YTHDF3 facilitates triple-negative breast cancer progression and metastasis by stabilizing ZEB1 mRNA in an m⁶A-dependent manner
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Background: The YTH domain family protein 3 (YTHDF3) is an important N6-methyladenosine (m⁶A) reader which is involved in multiple cancers. However, the biological role and mechanisms of action for YTHDF3 in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) remains to be elucidated.

Methods: The expression of YTHDF3 in TNBC tissues was evaluated using The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, BC-GenExMiner, and immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining. Cell migration, invasion, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) were validated by wound healing assays, transwell assays, and Western blot (WB) analyses. The association between YTHDF3 and zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1 (ZEB1) was confirmed by Pearson correlation analysis. RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays and mRNA actinomycin stability analyses were applied to confirm whether YTHDF3 could interact with ZEB1 in an m⁶A-dependent manner.

Results: The expression of YTHDF3 was correlated with poorer disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) in TNBC patients. Functional experiments indicated that YTHDF3 positively regulated cell migration, invasion, and EMT in TNBC cells. Moreover, ZEB1 was identified as a key downstream target for YTHDF3 and YTHDF3 could enhance ZEB1 mRNA stability in an m⁶A-dependent manner. Inhibition of YTHDF3 reduced migration, invasion, and EMT, all of which were reversed by rescue experiments overexpressing ZEB1.

Conclusions: The findings herein confirmed that the YTHDF3/ZEB1 axis plays an important role in the progression and metastasis of TNBC. YTHDF3 is a promising prognosis biomarker and potential therapeutic target for patients with TNBC.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is now the most common malignant tumor for women worldwide (1). Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is one of the most aggressive BC subtypes, characterized by the lack of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) expression (2). Although TNBC only accounts for about 15–20% of all BC cases, treatment response is generally poor, with high metastatic potential and unfavorable prognosis (3). To date, there is no effective targeted therapy for TNBC other than surgery and conventional chemotherapy (2,4). Therefore, novel prognostic biomarkers and molecular therapeutic targets for TNBC patients are urgently needed.

N6-methyladenosine (m^6A) is the most abundant internal modification of RNA in eukaryotic cells and regulates almost all important biological processes by mRNA splicing, translation, and stability (5-8). There are three types of m^6A regulators which includes m^6A “writers” (m^6A methyltransferases including METTL3, METTL14, and WTAP), “erasers” (m^6A demethylases including FTO and ALKBH5), and “readers” (m^6A binding proteins including YTH domain family protein 1–3, YTH domain containing 1–2 and HNRNPA2B1). Increasing evidence have indicated that the m^6A regulators are involved in multiple cancers and play vital roles in tumor cell proliferation and metastasis (9,10). Several m6A regulators, such as METTL3, FTO and HNRNPA2B1, have been identified to promote the malignant progression and therapeutic response of BC (11-14). YTH domain family protein 3 (YTHDF3) is an important reader as it plays a significant role in modulating translation of m^6A-modified mRNAs and affects methylated mRNA decay in an m^6A-methylation-dependent manner (15,16). YTHDF3 has been shown to contribute to the progression of several types of tumors, including gastric and colorectal cancer (17,18). In patients with BC, YTHDF3 has been associated with brain metastasis and poor prognosis (19,20). However, to date, there have been no studies specifically describing the function of YTHDF3 in TNBC. Our previous proteomic study indicated that YTHDF3 was highly expressed in TNBC tumor tissues with more advanced grade (21). Therefore, this study was conducted to validate the expression and function of YTHDF3 in TNBC.

The present study showed that YTHDF3 expression was closely associated with lymph node metastasis and higher histological grade in patients with TNBC. YTHDF3 was also indicated to be an independent prognostic biomarker for TNBC patients and knockdown of YTHDF3 inhibited the migration, invasion, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in TNBC cells. Furthermore, the EMT transcriptional factor zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1 (ZEB1) was identified as a key target of YTHDF3 and YTHDF3 could enhance ZEB1 mRNA stability in an m^6A-dependent manner. Taken together, these findings offer insights into novel therapeutic targets in patients with TNBC.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at https://atm.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/atm-21-6857/rc).

Methods

Gene expression profiling analysis

The microarray datasets of TNBC patients were extracted from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). BC-GenExMiner (http://bcgenex.ico.unicancer.fr/) is a statistical mining tool with published annotated BC transcriptomic data (22). The prognostic module was used to analyze the prognostic effects of YTHDF3 in TNBC.

TNBC tumor samples

A total of 224 TNBC tissue specimens were selected from female patients (aged 27–72 years) undergoing surgery in the Fujian Medical University Union Hospital between June 2013 and June 2018. All patients received radical surgery and had at least six cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy. Disease-free survival (DFS) was calculated as the time from the date of diagnosis to the date of clinical relapse (with histopathology confirmation or radiological evidence of tumor recurrence). Overall survival (OS) was calculated as the time from the date of diagnosis until death from any cause. The last follow-up time was July 1, 2021. All patients agreed to the use of their specimens and signed the informed consent forms. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Fujian Medical University Union Hospital (No. 2021KJCX039). All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).
Cell culture and transfection

The human TNBC cell lines MDA-MB-231, BT-549, HCC1937, and Hs578T were purchased from the Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of The Chinese Academy of Sciences. Cell were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; HyClone, Logan, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, Carlsbad, USA) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin solution and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. The short hairpin RNA (shRNA) targeting YTHDF3 was subcloned into the GV493 lentiviral shRNA vector (Genechem, Shanghai, China). For overexpression of ZEB1, the construct was generated by subcloning PCR amplified full-length human ZEB1 cDNA into the vector. The constructed lentiviral vectors were packaged into viruses in 293 T cells which were then harvested and the concentrated viruses were added to TNBC cells and cultured for 72 hours. Gene sequences are provided in Table S1.

Quantitative real time-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) and the complementary DNA was synthesized by PrimeScript RT Master Mix (Takara, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The SYBR Green kit (Takara, Dalian, China) was used for qRT-PCR (model 7500 Real-Time PCR System; Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene was used for normalization of data. The fold change in gene expression was calculated using the 2^−ΔΔct method with three independent replicates of all biological samples. The primer sequences are listed in Table S1.

Wound healing migration assay

Cells were seeded onto 96-well plates and cultured overnight. A line wound was made by scraping a 10 µL pipette tip across the confluent cell layer. The floating cells were washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and the serum-free medium was applied to maintain the cells. Cell images were captured at 0 and 24 hours with an inverted light microscope.

Transwell invasion assays

Cells were washed with PBS and suspended in DMEM without FBS at 1×10⁵ cells/mL. The upper chamber of the Transwell (Corning, New York, USA) was precoated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) and filled with 100 µL of cell suspension. The lower chamber was filled with 600 µL DMEM supplemented with 30% FBS. After incubation for 24 hours at 37 °C, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes and stained with 0.5% crystal violet for 5 minutes at room temperature. The chamber was then washed with PBS solution and the cells on the surface of the chamber were wiped off with cotton swabs. The images of the stained cells on the lower side were captured (×200 magnification).

WB analysis

Total protein was extracted using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) and the concentration was determined with the BCA Protein Assay Kits (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). A total of 20 µg of protein was loaded for sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Samples were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes and incubated with the following primary antibodies: anti-YTHDF3 (ab220161, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-ZEB1 (21544-1-AP, Proteintech, Wuhan, China), anti-E-cadherin (20874-1-AP, Proteintech, Wuhan, China), anti-N-cadherin (22018-1-AP, Proteintech, Wuhan, China), anti-vimentin (10366-1-AP, Proteintech, Wuhan, China), and anti-GAPDH (ab181602, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Membranes were then incubated with a secondary horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody and visualized with the ECL reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining

IHC staining analysis was performed on paraffin-embedded tissues to assess the protein expression of YTHDF3 in TNBC tissues. Slides were incubated with anti-YTHDF3 (1:800; ab220161, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and anti-ZEB1 (1:800, 21544-1-AP, Proteintech, Wuhan, China) antibody according to the standard procedure. The IHC staining scores of YTHDF3 were evaluated by 2 independent
pathologists blinded to the corresponding patients. The percentage of stained positive cells was scored from 1 to 4 as followed: 1 point for 0–25% of cells stained; 2 points for 26–50% of cells stained; 3 points for 51–75% of cells stained; and 4 points for 75–100% of cells stained. The staining intensity score was calculated from 0 to 3 as follows: 0 points indicates no staining; 1 point indicates weak staining; 2 points indicates moderate staining; and 3 points indicates strong staining. The percentage of positive tumor cells and the staining intensity were multiplied to produce a weighted score for each patient. A score of 8–12 was defined as high expression level and a score of 0–7 was defined as low expression.

RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay

The RIP assay was conducted with Magna RIP Kits (Millipore, Billerica, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The antibodies used in this study were anti-YTHDF3 (ab220161, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-m6a (202003, Synaptic Systems, Goettingen, Germany), and normal IgG. The RNA complexes were extracted by proteinase K and phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol, and amplified by qRT-PCR.

RNA stability assay

To detect the ZEB1 mRNA stability, the cells were treated with actinomycin (6 mg/mL) for 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 hours. The relative RNA level was measured by qRT-PCR.

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was repeated 3 times and presented as the means ± standard deviation (SD) in this study. The student's t-test was used to compare variables between two groups. The Chi-square test was used to examine the clinicopathological characteristics between patients with high and low YTHDF3 expression. The correlation between the expression levels of YTHDF3 and ZEB1 was evaluated by Spearman rank correlation coefficients. Survival curves were plotted by the Kaplan-Meier method and analyzed by log-rank tests. Cox proportional hazard regression model was performed for univariate and multivariate survival analysis. A two-sided P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 20.0 software (IBM, USA) and GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (USA).

Results

YTHDF3 is correlated with clinicopathological features and predicts poor prognosis in TNBC patients

To detect YTHDF3 expression in TNBC tumor tissues, data mining was performed and the YTHDF3 mRNA profiles from the publicly available TCGA database and BC-GenExMiner were analyzed. The mRNA expression of YTHDF3 was shown to be associated with the DFS for patients with TNBC [hazard ratio (HR) =6.79, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.13 to 7.01, P=0.048; and HR =1.27, 95% CI: 1.09 to 1.48; Figure 1A,1B]. Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining was conducted on the 224 TNBC patient specimens and the YTHDF3 protein expression level was scored based on the staining intensity and percentage of positive tumor cells (Figure 1C). Consistent with our previous proteomic study (16), high YTHDF3 expression was correlated with more advanced histological grade (P=0.014; Table 1). In addition, patients with elevated expression of YTHDF3 had a greater probability of lymph node metastasis (P=0.028; Table 1). Moreover, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that high YTHDF3 expression exhibited a significantly poorer DFS and OS compared to those patients with low YTHDF3 expression (Figure 1D,1E). Multivariate Cox analysis revealed that YTHDF3 expression is an independent prognostic factor for both DFS and OS (HR=1.93, 95% CI: 1.14 to 3.28, P=0.015; and HR=1.99, 95% CI: 1.02 to 3.86, P=0.044, respectively; Table 2). In summary, these results indicated that high expression of YTHDF3 is a risk factor and YTHDF3 may be a potential prognostic biomarker for patients with TNBC.

 Suppressing YTHDF3 inhibits migration, invasion, and endothelial-mesenchymal transition in TNBC cells

The protein expression of YTHDF3 was assessed in 4 TNBC cell lines (Figure 2A). The MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cell lines showed higher YTHDF3 expression and were selected for further studies. Three shRNAs were designed and synthesized to suppress YTHDF3 expression and were selected for further studies. Three shRNAs were designed and synthesized to suppress YTHDF3 expression in these cell lines (Figure 2B). The shRNAs that exhibited higher interference efficiency was validated by WB and chosen for subsequent experiments (Figure 2C). The wound healing assay demonstrated that YTHDF3 inhibition significantly reduced the migration potential of both cell lines (Figure 2D). In the transwell assays, a notably decrease in invasion ability was observed when
Figure 1 YTHDF3 expression is correlated with poor prognosis in TNBC patients. (A,B) Kaplan-Meier analysis of the association between DFS and YTHDF3 mRNA expression in TNBC patients using TCGA database and BC-GenExMiner. (C) Representative IHC images of weak, moderate, and strong staining of YTHDF3 in TNBC tumor tissues (×200 magnification, scale bar: 50 μm). (D,E) Kaplan-Meier analysis of the association between DFS and OS with YTHDF3 protein expression in TNBC patients using the IHC method. YTHDF3, the YTH domain family 3; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; DFS, disease-free survival; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; IHC, immunohistochemistry; OS, overall survival.

YTHDF3 expression was knocked down (Figure 2E). In addition, WB ting was performed to assess the expression levels of several EMT markers related to cell migration and invasion. Knockdown of YTHDF3 upregulated the expression of E-cadherin and downregulated the expression of N-cadherin and vimentin compared with the shRNA negative control group (shCtrl) (Figure 2F). Taken together, these findings demonstrated that YTHDF3 promotes the migration, invasion, and EMT ability in TNBC cells.
Table 1 Associations of YTHDF3 expression with clinicopathological characteristics for triple-negative breast cancer patients

| Characteristics                  | All patients (n=224) | Low YTHDF3 (n=123) | High YTHDF3 (n=101) | P value<sup>a</sup> |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Age at diagnosis(years)          |                      |                     |                      | 0.570               |
| ≤50                              | 104 (46.4%)          | 55                  | 49                   |                     |
| >50                              | 120 (53.6%)          | 68                  | 52                   |                     |
| Tumor size                       |                      |                     |                      | 0.706               |
| ≤2 cm                            | 94 (42.0%)           | 53                  | 41                   |                     |
| >2 cm                            | 130 (58.0%)          | 70                  | 60                   |                     |
| Lymph node metastasis            |                      |                     |                      | 0.028               |
| No                               | 131 (58.5%)          | 80                  | 51                   |                     |
| Yes                              | 93 (41.5%)           | 43                  | 50                   |                     |
| Tumor Grade                      |                      |                     |                      | 0.014               |
| I + II                           | 84 (37.5%)           | 55                  | 29                   |                     |
| III                              | 140 (62.5%)          | 68                  | 72                   |                     |
| Lymphovascular invasion          |                      |                     |                      | 0.121               |
| No                               | 141 (62.9%)          | 83                  | 58                   |                     |
| Yes                              | 83 (37.1%)           | 40                  | 43                   |                     |

<sup>a</sup>, the P value was calculated among all groups by the Chi-square test. YTHDF3, the YTH domain family 3.

**YTHDF3 regulates ZEB1 expression in TNBC**

EMT is regulated by a complicated network. It is well known that several EMT transcriptional factors (EMT-TFs), namely SNAIL, TWIST, and members of the ZEB family, play vital roles in metastasis and drug resistance in cancer (23-27). To explore the underlying mechanisms of YTHDF3 in TNBC, the correlation between the mRNA expression levels of YTHDF3 and EMT-TFs in TNBC samples was examined using the TCGA database (Figure 3A-F). TWIST2, ZEB1, and ZEB2 were found to be correlated with YTHDF3 (r=-0.156, P=0.004; r=0.243, P=0.0016; and r=0.231, P=0.0027, respectively). Subsequently, YTHDF3 was stably knocked down in the MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cell lines and the expression of TWIST2, ZEB1, and ZEB2 was examined. Indeed, knockdown of YTHDF3 markedly decreased ZEB1 mRNA and protein expression in both cell lines (Figure 3G,H). Therefore, ZEB1 was identified as a downstream target for YTHDF3 in TNBC.

**YTHDF3 enhances ZEB1 mRNA stability by m<sup>6</sup>A modification**

Since YTHDF3 is an important m<sup>6</sup>A reader, we investigated whether YTHDF3 could interact with ZEB1 mRNA in an m<sup>6</sup>A-dependent manner. The results of the RIP assay revealed that YTHDF3 could bind to ZEB1 mRNA in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 TNBC cells (Figure 4A). In addition, the ZEB1 mRNA in TNBC was modified with a m6A modification (Figure 4B). The mRNA actinomycin stability analysis showed that YTHDF3 inhibition impaired the stability of the ZEB1 mRNA in both MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells (Figure 4C,4D). The above data confirmed that YTHDF3 could enhance ZEB1 mRNA stability in an m<sup>6</sup>A-dependent manner.

**The YTHDF3/ZEB1 axis is involved in the progression of TNBC**

To further evaluate the effects of the YTHDF3/ZEB1 axis...
Table 2 Univariate and multivariate cox proportional hazard model for DFS and OS in TNBC patients

| Variables                          | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                    | DFS                 | OS                    | DFS                     | OS                     |
|                                    | HR (95% CI)         | P*                   | HR (95% CI)            | P*                    | HR (95% CI)           | P*                    |
| Age (years)                        |                     |                       |                        |                       |                       |                       |
| ≤50                                | Reference           | Reference             | Reference              | Reference             |                       |                       |
| >50                                | 0.56 (0.34–0.95)    | 0.031                 | 0.81 (0.43–1.55)       | 0.526                 | 0.76 (0.44–1.30)     | 0.308                 |
| Tumor size                         |                     |                       |                        |                       |                       |                       |
| ≤2 cm                              | Reference           | Reference             | Reference              | Reference             |                       |                       |
| >2 cm                              | 1.97 (1.12–3.46)    | 0.019                 | 2.09 (1.01–4.32)       | 0.046                 | 2.08 (1.17–3.71)     | 0.013                 |
| Lymph nodes metastasis             |                     |                       |                        |                       |                       |                       |
| No                                 | Reference           | Reference             | Reference              | Reference             |                       |                       |
| Yes                                | 2.25 (1.34–3.79)    | 0.002                 | 2.46 (1.27–4.78)       | 0.008                 | 1.85 (1.08–3.17)     | 0.026                 |
| Grade                              |                     |                       |                        |                       |                       |                       |
| I + II                             | Reference           | Reference             | Reference              | Reference             |                       |                       |
| III                                | 1.94 (1.08–3.49)    | 0.026                 | 1.99 (0.94–4.22)       | 0.072                 | 1.83 (1.01–3.31)     | 0.047                 |
| Lymphovascular invasion            |                     |                       |                        |                       |                       |                       |
| No                                 | Reference           | Reference             | Reference              | Reference             |                       |                       |
| Yes                                | 1.73 (1.04–2.88)    | 0.036                 | 1.76 (0.92–3.36)       | 0.086                 | 1.59 (0.94–2.70)     | 0.084                 |
| YTHDF3 expression                  |                     |                       |                        |                       |                       |                       |
| Low                                | Reference           | Reference             | Reference              | Reference             |                       |                       |
| High                               | 2.22 (1.31–3.74)    | 0.003                 | 2.21 (1.14–4.30)       | 0.019                 | 1.93 (1.14–3.28)     | 0.015                 |

* denotes the P value was adjusted by the univariate Cox proportional hazard regression model. DFS, disease free survival; OS, overall survival; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; YTHDF3, the YTH domain family 3.

on TNBC, functional rescue experiments were conducted with YTHDF3 shRNA and ZEB1 overexpression vectors in TNBC cells. Wound healing and transwell assays revealed that the reduced migration and invasion ability induced by YTHDF3 inhibition could be recovered by ZEB1 overexpression (Figure 5A,5B). Moreover, the overexpression of ZEB1 also caused the downregulation of E-cadherin, as well as the upregulation of N-cadherin and vimentin in YTHDF3 knockdown cells (Figure 5C). IHC staining was performed to assess the relationship between ZEB1 and YTHDF3 through Spearman’s correlation analysis. As shown in Figure 5D,5E, the protein levels of ZEB1 were positively correlated with that of YTHDF3 in TNBC tumor tissues. Survival curve analysis also identified that patients with high ZEB1 expression were associated with poorer DFS and OS compared with patients with low ZEB1 expression (Figure 5F). Moreover, a significantly poorer prognosis was found in TNBC patients with high expression levels of both YTHDF3 and ZEB1 (Figure 5G). Collectively, these findings suggested that ZEB1 is crucial for YTHDF3-mediated TNBC migration, invasion, and EMT. Indeed, the YTHDF3/ZEB1 axis is important in the progression of TNBC.

Discussion

TNBC is the most invasive subtype of BC with unfavorable outcomes. The lack of ER, PR, and HER2 expression renders TNBC patients inaccessible to endocrine or anti-HER2 target therapy. Currently, with no effective and clinically applicable therapeutic targets, the most common treatment strategy for TNBC is a combination of...
Figure 2 Knockdown of YTHDF3 suppresses cell migration, invasion, and EMT in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 TNBC cells. (A) The protein expression of YTHDF3 in the MDA-MB-231, BT-549, HCC1937, and HS578T TNBC cell lines was detected by Western blot. (B,C) The knockdown efficiency of shYTHDF3 was analyzed by qRT-PCR and Western blot in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells. (D,E) Cell migration and invasion ability were measured using the wound healing assay and transwell assay with YTHDF3 inhibition in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells (transwell assay with crystal violet staining, ×200 magnification). (F) The protein levels of the EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin) were detected by Western blot with YTHDF3 knockdown in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells. **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001. YTHDF3, the YTH domain family 3; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; EMT, endothelial mesenchymal transition; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time-polymerase chain reaction.

surgery and adjuvant/neoadjuvant chemotherapy (28-30). Therefore, identification of novel regulatory molecules and promising therapeutic targets is beneficial to developing new treatment strategies for patients with TNBC.

m^6^A is the most common post-transcriptional modification of RNA in eukaryotes, and has been identified to play key roles in various types of tumors (9,10). YTHDF3 is a crucial m^6^A reader and is associated with the progression of gastric and colorectal cancer (17,18). Previous studies have also demonstrated that YTHDF3 can induce brain metastasis in BC patients by enhancing the translation of m^6^A-enriched transcripts for ST6GALNAC5, gap junction alpha-1 protein (GJA1), and EGFR (19). However, there is a paucity of data regarding the function of
YTHDF3 in TNBC. In this current study, the association of YTHDF3 expression with patient clinicopathological parameters and outcomes was evaluated using the TCGA database, the BC-GenExMiner tool, and IHC staining. The increased protein expression of YTHDF3 was closely related to more advanced histological grade and greater probability of lymph node metastasis. In addition, higher mRNA and protein expression of YTHDF3 was correlated with shortened survival for patients with TNBC. The above results collectively indicated that YTHDF3 may act as a promising biomarker for the prognosis of TNBC patients.

To further determine the function role of YTHDF3 in TNBC progression, a series of in vitro experiments were performed. Knockdown of YTHDF3 dramatically reduced the migration and invasion abilities of TNBC cells compared with the shRNA control group. It has been well demonstrated that EMT plays an important role in tumor progression and metastasis (31,32). The downregulation of epithelial markers such as E-cadherin and the upregulation of mesenchymal markers such as N-cadherin and vimentin are common hallmarks of EMT (24). Numerous studies have indicated that several m^6^A regulators,
Figure 4 YTHDF3 enhances ZEB1 mRNA stability in an m\(^6\)A-dependent manner. (A) RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay confirmed that YTHDF3 can bind to ZEB1 mRNA in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells. (B) RIP assay validated that ZEB1 mRNA was modified with m\(^6\)A modification in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells. (C,D) The mRNA stability analysis verified that ZEB1 mRNA was drastically decreased after YTHDF3 knockdown in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001. YTHDF3, the YTH domain family 3; ZEB1, zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1; m\(^6\)A, N6-methyladenosine.

including METTL3, METTL14, FTO, YTHDF1, and HNRNPA2B1, can promote tumor metastasis with an EMT-feature (33-38). Our study also revealed that YTHDF3 plays a critical role in EMT for TNBC. The expression levels of E-cadherin were upregulated, while N-cadherin and vimentin expression were downregulated following the inhibition of YTHDF3. The correlation between YTHDF3 and EMT-TFs (SNAIL, TWIST, and ZEB families) was examined using TNBC samples in TCGA database. Interestingly, TWIST2, ZEB1, and ZEB2 were the 3 EMT-TFs found to be correlated with YTHDF3. Subsequent qRT-PCR and WB experiments confirmed that only ZEB1 mRNA and protein expression were markedly decreased in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cell lines. All these data confirmed that ZEB1 may be a downstream target for YTHDF3 in TNBC.

ZEB1 protein is a key transcriptional factor that promotes cellular plasticity and EMT in tumor cells (39,40). It has been reported to induce BC chemotherapy resistance and can transform non-invasive BC cells into highly malignant cancer stem cells (CSCs) (41-44). Since YTHDF3 is an important m\(^6\)A reader and previous studies have demonstrated that it can enhance ZEB1 mRNA stability via an m\(^6\)A-dependent manner in hepatocellular carcinoma (45), the YTD3-ZEB1 interaction was further investigated using RIP assays. The relevant results indicated that YTHDF3 can bind to ZEB1 mRNA in TNBC cells and ZEB1 mRNA in TNBC can be modified with m\(^6\)A modification. In addition, mRNA actinomycin stability analysis also demonstrated that inhibition of YTHDF3 can significantly impaired the stability of ZEB1 mRNA in MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells, further confirming that YTHDF3 can enhance ZEB1 mRNA stability in an m\(^6\)A-dependent manner.

Functional rescue experiments were performed to verify the effects of the YTHDF3/ZEB1 axis on TNBC.
The reduced migration and invasion ability induced by YTHDF3 inhibition could be recovered by ZEB1 overexpression. Moreover, the overexpression of ZEB1 also caused the downregulation of E-cadherin, and the upregulation of N-cadherin and vimentin in YTHDF3 knockdown cells. The correlations between YTHDF3 and ZEB1 in TNBC patients was assessed by IHC staining of surgical specimens. The protein expression of YTHDF3
was positively correlated with the expression of ZEB1. Patients with high ZEB1 expression endured a relatively poorer DFS and OS compared with patients with low ZEB1 expression. In addition, a significantly poorer survival was observed in patients with high expression levels of both YTHDF3 and ZEB1. Together, these results may represent a novel therapeutic target in the treatment of TNBC.

Conclusions

In summary, this investigation demonstrated that YTHDF3 is associated with poor prognosis for patients with TNBC and promotes the migration, invasion, and EMT of TNBC cells. ZEB1 was identified as a key downstream target for YTHDF3 and YTHDF3 can enhance ZEB1 mRNA stability in an m^6A-dependent manner. Taken together, these findings suggest that YTHDF3 may be a critical prognostic factor and potential therapeutic target in TNBC.
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