Spatial correlation of the dynamic propensity of a glass-forming liquid
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Abstract

We present computer simulation results on the dynamic propensity (as defined by Widmer-Cooper et al 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 135701) in a Kob–Andersen binary Lennard-Jones liquid system consisting of 8788 particles. We compute the spatial correlation function for the dynamic propensity as a function of both the reduced temperature \( T \), and the time scale on which the particle displacements are measured. For \( T \leq 0.6 \), we find that non-zero correlations occur at the largest length scale accessible in our system. We also show that a cluster-size analysis of particles with extremal values of the dynamic propensity, as well as 3D visualizations, reveal spatially correlated regions that approach the size of our system as \( T \) decreases, consistently with the behavior of the spatial correlation function. Next, we define and examine the ‘coordination propensity’, the isoconfigurational average of the coordination number of the minority B particles around the majority A particles. We show that a significant correlation exists between the spatial fluctuations of the dynamic and coordination propensities. In addition, we find non-zero correlations of the coordination propensity occurring at the largest length scale accessible in our system for all \( T \) in the range \( 0.466 < T < 1.0 \). We discuss the implications of these results for understanding the length scales of dynamical heterogeneity in glass-forming liquids.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Glass-forming liquids are remarkable for the extraordinary sensitivity of their transport properties to changes in state variables, such as temperature \( T \) [1]. Properties such as viscosity are commonly found to vary over 14 orders of magnitude in supercooled liquids between the melting temperature and the glass transition. Yet in the same interval of \( T \), it is also typical that only modest changes occur in the average liquid structure. One of the central questions in the study of the glass transition is whether this enormous dynamical response can be understood in terms of structural change [2].

Much recent interest has focused on the emergence and growth of dynamical heterogeneity (DH) in supercooled liquids, that is, spatially extended domains in which molecules are more or less mobile, relative to the bulk average [3]. The growth of these dynamical domains as \( T \) decreases seems to occur in the absence of a growing structural length scale. At the same time, the simulation work of Widmer-Cooper et al has shown that key aspects of DH are indeed structural in origin [4–6]. They do so through the use of the ‘isoconfigurational (IC) ensemble’, a simulation procedure in which a given liquid configuration is analyzed by conducting a set of runs all initiated from the same configuration, but in which particle velocities are randomized [4]. Analysis of the ‘dynamic propensity’, a particle’s displacement averaged over all the runs of the IC ensemble, reveals spatial heterogeneity that can only be due to structural properties of the initial configuration, because the influence of the initial velocities has been averaged out. Simultaneously, there has been significant progress in identifying exactly which local structural properties (e.g. potential energy, soft vibrational modes, medium-range order) may be correlated to DH in several simulated liquids [6–10].

There have also been important recent advances in our understanding of the length scales associated with DH. Several recent works have quantified the length scale of DH as found in computer simulations of the Kob–Andersen (KA) liquid [11], an 80:20 binary mixture of A and B Lennard-Jones particles which has received much attention in simulations of...
glass-forming liquids in general [12], and in the analysis of dynamical heterogeneity in particular [13–23]. A number of studies have estimated the characteristic length scale $\xi$ for DH from the behavior of the four-point structure factor $S_4(q, t)$ (the Fourier transform of a four-point density correlation function) at small wavenumber $q$ [17–23]. These studies confirm that $\xi$ increases as $T$ decreases, and yield estimates of $\xi$ ranging from 1 to 5 particle diameters in the $T$ region accessible to simulations. At the same time, these studies emphasize the challenges associated with finding $\xi$ from the small-$q$ behavior of $S_4(q, t)$, due to the limitations of system size.

Sastry and coworkers have recently evaluated $\xi$ for DH in the KA liquid both from a finite-size scaling analysis, and from the behavior of $S_4(q, t)$ [21–23]. At a reduced temperature of $T = 0.6$, they found $\xi = 2.6$. However, at the same $T$ they showed that finite-size effects continue to influence the estimate of $\xi$ as obtained from $S_4(q, t)$ up to a system size of approximately $N = 350,000$ particles, and that even larger system sizes would be required to accurately evaluate $\xi$ from $S_4(q, t)$ at lower $T$. In a cubic simulation box with sides of length $L$, a system of $N = 350,000$ particles at the density studied ($\rho = 1.2$) has a maximum accessible length scale of $L/2 \approx 33$, i.e. more than an order of magnitude larger than $\xi = 2.6$. The difference between $\xi$ and the size of the system required to accurately compute it demonstrates there exist phenomena that influence DH on length scales many times the value of $\xi$. This highlights the care that must be taken when interpreting the meaning of length scales associated with DH.

In addition, Berthier and Jack have evaluated $\xi$ for the dynamic propensity in the KA liquid as obtained from the four-point structure factor, generalized so as to quantify the spatial correlations of the dynamics in the IC ensemble [19]. They report values of $\xi$ for the dynamic propensity ranging from 1 to 2. For the same $T$, the values of $\xi$ found using conventional averaging fall in exactly the same range. The similarity in the values of $\xi$ obtained from conventional and IC averaging suggests that these two approaches probe the same fundamental length scale. The study of Berthier and Jack is the only one of which we are aware that reports $\xi$ as obtained from the dynamic propensity. Yet their results demonstrate that the dynamic propensity is a relevant measure for improving our understanding of the spatial correlations associated with DH.

In this paper, we present simulation results exploring the nature of the spatial correlations of the dynamic propensity in the KA liquid. In the investigations summarized above, the four-point structure factor $S_4(q, t)$ plays a central role. In order to complement and illuminate these investigations, here we focus instead on quantifying the spatial correlations of the dynamic propensity in real space. We exploit several approaches: (i) an evaluation of a real-space correlation function; (ii) a cluster-size analysis of a subset of particles with extremal values of the dynamic propensity; and (iii) 3D visualizations of the spatial variation of the dynamic propensity field. As shown below, over a wide range of $T$ we find that significant correlations in the dynamic propensity occur at distances larger than might be expected, given the values of $\xi$ obtained previously by analyzing $S_4(q, t)$.

In addition, we investigate the use of IC averaging for quantities other than the particle displacements. The IC ensemble was originally developed to separate out the configurational contribution to DH. However, as we show below, we find that IC averaging is also a useful tool for elucidating the nature of spatial correlations of local structural properties. In the present work, we focus on the local coordination number of the minority B particles around the majority A particles, and define a ‘coordination propensity’ analogous to the dynamic propensity (see below).

In a liquid system, any local property that is a function of particle positions, such as the coordination number, is subject to a random noise contribution due to thermal motion. This ‘thermal broadening’ acts to reduce the magnitude of spatial correlations of local structural properties, just as the Debye–Waller factor lowers the intensity of Bragg scattering in a crystalline solid. As we show below, when we use IC averaging to evaluate the spatial correlation of the coordination number, the thermal contribution is largely averaged out, resulting in a stronger correlation than that found using conventional averaging. Subtle features of the structural correlations, especially at long range, are thus revealed. A further advantage of this approach is that using IC averaging to quantify the spatial correlations of both dynamical and structural properties allows us to seek the connections between the two within a single methodological framework.

In a previous analysis of simulated water, we found that the IC average of a molecule’s potential energy correlated well with its dynamic propensity, suggesting a link between average structure and dynamics at the local level [8]. Here we apply this approach to the KA liquid, focusing instead on the coordination number. Analogous to our results for water, we find a good spatial correlation between the dynamic propensity and the coordination propensity. Surprisingly, we also find that the magnitude of the spatial correlations of the coordination propensity exceed those of the dynamic propensity, with the most dramatic differences occurring at high $T$. We discuss the implications of this finding for understanding the relevant length scales of the KA liquid.

2. Simulation methods

Our model system is the KA liquid, consisting of an 80:20 mixture of $N = 7888$ A and B particles, interacting via a potential $V_{\alpha\beta} = 4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}/r)^{12} - (\sigma_{\alpha\beta}/r)^{6}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \{A, B\}$. All quantities are reported here in reduced units, with length, energy and time given relative to $\sigma_{AA}$, $\epsilon_{AA}$ and $(m\sigma_{AA}^2/48\epsilon_{AA})^{1/2}$ respectively, where $m$ is the mass of the particles. The potential parameters are $\sigma_{AA} = 1.0$, $\epsilon_{AA} = 1.0$, $\sigma_{BB} = 0.88$, $\epsilon_{BB} = 0.5$, $\sigma_{AB} = 0.8$ and $\epsilon_{AB} = 1.5$ [11]. The potential is truncated and shifted at a cutoff radius of 2.5. All simulations are conducted in a cubic cell having sides of length $L$ with periodic boundary conditions and volume fixed to give a density of 1.2. The simulation time step is $\Delta t = 0.01$. In all cases below, we restrict our attention to the properties of the A particles.

We study the liquid using the IC ensemble method at $T = 1.0$, 0.6, 0.5 and 0.466. At each $T$ we generate ten
independent starting configurations. We first equilibrate a random configuration at \( T = 5.0 \) for at least \( 28 \text{,}000 \) time steps, then reset \( T \) to the desired value, controlling \( T \) throughout with a Berendsen thermostat. Each system is equilibrated for at least \( 20 \tau \), where \( \tau \) is the value of the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time at that \( T \). We then use each starting configuration to initiate \( M = 500 \) runs of an IC ensemble by randomizing the velocities of all particles according to a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution, while leaving the particle coordinates unchanged. The IC ensemble runs are carried out in the microcanonical ensemble.

Let \( r^2(i, k, t) \) be the squared displacement of the \( i \)th \( A \) particle at time \( t \) in run \( k \) of an IC ensemble. The ‘dynamic propensity’ of each \( A \) particle at time \( t \) is defined in [4] as the value of

\[
\langle r^2 \rangle_{ic} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} r^2(i, k, t).
\]  

We evaluate \( \langle r^2 \rangle_{ic} \) for each \( A \) particle as a function of \( t \).

For reference, we show in figure 1 the mean squared displacement in the IC ensemble,

\[
\langle r^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N_A M} \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \sum_{k=1}^{M} r^2(i, k, t),
\]  

and the non-Gaussian parameter,

\[
\alpha = \frac{3\langle r^4 \rangle}{S\langle r^2 \rangle^2} - 1,
\]

where \( N_A \) is the number of \( A \) particles. Both quantities show the characteristic pattern of a glass-forming liquid in which DH occurs [15]. \( \langle r^2 \rangle \) develops a plateau at low \( T \) indicating the onset of molecular caging, and \( \alpha \) displays an increasingly prominent maximum as \( T \) decreases.

Motivated by the results of [8], we also analyze a structural property of the system using the same IC averaging employed to find the dynamic propensity. Specifically, we examine the chemical composition of the nearest neighbor environment of the \( A \) particles. Let \( n(i, k, t) \) be the number of \( B \) particles found within a distance of \( r = 1.2 \) (the first minimum of the \( A-B \) radial distribution function) of the \( i \)th \( A \) particle at time \( t \) in run \( k \) of an IC ensemble. We define the ‘coordination propensity’ of each \( A \) particle at time \( t \) as

\[
\langle n_i \rangle_{ic} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} n(i, k, t).
\]

3. Spatial correlation functions

We define the spatial correlation function of the propensity as follows. At a given time \( t \), each \( A \) particle \( i \) has associated with it a value of \( \langle r^2 \rangle_{ic} \) and \( \langle n_i \rangle_{ic} \). If we let \( x(i, t) = \langle r^2 \rangle_{ic} \) or \( \langle n_i \rangle_{ic} \), then a spatial correlation function \( C(r, t) \) for either propensity can be specified via the following definitions:

\[
\langle x(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} x(i, t),
\]

\[
\delta x(i, t) = x_i(t) - \langle x(t) \rangle,
\]

\[
\langle |\delta x(t)\rangle^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \langle |\delta x(i, t)\rangle^2 \rangle,
\]

\[
C(r, t) = \frac{\langle \delta x(i, t)\delta x(j, t) \rangle}{\langle |\delta x(t)\rangle^2 \rangle},
\]

where \( \langle \delta x(i, t)\delta x(j, t) \rangle \) is the average of the product \( \delta x(i, t)\delta x(j, t) \) for all pairs of \( A \) particles \( i \) and \( j \) such that \(|r_i - r_j|\) falls within an interval of width \( \Delta r \) centered on \( r \). We use \( \Delta r = 0.1 \). Note that the position of each particle is its position in the starting configuration of the chosen IC run.

All the results for \( C(r, t) \) presented below are averaged over the ten independently-initialized IC runs. So defined, \( C(r, t) \) measures the average spatial correlation in the fluctuation of the propensity (evaluated at time \( t \)) from its mean value, for particles separated by a distance \( r \) in the initial configuration. If we use \( x(i, t) = \langle r^2 \rangle_{ic} \), we denote the correlation function for the dynamic propensity as \( C_d(r, t) \). If \( x(i, t) = \langle n_i \rangle_{ic} \), then the correlation function for the coordination propensity is denoted \( C_c(r, t) \).

Figures 2 and 3 respectively show the behavior of \( C_d(r, t) \) and \( C_c(r, t) \) as a function of both \( r \) and \( t \), for all four \( T \) studied here. Figures 4 and 5 show the same data in semi-log form.
Figure 2. Spatial correlation function of the dynamic propensity. At each $T$, we show the variation of $C_d(r, t)$ with $r$ for each $t$ studied. The $t$ values of the curves correspond to the times of the data points in figure 1. The curves for the smallest times decay rapidly to zero. At intermediate times, the magnitude of the correlation for a given value of $r$ reaches a maximum. The curve for the largest $t$ is plotted as a thick black line.

Figure 3. Spatial correlation function of the coordination propensity. At each $T$, we show the variation of $C_c(r, t)$ with $r$ for each $t$ studied. The $t$ values of the curves correspond to the times of the data points in figure 1. The curves for the smallest times decay rapidly to zero. At intermediate times, the magnitude of the correlation for a given value of $r$ reaches a maximum. The curve for the largest $t$ is plotted as a thick black line.

Focusing first on the behavior of $C_d(r, t)$, at all $T$ we find that the $r$ dependence of the correlation decays quickly to zero for small values of $t$. However, as $t$ increases to values corresponding to the vicinity of the maximum in $\alpha$, both the magnitude and range of the correlation increases, reflecting the increasing prominence of DH on this time scale. At the longest times studied here, the magnitude of the correlation for a given value of $r$ passes through a maximum and begins to decrease. This effect is most apparent in our data at $T = 1.0$ and 0.5. We illustrate this behavior in figure 6, where we show the value of $C_d(r, t)$ at $r = 1.0$, the position of the first maximum in the A–A radial distribution function. We note that the maxima of the curves in figure 6 occur more than an order of magnitude in time than the corresponding maxima of $\alpha$ shown in figure 1(b).

We also note that the $r$ dependence of the curves in figure 6 is qualitatively similar to that found previously for $\chi_4$, the dynamic susceptibility associated with $S_4(q, t)$; see e.g. the inset of figure 1(a) in [21]. However, similar to the comparison with figure 1(b) above, the maxima of the curves in figure 6 occur more than an order of magnitude later in time than the corresponding maxima of $\chi_4$.

The qualitative pattern of behavior we find for $C_d(r, t)$ is what we would expect based on previous work [13–23]: Similar to conventional measures of DH, spatial correlations of the dynamic propensity are most pronounced on an intermediate time scale, and both this time scale, and the maximum strength of the correlations, grow as $T$ decreases. However, two quantitative aspects of the behavior of $C_d(r, t)$ are noteworthy. First, as shown in figure 4, while $C_d(r, t)$ appears to decay exponentially with $r$ for small $t$, at larger $t$ corresponding to the maximum in the magnitude of the correlations, the $r$ dependence is distinctly non-exponential. Second, at the largest $r$ accessible in our system (i.e. approaching $r = L/2 = 9.7$), negative correlations occur, and become more prominent as $T$ decreases. We note that an error analysis over our ten independent starting configurations confirms that $C_d(r, t) = 0$ lies outside the statistical error bars of the correlations at large $r$ and $t$. The functional form of the $r$ dependence of $C_d(r, t)$ for large $t$ is therefore not simple, e.g. exponential decay. The behavior depicted in figure 2 also shows that for $T \leq 0.6$, $C_d(r, t)$ has not reached its asymptotic limit for large $r$ on the scale of the system studied here. Indeed, the occurrence of negative correlations suggests that $C_d(r, t)$ may have the form of a damped oscillation extending out to much larger distances than those probed in our system.
Turning next to the behavior of $C_c(r, t)$, we find a similar pattern of behavior as that found for $C_d(r, t)$. The magnitude and range of the correlations initially increase as $t$ increases (figure 3). The magnitude of the correlation (at a given $r$) then passes through a maximum and decreases for large $t$ (figure 6(b)). We also find that the $r$ dependence of $C_c(r, t)$ is increasingly non-exponential as $t$ increases (figure 5), and that negative correlations occur at the largest values of $r$ (figure 3).

As anticipated in the introduction, we see in figures 3 and 6(b) the result of applying IC averaging to a local structural property. While $C_d(r, t)$ shows negligible correlations at small $t$, $C_c(r, t)$ exhibits a non-zero correlation of the coordination number for nearby particles even at the smallest $t$ (figure 3). The limit of $C_c(r, t)$ as $t \to 0$ corresponds to the conventional spatial correlation function that could be computed from instantaneous snapshots of the system configuration. These correlations are of rather short range, extending out to approximately the second-neighbor shell before dying out completely. However, when evaluated using IC averaging, the spatial correlations of the coordination number initially grow in magnitude as the time $t$ at which the averaging is carried out increases. This reflects the fact that averaging over initial momenta in an IC ensemble, and evaluating correlations of the propensity on a time scale longer than the vibrational time and shorter than $\tau_v$, suppresses the influence of thermal noise on the structural correlation function. The existence of non-zero structural correlations at much longer range are thereby revealed.

A notable qualitative difference between the behavior of $C_d(r, t)$ and $C_c(r, t)$ is that the magnitude and range of the correlations revealed by $C_c(r, t)$ at intermediate time are large at all $T$, including at our highest $T = 1.0$. Indeed, there is very little $T$ dependence in the maximum values of the curves plotted in figure 6(b). At all $T$, the behavior of $C_c(r, t)$ suggests that the $r$ dependence of the correlation has not asymptotically vanished on the scale of the system studied here.
The non-trivial \( r \) dependence of the correlation functions shown in figures 2 and 3 (including the possibility of negative correlations) does not lend itself to a straightforward quantification of a characteristic length scale from either \( C_d(r, t) \) or \( C_c(r, t) \). Indeed, it seems that systems much larger than that studied here would be required to determine the full range of \( r \) over which significant non-zero correlations occur. This observation is consistent with the much larger system sizes found in [21–23] to be required for the accurate evaluation of \( \xi \).

4. Cluster-size analysis

As an alternative to evaluating a characteristic length scale from our spatial correlation functions, we explore the typical size of the correlations using a cluster-size analysis of a subset of particles having extremal values of the dynamic propensity. This approach was widely used in earlier work on DH, and while more qualitative in nature, succeeded in capturing many of the key trends for how the size of DH correlations grow as \( T \) decreases [8, 15, 24].

To this end, we identify the particles having the highest 10% of \( \langle r_i^2 \rangle_{ic} \) values at a given \( t \), and then find the clusters of ‘mobile particles’ formed by this subset. Clusters are defined by the criterion that two particles of the subset that are also within \( r = 1.4 \) of one another (the position of the first minimum of the A–A radial distribution function) in the initial configuration are assigned to the same cluster. The number-averaged mean cluster size of a set of \( N_c \) clusters is

\[
S = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum n N(n),
\]

(9)

where \( N(n) \) is the number of clusters of size \( n \). We evaluate \( S \) for the clusters of mobile particles defined above, and denote it as \( S_M \). We conduct the same analysis on the lowest 10% of \( \langle r_i^2 \rangle_{ic} \) values, and find the mean cluster size of this ‘immobile’ subset \( S_I \). Figures 7(a) and (b) show the \( t \) dependence of \( S_M \) and \( S_I \), where the data are averaged over the ten starting configurations used at each \( T \).

For comparison, we also evaluate \( S \) for the DH that occurs in single simulation runs. That is, we separately analyze each of the \( M = 500 \) runs of one IC ensemble at each \( T \), and evaluate, as a function of \( t \), the mean cluster size \( S_m \) of the clusters formed by the particles having the largest 10% of displacements as measured from their position in the starting configuration. These mobile clusters correspond to the ‘strings’ documented e.g. in [15]. We then obtain the average of the \( S_m \) curves over all 500 runs (figure 8(a)). The corresponding cluster-size analysis of the smallest 10% of displacements in individual runs gives \( S_I \) as a function of \( t \) (figure 8(b)).

Figures 7(a), (b) and 8 allow us to compare the DH as revealed by both IC and conventional averaging, for both mobile and immobile domains. The \( t \) dependence of all curves follows the behavior for DH found in earlier work (see e.g. [24]). At small \( t \), \( S \) has the value expected for a random choice of 10% of the A particles (approximately \( S_0 = 2.135 \)), consistent with no spatial correlations. However, on the time scale of structural relaxation a maximum occurs, indicating significant clustering of mobile and immobile particles. At large \( t \), the DH begins to dissipate and \( S \) decreases toward \( S_0 = 2.135 \). This pattern of behavior is entirely consistent with that found for the correlation function \( C_d(r, t) \). Also, the \( t \) dependence of \( S_M \) and \( S_I \) is quite similar to that found for the magnitude of \( C_d(r, t) \) for \( r = 1 \) depicted in figure 6.
We note that the time scale on which maxima occur in figures 7(a), (b) and 8 is almost a decade later for immobile domains than for mobile domains at the lowest \( T \). This is true for both IC and conventional averaging, and highlights the occurrence of a distribution of correlation times in the system, the extreme values of which are sampled by the mobile and immobile subsets \[15\].

Figures 7(a), (b) and 8 also show that at the lowest \( T \), the maxima of \( S \) occur about an order of magnitude later in time for IC averaging as compared to conventional averaging. This difference illustrates that the dynamical correlations that occur during individual system trajectories (e.g. string-like particle motions) make a distinct contribution to the spatial correlations of the dynamics, in addition to the configurationally determined influence quantified by the dynamic propensity.

The monotonic increase in \( S_{\text{max}} \) (the maximum value of \( S \)) as \( T \) decreases quantifies the growth of DH on cooling. We denote the maximum value of \( S_{\text{M}} \) in figure 7(a) as \( S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}} \); similarly, \( S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}} \) and \( S_{\text{L}}^{\text{max}} \) denote the maxima in figures 7(b), 8(a) and (b) respectively. The \( T \) dependence of \( S_{\text{max}}^{\text{L}} \) for all data in figures 7 and 8 is plotted in figure 9 as a function of \( (T - T_c)/T_c \), where \( T_c = 0.435 \) is the critical temperature of mode coupling theory for the KA liquid.

Figure 9 shows that the sizes of the mobile and immobile clusters found using the IC ensemble are as much as an order of magnitude larger than the DH found when analyzing single runs. Also, the \( T \) dependence of \( S_{\text{max}}^{\text{L}} \) is quite different for the two kinds of averaging. \( S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}} \) and \( S_{\text{L}}^{\text{max}} \) follow a power law \[15\]^1, while \( S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}} \) and \( S_{\text{L}}^{\text{max}} \) do not. Indeed, the most notable behavior in figure 9 is that \( S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}} \) and \( S_{\text{L}}^{\text{max}} \) both initially grow faster than a power law on cooling, but then at the lowest \( T \) their growth seems to saturate. This behavior is consistent with the size of the largest clusters becoming ‘capped’ by the size of the system at the lowest \( T \). Hence, as in the case of \( C_d(r, t) \), our cluster-size analysis suggests that the size of the correlated domains of the dynamic propensity exceed our system size as \( T \) decreases.

We have also carried out a cluster-size analysis on the coordination propensity. We show in figure 7(c) the time dependence of the mean cluster size \( S_{\text{L}} \) of the 10% of A particles with the lowest values of \( \langle n_1 \rangle_c \). Figure 7(d) shows \( S_{\text{L}} \), the mean cluster size of the 10% of A particles with the highest values of \( \langle n_1 \rangle_c \). The time evolution of \( S_{\text{L}} \) and \( S_{\text{H}} \) in figure 7 is similar to \( S_{\text{M}} \) and \( S_{\text{L}} \), with the notable exception that the values of \( S_{\text{L}}^{\text{max}} \) and \( S_{\text{L}}^{\text{max}} \) (shown in figure 9) are nearly independent of \( T \), and are larger than or comparable to \( S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}} \) and \( S_{\text{L}}^{\text{max}} \) for all \( T \). That is, the size of the domains with high and low B coordination (as quantified by the coordination propensity) remains large at all \( T \), including at high \( T \) where the mobile and immobile domains (as quantified by the dynamic propensity) are an order of magnitude smaller. These findings are consistent with the comparison of the \( C_d(r, t) \) and \( C_c(r, t) \) correlation functions presented in the previous sections. We also note that the instantaneous spatial correlations in the local coordination of A particles (observed in the \( t \to 0 \) limit of \( C_c(r,t) \)) are reflected in the \( t \to 0 \) limit of \( S_{\text{L}} \) and \( S_{\text{H}} \) in figure 7. In this limit, \( S_{\text{L}} \) and \( S_{\text{H}} \) approach values that are distinctly greater than the random value \( S_{\text{r}} = 2.135 \), reflecting the presence of instantaneous correlations of the local coordination.

5. Visualizing the dynamic and coordination propensity

In the top panels of figure 10 we visualize the spatial variation of the dynamic propensity for one starting configuration at each \( T \), at the value of \( t \) corresponding to \( S_{\text{M}}^{\text{max}} \), where the clusters are most prominent. Our procedure is based on that used in \[8\]. Particles in the top (bottom) 50% of \( \langle r_i^2 \rangle_c \) values are represented as green (red) spheres, with each sphere plotted at the position of the particle in the initial configuration. The radius of each sphere represents the rank order of \( \langle r_i^2 \rangle_c \): the larger a green (red) sphere is, the larger (smaller) is its value of \( \langle r_i^2 \rangle_c \). See the caption of figure 10 for complete details of the visualization procedure.

Note that these visualizations represent all the A particles in the system, not just those in the top or bottom 10% of \( \langle r_i^2 \rangle_c \) values that are used in the previous section to define clusters and obtain the mean cluster size. Nonetheless, the pattern of heterogeneity observed in figure 10 is entirely consistent with the cluster-size analysis presented in figure 7, and with the behavior of the spatial correlation functions in figure 2. At \( T = 1.0 \) the arrangement of red and green spheres is nearly random, while at the lowest \( T \), very large mobile and immobile domains have emerged. At both \( T = 0.5 \) and 0.466, the domains are strikingly large, and are comparable to the...
system size. This is consistent with the possibility that finite-size effects are responsible for the saturation of the values of $S_{M}^{\text{max}}$ and $S_{L}^{\text{max}}$ observed at low $T$ in figure 9.

The spatial variation of the coordination propensity $(n_{i})_{ic}$ is visualized in the bottom panels of figure 10 for the same initial configurations shown in the top panels, with $t$ chosen at the time of $S_{L}^{\text{max}}$. In all other respects, the coordination propensity values are represented in the same way as the dynamic propensity values, except that the particles in the top (bottom) 50% of $(n_{i})_{ic}$ values are represented as blue (yellow) spheres, instead of green (red). These visualizations confirm that the size of the domains with low and high B coordination remain large at all $T$, including at high $T$ where the mobile and immobile domains are much smaller.

Further, figure 10 illustrates that the locations of the mobile and immobile domains that emerge on cooling approximately correspond with the domains of low and high B coordination that are prominent at all $T$. This spatial correspondence suggests a correlation between average local dynamics and average local structure that would be consistent with expectation: given the attractive interaction between A and B particles, an A particle with lower-than-average B coordination will be less tightly bound by its neighbors, and thus potentially more mobile, than one with higher-than-average B coordination.

6. Discussion

Our main result is to present a quantification of the correlations of the dynamic propensity as they occur in real space. As shown above, these correlations continue to have a significant $r$ dependence on the largest length scales accessible in our system of $N = 8788$ particles. Previous simulation studies [13–19] of the KA liquid that address DH typically consider systems for which $N$ ranges from 1000 to 8000 particles, and so our system is comparable to the largest systems in this range. The notable exceptions are the recent works that study systems of $N = 27,000$ [20] and $350,000$ [21–23] particles.

Our work shows that a definitive study of the correlations of the dynamic propensity should be undertaken in a system much larger than that used here. This includes studies conducted at high $T$, i.e. up to twice $T_{c}$ or even higher. If it is true that the length scale of the dynamic propensity and the length scale of DH as found in conventional averaging are the same, then our results support the finding of [21–23] that systems very much larger than ours are required in order to study DH in a regime that is beyond the influence of finite-size effects, even at high $T$. The same conclusion is even more strongly supported by the behavior of the coordination.
propensity; these correlations span our system size at all $T$ studied, including $T = 1.0$.

Whether the finite-size effects found here for the dynamic propensity also influence the behavior of other dynamical properties, such as the structural relaxation time $\tau_s$, remains to be determined. For the KA liquid, significant finite-size effects for $\tau_s$ have recently been reported that become prominent in the same range of $T$ in which we find that the spatial correlations of the dynamic propensity reach the scale of our system [25]. Clarification of such finite-size effects merits continued investigation.

We emphasize that since our main results are based on IC averaging, the structural triggers for individual correlated dynamical events occurring in a single simulation run (e.g. the ‘strings’ of [15]) are not specifically addressed here. IC averaging quantifies the tendency for a particular property (a displacement or a coordination number) to be observed, but has very limited predictive power for any given run [19].

It is also important to recognize that the correlations exposed via IC averaging are properties of the initial configuration from which the runs of the IC ensemble are generated. That is, they are static correlations in the sense that they are a property determined by the configuration of particles generated. That is, they are static correlations in the sense that they are a property determined by the configuration of particles from which the runs of the IC ensemble are initiated from the original configuration in revealing these correlations cannot be ignored. It is the sensitivity of the dynamics to the initial configuration that reveals the spatial heterogeneity observed in the propensity. In short, IC averaging provides us with a dynamically-revealed static correlation, but a static correlation nonetheless. In this light, the large-scale correlations (that is, large compared to our system size) that we observe in the dynamic propensity, and especially in the coordination propensity at $T = 1.0$, indicate the existence of subtle configurational fluctuations in the KA liquid that are larger than has perhaps been generally appreciated. The nature of these structural fluctuations clearly merits further study, for example, in terms of fluctuations of local composition or medium-range order that occur without accompanying density fluctuations, as examined in the recent work of Tanaka et al [10].

In all glass-forming liquids, the increasing sensitivity of dynamics to structure as $T$ decreases makes it inevitable that local dynamical fluctuations (i.e. DH) will occur on a scale at least up to the size of any local structural fluctuations that are present. The spatial extent of the fluctuations we find in the coordination propensity are comparable to our system size at all $T$ studied, and as described above, these fluctuations are necessarily structural in origin. Our results therefore suggest that the occurrence of DH in the KA liquid can be understood as a response, progressively emerging as $T$ decreases, of the local dynamics to subtle but large-scale structural fluctuations that are already well-established at high $T$.

Indeed, it is possible to interpret our results for the coordination propensity as an indication that the KA liquid in our simulations is forming very large-scale regions of distinct chemical order, or even, in the extreme case, is undergoing compositional phase separation. In this extreme, the appearance of DH is entirely to be expected: compositionally distinct regions will necessarily have distinct dynamics. At the same time, our data for the spatial correlation function of the coordination number as obtained from conventional averaging (i.e. the $t \to 0$ limit of $C_C(r, t)$ in figure 3) remain short-ranged at all $T$, and so are not consistent with a simple phase separation picture. This suggests that the propensity correlations presented above, though of large size, are more subtle in nature, and merit further investigation. A study of finite-size effects, and/or simulations of a much larger system than that considered here, would greatly assist in clarifying the thermodynamic and dynamical significance of these correlations.

Acknowledgments

We thank ACEnet for providing computational resources, and NSERC, CFI, and AIF for financial support. GSM is supported by an ACEnet Research Fellowship, and PHP by the CRC program.

References

[1] Debenedetti P G 1996 Metastable Liquids: Concepts and Principles (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
[2] Debenedetti P G and Stillinger F H 2001 Nature 410 259
[3] Andersen H C 2005 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 102 6686
[4] Widmer-Cooper A, Harrowell P and Fynneweer H 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 135701
[5] Widmer-Cooper A and Harrowell P 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 185701
[6] Widmer-Cooper A, Perry H, Harrowell P and Reichman D R 2008 Nature Phys. 4 711
[7] Fernández L A, Martín-Mayor V and Verrocuio P 2006 Phys. Rev. E 73 020501
[8] Matharoo G S, Razul M S G and Poole P H 2006 Phys. Rev. E 74 050502(R)
[9] Chaudhuri P, Sastry S and Kob W 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 190601
[10] Tanaka H, Kawasaki T, Shintani H and Watanabe K 2010 Nature Mater. 9 324
[11] Kob W and Andersen H C 1995 Phys. Rev. E 51 4626
[12] Kob W and Andersen H C 1995 Phys. Rev. E 52 4134
[13] Sastry S, Debenedetti P G and Stillinger F H 1998 Nature 393 554
[14] Poole P H, Donati C and Glotzer S C 1998 Physica A 261 51
[15] Donati C, Glotzer S C and Poole P H 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 5064
[16] Appignanesi G A, Rodriguez-Fris J A, Montani R A and Kob W 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 057801
[17] Toninelli C, Wyart M, Berthier L, Biroli G and Bouchaud J-P 2005 Phys. Rev. E 71 041505
[18] Berthier L, Biroli G, Bouchaud J-P, Kob W, Miyazaki K and Reichman D R 2007 J. Chem. Phys. 126 184503
[19] Berthier L and Jack R L 2007 Phys. Rev. E 76 041509
[20] Stein R S L and Andersen H C 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 267802
[21] Karmakar S, Dasgupta C and Sastry S 2009 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106 3675
[22] Karmakar S, Dasgupta C and Sastry S 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 015701
[23] Karmakar S, Dasgupta C and Sastry S 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 015701
[24] Vogel M and Glotzer S C 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 255901
[25] Rehwald C, Rubner O and Heuer A 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 117801

M S G Razul et al