A study on prevalence of hearing impairment in newborns with birth asphyxia admitted to neonatal intensive care unit
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Abstract

Background: Hearing is a vital part of a newborn’s contact with his environment. Consequences of perinatal asphyxia range from death to various degrees of neuro-developmental sensory or motor deficits. One of its well-known sequelae is sensorineural hearing impairment. Hence this study was undertaken to find the prevalence of hearing impairment in inborn neonates with birth asphyxia.

Methods: Prospective Observational study was conducted to assess the prevalence of hearing loss in neonates with birth asphyxia admitted to the NICU at KIMS, Hubballi, Karnataka, India, from January 2015 to December 2015. Auditory function was examined by Otoacoustic emission (OAE) followed by auditory brainstem response (ABR) test and distortion product OAE (DPOAE). Statistical analysis, Chi-square test was used and testing data was analysed using the SPSS software version 22.

Results: Among the 150 neonates, prevalence of hearing impairment among term neonates with birth asphyxia was 9.9\% (14/141). Babies with severe birth asphyxia (\( P=0.00037 \)), hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy (\( P=0.00914 \)), convulsions (\( P=0.0093 \)) and those who were mechanically ventilated (\( P=0.0003 \)) were more prone to develop hearing impairment.

Conclusions: The prevalence of hearing impairment among term neonates with birth asphyxia was 9.9\% (14/141). Two staged screening with OAE, which is a feasible screening test in resource poor set up, can be used as a screening modality for hearing impairment in babies with birth asphyxia.
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Introduction

Hearing is a vital part of a newborn’s contact with his environment. The ability to communicate, acquire skills and perform academically is all greatly dependent on the ability to hear [1]. Hearing impairment is a hidden disability which is usually detected after 2 years of age [2]. OAE and ABR have been recommended as useful screening protocol in Newborn Hearing Screening [3]. OAE screening test is fast and easy test and can be conducted with or without sedation to newborn [4]. Consequences of perinatal asphyxia range from death to various degrees of neuro-developmental sensory or motor deficits. One of its well-known sequelae is sensorineural hearing impairment. Adequate oxygenation and perfusion are essential for inner ear function and studies showed that neonatal asphyxia can cause inner ear degeneration, disappearance of the outer and inner hair cells, and degeneration of the spiral and vestibular ganglion cells [5].

Aims and Objectives

To find the prevalence of hearing impairment in inborn neonates with birth asphyxia.
Materials and Methods

Place of study: Department of Paediatrics, Karnataka Institute of Medical Sciences, Hubli, Karnataka

Type of study: Prospective observational study conducted from January 2015 to December 2015.

Inclusion Criteria: Term neonates born in KIMS, Hubballi with birth asphyxia defined as Apgar score of < 7 at 1 minute were included in the study as defined by WHO South East Asia, Neonatal Perinatal Mortality Database working definition of Birth Asphyxia [8].

Exclusion criteria: Neonate with any congenital anomalies was excluded.

Sampling methods and Collection: All cases which were inborn in KIMS, Hubballi and having birth asphyxia with Apgar score of <7 were included. Five components were used to assess the Apgar score– Heart rate, Respiration, Muscle tone, Reflex irritability and Color. Apgar score was performed at 1 minute, 5 minute of birth and every 5 minutes for up to 20 minutes, if the 5 minute Apgar score was below 7.

Moderate birth asphyxia was defined as Apgar score between 4 to 6 at 1-minute of age severe birth asphyxia as Apgar score of 3 or less at 1-minute of age

A detailed history and clinical examination done and documented in preformed proforma. Newborns with birth asphyxia were screened by OAE -1 (First screening) by trained Audiologist in acoustically treated room before discharge. Results were interpreted as ‘pass’ for normal hearing and ‘refer’ for who needed further evaluation. Follow up OAE-2 (Second screening) was done in ‘refer’ cases after 10 to 14 days.

ABR was done immediately for confirmation of hearing impairment in those cases with OAE-2 results as ‘refer’. Those newborns showing hearing impairment by ABR were referred for further management to otorhinolaryngologist.

OAE Test procedure: OAE screening was done in an acoustically treated sound chamber in Department of Audiology only after removal of debris from external auditory canal and examination by an otorhinolaryngologist. OAE screening was carried out in order to avoid high referrals due to middle ear pathology. The screening was carried out using Biologic Natus AUDX Pro instrument. DPOAE screening was carried out at 5kHz, 4kHz, 3kHz and 2kHz for each ear separately. Clean and appropriate probe fit, minimum noise levels were ensured during the testing. 2 attempts of recording were done. Results were recorded as either ‘pass’ (normal functioning) or ‘refer’ (poor functioning).

Auditory Brainstem Response Testing procedure: Auditory brainstem responses were recorded in infants when a refer result is obtained in second stage of OAE screening. ABR was carried out using Biologic Natus Navigator PRO diagnostic instrument. Negative electrodes were placed in horizontal montage on the test ear mastoid, positive on non-test ear mastoid and ground electrode over forehead. Impedance is maintained at <5k ohms at all electrode sites. The following recording, stimulus and acquisition parameters were set before carrying out the test.

Stimulus parameters
Stimulus: Clicks, (100 micro sec duration)
Intensity: start at 90 dBnHL; reduced until peaks were present. Repetition rate – 11.1/second

Recording parameters
Epoch time- 16ms
Averages- 2000

Acquisition parameters
Gain- 100000
Filter setting- 30Hz to 3000Hz

Recording of waveforms was carried out at different intensities starting at 90dB nHL which was further reduced in 10dB steps until peaks were present. Two replications were obtained at each intensity and peaks I, III, V were marked wherever present. The lowest intensity until which Peak V was resent was found and diagnosis would be made based on the same.

Classification of hearing loss: Clark’s classification
-10 to 15 dB - Normal hearing
16 to 25 dB - Minimal hearing loss
26 to 40 dB - Mild hearing loss
41 to 55 dB - Moderate hearing loss
50 to 70 dB - Moderately severe hearing loss
71 to 90 dB - Severe hearing loss
>90 dB - Profound hearing loss

Statistical analysis: Data was entered in and analyzed using the SPSS software version 22.0. Test result was considered significant if p value was less than 0.05.
Results

During the study period, 2454 newborns were admitted in inborn NICU KIMS Hubballi. Among them 713 babies were admitted for birth asphyxia out of which 604 were term babies who had birth asphyxia. One hundred and fifty term babies with birth asphyxia met the inclusion criteria.

These 150 neonates with birth asphyxia were screened initially with OAE for hearing impairment and among them 57.3% (86/150) babies had pass results and 42.7% (64/150) had refer results. Second screening with OAE was conducted on 55 babies (9 babies lost follow up) who failed the first screening and among them 58.1% (32/55) babies had pass results and 41.8% (23/55) babies had refer results(Figure 1).

ABR testing for confirmation of hearing impairment was done on 23 babies who had refer results on second OAE. Among 23 babies, 60.9% (14/23) babies had hearing impairment and 39.1% (9/23) babies had normal hearing. The Prevalence of Hearing impairment among term neonates with birth asphyxia was 9.9% (14/141) (Figure 1).

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of 150 neonates with birth asphyxia.
Table-1: Baseline Characteristics of 150 Babies

| Characteristic           | Category            | No. | Percentage N=150 | Mean ± SD |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----|------------------|-----------|
| Gender                   | Male                | 86  | 57.3             | -         |
|                          | Female              | 64  | 42.7             | -         |
| Birth weight             | <2.5 kg             | 39  | 26               | 2.62 ± 0.38 |
|                          | >2.5kg              | 111 | 74               |           |
| Consanguinity            | Consanguineous      | 60  | 40               | -         |
|                          | Non consanguineous  | 90  | 60               | -         |
| Mode of delivery         | NVD                 | 104 | 69.3             | -         |
|                          | LSCS                | 27  | 18               | -         |
|                          | Instrumental delivery | 19 | 12.7             | -         |
| Meconium Aspiration      | Yes                 | 58  | 38.7             | -         |
|                          | No                  | 92  | 61.3             | -         |
| Apgar at 1 minute        | 4 to 6 (moderate birth asphyxia) | 131 | 87.3 | - |
|                          | ≤ 3 (severe birth asphyxia) | 19  | 12.7 | - |
| HIE                      | HIE of any stage    |     |                  |           |
|                          | Stage 1             | 11  | 7.3              | -         |
|                          | Stage 2             | 48  | 32               | -         |
|                          | Stage 3             | 06  | 4                | -         |
|                          | Total               | 65  | 43.3             | -         |
|                          | No HIE              | 85  | 56.7             | -         |
| Hyperbilirubinemia requiring | Phototherapy   | 13  | 8.7             | -         |
|                          | Exchange transfusion| 0   | 0               | -         |
| Sepsis                   | Yes                 | 13  | 8.7             | -         |
|                          | No                  | 137 | 91.3            | -         |
| Meningitis               | Yes                 | 2   | 1.3             | -         |
|                          | No                  | 148 | 98.7            | -         |
| Mechanical ventilation   | Yes                 | 14  | 9.3             | -         |
|                          | No                  | 136 | 90.7            | -         |
| Duration of Mechanical ventilation | < 5 days        | 11  | 78.6           | n=14      |
|                          | > 5 days            | 03  | 21.4            | n=14      |

Table-2: Grades of Hearing Loss (N=14)

| Classification of hearing loss | Right EAR | Left EAR | Bilateral | Total |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Mild                           | 1         | 2        | 5         | 08    |
| Moderate                       | 1         | 1        | 1         | 03    |
| Moderately Severe              | 0         | 0        | 1         | 01    |
| Severe                         | 1         | 0        | 1         | 02    |
| Total                          | 03        | 03       | 08        | 14    |

As shown in table 2, in our study most of the babies i.e., 57.1% had mild grade of hearing loss. Two babies (14.28%) had severe grade of hearing loss.

The comparison of various risk factors associated with hearing loss in babies with birth asphyxia is shown in Table 3. In our study only 6.5% (8/123) babies with moderate birth asphyxia had hearing impairment as compared to 33.3%(6/18) babies with severe birth asphyxia had hearing impairment and the difference was statistically significant (P=0.00037). The statistically significant risk factors for development of hearing impairment in babies with birth asphyxia were - Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy (P=0.00914), convulsions (P=0.0093) and mechanical ventilation (P=0.0003).
Table-3: Table Comparing Various Risk Factors Associated with Hearing Loss in Birth Asphyxia Babies

| Characteristics       | Category | Hearing Impairment N=14 | Normal Hearing | Total no. of babies with birth Asphyxia N=141 | Chi-square value | P value |
|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Gender                | Male     | 9                       | 71             | 80                                            | 0.3608           | 0.548   |
|                       | Female   | 5                       | 56             | 61                                            |                  |         |
| Birth weight          | <2.5 kg  | 4                       | 32             | 36                                            | 0.61             | 0.805   |
|                       | >2.5 kg  | 10                      | 95             | 105                                           |                  |         |
| MAS                   | Yes      | 4                       | 50             | 54                                            | 0.685            | 0.43    |
|                       | No       | 10                      | 77             | 86                                            |                  |         |
| Apgar at 1 minute     | 4 to 6 (moderate birth asphyxia) | 8              | 115            | 123                                           | 12.058           | 0.0037  |
|                       | ≤ 3 (severe birth asphyxia)   | 6              | 12             | 18                                            |                  |         |
| HIE of any Stage      | Yes      | 12                      | 50             | 62                                            | 10.993           | 0.00914 |
|                       | No       | 2                       | 77             | 79                                            |                  |         |
| HIE                   | Stage 1  | 2                       | 9              | 11                                            | 9.659            | 0.008   |
|                       | Stage 2  | 6                       | 39             | 45                                            |                  |         |
|                       | Stage 3  | 4                       | 2              | 6                                             |                  |         |
| Hyperbilirubinemia requiring Phototherapy | Yes | 1 | 10 | 11 | 0.00 | 0.994 |
|                       | No       | 13                      | 117            | 130                                           |                  |         |
| Sepsis                | Yes      | 3                       | 9              | 12                                            | 3.33             | 0.0679  |
|                       | No       | 11                      | 118            | 129                                           |                  |         |
| Meningitis            | Yes      | 1                       | 0              | 1                                             | 1.808            | 0.178   |
|                       | No       | 13                      | 127            | 140                                           |                  |         |
| Mechanical ventilator | Yes      | 5                       | 8              | 13                                            | 13.035           | 0.0003  |
|                       | No       | 9                       | 119            | 128                                           |                  |         |

Table-4: Multiple logistic regression analysis of hearing impairments with other variables

| Independent variables | Adjusted OR | Std. Err. | Z -value | P -value | 95% CI for OR |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------------|
|                       | Lower       | Upper     |          |          |               |
| Gender                | 0.71        | 0.51      | -0.4800  | 0.6320   | 0.18 2.87     |
| HIE                   | 11.97       | 10.71     | 2.7800   | 0.0050*  | 2.08 69.08    |
| Convulsions           | 0.06        | 0.10      | -1.7400  | 0.0830   | 0.01 1.44     |
| Sepsis                | 0.51        | 0.56      | -0.6100  | 0.5420   | 0.06 4.47     |
| Mechanical ventilation| 0.83        | 1.11      | -0.1400  | 0.8870   | 0.06 11.42    |

Table 4 shows multivariate analysis of various risk factors associated with development of hearing impairment in babies with birth asphyxia. HIE was found to be associated with development of hearing impairment in babies with birth asphyxia (P=0.0050, OR=11.97, CI=2.08-69.08).
Discussion

Perinatal asphyxia is a condition characterized by an impairment of the exchange of respiratory gases resulting in hypoxemia and hypercarbia, accompanied by metabolic acidosis. The consequences of perinatal asphyxia can range from death to various degrees of neurodevelopment sensory or motor deficits.

One of the well-known consequences of birth asphyxia is sensorineural hearing loss. Auditory nucleus (Dorsal cochlear nuclei) in the brainstem is very sensitive to hypoxia and hearing loss in babies with birth asphyxia is due to damage to this brainstem nucleus.

Severe hypoxia will cause irreversible damage to the cochlea including outer hair cells and edema of stria vascular, which leads to change in the sound waves of mechanical form into electrochemical energy along with damage to the fibers of the auditory nerve, so auditory signals can’t be passed on to the brainstem. Joint committee on infant hearing suggests that babies with Apgar score of 0-4 at 1 minute and 0-6 at 5 minutes are at risk of having hearing impairment [9].

In our study, we included babies with both moderate and severe birth asphyxia and found a prevalence of 9.9% (14/141). Prevalence of hearing impairment among babies with birth asphyxia varies in different studies from NO hearing impairment to as high as 60%.

Prevalence also varied depending on the definition of with asphyxia. Most of the studies have included babies with severe birth asphyxia. Laxmi, T et al, who conducted a study in 2014 on babies with birth asphyxia with Apgar score of <6 at 1 minute and 5 minutes found the prevalence to be 60% [10].

A seel et al conducted a study on babies with Apgar score of 0-4 at 1 minute and found the prevalence of hearing impairment to be 13.3% [11]. Gouri et al and Patel, R et al found the prevalence of hearing impairment to be 30% and 35.3% respectively [12, 13].

A Study conducted by Binay C et al who included the babies having Apgar score of 0-4 at 1 minute or 0-6 at 5 min, found no hearing impairment [14]. Nagpoornima et al conducted a study who included babies with severe birth asphyxia requiring ventilation found the prevalence of 1.9% [15].

Male: female ratio in our study was 1.8:1 which was similar to study conducted by Mishra et al [16]. Studies conducted by Aseel et al, Gouri et al among high risk neonates for hearing impairment found no relationship between gender and hearing impairment [11, 12]. In our study, there was no statistically significant relationship between hearing impairment and meconium aspiration syndrome. Our findings were similar to studies conducted by Binay C et al and Aseel et al but study by Gouri et al found statistical significance between these two [11, 12, 14].

Majority of the babies in our study i.e., 72.5% (45/62) had stage 2 HIE. When hearing, impairment was compared with different stages of HIE, it was found that babies with stage 3 HIE were more prone to develop hearing impairment as compared to babies with other stages of HIE. This finding suggests that as the severity of hypoxia increases the chances of baby developing the hearing impairment increases.

These findings are similar to study conducted by Mishra et al [16]. Neonatal convulsions have been reported to be a risk factor for abnormal hearing [17]. In our study, there was a statistically significant relationship between convulsions and hearing impairment (P=0.0093).

Screening for hearing loss in newborns is based on two concepts. First, a critical period exists for optimal language skills to develop, and earlier intervention produces better outcomes. Second, treatment of hearing defects has been shown to improve communication [18, 19, 20]. Baradanfar et al had 2.9% of babies with birth asphyxia and APGAR Scores of <5 at 5 min [21].

Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH) suggests that babies who are mechanically ventilated for more than 5 days are at higher risk of developing hearing impairment. Binay C et al and Patel R et al found that babies mechanically ventilated for more than 5days were at more risk to develop hearing impairment [13, 14]. In our study, we found that babies with birth asphyxia who were mechanically ventilated were more prone for development of hearing impairment as compared to babies who were not mechanically ventilated.

Conclusion

The prevalence of hearing impairment among term neonates with birth asphyxia was 9.9% (14/141). Babies with severe birth asphyxia had greater incidence of hearing impairment as compared to babies with moderate birth asphyxia and the difference was statistically significant.
The statistically significant risk factors for development of hearing impairment in babies with birth asphyxia are: Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy, convulsions and mechanical ventilation. Gender and meconium aspiration syndrome were not significantly associated with development of hearing impairment in babies with birth asphyxia.

**What is already known?**

Hearing impairment is a known risk factor among NICU graduates especially with birth asphyxia babies.

**What this study add to existing knowledge?**

Two staged screening with OAE, which is a feasible screening test in resource poor set up, can be used as a screening modality for hearing impairment in babies with birth asphyxia.

**Limitation-** Follow up of the babies who passed the screening test for hearing impairment was not done.

**Contributions by authors:** All the three authors contributed equally for conception, acquisition and interpretation of data and drafting of the article.
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