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Abstract — Introduction: Following the outbreak and increasing numbers of coronavirus (COVID-19) cases in Nigeria, healthcare professionals are at the forefront in dealing with the pandemic. Radiographers are among the first line care givers. The study is aim to assess the level of preparedness of the Radiography sector in Nigeria.

Methods: A prospective cross-sectional survey was conducted using self-completion questionnaire. The questionnaire was generated on the 26th of March 2020, using online Google forms consisting of closed and open ended questions. Paired t-test statistic was used to compare the difference between "Before and After" changes in departmental protocols, using the SPSS statistical software, version 20. Statistically significant level was set at 0.05.

Results: A total of 107 radiographers from across the country responded to the questionnaire. Of these, 78(72%) were male and 27.1% female, giving a male to female ratio of 3:1. Following the outbreak of COVID-19, 86% of the respondents report that there have been changes in the departmental procedures. Sixty-seven percent said “No” to the availability of an appointment system, guidelines to reduce human to human contact(57%) and auditing for suspected COVID-19 cases (58.9%). Only 16(15%) of the respondents had received emergency training towards the fight against COVID-19. Of these, 6(37.5%) were trained in patient care, only 3(6.3%) on emergency response while 9 (56.3%) received training in limiting human to human transmission.

Conclusion: Findings in this study, suggest inadequate preparedness, with evidence of inadequate provision of equipment (Mobile X-ray and Ultrasound machines) for the diagnosis and management of cases in departments and isolation centers. In addition, emergency training on COVID-19 issues is low. The foregoing calls for adoption of urgent and immediate remedial measures.

Implications for practice: This study identify areas of practice that may detract from achieving optimum service delivery and safety during the pandemic.

Index Terms — Novel coronavirus, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Acute respiratory infection, Radiography.

I. INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus outbreak, also known as COVID-19, was first reported in December 2019 in the city of Wuhan, Hubei province, located in the central part of China. Wuhan has a population of approximately 11.9 million people [1]-[3]. The COVID-19 is a rare respiratory disease which can be deadly due to massive alveolar damage and progressive respiratory failure [4]-[6]. It was thus declared a global pandemic by the World health organization (WHO). As at April 30, 2020, more than 3,209,984 confirmed cases, 985,957 recovered cases and 228,057 deaths had been reported worldwide [7], while in Nigeria, a total of 1,728 confirmed cases, 307 recovered cases and 51 deaths were reported at the time of carrying out this study [8].

The novel coronavirus was found to be caused by SARS-CoV-2 [3] and has been shown to have phylogenetic similarities as well as pattern of manifestation like that of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) caused by SARS-CoV-1 [9]. In an earlier research conducted in China, in January 2020 by Bastola et al., [10], it was reported that among 41 patients that were confirmed positive to the COVID-19, half of them had underlying diseases such diabetes (20%), cardiovascular disease (15%), and hypertension (15%). Their symptoms were mainly fever (98%), cough (76%), and fatigue (44%). Other severe complications included respiratory distress syndrome (29%), RNAemia (15%), acute cardiac injury (12%), and other secondary infections. Of the total infected patients, 32% were admitted to an Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and the death rate was 15% [10].

Within this period, it was rapidly spread to other regions of china and neighboring countries such as Singapore, Thailand, South Korea, Japan, and Malaysia [11]. The western countries were not left out as it spread to the United State of America, United Kingdom, Spain, and Italy. Currently 220 countries worldwide have been affected as at the time of undertaking this study. Africa’s first confirmed case of COVID-19 was reported in Egypt [12], [13], while Nigeria confirmed her index case on the 27th of February 2020 [14], [15].

Due to its high transmissivity and the current lack of a treatment protocol, the pandemic has altered the dynamics of human living. As of May 20th, 2020; more than 4,897,567 confirmed cases, 1,688,630 recovered cases and 323,286 deaths reported worldwide [7], while in Nigeria, a total of 6401 confirmed cases, 1734 recovered cases and 192 deaths was reported at the time of carrying out this research study [8].

With increasing numbers of coronavirus (COVID-19) cases in Nigeria, it was necessary to examine the degree of preparedness of the different sectors of her healthcare system. The Radiography or Medical Imaging Science sector, one of the professions at the forefront in dealing with the pandemic, is the focus of this study. Radiographers are the first line care givers that facilitate the use of diagnostic Chest X-ray images, Computed Tomography (CT) scanograms and Ultrasound...
scans for the diagnosis of, and guidance for the management of the patients’ conditions.

Radiological imaging in the diagnosis of COVID-19 involves the acquisition of a Posterior-anterior chest radiograph (PA-CXR). The extent of the COVID-19 infection on CXR is assessed in 3 zones: upper (above the carina), middle (upper half of the craniocaudal distance of the remaining lung), and lower (lower half of the craniocaudal distance of the remaining lung) lung zones. Also, the parenchymal abnormality on CXR is graded on a 3-point scale: 1, normal attenuation; 2, ground-glass attenuation; and 3, consolidation. The ground-glass opacity is an area of hazy increased lung opacity, within which margins of pulmonary vessels may be indistinct [16]. Consolidation appears as a homogeneous increase in pulmonary parenchymal attenuation that obscures the margins of vessels and airway walls [16]. Furthermore, using CT images, the radiological findings summarized by Song et al., [17] and Michael et al.,[18] were ground-glass opacity (GGO; 77%) followed by GGO with reticular and/or interlobular septal thickening (75%) [17], [18]. As the principal workforce in the generation of the diagnostic images, radiographers are at high risk of acquiring and transmitting the infection due to their close contact with patients, and patient’s contact with imaging equipment and accessories. As a result of this, it becomes necessary to evaluate what changes have taken place as a measure of how prepared radiographers and the Radiography sector is, in the face of the COVID-19 outbreak in Nigeria.

II. METHODS

A prospective, cross-sectional survey was conducted using a self-completion questionnaires. The questionnaire was generated on the 26th of March 2020, using online Google forms consisting of closed and open ended questions. The link to the questionnaire was distributed among radiographers across public and private health sectors in Nigeria, via WhatsApp messenger using radiographer contacts and radiographers chats groups. The link was further re-shared among radiographer colleagues who received the link to the questionnaire and only radiographers who wish to participate in the research study completed and submitted the questionnaire successfully. The questionnaire was designed to evaluate socio-demographics, pre and within-covid-19 departmental protocols and guidelines, availability and use of personal protective equipment, protection of radiographic equipment, emergency training and isolation centers toward COVID-19 Outlook. After a period of one month, the data from the returned questionnaires was analyzed on the 30th of April 2020. The results were presented in simple ratios or proportions, and deductions made there from. Paired t-test was used to compare the difference between "Before and After" changes in departmental protocol, using SPSS version 20. Statistical significant level was set at P-value of < 0.05.

A. Ethical approval

Ethical approval was applied for and obtained from Research Ethics Committee of the Radiography Department, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto.

III. RESULT

Of the 107 radiographers that responded, 78(72.9%) were males and 27.1% were females, giving a male to female ratio of 3:1.

A. Socio-demographics

The educational qualification of the respondents was distributed as follows; 88(82.2%) had a B.Sc or B. Rad degrees, 15(14%) were M.Sc degree holders, 3(2.8%) had PhD degrees and 1(1%) held Diploma in Radiography. The respondents had practiced as radiographers for 1-5 years (62.1%), 6-10 years (27.6%) and 11-20 years (10.3%), respectively. Among the respondents, 91% were Clinical Radiographers, 7.7% were Academic radiographers and 1.3% plied their trade in Administrative positions in the health facilities. At least 44 (40.2%) of the radiographers practiced in Tertiary or teaching hospitals, while 43(41%) were drawn from private hospitals as shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Distribution of respondent place of work.](image)

B. Departmental protocols and guidelines

Since the outbreak of COVID-19, 86% of the respondents agreed that there have been changes in the departmental procedures, However, majority said “No” to the availability of appointment system (67.3%), guidelines to reduce human to human contact (57%), and auditing for suspected COVID-19 cases (58.9%) as shown on Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. Response to departmental protocols and guidelines.](image)

C. Availability and use of personal protective equipment (PPE)

The participants respond to questions on the operational protocol BEFORE the outbreak of COVID-19 in their
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departments. It was observed that 3.7% of the participants did not cover their ultrasound probe with disposable cellophane or protective gloves, as shown on Fig. 3.

Fig. 4 shows the participant response to questions on their operational protocols in their departments AFTER the outbreak of COVID-19. There are changes arising from the onset of COVIS-19, with increased use of face mask from 24.3% before to 89.7%. The number of respondents who used hand gloves increased following the outbreak of COVID-19, from 75.7% to 93.5%, as shown in Fig. 4. The difference is statistically significant (p < 0.01).

Responses to the availability and use of personal protective equipment (PPE) by radiographers showed that 60(56.1%) were not conversant with the right sequence for taking off PPE as shown in Fig. 5. Up to 75% of participants acknowledge the availability of hand sanitizers in imaging rooms, while 62.6% agree there is availability of running water in exposure rooms. Nearly 60% of respondents appear not to have protective face masks and other protective clothing, while a little over 50% use face masks and other protective clothing provided (Fig. 5).

Participants acknowledged the availability of disinfectants at their disposal (79.4%). Over 60% confirm there were hand sanitizers at the entrances to the x-ray rooms. Materials like information leaflets, Help lines, Infectious (yellow) bins as well as ventilators were in very short supply (Fig. 6).

In Fig. 7, more than 60% of the participants confirmed that they did not practice deep cleaning of units, nor did they allow for a dry time of 20-30 minutes before letting in patients. Results also show that most respondents (85.1%) were not provided with emergency x-ray or ultrasound imaging units designated for COVID-19 cases.
Fig. 5. Response to the availability and use of personal protective equipment (PPE) by radiographers.

- Provision of face mask and protective clothing's by department: Yes - 62 (58%), No - 45 (42.1%)
- Use of face masks and protective clothing's provided for each patient: Yes - 56 (52.3%), No - 51 (47.7%)
- Use a fresh gown and new hand gloves for each new patient: Yes - 52 (48.6%), No - 55 (51.4%)
- Provision of hand sanitizer in each of the radiography units entrance: Yes - 80 (74.8%), No - 27 (25.2%)
- Availability of running water over a wash hand basin in your exposure rooms: Yes - 67 (62.6%), No - 40 (37.4%)
- Do you know the right sequence in taking off PPE: Yes - 47 (44%), No - 60 (56.1%)

Fig. 6. Response to the availability of materials at radiographers disposal in the department after the outbreak of COVID-19.

- Information leaflets: Available for each patient - 85 (79.4%), Not available - 11 (10.3%)
- Helplines: Available for each patient - 70 (65.4%), Not available - 22 (20.6%)
- Infectious (yellow) bins: Available for each patient - 66 (61.7%), Not available - 24 (22.4%)
- Ventilators: Available for each patient - 73 (68.2%), Not available - 34 (31.8%)
- Hand sanitizes at entrance to each...: Available for each patient - 85 (79.4%), Not available - 10 (9.3%)
- Running water over a wash hand basin...: Available for each patient - 66 (61.7%), Not available - 26 (24.3%)
- Emergency unit with mobile x-ray or...: Available for each patient - 76 (71%), Not available - 16 (15%)
- Disinfectants: Available for each patient - 73 (68.2%), Not available - 34 (31.8%)
- Covering of X-ray equipment: Available for each patient - 44 (40.2%), Not available - 26 (24.3%)
- Disposable cellophane wrapper: Available for each patient - 61 (57%), Not available - 46 (43%)
- Performing Deep cleaning of units and allowed for 20 - 30 min to dry before attending to a...: Available for each patient - 91 (85.1%), Not available - 16 (15%)
- Assisted by a radiographer colleague in handling the equipment and cassettes: Available for each patient - 61 (57%), Not available - 46 (43%)
- Clearning and disinfecting Of X-ray Accessories: Available for each patient - 64 (59.8%), Not available - 43 (40.2%)
- Covering the X-ray cassette and couch with disposable cellophane for each patient: Available for each patient - 76 (71%), Not available - 31 (29%)

Fig. 7. Response to protection of radiographic equipment during COVID-19 outbreak.
D. Radiographic equipment

Response to protection of radiographic equipment during COVID-19 outbreak before and after attending to patients in the departments.

Response to cleaning of accessories on USS, CT, and MRI machines during COVID-19 outbreak in the departments.

E. Emergency training

Only 15% of respondents had undergone emergency training on issues pertaining to COVID-19 (Fig. 9 a, b). Of these, 9 were trained in limiting human to human transmission, while 6 were trained on patient care. While over 40% of respondents were aware of time restrictions with a single patient in the imaging room (Figure 10 a), nearly a quarter (21%) still spent >15 minutes with patients in X-ray rooms (Fig. 10 b). Less than 10% of respondents spent over 15 minutes with patients in Ultrasound rooms, while 38.3% (40) spent >15 minutes with patients in CT rooms (Fig. 10 c and d).

F. Isolation centers

Findings from the current study reveal that while a third of participants can point to a COVID-19 isolation Teams for their hospitals, over 50% said there were no isolation centres in their facilities. While at least 41% of the participants claim...
there were isolation centres in their hospitals, there is evidence to show that no provision was made for imaging equipment in such centres (82.2%) and there were no designated equipment anywhere, for imaging confirmed COVID-19 patients (Fig. 11). In addition, over 60% of respondents were not in the know of the Radiographer attached to the COVID-19 Team (Fig. 12).

Fig. 11. Response to availability of isolation centers and equipment.

|                         | Yes | No  | May be |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|--------|
| Is there a COVID-19 Team for an isolation centre in your hospital | 26(24.3%) | 33(30.8%) | 48(44.9%) |
| Availiability of x-ray or ultrasound machine in the isolation center | 12(11.2%) | 7(6.5%) | 88(82.2%) |
| Availiability of isolation center within your hospital | 10(9.4%) | 53(45%) | 44(41.1%) |
| Availiability of mobile X-ray machine specifically for confirmed case of COVID-19 | 0 | 107(100%) | 0 |

Fig. 12. Response to radiographers attached to the COVID-19 team for an isolation centre.

| Position            | Count | Percentage |
|---------------------|-------|------------|
| No idea             | 66(61.7%) |
| Chief Radiographers | 10(9.4%) |
| Principal Radiographers | 8(7.5%) |
| Senior Radiographers | 20(18.7%) |
| Corp member Radiographer | 2(1.9%) |
| Intern Radiographer | 1(0.9%) |

Information deducted from the current study shows that there have been changes in Departmental protocols or procedures following the onset of COVID-19 (Figure 3&4), with an increase in the use of face masks from 24.3% before to 89.7%( p < 0.01), although there is a little difference in (p < 0.01) the use of gloves among radiographers before and after the COVID-19 outbreak (75.7% and 93.5% respectively). This may be an indication of a response to the pandemic, rather than a proactive preparation for its advent. The absence of an appointment system and guidelines for prevention of person to person transmission suggests as much.

A little over half of the participants in the study 60 (56.1%) of the respondents knew the right sequence for taking off PPEs. The WHO guidelines for reducing person to person infection and maintenance of personal hygiene [19] was largely followed in many facilities as indicated by over 60% of the participants (N=67 or 62.6%). These alluded to having running water over a wash hand basin in the exposure rooms. Interestingly, hand washing after each examination increased with the advent of the COVID-19.

The use of fresh changing gowns and new hand gloves per patient was not practiced by the entire respondents. Only 48.6% of participants agreed undertook this practice as against 51.4% who did not. This practice may be hindered by availability of sufficient numbers of such gowns and hand gloves to necessitate regular changes for every patient. It is recommended that supplies of these materials are increased in this pandemic season.

Further observation of the responses show that the availability of some materials at radiographers’ disposal in the department improved after the outbreak of COVID-19. Fig. 6, shows that 85(79.4%) were provided with disinfectants, 70(65.4%) were provided with hand sanitizers at the entrances to the radiography rooms. However, information leaflets, yellow bins, ventilators, emergency equipment, among others, were in short supply. These measures fall far short of expectations for handling a pandemic of this magnitude.

Equipment were not well protected (Figures 7 & 8). The consequences of this may be an increase in the vehicles for transmission of the disease. This can be avoided by simply wiping off equipment after each patient (although this may have time implications) or covering same with disposable covers during examinations.

One very crucial aspect of preparedness is training of personnel. Considering the novelty of the n-Cov 19 virus, investment in personnel training is crucial to successfully combating the disease. Radiographers in this study were largely unfamiliar with requirements for fighting the pandemic, except in patient care, person to person transmission and emergency response (Fig. 9). Training and re-training should be stepped up using available resources from WHO, NCDC through webinars and where possible departmental seminars.

Operator awareness of duration with a single patient in ray room is rather poor with up to a fifth of participants spending above 15 minutes in the x-ray rooms. About a third of respondents spent over 15 minutes in CT and MRI rooms (Fig. 10). Increased training on emergency techniques would reverse this.

Perhaps the result indicated in Fig. 11 best describes the state of preparedness of the Healthcare systems in review. Only a third of respondents confirmed that there were COVID-19 teams set up in their facilities. Amazingly, just over 40% claim they had isolation centers in their hospitals, with more than 80% confirming the non-availability of any x-ray or ultrasound equipment in existing isolation centers. Where available, such Isolation centers were not equipped with dedicated x-ray equipment for confirmed COVID-19 patients. In addition, most of the respondents (over 60%) had no idea if there was a Radiographer on the COVID-19 teams in their centers.

The foregoing reflects a generally alarming situation especially when one considers the lack of sufficient testing centers. While we acknowledge the high cost of setting up X-ray diagnostic facilities, the possibility of using it as a first line of management where the laboratory testing is unavailable makes it a necessary investment. This is made
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difficult by the apparent poor preparedness of medical imaging facilities, and perhaps, personnel, to receive COVID-19 cases.

V. CONCLUSION

This study has highlighted the level of preparedness and gaps in the radiography sector of the healthcare system in Nigeria. The radiography departments have made some effort at improving working procedures for radiographers after the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, a lot of areas require urgent attention. These include development of appointment systems, provision of guidelines to reduce human to human transmission, auditing for suspected COVID-19 cases, provision of dedicated imaging equipment for suspected and confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the departments and also in isolation centers, and the immediate setting of isolation centers where there are none. Also, emergency training should be organized for all radiographers, some of whom should be made part of the COVID-19 team in all isolation centers.
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LIMITATION

Apart from the widely recognized limitation of questionnaire data [20], the respondent may be bias in their opinion and may have not responded sincerely to the questions. Also, there is a recognized variation that exist between the different radiography departments within the country.
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