Racial Disparities in Donor Human Milk Feedings: A Study Using Electronic Medical Records
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Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this study was to evaluate differences in the use of pasteurized donor human milk (PDHM) by maternal race–ethnicity during postpartum hospitalization using electronic medical records (EMRs).

Materials and Methods: A retrospective cohort study of all live-born infants at our academic research institution from July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2016, was conducted. EMR data were used to determine whether each infant received mother’s own milk (MOM), PDHM, or formula. These data were stratified based on whether the infant received treatment in the Neonatal Critical Care Center. Generalized estimating equation models were used to calculate the odds of receiving PDHM by maternal race–ethnicity, adjusting for gestational age, birth weight, insurance, preferred language, nulliparity, and mode of delivery.

Results: Infant feeding data were available for 7097 infants, of whom 49% were fed only MOM during their postpartum hospitalization. Among the 15.9% of infants admitted to neonatal critical care, infants of non-Hispanic Black (odds ratio [OR] 0.47, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.31–0.72), Hispanic (OR 0.65, 95% CI 0.36–1.019), and Other (OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.32–1.26) mothers had lower rates of PDHM feedings than infants of non-Hispanic White mothers in the adjusted models. Among well infants, the use of PDHM was lower among non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic mothers (OR 0.25, 95% CI 0.18–0.36, and OR 0.38, 95% CI 0.26–0.56) compared with non-Hispanic White mothers.

Conclusions: Inequities in exclusive human milk feeding and use of PDHM by maternal race–ethnicity were identified. Antiracist interventions are needed to promote equitable access to skilled lactation support and counseling for PDHM use.
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Introduction
Breastfeeding is vital to maternal and infant health. The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), 2,3 Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine (ABM), 4 and Association of Women’s Health, Obstetric, and Neonatal Nurses (AWHONN) 5 recommend exclusive breastfeeding during the first 6 months of life. While most women in the United States initiate breastfeeding immediately postpartum, there are significant racial disparities in both breastfeeding initiation and other longer-term breastfeeding outcomes.6,7

A critical understanding of structural racism and its effects on perinatal health is essential to making sense of racial disparities in infant feeding practices.3–11 While intersecting social determinants of health that may circumscribe population-based group differences in infant feeding practices, 12 racialized breastfeeding disparities in the United States are fundamentally tied to structural racism.6,13,14

Racism is experienced during postpartum hospitalization as discrimination, stigmatization, being stereotyped, criminalization, and violence, 15–23 along with exposure to clinical practices and interactions that violate patient safety, autonomy, and dignity.10 Racism is often at the root of negative attitudes about breastfeeding or a preference for formula feeding in communities of color.24

For example, the historical context of chattel slavery in the United States is tied up with negative associations of breastfeeding with enslavement, coerced wet-nursing, and obstetric violence in some Black communities.25,26 Black feminist scholars, in particular, have described in their research the multitude of ways that historical, structural, institutional, and internalized racism is related to racialized breastfeeding disparities; they also lift up examples of Black women, their families, and communities overcoming racism and related barriers to breastfeeding.6,14,25,27–30

Racial disparities in lactation care and human milk feeding practices are especially pronounced in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs).31–36 For example, Black infants are twice as likely to be born prematurely (< 37 weeks’ gestation) and to require neonatal intensive care than non-Hispanic White infants.37 Exclusive human milk feedings for medically fragile preterm infants are critical for preventing necrotizing enterocolitis and related mortality, which is exacerbated by preterm formula feeding.38

However, premature birth is often associated with medical interventions that disrupt lactation and lead to a cascade of complex feeding challenges.39–41 When maternal milk is not available or if supplementation is required, pasteurized donor human milk (PDHM) is widely considered a standard of care.2,3,38,42 Use of PDHM as part of an integrated approach to lactation care helps to maintain exclusive human milk feeding in the immediate postnatal period and supports continued breastfeeding once supplementation is no longer needed.43–45

Optimal lactation outcomes in the NICU are best supported when postpartum patients receive timely skilled lactation support, mental health care, and psychosocial support, which facilitate provisioning of expressed milk and breastfeeding.46,47 Yet, numerous studies have demonstrated that Black mothers receive poorer quality lactation support during postpartum hospitalization and that Black infants in the NICU are less likely to be breastfed or receive PDHM as recommended.35,48–52

Increasingly, PDHM is offered as a supplement to term infants, often as a strategy to protect and promote exclusive human milk feeding during postpartum hospitalization.53–56 PDHM is compositionally more similar to raw maternal milk than formula and provides infants with essential human milk bioactives that support immune function, which are not available in any commercially available infant formula.57

Although there is limited evidence for feeding term infants with PDHM, use of PDHM for term infants is aligned with the recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO), 58 ABM, 59 AWHONN, 5 and AAP. 60 Nonetheless, even in hospitals with policies that make it possible for well newborns to receive PDHM, there have been reports of racial and ethnic disparities in its use.61,62

Addressing racialized disparities in lactation and infant feeding support is critically important to addressing the Black maternal and infant health crisis in the United States. 6 The critical importance of human milk in preventing adverse newborn outcomes in the NICU, 66,67 and the importance of exclusive human milk feeding in the first 6 months of life, the objective of our study was to evaluate the use of PDHM at our institution. Our study examines whether differences...
in use of PDHM for infant feeding are stratified by race and ethnicity through an analysis of hospital electronic medical records (EMRs).

Patients and Methods
The Biomedical Institutional Review Board of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill granted ethics approval for the study (no. 16-0980). A retrospective cohort study of all live-born infants at North Carolina Women’s Hospital (NCWH) from July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2016, was conducted by examining patient data within the EMRs. NCWH is a large, Level IV, regional referral university-affiliated hospital that provides specialty prenatal, postpartum, and neonatal care, with 14 maternal–fetal medicine specialists and 11 neonatologists.

The Neonatal Critical Care Center (NCCC) admits more than 800 infants a year from 50 counties across the state. NCWH is a Baby-Friendly designated hospital. This analysis was part of a larger mixed methods study to explore the health care needs and experiences of postpartum patients with infants in the NCCC.40,41 Postpartum patients and their infants were identified through the hospital’s perinatal database, which is maintained by trained nurse abstractors who review medical records for all births at the facility. Clinical information for postpartum patients was obtained from the perinatal database. The Carolina Data Warehouse for Health was used to access discrete data from the Epic EMR, including infant admission to intensive care, maternal race and ethnicity, primary language, and payer status.

Race and ethnicity as recorded in the EMR during patient registration were combined into a race–ethnicity variable. Racial categories included American Indian, Asian/Pacific Islander, Black, White, and Other. Ethnicity categories were Hispanic and non-Hispanic.

The category “Other” initially included records of patients whose race or ethnicity was reported as other, refused, or unknown. For the analysis, when a patient’s race was reported as American Indian, they were grouped with Other due to stipulations by data warehouse administrators that reporting cell sizes of <10 were not permitted. The non-Hispanic White race–ethnicity category was selected as the referent during analyses due to the larger sample size that permitted more power to detect differences in use of PDHM by race–ethnicity.

All live-born infants who initiated feeding (n = 7097) were included. Flowsheets from the EMR were used to create infant feeding in the hospital category variables (Table 1). Infants were assigned to the exclusive mother’s own milk group (“Exclusive MOM”) if the EMR indicated that the infant was exclusively breastfed, fed exclusively with expressed MOM, or some combination. Infants who were breastfed and received any PDHM supplementation were assigned to the “Any PDHM and no formula” group.

Infants who were not only breastfed but also received supplementation with a combination of PDHM and formula were assigned to the “Any formula and any PDHM” group. Infants who were fed a combination of MOM and formula, but did not receive PDHM, were assigned to the “MOM and formula” group. Finally, infants who were only fed with formula were assigned to the “Exclusive formula” group.

For the analyses, all models were stratified by whether the infant was admitted to the NCCC (yes or no). Stratifying facilitated analysis of the relationship between race–ethnicity and PDHM feedings in different postnatal care settings, which utilize different protocols, priorities, and practices regarding PDHM access and use (S. Meier, personal communication). Postpartum patients whose infants were admitted to the postpartum floor for palliative care and those whose infants died before discharge were excluded from analyses.

Pearson chi-square tests were run to assess differences in sociodemographic characteristics, perinatal outcomes, and infant feeding practices by maternal race–ethnicity. The analysis was stratified by whether the infant was admitted to the NCCC, as defined above. Among infants who were not exclusively fed MOM, a logistic regression was used to estimate the relationship between maternal race–ethnicity and PDHM use during the postpartum stay, adjusting for gestational age at delivery, birth weight, insurance, preferred language, nulliparity, and mode of delivery.

For these analyses, generalized estimating equations (GEEs) were used to account for correlated observations among siblings born during the study period.

Results
During the study period, 6765 patients birthed a total of 7097 infants. There were 6458 patients who had one pregnancy with a singleton birth, 115 who had two pregnancies with singleton births, 178 who had one pregnancy resulting in twins, 11 who had a single pregnancy resulting in triplets, and 3 who had two pregnancies—one resulting in a singleton and one in a set of twins.
Most births were vaginal deliveries (70.7%). Cesar-
ean deliveries were highest among non-Hispanic
Black patients and lowest among Hispanic patients
(Table 1). Nearly 16% of infants had an NCCC stay,
and the percent differed significantly by race–ethnicity,
with the highest percent (23%) among infants of
non-Hispanic Black mothers.

Nearly 92% of infants received any human milk and
49% received MOM exclusively. The percentage of in-
fants fed with MOM varied widely by race–ethnicity
from 34% to 61% (Table 1). Infants whose maternal
race–ethnicity was non-Hispanic Black, Asian/Pacific
Islander, Hispanic, or Other had lower rates of exclu-
sive MOM feeding, lower PDHM supplementation,
and higher formula feeding than infants whose mater-
nal race–ethnicity was non-Hispanic White.

Exclusive formula feeding rates during postpartum
hospitalization were significantly lower than all other
feeding modes. However, infants whose maternal race–
ethnicity was non-Hispanic Black were more likely to
be given only formula (18.2%) than infants whose mater-
nal race was reported as any other category.

Table 2 shows the distribution of all covariates by in-
fant feeding category. There were statistically signifi-
cant differences for all variables by feeding category,
including NCCC admissions. NCCC stay was less prev-
alent among infants who fed exclusively with MOM (3%)
compared with infants in other feeding categories.
Infants fed MOM were more likely to have been born vaginally to mothers with private insurance, who were married or partnered, and who reported English as their preferred language.

When stratified by NCCC admission, as expected, well infants who received standard care were more commonly fed exclusively with MOM, and among infants in the NCCC, feeding with any PDHM was more common (Table 3). The distribution of any PDHM feeding also varied by the length of NCCC stay. Among infants not admitted to the NCCC, use of any PDHM was highest among infants whose maternal race–ethnicity was non-Hispanic White or Asian/Pacific Islander and lowest among infants whose maternal race–ethnicity was non-Hispanic Black or Hispanic.

There was less variation in PDHM use by maternal race–ethnicity among infants admitted to the NCCC. Infants of non-Hispanic White and Asian/Pacific Islander patients had the highest prevalence of any PDHM feedings (65% and 64%, respectively) compared with 52% and 40%, respectively, among infants of non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic patients.

In multivariable GEE models, these associations persisted. After adjusting for multiple variables, lower rates of any DHM feeding were found among infants in the NCCC whose maternal race–ethnicity was non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, or Other; however, these estimates were only statistically significant for infants of non-Hispanic Black mothers (Table 3). These associations were unchanged when patients with a...
Among infants who were admitted to the NCCC and not fed exclusively with MOM, the use of PDHM varied by race–ethnicity. Lower rates of multivariable-adjusted PDHM use were found among infants whose maternal race–ethnicity was non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander compared with infants whose maternal race–ethnicity was non-Hispanic White, and all these estimates were statistically significant.

Among infants admitted to the NCCC, those covered by public insurance were less likely to receive any PDHM (odds ratio [OR] 0.37, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.26–0.53) compared with those with private insurance. Similarly, among well infants, those covered by public insurance were less likely to receive any PDHM (OR 0.32, 95% CI 0.25–0.41) compared with those privately insured.

### Discussion
This retrospective analysis of EMR data revealed disparities in use of PDHM by race–ethnicity among well infants in the postnatal unit and among infants admitted to the NCCC. In addition, having public insurance was associated with reduced use of PDHM, even after adjusting for gestational age, primary language, insurance at delivery, mode of delivery, nulliparity, and birth weight. These differences by race–ethnicity were not fully explained by clinical factors, language preference, or insurance type and persisted when the sample was restricted to postpartum patients without a documented preference of combining breastfeeding and formula feeding.

Study findings are consistent with others who have identified racial disparities in lactation and human milk feeding outcomes.31–35,61 A comparative, prospective observational study of 18,616 very low-birth-weight infants admitted to one of 134 NICUs in California revealed differences in feeding practices when outcomes were stratified by race and ethnicity.32 The authors attributed the differences to poorer quality of care provided to racial and ethnic minority populations.

In another study, family advocates and clinicians described patient neglect, stigmatization, and systemic barriers as factors that undermined quality, equitable, and respectful interactions with clinicians and families in the NICU.12

Table 3. Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals for Any Donor Human Milk Among Infants not Exclusively Breastfed While at NCWH Hospital, Stratified by Admission to Neonatal Critical Care (n = 7097)

| Exclusive MOM | Any PDHM and no formula | Any formula and any PDHM | MOM and formula | Exclusive formula | Among not EBF, any PDHM | Unadj OR (95% CI) | Adj a OR (95% CI) |
|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Not admitted to the NCCC | | | | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic White | 2644 | 70.0 | 9.2 | 1.3 | 13.1 | 6.4 | 35.1 | 1.0 (ref) | 1.0 (ref) |
| Non-Hispanic Black | 962 | 43.1 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 32.3 | 19.0 | 9.7 | 0.20 (0.14–0.27) | 0.25 (0.18–0.36) |
| Hispanic | 298 | 55.0 | 10.1 | 1.7 | 30.5 | 2.7 | 26.1 | 0.65 (0.43–0.99) | 0.53 (0.31–0.91) |
| Non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander | 1601 | 41.8 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 49.2 | 4.2 | 8.3 | 0.17 (0.13–0.22) | 0.38 (0.26–0.56) |
| Other | 465 | 56.3 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 28.6 | 6.5 | 19.7 | 0.45 (0.31–0.67) | 0.60 (0.39–0.91) |
| Total | 5970 | 33.60 | 397 | 87 | 1668 | 458 | 484 | | |

Admitted to the NCCC | | | | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic White | 531 | 13.2 | 29.2 | 26.9 | 20.2 | 10.6 | 64.6 | 1.0 (ref) | 1.0 (ref) |
| Non-Hispanic Black | 282 | 3.2 | 19.9 | 30.1 | 31.6 | 15.3 | 51.7 | 0.58 (0.42–0.81) | 0.47 (0.31–0.72) |
| Non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander | 18 | 22.2 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 0.98 (0.31–3.12) | 1.04 (0.24–4.62) |
| Hispanic | 192 | 5.2 | 15.6 | 22.4 | 49.0 | 7.8 | 40.1 | 0.37 (0.25–0.54) | 0.65 (0.36–1.19) |
| Other | 104 | 21.2 | 23.1 | 19.2 | 29.8 | 6.7 | 53.7 | 0.63 (0.38–1.07) | 0.63 (0.32–1.26) |
| Total | 1127 | 115 | 268 | 297 | 326 | 121 | 565 | | |

Source: the UNC Perinatal Database and the Carolina Data Warehouse for Health from July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2016.

*Adjusted for gestational age, primary language, insurance at delivery, mode of delivery, nulliparity, and birth weight.

CI, confidence interval; EBF, exclusively breastfed; OR, odds ratio.
practices over the course of hospitalization and are associated with infant health outcomes both in the NICU and postdischarge.

Decisions about the use of PDHM in NICUs across the United States are commonly driven by physicians’ care plans and institutional culture. At the study institution, as per the infant feeding protocol, all infants at <32 weeks adjusted gestational age in the NCCC are prioritized for an exclusive human milk diet, through a combination of MOM and PDHM, when it is available (S. Meier, NCCC, personal communication).

Feeding protocols such as this one may buffer against individual provider biases that hinder equitable access to PDHM. For example, they may minimize the influence of health care providers’ assumptions about PDHM acceptability among culturally and racially diverse populations. They may also mitigate the negative consequences of stigma and discrimination, which inhibit effective communication for shared clinical decision-making.

However, starting at 32 weeks adjusted gestational age, the site protocol is to gradually decrease supplementation with PDHM and as MOM feeding increases. The care priority is to transition infants to exclusive breastfeeding or feeding with expressed MOM before discharge. If MOM is insufficient or not available during this inpatient care transition, formula rather than PDHM is often used.

A transition to exclusive MOM by the time of discharge for NICU-admitted infants involves a suite of coordinated care, including skilled lactation support, frequent skin-to-skin contact, milk expression when breastfeeding is hindered, and mental health and psychosocial support. It also is enhanced with culturally responsive education about the importance of exclusive human milk feeding in the first 6 months of an infant’s life, information about the uses and safety of PDHM, risks of formula feeding, and respectful shared decision-making between the infant’s caregivers and health care team.

However, systemic racism and related structural barriers to quality perinatal care often constrain families’ equitable participation in shared decision-making with members of the NICU care team. For example, employment obligations, lack of paid parental leave, and geographic distance are common barriers that may hinder parents’ ability to be at their infant’s bedside in the NICU, express milk and deliver it to their infant, or get access to early and continued lactation support during their infant’s NICU stay.

Patient and family-centered decision-making relies on effective communication, which may be hampered by language, educational attainment, and cultural differences, as well as stigma and discrimination associated with racism. In our study, after adjustment for insurance coverage, birth weight, gestational age at birth, mode of delivery, and language preference, racial–ethnic disparities persisted, suggesting that racial discrimination within postpartum care is a significant barrier to equitable lactation and infant feeding outcomes, particularly among non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic women.

Policies and protocols to expand PDHM supplementation to infants in postnatal units may be similarly ineffective in closing gaps in its access and utilization due to structural racism, institutional racism, and individual health care provider racial biases. Although well infants at NCWH may receive supplementation with PDHM when it is available, its use may depend on prenatal communication of this health care service, whether a health care provider offers it, or if a parent requests PDHM.

Implicit biases may be more pronounced in clinical scenarios such as these, when health care staff are responsible for initiating discussions about lactation and PDHM, providing patient education, and supporting shared decision-making for infant feeding. Other studies have shown that maternal preferences for breastfeeding and the use of PDHM are influenced by a number of complex factors, including religious, sociocultural, and historical influences, as well as perceived trustworthiness of health care providers, health care systems, and the safety of PDHM.

Yet, even in situations where there are strong initial sociocultural barriers circumscribing acceptance of PDHM, research has found that patient-centered counseling and education about the importance of EHM feeding and PDHM positively influence maternal lactation outcomes and PDHM uptake, even among women who are reportedly less likely to find breastfeeding and PDHM acceptable.

Our study contributes to the public health and medical literature at the intersection of birth equity and reproductive justice; disparities and inequities in lactation cannot be understood separately from the context of pregnancy and birth. Dána-Ain Davis describes the confluence of medical racism and obstetric violence as “obstetric racism.” Widespread reports of racial and ethnic disparities in lactation support and use of PDHM across the literature demonstrate the effects of obstetric racism on lactation.
Further research is warranted to understand the knowledge, attitudes, and acceptability of lactation support and PDHM among culturally diverse populations in the United States. There is a need for community-engaged research that further examines the racialization of human milk, milk banking, and use of PDHM within and beyond the NICU setting.

Studies that document PDHM use by patient race/ethnicity, race/ethnicity of the health care team, geographic and place-based factors, the role of Baby-Friendly hospital practices, and other social determinants of health as part of continuous quality improvement efforts may help to identify institutional-specific barriers and facilitators of implementing recommended best practices in hospitals. There is also a need for clinical research that evaluates infant outcomes following supplementation with PDHM among term infants, which may improve the evidence base for expanding access to PDHM beyond the NICU.

Going forward, it will also be important to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, including the recent critical shortages of infant formula, on PDHM utilization by patient race and ethnicity during postpartum hospitalization. This pandemic has significantly impacted inpatient policies and practices and exacerbated perinatal health disparities and inequities.

Additional research on the COVID-19 pandemic’s impact on PDHM use will be critical to ensure equitable lactation support and resources are provided to mothers and families already marginalized and at risk for poor outcomes. As detailed by Elizabeth Howell et al, equity in care and outcomes can only be accomplished if it is a desired end in and of itself that is directly measured, monitored, and held accountable.

Limitations

The use of EMR data has limitations. We are limited in our analysis by the information that is recorded in the EMR. Although the EMR includes patient race, ethnicity, language, and insurance status, it does not permit us to assess patients’ or health care providers’ knowledge and attitudes about PDHM acceptability. Our analysis is also constrained by its retrospective nature and uneven distribution of patient records across relevant analytic categories.

For example, we are limited in our ability to interpret results for patients whose race–ethnicity is categorized as Other. This group may have also included infants in all of the other racial/ethnic groups, which could confound the interpretation of findings. The Asian and Pacific Islander group was also very small once we stratified by all modes of infant feeding, which limits the precision of our interpretation for this group.

Similarly, it is difficult to parse the importance of language in observed differences in PDHM use due to very small absolute numbers for each of the other languages documented within the Other category, precluding analysis.

The analyses would have been strengthened with quantification of the timing of introducing formula; relative proportion of MOM, PDHM, and formula; and duration of supplementation. Additionally, birth weight and gestational age may not sufficiently account for the length of stay and severity of illness, and these two variables are likely correlated with infant feeding.

In this article, we employ the terms “mother” and “maternal” to describe patients who delivered an infant at our institution. We also use “mother’s own milk” and “breastfeeding” to describe modes of feeding. This language is consistent with information that was recorded in the EMRs and extracted to the Carolina Warehouse database.

We, however, acknowledge the limits of standardized heteronormative and binary sex, gender, and kinship descriptors in the EMR, and more broadly in sexual and reproductive health research which obscures how the diversity of sex, gender, and family structure may affect reproductive health outcomes and perpetuate the continued erasure of gender-diverse childbearing populations in the United States.

Conclusions

This retrospective analysis of EMRs identified racial and ethnic disparities in the use of PDHM among non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic infants. The EMR is a powerful tool that can be used to identify patterns of inequitable health care that are shaped by structural, institutional, and interpersonal racism. Advancing patient-centered perinatal care includes strengthening readiness, recognition, response, reporting, and respect for antiracist and culturally responsive quality improvement.

Policy changes, improving the racial and cultural diversity of teams who provide perinatal care and lactation support during hospitalization, may contribute to more equitable lactation outcomes and access to PDHM.
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