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Abstract

For each positive integer \( n \geq 2 \), a new approach to expressing real numbers as sequences of nonnegative integers is given. The \( n = 2 \) case is equivalent to the standard continued fraction algorithm. For \( n = 3 \), it reduces to a new iteration of the triangle. Cubic irrationals that are roots of \( x^3 + kx^2 + x - 1 \) are shown to be precisely those numbers with purely periodic expansions of period length one. For general positive integers \( n \), it reduces to a new iteration of an \( n \) dimensional simplex.

1 Introduction

In 1848 Hermite [5] posed to Jacobi the problem of generalizing continued fractions so that periodic expansions of a number reflect its algebraic properties. We state this as:

The Hermite Problem: Find methods for writing numbers that reflect special algebraic properties.

In attempting to answer this question, Jacobi developed a special case of what
is now called the Jacobi-Perron algorithm. Bernstein [1] wrote a good survey of this algorithm; Schweiger [9] covered its ergodic properties. Brentjes’ book [2] is a good source for its many variations. Using quite different methods, Minkowski [7] developed a quite different approach to the Hermite’s problem. For another attempt, see the work of Ferguson and Forcade [4].

In this paper we give another approach, which will also be a generalization of continued fractions. To each \( n \)-tuple of real numbers \((\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)\), with \( 1 \geq \alpha_1 \geq \ldots \geq \alpha_n \), we will associate a sequence of nonnegative integers. For reasons that will become apparent later, we will call this sequence the \textit{triangle sequence} (or \textit{simplex sequence}) for the \( n \)-tuple. The hope is that the periodicity of this sequence will provide insight into whether or not the \( \alpha_k \) are algebraic of degree at most \( n \). We will show that this is the case for when \( n = 3 \).

In the next section we quickly review some well-known facts about continued fractions. We then concentrate on the cubic case, for ease of exposition. The proofs go over easily to the general case, which we will discuss in section nine. In section three we define, given a pair \((\alpha, \beta) \in \{(x, y) : 1 \geq x \geq y \geq 0\}\), the triangle iteration and the triangle sequence. Section four will recast the triangle sequence via matrices. This will allow us to interpret the triangle sequence as a method for producing integer lattice points that approach the plane \( x + \alpha y + \beta z = 0 \). Section five will show that nonterminating triangle sequences uniquely determine the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\).
Section six discusses how every possible triangle sequence corresponds to a pair \((\alpha, \beta) \in \{(x, y) : 1 \geq x \geq y \geq 0\}\). Section seven turns to classifying those pairs with purely periodic sequences. Section eight concerns itself with periodicity in general. Section nine deals with the general case \(n\).

At [http://www.williams.edu/Mathematics/tgarrity/triangle.html](http://www.williams.edu/Mathematics/tgarrity/triangle.html), there is a web page that gives many examples of triangle sequences and provides software packages running on Mathematica for making actual computations.

I would like to thank Edward Burger for many helpful discussions. Tegan Cheslack-Postava, Alexander Diesl, Matthew Lepinski and Adam Schuyler have provided critical aid. I would also like to thank K. M. Briggs for useful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

## 2 Continued Fractions

Given a real number \(\alpha\), recall that its continued fraction expansion is:

\[
\alpha = a_0 + \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \ldots}},
\]

where \(a_0 = \{\alpha\} = \) greatest integer part of \(\alpha\),

\[
a_1 = \left\{ \cfrac{1}{\alpha - a_0} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 = \cfrac{1}{\alpha - a_0} - a_1.
\]

Inductively, define

\[
a_k = \left\{ \cfrac{1}{b_{k-1}} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \cfrac{1}{b_{k-1}} - a_k.
\]
A number’s continued fraction expansion can be captured by examining iterations of the Gauss map $G : I \to I$, with $I$ denoting the unit interval $(0, 1]$, defined by

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \{\frac{1}{x}\}.$$ 

If we partition the unit interval into a disjoint union of subintervals:

$$I_k = \{x \in I : \frac{1}{k+1} < x \leq \frac{1}{k}\},$$

then the nonnegative integers $a_k$ in the continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$ can be interpreted as keeping track of which subinterval the number $\alpha$ maps into under the $k$th iterate of $G$. Namely, $G^k(\alpha) \in I_{a_k}$.

### 3 The Triangle Iteration

In this section we define an iteration $T$ on the triangle

$$\triangle = \{(x, y) : 1 \geq x \geq y > 0\}.$$

Partition this triangle into disjoint triangles

$$\triangle_k = \{(x, y) \in \triangle : 1 - x - ky \geq 0 > 1 - x - (k+1)y\},$$

where $k$ can be any nonnegative integer. Note that its vertices are $(1, 0)$, $(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k+1})$ and $(\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{1}{k+2})$.

Define the triangle map $T : \triangle \to \triangle \cup \{(x, 0) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ by setting

$$T(\alpha, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1 - \alpha - k\beta}{\alpha}\right),$$
if the pair \((\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta_k\). Frequently we will abuse notation by denoting 
\(\Delta \cup \{(x, 0) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}\) by \(\Delta\).

We want to associate a sequence of nonnegative integers to the iterates of the map \(T\). Basically, if \(T^k(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta_{a_k}\), we will associate to \((\alpha, \beta)\) the sequence \((a_1, \ldots)\).

Recursively define a sequence of decreasing positive reals and a sequence of nonnegative integers as follows: Set \(d_{-2} = 1, d_{-1} = \alpha, d_0 = \beta\). Assuming that we have \(d_{k-3} > d_{k-2} > d_{k-1} > 0\), define \(a_k\) to be a nonnegative integer such that

\[d_{k-3} - d_{k-2} - a_k d_{k-1} \geq 0\]

but

\[d_{k-3} - d_{k-2} - (a_k + 1) d_{k-1} < 0.\]

Then set

\[d_k = d_{k-3} - d_{k-2} - a_k d_{k-1}.\]

If at any stage \(d_k = 0\), stop.

**Definition 1** The triangle sequence of the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) is the sequence \((a_1, \ldots)\).

We will say that the triangle sequence *terminates* if at any stage \(d_k = 0\). In these cases, the triangle sequence will be finite.

Note that

\[T\left(\frac{d_k}{d_{k-2}}, \frac{d_k}{d_{k-2}}\right) = \left(\frac{d_k}{d_{k-1}}, \frac{d_{k+1}}{d_{k-1}}\right).\]
Also note that by comparing this to the first part of chapter seven in \[10\], we see that this is indeed a generalization of continued fractions.

4 The Triangle Iteration via Matrices and Integer Lattice Points

Let \((a_1, \ldots)\) be a triangle sequence associated to the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\). Set

\[
P_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -a_k \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that \(\det P_k = 1\). Set \(M_k = P_1 \cdot P_2 \cdots P_k\). This allows us to translate the fact that

\[
T(d_{k-1}^{-1}, d_{k-2}^{-1}) = (d_k^{-1}, d_{k-1}^{-1})
\]

into the language of matrices via the following proposition (whose proof is straightforward):

**Proposition 2** Given the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\), we have

\[
(d_{k-2}, d_{k-1}, d_k) = (1, \alpha, \beta)M_k.
\]

Write

\[
M_k = \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-2} & p_{k-1} & p_k \\ q_{k-2} & q_{k-1} & q_k \\ r_{k-2} & r_{k-1} & r_k \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then a calculation leads to:

**Proposition 3** For all \(k\), we have

\[
p_k = p_{k-3} - p_{k-2} - a_k p_{k-1}.
\]
Set $q_k = q_{k-3} - q_{k-2} - a_k q_{k-1},$

and

$$r_k = r_{k-3} - r_{k-2} - a_k r_{k-1}.$$ 

Set

$$C_k = \begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ q_k \\ r_k \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Note that $C_k$ can be viewed as a vector in the integer lattice. Then the numbers $d_k$ are seen to be a measure of the distance from the plane $x + \alpha y + \beta z = 0$ to the lattice point $C_k$, since $d_k = (1, \alpha, \beta) C_k$. Observing that

$$C_k = C_{k-3} - C_{k-2} - a_k C_{k-1},$$

we see thus that the triangle sequence encodes information of how to get a sequence of lattice points to approach the plane $x + \alpha y + \beta z = 0$, in direct analogue to continued fractions \[10\]. Unlike the continued fraction case, though, these lattice points need not be the best such approximations.

### 5 Arbitrary triangle sequences

**Theorem 4** Let $(k_1, k_2, \ldots)$ be any infinite sequence of nonnegative integers with infinitely many of the $k_i$ not zero. Then there is a pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ in $\triangle$ that has this sequence as its triangle sequence.

**Proof:** Suppose that we have an infinite triangle sequence $(k_1, k_2, \ldots)$. By a straightforward calculation, we see that a line with equations $y = mx + b$ will
map to the line

\[(1 - kb)u - (1 - kb)m = bv + bkm + b,\]

where \(T(x, y) = (u, v)\).

The map \(T\), restricted to the triangle \(\triangle_k\), will send the vertices of \(\triangle_k\) to the vertices of \(\triangle\), with \(T(1, 0) = (0, 0)\), \(T(\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k+1}) = (1, 0)\) and \(T(\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{1}{k+2}) = (1, 1)\). Restricted to \(\triangle_k\), the map \(T\) is thus one-to-one and onto \(\triangle\).

But this gives us our theorem, as each \(\triangle_k\) can be split into its own (smaller) triangles, one for each nonnegative integer, and hence each of these smaller triangles can be split into even smaller triangles, etc. Hence to each nonterminating triangle sequence there corresponds a pair \((\alpha, \beta)\). \(\text{QED}\)

6 Recovering points from the triangle sequence

The question of when a triangle sequence determines a unique pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) is subtle. If the sequence terminates, then the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) is not unique. Even if the triangle sequence does not terminate, we do not necessarily have uniqueness, as discussed in [3]. But we do have

**Theorem 5** If an integer \(k\) occurs infinitely often in a nonterminating sequence \((k_1, k_2, \ldots)\) of nonnegative integers, then there is a unique pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) in \(\triangle\) that has this sequence as its triangle sequence.

The proof is contained in [3] and is not easy.
If the triangle sequence uniquely determines a pair \((\alpha, \beta)\), then we can recover \((\alpha, \beta)\) as follows. By construction, the numbers \(d_k\) approach zero. Consider the plane

\[ x + \alpha y + \beta z = 0, \]

whose normal vector is \((1, \alpha, \beta)\). As seen in the last section, the columns of the matrices \(M_k\) can be interpreted as vectors that are approaching this plane. This will allow us to prove:

**Theorem 6** If a triangle sequence uniquely determines the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\), then

\[
\alpha = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{p_k r_{k-1} - p_{k-1} r_k}{q_{k-1} r_k - q_k r_{k-1}}
\]

and

\[
\beta = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{p_{k-1} q_k - p_k q_{k-1}}{q_{k-1} r_k - q_k r_{k-1}}.
\]

The proof is also in [3]. The quick, but incorrect, argument is that the vectors \((p_{k-1}, q_{k-1}, r_{k-1})\) and \((p_k, q_k, r_k)\) are columns in the matrix \(M_k\), each of which approaches being in the plane \(x + \alpha y + \beta z = 0\). Thus the limit as \(k\) approaches infinity of the cross product of these two vectors must point in the normal direction \((1, \alpha, \beta)\). But this is the above limits.

### 7 Purely periodic triangle sequences of period length one
Theorem 7. Let \(0 < \beta \leq \alpha < 1\) be a pair of numbers whose triangle sequence is \((k, k, k, \ldots)\). Then \(\beta = \alpha^2\) and \(\alpha\) is a root of the cubic equation

\[x^3 + kx^2 + x - 1 = 0.\]

Further if \(\alpha\) is the real root of this cubic that is between zero and one, then \((\alpha, \alpha^2)\) has purely periodic triangle sequence \((k, k, k, \ldots)\).

Proof: We need \(T(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha, \beta)\). Since \(T(\alpha, \beta) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{1-\alpha-k\beta}{\alpha}\right)\), we need

\[\alpha = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\]

and

\[\beta = \frac{1-\alpha-k\beta}{\alpha}.\]

From the first equation we get \(\beta = \alpha^2\). Plugging in \(\alpha^2\) for \(\beta\) in the second equation and clearing denominators leads to

\[\alpha^3 + k\alpha^2 + \alpha - 1 = 0\]

and the first part of the theorem.

Now for the converse. Since the polynomial \(x^3 + kx^2 + x - 1\) is \(-1\) at \(x = 0\) and is positive at \(x = 1\), there is root \(\alpha\) between zero and one. We must show that \((\alpha, \alpha^2)\) is in \(\triangle_k\) and that \(T(\alpha, \alpha^2) = (\alpha, \alpha^2)\). We know that

\[\alpha^3 = 1 - \alpha - k\alpha^2.\]

Since \(\alpha^3 > 0\), we have \(1 - \alpha - k\alpha^2 > 0\). Now

\[1 - \alpha - (k+1)\alpha^2 = \alpha^3 - \alpha^2 < 0,\]
which shows that $(\alpha, \alpha^2) \in \triangle_k$.

Finally,

$$T(\alpha, \alpha^2) = \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha}, \frac{1 - \alpha - k\alpha^2}{\alpha} \right)$$

$$= (\alpha, \alpha^2).$$

QED

Similar formulas for purely periodic sequences with period length two, three, etc., can be computed, but they quickly become computationally messy.

8 Terminating and Periodic Triangle Sequences

We first want to show that if $(\alpha, \beta)$ is a pair of rational numbers, then the corresponding triangle sequence must terminate, meaning that eventually all of the $k_n$ will be zero.

**Theorem 8** Let $(\alpha, \beta)$ be a pair of rational numbers in $\triangle$. Then the corresponding triangle sequence terminates.

**Proof:** In constructing the triangle sequence, we are just concerned with the ratios of the triple $(1, \alpha, \beta)$. By clearing denominators, we can replace this triple by a triple of positive integers $(p, q, r)$, with $p \geq q \geq r$. Then we have $d_{-2} = p, d_{-1} = q, d_0 = r$. Then the sequence of $d_k$ will be a sequence of positive decreasing integers. Thus for some $k$ we must have $d_k = 0$, forcing the triangle sequence to terminate.
QED

Now to see what happens when the triangle sequence is eventually periodic.

Theorem 9 Let \((\alpha, \beta)\) be a pair of real numbers in \(\Delta\) whose triangle sequence is eventually periodic. Then \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) have degree at most three, with \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[\beta]\) or \(\beta \in \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]\).

Proof: If both \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are rational, then by the above theorem the triangle sequence terminates. Thus we assume that not both \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are rational. Since the triangle sequence is periodic, there will be an integer appearing infinitely often in this sequence, which means that the sequence will uniquely determine a pair \((\alpha, \beta)\).

If the triangle sequence is periodic, there must be an \(n\) and \(m\) so that

\[
\left( \frac{d_{n-2}}{d_n}, \frac{d_{n-1}}{d_n} \right) = \left( \frac{d_{m-2}}{d_m}, \frac{d_{m-1}}{d_m} \right).
\]

Thus there exists a number \(\lambda\) with

\[
(d_{n-2}, d_{n-1}, d_n) = \lambda (d_{m-2}, d_{m-1}, d_m).
\]

Using matrices we have:

\[
(1, \alpha, \beta) M_n = \lambda (1, \alpha, \beta) M_m
\]

and thus

\[
(1, \alpha, \beta) M_n M_n^{-1} = \lambda (1, \alpha, \beta).
\]
Since $M_n$ and $M_m$ have integer coefficients, the matrix $M_nM_m^{-1}$ will have rational coefficients. Since the $d_k$ are decreasing, we must have $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Since both $M_n$ and $M_m$ have determinant one, we have that $M_nM_m^{-1}$ cannot be a multiple of the identity matrix.

Set

$$M_nM_m^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} & q_{23} \\ q_{31} & q_{32} & q_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Then

$$q_{11} + q_{21}\alpha + q_{31}\beta = \lambda$$

$$q_{12} + q_{22}\alpha + q_{32}\beta = \lambda\alpha$$

and

$$q_{13} + q_{23}\alpha + q_{33}\beta = \lambda\beta.$$ 

We can eliminate the unknown $\lambda$ from the first and second equations and then from the first and third equations, leaving two equations with unknowns $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Using these two equations we can eliminate one of the remaining variables, leaving the last as the solution to polynomial with rational coefficients. If this polynomial is the zero polynomial, then it can be seen that this will force $M_nM_m^{-1}$ to be a multiple of the identity, which is not possible. Finally, it can be checked that this polynomial is a cubic.

QED
9 The higher degree case

Almost all of this goes over in higher dimensions. We just replace our triangle by a dimension $n$ simplex. The notation, though, is more cumbersome.

Set

$$\Delta = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : 1 \geq x_1 \geq \ldots \geq x_n > 0\}.$$  

As we did before, we will frequently also call $\Delta = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) : 1 \geq x_1 \geq \ldots \geq x_n \geq 0\}$. Set

$$\Delta_k = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \Delta : 1-x_1-\ldots-x_{n-1}-kx_n \geq 0 > 1-x_1-\ldots-x_{n-1}-(k+1)x_n\},$$

where $k$ can be any nonnegative integer. These are the direct analogue of the triangles $\Delta_k$ in the first part of this paper. Unlike the earlier case, these $\Delta_k$, while disjoint, do not partition the simplex $\Delta$. To partition $\Delta$, we need more simplices. Set

$$\Delta' = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \Delta : 0 > 1-x_1-\ldots-x_n\}.$$  

Then set

$$\Delta_{ij} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \Delta' : x_j \geq 1-x_1-\ldots-x_i \geq x_{j+1}\},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $i < j \leq n$. Also, we use the convention that $x_{n+1}$ is identically zero.

**Lemma 10** The $\Delta_k$ and $\Delta_{ij}$ form a simplicial decomposition of the simplex $\Delta$.  

**Proof:** It can be directly checked that the $\triangle_k$ and $\triangle_{ij}$ do form a disjoint partition of $\triangle$. We need to show that the $\triangle_k$ and $\triangle_{ij}$ are simplices. Thus we want to show that each of these polygons have exactly $n+1$ vertices. Label the $n+1$ vertices of the simplex $\triangle$ by $v_0 = (0, \ldots, 0)$, $v_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $v_2 = (1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $\ldots$, $v_n = (1, \ldots, 1)$. We label each of the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ edges of the simplex by $v_iv_j$ if the endpoints of the edge are the vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$. Consider the set $\triangle_k$. The hyperplanes

$$x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} + kx_n = 1$$

and

$$x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} + (k+1)x_n = 1$$

form two of the faces. These hyperplanes intersect each edge $v_0v_l$, with $l < n$, in the same point $(\frac{1}{l}, \ldots, \frac{1}{l}, 0, \ldots, 0)$, where this $n$-tuple has its first $l$ terms $\frac{1}{l}$ and the rest zero. The hyperplanes intersect the edge $v_0v_n$ in two distinct points: $x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} + kx_n = 1$ intersects at the point $(\frac{1}{n+k-1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n+k-1})$ while $x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} + (k+1)x_n = 1$ intersects in the point $(\frac{1}{n+k}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n+k})$. Since both hyperplanes contain the vertex $v_1$, both intersect all of the edges $v_1v_l$ exactly at $v_1$. Both hyperplanes will miss all of the other edges $v_iv_j$, with $i, j \geq 2$, since for every point on all of these edges, $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 1$ and $x_l \geq 0$, forcing the intersections to be empty. But now we just have to count and see that the number of vertices is indeed $n+1$. Thus $\triangle_k$ is a simplex.
The argument is similar for $\triangle_{ij}$. Here we look at the hyperplanes

$$x_1 + \ldots + x_i + x_j = 1$$

and

$$x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1} + x_{j+1} = 1.$$  

Both will intersect each of the edges $v_0v_l$, for $l \neq j$, in the same point, will intersect the edges $v_1v_l$ exactly at $v_1$ and will miss the edges $v_i v_j$, with $i,j \geq 2$. They will intersect the edge $v_0 v_j$ at distinct points. Then $\triangle_{ij}$ has $n+1$ distinct vertices and is thus a simplex.

**QED**

Define the $n$-triangle map $T : \triangle \to \triangle$ by setting

$$T(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) = \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_1}, \frac{1 - \alpha_1 \ldots - \alpha_{n-1} - k \alpha_n}{\alpha_1} \right),$$

if $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \triangle_k$ and by

$$T(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) = \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_1}, \frac{1 - \alpha_1 \ldots - \alpha_i}{\alpha_1}, \frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_1} \right),$$

if $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \triangle_{ij}$.

By direct calculation, we see that $T(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \triangle$. Further, each of the restriction maps $T : \triangle_k \to \triangle$ and $T : \triangle_{ij} \to \triangle$ are one-to-one and onto, since the vertices of $\triangle_k$ and $\triangle_{ij}$ map to the vertices of $\triangle$ and since lines map to lines.

We want to associate to each $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ in $\triangle$ an infinite sequence $(a_0, a_1, \ldots)$, where each $a_k$ is either a non-negative integer or a symbol $(ij)$,
where $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ and $i < j \leq n$. If $T^k(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \triangle_l$, set $a_k = l$ and if $T^k(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \triangle_{ij}$, set $a_k = (ij)$. Finally, if the $n$th term for $T^k(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is zero, stop.

**Definition 11** The $n$-triangle sequence of $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is the sequence $(a_1, \ldots)$.

We can also recursively define the triangle sequence as follows. We want to define a sequence of $(n + 1)$-tuples of nonincreasing positive numbers. We will denote this sequence by $d_1(k), \ldots, d_{n+1}(k)$, for $k \geq 0$. Start with

$$d_1(0) = 1, d_2(0) = \alpha_1, \ldots, d_{n+1}(0) = \alpha_n.$$  

Assume we have $d_1(k - 1), \ldots, d_{n+1}(k - 1)$. Define the symbol $a_k$ as follows. If there is a nonnegative integer $l$ such that

$$d_1(k - 1) - d_2(k - 1) - \ldots - d_n(k - 1) - ld_{n+1}(k - 1) \geq 0$$

but

$$d_1(k - 1) - d_2(k - 1) - \ldots - d_n(k - 1) - (l + 1)d_{n+1}(k - 1) < 0,$$

set $a_k = l$ and define

$$d_1(k) = d_2(k - 1), \ldots, d_n(k) = d_{n+1}(k - 1)$$

and

$$d_{n+1}(k) = d_1(k - 1) - d_2(k - 1) - \ldots - d_n(k - 1) - ld_{n+1}(k - 1).$$
If no such integer exists, then there is a pair \((ij)\), with \(1 \leq i \leq n - 1\) and \(i < j \leq n + 1\) such that
\[
d_j(k-1) \geq d_1(k-1) - d_2(k-1) - \ldots - d_i(k-1) > d_{j+1}(k-1).
\]
In this case, define \(a_k = (ij)\) and set
\[
d_1(k) = d_2(k-1), \ldots, d_{j-1}(k) = d_j(k-1),
\]
\[
d_j(k) = d_1(k-1) - d_2(k-1) - \ldots - d_i(k-1)
\]
and
\[
d_{j+1}(k) = d_{j+1}(k-1), \ldots, d_{n+1}(k) = d_{n+1}(k-1).
\]

Now for the matrix version. Let \((a_1, \ldots)\) be an \(n\)-triangle sequence for \((\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)\). If \(a_k\) is a nonnegative integer, let \(P_k\) be the \((n + 1) \times (n + 1)\)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\
\vdots & & & & \\
0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -a_k
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
If \(a_k\) is the pair \((ij)\), let \(P_k\) be the \((n + 1) \times (n + 1)\) matrix defined by:
\[
(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})P_k = (x_2, \ldots, x_j, x_1 - x_2 - \ldots - x_i, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}).
\]
Then set \(M_k = P_1 \cdot P_2 \cdots P_k\). Note that \(\det M_k = \pm 1\). We have
\[
(d_1(k), \ldots, d_{n+1}(k)) = (1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)M_k.
\]
Set $M_k = (C_1(k), \ldots, C_{n+1}(k))$, where each $C_m(k)$ is a column vector of the matrix. Then, if $a_k = l$,

$$C_m(k) = C_{m+1}(k - 1)$$

for $m \leq n$ and

$$C_{n+1}(k) = C_1(k - 1) - C_2(k - 1) - \ldots - C_n(k) - lC_{n+1}(k - 1).$$

If $a_k = (ij)$, then, for $1 \leq m \leq j + 1$,

$$C_{m-1}(k) = C_m(k - 1),$$

$$C_{j+1}(k) = C_1(k - 1) - C_2(k - 1) - \ldots - C_{j+1}(k),$$

and for $j + 1 \leq m \leq n + 1$,

$$C_m(k) = C_m(k - 1).$$

Each $C_k(m)$ can be viewed as an element of the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. Then we have a method for producing elements of the integer lattice that approach the hyperplane

$$x_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n x_n = 0.$$

It is still unknown how to determine when an $n$-triangle sequence will uniquely determine an $n$-tuple $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \Delta$. If we have uniqueness, we strongly suspect that

$$\alpha_j = \lim_{k \to \infty} (-1)^j \frac{M_k(j)}{M_k(11)}.$$
where $M_k(ij)$ denotes the determinant of the $n \times n$ minor of $M_k$ obtained by deleting the $i$th row and $j$th column. The moral, but incorrect argument, is the following. First, since $\det M_k = \pm 1$, its column vectors are linearly independent. But also, the column vectors are approaching the hyperplane whose normal vector is $(1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. Then via standard arguments, the wedge product $C_2(k) \wedge \ldots \wedge C_{n+1}(k)$ corresponds under duality to a vector perpendicular to $C_2(k), \ldots, C_{n+1}(k)$ and by normalizing, will approach the vector $(1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$.

With reasonable conditions about uniqueness, we should have

**Conjecture 12** Let $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_1 < 1$ be an $n$-tuple of numbers whose triangle sequence is $(k, k, k, \ldots)$. Then $\alpha_j = \alpha_1^j$ and $\alpha_1$ is a root of the algebraic equation

$$x^{n+1} + kx^n + x^{n-1} + \ldots + x - 1 = 0.$$  

Further if $\alpha$ is the real root of this equation that is between zero and one, then $(\alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^n)$ has purely periodic simplex sequence $(k, k, k, \ldots)$.

A similar result holds if the triangle sequence is purely periodic of period length one of the form $(ij, ij, ij, \ldots)$.

We should also have

**Conjecture 13** Let $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be an $n$-tuple real numbers in $\triangle$ whose triangle sequence is eventually periodic. Then each $\alpha_j$ is algebraic of degree at
most n. Finally, as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$, the dimension of $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]$ is at most n.

Finally a comment about notation. In the abstract it is claimed that that we will express each $n$-tuple will be associated to a sequence of nonnegative integers but in this section we look at sequences not just of nonnegative integers but also of terms of the form $(ij)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ and $i < j \leq n$. But there are only a finite number $(n(n - 2) + \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2})$ of these extra symbols. We could, if desired, order these symbols by nonnegative numbers $0, 1, \ldots, n(n - 2) + \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$ and then shift the original nonnegative integers by this amount. This will force the sequence to be one of nonnegative integers, but the notation is clearly worse than the one chosen.
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