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Visual Abstract

Modern molecular and biochemical neuroscience studies require analysis of specific cellular populations derived from brain tissue samples to disambiguate cell type-specific events. This is particularly true in the analysis of minority glial populations in the brain, such as microglia, which may be obscured in whole tissue analyses. Microglia have central functions in development, aging, and neurodegeneration and are a current focus of neuroscience research. A long-standing concern for glial biologists using in vivo models is whether cell isolation from CNS tissue could introduce ex vivo artifacts in microglia, which respond quickly to changes in the environment. Mouse microglia were purified by magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS), as well as

Significance Statement

Purification of brain microglia from laboratory animal models allows for cell-type specific molecular and biochemical analyses. A long-standing concern of microglial purification is the introduction of artifacts through the isolation process. Comparison of magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) and both cytometer-based and cartridge-based fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) show equivalent and sufficient cellular yield/purity and similar levels of ex vivo activation which was determined to arise during tissue dissociation. Ex vivo microglial activation in cell suspensions generated by a combination of enzymatic and mechanical dissociation procedures was prevented by supplementation with transcription/translation inhibitors during cell preparation. Alternatively, non-enzymatic dissociation conducted at low temperatures prevented such ex vivo activational signatures. Cell preparation and sort methods can be further optimized depending on experimental needs.
cytometer-based and cartridge-based fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) approaches to compare and contrast performance. The Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mouse model was used to provide an endogenous fluorescent microglial marker and a microglial-specific translatabome profile as a baseline comparison lacking cell isolation artifacts. All sorting methods performed similarly for microglial purity with main differences being in cell yield and time of isolation. Ex vivo activation signatures occurred principally during the initial tissue dissociation and cell preparation and not the cell sorting. The cell preparation-induced activational phenotype could be minimized by inclusion of transcriptional and translational inhibitors or non-enzymatic dissociation conducted entirely at low temperatures. These data demonstrate that a variety of microglial isolation approaches can be used, depending on experimental needs, and that inhibitor cocktails are effective at reducing cell preparation artifacts.
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**Introduction**

Microglia, the brain’s resident macrophages, have come to the forefront of neuroimmunology research (Prinz et al., 2019). They serve as surveyors of the CNS and exhibit behavior derived from their embryonic precursors, myeloid cells (Cuadros and Navascués, 1998; Rock et al., 2004), with roles in neurodevelopment, sex differences, as well as in health and neurodegenerative diseases (Salter and Stevens, 2017; Butovsky and Weiner, 2018; Han et al., 2021). Microglial activity is governed by local microenvironments and through communication with neighboring cells. Under stress, microglial cells transition to an activated phenotype, classically defined by morphologic transformation from ramified to amoeboid, release of proinflammatory cytokines, and a shift in global gene expression (Rock et al., 2004; Sierra et al., 2013; Avignone et al., 2015). With the advent of single-cell transcriptomic sequencing, the field has undergone a taxonomic reclassification (Dubbelaar et al., 2018; Provenzano et al., 2021). Current evidence suggests microglia exist within a phenotypic gradient, and the transition away from a quiescent state is no longer viewed as binary “on” or “off.” Thus, the use of microglial gene expression profiles to infer functional status has bolstered the use of transcriptomic profiling as a powerful technique for microglial classification. To delineate the full heterogeneity of microglial population with aging and disease using single-cell techniques, it is necessary to minimize activational effects of cell preparation and isolation.

Traditionally, molecular analyses from specific CNS cell types required the liberation of cells from their native environment and use of fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) or magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) labeling techniques before RNA extraction (Cahoy et al., 2008). Cell dissociation primarily consists of enzymatic and/or mechanical dissociation with quality checks for cell viability, debris removal, and absence of cell aggregation (Reichard and Asosingh, 2019). Creation of a single-cell suspension from brain tissue and isolation of microglia is harsh and may alter the phenotypic state of microglia ex vivo (Wu et al., 2017; Haimon et al., 2018). Ex vivo microglial activation has the potential of introducing confounds that may mask endogenously induced activation, such as in a pathologic state. To avoid cell-isolation confounds, microglial-specific cre-lines (Cx3cr1-cre/ERT2) have been combined with various floxed ribosomal tagging models: (1) ribosome tagging (RiboTag; Haimon et al., 2018); (2) translating ribosome affinity purification (TRAP; Ayata et al., 2018); and (3) nuclear tagging and TRAP (NuTRAP; Chucair-Elliott et al., 2020), allowing the immunoprecipitation (IP) of tagged polysomes to isolate microglial-specific translatabomes without the need for cell isolation. Although transgenic ribosome IP approaches overcome many of the potential confounds of ex vivo activation, experimental endpoints such as proteomics and single-cell heterogeneity still require cell isolation of intact microglial cells. Additionally, animal availability, complex breeding strategies, and cost will continue to be deterrents for many investigators to using transgenic microglial labeling. While single-cell sequencing allows for broad and potentially unbiased analysis of various cell types, it too is predicated on the creation of a single-cell suspension. Thus, an understanding of the effects of cell preparation and isolation methods on ex vivo activation while maintaining highly pure microglial enrichment is needed. The advent of RiboTag approaches allows generation of a reference microglial signature to which sorted microglial profiles can be compared. The goals of this study were to
compare purity and yield of isolated microglia and assess the relative level of ex vivo activation by comparing Cx3cr1-TRAP-isolated RNA to various sorting techniques used for microglia: MACS (Nikodemova and Watters, 2012; Holt and Olsen, 2016), cytometer-based FACS (Hickman et al., 2013), and newly available low-pressure cartridge-based FACS (Roberts et al., 2021) using the TRAP-enrichment profile as a baseline purified microglial translomate for ex vivo activation.

We compared artifacts induced through three cell sorting techniques via transcriptomic profiling of bulk tissue, sorted cells, and immunoprecipitated translatomes and found similar performance with ex vivo activational signatures principally occurring during the enzymatic digestion and mechanical dissociation during initial cell preparation. Inclusion of transcriptional and translational inhibitors during the cell preparation step prevented most of these artifacts. Additionally, non-enzymatic tissue dissociation conducted entirely at 4°C was successful at preventing the ex vivo activational signature. These studies provide critical insight into the sensitivity of microglia and guidance on experimental design to minimize ex vivo confounds of microglial isolation.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation (OMRF). Parent mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and bred and housed under SPF conditions in a HEPA barrier environment on a 14/10 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 6 A.M.). Cx3cr1-cre/ERT2 SPF conditions in a HEPA barrier environment on a 14/10 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 6 A.M.). Cx3cr1-cre/ERT2 wt/wt (stock #020940; Yona et al., 2013) were mated from The Jackson Laboratory and bred and housed under Animal Care and Use Committee at the Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation.

Flow cytometry from blood

Blood was collected from the facial vein of Cx3cr1-NuTRAP (3 and 60 d after Tam induction) and cre-negative (WT) controls (n = 3–10/group) using a 5 mm sterile Goldenrod animal lancet (MEDIpoint) and mixed with 10 μl 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 to avoid coagulation (Chucair-Elliott et al., 2020). Ten volumes of room temperature 1× Red Blood Cell Lysis solution (#130-094-183, Miltenyi Biotec) were added to one volume of collected blood, vortexed for 5 s, and then incubated in the dark at room temperature for 10 min. Cells were pelleted at 300 × g for 10 min at room temperature and then the supernatant was decanted. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml 0.5% BSA (#130-091-376, Miltenyi Biotec) in 1× D-PBS containing calcium, magnesium, glucose, and pyruvate (#14287-072, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and then washed by centrifugation at 300 × g for 10 min at room temperature. The cell pellet was resuspended in 200 μl 0.5% BSA in 1× D-PBS and split into 50 μl aliquots for antibody staining. Cell aliquots were then stained with the following: (1) 1 μl of CD11b-APC (M1/70.15.11.5, #130-113-793, Miltenyi Biotec); (2) 1 μl of CD45-VioBlue (REA737, #130-110-802, Miltenyi Biotec); (3) 1 μl of CD11b-APC and 1 μl of CD45-VioBlue; or (4) left unstained as a control. Stained cells were gently mixed and then incubated for 10 min in the dark at 4°C. Cells were then washed with 1 ml of 0.5% BSA in 1× D-PBS and then pelleted at 300 × g for 10 min at room temperature. The cell pellet was resuspended in 250 μl of 0.5% BSA in 1× D-PBS before flow cytometric analysis on the MACSQuant Analyzer 10 (#130-096-343, Miltenyi Biotec).

Preparation of single-cell suspension from mouse brain using a combination of enzymatic and mechanical dissociation

Halves of Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mouse brains were rinsed in ice-cold D-PBS containing calcium, magnesium, glucose, and pyruvate (#14287-072, Thermo Fisher Scientific), sliced into four sagittal sections on a chilled, metal block and placed into ice-cold gentleMACS C-tubes (#130-093-237, Miltenyi Biotec), containing 150 μl of papain-based, Enzyme Mix 1. For each reaction, Enzyme Mix 1 was added to protocols published by The Jackson Laboratory, as previously described (Chucair-Elliott et al., 2020).

Tamoxifen (Tam) induction of cre recombinase

At approximately three months, mice received a daily intraperitoneal injection of Tam solubilized in 100% sunflower seed oil by sonication (100 mg/kg body weight, 20 mg/ml stock solution, #T5648; MilliporeSigma) for five consecutive days, as previously (Chucair-Elliott et al., 2019). Based on an average weight of 20 g per mouse, each daily injection of Tam consisted of 100 μl of 20 mg/ml stock solution. Adjustments were made for mice that significantly deviated from the average weight. Tissues were harvested from five- to eight-month mice, allowing at least two months following the last Tam injection and turnover of CX3CR1+ circulating cells.
created by combining 50 μl of Enzyme P with 1900 μl of buffer Z, while Enzyme Mix 2 was created by combining 10 μl of Enzyme A with 20 μl of buffer Y per reaction, with all reagents included in the Adult Brain Dissociation kit (#130-107-677, Miltenyi Biotec). Each sample then had 30 μl of Enzyme Mix 2 added before being mechanically dissociated for 30 min at 37°C on the gentleMACS Octo Dissociator with Heaters (#130-096-427, Miltenyi Biotec) using the 37C_ABDK_01 program. Following enzymatic and mechanical dissociation, the C-tubes were quickly spun in a chilled (4°C) Allegra-30R centrifuge (#B08708, Beckman Coulter) with an SX4400 swinging bucket rotor to collect the samples in the bottom of the tubes. Next, samples were resuspended and passed through a prewet 70 μm MACS SmartStrainer (#130-110-916, Miltenyi Biotec) and collected in a 50-ml conical tube (#21008-178, VWR International). The C-tubes were washed with 10 ml of ice-cold D-PBS and the washed volume was passed through the 70 μm MACS SmartStrainer. The cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at 300 × g for 10 min at 4°C. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was aspirated and debris was removed using the Debris Removal solution (#130-109-398, Miltenyi Biotec) provided in the Adult Brain Dissociation kit (#130-107-677, Miltenyi Biotec). Briefly, cells were resuspended in 3.1 ml of ice-cold D-PBS and passed to a 15-ml conical tube (#21008-214, VWR International) and 900 μl of cold Debris Removal solution was mixed into the cell suspensions. Next, 4 ml of D-PBS was gently overlaid on top of the cell suspension, ensuring the layers did not mix. Centrifugation at 3000 × g for 10 min at 4°C separated the suspension into three phases, of which the top two phases were aspirated. The cell pellet was gently resuspended in 15 ml of ice-cold D-PBS before centrifugation at 1000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. After aspirating the supernatant completely, the cells were resuspended in 1 ml of 0.5% BSA (#130-091-376, Miltenyi Biotec) in D-PBS and filtered through a 35-μm filter (#352235, Fisher Scientific). A 100 μl aliquot of cells was retained as “Dounce-Input” for flow cytometry and RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) analyses.

Preparation of single-cell suspension from mouse brain using mechanical dissociation

As a comparator to the enzymatic and mechanical dissociation, which requires a 30-min incubation at elevated temperatures (37°C), single-cell suspensions were also created using mechanical dissociation alone with all steps conducted at 4°C or on ice. The protocol for this cell preparation was modified from a previously published study (Hammond et al., 2019). Briefly, halves of Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mouse brains were rinsed in ice-cold 1× HBSS with no calcium, no magnesium, and no phenol red (diluted 1:10 from #14185-052, ThermoFisher), sliced into four sagittal sections and placed into a 7-ml Kimble Dounce homogenizer (#D9063, MilliporeSigma) containing 5-ml prechilled 1× HBSS. The brain tissue was homogenized using 15 strokes of the loose and tight pestles while simultaneously rotating the pestle and then passed through a prewet 70-μm cell strainer (#130-095-823, Miltenyi Biotec) placed onto a prechilled 15-ml conical tube. The glass homogenizer was washed twice with 5 ml of 1× HBSS and the washed volume was transferred to the 15-ml conical tube containing the brain homogenate. Next, cells were pelleted at 300 × g for 5 min at 4°C. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was aspirated and the cells were resuspended in 10 ml 40% ice-cold Percoll (#17-0891-02, MilliporeSigma) in 1× HBSS (4 ml Percoll, 1 ml 10× HBSS, 5 ml RNase-free water). The cell mixture was spun at 500 × g for 30 min at 4°C using full acceleration and braking. The myelin and Percoll were carefully aspirated, leaving the microglia-containing cell pellet undisturbed. The cell pellet was then washed in 10 ml of ice-cold HBSS and spun again at 300 × g for 5 min at 4°C. After aspirating the supernatant completely, the cells were resuspended in 1 ml 0.5% BSA (#130-091-376, Miltenyi Biotec) in D-PBS and filtered through a 35-μm filter (#352235, Fisher Scientific). An aliquot of 200-μl cells was retained as “Dounce-Input” for flow cytometry. The remaining cells were pelleted at 300 × g for 10 min at 4°C to process for TRAP and RNA-Seq library preparation.

Cell counting from brain tissue

Filtered cells were diluted 1:10 in 0.5% BSA (#130-091-376, Miltenyi Biotec) in D-PBS before cell counting on a MACSQuant Analyzer 10 (#130-096-343, Miltenyi Biotec). A total of 50 μl of diluted cells were analyzed to determine absolute cell count. Cells were gated on FSC-A/SSC-A to determine cell count and FSC-A/FSC-H to determine singlet count. Absolute cell counts were used to determine antibody staining ratios.

CD11b magnetic labeling and separation from brain tissue

Cells from a single hemisphere of a Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mouse brain were pelleted at 300 × g for 10 min at 4°C and resuspended in 90 μl of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS with 10 μl of CD11b (Microglia) MicroBeads (#130-093-636, Miltenyi Biotec) per 107 total cells. After gently pipetting to mix, cells were incubated for 15 min at 2–8°C protected from light. Cells were washed with 1 ml of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS and pelleted at 300 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 500 μl of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS. After priming the autoMACS Pro Separator (#130-092-545, Miltenyi Biotec), sample and collection tubes were placed in a cold MACS Chill 5 Rack (#130-092-951, Miltenyi Biotec) with both positive and negative fractions being collected. The double-positive selection (Posseld) program (i.e., positive fraction cells are then run over a second magnetic column for higher purity) was used to elute highly pure CD11b+ cells in 500 μl of autoMACS Running buffer (#130-091-221, Miltenyi Biotec). Following separation, the positive fraction was reserved for further applications and analysis.

Antibody labeling for FACS from brain tissue

Cell suspensions from a single hemisphere of a Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mouse brain were pelleted at 300 × g for 10 min at 4°C and resuspended in 96 μl of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS, 2 μl of CD11b-APC antibody (M1/70.15.11.5, #130-113-
793, Miltenyi Biotec), and 2 μl of CD45-VioBlue antibody (REA737, #130-110-802, Miltenyi Biotec). After mixing well, cells were incubated for 10 min in the refrigerator (2–8°C) protected from light. Cells were washed with 1 ml of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS and pelleted at 300 x g for 10 min. Cell suspensions from half brains were processed in parallel for cartridge-based FACs (MACSQuant Tyto) and cytometer-based FACs (FACSAria).

**Cartridge-based FACs (MACSQuant Tyto) from brain tissue**

Stained cell pellets from a single hemisphere of a Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mouse brain were resuspended in 10 ml of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS. A MACSQuant Tyto Cartridge (#130-106-088, Miltenyi Biotec) was primed using 1 ml of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS. The cell suspension was then filtered through 20-μm Pre-Separation Filters (#130-101-812, Miltenyi Biotec). An aliquot of 500 μl of filtered cell suspension was saved as the Tyto-Input fraction for analysis. The remaining cell suspension was then loaded into the input chamber of a MACSQuant Tyto cartridge. After loading labeled cells into the input chamber, the cartridge was scanned into the MACSQuant Tyto Cell Sorter system (#130-103-931, Miltenyi Biotec) and sorting parameters were selected. The MACSQuant Tyto cartridge is a sterile, closed, single-use system that relies on accurate activation of the sort valve to pass cells of interest (in this case microglia) into the positive sort chamber. Cell speed (or time-of-flight) was determined by the time it took a cell to pass between two adjacent lasers. In this study, the V1 filter (450/50 nm) of the violet (405 nm) laser was used as a cell trigger, the first PMT channel used to measure cell speed, to detect CD45-VioBlue positive cells at a threshold signal of 20. The B1 filter (525/550) of the blue (488 nm) laser was used as the cell speed channel to detect eGFP+ cells at a signal threshold of 4. A blue (488 nm) laser with B1 (525/550 nm) and B2 (585/40 nm) filter combinations was used to gate on eGFP+ cells without auto-fluorescence interference. Subsequent gating based on CD11b-APC fluorescence used a red (638 nm) laser and R1 (655–730 nm) filter. The gating strategy was set to CD11b–/CD45+ eGFP+ for isolation of microglia (Extended Data Fig. 1-5). After completion of the sort, the cells from the positive fraction chamber were collected in 0.5% BSA in D-PBS. The positive fraction chamber was washed twice using 450 μl of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS per wash and combined with the initial positive fraction collection. After sorting was completed, an aliquot of (10%) of the positive fraction was kept for analysis on the MACSQuant Analyzer 10 Flow Cytometer.

**Cytometer-based FACs (FACSAria) from brain tissue**

Following staining, cells from a single hemisphere of a Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mouse brain were pelleted and then resuspended in 2 ml of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS for cytometer-based sorting (FACSAria iLlucell sorter, BD Biosciences). An aliquot of 200-μl stained cells was saved as FACS-Input for analysis. A violet (405 nm) laser was used to gate CD45-VioBlue-positive cells using a 450/40-nm filter. A blue (488 nm) laser with 530/30 nm and yellow-green (561 nm) laser with 610/20 nm filter combinations was used to gate on eGFP+ cells without auto-fluorescence interference. A red (640 nm) laser was used to detect CD11b-APC fluorescence with a 660/20-nm filter. The gating strategy was set to CD11b–/CD45+ eGFP+ for isolation of microglia (Extended Data Fig. 1-6). Cells were sorted into 500 μl of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS. After sorting was completed, an aliquot (10%) of the positive fraction was analyzed on the MACSQuant Analyzer 10 Flow Cytometer.

**Addition of inhibitors**

Transcription and translation inhibitors were included during cell preparation, as previously described (Marsh et al., 2020) with some minor modifications. Actinomycin D (#A1410, MilliporeSigma) was reconstituted in DMSO to a concentration of 5 mg/ml before being aliquoted and stored at −20°C protected from light. Triptolide (#T3652, MilliporeSigma) was reconstituted in DMSO to a concentration of 10 nm before being aliquoted and stored at −20°C protected from light. Anisomycin (#A9789, MilliporeSigma) was reconstituted in DMSO to a concentration of 10 mg/ml before being aliquoted and stored at 4°C protected from light. For the samples to be treated with inhibitors, 2 μl each of actinomycin D, triptolide, and anisomycin stocks were added to the initial Enzyme Mix 1 before dissociation for a final concentration of 5 μg/ml, 10 μM, and 10 μg/ml, respectively. The transcription and translation inhibitors were only included during the enzymatic and mechanical dissociation step for 30 min at 37°C on the gentleMACS Octo Dissociator with Heaters (#130-096-427, Miltenyi Biotec) using the 37C_ABDK_01 program. The transcription and translation inhibitors were not present in the dissection or sorting buffers, as the cell preparation was kept at 4°C for all other steps.

**Flow cytometry analysis from brain tissue**

For analysis of cell sorting, aliquots of input and positive fractions from each of the sort methods (autoMACS, autoMACS to MACSQuant Tyto, MACSQuant Tyto, FACSAria) were taken for analysis on the MACSQuant Analyzer 10 Flow Cytometer. autoMACS input and positive fractions were stained with CD11b-APC (M1/70, #130-113-793, Miltenyi Biotec) and CD45-VioBlue (REA737, #130-110-802, Miltenyi Biotec) after completion of the sort, according to manufacturer’s instructions. autoMACS to MACSQuant Tyto, MACSQuant Tyto, and FACSAria input and positive fractions were stained before cell sorting. Following staining, cells were resuspended in 500 μl of 0.5% BSA/D-PBS and run on the MACSQuant Analyzer 10 Flow Cytometer. Postsort purity was assessed by (1) percent eGFP+ singlets and (2) percent CD11b–/CD45+ singlets (Extended Data Fig. 1-7) using MACSQuantify v2.13.0 software.

To test the effect of cell preparation method on the relative abundance of cell types, aliquots of cells were stained with the following: (1) microglial [CD11b-APC (M1/70, #130-113-793, Miltenyi Biotec)/CD45-VioBlue (REA737, #130-110-802, Miltenyi Biotec)]; (2) neuronal [CD24-VioBlue (REA737, #130-101-812, Miltenyi Biotec)] and 2 μl of CD45-VioBlue antibody (REA737, #130-110-802, Miltenyi Biotec). After mixing well, cells were incubated for 10 min in the refrigerator (2–8°C) protected from light. Cells were washed with 1 ml of 0.5% BSA in D-PBS and pelleted at 300 x g for 10 min. Cell suspensions from half brains were processed in parallel for cartridge-based FACs (MACSQuant Tyto) and cytometer-based FACs (FACSAria).
(REA743, #130-110-831, Miltenyi Biotec); (3) astrocytic [ACSA2-APC (REA969, #130-116-245, Miltenyi Biotec)]; or (4) Oligodendrocytic [O4-APC (REA576, #130-119-982, Miltenyi Biotec)] fluorophore-conjugated antibodies, according to manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were washed and resuspended in 500 µl and run on the MACSQuant Analyzer 10 Flow Cytometer. Relative cell proportions with and without transcription/translation inhibitors were assessed (Extended Data Fig. 6-3) using MACSQuantify v2.13.0 software.

To test the effect of cell preparation method on the viability of single-cell suspensions, aliquots of cells were stained with Viobility 405/520 Fixable Dye (#130-110-206, Miltenyi Biotec), according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 100 µl of cells in 1× D-PBS were incubated with 1 µl of Viobility 405/520 Fixable Dye for 15 min in the dark at room temperature. After washing with 1 ml of 0.5% BSA/D-PBS, the cells were resuspended in 250 µl of 0.5% BSA/D-PBS and run on the MACSQuant Analyzer 10 Flow Cytometer. The percent viable singlets and eGFP⁺ singlets were assessed (Extended Data Fig. 6-4) using MACSQuantify v2.13.0 software.

TRAP and RNA extraction

For TRAP from whole tissue, a hemisected half-brain was minced into small pieces and homogenized in 1.5 ml ice-cold complete homogenization buffer: 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4; 12 mM MgCl₂; 100 mM KCl; 1% NP-40; 1 µg/ml sodium heparin; 1 mM DTT; 100 µg/ml cycloheximide (#C8589-1ML, MilliporeSigma); 200 units/ml RNaseOUT Recombinant Ribonuclease Inhibitor (#10777019; Thermo Fisher Scientific); 0.5 mM Spermidine (#S2626, MilliporeSigma), 1× complete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (#11836170001; MilliporeSigma) with a glass Dounce tissue grinder set, and homogenized 15 times with pestle A. Volume was brought up to 1.5 ml with complete homogenization buffer. Homogenates (from tissue or cells) were transferred to 2 ml round-bottom tubes and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, 100 µl of the supernatant was saved as TRAP “Input.” The remaining supernatant was transferred to a 2-ml round-bottom tube and incubated with 5 µg/µl of anti-GFP antibody (ab290; Abcam) at 4°C for 1 h. Dynabeads Protein G for IP (#10003D; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were washed three times in 1-ml ice-cold low-salt wash buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5; 12 mM MgCl₂; 100 mM KCl; 1% NP-40; 100 µg/ml cycloheximide; 1 mM DTT). After removal of the last wash, the homogenate/antibody mixture was transferred to the 2-ml round-bottom tube containing the washed Protein-G Dynabeads and incubated at 4°C with end-over-end rotation overnight. Magnetic beads were collected using a DynaMag-2 magnet and the unbound-ribosomes and associated RNA discarded. Beads and GFP-bound polysomes were then washed three times with 0.5 ml of high-salt wash buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5; 12 mM MgCl₂; 300 mM KCl; 1% NP-40; 100 µg/ml cycloheximide; 2 mM DTT). Following the last wash, 350 µl of buffer RLT (QIAGEN) supplemented with 3.5 µl 2-µl mercaptoethanol (#444203, MilliporeSigma) was added directly to the beads and incubated with mixing on a ThermoMixer (Eppendorf) for 10 min at room temperature. The beads were magnetically separated and the supernatant containing the target bead-bound polysomes and associated RNA was transferred to a new tube. A total of 350 µl of 100% ethanol was added to the tube (“TRAP” fraction: enriched in transcriptome associated to eGFP-tagged ribosomes) and then loaded onto a RNeasy MiniElute column (QIAGEN). RNA was isolated using RNeasy Mini Kit (#74104, QIAGEN), according to manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop One™ spectrophotometer (#ND-ONEC-W, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and its quality assessed by HSNA ScreenTape (#5067-5579, Agilent Technologies) with a 4150 Tapestation analyzer (#G2992AA, Agilent Technologies).

Library construction and RNA-Seq

Directional RNA-Seq libraries were made according to the manufacturer’s protocol from 2 to 100 ng RNA. TRAP input and positive fraction RNA from all samples were used to create individual RNA-Seq libraries (no pooling of samples was performed). Briefly, poly-adenylated RNA was captured using NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (#NEB7490L; New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). Following mRNA capture, mRNA was eluted from the oligo-dT beads and fragmented by incubating with the First Strand Synthesis Reaction buffer and Random Primer Mix (2×) from the NEBNext Ultra II Directional Library Prep Kit for Illumina (#NEB7760L; New England Biolabs) for 15 min at 94°C. First and second strand cDNA synthesis were performed sequentially, as instructed by the manufacturer’s guidelines. After purification of double-stranded cDNA with 1.8× SPRISelect Beads (#B23318, Beckman Coulter), purified cDNA was eluted in 50 µl 0.1× TE buffer and subjected to end prep. The NEBNext Adaptor was diluted 1:100 in Adaptor Dilution buffer (provided) before ligating the adaptor to the cDNA. After purifying the ligation reaction with 0.9× SPRISelect Beads (#B23318, Beckman Coulter), cDNA was eluted in 15 µl of 0.1× TE (provided). Next, cDNA libraries were amplified with 16 cycles of PCR using the NEBNext Ultra II Q5 Master Mix (provided) and unique index primer mixes from NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina Library (#E6609L, New England Biolabs). Libraries were purified with 0.9× SPRISelect Beads (#B23318, Beckman Coulter) and then sized with HSD1000 ScreenTapes (#5067-5584; Agilent Technologies). Libraries had an average peak size of 316 bp. Libraries were quantified by Qubit 1× dsDNA HS Assay Kit (#Q33230, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The libraries for each sample were pooled at 4 nm concentration and sequenced using an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system (SP PE50bp, S4 PE150). The entirety of
the sequencing data are available for download in FASTQ format from NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (to be included on acceptance).

**RNA-Seq data analysis**

Following sequencing, reads were trimmed and aligned before differential expression statistics and correlation analyses in Strand NGS software package (v4.0; Strand Life Sciences). Reads were aligned against the full mm10 genome build (2014.11.26). Alignment and filtering criteria included the following: adapter trimming, fixed 2-bp trim from 5' and 2 bp from 3' ends, a maximum number of one novel splice allowed per read, a minimum of 90% identity with the reference sequence, a maximum 5% gap, and trimming of 3' end with Q < 30. Alignment was performed directionally with Read 1 aligned in reverse and Read 2 in forward orientation. All duplicate reads were then removed. Normalization was performed with the DESeq2 algorithm. Transcripts with an average read count value > 5 in at least 100% of the samples in at least one group were considered expressed at a level sufficient for quantitation per tissue and those transcripts below this level were considered not detected/not expressed and excluded, as these low levels of reads are close to background and are highly variable. For statistical analysis of differential expression, a one-way ANOVA or two-way ANOVA with the factors of TRAP fraction and treatment and a Benjamini–Hochberg multiple testing correction (BHMTMC) followed by Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test were used (FDR < 0.1). For those transcripts meeting this statistical criterion, a fold change > 2 cutoff was used to eliminate those genes which were statistically significant but unlikely to be biologically significant and orthogonally confirmable because of their very small magnitude of change. Visualizations of hierarchical clustering and principal component analyses (PCAs) were performed in Strand NGS (version 4.0). Cell type specific marker gene lists were generated from the re-analysis published previously (McKenzie et al., 2018) of immunopurified and high throughput single-cell data from mice. Overrepresentation analysis (ORA) was conducted using WEB-based Gene SeT AnaLysis Toolkit (WebGestalt; www.webgestalt.org; Liao et al., 2019). Top overrepresented biological processes were selected from gene ontology functional database with no redundant option selected (hypergeometric test, BHMTMC, FDR < 0.05) and background reference gene list of all expressed genes (raw count > 5 in all samples from at least one group). Top overrepresented transcription factor targets were selected from network functional database with all expressed genes as the reference gene list (hypergeometric test, BHMTMC, FDR < 0.05). Heatmaps of overrepresented biological processes and gene lists were created using Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus). Upset plots were created using UpSetR v1.4.0 package in RStudio v1.4.1106 with R v4.0.5. Previously published microglial ex vivo activational lists were compared (Ayata et al., 2018; Haimon et al., 2018; Marsh et al., 2020) and genes included in at least two of the three previous studies were considered “ex vivo activational transcripts.” Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was run using GSEA v4.1.0 (Mootha et al., 2003; Subramanian et al., 2005).

**Immunochemistry and imaging**

Brain samples were fixed for 4 h in 4% PFA, cryoprotected by sequential incubations in PBS containing 15% and 30% sucrose, and then frozen in Optimal Cutting Temperature medium (#4583, Tissue-Tek). Twelve μm-thick sagittal sections were cryo-microtome-cut (Cryostar NX70, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Tissue sections were rinsed with PBS containing 1% Triton X-100, blocked for 1 h in PBS containing 10% normal donkey serum, and processed for fluorescence immunostaining and downstream analysis. The primary antibodies included rabbit anti-GFP (#ab290, 1:100, Abcam), rat anti-CD11b (#C227, Clone M1/70, 1:100, Leinco Technologies), and rat anti-CD45 (#550539, Clone 30-F111, 1:100, BD Biosciences). Sequential imaging was performed on an Olympus Fluoview confocal laser-scanning microscope (FV1200; Olympus) at the Dean McGee Eye Institute imaging core facility at OUHSC. Microscope and FLUOVIEW FV1000 version 1.2.6.0 software (Olympus) settings were identical for samples using the same staining-antibody combination and at same magnification. The experimental format files were ob. The Z-stack generated was achieved at 1.26-μm step size with a total of eight optical slices at 20× magnification (2× zoom).

**Results**

The goal of this study was to compare microglial sorting techniques and determine the relative levels of ex vivo activation induced during cell preparation and microglial isolation. A schematic of the experimental design is represented in Figure 1A. In the Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mice, following cre recombination in Cx3cr1Cre+ cells, deletion of the floxed stop cassette causes activation of the NuTRAP allele, labeling microglial ribosomes with eGFP and nuclei with biotin and mCherry. For the first part of the present study, we used eGFP as a sorting criterion and in the evaluation of postsort microglial purity, along with CD11b and CD45 co-expression. Co-localization of eGFP with microglial markers CD11b and CD45 in Cx3cr1-NuTRAP brains was verified by immunohistochemistry (Extended Data Fig. 1-2). Enzymatic and mechanical dissociation of Cx3cr1-NuTRAP brains was performed to generate single-cell suspensions. For each sort method, both male and female samples were used.

**Flow cytometric analysis of sort fractions from various microglial sorting techniques**

After reserving an aliquot as input, cells were subjected to one of four isolation techniques: (1) CD11b+ magnetic-bead based isolation (autoMACS); (2) Cartridge-based FACS on CD11b+CD45+ eGFP+ (MACSQuant Tyto); (3) Cytometer-based FACS on CD11b+CD45+eGFP+ (FACSArta); and (4) autoMACS debubking of cells before cartridge-based FACS (autoMACs to MACSQuant Tyto; Fig. 1A).

Aliquots of cell input and positive sort fractions from each of the four sort methods were analyzed by flow cytometry. All sort methods showed enriched populations of eGFP+ and CD11b+CD45+ singlets in their positive fractions as compared with Cell-Input (Fig. 1B). A leftward shift in CD11b-APC was evident in autoMACS samples.
Figure 1. Comparison of purity and yield among microglial cell isolation techniques. A, Schematic of the experimental design. Cx3cr1-NuTRAP brains were enzymatically and mechanically dissociated to create a single-cell suspension. Different microglial sorting techniques were compared with Cell-Input for purity, yield, and transcriptomic signatures. B, Representative flow cytometry plots of immunostained single-cell suspensions from input and after each of the sorting strategies shows a distinct population of eGFP+ cells (identified as Cx3cr1+ microglia) and CD11b+CD45+ cells (identified as microglia per traditional cell surface markers, as shown by IHC in Extended Data Fig. 1-2). Assessment of the loss of eGFP in circulating CD11b+ cells 60 d after Tam induction (Extended Data Fig. 1-3) and the relative levels of CD45mid and CD45high cell populations from the CD11b+ each sort method and cell input from brain (Extended Data Fig. 1-4) were also performed to further characterize the sort fractions. Representative plots of the gating strategies used for the Tyto sort (Extended Data Fig. 1-5), FACSAria sort (Extended Data Fig. 1-6), and flow cytometry (Extended Data Fig. 1-7) are given as supplements. C, D, All sort positive fractions were enriched for (C) eGFP+ singlets and (D) CD11b+CD45+ singlets (as compared with input; two-way ANOVA, main effect of TRAP fraction, \(*p < 0.05, \**p < 0.001; \text{Extended Data Fig. 1-1}\). When comparing positive fractions, the autoMACS positive fraction had lower % eGFP+ singlets as compared with all other sort methods. FACSAria had higher percentage of eGFP+ singlets than all other sort methods. FACSAria had higher percentage of CD11b+CD45+ singlets as compared with all other sort methods positive fractions (two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, \(*p < 0.05\). E, Microglial yield was significantly higher in the MACSQuant Tyto positive fraction as compared with all other sort methods positive fractions (two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, \(*p < 0.05\).
likely from competition from the CD11b microbeads used in that isolation. The positive fractions of all sort methods were enriched in eGFP⁺ singlets as compared with the input fraction (Fig. 1C; Extended Data Fig. 1-1; two-way ANOVA, main effect of sort fraction, \( ***p < 0.001 \)). The autoMACS sort resulted in lower overall percentage of eGFP⁺ singlets compared with all other sort methods and the FACSAria sort resulted in a higher overall percentage of eGFP⁺ singlets, though all approaches demonstrated a high level of enrichment (Fig. 1C; Extended Data Fig. 1-1; two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, \( *p < 0.05 \)). The positive fractions of all sort methods were enriched in CD11b⁺CD45⁻ singlets as compared with the input fraction (Fig. 1D; Extended Data Fig. 1-1; two-way ANOVA, Main effect of sort fraction, \( p < 0.001 \)). FACSAria sort resulted the highest overall percentage of CD11b⁺CD45⁻ singlets (Fig. 1D; Extended Data Fig. 1-1; two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, \( *p < 0.05 \)). Although FACSAria had higher microglial purity than other sort methods, it showed a significantly lower yield than the MACSQuant Tyto sort (Fig. 1E; Extended Data Fig. 1-1; one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, \( #p < 0.05 \)).

Comparison of transcriptomic profiles of microglia isolated from various sort methods

Following cell preparation and isolation using methods displayed in Figure 1A, RNA was isolated from cells for preparation of stranded RNA-Seq libraries. RNA yield and quality by sort method, in addition to basic sequencing metrics are given in Extended Data Figure 2-1. Gene body coverage plots by experimental group are shown in Extended Data Figure 2-2. All sort methods showed similar coverage across the gene body. We first examined enrichment/depletion of previously published microglial, astrocytic, oligodendrocytic, neuronal, and endothelial markers in the transcriptomic profiles (Chucur-Elliott et al., 2020; McKenzie et al., 2018; Extended Data Fig. 2-1). Each of the four sort methods showed similar levels of enrichment of microglial marker genes (Fig. 2A) and depletion of astrocytic, oligodendrocytic, neuronal, and endothelial marker genes (Fig. 2B–E) when compared with Cell-Input. In combination with the flow cytometric data presented in Figure 1, this gives confidence that each of the sort methods are effective in isolating highly pure populations of microglia.

Next, we examined the transcriptomic data in an unbiased manner. PCA of all expressed genes (more than five counts in all samples from at least one group) showed clear separation of Cell-Input from all sort methods in the first component with 81% of the explained variance (Fig. 2F). Differentially expressed genes were called by one-way ANOVA with BHMTc followed by Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test (FDR < 0.1, [FC]>2; Extended Data Fig. 2-1). Hierarchical clustering of the 7378 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) shows separation of Cell-Input from all sort methods with similar patternning of enrichment and depletion of DEGs across all sort methods scaled to Cell-Input (Fig. 2G). The majority of pairwise DEGs (sort method vs Cell-Input) were in common between all sort methods (7084/7378 = 96%; Fig. 2H), suggesting a high degree of similarity between each of the sort methods. In addition, 5322 DEGs (72%) that were down and 1759 DEGs (24%) were up in all sort methods compared with Cell-Input. There were only 297 discordant DEGs (4%) between the different sort methods as compared with Cell-Input (Fig. 2I).

ORA of gene ontology (ORA GO) of the 1759 genes that were up across all sort methods identified 177 overrepresented biological processes pathways (BHMTc, FDR < 0.05; Extended Data Fig. 2-1). The “enrichment ratio” is the number of observed genes divided by the number of expected genes from the given gene list. Examination of the top 10 overrepresented biological processes reveals several pathways involved in microglial function, including cytokine-mediated signaling, immune response activation, and adaptive immune response, among others (Fig. 2J). Running a similar ORA GO on the 5322 genes down across all sort methods (compared with Cell-Input) revealed 252 overrepresented biological processes (BHMTc, FDR < 0.05; Extended Data Fig. 2-1). The top 10 processes include many neuron-focused pathways, such as neurotransmitter transport, neurotransmitter level regulation, and membrane potential regulation (Fig. 2K), indicating depletion of these genes in the sorted cells.

Next, we examined the common 1759 upregulated and 5322 downregulated genes across the four sort methods for overrepresentation of transcription factor targets. Network ORA on the 1759 genes enriched in the positive fraction of all sort methods identified 21 overrepresented transcription factor targets, including the top five hits: ELF1, ETS2, IRF, PU.1, and NFKB (Fig. 2L; Extended Data Fig. 2-1). Three of the top five transcription factor targets (ELF1, ETS2, PU.1) are part of the ETS family of transcription factors that assist in regulating immunity (Gallant and Gilkeson, 2006), with PU.1 being a “master regulator” of microglial identity and function (Yeh and Ikezu, 2019). The other two transcription factors (IRF and NFKB) are also critical regulators of inflammation and antiviral response (Iwanaszko and Kimmel, 2015), an important function of microglia. The IRF_Q6 transcription factor motif contains IRF1 regulatory genes with 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) containing the motif BNCRTTTTCAATYY.

Network ORA of the 5322 genes down in all sort methods compared with input identified 468 overrepresented transcription factor targets, including top five hits: AP-1, TATA, LEF1, PAX4, and AP-4. LEF1 is an endothelium-specific transcription factor (Hupe et al., 2017). AP-4 is an adaptor protein complex that is involved in vesicular trafficking of membrane proteins. Lack of AP-4 has been shown to cause accumulation of axonal autophagosomes containing AMPA receptor components in
Figure 2. Comparison of transcriptomic profiles of microglia isolated from various cell sorting methods. RNA-Seq libraries were made from each of the groups represented in Figure 1A to compare the transcriptomic profiles of microglia isolated via four different cell sorting strategies. Basic sequencing metrics and all source data for Figure 2 are provided in Extended Data Figure 2-1. Gene body coverage plots showed similar coverage across the gene bodies in each group (Extended Data Fig. 2-2). Each of the strategies had similar levels of (A) enrichment of microglial transcripts and depletion of (B) astrocytic, (C) oligodendrocytic, (D) neuronal, and (E) endothelial transcripts when compared with Cell-Input. F, PCA of all expressed genes shows clear separation of Cell-Input from all other sort methods in the first component with 81% of explained variance. G, Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed...
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non-microglial cellular functions and, as such, are de-
tions (compared with Cell-Input) modulate important
factor targets of the genes depleted in the sort frac-
tions (compared with Cell-Input) showed important non-microglial cellular functions and, as such, are de-
plicated in purified microglia.

In combination, the flow cytometric data, distribution of marker gene enrichments/depletions, and analysis of differ-
entially expressed genes (including pathway and tran-
scription factor analysis) suggest that each of the sort
methods are producing highly pure populations of micro-
glia with very similar transcriptomic profiles.

Flow cytometric analysis of blood from Cx3cr1-
NuTRAP mice following Tam-mediated cre
recombination
In addition to microglia, the Cx3cr1-cre/ERT2 also
targets circulating and peripheral CX3CR1+ cells for Tam-
mediated cre recombination (Yona et al., 2013) and
concomitant expression of the NuTRAP allele. Without
perfusion, there is a concern that contamination of circu-
lating CX3CR1+ cells may be present in eGFP sorted cells and in TRAP-isolated RNA from Cx3cr1-NuTRAP brain
tissue. However, the half-life of circulating CX3CR1+ cells in mice is <3d (Yona et al., 2013) and, accordingly, loss of
eGFP-labeling in blood samples from Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mice is evident as CX3CR1+ cells are rapidly turned over
following Tam induction. To demonstrate the loss of eGFP+ in circulating CX3CR1+ cells, we collected blood from
Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mice 3 and 60 d after cessation of Tam ad-
ministration and compared the levels of CD11b+CD45+ cells expressing eGFP to that of cre-negative (WT) controls. There
was a marked increase in CD11b+CD45+ eGFP+ cells 3 d
after Tam induction, which decreased to levels indistinguish-
able from WT controls after 60 d (Extended Data Fig. 1-3).
As such, brains were collected from Cx3cr1-NuTRAP mice at least 60 d following Tam treatment. In studies without trans-
genic labeling, brains can be perfused with ice-cold physio-
logical saline to eliminate contaminating cells from circulation.

Assessment of relative levels of non-microglial
macrophages within different sort fractions from
Cx3cr1-NuTRAP brains
Perivascular, choroid plexus, and meningeal macro-
phages also express Cx3cr1 (Faraco et al., 2017), and
thus are labeled by the NuTRAP allele (including eGFP-
labeled polysomes) on Tam-mediated cre recombination.
CD45 expression levels are often used as a FACS sort cri-
teria to separate microglia (CD45Mid) from non-micro-
glial macrophages (CD45High; Haimon et al., 2018; Marschallinger et al., 2020; Pan and Wan, 2020). The
relative levels of non-microglial, macrophage contami-
nation was first assessed by flow cytometry. Cells from each group shown in Figure 1A were stained with
CD11b and CD45 fluorescent antibodies and analyzed
on a MACSQuant Analyzer 10. The percent CD45Mid and CD45High of CD11b+CD45+ singlets were as-
essed according to the gating strategy displayed in
Extended Data Figure 1-4A. The Cell-Input fraction had the highest (16%) CD45High population of CD11b+CD45+ cells,
as compared with the autoMACS (8%), autoMACS to Tyto
(5%), Tyto (4%), and FACSARia (6%; Extended Data Fig. 1-
B). There was not a significant difference in the proportion of CD45Mid or CD45High (out of CD11b+CD45+) cells by sort
method. The vast majority (>95%) of CD45Mid cell popula-
tions co-expressed eGFP regardless of sort method. In contrast,
only ~74% of CD45High cells from the Cell-Input and
autoMACS sort fraction co-expressed eGFP. The remaining
26% eGFP CD45High cells are likely contaminating cells from
blood circulation. Since the FACS-based methods used
eGFP as sorting criteria, the CD45High cells co-expressing
eGFP in the cartridge-based Tyto (96%) and cytometer-
based FACSARia (99%) were higher than the Cell-Input
(Extended Data Fig. 1-4C).

Many recent studies have examined differences in the
transcriptomic signatures of microglia and non-microglial
brain resident macrophages. To further refine our micro-
glial marker list constructed based off a meta-analysis of
cell sorting studies (McKenzie et al., 2018), we com-
 pared previously published microglial marker lists from
cell sorting (Chucair-Elliott et al., 2020), ribosomal tagging
(Chucair-Elliott et al., 2020), and a meta-analysis distin-
guishing microglia from peripheral monocytes and macro-
phages (Haage et al., 2019). There were 113 genes that
were identified as microglial markers in at least two of the
three gene lists (highlighted purple) and were used for fur-
ther analysis (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 3-1). A list of
macrophage marker genes was constructed by taking
the union of three previously published studies from in-
filtrating macrophages (DePaula-Silva et al., 2019), peri-
pheral macrophages and monocytes (Haage et al.,
2019), and CNS-associated macrophages (CAMs; Faraco et al., 2017) to identify 174 macrophage-spe-
cific genes (highlighted green; Fig. 3B; Extended Data
Figure 3. Assessment of expression of non-microglial macrophage markers in sorted cells from Cx3cr1-NuTRAP brains. Gene marker lists and source data for Figure 3 are provided in Extended Data Figure 3-1. A, Previously curated microglial marker gene lists from cell sorting (Haage et al., 2019; Chucair-Elliott et al., 2020) and ribosomal tagging (Chucair-Elliott et al., 2020) approaches were compared for overlap. Microglial marker genes observed in at least two of the studies (113 genes, purple overlap) were used for further analysis (Extended Data Fig. 3-1). B, Previously curated non-microglial macrophage marker gene lists from peripheral monocytes/macrophages (Haage et al., 2019), non-microglia brain resident macrophages (Faraco et al., 2017), and infiltrating macrophages (DePaula-Silva et al., 2019) were compared. The union of all macrophage markers (174 genes, green) was used for further analysis (Extended Data Fig. 3-1). C, RNA-Seq gene expression of brain-resident macrophage marker genes from (1) microglia (Hexb, Tmem119, P2ry12, Siglech); and (2) non-microglia macrophages (Lyve1, Ly6c1, CD163, Mrcl) from each sorting method (AutoMACS, AutoMACS to Tyto, Tyto, and FACSAria) were compared with Cell-Input. D, RNA-Seq gene expression of peripheral macrophage marker genes from (1) infiltrating macrophages (Emp1, Scarf1, Emb, Clec4e); and (2) peripheral
macrophages/monocytes (Emilin1, F5, Fn1, Gda) from each sorting method (AutoMACS, AutoMACS to Tyto, Tyto, and FACSARia) were compared with Cell-Input (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, *p < 0.05). All bars represent the mean normalized gene expression ± SEM. E, GSEA of the 113 microglial marker genes identified in A comparing all sort positive fractions (AutoMACS, AutoMACS to Tyto, Tyto, and FACSARia) to Cell-Input. F, GSEA of the 174 macrophage marker genes identified in Figure 3B comparing all sort positive fractions (AutoMACS, AutoMACS to Tyto, Tyto, and FACSARia) to Cell-Input. G, GSEA enrichment scores compared with Cell-Input for each of the sorting methods for the microglial marker genes identified in A and macrophage marker genes identified in B.

Fig. 3-1). It is of note that there is no overlap between the 113 microglial-specific genes identified in Figure 3A and the 174 macrophage marker genes identified in Figure 3B.

To increase the specificity of microglial transgenic labeling approaches, recent models such as the Hhex-cre/ERT2 (Masuda et al., 2020a), Tmem119-cre/ERT2 (Kaiser and Feng, 2019), and P2ry12-cre/ERT2 (McKinsey et al., 2020) are more specific to microglia and do not label other CAMs. Siglec-H has also been demonstrated as a discriminating mark on microglia that is absent in CAMs (Konishi et al., 2017). All of these microglial-specific marker genes (Hhex, Tmem119, P2ry12, and SiglecH) were enriched in the sort fractions when compared with Cell-Input (Fig. 3C; Extended Data Fig. 3-1); however, there were no differences in expression between the various sort methods. Next, we examined four marker genes of CAMs (Lyve1, Ly6c1, CD163, and Mrc1; Faraco et al., 2017) that are not expressed by microglia. Lyve1 was enriched in the autoMACS to Tyto sort fraction, CD163 was enriched in the autoMACS to Tyto and Tyto sort fractions, and Mrc1 was enriched in all sort fractions as compared with Cell-Input, whereas Ly6c1 was depleted across all sort methods compared with Cell-Input. Based on this analysis, the sort fractions contain primarily microglia with a small proportion of CAMs present. Separation of microglia and CAMs can be achieved by adding more specific markers to the cell sorting criteria. For the purpose of this study, we will refer to the sort fraction as “microglia.” Overall, markers of infiltrating macrophages (Emp1, Scarf1, and Emb; DePaula-Silva et al., 2019) and peripheral macrophages/monocytes (Emilin2, F5, Fn1, Gda) were depleted in the sort fractions when compared with the Cell-Input (Fig. 3D; Extended Data Fig. 3-1).

GSEA of the 113 microglial-specific genes identified in Figure 3A and the 174 macrophage marker genes identified in Figure 3B revealed overall enrichment of microglial marker genes (Fig. 3E) and depletion of macrophage marker genes (Fig. 3F) when comparing the combined sort fractions from all methods to the Cell-Input. GSEA enrichment scores were similar between the different sort methods (Fig. 3G; Extended Data Fig. 3-1). Based on the flow cytometric and transcriptomic data, all sort methods have high levels of microglial purity and low levels of CAM and monocyte contamination.

Comparison of TRAP-isolated microglial transcriptome from tissue homogenate, cell suspension, and various microglial sort methods

A schematic of the experimental design is represented in Figure 4A Cx3cr1-NuTRAP brains were hemisected and processed in halves for whole-tissue homogenization or enzymatic and mechanical dissociation to create single-cell suspensions. Single-cell suspensions were then sorted using one of four methods: (1) CD11b magnetic-bead based isolation (autoMACS); (2) cartridge-based FACS on CD11b+CD45+eGFP+ (MACSQuant Tyto); (3) cytometer-based FACS on CD11b+CD45+ eGFP+ (FACSARia); or (4) autoMACS debulking of cells before cartridge-based FACS (autoMACS to MACSQuant Tyto), as before. Tissue homogenate (Tissue-TRAP), mixed-cell suspension (Cell-TRAP), and sorted microglia (Sort-TRAP) were then subjected to TRAP pull-down of microglial-specific translating RNA for creation of RNA-Seq libraries. RNA yield and quality by sort method, in addition to basic sequencing metrics are given in Extended Data Figure 4-1. Gene body coverage plots by experimental group are shown in Extended Data Figure 4-2. All sort methods showed similar coverage across the gene body.

PCA of all expressed genes showed separation of Tissue-TRAP from all other groups in the first component with 79% of the explained variance and separation of Cell-TRAP from all other groups with 11% of the explained variance (Fig. 4B). The autoMACS-TRAP group separated from the other groups in the third component with 7% of the explained variance (Fig. 4C). Based on this unbiased dimensionality reduction, it appears that the MACS-based and FACS-based sorted cells have similar transcriptome profiles. Each of the four Sort-TRAP methods showed similar levels of enrichment of microglial marker genes (Fig. 4D; Extended Data Fig. 4-1). Depletion of astrocytic, oligodendrocytic, neuronal, and endothelial marker genes (Fig. 4E–H; Extended Data Fig. 4-1) was greater in Sort-TRAP methods as compared with Cell-TRAP (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, ***p < 0.001). This shows that the extra enrichment step of sorting microglia followed by TRAP-isolation of translating microglial RNA, leads to more pure microglial RNA than Tissue-TRAP or Cell-TRAP alone.

In recent years, several studies have suggested that cell-isolation methods cause ex vivo activation effects in microglia (Ayata et al., 2018; Haimon et al., 2018; Marsh et al., 2020). In this section, our goal was to determine whether different sorting techniques result in different levels of ex vivo activation. We used Tissue-TRAP as an “unactivated” microglial reference group, since the Tissue-TRAP method does not rely on the creation of a cell suspension or cell sorting techniques. There were 8076 DEGs between the transcriptomes when Cell- and Sort-TRAP methods were compared with the Tissue-TRAP reference (one-way ANOVA, BHMT, SNK
Figure 4. Comparison of TRAP-isolated microglial translorme from tissue homogenate, cell suspension, and various microglial cell sorting methods. A, Schematic of the experimental design. Cx3cr1-NuTRAP brains were hemisected and processed in halves for whole-tissue homogenization or enzymatic and mechanical dissociation to create a single-cell suspension. Single-cell suspensions were then sorted using MACS-based and/or FACS-based isolation of microglia. Tissue homogenate, mixed-cell suspension, and microglia sorted by each of the four depicted methods were subjected to TRAP to isolate microglial-specific ribosomally-bound RNA for creation of RNA-Seq libraries. Gene body coverage plots showed no 3' bias in any groups (Extended Data Fig. 4-2). B, PCA of all expressed genes (>5 read counts in all samples from at least one group) separates Tissue-TRAP from all other groups in the first component (79% explained variance) and Cell Suspension-TRAP from all other groups in the second component (10.9% explained variance). C, Third component of PCA on all expressed genes separated autoMACS-TRAP from all other groups (7.2% explained variance). Each of the sort strategies had similar levels of (D) enrichment of microglial transcripts and depletion of (E) astrocytic, (F) oligodendrocytic, (G) neuronal, and (H) endothelial transcripts when compared with Tissue-TRAP. All of the sort methods showed stronger depletion of (E) astrocytic, (F) oligodendrocytic, (G) neuronal, and (H) endothelial transcripts when compared with Cell-TRAP (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, ***p < 0.001; Extended Data Fig. 4-1). Created with BioRender.com.
Figure 5. Cell isolation and sorting techniques alter TRAP-isolated microglial translatome compared with whole-tissue TRAP. RNA-Seq libraries were made from each of the groups represented in Figure 3 to compare the TRAP-isolated microglial translatomes between whole-tissue-TRAP and each of the cell isolation and sorting methods. All source data for Figure 5 are provided in Extended Data Figure 5-1. A, Upset plot of DEGs for all groups versus Tissue-TRAP (one-way ANOVA, BHMT, SNK FDR < 0.1, |FC| > 2). B, Hierarchical clustering of DEGs shows separation of tissue TRAP from all other groups. Cell-TRAP also clusters separately from all Sort-TRAP groups. C, Comparison of DEGs from each group (Cell- and Sort-TRAP) versus Tissue-TRAP revealed 5337 common DEGs (67%) that were depleted and 2329 common DEGs (29%) that were enriched in all groups (Cell- and Sort-TRAP) compared with Tissue-TRAP. There were only 352 discordant DEGs (4%) between the different sort methods as compared with Cell-Input. D, Top 10 biological processes overrepresented in the 2329 genes upregulated in Cell-TRAP and Sort-TRAP compared with Tissue-TRAP (Gene Ontology ORA, hypergeometric test, BHMT FDR < 0.05). E, Comparison of upregulated transcriptomic pathways (Fig. 2J, Extended Data Fig. 2-1) and upregulated translatome pathways (D; Extended Data Fig. 5-1) reveal 55 biological processes that are upregulated in the translatome only. F, Selection of 10 biological processes that are uniquely upregulated in the translatome (from the 55 identified in Fig. 4E). G, Heatmap of genes involved in “Response to Interleukin-6
FDR < 0.1, |FC| > 2). Upset plot of the 8076 DEGs shows the majority of the DEGs (7800/8076 = 97%) are in common between all groups (Cell-/Sort-TRAP vs Tissue-TRAP; Fig. 5A; Extended Data Fig. 5-1). Hierarchical clustering of all 8076 DEGs shows distinct clustering of Tissue-TRAP from all other groups. Cell-TRAP also clusters separately from the Sort-TRAP groups (Fig. 5B; Extended Data Fig. 5-1). Comparison of DEGs from each group (Cell- and Sort-TRAP) versus Tissue-TRAP, revealed 5337 common DEGs (67%) that were depleted and 2329 common DEGs (29%) that were enriched in all groups (Cell- and Sort-TRAP) compared with Tissue-TRAP. There were only 352 discordant DEGs (4%) between the different sort methods as compared with Cell-Input (Fig. 5C). These data, together with cell-type enrichments from Figure 4D–H, suggests that the act of creating a cell suspension is the largest contributor to differences seen between Tissue-TRAP and Sort-TRAP methods.

Next, we identified overrepresented pathways among the upregulated genes compared with Tissue-TRAP. The top 10 biological processes of the 2329 genes upregulated in comparison to Tissue-TRAP were microglial-related pathways, including myeloid leukocyte activation, cell activation involved in immune response, and leukocyte-mediated immunity (Fig. 5D; Extended Data Fig. 5-1). Comparing the biological processes identified in the transcriptomic analysis (Fig. 2J; Extended Data Fig. 2-1) and the translatomic analysis (Fig. 5D; Extended Data Fig. 5-1) revealed 55 biological processes that were only upregulated in the translatome (Fig. 5E). Several of the biological processes uniquely upregulated in the translatome comparisons were involved in microglial activation pathways, including response to Type I interferon (IFN), response to transforming growth factor β (TGFβ), response to interleukin-6 (IL-6), and NIK/NF-κB signaling (NFκB; Fig. 5F). IL-6 is a proinflammatory cytokine extensively studied in brain aging and disease (Ye and Johnson, 1999; Singh-Manoux et al., 2014; Borovcanin et al., 2017). Microglia have higher IL-6 receptor (IL-6R) expression than any other cell type. As such, microglia are highly responsive to IL-6 and transition into a “primed” state when exposed to high levels of IL-6. Hierarchical clustering of the “Response to IL-6” pathway genes showed overall higher levels of expression in Cell- and Sort-TRAP groups (Fig. 5G; Extended Data Fig. 5-1). The Cell-TRAP did not cluster separately from the Sort-TRAP groups, providing further evidence that the ex vivo activational signature is a function of cell preparation.

Next, we looked at gene expression of common cytokines (Fig. 5H; Extended Data Fig. 5-1) and chemokines (Fig. 5I; Extended Data Fig. 5-1) across Tissue-TRAP, Cell-, and Sort-TRAP groups. We observed higher levels of cytokine and chemokine transcripts across all Cell- and Sort-TRAP groups when compared with Tissue-TRAP (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, ***p < 0.001).

In summary, these data suggest that ex vivo microglial activation is primarily occurring during cell preparation and is sustained through microglial isolation by various sort methods but there were no major differences between the different sort methods.

Comparison of cellularity and microglial yield between different cell preparation methods

Since ex vivo activation appears to be an artifact of cell preparation, three different methods were compared for changes in cellularity within the cell preparation. The experimental design is displayed in Figure 6A. Tissue-Input, generated by immediately Dounce homogenizing tissue in TRAP lysis buffer, was used as a comparator to the three methods of cell preparation. The first cell preparation method was a mechanical dissociation conducted entirely at 4°C followed by a 40% Percoll gradient to removed undissociated tissue and myelin debris (Dounce-Input). The
second cell preparation method used a papain-based enzyme mixture which requires a brief (30 min) incubation at 37°C followed by myelin debris removal [Cell-Input (−) Inhibitors] which was the method of cell preparation used in Figures 1-5. The third cell preparation method used the same papain-based enzyme mixture, this time supplemented with transcriptional and translation inhibitors (Marsh et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2017) with incubation at 37°C followed by myelin debris removal [Cell-Input (+) Inhibitors]. Cells from each of the three methods were pelleted and lysed with TRAP lysis buffer before RNA extraction and assessment of the transcriptome. RNA yield and quality by sort method, in addition to basic sequencing metrics are given in Extended Data Figure 6-1. The average RNA yield was higher in the Tissue-Input (5.8 μg/half brain) than the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors (360 ng/half brain) and Dounce-Input (35 ng/half brain; one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test, **p < 0.001). There was no overall difference in cell viability between different cell preparation methods. eGFP+ cells had slightly higher viability in the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors than the Dounce-Input method (Extended Data Fig. 6-4). Boxplots represent median, Q1, Q3, minimum, and maximum of each dataset. Created with BioRender.com.
with the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors (Fig. 6B). There was no overall difference in cell viability between the three cell preparation methods examined. However, there was higher viability among eGFP<sup>+</sup> singlets in the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors as compared with the Dounce-Input. Inclusion of transcription and translation inhibitors during enzymatic and mechanical dissociation did not alter cell viability (Extended Data Fig. 6-4).

Stranded RNA-Seq libraries were constructed from each of the groups displayed in Figure 6A. Heatmaps of microglial, astrocyte, oligodendrocytic, neuronal, and endothelial cell marker lists for each of the cell preparation methods were normalized to Tissue-Input. All three cell preparation methods appear to be enriched for microglial transcripts as compared with Tissue-Input, with the strongest enrichment in the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors. The Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors also appear to have greater enrichment of astrocytic and endothelial markers and depletion of neuronal transcripts when compared with Tissue- and Dounce-Input (Fig. 6C; Extended Data Fig. 6-1). CIBERSORTx, or digital cytometry, estimates cell-type abundance from bulk transcriptomics. Using publicly available cell-type specific data from mouse brain (Zhang et al., 2014; GSE52564) as a digital cytometry reference matrix, we estimated the cellularity from each of the four groups (Zhang et al., 2014). Based on this analysis, there was no difference in the cellularity between the Tissue-Input and Dounce-Input or between the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors and the Cell-Input (+) Inhibitors. However, there were more astrocytic, oligodendrocytic, microglial, and endothelial transcripts in the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors than the Tissue- and Dounce-Input. Further, there were less neuronal transcripts in the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors than the Tissue- and Dounce-Input (two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test; Fig. 6D; Extended Data Fig. 6-1). These CIBERSORTx results are consistent with the flow cytometric data displayed in Figure 6B and suggest that the enzymatic and mechanical dissociation conducted at partially elevated temperatures depletes neurons from the cell preparation.

Lastly, we compared the cell yield per half brain between the various cell preparation techniques. The average total cell count was slightly higher in the Dounce-Input (~3.7 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/half brain) when compared with Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors (~1.7 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/half brain; Extended Data Fig. 6-1). However, because of the increased proportion of microglia in the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors compared with the Dounce-Input, there were ~20 times more eGFP<sup>+</sup> microglia in the Cell-Input (+/−) Inhibitors compared with the Dounce-Input (Fig. 6E; Extended Data Fig. 6-1). Thus, the enzymatic and mechanical dissociation conducted at partially elevated temperatures will minimize the need for pooling samples when using small tissue sections for microglial sorting applications.

**Effect of different cell preparation techniques on ex vivo activational profiles following cell preparation**

Recent studies have suggested that inclusion of transcriptional and/or translational inhibitors during cell preparation can prevent ex vivo activational confounds in microglia (Marsh et al., 2020) and other neuronal cell types (Wu et al., 2017). Additionally, ex vivo artifacts can be prevented by mechanical dissociation conducted entirely at low temperatures (Marsh et al., 2020). To compare the relative levels of ex vivo activation between various cell preparation methods, we TRAP-isolated RNA from Tissue, Dounce, and Cell (+/−) Inhibitor cell preparations as shown in Figure 7A. Stranded RNA-Seq libraries were constructed from TRAP-isolated translating RNA from each of the four groups. RNA yield and quality by sort method, in addition to basic sequencing metrics, are given in Extended Data Figure 7-1. Gene body coverage plots by experimental group are shown in Extended Data Figure 7-2. The Tissue-TRAP and Dounce-TRAP groups showed more 3′ bias in their gene body coverage than the Cell-TRAP (+/−) Inhibitor groups.

There were 4475 differentially expressed genes between Tissue-TRAP, Dounce-TRAP, Cell-TRAP (−) Inhibitors, and Cell-TRAP (+) Inhibitors (one-way ANOVA, |FC|>2, BHMTC, FDR < 0.1; Extended Data Figs. 7-1, 7-3). Many of these transcripts differ between all cell preparation methods and the Tissue-TRAP, suggesting the differences may result from slight differences in the purity of TRAP-isolated RNA. There were 85 DEGs that had higher expression in the Cell-TRAP (−) Inhibitors compared with Tissue-TRAP and lower expression in Cell-TRAP (+) Inhibitors compared with Cell-TRAP (−) Inhibitors. These 85 transcripts are ex vivo activational transcripts that are prevented by the inclusion of transcriptional and translational inhibitors during enzymatic and mechanical dissociation at partially elevated temperatures. A heatmap of these 85 prevented ex vivo activational transcripts showed both the Dounce-TRAP and Cell-TRAP (−) Inhibitors were successful at preventing the induction of ex vivo artifacts (Fig. 7B; Extended Data Fig. 7-1). The top 10 GO biological processes overrepresented in the 85 prevented ex vivo transcripts include ERK1 and ERK2 cascade, cytokine-mediated signaling, cellular response to biotic stimulus, positive regulation of response to external stimulus, response to mechanical stimulus, regulation of inflammatory response, response to tumor necrosis factor, regulation of hemopoiesis, response to chemo-kine, and response to molecule of bacterial origin (Fig. 7C; Extended Data Fig. 7-1). In addition, CREB, CEBP, NFkB, and SRF are overrepresented transcription factors in the 85 prevented ex vivo transcripts (Fig. 7D; Extended Data Fig. 7-1). Overall, pathway analyses of the 85 prevented ex vivo transcripts suggest that mechanical dissociation conducted entirely at chilled temperatures or inclusion of transcription and translation inhibitors during enzymatic and mechanical dissociation at elevated temperatures are successful methods at preventing upregulation of ex vivo responsive pathways during cell preparation.

In order to study in vivo pathophysiological microglial responses, it is necessary to reduce the ex vivo confounds of cell preparation. For example, with aging and disease microglia increase production of inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and reactive oxygen species (Von
Figure 7. Ex vivo activational profiles by cell preparation method. Basic sequencing metrics and all source data for Figure 7 are provided in Extended Data Figure 7-1. Gene body coverage plots showed greater 3' bias in the Tissue- and Dounce-TRAP groups than the Cell-TRAP (+/- Inhibitors) groups (Extended Data Fig. 7-2). A, Schematic of experimental design presented in this figure. B, Differentially expressed genes were called between Tissue-TRAP, Dounce-TRAP, and Cell-TRAP (+/- Inhibitors) groups (Extended Data Figs. 7-1, 7-3). A subset of genes was activated with cell preparation [Up in Cell-TRAP (+/- Inhibitors vs Tissue-TRAP] and were decreased with the addition of inhibitors [Down in Cell-TRAP (+/- Inhibitors versus Cell-TRAP (+/- Inhibitors; one-way ANOVA, BHMT, SNK FDR, 0.1, |FC|>2]. These genes were classified as ex vivo activational transcripts prevented by the addition of inhibitors and are given in the heatmap. C, Top 10 biological processes overrepresentation in the ex vivo activational genes prevented by the addition of inhibitors (hypergeometric test, BHMT, FDR < 0.05). D, Transcription factors overrepresented in the ex vivo activational transcripts prevented by the addition of inhibitors (hypergeometric test, BHMT, FDR < 0.05). E, Heatmap of select response to oxidative stress pathway genes. F, Heatmap of common chemokines. G, Violin plots of senescent cell marker expression (one-
Bernhardi et al., 2015). Response to oxidative stress and reactive oxygen species were also identified as pathways significantly overrepresented in the 85 prevented ex vivo activational transcripts. Induction of the response to oxidative stress pathway (Fig. 7E; Extended Data Fig. 7-1) and generation of common chemokines (Fig. 7F; Extended Data Fig. 7-1) were prevented in the Dounce-TRAP and Cell-TRAP (+) Inhibitors as compared with the Cell-TRAP (−) Inhibitors group.

Another active area of research in glial biology is that of cellular senescence, characterized by cell-cycle arrest and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines (Hou et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2021). Cell preparation method altered expression of cellular senescence-related genes identified from a previously published study (Aird et al., 2016). Inclusion of transcription and translation inhibitors during cell preparation reduced the induction of these senescence-associated genes (Fig. 7G; Extended Data Fig. 7-1).

Heatmap of the 21 ex vivo activational transcripts identified in at least two of the three examined previous studies (Ayata et al., 2018; Haimon et al., 2018; Marsh et al., 2020) from Figure 5J shows prevention of ex vivo activation with the addition of transcriptional and translational inhibitors or mechanical dissociation conducted entirely at low temperatures (Fig. 7H; Extended Data Fig. 7-1). PCA of the 21 ex vivo activational transcripts identified shows strong separation of Cell-TRAP (−) Inhibitors from all other groups in the first component (86.1% explained variance) and clustering of Dounce-TRAP and Cell-TRAP (+) Inhibitors together (Fig. 7I).

In summary, the addition of transcriptional and translational inhibitors during enzymatic and mechanical dissociation of brain tissue or mechanical dissociation conducted entirely at low temperatures can prevent ex vivo activational artifacts in microglia.

Discussion

Microglia have emerged as key players in brain disease, including age-related neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration (Colonna and Butovsky, 2017; Lana et al., 2021). As a minority cell population in the brain, in depth microglial molecular and biochemical analyses benefit from enrichment strategies to provide cell-specific data (Oktay et al., 2011). While in vitro cell culture models are useful for mechanistic studies, they fail to recapitulate the complexity of the nervous system milieu. As such, models and methods to “debulk” microglia from brain tissue have become a focus in the field (Chucair-Elliott et al., 2020; McKinsey et al., 2020). The most common methods for isolating microglia include enzymatic and/or mechanical dissociation of brain tissue followed by immunolabeling with magnetic beads for MACS (Bordt et al., 2020) or fluorescent-conjugated antibodies for FACS-based enrichment (Bohlen et al., 2019). As these methods continue to evolve, quantitative comparisons of cell preparation and sort strategies are needed to aid decision-making of what approaches to use in specific studies. As well, there are legitimate concerns that these isolation approaches introduce artifacts, especially in glial cell populations which by nature are sensitive to changes in their microenvironment (Wu et al., 2017). Determining the degree of ex vivo activational artifacts, and how they may vary between isolation approaches, has been challenging because the field lacked a resting cell type-specific reference (absent of ex vivo activational confounds related to enzymatic/mechanical dissociation) as a comparator.

To address this barrier to progress, we used a ribosomal-tagging model (NuTRAP) in combination with a microglial-specific cre (Cx3cr1-cre/ERT2) to generate a microglial signature without the confounds of cell isolation. We then compared relative microglial enrichment and ex vivo activational artifacts between multiple MACS-based and FACS-based cell isolation techniques. All sort methods were successful in isolating highly pure microglia, as evidenced by flow cytometric and transcriptomic analyses. Our MACS-FACS comparison is in line with previous findings on yield and speed (Pan and Wan, 2020). Magnetic bead-based isolation produced nearly as pure of a microglial population as FACS-based approaches. The advantages of the magnetic bead isolation include the rapid isolation (<1 h), multiplexing 6 samples at a time, and least amount of instrumentation. The limitation of magnetic bead-based approaches is the single dimension of labeling as compared with FACS.

Cartridge-based FACS is a new iteration of FACS and produced nearly equivalent cell purities as traditional cytometry-based FACS but with greater cell yield. Given the higher cell yield, cartridge-based FACS may be beneficial for applications requiring large amounts of starting material, including ChIP-seq, HiC-based techniques, proteomics, and primary microglial cultures. Another advantage of this cartridge-based approach is the self-contained nature of the system that does not produce aerosols thus not requiring biosafety containment (Roberts et al., 2021). Cytometry-based FACS produced the purest cell population and has the highest capabilities for dimensions of labeling. However, this approach is also the slowest and had the lowest cellular yield. A strict comparison of FACS methods relies on details of the gating strategies used and these can likely be tuned to emphasize highest purity or cell yield. Cytometer-based FACS easily incorporates various staining controls, which allows for detailed refinement of gating without additional cost. For cartridge-based FACS, separate cartridges are needed for staining controls, increasing the cost. Additionally, the cartridge-FACS does not have traditional forward scatter detection, but instead utilizes back scatter, making it difficult to gate.
cell populations from debris. Validation of cartridge-FACS sorted cells should be performed on a traditional cytometer as a control.

Combining MACS with cartridge-based FACS, to initially de-bulk microglia and then further purify, did not result in higher purity of microglia and the presence of the magnetic beads shifted signals in the FACS. Taken together, these data demonstrate that all of these approaches are valid for microglial isolation from brain and return highly pure cell populations that are suitable for molecular and biochemical analyses.

An unexpected finding of the analyses was the shift in cellularity caused by the cell suspension preparation. Neuronal cells and transcriptomic signals were depleted during cell preparation with enzymatic methods. While this has the effect of aiding microglial isolation by diminishing the majority neuronal cell population, this has profound effects on neuronal cell isolation studies. Mechanical dissociation at low temperature retained a much higher proportion of neuronal cells. Outside of these studies, others have also observed that alternative cell preparation methods cause less neuronal cell loss (Saxena et al., 2012). We did not test alternate cell preparation approaches such as these for their differential effects on microglial ex vivo activation and it remains possible that these different methods, through causing less cell death, could lead to less microglial activation. The large neuronal loss during cell preparation may contribute to microglia activation ex vivo given that low temperature mechanical dissociation demonstrated lower activational signal, though this is only an association.

Using TRAP isolation of the microglial translatome as a baseline measure of microglia, induction of ex vivo activational pathways occurred during enzymatic and mechanical cell preparation and was sustained during microglial isolation, independent of sort method. Compared with previous studies of these activational artifacts (Ayata et al., 2018; Haimon et al., 2018; Marsh et al., 2020) we found a common set of activational markers centered on immediate early genes. This unified set of markers can be used in future studies as markers of artificial microglial cell activation. More broadly the use of ribosomal tagging methodologies to provide a comparator for optimizing cell preparation could be applied to any relevant organ.

The use of transcriptional and translational inhibitors (Marsh et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2017) during the cell preparation was investigated in the context of acutely isolating cells for immediate use in downstream molecular and biochemical analyses. Addition of transcriptional and translational inhibitors blocked much of the ex vivo activational artifacts without otherwise changing the cell phenotype. Whether this is a valid approach for cells that will subsequently be cultured was not assessed. Nonetheless, as studies also delve into microglial heterogeneity at the single-cell level (Stratoulas et al., 2019; Masuda et al., 2020b) inclusion of inhibitors in the preparation and enrichment of microglia can reduce artifacts in these single-cell studies as well (Marsh et al., 2020). Application of transcriptional and translational inhibitors in the context of specific CNS pathologies should be validated on a case-by-case basis, potentially using the same approaches presented here. As an alternative to enzymatic and mechanical dissociation, mechanical dissociation conducted entirely at 4°C also avoids ex vivo activation of microglia. However, the cell/RNA yields and RNA integrity associated with mechanical dissociation was much lower than that obtained with enzymatic and mechanical dissociation.

Collectively, our data demonstrate that a variety of microglial isolation methods can be used with equivalent results and tuned to the needs of the specific study. In addition, activational artifacts occur during cell preparation and can be prevented by inclusion of specific inhibitors early in the cell preparation protocol or by avoiding high temperatures. The different cell sorting methods did not show additional activational effects or differences between methods, indicating that concerns over artifacts should not drive isolation method selection. Addition of transcriptional and translational inhibitors during cell preparation reduces ex vivo artifacts and is an easily implementable approach to avoid potential confounds.
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