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Abstract

Background: Weight regain often occurs after weight loss in overweight individuals. We aimed to compare the effectiveness of 2 support programs and 2 diets of different macronutrient compositions intended to facilitate long-term weight maintenance.

Methods: Using a 2 × 2 factorial design, we randomly assigned 200 women who had lost 5% or more of their initial body weight to an intensive support program (implemented by nutrition and activity specialists) or to an inexpensive nurse-led program (involving “weigh-ins” and encouragement) that included advice about high-carbohydrate diets or relatively high-monounsaturated-fat diets.

Results: In total, 174 (87%) participants were followed-up for 2 years. The average weight loss (about 2 kg) did not differ between those in the support programs (0.1 kg, 95% confidence interval [CI] –1.8 to 1.9, \( p = 0.95 \)) or diets (0.7 kg, 95% CI –1.1 to 2.4, \( p = 0.46 \)). Total and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels were significantly higher among those on the high-monounsaturated-fat diet (total cholesterol: 0.17 mmol/L, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.33; \( p = 0.040 \); LDL cholesterol: 0.16 mmol/L, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.31; \( p = 0.039 \)) than among those on the high-carbohydrate diet. Those on the high-monounsaturated-fat diet also had significantly higher intakes of total fat (5% total energy, 95% CI 3% to 6%, \( p < 0.001 \)) and saturated fat (2% total energy, 95% CI 1% to 2%, \( p < 0.001 \)). All of the other clinical and laboratory measures were similar among those in the support programs and diets.

Interpretation: A relatively inexpensive program involving nurse support is as effective as a more resource-intensive program for weight maintenance over a 2-year period. Diets of different macronutrient composition produced comparable beneficial effects in terms of weight loss maintenance. ClinicalTrials.gov trial register no. NCT00128336.
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renal disease, malabsorption disorders, active treatment for cancer, and conditions that would prevent them from being physically active), those taking medications known to affect weight, those who did not intend to live in the region for 2 years, and those who were pregnant or who intended to conceive within 2 years of recruitment. Each woman gave written consent for participation in the randomized controlled trial.

This trial was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Otago. Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Protocol Registration System, Protocol ID: 03/173, ID: NCT00128336.

Study design and measurements
We randomly assigned the first 200 women who met the study criteria in blocks of 20 using numbered, opaque sealed envelopes, after stratification based on the extent of their weight loss (5%–7% or > 7% of initial body weight) using a 2 × 2 factorial design. Each envelope contained a card that described the nature of the intervention. The participants were assigned to 1 of 4 intervention groups: intensive support and a high-carbohydrate diet; nurse support and a high-carbohydrate diet; intensive support and a high-monounsaturated-fat diet; nurse support and a high-monounsaturated-fat diet. This 2 × 2 factorial design permitted comparisons between 100 women in each of the 2 support programs and in each of the 2 diets. The interventions were implemented over a 2-year period (Appendix 1, available at www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/180/10/E39/DC2). Contamination between the groups was reduced by ensuring that all communal activities were held and measurements made on different days. In accordance with the informed consent form, participants in each group were aware that they had been allocated to groups involving varying levels of support and different types of dietary advice, but they were not provided with details of the alternative program or diets.

Measurements were made at baseline and after 1 and 2 years after randomization, except where specified. For each participant, dietary intake was recorded over a 3-day period, and hunger and satiety were rated using a 7-point scale before and after the 3 main meals. Participants competed the Profile of Mood States self-administered questionnaire, National Physical Activity Questionnaire (Long Last 7 Days Self-administered Format for use with young and middle-aged adults aged 15–69 years) and the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire. The Physical Work Capacity 170 Exercise Test was performed.

At baseline only, participants completed a questionnaire about their weight, dieting history, eating and exercise behaviours. Two years after randomization, participants completed a program-evaluation questionnaire in which they were asked to comment on the usefulness of the program resources and visits, and the frequency of contacts. Participants also commented on various eating and physical activity behaviours.

Measurements of height (at baseline only), weight, waist circumference, bioelectrical impedance (as a measure of body fat) and blood pressure were made under standardized conditions. Measurements were duplicated and then averaged. We calculated BMI as weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared. A blood sample was taken after fasting for measurement of lipids, lipoproteins, glucose and insulin.

Interventions
Within 2 weeks after randomization, all participants met individually with a nutritionist to receive instruction on their assigned diet. Participants were given a building-block plan based on their diet allocation (including a daily dietary outline to be placed on their refrigerator) and a book of comprehensive dietary information, including colour-illustrated recipes, guidelines for eating out and samples of weekly menus. A week later, participants returned to receive an individualized exercise program from an exercise consultant. The program included type, duration and frequency of exercise with personalized goals. Participants also received a weight chart to track their weight changes.

After 1 year, each participant had a 30 minute consultation with the general practitioner who was participating in the study to discuss anthropometric changes, clinical findings and laboratory tests. Websites were developed during the first year to enable participants to interact with others in the same dietary intervention group without requiring the involvement of the investigators.

During the second year of the study, participants were invited to attend 3 optional group sessions: progressive muscle relaxation and yoga sessions, supermarket tours and diet-specific cooking demonstrations. The interventions differed in the intensity (and cost) of the measures provided to implement the weight maintenance program and the macronutrient composition of the diets.

Support programs
In addition to the interventions received by all participants, participants in the nurse-support program received weigh-in visits (5–10 minutes) with the research nurse every 2 weeks for the duration of the study. Participants could briefly discuss their eating and exercise progress. Weight was recorded on participants’ individual weight charts. On the alternate weeks, participants received a phone call (about 10 minutes) from the same nurse to discuss their progress. Support groups (1 hour) were provided monthly for each of the diet groups. Discussion topics included a range of eating- and exercise-related topics and coping strategies (e.g., how to read food labels, coping with the holiday season). Each of the participants in the nurse-support program was paired with a “buddy” (support person) who was in the same diet group and whose role was to provide additional encouragement.

The intensive-support program was based on the program used in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. The support program involved 11 individualized one-on-one sessions (about 35 minutes) with a nutritionist and exercise trainer at 2 and 6 weeks and 3, 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21 and 24 months. The sessions covered a variety of nutrition and physical activity topics. Participants were encouraged to attend at least 2 supervised circuit-type resistance-training sessions at a private gym each week for the duration of the study, with each session costing the participant $2 (New Zealand dollars). The circuit classes combined high-repetition, low-resistance weight-based training, cardiovascular training and moderate intensity aerobics.
Dietary intervention
The high-carbohydrate diet was intended to provide 55% total energy from carbohydrates, 15%–20% from protein and 25%–30% from fat. Participants were encouraged to consume predominantly low-glycemic index foods (e.g., multi-grain breads, legumes, oat cereals, basmati rice) and plenty of fruit and vegetables, and to have moderate intakes of low-fat dairy products, white fish, seafood, lean poultry and lean red meat.

The high-monounsaturated-fat diet was intended to include 25% total energy from protein, 21% from monounsaturated fat and 40% from carbohydrates. Participants on this diet were encouraged to consume plenty of fruit and vegetables, and to have moderate intakes of low-fat dairy products, white fish, seafood, lean poultry and lean red meat.

Personnel
The same senior registered nurse, experienced research dietician, nutritionist and exercise trainer provided the intervention advice throughout the study, made the clinical measurements (having had formal training in anthropometry) and took the blood samples. Although it was clearly not possible for the personnel to be masked as to group allocation, the research assistants and laboratory technicians responsible for analysis of diet records and blood samples were unaware of the program and diet groups.

Statistical analysis
Power calculations that allowed for outcomes measured on 2 occasions were based on our earlier studies. After accounting for baseline measures, the standard deviation (SD) of weight was estimated to be 5 kg. Our calculations showed that 100 women per group would be required to detect a 2-kg difference in weight between 2 treatments with 80% power at a 5% level of significance, allowing for 20% of participants to drop out. We assumed that the effects of the support programs and diets were additive. This sample size allowed for the detection of meaningful differences in secondary out-

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of participants in the randomized controlled trial of approaches for weight maintenance

| Characteristic                                                                 | Support program; mean (SD)* | Diet group; mean (SD)* |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|                                                                                  | Intensive n = 100         | Nurse n = 100          | High-monounsaturated-fat diet n = 100 | High-carbohydrate diet n = 100 |
| Age, yr                                                                        | 46 (11)                    | 44 (10)                | 45 (10)                        | 45 (11)                        |
| Ethnic background, % of participants                                         |                             |                        |                                |                                |
| White                                                                          | 93                         | 89                     | 90                             | 92                             |
| Maori                                                                          | 5                          | 10                     | 8                              | 7                              |
| Other                                                                          | 2                          | 1                      | 2                              | 1                              |
| Previous weight loss, kg                                                       | 8.3 (5.1)                  | 8.6 (4.6)              | 8.5 (4.8)                      | 8.4 (4.9)                      |
| Previous weight loss, %                                                        | 8.6 (4.5)                  | 9.1 (4.5)              | 8.9 (4.7)                      | 8.8 (4.2)                      |
| Weight loss method, % of participants                                          |                             |                        |                                |                                |
| Commercial                                                                    | 17                         | 14                     | 10                             | 21                             |
| Health professional                                                           | 34                         | 44                     | 40                             | 38                             |
| Individual                                                                    | 49                         | 42                     | 50                             | 41                             |
| Weight, kg                                                                    | 86.6 (14.1)                | 85.1 (15.1)            | 86.2 (13.6)                    | 85.5 (15.6)                    |
| Body mass index                                                               | 32.0 (4.9)                 | 31.6 (5.1)             | 31.9 (4.9)                     | 31.8 (5.2)                     |
| Waist, cm                                                                     | 96.3 (12.1)                | 93.3 (12.7)            | 95.5 (11.8)                    | 94.0 (13.1)                    |
| Fat-free mass, kg                                                             | 48.8 (7.0)                 | 48.5 (6.8)             | 48.7 (6.4)                     | 48.6 (7.4)                     |
| Fat mass, kg                                                                  | 37.9 (8.5)                 | 36.0 (9.2)             | 36.9 (8.1)                     | 37.0 (9.6)                     |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg                                                | 126 (14)                   | 122 (15)               | 124 (14)                       | 124 (15)                       |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg                                               | 80 (8)                     | 77 (9)                 | 78 (9)                         | 79 (9)                         |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L                                                      | 5.0 (1.0)                  | 5.2 (1.0)              | 5.1 (1.0)                      | 5.1 (1.0)                      |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L                                                       | 1.3 (0.3)                  | 1.3 (0.3)              | 1.3 (0.3)                      | 1.3 (0.3)                      |
| LDL cholesterol, mmol/L                                                       | 3.4 (0.8)                  | 3.2 (0.9)              | 3.4 (0.9)                      | 3.3 (0.9)                      |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L                                                         | 1.2 (0.5)                  | 1.2 (0.7)              | 1.2 (0.6)                      | 1.2 (0.6)                      |
| Glucose, mmol/L                                                               | 4.8 (0.5)                  | 4.8 (0.5)              | 4.8 (0.5)                      | 4.8 (0.5)                      |
| Insulin, mIU/L                                                                | 9.1 (5.4)                  | 9.4 (8.2)              | 9.4 (7.9)                      | 9.1 (5.9)                      |

Note: CI = confidence, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, SD = standard deviation.
*Unless stated otherwise.
comes, which included BMI, waist circumference, fat mass, blood pressure, lipids, glucose and insulin levels. Subgroup analyses were not prespecified.

The data were analyzed according to intention to treat, after imputing the missing values by use of chained equations. We used mixed models with participant as a random effect to analyze the main outcome measure (weight) collected at 1 and 2 years, because this type of model accounts for the underlying covariance between repeated measures. The baseline measures were included as covariates. The preliminary models included terms for the support programs, dietary interventions and time, as well as interactions between support and diet, support and time, and diet and time. Because the interaction effects were not statistically significant, they were not included in the final model that estimated the effect of both interventions. Mixed models were also used for the secondary outcomes. The results are presented as mean and SD for each treatment at each time. A log transformation was used for fasting insulin to stabilize the variances and the results are presented as ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A p value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant. We did not adjust for multiple comparisons.

**Results**

Screening and recruitment took place from April 2004 to October 2004. Baseline characteristics, including weight loss in the 6 months before recruitment were similar in each of the 4 intervention groups (Table 1). Of the 200 participants, 180 (90%) were followed-up at 1 year and 174 (87%) at 2 years (Figure 1). Anthropometric and body composition measures and lipid, glucose and insulin levels at baseline did not differ in those who were lost to follow-up and those who remained in the trial for 2 years (data not shown).

**Support programs**

Participants randomly assigned to both support programs reduced their weight, fat mass, BMI, waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, and levels of glucose and insulin. Lipid levels remained relatively unchanged over the 2 years of the trial (Table 2).

There was little change in the reported physical activity and scores on the Profile of Mood State questionnaire during the course of the trial. There was no significant difference in the results of the Physical Work Capacity test between par-
participants in the 2 groups (ratio for the difference between support programs 1.0, 95% CI 1.0 to 1.1, \( p = 0.89 \)).

All of the participants attended the initial discussions during which they were given individualized dietary and exercises advice. Of those in the intensive-support program, 93% attended all of the sessions with the nutritionist and exercise trainer in the first year, and 87% attended all of the sessions in the second year. Circuit classes were poorly attended (47% and 28% of participants attended on a regular basis in the first and second years, respectively). Of those in the nurse-support program, 89% attended the weigh-ins in the first year and 85% attended in the second year. Fewer participants in this group regularly participated in the phone calls (67% in the first year, and 65% in the second year) and attended the support groups on a regular basis (24% in the first year, and 21% in the second year). At 2 years, 54% of participants in the

### Table 2: Differences at follow-up in characteristics of participants in the intensive-support program and nurse-support program

| Characteristic                       | Year 1; mean (SD) | Year 2; mean (SD) | Difference (95% CI) | \( p \) value |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|
|                                      | Intensive       | Nurse            | Intensive           | Nurse        |                        |                      |
|                                      | \( n = 100 \)    | \( n = 100 \)    | \( n = 100 \)       | \( n = 100 \)  |                        |                      |
| Weight, kg                           | 85.0 (14.8)      | 83.3 (15.9)      | 84.3 (14.4)         | 83.0 (15.2)  | 0.1 (–1.8 to 1.9)      | 0.95                |
| Body mass index                      | 31.5 (5.2)       | 30.9 (5.5)       | 31.2 (5.1)          | 30.8 (5.1)   | 0.0 (–0.7 to 0.7)      | 0.95                |
| Waist, cm                            | 93.3 (12.6)      | 90.9 (12.8)      | 91.6 (12.2)         | 90.1 (12.2)  | –0.5 (–2.4 to 1.4)     | 0.61                |
| Fat-free mass, kg                    | 48.6 (7.3)       | 48.0 (7.2)       | 48.3 (7.4)          | 47.5 (7.1)   | 0.4 (–0.4 to 1.1)      | 0.36                |
| Fat mass, kg                         | 36.9 (9.8)       | 34.6 (10.4)      | 36.6 (9.8)          | 34.6 (9.6)   | 0.3 (–1.2 to 1.8)      | 0.68                |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg       | 120 (14)         | 117 (12)         | 123 (14)            | 118 (14)     | 1.5 (–1.4 to 4.3)      | 0.31                |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg      | 75 (8)           | 74 (7)           | 78 (8)              | 75 (8)       | 0.6 (–0.9 to 2.1)      | 0.45                |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L            | 5.06 (0.92)      | 4.84 (0.93)      | 5.09 (0.98)         | 5.01 (0.91)  | –0.02 (–0.18 to 0.15)  | 0.86                |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L              | 1.27 (0.35)      | 1.31 (0.34)      | 1.27 (0.38)         | 1.29 (0.35)  | –0.04 (–0.10 to 0.01)  | 0.13                |
| LDL cholesterol, mmol/L              | 3.28 (0.80)      | 3.02 (0.85)      | 3.29 (0.84)         | 3.23 (0.88)  | 0.02 (–0.12 to 0.17)   | 0.82                |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L                | 1.09 (0.57)      | 1.11 (0.61)      | 1.14 (0.60)         | 1.08 (0.63)  | 0.01 (–0.09 to 0.11)   | 0.80                |
| Glucose, mmol/L                      | 4.58 (0.45)      | 4.59 (0.51)      | 4.61 (0.49)         | 4.54 (0.49)  | 0.05 (–0.04 to 0.15)   | 0.29                |
| Insulin, mU/L                        | 7.74 (4.55)      | 7.23 (4.06)      | 7.07 (5.68)         | 6.59 (5.31)  | 1.03 (0.92 to 1.17*)   | 0.60                |

Note: CI = confidence interval, LDL = low-density lipoproteins, HDL = high-density lipoproteins, SD = standard deviation.

*Ratio of the intensive-support program to the nurse-support program (based on log transformation data).

### Table 3: Differences at follow-up among participants in the high-monounsaturated-fat diet group and the high-carbohydrate diet group

| Characteristic                       | Year 1; mean (SD) | Year 2; mean (SD) | Difference (95% CI) | \( p \) value |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|
|                                      | High-            | High-            |                      |              |
|                                      | monounsaturated- | carbohydrate-   |                     |              |
|                                      | fat diet \( n = 100 \) | diet \( n = 100 \) |                     |              |
|                                      |                   |                   |                     |              |
| Weight, kg                           | 84.8 (14.7)      | 83.5 (15.9)      | 84.3 (14.3)         | 83.0 (15.2)  | 0.7 (–1.1 to 2.4)      | 0.46                |
| Body mass index                      | 31.4 (5.3)       | 31.0 (5.4)       | 31.2 (5.1)          | 30.8 (5.1)   | 0.2 (–0.4 to 0.9)      | 0.51                |
| Waist, cm                            | 93.0 (12.3)      | 91.3 (13.1)      | 91.4 (11.7)         | 90.3 (12.5)  | 0.3 (–1.5 to 2.1)      | 0.77                |
| Fat free mass, kg                    | 48.6 (7.1)       | 48.0 (7.3)       | 48.2 (6.9)          | 47.7 (7.4)   | 0.4 (–0.3 to 1.1)      | 0.31                |
| Fat mass, kg                         | 36.0 (9.9)       | 35.5 (10.5)      | 35.8 (9.3)          | 35.4 (9.9)   | 0.5 (–0.9 to 2.0)      | 0.47                |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg       | 119 (12)         | 118 (14)         | 121 (14)            | 120 (14)     | 0.4 (–2.2 to 3.0)      | 0.75                |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg      | 75 (7)           | 75 (8)           | 76 (8)              | 76 (8)       | 0.4 (–1.0 to 1.9)      | 0.56                |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L            | 5.08 (0.96)      | 4.82 (0.87)      | 5.12 (0.93)         | 4.98 (0.93)  | 0.17 (0.01 to 0.33)    | 0.04                |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L              | 1.30 (0.33)      | 1.28 (0.36)      | 1.27 (0.37)         | 1.29 (0.36)  | 0.01 (–0.04 to 0.06)   | 0.66                |
| LDL cholesterol, mmol/L              | 3.27 (0.87)      | 3.04 (0.77)      | 3.34 (0.88)         | 3.18 (0.81)  | 0.16 (0.01 to 0.31)    | 0.039               |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L                | 1.11 (0.59)      | 1.10 (0.58)      | 1.11 (0.61)         | 1.11 (0.62)  | 0.00 (–0.09 to 0.09)   | 0.98                |
| Glucose, mmol/L                      | 4.58 (0.46)      | 4.58 (0.49)      | 4.53 (0.52)         | 4.62 (0.44)  | –0.06 (–0.14 to 0.03)  | 0.21                |
| Insulin, mU/L                        | 7.65 (4.15)      | 7.32 (4.41)      | 6.41 (5.16)         | 7.24 (5.61)  | 0.97 (0.87 to 1.09*)   | 0.62                |

Note: CI = confidence interval, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, SD = standard deviation.

*Ratio of the high-monounsaturated-fat diet to the high-carbohydrate diet (based on log transformation data).
The participants’ hunger and satiety scores were unchanged during the study for both diets, and there was no significant differences between the groups during the trial.

**Interpretation**

Regain of weight is a common occurrence for overweight and obese individuals after short-term weight loss achieved by use of a variety of conventional and alternative weight-loss regimens. In this randomized controlled trial, we have shown that women who are sufficiently motivated to join a 2-year study can maintain their weight and, in many instances, further reduce their weight, waist circumference and body fat mass with a simple, inexpensive nurse-support program. The results were similar for the more costly intensive-support program, which included supervision by several different health professionals. Furthermore, those following a conventional high-carbohydrate, high-fibre diet achieved similar results to those on a lower-carbohydrate diet that was relatively high in monounsaturated fatty acids.

The intensive-support program used in this study was based on the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study,11 which achieved maintenance of weight loss and reduction of diabetes risk over a prolonged period. Although this and other intensive programs5,12,22,23 have been successful, the costs to implement these programs are considerable and well beyond the means of health budgets in many countries. Thus, our finding that a relatively inexpensive program can produce comparable results is of considerable importance.

Other lifestyle interventions with low-intensity support (e.g., phone calls, Internet contact and mailouts) have had limited success.24–27 In the study by Wing and colleagues,28 weight gain among markedly obese individuals who had lost weight before the study was successfully limited over an 18-month period. Programs with face-to-face contact on a regular basis (weekly for 1 month and monthly thereafter) had better results than programs with the same frequency of contact via the Internet (mean weight regain of 2.5 kg/person v. 4.9 kg/person, after a pre-intervention mean weight loss of 19.3 kg). Participants in the study by Wing and colleagues28 differed from those in our study in terms of degree of obesity and amount of initial weight loss. However, it is conceivable that the frequent follow-up in our study, especially with regard to weight and several measures of body fat mass, accounted for the difference in findings between the 2 studies.

Improvements in physical fitness and physical activity did not differ during follow-up in the intensive- and nurse-support programs, confirming that costly counselling about physical activity is not an essential component of a program designed

---

**Table 4: Nutrient intake at baseline among participants on the high-monounsaturated-fat diet and the high-carbohydrate diet**

| Nutrient               | High-monounsaturated-fat diet, mean (SD) | High-carbohydrate diet, mean (SD) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                        | n = 100                                 | n = 100                          |
| Energy, kJ             | 7953 (2883)                             | 7995 (2188)                      |
| Carbohydrate           |                                        |                                  |
| Total, g               | 203 (68)                                | 220 (69)                         |
| % of total energy      | 42 (10)                                 | 47 (8)                           |
| Protein                |                                        |                                  |
| Total, g               | 94 (36)                                 | 83 (25)                          |
| % of total energy      | 21 (5)                                  | 19 (4)                           |
| Fat                    |                                        |                                  |
| Total, g               | 73 (48)                                 | 62 (26)                          |
| % of total energy      | 32 (10)                                 | 30 (7)                           |
| Fatty acids            |                                        |                                  |
| Saturated, g           | 28 (18)                                 | 25 (12)                          |
| Saturated, % of total energy | 13 (4) | 12 (3)                       |
| Monounsaturated, g     | 27 (22)                                 | 21 (10)                          |
| Monounsaturated, % of total energy | 12 (5) | 10 (3)                       |
| Polysaturated, g       | 11 (8)                                  | 9 (5)                            |
| Polysaturated, % of total energy | 5 (2) | 5 (2)                       |
| Total fibre, g         | 22 (8)                                  | 23 (7)                           |

Note: SD = standard deviation.
to maintain weight-loss. In addition, attendance at circuit classes was poor and declined dramatically in the second year, despite the availability of multiple sessions at minimal cost. In contrast, attendance at the weigh-ins was excellent, and many participants reported that the weigh-ins and the enthusiastic support provided by the nurse on those occasions and on the telephone were key determinants of their success.

Claims have repeatedly been made about the weight-reducing potential of “diets” of different macronutrient composition. Several meta-analyses have largely dispelled the myth that any particular distribution of macronutrients confers overall advantages in terms of weight loss. However, there is some evidence to suggest that diets relatively low in total carbohydrate may confer benefits in terms of cardiovascular risk determinants in individuals with metabolic syndrome.

The results of our study confirm that 2 of the most widely promoted dietary prescriptions for weight loss are equally effective options for individuals attempting to maintain their weight after weight loss. It is also reassuring that further weight loss represents a reduction in body fat and not lean body mass, that central adiposity is reduced, and that several other cardiovascular risk determinants (blood pressure, fasting glucose, triglycerides and insulin) are improved. Total and LDL cholesterol did not change appreciably during the course of the trial. The small, yet statistically significant, increase in total and LDL cholesterol among those on the high-monounsaturated-fat diet relative to among those on the high-carbohydrate diet is probably because, despite specific advice to the contrary, saturated fat intake appeared to increase in parallel with monounsaturated fat. As a result, the intakes of saturated fat and total fat were higher among participants on the high-monounsaturated-fat diet than among those on the high-carbohydrate diet.

**Strengths and limitations**

The 2 × 2 factorial design used in our study was an efficient means of simultaneously assessing outcomes of the 2 support programs and 2 diet interventions. This design and the high retention rate of participants throughout the trial confirm that the findings can be interpreted with confidence. The inclusion of individuals sufficiently motivated to join a 2-year study may be a limitation to the widespread applicability of the findings. These results clearly do not apply to those who have not contemplated the change necessary to facilitate weight loss and maintenance. Without such motivation, it is highly improbable that anyone is likely to achieve success. Although motivation to maintain weight loss is undoubtedly an essential prerequisite of success, in many other long-term trials of sufficiently motivated individuals, most did not achieve long-term maintenance of weight loss. Participants did not achieve their dietary targets, but this is almost inevitable in long-term studies of individuals not living in institutions.

Our results have considerable practical implications. They suggest an approach by which overweight and obese people

| Table 5: Differences in nutrient intake among participants on the high-monounsaturated-fat diet and the high-carbohydrate diet after 1 and 2 years |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Characteristic | Year 1; mean (SD) | Year 2; mean (SD) | Difference (95% CI) | p value |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Energy, kJ     | 6513 (1701)       | 6319 (1610)       | 6985 (2006)         | 6192 (1679)     | 433 (87 to 779) | 0.014 |
| Carbohydrate   |                   |                   |                     |                     |                     |       |
| Total, g       | 173 (54)          | 197 (51)          | 185 (61)            | 183 (59)          | –7 (–19 to 4)     | 0.22  |
| % of total energy | 43 (9)            | 50 (7)            | 43 (8)              | 47 (9)            | –5 (–7 to –3)     | < 0.001 |
| Protein        |                   |                   |                     |                     |                     |       |
| Total, g       | 87 (24)           | 79 (23)           | 88 (24)             | 77 (22)           | 8 (3 to 13)       | 0.001 |
| % of total energy | 23 (5)            | 21 (4)            | 22 (5)              | 22 (5)            | 1 (0 to 2)        | 0.13  |
| Fat            |                   |                   |                     |                     |                     |       |
| Total, g       | 54 (24)           | 42 (21)           | 61 (28)             | 46 (20)           | 12 (8 to 17)      | < 0.001 |
| Total, % of total energy | 30 (8)            | 24 (7)            | 31 (8)              | 27 (8)            | 5 (3 to 6)        | < 0.001 |
| Fatty acids    |                   |                   |                     |                     |                     |       |
| Saturated, g   | 18 (10)           | 15 (8)            | 23 (12)             | 17 (8)            | 4 (2 to 6)        | < 0.001 |
| Saturated, % of total energy | 10 (3)            | 8 (3)             | 12 (4)              | 10 (3)            | 2 (1 to 2)        | < 0.001 |
| Monounsaturated, g | 21 (9)            | 14 (8)            | 22 (11)             | 16 (8)            | 6 (4 to 7)        | < 0.001 |
| Monounsaturated, % of total energy | 12 (4)            | 8 (3)             | 11 (3)              | 9 (4)             | 2 (2 to 3)        | < 0.001 |
| Polyunsaturated, g | 9 (5)            | 8 (6)             | 10 (5)              | 8 (5)             | 1 (0 to 2)        | 0.003 |
| Polyunsaturated, % of total energy | 5 (2)            | 5 (3)             | 5 (2)               | 5 (2)             | 1 (0 to 1)        | 0.009 |
| Total fibre, g | 24 (8)            | 27 (9)            | 23 (8)              | 23 (8)            | –1 (–3 to 0)      | 0.06  |

Note: CI = confidence interval, SD = standard deviation.
who have lost weight may be helped to maintain their weight loss. A nurse-led support program involving regular (weekly or biweekly) weigh-ins could be implemented in any country in which general practice is a cornerstone of the health care system. Nurse-led support programs are appealing because they can be implemented within the existing infrastructure and do not require extensive additional training in nutrition and physical activity. Participants reported that the regular contact and encouragement were the key aspects that helped them to sustain their lifestyle changes. Although initial input from experts in lifestyle change (nutritionists, dieticians and exercise consultants) may be helpful, it appears that their long-term involvement is not essential.

The macronutrient distribution of the diet is not a critical determinant of outcome, though our study compared only 2 of the most widely recommended dietary prescriptions. However, these findings serve as an important reminder of the need to ensure that, if monounsaturated fat is increased, there is not a parallel increase in saturated fat. There is evidence from other studies that a dietary prescription involving increased carbohydrate must be implemented by an increase in appropriate carbohydrate sources (e.g., legumes, nonstarchy vegetables, fruit, whole-grain oats). Failure to do so may result in adverse effects on several predictors of cardiovascular and diabetes risk.

Conclusion

Given the overwhelming costs associated with the comorbidities of obesity, it is encouraging to note that a nurse-supervised program to support the maintenance of weight is effective. Such a program can be implemented at a fraction of the cost of an intensive-support program, which many believe is essential for successful long-term maintenance of weight loss. The next step is to evaluate the approach tested in the primary care setting to determine whether our results can be translated into practice.
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