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Background: Post op nausea and vomiting is one of the most distressful complications after surgical procedure. The complex of same is very complex but laproscopic surgeries are one of the major reasons. Various agents have been found helpful to deal with this. But keeping in mind the long duration and late showing off of this side effect long acting 5HT3 acting antagonist were invented like palanosetron. But its high cost made its utility less common, so in order to cut down the cost without comprising on effect adjuvants like dexamethasone were used. Aim: To compare 0.075mg palanosetron with 0,05mg palanosetron with 4mg dexamethasone to prevent post op nausea and vomiting in laproscopic surgeries. Subjects and Methods: This study was a randomised, prospective , trial done on 100 adults, A.S.A. Grade I to II patients, age18–65 years going for laparoscopic hysterectomy. They were sent to two groups which got either of the treatment regimens: Palanosetron 75 microgram (Gr P, number = 50) or dexamethasone four milligram plus palanosetron 50 microgram (Gr PD, number = 50). The main outcome was number of PONV cases in 24 hour and the secondary outcome included number of rescue antiemetic required. Student’s t test used to analyze normally distributed data. Mann Whitney-U test applied for skewed data. Chi-square / Fisher exact test whatsoever was applicable was applied to Qualitative /categorical variables. All tests done were two-sided and performed keeping a significance level of 0.05. Results: There was no significant difference in the two groups (P>0.05) in terms of incidence of nausea, vomiting, retching, patient satisfaction and even side effects. Conclusion: 0.05mg palanosetron with 4 mg dexamethasone and 0,075mg Palanosetron are equally effective to prevent post op nausea vomiting plus more cost effective.
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Introduction

Post operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is one of the most distressing outcomes of anaesthesia and surgery having an unacceptable high number of sufferers.[1] Due to its complex mechanism and casual attitude of patients as well as doctors unknowingly it has highly increased the toll of delay in patient discharge as well as increase in expenses. Unattended PONV leads to the risk of post-operative bleeding, wound dehiscence, gastric aspiration and electrolyte imbalance, increased intracranial pressure, pneumothorax etc. More than that it can lead to heightened experience of pain, dissisatisfaction, dysphoria and overall a bad stay for the patient. PONV is defined as nausea/vomiting occuring in post anesthesia care unit till immediate 24 hours. Laproscopic surgeries have become the choice for every patient as they allow decreased hospital stay, an earlier return to work and normal activities with less pain associated with the smaller incision and less postoperative ileus.[2,3] For instance, in less than one decade of its first trial (Lyon, France in March 1987 by Phillipe Mouret) laproscopic hysterectomies has changed the thinking and operating habits of surgeons as widely and rapidly like nothing before that it has now emerged as the gold standard treatment for uterus removal. However, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is among the most common distressing side effects associated with it. The incidence of PONV after LH ranges from 53% to 72% according to operative, anaesthetic and patient-related risk factors.[4] Thus the increasing demand for laproscopic surgeries by the patients makes the problem of PONV more important to be dealt with more newer drugs and modalities which are not only patient free but also patient pocket friendly.
Pathophysiology of PONV is complex, a review has suggested that multiple risk factors such as age, female gender, obesity, nonsmoking status, history of motion sickness, inhalational anesthetics, duration of surgery, and anesthesia contribute to the incidence of PONV. Various receptors on which antiemetics act are cholinergic (muscarinic), dopaminergic (D2), histaminergic (H1), and serotonergic (5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 [5-HT3]) receptors. A commendable development done was the introduction of palonosetron in the the 5-HT3 receptor antagonist group; a promising longer-acting agent having plasma half-life of approximately 40 h and a much higher binding affinity for the 5-HT3 receptor compared to other “setrons”5. Recent work done using this drug concluded that palonosetron has a unique action to promote the long-term internalization of 5-HT3 receptors in neurons and to decrease the actions of substance P on NK1 receptors, likely by indirect mechanisms. Therefore, the dose was increased to 7.5 μg/kg, as efficacy of palonosetron is dose dependent thus hypothesising that this higher dose could further decrease the rate of PONV. But, since palonosetron is quite expensive (138 Rs./25 microg), increase in dose of palonosetron can result in an increased expenses for patients. Multimodal antiemetic therapy is well know for being more effective for treating PONV. Thus, we combined dexamethasone whose prevention of arachidonic acid release and synthesis of certain inflammatory agents that sensitize nerves that control emesis. Therefore, it was hypothesized that multimodal therapy could achieve equivalent efficacy and control emesis. Therefore, it was hypothesized that this higher dose could further decrease the rate of PONV. 

AIM and OBJECTIVES
AIM- To study comparison between 0.075mg palonosetron with 0.05mg palonosetron with 4mg dexamethasone as adjuvant to prevent post-operative nausea and vomiting.

Objectives:
1) To count incidence of nausea and vomiting at 30min, 2, 8, 24,36,48 h using four point scoring system
2) To study patient satisfaction score.
3) To calculate total dose of rescue antiemetics required.
4) To study any side effects of drugs used.

Subjects and Methods

After ethical approval from the institute and informed consent from patients, we studied 100 patients. Inclusion criteria - American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical Status I and II patients, aged 18 to 65 years, weighing 40-80 kg, scheduled for elective laparoscopic hysterectomy under GA. The study was a prospective, randomized, double-blind study.

Exclusion criteria were-
1) Pregnancy.
2) Gastrointestinal or renal disease.
3) Who received cancer chemotherapy within past four weeks, emetogenic radiotherapy within past eight weeks,
4) Who had experienced motion sickness
5) H/o epilepsy, cardiovascular compromise
6) Anti-emetic medication within 24 h before surgery, were excluded from the study.

The patients were randomly distributed using computer generated numbers enclosed within a chit into two groups P and PD.
Group P patients received 0.075 mg palonosetron
Group PD received a combination of 0.05 mg palonosetron with 4 mg dexamethasone.
One doctor, who was not part of the study, prepared the drugs in identical 20ml syringes, containing either 0.075 mg palonosetron or 0.05 mg palonosetron and 4mg dexamethasone (total volume of 20ml made with normal saline). The study drugs were known already to be compatible when mixed and administered just before induction of anesthesia.
A senior anesthesiologist who gave general anesthesia and used the study drug, was not aware of the type of study drug used and did not participate in the study.
A standardised protocol followed in the institute for general anesthesia was done in all the patients. Fasting observed for 8 hours.

Premedication
Inj.Midazolam 1.5mg,
Inj.glycopyrolate 0.2 mg, and
Inj.Tentanyl 2 mcg/kg given by intravenous (IV) route, before start of the anesthetic procedure.
Monitoring – pulse rate, non-invasive blood pressure, electrocardiography ECG, oxygen saturation, and end-tidal carbon dioxide (ET CO2)
The study drugs were given slow IV, just before induction of anesthesia.
Patients were pre-oxygenated with oxygen for 3 min, Induction - IV Propofol 1.5mg/kg, followed by IV vecuronium 0.08 mg/kg and direct laryngoscopy with intubation by endo-tracheal tube of appropriate size. Oro-gastric tube was introduced after intubation and suction through tube was done.
Maintenance- 33% oxygen with nitrous oxide with 0.5-1.5% isoflurane and 8 liters of total gas flow.
Inj vecuronium was repeated at 0.01mg/kg and Inj fentanyl 1 mcg/kg at 30-min interval.
Controlled - Ventilation was done to maintain ET CO2 at 30-35 mm Hg. Intra-abdominal pressure was maintained below 15 mm Hg.

Analgesia - Inj tramadol 100 mg IV was given to all patients, 30 min before the end of surgery.

At finish of the operation, residual neuromuscular blockade was antagonized with Inj neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg with glycopyrolate (0.2 mg for each 1 mg of neostigmine).

After suctioning of the oropharynx and adequate recovery from GA as per clinical observation extubation was done. Patient conscious, oriented and responding was sent to post anaesthesia care unit (PACU) and oxygen was administered at 3 l/min. There was provision of rescue analgesic in the form of IV paracetamol 1 g (100 ml).

Patients were inquired about nausea, vomiting, retching and any side-effects, at 30min, 2, 8, 24, 36, 48 h by an investigator; who was blinded to the study.

PONV measurement scale was measured on a four-point (1-4) scoring system.

Score 1 = no nausea/retching;
2= complaining of nausea/retching;
3= vomiting less than two times in 30 min;
4= vomiting more than an two times in 30 min.

Nausea was characterised as – unpleasant sensation characterized by gastrointestinal distress and an urge to vomit.

Retching was defined as the labored, spastic, rhythmic contraction of the respiratory muscles without the expulsion of the gastric contents.

Vomiting was defined as the forceful expulsion of gastric contents from the mouth. The number of patients in each category were recorded. If PONV score was 2 or more, IV ondansetron 4 mg was given as rescue anti-emetic.

Any need for rescue drug and side-effects like headache, dizziness and drowsiness were noted.

The statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS 15.0 software. All quantitative variables were estimated using measures of central location and measures of dispersion. The normally distributed data were compared using Student’s t test. For comparison of skewed data Mann Whitney-U test was applied. Qualitative or categorical variables were described as frequencies and compared with Chi-square or Fisher exact test whichever was applicable. All statistical tests were two-sided and were performed at a significance level of 0.05. Sample size was calculated on the basis of previous studies presuming at least 25% difference in the incidence of postoperative vomiting between groups, with α=0.05 and β=0.80 showed that 42 patients were required in each group. Thus, we took 50 patients in both group to take into account drop outs.

Results

Table 1: Demographic data and intraoperative data.

| Parameter                  | Group P | Group pD | P value |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Age(yr)                    | 41.06±10| 40.84±12| 0.006   |
| Gender (M:F)               | 10:40   | 12:38    | 0.128   |
| Weight(kg)                 | 63.02±11.70| 59.67±7.05| 0.009   |
| Height(cm)                 | 158.40±3.46| 160.02±4.69| 0.101   |
| ASA Grade                  | 34:16   | 40:10    | 0.201   |
| Duration of surgery(mins)  | 68.33±12.30| 63.49±15.79| 0.007   |
| Duration of CO2 insufflation (min) | 55.50±11.01| 56.52±14.2| 0.014   |

Table 2: Comparison of incidence of post operative nausea and vomiting among the two groups.

| Parameter                  | Group P (50) | Group pD (50) | P value |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------|
| PONV Immediately           |              |               |         |
| 1                          | 45(90%)      | 43(86%)       | 0.008   |
| 2                          | 3(6%)        | 5(10%)        | 0.129   |
| 3                          | 1(2%)        | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| 4                          | 1(2%)        | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| PONV 30min                 |              |               |         |
| 1                          | 48(96%)      | 46(92%)       | 0.041   |
| 2                          | 2(4%)        | 2(4%)         | 0.028   |
| 3                          | 0            | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| 4                          | 0            | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| PONV 2hr                   |              |               |         |
| 1                          | 49(98%)      | 48(96%)       | 0.045   |
| 2                          | 1(2%)        | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| 3                          | 0            | 1(2%)         | 0.033   |
| 4                          | 0            | 0             |         |
| PONV 4hr                   |              |               |         |
| 1                          | 50(100%)     | 49(98%)       | 0.046   |
| 2                          | 0            | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| 3                          | 0            | 0             |         |
| 4                          | 0            | 0             |         |
| PONV 8hr                   |              |               |         |
| 1                          | 49(98%)      | 48(96%)       | 0.045   |
| 2                          | 1(2%)        | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| 3                          | 0            | 1(2%)         | 0.033   |
| 4                          | 0            | 0             |         |
| PONV 16hr                  |              |               |         |
| 1                          | 46(92%)      | 47(94%)       | 0.026   |
| 2                          | 3(6%)        | 2(4%)         | 0.007   |
| 3                          | 1(2%)        | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| 4                          | 0            | 0             |         |
| PONV 32hr                  |              |               |         |
| 1                          | 43(86%)      | 44(88%)       | 0.014   |
| 2                          | 3(6%)        | 3(6%)         | 0.032   |
| 3                          | 3(6%)        | 2(4%)         | 0.007   |
| 4                          | 1(2%)        | 1(2%)         | 0.012   |
| PONV 48hr                  |              |               |         |
There was no significant difference between the two groups with respect to age, height, weight, PONV risk factors and ASA status. Groups were well matched in duration of surgery and CO2 insufflation time. On table fluid given and hemodynamic parameters were also comparable. There was no significant difference between incidence of post op nausea and vomiting between the two groups as shown in [Table 2]. Age, gender when taken as risk factors also showed no significance change between the two groups. Rescue antiemetic required was also comparable. [Table 3]. Rescue analgesic requirement in group pD was less than group P.

Side effects of headache and palpitations was noted in three patients in group P and one patient in group pD but is attributed to over anxious personality .Hence, High dose 0.075mg palano and low dose palano plus dexa showed similar efficiency in prevention of post op nausea and vomiting.

Table 3: Comparison between confounding factors for PONV and use of rescue antiemetics as well analgesics.

| Parameters(PONV) | Group P | Group pD | P value |
|------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Age<60yrs        | 4(45)   | 6(48)    | 0.076   |
| Age>60yrs        | 3(5)    | 1(2)     | 0.089   |
| Male             | 2(10)   | 1(5)     | 0.068   |
| Female           | 2(10)   | 6(45)    | 0.097   |
| Rescue antiemetics in 48hrs | 10 | 12 | 0.065 |
| Analgesic use    | 40      | 25       | 0.04    |
| Adverse effects  | 1       | 2        | 0.09    |

Table 4: Showing patients satisfaction after both group drug.

| Satisfaction score | Group P | Group pD | P value |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Satisfied          | 40      | 38       | 0.074   |
| Neutral            | 3       | 5        | 0.082   |
| Not satisfied      | 7       | 7        | 0.09    |

Discussion

The incidence of PONV in patients undergoing laparoscopic hysterectomy has been reported 53-75% when no prophylactic antiemetic is provided.[10] The etiology of PONV remains unclear, but is probably due to intraperitoneal CO2 insufflation leading to stretching and irritation of peritoneum.[11] Palonosetron has higher receptor affinity and more potent binding with 5-HT3 receptors than other 5-HT3 antagonists. In addition, it also has longer half life (40 h). Furthermore, Palonosetron also exhibits antiemetic property.[12] The normal dose usually used for palonosetron is 0.075mg given three times a day, which makes the total expense very high . Thus, taking into consideration the economic condition of our country we tried to add dexamethasone as adjuvant to 0.05mg to palonosetron and thus compare its effects to high dose palonosetron in order to cut down the cost factor without comprising the effect of drug. Dexamethasone can potentiate the effect of other antiemetics by various mechanisms like, prostaglandin antagonism, release of endorphins and bradykinin reduction.

The combination therapy using dexamethasone and 5-HT3 antagonists, ondansetron, granisetron, ramosetron as well as dolasetron, appears to be more effective than single-drug prophylaxis in patients at high risk for PONV. No study has been conducted on low dose versus high dose palonosetron so indirect results from other studies conducted were compared with our study results. In a recent study, the ramosetron and dexamethasone combination was found to be superior to ramosetron alone with 93% patients showing complete response at 12-24 h after laparoscopic cholecystectomy in combination group. In one more study 18 (42.9%) patients reported nausea and 14 (33.3%) patients had vomiting in group P while 6 (14.4%) patients had nausea and 5 (11.9%) patients complained of vomiting in group DP during 0-24 h. This is comparable to both our groups where almost 5% patients experienced nausea and vomiting in both groups.

In study conducted by Chatterjee A,[13] overall incidences of PONV were 23.4% in PD, 27.2% in P and 56.14% in D group in 24 h postoperatively. Similarly in our study there was no significant difference in PONV in both groups with low dose palonosetron with dexamethasone as well high dose palonosetron (At 16 hrs 8% complained of PONV in group P and 6% in group pD). In the study conducted by BALA I et al,[14] 33.3% patients receiving only palonosetron experienced vomiting during 0-24 h while 11.9% patients in palonosetron- dexamethasone combination group. Similar results were seen in both our groups showing no significant difference between the two groups thus showing efficacy and full fleged use of dexamethasone along with low dose palonosetron. Moreover, Addition of dexamethasone to palonosetron also reduced the requirement of rescue antiemetic medication and was associated with greater patient satisfaction. Study by Park et al15 comparing palonosetron with palonosetron and dexamethasone 4 mg combination in gynaecological laparoscopic procedures reported no significant difference in PONV among groups. The incidence of PONV was 9.8% and 14% in palonosetron and combination group respectively. Blitz et al.16 compared 0.075 mg palonosetron and 8 mg dexamethasone combination therapy with palonosetron monotherapy in patients undergoing outpatient laparoscopic surgeries and reported low incidence of PONV in both the groups (Pal+Dex, 1.7%; Pal, 6.8%) with no increase in side effects profile due to use of 8mg dexamethasone. Our patients were at high risk for PONV due to non-smoking habits, female gender and laparoscopic surgery. Though, all these factors were well balanced among the groups. There were no severe adverse effects in any group of patients in our study. Moreover, the use of rescue analgesia was also comparable in both the groups. Patient in both groups were equally satisfied. One positive finding we found in our study was that patients given dexamethasone needed less use of rescue analgesics than patients not receiving it which may be due to its anti-inflammatory action.

Conclusion

As both the groups one receiving 0.075mg palonosetron and
other using 0.05mg palonosetron plus 4mg dexamethasone had similar effects thus addition of adjuvant to low dose of palonosetron cuts down the cost three times but leads to no compromise on patients comfort as well as no adverse effect.
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