Environmental Assessment of Humic Acid Coated Magnetic Materials Used as Catalyst in Photo-Fenton Processes
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Abstract: Persistent organic pollutants have been increasingly detected in natural waters, and this represents a real challenge to the quality of this resource. To remove these species, advanced treatment technologies are required. Among these technologies, Fenton-like and photo-Fenton-like processes have been investigated for the removal of pollutants from water. Delicate aspects of photo-Fenton processes are that light-driven processes are energy intensive and require a fair amount of chemical inputs, which strongly affects their overall environmental burdens. At present, aside from determining the efficiency of the processes to remove pollutants of a particular technology, it becomes fundamental to assess also the environmental sustainability of the overall process. In this work, the methodology of the life cycle assessment (LCA) was applied to identify the hotspots of using magnetite particles covered with humic acid (Fe₃O₄/HA) as a heterogeneous photo-Fenton catalyst for water remediation. The sustainability of the overall process was considered, and a comparative LCA study was performed between H₂O₂ and persulfate activation at different pH. The addition of humic substances to the particles allows the effectiveness of the catalyst to improve without increasing the environmental impacts; these processes are strongly correlated with energy consumption and therefore with the efficiency of the process. For this reason, working at acidic pH allows us to contain the impacts.
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1. Introduction

Water is one of the most important resources for human life; however, over the years its protection has been neglected resulted from the anthropic activities [1,2]. Due to water scarcity and the increasing number of pollutants observed in wastewater as well as surface and drinking water, the preservation of this resource is considered one of the most urgent global emergencies we will face in the next decades [3,4]. The scientific community has been focusing on the development and application of sustainable water remediation techniques to reduce the constant release of pollutants into the environment and their consequent impact. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) provide a viable and effective remediation solution for the removal of persistent organic pollutants in water by the reaction with the in situ generated highly reactive species, mainly hydroxyl radicals (OH·) but also sulfate radicals (SO₄²⁻). Among the AOPs, Fenton-like processes are one of the most investigated processes due to their high efficiency for the removal of a wide range of pollutants from water [5,6]. In the classic (photo)-Fenton process, OH· radicals are generated by the H₂O₂ decomposition mediated by iron species (Fe(II)/Fe(III)) in solution [6]. However, this process is limited by the need to adjust the pH to the optimal conditions (pH ≈ 3) and by the subsequent neutralization before water discharge, thereby
creating a serious operational and economic constraint for its large-scale application [7]. The addition of substances to allow the iron complex formation in order to keep the system active at circumneutral pH have been investigated [8]; however, the environmental and economic aspects of the incorporation of these substances must be also addressed. Additionally, the classic Fenton process is well-known for the iron consumption that requires constant Fe(II) addition and consequently sludge formation, in turn impacting the cost-effectiveness of the process for its removal [9].

The use of magnetite ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$), a mixture of Fe(II) and Fe(III), as a heterogeneous (photo)-Fenton catalyst represents a promising approach to overcome some limitations of the classic process. Magnetite can act as an iron source that can be easily recovered from the reaction medium by employing as a costless and environmentally friendly magnetic field allowing their reusability in successive cycles [10,11]. However, the natural oxidation of Fe(II) forms an outer layer of Fe(III) that passivates the magnetite, slowing down the generation of reactive species. The addition of an external organic layer of humic acid, when in proper amounts, has been described as a way to enhance the efficiency for drugs removal and to stabilize the magnetite core from iron oxidation, thereby keeping the catalyst active without losing its efficiency in consecutive cycles [8]. Moreover, even if the light-driven photo-Fenton process can be activated by natural sun-light, due to its seasonality, an energy-demanding artificial irradiation system is required for a continuous process that strongly affects its environmental burden [12,13].

The industrial application of photo-Fenton processes brings out the need for a comprehensive evaluation of several aspects. This assessment should take into account not only the mere mechanistic aspects that lead to a greater effectiveness in degrading pollutants but also the environmental impacts generated by these processes. As far as we know, a limited number of studies have addressed an environmental assessment of the photo-Fenton processes. Some of these, set on a laboratory scale, have pointed out that the greatest impacts are related to energy consumption, namely the energy used for irradiating the system, pumping, stirring, etc. [13,14]. On the contrary, on a larger scale (pilot plant), Gallego-Schimd et al. [15] highlighted how chemicals and specifically EDDS, which is used as a complexing agent in neutral photo-Fenton, contribute to around 70% of the overall impacts associated to the life cycle of a pilot plant. A recent study of Feijoo et al. [10] assessed the environmental performance of the synthetic route for preparing different magnetite particles, which represent a promising option as a heterogeneous catalyst for Fenton and photo-Fenton processes.

In this work, we focus on the actual environmental impacts generated by the heterogeneous photo-Fenton processes. The methodology of a life cycle assessment (LCA) was applied to identify the hotspots of using the magnetite particles covered with humic acid ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$/HA [11]) as a heterogeneous photo-Fenton catalyst for water remediation. The introduction of humic acid is shown to improve the efficacy and stability of the catalyst. An interesting aspect of the application of humic acids is the potential replacement by humic-like substances derived from food or urban wastes, valorizing materials that would be considered waste [16,17]. The overall process of sustainability was considered, and a comparative LCA study was performed by applying $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$/HA materials for the activation of hydrogen peroxide and persulfate. The burdens related to catalyst preparation, chemicals, pH adjustment, and electricity consumption were evaluated at a laboratory scale. Bisphenol A (BPA) was studied as a target contaminant of emerging concern, and its removal was evaluated in the different experimental conditions. Considering the potential photo-Fenton activation by natural solar light in the wastewater treatment plant, the effect of the experimental parameters was explored more in detail, when neglecting the contribution of the irradiation energy demand.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Life Cycle Impact Assessment of the Catalyst Synthesis

Table 1 shows the environmental impacts calculated for the 1 kg scale synthesis of magnetite particles covered with humic acid following the previously described procedure [18]. All used
chemicals and necessary energy in the process were evaluated while considering the following impact categories: the climate change potential (CCP), ozone depletion potential (ODP), terrestrial acidification potential (TAP), freshwater eutrophication potential (FEP), and non-renewable (fossil) energy use (NREU). For the values of each impact category in the table, a heat map introduces a color gradient that marks the results from red (the worst score, major impacts) to green (the best score, more limited impacts).

### Table 1. Impact at the midpoint level for the synthesis process of 1 kg of the catalyst (Fe$_3$O$_4$/HA).

| Impact      | FeCl$_3$ | FeSO$_4$ | NH$_4$ | N$_2$ | HA | Water | Heat and Stirring | Drying | Centrifugation | Drying |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------|-------|-----|-------|-------------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| CCP kg CO$_2$ eq | 2.625 | 0.438 | 2.545 | 5.731 | 0.203 | 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ | 1.427 | 7.997 | 3.199 | 11.107 |
| ODP kg CFC-11 eq | 1.2 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ | 3.4 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.1 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ | 5.9 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ | 3.3 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.8 $\times$ 10$^{-11}$ | 1.6 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ | 9.0 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ | 3.6 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ | 1.2 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ |
| TEP kg SO$_3$ eq | 1.4 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ | 2.5 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 1.5 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ | 2.4 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ | 1.9 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 3.0 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.6 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 3.1 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ | 1.3 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ | 4.4 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ |
| FEP kg P eq | 1.8 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 3.3 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 2.1 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ | 4.9 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 8.9 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 2.8 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ | 4.1 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ | 2.3 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 9.1 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ | 3.2 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ |
| NREU MJ | 29.22 | 5.09 | 43.37 | 66.47 | 3.38 | 0.009 | 18.24 | 102.23 | 40.89 | 141.99 |

The data show that for all impact categories (CCP, ODP, TEP, and NREU), the main contribution derives from energy consumption; in particular, the drying phase at the end of the synthesis procedure is responsible for the greatest impacts. The impact on ozone depletion potential not only derives from the energy contribution, but it is also caused by the use of FeCl$_3$, attributable in turn to the use of chlorine gas. Instead, the highest impact for the FEP is generated by the use of nitrogen, which in turn requires energy for its production. For each impact category examined, a low contribution due to the use of humic acid (HA) was observed. As the synthesis process of bare magnetite particles (Fe$_3$O$_4$) is identical, results comparable to those reported above are obtained, obviously excluding the addition of humic acids.

### 2.2. Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) of the Degradation Process

#### 2.2.1. Comparative Study between Persulfate and Hydrogen Peroxide

A comparative LCIA of Fe$_3$O$_4$/HA (100 mg/L) as a heterogeneous photo-Fenton catalyst for the persulfate and hydrogen peroxide (1 mM) activation was performed, and the results are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The impact of the catalyst, reagents, all chemicals for the pH adjustment (pH 3), and the subsequent neutralization as well as irradiation energy (UVA/UVB lamp), for the 90% bisphenol A (20 μM) removal from water, in 1 L scale, were considered. For the values of each impact category, a heat map introduces a color gradient that marks the results from red (major impacts) to green (more limited impacts).

For both systems of persulfate and hydrogen peroxide, the contribution of electricity on the final impacts is evident. This energy consumption derives from the electricity spent to irradiate the system (UVA/UVB lamp) and is directly proportional to the time required by the processes to degrade 90% of BPA (the greater the time required, the greater energy demand). For each impact category, more than 99% of the total impact is due to electricity. Taking into account the energy consumption (electricity input), it is clear therefore how the overall environmental impacts are directly proportional to the kinetic constants ($k$) deriving from degradations (see Table S1); the higher the value expressed by the kinetic constant, the higher the rate of degradation, which results in a better efficiency of the system. It can be observed how the working conditions of the degradation processes analyzed in Tables 2 and 3 have $k$ values among the highest and therefore can be considered examples of efficient processes. Despite what has just been observed, the high consumption of electricity, as highlighted by the LCA analysis, derives from the fact that at the laboratory scale, in order to activate the degradation reaction, it is necessary to use lamps that emit specific wavelengths (in this case, an UVA–UVB range).

In summary, the energy demand to irradiate the system is therefore directly proportional to (a) the
concentration of the pollutant, (b) the volume of water to be treated, and obviously (c) the effectiveness of the catalytic system.

Table 2. Impact at the midpoint level for the degradation process of 90% of 20 μM BPA in 1 L of water in the presence of Fe₃O₄/H₂O₂ (100 mg/L) and persulfate (1 mM) at pH 3.

|                | Total  | H₂O₂    | H₂SO₄   | Fe₃O₄/H₂O₂ | NaOH     | Electricity |
|----------------|--------|---------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|
| kg CO₂ eq      | 0.714  | 3.41 × 10⁻⁴| 7.91 × 10⁻⁶| 3.52 × 10⁻³| 4.97 × 10⁻⁵| 7.10 × 10⁻¹ |
| kg O₃          | 8.02 × 10⁻⁸| 9.19 × 10⁻¹¹| 3.17 × 10⁻¹²| 5.03 × 10⁻¹⁰| 2.98 × 10⁻¹¹| 7.96 × 10⁻⁸ |
| kg CFC–11 eq   | 0.003  | 2.76 × 10⁻⁶| 3.18 × 10⁻⁷| 1.52 × 10⁻⁵| 2.44 × 10⁻⁷| 2.80 × 10⁻³ |
| kg P eq        | 0.0002 | 1.94 × 10⁻⁷| 9.73 × 10⁻⁹| 1.42 × 10⁻⁶| 2.99 × 10⁻⁸| 2.03 × 10⁻⁴ |
| NREU/MJ        | 9.132  | 5.33 × 10⁻³| 3.16 × 10⁻⁴| 4.51 × 10⁻²| 5.53 × 10⁻⁴| 9.08        |

Table 3. Impact at the midpoint level for the degradation process of 90% of 20 μM BPA in 1 L of water in the presence of Fe₃O₄/H₂O₂ (100 mg/L) and hydrogen peroxide (1 mM) at pH 3.

|                | Total  | H₂O₂    | H₂SO₄   | Fe₃O₄/H₂O₂ | NaOH     | Electricity |
|----------------|--------|---------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|
| kg CO₂ eq      | 7.25 × 10⁻¹| 4.19 × 10⁻⁵| 7.91 × 10⁻⁶| 3.53 × 10⁻³| 4.97 × 10⁻⁵| 7.21 × 10⁻¹ |
| kg O₃          | 8.14 × 10⁻⁸| 3.80 × 10⁻¹²| 3.17 × 10⁻¹²| 5.03 × 10⁻¹⁰| 2.98 × 10⁻¹¹| 8.09 × 10⁻⁸ |
| kg CFC–11 eq   | 3.0 × 10⁻³| 1.69 × 10⁻⁷| 3.18 × 10⁻⁷| 1.52 × 10⁻⁵| 2.44 × 10⁻⁷| 2.85 × 10⁻³ |
| kg P eq        | 2.0 × 10⁻⁴| 1.54 × 10⁻⁸| 9.73 × 10⁻⁷| 1.42 × 10⁻⁶| 2.99 × 10⁻⁸| 2.06 × 10⁻⁴ |
| NREU/MJ        | 9.27   | 6.55 × 10⁻⁴| 3.16 × 10⁻⁴| 4.51 × 10⁻²| 5.53 × 10⁻⁴| 9.22        |

Focusing our attention only on the impacts generated by the oxidants, it was observed that the input of persulfate has a slightly greater impact than hydrogen peroxide; in fact, for the four impact categories (CCP, ODP, TEP, and FEP), the impact related to H₂O₂ is lower (or similar) than the impact related to sulfuric acid, while in the case of persulfate, the impacts are always greater than those relative to sulfuric acid.

The impact of the humic acid coating was evaluated by comparing the coated magnetite with the pristine material (Fe₃O₄) for the activation of persulfate and hydrogen peroxide at different pH levels (3, 4, 6 and 6.5) as presented in Tables S2 and S3. A general trend similar to that reported for cases at pH 3 can be observed; the greatest impacts derive from the energy consumption, namely the energy consumption that increases when raising the pH (as degradation times slow down and a greater exposure to the light source is required to degrade BPA). At circumneutral pH, a decrease in impacts due to less use of sulfuric acid and sodium hydroxide is noted, as shown in more detail later. However, these minor impacts do not affect the final result.

As the trends of all the impact categories analyzed are similar, in the subsequent analyses only the results relating to the climate change potential category will be shown.

2.2.2. Climate Change Potential

The humic acid coating impact on the CCP was evaluated by comparing the degradation process performed in the presence of coated magnetite with the bare magnetite at different pH conditions, as shown in Figure 1. Moreover, the impact of using persulfate or hydrogen peroxide activation for BPA degradation was also evaluated in the presence of both materials. For all tested pH levels, we observed a higher CCP impact for the bare magnetite compared with the humic acid coated material for both persulfate and hydrogen peroxide at all tested pH conditions. This feature can be justified by the slower degradation that occur in the presence of the bare material. We also observed a CO₂
whether higher concentrations of H2O2 promote greater efficiency with more limited impacts (a higher rate of degradation and lower energy consumption). The results of the LCA analysis reported in Table 4 were obtained by taking into account the energy demand to irradiate the system. From the heat map, by identifying the best (in green) and worst (in red) values, it was possible to observe a lower CO2 emission for the degradation when performed at pH 3 than 4. Additionally, a lower impact was observed, while this effect was significantly lower in the presence of persulfate, even at pH 6.5.

When neglecting the electricity impact, it was observed that working at a circumneutral pH allows us to obtain a slightly lower impact, as presented in Figure 2. As expected, the degradation process shows a lower impact in raising the pH, due to the progressive lower chemicals used for the pH adjustment and the neutralization that is necessary to carry out the degradation. Additionally, neglecting the electricity impact, a significantly lower CO2 emission was estimated when using hydrogen peroxide comparing with persulfate.

Considering the lower CO2 emissions of the degradation system when using hydrogen peroxide instead of persulfate, the effect of raising the H2O2 concentration up to 20 mM at pH levels 3 and 4 was investigated; the obtained results are presented in Table 4. This choice aimed to investigate whether higher concentrations of H2O2 promote greater efficiency with more limited impacts (a higher rate of degradation and lower energy consumption). The results of the LCA analysis reported in Table 4 were obtained by taking into account the energy demand to irradiate the system. From the heat map, by identifying the best (in green) and worst (in red) values, it was possible to observe a lower...
CO₂ emission for the degradation when performed at pH 3 than 4. Additionally, a lower impact was observed for those H₂O₂ concentrations that allowed for faster degradation kinetics, i.e., 1 mM and 10 mM, as presented in Table 5.

Table 4. Comparison of the CCP (kg CO₂ eq) degradation processes results at different H₂O₂ concentrations in the presence of Fe₃O₄/HA (100 mg/L) as a catalyst, performed at pH levels 3 and 4.

| kg CO₂ eq | H₂O₂ Concentration |
|------------|---------------------|
| pH 3       | 45.894              |
| pH 4       | 76.487              |

Table 5. Kinetic constant (k) for the degradation processes at different H₂O₂ concentrations at pH 3 and 4 in the presence of Fe₃O₄/HA as a catalyst. The higher the value expressed by the kinetic constant, the higher the rate of degradation, which results in a better efficiency of the system.

| k (min⁻¹) | H₂O₂ Concentration |
|-----------|--------------------|
| pH 3      | 0.0005             |
| pH 4      | 0.0003             |

The effect of significantly raising the H₂O₂ concentration was more extensively evaluated by comparing the CCP analysis of the degradation system at the 1 and 10 mM concentrations (Table 6). The CO₂ emission related to hydrogen peroxide is directly proportional to the amount of oxidant put in the solution. Therefore, the emission in the order of 10⁻⁵ kg, in the case of a concentration of H₂O₂ of 1 mM, was raised to 10⁻⁴ kg for a concentration of 10 mM. As shown in Table 6, a factor of 10 in increase does not affect the total impacts significantly, since about 99% of the CO₂ emissions are due to energy consumption. Observing the data reported in Table 4, there is no clear decrease in the impacts passing from a concentration of 1 to 10 mM of H₂O₂. This aspect, therefore, does not justify the higher economic costs of working at higher concentrations in industrial applications.

Table 6. Comparison of the climate change potential (kg CO₂ eq) results of the degradation process of 90% of 20 µM BPA in 1 L of water in the presence of 1mM and 10 mM of H₂O₂ activated by Fe₃O₄/HA (100 mg/L) at pH 3.

| CCP kg CO₂ eq | Total | H₂O₂ | H₂SO₄ | Fe₃O₄/HA | NaOH | Electricity |
|---------------|-------|------|-------|---------|------|-------------|
| [H₂O₂] = 1 mM | 0.726 | 4.19 × 10⁻⁵ | 7.91 × 10⁻⁶ | 3.53 × 10⁻³ | 4.97 × 10⁻⁵ | 0.722 |
| [H₂O₂] = 10 mM| 0.699 | 4.19 × 10⁻⁴ | 7.91 × 10⁻⁶ | 3.53 × 10⁻³ | 4.97 × 10⁻⁵ | 0.695 |

The effect of the catalyst loading concentration was also evaluated. Table 7 shows the CCP results and the kinetic constants of the degradation processes performed with 1 mM of H₂O₂, at three different pH levels and with different catalyst concentrations (100, 200, and 500 mg/L). The results of the LCA analysis reported in Table 7 were obtained, taking into account the energy demand to irradiate the system. The lower CO₂ emission was assessed for the BPA degradation when 500 mg/L of the catalyst was applied and the pH medium adjusted to 3. Due to the slow degradation rate observed at pH 6 (see Table 7), higher greenhouse gases emissions were estimated. However, increasing the catalyst loading leads to a decrease of CCP impact.
Table 7. Kinetic constant for the degradation and comparison of the CCP (kg CO$_2$ eq) results of degradation processes at different Fe$_3$O$_4$/HA concentrations in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$ (1 mM) at different pH levels.

| Catalyst Concentration (mg/L) | 100  | 200  | 500  |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|
|                               | $k$  | kg CO$_2$ eq | $k$  | kg CO$_2$ eq | $k$  | kg CO$_2$ eq |
| pH 3                          | 0.0318 | 0.7258 | 0.047 | 0.4967 | 0.077 | 0.3190 |
| pH 4                          | 0.0055 | 4.1760 | 0.021 | 1.1010 | 0.010 | 2.3154 |
| pH 6                          | 0.0015 | 15.099 | 0.003 | 7.6567 | 0.004 | 5.7571 |

Table 8. Comparison of the climate change potential (kg CO$_2$ eq) results of the degradation process of 90% of 20 µM BPA in 1 L of water, between the processes conducted using a catalyst concentration of 100 and 500 mg/L, with the pH and oxidant concentration being pH 3 and hydrogen peroxide (1 mM) respectively.

| CCP kg CO$_2$ eq | Total | H$_2$O$_2$ | H$_2$SO$_4$ | Fe$_3$O$_4$/HA | NaOH | Electricity |
|------------------|-------|-----------|-------------|----------------|------|-------------|
| 100 mg/L         | 0.726 | $4.19 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.91 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.53 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.97 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.722 |
| 500 mg/L         | 0.316 | $4.19 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.91 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.76 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.97 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.298 |

These data highlight how, by using high concentrations of the catalyst, an effective improvement of the system can be achieved by impacting the degradation kinetics and the environmental footprint. At the same time, the energy consumption, directly related to the efficiency of the system, plays a predominant role.

Furthermore, the potential environmental impacts that resulted from the higher catalyst loading can be mitigated by its reusability in consecutive water treatment cycles. Indeed, the previous reusability experiments, after magnetically recovering the Fe$_3$O$_4$/HA catalyst, showed no significant activity decrease until the third consecutive catalytic cycle [11]. These experiments performed at a laboratorial scale do not allow for an estimation of the environmental impact related to the catalyst recovery; however, on a larger scale (pilot plant or industrial level), this process should be automated and should therefore lead to additional (presumably limited) energy consumption.

3. Materials and Methods

The assessment of environmental impacts was carried out with data obtained at the laboratory level; for more information beyond the LCA analysis, please refer to the work of Gonçalves et al. [11].

The environmental impacts were estimated throughout the LCA analysis, which was performed according to the ISO 14040/44 guidelines [19,20]. The LCA process consists of 4 phases: goal and scope definition, life cycle inventory (LCI), life cycle impact assessment (LCIA), and results interpretation.

3.1. Goal and Scope Definition

The goal of the present study is to assess the environmental impacts of the use of photo-Fenton processes to treat polluted water. In detail, the environmental performances of magnetite particles...
coated with humic acid for their application as heterogeneous photo-Fenton processes were investigated using bisphenol A as the pollutant model molecule. For this, the environmental impact of different experimental conditions was considered, namely the effect of humic acid coating, the catalyst loading, and the type and oxidant loading at different pH levels.

To perform the degradation process, a solution of the oxidant and the heterogeneous catalyst were added to the polluted water (water contaminated with bisphenol A with an initial concentration of 20 µM); for the light source, an UVA/UVB lamp was applied. The removal of 90% BPA from 1 L of Milli-Q water was chosen as the functional unit. The obtained information can give insights into future industrial applications for water remediation in water or wastewater treatment plants.

Figure 3 reports the system boundaries considered for the study. The unit processes included are (a) the production of each chemical reagents (H₂SO₄, H₂O₂, etc.), starting from the extraction of the resources, the production of the chemicals, and transport; (b) the synthesis of the catalyst, where the entire synthesis process was modeled using both the data directly measured and data obtained from literature; (c) the production of electricity consumed by the different configurations of the degradation processes, including extraction of resources, transport, and energy conversion; and (d) the production of sodium hydroxide as the last unit process, used for neutralization before water discharge.

The amount of energy to reduce the initial concentration of the target pollutant by 90% was determined by the kinetic constant (k). The necessary time to degrade 90% of the pollutant and consequently the energy necessary to irradiate the system for the same time was determined from the k value. The infrastructure and equipment used during the experimental analysis were excluded from the calculation.

The main assumptions were made to model the catalyst synthesis. As reported by Gonçalves et al. [11], the catalyst was synthesized with commercial humic acids, but given the lack of information on the humic acid production process, it was decided that the procedure described by Montoneri et al. [21] would be reconstructed. The decision allowed us to effectively model the recovery of humic substances from the compost obtained from organic wastes in order to have information on the associated impacts; at the same time, given the absence of a unique structure of humic acids, their behavior should not be influenced by their origin.

To estimate the energy consumption associated with the heating step in both humic substances extraction and catalyst synthesis, the instructions given by Piccinno et al. [22] were followed. The energy consumption was estimated by assuming the ad hoc reactors and by using the equations that allow us to quantify the heat needed to reach a certain temperature and to compensate for the losses due to the reaction [22]. This was done due to the high energy input values directly measured at the laboratory level. In fact, the tools used for following the extraction and synthesis procedures are not designed exclusively for these purposes, and therefore a large amount of energy was wasted.
3.2. Inventory Analysis

The second step of the LCA consists of creating a model of the real system under analysis by collecting all the raw materials, resources, energy, outputs, and emission related to the considered functional unit.

The extraction of 1 kg of humic substances from the compost was modeled using the data reported in Table 9. It was decided that this system would be modeled with a cut-off approach \[23\]; we considered the transport of the compost from the production plant to the Turin laboratory as the “cradle” of the humic substances production. Any input and output related to the previous life of the materials that have given an origin to the compost and the processes themselves to obtain the compost have been neglected. Basically, based on the cut-off principle, we consider the compost as waste, and waste does not bear any environmental burden from the previous life.

Table 9. Inventory for the extraction process of 1 kg of humic substances from the compost, according to \[21,22\].

| Input                          | Amount | Unit of Measure | Type of Measure     |
|-------------------------------|--------|----------------|---------------------|
| Transport                     | 0.075  | tkm            | directly measured   |
| NaOH                          | 39.997 | g              | estimated value 1   |
| Na₄P₂O₇                       | 265.9  | g              | directly measured   |
| Energy for heating and stirring| 5140   | kJ             | estimated value 1   |
| Energy for centrifugation     | 0.166  | kWh            | directly measured   |
| Distilled water               | 60     | L              | directly measured   |
| H₂SO₄                         | 1390   | g              | directly measured   |
| Energy for centrifugation     | 0.166  | kWh            | directly measured   |
| Distilled water               | 30     | L              | directly measured   |
| Energy to dry                 | 2880   | kJ             | estimated value 1   |

1 Value estimated according to the equation reported in \[22\].

The data used for modeling the synthesis of the magnetite particles are reported in Table 10. The only difference in the synthesis procedure between Fe₃O₄/HA and Fe₃O₄ particles is the presence of humic acid for the coated particles.

Table 10. Inventory for the synthesis of 1 kg of magnetite particles (Fe₃O₄/HA), according to \[8,22\].

| Input                  | Amount    | Unit of Measure | Type of Measure     |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|
| FeCl₃                  | 2581.366  | g              | directly measured   |
| FeSO₄                  | 1678.950  | g              | directly measured   |
| Distilled water        | 106.336   | L              | directly measured   |
| Energy for heating and stirring | 10,312.590 | kJ             | estimated value 1   |
| Humic acid ²           | 100.317   | g              | directly measured   |
| NH₃                    | 882.789   | g              | directly measured   |
| Energy to dry          | 57,782.590| kJ             | estimated value 1   |
| Energy for centrifugation | 6.420    | kWh            | directly measured   |
| Energy to dry          | 80,253.60 | kJ             | estimated value 1   |
| N₂                     | 21,467.840| g              | directly measured   |

1 Value estimated according to the equation reported in \[22\]. ² For the synthesis of bare Fe₃O₄, the input of humic acid is not considered.

Table 11 reports the data on chemicals and energy consumption per functional unit. The tested variables were the pH, type of oxidant, and type of catalyst. The amount of catalyst used is the same both for the processes with Fe₃O₄/HA and with Fe₃O₄. The quantity of sodium hydroxide refers to the quantity theoretically added to the treatment to restore the natural pH. The energy consumption refers to the energy spent to irradiate the system and is directly proportional to the kinetic constant.
The kinetic constant values were determined by degradation experiments [11]; the lower the \( k \) value, the faster the degradation is, and therefore the more effective the process is, as presented in the Table S1.

**Table 11.** Inventory of the input related to the treatment of 1 L of Milli-Q water contaminated with BPA (20 \( \mu \)M).

| pH 3 | Oxidant Type: | \( \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} \) | \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) |
|------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|      | Oxidant (g)   | 0.238           | 0.034           |
|      | Catalyst (g)  | 0.100           | 0.100           |
|      | Sulfuric acid (g) | 0.049     | 0.049           |
|      | Sodium hydroxide (g) | 0.040    | 0.040           |
|      | Energy consumption (kWh) | 1.425    | 2.151           |
|      | Catalyst type: | Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/HA Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/HA Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) |
|      | Oxidant (g)   | 0.238           | 0.034           |
|      | Catalyst (g)  | 0.100           | 0.100           |
|      | Sulfuric acid (g) | 0.005     | 0.005           |
|      | Sodium hydroxide (g) | 0.004    | 0.004           |
|      | Energy consumption (kWh) | 2.601    | 3.049           |
|      | Catalyst type: | Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/HA Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/HA Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) |
|      | Oxidant (g)   | 0.238           | 0.034           |
|      | Catalyst (g)  | 0.100           | 0.100           |
|      | Sulfuric acid (g) | 4.9 \times 10^{-5} | 4.9 \times 10^{-5} |
|      | Sodium hydroxide (g) | 4 \times 10^{-5} | 4 \times 10^{-5} |
|      | Energy consumption (kWh) | 2.990    | 5.233           |
|      | Catalyst type: | Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/HA Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/HA Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) |
|      | Oxidant (g)   | 0.238           | 0.034           |
|      | Catalyst (g)  | 0.1             | 0.1             |
|      | Sulfuric acid (g) | 1.96 \times 10^{-5} | 1.6 \times 10^{-5} |
|      | Sodium hydroxide (g) | 1.6 \times 10^{-5} | 1.6 \times 10^{-5} |
|      | Energy consumption (kWh) | 6.059    | 7.427           |

Note: The tested variables were pH (3, 4, 6, 6.5), oxidant type (\( \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)), and catalyst type (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/HA and bare Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)).

Another set of experiments was conducted by varying the concentration of hydrogen peroxide; considering the low efficiency above pH 4, these tests were carried out at pH 3 and 4. The variables kept constant were the type and concentration of the catalyst. Input values are reported in Table 12. Table 5 shows the kinetic constant collected for this set of experiments.

**Table 12.** Inventory of the input for the treatment of 1 L of Milli-Q water contaminated with BPA (20 \( \mu \)M) in the presence of different \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations catalyzed by Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/HA (100 mg/L) at pH 3 and 4.

| Oxidant Concentration | 0 mM | 0.5 mM | 1 mM | 2 mM | 3 mM | 5 mM | 10 mM | 20 mM |
|-----------------------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| pH 3                  |      |        |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (g) | 0    | 0.017  | 0.034| 0.068| 0.102| 0.170| 0.340 | 0.680 |
| Catalyst (g)          | 0.1  | 0.1    | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1   | 0.1   |
| Sulfuric acid (g)     | 0.049| 0.049  | 0.049| 0.049| 0.049| 0.049| 0.049 | 0.049 |
| Sodium hydroxide (g)  | 0.04 | 0.04   | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04  | 0.04  |
| Energy consumption (kWh) | 92.103| 2.193 | 1.446| 1.842| 1.919| 1.706| 1.396 | 2.162 |
| pH 4                  |      |        |      |      |      |      |       |       |
| \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (g) | 0    | 0.017  | 0.034| 0.068| 0.102| 0.170| 0.340 | 0.680 |
| Catalyst (g)          | 0.1  | 0.1    | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1   | 0.1   |
| Sulfuric acid (g)     | 0.0049| 0.0049 | 0.0049| 0.0049| 0.0049| 0.0049| 0.0049| 0.0049 |
| Sodium hydroxide (g)  | 0.004 | 0.004  | 0.004| 0.004| 0.004 | 0.004| 0.004 | 0.004 |
| Energy consumption (kWh) | 153.506| 15.351| 8.373| 3.289| 5.070| 4.187| 2.002| 2.122 |

The effect of catalyst loading was evaluated as well for the activation of hydrogen peroxide as shown in Table 13. The kinetic constant values are reported in Table 8.
In general, the data presented in the Ecoinvent 3.3 database were used as input to model the system; for the production of chemicals, reference was made to the European context (when present), while the Italian energy mix was used instead to model the energy consumption.

3.3. Life Cycle Impact Assessment

The inputs and outputs collected that make up the inventory were transformed into a handful of environmental impact categories. SimaPro 8.2 was used for LCA modeling, and environmental impacts were estimated according to two different methods: the ReCiPe 2008 method [24] and the cumulative energy demand method [25]. The ReCiPe 2008 method includes both the midpoint (problem oriented) and endpoint (damage oriented) impact categories; in other words, midpoints are considered to be a point between the emission and the endpoint in the cause–effect chain of a particular impact category [26]. In general, indicators that are chosen close to the inventory result (midpoint) have a lower uncertainty, as only a small part of the environmental mechanism needs to be modeled, while indicators near the endpoint level can have significant uncertainties. Given the high number of impact categories analyzed by the ReCiPe method at the midpoint level, it has been preferred to use a limited group of impact categories. The most widely used impact categories chosen for this study were the climate change potential (CCP, kg CO$_2$ eq), ozone depletion potential (ODP, kg CFC-11 eq), terrestrial acidification potential (TAP, kg SO$_2$ eq), and freshwater eutrophication potential (FEP, kg P eq). For the assessment of energy consumption, only the impact category relating to non-renewable (fossil) resources was considered (NREU, MJ); inputs based on renewable energy were not taken into account, as these were regarded as sustainable.

4. Conclusions

As the advanced oxidation processes and specifically heterogeneous photo-Fenton processes have been established as viable and effective approaches for waste water treatment, it becomes fundamental to assess also their environmental sustainability. This assessment should allow us to avoid the risks of rebound effects that offset the environmental gains. In this work, the methodology of life cycle assessment was applied to identify the hotspots of using Fe$_3$O$_4$/HA as a heterogeneous photo-Fenton catalyst for water remediation.
The addition of humic substances proved to improve the operational effectiveness of the catalyst without significant environmental impacts, despite the use of energy and chemicals during the extraction of HA.

The environmental impacts of all the conditions that affect the photo-Fenton process were also considered, while also highlighting the significant impact due to energy consumption mainly due to irradiation. In fact, the energy consumption is significant above all for processes with a lower efficiency and which therefore require a longer irradiation time to degrade the target molecule. The contribution of pH adjustment and subsequent neutralization was highlighted by neglecting the energy consumption. It was observed that actually working at an almost neutral pH allows environmental impacts to be limited; this, however, involves a reduction in the efficiency of the processes.

Even if persulfate proved to promote a faster BPA removal at a higher pH in relation to hydrogen peroxide, the last proved to be more environmentally friendly due to the lower impacts associated with its production.

The higher efficiency observed in increasing the catalyst concentration evidenced a decrease in environmental impacts, which is very encouraging considering the potential reusability of the catalyst [11].

It should be pointed out that although the modeling of the degradation processes reported here are based on a laboratory scale, it can still give insights into larger scale applications. In fact, at the laboratory level, the impact of the energy to irradiate the system is high and is proportional to the time required to treat a certain amount of water. If the constraint of a certain volume of water to be treated daily remains, a hypothetical system that does not require electricity to operate (e.g., a solar plant like compound parabolic collector—CPC [27]) must be large enough to allow for a daily treatment of this volume. Therefore, the impacts associated with electricity consumption would be converted into impacts resulting from the amount of steel and square meters of soil needed to build a plant of adequate size.

In conclusion, working at milder conditions (circumneutral pH) would effectively limit environmental impacts, but the benefits deriving from these conditions can only be perceived if the process has a high degradative efficiency. In fact, if the process is fast from the kinetic point of view, it allows us to limit the energy consumption or the size of the system to treat the desired volume of water. For a more comprehensive analysis, the work developed here can be integrated, taking into account the environmental impacts (mainly related to toxicity) of the pollutants present in the water and also the contributions due to the by-products of the degradation reaction. In this way, it will be possible to obtain a more precise idea of how much it is worth pushing the degradation process, in order to not generate rebound effects.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/10/7/771/s1, Table S1: Kinetic constant \( (k) \) for the degradation processes changing different parameters: pH, catalyst type (Fe3O4/HA and Fe3O4) and type of oxidant (persulfate and hydrogen peroxide); Table S2: Impact at midpoint level for the degradation process of 90% of 20 \( \mu M \) BPA in 1 L of water. The used catalyst was Fe3O4/HA (100 mg/L). The processes were carried out changing pH and type of oxidant: persulfate and hydrogen peroxide (both at a concentration of 1 mM); Table S3: Impact at midpoint level for the degradation process of 90% of 20 \( \mu M \) BPA in 1 L of water. The used catalyst was bare Fe3O4 (100 mg/L). The processes were carried out changing pH and type of oxidant: persulfate and hydrogen peroxide (both at a concentration of 1 mM).
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