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Abstract Fusidic acid is the only fusidane-type antibiotic that has been clinically used. However, biosynthesis of this important molecule in fungi is poorly understood. We have recently elucidated the biosynthesis of fusidane-type antibiotic helvolic acid, which provides us with clues to identify a possible gene cluster for fusidic acid (\textit{fus} cluster). This gene cluster consists of eight genes, among which six are conserved in the helvolic acid gene cluster except \textit{fusC1} and \textit{fusB1}. Introduction of the two genes into the \textit{Aspergillus oryzae} NSAR1 expressing the conserved six genes led to the production of fusidic acid. A stepwise introduction of \textit{fusC1} and \textit{fusB1} revealed that the two genes worked independently without a strict reaction order. Notably, we identified two short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase genes \textit{fusC1} and \textit{fusC2} in the \textit{fus} cluster, which showed converse stereoselectivity in 3-ketoreduction. This is the first report on the biosynthesis and heterologous expression of fusidic acid.
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1. Introduction

Fusidane-type antibiotics belong to a small group of fungi-derived 29-nor protostane triterpenoids, which are represented by fusidic acid (I), helvolic acid and cephalosporin P1 (Fig. 1A). They exhibit potent bacteriostatic activity against Gram-positive bacteria, and among them fusidic acid has been clinically used since 1962 for the treatment of both topical and systemic infections caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*12,13. As fusidane-type antibiotics are the only known antibiotics that target elongation factor EF-G to inhibit bacterial protein synthesis12–15, they have no cross-resistance with commonly used antibiotics, which have drawn much attention in the increasing threat of antimicrobial resistance16. Fusidane-type antibiotics have been subjected to extensive chemical synthesis and derivatization16,17; however, more potent analogues than fusidic acid have not been found thus far. Combinatorial biosynthesis is an alternative approach to generate chemical diversity, which however requires a deep understanding of the biosynthesis of target compounds.

Previous studies on the biosynthesis of fusidane-type antibiotics are mainly dependent on the feeding experiments using isotopically labeled precursors11. However, the genetic basis for the biosynthesis of fusidane-type antibiotics remains elusive until 2009 when a cluster for helvolic acid was identified from the genome of *A. fumigatus* AF29312,13. This gene cluster consists of nine genes, among which HelA (oxidosqualene cyclase, OSC) was revealed to catalyze the cyclization of 2,3(S)-oxidosqualene to form the carbon skeleton protostadienol, and HelC (short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase, SDR) was shown to be responsible for the conversion of protostadienol to its 3-keto and 4β-carboxylic acid derivatives, respectively13. To shed light on the whole biosynthetic pathway for helvolic acid, we have recently reconstituted all the nine genes in a stepwise manner in a quadruple auxotrophic *A. oryzae* NSAR114, which allows us to elucidate the complete biosynthetic pathway for helvolic acid and the functions of the rest six genes15. Despite these, biosynthesis of the clinically used fusidic acid remains elusive.

In the present study, we first sequenced the genome of the fusidic acid producer *Acremonium fusidioide* ATCC 14700 and identified a possible gene cluster by searching for the HelA homologue. This gene cluster consists of eight genes, among which six are conserved in helvolic acid gene cluster. Reconstitution of these genes in *A. oryzae* NSAR1 has led to the production of fusidic acid and characterization of its full biosynthetic pathway. This study has provided a basis for expanding chemical diversity of fusidane-type antibiotics using biosynthetic approaches.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. General materials and experimental procedures

Acetonitrile (MeCN) was purchased from Oceanpak Alexative Chemical Co., Ltd. (Gothenburg, Sweden). Ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and acetone were analytical grade from Fine Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Formic acid was obtained from Kemiou Chemical Co., Ltd. (Gothenburg, Sweden). Ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and acetone were analytical grade from Fine Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Plasmid extraction kits and DNA purification kits were purchased from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). PCR was performed using KOD-FX DNA polymerase or KOD-Plus DNA polymerase (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit or T4 DNA ligase were purchased from Takara Bio Inc. (Dalian, China). DNA restriction enzyme were purchased from thermo Fisher Scientific (Shenzhen, China).

The HR-ESI-MS spectra were obtained with a Waters Synapt G2 TOF mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation, Milford, USA). The 1D and 2D NMR spectra were acquired with Bruker AV 400 or Bruker AV 600 spectrometers (Bruker BioSpin Group, Faelanden, Switzerland) using the solvent signals (CDCl3; δH 7.26δC; 77.0) as internal standards.

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS) were carried out on an Ultimate 3000 HPLC system ( Dionex) and an amaZon SL ion trap mass spectrometer (Bruker) using electrospray ionization with a YMC-pack ODS-A column (250 mm × 4.6 mm i.d., 5 μm; YMC Co., Ltd., Japan). Elution was subjected to a linear gradient [H2O containing 0.1% formic acid (A) and CH3CN containing 0.1% formic acid (B); 1 mL/min; 50%–100% B (0–30 min), 100% B (10 min); 208 nm].

The semi-preparative HPLC was carried out on an Ultimate 3000 HPLC system (Dionex) equipped with UV detector, using a YMC-Pack ODS-A column (250 mm × 10.0 mm i.d., 5 μm) (YMC Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). Medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) was carried out on a UV preparative detector, a dual pump gradient system, and a Dr. Flash II fraction collector system (Lisui E-Tech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). Column chromatography (CC) was performed with ODS (50 μm, YMC Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

2.2. Strains and media

*A. fusidioide* ATCC 14700 was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Maryland, USA). *A. fusidioide* ATCC 14700 was cultivated at 28 °C, 150 rpm (IS-RDS4 incubator, Crystal Technology & Industries, Inc., Beijing, China) in PDB medium for 3 days, and served as a source for whole genome sequencing and the cloning of the fusC1, fusB1 and fusC2 genes. *A. oryzae* NSAR1 (Δcoe, ΔadiB, ΔargB, adeA) was used as the host for heterologous expression16. Transformants of *A. oryzae* NSAR1 were cultured in the 50 mL centrifuge tube with 15 mL DPY medium (2% dextrin, 1% polypeptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.05% MgSO4·7H2O, 0.5% KH2PO4) for 2 days at 28 °C and 150 rpm as seed broth. The cells were then transferred into Czapek–Dox (CD) medium with polypeptone and starch (0.3% NaNO3, 0.2% KCl, 0.05% MgSO4·7H2O, 0.1% KH2PO4, 0.002% FeSO4·7H2O, 1% polypeptone, 2% starch, pH 5.5) to induce gene expression under the amyB promoter, and cultured for 5 to 6 days.

Standard DNA engineering experiments were performed using *E. coli* DH5α. *E. coli* cells bearing each plasmid were grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium with appropriate antibiotics, and *E. coli* BL21-Codon Plus (DE3) was used for recombinant expression of FusC1 and FusC2 (Supporting Information Fig. S1).

2.3. Whole genome sequencing and analysis

Genome sequencing of *A. fusidioide* ATCC 14700 was performed by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) with an Illumina HiSeq. 2500 system. Sequence assembly was
performed with SOAPdenovo version 2.04 (http://soap.genomics.org.cn/soapdenovo.html) to yield 662 contigs covering approximately 31.9 Mb. The contig N50 length is approximately 112,188. Gene functional annotation was based on blastp searches of KOG, TrEMBL, Swissprot, GO, KEGG, PFAM, NR and CDD databases, and manually revised by comparisons with homologous genes in the NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). All the predicted genes were used to construct a protein database for local BLAST search.

2.4. Phylogenetic analysis

The full-length protein sequences of all related enzyme were collected from GenBank and JGI database, and aligned using ClustalW. A rooted maximum likelihood tree was generated using poisson model by MEGA 6.0 software with bootstrapping for 1000 replicates.

2.5. Construction of fungal expression plasmids

To construct the fungal expression plasmids for the fusC1 and fusB1 genes. fusC1 and fusB1 were initially amplified from A. fusidioides ATCC 14700 genomic DNA and cDNA, respectively. The primers used for the amplification are listed in Supporting Information Table S1. The full-length genes were purified, digested with EcoRI and KpnI, and ligated into the pTAex3 vector using the T4 DNA ligase (TaKaRa), to afford pTAex3-fusC1 and pTAex3-fusB1.

pPTRI-fusC1 and pPTRI-fusB1 were respectively ligated into the HindIII-digested pPTRI using the In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit. All of the expression plasmids are listed in Supporting Information Table S2.

2.6. Transformation of A. oryzae NSAR1

Transformation of A. oryzae NSAR1 was performed as previously described16. The spore suspension of A. oryzae NSAR1 was inoculated into 10 mL DPY medium and cultivated at 28 °C and 150 rpm for 2 days. The cells were then transferred into 100 mL DPY medium and grown for 1 day at 28 °C and 150 rpm. Mycelia were collected by filtration and digested with 1% Yatalase (Takara) in 0.6 mol/L (NH4)2SO4, 50 mmol/L maleic acid, pH 5.5 at 30 °C for 3 h. After removing residues by filtration, protoplasts were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min and washed with Solution 2 (1.2 mol/L sorbitol, 35 mmol/L NaCl, 50 mmol/L CaCl2·2H2O, 10 mmol/L Tris–HCl, pH 7.5), and then adjusted to 2 × 10⁷ cells/mL in Solution 2. A mixture of 200 μL protoplasts solution and 10 μg plasmids was incubated on ice for 30 min, and subsequently a total of 1.35 mL (250, 250, and 850 μL) Solution 3 (60% PEG4000, 50 mmol/L CaCl2·2H2O, 10 mmol/L Tris–HCl, pH 7.5) was added to the aliquot. After incubating for 20 min at the room temperature, 10 mL Solution 2 was added, and then the mixture was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min. The precipitates were suspended in 200 μL Solution 2 and spread on the lower selective medium (0.1% (NH4)2SO4, 0.2% NH4Cl, 0.05% NaCl, 0.05% KCl, 0.1% KH2PO4, 0.05% MgSO4·7H2O, 0.002% FeSO4·7H2O, 2% glucose and 1.2 mol/L sorbitol as well as 0.15% methionine, 0.1% arginine, 0.01% adenine, and 0.1 μg/mL pyrithiamine hydrobromide if necessary, pH 5.5) with 1.5% agar, and then covered with the selective upper medium containing 0.8% agar. The plates were incubated at 30 °C for 5–7 days. The six-gene expression strain15 was transformed with pPTRI-fusC1-fusB1, pPTRI-fusB1 or pPTRI-fusC1.
2.7. Isolation and purification of metabolites

For the analysis of the metabolites in different transformants, the culture medium was extracted with EtOAc and mycelia were extracted with acetone at room temperature overnight. The extract was concentrated under reduced pressure, and resuspended for analysis. For isolation of metabolites, the culture medium extract was subjected to the ODS column chromatography and further purification by semi-preparative HPLC (Supporting Information Methods).

2.8. Recombinant expression and purification of FusC1 and FusC2

The cDNA fragments encoding FusC1 and FusC2 were amplified from A. fumigatus ATCC14700 genomic DNA with the primers listed in Supporting Information Table S1, and ligated into the pET-28a(+) vector digested with NdeI using the In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit, respectively.

For the expression of fusC1 and fusC2, fusC1 or fusC2 with an N-terminal His6-tag was expressed in E. coli BL21-Codon Plus (DE3). The cells were cultured at 37 °C, 200 rpm overnight in 10 mL of LB medium with 50 mg/L kanamycin and then 1.5 mL seed broth was transferred into the 500 mL flask with 150 mL LB medium and 50 mg/L kanamycin, and grew at 37 °C and 200 rpm. Gene expression was induced by the addition of 0.2–0.3 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) when the cultures had grown to OD₆₀₀ of 0.5–0.7. The cells were further cultured at 18 °C for 12–16 h before harvested by centrifugation at 4 °C and 5000 x g for 15 min. The cells pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris−HCl, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 5% glycerol, pH 8.0), and lysed by sonication on ice. The His₆-tagged proteins were purified by using Ni-NTA affinity column (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) according to previously reported methods. The purified enzyme was analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Protein concentration was quantified according to the Bradford method by Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, USA).

2.9. Enzymatic reaction assay of FusC1, FusC2, and HelC

The enzymatic assays of FusC1, FusC2, and HelC were performed in a 200 μL reaction mixture containing 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.0), 5 mM NADH or NAPDH, 2.35–7.00 μM enzymes, and 0.5 mM substrate as previously described. The reactions with inactivated enzymes were used as negative controls. Each reaction was incubated at 30 °C for 12 h and extracted twice with 200 μL ethyl acetate. The solvents were dried and dissolved in 50 μL of MeOH and subjected to HPLC analysis. Integrated peak areas for the substrate and product were used to calculate the conversion efficiency and normalized to the activity of enzymes in standard condition.

2.10. Determination of the kinetics parameters of FusC1 and FusC2

100 μL reactions contained 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.0), 500 μM cofactor (NADPH or NADH), 0.2 μM enzyme (FusC1 or FusC2), and 5 μM substrate to 600 μM in DMSO. After a preincubation at 30 °C for 3 min, the reactions were initiated by adding the substrates, and continued for 2.5 min. Among them, the reaction of FusC2 in the presence of NADPH was carried out for 25 min. All the reactions were terminated by adding 100 μL methanol and mixed by vortex. After centrifugation, the supernatant was analyzed by HPLC and quantified by a standard curve. Assays were conducted in duplicate, and all rates were confirmed to be linear. The kinetics curves were fit to the Michaelis–Menten equation using GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

2.11. Feeding experiments

Transformant harboring pTAex3-fusB1 plasmid was inoculated into 10 mL DPY medium for 2–3 days, and then inoculated into 50 mL CD medium for expressing the fusB1. After cultivation for 24 h, the culture medium was supplemented with 1.0 mg of 5 dissolved in 30 μL DMSO and was cultivated for another 3 days. The culture medium was extracted with an equal volume of EtOAc and the dried extract was dissolved in MeOH for HPLC analysis.

2.12. Antibacterial assay

Antibacterial activity of compounds 1, 3, 4, and 5 against Gram-positive strain Staphylococcus aureus 209 P was evaluated using the 2-fold dilution assay. Seed cultures of S. aureus 209 P was inoculated on beef extract medium at 37 °C for 1 day. The resulting seed culture were collected with normal saline and adjusted to a concentration of 10⁻⁸–10⁻⁵ mL, and then added to each well of 96-well microtiter plates (100 μL/well). These bacteria were treated with a serial 2-fold dilution of each compound, ranging from 128 to 0.002 μg/mL. Tobramycin was used as the positive control and DMSO was used as the negative control. The 96-well microtiter plates were placed in an incubator at 37 °C for 24 h. The MICs were defined as the minimal concentration at which no growth of bacteria could be observed.

2.13. Structural characterization

Compound 1: a white powder; HR-ESI-MS (positive) m/z 517.3539 [M + H]⁺ (Calcd. for C₃₁H₄₉O₆, 517.3529), see Supporting Information Fig. S7A; NMR spectra, see Supporting Information Fig. S7B–C; NMR data, see Supporting Information Table S4; The NMR data are in good agreement with those of fusidic acid (Supporting Information Note 1).

Compound 3: a yellowish powder; HR-ESI-MS (positive) m/z 515.3395 [M + H]⁺ (Calcd. for C₃₁H₄₉O₆, 515.3373), see Supporting Information Fig. S8A; NMR spectra, see Supporting Information Fig. S8B–G; NMR data, see Supporting Information Table S5; the structure is as the same as that of 3-oxofusidic acid, the detailed NMR assignments of which are not available prior to the present study (Supporting Information Note 2).

Compound 4: a yellowish powder; HR-ESI-MS (positive) m/z 517.3496 [M + H]⁺ (Calcd. for C₃₁H₄₉O₆, 517.3529), see Supporting Information Fig. S9A; NMR spectra, see Supporting Information Fig. S9B–G; NMR data, see Supporting Information Table S6; the structure is as the same as that of 3-epifusidic acid, the detailed NMR assignments of which are not available prior to the present study (Supporting Information Note 3).

Compound 5: a white powder; HR-ESI-MS (positive) m/z 501.3570 [M + H]⁺ (Calcd. for C₃₅H₄₉O₅, 501.3580), see Supporting
3. Results

3.1. Identification of the biosynthetic gene cluster for fusidic acid

To reveal the molecular basis for the biosynthesis of fusidic acid, we purchased its producer, A. fusidoides ATCC 14700 from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Production of fusidic acid by this strain was confirmed by comparison with the authentic compound via LC–MS analysis (Supporting Information Fig. S2). We then sequenced the whole genome of A. fusidoides and identified a possible gene cluster for fusidic acid by searching of the HelA homologue (Fig. 1B). This gene cluster (designated as fus cluster, accession number: MK044769) consists of eight genes, encoding one oxidosqualene cyclase (fusA), four cytochrome P450s (fusB1-B4), one acyltransferase (fusD), two short-chain dehydrogenase/reductases (fusCl-C2) (Fig. 1B and Table 1). Among them, six genes, fusA, fusB2, fusB3, fusB4, fusC2 and fusD encode proteins with high sequence similarity (37%-65% identity) to those encoded by helA, helB2, helB4, helB1, helC and helD2 in the gene cluster of helvolic acid. Coincidentally, we have previously shown that the six genes are involved in the early-stage biosynthesis of helvolic acid. Based on these facts, we hypothesized that the six-gene-mediated reaction is likely to be a common pathway in the early stage biosynthesis of all fusidane-type antibiotics to give the key intermediate.

To test this hypothesis, we introduced the two uncharacterized genes fusC1 and fusB1 into the six-gene expression strain established in our previous study by co-expression of helA, helB2, helB4, helB1, helC and helD2. The transformant was cultured in an induction medium for 6 days, and the supernatant extract was analyzed by HPLC. As shown in Fig. 2A(v), compared to the six-gene expression strain (Fig. 2A(ii)) that dominantly produced 2, introduction of fusC1 and fusB1 led to the generation of an additional compound 1. This compound was isolated and structurally determined to be fusidic acid. The yield of fusidic acid in the heterologous expression of A. oryzae is around 14.3 mg/L, which is much higher than that of the wild-type strain A. fusidoides ATCC 14700. Therefore, using a combinatorial biosynthetic approach, we have identified the gene cluster for fusidic acid and achieved its heterologous expression.

3.2. Characterization of the biosynthetic pathway for fusidic acid

To address whether FusB1 and FusC1 can only function after the action of the conserved six genes, we introduced fusC1 and fusB1 into the helC-lacked five-gene expression strain (helA, B1, B2, B4, B1 and D2) because we have shown that HelC catalyzes the final step in the six-gene mediated reaction. As shown in Supporting Information Fig. S3, no additional peaks were observed, suggesting that FusC1 and FusB1 can only function after the conserved six genes.

We then carried out the stepwise introduction of fusC1 and fusB1 to the six-gene expression strain for elucidating the full biosynthetic pathway of fusidic acid. As shown in Fig. 2A(iii), introduction of fusB1 to the six-gene expression strain gave two additional compounds 3 and 4. NMR structural characterization showed that 3 was featured with an α-hydroxyl group at the C-11 compared to 2, indicating that FusB1 (P450) was responsible for α-hydroxylation of C-11 (Fig. 2D). And the minor product 4 was elucidated as the 3-keto reduced product of 3. On the other hand, addition of fusC1 also led to the appearance of a new peak 5 (Fig. 2A(iv)). Isolation and structural determination of 5 indicated that it contains a 3α-hydroxyl group, suggesting that FusC1 catalyzes the specific reduction of 3-keto to 3α-OH (Fig. 2D).

The above results clearly showed that both FusB1 and FusC1 could accept 2 as a substrate to generate 3 and 5, respectively, but it is still not clear whether 1 is formed from 3 by FusC1 or from 5 under the action of FusB1. To address these issues, FusC1 was expressed in E. coli BL21-Codon Plus (DE3) (Supporting Information Fig. S1), purified and incubated with 3. An efficient conversion of 3 to 1 by FusC1 was observed (Fig. 2B(iv)), but not the denatured FusC1 (Fig. 2B(iii)). Since FusB1 is a membrane-type P450 enzyme and difficult to be actively expressed in E. coli, feeding experiment was carried out by establishing a transfectant strain harboring only fusB1. As shown in Fig. 2C, we observed that fusB1-harboring strain but not the control strain could generate 1 when supplemented with 5. These results clearly indicated that fusidic acid can be generated from both 3 and 5 by FusC1 and FusB1, respectively (Fig. 2D).

3.3. Identification of two SDR enzymes with converse stereoselectivity in the fus cluster

In this study as well as our previous study on the biosynthesis of helvolic acid, we often observed the co-existence of 3β-OH derivatives with their corresponding 3-keto derivatives (Fig. 2A

| Table 1 | Putative functions of genes in the fusidic acid gene cluster. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Gene    | Protein homologue, origin                                  | Similarity/identity (%) | Proposed function                    |
| fusC1   | MGGY_08624, Namízcia gypsea CBS 118893                    | 78/63                    | Short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase  |
| fusB1   | MGGY_08623, Namízcia gypsea CBS 118893                    | 86/78                    | Cytochrome P450                       |
| fusC2   | HeIc, Aspergillus fumigatus Af293                         | 72/59                    | Short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase  |
| fusB2   | HeIB2, Aspergillus fumigatus Af293                        | 75/59                    | Cytochrome P450                       |
| fusD    | HeID2, Aspergillus fumigatus Af293                        | 53/37                    | Acyltransferase                      |
| fusA    | HeIA, Aspergillus fumigatus Af293                         | 77/64                    | Oxidosqualene cyclase                |
| fusB3   | HeIB4, Aspergillus fumigatus Af293                        | 78/62                    | Cytochrome P450                       |
| fusB4   | HeIB1, Aspergillus fumigatus Af293                        | 79/65                    | Cytochrome P450                       |
(iii)), but the enzyme for the specific conversion of 3-keto to 3β-OH remains elusive. Among the conserved six genes, there is a gene encoding a short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (HelC), responsible for the dehydrogenation of 3β-OH to 3-keto in the presence of NAD$^+$
. Since some SDR enzymes are able to catalyze the reversible reduction/dehydrogenation\footnote{25}, we wondered whether this conserved SDR enzyme (HelC) accounts for the formation of 3β-OH derivatives. We then incubated HelC with 3 in the presence of NADH. As expected, we observed an efficient conversion of 3 to 4 by HelC \footnote{Supporting Information Fig. S4B}. We then cloned fusC2 (homologue of helC) from the fus cluster and expressed it in E. coli BL21-Codon Plus (DE3) \footnote{Supporting Information Fig. S1}. \textit{In vitro} enzymatic assay of FusC2 along with FusC1 was carried out using the same substrate \footnote{2\footnote{Supporting Information Fig. S1}}. Both FusC1 and FusC2 could accept 2 as a substrate. FusC1 specifically converted 3-keto to 3α-OH (Fig. 3A), while FusC2 catalyzed the specific reduction of 3-keto to 3β-OH (Fig. 3B). These results indicated that FusC2 and HelC were responsible for the formation of 3β-OH derivatives during the biosynthesis of fusidic acid and helvolic acid. In addition, we also demonstrated that the 3β-OH-containing products 6, 8 and 10 during helvolic acid biosynthesis were generated from 2, 7, and 9 by HelC \footnote{Supporting Information Fig. S4}.

**Figure 2** Biosynthesis of fusidic acid. (A) HPLC analysis of culture supernatant extract from various \textit{A. oryzae} transformants: (i) Blank medium; (ii) \textit{A. oryzae} transformant expressing the conserved six genes (helA, B1, B2, C, B4, and D2); (iii) \textit{A. oryzae} transformant expressing the conserved six genes and fusB1; (iv) \textit{A. oryzae} transformant expressing the conserved six genes and fusC1; (v) \textit{A. oryzae} transformant expressing the conserved six genes, fusB1 and fusC1. (B) HPLC analysis of \textit{in vitro} enzymatic reaction with FusC1: (i) compound 1; (ii) compound 3; (iii) compound 3 with inactivated FusC1; (iv) compound 3 with FusC1. (C) HPLC analysis of culture supernatant extract of \textit{A. oryzae} harboring fusB1 fed with 5: (i) compound 5; (ii) compound 1; (iii) \textit{A. oryzae} harboring the empty vector incubated with 5; (iv) \textit{A. oryzae} harboring fusB1 incubated with 5. (D) Schematic representation of the biosynthetic pathway of fusidic acid.
The above results showed that the 3β-OH derivatives were formed by the conserved SDR enzymes FusC2 or HelC, but they were always produced as minor products (Fig. 2A(iii)). Especially in the presence of FusC1, 3β-OH derivatives were hardly detected. These results drive us to compare the catalytic efficiency of FusC1 and FusC2 at 30°C, a standard temperature of the incubation of fungi. We first determined the optimal pH for both enzymes and found that both of them preferred pH 7.0 (Fig. 4A and B). We then compared the catalytic efficiency of FusC1 and FusC2 in the presence of NADPH or NADH by monitoring the conversion rate over time. As shown in Fig. 4C and D, in the presence of NADPH, FusC1-mediated reduction was more efficient than FusC2, but FusC2 catalyzed more efficiently in the presence of NADH. These results suggested that the co-factors may function to regulate the production of 3β-OH and 3α-OH derivatives.

To further characterize the enzymatic properties of FusC1 and FusC2, we measured their steady-state kinetic parameters using 2 as a substrate. In the presence of NADH, the $K_m$ value of FusC1 is 38.77 μmol/L, which is only slightly higher than that of FusC2 (32.80 μmol/L), while the $K_{cat}/K_m$ value of FusC1 (0.89 L/min/μmol) is much lower than that of FusC2 (2.24 L/min/μmol) (Fig. 5A and B and Table 2). This result is consistent with the fact that FusC1 is less efficient than FusC2 in the presence of NADH (Fig. 4D). On the other hand, in the presence of NADPH, the $K_m$ value of FusC1 is 70.03 μmol/L, which is lower than that of FusC2 (151.20 μmol/L), and the $K_{cat}/K_m$ value of FusC1 (3.89 L/min/μmol) is 200-fold higher than that of FusC2 (0.02 L/min/μmol) (Fig. 5C and D and Table 2), indicating a greater substrate affinity and significantly higher catalytic efficiency of FusC1 in the presence of NADPH. These results are highly consistent with the results that FusC1 is much more efficient than FusC2 in the presence of NADPH (Fig. 4C).

### 3.4. Structure–activity analysis of fusidic acid derivatives

In the course of our work, we obtained fusidic acid and its 3 analogues via heterologous expression in A. oryzae. Their inhibitory effects against S. aureus 209 P was carried out by the 2-fold dilution method using tobramycin as a positive control. As shown in Table 3, all the compounds exhibited potent bacteriakilling activity. Among them, fusidic acid (1) showed the most potent antibacterial activity with an MIC value of 0.004 μg/mL, which was stronger than that of 3 (MIC = 0.25 μg/mL) and 4 (MIC = 8 μg/mL), suggesting that 3α-OH was more important than 3-keto and 3β-OH. Comparison of 1 and 5 also indicated that the 11α-OH was critical for the activity, which was consistent with previous reports.

### 4. Discussion

Fusidic acid is a fungal-derived triterpenoid antibiotic and has been clinically used since 1960s for the treatment of infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria. Here, we reported the identification of the biosynthetic gene cluster of fusidic acid and its heterologous expression in A. oryzae using a combinational strategy. Notably, we identified two SDR enzymes with opposite stereoselectivity from the same gene cluster, providing new tools for the transformation of triterpenoids and steroids.

Fusidic acid, helvolic acid and cephalosporin P1 are the three representative fusidane-type antibiotics, and among them, only fusidic acid are clinically used. Since they have no cross resistance to commonly used antibiotics, chemical derivatization of fusidane-type antibiotics has been extensively carried out aiming at finding more potent analogues. Unfortunately, derivatives with stronger activity than fusidic acid have not been found thus far. Combinational biosynthesis is an alternative approach to expand chemical diversity, which however requires a deep understanding of the biosynthesis of target compounds. Thus far, we have characterized the biosynthetic pathway for helvolic acid and fusidic acid, both of which share a six-enzyme catalyzed pathway at the early stage. This fact will provide clues to the identification of the gene cluster for cephalosporin P1. Notably, compared with the early-stage biosynthesis catalyzed by the six conserved enzymes in a strict reaction order, the post modification enzymes action independently without a strict order. This feature has driven us to use a combinational biosynthetic approach to expand the
chemical diversity by introduction of these post modification genes from different origins into the six-gene expression strain.

Hydroxylation at C-11α position is important for the antibacterial activity of fusidic acid (Table 3), which is catalyzed by a cytochrome P450 monooxygenase FusB1. Thus far, only three steroid 11α-hydroxylases CYP509C12, CYP311B1 and 11α- SH4 from *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Aspergillus ochraceus* and *Absidia caerulea*, respectively, have been reported. Phylogenetic analysis of FusB1 with other fungi-derived P450 enzymes involved triterpenoid/steroid modifications revealed that FusB1

**Figure 4** Comparison of the catalytic property between FusC1 and FusC2. (A) Effects of the pH on the catalytic activity of FusC1. (B) Effects of the pH on the catalytic activity of FusC2. (C) Comparison of the catalytic efficiency of FusC1 and FusC2 in the presence of NADPH. (D) Comparison of the catalytic efficiency of FusC1 and FusC2 in the presence of NADH. All values are means ± standard error from two experiments.

**Figure 5** Steady-state enzyme kinetics of FusC1 and FusC2 using 2 as a substrate. (A) Kinetic parameters for FusC1 were determined at a saturating concentration of NADH. (B) Kinetic parameters for FusC2 were determined at a saturating concentration of NADH. (C) Kinetic parameters for FusC1 were determined at a saturating concentration of NADPH. (D) Kinetic parameters for FusC2 were determined at a saturating concentration of NADPH.
form a cluster with CYP311B1 and 11α-SH\textsuperscript{\text{A}}och, but not with steroid 11α-hydroxylase CYP509C12, and CYP5150L8 involved in biosynthesis of ganoderic acid\textsuperscript{29} and VidA/D/K for demethoxy-yviridin biosynthesis\textsuperscript{30} (Supporting Information Fig. S5). Notably, FusB1 is far from the clade of HelB2/FusB2, HelB4/FusB3 and HelB1/FusB4, ruling out the possibility that FusB2 is generated from FusB1 by gene duplication. This newly identified FusB1 will be developed as a useful tool for 11α-hydroxylation of other steroids and triterpenoids.

Different from the biosynthetic gene cluster of helvolic acid that contains only one SDR gene, we have identified two SDR genes, fusC1 and fusC2, from the fus cluster. FusC2, the homologue of HelC, catalyzes the dehydrogenation of 3β-OH to trigger the oxidative decarboxylation, whereas FusC1 is for the specific reduction of 3-keto to 3α-OH during the biosynthesis of fusidic acid. We also found that FusC2 could specifically reduce the 3-keto to 3β-OH, but this conversion is hardly detected in the presence of FusC1. Sequence comparison of FusC1 with FusC2 shows rather low sequence homology (26% identity). Phylogenetic analysis of FusC1 and FusC2 as well as other fungi-derived SDR enzymes showed that FusC1 belongs to the family of “extended (e)” SDRs, while FusC2 belongs to the family of “classical” SDRs, respectively (Supporting Information Fig. S6)\textsuperscript{31}. The molecular basis for how FusC1 and FusC2 specifically convert the same substrate to epimers requires further X-ray crystallographic study.

Although both SDR enzymes could accept the 3-keto derivatives and reduce them into 3α-OH or 3β-OH products, the efficiency of HelC or FusC2 seems much lower than that of FusC1 as we could not detect the 3β-OH products when FusC1 was co-expressed in the six-gene expression strain. Comparison of the catalytic efficiency of FusC1 and FusC2 revealed that in the presence of NADPH, FusC1 is about 200-fold more active than FusC2, while in the presence of NADH, FusC1 is less active than FusC2. These facts raised a possibility that the predominant production of 3α-OH products is possibly caused by the larger amount of NADPH relative to NADH in the fungi. Even though, the possibility that the predominant production of 3α-OH products is caused by the larger amount of fusC1 relative to fusC2 in the fungi could not be ruled out.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, using a combinational biosynthetic strategy, we have firstly identified the biosynthetic gene cluster of the clinically used drug fusidic acid and characterized its full biosynthetic pathway. The newly discovered two SDR enzymes could be useful tools for transformation of steroids and triterpenoids. Our study has set a stag to use biosynthetic approach to expand the chemical diversity of fusidyl-type antibiotics.
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Table 2 Kinetic data for FusC1 and FusC2 using 2 as a substrate.

| Condition                  | $K_m$ (μmol/L) | $V_{max}$ (nmol/L/min) | $K_{cat}$ (1/min) | $K_{cat}/K_m$ (L/min/μmol) |
|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| FusC1 (2 + NADH cofactor)  | 38.77±7.75     | 6867±363.30            | 34.34±1.82       | 0.89                       |
| FusC2 (2 + NADH cofactor)  | 32.80±5.96     | 14693±667.50           | 73.47±3.34       | 2.24                       |
| FusC1 (2 + NADPH cofactor) | 70.03±20.00    | 5448±4501              | 272.4±22.51      | 3.89                       |
| FusC2 (2 + NADPH cofactor) | 151.20±37.42   | 630.50±55.29           | 3.15±0.28        | 0.02                       |

Table 3 Anti-Staphylococcus aureus 209P activity of compounds.

| Compound                  | MIC (μg/mL) |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1 (Fusidic acid)          | 0.004       |
| 3                         | 0.25        |
| 4                         | 8           |
| 5                         | 0.25        |
| Tobramycin                | 0.06        |
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