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Abstract:

It is well known that the finite-sample null distribution of the Jarque-Bera Lagrange Multiplier (LM) test for normality and its adjusted version (ALM) introduced by Urzua differ considerably from their asymptotic $\chi^2(2)$ limit. Here, we present results from Monte Carlo simulations using $10^7$ replications which yield very precise numbers for the LM and ALM statistic over a wide range of critical values and sample sizes. Depending on the sample size and values of the statistic we get $p$ values which significantly deviate from numbers previously published and used in hypothesis tests in many statistical software packages. The $p$ values listed in this short Letter enable for the first time a precise implementation of the Jarque-Bera LM and ALM tests for finite samples.
1 Introduction

The Jarque-Bera (1980, 1987) Lagrange multiplier test is likely the most widely used procedure for testing normality of economic time series returns. The algorithm provides a joint test of the null hypothesis of normality in that the sample skewness $b_1$ equals zero and the sample kurtosis $b_2$ equals three. The null is rejected when the Lagrange multiplier statistic

$$LM = N\left(\frac{(b_1^2)}{6} + \frac{(b_2 - 3)^2}{24}\right)$$

exceeds some critical value, which is taken in the asymptotic limit from the $\chi^2(2)$ distribution. $N$ is the sample size, $b_1 = m_3/m_2^{3/2}$, $b_2 = m_4/m_2^2$ where $m_i$ is the i-th central moment of the observations $m_i = \Sigma(x_j - \bar{x})^i/N$, and $\bar{x}$ the sample mean.

Urzua (1996) modified the Jarque-Bera test replacing the asymptotic means and variances by their exact finite-sample values yielding

$$ALM = N\left(\frac{(b_1^2)}{c_1} + \frac{(b_2 - c_2)^2}{c_3}\right)$$

Here the parameters $c_{1,2,3}$ are given by the expectation value and variances of the skewness and kurtosis

$$c_1 = var(b_1^2) = \frac{6(N - 2)}{(N + 1)(N + 3)}$$,
$$c_2 = E(b_2) = \frac{3(N - 1)}{(N + 1)}$$,
$$c_3 = var(b_2) = \frac{24N(N - 2)(N - 3)}{(N + 1)^2(N + 3)(N + 5)}$$.

Note, that the $ALM$ has the same asymptotic distribution as the $LM$ statistic.

The work of Urzua (1996) as well as the work by Deb and Sefton (1996) already warn about the incorrect use of the Jarque-Bera test in the case of small- and medium-sized samples. The authors performed Monte Carlo simulations and tabulated significance points for 5% and 10%, on a series of sample sizes ranging between 10 and 800. Deb and Sefton used 600'000 replications in their Monte Carlo simulations and Urzua used 10’000 replications and added results for the 1%, 15% and 20% significance points. Very recently Lawford (2004) developed an accurate response surface approximation for the 5% and 10% critical values of the Jarque-Bera test based on Monte Carlo simulations using 1 Million replications. The tables for the $LM$ and $ALM$ statistic values presented in these papers are restricted usually to a small set of parameters and the precision is in most cases limited to two digits. Furthermore, for small $N$ we observe significant differences in comparison to previously published values. For some parameter settings the differences are so large, that this may result in inaccurate hypothesis tests or even more this may lead to situations with wrong decisions.

In this Letter we present tables with very precise values for both, the $LM$ and $ALM$ statistic. Since the slow convergence of the Monte Carlo simulation is well known we extend the simulations to 10 Million replications and enhance the mesh of $p$-values and sample sizes considerably.

The results have been used to implement R functions for the finite sample Jarque-Bera test and the distribution itself, using either the $LM$ or $ALM$ statistic. R (2004) is a powerful and widely used GPL-licensed statistical software environment based on the S language. In this sense our functions can also be called from the commercial S-Plus software package. The R functions are part of the Rmetrics software project, www.rmetrics.org. The software is GPL licensed and can be downloaded from the CRAN Server www.r-project.org.
## 2 Monte Carlo Simulation

We performed Monte Carlo simulations of the LM and ALM statistic using $10^7$ replications. The results are summarized in Table 1 for both the LM and ALM statistic.

### Table 1: Top: Significance points for the finite sample Jarque-Bera test. Bottom: Same values for the adjusted Jarque-Bera test.

| p     | LM | pN  | 10   | 20   | 35   | 50   | 75   | 100  | 150  | 200  | 300  | 500  | 800  | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 | 3000 | 5000 | 10000 |
|-------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| 0.01% | 51.60 | 91.21 | 99.83 | 96.15 | 85.69 | 79.53 | 67.68 | 59.60 | 50.30 | 40.47 | 34.23 | 31.99 | 27.45 | 24.57 | 20.04 |
| 0.05% | 41.50 | 60.50 | 60.92 | 58.04 | 51.41 | 47.44 | 41.73 | 37.52 | 32.57 | 27.43 | 23.71 | 22.54 | 20.62 | 18.62 | 16.11 |
| 0.10% | 36.53 | 48.39 | 47.78 | 40.66 | 37.41 | 34.16 | 33.97 | 30.18 | 26.78 | 22.85 | 20.17 | 19.47 | 17.63 | 14.45 | 10.87 |
| 0.05% | 23.53 | 25.96 | 24.69 | 23.29 | 21.33 | 19.86 | 18.25 | 17.16 | 15.69 | 14.21 | 13.12 | 12.69 | 11.97 | 11.52 | 10.87 |
| 0.01% | 18.37 | 18.64 | 17.54 | 16.69 | 15.06 | 14.71 | 13.70 | 12.49 | 11.27 | 10.61 | 10.17 | 9.10 | 8.37 | 7.92 | 7.15 | 6.39 | 5.96 | 5.91 |
| 0.001% | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| 0.001% | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 |

### Comparison of LM and ALM statistic

- **LM** (unadjusted) statistic using $10^7$ replications.
- **pN** statistic using $10^7$ replications.

Figure 1 illustrates the results in a graph. The simulated $p$ values and the deviations from the asymptotic $\chi^2(2)$ limit are shown. The curves belong to the same values of sample sizes $N$ as listed in table 1.
Figure 1: LM (left) and ALM (right) finite sample $p$ values and their differences with respect to the asymptotic limit. The upper bundle of curves shows the $p$ values. The lower bundle of curves measures the difference $p_N - p_\infty$ to the asymptotic limit. The graph clearly demonstrates that the adjusted Jarque-Bera test outperforms the original version of the test. The three dotted vertical lines mark the 1% (99%), 5% (95%) and 10% (95%) levels in the asymptotic limit, respectively.

### 3 Response Surface and Hypothesis Test

To compute the $LM$ and $ALM$ statistic for a wide range of quantiles and sample sizes one usually approximates the response surface for a fixed value of $p$ as a series in powers of $1/N$

$$q(p, N) = q(p, \infty) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k N^{-k}. \quad (3)$$

Lawford (2004) has done this for the 5% and 10% quantile lines. He fitted his Monte Carlo data based on 1 Million replications for $K = 9$. The regression coefficients $\beta$ are listed in the aforementioned paper. We have done fits over a wide range of $p$-values. The results are shown in figure 2 in comparison with those obtained by Lawford. Note that Lawford’s fit becomes less reliable for small lengths where the convergence of the Monte Carlo simulation slows down.

Another approach would be an Edgeworth (1917) expansion of the distribution in $1/N$. Unfortunately, we found out that the expansion converges extremely slow. So we applied “Curve Fitting”, as suggested by Rothenberg (1984), to approximate the response surface. Simple linear interpolation, 2-dimensional splines or connectionist function approximators are only three possibilities from many others. We followed the first approach fitting on logarithmic scales. The results are shown in Figure 3 for both the traditional Jarque-Bera test as well as its adjusted version.

We have implemented the Jarque-Bera test for finite samples into S functions using the statistical software packages R and SPlus, but it can be done very easily in any other software environment like Matlab, Eviews, or SAS among others. The underlying simulations with $10^7$ replications were done with a separate C program using a multiplicative lagged Fibonacci random number generator with a lag of size 1279. The software allows to compute the distribution function and the quantile function for finite samples and the asymptotic limit either for the $LM$ or $ALM$ test version. These functions are used to derive the $p$ values by the hypothesis test function.
Figure 2: The figures show the LM (left) and ALM (right) statistic for a wide range of p values as a function of sample sizes. The dots show the results from the Monte Carlo simulations using $10^7$ replications together with the asymptotic limit (marked by the open circles). The dotted lines are fitted series expansions of order $K = 6$ in $1/N$. The two thick lines in the left LM graph display the results of Lawford for the 5% and 10% levels.

Figure 3: The figures show the LM (left) and ALM (right) surface of p values for a wide range of statistics (0.4 ... 100) and sample sizes (10 ... 10'000). Note, that the x- and y-axis are on logarithmic scales. The inputs consist of almost 2000 p-values ranging between 0.0001 and 0.9999.
4 Summary

This Letter tabulates precise \( p \)-values for the Jarque-Bera finite sample normality test. In addition to the original version of the Lagrange Multiplier test we have also computed finite sample \( p \)-values for its adjusted version formulated by Urzua (1996). In contrast to previous investigations the results were derived from a MC simulation with \( 10^7 \) replications. To our knowledge this is one of the largest simulations ever done in statistics. The outcome of the simulation are very precise values for finite samples which we have tabulated and can now be used for an improved hypothesis testing.
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