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Integrative Effects of Feeding Aspergillus awamori and fructooligosaccharide on Growth Performance and Digestibility in Broilers: Promotion Muscle Protein Metabolism
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This study was conducted to show the effect of Aspergillus awamori (AA), fructooligosaccharide (FOS), and combined Aspergillus awamori and fructooligosaccharide (AA + FOS) on growth, digestibility, blood parameters, and expression of some growth-related genes. A total of 60 broiler chicks at the age of 15 d were divided into a control group (n = 15) and 3 treatment groups. The control group was fed a basal diet, and the treatment groups were fed basal diets supplemented with 0.05% AA, 0.05% FOS, and combined of 0.05% AA and 0.05% FOS. Results from measurement of growth performance and digestibility revealed a significant increase in the body weight gain with improved feed conversion rate in the experimental groups. Interestingly, dry matter digestibility (DMD) and crude protein utilization (CPU) were improved. In addition, plasma total cholesterol and low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) were decreased, while plasma high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) was increased by feeding AA, FOS, and AA + FOS. Expressions of growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R) were increased in experimental groups. In conclusion, the supplementation of either Aspergillus awamori or fructooligosaccharide or both improves digestibility and growth performance probably by promoting skeletal muscle protein metabolism.

1. Introduction

Probiotics are live cultures of organisms supplemented in animal diets that can beneficially affect the host animal by improving the microbial balance in the gut [1]. Recently, Aspergillus species (A. oryzae, A. niger, and A. awamori) are used as probiotics [2–4]. Aspergillus species are extensively used for industrial enzyme production (mainly α-amylases and glucoamylases) due to their superior ability to secrete enzymes [5]. Saleh et al. reported that Aspergillus awamori is a fungus used for food processing in Japan [2]. The products processed by A. awamori are given generally recognized as safe (GRAS) status from Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [6]. The physiological effects related to Aspergillus probiotic include the reduction of gut pH, production of some digestive enzymes and vitamins, production of antibacterial substances (e.g., organic acids, bacteriocins, hydrogen peroxide, diacetyl, acetaldehyde, lactoperoxidase system, lactones, and other unidentified substances), reduction of cholesterol level in the blood, stimulation of immune system, suppression of bacterial infections, removal of carcinogens, improvement of calcium absorption, and reduction of faecal enzyme activity as well as reconstruction of normal intestinal microflora disorders caused by diarrhoeas, antibiotic therapy, and radiotherapy [7].

Prebiotics have been described as nondigestible food substances that selectively stimulate the growth of favorable species of bacteria in the gut, thereby benefitting the host [8].
These substances are primarily derived from nondigestible oligosaccharides [9]. Oligofructose, fructooligosaccharide, and inulin are examples that have been used as prebiotics [10]. Fructooligosaccharides can be used as an alternative of antibiotics to enhance the growth and production efficiency of broilers [11] and they are classified as nondigestible oligosaccharides because the β-linkages between fructose monomers cannot be hydrolyzed by enzymes of endogenous origin [12]. The physiological effects related to Fructooligosaccharides have been shown to enhance the growth of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus but inhibit Escherichia coli and Salmonella in the large intestine [13, 14].

The combination of prebiotic and probiotic is referred as symbiotic [15]. It has been proposed that synbiotics are strategically beneficial for the broilers by improving the survival rate and colonization of the introduced probiotic microorganisms in the gastrointestinal tract. At the same time, the presence of prebiotics provides a readily available substrate for probiotic growth and may promote the metabolism of the beneficial bacteria [16].

The growth and development of chickens are primarily regulated by genes of the somatotropic axis. Ghrelin receptor, or growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R) are important genes of this axis which have a significant role in muscles development and growth. The IGF1 gene stimulates glucose uptake, amino acid uptake, and protein synthesis and inhibits protein degradation by satellite cell-derived myotubes [17]. Also, IGF1 protein and mRNA expression are induced under conditions of increased muscle growth and regeneration [18]. Indeed, Mitchell et al. reported that overexpression of the IGF1 gene in the muscle tissue leads to enhanced muscle growth in chicks [19]. On the other hand, Sun et al. found that GHSR plays an essential role in energy expenditure, food intake, and food conversion rate [20]. Mice lacking GHSR, consume less food, have low food conversion rate, preferentially utilize fat as an energy substrate, and have less fat content and less body weight than the control mice [21]. We hypothesized that the supplementation of either AA or FOS or both improves growth performance by the following two mechanisms: enhancing muscle growth and this action could be partly mediated by IGF1 and its receptor IGF1R, and increasing digestibility and subsequently the food conversion rate and this action may be regulated by GHSR.

As very few investigations about the synbiotics have been undertaken on broilers to date, the trial reported here was conducted to determine the effects of dietary Aspergillus awamori as probiotic and fructooligosaccharide as prebiotic and the combination of them as symbiotic on growth performance, some blood parameters, digestibility, and expression of some growth related-genes of broiler chicks.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Birds and Diets. This experiment was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr Elsheikh University, Egypt.

2.2. Sampling. Body weight was recorded every 3 days and feed intake was recorded daily during the experimental period. At the end of the experimental period, the birds were weighted and slaughtered then dissected to measure the weights of breast muscle, liver, and abdominal fat. Blood samples were collected in heparinized test tubes and quickly centrifuged (3,000 rpm for 20 min) to separate the plasma. Plasma and meat samples were stored at −20°C and −8°C, respectively, until further analysis.

![Table 1: Composition and nutrient analysis of the basal diet.](#)

| Ingredients       | g/kg          |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Corn              | 565.2        |
| Soybean meal, 48% | 300.0        |
| Corn gluten meal, 60% | 60.0        |
| Premix*           | 3.0          |
| Soy oil           | 40.0         |
| Dicalcium phosphate| 18.0         |
| Limestone         | 10.0         |
| Salt              | 3.8          |

Calculated values**

| CP, %     | 21.65 |
| ME, MJ/Kg | 13.17 |
| Crude fibre, % | 3.05 |
| Ether extract, % | 6.6 |
| Ca, %     | 0.89  |
| P, %      | 0.48  |

*Included 3.0 g/kg of vitamin and mineral mix supplied the following per kg of diet: retinyl acetate: 11,000 IU; cholecalciferol: 1810 IU; DL-α-tocopheryl acetate: 10.8 mg; menadione sodium bisulphate: 2 mg; riboflavin: 5.7 mg; pyridoxine hydrochloride: 2 mg; cyanocobalamin: 0.025 mg; nicotinic acid: 27 mg; folic acid: 0.48 mg; pantothenic acid: 13 mg; choline chloride: 252 mg; Mn: 100 mg; Zn: 64 mg; Cu: 5 mg; Se: 0.23 mg; I: 0.5 mg and Co: 0.5 mg.

**According to NRC (2003).
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Figure 1: GHSR gene expression levels in the skeletal muscles of control and treatment groups. (a) Ethidium bromide stained agarose gel of RT-PCR products GHSR gene with size of 533 bp (lane 1: control group and lane 2–4 treatment groups: lane 2, AA group; lane 3, FOS group; lane 4, AA + FOS group) compared to (b) the house-keeping gene, GAPDH, with size of 170 bp. (c) Band intensity was quantified using ImageJ software and the ratio of GHSR to GAPDH was calculated. Mean ratios of six samples of three experiments performed on different samples and data are expressed as the mean ± SEM and are represented on this figure, relative to the mean ratio of the control group. GHSR gene expression levels were significantly higher in treatment groups (AA, FOS, and AA + FOS). ∗∗∗ Significant difference from control group (P < 0.001). C = control group, AA = Aspergillus awamori group, FOS = fructooligosaccharide group, and AA + FOS = combined Aspergillus awamori and fructooligosaccharide group.

Table 2: Effect of using Aspergillus awamori and fructooligosaccharide on growth performances and organ weights in broilers chicks.

| Treatments | ANOVA |
|------------|-------|
| Control    | AA    | FOS  | AA + FOS | AA | FOS | AA × FOS |
| Initial body weight (g) | 320 ± 4 | 321 ± 5 | 320 ± 4 | 319 ± 6 | NS | NS | NS |
| BWG, (g/22 day) | 1569 ± 25b | 1661 ± 21ab | 1624 ± 27ab | 1716 ± 29a | NS | NS | * |
| FI, (g/22 day) | 2924 ± 52a | 2814 ± 63b | 2783 ± 68b | 2734 ± 81f | * | * | ** |
| FCR | 1.86 ± 0.02a | 1.69 ± 0.02b | 1.71 ± 0.3b | 1.59 ± 0.04c | * | * | ** |
| BMW, (g/100 g BW) | 22.9 ± 0.7b | 27.2 ± 0.7a | 25.1 ± 1.0ab | 28.8 ± 1.2a | * | NS | ** |
| Liver, (g/100 g BW) | 2.8 ± 0.2b | 3.3 ± 0.1a | 3.3 ± 0.1a | 3.6 ± 0.1a | NS | NS | ** |
| Abdominal fat, (g/100 g BW) | 1.7 ± 0.05a | 0.9 ± 0.1b | 1.0 ± 0.06b | 0.7 ± 0.05c | * | * | ** |

AA and FOS were added to the basal diet at level of 0.05%. Values are expressed as means ± standard error. Data were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance and Duncan’s multiple range test. Means within a row not sharing a common superscript significantly differ from each other. NS: not significant (P > 0.05); ∗ P < 0.05; ∗∗ P < 0.01. Body weight gain (BWG), feed intake (FI), feed conversion ratio (FCR), and breast muscle weight (BMW).

2.3. Nitrogen Retention, Crude Fiber, and Ether Extract. Utilization coefficients of nutrients were calculated for dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), and ether extracts (EE) by analysing the diets and collecting faces compassion for the last three days of the experiment; excreta were collected and weighted from each bird. Then the samples were dried by the drying oven and grinded. The crude protein, crude fiber, and ether extracts were analysed. The calculations were as follows: nitrogen retention (%) = (total nitrogen intake – total nitrogen excreted)/total nitrogen intake × 100; the same methods were used for all coefficients of nutrients.
Figure 2: IGF1 gene expression levels in the skeletal muscles of control and treatment groups. (a) Ethidium bromide stained agarose gel of RT-PCR products IGF1 gene with size of 583 bp (lane 1: control group and lane 2–4 treatment groups: lane 2, AA group; lane 3, FOS group; lane 4, AA + FOS group) compared to (b) the house-keeping gene, GAPDH, with size of 170 bp. (c) Band intensity was quantified using Image J software and the ratio of IGF1 to GAPDH was calculated. Mean ratios of six samples of three experiments performed on different samples and data are expressed as the mean ± SEM and are represented on this figure, relative to the mean ratio of the control group. IGF1 gene expression levels were significantly higher in treatment groups (AA, FOS, and AA + FOS).

Table 3: Effect of using Aspergillus awamori and fructooligosaccharide on blood lipids in broilers chicks.

| Treatments ANOVA | Control | AA | FOS | AA + FOS | AA | FOS | AA × FOS |
|------------------|---------|----|-----|----------|----|-----|---------|
| TC, mg/dL        | 148 ± 5a| 125 ± 8b| 123 ± 8b| 121 ± 5b| + | + | NS |
| TG, mg/dL        | 28.2 ± 1.4a| 19.7 ± 1.5b| 19.3 ± 2.2b| 19.1 ± 2.0b| + | + | * |
| HDL, mg/dL       | 77 ± 2a| 93 ± 2b| 93 ± 2b| 95 ± 3a| + | + | NS |
| LDL, mg/dL       | 65 ± 6b| 25 ± 8a| 26 ± 8b| 23 ± 5a| + | + | ** |

AA and FOS were added to the basal diet at level of 0.05%. Values are expressed as means ± standard error. Data were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test. Means within a row not sharing a common superscript significantly differ from each other. NS: not significant ($P > 0.05$); $^*$ $P < 0.05$; $^{**}$ $P < 0.01$. Total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high density lipoprotein (HDL), and low density lipoprotein (LDL).

Table 4: Effect of using Aspergillus awamori and fructooligosaccharide on utilization coefficients of nutrients in broilers chicks.

| Treatments ANOVA | Control | AA | FOS | AA + FOS | AA | FOS | AA × FOS |
|------------------|---------|----|-----|----------|----|-----|---------|
| DMD, (%)         | 67.4 ± 6.1a| 75.5 ± 4.1a| 72.7 ± 4.6b| 77.2 ± 4.8a| NS | + | * |
| CPU, (%)         | 66.8 ± 3.1a| 75.4 ± 5.2a| 71.5 ± 4.3b| 75.5 ± 6.3a| + | + | * |
| CFU, (%)         | 62.5 ± 4.2b| 66.3 ± 5.2a| 63.9 ± 4.3ab| 679 ± 4.3a| + | NS | * |
| EEU, (%)         | 56.7 ± 5.3b| 58.4 ± 4.1ab| 59.5 ± 6.2ab| 65.4 ± 3.2a| NS | NS | * |

AA and FOS were added to the basal diet at level of 0.05%. Values are expressed as means ± standard error. Data were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test. Means within a row not sharing a common superscript significantly differ from each other. NS: not significant ($P > 0.05$); $^*$ $P < 0.05$. Dry matter digestibility (DMD), crude protein utilization (CPU), crude fiber utilization (CFU), and ether extract utilization (EEU).
Figure 3: IGF1R gene expression levels in the skeletal muscles of control and treatment groups. (a) Ethidium bromide stained agarose gel of RT-PCR products IGF1R gene with size of 167 bp (lane 1: control group and lane 2–4 treatment groups: lane 2, AA group; lane 3, FOS group; lane 4, AA + FOS group) compared to (b) the house-keeping gene, GAPDH, with size of 170 bp. (c) Band intensity was quantified using ImageJ software and the ratio of IGF1R to GAPDH was calculated. Mean ratios of six samples of three experiments performed on different samples and data are expressed as the mean ± SEM and are represented on this figure, relative to the mean ratio of the control group. IGF1R gene expression levels were significantly higher in treatment groups (AA, FOS, and AA + FOS). ** Significant difference from control group (P < 0.001). C = control group, AA = Aspergillus awamori group, FOS = fructooligosaccharide group, and AA + FOS = combined Aspergillus awamori and fructooligosaccharide group.

2.4. Biochemical Analysis. Total cholesterol level, triglyceride, HDL-C, and LDL-C were measured calorimetrically using commercial kits (Diamond Diagnostics, Egypt) according to the procedure outlined by the manufacturer.

2.5. RT-PCR. Each muscle sample was homogenized and a total RNA was extracted using total RNA purification kit following the manufacturer protocol (Fermentas, K0731, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The extracted total RNA (5μg per sample) was reverse transcribed into cDNA using Revert Aid H minus Reverse Transcriptase and as described by manufacturer (Fermentas, EP0451, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The IGF1 forward primer 5’AGCTGTTCAGATGATGGTTT3’ and reverse primer 5’GCCCAAGCATTCTCTTCTCT3’, IGF1R forward primer 5’TCAACACAACACTGAAGAT3’ and reverse primer 5’ACCATATTCCAGCTATTGGAG3’, GHSR forward primer 5’GTCGCCCTGCGTCTTCCCT3’ and reverse primer 5’ACGGGCAAGAAAAAGGAAAT3’, GAPDH forward primer 5’GTGAAAATCGGAGTCAACGG3’ and reverse primer 5’ACAGTGCCCTTGAGTGC3’ were used to amplify partial clones of IGF1, IGF1R, GHSR, and the house-keeping gene GAPDH, respectively. The PCR was carried out in a reaction volume of 25μL, containing 1.0μL cDNA template (approximately 50 ng), 0.5μL (0.20 mM) dNTP, 2.5μL buffer, 1.5μL (2.5 mM) MgCl2, 1.0μL 10 μmol/L forward primer, 1.0μL 10 μmol/L reverse primer, 0.5μL Taq DNA polymerase (5 U/μL, Fermentas, K1071, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and 17.0μL nuclease free water. Thermal cycling parameters were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of amplification (94°C for 30 s for DNA denaturation, 55–62°C for 40 s annealing temperatures, extension at 72°C for 1 min), and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Following amplification, PCR products were electrophoresed and the level of expression of different bands was analyzed by an ImageJ gel analysis program [22]. This relies on comparing the density of each target gene band of treatment with the corresponding control band relative to positive control GAPDH band.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. The differences between the treatment groups and the control group were analyzed with a General Linear model using SPSS Statistics 17.0 (Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences, released 23 August 2008). Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used to identify which
treatments conditions were significantly different from each other at a significance level of \( P < 0.05 \).

3. Results

Data presented in Table 2 shows the effects of dietary AA and FOS on growth performance of broiler chickens. Either feeding AA or FOS tended to increase body weight gain. And feeding the combination of AA and FOS maximally increased the body weight gain among the four experimental diets. On the other hand, feed intake was decreased in all treatment groups, and thus, feed conversion ratio was improved by feeding AA and FOS. In addition, feeding AA and the combination of AA and FOS increased the breast muscle weight of broiler chicks, while feeding FOS not significantly. Abdominal fat weight was decreased by feeding all treatment groups, while liver weight was not influenced by treatment groups except the combination.

Table 3 shows plasma concentrations of total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), HDL-cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol content. Plasma TC, TC, and LDL were decreased by feeding AA, FOS, and the combination of AA and FOS, while plasma HDL was increased.

Dry matter digestibility (DMD), crude protein utilization (CPU), and crude fiber utilization (CFU) were all improved by feeding AA and FOS, while extract utilization (EEU) was only improved by feeding combination of AA and FOS (Table 4).

Changes in transcription levels of GHSR, IGF1, and IGFIR genes in muscles after treatment in comparison to control group and to the housekeeping gene, GAPDH, are presented in Figures 1, 2, and 3. Expression of the three genes was remarkably upregulated in treatment groups as compared to control group (Figures 1–3). The mRNAs of GHSR and IGFIR were increased by feeding AA and FOS and highly significant by the combination of AA and FOS. However, the increased level of IGF1 mRNA was nearly similar after feeding the three experimental diets.

4. Discussion

The main aim of the present study was to show how the growth performance, digestibility, and protein metabolism can be improved by feeding Aspergillus awamori (AA) and fructooligosaccharide (FOS) and its mechanism in broiler chickens. The combined feeding AA and FOS synergistically promoted the broiler performance. The improvement in weight gain and feed efficiency due to the combination of AA and FOS may be partially due to the increase in metabolic energy of the feed [3] or due to improvement of the survival and implantation of live microbial dietary supplements in the gastrointestinal tract. Those effects are due to activating the metabolism of one or a limited number of health-promoting bacteria or by selectively stimulating their growth, which improved the welfare of the broilers [8]. The fructooligosaccharide does not selectively enrich for beneficial bacterial populations. Instead, it is thought to act by binding and removing pathogens from the intestinal tract and stimulation of the immune system [23].

In this study we have found that body weight and breast muscle weight were increased by AA and FOS. Similarly, Yamamoto et al. have noticed a significant increase in carcass weight and breast muscle weight of broilers after feeding on diets containing 0.05 and 1% of AA [24]. This seems to be due to a growth promoting factor produced by Aspergillus [25]. Guobin et al. noted that the IGF1 is one of the main growth factors that stimulate protein synthesis in muscle tissue [26]. Also, IGFs are important positive modulators of body and muscle growth in mammals and chickens. However, Beccavin et al. reported that IGF1 levels were higher in the fast growing genotype than in the slow growing genotype [27]. The IGF1 gene exerts anabolic actions on skeletal muscle tissue. These actions include stimulation of amino acids uptake and incorporation into protein, uridine and thymidine synthesis into nucleic acid glucose uptake, cell proliferation, and suppression of protein degradations [28]. All IGF1 actions are mediated by its receptor, IGFIR. Therefore, it is likely that feeding on AA and FOS would have a positive effect on expression of IGF1 and its receptor IGFIR. Indeed, the expression of these two genes was remarkably upregulated in treatment groups as compared to control group. This indicates the activation of pathways related to the skeletal muscle protein synthesis. In consistence, Beccavin et al. have found that chickens with high growth rate show higher circulating levels of IGF1 and higher IGF1 mRNA levels as compared to chickens with low growth rate [29].

This supports the hypothesis of Duclos et al. that growth is controlled by a complex interaction of genetic, hormonal, and nutritional factors [30]. In addition, these results support our previous notion that feeding on AA stimulates skeletal muscle growth through decreasing breakdown of their protein [3].

Abdominal fat weight was decreased by feeding AA and FOS. This agrees with Navidshad et al. who found that broilers fed on diets containing Fersmacto, which is a commercial fermentation product at levels of 0.15 and 0.3%, have a significant lower abdominal fat [31]. We suggested that certain microflora present in gastrointestinal tract of a bird impaired the absorption of cholesterol and bile acid. So it is possible that combination of AA and FOS may cause lower absorption and deposition of fat content around the abdomen. Plasma total cholesterol, triglycerides, and LDL-C were decreased, while plasma HDL-C was increased by the combination of AA and FOS. Kim et al. investigated that Aspergillus oryzae at 0.1% in diet significantly lowered serum cholesterol and triglyceride in broiler chickens [32]. The mechanism underlying the cholesterol lowering effect of Aspergillus could be related to an inhibitor of 3-hydroxyl-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme (HMG-CoA) reductase [33, 34]. It is well known that the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, Statin, which was extracted from a fungus, inhibits the rate-limiting step in cholesterol synthesis. Previous studies have noticed a significant decrease in plasma cholesterol in broiler chickens fed on diets containing two levels of probiotic (0.8 and 1 g/kg) [35] and prebiotics and synbiotics [36].

Utilization coefficients of nutrients dry matter (DM), crude protein (CPU), crude fiber (CFU), and ether extracts
The broilers do not produce some enzymes such as cellulase and xylanase which are required for the digestion of soluble nonstarch polysaccharides. These enzymes can be produced by *Aspergillus awamori* [2, 3, 37] and thus we thought that the improved digestibility might be due to feeding on AA. It was reported that exogenous enzymes had potential to improve broiler performance [38]. Furthermore, *Aspergillus awamori* possesses the ability to digest raw starches [39]. In this study, we also found a significant improvement in digestibility after feeding on FOS. This improved digestibility may be due to increased activities of amylase, protease, trypsin, and lipase in the small intestine [12]. Some investigators reported that addition of FOS to diets caused significantly greater fecal lipid excretion [40]. Addition of FOS enhanced the growth of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*, which had the action of precipitation and assimilation with bile salt [41] thus increasing fecal bile acid excretion [40, 42] and decreasing intestinal concentration. Intestinal bile acid has a great impact on the lipid emulsification and the activities of lipase. The growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR) is a candidate gene for food intake and food conversion rate and so its intestinal concentration is. Intestinal bile acid has a great impact on the lipid emulsification and the activities of lipase. The growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR) is a candidate gene for food intake and food conversion rate and so its absence decreases the food conversion rate and subsequently fat content and body weight [19, 21]. In line with these physiological activities, we have observed a remarkable high expression level of GHSR after supplementation of AA and FOS. This elevation is therefore likely to be responsible for, or associated with, improved digestibility and food conversion rate. Further investigations are needed to validate this possibility.

5. Conclusions

We concluded that growth performance and digestibility can be improved by supplementation of both *Aspergillus awamori* and fructooligosaccharide to the broiler diets probably by promoting skeletal muscle protein metabolism.
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