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Abstract

Background

Currently, Chlamydia trachomatis–specific host defense mechanisms in humans remain poorly defined. To study the characteristics of host cells infected early with chlamydia trachomatis, we used bioinformatics methods to analyze the RNA transcription profiles of the conjunctiva, fallopian tubes, and endometrium in humans infected with chlamydia trachomatis.

Method

The gene expression profiles of GSE20430, GSE20436, GSE26692, and GSE41075 were downloaded from the Gene Expression Synthesis (GEO) database. Then we obtained the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) through the R 4.0.1 software. STRING was used to construct protein–protein interaction (PPI) networks, then the Cytoscape 3.7.2 software was used to visualize the PPI and screen hub genes. GraphPad Prism 8.0 software was used to verify the expression of the hub gene. In addition, the gene–miRNA interaction was constructed on the NetworkAnalyst 3.0 platform using the miRTarBase v8.0 database.

Results

A total of 600 and 135 DEGs were screened out in the conjunctival infection group and the reproductive tract infection group, respectively. After constructing a PPI network and verifying the hub genes, CSF2, CD40, and CSF3 in the reproductive tract infection group proved to have considerable statistical significance.

Conclusion

In our research, the key genes in the biological process of reproductive tract infection with Chlamydia trachomatis were clarified through bioinformatics analysis. These hub genes may be further used in clinical treatment and clinical diagnosis.

Background

Chlamydia trachomatis (C. trachomatis, Ct), the causative agent of both the blinding eye disease known as trachoma and of sexually transmitted infections, is an obligate intracellular bacterium whose only natural hosts are humans \(^1\). The distinct developmental cycle of Ct consists of two phases: an infectious, non-replicative elementary body (EB) and a replicative, non-infectious reticulate body (RB) and the bacteria alternate between these two morphologically distinct forms \(^2\). The latest data from the World Health Organization show that Chlamydia trachomatis is the main cause of bacterial sexually transmitted diseases, with 127 million new cases every year, with almost 60% of these infections occurring in young adults aged 14–24 \(^3,\,4\).
Ct is the main pathogen that causes both trachoma and sexually transmitted diseases clinically. Most Ct infections have no obvious symptoms, resulting in potential epidemics and often causing multiple complications\(^5\). Studies have shown that *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections can cause serious damage to the female reproductive system, including (but not limited to) fallopian tube damage, pelvic inflammatory disease, cervicitis, endometritis, ectopic pregnancy, and ultimately female infertility due to fallopian tube abnormalities\(^6\). What's more, Ct infection can also cause urethritis, epididymitis, prostatitis, and infertility in men\(^7\). Moreover, trachoma is caused by repeated infection by *Chlamydia trachomatis*, which leads to the formation of scar tissue on the inner surface of the eyelid, along with the erosion of the surface of the cornea, ultimately leading to blindness\(^8\). In fact, this disease is still an important cause of blindness worldwide. In addition, *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection increases the risk of chronic fatigue syndrome and reactive arthritis, doubles the risk of ectopic pregnancy, and increases the risk of infection with sexually transmitted diseases such as human papillomavirus and HIV\(^9\).

Gene expression is examined using array technology, which can effectively and simultaneously measure thousands of different mRNAs from a single biological sample\(^10,11\). Microarrays can be manufactured by a variety of techniques, including spotting, inkjet synthesis, and photolithographic synthesis\(^12\). So far, this technology has been applied in many fields\(^13–15\). Additionally, it can be used to analyze the transcriptome of humans infected with *Chlamydia trachomatis*.

In order to study the characteristics of early host cells infected with *Chlamydia trachomatis*, this study used bioinformatics to analyze the RNA transcription profiles of human conjunctiva, fallopian tube, and endometrial tissue infected by *Chlamydia trachomatis*.

**Materials And Methods**

**Data Source**

The datasets analyzed in this study were all from the GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) after searching for keywords related to *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Finally, 79 series about Ct were obtained. We selected four separate gene expression profiles (GSE20430, GSE20436, GSE26692, and GSE41075) for our study. Information about 119 human samples of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection were retrieved from these profiles. Among them, GSE20430 was based on the GPL201 platform, GSE20436 was based on GPL570, GSE26692 was based on GPL4133, and GSE41075 was based on GPL571.

**Data processing and identification of DEGs**

Using the R 4.0.1 software, the data of GSE26692 was batch calibrated and standardized via the *marray* package. The data of GSE20430, GSE20436, and GSE41075 were batch calibrated and standardized by using the *affy* package. Then the *limma* package was applied to identify the DEGs. In addition, the *ggpubr* and *ggthemes* packages were used to draw volcano maps, and the *pheatmap* software package was used to draw heatmaps to visualize the DEGs. When analyzing the data of GSE26692 and
GSE41075, $P < 0.2$ and $|FC| \geq 1$ were used as critical values to compare the gene expression profiles of infected and uninfected samples. The data of GSE20430 and GSE20436 were analyzed with $P < 0.05$ and $|FC| \geq 1$ as critical values.

**GO and KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs**

The gene ontology (GO) database was used to compile the functional analysis of DEGs in terms of molecular function (MF), biological process (BP), and cellular component (CC). The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) was used to investigate the signaling pathways of DEGs. The GO and KEGG pathway analyses of the DEGs were performed using the cluster Profiler package in the R software ($P$ value cutoff = 0.05).

**PPI Network Construction and Hub Gene Identification**

We used the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING), a database analysis platform, to analyze our DEGs data and obtain a PPI map. With respect to the PPI, pairs with a combined score $> 0.4$ were selected to visualize the PPI network using Cytoscape 3.7.2 software. The degree of node connection is positively correlated with the stability of the whole network. In addition, we selected the top 10 genes in the central index as the core candidate genes.

**Verification of intersection hub genes and construction of intersection gene-miRNA interaction**

The DEGs between the normal (non-infected) samples and conjunctiva samples infected by *Chlamydia trachomatis* were identified by data from two transcription profiles (GSE20430 and GSE20436). The DEGs between the normal samples and reproductive tract samples infected by *Chlamydia trachomatis* were identified by data from two other transcription profiles (GSE26692 and GSE41075). The tool used for analysis was OmicShare Tools (https://www.omicshare.com/tools/Home/Soft/venn). After obtaining two sets of hub genes, GSE87110 was used to verify the hub genes of the reproductive tract infection. However, the hub genes of the conjunctival infection could not be verified, since there was no suitable dataset. The above analysis was done by using the GraphPad Prism 8.0 software. In addition, the gene–miRNA interaction was constructed on the NetworkAnalyst 3.0 platform using the miRTarBase v8.0 database (https://www.networkanalyst.ca/NetworkAnalyst/home.xhtml).

**Results**

**Identification of DEGs**

The two conjunctival infection groups showed 1104 and 4159 DEGs, respectively. The fallopian tube infection group and the endometrial infection group obtained 12441 DEGs and 6744 DEGs, respectively. Volcano maps and heatmaps were used to visualize the differences in gene expression. The gene expression profiles of infected conjunctiva were GSE20430 (Fig. 1A) and GSE20436 (Fig. 1B); the gene
expression profile of infected fallopian tube epithelial cells was GSE26692 (Fig. 2A). The gene expression profile of infected endometrial epithelial cells was GSE41075 (Fig. 2B).

**GO enrichment analysis of DEGs**

The GO functional enrichment analysis was performed for GSE20430, GSE20436, GSE26692, and GSE41075 gene expression profiles. With respect to the GSE20430 dataset (Fig. 3A), the results of CC showed that the DEGs were mainly enriched at the external side of the plasma membrane, cell–substrate junction, and focal adhesion. As for BP, the results indicated that the DEGs were principally enriched in T-cell activation, leukocyte migration, and positive regulation of cell adhesion. The results of the MF analysis showed that the DEGs were significantly rich in immune receptor activity, cytokine binding, and cytokine receptor activity.

In the context of the GSE20436 dataset (Fig. 3B), the DEGs in BP were enriched in T-cell activation, regulation of lymphocyte activation, and regulation of cell – cell adhesion; in MF, they were enriched in actin binding, cytokine receptor, and binding cytokine activity; in CC, they were enriched in the external side of plasma membrane, the secretory granule membrane, and membrane region.

With respect to the GSE26692 dataset (Fig. 3C), it was observed that the DEGs in BP were enriched in response to molecules of bacterial origin, regulation of cell – cell adhesion, and response to lipopolysaccharides; in MF, they were enriched in receptor ligand activity, signaling receptor activator activity, and cytokine activity; in CC, they were enriched in collagen-containing extracellular matrix, secretory granule lumen, and cytoplasmic vesicle lumen.

In relation to the GSE41075 dataset (Fig. 3D), it was observed that the DEGs in BP were enriched in neutrophil activation involved in immune response, neutrophil-mediated immunity, and regulation of membrane potential; in MF, they were enriched in signaling receptor activator activity, receptor ligand activity, and cell adhesion molecule binding; in CC, they were enriched in neuronal cell body, presynapse and synaptic membrane.

**KEGG pathway enrichment analysis**

In order to better identify the biological functions of the DEGs, a KEGG pathway analysis was conducted. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. The results of the analysis are shown in Fig. 4. According to the \( P \)-value, ten significant enrichment pathways of two conjunctival infection groups, one fallopian tube infection group, and one endometrial infection group were obtained. The significant enrichment pathways found in case of the conjunctival infection groups are shown in Figs. 4A and 4B, respectively. The significant enrichment pathways found in fallopian tube infections and endometrial infections are shown in Fig. 4C and Fig. 4D, respectively.

**Construction of PPI network and hub genes identification**

The intersection genes of GSE20430 and GSE20436 with respect to conjunctiva (Figure S1) were obtained. In order to study the relationship between different gene expression proteins in conjunctival
intersection genes, we uploaded 600 DEGs to STRING to establish a PPI network (Fig. 5A). The PPI network involved a total of 599 nodes and 5595 edges. The hub genes are shown in Fig. 5B.

Then the intersection genes of GSE26692 and GSE41075 in reproductive tract infection were obtained (Figure S2). In order to study the relationship between different gene expression proteins in this group of intersection genes, we uploaded 135 DEGs to STRING to establish a PPI network (Fig. 6A). The PPI network involved a total of 131 nodes and 262 edges. The hub genes are shown in Fig. 6B.

**Verification of intersection hub genes and construction of intersection gene–miRNA interaction**

In order to make the research more rigorous, we used GSE87110 to verify the hub genes of reproductive tract infections. With P < 0.05 as the threshold, we found that the analysis results of the hub genes (CSF2, CD40, and CSF3) were statistically significant (Fig. 7). The gene–miRNA interaction of the two sets of intersection genes is shown in Fig. 8. There were two subnetworks for gene–miRNA interaction for the intersection genes of reproductive tract infection and conjunctival infection. The top 5 miRNA ranked by degree of significance in case of reproductive tract infection and conjunctival infection are shown in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

**Discussion**

Bioinformatics concepts were applied in this research to analyze the RNA transcription profiles of human conjunctiva, fallopian tube, and endometrial epithelial cells infected by Ct to study the characteristics of early infected host cells.

According to the GO enrichment analyses, regulation of T-cell activation showed high enrichment scores in the BP among the conjunctival infection group, which corresponded to the previous findings that CD4 T cells and IFN-γ play a primary role in immunity against Ct infection [16]. Interestingly, in the endometrium group, the BP was observed to be significantly associated with neutrophil activation involved in immune response. In 2018 Karthika Rajeeve et al. reported that *chlamydia trachomatis* paralyses neutrophils to evade the host's innate immune response, implying that neutrophil activation is essential to the anti-infectious immunity [17]. Besides, the MF of the two groups of conjunctiva and fallopian tubes were enriched in cytokine receptor activity, including interferon-class cytokine receptor activity and IL receptor interleukin receptor activity. Numerous studies have confirmed the importance of cytokines such as IFN-γ in host resistance to Ct infection [18]. Among them, multiple interferon-stimulated genes (ISG)–mediated cell-autonomous host defenses have been shown to protect mice against experimental Ct infection [19]. In the fallopian tube infection group and endometrium infection group, the MF were highly associated with receptor ligand activity, including the vitamin D receptor activator activity, suggesting its involvement in defense against Ct infections [20].

In the current study, KEGG was used to identify certain cell signaling pathways that are closely related to Ct infection. In this study, the common enrichment pathways of the two conjunctival data sets are the
hematopoietic cell lineage pathway and the osteoclast differentiation pathway. Studies have shown that the blood system has a high response ability to generate inflammation signals caused by infection or injury and hematopoietic stem cells are responsible for the final production of blood cells and play an important role in immunity and tissue repair \[21\]. The hematopoietic cell lineage pathway plays an important role in early infections. Therefore, hematopoietic cell lineage pathway plays a “first responder” role in host defense when *chlamydia trachomatis* infects conjunctival epithelial cells. In addition, Ct infects conjunctival epithelial cells to promote osteoclast differentiation, and its pathway is achieved through the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway and the cAMP signaling pathway \[22\]. These two signaling pathways were also enriched in the fallopian tube infection in this study. Many studies have determined that the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway plays an important role in the differentiation and function of osteoclasts \[22\]. Osteoclasts play an absorption role in local inflammatory lesions. Since cAMP has a regulatory effect on the transcription level of *chlamydia trachomatis*, it has an inhibitory effect on the development cycle of *chlamydia trachomatis* \[23,24\]. Therefore, it can be inferred that the osteoclast differentiation pathway has the effect of resisting infection aggravation and immune clearance in Ct infection of the conjunctiva. Additionally, the results of this study show that the interaction pathways of cytokines and cytokine receptors are enriched in infections of the conjunctiva, fallopian tube, and endometrium. Studies have shown that the interaction between cytokines and receptors may be crucial for determining the role of inflammation in the development of diseases, because after the cells are infected, the host cells induce a large number of cytokines, chemokines, and reactive oxygen species. This in turn activates natural immunity and regulatory immune response and through the interaction of cytokines and cytokine receptors, play a role in the clearance process \[25\]. Therefore, in Ct infection of conjunctival and genital epithelial cells, the interaction of cytokines and cytokine receptors may play a role in immune response and immune clearance.

Determining the relationship between proteins is an important step in understanding protein functions and identifying related biological pathways \[26\]. A growing body of evidence shows that protein–protein interactions are critical in many biological processes in living cells \[27\]. Therefore, the hub gene selected by PPI may also play a crucial role in the biological process of Ct infection. We used GSE87110 to verify the hub genes in case of reproductive tract infections. Then we found that the CSF2, CD40, and CSF3 genes were statistically significant. Studies have shown that epithelial cells infected by *chlamydia trachomatis* can release CSF2, which can mediate the influx and activation of inflammatory cells at the infection site \[28,29\]. In addition, the secretion of CSF2 can promote the maturation and activation of neutrophils \[30\]. Therefore, CSF2 plays an important role in the inflammatory response. In Schlievert et al.’s study of *staphylococcus aureus* infection, it was found that superantigens can destroy the mucosal barrier by binding to CD40 and then express chemokines to promote infection \[31\]. Therefore, we can infer that the upregulation of CD40 means that such a mechanism may also exist in Ct infection. Like CSF2, CSF3 is also involved in the host response to microbial infections \[32\]. CSF3 can increase the chemotactic activity of neutrophils, thus causing inflammation \[33\].
In this study, we identified the key genes for Ct infection in the reproductive tract and conjunctiva. However, we could only verify the key genes of reproductive tract infection through external dataset. More experiments need to be implemented to verify these key genes in the conjunctival cells as well.

**Conclusion**

In our research, the key genes in the biological process of reproductive tract infection with *Chlamydia trachomatis* were clarified through bioinformatics analysis. These hub genes mainly affect the verification process of Chlamydia trachomatis infection and may be further used in clinical treatment and clinical diagnosis.
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Figures
Figure 1

Volcano map and heat map of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in GSE20430 (A), GSE20436 (B). Comparison of DEG in infected samples and control samples. The horizontal axis is Log2 (multiple change); the more the point deviates from the center, it indicates the difference multiple change; the vertical axis is -Log 10 (adjusted P value), the closer the point is to the top of the graph, the more
significant the difference; the red dot represents upregulation substitution. The blue dots represent down-regulated replacement genes, and the gray dots represent unobvious genes.
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Volcano map and heat map of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in GSE20430 (A), GSE20436 (B). Comparison of DEG in infected samples and control samples. The horizontal axis is Log2 (multiple change); the more the point deviates from the center, it indicates the difference multiple change; the
vertical axis is -Log 10 (adjusted P value), the closer the point is to the top of the graph, the more significant the difference; the red dot represents upregulation substitution. The blue dots represent down-regulated replacement genes, and the gray dots represent unobvious genes.

Figure 2

Volcano map and heat map of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in GSE26692 (A), and GSE41075 (B). Comparison of DEG in infected samples and control samples. The horizontal axis is Log2 (multiple
change); the more the point deviates from the center, it indicates the difference multiple change; the vertical axis is -Log 10 (adjusted P value), the closer the point is to the top of the graph, the more significant the difference; the red dot represents upregulation substitution. The blue dots represent down-regulated replacement genes, and the gray dots represent unobvious genes.

Figure 2
Volcano map and heat map of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in GSE26692 (A), and GSE41075 (B). Comparison of DEG in infected samples and control samples. The horizontal axis is Log2 (multiple change); the more the point deviates from the center, it indicates the difference multiple change; the vertical axis is -Log 10 (adjusted P value), the closer the point is to the top of the graph, the more significant the difference; the red dot represents upregulation substitution The blue dots represent downregulated replacement genes, and the gray dots represent unobvious genes.
The GO enrichment analysis of DEGs
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The GO enrichment analysis of DEGs
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The KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs
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The KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs
**Figure 5**

The PPI network of overlapping DEGs in GSE20430 and GSE20436 (A) and the important module of PPI network (B)
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Figure 6

The PPI network of overlapping DEGs in GSE26692 and GSE41075 (A) and the important module of PPI network (B)
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The PPI network of overlapping DEGs in GSE26692 and GSE41075 (A) and the important module of PPI network (B)
Figure 7

Verification of hub genes. P-value < 0.05 is considered to be statistically significant.
Figure 7

Verification of hub genes. P-value < 0.05 is considered to be statistically significant.
Figure 8

The miRNA-target gene network of overlapping DEGs. Gene–miRNA interaction for the intersection genes of reproductive tract infection (A) and gene-miRNA interaction for the intersection genes of conjunctival infection (B).
Figure 8

The miRNA-target gene network of overlapping DEGs. Gene–miRNA interaction for the intersection genes of reproductive tract infection (A) and gene-miRNA interaction for the intersection genes of conjunctival infection (B).
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