g) compared to “Organic Criolla” with a light-red calyx color (57.57 mg QE/g) [68]. Similar results
were also reported by another study; “Criolla Tala” had a lower TFC value (5.3 mg QE/g) compared to “Mutante Blanca” (12.72 mg QE/g) [122].

The effects of environmental and processing conditions on TFC levels exhibited similar tendencies to the TPC—namely, soil conditions and drying, respectively. Improving the soil’s physical properties and nutrient balance was presumed to increase the flavonoid content, yet no significant difference was detected between the control and roselle plants treated with fertilizers [145]. One study investigating the effect of drying on calyx byproducts on the TFC levels reported that the TFC retention levels had the same inclination as the TPC retention levels [147]. Similarly, regarding the effects of the roselle calyx tissue particle size on the extracted components, it was revealed that the particle size resulting in a higher TPC value was also reported to have a higher TFC value [55,72].

5.1.3. Total Anthocyanin Compounds

The anthocyanin content associated with the attractive color of roselle calyx, together with the other phenolic compounds, made up a crucial quality of the calyx [29]. Roselle was reported to have the highest levels of TAC compared to cabbage (Brassica oleracea) and beets (Beta vulgaris) [132]. Roselle was also the highest levels compared to two other anthocyanin source plants: Malabar melastome (Melastoma melabathricum) and sweet potatoes (Ipomoea batatas) [138], and as infusion drinks compared to green and black teas (Camellia sinensis), roselle tea had the highest level of TAC [34]. Comparison studies of roselle cultivars from various regions around the globe (Sudan [27], Mexico [122], Senegal [133], Thailand [134], Mexico [93,106,118,122,142], and India [151]) compiled an overall TAC level ranging from 0.00 to 180.0 mg Cy-3-G/g. The lowest value was found in a white calyx cultivar in which anthocyanin compounds were barely detected. The highest value of TAC reported was in “Cruza Negra” from Mexico [122]. Similar to the TPC value, the darker the calyx color, the higher the TAC value compared to a calyx with a lighter color or white color, due to the absence of anthocyanins [27,122].

Roselle genotypes reportedly accounted for 60–80% of the variations in calyx colors and 76% of the variations in the TAC values [142]. The interaction between the genotype and environment accounted for 62% of the variation in the calyx color tone, which was influenced by the presence of anthocyanins [142]. Similar to the TPC value, the TAC values were also influenced by the growing environment of the plants—namely, an increase in the ambient CO₂ content resulted in calyces with higher TAC levels [31]. Another study reported that spraying copper on roselle leaves at a certain dose and frequency significantly increased the nutrient contents and levels of the TAC in the calyx without affecting the yield of the dry calyx [152]. Differences in the calyx maturity at harvest were also found to influence the variations in the TAC values between cultivars [93].

The influence of the processing conditions on the calyx, such as the administration of hot [117] and cold temperatures [153], also reportedly created variations in the TAC values. In contrast to a lower temperature and lower drying air velocity, a higher temperature (up to 80 °C) during drying resulted in a higher polymeric color, which suggested that the increase in drying temperature might facilitate the polymerization of anthocyanins and speed up the loss of the moisture content. The polymeric color indicates the formation of anthocyanin complexes with other phenolics that might provide greater stability [117]. In a study of roselle juice production from calyces with variations in a cold temperature treatment (5 °C, −19 °C, and −80 °C), the roselle juice with the lowest TAC value was obtained from calyx tissue stored at a freezing temperature (−80 °C) due to the changes in the pH caused by salt precipitates in condensed solutions. Preserving other nutritional attributes such as ascorbic acid, the cold temperature treatment also affected the sensory value and the resultant color of the juice [153]. Furthermore, a significant correlation was reported between the sensory perception and TAC value of roselle beverages [154].

Various studies have also been conducted to examine the stability of anthocyanins toward various conditions—namely, the pH [138], temperature [61], light exposure, or a combination of these conditions [76,94,149,155]. Generally, anthocyanins in aqueous or
ethanolic extracts tend to be stable at low temperatures, low light exposure, and low pH. Furthermore, it was also reported that anthocyanins from roselle at low pH showed high stability against temperature changes up to 60 °C and increased anthocyanin degradation rates between 80 °C and 100 °C [76]. The stability of anthocyanins against digestive enzymes was also carried out through in vitro studies. As a result, anthocyanin degradation in the extract was reported as 34.3% and 64.49% after in vitro simulations of digestive and colonic fermentation, respectively. The high degradation of anthocyanins was related to its sensitivity to alkaline conditions in the gastrointestinal tract, the secretion of bile salts, and various digestive enzymes [9].

5.2. Phenolic Acids

Roselle phenolic acids in the forms of hydroxybenzoic acid (gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, syringic acid, vanillic acid, genistic acid, and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid) and hydroxycinnamic acid (chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, p-coumaric acid, 3-p-coumaroylquinic acid, 5-p-coumaroylquinic acid, 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, and 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid) have been quantified in various studies, as presented in Table 4. Other phenolic acids identified in roselle calyx were neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, methyl digallate, methyl chlorogenate, dihydroferulic acid-4-O-glucuronide, methyl chlorogenate isomer II, 5-O-caffeoyl shikimic acid, ethyl chlorogenate, and ethyl chlorogenate isomer II [12].

Protocatechuic acid and gallic acid were also reported as the major compounds found in roselle, and their levels in the flower calyx had the highest values compared to the nine other plants from the Mediterranean region [35]. The level of protocatechuic acid in roselle was also reported to have the highest value (163.2 mg/100 g for roselle) compared to the other edible flowers—namely, rose (Rosa hybrida) and camellia flowers (Camellia japonica) [90]. The protocatechuic and caffeic acid contents were reported higher in white calyx roselle than in the red calyx roselle [118]. In acidified-methanolic roselle calyx extract, 3-caffeoylquinic acid was reported as a major phenolic compound [102], while in the acidified-aqueous extract, the major compounds were chlorogenic, p-coumaric, and caffeic acids [92].

Some studies reported the effect of environmental conditions and postharvest processing on the level of individual phenolic acid contents [30,31,46]. The levels of chlorogenic and caffeic acids in roselle calyx were higher in the growing environments with higher ambient CO₂ contents than the normal conditions [31]. The contents of caffeic acid, gallic acid, and 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid in roselle were reported to be higher in the rainy season, while the content of protocatechuic acid was lower in the dry season [30]. An effect of postharvest drying treatments on the levels of protocatechuic acid was reported to have high levels in a drying treatment with a solar greenhouse and intermittent heat pumps compared to hot air and heat pumps [46].

The extraction conditions also affect the content of phenolic acids. Using ethanol as the extraction solvent resulted in an extract with higher levels of gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, quercetin, caffeic acid, and ferulic acid compared to ethyl acetate [81]. Meanwhile, from the results of a comparison study of the conventional maceration and ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) methods, the extract produced from the UAE method contained 4-cafeoylquinic acid, which was higher than conventional maceration [9].
### Table 4. Phenolic acids from roselle calyx. Abbreviations: N/A: not available, nd: not detected, GlA: gallic acid, PhA: protocatechuic acid, SyA: syringic acid, VaA: vanillic acid, GeA: genistic acid, 4-HyA: 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, ChA: chlorogenic acid, CfA: caffeic acid, FeA: ferulic acid, p-Ca: p-coumaric acid, 3-p-CA: 3-p-coumaroylquinic acid, 5-p-CA: 5-p-coumaroylquinic acid, 3-O-CfA: 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid, 4-O-CfA: 4-O-cafeoylquinic acid, and 5-CfA: 5-cafeoylquinic acid.

| Country  | Cultivar | GlA (mg/g) | PhA (mg/g) | SyA (mg/g) | VaA (mg/g) | GeA (mg/g) | 4-HyA (mg/g) | ChA (mg/g) | CfA (mg/g) | FeA (mg/g) | CoA (mg/g) | p-Ca (mg/g) | 3-p-CA (mg/g) | 5-p-CA (mg/g) | 3-O-CfA (mg/g) | 4-O-CfA (mg/g) | 5-CfA (mg/g) | Ref |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Iran     | N/A      | 1.09       | 5.08       |            |            |            |              | 0.07       |            |           |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [34]        |
| Thailand | N/A      |            |            |            |            |            |               |            | Nd–5.76    | 0.09–13.84 | 2.23–10.84 |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            |            |
| Malaysia | UKMR-2   | 5.11       | 0.76       |            |            |            |              |            |            |           |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [123]       |
| Malaysia | UMKL-1   | 4.06       | 1.58       |            |            |            |              |            |            |           |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [123]       |
| Malaysia | UKMR-2   | 0.63       | 0.2        |            |            |            |              |            |            |           |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [31]        |
| Brazil   | N/A      |            |            |            |            |            |               |            | Nd         |           |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [102]       |
| Thailand | N/A      | 2.44       | 0.72       |            |            |            |              |            |            | 0.07      | 0.04       | 0.79        | 0.01           | 0.68           |                |                |            |            |
| Egypt    | N/A      | 0.91       | 1.63       |            |            |            |              |            |            |           |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            |            |
| Nigeria  | N/A      | 0.23–0.35  | 0.14–0.18  |            |            | 0.30–0.35  | 5.30–4.90    |            | 3.19–4.01 | 3.19–4.90 |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [30]        |
| Nigeria  | N/A      |            |            |            |            |            |               |            | 67.12      | 15.38     |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [97]        |
| Portugal | N/A      |            |            |            |            |            |               |            | 2.60       | 1.44      | 1.53       |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [99]        |
| Brazil   | Alma Blanca | nd       | 0.26      | nd         |            | 0.30       | 1.88         | 0.49       | 0.01      | nd        | nd         |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [118]       |
| Thailand | N/A      | 0.75       | 0.39       | 0.06       |            |            |              |            |           |           |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [81]        |
| Thailand | N/A      |            |            |            |            |            |               |            | 0.34      | 0.13      |            |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [114]       |
| Jordan   | N/A      | 0.38       | 0.42       | 0.01       | 0.20       | 3.00 × 10⁻³ | 1.40 × 10⁻³ | 0.01      | 0.11      |            |            |             |                 |                |                |                |            | [35]        |
The isolation of individual phenolic acids from roselle extract and their pharmacological activities have been reported in several studies—namely, protocatechuic acids for their anti-urease activity [126] and gallic acid–protocatechuic acids for their potential antidiabetic effects [113]. Other phenolic acids reported to have antidiabetic potential were caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid [114], and rosmarinic acid [57]. Ferulic acid and chlorogenate esters found in methanolic roselle extract are reported to have antihypertensive potential through angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory (ACEI) activity [38]. Chlorogenic acids in a roselle aqueous extract were reported to have anti-hyperlipidemia potential through in vivo studies using animal trials [116]. Other studies also reported the results of studies of extracts containing chlorogenic (67.12 mg/g) and caffeic acids (15.38 mg/g) having neuroprotective activity through testing the activity of acetylcholinesterase (AChE), butyrylcholinesterase (BChE), monoamine oxidase (MAO), and ecto-5-nucleotidase (E-NTDase) [97].

5.3. Flavonoids

The flavonoids found in roselle were in the form of flavan-3-ols (epicatechin, (+)-catechin, (−)-epigallocatechin, and (−)-epigallocatechin gallate); flavonols (kaempferol and kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside); myricetin (myricetin 3-sambubioside); quercetins (quercetin 3-O-glucoside, quercetin 3-sambubioside, quercetin 3-rutinoside, and quercetin pentosylhexoside); rutin; quercitrin; and flavanones (hesperitin and hesperidin), as presented in Table 5. The most frequent reported flavonoids in roselle calyx tissue were quercetins [3,34,81,99,102]. Gossypetin, quercetin, sabdaretin, and hibiscetin are major compounds identified among the flavonoids found in an acidified-methanol extract [121].

Differences in the molecular regulatory process were assumed to be the cause of the higher level of flavanols detected in white calyx roselle “Blanca” compared to several red calyx cultivars [98]. Other factors that might cause variations in individual flavonoid contents in roselle calyx were the growing season and drying processes [30,46]. Myricetin 3-O-arabinogalactoside and quercetin 3-O-sambubioside were reported to have higher values when planted in the wet season than in the dry season [30]. At postharvest processing, the catechin content of roselle calyx after a drying process using a heat pump was higher than hot air drying, solar greenhouse drying, and solar greenhouse with intermittent heat pump drying [46].

The functional properties of flavonoids isolated from roselle calyx have also been investigated—namely, the antianxiety activity and antidepressant activity of gossypetin [40]. Kaempferol in a methanolic extract was confirmed as a potential antihypertensive through ACEI activity [38]. Quercetin functions as a diuretic through a oxide nitric mechanism that will increase the renal vasorelaxation [156] and as an antidiabetic through the inhibition of α-amylase and α-glucosidase activity [57]. Myricetin has potential as an antiaging agent [129]. In addition, extracts containing catechin, quercetin, and rutin were reported to have neuroprotective potential [97].

Anthocyanins

The isolation of individual anthocyanin compounds from roselle calyx has been conducted in several studies [71,86–88], revealing glycosylated anthocyanins with three or more sugar moieties [71] and octanoyl derivatives delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside and cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside [88], as presented in Table 6. Cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside and delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside were reported as two major anthocyanin compounds of roselle calyx [33,58,71,83,86,115,121,123].
Table 5. Flavonoid compounds from roselle calyx (mg/g). Abbreviations: N/A: not available, nd: not detected, tr: trace, Cth: (+)-Catechin, Epc: Epicatechin, Epg: (-)epigallocatechin, EpgG: (-)epigallocatechin gallate, Kmf: Kaempferol, K3-O-R: Kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside, Myr: Myricetin, Myr-3-S: Myricetin 3-sambubioside, Myr-3-O-A: Myricetin 3-O-arabinogalactoside, Qrc: Quercetin, Qrc3-O-G: quercetin 3-O-glucoside, Qrc3-O-S: Quercetin 3-sambubioside, Qrc3-O-R: Quercetin 3-rutinoside, Qrc-pe: Quercetin-pentosylhexoside, Rtn: Rutin, Qrin: Quercitrin, Hptn: Hesperitin, and Hpdn: Hesperidin.

| Country   | Cth | Epc | Epg | EpgG | Kmf | K3-O-R | Myr | Myr-3-S | Myr-3-O-AS | Qrc  | Qrc3-O-G | Qrc3-O-S | Qrc3-O-R | Qrc-pe | Rtn | Qrin | Hptn | Hpdn | Ref |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|--------|-----|---------|------------|------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-----|------|------|------|-----|
| Iran      | 0.92|     |     |      |     |        |     |         |            | 0.16 | 0.51     | 0.14     |          |        |     |      |      |      | [34]|
| Thailand  | 0.57–2.03 |     |     |      |     |        |     |         |            |      |          |          |          |        |     |      |      |      | [3] |
| Brazil    | 0.02|     |     |      |     |        |     |         |            | 0.04 | 0.01     | 0.09     | 0.08     |        |     |      |      |      | [102]|
| Nigeria   | 0.28–0.35 |     |     |      |     |        |     |         |            | 0.21–0.24 |          |          |          |        |     |      |      |      | [30]|
| Nigeria   | 53.21| 12.75 | 3.51 | 15.03|     |        |     |         |            | 12.29| 16.25    | 3.86     |          |        |     |      |      |      | [97]|
| Portugal  | 1.03|     |     |      |     |        |     |         |            | 0.96 | tr       | 1.07     |          |        |     |      |      |      | [99]|
| Thailand  | 0.45|     |     |      |     |        |     |         |            |      |          |          |          |        |     |      |      |      | [81]|

Table 6. Anthocyanin compounds from roselle calyx (mg/g). Abbreviations: N/A: not available, nd: not detected, Cy-3-O-S: cyanidin-3-O-sambubioside, Cy-3-O-G: cyanidin-3-O-glucoside, Cy-3,5-O-Dg: cyanidin 3,5-O-diglucoside, Cy-3-O-6Sg: cyanidin 3-O-(6”-succinyl-glucoside), Cy-3-O-R: Cyanidin 3-O-rutinoside, Cy-3-O-Dmg: cyanidin 3-O-(3”,6”-O-dimalonil-glucoside), Cy-3-O-Dxg: Cyanidin 3-O-(6”-dioxalil-glucoside), Dep-3-O-S: delphinidin-3-O-sambubioside, Dep-3-O-G: delphinidin 3-glucoside, Dep-3-O-A: Delphinidin-3-O-arabinoside, Dep-3-O-Fg: Delphinidin 3-O-feruloiil-glucoside, Dep-3,5-O-Dg: Delphinidin 3,5-O-diglucoside, Mal-3-G: malvidin 3-glucoside, Pet-3,5-O-Dg: Petunidin 3–5,-diglucoside, Pet-3-O-G: Petunidin 3-O-glucoside, Pet-3-O-Gs: Petunidin 3-O-galactoside, Pel-3-O-G: Pelargonidin 3-O-glucoside, Cy-cl: Cyanidin chloride, Dep-cl: Delphinidin chloride, Mal-cl: Malvidin chloride, Peo-cl: Peonidin chloride, and Pel-cl: pelargonidin chloride.

| Country | Cultivar | Anthocyanin |
|---------|----------|-------------|
|         |          | Cy-3-O-S    | Cy-3-O-G    | Cy-3,5-O-Dg | Cy-3-O-6Sg | Cy-3-O-R | Cy-3-O-Dmg | Cy-3-O-Dxg | Dep-3-O-S | Dep-3-O-G | Dep-3-O-A | Dep-3-O-Fg | Dep-3,5-O-Dg | Mal-3-G | Pet-3,5-O-Dg | Pet-3-O-G | Pet-3-O-Gs | Pet-3-O-G | Pel-3-O-G | Cy-cl | Dep-cl | Ref |
| Thailand| N/A      | nd-0.56     |             |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         |      |
| Malaysia| UKMR-2   | 8.80        | 18.98       |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [123] |
| Malaysia| UMKL-1   | 2.91        | 7.10        |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [123] |
| Malaysia| UKMR-2   | 1.39        | 3.18        |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [31]  |
| Portugal| N/A      |             |             |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [79]  |
| Brazil  | N/A      | 0.70        | 2.18        |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [102] |
| Nigeria |         | 3.06–5.17   | 16.10–21.20 | 0.42–0.76   |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [30]  |
| Mexico  | N/A      | 1.10 × 10^{-3} | 6.10 × 10^{-3} | 1.81 × 10^{-3} | 1.00     | 5.90 × 10^{-3} | 3.40 × 10^{-3} | 0.04   | 3.40 × 10^{-3} | 2.70 × 10^{-3} | 3.10 × 10^{-3} | 1.00 × 10^{-3} | 7 × 10^{-4} | 1.40 × 10^{-3} | 2.17 × 10^{-3} |          |      |         | [55]  |
| Mexico  | Negra    | 96.58       | 202.09      |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [73]  |
| Mexico  | Sudan    | 88.71       | 224.96      |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [73]  |
| Mexico  | Rosa     | 14.26       | 27.46       |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [73]  |
| Portugal| N/A      | 4.08        | 7.00        | 1.30        |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [99]  |
| South Africa | N/A |         |             |             |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [70]  |
| Saudi Arabia | N/A | 3.81 | 0.46 | 4.11 | 0.15 |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [58]  |
| Mexico  | N/A      | 2.77        |             |             |           |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [117] |
| Mexico  | N/A      | 0.75        | 1.33        | 0.08        |             |          |           |            |            |           |           |            |             |          |              |            |            |            |          |      |         | [59]  |
The content of anthocyanins in the roselle calyx will determine its color [98], and the genotype accounted for 60–80% of the variation in the calyx color [142]. Compared to roselles with a light-red color and those without red pigments (white cultivars), roselles with a dark-red calyx color had specific anthocyanin levels and higher antioxidant activity [39,108,127]. Specific anthocyanin compound levels were also found to be higher in certain environmental and postharvest conditions—namely, elevated CO₂ environments [31], the dry season [30], and the drying process with a low temperature and low airflow velocity [46].

Individual anthocyanin stability against temperature, light, and the presence of other phenolic compounds has also been studied [33,70,71,101,157]. An increase in temperature made delphinidin 3-O-sambubioside more susceptible to degradation than cyanidin 3-O-sambubioside [101]. One study observed that the anthocyanin retention was 87% at 50 °C, then decreased to 61% at 80 °C. The decrease in antioxidant activity began at 70 °C [70]. During light exposure, cyanidin-3-glucoside was reported to be completely degraded in four weeks, while it took seven weeks without light exposure [157]. Decreased rates of anthocyanin degradation to light exposure were reported in storage with low pH conditions [70]. Aimed to preserve the anthocyanin stability, several co-pigmentation studies were conducted using phenolic acids, such as gallic, ferulic, caffeic, and chlorogenic acids [33,71]. The addition of gallic acid was reported to retain anthocyanin stability. In contrast, an increase of ferulic and caffeic acids accelerated anthocyanin degradation [33].

5.4. Organic Acid and Volatile Compounds

The organic acid content, in the form of hibiscus acid and its derivatives, was reported to be best achieved in roselle extracts using the MAE method, with a total content of 70 mg/g [77]. Another study found hibiscus acid, hibiscus acid glucoside, and hibiscus acid 6-methyl ester, with a total range of hibiscus acid derivatives of 5.27–8.19 (mg/L), in dried and fresh roselle calyx extracts [53]. The lowest value was found in an extract of fresh calyx treated with high temperature, while the highest was in an extract of dried calyx treated with cold temperature. Other types of organic acids identified in roselle calyx include citric acid, malic acid, tartaric acid, ascorbic acid, hydroxycitric acid, hibiscus acid, and oxalic acid [16]. An infusion method reportedly produced extracts containing hydroxycitric acid that can potentially affect weight loss [39]. Other studies reported a citrate derivative roselle in methanol extract as a potential antihypertensive due to the ACE inhibitory activity [38]. The results of the isolation of hibiscus acid from the roselle study were reported at 13 g/kg in the dried calyx and had the ability to inhibit pathogenic bacteria such as Escherichia coli and Salmonella [41].

The volatile content of roselle tissue was reported in several studies, both comparative studies between cultivars from different countries [104–108] and comparative studies between extraction methods [82,130]. Employing the GC-SPME (solid-phase microextraction) method, one study reported roselle with purple calyx had more major volatile compounds from fatty acid derivatives than roselle with red calyx [104]. From cultivar comparison studies, roselle with red calyx had a preferred aroma compound profile compared to a white calyx cultivar [108]. Sugar and fatty acid derivatives were reported as major volatile classes [104,107]. Other studies reported that geraniol is a major compound found from “Negra”, “Rosa”, “Blanca”, and “Sudan” [106].

A study comparing the effects of extraction temperature and time to volatile compound contents reported that roselle extracted by a cold infusion method for 24 h resulted in higher total volatile compounds than those extracted by heat infusion for 16 or 40 min. However, the identification of volatile compounds in all three beverages resulting from infusion showed the same types of compounds—namely, alcohols, furans, acids, ketones, aldehydes, volatile phenols, lactones, pyranone, pyrrole, terpene, and ester [130].
6. Functional Properties

6.1. Antioxidant Activity

Roselle is known as a plant that provides many health benefits—namely, as a source of antioxidants [14,15]. The antioxidant activity of roselle calyx has been confirmed in various studies [92,129,155]. Studies measuring the antioxidant activity of roselle calyx were conducted both through the study of extract characterizations [66,102,115,121,158], comparisons between cultivars [10,29,36,108,112,122] and between species [34,90,92,114,115,119,137,139], calyx processing treatments [125,147], and the comparison of extraction conditions [3,28,58,64,65,81,82,99,111] and extraction optimization [9,67,76]. A comparison study of roselle to other edible flowers reported that orange roselle had a higher antioxidant activity and TPC than four other edible flowers [141], making roselle become one of edible flower potential to be used in food development [159]. A similar result was reported by a study from the Mediterranean region comparing the antioxidant activity of roselle to other herbs [35]. The high antioxidant activity of roselle might be due to its protocatechuic acid and gallic acid compounds [35]. The antioxidant capacity was also frequently attributed to the existence of phenolic acid and flavonoids [160]. Cyanidin-3-glucoside, delphinidin-3-sambubioside, delphinidin-3-glucoside, and cyanidin-3-sambubioside from roselle extracts were reported to have high activity in 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) inhibition [76].

Some methods frequently used in measuring the antioxidant activity of roselle calyx are the 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical assay, ferric ion-reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay, and 2,2′-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid (ABTS) assay. In addition, several studies have reported antioxidant activity by using the method of the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay, ion-reducing capability assay, and ion-chelating assay. The most widely used method of measuring the antioxidant activity of roselle calyx was the DPPH assay [3,9,10,28,29,31,34,36,57,58,64–67,70,74,76,81,82,90,92,95,102,111,114,115,118,119,121,122,125,129,137,139,145,147,155,158,161]. The determination of the antioxidant activity was conducted through the measurement of free radical activity (diphenyl-picryl-hydrazyl) inhibition by antioxidant compounds via hydrogen atoms transfer and transforming the radicals into a nonradical compound (di-phenyl-picryl-hydrazine), which was characterized by a change in the mixture color from purple to yellow. Using the ABTS method, measurements of antioxidant activity are based on the interaction between the pre-generated ABTS radical cation with an antioxidant agent [162]. Antioxidant compounds will remove the color of the radical mono cation (ABTS+). The use of the ABTS method for the measurement of the antioxidant activity of roselle calyx was reported in several studies [57–59,73,92,98,102,116,117,119,125,127,129,147,150,155,161]. Some studies used the FRAP method to determine the antioxidant properties of roselle calyx [9,58,64,73,92,98,108,116,119,125,129,130,155,161]. In the FRAP method, the antioxidant activity is measured through the ability of antioxidant compounds to reduce ferric tripyridyltriazine (Fe(III)TPTZ) to a ferro-tripyridyl-triazine complex (Fe(II)TPTZ).

The results using the DPPH method reported 92% scavenging activity in an acidified-ethanolic extract [66] and 97% in an acidified-methanol extract [121]. A red calyx cultivar containing a high level of total anthocyanin also showed high antioxidant activity [115]. Nevertheless, a high antioxidant activity of roselle white calyx cultivar related to a high content of flavonoids and phenolic acids [10,27,68,143]. The white calyx cultivar “Alma Blanca” was reported to have the highest total phenolic acids, identified as caffeic and protocatechuic acid derivatives, compared to red calyx cultivars [118].

Similar to the TPC, TFC, and TAC, the antioxidant activity of roselle was influenced by environmental and processing conditions. Elevated ambient CO₂ contents [31] and improving soil nutrients and physical properties [145] were reported to result in calyces with higher phenolic compound antioxidant activities. Furthermore, the extraction method used also influenced the antioxidant activity of the extract. Besides containing higher phenolic compounds, UAE was reported to extract a higher antioxidant activity than conventional maceration [10].
6.2. Other Health Activities

Roselle was famous as an ancient medicine due to its apparent efficacy [14]. In addition to having a high antioxidant activity, this section will discuss various studies of phenolic compound activities from roselles related to health benefits.

6.2.1. Anti-Diabetes

A meta-analysis study confirmed the benefits of roselle consumption as an antidiabetic [17]. Various studies were conducted to find out the potential of roselle phenolic compounds as an antidiabetic activity. The studies were conducted by measuring the inhibition of \( \alpha \)-amylase and \( \alpha \)-glucosidase [30,57,109,113,114,163]. \( \alpha \)-amylase and \( \alpha \)-glucosidase are the main enzymes in carbohydrate metabolism. The inhibition of both enzymes could potentially be included in diabetic therapy to lower high blood glucose levels. Several phenolic compounds were reported as having antidiabetic activity—namely, caffeic acid [114], gallic acid, and protocatechuic acids [113]. Another study reported that infusion drinks from calyx roselle containing rosmarinic acid also showed similar antidiabetic activity [57].

6.2.2. Anti-Hyperlipidemia and Anti-Obesity

The effects of roselle consumption in metabolic syndrome therapy, especially in lipid profile improvement, were also confirmed through various meta-analytical studies [17–19]. The reported mechanism was by lowering the levels of the total and LDL cholesterol. One study mentioned that the phenolic compound with anti-obesity potential was hydroxycitric acid [39]. The identification of phenolic compounds from roselle extract with the effect of decreasing the blood triglyceride levels of animals with a high-fat diet discovered 16 compounds, and further quantification showed that the dominant phenolic compounds were hibiscus acid, hydroxycitric acid, delphinidin 3-sambubioside, and cyanidin 3-sambubioside [116].

6.2.3. Antihypertension

Roselle was known in ancient medicine, because one of its reported health benefits was as an antihypertensive; several meta-analytical studies confirmed this benefit, with the results that roselle consumption decreased systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) [19,20]. Several studies reported the antihypertension potential of phenolic compounds through the measurement of ACEI activity [38,68]. Some phenolic compounds reported having ACEI activity were ferulic acid, kaempferol glycosides, chlorogenate esters, and citrate derivatives [38].

6.2.4. Diuretic

In response to the ethnomedical use of roselle infusions and beverages, studies have confirmed the antidiuretic potential in the aqueous extract. These diuretic, as well as natriuretic, properties would augment the ACEI activity and support the antihypertensive and renoprotective action of roselle extract—namely, through vascular smooth muscle relaxation. The quercetin content in the extract was assumed to influence the vascular endothelium, resulting in NO release, and the inhibition of ATPase activity, affecting the Na+/K+ concentration gradient in the tubular epithelial cells of the nephron [156].

Other health benefits of roselle calyx extract containing a phenolic compound are: (1) anti-urease: the roselle protocatechuic acid inhibitory properties against jack bean urease (JBU) and toxic effects on human gastric epithelial cells (GES-1) [126]; (2) anti-aging: the roselle calyx extract was evaluated for melanogenesis-stimulating activity [135] and inhibitory activity against collagenase, elastase, and hyaluronidase [129]; (3) neuroprotective: the roselle calyx extract was evaluated for the inhibition of cholinergic (cholinesterases), monoaminergic (monoamine oxidase), and purinergic (ecto-5 nucleotidase) enzyme activity [97]; (4) anticancer: the roselle extracts were evaluated for leukemia line K-562 activity [145] and against Caco-2, HepG-2, HCT8, and A549 cells [76]; (5) hematoprotective:
the roselle ethanolic extract was evaluated for antigenotoxic and antimutagenic activity [10]; and (6) the antimicrobial: roselle extract was evaluated against Gram-negative (Escherichia coli and Salmonella enteritidis) and Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus and Micrococcus luteus) [122] bacteria; Listeria monocytogenes and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) [164]; and Bacillus subtilis (ATCC 6633), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538), and Escherichia coli (ATCC 8739) [82].

7. Conclusions

This systematic review presented alternative analytical methods for phenolic compound analyses in roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) from numerous studies reported from January 2010 to January 2021. The selection of the analytical methods, including extraction, separation, and detection, was dependent on the characteristics of the sample matrices and target analytes. The appropriate analytical methods supported the attempts to study various types of phenolic compounds in roselle with a wide variety of biological activities that are beneficial to human health. The major phenolic compounds naturally present in the red calyx cultivars were anthocyanins, i.e., cyanidin-3-sambubioside and delphinidin-3-sambubioside; the white-calyx cultivars mostly contained flavonoids and phenolic acids. The contents of the phenolics in roselle are affected by cultivars, the production environment, and harvest and postharvest methods. Specific types of phenolic compounds provide particular advantages to human health. The compounds related to antidiabetic activity, for instance, were caffeic acid, gallic acid, and protocatechuic acid. In comparison, some other phenolic compounds exerted ACEI activity, such as ferulic acid, kaempferol glycosides, and chlorogenate esters. The information discussed here could trigger further research in order to take greater advantage of roselle by assisting with the selection of analytical tools for the determination of beneficial compounds, as well as presenting the significant effects of these compounds on human health.
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