Relationship between nursing workloads and patient safety incidents
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Objective: To evaluate the relationship between nursing workloads and patient safety incidents in inpatient wards of a general hospital.

Methods: A retrospective data analysis was conducted involving the internal medicine wards in a teaching hospital in Japan between July 1st and December 31st, 2006. To assess associations between nursing workloads and patient safety incidents, we analyzed the following: the relationships between the level of patients’ dependency and the number of incident reports; and the relationships between the presence of accidental falls and the presence of patients transferred from the intensive care unit to the wards.

Results: Fifty-five nurses worked on the wards (105 beds). The total number of incidents was 142 over the 184 days of this study. There was a positive trend between the number of incidents and the total patient dependency score. The presence of accidental falls in the wards was associated with the presence of transfers from the intensive care unit to the wards (odds ratio 3.14, 95% confidence interval: 1.48, 6.65).

Conclusion: Greater nursing workloads may be related to the higher number of patient safety incidents in inpatient wards of hospitals.
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Introduction
In order to reduce overall medical costs, an overhaul of the medical fee scheme was conducted by the Health, Labour and Welfare Ministry of Japan. As a result of that overhaul in 2003, they introduced the Diagnosis and Procedure Combination (DPC) payment system into Japanese hospitals. DPC is a fixed payment system per discharge diagnosis and procedure which is used for reducing health care expenditures. DPC is also a system which standardized medical information storage and allowed for the evaluation of quality of medical care. In hospitals which participated in this new payment system, the hospital stays of patients were forced to be shortened in order for the hospitals to collect payments; therefore, after introducing this new policy, nurses in inpatient wards became busier than before, with increased bed turnovers, including a higher number of transfers of patients from the intensive care units (ICU) to general medical wards and a shortened average length of patient hospital stay.

A work overload situation developed for nurses due to an increasing number of bed turnovers and patient transfers from ICU because of the introduction of DPC. This may
be considered to be a factor which is associated with patient safety incidents, such as accidental falls of inpatients.

Meurier and colleagues reported that the most common causes of errors were a lack of knowledge or information, work overload, stressful atmosphere and a lack of support from senior staff. Work overload is an important factor to consider for controlling patient safety incidents.3

In ICU areas, Stones and colleagues reported that there was a relationship between the working condition of nurses and poor patient outcomes, such as the following: central line associated bloodstream infections; ventilator-associated pneumonia; and, 30-day mortality.4 We must focus on nurses’ working conditions and try to control work overload in this new DPC era.

Accidental falls are critical patient safety incidents for inpatients because elderly inpatients are very vulnerable and likely to endure accidental falls. Complications resulting from fall injuries are a leading cause of death in older people.5 Rubenstein and colleagues reported that 25% to 75% of elderly people who had hip fractures did not recover to their pre-injury functional status; therefore, we must focus on accidental falls.

Poor working conditions have been associated with burnout of both nurses and physicians.7 A stressful atmosphere at work is a major cause of burnout. It was found to be a dangerous factor in depression and in suicides among medical health workers.8 We also know shorter sleeping time due to work overload is a cause of patient safety incidents.9 We must safeguard nurses’ mental health by controlling working hours and conditions.

We must learn from the prior studies mentioned above. We must also create methods of assessment of working conditions for nurses to solve the poor conditions and reduce the number of patient safety incidents, including accidental falls. We know useful indicators for assessment of nursing workload in the ICU area,10 but not for the general wards.

This study therefore aimed to evaluate the relationships in this new era of the DPC scheme between the level of patients’ dependency and the number of patient safety incidents and between the presence of accidental falls and the presence of patients transferred from the ICU to the wards at a teaching hospital in Japan. The reason why levels of patients’ dependency and transfers from ICU were chosen is that the total dependency score of all patients and the number of patient safety incidents, including accidental falls, as another safety measure, and on the number of transfers from the ICU to the internal medicine wards as yet be considered to be a factor which is associated with patient safety incidents, such as accidental falls of inpatients.

DPC scheme. In addition to incident reporting, the presence of accidental falls was selected as an indicator of risk management because of its high frequency and its great impact on patients’ quality of life and mortality.11,12

Methods
Study setting
This study was conducted at St. Luke’s International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan. This hospital has 520 inpatient beds, an emergency department, and an outpatient walk-in clinic, and it provides primary to tertiary care for about 500,000 people in the Tokyo metropolitan area.

In this hospital, the study was conducted on three internal medicine wards where about 30 patients were admitted constantly each day in each ward. A total of 55 nurses (21, 15, and 19 for each ward, respectively) worked based on a two-shift system. The hospital met the distribution criteria for the number of inpatients per nurse (seven patients for one nurse).

Data collection
Incident reports
Between July 1st and December 31st, 2006, information on incident reports was collected from the safety management committee of this hospital as a safety measure. The incident reports were required when there were unintended events that could have harmed or did harm a patient. The incidents included the following: procedures; examinations; trouble with intravenous lines and gastric tubes; medication errors; and, accidental falls. Over the same period, daily information was also collected from the safety management committee both on the number of accidental falls, as another safety measure, and on the number of transfers from the ICU to the internal medicine wards as yet another measure of nursing workloads.

Patient dependency score
Patient dependency scoring information incorporating the degree of nursing care (monitoring and procedures) provided for these patients was collected from daily nursing reports. These scores were recorded daily on electronic medical records by nurses working on the inpatient wards. The scores consisted of 28 items scored from 0 to 1 or from 0 to 2, as is shown in Table 1. This scoring system is considered a useful objective indicator to assess the workloads of ward nurses in a hospital.13

Ethics approval
We handled delicate observational data, such as clinical incident report data, so ethical approval was necessary. Ethics approval
was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of St. Luke’s International Hospital (Approval Number: 06-235).

Statistical analysis
To assess the relationship between the total score of patients’ dependency and the number of patient safety incidents, we graphed this data to indicate this relationship clearly.

To assess the relationship between the presence of accidental falls and the presence of patients transferred from the intensive care unit to the wards statistically we firstly described a two-by-two contingency table which consisted of the presence of accidental falls and the presence of patients transferred from the ICU to the wards. We secondly evaluated statistical significance by utilizing chi-square analysis, and then we calculated the odds ratio (OR) of accidental falls by patients transferred from ICU.

Table 1 The contents of patient dependency scoring system

| A: Monitoring and procedure |
|-----------------------------|
| 1. Wound healing care (0: none, 1: done) |
| 2. Resuscitation (0: none, 1: done) |
| 3. The number of measurement of blood pressure (0: 0–4, 1: more than 5) |
| 4. Measurement the amount of urine output (0: none, 1: done) |
| 5. Respiratory care (0: none, 1: done) |
| 6. Dealing with more than 3 peripheral intravenous line at once (0: none, 1: done) |
| 7. Electrocardiogram monitoring (0: none, 1: done) |
| 8. Infusion pump (0: none, 1: done) |
| 9. Arterial pressure measurement (arterial line) (0: none, 1: done) |
| 10. Micro amount functional infusion pump (0: none, 1: done) |
| 11. Central venous pressure measurement (central venous line) (0: none, 1: done) |
| 12. Mechanical ventilator (0: none, 1: done) |
| 13. Blood infusion or derivatives (0: none, 1: done) |
| 14. Measurement of pulmonary artery pressure (Swan-Ganz catheter) (0: none, 1: done) |
| 15. Specific treatment (CHDF; IABP; ventricular assist device and ICP monitor) (0: none, 1: done) |

| B: Patients’ situation |
|-------------------------|
| 16. Order of complete rest on the bed (0: none, 1: done) |
| 17. Lifting an arm up to the level of chest (0: possible, 1: impossible) |
| 18. Roll-over by himself (0: possible, 1: possible with assistant tool, 2: impossible) |
| 19. Standing up (0: possible, 1: impossible) |
| 20. Keeping of sitting position (0: possible, 1: possible with assistance, 2: impossible) |
| 21. Moving from one location to another (0: possible, 1: possible with assistance or possible under monitoring, 2: impossible) |
| 22. Way of transfer (0: without assistance, 1: with assistance including delivering) |
| 23. Oral care by himself (0: possible, 1: impossible) |
| 24. Eating (0: possible, 1: possible with partial assistance, 2: impossible without complete assistance) |
| 25. Changing clothes (0: possible, 1: possible with partial assistance, 2: impossible without complete assistance) |
| 26. Communication ability with other people (0: possible, 1: possible or impossible case-by-case, 2: impossible) |
| 27. Understanding of order about medical care and cure (0: yes, 1: no) |
| 28. Dangerous behavior (0: no, 1: yes) |

Abbreviations: IABP, Intra-aortic balloon pump; ICP, intracranial pressure monitor; CHDF, continuous hemodiafiltration.

All statistical analyses were conducted utilizing SAS Enterprise Guide 4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Statistical significance was defined as \( P < 0.05 \).

Results
There was a total of 142 incidents (57, 47, and 38 for wards A, B, and C, respectively) on the hospital’s internal medicine wards over 184 days. The daily mean numbers of incident reports were 0.31, 0.26, and 0.21 for each ward, respectively. The total patient dependency scores were 54, 314, 50, 404, and 38, 326 for each ward over 184 days, respectively. The daily mean total scores were 295, 274, and 208, respectively. Wards with a higher number of incidents tended to have greater total patient severity scores. Figure 1 shows this trend.

There was a total of 39 accidental falls (12, 18, and 9 for wards A, B, and C, respectively) on the hospital’s internal
medicine wards over 184 days. No more than one accidental fall a day was observed. There was a total of 69 ICU transfers (31, 19, and 19 for wards A, B, and C, respectively) to the hospital's internal medicine wards over 184 days. No more than one ICU transfer a day was observed except for one day which had two ICU transfers. We show basic data of incidents, patients dependency and transfers from ICU in Table 2.

The results of the accidental falls and ICU transfers were as follows: the first category, ICU transfer (+) and accidental fall (−) was 11 days; second category, ICU transfer (+) and accidental fall (+) was 57 days; third category, ICU transfer (−) and accidental fall (+) was 28 days; and, fourth category, ICU transfer (−) and accidental fall (−) was 456 days. We show this two-by-two contingency table data in Table 3. The results of chi-square analysis utilizing the above mentioned two-by-two contingency table was statistically significant ($P = 0.0017$). The calculation result of the OR was as follows: OR 3.14, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.48, 6.65.

**Discussion**

The results of the present study indicate that a higher patients’ dependency score was associated with the occurrence of incidents on our internal medicine wards. Since patient dependency may influence the degree of workload on wards, a bed controller may need to control workloads by limiting transfers from the ICU to wards with a greater dependency score.

The results of the present study also indicate that the presence of transfers from the ICU could be a risk factor for accidental falls. Previous studies focused mainly on patient-related factors as risk factors for accidental falls, but few studies have evaluated the workloads of ward nurses as a possible risk factor.$^{14,15}$ In particular, for acute care hospitals with the DPC scheme, where the number of transfers from the ICU is increasing, this result may be important; moreover, it suggests that a greater number of falls occurs with a higher number of incoming patients.

**Table 2** The basic data of incidents, patients dependency and transfers from ICU

|                   | Ward A     | Ward B     | Ward C     | Total         |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Total patients dependency score (/184 days) | 54314      | 50404      | 38326      | 143044        |
| Average patients dependency dairy score (/day) | 295        | 274        | 208        | 777           |
| Total incident number (/184 days) | 57         | 47         | 38         | 142           |
| Average dairy incident number (/day) | 0.31       | 0.26       | 0.21       | 0.78          |
| Total accidental fall number (/184 days) | 12         | 18         | 9          | 39            |
| Average dairy accidental fall number (/day) | 0.065      | 0.098      | 0.049      | 0.212         |
| Total number of transfers from ICU (/184 days) | 31         | 19         | 19         | 69            |
| Average dairy number of transfer from ICU (/day) | 0.168      | 0.016      | 0.016      | 0.20          |

Abbreviation: ICU, intensive care unit.
The combined use of the number of transfers and the level of patient dependency may be helpful tools for bed control. Considering these will facilitate decision-making for the allocation of emergency admissions to wards to enable the safe management of patients.

In addition, the preceding study showed a positive correlation between the overwork level and the mental health of medical workers. Thus, controlling overworking could prevent incidents and could lead to the restoration of ward nurses’ mental health; therefore, for both patient safety and nurses’ mental health on wards, it is important to appropriately control the workload levels of ward nurses.

The present study had several limitations. Firstly, the ages and job titles of nurses, which may also be influencing the number of incidents and their mental health status were not considered. Secondly, although the number of patients may be a possible risk factor for incidents, the number of patients was not considered; thus, the relationship between the number of patients and incidents was not evaluated. Fourthly, there might have been a selection bias. We conducted our study in only three internal medicine wards. A study involving all wards may be needed to minimize selection bias. Incident reports are self-reported, so there might be a discrepancy between the submission rate between wards. Finally, since our study was based on only three wards of a single hospital and had an observational design, the results may not be generalizable. A larger prospective study, as well as a study with an interventional design, will be needed to further validate these results.

Safe patient management is a very important issue for establishing trusting relationships between patients, their families and healthcare providers. This is also related to lower medical costs. Although several theories and methods have been proffered to prevent incidents, some of them are not easily understandable or not applicable. Easily available quantitative indicators, such as the patient severity scores in our study, appear to be useful for managing patient safety by monitoring real-time workloads on hospital wards.

Preventing incidents is also likely to lead to better mental health among nurses working on wards; however, since our conclusion was based on observational data in a single hospital, further study is needed to validate the results. We may need to consider instituting systems solutions for creating a better working environment for nurses. By achieving this, we could reduce the number of patient safety incidents, falls, and nurses’ burnout, especially in the era of the DPC and the new medical fee scheme in Japan.
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