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Abstract: This paper employs Lie symmetry analysis to recover cubic–quartic optical soliton solutions to the Lakshmanan–Porsezian–Daniel model in birefringent fibers. The results are a sequel to the previously reported work on the same model in unpolarized fibers. Dark, singular, and straddled optical solitons that emerged from the scheme are presented.
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1. Introduction

The concept of cubic–quartic (CQ) optical solitons emerged a couple of years ago out of extreme necessity when the chromatic dispersion (CD) effect ran low. This led to replenishing the low CD count with the CQ dispersive effect so that the necessary balance between CD and self-phase modulation (SPM) was sustained for the existence and propal of solitons for long distances through optical fibers. Later, this concept was applied to the Lakshmanan–Porsezian–Daniel (LPD) model [1–4], not including the well-known and most visible one: the nonlinear Schrödinger’s equation. The vector-coupled LPD equation in birefringent fibers was considered with the aid of the extended version of Jacobi’s elliptic function expansion scheme in [1], where Jacobi’s doubly periodic wave solutions are found. These solutions, in the limiting case, give rise to dark solitons, singular solitons or periodic solutions. The generalized LPD model with arbitrary refractive index is considered via the Jacobi and Weierstrass elliptic functions in [2], where solitary waves corresponding to optical solitons are recovered for an arbitrary refractive index. Periodic waves are also revealed for the classical case at $n = 1$ and for the new case $n = 1/2$. The...
The perturbed LPD model is investigated, with the aid of the Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur (AKNS) hierarchy, to discover novel soliton breathers on the zero background in [3], where the specific conditions for the appearance of the standing and moving soliton breathers are also derived. Additionally, simple formulas for the soliton-breather periods are structured to understand the possibilities for controlling breather dynamics. The LPD equation in polarization-preserving fibers is discussed by virtue of the complete discrimination system for the polynomial method to obtain a complete list of all envelope patterns and to show a variety of dynamical properties of the patterns in [4], where solitons, singularity, periodicity, and double periodicity emerged from the exact parameter condition of existence for each pattern. Several results have been recovered using the CQ–LPD model with its scalar version [5–7]. The CQ–LPD equation is adopted using the Lie symmetry analysis in [5], where straddled singular–bright optical solitons are reported using the reduced ordinary differential equations that are handled using Kudryashov’s method. The perturbed CQ–LPD model is addressed by the method of undetermined coefficients in [6], where polarization-preserving fibers and birefringent fibers are studied. The conservation laws for polarization-preserving fibers are also retrieved and enumerated. The existence criteria for the displayed solitons are also presented. The perturbed CQ–LPD model is employed for both with and without polarization in [7], where a full spectrum of soliton solutions are retrieved using the sine-Gordon equation approach. Powerful Lie symmetry analysis has been successfully applied to several models that arise in mathematical physics [1–4,6–13]. In particular, a successful application of Lie symmetry analysis to the scalar version of the CQ–LPD model, yielded dark and singular solitons [5]. Lie symmetry is one of the most powerful methods for obtaining optical solitons with the governing models in nonlinear optics. In the last few decades, Lie’s method has been described in a number of excellent textbooks and has been applied to a number of physical and engineering models. The study of the group of infinitesimal transformations, in other words, Lie group point transformations, has an important place in this method. The Lie symmetry method, developed in the 19th century (1842–1899) by the Norwegian mathematician Sophus Lie, is exceptionally algorithmic. This method systematically combines and expands famous ad hoc methodologies for constructing optical solitons with model equations in optical fiber communications.

Turning the page, and moving forward, it is about time to address the CQ–LPD model in birefringent fibers when polarization-mode dispersion kicks in. The perturbation terms are also taken into account in the vector CQ–LPD model. Lie symmetry analysis, when applied, leads to coupled ordinary differential equations (ODEs) [14,15]. These ODEs would be subsequently addressed using two powerful integration schemes: Kudryashov’s method and the improved F-expansion scheme. These lead to dark and singular soliton solutions to the model. The details of Lie symmetry analysis followed by the derivation of the soliton solutions from the derived ODEs are detailed and exhibited in the rest of the paper after a succinct introduction to the model.

**Governing Model**

The perturbed LPD equation for propagation of CQ solitons through birefringent fibers is given in its dimensionless form [6,7]:

$$
\begin{align*}
    iq_t + i\alpha_1 q_{xxx} + b_1 q_{xxxx} + (c_1 |q|^2 + d_1 |r|^2)q &= (\alpha_1 q_x)^2 + \beta_1 (r_x)^2)q^* \\
    + (\gamma_1 |q_x|^2 + \lambda_1 |r_x|^2)q + (\delta_1 |q|^2 + \zeta_1 |r|^2)q_{xx} + (\mu_1 q^2 + \rho_1 r^2)q_{xx} \\
    + (f_1 |q|^4 + g_1 |q|^2 |r|^2 + h_1 |r|^4)q + i[q_1 |q|^2 q_x + v_1 |r|^2 r_x \\
    + [\theta_1 (|q|^2)_x + \epsilon_1 (|r|^2)_x]q + (\tau_1 q_x^2 + \tau_1 r_x^2)q_x, \\
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

and

$$
\begin{align*}
    i\tau_r + i\alpha_2 \tau_{xxx} + b_2 \tau_{xxxx} + (c_2 |r|^2 + d_2 |q|^2)\tau &= (\alpha_2 r_x)^2 + \beta_2 (q_x)^2)\tau^* \\
    + (\gamma_2 |r_x|^2 + \lambda_2 |q|^2)\tau + (\delta_2 |r|^2 + \zeta_2 |q|^2)\tau_{xx} + (\mu_2 r^2 + \rho_2 q^2)\tau_{xx} \\
    + (f_2 |r|^4 + g_2 |r|^2 |q|^2 + h_2 |q|^4)\tau + i[q_2 (|r|^2)_x + v_2 |q|^2 q_x \\
    + [\theta_2 (|r|^2)_x + \epsilon_2 (|q|^2)_x]r + (\tau_2 |r|^2 + \tau_2 q^2)\tau_x, \\
\end{align*}
$$

(2)
where the complex-valued functions \( q = q(x,t) \) and \( r = r(x,t) \) represent wave profiles in birefringent fibers with the complex parameter \( i \) representing \( \sqrt{-1} \). The parameters \( \alpha_i \) and \( b_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), are the coefficients of third-order and fourth-order dispersions, respectively. Next, \( c_i \) and \( f_i \) are the coefficients of self-phase modulation, while \( h_i, g_i, \) and \( d_i \) indicate the effects of cross-phase modulation. The parameters \( \eta_i \) and \( v_i \) denote self steepening. Then, \( t_i, e_i, \theta_i, \nu_i, \eta_i, \rho_i, \mu_i, \) and \( \sigma_i \) stem from nonlinear dispersive effects along with the two components of birefringence. Finally, \( a_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \) and \( \lambda_i \) are additional nonlinear effects.

### 2. Lie Symmetry Analysis

The Lie symmetry method [5,14–17] is implemented in the coupled CQ–LPD model with perturbation terms for birefringent fibers (1) and (2) in this section. To solve model (1) and (2), the wave transformations are structured as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
q(x, t) &= u(x, t)e^{i\varphi(x, t)}, \\
r(x, t) &= w(x, t)e^{i\varphi(x, t)},
\end{align*}
\]

where the real-valued functions \( u = u(x, t) \) and \( w = w(x, t) \) are the amplitude components of the soliton, while the real-valued function \( v = v(x, t) \) is the phase component of the soliton. Inserting Equation (3) into Equation (1), we have the real and imaginary parts:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) b_1 &+ \left\{ -ua_1 - 4\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) u b_1 \right\} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - 3u \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 b_1 + \left\{ -12\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) b_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - 3\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 b_1 - 3\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) a_1 - 3\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 b_1 \right\} u^2 \\
&- w^2 (\rho_1 + \zeta_1) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 - w^2 (\rho_1 + \zeta_1) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 - w^2 (\rho_1 + \zeta_1) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
&-3w (w s_1 + \frac{2}{3} w e_1) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 4 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) b_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \left( a_1 + 4 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) b_1 \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 6 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 b_1 \\
&- 6 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 b_1 - 3ua_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + u \left\{ (\rho_1 - \zeta_1) u \right\} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 - 4 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) b_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\
&- 3\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) a_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}^2 + \left\{ -(3\eta_1 + \tau_1 + 2\theta_1) u^2 - w^2 \sigma_1 \right\} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) b_1 + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) b_1 + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) b_1 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, inserting Equation (3) into Equation (2), we have the real and imaginary portions, which due to lengthy expressions, we have not written here. For the above system of equations, let us consider a one-parameter (\( e \)) Lie group of transformations as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x^* &= x + e\xi(x, t, u, v, w) + O(e^2), \\
t^* &= t + e\tau(x, t, u, v, w) + O(e^2), \\
u^* &= u + e\eta_1(x, t, u, v, w) + O(e^2), \\
v^* &= v + e\eta_2(x, t, u, v, w) + O(e^2), \\
w^* &= w + e\eta_3(x, t, u, v, w) + O(e^2),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \xi, \tau \) and \( \eta_l \) for \( l = 1, 2, 3 \) are infinitesimals and \( e << 1 \) is a very small parameter. The associated vector field for Equation (6) is indicated below:

\[
V = \xi \partial_x + \tau \partial_t + \eta_1 \partial_u + \eta_2 \partial_v + \eta_3 \partial_w.
\]
Hence, the fourth prolongation formula \([14,15]\) for Equation (7) is considered as

\[
p_r^{(4)} V = V + \eta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \eta_1^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \eta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \eta_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \eta_3^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \eta_3^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \eta_3^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta_3^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial s}
\]

where \(\eta_1, \eta_1^0, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_3^0, \eta_3^1, \eta_3^2, \eta_3^3\), and \(\eta_3^4\) represent the extended infinitesimals. By using \(p_r^{(4)} V(\Delta) = 0\) whenever \(\Delta = 0\) in Equations (4) and (5), and other equations obtained from Equation (2), we obtain the invariance conditions. Plugging the extended infinitesimals into the invariance condition and equating the coefficients of different derivative terms that are equal to zero leads to the following:

\[
\xi = C_2, \quad \tau = C_1, \quad \eta_1 = 0, \quad \eta_2 = C_3, \quad \eta_3 = 0,
\]

where \(C_i, l = 1, 2, 3\) are arbitrary constants. Thus, we obtain only trivial symmetries.

2.1. Symmetry Reduction and Closed-Form Solutions

The transformations are employed to reduce the governing Equation (4) to the system of ODEs in this section. The corresponding characteristic equation is indicated below

\[
\frac{dx}{\xi(x, t, u, v, w)} = \frac{dt}{\eta_1(x, t, u, v, w)} = \frac{du}{\eta_2(x, t, u, v, w)} = \frac{dw}{\eta_3(x, t, u, v, w)}
\]

where \(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\) are given by Equation (9). Let us consider the following values of infinitesimals:

\[
\xi = k_1, \quad \tau = 1, \quad \eta_1 = 0, \quad \eta_2 = k_2, \quad \eta_3 = 0,
\]

where \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) are arbitrary real numbers. Now, corresponding to Equation (11), on solving Equation (10), the similarity variables are structured as the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma &= x - k_1 t, \\
u &= F(\sigma), \\
v &= k_2 t + G(\sigma), \\
w &= H(\sigma),
\end{align*}
\]

along with the dependent variables \(F\) and \(G\). For simplification, let us take the following:

\[
F(\sigma) = H(\sigma),
\]

and on substituting Equation (12) into Equations (4) and (5) and the remaining system of equations, we obtain the following system of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
(-\beta_1 - \alpha_1 - \gamma_1 - \lambda_1)F'' + & \left(12b_2 G' G'' + 3a_1 G'' + \{\mu_1 + \rho_1 + \tau_1 + \omega_1\}F\right)^2 \\
+ & 6b_1 G' + 3a_1 G'' + \{\mu_1 + \rho_1 + \tau_1 + \omega_1\}F^3 + \{\mu_1 + \rho_1 + \tau_1 + \omega_1\}F^3 + \{a_1(G')^3 + b_1(G')^4\} \quad \text{\ldots (14)}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
(-2c_1 - 3a_1 - (-2a_1 - 2b_1 - 2c_1 - 2c_1 - 2c_1)G' - \gamma_1 - 3\eta_1 - \tau_1 - 2b_1)F' + & 6b_1 F'' G'' + \{4b_1 G'' - 3a_1 G'^2 - k_1\}F + \{\mu_1 + \rho_1 + \tau_1 - \omega_1\}G'' \quad \text{\ldots (15)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(')\) indicates the derivative with respect to \(\sigma\) and \(l = 1, 2\). In Equation (15), setting

\[
4b_1 G' + a_1 = 0,
\]

we have

\[
G(\sigma) = \frac{d_1}{4b_1} \sigma + C_1,
\]

where \(C_1\) is arbitrary constant. In addition, with Equation (17), by setting the coefficients of \(F'\) equal to zero in Equation (15), we have the following:
Equations (14) and (15) reduce to

\[-(g_1 + f_1 + h_1)F^5 + \frac{3n^2}{4h_1}F'' + b_1F''' + 4(c + d)h_2^2 + 2a_1(y_1 + \theta_1 + \gamma_1 + \eta_1) = 0,
\]

with the following parameter constraints:

\[\mu_l = -\zeta_l - \rho_l - \delta_l, \lambda_l = 2\delta_l + 2\zeta_l - \gamma_l - 2h_l(2z_l + 3z_l + 3t_l + 3n_l + \eta_l),
\]

\[a_l = -3\zeta_l - 2\delta_l + \eta_l - 3\zeta_l - \tau_l - 2h_l - (2p_l + 22 + 2z_l - 2h_l + 2y_l)\tau_l.
\]

2.1.1. The Generalized Kudryashov’s Method

We obtain CQ optical solitons with the governing system (1) and (2) by solving the ODE (19) for \(l = 1, 2\). Equation (19) admits the solution form [2,12]:

\[F(\sigma) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} n_i R_i(\sigma)}{\sum_{j=0}^{M} n_j R_j(\sigma)},
\]

where \(m_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N)\) and \(n_j (j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M)\) are real-valued constants. In addition, the new function \(R = R(\sigma)\) satisfies the following ODE:

\[R'(\sigma) = R^2(\sigma) - R(\sigma),
\]

along with the solution

\[R(\sigma) = \frac{1}{1 + Ae^{\sigma}},
\]

where \(A\) is arbitrary constant. By the usage of balance principle in Equation (19), we obtain \(N = M + 1\). By taking \(M = 1\), we have \(N = 2\). So, Equation (21) becomes

\[F(\sigma) = \frac{m_0 + m_1 R(\sigma) + m_2 R^2(\sigma)}{n_0 + n_1 R(\sigma)},
\]

where \(m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0\), and \(n_1\) are real-valued constants. Inserting Equation (24), along with Equation (22), into Equation (19), we arrive at the following results:

Set-I:

\[m_0 = 0, m_1 = -m_2, n_1 = 0, f_1 = -g_1 - h_1, a_1 = \pm \frac{2\sqrt{\eta_1}}{\sqrt{\gamma_1}}, k_2 = -\frac{19n_2}{36\eta_1},
\]

\[c_1 = \mp \frac{t}{3m_2^2(3c + y_1 + \gamma_1 + \eta_1 + 3m_2 + 10n_2) + 360m_2^2n_2},
\]

where \(m_2\) and \(n_0\) are arbitrary constants. Therefore, CQ straddled optical solitons with Equation (1) are structured as

\[q(x, t) = -\frac{m_2A}{n_0[1 + A \cosh(\theta_1 - x)]} e^{((k_2 + \frac{2\sqrt{\eta_1}}{\sqrt{\gamma_1}}) t - \frac{4\sqrt{\eta_1}}{\sqrt{\gamma_1}}} + C_1,
\]

and

\[r(x, t) = -\frac{m_2A}{n_0[1 + A \cosh(\theta_1 - x)]} e^{((k_2 + \frac{2\sqrt{\eta_1}}{\sqrt{\gamma_1}}) t - \frac{4\sqrt{\eta_1}}{\sqrt{\gamma_1}}} + C_1.
\]

The CQ straddled optical solitons (26) and (27) are given with the conditions (18), (20), and (25) by taking \(l = 1\). CQ straddled optical solutions with Equation (2) are also yielded by taking \(l = 2\).

Set-II:

\[m_0 = -\frac{m_2}{2}, m_2 = 0, n_1 = 0, a_1 = \pm 4\sqrt{\eta_1},
\]

\[f_1 = -\frac{24m_2^2\theta_1 + m_2^2\gamma_1 + m_2^2\eta_1}{m_2^2}, k_2 = \frac{5n_2}{24m_2^2},
\]

\[c_1 = \mp \frac{\sqrt{3m_2^2\theta_1} + 2\sqrt{3m_2^2\gamma_1} + 2\sqrt{3m_2^2\eta_1} + 18m_2^2b_2}{3m_2^2}.
\]
where \( m_1 \) and \( n_0 \) are arbitrary constants. Thus, CQ straddled optical solitons with Equation (1) are indicated below:

\[
q(x, t) = -\frac{m_1}{2n_0[1 + A_1 \cosh(k_1 t - x) - \sinh(k_1 t - x)]} \frac{(k_2 + \frac{n_1}{n_1} k_1) t - \frac{m_1}{2n_1} x + C_1}{e},
\]

(29)

and

\[
r(x, t) = -\frac{m_1}{2n_0[1 + A_1 \cosh(k_1 t - x) - \sinh(k_1 t - x)]} \frac{(k_2 + \frac{n_1}{n_1} k_1) t - \frac{m_1}{2n_1} x + C_1}{e},
\]

(30)

The CQ straddled optical solitons are retrieved by virtue of the parameter constraints (18), (20), and (28) by taking \( l = 1 \). CQ straddled optical solitons to Equation (2) are also revealed by taking \( l = 2 \). Equations (29) and (30) represent optical vector solitons that are constituted by the two polarization components of the optical field.

2.1.2. Improved F-Expansion Approach

New solitary wave solutions with Equation (1) are reported by solving the ODE (19) for \( l = 1, 2 \). Equation (19) presumes the formal solution [5]

\[
F(\sigma) = \sum_{i=-N}^{N} A_i (b + H(\sigma))^i,
\]

(31)

where the new function \( H(\sigma) \) satisfies the ODE

\[
H'(\sigma) = z_0 + z_1 H(\sigma) + z_2 H(\sigma)^2 + z_3 H(\sigma)^3,
\]

(32)

where \( z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 \) and \( A_i \) are arbitrary constants. By the use of balance principle in Equation (19), we obtain \( N = 1 \). So, Equation (31) reduces to

\[
F(\sigma) = A_{-1}(b + H(\sigma))^{-1} + A_0 + A_1(b + H(\sigma)).
\]

(33)

Plugging Equation (33), along with Equation (32), into Equation (19), we arrive at the following results:

**Family-1:** \( (z_2 = z_3 = 0) \)

**Set-I:**

\[
z_0 = z_1 b, \quad A_0 = 0, \quad A_1 = 0, \quad f_1 = -g_1 - h_1, \quad a_1 = \frac{2z_3}{3}, \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{6}(\sqrt{3} + 1) (\eta_1 + \epsilon_1 + \theta_1 + \nu_1) - \frac{2z_1}{3} (\delta_1 + \zeta_1) - d_1.
\]

(34)

Hence, the analytical solutions of Equation (1) are formulated as

\[
q(x, t) = A_{-1} \frac{e^{i(k_1 t - x)}}{e^{i(k_1 t - x)}} e^{i((k_2 + \frac{n_1}{n_1} k_1) t - \frac{m_1}{2n_1} x + C_1)},
\]

(35)

and

\[
r(x, t) = A_{-1} \frac{e^{i(k_1 t - x)}}{e^{i(k_1 t - x)}} e^{i((k_2 + \frac{n_1}{n_1} k_1) t - \frac{m_1}{2n_1} x + C_1)}.
\]

(36)

The explicit solutions (35) and (36) are derived from the constraints (18), (20), and (34) by taking \( l = 1 \). The exact solutions of Equation (2) are also obtained by taking \( l = 2 \).

**Set-II:**

\[
A_{-1} = 0, \quad A_0 = A_1 = \text{arbitrary}, \quad f_1 = -g_1 - h_1, \quad a_1 = \frac{2z_1}{3}, \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{6}(\sqrt{3} + 1) (\eta_1 + \epsilon_1 + \theta_1 + \nu_1) - \frac{2z_1}{3} (\delta_1 + \zeta_1) - d_1.
\]

(37)

Consequently, the explicit solutions of Equation (1) are considered as

\[
q(x, t) = A_1 \frac{e^{i(k_1 t - x)}}{e^{i(k_1 t - x)}} C_2 + A_1 b + A_0 - \frac{A_1 z_0}{z_1} \frac{1}{e^{i(k_2 + \frac{n_1}{n_1} k_1) t - \frac{m_1}{2n_1} x + C_1}},
\]

(38)

and

\[
r(x, t) = A_1 \frac{e^{i(k_1 t - x)}}{e^{i(k_1 t - x)}} C_2 + A_1 b + A_0 - \frac{A_1 z_0}{z_1} \frac{1}{e^{i(k_2 + \frac{n_1}{n_1} k_1) t - \frac{m_1}{2n_1} x + C_1}}.
\]

(39)

The analytical solutions (38) and (39) are provided by the conditions (18), (20), and (37) by taking \( l = 1 \). The analytical solutions of Equation (2) are also reported by taking \( l = 2 \).

**Family-2:** \( (z_1 = 0) \)

**Set-I:**
As a result, the exact solutions of Equation (1) are retrieved as

\[ q(x, t) = \left( A_0 - A_0 \right) e^{i(k_2 t - \frac{2\pi}{a} x + C_2)} \]

and

\[ r(x, t) = \left( A_0 - A_0 \right) e^{i(k_3 t - \frac{2\pi}{a} x + C_3)} \]

The explicit solutions (41) and (42) emerged from the conditions (18), (20), and (40) by taking \( l = 1 \). The solutions of Equation (2) are also given by taking \( l = 2 \).

Set-II:

\[ z_0 = \frac{2a^2(A_0 + A_0)}{A_t^2}, \quad z_1 = \frac{2a^2(A_0 + A_0)}{A_t^2}, \quad A_{-1} = 0, \quad f_i = (-g_i - h_i)A_t^4 + 24b_i z_i^2, \]

\[ c_i = \frac{a_i^2 t - 2b_i (t + \delta_i + \eta_i + \zeta_i)}{4A_t^2 z_i^2}. \]

Therefore, the analytical solutions of Equation (1) are revealed as

\[ q(x, t) = \left( -\frac{A_1}{2A_2 (x - 2 k_1 t)} \right) e^{i((k_2 + \frac{2\pi}{a} k_1) t - \frac{2\pi}{a} x + C_1)}, \]

and

\[ r(x, t) = \left( -\frac{A_1}{2A_2 (x - 2 k_1 t)} \right) e^{i((k_2 + \frac{2\pi}{a} k_1) t - \frac{2\pi}{a} x + C_1)}. \]

The exact solutions (44) and (45) are considered with the parameters (18), (20), and (43) by taking \( l = 1 \). The explicit solutions of Equation (2) are also recovered by taking \( l = 2 \).

Set-III:

\[ z_0 = 2b^2 - \frac{3a^2}{8a^2} \frac{A_0 + A_0}{A_t^2}, \quad z_1 = 2b^2, \quad A_0 = A_1 = 0, \quad f_i = (-g_i - h_i)A_t^4 + \frac{24b_i a_i^2}{8a_i^2} + \frac{8b_i a_i^2}{8a_i^2}, \]

\[ c_i = \frac{a_i^2 t - 2b_i (t + \delta_i + \eta_i + \zeta_i) a_i^2 - 4d_i h_i^4}{4A_t^2 z_i^2} + \frac{8b_i a_i^2}{8a_i^2}. \]

Thus, CQ singular optical solitons with Equation (1) are considered as

\[ q(x, t) = -\frac{8A_0}{3a_1} e^{\sqrt{3} a_1^2 t} \left( a_1 (C_2 + (x - k_1 t) \sqrt{3}) \right) e^{i((k_2 + \frac{2\pi}{a} k_1) t - \frac{2\pi}{a} x + C_1)}, \]

and

\[ r(x, t) = -\frac{8A_0}{3a_1} e^{\sqrt{3} a_1^2 t} \left( a_1 (C_2 + (x - k_1 t) \sqrt{3}) \right) e^{i((k_2 + \frac{2\pi}{a} k_1) t - \frac{2\pi}{a} x + C_1)}. \]

The CQ singular optical solitons (47) and (48) are retrieved with the help of the parameter constraints (18), (20), and (46) by taking \( l = 1 \). CQ singular optical solitons with Equation (2) are also yielded by taking \( l = 2 \).

Set-IV:

\[ z_0 = \frac{2a^2}{4A_t^2}, \quad z_1 = \frac{2a^2}{4A_t^2}, \quad A_0 = A_1 = 0, \quad f_i = (-g_i - h_i)A_t^4 + \frac{24b_i a_i^2}{8a_i^2}, \quad A_{-1} = b = 0, \]

\[ c_i = \frac{a_i^2 t - 2b_i (t + \delta_i + \eta_i + \zeta_i) a_i^2 - 8d_i h_i^2}{8A_t^2 z_i^2}. \]

Hence, CQ dark optical solitons with Equation (1) are structured as

\[ q(x, t) = -\frac{A_0}{8A_1} \left( \tan \left( \frac{a_1 (C_2 + x - k_1 t) \sqrt{3}}{8a_1} \right) \right) \sqrt{3a_1 - 8bb_1 z_2} e^{i((k_2 + \frac{2\pi}{a} k_1) t - \frac{2\pi}{a} x + C_1)}, \]

and

\[ r(x, t) = -\frac{A_0}{8A_1} \left( \tan \left( \frac{a_1 (C_2 + x - k_1 t) \sqrt{3}}{8a_1} \right) \right) \sqrt{3a_1 - 8bb_1 z_2} e^{i((k_2 + \frac{2\pi}{a} k_1) t - \frac{2\pi}{a} x + C_1)}. \]
The CQ dark optical solitons \((50)\) and \((51)\) are obtained by the aid of conditions \((18), (20)\) and \((49)\) by taking \(l = 1\). CQ dark optical solitons with Equation \((2)\) are also given by taking \(l = 2\). Equations \((50)\) and \((51)\) stand for vector dark solitons that come from the reduction in the optical field intensity. These solitons are also less stable compared to scalar dark solitons.

**Family-3:** \((z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 0)\)

**Set-I:**

\[
\begin{align*}
    z_1 &= 0, \quad z_2 = 0, \quad z_3 = 0, \quad A_{-1} = 0, \quad A_0 = A_1 = \text{arbitrary}, \quad f_1 = -g_1 - h_1, \\
    c_i &= \frac{-\delta c_i A_1^2 - 2c_i b_1 y_1 + 2b_1 b_2 y_2 + 2a b_1 b_2 + 4d_1 b_2^2}{4b_2^2}.
\end{align*}
\]  

Consequently, the analytical solutions of Equation \((1)\) are indicated below:

\[
q(x,t) = (A_0 + A_1(z_0(x - k_1 t) + C_2 + b)) e^{i(k_2 + \frac{1}{A_1} k_1) t - \frac{g_1}{A_1} x + C_1},
\]

and

\[
r(x,t) = (A_0 + A_1(z_0(x - k_1 t) + C_2 + b)) e^{i(k_2 + \frac{1}{A_1} k_1) t - \frac{g_1}{A_1} x + C_1}.
\]

The exact solutions \((53)\) and \((54)\) are considered with the parameters \((18), (20), (52)\) by taking \(l = 1\). The explicit solutions of Equation \((2)\) are also recovered by taking \(l = 2\).

**Family-4:** \((z_0 = z_3 = 0)\)

**Set-I:**

\[
\begin{align*}
    z_1 &= 2b_2 z_2, \quad A_{-1} = A_0 = 0, \quad f_1 = \frac{(-g_1 - h_1) A_1 + 24h_1 z_1^4}{A_1^3}, \quad a_1 = \frac{8c_1 b_1}{3}, \\
    c_i &= \frac{4c_i^2 A_1^2 (b_1 y_1 + b_2 y_2) \sqrt{3(16b_1^2(b_1 y_1 + b_2 y_2) z_0^2 + 16b_1^2 b_2 z_0^2) A_1^2 + 72b_1^2 b_2^2 z_0^2}}{3A_1^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

As a result, CQ straddled optical solitons with Equation \((1)\) are retrieved:

\[
q(x,t) = A_1 \left[b + \frac{i 2^b}{1 + 2c_1 b \left(\cosh(2c_1 b (x - k_1 t)) - \sinh(2c_1 b (x - k_1 t))\right)} \times e^{i \left(k_2 + \frac{1}{A_1} k_1\right) t - \frac{g_1}{A_1} x + C_1}\right],
\]

and

\[
r(x,t) = A_1 \left[b + \frac{i 2^b}{1 + 2c_1 b \left(\cosh(2c_1 b (x - k_1 t)) - \sinh(2c_1 b (x - k_1 t))\right)} \times e^{i \left(k_2 + \frac{1}{A_1} k_1\right) t - \frac{g_1}{A_1} x + C_1}\right].
\]

The CQ straddled optical solitons \((56)\) and \((57)\) are retrieved with the help of the parameter constraints \((18), (20), (55)\) by taking \(l = 1\). CQ straddled optical solitons with Equation \((2)\) are also yielded by taking \(l = 2\).

### 3. Conclusions

The current work derived CQ optical solitons for the perturbed LPD model with differential group delay. The results are confined to dark, singular, and straddled solitons. Lie symmetry analysis yielded the ordinary differential equations that were integrated using Kudryashov’s scheme and the improved F-expansion procedure. These two approaches have visible shortcomings. They fail to recover the much-needed bright solitons, which are essential for handling soliton-propagation dynamics across intercontinental distances. Thus, in future additional integration, algorithms are to be implemented to obtain bright solitons for the governing model, which would enable one to paint a complete picture of the governing model. Moreover, the results of this work lead to additional studies, such as addressing the model with the aid of numerical schemes such as the variational iteration approach and Laplace–Adomian decomposition scheme. These results will soon be visible.
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