A SOLAR FLARE DISTURBING A LIGHT WALL ABOVE A SUNSPOT LIGHT BRIDGE

YUJUN HOU1,2, JUN ZHANG1,2, TING LI1,2, SHUHONG YANG1,2, LEPING LI1, and XIAOHONG LI1,2
1 Key Laboratory of Solar Activity, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China; yijunhou@nao.cas.cn
2 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Received 2016 September 12; revised 2016 September 16; accepted 2016 September 16; published 2016 September 28

ABSTRACT

With the high-resolution data from the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph, we detect a light wall above a sunspot light bridge in the NOAA active region (AR) 12403. In the 1330 Å slit-jaw images, the light wall is brighter than the ambient areas while the wall top and base are much brighter than the wall body, and it keeps oscillating above the light bridge. A C8.0 flare caused by a filament activation occurred in this AR with the peak at 02:52 UT on 2015 August 28, and the flare’s one ribbon overlapped the light bridge, which was the observational base of the light wall. Consequently, the oscillation of the light wall was evidently disturbed. The mean projective oscillation amplitude of the light wall increased from 0.5 to 1.6 Mm before the flare and decreased to 0.6 Mm after the flare. We suggest that the light wall shares a group of magnetic field lines with the flare loops, which undergo a magnetic reconnection process, and they constitute a coupled system. When the magnetic field lines are pushed upward at the pre-flare stage, the light wall turns to the vertical direction, resulting in the increase of the light wall’s projective oscillation amplitude. After the magnetic reconnection takes place, a group of new field lines with smaller scales are formed underneath the reconnection site, and the light wall inclines. Thus, the projective amplitude notably decrease at the post-flare stage.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Solar flares are energetic phenomena in the solar atmosphere, releasing dramatic electromagnetic energy spanning the range from X-ray to radio wavelengths. In the standard two-dimensional (2D) flare model (CSHKP models; Carmichael 1964; Sturrock 1966; Hirayama 1974; Kopp & Pneuman 1976), a filament rises above the neutral line and then initially drives the flare process. The rising filament pushes the overlying magnetic field lines upward, and the resulting losses of pressure below form an inward magnetic force toward the neutral sheet. This force drives antiparallel magnetic filed lines to converge, leading to the formation of a current sheet, and magnetic reconnection begins to take place. Thus, the released energy heats the coronal plasma and also accelerates particles. The accelerated particles flow downward from the reconnection site along the newly formed magnetic field lines, and in the lower solar atmosphere, the flare ribbons are generated (Priest & Forbes 2002). The flare ribbons observed in Hα and ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths are the obvious characteristics of solar flares and are usually located on either side of the polarity inversion line. The flare ribbons move apart during the reconnection process, and the separation generally stops at the edge of the sunspots. However, Li & Zhang (2009) reported that flare ribbons sometimes sweep across the whole sunspots.

Sunspots are concentrations of magnetic fields, and the overturning motion of the plasma is hindered by the strong magnetic field in the sunspot umbra (Gough & Tayler 1966). Bright structures within the umbra are signatures of not completely suppressed convection, and light bridges are the best-known representatives of these structures (Sobotka et al. 1993; Borrero & Ichimoto 2011). The magnetic field of light bridges is generally weaker and more inclined than the local strong and vertical field (Lites et al. 1991; Ruedi et al. 1995; Leka 1997; Jurčák et al. 2006). Recent simulations and observations have shown that a light bridge’s magnetic field is twisted and related to emerging magnetic fields (Louis et al. 2015; Toriumi et al. 2015b, 2015a; Yuan & Walsh 2016). Above the light bridges, some chromospheric activities have been observed in the forms of jets and surges (Asai et al. 2001; Shimizu et al. 2009; Louis et al. 2014). Recently, Yang et al. (2015) reported an oscillating light wall above a sunspot light bridge. The light wall is brighter than the ambient areas, while the wall top is much brighter than the wall body in 1330 Å. Hou et al. (2016) revealed that some light walls are multilayer and multithermal structures that occur along magnetic neutral lines in active regions (ARs), not just above the light bridge. However, the work about the magnetic topology of the light wall is rare.

In this Letter, we report that a light wall (oscillating above a sunspot light bridge) is disturbed by a C8.0 flare, while one ribbon of this flare intrudes into the sunspot and overlaps the light bridge. The mean projective amplitude of light wall’s oscillation increases at the pre-flare stage and decreases after the flare. Using the coordinated observations from the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS; De Pontieu et al. 2014) and the Solar Dynamic Observatory (SDO; Pesnell et al. 2012), we investigate this event in detail for understanding the magnetic configuration of the light wall.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

On 2015 August 28, a C8.0 flare took place in NOAA AR 12403 with one ribbon intruding into the main sunspot of the AR. Moreover, the flare ribbon overlapped a light bridge inside the sunspot and disturbed the oscillation of the light wall above this light bridge. From 23:09:50 UT on 2015 August 27 to 03:48:25 UT on August 28, the IRIS was pointed at AR 12403, and clearly observed the light wall, flare, and light bridge. We hence obtain a series of IRIS slit-jaw 1330 Å images (SJIs) with...
a cadence of 18 s, a pixel scale of 0\".333, and a field of view (FOV) of 120" × 119". The 1330 Å channel contains emission from the strong C II 1334/1335 Å lines that are formed in the upper chromosphere and transition region. To check the coronal mass ejection (CME) associated with this C8.0 flare, we also employ the images of the solar corona, taken by the Large Angle and Spectrometric Coronagraph (LASCO) on board the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO; Brueckner et al. 1995).

Moreover, the observations of the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) and the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI; Scherrer et al. 2012) on board the SDO are used as well. The AIA takes full-disk images in ten (E)UV channels with a cadence of 12 s and spatial sampling of 0\".6 pixel\(^{-1}\). We adopt the observations of AIA 131, 94, 335, 211, 193, 171, 1600, and 304 Å on 2015 August 28 to investigate the coupled system consisting of the light wall, flare, and light bridge. The full-disk line of sight (LOS) magnetograms and the intensitygrams from the HMI with a cadence of 45 s and a sampling of 0\".5 pixel\(^{-1}\) are also applied.

3. RESULTS

The event of interest took place around the main sunspot of NOAA AR 12403 (see Figure 1 and the corresponding animation). On 2015 August 28, the AR approached the solar southwestern limb and its overview is shown in Figures 1(a) and (b). Figures 1(c)–(f) expand part of this region (see the green square in panel (a)) and display the light wall, flare ribbons, and light bridge in different wavelengths, as well as a photospheric magnetogram. Combining the 1330 Å SJJ and HMI intensitygrams, we detect a light wall (see the white arrow in panel (c)) above the sunspot light bridge (see the red arrow in panel (e)). Checking the IRIS and the SDO observations, we notice that this light wall oscillated above the light bridge from 2016 August 26 to 29 until this region rotated to the farside of the Sun. On August 28, a C8.0 two-ribbon flare took place near the main sunspot around 02:42 UT. We delineate the two ribbons of the flare by blue and red dashed curves in 1600 Å image of panel (d) and duplicate them in panels (c), (e), and (f). The western flare ribbon (see the red dashed lines in panels (c)–(e)) overlapped the light bridge, which was the observational base of the light wall. The HMI LOS magnetogram in panel (f) shows that the overlapping flare ribbon is located in strong positive magnetic fields of the sunspot while the eastern ribbon is in the plage region with negative magnetic fields (see the blue dashed lines in panels (d) and (f)).

To investigate the evolution of this C8.0 flare, we check the SDO/AIA observations from 02:28 UT to 03:48 UT on 2015 August 28. In AIA 304 Å channel, a quiescent filament lay
above the magnetic neutral line before the flare’s onset. Around 02:41 UT, this filament was partly activated (see Figure 2(a)). The C8.0 flare started at 02:42 UT, and immediately at the onset of the flare, the flare loops exhibited apparent slipping motions. This phenomenon is similar to the observations of Dudík et al. (2014, 2016) and Li & Zhang (2015), implying the occurrence of slipping magnetic reconnection. The brightening of the eastern footpoints of the flare loops gradually propagated toward the southeast, which developed into the eastern flare ribbon. Meanwhile, the brightening of the flare loops’ western footpoints moved to the northwest (see the green arrows in panel (b)), forming the western flare ribbon. At 02:52 UT, the flare increased to its maximum, and the two flare ribbons appeared on either side of the neutral line (see panel (b)). During the next 10 minutes, a dark filament material flow from the northwest to the southeast was detected between the two flare ribbons (see the black line and arrow in panel (b)). Thus, we consider the activation (or eruption) of the filament as the cause of this flare. The hot flare loops were observed well in the AIA 94 Å passband. We delineate these loops with black solid, dashed, and dotted lines in panel (d) and duplicate them to panel (c). Using the differential emission measure analysis method that is based on the “xrt_dem_iterative2.pro” in the Solar Software package (Cheng et al. 2012), we obtain the temperature map as displayed in panel (e). The temperature of the flaring region increased significantly, and the outlines of hot loops are also obvious in panel (e). At the top of the flare loops (see the black square in panel (e)), the temperature reaches the maximum of about 9.0 MK. Moreover, the LASCO C2 observations show that a CME is associated with the filament activation and this flare. The CME ejected with an average speed of 253 km s$^{-1}$ and a width angle of 21° (see the LASCO C2 difference image in panel (f)). The white plus symbol in panel (f) roughly marks the flare’s location in the solar surface.

When the flare occurred, its one ribbon intruded into the sunspot and overlapped the light bridge that was the observational base of the oscillating light wall. To study the flare’s disturbance to this light wall, temporal evolution of the light wall is examined. We cut out a smaller FOV in IRIS 1330 Å SJIs (see the white square in Figure 1(c)) and rotate it 130° counterclockwise, which are shown in Figures 3(a)–(f). The panels (a)–(c) exhibit the light wall’s oscillation before the onset of flare. In the 1330 Å channel, the light wall is brighter than the ambient areas, while its top and base are brighter than the wall body. One of the flare’s ribbons brightened successively and approached the light wall around 02:46 UT (see panel (c)). Then this flare ribbon overlapped the light bridge and light wall completely between 02:47 UT and 02:51 UT. After that, the light wall kept oscillating above the light bridge (see panels (d)–(f)). But the light wall’s oscillation

---

**Figure 2.** Panels (a)–(c): sequence of AIA 304 Å images showing the flare caused by a filament’s activation. The green arrows in panel (b) mark the propagation directions of the brightenings of the flare loops’ footpoints. The black line and arrow in panel (b) approximate the trajectory of the dark filament material flow. Panel (d): AIA 94 Å image exhibiting the high-temperature flare loops. The black solid, dashed, and dotted lines delineate these loops, and they are duplicated to panel (c). Panel (e): temperature map displaying the region’s temperature after the flare. The black square outlines the region around loop’s top. The FOV of panels (a)–(c) is outlined by the green square in Figure 1(a). Panel (f): LASCO C2 difference image showing the CME associated with the eruptive flare. The white circle outlines the solar disk. And the white plus marks the flare’s location in the solar surface.
was disturbed (see the animation of Figure 2). For more details about the disturbance, we make a spacetime plot along the slice “A–B” marked in panels (a)–(f) and display it in panel (g). The blue solid curve outlines the position evolution of the light wall top, and the blue dashed line marks the wall base (light bridge). The mean period of the oscillation is about 4.0 minutes. It is shown that after the $T_1$ (02:13 UT) in panel (g), which is 30 minutes before the flare ribbon’s appearance, the oscillation amplitude of the light wall increased evidently and maintained at a high level until the flare ribbon approached the light wall at $T_2$ (02:46 UT). Then the light wall oscillated with a smaller amplitude. These two time points ($T_1$ and $T_2$) divide the whole process into three phases. We give average projective maximum heights ($H_1$, $H_2$, and $H_3$) and oscillation amplitudes ($AMP_1$, $AMP_2$, and $AMP_3$) of the light wall for all the three phases.

(An animation of this figure is available.)
process into three phases, and we estimate an average projective maximum height and oscillation amplitude of the light wall for each phase. The distance between the wall base and the wall top is calculated as the height of the light wall. And we calculate the mean projective maximum height according to the formula:

$$\bar{H}_{\text{max}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} H_{\text{max}}^i}{N},$$

where $H_{\text{max}}^i$ is the projective height of wall top oscillation peak $i$ in one cycle. Moreover, the mean projective amplitude of the light wall’s oscillation is:

$$\bar{AMP} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} H_{\text{max}}^i - H_{\text{min}}^i}{2N},$$

where $H_{\text{max}}^i$ and $H_{\text{min}}^i$ are the projective heights of wall top oscillation peak $i$ and valley $i$ in one cycle. The calculated average projective maximum heights of the light wall in the three phases are 2.7, 5.2, and 3.0 Mm, respectively. The mean projective amplitudes of the light wall’s oscillation in three phases are separately 0.5, 1.6, and 0.6 Mm.

4. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

With the high tempo-spatial IRIS and SDO observations, we detect a light wall oscillating with a mean period of 4.0 minutes above a sunspot light bridge in NOAA AR 12403. The light wall is brighter than the ambient regions, while the top and base of the light wall are much brighter than the wall body in 1330 Å.

Figure 4. Schematic diagrams illustrating this study event. The black straight lines mark the locations of the flare ribbons, while the plus (+) and minus (−) symbols denote the polarities of the underneath magnetic fields. The black curves delineate the magnetic field lines, which connect the two flare ribbons. The orange regions outline the light wall above the light bridge. The red star symbols in panel (c) mark the reconnection sites. In panel (d), we compare the mean projective maximum heights of the light wall at different stages. “Ha” represents the mean projective maximum height of the light wall before the flare’s start. It increases to “Hb” when the magnetic field lines are pushed upward. After the magnetic reconnection takes place, the mean projective maximum height of the light wall decreases from “Hb” to “Hd.”
channel. On 2015 August 28, a C8.0 flare caused by a filament’s activation occurred in this AR with the peak at 02:52 UT. We first observe that one of the flare ribbons intruded into the sunspot and then overlapped the light bridge, which was the observational base of the light wall. As a result, the oscillation of light wall was obviously disturbed by the ribbon. The mean projective oscillation amplitude of the light wall increased from 0.5 to 1.6 Mm before the flare and decreased to 0.6 Mm after the flare. In addition, the images of the LASCO C2 on board the SOHO are adopted to study the CME related to the C8.0 flare. This flare is an eruptive flare that results in a CME with an average speed of 253 km s\(^{-1}\) and a width angle of 21°.

The light wall has been reported in several works. Yang et al. (2015) reported an oscillating light wall above a sunspot light bridge and interpreted the oscillations of the light wall as the leakage of p-modes from below the photosphere. Hou et al. (2016) revealed that some light walls are multilayer and multithermal structures that occur along magnetic neutral lines in ARs. As a newfound structure, the light wall’s driving mechanism and magnetic topology have not been well understood. The present work reports a C8.0 flare disturbing a light wall above a sunspot light bridge, which may contribute to the understanding of the light wall’s magnetic configuration. Louis et al. (2014) proposed that the dynamic chromospheric jets above the light bridge seem to be guided by the magnetic field lines. Tian et al. (2014) reported sub-arcsecond bright dots in the transition region above sunspots and suggested that some of these bright dots appear to be located at the bases of magnetic loops. In this event, we suggest that the light wall shares a group of magnetic field lines with the flare loops involved in magnetic reconnection process and is located at the bases of these magnetic loops. They constitute a coupled system (see Figure 4(a)). When the activated filament begins to rise, the overlying field lines, which connect the positive light bridge fields and the negative plage fields, are pushed upward before the flare’s onset (between \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) in Figure 3(g)). Then the light wall turns to close to the vertical direction (see Figure 4(b)). Due to the projection effect, the observations along the LOS show the light wall’s mean projective maximum height increases from 2.7 to 5.2 Mm. Around the \(T_2\) in Figure 3(g), the reconnection takes place (see Figure 4(c)). Underneath the reconnection site, the magnetic filed lines with smaller scales are newly formed, and the flare ribbons appear. As a result, the light wall turns to away from the vertical direction (see Figure 4(d)). Thus, the average projective maximum height of light wall decreases remarkably to 3.0 Mm after the flare (after the \(T_2\) in Figure 3(g)).

Checking the AIA 304 Å observations during the flare’s evolution, we detect a quiescent filament lying above the neutral line. When the flare occurred, the dark material flow was observed between the two flare ribbons. Therefore, we consider that this filament was partly activated and subsequently rose, leading to the C8.0 flare. For eruptive flares, the vertical magnetic fields on both sides of the current sheet correspond to the legs of CME-related expanding field lines (Forbes et al. 2006; Aulanier et al. 2010). Here, we detect a CME associated with this flare by LASCO C2 data, which is consistent with the illustration in Figure 4.
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