For treatment of chronic diseases, including antiretroviral therapy (ART) for HIV/AIDS, to be effective, patients must initiate treatment as early as allowed under prevailing guidelines, remain in care for long periods of time, consistently achieve high levels of adherence to their treatment regimen and, as a result, exhibit stable monitoring test results and/or treatment completion. In the case of HIV/AIDS, treatment is lifelong and requires consistent, nearly complete adherence to sustain an undetectable viral load.\(^{11}\) Numerous studies and reviews have indicated that suboptimal retention in care and adherence to ART in South Africa (SA) poses a serious threat to the long-term success of the national HIV response.\(^{22-25}\) In order to improve patient care and reduce disengagement from care, there is a push for research studies to produce results quickly and affordably. An effective strategy for efficient and economical research studies is to utilise routinely collected data. Routinely collected data through electronic systems are becoming more widely available, even in resource-limited settings. Where electronic record keeping may not exist, paper-based systems are typically the source for medical information, often through standardised, government-issued registers and paper records used for patient care, referred to as the patient stationery. Such systems can provide invaluable information for evaluation of health interventions and policy changes if they are well rationalised, filled in completely and contain high-quality data. At the same time, too many registers and duplication of record keeping can overcomplicate data collection systems, frustrate staff responsible for completing those registers and reduce providers’ capacity to deliver care for patients.\(^{36-38}\) In addition, high-quality routine data allow for continuity of care, assessment of treatment compliance and targeting of appropriate interventions when treatment results are suboptimal. Ideally, record-keeping systems would be simple to use, require little time to complete and be kept as up to date as possible.

While having good data at primary healthcare (PHC) clinics is essential for patient care, there is little documentation on how data are collected and stored within health facilities, how much variation is. We sought to describe the registers,
Methods
Between 2015 and 2018, we conducted a study to evaluate the effectiveness of SA’s National Department of Health (NDoH)’s National Adherence Guidelines for Chronic Diseases (HIV, TB and non-communicable diseases)\textsuperscript{(5-13)}. The guideline recommends a number of interventions to improve retention in care and adherence to therapy for all chronic conditions, with a focus on HIV care. The evaluation had a matched cluster randomised design involving 24 clinics in 4 provinces in SA (Gauteng, Limpopo, North West and KwaZulu-Natal). Six clinics within 1 district in each of the 4 provinces were chosen that had >1 000 current ART patients, had no backlog on the electronic HIV patient database TIER.Net (Three Integrated Electronic Registers), and were not National Health Insurance pilot sites, or in any other adherence-related studies or pilots. A TIER. Net backlog was defined as more than 1 day behind in the capture of patient files. Results describing these outcomes have been presented elsewhere\textsuperscript{(11-13)}.

Since the evaluation was based on routine data, it relied on a certain completeness and quality of data from multiple routine systems. Additionally, the evaluation team wanted to avoid all contact with patients, as any attempt to interact with them could potentially influence patient retention in care. It was therefore important to understand the clinics’ data flow and the presence and status of registers, clinical stationery and databases. In order to achieve this, we worked with each of the 24 clinics during the preparation phase of the evaluation, and assessed the diversity and quality of record-keeping systems during October to December 2015. We compiled a list of all registers, clinical stationery and databases that the sites used to track patient care and report on patient volume and outcomes. Based on those assessments, clinic-specific data enhancement plans were made so that the study could proceed. The plans intended to improve the quality of data collection at each site to ensure that adequate routine data would be available for the evaluation to enroll the correct patients and determine intervention outcomes.

We report here on those baseline assessments, to provide a full understanding of the diversity of registers and clinical stationery and variation in the ways that those registers and documents are used. Because our work was focused on four conditions (HIV, tuberculosis (TB), hypertension and diabetes), we focused on registers used to track patients for these conditions and for general clinic operations. We did not review registers and clinical stationery related to pregnant women and children, as they were not eligible for the study. Below we describe the registers and clinical stationery that we encountered, and their primary uses. To identify the diversity of registers, stationery and databases, we noted which were used at each site, and noted any site-specific registers. For site-specific registers, we determined the reasons for developing and using those registers, and documented any gaps where important information was not recorded. Non-standard registers are those that differ across facilities and have been developed either by non-governmental organisation support partners or by the site staff themselves for the purposes of monitoring and reporting, to address gaps not covered by the standardised stationery. It was not a requirement that the operational manager had approved the register for it to be included in this assessment. We also looked for overlap between the registers to identify areas where they could be streamlined in order to provide more efficient record keeping. We assessed the completeness and the quality of each register, stationery file and database. We then looked for variations in the way each register or stationery file was interpreted and used within sites to better understand how sites used the data they collected. Finally, we mapped the ideal flow of data through a health facility to better understand how data collection works, and summarised the basic flow, documenting the use of paper registers, non-standard registers, electronic databases and clinical stationery.

Results
Care within clinics is generally divided into chronic care (including HIV and TB), acute/minor illness care and preventive care (maternal, child and women’s health (MCWH)). Information for HIV, TB, diabetes and hypertension patients was mainly found either in general chronic disease care registers (for diabetes and hypertension) or in disease-specific registers (for HIV and TB). We identified two main sources of information for tracking patients within a site: (i) registers and clinical stationery; and (ii) electronic databases. Below we discuss each of the data collection mediums and the diversity of those data sources.

Registers and clinic stationery
Facilities in SA use various paper registers to track vital information on patients within their clinics. Table 1 shows all the registers we identified at the site visits that were relevant to the four conditions. This list includes both standardised and non-standardised registers. Standardised registers are those developed by the NDoH and disseminated through national, provincial and district departments. These include a headcount register that captures the total number of patients seen on a daily basis, and a PHC comprehensive tick register that allows tracking of all healthcare services in acute, chronic and MCWH consulting rooms. These two registers are the main source for identifying the volume of services provided by the clinics, and are where much of the data on patients with conditions other than HIV and TB are recorded. HIV and TB both had a number of specific registers that tracked and reported on specific aspects of care (e.g. pre-ART v. ART care, and suspected TB v. a TB register for care of TB patients). We also noted that sites rely heavily on the lab specimen book to understand which specimens have been collected. This book records all specimens sent for processing at the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS), SA’s provider of laboratory services for the public sector. Examples of non-standardised registers included (i) HIV counselling session registers; (ii) patient tracing registers for patients who miss visits; and (iii) registers for patients who received targeted interventions such as those in the adherence guidelines (e.g. adherence club registers). The purposes of these registers vary: some are used for individual tracking (ensuring that patients are not lost or receive the care they should), while others are designed for aggregate reporting.

In addition to the registers, standard stationery was placed inside patient file folders to record patient specific information and more detailed visit information. We identified specific stationery for: (i) HIV patients on treatment; (ii) chronic (non-HIV) patients; and (iii) TB screening and treatment patients. Sites also had non-standard appointment cards that patients were given with reminders for next appointments.

Databases
As with the registers and stationery, we found diversity in the electronic databases being used to capture information on patients, as shown in Table 2. The exception to this was the TIER.Net electronic HIV patient database. This system is used by all clinics to compile
| Record | Description and programmatic purpose | Quality of completion | Usefulness of each system for evaluation purposes |
|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Database | TIER.Net | Designed and launched in 2011 to replace three paper registers for HIV patients (child register, adult register and transfer in record). Software application that captures demographic information, outcomes and HIV-related medication and laboratory results for each patient visit. At a programmatic level, TIER.Net is used to monitor patients and identify patients for tracing and for reporting purposes, among other purposes. In the four districts in which this study was conducted, the system is not networked beyond the facility. | Varies. Can sometimes be subject to data capture backlog depending on resources at the site. However, it is accessible and includes high completeness and accuracy of vital information such as names, ART start date, baseline CD4, viral load results and outcomes. | As the most widespread and usually only available electronic system at the facility-level, this is invaluable for ART patient monitoring. Data from this system can be used to identify eligible patients and follow them for outcomes including retention and viral suppression. As the system implements the HCT, pre-ART and TB modules of TIER.Net, the usefulness should increase. |
| eHealth (Ekurhuleni District) | Software application that captures basic information on all patients attending clinics in Ekurhuleni district, Gauteng. Provides electronic registration of patients when they attend a PHC clinic, and when networked should allow tracking between facilities within Ekurhuleni. The system was being piloted in 40 facilities in Ekurhuleni, including 5 of the 6 evaluation sites. | Not assessed. | The potential usefulness is great, as it can track patients across facilities. |
| Demographic and Health Information System (DHIS) | Nationwide software platform used for monitoring and evaluating health programmes. Data are aggregated at the clinic level. | Mixed data quality. | In the absence of other sources, the DHIS provides data of the number of patients and services provided across all of South Africa’s >3 800 public sector service points. |
| LABTRAK (NHLS) | Web-based interface to access NHLS results for individual patients. Provides real-time feedback to the site on available national-level lab results from the NHLS direct to a smartphone or computer for manual entry into TIER.Net. A main benefit of the system is that it allows healthcare providers to access lab results that are not already in the patient file. Can also be used to identify patients who have received HIV care and related laboratory tests elsewhere, and so can be a useful tool from a clinical perspective to identify those who are disengaging and re-engaging with care. | Quality has not been assessed by the team directly, but is reported to be of high quality. | Useful to document lab results that may not have been delivered via the courier for study purposes. If a nurse has accessed NHLS results via LABTRAK, then the results should be documented on the patient stationery, though this may not always happen. |
| Standard register | Headcount register | Paper register designed to capture the number of patients who walk through the door for health services. Includes space for name and whether the patient is <5 or ≥5 years, the two data elements that are required for total PHC headcount. | High. | Very limited, as the register only includes names and date of birth or age. |

...continued
Table 1. (continued) Description of databases, registers and clinical stationery in use at facilities, October - December 2015

| Record                                      | Description and programmatic purpose                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Quality of completion                                                                 | Usefulness of each system for evaluation purposes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Primary healthcare (PHC) comprehensive tick register | Register to capture PHC services delivered in acute, chronic and maternal/child's consulting rooms. More than 60 data elements are included. Register was updated in July 2015 through the rationalisation of registers process. This led to a reduction in non-standard and standard registers. | Varied. The major gap has been incomplete folder numbers for each patient. Additionally, the register was not always accurately completed. For example, a tick in the treatment initiated column when the visit was for a repeat prescription. | A useful means to quickly identify patients who were screened, diagnosed and treated for hypertension and diabetes.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Daily clinic register                        | The corresponding KwaZulu-Natal register for the PHC tick register (with fewer data fields).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Inconsistent recording of folder number is problematic.                               | Limited in terms of screening and diagnosis of diabetes and hypertension. A potential tool to understand linkages between HIV testing and ART.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| HCT register                                | Captures monthly HIV testing. In some facilities this has been retired as the PHC tick register captures the minimum data elements for reporting purposes of HCT.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reasonable overall, but very limited in terms of completion of baseline CD4.         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Pre-ART register                            | A paper register to capture visit data for HIV-positive patients who have not yet initiated ART. Being replaced by the pre-ART module within TIER.Net.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Extremely low.                                                                      | Not useful owing to limited completeness.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| TB sputum register (aka TB suspect register) | Captures testing for TB after screening and before TB treatment. Does not have a linking identifier besides name, gender and date of birth.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | High.                                                                              | Essential to track TB diagnosis and treatment experience.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| TB register                                 | A paper register recorded in triplicate/carbon copies to capture the treatment history of TB positive patients.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | High.                                                                              | Essential for tracking TB treatment experience.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Notification of medical condition (TB)      | Used to document the notification of the Department of Health when a notifiable medical condition is identified at a health facility.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Not assessed.                                                                      | Not useful as the TB registers would be the preferred source of TB documentation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| NHLS lab specimen shipping book             | A register to capture and track specimens for laboratory tests sent outside the facilities for lab testing.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Not assessed.                                                                      | Useful document for verifying whether specimens have been taken and whether all lab results are received and recorded in the patient files.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Non-standard registers (differ across facilities) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Counselling session register                | Register for counselling sessions for ART patients captured by lay counsellors.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Varied.                                                                            | To be determined. New adherence guidelines standard operating procedures have provided standard registers for this area.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Tracing register                            | Register of ART patients who have missed a visit and who are eligible for tracing efforts. Information is captured by nurses, ward-based outreach team leaders or lay counsellors.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Varied – inconsistent approaches and formats across facilities.                   | Potentially invaluable to understand tracing success; however, not useful currently because not well completed. New registers and tracing documents are currently being implemented. A standard and supported register is required to achieve study usefulness.                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Adherence club register                     | Register for, primarily, ART patients in adherence clubs. Completed by either a nurse or lay counsellor leading the adherence club. Can include patients who are not HIV-positive, including those with chronic conditions such as diabetes, hypertension and asthma.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Varied.                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |

...continued
Table 1. (continued) Description of databases, registers and clinical stationery in use at facilities, October – December 2015

| Record | Description and programmatic purpose | Quality of completion | Usefulness of each system for evaluation purposes |
|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) register | Register to capture IPT. TIER.Net also has the fields to capture the necessary information in this register. | Not assessed. | Limited. |
| Chronic register | Register to capture hypertension, diabetes, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, epilepsy and other chronic conditions. Retired in July 2015, but still in use in some facilities, especially KwaZulu-Natal Province. | Varied. Unfortunately, being widely phased out. | A useful means to quickly identify patients who were screened, diagnoses and treated for hypertension and diabetes. |
| Cryptococcal test register | Register used to capture cryptococcal test results. | Not assessed. | Limited. |

Standard stationery within patient files

| HIV patients on treatment | Standard clinical stationery to capture ART treatment experience. Includes three components: ART patient record (primarily with baseline information), visit summary and patient-held card (a card the patient takes home and brings to the clinic at each visit). The ART patient record is a four-page booklet and the visit summary includes space for six visits. Standardised since 2011 and used countrywide. | High. There are some data gaps in terms of viral load recording, a major concern, as well as completion of the social assistance areas that may affect understanding of adherence. | Highly useful as provides a clear picture to understand the ART treatment experience. |
| Chronic patient record | Two-sided form that records the treatment process of hypertension, diabetes, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, epilepsy and other chronic conditions. Stays in the patient folder. Currently at least two versions. One only widely in used in Ekurhuleni. Not present in other districts. | Varied. Unfortunately, not widely available. | As this is only available in Ekurhuleni, the form needs to be made available widely to increase usefulness. |
| TB treatment record | An eight-page booklet that includes record of TB treatment. Outside blue and inner pages white. Remains in the patient folder, although sometimes stands alone as the patient folder. | High. | Highly useful as provides a clear picture to understand the TB treatment experience. |
| TB screening tool | Single-page form that is completed and put in the patient folder. A carbon copy remains in the screening book. | When completed, quality is high. | Not useful as frequently not completed. |
| Appointment/carrier cards | Multiple sets of carrier cards, also known as patient-held cards (small cards with next appointment dates). | Inconsistent. | Low because these are kept by the patient. |

ART = antiretroviral therapy; HCT = HIV counselling and testing; PHC = primary healthcare; DHIS = District Health Information System; NHLS = National Health Laboratory Service; TB = tuberculosis.
Table 2. Databases, registers and clinical stationery in use at each of the 24 evaluation sites as of October - December 2015

| Clinic          | Ekurhuleni, Gauteng | Mopani, Limpopo | Bojanala, North West | uThungulu, KwaZulu-Natal |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
|                 | GP site 1 | GP site 2 | GP site 3 | GP site 4 | GP site 5 | LP site 1 | LP site 2 | LP site 3 | LP site 4 | LP site 5 | LP site 6 | NW site 1 | NW site 2 | NW site 3 | NW site 4 | NW site 5 | NW site 6 | KZN site 1 | KZN site 2 | KZN site 3 | KZN site 4 | KZN site 5 | KZN site 6 |
| Database        | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| TIER.Net        | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| eHealth (Ekurhuleni District) | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| DHIS            | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| LABTRAK (NHLS)  | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Standard register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Headcount register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| PHC comprehensive tick register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Daily clinic register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| HCT counselling and testing register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Pre-ART register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| TB register     | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Sputum register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| (TB patients)   | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Notification of medical condition (TB) | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| NHLS specimen   | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Shipping list book | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Non-standard register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Counselling     | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Session register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Tracing register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Adherence club register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Chronic register | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Standard stationery within patient files | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| HIV patients on treatment | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Chronic patient record | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| TB treatment record | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| TB screening tool | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |
| Appointment/carrier cards | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x |

GP = Gauteng Province; LP = Limpopo Province; NW = North West Province; KZN = KwaZulu-Natal Province; DHIS = District Health Information System; NHLS = National Health Laboratory Service; PHC = primary healthcare; HCT = HIV counselling and testing; ART = antiretroviral therapy; TB = tuberculosis.
As Table 1 indicates, we assessed the quality of the TIER.Net and DHIS databases only. The TIER.Net system is easily accessible and has high-quality information on some of the key variables for tracking HIV patient care, such as ART start date, CD4 counts and viral load results. The DHIS was of mixed quality because its quality is dependent upon the quality of the aggregated data fed into the DHIS data collection system.

Gaps in recording information
Table 1 also describes the quality of completion of each system and the usefulness of each system or document in terms of being able to follow patient information. We found that the TB register and TB sputum register and the headcount register were of high quality at nearly all sites. The quality of the PHC comprehensive tick register was varied, with the biggest problem being the fact that the folder numbers were not consistently recorded. This meant that individual records for patients listed in the tick register cannot always be identified within the clinic. Of note, the pre-ART register was of poor quality, as data on HIV patients were largely not collected until a patient began HIV treatment. The non-standard registers were also of varied quality. Encouragingly, the quality of information completion on patient stationery was generally high, with only the chronic patient record being considered of varied quality.

One of the biggest insights from our baseline assessment was that not all clinics used all the standardised registers, and for those that did, they were kept in various degrees of completeness (Table 2). Of note, the headcount register was only used at three sites, while the PHC comprehensive tick register was in use in all sites in three of the provinces, but was not used at all in KwaZulu-Natal Province. The HIV counselling and testing register, TB register and TB sputum registers were used in most sites, though only in KwaZulu-Natal and Limpopo provinces were these three registers used in all sites. The remaining standard registers were used sporadically, with the daily clinic register and the pre-ART register only being used in KwaZulu-Natal. As would be expected, there was little pattern of how and where the non-standard registers were used. Counselling session registers and tracing registers were most often used, but no province had all sites using all of the registers. In terms of patient stationery, all sites used the HIV patient record and the TB treatment record, while the chronic patient record was used in all provinces except Limpopo, and the TB screening tool was used at every site in Gauteng, but only in three other sites outside Gauteng.

While the registers described above allow for capturing the majority of information needed to track patients across the HIV and chronic disease care continuum, we identified some important gaps in what was captured. One key piece of data that was incompletely captured was the national ID number. Across all 24 study facilities, about 42% of active patients on TIER.Net had their SA ID number recorded. Of all TIER.Net records across the 24 facilities (105307), 23% were active and recorded ID number. North West and Gauteng provinces had the lowest completion rate of active patients, with North West facilities recording <25%. This may be due to differing numbers of patients with national IDs at the sites (as non-South Africans would not have an ID). Lack of national ID number poses multiple challenges, such as difficulty in tracking patients transferring to a different clinic, in linking lab results to mobile patients, and cross-referencing mortality with the national death index, among others.

Ideal data flow
Given the numbers of registers, stationery and clinical databases, it is essential to understand how the data are generated and registers are completed. Fig. 1 demonstrates the ideal flow of data through a health facility. The general flow begins when the patient arrives: (s)he checks into reception, is counted in the headcount register and a file is pulled (existing patients) or created (new patients). The patient then moves to the vitals station where weight and blood pressure are measured, and then queues to be seen by a clinician. Acute patient data are recorded in the patient file and the PHC tick register (or similar daily clinic register in KwaZulu-Natal). If applicable, standard stationery (TB screening tool) and registers (HIV counselling and testing, TB suspect/sputum, NHLS specimen log) are completed. Chronic patient data are captured in the patient file and on disease-specific stationery (HIV patient stationery, TB treatment record, chronic patient record) and disease-specific registers (pre-ART, Adherence Club, TB treatment, chronic registers, isoniazid preventive therapy and counselling). Patients then return to reception to schedule another visit. After the visit is completed, all files are returned directly to the file room except for the files of HIV-positive patients, which are taken to a designated data capturer who enters visit information from the ART stationery and paper NHLS results in the electronic TIER.Net database. Since TIER.Net is the last step in the process, the quality of the information in the TIER.Net system is directly dependent on the quality of the recording in the stationery. The ART file is returned to the file room after entry into TIER.Net.

Discussion
There are multiple advantages to using routine data for health monitoring and evaluations, including non-interference with patient care, faster production of results, capitalising on investments already made in data systems and saving costs through minimal primary data collection. One of the disadvantages is to have less control over data quality and which indicators are measured when using secondary data. We therefore assessed the registers, clinical stationery and databases used to track patients through stages of care and the flow of data through PHC clinics in four provinces in SA. We determined the quality of each of those sources as part of an effort to strengthen data collection in preparation for a trial evaluating the effectiveness of a national adherence strategy being rolled out by SAs NDoH.

One of the key findings has been in identifying the diversity of methods of keeping data on patients within the various clinics. As part of a focus from the NDoH to reduce reporting burden on clinic staff by rationalising clinic registers, two changes were made: (i) the TIER.Net system was introduced to reduce the number of registers and digitise reporting for those in HIV care; and (ii) the PHC comprehensive tick register was introduced to capture PHC services delivered in acute, chronic, and MCWH consulting rooms. While all clinics were using the TIER.Net system to report data on patients in the HIV care system, we found that the HIV counselling and testing register was still in use at a majority of sites, while the pre-ART register was used at a minority of sites. The PHC comprehensive tick register was in use in three provinces, and in its place the daily clinic register was used in five out of six facilities in KwaZulu-Natal. Numerous other methods, such as non-standard registers in personal notebooks, were being used to track key pieces of information on patients. These were completed with varying degrees of accuracy and completeness at the different sites.

The process of engaging with the facilities led to a number of key insights. We learned how the data flows work in the clinics in order for data to go from patient interactions to a completed database. The current system requires duplicating information in the clinical stationery, paper register and/or electronic database. Though the registers have been rationalised at a national level, clinicians,
Fig. 1. Data flow process through a primary healthcare facility in South Africa for chronic care and HIV patients. (MCWH = maternal, child and women’s health; DHIS = District Health Information System; TB = tuberculosis; NCD = non-communicable disease; ART = antiretroviral therapy; IPT = isoniazid preventive therapy; NHLS = National Health Laboratory Services; LabTrack = LABTRAK; DoH = Department of Health.)
counsellors and data capturers still document information in multiple places, or have developed systems to meet their own record needs. Though the systems are not created for evaluation purposes, our findings are in line with conclusions reached by other researchers that the use of routine data sources for evaluation purposes is both inexpensive and convenient.[14,17]

Though completing unnecessary registers can be a burden on clinic staff, the presence of non-standard registers provides insight into gaps where recording information in a register is useful to the clinic staff or management in a way that was overlooked during that rationalisation process. We found that counsellors had created registers to document information on who had received counselling, and that outreach workers were using non-standard registers to track the tracing of clinic patients. This information could be used to inform future production of standard registers for these purposes.

As was the case for registers and databases, we found that the standard stationery for HIV and TB care was present and complete in the patient file at the majority of sites, but there was a lack of standard stationery for other chronic conditions. The chronic patient record is standard stationery that has been created for hypertension, diabetes and other chronic conditions. This stationery was in use at fewer facilities, and had varied quality, resulting in the potential for inconsistent recording of patient information and a lack of continuity in patient care.

Limitations exist for this description of registers. The parent study excluded pregnant women and children, and for that reason this article does not include records related to maternal or child health. Furthermore, we only collected information at a single point in time. After the study period, TIER.Net introduced a TB module, and the Health Patient Registration System (HPRS) expanded coverage. These, among other changes, are not included in this evaluation. Though there are limitations, we believe the study accurately represents the chronic and acute patient information tracking systems at the 24 PHC clinics across four provinces involved in this study.

Registers, databases and clinical stationery were not implemented consistently across the 24 clinics reviewed. For those considering using routinely collected data for research purposes, we would recommend a thorough review of clinic data collection systems for both quality and completeness, and potentially considering a specific period and support for data enhancement before considering them as reliable data sources for a research study.
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