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ABSTRACT

Objective To describe the sociodemographic characteristics associated with e-cigarette ever use and to examine the impact of e-cigarette ever use on lung function impairment in an ageing population.

Design A cross-sectional analysis of data from the Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging.

Setting A national stratified sample of 44 817 adults living in Canadian provinces.

Participants Respondents included participants aged 45–85 and residing in the community in Canadian provinces.

Outcome measures The Global Lung Function Initiative normative values for forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory ratio (FEV/FVC) appropriate for age, sex, height and ethnicity were used to interpret the severity of lung function impairment. Multinomial logistic regression analysis was used to examine the impact of e-cigarette ever use on obstructive and restrictive lung function impairment.

Results The prevalence of e-cigarette ever use was 6.5% and varied by sociodemographic factors including higher prevalence among individuals younger than 65 years, those with lower education attainment and those with lower annual household income. E-cigarette ever use was associated with 2.10 (95% CI 1.57 to 2.08) times higher odds of obstructive lung function impairment after adjusting for conventional cigarette smoking and other covariates. Individuals with exposure to e-cigarette ever use and 15 or more pack-years had 7.43 (95% CI 5.30 to 10.38) times higher odds of obstructive lung function impairment after adjusting for conventional cigarette smoking and other covariates. Smokers with 15 or more pack-years had higher odds of restrictive lung function impairment irrespective of e-cigarette ever use.

Conclusions Ever use of e-cigarettes was found to be associated with obstructive lung function impairment after adjusting for covariates, suggesting that e-cigarette use may be adding to the respiratory and other chronic disease burden in the population.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic respiratory diseases are among the leading causes of morbidity and mortality and contribute substantially to the burden of non-communicable diseases globally. Smoking is the most prevalent risk factor for chronic respiratory diseases, and similar to conventional cigarettes, evidence shows that electronic cigarette (e-cigarette) use also exposes users to nicotine, tobacco-specific nitrosamines, aldehydes, volatile organic compounds, metals and other toxicants. In Canada, although e-cigarettes containing nicotine are widely available, they were illegal until May 2018. A population-based survey conducted in 2013 estimated 8.5% of all Canadians aged 15 years and older and 3.7% of those aged 45 years and older have ever used an e-cigarette. By 2017, this prevalence had increased to 15% of all Canadians aged 15 years and older, indicating a rapid growth in ever use of e-cigarettes. Although there is substantial research examining adoption of e-cigarettes in youth, not much has been documented regarding sociodemographic factors associated with e-cigarette ever use in the older adult population, particularly in those 65 years and older.

In addition, despite the evidence from animal models, research examining the
effects of e-cigarette use on pulmonary damage in humans, especially among middle and older aged adults is sparse. Research from in vitro and animal studies reported an association between use of nicotine-containing e-cigarettes and increased airway hyper-reactivity, cytokine expression and lung tissue destruction, which are effects associated with the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Animal models also showed that e-cigarette use without nicotine has the potential to cause lung damage. Among the limited number of studies that have examined this association in humans, the results suggest that e-cigarette ever and current use is associated with increased risk of respiratory symptoms and chronic pulmonary disorder, a decline in forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1), forced expiratory ratio (FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC)) and forced expiratory flow in current or former conventional cigarette smokers and never smokers. However, other studies have failed to find such associations. Further, the rise in e-cigarette ever use may partly be attributed to the promotion of e-cigarettes as a safer alternative to conventional cigarettes, with almost one-third of current or former cigarette smokers in Canada reporting using it as a smoking cessation tool. However, evidence suggests that e-cigarettes may only be moderately effective at helping smokers quit smoking, and most e-cigarette users may continue to use both e-cigarettes and conventional cigarettes (dual use). It is also not clear whether e-cigarette ever use among conventional cigarette smokers confers additional risk to a population that is already at a high risk for adverse health outcomes. Some studies have shown dual use of e-cigarettes and conventional cigarettes to be associated with higher risk of cardiovascular disease and COPD compared with conventional cigarette use only, whereas other studies have shown e-cigarette use to reduce exacerbations and improve symptoms in smokers with COPD.

Overall, population-based research studies examining the sociodemographic characteristics associated with e-cigarette ever use and the potential impact of e-cigarette ever use on respiratory health in older adults is currently lacking. The purpose of this study is to estimate the prevalence of e-cigarette ever use in the middle and older age population (45–85 years) and identify characteristics of ever e-cigarette users. Additionally, we also examine the impact of e-cigarette ever use, including the dual impact of e-cigarette and conventional cigarette use on lung function impairment in middle to older aged adults. Identification of high-risk subgroups in the population and examining the impact of e-cigarette use on lung function will guide clinical counselling and prevention efforts and inform public health policy decisions.

METHODOLOGY
Study design and population

The Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging (CLSA) is a national stratified sample of 51 338 participants aged 45–85 years at the time of recruitment (2012–2015) to be followed every 3 years, for at least 20 years or until death or loss to follow-up. The sampling design involved stratifying the population into different strata based on provinces, age groups (45–54, 55–64, 65–74 and 75+), sex and geographic area (residing near a CLSA data collection site vs not). Individuals residing in the three Canadian territories, in long-term care institutions, or on First Nation reserves, full-time members of the armed forces, individuals who are cognitively impaired or those unable to communicate in English or French were excluded from the study. The CLSA cohort has two components, the Tracking cohort (n=21 241) and the Comprehensive cohort (n=30 097). In the CLSA Tracking cohort, participants were selected from all 10 Canadian provinces and measures and measures were collected using a computer assisted telephone interview. In the Comprehensive cohort, participants were randomly selected from within 25–50 km of one of 11 CLSA data collection sites located in seven provinces. Information from the Comprehensive cohort participants was collected through in-person home interview, questionnaires and in-depth physical and biological assessments at a data collection site. All CLSA participants provided information on demographics, lifestyle and health services utilisation. Details on the CLSA study design and methodology have been described previously. Of the 51 338 participants, 44 817 participants completed the first follow-up. Data from the Tracking (n=17 050) and Comprehensive cohorts (n=27 767) at the first follow-up were used to estimate the prevalence of e-cigarette ever use by sociodemographic characteristics in the population. Associations between e-cigarette ever use, conventional cigarette smoking and lung function impairment were based on the CLSA Comprehensive cohort since spirometry measures were collected for this cohort only. Of the 30 097 participants recruited at baseline (2012–2015) in the Comprehensive cohort, spirometry measures were available for 20 347 participants (online supplemental figure S1).

Study measures

Lung function

Spirometry was collected using the TruFlow Easy-on Spirometer (ndd Medical Technologies, Zürich, Switzerland) to measure the FVC and FEV1. Trained staff provided instructions and coaching prior to asking participants to perform a maximal inspiratory and expiratory effort without bronchodilation. Up to a maximum of 8 efforts were encouraged to obtain at least three acceptable manoeuvres, which signifies the end of testing. As per the standardised procedure for collecting spirometry measurements, spirometry testing was not performed among participants who had a severe acute respiratory condition, were pregnant over 27 weeks, had an unstable heart condition, had average blood pressure of 200/120 mm Hg or above, had thoracic, abdominal or cerebral aneurysm(s) present, had a heart surgery, a major surgery...
on chest or abdomen, detached retina or recent eye surgery or blood in sputum within the last 3 months.\textsuperscript{27} Further, quality assurance for spirometry data includes examining test results and evaluating them for evidence of technical errors. A spirometry test is valid if there are at least three acceptable manoeuvres with consistent or reproducible results for FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1}.\textsuperscript{27, 28} Only participants with three acceptable manoeuvres and within 150 cc of variability between the two highest FEV\textsubscript{1} and FVC were selected for analysis. Further details on the spirometry procedures are described in the CLSA spirometry standard operating procedure documentation.\textsuperscript{27} A comparison of participants with acceptable manoeuvres and those with missing spirometry data for reasons other than contraindications showed greater proportion of participants who had missing spirometry data were in the oldest age group, were males, had no postsecondary education and had annual household income of less than $50 000 (online supplemental table S1).

The Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) reference equations provide reliable spirometry prediction equations and include appropriate age dependent lower limit of normal (LLN). Therefore, GLI normative values for FEV\textsubscript{1}, FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC ratio appropriate for age, sex, height and ethnicity were used to reliably interpret the severity of lung function impairment. The highest FEV\textsubscript{1} and FVC and their ratio were transformed to percentage of predicted value (% pred) for analysis. The latter provides an indication of the level of impairment compared with a normal population of similar age, sex, height and ethnicity. Lung function was further categorised as (1) obstructive if the FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC ratio was less than the LLN, (2) restrictive if the FVC was less than LLN and FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC ratio was greater than LLN or if the FEV\textsubscript{1} was less than LLN and the FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC ratio was greater than LLN and (3) normal if FEV\textsubscript{1}, FVC, and FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC ratio were greater than or equal to LLN for age, sex, height and ethnicity.\textsuperscript{29}

### E-cigarette ever use and cigarette smoking

E-cigarette ever use was assessed dichotomously as ‘yes’ or ‘no’ using responses to the following questions: ‘Have you ever tried an electronic cigarette, also known as an e-cigarette?’ and ‘The last time you used an e-cigarette, did it contain nicotine?’. The intensity of conventional cigarette smoking was calculated in pack years (number of cigarettes smoked per day divided by 20 and multiplied by the number of years smoked) for ever-smokers (former daily smokers and current smokers). The number of conventional cigarettes smoked per day was assessed as an ordinal variable with categories of 1–5, 6–10, 11–15, 16–20, 21–25 and 26+ cigarettes. For each category, the midpoint was used as the number of cigarettes smoked per day, and an exact number was used for participants who indicated smoking 26+ cigarettes.\textsuperscript{30} Participants who smoked less than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime were classified as ‘never smokers’. In the analysis, cigarette smoking status was categorised as ‘never smokers’, ‘less than 15 pack-years’ and ‘15 or more pack-years’. Participants who were never daily smokers but indicated smoking at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime were grouped with the ‘less than 15 pack-years’ category.\textsuperscript{30}

### Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics

Age was categorised into 45–54, 55–64, 65–74 and 75–85 years. Ethnic background was grouped as individuals identifying themselves as Europeans and non-Europeans. Self-reported highest level of education was categorised as no postsecondary education, postsecondary education below bachelor’s degree, bachelor’s degree and above postsecondary degree/diploma. Total annual household income was categorised as less than $20 000, $20 000–$49 999, $50 000–$99 999, $100 000–$149 999 and $150 000 and above. Living area was classified as urban and rural, and number of individuals living in the same household was classified as living alone and not living alone. The number of chronic conditions from 10 disease categories including musculoskeletal, respiratory, cardiovascular, endocrine-metabolic, neurological, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, ophthalmological, renal and cancer were summed up and categorised into ‘none’, ‘one’, ‘two’ and ‘three or more’ chronic conditions. Participants were asked to report the chronic condition only if it was diagnosed by a health professional and if the condition had lasted or was expected to last at least 6 months.

### Statistical analysis

Prevalence of adults who had ever tried an e-cigarette and whether their last used e-cigarette contained nicotine was examined by age groups, sex, ethnic background, education status, total annual household income and conventional cigarette smoking status using the Rao-Scott test used in complex samples and is equivalent to the $\chi^2$ test. Multinomial logistic regression was used to examine the association between e-cigarette ever use and lung function impairment adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, education, total household income, intensity of conventional cigarette smoking, living area, number of people living in the same household and number of chronic conditions. This study also examined if the association between e-cigarette ever use and lung function impairment varied by the intensity of conventional cigarette smoking status after adjusting for covariates. The number of participants who were never smokers but ever used an e-cigarette was small and was therefore grouped with the 0–14 pack-years and ever used e-cigarette category. Since participants in certain subgroups in the population had varying probability of being selected into the CLSA sample, using sample weights would correct for the different selection probabilities. Therefore, all analyses were adjusted for the sampling design and performed using inflation and analytical weights provided by the CLSA, allowing results to reflect the distribution of e-cigarette ever use in the population of Canada. OR and 95% CI were reported and analyses were carried out in SAS V.9.4 (Cary, North C, USA).
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RESULTS
Participants
Table 1 presents the descriptive characteristics of participants by age and sex groups in the overall CLSA cohort. At baseline, 52.2% of participants were females, 95.2% were of European ethnicity, 68.1% of participants have attained an education of a bachelor’s degree or above and 69.6% report annual household income of $50 000 or higher. Overall, 26.3% of participants smoked 15 or more pack-years, 30.1% smoked less than 15 pack-years and 43.6% never smoked.

E-cigarette ever use
Figure 1 shows the prevalence of ever using an e-cigarette by demographic factors and cigarette smoking status across all participants and online supplemental figure S2 shows the distribution of participants who reported their last used e-cigarette contained nicotine. The results showed that 6.5% of adults aged 45–85 years had ever used an e-cigarette and almost 70% of these individuals reported that their last used e-cigarette contained nicotine. The reporting of ever having used an e-cigarette and last used e-cigarette contained nicotine differed by age, sex, education and total annual household income. Males were more likely to have ever tried an e-cigarette and their last used e-cigarette was more likely to contain nicotine compared with females. Prevalence of ever using an e-cigarette declined as age increased, with approximately 9.5% among adults aged 45–54 years to 1.7% among adults aged 75–85 years. Across all age groups about 64%–71% of individuals ever using an e-cigarette reported that their last used e-cigarette contained nicotine. Reporting of ever using an e-cigarette was higher among individuals with education below a bachelor’s degree compared with those having at least a bachelor’s degree. About 10.0% of individuals with household income less than $20 000 reported ever using an e-cigarette, with use declining as annual household income increased. When examining e-cigarette use by conventional cigarette smoking status, 43.0% of daily cigarette smokers reported ever using an e-cigarette compared with 2.5% among those who never smoked cigarettes. However, among individuals who had never smoked a conventional cigarette, almost 60% reported their last used e-cigarette contained nicotine.

Association between e-cigarette ever use and obstructive, and restrictive lung function impairment
Analysis of the Comprehensive cohort data showed that 3.7% and 7.8% of participants had lung function impairment with obstructive and restrictive pattern, respectively. Table 2 presents the adjusted OR and 95% CIs from analysis examining the association between e-cigarette ever use and obstructive and restrictive lung function impairment relative to normal lung function. E-cigarette ever use was associated with obstructive lung function impairment (OR: 2.10; 95% CI 1.57 to 2.98) but not restrictive lung function impairment after adjusting for demographic factors, conventional cigarette smoking and chronic health conditions. The association between e-cigarette ever use and obstructive and restrictive lung impairment after adjusting for covariates was also examined among individuals with 0–14 pack-years and 15+ pack years of smoking. The effects in the two conventional smoking groups were relatively different, suggesting a possible synergistic effect of exposure to both e-cigarette ever use and conventional cigarette use.

The association between e-cigarette ever use and obstructive and restrictive lung function impairment relative to normal lung function was examined by the intensity of conventional cigarette smoking after adjusting for covariates. The results showed that in comparison to never smokers and non-e-cigarette users, those who smoked 15 or more pack-years have 3.07 (95% CI 2.45 to 3.46) times higher odds of obstructive lung function impairment, and the odds of obstructive lung function impairment were higher for cigarette smokers of 15 or more pack-years with e-cigarette ever use (OR: 7.43, 95% CI 5.30 to 10.38). However, individuals who smoked 15 or more pack-years, with e-cigarette or without e-cigarette ever use had elevated and similar odds for restrictive lung function impairment. Among individuals who smoked 0–14 pack-years, ever e-cigarette users had higher, but non-statistically significant odds of obstructive lung function impairment, and lower odds of restrictive lung function impairment.

DISCUSSION
The current study reported on the prevalence and distribution of e-cigarette ever use and examined its association with lung function impairment in a large cohort of Canadian adults. Our results showed that 6.5% of Canadian adults aged 45–85 years reported ever using an e-cigarette (about 70% of whom used an e-cigarette containing nicotine), and the distribution varied by sociodemographic characteristics. Further, our results showed that ever use of an e-cigarette was associated with higher odds of obstructive lung function impairment. The odds of obstructive lung function impairment was highest among individuals with exposure of 15 or more pack-years and e-cigarette ever use compared with non-smokers and non-e-cigarette users, whereas the odds of restrictive lung function impairment were similarly high among smokers of 15 or more pack-years irrespective of e-cigarette ever use.

The prevalence estimate of e-cigarette ever use in our study is comparable to estimate of 7.9% reported in a national survey conducted by Health Canada and Statistics Canada.31 Consistent with literature, we found the prevalence of e-cigarette ever use to vary by
### Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of participants by age groups and sex

|                     | Total population | Ages 45–54 | Ages 55–64 | Ages 65–74 | Ages 75–85 |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                     | Male             | Female     | Male        | Female     | Male        | Female     | Male        | Female     | Male        | Female     |
| **n**               | 21,879 (47.8%)   | 22,937 (52.2%) | 31,199 (49.6%) | 33,999 (50.4%) | 69,897 (47.0%) | 77,762 (53.0%) | 66,445 (49.1%) | 66,757 (50.9%) | 50,546 (44.7%) | 51,119 (55.3%) |
| **European ethnicity, n (%)** | 20,739 (94.9%) | 21,983 (95.5%) | 29,212 (92.2%) | 31,789 (93.0%) | 66,222 (94.7%) | 73,666 (94.9%) | 63,426 (96.6%) | 64,343 (97.0%) | 48,544 (96.8%) | 49,944 (97.8%) |
| **Annual household income, n (%)** | 3974 (17.8%) | 3055 (18.6%) | 208 (9.3%) | 249 (11.7%) | 708 (14.4%) | 893 (17.0%) | 626 (13.4%) | 808 (15.9%) | 532 (11.1%) | 711 (12.4%) |
| **Diploma/ certificate below bachelor's degree** | 2074 (12.4%) | 2661 (15.0%) | 208 (9.3%) | 249 (11.7%) | 708 (14.4%) | 893 (17.0%) | 626 (13.4%) | 808 (15.9%) | 532 (11.1%) | 711 (12.4%) |
| **Bachelor's degree** | 1571 (8.9%) | 1741 (9.2%) | 166 (8.5%) | 181 (7.4%) | 498 (8.3%) | 599 (10.5%) | 509 (9.3%) | 512 (9.5%) | 398 (8.0%) | 449 (8.2%) |
| **Above bachelor's degree** | 16,956 (60.8%) | 17,040 (57.3%) | 2747 (73.9%) | 2903 (73.8%) | 5517 (59.9%) | 6007 (59.5%) | 5167 (58.8%) | 4900 (52.0%) | 3525 (45.8%) | 3230 (38.6%) |
| **Living area, n (%)** | 19,516 (85.8%) | 20,567 (86.0%) | 2887 (90.2%) | 3027 (87.0%) | 6203 (84.3%) | 6883 (84.6%) | 5882 (84.0%) | 5956 (86.1%) | 4544 (85.3%) | 4701 (87.6%) |
| **Number of individuals living in the same household, n (%)** | 3758 (13.9%) | 6946 (21.4%) | 335 (9.9%) | 404 (8.0%) | 1068 (13.1%) | 1576 (14.6%) | 1138 (14.9%) | 2222 (25.9%) | 1217 (20.2%) | 2744 (47.1%) |
| **Cigarette smoking, n (%)** | 4921 (40.3%) | 11,594 (46.6%) | 1904 (53.6%) | 1840 (49.6%) | 3232 (40.0%) | 3722 (41.5%) | 2434 (32.7) | 3293 (46.7%) | 1851 (33.6%) | 2739 (53.7%) |
| **Number of chronic conditions, n (%)** | 58,871 (30.9%) | 4178 (22.1%) | 440 (17.9%) | 452 (17.8) | 1671 (31.2) | 1449 (22.6) | 2115 (38.4) | 1368 (26.1) | 1645 (37.4) | 909 (20.8) |
sociodemographic characteristics with higher prevalence among males, those younger than 65 years, having lower education attainment and lower total annual household income. In accordance with many other studies, we also found that e-cigarette ever use was more prevalent among smokers, particularly among smokers who smoked 15 or more pack-years. Together, these findings suggest that e-cigarettes are being used as a smoking cessation tool.

Our results also showed that individuals who reported ever using an e-cigarette had significantly higher odds of obstructive lung function impairment compared with no e-cigarette use, controlling for conventional cigarette smoking, chronic health conditions and sociodemographic factors. This finding is consistent with the findings from animal studies and short-term exposure human studies. To date, there are no data on the long-term effects of e-cigarette exposure, which is urgently needed given the rising rates of e-cigarette use. Results from cell studies indicate that emissions from e-cigarettes are associated with the generation of reactive oxygen species, which result in oxidative stress and may play a role in initiating proinflammatory responses and affecting airway cells. Inhalation aerosols from e-cigarettes were also found to be associated with increased lung flow resistance, which precedes changes in peak expiratory flow and FEV1. Further, research has shown acute exposure to e-cigarette with and without nicotine to be associated with a higher fraction of exhaled nitric oxide and lower vital capacity among occasional smokers, which suggests that non-nicotine toxicants also impact lung inflammation and function. However, there was no association between e-cigarette ever use and restrictive lung function after adjusting for conventional cigarette smoking status. E-cigarettes are perceived to be effective for reducing or quitting smoking. Therefore, heavy intensity smokers may be more likely to use e-cigarettes for this purpose as there is some evidence that e-cigarettes can deliver nicotine into the bloodstream and lower nicotine withdrawal effects.

Further, our results showed that the association between e-cigarette ever use and obstructive and restrictive lung function impairment differed by the intensity of the conventional cigarette use. As expected, individuals who smoked 15 or more pack-years had higher odds of obstructive and restrictive lung function impairment when compared with never smokers and non-e-cigarette users. Interestingly, the odds of obstructive lung function impairment was further elevated among individuals who smoked 15 or more pack-years and used e-cigarettes compared with never smokers and non-e-cigarette users. This finding is consistent with previous research that found dual use of e-cigarettes and tobacco cigarettes to have more detrimental effects on lung function than using either product alone. The results suggest that people who smoked 15 or more pack-years had greater lung tissue damage and were more sensitive to aerosol from e-cigarettes. It is also possible that these individuals may have used e-cigarettes more frequently or intensely because of higher nicotine dependence, and perhaps, to assist with quitting or reducing smoking. However, it is possible that the nicotine from e-cigarettes does not satisfy dual users’ nicotine need enough to help them quit or reduce their use of tobacco cigarettes, and the additional exposure to nicotine and other toxicants from e-cigarettes may further contribute to the adverse effects on lung function. Further, although we accounted for intensity of conventional cigarette smoking by creating a pack-years variable, it is possible that the group with both exposures (smokers who smoked 15 or more pack-years and ever used e-cigarettes) had a greater proportion of individuals with higher intensity of conventional cigarette smoking. It is also likely that smokers who intend to quit
This study has some limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. A major limitation is that e-cigarette use was measured using a single question that assessed whether participants ever used an e-cigarette. This does not capture the frequency, intensity and duration of e-cigarette use. More frequent use may have recently initiated e-cigarette use, and therefore future research should consider the frequency, intention and duration of e-cigarette use when assessing its impact on health outcomes.

**Strengths and limitations of this study**

This study has some limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. A major limitation is that e-cigarette use was measured using a single question that assessed whether participants ever used an e-cigarette. Information on the frequency, intensity and duration of e-cigarette use, method of e-cigarette delivery, type of e-cigarette liquid and dose of nicotine or flavours was unavailable and not assessed. Spirometry testing was not performed in participants who were identified to have contraindications for spirometry testing. Further, participants who did not have at least three acceptable manoeuvres were excluded from the analysis, which may impact the validity of the findings. According to the standardised procedure for collecting spirometry measurements, a spirometry test is valid if there are at least three acceptable manoeuvres with consistent or reproducible results for FVC and FEV₁.²⁷ To ensure that our spirometry data were valid and not impacted by technical errors, we only included participants with three acceptable manoeuvres. However, a comparison of participants with valid spirometry data and those with missing spirometry data for reasons other than contraindications showed that a greater proportion of participants who had missing spirometry data were in the oldest age group, were males, had no postsecondary education and had annual household income of less than $50 000, which may affect the validity of our findings. Also, reverse causality is an important limitation as the temporality of association between e-cigarette use and lung function impairment cannot be established due to the cross-sectional nature of the data. It is not clear if conventional cigarette smokers with lung function impairment were more likely to initiate e-cigarette use or if e-cigarette use contributed to lung function impairment. Longitudinal studies are needed to examine the impact of e-cigarette use on lung function. Nevertheless, this study included a nationally generalisable sample and is the largest population-based study to report the prevalence of e-cigarette use among different socioeconomic groups and examine its association with lung function impairment among older adults.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the current study identified sociodemographic characteristics associated with e-cigarette ever use, which will be useful in understanding and reducing health disparities in the population. Evidence also indicates high prevalence of e-cigarette ever use among smokers. Ever use of an e-cigarette was associated with lung function impairment, suggesting that e-cigarette use may be adding to the respirator disease burden in the population. Further research is needed to understand the association between e-cigarette use and respiratory health, both among smokers and non-smokers.
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