Association between Paraoxonase-1 p.Q192R Polymorphism and Coronary Artery Disease susceptibility in the Colombian Population

Background: Paraoxonase-1 (PON1), a glycoprotein associated with serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL), has a central role in metabolizing lipid peroxides, exhibiting antiatherogenic properties. The polymorphism p.Q192R has been previously associated with coronary artery disease (CAD) susceptibility and clopidogrel response.

Purpose: We aimed at investigating the association of PON1 p.Q192R with CAD and clopidogrel response in Colombian population.

Patients and Methods: The study was conducted among 163 patients diagnosed with CAD and treated with clopidogrel. The allele frequencies for the PON1 192Q and 192R alleles were determined in cases and Latin-American controls obtained from the public database gnomAD (n = 17,711). Response to clopidogrel was determined by assessing the platelet function using the INNOVANCE PFA-200 System. We determined the association between PON1 p.Q192R polymorphism, increased susceptibility to CAD and high on-treatment platelet reactivity (HPR) by using odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) on four genetic models.

Results: The allele frequencies for the PON1 192Q and 192R alleles were 0.60 and 0.40, respectively. The allele distribution was found to be statistically different from the control group and other ethnic groups. The allele 192R was positively associated with decreased susceptibility to CAD under a dominant model (OR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.42–0.8; P < 0.01). We found no association between the polymorphism and HPR.

Conclusion: We propose that PON1 p.Q192R is a potentially useful marker for CAD susceptibility in the Colombian population and lacks association with HPR under clopidogrel treatment.
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Introduction
Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the most prevalent cardiovascular disease and the leading cause of mortality globally, accounting for 16% of deaths worldwide. In 2019, 8.9 million deaths were caused by this disease, representing a major burden on healthcare systems, especially in developing countries. CAD is almost always due to atheromatous vessel narrowing and subsequent impaired blood flow to the heart. Blood flow occlusion results in both acute and chronic conditions, such as stable angina, acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and chronic ischemic heart disease. Non-genetic risk factors for atherosclerosis have been thoroughly described and are highly prevalent in the Latin-American population. Importantly, the complex interaction between these factors and genetic factors, including single-nucleotide polymorphisms...
(SNP), has taken a central role in understanding the pathophysiology of this disease and the extensive variability susceptibility observed in populations. Among the genetic factors, paraoxonase-1 (PON1) is one of the most studied risk factors. PON1 is a glycoprotein associated with serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and has a central role in metabolizing lipid peroxides, exhibiting antiatherogenic properties. Previous studies on animal models have shown that transgenic PON1 knockout mice developed increased lipid oxidation and atherosclerosis. Conversely, overexpression of PON1 showed opposite results. Furthermore, meta-analysis of clinical studies suggests a link between low levels of PON1 in serum and increased risk of CAD. The PON1 p. Q192R missense variant (c.575A>G, rs662) is the most extensively characterized PON1 SNP and has been associated with CAD susceptibility in numerous studies. Intriguingly, other studies could not replicate this association, whereby the role of PON1 Q192R in CAD remains controversial.

PON1 is considered a key factor for the bioactivation and clinical activity of clopidogrel, an ADP P2Y12 receptor antagonist recommended as a first-line treatment for ACS. Likewise, the PON1 Q192R variant has been associated with lower PON1 levels in serum, lower serum levels of clopidogrel active metabolites and higher platelet reactivity. Notably, extensive interindividual variability in the platelet response to clopidogrel has been observed. Previous studies have shown that approximately 83% of clopidogrel response variance can be attributed to genetic factors and between 4% and 30% patients develop therapeutic failure. Noteworthy, most of the studies conducted to prove the associations between the PON1 Q192R variant, CAD risk and response to clopidogrel have been performed on non-Latin-American populations. The lack of studies on this population limits the implementation of pharmacogenetic interventions and genetic risk estimation, therefore, new studies are required to clarify these relationships.

In this study, we performed a retrospective case–control analysis to identify the correlation between the PON1 Q192R variant, the risk of CAD and platelet response to clopidogrel in a cohort of Colombian patients with ACS. Patients were recruited from a previous study where polymorphisms on CYP2C19 and clopidogrel responses were assessed. The present study identified a positive association between the PON1 Q192R variant and decreased susceptibility to CAD. To our knowledge, this is the first case–control association study to assess the association between PON1 Q192R and CAD susceptibility in the Colombian population. These results provide an insight into the genetic factors involved in CAD pathophysiology in the Colombian population.

Patients and Methods
Sampling and Data Collection
The cohort of the present study consisted of 163 patients being treated for ACS at the Hospital Universitario Mayor – Méderi, Colombia. The study included patients older than 18 years that fulfilled the diagnostic criteria of ACS (including unstable angina and myocardial infarction with and without ST elevation) established by the Colombian ACS practice guidelines. All patients received a 300 mg clopidogrel loading dose followed by 75 mg daily for at least seven days. Individuals with abnormal hematocrit levels (<25% and >52%), platelet count <100,000, creatinine <1.5 mg/dL and/or clinical signs of impaired liver and/or platelet function were excluded from the study. Eligible patients were invited to participate in the study, and after detailed explanation, informed consent was obtained prior to sample and data collection. The control group was constituted by data from 17,711 Latino/Admixed individuals obtained from the gnomAD database v2.1.1. All experimental procedures in this study were approved by the Ethics Committee of Universidad del Rosario (Approval DVO005 990-CV1018; Institutional review board reference IV-FPC015 and ABN062) and followed the Helsinki Declaration Principles. The consent was obtained by the study participants prior to the study commencement.

Genotyping of the PON1 C. 575 A>G Polymorphism
Genomic DNA was obtained from peripheral blood leukocytes using the Quick-DNATM MiniPrep Plus Kit (Zymo research). The blood samples were collected in EDTA tubes, 5 mL for patient, and processed within 24 hours after collection. Genomic DNA was quantified using a nanodrop spectrophotometer. The samples were aliquoted and stored at −4°C until analysis. Genomic regions flanking the PON1 Q192R (c.575 A>G) variant located in exon 6 were amplified using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The primers amplification sequences were F: 5′-TGT CTA AGG ATT GTA TCG GCA GG-3′ and R: 5′-CTT CAT CAC AGT TCC CCC TCT T-3′. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 minutes; 30 cycles consisting of 95°C for 40 s, 60°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 40 s; and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were visualized on agarose gels (1.5%) by ethidium bromide staining. The PCR product length was 631 bp (Figure 1A). PCR amplified products were
sequenced through Sanger method. The reference sequence was obtained from Ensembl (ENSG00000005421). Sequences were analyzed with FinchTV v1.5.0 (Geospiza Inc.) and aligned with the reference sequence using Clustal W v2.1 for comparison (http://www.clustal.org/) (Figure 1B).

Platelet Function Analysis
Platelet function was determined using the INNOVANCE Platelet Function Analyzer (PFA)-200 P2Y system (Siemens Healthcare) following manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, this assay simulates platelet adhesion and in vitro aggregation using a membrane covered with 20 μg of adenosine diphosphate (ADP), 5 ng of prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) and 125 μg of calcium. Blood samples were collected at least 24 hours after the loading dose of clopidogrel, with a maximum of 7 days, stored in citrated tubes and processed before 4 hours post-collection. High on-treatment platelet reactivity (HPR) was defined as a closure time of less than 106 seconds as suggested by the manufacturer.

Statistical Analyses
Allelic and genotypic frequencies for PON1 Q192R polymorphisms were calculated using the SNPStats web tool (https://www.snpstats.net/). Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was estimated using a χ2 goodness-of-fit test. The χ2 test was used to compare allele frequencies between the groups. The best-fitting genetic model was chosen according to Akaike’s interpretation criterion (AIC) using the SNPassoc v2.02 R package.32 According to the results of the INNOVANCE PFA-200 P2Y assay, patients were classified in either an HPR or a non-HPR group. Statistical differences between the two groups were calculated using the χ2 test. A Kruskal–Wallis test was performed to evaluate the differences between genotypic groups according to the PFA-200 P2Y assay exact values. Allelic and genotypic frequencies were obtained from numerous studies for comparisons.11–22,33–41 The P value for significance was set at < 0.05. Analyses were conducted using R v4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2014) or SPSS v26 208 software (IBM Analytics).

Results
Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population
Demographic and clinical characteristics for the study cohort are shown in Table 1. The median age at the event was 67 years (range 45–87 years) and 63.2% patients were male. The most prevalent type of ACS in this group was the non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (63.8%). Among the patients included in our cohort 32.5% had previous history of myocardial infarction, and nearly 30% had at least one cardiovascular comorbidity (eg, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and obesity). The cohort for this study was derived from a previous study described by Angulo et al.29 The control group consisted of 17,711 Latino/Admixed general population obtained from the gnomAD database; 7371 individuals were reported as female and 10,340 as male.
PON1 Q192R Genotypic and Allelic Frequencies
A 631 base pairs fragment, containing the PON1 c.575 A>G polymorphism, was amplified and sequenced in the case-cohort. Genotypes were determined by interpretation of chromatogram peaks using the FinchTV v1.5 and assigned as homozygous for the wild-type allele AA, heterozygous AG, and homozygous for the polymorphic allele GG (Figure 1). Genotype and allele frequencies for the case and controls are shown in Table 2. AA wild-type genotype was found in 38.0% of patients, while AG heterozygous and GG homozygous individuals were 44.2% and 17.8%, respectively. The genotypic distribution for the PON1 gene polymorphism assessed in the case–cohort was in HWE. The minor allele frequency (MAF) for the R192 allele was 0.40 (R allele) compared with 0.49 in the control group ($P < 0.05$).

Allelic frequencies for the Colombian population were compared with those of other studies, including 6 populations of European ancestry, 4 Asian, 4 African, 2 from North America, and 6 from Latin-America (Table 3). Interestingly, allelic frequencies were significantly different from 15 populations (68%). East Asian populations are reported to have a higher frequency of the Q192R variant, while allele frequencies for Caucasian populations are lower ($P < 0.05$). Regarding other Latin-American populations, the cohort of Colombian patients showed higher allele frequencies than those from Mexico, Peru and

Table 1: Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Cohort

| Variable                      | Characteristic          | Number (n = 163) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Sex                           | Female                  | 60               | 36.8           |
|                               | Male                    | 103              | 63.2           |
| Age (years)                   | 30–49                   | 9                | 5.5            |
|                               | 50–70                   | 88               | 54             |
|                               | >70                     | 66               | 40.5           |
| ACS type                      | STEMI                   | 35               | 21.5           |
|                               | NSTEMI                  | 104              | 63.8           |
|                               | Unstable angina         | 24               | 14.7           |
| Body Mass Index               | Underweight (< 18.5)    | 2                | 1.2            |
|                               | Normal (18.5–24.9)      | 57               | 35             |
|                               | Overweight (25–29.9)    | 62               | 38             |
|                               | Obese (> 29.9)          | 42               | 25.8           |
| Intervention type             | Medical                 | 43               | 26.4           |
|                               | PCI                     | 84               | 51.5           |
|                               | CABG                    | 36               | 22.1           |
| Antecedent of myocardial infarction | Yes        | 53               | 32.5           |
| Smoking                       | Yes                     | 12               | 7.3            |
| Alcohol consumption           | Yes                     | 5                | 3.1            |
| Stent placement current event | Yes                     | 71               | 43.6           |
| CAD familiar history          | Present                 | 64               | 39.3           |
| Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus      | Present                 | 45               | 27.6           |
| Hypertension                  | Yes                     | 111              | 68.1           |
| Dyslipidemias                 | Yes                     | 55               | 33.7           |
|                               | Missing data            | 1                | 0.6            |
| Statin usage                  | Yes                     | 143              | 87.7           |

Abbreviations: ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CAD, coronary artery disease; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.
Puerto Rico. Moreover, allele frequencies were significantly lower than in the Argentinian population. The genotypic frequencies obtained in this study were similar to those observed in Brazil.

PON1 Q192R Has a Positive Association with CAD Susceptibility but Not with Platelet Reactivity

Association analysis was conducted under various genetic models including codominant (QQ; QR and RR), dominant (QQ vs QR-RR) and recessive (QQ-QR vs RR). All of them showed significant differences between cases and controls for the PON1 Q192R polymorphism (Table 4). According to the AIC, the dominant model was supported the best, whereas the least supported was the recessive model. Results showed that individuals carrying both a QR genotype and an RR genotype had a lower risk of CAD (dominant model: OR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.42–0.8; \( P < 0.01 \)) (Table 4).

The CT value was assessed by the INNOVANCE PFA-200 P2Y system (Siemens Healthcare). According to PFA-200 P2Y assay results, patients were assigned to the HPR (CT < 106 seconds) and non-HPR groups (CT > 106 seconds). As shown in Table 5, 56 patients were HPR and 107 were non-HPR. We did not observe an association between the PON1 genotype and the HPR group under any of the genetic models tested, the PON1 genotype or the PFA mean range values.

Discussion

HDL particles play a central role in protecting both lipids and proteins against free radical-induced oxidation and plaque formation, playing a central role in the genesis and development of CAD.\(^7\) Enzymatic components potentially contributing to the antioxidative properties of HDL include PON1, platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAFAH) and lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT).\(^{42}\) Numerous studies have shown that altered levels of PON1 lead to atherosclerosis and cardiac events, highlighting the positive association between the PON1 Q192R variant and both CAD susceptibility and 10-year survival.\(^8\)\(^{-}\)\(^{19}\)\(^{33}\) To determine this relationship in a Latin-American population, we genotyped PON1 p.Q192R in a cohort of patients with CAD. Our results illustrate a positive association between this variant and susceptibility to CAD in the Colombian population, finding that the 192R allele confers a lower risk of developing CAD under a dominant genetic model (OR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.42–0.8; \( P < 0.01 \)).

The functional and clinical relevance of PON1 in CAD development has been documented in several models. In mice, for example, PON1 overexpression demonstrated protection against atherosclerosis, whereas Pon1-deficient animals showed an increased risk of this phenotype.\(^9\)\(^{43}\) PON1 enzymatic activity is affected by several environmental and genetic factors, and among these, the polymorphism PON1 p.Q192R has been characterized as a major determinant.\(^44\) The 192Q PON1 alloenzyme showed a 3-fold lower affinity to HDL particles and, therefore, lower molecular stability, lipolactonase activity and modulatory effect on macrophage cholesterol efflux.\(^45\) A recent systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by Hernandez-Diaz et al, including 64 case–control studies, 19,715 cases and 33,397 controls, mainly Asian, European, and African populations, conclude that the 192R allele significantly decreased the risk of myocardial infarction and coronary artery disease in certain populations.\(^44\) Despite these findings, genotype analyses of PON1 as a predictor of vascular disorders have reported mixed results. For example, studies conducted in Turkey, India and Spain showed no significant differences in the distribution of PON1 Q192R polymorphism and the phenotypes assessed.\(^{46}\)\(^{48}\) Other studies have linked allele 192R and genotype RR as CAD risk factors.\(^{12}\)\(^{49}\) These contrasting results may be due to a) heterogeneity across

---

**Table 2 Allelic and Genotypic Frequencies of PON1 Q192R in Case and Control Groups**

| Genotype/Allele | Cases (n = 163) | Frequency (Cases) | HWE P value (Cases) | Controls (n = 17,771) | Frequency (Cases) | HWE P value (Controls) |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| QQ              | 62             | 0.380            |                     | 4659                  | 0.263            |                       |
| QR              | 72             | 0.442            | 0.31                | 8601                  | 0.486            |                       |
| RR              | 29             | 0.178            |                     | 4451                  | 0.251            |                       |
| Q               | 196            | 0.601            |                     | 17,919                | 0.506            |                       |
| R               | 130            | 0.399            |                     | 17,503                | 0.494            |                       |

Note: *P value < 0.05.

Abbreviations: HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; Q, glutamine; R, arginine.
| Ethnicity     | Genotypic Frequencies | Allele Frequencies and Comparison | HWE P value | Reference |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
|              | QQ n (%)              | QR n (%)                         | RR n (%)    | Q n (%)   | R n (%)   | P value |
| Europe       |                       |                                 |             |           |           |         |
| Spain        | 245 (46.3%)           | 218 (41.2%)                      | 66 (12.5%)  | 708 (66.9%)| 350 (33.1%)| 0.02*   |
| Germany      | 1420 (51%)            | 1156 (41.5%)                     | 208 (7.5%)  | 3996 (71.8%)| 1572 (28.2%)| < 0.01* |
| Netherlands  | 420 (52.9%)           | 287 (36.1%)                      | 73 (11.0%)  | 1127 (63.0%)| 661 (27.0%)| 0.32    |
| Italy        | 226 (46.6%)           | 220 (45.4%)                      | 39 (8.0%)   | 672 (69.3%)| 298 (30.7%)| < 0.01* |
| Croatiaa     | 99 (59.7%)            | 56 (33.7%)                       | 11 (6.6%)   | 198 (71.7%)| 78 (28.3%) | < 0.01* |
| Finland      | 221 (56.7%)           | 140 (35.9%)                      | 29 (7.4%)   | 582 (74.6%)| 198 (25.4%)| < 0.01* |
| Asia         |                       |                                 |             |           |           |         |
| China        | 157 (12.7%)           | 610 (51.1%)                      | 425 (35.6%) | 924 (38.8%)| 1460 (61.2%)| < 0.01* |
| Japan        | 9 (4.3%)              | 89 (42.4%)                       | 112 (53.3%) | 107 (25.5%)| 313 (74.5%)| < 0.01* |
| India        | 127 (36.3%)           | 170 (48.6%)                      | 53 (15.1%)  | 424 (60.6%)| 276 (39.4%)| 0.89    |
| Saudi Arabia | 40 (33.1%)            | 57 (47.1%)                       | 24 (19.8%)  | 137 (56.6%)| 105 (43.4%)| 0.40    |
| Africa       |                       |                                 |             |           |           |         |
| Benina       | 18 (18.4%)            | 40 (40.8%)                       | 40 (40.8%)  | 76 (38.8%) | 120 (61.2%)| < 0.01* |
| Ethiopiaa    | 62 (36.7%)            | 76 (45%)                         | 31 (18.3%)  | 213 (60.7%)| 138 (39.3%)| 0.88    |
| Morocco      | 105 (51.2%)           | 65 (31.7%)                       | 35 (17.1%)  | 275 (67.1%)| 135 (32.9%)| 0.05    |
| Egypt        | 14 (41.1%)            | 16 (47.1%)                       | 4 (11.8%)   | 44 (64.7%) | 24 (35.3%) | 0.48    |
| America      |                       |                                 |             |           |           |         |
| United States (White) | 719 (50.3%)         | 569 (39.8%)                      | 141 (9.9%)  | 2007 (71.0%)| 821 (29.0%)| < 0.01* |
| United States (African American) | 48 (10.9%)            | 186 (42.3%)                      | 206 (46.8%) | 282 (32.0%)| 598 (68.0%)| < 0.01* |
| Mexico       | 717 (22.8%)           | 1641 (51.4%)                     | 1028 (28.5%)| 3075 (45.4%)| 3697 (54.6%)| < 0.01* |
| Peru         | 11 (13.9%)            | 36 (45.6%)                       | 32 (40.5%)  | 58 (35.8%) | 104 (64.2%)| < 0.01* |
| Brazil       | 186 (39.1%)           | 212 (44.5%)                      | 78 (16.4%)  | 584 (61.3%)| 368 (38.7%)| 0.70    |
| Argentina    | 77 (6.1%)             | 35 (27.8%)                       | 14 (11.1%)  | 189 (75.0%)| 63 (25.0%) | < 0.01* |
| Costa Rica   | 230 (46.80%)          | 257 (52.2%)                      | 5 (1%)      | 917 (77.4%)| 267 (22.6%)| < 0.01* |
| Puerto Ricoa | 22 (22.2%)            | 55 (55.5%)                       | 22 (22.2%)  | 99 (50%)   | 99 (50%)   | 0.02*   |
| Other Colombians | 79 (38%)              | 90 (44%)                         | 36 (18%)    | 240 (59.7%)| 162 (40.3%)| 0.91    |
| Present study| 62 (37.5%)            | 75 (45.4%)                       | 28 (17.0%)  | 196 (60.1%)| 130 (39.9%)| –       |

Notes: *P value < 0.05; aNo case-control study.
Abbreviations: HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; Q, glutamine; R, arginine.
Table 4 Association Between PON1 Q192R Polymorphism and Coronary Artery Disease

| Model          | Control | %   | Case | %   | OR   | Lower | Upper | P value | AIC  |
|----------------|---------|-----|------|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|------|
| Codominant     |         |     |      |     |      |       |       |         |      |
| QQ             | 4659    | 26.3| 62   | 38  | 1    | 0.63  | –     | –       | < 0.01*| 1850 |
| QR             | 8601    | 48.6| 72   | 44.2| –    | 0.45  | 0.31  | 0.89    | 0.76  |
| RR             | 4451    | 25.1| 29   | 17.8| 0.49 | 0.31  | 0.89  | < 0.01* |      |
| Dominant       |         |     |      |     |      |       |       |         |      |
| QQ             | 4659    | 26.3| 62   | 38  | 1    | 0.58  | –     | –       | < 0.01*| 1849 |
| QR+RR          | 13052   | 73.7| 101  | 62  | –    | 0.42  | –     | 0.8     |      |
| Recessive      |         |     |      |     |      |       |       |         |      |
| QQ+QR          | 13260   | 74.9| 134  | 82.2| 1    | 0.84  | –     | 0.43    | < 0.01*| 1855 |
| RR             | 4451    | 25.1| 29   | 17.8| 0.96 | –     | –     | 0.96    |      |
| Over-dominant  |         |     |      |     |      |       |       |         |      |
| QQ+RR          | 9110    | 51.4| 91   | 55.8| 1    | 0.61  | –     | 0.61    | 1.14  |
| QR             | 8601    | 48.6| 72   | 44.2| 0.84 | 0.61  | –     | 0.61    | 1.14  |

Note: *P value < 0.05.

Abbreviations: AIC, Akaike’s information criterion; OR, Odds ratio; Q, glutamine; R, arginine.

Table 5 Association Analysis Between Platelet Reactivity and Genotype

| Model        | Genotype | HPR (n = 56) | Non-HPR (n = 107) | P value |
|--------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|---------|
| Dominant     | QQ       | 21           | 41                | 0.96    |
|              | QR+RR    | 35           | 66                |         |
| Codominant   | QQ       | 21           | 41                | 0.99    |
|              | QR       | 25           | 48                |         |
|              | RR       | 10           | 18                |         |
| Recessive    | QQ+QR    | 46           | 89                | 0.96    |
|              | RR       | 10           | 18                |         |

Abbreviations: HPR, high on-treatment platelet reactivity; Q, glutamine; R, arginine.

the studied populations, b) impact of strong linkage disequilibrium in several ethnic groups, c) incidence of vascular disease, d) presence of promoter SNPs not analyzed in the studies, e) roles of both genetic and environmental influences on PON1 activity and f) gene–gene interactions. 50

Regarding the implications of the PON1 Q192R polymorphism in the response to clopidogrel assessed by platelet aggregation, our study suggests that the variants assessed do not confer susceptibility to HPR. Bouman et al performed an in vitro study identifying PON1 as a crucial enzyme that converts 2-oxo-clopidogrel into an active thiol metabolite. 23 Furthermore, they reported that PON1 Q192R was a major determinant of clopidogrel efficacy, responsible for about 70% of the variability in ADP-stimulated platelet aggregation response after clopidogrel treatment. 23 These observations positioned PON1 as a relevant pharmacogenetic marker and proposed that homozygous individuals for the PON1 192Q allele had a higher risk of stent thrombosis compared to those carrying at least 1 PON1 192R allele. The clinical relevance of this finding has generated several studies aiming to replicate this association in different populations. Nevertheless, similar to our results, numerous studies have not found a link between the PON1 Q192R polymorphism and platelet reactivity or clinical outcome. 51,52 The discrepancy between these results has been attributed to methodological inconsistencies in Bouman’s work, discussed at length by Camps et al, who suggested that at least two factors might have influenced the results; a) the measurement of PON1 activity was conducted with plasma containing EDTA, a strong inhibitor of PON1, b) the influence of other proteins in the PON1 enzymatic activity was not assessed in the study. 53 Murine models have supported these observations. A recent study using PON1-deficient mice, in which platelet reactivity was assessed by PFA-100, showed PON1 deficiency does not influence the antiplatelet action of clopidogrel in mice. 54 These results reinforce the hypothesis that the enzyme is not involved in clopidogrel bioactivation and may explain the lack of...
association between PON1 genotypes and drug response observed in our study.

Allele frequencies for the PON1 Q192R polymorphism were compared to populations of different ethnic backgrounds (Table 3) revealing statistically significant differences between our cohort and specific population of the different ancestries (Caucasian, Asian, and some Latin-American) \( (P < 0.05) \). It has been reported that two polymorphisms on PON1, Q192R and L55M, explain a great amount of the interindividual variation (up to 13-fold) observed in this enzyme and, importantly, both are heterogeneously distributed worldwide.\(^55\) Previous studies in European populations showed higher frequencies for the 192Q allele (~0.7), while for East Asian populations, the 192R allele is more common (~0.7). Adding to the wide genotypic variation observed worldwide, our results reveal that the PON1 192R allele is more frequent in Colombian population (~0.4) than in Caucasian populations, but less frequent than in East Asians. Colombia has a high degree of genetic admixture and amongst Latin American countries has the highest interpopulation variability.\(^56\) These findings have potential clinical implications, for example, given the positive association between the 192Q allele and vascular disease, this polymorphism may be useful as a biomarker for cardiovascular risk in specific populations.

Interestingly, the allele frequencies for 192R found in our study were similar to those reported in African populations (~0.4). A previous study assessing genetic admixture in Latin-American populations estimated that the highest proportion of African ancestry occurs in Brazil (9.3%) and Colombia (9.3%).\(^57\) In fact, Brazil was the only other Latin American country whose allele distribution was comparable to our report. PON1 192R allele frequencies in other Latin-American countries, such as Argentina and Costa Rica, resemble Caucasian populations in agreement with the strong European ancestry of these countries.\(^58\) Our study provides new information on a no previously characterized population and emphasizes the importance of studying potential clinical biomarkers, such as PON1 Q192R amongst populations with different ethnic backgrounds.\(^55\) Importantly, high interindividual variability has been observed in PON1 activity due to environmental and genetic factors, including ethnic differences.\(^59\) In this context, it is increasingly necessary to conduct analyses assessing genetic risk factors in diverse populations. In agreement with this observation, Hernandez-Diaz et al found no association between the PON1 Q192R polymorphism and heart disease risk, nevertheless, in a stratified analysis by specific ethnicity, an association was found in Asian and African populations but not amongst Europeans or Americans.\(^44\) Future studies should consider this stratified approach.

Our case–control study involved an association test using allele frequencies from control public databases. Recent studies have highlighted the usefulness of public controls for genetic association studies.\(^60,61\) Existing genetic resources, such as the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/), enable this approach and may increase the power of association testing in a cost-effective manner.\(^31,61\) From a public database containing 126,216 exomes and 15,137 genomes from unrelated individuals, we obtained ancestry-matched controls corresponding to 17,711 Latino/admixed American individuals. The importance of ethnically matched controls relies on the natural variability observed among human populations. Specifically, for PON1 Q192R we found that allelic frequencies can differ depending on ancestry (Table 3), and if ethnically diverse controls are used in case–control studies it is possible to find a false-positive association.\(^62\) Surprisingly, for the control population a HWE deviation was detected \( (P < 0.05) \), a phenomenon not observed in the case-cohort \( (P = 0.31) \). A previous report identified HWE deviation for this polymorphism produced by an excess of homozygotes.\(^38\) These observations can be explained by the Wahlund effect: a reduction in heterozygosity caused by subpopulation structure. We assume that this effect has no impact on our association results since if two or more subpopulations are in HWE but have different allele frequencies, the overall heterozygosity is reduced even if the subpopulations themselves are in HWE.

Limitation of the Study

First, our sample size represents only a fraction of Colombian patients with CAD and may influence statistical significance. Second, the PFA-200 assay had some limitations inherent to the test, for example, we could not quantify the exact values for samples that exceeded the detection limits (> 300 seconds). Third, even if the control population accounted for a large number of individuals, the database included a general Latin-American population and CAD cannot be discarded during the lifetime of the individuals. Furthermore, additional studies are required to exclude population-specific differences within Colombian population. Fourth, additional factors contributing to CAD development were unknown in the control population. Finally, despite using a curated public database to obtain...
genetic information from a large control population, it was not possible to match the case and control groups by age or gender because this information is not available at the individual level. Importantly, this database includes allele frequencies for controls-only subjects, with no cases from common disorders, including heart disease. While this information remains enormously useful for case-control and other studies, there are several challenges with using public databases as controls, including lack of individual-level data and heterogeneity in data collection and processing.

**Conclusion**

Our study found that the PON1 Q192R polymorphism is associated with CAD susceptibility in the Colombian population and lacks association with HPR under clopidogrel treatment. To our knowledge, this is the first case-control association study between this polymorphism and cardiovascular disease risk in the Colombian population. Although more studies are required to translate these population-specific findings into the clinics, this report highlights the utility of genetic markers in pharmacogenetics and personalized medicine in the Latin-American population.
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