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Abstract

We report on an indirect and non-invasive method to simultaneously characterise the energy-dependent emittance and source size of ultra-relativistic positron beams generated during the propagation of a laser-wakefield accelerated electron beam through a high-Z converter target. The strong correlation of the geometrical emittance of the positrons with that of the scattered electrons allows the former to be inferred, with high accuracy, from monitoring the latter. The technique has been tested in a proof-of-principle experiment where, for 100 MeV positrons, we infer geometrical emittances and source sizes of the order of $\epsilon_e \approx 3\mu$m and $D_e \approx 150\mu$m, respectively. This is consistent with the numerically predicted possibility of achieving sub-$\mu$m geometrical emittances and micron-scale source sizes at the GeV level.
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1. Introduction

In the past decade, significant experimental effort has been put in generating relativistic positron beams using high-power lasers in an all-optical configuration [1]. Broadly speaking, two main schemes have been adopted in this case: a direct one, where the laser is directly focussed onto a high-Z thick solid target [2–4], and an indirect one, where the laser first accelerates a population of ultra-relativistic electrons via laser-wakefield acceleration (LWFA), which then interact with a high-Z solid target [5–10]. The latter approach has been numerically shown to be able to produce GeV-scale positron energies, with appealing spatial properties [11].

The search for novel methods to generate high-energy positrons is mainly motivated by the current need to explore alternative particle acceleration schemes. Currently, the largest particle collider that is operational is the 27 km Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN which provides proton-proton collisions with a maximum centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV [12]. Before that, the Large Electron-Positron collider (LEP), provided electron-positron collisions with a maximum centre-of-mass energy of 209 GeV [13]. Despite several iconic results, including the recent detection of the Higgs Boson [14], there still are several unsolved issues that demand for a higher centre-of-mass lepton collider, ideally in the range of, if not beyond, a TeV.

Several international projects based on radio-frequency technology have been proposed, such as the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC), which is aiming at reaching TeV energies over a 13 km accelerator length [15]. However, the sheer scale...
of these accelerators is currently imposed by the maximum accelerating field that they can sustain, usually of the order of 10 s of MV m$^{-1}$. This makes their realisation considerably expensive and alternative acceleration methods are currently actively studied. Plasma-driven acceleration is arguably one of the most promising schemes, since it can allow for much higher accelerating gradients compared to radio-frequency systems. Landmark results have already been obtained in this area, including accelerating fields exceeding 100 GV m$^{-1}$ [16], the demonstration of energy doubling of a 42 GeV electron beam in less than one meter of plasma [17], a 2 GeV energy gain of a positron beam in one metre of plasma [18], acceleration in a proton-driven wakefield [19], highly-efficient electron acceleration in a laser-driven wakefield [20], charge-coupling in a multi-stage accelerator [21], and the laser-driven acceleration of electrons up to 8 GeV in only 20 cm of plasma [22].

Large-scale international projects are thus now studying the feasibility of building a plasma-based electron-positron collider. For instance, plasma-based particle acceleration for the next generation of colliders is included as a major area of investment in the Advanced Accelerator Development Strategy Report in the USA [23], it is the main driver for the European consortium ALEGRO [24], and it is one of the main areas of development identified by the Plasma Wakefield Acceleration Steering Committee (PWASC) in the UK [25].

While the plasma-based acceleration of electrons is rapidly progressing, positron acceleration is far more difficult due to a much narrower region in the wake field suitable for positron acceleration and focusing. There are four main regimes that are currently being investigated: the quasi-linear regime [26, 27], non-linear regime [28], hollow channel regime [29], and wake-inversion regime [30, 31].

Whilst each regime has its unique advantages and attractive characteristics, any one of them presents significant challenges that must be overcome before reaching maturity, justifying the considerable attention received by the international research community. One of the major experimental challenges is to provide a positron beam with sufficient spectral and spatial quality, which can then be synchronized with the positron-accelerating region of a plasma wakefield. In particular, one would need low-emittance and short (< 10 s of fs) beams with a non-negligible charge ($\geq 1$ pC).

It has been recently shown numerically that appealing positron beam characteristics can be achieved by firing a high-energy wakefield-accelerated electron beam through a cm-scale high-Z solid target [11]. For instance, a 5 GeV, 100 pC electron beam, interacting with a 1 cm thick lead target, can produce up to 1 pC of 1 GeV positrons in a 5% bandwidth, with sub-micron geometrical emittance and a duration comparable to that of the primary electron beam (as short as a few fs [32]). Generating GeV-scale, $\mu$m-size positron beams with sufficiently good emittance would provide experimentalists with an ideal platform to study plasma-based acceleration of positrons; for example, a dedicated experimental area for this kind of work has been included in the Conceptual Design Report for the European plasma-based accelerator facility EuPRAXIA [33].

For these studies, it would be highly beneficial to have an online monitoring system for the laser-driven positron beam, where energy, emittance, and source size can be measured on a shot-to-shot basis without interfering with the positron beam. In this laser-driven scheme, the positrons arise from the quantum electrodynamic cascade initiated by the laser-wakefield accelerated electron beam inside the solid target [5–7]. The main by-products of this process are also a dense population of gamma-ray photons, and a broadband population of electrons. For high-quality laser-wakefield accelerated electron beams, we show here that the spatial characteristics of the electrons and positrons escaping the solid target are tightly linked. We then propose here to characterise the scattered electrons as a means to infer the positron beam properties in a non-invasive manner.

The paper is structured as follows: numerical simulations showing the correlation between the properties of the electrons and positrons escaping the converter target are shown in section 2. A proof-of-principle experiment will then be discussed, with the experimental setup and the characterisation of the parent electron beam and secondary positrons presented in section 3. The characterisation of the emittance and source size of the electron beam post-converter and how those relate to those of the positrons are discussed in section 4. Conclusive remarks are given in section 5.

2. Numerical modelling

To study the correlation between the electrons and positrons emittance at the rear of the converter target, a series of Monte-Carlo simulations using the scattering code FLUKA [34, 35] have been performed. We simulate $10^7$ mono-energetic electrons contained in a pencil-like beam with different energies: 0.15, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 GeV. These interact with a 10 mm-thick Pb converter (corresponding to approximately 1.8 radiation lengths), where the target thickness has been chosen so to maximise the positron yield at the rear surface [6]. In principle, the divergence and source size of the primary electron beam should be included, since they might affect the spatial properties of the particles escaping the converter target. However, as shown later, the geometrical emittance of the positrons escaping the target is of the order of a few microns, as dictated by the spread induced by the quantum electrodynamic cascade inside the converter. As long as the emittance of the primary electron beam is much smaller than this value, as usually is the case in laser-wakefield acceleration [36], it can be ignored. As an example, we show, in the supplementary material\(^5\) (stacks.iop.org/PPCF/62/055013/mmedia), a negligible difference between the calculated positron emittance for a primary electron beam with a 5 mrad divergence and that for a primary electron beam with zero initial divergence.

---

5 Simulated ratio between the emittance of the positrons and electrons escaping the converter target as a function of energy for a primary electron beam with zero (solid line) and a 5 mrad divergence (empty circles). $\epsilon_{\text{tron}}$ denotes the ratio between the particle energy and that of the primary electrons (supplementary material stacks.iop.org/PPCF/62/055013/mmedia).
Nonetheless, it is still to be intended that the results shown here are only for demonstration purposes and will be used to infer the positron emittance and source size for our proof-of-principle experiment. Even though the same qualitative behaviour will hold, slightly quantitative differences in the results will be obtained for each specific setup to be adopted (e.g. different converters and different spectra of the parent electron beam) and numerical modelling of the specific configuration to be used should be performed before implementing this technique.

An example of the simulation results is shown in figure 1. The electron and positron geometrical emittances (examples in frames 1(a) and (b)) are strongly energy-dependent following a decreasing power law, in agreement with recently published numerical results [11]. Interestingly, the positron emittance is seen to be consistently smaller than that of the scattered electrons (see, for example, figure 1(c)).

This can be intuitively understood with the following reasoning. For a target thickness ($L_e$) of the order of a radiation length ($L_{RAD}$), positrons in the target are mainly generated following a two-step process (bremsstrahlung + pair production in the nuclear field) whereas the scattered electrons can be either generated during pair production or during the production of bremsstrahlung radiation. However for these target thicknesses the number of electrons generated by pair production in the nuclear field (whereas the scattered electrons can be approximately equal, since they both arise from pair production) can be ignored, resulting in the population of electrons escaping the solid target arising almost exclusively from scattering of the primary electron beam. On average, the positrons are thus created deeper into the target and exit, for each defined energy, with a smaller source size.

These assumptions break down in the limiting cases of ultra-thin or thick targets. As previously discussed [5, 7], for $L_e/L_{RAD} \leq 10^{-2}$, direct electro-production (sometimes referred to as the trident process) [37] will dominate resulting in pairs being generated directly as an electron traverses the nuclear field, without the intermediate step of generating a real photon via bremsstrahlung. On the other hand, thick targets will allow for multi-step cascades up to a point where the number of escaping electrons and positrons will become approximately equal, since they both arise from pair production. In this case, occurring at approximately $L_e/L_{RAD} \geq 5$, [6] the emittance of the escaping electrons and positrons will be approximately equal. The positron emittance will thus be smaller than that of the scattered electrons as long as we can neglect trident pair production and multi-step cascades, i.e. for $10^{-2} \leq L_e/L_{RAD} \leq 5$. In the case of lead, this corresponds to $60 \mu m \leq L_e \leq 2.5 \text{cm}$.

Figure 1(d) depicts the ratio between the positron and electron geometrical emittance as a function of their energy. The results are seen to be fairly independent of the initial energy of the primary electron beam, but are mainly related to the ratio of the energy of the escaping particle with that of the primary electrons. This gives, in the ultra-relativistic regime, a general scaling between the electron and positron emittance, practically independent from the energy of the parent electron beam. The trend extracted from the simulations is in the form of a power law: $\frac{\epsilon_{+}}{\epsilon_{-}} = -(0.5 \pm 0.1)E_{\text{frac}}^{0.6} + 1$, with $E_{\text{frac}}$ the ratio between the particle energy and that of the parent electrons. A certain level of uncertainty is present, mostly due to the non-ideal statistics in extracting the positron emittance from the simulations as illustrated by the error bars in figure 1(c).

3. Experimental setup

In order to experimentally check the viability of this technique, an experiment was carried out using the UHI-100 laser facility at CEA Saclay. The system delivers laser pulses with an energy of $E_p = 2.5 \pm 0.1$ J before compression ($\sim 0.9$ J in focus), a duration of $\tau_p = 24 \pm 2$ fs, and a central wavelength of $\lambda_0 = 800$ nm. The laser was focussed down to a $28 \mu m$ FWHM (Full Width at Half Maximum) spot using an $F/15$ off-axis parabolic mirror combined with an
adaptive optic, producing a focal spot with a peak intensity $I_0 \approx (4.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{18} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ (dimensionless amplitude $a_0 = 1.5 \pm 0.1$). The laser was focussed onto the entrance of a gas-cell, with a variable length ranging from 0 to 5 mm, and filled with a H$_2$+5%N$_2$ gas mix. The experimental setup is schematically depicted in figure 2.

The laser-driven electron beam was then made to propagate through a wedge-shaped Pb converter, placed 13 mm downstream from the rear of the gas cell. The thickness of the converter could be varied in the range 1–20 mm by laterally displacing the wedge. Both the spatial and spectral properties of the leptonic beam were characterised. The spectral characterisation was performed by using a magnetic spectrometer, consisting of a 50 mm, 0.8 T dipole magnet and detector screen. The magnet was placed at a distance of 124 mm downstream of the back of the gas cell, whereas the detector screen was placed 384 mm away from the gas cell. Image plates (Fujifilm BAS-MD) were used as detectors for signal accumulation, whereas Lanex screens were used for single-shot measurements. Suitable shielding (not shown) was inserted to minimise the background noise at the detectors. In addition to this, the magnet could be removed from the beamline to characterise the spatial properties of the electron beam, as well as its pointing fluctuations. To do so, an additional Lanex screen was placed at a distance of 1083 mm from the target (not shown).

The emittance of the beam was characterised by using the pepper-pot technique [38]. In particular, due to the strong dependence of the emittance on the particle energy, a 1D pepper-pot was employed. In this case, an array of slits is used to select a number of beamlets, which are then propagated through the spectrometer, allowing to retrieve an energy-resolved measurement of the emittance. It must be noted that the pepper-pot technique is known to overestimate the emittance of a beam in which the position and momentum of the particles are strongly correlated [39], as could be the case in a laser-wakefield accelerator. Even though this correlation is expected to rapidly degrade during the propagation in the converter target, the values reported here should still be considered as an upper limit for the geometrical emittances.

The spectral properties of the leptonic beam after the converter has been shown to be fairly independent of the spectral shape of the parent electron beam [11]. For this reason, the laser-plasma interaction was optimised to produce primary electron beams with the highest possible charges and energies in an ionisation-injection regime [40]. This was achieved for a gas-cell backing pressure of 2.75 bar (corresponding to an electron density of $n_e \approx 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$), gas-cell length of 1 mm, and a detuning of the optimum compressor grating position of 0.1 mm. A summary of the parameter scan for the parent electron beam is shown in figure 3, where the left column (figures 3(s1)–(s4)) depicts the average spectrum for each set of parameters, with the shaded area representing the standard deviation of the data with respect to the average.

In these experimental conditions, a reproducible electron beam with the following characteristics was then obtained and used for the rest of the experiment: maximum energy $E_e = (200 \pm 20) \text{ MeV}$, divergence $\theta_e = (5 \pm 1) \text{ mrad}$, and a total
charge of the order of 10 s of pC. Assuming that we were operating in a heavily loaded blow-out regime, the electron beam duration can be estimated to be: $\tau_e \approx 2c/\omega_p \approx 12$ fs, with $\omega_p = 2 \times 10^{14}$ Hz the plasma frequency of the background gas. Similarly, the upper limit for the electron beam source size is given by the size of the accelerating bubble in the wakefield: $D_e \approx 2c/\omega_p \simeq 4$ µm [41]. Even though compressor detuning might induce cylindrically asymmetric electron beams [42], these deviations appear negligible in our experimental setup, justifying the cylindrical symmetry assumed throughout this work.

The electron beam was then directed onto a Pb converter of variable thickness. The resulting positron beam was characterised by using the same magnetic spectrometer as in section 3. The experimentally measured positron spectra for different converter thicknesses are shown in figure 4, compared with those resulting from FLUKA simulations [34, 35], showing good quantitative agreement.

The spectra resemble a relativistic Maxwellian distribution, with a maximum positron charge observed for a converter thickness of ~9 mm, which corresponds to approximately 1.6 radiation lengths ($L_{\text{rad}} \approx 5.6$ mm). This observation is in good agreement with previous experimental results [5–7, 9, 10]. Numerical simulations indicate a temporal broadening of the positrons at 100 MeV of the order of 50 - 100 fs, resulting in a peak positron current, at the rear surface of the converter, of the order of 1 A.

**Figure 4. Positron spectra.** Average spectra of the positron beam generated after the propagation of an electron beam through a Pb converter thickness $L_c$ of 9, 13 and 16 mm, respectively. Each spectrum is obtained as the average of 10 consecutive shots, and the total signal is normalised to the signal measured for the parent electron beam.

4. **Emittance and source size after the converter**

For our experimental parameters, the quantum cascade process has a relatively low efficiency, resulting in a sub-pC positron charge. This low charge, in addition with the high-noise environment, prevents from directly characterising the emittance of the positron beam using a pepper-pot mask. For this reason, since the emittance of the electron and positron beams generated during the cascade are strongly correlated, the emittance of the electron beam after the converter was characterised instead.

For the emittance measurement, a pepper pot mask was placed along the electron beam propagation axis. A typical raw image of the scattered electrons after propagation through the mask and dispersion by the magnetic spectrometer is shown in figure 5(a). Each of the horizontal lines visible in the figure corresponds to a beamlet propagating through a single aperture in the pepper pot mask, which is spectrally resolved along the horizontal direction inside the spectrometer.

The electron source size was estimated by means of a penumbral imaging technique, in which spatial information is recovered from the shadow produced by an aperture (see inset in figure 5(a)), such as the slits in our case. Considering that the spatial profile of the source can be well approximated by a Gaussian, the FWHM of the source is given by the distance between the points at which the signal is 12% and 88% of the maximum signal, respectively. The source size can be thus estimated by measuring such distance at the detector, and transforming to the source plane by taking into account the magnification, slit function, and detector resolution.

The dependence of the electron source size with the electron energy is shown in figure 5(b), alongside a comparison with the electron and positron source sizes obtained using FLUKA simulations assuming the experimentally-measured primary electron beam. The uncertainties for the source size consider the slit size, resolution of the detector, and magnification of the system; whereas the main contribution towards the uncertainty in energy is given by the size of the slits on the pepper pot mask, leading to a possible overlap of an energy range at a given point on the detector. The source size is found to slowly vary with the particle energy with an average value of $(230 \pm 100)$ µm. As it can be seen in figure 5(b), both the measured source size and its quasi-constant value in the energy range of interest are in good agreement with the expected values obtained in the simulations. It is of particular interest to note that the positron source size $D_p$ at a defined energy is consistently lower than that of the electrons $D_e$ in the energy range between 10 and 140 MeV ($D_p/D_e = 0.64 \pm 0.07$).

In this range, the positron source size slowly diminishes with positron energy, from a value of 280 µm at 10 MeV down to 150 µm at 140 MeV. These values are consistent with recently reported numerical modelling [11].

The emittance of the scattered electron beam was extracted using the pepper-pot equations [38], taking into account that a 1-D system was used (see figure 5(c)). Similarly to the case of the source size, the emittance is found to be approximately constant for the energy range considered, with an average value of $\varepsilon_{wx} \sim 3.5$ µm (normalised emittance at
wakefield electron beam through a high-Z converter. In the ultra-relativistic regime, and for converter thicknesses of the order of a radiation length, the positron geometrical emittance is found to be consistently smaller than that of the scattered electrons, with general trend that is virtually independent from the energy of the primary electron beam. For a \( \approx 10 \) pC broadband electron beam with a maximum energy of 200 MeV, the positron beam is found to exit the converter target with a sub-pC charge, a broadband spectrum extending up to 140 MeV, a duration of the order of 100 fs, and a geometrical emittance at 100 MeV of \( \epsilon_{e^+} \approx 3.5 \) \( \mu \)m. The results confirm numerical work recently published, and are thus consistent with the potential of state-of-the-art PW-scale laser systems to generate GeV-scale positron beams with fs duration and sub-micron geometrical emittance from a fully optical configuration. Positron beams of similar characteristics, once energy-filtered by means of conventional magnetic elements, will be usable as test beams to study advanced plasma-based positron acceleration schemes.
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