Nano-vault architecture mitigates stress in silicon-based anodes for lithium-ion batteries
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Nanomaterials undergoing cyclic swelling-deswelling benefit from inner void spaces that help accommodate significant volumetric changes. Such flexibility, however, typically comes at a price of reduced mechanical stability, which leads to component deterioration and, eventually, failure. Here, we identify an optimised building block for silicon-based lithium-ion battery (LIB) anodes, fabricate it with a ligand- and effluent-free cluster beam deposition method, and investigate its robustness by atomistic computer simulations. A columnar amorphous-silicon film was grown on a tantalum-nanoparticle scaffold due to its shadowing effect. PeakForce quantitative nanomechanical mapping revealed a critical change in mechanical behaviour when columns touched forming a vaulted structure. The resulting maximisation of measured elastic modulus (~120 GPa) is ascribed to arch action, a well-known civil engineering concept. The vaulted nanostructure displays a sealed surface resistant to deformation that results in reduced electrode-electrolyte interface and increased Coulombic efficiency. More importantly, its vertical repetition in a double-layered aqueduct-like structure improves both the capacity retention and Coulombic efficiency of the LIB.
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i-based anodes are a promising alternative to graphite for high-energy LIBs because of their up-to-tenfold increase in theoretical capacity\(^1\)-\(^3\). However, the huge volume change during lithiation hinders the formation of stable solid electrolyte interfaces (SEIs)\(^4\) and causes electrode fracture\(^5\). Low mechanical stability of both SEIs and the entire electrodes is an impediment to the commercialisation of LIBs with silicon anodes, but composite anodes containing silicon additives are already being marketed (https://www.3m.com/3M/en_US/company-us/all-3m-products/-/3M-Battery-Anode/, http://sinodesystems.com/en/). The matrices used (usually of carbon or of polymeric nature) play a dual role: they connect electrically and physically the silicon components (typically nanoparticles of sizes below their break-up limit), and provide a steady electrode–electrolyte interface, enabling the formation of a stable SEI\(^6\). An alternative strategy is the use of stiff shells; however, the shells need to be thick in order to avert fracture and debonding from the core, hindering lithium diffusion\(^7\). Thus, increasing the amount of silicon in anodes or designing a pure Si anode while maintaining satisfactory mechanical stability remains a challenge for high-energy LIB technology.

There exists an intrinsic connection between mechanical issues and electrochemical performance of silicon anodes (and all battery electrodes in general), lying on the compressive stress built up during lithiation, which is subsequently released during delithiation\(^8\). When this compressive stress exceeds the yield strength\(^9\),\(^10\), the electrode deforms to accommodate the volume change\(^11\),\(^12\). For this reason, mechanical property studies by in situ-modulated optical solid-state spectrometry and nanoindentation have increased over the last few years, showing the variation in elastic modulus (defined as displacement divided by stress, \(E = \sigma/\epsilon\)) with respect to the structure\(^13\), the state of charge\(^14\),\(^15\), or the binder\(^16\). However, although these studies provide important information on the mechanical behaviour of silicon anodes, they mostly lack spatial resolution. Quantitative information on the effect of nanostructure on the elastic properties can be obtained by atomic force microscopy (AFM) in PeakForce quantitative nanomechanical mapping (PF-QNM) mode. However, despite its sensitivity on nanostructure\(^17\), the technique has scarcely been used for the study of battery electrodes\(^18\),\(^19\), where it can provide valuable insight on surface deformability and, thus, SEI stability.

This work introduces a specific columnar thin-film nanoarchitecture synthesised using nanoparticles, denoted as vaulted structure. The name alludes to the civil engineering definition of a multi-arch structure sustained on columns, characterised by its high elastic modulus. Silicon anodes with vaulted structures simultaneously show good electrochemical performance combined with high mechanical stability and low lithium consumption during formation of SEI, addressing the two main challenges for silicon anode commercialisation. This structural unit of optimal electrochemical performance is identified by a distinct transition in mechanical behaviour exactly when individual silicon columns merge to form closed arches (but not beyond this point, with further growth of amorphous-silicon film on top); most importantly, its mechanical stability can be improved further if reiterated vertically, due to clamping effect. The introduction of vaulted structure and arch action brings many new possibilities in the design of new materials for batteries, but also for other applications in which the surface is under strong and variable stress action.

Results and discussion

Overview of design strategy and vaulted structure concept.

Samples were grown directly on substrates by sequential and independently controlled cluster beam deposition (CBD) of Ta nanoparticles and RF sputtering of silicon thin films (Supplementary Fig. 1). This set-up enables the fabrication of binder-free, high-purity films (grown in high-vacuum conditions) with good

---

**Fig. 1 Design strategy of TaNS-Si amorphous film composite anodes and their structural and mechanical relationships.** a–c Schematic breakdown of growth process into three steps: deposition of TaNS, columnar growth of silicon amorphous film exploiting the shadowing effect of the TaNS and thermal annealing at 150 °C enhancing the mobility and consequent annihilation of voids at open surfaces (indicated by the red arrows). Grey spheres represent Ta nanoparticles and silicon is designated in blue. The mechanical response under the force of the nanoindenter is indicated for the three structures under study: d columnar, e vaulted, and f sedimentary. The nanoindenter for PF-QNM measurements is shown in green, exerting a compressive force on silicon samples (red arrow). White arrows indicate film stresses, irrespective of indentation; the film response under the nanoindenter is shown in yellow. Red dashed lines indicate sample deformations and small red arrows represent the force distribution in the columns.

---
control over the thin-film thickness and nanoparticle size and shape.\textsuperscript{20b-22} First, crystalline Ta nanoparticles were deposited (Fig. 1a, step 1), forming a porous nanoparticulated film that acted as a nano scaffold (denoted TaNS) for the fabrication of the silicon anode. Silicon films of various thicknesses were subsequently sputtered onto TaNS at an acute angle in order to exploit the shadowing effect by TaNS; this led to silicon initially growing in a columnar structure (Fig. 1a, step 2)\textsuperscript{23}. Column diameters increased with thickness until the column tops contacted one another, closing the surface via the formation of a vaulted (arched) structure (Fig. 1b). Further silicon deposition formed a continuous amorphous film via Volmer–Weber (island) growth, labelled as the sedimentary structure (Fig. 1c). Subsequent thermal annealing (Fig. 1a, step 3) enhanced defect mobility and eventual annihilation at the surfaces of each structure. In the case of columnar structures, this process increased their rigidity. Conversely, in sedimentary structures, voids remained trapped inside the silicon layers, as their migration barrier towards some free surface was significantly higher, resulting in sponge-like porous films.

Variations in silicon film structures affect profoundly their mechanical properties. In PF-QNM measurements performed here, a nanoindenter exerts a vertical force (Fig. 1d–f, red arrow) on the top of each structure. In the columnar structure, compressive stresses lead to each column maintaining its shape and individuality (Fig. 1d, white arrows). The columns readily deform until they touch one another and a clamping effect hinders further deformation. This leads to an increase in $E$ with film thickness, due to increased proximity of the columns. The extreme case occurs in the vaulted structure (Fig. 1e), where the tops of the columns are already in touch with each other; as a result, clamping occurs immediately under the force of the nanoindenter without the need for initial deformation, and high $E$ value is measured. This effect resembles arch action as described in civil engineering, where an arch transmits stress to the ground and responds by pushing outwards. In the sedimentary structure (Fig. 1f), $E$ is related to surface domes; under force from the nanoindenter, silicon atoms at hilltops easily diffuse to valleys. $E$ is also affected by the underlying pores that soften the silicon layer. As a result, low $E$ values are registered.

Due to its high void space content and sealed surface, the vaulted structure can be used as a nanostructure unit capable of dissipating lithiation (or other) stress while avoiding the cracking observed in electrodes based on the sedimentary structure. When the vaulted structure is vertically reiterated (i.e., a vaulted nanostructure is deposited on top of another repeatedly, with each layer forming a single-vaulted nanostructure unit), a thin-film electrode is created, which increases the amount of silicon-active material, while maintaining optimised mechanical and surface stability during battery cycling. Further, the concept of nanovault architecture as a repeating nanostructure unit can be applied broadly for the design of novel materials requiring high stress tolerance.

Correlation between morphology and mechanical properties.

Henceforth, samples are named according to the scheme $h^X$, where $h$ is the film thickness (in nm) and $X$ designates the structure type: C (columnar), V (vaulted) and S (sedimentary), as determined by combined PF-QNM and electron microscopy characterisation.

The nanoporous TaNS consists of crystalline Ta nanoparticles (3 nm in diameter and narrow-size distribution)\textsuperscript{24,25}, which maintain their individuality due to CBD enabling soft landing\textsuperscript{26}. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) lamella images (Fig. 2a, b) show TaNS thickness of $\sim$10 nm and confirm the amorphous nature of the overlaid silicon layer. Silicon thin-film thickness increases linearly with time at a rate of 1.69 nm min$^{-1}$ (via X-ray reflection (XRR), Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3). Although the Ta content is less than 0.5 at%, as estimated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (Supplementary Fig. 4), it induces granular morphology (Fig. 2c, d) and ensues high roughness to the silicon films (in the range of 3.3–3.8 nm vs. 0.8 nm when silicon is grown directly on the substrate, Supplementary Fig. 2).

Sample $54^V$ presents columns of increasing diameter, resembling inverse truncated cones that touch at the top, forming a vaulted structure as shown by cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Fig. 2e). This columnar structure (which begins to form at the start of the silicon growth phase, Supplementary Fig. 5 for $25^C$) results from the shadowing effect

\[ \text{Fig. 2 Structural characterisation of silicon thin films grown on TaNS.} \]

TEM lamella images of samples: a $54^V$ and b $216^S$ show the nanoparticulate nature of TaNS and the amorphous nature of Si. The observed Pt layer was deposited during lamella fabrication. SEM top-view images of samples: c $54^V$ and d $216^S$, and the corresponding cross-section images: e $54^V$ (inset is magnified) and f $216^S$. $54^V$ corresponds to the vaulted structure, where column tops contact one another, while in $216^S$ structure columns already merge into a continuous film with Volmer–Weber growth, as the domed morphology suggests (sedimentary structure). The substrate used for the preparation of samples for this structural characterisation is Si(111).
of TaNS, due to the disruption TaNS brings to the incident beam of silicon atoms. The columnar structure is also observed at the bottom of 216S (Fig. 2f); however, as more silicon is deposited on the vaulted layer, the silicon stratum becomes continuous without long-range structural ordering, due to the amorphous nature of sputtered Si. Subsequently, domes are formed on top of the continuous film, reducing the local surface energy. These domes withstand compressive stresses initially until a limit is reached, whereupon the stresses become tensile. This causes the diffusion of silicon adatoms from hilltops to valleys. As domes coalesce, the thickness of the continuous film increases, which is typical for Volmer–Weber (island) thin-film growth.

Topography and elastic modulus mapping of samples 25C, 54V, and 155S show cusps and valleys at the nanoscale, measured by PF-QNM using an AFM operated in PF tapping mode (Fig. 3a). Silicon samples were prepared on Si(111) for these measurements, although E measurements are valid for any substrate when TaNS is deposited between the substrate and sputtered silicon (Supplementary Fig. 6). All sedimentary samples show very strong correlation (~1) between topographic and E features (Supplementary Fig. 7); thus, E cusps and valleys are related to surface morphology. Reduced correlation is found for the vaulted structure (0.8) that decreases notably for the columnar structure (with dispersed values in the range of 0–0.6). This suggests that other factors besides surface morphology are responsible for E cusps and valleys, probably associated with the presence of honeycomb-like density-deficit regions in-between columnar structures (void networks), and the existence of incomplete arches in 54V.

E-value distribution in mappings is shown in histograms (Fig. 3b), and, for each sample, the thickness is associated with an E-value peak (Fig. 3c). Samples with columnar structure show polynomial increase with film thickness, being similar for 15C and 25C (~40 GPa), that is probably related to TaNS. E increases with film thickness until the columns touch each other forming multiple arches, and E reaches a maximum with extremely high values reaching up to 250 GPa. Sedimentary structures have similar E values to columnar structures (~40 GPa), independent of film thickness. Control experiments where step 3 of the fabrication process was omitted confirmed the importance of thermal annealing for the strengthening of the columnar structures (but not the sedimentary structure) through the mobilisation and ensuing eradication of voids (Supplementary Fig. 8). The strong correlation with topographic mappings implies that E measurements are restricted to the domed structure of the silicon surface. This is different than in columnar and vaulted samples, in which the granular surface represents the column tops.

Fig. 3 Mechanical properties measured using PF-QNM of silicon films of different thicknesses grown on TaNS. The substrate used for preparation of samples is Si(111), but similar results are obtained for Cu foil substrates (Supplementary Fig. 6). a Topography and elastic moduli of samples 25C, 54V, and 155S. The same scale is used in E mappings of the three samples for easier comparison; note that E of 54V is saturated in a high fraction of the mapping. b Histograms of E for several indicated samples. E increases from the columnar to the vaulted structure (upper histogram) and decreases for the sedimentary structure (lower histogram). c E values with the highest weights in the histogram plotted against film thickness, h, of all samples. The change of E vs. h corresponds to the transition from columnar to Volmer–Weber silicon growth, which is the region where column tops touch and form a vaulted structure.
Explanation of structural and mechanical characteristics by atomistic simulations. A group of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed that mimic the deposition process of the TaNS–Si film composite onto a rotating substrate holder. In the presence of the nano scaffold, the vicinity of the nanoparticles was shadowed from the deposited silicon atoms, as shown in Fig. 4a, left panel (also Supplementary Figs. 9, 10, and Supplementary Movie 1 and 2); hence, the columnar structure first formed on top of the nanoparticles. With prolonged deposition time, the columns merged, initially forming the vaulted and finally the sedimentary structure, in excellent agreement with the experiment. In contrast, in control simulations without nanoparticles (Fig. 4b), a sedimentary structure formed from the beginning of the deposition (Supplementary Movie 3). Thus, it is clearly demonstrated that the shadowing effect of the nano scaffold is essential for the formation of the vaulted structure.

Surface construction analysis accentuates the location and size of voids inside the deposited structures, as indicated by the grey surface meshes in Fig. 4a, b, right panels. Within the same simulation, large voids form only inside the sedimentary region, and the estimated porosity increases from 0.09 to 0.3. This can be explained by the finite-size effect of the columnar structure. Small vacancy clusters near open surfaces can be filled up quickly by a few displacements of surface atoms or by newly deposited atoms. In contrast, larger voids forming under the surface of the sedimentary structure need a collective movement of silicon atoms, which is significantly slower. Moreover, the activation enthalpy (migration barrier) for the self-diffusion in amorphous silicon is about 2.7 eV\textsuperscript{34–36}; therefore, once large voids are formed in the sedimentary region, they remain stable under the annealing condition, which has a profound effect on the elastic properties (Supplementary Fig. 11).

Mechanical characteristics derived from PF-QNM measurements were explained by simulated compress–hold–decompress loops. As shown in Fig. 4c, we selected eight instances with nanoscaffolds from the first group of simulations. The evolution of the feedback forces can be tracked with time and the displacement of the plates on top of the silicon layers; the full process is included in Supplementary Movie 4. The feedback forces clearly distinguish the different displacement stages, as indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 4d. From the force vs. displacement curves, we extracted the stiffness of the structures during the loading phase, indicated by the solid lines in Fig. 4e. Clearly, the stiffness reached a maximum when the columnar

![Fig. 4 Correlation between morphology and mechanical properties by MD simulations.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-021-00119-0)

- **a** Silicon deposited on a NP (duplicated along the (100) direction, due to periodic boundary conditions) at 500 K follows columnar growth and forms a vaulted structure. The simulation box, sliced for clear observation, is viewed along the (110) direction. The right panel only depicts the sliced surface mesh inside the deposited layer (i.e., without the atoms), indicating the presence of voids. **b** Same but without the NP. A sedimentary structure forms from the start of the deposition, also leading to extensive voids throughout. **c** Eight instances with NPs selected from the growth simulations, sliced and viewed along the (100) direction. **d** Evolution of the force-depth curve; a compress–hold–decompress loop was performed with a flat-diamond carbon tip at 500 K. **e** Initial linear elastic deformation within displacements of 2 nm, with linear regression fitting (solid lines). **f** Stiffness of the corresponding structures as a function of thickness, clearly demonstrating the rigidity of the vaulted structure.
structure evolved into the vaulted structure, as shown in Fig. 4f. This can be explained by the fact that in the initial elastic deformation zone (displacement from 1 to 2 nm), the vaulted structures (i.e., 20.8–26.3-nm cases) reach the maximum contact area–minimum porosity combined with a relatively small thickness. The stiffness extracted from the decompression phase (where the contact area is approximately the same for all structures) follows spring-like behaviour with a porosity of 0.3 (Supplementary Fig. 12).

Vaulted structure as a building block in electrodes for LIBs. The commonly reported fracture of silicon anodes is associated with the extensive volumetric expansion (300–400%) accumulating mechanical stress in the electrode. This effect limits the thickness and size of silicon thin films and nanoparticles, respectively, utilised as anodes in LIBs. Sputtered silicon thin films on rough substrates may increase the stability of the electrode during cycling, but film thickness remains limited37,38.

The vaulted nanostructure is a promising structure unit for assembling silicon anodes for LIBs due to the combination of its internal columnar structure and sealed surface in contact with the electrolyte. Charge cycles of samples 15C, 54V and 155S at 0.5 C in semibatteries with Li foil as reference and counter electrodes (Fig. 5a) represent each sample type (Supplementary Fig. 13) and indicate the decisive role of the nanostructure. 54V shows the highest capacities during the first 60 cycles, followed by 15C. Both samples show an initial increase in the capacity associated with the activation phenomenon (Supplementary Fig. 14)39,40. Despite the 250% expansion of 54V (Supplementary Fig. 15), the capacity retention is similar to that of the columnar structure, but with higher Coulombic efficiency. In contrast, 155S shows fast capacity fade, especially during the first fifteen cycles, attributed to the loss of active material due to detachment from the substrate, as attested by SEM (Supplementary Fig. 18). This effect can also explain the high Coulombic efficiency of 96–100% for 155S (Fig. 5b), compared with 85–96% registered for sample 54V, and 60–85% for 15C. For samples 54V and 15C, the Coulombic efficiency reflects an irreversible consumption of lithium in side reactions during charge (usually the formation of SEI), and low values during cycling indicate the exposure of fresh electrode to the electrolyte due to fracturing. Thus, the vaulted structure of 54V forms a seal between the electrode and the electrolyte, reducing lithium consumption in side reactions. Attending the capacity vs. cycle number, it is observed that the capacity plot of the vaulted structure crosses the plot obtained for the columnar structure. A first interpretation could be that the “impenetrable” surface of the vaulted structure is “unsealed” during cycling, thus resembling the columnar structure. Nevertheless, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS, Supplementary Fig. 16) at different numbers of cycling clearly shows different behaviour. The resistance associated with electrode/electrolyte interface phenomena (charge transfer resistance, Rin)41,42 is approximately three times higher for 15C than for 54V after 3, 10, 50 and 75 cycles, indicating that the SEI layers formed at the columnar and vaulted structures are different, and remain so during cycling. Therefore, the capacity fade of the vaulted structure does not seem to be related with the surface, but with the bottom of the electrode; this can explain the tendency of the vaulted structure to resemble the columnar, rather than the sedimentary, structure more closely. Indeed, the sealed surface of 54V protects the surface while allowing the same fast charge/discharge as in the columnar structure (charge–discharge and Coulombic efficiency plots at 5 C are shown in Supplementary Fig. 17). This is in agreement with a recent chemomechanical model demonstrating that anode materials of high E values can sustain higher lithiation stresses before buckling43.

![Fig. 5 Comparative electrochemical characterisation of silicon films grown on TaNS and Cu foil substrates.](image) Panels a, b refer to representative columnar vs. vaulted vs. sedimentary structures, and c, d refer to single- vs. double vaulted structure containing equal amounts of Si. Anodes are assembled in semibatteries using Li foil for reference and counter electrodes, and 1.0 M LiPF6 in a 50:50 (w/w) mixture of EC:DEC (ethylene carbonate:diethyl carbonate) as the electrolyte. a, c Charge capacity (Si electrode delithiation), and b, d Coulombic efficiency of semibatteries cycled at 0.5 C between 0.01 and 1 V.
In the literature, it is discussed how the nanostructure of silicon can improve its mechanical stability, e.g., by proposing columnar structures and a particle size below which silicon nanoparticulate anodes can be lithiated/delithiated without breaking, or a thickness limit below which silicon thin-film anodes can be stable, which may be increased by patterning the substrate. In either case, however, the nanostructure increases the electrode/electrolyte interface, increasing the \( R_e \) and an unstable SEI that is regenerated. This problem can be addressed using shells to seal nanostructured silicon while maintaining the integrity of the electrode and allowing the formation of a stable SEI; however, this leads to a reduction in LIB energy density, provides a further step in the synthesis of the material and maybe detachment between the shell and the core. Another strategy to enhance the mechanical stability of Si-based anodes is either by increasing the anode’s elastic modulus with new binders for silicon composites or by physically constraining volume expansion.

Since the vaulted structure is generated by the TaNS, it can be assembled independently of the substrate. Therefore, it is possible to maximise its thickness limit for a single-layer thin film, and then reproduce this nanostructure vertically, thus increasing the total amount of silicon in the anode. This is demonstrated when a second TaNS is deposited on top of an existing silicon vaulted structure, followed by further silicon deposition. The second TaNS layer prevents columns from merging towards a sedimentary structure, generating a second vaulted structure instead (Supplementary Fig. 18), denoted D54V in reference to the double 54V layer. The D54V sample also demonstrates a heterogeneous E profile, with values in the same range observed for the single layer (Supplementary Fig. 19).

To rule out film thickness effects, the electrochemical performance of sample D54V was compared with a sedimentary sample, 110° (i.e., with a single TaNS layer) that contains the same amount of silicon (Fig. 5c, d). The initial capacity of D54V is 3230 mAh g\(^{-1}\), and after ~5% increase for the activation phenomenon in the first cycle, it shows capacity retention of 88% after 100 cycles (2832 mAh g\(^{-1}\)). In contrast, a strong activation phenomenon is observed for the 110° sample, reaching a maximum capacity of 2700 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 20 cycles and decreasing 45% after 100 cycles (1477 mAh g\(^{-1}\)). D54V also shows improved electrochemical performance compared to single-layer 54V, with similar capacity during the first 50 cycles but higher capacity retention and Coulombic efficiency, with values ranging between 90 and 98% (Supplementary Fig. 20). The construction of the bilayer strongly enhances the mechanical stability of the electrode, which retains this structure after charge–discharge cycling (Supplementary Fig. 21). The interlayer of Ta nanoparticles is probably increasing the electrical conductivity of the electrode, facilitating the preferential lithiation at the intermixed Ta–Si interface providing stability to lithiation/delithiation reactions, as reported for multilayered silicon electrodes.

The electrochemical response of the studied electrodes is strongly coupled with their mechanical and structural properties. The single-layer vaulted structure provides fast paths for Li-ion diffusion along the anode, and space for silicon to expand in, thus mitigating the stress caused by the lithiation process and preventing film cracking that impairs sedimentary structures. It can also seal the electrode significantly reducing side reactions and the formation of SEI that columnar structures suffer from. After 20 cycles, there is a capacity fade and the Coulombic efficiency maximum is 95%. This may be attributed to free space for expansion in the perpendicular direction that can cause mechanical failure in the long term, despite the clamping effect in the lateral directions of the film. However, repeating the vaulted structure imposes a new clamping effect in the perpendicular direction, improving both capacity retention and Coulombic efficiency, thus improving overall mechanical stability.

**Conclusions.** A nanoarchitectural facilitated for silicon-based LIB anodes. Its fabrication involves depositing a silicon on top of a NP scaffold, leading to a columnar structure due to shadowing by the scaffold. During columnar growth, a pronounced peak in the elastic modulus exactly when columns (of increasing diameter) contact each other marks the sealing of the anode surface and indicates the creation of a vault-like structure (further silicon deposition leads to a featureless layer of sedimentary nature, and the elastic modulus value decreases to one-third of that of the vaulted structure). This mechanical behaviour was reproduced theoretically and suggests the effect of arch action at the nanoscale.

The vaulted nanoarchitecture presents possibilities for new designs in silicon anodes for LIBs, showing enhanced performance in terms of capacity retention, charge–discharge rate and Coulombic efficiency. More importantly, the fabrication strategy allows the vertical repetition of this nanostructural unit, demonstrated with the construction of a double-layer aqueduct-like structure that retains the structural and mechanical features of the single layer, but improves capacity retention and Coulombic efficiency while doubling the amount of silicon in the anode. In summary, the vaulted structure is a platform that can be piled up increasing the total amount of silicon, thus forming the basis of silicon anodes with sealed surfaces for stable SEIs and inner cavities that partly accommodate volume changes and mitigate stresses. Beyond silicon anodes for LIBs, it is also eligible for other materials and applications where surface mechanics play a critical role.

**Methods**

**Sample preparation.** All samples were prepared using a gas-phase deposition system (Mantis Deposition Ltd) at high vacuum (2.0 × 10\(^{-8}\) mbar), supported by a rotatory holder (2 rpm for all depositions) to yield homogeneous film deposition. For Ta nanoparticle deposition, Ar gas flow of 60 standard cubic centimetres per minute, DC magnetron power of 45 W and aggregation zone length of 100 mm were selected. The silicon thin film was deposited with a 110 W RF-sputtering source, using an Ar pressure of 2.1 × 10\(^{-3}\) mbar. The magnetron sputtering targets, silicon (n-type, purity > 99.999%, resistivity < 0.001 W m) and tantalum (99.95% purity), was purchased from Kurt J. Lesker. All depositions were performed at ambient temperature (~298 K, as measured by the substrate holder thermocouple), and with no external bias applied to the substrate. Finally, all anodes were annealed at 150 °C for 60 min at Ar pressure of 8 × 10\(^{-3}\) mbar.

**FIB-SEM and TEM lamellae characterisation.** Cross-sections and sample surfaces were imaged by means of focused ion beam (FIB) milling combined with SEM using an FEI Helios G3 UC FIB-SEM. The same FIB-SEM system, equipped with a Pt deposition needle and an OMNIPROBE™ extraction needle, was used to prepare TEM lamellae. They were prepared using the conventional “H-bar” technique by cutting two trenches from the sample where a strip of Pt thin film was deposited in situ to protect the surface area of interest while milling. The thin slab milled from the sample was then thinned to about 40 nm so that the TEM beam could pass through. Using the extraction needle, lamellae were then transported to the tops of TEM half-grids where they were welded with the Pt deposition needle. TEM lamellae were imaged using FEI Titan Environmental TEM equipped with a spherical aberration image corrector at an operating voltage of 300 kV.

**PF-QNM measurements.** Surface morphology and elastic moduli of silicon samples were measured using an AFM (Multimode 8, Bruker) operating in PeakForce tapping mode. Sample imaging and PF-QNM measurements were performed with an ultra-high-force cantilever (DNISP-HS) with a diamond tip from Bruker (~71.5-kHz resonance frequency, 432 N/m spring constant and ~40 nm of nominal tip radius). The standard relative E method was used for E measurements with fused silica (nominal E = 72 GPa) as a reference sample. By this method, the cantilever deflection sensitivity calibration was first performed on a hard-sapphire sample by fitting the linear portion of the force–distance curve in ramp mode. The spring constant value during measurements was taken as 432 N/m from the calibration sheet provided by the manufacturer. The reference sample (fused silica) was then loaded for PF-QNM measurement followed by peak force set point adjustment in order to get the desired deformation (~1–2 nm). Subsequently, the tip radius parameter was changed so that...
the measured elastic modulus of the reference sample met its exact value (72 GPa). After calibration with the reference sample for the tip radius, PF-QNM measurement was performed on Ta–Si samples and the peak force set point was adjusted so that its deformation matched with the reference sample. AFM images (512 × 512 pixels) were captured at scan rate of 0.5 Hz and analysed with Nanoscope Analysis (Ver. 9) software. While no reduction process was applied to the modulus-mapping images or data, it was a quantitative property measurement by the standard relative method. Tip calibration with the reference sample for the tip radius, PF-QNM measurement was performed on Ta–Si samples and the peak force set point was adjusted so that its deformation matched with the reference sample. AFM images (512 × 512 pixels) were captured at scan rate of 0.5 Hz and analysed with Nanoscope Analysis (Ver. 9) software. While no reduction process was applied to the modulus-mapping images or data, it was a quantitative property measurement by the standard relative method. Tip cleaning was conducted with the classical MD code LAMMPS57, and the visualisation of the results was done with OVITO58. We used an environment-dependent interatomic potential (EDIP) parametrised for silicon59. The EDIP significantly outperforms other existing potentials for silicon, including the popular Stillinger–Weber and Tersoff potentials60,61, when tested for bulk phases, defects and phase transitions. EDIP has been used to study the liquid-amorphous transition, self-diffusion, crystal plasticity, brittle fracture, solid-phase epitaxial growth and amorphous structures. The C–Si interactions in the purely repulsive Ziegler–Biersack–Littmark potential were used to obtain non-adhesive feedback-force curve62.
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