Ceppo Morelli Block-Falls Probability Study to Support the Decision of Excavating a by-Pass Tunnel
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Abstract: Problem statement: In this article, some important aspects linked to the new Ceppo Morelli (Piemonte, Italy) future by-pass tunnel construction were considered. Approach: The article concerned a mountainous region which in the past was affected by slope landslides and block falls that reached a downhill roadway and two nearby villages. Results: Using risk analysis approach the probability of block-falls down the mountain slopes was accomplished, with estimation of their trajectories. Conclusion: Obtained results proved the need to open the new tunnel because the present roadway is not considered risk free.
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INTRODUCTION

Ceppo Morelli is located at the bottom of the southern slope of the Monte Rosa Montain, in Anzasca Valley (north of Italy) (Fig. 1). Only one national road, the N549 road, connects Ceppo Morelli to the other cities. Prequartera and Campioli, two small villages near Ceppo Morelli and the section of national road between them are continuously affected by rock-falls from the Monte Rosa slope. The quoted area is also affected by the risk of being struck by a large landslide (Scavia, 2004). This last hypothesis turned more realistic when a large ancient landslide located in the Monte Rosa middle-low southern slope (within the altitudes 1850 and 1200 mosl) (Piteau and Clayton, 1977) was reactivated by intense rainfall in October 2000 (Biancotti and Bovo, 1998). The total surface of the hypothetical rockslide is 160.000 m$^2$ and the estimated total volume is between 4 and 6×10$^6$ m$^3$ (Piteau and Clayton, 1977).

Several boulders reached the bottom of the valley and the national road N549; some of them stopped nearby some buildings of the villages Prequartera and Campioli. After the rock fall originated by the October 2000 rainfall a diversion road was built on the opposite side of the valley to reactivate the transit and to avoid the risk for vehicles to be affected (Fig. 1).

This study shows that the road diversion constructed is not completely risk free. The probability of the diversion being hit or overtaken by large blocks was calculated by a risk analysis approach.

A definitive solution to the problem of rock-falls reaching the N549 is connecting the quoted villages by a “by-pass” tunnel in order to transfer underground the transport circulation. The tunnel geometry has to consider the proximity of the existing landslide surface and the opening method must take into account the existing possibility of the instability reactivation. Figure 2a shows the section of the tunnel in a plan view: its curve is especially designed to increase his distance from the instable surfaces; the opening method provide for a pilot tunnel opened first, with an open TBM machine in order to optimize and reduce the use of explosive in the following enlargement phase to the final section. An important role of the pilot tunnel is also to provide detailed information about the rock volumes excavated to drastically reduce the knowledge uncertainty due to the lack of investigations (the zone is now not permitted to any person).

Interesting analysis on the landslide reactivation mechanism have been done recently by Scavia (2004), here partially and synthetically resumed. The landslide upper part, delimited by a tension crack, moved due to the October 2000 rainfall; displacements of about 4-5 m were reached and caused a reaction of the middle-lower part of the slope with rock blocks separation and large rock-falls (especially at the mean altitude of 1200 mosl). Some blocks reached a volume of 300 m$^3$. The most catastrophic hypothesis considers that the ancient landslide reactivation can lead to huge rock avalanche involving a volume of about 5×10$^6$ m$^3$ of rock. This hypothesis is however extremely unlikely.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Landslide classification: To classify the Ceppo Morelli Landslide we used the Cruden and Varnes (1996) landslide classification method based on the Varnes (1984) method developed in 1984. The method defines an essential terminology to classify all the types of landslides.

For elementary types of movements are necessary only two terms: The first referring to the type of material (Table 1) and the second describing the cinematic of the instable masses (Fig. 3). To classify more complex landslides is possible to combine two or more types of elementary movements.

The name of a landslide can become more elaborate if it’s necessary to describe it in a more detailed way. To complete the identification of the movement, descriptors are added in front of the two-term classification using a preferred sequence of terms.
Table 2: Glossary for forming names of landslides (Cruden and Varnes, 1996)

| Activity       | State   | Distribution | Style    |
|----------------|---------|--------------|----------|
| Active         | Advancing | Complex       |          |
| Reactivated    | Retrogressive Composite |          |          |
| Suspended      | Widening   | Multiple      |          |
| Inactive       | Enlarging Successive |          |          |
| Dormant        | Confined   | Single        |          |
| Abandoned      | Diminishing |             |          |
| Stabilized     | Moving     |              |          |
| Relict         |           |              |          |

Description of first movement

| Rate               | Water content | Material | Type       |
|--------------------|---------------|----------|------------|
| Extremely rapid    | Dry           | Rock     | Fall       |
| Very rapid         | Moist         | Earth    | Topple     |
| Rapid              | Wet           | Debris   | Slide      |
| Moderate           | Very wet      |          | Spread     |
| Slow               |               | Flow     |            |
| Very slow          |               |          |            |
| Extremely slow     |               |          |            |

Mentioning the Australian Society of Geomechanics Sub-Committee on Landslide Risk Management (ASG SCLRM) the sequence suggested by Cruden and Varnes (1996), “provides a progressive narrowing of the focus of the descriptors, first by time and then by spatial location, beginning with a view of the whole landslide, continuing with parts of the movement and finally defining the materials involved. The recommended sequence, as shown in Table 2, shows activity (including state, distribution and style) followed by descriptions of all movements (including rate, water content, material and type)”.

Using this classification method the Ceppo Morelli landslide is classified as.

Reactivated, confined, complex, very slow, very wet, earth and debris, flow-extremely rapid, rock and fall.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rock-fall probabilistic analysis: In this study only the rock-fall aspect of the instability is presented (Budetta and Santo, 1994). A simulation calculating the trajectories and the distances of the falling blocks on the slope and the valley was carried out using the probabilistic computer code ROTOMAP (Geo and Soft International, 2009).

The calculation of the probability that blocks intercept the N549 has been done following the steps shown in Fig. 4 (Hoek, 1987; Pasquero, 1987).

Step 1: Generate a 3D Model of the analyzed slope to be used as ROTOMAP input.

To obtain a ROTOMAP 3D model were introduced the following information:

- The slope topography in digital format (Fig. 5a)
- The geomechanic parameters $r_n$, $r_t$ (coefficients of restitution of normal and tangential energy) and $c_{rs}$ (friction coefficient) of the rolling-sliding boulders (Fig. 5b). The used values, reported in Table 3, were chosen on the basis of the literature and by means of corrections and adjustments carried out during the model calibration
- The “detaching line” (Fig. 5c) that is the line delimiting the lower part of the blocks detachment area. It’s also necessary to introduce the intervals of initial velocity (to simulate blocks detaching anywhere in the detaching area and not only in the detaching line) and of initial angular deviation.

Step 2: Model calibration using back analysis and real block-falls data.

The data of 35 blocks of great dimensions, situated in different positions (in the hillside of Prequarera-Campioli, next to the river, in the Campioli village and in the opposing hillsides) had been introduced. Surveys and photos of the area facilitated this task.
Step 3: Probabilistic analysis of the blocks trajectories and kinetic energy. After several reiterations of the trajectories calculation, the most representative simulation was reached. In Fig. 6a the trajectories of the blocks during the fall are presented (simulation with 640 blockfalls). About 77 trajectories on a total of 640 intercept the temporary diversion of N549 realized on the opposite side of the valley, almost all in bouncing phase and not in rolling. In Fig. 6b-d are reported the values of kinetic energy of the blocks (in J kg\(^{-1}\)) during their fall.

Using an historical approach combined with the results obtained using the ROTOMAP code, it was obtained:

\[
F_{qb} = 1.23
\]

where, \(F_{qb}\) is the frequency of rock-falls per year happened in the study area.

The choice of considering the only events happened by the year 2000 is due to the fact that from that date the monitoring system of the landslide was implemented and the information about block-falls are more detailed.

Other different values of frequency can be calculated using different time intervals. It’s also useful to note that the information about rock-falls that happened two hundred years ago is considered to be not so complete and detailed. Having more data about rock-falls happened in the study area is possible to improve the estimation of the frequency.

Combining \(F_{qb}\) with the results obtained using the ROTOMAP code it was obtained:

\[
F_{be} = \frac{77}{640} = 0.147
\]

where, \(F_{be}\) is the frequency of blocks that reach the temporary diversion road; it means that one block every near 6 years and nine months can reach the new road.

The kinetic energy of the blocks reaches a maximum value of 1800 J kg\(^{-1}\) in some areas of the valley (Fig. 6d).

By these calculation programs it’s not possible to expect a deterministic result supplying the exact value of the block speed during its fall, or its exact trajectory on the slope. Also disposing of a set of detailed data on the factors that influence the block’s dynamic, (characteristic of the slope and loosening position) still remains an high uncertainty degree. With small variations of the initial conditions great variations in the final results are generated being “practically impossible to foresee the course of the process” (Baecher and Christian, 2003). A probabilistic approach is in these cases more powerful.

Due to the fact that it is not possible to stabilize the rock mass on the slope, the high probability of falling blocks reaching the temporary diversion tunnel justifies the necessity of constructing a tunnel between the villages of Campioli and Prequartera. The total cost of the new tunnel is estimated in near 30 millions of euros.

**CONCLUSION**

By means of a rock-fall probabilistic analysis of the Ceppo Morelli Landslide it was possible to verify that the temporary diversion road of the national road N549 constructed in the year 2000 connecting the two villages Prequartera and Campioli shows a certain risk of being reached by big blocks falling. The calculated frequency for this kind of event is of 0.147 event/year, that corresponds to 1 block each near 6 years and 9 months. For this reason a new tunnel between the villages of Campioli and Prequartera is foreseen, with a total estimated cost of 30 millions of euros.
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