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Many species belonging to the genus *Dendrobium* are of great commercial value. However, their difficult growth conditions and high demand have caused many of these species to become endangered. Indeed, counterfeit *Dendrobium* products are common, especially in medicinal markets. This study aims to assess the suitability of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) region as a marker for identifying *Dendrobium* and to evaluate its intragenomic variation in *Dendrobium* species. In total, 29,624 ITS2 copies from 18 species were obtained using 454 pyrosequencing to evaluate intragenomic variation. In addition, 513 ITS2 sequences from 26 *Dendrobium* species were used to assess its identification suitability. The highest intragenomic genetic distance was observed in *Dendrobium chrysotoxum* (0.081). The average intraspecific genetic distances of each species ranged from 0 to 0.032. Phylogenetic trees based on ITS2 sequences showed that most *Dendrobium* species are monophyletic. The intragenomic and intraspecies divergence analysis showed that greater intragenomic divergence is mostly correlated with larger intraspecific variation. As a major ITS2 variant becomes more common in genome, there are fewer intraspecific variable sites in ITS2 sequences at the species level. The results demonstrated that the intragenomic multiple copies of ITS2 did not affect species identification.

1. Introduction

*Dendrobium* is one of the three largest genera of the Orchidaceae family and comprises more than 1,000 species distributed throughout the Asian tropical and subtropical regions as well as Oceania, with 78 species of this genus recorded in China alone [1]. The flowers of *Dendrobium* come in a rich variety of colors and shapes, and in recent years they have increased significantly in commercial value as ornamental flowers. In addition, *Dendrobium* is also well known for its medical value. In fact, one of the earliest records of Orchidaceae plants in ancient Chinese literature is Shen Nong’s classic herbal text written approximately 1,500 years ago. Approximately 33 species of *Dendrobium* are used as clinical medications [2], including *Dendrobium officinale*, also known as “Tie Pi Feng Dou,” and *Dendrobium nobile*, also known as “Jin chai shi hu,” as described in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia. Each year, large numbers of *Dendrobium* species are needed for both the flower and medicinal markets.

Adulterants and substitutes have become popular in the markets, especially for medicinal purposes. Thus, an effective method of species identification is very necessary.

In eukaryotic genomes, rDNA arrays are often present in hundreds of copies, with copy number varying among different species [3–5]. As a tool to study evolution, the rDNA copy number per genome and sequence variation between species can be used to study phylogenetic relationships and biodiversity [4]. The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) is part of a multicopy gene that encodes ribosomal RNA subunits in all eukaryotic genomes. ITS regions have been used to study biodiversity in bacteria [6], insects [7], marine organisms [8–10], and plants [11], as well as many others. Due to their powerful discriminatory ability and stability among *Dendrobium* species, rDNA sequences have been used for identification and classification purposes [12, 13]. Among the numerous *Dendrobium* species, *D. officinale* has received the greatest amount of attention due to its high medicinal value in China. Ding et al. established a database that included 21 *Dendrobium* species labelled “Feng Dou” herbs on the market and
proposed that rDNA ITS sequences could be used to identify *Dendrobium* species with high accuracy [14]. Indeed, Zhang et al. accurately identified *D. officinale* from its adulterants using full-length ITS regions [15]. Furthermore, Li et al. performed phylogenetic analyses and identified *Dendrobium* species using rDNA ITS sequences, and their classification based on ITS sequences was identical to traditional classifications for most species [16].

ITS2 is commonly used to infer phylogenetic relationships and has been employed as a DNA barcode for identification purposes. The genes in this region are thought to have evolved in concert, leading to a homogenization of all copies of this gene across the genome [17, 18]. To date, the ITS2 region has been used to identify plants [19–21], fungi [22–24], and insects [25]. Although ITS/ITS2 is extremely useful for both species identification and phylogenetic analyses, it does have drawbacks. One significant problem is the fact that it is present in multiple copies in the genome. Phylogenetic studies typically use consensus sequences that average over all copies in a genome, thereby concealing most intragenomic variation. Indeed, the intragenomic variation and interspecies divergence in ITS2 present significant challenges for genetic diversity analyses and species identification. In contrast, the evaluation of ITS2 sequences for identification and phylogenetic purposes might prove useful for deep research into intragenomic and intraspecific diversity. While intraspecific divergence in *Dendrobium* has been studied, the issue of intragenomic diversity revealed by multicopy has received increased attention due to the development of next-generation sequencing technology. Here we used pyrosequencing to sequence 18 selected species of *Dendrobium* to perform ITS2 intragenomic diversity analysis. Intragenomic and interspecific variations among different species were also evaluated using ITS2 sequences in 26 species of *Dendrobium*. Our results indicate that the ITS2 region is a valuable tool for identifying species and analyzing phylogenetic relationships.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Intragenomic Variations in 18 Species of *Dendrobium*

We first investigated the levels of intragenomic variation in the ITS2 regions of 18 *Dendrobium* medicinal materials. A summary of the intragenomic variation is provided in Table 1. In total, 29624 ITS2 copies from 18 species were obtained using 454 pyrosequencing in a previous study by our group. The numbers of ITS2 variant copies are 4 to 55, with *D. crepidatum* having the least and *D. chrysotoxum* having the most. Here, we refer to variants with an emergence frequency above 1% as “major variant(s).” Major variants representing more than 90% of ITS2 sequences were found in *D. crepidatum* (p1-1), *D. aphyllum* (P2-1), *D. devonianum* (p3-1), *D. officinale* (p4-1), *D. trigonopus* (p6-1), *D. gratiosissimum* (p15-1), *D. capillipes* (p13-1), and *D. denneanum* (p5-1), which were present at 99.27%, 95.87%, 95.43%, 93.89%, 93.34%, 93.23%, 93.03%, and 91.50% of total sequences, respectively. The major variants of each species were used to calculate the intragenomic genetic distance (IG-GD). The highest IG-GD was found in *D. chrysotoxum* (0.081). In contrast, the genetic distance in five species (*D. officinale*, *D. crepidatum*, *D. aphyllum*, *D. devonianum*, and *D. trigonopus*) was zero, indicating minimal intragenomic diversity. *D. williamsonii* showed the most intragenomic variant patterns of the 18 study species, showing 52 distinct major variant patterns that ranged in prevalence from 25.82% to 1.1%. The GC content of the variants from these 18 species ranged from 47.35% to 56.00%. No obvious differences were observed in the length of major variants.

In this study, the *D. officinale* sample received a total of 2554 reads of 454 pyrosequencing representing ten different variant patterns. The most common major variant represented 93.89% of the ITS2 sequences in the entire genome. After alignment, the consensus sequence of the ten variants from the *D. officinale* genome was 246 bp in length, with 13 variable sites, including two INDELS. The dominant
Table 1: Intragenomic diversity analysis of ITS2 major variants from the 18 *Dendrobium* species.

| Taxon         | Number of total 454 sequences | Number of total variants | Variant name (>1% emergence frequency) | Percentage of each variant (>1%) | Length (bp) | G + C content (%) | Intragenomic sequence distances (>1% emergence frequency) |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| *D. crepidatum* | 1919                           | 4                        | p1-1                                   | 99.27                           | 248         | 50.81             | 0                                                        |
| *D. aphyllum*  | 1888                           | 19                       | p2-1                                   | 95.87                           | 248         | 52.42             | 0                                                        |
| *D. devonianum* | 722                            | 8                        | p3-1                                   | 95.43                           | 248         | 49.20             | 0.004                                                    |
| *D. officinale* | 2554                           | 10                       | p4-1                                   | 93.89                           | 246         | 50.81             | 0*                                                       |
| *D. denneanum* | 1270                           | 15                       | p5-1                                   | 91.50                           | 248         | 51.21             | 0.016                                                    |
| *D. officinale* | 578                            | 7                        | p6-1                                   | 63.15                           | 246         | 53.66             | 0.017                                                    |
| *D. chrysanthum* | 860                           | 30                       | p7-1                                   | 76.74                           | 245         | 52.24             | 0.051                                                    |
| *D. primulinum* | 3690                           | 36                       | p8-1                                   | 75.93                           | 248         | 53.63             | 0.005                                                    |
| *D. loddigesii* | 2366                           | 55                       | p9-1                                   | 63.82                           | 249         | 55.42             | 0.081                                                    |
| *D. acinaciforme* | 1112                          | 30                       | p10-1                                  | 39.55                           | 248         | 52.02             | 0.009                                                    |
| Taxon                | Number of total 454 sequences | Number of total variants | Variant name (>1% emergence frequency) | Percentage of each variant (>1%) | Length (bp) | G + C content (%) | Intragenomic sequence distances (>1% emergence frequency) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| D. williamsonii     | 182                            | 52                       | p12-1                                   | 25.82                           | 247         | 52.63          | 0.05                                            |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-2                                   | 3.85                            | 247         | 52.23          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-3                                   | 2.75                            | 247         | 50.20          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-4                                   | 3.75                            | 247         | 51.01          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-5                                   | 2.20                            | 247         | 51.01          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-6                                   | 2.20                            | 247         | 51.01          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-7                                   | 2.20                            | 247         | 50.20          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-8                                   | 2.20                            | 247         | 48.99          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-9                                   | 2.20                            | 247         | 50.61          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-10–p12-21                         | 19.80                           | 247–250     | 48.99–51.42   |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p12-22–p12-52                         | 34.10                           | 244–247     | 48.37–52.63   |                                                 |
| D. capillipes       | 1610                           | 33                       | p13-1                                   | 93.03                           | 251         | 50.20          | 0.004                                           |
|                     |                                |                          | p13-2                                   | 1.43                            | 251         | 49.80          |                                                 |
| D. crystallinum     | 1197                           | 14                       | p14-1                                   | 51.96                           | 250         | 55.60          | 0.005                                           |
|                     |                                |                          | p14-2                                   | 39.52                           | 250         | 55.60          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p14-3                                   | 5.93                            | 250         | 55.20          |                                                 |
| D. gratiosissimum   | 1167                           | 8                        | p15-1                                   | 93.23                           | 246         | 52.85          | 0.004                                           |
|                     |                                |                          | p15-2                                   | 5.14                            | 246         | 52.44          |                                                 |
| D. trigonopus       | 1906                           | 27                       | p16-1                                   | 93.34                           | 251         | 51.00          | 0                                               |
| D. wardianum        | 2778                           | 21                       | p17-1                                   | 84.38                           | 250         | 56.00          | 0                                               |
|                     |                                |                          | p17-2                                   | 12.35                           | 251         | 55.78          |                                                 |
| D. pendulum         | 2232                           | 19                       | p18-1                                   | 49.73                           | 250         | 54.00          | 0.005                                           |
|                     |                                |                          | p18-2                                   | 24.82                           | 250         | 53.20          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p18-3                                   | 20.79                           | 250         | 53.41          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p18-4                                   | 1.43                            | 250         | 53.60          |                                                 |
|                     |                                |                          | p18-5                                   | 1.25                            | 250         | 53.60          |                                                 |

*The variations were caused by insert/deletion, which was treated as complete deletion when calculating the K2P distances. Thus, the K2P distances turned out to be zero.
Table 2: Comparison between the numbers of intraspecific variable sites with the emergency percentage of the dominant variants in genome of ITS2 sequences of each species.

| Taxon         | Number of total ITS2 sequences | Number of total intraspecific variable sites | The emergency percentage of the dominant variants in genome (%) |
|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| D. crepidatum | 35                            | 36                                          | 99.27                                                       |
| D. aphyllum   | 22                            | 4                                           | 95.87                                                       |
| D. devonianum | 11                            | 9                                           | 95.43                                                       |
| D. officinale | 61                            | 5                                           | 93.89                                                       |
| D. denneanum  | 10                            | 4                                           | 91.50                                                       |
| D. chrysanthum| 25                            | 22                                          | 76.74                                                       |
| D. primulinum | 21                            | 25                                          | 75.93                                                       |
| D. chrysotoxum| 27                            | 18                                          | 63.82                                                       |
| D. nobile     | 59                            | 12                                          | 63.15                                                       |
| D. loddigesii | 13                            | 28                                          | 39.55                                                       |

3.2. Analysis of the ITS2 Region at Intra- and Interspecific Levels. In total, 513 ITS2 sequences from 26 species of *Dendrobium* were analyzed for intraspecific genetic distances (IS-GDs). The average IS-GD for each species ranged from 0 to 0.032. The average IS-GD value in seven species (*D. herbaceum*, *D. macrostachyum*, *D. amoenum*, *D. aqueum*, *D. bicameratum*, *D. barbatulum*, and *D. peguanum*) was zero, and the highest average IS-GD value (0.032) was found in *D. hancockii* (Figure 1). The number of variable sites in ITS2 sequences of each species was also calculated (Table 2). *D. officinale* possesses a dominant variant representing 93.89% of the sequences, and out of 61 sequences there were only five intraspecific SNPs. A similar situation was observed in three other species (*D. aphyllum*, *D. devonianum*, and *D. denneanum*), all of which had one dominant ITS2 variant making up more than 90% of sequences. In contrast, there were more variable sites in species where the dominant ITS2 variant made up less than 80% of the sequences. For example, the dominant variant in *D. loddigesii* accounted for only 39.55% of sequences, and this species had 28 intraspecific variable sites. In general, as the dominant ITS2 variant became more common in the genome, there were fewer intraspecific variable sites in ITS2 sequences, with the exception of *D. crepidatum*.

The BLAST1 method, which is based on similarity, was used to assess the reliability of ITS2 sequences for *Dendrobium* species identification. In total, 383 of the 513 ITS2 sequences were correctly identified. The unidentified ITS2 sequences were distributed among six species: *D. officinale*, *D. tosaense*, *D. huoshanense*, *D. moniliforme*, *D. nobile*, and *D. hercoglossum*. The ITS2 sequences of *D. officinale* and *D. tosaense* could not be distinguished using BLAST, and similar issues arose for *D. huoshanense*, *D. moniliforme*, *D. nobile*, and *D. hercoglossum*.

Figure 1: Intraspecific genetic distances from 26 *Dendrobium* species revealed by ITS2 region.
3.3. The Neighbor-Joining Tree Based on ITS2 Sequences.

A neighbor-joining tree (NJ tree) was built based on the intragenomic data to determine the phylogenetic relationships between the *Dendrobium* species. Previous studies have shown that minor variants present below 1% are difficult to detect directly with PCR or clone sequencing. Thus, we first selected the major variants for analysis (Figure 2). The results showed that *D. williamsonii* (PS2503), *D. trigonopus* (PS2506), *D. acinaciforme* (PS2527), *D. capillipes* (PS2502), *D. lodgesii* (PS1748), *D. crystallinum* (PS2519), *D. denneanum* (PS0758), *D. chrysotoxum* (PS2501), *D. officinale* (PS2521), *D. gratiosissimum* (PS2513), *D. nobile* (PS0766), *D. wardianum* (PS2509), *D. pendulum* (PS2511), *D. aphyllum* (PS2523), *D. primulinum* (PS2518), *D. devonianum* (PS2520), *D. chrysanthum* (PS2515), and *D. crepidatum* (PS2517) forming a separate clade. Almost all the major variants clustered together, with the exception of *D. nobile*. One of the major variants of *D. nobile* (PS0766.4) introgressed into *D. officinale* and *D. gratiosissimum*, showing a very close relationship. Another NJ tree using total intragenomic data was also constructed and is shown in Appendix S3.

Total intraspecific data were also used to construct an NJ tree for phylogenetic analysis (see Appendix S4). The results showed that most species were monophyletic except for one clade including six species (*D. officinale, D. tosaense, ...
D. moniliforme, D. nobile, and D. hercoglossum. To better clarify the relationship among these species in the main clade, these six species were used to build a separate NJ tree based on their ITS2 sequences (Figure 3). We divided this NJ tree into two major clades (Clades I and II). Clade I consists of two species, *D. officinale* and *D. tosaense*, with a bootstrap support value of 100%. Clade II consists of two subclades, with a bootstrap support value of 54%. Subclades II-I contain two species, *D. moniliforme* and *D. huoshanense*, with a bootstrap support value of 72%, and subclades II-II contain three species, *D. moniliforme*, *D. hercoglossum*, and *D. nobile*, with a bootstrap support value of 62%.

4. Discussion

Ribosomal DNA (rDNA) is present in multiple copies of tandem repeats per genome [31], and two noncoding spacers (internal transcribed spacer 1 and 2) divide each transcriptional unit into three subunits: 18S, 5.8S and 28S. Each tandem can contain variations, thus leading to intragenomic variation. Many studies have addressed genomic divergence in *Dendrobium*, but most of these have been focused on intra- and interspecific levels of variation [32–36]. It is thought that biodiversity at the species level is generally overestimated due to intragenomic variation [37]. In this
study we therefore focused on the intragenomic level, aiming to identify relationships between intragenomic diversity and intraspecific diversity. Sequence-based methods have replaced many traditional approaches such as allozyme or restriction enzyme polymorphisms, which is valid as long as appropriate marker(s) is selected [38]. Traditional approaches (e.g., RAPD, AP-PCR, and AFLP) generally require high-quality DNA for amplification, which can lead to problems with reproducibility and accuracy. Sequence-based methods should be more objective and stable, enhancing our ability to assess biodiversity and identify species [39]. In addition, experimental error and subjective factors such as scoring PCR bands on a gel are eliminated or minimized in sequence-based protocols.

The ITS2 locus has already been proposed as a universal DNA barcode, particularly in plants, and it has been shown that plants can be identified at the species and genus level with more than 97% accuracy [27, 40]. Although the China plant BOL group suggested ITS as the core barcode for seed plants, ITS2 has several advantages compared with the full-length ITS region [41]. First, ITS2 is shorter than ITS, which simplifies PCR amplification. Moreover, ITS2 has secondary structure in all eukaryotes [42, 43]. This molecular morphological characteristic strengthens the power of its discriminatory ability. In addition to species identification applications, ITS2 and its secondary structure have been used as effective tools for phylogenetic analyses in insects, corals, and yeast [44–47]. As these transcribed spacers are highly divergent, they can also be used to estimate low levels of genetic diversity among related species [48]. Liu et al. evaluated the resolution of five regions (rbcL, matK, ITS, ITS2, and trnH-psbA), ultimately suggesting an rbcL + ITS2 barcode combination as the most suitable marker for analyzing biodiversity in the Dihshushan National Nature Reserve (DNNR) in China [49].

Among all the Dendrobium species, D. officinale is undoubtedly the most valuable, owing to its low production but high price and clinical efficacy in the clinic. Previous studies using ISSR, RAPD, and SRAP revealed distinct genetic differences and extensive genetic diversity among different populations of D. officinale [34, 50, 51]. However, the intraspecific genetic diversity of D. officinale (intraspecific genetic distance, average: 0.001; Max: 0.013) as revealed by ITS2 sequences turned out to be relatively low compared with results from other approaches. From the 61 ITS2 sequences obtained from D. officinale, only five variable sites were detected after alignment. Across the whole genome, D. officinale has a single dominant variant that represents 93.89% of ITS2 sequences. These results indicate that the ITS2 regions are relatively conserved among different populations of D. officinale. Due to the low production and high price of D. officinale, there are so many closely related species appearing as adulterants in the herbal market. These adulterants are species that have morphological characteristics similar to each other, making traditional taxonomic identification difficult, particularly after processing into medicinal slices. According to this result above, ITS2 can be an effective molecules tool for identifying commercial D. officinale and other Dendrobium species.

In the Chinese Pharmacopoeia (2015 edition), D. officinale is described as an independent species that is the source of the herbal medicine "Tie Pi Shi Hu." However, this species has already been accepted as a synonym of D. catenatum, D. tosaense, and several others in flora of China and the other research [52]. In our study, ITS2 sequences from these two species were grouped into a single clade with 100% bootstrap support. The NJ tree described here demonstrates that, at the very least, D. officinale and D. tosaense are extremely closely related at the genetic level, consistent with other results from China. Therefore, we agree that D. officinale and D. tosaense should be accepted as synonyms of D. catenatum. In a previous study, a phylogenetic tree including twelve samples of Dendrobium species was constructed [50]. The three species D. moniliforme, D. hercoglossum, and D. nobile were grouped in the same clade, similar to classifications based on inflorescence color and the results from this study.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we analyzed intragenome and intraspecies divergence to find that, in most cases, greater intragenomic divergence is correlated with larger intraspecific variation. The results of this study strongly confirm that the direct PCR sequencing data were credible because all the dominant sequences in high-throughput sequencing in each species were detected by direct PCR. Thus, the multiple copies in ITS2 did not affect the species identification in Dendrobium. Therefore, we demonstrate that ITS2 is an effective tool for Dendrobium species identification.

Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| ITS2 | Internal transcribed spacer 2 |
| rDNA | Ribosomal DNA |
| IS-GD | Intraspecific genetic distances |
| ITS | Internal transcribed spacer |
| IG-GD | Intraspecific genetic distance |
| SNP | Single nucleotide polymorphisms |
| HMM | Hidden Markov model |
| NJ tree | Neighbor-joining tree |
| PCR | Polymerase chain reaction |
| bp | Base pair |
| INDELS | Insertions and deletions |
| RAPD | Random amplified polymorphic DNA |
| AP-PCR | Arbitrarily primed PCR |
| AFLP | Amplified fragment length polymorphism |
| matK | Maturase K |
| rbcL | Ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase gene |
| trnH-psbA | Intergenic spacer |
| ISSR | Inter-simple sequence repeat |
| SRAP | Sequence-related amplified polymorphism |

Additional Points

Supporting Information. DNA sequences can be obtained through GenBank accessions; final DNA sequence assembly can be found in online supporting information.
Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Authors’ Contributions
Jianping Han designed the research, Xiaochen Chen conducted the experimental work, Lili Wang analyzed data, and Xiaoyue Wang wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgments
This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81473303) and the Major Scientific and Technological Special Project for “Significant New Drugs Creation” (no. 2014ZX09304307001). The authors thank Professor Yulin Lin for identifying material.

References
[1] X. Y. Ding, W. M. Zhang, Z. T. Wang, and L. S. Xu, “Summarization of the studies of taxonomy and pharmacognosy of ethnomedical organisms of Dendrobiurn,” The Chinese Academic Medical Magazine of Organisms, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2003.

[2] S. Y. Yang, S. G. Wang, H. L. Li, and Z. H. Di, “Research Status of Identification of CAULIS DENDROBIUM,” Journal of Anhui Agricultural Sciences, vol. 41, pp. 1495–1497, 2013.

[3] S. O. Rogers and A. J. Bendich, “Ribosomal RNA genes in plants: variability in copy number and in the intergenic spacer,” Plant Molecular Biology, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 590–592, 1987.

[4] D. M. Hills and M. T. Dixon, “Ribosomal DNA: molecular evolution and phylogenetic inference,” The Quarterly Review of Biology, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 411–453, 1991.

[5] C. D. Prokopowich, T. R. Gregory, and T. J. Crease, “The correlation between rDNA copy number and genome size in eukaryotes,” Genome, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 48–50, 2003.

[6] F. J. Stewart and C. M. Cavanaugh, “Intragenomic variation and evolution of the internal transcribed spacer of the RNA operon in bacteria,” Journal of Molecular Evolution, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 44–67, 2007.

[7] C. Li and R. C. Wilkerson, “Intragenomic rDNA ITS2 variation in the neotropical Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) albittarsis complex (Diptera: Culicidae),” Journal of Heredity, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. 51–59, 2007.

[8] D. J. Harris and K. A. Crandall, “Intragenomic variation within ITS1 and ITS2 of freshwater fly (Dacopoda: Cambriidae): Implications for phylogenetic and microsatellite studies,” Molecular Biology and Evolution, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 284–291, 2000.

[9] M. He, L. Huang, J. Shi, and Y. Jiang, “Variability of ribosomal DNA ITS-2 and its utility in detecting genetic relatedness of pearl oyster,” Marine Biotechnology, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 40–45, 2005.

[10] R. Freire, A. Arias, J. Méndez, and A. Insua, “Sequence variation of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of ribosomal DNA in Cerastoderma species (Bivalvia: Cardiidae),” Journal of Molluscan Studies, vol. 76, no. 1, pp. 77–86, 2010.

[11] Z.-L. Liu, D. Zhang, X.-Q. Wang, X.-F. Ma, and X.-R. Wang, “Intragenomic and interspecific SS rDNA sequence variation in five Asian pines,” American Journal of Botany, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 17–24, 2003.

[12] S. Feng, Y. Jiang, S. Wang et al., “Molecular identification of dendrobium species (Orchidaceae) based on the dna barcode its2 region and its application for phylogenetic study,” International Journal of Molecular Sciences, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 21975–21988, 2015.

[13] S. Xu, D. Li, J. Li et al., “Evaluation of the DNA barcodes in dendrobium (Orchidaceae) from mainland Asia,” PLoS ONE, vol. 10, no. 1, Article ID e0115168, 2015.

[14] X.-Y. Ding, Z.-T. Wang, H. Xu, L.-S. Xu, and K.-Y. Zhou, “Database establishment of the whole rDNA its region of Dendrobium species of ‘Fengdou’ and authentication by analysis of their sequences,” Xiaoze Xuebao, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 567–573, 2002.

[15] L. Zhang, D. S. Qiu, S. K. Cai, R. Y. Deng, H. M. Luo, and X. J. Liu, “The Genuine and Adulterants Identification of Dendrobium officinaleby rDNA ITS Sequences Analysis,” Journal of Anhui Agricultural Sciences, vol. 4, pp. 2872–2874, 2013.

[16] D. Li, J. Z. Li, P. Mao, F. Y. X. Z. Chun, and X. R. Ma, “Phylogenetic Analysis and Identification of Dendrobium Species Based on Ribosomal DNA Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) sequence,” Acta Horticulturae Sinica, vol. 39, pp. 1539–1550, 2012.

[17] I. Álvarez and J. F. Wendel,” Ribosomal ITS sequences and plant phylogenetic inference,” Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 417–434, 2003.

[18] G. N. Feliner and J. A. Rosselló,” Better the devil you know? Guidelines for insightful utilization of nrDNA ITS in species-level evolutionary studies in plants,” Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 911–919, 2007.

[19] L.-C. Shi, J. Zhang, J.-P. Han et al., “Testing the potential of proposed DNA barcodes for species identification of Zingiberaceae,” Journal of Systematics and Evolution, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 261–266, 2011.

[20] X. Chen, B. Liao, J. Song, X. Pang, J. Han, and S. Chen, “A fast SNP identification and analysis of intraspecific variation in the medicinal Panax species based on DNA barcoding,” Gene, vol. 530, no. 1, pp. 39–43, 2013.

[21] X. Z. Zhu and T. Gao,” Molecular identification of 17 invasive plant species based on ITS2 sequences,” Pratvicultural Science, vol. 31, pp. 1900–1907, 2014.

[22] J. M. Guillamón, J. Sabaté, E. Barrio, J. Cano, and A. Querol, “Rapid identification of wine yeast species based on RFLP analysis of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region,” Archives of Microbiology, vol. 169, no. 5, pp. 387–392, 1998.

[23] Y. C. Chen, J. D. Eisner, M. M. Kattar et al., “Identification of medically important yeasts using PCR-based detection of DNA sequence polymorphisms in the internal transcribed spacer 2 region of the rRNA genes,” Journal of Clinical Microbiology, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 2302–2310, 2000.

[24] L. Xiang, J. Song, T. Xin et al., “DNA barcoding the commercial Chinese caterpillar fungus,” FEMS Microbiology Letters, vol. 347, no. 2, pp. 156–162, 2013.

[25] R. Stouthamer, J. Hu, F. J. P. M. Van Kan, G. R. Platner, and J. D. Pinto, “The utility of internally transcribed spacer 2 DNA sequences of the nuclear ribosomal gene for distinguishing sibling species of Trichogramma,” BioControl, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 421–440, 1999.

[26] W. J. Kress, K. J. Wurdack, E. A. Zimmer, L. A. Weigt, and D. H. Janzen,” Use of DNA barcodes to identify flowering plants,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 102, no. 23, pp. 8369–8374, 2005.
H. Yao, J. P. Han et al., “Validation of the ITS2 region as a novel DNA barcode for identifying medicinal plant species,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 5, no. 1, Article ID e6613, 2010.

J. Song, L. Shi, D. Li et al., “Extensive pyrosequencing reveals frequent intra-genomic variations of internal transcribed spacer regions of nuclear ribosomal DNA,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 8, Article ID e43971, 2012.

A. Keller, T. Schleicher, J. Schultz, T. M€uller, T. Dandekar, and M. Wolf, “5.8S-28S rRNA interaction and HMM-based ITS2 annotation,” *Gene*, vol. 430, no. 1-2, pp. 50–57, 2009.

K. Tamura, D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei, and S. Kumar, “MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods,” *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 2731–2739, 2011.

K. Beckingham, “Insect rDNA,” *The Cell Nucleus*, vol. 10, pp. 205–269, 1982.

H. H. Fan, T. C. Li, J. Qiu, Z. P. Li, Y. Lin, and Y. P. Cai, “Studies on genetic diversity of medicinal Dendrobium by SRAP,” *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi*, vol. 33, pp. 6–10, 2008.

M. Sharif and Abdul Jawad, “Interacting generalized dark energy and reconstruction of scalar field models,” *Modern Physics Letters A*, vol. 28, no. 38, Article ID 1350180, 15 pages, 2013.

Y. H. Bao, C. M. Pan, Y. Bai, and Y. N. Yan, “Genetic diversity of germplasm resources of Tiepiishihu (Dendrobium officinale) analyzed by SRAP,” *Journal of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 37, pp. 349–353, 2014.

Y. T. Liu, R. K. Chen, S. J. Lin et al., “Analysis of sequence diversity through internal transcribed spacers and simple sequence repeats to identify dendrobium species,” *Genetics and Molecular Research*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 2709–2717, 2014.

W. L. Zhang, “Page analysis of genetic diversity of Dendrobium germplasm resources,” *Northern Horticulture*, pp. 104–106, 2014.

D. J. Thornhill, T. C. Lajeunesse, and S. R. Santos, “Measuring rDNA diversity in eukaryotic microbial systems: How intragenomic variation, pseudogenes, and PCR artifacts confound biodiversity estimates,” *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 16, no. 24, pp. 5326–5340, 2007.

M. Hajibabaei, G. A. C. Singer, P. D. N. Hebert, and D. A. Hickey, “DNA barcoding: how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics,” *Trends in Genetics*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 167–172, 2007.

R. M. Caesar, M. Sörensson, and A. I. Cognato, “Integrating DNA data and traditional taxonomy to streamline biodiversity assessment: An example from edaphic beetles in the Klamath ecoregion, California, USA,” *Diversity and Distributions*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 483–489, 2006.

H. Yao, J. Song, C. Liu et al., “Use of ITS2 region as the universal DNA barcode for plants and animals,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 5, no. 10, Article ID e13012, 2010.

J. Han, Y. Zhu, X. Chen et al., “The short ITS2 sequence serves as an efficient taxonomic sequence tag in comparison with the full-length ITS,” *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2013, Article ID 741476, 7 pages, 2013.

J. C. Mai and A. W. Coleman, “The internal transcribed spacer 2 exhibits a common secondary structure in green algae and flowering plants,” *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 258–271, 1997.

J. Schultz, S. Maisel, D. Gerlach, T. Müller, and M. Wolf, “A common core of secondary structure of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) throughout the Eukaryota,” *RNA*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 361–364, 2005.

T. J. Lott, B. M. Burns, R. Zancope-Oliveira, C. M. Elie, and E. Reiss, “Sequence analysis of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) from yeast species within the genus Candida,” *Current Microbiology*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 63–69, 1998.

H.-E. Lein, C.-F. Dai, and C. C. Wallace, “Secondary structure and phylogenetic utility of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) in scleractinian corals,” *Zoological Studies*, vol. 43, pp. 759–771, 2004.

I. Young and A. W. Coleman, “The advantages of the ITS2 region of the nuclear rDNA cistron for analysis of phylogenetic relationships of insects: A Drosophila example,” *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 236–242, 2004.

J. Schultz, T. M€uller, M. Achtziger, P. N. Seibel, T. Dandekar, and M. Wolf, “The internal transcribed spacer 2 database - A web server for (not only) low level phylogenetic analyses,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 34, pp. W704–W707, 2006.

G. N. Fritz, J. Conn, A. Cockburn, and J. Seawright, “Sequence analysis of the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 2 from populations of Anopheles nuneztovari (diptera: culicidae),” *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 406–416, 1994.

J. Liu, H.-F. Yan, S. G. Newmaster, N. Pei, S. Ragupathy, and X.-J. Ge, “The use of DNA barcoding as a tool for the conservation biogeography of subtropical forests in China,” *Diversity and Distributions*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 188–199, 2015.

G. Ding, X.-Y. Ding, J. Shen et al., “Genetic diversity and molecular authentication of wild populations of Dendrobium officinale by RAPD,” *Yaoxue Xuebao*, vol. 40, no. 11, pp. 1028–1032, 2005.

J. S. Lu, Z. Y. Bu, W. L. Lv, J. M. Su, C. Y. Huang, and C. N. Li, “ISSR analysis on genetic diversity of germplasms resources in *Dendrobium SW* from different habitats,” *Chinese Traditional and Herbal Drugs*, vol. 44, pp. 96–100, 2013.

T. Takamiya, P. Wongsawad, N. Tajima et al., “Identification of *Dendrobium* species used for herbal medicines based on ribosomal DNA Internal transcribed spacer sequence,” *Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 779–782, 2011.