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Abstract

Ocean acidification (OA) can have adverse effects on marine calcifiers. Yet, phototrophic marine calcifiers elevate their external oxygen and pH microenvironment in daylight, through the uptake of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) by photosynthesis. We studied to which extent pH elevation within their microenvironments in daylight can counteract ambient seawater pH reductions, i.e. OA conditions. We measured the $O_2$ and pH microenvironment of four photosymbiotic and two symbiont-free benthic tropical foraminiferal species at three different OA treatments ($<432$, $<1141$ and $2151$ $\mu$atm pCO$_2$). The $O_2$ concentration difference between the seawater and the test surface ($\Delta O_2$) was taken as a measure for the photosynthetic rate. Our results showed that $O_2$ and pH levels were significantly higher on photosymbiotic foraminiferal surfaces in light than in dark conditions, and than on surfaces of symbiont-free foraminifera. Rates of photosynthesis at saturated light conditions did not change significantly between OA treatments (except in individuals that exhibited symbiont loss, i.e. bleaching, at elevated pCO$_2$). The pH at the cell surface decreased during incubations at elevated pCO$_2$, also during light incubations. Photosynthesis increased the surface pH but this increase was insufficient to compensate for ambient seawater pH decreases. We thus conclude that photosynthesis does only partly protect symbiont bearing foraminifera against OA.

Introduction

Ocean acidification has become a major threat to our world's oceans [1]. From preindustrial times until today, atmospheric carbon dioxide (pCO$_2$) concentrations increased from $\sim$280 ppm to $>900$ ppm, and are predicted to rise to $\sim$800 ppm by the end of this century under the IPCC business-as-usual emission scenario (WG 1, A2, [2]), which is likely to be exceeded [1,3]. The current rapid atmospheric CO$_2$ increase is mostly due to anthropogenic induced changes from increased fossil fuel combustion, deforestation and changes in land use and is now greater than at any time in the last 300 million years of Earth's history [4,5,6]. Not only is CO$_2$ a potent greenhouse gas in the atmosphere resulting in global warming, but about one third of the anthropogenic CO$_2$ increase is taken up by the oceans [1,7]. This uptake reduces pH and consequent carbonate saturation state (Ω) of the ocean surface waters, a process generally termed as ‘ocean acidification’ (OA). Phototrophic marine calcifiers (such as coccolithophores, foraminifera, calcareous algae and corals) strongly contribute to the cycling of carbon in our world's oceans, as part of the so called ‘biological pumps’ [8–10]. By changes in ocean chemistry ocean acidification poses a direct threat to most calcifying organisms and consequently the biological pumps [1,11,12].

However, the effect of bulk seawater pH is mediated through the diffusive boundary layer (DBL), which governs transport resistance between the bulk seawater and the organisms' surface. Around phototrophic organisms (including most major calcifiers such as phytoplankton, foraminifera, corals and calcareous algae) DBLs can maintain substantial gradients of $O_2$ and pH to the bulk seawater, due to their high photosynthetic and respiratory activity [13–21]. Especially under daylight conditions, surface pH levels of phototrophic or photosymbiotic organisms can differ strongly ($>0.1$ pH units) from the surrounding seawater [13–21]. It is this surface pH and the resulting gradients within the organisms’ DBL, rather than the bulk seawater pH, which determine ion-availability [17] and consequently transport kinetics between the tissues and surrounding seawater. Microenvironmental pH dynamics are therefore likely to play an important role in physiological responses to ocean acidification. Understanding $O_2$ and pH dynamics and variability within the DBLs under both present day and future OA conditions is therefore essential for all transport involving metabolic processes such as calcification, photosynthesis or respiration.

We hypothesize that OA induced increases of seawater DIC might enhance photosynthesis of photosymbiotic calcifiers and consequently result in increased pH levels on their surfaces in daylight. Thus, the pH DBL might form a shield around the organism protecting it from OA. We studied whether this pH elevation within their microenvironment can protect photosymbiotic calcifiers (or at least partly compensate) from the effects of ocean acidification in daylight and therefore lend additional resistance compared to non photosymbiotic calcifiers. We tested...
this hypothesis by measuring the O$_2$ and pH microenvironment of 4 photosymbiotic and 2 symbiont-free benthic tropical foraminiferal species under different ocean acidification scenarios in light and dark conditions.

Benthic foraminifera represent a good group of model organisms for this study, because compared to most other calcifiers, calcification is periodic rather than continuous, and periods of calcification can be detected visually. Additionally, the process of chamber formation is very sensitive to mechanical disturbances and thus unlikely to occur in short term flume measurements (see material and methods section, also [22–23], reviewed in [24]). Impacts of active calcification on pH microenvironments can thus be excluded during the measurements. In addition, both symbiont-free and photosymbiotic species were tested, allowing for the direct comparison of the effects of net photosynthesis and respiration on O$_2$ and pH microenvironments under equal experimental conditions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling and Culturing**

Specimens of the photosymbiotic species *Marginopora vertebalis*, *Amphistegina radiata*, *Heterostegina depressa*, and *Peneroplis* sp., and the symbiont-free species *Quinqueloculina* sp. and *Miliolidae* sp. were hand collected from coral rubble and other substrates containing foraminiferal assemblages by SCUBA diving during a cruise in the summer months of 2010 in the Whitsunday area, central section of the Great Barrier Marine Park. All necessary permits were obtained prior to field collection from the Great Barrier Marine Park Authority (Permit-No: G09/30237.1). Collection sites included, Bait Reef S 19°30.17’, E 149°07.55’, Daydream Island S 20°15.35’, E 148°48.73’, Shaw Island S 20°31.02’ E 149°04.48’ and Deloraine Island S 20°09.30’, E 149°04.50’ (depth 5–13 m, seawater temperature during collection 28.8±0.2°C (mean ± SD) and salinity 35–36). A detailed description of the sampling sites can be found in Uthicke et al. [25].

After collection, specimens were washed off substrates, cleaned by gentle washing and sieving and identified to species and genus level [26] under a dissecting-microscope (Leica MX16 A, Solms, Germany). Samples were kept in natural seawater (24°C - 26°C) under low light conditions (10 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), until they were transported to the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) in Townsville. Prior to experiments, specimens acclimatized in indoor climatic chambers>3 weeks in natural seawater (replaced every 3 days, sediments removed) at 24° - 26°C, 10 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, 12 h : 12 h diurnal cycling and fed with microalgae (*Isochrysis* sp.). Salinity of nearshore seawater available at the AIMS was diluted (32–34) due to high seasonal rainfall. During culturing and experimental treatments seawater salinity was therefore adjusted to 35 by the addition of sea salt (Sunray, Cheetham Salt, Melbourne, Australia). Salinities were measured using a refractometer (S/Mill-E, Atago, Tokyo, Japan).

**Experimental Setup**

Carbon perturbations experiments were performed by the addition of CO$_2$ enriched air into a semi-closed circulation system of filtered (1 μm) natural seawater. CO$_2$ enriched air (0.2%) was humidified via a system of Erlenmeyer flasks and bubbled into an aerated reservoir tank (30 L), connected to incubation chambers, which contained the organisms (water flow rate 0.5–1.0 cm s$^{-1}$). Gas flow rates and thereby pCO$_2$ levels were regulated via mass flow controllers (accuracy 1.5%, GF17, Aalborg, Orangeburg, NY, USA). The system was allowed to equilibrate for>48 h.

All amperometric and potentiometric microsensor measurements were conducted in a Faraday cage to minimize electrical disturbance. Before the measurements specimens were carefully transferred with a fine brush from the incubation chambers into a flow-cell (1.2 ml volume), connected to the same circulation system. Net flow rates within the flow cell were adjusted volumetrically to 0.50±0.02 cm s$^{-1}$ (mean ± SD), to simulate average natural in situ flow conditions experienced by epifaunal and shallow infaunal foraminifera within the benthic boundary layer of reef environments [27]. Net horizontal flow was monitored ~3 mm above the foraminiferal surface by observing particle movements via a stereo-microscope (K100, Motic, Xiamen, China).

Illumination was provided from above via a fiber-optic guide from a halogen light source (Schott KL2500, Mainz, Germany). Light intensities were monitored with a quantum irradiance meter (LI-250A, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA), combined with a light sensor for photosynthetic active radiation (PAR).

**Microelectrodes**

Clark-type O$_2$ microsensors with a guard cathode (tip diameter ~20 μm, <1 s response time (t$_{90}$), precision 0.05 μM) were constructed and calibrated as previously described [28]. pH measurements were performed by liquid ion exchange (LIX) membrane microelectrodes (tip diameter 5–20 μm, <1 s response time (t$_{90}$), precision 0.01%, on the NBS scale), as previously described by de Beer [29], and a commercial pH meter (pH 1100, Oakton, Vernon Hills, IL, USA). Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations were determined with LIX microelectrodes (tip diameter 5–20 μm, <2 s response time (t$_{90}$), precision 13 μM), which were prepared, calibrated and used as described [30,31]. A detailed description of the measurement setup can be found in Polerocky et al. [32].

**Experimental Procedure and Determination of Microenvironmental Dynamics**

Using a fine brush, foraminifera were positioned horizontally in the middle of the flow cell resting on their central elevations, with the exception of *Marginopora vertebalis*, which exhibits a flat surface structure (Figure 1). Microsensor tips were positioned on the calcite shell surfaces of foraminifera, using a stereo-microscope and a 3D-manual micromanipulator (MM33, Maerzhaeuser, Wetzlar, Germany). O$_2$ evolution within the DBL of phototrophic species was tested under varying light intensities (data not shown). A light intensity of 30 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ was found saturating for all photosymbiotic species, without causing photo-inhibition in the tested low light species *Amphistegina radiata* and *Heterostegina depressa* [27] and used throughout all ‘light’ experiments (see also [33,34]).

To determine the t$_{90}$ value of steady-state signals of the system O$_2$ pH and Ca$^{2+}$ probes were positioned on the test surface of photosymbiotic individuals and recorded for ~30 min, while light levels were altered (light/dark changes). O$_2$ (pA) reached>90% steady-state signals ~2 min, pH values (mV) took<6 min, while Ca$^{2+}$ (mV) values did not change significantly. To ensure steady-state, light levels were applied for 10–60 min prior to measurements. Steady-state profiles were measured in step sizes of 50 μM (up to 400 μM) and 100 μM about 1500 μM upward perpendicular to the foraminiferal test, through the diffusive boundary layer into the bulk seawater (Figure 1). Due to slow erecting of individuals by rhizopodial movements, gentle nudges with a fine brush were applied in between profiles to assure rhizopodial retraction, so that foraminifera and their extending DBLs remained in their horizontal position.
To illustrate the effect of zero flow (i.e. static culture) conditions on pH microenvironments, individuals of *Marginopora vertebralis* were pH profiled at the same position on the calcite shell at 432 μatm (pH 8.22), 30 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ under mean turbulent flow conditions (0.5 cm s⁻¹) and consecutively after flow was turned off after 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 90 and 100 min and

![Figure 1. Microenvironmental O2 heterogeneity at a pCO2 of 432 μatm, 30 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ light, and 0.5 cm s⁻¹ water flow across foraminferal shell surfaces.](image)

Data derived from fine-scale microsensor profiles at the points indicated by the crosses. Red crosses indicate the measurement positions (n = 2–4) used for the calculation of means per individual. Note the different contour scales between A) photosymbiotic and B) symbiont-free species.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050010.g001
Table 1. Measured Input Parameters and Calculated Values (n = 4)

| Parameter          | Measured Input Parameters | Calculated (n = 4) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| DIC (mmol kg⁻¹)    |                           |                  |
| TA (mmol kg⁻¹)     |                           |                  |
| pH (NBS)           |                           |                  |
| pCO₂ (atm)         |                           |                  |
| TP (mg L⁻¹)        |                           |                  |
| Ca (μmol L⁻¹)      |                           |                  |
| Revelle factor     |                           |                  |

**Abbreviations:** TP = total phosphorus, TSi = total silicate, DIC = dissolved inorganic carbon, TA = total alkalinity, pCO₂ = partial pressure of CO₂, TP = total phosphorus, TA = total alkalinity, pCO₂ = partial pressure of CO₂, Revelle factor = (δCO₂·wet/ΔDIC)/(DIC)/DIC.

To determine possible treatment effects on O₂ dynamics and to evaluate exact placement of microsensor tips for consecutive measurements, individuals were profiled with O₂ microsensor at 432 μm from light, prior to each treatment incubation. Profiling experiments were conducted at a pCO₂ of 342 μm (pH of 8.22; ambient), 1142 μm (pH 7.85) and 2151 μm (pH 7.60) with photosymbiotic species, and at two pCO₂ levels (432 and 2151 μm) with symbiont-free individuals (Table 1). After 24 h of incubation, microsensor measurements across the DBL of all specimens in both light (30 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and darkness were conducted for O₂ on day 2, pH on day 3, and Ca²⁺ on day 4.

**Monitoring of Treatments**

Seawater was renewed for each experimental treatment and kept at a constant salinity (35) and pH according to the treatment (Table 1). Temperature, pH, DIC, total silicate and total phosphorus were monitored daily. DIC samples were filtered (0.2 μm nylon filters), stored gas tight, head-space free at 4 °C and analysed within a week by flow injection analysis [35]. Samples for nutrient analyses (including total silicate and phosphorus) were filtered (0.2 μm nylon filters), immediately frozen and consequently analysed with a Bran and Luebbe AA3 segmented flow analyzer (Norderstedt, Germany) following Ryle et al. [36]. Samples for total alkalinity (TA) were taken at the end of each experiment, filtered (0.2 μm nylon filters), poisoned with HgCl₂ and kept at 4 °C until being shipped to the University of Sydney, where they were analysed by open cell potentiometric titration [37], and calculated using linear Gran plots [38]. Corrections were applied based on certified reference material (A. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, CA, USA).

**Assessment of Individuals**

For microsensor measurements, healthy, intact foraminiferal specimens of similar size and pigment shading were selected and liveliness confirmed in all individuals by the observation of movement. Individuals were photographed (Canon 30D, Tokio, Japan) via the dissecting microscope, before and after the experimental treatments (for complete sets, see Figure S1, S2, S3). At the end of the experiments, individuals were examined and photographed under a fluorescence microscope (Axioskop mot plus, Carl Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany) equipped with a digital camera (AxioCamMRc5, Carl Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany). Fluorescence images were obtained using a halogen lamp for incident light and DAPI (excitation, G365 nm; dichroic mirror FT395; emission LP420 nm) and FITC (excitation, BP 450–490 nm; dichroic mirror FT510; emission LP515 nm) filter sets (Carl Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany). Foraminiferal sizes (longest diameter) were measured in small individuals from microscopic observation.
images by the software AxioVision (version 4.8.1, Carl Zeiss, Goettingen, Germany) and in large individuals via a digital calliper.

Carbonate Chemistry Calculations

Calculations based on measurements of DIC, pH, temperature, salinity, total-phosphate and silicate (Table 1) were performed in CO2SYS [39], using K1 and K2 according to Millero et al. [40], with dissociations constants for H2SO4 detailed in Dickson [41]. Measured and calculated levels of total alkalinity deviated <0.2%, indicating that carbonate chemistries were in equilibrium throughout the experiments (Table 1).

Data and Statistical Analysis

Hydrogen ion (H+) concentrations for dilute aqueous solutions were calculated from pH levels. Differences in concentrations between the bulk seawater and the surface of the shells, denoted as $\Delta$O$_2$, $\Delta$H$^+$ and $\Delta$Ca$^{2+}$, were attained from the measured profiles. Concentration differences were calculated as the lowest and highest spatial points of the profiles respectively. At very low metabolic rates and therefore increased resolution, profile noise was balanced by a line of best fit through the seawater baseline concentrations, and DBL gradients, to attain concentration differences. Since microsensor measurements of O$_2$, pH and Ca$^{2+}$ were performed consecutively on different days, they did not depict true spatial replicates of one location (see also discussion). Measurement position differences of $\Delta$O$_2$, $\Delta$H$^+$ and $\Delta$Ca$^{2+}$ within individuals (Figure 1) were found to be non-significant. Consequently profiles (n = 2–4) were averaged for every individual for statistical analyses.

Means of $\Delta$O$_2$, $\Delta$H$^+$ and $\Delta$Ca$^{2+}$ over replicate profiles per individual were tested for normality and homogeneity of variances by normality plots and Levene’s tests, respectively. Since parametric assumptions were violated, complete data sets of mean comparisons were performed using Wilcoxon signed rank test (WSRT) for paired samples, Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance (K-W ANOVA) and Wilcoxon rank sum tests ( = Mann Whitney U-tests) for comparisons between the surface of shell and the bulk seawater determined by microsensor profiling (n = 2–4), averaged over each individual.

Table 2. Omnibus Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance results of mean (n = 2–4) $\Delta$O$_2$, $\Delta$H$^+$ and $\Delta$Ca$^{2+}$ measured during three pCO$_2$ treatment incubations (432, 1141, 2151 µatm) for different factors.

| $\Delta$O$_2$ (µM)$^a$ | $\Delta$H$^+$ (nM)$^a$ | $\Delta$Ca$^{2+}$ (µM)$^a$ |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $\chi^2$ | df | p | $\chi^2$ | df | p | $\chi^2$ | df | p |
| pCO$_2$ treatment | 0.0550 | 2 | 0.9729 | 14.8627 | 2 | 0.0006 | 2.1433 | 1 | 0.1432 |
| illumination | 44.175 | 1 | 3.00e$^{-11}$ | 14.4391 | 1 | 0.0001 | 0.3827 | 1 | 0.5362 |
| trophic level$^b$ | 0.2462 | 1 | 0.6198 | 13.0502 | 1 | 0.0003 | 0.9686 | 1 | 0.3250 |
| species | 0.4675 | 5 | 0.9933 | 16.4631 | 5 | 0.0056 | 2.6097 | 5 | 0.7599 |
| symbiont type$^c$ | 0.3052 | 3 | 0.9591 | 15.6138 | 3 | 0.0014 | 2.5392 | 3 | 0.4682 |
| treatment groups$^d$ | 60.286 | 31 | 0.0012 | 58.0442 | 31 | 0.0023 | 12.556 | 23 | 0.9610 |

Significant effects at the Bonferroni corrected 0.03% levels are indicated in bold.

*Δ denotes the difference in O$_2$, H$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$ respectively between the surface of shell and the bulk seawater determined by microsensor profiling (n = 2–4), averaged over each individual.

$^b$Levels: photosymbiotic, heterotrophic.

$^a$Levels: diatoms (Amphistegina radiata, Heterostegina depressa), dinoflagellates (Marginopora vertebralis), red algae (Peneroplis sp), no symbionts (Quinqueloculina sp., Milolida sp.).

$^d$Treatment groups represent each combination of species, pCO$_2$, and light phase, according to box-plots represented in Figure S2, S3, S4.

O$_2$ and pH Microenvironments at High pCO$_2$

Individual Fitness

Both Heterostegina depressa at 2151 µatm and Amphistegina radiata individuals at 1141 and 2151 µatm showed visual signs of symbiont loss (i.e. bleaching) at the end of the 4 day incubations (Figure S2, S3). In A. radiata, bleaching was accompanied by severe symbiont clumping within the cell body.

Zero-flow Experiment

Within 30 sec after flow was turned off, no visible horizontal particle movement could be detected. Within 5 min after turning off the flow, pH gradients started increasing and after 100 min DBLs extended up to 1400 µm into the bulk seawater, reaching a maximum pH of 8.89 (1.29 nM of H$^+$) at the surface of the shell (Figure 2). After flow was resumed, DBLs immediately reverted back to normal steady state conditions.

O$_2$ Microenvironment around Foraminiferal Tests

Due to their convex shapes, all foraminifera except for M. vertebralis had only few contact points with the bottom of the flow cell during the measurements. The effective thickness of the O$_2$ DBL [21] on the tests (mean: 395±31 µm SE) ranged between 150 to 850 µm (Figure 1). In phototrophic specimens, DBL thickness was laterally enlarged where symbiont densities, and therefore photosynthetic activity, was higher than at the central part of the test. In A. radiata, H. depressa and Peneroplis sp., DBLs were also enlarged at the upstream edges. Differences of O$_2$ between the shell surface and the bulk seawater, denoted as $\Delta$O$_2$, varied across the shell and among individuals, and were generally strongly elevated in photosymbiotic, and slightly reduced in symbiont-free species. The downstream edge of M. vertebralis, in which symbionts were sparse, exhibited a slight O$_2$ under-saturation.

Time Replicated O$_2$ Dynamics within Individuals under Illumination

Within individuals, mean $\Delta$O$_2$ at 432 µatm (control measurements) remained constant, indicating the absence of confounding
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pCO2 conditions in darkness (−0.88±0.21 nM, Figure 6). ΔH* of symbiont-free species was generally much lower in light (−0.20±0.15 nM), compared to photosymbiotic species and also slightly negative at 2151 µatm at both light levels (−0.49±0.17 nM).

Changes in mean ΔCa2+ were generally very low and exhibited high variation in space and time (39±24 µM). Mean ΔCa2+ did not change significantly with any of the measured factors (Table 2, Figure S4). At 2151 µatm mean ΔCa2+ was still not significantly different from 0 (23±29 µM), indicating no net CaCO3 dissolution or Ca2+ uptake.

\[
\text{O}_2, \text{H}^+ \text{ and Ca}^{2+} \text{ Dynamics within and between Treatment Groups}
\]

Illumination significantly increased mean ΔO2 and decreased mean ΔH+ in photosymbiotic, compared to symbiont-free species at all pCO2 and between light and dark, indicating net photosynthesis (Table 2, Figure 3, 6). Beside A. radiata specimens, which strongly bleached at the highest pCO2 level (Figure S3), mean ΔO2 in light did not change significantly between pCO2 treatments (Kruskal Wallis: \(X^2=1.8504, df=2, p=0.395\)). In darkness mean ΔO2 was negative in all photosymbiotic species indicating respiration (−11±3 µM), which was enhanced in M. vertebralis and H. depressa at 1141 µatm and reduced in A. radiata at increased pCO2 (Figure 5). Symbiont-free species showed net respiration in both light and dark (−1.17±0.54 µM).

In contrast to ΔO2, mean ΔH+ was significantly affected by pCO2 treatment, trophic level, species and symbiont-type (Table 2). Under illumination, mean ΔH+ of all photosymbiotic species decreased with increasing pCO2 (−1.67±0.35 nM at 432 µatm vs. −3.53±0.66 nM at 2151 µatm, Figure 6), with the exception of Peneroplis individuals, where net photosynthesis was low and variable between the pCO2 treatments (Figure 5). In darkness at 432 µatm, mean ΔH+ (0.070±0.019 nM) of all species was slightly increased indicating net respiration. Yet, all photosymbiotic species showed a negative mean ΔH+ at elevated pCO2 conditions in darkness (−0.88±0.21 nM, Figure 6). ΔH+ of symbiont-free species was generally much lower in light (−0.20±0.15 nM), compared to photosymbiotic species and also slightly negative at 2151 µatm at both light levels (−0.49±0.17 nM).

\[
\text{Ratios of Mean \DeltaO}_2/\DeltaH^+ \text{ Across pCO}_2 \text{ Treatments}
\]

Mean ΔO2 (i.e. netPS or respiration) and ΔH+ were both quite variable across profiles within and across individuals (Figure 7). Yet, there was a significant linear correlation between mean ΔO2 and mean ΔH+ (\(R^2=0.63, p_{lm}<0.0166\)) per individual for all photosymbiotic species, but not in symbiont-free species (Table 3). The intercepts of the ΔO2/ΔH+ correlations were significantly decreased at increased pCO2, except in H. depressa (Figure 7, Table 3). In symbiont-free species, mean ΔO2 did not strongly
correlate with $\Delta H^+$ ($R^2 \leq 0.76$, $p_{\text{corr}} > 0.101$), nor were intercepts and slopes of the regressions significantly different between the two $pCO_2$ treatments. Interestingly, $\Delta H^+$ of all linear regressions at $\Delta O_2 = 0$ was negative (range: $-3.193$ to $-0.063$ nM), beside *Quinqueloculina* at 432 μatm, indicating that $H^+$ concentrations on the foraminiferal surface are slightly decreased compared with the bulk seawater when the net $O_2$ flux equals zero.

**Discussion**

$\Delta O_2$, $\Delta H^+$ and $\Delta Ca^{2+}$ Dynamics

To test whether OA induced increases of seawater DIC enhance photosynthesis of photosymbiotic foraminifera and consequently result in increased pH levels within their microenvironments, we conducted microenvironmental $O_2$ and pH measurements of photosymbiotic and symbiont-free foraminifera. In light, net $O_2$ evolution (photosynthesis) within the DBL of photosymbiotic species remained relatively unaffected by the $pCO_2$ treatments and surface pH was significantly increased. Yet, $H^+$ differences ($\Delta H^+$) were significantly enlarged within the DBL with increasing $pCO_2$. However, the $H^+$ decreases only amounted to $\sim 27\%$ (at 432 μatm) and $\sim 14\%$ (at 2151 μatm) of the ambient seawater $H^+$ concentration. Photosynthesis was thus was insufficient to compensate for the more than four-fold increased ambient $H^+$ concentrations between the highest and lowest $pCO_2$ treatment (Table 4). Rates of net photosynthesis of marine phototrophs primarily depend on temperature, nutrients and light availability, as well as the efficiency of the individual carbonate concentration mechanisms (CCMs, [43–45]). Except for bleached individuals, $\Delta O_2$ (i.e. net photosynthesis) was not influenced by $pCO_2$ in any species (Table 2, Figure 3). Since light levels were saturated and nutrient concentrations and temperature remained constant throughout each treatment, this may indicate either that the photosynthesis of photosymbiotic foraminifera was $CO_2$ saturated at ambient $pCO_2$ concentrations, or that a down-regulation of DIC uptake occurred at increased $pCO_2$. This notion is in agreement with previous studies on diatoms [46,47] and *Symbiodinium* sp., both in culture and in *hospite* of corals [48,49] and foraminifera [50], displaying a down-regulation of CCMs and only slight effects of increased DIC on net $O_2$ evolution. Since there is no indication that the photosynthetic quotient ($O_2/CO_2$ [51]) of the holobiont was altered at increased $pCO_2$, DIC uptake should have been

![Figure 3. Box-plots representing the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of $\Delta O_2$, calculated from profiles measured within individuals ($n=2$) prior (at 432 μatm) and during $pCO_2$ treatment incubations (432, 1141, 2151 μatm), under illumination (30 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) for the six foraminiferal species. Note the different scales between A) photosymbiotic and B) symbiont-free species. Outliers (>1.5 interquartile range) are indicated by circles. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050010.g003](http://www.plosone.org/doi/figure/10.1371/journal.pone.0050010/g003)

![Figure 4. Exemplary microscopic images of *Quinqueloculina* (A, B) and *Miliola* (C, D) specimen profiled at 2151 μatm. (A, C) Chlorophyll autofluorescence (red) of phototrophic epiphytes on the calcite shell under green excitation light (FITC-filter set). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050010.g004](http://www.plosone.org/doi/figure/10.1371/journal.pone.0050010.g004)
Figure 5. Box-plots representing the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of \( \Delta O_2 \) calculated from profiles measured during the \( pCO_2 \) treatment incubations, at light (30 \( \mu \text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) and dark conditions for the six foraminiferal species. Note the different scales between A) photosymbiotic and B) symbiont-free species. Outliers (>1.5 interquartile range) are indicated by circles. 

\text{doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050010.g005}
Figure 6. Box-plots representing the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of $\Delta H^+$, calculated from profiles measured during the $pCO_2$ treatment incubation, at light ($30 \, \mu$mol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and dark conditions for individual species. Note the different scales between A) photosymbiotic and B) symbiont-free species. Outliers (>1.5 interquartile range) and extreme values (>3 times interquartile range) are indicated by (O) and (*) respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050010.g006
Figure 7. Relationship between mean $\Delta H^+$ and $\Delta O_2$ at different $pCO_2$ treatment groups for light ($30 \mu$mol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and dark conditions. Each point represents an individual foraminiferal test (mean ± SE, n = 2–4). Solid lines indicate linear correlations for the different $pCO_2$ treatment groups, dashed lines indicate the respective $\Delta O_2$ and $\Delta H^+$ zero-lines.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050010.g007
constant. Increases of $pCO_2$ on the other hand, cause a decrease in the $CO_2$ uptake capacity of seawater (i.e. an increase of the Revelle factor, Table 1). This results in larger shifts of $CO_2$ at constant $DIC$ production/–/consumption-rates (for an extensive discussion of this aspect of carbon chemistry see [18,52] and [53] Chapter 1.5). This will lead to stronger $H^+$-gradients in response to constant photosynthesis/respiration rates at elevated $pCO_2$, as indicated by the results (Figure 6; see also [18]). It is supported by the linear regression analyses, displaying a significant $pCO_2$ treatment effect on mean $\Delta O_2/\Delta H^+$ of most photosymbiotic species (Table 3), and by previous modeling results of microenvironmental dynamics around phytoplankton, showing increased microenvironmental $H^+$ variability at elevated $pCO_2$ [18].

The decreases of $\Delta O_2$, observed between 432 $\mu$atm and the elevated $pCO_2$ conditions in $A. radiata$ at 1141 and 2151 $\mu$atm and $H. depressa$ at 2151 $\mu$atm (Figure 3), are most likely the cause of increased symbiont loss (i.e. bleaching) at elevated $pCO_2$ (Figure S2, S3, [54], [55]). Additionally, bleaching and spatial variability of symbionts (see ‘variability of microsensor measurements’) in $A. radiata$ and $H. depressa$ resulted in severe symbiotic clumping and increased heterogeneity of $\Delta O_2$ and $\Delta H^+$ across their shells. This might have led to an overestimation of the mean $H^+$ difference ($\Delta H^+$) in light, in respect to the mean $O_2$ difference ($\Delta O_2$), by profiling areas of high symbiont densities with pH sensor and areas of low symbiont density with $O_2$ sensors (Figure 5, 6). This might explain why decreases of the $\Delta O_2/\Delta H^+$ intercepts in response to increased $pCO_2$ were less significant in $A. radiata$ and slightly non significant in $H. depressa$, compared to all other photosymbiotic species (Figure 7, Table 3).

In dark, respiratory changes of $\Delta O_2$ and $\Delta H^+$ at 432 $\mu$atm were minor (Figure 5, 6). This is in agreement with previous microsensor measurements on foraminifera and diatoms [14,15,56], indicating that net respiratory $O_2$ fluxes are generally very low in these protists.

Interestingly, microenvironmental $H^+$ concentrations of all species were slightly decreased in darkness, compared to the bulk seawater at elevated $pCO_2$ (Figure 6). One possible reason for this might be the dissolution of the calcite shell at elevated $pCO_2$ in darkness, causing a local increase in pH [53]. However, this is unlikely, due to the absence of significant $Ca^{2+}$ fluxes (Figure S4), and since $\Omega_{Ca}$ was super-saturated at even the highest $pCO_2$ (Table 1), indicating no net calcite dissolution. Another possibility could be the continued uptake of $CO_2$ (>10 min) in the dark for $CO_2$ fixation in the calcin cycle. This would however imply that $CO_2$ uptake and fixation of the holobiont outweighed respiratory $CO_2$ production in darkness. A third explanation could be that foraminifera actively up-regulate their microenviromental pH in darkness, via active proton pumping or antiporter exchange [23,57] into the cell, to compensate for increased seawater $pCO_2$ and to maintain pH homeostasis for vital cellular functions. A fourth explanation could be the excretion of nitrogen waste by the foraminifera in the dark in the form of $NH_3$, which would elevate microenvironmental alkalinity, thus increase pH. The excretion of $NH_3$ is widely distributed among marine protists [58–59] and might be increased at elevated $pCO_2$, due to increased energy demands and nutrient uptake.

Mean $\Delta Ca^{2+}$ over the shell surface was very low, but single profiles displayed strong gradients (Figure S4). Calcification in foraminifera, i.e. chamber formation, is discontinuous and sensitive to mechanical disturbances [23,60,61]. Due to the experimental handling it can be excluded that individuals were calcifying or preparing for chamber formation after the measurements. Increased $Ca^{2+}$ uptake due to calcification was therefore not expected. The measured high variability and averaged low fluxes of $\Delta Ca^{2+}$ over the shell surface are in accordance with previous microsensor measurements on tropical $M. verticillata$, $H. lobifera$ [15] and temperate benthic $A. amphissa$ [23] and planktonic $O. universa$, [16].
specimens. This indicates that Ca\(^{2+}\) exchange varies over time and is not evenly distributed over the shell surface for most foraminifera, but very localized. As Ca\(^{2+}\) is an important cellular ionic regulator and cytotoxic at increased cellular concentrations [62], its exchange via Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in the protoplasmic membrane must be highly regulated. Distribution of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes over the foraminiferal surface are most likely patchy. Ca\(^{2+}\) gradients would therefore only affect a small percentage of the total foraminiferal surface area, which would lead to the generally low total Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes, but high variability in different profiles as observed (Figure S4).

**Characterizing the Foraminiferal Microenvironment**

O\(_2\) and pH DBL dynamics of photosymbiotic foraminifera and other photosynthetic calcifiers correlate in response to illumination changes, with pH dynamics exhibiting a temporal time lag following O\(_2\) dynamics [13,16,17,19]. The extent to which surface O\(_2\) and pH on the organisms’ surface deviate from the bulk seawater depends on multiple factors, such as the photosynthetic activity of the organism, surrounding seawater flow, seawater H\(^{+}\)-buffering capacity, diffusivity/permeability of CO\(_2\) from its source – spatial configuration of symbiont and host, diffusional transport constraints (1–3D) and the 3D surface structure of the location [15,17,21,53,63]. Since carbonate chemistry remained constant throughout the treatments (Table 1), most prominent factors during the experiment influencing DBL dynamics, included diffusional transport constraints to and from the symbionts, micro-flow surface dynamics and location specific rates of net photosynthesis and respiration. This is illustrated by the spatial extent of the DBLs (Figure 1, 2). The thickness of the AO\(_2\) DBL clearly decreases along middle ridges of individuals, where laminar flow velocity was highest [64,65] and underlying photosynthesis was lower, due to decreased symbiont density in that region, compared to lateral symbiont-rich parts (Figure 1, Figure S1). *M. vetricularis* specimens showed the steepest O\(_2\) and pH gradients, without enlarged DBL thickness (i.e. net O\(_2\) fluxes), indicating overall increased photosynthesis compared to other species (Figure 1, 3, 5). Yet, ventral sides of *M. vetricularis* specimens locked tightly on to the inert surface of the flow chamber, thereby creating a one-dimensional diffusional barrier. The strong O\(_2\) and pH microgradients of *M. vetricularis* can therefore not solely be attributed to increased photosynthesis but emerge as a combination of the underlying photosynthesis, flat surface structure (and thereby almost parallel horizontal emerging flow field), as well as one-dimensional diffusional resistance.

**Variability of Microsensor Measurements**

Measurement variability was high, but much higher between, than within individuals (Figure 3, 5, 6, 7), allowing for temporal replication of microsensor measurements. Variability was not unexpected due to the typically high spatial variability of O\(_2\) fluxes and pH dynamics across the surface of photosynthetic organisms (Figure 1, [15,19–21,65]) in combination with the high spatial resolution of the microsensor measurements (reviewed in [28]).

Another source of variability is due to the fact that some foraminiferal species, including *M. vetricularis* and *H. depressa*, actively transport their symbionts within their cell bodies and individual chamberlets [66,67], resulting in higher variation of \(\Delta O_2\) (Figure 3) and consequently \(\Delta H^+\) over time for a specific spot on their shell surface. Spatial variability of \(\Delta O_2\) and consequently \(\Delta H^+\) (and their means), measured within and among the individuals, was therefore expected. Yet, spatial heterogeneity within individuals (Figure 1) was not represented in the sampling, since measurement positions were not significantly different. Also \(\Delta O_2\), measured before and during the 432 µatm treatment under equal conditions (Figure 3) within the same individuals, remained relatively constant, confirming that the spatial placement of microelectrode measurements could be replicated.

**Mixed Responses of Ocean Acidification Experiments**

Several studies have reported contrary responses of increased pCO\(_2\) on both photosynthesis and calcification on a variety of marine taxa [11,12,68–70], even within phyla (reviewed in Doncy et al. [1]). Possible causes for such variability are diverse, potentially including differences in calcifying/-carbonate concentration mechanisms and their coupling, tolerance levels, adaptation mechanisms, but also differences in the experimental designs and setups. Consequently, a comparison among ocean acidification studies, even within phyla, is difficult. Especially flow, as an important experimental parameter influencing the surface pH of organisms, has not been considered in many ocean acidification experiments. Yet, flow changes are well known to severely impact microenvironmental pH levels of photosymbiotic foraminifera (Figure 2, [15]) and other photopros in light [19,20,63]. The changes in surface pH are especially severe within static culture experiments, where \(\Delta pH\) can change up to 1 unit (>5 nM of H\(^+\), Figure 2, [15,71]). Zero-flow conditions for ocean acidification studies should therefore be avoided, as they are ecologically unrealistic and also confuse the carbonate chemistry of the intended pCO\(_2\) perturbation, causing unrealistically high/low microenvironmental pH conditions in light/dark, despite increased DIC levels.

**Effects of Ocean Acidification on Benthic Foraminifera**

It appears that benthic foraminifera do not show uniform responses to low pH conditions [50,70,72–82]. While most laboratory studies investigating calcification in symbiont-free foraminifera showed decreases in calcification rate [72,74,75,82], larger photosymbiotic foraminifera show more variable responses [50,70,76,77]. Also, experiments conducted under low and stagnant flow conditions exhibit mostly decreases in calcification rate [70,72–75,82], while experiments applying higher rates of turbulent mixing show variable responses on rates of calcification, net photosynthesis and respiration [50,76,77], this study). Thus calcification responses seem to correlate to some extend with the experimental flow conditions as suggested for corals (reviewed in [83]). While for symbiont-free shallow infaunal/epibenthic foraminifera low flow conditions (<0.1 cm s\(^{-1}\)) represent ecological realistic values, mimicking pore-water flow and sediment surface friction velocities [23,74,75,82] this is not the case for most ephibiotic photosymbiotic species (also discussed in [50]). However, since experimental conditions are quite variable among the different studies, e.g. acid base manipulations, thus TA manipulations [72–74], versus pCO\(_2\), thus DIC manipulations [50,70,75–77,82], this study), a direct correlation between experimental flow conditions and calcification responses remains speculative. Yet, the here presented results strongly indicate that especially for larger benthic photosymbiotic foraminifera, the interplay between flow and net photosynthesis has severe impacts on microenvironmental pH, thus microenvironmental DIC availability.

Some of the observed variability in calcification responses of photosymbiotic foraminifera to OA are likely due to differences in calcification mechanism (also discussed in [84–86]), as well as solubility differences of the calcite tests [87,88] of the different groups. This is represented in the literature showing unaffected or increased calcification rates in hyaline (low Mg-calcite: less soluble) and decreased rates in miliolid (high Mg-calcite: more soluble) species in response to elevated pCO\(_2\) [70,73,76,77]. These taxa
specific differences are in line with previous studies on foraminiferal DIC uptake mechanism, showing almost linear increases in millioid Amphisorus hongkongensis and almost no change in Amphistegina lobifera in response to increasing DIC (and CO2) concentrations in the OA range between 2 and 3 mM [94], also discussed in [76]. Additionally, these ideas are supported by recent field studies investigating foraminiferal assemblages at volcanic CO2 vents in the Mediterranean [79,81] and in tropical coral reefs [80].

The studies in the Mediterranean reported significantly reduced numbers of calcareous species, a complete absence of millioid and only the presence of hyaline species at elevated pCO2 [79,81]. The study investigating cold CO2 seeps within tropical coral reefs reported almost complete absence of the larger epibiotic miliolid species Marginopora vertebralis and reduced species richness and diversity of sedimentary foraminifera at high pCO2 sites [80]. A very recent study investigated symbiont-free hyaline foraminiferal assemblages in a CO2 enriched, benthic habitat in the southwestern Atlantic Ocean [45]. This study showed that the sediment ΩCaCO3 under-saturation, rather than the pCO2 levels of the sediments, determines the population density of the benthic shallow infaunal species Ammonia ammoriensis, yet not of Elphidium inermetum [78]. These findings support the idea of increased resistance/adaptation of hyaline species within their natural habitat to high pCO2 conditions, compared to milliolid species.

The findings of this study indicate that photosynthesis can only to a minor extend compensate for ambient seawater pH decreases within the microenvironment of photosymbiotic foraminifera (Table 4). Symbiont-free and photosymbiotic foraminifera are thus likely to experience strongly decreased microenvironmental pH conditions at future pCO2 making their cell bodies susceptible to the physiological effects of ocean acidification.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Close up of the six foraminiferal species, photographed via dissecting microscope (A-E) and backlight microscope (F). Images were taken after control (432 µatm) treatment incubations. A) Marginopora vertebralis, B) Amphistegina radiata, C) Heterostegina depressa, D) Peneroplis sp., E) Quinqueloculina sp., and F) Miliolata sp. individuals. Sizes are stated as largest possible diameter of individuals.
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