Optically Switchable MeV Ion/Electron Accelerator
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Featured Application: We demonstrate in this letter a laser-based accelerator that switches between generating beams of either multi-MeV electrons or ions by a simple optical manipulation. We analyze its applicability in terms of energy, charge, divergence, and repeatability. The versatility of this accelerator may enable various applications in industry and research, which are presented in the paper.

Abstract: The versatility of laser accelerators in generating particle beams of various types is often promoted as a key applicative advantage. These multiple types of particles, however, are generated on vastly different irradiation setups, so that switching from one type to another involves substantial mechanical changes. In this letter, we report on a laser-based accelerator that generates beams of either multi-MeV electrons or ions from the same thin-foil irradiation setup. Switching from generation of ions to electrons is achieved by introducing an auxiliary laser pulse, which pre-explodes the foil tens of ns before irradiation by the main pulse. We present an experimental characterization of the emitted beams in terms of energy, charge, divergence, and repeatability, and conclude with several examples of prospective applications for industry and research.
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1. Introduction

The invention of chirped pulse amplification [1], for which the 2018 Nobel Prize in Physics was awarded, introduced the era of multi-petawatt lasers [2] and led to new regimes of light–matter interaction. The most striking feature of intense laser interaction with solid targets is the emission of a variety of intense radiation types [3], including electrons, ions, x-rays, and positrons. The relatively small scale of these lasers earned these machines the moniker “tabletop accelerators” and triggered research ranging from small portable machines [4] to large facilities [5] and accelerators at the energy frontier [6].

The relatively small size and cost of laser accelerators is often promoted as their main advantage [7]. Another appealing characteristic is their ability to transport the beam over optical mirrors for most of the way [8] and generate the particle beam close to the interaction point. Consequently, radiation shielding of laser accelerators is simpler than that of conventional accelerators with comparable energies [9].

The ultrashort nature of laser-accelerated particle bunches has also been deemed advantageous for applications [10] and research [11]. This capability is further reflected by the possibility of synchronizing the particle emission with another laser or particle pulse, with sub-ps temporal jitter [12,13].

The lion’s share of laser-particle acceleration research focuses on acceleration of ions and electrons. One distinct experimental difference between these two types of research is
that electron acceleration usually involves gaseous targets, whereas most ion acceleration experiments are conducted by irradiation of solids.

Laser acceleration of ions to MeV level energies was introduced more than 2 decades ago [14,15] with the target-normal sheath acceleration (TNSA) [16] mechanism. Higher laser intensities and improved pulse contrast promoted more robust acceleration mechanisms, such as the breakout afterburner [17], which rely on opaque plasma becoming relativistically transparent, and radiation pressure acceleration [18] in which electrons are compressed to a highly dense layer that in turn accelerates ions.

In all these experiments, the highest energy ions are generated from sub-µm-thick foil targets. Several methods for replenishing such targets at a high rate were devised in the form of, e.g., thin sheets of liquid ethylene glycol [19] or hydrogen, which solidify when injected into vacuum [20], or with automatically positioned [21] micro-machined foil targets [22].

Gas targets are naturally easier to refresh. High-energy ion beams resulting from collisionless shockwaves induced in nearly critical gas targets were demonstrated by either using long-wavelength laser pulses [23] or with very high-density gas [12,24–26]. Tailoring the plasma profile around a solid foil target to enhance the emission of TNSA ions was also recently investigated [27].

Gaseous targets are a common choice for laser generation of high-quality electron beams. For the past 2 decades, the laser wakefield community focused on optimizing the accelerated beam quality for higher particle energy [28], sharper energy spectrum [29], higher charge [30], and improved repeatability.

A few early works identified an electron acceleration technique from solid foil targets, referred to as “the exploding foil method (EXFM)” [31]. With EXFM, low-energy light preceding the main pulse turns the foil into an expanding plume of plasma. Owing to the expansion of the plasma, the electron density falls below the critical value and becomes transparent to the main pulse, which arrives tens of ns later. The main pulse forms self-guided laser wakefield structures [32], which generates ultra-collimated, multi-MeV beams of electrons [33].

Compared to modern wakefield electron acceleration schemes, EXFM seemed non-competitive on maximum energy and a peaked spectrum. Nevertheless, the laser-to-electron energy conversion efficiency of this scheme is unprecedented, making it ideal for generating a large number of photo-nuclear reactions [11,33].

In previous studies, foil targets were exploded by pre-pulses native to the laser system, which could not be manipulated. Here we present a first experimental study in which electron beams are generated with EXFM in an engineered manner, i.e., with an auxiliary controlled pre-pulse. The study was enabled by the pristine intrinsic contrast of our laser system presented in Figure 1. In this letter, we show how by the mere introduction of this pre-pulse (illustrated in red in Figure 1), our setup switches from generation of TNSA ions to generating EXFM electrons.

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 1. The temporal profile of the NePTUN laser system (black), measured with a Sequoia third-order auto-correlator [34]. The $10^{-10}$ background is the diagnostic noise level, forming a lower limit at $t = -50$ ps. The auxiliary pre-pulse is illustrated in red.
2. Experimental Setup

We performed the experiments using the NePTUN 20 TW laser system [35] at Tel Aviv University. A schematic drawing of the setup is shown Figure 2. Laser pulses of 29 fs at reduced energy of 140 mJ were focused using an f/2.5 off-axis parabolic mirror having an effective focal length of 12.7 cm unto 800 nm-thick Au foil targets. A measurement showed 70% of the laser energy to be contained within a circle of 4.1-µm diameter, which corresponds to an intensity of $1.2 \times 10^{19}$ W/cm$^2$. The energy stability of the laser was measured to be 1.3% (RMS). The study relied on our automatic target system [21], which delivered the targets to the laser focus at a rate of 0.2 Hz.

Figure 2. Schematic illustration of the irradiation setup. The main pulse (red) is focused using an off-axis parabolic mirror. The pre-pulse (green) is injected collinearly with the main pulse. Either a magnetic spectrometer or a Thomson parabola ion spectrometer is placed downstream to the generated beam.

We employ the residual energy from the frontend pump laser as the light source for the engineered pre-pulses. These $\lambda = 532$ nm, $E = 30$ µJ, $\tau = 20$ ps laser pulses are optically synchronized with the main pulse. The optical period and pulse duration of these pre-pulses are much shorter than the plasma expansion time, so their exact values should not affect the plasma heating in a significant manner. Our measurements found that 70 percent of the pre-pulse energy was contained within a circle of 8.1 µm diameter, which corresponds to an intensity of $9.3 \times 10^{11}$ W/cm$^2$. The energy stability of the pre-pulse was measured to be 1.5% (RMS). The temporal jitter between the pre- and main-pulses was measured be shorter than 20 ps. These properties correspond to a contrast ratio of $8 \times 10^{-8}$ between the pre- and main-pulses. Before focusing, the pre-pulses are spatially filtered, collimated, and delayed in a variable delay line. A relative delay of 0–90 ns between the pre- and main pulses is achieved using our multi-plane “Cat’s cradle” [36] delay line.

We measured the emitted electron and ion spectra for irradiation with or without auxiliary pre-pulses preceding the main pulse by 4 ns to 30 ns. Electrons were measured using a magnetic spectrometer with a field strength of 0.15 T and an angular acceptance of 0.12 mrad. Ions were measured with a Thomson parabola ion spectrometer (TPIS) with a similar design to that of Morrison et al. [37], operating with an electrode voltage difference of 1 kV and having an angular acceptance of 0.10 mrad. For both spectrometers, spectra were recorded by a charge-coupled device imaging a CsI(Tl) scintillator at the focal plane of the spectrometer. We obtained the absolute charge calibration of the electron spectrometer by acquiring the scintillation signal of a $^{90}$Sr calibration beta emitter placed behind the scintillator, using the same imaging system.

3. Results

Recorded raw spectrograms are shown in Figure 3, for shots with and without a pre-pulse. The results feature two distinct modes of operation: for irradiation without a pre-pulse, the TPIS trace matches an ion beam with proton cut-off energy of more than 1 MeV, while a very low signal is recorded by the electron spectrometer. With pre-explosion
at $t = -22$ ns, a beam of electrons with energies exceeding 3 MeV is recorded, and nearly no ions. The lack of TNSA ions indicates complete destruction of the target.

The analyzed electron (blue) and proton (red) spectra are shown in Figure 4a. The electron spectra were recorded for shots with a relative delay of $t = -22$ ns. The shaded areas represent the standard deviation between 11 consecutive shots for the electrons and 14 consecutive shots for the protons. The electron spectrum recorded on a shot without a pre-pulse is shown in dashed blue. The total electron charge is more than an order of magnitude lower than the proton number and has a cutoff energy of about 1 MeV.

We measured the electron divergence by translating the electron spectrometer across the beam in 7 different positions. The result, presented in Figure 4b, features an average divergence of 10 msr.
4. Discussion

Several potential applications may benefit from this irradiation scheme. Energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX) [38] spectroscopy and particle-induced x-ray emission (PIXE) [39] are two powerful techniques for material analysis. They are widely used in the semiconductor industry [40,41] and in biomedical applications [42,43]. EDX reveals the elemental composition of solid samples, while PIXE resolves ~μm-deep stratigraphic structures. Both methods rely on measuring x-rays emitted from a sample, following its irradiation with particle beams in the keV-to-MeV energy range. Laser-driven EDX was recently demonstrated [44], using a mixed beam of laser-accelerated electrons and protons emitted from irradiated solid foils. Laser-driven PIXE was demonstrated on the same setup, by sweeping out the electrons with a magnetic field. Our acceleration scheme, which would amount to adding the controlled pre-pulse to this setup, can enable EDX with a 1000 times brighter beam of electrons in the MeV range (compare dashed to solid blue curves in Figure 4a). If necessary, removal of these excess electrons using magnetic deflection may be applied to this setup as well. Proton energies of over 3 MeV, which are the requirement for conducting PIXE, may be obtained using a 100 TW-class laser system.

Particle beams in the MeV energy range are also used for conducting non-destructive testing (NDT). Example applications include the investigation of trucks and cargo containers [45] for detecting the contraband of explosives [46], narcotics [47], and special nuclear materials [48]. The use of γ-rays has already reached commercial maturity [49], but the applicability of other beam types is limited by the titanic dimensions of conventional particle accelerators.

With shadowgraphy, the simplest form of NDT, information is revealed by the fraction of particles transmitted through the interrogated sample. Using multiple types of radiation may reveal details that are not obtained by each beam type by itself [50]. We illustrate this idea in Figure 5, which shows the simulated transmission of 10 MeV protons (left) and electrons (right) through a sample of 600 μm thick coaxial cylinders made of Al, W, and Cu.

The simulation was conducted with the FLUKA particle transport simulation code [51]. Figure 5 shows that the Al casts a ~50% shadow on the proton beam, whereas the W and Cu shadows are absolute and indistinguishable. The electron beam, however, reveals a difference between the two heavier metals, but the Al is nearly 100% transparent.

For nuclear physics research, the ability to switch between beams of electrons and ions within >1 Hz may be applied to study A(Z(p,x)) reactions, on isotopes with O (1 s) lifetimes. A sample of long-lived A+1(Z isotope, e.g., 56Ni, may be irradiated with MeV electrons to induce the 56Ni(γ,n)55Ni reaction by bremsstrahlung. The resulting 55Ni has a half-life of T1/2 = 204 ms [52]. Measurements of the 55Ni(p,γ) reaction, which is important
for determining whether the rp-process bypasses the $^{56}$Ni waiting point [53], may then be made in situ.

5. Conclusions

In this letter, we reported on the applicable aspects of a laser particle acceleration scheme, in which beams of either MeV electrons or ions are chosen by opening or closing an optical shutter. The plasma dynamics governing EXFM has rich dependence on the pre-pulse energy and delay, and on the target material and thickness. One aspect which is important for applications, is the scaling of the electron energy with higher laser intensities and the required pre-pulse parameters. On a Petawatt laser, for example, we generated electron beams with a temperature of $10.5 \text{ MeV}$ by irradiating plastic target foils with laser energy of $E = 90 \text{ J}$, pulse duration of $150 \text{ fs}$ and an intrinsic pre-pulse energy of about $1 \mu \text{j}$ preceding the main pulse by $60 \text{ ns}$ [11]. An investigation of these aspects will be the subject of a future publication.
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