Whirlin, a cytoskeletal scaffolding protein, stabilizes the paranodal region and axonal cytoskeleton in myelinated axons
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Abstract

Background: Myelinated axons are organized into distinct subcellular and molecular regions. Without proper organization, electrical nerve conduction is delayed, resulting in detrimental physiological outcomes. One such region is the paranode where axo-glial septate junctions act as a molecular fence to separate the sodium (Na+) channel-enriched node from the potassium (K+) channel-enriched juxtaparanode. A significant lack of knowledge remains as to cytoskeletal proteins which stabilize paranodal domains and underlying cytoskeleton. Whirlin (Whrn) is a PDZ domain-containing cytoskeletal scaffold whose absence in humans results in Usher Syndromes or variable deafness-blindness syndromes. Mutant Whirlin (Whrm) mouse model studies have linked such behavioral deficits to improper localization of critical transmembrane protein complexes in the ear and eye. Until now, no reports exist about the function of Whrn in myelinated axons.

Results: RT-PCR and immunoblot analyses revealed expression of Whrn mRNA and Whrn full-length protein, respectively, in several stages of central and peripheral nervous system development. Comparing wild-type mice to Whrn knockout (Whrn−/−) mice, we observed no significant differences in the expression of standard axonal domain markers by immunoblot analysis but observed and quantified a novel paranodal compaction phenotype in 4 to 8 week-old Whrn−/− nerves. The paranodal compaction phenotype and associated cytoskeletal disruption was observed in Whrn−/− mutant sciatic nerves and spinal cord fibers from early (2 week-old) to late (1 year-old) stages of development. Light and electron microscopic analyses of Whrn knockout mice reveal bead-like swellings in cerebellar Purkinje axons containing mitochondria and vesicles by both. These data suggest that Whrn plays a role in proper cytoskeletal organization in myelinated axons.

Conclusions: Domain organization in myelinated axons remains a complex developmental process. Here we demonstrate that loss of Whrn disrupts proper axonal domain organization. Whrn likely contributes to the stabilization of paranodal myelin loops and axonal cytoskeleton through yet unconfirmed cytoskeletal proteins. Paranodal abnormalities are consistently observed throughout development (2 wk-1 yr) and similar between central and peripheral nervous systems. In conclusion, our observations suggest that Whrn is not required for the organization of axonal domains, but once organized, Whrn acts as a cytoskeletal linker to ensure proper paranodal compaction and stabilization of the axonal cytoskeleton in myelinated axons.
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Background

Nervous system function depends on proper molecular organization between neurons and glial cells. In myelinated neurons, the segregation and enrichment of proteins in the defined domains, the Node of Ranvier, paranode, and juxtaparanode, is critical for saltatory action potential propagation [1-4]. Without proper organization, electrical conduction is delayed and can result in significant motor and sensory deficits. The paranodal domain is a region of direct interaction between the glial myelin membrane loops and neuronal plasma membrane. Axoglial septate junctions (AGSJ) link these glial membrane loops to the axonal membrane and establish the paranodal glial septate junctions (AGSJ) link these glial membrane loops and neuronal plasma membrane. Axoglial interaction between the glial myelin nerve conduction is delayed and can result in significant propagation [1-4]. Without proper organization, electrical and juxtaparanode, is critical for saltatory action potential in the defined domains, the Node of Ranvier, paranode, and/or peripheral nervous system. There are reports of Whrn protein expression in the cerebrum, cerebellum, and brainstem in wild-type mice and the protein is absent in Whrn knockout (Whrn−/−) and whirler (Whrnfl/fl) mutant mice [25]. In Drosophila, the closest homolog to Whrn is dyschronic (dysc) [26]. In dysc mutants there is arrhythmic locomotor behavior but the eclosion circadian rhythms and clock protein cycling is unaffected [26]. Here we report that Whrn is involved in proper compartment of the paranodal region in myelinated axons and for proper stabilization of the axonal cytoskeleton.

Results and discussion

Whrn is expressed in central and peripheral nervous system tissues throughout development

Whrn is a cytoskeletal scaffolding protein which functions to link membrane protein complexes to the cytoskeleton within hair cell stereocilia of the ear and photoreceptors in the eye. To begin assessing its function in myelinated neurons, we obtained Whrn exon 1 knockout mice [17]. As reported previously, the murine Whrn locus consists of 12 exons with two dominant splice variants, a full length (~4 kb) isoform and a short (~2.5 kb) isoform (Figure 1A). Both variants contain PDZ-domains (Figure 1A, yellow box) and a Proline-rich domain (Figure 1A, blue box). After initial back crossing to C57BL6 mouse strain, we identified and confirmed the Whrn genotype using PCR methods. To begin characterizing mRNA expression of Whrn in the central (CNS) and peripheral nervous systems (PNS), we examined the relative expression of Whrn by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in dorsal root ganglia (DRG), sciatic nerves (SN), and spinal cord (SC) tissues (Figure 1B) in postnatal 21 day-old mice. With this subset of tissues we could delineate the origin of Whrn expression as SC tissue is a combination of glial and neuronal nuclei, DRG is predominantly neuronal, and SN is principally glial cytoplasm and nuclei. Since Whrn has two major isoforms, we designed specific primers to distinguish the full length isoform (Exons 1–4) alone and those common to the full length and short isoforms (Exons 9–10). No expression of Whrn isoforms was observed in Whrn−/− mice (Figure 1B). Robust expression of Whrn (Exons 1–4) mRNA was observed in DRG
and SC tissue while weak expression was observed in SN tissue. Interestingly, weak expression of Whrn (Exons 9–10) mRNA was observed in DRG and SC tissue but no significant expression was observed in SN tissue. Actin (Exons 2–4) mRNA expression was used as a control for total RNA present. Relative expression was quantified as a ratio of Whrn to Actin mRNA between three total RT-PCR analyses (Figure 1C). After finding Whrn mRNA expression in the wild-type CNS and PNS neuronal tissues, we next sought to determine its protein expression in P30 Whrn+/+ versus Whrn−/− mice by immunoblot analysis. In order to pursue these experiments, we generated several antibodies and affinity-purified one to the non-domain encoding, c-terminal region (aa699-804) of Whrn (Figure 1A, red bar). After affinity-purification, wild-type (+) and Whrn knockout (−) lysates derived from DRG, SN, SC, and whole eye were immunoblotted (Figure 1D, upper blots). Whole eye lysates were used as a positive control for protein expression based on previous reports [17]. A 110 kDa Whrn band representing the full length protein was present in DRG, SC, and whole eye lysates. No 110 kDa Whrn band was observed in Whrn−/− lysates or in wild-type SN lysate. Each tissue type showed similar total protein levels between genotypes based on total Tubulin on immunoblots (Figure 1D, lower blots). Having confirmed Whrn−/− tissues were deficient in Whrn mRNA and protein, we next sought to determine if loss of Whrn resulted in altered protein expression of known nodal, paranodal, and juxtaparanodal proteins. We prepared spinal

Figure 1 Whirlin (Whrn) is a PDZ-containing protein expressed throughout the central and peripheral nervous system. A. Schematic showing the relative organization of the twelve exons which make up the Whrn full-length sequence including untranslated exon regions (white boxes) and coding sequence (alternating grey boxes). Whrn’s second, short isoform begins with an alternative transcriptional start site (asterisks). Both variants contain PDZ-domains (yellow boxes) and a Proline-rich domain (blue box). A red rectangle highlights the region used for antibody creation (RbWhrn349). B. RT-PCR analysis shows absence of any Whrn transcripts in homozygous Whrn exon 1 knockout mice from dorsal root ganglia (DRG, peripheral neuronal nuclei), sciatic nerves (SN, peripheral glial nuclei), and spinal cords (SC, combination neuronal/glial nuclei). mRNA transcripts were reverse transcribed and amplified using primers located on Whrn exon 1 and 4 (top panel), Whrn exon 9 and 10 (middle panel), or actin (bottom panel). C. Relative quantification of Whrn mRNA from Figure 1B expressed as a ratio of Whrn to actin band intensity. D. Immunoblots of 110 kDa Whrn protein band in wild-type and Whrn mutant DRG, SN, SC, and whole eye using affinity-purified RbWhrn349 antibody. α-Tubulin served as a loading control. E. Immunoblots of wild-type and Whrn knockout mutant 4, 6, 8, and 16-week-old spinal cord lysates. The expression profile using various myelinated axonal domain markers includes Caspr, Neurofascin (186 and 155), 4.1B, Caspr2, as well as CASK. α-Tubulin served as a loading control. F. Immunofluorescence of teased sciatic nerve fibers from wild-type (upper panel) and Whrn knockout mice (lower panel). Neurofascin (NF155, red) and paranodal Caspr (green) reveal paranodal compaction is disrupted in Whrn knockout fibers. Note NF155 (red) detects both paranodal NF155 and nodal NF186 isoforms.
Whrn knockout mice reveal a quantifiable paranodal compaction phenotype in peripheral myelinated axons

To determine the effects of Whrn loss on axonal domain organization, we examined well-characterized nodal, paranodal, and juxtaparanodal markers by immunofluorescence in wild-type and Whrn−/− mice. Extensive and repeated immunostaining with our Whrn antibody revealed no consistent localization or differences in Whrn localization between wild-type to Whrn−/− fibers (data not shown). Compared to wild-type, the most striking observation in teased Whrn−/− sciatic nerve fibers was the spring-like separation (Figure 1F) of the paranodal axo-glial septate junction (AGSJ) loops beginning along the paranodal-juxtaparanodal border as observed by Caspr and NF155 immunostaining. Irregular paranodal compaction is rarely observed in wild-type fibers, so we sought to quantify the overall observation of this phenotype in Whrn+/+ and Whrn−/− fibers. We utilized a blinded counting strategy to count spring-like phenotypes from wild-type (N = 4676) or Whrn−/− (N = 2798) Caspr-stained paranodes. A statistically significant difference (student t-test p = 0.002) was observed in the percentage of irregular paranodal compaction at 0.3% (SEM=0.07%) in wild-type fibers compared to 1.8% (SEM=0.45%) in Whrn knockout mice. Having confirmed the significance of this spring-like paranodal phenotype in 7 week-old Whrn knockout fibers, we expanded our analysis to 4–8 week-old time points.

Sciatic nerves from Whrn+/+ or Whrn−/− littermate mice at 4, 6, and 8 weeks, were immunostained with antibodies against nodal, paranodal, and juxtaparanodal markers (Figure 2). In the 4 week-old wild-type sciatic nerve (Figure 2Aa-d), juxtaparanodal K1.2 (Figure 2Aa) was separated from nodal NF186 (Figure 2Ac) by paranodal Caspr (Figure 2Ab). This demarcation of domains (Figure 2Ad) in myelinated fibers is also observed in 4 week-old Whrn−/− fibers (Figure 2Bd). Myelin loops of AGSJs in 4 week-old Whrn−/− sciatic nerve fibers (Figure 2Bb) were already separating away from the paranode compared to 4 week-old wild-type sciatic nerve fibers (Figure 2Ab). Breaks in K1.2 localization (Figure 2Ba) were observed even where Caspr staining (Figure 2Bb) was limited to a single myelin loop, suggesting AGSJ’s barrier function was conserved. Juxtanodal localization was diffuse and asymmetric in Whrn−/− fibers compared to wild-type (Figure 2Aa vs. 2Ba). When comparing wild-type to Whrn knockout mice, we observed no obvious differences in intensity levels of juxtaparanodal (Figure 2Aa vs. 2Ba) or nodal (Figure 2Ac vs. 2Bc) markers. At 6 weeks, teased wild-type sciatic nerves (Figure 2Ca-d) showed typical domain organization. 6 week-old Whrn null sciatic nerves have typical NF186 (Figure 2Dc) nodal domain organization while Caspr paranodal compaction (Figure 2Db) and K1.2 juxtaparanodal (Figure 2Da) localization were disrupted. Additional immunostaining in 6 week-old Whrn null sciatic nerves with a pan-Neurofascin-C-terminal (NFct) antibody (Figure 2Ea) detected both nodal NF186 and paranodal NF155. Paranodal NF155 (Figure 2Ea, non-nodal signal) and Caspr (Figure 2Eb) immunostaining mostly colocalize (Figure 2Ed) in Whrn−/− sciatic nerve fibers and revealed similar problems with paranodal compaction. AnK nodal (Figure 2Ec) domain organization in 6 week-old Whrn−/− sciatic nerve fibers appeared similar to wild-type (Figure 2Cc). Finally, immunostained 8 week-old sciatic nerves revealed similar NF186 nodal (Figure 2Fc vs. 2Gc) and AnK nodal organization (Figure 2Hc). Like 4 and 6 week-old sciatic nerves, Caspr (Figure 2Gb, Hb) and NFct (Figure 2Ha) immunostaining consistently revealed paranodal compaction defects as well as K1.2 juxtaparanodal (Figure 2Fa vs. 2Ga) diffusion in Whrn knockout mice when compared with wild-type (Figure 2Fb). To determine if such peripheral nerve phenotypes could be the result of differences in inner mesaxons, we immunostaining 7 week-old wild-type and Whrn knockout fibers with MAG but observed no striking phenotypic differences in localization (data not shown). In summary, the paranodal and juxtaparanodal regions displayed phenotypes that suggest that normal compaction of the
paranodal loops fails to occur in Whrn knockout mice at 4, 6, and 8 weeks of age.

During initial quantification we observed that larger diameter myelinated fibers had proportionally more paranode compaction defects compared to thinner caliber myelinated fibers, so we imaged and assembled twenty Caspr-stained confocal images for each genotype and time point (4, 6, and 8 week-old) to assess any subtle, sub-micron paranodal changes by light microscopy due to Whrn loss. Next, we measured various dimensions of the paranode (Figure 2I). The figure shows parameters of various domain measurements in (nodal gap in white, paranodal diameter in blue, paranodal width in red, and counting of spring-like phenotype in purple) using ~10 micron caliber, Caspr-immunostained wild-type and Whrn−/− fibers. J-L No statistically significant differences were observed comparing 4, 6, and 8-week-old wild-type and mutant fibers with concern to nodal gap (J), paranodal diameter (K), or paranodal width (L) (N=20 for each genotype/age combination). Note the greater percentage of paranodes with compaction issues in mutant fibers (M, light purple bars) likely contributes to the increased deviation in paranodal widths (L, light red bars).

Figure 2 Loss of Whirlin in the peripheral nervous system results in disrupted paranodal compaction. A–H. 4, 6, 8-week-old teased sciatic nerve fibers either wild-type (Aa–Ad, Ca–Cd, Fa–Fd) or Whrn knockout (Ba–Bd, Da–Dd, Ea–Ed, Ga–Gd, Ha–Hd) immunostained against Kv1.2 (Aa, Ba, Ca, Da, Fa, Ga, red), NFCt (Ea, Ha, green), NF186 (Ac–Dc,Fc,Gc, blue), AnkG (Ec, Hc, blue), and merged images (Ad–Hd). In all Whrn mutant panels, Caspr (Bb,Bd,Dd, Eb, Ed, Gb, Gd, Hb, Hd, green) and paranodal NF155 (NFCt) (Ea, Ed, Ha, Hd, red) fail to compact properly at the paranodes. Nodal NF186 or AnkG are not affected (Ac–Hc, blue). Scale bars (Ad–Hd) = 5 μm. I. Sample image shows parameters of various domain measurements in (nodal gap in white, paranodal diameter in blue, paranodal width in red, and counting of spring-like phenotype in purple) using ~10 micron caliber, Caspr-immunostained wild-type and Whrn−/− fibers. J-L No statistically significant differences were observed comparing 4, 6, and 8-week-old wild-type and mutant fibers with concern to nodal gap (J), paranodal diameter (K), or paranodal width (L) (N=20 for each genotype/age combination). Note the greater percentage of paranodes with compaction issues in mutant fibers (M, light purple bars) likely contributes to the increased deviation in paranodal widths (L, light red bars).
nodal gap (Figure 2J) for wild-type and Whrn−/− sciatic nerves was 0.77±0.16 μm and 0.92±0.24 μm at 4 weeks, 1.01±0.16 μm and 0.91±0.31 μm at 6 weeks, and 1.06±0.21 μm and 1.00±0.12 at 8 weeks respectively. No statistically significant difference was noted between ages or genotypes with respect to nodal gap. The paranodal diameter (Figure 2K) for wild-type and Whrn−/− sciatic nerves was 2.20±0.42 μm and 2.19±0.41 μm at 4 weeks, 2.33±0.45 μm and 2.43±0.45 μm at 6 weeks, and 2.14±0.35 μm and 2.71±0.55 at 8 weeks respectively. With respect to paranodal diameter, measurements were similar between all groups. The paranodal width (Figure 2L) for wild-type and Whrn−/− sciatic nerves was 3.45±0.58 μm and 3.52±0.91 μm at 4 weeks, 3.41±0.57 μm and 3.64±1.01 μm at 6 weeks, and 3.58±0.48 μm and 3.56±0.53 at 8 weeks respectively. No statistically significant difference was found in paranodal width. The paranodal compaction phenotype percentage (Figure 2M) for wild-type and Whrn−/− sciatic nerves was 15% (3/20) and 55% (11/20) at 4 weeks, 15% (3/20) and 50% (10/20) at 6 weeks, and 15% (3/20) and 55% (11/20) respectively. As a reminder, the increase in the Whrn knockout phenotype percentage represents the shift in selection from all fibers (1.8%) to larger-caliber myelinated fibers (50%). Note the greater percentage of paranodes with compaction issues in Whrn−/− sciatic nerves (Figure 2M, light purple bars) likely contributes to the increased deviation in paranodal widths (Figure 2L, light red bars). Additionally, comparisons between 8 week-old wild-type and Whrn−/− sciatic nerve fibers demonstrated no significant difference in the conduction velocity (average ~30 m/s) or waveforms (data not shown) of measured compound action potentials in two separate measurement trials.

**Whrn knockout mouse sciatic nerve and spinal cord myelinated fibers display paranodal compaction abnormalities throughout development**

To expand on the characterization of Whrn loss with respect to myelinated domain organization, we examined a larger developmental window from postnatal ages 2 weeks to 1 year. Wild-type and Whrn knockout sciatic nerves revealed the following percentages of Caspr-stained phenotype-positive paranodes (Figure 2): 1.5% and 2.7% at 10 weeks, 0.9% and 1.8% at 20 weeks, 0.8% and 1.5% at 30 weeks, 0.5% and 1.4% at 40 weeks, and 0.7% and 1.5% at 1 year, respectively. Similar to the 4–8 week studies (Figure 2), we immunostained fibers with nodal, paranodal, and juxtaparanodal markers, as well as the axonal cytoskeletal protein markers 4.1B and heavy chain Neurofilament (NF-H) given Whrn’s known cytoskeletal scaffolding role. We observed no differences in nodal formation using NF186 (Figure 3Ac, Bc) in 2 week-old fibers. Abnormalities in paranodal formation and compaction were observed in Caspr-stained Whrn−/− fibers (Figure 3Bb, Bf) compared to wild-type (Figure 3Ab, Af). Overall K,1.2 juxtaparanodal signal appeared similar between 2 week-old wild-type (Figure 3Aa) and Whrn−/− fibers (Figure 3Ba) with accumulation and enrichment of K,1.2 channels (Figure 3Bd) neighboring Caspr within the internodal region. No obvious difference in intensity or localization of Nfl-H (Figure 3Ae, Be) or 4.1B (Figure 3Ag, Bg) was found in 2 week-old sciatic nerve fibers between Whrn genotypes. With 10 week-old sciatic nerve fibers, we observed no differences in nodal domains using NF186 (Figure 3Cc, Dc). Like 8 week-old fibers (Figure 2F-H), we observed 10 week-old Whrn−/− fibers with abnormal paranodal compaction (Figure 3Db, Df), when compared to wild-type fibers (Figure 3Cb, Cf). Juxtaparanodal domains stained with K,1.2 (Figure 3Da vs. 3Ca) appear more diffuse but similar in overall intensity in 10 week-old Whrn−/− fibers when compared to wild-type. We observed slight enrichment of Nfl-H (Figure 3Ce vs. 3De) and 4.1B (Figure 3Cg vs. 3Dg) within the paranodal region of 10 week-old wild-type sciatic nerves compared to Whrn knockout mice, but the overall intensity of Nfl-H and 4.1B along the remaining axon appeared similar. Immunostaining of 20, 30, and 40 week-old wild-type sciatic nerve fibers were performed and domain organization was identical to 10 week-old wild-type fibers (Figure 3Ca-k). Looking at 20, 30, and 40 week-old Whrn−/− peripheral nerve fibers, we observed no differences in nodal domains between Whrn wild-types (Figure 3Cc) or knockout mice using NF186 (Figure 3Ec-Gc). Similar to 10 week-old fibers (Figure 3Db, Df), we observed numerous 20, 30, and 40 week-old Whrn null fibers stained with Caspr (Figure 3Eb,d-Gb,d) with abnormal paranodal compaction when compared to wild-type fibers (Figure 3Cb,d). In 20, 30, and 40-week-old Whrn−/− fibers, juxtaparanodal domains stained with K,1.2 (Figure 3Ea-Ga) showed numerous breaks at sites of Caspr signal, appeared less symmetrical, and displayed similar overall signal when compared with wild-type (Figure 3Ca). Cytoskeletal marker Nfl-H staining (Figure 3Ee-Ge) was generally uniform within the axon, and 4.1B (Figure 3Eg-Gg) was present in all axonal domains except the node in 20, 30, and 40 week-old Whrn−/− sciatic nerves. One-year-old sciatic nerves showed no difference in nodal organization (Figure 3Hc vs. 3Ic). One year-old Whrn−/− sciatic nerves (Figure 3ib, d) revealed abnormal paranodal compaction compared to wild-type fibers (Figure 3Hb,d). Notably, we observed paranodal compaction defects in older Whrn−/− sciatic nerve fibers (40 week-old and 1 year-old) like blocks and bulges in Caspr signal as well as the spring-like phenotype observed in younger fibers (4–30 week-old). As seen previously, the juxtaparanodal domains of Whrn−/− peripheral nerves stained with K,1.2 (Figure 3ia)
Loss of Whirlin contributes to abnormal paranodal compaction and cytoskeleton instability throughout sciatic nerve age. A–I 2-week, 10, 20, 30, 40-week, and 1-yr-old teased sciatic nerve fibers either wild-type (Aa–Ah, Ca–Ch, Ha–Hh) or Whrn knockout (Ba–Bh, Da–Dh, Ea–Eh, Fa–Fh, Ga–Gh, Ia–Ih) immunostained against K1.2 (Aa–la, red), Caspr (Ab–f, lb–f, green), NF186 (Ac–lc, blue), heavy chain Neurofilament/NIH-H (Ae–le, red), Protein 4.1 band/4.1B (Ag–lg, blue), and merged images (Ad–hd, hd). In all Whrn mutant panels, Caspr (Bb–df, h, Db–f, h, Eb–d, f, Bd–f, h, Gb–d, f, Db–d, f, green) fail to compact properly at the paranodes while juxtaparanodal marker K1.2 (Ba–bd, Da–dd, Ea–Ed, Fa–Fd, Ga–Gd, Id–ad, red) appears diffuse and disorganized. Nodal NF186 (Ac–lc, blue) appear unaffected by genotype or age. Cytoskeletal markers like Nfl-H showed significant asymmetric enrichment and periodic breaks as well as overlap with Caspr signal when compared with one year-old wild-type fibers (Figure 3Ha). Also, patchy cytoskeletal-deficient staining was observed in old Whrn−/− fibers (Figure 3Gh, 3Ih) near the paranode. Cytoskeletal marker NIH-H staining was weakly enriched within the paranode of the wild-type axon (Figure 3Hh) and less uniform within Whrn−/− fibers with paranodal compaction problems (Figure 3Ih). Likewise, 4.1B showed disrupted juxtaparanodal cytoskeletal staining in one year-old Whrn−/− fibers (Figure 3Ig) which was not observed in the wild-type fibers (Figure 3Hg).

We also sought to examine the effects of Whrn loss on axonal domain organization in the central nervous system (CNS). Utilizing white matter tracts in the spinal cord, we were able to identify by immunostaining subtle but consistent differences in paranodal compaction. As in the PNS (Figure 3), we stained longitudinal spinal cord sections with nodal, paranodal, and juxtaparanodal markers (Figure 4). Wild-type and Whrn knockout spinal cord fibers revealed the following percentages of Caspr-stained phenotype-positive paranodes (Figure 3): 0.6% and 1.7% at 10 weeks, 1.2% and 3.4% at 20 weeks, 1.3% and 2.5% at 30 weeks, and 1.0% and 2.4% at 40 weeks. No differences in nodal organization were observed (Figure 3.4Ac vs. 3.4Bc) in 2 week-old spinal cord sections. At 10, 20, 30, 40 week-old, nodal organization appeared similar between wild-type and Whrn−/− spinal cord fibers using NF186 (Figure 4Cc vs. 4Dc, 4Ec vs. 4Fc, 4Gc vs. 4Hc, 4Ic vs. 4Jc). Immunostaining with paranodal Caspr revealed subtle and infrequent paranodal abnormalities in 2 week-old Whrn null spinal cord fibers (Figure 4Bb) compared to wild-type (Figure 4Ab). In contrast, obvious and regular paranodal compaction defects were observed in 10 (Figure 4Cb vs. 4Db), 20 (Figure 4Eb vs. 4Fb), 30 (Figure 4Gb vs. 4Hb), and 40 week-old (Figure 4lb vs. 4jb) Whrn−/− spinal cords compared to their wild-type controls. Finally, juxtaparanodal K1.2 immunostaining of 10 (Figure 4Ca vs. 4Da), 20 (Figure 4Ea vs. 4Fa), 30 (Figure 4Ga vs. 4Ha), and
40 week-old (Figure 4Ia vs. 4Ja) wild-type spinal cord myelinated axons showed similar overall expression as Whrn knockout axons, but consistent juxtaparanodal disorganization and colocalization with loosened Caspr-stained myelin loops was observed most often in Whrn−/− fibers. In summary, there is significant evidence that loss of Whrn disrupts normal paranodal compaction in 10–40 week-old myelinated spinal cord axons with subsequent effects on juxtaparanodal organization. Taken together, both the peripheral and central nervous systems likely utilize the cytoskeletal properties of Whrn to help stabilize the cellular organization between myelinating glial cells and neurons around the paranodal region throughout development.

Whirlin knockout mice have cerebellar Purkinje cells with bead-like, axonal swellings

To determine the effects of Whrn loss on cerebellar Purkinje cell morphology, we immunostained cerebellar slices from 6 week-old wild-type, Whrn knockout, and double Whrn and 4.1B [14] null animals (Figure 5). Given that Caspr [9] and CGT [28,29], two genes critical for formation of a proper paranode, display Purkinje axonal swellings and cytoskeletal disorganization, we
were curious as to the effects of the combined loss of Whrn and 4.1B. While no obvious differences in localization was observed using our Whrn antibody (data not shown) in any of these genotypes, we immunostained against 4.1B (Figure 5Aa–d, d; red), Purkinje-specific Calbindin (Figure 5Ab–Db, green), and glial-specific myelin basic protein/MBP (Figure 5Ae–De, green). There was no difference in 4.1B staining intensity (Figure 5Aa, d vs. 5Ba, d) between wild-type and Whrn knockout slices. Upon examining Whrn−/− cerebellum sections (Figure 5Ba–Bf), Purkinje axons appeared to contain bead-like swellings along their extensions through the granular layer using both Calb (Figure 5Bb,c vs. 5Ab,c, white arrowheads) and MBP (Figure 5Be,f vs. 5Ae,f, white arrowheads) in comparison to a uniform caliber axon in wild-type fibers (Figure 5Ab,c,e,f). The secondary loss of 4.1B protein resulted in more swellings observed with Calb (Figure 5Cb,c–5Db,c vs. 5Bb,c, white arrowheads) and MBP (Figure 5Cf,f–5De,f vs. 5Be,f, white arrowheads) when compared with Whrn null animals alone. In summary, the cytoskeletal elements Whrn and 4.1B likely have an assistive role in preventing cytoskeletal accumulation and disorganization within cerebellar Purkinje cell axons in a similar phenotypic manner to Caspr null mice [9].

Ultrastructural abnormalities in Whrn knockout sciatic nerve, spinal cord fibers, and cerebellar Purkinje axons
To further understand Whrn’s role in myelinated axons, transmission electron microscopy was performed in order to examine the ultrastructural architecture in myelinated axons in the PNS (Figure 6) and CNS (Figure 7) of 7 week-old and 3 month-old wild-type and Whrn−/− mice. Low-magnification, cross-section electron micrographs of wild-type (Figure 6A) and Whrn knockout (Figure 6B, C) myelinated sciatic nerve fibers showed the typical organization of tightly bound, electron-dense myelin wraps around the internodal region of the axonal membrane. Accumulation of mitochondria and lipid vesicles (Figure 6B, C vs. 6A and 6E, F vs. 6D, flat arrowheads) in the internodal regions was clearly observed in Whrn knockout animals compared to wild-type sciatic nerve fibers. Given the potential role of the mesaxon in the observed light microscope phenotype [15], we found no striking differences in the ultrastructural organization or arrangements of the inner mesaxon along the internodal region at 7 weeks or 3 months of age (data not shown). Consistent with our immunostaining data, no obvious differences in nodal organization were observed in either genotype (Figure 6G vs 6H,I). Higher magnification along the wild-type paranodal region (Figure 6),
concave arrowheads) revealed the hallmark electron-dense AGSJs formed between the glial paranodal loops and axolemma and accompanying parallel arrays of cytoskeletal elements in the axon. In contrast, the Whrn knockout paranodal region of 7 week-old (Figure 6K) and 3 month-old (Figure 6L) displayed poorly defined but present AGSJs (Figure 6K,L, concave arrowheads), less organized neurofilaments and microtubules, and consistent accumulation of mitochondria and lipid vesicles (Figure 6H, 6I, 6L, flat arrowheads) in the paranodal

Figure 6 Ultrastructural examination of Whirlin knockout sciatic nerves reveals organelle accumulation and cytoskeletal disruption. Low magnification electron micrographs through the internodal regions of sciatic nerves in wild-type (7 week-old) and Whrn knockout mice (7 week-old, 3 month-old) in cross section (A vs. B, C) and longitudinal orientations (D vs. E, F). Overall cellular organization between Whrn knockout and wild-type sciatic nerve fibers is conserved with tightly compacted myelin around each axon. Low magnification, longitudinal electron micrographs through the nodal and paranodal regions of sciatic nerves are presented for wild-type (7 week-old, G) and Whrn knockout mice (7 week-old, H; 3 month-old, I). At a higher magnification, the wild-type (J) paranodal loops have clearly defined characteristic transverse, electron-dense septa (concave arrowheads) and parallel arrays of cytoskeletal elements. In contrast, Whrn mutant paranodal septa (K, L, concave arrowheads) are less definitive and fuzzy with associated accumulation of organelles (flat arrowheads), particularly mitochondria and transport vesicles. Scale bars: A–I, 2 μm; J–L, 400 nm.
Figure 7 Ultrastructural examination of Whirlin knockout central nervous system tissues reveals organelle accumulation in myelinated axons. Electron micrographs of internodal cross sections (A–C) and nodal-paranodal longitudinal (D–F) regions in spinal cords from wild-type (7 week-old, A, D) and Whrn knockout mice (7 week-old, B, E; 3 month-old, C, F). Overall cellular organization is conserved between wild-type and Whrn knockout and sciatic nerve fibers with tightly compacted myelin around each axon. Enrichment of mitochondria (flat arrowheads) and occasional myelin ruffling is observed in Whrn knockout mice compared to wild-type. At higher magnification, the wild-type (G) paranodal loops have characteristic electron-dense septa (concave arrowheads) and parallel arrays of cytoskeletal elements. In contrast, Whrn knockout CNS fibers accumulate organelles (flat arrowheads), particularly mitochondria and transport vesicles, and have paranodal septa (H, I, concave arrowheads) that are less defined. Also, electron micrographs from mice show cerebellar Purkinje myelinated axons (J–L) running through the granular layer. This region reveals axonal swellings filled with densely-packed organelles (flat arrowheads), particularly mitochondria and vesicles, in 7 week-old (K) and 3 month-old (L) Whrn knockout animals compared to 7 week-old wild-type (J). Scale bars: A–F, 2 μm, G–I, 400 nm, J–L: 1 μm.
region. The ultrastructural phenotypes displayed at both 7 weeks and 3 months of age suggest that Whrn is important for the long-term maintenance and the overall structure of the myelinated axons.

In the central nervous system, low-magnification, electron micrographs of wild-type (Figure 7A) and Whrn knockout (Figure 7B, C) myelinated fibers showed slight differences in spinal cord cross-sections. Like the peripheral nerves, the overall organization between glial cell and neuron remained similar between wild-type and Whrn−/− fibers. However, mitochondria were slightly more abundant in Whrn knockout fibers. No obvious ultrastructural differences were observed in the node of either genotype (Figure 7D-F), but greater accumulation of mitochondria (flat arrowheads) and lipid vesicles was observed in the paranodal and juxtaparanodal regions. Higher magnification along the paranodal region (Figure 7G-I, concave arrowheads) revealed the expected electron-dense AGSJs formed between myelin loops and the axolemma. Like in the PNS, the Whrn knockout paranodal region of 7 week-old (Figure 7H) and 3 month-old (Figure 7I) displayed poorly defined but present AGSJs (concave arrowheads) and accumulation of mitochondria and lipid vesicles (Figure 7H, flat arrowhead) in the paranodal region. Examining the cerebellum, we observed Purkinje axon swellings in Whrn knockout fibers (Figure 7K-L). Low-magnification electron micrographs of 7 week-old wild-type (Figure 7J) and Whrn knockout at 7 weeks (Figure 7K) and 3 months (Figure 7L) of age showed striking differences in Purkinje axon myelinated fibers in the cerebellum granular layer. This region shows axonal swellings filled with densely-packed organelles, particularly mitochondria and vesicles (Figure 7K-L, flat arrowheads). Taken together, the ultrastructural analyses of Whrn knockout mice demonstrate that Whrn is critical for the stability of paranodal organization, proper axonal cytoskeletal arrangements, and prevention of sub-cellular organelle accumulation in myelinated axons.

Conclusions
Cellular and molecular interactions between neurons and glia establish and stratify the numerous tasks of the nervous system. In particular, linkage of cellular membranes with the underlying cytoskeleton via cytoskeletal linker proteins helps maintain the specialized cellular arrangements necessary to glial and neuronal function. The potential of Whrn to link plasma membrane proteins with multiple cytoskeletal protein partners has been well established [16,17,19-24], yet a precise role for Whrn in the central or peripheral nervous system or even more specifically in myelinated neurons has not been examined. In myelinated axons, axonal membrane proteins like Caspr and Caspr2 help stabilize domain organization through linkage of 4.1B to the underlying cytoskeleton. This loss of organization at both the light and electron microscopy level is readily apparent in mutant mice lacking Caspr [7], Caspr2 [12], and 4.1B [14]. Here we report that Whrn knockout animals reveal defective cytoskeletal organization and accumulation of organelles in the myelinated fibers. Our phenotypic analyses of Whrn knockout mice demonstrate that loss of Whrn disrupts proper paranodal compaction and long-term stability of the myelinated axons throughout development.

Whrn alternative splicing and phenotypes
To understand the function of Whrn in the nervous system, one must be considerate of Whrn mRNA splice variants and rule out confounding mouse genetic strain differences. Currently, two mutant mouse strains exist for Whirlin: the whirler (Whrnwt/wt) mouse has a spontaneous genomic deletion of exons 6–9 while the Whrn (Whrn−/−) knockout mouse [17] has a targeted exon 1 deletion. We examined the localization of several myelinated axon markers (Caspr, 4.1B, K1.2, and NF186) in Whrn wild-type mice from each background strain and observed no disruptions in the localization of these proteins or the morphology of the paranodes (data not shown). Our initial studies comparing Whrn−/− to Whrnwt/wt mouse strains suggested myelinated domain organization, early paranodal disorganization, and nerve conduction (~30 m/s) was indistinguishable between each mutant mouse line. Given the novelty of the phenotype, we additionally substantiated no mouse strain effects after back-crossing to isogenic C57BL6 mice for two generations. Given the consistency of the mutant phenotype regardless of background mouse genetics, we were assured the phenotype was attributed to loss of Whrn function and not alternative Whrn splice variants or mouse genetic background variation.

Scaffolding by Whrn and previously established protein networks may underlie paranodal compaction and stabilization
Whrn has several established roles derived from its complex and numerous interactions with other protein partners within the ear and eye. This complexity comes from identifying and comparing the human and mouse Whrn mutants and splice variants. The significant bulk of Whrn research has been performed in the ear and eye since human WHRN mutations contribute to a subset of Usher syndromes. In the eye, full-length Whrn colocalizes with the transmembrane proteins Usherin and VLGR1 at the periciliary membrane complex in photoreceptors [17]. Studies demonstrate the two N-terminal PDZ domains of the full-length Whrn isoform are responsible for this interaction. In the ear, the shorter Whrn isoform has a more significant role since mutation and/or loss of Whrn’s C-terminus correlates
to poorer hearing deficits compared to Whrn’s N-terminus. The Whrn short isoform interacts through its Proline-rich domain and last PDZ domain with Myosin XVa and Mpp1/p55. Additional reports demonstrate Whrn protein expression in the mouse cerebrum, cerebellum, and brainstem [25], rat cerebellum [27], and in the Drosophila central nervous system [26]. Taken together, Whrn has a well-documented history of shared protein-protein interaction across multiple model systems and cells.

We propose that Whrn as a cytoskeletal scaffold crosslinks a subcellular axonal meshwork to stabilize the paranode, juxtaparanode, or both, and that in the absence of Whrn, subcellular compaction of the paranode and organization of underlying microtubules, neurofilaments, cause cytoskeletal disorganization leading to an accumulation of mitochondria, and lipid vesicles along myelinated axons. Here we propose the potential sites of interaction for Whrn within these regions given its established protein-protein interactions in vivo. Within the paranode, the intracellular c-terminus of Caspr contains a SH3 domain, a potential site of interaction with Whrn’s Proline-rich domain. The paranode and juxtaparanode are also enriched for 4.1B, a known protein partner in the ear stereocilia for Mpp1/p55 which interacts with Whrn [22]. Caspr2 also contains a PDZ-binding motif which could potentially interact with one of Whrn’s PDZ domains [12]. Finally, the c-terminus of Whrn has the potential for self-oligomerization [20], allowing for even more complex networks of protein-protein interaction within the myelinated axon.

Domain organization in myelinated axons is a complicated developmental process, culminating from intrinsic and extrinsic cellular factors. Here we demonstrate that Whrn expression is important for proper axonal domain organization and expand the role of Whrn outside the ear and eye. The phenotypes observed in the myelinated axons highlight that the paranodal-juxtaparanodal interface represents a substantial region for insight into axonal and eye. The phenotypes observed in the myelinated and organization and expand the role of Whrn outside the central nervous system [26]. Taken together, Whrn has a well-documented history of shared protein-protein interaction across multiple model systems and cells.

The Whrn short isoform interacts through its Proline-rich domain and last PDZ domain with Myosin XVa and Mpp1/p55. Additional reports demonstrate Whrn protein expression in the mouse cerebrum, cerebellum, and brainstem [25], rat cerebellum [27], and in the Drosophila central nervous system [26]. Taken together, Whrn has a well-documented history of shared protein-protein interaction across multiple model systems and cells.

We propose that Whrn as a cytoskeletal scaffold crosslinks a subcellular axonal meshwork to stabilize the paranode, juxtaparanode, or both, and that in the absence of Whrn, subcellular compaction of the paranode and organization of underlying microtubules, neurofilaments, cause cytoskeletal disorganization leading to an accumulation of mitochondria, and lipid vesicles along myelinated axons. Here we propose the potential sites of interaction for Whrn within these regions given its established protein-protein interactions in vivo. Within the paranode, the intracellular c-terminus of Caspr contains a SH3 domain, a potential site of interaction with Whrn’s Proline-rich domain. The paranode and juxtaparanode are also enriched for 4.1B, a known protein partner in the ear stereocilia for Mpp1/p55 which interacts with Whrn [22]. Caspr2 also contains a PDZ-binding motif which could potentially interact with one of Whrn’s PDZ domains [12]. Finally, the c-terminus of Whrn has the potential for self-oligomerization [20], allowing for even more complex networks of protein-protein interaction within the myelinated axon.

Domain organization in myelinated axons is a complicated developmental process, culminating from intrinsic and extrinsic cellular factors. Here we demonstrate that Whrn expression is important for proper axonal domain organization and expand the role of Whrn outside the ear and eye. The phenotypes observed in the myelinated axons highlight that the paranodal-juxtaparanodal interface represents a substantial region for insight into paranodal stabilization and potential interaction with the axonal cytoskeleton. In light of recent evidence of 4.1G’s role at the internode and mesaxon, this paranodal-juxtaparanodal interface may represent an important subdomain in the study of myelinated fibers. Whrn’s protein domains have the potential to stabilize the paranodal myelin loops and associated cytoskeleton through direct or indirect interactions with Caspr, 4.1B, or other unidentified cytoskeletal proteins. These observations are correlated using several techniques including biochemistry, light and electron microscopy. Our observed paranodal phenotypes are consistent throughout development (2 wk-1 yr) and similar between central and peripheral nervous systems. One final important consideration about cytoskeletal linker proteins, both Whrn and 4.1B null mice have no statistical difference in conduction velocities in sciatic nerves compared to wild-type mice, despite having clear paranodal instability in Caspr-stained myelinated fibers. Such data suggest cytoskeletal linker proteins may be functionally redundant with respect to myelinated domain organization and may require secondary or tertiary genetic ablations to achieve any measurable electrophysiological effects. To this point, we observed the increase in Purkinje axonal swellings in the double Whrn; 4.1B null mouse cerebellum compared to the single Whrn knockout or wild-type mouse cerebellum. In conclusion, our observations indicate Whrn acts as a cytoskeletal scaffolding protein that is essential for proper paranodal compaction and stabilization of the axonal cytoskeleton for long-term health of myelinated axons.

Methods

Animals

Whrn exon 1 homozygous mutants used were obtained from Dr. Jun Yang’s lab (University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84132). The mice were backcrossed to C57BL6 mice (JAX Laboratories #000664, Bar Harbor, Maine) for two generations and maintained as heterozygous Whrn+/− breeding stocks. All animal experiments were performed according to Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved guidelines for ethical treatment of laboratory animals at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA from mouse tails and/or toe snips was extracted using a kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (Sigma-Aldrich Extract-N-Amp™ Tissue PCR Kit (XNAT2)). Cycling conditions used were: 95°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of (95°C for 30 sec, 68°C for 1.5 min), and 68°C for 3 min. Primer sequences for PCR were obtained from Dr. Jun Yang’s lab as follows: common WhrnRP (Pdzg5r) CAGGGAAATTGAGGCACACGG, wild-type Whrn+FP (pdzg1) GGGTGAATGACCGA GCCAG, and knockout Whrn-FP (PNT3A) GAGATCAGCACGCA GCAGCCTCTGTCCAC. The Whrn+ product size is 894 bp; the Whrn- product size is 700 bp.

Generation of Whrn antibody

We generated rabbit, guinea pig, and rat polyclonal anti-Whrn antibodies similar to previous literature [23]. A full length Whrn mouse cDNA construct in pcDNA3.1 was obtained from Dr. Jun Yang’s lab. Regions encoding amino-acid residues 220–326 and 699–804 of mouse Whirlin (Genbank: NP_001008791.1) were subcloned individually into pGEX4T1 and expressed in Escherichia coli (BL21; Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Fusion proteins were isolated by incubating with Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare, Sweden). Each fusion
protein was used to immunize a rabbit, guinea pig, or rat (Cocalico Biologicals Inc, Reamstown, PA). cDNAs encoding amino acids 220–326 or 699–804 of mouse Whrn were also introduced into pMAL-c2x (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), transformed into E. coli (DE3 BL21; Stratagene) and induced to express the corresponding maltose binding (MBP) fusion protein. The expressed MBP-fusion proteins were purified using amylose resin (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) and then linked to a NHS-activated Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare, Sweden). Only antisera from the immunized rabbit #349 (RbWhrn349) was affinity purified using the corresponding MBP–Whrn fusion protein.

RNA preparation and RT-PCR
Sciatic nerves, dorsal root ganglia, and spinal cord sections were removed from p21 Whrn mutant and wild-type mice. Tissue was stored and processed in RNALater Stabilization Reagent (QIAGEN). Total RNA was isolated using QIA shredder columns (QIAGEN) and RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN). RNA concentration was estimated and approx. 5 ng RNA was used for reverse transcription followed by PCR amplification using the MyTaq One-Step RT-PCR kit (Bioline). RT-PCR analysis was performed on three separate sets of animals. Cycling conditions used were: 45°C for 20 min, 95°C for 1 min, 35 cycles of (95°C for 10 sec, 62°C for 10 sec, 72°C for 30 sec), and 72°C for 5 min. Primers used for PCR were as follows: Actin (Ex2) FP: GCTCCGGCATGTGCAA, Actin (Ex4) RP: A GGATCTTCATGAGGTAGT. Whrn (Ex1) FP: ACCA GATTTCTGCAGGCTCAAC, Whrn (Sall-Ex4) RP: tccgG TCGACcactctgtaatgtctc; Whrn (EcoRI-Ex9) FP: CC CAgattcGGGGCGGCCTGCCACC, Whrn (Sall-Ex10) RP: cgggGTGCAgttggcaccctccg.

Other antibodies and immunoblotting reagents
The following antisera were previously described: guinea pig and rabbit anti-Caspr [7,10,30], guinea pig anti-NF186 and guinea pig anti-pan Neurofascin [10,30], guinea pig anti-4.1B antibodies [14], and mouse anti-Calbindin [31]. Other primary antibodies used include the following: mouse anti- K1,2 (University of California Davis/NIH NeuroMab Facility; K14/16), mouse anti-CASK (University of California Davis/NIH NeuroMab Facility; K56A/50), mouse anti-Ankyrin G (University of California Davis/NIH NeuroMab Facility; N106/36), mouse anti-Neurofilament H (Chemicon, MAI1623), rabbit anti-alpha-Tubulin (Cell Signaling #2144) and anti-Myelin Basic Protein (Abcam, SM1-94). Secondary antibodies used for immunofluorescence were Alexa Fluor-488, -568, and –647 conjugated (Invitrogen). HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch.

Immunostaining
Briefly, sciatic nerves were removed from anesthetized littermate wild-type and Whrn mutants of either sex and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15–30 min. The nerves were washed with PBS three times (10 min each) and stored at 4°C until teased. The nerves were teased into individual fibers in PBS, mounted on glass slides, and dried overnight at room temperature. Fibers were either immediately used for immunostaining or stored at ~80°C until needed. Teased nerve slides were submerged in aceton (methanol instead for anti-MBP staining) at -20°C for 20 min then washed with PBS, followed by immunostaining [4]. For spinal cord sections and cerebellar sections, wild-type and mutants were deeply anesthetized and intra-cardially perfused with PBS followed by ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. The spinal cord or cerebellum was dissected out and post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C. The tissues were rinsed with PBS and sectioned to 30 um using a Vibratome (Leica). The sections were then immediately immunostained as previously described [9,10]. Primary antibodies for immunostaining were used at the following concentrations overnight at 4°C: RbCaspr @1:500, GPNF186 @1:400, MsIgG2b- K1,2 @1:200, GPNFct @1:400, GP-beta-1spectrin @1:1000, GP4.1B @1:10000, and MsIgG-Nfl-H @1:1000, MsIgG1-Calb @1:1000, and MsIgG1-MBP @1:200.

Immunoblotting
Sciatic nerves and dorsal root ganglia from littermate wild-type and mutants of either sex were excised and processed using a glass homogenizer in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% SDS, and a protease mixture tablet). The lysate was incubated for 30 min on ice and then centrifuged at 16,000xg for 20 min at 4°C. The sciatic nerve or dorsal root ganglia supernatant was saved for further processing. Spinal cords from littermate wild-type and mutants of either sex were excised and either directly processed or frozen at -80°C. Spinal cords were homogenized using a glass mortar and pestle on ice with lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, and a protease inhibitor mixture tablet) and incubated for 30 min on ice with occasional trituration. The homogenate was centrifuged at 10000xg for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and subjected to an additional centrifugation at 100,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C. The resulting second supernatant was collected and saved for further processing. Protein concentrations of final lysates were determined using the Lowry assay (BC assay; BioRad). Lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, followed by immunoblotting procedures described previously [4]. Primary
antibodies for immunoblotting were used at the following concentrations for 1 hr at room temperature: Affinity-purified RbWhrn349 @ 1:1000 (overnight at 4°C), GP Caspr @ 1:2000, GPNFC1 @ 1:2000, Rb4.1B @ 1:50000, Ms IgG1-CASK @ 1:50000, RbCaspr2 @ 1:50000, RbTubulin @ 1:2000 (overnight at 4°C).

Electrophysiology
The conduction velocity measurements of sciatic nerves were carried out on three separate wild-type (+/+) and Whrn−/− mice as described previously [10,30].

Image analysis and software
Confocal images were captured with a Zeiss LSM510 microscope. Scanning parameters were optimized for wild-type tissues and maintained for scanning the mutant tissues. Immunofluorescence images for sciatic nerves and spinal cords are composite projections from Z stacks of three to six sections (0.6um scan step) or stacks of ten to twenty sections (0.6um scan step) for cerebellar slices. Software used for assembling figures included Zeiss LSM Image Browser (v4.2), ImageJ (v1.47d), GIMP (v2.82), and OpenOffice (v3.4.1).

Quantification of phenotype and statistics
For the initial quantification, we utilized a blinded counting strategy to best estimate the spring-like phenotype. Teased sciatic nerves were prepared from wild-type or Whrn−/− mice. One individual prepared all teased slides and randomly assigned a number to each slide. Once completed, the individual compiled a table of genotypes matched to assigned numbers. Blinded to that table, a second individual immunostained the numbered slides and matched to assigned numbers. Blinded to that table, a second individual immunostained the numbered slides and counted wild-type and Whrn−/− Caspr-stained paranodes. Immunostained paranodes were counted under a fluorescent microscope at 40× magnification. Any paranode with 3 or more spring-like, loops were considered phenotype-positive. Data was compiled as the percentage of phenotype-positive paranodes out of twenty total counted for that age and genotype.

Transmission electron microscopy
Animal tissues were fixed using 4% Formaldehyde/1% Glutaraldehyde (4CF1G) via intra-cardiac perfusion for 30 minutes. Tissues were dissected out and placed in 4CF1G to post-fix overnight at 4°C. Tissues were then processed by UTHSCSA Electron Microscopy core. Core processing steps as follows: (1) buffer rinse in 0.1 M phosphate buffer for 5 minutes to overnight, (2) post-fixation in 1% Zetterqvist’s buffered Osmium Tetroxide for 30 minutes, (3) buffer rinse in Zetterqvist’s buffer for 3 minutes, (4) en bloc staining in 2% aqueous uranyl acetate for 20 minutes, (5) dehydration in 30 minutes. Tissues were dissected out and placed in propylene oxide twice for 10 minutes, then propylene oxide twice for 10 minutes, then propylene oxide resin for 30 minutes then 100% resin for 30 minutes under 25 psi vacuum. Once embedded, tissue was sliced in 90 nm sections and placed on copper grids. Grids were stained with uranyl acetate for 30 seconds in the microwave and then with Reynold’s grid for 1 minute. Samples were imaged at 80 kV on a JEOL 1230 electron microscope using AMT (advanced microscopy techniques) software.
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