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Abstract—We have studied the kinematics of Galactic masers and radio stars with measured VLBI trigonometric parallaxes and proper motions. We have considered masers with relative trigonometric parallax errors less than 10% and determined the Galactic rotation parameters from them. In particular, the linear rotation velocity of the Galaxy at the solar distance $R_0$ has been found to be $244.4 \pm 4.3$ km s$^{-1}$ (for the adopted $R_0 = 8.1 \pm 0.1$ kpc). We have performed a joint and separate spectral analysis of the radial, residual tangential, and vertical maser velocities. For example, from the vertical maser velocities we have estimated the velocity perturbation amplitude $f_W = 5.2 \pm 1.5$ km s$^{-1}$ with the wavelength $\lambda_W = 2.6 \pm 0.7$ kpc, arguing for the influence of the spiral density wave on the vertical stellar velocities. Based on 104 masers within 3 kpc of the Sun, as a result of the joint solution, we have estimated the radial, $f_R = 6.7 \pm 1.1$ km s$^{-1}$, and tangential, $f_t = 2.6 \pm 1.2$ km s$^{-1}$, velocity perturbations, the perturbation wavelength $\lambda = 2.1 \pm 0.3$ kpc, and the Sun’s phase in the Galactic spiral density wave $\chi_\odot = -148^\circ \pm 15^\circ$.

We have confirmed the presence of the Radcliffe wave in the spatial distribution of masers and radio stars belonging to the Local Arm.
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INTRODUCTION

We know several classes of objects that are of paramount importance for studying the structure and kinematics of the Galaxy owing to the possibility to estimate the distances to them with an acceptable accuracy. These include, for example, star clusters, OB stars, or Cepheids. Applying VLBI to the problem of measuring the trigonometric parallaxes of Galactic masers has made them first-class objects for studying the Galaxy. In this paper, only the masers associated with the youngest stars and protostars that are in active star-forming regions will be of interest to us.

At present, there are $\sim 200$ trigonometric parallaxes of masers (Reid et al. 2019; Hirota et al. 2020) measured with a high astrometric accuracy. The random VLBI measurement errors for most of these sources are less than 0.020 milliarcseconds (mas). For example, we know the result of an essentially direct determination of the Galactocentric distance of the Sun by this measuring method, $R_0$. The case in point is the parallax measurement for the radio source Sgr B, $\pi = 0.129 \pm 0.012$ mas, giving an estimate of $R_0 = 7.9^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ kpc (Reid et al. 2009).

Quite a few studies devoted to analyzing the fundamental properties of the Galactic disk using masers have been performed. Note the papers by Honma et al. (2012), Sanna et al. (2017), Rastorguev et al. (2017), Xu et al. (2018), Reid et al. (2019), Hirota et al. (2020), and Bobylev et al. (2020), where the distance $R_0$, the rotation velocity of the solar neighborhood around the Galactic center $V_\odot$, and the geometric characteristics of the spiral pattern or the kinematic parameters of the spiral density wave were estimated from various samples of masers.

By now almost all of the results of VLBI trigonometric parallax measurements for masers have been obtained with instruments located in the Earth’s Northern Hemisphere. Therefore, although these sources are distributed over a huge Galactic space, there is still an empty zone in the fourth Galactic quadrant. However, the measurements are already enough to successfully estimate, for example, the pitch angles of the spiral arms (Reid et al. 2019) or $R_0$ (Nikiforov and Veselova 2018) from the spatial distribution.

The radio astronomers performing VLBI observations of masers persistently note the presence of deviations from the circular motions of these objects with a value of 10–20 km s$^{-1}$ (Wu et al. 2019; Immer...
et al. 2019; Sakai et al. 2019; Hirota et al. 2020; Xu et al. 2021). Many masers are known to be associated with binary star systems, with expanding, often asymmetric, envelopes around stars. It may also well be that they belong to runaway stars. This all increases the observed velocity dispersion of these objects. However, we associate (Bobylev and Bajkova 2010; Bobylev et al. 2010) the presence of systematic deviations from the circular motions of the youngest stars in general and masers in particular with the influence of the Galactic spiral density wave.

The goal of this paper is to redetermine the Galactic rotation and Galactic spiral density wave parameters using up-to-date data on masers and radio stars with measured VLBI trigonometric parallaxes and proper motions. To achieve this goal, we use the most accurate data—masers with relative parallax errors less than 10%.

METHODS

From observations for each star we have the line-of-sight velocity \( V_r \) and the two tangential velocity components \( V_l = 4.74 \mu_l \cos b \) and \( V_b = 4.74 \mu_b \) along the Galactic longitude \( l \) and latitude \( b \), respectively, 4.74 is the dimension coefficient. All three velocities are expressed in km s\(^{-1}\) and \( r \) is the stellar heliocentric distance in kpc. The proper motion components \( \mu_l \cos b \) and \( \mu_b \) are expressed in mas yr\(^{-1}\) (milliarcseconds per year). The velocities \( U, V, W \) directed along the rectangular Galactic coordinate axes are calculated via the components \( V_r, V_l, V_b \):

\[
U = V_r \cos l \cos b - V_l \sin l - V_b \cos l \sin b, \\
V = V_r \sin l \cos b + V_l \cos l - V_b \sin l \sin b, \\
W = V_r \sin b + V_b \cos b,
\]

where the velocity \( U \) is directed from the Sun toward the Galactic center or, more precisely, to the Galactic rotation axis, \( V \) is in the direction of Galactic rotation, and \( W \) is directed to the north Galactic pole. We can find two velocities, \( V_R \) directed radially away from the Galactic center and \( V_{\text{circ}} \) orthogonal to it pointing in the direction of Galactic rotation, based on the following relations:

\[
V_{\text{circ}} = U \sin \theta + (V_0 + V) \cos \theta, \\
V_R = -U \cos \theta + (V_0 + V) \sin \theta,
\]

where the position angle \( \theta \) obeys the relation \( \tan \theta = y/(R_0 - x) \), \( x, y, z \) are the rectangular stellar heliocentric coordinates (the velocities \( U, V, W \) are directed along the corresponding \( x, y, z \) axes), and \( V_0 \) is the linear rotation velocity of the Galaxy at the solar distance \( R_0 \).

**Determination of Galactic Rotation Parameters**

To determine the parameters of the Galactic rotation curve, we use the equations derived from Bottinger’s formulas, in which the angular velocity \( \Omega \) is expanded into a series to terms of the second order of smallness in \( r/R_0 \):

\[
V_r = -U_\odot \cos b \cos l - V_\odot \cos b \sin l \\
- W_\odot \sin b + R_0 (R_0 - R_0) \sin l \cos b \Omega'_0 + 0.5 R_0 (R_0 - R_0)^2 \sin l \cos b \Omega''_0,
\]

\[
V_l = U_\odot \sin l - V_\odot \cos l - r \Omega_0 \cos b \\
+ (R_0 - R_0) (R_0 \cos l - r \cos b) \Omega'_0 + 0.5 (R_0 - R_0)^2 (R_0 \cos l - r \cos b) \Omega''_0,
\]

\[
V_b = U_\odot \cos l \sin b + V_\odot \sin l \sin b \\
- W_\odot \cos b - R_0 (R_0 - R_0) \sin l \sin b \Omega'_0 - 0.5 R_0 (R_0 - R_0)^2 \sin l \sin b \Omega''_0,
\]

where \( R \) is the distance from the star to the Galactic rotation axis, \( R^2 = r^2 \cos^2 b - 2 R_0 r \cos b \cos l + R_0^2 \). The velocities \( (U, V, W)_\odot \) are the mean group velocity of the sample; they reflect the peculiar motion of the Sun and, therefore, are taken with opposite signs. \( \Omega_0 \) is the angular velocity of Galactic rotation at the solar distance \( R_0 \), the parameters \( \Omega'_0 \) and \( \Omega''_0 \) are the corresponding derivatives of the angular velocity, and \( V_0 = R_0 \Omega_0 \).

We take \( R_0 \) to be \( 8.1 \pm 0.1 \) kpc. This value was derived as a weighted mean of a large number of present-day individual estimates in Bobylev and Bajkova (2021). It is interesting to note the highly accurate present-day individual measurement of \( R_0 \) obtained by Abuter et al. (2019) by analyzing a 16-year series of observations of the motion of the star \( S2 \) around the massive black hole \( Sgr A^* \) at the Galactic center, \( R_0 = 8.178 \pm 0.013 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.022 (\text{syst}) \) kpc. However, instrumental aberrations were shown to be present in the latter publication of this team (Abuter et al. 2021). Therefore, all the previous estimates of the collaboration since 2018 were revised and the refined \( R_0 = 8.275 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.033 \) kpc was proposed.

A solution of the conditional equations (3)–(5) is sought by the least-squares method (LSM). As a result, we obtain an estimate of the following six unknowns: \((U, V, W)_\odot, \Omega_0, \Omega'_0, \) and \( \Omega''_0 \). Note that the velocities \( U, V, \) and \( W \) in Eqs. (2) were freed from the peculiar solar velocity \( U_\odot, V_\odot, \) and \( W_\odot \) with the values found through the LSM solution of the kinematic equations (3)–(5).
**Determination of Spiral Density Wave Parameters**

The influence of the spiral density wave in the radial, \(V_R\), and residual tangential, \(\Delta V_{\text{circ}}\), velocities is periodic with an amplitude \(\sim 10-15\) km s\(^{-1}\). However, refining the specific values of the perturbation amplitudes is one of the objectives of this study. According to the linear density wave theory by Lin and Shu (1964), the velocity perturbations satisfy the following relations:

\[
V_R = -f_R \cos \chi, \\
\Delta V_{\text{circ}} = f_\theta \sin \chi,
\]

where

\[
\chi = m[\cot(i) \ln(R/R_0) - \theta] + \chi_0
\]

(7)
is the phase of the spiral density wave (\(m\) is the number of spiral arms, \(i\) is the pitch angle of the spiral pattern, \(i < 0\) for a wound spiral, \(\chi_0\) is the radial phase of the Sun in the spiral density wave; \(f_R\) and \(f_\theta\) are the amplitudes of the radial and tangential velocity perturbations, which are assumed to be positive.

We reveal periodicities in the velocities \(V_R\) and \(\Delta V_{\text{circ}}\) based on a spectral (periodogram) analysis, which is described in Bajkova and Bobylev (2012). The wavelength \(\lambda\) (the distance between adjacent spiral arm segments measured along the radial direction) is calculated based on the relation

\[
2\pi R_0/\lambda = m \cot(|i|).
\]

(8)

Let there be a series of measured velocities \(V_{R_n}\), these can be the radial, \(V_R\), tangential, \(\Delta V_{\text{circ}}\), or vertical, \(W\), velocities, \(n = 1, 2, \ldots, N\), where \(N\) is the number of objects. The objective of the spectral analysis is to extract a periodicity from the data series in accordance with the adopted model describing a spiral density wave with parameters \(f, \lambda\) (or \(i\)), and \(\chi_0\).

Having taken into account the logarithmic behavior of the spiral density wave and the position angles of the objects \(\theta_n\), our spectral analysis of the series of velocity perturbations is reduced to calculating the square of the amplitude (power spectrum) of the standard Fourier transform (Bajkova and Bobylev 2012):

\[
V_{\lambda_k} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} V_n(R'_n) \exp\left(-j2\pi R'_n/\lambda_k\right),
\]

(9)

where \(V_{\lambda_k}\) is the \(k\)th harmonic of the Fourier transform with wavelength \(\lambda_k = D/k\), \(D\) is the period of the series being analyzed.

\[
R'_n = R_0 \ln(R_n/R_0), \\
V'_n(R'_n) = V_n(R'_n) \exp(jm\theta_n).
\]

(10)

The sought-for wavelength \(\lambda\) corresponds to the peak value of the power spectrum \(S_{\text{peak}}\). The pitch angle of the spiral density wave is found from Eq. (8).

We determine the perturbation amplitude and phase by fitting the harmonic with the wavelength found to the observational data. To estimate the perturbation amplitude, we can also use the relation

\[
f_R(f_\theta, f_W) = 2\sqrt{S_{\text{peak}}}. \quad (11)
\]

In our spectral analysis we estimated the errors of the sought-for parameters through Monte Carlo simulations by performing 100 cycles of computations. For this number of cycles the mean values of the solutions virtually coincide with the solutions obtained from the original data without adding any measurement errors. Measurement errors were added to the velocities \(V_R\), \(\Delta V_{\text{circ}}\), and \(W\) and well as to the errors in the coordinates of the sources \(x, y,\) and \(z\).

**DATA**

The maser sources are stars with extended gas–dust envelopes in which the pumping effect arises. Both young stars and protostars of various masses and old stars, for example, Miras, possess the masering effect. In this paper we use the observations of only young stars closely associated with active star-forming regions.

The main data sources in this paper are the catalogues by Reid et al. (2019) and Hirota et al. (2020). The list by Reid et al. (2019) includes data on 199 masers. The VLBI observations were carried out at several radio frequencies within the BeSSeL (The Bar and Spiral Structure Legacy Survey\(^1\)) project. Hirota et al. (2020) presented a catalogue of 99 maser sources that were observed exclusively at 22 GHz within the VERA (VLBI Exploration of Radio Astrometry\(^2\)) program. The lists by Reid et al. (2019) and Hirota et al. (2020) have a high percentage of common measurements and, therefore, we compiled a list of data without coincidences. In addition, we added several new parallax determinations for a number of masers after 2020 (Sakai et al. 2020; Ortiz-León et al. 2020; Xu et al. 2021; Sakai et al. 2022; Bian et al. 2022). Apart from the masers, there are some number of radio stars in our list, which are very young stars located mostly in the Gould Belt region. The observations of these stars we performed by VLBI in continuum (Ortiz-León et al. 2018; Galli et al. 2018). Currently, our list contains a total of 267 determinations of the VLBI parallaxes and proper motions for masers and radio stars.

In this paper we use sources with relative parallax errors less than 10\%. This approach is related to the fact that, for example, the Lutz–Kelker (1973) bias

\(^1\)http://bessel.vlbi-astrometry.org

\(^2\)http://veraserver.mtk.nao.ac.jp
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should be taken into account at relative errors of the measured parallaxes more than 10%. To apply this bias, the distribution of matter in the Galaxy needs to be known well. In contrast, the actual distribution of matter at great distances from the Sun, where most of the masers are located, is currently not known well enough to solve this problem. The corrections for this bias are model-dependent and, therefore, the need for them arises only in extreme cases. As shown in Stepanishchev and Bobylev (2013), in isolated instances (at $\sigma_\pi/\pi \gg 10\%$) the corrections for masers can be significant.

The selection of sources was made under the condition $R > 4$ kpc. This is because the central bar exerts a strong gravitational influence on the kinematics of stars in the region $R < 4$ kpc. This gives rise to significant deviations from the circular orbits and increases the observed stellar space velocity dispersion. Therefore, when seeking the Galactic rotation parameters, the region $R < 4$ kpc is usually (Reid et al. 2019) excluded from consideration. Moreover, the spiral pattern begins from the ends of the central bar and, hence, there is no need to use objects from the region $R < 4$ kpc when seeking the spiral density wave parameters as well.

To get rid of the great outliers, we use the following constraints on the space velocities:

\[
\begin{align*}
|U| &< 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \\
|V| &< 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \\
|W| &< 60 \text{ km s}^{-1},
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

where $U$, $V$, and $W$ are the residual velocities, since the peculiar solar velocity and the Galactic rotation velocity were presubtracted from them. We use the Galactic rotation curve whose parameters were found by analyzing masers in Bobylev et al. (2020) as the preknown one. Five or six stars with the greatest outliers are rejected as a result of applying the criteria. On the whole, however, we use the $3\sigma$ rejection criterion when seeking the LSM solution of the system of conditional equations (3)–(5).

The distribution of the available masers with relative parallax errors less than 10% selected under the condition $R > 4$ kpc is presented in Fig. 1. The number of such objects is 150. In this figure we use the coordinate system in which the $X$ axis is directed from the Galactic center to the Sun and the direction of the $Y$ axis coincides with the direction of Galactic rotation. The four-armed spiral pattern with the pitch angle $i = -13^\circ$, as inferred by Bobylev and Bajkova (2014), is presented; here it was constructed with $R_0 = 8.1$ kpc, the Roman numerals number.
Fig. 2. Radial velocities $V_R$ (a), rotation velocities $V_{\text{circ}}$ (b), and residual rotation velocities $\Delta V_{\text{circ}}$ (c) of the masers versus distance $R$. The rotation curve found from these sources with an indication of the boundaries of the $1\sigma$ confidence regions is presented; the vertical line marks the Sun’s position.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Galactic Rotation Parameters

Three approaches were used in seeking the LSM solution of the system of conditional equations (3)–(5). In the first case, all equations were used, i.e., all three velocities were involved: $V_r$, $V_i$, and $V_b$. In the second case, the two equations (4) and (5), but involving the maser proper motions, were used. In the third case, only one equation (4) involving only one velocity component $V_i$ was used.

The three approaches to using the conditional equations (3)–(5) are applied for the following reasons. First of all, it should be noted that VLBI observations give the original trigonometric parallaxes and proper motions of the masers or radio stars. The systematic line-of-sight velocities of the objects are taken from other sources. Therefore, it is interesting to compare the results obtained with and without the involvement of the line-of-sight velocities. A peculiarity in using the components $V_i$ and $V_b$ is that the velocities $V_i$ make the dominant contribution to the estimate of almost all sought-for kinematic parameters. However, the velocity $W_\odot$ cannot be determined when using only the component $V_i$. As can be seen from Eq. (5), the coefficients before the sought-for parameters $(U,V)\odot$, $\Omega_0$, $\Omega_0'$, and $\Omega_0''$ contain the term $\sin b$ very close to zero for distant masers and $\cos b$ appears only at the unknown $W_\odot$. Therefore, it is interesting to compare the solutions found with and without the involvement of the velocities $V_b$. Note that our sample contains quite a few nearby sources with relatively large angles $b$.

The kinematic parameters found by all three approaches from 150 masers located in the Galactic region $R > 4$ kpc are given in Table 1. Figure 2
Table 1. The kinematic parameters found from 150 masers located in the Galactic region $R > 4$ kpc

| Parameters | $V_R + V_T + V_φ$ | $V_T + V_φ$ | $V_T$ |
|------------|--------------------|-------------|-------|
| $U_⊙$, km s$^{-1}$ | 9.15 ± 0.86 | 9.23 ± 1.46 | 8.89 ± 1.56 |
| $V_⊙$, km s$^{-1}$ | 12.81 ± 0.86 | 11.15 ± 1.01 | 11.22 ± 1.04 |
| $W_⊙$, km s$^{-1}$ | 8.93 ± 0.75 | 8.47 ± 0.67 | – |
| $Ω_0$, km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ | 30.18 ± 0.38 | 29.46 ± 0.43 | 29.39 ± 0.45 |
| $Ω'_0$, km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$ | −4.368 ± 0.077 | −3.914 ± 0.108 | −3.906 ± 0.110 |
| $Ω''_0$, km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-3}$ | 0.845 ± 0.037 | 0.652 ± 0.045 | 0.653 ± 0.046 |
| $σ_0$, km s$^{-1}$ | 8.9 | 8.1 | 8.5 |
| $V_0$, km s$^{-1}$ | 244.4 ± 4.3 | 238.6 ± 4.6 | 238.1 ± 4.7 |

presents the radial velocities $V_R$, rotation velocities $V_{\text{circ}}$, and residual rotation velocities $ΔV_{\text{circ}}$ for our sample of 150 masers.

It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the radial and tangential velocities of a small group of stars in the Gould Belt region ($r < 0.5$ kpc) “stick out.” To estimate the influence of this group of stars on the parameters of the general solution, we obtained the joint ($V_R + V_T + V_φ$) solution by excluding the Gould Belt region ($r < 0.5$ kpc). With this approach, using 119 masers, we found the velocities $(U,V,W)_⊙ = (5.02, 13.86, 8.77) ± (1.21, 1.22, 0.93)$ km s$^{-1}$ and

$$Ω_0 = 29.73 ± 0.48 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1},$$

$$Ω'_0 = -4.246 ± 0.089 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2},$$

$$Ω''_0 = 0.826 ± 0.043 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-3},$$

where the error per unit weight is $σ_0 = 9.9$ km s$^{-1}$ and $V_0 = 240.8 ± 4.9$ km s$^{-1}$ (for the adopted $R_0 = 8.1 ± 0.1$ kpc).

Rastorguev et al. (2017) considered a sample of 130 masers with measured trigonometric parallaxes. For example, based on the model of purely circular disk rotation (Model A2), they found the group velocity components $(U,V,W)_⊙ = (7.73, 17.69, 8.64) ± (1.52, 1.20, 0.91)$ km s$^{-1}$ and the following parameters of the Galactic rotation curve:

$$Ω_0 = 29.03 ± 0.52 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1},$$

$$Ω'_0 = -3.94 ± 0.08 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2},$$

$$Ω''_0 = 1.13 ± 0.07 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-3},$$

$$Ω'''_0 = 0.06 ± 0.11 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-4},$$

$$Ω''''_0 = 0.30 ± 0.02 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-5},$$

$$Ω'''''_0 = -0.14 ± 0.02 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-6},$$

where $V_0 = 241 ± 10$ km s$^{-1}$ for the value of $R_0 = 8.31 ± 0.13$ kpc found. It can be noted that the estimates of the parameters that are given in Table 1 and the solution (13) were obtained with smaller errors. We achieved this by using a larger number of sources and by applying the constraint on the relative maser parallax error (less than 10%) and the criteria (12).

Reid et al. (2019) performed a kinematic analysis of a sample of 147 masers. In contrast to our approach, these authors applied an expansion of the linear rotation (circular) velocity of the Galaxy into a series. As a result, they obtained estimates of $R_0 = 8.15 ± 0.15$ kpc and $Ω_⊙ = 30.32 ± 0.27$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$, where $Ω_⊙$ is the angular velocity of precisely the Sun.

It is also interesting to note the results of our analysis of the proper motions and trigonometric parallaxes for a sample of 9750 OB2 stars that were obtained in Bobylev and Bajkova (2022). One conditional equation (4) involving only one velocity component $V_T$ was used in seeking the LSM solution. As a result, we found the velocities $(U,V)_⊙ = (7.17, 7.37) ± (0.16, 0.24)$ km s$^{-1}$ and

$$Ω_0 = 29.700 ± 0.076 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1},$$

$$Ω'_0 = -4.008 ± 0.022 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2},$$

$$Ω''_0 = 0.671 ± 0.011 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-3},$$

where $V_0 = 240.6 ± 3.0$ km s$^{-1}$ (for the adopted $R_0 = 8.1 ± 0.1$ kpc).

There is good agreement of the listed estimates of the Galactic rotation parameters obtained by various authors with the results presented in Table 1 and the solution (13). In contrast, there is no complete agreement with regard to the velocities $U_⊙$ and $V_⊙$.

The error per unit weight $σ_0$ that we find by seeking the LSM solution of the kinematic equations is
calculated as a weighted mean of the residuals. Thus, this is a quantity averaged over three stellar velocity dispersion directions. It can be seen from Table 1 and the solution (13) that both when using only the proper motions of the masers and when using their line-of-sight velocities, the values of $\sigma_0$ are comparable and do not exceed 10 km s$^{-1}$. Here, the constraints (12) perform their role, but the constraints on the relative parallax errors mainly operate.

For example, Bobylev et al. (2020) analyzed a sample of 239 masers (almost all of the then available measurements) without using any special constraints, where $\sigma_0$ was 12.8 km s$^{-1}$, which is more likely typical for older stars, for example, classical Cepheids.

In this case, we obtained the following estimates of the group velocity for the sample of masers: $U_0 = 7.79^{+1.23}_{-1.27}$ km s$^{-1}$, $V_0 = 15.04^{+1.24}_{-1.25}$ km s$^{-1}$, $W_0 = 8.57^{+1.18}_{-1.23}$ km s$^{-1}$, and the parameters of the Galactic rotation curve:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_0 &= 29.01^{+0.33}_{-0.34} \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}, \\
\Omega_0' &= -3.901^{+0.068}_{-0.069} \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}, \\
\Omega_0'' &= 0.831^{+0.032}_{-0.032} \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-3}, \\
\Omega_0''' &= -0.104^{+0.018}_{-0.019} \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-4}.
\end{align*}
$$

we also found $R_0 = 8.15^{+0.04}_{-0.20}$ kpc. Here, we can also note that in this paper the group velocity components and the Galactic rotation parameters are estimated with smaller errors.

Next, we produced a local sample of 104 masers selected under the constraint on the heliocentric distance $r < 3$ kpc. The kinematic parameters found from this sample are given in Table 2. The conditional equations were solved in two ways. Here, the velocities $V_{0}$ were not excluded, since the stars are nearby ones and, therefore, have heights $b$ sufficient for a reliable determination of the sought-for unknowns of the model. We can see an increased value of $\Omega_0$ compared the ones found above from the samples of more distant masers. This effect is most likely caused by the influence of the masers and radio stars belonging to the Gould Belt structure. The main result obtained with this approach is that the error per unit weight $\sigma_0 = 6.9$ km s$^{-1}$ found in the last column of Table 2 is very small. This suggests that we are dealing with a population of very young objects. When using increasingly distant samples of stars, the value of this quantity increases, which is explained by the joint influence of the stellar trigonometric parallax and proper motion errors.

**Spectral Analysis**

**Separate solutions.** For our spectral analysis we used data on 134 masers within 5 kpc of the Sun. Here, we slightly reduced the sample size for the following reasons. According to the linear density wave theory by Lin and Shu (1964), the amplitudes of the radial and tangential velocity perturbations are not constant. Indeed, the spiral pattern rotates rigidly. Therefore, in the corotation region the difference between the linear rotation velocities of the Galaxy and the spiral pattern is zero, while near the ends of the central bar it is maximal. The effect of an enhanced velocity dispersion at $R \sim 4$ kpc can be noticed in Figs. 2a and 2b, which is particularly pronounced in the radial velocities. When analyzing the tangential velocities, it is useful to reduce the sample radius— it is better to use the $R$ interval where the Galactic rotation curve is closest to the flat one.

In our separate spectral analysis of the radial, $V_R$, residual tangential, $\Delta V_{\text{circ}}$, and vertical maser velocities we obtained the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
 f_R &= 8.1 \pm 1.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}, & f_\theta &= 6.1 \pm 1.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}, & f_W &= 5.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \\
 \lambda_R &= 2.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ kpc}, & \lambda_\theta &= 2.4 \pm 0.8 \text{ kpc}, & \lambda_W &= 2.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ kpc}, \\
 (\chi_0)_R &= -158 \pm 15^\circ, & (\chi_0)_\theta &= -140 \pm 18^\circ, & (\chi_0)_W &= -180 \pm 18^\circ.
\end{align*}
$$

The results of this analysis are presented in Fig. 3.

It is interesting to note the significance (sig) of the main peak in each of the cases marked in Fig. 3: sig$_R = 0.9997$, sig$_\theta = 0.9408$, and sig$_W = 0.8386$. These values suggest that the parameters of the spiral

**Table 2.** The kinematic parameters found from 104 masers located no farther than 3 kpc from the Sun

| Parameters | $V_r + V_t + V_0$ | $V_t + V_0$ |
|------------|------------------|-------------|
| $U_0$, km s$^{-1}$ | 11.90 ± 0.91 | 12.21 ± 1.85 |
| $V_0$, km s$^{-1}$ | 14.14 ± 0.93 | 12.49 ± 1.08 |
| $W_0$, km s$^{-1}$ | 9.29 ± 0.75 | 8.79 ± 0.72 |
| $\Omega_0$, km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ | 33.5 ± 1.0 | 32.2 ± 1.4 |
| $\Omega_0'$, km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$ | -4.55 ± 0.16 | -4.20 ± 0.24 |
| $\Omega_0''$, km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-3}$ | 1.20 ± 0.13 | 0.99 ± 0.14 |
| $\sigma_0$, km s$^{-1}$ | 7.6 | 6.9 |
| $V_0$, km s$^{-1}$ | 271 ± 9 | 261 ± 12 |
Fig. 3. Radial velocities $V_R$ versus distance $R$ (a) and their power spectrum (b), residual rotation velocities $\Delta V_{\text{circ}}$ versus distance $R$ (c) and their power spectrum (d), vertical velocities $W$ versus distance $R$ (e) and their power spectrum (f); the solid wavy lines represent the results of our spectral analysis. Here, we used 134 masers with relative trigonometric parallax errors less than 10% located no farther than 5 kpc from the Sun.

The parameters of the Galactic spiral density wave were determined most reliably from the radial velocities $V_R$ and least reliably from the vertical velocities $W$.

At the same time, the presence of a periodicity in the vertical maser velocities is an important result of this paper. The point is that in the classical density wave theory by Lin and Shu (1964) the vertical stellar velocities are not considered. Based on a spectral analysis, Bobylev and Bajkova (2015) for the first time found a periodicity in the vertical maser velocities with the wavelength $\lambda_W = 3.4 \pm 0.7$ kpc and the amplitude $f_W = 4.3 \pm 1.2$ km s$^{-1}$.

Currently, vertical velocity perturbations of various nature are known to be present in the Galactic disk (López-Corredoira et al. 2014; Widrow et al. 2014; Antoja et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020; Thulasidharan et al. 2021). These can be the large-scale perturbations associated with the Galactic warp caused both by the gravitational influence of some external factor and by a nongravitational one, for example, the fall to the disk or a close flyby of a dwarf companion galaxy of the Milky Way or a massive clump of dark matter. There can also be the vertical velocity perturbations associated with the natural disk oscillations or with the influence of large-scale magnetic fields, etc.

Note that the Radcliffe wave (Alves et al. 2020) propagating along the Local Arm has recently been detected in the local region while analyzing the distribution of molecular clouds. In the opinion of Alves et al. (2020), the wave has a wavelength of about 2 kpc with an amplitude of about 160 pc and is a damped one. The origin of this wave has not yet been established.

We selected masers and radio stars belonging to the Local Arm. These sources are excellently seen near the Sun in Fig. 1 as a fairly narrow chain. During the selection we assumed that the sources of the Local Arm were no farther than 3.5 kpc from the Sun and were bounded by two parallel lines: $x = 0.286y - 0.8$ and $x = 0.286y + 0.3$. There were a total of 68 sources in this sample, the overwhelming majority of which are low-mass T Tauri stars. Fig-
Fig. 4. Three-dimensional distribution of masers and radio stars belonging to the Local Arm (a) and their vertical velocities (b).

Fig. 5. Radial velocities $V_R$ (a) and residual rotation velocities $\Delta V_{\text{circ}}$ (b) versus distance $R$ and their power spectrum (c), the data averaging is indicated by the dashed lines; the solid wavy lines represent the results of our spectral analysis. Here, we used 104 masers with relative trigonometric parallax errors less than 10% located no farther than 3 kpc from the Sun.

As a result, we are inclined to think that the wave in the vertical maser velocities is more likely associated with a larger-scale phenomenon, for example, the influence of the spiral density wave (Fig. 3e). The paper by Martinez-Medina et al. (2022) can also be noted, where large-scale features other than noise were shown to be present in the vertical velocity distribution of young stars from the Gaia EDR3 catalogue associated with the Galactic spiral structure. The most pronounced feature was noted by these authors at $R \sim 9.5$ kpc, i.e., elongation in the Perseus arm region.

**Joint solution.** In our joint spectral analysis of the radial, $V_R$, and residual tangential, $\Delta V_{\text{circ}}$, velocities we assume that there is one value of the wavelength and the Sun’s phase in the density wave for both types of velocities.

Using data on 134 masers within 5 kpc of the Sun with relative trigonometric parallax errors less than 10%, we obtained the following estimates: $f_R = 6.1 \pm 1.3$ km s$^{-1}$, $f_\theta = 3.2 \pm 1.4$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\lambda = 2.1 \pm 0.3$ kpc, $\chi^2 = -141^\circ \pm 15^\circ$. The significance of the main peak in the power spectrum was found to be $\text{sig} = 0.99991$.

Note that the spectral analysis being applied takes into account both the logarithmic behavior of the
Tables 3. The parameters of the Galactic spiral density wave estimated by various authors

| Sample                  | Ref. | $f_R$, km s$^{-1}$ | $f_\theta$, km s$^{-1}$ | $\lambda$, kpc | | $i|$, deg | $\chi_\odot$, deg | $m$ |
|-------------------------|------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------|-----|
| OB st., Ceph., OSCs     | [1]  | 3.6 ± 0.4         | 4.7 ± 0.6              | 4.2 ± 0.2   | 165 ± 1 | 2             |
| Cepheids                | [2]  | 6.3 ± 2.4         | 4.4 ± 2.4              | 6.8 ± 0.7   | 70 ± 16 | 2             |
| Cepheids                | [3]  | 3.5 ± 1.7         | 7.5 ± 1.8              | 11.4        | -20 ± 9  | 4             |
| OB associations         | [4]  | 6.6 ± 1.4         | 1.8 ± 1.4              | 2.0 ± 0.2   |         |               |
| Cepheids                | [5]  | 6.7 ± 2.3         | 1.4 ± 1.6              | 6.0 ± 0.7   | -85 ± 15 | 2             |
| OSCs                    | [5]  | 5.5 ± 2.3         | 0.2 ± 1.6              | 12.2 ± 0.7  | -88 ± 15 | 4             |
| OB stars                | [5]  | 6.6 ± 2.5         | 0.4 ± 2.3              | 6.6 ± 0.9   | -97 ± 18 | 2             |
| OSCs, HII               | [6]  | 5.9 ± 1.1         | 4.6 ± 0.5              | 2.1 ± 0.5   | -119     |               |
| Masers                  | [7]  | 7.7 ± 1.6         | 2.2 ± 0.3              | 5.0 ± 0.5   | -147 ± 10| 2             |
| Masers                  | [8]  | 6.9 ± 1.4         | 2.8 ± 1.0              | 10.4 ± 0.3  | -125 ± 10| 4             |
| OB stars                | [9]  | 7.1 ± 0.3         | 6.5 ± 0.4              | 2.8 ± 0.2   | -128 ± 6 | 4             |
| OSCs                    | [10] | 4.6 ± 0.7         | 1.1 ± 0.4              |            |         |               |
| OB stars                | [11] | 4.8 ± 0.7         | 4.1 ± 0.9              | 2.1 ± 0.2   | 9.4 ± 0.9 | -116 ± 12    | 4  |
| This paper              |      | 6.7 ± 1.1         | 2.6 ± 1.2              | 2.1 ± 0.3   | 9.4 ± 1.0 | -148 ± 15    | 4  |

[1] Byl and Ovenden (1978); [2] Mishurov et al. (1997); [3] Mishurov and Zenina (1999); [4] Mel’nik et al. (2001); [5] Zabolotskikh et al. (2002); [6] Bobylev et al. (2008); [7] Bajkova and Bobylev (2012); [8] Rastorguev et al. (2017); [9] Bobylev and Bajkova (2018); [10] Lekhin and Popova (2019); [11] Bobylev and Bajkova (2022).

spiral density wave and the distribution of object positions angles. In a logarithmic wave the wavelength is a variable quantity—it increases with $R$. In this case, the estimate of the parameters $\lambda$, $f_{(R,\theta,W)}$, $\chi_\odot$ refers to the local region—the region near the Sun. As can be seen from the distribution of masers in projection onto the Galactic XY plane in Fig. 1, two spiral arm segments, Carina—Sagittarius and Perseus, are essentially represented in the sample. Therefore, we decided to perform a spectral analysis based also on the local sample that encompasses this region. To form the residual rotation velocities, we used the Galactic rotation curve whose parameters were found from our sample of 150 masers (Fig. 2b). As a result, we obtained the following estimates from 104 masers:

$$f_R = 6.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ km s}^{-1},$$

$$f_\theta = 2.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ km s}^{-1},$$

$$\lambda = 2.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ kpc},$$

$$\chi_\odot = -148^\circ \pm 15^\circ.$$  

The results of this spectral analysis are presented in Fig. 5, where the significance of the main peak in the power spectrum is $\text{sig} = 0.99999$. Here, the value of sig is slightly higher than that in the previous case found by analyzing a wider solar neighborhood.

Apart from the periodicities found (thick lines), the dashed lines in Fig. 5 indicate the averaged values of the observer maser velocities. At great distance from the Sun it makes no sense to compare these lines due to the large position angles of some sources. However, in the local solar neighborhood (encompassing the two grand-design spiral arm segments closest to the Sun) this comparison makes sense. As can be seen from Fig. 5a, there is excellent agreement between the thick and dashed lines, suggesting that the values of $\lambda$, $f_R$, and $\chi_\odot$ found are reliable. In the tangential velocities we have much poorer agreement between these lines, but the amplitude $f_\theta$ here is also very small.

Table 3 presents the parameters of the spiral density wave estimated from the most important tracers of the spiral structure. As follows from this table, $f_\theta$ is usually smaller than $f_R$.

First of all, the results obtained with Cepheids should be noted (Mishurov et al. 1997; Mishurov...
ity components (R> 4 kpc, we found the group velocity components $(U, V, W)_{\odot} = (9.15, 12.81, 8.93) \pm (0.86, 0.86, 0.75)$ km s$^{-1}$ and the following parameters of the angular velocity of Galactic rotation:

$$\Omega_0 = 30.18 \pm 0.38 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1},$$
$$\Omega'_0 = -4.368 \pm 0.077 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2},$$
$$\Omega''_0 = 0.845 \pm 0.037 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-3},$$

where the error per unit weight $\sigma_0$ is 8.9 km s$^{-1}$ and $V_0 = 244.4 \pm 4.3$ km s$^{-1}$ for the adopted $R_0 = 8.1 \pm 0.1$ kpc. With the parameters of this Galactic rotation curve we find the residual tangential velocities.

We considered the kinematics of a local sample of 104 masers within 3 kpc of the Sun. The Galactic rotation parameters were shown to be determined from this sample with large errors. Here, there is a strong influence of objects from the Gould Belt. In our opinion, this leads to an overestimation of the angular velocity of rotation, $\Omega_0 = 33.5 \pm 1.0$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$, and, consequently, to an overestimated linear rotation velocity, $V_0 = 271 \pm 9$ km s$^{-1}$. A positive effect of the kinematic analysis of this sample of masers is a smaller error per unit weight, $\sigma_0 = 6.9$ km s$^{-1}$. This means that the masers and radio stars being considered here are indeed representatives of the youngest population of stars and protostars belonging to the Galactic thin disk. For more distant masers the formal estimate of their velocity dispersion increases due to the influence of the measurement errors in their trigonometric parallaxes and the errors in their proper motions (the random errors of the line-of-sight stellar velocities are virtually independent of the distance).

To estimate the spiral density wave parameters, we applied a spectral analysis of the velocities of various samples of masers. For this purpose, we used both separate and joint solutions. The separate spectral analysis was applied to the radial, $V_R$, residual tangential, $\Delta V_{\text{circ}}$, and vertical, $W$, maser velocities. The following estimates were obtained from the data on 134 masers within 5 kpc of the Sun:

$$f_R = 8.1 \pm 1.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \quad f_\theta = 6.1 \pm 1.7 \text{ km s}^{-1},$$
$$f_W = 5.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1},$$

$$\lambda_R = 2.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ kpc}, \quad \lambda_\theta = 2.4 \pm 0.8 \text{ kpc},$$
$$\lambda_W = 2.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ kpc},$$

$$(\chi_{\odot})_R = -158^\circ \pm 15^\circ, \quad (\chi_{\odot})_\theta = -140^\circ \pm 18^\circ,$$
$$(\chi_{\odot})_W = -180^\circ \pm 18^\circ.$$
It is important to note that the presence of periodic perturbations first detected in Bobylev and Bajkova (2015) was confirmed in the vertical maser velocities. The perturbation amplitude $f_V$ and wavelength $\lambda_V$ found are close to those derived from the radial and residual tangential velocities. This argues for the influence of the spiral density wave on the vertical stellar velocities as well.

According to the classical linear theory of the Galactic spiral density wave by Lin and Shu (1964), one might expect one value of the wavelength $\lambda$ (consequently, the Sun’s phase in the wave $\chi_\odot$) in both radial and residual tangential velocities. The vertical velocities were not considered in this theory. In contrast, here we see only satisfactory agreement in the estimate of $\lambda_{R,\theta,W}$.

Interestingly, the local parameters of the spiral density wave are determined quite well from the local sample of 104 masers. For example, as a result of our joint spectral analysis of the radial, $V_R$, and residual tangential, $\Delta V_{\text{circ}}$, maser velocities, we obtained the following estimates:

$$f_R = 6.7 \pm 1.1 \, \text{km s}^{-1}, \quad f_\theta = 2.6 \pm 1.2 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$$

and

$$\lambda = 2.1 \pm 0.3 \, \text{kpc}, \quad \chi_\odot = -148^\circ \pm 15^\circ.$$  

We considered a sample of 68 masers and radio stars belonging to the Local Arm. The presence of the Radcliffe wave in the spatial distribution of these sources was confirmed.
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