Frustration refers to the inability to satisfy competing interactions simultaneously, often leading to a large number of degenerate ground states. This can suppress ordering tendencies, sometimes resulting in a spin-liquid phase. An intrinsic effect lifting this degeneracy is entropic order by disorder. We present strong evidence that a classical nearest-neighbor Heisenberg model on the swedenborgite lattice exhibits both an extended spin-liquid phase as well as entropic order-by-disorder choosing coplanar configurations after a first-order transition. We argue that this observation renders magnetic insulators such as $R$Ba$_2$Co$_4$O$_{7}$, where $R$ denotes a rare-earth atom, prime candidates for displaying spin-liquid behavior and entropic order-by-disorder physics due to their large exchange constant.
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Whereas Eq. (4) and 120 degrees of freedom each and, consequently, 16 degrees of freedom, we have eight spins per unit cell with two angle degrees disorder. Otherwise, it remains disordered at all temperatures state degeneracy is sufficiently small), it might show order-by-constraints on the ground-state manifold (i.e., if the ground-state manifold is shared between three different bipyramids. Then the building block is antiferromagnetic exchange interactions (see text). (b) Three bipyramids and a connecting intermediate triangle as elementary building blocks of the lattice. The intermediate blue triangle requires a 120° configuration of the three spins around it. The spin configuration shown is the ground-state configuration for $J_2/J_1 \geq 3/2$.

While Eq. (3) is minimized by a coplanar 120° configuration, within a bipyramid the best configuration satisfies

$$S_1 - S_3 = 0,$$

$$S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + \frac{J_2}{J_1} (S_1 + S_3) = 0.$$ (4)

The first term aligns the triangular spins parallel, the second term wants the sum of the kagome spins antiparallel with the triangular spins [19,20].

If the system does not have more degrees of freedom than constraints on the ground-state manifold (i.e., if the ground-state degeneracy is sufficiently small), it might show order-by-disorder. Otherwise, it remains disordered at all temperatures [3]. We have eight spins per unit cell with two angle degrees of freedom each and, consequently, 16 degrees of freedom, whereas Eq. (4) and 120° order on the intermediate triangles impose together 16 constraints per unit cell. Consequently, we can expect an order-by-disorder transition for $J_2/J_1 < 3/2$ if soft modes are present.

The main result of our paper is the phase diagram of Eq. (1) shown in Fig. 2. For $J_2/J_1 \geq 3/2$, we find a transition to a magnetically ordered state, while for $0.3 \lesssim J_2/J_1 \lesssim 3/2$, there is a crossover from a conventional paramagnet to a cooperative paramagnet, a 3d classical spin liquid, which eventually develops nematic order in a first-order transition at very low temperatures. In the weak interlayer coupling limit, $0 < J_2/J_1 \lesssim 0.3$, there is first a crossover from a classical paramagnet to a 2d spin liquid (consisting of decoupled kagome layers, which individually form cooperative paramagnets [23]), followed by a crossover to the aforementioned 3d spin liquid and eventually a first-order transition to a state with nematic order. $J_2 = 0$ denotes the limit of decoupled kagome layers. In the following, we discuss the phase diagram from an analytical and Monte Carlo point of view.

Unique ground state for $J_2/J_1 \geq 3/2$. With the apical spins being parallel, there exists a unique solution to Eq. (4) for $J_2/J_1 = 3/2$ (up to global rotations, see left-hand side of Fig. 3). The kagome spins underneath/above the triangular spins are aligned antiparallelly to the apical spins. To construct the ground state of the full system, one has to stack the bipyramids in c direction and ensure 120° order at the intermediate triangles, Eq. (3). This leads to a 120° pattern of the triangular spins in the ab plane. This configuration remains optimal also for $J_2/J_1 > 3/2$, even though the second condition in Eq. (4) cannot be satisfied any more. The ground state is characterized by a broken spin rotation symmetry (plus breaking of translational symmetry) and is reached after a second-order transition takes place at $T_c$. A classical spin wave analysis to harmonic level around the unique ground state reveals that two eigenmodes per unit cell (localized around hexagons in the kagome plane, see Fig. 4) become soft for $J_2/J_1 = 3/2$ with a corresponding excitation energy $\omega \propto \epsilon^4$, where $\epsilon$ is the out-of-plane tilt. These soft modes leave a footprint in the specific heat: while a generic harmonic mode contributes a factor of 1/2 per spin, a soft mode only
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**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Phase diagram: for $J_2/J_1 \geq 3/2$, there is a unique ground state and the transition is a second-order. For $J_2/J_1 < 3/2$, the dashed line continues the second-order transition but is a crossover line which is set by the scale $J_2/J_1$, separating a standard paramagnet from a 3d classical spin liquid. At low $T$, there is a first-order transition to a nematic ordered phase. The dots emerge from an analysis of the peak in the specific heat, while the triangles are determined from the drop of the diffusivity of the replicas, see text for more explanations. This phase extends all the way to $J_2 = 0$ but is not shown due to the logarithmic temperature scale. The crossover line to the 2d spin liquid is determined along the lines of Zhitomirsky [23].
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**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Ground-state configurations of the kagome (red) and apical (blue) spins inside a bipyramid cluster for $J_2/J_1 = 1.5$ and $1.25$. The kagome spins have been rescaled by a factor $J_2/J_1$. 
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contributes a factor $1/4$. A careful mode counting analysis along the lines of Chalker [1] predicts the specific heat to approach the value $15/16$ as $T \to 0$ for $J_2/J_1 = 3/2$, while it goes to one for $J_2/J_1 > 3/2$.

Spin-liquid phase and order-by-disorder for $0 < J_2/J_1 < 3/2$. Equation (4) allows for many solutions, the same number as for a triangle in a magnetic field once the triangular spins are fixed [10,24,25]. Joining the bipyramids via the intermediate triangles removes some of the degeneracy. On a global level, this still allows for a large number of magnetically ordered ground states (but also disordered states). The fluctuations between these degenerate configurations possibly suppress a transition towards an (magnetically) ordered state altogether. However, we can find an argument favoring coplanar configurations characterized by a nematic order parameter, $Q^{ab} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (S_i^a S_i^b - \frac{1}{2} S^a S^b)$ [23]. Repeating the harmonic analysis around coplanar configurations reveals that the two soft modes with excitation energy $\omega \propto \epsilon^4$ discussed above for $J_2/J_1 = 3/2$ are still present for these states, while they are absent for noncoplanar configurations. The soft nature of the coplanar states leads to entropic selection in a first-order transition, as required by Landau theory due to the presence of a third-order term $\chi^{(3)}(Q)$.

Monte Carlo analysis. We have performed classical Monte Carlo simulations for the model (1) with periodic boundary conditions using parallel tempering for a broad temperature regime from $T/J_1 = 10^{-4}$ to $10^4$. Previous attempts using simulated annealing [20] were restricted to $T/J_1 \geq 10^{-2}$ and missed important aspects of the physics (most importantly the order-by-disorder transition). A feedback algorithm [26] selects temperatures for the PT simulation to maximize the current of replicas drifting through temperature space, thereby optimizing equilibration at all temperatures.

For $J_2/J_1 > 3/2$, we find a second-order phase transition towards the symmetry broken phase with magnetic long-range order, indicated by a peak in the specific heat, c.f. Fig. 5 ($J_2/J_1 = 1.6$), which scales with system size. However, we were not able to determine the critical exponents to demonstrate that the transition is in the $O(3)$ universality class due to our restriction to too small system sizes with $N = 8L^3, L = \{3,6,9\}$ (note that the magnetic unit, consisting of 24 spins, is very large).

For $J_2/J_1 < 3/2$, our analytical analysis has revealed a degenerate ground-state manifold. The specific heat shows three different prototypical shapes in this region, see Fig. 5 for $J_2/J_1 = 1.3,0.5,$ and 0.1. For $J_2/J_1 = 1.3$, there is a first peak at $T = O(1)$, which marks the crossover from the conventional to the cooperative paramagnet. While the height of the peak seems to grow with system size in $c$ direction, it saturates in the $ab$ plane, incompatible with a second-order transition. The correlation length in the $ab$ plane here is finite, while it is very long (but finite) in $c$ direction, c.f. Ref. [27]. The corresponding spin structure factor does not show pronounced Bragg peaks concerning in-plane order and only quasi-long-range correlations in $c$ direction survive upon increasing the system size. Upon decreasing $J_2$ to $J_2/J_1 = 0.5$ the correlations in $ab$ direction become more short ranged, the first peak in the specific heat vanishes continuously, and the specific heat looks increasingly like the one of a Heisenberg chain. Upon further decreasing $J_2$ down to $J_2 = 0.1$, we find that the system first behaves like its 2d kagome counterpart (compare curve with $J_2 = 0$), i.e., the kagome planes individually form cooperative paramagnets [23], which is why we call the regime 2d kagome spin liquid. Upon further lowering temperature the interlayer coupling $J_2$ becomes relevant and a crossover to the 3d spin liquid is observed. This suggests an interpretation of the 3d classical spin liquid as a system with short-range correlations in the $ab$ plane and quasi-long-range order in $c$ direction. This reasoning is further backed up by the position of the characteristic hump in the specific heat scaling like $J_2^2/J_1$, which is the effective ferromagnetic coupling of the triangular spins in $c$ direction, c.f. Eq. (2).

For low temperatures, there is another sharp peak in the specific heat curve for $J_2/J_1 = 1.3$ corresponding to the afore-mentioned order-by-disorder transition. A jump in the nematic order parameter clearly indicates that the nature of this phase transition is a first order. This transition becomes stronger with decreasing $J_2/J_1$ and could not be equilibrated for $J_2/J_1 \lesssim 1.1$. Nevertheless, it is possible to monitor the diffusivity of the different replicas through temperature space along the lines of [26] and determine the transition temperature from its pronounced drop at the phase transition, see the triangles in Fig. 2. Although our numerical analysis is restricted to $T/J_1 > 10^{-4}$, the harmonic spin-wave analysis predicts that...
the transition exists all the way down to $J_2/J_1 \to 0$. After the transition, the specific heat takes the value $\lim_{T \to 0} c_V = 15/16$ (see curve for $J_2 = 1.3$), as predicted by the mode counting analysis (see Fig. 5). The nematic transition is also accompanied by the appearance of additional satellite peaks at the reciprocal lattice vectors $k_1 = 2\pi(1,-1/\sqrt{3},0)$, $k_2 = 2\pi(1/2,\sqrt{3}/2,0)$ and wave vectors related by symmetry, see Fig. 6. Similar behavior is found on the kagome lattice [23].

From our numerical analysis, the phase boundary between the magnetically ordered phase for $J_2/J_1 > 3/2$ and the 3d spin-liquid phase for $J_2/J_1 < 3/2$, see Fig. 2, cannot distinguish between an actual vertical phase boundary and a steep slope towards $J_2/J_1 > 3/2$. For entropic reasons we suspect the latter scenario.

**Conclusion and outlook.** Using a combination of analytical considerations and classical Monte Carlo methods, we have shown that spin systems on the swedenborgite lattice offer an exciting playground in which one can hope to encounter both spin-liquid phases as well as entropic order by disorder.

To show this, we have determined the phase diagram of a nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model with two distinct exchange interactions, see Fig. 2. While the magnetically ordered ground state is unique for $J_2/J_1 \geq 3/2$, it becomes degenerate for $J_2/J_1 < 3/2$. For $J_2/J_1 > 3/2$, there is a second-order transition to the magnetically ordered state. For $J_2/J_1 < 3/2$, this transition ceases to exist and the system crosses over to a regime where it fluctuates between many degenerate spin configurations compatible with Eq. (4) and the sum rule of the intercalated triangles. For very low temperatures, there is a first-order transition towards a phase with nematic order, in which among the many degenerate solutions the coplanar ones are favored. These coplanar ground-state configurations feature soft modes with excitation energy $\omega(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^4$, which drive the entropic order-by-disorder mechanism. From our analysis, we cannot exclude an entropic selection of a subset of the coplanar states at even lower temperatures [28].

With the exchange coupling in these systems being very high, i.e., $J \approx 2000$ K [22], the experimental observation of a classical three-dimensional spin-liquid phase as well as the elusive order-by-disorder mechanism seem conceivable if systematic studies of compounds with different chemical compositions are performed. The ratio $J_2/J_1$ can be altered experimentally by appropriate chemical substitutions of the ions on the triangular layers. Actual compounds show a large spread in the realized ratio $J_2/J_1$ from $0 \lesssim J_2/J_1 \lesssim 1$ [21,29] in $Y_{0.5}Ca_{0.5}BaCoO_7$, 0.5 in $YBa_2Fe_2O_7$ [27], 1 in $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ [19] and $>3/2$ in CaBaCo$_2$Fe$_2$O$_7$ [30]. Compounds with small $J_2/J_1$ might also be used to realize an effective 2d kagome system in a temperature range where $J_2$ is not relevant yet.
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