Treatment of rheumatic diseases and hepatitis B virus coinfection
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We often encounter rheumatological patients coinfected with hepatitis B in daily practice. In this paper, we will discuss the basic characteristics of the virus of hepatitis B, course of infection, the safety of rituximab, tocilizumab, abatacept treatment and therapeutic recommendations in management of patients with rheumatic diseases.

Knowledge of areas endemic for hepatitis infections, increased risk groups, the natural history of infection and the impact of the treatment of rheumatic diseases on the course of hepatitis increases the effectiveness and safety of treatment in patients eligible for the treatment of biological agents.
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Introduction

The introduction of biological agents significantly increased the efficiency of the treatment of rheumatic diseases and limited permanent damage to organs in the course of the inflammatory process. Particular efficacy is achieved by depletion of B cells the monoclonal anti-CD20 (rituximab), antagonism of interleukin-6 (IL-6R) (tocilizumab) and inhibition of T cell activation molecule, CTLA-4-Ig (abatacept). These methods allow for the effective treatment of a growing number of patients with active forms of rheumatoid arthritis (RA), juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), psoriatic arthritis (PsA) and ankylosing spondylitis (AS).

Coexistence of severe rheumatoid disease with chronic viral hepatitis (hepatitis) type B makes the treatment of abatacept, tocilizumab or rituximab risky because it can lead to reactivation of viral infection.

Hepatitis B

Hepatitis B virus (HBV)

HBV belongs to the family Hepadnaviridae and comprises a circular double-stranded DNA and DNA polymerase surrounded by the core antigen (HBcAg) [1]. The HBcAg consists e antigen (HBeAg). HBcAg is surrounded by a shell containing the lipoprotein surface antigen (HBsAg) [1, 2].

Important features of HBV infection affecting the course of HBV DNA are the ability to integrate into the DNA of infected hepatocytes and the replicative capacity. It is estimated that the chronically infected blood stream is expelled section approximately 1011 viral particles. Of particular note is the fact that the HBV reverse transcriptase has a low error correction capability of reading, resulting in a high rate of mutation [3].

Areas endemic for HBV infection are a southeast Asia, Africa and other regions of the world outside North America and Western Europe, and Australia [7]. In Poland, 1.5 % of the population is chronically infected with HBV, which is about 700 thousand [8].

Course of HBV infection

HBV infection can occur in a variety of clinical forms—from asymptomatic, by explicitly acute viral hepatitis,
chronic inflammation, which can result in cirrhosis and liver cancer [1, 2, 4]. The majority of adult patients (>95%) of host defense mechanisms allow for total eradication of HBV [12].

There are the following phases of HBV infection [5, 6]:

1. Immune tolerance phase—due to the low immune response, aminotransferase levels are low, and inflammatory changes in liver histopathology not significantly increased despite a high viral load of HBV DNA (10^6–10^9 copies/ml). If this occurs during the integration of HBV DNA with the host DNA in hepatocytes, the likelihood of HBV eradication decreases—both spontaneous and induced by treatment [12];

2. Immune clearance phase—active immune response against HBV-infected hepatocytes, leading to an elevated aminotransferase levels and severe necro-inflammatory changes in liver histopathology. HBs antigen is always present, and HBe antigen—positive or negative—antibodies to HBe may be present. HBV DNA viral load is moderately high (10^5–10^7 copies/ml). The majority of patients in this phase (about 90%) present a spontaneous loss of HBeAg antigen and the appearance of antibodies to HBeAg, called seroconversion [12];

3. Immune control phase—after HBeAg seroconversion antibodies to HBe are present, HBV DNA replication is low (<10^5 copies/ml), transaminase activity is normal or slightly increased, and the histopathological changes in the liver are absent or minimal;

4. Phase reactivation of infection—dominate the mutant HBV DNA, which do or emit a small amount of HBe antigen. The observed increase in serum HBV DNA viral load, increased transaminases and liver biopsies are present necro-inflammatory changes.

In the initial period of hepatitis B infection, which lasts several weeks, HBV remains hidden from the immune system of the host. Then, a strong innate (NK cytotoxic lymphocytes and NKT—natural killer—natural killer T) and acquired (mainly CD8 + T cells) immune response is directed against infected hepatocytes secreting antigens HBV [9]. The virus removal process involves many cytokines, such as interferon-α (IFN-α), interferon-β (IFN-β), interferon-γ (IFN-γ), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) or interleukin-6 (IL-6) [9–11].

Treatment of hepatitis B

Currently, the treatment of chronic hepatitis B, the classical recombinant IFN-α and its pegylated form, and nucleoside and nucleotide analogs, such as lamivudine, adefovir, tenofovir and entecavir, result in the suppression of HBV replication. In case of the selection of strains resistant to lamivudine, adefovir, tenofovir or entecavir may be used [8].

HBsAg carrier state

After HBeAg seroconversion, patients can go in HBV carrier state. At this stage, the disease is characterized by the presence of HBsAg in the serum, low or undetectable levels of HBV DNA, normal aminotransferase activity and lack HBeAg [13]. Fewer than 1% of inactive HBV carriers per year will undergo spontaneous seroconversionHBsAg [14]. In the carriers of HBsAg, the use of immunosuppressive therapies can lead to reactivation of infection.

Hidden HBV infection

Hidden HBV infection can be described as the presence of HBV DNA in patients without HBsAg, and with or without anti-HBc and/or anti-HBs. In patients with the presence HBV and HBsAg is undetected, HBV DNA viral load is low, even of the order of several virus copies per milliliter of plasma or liver tissue, impossible to detect with a serological test and detectable by molecular tests. Another reason for latent HBV infection may be a mutant HBV infection, which is not recognized by the serological test.

HBV reactivation

This reactivation of HBV hepatitis occurs during immunosuppressive therapy or shortly after its cessation, with concomitant increase in the levels of HBV DNA (≥tenfold increase) or the absolute height of more than 9 log 10 copies/ml or sudden twofold increase in the activity of alanine transaminase (ALT) baseline and fivefold with respect to the upper limit of normal value or greater than 300 IU/L. It needs exclusion of delta virus superinfection, acute infection with HAV, HCV, HEV, toxic hepatitis and other liver diseases of other than viral atiology [15, 16]. To monitor HBV reactivation, the level of HBV DNA and ALT activity should be monitored. The increase in HBV viral load always precedes an average of 2–3 weeks growth of ALT activity [17]. Reactivation of infection may occur without any clinical signs (only increase of HBV DNA, the presence of HBsAg), reveals as increase in liver transaminases, or even jaundice until the fatal fulminant hepatitis patients [18].

Immunosuppressive agents by inhibiting host immune responses lead to enhanced replication of HBV in the liver and to enhanced expression of the viral antigenic epitope [19]. Hepatitis may occur both during immunosuppressive therapy and thereafter, because of the renewal of the immune response against viral infected hepatocytes.
infection. In addition, Bae et al. [39, 40] reported a case of HBV infection and the remaining patients had chronic steroid therapy in 67 patients, of whom one was a history of infection and the other with chronic HBV, HBV reactivation occurred after a median time of 9.8 months. HBV markers in serum were determined before HBV, and the risk of infectious complications, and can be stated that are potent immunosuppressive [38]. GKS are usually served with disease-modifying drugs (DMARDs) or TNF-α inhibitors in the treatment of rheumatic diseases, so that data on monotherapy are limited [39]. GKS influence on HBV reactivation cannot be denied. In 30 of 144 patients (one with a history of infection and the other with chronic HBV), HBV reactivation occurred after a median time of 9.8 months. HBV markers in serum were determined before steroid therapy in 67 patients, of whom one was a history of HBV infection and the remaining patients had chronic infection. In addition, Bae et al. [39, 40] reported a case of fatal hepatitis B, and it was a reactivation of infection during long-term, low-dose treatment with GKS of inactive carrier of HBV. Most of the patients treated in combination with glucocorticosteroids DMARDs (sulfasalazine and chloroquine), but HBV reactivation was mainly associated with glucocorticoid therapy. There are two described cases [38, 39] of patients with RA-treated GKS alone. None of the patients was described prophylactic antiviral therapy. In both cases, HBV reactivation occurred in 5 and 9 months.

Non-biological disease-modifying drugs (nb-DMARD)

Based on previous data, it can be concluded that the non-biological DMARDs treatment of patients with serological profile HBsAg (+)/HBsAg (−) and anti-HBc (+) may increase the risk of reactivation of HBV. Non-biological DMARD therapy is relatively safe in patients with a low risk of reactivation—a low level of HBV DNA, untreated GKS, anti-HBs (+) and the use of prophylaxis in these patients are not recommended. In the group of 224 patients treated with nb-DMARDs, 192 underwent HBV infection and 32 had diagnosed with chronic hepatitis B [38]. Only four patients with chronic hepatitis B received prophylactic antiviral therapy. HBV reactivation was observed in 10 patients (4.46 %) with a median follow-up of 13.2 months [42–46]. Methotrexate (MTX) was administered to eight (out of ten) in patients with HBV reactivation [42–46]. Antiviral therapy was started after HBV reactivation. Efavirenz was the preferred drug in most of the patients. In one case, death of the patient with fulminant hepatitis after a 28-month follow-up was reported, despite treatment with IFN-β. There are also isolated reports of HBV reactivation during treatment MTX [41, 49–52], leflunomide [44], azathioprine [52], chloroquine [53] and sulfasalazine [44]. At the same time, there are early reports on the role of sulfasalazine in the intensification of apoptosis of cells secreting antigen HBV [48]. There is no evidence of HBV reactivation in patients treated with gold agents and d-penicillamine.

Biological DMARDs

Anti-TNF α agents

TNF-α molecule is a homotrimer, consisting of three units of a weight of 17 kDa each [57]. TNF-α in RA patients is synthesized in the joints synovium, blood vessels and articulate pannus [58]. High levels of TNF-α in joint space stimulate osteoclasts, which lead to bone resorption [58]. The use of biologics in RA patients coinfected with HBV or HCV is not fully secure. Although TNF-α inhibitors did not show hepatotoxicity, their use carries the risk of activation or exacerbation of hepatitis [59].

TNF-α inhibits the replication of HBV DNA. Low concentrations of TNF-α abolish its desired biological effect. The lack of balance between the concentration of TNF-α and interferon-γ may reduce the effectiveness of the elimination of the HBV [60–62].

It is believed that inhibition of TNF-α decreases the inflammatory cell response against a viral infection, and viral replication is extended and prevents the destruction of infected cell [63].

The risk of reactivation of HBV during therapy with anti-TNF-α increases. Therapy with anti-TNF-α may cause HBV reactivation in patients with HBsAg (+) or HBsAg (−) with detectable HBV DNA and additionally with the status of HBCAg (+) and HBsAg (−). At the same time, treatment of anti-TNF-α can be used safely in patients with HBsAg (+) with undetectable DNA HBV [64]. The current long-term observation of patients with a serological profile HBsAg (−) and anti-HBc (+) treated with anti-TNF-α suggests that the percentage of reactivation is low. This confirms the cohort study 72 patients observed from 43 to 21 weeks, in which no reactivation was observed [65].

In a study by Perez-Alvarez et al. [66], 257 patients were analyzed—89 carriers of HBsAg and 168 hidden carriers (anti-HBc+) treated with anti-TNF-α due to rheumatoid arthritis or inflammatory bowel diseases. Among carriers of HBsAg, HBV reactivation was observed in 35 (39 %), including acute liver failure in 5 (5.6 %). The incidence of HBV reactivation was higher in patients under immunosuppressive treatment (96 vs. 70 %, \( p = 0.033 \)) and lower in...
patients who received antiviral prophylaxis (23 vs. 62 %, \( p = 0.003 \)). In the hidden HBV carriers, reactivation was observed in 9 (5 %) patients, including one patient developed acute liver failure.

Data in the literature concerning the reactivation of infection during treatment with anti-TNF-\( \alpha \) show that infliximab often causes HBV reactivation as compared with etanercept. More often, the reactivation of these infections occurs during the third dose administration [67, 68]. Greater probability of HBV reactivation during treatment of infliximab than that of etanercept can be explained by pharmacological and biochemical differences between these molecules. HBV reactivation associated with infliximab is more frequent in HBV carriers compared with those infected with concealed HBV [66]. Etanercept has a shorter half-life than infliximab, which allows for faster elimination of the drug after cessation of treatment. In case of infliximab discontinuation, the rebirth of an increased pool of macrophages and T lymphocytes occurs, resulting in an acute response in relation to replicating virus, which can potentially cause damage to the fulminating hepatitis [69]. Thimm et al. [70] studying hepatitis B in animals have found that several months after the depletion of CD8 + T cells, there was an unexpected increase in the number of these cells to baseline. He was accompanied by an increase in liver enzymes and increased the elimination of HBV DNA without the formation of neutralizing antibodies anti-HBs. These studies suggest that elimination of the HBV DNA is associated with restoration previously eradicated CD8 + cells [70]. The combination of infliximab with methotrexate therapy can reduce the elimination of CD8 + T lymphocytes specific for HBV present in the hepatocytes [20]. HBV reactivation may appear during infliximab monotherapy [71]. As infliximab concentration decreases, the risk of exacerbation of HBV infection increases, because the remaining CD8 + T cells respond to the viral replication, previously inhibited by the action of TNF-\( \alpha \) [72].

Rituximab

Rituximab is a chimeric human–murine monoclonal anti-CD20 antibody used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and other rheumatic diseases such as vasculitis. Data on the safety of rituximab in patients with rheumatic diseases and HBV are scarce, but study on patients treated for hematological malignancies indicates a high risk of HBV reactivation in patients undergoing no prophylaxis (27–80 %) [21–23]. Four cases of HBV reactivation were described in patients with RA treated with rituximab [24, 33–35]. In two patients with chronic hepatitis B treated with rituximab with prior prophylaxis, no HBV reactivation was described [25]. The risk of HBV reactivation increases with concomitant use of corticosteroid or chemotherapy [26]. To date observations of patients with hematologic malignancies showed that the HBV reactivation can occur with rituximab alone [26, 27]. There are also reports that show the opposite—rituximab alone HBV reactivation risk is greater in comparison with the same chemotherapy [26, 28].

Abatacept

Abatacept is a fusion protein obtained by genetic engineering. It consists of the extracellular domain of human CTLA4 and a modified Fc fragment of human immunoglobulin G1 for preventing the complement fixation. Abatacept, in contrast to TNF-\( \alpha \) inhibitors, directly neutralizes pro-inflammatory cytokines, but specifically inhibits T cell activation in recent retrospective study described in eight patients with chronic hepatitis B treated with abatacept, respectively, four of them received prior anti-viral prophylaxis. In all patients who did not receive antiviral prophylaxis, HBV reactivation occurred [32]. One case of a patient treated with abatacept was described, with a serological profile HBsAg (−), HBeAg (−), anti-HBc (+), anti-HBs (−) and anti-HBe (+) during qualifying for the therapy. After 10 months of treatment, HBsAg (+) and HBV DNA were detected, hepatitis B was diagnosed, abatacept was stopped, and successful treatment with lamivudine was entered [28]. In second case, serological profile was HBsAg (−), HBeAg (−), anti-HBc (+), and anti-HBs (+); serum HBV DNA became undetectable 4 months after initiation of tenofovir treatment [34].

Tocilizumab

Tocilizumab (TCZ) is a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against the receptor for interleukin 6. RA patients coinfected with HBV were not included to clinical studies with tocilizumab. For this reason, data on the safety of tocilizumab therapy in patients infected with HBV are scarce. Signaling pathway inhibition effect of IL-6 on the course of HBV infection has not been investigated; however, IL-6 is associated with both liver damage in the course of HBV infection and virus removal [37]. Two cases of patients who received tocilizumab with hepatitis B treated with antiviral prophylaxis were published in Japan, and no HBV reactivation was reported [29–31]. Therefore, in patients with chronic hepatitis B and normal liver function, antiviral therapy should be considered before treatment with tocilizumab. Prevention is also indicated in HBsAg carriers and in patients with hidden hepatitis B. Nakamura [47] study of 18 patients treated TCZ with a serological profile HBsAg (−)/HBsAg (+) anti-HBc (+), in two patients HBV reactivation was observed.
Antiviral prophylaxis

Currently, there are no formal guidelines on eligibility for treatment of HBV patients with rituximab, abatacept and tocilizumab [35]. In these patients, it is recommended the initial determination of the presence of HBsAg and anti-HBc and anti-HBs. Patients with current Hbs antigen should receive antiviral treatment. Available data on this subject for rituximab in rheumatic diseases are limited, and for tocilizumab and abatacept inaccessible.

In each patient eligible for the treatment of anti-TNF-α, HBsAg and anti-HBc should be determined [73–75]. Patients with present isolated anti-HBc must also make a determination of HBV DNA by PCR. The carriers of HBsAg should monitor the activity of transaminases and viremia up to 3 months after completion of therapy medicines called anti-TNF [75].

Antiviral therapy for HBV infections led to a reduction in viral load, in spite of chronic drug therapy from the group of anti-TNF-α. Antiviral therapy may be implemented either preventively, at the same time as the anti-TNF-α or to be reserved for cases in which there is an viremia increase [76]. It should be borne in mind that the development of HBV mutations during the course of therapy leads to resistance to antiviral therapy and the development of severe liver inflammation [69]. It is recommended that patients with potential risk of belonging to the group of “hidden carriers,” before treatment with anti-TNF-α, should carry out detailed analysis of the clinical and laboratory signs of HBV reactivation (including the determination of HBs antigen) [77].

The HBsAg carriers and hidden HBV carriers before inclusion of anti-TNF-α should use prophylactic antiviral treatment. This is confirmed by a randomized study of patients undergoing chemotherapy [69, 78, 79], while in patients with rheumatic diseases, several clinical cases and reviews were performed [66, 80–85].

Based on the review of the published cases of patients with rheumatic diseases treated with anti-TNF-α (n = 255), made by Ramos-Casals in 2010, it can be concluded that the risk of HBV reactivation is approximately 38 % (n = 87) in patients HBsAg (+), without preventive antiviral treatment [80]. There are lack of reliable data on the safety and efficacy of antiviral treatment as protection against HBV reactivation [86]. Zingarelli et al.’s [87] literature review estimated that antiviral prophylaxis with lamivudine in HBsAg (+) patients reduces the risk of reactivation (lamivudine 14 % vs. without prophylaxis 69 %). Prophylaxis may be considered in the later treatment with antiretroviral agents with higher genetic barrier, with a low risk of viral resistance and a higher efficacy (tenofovir or entecavir). During therapy, patients should be monitored for HBV DNA (every 3–6 months) and ALT (every 3 months) [19]. These drugs should be discontinued after 6–12 months after treatment of anti-TNF-α [20, 21]. In conclusion, tenofovir or entecavir is a good choice among active carriers with high HBV DNA in the case of reactivation or development of hepatitis, while lamivudine is recommended as prophylaxis in inactive and hidden carriers [21, 22].

The 2008 guidelines of the American College of Rheumatology (ACR), updated in 2012, do not recommend the use of anti-TNF-α in pharmacologically untreated chronic hepatitis B and treated with considerable damage to the liver (Child class-Pugh B and C). There are no clear recommendations on their use in adjusted cirrhosis (Child–Pugh class A). The novelty in relation to the recommendations of the ACR 2008 is to allow the possibility of the use of etanercept in the treatment of RA patients with hepatitis C [74].

Anti-TNF-α should not be used in patients with chronic HBV infection, according to the guidelines European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR). If during treatment with anti-TNF-α patient is diagnosed with hepatitis B, you can implement an antiviral drug.

The prevention can be considered for treatment with newer antiretroviral agents with higher genetic barrier with a low risk of viral resistance and higher efficiency (tenofovir or entecavir). During therapy, patient should be monitored for the presence of viral DNA (every 3–6 months) and ALT (every 3 months) [28]. These drugs should be discontinued after 6–12 months after the completion of biological treatment [36–38].

Observational studies in oncology suggest extending the prophylaxis to the 12th month after the discontinuation of immunosuppressive treatment [55]. In case reports of patients treated with rituximab, HBV reactivation occurred later [53, 54]. According to the onco-hematological recommendations, prior to starting RTX treatment, all patients should be screened for HBV infection. While HBsAg-positive active carriers should receive long-term antiviral treatment with entecavir or tenofovir, inactive carriers are candidates for universal prophylaxis with lamivudine, or entecavir or tenofovir in selected cases, to prevent hepatitis reactivation. Conversely, for HBsAg-negative anti-HBc-positive carriers, that is, those with resolved HBV infection, universal prophylaxis with lamivudine is recommended for those with onco-hematological diseases, whereas watchful monitoring of HBsAg/HBV DNA levels is advisable for all the other indications [56].

In conclusion, tenofovir or entecavir is a good choice among active carriers with high HBV DNA, in the case of reactivation or development of hepatitis, while lamivudine is recommended as prophylaxis in inactive and hidden carriers [38, 39]. It should be emphasized that the final diagnosis of hepatitis and implementation of antiviral treatment should be carried out in close collaboration with a specialist in the field of infectious diseases.
Summary

Available data based on a small number of case reports in the literature are not always consistent. Therefore, before starting treatment with antiviral agents, patients should be closely monitored for signs and symptoms of active HBV infection, and, if necessary, take appropriate treatment. The risk of reactivation of hepatitis B appears to be large, and it can reduce the prophylactic use of lamivudine.

Open Access  This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Juszczyk J (2000) Clinical course and consequences of hepatitis B infection. Vaccine 18:S23–S25
2. Tollaï P, Charnay P, Vyas GN (1981) Biology of hepatitis B virus. Science 213:406–411
3. Stuyver LI, Locarnini SA, Lok A, Richman DD, Carman WF, Dienstag JL, Schinazi RF (2001) Nomenclature for antiviral resistant of human hepatitis B virus mutations in the polymerase region. Hepatology 33:751–757
4. Ferrer G, Kaptein S, Neyts J, De Clercq E (2008) Antiviral treatment of chronic hepatitis B virus infections: the past, the present and the future. Rev Med Virol 18:19–34
5. Morgan M, Keeffe EB (2009) Diagnosis and treatment of chronic hepatitis B: 2009 update. Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol 55:5–22
6. Pungpapong S, Kim WR, Poterucha JJ (2007) Natural history of hepatitis B virus infection: an update for clinicians. Mayo Clin Proc 82:967–975
7. Winthrop KL, Calabrese LH (2011) Let the fog be lifted: screening, prophylaxis and reactivation in the era of rituximab-based chemotherapy. Liver Int 31:330–339
8. Chen XQ, Peng JW, Lin GN et al (2012) The effect of prophylactic lamivudine on hepatitis B virus reactivation in HBsAg—positive patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma undergoing prolonged rituximab therapy. Med Oncol 29:1237–1241
9. Tsutsumi Y, Shigematsu A, Hashino S et al (2009) Reactivation of chronic hepatitis B virus infection following rituximab administration for rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Int 31:403–404
10. Atsou P, Hatzara C, Kandili A et al (2013) Long-term safety of rituximab in patients with rheumatic diseases and chronic or resolved hepatitis B virus infection. Ann Rheum Dis 72:308–310
11. Tang JR, Hsu HY, Lin HH et al (1998) Hepatitis B surface antigenemia at birth: a long-term followup study. J Pediatr 133:374–377. www.who.int
12. Yeo W, Chan PKS, Zhong S, Ho WM, Steinberg JL, Tam JS, Hui P, Leung NWY, Zee B, Johnson PJ (2000) Frequency of hepatitis B virus reactivation in cancer patients undergoing cytotoxic chemotherapy: a prospective study of 626 patients with identification of risk factors. J Med Virol 62:299–307
13. Yeo W, Johnson PJ (2006) Diagnosis, prevention and management of hepatitis B virus reactivation during anticancer therapy. Hepatology 43(2):209–220
14. Brojer E (2009) Ukryte zakaženie virusem HBV w hematologii i transfuzjologii. Acta Haematol Pol 40(2):435–449
15. Vassileopoulos D (2011) Should we routinely treat patients with autoimmune/rheumatic diseases and chronic hepatitis B virus infection starting biologic therapies with antiviral agents? Yes. Eur J Int Med 22:572–575
16. Perrillo RP (2001) Acute flares in chronic hepatitis B: the natural and unnatural history of an immunologically mediated liver disease. Gastroenterology 120:1009–1022
17. Evens AM, Jovanovic BD, Su YC et al (2011) Rituximab-associated hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation in lymphoproliferative diseases: meta-analysis and examination of FDA safety reports. Ann Oncol 22:1170–1180
18. Mendez-Navarro J, Corey KE, Zheng H et al (2011) Hepatitis B screening, prophylaxis and reactivation in the era of rituximab-based chemotherapy. Liver Int 31:330–339
19. Tsutsumi Y, Shigematsu A, Hashino S et al (2009) Analysis of reactivation of hepatitis B virus in the treatment of B cell non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in Hokkaido. Ann Hematol 88(4):375–377
20. Yang SH, Kuo SH (2008) Reactivation of hepatitis B virus during rituximab treatment of a patient with follicular lymphoma. Ann Hematol 87(4):325–327
21. Yeo W, Chan TC, Leung NWY et al (2009) Hepatitis B virus reactivation in lymphoma patients with prior resolved hepatitis B undergoing anticancer therapy with or without rituximab. J Clin Oncol 27(4):605–611
22. Nagashima T, Minota S (2008) Long-term tocilizumab therapy in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis and chronic hepatitis B. Rheumatology (Oxford) 47:1838–1840
23. Nagashima T, Maruyama A, Kamata Y et al (2011) Unchanged serum viral load and liver function during tocilizumab treatment in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis and hepatitis C virus infection. Rheumatol Int 32:2231–2232
24. Tsuhoi H, Tsuji A, Nampei A et al (2011) A patient with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tocilizumab together with lamivudine prophylaxis after remission of infliximab-reactivated hepatitis B. Mod Rheumatol 21:701–705
25. Kim PS, Ho SY, Prete PE et al (2012) Safety and efficacy of abatacept in eight rheumatoid arthritis patients with chronic hepatitis B. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 64:1265–1268
26. Ghrenassia E, Meknian A, Rouaghe S, Granne N, Fain O (2012) Reactivation of resolved hepatitis B during rituximab therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. Joint Bone Spine 79:100–101
27. Salman-Monte TC, Lisbona MP, García-Retortillo M, Maymó J (2014) Reactivation of hepatitis B virus infection in a patient with
rheumatoid arthritis after treatment with rituximab. Reumatol Clin 10(3):191–200
34. Germanidis G, Hytiorglou P, Zakalka M, Settas L (2012) Reactivation of occult hepatitis B virus infection, following treatment of refractory rheumatoid arthritis with abatacept. J Hepatol 56(6):1420–1421
35. Vassilopoulos D, Calabrese LH (2012) Management of rheumatic disease with comorbid HBV or HCV infection. Nat Rev Rheumatol 8(6):348–357
36. Jong EC, Freedman DO (2009) The immunocompromised traveler. In: CDC travelers’ health yellow book. http://www.cdc.gov/travel/yellowbook/2010/chapter-8/immunocompromisedtraveler.aspx
37. Stuck AE, Minder CE, Frey FJ (1989) Risk of infectious complications in patients taking glucocorticosteroids. Rev Infect Dis 11:954–963
38. Xuan D, Yiqi Y, Shao L, Wang J, Zhang W, Zou H (2014) Hepatitis B virus replication in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Mod Rheumatol 34(3):348–357
39. Thong BY, Koh ET, Chng HH, Chow WC (2007) Outcomes of hepatitis B reactivation during long-term, very-low-dose steroid treatment in an inactive HBV carrier. Clin Mol Hepatol 13(2):225–228
40. Cheng J, Li JB, Sun QL, Li X (2011) Reactivation of hepatitis B virus after steroid treatment in rheumatic diseases. J Rheumatol 38(1):181–182. doi:10.3899/jrheum.100692
41. Watanebe K, Takase K, Ohno S, Ideguchi H, Nozaki A, Ishigat-subo Y (2012) Reactivation of hepatitis B virus in a hepatitis B surface antigen-negative patient with rheumatoid arthritis treated with methotrexate. Mod Rheumatol 22(3):470–473
42. Uhl EW, Moldawer LL, Busse WW et al (1998) Increased tumor necrosis factor α antagonism on serum transaminases and virae-mia in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and chronic hepatitis C infection. Ann Rheum Dis 62:1078–1082
43. Maini MK, Boni C, Lee CK (2000) The role of virus specific CD8+ cells in liver damage and viral control during persistent hepatitis B virus infection. J Exp Med 191:1269–1280
44. Millonig G, Kern M, Ludwiczek O et al (2006) Subfulminant hepatitis B following withdrawal of chloroquine. Schweiz Med Wochenschr 136(18):759–762
45. Tonziello G, Pisaturo M, Sica A, Ferrara MG, Sagnelli C, Pas-qual G, Sagnelli E, Guastaferro S, Coppola N (2013) Transient reactivation of occult hepatitis B virus infection despite lamivu-dine prophylaxis in a patient treated for non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Infection 41:225–229
46. Garcia-Rodriguez MJ, Canales MA, Hernandez-Maraver D, Her-nandez-Navarro F (2008) Late reactivation of resolved hepatitis B virus infection: an increasing complication post rituximab-based regimens treatment? Am J Hematol 83:673–675
47. Lee YM, Kang M, Hwang JM, Lee S, Cho H, Kim YS (2010) Reactivation of occult hepatitis B virus infection despite lamivudine prophylaxis in a patient treated for non-Hodgkin lymphoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 25(18):2037–2038
48. Hagiyma H, Kubota T, Komano Y, Kurosaki M, Watanabe M, Miyasaka N (2004) Fulminant hepatitis in an asymptomatic chronic carrier of hepatitis B virus mutant after withdrawal of lowdose methotrexate therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 22(3):375–376
49. Loomba R, Rowley A, Wesley R et al (2008) Systematic review: the effect of preventive lamivudine on hepatitis B reactivation during chemotherapy. Ann Intern Med 148:519–528
50. Perez-Alvarez R, Diaz-Lagares C, Garcia-Hernandez F et al (2011) Hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation in patients receiving tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α)-targeted therapy: analysis of 257 cases. Medicine (Baltimore) 90(6):359–371
51. Giles JT, Bithun JM (2004) Serious infections associated with anticytokine therapies in the rheumatic diseases. J Intensive Care Med 19:320–334
52. Keane J, Gershon S, Wise SP et al (2001) Tuberculosis associated with infliximab, a tumor necrosis factor α-neutralizing agent. N Engl J Med 345:1098–1103
69. Carrol BM, Bond IM (2008) Use of tumor necrosis factor-α inhibitors in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. Semin Arthritis Rheum 38:208–217

70. Thimme R, Wieland S, Steiger C et al (2003) CD8+ cells mediate viral clearance and disease pathogenesis during acute hepatitis B virus infection. J Virol 77:67–76

71. Sakellaoui GT, Chatzigiannis I (2007) Long term anti-TNF-α therapy for ankylosis spondylitis in two patients with chronic HBV infection. Clin Rheumatol 26:950–952

72. Maini RN, Breedveld FC, Kalden JR et al (1998) Therapeutic efficacy of multiple intravenous infusion of anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha monoclonal antibody combined with low-dose weekly methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 41:1552–1563

73. Cavazzana I, Ceribelli A, Cattaneo R, Franceschini F (2008) Treatment with etanercept in six patients with chronic hepatitis C infection and systemic autoimmune diseases. Autoimmun Rev 8:104–106

74. Furst DE, Keystone EC, Braun J et al (2011) Updated consensus statement on biological agents for the treatment of rheumatic diseases 2010. Ann Rheum Dis 70(Suppl 1):i2–i36

75. Nathan DM, Angus PW, Gibson PR (2006) Hepatitis B and C virus infections and anti-tumor necrosis factor-α therapy: guidelines for clinical approach. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 21:1366–1371

76. Wendling D, Di Martino V, Prati C et al (2009) Spondyloarthropathy and chronic B hepatitis. Effect of anti-TNF therapy. Joint Bone Spine 76:308–311

77. Marzano A, Angelucci E, Andreone P et al (2007) Prophylaxis and treatment of hepatitis B in immunocompromised patients. Dig Liver Dis 39:397–408

78. Galeazzi M, Bellissai F, Giannitti C et al (2007) Safety of cyclosporin A in HCV-infected patients: experience with cyclosporin A in patients affected by rheumatological disorders and concomitant HCV infection. Ann NY Acad Sci 1110:544–549

79. Giannitti C, Benucci M, Caporali R et al (2009) Efficacy and safety of anti-TNF-α therapy combined with cyclosporine A in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and concomitant hepatitis C virus infection. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol 22:543–546

80. Esteve i Comas M, Soro C, Gonzales-Huix F et al (2004) Chronic hepatitis B reactivation following infliximab therapy in Crohn’s disease patients: need for primary prophylaxis. Gut 53:1363–1365

81. Michel M, Duvoux C, Hezode C et al (2003) Fulminant hepatitis after infliximab in patient with hepatitis B virus treated for an adult onset Still’s disease. J Rheumatol 30:1624–1625

82. Caporali R, Bobbio-Pallavicini F, Atzeni F et al (2010) Safety of tumor necrosis factor alpha blockers in hepatitis B virus occult carriers (hepatitis B surface antigen negative/anti-hepatitis B core antigen positive) with rheumatic diseases. Arthritis Care Res 62:749–754

83. Ostuni P, Botsios C, Punzi L, Sfriso P, Todesco S (2003) Hepatitis B reactivation in a chronic hepatitis B surface antigen carrier with rheumatoid arthritis treated with infliximab and low dose methotrexate. Ann Rheum Dis 62:686–687

84. Chung SJ, Kim JK, Park MC, Park YB, Lee SK (2009) Reactivation of hepatitis B viral infection in inactive HBsAg carriers following anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha therapy. J Rheumatol 36:2416–2420

85. Kim YJ, Bae SC, Sung YK et al (2010) Possible reactivation of potential hepatitis B virus occult infection by tumor necrosis factor-alpha blocker in the treatment of rheumatic diseases. J Rheumatol 37:346–350

86. Singh JA, Furst DE, Bharat A et al (2012) 2012 Update of the 2008 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for the use of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs and biologic agents in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res 64:625–639

87. Zingarelli S, Frassi M, Bazzani C et al (2009) Use of tumor necrosis factor-α-blocking agents in hepatitis B virus-positive patients: reports of 3 cases and review of the literature. J Rheumatol 36:1189–1194