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Abstract. We prove an analogue of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem and
of the Cartier-Milnor-Moore theorem for non-cocommutative Hopf algebras.
The primitive part of a cofree Hopf algebra is a $B_\infty$-algebra. We construct a
universal enveloping functor $U^2$ from $B_\infty$-algebras to 2-associative algebras,
i.e. algebras equipped with two associative operations. We show that any
cofree Hopf algebra $H$ is of the form $U^2(\text{Prim } H)$. We take advantage of the
description of the free 2as-algebra in terms of planar trees to unravel the
structure of the operad $B_\infty$.

Introduction

Any connected cocommutative Hopf algebra $H$ defined over a field of characteristic zero is of the form $U(\text{Prim } H)$, where the primitive part $\text{Prim } H$ is viewed as a Lie algebra, and $U$ is the universal enveloping functor. This result is known as the Cartier-Milnor-Moore theorem in the literature, cf. [4], [16] and [18] appendix B. Combined with the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, it gives an equivalence between the cofree cocommutative Hopf algebras and the Hopf algebras of the form $U(g)$, where $g$ is a Lie algebra.

Our aim is to prove a similar result without the assumption “cocommutative” and to get a structure theorem for cofree Hopf algebras. In order to achieve this goal we need to consider $\text{Prim } H$ as a $B_\infty$-algebra (cf. 1.4) instead of a Lie algebra. A $B_\infty$-algebra is defined by $(p + q)$-ary operations for any pair of positive integers $(p, q)$ satisfying some relations. This structure appears naturally in algebraic topology. (cf. [2], [7], [11], [21]). The universal enveloping functor $U$ is replaced by a functor $U^2$ from $B_\infty$-algebras to 2-associative algebras, which are vector spaces equipped with two associative operations sharing the same unit. We prove the following

Theorem. If $H$ is a bialgebra over a field $K$, then the following are equivalent:
(a) $H$ is a connected 2-associative bialgebra,
(b) $H$ is isomorphic to $U^2(\text{Prim } H)$ as a 2-associative bialgebra,
(c) $H$ is cofree among the connected coalgebras.

Hence, as a consequence, we get a structure theorem for cofree Hopf algebras: any cofree Hopf algebra is of the form $U^2(R)$ where $R$ is a $B_\infty$-algebra. The notion of 2-associative bialgebra occurring in the theorem is as follows. A 2-associative bialgebra $H$ is a vector space equipped with two associative operations denoted $*$ and $\cdot$ and a cooperation $\Delta$. We suppose that $(H, *, \Delta)$ is a bialgebra (in the classical sense), and that $(H, \cdot, \Delta)$ is a unital infinitesimal bialgebra. The difference with
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the classical notion is in the compatibility relation between the product and the coproduct which, in the unital infinitesimal case, is
\[ \Delta(x \cdot y) = (x \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta(y) + \Delta(x) \cdot (1 \otimes y) - x \otimes y . \]

The following structure theorem for unital infinitesimal bialgebras is the key result in the proof of the main theorem.

**Theorem.** Any connected unital infinitesimal bialgebra is isomorphic to the tensor algebra (i.e. non-commutative polynomials) equipped with the deconcatenation coproduct.

Observe that, in our main theorem, (a) \( \Rightarrow \) (b) is the analogue of the Cartier-Milnor-Moore theorem (cf. [4], [16]), that (b) \( \Rightarrow \) (c) is the analogue of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, and that (a) \( \Rightarrow \) (c) is the analogue of a theorem of Leray in the cocommutative case.

The universal enveloping 2as-algebra \( U_{2as}(R) \), where \( R \) is a \( B_\infty \)-algebra, is a quotient of the free 2as-algebra \( 2as(V) \) for \( V = R \). So it is important to know an explicit description of \( 2as(V) \) for any vector space \( V \). We show that, as an associative algebra for the product \( \ast \), \( 2as(V) \) is the non-commutative polynomial algebra (i.e. tensor algebra) over the planar rooted trees. We describe the other product \( \cdot \) and the coproduct \( \Delta \) in terms of trees. This description is very similar to the description of the Hopf algebra of (non-planar) rooted trees given by Connes and Kreimer (cf. [5]). Here the rooted trees are replaced by the planar rooted trees, and the polynomials by the non-commutative polynomials.

The free Lie algebra is a complicated object which is mainly studied through its embedding in the free associative algebra, that is its identification with the primitive part of the tensor algebra. The free \( B_\infty \)-algebra is, a priori, an even more complicated object (it is generated by \( k \)-ary operations for all \( k \)). Our main theorem permits us to identify it with the primitive part of the free 2-associative algebra. Since we can describe explicitly the free 2-associative algebra in terms of trees, we can prove the following

**Corollary.** The operad \( B_\infty \) of \( B_\infty \)-algebras is such that \( B_\infty(n) = K[T_n] \otimes K[S_n] \), where \( T_n \) is the set of planar rooted trees with \( n \) leaves, and \( S_n \) is the symmetric group.
self-dual. We deduce from our main theorem and the previous section an explicit description of the free $B_\infty$-algebra. In section 8 we state without proofs a variation of our main result in terms of “dipterous” algebras. It has the advantage of taking care of the cofree Hopf algebras whose primitive part is in fact a brace algebra. Then, in section 9, we compare several results of the same kind.

The main result of this paper was announced without proof in [15].

**Notation.** In this paper $K$ is a field and all vector spaces are over $K$. Its unit is denoted $1_K$ or just 1. The vector space spanned by the elements of a set $X$ is denoted $K[X]$. The tensor product of vector spaces over $K$ is denoted by $\otimes$. The tensor product of $n$ copies of the space $V$ is denoted $V^{\otimes n}$. For $v_i \in V$ the element $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n$ of $V^{\otimes n}$ is denoted by $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ or simply by $v_1 \ldots v_n$. A linear map $V^{\otimes n} \to V$ is called an $n$-ary operation on $V$ and a linear map $V \to V^{\otimes n}$ is called an $n$-ary cooperation on $V$.

1. Hopf algebra and $B_\infty$-algebra

We recall the definition of Hopf algebra, tensor algebra, tensor coalgebra and the definition of $B_\infty$-algebra together with their relationship.

1.1. Hopf algebra. By definition a bialgebra $(H, \ast, \Delta, u, c)$ is a vector space $H$ equipped with an associative product $\ast : H \otimes H \to H$, a unit $u : K \to H$, and a coassociative coproduct $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$, a counit $c : H \to K$ such that $\ast$ and $u$ are morphisms of coalgebras or, equivalently, $\Delta$ and $c$ are morphisms of algebras.

We will use the notation $\overline{H} := \ker c$, so that $H = K1 + \overline{H}$, and the notation $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \overline{\Delta}(x)$ for $x \in \overline{H}$. In general we will omit $u$ and $c$ in the notation, the unit of $H$, that is $u(1_K)$, being denoted by 1.

Given two linear maps $f, g : H \to H$ their convolution is, by definition, the composite $f \ast g := \ast \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta$. The convolution is associative with unit the map $u \circ c$. By definition an antipode for $H$ is a linear map $S : H \to H$ such that $S \ast 1 = u \circ c = 1 \ast S$.

By definition a Hopf algebra is a bialgebra equipped with an antipode. It is well-known that for a conilpotent bialgebra such an antipode automatically exists (see below).

An element $x$ of $H$ is said to be primitive if $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ or, equivalently, $\overline{\Delta}(x) = 0$. The space of primitive elements of $H$ is denoted $\text{Prim} H$. It is known to be a sub-Lie algebra of $H$ for the Lie structure given by $[x, y] := x \ast y - y \ast x$.

1.2. $n$-ary (co)operations, connectedness. For an associative algebra there is (essentially) only one $(n + 1)$-ary operation. It is given by $\ast^n(x_0 \cdots x_n) = x_0 \ast \cdots \ast x_n$.

Dually $\overline{\Delta}$ determines an $(n + 1)$-ary cooperation $\overline{\Delta}^n : H \to H^{\otimes n + 1}$ given by $\overline{\Delta}^1 = 1_H$, $\overline{\Delta}^2 = \overline{\Delta}$, and $\overline{\Delta}^n = (\overline{\Delta} \otimes 1_H \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_H) \circ \overline{\Delta}^{n-1}$.

Following Quillen (cf. [18], p. 282) we say that a bialgebra $H$ is connected if $H = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} F_r H$ where $F_r H$ is the coradical filtration of $H$ defined recursively by the formulas

$$F_0 H := K1,$$

$$F_r H := \{ x \in H \mid \overline{\Delta}(x) \in F_{r-1} H \otimes F_{r-1} H \} .$$

Observe that we use only $\Delta$ and 1 to define connectedness.
If $\mathcal{H}$ is connected, then $\mathcal{H}$ is conilpotent, that is for any element $x \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $n$ such that $\Delta^n(x) = 0$. In this case the antipode $S$ is given by

$$S(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} x^n \circ \Delta^n(x).$$

Therefore a connected bialgebra is equivalent to a connected Hopf algebra. In this paper we will mainly work with connected bialgebras.

### 1.3. Tensor algebra and tensor coalgebra.

By definition the tensor algebra $T(V)$ over the vector space $V$ is the tensor module $T(V) = K \oplus V \oplus V \otimes V \oplus \cdots$ equipped with the associative product called concatenation:

$$v_1 \cdots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_n \mapsto v_1 \cdots v_i v_{i+1} \cdots v_n.$$

It is known to be the free associative algebra over $V$. It is an augmented algebra, whose augmentation ideal is denoted by $T_1(V)$. It is well-known that $T(V)$ is a connected Hopf algebra for the coproduct defined by the shuffles. Its primitive part is the free Lie algebra on $V$.

Dually the tensor coalgebra $T^c(V)$ over the vector space $V$ is the tensor module (as above) equipped with the coassociative coproduct $\Delta$ called deconcatenation:

$$\Delta(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} v_1 \cdots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_n.$$

Observe that it is a connected coalgebra and that its primitive part is $V$. It satisfies the following universal condition. Given a connected coalgebra $C$ and a linear map $\phi : C \to V$ such that $\phi(1) = 0$, there exists a unique coalgebra map $\bar{\phi} : C \to T^c(V)$ which extends $\phi$. Explicitly the $k$th component of $\bar{\phi}(c)$ is given by $\phi \circ \Delta^k(c)$ for $k \geq 2$. Therefore $T^c(V)$ is cofree (among connected coalgebras).

We will say that a bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is cofree if, as a coalgebra, it is isomorphic to $T^c(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H})$. Such an isomorphism is completely determined by a projection $\mathcal{H} \to \text{Prim} \mathcal{H}$ inducing the identity on Prim $\mathcal{H}$ and sending 1 to 0. Indeed, since $\mathcal{H}$ is cofree, this projection extends uniquely as coalgebra morphism $\mathcal{H} \to T^c(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H})$. A bialgebra which is cofree is, by definition, connected and therefore is a Hopf algebra.

The tensor coalgebra is known to be a Hopf algebra for the product induced by the shuffles:

$$v_1 \cdots v_p \shuffle v_{p+1} \cdots v_{p+q} := \sum v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_{p+q}}$$

where the sum is extended to all permutations $(i_1, \ldots, i_{p+q})$ of $(1, \ldots, p+q)$ which are $(p,q)$-shuffles, i.e. such that $(1, \ldots, p)$ appear in this order and $(p+1, \ldots, p+q)$ appear in this order. We will call it the shuffle algebra and denote it by $T^s(V)$.

### 1.4. $B_\infty$-algebra.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a cofree bialgebra and put $V := \text{Prim} \mathcal{H}$, so we have $\mathcal{H} \cong T^c(V)$ as a coalgebra. Transporting the algebra structure of $\mathcal{H}$ under this isomorphism gives a coalgebra homomorphism

$$* : T^c(V) \otimes T^c(V) \to T^c(V).$$
Since $T^c(V)$ is cofree the map $*$ is completely determined by its value in $V$ (degree one component of $T^c(V)$), that is by maps

$$M_{pq} : V^q \otimes V^q \rightarrow V , \quad p \geq 0, q \geq 0.$$ 

From the unitality and counitality property of $\mathcal{H}$ we deduce that

$$M_{00} = 0, \quad M_{10} = \text{Id}_V = M_{01}, \quad \text{and } M_{n0} = 0 = M_{0n} \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$ 

For any set of indices $(\underline{i}, j) := (i_1, \ldots, i_k; j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ such that $i_1 + \cdots + i_k = p$ and $j_1 + \cdots + j_k = q$ we denote by

$$M_{i_1j_1}M_{i_2j_2} \cdots M_{i_kj_k} : V^p \otimes V^q \rightarrow V^k$$

the map which sends $(u_1u_2 \cdots u_p, v_1v_2 \cdots v_q) \in V^p \otimes V^q$ to

$$M_{i_1j_1}(u_1 \cdots u_{i_1}, v_1 \cdots v_{j_1})M_{i_2j_2}(u_{i_1+1} \cdots u_{i_1+i_2}, v_{j_1+1} \cdots v_{j_1+j_2}) \cdots M_{i_kj_k}(\cdots u_p, \cdots, v_q) \in V^k.$$ 

The associative operation on $T^c(V)$ is recovered from the $(p + q)$-ary operations $M_{pq}$ by the formula

$$(1) \quad u_1 \cdots u_p * v_1 \cdots v_q = \sum_{k \geq 1} \left( \sum_{(\underline{j}, \underline{k})} M_{i_1j_1} \cdots M_{i_kj_k} (u_1 \cdots u_p, v_1 \cdots v_q) \right),$$

where the sum is extended over all sets of indices $(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) := (i_1, \ldots, i_k; j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ such that $i_1 + \cdots + i_k = p$ and $j_1 + \cdots + j_k = q$. Of course the $k$th component is in $V^\otimes k$. We adopt the notation

$$(2) \quad M_{i_1j_1} \cdots M_{i_kj_k} := M_{i_1j_1} \cdots M_{i_kj_k}.$$ 

Observe that the component in $V$, i.e. for $k = 1$, is $M_{pq}(u_1 \cdots u_p, v_1 \cdots v_q)$. The last non-trivial component is in $V^\otimes p+q$ and is made of all the $(p, q)$-shuffles of $u_1 \cdots u_p, v_1 \cdots v_q$. For instance in low dimension one gets

$$u * v = M_{11}(u, v) + uv + vu,$$

$$uv * w = M_{21}(uv, w) + uM_{11}(v, w) + M_{11}(u, w)v + uvw + uvw + wuv,$$

$$= M_{21}(uv, w) + (u * w)v + u(v * w) - uvw,$$

$$u * vw = M_{12}(u, vw) + M_{11}(u, v)w + vM_{11}(u, w) + uvw + vuv + vwu,$$

$$= M_{12}(u, vw) + (u * v)w + (u * w) - vuv.$$ 

Associativity of $*$ implies some relations among the operations $M_{pq}$. In fact we can write one such relation $\mathcal{R}_{ijk}$ for any triple $(i, j, k)$ of positive integers by writing

$$(u_1 \cdots u_i * v_1 \cdots v_j) * w_1 \cdots w_k = u_1 \cdots u_i * (v_1 \cdots v_j * w_1 \cdots w_k)$$

in terms of $M_{pq}$ and equating the components in $V$. It comes:

$$\sum_{1 \leq l \leq i+j} M_{lk} \circ (M_{(\underline{j}, \underline{k})} \otimes \text{Id}^\otimes k) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq j+k} M_{im} \circ (\text{Id}^\otimes i \otimes M_{(\underline{j}, \underline{k})}^m) . \quad (\mathcal{R}_{ijk})$$

The first nontrivial relation, obtained by writing $(u * v) * w = u * (v * w)$, reads:

$$M_{21}(uv + vu, w) + M_{11}(M_{11}(u, v), w) = M_{12}(u, vw + vw) + M_{11}(u, M_{11}(v, w)) . \quad (\mathcal{R}_{111})$$
1.5. **Definition.** A $B_\infty$-algebra (cf. [2], [7], [21]) is a vector space $R$ equipped with operations

$$M_{pq} : R^\otimes p \otimes R^\otimes q \to R, \quad p \geq 0, q \geq 0$$

satisfying

$$M_{00} = 0, \quad M_{10} = 1_R = M_{01}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_{n0} = 0 = M_{0n}$$

and the relations $R_{ijk}$ for any triple $(i, j, k)$ of positive integers.

There are obvious notions of morphism, ideal and free object for $B_\infty$-algebras. The free $B_\infty$-algebra over the vector space $V$ is denoted $B_\infty(V)$.

In the literature a $B_\infty$-algebra is often equipped by definition with a grading and a differential satisfying some relations with the other operations (cf. [7],[11],[21]). Here we mainly consider non-graded objects with 0 differential, whence our terminology. When a grading and a differential will be needed we will say differential graded $B_\infty$-algebra, cf. 4.5.

From the previous discussion it is clear that we have the following result.

1.6. **Proposition.** Any $B_\infty$-algebra $R$ defines a cofree Hopf algebra $(T^c(R), *, \Delta)$, where $\Delta$ is the deconcatenation and $*$ is given by formula (1). \hfill $\Box$

1.7. **Examples.** (a) If $M_{pq} = 0$ for all $(p, q)$ different from $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$, then $R$ is just a vector space $V$ and $(T^c(R), *, \Delta)$ is the shuffle algebra $T^{sh}(V)$.

(b) If $M_{pq} = 0$ for all $(p, q)$ different from $(0, 1)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$, then $M_{11}$ is an associative operation by $R_{111}$. Hence $R$ is simply an associative algebra (possibly without unit). The Hopf algebra $(T^c(R), *, \Delta)$ is called the quasi-shuffle algebra over $R$. The product $*$ is completely determined by the inductive relation

$$a \omega * b \theta = M_{11}(a, b)(\omega * \theta) + a(\omega * b \theta) + b(\omega \ast \theta),$$

where $a, b \in R$ and $\omega, \theta$ are tensors.

(c) If $M_{pq} = 0$ for all $(p, q)$ such that $p \geq 2$, then we get a brace algebra, cf. for instance [6], [11], [20], [21].

(d) A prop is a family of $S_n \times S_m$-modules $\mathcal{P}(n, m)$ equipped with a composition

$$\mathcal{P}(n, m) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathcal{P}(n, m) \otimes \mathcal{P}(p, q) \to \mathcal{P}(m_1 + \cdots + m_p, s_1 + \cdots + s_q),$$

for $m_1 + \cdots + m_p = r_1 + \cdots + r_q$, which is compatible with the action of the symmetric groups and is associative in an obvious sense. It gives rise to a $B_\infty$-algebra structure on $R = \bigoplus_{n, m} \mathcal{P}(n, m)$ as follows. The map $M_{pq}$ is $\gamma$ on $\mathcal{P}(n, m) \otimes \mathcal{P}(p, q)$ if $m_1 + \cdots + m_p = r_1 + \cdots + r_q$ and 0 otherwise.

1.8. **Remark.** Since $R$ is the primitive part of the Hopf algebra $T^c(R)$, it is a Lie algebra. Using formula $R_{111}$ one sees that the Lie bracket on $R$ is given by

$$[r, s] = r * s - s * r = M_{11}(r, s) - M_{11}(s, r).$$

Hence $M_{11}$ is a Lie-admissible operation. If $R$ is a brace algebra, then $M_{11}$ is a pre-Lie operation since the associator of $M_{11}$ is symmetric in the last two variables.

1.9. **Relationship with deformation theory.** A $B_\infty$-structure on the vector space $V$ is equivalent to a deformation of the shuffle algebra $T^{sh}(V)$: make the product $*$ into a product in $T^{sh}(V)[[h]]$ by taking the element in $V^\otimes p+q+1$ as coefficient of $h^i$. 
2. Unital infinitesimal bialgebra

We recall from [13] the notion of unital infinitesimal bialgebra and we prove a structure theorem.

2.1. Definition. A unital infinitesimal bialgebra \((\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \Delta)\) is a vector space \(\mathcal{H}\) equipped with a unital associative product \(\cdot\) and a counital coassociative coproduct \(\Delta\) which are related by the unital infinitesimal relation:

\[
\Delta(x \cdot y) = (x \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta(y) + \Delta(x) \cdot (1 \otimes y) - x \otimes y.
\]

Here the product \(\cdot\) on \(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}\) is given by

\[
(x \otimes y) \cdot (x' \otimes y') := x \cdot x' \otimes y \cdot y'.
\]

Pictorially this relation reads:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \\
\downarrow \\
\uparrow \\
\downarrow \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
= \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \\
\downarrow \\
\uparrow \\
\downarrow \\
\end{array}
\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \\
\downarrow \\
\uparrow \\
\downarrow \\
\end{array}
\end{array} - \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \\
\downarrow \\
\uparrow \\
\downarrow \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

Equivalently the relation verified by the reduced coproduct \(\overline{\Delta}\) is:

\[
\overline{\Delta}(x \cdot y) = (x \otimes 1) \cdot \overline{\Delta}(y) + \overline{\Delta}(x) \cdot (1 \otimes y) + x \otimes y.
\]

2.2. Example. Let \(K[x]\) be the polynomial algebra in \(x\). The map \(\Delta\) defined by \(\Delta(x^n) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} x^p \otimes x^{n-p}\) satisfies the unital infinitesimal relation.

The unital infinitesimal relation differs from the infinitesimal relation used by S. Joni and G.-C. Rota in [10] (see also [1]) by the presence of the term \(-x \otimes y\). From our relation it comes \(\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1\). Recall that the notion of connectedness given in 1.2 uses only \(\Delta\) and 1, and so is applicable here.

2.3. Proposition-Notation. The tensor module over \(V\) equipped with the concatenation product \(\cdot\) and the deconcatenation coproduct \(\Delta\) is a unital infinitesimal bialgebra denoted \(T^{fc}(V)\).

Proof. Let us compute \(\Delta(x \cdot y)\) for \(x = u_1 \ldots u_p\) and \(y = u_{p+1} \ldots u_n\):

\[
\Delta(x \cdot y) = \Delta(u_1 \ldots u_n)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_1 \ldots u_i \otimes u_{i+1} \ldots u_n
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{p} u_1 \ldots u_i \otimes u_{i+1} \ldots u_n - u_1 \ldots u_p \otimes u_{p+1} \ldots u_n
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=p}^{n} u_1 \ldots u_i \otimes u_{i+1} \ldots u_n
\]

\[
= \Delta(u_1 \ldots u_p) \cdot (1 \otimes u_{p+1} \ldots u_n) - u_1 \ldots u_p \otimes u_{p+1} \ldots u_n
\]

\[
+ (u_1 \ldots u_p \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta(u_{p+1} \ldots u_n)
\]

\[
= \Delta(x) \cdot (1 \otimes y) - x \otimes y + (x \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta(y).
\]

\(\square\)
2.4. **Convolution product.** For any bialgebra \((H, \nu, \Delta)\) (either classical or unitary infinitesimal) the convolution product \(\ast\) on \(\text{Hom}_K(H, H)\) is defined as follows. For \(f \) and \(g \in \text{Hom}_K(H, H)\) one puts
\[
f \ast g := \nu \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta.
\]
From the associativity of \(\nu\) and the coassociativity of \(\Delta\) it follows that \(\ast\) is associative. It is also easy to check that \(uc\) is a unit for \(\ast\).

2.5. **Proposition.** Let \((H, \nu, \Delta)\) be a connected unital infinitesimal bialgebra. The linear operator \(e : H \rightarrow H\) defined by
\[
e := J - J \ast J + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} J^{*n} + \cdots
\]
where \(J := \text{Id} - uc\), has the following properties:

a) \(\text{Im} \ e = \text{Prim} \ H\),

b) for any \(x, y \in \overline{H}\) one has \(e(\nu(x, y)) = 0\),

c) \(e\) is an idempotent,

d) for \(H = (T(V), \cdot, \Delta)\), where \(\cdot\) is the concatenation and \(\Delta\) the deconcatenation, \(e\) is the identity on \(V\) and \(0\) on the other components.

**Proof.** We adopt the following notation for this proof: \(\nu(x, y) := x \cdot y\), \(\text{Id} = \text{Id}_H\), and, for any \(x \in \overline{H}\),
\[
(5) \quad \Delta(x) := x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(2)}.
\]
So we omit the summation symbol in the formula for the reduced comultiplication.

First, we observe that, on \(\overline{H}\), \(e\) can be written
\[
(6) \quad e := \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r \nu^r \circ \Delta^r = \text{Id} - \nu \circ \Delta + \nu^2 \circ \Delta^2 + \cdots
\]
and so satisfies the following equality:

\[
(7) \quad e = \text{Id} - \nu \circ (\text{Id} \otimes e) \circ \Delta.
\]
which can be written
\[
(8) \quad e(x) = x - x^{(1)} \cdot e(x^{(2)}).
\]
a) We proceed by induction on the filtration-degree of \(x \in \overline{H}\). Recall that if \(x \in F_n \overline{H}\), then \(x^{(1)}\) and \(x^{(2)}\) are in \(F_{n-1} \overline{H}\).
If \( x \in F_1 \mathcal{H} = \text{Prim} \mathcal{H} \), then \( \overline{\Delta}(x) = 0 \) and therefore \( e(x) = x \) by (8). Let us now suppose that \( e(y) \in \text{Prim} \mathcal{H} \) for any \( y \in F_{n-1} \mathcal{H} \), and let \( x \in F_n \mathcal{H} \). We compute
\[
\Delta(e(x)) = \Delta(x) - \Delta \circ \nu \circ (\text{Id} \otimes e) \circ \overline{\Delta}(x) \quad \text{by} \quad (7)
\]
\[
= x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} - \Delta(x_{(1)} \cdot e(x_{(2)})) \quad \text{by} \quad (5)
\]
\[
= x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} - \Delta(x_{(1)}) \cdot (1 \otimes e(x_{(2)})) - x_{(1)} \cdot e(x_{(2)}) \otimes 1
\]
by (3) and induction
\[
= e(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e(x) + (\text{Id} \otimes (\text{Id} - e)) \circ \overline{\Delta}(x) - (\text{Id} \otimes (\text{Id} - e)) \circ \overline{\Delta}(x)
\]
by (7)
\[
= e(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e(x)
\]
which proves that \( e(x) \) is primitive. If \( x \in \text{Prim} \mathcal{H} \), then \( \overline{\Delta}(x) = 0 \) and therefore \( e(x) = x \) by (7).

b) We proceed by induction on the sum of the filtration-degrees of \( x \) and \( y \). If \( x \) and \( y \) are both primitive, then
\[
\overline{\Delta}(x \cdot y) = x \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\Delta}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r \geq 2.
\]
Therefore we get
\[
e(x \cdot y) = x \cdot y - \nu \circ \overline{\Delta}(x \cdot y) = x \cdot y - x \cdot y = 0.
\]
We now suppose that the formula holds when the sum of the filtration-degrees is strictly less than the sum of the filtration-degrees of \( x \) and \( y \). We have
\[
e(x \cdot y) = x \cdot y - \nu \circ (\text{Id} \otimes e) \circ \overline{\Delta}(x \cdot y) \quad \text{by} \quad (8)
\]
\[
= x \cdot y - x \cdot y_{(1)} \cdot e(y_{(2)}) - x_{(1)} \cdot e(x_{(2)} \cdot y) - x \cdot e(y) \quad \text{by} \quad (3) \quad \text{and} \quad (5)
\]
\[
= x \cdot y - x \cdot y_{(1)} \cdot e(y_{(2)}) - x \cdot e(y) \quad \text{by} \quad \text{induction}
\]
\[
= x \cdot e(y) - x \cdot e(y) = 0 \quad \text{by} \quad (7)
\]
and the proof is completed.

(c) Follows immediately from (a), since \( \overline{\Delta}(x) = 0 \) when \( x \) is primitive.

(d) This assertion is immediate by direct inspection. \( \square \)

2.6. \textbf{Theorem.} \textit{Any connected unital infinitesimal bialgebra} \( \mathcal{H} \) \textit{is isomorphic to} \( T^f(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H}) := (T(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H}), \nu, \Delta) \), \textit{where} \( \nu = \text{concatenation} \) \textit{and} \( \Delta = \text{deconcatenation}. \)

\textit{Proof.} Let \( V := \text{Prim} \mathcal{H} \). Define a bialgebra morphism \( G : \mathcal{H} \to T(V) \) by the formula
\[
G(x) := \sum_{n \geq 1} e^x \otimes (\overline{\Delta}^{-1}(x)).
\]
Define \( F : T(V) \to \mathcal{H} \) by \( F(v_1 \ldots v_n) := v_1 \ldots v_n \) for \( n \geq 1 \). The composite \( F \circ G \) is equal to \( \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{x^n} \) since
\[
F \circ G = \sum_{n \geq 1} \nu^{n-1} \circ e^x \otimes (\overline{\Delta}^{-1}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{x^n}.
\]
The two series \( g(t) := t - t^2 + t^3 - \ldots = \frac{t}{1 + t} \) and \( f(t) := t + t^2 + t^3 + \ldots = \frac{t}{1 - t} \) are inverse to each other for composition: \( (f \circ g)(t) = t \). We can apply these series to elements of \( \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) \) which send 1 to 0 by using \( \star \) for multiplication. We get 
\[ e = g^*(J) \] (cf. Proposition 2.5) and 
\[ F \circ G = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{\star n} = f^*(e) = f^* \circ g^*(J) = (f \circ g)^*(J) = \text{Id}^*(J) = J. \]

On the other hand one has
\[ G \circ F(v_1 \ldots v_n) = G(v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_n) = e^{\otimes n} \circ \Delta^{n-1}(v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_n) = e(v_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes e(v_n) = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_n. \]

We have used 2.5.b in this computation. We have shown that \( \mathcal{T} \) and \( \mathcal{T}(V) \) are isomorphic. \( \square \)

2.7. Remark. The idempotent \( e \) is the geometric series (for convolution) applied to the map \( J = \text{Id} - uc \). It is the analogue of the first Eulerian idempotent, which, in the classical case, is defined as the logarithm series applied to \( J \). The geometric series was already used in a similar context in [19]. Observe that in our case the characteristic zero hypothesis is not needed since the geometric series has no denominators.

Theorem 2.6 is similar to the Hopf-Borel theorem, cf. [3], which states, in the non-graded case, that any connected commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H} \) is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra \( S(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H}) \) (in characteristic zero).

3. 2-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA AND 2-ASSOCIATIVE BIALGEBRA

In this section we introduce the algebras with two associative operations, that we call 2-associative algebras. Then we study the 2-associative algebras equipped with a cooperation.

3.1. Definition. A 2-associative algebra over \( K \) is a vector space \( A \) equipped with two associative operations \( (x, y) \mapsto x \ast y \) and \( (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y \). A 2-associative algebra is said to be unital if there is an element 1 which is a unit for both operations. Unless otherwise stated we suppose that the 2-associative algebras are unital.

Observe that the definition of a 2-associative object makes sense in any monoidal category. So we can define a notion of 2-associative monoid, of 2-associative group, of 2-associative monoidal category, of 2-associative operad, etc.

The free 2-associative algebra over the vector space \( V \) is the 2-associative algebra \( 2as(V) \) such that any map from \( V \) to a 2-associative algebra \( A \) has a natural extension as a 2-associative morphism \( 2as(V) \to A \). In other words the functor \( 2as(-) \) is left adjoint to the forgetful functor from 2-associative algebras to vector spaces. It is clear that \( 2as(V) \) is graded and of the form \( 2as(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} 2as_n \otimes V^\otimes n \). More information on the explicit structure of \( 2as(V) \), that is on \( 2as_n \), is given in section 6.
3.2. **Tensor product of 2-associative algebras.** Given two 2-associative algebras $A$ and $B$ we define their tensor product as the 2-associative algebra $A \otimes B$ equipped with the two products

$$(a \otimes b) \ast (a' \otimes b') := a \ast a' \otimes b \ast b',$$

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') := a \cdot a' \otimes b \cdot b'.$$

The unit of $A \otimes B$ is $1 \otimes 1$.

If $f_i : A_i \to A_i'$ (for $i = 1, 2$) is a morphism of 2-associative algebras, then obviously $f_1 \otimes f_2 : A_1 \otimes A_2 \to A_1' \otimes A_2'$ is a morphism of 2-associative algebras.

3.3. **On 2-associative algebras and $B_\infty$-algebras.** Let $(A, \ast, \cdot)$ be a 2-associative algebra. We define $(p + q)$-ary operations $M_{pq} : A^{\otimes p + q} \to A$, for $p \geq 0, q \geq 0$ by induction as follows:

$$M_{00} = 0, \quad M_{10} = \text{Id}_A = M_{01}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_{n0} = 0 = M_{0n} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 2,$$

and

$$M_{pq}(u_1 \ldots u_p, v_1 \ldots v_q) := (u_1 \cdot u_2 \ldots \cdot u_p) \ast (v_1 \cdot v_2 \ldots \cdot v_q) - \sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_{(i, j)} (M_{i_1 j_1} \ldots M_{i_k j_k}(u_1 \ldots u_p, v_1 \ldots v_q))$$

(9)

where the second sum (for which $k \geq 2$ is fixed) is extended to all the sets of indices $(i, j)$ such that $i_1 + \ldots + i_k = p$ and $j_1 + \ldots + j_k = q$.

For instance (cf. 1.4):

$$M_{11}(u, v) = u \ast v - u \cdot v - v \cdot u,$$

$$M_{21}(uv, w) = (u \cdot v) \ast w - u \cdot M_{11}(v, w) - M_{11}(u, w) \cdot v - u \cdot v \cdot w - u \cdot w \cdot v - w \cdot u \cdot v$$

$$= (u \cdot v) \ast w - u \cdot (v \ast w) - (u \ast w) \cdot v + u \cdot w \cdot v,$$

$$M_{12}(u, uv) = u \ast (v \cdot w) - M_{11}(u, v) \cdot w - v \cdot M_{11}(u, w) - u \cdot v \cdot w - u \cdot w \cdot v \cdot w \cdot u$$

$$= u \ast (v \cdot w) - (u \ast v) \cdot w - v \cdot (u \ast w) + v \cdot u \cdot w.$$

3.4. **Proposition.** The family of $(p + q)$-ary operations $M_{pq}$ constructed above defines a functor

$$(-)_{B_\infty} : \{2as-\text{algebras}\} \to \{B_\infty-\text{algebras}\}.$$

**Proof.** Let us write $\text{Id}_r$ for $\text{Id}^{\otimes r}$. We want to prove that, for any integers $p, q, r \geq 1$, the following formula (denoted $R_{pq}$ in 1.4) holds:

$$\sum_{\langle p, q \rangle} M_{tr} \circ (M_{p_1 q_1} \cdots M_{p_l q_l} \otimes \text{Id}_r) = \sum_{\langle q, r \rangle} M_{ps} \circ (\text{Id}_p \otimes M_{q_1 r_1} \cdots M_{q_s r_s}),$$

where the left sum is taken over all the partitions

$$\langle p, q \rangle = (p_1, \ldots, p_l; q_1, \ldots, q_l)$$

with $1 \leq l \leq p + q$, $0 \leq p_i \leq p$, $0 \leq q_i \leq q$, $\sum_p p_i = p$ and $\sum_q q_i = q$, and the right sum is taken over all partitions $\langle q, r \rangle = (q_1, \ldots, q_s; r_1, \ldots, r_s)$ with $1 \leq s \leq q + r$, $0 \leq q_j \leq q$, $0 \leq r_j \leq r$, $\sum_j q_j = q$ and $\sum_j r_j = r$. 
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We use the following notation: \( \mu(x, y) := x \ast y \), \( \nu(x, y) := x \cdot y \),

\[ \nu^{n-1}(u_1 \ldots u_n) := u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_n \quad \text{and} \quad \nu^{p,q,r} := \nu^{p-1} \otimes \nu^{q-1} \otimes \nu^{r-1}. \]

We will prove, by induction on \( h = p + q + r \) that the difference of the two sums is equal to

\[
\mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{Id}_r) \circ (\nu^{p,q,r}) - \mu \circ (\text{Id}_p \otimes \mu) \circ (\nu^{p,q,r})
\]

and so is 0 by the associativity property of \( \mu \).

Let us first check the property for \( p = q = r = 1 \), i.e. \( h = 3 \). Consider the two sums

\[
S_l := M_{21}(uv + vu, w) + M_{11}(M_{11}(u, v), w),
\]

\[
S_r := M_{12}(u, vw + wv) + M_{11}(u, M_{11}(v, w)).
\]

By replacing the elements by their value in terms of the operations \( \ast \) and \( \cdot \) we get, up to a permutation of variables, the following 8 different types of elements:

\[
(- o_1 -) \otimes (o_2 -) \quad \text{and} \quad (- o_1 (- o_2 -)) \quad \text{for} \quad o_i = \ast \text{ or } \cdot .
\]

Collecting the terms of type \((- \ast \cdots \ast) \cdot (\ast \cdots \ast)\) in \( S_l \) gives 0 and similarly in \( S_r \). Collecting the terms of type \((- \ast - \ast -) \cdot (\ast \cdots \ast)\) in \( S_l \) gives the same element as in \( S_r \). Collecting the terms of type \((- \ast - \ast -) \ast (\ast \cdots \ast)\) in \( S_l \) gives \((u \ast v) \ast w\) and in \( S_r \) gives \(u \ast (v \ast w)\). Hence by the associativity property of \( \ast \) we get \( S_l = S_r \), i.e. relation \( R_{111} \).

For \( h \geq 4 \), observe that the following equalities hold:

\[
\mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{Id}_r) \circ \nu^{p,q,r} = \sum_{(p,q)} M_{lr} \circ (M_{p,q_1} \cdots M_{p,q_l} \otimes \text{Id}_r) + 
\]

\[
\nu \circ \left( \sum_{k+m+n<h} \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{Id}_{r-m}) \otimes \nu^{p-k,q-m,r-n} \otimes \sum_{(k,m)} M_{lm} \circ (M_{k_1,1} \cdots M_{k_1,1} \otimes \text{Id}_{m}) \right),
\]

and

\[
\mu \circ (\text{Id}_p \otimes \mu) \circ (\nu^{p,q,r}) = \sum_{(q,r)} M_{ps} \circ (\text{Id}_p \otimes M_{q_1,r_1} \cdots M_{q,r}) + 
\]

\[
\nu \circ \left( \sum_{k+m+n<h} \mu \circ (\text{Id}_{p-k} \otimes \mu) \otimes \nu^{p-k,q-m,r-n} \otimes \sum_{(n,m)} M_{ks} \circ (\text{Id}_k \otimes M_{n_1,m_1} \cdots M_{n,s,1}) \right).
\]

The recursion hypothesis and the associativity of \( \mu \) imply that

\[
\nu \circ \left( \sum_{k+m+n<h} \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{Id}_{r-m}) \otimes \nu^{p-k,q-m,r-n} \otimes \sum_{(k,m)} M_{lm} \circ (M_{k_1,1} \cdots M_{k_1,1} \otimes \text{Id}_{m}) \right)
\]

\[
= \nu \circ \left( \sum_{k+m+n<h} \mu \circ (\text{Id}_{p-k} \otimes \mu) \otimes \nu^{p-k,q-m,r-n} \otimes \sum_{(n,m)} M_{ks} \circ (\text{Id}_k \otimes M_{n_1,m_1} \cdots M_{n,s,1}) \right).
\]

So, taking the difference, we get

\[
\sum_{(p,q)} M_{lr} \circ (M_{p,q_1} \cdots M_{p,q_l} \otimes \text{Id}_r) - \sum_{(q,r)} M_{ps} \circ (\text{Id}_p \otimes M_{q_1,r_1} \cdots M_{q,r})
\]

\[
= \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \text{Id}_r) \circ (\nu^{p,q,r}) - \mu \circ (\text{Id}_p \otimes \mu) \circ (\nu^{p,q,r}),
\]

as expected.

\[ \square \]

3.5. **Corollary.** Let \( R \) be a \( B_{\infty} \)-algebra and let \((T^c(R), \ast, \Delta)\) be the associated bialgebra. Put on \( T^c(R) \) the \( B_{\infty} \)-algebra structure induced by the 2-associative
operations \(*\) and \(\cdot\) (concatenation). Then the inclusion \(R \to T^c(R)\) is a \(B_\infty\)-morphism. In other words the \(B_\infty\)-algebra structure of \(R\) has been extended to \(T^c(R)\).

Proof. The operation \(*\) is given by Proposition 1.6 and the operation \(\cdot\) is concatenation. Denote by \(M_{pq}\) the \(B_\infty\)-structure on \(R\) and by \(\widetilde{M}_{pq}\) the \(B_\infty\)-structure on \(T^c(R)\) given by Proposition 3.4. Let us show that \(\overline{M}_{pq} = M_{pq}\) on \(R^{\otimes_{p+q}}\) by induction on \(p + q\). We use formula (9) which holds for \(\overline{M}\) and \(M\) on \(R\). By induction \(\overline{M} = M\) on the right side, therefore \(\overline{M}_{pq} = M_{pq}\) for any \((p, q)\). \(\Box\)

3.6. Universal enveloping 2-associative algebra. We define the universal enveloping 2-associative algebra of a \(B_\infty\)-algebra \(R\), denoted \(U2(R)\), as the quotient of the free 2-associative algebra \(2as(R)\) over the vector space \(R\) by the relations

\[M_{pq}(r_1 \cdots r_p, s_1 \cdots s_q) \approx \widetilde{M}_{pq}(r_1 \cdots r_p, s_1 \cdots s_q), \quad r_i, s_j \in R\]

where \(M_{pq}\) denotes the operation in \(R\) and \(\widetilde{M}_{pq}\) denotes the operation in \(2as(R)\):

\[U2(R) := 2as(R)/\approx.\]

In other words we divide \(2as(R)\) by the ideal (in the 2-associative algebra sense) generated by the elements

\[M_{pq}(r_1 \cdots r_p, s_1 \cdots s_q) - \widetilde{M}_{pq}(r_1 \cdots r_p, s_1 \cdots s_q),\]

thus \(U2(R)\) is a 2-associative algebra.

3.7. Lemma. The functor \(U2 : \{B_\infty-\text{alg}\} \rightarrow \{2as-\text{alg}\}\) is left adjoint to the functor \((-)_{B_\infty} : \{2as-\text{alg}\} \rightarrow \{B_\infty-\text{alg}\}\).

Proof. Let \(A\) be a \(2as\)-algebra and let \(f : R \to A\) be a morphism of \(B_\infty\)-algebras. It determines uniquely a morphism of 2-associative algebras \(2as(R) \to A\) since \(A\) is a 2-associative algebra and \(2as(R)\) is free. This morphism passes to the quotient to give \(U2(R) \to A\) because the image in \(A\) of the two elements

\[M_{pq}(r_1 \cdots r_p, s_1 \cdots s_q)\text{ and }\widetilde{M}_{pq}(r_1 \cdots r_p, s_1 \cdots s_q),\]

is the same, namely \(M_{pq}(f(r_1) \cdots f(r_p), f(s_1) \cdots f(s_q))\).

On the other hand, let \(g : U2(R) \to A\) be a morphism of 2-associative algebras. From the construction of \(U2(R)\) it follows that the map \(R \to U2(R)\) is a \(B_\infty\)-morphism. Hence the composition with \(g\) gives a \(B_\infty\)-morphism \(R \to A\).

Clearly these two constructions are inverse to each other, and therefore \(U2\) is left adjoint to \((-)_{B_\infty}\). \(\Box\)

3.8. Corollary. The universal enveloping 2-associative algebra of the free \(B_\infty\)-algebra is canonically isomorphic to the free 2-associative algebra:

\[U2(B_\infty(V)) \cong 2as(V).\]

Proof. First recall that \(A_{B_\infty}\) has the same underlying vector space as \(A\). Since \(U2\) is left adjoint to \((-)_{B_\infty}\) and since \(B_\infty\) is left adjoint to the forgetful functor, the composite is left adjoint to the forgetful functor from 2-associative algebras to vector spaces. Hence it is the functor \(2as\). \(\Box\)
3.9. Definition. A 2-associative bialgebra (resp. 2-associative Hopf algebra) \((\mathcal{H}, *, \cdot, \Delta)\) is a vector space \(\mathcal{H}\) equipped with two operations \(\ast\) and \(\cdot\) and one cooperation \(\Delta\), such that

- \((\mathcal{H}, \ast, \Delta)\) is a bialgebra (resp. Hopf algebra), cf. 1.1,
- \((\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \Delta)\) is a unital infinitesimal bialgebra, cf. 2.1.

3.10. Proposition. For any 2-associative bialgebra (e.g. 2-associative Hopf algebra) its primitive part is a sub-\(B_{\infty}\)-algebra.

Proof. Given elements \(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m\) in \(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H}\), we need to prove that \(M_{nm}(x_1 \ldots x_n, y_1 \ldots y_m)\) belongs to \(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H}\) too, that is \(\overline{\Delta} \circ M_{nm} = 0\) on \(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H}\).

The proof is by induction on \((n, m)\). Instead of giving the details we give an alternate proof in the case where \(\mathcal{H}\) is connected. We only need this case in the sequel of the article.

If \(\mathcal{H}\) is a connected 2-associative bialgebra, then \(\mathcal{H}\) is isomorphic to \(\mathcal{T}^{fc}(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H})\) by Theorem 2.6 and \(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H}\) is a \(B_{\infty}\)-algebra. So we can apply Corollary 3.5. \(\square\)

3.11. Proposition. There exists a unique cooperation \(\Delta\) on the free 2-associative algebra \(2as(V)\) which makes it into a 2-associative bialgebra and for which \(V\) is primitive. As a coalgebra \(2as(V)\) is connected.

Proof. We define \(\Delta : 2as(V) \to 2as(V) \otimes 2as(V)\) by the following requirements:

- \(\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1\),
- \(\Delta(v) = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v\), for \(v \in V\),
- \(\Delta(x \ast y) = \Delta(x) \ast \Delta(y)\),
- \(\Delta(x \cdot y) = (x \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta(y) + \Delta(x) \cdot (1 \otimes y) - x \otimes y\).

Let us prove that \(\Delta\) is well-defined by induction on the degree of the elements in \(2as(V) = \oplus_{n \geq 0} 2as(V)_n\). It is already defined on \(2as(V)_0 = K.1\) and \(2as(V)_1 = V\). Suppose that \(\Delta\) is defined up to \(2as(V)_{n-1}\). We define it on \(2as(V)_n\) as follows.

Any element of \(2as(V)_n\) is of the form \(x \ast y\) or \(x \cdot y\) for elements \(x\) and \(y\) of degree strictly smaller than \(n\). Then \(\Delta(x \ast y)\) and \(\Delta(x \cdot y)\) are given by the required formulas. Since the only relations are the associativity of \(\ast\), the associativity of \(\cdot\) and the unitality of \(1\) for both products, we need to verify that

\[
\Delta((x \ast y) \ast z) = \Delta(x \ast (y \ast z)),
\]
\[
\Delta((x \cdot y) \cdot z) = \Delta(x \cdot (y \cdot z)),
\]
\[
\Delta(1 \ast x) = \Delta(x) = \Delta(x \ast 1),
\]
\[
\Delta(1 \cdot x) = \Delta(x) = \Delta(x \cdot 1).
\]

The last two lines are straightforward to check. The first one is classical. Let us check the second one. On the left side we get (with the notation \(a \cdot \Delta(b) = (a \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta(b)\) and \(\Delta(a) \cdot b = \Delta(a) \cdot (1 \otimes b)\))

\[
\Delta((x \cdot y) \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot \Delta(z) + \Delta(x \cdot y) \cdot z - (x \cdot y) \otimes z,
\]
\[
= x \cdot y \cdot \Delta(z) + (x \cdot \Delta(y) + \Delta(x) \cdot y - x \otimes y) \cdot z - x \cdot y \otimes z,
\]
\[
= x \cdot y \cdot \Delta(z) + x \cdot \Delta(y) \cdot z + \Delta(x) \cdot y \cdot z - x \otimes y \cdot z - x \cdot y \otimes z.
\]
On the right side we get
\[
\Delta(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = x \cdot \Delta(y \cdot z) + \Delta(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) - x \otimes y \cdot z,
\]
\[
= x \cdot (y \cdot \Delta(z)) + \Delta(y) \cdot z - y \otimes z) + \Delta(x) \cdot y \cdot z - x \otimes y \cdot z,
\]
\[
= x \cdot y \cdot \Delta(z) + x \cdot \Delta(y) \cdot z + \Delta(x) \cdot y \cdot z - x \otimes y \cdot z - x \cdot y \otimes z.
\]
We see that they are equal. Hence \(\Delta\) is well-defined. There is a unique map \(2\text{as}(V) \to 2\text{as}(V) \otimes 2\text{as}(V) \otimes 2\text{as}(V)\) sending 1 to \(1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1\) and \(v\) to \(v \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes v\) and compatible with \(*\) and \(\cdot\). Since both maps \((\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Delta\) and \((\text{Id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta\) satisfy these properties, they are equal, and so \(\Delta\) is coassociative.

It follows from this computation that \((2\text{as}(V), *, \cdot, \Delta)\) is a 2-associative bialgebra.

Proof of connectedness. It is sufficient to prove that any element in \(2\text{as}(V)/K\) is conilpotent. An element in \(V\) is primitive, hence conilpotent. So it suffices to prove that, if \(x\) and \(y\) are conilpotent, then so are \(x \ast y\) and \(x \cdot y\). This fact follows from the relationship between \(\Delta\) and \(*\), respectively \(\Delta\) and \(\cdot\).

\[\square\]

3.12. Corollary. For any \(B_\infty\)-algebra \(R\), \(U2(R)\) is a connected 2-associative bialgebra.

\[\square\]

4. The main theorem

In this section we state and prove the main results, that is the structure theorem for cofree Hopf algebras and the main theorem from which it follows, that is the structure theorem for connected 2-associative bialgebras. They are analogue of the Cartier-Milnor-Moore theorem (for (a)\ \Rightarrow \ (b)) and the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem (for (b)\ \Rightarrow \ (c)), which hold for cocommutative connected bialgebras (over a characteristic zero field). Observe that in the non-cocommutative setting we do not need the characteristic zero hypothesis.

4.1. Classification of cofree bialgebras. A connected cofree bialgebra can be equipped with a second product (by using cofreeness) which makes it into a connected 2-associative bialgebra. Our main result about these objects is the following.

4.2. Theorem. If \(H\) is a bialgebra over a field \(K\), then the following are equivalent:
(a) \(H\) is a connected 2-associative bialgebra,
(b) \(H\) is isomorphic to \(U2(\text{Prim} \ H)\) as a 2-associative bialgebra,
(c) \(H\) is cofree among the connected coalgebras.

Since a connected bialgebra is a Hopf algebra, one can replace connected bialgebra by connected Hopf algebra in this theorem.

Proof. We prove the following implications (a) \ \Rightarrow \ (c) \ \Rightarrow \ (b) \ \Rightarrow \ (a). We put \(R := \text{Prim} \ H\).
(a) \ \Rightarrow \ (c). If \(H\) is a connected 2-associative bialgebra, then, by Theorem 2.6, \(H\) is isomorphic to \(T^{inf}(R)\) as a unital infinitesimal bialgebra. Therefore \(H\) is cofree.
(c) \ \Rightarrow \ (b). If \(H\) is cofree, then it is isomorphic to \(T^{inf}(R)\) and \(R\) is a \(B_\infty\)-algebra. Observe that \(T^{inf}(R)\) is a \(2\text{as}\)-algebra: the product \(*\) is inherited from the associative product of \(H\) under the isomorphism and the product \(\cdot\) is the concatenation. By adjunction (cf. Lemma 3.7), the inclusion map \(R \to T^{inf}(R)\) gives rise to a \(2\text{as}\)-morphism
\[
\phi: U2(R) \to T^{inf}(R).
\]
On the other hand, the inclusion $R \to U^2(R)$ admits a unique extension
\[ \psi : T^{fc}(R) \to U^2(R) \]
by $\psi(r_1 \ldots r_n) = \psi(r_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \psi(r_n)$. It is immediate to check that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are inverse to each other.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). This is Corollary 3.12.

As an immediate consequence, we obtain a structure theorem for cofree bialgebras:

4.3. Corollary. There is an equivalence between the category of cofree bialgebras and the category of bialgebras of the form $U^2(R)$ for some $B_\infty$-algebra $R$.

The particular case of free algebras reads as follows:

4.4. Corollary. For any vector space $V$ the free 2-associative algebra $2as(V)$ and the free $B_\infty$-algebra $B_\infty(V)$ are related by the following isomorphisms:
\[ \bar{\phi} : \text{Prim}(2as(V)) \cong B_\infty(V) \text{ as } B_\infty\text{-algebras}, \]
\[ \phi : 2as(V) \cong T^{fc}(B_\infty(V)) \text{ as 2-associative bialgebras}. \]

4.5. The differential graded framework. So far we worked in the category of vector spaces over $K$. However we can, more generally, work in the category of graded vector spaces, or differential graded vector spaces (that is chain complexes), in which all the constructions and results remain true. In the graded case the formulas are exactly the same as in the non-graded case, provided we write them as equalities among maps, that is we forget the entries. For instance the relation \((R_{111})\) should be written:
\[ M_{21} \circ ((12) + 1_3) + M_{11} \circ (M_{11} \otimes 1_1) = M_{12} \circ (1_3 + (23)) + M_{11} \circ (1_1 \otimes M_{11}) . \]

In this formula $1_3$ is the identity on $V^\otimes 3$ and $(12)$ is the map which permutes the first two variables, so
\[ (12)(uvw) = (-1)^{|u||v|}vuw \]
where $|u|$ is the degree of the homogeneous element $u$. One should also use the Koszul sign rule, that is $f \otimes g$ means the map which sends $u \otimes v$ to $(-1)^{|g||u|}f(u) \otimes g(v)$.

In the differential graded case, a $B_\infty$-algebra structure on the graded vector space $V = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V^\otimes n$ is equivalent to a graded differential algebra structure on the tensor coalgebra $T(V[1])$ where $V[1]$ is the desuspension of $V$ (cf. [7] or [21] for details).

4.6. The dual framework. Instead of starting with a bialgebra which is cofree as a coalgebra, we could start with a bialgebra which is free as an algebra. Then similar results hold, but 2-associative algebras have to be replaced by 2-coassociative coalgebras and so forth. Observe that the notion of unital infinitesimal bialgebra is self-dual (this is easily seen on the picture of 2.1). See 6.4 for the free case.
5. Free 2-associative algebra

In this section we give an explicit description of the free 2-associative algebra in terms of planar trees. The free 2as-algebra on one generator can be identified with the non-commutative polynomials over the planar (rooted) trees. Alternatively the underlying vector space can be identified with the vector space spanned by two copies of the set of planar trees amalgamated over the trivial tree.

We study the operad associated to 2-associative algebras, we compute its dual (in the operadic sense) and we show that they are Koszul operads. As a consequence we obtain a chain complex to compute the (co)homology of 2-associative algebras.

5.1. Planar trees. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_n$ the set of planar (rooted) trees with $n$ leaves, $n \geq 1$ (and one root) such that each internal vertex has one root and at least two offsprings. We say that such a vertex has valence at least 2. Here are the first sets $\mathcal{T}_n$:

- $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\}$
- $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\ \ \}$
- $\mathcal{T}_3 = \{\ \ \}$

The lowest vertex of a tree is called the root vertex. An edge which is neither the root nor a leaf is called an internal edge. Observe that the trivial tree $|$ has no vertex.

The integer $n$ is called the degree of $t \in \mathcal{T}_n$. We define $\mathcal{T}_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{T}_n$. The number of elements in $\mathcal{T}_n$ is the so-called super Catalan number or Schröder number, denoted $C_{n-1}$ whose value is:

$$1, 1, 3, 11, 45, 197, 903, \ldots$$

It is well-known that its generating series is

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} C_n x^n = (1 + x - \sqrt{1 - 6x + x^2})/4x$$

(see also 5.8).

By definition the grafting of $k$ planar trees $\{t^1, \ldots, t^k\}$ is a planar tree denoted $t^1 \vee \ldots \vee t^k$ obtained by joining the $k$ roots to a new vertex and adding a new root. Any planar tree can be uniquely obtained as $t = t^1 \vee \ldots \vee t^k$, where $k$ is the valence of the root vertex (for $t = |$, one has $k = 1$ and $t^1 = |$). Observe that the grafting operation is not associative. At some point in the sequel we will need to work with two copies of $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ that we denote by $\mathcal{T}^*_\infty$ and $\mathcal{T}_\infty^\bullet$. Pictorially we identify their elements by decorating the root vertex by $\ast$ or $\cdot$ respectively. By convention we identify the copy of the tree $|$ (which has no root vertex) in $\mathcal{T}^*_\infty$ to its avatar in $\mathcal{T}^\bullet_\infty$.

5.2. Free 2-associative algebra. Since, in the relations defining the notion of 2-associative algebra, the variables stay in the same order, we only need to understand the free 2-associative algebra on one generator (i.e. over $K$). In operadic terminology we are dealing with a regular operad (i.e. constructed out of a non-$\Sigma$-operad).

More explicitly, if we denote by $2as(K) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} 2as_n$ the free 2-associative algebra on one generator, then the free 2-associative algebra on $V$ is

$$2as(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} 2as_n \otimes V^{\otimes n}.$$
The 2-associative structure of $2\text{as}(V)$ is induced by the 2-associative structure of $2\text{as}(K)$ and concatenation of tensors:

$$(s; v_1 \cdots v_p) \ast (t, v_{p+1} \cdots v_n) = (s \ast t; v_1 \cdots v_n),$$

$$(s; v_1 \cdots v_p) \cdot (t, v_{p+1} \cdots v_n) = (s \cdot t; v_1 \cdots v_n).$$

Let $T(\mathcal{W}_\infty) = (\mathcal{T}(K[\mathcal{T}_\infty]), \ast)$ be the free unital associative algebra over the vector space $K[\mathcal{W}_\infty]$ generated by the set $\mathcal{W}_\infty$. So here $\ast$ is the concatenation. A set of linear generators of $T(\mathcal{W}_\infty)$ is made of the (non-commutative) monomials $t_1 \ast t_2 \cdots \ast t_k$ where the $t_i$'s are planar trees. We define the product $\cdot$ as follows:

$$s \cdot t := s^1 \vee \cdots \vee s^m \vee t^1 \vee \cdots \vee t^n,$$

$$(s_1 \ast \cdots \ast s_k) \cdot t := (s_1 \vee \cdots \vee s_k) \vee t^1 \vee \cdots \vee t^n, \quad \text{for } k \geq 2,$$

$$s \cdot (t_1 \ast \cdots \ast t_l) := s^1 \vee \cdots \vee s^m \vee (t_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_l), \quad \text{for } l \geq 2,$$

$$s_1 \ast \cdots \ast s_k \cdot (t_1 \ast \cdots \ast t_l) := (s_1 \vee \cdots \vee s_k) \vee (t_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_l), \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, l \geq 2,$$

where $s = s^1 \vee \cdots \vee s^m$ and $t = t^1 \vee \cdots \vee t^n$.

Observe that the dot product of two monomials is always a tree.

5.3. Lemma. There is a natural bijection $T(\mathcal{W}_\infty) \rightarrow K1 \oplus K[\mathcal{W}_\infty \cup \mathcal{W}_\infty^\ast]$ obtained by sending a tree in $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ to its counterpart in $\mathcal{W}_\infty^\ast$ and by sending a nontrivial monomial $t_1 \ast \cdots \ast t_n$ (i.e. $n \geq 2$) to the grafting $t_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_n$ in $\mathcal{W}_\infty^\ast$. Hence we have $\dim T(\mathcal{W}_\infty)_n = 2C_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$.

Explicitly we obtain:

$$s^* \cdot t^* = (s_1 \vee \cdots \vee s_n \vee t_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_m)^*, \quad s^* \cdot t^* = (s_1 \vee t_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_m)^*,$$

$$s^* \cdot t^* = (s_1 \vee \cdots \vee s_n \vee t_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_m)^*,$$

$$s^* \cdot t^* = (s_1 \vee s_n \vee t)^*, \quad s^* \cdot t^* = (s_1 \vee \cdots \vee s_n \vee t)^*,$$

wherever $s = s_1 \vee \cdots \vee s_n$ and $t = t_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_m$.

Proof. The grafting operation gives a bijection between the set of $n$-tuples of planar trees, for any $n \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{W}_\infty \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Indeed the inverse is the map $t_1 \vee \cdots \vee t_n \mapsto \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$. It gives a bijection between the monomials of degree $\geq 2$ in $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ and $K[\mathcal{W}_\infty^\ast]$.

5.4. Theorem. The free 2-associative algebra $2\text{as}(K)$ on one generator is isomorphic to the 2-associative algebra $(T(\mathcal{W}_\infty), \ast, \cdot)$ where $\ast$ is the concatenation product in $T(\mathcal{W}_\infty)$ and $\cdot$ is given by the formulas in 5.2.

Proof. First we check that the dot product is associative. There are eight cases, which are immediate to check. For instance, if $u = u^1 \vee \cdots \vee u^p$, then

$$(s \cdot t) \cdot u = s^1 \vee \cdots \vee s^m \vee t^1 \vee \cdots \vee t^n \vee u^1 \vee \cdots \vee u^p = s \cdot (t \cdot u).$$

Second we prove that, as a $2\text{as}$-algebra, it is free on one generator. Let $x$ be the generator of the free 2-associative algebra $2\text{as}(K) = \oplus_{n \geq 2} 2\text{as}_n$. Since $T(\mathcal{W}_\infty)$ is a 2-associative algebra, mapping $x$ to the tree $|$ induces a 2-associative morphism $\alpha : 2\text{as}(K) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{W}_\infty)$. Since any linear generator of $T(\mathcal{W}_\infty)$ can be obtained from $|$ by using $\ast$ and $\cdot$, this map is surjective. The degree $n$ part of $T(\mathcal{W}_\infty)$ is of dimension $2C_{n-1}$ by Lemma 5.3, hence to prove that $\alpha$ is an isomorphism it suffices to prove that the dimension of $2\text{as}_n$ is less than or equal to $2C_{n-1}$. 


We identify a parenthesizing of a word to a planar binary tree. The space $2\text{as}_n$ is linearly generated by the planar binary trees with $n$ leaves such that each vertex is decorated by either $\ast$ or $\cdot$. Because of the associativity relations of both operations, two trees which differ only by replacing locally

![patterns]

where the vertices are all decorated by $\ast$, or all decorated by $\cdot$, give rise to the same element in $2\text{as}_n$. Let us draw it as a tree obtained from the previous one by replacing the equivalent patterns by

![patterns]

respectively.

Applying the process ad libitum gives a planar tree such that each internal edge has different decorations at both ends. So, the decorations are completely determined by the decoration of the root-vertex, and so we can ignore the other ones. This argument shows that the dimension of $2\text{as}_n$ is less than or equal to $2C_{n-1}$ as expected.

So, in fact, the dimension of $2\text{as}_n$ is precisely $2C_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$. □

5.5. Corollary. The following is the free 2-associative algebra on one generator (namely $|$). As a vector space it is $K1 \oplus K[T_\infty \cup T_\ast]$ where the two copies of $|$ have been identified. The laws are given by

$$s^{\ast} \circ t^{\ast} = ((s \lor t)/ \sim)^{\circ}$$

where $\circ_s, \circ_t$ and $\circ$ are either $\ast$ or $\cdot$, and the quotient $\sim$ is as follows: the edge from the root of $s$ (resp. $t$) to the new root is collapsed to a point if $\circ_s = \circ$ (resp. $\circ_t = \circ$).

□

5.6. Remark. One can switch the roles of the two products, in particular $2\text{as}(V)$ is free as an associative algebra for $\cdot$.

A free 2-associative set is called a duplex in [17]. Corollary 5.5 gives also the structure of the free duplex in one generator.

Observe that for $s = s_1 \lor \ldots \lor s_n$ one has

$$s^{\ast} = s_1^{\ast} \ast \ldots \ast s_n^{\ast} \quad \text{and} \quad s' = s_1^{\ast} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_n^{\ast}.$$ 

In figure 1 we draw the elements in low dimension.

5.7. Involution. Let us define a map $\iota : 2\text{as}(V) \to 2\text{as}(V)$ by the following requirements:

$$\iota(1) = 1, \quad \iota(v) = v, \quad \iota(x \ast y) = \iota(y) \ast \iota(x), \quad \iota(x \cdot y) = \iota(y) \cdot \iota(x).$$

First, it is immediate to verify that $\iota^2 = \text{Id}$. Second, by using the compatibility relations between $\Delta$ and the products one can show that

$$\Delta(\iota(x)) = \iota(x_{(2)}) \otimes \iota(x_{(1)}),$$

where $\Delta(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$.

On the trees this involution is simply the symmetry around the root axis.
5.8. **Generating series.** Let $C(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n x^n$ be the generating series for the super Catalan numbers. For a graded vector space $V = \oplus_{n \geq 0} V_n$ we denote by

\[ f^V(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim V_n x^n \]

its generating series. Therefore the generating series of $K[\mathcal{T}_\infty]$ is $f^{K[\mathcal{T}_\infty]}(x) = xC(x)$, while the generating series of $2as(K)$ is $f^{2as}(x) = 1 - x + 2xC(x)$. Since $2as(K) = T(K[\mathcal{T}_\infty])$ one has $f^{2as}(x) = (1 - f^{K[\mathcal{T}_\infty]}(x))^{-1}$. It follows that $C(x)$ satisfies the algebraic relation $2xC(x)^2 - (1 + x)C(x) + 1 = 0$. We deduce from it

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
2as(K) & (T(\mathcal{T}_\infty), \ast) & K1 \oplus K[\mathcal{T}_\infty^* \cup \mathcal{T}_\infty^*] \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
x & | & | \\
x \cdot x & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & .
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
x \ast x & | & | & \ast \\
(x \cdot x) \cdot x = x \cdot (x \cdot x) & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & .
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
x \cdot (x \ast x) & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & . \\
(x \ast x) \cdot x & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & . \\
(x \cdot x) \ast x & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & . \\
x \ast (x \cdot x) & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & . \\
(x \ast x) \cdot x = x \ast (x \ast x) & || & \Downarrow & .
\end{array}
\]

**Figure 1.** Low dimensional elements in $2as(K)$
the expression given in 5.1:
\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n x^n = (1 + x - \sqrt{1 - 6x + x^2}) / 4x . \]

5.9. Homology and Koszul duality. In [8] Ginzburg and Kapranov developed the theory of Koszul duality for binary quadratic operads. When an operad is Koszul, its Koszul dual permits us to construct a small chain complex to compute the homology of algebras and also to construct the minimal model, hence giving rise to the algebras up to homotopy. This theory is applicable here and we describe the outcome.

Until the end of this section we work in the nonunital framework, that is we do not suppose that the 2-associative algebras have a unit. In particular the degree zero component of the free 2-associative algebra is 0. Let us recall that the Hochschild chain complex of a nonunital associative algebra \( A \) is of the form
\[ \cdots \rightarrow A \otimes_{n \rightarrow A}^{\otimes n - 1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A \]
where \( b' \) is given by \( b'(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i(a_1, \ldots, a_i a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n) \). Its homology is denoted \( H^{As}_n(A) \).

From the definition of the dual of an operad (cf. loc.cit. or [12] Appendix B, for a brief introduction), the dual of the operad \( 2^\text{as} \) is the operad \( 2^\text{as}^! \) whose algebras have two associative operations \( * \) and \( \cdot \) verifying:
\[
(x * y) \cdot z = 0 = x * (y \cdot z) \\
(x \cdot y) * z = 0 = x \cdot (y * z)
\]
It is clear that the free \( 2^{as}^! \)-algebra over \( V \) is \( V \) in dimension 1 and the sum of two copies of \( V^\otimes n \) in dimension \( n \geq 2 \), the first one corresponding to \( * \) and the second one to \( \cdot \). Hence the chain complex of a 2-associative algebra is two copies of the Hochschild complex described above, amalgamated in dimension 1. To check the Koszulity of the operad \( 2^{as} \) it suffices to show that the chain complex of the free \( 2^{as} \)-algebra is acyclic. Since \( 2^{as}(V) \) is free as an associative algebra for \( * \) by Theorem 5.4, and also free as an associative algebra for \( \cdot \) (cf. 5.6), the corresponding Hochschild complexes are acyclic and hence we have proved the following:

5.10. Proposition. The operad of 2-associative algebras is a Koszul operad.

As a consequence, for a \( 2^{as} \)-algebra \( (A, *, \cdot) \) we have, for \( n \geq 3 \),
\[ H_n^{2^{as}}(A) = H_n^{As}(A, *) \oplus H_n^{As}(A, \cdot) . \]

6. Bialgebra structure of the free \( 2^{as} \)-algebra and free \( B_\infty \)-algebra

We unravel the Hopf algebra structure of the free 2-associative algebra. From Theorem 4.2 and the explicitation of the free 2-associative algebra we deduce the structure of the operad \( B_\infty \).

6.1. The coproduct on \( 2^{as}(V) \). Proposition 3.11 determines a unique coproduct \( \Delta \) on \( 2^{as}(V) \), for which
\[ (2^{as}(V), *, \Delta) \] is a Hopf algebra. The following Proposition gives a recursive formula for \( \Delta \) in terms of \( V \)-decorated trees. By definition a \( V \)-decorated tree is an element \( (t; v_1 \ldots v_n) \in T_n \times V^\otimes n \). It is helpful to think of the entry \( v_i \) as a decoration of the \( i \)th leaf of the tree \( t \).
6.2. Proposition. The coproduct $\Delta$ on the free 2-associative algebra $T(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ is given recursively by the following formula

$$\Delta(t^1 \vee \ldots \vee t^r) = 1 \otimes t + \sum_{i=1}^r \bigvee(t^1, \ldots, t^{i-1}) \cdot t^i \otimes t^i \otimes \bigvee(t^{i+1}, \ldots, t^r),$$

where

$$\bigvee(t^1, \ldots, t^k) := \begin{cases} t^1 \vee \ldots \vee t^k & \text{if } k > 1, \\ t^{1(1)} \ldots t^{1(n)} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } t^1 = t^{1(1)} \vee \ldots \vee t^{1(n)}, \end{cases}$$

and we use the notation $s_1 \otimes s_2 := \Delta(s_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot s_k) - 1 \otimes s_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot s_k$ for $s = s_1 \vee \ldots \vee s_k$, and the convention $1 \vee \omega = \omega$.

Proof. It is straightforward (though tedious) to check by induction that this map $\Delta$ satisfies the four identities explicitly written at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 3.11. For instance we have

$$\Delta(\ ; v) = 1 \otimes (\ ; v) + (\ ; v) \otimes 1.$$

\[ \square \]

6.3. Example.

$$\bar{\Delta}(\ ; uv) = (\ ; u) \otimes (\ ; v),$$

$$\bar{\Delta}(\ ; uvw) = (\ ; u) \otimes (\ ; v) \otimes (\ ; w) + (\ ; u) \otimes (\ ; v) \otimes (\ ; uv),$$

$$\bar{\Delta}(\ ; uvwx) = (\ ; uv) \otimes (\ ; w) + (\ ; u) \otimes (\ ; v) \otimes (\ ; vw) + (\ ; u) \otimes (\ ; v) \otimes (\ ; vw) + (\ ; u) \otimes (\ ; v) \otimes (\ ; vw).$$

6.4. Selfduality and rigidity of $2as(V)$. On the 2-associative bialgebra $(2as(V), *, \cdot, \Delta)$ one can construct another coassociative cooperation $\delta$ by the following requirements:

- $\delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$,
- $\delta(v) = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v$, for $v \in V$,
- $\delta(x * y) = (x \otimes 1) * \delta(y) + \delta(x) * (1 \otimes y) - x \otimes y$,
- $\delta(x \cdot y) = \delta(x) \cdot \delta(y)$.

In other words, the compatibility relation between the products $*$, $\cdot$ and the coproducts $\Delta, \delta$ is either the classical Hopf relation (Hopf) or the unitary infinitesimal one (u.i.):

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
\Delta & \text{Hopf} & \text{u.i.} \\
\delta & \text{Hopf} & \text{u.i.} \\
\end{array}
\]

Hence, $(2as(V), *, \cdot, \Delta, \delta)$ is, at the same time, a free 2-associative algebra and a cofree 2-associative coalgebra.
Observe that \((2(as(V), \cdot, *, \delta)\) is also a free 2-associative bialgebra. In fact the identity on \(V\) induces an isomorphism

\[\text{(2as}(V), * , \cdot, \Delta, \delta) \cong \text{(2as}(V), \cdot, *, \delta, \Delta).\]

Let \((H, *, \cdot, \Delta, \delta)\) be a vector space which is a 2-associative algebra, a 2-associative coalgebra verifying the 4 relations of the above tableau. It is not difficult to prove that, if \(H\) is connected both for \(\Delta\) and \(\delta\), then there is an isomorphism \(H \cong 2\text{as}(\text{Prim } H)\) where \(\text{Prim } H = \text{Ker } \Delta \cap \text{Ker } \delta\).

6.5. The operad \(B_\infty\). To unravel the structure of the operad \(B_\infty\), that is to describe explicitly the free \(B_\infty\)-algebra \(B_\infty(V)\), we will use the idempotent \(e : 2\text{as}(V) \to 2\text{as}(V)\) constructed in Proposition 2.5. Recall that, a priori, \(B_\infty(V) = B_\infty(n) \otimes S_n V^\otimes n\), where the right \(S_n\)-module \(B_\infty(n)\) is the space of \(n\)-ary operations. By Corollary 5.5 \(2\text{as}(V)\) admits the following decomposition:

\[2\text{as}(V) = K1 + V \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} K[T^*_n] \otimes V^\otimes n \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} K[T^*_n] \otimes V^\otimes n.\]

We denote by \(t^*\), resp. \(t^*\), the image of the decorated tree \(t \in K[T^*_n] \otimes V^\otimes n\) in the relevant component of \(2\text{as}(V)\). Under this identification, the idempotent \(e\) has the following properties:

\[e(1) = 0, \quad e(v) = v, \quad e(t^*) = t^* + \omega(t^*), \quad e(t^*) = 0,\]

where \(t \in K[T^*_n] \otimes V^\otimes n, n \geq 2\), is a decorated tree and where \(\omega : K[T^*_n] \otimes V^\otimes n \to K[T^*_n] \otimes V^\otimes n\) is a functorial map in \(V\) determined by \(e\).

Let us denote by \(\gamma_{p,q} \in T_{p+q}\) the tree which is the grafting of the \(p\)-corolla with the \(q\)-corolla. So \(\gamma_{pq}\) has \(p + q\) leaves and 3 vertices:

\[
\gamma_{32} = \begin{array}{c}
\end{array}
\]

6.6. Theorem. The free \(B_\infty\)-algebra over the vector space \(V\) is

\[B_\infty(V) \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} K[T^*_n] \otimes V^\otimes n,\]

where \(T^*_n\) is the set of planar rooted trees. Under this isomorphism the operation \(M_{pq} \in B_\infty(p + q)\) corresponds to \((\gamma_{pq} \otimes 1_{p+q}) \in K[T^*_{p+q}] \otimes K[S_{p+q}]\). The composition of operations in \(B_\infty(V)\) is determined by the following equality which holds in \(2\text{as}(V)\) (in fact in the \(*\)-component):

\[M_{pq}(t_1 \ldots t_p, t_{p+1} \ldots t_{p+q})^* = (e(t_1^*) \cdots e(t_p^*)) \ast (e(t_{p+1}^*) \cdots e(t_{p+q}^*))\]

where the \(t_i\)'s are \(V\)-decorated trees.

First we prove the following Lemma.

6.7. Lemma. Let \(x_1, \ldots, x_{p+q}\) be primitive elements in \(2\text{as}(V)\). Then we have

\[M_{pq}(x_1 \ldots x_{p+q}) = e((x_1 \cdots x_p) \ast (x_{p+1} \cdots x_{p+q})).\]

Proof. We know that \(M_{pq}\) of primitive elements is primitive by Proposition 3.10, hence we have \(e(M_{pq}(x_1 \ldots x_{p+q})) = M_{pq}(x_1 \ldots x_{p+q})\). By formula (9) of 3.3 and item b) in Proposition 2.5 we have \(e(M_{pq}(x_1 \ldots x_{p+q})) = e((x_1 \cdots x_p) \ast (x_{p+1} \cdots x_{p+q}))\). Whence the result. \(\square\)
Proof of Theorem 6.6. Let us consider the following sequence of maps:

\[ K[\mathcal{T}_\infty(V)] = K[\mathcal{T}_\infty^*(V)] \rightarrow 2\text{as}(V) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Prim } 2\text{as}(V) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} B_\infty(V). \]

From the properties of \( e \) recalled above, namely \( e(t^*) = t^* + \omega(t)^* \), we know that \( e \) restricted to \( K[\mathcal{T}_\infty^*(V)] \) is injective. Since \( e \) is surjective by Proposition 2.5 and since \( e(t^*) = 0 \), the restriction of \( e \) to \( K[\mathcal{T}_\infty^*(V)] \) is surjective too. Finally, \( \tilde{\phi} \) is an isomorphism by Proposition 4.4. As a consequence the above composite is an isomorphism and we have \( B_\infty(n) \cong K[\mathcal{T}_n] \otimes K[S_n] \).

Let us denote by \( e' \) the idempotent concerning the unital infinitesimal bialgebra \( T^c(B_\infty(V)) \). From the functoriality of this construction we deduce a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
2\text{as}(V) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & T^c(B_\infty(V)) \\
\downarrow e & & \downarrow e' \\
\text{Prim } 2\text{as}(V) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & B_\infty(V)
\end{array}
\]

We compute:

\[
\tilde{\phi} \circ e((\gamma_{p,q}^*; u_1 \ldots u_p v_1 \ldots v_q)) = \tilde{\phi} \circ e((u_1 \ldots u_p) * (v_1 \ldots v_q)) = e' \circ \phi((u_1 \ldots u_p) * (v_1 \ldots v_q)) = e'(u_1 \ldots u_p * v_1 \ldots v_q) = M_{pq}(u_1 \ldots u_p, v_1 \ldots v_q),
\]

since \( e' \) is the projection on the component \( B_\infty(V) \) by Proposition 2.5 item (d) and by Lemma 6.7. This computation shows that, under the isomorphism \( B_\infty(n) \cong K[\mathcal{T}_n] \otimes K[S_n] \), the operation \( M_{pq} \) corresponds to the element \( \gamma_{pq} \otimes 1_{p+q} \).

Let \( t_i, i = 1, \ldots, p + q \), be \( V \)-decorated trees viewed as elements of \( B_\infty(V) \). We want to identify the element \( M_{pq}(t_1 \ldots t_{p+q}) \in B_\infty(V) \) as a sum of decorated trees. It is sufficient to describe \( M_{pq}(t_1 \ldots t_{p+q})^* \). The image of \( M_{pq}(t_1 \ldots t_{p+q}) \) in \( 2\text{as}(V) \) is \( e(M_{pq}(t_1 \ldots t_{p+q})) \).

On the other hand the image of \( t_i \) is \( e(t_i^*) \) and applying the operation \( M_{pq} \) of \( 2\text{as}(V) \) gives \( M_{pq}(e(t_1^*) \ldots e(t_{p+q}^*)) \). This element is equal to

\[
e(e(t_1^*) \ldots e(t_p^*)) * (e(t_{p+1}^*) \ldots e(t_{p+q}^*))
\]

by Lemma 6.7, whence the equality

\[
e(M_{pq}(t_1, \ldots, t_{p+q})) = e(e(t_1^*) \ldots e(t_p^*)) * (e(t_{p+1}^*) \ldots e(t_{p+q}^*)).
\]

Since both elements \( M_{pq}(t_1, \ldots, t_{p+q}) \) and \( (e(t_1^*) \ldots e(t_p^*)) * (e(t_{p+1}^*) \ldots e(t_{p+q}^*)) \) belong to the \( * \)-component, we get the expected equality.

\[ \square \]

6.8. Example. Let \( u, v, w, x \) be elements in \( V \). Using the formula of Theorem 6.6 we get

\[
M_{11}((|; u), (\vdash; vw)) = (\vdash; uvw) - (\vdash; uvw + uuv),
\]

\[
M_{11}((\vdash; uv), (|; w)) = (\vdash; uvw) - (\vdash; uuv + uvw),
\]
\[ M_{11} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; uv \right), \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; wx \right) \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; uwx \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; (uv + vu)w \right) \\
- \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; uv(wx + xw) \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; (uv + vu)(wx + xw) \right), \]

\[ M_{12} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; uv \right), \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; w \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; x \right) \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; uwx \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} ; uwx + vw \right). \]

6.9. **The operations of \( B_\infty \).** Any planar tree \( t \in \mathcal{T}_n \) determines an \( n \)-ary operation \( M(t) \) in the \( B_\infty \)-operad: \( M(t)(v_1 \ldots v_n) = (t; v_1 \ldots v_n) \). If \( t = \gamma_{pq} \), then we know that \( M(\gamma_{pq}) = M_{pq} \) is a generating operation. If not, then \( M(t) \) is the composite of the generating operations. For instance

\[ M(\begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array}) (uvw) = M_{12}(u, vw + wv) + M_{11}(u, M_{11}(v, w)) \]

\[ = M_{21}(uv + vu, w) + M_{11}(M_{11}(u, v), w). \]

As we see immediately from this example there is no unique way of expressing \( M(t) \) in terms of the \( M_{pq} \)'s because of the relations \( R_{ijk} \). Here is a recursive algorithm to obtain a formula. Let \( t = t^1 \vee \ldots \vee t^r \in \mathcal{T}_n \) be a tree whose root vertex has valence \( r \). The element \( M_{1 \rightarrow 1}((t^1; v_1 \ldots), (t_2; \ldots), \ldots, (t^r; \ldots)) \) is of the form \( (t^1 \vee \ldots \vee t^r; v_1 \ldots) + \) other terms. One can show that all the other terms involve trees whose valence is strictly less than \( r \). So we can compute \( M(t) \) recursively.

6.10. **Example.** the shuffle bialgebra The shuffle bialgebra is a 2-associative bialgebra \( (T^{sh}(V), \shuffle, \cdot, \Delta) \) where \( \shuffle \) is the shuffle product, \( \cdot \) the concatenation product and \( \Delta \) the deconcatenation coproduct. The primitive space is \( V \). Its \( B_\infty \)-structure is trivial (\( M_{pq} = 0 \) except for \( (p, q) = (1, 0) \) and \( (0, 1) \)). So there are natural maps

\[ 2as(V) \rightarrow U2(V) \xrightarrow{\cong} T^{sh}(V). \]

Let us describe explicitly the restriction of the composite \( \theta \) to the multilinear part of degree \( n \) when \( V = K \), that is

\[ \theta_n : K[\mathcal{T}^n_n \cup \mathcal{T}^*_n] \longrightarrow K. \]

Let \( t = t_1 \vee \ldots \vee t_k \) be a planar tree whose root valence is \( k \), and let \( p_i \) be the degree of the tree \( t_i \). Since \( \shuffle \) is the shuffle product and \( \cdot \) the concatenation product it comes

\[ \theta_n(t^1) = \theta_n(t^1_1) \cdots \theta_n(t^1_k) \]

\[ \theta_n(t^r) = \frac{n!}{p_1! \cdots p_k!} \theta_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \theta_{p_k}(t_k) \]

For instance in low dimension \( \theta(t) \) is given by:

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | * |   |   |   | * |   |   |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 |

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot 
\end{array} \]
7. Dipterous algebras

The notion of dipterous algebra is a deformation of the notion of 2-associative algebra. It is, technically, slightly more complicated to handle because of the unit. However it has the advantage of containing the case where $B_\infty$-algebras are replaced by brace algebras (and 2as-algebras by dendriform algebras). The free dipterous algebra is closely related to the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees. Since the proofs of the results in this section (announced in [15]) are similar to the 2-associative case, we omit them.

7.1. Motivation. Let $\mathcal{H} = (T^c(V), *, \Delta)$ be a cofree bialgebra. We define inductively a binary operation

$$\triangleright: T^c(V) \otimes T^c(V) \to T^c(V)$$

by the following formulas

$$\theta \triangleright v := \theta v ,$$
$$\theta \triangleright (\omega v) := (\theta * \omega) v ,$$

for $\omega \in V^{\otimes p}, \theta \in V^{\otimes q}, v \in V$. Observe that $1 \triangleright v = v$, and so $1 \triangleright v = v$. The product $\triangleright$ is extended to $T^c(V) \otimes K 1$ by $\omega \triangleright 1 := 0$, but $1 \triangleright 1$ is not defined.

One can check that the following formula holds

$$(x * y) \triangleright z = x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) \quad (dip)$$

provided that $y$ and $z$ are not both in $K 1 = T^c(V)_0$.

7.2. Dipterous algebra. By definition a dipterous algebra is a vector space $A$ equipped with two binary operations denoted $*$ and $\triangleright$ satisfying the two relations

$$(x * y) * z := x * (y * z) ,$$
$$(x * y) \triangleright z := x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) .$$

So a dipterous algebra is an associative algebra equipped with an extra left action on itself.

A unital dipterous algebra $A$ is a vector space $A = K 1 \oplus \bar{A}$ such that $\bar{A}$ is a dipterous algebra as above, where we have extended the two products as follows:

$$1 * a = a, \quad a * 1 = a, \quad \text{for any } a \in A,$$
$$1 \triangleright a = a, \quad a \triangleright 1 = 0 \quad \text{for any } a \in \bar{A}.$$

Observe that $1 \triangleright 1$ is not defined. We denote by $\text{Dipt}$-$\text{alg}$ the category of unital dipterous algebras.

If $A = K 1 \oplus \bar{A}$ and $B = K 1 \oplus \bar{B}$ are two unital dipterous algebras, then we define a unital dipterous algebra structure on their tensor product $A \otimes B$ as follows:

$$(a \otimes b) * (a' \otimes b') := (a * a') \otimes (b * b'),$$
$$(a \otimes b) \triangleright (a' \otimes b') := (a * a') \otimes (b \triangleright b'), \quad \text{if } b \otimes b' \neq 1 \otimes 1,$$
$$(a \otimes 1) \triangleright (a' \otimes 1) := (a \triangleright a') \otimes 1$$

for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. 
7.3. **Dipterous bialgebra.** By definition a dipterous bialgebra \( \mathcal{H} \) is a unital dipterous algebra \((\mathcal{H}, *, \succ)\) equipped with a counital coassociative cooperation \(\Delta\) which satisfies the following compatibility relation:

\[
\Delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}
\]

is a morphism of unital dipterous algebras.

Connectedness and primitive part are defined as in the classical case, cf. 1.2 and 1.1.

7.4. **Dipterous bialgebra and \(B_\infty\)-algebra.** By the same argument as in Proposition 3.4 we can show that there is a functor \((-)_{B_\infty}: \{\text{Dipt-\text{alg}}\} \to \{\text{B_\infty-\text{alg}}\}\).

For instance, defining the operation \(\prec\) through

\[
x * y = x \prec y + x \succ y,
\]

we get:

\[
M_{11}(u, v) := u \prec v - v \succ u,
M_{12}(u, vw) := u \prec (v \succ w) - v \succ (u \prec w) + (v \prec w) \succ u,
M_{21}(uv, w) := (u \succ v) \prec w - u \succ (v \prec w).
\]

As in the the 2as case one can show that the primitive part of a dipterous bialgebra is a \(B_\infty\)-algebra.

The functor \((-)_{B_\infty}\) has a left adjoint:

\[
UD : \{\text{B_\infty-\text{alg}}\} \to \{\text{Dipt-\text{alg}}\}
\]

and \(UD(R)\) is a quotient of the free unital dipterous algebra \(\text{Dipt}(R)\) over the vector space \(R\).

For any vector space \(V\) there is a unique dipterous homomorphism

\[
\Delta : \text{Dipt}(V) \to \text{Dipt}(V) \otimes \text{Dipt}(V)
\]

which sends 1 to 1 and \(v \in V\) to \(v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v\). It is clearly counital and coassociative, therefore \(\text{Dipt}(V)\) is a dipterous bialgebra. As a consequence, so is \(UD(R)\) for any \(B_\infty\)-algebra \(R\).

The free dipterous algebra \(\text{Dipt}(V)\) admits a description in terms of planar trees similar to the free 2-associative algebra.

7.5. **Theorem.** If \(\mathcal{H}\) is a (classical) bialgebra over the field \(K\), then the following are equivalent:

(a) \(\mathcal{H}\) is a connected dipterous bialgebra,

(b) \(\mathcal{H}\) is isomorphic to \(UD(\text{Prim} \mathcal{H})\) as a dipterous bialgebra,

(c) \(\mathcal{H}\) is cofree among connected coalgebras.

\(\square\)

7.6. **Dendriform and brace algebras.** Let \((A, *, \succ)\) be a dipterous algebra, and let \(\prec\) be the operation defined by the identity \(x * y = x \prec y + x \succ y\). If the operations \(\prec\) and \(\succ\) satisfy the relation

\[
(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z)
\]

then, not only \(M_{21} = 0\) (cf. 7.4), but all the operations \(M_{pq}\) are 0 for \(p \geq 2\). Hence the \(B_\infty\)-algebra associated to the dipterous algebra \(A\) is in fact a brace algebra (cf. 1.7).
A dipterous algebra which satisfies the above condition is a *dendriform algebra*, cf. [12]. Equivalently it can be defined as a vector space $A$ equipped with two operations $\prec$ and $\succ$ satisfying the relations

\[
\begin{align*}
(x \prec y) \prec z &= x \prec (y \ast z), \\
(x \succ y) \prec z &= x \succ (y \ast z), \\
(x \ast y) \succ z &= x \succ (y \succ z),
\end{align*}
\]

where $x \ast y = x \prec y + x \succ y$.

The results of [19] and [20] can be summarized as follows.

**7.7. Theorem.** If $H$ is a dendriform bialgebra over the field $K$, then the following are equivalent:

(a) $H$ is connected,
(b) $H$ is isomorphic to $Ud(\text{Prim } H)$ as a dendriform bialgebra,
(c) $H$ is cofree among connected coalgebras.

Here $Ud : \{\text{Brace–alg}\} \to \{\text{Dend–alg}\}$ is the left adjoint of the restriction of $(-)_{B_{\infty}}$ to dendriform algebras.

**7.8. Comparison of Hopf algebras of trees.** As an associative algebra the free dendriform algebra on one generator $\text{Dend}(K)$ is a tensor algebra on the planar binary trees (cf. [14]). The Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\text{CK}}$ is the symmetric algebra on (non-planar) rooted trees. Forgetting planarity and symmetrizing gives a surjection of Hopf algebras $\text{Dend}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{CK}}$ (cf. for instance [9]).

Since a dendriform algebra is a particular case of dipterous algebra, there is a morphism of dipterous algebras (hence of Hopf algebras):

$$\text{Dipt}(K) \to \text{Dend}(K)$$

Since $\text{Dipt}(K)$ is a tensor algebra over the planar trees, one can describe this map explicitly in terms of trees. □

**8. Conclusion**

We compare several variations of the Cartier-Milnor-Moore theorem.

Summarizing these results, we see that in each case three operads are involved: the first one for the coalgebra, the second one for the algebra, the third one for the primitive elements:

|                  | coalgebra | algebra | primitive |
|------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Hopf-Borel       | *Com*     | *Com*   | *Vect*    |
| Cartier-Milnor-Moore | *Com*     | *As*    | *Lie*     |
| Theorem 2.6      | *As*      | *As*    | *Vect*    |
| Theorem 4.2      | *As*      | *2as*   | $B_{\infty}$ |
| M. Ronco         | *As*      | *Dend*  | *brace*   |
| Theorem 7.5      | *As*      | *Dipt*  | $B_{\infty}$ |
For each one of these triples of operads there is a Cartier-Milnor-Moore type theorem and a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type theorem. They suggest the existence of a general result that has to be formulated in terms of operads and cooperads, or, better, in terms of props since the compatibility relation between operations and cooperations is crucial (cf. [13]). The case \((A_s, 2a_s, B_\infty)\) handled in this paper could serve as a toy-model for this generalization.
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