Mammalian Adenyl Cyclase-associated Protein 1 (CAP1) Regulates Cofilin Function, the Actin Cytoskeleton, and Cell Adhesion*§

Received for publication, May 9, 2013 Published, JBC Papers in Press, June 4, 2013, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M113.484535

Haitao Zhang‡§1, Pooya Ghaix§1, Huhehasi Wu‡, Changhui Wang‡, Jeffrey Field‡§, and Guo-Lei Zhou‡§3

From the ‡Department of Biological Sciences and §Molecular Biosciences Program, Arkansas State University, State University, Arkansas 72467, the ¶Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital of Tongji University, Shanghai 200072, China, and the ‡Department of Pharmacology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Background: Mammalian CAP1 functions in actin dynamics, with elusive mechanisms.

Results: Knockdown of CAP1 in HeLa cells leads to alterations in the actin cytoskeleton, cofilin, and FAK phosphorylation and increased cell adhesion and motility.

Conclusion: Mammalian CAP1 regulates actin cytoskeleton, cofilin, and FAK phosphorylation as well as cell adhesion.

Significance: This work presents a novel function for CAP1 in cell adhesion and insights into the CAP1/cofilin interactions.

CAP (adenyl cyclase-associated protein) was first identified in yeast as a protein that regulates both the actin cytoskeleton and the Ras/cAMP pathway. Although the role in Ras signaling does not extend beyond yeast, evidence supports that CAP regulates the actin cytoskeleton in all eukaryotes including mammals. In vitro actin polymerization assays show that both mammalian and yeast CAP homologues facilitate cofilin-driven actin filament turnover. We generated HeLa cells with stable CAP1 knockdown using RNA interference. Depletion of CAP1 led to larger cell size and remarkably developed lamellipodia as well as accumulation of filamentous actin (F-actin). Moreover, we found that CAP1 depletion also led to changes in cofilin phosphorylation and localization as well as activation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and enhanced cell spreading. CAP1 forms complexes with the adhesion molecules FAK and Talin, which likely underlie the cell adhesion phenotypes through inside-out activation of integrin signaling. CAP1-depleted HeLa cells also had substantially elevated cell motility as well as invasion through Matrigel. In summary, in addition to generating in vitro and in vivo evidence further establishing the role of mammalian CAP1 in actin dynamics, we identified a novel cellular function for CAP1 in regulating cell adhesion.

The actin cytoskeleton is essential for many cellular functions such as morphogenesis, cytokinesis, and endocytosis and cell migration. Consistently, an aberrant actin cytoskeleton underlies a variety of human diseases, such as neurodegenerative diseases and cancer metastasis (1–4). Modulation of the dynamic balance between filamentous actin (F-actin) and globular actin (G-actin) is a central mechanism of the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton, and one of the major proteins that control actin dynamics is CAP (adenyl cyclase-associated protein).

CAP was first identified as a component of the yeast adenyl cyclase complex (5) and independently in genetic screens to identify components of the yeast Ras/cAMP signaling pathway (5–7). Subsequent studies revealed that CAP homologues are conserved in all eukaryotes studied, including yeast, fungus, plants, and mammals (8). Although the role in Ras signaling does not extend beyond yeast, all CAP homologues appear to function as an actin-regulating protein (8). Not surprisingly, mounting evidence supports roles for CAP deregulation in human cancers; overexpression of both CAP isoforms is found in liver and pancreatic cancers, where they likely promote cancer cell invasion and cancer metastasis (9–13).

CAP homologues share three well conserved structural domains in the N terminus, C terminus, and proline-rich SH3 (Src homology 3) binding middle domain (8). In yeast, the N terminus of CAP binds adenyl cyclase and is sufficient for mediating Ras signaling (8, 14–16). In contrast, the regulation of actin dynamics (and the actin cytoskeleton) is mediated by two independent mechanisms mediated by both the N termini and the C termini; yeast CAP was first shown to bind and sequester monomer actin (G-actin) through its C terminus to maintain a readily available pool of G-actin essential for dynamic actin cytoskeletal reorganization (14). The C terminus is also the most highly conserved domain among CAP homologues.
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logues, and morphological defects in yeast from CAP deletion were rescued by expression of the C terminus alone of the protein or a mouse CAP1 (14, 17). In contrast, the later identified second mechanism goes through the N terminus, which cooperates with cofilin, a key actin depolymerization factor (ADF) family member, to facilitate cofilin-driven actin filament turnover (18, 19). Recently, the WH2 (Wasp homology 2) domain, which localizes between proline-rich stretches of the middle domain, has also been shown to play an important role in recharging actin monomers to facilitate actin turnover (20). Therefore, CAP is a key actin-regulating protein that controls actin dynamics through multiple mechanisms including functioning in the cofilin-mediated depolymerization cycle (21).

Mammals have two CAP isoforms, CAP1 and CAP2, and the same isoforms from different mammalian species share extremely high homology, whereas the homology between two isoforms from the same species is relatively low (8, 22). CAP1 is ubiquitously expressed in almost all tissues and cells, whereas CAP2 has a more restricted expression pattern and is found predominantly in skeletal muscle, cardiac muscle, brain, and skin (22, 23). Taken together, CAP1 appears to be required in most cells, yet CAP2 appears to have unique roles required for specific cells or tissues. Most studies so far have been on CAP1, although recent studies have shed light on the role of CAP2 in the cardiovascular system (23, 24).

Using a stable knockdown (KD) paradigm along with a rescue strategy, we efficiently knocked down CAP1 in HeLa cells. CAP1 KD led to increased actin filaments, similar to previous observations in fibroblasts (25). Unexpectedly, however, we found that CAP1-depleted HeLa cells also had greatly elevated cell motility, invasion, and adhesion. The role of CAP in cell adhesion appears to be through its interactions with the adhesion molecules focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and Talin. Moreover, CAP1 KD cells reduced cofilin phosphorylation. This study also identifies a novel cellular function for CAP1 in regulating cell adhesion, a cellular process that closely cooperates with actin dynamics to drive cell motility (26, 27).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Reagents, Cell Culture, and Transfection—The monoclonal antibody against human CAP1 has been previously described (28). Antibodies against LIM kinase, phospho-LIM kinase, phospho-cofilin Ser-3, and phospho-FAK Tyr-397 were from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). The cofilin antibody was from Cytoskeleton Inc. (Denver, CO). The GFP antibody, the vinculin antibody, and latrunculin A (LA) were from Sigma-Aldrich. Protein A/G beads, goat anti-Talin (C20), goat anti-actin, mouse anti-GAPDH, mouse anti-FAK, tubulin antibody, emerin antibody were all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Dallas, TX). Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin, Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L), fibronectin, and PDGF were from Invitrogen. The subcellular fractionation kit was from Thermo Scientific, and both Slingshot and phospho-Slingshot antibodies were from ECM Biosciences (Versailles, KY). The Matrigel was from BD Biosciences, the tissue culture plates for fluorescence imaging were from MatTek Corp. (Ashland, MA), and the FBS was from HyClone Laboratories Inc. (Logan, UT). Cells were maintained at 37 °C supplemented with 5% CO₂, as described previously (29) along with preparation of cell lysates. Transfection reagent FuGENE 6 was from Roche Diagnostics and was used following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Expression Plasmids, shRNA Constructs, and Generation of CAP1 Re-expression Cells—The mouse CAP1 GFP fusion protein and deletion mutants have been described previously (30). To generate constructs expressing GST-cofilin (wild type and S3D), wild type (WT) human cofilin and the S3D mutant were subcloned into the BamHI and NotI sites of the pGEX4T-2 vector. The following primers were used in PCR amplifications: forward primer for WT, 5'-GGATCCATGCCCTCGGTTGGCT-3'; forward primer for S3D, 5'-GGATCCATGGCCCGGTGGCT-3'; and the reverse primer for both, 5'-GCCGCGCTTCACAAAGGCTTGCCCTC-3'. To express His₅-α-tagged mouse CAP1 in mammalian cells, mouse Cap1 was cloned into the BamHI and NotI sites of the pcDNA4 vector using a forward primer GGATCCATTATGGCTGACATG and a reverse primer GCGGCCGCTTATCCAGCAATT. shRNA constructs targeting human CAP1 on a pRNA-U6.1/Neo vector and establishment of CAP1 KD HeLa stable cells have been described previously (30). The target sequences for two shRNA constructs are 5'-AGATGGATAGAAGCATTGCAT-3' (S2, nucleotides 519–537) and 5'-CAAGACATTGCCAATCAAG-3' (S3, nucleotides 1074–1092). Cells harboring the empty vector or a scrambled S2 that has the same composition to S2 but does not match any human mRNA were used as controls. To generate a CAP1 plasmid that harbors mismatches (pmtCAP1) allowing re-expression of CAP1 in cells harboring S3 shRNA, mismatches were introduced into the S3 target sequence of the mouse Cap1 gene with the following primers: GTAAACACAAACCCTCCAGATAAAAGGCAAA-TTAACTCCATTAC (forward, nucleotides shown in italic are those in the shRNA target sequence, and underlined nucleotides indicate introduced mismatches) and ATTTTGGCTTTTAATCGAGGGTGTGTGCACACTTTGTA (reverse) on a pEGF-B plasmid-based and then subcloned into the BamHI and EcoRI sites of pcDNA4(+) vector using the following primers: GCGGGATCCAGATGGCTGACATGCA- AAATGC (forward) and CCGGAATCTTATCCAGCCAGATTCTGTTC (reverse). The mismatches did not change any amino acid sequence. Stable cells re-expressing mtCAP1 were generated by transfection of S3-2 cells with pmtCAP1 followed by selection with Zeocin at 500 µg/ml for 2 weeks.

Phase Imaging and Confocal Immunofluorescence—Cells were observed and phase images were taken using a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope (with a 10× objective) driven by the IPLAB4 program. For staining of the actin cytoskeleton, cells were grown on MatTek plates overnight and fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 30 min before permeabilization with PBS containing 0.5% Triton for 20–30 min. The cells were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin for 1 h, washed three times with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, and mounted with VECTASHIELD mounting medium from Vector Laboratories Inc. (Burlingame, CA). For staining of cofilin and vinculin, permeabilized cells were blocked by incubating with 3% BSA in PBS supplemented with goat serum for 1 h at room temperature, and cells were then incubated with cofilin or vin-
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cofilin antibody diluted for 50-fold for 1–2 h at room temperature. The cells were washed three times and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing for three times with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, specimens were mounted, and confocal images were taken using a BD Pathway 855 imaging station with a 60× oil objective. Stacks with a 0.5-μm increment were taken for all the specimens.

**Cell Spreading/Adhesion Assays and Calculation of Surface Area of Cells**—For spreading assays, cells were plated on fibronectin-coated plates, and phase images were taken using a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope at the indicated time points. For adhesion assays, 24-well plates were coated with fibronectin for 1 h, and ~2 × 10^5 control and CAP1 KD cells were plated. After 10, 20, and 30 min, cells were washed off the plate with PBS three times, and attached cells were stained with crystal violet solution and scored. For cell area measurement, cells were plated on fibronectin-coated plates overnight before phase images were captured. Cell area was measured using ImageJ software (rsb.info.nih.gov/ij). 50 cells per field were measured individually, and results from three independent experiments were analyzed using Student’s t-test and shown as mean ± S.E.

**Cell Migration and Invasion Assays**—For wound healing assays, control and CAP1 KD HeLa cells were cultured overnight on 6-well plates until confluent. A scratch (wound) was then introduced to the monolayer of cells using a pipette tip, and cells were further cultured for 16 h before images of the wound were captured using the Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope. Transwell assays were conducted similarly to previously described (31); subconfluent cells were serum-starved overnight and then detached. ~2 × 10^5 cells were plated in triplicate onto the Transwell inserts (8-μm pore size, Corning, NY), which had been placed in the wells of 12-well plates filled with medium containing 10 μg/ml PDGF. The cells were incubated overnight and stained for 15 min with a solution of 0.4% crystal violet and 10% ethanol. Non-migratory cells, which remained at the upper side of the insert, were removed by gentle wiping with a cotton swab, and cells that migrated to the other side of the membrane were counted in four random fields excluding the edge. The data were analyzed using Student’s t-test. For invasion assays, Transwell inserts coated with Matrigel on a membrane with 8-μm size pores were used, and the assays were conducted otherwise similarly to the Transwell assays.

**Fractionation of Pellet and Supernatant Actin Fractions**—The pellet and supernatant actin fractionations, which are rich in G actin and F actin, respectively, were prepared by following a recently reported protocol (32) with minor modifications. Cells were cultured to ~80% confluence on 100-mm plates, and cell lysates were prepared by lysing cells in 2 ml of LAS buffer (50 mM PIPES, pH 6.9, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EGTA, 5% v/v glycerol, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Tween, 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM ATP) supplemented with protease inhibitor mixture. Cell lysates were prepared by homogenizing cells with a 25-gauge syringe, and the LA-treated control cells were harvested after treatment with 0.5 μg/ml LA for 1 h. All procedures for fractionation were performed at 37 °C because F-actin is sensitive to the temperature and depolymerizes at room temperature. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 2000 × g for 5 min first to pellet the cell debris, and then the supernatant was further centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1 h (Beckman-Coulter, Ti 70.1 rotor). The pellet from ultracentrifugation, which contains the filament actin, was then suspended in 2 ml of LAS buffer supplemented with 1% SDS. Proportional pellet (mostly F-actin), supernatant (mostly G-actin) fractions were resolved on SDS-PAGE, and actin in each fraction was detected by Western blotting.

**Subcellular Fractionation Assays**—To determine cofilin localization in cells, cells were grown overnight to subconfluence and 2 × 10^6 Cells were fractionated into cytoplasm, nuclear, and membrane fractions using the subcellular protein fractionation kit (33) by following the manufacturer’s protocol. Normalized portions of each extract from a cell lysate of 20 μg total proteins were resolved on SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blotting. Tubulin was used as a marker for cytosol (34), and emerin was used as a marker for nucleus (35).

**Co-immunoprecipitation and GST-Cofilin Pulldown Assays**—For co-immunoprecipitation of CAP1 with FAK and Talin, 250 μl (~300 μg of total proteins) of HeLa cell lysates was rotated/incubated with 5 μg of CAP1 antibody for 2 h at 4 °C followed by the addition of 15 μl of 50% (v/v) protein A/G beads and rotating/incubating for another 2 h. The beads were spun down and washed three times with lysis buffer and resolved on SDS-PAGE to detect co-precipitated FAK and Talin by Western blotting. For GST-cofilin pulldown of CAP1, expression of the GST fusion WT and S3D cofilin was induced in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 with 1 mM isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactoside for 4 h at 37 °C. Bacteria were harvested and homogenized with sonication in PBS buffer supplemented with 1% Triton X-100 and 1 mM DTT. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and rotated/incubated with appropriate volumes of 50% glutathione-Sepharose 4B slurry for 2 h at 4 °C. The beads were then precipitated, washed, and resuspended in PBS buffer containing protase inhibitors until use. The amount of GST-cofilin was estimated based on Coomassie Blue staining of the proteins on gels. For pulldown of endogenous CAP1, 250 μl of HeLa cell lysate (~200 μg of total proteins) was rotated/incubated with ~10 μg GST fusion WT cofilin or the S3D mutant for 2 h at 4 °C. The glutathione-Sepharose beads were washed three times with lysis buffer followed by resolving on SDS-PAGE and blotting with CAP1 antibody for detecting co-precipitated CAP1. Similar procedures were followed to pull down transiently expressed GFP fusion CAP1 and deletion mutants from HEK293T lysates and for detection of the precipitated proteins in Western blotting using a GFP antibody.

**RESULTS**

**Knockdown of CAP1 Led to Morphological and Actin Cytoskeletal Changes in HeLa Cells**—We first tested whether mammalian CAP1, like yeast CAP, binds actin in *vivo* by performing co-precipitation experiments. Hisα-tagged mouse CAP1 transiently expressed in HEK293T cells was precipitated with nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid beads from cell lysate, and a protein that is ~46 kDa on Coomassie Blue-stained gel, which is speculated to be actin, co-precipitated with it (supplemental Fig. LA). Consequent Western blotting with an actin antibody con-
confirmed that the protein that co-precipitated from HeLa cell lysate was indeed actin (supplemental Fig. 1B).

To directly address cellular functions of CAP1, we used vector-based shRNA to stably knock down CAP1 in HeLa cells, which express high levels of CAP1 (Fig. 1A). Two stable knockdown clones, S2-2 and S3-2, derived from independent shRNA constructs S2 and S3, respectively, were generated (30). Both clones have efficient CAP1 knockdown as detected by Western blotting (Fig. 1A) and immunofluorescence (not shown), although S3-2 had relatively more complete CAP1 depletion.
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than S2-2 (Fig. 1A). The quantified results of CAP1 knockdown are shown in Fig. 1B. We did not observe any elevation of CAP2 expression in CAP1 KD cells, which may serve to compensate for the loss of CAP1 function (data not shown).

Because CAP1 is a protein that regulates actin dynamics and the actin cytoskeleton and loss of CAP caused morphological changes in yeast and Dictyostelium (5, 36, 37), we first examined whether CAP1 KD also leads to similar morphological alterations in HeLa cells. Phase imaging revealed a number of alterations in the CAP1 KD cells (Fig. 1C). First, the CAP1 KD cells (both S2-2 and S3-2) are larger than the control cells harboring either an empty vector or a scrambled S2 (indicated with arrows), with quantified cell areas shown in Fig. 1D. Second, many CAP1 KD cells have enhanced lamellipodia (peripheral ruffles), a structure that promotes directional cell migration, as indicated with arrowheads in Fig. 1C, with the percentage of cells with developed lamellipodia quantified and shown in Fig. 1E. We next designed experiments to confirm that the morphological phenotypes were truly derived from CAP1 KD by re-expressing CAP1 in S3-2 cells, which have more complete CAP1 depletion and stronger phenotypes. To do this, we modified the S3 target sequence on mouse CAP1 by introducing seven additional mismatches so that the shRNA in S3-2 cells will not recognize the expressed mutant CAP1 (mtCAP1). We confirmed expression of His6-tagged CAP1 in the stable cells by Western blotting (Fig. 1F), and the results show that the morphological alterations, including the cell size and lamellipodia phenotypes, were rescued (Fig. 1, G and H).

The morphological phenotypes suggest alterations in the actin cytoskeleton, so we next examined it by staining with fluorescent phalloidin, an actin filament-specific probe. We first observed robustly increased stress fibers and lamellipodia using wide field fluorescence imaging (not shown), and we conducted confocal imaging to look into more details. As shown in Fig. 2A, the CAP1 KD cells (both S3-2 and S2-2 cells; cells indicated with arrows are typical ones) had enhanced stress fibers as compared with the control cells. Consistent with observations from the phase imaging (Fig. 1, C and D), phalloidin staining also shows larger cell size in CAP1 KD cells. The stress fiber phenotype is similar to that observed in NIH3T3 fibroblasts with transient CAP1 KD (25) and is consistent with the role of CAP1 as a G-actin sequestering protein; loss of CAP1 would be expected to cause more actin to be polymerized into filaments (14). Similar to the morphological changes, the actin stress fiber phenotype was rescued by re-expression of CAP1 in the rescue cells (Fig. 2B).

To gain further evidence that the loss of CAP1 affected the balance between F-actin and G-actin, we prepared supernatant and pellet fractions from high speed centrifugation for control and CAP1 KD cells followed by Western blotting with actin. The actin fraction in the pellet is primarily F-actin, whereas the actin fraction in the supernatant is primarily G-actin. As shown in Fig. 2C, supernatant actin and pellet actin exist at similar levels in the control cells (cells harboring scrambled S2). However, both S2-2 and S3-2 KD cells had elevated levels of pellet actin as compared with supernatant actin, thus confirming the results from fluorescence imaging that CAP1 KD led to accumulation of actin filaments. As a control for the fractionation experiment, we treated cells with LA, a toxin that inhibits actin polymerization, which caused nearly all of the actin to move to the supernatant, as expected from F-actin depolymerization by LA treatment. The quantified ratios of pellet actin versus supernatant actin are shown in Fig. 2D. Taken together, loss of CAP1 leads to significant changes in the actin cytoskeleton and cell morphology in HeLa cells characterized by increases in F-actin, stress fibers, and lamellipodia. Thus, we provide further in vivo evidence establishing roles for mammalian CAP1 in regulating actin dynamics and cell morphology.

CAP1 Depletion Stimulates HeLa Cell Motility and Invasion—Because actin dynamics are a key driving force of cell motility,
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and CAP1 facilitates actin dynamics, it is expected that CAP1 knockdown will probably reduce cell motility. However, CAP1 KD cells also have enhanced peripheral ruffles (Fig. 1, C and E), which are expected to stimulate cell motility, so we assessed cell motility in the CAP1 KD HeLa cells. We first conducted wound healing assays to assess the effects of CAP1 KD on HeLa cell motility and unexpectedly found that CAP1 KD cells had substantially increased cell motility. As shown in Fig. 3A, the wounds in the CAP1 KD cells (both S3-2 and S2-2) healed almost completely at 16 h after they were introduced, whereas those in the control cells healed only marginally after the same time. Importantly, rescue experiments also show that re-expression of mtCAP1 in KD cells reduced the cell motility phenotype (Fig. 3E). To further establish the effects on cell motility, we also conducted Transwell assays (31); the results were quantified and shown in Fig. 3B. Consistent with the results from wound healing assays, CAP1 KD cells have remarkably increased cell migration as compared with control cells. We further examined whether increased cell invasion accompanies the elevated cell motility in CAP1 KD cells and conducted Matrigel invasion assays. The invasion assays assess the capacity of cells in penetrating Matrigel, which mimics tissue invasion. As shown in the images of invaded cells in Fig. 3C, quantified in Fig. 3D, about twice the number of CAP1 KD cells invaded through the Matrigel as compared with control cells. Taken together, depletion of CAP1 led to substantially increased motility as well as invasion in HeLa cells.

Knockdown of CAP1 Leads to FAK Activation and Enhanced Cell Adhesion—During cell culture, we observed that CAP1 KD cells attached and spread more rapidly on cell culture plates than control cells (not shown), which, together with the motivation of identifying the underlying mechanism driving the motility of the CAP1 KD cells, prompted us to directly test cell adhesion and spreading. We first compared cell spreading on fibronectin-coated tissue culture plates. By 15 min after plating, many of the KD (S3-2) cells had already spread out (Fig. 4A, with arrowheads), as indicated by the formation of peripheral protrusions (S2-2 cells showed similar phenotypes; data not shown). In contrast, only very few control cells spread out. By 2 h after plating, most of the CAP1 KD cells formed multiple large protrusions, whereas the control cells had fewer and smaller protrusions (Fig. 4A, indicated with arrowheads). We next conducted detailed, quantitative adhesion assays with a time course by washing off unattached cells and scoring attached cells to assess the rate of cell adhesion. Both KD and control cells were plated on fibronectin-coated plates, and the unattached cells were washed off with PBS buffer at 10, 20, and 30 min time points; the attached cells that stayed on the plate were stained and scored. As shown in the graph with quantified results (Fig. 4B), KD cells have significantly increased numbers of attached cells than the control cells; thus, depletion of CAP1 enhances cell adhesion and spreading. Because focal adhesions are critical for cell adhesion (38) and vinculin plays a key role in focal adhesions (39), we stained vinculin to visualize focal adhesions. As in Fig. 4C, the confocal images show increased size and area of focal adhesions in CAP1 KD cells. We measured areas of focal adhesions of 20 cells each for KD and control cells, and quantified results of area of focal adhesions per cell are shown in Fig. 4D; the KD cells have significantly increased focal adhesion areas.

FAK is a key molecule that regulates cell adhesion (40). We next looked into whether FAK expression or activity was altered in CAP1 KD cells. For Western blotting, we used a phospho-specific antibody against FAK Tyr-397 to test potential elevations in FAK activity. Tyr-397 is an autophosphorylation site whose phosphorylation is required for focal adhesion dynamics (41), which is critical for cell motility. Phosphorylation at Tyr-397 promotes FAK association with Src (27, 42, 43) and subsequent phosphorylation of additional sites. There was no detectable alteration in FAK expression; however, we observed remarkably elevated FAK phosphorylation at Tyr-397 in both S2-2 and S3-2 CAP1 KD cells as compared with that in control cells (Fig. 5A), which is quantified and shown in Fig. 5B. FAK is phosphorylated in focal adhesions (41); larger focal adhesions in KD cells likely caused more FAK localization to the focal adhesions and contributed to the activation (phosphor-
ylation) of FAK. Importantly, the FAK activation phenotype was also rescued by re-expression of mtCAP1, as shown in Fig. 5C. Thus, CAP1 KD leads to FAK activation.

Because KD of CAP1 in HeLa cells led to activation of FAK, we speculated that there was a physical interaction between CAP1 and FAK, either direct one-on-one binding or indirect association by forming a complex. We thus tested association of CAP1 with FAK, as well as Talin, a cytoskeletal protein (44), which is also a component of focal adhesions that provides a link between integrin and the actin cytoskeleton (26). An interaction between Talin and CAP1 has been observed previously in the yeast two-hybrid system (45). We tested for association of CAP1 with FAK and Talin through immunoprecipitation (IP) assays and found that both FAK and Talin co-precipitated with CAP1 in IP assays (Fig. 5D). We further addressed the specificity of the CAP1/FAK association by including lysates from CAP1 KD (S3-2) cells and cells treated with LA in the IP experiments. As shown in Fig. 5E, CAP1 IP did not precipitate FAK from the CAP1 KD cell lysates. In contrast, depolymerization of the F-actin by LA treatment of cells did not abolish co-IP of FAK with CAP1 (Fig. 5D), suggesting that it is unlikely that F-actin may serve to bridge FAK with CAP1. Thus, CAP1/FAK association is specific.

**Biochemical and Functional Interactions between CAP1 and Cofilin**—Moriyama and Yahara (18) first demonstrated that mammalian CAP1 cooperates with cofilin to facilitate cofilin-driven actin filament turnover. However, the effects of this interaction on cofilin regulation are not known, so we examined the potential effects of CAP1 KD on cofilin phosphorylation. When we examined cofilin phosphorylation at Ser-3, we found that it is dephosphorylated in CAP1 KD cells as compared with controls (Fig. 6A), with the quantified results shown in Fig. 6B. Again, re-expression of CAP1 rescued the cofilin dephosphorylation phenotype (Fig. 6E). Phosphorylation of Ser-3 by LIM kinase is pivotal in regulating cofilin activity as an ADF (46), where LIM kinase is activated by upstream signals from Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK) (47) and p21-activated kinase (PAK) (48). Upon activation, LIM kinase phosphor-ylates cofilin at Ser-3, which prevents actin binding to cofilin, hence turning off its activity as an ADF (46). We tested whether CAP1 KD down-regulated LIM kinase by blotting for LIM kinase and phosphorylated LIM kinase (at Ser-506/Ser-508).
Neither LIM kinase level nor the phosphorylation of the protein was decreased (Fig. 6A), suggesting that phosphatases of cofilin such as Slingshot-1L may be activated (49). We then conducted Western blotting to measure phosphorylation of Slingshot-1L and found it had slightly reduced activity (Fig. 6B). Elevation of phosphorylation at Ser-978 (activity) of the cofilin phosphatase, Slingshot, was increased in CAP1 KD cells (S2-2 and S3-2 Cells; confocal images are shown in Fig. 6C). The quantified results are shown in Fig. 6D. Specificity was confirmed by showing that re-expression of mtCAP1 also reduced Slingshot phosphorylation (Fig. 6E). Thus, neither LIM kinase nor Slingshot appeared to be responsible for the cofilin dephosphorylation in KD cells. We next looked into potential alterations in cofilin phosphorylation (activity) of the cofilin kinase-LIM kinase (indicated by p-cofilin and p-LIMK) was not changed. GAPDH blotting serves as a loading control. Vec, vector. Scr, scrambled S2. B, quantified results of cofilin phosphorylation from three independent experiments analyzed using Student’s t test, and plotted with error bars representing S.E. (* indicates p < 0.05). Signals were normalized to that of the cells harboring the vector (assigned a value of 1.0). C, phosphorylation at Ser-978 (activity) of the cofilin phosphatase, Slingshot-1L (indicated by p-Slingshot), was elevated in CAP1 KD cells. GAPDH blotting serves as a loading control. D, quantified results of Slingshot phosphorylation from three independent experiments analyzed using Student’s t test, and plotted with error bars representing S.E. (* indicates p < 0.05). Signals were normalized to that of the cells harboring the vector (assigned a value of 1.0). E, elevated phosphorylation (activation) of both cofilin and Slingshot was rescued by re-expression of mtCAP1. F, accumulation of cofilin into cytoplasmic aggregates in CAP1 KD cells as shown in confocal images. CAP1 KD and control cells were cultured overnight, fixed, permeabilized, and stained with a cofilin antibody before the confocal images were taken. The arrows indicate the cytoplasmic cofilin aggregates in both S2-2 and S3-2 CAP1 KD cells. G, cell fractionation assays show that cofilin mainly localizes to the cytosol, whereas a small amount localizes to the nucleus. Cells were grown overnight to sub-confluence and fractionated into cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions using the subcellular protein fractionation kit following the manufacturer’s protocol. Proportional amounts of each subcellular fraction were resolved on SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting to detect cofilin and phospho-cofilin (p-cofilin). The samples were also blotted with emerin (nucleus marker) and tubulin (cytosol marker) to verify that the fractionation was well controlled.
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actually had reductions in lamellipodia, whereas the CAP1 KD cells had increases in lamellipodia. Because cell adhesion plays an important role in cell motility (26, 27, 53) and elevated activities of cell adhesion signals can also stimulate cell lamellipodia by activation of Rac/Cdc42 signaling (54, 55), we speculate that collected effects from activated cell adhesion and Rac/Cdc42 signaling probably underlie increased cell motility in the CAP1 KD cells. Thus, it is likely that in CAP1 KD cells, the activated cell adhesion signaling and increases in lamellipodia play roles in overriding negative effects on cell motility that may be caused by reduced actin turnover and additional stress fibers.

The depletion of CAP1 in fibroblasts reduced cell motility (13, 25). However, in these cells, CAP1 depletion did not enhance lamellipodia as we observed in HeLa cells. In addition to the dynamic rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton during cell movement, cell migration also requires coordinated assembly and disassembly of cell adhesion sites (27, 52). A balance in the turnover of focal adhesions is required for optimal cell migration (27, 53, 56) as adhesion provides traction force essential for cell migration. Sufficient traction force will not be generated if there is too little adhesion for the cells to attach well; on the other hand, too much cell adhesion causes too much cell attachment, which will also hinder motility. HeLa cells are not strongly adherent and can be easily adapted to grow in suspension, so increases in adhesion are likely to promote cell motility. The changes in FAK phosphorylation and increased cell spreading show that loss of CAP1 increases cell adhesion and perhaps focal adhesion turnover as well (57). We propose that several factors may have contributed to this phenotype. The first and probably the most important one is that the depletion of CAP1 caused activation of cell adhesion signaling as indicated by FAK activation and enhanced focal adhesion, as shown in the adhesion assays and vinculin staining. Studies have shown that FAK inhibition blocks responses to cell motility cues (42). Moreover, the activated adhesion signaling has been shown to activate the Cdc42/Rac pathway (54, 55), and Cdc42/Rac are well documented drivers of cell migration. We speculate that the positive effects on protrusion and adhesion more than offset the negative effects from reduced actin dynamics. The overall effects on actin filaments appear to be similar in the HeLa cells, as was seen in fibroblasts. However, the differences in cell migration demonstrate that the net effects on cell migration are cell type-specific and not necessarily predictable by indicating the effects of CAP1 loss on a particular actin filament structure such as stress fibers.

The molecular link between CAP1 and cell adhesion may be Talin, which associates with CAP1 in yeast two-hybrid screens and co-precipitates with CAP1 (Fig. 5D). Talin is a cytoskeletal protein that controls integrin activation (44) and is also a component of focal adhesions (26). It binds to the cytoplasmic portion of integrin to induce conformational changes in integrin extracellular domains that result in increased affinity for ligands (58). In this model, depletion of CAP1 is expected to release Talin, enabling more Talin molecules to bind to the cytoplasmic portion of integrin and thus cause integrin activation through what is called the inside-out pathway (59) of integrin activation. Evidence for this model is seen in the increased spreading, adhesion, and FAK phosphorylation in the CAP1 knockdown cells.

The prevailing model for CAP function is the actin dynamics model (18). In this model, CAP actively cooperates with cofilin to depolymerize filaments during cell migration. However, the primary activity of CAP is actin monomer binding and sequestering, so depletion of CAP will reduce the pool of G-actin, making more actin molecules available to form filaments. We measured this directly by showing an alteration in the filament-monomer balance in CAP1 KD cells. Some observations in the KD cells, especially those in static cells, such as increases in stress fibers, do not necessarily require rapid changes, but probably reflect long term changes in the G-actin/F-actin balance rather than dynamic cofilin-dependent pathways.

We also found that depletion of CAP1 reduced phosphorylation of cofilin and caused its accumulation into cytoplasmic aggregates. Reduced cofilin phosphorylation was not associated with changes in expression or activity of LIM kinase or hyperactivation of Slingshot. We propose that cofilin aggregation prevented LIM kinase access. Also, because CAP1 KD leads to robust cofilin dephosphorylation or activation in cells, accumulation into cytoplasmic aggregates may serve as a mechanism to protect the cells by containing the normally high activity of cofilin. The punctate structure (aggregates) may contain cofilin and cleaved actin, which is similar to the rod-like structures seen in neurons treated with cytotoxic stimuli (60, 61). Because deregulation of the actin cytoskeleton has been observed in neurodegenerative diseases, and KD of CAP1 causes cofilin aggregates similar to those seen in Alzheimer disease (62), it would not be surprising if deregulation of CAP1 is found to play roles in neurodegenerative diseases as well.

In conclusion, in addition to providing in vitro and in vivo evidence further establishing roles of mammalian CAP1 in actin dynamics and cofilin machinery, our studies demonstrate a novel role for CAP1 in cell adhesion signaling. The observation that CAP1 KD HeLa cells have increased cell motility shows that, depending on cell context, it can either promote or inhibit cell motility.
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