The Most Effective Gold Nanorod Size for Plasmonic Photothermal Therapy: Theory and In Vitro Experiments
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ABSTRACT: The development of new and improved photothermal contrast agents for the successful treatment of cancer (or other diseases) via plasmonic photothermal therapy (PPTT) is a crucial part of the application of nanotechnology in medicine. Gold nanorods (AuNRs) have been found to be the most effective photothermal contrast agents, both in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, determining the optimum AuNR size needed for applications in PPTT is of great interest. In the present work, we utilized theoretical calculations as well as experimental techniques in vitro to determine this optimum AuNR size by comparing plasmonic properties and the efficacy as photothermal contrast agents of three different sizes of AuNRs. Our theoretical calculations showed that the contribution of absorbance to the total extinction, the electric field, and the distance at which this field extends away from the nanoparticle surface all govern the effectiveness of the amount of heat these particles generate upon NIR laser irradiation. Comparing between three different AuNRs (38 × 11, 28 × 8, and 17 × 5 nm), we determined that the 28 × 8 nm AuNR is the most effective in plasmonic photothermal heat generation. These results encouraged us to carry out in vitro experiments to compare the PPTT efficacy of the different sized AuNRs. The 28 × 8 nm AuNR was found to be the most effective photothermal contrast agent for PPTT of human oral squamous cell carcinoma. This size AuNR has the best compromise between the total amount of light absorbed and the fraction of which is converted to heat. In addition, the distance at which the electric field extends from the particle surface is most ideal for this size AuNR, as it is sufficient to allow for coupling between the fields of adjacent particles in solution (i.e., particle aggregates), resulting in effective heating in solution.

INTRODUCTION

Plasmonic photothermal therapy (PPTT) for the treatment of cancer has received a great deal of attention in recent years, especially with the advent of new photothermal contrast agents. In the past decade, specifically, there has been much progress in the development of plasmonic nanoparticles for photothermal therapy applications due to their unique optical properties, namely, their localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR), as well as their inherently low toxicities. The unique plasmonic properties of nanoparticles can be exploited in photothermal therapy by coherently photoexciting their conduction electrons to induce surface plasmon oscillations. Upon surface plasmon formation, nonradiative relaxation occurs through electron–phonon and phonon–phonon coupling, efficiently generating localized heat that can be transferred to the surrounding environment. This conversion of photon energy to thermal energy is useful in biomedical applications, such as plasmonic photothermal therapy of cancer.

In PPTT, thermal energy generated can induce temperature increases of more than 20 °C (i.e., hyperthermia), which can thereby induce tumor tissue ablation. This was first demonstrated in vitro, by Lin and co-workers in 2003, using antibody-conjugated spherical gold nanoparticle-labeled lymphocytes and a nanosecond pulsed visible laser. A few years later, El-Sayed and co-workers also used visible light and antibody-conjugated spherical gold nanoparticles for the selective photothermal ablation of epithelial carcinoma cells in vitro. Although visible light is successful in destroying cells labeled with spherical gold nanoparticles, the need for radiation to penetrate deep into tissues, with minimal attenuation by water and hemoglobin, is desired for the practical application of PPTT. Near-infrared (NIR) external radiation is capable of achieving this, such that it can penetrate up to 10 cm in soft tissues (termed the NIR tissue transmission window, 650–900 nm). By changing the shape and composition of the nanoparticle, the surface plasmon absorption can be shifted into the NIR transmission window. With this in mind, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) that absorb in the NIR tissue transmission window were developed by Halas and co-workers (silica–gold core–shell nanoparticles), El-Sayed and co-workers (rod-shaped AuNPs), as well as Xia and co-
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Gold Nanorod (AuNR) Synthesis and PEG Conjugation. The large AuNRs were synthesized via the seed-mediated growth method. Briefly, a seed solution consisting of 7.5 mL of 0.2 M CTAB, 2.5 mL of 1.0 mM HAuCl₄, and 600 μL of 0.01 M NaBH₄ is prepared, followed by a growth solution containing 100 mL of 1.0 mM HAuCl₄, 100 mL of 0.2 M CTAB, 5 mL of 4.0 mM silver nitrate, and 1.4 mL of 78.8 mM ascorbic acid. A 240 μL volume of the seed solution is added to the growth solution, producing AuNRs approximately 38 nm in length and 11 nm in width, as displayed in Figure 1A. The surface plasmon resonance (SPR) of these AuNRs is around 740 nm.

Two different, smaller, AuNRs were synthesized by a seedless growth method. In this method, the growth solution was kept at an acidic pH and sodium borohydride was added instead of a seed solution, for simultaneous seed formation and AuNR growth. To obtain AuNRs approximately 28 nm in length and 8 nm in width (Figure 1B), 300 μL of 0.01 M NaBH₄ was prepared and added to an acidic growth solution containing 160 μL of 37% HCl, 100 mL of 1.0 mM HAuCl₄, 100 mL of 0.2 M CTAB, 5 mL of 4.0 mM silver nitrate, and 1.4 mL of 78.8 mM ascorbic acid. The SPR of these AuNRs is around 770 nm. To obtain AuNRs approximately 17 nm in length and 5 nm in width (Figure 1C), 150 μL of 0.01 M NaBH₄ was prepared and added to an acidic growth solution containing 160 μL of 37% HCl, 50 mL of 1.0 mM HAuCl₄, 100 mL of 0.2 M CTAB, 5 mL of 4.0 mM silver nitrate, and 700 μL of 78.8 mM ascorbic acid. The SPR of these AuNRs is around 755 nm. All CTAB-stabilized AuNRs were also purified by centrifugation and redispersed in dI H₂O.

After purification, the various AuNRs were functionalized with polyethylene glycol (mPEG-SH, MW 5000, Laysan Bio, Inc.) and left on a shaker overnight, after which they were centrifuged and redispersed in dI H₂O.

Photothermal Heating of AuNRs in Solution. The as-synthesized (i.e., CTAB capped) AuNRs were diluted in dI H₂O, such that the three AuNR solutions had either the same concentration of particles or the same optical density (OD). The AuNR concentrations were calculated on the basis of the previously determined extinction coefficients for the 17 × 5 nm AuNRs (7.9 × 10⁻⁶ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), 28 × 8 nm AuNRs (1.5 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), and 38 × 11 nm AuNRs (4.0 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). A 500 μL volume of AuNRs, in a microcentrifuge tube, was exposed to a near-infrared (NIR) cw laser (808 nm) at 5.8 W/cm² (spot size around 5.6 mm) at increasing irradiation times. The temperature increase of the solution was measured by placing a 33 gauge hypodermic thermocouple (Omega) directly
AuNR Heating in Cell Culture and Cell Viability Assay.

Human oral squamous cell carcinoma (HSC-3) cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Mediatech) supplemented with 10% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS, Mediatech) and 1% v/v antifungal solution (Mediatech). The cell culture was kept in a 37 °C, 5% CO₂, humidified incubator. HSC-3 cells were grown in 96-well tissue culture plates overnight. After which, the growth media was removed and replaced with growth media containing PEG-AuNRs at optical densities of 0.5 (17 × 5, 28 × 8, and 38 × 11 nm AuNRs) and 1.5 (38 × 11 nm AuNRs). The UV–vis spectra of PEG-AuNRs in growth media were not significantly altered by changing their environment from water to growth media (see the Supporting Information, Figure S2), confirming their stability. After a 2 h incubation time, the cells were exposed to a NIR cw laser (808 nm) at 5.8 W/cm² (spot size around 5.6 mm) at increasing irradiation times. The temperature increase was measured by placing a 33 gauge hypodermic thermocouple (Omega) directly into the culture medium. For normalization purposes, all initial temperatures were 32 ± 1 °C. The cell viability was determined via an XTT cell viability assay kit (Biotium, Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Statistical Analysis. Results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviations of three independent experiments. Statistical significance (i.e., p-value) was calculated by a t-test calculator (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Statistically significant data is indicated by * (p-value <0.05).

THEORETICAL METHODS

DDA Calculations. The optical response of a gold nanorod with varying dimensions (38 × 10 nm, 25 × 7 nm, and 18 × 4 nm) was calculated using the DDA method with the DDSCAT 6.1 code offered publicly by Draine and Flatau 41 with modifications by Goodman 42 and Schatz. 43 The dielectric values for gold reported by Johnson and Christy 46 were used. The incident light is always polarized along the length of the particle (i.e., longitudinal mode) in this report, and the medium surrounding the particle was represented as water with a refractive index of 1.333. The nanorods were modeled as cylinders with hemispherical end-caps.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Size-Dependent Photothermal Heat Conversion: Experiment. Gold nanorods (AuNRs) were synthesized with lengths of around 38 nm (Figure 1A), 28 nm (Figure 1B), and 17 nm (Figure 1C). The longitudinal plasmon resonances of the different AuNRs are around 740, 770, and 755 nm, respectively. With the knowledge that the percentage of the extinction that a plasmonic nanoparticle can convert into heat increases as nanoparticle size is decreased, 30,31 we expected that the smaller AuNRs would generate more heat than the larger AuNRs when exposed to near-infrared (NIR) cw laser irradiation (808 nm). However, we also know that the value of the extinction itself decreases as the nanoparticle size decreases; thus, it is expected that there is an optimum AuNR size that is most efficient at generating heat via NIR irradiation. Therefore, we determined the photothermal heat conversion factor, per particle, in order to directly compare the difference in heat generated by the different AuNRs upon NIR irradiation at increasing time intervals. This was done by preparing 10 nM solutions of the three different AuNRs (see the Experimental Methods for details). The solutions were then exposed to NIR radiation at 5.8 W/cm² (spot size around 5.6 mm). Upon determining the increase in temperature for the AuNR solutions, the change in temperature per AuNR was calculated and multiplied by a factor of 1011 in order to simplify the values.
being compared. Figure 2 compares the photothermal heat conversion factor of each different AuNR tested in this work.
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As the NIR laser irradiation time is increased, the photothermal heat conversion factor increases, especially for AuNRs that are 28 nm in length. At an exposure time of 2 min, the photothermal heat conversion factor for the 17, 28, and 38 nm AuNRs is 1.21, 2.48, and 1.77, respectively. This indicates that the 28 nm AuNRs exhibit the greatest photothermal heat conversion, which was unexpected, since we expected that the smaller AuNRs would generate more heat upon NIR laser irradiation. Therefore, a thorough investigation of the plasmonic properties of these three different nanoparticles, as well as their efficacy in plasmonic photothermal therapy, is warranted.

**Size-Dependent Electromagnetic Field: Theory.** Since the experimental photothermal heat conversion factor per particle could potentially correspond to the field enhancement around the particle, the discrete dipole approximation (DDA) was used to generate field contour plots for three different AuNRs (38 × 10 nm, 25 × 7 nm, and 18 × 4 nm) shown in Figure 3. The laser wavelength (808 nm) used for experimental heating did not exactly correspond to the plasmon resonances of the AuNRs; therefore, in the DDA calculations, the AuNRs were similarly excited off resonance. We also compared the field enhancement values for the three AuNRs (38 × 10, 25 × 7, and 18 × 4 nm) on resonance, at their respective resonance wavelengths (786, 757, and 865 nm), which can be seen in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information. Experimentally, the particles were excited at 808 nm (i.e., off resonance), at which point the extinction value of the AuNRs was decreased by 15% (18 × 4 nm), 6% (25 × 7 nm), and 46% (38 × 10 nm), compared to their maximum value (Figure 1). In order to account for this theoretically, we calculated the electromagnetic field contours at 804 nm for the 38 × 10 nm AuNR, 761 nm for the 25 × 7 nm AuNR, and 875 nm for the 18 × 4 nm AuNR, which are the wavelengths where the DDA calculated extinction decreased by 46%, 6%, and 15% from its maximum value, respectively. As shown in Figure 3, the maximum fields generated are 3500, 5220, and 5480 for the 38 × 10, 25 × 7, and 18 × 4 nm AuNRs, respectively. It should also be noted that the field maximum for the 25 × 7 nm AuNRs is 1.5 times that of the 38 × 10 nm AuNRs, which is consistent with the experimentally determined photothermal heat conversion factor being 1.4 times greater than that of the 38 nm AuNRs.

This trend of increasing electromagnetic field with decreasing particle size is expected but does not necessarily correlate with what was seen experimentally for the photothermal heat conversion factor (Figure 2). The smallest AuNRs had the smallest photothermal heat conversion factor, suggesting that they would have the weakest electromagnetic field, but they in fact have the strongest field according to our calculations. Therefore, another factor involved in the photothermal heat conversion could be the distance at which the field decays. In order to achieve overall heating of the surrounding medium, as opposed to local heating around the particle, the field needs to extend a certain distance away from the particle surface, such that field coupling between particles can occur, resulting in effective solution heating. Because the field decays exponentially from the particle surface, both the maximum field enhancement value and particle size play a role in how far the enhanced field extends away from the particle. Therefore, also shown in Figure 3 are the distances at which the field has decayed to a value of 1.25. The smallest AuNR (18 × 4 nm) does indeed have the strongest field, but it only extends 15.17 nm from the nanoparticle surface before it has decayed to a value of 1.25, while the 25 × 7 nm AuNR has a slightly weaker field maximum, but the field extends out to 24.66 nm from the nanoparticle surface. The largest AuNR (38 × 10 nm) has the weakest field maximum but has the largest distance at which the field decays to 1.25 (39.28 nm).
The distance at which the field decays is relevant in terms of the experimental photothermal heat conversion determined for these AuNRs, such that, although the smallest AuNR (18 × 4 nm) has the strongest field, it does not extend far enough from the nanoparticle surface to achieve sufficient overall experimental heating of the 10 nM AuNR solution. The concentration of the smallest AuNRs must be at least 20 nM (i.e., an increase in the particle aggregation) in order for the solution temperature to reach that which is comparable to the other AuNRs at 10 nM concentrations (see the Supporting Information, Table S1). The necessity for this prohibitively high concentration of the smallest AuNRs renders these particles impractical for applications in which overall heating of the solution temperature to reach that which is comparable to the AuNRs in solution, thus reducing effective overall heating.

**Size-Dependent Absorbance: Theory.** To further investigate the plasmonic properties of the different sized AuNRs, which influence their differences in photothermal heat conversion, DDA calculations were done to determine the contributions of absorbance and scattering to the total extinction of the particles. In Figure 4, the DDA spectra show that the plasmon resonances for the longitudinal mode of the 38, 25, and 18 nm AuNRs are at 786, 757, and 865 nm, respectively. Additionally, the total extinction increases with increasing particle size, with the contribution from scattering also increasing with particle size, as expected.\(^{30,31}\) The absorbance:scattering ratio for the 38, 25, and 18 nm AuNRs is 63.8, 204, and 921, respectively. Therefore, comparing the smaller AuNRs to the 38 nm AuNRs, the absorbance:scattering ratio is 3.2 times greater for the 25 nm AuNRs and 14.4 times greater for the 18 nm AuNRs. This suggests that the experimental photothermal heating of AuNR solutions would be equivalent when the optical density of 38 nm AuNRs is about 3 times that of the 28 nm AuNRs and about 14 times that of the 17 nm AuNRs.

**Size-Dependent Absorbance: Experiment.** In order to experimentally correlate the calculated absorbance:scattering ratio to photothermal heat conversion, we looked at the NIR photothermal heating of the different sized AuNRs at varying extinctions (optical densities). Specifically, as shown in Figure 5, the smaller AuNRs (17 and 28 nm) with an OD of 0.5 demonstrate statistically significant enhanced photothermal heating (increase by 15 °C) compared to that of the 38 nm AuNRs at OD 0.5 after 2 min of NIR laser exposure. Interestingly, the small AuNRs (17 and 28 nm) at OD 0.5 and the large AuNRs (38 nm) with OD 1.5 exhibit about the same change in temperature after 2 min of NIR laser exposure. This shows that a 3-fold increase in the optical density, the same difference in the absorbance:scattering ratio predicted by DDA, was needed to achieve the same temperature increase. Again, the 28 × 8 and 38 × 11 nm AuNRs experimentally agree with the theoretical calculations for the 25 × 7 and 38 × 10 nm AuNRs. On the basis of the absorbance:scattering ratios calculated for individual particles, the 17 × 4 nm AuNRs would exhibit a higher temperature increase than the other larger AuNRs, but this is not observed. Again, the aggregation of plasmonic particles in solution is suggested as an important factor governing effective heat conversion, such that the distance at which the field extends from the surface of the AuNR needs to be far enough to allow for coupling with fields of nearby AuNRs, which we show is not the case for this small size AuNR.

**Size-Dependent In Vitro Plasmonic Photothermal Efficacy in HSC-3 Cancer Cells.** The enhanced photothermal heat conversion observed with the 28 nm AuNRs suggests that
these nanoparticles would have great potential as photothermal contrast agents in plasmonic photothermal therapy (PPTT). Therefore, we used HSC-3 cells (oral squamous cell carcinoma), in vitro, to compare the efficacy of the three different PEG-AuNRs for photothermal ablation. Our in vitro experiments essentially represent a situation in which the malignant cells are surrounded by a solution containing the photothermal contrast agents (i.e., PEG-AuNRs). These in vitro results can perhaps be expanded to the in vivo regime, in which a tumor is directly injected with AuNRs. HSC-3 cells were treated with the three different PEG-AuNRs for 2 h before exposure to NIR radiation. The cells were irradiated at 5.8 W/cm² for 0.5, 1, and 2 min, and the temperature increase was directly measured in the cell culture using a hypodermic thermocouple. The change in temperature observed for the different PEG-AuNRs in the cell culture is shown in Figure 6.

It is clear that, at the same OD, the temperature increase is greater for the smaller PEG-AuNRs (17 and 28 nm) than for the large PEG-AuNRs (38 nm). When the optical density of the large PEG-AuNRs was made to be 3 times that of the smaller PEG-AuNRs, as suggested by the absorbance:scattering ratios determined with DDA (Figure 4) and the photothermal heating in solution (Figure 5), the temperature increase was similar to that of both smaller PEG-AuNRs. These temperature increases indicate hyperthermia, which is a well-established mode of tumor tissue ablation. Therefore, it is important to assess the outcome of these temperature increases by determining the cell death associated with the AuNR-induced plasmonic photothermal hyperthermia. As shown in Figure 7, the cell viability decreases with increasing NIR laser irradiation times, as would be expected. Also interesting here is that the greatest amount of cell death, at any exposure time, is observed for the 28 nm PEG-AuNRs with an OD of 0.5. The 17 nm PEG-AuNRs with an optical density of 0.5 and the 38 nm PEG-AuNRs with an optical density of 1.5 show a higher cell viability but not statistically significant enough to claim it as different from that of the 28 nm PEG-AuNRs (OD 0.5). The 38 nm PEG-AuNRs at OD 0.5 do not show any significant change in cell viability upon NIR laser exposure at any of the exposure times tested here.

■ CONCLUSION

We have clearly shown, both theoretically and experimentally in vitro, that there are limitations in the AuNR size when choosing the best photothermal contrast agent for use in plasmonic photothermal therapy (PPTT). It is clear from the agreement between experimental and theoretical results presented above that the 28 nm AuNRs are capable of producing more heat via NIR cw laser irradiation than the larger, more conventional (38 nm) AuNRs and even the smaller (17 nm) AuNRs. The initial disagreement between theory and experiment for the smallest individual AuNR investigated (17 nm) suggests the importance of nanoparticle aggregation in solution. Although the AuNR with dimensions of 17 × 5 nm has a high absorbance:scattering ratio and an extremely intense electromagnetic field at its surface, this field does not extend far enough from the surface to allow for coupling between fields of adjacent particles (i.e., aggregated particles) in solution, for effective photothermal heat conversion to occur. With AuNRs having dimensions around 38 × 11 nm, the particle is so large that, although it exhibits a high extinction cross section, most of the extinction is attributed to scattering instead of absorption, and thus less heat is generated upon experimental NIR laser irradiation. The AuNR having dimensions of around 28 × 8 nm exhibits the most ideal size for the application as a photothermal contrast agent. This size nanorod has an intense electromagnetic field that extends far enough from the particle surface to allow for field coupling between particle aggregates, resulting in enhanced experimental photothermal heating in solution. In addition, with this size nanorod, although having a lower extinction cross section, the majority of the extinction is attributed to absorption, allowing for high photothermal heat conversion upon experimental NIR laser irradiation. These theoretical and experimental observations lead to the conclusion, as shown in our in vitro experiments, that the 28 × 8 nm AuNRs are more effective photothermal contrast agents than either the 38 × 11 or 17 × 5 nm AuNRs, for the photothermal ablation of cancer cells. A full assessment of these newly investigated AuNRs should be done in order to
determine their efficacy in vivo as well as their toxicity, compared with the more conventional photothermal contrast agents. This work has the potential to aid in the development of a more effective PPTT for the treatment of disease.
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