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Abstract: Chitin is a versatile environmentally friendly modern material. It has a wide range of applications in areas such as water treatment, pulp and paper, biomedical devices and therapies, cosmetics, membrane technology and biotechnology and food applications. Crustacean waste is the most important chitin source for commercial use. Demineralization is an important step in the chitin purification process from crustacean waste. The conventional method of demineralization includes the use of strong acid (commonly HCl) that harms the physiochemical properties of chitin, results in a harmful effluent wastewater and increases the cost of chitin purification process. The current study proposes the use of organic acids (lactic and acetic) produced by cheese whey fermentation to demineralize microbiologically deproteinized shrimp shells. The effects of acid type, demineralization condition, retention time and shells to acid ratio were investigated. The study showed that the effectiveness of using lactic and/or acetic acids for demineralization of shrimp shells was comparable to that of using hydrochloric acid. Using organic acids for demineralization is a promising concept, since organic acids are less harmful to the environment, can preserve the characteristics of the purified chitin and can be produced from low cost biomass such as cheese whey. In addition, the resulted organic salts from the demineralization process can be used as a food preservative and/or an environmentally friendly de-icing/anti-icing agents.
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INTRODUCTION

Chitin is a versatile environmentally friendly modern material. It is a naturally occurring high molecular weight linear homopolysaccharide composed of N-acetyl-D-glucoseamine residues in β(1-4) linkage. Li et al.[1] reported that chitin and chitin derivatives are biodegradable and biocompatible natural polymers that have been used in virtually every significant segment of the economy (e.g. water treatment, pulp and paper, biomedical devices and therapies, cosmetics, biotechnology, agriculture, food science and membrane technology). The number and variety of industrial uses are growing rapidly. Brzeski[2] reported that the potential applications of chitin and its derivatives have been estimated at over 200.

Chitin can be found in a variety of species in both the animal and plant kingdoms. It is present in amounts varying from trace quantities up to about 40% of the body weight of the organism. The crustacean waste is the most important chitin source for commercial use due to its high chitin content and ready availability[3-5]. However, chitin present in the crustacean waste is associated with proteins, minerals (mainly calcium carbonate) and lipids including pigments. Therefore, chitin purification passes through several steps: (a) the grinding of the shells to a uniform particle size, (b) protein separation (deproteinization), (c) mineral removal (demineralization) and (d) elimination of pigments and lipids.

The conventional demineralization process of crustacean waste is costly and causes environmental problems. Hydrochloric acid is the most commonly used chemical in the demineralization of crustacean waste. The use of this strong acid: (a) harms the physiochemical properties of chitin, (b) results in a harmful effluent wastewater and (c) increases the cost of chitin purification process. Percot et al.[6] reported that using HCl for the demineralization of chitin results in detrimental effects on the molecular weight and the degree of acetylation that negatively affects the intrinsic properties of the purified chitin. The authors elaborated on the importance of the optimization of the extraction process parameters (pH, time, temperature and solids to acid ratio) in order to minimize chitin degradation and bring the impurity levels down to the satisfactory level for specific applications. Therefore, a less harmful cheaper demineralization process is needed.

The current study proposes the use of a novel demineralization process in which organic acids (lactic and acetic) are used. Using organic acids such as lactic and/or acetic acids for the demineralization process is a
promising idea since organic acids: (a) can be produced from low cost biomass such as cheese whey, (b) are less harmful to the environment, (c) can preserve the characteristics of the purified chitin and (d) the resulting organic salts from the demineralization process can be used as an environmentally friendly de-icing/anti-icing agents and/or as preservatives. The objectives of this study were to: (a) evaluate the effectiveness of organic acids (lactic and acetic) to demineralize microbially deproteinized shrimp shells, (b) study the effects of retention time and shells to acid ratio on the performance of the demineralization process using organic acids and (c) determine the amount of the major acetate and lactate salts that results from the demineralization process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Shrimp shells
Northern Pink Shrimp (Pandalus borealis) shell waste was used in this study. Pandalus borealis is commonly fished in the North Atlantic both on the East Coast of Canada and the West Coast of Norway. Shahidi and Synowiecki[7] reported that the processing discards of these shrimp may account for up to 80% of the original weight of the material.

The shrimp shells were obtained from Ocean Nutrition Ltd. of Bedford, Nova Scotia. The material came from a shell processing plant in Mulgrave, Nova Scotia. These shrimp were caught in the fall of 2001, as part of the offshore Northern Shrimp Fishery by a vessel owned by Clearwater Fine Foods Inc. and then individually quick-frozen on board the vessel. They were stored frozen in this manner until arrival at a cooking/peeling plant operated by St. Anthony Seafoods Ltd., St. Anthony, Newfoundland where they were cooked in boiling salt water for 10 minutes. Following cooking, the shrimp were sent to automated peeling machines where the shell and meat portions were separated. The shell material was collected and dried in large kiln dryers in Mulgrave before shipping for further processing. The obtained shrimp shells were stored at about -25 °C in the storage facility (Associated Freezers of Canada, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia) till needed.

Before subjecting the shrimp shells to demineralization the shells were first microbially deproteinized. The deproteinization process took place in a 1.8 L drum bioreactor. The fungus Aspergillus niger (ATCC 16513) was used for the deproteinization process according to the procedures described by Mahmoud[8]. Ground autoclaved shrimp shell material collected after 120 h of deproteinization was used. The deproteinized shells were washed thoroughly several times with deionized distilled water until the wash water was clear and dried in an oven (Isotemp Oven, Model 655F, Fisher Scientific, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) at 60 °C before use in this experiment.

Reagents
The chemicals used in performing the demineralization process included 1 N (36.46 g L⁻¹) HCl, 1.7 N (61.98 g L⁻¹) HCl, 75.6 g L⁻¹ lactic acid and 75.0 g L⁻¹ acetic acid solutions. The 1 and 1.7 N HCl solutions were prepared by the addition of 82.8 mL and 140.8 mL concentrated (36.5-38.0%) HCl (Fisher Scientific, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) to a 1000 mL volumetric flasks and bringing the solutions to 1000 mL each with distilled-deionized water. The lactic acid solution was prepared by the addition of 73.54 mL (85% w/w) DL-lactic acid (Sigma-Aldrich Canada Ltd., Oakville, Ontario, Canada) to de-ionized distilled water and making up the solution to 1000 mL. The acetic acid solution was prepared by the addition of 71.57 mL (99.8% w/w) glacial acetic acid (Fisher Scientific, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) to de-ionized distilled water and making up the solution to 1000 mL.

Experimental procedures
Four sets of experiments were carried out using 1 L beakers. The first two sets were used to study the effects of acid type as well as demineralization condition on efficiency of the demineralization process. The third and fourth sets were used to study the effects of retention time (contact time between organic acid solutions and crude chitin) and shells to acid ratio on the efficiency of the demineralization process.

Effects of acid type and demineralization conditions:
In the first two sets of experiments, two organic acids (lactic and acetic acids) and one mineral acid (hydrochloric acid) were used to demineralize microbially deproteinized shells under two demineralization conditions. The concentrations of acids used in the demineralization process as well as the demineralization conditions were chosen based on data available in the literature (Table 1).

Tango and Ghaly[9] attained a high lactic acid concentration of 75.6 g L⁻¹ from cheese whey fermentation using an immobilized packed bed of Lactobacillus helveticus in continuous mode at 36 h retention time and initial lactose concentration of 100 g L⁻¹. Based on this study, a lactic acid solution of 75.6 g L⁻¹ concentration was synthesized and used for demineralization. Yang et al.[10] attained a high acetate concentration of 75.0 g L⁻¹ from cheese whey fermentation using recycle fed-batch immobilized coculture of Lactococcus lactis and Clostridium formicoaceticum. Based on this study, an acetic acid solution of 75.0 g L⁻¹ concentration was synthesized and used for the demineralization study.

The first set of experiments was carried out according to the demineralization procedure (A) described by Zakaria[11]...
In this procedure, 1 g of microbially deproteinized shells (MDS) was mixed with 50 mL acid (36.46 g L\(^{-1}\) HCl, 75.60 g L\(^{-1}\) lactic acid, 75.00 g L\(^{-1}\) acetic acid) and placed in a desiccator to cool down and then weighed. The ash content was determined as follows:

\[
AC = \frac{W_{ds} - W_{a}}{W_{ds}} \times 100
\]

Where
- \(AC\) is the ash content (%)
- \(W_{ds}\) is the weight of the dry sample (g)
- \(W_{a}\) is the weight of the organic component (g)

Minerals: The demineralized shell material (purified chitin) was filtered under suction through a Buchner funnel with coarse porosity filter paper (reeve angel grade 202, Whatman Inc., Clifton, NJ, USA). The recovered solids were washed thoroughly several times using deionized-distilled water and dried in an oven (Isotemp Oven, Model 655F, Fisher Scientific, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) at 60 °C for 24 h. The dried deproteinized samples and the purified chitin samples were analyzed for their ash content. Samples were placed in a muffle furnace (Isotemp® Muffle Furnace model 186A, Fisher Scientific, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) at 105 °C for 24 h. The dried deproteinized samples and the purified chitin samples were analyzed for their ash content. Samples were placed in a desiccator to cool down and then weighed. The ash content was determined as follows:

\[
MC = \frac{W_{ws} - W_{ds}}{W_{ws}} \times 100
\]

Where
- \(MC\) is the moisture content (%)
- \(W_{ws}\) is the weight of the wet sample (g)
- \(W_{ds}\) is the weight of the dry sample (g)

Experimental analyses

**Moisture content:** A known weight of each sample was placed in a preweighed aluminum dish. The dish and contents were then placed in an oven (Isotemp Oven, Model 655F, Fisher Scientific, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) at 105 °C for 24 hours. The aluminum dish along with the dried sample was first placed in a desiccator to cool down and then weighed. The moisture content was determined as follows:

\[
MC = \frac{W_{ws} - W_{ds}}{W_{ws}} \times 100
\]

**Minerals:** The demineralized shell material (purified chitin) was filtered under suction through a Buchner funnel with coarse porosity filter paper (reeve angel grade 202, Whatman Inc., Clifton, NJ, USA). The recovered solids were washed thoroughly several times using deionized-distilled water and dried in an oven (Isotemp Oven, Model 655F, Fisher Scientific, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) at 60 °C for 24 h. The dried deproteinized samples and the purified chitin samples were analyzed for their ash content. Samples were placed in a muffle furnace (Isotemp® Muffle Furnace model 186A, Fisher Scientific, Montreal, Quebec, Canada) at 105 °C for 24 h. The dried deproteinized samples and the purified chitin samples were analyzed for their ash content. Samples were placed in a desiccator to cool down and then weighed. The ash content was determined as follows:

\[
AC = \frac{W_{ds} - W_{a}}{W_{ds}} \times 100
\]

Where
- \(AC\) is the ash content (%)
- \(W_{ds}\) is the weight of the dry sample (g)
- \(W_{a}\) is the weight of the organic component (g)
closed vessel at a temperature of 100 °C and then the elements were determined by flame atomic absorption with detection limit of 1 ppm. For silicon, aluminum and titanium analyses, 1 g of the sample was fused with a flux of lithium metaborate and lithium tetraborate and leached with 1:9 nitric acid. Sulfur was determined as P₂O₅ by a colorimetric method using spectrophotometer with micro flow-thru system (Spectronic 100, Bausch & Lomb Incorporation, Rochester, New York, USA) at 430 nm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of acid type and demineralization conditions

Table 2 shows the minerals composition of microbially deproteinized shells (MDS) and demineralized shells (DS) using hydrochloric, lactic and acetic acids under the two demineralization conditions described by Zakaria[11] and Ghanem et al.[12] Figure 1 shows the removal efficiencies of the total minerals and calcium (the most abundant mineral in the shrimp shells) for the demineralized shells.

The total mineral content of the shrimp shells used in this study was 31.73%. This value is within the range of 30-50% reported by Synowiecki and Al-Kateeb[13]. The most abundant minerals in the shrimp shells were Ca, P, Mg, S and Na, which accounted for 44.75, 7.06, 1.94, 1.48 and 1.10% of the total shell mineral composition, respectively. The amount of calcium present in the shells was 6 and 23 times higher than the amounts of phosphorus and magnesium, respectively. Hansen and Illanes[14] stated that the major mineral component of shellfish waste is calcium. Beaney et al.[15] reported that the most abundant minerals in prawn shell were Ca, Mg, Na, Sr, K and Fe in that order and that calcium was by far the most abundant (about 17 times more calcium present than magnesium). Synowiecki and Al-Kateeb[13] stated that the minerals fraction of shrimp shells composed mostly of phosphates and carbonates of calcium and magnesium.

In the microbially deproteinized shells (MDS), the total mineral composition was slightly reduced from 31.73 to 30.65%. The reduction in calcium, sodium, sulfur, potassium and magnesium were 0.76, 0.31, 0.27, 0.07 and 0.06%, respectively. After the deproteinization process shrimp shells were washed with distilled deionized water until the wash water was clear. About 1.08% of the total minerals in the shells were removed in the wash water.

The results showed insignificant difference in the demineralization efficiency between conditions A[11] and B[12] when using HCl. The total mineral content was reduced from the initial value of 30.65% in the MDS to final values of 3.15 and 3.26% in the DS for conditions A and B, respectively. The calcium (the most abundant mineral in the shells) was reduced from the initial value of 13.44% in the MDS to final values of 0.12 and 0.13% in the DS for conditions A and B, respectively. In case of lactic acid, an insignificant difference in calcium removal was noticed between the two demineralization conditions (calcium concentration was reduced from the initial value of 13.44% in the MDS to final values of 0.78 and 0.70% in the DS for conditions A and B, respectively). However, the reduction in the total mineral content differed slightly between the two demineralization conditions. The total mineral concentration was reduced from the initial value of 30.65% to final values of 3.69 and 4.60% for conditions A and B, respectively.

The effectiveness of acetic acid in removing the minerals from the shells was lower than those of hydrochloric and lactic acids. The total minerals content was reduced from 30.65% in the MDS to 8.53 and 9.10 in the DS using acetic acid under conditions A and B,

Table 2: Effects of acid type and demineralization condition on minerals composition of demineralized shells

| Element | MDS | HCl | A | B | LA | A | B | AC | A | B |
|---------|-----|-----|---|---|----|---|---|----|---|---|
| Al      | 0.078 | 0.321 | 0.442 | 0.123 | 0.340 | 0.050 |
| Ca      | 13.439 | 0.115 | 0.132 | 0.784 | 0.702 | 3.216 |
| Cu      | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.006 |
| Fe      | 0.056 | 0.172 | 0.059 | 0.053 | 0.209 | 0.111 |
| K       | 0.016 | 0.034 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.024 | 0.017 |
| Mg      | 0.555 | 0.047 | 0.035 | 0.030 | 0.072 | 0.049 |
| Mn      | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.010 |
| Na      | 0.041 | 0.045 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.073 | 0.022 |
| P       | 2.860 | 0.046 | 0.083 | 0.534 | 0.410 | 1.685 |
| S       | 0.199 | 0.235 | 0.265 | 0.268 | 0.293 | 0.257 |
| Si      | 0.460 | 0.417 | 0.755 | 0.192 | 0.730 | 0.598 |
| Others  | 12.917 | 1.703 | 1.435 | 1.662 | 1.732 | 2.213 |
| Total   | 30.650 | 3.150 | 3.260 | 3.690 | 4.600 | 8.529 |

MDS Microbially deproteinized shells
A - Temperature of 100 °C, shells to acid ratio of 1:50 and retention time of 1 h[11]
B - Temperature of 24 °C, shells to acid ratio of 1:10 and retention time of 6 h[12]
Fig. 1: Minerals removal efficiency for demineralized shells using hydrochloric acid (HCl), lactic acid (LA) and acetic acid (AC) under two demineralization conditions (A: Temperature of 100 °C, shells to acid ratio of 1:50 and retention time of 1 h; B: Temperature of 24 °C, shells to acid ratio of 1:10 and retention time of 6 h).

respectively. The calcium concentration was reduced from 13.44% in the MDS to 3.22 and 4.03% in the DS using acetic acids under conditions A and B, respectively.

The removal efficiencies of the total minerals and the calcium were calculated based on the microbially deproteinized sample weight and the recovered demineralized sample weight. The removal efficiencies of the total minerals and the calcium using demineralization condition A were 96.71 and 99.73%, 94.07 and 97.13% and 85.56 and 87.59% for HCl, LA and AC, respectively. The removal efficiencies of the total minerals and the calcium using demineralization condition B were 95.02 and 99.54%, 92.12 and 97.26% and 82.55 and 82.38% for HCl, LA and AC, respectively. In general, insignificant differences between condition A and B were observed. The removal efficiencies using the three acids were acceptable although that of acetic acid was lower.

Zakaria et al.[16] used lactic acid fermentation for chitin purification from Scampi waste and reported that approximately 61% of the calcium present in the scampi waste at the start of fermentation was solubilized and that the purified chitin contained about 19.3% calcium. Cira et al.[17] achieved reduction in calcium concentration from 14.6-15.9% to 6.3-8.1% during lactic acid fermentation of shrimp waste. Ghanem et al.[12] studied the effect of various shrimp processing procedures on the quality and quantity of purified chitin and achieved reductions in the ash concentrations from 20.08 and 37.13% in the shrimp shells to 0.83 and 8.93% in the purified chitin for two shrimp shells, respectively. The calcium concentration was reduced from 3.56 to 2.38% and from 7.12 to 0.02% for the two shell types. Using lactic acid fermentation, Beaney et al.[15] achieved reductions in the total inorganic matter and calcium concentrations from 61.8 to 19.6 and 14.2% and from 0.78 to 0.31 and 0.17%, respectively. The authors also used 1M HCl in a 1:15 shells to acid ratio for 2 h retention time at room temperature and achieved reduction in the total inorganic matter concentration from 61.8 to 0.2%.

**Effect of retention time**

It was concluded that there was insignificant difference between the two tested conditions (A and B). Therefore, condition B was used for further investigations since it saves energy and acid. Table 3 shows the minerals composition of microbially deproteinized shells (MDS) and Demineralized shells (DS) with lactic and acetic acids using demineralization procedure B (1:10 shells to acid ratio at room temperature) for 2 and 6 h retention times. Figure 2 shows the removal efficiencies of the total minerals and calcium for the demineralized shells.

The results showed that reducing the retention time from 6 to 2 h caused a slight reduction in minerals removal efficiency. The total mineral concentrations for 6 and 2 h retention times were 4.60 and 5.28% and 9.10 and 11.39 % for lactic and acetic acids, respectively. The calcium concentrations in the DS for 6 and 2 h retention times were 0.70 and 1.56% and 4.03 and 4.68 % for lactic and acetic acids, respectively. The total minerals removal efficiencies for 6 and 2 h retention times were 92.12 and 90.29% and 82.55 and 79.56% for lactic and acetic acids, respectively. The calcium removal efficiencies for 6 and 2 h retention times were 97.26 and 93.44% and 82.38 and 80.84% for lactic and acetic acids, respectively. A slight decrease in the removal efficiencies was observed when the retention time was reduced from 6 to 2 h. However, lactic acid achieved higher removal efficiency than acetic acid.

There is a wide range of retention times (0.5 – 48.0 h) reported in the literature for the deproteinization of crustacean shells[18]. Retention time is one of the most important parameters in the demineralization process for chitin purification since prolonged retention times increase the cost and affects the quality of the purified chitin. Percot et al.[6] reported that the quality of chitin and its effectiveness for different applications depend on the molecular weight distribution and the degree of acetylation. Synowiecki and Al-Kateeb[13] reported that prolonged retention time may results in a slight drop in the mineral content but can cause significant chitin degradation. Madhavan and Nair[19] reported a decrease...
Table 3: Effect of retention time on minerals composition of demineralized shells using different acids at room temperature

| Element | MDS | LA 6 h | LA 2 h | AC 6 h | AC 2 h |
|---------|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Al      | 0.078 | 0.340 | 0.356 | 0.050 | 0.332 |
| Ca      | 13.439 | 0.702 | 1.563 | 4.030 | 4.682 |
| Cu      | 0.020 | 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.007 | 0.012 |
| Fe      | 0.056 | 0.209 | 0.201 | 0.051 | 0.103 |
| K       | 0.016 | 0.024 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.033 |
| Mg      | 0.555 | 0.072 | 0.080 | 0.030 | 0.089 |
| Mn      | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.008 |
| Na      | 0.041 | 0.073 | 0.117 | 0.010 | 0.034 |
| P       | 2.860 | 0.410 | 0.300 | 1.630 | 2.385 |
| S       | 0.199 | 0.293 | 0.243 | 0.258 | 0.252 |
| Si      | 0.460 | 0.730 | 0.817 | 0.180 | 0.506 |
| Others  | 12.917 | 1.732 | 1.372 | 2.837 | 2.952 |
| Total   | 30.650 | 4.600 | 5.279 | 9.100 | 11.388 |

MDS Microbially deproteinized shells
AC Acetic acid
LA Lactic acid

Fig. 2: Minerals removal efficiency for demineralized shells using lactic acid (LA) and acetic acid (AC) at room temperature at different retention times.

Fig. 3: Minerals removal efficiency for demineralized shells using hydrochloric acid (HCl), lactic acid (LA) and acetic acid (AC) at different retention times.

The effect of acid to shells ratio (1:10, 1:20 and 1:30) was investigated using lactic and acetic acids at 2 h retention time. The results showed a significant increase in minerals removal when the shell to acid ratio was increased from 1:10 to 1:20. A further increase in the shells to acid ratio to 1:30 caused only a slight decrease in the mineral concentrations. When lactic acid was used, the total minerals concentrations in the demineralized shells (DS) were 5.28, 1.51 and 0.86% for 1:10, 1:20 and 1:30 shells to acid ratios, respectively. The calcium concentrations in the DS were 1.56, 0.48 and 0.10% for 1:10, 1:20 and 1:30 shells to acid ratios, respectively. The total minerals and calcium removal efficiencies were 90.29 and 93.44, 97.40 and 99.11 and 99.63% for 1:10, 1:20 and 1:30 shells to acid ratios, respectively. In the case of acetic acid the removal efficiencies were slightly lower than those obtained with lactic acid.

Effect of shell to acid ratio
Table 4 shows the minerals composition of microbially deproteinized shells (MDS) demineralized with organic acids (lactic and acetic) using the modified demineralization procedure B (at room temperature) at 2 h retention time for 1:10, 1:20 and 1:30 shells to acid ratio. Figure 3 shows the removal efficiencies of the total minerals and calcium for the demineralized shells.

in the viscosity of chitosan with the increase in treatment time in HCl as a result of the decrease of molecular weight with time. Percot et al.\(^6\) reported that the demineralization times reported in the literature are too long and recommended a retention time of 15 min using 0.25 M HCl with 1:40 shells to acid ratio.
### Table 4: Effect of shells to acid ratio on minerals composition of demineralized shells

| Element | MDS | LA | AC |
|---------|-----|----|----|
| | 1:10 | 1:20 | 1:30 | 1:10 | 1:20 | 1:30 |
| Al | 0.078 | 0.356 | 0.065 | 0.040 | 0.332 | 0.150 | 0.163 |
| Ca | 13.439 | 1.563 | 0.481 | 0.095 | 4.682 | 1.750 | 1.140 |
| Cu | 0.020 | 0.014 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Fe | 0.056 | 0.201 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.103 | 0.049 | 0.062 |
| K | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.015 | 0.033 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| Mg | 0.555 | 0.080 | 0.046 | 0.024 | 0.089 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| Mn | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.006 |
| Na | 0.041 | 0.117 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.034 | 0.020 | 0.010 |
| P | 2.860 | 0.500 | 0.240 | 0.170 | 2.385 | 0.910 | 0.670 |
| Si | 0.199 | 0.243 | 0.244 | 0.246 | 0.252 | 0.280 | 0.256 |
| Others | 12.917 | 1.372 | 0.115 | 0.054 | 2.952 | 0.201 | 0.140 |
| Total | 30.650 | 5.279 | 1.509 | 0.862 | 11.388 | 3.710 | 3.070 |

MDS: Microbially deproteinized shells  
LA: Lactic acid  
AC: Acetic acid  
Retention time = 2 h  
Temperature = 24 °C

The total minerals concentrations in the DS were 11.39, 3.71 and 3.07% for 1:10, 1:20 and 1:30 shells to acid ratios, respectively. The total minerals and calcium removal efficiencies were 79.56 and 80.84%, 86.36 and 85.33% and 94.83 and 95.62% for 1:10, 1:20 and 1:30 shells to acid ratios, respectively.

There is a wide range (1:2 to greater than 1:40) of shells to acid ratio reported in the literature for the demineralization of crustacean shells[18]. Synowiecki and Al-Kateeb[13] stated that full demineralization is possible when the amount of acid is stoichiometrically greater than the mineral content. Percot et al.[6] studied the effect of shells to acid ratio on the demineralization of shrimp shells and recommended shells to acid ratio of 1:40 when using 0.25 M HCl for 15 min at room temperature.

**Production of deicing agent/ food preservative**

Synowiecki and Al-Kateeb[13] stated that a good chitin purification method should insure effective removal as well as utilization of other shell components. Alkaline salts of carboxylic acids had been found to have good de-icing ability[20-22] as well as good antimicrobial activity[23-24]. Acetate salts (mainly calcium, magnesium and potassium acetates) can be used as environmentally friendly de-icing agents and lactate salts (mainly calcium and potassium lactates) can be used as food preservatives.

Using lactic and/or acetic acids for the demineralization of shrimp shells would result in a solution containing a mixture of lactate and/or acetate salts. The most abundant salt in the resultant solution would be calcium lactate and/or calcium acetate since the calcium comprises about 44.75% of the total minerals present in the shrimp shells used in the current study. The ratio of 1 g shells to 20 mL acid was found reasonable for the demineralization of the shells. The total amount of acid used per kilogram shells on molar basis was 16.79 moles for lactic acid (90.07 g mol⁻¹) and 24.98 moles for acetic acid (60.05 g mol⁻¹). Synowiecki and Al-Kateeb[13] and Goycoolea et al.[25] stated that the most important factor for the complete removal of minerals is to ensure that there is sufficient acid present (regardless of type), the minimum being at least stoichiometrically equal to the total amount of minerals present in the sample. Table 5 shows the minerals composition of the shrimp shells used in this study on a molar basis.

Although lactic acid was more effective for the demineralization process than acetic acid, there was no clear evidence found in the literature that proves the effectiveness of lactate salts for de-icing/anti-icing practices. In addition, the solubility of calcium lactate is significantly lower than the solubility of calcium acetate (3.1 and 37.4 g salt per 100 g of water at 0 °C for calcium lactate and calcium acetate, respectively). The use of acetic acid for the demineralization process is more justified for the production of de-icer agent.

Numerous studies reported on the potentials of acetate salts especially calcium magnesium acetate(CMA) as a de-icing agent. In 1979,calcium magnesium acetate was identified as a non-corrosive environmentally-friendly alternative to chloride salts for road de-icing practices[26]. The major disadvantage of CMA is its relatively high production cost, which is mainly attributed to the cost of acetic acid[27]. Yang et al.[28] stated that acetic acid used to produce calcium magnesium acetate, as a road de-icer, pure as acetic acid used in the chemical industry. Producing acetic acid from cheese whey could reduce its production cost significantly.

The use of acetic acid for the demineralization of shrimp shells in the ratio of 1 g...
Table 5: Mineral composition of shrimp shells

| Element | Valance | Molecular weight | %\(^a\) | g kg\(^{-1}\) | mol kg\(^{-1}\) |
|---------|---------|-------------------|--------|------------|-------------|
| Al      | +3      | 26.982            | 0.08   | 0.250      | 0.0093      |
| Ca      | +2      | 40.078            | 44.75  | 142.000    | 3.5431      |
| Cu      | +1, +2  | 63.546            | 0.01   | 0.024      | 0.0004      |
| Fe      | +2, +3  | 55.845            | 0.10   | 0.308      | 0.0655      |
| K       | +1      | 39.098            | 0.27   | 0.871      | 0.0223      |
| Mg      | +2      | 24.305            | 1.94   | 6.150      | 0.2530      |
| Mn      | +2, +3, +4, +7 | 30.974 | 7.06  | 22.400     | 0.7232      |
| Na      | +1      | 22.990            | 1.10   | 3.480      | 0.1514      |
| P       | +3, +5, -3 | 32.065 | 1.48  | 4.700      | 0.1466      |
| S       | -2, +4, +6 | 28.086 | 0.25  | 0.794      | 0.0283      |
| Si      | -4, +2, +4 | 42.92  | 42.92 | 136.21     |             |

\(^a\)total mineral concentration in the shrimp shells was 317.3 g minerals per kg shells
\(^b\)percent of the total mineral content

Table 6: Solubilities\(^a\) of some salts in water at various temperatures\(^{[29]}\)

| Substance          | Formula          | Temperature (°C) |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                    |                  | 0    | 10   | 20    | 30    | 40    | 60    |
| Sodium chloride    | NaCl             | 35.7 | 35.8 | 35.9  | 36.1  | 36.4  | 37.1  |
| Calcium lactate    | Ca(C\(_2\)H\(_3\)O\(_2\))\(_2\).5H\(_2\)O | 3.1  | 5.4\(^{[19]}\) | 7.9   |
| Calcium acetate    | Ca(OAc)\(_2\).2H\(_2\)O | 37.4 | 36.0 | 34.7  | 33.8  | 33.2  | 32.7  |
| Magnesium acetate  | Mg(C\(_2\)H\(_3\)O\(_2\))\(_2\) | 56.7 | 59.7 | 53.4  | 68.6  | 75.7  | 110   |
| Potassium acetate  | KC\(_2\)H\(_3\)O\(_2\) | 216  | 233  | 256   | 283   | 325   | 350   |
| Sodium acetate     | NaC\(_2\)H\(_3\)O\(_2\) | 36.2 | 40.8 | 46.4  | 54.6  | 65.6  | 139   |

\(^a\) Solubilities are expressed as the number of grams of substance of stated molecular formula which when dissolved in 100 g of water make a saturated solution at the stated temperature.

Shells: 20 mL acetic acid would result in 560.38 g calcium acetate per 1 kg shells. The process could be described by the following equations:

\[ L. \text{lactis} \rightarrow \text{C. formicoaceticum} \]

\[ 2\text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + \text{CaCO}_3 \rightarrow \text{Ca(C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \]  

Dionysiou \textit{et al.}\(^{[27]}\) stated that the following reactions can occur:

\[ \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Ca(C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_2 \]  

\[ \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Mg(C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_2 \]  

\[ x\text{Ca}^{2+} + y\text{Mg}^{2+} + z\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Ca}_x\text{Mg}_y(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_z \]  

Marynowski \textit{et al.}\(^{[26]}\) reported that liquid potassium acetate is being used now for airport runways de-icing practices. The use of acetic acid for the demineralization of shrimp shells in the ratio of 1 g shells: 20 mL acetic acid would result in 2.19 g potassium acetate per 1 kg shells.

Bang and Johnston\(^{[21]}\) stated that a deicing agent is known to be more effective when it has a lower molecular weight with higher solubility. Table 6 shows the solubilities of sodium chloride, calcium lactate and some acetate salts. Sodium chloride is commonly used for ice control practices. However, concerns regarding corrosion and adverse environmental effects associated with the use of sodium chloride prompted the evaluation of organic salts. The solubility of calcium lactate is very low compared to sodium chloride. The solubilities of acetate salts are comparable to the solubility of sodium chloride. The use of lactic acid for the demineralization of shrimp shells in the ratio of 1 g shells: 20 mL lactic acid would result in 773.17 g calcium lactate per 1 kg shells, according to the following equation:

\[ 2\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_3 + \text{CaCO}_3 \rightarrow \text{Ca(C}_3\text{H}_5\text{O}_3)_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \]  

CONCLUSION

The study showed that the effectiveness of organic acids (lactic and acetic) for the demineralization of shrimp shells was comparable to that of hydrochloric acid. For effective removal of minerals from shrimp shells using organic acids (lactic and acetic), shells to acid ratio of 1:20, temperature of 24 °C (room temperature) and retention time of 2 h were found satisfactory. Under these conditions, the total minerals and calcium removal efficiencies were 97.4 and 99.11% and 86.36 and 85.33% for lactic and acetic acids, respectively. Using acetic acid in the ratio of 1:20 g shells to mL acid for the demineralization of shrimp shells would result in the production of 560.38 g...
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