The Effects of Ischemia During Rest Intervals on Bar Velocity in the Bench Press Exercise With Different External Loads
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The main aim of the present study was to evaluate the acute effects of ischemia used during rest periods on bar velocity changes during the bench press exercise at progressive loads, from 20 to 90% of 1RM. Ten healthy resistance trained men volunteered for the study (age = 26.3 ± 4.7 years; body mass = 89.8 ± 6.3 kg; bench press 1RM = 142.5 ± 16.9 kg; training experience = 7.8 ± 2.7 years). During the experimental sessions the subjects performed the bench press exercise under two different conditions, in a randomized and counterbalanced order: (a) ischemia condition, with ischemia applied before the first set and during every rest periods between sets, and (b) control condition where no ischemia was applied. During each experimental session eight sets of the bench press exercise were performed, against loads starting from 20 to 90% 1RM, increased progressively by 10% in each subsequent set. A 3-min rest interval between sets was used. For ischemia condition the cuffs was applied 3 min before the first set and during every rest period between sets. Ischemia was released during exercise. The cuff pressure was set to ∼80% of full arterial occlusion pressure.

The two-way repeated measures ANOVA showed a statistically significant interaction effect for peak bar velocity (p = 0.04) and for mean bar velocity (p = 0.01). There was also a statistically significant main effect of condition for peak bar velocity (p < 0.01) but not for mean bar velocity (p = 0.25). The post hoc analysis for interaction showed significantly higher peak bar velocity for the ischemia condition compared to control at a load of 20% 1RM (p = 0.007) and at a load of 50% 1RM (p = 0.006). The results of the present study indicate that ischemia used before each set even for a brief duration of <3 min, has positive effects on peak bar velocity at light loads, but it is insufficient to induce such effect on higher loads.
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INTRODUCTION

Ischemia used in athletic training and rehabilitation is the temporary restriction of blood flow to the arms or legs through external compression (Eltzschig and Eckle, 2011; Schwiete et al., 2021). This compression is usually induced by inflatable cuffs or elastic bands which are wrapped around the proximal parts of the upper or lower limbs (Loenneke et al., 2012). Ischemia induced by a cuff is simple and non-invasive, and therefore easy for practical use (Marocolo et al., 2018). There are many methods of using ischemia as part of physical activity, such as continuous ischemia (used during exercise and rest periods) (Wilk et al., 2020b), intermittent ischemia (used only during exercise) (Wilk et al., 2020d,e), pre-conditioning ischemia (used before exercise) (Telles et al., 2020) and intra-conditioning (used only during the rest periods) (Wilk et al., 2021b). The use of ischemia during exercise, apart from inducing physiological responses, may be accompanied by high ratings of perceived exertion and discomfort or even pain among practitioners (Wernbom et al., 2006; Neto et al., 2018; Schwiete et al., 2021). A way to reduce this discomfort may be to reduce the time during which ischemia is applied, by using it only in the rest intervals between sets of resistance exercise (Yasuda et al., 2015; Freitas et al., 2019, 2020; Wilk et al., 2021b).

Previous studies demonstrated the beneficial effects of ischemic pre-conditioning on performance during different types of exercise (swimming, running, cycling, resistance exercise) (Marocolo et al., 2015, 2016; Ferreira et al., 2016; Paradis-Deschénes et al., 2016; Sabino-Carvalho et al., 2017). Ischemia improves exercise performance and stimulates several physiological responses (Liu et al., 1991; Lawson and Downey, 1993; Pang et al., 1995; Schroder et al., 1996; Kimura et al., 2007; Li et al., 2012; Paradis-Deschénes et al., 2016; Tanaka et al., 2018), albeit the mechanisms underlying its effects have not been explored exhaustively. Kocman et al. (2015) suggested that the muscles previously subjected to ischemia, become more resistant to ischemia during exercise and its potential deleterious effects. Furthermore, ischemia used before exercise improves metabolic efficiency by attenuating ATP depletion, as well as glycogen depletion and lactate production (Pang et al., 1995; Schroder et al., 1996; Addison et al., 2003; Lintz et al., 2013). Further, the ischemia pre-conditioning can improve blood flow in skeletal muscles, by inducing vasodilation (Enko et al., 2011), improving functional sympatholysis (Liu et al., 1991), and preserving microvascular endothelium function during stress (Kharbanda et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004; Bailey et al., 2012; Horiiuchi et al., 2015). A recent work by Wilk et al. (2021b) also showed a beneficial effect of ischemic intra-conditioning on explosive performance. In that study there was an increase in bar velocity and power output during the bench press exercise (five sets, three repetitions, 60% of one repetition maximum-RM; 5 min rest interval) when ischemia 80% of arterial occlusion pressure-AOP was used before the first set and during all rest periods between sets. Similarly, beneficial effects on explosive bench press performance were observed when ischemia was applied during the exercise (Wilk et al., 2020b,c). However, the positive effects of different types of ischemia during exercise (intermittent and continuous at 70% AOP) seem to be dependent on external load used (Wilk et al., 2020b). Specifically, the positive effects on peak bar velocity during the bench press exercise were evident in lighter loads (20–50% 1RM) but disappeared when heavier loads (60–90% 1RM) were used (Wilk et al., 2020b). On the contrary, Wilk et al. (2020e) showed that intermittent, high pressure ischemia (90% AOP) significantly increased power output and bar velocity during the bench press exercise at a load of 70% 1RM, but only when a wider cuff was used, while there was no effect when a narrow cuff was used. Therefore, the benefits of ischemia on exercise performance appear to depend on the external load used, as well as the width and pressure of the cuff. However, the relationship between the external load used and the effects of ischemia applied during the rest intervals only, has not yet been examined. Therefore, it seems justified to determine whether there is a relationship between the changes in bar velocity and the external load used, when ischemia is applied only during the rest intervals between sets.

The bench press exercise was selected, as it is the most popular upper-body resistance exercise, commonly used in practice and science research (Wilk et al., 2019c; Maszczyk et al., 2020; Filip-Stachnik et al., 2021b; Krzysztofik et al., 2021), while ischemia during the rest intervals only may be more tolerated than continuous ischemia during resistance training. Thus, the main aim of the present study was to evaluate the acute effects of ischemia used during rest periods on bar velocity changes during the bench press exercise at progressive loads, from 20 to 90% of 1RM. Since previous studies showed a beneficial effect of resting ischemia on physical performance (Telles et al., 2020), it was hypothesized that ischemia used only during the rest intervals would increase bar velocity during the bench press exercise at all loads used.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All stages of the study were performed in the Strength and Power Laboratory at the Academy of Physical Education in Katowice, Poland. A randomized crossover design was used, where each participant performed two training protocols in a random and counterbalanced order, 1 week apart: with ischemia used before exercise and during the rest intervals between sets (ischemia condition), and a control condition, without ischemia. During each training session, the participants performed eight sets of the bench press exercise with two repetitions in each set, with progressive loads from 20 to 90% 1RM (10% steps), and 3 min rest periods between each set. During the ischemia condition occlusion with 80% AOP was applied using a 10 cm wide cuff, before the first set of the bench press exercise and during all rest periods between sets. The ischemia was applied in close proximity to the axillary's fossa of both arms. During the control condition no ischemia was applied.

Subjects

Power analysis indicated a sample size of eight participants would be needed to detect significant differences if the effect size (ES) was 0.25. Power analysis was performed using the
Ten healthy resistance trained men volunteered for the study [age = 26.3 ± 4.7 years; body mass = 89.8 ± 6.3 kg; bench press 1RM = 142.5 ± 16.9 kg; training experience = 7.8 ± 2.7 years; relative strength (1RM/body mass) = 1.59 ± 0.13]. The inclusion criteria were a bench press 1RM performance of at least 150% body mass (Wilk et al., 2019b) and no musculoskeletal injuries for at least 6 months prior to the study. Participants were instructed to maintain their normal dietary habits over the course of the study and not to use any supplements or stimulants for the duration of the experiment. The participants were informed about the potential risks of the study before providing their written informed consent for participation and were allowed to withdraw from the study at any time. The study protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee for Scientific Research, at the Academy of Physical Education in Katowice, Poland (02/2019), and all procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki, 1983.

**Procedures**

**Familiarization Session**

Two weeks before the main experiment, the participants performed a familiarization session. Familiarization, and experimental sessions were performed at the same time of the day, between 9:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m., to avoid the influence of circadian rhythm on performance. During the familiarization session, the participants performed a warm-up that was consistent with subjects normal training habits, followed by a specific warm-up during which they performed the free-weight barbell bench press exercise at 20 kg and then at 40%, 60% of their estimated 1RM with 8, 6, and 3 repetitions, respectively. During each warm-up set the participants performed 5–8 repetitions. During the familiarization sessions each participant performed six sets of the bench press exercise with ischemia used during the rest intervals. The load was increased by 10% from 40 to 80% of the estimated 1RM. In each set, two repetitions with maximal movement tempo were performed.

**One Repetition Maximum Test (1RM)**

One week before the main experiment a 1RM free-weight barbell bench press test was performed as described elsewhere (Filip-Stachnik et al., 2021a; Wilk et al., 2021a). The 1RM test is considered the gold standard for assessing muscle strength under non-laboratory conditions (Levinger et al., 2009). Briefly, the session started with the general upper body warm-up, similar to that performed during familiarization. Afterwards, the participants performed specific bench press warm-up at a load of 20, 40, and 60% of their estimated 1RM with 8, 6, and 3 repetitions, respectively. The first testing load was set to an estimated 80% 1RM and was increased by 2.5–10 kg for each subsequent trial. This process was repeated until failure. Grip width on the bar was set at 150% of the individual bi-acromial distance, and the same grip width was used in the main experimental sessions (Wilk et al., 2019a).

**Experimental Sessions**

The experimental procedure was similar to that described in the study of Wilk et al. (2020b) with the exception of the ischemia method. The subjects performed the free-weight barbell bench press exercise under two different conditions, in a randomized and counterbalanced order: (a) ischemia condition, with ischemia applied before the first set and during every rest interval between sets, and (b) control condition where no ischemia was applied. During each experimental session eight sets of the bench press exercise were performed, against loads starting from 20 to 90% 1RM, increased progressively by 10% in each subsequent set. In each set, two repetitions were performed. Research has shown that the fastest movement velocity (peak and mean) is achieved in the first two repetitions (García-Ramos et al., 2021). Furthermore it was chosen two repetitions to avoid the cumulative fatigue in the last loads. Each repetition was performed with a 2 s duration for the eccentric phase and maximal velocity in the concentric phase of movement (Wilk et al., 2020a,c). A 3-min rest interval between sets was used. Bar velocity was monitored using a linear position transducer system (Tendo Power Analyzer, Tendo Sport Machines, Trencin, Slovakia). This device has shown high reliability and validity, intra-class correlation co-efficient (ICC) of 0.984 for peak bar velocity and 0.989 for mean bar velocity, and a coefficient of variation (CV) ranging from 9 to 9.6% (Garnacho-Castaño et al., 2015). Peak bar velocity was obtained from the best repetition performed in each set. Mean bar velocity was obtained as the mean of two repetitions performed in each set.

**Ischemia**

Ischemia was induced by cuffs worn at the most proximal region of both arms. For this experiment we used SmartCuffs (Smart Tools Plus LLC, Strongsville, OH, United States) which are 10-cm wide. The ischemia was applied 3 min before the first set and during every rest period between sets. The ischemia was applied for approximately 2.5 min during each rest interval, as it took about 20 s to inflate and 10 s to deflate the cuffs. Occlusion was released during exercise. The cuff pressure was set to ~80% of full arterial occlusion pressure (115 ± 10 mmHg). The individual pressure value was determined by a handheld Edan SD3 Doppler with an OLED screen and a 2-mHz probe (Edan Instruments Inc., Shenzhen, China) placed over the radial artery to assess the blood pressure required for interruption of auscultatory pulse (Wilk et al., 2020b,e, 2021b).

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 9.1. Results are presented as means with standard deviations. The Shapiro–Wilk, Levene, and Mauchly’s tests were used to verify normality, homogeneity, and sphericity of the sample data variances, respectively. Differences between the ischemia and control conditions were examined using two-way repeated measures ANOVA [2 conditions (ischemia vs. control) × 8 sets (load 20–90% 1RM)]. ES for main effects and interactions were determined.
by partial eta squared ($\eta^2$). Partial eta squared values were classified as small (0.01–0.059), moderate (0.06–0.137), and large (>0.137) (Hopkins et al., 2009). Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey's test were conducted to locate the differences between mean values, when a main effect or an interaction was found. For pairwise comparisons, ESs were determined by Cohen's $d$ which was characterized as large ($d > 0.8$), moderate ($d$ between 0.8 and 0.5), small ($d$ between 0.49 and 0.20), and trivial ($d < 0.2$) (Cohen, 1988). Percent changes with 90% confidence intervals (90CI) were also calculated. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

Peak and mean bar velocity in all sets of the two conditions are presented in Table 1. The two-way repeated measures ANOVA showed a statistically significant interaction effect for peak bar velocity ($p = 0.04$; $\eta^2 = 0.20$) and for mean bar velocity ($p = 0.01$; $\eta^2 = 0.20$). There was also a statistically significant main effect of condition for peak bar velocity ($p < 0.01$; $\eta^2 = 0.40$) but not for mean bar velocity ($p = 0.25$; $\eta^2 = 0.15$).

The post hoc analysis for interaction showed significantly higher peak bar velocity for the ischemia condition compared to control at a load of 20% 1RM (2.30 ± 0.24 vs. 2.17 ± 0.24 m/s, $p = 0.007$) and at a load of 50% 1RM (1.34 ± 0.21 vs. 1.21 ± 0.25 m/s, $p = 0.006$, Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of the present study was that ischemia used before each set significantly increased peak bar velocity during the bench press exercise only against the 20 and 50% 1RM loads. Furthermore, no positive effects of ischemia were observed on mean bar velocity. Therefore, it appears that the effect of ischemia on peak bench press performance was limited to lighter load, while increasing the load during the subsequent sets canceled out any effects of between-sets ischemia on performance.

Previous studies have confirmed that muscular ischemia during exercise (Gepfert et al., 2020) or before exercise increases physical performance in various types of physical activity (Telles et al., 2020). However, only one previous study assessed the effect of ischemia applied before exercise and during rest intervals between sets of resistance exercise on explosive performance. In that study, ischemia significantly increased peak power output and peak bar velocity during the bench press exercise, while it had no effect on mean values (Wilk et al., 2021b). However, in the present study, the bench press exercise was performed against increasing loads (8 sets at 20–90% 1RM), while in the study of Wilk et al. (2021b) the load was constant for all sets (5 sets at 60% 1RM). This indicates that the increase in load during subsequent sets cancels any positive effects of between-sets ischemic on performance. Thus, ischemia applied before the first sets, appears to be beneficial for peak bench press performance at 20 and 50% 1RM (Table 1). Similar findings regarding the positive effect of ischemic preconditioning have been observed during strength-endurance resistance exercise (Marocolo et al., 2015). In that study, the number of repetitions during leg extension exercise to exhaustion was increased following ischemia compared to control (Marocolo et al., 2015). Furthermore, ischemia used during rest periods may enhance ATP production by glycolytic and phosphogenic pathways (Janier et al., 1994; Mendez-Villanueva et al., 2012), as well as peak contractile force (Andreas et al., 2011). Since the level of power output generated by the muscle depends on these substrates and metabolic mechanisms (Kraemer et al., 1987; Robergs et al., 1991), this may be the physiological basis for explaining the obtained results. Furthermore, the reactive hyperemia (during the reperfusion phase after occlusion) may be associated with potentiated force production and with a beneficial effect on explosive performance (Libonati et al., 1998; Marocolo et al., 2015).

**TABLE 1** | Bar velocity during eight sets of the bench press exercise under two conditions.

| Condition  | 20% 1RM | 30% 1RM | 40% 1RM | 50% 1RM | 60% 1RM | 70% 1RM | 80% 1RM | 90% 1RM |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| **Peak bar velocity (m/s)** | | | | | | | | |
| Control    | 2.17 ± 0.24 | 1.81 ± 0.16 | 1.52 ± 0.32 | 1.21 ± 0.25 | 1.04 ± 0.15 | 0.90 ± 0.11 | 0.72 ± 0.11 | 0.56 ± 0.13 |
| (2.04–2.31) | (1.72–1.90) | (1.33–1.71) | (1.06–1.35) | (0.96–1.13) | (0.83–0.96) | (0.66–0.78) | (0.48–0.63) | |
| Ischemia   | 2.30 ± 0.24 | 1.90 ± 0.24 | 1.58 ± 0.29 | 1.34 ± 0.21 | 1.10 ± 0.17 | 0.93 ± 0.15 | 0.72 ± 0.16 | 0.59 ± 0.15 |
| (2.16–2.44) | (1.76–2.04) | (1.41–1.75) | (1.21–1.46) | (1.00–1.20) | (0.84–1.02) | (0.63–0.81) | (0.50–0.68) | |
| Control vs. Ischemia (p-value) | 0.007* | 0.264 | 0.836 | 0.006* | 0.852 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 0.997 |
| Cohen's $d$ | 0.56 | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.27 |

| **Mean bar velocity (m/s)** | | | | | | | | |
| Control    | 1.44 ± 0.16 | 1.24 ± 0.11 | 1.03 ± 0.20 | 0.86 ± 0.13 | 0.74 ± 0.13 | 0.62 ± 0.11 | 0.50 ± 0.10 | 0.37 ± 0.10 |
| (1.39–1.60) | (1.15–1.35) | (0.95–1.17) | (0.81–1.01) | (0.66–0.82) | (0.57–0.70) | (0.43–0.55) | (0.28–0.43) | |
| Ischemia   | 1.50 ± 0.18 | 1.25 ± 0.18 | 1.06 ± 0.19 | 0.91 ± 0.17 | 0.74 ± 0.14 | 0.64 ± 0.11 | 0.49 ± 0.11 | 0.36 ± 0.13 |
| (1.34–1.53) | (1.18–1.31) | (0.91–1.15) | (0.78–0.94) | (0.66–0.82) | (0.55–0.68) | (0.44–0.56) | (0.31–0.43) | |
| Control vs. Ischemia (p-value) | 0.088 | 1.000 | 0.996 | 0.372 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 |
| Cohen's $d$ | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.15 |

Values are mean ± SD, while 90% confidence intervals (CI) are presented in parentheses.

* $p < 0.01$ from the corresponding value in the control condition.
Although that present study showed a main effect of condition in peak bar velocity, which favored the ischemia condition, this was due mainly to the difference in the light load, as shown by the post hoc test following the significant load × condition interaction. Comparisons between corresponding sets of ischemia and control in peak bar velocity showed statistically significant differences with small to moderate ES at least up to a load of 50% 1RM (ES = 0.20–0.58, Table 1). Therefore, there are some other possible factors that may explain the tendency of ischemia during recovery to increase peak bar velocity. One such factor may be the duration of ischemia. In the study Wilk et al. (2021b) the ischemia was applied for approximately 5 min during each rest period, while in the present study the rest interval was approximately half (i.e., 2.5 min). Previous studies have examined the effect of ischemia pre-conditioning lasting from 6 to 20 min (Lalonde and Curnier, 2015). The most common ischemia protocol involves three or four cycles of 5 min of ischemia and reperfusion (Murry et al., 1986; de Groot et al., 2010; Jean-St-Michel et al., 2011). Therefore, the duration of ischemia used in this study could be insufficient to induce improvement of explosive performance at higher loads, and this was also observed in the study by Wilk et al. (2021b). Nevertheless, it should be emphasized that the optimal methodology for implementing ischemia is unknown (Sharma et al., 2014; Incognito et al., 2016). The characteristics of the ischemia protocols, such as the pressure applied, training experience, type and intensity of exercise used, number of ischemia–reperfusion cycles, and time between the removal of ischemia until the start of exercise, are certainly factors that influence the effectiveness of ischemia application (Incognito et al., 2016; Wilk et al., 2018). However, currently there are no clear guidelines for the optimal methodology of ischemia application according to individual characteristics and training variables.

Furthermore, the ischemic conditioning may delay the development of fatigue and prolong the time to task failure, as demonstrated by Barbosa et al. (2015) during grip exercises, however, the reported improvement was not accompanied by physiological changes (e.g., increased blood flow or oxygen utilization). Similar Marocolo et al. (2015) showed that ischemia preconditioning (four cycles of 5 min of occlusion at 220 mmHg) increased the number of repetitions during leg extension exercise. However, the same improvement as for ischemia condition was noted for the placebo condition (20 mmHg). Therefore, there may be additional, unknown factors that influence the effect of ischemia on exercise performance. One limitation of the present study was the lack of a placebo condition. The placebo and/or nocebo effects are both methodological confounding factors in studies involving potential ergogenic aids (Ferreira et al., 2016; Marocolo et al., 2017; Wilk et al., 2019c). Another limitation of the present study is the lack of assessment of physiological changes that could constitute the basis for explaining the obtained results. Since this study is the second one that evaluates the acute effects of ischemia used during the rest periods between sets, further research on such ischemia application practices are required. Furthermore, in presented study the successive sets were performed using loads in an ascending order. Although an order effect of load is possible, the effect of ischemia on performance was observed in the initial sets, i.e., first (20% 1RM) and fourth set (50% 1RM), while no difference was seen in the last sets. Nevertheless, despite the low number of repetitions per set (only two) and the relatively long rest interval between sets (3 min), it is possible that fatigue may have affected performance in the last sets, thus confounding a possible positive effect of ischemia.

Therefore, further research is needed, examining the effects of load on ischemia-induced performance enhancement using randomized loads.

CONCLUSION

Ischemia application during and between exercise bouts is an innovative intervention allowing to temporarily increase exercise capacity and efficiency (Incognito et al., 2016; Marocolo et al., 2017). The results of the present study indicate that ischemia used before each set even for a brief duration of <3 min, has positive effects on peak bar velocity at light loads (20–50%), but it is insufficient to induce such effect on higher loads. However, in subsequent sets, the effect of increasing load is stronger than that of ischemia during the recovery interval, thus preventing any further increase in explosive performance. Although more research is needed to determine the effects of ischemia application during the recovery intervals between sets of exercise, it seems that the duration of ischemia application during recovery (i.e., <3 min) was not sufficient to induce positive changes in performance.
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