Localization of discrete-time quantum walks on a half line via the CGMV method
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Abstract. We study discrete-time quantum walks on a half line by means of spectral analysis. Cantero et al. [1] showed that the CMV matrix, which gives a recurrence relation for the orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle [2], expresses the dynamics of the quantum walk. Using the CGMV method introduced by them, the name is taken from their initials, we obtain the spectral measure for the quantum walk. As a corollary, we give another proof for localization of the quantum walk on homogeneous trees shown by Chisaki et al. [3].

1 Introduction

The random walk (RW) is one of the most important models to analyze problems in various fields. The quantum walk (QW) is a generalization of the RW [4] with a hope that the QW plays such a role in the quantum field. In fact, it has been shown that there are many results on useful applications of quantum speed up algorithms of QWs (see [5] for a nice review) and approximations of QWs describing physical processes given by Dirac and Schrödinger equations [6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

The time scaling order of weak convergence of QW with a space-homogeneous quantum coin is the square of corresponding classical one. That is, while a random walker spreads in proportion to the square root of time, a quantum walker spreads in proportion to time. In general, the shape of time scaled distribution is an inverted bell-shape on a bounded support. See for the explicit expressions for the densities, for example, [11, 12] (one-dimensional infinite lattice \( \mathbb{Z} \)), [13] (two-dimensional infinite lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \)), and [3] (\( \kappa \)-homogeneous tree \( T_\kappa \)). The review over the detailed discussions on the weak convergence of QWs can be seen in [14]. Another important property of QW is localization which is defined by \( \limsup_{t \to \infty} P(X_t = 0) > 0 \) in this paper, where \( X_t \) is the QW at time \( t \) starting from the origin. The emergence of localization on \( \mathbb{Z} \) is shown in the case of three- and four- dimensional quantum coin [15] [16] by using the spatial Fourier transform, and spatial inhomogeneous quantum coin by using the spatial Fourier transform [17], and a path counting method [18]. Through numerical simulations, localization occurs with a periodic perturbation [19]. Localization in an aperiodic perturbation is shown in [20] by using a “self duality” property of the QW. Localization on the other graphs have been investigated, for example, \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) [13] [21], semi-infinite one-dimensional lattice \( (\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}) \) [22] and \( T_\kappa \) [3] by using the spatial Fourier transform and a path counting.

In this paper, we analyze the spectral measure and the corresponding Laurent polynomials of the unitary operator describing the one-step time evolution of the whole system of the QW. In particular, we focus on localization of the QWs. The analysis is based on the CGMV method introduced by Cantero et al. [1]. The name CGMV is taken from the initial of the authors [1]. Path counting methods [11] [12] or the Fourier analysis [15] [23] are useful for the homogeneous case. However, in general, computations in these methods become complicated for the inhomogeneous case, for example, one defect model [18]. On the other hand, the spectral theory on the unit circle has a long history and has been well studied (see [2] [25] [26] [27] [28]). The CGMV method used here is based on the theory. So it is expected that it would skip some involved procedures in path counting methods and the Fourier analysis. Indeed, generating functions of the amplitudes corresponding
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to the limit measures can be easily obtained by using the Carathéodory functions in the CGMV method, as compared with usual two methods [3 22]. Let \( \mu \) be a probability measure on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \) = \{ \( z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \) \}, where \( \mathbb{C} \) is the set of complex numbers. \( L_2^\mu(\partial \mathbb{D}) \) denotes the Hilbert space of \( \mu \)-square-integrable functions on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \). The Laurent polynomials \( \{ \chi_n(z) \}_{n=0}^\infty \) are orthogonal polynomials on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \) obtained by applying the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization to \( \{1, z, z^{-1}, z^2, z^{-2}, \ldots \} \) with respect to the following inner product:
\[
(f, g) = \int_{z \in \partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{f(z)} g(z) d\mu(z),
\]
where \( f, g \in L_2^\mu(\partial \mathbb{D}) \). By the recurrence relation between Szegő polynomials coming from \( \{1, z, z^2, \ldots \} \) on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \) [25] and the relation between the Laurent and Szegő polynomials, a recurrence relation for the Laurent polynomials is given by the following form [2, 28]:
\[
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\alpha_0 & \rho_0 \alpha_1 & & & & & & \\
-\rho_0 \alpha_1 & \alpha_0 \alpha_1 - \rho_0 \rho_1 & 0 & & & & & \\
0 & \rho_1 \alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 \alpha_2 & \rho_2 \alpha_3 & & & & \\
0 & \rho_1 \alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 \alpha_2 & \rho_2 \alpha_3 & -\alpha_2 \rho_3 & & & \\
0 & \rho_1 \rho_2 & -\alpha_1 \rho_2 & -\alpha_2 \rho_3 & -\alpha_3 \rho_4 & & & \\
0 & 0 & \rho_3 \alpha_4 & -\alpha_3 \alpha_4 & -\alpha_4 \rho_5 & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \rho_3 \rho_4 & -\alpha_3 \rho_4 & -\alpha_4 \rho_5 & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
\end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_0 \\
\rho_0 \alpha_1 \\
-\rho_0 \alpha_1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right],
\]
(1)
where \( \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \) satisfies \( |\alpha_j| < 1 \) and \( \rho_j = \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_j|^2} \). At first we present the relation between the QW and the CMV matrix. We give definitions of Types I and II QWs, respectively. Let the so called “quantum coin” \( U \) be
denoted by

\[ U = \begin{bmatrix} c_{RR} & c_{RL} \\ c_{LR} & c_{LL} \end{bmatrix} \in U(2), \]

(2)

where \( U(2) \) is the set of \( 2 \times 2 \) unitary matrices. We define \( \rho = |c_{RR}|, \) \( \arg(c_{RR}) = \sigma_R, \) \( \arg(c_{LL}) = \sigma_L, \) and \( \det(U) = \Delta, \) where \( \arg(z) \) is the argument of \( z \in \mathbb{C}. \) By the unitarity of \( U, \) we have \( \rho = |c_{LL}|, \) \( \Delta = e^{i(\sigma_R + \sigma_L)}, \) and \( c_{RL} = -\Delta c_{LR}. \)

1. Type I QW

The total space \( \mathcal{H}^{(I)} \) for Type I QW is generated by a standard basis \{\( |0, S\rangle, |0, L\rangle, |1, R\rangle, |1, L\rangle, |2, R\rangle, |2, L\rangle, \ldots \). The time evolution \( W^{(I, U)} \) with quantum coin \( U \) is denoted by

\[ W^{(I, U)}|0, S\rangle = e^{i\gamma}|1, R\rangle + c_{LR}|0, S\rangle, \quad W^{(I, U)}|0, L\rangle = c_{RL}|1, R\rangle + c_{LL}|0, L\rangle, \]
\[ W^{(I, U)}|x, R\rangle = e^{i\lambda}|x + 1, R\rangle + c_{LR}|x - 1, L\rangle \quad (x \geq 1), \]
\[ W^{(I, U)}|x, L\rangle = c_{RL}|x + 1, R\rangle + c_{LL}|x - 1, L\rangle \quad (x \geq 1). \]

2. Type II QW

The total space \( \mathcal{H}^{(II)} \) for Type II QW is generated by a standard basis \{\( |0, L\rangle, |1, R\rangle, |1, L\rangle, |2, R\rangle, |2, L\rangle, \ldots \). Let \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \) where \( \mathbb{R} \) is the set of real numbers. The time evolution \( W^{(II, U)} \) is denoted by

\[ W^{(II, U)}|0, L\rangle = e^{i\delta}|0, L\rangle, \]
\[ W^{(II, U)}|x, R\rangle = e^{i\lambda}|x + 1, R\rangle + c_{LR}|x - 1, L\rangle \quad (x \geq 1), \]
\[ W^{(II, U)}|x, L\rangle = c_{RL}|x + 1, R\rangle + c_{LL}|x - 1, L\rangle \quad (x \geq 1). \]

In this paper, we restrict initial states of Types I and II QWs to \( \Psi_0^{(I)} = \alpha|0, S\rangle + \beta|0, L\rangle \) and \( \Psi_0^{(II)} = e^{i\delta}|0, L\rangle, \) respectively, where \(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = \) 1 and \( \delta \in \mathbb{R}. \) Define a total weight of the passage from position \( y \) to position \( x \) at time \( t \) with the quantum coin \( U \) by

\[ \Xi^{(J, U)}_{x,y}(t) = \sum_{d_1, d_2 \in \{R, L\}} I_{\{x, d_1\}, \{y, d_2\} \in \mathcal{H}^{(J)}}\langle d_1, d_2 | W^{(J, U)}|y, d_2\rangle^\dagger |d_1\rangle |d_2\rangle \quad (J \in \{I, II\}), \]

where \(|R\rangle = T[1, 0], |L\rangle = T[0, 1]\) and \( I_A(x, y) \) is the indicator function of \( A, \) that is, \( I_A(x, y) = 1 \) \((x, y) \in A), = 0 \((x, y) \notin A). \) Here \( T \) is the transposed operator. Let \( X_t^{(I)} \) and \( X_t^{(II)} \) be Types I and II QWs, respectively. Then the probability that a particle is measured in location \( x \) at time \( t \) is defined by

\[ P(X_t^{(J, U)} = x) = ||\Xi^{(J, 0)}_{x, 0}\|_2^2 \quad (J \in \{I, II\}), \]

with \( \lambda_0^{(I)} = e^{-ik_0} \), \( \lambda_0^{(II)} = e^{i\kappa_0} \), \( \lambda_2^{(I)} = e^{-ik_2} \), \( \lambda_2^{(II)} = e^{i\kappa_2} \). Then we see

\[ W^{(I, U)} = \Lambda^{-1} T C_{(0, 0, a_2, 0, \ldots)} \Lambda^T, \]

(3)

where \( a_j = a\Delta^{-(j+1)/2} \) \((j = \text{even}) = 0 \) \((j = \text{odd}) \) with \( a = c_{LR}\Delta^{1/2}. \) Equation (3) gives a relation between the null-odd CMV matrix and Type I QW. On the other hand, for Type II QW, a one-to-one correspondence between the basis of \( \mathcal{H}^{(II)} \) and \{\( 0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \) is given by \( (0, L) \leftrightarrow 0, (k, R) \leftrightarrow 2k - 1, (k, L) \leftrightarrow 2k \) \((k \geq 1). \) Define \( \Lambda_{II} = \text{diag}[\lambda_0^{(II)}, \lambda_2^{(II)}, \ldots] \) with \( \lambda_2^{(II)} = e^{-ik_2} \), \( \lambda_2^{(II)} = e^{i\kappa_2} \). Thus we also see

\[ W^{(II, U)} = e^{i\gamma} T C_{(0, b_1, 0, b_3, \ldots)} \Lambda_{II}^T, \]

(4)

where \( b_j = b(e^{-i\gamma})^{-j+1} \) \((j = \text{odd}) = 0 \) \((j = \text{even}) \) with \( b = c_{LR}\Delta^{1/2}. \) Equation (4) gives a relation between the null-even CMV matrix and Type II QW. Denote the Laurent polynomials for \( C_{(0, a_0, a_1, \ldots)} \) as \( \tilde{a}_j(z) \)
(j = 0, 1, ...) and \( \overline{v}_j(z) \) satisfying \( \hat{u}(z)C_{(a_0, a_1, \ldots)} = z\hat{u}(z) \) and \( C_{(a_0, a_1, \ldots)}\overline{v}(z) = z\overline{v}(z) \) respectively, where 
\( \hat{u}(z) = [\hat{u}_0(z), \hat{u}_1(z), \ldots] \) and \( \overline{v}(z) = [\overline{v}_0(z), \overline{v}_1(z), \ldots] \). If the original Verblunsky parameter \( (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots) \) is changed to \((a_0e^{iw}, a_1e^{2iw}, a_2e^{3iw}, \ldots)\), then the corresponding Laurent polynomials and spectral measure can be rewritten as follows:

\[
\hat{u}_{2k-1}(z) \to e^{ikw}\hat{u}_{2k-1}(e^{-ikw}z), \quad \hat{u}_{2k}(z) \to e^{-ikw}\hat{u}_{2k}(e^{-ikw}z), \quad \mu(z) \to \mu(e^{-ikw}z).
\]

(5)\,\,(6)\,\,(7)

Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( |\alpha| < 1 \) and

\[
C(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^2} & -\alpha \\ \sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^2} & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.
\]

From the definition of the weight of a passage and Eqs. (1) and (2) for \( \Delta = 1, \gamma = 0 \) case, we should remark that

\[
\Xi_{x,y}^{(I, C(\alpha))(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_0^{(\alpha, 0, 0, \ldots)}_{2y, 2x} & C_0^{(\alpha, 0, 0, \ldots)}_{2y+1, 2x+1} \\ C_0^{(\alpha, 0, 0, \ldots)}_{2y+1, 2x} & C_0^{(\alpha, 0, 0, \ldots)}_{2y, 2x+1} \end{pmatrix} (x, y \geq 0),
\]

(8)

\[
\Xi_{x,y}^{(II, C(\alpha))(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_2^{(\alpha, 0, 0, \ldots)}_{2x-1, 2y-1} & C_2^{(\alpha, 0, 0, \ldots)}_{2x-2, 2y} \\ C_2^{(\alpha, 0, 0, \ldots)}_{2x-2, 2y-1} & C_2^{(\alpha, 0, 0, \ldots)}_{2x-1, 2y} \end{pmatrix} (x, y \geq 0),
\]

(9)

where if \( i, j < 0 \), then we put \( C_{(a_0, a_1, \ldots)}^{(i, j)} = 0 \). Thus Eqs. (5)-(7) and orthonormality of the Laurent polynomials give the weight of a passage with a general quantum coin \( U, \Xi_{x,y}^{(I, J, U)}(t) \), as follows:

\[
\Xi_{x,y}^{(I, U)}(t) = (\Delta^{1/2})^t e^{i(x-y)\phi} \times D^*(\phi)\Xi_{x,y}^{(I, C(\alpha))}D(\phi),
\]

(10)

\[
\Xi_{x,y}^{(II, U)}(t) = (\Delta^{1/2})^t e^{i(x-y)\phi} \times D^*(\psi)\Xi_{x,y}^{(II, C(b))}D(\psi),
\]

where \( \psi = \sigma_R - \gamma, \phi = (\sigma_R - \sigma_L)/2, D(\theta) = \text{diag}(e^{i\theta/2}, e^{-i\theta/2}), a = e_{LR}\Delta^{1/2}, \) and \( b = e_{LR}\Delta e^{-i\gamma} \) with \( \Delta = \text{det}(U) \). As a summary of this section, Types I and II QWs with quantum coin \( U \) defined by Eq. (1) can be re-expressed by the CMV matrices with the Verblunsky parameters \( (a, 0, 0, \ldots) \) and \( (0, b, 0, b, \ldots) \) under the correspondences

\[
a = e_{LR}\Delta^{1/2}, \quad b = e_{LR}\Delta e^{-i\gamma},
\]

respectively. The explicit expressions for \( \Xi_{x,y}^{(I, C(\alpha))} \) and \( \Xi_{x,y}^{(II, C(b))} \) can be obtained by the spectral analysis for \( C_{(a, 0, a, \ldots)} \) and \( C_{(0, b, 0, b, \ldots)} \) in the next section.

### 3 Localization and point mass of spectral measure

In this section, we will consider the relation between the spectral measure of the CMV matrix and localization of the corresponding QW. Here we define “localization” as follows: there exists \( \Psi^{(J)} \in H^{(J)} \) such that

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \langle \Psi^{(J)}, \left(W^{(J, U)} \right)^t \Psi^{(J)} \rangle > 0, \quad (J \in \{I, II\}).
\]

As we will show, the stationary distributions for Types I and II QWs are described by the Laurent polynomials at the point mass of the corresponding spectral measure. Let \( \mu^{(I)} \) and \( \mu^{(II)} \) be the spectral measures for \( C_{(a, 0, a, \ldots)} \) and \( C_{(0, b, 0, b, \ldots)} \), respectively. Let \( \{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{j}(z)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{j}(z)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) be the Laurent polynomials of \( \mu^{(I)} \) and \( \mu^{(II)} \) satisfying

\[
C_{(a, 0, a, \ldots)}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(z) = z\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(z), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{X}}(z)C_{(0, b, 0, b, \ldots)} = z\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(z),
\]

(11)
where \( \hat{x}(z) = T [\hat{x}_0(z), \hat{x}_1(z), \hat{x}_2(z), \ldots] \) and \( \hat{y}(z) = [\hat{y}_0(z), \hat{y}_1(z), \hat{y}_2(z), \ldots] \) with \( \hat{x}_0(z) = \hat{y}_0(z) = 1 \), respectively. Therefore

\[
\begin{aligned}
(C^t_{(a,0,a,0,\ldots)})_{lm} &= \int_{|z|=1} z^j \overline{\hat{x}_l(z)\overline{x}_m}(z) d\mu^{(I)}(z), \\
(C^t_{(0,b,0,0,b,\ldots)})_{lm} &= \int_{|z|=1} z^j \overline{\hat{y}_l(z)\overline{y}_m}(z) d\mu^{(I)}(z).
\end{aligned}
\]

To get the spectral measure \( \mu^{(J)} \), we compute the Carathéodory function \( F^{(J)}(z) \ (J \in \{R,L\}) \) which are given by

\[
F^{(I)}(z) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\overline{x}_j(z)}{x_j(z)}, \quad F^{(II)}(z) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\overline{y}_j(z)}{y_j(z)} \quad (|z| < 1),
\]

where \( \overline{x}_j(z) \) and \( \overline{y}_j(z) \) are the Laurent polynomials whose Verblunsky parameters are \( -\alpha_j \) when the original ones are \( \alpha_j \). Put \( B^{(j)} = \{ \theta \in [-\pi, \pi) : \lim_{t \to 1} F^{(j)}(re^{i\theta}) = \infty \} \) for \( J \in \{R,L\} \). Then the spectral measure is obtained by

\[
d\mu^{(J)}(e^{i\theta}) = w^{(J)}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \sum_{\theta_0 \in B^{(j)}} m_0^{(J)}(\theta_0) \delta(\theta - \theta_0) d\theta,
\]

where

\[
w^{(J)}(\theta) = \lim_{r \to 1} \text{Re} \left( F^{(J)}(re^{i\theta}) \right), \quad m_0^{(J)}(\theta_0) = \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1-r}{2} F^{(J)}(re^{i\theta_0}).
\]

Here \( \text{Re}(z) \) is the real part of \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). If \( w^{(J)}(\theta) \) is \( L^1 \) integrable, then by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma and Eqs. (8, 9), \( \Xi^{(j)}_{k,0}(t) \) can be given by, for sufficiently large time step \( t \),

\[
\Xi^{(I)}_{k,0}(t) \sim (\Delta^{1/2})^t e^{ik(\sigma_R-\sigma_L)/2} \times \sum_{\theta_0 \in B^{(I)}} m_0^{(I)}(\theta_0) \left[ e^{i(\sigma_R-\sigma_L)/2} \overline{x}_{2k}(e^{i\theta_0}) - e^{-i(\sigma_R-\sigma_L)/2} \overline{x}_{2k+1}(e^{i\theta_0}) \right],
\]

\[
\Xi^{(II)}_{k,0}(t) \sim (\Delta^{1/2})^t e^{ik(\sigma_R-\sigma_L)/2} \times \sum_{\theta_0 \in B^{(II)}} m_0^{(II)}(\theta_0) \left[ e^{-i(\sigma_R-\sigma_L)} \overline{y}_{2k-1}(e^{i\theta_0}) \right],
\]

where \( A(t) \sim B(t) \) means \( \lim_{t \to \infty} |(A(t))_{l,m}/(B(t))_{l,m}| \to 1 \) for \( l, m \in \{1, 2\} \). Here \( A(t) \) and \( B(t) \) are \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices.

### 4 Main results

Now we give explicit expressions for the limit measures of Types I and II QWs.

**Theorem 1** Let \( X^{(I)}_t \) be Type I QW whose the Verblunsky parameter \( (a, 0, a, 0, \ldots) \) at time \( t \) with the initial coin state \( T[a, \beta] \) starting from the origin. The quantum coin is given by

\[
U = \begin{bmatrix}
c_{RR} & c_{RL} \\
c_{LR} & c_{LL}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

with \( a = c_{LR} \Delta^{1/2} \). Then we have

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} P(X^{(I)}_t = x) = \frac{\text{Re}(a)^2}{1 - \text{Im}(a)^2} |\alpha e^{i\phi/2} + \beta e^{-i\phi/2} \nu_I(a)|^2 (1 + \nu_I^2(a)) \nu_I^2(x)(a),
\]

where \( \phi = (\sigma_R - \sigma_L)/2 \), and

\[
\nu^{(I)}(a) = \frac{\text{sgn}(\text{Re}(a))}{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \text{Im}(a)^2 - |\text{Re}(a)|^2}.
\]

Here \( \sigma_{R(L)} = \text{arg}(c_{RR(LL)}) \), \( \Delta = \text{det}(U) \) and \( \rho = \sqrt{1 - |a|^2} \).
Corollary 1 Localization of Type I QW from the origin with the initial coin state \( T[\alpha, \beta] \) occurs if and only if
\[
\text{Re}(a) \neq 0, \quad \alpha e^{i\phi/2} + \beta e^{-i\phi/2} \nu_T(a) \neq 0.
\]
The first condition depends only on the quantum coin and the second one depends not only on the quantum coin but also the initial coin state.

Next, we give the limit measure for Type II QW.

**Theorem 2** Let \( X_{i}^{(II)} \) be Type II QW whose the Verblunsky parameter \((0,b,0,b,\ldots)\) at time \( t \) with the initial state \( e^{i\delta} \) starting from the origin. The quantum coin is given by
\[
U = \begin{bmatrix} c_{RR} & c_{LR} \\ c_{RL} & c_{LL} \end{bmatrix}
\]
with \( b = c_{LR} \Delta e^{-i\gamma} \). The weight of right moving from the origin is \( e^{i\gamma} \) (\( \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \)). Then we have
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} P(X_{i}^{(II)} = x) = \frac{1 + (-1)^{x+t}}{2} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
|M(b)|^2 & : x = 0, \\
|M(b)|^2(1 + 1/\nu^{(II)}(b))^2 \nu^{(II)}(b)^{2x} & : x > 0,
\end{array} \right. \quad (18)
\]
where \( \nu^{(II)}(b) = \rho/|1 + b| \) and
\[
M(b) = \{ 1 + \text{sgn}(|b|^2 + \text{Re}(b)) \} \frac{|b|^2 + \text{Re}(b)}{(1 + b)^2}.
\]
Here \( \Delta = \det(U) \) and \( \rho = \sqrt{1 - |b|^2} \).

From Eq. (18), the necessary and sufficient condition of localization of Type II QW on the quantum coin can be seen as follows. Remark that the following necessary and sufficient condition is independent of the initial state \( e^{i\delta} \).

**Corollary 2** Define \( D \equiv \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\} \). Let the Verblunsky parameter \((0,b,0,b,\ldots)\) of Type II QW be \( b = x + iy \) with \((x, y) \in D\). Then the necessary and sufficient condition of localization of Type II QW is given by
\[
(x, y) \in \{(x, y) \in D : (x + 1/2)^2 + y^2 > (1/2)^2\}.
\]

The Type II QW for \( \alpha = 2/\kappa - 1 \) and \( \gamma = 0 \) (resp. \( \gamma = \pi \)) corresponds to the discrete-time QW on \( \kappa \)-regular tree \( \mathbb{T}_\kappa \) with the uniform initial qubit \( \varphi_0^A \) (Case A) (resp. weighted initial qubit \( \varphi_0^B \) (Case B)) at the origin \( \mathbb{Z} \), where \( \varphi_0^J \equiv T[1/\sqrt{\kappa}, w_{\kappa}/\sqrt{\kappa}, w_{\kappa}^2/\sqrt{\kappa}, \ldots, w_{\kappa}^{-1}/\sqrt{\kappa}] \) with \( w_{\kappa} = 1 \) (\( J = I \)), \( \kappa = 2^\pi/\kappa \) (\( J = II \)). As a consequence, we can give the stationary distribution for the QW on \( \kappa \)-regular tree corresponding to the result shown in \( \mathbb{Z} \) as follows.

**Corollary 3** Let \( Y_{t}^\kappa \) be the distance from the origin of the QW on \( \mathbb{T}_\kappa \) at time \( t \). Then we have
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} P(Y_{t}^\kappa = x) = \frac{1 + (-1)^{t+x}}{2} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
C(\kappa) & : x = 0, \\
\kappa C(\kappa) \left( \frac{2}{\kappa-1} \right)^x & : x > 0,
\end{array} \right. \quad (19)
\]
where
\[
C(\kappa) = \begin{cases} 0 & ; \text{Case (A)}, \\ \left( \frac{2}{\kappa-1} \right)^2 & ; \text{Case (B)}. \end{cases}
\]

**Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2**: We show explicit expressions for the spectral measures and corresponding Laurent polynomials for Types I and II QWs in Appendices A and B, respectively. Then substituting Eqs. (19), (21) into Eq. (15) and Eqs. (22), (26) into Eq. (10), implies the desired conclusion with respect to localization of Types I and II QWs, respectively. \( \square \)
5 Summary

We briefly summarize our results. In this paper we investigated localization and the limit distribution for Types I and II QWs by using the CGMV method introduced by Cantero et al. [1]. We explicitly computed the spectral measure of the CMV matrix corresponding to the QW. From the point mass of the measure, we showed localization of the QW. Furthermore, we obtained the necessary and sufficient condition of localization with respect to the quantum coin and the initial state. As a corollary, we gave another proof for localization of the QW on homogeneous tree shown in [3]. In addition, we presented the limit distribution for the quantum walk.
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Appendix A

We give an explicit expression of spectral measure and corresponding Laurent polynomials for Type I QW. The Laurent polynomials \( \{x_j(z)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) for \( W^{(1,U)} \) are given by the following recurrence relation \(^1\):

\[
\hat{x}_0(z) = 1, \quad \hat{x}_{2n-1}(z) = B_+(z)\lambda^+_n(z) + B_-(z)\lambda^-_n(z), \quad \hat{x}_{2n}(z) = \overline{\hat{x}_{2n-1}(1/z)},
\]

where

\[
B_{\pm}(z) = \frac{\pm(z-1-a)/\rho \mp \lambda_{\mp}(z)}{\lambda_+(z) - \lambda_-(z)},
\]

with

\[
\lambda_{\pm}(z) = \frac{1}{2\rho} \left\{ z + z^{-1} \mp \text{sgn}(\text{Im}(e^{-i\arccos\rho}z))\sqrt{(z-z^{-1})^2 + 4|a|^2} \right\}.
\]

Here \( \text{Im}(z) \) is the imaginary part of \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \text{sgn}(x) = 1 \ (x > 0), = 0 \ (x = 0), \ -1 \ (x < 0) \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Then we can compute the Carathéodory function by Eqs. \(^1\) and \(^1\) as follows:

\[
F^{(1)}(z) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\hat{x}_j(z)}{\hat{x}_j(z)} = -\frac{z-z^{-1} - 2i\text{Im}(a)}{\sqrt{(z-z^{-1})^2 + 4|a|^2}} - 2\text{Re}(a).
\]

\(^8\)
Therefore from Eqs. (13) and (20), we obtain

\[
  w(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \cos^2 \theta}}{\sin \theta + \Im(a)} I_{(\theta \in [-\pi,\pi]; \cos \theta < \rho)}(\theta).
\]

Furthermore from Eq. (14), we can calculate \(B^{(I)}\) and \(m_0^{(I)}\) as follows:

\[
  B^{(I)} = \{\arcsin(-\Im(a))\}, \quad m_0^{(I)}(\theta_0) = \frac{|\Re(a)|}{\sqrt{1 - \Im^2(a)}} (\theta_0 \in B^{(I)}).
\] (21)

These expressions agree with the ones given in [1].

**Appendix B**

We give an explicit expression of spectral measure and corresponding Laurent polynomials for Type II QW. From the recurrence relation (11), we see that the Laurent polynomials \(\{\chi_j(z)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}\) for \(W^{(II,U)}\) are described as

\[
  \chi_0(z) = 1,
\]

\[
  \chi_{2n}(z) = B^{(e)}_+(z) \lambda_n^+(z) + B^{(e)}_-(z) \lambda_n^-(z),
\]

\[
  \chi_{2n+1}(z) = B^{(o)}_+(z) \lambda_n^+(z) + B^{(o)}_-(z) \lambda_n^-(z),
\]

where

\[
  B^{(e)}_\pm(z) = \pm \frac{z^{-1} - b z / \rho \mp \lambda(z)}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-}, \quad B^{(o)}_\pm(z) = \pm \frac{z^{-1} - \overline{b} z / \rho \mp \lambda(z)}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \times z.
\]

Then we compute the Carathéodory function by Eqs. (12) and (22) as follows:

\[
  F^{(III)}(z) = -\frac{(1-b)z - (1-b)z^{-1}}{bz + \overline{b}z^{-1} - \sqrt{(z-z^{-1})^2 + 4|b|^2}}.
\] (25)

Therefore from Eqs. (13) and (25) we obtain

\[
  w(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \cos^2 \theta}}{\Im((b+1)e^{i\theta})} I_{(\theta \in [-\pi,\pi]; \cos \theta < \rho)}(\theta),
\]

Furthermore by Eq. (14),

\[
  B^{(III)} = \{\theta_0 \in [-\pi, \pi) : \Im((b+1)e^{i\theta}) = 0\},
\]

\[
  m_0^{(III)}(\theta_0) = M(b)/2 \quad (\theta_0 \in B^{(III)}),
\] (26)

where

\[
  M(b) = \left\{ 1 + \text{sgn}\left(|b|^2 + \Re(b)\right) \right\} \left| \frac{|b|^2 + \Re(b)}{(1+b)^2} \right|. \quad (27)
\]

In particular, in the case of \(b = \pm (1 + 2/\kappa)\) which corresponds to the QW on \(\kappa\)-regular tree [3], we obtain

\[
  d\mu(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1+C(\kappa))\sqrt{4(\kappa-1)/\kappa^2 - \cos^2 \theta}}{2/\kappa \cdot \sin \theta} I_{(\theta \in [-\pi,\pi]; \cos \theta < 2\sqrt{\pi-1}/\kappa)}(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \]

\[
  + C(\kappa) \frac{\delta(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})}{2} d\theta, \quad (28)
\]

where \(C(\kappa) = 0\) if \(a = -1 + 2/\kappa, = (\kappa - 2)/(\kappa - 1)\) if \(a = 1 - 2/\kappa\).