Dynamics of fluctuations in the Gaussian model with dissipative Langevin Dynamics
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Abstract. We study the dynamics of the fluctuations of the variance $s$ of the order parameter of the Gaussian model, following a temperature quench of the thermal bath. At each time $t$, there is a critical value $s_c(t)$ of $s$ such that fluctuations with $s > s_c(t)$ are realized by condensed configurations of the systems, i.e., a single degree of freedom contributes macroscopically to $s$. This phenomenon, which is closely related to the usual condensation occurring on average quantities, is usually referred to as condensation of fluctuations. We show that the probability of fluctuations with $s < \inf_t [s_c(t)]$, associated to configurations that never condense, after the quench converges rapidly and in an adiabatic way towards the new equilibrium value. The probability of fluctuations with $s > \inf_t [s_c(t)]$, instead, displays a slow and more complex behavior, because the macroscopic population of the condensing degree of freedom is involved.

1. Introduction

Rare events in statistical systems play a relevant role in several fields of science \[1\textsuperscript{–}3\]. Understanding these phenomena is therefore of paramount theoretical and practical importance. The law of large numbers, which concerns only the average values of the observables of interest, or the knowledge of the small fluctuations about the mean, which are regulated by the central limit theorem under certain assumptions, do not provide access to the interesting features emerging when large deviations set in. These rare fluctuations can play a significant role, for example, when they may drive a system into an absorbing state \[1\].

In order to investigate large deviations, consider a system which is described by a set of (micro)variables $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}$, where $i$ is a generic label for the degrees of freedom, with probability distribution $P([\varphi], t)$ depending on a set of control parameters $t$. For Example, if the model system is a gas, $i$ labels the particles, $\varphi_i$ are the position and momenta of a molecule, and $t$ represents temperature, volume etc. The probability $P(S, t)$ to observe a value $S$ of a collective variable $S[\varphi]$ (e.g., the energy in the example) is

$$P(S, t) = \int D\varphi \, P([\varphi], t) \delta(S - S[\varphi]).$$

When one is able to access the full probability distribution $P(S, t)$, all the information about the fluctuations are of course available. However, this is hardly the case, and a way to learn
something about fluctuations of $S[\varphi]$ beyond the central limit theorem is to focus on large
deviations in which the intensive variable $S[\varphi]/V$ takes a certain value $s$, where $V$ is the system
size [3]. Typically, the probability of the latter is suppressed as $V$ increases, realizing the so
called large deviation principle (LDP). A way to rationalize the LDP is the following: consider
Eq. (1), using the Laplace representation of the delta function
$$\delta(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} dz \ e^{-zy},$$
where $a$ is a real number such that the argument of the integral is analytic for $\text{Re} \ z > a$, one
can write
$$P(S, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} dz \ e^{-V[z s + \lambda(z,t)]},$$
(2)
where $s = S/V$ is the intensive variable connected to $S$ and we introduced the scaled cumulant
generating function
$$\lambda(z, t) = -\frac{1}{V} \ln \int D\varphi \ P([\varphi], t) e^{z S[\varphi]}.$$  
(3)
For large $V$, the integral in Eq. (2) can be evaluated via a saddle-point approximation and thus
$$P(S, t) \sim e^{-VI(s,t)},$$
(4)
where we introduced the rate function $I(s, t)$, which encodes all the information about the
fluctuations of the observable, defined by
$$I(s, t) \equiv z^*(s, t)s + \lambda(z^*(s, t), t),$$
(5)
being $z^*(s, t)$ determined by the saddle-point condition $\partial \lambda(z, t)/\partial z + s = 0$. Equation (4)
embodies the LDP. The rate function is in general a non-concave function of $s$, which has a
minimum at where $s$ equals its average value. The LDP allows one to study exponentially (in $V$) rare fluctuations of $S$ of order $V$ which can display various interesting phenomena such as,
e.g., singularities [4–20]. Calling $s_c$ one of these singular points, configurations of the system
which realize fluctuations with $s < s_c$ or $s > s_c$ are qualitatively different, and this can be
interpreted as a phase transition at the level of fluctuations [4].

Large deviation theory has been successfully employed for studying stationary properties of
both equilibrium and non-equilibrium stochastic processes [3]. However, a clear understanding
of the kinetics of large fluctuations is still lacking. In this work we consider the fluctuations
dynamics in the Gaussian model, a standard statistical mechanical model which may be regarded
as the simplest Ginzburg-Landau theory for the description of the disordered phase of Ising-like
systems [21]. In this approach, the probability distribution of the order parameter variance $S$, the observable we focus on, displays a singular point in $S = S_c$ both in and out of equilibrium [4,22][22-30]. This fact is associated to the so-called condensation of fluctuations [22],
a phenomenon whereby certain fluctuations are realized by a condensation mechanism in which a
degree of freedom becomes macroscopically populated, similarly to what happens in usual condensation phenomena, e.g., in the Bose gas. The difference is that in usual condensation the phenomenon is associated to the typical behavior, whereas it occurs here only at the level of certain rare spontaneous fluctuations. Extending the work done in Ref. [30], we show that the
dynamical properties of large deviations are non trivial in the presence of such a condensation.

2. The model
The Gaussian model [31][32] describes a real scalar field $\varphi(\vec{x})$ with Hamiltonian
$$\mathcal{H}[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_V d\vec{x} \left[ (\nabla \varphi(\vec{x}))^2 + r \varphi^2(\vec{x}) \right],$$
(6)
where the parameter \( r \geq 0 \) is related to the equilibrium correlation length \( \xi = r^{-1/2} \) \cite{31} and \( V \) is the volume of the system in \( d \) spatial dimensions. Here we focus on a non-conserved order parameter (NCOP) dynamics, the so-called Model A \cite{33}. The time evolution of the field is thus given by the Langevin equation \cite{31}

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(\vec{x}, t) = [\nabla^2 - r] \varphi(\vec{x}, t) + \eta(\vec{x}, t),
\]

where \( \eta(\vec{x}, t) \) is Gaussian white noise, due to a thermal bath in equilibrium at inverse temperature \( \beta \), with zero average and correlations

\[
\langle \eta(\vec{x}, t) \eta(\vec{x}', t') \rangle = 2\beta^{-1} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \delta(t - t').
\]

The protocol we consider amounts at a temperature quench of the bath temperature, from \( \beta_i = (k_B T_i)^{-1} \) to \( \beta_f > \beta_i \), where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, occurring at the time \( t = 0 \). The problem is linear and in Fourier space the solution reads

\[
\varphi_k(t) = \varphi_k(0) e^{-\omega_k t} + \int_0^t dt' e^{-\omega_k (t-t')} \zeta_k(t'),
\]

where \( \zeta_k(t) = \int_V d\vec{x} \varphi(\vec{x}, t) e^{ik\cdot\vec{x}} \) are the Fourier components of the order parameter field (and similarly \( \zeta_k(t) \) for the noise \( \eta(\vec{x}, t) \)), and \( \omega_k := k^2 + r \). The field correlator reads \cite{22}

\[
\langle \varphi_k(t) \varphi_{-k}(t) \rangle = \langle \varphi_k(0) \varphi_{-k}(0) \rangle e^{-2\omega_k t} + \frac{\beta_f^{-1} V}{\omega_k} (1 - e^{-2\omega_k t}),
\]

with \( \langle \ldots \rangle_0 \) denoting average over the initial conditions.

In the scheme outlined in the previous section, the configuration \( \varphi \) of the field at time \( t \), i.e., the collection of the \( k \)-modes, describes the microstate of the system. Due to the linear nature of the problem, the probability distribution \( P(\varphi, t) \) is Gaussian at all times, with

\[
P(\varphi, t) = \prod_k Z_k^{-1}(t) \exp\left\{ -\frac{\varphi_k \varphi_{-k}}{2\langle \varphi_k(t) \varphi_{-k}(t) \rangle} \right\},
\]

where \( Z_k(t) \) are normalization constants. The variance of the field – the observable we focus on – is given by

\[
S(\varphi) = \int_V d\vec{x} \varphi^2(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_k \varphi_k(t) \varphi_{-k}(t).
\]

The probability distribution of this quantity, at any time, is given by Eq. \cite{1} where the parameters \( t \) amount to the time elapsed after the quench. It has been shown in Ref. \cite{23} that, for spatial dimensions \( d > 2 \), the probability distribution of this observable is singular, in the sense that there exists a critical value of the fluctuations \( S_c(t) \) above which fluctuations are condensed. In particular, for \( S > S_c(t) \) the contribution to \( P(S, t) \) of the zero mode in Eq. \cite{12} is macroscopic \cite{22}. This implies that the rate function (see Eq. \cite{4}) is strictly convex for \( s < s_c(t) \) while rectifies for \( s > s_c(t) \) \cite{22}, i.e.,

\[
I(s, t) = \begin{cases} z^*(s, t) s + \lambda (z^*(s, t), t) & \text{for } s \leq s_c(t), \\ (s - s_c)V/2 + I(s_c, t) & \text{for } s > s_c(t). \end{cases}
\]

Note that the slope of the straight part of \( I \) depends only on the zero mode \( \varphi_0 \), because this is the condensing degree of freedom.
3. Dynamics of fluctuations

Before discussing the quench dynamics, it is useful to recall a scaling property of the equilibrium state and of the associated probability distribution \( P_{eq}(S) \) that will be useful in the following. In particular, it turns out that

\[
P_{eq}(S) = f \left( \frac{S}{\langle S \rangle} \right),
\]

where \( \langle S \rangle = \int_0^\infty dS S P(S) = \beta^{-1} \sum_k \omega_k^{-1} \) is the average value of \( S \). Indeed by changing variable as \( \psi_{\vec{k}} = (\langle S(t) \rangle/V)^{-1/2} \phi_{\vec{k}} \) in Eq. (1) leads to

\[
P(S,t) = Z^{-1}(t) \int D\psi \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\vec{k}} \left[ \frac{\psi_{\vec{k}} \psi_{-\vec{k}}}{\langle \psi_{\vec{k}}(t) \psi_{-\vec{k}}(t) \rangle} \right] \times \delta \left( \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{k}} \psi_{\vec{k}} \psi_{-\vec{k}} - \frac{S}{\langle S(t) \rangle} \right) \right\},
\]

where \( Z \) is a normalization constant. At equilibrium the time dependencies disappear, and, due to the presence of \( \beta \) in \( \langle S \rangle \), \( \langle \psi_{\vec{k}} \psi_{-\vec{k}} \rangle \) is temperature independent. Accordingly, if one measures \( S \) in units of \( \langle S \rangle \), plotting \( P_{eq}(S) \) for different values of the temperature, in equilibrium one observes collapse onto a single mastercurve.

\[\text{Figure 1. Rate function } I(s,t) \text{ for } r = 1 \text{ and } d = 3 \text{ plotted versus the rescaled variable } s/\langle s(t) \rangle, \text{ for various times } t \text{ after a quench from } \beta_i = 1/5 \text{ to } \beta_f = 1. \text{ The plots for } t = 0 \text{ and } t = \infty \text{ are represented by the same black line. The value } s_{inf}/\langle s(t) \rangle \text{ is marked by a thick dot while the brown background highlights the region where condensation never occurs.} \]

In Fig. 1 the rate function \( I \), given by Eq. (13), is plotted versus \( s/\langle s(t) \rangle \) for the initial equilibrium state, for intermediates times of the quench dynamics and for the final state reached by the system at the end of the equilibration process, in spatial dimension \( d = 3 \). Due to the scaling law in Eq. (14) the profile of the rate function for the system equilibrated at the initial inverse temperature \( \beta_i \) and at the final one \( \beta_f \) is the same. The solution of the model shows that the singular point \( s_c(t) \), starting from its initial value \( s_c(0) \), decreases after the quench, reaches a minimum \( s_{inf}/\langle s(t) \rangle \) at some time (the dot in Fig. 1), and then increases up to the final value \( s_c(\infty) < s_c(0) \).

In order to discuss the non-equilibrium behavior of the rate function, it is useful to separate the region that is never interested by condensation \( 0 \leq s \leq s_{inf} \), from the one with \( s > s_{inf} \),
where condensation plays a role. In fact, it is clearly seen in Fig. 1 that the evolution of the rate function is markedly different within these two regions. In the range of $s$ where condensation does not occur, the rate function overlaps with the equilibrium expression at all times. This shows that fluctuations in this case behave as adiabatically in equilibrium at some time-dependent temperature corresponding to the actual value of $\langle s(t) \rangle$. The evolution of the fluctuations associated to condensed configurations for $s > s_{in}f$ is, instead, more complex. Here the rate function deviates appreciably from the equilibrium form, from the very beginning of the non-equilibrium evolution. The branch of $I(s,t)$ with $s > s_{c}(t)$ is linear at all times, due to the condensation, but with a slope which depends on time. Specifically, its behavior follows that of $s_{c}(t)$, i.e., it initially decreases and then, when $s_{c}(t)$ reaches $s_{in}f$, increases until it attains the slope of the equilibrium state. Note that, since smaller values of $I$ correspond to a larger probability of the corresponding fluctuations, this signals that out of equilibrium, the chances for the system to reach a configuration affected by condensation increase, as already pointed out in Ref. [22].

The qualitatively different behavior occurring to the left and to the right of $s_{in}f$ can be heuristically explained as follows. The system stays adiabatically close to the equilibrium state characterized by the actual value of $\langle s(t) \rangle$ if its own relaxation time is comparable to the time associated with the evolution of $\langle s(t) \rangle$. In the non-condensed phase the sample variance takes comparable contributions from all the $k$-modes. Then we argue that the relaxation time of the system is comparable to the average relaxation time of all these modes. Solving the model it can be shown that this latter time is also comparable to the time associated with the displacement of $\langle s(t) \rangle$. This explains why the equilibrium collapse of Eq. (14) is observed also out of equilibrium for $s \leq s_{in}f$. For $s > s_{in}f$ the contribution of the mode with $k = 0$ becomes macroscopic and dominates the relaxation process. Since this is also the slowest mode (see Eq. [9]), the overall evolution is much slower than that of $\langle s(t) \rangle$ and therefore the condition of adiabaticity is not fulfilled.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have considered the Gaussian model of statistical mechanics, focusing on the fluctuations of the order parameter variance $S$. Its probability distribution $P(S,t)$ displays a singular behavior due to the phenomenon of condensation of fluctuations.

We have studied the evolution of $P(S,t)$, after a sudden temperature quench. Compared to Ref. [30], where a kinetics with conservation of the order parameter was considered, here we have studied the dynamics without conservation, i.e., the so-called Model A [33]. By solving exactly the evolution equations of the model we have shown that the dynamics of the fluctuations is very different depending on the value of $S$ considered. Fluctuations associated with a value of $S$ which, during the evolution, is not crossed by the evolution of the singular point $S_{c}(t)$ evolve in a fast and rather trivial way: $P(S,t)$ always stays close to an equilibrium form, the only appreciable evolution being the shift of the typical value $\langle S(t) \rangle$, due to the system cooling. Because of this, fluctuations within this range (sketched in Fig. 1) can be viewed as adiabatically equilibrated at a decreasing effective temperature. On the other hand, fluctuations within a range at values of $S$ crossed by $S_{c}(t)$ during the evolution have a much slower and complex dynamics.

This is due to the prominent role played by the contribution $s_{0}(s,t)$ provided by the $k = 0$ mode which, starting microscopic, has to grow macroscopically large. The emergence of two different behaviors was already observed in the case considered in Ref. [30] and in another solvable mode in Ref. [24], promoting this feature to a rather generic property. Accordingly, although we have restricted our attention here to a temperature quench, we expect a similar scenario to be observed if other kind of quenches, e.g., a quench in the parameter $r$, were studied, as well as if other observables beyond the order parameter variance were considered.

As a final comment, we point out that the models where this kind of problems have
been studied insofar are such that fluctuating modes can be made independent by a suitable diagonalization. However, singular probability distributions have been observed also in more complex and fully interacting systems, for instance in the height statistics of a growing interface [34,35] or in intrinsically non-equilibrium states of active matter [25,36], where an analysis as the one carried out in this paper has not yet been conducted and remains a topic for future research.
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