Measurement of Variations in Gas Refractive Index with $10^{-9}$ Resolution Using Laser Speckle
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ABSTRACT: Highly resolved determination of refractive index is vital in fields ranging from biosensing through to laser range finding. Laser speckle is known to be a sensitive probe of the properties of the light and the environment, but to date speckle-based refractive index measurements have been restricted to $10^{-6}$ resolution. In this work we identify a strategy to optimize the sensitivity of speckle to refractive index changes, namely, by maximizing the width of the distribution of optical path lengths in the medium. We show that this can be realized experimentally by encapsulating the medium of interest within an integrating sphere. While mitigating against laser-induced heating effects, we demonstrate that variations of the refractive index of air as small as $4.5 \times 10^{-9}$ can be resolved with an uncertainty of $7 \times 10^{-10}$. This is an improvement of 3 orders of magnitude when compared to previous speckle-based methods.
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Refractive index is a parameter of importance across most areas of optical measurement. It can be used in cell biology to investigate particular cell metabolic activities or as a probe of other biophysical quantities, and in chemical sensing, it can be used to measure concentrations of liquids. Interferometric measurements of length and displacement in gaseous environments are limited in their accuracy by uncertainties in the refractive index of the environment. High-precision measurements of refractive index have even been proposed as a route to a more accurate definition of the Pascal. Small changes in refractive index can have major implications: Infection can cause the refractive index of red blood cells to change at the $10^{-3}$ level; biosensors measure cell secretion dynamics and protein concentrations by tracking refractive index changes at the $10^{-7}$ level. In optical tweezers experiments exploring the motion of RNA polymerase during transcription, the effect of air currents (which typically modulate the refractive index at the order of $10^{-7}$) caused sufficient position instability of the optical trapping and measurement beams to mask the Å-level motion, even in a sealed environment. There are many methods to measure refractive index using lasers, including hollow core, photonic crystal, or evanescent optical fiber refractometers (fiber-based devices have been recently reviewed in ref 15) and metasurfaces-based refractometers. The most sensitive measurements of refractive index in the literature are variants of double-channel Fabry–Perot cavities, with which refractive index uncertainties of $10^{-12}$ have recently been demonstrated.

Laser speckle, formed when a coherent light field interacts with a disordered medium, is a powerful probe of changes to the laser or the medium itself and is, therefore, an attractive tool to harness sensing applications. The first application of speckle in refractometry was presented half a century ago, and most subsequent work has adopted a similar scheme. A laser beam impinges on a random phase screen to produce a speckle field, which then traverses a medium under investigation, and the changes in the speckle pattern can be used to quantify changes in the refractive index. Speckle has been applied to measurements of the refractive indices of air, glass, and liquids. Recently, by immersing up to three consecutive planar diffusers inside a medium of interest, Tran et al. used the resultant speckle to measure the refractive index with a resolution on the order of $10^{-6}$.

How might one further optimize the sensitivity of a speckle refractometer? A speckle pattern is the result of the interference of many different wave paths. When light propagates in a medium of refractive index $n$, the phase acquired on a given path of length $z$ is $nkz$, with $k$ being the wavenumber. A change in the refractive index therefore applies...
Let us apply this to the case of a change in the refractive index of the medium filling an integrating sphere of radius \( R \). The phase light acquires on a given path of length \( z \) is \( n k z \), with \( n \) being the refractive index and \( k \) being the wavenumber. After a refractive index change, the phase changes by an amount \( \Delta n k z \). It follows that the average phase change on a single path is \( \mu = \Delta n k z \), with \( z \) being the average chord length in the sphere, which is given by geometry to be \( 4R/3 \). Likewise, the standard deviation of chord length is \( \sqrt{2R/3} \). This gives

\[
\mu = \frac{4}{3} \Delta n R \quad \sigma = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \Delta n R
\]

Inserting this into eq 2, it can be shown that the \( \mu \) term dominates, which leaves us with a Lorentzian profile:

\[
S = \frac{1}{1 + \left( \frac{\Delta n}{\Delta n_0} \right)^2}
\]

with \( \Delta n_0 = 3\lambda \ln \rho/(8\pi R) \), which also corresponds to the HWHM of the Lorentzian. For modest parameters such as \( R = 1 \) cm, \( \rho = 0.9 \), and \( \lambda = 780 \) nm, this gives \( \Delta n_0 = 10^{-6} \).

This similarity profile can be used in a very simple way to determine the refractive index difference \( \Delta n \) between two given times by taking the reciprocal function

\[
\Delta n = \Delta n_0 \sqrt{1/S - 1}
\]

with \( S \) being the similarity between the two corresponding speckles. As we will show, refractive index changes much smaller than \( \Delta n_0 \) can be resolved; the ultimate resolution of the method mainly depends on the wavelength noise and detector noise.

### EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

In this section, we experimentally verify eq 4, with the setup described in Figure 1. A laser beam of wavelength 780 nm, 10 mW of power, and a coherence length of a few kilometers (Toptica DLPro) is injected into an integrating sphere, and the resulting speckle pattern is collected on a CMOS camera (Mikrotron MotionBLITZ EoSens mini2). We use a 1.25 cm
radius sphere that is carved into a 3 cm edge aluminum cube and coated with Spectrafect to make a Lambertian and highly reflective surface. The light enters and escapes the sphere through two 3 mm diameter holes. The sphere is placed in a 2490 ± 50 mL stainless steel chamber that is hermetically sealed using CF flanges and copper gaskets.

The refractive index variations are obtained by slightly compressing the air inside the chamber using a 100 mL syringe connected to the chamber via a needle valve. The syringe is compressed at a constant rate of 4.0 mL s\(^{-1}\) using a motorized translating stage while the changing speckle is recorded. From this we extract the similarity profile as a function of refractive index change shown in Figure 2. The value of the refractive index change is inferred from the volume change by the following. The fact that the chamber is sealed implies \(\Delta n/n' = \Delta \rho_{air}/\rho_{air} \approx -\Delta V/V\), with \(n = 1 + n'\) being the refractive index of the air inside the chamber, \(\rho_{air}\) is its density, and \(V\) is the chamber's volume, assuming \(n' \propto \rho_{air}\) (Gladstone–Dale law)\(^{33}\) and \(\Delta V \ll V\). It follows that the refractive index change is given by \(\Delta n = -n'\Delta V/V\), with \(n' = 2.7 \times 10^{-4}\) for our measured values of \(\lambda = 780\ \text{nm}, 20\ \text{°C},\) and 100.5 kPa\(^{34}\). The main source of uncertainty is the volume of the chamber (2\%).

In Figure 2 we also display the uncertainty of the profile, given by the standard deviation of a set of curves extracted from the data set. The HWHM is 6.5 × 10\(^{-5}\).

**Figure 2.** Speckle similarity as a function of refractive index change, experimental (black dots) and Lorentzian profile predicted by model (red line), fitted for a reflectivity \(\rho = 0.916\). The center and span of the error bars, respectively, give the mean and standard deviation of a set of curves extracted from the data set. The HWHM is 6.5 × 10\(^{-5}\).

Small refractive index changes are applied using a syringe instead of a syringe, which can apply much smaller volume changes. We press and release the pipet in a square wave manner with a period of about a second, with a 40 \(\mu\)L volume load. This corresponds to a fractional volume change of \((1.61 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5}\), from which we infer an expected refractive index change of \((4.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-9}\). We compute the similarity between the reference speckle and the speckles undergoing change, which is then converted to refractive index difference using eq 5. The resulting curve is shown in Figure 3. The first value of the time series is subtracted, so that what is displayed is the refractive index variation applied by the pipet. We find steps of amplitude \((4.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}\), which is consistent with the expected value.

**Figure 3.** Measurement of small periodic steps in the refractive index, applied by changing the volume of the chamber by 40 \(\mu\)L using a pipet, corresponding to a fractional volume change of \((1.61 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5}\). We find a step amplitude of \((4.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}\), in accord with the expected value of \((4.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-9}\).

In this section we describe the measurement of refractive index variations that are much smaller than \(\Delta n_{0}\). For such variations, using eq 5 directly is not ideal, as for \(\Delta n \approx 0\), we have \(dS/d\Delta n \approx 0\). This problem would be solved if we could look at small variations of the similarity around its point of maximal slope, which occurs at \(\Delta n = \Delta n_{0}\) instead of around \(\Delta n = 0\). This, in fact, can be done by purposely applying an initial refractive index variation of \(\Delta n_{0}\) prior to the measurement. In this way, the similarity, taken between a speckle before and after the initial \(\Delta n_{0}\) leap, varies around a value of 0.5 with maximal sensitivity. In our setup, this initial variation could be applied by changing the volume of the chamber by 6.0 mL. However, a simpler way is to make use of the equivalence that exists between refractive index variation and wavelength variation. Specifically, the phase shift resulting from a wavelength change on a path of length \(z\) is \(n\Delta k\), which is of the same form as what we found for a refractive index change \((\Delta n/k)\). As both phase shifts are proportional to \(z\), equating them on one path equates them on all paths, and the two effects are physically equivalent when \(\Delta n = n\Delta k/k \approx -\Delta \lambda/\lambda\) (with \(n \approx 1\) and \(\Delta \lambda\) small). This means that the same change in a speckle pattern occurs after a refractive index change \(\Delta n\) or after a wavelength change \(\Delta \lambda = -\lambda\Delta n\). We can therefore bring the similarity to its point of maximal slope by applying an appropriate wavelength offset, in our case, equal to 0.5 pm.

We proceed in the following way. A reference speckle is first recorded at an initial wavelength. The wavelength is then offset by about 0.5 pm (this does not need to be precise). Thereafter, small refractive index changes are applied using a pipet instead of a syringe, which can apply much smaller volume changes. We press and release the pipet in a square wave manner with a period of about a second, with a 40 \(\mu\)L volume load. This corresponds to a fractional volume change of \((1.61 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-5}\), from which we infer an expected refractive index change of \((4.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-9}\). We compute the similarity between the reference speckle and the speckles undergoing change, which is then converted to refractive index difference using eq 5. The resulting curve is shown in Figure 3. The first value of the time

**Figure 2.** Speckle similarity as a function of refractive index change, experimental (black dots) and Lorentzian profile predicted by model (red line), fitted for a reflectivity \(\rho = 0.916\). The center and span of the error bars, respectively, give the mean and standard deviation of a set of curves extracted from the data set. The HWHM is 6.5 × 10\(^{-5}\).
we also include laser wavelength fluctuations, as this sets a fundamental limit to performance. We now have

\[ \delta n = 2\Delta n_0\delta S - \frac{\delta \lambda}{\lambda} \]  

(6)

where we used the fact described above that refractive index variations are equivalent to wavelength variations when \( \Delta n = -\Delta \lambda / \lambda \). From this we infer the uncertainty relation

\[ \sigma_n^2 = (2\Delta n_0\sigma_S)^2 + \left( \frac{\sigma_{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^2 \]  

(7)

where \( \sigma \) denotes the uncertainty (standard deviation of the noise) on each quantity. \( \sigma_S \) is dominated by the Poisson noise on each individual pixel, which propagates to the estimation of the similarity, and \( \sigma_{\lambda} \) is a property of the laser source used. Fluctuations in the input beam polarization, position, or angle produce only negligible contributions to the noise, as the similarity of speckle from an integrating sphere is largely independent of path-independent effects.  

When \( \sigma_{\lambda} \) dominates, which is the case in our experiment, we have \( \sigma_n = 2\Delta n_0\sigma_S \). In principle, \( \sigma_S \) could be determined analytically knowing the probability law of the noise on each pixel and the explicit expression of the similarity, but this turns out to be a very difficult problem. Instead, we find an empirical law for \( \sigma_S \) approximately given by \( 0.1 / \sqrt{N} \) for our camera and illumination conditions, with \( N \) being the number of pixels (for our image size, 200 \( \times \) 200, this gives \( \sigma_S \approx 5 \times 10^{-4} \)). Inserting the expressions of \( \Delta n_0 \) and \( \sigma_S \), we find

\[ \sigma_n \approx \frac{3\ln n}{4\pi R\sqrt{N}} \]  

(8)

With our parameters, this gives \( \sigma_n = 7 \times 10^{-10} \), which is in accord with the level of noise found in Figure 3.

As \( \sigma_{\lambda} \) is reduced by increasing image size, the \( \sigma_n \) term may become dominant, which sets a lower limit to performance with an uncertainty \( \sigma_n = \sigma_{\lambda} / \lambda \). Note that estimating the similarity noise as a function of image size can serve as a way to measure \( \sigma_{\lambda} \). Indeed, if a plateau is reached as the image size is increased, \( \sigma_{\lambda} \) can be inferred from the value of that plateau.

In this work, we use a laser with low wavelength noise (less than 0.1 fm) and a standard CMOS camera. Therefore, we are in the first case described above where camera noise dominates. However, this cannot be the reason for the lower uncertainty compared to other reported works. Indeed, the best performance found in the literature uses a HeNe laser, and if the reported uncertainty (2 \( \times \) 10^{-6}) were to be attributed to wavelength noise, we would have \( \sigma_{\lambda} = 3 \) pm, which is much higher than the typical fm-level noise of a wavelength-stabilized HeNe laser. As the effect of camera noise increases with the HWHM, it is probably the case that this is the dominant source of uncertainty in most other works reported in the literature.

### HEATING EFFECT AND COMPENSATION

When the system described in Figure 1 is left on its own without applying any transformation, we still observe a slow change in the speckle pattern over time. When quantifying this change by the similarity, we obtain the curve shown in Figure 4, which (surprisingly) is also well fitted by a Lorentzian profile, with a HWHM of 7.6 min. We make the hypothesis that this time evolution comes from heating due to the input light. Inside the sphere, the diffusion of light is such that the surface power density is uniform across the inner surface. Therefore, in a steady state, nearly all the input power is absorbed uniformly on the inner surface (the power of the escaping light is negligible). The heat can then either be conducted to the material or to the air inside the sphere.

In the case of the material, an order of magnitude estimation shows that the heat diffusion time is very short (of the order of seconds) so that we can assume the increase in temperature to be uniform throughout the material. This temperature increase, in turn, leads to an isotropic thermal expansion of the sphere. The effect of such an expansion can be found analytically: the phase acquired by the field on a given path of length \( z \) being \( nkz \), and as an isotropic expansion increases all lengths by a factor \( \Delta R / R \) (with \( \Delta R \) the variation of radius resulting from the expansion), the resulting average phase shift on a single pass is \( 4k\Delta R / 3 \) (with \( n \approx 1 \)). Assuming in a first approximation that the heat remains stored in the sphere’s material, we have \( \Delta R \propto t \), which inserted in eq 2 indeed leads to a Lorentzian profile in time. One could expect that the increase in temperature of the sphere’s material in turn induces a heat flux from the sphere to the surrounding air, leading to thermal equilibrium and a stop to the thermal expansion. However, we observed that the HWHM of the Lorentzian does not change significantly in over 80 min of measurements, meaning that no thermal equilibrium is reached in that time.

This indicates that the approximation of the heat remaining in the sphere’s material is valid at least in that time scale. Given that after a time equal to the HWHM we have the relation \( 4k\Delta R / 3 = \ln \rho \), we can infer that the radius of the sphere increases by 8.1 nm every 7.6 min by thermal expansion, which corresponds to a speed of 1.1 nm·min^{-1} or 18 pm·s^{-1}.

We also see that, as the phase shift due to thermal expansion has the same form as that of a refractive index change, we can also draw an equivalence between those two effects, given by the substitution \( \Delta n = \Delta R / R \). This means that we can apply a refractive index change to compensate the thermal expansion. Denoting the observed HWHM of the Lorentzian profile in time as \( t_0 \), it can be shown that the volume rate that must be applied to compensate the expansion is \( V = -3V \ln \rho / (4n^0 kRt_0) \). For \( t_0 = 7.6 \) min, as found in Figure 4, and with the
same parameters as above, we have $V = 0.79 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$. The volume of the chamber was continuously increased at this rate in the measurement of Figure 3 to compensate for the thermal expansion. Here, contrary to the previous measurement, a change in volume is simpler to implement than a change in wavelength. That is because here the applied rate of change is small and constant in time, which is simpler to implement using a syringe on a commercial syringe pump (WPI AL2000).

In future developments, compensation of the heating effect could also be accomplished via a steady wavelength increase or via a direct temperature stabilization of the sphere. On the latter option, we can be more quantitative regarding the change in volume. That is because here the applied rate of change is especially higher in magnitude than that of the sphere. Here, contrary to the previous measurement, a change in the medium of interest, it is more important to maximize the path length distribution within the medium. In particular, we have demonstrated that an integrating sphere, in which light has a broad path length distribution, offers a simple, yet sensitive probe of refractive index change of the medium it encloses. We quantified the change in the speckle pattern using the similarity (eq 1), analytically demonstrated that this takes a Lorentzian form as a function of refractive index change (eq 4), and verified it experimentally. We gave a general expression for the HWHM of the similarity curve, and found that it depends mainly on the radius and surface reflectivity of the sphere, which paves the way for possible optimizations. In our setup, we found the HWHM to be $6.5 \times 10^{-7}$.

We exploited this high sensitivity to measure small refractive index variations of amplitude $4.5 \times 10^{-9}$ with an uncertainty of $7 \times 10^{-10}$. Our method allows a level of uncertainty comparable to the current state of the art techniques, but with a significantly simpler implementation. On the other hand, it allows the measurement of variations (instead of absolute values) in the refractive index and requires some care regarding heating effects due to the input laser light. We investigated this heating effect and found that $10 \text{ mW}$ of laser power induces an increase in the sphere’s radius of $1.1 \text{ nm}$ every minute by thermal expansion. This, however, can be compensated by applying either an appropriate volume or wavelength change and could also be reduced in future devices by a more judicious choice of the material from which the integrating sphere is constructed.

Important, the measurements presented here are 3 orders of magnitude more highly resolved than previous implementations based on laser speckle. Developments to the existing apparatus to improve both the temperature stability and the resolution will be guided by the progress made in state-of-the-art Fabry–Perot systems. In particular, the choice of material for the integrating sphere, plus the addition of active cooling, will significantly improve the thermal stability. Moreover, the use of shorter laser wavelength, larger image arrays and especially higher-reflectivity coating inside the sphere offer significant opportunities to measure even smaller refractive index variations.

![Figure 5. Effect of laser power on the rate of change of the speckle pattern.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.1c01355)

In summary, we proposed a route to optimize speckle-based measurements of refractive index. While intuition suggests that the correct strategy is to maximize the path length of light in the medium of interest, it is more important to maximize the width of the path length distribution within the medium. In particular, we have demonstrated that an integrating sphere, in which light has a broad path length distribution, offers a simple...
index changes. In the context of wavelength measurements, alternative forms of speckle analysis, such as principal component analysis and deep learning, have been shown to improve resolution and could also be applied to speckle refractometry. This work is most likely to find applications in chemical sensing, in particular, the detection of trace gases or small concentrations of chemicals in liquids. The study of the heating effect also suggests applications in the measurement of small temperature variations.
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