Abstract. Nanocarriers, such as liposomes, have the potential to increase the payload of chemotherapeutic drugs while decreasing toxicity to non-target tissues; such advantageous properties can be further enhanced through surface conjugation of nanocarriers with targeting moieties. We previously reported that SP94 peptides, identified by phage display, exhibited higher binding affinity to human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) than to hepatocytes and other normal cells. Here, we confirm the tumor-targeting properties of SP94 peptide by near-infrared fluorescence imaging. Non-targeted PEGylated liposomal doxorubicin (LD) and SP94-conjugated PEGylated liposomal doxorubicin (SP94-LD) were compared by assessing pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, and antitumor efficacy in xenograft-bearing mice, in order to investigate the effectiveness of SP94-mediated targeting for cancer therapy. SP94-LD demonstrated a significant increase in drug accumulation in tumors, while its plasma residence time was the same as its non-targeted equivalent. Consistent with this result, conjugation of targeting peptide SP94 enhances the therapeutic efficacy of liposomal doxorubicin in mouse models with hepatocellular carcinoma xenografts. Furthermore, combination therapy exhibited a significant enhancement against orthotopic tumor growth, and markedly extended the survival of mice compared with all other treatments. Our study shows that SP94-mediated targeting enhances antitumor efficacy by improving tumor pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution, allowing large amounts of antitumor drugs to accumulate in tumors.

Introduction

Lipid nanoparticles have been widely used as pharmaceutical carriers to increase the efficacy of chemotherapeutics (1). These drug carriers are expected to passively accumulate in tumors with leaky vasculature, via the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect (2). One successful example is the reformulation of doxorubicin encapsulated into PEGylated liposomes. Doxorubicin is an anthracycline widely used in the treatment of various cancers, including metastatic breast cancer, ovarian cancer, and AIDS-related Kaposi’s sarcoma; however, its efficacy is limited by its toxicity. Liposomal formulation enhances the therapeutic index of anticancer drugs, either by improving the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles, or by decreasing the exposure of normal host tissues.

In order to improve the specificity of nanocarriers, targeting ligands may be attached to their surface via a PEG spacer arm. The targeting ligands, which are attached to the distal end of the spacer arm on the surface of nanocarriers, facilitate access of the carrier to the targeted site of interaction (3). Delivery of nanoparticle involving the use of peripherally-conjugated targeting moieties is known as active targeting. Active targeting is a promising tool for the treatment of cancer due to its ability to increase therapeutic effectiveness and reduce potential side effects. Active tumor targeting has been achieved using various targeting ligands, including antibodies (4) and their molecular fragments (5), nucleic acids aptamers (6), and small molecules: vitamins (7,8), peptides (9-12), and carbohydrates (13). The targeted form of PEGylated liposomal doxorubicin is expected to become a major part of the next generation of this therapeutic modality.

The SP94 peptide (SFSIIHTPILPL), a targeting ligand isolated from phage-displayed selections (10,14), was reported to possess high and specific affinity for various human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines, and exhibit minimal interaction with healthy hepatocytes and other tissues (10,14). At the present time, the antigen recognized by SP94 has not been identified, but it has been immunohistochemically characterized. SP94 can bind to tumor cells in surgical specimens of hepatocellular carcinoma, but not to their normal...
counterparts. The unknown target molecule recognized by SP94 was reported to be expressed in ~60% of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (10).

In recent years, additional tumor-targeted delivery platforms modified with SP94 peptide are also being developed, including mesoporous silica nanoparticle-supported lipid bilayers (protocells) (14,15), bacteriophage MS2 virus-like particles (VLPs) (16) and HCC targeting probe \(^{99m} \text{Tc}\)Re-HYNIC-SP94 for imaging and therapy (17). Multivalent binding of SP94 peptide results in a 10,000-fold greater avidity for human hepatocellular carcinoma than for hepatocytes, endothelial cells, peripheral blood mononuclear cells, B-lymphocytes, or T-lymphocytes (14). Exquisite targeting specificity of SP94 peptide combined with enhanced tumor delivery of multicomponent cargos permits sensitive discrimination between target and normal tissue. Thus, SP94 peptide is an ideal model with which to investigate the mechanism of active tumor targeting.

The potential of SP94 in drug delivery was subsequently evaluated using SP94-conjugated, doxorubicin-encapsulated liposomes. It was previously shown that SP94-LD is more effective than non-targeted LD in treating mice with xenografts of human hepatocellular carcinoma (10). SP94-targeted PEGylated liposomal doxorubicin (SP94-LD) is believed to accumulate around cancerous tissue (via the EPR effect) and bind to the cancer cell surface, then being internalized by ligand-mediated endocytosis (via active targeting effect) (18). However, the role of active targeting in nanoparticle delivery is controversial, and it is difficult to predict how a targeted nanoparticle drug will behave in vivo. Herein, we confirm the mechanisms underlying the enhanced cellular uptake of SP94-modified nanoparticles, and moreover, investigate the contribution of SP94 peptide during the cellular internalization of SP94-targeted nanoparticles.

To date, the only chemotherapeutic agent to exert a survival benefit in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma is sorafenib (19,20). In order to evaluate the feasibility of introducing SP94-targeted nanomedicine into clinical trials against liver cancer, we examined the pharmacokinetic profile, biodistribution, and in vivo antitumor activity of SP94-targeted nanomedicine against hepatocellular carcinoma, and compared these properties to those of free drugs and non-targeted liposomal drugs. Furthermore, we found synergistic/additive growth inhibition by combination of doxorubicin and vinorelbine in HCC cell lines in vitro and in vivo. For in vivo evaluation, we developed an orthotopic hepatocellular carcinoma model to recapitulate the tumor growth pattern observed in liver cancer patients, and used this model to study the influence of the liver microenvironment on response to the combination therapy.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and cell culture. The Mahlavu and SK-HEP-1 human hepatocellular carcinoma lines were used in this study. The cell lines were maintained in DMEM and 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\) in air.

Peptide synthesis and labeling. Targeting SP94 (SFSIIHTPILPL) peptides were synthesized and purified by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography to >95% purity by the Peptide Synthesis Core Facility, Institute of Cellular and Organismic Biology, Academia Sinica. The predicted mass was confirmed by mass spectrometry.

Synthesis of peptide-PEG-DSPE conjugates. A total of 8.5 mg of NHS-PEG-DSPE [N-hydroxysuccinimido-carboxy]-polyethylene glycol (MW,3400)-derived diesterophilophosphatidyl ethanolamine (NOF Corp.) dissolved in 0.25 ml of dichloromethane (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to 0.25 ml of DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 3.1 mg of peptide. This was then mixed with 0.011 ml of triethylamine (Sigma-Aldrich) to catalyze the reaction. The stoichiometric molar ratio of peptide and NHS-PEG-DSPE was 1:1.1. The reaction was carried out for 72 h at room temperature. The peptide-PEG-DSPE conjugates were purified by dialysis with a 2-kDa cut-off membrane (Spectrum), and were then dried through lyophilization.

Preparation of peptide-liposomal drugs. A lipid film hydration method was used to prepare PEGylated liposomes composed of diesterophilophosphatidylglycerol, cholesteryl, and mPEG2000-DSPE, which were then used to encapsulate doxorubicin (3:2:0.3 molar ratio) or vinorelbine (3:2:0.15 molar ratio). The lipid films were hydrated at 60°C in 250 mM ammonium sulfate or 300 mM ammonium salts of 5-sulfosalicylic acid solution, and were extruded through polycarbonate membrane filters with a pore size of 0.1 μm using high-pressure extrusion equipment (Lipex Biomembranes, Vancouver, BC, Canada) at 55°C. Doxorubicin or vinorelbine were encapsulated by a remote loading method, at concentrations of 1 mg or 3.5 mg per 10 μmol of phospholipid, respectively. The final concentration of liposome was estimated by phosphate assay. The peptide-PEG-DSPE was subsequently incorporated into pre-formed liposomes by co-incubation at 60°C, the transition temperature of the lipid bilayer, for 0.5 h with gentle shaking. Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) gel filtration chromatography was used to remove released free drug, unconjugated peptides, and unincorporated conjugates. Doxorubicin concentrations in the fractions of eluent were determined by measuring fluorescence at λEx/Em = 485/590 nm using a spectrofluorometer (Spectra Max M5, Molecular Devices). Vinorelbine concentrations were determined using the HPLC method.

Bacteriophage preparation and labeling. M13 bacteriophages were amplified in Escherichia coli, and phage titers were determined according to published procedures. Following titer determination, the bacteriophages were simultaneously labeled with succinimidyld esters of HiLyte Fluor™ 750 using a modified procedure (21,22). Briefly, HiLyte Fluor 750 succinimidyld ester was added to M13 bacteriophage in 100 μM bicarbonate buffer, pH 8.3. The resulting solution was incubated for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Following incubation, the labeled bacteriophage was precipitated by addition of a PEG-8000/2.5 M NaCl solution, and then left to stand on ice for 30 min. The bacteriophage was pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 15 min. After removal of the supernatant, the pellet was resuspended in DBPS buffer. Typical dye labeling using this procedure resulted in 400-500 copies of each dye per bacteriophage particle.
In vivo near-infrared fluorescence imaging. A total of 4x10^{11} pfu of HiLyte Fluor 750-labeled PC94 phage was diluted in 100 µl saline solution. The saline solution was injected i.v. into mice bearing subcutaneous Mahlavu tumors. A control solution of 4x10^{11} pfu of the HiLyte Fluor 750-labeled control phage was injected into NOD.CB17-Prkdc^scid/J mice bearing subcutaneous Mahlavu tumors. In vivo imaging was performed using a Xenogen IVIS® Imaging System 200. The animal was imaged at 0.1, 0.5, 6, 24, and 48 h post-injection using an Indocyanine Green (ICG) Filter set (excitation 710-760 nm, emission 810-875 nm). Organs were dissected and imaged 48 h after injection of conjugate.

Animal model for in vivo targeting assay. The dorsolateral flanks of severe combined immunodeficient mice, NOD.CB17-Prkdc^scid/J (4-6 weeks old), were injected s.c. with 5x10^{6} Mahlavu or SK-HEP-1 cells. Tumors were measured with calipers, and mice were weighed twice weekly. The tumor volumes were calculated using the following formula: length x (width)^2 x 0.52. All animals were cared for in a specific pathogen-free room and treated in accordance with the animal care protocol approved by the Academia Sinica Animal Committee (approval no. 11-06-190).

Quantitative analysis. Plasma, brain, heart, lung, liver, kidney, or tumor samples were processed using the extraction procedure, and then analyzed using the method described by Laginha et al. (23). Result concentrations were determined relative to the respective calibration curves.

Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL). Frozen tumor tissue sections were incubated with TUNEL reaction mixture (Roche Diagnostics) at 37°C for 1 h. The slides were counterstained with Hoechst 33258 (Molecular Probes) and mounted with mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). The slides were then visualized under a fluorescent microscope. The sections were analyzed using automated cell acquisition (TissueGnostics), and TUNEL-positive areas were quantified using MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices).

CD31 staining. The frozen tumor tissue sections were fixed with methanol/aceton (1:1), washed with PBS, and immersed in blocking buffer (1 % bovine serum albumin in PBS), followed by incubation with rat anti-mouse CD31 (BD Pharmingen). The sections were washed with PBST0.1 (0.1 % Tween-20 in PBS), and then incubated with rabbit anti-rat antibody (Stressgen) and immersed in rhodamine-labeled goat anti-rabbit antibody solution (Jackson ImmunoResearch). The slides were counterstained with Hoechst 33258, mounted with mounting medium, and visualized under a fluorescent microscope.

Complete blood count. Blood was collected by venipuncture of the right submandibular vein of unanesthetized mice with a lancet. Samples were drawn into plastic K2 EDTA blood-drawing tubes. Complete blood counts were performed using the Abbott CELL-DYN 3700 Hematology Analyzer (Abbott Diagnostics, Abbott Park, IL, USA). The parameters assessed were as follows: white blood cell (WBC) count, neutrophil absolute count (NEU), lymphocyte absolute count (LYM), red blood cell/erythrocyte count (RBC), hemoglobin concentration (HGB), hematocrit (HCT), red cell distribution width (RDW), platelet/thrombocyte (PLT), mean platelet volume count (MPV), plateletcrit (PCT), neutrophil percentage (NEU %), lymphocyte percentage (LYM %), monocyte percentage (MONO %), monocyte absolute count (MONO), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), and platelet distribution width (PDW).

Drug combination study. Mahlavu cells were seeded in 96-well plates and allowed to attach overnight. Cells were treated in 96-well format in triplicate for each drug combination treatment, and viability was assessed after 3 days of treatment using the MTT-based assay. The cytotoxicity of each drug and of their combinations was assessed by drug response matrix using the Combenefit software (24). Loewe synergy score and HSA synergy score were calculated and plotting by SynergyFinder software (25).

Orthotopic implantation of human hepatocellular carcinoma in mice. NOD.CB17-Prkdc^scid/J mice were used for HCC implantation. SK-HEP-1 cells were infected with Lentivirus containing the luciferase gene. The mice were anesthetized via i.p. injection of Avertin, 2.2,2-Tribromethanol (Sigma Chemical Co.), at a dose of 250 mg/kg. Prior to orthotopic implantation, a 1-cm laparotomy was performed, and orthotopic human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) was established by intrahepatic injection of 10^{5} SK-HEP-1-Luc cells (luciferase-expressing cells) suspended in 30 µl DMEM into the left liver lobe. Post-injection bleeding and tumor cell escape were avoided by short-term local compression. The abdomen was closed using an absorbable 5-0 vicryl suture, and the skin was closed with a 5-0 prolene suture. For orthotopic therapeutic studies, implanted mice were treated with different formulations of anticancer drugs. Tumor progression was monitored by bioluminescence quantification. Mouse body weight and survival rate were measured. Animal care was carried out in accordance with the guidelines of Academia Sinica, Taiwan. The experimental protocols were approved by the Academia Sinica Institutional Animal Care and Utilization Committee (approval no. 11-06-190).

Data analysis. All data were expressed as the mean ± standard error (SEM) of at least 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance was assessed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test with P<0.05 considered to be statistically significant. Non-compartmental pharmacokinetic analysis of plasma concentrations versus time was performed with pharmacokinetic parameters using WinNonlin software version 5.2 (Pharsight, Mountain View, CA, USA).

Results

Ultrastructural analysis of in vitro trafficking of lipid nanoparticles. Intracellular trafficking is a determining factor in the therapeutic efficiency of nanoparticle-based drug delivery. In the present study, transmission electron microscopy was used to investigate the mechanism of cellular uptake of SP94-modified liposomes. TEM images of SK-HEP-1 cells
incubated with SP94-LD or LD revealed that liposomes were internalized by vesicular transport, and partially escaped to the cytosol at the perinuclear region at 37˚C (Fig. 1A and B). After SP94-LD exposure, SK-HEP-1 cells exhibited numerous coated pit structures (Fig. 1C and D) and endocytotic vesicles in the cell membrane and cytoplasm (Fig. 1E-I). In contrast, few endocytotic vesicles were observed at the same magnification in cells incubated with LD (Fig. 1B). Analysis of the TEM images revealed that cells incubated with SP94-LD showed a high amount of internalized vesicles (Fig. 1J-M). The total vesicle area per SK-HEP-1 cell for SP94-LD was ~8.8-fold greater than that for LD (Fig. 1M).

Tumor accumulation and retention of near-infrared, fluorochrome-labeled, HCC-targeted phage. Molecular imaging plays a critical role in oncological drug development, as stated in the FDA’s Critical Path Initiative documents (26). To date, no molecular imaging method has been shown to accurately detect, characterize, or monitor the response of HCC to treatment. Labeling of phages with a near-infrared fluorescence tag enables the distribution of the phages to be efficiently tracked in vivo. We evaluated the in vivo distribution of PC94 phage using a previously described optical imaging method (22). Mice bearing subcutaneous Mahlavu tumors were intravenously injected with HiLyte Fluor 750-labeled PC94 phage or control phage, and phages were monitored at 0.1 and 0.5 h at the initial stages, and again at 6, 24, and 48 h post-injection. Fig. 2A shows representative near-infrared images taken at 0.1, 0.5, 6, 24 and 48 h post-injection from two subjects. Mice injected with HiLyte Fluor 750-labeled PC94 phage exhibited higher fluorescent signals in tumors, as compared to tumors in animals injected with control phage (Fig. 2A and B).

We proceeded to further evaluate the distribution profiles of PC94 phage in mice. The accumulation of phages in Mahlavu tumor peaked at ~24 h and then decreased gradually, but >80% of the peak level was retained in the tumor by 48 h (Fig. 2B). The organ accumulation of phages in Mahlavu tumors was evaluated by near-infrared fluorescence imaging after sacrifice at 48 h post-injection, revealing that the SP94 peptide enhanced accumulation in tumor sites, but not healthy organs including brain, heart, lung, liver, kidney, pancreas, spleen and intestine (Fig. 2C and D).

Comparison of the pharmacokinetic and biodistribution profiles of SP94-LD and LD. For pharmacokinetic analysis, SP94-LD and LD were administered to NOD.CB17-Prkdcscid/J mice at matched 2 mg doxorubicin/kg by tail vein injection. Blood samples were withdrawn at selected time-points, and were examined for doxorubicin content using a validated fluorescent quantitative method. The blood profiles of both
SP94-LD and LD were similar throughout the study, declining progressively over time (Fig. 3A). The time course of SP94-LD and LD accumulation in tumor and various organs are shown in Fig. 3B and C. The maximum total doxorubicin concentration in tumor was 1.53±0.47 µg doxorubicin/g tumor, which occurred at 24 h after SP94-LD administration; 1.01±0.18 µg/g remained at 72 h (Fig. 3B). Maximum tumor accumulation of LD at 2 mg/kg (1.03±0.69 µg/g) occurred at 48 h post-injection, and gradually decreased with time to 0.89±0.69 µg/g at 72 h post-treatment. At 24 h, tumor accumulation of SP94-LD was 1.6-fold higher than that of LD. In contrast, distribution of SP94-LD in all non-malignant tissues was similar to that of LD at all time-points (Fig. 3C). The tumor doxorubicin AUC0-72 for SP94-LD was 81.75 µg h/g and the LD AUC0-72 was 58.23 µg h/g, representing a 1.4-fold increase in doxorubicin AUC0-72 when conjugated to SP94.

Intracellular distribution and accumulation of doxorubicin. To determine the amount of bioavailable drug in tumor cells, we performed whole body perfusion through the left ventricle of the heart with DPBS before analyzing biodistribution. This operation can eliminate blood and liposomes remaining in vessels and the interstitial space of tumors. After whole body perfusion, the tumor mass, brain, heart, lung, liver, and kidneys were harvested, and doxorubicin was quantified. We used intracellular accumulation of doxorubicin as an indicator of bioavailability of the liposomal drug. SP94-LD and LD were administered to tumor (SK-HEP-1)-bearing mice at matched 1 mg doxorubicin/kg by i.v. injection. The doxorubicin levels were measured in the blood at different time-points using a fluorescent quantitative method. The blood profiles of both SP94-LD and LD were similar (Fig. 4A). Tumor uptake of SP94-LD at 1 mg/kg gradually increased, before peaking at 0.99±0.24 µg/g at 24 h post-injection, while 0.70±0.13 µg/g remained at 48 h (Fig. 4B). Maximum tumor uptake of LD at 1 mg/kg (0.55±0.11 µg/g) occurred 24 h post-injection, and experienced almost no change over time, remaining at 0.55±0.19 µg/g at 48 h post-injection. At 24 h, a 1.79-fold higher uptake of SP94-LD was observed as compared to LD. The tumor doxorubicin AUC0-48 for SP94-LD was 34.45 µg h/g and the LD AUC0-48 was 21.27 µg h/g, representing a 1.62-fold increase in doxorubicin AUC0-48 when conjugated to SP94. The uptake of both drugs in most non-malignant tissues was low at 1 h post-injection; uptake of both drugs by liver and kidney gradually increased by 24 h, and then slowly declined thereafter (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, the retention of SP94-LD in liver and kidney were lower than that of LD at 24 h post-injection, although these differences were not statistically significant (P=0.14 and P=0.06 respectively).

Efficacy of SP94-targeted liposomal doxorubicin in hepatocellular carcinoma xenograft models. To evaluate the antitumor efficacy of systemically-administered SP94-LD as compared to LD, NOD.CB17-Prkdcscid/J were inoculated s.c. with SK-HEP-1 tumors. Mice bearing hepatocellular carcinoma xenografts (~100 mm³) were assigned into four groups for different treatments: A, SP94-LD; B, free doxorubicin (FD); C, LD; and D, PBS. Treatments were administered through tail vein injection,
Figure 3. Pharmacokinetic profiles of liposomal doxorubicin conjugated to targeting peptide SP94 in mice bearing hepatocellular carcinoma xenografts. NOD.CB17-Prkdc<sup>-<s>−/−</s></sup>J mice bearing SK-HEP-1 tumors (four mice per time-point) received tail vein injections of either LD or SP94-LD at 2 mg/kg. At selected time-points post injection, mice were euthanized, and whole blood and various organs were excised and analyzed for doxorubicin auto-fluorescence signals. The levels of doxorubicin were quantified with a standard curve generated from the fluorescence emission of known amounts of doxorubicin (N=4). Doxorubicin concentrations in (A) plasma and (B) tumors are shown. (C) Time course of doxorubicin distribution in the indicated organs after i.v. administration of either LD or SP94-LD at 2 mg/kg. Data represent the means ± SEM of total doxorubicin in the brain, heart, lung liver, kidney and tumor.

Figure 4. Conjugation of targeting peptide SP94 to liposomal doxorubicin selectively enhances drug delivery to tumor cells in vivo. NOD.CB17-Prkdc<sup>-<s>−/−</s></sup>J mice bearing SK-HEP-1 tumors (four mice per time-point) received tail vein injections of either LD or SP94-LD at 1 mg/kg. At selected time-points post-injection, mice were euthanized. Various organs were excised after perfusion with PBS, and analyzed for auto-fluorescent doxorubicin signals. The levels of doxorubicin were quantified with a standard curve generated from the fluorescence emission of known amounts of doxorubicin (N=4). Doxorubicin concentrations in (A) plasma and (B) tumors are shown. (C) Tissue concentrations of doxorubicin in mice administered with either LD or SP94-LD at selected time-points after injection.
1 mg/kg every 3.5 days, for eight doses with a total cumulative dose of 8 mg/kg. By day 28, administration of SP94-LD had significantly inhibited tumor growth by 74.6% (P<0.01), whereas treatment with LD and FD inhibited tumor growth by 56.1 (P<0.01) and 11.6% (P>0.05), respectively (Fig. 5A and B), as compared to untreated controls. SP94-LD-mediated inhibition of growth was more significant than that mediated by LD (P=0.013). The SP94-LD and LD groups did not exhibit significant changes in body weight (Fig. 5C) or complete blood counts (Table I) during the treatment period.

Histological analysis of the SK-HEP-1 tumors revealed that FD, LD, and SP94-LD induced apoptosis (Fig. 5D and E), with SP94-LD treatment resulting in the greatest proportion of apoptotic cells (Fig. 5E). The CD31 (angiogenesis) index (Fig. 5F and G) of SP94-LD treated tumors was lower compared to that of tumors treated with FD, LD, or saline.

We have previously observed that SP94-peptide-targeted liposomal doxorubicin (SP94-LD) inhibits the proliferation of small tumors. Nevertheless, in many cancer cases in humans, the tumors are detected when they are large. Thus,
here we examined the activities of FD, LD, and SP94-LD against large tumors. Luciferase activity in live cells of mice bearing hepatocellular carcinoma xenografts was measured after i.v. injection with FD, LD, or SP94-LD (Fig. 6A). We observed a statistically significant reduction of tumor growth after i.v. treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with SP94-LD, as compared with tumors in untreated and FD or LD-treated mice (Fig. 6A and B). SP94-LD significantly inhibited tumor growth as evidenced by a 91.47% reduction in luminescence (P<0.01), whereas treatment with LD reduced luminescence by 96.01% (P<0.01) compared with untreated controls. SP94-LD inhibition of growth was more significant than that by LD (P=0.011). Neither SP94-LD nor LD had a significant effect on body weight during the treatment period (Fig. 6C).

Effect of combination treatment with doxorubicin and vinorelbine in HCC cells. Previous study has shown that doxorubicin can inhibit HCC growth, but the clinical response was low. Combinations of anticancer agents with different mechanisms of action may be effective treatment regimens.
For this reason, we attempted to develop an effective treatment for HCC patients by combining two or more anticancer drugs. In this study, HCC cells were treated with doxorubicin or vinorelbine alone, or in combination using a dose-matrix approach to evaluate the drug combination effects at various drug ratios. To determine the combination response (additivity, synergy, or antagonism), the Loewe Additivity score (27) and the Highest Single Agent (HAS) score (28) were calculated for the drug combination using the software Combenefit (24) and SynergyFinder (25). Fig. 7A presents a dose-response matrix
between 6 concentrations of doxorubicin and 6 concentrations of vinorelbine in a 4-fold dilution scheme. The cytotoxicity of doxorubicin or/and vinorelbine over a wide range of molar ratios for HCC cells is shown in Fig. 7B. Vinorelbine significantly enhanced the effect of doxorubicin on the viability of HCC cells. Similarly, doxorubicin also enhanced the cytotoxicity of vinorelbine on HCC cells. The drug combination responses at various dose levels were quantified by computational methods. The dose-matrix combination showed the combination modeling between doxorubicin and vinorelbine by using the Loewe Additivity model (Fig. 7C) and HAS model (Fig. 7D). The synergy heatmaps showed that doxorubicin and vinorelbine have additive/synergistic effects (red areas in the model graph) on inhibiting cell proliferation at a wide range of drug combination ratio. These results suggest that the combined use of doxorubicin and vinorelbine may add an advantage to the current therapeutic regimens in liver cancer.

**Therapeutic potential of combination therapy in an orthotopic hepatocellular carcinoma model.** Models based on the subcutaneous injection of cancer cell lines may not accurately reproduce the biology of human HCC. To study the influence of the liver microenvironment upon response to therapy, we developed an orthotopic liver cancer model to recapitulate the tumor growth pattern seen in liver cancer patients. We investigated the antitumor potential of i.v. administration of both SP94-targeted liposomal doxorubicin and liposomal vinorelbine to SK-HEP-1-Luc tumors stably expressing firefly luciferase. Orthotopic tumor growth was non-invasively monitored by bioluminescence imaging. Prior to the first therapeutic injection (4 days after tumor cell implantation), growing orthotopic tumors were found to be localized mainly at the liver (Fig. 8A).

Mice were treated with either vehicle alone (PBS), FD (1 mg/kg) + free vinorelbine 11 (FV) (2 mg/kg), LD (1 mg/kg) + stable liposomal vinorelbine (sLV) (2 mg/kg), SP94-LD (1 mg/kg) + SP94-sLV (2 mg/kg), or sorafenib alone (30 mg/kg) every other day for sixteen days. Bioluminescence was examined weekly to monitor tumor burden. Bioluminescence images revealed significant inhibition of tumor growth in the SP94-LD (1 mg/kg) + SP94-sLV (2 mg/kg)-treated group compared with the vehicle control group, the FD (1 mg/kg) + FV (2 mg/kg) group, or the sorafenib (30 mg/kg) group (Fig. 8A and B). Body weight was not affected by any treatment regimen (Fig. 8C). Kaplan-Meier survival curves of all groups are shown in Fig. 8D. At the end of the study, the median survival times for the PBS, FD + FV, LD + sLV, SP94-LD + SP94-sLV, and sorafenib treatment groups were 39.5, 20, 69, 75 and 41.5 days, respectively (Fig. 8D and E). A survival analysis with a log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test revealed that SP94-LD + SP94-sLV treatment significantly extended animal survival as compared with PBS, FD + FV, LD + sLV, or sorafenib treatment (Fig. 8F).

**Discussion**

Lipid nanocarriers have been widely used to increase the efficacy of chemotherapeutics, largely through passive accumulation achieved by the enhanced permeability and retention effect (29). Additionally, their specificity and internalization by target tissues can be further enhanced by surface conjugation with targeting moieties (29-31). Nevertheless, insufficient differential affinity of targeting moieties between tumor and normal tissues remains a critical challenge for clinical application. This problem may arise because the molecules recognized by targeting moieties are not only expressed by tumor cells, but also by normal tissue. Therefore, it is of great importance to study the biodistribution and targeting potential of targeted nanocarriers.

Difficulties in developing specific imaging methods for HCC are caused by the lack of specific molecular targets, problems with drug delivery, and poor signal-to-noise ratios. It was previously reported that near-infrared, fluorochrome-labeled phage probes allow for cancer targeting and imaging in vivo (22,32). In this study, we used near-infrared fluorochrome-labeled PC94 phage and in vivo fluorescence imaging techniques, which enabled us to study in vivo tumor targeting and tissue distribution of SP94 peptide over time in the living animal. The HiLyte Fluor 750-labeled PC94 phage was able to bind to HCC and exhibited more rapid tumor localization than the control, as evidenced by fluorescence images of the tumor ex vivo and in living mice. Both HiLyte Fluor 750-labeled PC94 phage and control phage accumulated rapidly at high concentrations in liver, spleen, and bone marrow, indicating that the mononuclear phagocytes of the reticuloendothelial system (RES) are involved in the clearance of some of the circulating phages in mice. Although the tumor-to-background ratio was satisfactory in this study, the uptake of near-infrared fluorochrome-labeled phages by the RES is, nonetheless, quite obvious.

To investigate the potential of SP94 peptide as a target ligand for the delivery of therapeutics to tumors, we used this peptide to modify a clinically-used PEGylated liposomal drug through post-insertion technology, and examined the resulting pharmacokinetic profile and biodistribution in vivo. This post-insertion technique facilitates efficient insertion of ligand-PEG-DSPE conjugates into preformed liposomes under the right conditions (33). The plasma pharmacokinetics of SP94-LD was found to be indistinguishable from that of LD, indicating that the SP94 peptide conjugation did not compromise circulation time or stability. Comparison of the biodistribution of SP94-LD versus LD at 24 h post-treatment showed that doxorubicin accumulation was greater in the tumor, and that accumulation was 1.6-fold greater for SP94-LD-treated as compared with LD-treated mice. The bioavailable drug concentrations of SP94-LD reached maximum levels by 24 h after injection, and SP94 targeting increased drug delivery to intracellular tumors by 1.8-fold. While SP94-LD and LD have similar plasma circulation profiles, the presence of the SP94-targeting moiety enhances drug delivery to tumors and the bioavailability of drug in tumors. However, SP94 targeting did not affect doxorubicin accumulation in the brain, heart, lung, liver, or kidneys. These results indicate that SP94-LD may improve the therapeutic index by increasing drug accumulation in the tumor, but not in normal tissue.

The use of peptides as targeting ligands offers several advantages, including low immunogenicity, small size, ready diffusion, ease of manufacturing, and simple targeted formulation assembly, when compared to larger biomolecules, such as antibodies. Previous studies showed that
using larger biomolecules as targeting ligands may increase the clearance of antibody-modified nanoparticles from the blood (34-36). This may be due to non-specific binding and uptake of nanoparticles by the RES (35). Our results showed that the modification of liposomes with SP94 peptide does not enhance immunogenicity, and has identical plasma pharmacokinetics as the original formulation. In addition, SP94-mediated targeting enhanced tumor delivery by increasing overall tumor accumulation and cellular uptake, without affecting delivery to noncancerous host tissues or enhancing host toxicity. These findings were confirmed by in vivo near-infrared fluorescence imaging and biodistribution analysis. Previous studies with other targeted delivery systems have also suggested that targeting moieties increase

Figure 8. Establishment of orthotopic models of hepatocellular carcinoma, and the therapeutic potential of combination therapy. NOD.CB17-Prkdcsig/B6J mice were orthotopically implanted with SK-HEP-1-luc cells, and treated with vehicle (PBS), FD (1 mg/kg) + FV (2 mg/kg), LD (1 mg/kg) + sLV (2 mg/kg), SP94-LD (1 mg/kg) + SP94-sLV (2 mg/kg), or sorafenib (30 mg/kg), at 4 days after tumor inoculation. All compounds were injected every other day via tail vein. (A) Tumor growth was monitored based on bioluminescence, using the IVIS 200 Imaging system. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showing the probability of survival for all subjects. (C) Body weight. (D) Kaplan-Meier survival plot. (E) Median survival of each treatment group. (F) Survival analysis by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test showing the probability of survival for all subjects (N=8).
drug accumulation in tumor tissues (37,38). However, targeted nanoparticles have not always caused a significant increase in overall tumor accumulation as compared to the non-targeted drugs. Previous studies showed that the use of macromolecule targeting ligands, such as antibodies (39) or transferrin (40), have a negligible impact on tumor accumulation and biodistribution. The differential effects of nanoparticles modified with macromolecules and those modified with small molecules may be due to differences in molecular size, affinity, and penetrability of the targeting ligand (41). It is possible that targeting moieties with high affinity would be subject to greater internalization and degradation by perivascular tumor cells, thereby limiting their penetration of tumors and reducing their tumor retention (42,43).

At the time of writing, only sorafenib has been shown to exert a survival benefit in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (19,20). In our orthotopic liver cancer model, we found that sorafenib had no effect on tumor growth. FD + FV showed antitumor growth effects compared with PBS control group at day 8, 12 and 16 (Fig. 8A). However, the combination drugs induced the toxicity by markedly decreasing their body weights, leading to the death of the mice (Fig. 8C). LD + LV showed significant antitumor growth effects and reduced drug toxicity. SP94-LD + SP94-LV exhibited even higher therapeutic efficacy than LD + LV in an orthotopic model of human HCC, by reducing tumor size and prolonging the overall survival rate of the mice (Fig. 8). SP94-LD + SP94-sLV combination therapy shows strong clinical potential for treatment of HCC.

In conclusion, SP94-modification of liposomal doxorubicin significantly improves therapeutic efficacy in human SK-HEP-1 tumor-bearing NOD.CB17-Prkdcscid/J mice, and significantly inhibits tumor cell viability, resulting in reduced tumor volumes and final average tumor weights compared with control nonspecific treatments. The rapid, massive, and specific accumulation of SP94-LD in tumor cells results in prominent tumor growth regression. These therapeutic outcomes confirm the key role of the tumor-specific binding and internalization of SP94-LD in achieving elevated local concentrations of chemotherapeutic agents inside tumors. These findings suggest a potential clinical benefit from SP94-targeted liposomal doxorubicin and liposomal vinorelbine combination therapy.

In conclusion, we have evaluated whether it is worth considering SP94-LD for use in clinical trials against liver cancer. SP94-LD caused significantly greater inhibition of human hepatocellular carcinoma xenograft tumor growth when compared with LD, without increasing toxicity. SP94-LD has similar plasma pharmacokinetics as LD. Moreover, SP94-mediated targeting enhances therapeutic efficacy in the hepatocellular carcinoma xenograft mouse model by improving tumor pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution, allowing large amounts of antitumor drug to accumulate in tumors. These results indicate that SP94-mediated targeting for cancer therapy has distinct advantages over standard LD. Furthermore, it provides a promising opportunity to further improve the therapeutic index of the original formulation, which can be rapidly translated into the clinic given the availability of LD. Our results should also encourage further research to expand the application of this targeting ligand to various other drug-delivery nanoparticles.
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