An overview of acute hypertensive response following intracerebral hemorrhage: implications for clinical practice

Yasemin Akinci, Adnan I. Qureshi

Zeenat Qureshi Stroke Institute and Department of Neurology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65212, USA.

Correspondence to: Dr. Adnan I. Qureshi, Zeenat Qureshi Stroke Institute and Department of Neurology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65212, USA. E-mail: qureshai@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Akinci Y, Qureshi AI. An overview of acute hypertensive response following intracerebral hemorrhage: implications for clinical practice. Vessel Plus 2021;5:56. https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/2574-1209.2021.20

Received: 2 Feb 2021 First Decision: 17 Mar 2021 Revised: 19 Mar 2021 Accepted: 20 Apr 2021 Published: 8 Dec 2021

Abstract
The acute hypertensive response, elevated blood pressure in the acute phase of intracerebral hemorrhage, is very common and is associated with hematoma expansion, cerebral edema formation, intracranial pressure elevation, and poor long-term outcomes. This has led to the acceptance of antihypertensive treatment of acute hypertensive response as a mainstay of intracerebral hemorrhage management to reduce hematoma expansion and the associated disability and mortality. Intensive lowering of systolic blood pressure in the acute phase of intracerebral hemorrhage with varying intensity of systolic blood pressure reduction has been evaluated in several clinical trials. Some of these trials showed little benefit with intensive systolic blood pressure reduction compared with moderate or standard blood pressure reduction, while some showed no benefit and despite the large amount of literature, optimal approaches are not yet clear. In this review article, we summarized the current knowledge of the mechanisms underlying acute hypertensive response and the recent guidelines on the treatment of the acute hypertensive response provided by professional organizations and results of clinical trials and discussed incorporation of these concepts into clinical practice.

Keywords: Acute hypertensive response, intracerebral hemorrhage, hematoma expansion, blood pressure reduction, stroke
INTRODUCTION

Intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is leakage of blood into the brain parenchyma with or without extension of blood into the ventricles and/or the subarachnoid space, either spontaneously or as a result of trauma. Chronic arterial hypertension, cerebral amyloid angiopathy, bleeding diathesis (congenital, acquired, induced by anticoagulants or antithrombotics), tumors, cerebral venous thrombosis, vasculitis, hemorrhagic transformation of a recent ischemic stroke, vascular malformations, stimulant drugs (cocaine, amphetamine, and ecstasy) are etiological factors of spontaneous (also known as non-traumatic) ICH\[^1-4\]. To date, there have been no generally accepted criteria for the etiologic classification of spontaneous ICH, but since chronic arterial hypertension and cerebral amyloid angiopathy are the most common etiological factors, ICH associated with these two factors has been classified as primary ICH, while ICH attributed to other factors has been considered secondary\[^1-3,5\]. The focus of this review is primary ICH, which is believed to result from lipohyalinosis and degenerative changes of the small penetrating arteries associated with hypertension or amyloid angiopathy with the exception of secondary ICH associated with other causes\[^2\]. However, albeit at a low rate, patients receiving antiplatelets or anticoagulants were included in the clinical studies that we will examine in the following sections.

Primary ICH is commonly seen in the basal ganglia, thalamus, cerebral lobes, brain stem (predominantly pons), and cerebellum\[^9\]. ICH is a multiple-stage dynamic condition including initial extravasation of blood into the parenchyma, followed by bleeding around the clot causing hematoma expansion, and edema or tissue swelling around the hemorrhage caused by pro-osmotic substances released from the acute hematoma\[^1,2\]. The subsequent hematoma expansion after initial ictus, which occurs with a highest rate within the first 3 h from symptom onset and stabilizes within the first 24 h, has been well documented by repeated brain imaging techniques in many studies and is associated with neurological deterioration, poor functional outcomes and increased mortality\[^6\]. Previous data indicate that every 1 mL growth in hematoma can increase the risk of death or disability by 7\%\[^7\]. The mechanism of hematoma expansion that therapeutic interventions are focused on, is not yet clearly understood but is likely related to inflammatory cascade upregulation resulting in imbalance in hemostatic mechanisms and increased expression of matrix metalloproteinases causing disruption of physical support by the endothelial basement membrane, stretching and microscopic rupture of surrounding vessels due to the mass effect of the clot, and intracranial pressure (ICP) causing disruption of venous outflow and subsequent vascular engorgement\[^1\]. In addition to these alleged mechanisms, elevated systolic blood pressure (SBP), termed as acute hypertensive response, has been shown to increase both hematoma expansion and perihematomal edema in acute ICH patients at the time of hematoma and is a strong, independent predictor of mortality and disability\[^8-12\]. This has led to the blood pressure (BP) control, which is considered as an important treatment strategy for the prevention of ICH, also being topics of extensive studies evaluating the reduction of perihematomal edema, prevention of hematoma expansion and following mortality and disability in acute ICH patients\[^13,14\].

ACUTE HYPERTENSIVE RESPONSE

Acute hypertensive response is defined as elevation of SBP above normal (≥ 140 mmHg) and premorbid values that occurs in the first 24 h after symptom onset in patients with ICH\[^6\]. This highly prevalent systemic response (which occurs in almost 75\% of ICH patients, regardless of prior history of hypertension), is self-limiting with spontaneous reduction within few days\[^15\]. Chronic hypertension is the most important risk factor for spontaneous ICH\[^16\]. Due to higher prevalence on the basis of premorbid hypertension, it can be assumed that in at least a proportion of patients, the acute hypertensive response is a reflection of poorly treated or undetected chronic hypertension, but spontaneous decrease of initial BP over the next few days in most patients suggests that other mechanisms associated with stroke itself are responsible. Proposed underlying causes of acute hypertensive response include:
(1) Transient or permanent damage to the widely distributed areas responsible for BP regulation including prefrontal cortex, insula, amygdala, hypothalamus, cingulate cortex, and brainstem (particularly ventrolateral medulla and nucleus tractus solitarius)\[^6\].

(2) Autonomic dysregulation caused by increased sympathetic activity due to subsequent release of renin and vasoconstriction of arterioles results from direct damage to inhibitory or modulatory brain regions, or indirect effects of decreased parasympathetic activity leading to reduced baroreceptor sensitivity and ultimately significant BP variability\[^6\].

(3) Raised ICP (especially with brainstem compression) due to acutely increased intracranial volume and reduced intracranial compliance (Cushing’s reflex)\[^17\].

(4) Raised levels of circulating catecholamines and inflammatory cytokines due to stress related to hospitalization, white coat hypertension, pain, urinary retention, and concomitant infection\[^17\].

This phenomenon is not specific to ICH, but is associated with all stroke subtypes most likely with differences in underlying pathophysiology, but among stroke subtypes the prevalence of severe hypertension is higher in acute ICH patients (in one study, the proportion of patients with initial SBP ≥ 140 mmHg was 67% in ischemic stroke patients vs. 75% in ICH patients)\[^15\]. This difference is not only significant in prevalence but also in severity. The Oxford Vascular Study showed that despite similar rates of chronic hypertension history, the difference between the mean first SBP after stroke onset and the most recent pre-stroke reading was markedly greater in ICH patients than in ischemic stroke patients (mean 43.5 mmHg vs. mean 17.9 mmHg) and acute-phase SBP was much closer to the usual long-term premorbid values in major ischemic stroke patients\[^18\].

High diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (≥ 90 mmHg) has also been documented in patients with acute ICH (found to occur in 23.6% of patients admitted with ICH). However, as the available data do not indicate a clear association between DBP and hematoma expansion, SBP is preferably used to describe the acute hypertensive response\[^15,19\].

**MECHANISMS UNDERLYING ACUTE HYPERTENSIVE RESPONSE RELATED INJURY**

How does acute hypertensive response increase the hematoma expansion? Probably one of the most probable explanation is that higher systemic BP causes a predisposition to continued intraparenchymal bleeding and perihematomal edema by transmitting higher hydrostatic pressure to damaged small arteries. Furthermore, the fact that treatment with hemostatic agents such as intravenous (IV) recombinant activated Factor VII (rfVIIa) reduces the hematoma expansion rate suggests that there may be additional interaction between high SBP and the hemostatic system\[^20\]. It has been shown that the renin angiotensin system (RAS), which is a peptide hormone system involved in BP regulation and blood volume homeostasis in the circulation with increased activity in the hypertensive state, acts as a local paracrine system in the brain\[^21\]. The systemic and tissue RAS activity increases in hypertensive state\[^22\]. Angiotensin II (Ang II), a powerful vasoconstrictor regulated by RAS that increases BP and cerebral blood flow (CBF), increases venous thrombus formation and exert prothrombotic activity in an experimental model of arterial thrombosis, possibly due to the fibrinolysis inhibition\[^22,23\]. Despite the increased level of Ang II with prothrombotic effect, the question of how the hematoma size increases in patients with hypertensive ICH is waiting to be answered. Increased BP and increased CBF due to the effect of Ang II may impede the hemostasis\[^15\]. Ang II-mediated oxidative stress and activation of matrix metalloproteases in the cerebral vessels in the hemorrhage area may also contribute to hematoma expansion\[^24,25\].
In addition to aggravating primary brain injury by causing increased hematoma, elevated BP also influences secondary brain injury through affecting brain swelling, inflammation, apoptosis and necrosis. RAS inhibition has been reported to reduce inflammation, oxidative stress, and infarct volume, modulate nitric oxide synthase isoformes in animal stroke models, and improve functional outcome in stroke patients[12]. Stimulation of brain and cerebrovascular Ang II systems in rats has been shown to increase micro vessel permeability, and expression of proinflammatory factors and contribute to vasoconstriction[26]. Angiotensin II type 1 receptors (Ang II AT1) in neurons, astrocytes, microglia, and brain microvascular endothelial cells are likely to play a role in inflammatory response modulation in hypertensive state[27-29]. Long-term brain Ang II AT1 receptor blockade protects the brain from the pathological effects of stress and from ischemia, partly through peripheral anti-inflammatory effects[30]. Evidence suggests that elevated systemic BP can increase norepinephrine concentration in the subfornix[31]. Norepinephrine acts at beta-adrenoreceptors and selective beta-adrenoreceptor 1 antagonists have been shown to provide neuroprotective effects in ischemic stroke models[32,33].

RECONSIDERING THE DEFINITION OF ACUTE HYPERTENSIVE RESPONSE

In a rat model of collagenase-induced ICH study, an ultra-acute elevation in SBP following induction of ICH has been observed in both normotensive rats and rats with renovascular hypertension (RVHT) [mean arterial pressure (MAP) in RVHT rats increased from 131.9 ± 12.6 mmHg to 138.9 mmHg; in normotensive rats increased from 85.7 ± 11.5 mmHg to 89.5 mmHg at 30 min after ICH] and elevated SBP increased hematoma volume, brain edema, and perihematomal apoptosis[12]. However, the hematoma volume was 60% greater in RVHT rats and correlated with more severe neurological deficits at three weeks. Additionally, the increase in heart rate observed during the hyperacute phase in normotensive rats was not observed in RVHT rats, probably due to an altered cardiovascular response.

In another experimental study with rodents, researchers compared the amount of bleeding that chronic hypertensive and normotensive rats experienced after brain surgery while the BP values of the subjects are kept at the presurgical levels[34]. Comparison of normotensive, acutely hypertensive, spontaneously hypertensive (antihypertensive treatment given and not given) rats showed that only the hematoma volume of acutely hypertensive rats was larger. These findings were thought to provide evidence that the brains of spontaneously hypertensive rats are presumably protected against excessive bleeding due to increased resistance in large cerebral arteries resulting in reduced cerebral intravascular pressure.

Acute hypertensive response is defined as “SBP ≥ 140 mmHg” consistent with the 2003 World Health Organization/International Society of Hypertension statement but, is it appropriate to use this definition in both patients with and without chronic hypertension[35]? Should the diagnosis and treatment criteria for acute hypertensive response be the same in two patients with ICH of the same size and location, one with chronic hypertension and the other without, represented by the same arterial BP value or the same amount of increase in pre-morbid BP values? From the animal experiments mentioned above, we can easily deduce that the outcome of these two patients will be different even with the same treatment. However, it seems quite difficult to classify patients in this way in randomized studies. Since hypertension can be asymptomatic for years, it is nearly impossible to predict whether the hypertension detected in an ICH patient at the time of presentation without a history of chronic hypertension is the cause, the result or a contributing factor, except for a few clues (patients over 45 years of age; the observation of left ventricular hypertrophy by electrocardiogram, and cardiomegaly by chest radiography; retinal changes; the observation of homogeneous, oval or round smooth-looking hematomas, surrounded by a thin rim of edema that can expand for a few days, with periventricular white matter and the protuberance involvement in neuroimaging suggest that the ICH is secondary to hypertension-related angiopathy)[16,36]. However,
considering that the most common cause of ICH is chronic hypertension, we can infer that previous studies mostly examined patients who developed ICH on the basis of chronic hypertension.

Apart from not considering history of previous hypertension, there is also the problem that the definition “SBP ≥ 140 mmHg” does not consider the relationship between the degree of pretreatment BP and outcome. Studies showed that the relationship between acute hypertensive response and hematoma enlargement and mortality is evident at higher SBPs. In the retrospective study by Dandapani et al.[37], patients with SBP > 196 mmHg on admission had a higher mortality rate than those with SBP ≤ 196 mmHg (44% vs. 18%). Similarly, according to the study by Kazui et al.[19], SBP ≥ 200 mmHg on admission independently predisposed to hematoma expansion. In the Intensive Blood Pressure Reduction in Acute Cerebral Haemorrhage trial (INTERACT), the most significant attenuation of hematoma expansion with early intensive BP treatment was observed in patients with SBP ≥ 181 mmHg on admission[38]. Thus, the definition “a SBP of ≥ 140 mmHg” may provide a uniform standard for measuring prevalence but does not define therapeutic thresholds for antihypertensive treatment[39,40].

MANAGEMENT OF ACUTE HYPERTENSIVE RESPONSE

Reducing increased BP following an ICH, which has been found to be associated with hematoma enlargement, perihematomal edema, and poor outcomes, has been recognized as a potential strategy[17]. On the other hand, due to the possibility that acute hypertension might be a protective response to increased ICP, there were concerns about the potential risk of worsening perihematomal ischaemia from aggressive early BP reduction especially in the setting of chronic hypertension, which raises the lower limit of the CBF autoregulation curve[40]. U- or J-shaped associations between BP levels and poor outcome have been demonstrated for ischemic stroke[40]. For ICH, the results were variable in different studies. A prospective study reported higher mortality rates in patients with SBP > 220 mmHg or < 120 mmHg compared with patients with SBP 141-160 mmHg[43]. In a retrospective chart review with 105 spontaneous ICH patients, rapid MAP decline within the first 24 h was associated with increased mortality[44]. However, in a brain oxygenation positron emission tomography study with 19 acute ICH patients, researchers found no evidence for ischemia in the periclot zone of hypoperfusion 5 to 22 h after the onset of bleeding[45]. Studies suggested that reduced metabolism (hibernation) and preserved autoregulation in the perihematoma region led to the tolerance of BP lowering[46,47]. In large phase III, multicentre, prospective, randomised, controlled trials, lowering of SBP to < 140 mmHg in the acute phase has been found safe[48,49]. However, the effect of BP lowering for special groups continues to be investigated in clinical trials. Antihypertensive Treatments for Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage in Patients with Cerebrovascular Stenosis (ATICHST) is a recent clinical trial designed to investigate the effects of intensive BP reduction (< 140 mmHg) in spontaneous ICH patients with cerebrovascular stenosis (constituting 20% to 54% of ICH patients)[50]. Patients with 30%-70% stenosis in the anterior or posterior circulation in computed tomography (CT) angiography and with a SBP between 150-220 mmHg will be included. With this ongoing study, it is aimed to understand whether intensive SBP lowering in the presence of cerebrovascular stenosis increases the risk of ischemia and infarction.

CURRENT GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ELEVATED BP IN SPONTANEOUS ICH

The main issues related to the management of acute hypertensive response can be considered to consist of treatment threshold, and time window, type of antihypertensive drug and routes of administration, and target BP. Which of these do the current guidelines clarify?
American Heart Association/American Stroke Association (AHA/ASA) made its last guidance update on spontaneous ICH in 2015. There were concerns that acute BP lowering may worsen cerebral injury based on the idea that ischaemic penumbra in the vicinity of hematoma may progress to permanent cerebral injury if BP is lowered quickly and too much but studies indicated that BP reduction decreases hematoma expansion without affecting perihematomal blood flow\(^51\)-\(^54\). Also, although it was argued for heterogeneity of used antihypertensive drugs, the INTERACT-2 showed that aggressive (target SBP < 140 mmHg within 1 h) BP lowering is feasible and safe and, moreover, it can be effective for improving better functional outcome compared with conservative BP lowering\(^49\). Therefore, unlike previous guidelines that were hesitant and cautious in aggressive BP lowering, the 2015 guidelines recommended acute lowering of SBP to 140 mmHg for patients presenting with SBP between 150-220 mmHg and without contraindication to acute BP treatment (class I; level of evidence A) and considering aggressive reduction of BP with a continuous IV infusion and frequent BP monitoring for those presenting with SBP > 220 mmHg (class IIb; level of evidence C). However, there were no specific recommendations regarding the treatment window and the type of antihypertensive agent and route of administration\(^39\).

According to European Stroke Initiative’s 2006 recommendations, the necessary BP target was different for those with and without previous history of hypertension and suggestions were hesitant and cautious in aggressive BP lowering as a hypertensive patient may not be able to maintain cerebral perfusion at a significantly lower SBP. If the patient has a history of hypertension and SBP > 180 mmHg or DBP > 105 mmHg, it was recommended to reduce BP to < 170/100 mmHg or MAP to < 125 mmHg, avoiding lowering pressure by more than 20% of MAP on admission (class IV; level C). For patients with unknown history of hypertension, if SBP > 160 mmHg or DBP > 95 mmHg, it was recommended to reduce BP to < 150/90 mmHg or MAP to < 100 mmHg, avoiding lowering pressure by more than 20% of MAP on admission (class IV; level C). It was also recommended to adapt thresholds to cerebral perfusion pressure > 70 mmHg (class IV; level C) and to use IV drugs for better control (good clinical practice)\(^55\). These recommendations were updated by European Stroke Organisation in 2014. Updated guidelines recommended intensive BP reduction (target SBP < 140 mmHg in < 1 h) in ICH within 6 h of onset without recommending a specific agent, noting that it is safe and may be superior to a SBP target of < 180 mmHg (quality of evidence moderate, strength of recommendation weak). No recommendation has been made regarding the lower limit of BP\(^40\). At the time of writing this article, “Management of Hypertension in Acute Ischemic Stroke and Intracerebral Hemorrhage” guideline was in development.

**BLOOD PRESSURE REDUCTION IN ACUTE ICH IN RANDOMIZED TRIALS AND META-ANALYSIS**

The debate about whether to treat hypertension in acute stroke patients started 36 years ago\(^56\). After 1997, the relationship between acute hypertensive response and hematoma expansion, which was not recommended to be treated due to perihematomal ischemia concerns, began to be elucidated, and moderate lowering of BP was recommended based on the case series results\(^57\). Since 2004, the effects of aggressive BP lowering on hematoma expansion and patient outcomes have continued to be investigated. Although we have some information about the safety of treatment of acute hypertensive response at the point we have reached in 36 years, we can state that our knowledge about the benefits of treatment, timing of treatment, medications that should be used, the treatment threshold and target BP is still insufficient. Randomized clinical trials (see Table 1) with variable antihypertensive agents, different theoropathic windows and thresholds, different sample sizes and different time frames to achieve target BP, and related subgroup analyzes and meta-analyzes make it difficult to reach a definite conclusion.
Table 1. Summary of randomized clinical trials evaluating treatment of acute hypertensive response in patients with intracerebral hemorrhage

| Opinion on early intensive antihypertensive therapy according to study results | Intensive BP lowering group | Conservative BP lowering |
|---|---|---|
| **Trial** | **Patients** | **Eligibility BP (mmHg)** | **Time frame for treatment** | **Number of patients (ICH)** | **Target BP (mmHg)** | **Time from onset to treatment** | **Time to achieve targeted BP** | **Number of patients (ICH)** | **Target BP (mmHg)** | **Time from onset to treatment** | **Time to achieve targeted BP** | **Primary outcome** | **Outcome intensity/active treatment group** | **Outcome standard/control group** |
| **Supported** | INTERACT² | ICH patients | SBP 150-220 | 6 h | 90 days | MAP < 110 | 3.2 ± 2.2 h | 163.5 ± 163.8 min | 21 | 110-130 | 3.2 ± 2.2 h | 87.1 ± 59.6 min | MAP < 140 | 240 min | Within 1 h in 42% of patients and within 6 h 66% of patients | < 180 | 280 min | Proportional change in hematoma volume at 24 h Mean proportional haematoma growth was 13.7% Mean proportional haematoma growth was 36.3% |
| **Neutral** | Koch et al. | ICH patients | MAP ≥ 110 | 8 h | 90 days | Labetalol, nicardipine, sodium nitroprusside | MAP < 110 | 3.2 ± 2.2 h | 163.5 ± 163.8 min | 21 | MAP < 110 | 3.2 ± 2.2 h | 87.1 ± 59.6 min | Clinical deterioration (NIHSS score drop ≥ 2 points) within the first 48 h. Hematoma enlargement at 24 h was a secondary endpoint 11.9 ± 29.7 percent average increase in ICH volume and 25.7 ± 45.5 in edema volume, no significant difference in early neurologic deterioration and clinical outcomes at 90 days 18.2 ± 46.8 percent average increase in ICH volume and 35.7 ± 48.7 in edema volume, no significant difference in early neurologic deterioration and clinical outcomes at 90 days |
| Study                                      | Design          | Population | SBP Target | Time 1 | SBP 1:2 | Time 2 | CBF 1:2 | Outcome 1:2 | Other Details |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------|
| Neutral                                  | ADAPT [59]      | ICH patients | > 150      | 24 h   | < 150   | 90 days | 7.83 (3.25-16.75) h | Within 2 h in 79% of patients | Perihematoma relative CBF 0.86 ± 0.12 |
| Supportive                               | INTERACT-2 [24] | ICH patients | 150-220    | 6 h    | < 140   | 90 days | 4 (2.9-5.1) h | Within 1h in 33.4% of patients | Death or disability by mRS (3-6) at three months post randomization |
| Supportive                               | Gong et al [66,67] | ICH patients | > 160      | 4 h    | 130-140 | 14 days | 2.24 ± 1.23 | 1 h | 160-180 | 2.13 ± 1.05 | 1 h | Hematoma expansion: 5 (8.33%) | Hematoma expansion: 14 (23.33%) | Hematoma expansion: 14 (23.33%) | NIHSS score: 6.28 ± 3.68 | NIHSS score: 7.82 ± 4.28 | Lower edema volume and serum MMP-9 levels after 5 and 14 days |
| Arguing/against                          | ATACH-2 [48,68] | ICH patients | 180-240    | 4.5 h  | 110-139 | 90 days | 182.2 ± 57.2 min | Within 2 h in 87.8% of patients | Death or disability by mRS 38.7% had a primary |
|                                           |                 |            |            |        |         |        |         |             |               | 37.7% had a primary |
diltiazem, uradipil, 

patients

patients

(4-6) at three months post randomization

outcome event

outcome event

Neutral

ATACH\(^{128,129}\)

ICH patients

SBP = 170

6 h

Nicardipine

90 ± 15 days

Cohort 1: 18 patients, target SBP of 170-200 mmHg
Cohort 2: 20 patients, target SBP of 140-170 mmHg
Cohort 3: 22 patients, target SBP of 110-140 mmHg
Mean time from symptom onset to initiating treatment was 3.94 h, 4.13 h and 4.44 h, respectively and the goals were achieved in 90% of the patients by 2 h

Treatment failure, neurologic deterioration within 24 h, and SAE within 72 h

Rates were 21% for hematoma expansion and 35% for poor three-month outcome

Neutral but, secondary analysis supported

ENOS\(^{64,65}\)

Ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke patients

SBP = 140-220

48 h

Transdermal glyceryl trinitrate

90 days

GTN group: 310 patients
No GTN group: 319 patients
Time from onset to treatment was 25.2 ± 13.1 h in the GTN group, 25.1 ± 12.9 h in the no GTN group
Baseline BP was 172/93 mmHg and significantly lower in GTN group (-7.5/-4.2 mmHg) on day 1

Mortality rate and mRS at 3 months post randomization

Mean mRS grade of 4.9 (±1.4)
Mean mRS grade of 4.3 (±1.6)

Arguing/ against

RIGHT-2\(^{10,11}\)

Ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke patients

SBP = 120

4 h

Transdermal glyceryl trinitrate

90 days

GTN group: 74 patients
Sham group: 71 patients
Median SBP was 176 ± 27 mmHg, mean time from onset to treatment was 74 (45-110) min, BP was lower in the GTN group by admission to hospital (mean, -4.4/-3.5 mmHg)

mRS at 90 days post randomization

Mean mRS grade of 4.9 (±1.4)
Mean mRS grade of 4.3 (±1.6)

ATACH: Antihypertensive Treatment of Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage; BP: blood pressure; CBF: cerebral blood flow; CT: computerized tomography; ENOS: efficacy of nitric oxide in stroke; ICH: intracerebral hemorrhage; ICH ADAPT: intracerebral hemorrhage acutely decreasing arterial pressure trial; INTERACT: Intensive Blood Pressure Reduction in Acute Cerebral Haemorrhage Trial; IQR: interquartile range; MAP: mean arterial pressure; MMP-9: matrix metalloproteinase-9; mRS: modified Rankin scale; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; RIGHT: Rapid Intervention with Glyceryl Trinitrate in Hypertensive Stroke Trial; SAE: serious adverse event; SBP: systolic blood pressure.

Koch et al.\(^{51}\), 2008

Koch et al.\(^{51}\) investigated the feasibility and safety of aggressive BP lowering in this prospective, randomized, single-center study. They used MAP instead of SBP in line with AHA guidelines at the time of the study. Forty-two patients with ICH, within 8 h of onset, with elevated MAP (≥ 110 mmHg) were randomized to standard treatment (target MAP 110-130 mmHg, n = 21) or aggressive treatment (target MAP < 110 mmHg). Baseline characteristics were similar except that the ICH and edema volumes were larger in the aggressive BP group. IV labetalol, nicardipine, or sodium nitroprussid was used and target BP was obtained within 87.1 ± 59.6 min in the standard treatment group and 163.5 ± 163.8 min in the aggressive BP treatment group. No significant differences were found between two groups in terms of hematoma and edema growth, early neurological deterioration, and clinical outcome at 90 days.
INTERACT, 2008
This pilot trial compared the effect of BP lowering on haematoma expansion\(^{38}\). Four hundred and four ICH patients with high SBP (150-220 mmHg) were randomized to an intensive treatment group (target SBP < 140 mmHg; \(n = 203\)) and a guideline-based BP treatment group (target SBP < 180 mmHg; \(n = 201\)) within 6 h of symptom onset. The lower limit of SBP required for discontinuation of antihypertensive treatment was 130 mmHg. Variable antihypertensive agents were used in the study. In the intensive treatment group, target SBP of 140 mmHg was achieved in 42% of patients within 1 h and 66% of patients within 6 h. Intensive BP reduction resulted in less increase in haematoma volume (absolute risk reduction: 8%) and was found clinically feasible and well tolerated.

ATACH, 2010
In the Antihypertensive Treatment of Acute Cerebral Hemorrhage (ATACH) trial treatment failure, early neurologic deterioration, and serious adverse event (SAE) within 72 h of the groups with target SBP of 170-200 mmHg, 140-170 mmHg and 110-140 mmHg were investigated\(^{58}\). Sixty ICH patients, within first 6 h after symptom onset, with SBP \(\geq 170\) mmHg were included and IV nicardipine was used. The average duration from symptom onset to start of treatment was 3.94 h, 4.13 h and 4.44 h, respectively. In 90% of patients, SBP targets were achieved within 2 h. Neurological deterioration and SAE rates were lower than expected in each group according to pre-determined thresholds. The magnitude of SBP reduction was associated with attenuation of haematoma enlargement and poor three-month outcomes (the rates were 21% vs. 31% for haematoma enlargement, and 35% vs. 48% for poor three-month outcomes with SBP reduction of > 54 mmHg compared with \(\leq 54\) mmHg at 2 h)\(^{54}\).

ICH ADAPT, 2013
The Intracerebral Hemorrhage Acutely Decreasing Arterial Pressure Trial (ICH ADAPT) tested whether BP reduction in acute ICH patients affected CBF. ICH patients within 24 h of symptom onset, with SBP > 150 mmHg were randomized to a treatment group targeting a SBP of < 150 mmHg (\(n = 39\)) or < 180 mmHg (\(n = 36\)). Labetalol, hydralazine and enalapril were used. Despite similar initial SBP, mean SBP after 2 h was significantly lower in the intensive treatment group (140 ± 19 mmHg vs. 162 ± 12 mmHg). Perihematomal relative CBF was similar in both groups. Although the study was underpowered to compare these events, ICH volume growth, mortality, and disability scores were all similar between two groups\(^{59}\).

INTERACT-2, 2013
In the INTERACT-2 trial, ICH patients with SBP 150-220 mmHg were randomized to intensive BP treatment (target SBP < 140 mmHg; \(n = 1399\)) or conservative BP treatment group (target SBP < 180 mmHg; \(n = 1430\)). Patients were within 6 h of onset and average time between symptom onset and treatment initiation was 4 (2.9-5.1) h and 4.5 (3-7) h, in the intensive and conservative treatment groups, respectively\(^{49}\). In the intensive treatment group, target SBP was not achieved in the 66.6% of patients within 1 h. Intensive BP reduction was safe but did not cause a statistical significance in the major disability or death rate, but a secondary analysis of ordinal modified Rankin Scale (mRS) showed that intensive BP reduction led to better physical functional outcomes compared with conservative treatment.

In the CT substudy, which included 964 (40%) patients of INTERACT-2, baseline and 24 ± 3-h CT images of the brain were analyzed\(^{60}\). Results showed that intensive SBP reduction (target SBP < 140 mmHg) was achieved within 1 h and consistently maintained, and protected against haematoma enlargement for 24 h. Of 491 patients randomized to intensive BP reduction, the least haematoma expansion occurring in patients reached target SBP early (\(\leq 1\) h, 2.6 mL; 1 to 6 h, 4.7 mL and > 6 h, 5.4 mL). Greater reduction in SBP resulted in less haematoma expansion (for < 10 mmHg, 13.3 mL; 10-20 mmHg, 5.0 mL and \(\geq 20\) mmHg, 3.0 mL).
In the follow-up analysis of Wang et al., effects of SBP reduction in terms of death or major disability at 90 days over three time periods (15-60 min, 1-24 h, and 2-7 days) after randomization were analyzed. Optimal recovery was associated with the greatest reduction in SBP (≥ 20 mmHg) achieved in the first hour and sustained for 7 days.

In another follow-up analysis, intensive BP reduction found to be beneficial on physical function at 90 days across a wide range of initial SBP levels (< 160, 160-169, 170-179, 180-189, and ≥ 190 mmHg) and SBP level of 130-139 mmHg provided maximum benefit. Compared with achieved SBP of 130 mmHg, adjusted ORs for poor outcome were 1.21 at 140 mmHg, 1.42 at 150 mmHg, 1.57 at 160 mmHg, 2.19 at 170 mmHg, and 3.04 at 180 mmHg. Death or major disability rate was slightly increased at 120 mmHg compared with 130 mmHg.

Meta-analysis of Koch et al., INTERACT, ICH ADAPT, INTERACT-2, 2014
This meta-analysis including 3315 acute ICH patients, indicated that intensive BP lowering (target SBP < 150 mmHg or MAP < 110 mmHg) was safe. Mortality rates were similar between intensive and guideline BP treatment groups. Intensive BP reduction lowered 3-month death and dependency (mRS grades 3-6) and absolute hematoma growth at 24 h.

ENOS, 2015
In the Efficacy of Nitric Oxide in Stroke Trial (ENOS), 4011 stroke patients (ischemic or hemorrhagic,) with SBP 140-220 mmHg, within 48 h of symptom onset were randomized to transdermal glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) treatment (n = 1993) or no GTN (n = 2002) for one week. The average time of randomization was 26 h. Transdermal GTN lowered BP and was found to be safe and potentially beneficial if started within 6 h of stroke onset. However, the distribution of mRS between two groups did not differ. Subgroup analysis of 629 ICH patients with a mean baseline BP of 172.1/93.4 mmHg showed no difference in the mRS and in the rates of SAEs between GTN (n = 310) and no GTN (n = 319) groups. When adjusted for baseline value, GTN treatment caused a smaller hematoma volume (mean difference, -4.3 cm³; P = 0.06) in 181 patients whose brain imaging repeated one week later. Starting GTN treatment within 6 h was associated with better outcomes (mRS score, early impairment, late dependency, late cognition, mood, life quality, disability, and death).

Gong et al., 2015
Gong et al. investigated the effect of BP control in ultra-early ICH. One hundred and twenty ICH patients with SBP > 160 mmHg, within 4 h of symptom onset were divided into strengthened antihypertensive (target SBP 130-140 mmHg; n = 60) and standard antihypertensive (target SBP 160-180 mmHg; n = 60) group. IV nitroglycerin was used. Mean time of treatment initiation after symptom onset in the strengthened and standard treatment group was 2.24 ± 1.23 h and 2.13 ± 1.05 h, respectively. In this study, where treatment was started much earlier compared to others, strengthened treatment significantly lowered hematoma volume and hematoma enlargement after 24 h and National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score on day 14. Additionally, cerebral edema amount and serum matrix metalloproteinase-9 level (related to the prognosis of brain hemorrhage) after 5 days and 14 days were significantly lower in the experimental group.

ATACH-2, 2016
The ATACH-2 trial investigated the effectiveness of rapid SBP lowering in ICH patients with SBP > 180 mmHg (determined on the basis that a greater therapeutic benefit would be shown by excluding patients who did not require IV antihypertensive treatment) in an earlier time window (within 4.5 h from symptom onset). Patients randomized to intensive treatment (target SBP 110-139 mmHg; n = 500) or
standard treatment (target SBP 140-179 mmHg; \( n = 500 \))\(^{[48,68]}\). IV nicardipine (first line), labetalol, diltiazem or uradipil were used. Time to start treatment in the intensive and standard treatment groups was 182.2 (± 57.2) min and 184.7 (± 56.7) min, respectively. 12.2% of patients in the intensive and 0.8% of patients in the standard treatment group did not reach the target SBP within 2 h of randomization. The proportion of SAEs within 72 h was low while the proportion of patients with any SAEs in the first 3 months and renal adverse events within the first week was higher in the intensive treatment group\(^{[48]}\).

Recently, post hoc analysis of the ATACH-2 trial results, which analyzed the effect of intensive (target SBP 110-139 mmHg) over standard (target SBP 140-179 mmHg) treatment in 682 moderate to severe grade patients (Glaskow Coma Scale < 13 or baseline NIHSS ≥ 10 or presence of intraventricular hemorrhage) has been published\(^{[69]}\). According to study results, intensive treatment reduced the hematoma expansion frequency (20.4% vs. 27.9%), but did not reduce the rate of death or disability.

**Meta-analysis of Koch et al.\(^{[82]}\), INTERACT, INTERACT-2, ADAPT, Gong et al.\(^{[66]}\), ATACH-2, 2017**

The meta-analysis reported similar incidence of 3-month SAEs between intensive (target SBP < 140 mmHg or MAP < 110 mmHg) and conservative treatment groups\(^{[70]}\). Despite including the ATACH-2 trial in which higher renal adverse events were observed with intensive treatment in the first week, the incidence of 72 h hypotension was similar in the two groups. Intensive BP reduction did not improve 24 h neurological recovery or 3-month functional outcomes. Subgroup analysis showed that intensive BP lowering had significant reducing effect on hematoma growth in age ≤ 62 years, treatment receiving time ≤ 6 h, initial hematoma volume ≤ 15 mL, and combined intraventricular hemorrhage ≤ 25% subgroups.

**Meta-analysis of Koch et al.\(^{[82]}\), INTERACT, INTERACT-2, ICH-ADAPT, ATACH-2, 2017**

This study included 2162 and 2188 participants in the intensive and conservative treatment groups, respectively\(^{[71]}\). The mean duration of treatment onset was greater than 3 h in all trials included in the meta-analysis and target BP could not be achieved within 1 h in two-thirds of the patients in the intensive treatment group. Early intensive BP reduction was safe and attenuated hematoma expansion, but did not cause differences in 3-month mortality or major disability. Rates of early neurological deterioration, hypotension, severe hypotension within 72 h, recurrent stroke, acute coronary events, or treatment-emergent adverse events within 3 months were similar between two groups, but renal failure proportion was higher in the intensive treatment group (weight: 81.74% ATACH-2, 18.26% INTERACT).

**RIGHT-2, 2019**

The Rapid Intervention with Glyceryl Trinitrate in Hypertensive Stroke Trial (RIGHT) was an ambulance-based pilot study\(^{[72]}\). Transdermal GTN (5 mg/24 h) started by paramedics in probable ultra-acute (< 4 h) stroke patients with SBP > 140 mmHg and continued for 7 days. Only 6 ICH patients enrolled. Results showed that paramedics were able to successfully enroll patients, and GTN was safe and reduced SBP at 2 h (153 ± 31 mmHg vs. 174 ± 27 mmHg).

The subsequent RIGHT-2 trial included 1149 patients with SBP ≥ 120 mmHg, within 4 h of symptom onset\(^{[73]}\). One hundred and forty-five patients were diagnosed with ICH, of whom 51.03% received GTN, and 48.97% received sham. First treatment was administered by the paramedics, and further treatments were given in the hospital for 3 days. Median randomization time was 74 min (interquartile range, 45-110) and mean initial SBP was 176 ± 27 mmHg. BP at admission was lower in the GTN group (mean, 4.4/3.5 mmHg). SAE rate was similar, and the mRS score at day 90 was nonsignificantly higher in the GTN group. Clinical outcomes including disability, cognition, dependency, life quality, and mood were worse with GTN and death in hospital was increased with GTN. Hematoma size and growth, and midline shift and mass effect on brain imaging were greater in the GTN group. Additionally, GTN was associated with
altered use of hospital resources.

**ICH ADAPT-2, Ongoing**

This study, which is the phase II trial of ICH ADAPT, will assess ischemic lesion development in ICH patients within 6 h of symptom onset, with a SBP target of < 140 mmHg and < 180 mmHg. Patients will be treated with IV labetalol, hydralazine or enalapril and diffusion weighted imaging will be used. The study is not yet complete.

**Incorporation of results of randomized clinical trials and meta-analyses into clinical practice issues**

**Treatment threshold and target blood pressure**

The INTERACT trial results indicated intensive BP lowering attenuated hematoma growth, and even target SBP of < 140 mmHg was achieved only in the 42% and 66% of patients within 1 h and 6 h after randomization, respectively. The INTERACT-2 trial included patients with SBP ≥ 150 mmHg and only 33.4% of patients in the intensive treatment group achieved the target SBP of < 140 mmHg at 1 h post-randomization and the SBP profile reflected values close to 140 mmHg. According to post hoc analysis of INTERACT-2 trial, having an average SBP of 130 mmHg at selected time points within 24 h after randomization was associated with the lowest probability of death and disability at three months. On the other hand, follow-up analysis of INTERACT-2 trial reported increase in the risk of death and major disability with SBP of 120 mmHg compared to 130 mmHg. In the ATACH-2 trial, the mean minimum SBP during the first 2 h was 141.1 ± 14.8 mmHg and 128.9 ± 16 mmHg in the standard treatment group and intensive treatment group, respectively. Intensive treatment showed no clinical benefit and, moreover, it was associated with a higher rate of renal adverse events and SAEs within the first 3 months.

In the meta-analysis of Koch *et al.*, INTERACT, ICH ADAPT, INTERACT-2 trials, intensive BP-lowering treatment (target MAP < 110 mmHg, or SBP < 150 mmHg) reduced 3-month mortality and dependency and provided a greater reduction in hematoma growth at 24 h compared with guideline treatment. In the meta-analysis of Koch *et al.*, INTERACT, INTERACT-2, ADAPT, Gong *et al.*, ATACH-2 trials, intensive BP lowering (target SBP < 140 mmHg or MAP < 110 mmHg) showed no effects on 24 h neurologic improvement and 3-month functional outcome, and only attenuated hematoma growth in patients age ≤ 62 years with hematoma volume ≤ 15 mL received treatment within 6 h after symptom onset. In the meta-analysis including Koch *et al.*, INTERACT, INTERACT-2, ICH-ADAPT, ATACH-2 trials, no obvious benefit of intensive BP lowering was observed and the rate of renal failure was higher with intensive treatment [driven predominantly by the trial with lowest SBP target (110-139 mmHg)].

In summary, the results of two phase III trials (ATACH-2 and INTERACT-2) with larger sample sizes than other studies, and a meta-analysis that included these two trials indicated that reaching a target below 130 mmHg may not be beneficial, but may be harmful. Therefore, available evidence supports the safety of a treatment that aims to keep SBP between 130 and 150 mmHg. However, due to the risk of precipitating cerebral hypoperfusion, studies are needed to investigate the safety of this target in patients with large hematomas, ICP elevation, and impaired cerebral perfusion pressure.

**Therapeutic window**

Although ICH is likely to have a wider therapeutic window due to the absence of ischemic penumbra, therapeutic window in ICH patients is still short, as the hematoma expansion mostly occurs within the first few hours. Recombinant Factor VIIa in Acute Intracerebral Haemorrhage (FAST) trial showed that treatment with rFVIIa reduced the rate of hematoma expansion when initiated within the first 4 h and reduced even greater if this time was limited to 2.5 h. Data from the ATACH trial also showed that even reduction of SBP within 4.5 h after symptom onset resulted in lower hematoma expansion and lower
disability and death rate. As the ATACH trial pointed out that hematoma expansion incidence was similar in patients presenting within first 0-3 h and 3-4.5 h, the recruitment time window of ATACH-2 trial which was accepted as 3 h was extended to 4.5 h. In the ADAPT trial, the effects of intensive BP reduction were similar in patients treated within 3 h or 4.5 h after symptom onset. Better results were obtained if GTN therapy was started within 6 h after symptom onset in the ENOS trial. Meta-analysis of Koch et al., INTERACT, INTERACT 2, ADAPT, Gong et al., ATACH-2 trials also showed that intensive BP lowering reduced hematoma growth if the treatment was started within the first 6 h after symptom onset. Therefore, a treatment window of the first 6 h is supported by current evidence to provide therapeutic benefit. However, it is not yet clear when we should start the treatment at the earliest. In the RIGHT-2 trial, in which the median randomization time was shortest compared to other clinical studies (see Table 1), ultra-acute use of transdermal GTN (within 2 h after symptom onset) worsened the outcome. On the other hand, the same treatment improved functional outcome if started within the first 6 h, in the ENOS trial. Differences in treatment duration, sample size, premorbid dependence, and hematoma size in the two studies may be responsible for the different results. However, it is also possible that starting treatment too early may be responsible. After all, the first step of hemostasis, vasoconstriction is prevented with GTN treatment. Further randomized evidence from studies comparing the use of GTN and other agents in the ultra-acute period is needed to understand whether GTN or timing was responsible.

Antihypertensive agents

Variable antihypertensive agents (with different mechanisms and side-effect profiles) were used in the clinical trials, even within the same study (see Tables 1 and 2) which may have contributed to the final outcome differences. Currently, there is no consensus about the best drugs for BP reduction in the acute setting of ICH and current guidelines recommends individualized approach. The choice should be based on few principles, including ease of titration, rapidity of onset, lack of fluctuations on CBF, lack of negative effect on platelet activity and better controllability. IV drugs should be agents of choice, as oral agents take hours to days to achieve adequate effects, and sublingual agents may cause fast, unpredictable decreases in systemic BP. Direct acting arteriolar or venous vasodilators such as nitroglycerine, sodium nitroprusside, hydralazine shouldn’t be the first-line agents and should be used with caution, as vasodilation can increase cerebral blood volume and lead to increased ICP. Appropriate fluid repletion is required prior to pharmacological intervention to avoid greater than expected reduction in SBP during initiation of IV antihypertensive agents. Adequate SBP control should be maintained by infusion for 24 h (24-27 h after symptom onset) as hematoma expansion often occurs during this period.

Transdermal administration was thought to be a safe option, due to presence of severe dysphagia in most stroke patients and effects of transdermal GTN investigated in the clinical trials. However, the ENOS trial which found transdermal GTN was associated with better outcomes if started < 6 h, comparing patients who received GTN with those who did not. There is no evidence that it is superior to IV agents. Furthermore, GTN worsened outcomes in the RIGHT-2 trial, where it started within 2 h after symptom onset and results showed that the use of GTN should be avoided in the prehospital setting. The possibility of other nitrovasodilators causing similar results should not be excluded.

Another clinical problem is whether the antihypertensive drugs that patients take regularly should be continued or stopped during the acute phase after ICH. Approximately 50% of stroke patients present while on regular antihypertensive therapy. Because of dysphagia and unpredictable responses to IV antihypertensive medications, it is reasonable to temporarily discontinue or reduce these medications at the onset of acute ICH. On the other hand, continuing antihypertensive drugs may contribute to preventing the occurrence of antihypertensive withdrawal syndrome, especially seen with β-blocker discontinuation. In the
| Agent | Mechanism of action | Intravenous dose | Onset | Half-life | CBF | ICP | Autoregulation | Platelet activity | Trial name |
|-------|---------------------|------------------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|----------------|------------------|------------|
| Labetalol | α, β1, and β2-receptor blocker | 5-20 mg bolus every 15 min | 1-2 min | 9 min | ... | ... | ... | - | INTERACT[38], INTERACT-2[49], ATACH-2[46], Koch et al.[52] |
| Metoprolol | Selective β1-receptor blocker | 20-80 mg every 10 min | 5-10 min | 3-7 h | ... | ... | ... | - | INTERACT, INTERACT-2[38,49] |
| Esmolol | Selective β1-receptor blocker | 250 μg/kg bolus followed by 25 to 300 μg/kg/min | 1-2 min | 9 min | ... | ... | ... | + | INTERACT-2[49] |
| Urapidil | Peripheral α1-receptor antagonist and central serotonin 1A receptor stimulator | 12.5-25 mg bolus followed by 5-40 mg/h infusion | 3-5 min | 2-4.8 h | ... | ... | ... | - | INTERACT, INTERACT-2, ATACH-2[38,49] |
| Phentolamine | Peripheral nonselective α-receptor antagonist | 1-5 mg bolus every 5-10 min | 1-2 min | 19 min | ... | ... | ... | - | INTERACT, INTERACT-2[38,49] |
| Clonidine | Central α2-receptor agonist | 1.2-7.2 μg/min | 5-10 min | 12 h | ... | ... | ... | ... | INTERACT-2[49] |
| Sodium nitroprusside | Mixed arterial/venous vasodilator (nitric oxide donor) | 0.25-4 μg/kg/min | 1-2 min | < 10 min | ++ | ++ | - | - | INTERACT[38], INTERACT-2[49], Koch et al.[52] |
| Nitroglycerine | Mixed arterial/venous vasodilator (nitric oxide donor) | 20-400 μg/min | 1-2 min | 3-5 min | + | ... | ... | - | INTERACT[38], INTERACT-2[49], Gong et al.[66] |
| Transdermal glyceryl trinitrate | Mixed arterial/venous vasodilator (nitric oxide donor) | 0.2 mg/h, 5 mg/24 h | 15-30 min | Up to 24 h | ... | ... | ... | ... | INTERACT, ENOS, RIGHT, RIGHT-2[38,49] |
| Nicardipine | Calcium channel blocker | 5-15 mg/h | 5-10 min | 2-4 h | + | ... | - | - | INTERACT[38], ATACH[48], INTERACT-2[49], ATACH-2[54], Koch et al.[52] |
| Diltiazem | Calcium channel blocker | 0.25 mg/kg bolus followed by 5-15 mg/h infusion | 2-7 min | 3.4 h | ... | ... | ... | - | ATACH-2[54] |
| Hydralazine | Arterial vasodilator | 5-20 mg bolus every 15 min | 5-20 min | 2-8 h | ++ | ++ | - | - | INTERACT, ICH ADAPT, INTERACT-2[38,49] |
| Enalapril | ACE inhibitor | 1.25-5 mg every 6 h | 15-30 min | 11 h | ... | ... | ++ | - | INTERACT[38,49] |
| Diuretics (furosemide, bumetanide) | Inhibits reabsorption of Na+/Cl− in the ascending loop of Henle | | | | | | | | |
| Furosemide: 20-40 mg bolus followed by 10-40 mg/h | | | | | | | | | |
| Bumetanide: 0.5-1 mg/dose up to 2 times a day followed by 0.5-2 mg/h | | | | | | | | | |
| Furosemide: 5 min | | | | | | | | | |
| Bumetanide: 2-3 min | | | | | | | | | |
| Furosemide: 30-60 min | | | | | | | | | |
| Bumetanide: 1-1.5 h | | | | | | | | | |

ACE: Angiotensin-converting enzyme; CBF: cerebral blood flow; ICP: intracranial pressure; +: increase or favorable effects; ++: substantial increase or favorable effects; -: decrease or negative effects; -: no documented direct effect.
ENOS trial, a subset of patients who were taking antihypertensive drugs before their stroke were randomly assigned to either continue or stop taking these drugs, and no evidence was found to support continuing pre-stroke antihypertensive drugs in the first few days \cite{64}. To date, there is no evidence to support continuing or discontinuing pre-stroke antihypertensive drugs in patients within the first few days after ICH. However, even if they are discontinued, oral antihypertensive agents should be started as soon as possible (preferably 24 to 48 h after symptom onset, because most of the acute processes are uncommon after the first 24 h) to control resistant hypertension and facilitate the transition to long-term management for secondary prophylaxis.

**CONCLUSION**

While current trials have shown that intensive BP lowering is clinically feasible and potentially safe, the treatment threshold, BP target, therapeutic window, medications to be used and whether such treatment improves clinical outcomes remain unclear. Current guidelines cannot provide clear answers to these uncertainties. Almost all randomized clinical trials included patients receiving anticoagulant or antiplatelets with different rates and different criteria (while a platelet count < 100,000 mm$^3$ was required to be included in the ATACH study, < 50,000 mm$^3$ was required in the ATACH-2 study; while international normalize ratio < 1.8 was required to include patients receiving warfarin in the study of Koch et al.\cite{52}, < 1.4 was required in the ATACH study, etc). The small sample sizes and heterogeneous criteria make it difficult to understand whether we should make individual changes in the treatment of these patients’ acute hypertensive response\cite{48,52,58}. The safety of the current SBP reduction therapies in patients with severe disease, large hematomas, ICP elevation, and impaired cerebral perfusion pressure is unknown as they were not represented in clinical trials. The therapeutic target for SBP lowering may vary between individual patients, depending on individual cerebral hemodynamics. An example is the investigation of the safety and effectiveness of intensive BP lowering in ICH patients with cerebrovascular stenosis\cite{50}. The results of future studies may reveal the need for an individualized treatment with CBF and regional ICP measurements.
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