PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is a key downstream effector of ApCREB and is necessary and sufficient for the consolidation of long-term facilitation
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Long-term memory requires transcriptional regulation by a combination of positive and negative transcription factors. Aplysia activating factor (ApAF) is known to be a positive transcription factor that forms heterodimers with ApC/EBP and ApCREB2. How these heterodimers are regulated and how they participate in the consolidation of long-term facilitation (LTF) has not, however, been characterized. We found that the functional activation of ApAF required phosphorylation of ApAF by PKA on Ser-266. In addition, ApAF lowered the threshold of LTF by forming a heterodimer with ApCREB2. Moreover, once activated by PKA, the ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer transactivates enhancer response element–containing genes and can induce LTF in the absence of CRE- and CREB-mediated gene expression. Collectively, these results suggest that PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is a core downstream effector of ApCREB in the consolidation of LTF.

Introduction

The formation of long-term memory requires both new RNA and protein synthesis, whereas short-term memory requires only covalent modifications of constitutively expressed preexisting proteins (Barondes and Cohen, 1968; Rainbow, 1979; Montarolo et al., 1986; Patterson et al., 1989; Sweatt and Kandel, 1989; Pedreira et al., 1995). On the cellular level, transcriptional regulation is thought to be the starting point for a series of biological amplifications, which are steps necessary for the induction and maintenance of long-term facilitation (LTF). Thus, during consolidation of LTF, a cascade of gene activation is tightly regulated by various transcription factors, including positive and negative regulators (Kandel, 2001).

The core molecular features of the transcriptional regulation involved in long-term memory seem to be evolutionarily conserved in Aplysia, Drosophila melanogaster, and the mouse (Mayford and Kandel, 1999). A growing body of evidence indicates that gene regulation by different combinations of transcriptional factors may be involved in specific forms of long-term memory (Herdegen and Leah, 1998; Hinoi et al., 2002). Moreover, the activation and inactivation of transcription factors by various kinases can regulate the transactivational potency of preexisting transcription factors and the recruitment of other factors. For example, the activation of CREB by PKA, MAPK, or CaMKIV is particularly important for its contribution to transcriptional activation and long-term memory in Aplysia and in the mammalian hippocampus (Kaang et al., 1993; Bartsch et al., 1998; West et al., 2001; Pittenger et al., 2002).

In the marine snail Aplysia, the molecular mechanisms of long-term memory have been extensively studied in the sensory neuron–to–motor neuron synapses of the gill-withdrawal reflex. Five pulses of 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) spaced at 15 min produce LTF that lasts >24 h and depends on transcription and translation. These pulses of 5-HT up-regulate the levels of cAMP within the sensory cell via G protein–coupled receptors and activate protein kinase A (PKA) and MAPK (Brunelli et al., 1976;
Kandel et al., 1976; Castellucci et al., 1980; Byrne and Kandel, 1996; Martin et al., 1997; Dyer et al., 2003; Ormond et al., 2004). Both kinases then translocate into the nucleus, where they can activate transcription factors expressed in sensory neurons, such as ApCREB1 and ApCREB2. PKA can activate the transcriptional activator ApCREB1 (Kaang et al., 1993; Bartsch et al., 1998) and relieve the repression of ApCREB1-mediated transcription by the repressor ApCREB2 (Bartsch et al., 1995; Lee et al., 2003). PKA-mediated activation of ApCREB1 can recruit CBP, and this leads to the activation of immediate-early genes, such as ApC/EBP, which are involved in the gene expression required for the consolidation and stabilization of LTF (Alberini et al., 1994; Lee et al., 2001).

Although several transcription factors are important for the consolidation of LTF, phosphorylated ApCREB1 is sufficient (Bartsch et al., 1998). This implies that the CRE-driven downstream genes activated by CREB should also be essential for the consolidation of LTF. Indeed, induction of ApC/EBP by activated ApCREB1 is necessary for LTF (Alberini et al., 1994; Lee et al., 2001). However, even though ApC/EBP is necessary, it does not seem to be sufficient because overexpression of ApC/EBP alone does not induce LTF (Lee et al., 2001). This implies that other transcription factors activated by 5-HT must also be required for the consolidation of LTF. Therefore, Bartsch et al. (2000) suggested that the constitutively expressed transcription factor Aplysia activating factor (ApAF) may be a potential transcriptional cofactor in LTF via its interaction with ApC/EBP or ApCREB2, but not with ApCREB1. However, the transcriptional regulation initiated by these interactions, and the identity of the core transcriptional component that is important for the consolidation of LTF, have not been characterized.

We demonstrate that ApAF relieves the repression mediated by ApCREB2 by interacting with ApCREB2 and induces LTF by forming heterodimers with ApC/EBP. We further show that PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP can induce LTF without CRE-driven gene expression. We further characterize the critical phosphorylation of ApAF by PKA at Ser-266. Thus, our study suggests that PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is both necessary and sufficient in the consolidation of LTF.

### Results

#### The nuclear protein ApAF interacts with ApC/EBP or ApCREB2

In the aforementioned study, Bartsch et al. (2000) found that ApAF could interact with ApC/EBP or ApCREB2 in vitro and might function as a transcriptional cofactor by interacting with other transcription factors. However, it was unclear whether ApAF can actually interact with ApC/EBP or ApCREB2 in Aplysia neurons.

To directly examine interactions between memory-related transcription factors at the cellular level, we used an Aplysia two-hybrid system (Choi et al., 2003). We measured β-galactosidase activity in Aplysia kurodai neurons as an indication of the interactions among ApAF, ApCREB2, and ApC/EBP. We found the following types of interaction: (a) those between full-length ApAF and the basic leucine zipper (bZIP) domain of ApAF; (b) those between full-length ApAF and the bZIP domain of ApC/EBP; and (c) those between full-length ApAF and the bZIP domain of ApCREB2 (Fig. 1). The ApAF–ApC/EBP or ApAF–ApCREB2 heterodimers showed higher β-galactosidase activities than ApAF or ApC/EBP homodimers, indicating that ApAF may heterodimerize better with ApC/EBP or ApCREB2 than it homodimerizes with itself. These were consistent with both yeast two-hybrid and in vitro binding assay data (Bartsch et al., 2000) showing that ApAF formed stable heterodimers with both ApC/EBP and ApCREB2 proteins, although ApAF formed homodimers inefficiently. In contrast, neither the pair alone nor the Gal4DB-bait (bZIP of ApC/EBP or ApCREB2) on its own activated the reporter gene (Fig. 1).

These results indicate that nuclear protein ApAF actually interacts with both a transcriptional activator ApC/EBP and a repressor ApCREB2 in the nucleus, implying that ApAF can function in the sensory cells as a transcriptional cofactor during LTF in A. kurodai.

---

**Figure 1: Interaction between the nuclear protein ApAF and ApC/EBP or ApCREB2 in an A. kurodai neuron.** The histogram represents the degree of interaction in terms of normalized β-galactosidase activity (β-galactosidase/luciferase activity). ApAF or ApC/EBP can form homodimers through bZIP (n = 9 and n = 6, respectively). ApAF can also form heterodimers with ApC/EBP (n = 4) or with ApCREB2 (n = 4). Heterodimerization seems to be more favorable than homodimerization (*, P < 0.05; one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test for ApAF(bZIP)-ApAF(bZIP), C/EBP(bZIP)/C/EBP(bZIP), ApAF(bZIP)/C/EBP(bZIP), and ApAF(bZIP)/CREB2(bZIP). ApAF (S266A) has a similar binding affinity with ApC/EBP (n = 3) and ApCREB2 (n = 3) as ApAF(WT). In contrast, neither the pair alone (n = 6) nor the Gal4DB-bait bZIP of ApC/EBP (n = 6), ApCREB2 (n = 7), or ApAF (n = 3) on its own could activate reporter genes. Gal4 is used as a positive control (n = 5). The bars correspond to normalized mean β-galactosidase activity ± the SEM.

**Note:** BD and AD represent the DNA-binding domain and transcription activation domain, respectively. bz represents the bZIP domain of the transcription factors. AD, BD, ApAF (FL), C/EBP (FL), C/EBPbz, CREB2bz, ApAFbz, and S266A represent Gal4AD, Gal4BD, Gal4AD-ApAF (full-length), Gal4AD-ApC/EBP (full-length), Gal4BD-ApC/EBPbz, Gal4BD-ApCREB2bz, Gal4BD-ApAFbz, and ApAF (S266A), respectively.
ApAF is a transactivator with ApC/EBP acting on ERE in A. kurodai sensory neurons. Because ApAF interacts both with ApC/EBP and with ApCREB2, it raises the question: what is the functional role of the interaction of ApAF with ApC/EBP in the consolidation of LTF? Because the consensus-binding sequences of ApAF from Aplysia californica were previously reported to contain CAAT box sequences (Bartsch et al., 2000), we investigated whether ApAF regulates reporter gene expression through enhancer response element (ERE) sequences, which contain the CAAT core box sequence, and whether 5-HT can activate the reporter gene expression in Aplysia sensory neurons (Alberini et al., 1994; Lee et al., 2001). Accordingly, we microinjected pNEXδ-ApAF together with ERE-luciferase reporter into sensory neurons. 1 d after this microinjection, we found that reporter gene expression was increased by approximately fivefold by the ApAF construct, as compared with the ERE-luciferase reporter that was introduced as a control (Fig. 2 A). We next asked whether reporter gene expression induced by ApAF introduction is activated by 5-HT. To address this question, we microinjected pNEXδ-ApAF with the ERE-luciferase reporter into sensory neurons. 1 d later, we exposed sensory neurons to one pulse of 5-HT (10 μM), which by itself only produces short-term facilitation (STF) and does not induce gene expression (Fig. 2A; Montarolo et al., 1986).

---

**Figure 2.** ApAF induced ERE-mediated gene expression and LTF by interacting with ApC/EBP. (A) Transcriptional activity of ApAF, ApC/EBP, or ApAF–ApC/EBP via the ERE sequence in A. kurodai sensory neurons. †, DNA constructs injected with ERE-luciferase reporter into sensory cells in the pleural ganglia. Either one (1×) or five pulses (5×) of 5-HT were applied to sensory cells. ERE-driven reporter gene expression was increased by ApAF (n = 4), ApC/EBP (n = 4), or ApAF–ApC/EBP (n = 4) overexpression in comparison to nonexpressing control cells (n = 4; ***, P < 0.001; one-way ANOVA and Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test for groups indicated by open bars). The reporter gene expression was further enhanced by 5-HT treatment in ApAF (n = 4), ApC/EBP (n = 5), and ApAF–ApC/EBP-introduced (n = 8) cells (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; two-tailed unpaired t test). Each bar corresponds to normalized mean luciferase activity ± SEM. Five pulses of 5-HT treatment significantly increased ERE-mediated gene expression (n = 4), whereas one pulse of 5-HT treatment did not (n = 5). (B) The effect and specificity of ApAF dsRNA. The expression level of ApAF mRNA was examined by in situ hybridization. The expression level of ApAF was significantly lower in ApAF dsRNA–injected neurons (n = 5) than in luciferase dsRNA–injected neurons (n = 9; *, P < 0.05; two-tailed unpaired t test), whereas the expression level of ApCREB1α was not affected by ApAF dsRNA injection (n = 6). The percentage of change in mRNA is calculated as the relative expression level of ApAF or ApCREB1α mRNA in ApAF dsRNA–injected neurons compared with luciferase dsRNA–injected neurons. The bar represents the mean ± SEM. Bar, 25 μm. (C) The effect of ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer on LTF. †, DNA constructs injected into sensory cells. EPSPs were measured before and 24 h after one or five pulses of 5-HT were applied to the sensory-to-motor synapse. For shaded bars, injection of ApAF dsRNA completely blocked both the LTF induced by five pulses of 5-HT (n = 11) and the LTF induced by ApC/EBP overexpression combined with one pulse of 5-HT (n = 8), whereas the injection of luciferase dsRNA did not block either type of LTF (5×5-HT, n = 8; 1×5-HT, n = 4; **, P < 0.01; one-way ANOVA and Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test). For open bars, ApAF overexpression combined with one pulse of 5-HT did not produce LTF (n = 8), whereas ApC/EBP overexpression combined with 5-HT did (n = 13). However, ApAF overexpression enhanced the LTF induced by ApC/EBP and one pulse of 5-HT (n = 17; *, P < 0.05). The noninjected control cells produced normal LTF by five pulses of 5-HT (n = 10). Representative examples of recording traces are shown on the right. (D) STF was not affected by ApAF dsRNA microinjection. The mean percentage of changes in EPSP of ApAF dsRNA–injected cells (n = 4) was not significantly different from that of noninjected control cells (n = 4) or luciferase dsRNA–injected cells (n = 4, P > 0.05; one way ANOVA and Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test). Bars correspond to mean percentage changes ± the SEM in EPSP amplitudes.
We found that 1 d after this one pulse of 5-HT, reporter gene expression in neurons into which ApAF had been introduced was increased by approximately twofold compared with untreated cells (Fig. 2 A). ApC/EBP overexpression combined with one pulse of 5-HT also activated ERE reporter gene expression (Fig. 2 A). These results show that ApAF as well as ApC/EBP can activate ERE-driven gene expression by 5-HT in *A. kurodai* sensory neurons.

We next investigated the consequences of the ApAF–ApC/EBP interaction on ERE-mediated gene expression. For this purpose, pNEXδ-ApAF and pNEXδ-ApC/EBP with ERE-luciferase reporter were microinjected into *A. kurodai* sensory neurons. 1 d after DNA microinjection, sensory neurons were treated with one pulse of 5-HT. We found that 24 h after 5-HT treatment, ERE-mediated reporter gene expression by ApAF–ApC/EBP overexpression was further increased by approximately twofold compared with that by either ApC/EBP or ApAF alone (Fig. 2 A). Furthermore, we also found that reporter gene expression by ApAF–ApC/EBP was increased by approximately fourfold in 5-HT–treated cells versus non–5-HT–treated cells (Fig. 2 A). These results indicate that ApAF can enhance the ERE-mediated gene expression by cooperating with ApC/EBP and that ApAF can be activated by 5-HT treatment.

**Functional cooperation between ApAF and ApC/EBP is essential for the consolidation of LTF**

Because ApAF could activate reporter gene expression through ERE by interacting with ApC/EBP, we next investigated the actual role in long-term synaptic facilitation of ApAF heterodimerized to ApC/EBP. We previously reported that ApC/EBP is essential for the consolidation of LTF by showing that blocking ApC/EBP blocks the facilitation (Alberini et al., 1994), and that overexpression of ApC/EBP combined with only one pulse of 5-HT converted STF to LTF (Lee et al., 2001). We also showed that LTF produced by ApC/EBP overexpression and one pulse of 5-HT was comparable to that produced by five pulses of 5-HT (Lee et al., 2001; Fig. 2 C). Therefore, we considered the possibility that the ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer may be essential for the consolidation of LTF because overexpressed ApC/EBP interacts with constitutively expressing endogenous ApAF.

To test this idea by blocking the ApAF expression, we used the RNAi technique (Lee et al., 2001). First, to confirm the effectiveness and the specificity of ApAF double-stranded (ds) RNA, we injected ApAF dsRNA into sensory neurons. 1 d later, we examined the expression level of ApAF mRNA by in situ hybridization. We injected the luciferase dsRNA as a control. The expression of ApAF mRNA was significantly knocked down in ApAF dsRNA–injected neurons compared with luciferase dsRNA–injected neurons (Fig. 2 B). In contrast, ApAF dsRNA did not affect the expression level of ApCREB1 mRNA compared with luciferase dsRNA (Fig. 2 B). These results show that ApAF dsRNA specifically impairs the expression of ApAF.

Given these results, we examined the effect of the inhibition of ApAF synthesis on LTF induced by five pulses of 5-HT. The cells injected with dsApAF failed to produce LTF induced by five pulses of 5-HT without effecting STF and the basal synaptic strength (Fig. 2, C and D, and not depicted). These results are consistent with the earlier finding (Bartsch et al., 2000) that ApAF is required for LTF in *A. californica* sensory-to-motor synapses.

Next, we coinjected pNEXδ-ApC/EBP with ApAF dsRNA into a sensory neuron. Cells injected with ApAF dsRNA also failed to produce the LTF induced by pairing one pulse of 5-HT with ApC/EBP overexpression, whereas cells injected with luciferase dsRNA showed normal long-term synaptic facilitation (Fig. 2 C). These results suggest that ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is essentially required for the consolidation of LTF, and indicate that the ApC/EBP homodimer is insufficient to convert STF to LTF.

If ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is important for LTF, can overexpression of ApAF enhance the LTF induced by ApC/EBP overexpression when combined with one pulse of 5-HT? To address this question, we overexpressed ApAF, ApC/EBP, or ApAF + ApC/EBP in the sensory neurons of sensory-to-motor synapses, and then exposed cocultures to one pulse of 5-HT. We found that ApAF overexpression enhanced LTF induced by ApC/EBP overexpression combined with one pulse of 5-HT (Fig. 2 C), without affecting basal synaptic transmission (unpublished data). However, ApAF overexpression alone did not produce LTF in response to one pulse of 5-HT (Fig. 2 C), suggesting that ApC/EBP is also critical in LTF.

Collectively, these data indicate that ApAF is a key player in the induction of LTF by cooperating with ApC/EBP.

**The Ser-266 in ApAF needs to be phosphorylated by PKA**

If ApAF plays an important role in LTF by cooperating with ApC/EBP, how is the functional activation of ApAF regulated by 5-HT to induce LTF? Our reporter assays and electrophysiological recordings showed that a signaling pathway activated by 5-HT is required to activate ERE-mediated gene expression and to induce ApAF-mediated LTF. However, as is the case with ApCREB2, ApAF is a constitutive protein that is not induced by 5-HT, whereas ApC/EBP is rapidly induced (Alberini et al., 1994; Bartsch et al., 1995, 2000; unpublished data). Therefore, we considered the possibility that ApAF might be regulated by kinases activated by 5-HT. Because 5-HT can activate the cAMP–PKA pathway and ApAF has two possible PKA phosphorylation sites at Ser-175 and -266 (Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200512066/DC1), we explored whether a PKA-signaling pathway is involved in ApAF-mediated LTF. However, as is the case with ApCREB2, ApAF is a constitutive protein that is not induced by 5-HT, whereas ApC/EBP is rapidly induced (Alberini et al., 1994; Bartsch et al., 1995, 2000; unpublished data). Therefore, we considered the possibility that ApAF might be regulated by kinases activated by 5-HT. Because 5-HT can activate the cAMP–PKA pathway and ApAF has two possible PKA phosphorylation sites at Ser-175 and Ser-266 (Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200512066/DC1), we explored whether a PKA-signaling pathway is involved in ApAF-mediated LTF by blocking the PKA pathway using the PKA inhibitor KT5720. Incubation with 10 μM KT5720 was found to completely block LTF consolidated by ApC/EBP overexpression and 5-HT. Moreover, the ApAF-mediated enhancement of LTF was completely impaired by KT5720 (Fig. 3 A). These results suggest that PKA signaling is essentially involved in ApAF–ApC/EBP–mediated LTF.

Because 5-HT can also activate MAPK, we examined whether MAPK activity is required for the activation of the ApAF–ApC/EBP complex using PD98059, which is an inhibitor of the MAPK kinase MEK. PD98059 did not block LTF
consolidated by ApAF and ApC/EBP overexpression (Fig. 3 A). Although PD98059 treatment slightly attenuated ApAF–ApC/EBP–mediated LTF, the degree of facilitation was not significantly different from that without drug treatment (P > 0.05; two-tailed Mann-Whitney test; Fig. 3 A). This result suggests that MAPK signaling is not critically involved in ApAF–ApC/EBP–mediated LTF.

To clarify whether ApAF is an endogenous substrate of *Aplysia* PKA, we examined ApAF phosphorylation by using *A. kurodai* cell lysate as a source of the kinases. As shown in Fig. 3 B, KT5720 dramatically blocked the phosphorylation of ApAF, whereas either PD98059 or chelerythrine (PKC inhibitor) did not (Fig. 3 B). Moreover, to examine whether ApAF is directly phosphorylated by PKA at Ser-175 or -266, we incubated the proteins with the catalytic subunit of bovine PKA. We found that PKA phosphorylation was detected in wild-type (WT) ApAF and in ApAF (S175A), but not in ApAF (S266A) or ApAF (S175/266A; Fig. 3 B). Thus, these results clearly suggest that PKA specifically phosphorylates ApAF at Ser-266.

**Ser-266 phosphorylation by PKA is required for the functional activation of ApAF**

Next, we examined whether PKA is required for transcriptional activation by ApAF–ApC/EBP. To address this issue, ERE-mediated gene expression regulation by ApAF mutants (S175A, S266A, or S175/266A) was first tested by reporter gene assay. ApAF (WT, S175A, S266A, or S175/266A) and ApC/EBP constructs were injected together with the ERE-reporter construct into sensory neurons. The day after microinjection, sensory cells were treated with one pulse of 5-HT, and the following day luciferase assays were performed. Consistent with previous results (Fig. 3, A and B), reporter gene expression regulated by ApAF–ApC/EBP (S266A) or by ApC/EBP–ApAF (S175/266A) was found to be attenuated by ~4.6- and ~7-fold compared with that regulated by ApAF–ApC/EBP (WT; Fig. 4 A). However, reporter gene expression regulated by ApAF–ApC/EBP (S175A) was not reduced compared with that regulated by ApAF–ApC/EBP (WT; Fig. 4 A). In addition, we found that both WT ApAF...
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and ApAF (S266A) form a heterodimer with ApC/EBP with similar affinity in GST pull-down assay and Aplysia two-hybrid assay (Fig.1 and Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200512066/DC1). These data suggest that ApAF (S266A) is likely to act as a dominant-negative mutant through interfering with the transcriptional machinery, such as RNA polymerase II complex, that may recognize only a phosphorylated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer. Collectively, our results suggest that ApAF phosphorylation by PKA at Ser-266 essentially regulates the ERE-mediated gene expression via cooperation between ApAF and ApC/EBP.

Because Ser-266 phosphorylation is important for ERE-mediated gene expression (Fig. 4 A), we examined whether phosphorylation at Ser-266 is also required for LTF. We injected both pNEXδ-ApAF constructs (WT, S175A, S266A, or S175/266A) and pNEXδ-ApC/EBP into sensory neurons and treated them with one pulse of 5-HT the next day. ApC/EBP-mediated LTF was completely blocked in cells expressing pNEXδ-ApAF mutants (S266A or S175/266A), which cannot be phosphorylated, without affecting basal synaptic strength (Fig. 4 B). However, cells injected with pNEXδ-ApAF (WT) or pNEXδ-ApAF (S175A) showed enhanced ApC/EBP-mediated LTF (Fig. 4 B). To further confirm whether ApAF phosphorylation at Ser-266 is required for LTF produced by five pulses of 5-HT, we overexpressed ApAF (S266A) in sensory neurons. We found that ApAF (S266A), functioning as a dominant-negative mutant, completely blocked 5-HT–induced LTF (Fig. 4 B). Collectively, these results suggest that ApAF phosphorylation by PKA at Ser-266 is essential for the LTF induced by cooperation between ApAF and ApC/EBP.
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**PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP is sufficient for induction for LTF**

If Ser-266 phosphorylation in ApAF is essential for induction of LTF, is PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP sufficient for LTF? To test whether PKA-activated ApAF induces LTF by interacting with ApC/EBP without CRE- and CREB-mediated gene expression, we blocked CRE- and CREB-mediated gene expression by microinjecting CRE oligonucleotide (Alberini et al., 1994) and by overexpressing dominant-negative “killer” CREB (K-CREB ; Walton et al., 1992). The injection of CRE oligonucleotide blocked the LTF produced by five pulses of 5-HT (Fig. 6). However, CRE injection did not affect the LTF produced by ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer in the presence of one pulse of 5-HT (Fig. 6). Moreover, the injection of CRE mutant containing the randomly scrambled CRE sequences did not affect the LTF produced by 5 pulses of 5-HT or the LTF produced by ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer in the presence of one pulse of 5-HT (unpublished data). To further demonstrate whether PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is sufficient for the consolidation of LTF, we next blocked CREB-mediated gene expression using dominant-negative K-CREB. The cells injected with pNEX6-K-CREB failed to produce a normal 5-HT-induced LTF. However, the cells overexpressing K-CREB did not block the LTF produced by ApAF and ApC/EBP in the presence of one pulse of 5-HT. Collectively, these results suggest that the PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is sufficient to induce LTF, independent of CREB activity, and imply that ApAF–ApC/EBP is a downstream effector of ApCREB.

To examine whether the PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer produces LTF through ERE-mediated gene expression, we injected ERE oligonucleotides into the sensory neurons overexpressing ApAF and ApC/EBP. As shown in Fig. 6, ERE oligonucleotide injection impaired the LTF induced by ApAF–ApC/EBP overexpression combined with one pulse of 5-HT. ERE oligonucleotide injection also blocked the LTF induced by five pulses of 5-HT (Fig. 6). In contrast, cells injected with ERE mutant oligonucleotides affected neither the ApAF–ApC/EBP-mediated LTF nor the 5-HT induced LTF (unpublished data). Collectively, these data suggest that the ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer, once activated, induces LTF through ERE-mediated gene expression.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated a novel, functional cooperation between ApAF and ApC/EBP (an activator) or ApCREB2 (a repressor) for LTF. Moreover, we found that the methods of ApAF interaction with these two factors were quite different. Our study suggests that PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP is a key transcriptional component that is necessary and sufficient for the consolidation of LTF.

The functional interaction between ApAF and ApC/EBP

In our earlier study, ApC/EBP overexpression combined with one pulse of 5-HT, but not ApC/EBP overexpression alone, consolidated STF to LTF (Lee et al., 2001). The failure of ApC/EBP alone to induce LTF indicates that certain factors activated by one pulse of 5-HT may be required to induce LTF. ApAF seems to be such a factor; it can work with ApC/EBP to induce LTF in the presence of one pulse of 5-HT. We also found that when combined with one pulse of 5-HT ApAF overexpression did not induce LTF. Moreover, in the previous experiments, phosphorylated ApAF alone did not induce LTF (Bartsch et al., 2000).
We believe that this is because ApC/EBP is not induced by one pulse of 5-HT (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200512066/DC1; Kaang et al., 1993; Kim et al., 2006) and that both ApAF and ApC/EBP, which interact with each other, are critical for LTF. In support of this idea, our RNAi study demonstrated that blocking ApAF by dsRNA injection impaired not only LTF induced by five pulses of 5-HT, but also LTF induced by ApC/EBP overexpression combined with one pulse of 5-HT (Fig. 2 C). Bartsch et al. (2000) showed that ApAF protein injection could convert 5-HT-induced STF to LTF. In our study, however, ApAF DNA overexpression combined with one pulse of 5-HT could not induce LTF (Fig. 2 C). We believe that this discrepancy probably came from the different experimental systems. A larger quantity of proteins could be introduced by a direct protein injection than by DNA expression system. A large quantity of ApAF could lower the threshold of LTF effectively by titrating ApCREB2 out. Similarly, anti-ApCREB2 antibody injection produced LTF with one pulse of 5-HT (Bartsch et al., 2000).

How, then, is the heterodimer ApAF–ApC/EBP involved in the consolidation of LTF? We found that the functional cooperation between ApAF and ApC/EBP in the presence of one pulse of 5-HT enhanced ERE-mediated gene expression and LTF (Fig. 2 A and C). Although we could not exclude the possibility that ApAF homodimer or ApC/EBP homodimer were involved in gene expression, ApAF and ApC/EBP were relatively poor at forming homodimer (Fig. 1; Bartsch et al., 2000), and their homodimers had a lower transcriptional activity in response to one pulse of 5-HT treatment than ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer (Fig. 2 A).

**The role of PKA in functional activation of ApAF in the consolidation of LTF**

The failure of ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer to enhance transcriptional activity or LTF in the absence of 5-HT, suggests that a combination of other components or signal cascades activated by 5-HT may be required for memory enhancement. Our biochemical and pharmacological data showed that ApAF was directly phosphorylated at Ser-266 by PKA, but not by either MAPK or PKC (Fig. 3, A and B). Moreover, we demonstrated that ApAF mutants, which cannot be phosphorylated at Ser-266, completely blocked ERE-mediated gene expression and LTF. ApAF mutants may function as dominant-negative proteins, for they bind ApC/EBP equally as well as the WT does, but they cannot recruit or activate RNA polymerase II complex, thus, interfering with the interaction between phospho-ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer and transcriptional machinery. Collectively, our results strongly suggest that Ser-266 phosphorylation by PKA in ApAF is required for 5-HT–induced LTF.

**The functional interaction between ApAF and ApCREB2**

ApCREB2 is known as a gatekeeper of LTF because it represses ApCREB1-mediated transcription (Bartsch et al., 1995; Lee et al., 2003). Thus, the initiation of the ApCREB1-activated gene cascade by repressing ApCREB2 is essential for the induction of LTF. If this is so, then how is the repression of ApCREB1 by ApCREB2 relieved? This relief may require covalent modifications via MAPK or PKC, both of which phosphorylate ApCREB2 (Bartsch et al., 1995; Abel and Kandel, 1998). In addition, Bartsch et al. (2000) and our reporter assay and electrophysiological studies showed that the expression level of ApAF may also be important in regulating ApCREB2 activity (Fig. 5). Because ApAF interacts with ApCREB2, ApAF can recruit ApCREB2 and inhibit the repressive function of ApCREB2. This suggests that ApAF may contribute to lowering the threshold required for LTF by repressing ApCREB2.

However, ApAF phosphorylation at Ser-266 did not seem to be required to repress ApCREB2 because each ApAF mutant relieved the ApCREB2-mediated repression of LTF. In support of this idea, we found interactions of ApAF mutant with ApCREB2 or ApC/EBP were similar to those of the ApAF WT (Fig. 1).

Can the interaction between ApAF and ApCREB2 be regulated? The phosphorylation of ApCREB2 by MAPK or PKC could be involved in the relief of ApCREB2-mediated repression (Bartsch et al., 1995). It is also possible that the phosphorylation of ApCREB2 by the kinases may lower its affinity for ApAF as well as ApCREB1, thus, allowing more
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ApAF to interact with ApC/EBP. Further work will be needed to examine the role of ApCREB2 phosphorylation in ApAF–ApCREB2 heterodimerization.

**ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer, which is a core downstream effector of ApCREB1, is sufficient for LTF**

Phosphorylated ApCREB1 is necessary and sufficient for LTF (Bartsch et al., 1998). This suggests that gene expression induced by activated ApCREB1 is required and is sufficient for the induction of LTF. Of the genes regulated by ApCREB1, ApC/EBP is known to be a consolidating factor for LTF (Alberini et al., 1994). In this study, we found that the phospho-ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer was necessary and sufficient for LTF, acting as a key downstream factor from CREB (Fig. 6).

If so, how are ApAF and ApC/EBP activated by phosphorylated ApCREB1? Phosphorylated ApCREB1 could induce not only ApC/EBP but also ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase (Ap-uch), which activates PKA persistently (Hegde et al., 1997). This persistent PKA activity may result in ApAF phosphorylation. Phosphorylated ApCREB1 can also bind to ApCREB2 (Bartsch et al., 1995, 1998). Thus, it is possible that ApAF released from ApCREB2 is allowed to form a heterodimer with ApC/EBP induced by phospho-ApCREB1.

In addition, we showed that PKA (not MAPK) was critically involved in ApAF–ApC/EBP–mediated LTF (Fig. 3 A). Thus, we suggest that PKA-, not MAPK-, activated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is a core downstream effector of ApCREB, and that it is a powerful player essential for the consolidation and stabilization of LTF. Yamamoto et al. (1999) suggested that ApC/EBP phosphorylation by MAPK could regulate DNA binding activity of ApC/EBP homodimer.

**Transcriptional regulation for memory consolidation**

Based on our study, we ascribe a dual role to ApAF in LTF; in the basal state, ApAF lowers the threshold of LTF by repressing ApCREB2, and in the 5-HT–induced activated state, ApAF induces LTF by interacting with ApC/EBP. Of the transcriptional complexes ApAF–ApC/EBP, which is a heterodimer activated by 5-HT, is a downstream effector of ApCREB1 and a core component during the consolidation of STF to LTF. We propose a mechanism of transcriptional regulation for memory consolidation (Fig. 7). Several transcription factors, including positive regulators, such as ApCREB1 or ApAF, and negative regulators, such as ApCREB2, exist in sensory neurons. ApCREB2 can form heterodimers with ApCREB1 or with ApAF. In basal states, ApCREB2 represses CRE-mediated gene expression by ApCREB1. ApCREB2 and ApAF also repress each other by forming a heterodimer. Thus, a balance between the expression levels of positive regulators and negative regulators can determine the threshold of how easily LTF is induced by 5-HT. Repeated pulses of 5-HT activate the cAMP–PKA–MAPK pathway. Activated PKA and MAPK then translocate to the nucleus and phosphorylate various transcription factors, including ApCREB1, ApCREB2, and ApAF. MAPK phosphorylation leads to a reduction in the affinity of ApCREB2 for ApCREB1 and probably reduces the affinity for ApAF. As a result, ApCREB1 has a higher probability to form a homodimer and

![Figure 7. The transcriptional regulation by ApAF in consolidation of LTF. In basal state without stimulation by 5-HT, ApCREB2 represses CRE-mediated gene expression by ApCREB1. ApAF binds to ApCREB2, allowing ApCREB1 to form a homodimer, which can bind to the CRE element. Thus, ApAF can lower the threshold of LTF, producing a “primed” state for LTF. The multiple pulses of 5-HT up-regulate cAMP levels within sensory cells via G-protein–coupled receptors, and activate PKA, which then recruits another kinase, MAPK. Both PKA and MAPK translocate to the nucleus, where they phosphorylate the transcriptional factors ApCREB1/2 and ApAF. Phosphorylated ApCREB2 may dissociate easily from ApCREB1 and ApAF. Activation of ApCREB1 by PKA induces an immediate-early gene such as ApC/EBP, and then phosphorylated ApAF can form a heterodimer with newly synthesized ApC/EBP. Functional cooperation of PKA-activated ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer is essential for gene induction involved in the consolidation of LTF. Therefore, ApAF may serve as a powerful cofactor during consolidation of LTF.](image-url)
to bind to the CRE enhancer element of the target genes. PKA now activates transcriptional activators ApCREB1 (Kaang et al., 1993; Martin et al., 1997) and ApAF. Phosphorylated ApCREB1 initiates a gene activation cascade required for memory consolidation (Bartsch et al., 1995; Lee et al., 2003), which leads to the induction of ApC/EBP as an early gene. Once induced, ApC/EBP interacts with ApAF that is activated by PKA and free from ApCREB2. Phospho-ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer constitutes the core downstream effector of ApCREB1 and activates the expression of genes that have ERE promoter and are essential for the consolidation and stabilization of LTF.

Materials and methods

Cloning of ApAF from A. kurodai
To clone ApAF from A. kurodai, we used the ~850-bp N-terminal fragment, which was obtained with Ndel–BbsI–digested pNEX-ACt-ApAF (A. californica) as a probe. We screened ~1.5 × 10^8 clones from an A. kurodai cDNA library, and five positive signals were obtained after the second screening. Five clones were analyzed by DNA sequencing. One of them had an open reading frame of 1,083 bp encoding a polypeptide of 360 amino acids, and it also contained a bZIP domain (Fig. S1). Moreover, the amino acid sequence of ApAF from A. kurodai shares ~80% similarities with that of A. californica. A search for potential phosphorylation sites revealed a common consensus sequence for the phosphorylation by PKA (Fig. S1), PKC, and CaMKII, indicating that ApAF may be regulated by these kinases.

DNA constructs
The ApAF obtained by PCR using specific primers (sense primer, 5′-CCC-AAGCTTGGCCACCACTGATACCCATCTG-3′; antisense primer, 5′-GGGATCCCTTACTGCTTCGTCCTGAT-3′) was subcloned into HindIII–BamHI–digested pNEX6 and Sall–BamHI–digested pNEX6-EGFP (Han et al., 2004) to create pNEX6-ApAF or pNEX6-EGFP-ApAF, respectively. The mutant fragments of ApAF (S175A, S266A, or S175/266A) were generated by recombinant PCR using specific sense or antisense primers [sense primer containing S175A, 5′-CAGGTTAGTGGCAGCCTTGG; antisense primer containing S175A, 5′-CAGGTTAGTGGCAGCCTTGG; sense primer containing S266A, 5′-CAAGAGATCCGCAACATCCGTCG-3′; antisense primer containing S266A, 5′-CAAGAGATCCGCAACATCCGTCG-3′]. The PCR products containing mutations (S175A, S266A, or S175/266A) in ApAF amino acid residues were subcloned into HindIII–KpnI–digested pNEX6 vector. The full-length of ApAF was subcloned into BamHI–Sacl–digested pNEX-ACT (Choi et al., 2003) to create pNEX-ACT-ApAF (Gal4-AD-ApAF). The bZIP domain of ApAF and the bZIP domain of ApCREB2 were subcloned into BamHI–Sacl–digested pNEX-AS (Choi et al., 2003) to create pNEX-AS-ApAF (bZIP) [Gal4-DB-ApAF (bZIP)] and pNEX-AS-ApCREB2 (bZIP) [Gal4-DB-ApCREB2 (bZIP)], respectively. To generate plmFab21Luciferase, luciferase was subcloned into BamHI–KpnI–digested plmFab21Luciferase vector (New England Biolabs, Inc.). To generate plmLuc28i–ApAF, ApAF was subcloned into Stul–BamHI–digested plmLuc28i vector. The full length of ApC/EBP was inserted into pNEX6-EGFP (Han et al., 2004) to examine its cellular localization. The construction of pNEX6-EGFP-CREB2 was previously described (Lee et al., 2003).

GST pull-down assay
WT GST-ApAF, its mutant GST fusion protein (GST-ApCREB2, S266A), and GST-ELAV1 (an RNA-binding protein with a protein size similar to ApAF) as a negative control were purified from Escherichia coli using a general purification method (GE Healthcare). ApCREB2-HA and ApC/EBP-HA fusion proteins were translated in the TNT rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Promega). 5 μl of the lysates were mixed with 20 μl of saturated GST protein–bound beads in 200 μl of PBS containing 0.5% NP-40; these binding mixtures were incubated for 3 h at RT. The bound complexes were washed in 600 μl of TNE (25 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, and 0.2% Triton X-100) and 300 μl of NET (25 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.2 mM EDTA) serially, and then eluted by 2× LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen). The eluted samples were loaded in 12% SDS-PAGE gel and detected with anti-HA mouse monoclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich).

In situ hybridization
In situ hybridization experiments were basically performed as previously described (Giustetto et al., 2003). In brief, cultured A. kurodai sensory neurons were fixed with cold 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and then permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100. After prehybridization with a hybridization solution (50% formamide, 5× SSC, 5× Denhard’s reagent, 0.25 g/ml yeast tRNA, and 0.5 g/ml salmon sperm DNA), hybridization was performed using 1 ng/μl of ApAF or ApCREB1-specific, DIG-labeled antisense probe at 38°C for 12–18 h in a humidified chamber. After washing with 5× SSC at 58°C for 1 h, the sample was incubated with 10% heat-inactivated goat serum in PBS at RT for 1 h. After overnight incubation with the anti-DIG antibody (Roche), the sample was washed three times with PBS at RT for 30 min, followed by 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 9.5, containing 0.5 mM MgCl2 for 5 min at RT. Development was performed using NBT/BCIP (Roche) for 24–36 h. The resulting cell images were acquired using a microscope [Diaphot; Nikon] attached to a digital camera system [Coolpix 995; Nikon] with a 20× objective at 1:1. The hybridization signal in each cell was measured by outlining the cell body using the histogram function of Photoshop software [Adobe]. The mean pixel intensity in the cell bodies was calculated by subtracting the background intensity from the cell body intensity.

Recombinant protein purification
WT and mutant ApAF (S175A, S266A, and S175/266A) coding sequences were amplified by PCR with primers containing restriction enzyme sites [sense primer, CGGGAACATGATACCCATCTGATACCCATCTG; antisense primer, GCCTAGATAGCCTGATACCCATCTG; restriction enzyme sites (underlined)]. Amplified coding sequences were sequentially digested with BamHI and XbaI and ligated into pGEX-4X vector (Pharmacia, Inc.) for induction in E. coli. The mutation of each construct was confirmed by sequencing. Each construct was transformed into E. coli, and protein expression was induced by 0.2 mM IPTG for 3 h at 37°C. Protein induction was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and purified by a GST purification kit (MBIotek) as recommended by the manufacturer.

Kinase assays
To examine whether ApAF is an endogenous substrate of Aplysia PKA, the crude tissue extract preparation from A. kurodai pedal-pleural ganglia was performed as previously described (Yamamoto et al., 1999). The reaction was performed at 18°C for 20 min, containing 1 μg GST bead–binding GST-ApAF, 10 μg tissue extract, and 1 μCi γ[32P]ATP in extraction buffer. To confirm the specificity of phosphorylation, the crude tissue extracts were previously incubated for 10 min with inhibitors of specific kinases, 40 μM KT5720 (PKA inhibitor; Khabour et al., 2004), 20 μM PD98059 (MEK inhibitor), and 10 μM chelerythrine (PKC inhibitor). GST pull-down assay was performed as previously described (Sander et al., 1998). The [32P]phosphate incorporation was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and phosphomager analysis.

To examine whether ApAF is directly phosphorylated by PKA at Ser-175 or -266, PKA kinase assay was performed at 30°C for 30 min in a final volume of 25 μl, containing 1 μg substrate, 200 μM ATP, 1 μCi γ[32P]ATP, and 5 U PKA catalytic subunit [New England Biolabs, Inc.]. The reaction solution contained 50 mM Tris-Cl and 10 mM MgCl2, pH 7.5. Reactions were stopped by adding SDS sample buffer and boiling at 100°C for 5 min. [32P]Phosphate incorporation was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and phosphomager analysis. To confirm the specificity of phosphorylation by PKA, either 40 μM KT5720 [A. G. Scientific, Inc.] or DMSO was added.

Microinjection, dsRNA in vitro transcription, and reporter gene assay
Microinjection into A. kurodai neurons was performed using air pressure, as previously described (Kim et al., 1992).

To investigate the interaction of ApAF with other transcription factors in A. kurodai neurons, such as ApC/EBP or ApCREB2, ~20 giant cells of the abdominal ganglion were injected with 70 μg/ml of each activator DNA construct [Gal4AD-ApAF (full), Gal4AD-ApC/EBP (full), Gal4BD-ApAFb2, Gal4BD-ApC/EBPb2; 700 μg/ml 4-gal4acZ, and 30 μg/ml pNEX2-luciferase, as previously described (Choi et al., 2003)]. Luciferase and β-galactosidase assays were carried out as previously described [Choi et al., 2003]. To investigate the effect of ApAF on CRE-driven gene expression, pNEX6-ApCREB2 (WT or mutants), pNEX6-ApCREB1, or pNEX6-ApCREB2 was coexpressed with CRE-luciferase (Lee et al., 2001) into ~30 neurons of abdominal ganglion. To examine transcriptional activity of ApAF (WT or mutants) or ApC/EBP, pNEX6-ApAF (WT; S175A, S266A, or S175/266A) or pNEX6-ApC/EBP was coinjected with CRE-luciferase (Lee et al., 2001)
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into ~30 sensory cells of pleural ganglion. ERE (Metz and Ziff, 1991; Alberini et al., 1994) and CRE sequences were inserted into the 5′ end of the pG3-promoter vector (Promega), producing ERE- and CRE- luciferase, respectively. The inserted sequences are 5′-GGGGCCCGATATCGAGATG- CCGGGCCCGATGATC-3′ [ERE] and 5′-TGCCGCTGACGTACGCGG- GGACGT-3′ [CRE] (core enhancer sequences are underlined; Lee et al., 2001). ERE sequences in this reporter construct contain a core CAAT motif, which is homologous to the binding sequences for ApAF homodimer and ApAF–ApC/EBP heterodimer (Bartsch et al., 2000). As an internal control for gene expression, pNEX2-lacZ was included in the injection solution (Kaang, 1996). The DNA concentrations (μg/ml) in the injection solution of activators [pNEX2-ApAF [WT or mutant], pNEX2-ApC/EBP, pNEX2- ApCREB1, or pNEX2-ApCREB2], reporter (CRE- or ERE-luciferase), and pNEX2-lacZ were 0.1, 0.7, and 0.05, respectively. 24 h after microinjection, the injected ganglia were exposed to one pulse (5 min) of 10 μM 5-HT to examine the effect of ApAF regulated by 5-HT on transcriptional regulation. Ganglion (sensory cluster of pleural ganglion) cell extracts were made 48 h after microinjection using 30 μl of reporter lysis buffer for gene expression, pNEX2-lacZ was included in the injection solution which is homologous to the binding sequences for ApAF homodimer and ApC/EBP to examine whether ApAF and/or ApC/EBP overexpression for gene expression, pNEX2-lacZ was included in the injection solution which is homologous to the binding sequences for ApAF homodimer and ApC/EBP to examine whether ApAF and/or ApC/EBP overexpression
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