Association between diffusion tensor imaging findings and domain-specific cognitive impairment in cerebral small vessel disease: a protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Introduction  Cognitive impairment is the main clinical manifestation of cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD). However, the mechanism and structural damage in different domains of cognitive disorders are poorly understood. There is an urgent need to quantify the relation between diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) data and impaired cognitive testing in CSVD, which may help to find biomarkers for early diagnosis or treatment evaluation. We aim to summarise the understanding of association between DTI findings and domain-specific cognitive impairment.

Methods and analysis  PubMed, EMBASE, Web of science, Cochrane library, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure Databases, Wanfang, Sinomed and VIP will be searched, from 1 January 1994 to 1 August 2021. The ClinicalTrials.gov and Chictr.org.cn records will also be searched to identify further potential studies. The included studies should report fractional anisotropy and/or apparent diffusion coefficient data for one or more individual regions of interest in DTI analysis. Meanwhile, cognitive testing scores are also needed. This systematic review will be reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses. The quality of cohort or case–control studies will be evaluated by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, and the cross-section studies will be evaluated by Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality scale. Meta-analysis, subgroup and sensitivity analyses, and publication bias will be all performed with Stata.

Ethics and dissemination  Patients and the public will not be involved in this study. The existing data from published studies will be used. The findings from this research will be relevant information regarding the association of DTI metrics with cognitive disorder, which will be published in a peer-reviewed journal. If we need to amend this protocol, we will give the date of each amendment, describe the change and give the rationale. Changes will not be incorporated into the protocol.

PROSPERO registration number  CRD42021226133.
detected by CT or MRI. WM is nerve fibres to interconnect neurons in the cerebral cortex or the deep structures. Therefore, if WM is disrupted, the damaged WM may cause the disconnection or network disruption in the brain. More and more evidence showed WM played an important role in cognitive decline and dementia. Bos et al also summarised that WM hyperintensities were associated with an increased risk of dementia in 3913 participants from 11 studies. Rensma et al also found higher dementia rates in CSVD (1709 dementia events in 16548 CSVD individuals) from 28 studies. So studies are warranted to further determine the role of markers of CSVD in cognitive impairment.

Neuroimaging is the crucial implement to detect brain injury of CSVD, especially the diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). DTI is a kind of MRI technology to detect microscopic structural changes in WM and evaluate the structural integrity of WM fibres. In DTI analysis, the lower fractional anisotropy (FA) and higher mean diffusivity (MD) generally reflect lower microstructural connectivity. Previous studies found some WM changes in DTI were associated with different domain-specific cognitive disorders. The Radboud University Nijmegen Diffusion tensor and Magnetic resonance imaging Cohort (RUN DMC) Study found the MD was associated with the verbal memory performance, psychomotor speed and attention in 499 individuals with CSVD. Tuladhar et al reported DTI findings in the genu and splenium of corpus callosum showed evident relation with executive functions, and the cingulum bundle was also associated with verbal memory performance. Duering et al reported frontal interhierarchical and thalamic projection fibre tracts measured by DTI were related to processing speed.

However, the relationship between DTI and cognitive outcomes in CSVD is currently unclear. The different cognitive domains were examined separately in different studies, as were the DTI metrics from different regions of interest (ROIs). To our knowledge, there are no meta-analysis research to explore the association between DTI findings and cognitive outcomes following CSVD. The purpose of this study protocol is to provide a clear methodology to review the relation between DTI and cognitive impairment in CSVD.

Objective
We perform the meta-analysis to summary knowledge and understanding of the association between DTI findings and domain-specific cognitive impairment in CSVD.

Review questions
Which cognitive functions are impaired in CSVD? Which microscopic structure changes measured by DTI is associated with different impaired cognitive domains?

METHODS
This protocol was abided by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Protocols. This research will be according to the PRISMA statement and guidance.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
The following criteria are applied to select studies:
- These studies examined adults (≥18 years) who underwent brain imaging to determine CSVD by MRI or CT.
- Some of the participants were confirmed to have cognitive impairment with at least one cognitive domain affected.
- FA and/or MD/apparent diffusion coefficient data were reported for one or more ROIs in DTI analysis.
- Cognitive testing scores were reported.
- Correlations between cognitive testing scores and DTI values were reported (Pearson’s r, Spearman’s r or exact p values provided).
- The studies followed a cohort or case-control or cross-sectional design.
- On the other hand, we will exclude studies that CSVD occurred in a context of immune-mediated or neurodegenerative disorders (e.g., multiple sclerosis or Parkinson’s disease).
- The cognitive disorder was mainly caused by other diseases (e.g., Alzheimer’s disease or Lewy body dementia).
- Reviews, case studies and studies with very small samples (N≤5).
- DTI data or scores can not be extracted, calculated or provided by the study authors.
- Other studies presented research data that overlap with already included studies.

Search strategy for identification of studies
The following databases will systematically screened for literatures: PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, Cochrane library, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure Databases, Wanfang, SinoMed and Chongqing Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database (VIP), from 1 January 1994 to 1 August 2021. The ClinicalTrials.gov and Chictr.org.cn records will also be searched to identify further potential studies. If some researchers are interested in our study, we will be happy to share relevant databases or papers.

The search strategy used the following terms:
- “cerebral small vessel disease” or “small vessel disease” or “lacunar” or “subcortical infarct” or “subcortical stroke” or “microinfarct” or “subcortical lesion” or “cerebrovascular disorder” or “Vinchow-Robin space” or “perivascular space” or “leukoariosis” or “leuko-ariaosis” or “white matter lesion” or “white matter hyperintensities” or “white matter hyperintensity” or “cerebral microbleed” or “micro haemorrhage” or “micro hemorrhage”, (2) “cognitive impairment” or “cognitive disorder” or “dementia” or “cognition” or “executive” or “executive function” or “memory” or “attention” or “psychomotor speed” or “processing speed” or “learning” or “language” or “social cognition” or “praxis” or “gnosis” or “visual perception”, (3) “diffusion tensor imaging” or “diffusion imaging” or “fractional anisotropy” or “mean diffusivity” or “apparent diffusion coefficient”. The suggested search syntax on PubMed is summarised in table 1. The flow chart is illustrated in figure 1.
Study selection for inclusion in the review
Literature search results will be imported into NoteExpress software (V.3.0) and duplicate records will be deleted. One researcher (YX) will screen titles of articles to exclude the obviously irrelevant studies, and the full text of relevant studies will be obtained. All abstracts and full-text articles of potentially relevant articles will be independently screened by two researchers (YX and LX). Any disagreements will be resolved by discussion or decided by a third researcher (FK). When there are no available data, investigators of studies should be contacted by email. In the case of multiple publications from the same study, we will include the one which has the most up-to-date or comprehensive information.

Data extraction and management
The following data will be extracted: publication, general information, study design, participant characteristics, control, comorbidity, type of CSVD, cognitive severity, DTI metrics, cognitive testing, brand of scanner, MRI magnet strength, method(s) of analysis, duration of follow-up, etc. Cognitive testing will be categorised into seven cognitive domains according to previous studies: general cognition, memory, attention, processing speed and working memory, executive function, verbal skills, concept formation and reasoning, construction and motor performance. The data will be evaluated and extracted independently by two researchers (YX and LX).

Quality assessment
Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) will be used to evaluate the quality of cohort or case-control studies. It contains eight items, mainly covering selection, comparability, exposure and outcome. The full score of NOS is 9 stars. The quality of the studies will be graded quality as good (≥7 stars), fair (4–6 stars) or poor (<4 stars). The quality of the cross-sectional studies will be assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality assessment extracted independently by two researchers (YX and LX). The data will be evaluated and the stability of results in meta-analysis will be assessed by I2. According to Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (V.5.1.0), the degree of heterogeneity is defined by I2 value: might not be important (0%–40%), low heterogeneity (40%–60%), moderate heterogeneity (60%–80%), substantial heterogeneity (80%–100%), and considerable heterogeneity (100%).

Publication bias will be assessed by the funnel plot and Egger’s test. The p<0.05 is considered statistically significant. Sensitivity analyses will also be undertaken to analyse the stability of results in meta-analysis, such as according to Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality scale, which contains 11 items. Study quality will be assessed as follows: low quality (<5 score), moderate quality (4–7 score) and high quality (8–11 score).

Statistical analysis
Pearson’s r will be applied to assess the relationship between the DTI metrics for each ROI and individual cognitive domain. Spearman’s r will be transformed with the equation $r = 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)$. Then, summary r will be calculated with fisher’s Z, which is transformed with Pearson’s r. Effect sizes (summary r) of 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 correspond to small, moderate, strong and very strong effects.

The following subgroups analyses are planned: aetiology (arteriosclerosis vs inheritance vs others), study type (cohort vs case–control vs cross-sectional studies), magnet strength (1.5T vs >30 T) and sample size (≤30 vs >30). The random effects model (Der Simonian and Laird method) will be applied in all meta-analysis, because the clinical and methodological condition differs to some extent in included studies. A random effects model is more conservative. Q-test has poor power to analyse heterogeneity in the situation of few studies. Heterogeneity among the included studies will be assessed by I2. According to Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (V.5.1.0), the degree of heterogeneity is defined by I2 value: might not be important (0%–40%), moderate heterogeneity (30%–60%), substantial heterogeneity (50%–90%), considerable heterogeneity (75%–100%), and considerable heterogeneity (100%).
to the quality of studies. All analyses were performed with Stata V.15.1.

If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, a systematic narrative synthesis will be used, we will provide information in tables to summarise and explain the characteristics and findings from the included studies.

**Patient and public involvement**
The research will use existing data from published studies. Patients and the public will not be involved in the design of this study.

**DISCUSSION**
After finished above research content, we will get the impaired cognitive domains led by CSVD. Moreover, the disrupted WM microscopic structure associated with different impaired cognitive domains will be further known, which could encourage to improve diagnosis and treatment.

In the past decade, DTI has been increasingly used for the evaluation of CSVD patients, because it is the high sensitivity in detecting cerebral tissue damage. However, up to now, no meta-analysis has been carried out to quantify the relation between DTI data and impaired cognitive testing in CSVD. Actually, many studies had been completed for the relation. They all demonstrated that low FA and high MD in multiple regions of the WM were associated with lower scores on cognitive performance.\(^8\)\(^{21}\)\(^{22}\) There are variations in the results of different studies, because of the difference in ROIs, the study type, sample size, the subject and so on. It is of great significance to diagnosis and treatment of cognitive impairment in CSVD by studying the DTI associated with cognition.

Currently, little is known about neural basis of impaired cognitive function. Subsequently, there are few disease-specific treatments for cognitive impairment of CSVD. However, the disease can be prevented in the early stage.\(^1\) So we should explore the biomarker to early diagnose the
cognitive impairment in CSVD. Our research will help to understand pathology and develop new recognised biomarkers to diagnosis, monitor progression of disease or evaluate the treatment efficacy.
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