Buckling Analysis of Steel Fiber Column with Different Cross-Section and Boundary Conditions Using Euler-Bernoulli Beam Theory
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Abstract

Nowadays, with the help of developing technology, engineering problems which are difficult to solve have become easily solved in a short time by means of computer software. Certain mathematical algorithms are used in these analysis methods. The mathematical and numerical solution methods created provide a significant solution facility for engineering. In this paper, the buckling analysis of the Euler column model, with elastic boundaries and containing steel fibers, under pressure effect is performed. In the column model, three different sections, which have been produced from four different concrete series, including three different types of fiber reinforced specimens and one non-fibrous control sample(C) with 0.6% by volume, were analyzed by using a software. In the study, the analysis of the critical buckling values depends on length, elastic modulus and cross-sectional type of the column model has been performed. The results are shown in graphs and tables. With the results of the analysis, the effect of slenderness and steel fiber concrete on the critical load in pressure columns have been investigated.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, with the developing technology, the results obtained from the engineering point of view are closer to reality. Analysis that are difficult to calculate are solved in a much shorter time with the help of computers and thus, it becomes easier to obtain different solution methods. In the light of these developments, various approaches have been presented to investigate the buckling behavior of structural elements under axial load. Due to various modelling, design and analysis difficulties with the finite element method, which is a method used for analyzing buckling behavior of structural elements in civil engineering, it is needed to use computer programs. At the beginning of the modelling and analysis process, Euler said that the pressure columns have been not only crushed but they also have stability problems [1]. Euler pioneered the researchers in analytical analysis of elastic columns and since the first study has been carried out in this area in 1744, the analysis has been called Euler load [2]. After Euler’s first step for stability analysis, many researchers have contributed to the modelling of structural elements closer to reality. A.N. Dinnik [3] has designed the variable cross-section type of the column and...
beam elements, which is pinned from one end, clamped on the other end. And he examined the buckling behavior of them.

J.B. Keller [4] has studied the mechanical behavior of the circular cross-section and equilateral triangular section column and obtained results for the nonlinear buckling problem. Mechanical behaviors for similar Euler columns have been examined by I. Tadjbakhsh & J.B. Keller, [5] and J.E. Taylor, [6], and exact results have been obtained for different boundary conditions. S. Timoshenko [7] has contributed to the realistic results by adding slip and rotational momentum factors in the beam to the Euler-Bernoulli theory. Lee et al. [8-11] on the buckling loads, have made studies suitable for use in design. Q. Li, H. Cao, & G. Li, [12], L. Qiusheng, C. Hong & L. Guiqing [13] and Q. Li, H. Cao, & G. Li, [14], in their work, using Bessel functions and super geometric functions, gave the flexural formulation of elastic columns with variable cross-section. He has stated that the axial load and bending stiffness distribution of the high structures are appropriately described. Kim and Kim [15] have presented a method of calculating the vibration frequency of beams in boundary conditions created by using the Fourier series, which is generally restrained and non-classical limiting methods of rotation and cycle springs.

M.T. Atay & S.B. Coşkun [16], S.B. Coşkun & M.T. Atay [17] have formed a column model with variable section and an elastically constrained along the upper and lower end and performed stability analysis with the Variational Iteration Method (VIM). K.V. Singh & G. Li [18] in their study, they have created mathematical modelling of the Transcendental Eigenvalue Problem (TOE) using the previously proposed NEIM (numerical algorithm) numerical algorithm of axially graded and elastically constrained columns. He has performed a buckling analysis. The researchers have used many analytical and numerical methods to model column and beam elements and compared their results with experimental results or to each other [19-33], similar to the approaches used in the column elements have been also studied in the beam elements [34-41].

Gül and Aydoğlu [42], in their study, beams on elastic foundation have examined in terms of the wave number vibrations with Euler-Bernoulli and Timoshenko beam theories using Hamilton’s Principle. In the study by Y. Zhang et al. [43], using the kp-Ritz method for numerical solutions in buckling analysis, have been investigated the graphene layers in which the elastic medium has been modelled by the Winkler method. M.Ö. Yaylı [44] modelled the Euler column, which is bounded along its length and has different boundary conditions at its end points. In this numerical modelling, Stokes' transformations and Fuurier series have been used to create the coefficients matrix.

In this study, column model which is investigated by M.Ö. Yaylı [44] has been created by using Euler's column theory. Firstly, the equation of the well-known Euler-Bernoulli beam theory in the literature is given under axial loads. Fourier sine series of displacement function is chosen to obtain analytical general solutions by M.Ö. Yaylı [44]. Elasticity modules for Euler-Bernoulli column type structural elements with an external load applied at the upper and lower ends of the column with different boundary conditions have been calculated experimentally. In three different column sections produced from four different concrete series as, F30, F35, F50 fiber reinforced concrete and non-fibrous (C) sample, buckling analysis by using Mathematica program is made by taking the weight of the column element into account.

2. Numerical Modelling of the Column to be Analyzed

Fig. 1 shows the Euler column model which is elastically limited along the length of the column and has different boundary conditions. Government equation for buckling Timoshenko and Gere [45] and Wang et al. [46]:

331
\[
\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[ EI \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} \right] + P \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + k_w y = 0
\]  

(1)

In the equation, EI is the bending stiffness, P is the axial load applied from the column end points, \(k_w\) is the elastic limitation coefficient known as Winklers' constant applied for each unit length along the column length. M.Ö. Yaylı [44] in his study, obtained a matrix of coefficients representing the column model with a study of Stokes' transformations for the development of stability equations which limited the lateral displacements of the Fourier sinus series. With this approach, it was stated that the buckling analysis of the Euler columns would yield closer results to the truth under different boundary conditions.

**Fig. 1.** An elastically restrained Euler column model.

Displacement function to apply Stokes' transformation:

\[
y(x) = \begin{cases} 
\delta_0 & x = 0 \\
\delta_L & x = L \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \sin \left( \frac{n\pi x}{L} \right) & 0 < x > L 
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

The Stokes transform are obtained using the displacement function and the Fourier series by M.Ö. Yaylı [44];

\[
y(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha_n (-1)^n (\delta_L (P-EI\alpha_n^2) + EI\delta_L''') + \delta_0 (EI\alpha_n^2 - P - EI\delta_0''')} {L (EI\alpha_n^2 + k_w - P\alpha_n^2)} \sin \left( \frac{n\pi x}{L} \right)
\]  

(3)

Four homogeneous equations are obtained by applying the boundary [44]:

- Boundary conditions:

\[
K_0 \delta_0 = -EI \frac{d^3 y(x)}{dx^3}, \quad x = 0
\]  

(4)

\[
K_L \delta_L = -EI \frac{d^3 y(x)}{dx^3}, \quad x = L
\]  

(5)

\[
\theta_0 \frac{dy(x)}{dx} = EI \frac{d^2 y(x)}{dx^2}, \quad x = 0
\]  

(6)

\[
\theta_L \frac{dy(x)}{dx} = -EI \frac{d^2 y(x)}{dx^2}, \quad x = L
\]  

(7)
Homogeneous equations:

\[
(\tilde{K}_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n^2 \pi^2 K_w}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}) \frac{\delta_0}{L^2} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n^2 (-1)^n \pi^2 K_w}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w} \right) \frac{\delta_L}{L^2} + (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(\Delta K_l - \tilde{P}_b n^2 \pi^2)}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}) \frac{\delta_0}{L^2} + (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(\Delta K_l - \tilde{P}_b n^2 \pi^2)}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}) \frac{\delta_L}{L^2} = 0
\]

Where

\[
\theta_0 = \frac{\theta_0 L}{E_l}, \quad K_0 = \frac{K_0 L^3}{E_l}, \quad K_l = \frac{K_l L^3}{E_l}, \quad K_w = \frac{K_w L^4}{E_l}, \quad P_b = \frac{P_l L^2}{E_l}
\]

Equations are written in matrix form M.Ö. Yaylı [44]:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi_{11} & \psi_{12} & \psi_{13} & \psi_{14} \\
\psi_{21} & \psi_{22} & \psi_{23} & \psi_{24} \\
\psi_{31} & \psi_{32} & \psi_{33} & \psi_{34} \\
\psi_{41} & \psi_{42} & \psi_{43} & \psi_{44}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta_0 \\
\delta_L \\
\delta_0'' \\
\delta_L''
\end{bmatrix}
= 0
\]

The eigenvalue system gives the critical buckling load M.Ö. Yaylı [44].

\[
|\psi_{ij}| = 0, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)
\]

Where

\[
\psi_{11} = -\tilde{K}_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n^2 \pi^2 K_w}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}, \quad \psi_{12} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n^2 (-1)^n \pi^2 K_w}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}
\]

\[
\psi_{12} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(\Delta K_l - \tilde{P}_b n^2 \pi^2)}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}, \quad \psi_{14} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(\Delta K_l - \tilde{P}_b n^2 \pi^2)}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}
\]
$\psi_{21} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n^2(-1)^n K_w \pi^2}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$, \hspace{1cm} $\psi_{22} = -K_l - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n^2 K_w \pi^2}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$

(17)

$\psi_{23} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^n (K_w - P_b n^2 \pi^2)}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$, \hspace{1cm} $\psi_{24} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(K_w - P_b n^2 \pi^2)}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$

(18)

$\psi_{31} = \dot{\theta}_0 + 2\theta_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_w}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$, \hspace{1cm} $\psi_{32} = -\dot{\theta}_0 + 2\theta_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n K_w}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$

(19)

$\psi_{33} = -1 - 2\theta_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$, \hspace{1cm} $\psi_{34} = 2\theta_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \pi^2 (-1)^n}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$

(20)

$\psi_{41} = -\dot{\theta}_l + 2\theta_l \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n K_w}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$, \hspace{1cm} $\psi_{42} = \dot{\theta}_l + 2\theta_l \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_w}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$

(21)

$\psi_{43} = 2\theta_l \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \pi^2 (-1)^n}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$, \hspace{1cm} $\psi_{44} = -1 - 2\theta_l \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{n^4 \pi^4 - P_b n^2 \pi^2 + K_w}$

(22)

3. Buckling Analysis of the Modelled Column

In this section of the study, the figures given in Fig. 2, were selected for the sections of the column model to be analyzed. The cross-sectional features may vary according to the analysis.

Fig. 2. Rectangular area, Tee section, Angle section, respectively.

The values of the elastic modulus calculated from the stress-strain graph obtained under 28 days pressure loading of each cylinder samples which has dimension of 10x20 cm are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Elasticity modulus values depending on the type of steel fiber obtained as a result of the experiment.

| Elasticity Module (Gpa) | C    | F30  | F35  | F50  |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|
|                         | 42.56| 39.32| 36.82| 40.97|

3.1 The effect of the rotation coefficient on the critical load

The cross-sectional dimensions of the above column are used for the column to be analyzed. In the analysis, the modulus of elasticity was chosen as $E=42560 \frac{N}{mm^2}$ column length $L=3300$ mm. 200 terms were used in Stokes' transformations to provide the boundary condition of the
Furier series. The number of terms is valid only for this analysis. The following cross-sectional measurements were used in the analysis: Rectangular area; b=600 mm, h=300 mm; Tee section; \( t_f = 200 \text{ mm} \), \( t_w = 200 \text{ mm} \), h=600 mm, b=600 mm; Angle section b=600 mm, t=200 mm, h=600 mm.

| \( \theta_0 = \theta_L \) | \( P_{cr} \) N Rectangular section | \( P_{cr} \) N Tee section | \( P_{cr} \) N Angle section |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.001                   | 5.20935x10^7          | 1.49206x10^8          | 5.96824x10^7          |
| 0.01                    | 5.22832x10^7          | 1.49749x10^8          | 5.98998x10^7          |
| 0.1                     | 5.41618x10^7          | 1.55130x10^8          | 6.20520x10^7          |
| 0.3                     | 5.82171x10^7          | 1.66745x10^8          | 6.66981x10^7          |
| 0.5                     | 6.21136x10^7          | 1.77905x10^8          | 7.11622x10^7          |
| 0.75                    | 6.67711x10^7          | 1.91246x10^8          | 7.64982x10^7          |
| 1.0                     | 7.12036x10^7          | 2.03941x10^8          | 8.15765x10^7          |
| 2.0                     | 8.69193x10^7          | 2.48954x10^8          | 9.95816x10^7          |
| 4.0                     | 1.10729x10^8          | 3.17149x10^8          | 1.26860x10^9          |
| 10.0                    | 1.49022x10^8          | 4.26828x10^8          | 1.70731x10^9          |
| 20.0                    | 1.73554x10^8          | 4.97092x10^8          | 1.98837x10^9          |
| 40.0                    | 1.89774x10^8          | 5.43551x10^8          | 2.17421x10^9          |
| 80.0                    | 1.99054x10^8          | 5.70130x10^8          | 2.28052x10^9          |
| 160.0                   | 2.03995x10^8          | 5.84283x10^8          | 2.33713x10^9          |
| 300.0                   | 2.06370x10^8          | 5.91084x10^8          | 2.36434x10^9          |

Table 3. Critical load values due to non-rotating spring coefficient (\( K_w = 4.9 \)). (b)

| \( \theta_0 = \theta_L \) | \( P_{cr} \) N Rectangular section | \( P_{cr} \) N Tee section | \( P_{cr} \) N Angle section |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 5                       | 1.22341x10^8          | 3.50410x10^8          | 1.40164x10^9          |
| 10                      | 1.51423x10^8          | 4.33706x10^8          | 1.73483x10^9          |
| 15                      | 1.66635x10^8          | 4.77276x10^8          | 1.90911x10^9          |
| 20                      | 1.75805x10^8          | 5.03540x10^8          | 2.01416x10^9          |
| 25                      | 1.81888x10^8          | 5.20964x10^8          | 2.08386x10^9          |
| 30                      | 1.86203x10^8          | 5.33323x10^8          | 2.13329x10^9          |
| 35                      | 1.89418x10^8          | 5.42529x10^8          | 2.17012x10^9          |
| 40                      | 1.91902x10^8          | 5.49644x10^8          | 2.19858x10^9          |
| 45                      | 1.93878x10^8          | 5.55305x10^8          | 2.22122x10^9          |
| 50                      | 1.95487x10^8          | 5.59913x10^8          | 2.23965x10^9          |
| 55                      | 1.96822x10^8          | 5.63737x10^8          | 2.25495x10^9          |
| 60                      | 1.97947x10^8          | 5.66960x10^8          | 2.26784x10^9          |
| 65                      | 1.98908x10^8          | 5.69713x10^8          | 2.27885x10^9          |
| 70                      | 1.99739x10^8          | 5.72092x10^8          | 2.28837x10^9          |
| 75                      | 2.00464x10^8          | 5.74168x10^8          | 2.29667x10^9          |
When graphs and tables are examined, it is seen that the values of the spring ($\theta_0$ and $\theta_L$) constant which prevent the rotation of the column ends owing to increase the stiffness of the column ends. This increase is a hyperbolically increasing curve from a clamped support to pinned support behavior. Because of the increase in the values taken by the spring constant after a certain point of rigidity, we can call this column clamped-clamped columns. It can be said that the critical load values are examined according to the spring constant that prevents rotation, i.e. according to the boundary condition of the column. As the spring ($\theta_0$ and $\theta_L$) constant value increased the critical load value increased in the column, likewise as it decreases the critical load decreased. This non-linearly increased critical load reached a maximum critical load point after a certain $\theta_0$ and $\theta_L$ value. At this point, it can be said that both ends of the column are clamped because, as theoretically, the ends are not allowed to rotate.

### 3.2 Critical load change depending on L/d ratio

For the column model, which is calculated according to the L/d ratio in table 1, the values of $A=b.h$, inertial radius $d=\sqrt{I/A}$, $K_L=0.0$, $b=600$ mm, $h=400$ mm were selected for the column model. Modulus of elasticity obtained from the experiment results is $E=42560 \frac{N}{mm^2}$. 150 terms have been used in Stokes transformations to provide the boundary condition of Fourier series. The number of terms is valid only for this analysis. The spring constant values ($\theta_0=0.00000001$, $\theta_L=100000000$) at the column ends are selected as very high or near zero values for the boundary conditions. Thus, the fixed and clamped support model which is required for the column is obtained.
When Table 1 and Fig. 4 are examined, it is seen that increase of L/d ratio causes decrease of critical load value and increase of L/d ratio causes parabolic increase of critical load. Ease of critical load value and increase of L/d ratio causes parabolic increase of critical load. The increase in the L/d ratio is result from an increase in column length or a smaller diameter in the cross-sectional area. Increased column diameter or decreased in height reduces the slenderness and therefore it can be said that there will be collapse caused by crushing instead of buckling in the column, because the column will be crushed before reaching the value of excessive critical buckling load. There are limitations in terms of slenderness in the relevant regulations. As can be seen from the limitation of the regulations, with the increase in the column length or the reduction of the column cross-section, the critical load value decreases and this result gives us information about the fact that there is a limit to making high columns with small sections relation with slenderness.

### 3.3. Critical load change depending on the modulus of elasticity

Table 5 shows the results of the analysis made according to the modulus of elasticity values obtained from the samples in the mixture ratios of different steel fiber previously made for the column model. 3 different cross-sectional types were used in the analysis. These sections are from Fig. 2.;

| L/d   | \( P_{cr} \) Fixed-Fixed N | \( P_{cr} \) Fixed-Clamped N | \( P_{cr} \) Clamped-Clamped N |
|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 25.9807621 | 1.49351×10^8               | 3.06361×10^8                 | 5.97405×10^8               |
| 25.1147367 | 1.59829×10^8               | 3.27853×10^8                 | 6.39316×10^8               |
| 24.2487113 | 1.71449×10^8               | 3.51690×10^8                 | 6.85797×10^8               |
| 23.3826859 | 1.84384×10^8               | 3.78223×10^8                 | 7.37537×10^8               |
| 22.5166605 | 1.98840×10^8               | 4.07877×10^8                 | 7.95362×10^8               |
| 21.6506351 | 2.15066×10^8               | 4.41159×10^8                 | 8.60263×10^8               |
| 20.7846097 | 2.3361×10^8                | 4.78689×10^8                 | 9.33445×10^8               |
| 19.9185843 | 2.54095×10^8               | 5.21219×10^8                 | 1.01638×10^9               |
| 19.0525589 | 2.77719×10^8               | 5.69679×10^8                 | 1.11088×10^9               |
| 18.1865335 | 3.04798×10^8               | 6.25226×10^8                 | 1.21919×10^9               |
| 17.3205081 | 3.36040×10^8               | 6.89312×10^8                 | 1.34416×10^9               |
| 16.4544827 | 3.72344×10^8               | 7.63780×10^8                 | 1.48938×10^9               |
| 15.5884573 | 4.14865×10^8               | 8.51002×10^8                 | 1.65946×10^9               |
| 14.7224319 | 4.65108×10^8               | 9.54064×10^8                 | 1.86043×10^9               |
| 13.8564065 | 5.25063×10^8               | 1.07705×10^9                 | 2.10025×10^9               |
| 12.9903811 | 5.97405×10^8               | 1.22544×10^9                 | 2.38962×10^9               |

Rectangular: \( b=900 \text{ mm}, h=600 \text{ mm}; \)
T section: \( t_f=200 \text{ mm}, t_w=200 \text{ mm}, h=600 \text{ mm}, b=600 \text{ mm}; \)
L section (Angle): \( b=600 \text{ mm}, t=200 \text{ mm}, h=600 \text{ mm}; \)
Spring coefficients:
\( K_0=1000000000, \ K_L=1000000000, \ \bar{\theta}_0=1000000000, \ \bar{\theta}_L=1000000000, \ K_w=50.0 \)
Table 5. Critical load values connected to the E value of different sections with clamped-clamped ends.

| E  | P_{cr} N |
|----|--------|
| 1.62 \times 10^{10} \text{(Rectangular)} | 42560 |
| 40970 | 1.53916 \times 10^{9} |
| 39320 | 1.42202 \times 10^{9} |
| 36820 | 1.33163 \times 10^{9} |
| 3.8667 \times 10^{9} \text{(T section)} | 42560 |
| 40970 | 3.67456 \times 10^{8} |
| 39320 | 3.39485 \times 10^{8} |
| 36820 | 3.17901 \times 10^{8} |
| 1.5467 \times 10^{10} \text{(L section)} | 42560 |
| 40970 | 1.54667 \times 10^{10} |
| 39320 | 1.46951 \times 10^{9} |
| 36820 | 1.35767 \times 10^{9} |

Spring coefficients:

\overline{K}_0 = 100000000, \quad \overline{K}_L = 1000000000, \quad \overline{\theta}_0 = 1000000000, \quad \overline{\theta}_L = 10000000000, \quad \overline{K}_w = 0.0;

Table 6. Critical load values connected to the E value of different sections with pinned-pinned ends.

| E  | P_{cr} N |
|----|--------|
| 1.62 \times 10^{10} \text{(Rectangular)} | 42560 |
| 40970 | 2.74811 \times 10^{9} |
| 39320 | 2.64545 \times 10^{9} |
| 36820 | 2.53891 \times 10^{9} |
| 3.8667 \times 10^{9} \text{(T section)} | 42560 |
| 40970 | 6.55928 \times 10^{8} |
| 39320 | 6.31424 \times 10^{8} |
| 36820 | 6.05994 \times 10^{8} |
| 1.5467 \times 10^{10} \text{(L section)} | 42560 |
| 40970 | 2.62371 \times 10^{9} |
| 39320 | 2.52569 \times 10^{9} |
| 36820 | 2.42398 \times 10^{9} |

Fig. 5. Critical load graphs connected to the Elasticity module in different section types of columns.
When Fig. 5, Table 5, and Table 6. are examined, it is seen that the increase of the modulus of elasticity increases the critical load value linearly.

### 3.4. Critical load value depending on the column length

Table 5 shows the critical load values of 3 different section types in column-length analysis. Spring coefficients for the column model:

\[
\theta_0 = 0.000000001, \quad \theta_L = 0.000000001, \quad K_0 = 10000000, \quad K_L = 10000000 \quad K_w = 50.0.
\]

Modulus of elasticity obtained from the experiment results is \( E = 42000 \frac{N}{mm^2} \). 150 terms were used in the analysis.

Measurements of the sections to be used in the analysis:
- Rectangular: \( b = 600 \, mm, \quad h = 400 \, mm \);
- Tee section: \( t_f = 200 \, mm, \quad t_w = 200 \, mm, \quad h = 600 \, mm, \quad b = 600 \, mm \);
- L section (Angle): \( b = 400 \, mm, \quad t = 200 \, mm, \quad h = 400 \, mm \)

Table 7. Table of critical load change due to column length of different sections with clamped-clamped ends.

| Column Length mm | \( P_{cr} \) N Rectangular Area | \( P_{cr} \) N T section | \( P_{cr} \) N Angle section |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 3000             | 6.56833×10^8                | 7.93673×10^8                | 9.85249×10^8                |
| 3300             | 5.42837×10^8                | 6.55928×10^8                | 8.14256×10^8                |
| 3600             | 4.56134×10^8                | 5.51162×10^8                | 6.84201×10^8                |
| 3900             | 3.88659×10^8                | 4.69629×10^8                | 5.82988×10^8                |
| 4200             | 3.35119×10^8                | 4.04935×10^8                | 5.02678×10^8                |
| 4500             | 2.91926×10^8                | 3.52744×10^8                | 4.37889×10^8                |
| 4800             | 2.56575×10^8                | 3.10029×10^8                | 3.84863×10^8                |
| 5100             | 2.27278×10^8                | 2.74627×10^8                | 3.40917×10^8                |
| 5400             | 2.02726×10^8                | 2.44961×10^8                | 3.04089×10^8                |
| 5700             | 1.81948×10^8                | 2.19854×10^8                | 2.72922×10^8                |
| 6000             | 1.64208×10^8                | 1.98418×10^8                | 2.46312×10^8                |

Fig. 6. Critical load variation due to column length of different sections with clamped-clamped ends.

Table 7. and Fig 6. shows that the effect of column length on critical load is not linear. Increasing the column length causes a hyperbolic reduction of the load capacity as it makes the column delicate.
3.5. Critical load distribution due to modulus of elasticity

Three different cross-sectional types were used in the analyzes. $K_w = 0.0$ is selected spring coefficient for elastic restrained and $L = 3300$ is selected for column length. Table 8 shows critical buckling values depending on the values given. Similarly, Table 9 shows the results of the critical buckling load to be obtained when the $L=3300$, $\bar{K}_w=50.0$, $\bar{P}_b=(P_{cr} \ L^2)/(E \ I)$, $K_0=10000000$, $K_I=10000000$, $\bar{\theta}_0=10000000$, $\bar{\theta}_I=10000000$ values are used for the column model to be analyzed. In the same way, table 8 shows the moment of inertia that is based on section dimensions, elasticity modules and section dimensions. The graph of the critical buckling values found in figure 7 is shown.

Table 8. Critical load distribution table of the different sections connected to the modulus of elasticity with fixed-fixed ends.

| I mm$^4$ dimensions (bxh) mm | sectional | $P_{cr}$ (N) | $E=36820$ N/mm$^2$ | $E=39320$ N/mm$^2$ | $E=40970$ N/mm$^2$ | $E=42560$ N/mm$^2$ |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1,350x10$^9$ (600*300)     |            | 4.50494x10$^7$ | 4.81082x10$^7$    | 5.0127x10$^7$   | 5.2072x10$^7$       |
| 3,200x10$^9$ (600*400)     |            | 1.06784x10$^8$ | 1.14034x10$^8$    | 1.1882x10$^8$   | 1.2343x10$^8$       |
| 6,250x10$^9$ (600*500)     |            | 2.08562x10$^8$ | 2.22723x10$^8$    | 2.32069x10$^8$  | 2.41076x10$^8$      |
| 1,080x10$^9$ (600*600)     |            | 3.60396x10$^8$ | 3.84866x10$^8$    | 4.01016x10$^8$  | 4.16579x10$^8$      |
| 1,715x10$^9$ (600*700)     |            | 5.72295x10$^8$ | 6.11152x10$^8$    | 6.36798x10$^8$  | 6.61512x10$^8$      |
| 2,560x10$^9$ (600*800)     |            | 8.54271x10$^8$ | 9.12274x10$^8$    | 9.50556x10$^8$  | 9.87446x10$^8$      |

Table 9. Critical load distribution table of the different sections connected to the modulus of elasticity with clamped-clamped ends.

| I mm$^4$ dimensions (bxh) mm | sectional | $P_{cr}$ (N) | $E=36820$ N/mm$^2$ | $E=39320$ N/mm$^2$ | $E=40970$ N/mm$^2$ | $E=42560$ N/mm$^2$ |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1,350x10$^9$ (600*300)     |            | 1.98116x10$^8$ | 2.11568x10$^8$    | 2.20446x10$^8$   | 2.29001x10$^8$       |
| 3,200x10$^9$ (600*400)     |            | 4.69609x10$^8$ | 5.01495x10$^8$    | 5.22539x10$^8$   | 5.42818x10$^8$       |
| 6,250x10$^9$ (600*500)     |            | 9.17206x10$^8$ | 9.79482x10$^8$    | 1.02058x10$^9$   | 1.06019x10$^9$       |
| 1,080x10$^9$ (600*600)     |            | 1.58493x10$^9$ | 1.69254x10$^9$    | 1.76357x10$^9$   | 1.83201x10$^9$       |
| 1,715x10$^9$ (600*700)     |            | 2.51681x10$^9$ | 2.68770x10$^9$    | 2.80048x10$^9$   | 2.90917x10$^9$       |
| 2,560x10$^9$ (600*800)     |            | 3.75687x10$^9$ | 4.01196x10$^9$    | 4.18031x10$^9$   | 4.34255x10$^9$       |

(a) Fixed-fixed  
(b) Clamped-clamped

Fig. 7. Critical load distribution table of the different sections connected to the modulus of elasticity.
Table 9. and Fig 7. shows that the increase in the section width leads to an increase in the critical load value. Similarly, the increase in the modulus of elasticity of the material also increased the value of the critical load.

4. Conclusion

In the study, the buckling analysis of the Euler column model with different boundary conditions, which was elastically limited a column model, with the help of Stokes' transformations and Fourier sine series which had been previously proposed by the researchers, was done. In the analysis, elasticity modulus values of the steel fiber reinforced and non-fibrous concrete specimens with different mixing ratios obtained from the experimental results were used. The status of three different sections was examined. The result show that:

- Because of increased column diameter or decrease in the length of the column, the critical buckling load values in the column increased hyperbolically. For this reason, very large critical buckling load values are obtained. This indicates that the crush will occur due to pressure load before a buckling occurs after a certain critical load value in the column. Regarding this, restrictions have been made in terms of delicacy in the regulations. In other words, with the increase in column length or narrowing of the diameter, the critical load value decreases and this result gives us information about the fact that there is a limit to making high columns with small sections.

- Since the value of the modulus of elasticity obtained from the non-fibrous concrete (C) mixture is the highest value, the highest value of the critical load is seen in this sample. Then the greatest critical load values were seen in F50, F30, and F35, respectively.
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