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Critical factors leading to wound complications in amputated patients: low hematocrit levels
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ABSTRACT

Background: Patients with diabetes-induced lower extremity infection and gangrene suffer from post-amputation wound complications. The aim of this report is to identify critical factors leading to wound complications in amputated patients.

Methods: 50 patients with ipsilateral transmetatarsal (TMA) or finger amputation treated in Istanbul University Medical Faculty between 2001 and 2013 were retrospectively reviewed. Amputations were caused by diabetic foot infection. None of the patients had peripheral artery disease (ABPI>1.1).

Results: In 9 (18%) patients, revision was required despite appropriate antibiotherapy after amputation. 7 (78%) of these patients were women, 8 (89%) were smokers and hematocrit levels were below 25% in all of them. 4 of the 5 patients (80%) with chronic kidney disease were among the patients in need of revision.

Conclusion: The risk of wound complications after amputation is high. These complications increase morbidity and treatment costs. This study showed that low hematocrit value is a risk factor for the development of wound infection after amputation.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetic foot is a chronic complication that progresses with necrosis of deep tissues as a result of the development of neuropathy and neuro-ischemia in the lower limbs.¹ Those patients who have peripheral artery disease have a higher risk.

Diabetic foot ulcer is a complication that affects 15% of patients with diabetes at any time in their lives. It is associated with diabetic neuropathy and its incidence has increased.² If the ulceration is not treated properly, it causes a dramatic process that leads to amputations. Neuropathy, neuro-ischemia, and infections play a role in determining the healing or worsening of the ulcers. In diabetic patients, a severe foot ulcer develops before 85% of all amputations.³

Surgeons often perform total transmetatarsal amputation (TMA) for chronic diabetic infection. The primary goal is to maintain limb function continuity by protecting the ankle joint.⁴ However, in the literature, cases progressing to more proximal amputations between 30% and 60% have been reported.⁵,⁶

In this article, we examined wound development and the factors that affected it in patients undergoing finger amputation or TMA.
METHODS

Ethical approval

Informed consent was obtained from the relatives of each patient before the procedures after explaining the interventions, risks and benefits as a policy of the health system in the country.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) version 24.0 program (SPSS Inc. Chicago IL, USA). Mean and standard deviation values were used to present descriptive analysis. Percentage was used to evaluate nonparametric variables.

Patients

50 patients with ipsilateral transmetatarsal (TMA) or finger amputation treated in Istanbul University Medical Faculty between 2001 and 2013 were retrospectively reviewed. Amputations were caused by diabetic foot infection. None of the patients had peripheral artery disease (ABPI>1.1). The distal pulse examination was normal.

28 of 50 patients (56%) were women. As comorbid risk factors, 11 (22%) patients were obese with body mass index, BMI>30. 26 (52%) patients were smokers for more than 10 packs/year. 6 (12%) patients had chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (with FEV1 <80% and FEV1/FVC<70% with spirometry). 5 patients (10%) were receiving regular dialysis treatment due to chronic kidney disease.

The average age of male patients was 50.6±7.1, and the average age of women was 53.2±5.6. 6 patients (12%) had a history of myocardial infarction. Preoperative hematocrit level was below 25% in 11 patients (22%).

RESULTS

One or more finger amputations were performed in 39 patients (78%), and transmetatarsal amputation was performed in 11 patients (22%). Amoxicillin/clavunate and ciprofloxacin treatment was applied in the preoperative and postoperative period in all patients. No patients had penicillin allergy.

In our study, methicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) seen in 40 (80%) patients, methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) seen in 5 (10%) patients, vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) seen in 2 (4%) patients, 1 (2%) *Clostridium difficile* was seen in 2 patients and more than one bacterial organism seen in 2 (4%) patients. In addition to the classical amoxicillin/clavunate and ciprofloxacin treatments, antibiotherapies of the patients were arranged according to the antibiogram results. Patients they had MRSA took trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, VRE patients took doxycycline, *C. difficile* patients took vancomycin preparations.

Figure 1: Patients had infected distal necrotic wound.

In 9 (18%) patients, revision was required despite appropriate antibiotherapy after amputation. 8 (16%) of the patients who needed further level amputation or debridement due to revision had osteomyelitis. 7 (78%) of these patients were women, 8 (89%) were smokers and hematocrit levels were below 25% in all of them. 4 of the 5 patients (80%) with chronic kidney disease (CKD) were among the patients in need of revision. In addition, all CKD patients had osteomyelitis.

Figure 2: Patients who needed further amputation.

DISCUSSION

Diabetic foot syndrome and related ulcer development is a serious complication and negatively affects life. Although it is worse in those with peripheral arterial disease, it is not necessary for the development of ulcers.

The majority of patients developing arterial disease are exposed to amputation. The most common reason for this is infection. The most reproducing microorganism in the infection material is *Staphylococcus aureus*.7 Swab samples should be taken to determine appropriate antibiotherapy in patients who had infections. According to the swab culture result, the microorganism should be identified, and treatment should be started by evaluating with the antibiogram.
Another important method in controlling the infection is to perform sharp debridements.\(^8\) Patients may still need amputation in the presence of debridement and antibiotherapy, but the amputation level shifts more distally since the spread of infection is limited.

Although we optimally randomized patients, our study had a relatively small number of patients. This was the limitation of this study.

**CONCLUSION**

Smoking and female gender are important risk factors in patients with diabetic foot. Low hematocrit values play an important role in the development of wound infections in these patients. In patients with diabetic foot infection, finger amputation can be tried in the first place for the purpose of low tissue loss. However, more aggressive treatment can be considered in terms of time and resource consumption in female patients, patients undergoing regular dialysis and patients with low hematocrit. At the same time, studies should be conducted for patients receiving antibiotherapy and treatment to increase hematocrit levels.
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