The Riemann boundary value problem related to the time-harmonic Maxwell equations
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Abstract
In this paper, we first give the definition of Teodorescu operator related to the $\mathcal{N}$ matrix operator and discuss a series of properties of this operator, such as uniform boundedness, Hölder continuity and so on. Then we propose the Riemann boundary value problem related to the $\mathcal{N}$ matrix operator. Finally, using the intimate relationship of the corresponding Cauchy-type integral between the $\mathcal{N}$ matrix operator and the time-harmonic Maxwell equations, we investigate the Riemann boundary value problem related to the time-harmonic Maxwell equations and obtain the integral representation of the solution.
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1 Introduction
The boundary value problem for partial differential equations is a very meaningful research subject which has important applications in physics, chemistry, financial mathematics and many other fields. Teodorescu operator is a generalized solution of the inhomogeneous Dirac equation and it has been widely used in solving the boundary value problem of partial differential equations. Therefore many experts and scholars studied the properties of the Teodorescu operator and corresponding boundary value problem, for example, Vekua N [1] first discussed some properties of the Teodorescu operator on the plane and its application in the shell theory and gas dynamics. Hile GN [2] and Gilbert RP et al. [3] studied some properties of the Teodorescu operator in n-dimensional Euclidean space and higher-dimensional complex spaces. Du JY, Yang PW, Qiao YY, Taira K and Wang LP et al. studied some properties and boundary value problems associated with the Teodorescu operator in quaternion analysis and Clifford analysis (see [4–16]).

Quaternion analysis is an important branch of modern analysis, which studies the functions defined in the domain of n-dimensional Euclidean space with values in quaternion spaces. It is an important tool for the solution of boundary value problems of high-dimensional partial differential equations, including Maxwell equations. The Maxwell equations are a set of partial differential equations describing the relationship between...
the electric field, the magnetic field and the charge density, which is the basic equation
of the electromagnetism in physics. The properties of the singular integral operator and
boundary value problems related to the Maxwell equations have been studied by many
scholars, for example, McIntosh A and Mitrea M [17] discussed the problems related to
the Maxwell equations in Lipschitz domains. Schneider B and Shapiro M [18, 19] studied
the Cauchy-type integral of time-harmonic electromagnetic fields in the case of a piece-
wise Liapunov surface of integration. Kravchenko VV and Shapiro MV [20–22] discussed
the Cauchy-type integral associated with Maxwell’s equations, and obtained some im-
portant integral formulas. Moreover, Kravchenko VV considered quaternionic reformula-
tions of Maxwell’s equations and discussed the Dirichlet boundary value problem. Russell
DL [23] studied the Dirichlet–Neumann boundary problem associated with the control
theory of Maxwell’s equations. Yang PW et al. [24] investigated an initial-boundary value
problem for Maxwell equations and obtained the general solutions. Colton D and Kress
R [25] discussed the boundary value problem for the time-harmonic Maxwell equations
and the vector Helmholtz equation. Abreu-Blaya R et al. [26] presented a new definition
of Cauchy integral associated with Maxwell equations on 3-dimensional domains with
fractal boundaries.

Time-harmonic Maxwell equations in physics are the fundamental equations of electro-
magnetism and can be rewritten as Helmholtz equations by using the quaternion analysis.
Let $\vec{E}, \vec{H}: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^3$ be a pair of complex-valued vector fields,

$$\begin{align*}
\text{rot} \vec{H} &= \sigma \vec{E}, \\
\text{rot} \vec{E} &= i\omega \mu \vec{H}, \\
\text{div} \vec{H} &= 0, \\
\text{div} \vec{E} &= 0.
\end{align*}$$

(1.1)

The system is called the time-harmonic Maxwell equations. $(\vec{E}, \vec{H})$ is called a time-
harmonic electromagnetic field. It is easy to prove that they satisfy the homogeneous
Helmholtz equation

$$\begin{align*}
\Delta \vec{E} + \lambda \vec{E} &= 0, \\
\Delta \vec{H} + \lambda \vec{H} &= 0,
\end{align*}$$

(1.2)

where $\lambda = i\omega \mu \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$. In this paper, we will study the Riemann boundary value problem
related to the time-harmonic Maxwell equations in quaternion analysis. For the above
purpose, we introduce the $\mathcal{N}$ matrix operator which establishes the relationship between
the Helmholtz equation and the time-harmonic Maxwell equations. In [15], we discuss
some properties of Teodorescu operator and the Riemann boundary value problem related
to the Helmholtz equation. By using the $\mathcal{N}$ matrix operator and the conclusions in [15], we
give the integral representation of the solution for the Riemann boundary value problem
related to the time-harmonic Maxwell equations.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Sect. 2, we review some basic knowledge of
quaternion analysis and introduce some necessary notions for the understanding of this
article. In Sect. 3, we first discuss some properties of the singular integral operator $T_{\mathcal{N},\alpha}$
related to the $\mathcal{N}$ matrix operator, such as uniform boundedness, Hölder continuity and so
on. Secondly, we give the integral representation of the solution for the Riemann boundary
value problem related to the $\mathcal{N}$ matrix. In Sect. 4, we first introduce the time-harmonic
Maxwell equations. Then, using the corresponding Cauchy-type integral relationship between the $\mathcal{N}$ matrix operator and the time-harmonic Maxwell equations, we investigate the Riemann boundary value problem related to the time-harmonic Maxwell equations and obtain the integral representation of the solution.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ be an orthogonal basis of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of complex quaternions with basis $\{i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3\}$. Then an arbitrary quaternion $a$ can be written as $a = \sum_{k=0}^{3} a_k i_k$, where $i_0$ is the unit, $i_1, i_2, i_3$ are the quaternion imaginary units with the properties

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{i}_0 &= i_0, & i_0 i_k &= i_k i_0 = i_k, & k &= 1, 2, 3, \\
i_1 i_2 &= -i_2 i_1 = i_3, & i_2 i_3 &= -i_3 i_2 = i_1, & i_3 i_1 &= -i_1 i_3 = i_2.
\end{align*}
$$

The norm for an element $a \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})$ is taken to be $|a| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{3} |a_k|^2}$. The conjugate operation in $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})$ is governed by the rules

$$
\bar{i}_0 = i_0, \quad \bar{i}_k = -i_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3.
$$

For any complex quaternions $a, b$, we have

$$
a \cdot b = (a_0 + \bar{a}) \cdot (b_0 + \bar{b}) = a_0 b_0 - \langle \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rangle + a_0 \bar{b} + b_0 \bar{a} + [\bar{a}, \bar{b}],
$$

where $\langle \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rangle, [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$ stand for usual scalar product and vector product. In particular, $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = -\langle \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rangle + [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$.

Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a domain with a Liapunov boundary $\partial \Omega$. Then the function which is defined in $\Omega$ and valued in $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})$ can be expressed as $f = \sum_{k=0}^{3} f_k(x) i_k$, where $f_k(x)$ are complex-valued functions. Set

$$
C^{(m)}(\Omega, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) = \left\{ f \mid f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}), f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{3} f_k(x) i_k, f_k(x) \in C^m(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \right\}.
$$

We define the differential operators as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi D[f] &= \sum_{k=1}^{3} \psi_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}, & \psi D[f] &= \sum_{k=1}^{3} \bar{\psi}_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}, \\
D\psi[f] &= \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \cdot \psi_k, & \bar{D}\psi[f] &= \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \cdot \bar{\psi}_k,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi = \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3\} = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$. For any $f \in C^{(1)}(\Omega, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})), f = f_0 + \bar{f}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi D[f] &= \sum_{k=1}^{3} i_k \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} i_k \cdot \frac{\partial (f_0 + \bar{f})}{\partial x_k} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{3} i_k \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left( i_k \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial x_k} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left[ i_k \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial x_k} \right].
\end{align*}
$$
= \text{grad} f_0 - \text{div} \vec{f} + \text{rot} \vec{f}.

In particular, $\psi D[\vec{f}] = -\text{div} \vec{f} + \text{rot} \vec{f}$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, and $\alpha$ be its complex square root, $\alpha^2 = \lambda$. For the above $\alpha$, let us introduce the operators

$$
\lambda \psi D_\alpha[f] = \alpha f + \psi D[f], \quad \lambda \psi D_\alpha^\dagger[f] = \alpha f + \psi D_\alpha^\dagger[f],
$$

$$
\lambda \psi \overline{D}_\alpha[f] = \alpha f - \psi D[f], \quad \lambda \psi \overline{D}_\alpha^\dagger[f] = \alpha f - \psi D_\alpha^\dagger[f].
$$

These are called the left (right) mutually conjugate $(\psi, \alpha)$-hyperholomorphic Cauchy–Riemann operators. We have the equalities

$$
\psi \overline{D}_\alpha \overline{D}_\alpha = \psi \overline{D}_\alpha \psi D_\alpha = \alpha D_\alpha \overline{D}_\alpha = \alpha D_\alpha \psi D_\alpha
$$

$$
= \lambda + \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} = \Delta_{\lambda},
$$

where $\Delta_{\lambda}$ is the 3-dimensional Helmholtz operator with a complex parameter $\lambda$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\Im \alpha \neq 0$, we introduce the notation: for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus\{0\}$,

$$
\theta_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases}
 -\frac{1}{4\pi|x|} e^{\alpha x}|x|, & \text{if } \Im \alpha > 0, \\
 -\frac{1}{4\pi|x|} e^{-\alpha x}|x|, & \text{if } \Im \alpha < 0.
\end{cases}
$$

In both cases it is a fundamental solution of the Helmholtz equation with $\lambda = \alpha^2$. Then the fundamental solution to the operator $\psi D_\alpha$, $K_{\psi, \alpha}$ is given by the formula

$$
K_{\psi, \alpha}(x) = \psi \overline{D}_\alpha \theta_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases}
 \theta_\alpha(x)(\alpha + \frac{x}{|x|^2} - i\alpha \frac{x}{|x|^2}), & \text{if } \Im \alpha > 0, \\
 \theta_\alpha(x)(\alpha + \frac{x}{|x|^2} + i\alpha \frac{x}{|x|^2}), & \text{if } \Im \alpha < 0.
\end{cases}
$$

An analogous representation holds for $K_{\psi, \alpha}^+(x) = \psi D_\alpha \theta_\alpha^+(x)$.

If $f$ is a Hölder function, then its $\alpha$-hyperholomorphic Cauchy-type integral is defined by

$$
K_{\psi, \alpha}[f](x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} K_{\psi, \alpha}(y - x) d\sigma^\alpha(y).
$$

If $f(x) \in L^{p, \sigma}(B, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}))$ means that $f(x) \in L^p(B, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}))$, $f^{(\sigma)}(x) = |x|^{-\sigma} f\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \in L^p(B, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}))$, in which $B = \{x | |x| < 1\}$, $\sigma$ is a real number, and $\|f\|_{p, \sigma} = \|f\|_{L^p(B)} + \|f^{(\sigma)}\|_{L^p(B)}$, $p \geq 1$.

In [15], we introduce the Teodorescu operator related to the Helmholtz equation as follows:

$$
(T_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x)
$$

$$
= \int_B K_{\psi, \alpha}(y - x)f(y) d\nu_y + \int_B K_{\psi, \alpha} \left( \frac{\gamma}{|y|^2} - x \right) f \left( \frac{\gamma}{|y|^2} \right) \frac{1}{|y|^6} d\nu_y
$$

$$
= (T^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x) + (T^{(2)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x),
$$
where \( B = \{x||x| < 1\} \), \( \alpha = a + ib \), \( b > 0 \). Analogous representations hold for \( \mathcal{R}_{\psi, \alpha}[f](x) \), 
\((\mathcal{T}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x)\).

In [15], we studied the properties of the above integral operators and obtained the integral representation of the solution for the Riemann boundary value problem related to the Helmholtz equation. The specific results are as follows.

**Lemma 2.1** ([15]) Let \( B \) be as stated above. If \( f \in L^p(B, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \), \( 3 < p < +\infty \), then

1. \( |(T^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x)| \leq M_1(p)||f||_{L^p(B)}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \),
2. \( |(T^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x_1) - (T^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x_2)| \leq M_2(p)||f||_{L^p(B)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta, \ x_1, x_2 \in \Omega \),
3. \( \psi \mathcal{D}_0(T^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x) = f(x), \ x \in B, \ \psi \mathcal{D}_0(T^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x) = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}, \)

where \( 0 < \beta = \frac{\alpha - 3}{p} < 1 \).

**Lemma 2.2** ([15]) Let \( B \) be as stated above. If \( f \in L^p(B, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \), \( 3 < p < +\infty \), then

1. \( |(\mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x)| \leq M_3(p)||f||_{L^p(B)}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \),
2. \( |(\mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x_1) - (\mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x_2)| \leq M_4(p)||f||_{L^p(B)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta, \ x_1, x_2 \in \Omega \),
3. \( \psi \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x) = f(x), \ x \in B, \ \psi \mathcal{D}_0(\mathcal{T}^{(1)}_{\psi, \alpha}[f])(x) = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}, \)

where \( 0 < \beta = \frac{\alpha - 3}{p} < 1 \).

**Remark 2.1** Analogous properties hold for \( T^{(2)}_{\psi, \alpha}, T_{\psi, \alpha^3}, T_{\psi, \alpha^4}, \mathcal{T}_{\psi, \alpha^5} \). For more information, we refer the reader to [15].

**Lemma 2.3** ([15]) Let \( B \) be as stated above. Find a quaternion-valued function \( u(x) \) satisfying the system \( \psi \mathcal{D}_0[u] = 0 \) \((x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B)\) and vanishing at infinity with the boundary condition

\[
  u_+(\tau) = u_-(\tau)G + f(\tau), \quad \tau \in \partial B,
\]

where \( u_+(\tau) = \lim_{x \in B, x \to \tau} u(x) \), \( G \) is a quaternion constant, \( G^{-1} \) exists, and \( f \in H^m_0(B) \) \((0 < v < 1)\). Then the solution can be expressed as

\[
u(x) = \begin{cases} 
  f_{1B} \mathcal{K}_{\psi, \alpha} (y - x) \, d\sigma f(y), & x \in B^*, \\
  f_{1B} \mathcal{K}_{\psi, \alpha} (y - x) \, d\sigma f(y)G^{-1}, & x \in B^-.
\end{cases}
\]

3 Some properties and applications of the Teodorescu operator \( T_{N, \alpha} \) related to the \( N' \) matrix operator

3.1 The relevant definitions and symbols

We will consider the following matrix operator:

\[
  N = \begin{pmatrix}
  \sigma & -\psi D \\
  \psi D & -i\omega \mu
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \alpha^2 = i\omega \mu \sigma \). We shall consider it on the set \( C^{(1)}(\Omega, \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}))), \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \) being the set of \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with entries from \( \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}) \).

Let

\[
  A_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
  \alpha & -\sigma \\
  -\sigma & \alpha
\end{pmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
  \sigma^{-1} & -\sigma^{-1} \\
  \alpha^{-1} & \alpha^{-1}
\end{pmatrix},
\]
then
\[ A_1 \ast \mathcal{N} \ast B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \]

where “\( \ast \)” stands for matrix multiplication.

Analogously, let
\[ A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & -\sigma \\ \alpha & -\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^{-1} & \sigma^{-1} \\ \alpha^{-1} & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \]

then
\[ A_2 \ast \mathcal{N} \ast B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2 \) are invertible.

Thus there exist invertible matrices of \( A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2 \) such that
\[ \mathcal{N} = A_1^{-1} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast B_1^{-1} \]

and
\[ \mathcal{N} = A_2^{-1} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast B_2^{-1}. \]

For \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \), let
\[ \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}, \alpha} = B_1 \ast A_2 \ast \mathcal{N} \ast B_2 \ast A_1 \ast \begin{pmatrix} \theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \]

be the quaternionic Cauchy–Maxwell kernel, which is the fundamental solution of \( \mathcal{N} \) operator. The reasons are as follows. By the definition of \( \mathcal{N} \) operator, we have
\[ \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}, \alpha} = B_1 \ast A_2 \ast A_2^{-1} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast B_2^{-1} \ast B_2 \ast A_1 \ast \begin{pmatrix} \theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \]

By \( \Delta_1[\theta_\alpha] = \psi D_\alpha \psi D_\alpha[\theta_\alpha] = \psi D_\alpha \psi D_\alpha[\theta_\alpha] = 0 \), we have
\[ \mathcal{N}[\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}, \alpha}] = A_1^{-1} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast B_1^{-1} \ast B_1 \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast A_1 \ast \begin{pmatrix} \theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ = A_1^{-1} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast \psi D_\alpha \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast A_1 \ast \begin{pmatrix} \theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ = A_1^{-1} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast \psi D_\alpha \ast \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast A_1 \ast \begin{pmatrix} \theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \]
From equality (3.1), we know there exists a direct connection between

\[ \text{Let } T \text{ be an analog of the Cauchy-type integral in the theory of the integral representations with the quaternionic Cauchy–Maxwell kernel, where } f : \partial \Omega \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \text{ and} \]

\[ K_N,\alpha[f](x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} K_N,\alpha(y - x) \ast \bar{\sigma}_y \ast f(y), \quad x \in \Omega^+, \]

be an analog of the Cauchy-type integral in the theory of the integral representations with the quaternionic Cauchy–Maxwell kernel, where \( f : \partial \Omega \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \) and

\[ \bar{\sigma}_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -d\sigma_y \\ d\sigma_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

We shall call also \( K_{N,\alpha}[f](x) \) the quaternionic Cauchy–Maxwell-type integral.

The norm of an matrix \( f = (f_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \) is taken to be \( \|f\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} |f_{ij}|. \)

From equality (3.1), we know there exists a direct connection between \( K_{N,\alpha} \) and the corresponding hyperholomorphic Cauchy kernels \( K_{\varphi,\alpha}, \bar{K}_{\varphi,\alpha}. \)

\[ K_{N,\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{-1} & -\sigma^{-1} \\ \sigma^{-1} & \sigma^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \ast \left( \begin{pmatrix} \psi D_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \psi D_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\sigma \\ -\alpha & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \theta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{-1}\alpha(K_{\varphi,\alpha}(x) + K_{\bar{\varphi},\alpha}(x)) & -(K_{\varphi,\alpha}(x) - K_{\bar{\varphi},\alpha}(x)) \\ K_{\varphi,\alpha}(x) - K_{\bar{\varphi},\alpha}(x) & -\sigma^{-1}\sigma(K_{\varphi,\alpha}(x) + K_{\bar{\varphi},\alpha}(x)) \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{-1} & -\sigma^{-1} \\ \sigma^{-1} & -\sigma^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \ast \begin{pmatrix} \alpha K_{\varphi,\alpha}(x) & -\sigma K_{\varphi,\alpha}(x) \\ \alpha K_{\bar{\varphi},\alpha}(x) & \sigma K_{\bar{\varphi},\alpha}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2) \]

where \( K_{\varphi,\alpha}(x), \bar{K}_{\varphi,\alpha}(x) \) are, respectively, the Cauchy kernel for \( \psi D_\alpha, \psi D_\alpha \).

### 3.2 Some properties of the Teodorescu operator \( T_{N,\alpha} \) related to the \( N \) matrix operator

In this section, we will discuss some properties of the following singular integral operators:

\[ (T_{N,\alpha}[f])(x) = \int_B K_{\alpha,\alpha}(y - x) \ast f(y) dy + \int_B K_{N,\alpha}(\frac{\bar{y}}{|y|^2} - x) \ast f\left(\frac{\bar{y}}{|y|^2}\right) = \frac{1}{|y|^p} dy, \]

\[ = (T_{N,\alpha}^{(1)}[f])(x) + (T_{N,\alpha}^{(2)}[f])(x), \]

where \( B = \{x | |x| < 1\}, \alpha = a + ib, b > 0. \)

**Theorem 3.1** Let \( \Omega, B, \alpha \) be as stated above. If \( f = (f_{ij}) \) with entries belonging to \( L^p(B, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \), then

1. \( \|T_{N,\alpha}^{(1)}[f](x)\|_\infty \leq Q_1(p) \cdot \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \|f_{ij}\|_{L^p(B)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \)
2. \( \|T_{N,\alpha}^{(2)}[f](x_1) - (T_{N,\alpha}^{(1)}[f])(x_2)\|_\infty \leq Q_2(p) \cdot \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \|f_{ij}\|_{L^p(B)}, \quad |x_1 - x_2|^{\alpha}, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega, \)
where

\[(3) \quad \mathcal{N}(T^{(1)}_{N^p} [f])(x) = f(x), \ x \in B, \mathcal{N}(T^{(1)}_{N^p} [f])(x) = 0, \ x \in R^3 \setminus B, \]

where \(0 < \beta = \frac{p-3}{p} < 1.\)

**Proof** (1) From (3.2), we can obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
(T^{(1)}_{N^p} [f])(x) &= \int_B K_{N^p}(y-x) * f(y) \, dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_B \left( \sigma^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \right) \cdot \left( \alpha \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} - \sigma \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} \right) * \left( f_{11} f_{12} f_{21} f_{22} \right) \, dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_B \left( \alpha^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \right) \cdot \left( \alpha \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} f_{11} - \sigma \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} f_{21} \right) \cdot \left( \alpha \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} f_{12} - \sigma \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} f_{22} \right) \, dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{g_{11} g_{12}} \cdot \frac{1}{g_{21} g_{22}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
g_{11} = \alpha \sigma^{-1} \int_B \left[ \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} + K_{\psi, \alpha} \right] f_{11} \, dv_y + \int_B \left[ K_{\psi, \alpha} - \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} \right] f_{21} \, dv_y, \\
g_{12} = \alpha \sigma^{-1} \int_B \left[ \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} + K_{\psi, \alpha} \right] f_{12} \, dv_y + \int_B \left[ K_{\psi, \alpha} - \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} \right] f_{22} \, dv_y, \\
g_{21} = \int_B \left[ \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} - K_{\psi, \alpha} \right] f_{11} \, dv_y - \alpha^{-1} \sigma \int_B \left[ \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} + K_{\psi, \alpha} \right] f_{21} \, dv_y, \\
g_{22} = \int_B \left[ \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} - K_{\psi, \alpha} \right] f_{12} \, dv_y - \alpha^{-1} \sigma \int_B \left[ \bar{K}_{\psi, \alpha} + K_{\psi, \alpha} \right] f_{22} \, dv_y.
\]

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
|g_{11}| &\leq Q_1^{(1)}(p) \|f_{11}\|_{L^p(B)} + Q_1^{(2)}(p) \|f_{21}\|_{L^p(B)}, \\
|g_{12}| &\leq Q_1^{(3)}(p) \|f_{12}\|_{L^p(B)} + Q_1^{(4)}(p) \|f_{22}\|_{L^p(B)}, \\
|g_{21}| &\leq Q_1^{(5)}(p) \|f_{11}\|_{L^p(B)} + Q_1^{(6)}(p) \|f_{21}\|_{L^p(B)}, \\
|g_{22}| &\leq Q_1^{(7)}(p) \|f_{12}\|_{L^p(B)} + Q_1^{(8)}(p) \|f_{22}\|_{L^p(B)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore

\[
\|\left( T^{(1)}_{N^p} [f]\right)(x) \|_\infty = \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} [g_{ij}] \leq Q_1(p) \cdot \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \|f_{ij}\|_{L^p(B)},
\]

where \(Q_1(p) = \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 8} \{Q_1^{(i)}(p)\}.\)

(2) From (3.3), we can obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
(T^{(1)}_{N^p} [f])(x_1) - (T^{(1)}_{N^p} [f])(x_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}(x_1) - g_{11}(x_2) & g_{12}(x_1) - g_{12}(x_2) \\
                               g_{21}(x_1) - g_{21}(x_2) & g_{22}(x_1) - g_{22}(x_2) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]
For each \( x_1, x_2 \in \Omega \), by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
|g_{11}(x_1) - g_{11}(x_2)| & \leq Q_1^{(1)}(p)\|f_{11}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta + Q_2^{(2)}(p)\|f_{21}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta, \\
|g_{12}(x_1) - g_{12}(x_2)| & \leq Q_3^{(3)}(p)\|f_{12}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta + Q_4^{(4)}(p)\|f_{22}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta, \\
|g_{21}(x_1) - g_{21}(x_2)| & \leq Q_5^{(5)}(p)\|f_{11}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta + Q_6^{(6)}(p)\|f_{21}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta, \\
|g_{22}(x_1) - g_{22}(x_2)| & \leq Q_7^{(7)}(p)\|f_{12}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta + Q_8^{(8)}(p)\|f_{22}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}|x_1 - x_2|^\beta.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore

\[
\| (T_{N,\omega}^{(1)}[\mathcal{F}]) (x_1) - (T_{N,\omega}^{(1)}[\mathcal{F}]) (x_2) \|_\infty
\leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} |g_i(x_1) - g_i(x_2)|
\]

where \( Q_2(p) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 8} \{ Q_i^{(i)}(p) \} \).

(3) From (3.3), we can obtain

\[
N(T_{N,\omega}^{(1)}[\mathcal{F}]) (x) = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma & -\psi D \\
\psi D & -i\omega \mu
\end{pmatrix} \ast \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
g_{11} & g_{12} \\
g_{21} & g_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma g_{11} - \psi D[g_{21}] & \sigma g_{12} - \psi D[g_{22}] \\
\psi D[g_{11}] - i\omega \mu g_{21} & \psi D[g_{12}] - i\omega \mu g_{22}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Thus

\[
\sigma g_{11} - \psi D[g_{21}]
= \alpha \int_B [\overline{K}_{\psi,\alpha} + K_{\psi,\alpha}] f_{11} \, dv_y + \alpha \int_B [K_{\psi,\alpha} - \overline{K}_{\psi,\alpha}] f_{21} \, dv_y
- \psi D \left\{ \int_B [K_{\psi,\alpha} - K_{\psi,\alpha}] f_{11} \, dv_y \right\} + \alpha^{-1} \sigma \psi D \left\{ \int_B [K_{\psi,\alpha} + K_{\psi,\alpha}] f_{21} \, dv_y \right\}
= \psi D_a \left\{ \int_B K_{\psi,\alpha} f_{11} \, dv_y \right\} + \psi D_a \left\{ \int_B \overline{K}_{\psi,\alpha} f_{11} \, dv_y \right\}
+ \sigma \alpha^{-1} \psi D_a \left\{ \int_B K_{\psi,\alpha} f_{21} \, dv_y \right\} - \sigma \alpha^{-1} \psi D_a \left\{ \int_B \overline{K}_{\psi,\alpha} f_{21} \, dv_y \right\},
\]

by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

\[
\sigma g_{11} - \psi D[g_{21}] = 2f_{11}, \quad x \in B, \quad \sigma g_{11} - \psi D[g_{21}] = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}.
\]

Similarly, we can obtain

\[
\sigma g_{12} - \psi D[g_{22}] = 2f_{12}, \quad x \in B, \quad \sigma g_{12} - \psi D[g_{22}] = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B},
\]

\[
\psi D[g_{11}] - i\omega \mu g_{21} = 2f_{21}, \quad x \in B, \quad \psi D[g_{11}] - i\omega \mu g_{21} = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B},
\]
\[ \psi D_{[g_{12}]} - i o \mu g_{22} = 2 f_{22}, \quad x \in B, \quad \psi D_{[g_{12}]} - i o \mu g_{22} = 0, \quad x \in R^3 \setminus \overline{B}. \]

Therefore \( \mathcal{N}(T_{N,a}^{(1)}[f])(x) = f(x), x \in B, \mathcal{N}(T_{N,a}^{(1)}[f])(x) = 0, x \in R^3 \setminus \overline{B}. \)

**Theorem 3.2** Let \( \Omega, B, \alpha \) be as stated above. If \( f = \left( f_{11} f_{12} \right) \) with entries belonging to \( L^{p,3}(B, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})), 3 < p < +\infty \), then

\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( \parallel (T_{N,a}^{(2)}[f])(x) \parallel_\infty \leq Q_3(p) \cdot \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \parallel f \parallel_{L^p(B)}, x \in R^3, \)
  \item \( \parallel (T_{N,a}^{(2)}[f])(x_1) - (T_{N,a}^{(2)}[f])(x_2) \parallel_\infty \leq Q_4(p) \cdot \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \parallel f \parallel_{L^p(B)} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^\beta, \)
  \item \( \mathcal{N}(T_{N,a}^{(2)}[f])(x) = 0, x \in B, \mathcal{N}(T_{N,a}^{(2)}[f])(x) = f(x), x \in R^3 \setminus \overline{B}, \)
\end{enumerate}

where \( 0 < \beta = \frac{p-3}{p} < 1. \)

**Proof** This case is similar to Theorem 3.1.

Thus, from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 3.3** Let \( \Omega, B, \alpha \) be as stated above. If \( f = \left( f_{11} f_{12} \right) \) with entries belonging to \( L^{p,3}(B, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})), 3 < p < +\infty \), then

\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( \parallel (T_{N,a}[f])(x) \parallel_\infty \leq Q_5(p) \cdot \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \parallel f \parallel_{L^p(B)}, x \in R^3, \)
  \item \( \parallel (T_{N,a}[f])(x_1) - (T_{N,a}[f])(x_2) \parallel_\infty \leq Q_6(p) \cdot \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \parallel f \parallel_{L^p(B)} \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^\beta, x_1, x_2 \in \Omega, \)
  \item \( \mathcal{N}(T_{N,a}[f])(x) = f(x), x \in R^3 \setminus \partial B, \)
\end{enumerate}

where \( 0 < \beta = \frac{p-3}{p} < 1. \)

### 3.3 The Riemann boundary value problem related to the \( \mathcal{N} \) matrix operator

**Theorem 3.4** Let \( B \) be as stated above. Find \( u = \left( u_{21} u_{22} \right) \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \) satisfying the following system:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}[u] &= 0, \quad x \in R^3 \setminus \partial B, \\
u^+(\tau) &= u^-(\tau) \ast G \ast f(\tau), \quad \tau \in \partial B, \\
u(x) &\to 0, \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( u^+(\tau) = \lim_{x \in B^+; x \to \tau} u(x), G = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} G_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & G_{22} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \) is a quaternion constant matrix and its inverse exists, \( f = \left( f_{11} f_{12} \right) \) with entries belonging to \( H^v_0 (0 < v < 1) \). Then the solution can be expressed as

\[
u(x) = \begin{cases} f_{1B} K_{N,a}(y-x) \ast \tilde{\alpha}_{r_2} \ast f(y), & x \in B^+, \\
f_{1B} K_{N,a}(y-x) \ast \tilde{\alpha}_{r_2} \ast f(y) \ast G^{-1}, & x \in B^-.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof** Let \( B_2 \) be as above and its inverse \( B_2^{-1} = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} -\sigma & u \\ -u & \sigma \end{smallmatrix} \right) \). Then, for \( g = B_2^{-1} u = \left( g_{21} g_{22} \right) \), since \( \mathcal{N}[u] = 0 \), we can obtain

\[
u D_{\alpha}[g_{11}] = \nu D_{\alpha}[g_{12}] = 0, \quad \nu D_{\alpha}[g_{21}] = \nu D_{\alpha}[g_{22}] = 0.
\]

By (3.4), we have \( g^* = g^* \ast G + B_2^{-1} \ast f, i.e. \)

\[
g_{11}^* = g_{11} - \sigma f_{11} + \alpha f_{21}, \quad g_{12}^* = g_{12} - \sigma f_{12} + \alpha f_{22},
\]
By Lemma 2.3, when $x \in B^*$,
\[ g = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{11}] + \alpha K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{21}] & -\sigma K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{12}] + \alpha K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{22}] \\ \sigma R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{11}] + \alpha R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{21}] & \sigma R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{12}] + \alpha R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{22}] \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then
\[ u = B_2 \ast g \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^{-1} & \sigma^{-1} \\ \alpha^{-1} & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{11}] + \alpha K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{21}] & -\sigma K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{12}] + \alpha K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{22}] \\ \sigma R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{11}] + \alpha R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{21}] & \sigma R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{12}] + \alpha R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{22}] \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ = \int_{\partial B} K_{N,\alpha}(y-x) \ast \tilde{d} \sigma \ast f(y). \]

By Lemma 2.3, when $x \in B^*$,
\[ g = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{11}] G_{11}^{-1} + \alpha K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{21}] G_{11}^{-1} & -\sigma K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{12}] G_{21}^{-1} + \alpha K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{22}] G_{21}^{-1} \\ \sigma R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{11}] G_{11}^{-1} + \alpha R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{21}] G_{11}^{-1} & \sigma R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{12}] G_{21}^{-1} + \alpha R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{22}] G_{21}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{11}] + \alpha K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{21}] & -\sigma K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{12}] + \alpha K_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{22}] \\ \sigma R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{11}] + \alpha R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{21}] & \sigma R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{12}] + \alpha R_{\psi,\alpha}[f_{22}] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & G_{21}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then
\[ u = B_2 \ast g = \int_{\partial B} K_{N,\alpha}(y-x) \ast \tilde{d} \sigma \ast f(y) \ast G^{-1}. \quad \square \]

**Theorem 3.5** Let $B$ be as stated above, and $g = (f_{11}, f_{12}, f_{21}, f_{22})$ with entries belonging to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})), 3 < p < +\infty$. Find $w = (w_{11}, w_{12}) \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}))$ satisfying the following system:
\[
\begin{aligned}
N[w](x) &= g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B, \\
w^*(\tau) &= w^*(\tau) \ast G + f(\tau), \quad \tau \in \partial B, \\
w(x) &\to 0, \quad \text{as} \ x \to \infty, 
\end{aligned}
\]
(3.5)

where $w^*(\tau) = \lim_{x \to B^*, x \to \tau} w(x)$, $G = (G_{11}, 0 \ 0 \ G_{22})$ is quaternion constant matrix and its inverse exists, $f = (f_{11}, f_{12})$ with entries belonging to $H^v_{\partial B} (0 < v < 1)$. Then the solution has the form
\[ w(x) = \Psi(x) + (T_{N,\alpha}[g])(x), \]
in which $N[\Psi](x) = 0$ and
\[ \Psi(x) = \begin{cases} 
\int_{\partial B} K_{N,\alpha}(y-x) \ast \tilde{d} \sigma \ast \tilde{f}(y), & x \in B^*, \\
\int_{\partial B} K_{N,\alpha}(y-x) \ast \tilde{d} \sigma \ast \tilde{f}(y) \ast G^{-1}, & x \in B^*. 
\end{cases} \]

where $\tilde{f} = f \ast (T_{N,\alpha}[g]) \ast (G - E)$. 
Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we know
\[ N[w] = N[\Psi(x) + (T_{N,\alpha}[g])(x)] = g(x). \]

The boundary condition (3.5) becomes
\[ (\Psi + T_{N,\alpha}[g])(\tau) = (\Psi + T_{N,\alpha}[g])^-(\tau) \ast G + f(\tau), \quad \tau \in \partial B. \]  
(3.6)

Again from Theorem 3.3, we know that \((T_{N,\alpha}[g])(x)\) has continuity in \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3\). Thus \((T_{N,\alpha}[g])^+(\tau) = T_{N,\alpha}[g]\). Thus we can obtain
\[ \Psi^+(\tau) = \Psi^-(\tau) \ast G + (T_{N,\alpha}[g])(\tau) \ast (G - E) + f(\tau), \quad \tau \in \partial B. \]
(3.7)

Suppose \(\tilde{f} = f + (T_{N,\alpha}[g]) \ast (G - E)\), then (3.7) has the following form:
\[ \Psi^+(\tau) = \Psi^-(\tau) \ast G + \tilde{f}(\tau), \quad \tau \in \partial B. \]
(3.8)

Again from Theorem 3.4, the solutions which satisfy the system \(N[\Psi] = 0\) and boundary condition (3.8) can be represented in the form
\[ \Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\partial B} K_{N,\alpha}(y - x) \ast \tilde{d} \sigma \ast \tilde{f}(y), & x \in B^+, \\ \int_{\partial B} K_{N,\alpha}(y - x) \ast \tilde{d} \sigma \ast \tilde{f}(y) \ast G^{-1}, & x \in B^-. \end{cases} \]

4 The Riemann boundary value problem related to the time-harmonic Maxwell equations

4.1 The relevant definitions and operations

Let \(\overrightarrow{E}, \overrightarrow{H} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3\) be a pair of complex-valued vector fields. \(\overrightarrow{E} = E_1i_1 + E_2i_2 + E_3i_3\), \(\overrightarrow{H} = H_1i_1 + H_2i_2 + H_3i_3\), i.e. \(E_0 = 0, H_0 = 0\). The following system:
\[ \text{rot} \overrightarrow{H} = \sigma \overrightarrow{E}, \quad \text{rot} \overrightarrow{E} = i\omega \mu \overrightarrow{H}, \]
(4.1)
\[ \text{div} \overrightarrow{H} = 0, \quad \text{div} \overrightarrow{E} = 0, \]
(4.2)
is called the time-harmonic Maxwell equations. \((\overrightarrow{E}, \overrightarrow{H})\) is called a time-harmonic electromagnetic field, where \(\sigma\) is a complex electrical conductivity and \(\mu\) is a magnetic permeability. It is known that they satisfy the homogeneous Helmholtz equation
\[ \Delta \overrightarrow{E} + \lambda \overrightarrow{E} = 0, \]
\[ \Delta \overrightarrow{H} + \lambda \overrightarrow{H} = 0, \]
where \(\lambda = i\omega \mu \sigma \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha = \sqrt{\lambda}. \) Set
\[ \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -\text{rot} \\ \text{rot} & -i\omega \mu \end{pmatrix}, \]
then Eq. (4.1) becomes
\[
\mathcal{M} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} = 0. 
\]

For \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), set
\[
\hat{C}^{(k)} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f} \\ \vec{g} \end{bmatrix} \in C^{(k)}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \text{div} \vec{f} = \text{div} \vec{g} = 0 \right\}.
\]

The operator \( \hat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}|_{\hat{C}^{(1)}} \), i.e. the restriction of \( \mathcal{M} \) onto \( \hat{C}^{(1)} \), will be termed the time-harmonic Maxwell operator. Then (4.1) and (4.2) become
\[
\hat{\mathcal{M}} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} = 0,
\]
where \( \vec{E}, \vec{H} \in \hat{C}^{(1)} \).

Let \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{array} \right) \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \), which are identified naturally with columns \( \left( \begin{array}{c} \vec{f} \\ \vec{g} \end{array} \right) \). We shall not distinguish them in this paper.

Let \( \mathcal{N} : C^{(1)}(\Omega, \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}))) \to C^{(0)}(\Omega, \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}))) \). Set \( \tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N}|_{\hat{C}^{(1)}} \), i.e. the restriction of \( \mathcal{N} \) onto \( \hat{C}^{(1)} \). Obviously, if \( \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} \in \hat{C}^{(1)} \), then we have
\[
\psi D[\vec{E}] = -\text{div} \vec{E} + \text{rot} \vec{E} = \text{rot} \vec{E}, \quad \psi D[\vec{H}] = -\text{div} \vec{H} + \text{rot} \vec{H} = \text{rot} \vec{H}.
\]

Therefore
\[
\hat{\mathcal{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \sigma & -\text{rot} \\ \text{rot} & -i\omega \mu \end{array} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \sigma & \psi D \\ \psi D & -i\omega \mu \end{array} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

That is, if \( \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} \in \hat{C}^{(1)} \), then we have
\[
\hat{\mathcal{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{E} \\ \vec{H} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let \( Q, R \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C})) \), and \( Q_0 = \text{Sc}(Q) = (\text{Sc}(q_{m,n}))_{1 \leq m,n \leq 2} \), \( \vec{Q} = \text{Vec}(Q) = (q_{m,n})_{1 \leq m,n \leq 2} \), set
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \vec{Q} \\ \vec{R} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\vec{q}_{11}, \vec{r}_{11}) + (\vec{q}_{12}, \vec{r}_{21}) \\ (\vec{q}_{21}, \vec{r}_{11}) + (\vec{q}_{22}, \vec{r}_{21}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\vec{q}_{12}, \vec{r}_{12}) + (\vec{q}_{11}, \vec{r}_{22}) \\ (\vec{q}_{22}, \vec{r}_{12}) + (\vec{q}_{21}, \vec{r}_{22}) \end{bmatrix}.
\]
\[
[\overrightarrow{Q}, \overrightarrow{R}] = \begin{pmatrix}
[q_{11}, r_{11}] + [q_{12}, r_{21}] & [q_{11}, r_{12}] + [q_{12}, r_{22}] \\
[q_{21}, r_{11}] + [q_{22}, r_{21}] & [q_{21}, r_{12}] + [q_{22}, r_{22}]
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The time-harmonic Cauchy–Maxwell kernel is defined by

\[
K_{\mathcal{M},\omega}(y - x) = \langle \text{grad} \theta_\omega(y - x), \overrightarrow{n}_y \rangle - \mathcal{U}_\omega(y - x),
\]

where \( \theta_\omega(y - x) \) be as stated, \( \overrightarrow{n}_y \) is unit outward normal vector at \( y \in \partial \Omega \), and

\[
\mathcal{U}_\omega(y - x) = \begin{pmatrix}
[\text{grad} \theta_\omega(y - x), \overrightarrow{n}_y] \\
\sigma \theta_\omega(y - x) \overrightarrow{n}_y \\
[\text{grad} \theta_\omega(y - x), \overrightarrow{n}_y]
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

For any \( \overrightarrow{g} = (\frac{\overrightarrow{q}}{\overrightarrow{h}}) : \partial \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \), there is introduced the operation

\[
K_{\mathcal{M},\omega}(y - x) \ast \overrightarrow{g}(y) = \langle \text{grad} \theta_\omega(y - x), \overrightarrow{n}_y \rangle \overrightarrow{g}(y) - \mathcal{U}_\omega(y - x) \overrightarrow{g}(y),
\]

where

\[
\left[\mathcal{U}_\omega(y - x), \overrightarrow{g}(y)\right] = \begin{pmatrix}
[\text{grad} \theta_\omega(y - x), \overrightarrow{n}_y, \overrightarrow{\xi}] + i \omega \mu \theta_\omega(y - x)[\overrightarrow{n}_y, \overrightarrow{\xi}] \\
\sigma \theta_\omega(y - x)[\overrightarrow{n}_y, \overrightarrow{\xi}] + [\text{grad} \theta_\omega(y - x), \overrightarrow{n}_y, \overrightarrow{\xi}]
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

For any \( \overrightarrow{V} = (\frac{\overrightarrow{v}_1}{\overrightarrow{v}_2}{\overrightarrow{v}_3}) \), there is introduced the operation

\[
\overrightarrow{V} \diamond \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{e}}{\overrightarrow{h}}\right) = \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{v}_1, \overrightarrow{e}}{\overrightarrow{v}_2, \overrightarrow{e}} \overrightarrow{h} + (\overrightarrow{v}_1, \overrightarrow{e}) + (\overrightarrow{v}_2, \overrightarrow{h})\right).
\]

Obviously, we have

\[
\left[\mathcal{U}_\omega(y - x), \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{e}}{\overrightarrow{h}}\right)\right] = \mathcal{U}_\omega(y - x) \diamond \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{e}}{\overrightarrow{h}}\right).
\]

Set

\[
\mathcal{M} = \left\{ \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{e}}{\overrightarrow{h}}\right) : \partial \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \left| \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathcal{U}_\omega(y - x) \diamond \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{e}(y)}{\overrightarrow{h}(y)}\right) \, ds_y = 0, x \in \partial \Omega \right. \right\}
\]

The integral

\[
K_{\mathcal{M},\omega}\left(\left(\frac{\overrightarrow{e}}{\overrightarrow{h}}\right)\right)(x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} K_{\mathcal{M},\omega}(y - x) \ast \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{e}(y)}{\overrightarrow{h}(y)}\right) \, ds_y, \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
\]

plays the role of an analogue of the Cauchy–Maxwell-type integral in the theory of time-harmonic electromagnetic fields.

### 4.2 The Riemann boundary value problem related to time-harmonic Maxwell equations

**Lemma 4.1** Set \( K_{\mathcal{M},\omega}^0(y - x) = \langle \text{grad} \theta_\omega(y - x), \overrightarrow{n}_y \rangle \left(\frac{1}{0}\right) - \mathcal{U}_\omega(y - x) \). Then

\[
K_{\mathcal{N},\omega}(y - x) \ast \overrightarrow{d} \sigma_y = K_{\mathcal{M},\omega}^0(y - x) \, ds_y.
\]
Proof By the definition of $K_{N,a}(y - x)$, we have

$$K_{N,a}(y - x) \ast \tilde{d}\sigma_y$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha \phi \theta_a(y - x) & -\sigma \phi \theta_a(y - x) \\ \alpha \phi \theta_a(y - x) & \sigma \phi \theta_a(y - x) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) ds_y$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha \phi \theta_a(y - x) & -\sigma \phi \theta_a(y - x) \\ \alpha \phi \theta_a(y - x) & \sigma \phi \theta_a(y - x) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) ds_y$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha(\alpha \theta_a + \text{grad} \theta_a) - \sigma(\alpha \theta_a + \text{grad} \theta_a) \\ \alpha(\alpha \theta_a - \text{grad} \theta_a) & \sigma(\alpha \theta_a - \text{grad} \theta_a) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) ds_y$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{grad} \theta_a, \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - U_a(y - x) \right) ds_y$$

By the definition of $K_{M,a}^0$, we can obtain

$$K_{M,a}^0(y - x) ds_y$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{grad} \theta_a, \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - U_a(y - x) \right) ds_y$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{grad} \theta_a, \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - U_a(y - x) \right) ds_y$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{grad} \theta_a, \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - U_a(y - x) \right) ds_y$$

where $\theta_a = \theta_a(y - x)$. Therefore $K_{N,a}(y - x) \ast \tilde{d}\sigma_y = K_{M,a}^0(y - x) ds_y$. \qed

Lemma 4.2 If $\tilde{f} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{f} \\ \tilde{f} \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then we have

$$K_{M,a} \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{e} \\ \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right)(x) = K_{N,a} \left( \begin{array}{c} \tilde{e} \\ \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right)(x).$$

Proof By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$K_{N,a}(y - x) \ast \tilde{d}\sigma_y \ast \tilde{f}(y)$$

$$= K_{M,a}^0(y - x) \ast \tilde{f}(y) ds_y$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{grad} \theta_a(y - x), \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - U_a(y - x) \right) \ast \tilde{f}(y) ds_y$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{grad} \theta_a(y - x), \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - U_a(y - x) \right) \ast \tilde{f}(y) ds_y$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{grad} \theta_a(y - x), \tilde{n}_y \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - U_a(y - x) \right) \ast \tilde{f}(y) ds_y$$
= \left( [\mathcal{L}_e (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y)] + \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y) \right) ds_y. \\

Therefore

\[
K_{N,\alpha} \left[ \left( \frac{\vec{a}}{\vec{h}} \right) \right] (x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} K_{N,\alpha} (y-x) \cdot \vec{a} \vec{\sigma}_y \cdot \hat{f}(y) ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( [\mathcal{L}_e (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y)] + \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y) \right) ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y) ds_y + \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{L}_e (y-x) \circ \left( \frac{\vec{a}(y)}{\vec{h}(y)} \right) ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y) ds_y = K_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} \left[ \left( \frac{\vec{a}}{\vec{h}} \right) \right] (x). \tag*{\square}
\]

**Lemma 4.3** If \( \hat{f}(y) = \left( \frac{\vec{a}(y)}{\vec{h}(y)} \right) \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3) \) and \( \hat{f}(y) \in \mathcal{M} \). Then

\[
\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y) ds_y \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Omega).
\]

**Proof** By the definition, we have

\[
\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y) ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ \langle \text{grad} \theta_{\alpha}, \vec{n}_y \rangle \left( \frac{\vec{a}}{\vec{h}} \right) - [\mathcal{L}_e (y-x) \cdot \hat{f}(y)] \right\} ds_y
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \langle \text{grad} \theta_{\alpha}, \vec{n}_y \rangle \vec{e} - [\text{grad} \theta_{\alpha}, \vec{n}_y] \vec{e} - i \omega \mu \theta_{\alpha} [\vec{n}_y, \vec{h}] \right) ds_y \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \langle \text{grad} \theta_{\alpha}, \vec{n}_y \rangle \vec{h} - \sigma \theta_{\alpha} [\vec{n}_y, \vec{e}] - [\text{grad} \theta_{\alpha}, \vec{n}_y] \vec{h} \right) ds_y \right)
\]

where \( \theta_{\alpha} = \theta_{\alpha} (y-x) \).

(i) Since

\[
\text{grad} \theta_{\alpha} = \left( \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha}}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha}}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha}}{\partial x_3} \right), \quad \vec{n}_y = (y_1, y_2, y_3),
\]

\[
\vec{e} = (e_1, e_2, e_3), \quad \vec{h} = (h_1, h_2, h_3),
\]

we can obtain

\[
\langle \text{grad} \theta_{\alpha}, \vec{n}_y \rangle \vec{e} = \left( \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha}}{\partial x_1} y_1 + \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha}}{\partial x_2} y_2 + \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha}}{\partial x_3} y_3 \right) (e_1, e_2, e_3).
\]

Therefore

\[
\text{div} \left\{ \langle \text{grad} \theta_{\alpha}, \vec{n}_y \rangle \vec{e} \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial (\hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_1 + \hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_2 + \hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_3)}{\partial x_1} e_1 + \frac{\partial (\hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_1 + \hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_2 + \hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_3)}{\partial x_2} e_2
\]

\[
+ \frac{\partial (\hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_1 + \hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_2 + \hat{\theta}_{\alpha} y_3)}{\partial x_3} e_3
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_1^2} y_1 e_1 + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_2^2} y_2 e_2 + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_3^2} y_3 e_3 + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_1 x_2} (y_1 e_2 + y_2 e_1) \\
+ \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_1 x_3} (y_1 e_3 + y_3 e_1) + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_2 x_3} (y_2 e_3 + y_3 e_2).
\end{align*}
\]

(ii) By the definition of the vector product, we have
\[
[\text{grad}\ \theta_a, \vec{n}_y] = \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_3 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_2 \right) i_1 + \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_1 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_3 \right) i_2 \\
+ \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_2 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_1 \right) i_3.
\]

Thus
\[
[\text{grad}\ \theta_a, \vec{n}_y, \vec{e}] = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_1 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_3 \right) e_3 - \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_2 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_1 \right) e_2 \right] i_1 \\
+ \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_2 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_1 \right) e_1 - \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_3 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_2 \right) e_3 \right] i_2 \\
+ \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_3 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_2 \right) e_2 - \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_1 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_3 \right) e_1 \right] i_3.
\]

Then
\[
\text{div} \left[ [\text{grad}\ \theta_a, \vec{n}_y, \vec{e}] \right] \\
= -\frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_1^2} (y_2 e_2 + y_3 e_3) - \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_2^2} (y_1 e_1 + y_3 e_3) - \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_3^2} (y_1 e_1 + y_2 e_2) \\
+ \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_1 x_2} (y_1 e_2 + y_2 e_1) + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_1 x_3} (y_1 e_3 + y_3 e_1) + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_2 x_3} (y_2 e_3 + y_3 e_2).
\]

(iii) By the definition of vector product, we have
\[
[\vec{n}_y, \vec{h}] = \begin{vmatrix}
i_1 & i_2 & i_3 \\
y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\
h_1 & h_2 & h_3
\end{vmatrix} = (y_2 h_3 - h_2 y_3) i_1 + (y_3 h_1 - h_3 y_1) i_2 + (y_1 h_2 - h_1 y_2) i_3.
\]

Thus
\[
io\mu\theta_a[\vec{n}_y, \vec{h}] = (\nio\mu\theta_a(y_2 h_3 - h_2 y_3), \pio\mu\theta_a(y_3 h_1 - h_3 y_1), \pio\mu\theta_a(y_1 h_2 - h_1 y_2)).
\]

Then
\[
\text{div} \left[ \pio\mu\theta_a[\vec{n}_y, \vec{h}] \right] = \pio\mu \left[ \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} (y_2 h_3 - h_2 y_3) + \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} (y_3 h_1 - h_3 y_1) + \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} (y_1 h_2 - h_1 y_2) \right] .
\]

Combining (i)–(iii), we have
\[
\text{div} \left[ (\text{grad}\ \theta_a, \vec{n}_y, \vec{e}) - \pio\mu[\vec{n}_y, \vec{h}] \right] \\
= \left( \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_3^2} \right) (y_1 e_1 + y_2 e_2 + y_3 e_3) - \pio\mu \left[ \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} (y_2 h_3 - h_2 y_3) \right] .
\]
Obviously, we have

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta_a}{\partial x_3^2} = \Delta \theta_a = \Delta_a \theta_a - \alpha^2 \theta_a = -\alpha^2 \theta_a. \tag{4.4}
\]

By the definition of vector product, we have

\[
[g, \partial \theta_a, \vec{n}_y] = \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_3 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_2 \right) l_1 + \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_1 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_3 \right) l_2 + \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_2 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_1 \right) l_3.
\]

Then

\[
\langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \vec{h} \rangle = \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_3 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_2 \right) h_1 + \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} y_1 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_3 \right) h_2 + \left( \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} y_2 - \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} y_1 \right) h_3
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_1} (y_2 h_3 - h_2 y_3) + \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_2} (y_3 h_1 - h_3 y_1) + \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x_3} (y_1 h_2 - h_1 y_2). \tag{4.5}
\]

Since \( \bar{f}(y) = \left( \hat{\bar{f}}(y) \right) \in \mathcal{M} \), we have \( \int_{\partial B} \mathcal{U}_a(y \cdot x) \circ \left( \hat{\bar{f}}(y) \right) \, ds_y = 0 \). In addition, by the definition of \( \circ \), we have

\[
\int_{\partial B} \mathcal{U}_a(y \cdot x) \circ \left( \hat{\bar{f}}(y) \right) \, ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial B} \left( \langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \hat{\bar{f}} \rangle + i \omega \mu \theta_a \langle \vec{n}_y, \vec{h} \rangle \right) \, ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial B} \left( \sigma \hat{\bar{f}}(\vec{n}_y, \hat{\bar{f}}) + \langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \vec{h} \rangle \right) \, ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial B} \left( \sigma \hat{\bar{f}}(\vec{n}_y, \hat{\bar{f}}) + \langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \vec{h} \rangle \right) \, ds_y.
\]

Therefore

\[
\int_{\partial B} \left[ \sigma \hat{\bar{f}}(\vec{n}_y, \hat{\bar{f}}) + \langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a(y \cdot x), \vec{n}_y], \vec{h} \rangle \right] \, ds_y = 0. \tag{4.6}
\]

By (4.3)–(4.6), we have

\[
\text{div} \int_{\partial B} \left( [\text{grad} \, \theta_a(y \cdot x), \vec{n}_y] - \langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \hat{\bar{f}} \rangle - i \omega \mu \theta_a \langle \vec{n}_y, \vec{h} \rangle \right) \, ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial B} \text{div} \left( [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \hat{\bar{f}} \right) - \langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \hat{\bar{f}} \rangle - i \omega \mu \langle \theta_a(y \cdot x), \vec{h} \rangle \, ds_y
\]

\[
= \int_{\partial B} \left[ -\alpha^2 \theta_a \langle \vec{n}_y, \hat{\bar{f}} \rangle - i \omega \mu \langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \vec{h} \rangle \right] \, ds_y
\]

\[
= -i \omega \mu \int_{\partial B} \left[ \sigma \hat{\bar{f}}(\vec{n}_y, \hat{\bar{f}}) + \langle [\text{grad} \, \theta_a, \vec{n}_y], \vec{h} \rangle \right] \, ds_y = 0.
\]
Similarly, we have
\[
\text{div} \int_{\partial B} \left\{ (\text{grad} \theta \alpha, \vec{n}_y) \vec{h} - \sigma \theta_y [\vec{n}_y, \vec{e}] - \left[ (\text{grad} \theta, \vec{n}_y), \vec{h} \right] \right\} ds_y = 0.
\]

Therefore
\[
\int_{\partial B} K_{M, \alpha} (y-x) \cdot \vec{f}(y) ds_y \in \hat{C}^{(1)}.
\]

**Theorem 4.1** Let $B$ be as stated above. Find $u(x) = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \vec{u}_1 \\ \vec{u}_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \hat{C}^{(1)}$ satisfying the following system:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}[u] &= 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B, \\
\mathcal{N}[u] &= 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B, \\
\mathcal{L}[u] &= 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B, \\
\mathcal{M}^+[u] &= 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B,
\end{align*}
\]

where $u^+(x) = \lim_{x \to \partial B^+} u(x) = \tilde{G} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial B^+$ and $\mathcal{N}(u) = \mathcal{M}(u) = \mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{M}^+(u) = 0$. By Theorem 3.4, then the solution can be expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
u(x) &= \begin{cases} 
\int_{\partial B} K_{M, \alpha} (y-x) \cdot \vec{f}(y) ds_y, & x \in B^+, \\
\int_{\partial B} K_{N, \alpha} (y-x) \cdot \vec{f}(y) ds_y \cdot \tilde{G}, & x \in B^-.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Proof Since $u(x) \in \hat{C}^{(1)}$, we have $\mathcal{N}(u) = \tilde{N}(u) = \mathcal{M}(u) = \mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{M}^+(u) = 0$. By Theorem 3.4, then the solution can be expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
u(x) &= \begin{cases} 
\int_{\partial B} K_{N, \alpha} (y-x) \cdot \tilde{d} \sigma_y \cdot \vec{f}(y), & x \in B^+, \\
\int_{\partial B} K_{N, \alpha} (y-x) \cdot \tilde{d} \sigma_y \cdot \vec{f}(y) \cdot \tilde{G}, & x \in B^-.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Since $\vec{f} = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \vec{f}_1 \\ \vec{f}_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, by Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, the solution can be expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
u(x) &= \begin{cases} 
\int_{\partial B} K_{M, \alpha} (y-x) \cdot \tilde{d} \sigma_y \cdot \vec{f}(y), & x \in B^+, \\
\int_{\partial B} K_{M, \alpha} (y-x) \cdot \tilde{d} \sigma_y \cdot \vec{f}(y) \cdot \tilde{G}, & x \in B^-.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

and $u(x) \in \hat{C}^{(1)}$. □

**Acknowledgements**
The authors are thankful to the anonymous referees for reading the manuscript and giving fruitful comments and suggestions.

**Funding**
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071479, No. 11401162, No. 11871191), the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (No. A202005008), the Key Foundation of Hebei Normal University (No. L2021Z01), and the Outstanding Innovative Talents Cultivation Funded Programs 2019 of Renmin University of China.

**Availability of data and materials**
Not applicable.
Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
All the authors contributed equally in this research. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 24 July 2020 Accepted: 25 August 2021 Published online: 13 September 2021

References
1. Vekua, N.: Generalized Analytic Functions. Pergamon, Oxford (1962)
2. Hile, G.N.: Elliptic systems in the plane with first order terms and constant coefficients. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 3, 949–977 (1978)
3. Gilbert, R.P., Hou, Z.Y., Meng, X.W.: Vekua theory in higher dimensional complex spaces: the $\pi$ - operator in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Complex Var. Theory Appl. 1, 99–105 (1993)
4. Du, J.Y., Xu, N.: Boundary behavior of Cauchy-type integrals in Clifford analysis. Acta Math. Sci. 29B(1), 210–224 (2009)
5. Du, J.Y., Xu, N.: On boundary behavior of the Cauchy type integrals with values in a universal Clifford algebra. Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras 21(1), 49–87 (2011)
6. Gu, L.F., Du, J.Y., Cai, D.H.: A kind of Riemann boundary value problems for pseudo-harmonic functions in Clifford analysis. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 3, 412–426 (2014)
7. Yang, P.W.: The Dirichlet boundary value problems for some quaternions boundary functions of higher order on the polydisc. Sci. Sin. 41(6), 84–94 (2011)
8. Huang, S., Qiao, Y.Y., Wen, G.C.: Real and Complex Clifford Analysis. Springer, New York (2006)
9. Qiao, Y.Y., Wang, L.P., Yang, G.M.: A kind of boundary value problem for inhomogeneous partial differential system. J. Inequal. Appl. 180, 1–13 (2016)
10. Taira, K.: Degenerate elliptic boundary value problems with asymptotically linear nonlinearity. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 60, 283–308 (2011)
11. Wang, L.P., Qiao, Y.Y., Yang, H.J.: Some properties of the Teodorescu operator related to the $\alpha$-Dirac operator. Appl. Anal. 93(11), 2413–2425 (2014)
12. Wang, L.P., Wen, G.C.: Boundary value problems for two types of degenerate elliptic systems in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Appl. Math. J. Chin. Univ. 31(4), 469–480 (2016)
13. Wang, L.P., Xu, Z.L., Qiao, Y.Y.: The mixed boundary value problem for the inhomogeneous Cimmino system. Bound. Value Probl. 13, 1–16 (2015)
14. Wang, L.P., Yang, H.J., Xie, Y.H., Qiao, Y.Y.: Riemann boundary value problem for a kind of weighted Dirac operator in quaternion analysis. Sci. Sin., Math. 45(11), 1919–1930 (2015) (in Chinese)
15. Yang, P., Wang, L.P., Gao, L.: Some properties and applications of the Teodorescu operator associated to the Helmholtz equation. J. Inequal. Appl. 264, 1–19 (2017)
16. Wang, L.P.: Some properties of a kind of generalized Teodorescu operator in Clifford analysis. J. Inequal. Appl. 102, 1–11 (2016)
17. McIntosh, A., Mitrea, M.: Clifford algebras and Maxwell’s equations in Lipschitz domains. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 22(18), 1599–1620 (1999)
18. Schneider, B., Shapiro, M.: Some properties of the Cauchy-type integral for the time-harmonic Maxwell equations. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 44(1), 93–126 (2002)
19. Schneider, B., Shapiro, M.: Some properties of the Cauchy-type integral for the time-harmonic relativistic Dirac equation. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 25(16–18), 1441–1463 (2002)
20. Kravchenko, V.V.: Quaternionic time-harmonic Maxwell operator. J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. 28(17), 5017–5031 (1994)
21. Kravchenko, V.V.: Applied quaternionic analysis: Maxwell’s system and Dirac’s equation. In: Functional-Analytic and Complex Methods, Their Interactions and Applications to Partial Differential Equations – Proceedings of the International Graz Workshop, pp. 143–160 (2001)
22. Kravchenko, V.V., Shapiro, M.V.: Integral Representations for Spatial Models of Mathematical Physics. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series. Longman, Harlow (1996)
23. Russell, D.L.: The Dirichlet-Neumann boundary problem associated with the control theory of Maxwell’s equations. In: IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (1983)
24. Yang, P.W., Yang, S., Li, M.L.: An initial–boundary value problem for the Maxwell equations. J. Differ. Equ. 249(12), 3003–3023 (2010)
25. Colton, D., Kress, R.: Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory. Chap. 4: Boundary-Value Problems for the Time-Harmonic Maxwell Equations and the Vector Helmholtz Equation. Wiley, New York (2013)
26. Abreu-Blaya, R., Ayala-Avila, R., Bory-Reyes, J., Rodríguez-Iturbe, R.M.: Cauchy representation formulas for Maxwell equations in 3-dimensional domains with fractal boundaries. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. 46(4), 681–700 (2015)