Introduction

Open wedge high tibial osteotomy (OWHTO) is a commonly performed procedure for knees exhibiting medial compartment osteoarthritis with varus malalignment\(^1\), and favorable surgical outcomes have been reported in the majority of clinical follow-up studies\(^2,3\). However, this procedure also carries the risk of complications such as nonunion, infection, tibial plateau fracture and patellofemoral problems\(^4-8\). Among these complications, postoperative patellofemoral problems such as patella baja and anterior knee pain have been considered as potential shortcomings of OWHTO because this procedure inevitably lowers the tibial tubercle position creating a potential risk for patella baja and increases patellofemoral pressure\(^2,4,9-12\). Therefore, measures against these unfavorable patellofemoral mechanics are essential to improve the outcomes of OWHTO.

Lateral retinacular release is a popular arthroscopic procedure performed to improve the patellofemoral alignment and relieve the excessive joint pressure\(^8\). Therefore, concomitant lateral release (LR) at the time of OWHTO may effectively improve patellofemoral mechanics leading to reduced anterior knee symptoms and improved surgical outcome and patient satisfaction.

There have been a few studies that examined the effect of concomitant LR on the postoperative patellofemoral mechanics and...
outcomes of high tibial osteotomy (HTO). Christodoulou et al.\(^9\) compared the results of HTO with and without LR and reported that concomitant LR could afford better postoperative patellofemoral alignment, range of motion, and clinical score compared to isolated HTO without LR. However, their HTO procedure was a Coventry-type closed wedge osteotomy. Saito et al.\(^{13}\) reported that anterior advancement of distal fragment associated with LR could improve patellofemoral alignment; however, their series also dealt with subjects with LR combined with closed wedge HTO. To date, the efficacy of LR for the improvement of patellofemoral mechanics in OWHTO has not been reported.

Therefore, the primary purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of concomitant arthroscopic LR in OWHTO on postoperative patellar position and orientation based on the comparison of pre- and postoperative radiological parameters. It was hypothesized that concomitant LR would improve the postoperative patellofemoral mechanics as evidenced by radiological findings.

Materials and Methods

The subjects of this study were composed of a consecutive series of 19 knees undergoing OWHTO concomitant with LR (OWHTO/LR group) and 18 knees undergoing OWHTO alone without LR (OWHTO alone group). The patients and/or their families were informed that data from the case would be submitted for publication and gave their consent. The surgeries were performed by two surgeons (HN and SO). During the period from January 2013 to March 2014, one surgeon (HN) performed OWHTO concomitant with LR in all cases when the opening wedge width was more than 10 mm, while the other surgeon (SO) performed isolated HTO for all knees regardless of the wedge width.

All knees underwent OWHTO for medial compartment osteoarthritis with varus deformity after failure of supervised conservative treatment. OWHTO was not indicated for knees with substantial patellofemoral osteoarthritis and symptoms, rheumatoid arthritis, flexion contracture of >10°, and total range of motion <120°. In order to make a comparison between knees undergoing OWHTO with comparable amount of correction, knees with a wedge width of less than 10 mm were excluded from the study population. In addition, knees with other concomitant procedures such as anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction were also excluded.

At surgery, the lateral patellar retinaculum was released with a radiofrequency device under arthroscopic view. The release area was between the anterolateral portal and the proximal pole of the patella as in other studies reported in the literature\(^{14,15}\).

A TomoFix plate (DePuy Synthes, Zuchwil, Switzerland) was used to attain solid fixation at the osteotomy site, and a βTCP spacer was inserted into the open gap.

Postoperatively, the same rehabilitation protocol was followed in both groups. Patients were allowed range of motion and straight leg raising exercises from the 1st postoperative day. Touchdown weight bearing was started at 1 week after surgery with full weight bearing allowed at 2 weeks after surgery.

Radiological examination included a bilateral standing anteroposterior whole leg radiograph, standing anteroposterior and lateral views, and an axial view. The radiographs obtained preoperatively and at 1 year after surgery were used for the analysis, and pre- and postoperative results were compared. Examined radiological parameters were as follows: coronal limb alignment (anatomical femorotibial angle), posterior slope of the proximal tibia (tibial slope)\(^{16}\), Caton-Deschamps index (CDI) and

---

Fig. 1. (A) Measurement of patellar tilting angle (PTA). PTA was defined as the angle between a line intersecting the widest bony structure of the patella and a line tangent to the anterior surface of the femoral condyles on a skyline view. (B) Measurement of patellar lateral shift (PLS). PLS was defined as the ratio of PP' to CC'. CC': distance between the summits of the condyles on the medial and lateral sides, PP': distance between the summit of the lateral condyle and the point where a line drawn from the lateral edge of the patella runs perpendicular to a line that passes through the summits of lateral (L) and medial (M) condyles.
Blackburne-Peel index (BPI) on the lateral radiograph to assess the patellar height in reference to the joint level\(^{13}\), patellar tilting angle (PTA) and patellar lateral shift (PLS) (Fig. 1)\(^2\), and medial and lateral patellofemoral joint distances (M-PFD and L-PFD) (Fig. 2) as parameters for patellofemoral alignment measured on the 30° axial view\(^2\).

Mann-Whitney U-test was used to statistically analyze the difference in numerical variables between the groups as well as pre- and postoperative radiological results. The difference in gender distribution between the groups was assessed using the Fisher exact test.

This study was performed after obtaining Institutional Review Board approval from our institution.

Results

Preoperative demographic and clinical characteristics in both groups are comparatively shown in Table 1. All demographic and clinical characteristics were comparable without significant intergroup difference except for age (52.0 years and 63.1 years on average for the OWHTO/LR group and OWHTO alone group, respectively).

The mean opening height was 12.8 mm and 11.8 mm in the OWHTO/LR and OWHTO alone groups, respectively. Regarding intra- and postoperative complications, there was no intraoperative fracture on the lateral side of tibia requiring additional procedure or modification of the postoperative protocol. Delayed union at the osteotomy site was not encountered in the study subjects. One patient in the OWHTO/LR group sustained superficial wound infection which was resolved by local management.

Pre- and postoperative values measured for each of the radiological parameters in both groups are comparatively shown in Table 2. In the OWHTO/LR group, patellar height significantly decreased in the assessment of BPI, while a significant postoperative reduction in CDI was detected in the OWHTO alone group. Regarding patellar shift and tilt, in the OWHTO/LR group, both PTA and PLS values significantly decreased after surgery indicating significant postoperative reductions in lateral patellar shift and tilt. Although an increase in L-PFD and a decrease in M-PFD were observed after surgery, these postoperative changes did not reach the level of statistical significance. By contrast, in the OWHTO alone group, there were no significant changes in the parameters for patellar tilt and shift after surgery. In addition, although not statistically significant, an increase in L-PFD was observed after combined LR and OWHTO, while the correspond-

![Fig. 2. Measurement of patellofemoral distance (PFD). Distance from the lateral (medial) edge of the lateral trochlea to the lateral (medial) edge of the patella was measured on a skyline view and defined as lateral PFD (L-PFD) and medial PFD (M-PFD), respectively. M: medial, L: lateral.](image)

| Variable                  | OWHTO/LR group (n=19) | OWHTO alone group (n=18) | p-value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                  | 52.0±9.1 (32–72)      | 63.1±7.1 (53–74)         | 0.04\(^{a}\) |
| Sex (male), no.           | 13                    | 13                       | 0.08\(^{b}\) |
| Preoperative FTA (°)      | 183±3.4 (177–191)     | 183±2.7 (178–189)        | 0.81    |
| Tibial slope (°)          | 10.0±2.4 (7–16)       | 8.3±3.0 (4–15)           | 0.06    |
| Average opening wedge value (mm) | 12.8±2.2 (10–16)   | 11.8±1.8 (10–15)         | 0.32    |
| Height (m)                | 1.66±0.09 (1.49–1.83) | 1.65±0.09 (1.47–1.76)    | 0.93    |
| Weight (kg)               | 65.7±11.6 (48.7–85.2) | 68.8±9.5 (53.6–85.2)     | 0.59    |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))         | 23.8±3.0 (19.3–29.5)  | 25.3±3.1 (24.0–30.8)     | 0.22    |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation (range).

OWHTO: open wedge high tibial osteotomy, LR: lateral release, FTA: femorotibial angle, BMI: body mass index.

\(^{a}\)Statistically significant difference (p<0.05).

\(^{b}\)Fisher exact test.
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ing distance was reduced after isolated OWHTO. The increase in PFD induced by concomitant LR may result in reduction of patellofemoral joint pressure.

Discussion

Although OWHTO has been reported to provide consistently satisfactory clinical outcome, the adverse effect of wedge opening proximal to the tibial tubercle on postoperative patellofemoral mechanics has been raised as a concern.\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^7\)\(^2\)\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{b}\)\(^\text{c}\)\(^\text{d}\)\(^\text{e}\)\(^\text{f}\)\(^\text{g}\)\(^\text{h}\)\(^\text{i}\)\(^\text{j}\)\(^\text{k}\)\(^\text{l}\)\(^\text{m}\)\(^\text{n}\)\(^\text{o}\)\(^\text{p}\)\(^\text{q}\)\(^\text{r}\)\(^\text{s}\)\(^\text{t}\)\(^\text{u}\)\(^\text{v}\)\(^\text{w}\)\(^\text{x}\)\(^\text{y}\)\(^\text{z}\)

| Measurement                  | OWHTO/LR group | OWHTO alone group |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
|                              | Preoperative   | Postoperative    | p-value | Preoperative | Postoperative | p-value |
| Femorotibial angle (°)       | 183±3.4 (177–191) | 174±2.9 (170–180) | <0.01\(^\text{a}\) | 183±2.7 (178–189) | 172±2.0 (164–193) | <0.01\(^\text{a}\) |
| Tibial slope (°)             | 10.0±2.4 (7–16) | 10.3±3.0 (4–18) | 0.99     | 8.3±3.0 (4–15) | 7.6±4.8 (2–17) | 0.88     |
| Blackburne-Peel index        | 0.82±0.2 (0.5–1.2) | 0.69±0.1 (0.5–1.0) | 0.03\(^\text{a}\) | 0.77±0.2 (0.4–1.5) | 0.58±0.2 (0.2–0.9) | 0.04\(^\text{a}\) |
| Caton-Deschamps index        | 0.62±0.2 (0.5–1.3) | 0.57±0.2 (0.4–1.1) | 0.38     | 0.95±0.2 (0.5–1.2) | 0.77±0.2 (0.3–1.0) | 0.03\(^\text{a}\) |
| Patellar lateral shift (°)   | 11.5±3.2 (5–14) | 9.7±4.8 (1–19) | 0.04\(^\text{a}\) | 6.8±5.2 (1–20) | 6.4±5.2 (2–17) | 0.35     |
| Patellar lateral shift (%)   | 10.9±6.0 (5.9–25.9) | 7.8±4.3 (2.0–18.7) | 0.04\(^\text{a}\) | 9.8±5.7 (4.0–25.7) | 10.0±6.7 (2.9–31.4) | 0.97     |
| L-PFD (mm)                   | 8.2±2.0 (5.0–12.3) | 9.5±4.0 (3.0–20.0) | 0.32     | 10.4±4.8 (4.0–21.3) | 10.2±5.0 (5.0–23.0) | 0.94     |
| M-PFD (mm)                   | 17.0±5.8 (7.0–24.9) | 16.6±5.3 (7.0–28.0) | 0.52     | 12.7±5.1 (5.0–20.7) | 12.1±4.1 (6.0–19.5) | 0.74     |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation (range).

OWHTO: open wedge high tibial osteotomy, LR: lateral release, L-PFD: lateral patellofemoral joint distance, M-PFD: medial patellofemoral joint distance.

\(^\text{a}\)Statistically significant difference (p<0.05).
tion to cope with the patellofemoral problem after OWHTO, an additional bony procedure may add to surgical invasion. LR can be concomitantly performed with minimal surgical morbidity, and the authors feel supplementation with LR can be considered especially when performing OWHTO for knees with some patellofemoral malalignment or arthritic changes.

Since each of the two involved surgeons (HN and SO) performed HTO with and without LR in this study, indication for LR was not specifically determined based on the study results. In addition, there are other study limitations such as small sample size, no priori power analysis performed, short follow-up period, retrospective analysis of two surgical procedures performed by two surgeons (HN and SO), no randomization for the two procedures (a concern for selection bias), and the lack of clinical results. A prospective comparative study including clinical evaluation for a larger number of patients with a longer follow-up period would be required to critically examine the effect of concomitant LR on the surgical outcome of OWHTO.

Conclusions

OWHTO performed for varus osteoarthritis knees induced a postoperative decrease in patellar height in both patient groups with and without concomitant LR. Regarding the change in patellofemoral alignment, however, concomitant LR in OWHTO significantly decreased lateral patellar tilt and shift, while no significant difference in those parameters was noted in the HTO alone knees.
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