Application of Cow Urine as a Liquid Biofertilizer in Carrot Production in an Agro-sustainable System
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Abstract

Carrot (Daucus carota) is one of the most important vegetables in the world. Cow urine is a fertilizer available in rural areas and can be used in agriculture. However, there are no indications of the best dose to be used in carrots. The authors aimed with this work to evaluate different concentrations of cow urine in the cultivation of ‘Brasília’ carrots. The treatments consisted of five doses of cow urine (0%, 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0%) applied during the culture cycle. After 90 days, the agronomic characteristics (weight, length, diameter, productivity, luminosity, chromaticity, and Hue angle) were evaluated. The application of cow urine increased the weight, diameter, and length of ‘Brasilia’ carrots.
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1. Introduction

Carrot (Daucus carota) is a vegetable of great economic importance. In addition, it is among the ten most produced in Brazil (Miranda et al., 2017). The application of fertilizers in management activities has become an important agricultural practice to improve soil fertility (Afrin et al., 2019), but in conventional carrot production systems, many inorganic fertilizers are used misused (Colombari et al., 2018). This increases the amount of agrochemicals applied to the soil (Chen et al., 2018). In addition to increasing costs for the farmer (Li et al., 2019).

The agro-sustainable cultivation of food is an alternative to these practices. Because, in addition to meeting food needs, it also improves the quality of the environment. It optimizes the use of natural resources (Imadi et al., 2016), promotes an increase in organic matter in the soil (Leon et al., 2018), and increases the microbiota responsible for several biological processes (Testani et al., 2020). In agro-sustainable production systems, residues serve as a source of nutrients (Diacono et al., 2019).

Among these residues is cow urine (Pradhan et al., 2018). Bovine excrement, cheap and easily available on our planet (Gupta et al., 2016). Cow urine contains 95% water, 2.5% urea, and 2.5% mineral salts, hormones, and enzymes (Mandavgane & Kulkarni, 2020). Other authors also mention that urine contains minerals such as calcium, sulfur, iron, phosphorus, manganese, and potassium (Sadhukhan et al., 2018), among other beneficial substances such as carbonic acid, ammonia, amino acids, enzymes, and cytokinins (Choudhary et al., 2017).

Cow urine is a source of improve soil fertility, productivity, and the quality of vegetables such as carrots (Verma et al., 2018). The application of cow urine improves soil texture and structure (Nwite, 2015). The use of cow urine corrects micronutrient deficiency (Kumar et al., 2018; Favorito et al., 2019) and makes phytohormones available to plants (Sharma et al., 2017; Ghosh et al., 2018). Therefore, cow urine is an effective tool to correct nutritional deficiencies (Kumar et al., 2017).
The creation of cattle in the north of Minas Gerais reaches 2.6 million heads (SEAPA, 2019) distributed among large and small producers. In other words, cow urine is an available resource and allows for the integration between livestock and food production (Napoles et al., 2018). Despite being an indicated practice for this type of production, there is still no research carried out in the semiarid region of Minas Gerais on the effect of cow urine on the yield of vegetables such as carrots. Therefore, the objective was to evaluate the effect of cow urine on the development of “Brasília” carrots in an agro-sustainable production system.

2. Materials and Methods

The researchers experimented in April, May and June 2016, in a greenhouse at the State University of Montes Claros, Janaúba campus, Minas Gerais. So that the experiment was free from the effect of rain and possible pests. In addition, the temperature was controlled (27 °C). The production methods, in addition to following the principles of agroecology, were applied by the determinations of Brazilian legislation (Law No. 10,831, of the Ministry of Agriculture, of 12/23/03, Brazil 2010).

We use wooden boxes measuring 0.60 m × 0.35 m (0.210 m²), lined with black plastic canvas as containers for the production of carrots. In the wooden crates, we put type 2 sandy clay loam soil (0.200 m²). We sowed 50 seeds of carrot cultivar Brasilia per linear meter. After 30 days, we carry out thinning, leaving 24 plants per wooden box. We fertilize the plants with 200 g m⁻¹ of Bokashi organic compost (composition: 40% rice straw, 30% castor bean cake, 10% ripe banana peel, 10% efficient microorganisms grown in rich medium in the forest, 5% chicken manure, 2% bovine bone meal, 2% natural phosphate, 1% vegetable ash).

We collect cow urine during milking from cows raised in an agro-sustainable system in the municipality of Pai Pedro Minas Gerais, store it in a disinfected, sealed plastic container, and store it in a shelter for 3 days for fermentation to take place. The first application of cow urine occurred 34 days after planting. In total, there were nine applications at seven-day intervals. We use water to dilute cow urine according to each concentration.

The amount of water to be used was calculated on top of 2000 mL of final suspension. The calculation was performed as follows: 0% concentration (2000 mL of water + 0 mL of cow urine), 0.5% concentration (1990 mL of water + 10 mL of cow urine), 1% concentration (1980 mL of water + 20 mL of cow urine), concentration 1.5% (1970 mL of water + 30 mL of cow urine), concentration 2% (1960 mL of water + 40 mL of cow urine). We use a spray bottle to apply cow urine. After 90 days of cultivation, we carry out the harvest. We removed 20 plants from the box, leaving the four borders.

We take the carrots to the Laboratory of Products of Vegetable Origin at the State University of Montes Claros and carry out the following analyses: diameter, length and weight of the root, luminosity, Hue angle, chromaticity, pH, soluble solids, titratable acidity, and SS/TA ratio-ripening index-RI. We determined the stem diameter and root length with a digital caliper “Mitutoyo Absolute 150mm/6″-0.01mm/.0005″ and root weight with a precision digital scale “Toledo Prix Lab As82/220r2″.

We determined the root color by a “Minolta” colorimeter with reflectance reading of coordinates L* (luminosity), a* (red or green hue), and b* (yellow and blue hue), from the Hunterlab Universal Software system. Through the values of a* and b* we obtained the following values: Hue angle (Hue = tg⁻¹ b/a) and chromaticity (C = (a² + b²)⁰.⁵). To read the pH, we used a sample of 10 grams of macerated carrots and homogenized it with 90 mL of distilled water. We took the reading in a pH meter “Digimed DM22″. We determined the soluble solids by means of an “Instrutherm Model RT 90ATC” refractometer and its result was expressed in ° Brix. The titratable acidity was expressed in an equivalent gram of malic acid/100g of pulp.

We set up the experiment in randomized complete block design with 5 treatments (0%; 0.5%; 1%; 1.5% and 2% of cow urine) and 5 replicates. At concentration 0, we apply distilled water to the plants. Data were subjected to analysis of variance. Concentrations were subjected to regression analysis at 1% probability. Data were analyzed using Sisvar software (Ferreira, 2019).

3. Results

Tables 1, 2, and 3 describe the summary of the analysis of variance for the evaluated characteristics. The variables, soluble solids, titratable acidity, ratio, and pH were not influenced by the application of different concentrations of cow urine (p > 0.01). The variables length, weight, diameter, productivity, luminosity, chromaticity, and Hue angle were significant at 1% probability (p < 0.01).
Table 1. Summary of the analysis of variance for the variables, length, weight, diameter, and yield of “Brasília” carrots subjected to different concentrations of cow urine

| Variation source | Medium Square |          |          |          |
|------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                  | Length | Weight | Diameter | Productivity |
| Concentrations   | 55.14  | 29121.89 | 2.33    | 0.832    |
| Block            | 0.50ns | 206.08ns | 0.10ns  | 0.005ns  |
| Error            | 0.59   | 302.51  | 0.03     | 0.008    |

Coefficient of variation (%) 9.57  23.48  8.37  23.43

*Significant, ns not significant at 1% probability by the F test.

Table 2. Summary of analysis of variance of variables, soluble solids, titratable acidity, ratio, and pH of “Brasília” carrots submitted to different concentrations of cow urine

| Variation source | Medium Square | Soluble solids | Titratable acidity | Ratio | pH |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|----|
| Concentrations   | 1.26ns        | 0.19ns         | 32.61ns            | 0.007ns |
| Block            | 0.20ns        | 0.02ns         | 73.94ns            | 0.004ns |
| Error            | 10.66         | 0.02           | 67.95              | 0.006  |

Coefficient of variation (%) 11.32  5.70  9.51  1.24

*ns not significant at 1% probability by the F test.

Table 3. Summary of analysis of variance of the variables luminosity, chromaticity, and Hue angle of “Brasília” carrots submitted to different concentrations of cow urine

| Variation source | Medium Square | Luminosity | Chromaticity | Hue angle |
|------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| Concentrations   | 46.06         | 24.89      | 21.97        |
| Block            | 11.62ns       | 3.42ns     | 4.06ns       |
| Error            | 7.18          | 3.40       | 2.36         |

Coefficient of variation (%) 4.97  2.87  3.02

*Significant, ns not significant at 1% probability by the F test.

The length and weight of carrot roots showed linear behavior (Figures 1A and 1B). The same occurred with the productivity and diameter of carrots (Figures 2A and 2B). As the concentration of cow urine increased, the length, weight, productivity and diameter of carrots increased.
Figure 1. Length (A) and weight (B) of “Brasilia” carrots subjected to cow urine application

Figure 2. Productivity (A) and diameter (B) of “Brasilia” carrots subjected to cow urine application

The luminosity of carrots showed an inversely proportional linear behavior (Figure 3A). As the concentration of cow urine increased, the brightness of the carrots decreased. The chromaticity and Hue Angle increased as the concentrations of cow urine increased (Figures 3B and 3C).
The increase in the length of carrots in relation to dose 0 was 15%, 41%, 91% and 165% for doses 0.5; 1; 1.5 and 2 respectively (Figure 4). For the weight of carrots, the increment was 91%, 328%, 1068% and 1902% (Figure 4). For diameter, the increments were 21%, 54%, 98%, and 124% (Figure 4). For productivity, it was 220%, 346%, 1102% and 1902% (Figure 4). In addition, for chromaticity it was around 2%, 11%, 12% and 14% (Figure 4).
4. Discussion

The length of carrot roots ranged from 4.95 cm (0%) to 13.09 cm (2%), with an increase of 165%. Among the main effects of cow urine on plants is increased growth (Lovatto et al., 2011). This statement corroborates what was observed in this study.

Carrot weight was higher at 2% concentration. This result can be explained by the fact that cow’s urine is composed of nutritional substances such as nitrogen, which has the function of promoting cell expansion and elongation (Jarvis et al., 1989). Similar results were verified by Araújo et al. (2014) in which applications of cow urine on peppers increased their size.

Oliveira et al. (2004) when working with peppers observed that productivity increased with increasing concentrations of cow urine. In a study with pumpkins, Costa et al. (2011) also observed an increase in production with increasing doses of cow urine. Oliveira (2007), when evaluating the influence of different concentrations of cow urine on the yield of ‘Regina 2000’ lettuce, observed an increase in production with an increase in the applied dose.

The largest diameter of the roots was 2.96 cm at the dose of 2% cow urine. These results can be explained by the fact that cow urine is an organic fertilizer rich in nutrients, including nitrogen. The results observed in the present work are in agreement with those found by Souza et al. (2011). The authors worked with onions under different concentrations of cow urine and found that the higher the concentration, the greater their diameter.

Regarding coloration, it is observed that carrots fertilized with concentrations of 0%, 0.5%, and 1 showed greater luminosity. These data are similar to those found by Boteix et al. (2007) who, studying the color of Brazilian zucchini, observed that when there is a change in the color of the vegetable towards bright yellow to orange-yellow simultaneously with a reduction in L*, that is, the yellow becomes more opaque.

There was an increase in chromaticity with increasing doses of cow urine. It is observed that the roots cultivated with the 2% dose showed more intense color, which may be due to the greater accumulation of carotenoids. Grangeiro et al. (2012) found a less intense color (36.53) in the roots of “Brasília” carrots in a conventional system. This result can be explained by the fact that the carrots in our study were produced in an agroecological system and thus had higher levels of carotene.

Our results corroborate the results obtained by Neto et al. (2011) who found higher concentrations of carotenoids in carrots produced with organic compost. Oliveira et al. (2015) stated that the value of C* describes the
saturation or intensity of the color, in which products with higher values of C* are more vivid and, consequently, more attractive to purchase.

The Hue angle presented a linear behavior. Roots treated with doses 0%, 0.5%, and 1% had higher values, which indicates a more yellowish color, unlike the doses 1.5% and 2%, which had lower values, denoting an orange hue. Grangeiro et al. (2012) found roots with a higher Hue angle value, thus demonstrating yellower-colored carrots.

5. Conclusion
Cow urine increased the development of carrots cultivar Brasilia under the conditions evaluated.
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