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Abstract

Background: The history of intracranial hemorrhage (ICrH) is considered a contraindication for intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) among patients with acute ischemic stroke (AIS).

Objective: This study aimed at comparing the safety of IVT among patients with and without a history of ICrH.

Methods: We performed a systematic review of the literature. Data regarding all AIS patients with prior ICrH who received IVT were retrieved. Meta-analysis was performed to compare the rate of symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation (sHT), death within 90 days, and favorable and unfavorable 90-day functional outcomes based on modified Rankin Scale (mRS) among stroke patients with and without prior ICrH.

Results: Out of 13,032 reviewed records, 7 studies were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. Quantitative synthesis of data regarding the rate of sHT (5068 patients) revealed no significant difference between the two groups [odds ratio, OR: 1.55 (0.77, 3.12); p = 0.22]. However, a significantly higher risk of death within 90 days [OR: 3.91 (2.16, 7.08); p < 0.00001] and a significantly higher 90-day poor functional outcomes [mRS, 4–6] [OR: 1.57 (1.07, 2.30); p = 0.02] were observed among patients with prior ICrH. Likewise, the percentage of 90-day good functional outcomes [mRS, 0–1] was lower in the prior ICrH group [OR: 0.54 (0.35, 0.84); p = 0.06]. Subgroup analyses in patients with a history of ICrH (based on both patients’ medical history and imaging confirmation) revealed no significant between-group differences in rates of sHT. Also, sensitivity analysis consisting of only studies using standard-dose IVT showed no difference in sHT rates and 90-day outcomes between the two groups. There was no evidence of heterogeneity (I² >50%) among included studies.

Conclusion: The results of this study indicated that prior history of ICrH does not increase the risk of sHT post-IVT, but it is associated with a higher risk of death and poor functional outcomes in 90 days.
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for IVT therapy. However, the history of ICrH, as a contraindication for IVT, was removed from alteplase prescribing information due to the lack of evidence of harm. In addition, European Stroke Organization (ESO) 2021 guideline makes no particular recommendation on the use of IVT among these patients due to the lack of data. Several studies have investigated outcomes of AIS patients with prior history of ICrH who were either unknowingly or intently treated with IVT. These studies mostly showed no increment in the rate of adverse outcomes; however, due to the limited number of included patients, there is still no consensus on whether IVT is safe when there is a history of ICrH.

This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to investigate the safety of IVT among AIS patients with and without a history of ICrH. We further performed a sensitivity analysis of the studies, comparing patients with both history and imaging confirmation of a prior ICrH and the studies which used standard-dose IVT.

**Methods**

This systematic review was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

**Literature search and selection criteria**

To identify the relevant studies, we performed a systematic search in PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases in April 2020 with no language or document type restriction. The top search terms included intracranial hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, intracerebral hemorrhage, subdural hematoma, epidural hematoma, intravenous, thrombolysis, tissue plasminogen activator, alteplase, ischemic, ischemia, stroke, cerebrovascular accident, CVA, cerebral, infarction, and infarct. We used different Boolean operators and wild cards specific to each database to build the search query. We conducted forward and backward citation tracking and communicated with selected authors to augment the search results.

**Outcome measures**

The outcome measures were defined as data-driven and as (1) the rate of sHT, (2) 90-day modified Rankin Scale (mRS), and (3) death within 90 days. Prior ICrH includes any history of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), subdural hematoma (SDH), and epidural hematoma (EDH). ICH was defined either by clinical history, based on imaging, or both. In addition, sHT was defined by an in-hospital clinical deterioration of four points or higher in the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) confirmed by imaging modality. There was a moderate variation among studies regarding the ICH and sHT definition. Supplementary Table 1 includes the definitions used in the included studies.

**Eligibility criteria**

Studies were included if they met the following eligibility criteria: (1) included patients with AIS or imaging findings in favor of prior ICrH, with or without a history of ICrH, prior to the index AIS; (2) included patients who received IVT despite a previous history of ICrH; and (3) provided the outcome measures of our review.

Exclusion criteria consisted of (1) case reports, case series, letters, commentaries, abstract-only reports, review articles, and animal and in vitro studies; (2) original studies that only reported the outcome of patients with microbleeds (i.e. old hemorrhagic lesions containing hemosiderin smaller than 5 mm); and (3) original studies that included patients with other contraindications of thrombolysis therapy.

Study selection was in concordance with PRISMA guidelines. Two authors (M.D. and M.S.) independently assessed the eligibility criteria for the inclusion of studies. In case of any disagreement, the final decision was delegated to a third person (S.S.).

**Data extraction**

Data extraction was performed based on a pre-defined protocol by two coauthors (M.D. and E.K.). Disagreements were resolved by a third author (S.S.). The extracted items for the cohort of patients with and without previous ICrH included (1) demographics (i.e. age and sex); (2) stroke-related characteristics, including the NIHSS before receiving IVT, time elapsed from symptom onset to receiving IVT, and type of prior ICrH (i.e. ICH, SAH, SDH, and EDH); (3) presence
of comorbidities including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking, coronary artery disease, and atrial fibrillation; (4) related medications such as oral anticoagulants; (5) length of follow-up from receiving IVT to assessing/occurrence of outcomes; and (6) the outcomes including in-hospital sHT, 90-day mRS, and death within 90 days of the index ischemic stroke. Favorable and unfavorable clinical outcomes were defined as 90-day mRS scores 0–1 and mRS scores of 4–6, respectively, based on the data and defined classification in the included studies. The number of patients with or without previous ICrH treated with IVT and the number of patients with favorable and unfavorable outcomes were retrieved from each study.

Quality assessment, risk of bias, and publication bias assessments
Two authors (M.D. and M.S.) independently assessed the quality of the included studies based on the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for appraisal of case–control and cohort studies in sample selection, comparability of cases and controls, and exposure domains. The total NOS score is 9, where studies with NOS scores higher than 6 are considered at low risk of bias, and those with NOS scores lower than 4 are considered at high risk of bias.

Funnel plots demonstrated if there was a publication bias. A further statistical analysis was not performed due to less than 10 included publications in each analysis. Instead, we used nonstatistical methods, such as searching for unpublished material in clinical trial registries and conference proceedings to prevent publication bias.

Data synthesis and meta-analysis
We used Review Manager 5.4.1 for statistical analyses. Odds ratio (OR) was considered the effect size measure for comparing sHT, 90-day mRS scores, and mortality within 90 days of the index ischemic stroke among patients who received IVT between patients with or without previous ICrH. Based on Cochrane guidelines, heterogeneity was assessed by $Q$ statistic tests and the $I^2$ index. $I^2$ index estimates the percentage of the total variation observed in the studies, attributable to heterogeneity rather than chance. An $I^2$ value of more than 50% is predictive of significant heterogeneity among studies. Forest plots were used for manifesting the heterogeneity among the studies. In the absence of significant heterogeneity, we used a fixed-effects model for meta-analyses. A $p$-value of <0.1 for Cochran’s $Q$ test of heterogeneity and a $p$-value of <0.5 for other analyses were considered statistically significant.

Due to the heterogeneity in the definition of prior ICrH in studies, we further used sensitivity analysis. For this aim, patients with a confirmed prior ICrH based on both medical history and imaging modalities were included in the sensitivity analysis.

Results

Study selection
A total of 13,032 reports were identified by systematic search in PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane (Figure 1). After removing duplicates, we screened the title and abstracts of 12,028 reports and excluded 11,764 studies. Of the remaining 264 studies eligible for full-text screening, 7 studies were included in the systematic review. Other studies were excluded due to issues such as different study populations (not including AIS patients with prior ICrH, 92 articles), presence of cerebral microbleeds (CMBs) or leukoaraiosis rather than a history of ICrH (28 and 26 articles, respectively), other off-label criteria (23 articles), different outcome measures (no report of ICrH, mortality, or mRS, 12 articles), and study type other than original (review, letter, etc., 36 articles).

Study characteristics
The details of patients’ characteristics can be found in Tables 1 and 2. A total of seven retrospective observational studies were included in the systematic review. All of the seven included studies reported similar rates of sHT following IVT among patients with and without prior ICrH. Four studies reported risk of in-hospital,11,25,26 within 30-day,22 or within 90-day10,13 death. Zand et al.11 reported no in-hospital mortalities in the seven patients with prior ICrH. Also, Meretoja et al.23 reported no death within 30 days in the three patients with prior ICrH. In addition, four studies reported 90-day mRS scores in both groups. Among these studies, Lee et al.10 reported less favorable 90-day functional outcomes in patients with prior ICrH, while others did not show any difference between groups.
Also, two studies compared the outcomes of patients with prior ICrH who were treated with IVT to those who had not received IVT. Zhao et al. showed similar rates of sHT, death within 90 days, and significantly better functional outcomes in patients who received IVT than those who did not. Lee et al., however, observed no significant difference between these groups.

Seven articles were eligible for meta-analysis. All of the included studies in the meta-analyses were observational. Four of the included studies in the meta-analysis required findings in favor of previous ICrH according to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images acquired at their initial admission to the hospital, or previous head computed tomography (CT) scans associated with prior history of ICrH or not. Two studies, however, only relied on the history of ICrH, as claimed by their families. In the study by Lee et al., a group of patients had both history and imaging confirmation, while other patients had either a history or imaging finding indicating prior ICrH.

Rate of sHT following IVT in patients with and without a history of ICrH

None of the seven included studies showed a significant difference between prior ICrH and no prior ICrH groups regarding the rate of sHT. The crude percentages of sHT were 5.1% (7 of 137 cases) among patients with ICrH and 4.6% (230 of 4931 cases) among those without prior ICrH. Quantitative synthesis using a fixed-effects model and in the absence of heterogeneity showed no significant difference in the rate of sHT between patients with and without a history of ICrH [OR: 1.55 (0.77, 3.12); p=0.22] (Figure 2(a)).

For sensitivity analysis, we performed a subgroup analysis of the three studies, which compared the
### Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of baseline and post-IVT in patients with AIS.

| Author          | Condition           | Sample size | Age median (IQR or mean [SD]) | Gender (%male) | NIHSS median (IQR) or mean (SD) | Length of follow-up | Adverse outcome | Difference between group |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| AbdelRazek et al. | w/ pv ICH [IPH]     | 11          | 75 [12.4]                     | 70.4           | 90 days                         |                      | mRS (n, %)      | ICrH (n, %) Death (n, %) | Similar sICH [OR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.06–17.5, p = 0.69] |
|                 | w/o pv ICH [IPH]    | 613         |                              |                |                                 |                     |                 |                         |                                       |
| Aoki et al.     | w/ sICH on T2       | 25          | 77 [70–83]                    | 48             | 24 h + 90 days                  |                     | sICH: 1, 4%     | aICH: 6, 24%            | Similar aICH [OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 0.40–3.54, p = 0.753], sICH [OR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.08–11.90, p = 0.970], similar mRS 0–1 [p = 0.300], and mRS 4–6 [p = 0.202] |
|                 | w/o aICH on T2      | 275         | 76 [68–83]                    | 59             | 0–1 [105, 38%, 4–6 [113, 41%]  |                     | sICH: 6, 2.18%  | aICH: 92, 33.5%          |                                       |
| Kvistad et al.  | w/ pv ICH           | 3           | -                             |                | 30 days                         |                     | sICH: 0          |                          | Similar sICH [OR, 3.26; 95% CI, 0.15–71.19] |
|                 | w/o pv ICH          | 130         | 63.7 [12.2]                   | 29.2           | 0–1 [56, 43.4%]                 |                     | sICH: 5, 3.9%    | At day 7: 3 [2.3%] at day 30: 6 [4.6%] |                                       |
| Lee et al.      | w/ pv ICH           | 73          | 72 [9.3]                      | 65.8           | 24 h + 90 days                  |                     | sICH: 5, 6.8%    | 14 [19.4%]              | Similar sICH or sHT [p = 0.39] [OR, 1.08, 95% CI: 0.39–2.96], at discharge [p values > 0.4], less favorable outcomes at 3 months [mRS 0–1 (p = 0.01), mRS 0–2 (p = 0.07), and mortality (p = 0.07)] |
|                 | w/o pv ICH          | 1422        | 67.9 [12.7]                   | 58.5           | 0–1 [501, 35.2%, 4–6 [516, 6.3%] |                     | sICH: 66, 4.6%   | 170 [11.9%]             |                                       |
| Author          | Condition          | Sample size | Age median (IQR) or mean (SD) | Gender (%male) | NIHSS median (IQR) or mean (SD) | Length of follow-up | Adverse outcome | Difference between group |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Meretoja et al. | w/ pv ICrH (ICH or SAH) | 3a          | 80.0 [4.6]                    | –              | 9 [5.5]                         | 90 days              | 0–1 [177, 37.6%], 4–6 [118, 25.1%] | sICH: 0, Death: 0 [0%] | Similar sICH [OR, 1.23; 95% CI, 0.06–24.25], and mortality [OR, 1.48; 95% CI, 0.08–29.12] |
|                 | w/o pv ICrH        | 486         | 64.5 [11.2]                   | –              | 12 [5.1]                        |                      | 0–1 [2, 66.6%], 4–6 [0, 0%] | sICH: 50, Death: 41 [8.7%] |                          |
| Zand et al.     | All patients       | 1212        | 62 [14]                       | 52             | Median: 7                       | Hospital stay        | sICH: 44, 3.6% | Similar sICH [0% versus 3.6%, p = 0.61] [OR, 1.75; 95% CI, 0.10–31.13] and in-hospital mortality [0% versus 6.0%, p = 0.50] [OR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.06–18.27] |
|                 | w/ pv ICH          | 7           | 72 [11]                       | 57             | Median: 5                       | –                    | sICH: 0 aICH: 1, CMB: 1, multi-CMB: 2 | 0 [0%] |                          |
| Zhao et al.     | w/ pv ICH          | 12          | 66 [60–73]                    | 58.3           | 13 [10–16]                      | 90 days              | 0–1 [5, 41.7%], 4–6 [3, 25%] | sICH: 1, 8.3% | Higher sICH [8.3% versus 4.3%, p = 0.039 OR, 2.03; 95% CI, 0.25–16.18], 90-d mRS scores of 0–1 [4.1% versus 43.6%, p = 0.530], and similar 90-day mortality rate [8.3% versus 6.5%, p = 0.946, OR, 1.30; 95% CI, 0.16–10.23] |
|                 | w/o pv ICH         | 793         | 66 [60–72]                    | 61.8           | 12 [10–15]                      |                      | 0–1 [346, 43.6%], 4–6 [174, 21.9%] | sICH: 34, 4.3% | Death: 52 [6.5%] |                          |

a, asymptomatic; AIS, acute ischemic stroke; CI, confidence interval; CMB, cerebral microbleed; HT, hemorrhagic transformation; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; ICrH, intracranial hemorrhage; IPH, intraparenchymal hemorrhage; IQR, interquartile range; IVT, intravenous thrombolysis; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; NINDS, Neurological Disorders and Stroke; OR, odds ratio; pv, previous; s, symptomatic; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; SD, standard deviation; w/, with; w/o, without.

a One case of ICH and two cases of SAH.

b Based on NINDS definition [not European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS) or Safe Implementation of Treatments in Stroke (SITS) criteria].
**Table 2. Vascular risk factors in patients with and without prior intracranial hemorrhage.**

| Author          | Condition  | HTN | DM | Stroke | AF | HLP | Coronary heart diseases | Smoking | SBP (mmHg) | DBP (mmHg) | Statin use | Antiplatelet use | Anticoagulants use | Pre-stroke mRS | Stroke etiology |
|-----------------|------------|-----|----|--------|----|-----|-------------------------|----------|-------------|------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| AbdelRazek et al. | w/ prior ICrH | –   | –  | –      | –  | –   | –                       | –        | –           | –          | –          | –              | –               | –              | –               |
| Aoki et al.     | w/ prior ICrH | 18/25 | 7/25 | 10/25 | 16/25 | 4/25 | 1/25 | – | 16.0 | 86 | – | 9/25 | 3/25 | – | – | – | – | – | 0.25 | 2.25 | 16/25 |
|                | w/o prior ICrH | 189/275 | 65/275 | 24/275 | 125/275 | 75/275 | 19/275 | – | 153 | 82 | – | 59/275 | 24/275 | – | 15/275 | 30/275 | 136/275 |
| Difference      | p = 0.824 | p = 0.628 | p < 0.01* | p = 0.094 | p = 0.342 | p = 1.000 | – | p = 0.35 | p = 0.83 | – | p = 0.131 | p = 0.481 | – | p = 0.623 | p = 1.000 | p = 0.211 |
| Kvistad et al.  | w/o prior ICrH | 58/130 | 15/130 | 13/130 | 22/130 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 6/130 | 19/130 | 37/130 |
| Lee et al.      | w/ prior ICrH | 59/73 | 24/73 | 42/73 | 33/73 | 21/73 | – | 9/73 | 15.0 (29.7) | 85.2 (17.3) | 19/73 | – | 34/73 | >1: 23/73 | 2.3/73 | 17/73 | 3.3/73 |
|                | w/o prior ICrH | 826/1422 | 311/1422 | 204/1422 | 552/1422 | 214/1422 | – | 345/1422 | 146.4 (27.1) | 85.7 (16.5) | 199/1422 | – | 451/1422 | >1: 226/1422 | 4.77/1422 | 405/1422 | 5.70/1422 |
| Difference      | p < 0.001* | p = 0.03* | p < 0.01* | p = 0.02 | p = 0.002* | – | p = 0.02* | p = 0.2 | p = 0.8 | p = 0.004* | – | p = 0.1* | p = 0.001* | ToAST classification (p = 0.57) |
| Merekoja et al. | With prior ICrH | 3/3 | 0/3 | 0/3 | 1/3 | – | – | – | 16.9 (16.9) | – | 2/3 | 3/3 | – | 2–5: 0/3 | – | – | – |
|                | W/o prior ICrH | 261/486 | 63/486 | 44/486 | 124/486 | – | – | – | 151 (19.9) | – | 117/486 | 184/486 | – | 2–5: 31/486 | – | – | – |
| Zand et al.     | With prior ICrH | 100% | 28% | 43% | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
|                | All patients | 77% | 34% | 24% | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Difference      | p < 0.1* | p > 0.05 | p < 0.05* | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Zhao et al.     | With prior ICrH | 10/12 | 2/12 | – | 3/12 | 4/12 | 5/12 | 5/12 | – | – | – | – | – | – | 0–1: 11/12 | 4/12 | 5/12 | 2/12 |
|                | All patients | 492/793 | 153/793 | – | 191/793 | 301/793 | 260/793 | 350/793 | – | – | – | – | – | – | 0–1: 737/793 | 270/793 | 305/793 | 176/793 |
| Difference      | p = 0.028* | p = 0.561 | p = 0.807 | p = 0.157 | p = 0.302 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | p = 0.611 | p = 0.761 | p = 0.809 | p = 0.297 |

*Significant between-group difference.
rate of sHT in a subgroup of patients with a positive medical history in addition to imaging confirmation of ICrH. Quantitative synthesis consisting of these studies in the absence of heterogeneity revealed no significant between-group difference in the rates of sHT [OR: 2.10 (0.49, 9.09); \( p = 0.32 \)] (Figure 2(b)). In addition, a subgroup analysis of studies using standard-dose IVT showed no significant difference in the rates of sHT [OR: 1.50 (0.45, 5.01); \( p = 0.51 \)] (Figure 2(c)).

**Rate of mortality following IVT in patients with and without a history of ICrH**

Two studies reported death within 90 days as an outcome. The crude percentages of death within 90 days were 17.6% (15 of 85 cases) among patients with a history of ICrH and 5.5% (122 of 2215 cases) in patients without prior ICrH. Meta-analysis revealed a significant difference in frequency of death between these two groups [OR: 3.91 (2.16, 7.08); \( p < 0.00001 \)] (Figure 3).

**90-day mRS following IVT in patients with and without a history of ICrH**

Four studies included data on 90-day mRS scores following IVT in patients with ICrH history. Overall, 23.8% (27 of 113) and 46.0% (52 of 113) of patients with prior ICrH and 38.1% (1129 of 2960) and 31.1% (921 of 2960) of the patients without previous history of ICrH showed 90-day mRS scores of 0–1 and 4–6, respectively.
Meta-analysis of the four studies with available data regarding 90-day mRS scores, consisting of 113 and 2960 patients with and without prior ICrH, revealed significantly lower rates of favorable outcomes (mRS, 0–1) in patients with prior ICrH compared with those without a history of ICrH (OR: 0.54; 95% CI, 0.35–0.84; \( p = 0.006 \)) (Figure 4(a)). Similarly, we observed higher rates of unfavorable outcomes (mRS, 4–6) in patients with prior ICrH compared with those without prior ICrH (OR: 1.57 (1.07, 2.30); \( p = 0.02 \)) (Figure 4(b)).

Furthermore, sensitivity analyses including two studies using standard-doses IVT showed no significant difference between groups in rates of favorable outcomes [OR: 0.93 (0.17, 5.09); \( p = 0.93 \)] (Figure 5(a)) and unfavorable outcomes [OR: 1.83 (0.84, 3.96); \( p = 0.13 \)] (Figure 5(b)).

**Quality assessment and risk of bias**

A summary of quality assessment based on NOS is provided (Supplementary Table 2). Overall, three of the included studies (42.7%) in the meta-analyses were subject to selection bias in terms of representativeness of the cohorts.\(^{11,22,23}\) Still, all of the studies met the quality assessment criteria based on exposure and outcome presence to select the cases and controls. Also, in all studies, the cases were not comparable to controls (at least in one domain) except for Aoki et al.\(^ {12} \) and Zhao et al.\(^ {13} \)

A summary of the risk of bias assessment is provided (Supplementary Table 3). All of the included studies used nonrandom selection and allocation and thus were subject to a high risk of selection bias. The latter was especially the case...
for the study by Kvistad et al. and Merejota et al., which included a low number of patients with prior ICrH. For instance, the allocation of the patients with prior ICrH into intervention and nonintervention groups was not random in some studies, for example, based on their families’ wishes or clinical judgment. For instance, in the study by Lee et al., the patients deprived of IVT tended to have lower NIHSS scores and higher pre-stroke disabilities.10 Only the study by Aoki et al. reported blinding of the outcome assessment. In some studies,10,13,22 the prior ICrH and no prior ICrH groups were significantly different in clinical characteristics other than the history of ICrH, such as age, prior history of stroke, and NIHSS scores.

In the studies by Zhao et al. and Lee et al., a low-dose tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) was used to treat all or some of the patients with prior ICrH for safety reasons, while other studies used a standard dose. All of the included studies, however, were of low risk of attrition bias or reporting bias.

Funnel plots on rates of sHT, mortality, and mRS scores did not present publication bias (Supplementary Figures 1–5).

Discussion

Our results suggest that IVT among AIS patients with prior history of ICrH is not associated with higher rates of sHT, but is associated with a higher risk of death within 90 days and a higher risk of 90-day unfavorable functional outcomes compared with those without a history of ICrH.

According to this meta-analysis, sHT rate among patients with no prior ICrH was 4.6% (230 of 4931 cases). An analysis of the third International Stroke Trial (IST-3) demonstrated that 6.8% (104 of 1515) of patients treated with IVT developed a sHT.27 Overall, the rate of sHT in clinical trials is estimated to be 7.4%. In contrast, it is estimated to be 3.5% in registries, which signifies the variability caused by case definition in determining the rate of sHT.3 In addition, this meta-analysis showed the risk of death within 90 days among patients without a history of ICrH to be 5.5% (122 of 2215 cases).

Similarly, the study by Liao et al.28 showed that the mortality rate in patients who received a standard dose of alteplase was 7.36%. In another study, the mortality rate was estimated to be 7.7% in the patients receiving alteplase within 3–4.5 h of symptoms onset.29 These reports on mortality rates are comparable to the results of our meta-analysis.

Recently, the myriad of contraindications for IVT in AIS, which limit its application, has been questioned. Recent large-scale studies and meta-analyses have reached some results in favor of using off-label IVT.30 For instance, a meta-analysis on six studies comprising nearly 900 patients with a history of prior stroke within 3 months of index
stroke who were treated with IVT showed similar rates of sHT and death and similar 3-month neurological improvement compared with the patients without such history. However, the data regarding the severity of the stroke and the time between prior and index stroke were not determined. Furthermore, a meta-analysis comparing IVT outcomes in AIS patients who were pre-treated with oral anticoagulants to those not receiving oral anticoagulation showed no significant difference in sHT, early mortality, and functional outcomes. In this meta-analysis, we observed that IVT in AIS patients with prior history of ICrH does not increase the risk of sHT. At the same time, it is associated with higher mortality rates within 90 days and significantly higher rates of unfavorable 90-day functional outcomes. Similarly, a recent individual patient data meta-analysis by Charidimou et al. has shown that the presence of incidental CMBs on imaging is not associated with increased risk of sHT but is associated with higher unfavorable outcomes in 3–6 months. However, this study showed that the risk of sHT increases when the CMB burden is high. Other meta-analyses on CMBs have shown a significantly higher risk of sHT and poor functional outcomes associated with CMBs, especially when the CMB burden is high. Multiple hemorrhages/microbleeds, similar to prior history of ICrH, might signify an underlying vascular pathology, which increases the risk for severe stroke, poor functional outcomes, and the susceptibility to developing sHT. It is noteworthy that small vessel diseases, including CMBs, white matter hyperintensities, lacunae, and cerebral amyloid angiopathy, are recognized to contribute to the occurrence of spontaneous ICH. Patients with small vessel diseases are at risk for increased fragility of blood vessels, which along with mechanisms such as matrix metalloproteinase upregulation and hypertension, contribute to the increased risk for sHT. Nevertheless, it has been shown that patients with underlying small vessel disease might benefit from IVT, and this should not be considered an exclusion criterion.

In this regard, taking into account the overall vascular pathologies on admission MRI and the prior history of ICrH, hypertension or stroke might be beneficial in individual decision-making.

Taking the importance of vascular risk factors into account, the versatile premorbid status of patients and the underlying causes of prior ICrH or stroke could have affected our results. Only one study in this meta-analysis provided data on underlying mechanism of prior ICrH. More data were presented on the underlying vascular pathologies for the index stroke, showing similar distribution of stroke etiologies among patients with and without ICrH history. However, most of the included studies reported significantly higher history of stroke or hypertension in patients with an ICrH history (see Table 2), a fact that might have confounded the results of this meta-analysis.

In this study, we performed a sensitivity analysis based on the definition of prior ICrH. Patients with a confirmed history of ICrH by both imaging and medical history were analyzed, and no difference was observed regarding the rates of sHT between groups. This may signify that imaging findings in favor of prior ICrH, even asymptomatic ICrH detected by admission MRI, pose no lower risk for mortality within 90 days of IVT in patients with AIS than a true history of ICrH. However, the limited number of studies/patients in these subgroup analyses may not provide the necessary power to detect the between-group differences.

Importantly, all patients with prior ICrH in the study by Zhao et al. and some patients with prior ICrH in the study by Lee et al. received low-dose IV r-tPA (0.6 mg/kg). Some studies have recommended low-dose IVT in AIS, as it might be accompanied by noninferior efficacy in reducing mRS scores, lower or similar ICH rates, lower mortality rates, and similar overall outcomes, which is especially the case in Asian population or patients taking antiplatelets. However, several studies have shown less favorable/inferior functional outcomes despite similar or lower sHT and mortality rates associated with low-dose IVT. We performed a subgroup analysis on studies with standard-dose IVT to check for sensitivity of the meta-analysis to IVT dosage. Contrary to the primary results, which showed higher rates of unfavorable outcomes in patients with ICrH history, sensitivity analysis showed similar 90-day mRS scores in patients with and without prior ICrH. Although these studies do not suffice for a conclusion, it can be inferred that low-dose IVT is associated with no lower unfavorable outcomes rates than standard-dose IVT in patients with ICrH history.

Furthermore, to determine whether IVT should be considered an appropriate measure in the...
treatment of AIS patients with prior ICrH, comparing the outcomes of patients with prior ICrH who have received IVT to similar patients who have been deprived of this treatment should be studied. For instance, old age (above 80 years) was once believed to be a contraindication for receiving IVT. Older patients had less favorable outcomes than younger patients after receiving IVT. Later, it was observed that the proportion of favorable outcomes in AIS patients above 80 years who had received IVT was higher than those who did not receive IVT, and the mortality rates were similar. Therefore, old age is no longer considered a contraindication for IVT.48 Due to the limited number of studies and insufficient data, we could not perform a quantitative synthesis to compare the outcomes of receiving IVT or deprivation from IVT in patients with a prior history of ICrH. Zhao et al. showed that the prior ICrH group who underwent low-dose IVT had similar rates of sHT and 90-day mortality rates compared with the group receiving conventional therapy. However, the group treated with IVT had lower 90-day mRS scores and better functional outcomes.13 Lee et al.10 also showed similar clinical outcomes in patients with prior ICrH treated with IVT or not.

Although most of the contraindications according to AHA/ASA guidelines are experimented within large, standard trials and meta-analyses, some contraindications for receiving IVT, including prior history of ICrH, are not tested rigorously. In this study, we systematically reviewed the evidence in favor of IVT in patients with a previous history of ICrH or against it, from multiple aspects, including cerebral hemorrhage, functional outcome, and mortality, for the first time. A myriad of factors, however, might have compromised the results of this meta-analysis. First, two abstracts (with no full-texts) from the same research group were excluded from this meta-analysis because they had not undergone peer review.25,26 In addition, these two studies may have used similar cohorts. These two abstracts reported significantly higher mortality rates in patients with prior history of ICrH, unlike the studies included in this meta-analysis. Second, mortality analysis in this study comprised only two studies by Lee et al. and Zhao et al., on Asian population that applied both standard-dose and low-dose IVT, causing a potential risk of bias. Third, in the study by Zhao et al., some of the patients with ICrH other than ICH (like SDH, SAH) were excluded,13 while some of the studies included in this meta-analysis included all types of ICrH.23 Fourth, only some included studies reported the location, number, and size of the incident ICrH after thrombolysis and whether it had occurred in the previous site or not. Nevertheless, the study by Lee et al.10 did reveal that the rate of sHT in patients with multiple hemorrhagic lesions is not significantly higher than those with single lesions. Fifth, other potential sources of heterogeneity could be the etiology of prior ICrH, and the time interval between the prior hemorrhage and the index stroke. However, only one study provided the relevant data. Sixth, in some studies, the two comparison groups were significantly different in some factors such as history of stroke or hypertension, or allocation of patients into treatment groups was nonrandom. Finally, whether the previous hemorrhage was intra-parenchymal or not was a source of heterogeneity. In addition, asymptomatic hemorrhage was reported as an outcome in some studies, while in some studies, it was not. Some studies reported asymptomatic hemorrhagic transformation (aHT) in both prior ICrH and no prior ICrH groups, while some only reported aHT in the group with prior ICrH. Thus, we could not consider aHT as an outcome as selective reporting of outcomes in these groups was probable. Therefore, we could not determine whether or not there is any significant difference in the rate of aHT or overall HT between patients with and without a history of ICrH.

**Conclusion**

Prior ICrH has been considered a contraindication for IVT in AIS. Based on the current systematic review and meta-analysis, the rate of sHT in patients with prior ICrH is no more than those without prior ICrH. However, the rate of mortality within 90 days and unfavorable functional outcomes are higher in patients with prior ICrH. The current relevant studies are mostly nonrandomized and suffer from bias and limitations in their design. To reach a more robust consensus on whether these groups of patients might benefit from IVT, it is pivotal to perform large-scale, randomized controlled studies, especially comparing the outcomes of IVT and conventional treatment in patients with prior history of ICrH. Furthermore, comparing the outcomes of low-dose and standard-dose IVT in patients undergoing off-label thrombolysis would be helpful.
Multiple factors, including other comorbidities, age, time between prior ICrH and incident stroke, and NIHSS, should be considered to make individualized decisions.
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