A Tail Does Not Always Make a Difference: Assembly of $cds$ Nets from Co(NCS)$_2$ and 1,4-bis($n$-Alkyloxy)-2,5-bis(3,2′:6′,3′′-terpyridin-4′-yl)benzene Ligands
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Abstract: The consistent assembly of a (6:8) $cds$ net is observed in reactions of cobalt(II) thiocyanate with 1,4-bis($n$-alkyloxy)-2,5-bis(3,2′:6′,3′′-terpyridin-4′-yl)benzene ligands in which the $n$-alkyloxy substituents are $n$-propyl (ligand 3), $n$-butyl (4), $n$-pentyl (5), $n$-hexyl (6), $n$-heptyl (7), and $n$-octyl (8). Crystals were grown by layering a methanol solution of Co(NCS)$_2$ over a 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution of each ligand. The choice of crystallization solvents is critical in directing the assembly of the $cds$ net. Single-crystal structures of [Co(NCS)$_2$(3)$_2$]$_2$:6$n$Cl, [Co(NCS)$_2$(4)$_2$]$_2$:6$n$Cl, [Co(NCS)$_2$(5)$_2$]$_2$:6$n$Cl, [Co(NCS)$_2$(6)$_2$]$_2$:6$n$Cl, [Co(NCS)$_2$(7)$_2$]$_2$:6$n$Cl, and [Co(NCS)$_2$(8)$_2$]$_2$:6$n$Cl are presented and compared. The $n$-alkyloxy chains exhibit close to extended conformations and are accommodated in cavities in the lattice without perturbation of the coordination framework.
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1. Introduction

The first metal coordination compound containing the 3,2′:6′,3′″-terpyridine (3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy) domain was reported in 2008 by Grafino et al. and demonstrated metal binding by the outer pyridine rings of 4′-phenyl-3,2′:6′,3′″-terpyridine (1, Scheme 1) in the discrete molecular complex [Zn$_2$(µ-1)(acac)$_2$]·H$_2$O (Hacac = pentane-2,4-dione) [1]. This ditopic coordination environment is typical of 3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy, although coordination through a single pyridine ring has also been observed, often when a coordinatively non-innocent functionality such as a carboxylate has been introduced into the 3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy unit [2–11] or when protonation of a pyridine ring occurs [12,13]. Compared to 4,2′:6,4″-tpy (Scheme 1), 3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy is conformational flexible (Scheme 2), allowing the metal-binding domain to adapt to different environments imposed either by the coordination geometry of a metal center or to spatial constraints within the crystal lattice. However, it is important to note that changes in the conformation of the 3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy units do not necessarily result in modification of the coordination network type [14].

Yoshida et al. [15] were the first to report the coordination chemistry of tetratopic ligands combining two divergent terpyridine domains; this included ligand 2 (Scheme 1). In addition to the conformational flexibility described in Scheme 2, ligands such as 2 also exhibit conformational variation arising from bond rotation about the arene spacer–tpy C–C bonds. With reference to the centroid of the central arene ring in 2 and the four outer pyridine N-donors, the ligand is described as a 4-connecting node, and the limiting geometries are defined with the two 3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy units being coplanar or orthogonal [16].

In our recent investigations, we have been focusing on coordination networks assembled using combinations of [Cu(hfacac)$_2$] (Hhfacac = hexafluoropentane-2,4-dione) and ligands related to 2 which contain $n$-alkyloxy substituents bonded to the central arene spacer [17]. The $n$-alkyloxy groups increase the solubility of the bis(3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy) ligands,
as well as providing a means of tuning the steric requirements of the ligands. Using ligands 3–8 (Scheme 3) as well as their methoxy and ethoxy-substituted analogs, we demonstrated the dominant assembly of (4,4) nets directed by the bis(3,2′:6′,3″-tpy) ligands acting as 4connecting nodes. We showed that changes in conformation of the 3,2′:6′,3″-tpy units from I to II (Scheme 2) did not influence the network topology. Related to these observations is the reaction of ligand 2 with [Co(CNacac)₂] (HCNacac = 3-cyanopentane-2,4-dione), which also leads to a (4,4)-net with the ligand functioning as a 4-connecting node and the metal center only acting as a linker [15].

Scheme 1. The structures of the ditopic ligand 1, the first tetratopic ligand 2, containing two 3,2′:6′,3″-tpy metal-binding domains, and 4,2′:6′,4″-terpyridine.

Scheme 2. Limiting planar conformations of the 3,2′:6′,3″-tpy metal-binding domain. Only the outer pyridine rings coordinate to metal ions.

Scheme 3. The structures of ligands 3–12.

By combining bis(3,2′:6′,3″-tpy) ligands with Co(NCS)₂, both ligand and metal center have the potential to act as 4-connecting nodes. Typically, coordination of pyridine-based ligands with Co(NCS)₂ yields trans-[Co(NCS)₂(N)₄] domains, which can function as a 4-connecting node in a coordination network. The coordination chemistry of bis(3,2′:6′,3″-tpy) ligands with Co(NCS)₂ is still little explored and only four structures appear in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) version 2022.1.0 [18], searched using Conquest version 2022.1.0 [19]. However, even with these limited examples, three different networks are exemplified illustrating the flexibility of the bis(3,2′:6′,3″-tpy) building block. In each of the following, crystals were obtained under ambient conditions, using crystal growth by
layering. A combination of a 1,2-Cl₂C₆H₄ solution of 9 (Scheme 3) and a MeOH solution of Co(NCS)₂ yielded [Co(NCS)₂(9)]ₙ·1.6nH₂O·1.2nC₆H₄Cl₂, which assembled into a 3D (6⁵.8) cd₄ net [20]. In contrast, use of CHCl₃ solutions of 10 and 11 (Scheme 3) and a MeOH solution of Co(NCS)₂ produced trinodal self-interpenetrating (6⁵·8⁸)(6⁵·8⁵)(6⁵·8) nets, with powder X-ray diffraction data confirming that the single crystal structures were representative of the bulk materials [21]. In each of these structures (CSD recodes KOXJEP, NORVAU and NORVOI), the asymmetric unit contains either one or two, crystallographically independent half-ligands with the second half generated by inversion. Thus, each ligand in [Co(NCS)₂(9)]ₙ·1.6nH₂O·1.2nC₆H₄Cl₂ [20], [Co(NCS)₂(10)]ₙ·nMeOH·3nCHCl₃ [21] and [Co(NCS)₂(11)]ₙ·0.8nMeOH·1.8nCHCl₃ [21] is a planar, 4-connecting node, and in each structure, the 3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy domain adopts conformation II (Scheme 2). We have also observed that layering of a MeOH solution of Co(NCS)₂ over a CHCl₃ solution of 8 (Scheme 3) resulted in the assembly of a 3D (4²·8₄)(4²·8₄) net (CSD recode LOTDIJ) [22] directed by a combination of planar, 4-connecting Co nodes and pseudo-tetrahedral ligand nodes (i.e., ligand 8 adopts a conformation in which the two 3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy units approach orthogonality). In [Co(NCS)₂(8)]ₙ·4nCHCl₃, ligand 8 adopts conformation I (Scheme 2). These latter results motivated us to further investigate network assembly using Co(NCS)₂ and bis(3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy) ligands, and we chose to use ligands 3–8 with a common solvent combination of methanol and 1,2-dichlorobenzene. A reason for investigating the series 3–8 is that we have previously observed changes in coordination assembly as a result of varying the length of the n-alkyloxy chain in series of 3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy and 4,2′:6′,4″″-tpy ligands [23–26].

2. Results and Discussion
2.1. Crystal Growth Conditions

We have previously reported the synthesis and characterization of compounds 3–8. Crystal growth experiments were carried out under ambient conditions (ca. 22 °C) by layering a MeOH solution of Co(NCS)₂ over a 1,2-Cl₂C₆H₄ solution of each ligand. Reactions were carried out on the same scale with the same concentrations of solutions, and X-ray quality crystals were obtained in periods ranging from 21 days to two months (see Section 3).

2.2. Single Crystal Structures

The compounds [Co(NCS)₂(3)]ₙ·3.5nC₆H₄Cl₂ and [Co(NCS)₂(4)]ₙ·5.5nC₆H₄Cl₂ crystallized in the monoclinic space group P2₁/c, while [Co(NCS)₂(5)]ₙ·4nC₆H₄Cl₂, [Co(NCS)₂(6)]ₙ·3.8nC₆H₄Cl₂, [Co(NCS)₂(7)]ₙ·3.1nC₆H₄Cl₂ and [Co(NCS)₂(8)]ₙ·1.6nC₆H₄Cl₂·2nMeOH crystallized in the monoclinic space group P2₁/n. All six compounds exhibit similar, extended structures and we therefore discuss them together. The structures of the asymmetric units with atom numbering are shown in Figures S1–S6 in the Supporting Material. Each bis(3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy) ligand binds to four different [Co(NCS)₂] units and therefore functions as a 4-connecting node. Each Co(II) center is 6-coordinate and is in a trans-[Co(NCS)₂(N)₄] environment, coordinated by four different bis(3,2′:6′,3′″-tpy) ligands. Thus, each Co(II) is also a 4-connecting node. Figure 1 illustrates this for [Co(NCS)₂(3)]ₙ·3.5nC₆H₄Cl₂ as representative of the six structures. Selected bond parameters are given in Table 1; the Co–N bond lengths are unexceptional, and the N–Co–N bond angles are all close to 90°, consistent with a regular octahedral coordination sphere.
Figure 1. In [Co(NCS)]$_2$(3) = 3.5nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$, both the Co atom and ligand 3 act as 4-connecting nodes. H atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

Table 1. Important bond parameters in the cobalt(II) coordination compounds.

| Compound                        | Co–N$_{NCS}$/Å | Co–N$_{py}$/Å | Range of N–Co–N Bond Angles $^a$/deg |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| [Co(NCS)]$_2$(3)$_{cr}$ 3.5nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ | 2.077(4)       | 2.151(4), 2.245(4) | 87.82(15)–92.18(15)                |
| [Co(NCS)]$_2$(4)$_{cr}$ 5.5nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ | 2.069(5), 2.051(5), 2.069(5) | 2.149(5), 2.262(5), 2.175(5), 2.288(5), 2.176(5), 2.253(5) | 87.60(18)–91.64(18)                |
| [Co(NCS)]$_2$(5)$_{cr}$ 4nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ | 2.062(3)       | 2.249(3), 2.182(3) | 89.29(12)–90.71(12)                |
| [Co(NCS)]$_2$(6)$_{cr}$ 3.8nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ | 2.0633(19)     | 2.1854(19), 2.2469(19) | 89.12(7)–90.88(7)                  |
| [Co(NCS)]$_2$(7)$_{cr}$ 3.1nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ | 2.059(3)       | 2.181(3), 2.255(3) | 88.62(12)–91.38(12)                |
| [Co(NCS)]$_2$(8)$_{cr}$ 1.6nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ 2nMeOH | 2.068(5)       | 2.182(5), 2.243(4) | 88.58(18)–91.42(18)                |

$^a$ Only the cis angles are given.

The asymmetric unit in each of the structures of the complexes containing 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 contains half of one independent ligand, with the second half being generated by inversion (Figures S1 and S3–S6 in the Supporting Material). Thus, symmetry dictates that the four N-donors are coplanar. In [Co(NCS)]$_2$(4)$_{cr}$ 5.5nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$, the asymmetric unit contains one whole and one-half independent ligands. For the latter, the ligand is completed by inversion and so, again, the four N-donors (N7, N9, N7’, N9’ in Figure S2) are coplanar. For the crystallographically independent ligand containing N1, N2, N3 and N4 (Figure S2), the angle between the plane containing N1, N2 and the centroid of the arene spacer, and the plane containing N3, N4 and the centroid of the arene ring, is 3.4°. This ligand is therefore also a planar, 4-connecting node, and while the ligands in [Co(NCS)]$_2$(4)$_{cr}$ 5.5nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ are crystallographically independent, they are topologically equivalent. Therefore, in all six structures, both the bis(3,2',6',3''-tpy) ligand and the cobalt center are planar, 4-connecting nodes and the assemblies propagate into 3D networks with a cts topology. This is one of the more common networks comprising planar 4-connecting nodes [27,28]; half of the adjacent nodes are coplanar and half are mutually perpendicular. This is shown for [Co(NCS)]$_2$(3)$_{cr}$ 3.5nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ in Figure 2, in which the ligand and metal nodes are shown in red and blue, respectively. The coordination networks with 3–8 are structurally related to that in [Co(NCS)]$_2$(9)$_{cr}$ 1.6nH$_2$O 1.2nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$, crystals of which were also grown using a MeOH 1.2Cl$_2$C$_6$H$_4$ mixture [20]. The cts net was also found for [Co(NCS)]$_2$(12)$_{cr}$ 2nC$_6$H$_4$Cl$_2$ (see Scheme 3 for ligand 12) in which 12 is an isomer of 3 [29].
In each compound, the 3,2′,6′,3″-tpy unit adopts conformation II (Scheme 2), and the angles between the least-squares planes of bonded pairs of aromatic rings in the coordinated ligands 3–8 show striking similarities (Table 2). This is further demonstrated in the overlay of one building block from each structure displayed in Figure 3. In each structure, the n-alkyloxy chains are in close to extended conformations and it is noteworthy that the increase in steric demands of the substituents has negligible effect on the overall structure as discussed below. Packing diagrams of the six structures with solvent molecules omitted are displayed in comparable orientations in Figure 4 and illustrate how the n-alkyloxy chains are accommodated in analogous cavities with little impact on the 3D framework. The solvent-accessible void space was calculated using Mercury version 2022.1.0 [30] with a contact surface map with probe radius of 1.2 Å. The decrease from 49.3% to 34.4% (Table 3) is consistent with the increase in the steric demands of the n-alkyloxy chains.

Table 2. Angles between the least-squares planes of pairs of connected rings in coordinated ligands.

| Compound | py-py/° | PYcentral-Arene Spacer/° |
|----------|---------|--------------------------|
| [Co(NCS)₂(3)]₈ 3.5nC₆H₄Cl₂ | 12.9, 28.7 | 48.4 |
| [Co(NCS)₂(4)]₈ 5.5nC₆H₄Cl₂ | 16.0, 24.6; a 10.6, 24.9; b 17.5, 22.8 c | 46.8 a; 46.8 b; 50.0 c |
| [Co(NCS)₂(5)]₈ 4nC₆H₄Cl₂ | 10.0, 28.1 | 52.3 |
| [Co(NCS)₂(6)]₈ 3.8nC₆H₄Cl₂ | 8.1, 28.3 | 52.8 |
| [Co(NCS)₂(7)]₈ 3.1nC₆H₄Cl₂ | 11.1, 24.7 | 50.3 |
| [Co(NCS)₂(8)]₈ 1.6nC₆H₄Cl₂ 2nMeOH | 10.8, 25.7 | 51.4 |

a For the tpy unit containing N1N2N3; b tpy unit with N4N5N6; c tpy unit with N7N8N9.

Table 3. The calculated (see text) solvent-accessible void space in solvent-free [Co(NCS)₂(L)]₈ structures.

| Ligand, L | Void space/% |
|-----------|--------------|
| 3         | 49.3         |
| 4         | 44.5         |
| 5         | 43.1         |
| 6         | 39.8         |
| 7         | 35.6         |
| 8         | 34.4         |
Figure 3. Overlay of the ligand conformations showing the four coordinated trans-$[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(N)]_2$ units in $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(3)]_n$; 3.5$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$ (red), $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(4)]_n$; 5.5$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$ (blue), $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(5)]_n$; 4$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$ (green), $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(6)]_n$; 3.8$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$ (yellow), $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(7)]_n$; 3.1$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$ (pale grey), and $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(8)]_n$; 1.6$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$; 2$n$MeOH (black). In $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(4)]_n$; 5.5$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$, one of the two independent ligands is shown; both ligands have similar conformations (Table 2).

Figure 4. Packing diagrams of (a) $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(3)]_n$; 3.5$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$, (b) $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(4)]_n$; 5.5$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$, (c) $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(5)]_n$; 4$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$, (d) $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(6)]_n$; 3.8$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$, (e) $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(7)]_n$; 3.1$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$, and (f) $[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(8)]_n$; 1.6$n$C$_4$H$_2$Cl$_2$; 2$n$MeOH. Solvent molecules have been removed. In (c-f), the packing diagram is viewed down the c-axis. In (b), there are two crystallographically independent ligands.
2.3. Bulk Sample Analysis

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and solid-state IR spectroscopy were used to analyze the bulk materials after single crystals had been selected for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The IR spectra are shown in Figures S7–S12, and a strong absorption at 2065, 2069, 2069, 2067, 2068 or 2056 cm\(^{-1}\) for the compound containing ligands 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 or 8, respectively, was assigned to the \(\nu(\text{CN})\) mode of the coordinated thiocyanato ligands. The fingerprint regions of the IR spectra are all similar.

For [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(6)\(_n\)·3.8\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\), an excellent fit was found between the experimental PXRD pattern for the bulk material and the pattern predicted from the single-crystal structure (Figure 5). However, for the remaining compounds, good fits were not obtained, most likely because of solvent loss which occurs on standing at ambient temperatures. Overlays of the experimental PXRD (298 K) for the bulk material and that predicted from the single crystal structures (150 K) of [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(3)\(_n\)·3.5\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) and [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(4)\(_n\)·5.5\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) are shown in Figures S13 and S14.

![Figure 5. PXRD (CuKα1 radiation) patterns for [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(6)\(_n\)·3.8\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\). Experimentally obtained pattern (red circles) is compared with the best fit from the Rietveld refinement analysis (black line). Bragg peak positions (green) and differences between the calculated and experimental plots (blue) are also shown.](image)

For [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(3)\(_n\)·3.5\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\), [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(4)\(_n\)·5.5\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) and [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(6)\(_n\)·3.8\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) coupled with mass spectrometry was used to investigate loss of solvent from the lattice upon heating. The results are summarized in Table 4. The crystals of the coordination polymers were heated to 210 °C. Loss of 1,2-dichlorobenzene was detected with mass peaks at \(m/z\) 146, 148 and 111 (arising from \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}^{35}\text{Cl}^+\), \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}^{35}\text{Cl}^2+\) and \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}^{36}\text{Cl}^+\)). Detection at \(m/z\) 31.0 was used to check for loss of MeOH; none or a negligible amount was observed (Figures S15–S17). [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(4)\(_n\)·5.5\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) and [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(6)\(_n\)·3.8\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) exhibit loss of \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\) in two steps, while [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(3)\(_n\)·3.5\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) shows a three-step process (Figures S15–S17).

Table 4. Results of thermogravimetric analyses.

| Compound          | Initial Weight /mg | Weight Loss /mg | Weight Loss /% | Calculated Solvent Molecules a |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(3)\(_n\)·3.5\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) | 1.14               | 0.45            | 39.5           | 3.7 mol \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\) |
| [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(4)\(_n\)·5.5\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) | 0.76               | 0.30            | 39.5           | 3.8 mol \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\) |
| [Co(NCS)]\(_2\)(6)\(_n\)·3.8\(\text{H}_2\text{C}_6\text{Cl}_2\) | 1.74               | 0.67            | 38.5           | 3.9 mol \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\) |

a Per formula unit.
2.4. Role of Solvents

The consistency of the \( \text{cds} \) net in the \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(L)]_n\) family for \( L = 3-8 \) and as well as \( 9 \) [20] when crystallization conditions are the same, and the appearance of other nets when different solvent systems are used [20,21] indicates that the role of the solvents is a critical factor in directing the assembly while retaining the 4-connecting \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) and \( \text{bis(3,2',6',3''-tpy)} \) nodes. For ligand 4, a change in crystallization solvents from MeOH and 1,2-dichlorobenzene to MeOH and CHCl\(_3\) resulted in a material which crystallized in the triclinic space group \( P-1 \) with cell dimensions \( a = 17.6394(3), b = 20.7435(4), c = 21.1158(4) \) Å, \( \alpha = 79.009(2), \beta = 65.2470(10), \gamma = 64.8080(10) \)°. Preliminary crystallographic data revealed the assembly of a trinodal self-penetrating network analogous to those observed for \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(10)]_n \) \( n \text{MeOH} \) and \( n \text{CHCl}_3 \) and \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(11)]_n \) \( 0.8n \text{MeOH} - 1.8n \text{CHCl}_3 \) [21]. We are currently investigating further the effects of solvent on crystal growth in the reactions of \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) with ligands structurally related to \( 3-9 \).

3. Materials and Methods
3.1. General

Compounds 3–7 [17] and 8 [22] were prepared as previously reported. \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) was purchased from Alfa Aesar (Kandel Germany) and was used as received.

FT-IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer UATR Two instrument.

3.2. \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(3)]_n 3.5nC_6H_4Cl_2\)

A solution of \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) (1.8 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol) in MeOH (5 mL) was layered over a 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution (4 mL) of ligand 3 (6.6 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol). Pink plate-shaped crystals grew after 26 days, and a single crystal was selected for X-ray diffraction. The remaining crystals were analyzed by PXRD and FT-IR spectroscopy.

3.3. \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(4)]_n 5.5nC_6H_4Cl_2\)

A solution of \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) (1.8 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol) in MeOH (5 mL) was layered over a 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution (4 mL) of ligand 4 (6.9 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol). Pink block-shaped crystals grew after 34 days, and a single crystal was selected for X-ray diffraction. The remaining crystals were analyzed by PXRD and FT-IR spectroscopy.

3.4. \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(5)]_n 4nC_6H_4Cl_2\)

A solution of \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) (1.8 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol) in MeOH (5 mL) was layered over a 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution (4 mL) of ligand 5 (7.1 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol). Pink block-shaped crystals grew after 21 days, and one X-ray quality crystal was chosen. The remaining crystals were analyzed by PXRD and FT-IR spectroscopy.

3.5. \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(6)]_n 3.8nC_6H_4Cl_2\)

A solution of \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) (1.8 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol) in MeOH (5 mL) was layered over a 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution (4 mL) of ligand 6 (7.4 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol). Pink block-shaped crystals grew after 24 days, and one X-ray quality crystal was chosen. The remaining crystals were analyzed by PXRD and FT-IR spectroscopy.

3.6. \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(7)]_n 3.1nC_6H_4Cl_2\)

A solution of \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) (1.8 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol) in MeOH (5 mL) was layered over a 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution (4 mL) of ligand 7 (7.7 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol). Pink block-shaped crystals grew within two months, and one X-ray quality crystal was chosen. The remaining crystals were analyzed by PXRD and FT-IR spectroscopy.

3.7. \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(8)]_n 1.6nC_6H_4Cl_2 2nMeOH\)

A solution of \( \text{Co(NCS)}_2 \) (1.8 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol) in MeOH (5 mL) was layered over a 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution (4 mL) of ligand 8 (8.0 mg, 10 \( \mu \)mol). Pink block-shaped crystals
grew within two months, and one X-ray quality crystal was chosen. The remaining crystals were analyzed by PXRD and FT-IR spectroscopy.

3.8. Crystallography

Single crystal data were collected on a STOE StadiVari Eulerian 4-circle diffractometer (CuKα radiation) equipped with a Dectris Eiger2 1M detector, or using a STOE StadiVari diffractometer equipped with a Pilatus300K detector and with a Metaljet D2 source (GaKα radiation) with data processing using STOE software (X-Area 1.90, STOE, 2020). Structures were solved using Superflip [31,32] and Olex2 [33]. The model was refined with ShelXL v. 2018/3 [34]. All H atoms were included at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model with Uiso = 1.2 of the parent structure. Structure analysis and structural diagrams used CSD Mercury 2022.1.0 [30].

In most structures, the sulfur atom of the \([\text{NCS}]^-\) unit and the n-alkyloxy chains suffered from disorder. Details of the treatment of the disorders and site occupancies are given in the Supplementary Materials in the relevant figure captions. The solvent molecules in all the structures were disordered. In \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2]_8\cdot 5.5n\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}_2\cdot [\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2]_8\cdot 3.1n\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\) and \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2]_8\cdot 1.6n\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}_2\cdot 2n\text{MeOH}\) geometrical restraints for the aromatic ring and restraints for the thermal parameters had to be used to treat the 1,2-Cl2H4I4 molecules. A solvent mask was applied to treat part or all of the solvent region in \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2]_8\cdot 3.5n\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}_2\cdot [\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2]_8\cdot 5.5n\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\cdot [\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2]_8\cdot 3.1n\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\) and \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2]_8\cdot 1.6n\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}_2\cdot 2n\text{MeOH}\). In each case, the electron density removed was accounted for in terms of added solvent molecules, and these were added to the formulae and all appropriate numbers.

PXRD data were collected at 295 K in transmission mode using a Stoe Stadi P diffractometer equipped with CuKα radiation (Ge(111) monochromator and a Dectris MYTHEN 1K detector). Whole-pattern profile matching analysis [35–37] of the diffraction patterns was performed using the package FULLPROF SUITE (v. January 2021) [37,38] applying a previously determined instrument resolution function based on a NIST640d standard. The structural models were derived from the single crystal X-ray diffraction data. Refined parameters in Rietveld were scale factor, zero shift, lattice parameters, background points, and peak shapes as a Thompson-Cox-Hastings pseudo-Voigt function. Preferred orientations as a March–Dollase multi-axial phenomenological model were incorporated into the analysis.

Thermogravimetric analysis was carried out under nitrogen on a TGA5500 instrument coupled to a Discovery II MS, Cirrus 3 mass spectrometer. A Barchart scanning method in the mass range 10–125 or 12–160 was used, and the temperature of the TGA instrument was initially stabilized at 30 °C. The samples were heated to 210 °C, and this was maintained for 30 min.

\[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2\cdot 3.5n\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}_2: \text{C}_{65}\text{H}_{59}\text{Cl}_7\text{Co}_2\text{O}_7\text{S}_2, M_r = 1346.33, \text{pink \textit{monoclinic}, space group } P2_1/n, a = 14.7191(2) \text{Å}, b = 15.0949(2) \text{Å}, c = 16.6847(2) \text{Å}, \beta = 115.5830(10)^\circ, V = 3343.62(8) \text{Å}^3, D_c = 1.337 \text{g cm}^{-3}, T = 150 \text{K}, Z = 2, \mu(\text{CuK}α) = 5.556 \text{mm}^{-1}, 52602 \text{reflections measured, 6247 unique } \langle R_{int} \rangle = 0.0451. \text{Refinement of 5968 reflections (259 parameters) with } I > 2\sigma(I) \text{ converged at final } R_1 = 0.1150 (R_1 \text{ all data} = 0.1178), wR_2 = 0.3133 (wR_2 \text{ all data} = 0.3159), \text{gof} = 1.052. \text{CCDC 2180678.}
\]

\[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2\cdot 5.5n\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2: \text{C}_{152.34}\text{H}_{35.33}\text{Cl}_{10.67}\text{Co}_2\text{O}_7\text{S}_4, M_r = 2878.52, \text{pink \textit{block}, monoclinic, space group } P2_1/c, a = 15.0516(10) \text{Å}, b = 14.9277(5) \text{Å}, c = 46.097(2) \text{Å}, \beta = 98.736(4)^\circ, V = 10237.1(9) \text{Å}^3, D_c = 1.401 \text{g cm}^{-3}, T = 150 \text{K}, Z = 3, \mu(\text{CuK}α) = 4.895 \text{mm}^{-1}, 80876 \text{reflections measured, 19075 unique } \langle R_{int} \rangle = 0.0505. \text{Refinement of 12630 reflections (1014 parameters) with } I > 2\sigma(I) \text{ converged at final } R_1 = 0.1267 (R_1 \text{ all data} = 0.1699), wR_2 = 0.3098 (wR_2 \text{ all data} = 0.3534), \text{gof} = 1.082. \text{CCDC 2180679.}
\]

\[\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{OH})_2\cdot 4n\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}_2: \text{C}_{72}\text{H}_{60}\text{Cl}_5\text{Co}_2\text{O}_8\text{S}_2, M_r = 1475.93, \text{pink \textit{block}, monoclinic, space group } P2_1/n, a = 15.3929(3) \text{Å}, b = 14.4011(4) \text{Å}, c = 16.7954(4) \text{Å}, \beta = 112.716(2)^\circ, V = 3434.31(15) \text{Å}^3, D_c = 1.427 \text{g cm}^{-3}, T = 150 \text{K}, Z = 2, \mu(\text{GaK}α) = 3.926 \text{mm}^{-1}, 29543 \text{reflections measured, 6585 unique } \langle R_{int} \rangle = 0.0594. \text{Refinement of 6270 reflections (392 pa-}
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4. Conclusions

We have reported the single-crystal structures of [Co(NCS)2(3)]4n 3.5nC6H4Cl2, [Co(NCS)2 (4)]4n 5.5nC6H4Cl2, [Co(NCS)2(5)]4n 4nC6H4Cl2, [Co(NCS)2(6)]4n 3.8nC6H4Cl2, [Co(NCS)2(7)]4n 3.1nC6H4Cl2, and [Co(NCS)2(8)]4n 1.6nC6H4Cl2 2nMeOH, in which the ligands 3–8 are bis(3,2′:6′,3′′-tpy) ligands with n-alkyloxy substituents ranging from n-propyl to n-octyl. Crystals were grown by layering a MeOH solution of Co(NCS)2 over a 1,2-Ch2H4 solution of 3–8. For each compound, we report the single-crystal structures of [Co(NCS)2(3)]4n 3.5nC6H4Cl2.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27154995/s1, Figures S1–S6: Structural figures with atom numbering; Figures S7–S12: solid-state IR spectra of the coordination compounds. Figure S13: Overlay of the experimental (blue) PXRD (298 K) for the bulk material and that predicted (black) from the single crystal structure (150 K) of [Co(NCS)2(3)]4n 3.5nC6H4Cl2. Figure S14: Overlay of the experimental (blue) PXRD (298 K) for the bulk material and that predicted (black) from the single crystal structure (150 K) of [Co(NCS)2(4)]4n 5.5nC6H4Cl2. Figure S15: TGA and mass spectrometric traces for the analysis of [Co(NCS)2(3)]4n 3.5nC6H4Cl2. Red: temperature vs. time; black: weight of sample vs. time; dark blue: mass detection for m/z 146, 148 and 111; orange: mass detection for m/z 31.0. Figure S16: TGA and mass spectrometric traces for the analysis of [Co(NCS)2(4)]4n 5.5nC6H4Cl2. Red: temperature vs. time; black: weight of sample vs. time; dark blue: mass detection for m/z 146, 148 and 111; orange: mass detection for m/z 31.0. Figure S17: TGA and mass spectrometric traces for the analysis of [Co(NCS)2(6)]4n 3.8nC6H4Cl2. Red: temperature vs. time; black: weight of sample vs. time; dark blue: mass detection for m/z 146, 148 and 111; orange: mass detection for m/z 31.0.
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