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**Abstract**

Flagellin component D (FlgD) from *Helicobacter pylori* is involved in the assembly of the hook of flagella, helical tubular structures that provide motility in non-filamentous bacteria. Data provided in this article refer to *Hp*FlgD from strains 26695 (*Hp*FlgD\(_{26695}\)) and G27 (*Hp*FlgD\(_{G27}\)). Within this article, information on the secondary structure content and different type of interfaces found in the two crystal forms of *Hp*FlgD (monoclinic, *Hp*FlgD\(_m\) and tetragonal, *Hp*FlgD\(_t\)) are provided, as well as the list of the hydrogen bonds between monomers that are relevant for their assembly into a tetramer. Additionally, data involving investigation of the size of *Hp*FlgD in the solution and the crystallized *Hp*FlgD are presented, “Crystal structure of truncated FlgD from the human pathogen *Helicobacter pylori*” [1]. The superposition of the different domains of *Hp*FlgD (Fn-III and tudor domains) with the similar domains...
found in other species is shown, as well as the superposition of HpFlgD and modeled HpFlgE (flagellar hook protein).

© 2016 Elsevier Inc.. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Specifications Table

| Subject area            | Chemistry                                                                 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| More specific subject area | Protein crystallography and biophysics                                    |
| Type of data            | Table, text file, graph, figure                                           |
| How data was acquired   | Mass spectroscopy (quadrupole-TOF spectrometer, RP-HPLC), X-ray diffraction (Swiss Light Source, SLS) |
| Data format             | Raw, analyzed                                                            |
| Experimental factors    | Crystals of native HpFlgD_26695 were dissolved in the appropriate buffer, as well as a sample of HpFlgD_26695 protein solution, and were run on a SDS-PAGE. The isolated bands were in gel digested with trypsin and the extracted peptides were further analyzed with nano-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (nano-ESI MS). |
| Experimental features   | The full length HpFlgD_G27 monomer mass was determined by reverse phase chromatography (RP-HPLC). Mass measurements were performed with a quadrupole-TOF spectrometer and the obtained spectra was further analyzed using the MASSLYNX software. |
| Data source location    | Padua, Italy and – for mass spectroscopy dataVilligen, Switzerland, SLS — for crystallography data |
| Data accessibility      | Data is with this article.                                               |

### Value of the data

- Providing the data on the protein stability can benefit other researchers willing to follow the same techniques.
- Interpretation of differences and similarities in the structural organization of FlgD homologs can be useful for future investigations on the role of FlgD in flagellar biogenesis.
- Previously unreported data on the secondary structure composition of the full length FlgD.

### 1. Data

This article presents data on the HpFlgD stability in terms of the protein size. This investigation was done in order to understand which part of the degraded protein crystallized. The data is based on the CD and mass spectra analysis (RP-HPLC, nano-ESI). In addition, comparison of different types of interfaces found in the crystal structures of the two crystal forms of HpFlgD [1] are given, as well as the amino acid residues responsible for the quaternary structure assembly. The difference between the domain orientation in HpFlgD and the similar domains in other organisms is also shown.

### 2. Experimental design, materials and methods

Secondary structure analysis of diluted HpFlgD (2 mg mL⁻¹) was performed by circular dichroism (CD) using a spectropolarimeter (Jasco Analytical Instruments) in the far UV region (190–260 nm), Fig. 1. Afterwards, the data were deconvoluted using software CDNN [2] and are shown as contributions of the various components to the protein secondary structure (Table 1).
Table 1

CD data of the full length HpFlgD_G27 analysed by the secondary structure analysis software, CDNN. Deconvoluted results are shown as contributions of the various components to the protein secondary structure.

| Secondary structure element | %   |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Helix                       | 12.8|
| Antiparallel \( \beta \) sheet | 25.2|
| Parallel \( \beta \) sheet  | 5.0 |
| \( \beta \) turn            | 22.8|
| Random coil                 | 24.8|

Fig. 1. CD spectrum of the full length HpFlgD_G27 in the far UV region (190–260 nm) presented as a CD signal in milidegrees.

Fig. 2. (a) Monoclinic crystal of native HpFlgD_G27 and (b, c) tetragonal crystal of native HpFlgD_26695. Picture (b) was captured under the microscope using a fluorescence excitation filter (CWL/BW=450/50 nm).
Fig. 3. (a) SDS-PAGE; (b) Western blot against His tag at the C-terminal end. Lane 1 – full length HpFlgD_26695 (top band) with initial degradation products (lower bands), Lane 2 – dissolved crystal of HpFlgD_t.

Fig. 4. Results of the mass spectrometry: the peptides found in the full length HpFlgD_26695 are bolded in red, while the peptides found in the tetragonal crystal of HpFlgD_26695 are indicated by blue dotted lines. The starting and ending residues found in the crystal structure of HpFlgD_t are marked with green arrows.

Fig. 5. HPLC chromatogram of the full length HpFlgD_G27. The major specie present in the solution corresponds to the size of 36,178 Da.
Fig. 6. Different types of interfaces found between the molecules in the monoclinic crystal structure of HpFlgD (a–c) and in the tetragonal crystal structure of HpFlgD (d–f).
The level of degradation of \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} and crystallized \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} was monitored by the SDS-PAGE. The sample from the crystal of the tetragonal form of \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} (Fig. 2b and c) was prepared by dissolving the crystal in the SDS-PAGE loading buffer. This sample together with a full length \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} was checked by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3a). The bands obtained from the crystallized sample and full length \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} were isolated and in gel digested with trypsin. The fractions of the extracted peptides were dried out, dissolved in 50% acetonitrile, supplemented with 0.1% formic acid and directly injected in the nano-ESI source. Mass measurements were performed with a quadrupole-TOF spectrometer (Waters, Manchester, UK) (capillary voltage: 2800–3000 V; cone voltage: 45 V; scan time: 1 s; interscan: 0.1 s). Analysis of the spectra was performed by using the MASSLYNX software (Micromass, Wynthenshow, UK). The data obtained from the mass analysis are presented in Fig. 4.

The mass of the \textit{HpFlgD}_{G27} monomer was determined by mass analysis of the peaks isolated by reverse phase chromatography (C4-column, RP-HPLC), Fig. 5.

| Crystal system | Interface type | Monomer1 \text{→} \text{→} Monomer2 [Symmetry code] | Interface area /Å² | \(N_{\text{HB}}\) | \(N_{\text{SB}}\) |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Monoclinic** | \(t^*\)       | B \cdots C[x, y, z]                               | 521.9               | 7              | 3              |
|                | \(t\)         | D \cdots C\{- x, y, - z\}                         | 492.5               | 6              | 2              |
|                | \(t\)         | B \cdots C\{- x, y, - z\}                         | 492.1               | 7              | 2              |
|                | \(t\)         | C \cdots D[x - 1, y, z - 1]                        | 494.8               | 8              | 3              |
|                | \(a^*\)       | C \cdots B[x, y, z]                               | 302.3               | –              | –              |
|                | \(b^*\)       | D \cdots B\{- x+1, y, - z\}                       | 297.3               | 1              | –              |
|                | \(c^*\)       | D \cdots D[x, y - 1, z]                            | 281.0               | 1              | 5              |
|                | \(c\)         | A \cdots A[x, y - 1, z]                            | 265.8               | 1              | 5              |
|                | \(c\)         | B \cdots B[x, y - 1, z]                            | 216.4               | 3              | 5              |
|                | \(c\)         | C \cdots C[x, y - 1, z]                            | 194.3               | 3              | 5              |
| **Tetragonal** | \(t\)         | A \cdots A\{- y+1, x, z\}                         | 476.8               | 12             | 2              |
|                | \(d^*\)       | A \cdots A\{- x, - y+1, - z\}                     | 299.9               | 4              | 4              |
|                | \(e^*\)       | A \cdots A\{- x, - y+1, z\}                       | 205.1               | 2              | –              |
|                | \(f^*\)       | A \cdots A\{y-1/2, x+1/2, - z+1/2\}               | 112.2               | 4              | 4              |

| Monomer1 [Symmetry code] | Monomer2 [Symmetry code] | \textit{HpFlgD}_t | \textit{HpFlgD}_m |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Ile264 [O]               | Phe244 [N]               | 2.71             | 2.92             |
| Phe266 [N]               | Val242 [O]               | 2.88             | 2.82             |
| Phe266 [O]               | Val242 [N]               | 3.01             | 2.87             |
| Glu265 [OE2]             | Ser241 [OG]              | 2.93             | 3.36             |
| Glu265 [OE2]             | Arg252 [NH2]             | 3.43             | 2.79             |

\* Denotes the salt bridge.

The level of degradation of \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} and crystallized \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} was monitored by the SDS-PAGE. The sample from the crystal of the tetragonal form of \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} (Fig. 2b and c) was prepared by dissolving the crystal in the SDS-PAGE loading buffer. This sample together with a full length \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} was checked by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3a). The bands obtained from the crystallized sample and full length \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} were isolated and in gel digested with trypsin. The fractions of the extracted peptides were dried out, dissolved in 50% acetonitrile, supplemented with 0.1% formic acid and directly injected in the nano-ESI source. Mass measurements were performed with a quadrupole-TOF spectrometer (Waters, Manchester, UK) (capillary voltage: 2800–3000 V; cone voltage: 45 V; scan time: 1 s; interscan: 0.1 s). Analysis of the spectra was performed by using the MASSLYNX software (Micromass, Wynthenshow, UK). The data obtained from the mass analysis are presented in Fig. 4.

The mass of the \textit{HpFlgD}_{G27} monomer was determined by mass analysis of the peaks isolated by reverse phase chromatography (C4-column, RP-HPLC), Fig. 5.
Presence of the His tag at the C-terminus of the full length \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} and crystallized \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} was evaluated with anti-His antibodies (Mouse monoclonal, 1:1000 dilution) and secondary antibodies (Goat anti-mouse HRP, 1:10,000) (Western blotting technique), Fig. 3b.

Fig. 6 shows different types of interfaces present in both crystal forms of \textit{HpFlgD}. In Table 2 the interface area, the number of hydrogen bonds and salt bridges involved in each interface are shown. The list of hydrogen bonds responsible for the tetramerization is presented in Table 3.

Superposition of the Fn-III domain in \textit{fibronectin} (yellow) (PDB entry ID 1FNA) to the same domain in \textit{HpFlgD}_t (light blue). The r.m.s.d. for the superposition of 61 aligned C\(^\alpha\) atoms of fibronectin on \textit{HpFlgD}_t is 2.51 Å.

Fig. 7. Superposition of the Fn-III domain in fibronectin (yellow) (PDB entry ID 1FNA) to the same domain in \textit{HpFlgD}_t (light blue). The r.m.s.d. for the superposition of 61 aligned C\(^\alpha\) atoms of fibronectin on \textit{HpFlgD}_t is 2.51 Å.

Presence of the His tag at the C-terminus of the full length \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} and crystallized \textit{HpFlgD}_{26695} was evaluated with anti-His antibodies (Mouse monoclonal, 1:1000 dilution) and secondary antibodies (Goat anti-mouse HRP, 1:10,000) (Western blotting technique), Fig. 3b.

Fig. 6 shows different types of interfaces present in both crystal forms of \textit{HpFlgD}. In Table 2 the interface area, the number of hydrogen bonds and salt bridges involved in each interface are shown. The list of hydrogen bonds responsible for the tetramerization is presented in Table 3.

Superposition of the Fn-III domain in \textit{HpFlgD} with the fibronectin domain in 1FNA [3] is presented in Fig. 7, while the superposition of the tudor domain in \textit{HpFlgD} and the same domain in \textit{PaFlgD} (PDB ID: 3OSV, [4]) and \textit{XcFlgD} (PDB ID: 3C12, [5]) can be seen in Fig. 8.

Fig. 9 presents the overlayed structures of \textit{HpFlgD} and modeled \textit{HpFlgE}. Modeled \textit{HpFlgE} was prepared by homology using software Phyre\(^2\) [6].
Fig. 8. Superposition of the tudor domain in HpFlgD_t (dark blue), XcFlgD (green) and PaFlgD_A (red). The r.m.s.d.s for the superposition of 47 aligned Cα atoms of XcFlgD on HpFlgD_t and 43 aligned Cα atoms of PaFlgD_A on HpFlgD_t are 2.09 Å and 1.55 Å, respectively.

Fig. 9. Stereoview of the superposed Cα chain trace of HpFlgD_t (gold) and the modeled HpFlgE (purple). The r.m.s.d. for the superposition of 68 aligned Cα atoms of modeled HpFlgE on HpFlgD_t is 3.44 Å.
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