Targeting of p38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases to MEF2 Transcription Factors
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Mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase-mediated signalling to the nucleus is an important event in the conversion of extracellular signals into a cellular response. However, the existence of multiple MAP kinases which phosphorylate similar phosphoacceptor motifs poses a problem in maintaining substrate specificity and hence the correct biological response. Both the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase (JNK) subfamilies of MAP kinases use a second specificity determinant and require docking to their transcription factor substrates to achieve maximal substrate activation. In this study, we demonstrate that among the different MAP kinases, the MADS-box transcription factors MEF2A and MEF2C are preferentially phosphorylated and activated by the p38 subfamily members p38α and p38β. The efficiency of phosphorylation in vitro and transcriptional activation in vivo of MEF2A and MEF2C by these p38 subtypes requires the presence of a kinase docking domain (D-domain). Furthermore, the D-domain from MEF2A is sufficient to confer p38 responsiveness on different transcription factors, and reciprocal effects are observed upon the introduction of alternative D-domains into MEF2A. These results therefore contribute to our understanding of signalling to MEF2 transcription factors and demonstrate that the requirement for substrate binding by MAP kinases is an important facet of three different subclasses of MAP kinases (ERK, JNK, and p38).

The mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase pathways transduce extracellular signals into distinct nuclear responses (reviewed in references 29 and 33). These pathways are conserved in a diverse set of organisms, ranging from Saccharomyces cerevisiae to humans, and have been implicated in regulating multiple cellular processes (reviewed in reference 29). In humans, there are at least four separate subclasses of MAP kinases (extracellular signal-regulated kinase [ERK], c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase [JNK], p38, and ERK5/BMK), with members of each subclass having significant sequence similarity and apparently, in most cases, sharing several upstream components. Furthermore, the same signals often activate overlapping subsets of MAP kinases, although the speed and efficiency of activation often differ (reviewed in reference 1).

An increasing number of nuclear targets for the different MAP kinase cascades are being identified, and in most cases, it appears that each transcription factor acts as a target for one or a limited subset of MAP kinases (reviewed in reference 29). Several recent advances have contributed to our understanding of how these kinase achieve their substrate specificity and elicit distinct biological responses (reviewed in references 17 and 27). For example, in yeast, the binding of the inactive Kss1p MAP kinase to its nuclear targets (Ste12p-Tec1p) inhibits the activation of this transcription factor complex by the parallel MAP kinase cascade containing Fus3p. Similarly, Fus3p appears to have a reciprocal inhibitory action, in this case by blocking the upstream pheromone-responsive module from spuriously activating Kss1p (2, 16; reviewed in references 17 and 27). In mammals, MAP kinases have also been shown to bind to their nuclear targets, and it has been proposed that this might contribute to their substrate specificity (reviewed in reference 29). In the case of c-Jun, the binding of MAP kinases to a domain which is distinct from the phosphoacceptor motifs, the 6-domain, has been shown to be an important part of the kinase specificity-determining mechanism and is required for its efficient phosphorylation by the JNK MAP kinases (3, 4, 7, 12, 13). Similar docking domains have been identified in activating transcription factor 2 (ATF-2) (for JNK MAP kinases) (6, 7, 15) and in Elk-1 (for JNK and ERK MAP kinases) (37, 38). In Elk-1, the docking domain appears to act to allow the convergence of two diverse MAP kinase pathways on a single transcription factor, whereas the 6-domain in c-Jun permits phosphorylation by a single type of cascade. It is currently unclear how p38 MAP kinases are targeted to transcription factors, although the docking domain in ATF-2 is thought to permit targeting by p38α in addition to the JNK MAP kinases (11a).

It has recently been demonstrated that p38α associates with the MADS-box family transcription factor MEF2C. Subsequent phosphorylation of MEF2C stimulates its ability to activate transcription (8). In mammals, there are four MEF2-related genes, MEF2A, MEF2B, MEF2C, and MEF2D, that encode proteins which exhibit significant amino acid sequence similarity within their DNA binding domains and to a lesser extent throughout the rest of the proteins. One of the major roles of these proteins is to regulate muscle-specific gene expression (reviewed in references 19 and 24), although they have recently been shown to also contribute to the activation of the c-jun transcription (8, 9, 14). Until recently, little was known about MAP kinase signalling to MEF2 family proteins other than MEF2C, which, in addition to being a p38α target, can also be regulated by ERK5/BMK (14, 36). In this study, we have investigated the phosphorylation of MEF2C and the re-
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labeled family member MEF2A by the p38 subclass of MAP kinases. We demonstrate p38α- and p38β-specific activation of MEF2A and MEF2C and demonstrate the existence of a MAP kinase docking domain which is specific for these two MAP kinase subtypes. Furthermore, we demonstrate the inter- changeability of MAP kinase docking domains and that these domains play a major role in determining the specificity of MAP kinase signalling to nuclear transcription factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid constructs. The following plasmids were used for expressing glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins in Escherichia coli. pAS860 (encoding GST-MEF2A; MEF2A amino acids 266 to 413), pAS861 (encoding GST-MEF2C; MEF2C amino acids 249 to 378), pAS866 (encoding GST-MEF2CΔD; MEF2C amino acids 265 to 378), and pAS869 (encoding GST-MEF2B; MEF2B amino acids 201 to 300) were constructed by inserting BamHI/EcoRI-cleaved PCR-derived fragments into the same sites of pGEX-3X (Pharmacia). pAS406 (encoding GST-Elk-1ΔD; Elk-1 amino acids 330 to 425), pAS545 (encoding GST–Elk-1; Elk-1 amino acids 310 to 426) (37), and a plasmid encoding GST-Jun (c-Jun amino acids 1 to 79) (4) have been described previously. pAS882, pAS883, and pAS884 (encoding GST–MEF2A mutants) are derivatives of pAS860 with site-directed mutations R269A and K270A (GST-MEF2AΔV), L273A and V275A (GST-MEF2AΔM), and R269A and K270A plus L273A and V275A (GST-MEF2AΔMV) (37). pAS885 (encoding GST-MEF2AΔMV) was constructed by inserting an EcoRI/NcoI fragment from pAS255 into the same sites in pETnefPFH. pAS1201 into the same sites in pETnefPFH. pAS1209 (encoding full-length MEF2A with the point mutations I277A and P278A) was constructed by replacing the BglII/BamH1 fragment in pAS1209 with a PCR-derived fragment incorporating the two point mutations.

Protein expression and purification. GST fusion proteins were expressed in E. coli JM101 and purified as described previously (25, 37). In vitro-translated proteins were produced by sequential transcription and translation with rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Promega). Tagged proteins were immunoprecipitated prior to assay using 10 μl of anti-Flag antibody conjugated to agarose beads (50% slurry; Sigma). Proteins were bound to the beads for 1 h at room temperature, washed five times with Triton lysis buffer (TLB) (37), and resuspended in an equal volume of TLB.

Tissue culture, cell transfection, and reporter gene assays. COS-7 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco BRL). CHO cells were maintained in F12 medium supplemented with 5% FBS. 293 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. HeLa cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Transfection experiments were carried out with Superfect transfection reagent (Qiagen) as described previously (37, 38).

For reporter gene assays, a luciferase reporter construct controlled by a GAL4-driven promoter was cotransfected with CMV promoter-driven vectors encoding various GAL4 fusion proteins. The activities of the GAL4 fusion proteins (usually 50 ng of plasmid DNA but 5 ng with GAL4-MEF2C derivatives) were measured in cotransfection assays in all cell lines by using 1 μg of the reporter plasmid pG5E1bLuc and, where indicated, 250 ng of vectors encoding a MAP kinase and constitutively activated MKK. Transfection efficiencies were normalized by measuring the activity from a cotransfected plasmid (1 μg) which encodes the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (pCMV5-HA-ERK2) (18), as described previously (37, 38). Purified proteins were eluted from competing by competing with a 5-pmol dose of each of the GAL4 fusion proteins. The activities of the GAL4 fusion proteins were measured by using 20 μl of GAL4 reporter plasmids in cotransfection assays and were assayed as described previously (37, 38).

Phosphorylation assays. Phosphorylation reactions were carried out essentially as described above, except for the use of a nitrocellulose filter following sodium dodecyl phosphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and quantified by phosphorimaging (Fuji BAS1500; TINA 2.08e software), and the data were presented graphically after curve fitting with the appropriate equation by using BIOSOFT Fig.P or Microsoft Excel software. Peptide competition experiments were carried out essentially as described above, except for the use of a nitrocellulose filter following sodium dodecyl phosphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and quantified by phosphorimaging (Fuji BAS1500; TINA 2.08e software), and the data were presented graphically after curve fitting with the appropriate equation by using BIOSOFT Fig.P or Microsoft Excel software. Peptide competition experiments were carried out essentially as described above, except for the use of a nitrocellulose filter following sodium dodecyl phosphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and quantified by phosphorimaging (Fuji BAS1500; TINA 2.08e software), and the data were presented graphically after curve fitting with the appropriate equation by using BIOSOFT Fig.P or Microsoft Excel software. Peptide competition experiments were carried out essentially as described above, except for the use of a nitrocellulose filter following sodium dodecyl phosphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and quantified by phosphorimaging (Fuji BAS1500; TINA 2.08e software), and the data were presented graphically after curve fitting with the appropriate equation by using BIOSOFT Fig.P or Microsoft Excel software. Peptide competition experiments were carried out essentially as described above, except for the use of a nitrocellulose filter following sodium dodecyl phosphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and quantified by phosphorimaging (Fuji BAS1500; TINA 2.08e software), and the data were presented graphically after curve fitting with the appropriate equation by using BIOSOFT Fig.P or Microsoft Excel software. Peptide competition experiments were carried out essentially as described above, except for the use of a nitrocellulose filter following sodium dodecyl phosphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and quantified by phosphorimaging (Fuji BAS1500; TINA 2.08e software), and the data were presented graphically after curve fitting with the appropriate equation by using BIOSOFT Fig.P or Microsoft Excel software.
FIG. 1. Phosphorylation and activation of MEF2A or MEF2C by different p38 MAP kinases. (A) Diagram illustrating the domain structure of full-length MEF2C and truncated MEF2A and MEF2C proteins fused to either GST or the GAL4 DNA binding domain (open boxes). The location of the DNA binding domain (DBD), minimal TAD, and p38α (T293 and T308) and ERK5 (S387) phosphorylation sites in MEF2C are indicated. The black box represents the putative kinase docking domain (D-domain) of MEF2A/C, and the numbers of the N- and C-terminal amino acids in the MEF2A or MEF2C moiety are indicated. The sequences of MEF2A and p38α around the major phosphoacceptor motifs for p38 domain (D-domain) of MEF2A/C, and the numbers of the N- and C-terminal amino acids in the MEF2A or MEF2C moiety are indicated. The sequences of MEF2A and p38α by the p38 MAP kinase subtypes p38β, p38γ, and p38δ are shown. This region contains the major p38 phosphorylation sites T293 and T300 in MEF2C (8) and the corresponding amino acid residues T304 and T311 in MEF2A (Fig. 1B). However, in comparison to p38β, p38γ, or p38δ, in the cell line used in this study (COS-7), the expression of MKK6 alone had little effect on the activity of the GAL4 fusion proteins (Fig. 1C), although the activation of MEF2A can be detected by using MKK6 alone in other cell lines (e.g., 293 cells [39]). MEF2A and MEF2C were efficiently activated by p38α, p38β, and p38δ, but not by p38γ and p38δ in Fig. 1C. To test the ability of the ERK2 and JNK2 pathways to activate MEF2A, similar experiments were performed with the cotransfection of their respective upstream kinases (MAP kinase [MEK] and MKK7β, respectively). However, in comparison to p38β, (~50-fold induction), both ERK2 and JNK2 had little effect on the activity of MEF2A (Fig. 1D). Treatment with MEK/ERK2 and MKK7β/JNK2 is sufficient to activate other nuclear substrates (see Fig. 6) (37, 39).

The response of MEF2A to the activation of endogenous MAP kinase pathways following stimulation by mitogens and cytokines in the absence of overexpressed pathway components was subsequently investigated. Initially, HeLa cells were stimulated with IL-1. This treatment results in the stimulation of GAL4-MEF2A via the p38 pathway, as the JNK pathway inhibitor (DN-MKK4) has little effect, while the p38 pathway inhibitor (SB202190) almost completely blocks this stimulation (Fig. 1E). To directly test the effect of stimulating indi-
Individual MAP kinase pathways on MEF2A activation, COS-7, CHO, and HeLa cells were transfected with GAL4-MEF2A and treated with either EGF (to activate the ERK pathway in COS-7 cells [37]) or IL-1 (to activate the JNK pathway in CHO cells [34] or the p38 pathway in HeLa cells [Fig. 1E]). While the treatment of COS-7 cells with EGF and that of CHO cells with IL-1 lead to the activation of GAL4-Elk-1 via the ERK and JNK pathways, neither of these treatments activates GAL4-MEF2A (Fig. 1F). However, in comparison, the stimulation of the endogenous p38 pathway by IL-1 treatment of HeLa cells results in a comparable activation of GAL4-Elk-1 and GAL4-MEF2A (Fig. 1F).

Taken together, these results demonstrate that like MEF2C, MEF2A is phosphorylated and activated by p38α. This is in agreement with the findings of two independent studies (18a, 39). Moreover, while both MEF2A and MEF2C are also targeted by p38β, neither appears to be a target of p38γ and p38δ. Thus, these MEF2 family proteins appear to be substrates of a subset of p38 MAP kinases in vitro and in vivo.

**Requirement of the MEF2 D-domain for phosphorylation by p38α and p38β MAP kinases in vitro and in vivo.** We have previously identified within the transcription factor Elk-1 a kinase docking domain, the D-domain, that contains specificity determinants and therefore enhances the phosphorylation of Elk-1 by ERK and JNK MAP kinases. However, this domain does not appear to affect the phosphorylation of Elk-1 by the p38 MAP kinases (37, 38). Inspection of the sequence of MEF2A and MEF2C indicated the presence of a motif which exhibits limited similarity with the Elk-1 D-domain (see Fig. 5A). To investigate whether the efficiency of MEF2A and MEF2C phosphorylation by p38α and p38β is enhanced by the presence of a kinase docking domain, the GST fusion proteins GST-MEF2AD and GST-MEF2CAD, which contain the TAD and associated phosphoacceptor motifs but lack the region which resembles the Elk-1 D-domain (Fig. 2A and B), were created. These GST fusion proteins were tested as in vitro MAP kinase substrates, in comparison to analogous proteins which contain the putative MAP kinase docking site (Fig. 2A and B). The activity of the kinases towards GST–Elk-1 was initially standardized, and equivalent activities were used in the kinase assays. The kinetics of phosphorylation of GST-MEF2A (Fig. 2A, lanes 1 to 4) and GST-MEF2C (Fig. 2B, lanes 1 to 4) by p38α, p38β, and p38γ were virtually indistinguishable. In contrast, the phosphorylation of GST-MEF2AD (Fig. 2A, lanes 5 to 8) and GST-MEF2CAD (Fig. 2B, lanes 5 to 8) by p38α and p38β was greatly reduced over the same time period. However, the phosphorylation of these two substrates by p38γ was virtually indistinguishable from that when the puta-

GST-MEF2AD (lanes 5 to 8) were phosphorylated by p38α, p38β, and p38γ MAP kinases for the times indicated above each lane. Due to the lower levels of phosphorylation by p38γ, the bottom panels were exposed for longer times than the other panels. The results are presented graphically below these panels with data obtained with GST-MEF2A (squares) and GST-MEF2AD (circles) as p38 substrates. Data are presented relative to the phosphorylation of GST-MEF2A after 120 min (taken as 100). (B) As described for panel A, except that the GST-MEF2C derivatives were used as substrates. (C and D) The D-domain is essential for efficient p38β2-inducible transcriptional activation by MEF2A and MEF2C in vivo. (C) COS-7 cells were cotransfected with expression vectors encoding GAL4-MEF2A derivatives, a constitutively activated form of MKK6 (MKK6[E]), p38β2 MAP kinase, and a GAL4-driven luciferase reporter plasmid. The expression levels of the GAL4 fusion proteins in the unstimulated cells were examined by Western blot analysis with an anti-GAL4 antibody (bottom panel). (D) Assays were carried out as described for panel C, except that GAL4-MEF2C was transfected. Transfection efficiencies were monitored by using the β-galactosidase expression vector pCH110. The normalized luciferase activities (means ± standard errors; n = 3) are presented.
tive docking site was present (compare the graphs and lanes 1 to 4 and 5 to 8 in the bottom panels of Fig. 2A and B).

To examine the requirement of the D-domain for the activation of MEF2 transcription factors by MAP kinases in vivo, GAL4 fusion proteins to MEF2A and MEF2C which either contain or lack this domain were constructed and tested for their ability to activate a GAL4-driven luciferase reporter gene in the absence of cotransfected kinases are presented (means ± standard errors; \(n = 3\)). (D) Assays were carried out as in panel C, except that vectors encoding p38\(_{\alpha}\) were cotransfected where indicated. (E) Expression levels of the GAL4 fusion proteins, in the absence (lanes 1, 3, 5, and 7) and presence (lanes 2, 4, 6, and 8) of cotransfected MKK6(E) and p38\(_{\alpha}\), were examined by Western blotting with an anti-GAL4 antibody.

FIG. 3. Mapping the residues in the D-domain of MEF2A required for targeting by p38\(_{\alpha}\) and p38\(_{\beta}\). (A) Amino acid sequences of the WT and D-domain mutants R269A/K270A (M1), L273A/V275A (M2), and I277A/P278A (M3) are shown. Numbers above the sequences represent the N- and C-terminal residues in the D-domain. (B) Kinase assays of WT and mutant GST-MEF2A fusion proteins by p38 MAP kinases were carried out as described in the legend to Fig. 1. The activity of each kinase was standardized relative to the phosphorylation of GST-MEF2A(WT). (C) p38\(_{\alpha}\)-inducible transcriptional activation by WT and mutant GAL4-MEF2A fusion proteins. COS-7 cells were cotransfected with expression vectors encoding GAL4 fusions to either WT or D-domain mutant (M1 to M3) MEF2A derivatives, vectors encoding MKK6(E) and p38\(_{\alpha}\), and a GAL4-driven luciferase reporter plasmid. The luciferase activities relative to GAL4-MEF2A-mediated reporter activation in the absence of cotransfected kinases are presented (means ± standard errors; \(n = 3\)).
tation was detected by these assays (Fig. 4B, lanes 6 to 10, and C, lanes 5 to 8). Thus, the D-domain plays an important role in directing the efficiency of MEF2A phosphorylation by p38β2 in the context of the full-length protein.

The MEF2A D-domain acts as a binding motif for the p38 MAP kinases. The ability of ERK2 to phosphorylate Elk-1 and that of JNK to phosphorylate c-Jun correlate with their ability to bind to these substrates via a docking domain (13, 37). However, while stable interactions are readily detectable in these cases, such stable interactions are not observed between MAP kinases and other substrates (e.g., JNK and Elk-1; 38). Similarly, we were unable to demonstrate a physical interaction between MEF2A and p38 MAP kinases under a variety of experimental conditions (data not shown), although others have previously demonstrated such an interaction (8). We therefore adopted a peptide competition assay to investigate the binding of the kinases to MEF2A. This approach has previously been used to allow the comparison of ERK and JNK binding to Elk-1 under identical experimental conditions and relies on the fact that peptides which bind to the kinase will act as competitors for the binding of the kinase to docking sites in the transcription factor targets (38). Peptides were synthesized which correspond to MEF2A amino acids 263 to 266 (comprising the D-domain) (MEFD[WT]) and the same region with two amino acid substitutions (MEFD[M2]) (Fig. 5A).

Increasing amounts of these peptides were included in kinase assays to compete for binding of the p38 MAP kinases to MEF2A via the D-domain (Fig. 5B). The MEFD[WT] peptide acted as an inhibitor of p38α- and p38β2-mediated phosphorylation of MEF2A in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 5B, lanes 1 to 4). However, little effect was seen on the efficiency of phosphorylation by p38δ (Fig. 5B, lane 5 to 7). This is consistent with the observation that the M2 mutant GST- and GAL-MEF2A fusion proteins are poor targets for p38δ (Fig. 5B, lanes 8 and 9). Peptides corresponding to the kinase docking domains from Elk-1 (ElkD) and SAP-1 (SAPD) (Fig. 5A) were also tested (Fig. 5B). The SAPD peptide also acted as an efficient inhibitor (Fig. 5B, lane 9), whereas the ElkD peptide did not act as an inhibitor of the phosphorylation of MEF2A by p38α and p38β2 (Fig. 5B, lane 10). These results are consistent with the observation that the SAP-1 D-domain acts as a docking site for both the p38α and

Fig. 4. The D-domain is required for the efficient phosphorylation of full-length MEF2A by p38β2. (A) Diagrammatic representation of the domain structure of full-length MEF2A. The locations of the MADS-MEF DNA-binding domain (DBD), the MAP kinase docking domain (black box), and the key phosphoacceptor motifs (T304 and T311) in the TAD are shown. The locations of the mutations in MEF2A(M3) are indicated in italics. (B) Phosphorylation of MEF2A(WT) and MEF2A(M3) was carried out in vitro with p38β2. Equal amounts of in vitro-translated WT and mutant MEF2A proteins were phosphorylated by p38β2, for the indicated times in the absence (B) or presence (C) of 32P-labelled ATP. Phosphorylated MEF2A was detected by the reduced mobility of the proteins (arrows in panel B) or by the incorporation of 32P-labelled ATP (C).

Fig. 5. The MEF2A D-domain acts as a binding site for the p38α and p38β2 MAP kinases. (A) The sequences of the competitor peptides corresponding to the MAP kinase docking domains from Elk-1, SAP-1, and MEF2A. Sequences are aligned to give maximal similarities, with identical and highly conserved residues highlighted. Residues altered in the mutant MEF2A peptide (MEFD[M2]) are shown in bold. (B) Phosphorylation of GST-MEF2A (5 pmol) by p38 MAP kinases in the presence of indicated competitor peptides. The peptide competition assay was based on the kinase assays described in the legend to Fig. 1, except that the p38 MAP kinases were preincubated in the absence (lanes 1 and 8) or presence of competitor peptides (a 10- to 1,000-fold excess over MEF2A substrate) at 50 pmol (lanes 2 and 5), 500 pmol (lanes 3 and 6), and 5 nmol (lanes 4, 7, 9, and 10), respectively. Increases in the concentration of added competitor peptides are indicated schematically above each set of lanes. The activity of each kinase was standardized relative to the phosphorylation of GST-MEF2A(WT). (C) Specificity of action of inhibitory peptides and phosphorylation of transcription factor substrates (5 pmol) by MAP kinases (combinations indicated above each panel) in the presence of the MEFD(WT) peptide. Assays were carried out as in panel B in the presence of 0 pmol (lanes 1, 5, and 9), 5 pmol (lanes 2, 6, and 10), 50 pmol (lanes 3, 7, and 11), and 500 pmol (lanes 4, 8, and 12) of the competitor peptide. The quantification of the data is shown graphically below each panel, relative to the phosphorylation of each substrate in the absence of added competitor peptide.
p38β2 MAP kinases (5a), whereas the Elk-1 D-domain does not act as a p38 MAP kinase docking site (38).

In order to investigate the specificity of action of the MEF2A D-domain peptide as a p38 and p38β2 MAP kinase inhibitor, the ability of the D-domain peptide to inhibit MEF2A phosphorylation by p38β2 was compared to its inhibitory properties on other MAP kinase-substrate combinations (Fig. 5C). Of the three kinases tested, the D-domain peptide acted as a more efficient competitor of p38β2 than ERK and JNK. This is most apparent at the highest concentrations of peptide used (Fig. 5C, lanes 4, 8, and 12), although the inhibition of all three MAP kinases can be observed to some degree. This might reflect some conservation of the peptide binding site on the MAP kinases, as these proteins are all related and the relative order of peptide inhibition efficiency is consistent with the observation that the highest similarity is between the p38 and ERK MAP kinases.

These data therefore demonstrate that the D-domain of MEF2A preferentially acts as a binding site for p38α and p38β2 MAP kinases in vitro.

The MAP kinase targeting domain of MEF2A is sufficient to confer p38 responsiveness to Elk-1 and c-Jun. In order to investigate whether the MEF2A D-domain can act in a heterologous context to allow p38 targeting and hence transduce signals via the p38 pathway to different substrates, chimeric proteins were created. In these proteins, the p38 targeting domain of MEF2A was fused to the minimal TAD of Elk-1 (which responds to both the ERK and JNK pathways) and c-Jun (which responds to the JNK pathway) and either GST or the GAL4-DNA-binding domain (Fig. 6A and E). These min-
assays of GST fusion proteins (5 pmol of each substrate) by p38 demonstrated that the MEF2A D-domain acts in a similar manner to permit p38 targeting of MEF2A.

The D-domain of MEF2A is sufficient to confer responsiveness to the p38 pathway in vivo. However, reciprocal effects are seen with the MEF2A-c-Jun chimera, which is efficiently activated by the p38 pathway but in comparison poorly activated by the JNK pathway (Fig. 6H).

Taken together, the results clearly demonstrate that the D-domain of MEF2A is sufficient to confer responsiveness to the p38 pathway in vivo. However, reciprocal effects are seen with the MEF2A-c-Jun chimera, which is efficiently activated by the p38 pathway but in comparison poorly activated by the JNK pathway (Fig. 6H).

The p38-binding domain of MEF2A directs phosphorylation of key phosphoacceptor motifs. The D-domain of MEF2A is sufficient to permit the targeting of p38α and p38β, MAP kinases to heterologous substrates. In order to demonstrate that physiologically relevant residues are targeted for phosphorylation by the D-domain, we investigated the phosphorylation of Ser383 in MEF2A–Elk-1 chimeras, which has been shown to be one of the key residues which must be phosphorylated to trigger the DNA binding and transcriptional activation properties of Elk-1 (reviewed in reference 33). The phos-
phorylation of Ser383 was assessed by Western blotting with a phospho–Elk-1(Ser383) antibody.

Firstly, GST–MEF2A–Elk-1 was phosphorylated by p38β, in vitro. In comparison to GST–Elk-1∆D, which lacks a p38 docking site, GST–MEF2A–Elk-1 was efficiently phosphorylated on Ser383 (Fig. 7A). In order to analyze whether the same effect could be observed in vivo, the phosphorylation of GAL4–MEF2A–Elk-1 was compared to the phosphorylation of GAL4–Elk-1 in the presence of cotransfected MKK6/p38 (Fig. 7B). The overall phosphorylation of Elk-1 was greater in the presence of the D-domain from MEF2A (Fig. 7B; compare lanes 2 and 4, bottom panel). Moreover, the phosphorylation of Ser383 was greatly enhanced in the GAL4–MEF2A–Elk-1 chimera (Fig. 7B; compare lanes 2 and 4, top panel).

Furthermore, in the MEF2A-cJun chimeras analyzed in Fig. 6, there are only two potential phosphoacceptor motifs in the c-Jun moiety which correspond to the physiologically relevant sites. Together these results therefore demonstrate that in addition to enhancing the overall efficiency of substrate phosphorylation by p38α and p38β, the MEF2A D-domain also directs the phosphorylation of physiologically relevant sites in vitro and in vivo.

The kinase docking domain determines the specificity of MAP kinases towards MEF2A. The kinase docking domain of MEF2A is sufficient to change the specificity of heterologous proteins as substrates for phosphorylation and activation by different MAP kinases. Reciprocal constructs were made in which either known or putative kinase docking domains from other transcription factors were fused to the transcription activation domain of MEF2A (Fig. 8A and C). Firstly, the homologous region of MEF2B was substituted for the kinase docking domain of MEF2A (Fig. 8A), and the resulting chimeric protein was tested as a substrate for p38 MAP kinases. In contrast to MEF2A, MEF2B appears not to be phosphorylated by p38 MAP kinases (Fig. 8B; compare lanes 1 and 3). Moreover, the putative kinase docking domain in MEF2β is unable to permit the efficient phosphorylation of MEF2A in the GST-MEF2B-MEF2A chimera (Fig. 8B, lane 2). Thus, the amino acid sequence differences in this region of MEF2B inactivate its ability to act as a kinase binding motif.

The MAP kinase docking domains from c-Jun (for JNK MAP kinases) (4, 12, 13), SAP-1 (for ERK, p38α, and p38β, MAP kinases) (5a), and Elk-1 (for JNK and ERK MAP kinases) (37, 38) were fused with the transcriptional activation domain of MEF2A (Fig. 8C) and tested as substrates for different MAP kinases (Fig. 8D). MEF2A is phosphorylated efficiently by p38α and p38β2, but is a poor substrate for the ERK and JNK MAP kinases (Fig. 8D, lane 4, and Fig. 1). However, in the absence of the D-domain, MEF2A is a poor substrate for all of these MAP kinases (Fig. 8D, lane 5, and Fig. 2). Significantly, the introduction of the c-Jun-SAP-1 domain converts MEF2A into a good JNK substrate (Fig. 8D, lane 1). Similarly, the ElkD-MEF2A chimera is a good JNK substrate (Fig. 8D, lane 3). In contrast, the fusion of the SAP-1 D-domain to MEF2A restores its ability to act as a substrate for p38α and p38β2 (Fig. 8D, lane 2). None of the chimeric proteins are good ERK2 substrates (Fig. 8D, top panel), indicating that the docking site alone is insufficient to permit the targeting of ERK MAP kinases (see Discussion).

Together these results demonstrate that the docking domains from several different transcription factors can direct the targeting of specific subsets of stress-activated MAP kinases to MEF2A. Thus, MAP kinase docking sites appear to be common, conserved elements in transcription factors which can act as independent domains and contribute significantly to the specificity of action of the diverse MAP kinase cascades.

**DISCUSSION**

The MAP kinase cascades play important roles in regulating multiple diverse cellular processes. However, as different MAP kinases all phosphorylate similar sites containing the minimal consensus motif (Ser/Thr)-Pro, it is unclear how substrate specificity is achieved. It has recently been shown that one component of this specificity-determining mechanism for the JNK and ERK MAP kinases involves binding of the MAP kinases to a docking domain on their nuclear targets (3, 4, 6, 7, 12, 13, 26, 37, 38). In this study, we demonstrate that members of the p38 subclass of MAP kinases are targeted to the transcription factors MEF2A and MEF2C by a docking domain which is distinct from the phosphoacceptor motifs. This domain is sufficient to confer p38 responsiveness on heterologous substrates and can be functionally replaced by alternative kinase docking motifs from other transcription factors. Similarly, a docking site in ATF-2 is required for efficient activation by p38α (11a). Our results therefore demonstrate the generality of the phenomenon of MAP kinase docking with transcription factors as a major part of the mechanism of substrate specificity determination.

**MAP kinase docking domains.** The sequence of the MAP kinase docking domain in MEF2A and MEF2C is highly conserved between these two proteins (Fig. 8A) and is also evolutionarily conserved in the *Drosophila* MEF2 homologue (28). This sequence conservation is reflected by the functional conservation of these domains as docking sites for both p38α and p38β2 (Fig. 2). However, neither domain appears to be a docking site for p38γ (Fig. 2A and B) and p38δ (data not shown), as their deletion does not affect the efficiency of phosphorylation of MEF2A and MEF2C. It is unclear whether p38γ uses a different docking domain or alternatively, as suggested by in vivo experiments (Fig. 1) (39), MEF2A and MEF2C do not represent true substrates for this kinase. A similar phenomenon has been noted for Elk-1, for which it was proposed that the lack of a targeting domain for the p38 MAP kinases is reflected in its poor response to the p38 pathways in vivo (38).

Residues throughout the docking domain are important in targeting p38α and p38β2 to MEF2A (Fig. 3 and 4), and in common with other kinase docking domains (37, 38), hydrophobic residues play the most important roles. Moreover, an excellent correlation exists between the ability of the docking site to permit efficient phosphorylation in vitro and transcriptional activation in vivo (compare Fig. 2A and B, Fig. 2C and D, and Fig. 3B and C and D), indicating the functional importance of these sites. The specificity of action of the D-domain of MEF2A towards p38α and p38β2 subtypes in vitro and in vivo was demonstrated by using chimeric transcription factors which contain the MEF2A docking domain and transcriptional activation domains of different proteins (Fig. 6 and 7). Neither the JNK nor the ERK MAP kinases can be targeted to transcription factors by the MAP kinase docking domain from MEF2A. However, by using reciprocal chimeric proteins with the MEF2A transcriptional activation domain and the kinase docking domains of either c-Jun, Elk-1, or SAP-1, the propensity of MEF2A as a substrate for the JNK and p38 MAP kinases can be altered in a manner which is predictable from the known characteristics of each domain (Fig. 8). Interestingly, MEF2A could not be converted into an ERK substrate by the inclusion of the ERK binding domain from Elk-1 (Fig. 8D), indicating that the docking domain itself is not sufficient to direct efficient phosphorylation. A similar chimeric protein with the c-Jun transcriptional activation domain is also not responsive to ERKs (38). This might reflect the requirement for additional docking components such as that observed for
ERK targeting to the ETS domain transcription factors Elk-1 and LIN-1, which uses both a docking domain located N-terminally from the phosphoacceptor residues and an FXFP motif located C-terminally from these residues to target ERKs to these proteins (11). Moreover, in addition to these docking domains, the local context of the phosphoacceptor motifs may also play a major role in determining substrate specificity towards MAP kinases as suggested previously for c-Jun (13). In MEF2A and c-Jun, the phosphoacceptor motifs presumably represent good JNK, p38, and ERK substrates. The phosphorylation sites in p38 substrates may play a major role in determining substrate specificity to-wards MAP kinases as suggested previously for c-Jun (13). In p38, and LIN-1, the docking domain and its transcriptional activation domain (13), and in Elk-1 deletions can be made between these modules (5a) without changing their proficiency as MAP kinase substrates. Recently, an additional docking domain has been identified in several substrates, which is located C-terminally from the phosphoacceptor motifs (11). Further systematic studies, however, are required to examine the requirement for specific spatial alignment of the docking domains and phosphoacceptor motifs.

Activation of MEF2 family members by the p38 MAP kinases. The MEF2 subfamily of MADS-box transcription factors is composed of four members: MEF2A, MEF2B, MEF2C, and MEF2D (reviewed in references 19 and 24). Of these, MEF2A and MEF2C exhibit significant sequence conservation throughout their lengths (~56% identity), including that within their transcription activation domains and the MAP kinase phosphorylation sites, which have been shown to be functionally important in MEF2C. Both p38α (8) and ERK5/BMK (14, 36) have been shown to phosphorylate and enhance the transcriptional activation potential of MEF2C. MEF2D contains the sites for p38α but lacks the site for ERK5/BMK, while MEF2B appears to contain only one of the p38α phosphoacceptor motifs. Here we demonstrate that p38α phosphorylates and activates the transcription activation domains of both MEF2A and MEF2C and requires targeting by a docking domain. Similarly, p38β2 activates both these transcription factors and requires docking via the same domain. Neither p38γ nor p38δ efficiently phosphorylates and activates MEF2A and MEF2C. Thus, only a subset of p38 MAP kinase subtypes activate the transcriptional activation domain of MEF2A and MEF2C. Similarly, neither the ERK nor JNK MAP kinases significantly activate MEF2A and MEF2C (Fig. 1D and E).

Our data are consistent with the findings of two independent studies, which demonstrate that MEF2A is a p38 substrate (18a, 39), although this study is different in that we clearly demonstrate that p38β2 phosphorylates and activates MEF2A, whereas p38β1 apparently does not phosphorylate MEF2A (39). This discrepancy might be attributable to the differences observed between p38β1 and p38β2 (identical apart from an 8-amino-acid deletion in p38β2), which confer on p38β2 the ability to phosphorylate other substrates more efficiently than p38β1 (5). MEF2B lacks one of the p38α phosphorylation sites found in MEF2A and MEF2C but still exhibits some sequence similarity with the D-domain of MEF2A/C (Fig. 8A). However, MEF2B is a poor substrate for the p38 MAP kinases (Fig. 8B). Moreover, the putative MAP kinase docking site is unable to act as a p38 docking motif in a heterologous substrate (Fig. 8B). Thus, MEF2B differs from MEF2A and MEF2C, as it is unable to either recruit p38 MAP kinases or act as a p38 substrate. It is currently unknown whether MEF2D is regulated by p38 MAP kinase subtypes, although from sequence conservation, it might represent a p38α and p38β2 substrate, as both the phosphoacceptor motifs and the docking domain are conserved. However, in the case of the docking domain, sufficient differences exist (especially in the C-terminal half) to suggest that its MAP kinase targeting properties might differ from those of MEF2A and MEF2C (Fig. 8A). MEF2D, however, lacks the C-terminal ERK5/BMK phosphoacceptor motif. Interestingly, MEF2A and MEF2C have been shown to physically associate with ERK5/BMK (36) via a motif contained within the minimal DNA-binding domain, which is distinct from the docking sites described here. However, the functional consequence of this interaction have not been investigated. As MEF2 proteins act as dimers and can associate to form different combinations of heterodimers, the resulting complexes will respond differently to different MAP kinase cascades, depending on the docking domains and phosphoacceptor motifs present in each subset. Such diversity in response to p38 MAP kinase cascades might contribute to different responses by alternative MEF2-containing heterodimers. The activation of MEF2C by p38α, it has been proposed, is important in inflammatory responses in the immune system (8). In addition, the activation of MEF2C by ERK5/BMK has been shown to be an important part of the mechanism of serum induction of the c-jun promoter. As the MEF2 proteins play a major role in regulating muscle-specific gene expression (19), it will be interesting to discover whether p38α and/or p38β2-mediated signalling to MEF2 proteins plays a role in muscle differentiation and/or proliferation during development or in response to damage.

Conclusion. It is becoming clear that the binding of MAP kinases to transcription factors is a critical determinant of their specificity. Here we demonstrate the selective targeting of p38α and p38β2 MAP kinases to MEF2A and MEF2C by binding to a distinct docking domain and that this binding is an important determinant of the efficiency of p38α- and p38β2-mediated phosphorylation of MEF2A and MEF2C in vitro and transcriptional activation in vivo. Members of three classes of MAP kinases in mammals (ERK, JNK, and p38) have now been shown to require docking sites on transcription factors for their correct function. It is likely that such interactions will prove to be essential specificity determinants in other MAP kinase-transcription factor signalling modules.
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