Green chemical synthesis for well-defined and sharply distributed SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles

Florecia Leis$^{1,2}$ · Leonel Andrés Long$^2$ · Ana Laura Di Virgilio$^3$ · Pablo M. Arnal$^{1,2}$

Received: 13 November 2020 / Accepted: 9 March 2021 / Published online: 1 April 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract
Using a non-toxic precursor, we created a green chemical synthesis for colloidal spheres with a core@shell structure having a silica core and an iron oxide shell (SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$). Our synthesis pathway enabled an iron oxide shell formation with a 9 ± 6 nm thick shell onto colloidal silica spheres (ca. 700 nm). SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles reduced A549 cell viability and induced DNA damage. SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles showed the potential for removing fluoride from water.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords  Core@shell · Green synthesis · Fluoride removal · Toxicity · Iron oxide · Silica

This study is dedicated to Professor Dr. Ferdi Schüth on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10971-021-05521-1.
Highlights

- Green chemical synthesis for monodisperse, spherical SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) particles. A 9 ± 6 nm thick shell of iron oxide formed onto monodisperse silica spheres.
- The presence of the shell reduced A549 cell viability and induced DNA damage.
- SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) particles removed fluoride from water in batch systems.

1 Introduction

The necessity to reduce fluoride concentration in water has inspired many researchers worldwide to investigate different potential solutions scientifically. Among them are the processes involving solid materials with sufficient affinity for pollutants to reduce their water concentration down to harmless values [1, 2].

Colloidal particles with a core of silica (SiO\(_2\)), a shell of another oxide (M\(_x\)O\(_y\)), and a sharp distribution in size centered at 100–1000 nm (from now on SiO\(_2\)@M\(_x\)O\(_y\) for the sake of simplicity) combine several valuable attributes regarding removing pollutants from water. The synthesis of monodisperse silica particles is available in the scientific literature since the pioneering work of Stöber et al. [3]. Metal oxides have a high concentration of active functional groups on their surface, capable of removing pollutants from water [4]. Mesoporous shells (pores 2–50 nm) provide high surface areas (>100 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) without the high toxicity of nanoparticles with similar surface areas and without the difficulties of recovering nanoparticles from solution. Spherical particles with a sharp distribution in size offer the least possible resistance to the pollutant’s flow from the bulk solution toward their outer surface, mainly when spherical particle agglomerate (form more giant clusters).

Iron oxide has a well-known affinity for fluoride present in water [5, 6]. Hence, SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) are potentially valuable materials for fluoride decontamination. In contrast with particles with an iron oxide core covered with silica [7–13], the synthesis of SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) is a rarity in the scientific literature. A synthesis protocol for SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) particles is available [14]. However, that synthesis protocol uses an iron precursor (iron acetylacetonate) with acute oral toxicity (see, for instance [15]). Besides, no information is available regarding SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\)’s cyto- and genotoxicity and its ability to remove fluoride from water.

This work’s main aims were (1) to develop a less polluting and dangerous synthesis (a green chemical synthesis [16]) for SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) particles, (2) to explore their cyto- and genotoxicity, and (3) to test their potential ability to remove fluoride from water. Our primary hypothesis was that Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)·9H\(_2\)O (that lacks the toxicity of iron acetylacetonate [17]) would form nanometer-thick shells on silica spheres dispersed in ethanol. An additional hypothesis in this work was that the presence of an iron oxide shell would enhance cyto and genotoxic effects on alveolar epithelial lung cells in culture. The last hypothesis of this work was that SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) would remove fluoride from water.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Synthesis of SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\)

SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) particles were synthesized in a two-step process. In step 1, monodisperse silica spheres were synthesized following a sol–gel process previously reported [18]. Shortly, 4.2 ml of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) were injected (one-way 10 ml syringe with metallic needle) through a septum into a solution of 22 ml NH\(_3\) in H\(_2\)O (30% w/w) and 64 g of absolute ethanol. This solution contained in a 500 ml round bottom flask with one neck was previously warmed up in water at 33 °C. One hour after injecting TEOS, the white solid particles were separated from the solution (centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 min). Then, particles were washed by dispersing in 100 ml of distilled water and separating the liquid (centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 min) three times. Afterwards, particles were washed three times using a similar procedure, but using absolute ethanol instead of water. Finally, the solid particles were dispersed in 100 ml of absolute ethanol.

In step 2, a solution of 0.14 g of Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)·9H\(_2\)O in 10.00 ml of absolute ethanol was added drop-wise from a burette to the dispersion of silica spheres in a 500 ml round flask with one neck under magnetic stirring. Previously, the flask with the dispersion was allowed to warm up in water at 33 °C (closed with a septum). After addition of the iron precursor, the flask remained closed under stirring overnight. The originally white dispersion turned yellow (Scheme 1). Finally, the solid particles were separated from the solution (centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 4 min) and dried at 60 °C overnight.

2.2 Characterization of materials

2.2.1 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

SEM images were obtained for silica and SiO\(_2\)@Fe\(_x\)O\(_y\) particles after covering with ca. 10 nm gold. Measurements were performed with a microscope JEOL JCM-6000 Neo Scope. To determine particle size
distribution, the diameter of 96 silica particles and 66 SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles were measured. A detailed description of the procedure is in the Supplementary information. Thickness of shells was estimated by subtracting the mean diameter of particles after and before addition of the iron precursor.

2.2.2 Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)

Presence of oxygen, silicon, and iron and their distribution in particles was estimated with EDX. Measurements were performed with a microscope JEOL JCM-6000 Neo Scope.

2.2.3 Thermal gravimetric analysis–differential thermal analysis (TGA–DTA)

TGA–DTA were performed with the equipment TG–DTA and TMA Rigaku Evo plus II either under air or N$_2$ from room temperature up to 1000 °C at 10 °C min$^{-1}$.

2.2.4 X-ray diffraction (XRD)

The diffractogram from the material after the synthesis was obtained in reflexion mode with the X-ray diffractometer Philips PW-3710.

2.2.5 Removal of fluoride in batch systems

The removal of fluoride in batch systems with SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ was studied with $2^2$ factorial design [19]. Studied factors were solid-to-liquid ratio (S/L) and time after forming the batch system. Levels were 1 and 10 g l$^{-1}$ in the former and 30 and 120 min in the latter. The fluoride concentration in an aqueous solution was measured with a selective fluoride ion electrode (VAN LONDON-PHoenix) according to [20]. For each measurement, a sample was taken from the batch system, and it was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was then separated and diluted 1:1 with TISAB buffer. Finally, the electrode was immersed in that solution while stirring, and the reading was taken in mV. The concentrations in milligrams per liter were obtained from the calibration curve data as indicated by the method.

2.3 Cyto- and genotoxicity of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles

2.3.1 Cell culture

The A549 human lung carcinoma cell line was cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% of CO$_2$. Cells were seeded in a T75 flask, and when 80–90% of confluence was reached, cells were subcultured using TrypLE™. Experiments were carried out in multiwell plates, where cells were allowed to attach and were washed with DMEM before each treatment.

2.3.2 Cell viability assay

Monolayer cell viability was determined using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), which is reduced by mitochondria in viable cells to a purple formazan dye [1]. Briefly, 2.5 × 10$^4$ cells were seeded on 96-well plates and incubated at 37 °C. After 24 h, cells were exposed to different suspensions of each particle for 24 h. Afterward, the monolayers were washed and incubated with 0.5 mg/ml of MTT in DMEM for 3 h. The absorbance of the formazan extracted with DMSO (100 µl/well) was recorded at a wavelength of 570 nm by using a multiplate reader Multiskan FC (Thermo Scientific). The cell viability is shown graphically as a percent of the control value.

2.3.3 Genotoxicity study

For detection of DNA damage, the single cell gel electrophoresis assay (Comet assay) was employed based on the method of Singh et al. [2] with minor modifications. Briefly, A549 cells were treated with different suspensions of the particles. After 24 h, cells were suspended in 0.5% low melting point agarose and immediately poured onto microscope slides precoated with 0.5% normal melting point agarose. Two slides were prepared for each condition; one slide was used to observe double and single-stranded DNA breaks and the other was used to obtain information on the presence of oxidized DNA bases using digestion with the enzyme Endo III [3]. Slides were immersed in ice-cold lysis...
solution (2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM Na2-EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1% Triton X-100, 10% DMSO at 4°C, pH 10) for 1 h to lyse the cells, remove cellular proteins, and to allow DNA unfolding. After that, the slides were washed three times with enzyme buffer (0.1 M KCl, 0.5 mM Na2-EDTA, 40 mM HEPES–KOH, 0.2 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, pH 8.0) and incubated for 45 min at 37°C with Endo III in the enzyme buffer or with buffer alone. Then, the slides were placed on a horizontal gel electrophoresis tank, and the DNA was allowed to unwind for 20 min in freshly prepared alkaline electrophoresis buffer (300 mM NaOH and 1 mM Na2-EDTA, pH 12.7). Electrophoresis was carried out in the same buffer for 30 min at 25 V (=0.8 V/cm across the gels and ≈300 mA) in an ice bath condition. Afterward, slides were neutralized and stained with SYBR Green. The analysis was performed in an Olympus BX50 fluorescence microscope. A total of 150 randomly captured cells per experimental point were used to determine the Tail Moment using Comet Score version 1.5 software. A pulse of 20 min of 10 μg/ml bleomycin just before the cells were harvested was employed as the positive control.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Three independent synthesis of SiO2@FexOy were performed. All three samples were mixed into one batch. All experiments were performed with this mixture.

In estimating mean particle size and shell thickness, we use the Student’s t test with unknown but equal variances ([21] pp. 337–344). To corroborate the equality of variances we carried out a quantitative hypothesis test using the F distribution (α = 0.05) ([21] pp. 355–359). The result of the layer thickness was expressed as the mean difference between the particles before and after shell formation ± the 95% confidence interval on the difference in means ([21] pp. 345–347) (LCL and UCL for lower and upper confidence limit of the interval). To perform the t-test it is necessary that the distributions under study are normal. For this, a qualitative normality test was carried out using Q–Q plot graphs ([21] pp. 212–251) and a quantitative normality test using the modified Shapiro–Wilks normality test [22] (α = 0.05). Both tests were performed with INFOSTAT software [23]. Faced with the evidence of the absence of normality of the data, the presence of anomalous data (outliers) within each distribution was confirmed by means of the Q–Q plot. Therefore, the Grubbs test [24] for the detection of anomalous values (α = 0.05) was used. This test detects one outlier at a time. This outlier is removed from the data set and the test is repeated until no outliers are detected. This procedure was performed in OriginPro 2016 software. Once the distributions without outliers were obtained, it was confirmed whether they are normal with the same methods described above. From these distributions, the statistically significant difference between the areas of the particles obtained in steps 1 and 2 of “Synthesis of SiO2@FexOy” section (determination of layer thinness) was determined by means of the t-test. This test was carried out in INFOSTAT.

In fluoride removal, statistical analysis of the data was carried out by ANOVA ([21] pp. 472–479), followed by the Fisher’ least significant difference (LSD) ([21] p. 497) (α = 0.05) procedure to discriminate among the means using INFOSTAT software.

In cyto- and genotoxicity studies, statistical analysis of the data was carried out by ANOVA, followed by the Fisher’ LSD procedure to discriminate among the means. Results were expressed as the mean of three independent experiments and plotted as mean ± standard error of the mean. The total number of repeats (n) is specified in the legends of the figures. Analysis of the data was performed with STATGRAPHICS Centurion XVI.I.

3 Results

3.1 SiO2@Fe2O3 formed with our synthesis

Our synthesis covered spherical silica particles with a sharp distribution in size with a homogeneously thick, nm-sized layer of an iron oxide shell (SiO2@Fe2O3). Evidence supporting this claim follows on.

First, particles kept their spherical shape after the synthesis (Fig. 1). After the synthesis, only spherical particles were present. The addition of Fe(NO3)3·9H2O dissolved in absolute ethanol did not form irregularly shaped particles. If they had formed, those iron oxide particles would be visible among the spheres. They are not. Also, spherical particles significantly

![Fig. 1 SEM images of SiO2 spheres after treatment with Fe(NO3)3 in absolute ethanol. With GIMP Software, we adjusted the brightness of the image to −32 and contrast to +35](image)
increased their mean diameter. The shell formed during the synthesis has a mean thickness of $9 \pm 6$ nm.

Second, silica particles originally white became yellow after the synthesis (see Fig. 10 in Supplementary information). Before the synthesis, the solid showed the typical white color of silica spheres. After the synthesis, the solid showed the typical yellow–brown color of iron oxide.

Third, the yellow–brown particles obtained after adding iron nitrate contained significant amounts of iron. They contained 5% of iron that homogeneously distributed among spherical particles according to EDX analysis (see Fig. 12 in Supplementary information).

Finally, additional evidence supporting iron oxide’s presence onto silica particles came from a thermal gravimetric and differential thermal analysis. After exposure to iron nitrate, particles experienced a mass loss at ca. 200 °C that was absent in silica spheres (Fig. 2). The mass loss at ca. 200 °C corresponded to an exothermic process (Fig. 3). X-ray diffraction (see Fig. 11 in Supplementary information) showed that crystalline phases were absent in as synthesized particles. The exothermic process at 200 °C likely correspond to the crystallization of the iron oxide at the shell.

All in all, all evidence shows that SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ formed successfully in our synthesis. So, we continued testing the SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particle’s ability to remove fluoride from water.

### 3.2 SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ remove fluoride from water

After exposure to iron nitrate that enabled the formation of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles, fluoride removal significantly increased. In batch systems with S/L 10 g l$^{-1}$, SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles successfully removed fluoride from water in <30 min. Fluoride significantly dropped its concentration from 42 to 16 ppm in <30 min (LSD Fischer, $p < 0.05$). The removal capacity was 2.4 mg g$^{-1}$. Times of removal 30 and 120 min did not show a significant change in concentration (LSD Fischer, $p > 0.05$). In batch systems with S/L 1 g l$^{-1}$, SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles did also significantly remove fluoride from water. Fluoride dropped its concentration from 42 to 39 ppm (LSD Fischer, $p > 0.05$). A detailed presentation of the data and calculations performed is at the Supplementary information. Summing up, the successful fluoride removal from water in batch systems in <30 min supports SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles’ formation.

### 3.3 Cyto- and genotoxicity of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$

The change in the particles’ cyto- and genotoxicity after exposure to iron nitrate supports the formation of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$.

Exposure to iron nitrate changed the spherical particle’s cytotoxicity. Exposing A549 cells to dispersions of either SiO$_2$ or SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ showed a statistically significant decrease of around 20% in cell viability from 0.05 g l$^{-1}$ SiO$_2$ to 0.1 g l$^{-1}$ SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ (Fig. 4). However, with 1 g l$^{-1}$ SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$, cell viability significantly worsened, inducing an effect of 50% ($p < 0.001$, $n = 12$) (Fig. 4).

Exposure to iron nitrate changed the spherical particle’s genotoxicity. SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles were unable to significantly cut DNA strands in A549 cells (comet assay) (Fig. 5). However, SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles significantly oxidized pyridinic DNA bases in A549 cells (comet assay with Endo III).

### 4 Discussion

We found that our synthesis with a non-toxic iron precursor enabled the formation of a nanometer-thick layer of iron
oxide onto silica spheres. SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles successfully formed. This finding rests on evidence brought by three primary experimental sources: (1) physico-chemical analysis, (2) cyto- and genotoxicity studies, and (3) fluoride removal in batch experiments. After exposure to iron nitrate, each particle had a core@shell structure with a silica core and an iron oxide shell. The iron oxide shell was nanometer-thick and likely crystallized upon thermal treatment at ca. 200 °C. After exposure to iron nitrate, particle size increased, and the potential toxicity of particles significantly increased. The toxicity increment happened because of the presence of the iron oxide shell. After exposure to iron nitrate, particles significantly increased their ability to remove fluoride from water. Batch experiments showed the potentiality of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles in removing fluoride from water, indicating the presence of the iron oxide shell.

The synthesis of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles is rare in the scientific literature. Meng et al. proposed a synthesis pathway starting from iron acetylacetonate [14]. Though the shell’s formation mechanism is unknown, it likely involved a sol–gel process. In a sol–gel process, a nanometer-thick shell would form onto silica spheres following a complex combination of hydrolysis and condensation elementary reactions [25]. Inspired by that sol–gel processes, metal nitrates’ ability to form well-defined films [11], and that iron(III) nitrate-nonahydrate forms solutions in ethanol [26], we proposed a new synthetic pathway for SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$. Using iron(III) nitrate-nonahydrate eliminates the troubles generated by the toxicity of iron acetylacetonate. Also, we assumed that restricting the amount of water to that provided by the iron precursor would favor the formation of a homogenous shell onto silica spheres and avoid secondary nucleation. Secondary nucleation would lead to the unwanted formation of iron oxide particles. Our hypothesis found experimental support in this work. This work’s synthesis pathway produces SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles.

SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles’ cyto- and genotoxicity studies served a twofold purpose. First, the change in particles’ toxicity supports the formation of an iron oxide shell. The material at the surface of the particle defines its chemistry. According to scientific literature, colloidal particles with iron oxide surfaces are more toxic than silica surfaces [27]. Second, our work offers the first information about the toxicity of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles. This preliminary information is relevant, considering the potential use of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles in removing pollutants from water. The toxicity of material for water filtration is relevant, especially when part of the material—for instance, colloidal particles—may unwantedly find their way into filtered water. Our study shows that the iron oxide shell’s presence altered lung epithelial cells’ cell viability and induced oxidative DNA damage in the concentration range of 0.1–1 mg/ml. These findings have coherence since iron oxide’s previous results produce cytotoxicity in vitro [28, 29]. However, the concentrations used in this study were much higher than the concentration range of silica particles in working places which turned out to be 0.04 × 10$^{-6}$–5 × 10$^{-6}$ [30]. This outcome allows us to ensure a margin of safety in occupational settings.

SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles’ fluoride removal studies served a twofold purpose, too. First, the ability to remove fluoride supports the formation of an iron oxide shell. The scientific literature indicates that silica has a low affinity for fluoride [31]. In contrast, iron oxide has a high affinity for fluoride [5, 6]. Second, this study provides promising information about SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles’ ability to remove fluoride. Equilibration times in batch systems lower than 30 min and removal capacities of 2.4 mg g$^{-1}$ places SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles among top performers in fluoride removal [32–34].

Our experiments show that SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles formed successfully and stand out as potential candidates to remove fluoride from water. However, our study has some limitations. This study does not provide the exact composition nor a characterization of the shell’s structure. Nor does this study show the full potential of SiO$_2$@Fe$_x$O$_y$ particles’ ability to remove fluoride.
We endorse future studies with SiO₂@Fe₂O₃ particles. Knowledge about the formation mechanism, the structure, and the chemistry of the shell shall provide a solid understanding of the synthesis and potentiality of SiO₂@Fe₂O₃ particles. Formation of iron oxide shells with high surface area—as already obtained for zirconium and titanium oxide [18, 35]—shall improve SiO₂@Fe₂O₃ particles’ capacity to remove fluoride from water. Moreover, because of iron oxide’s affinity for other anionic pollutants of water like arsenate, SiO₂@Fe₂O₃ particles might become an excellent material to remove anions from water in general.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, our data proved that SiO₂@Fe₂O₃ particles formed successfully when an ethanol solution of iron(III) nitrate-nonahydrate dripped into a dispersion of silica spheres in ethanol under stirring. The new synthesis pathway proposed in this work shows that it is possible to prepare SiO₂@Fe₂O₃ particles without using acutely toxic materials, as previously reported. With an iron oxide shell 9 ± 6 nm thick, SiO₂@Fe₂O₃ particles showed a somewhat higher cytotoxic and genotoxicity than bare silica spheres. SiO₂@Fe₂O₃ particles also showed their potential in removing fluoride from water.
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All data are available.
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