Chronic conditions and self-perceived health among older employees in relation to indicators of labour participation and retirement over time
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Abstract.
BACKGROUND: A significant proportion of older employees leave the labour market early, amongst others via unemployment or disability benefits, implying that health might affect possibilities to extend working life.
OBJECTIVE: This study 1) examined associations between chronic conditions (subtypes) and self-perceived health (SPH), independently, and indicators of labour participation and retirement over six-year follow-up among older employees, and 2) explored the impact of differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) in this relation.
METHODS: Older workers (aged > 45 years) included in the Maastricht Cohort Study were studied (n = 1,763). The Health and Work Performance Questionnaire assessed chronic conditions and one item from the Short Form health survey-36 assessed SPH. Cox regression analyses assessed associations between chronic conditions and SPH, independently, and retirement intentions, employment status, decreasing working hours, and changes in work over time.
RESULTS: Employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions showed higher risks to lose employment and to receive a disability benefit (HR 1.85, 95% CI 1.23–2.78; HR 8.63, 95% CI 2.47–30.11) over time compared to healthy employees. No statistically significant associations were found between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement over time. Having chronic condition(s) was cross-sectionally associated with lower SPH scores and larger proportion of part-timers – compared to healthy employees.
CONCLUSIONS: Chronic condition(s) among older employees were substantially associated with indicators of labour participation and retirement over time. The role of SPH was mainly instantaneous. Findings provide valuable input for preventive measures aiming to prevent an early labour market exit of older employees.
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1. Introduction

The working population is ageing due to, amongst others, increasing life expectancy. This leads to an increased need for labour participation [1, 2]. To minimize the burden on social security and pension systems [3, 4] several policy measures (such...
as increasing legal retirement age) have been implemented [3, 5, 6], which have led to a substantial increase in the general net labour participation rate of employees among European countries, such as the Netherlands [5, 7]. Yet, amongst older employees and/or employees suffering from chronic condition(s), the percentage of employees involved in labour participation remains lower [8, 9]. As an illustration, the overall labour participation rate in Europe amongst people aged 20–64 years was 72.4 per cent in 2018 [10], whereas for older employees (aged 55–64 years) this was 57.8 per cent [10]. Additionally, the prevalence of chronic conditions is increasing. Currently, more than a quarter of the overall working population in the European Union reports having chronic condition(s) [4, 11, 12]. Among older European employees aged 45–65 years, 35.0 per cent works whilst suffering from a long-standing illness in 2018 [11].

There is no uniform definition of chronic conditions [8]. The World Health Organization (WHO) states: ‘chronic conditions are health problems requiring ongoing treatment for a period of years or decades’, implying that chronic conditions are recurrent, long-lasting and persistent, and cannot be cured [8]. Chronic conditions have a substantial impact on labour market participation both at the macro and micro level [8, 13, 14]. At the macro level, chronic conditions contribute to substantial healthcare costs and reduced capacity of the work force since employees with chronic condition(s) leave the labour market earlier [3, 4, 11, 12, 15–17]. At the micro level, chronic conditions may request adjustments in working life (such as adaptation of working hours) and coping of the individual employee [8].

Previous studies showed that chronic conditions amongst older employees are predominantly considered a decisive factor to determine whether or not to continue working or to retire (earlier) [13, 18–22]. Employees suffering from chronic condition(s) often perceive difficulties to remain involved in employment or to re-enter employment after absence [4, 8, 12, 23, 24]. Poor health is considered a strong predictor for employees to exit the labour market specifically via early retirement benefits, unemployment or disability benefits [8, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 24–26]. Yet, these studies often assessed health as a broad concept in terms of ill or poor health [20, 27, 28]. It is of added value to distinguish between mental and physical chronic condition(s) since they affect labour participation differently [3, 16, 22].

Mental chronic condition(s) strongly affect labour participation in terms of higher absenteeism and/or presentism, and higher sickness benefits, disability benefits, and unemployment insurance benefits [3, 15, 16]. Physical chronic condition(s) are often associated with limitations in work activities, and strongly influence the retirement decision-making process. Often, physical chronic conditions oblige employees to retire early involuntary [29]. Also the combination of at least one mental and one physical chronic conditions simultaneously is frequently common due to common risk factors [3, 30]. This combination is considered even more disabling, affecting work loss and retirement [17, 30]. In this study, this combination is referred to as ‘coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions’, irrespective of order.

In order to provide input for preventive measures aiming to prevent early labour market exit amongst older employees and to gain more insight into the potential impact of health on the possibility to extend working life, it is invaluable to study self-perceived health (SPH) in addition to chronic condition(s). SPH is considered an important predictor affecting the ability and willingness to extend working life amongst older employees [18, 31, 32]. Even without any diagnosed illness employees might still perceive their health as poor [32], which underlies the importance to study the impact of SPH, separately, within the same study population. Poor SPH is strongly associated with early labour market exit, more specifically with an increased risk to apply for a disability pension [20, 31, 32]. Contrary, good SPH health is considered a strong predictor to work until retirement for both older workers with and without chronic condition(s) [21].

The first goal of this study is therefore to gain more insight into the potential impact of chronic conditions (mental, physical, or coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions) and SPH, independently, on labour participation and retirement outcomes of older employees over time.

When studying this relation, it is important to also consider both willingness (intentions and motivations) and ability of older employees to extend working life. Therefore, both objective as well as subjective outcome measures will be distinguished in order to advance our understanding of labour participation and retirement amongst older employees. Chronic conditions are strongly associated with retirement intentions [19, 32, 33]. Since exit from paid employment might be a gradual process [34] and employees might make adjustments in the work...
situation in order to accommodate working whilst having chronic condition(s), a decrease in working hours should be assessed and taken into account when studying the relation between health and retirement. SPH is strongly associated with (early) labour market exit amongst older employees [31, 32], therefore various labour market exit routes should ideally be explored such as disability or unemployment benefits. This study will thus assess both objective (employment status; potential exit routes; and working hours) and subjective (retirement intentions and whether changes were made in work due to health reasons) outcome measures.

Among employees with a chronic condition, the presence of (a) chronic condition(s) can be prevalent or incident, which might also affect employees’ ability to continue working [35]. The second goal of this study is therefore to explore the potential role of differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) on the distribution of SPH and on labour participation and retirement among older employees.

To conclude, this longitudinal study aims to firstly gain more insight into the potential impact of chronic conditions (mental, physical, coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s)) and SPH, independently, on labour participation and retirement among older employees over a six-year follow-up period. Secondly, this study aims to explore the impact of differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) when studying this relation. Study findings might serve as valuable input for the development of preventive measures aiming to facilitate sustainable employment for older employees with deteriorating health in terms of both chronic condition(s) and SPH.

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

This study is based on data from the ongoing Maastricht Cohort Study (MCS) [36]. At baseline measurement in May 1998, n = 12,140 respondents from 45 companies were included [37]. Extensive self-administered questionnaires were used to collect data on multiple follow-up waves. The design of this prospective cohort is described elsewhere [37].

The 2008 wave (n = 6,082) was considered article baseline (T0), and two follow-up measurements 2012 (T = 48 months) and 2014 (T = 72 months) were used in this study, since items and concepts for both health and labour participation were measured consistently over these waves, resulting in a total follow-up period of six years. At T = 48 months, n = 5,814 questionnaires were sent out and n = 4,783 valid questionnaires were received. At T = 72 months, n = 3,450 questionnaires were sent out to employees not fully retired at T = 72 months and n = 2,945 valid questionnaires were received (85.4%). Employees who indicated being fully retired at T = 72 months received a separate questionnaire (n = 1,284) and were not eligible for inclusion.

At T0, employees aged 45 to 59.17 years and who indicated being in current employment by an employer (n = 3,782) were included. Workers aged below 45 years were excluded since these were not considered older employees. Employees aged 59.17 years onwards were excluded, since they could reach the (in that time window applicable) legal retirement age of 65 years and 2 months during the follow-up. Moreover, employed employees yet who also indicated being (partly) disabled, being on sabbatical – pregnancy – or parental leave, receiving (partially) disability or unemployment benefits, or being in (partially) retirement, were excluded since some were our main outcomes of interests and our study population should still be actively employed at T0 in order to study incident cases of (early) labour force exit routes over time. Additionally, self-employed employees were excluded, due to potential substantial differences in work content and responsibility, and different entitlement to receive (disability or unemployment) benefits compared to employees employed by an employer. Employees with multiple jobs were excluded since no information available on the content of the second job. Moreover, employees performing night and/or shift work, or working irregular hours, were excluded since these outside regular working hours are divers and each affect employees’ well-being and health differently [38]. This resulted in a total study population of n = 1,763 older employees at T0. Furthermore, employees who did not complete all items required for respectively calculating chronic conditions (n = 64) or SPH (n = 8) were excluded, resulting in respectively n = 1,699 employees for analyses regarding chronic conditions and n = 1,755 employees for analyses regarding SPH.

2.2. Health status of employees

The validated Health and Work Performance Questionnaire (HPQ) was used to identify the presence
of 34 pre-specified health conditions [39]. The 34 pre-specified conditions of the HPQ were matched with the International Classification of Primary Care-Version 2 (ICPC-2) codes of 28 pre-specified conditions listed by the Dutch National Institute of Public Health and Environment (RIVM) [40, 41]. Several health conditions on the HPQ list might be considered determinants or precursors of disease(s) such as overweight or hypertension, and were therefore not defined as chronic condition(s) according to the RIVM listing [42]. Seventeen chronic mental or physical conditions of the HPQ directly matched with the conditions listed by the RIVM. Some condition(s) Employees could indicate whether or not they have chronic condition(s) and whether they had received or currently received treatment for the(see) condition(s) [39]. Employees were labelled as having one (or more) condition(s) when marking for that condition the answers: ‘Yes, I currently have this condition’ and; ‘I have never received a treatment’, ‘I have received a treatment in the past’, or ‘I am currently receiving treatment’. Additionally, employees could list one health condition, which was not previously listed, in an open-ended question.

2.2.1. Healthy employees
Employees indicating ‘No, I currently do not have this condition’ to all seventeen mental or physical chronic conditions and additionally did not list a mental or physical chronic condition in the open-ended question, were considered the reference group of healthy employees. This resulted in \( n = 978 \) healthy employees at T0.

2.2.2. Mental chronic condition(s)
Employees indicating having at least one or more of the following mental chronic condition(s): burn-out, anxiety disorder, depression, schizophrenia or other psychotic disorder, and/or bipolar disorder (including mania, hypomania), and not indicating to have (a) physical chronic condition(s), were included in the group of employees having mental chronic condition(s). This resulted in \( n = 62 \) employees with at least one or more mental chronic condition(s) at T0.

2.2.3. Physical chronic condition(s)
Employees indicating having at least one or more of the following physical chronic condition(s): asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), heart disease, consequences of a stroke or cerebral infarction, liver inflammation or cirrhosis of the liver, type I diabetes, type II diabetes, chronic back / neck pain, arthritis or rheumatism, nervous system disease (including epilepsy, MS), migraine, and/or cancer, and not indicating to have (a) mental chronic condition(s), were included in the group of employees having physical chronic condition(s). This resulted in \( n = 551 \) employees with at least one or more physical chronic condition(s) at T0.

2.2.4. Coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions
Employees indicating having at least one mental chronic condition(s) and at least one physical chronic condition(s) simultaneously, were included in the group of employees having coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions. This resulted in \( n = 108 \) employees with at least one mental and at least one physical chronic condition at T0.

2.3. Differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s)
Employees were identified as either having or not having (a) chronic condition(s) at T0. Additionally, employees’ health status was assessed at the subsequent follow-up measurement (\( T = 48 \) months). Again, seventeen chronic mental or physical conditions in the HPQ list matched directly with the conditions listed by the RIVM [41]. As such, three groups of employees were identified at \( T = 48 \) months: employees indicating neither having (a) chronic condition(s) at T0 nor at follow-up measurement, were classified as healthy employees (\( n = 593 \)); employees indicating not having (a) chronic condition(s) at T0 yet indicating having (a) chronic condition(s) at follow-up measurement, were classified as employees with incident chronic condition(s) (\( n = 180 \)); and employees indicating having (a) chronic condition(s) both at T0 and at follow-up measurement, were classified as employees with prevalent chronic condition(s) (\( n = 409 \)).

2.4. Self-perceived health
SPH was measured at T0 by using an item from the Dutch version of the Short Form Health Survey-36 (SF-36 item) [37, 43]. The SF-36 Health Survey is a widely used generic health status measurement [43], originally developed to examine the self-reported health status of patients with a range of chronic conditions [43, 44]. The item asked: ‘How do you rate your general health’, with five answer possibilities: excellent, very good, good, moderate, or poor. In line with
[45], the five answer possibilities were dichotomized into 'good health' (excellent, very good, good) and 'moderate/poor health' (moderate, bad). Employees with good SPH were considered the reference group.

2.5. Indicators of labour participation and retirement

2.5.1. Retirement intentions
At T0 and T=48 months and T=72 months, employees' retirement intentions were assessed by using a single-item ‘Have you considered retiring before reaching your mandatory retirement age?’. Answer possibilities were ‘no’, ‘yes, sometimes’, and ‘yes, often’. In line with other studies [46, 47], answer possibilities were dichotomized into having weak retirement intentions (no; yes, sometimes) and having strong retirement intentions (yes, often).

2.5.2. Working hours
At T0 and follow-up measurements, one item assessed the number of working hours per week. Response options were: > 40, 36–40, 26–35, 16–25, or <16 hours per week, which were recoded into: full-time (> 40 or 36–40 h per week), or part-time (26–35, 16–25, <16 h per week) employment. Employees indicating to transit from full-time employment at T0 to part-time employment at follow-up measurement, were classified as having decreased working hours.

2.5.3. Changes in work due to health reasons
Employees could indicate whether they 'made changes in work due to health reasons in the past year' at T0. Answer possibilities were 'no' or 'yes'.

2.5.4. Employment status
At T0, all employees were in employment by an employer. At T=48 months and T=72 months, employees were again asked to provide an overview of their work status since the previous questionnaire. At T=48 months, employees indicating being ‘in employment by an employer’ or ‘in self-employment (fully or partially)’ were classified as being in employment. All other employees were classified as having ‘lost employment’ at T=48 months, irrespective of the underlying reason(s). At T=72 months, employees indicating being in ‘paid work’ or ‘in self-employment (fully or partially)’ were classified as being in employment. All other employees were classified as having ‘lost employment’ at T=72 months, irrespective of the underlying reason(s).

2.5.5. Labour force exit route
At T0, all employees were employed. At follow-up measurements, employees could have lost employment. To assess the underlying reason(s) of having lost employment, the following labour force exit routes were specified at T=48 months and T=72 months: disability benefits, unemployment benefits, and retirement benefits.

2.5.6. Disability benefits
Employees indicating being ‘(partially) incapacitated for work at T=48 months’, or employees indicating being ‘(partially) incapacitated for work according to the Dutch work disability act (WAO/WIA)’ at T=72 months, were classified as receiving disability benefits.

2.5.7. Unemployment benefits
Employees indicating ‘to receive an unemployment benefit’ or who ‘actively searched for a (paid) job’ at T=48 months, or employees indicating being ‘(partly) involuntarily unemployed (unemployment benefit)’ at T=72 months, were classified as receiving unemployment benefits.

2.5.8. Retirement benefits
Employees indicating to be in ‘(early or part-time) retirement’ at T=48 months, or employees indicating ‘being (partly) retired’ at T=72 months, were classified as receiving retirement benefits.

2.6. Confounding and contextual factors

Based on previous research [1, 20, 48], confounding factors from the personal/private, work, and health domain were identified. All confounding factors were measured at T0, except for respondents’ educational level which was measured at May 1998.

2.6.1. Personal/private domain
Age, gender, educational level, and whether or not living alone, were assessed. Employees were asked to indicate their highest completed level of education – which was recoded: low (primary school, lower vocational education), medium (lower secondary school, intermediate vocational school, upper secondary school), or high (higher vocational school, university) educational level [6].

2.6.2. Work domain
Decision latitude and psychological job demands were measured by using the validated Dutch version
of the Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ) [49]. All subscales from the JCQ had a four-point answer scale ranging from ‘strongly disagree’ to ‘strongly agree’. Decision latitude was measured by the sum of two subscales: skill discretion (six items; e.g. ‘My job requires a high level of skill’) and decision authority (three items; e.g. ‘I have a lot to say about what happens on my job’). Psychological job demands were assessed by the sum of five items (e.g. ‘My job requires working very hard’). The total subscale score ranges from 24–96 for decision latitude and 12–48 for psychological job demands. Co-worker social support (four items; e.g. ‘People I work with are helpful in getting the job done’) and supervisor social support (four items; e.g. ‘My supervisor is helpful in getting the job done’) were measured with scale ranges from 4–16. Emotional demands were measured by the five questions, of which three questions originate from the Dutch Questionnaire on the Experience and Evaluation of Work (VBBA) [50, 51], one question originates from the Dutch questionnaire on Work and Health [49], and one question is self-formulated, resulting in a scale score ranging from 0 to 5. As mentioned above, one item assessed working hours (per week). The five answer options: > 40, 36–40, 26–35, 16–25, or < 16 h/wk were recoded into full-time (> 40 or 36–40 h per week), or part-time (26–35, 16–25, < 16 h per week) employment. Furthermore, one item from the Dutch questionnaire on Work and Health [49] assessed physically demanding work (yes/no).

2.6.3. Health domain

When assessing the longitudinal association between chronic condition(s) and indicators of labour participation and retirement over time, SPH was considered a confounding variable. When assessing the longitudinal association between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement over time, the presence of chronic condition(s) was considered a confounding variable.

2.7. Statistical analyses

All analyses were undertaken using SPSS Statistics IBM 22.0. \(P\)-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. At T0, demographic/private, work, and health factors, and indicators of labour participation and retirement (working hours, retirement intentions, changes in work due to health reasons), were described for employees based on chronic conditions and SPH. Differences at T0 across the groups were examined using one-way ANOVA for continuous measures and \(\chi^2\)-test of independence for dichotomous and categorical variables. For the cross-sectional analyses, no adjustments were made for potential confounding factors. Additionally, at \(T=48\) months, the distribution of SPH was described for employees based on differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) by using one-way ANOVA for continuous measures and \(\chi^2\)-test of independence for dichotomous and categorical variables. For the longitudinal analyses, Cox regression analyses were performed to assess the relation between chronic conditions and SPH, independently, on all indicators of labour participation and retirement: retirement intentions, employment status, labour force exit routes (disability or unemployment benefits, or (early) retirement benefits), and decreasing working hours over time. The reference group consisted of respectively healthy employees and employees with good SPH. For the longitudinal analyses, adjustments were made for potential confounding factors. In the first model, adjustments were made for age, gender, educational level, and whether or not living alone. In the second model, additional adjustments were made for physically demanding work, psychological job demands, decision latitude, emotional demands, co-worker social support, supervisor social support, and working hours. In the third model, additional adjustments were made for respectively SPH and the presence of (a) chronic condition(s). Prevalent cases for the respective indicator of labour participation and retirement at T0 were excluded in order to study solely incident cases at follow-up. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated.

3. Results

The baseline characteristics for employees based on chronic conditions and SPH are presented in Table 1. Statistically significant differences were observed between healthy employees and employees with respectively physical and coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions regarding demographic factors (gender and educational level). Demographic factors (age, living alone, and financial circumstances) also significantly differed amongst employees with good SPH and employees with moderate/poor SPH. As for health factors, employees with mental, physical, or coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions more often indicated moderate/poor SPH (respectively 11.5; 20.0; and 33.3%)
Table 1

Description of demographic/private, work-related, and health factors for healthy employees, employees with mental, physical, or coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions, and employees with good SPH or moderate/poor SPH at article baseline (2008)

|                                      | Healthy employees (n = 978) | Employees with mental chronic condition(s) (n = 62) | Employees with physical chronic condition(s) (n = 551) | Employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions (n = 108) | p-value | Good SPH (n = 1.550) | Moderate/poor SPH (n = 205) | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| **Demographic and private factors**  |                              |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Age (Years) (Mean, SD)               | 52.04 (3.97)                | 52.02 (4.02)                                         | 52.33 (4.04)                                         | 52.26 (3.93)                                                       | 0.574   | 52.02 (4.00)         | 52.99 (3.79)                | 0.001*  |
| Gender (N, %)                        |                             |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Male                                 | 756 (77.5)                 | 46 (76.7)                                            | 363 (66.7)                                           | 71 (66.4)                                                          | <0.001* | 1,124 (73.1)        | 146 (71.9)                  | 0.716   |
| Educational level                    |                             |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Low                                  | 174 (18.0)                 | 19 (31.1)                                            | 134 (24.8)                                           | 31 (29.8)                                                          | <0.001* | 322 (21.1)          | 53 (26.2)                   | 0.090   |
| Middle                               | 279 (28.9)                 | 15 (24.6)                                            | 181 (33.5)                                           | 25 (24.0)                                                          | <0.001* | 450 (29.5)          | 65 (32.2)                   |         |
| High                                 | 513 (53.1)                 | 27 (44.3)                                            | 225 (41.7)                                           | 48 (46.2)                                                          |         | 753 (49.4)          | 84 (41.6)                   |         |
| Living alone                         |                             |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Yes                                  | 91 (9.3)                   | 3 (5.0)                                              | 51 (9.4)                                             | 12 (11.3)                                                          | 0.609   | 135 (8.8)           | 30 (14.7)                   | 0.007*  |
| Partner retired                      |                             |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Yes                                  | 21 (2.4)                   | 1 (1.8)                                              | 16 (3.3)                                             | 4 (4.5)                                                            | 0.565   | 36 (2.4)            | 7 (3.5)                     | 0.250   |
| Satisfied with financial circumstances|                             |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Yes                                  | 877 (89.9)                 | 49 (80.3)                                            | 470 (85.6)                                           | 88 (81.5)                                                          | 0.004*  | 1,374 (88.9)        | 159 (77.9)                  | <0.001*  |
| **Work-related factors**             |                              |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Physically demanding work            |                             |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Yes (N, %)                           | 113 (11.6)                 | 8 (13.1)                                             | 114 (20.7)                                           | 28 (26.7)                                                          | <0.001* | 222 (14.4)          | 52 (25.6)                   | <0.001*  |
| Psychological job demands<sup>(a)</sup> (Mean, SD) | 31.27 (5.47) | 31.45 (5.64)                                         | 32.25 (5.60)                                         | 33.79 (5.49)                                                       | <0.001* | 31.64 (5.49)        | 32.56 (6.30)                | 0.028*  |
| Decision latitude<sup>(b)</sup>      | 75.03 (9.66)               | 72.23 (10.44)                                         | 73.71 (10.28)                                        | 70.95 (11.87)                                                      | <0.001* | 74.52 (10.01)       | 71.50 (10.41)                | <0.001*  |
| Emotional demands<sup>(c)</sup>      | .98 (1.11)                 | 1.33 (1.22)                                          | 1.40 (1.31)                                          | 1.81 (1.53)                                                        | <0.001* | 1.15 (1.22)         | 1.48 (1.38)                  | <0.001*  |
| Co-worker social support<sup>(d)</sup> | 12.02 (1.35)              | 11.93 (1.67)                                         | 11.96 (1.41)                                         | 11.95 (1.79)                                                       | 0.822   | 12.01 (1.38)        | 11.92 (1.68)                 | 0.398   |
| Supervisor social support<sup>(d)</sup> | 10.69 (2.26)              | 10.40 (2.80)                                         | 10.72 (2.21)                                         | 10.71 (2.34)                                                       | 0.021*  | 10.71 (2.33)        | 10.12 (2.59)                 | <0.001*  |
| **Health factors**                   |                              |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| SPH (N, %)                           | 930 (95.2)                 | 54 (88.5)                                            | 439 (80.0)                                           | 72 (66.7)                                                          | <0.001* | /                    | /                            | /       |
| Good<sup>(e)</sup>                   | 930 (95.2)                 | 54 (88.5)                                            | 439 (80.0)                                           | 72 (66.7)                                                          | <0.001* | /                    | /                            | /       |
| Moderate/poor<sup>(e)</sup>          | 47 (4.8)                   | 7 (11.5)                                             | 110 (20.0)                                          | 36 (33.3)                                                          |         | /                    | /                            | /       |
| SPH (0-100) (Mean, SD)               | 59.72 (17.86)              | 52.87 (16.52)                                         | 50.50 (18.34)                                        | 42.36 (13.87)                                                      | <0.001* | /                    | /                            | /       |
| Depressive complaints<sup>(e)</sup>  |                              |                                                      |                                                       |                                                                     |         |                      |                             |         |
| Number of conditions (N, %)          | 2.39 (2.77)                | 4.90 (4.05)                                          | 3.05 (3.08)                                          | 5.56 (3.61)                                                        | <0.001* | 2.56 (2.85)         | 5.44 (3.77)                  | <0.001*  |
| 0                                   | 978 (100)                  | 0 (0)                                                | 0 (0)                                                | 0 (0)                                                              | <0.001* | 930 (64.6)          | 47 (27.5)                   | <0.001*  |
| 1                                   | 0 (0)                      | 38 (74.5)                                            | 360 (72.4)                                           | 0 (0)                                                              | <0.001* | 342 (23.8)          | 54 (31.6)                   |         |
| >=2                                  | 0 (0)                      | 13 (25.5)                                            | 137 (27.6)                                           | 88 (100.0)                                                         |         | 167 (11.6)          | 70 (40.9)                   |         |

(a) = scale range = 12–48; (b) = scale range = 24–96; (c) = scale range = 0–5; (d) = scale range = 4–16; (e) = scale range = 0–21 using HADS; 1: Good SPH, 2: moderate/poor SPH; (e) = scale range = 0–21.
Table 2
Description of indicators of labour participation and retirement for healthy employees, employees with mental, physical, or coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions, at article baseline (2008)

|                          | Healthy employees (n=978) | Employees with mental chronic condition(s) (n=62) | Employees with physical chronic condition(s) (n=551) | Employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions (n=108) | Good SPH (n=1,550) | Moderate/poor SPH (n=205) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Retirement intentions (N, %) |                          |                                               |                                                   |                                                                   |                   |                          |
| Strong                   | 151 (15.5)                | 18 (29.0)                                     | 102 (18.6)                                        | 31 (28.7)                                                         | <0.001*           |                          |
| Weak                     | 823 (84.5)                | 44 (71.0)                                     | 445 (81.4)                                        | 77 (71.3)                                                         | 1,302 (84.4)      | 133 (65.2)               |
| Working hours            |                           |                                               |                                                   |                                                                   |                   |                          |
| Full-time                | 768 (79.1)                | 47 (77.0)                                     | 401 (73.3)                                        | 71 (67.0)                                                         | 0.007*            |                          |
|                          | 203 (20.9)                | 14 (23.0)                                     | 146 (26.7)                                        | 35 (33.0)                                                         | 369 (24.0)        | 48 (23.5)                |

compared to healthy employees (4.8%). Additionally, amongst employees with moderate/poor SPH, 40.9 per cent indicated having two or more chronic condition(s), which was substantially higher compared to employees with good SPH (11.6 per cent).

3.1. Cross-sectional associations between chronic conditions and SPH, independently, and indicators of labour participation and retirement

3.1.1. Retirement intentions

The indicators of labour participation and retirement at T0 for employees, split for chronic conditions and SPH, are presented in Table 2. With regards to chronic conditions, amongst employees indicating mental, physical, or coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s), respectively 29.0; 18.6; and 28.7 per cent indicated strong retirement intentions whereas amongst healthy employees 15.5 per cent indicated strong retirement intentions (p<0.001). With regards to SPH, amongst employees indicating moderate/poor SPH, 34.8 per cent indicated strong retirement intentions whereas among employees indicating good SPH, 15.6 per cent indicated strong retirement intentions (p<0.001).

Furthermore, cross-sectional associations between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement within the four distinguished groups of employees based on chronic conditions, are presented in Table 3. Amongst healthy employees, in the group with strong retirement intentions 90.1 per cent of the employees perceived good SPH, while this was 96.1 per cent in the group with weak retirement intentions at T0 (p<0.001). Amongst employees with physical chronic condition(s), in the group with strong retirement intentions 37.3 per cent of the employees perceived moderate/poor SPH, while this was 16.0 per cent in the group with weak retirement intentions (p<0.001). Amongst employees with mental and coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s), no statistically significant associations were found between SPH and retirement intentions (respectively p=0.954 and p=0.229) (Table 3).

3.1.2. Working hours

With regards to chronic conditions, amongst employees indicating mental, physical or coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s), respectively 23.0; 26.7; and 33.0 per cent indicated working part-time, whereas amongst healthy employees, 20.9 per cent indicated working part-time (p=0.007) at T0. With regards to SPH, no statistically significant association was found between SPH and working hours (Table 2).

Within the four distinguished groups based on chronic conditions, results did not show statistically significant associations between SPH and working hours (Table 3).

3.1.3. Change in work due to health reasons in the past year

Within the four distinguished groups based on chronic conditions, amongst employees with mental, physical, and coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions, no statistically significant association were found between SPH and changes in work due to health reasons (Table 3). Results showed that amongst healthy employees, in the group of employees who made changes in work due to health reasons, 26.9 per cent of the employees perceived poor/moderate SPH, while this was 4.2 per cent in the group of employees who did not make changes in work due to health reasons at T0 (p<0.001).
Table 3
Cross-sectional associations between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement for healthy employees, employees with mental or physical chronic condition(s), or coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions at article baseline (2008)

| Study population (n = 1,763) | Retirement intentions | Working hours | Change in work due to health reasons in the past year |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------|
|                             | Strong | Weak | p-value | Mean, SD | Mean, SD | p-value | Mean, SD | Mean, SD | p-value |
| SPH                         |        |      |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Good                        | 77.2%  | 90.7%| < 0.001*| 88.2%    | 88.5%    | 0.877   | 70.1%    | 89.2%    | < 0.001*|
| Moderate/poor               | 22.8%  | 9.3% |          | 11.8%    | 11.5%    |          | 29.9%    | 10.8%    |          |
| Healthy employees (n = 978) |        |      |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Good                        | 90.1%  | 96.1%| < 0.001*| 94.8%    | 97.0%    | 0.178   | 73.1%    | 95.8%    | < 0.001*|
| Moderate/poor               | 9.9%   | 3.9% |          | 5.2%     | 3.0%     |          | 26.9%    | 4.2%     |          |
| Employees with mental chronic conditions (n = 62) |        |      |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Good                        | 88.9%  | 88.4%| 0.954   | 89.4%    | 84.6%    | 0.637   | 75.0%    | 89.5%    | 0.380   |
| Moderate/poor               | 11.1%  | 11.6%|          | 10.6%    | 15.4%    |          | 25.0%    | 10.5%    |          |
| Employees with physical chronic condition(s) (n = 551) |        |      |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| SPH                         |        |      |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Good                        | 62.7%  | 84.0%| < 0.001*| 80.3%    | 78.6%    | 0.675   | 71.1%    | 80.6%    | 0.159   |
| Moderate/poor               | 37.3%  | 16.0%|          | 19.8%    | 21.4%    |          | 28.9%    | 19.4%    |          |
| Employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions (n = 108) |        |      |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| SPH                         |        |      |         |          |          |         |          |          |         |
| Good                        | 58.1%  | 70.1%| 0.229   | 62.0%    | 74.3%    | 0.208   | 50.0%    | 68.8%    | 0.165   |
| Moderate/poor health        | 41.9%  | 29.9%|          | 38.0%    | 25.7%    |          | 50.0%    | 31.2%    |          |

3.2. Longitudinal associations between chronic conditions and SPH, independently, and indicators of labour participation and retirement

3.2.1. Retirement intentions
Over six-year follow-up period, no statistical significant associations were found between chronic conditions and retirement intentions (Table 4.1.). Also, no statistical significant associations were found between SPH and retirement intentions over time (Table 4.2.).

3.2.2. Working hours
Employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions had a substantially higher risk for decreasing working hours (HR 2.19, 95% CI 1.18–4.08) over time compared to healthy employees (Table 4.1.). No statistical significant associations were found between SPH and decreasing working hours over time (Table 4.2.).

3.2.3. Employment status
Employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions had a substantial higher risk to lose employment (HR 1.85, 95% CI 1.23–2.78) over time compared to healthy employees (Table 4.1.). No statistical significant associations were found between chronic conditions and losing employment over time (Table 4.2.). However, the second model which was solely adjusted for factors from the personal/private and work domain, showed that employees with moderate/poor SPH had a significant higher risk to receive disability benefits over time compared to employees with good SPH (HR 3.58, 95% CI 1.55–8.25).

3.2.4. Labour force exit route

3.2.5. Disability benefits
Employees with physical and coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s) had significant higher risks to receive disability benefits over time (respectively HR 4.65, 95% CI 1.60–13.50; and HR 8.63, 95% CI 2.47–30.11) compared to healthy employees (Table 4.1.). Fully adjusted models showed no statistical significant associations between SPH and receiving disability benefits over time (Table 4.2.).

3.2.6. Unemployment benefits
No statistical significant associations were found between chronic conditions and receiving unemployment benefits over time (Table 4.1.). Additionally,
Table 4.1
Longitudinal associations between mental \((n=62)\), physical \((n=551)\), coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions \((n=108)\) – compared to healthy employees \((n=978)\) - on losing employment, decreasing working hours, receiving disability benefits, receiving unemployment benefits, receiving retirement benefits, and having strong retirement intentions over 6-year follow-up (2008–2014)

| Employment status                  | Strong Retirement intentions | Losing employment\(^a\) | Disability benefits | Unemployment benefits | Retirement benefits |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|                                    | HR\(^1\) | HR\(^2\) | HR\(^3\) | HR\(^1\) | HR\(^2\) | HR\(^3\) | HR\(^1\) | HR\(^2\) | HR\(^3\) | HR\(^1\) | HR\(^2\) | HR\(^3\) | HR\(^1\) | HR\(^2\) | HR\(^3\) | HR\(^1\) | HR\(^2\) | HR\(^3\) |
| Healthy employees                  | 1       | 1        | 1        | 1       | 1        | 1        | 1       | 1        | 1        | 1       | 1        | 1        | 1       | 1        | 1        | 1       | 1        | 1        |
| Employees with physical chronic condition(s) \((n=551)\) | 1.22    | 1.17     | 1.17     | 1.20    | 1.22     | 1.24     | 1.27    | 1.23     | 1.25     | 5.11    | 5.19     | 4.65     | 1.09    | 1.08     | 1.06     | 0.82    | 0.76     | 0.79     |
| Employees with mental chronic condition(s) \((n=62)\) | 0.77    | 0.80     | 0.79     | 1.39    | 1.34     | 1.35     | 1.07    | 1.06     | 1.07     | N.a.    | N.a.     | N.a.     | 1.32    | 1.36     | 1.34     | 1.56    | 1.38     | 1.41     |
| Employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions \((n=108)\) | 0.95    | 0.88     | 0.88     | 2.10    | 2.13     | 2.19     | 1.96    | 1.80     | 1.85     | 12.44   | 10.33    | 8.63     | 1.46    | 1.38     | 1.35     | 1.19    | 1.05     | 1.12     |

\(^a\) = transition from full-time to part-time employment, \(^b\) = not participating in employment. 1 = adjusted for factors from the personal/private domain (age, gender, educational level, whether or not living alone), 2 = additionally adjusted for factors from the work domain (physically demanding work, psychological job demands, decision latitude, emotional demands, social support colleagues, social support supervisor, and working hours), 3 = additionally adjusted for SPH. N.a. could not be assessed due to insufficient cases.
Table 4.2

| Employment status         | Disability benefits | Unemployment benefits | Retirement benefits |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Good SPH                  | 1                   | 1                     | 1                   |
| Moderate/poor SPH         | 1.00 (0.64–1.57)    | 0.96 (0.61–1.50)      | 0.94 (0.57–1.55)    |

No statistical significant associations were found between SPH and receiving unemployment benefits over time (Table 4.2.).

3.2.7. Retirement benefits

No statistical significant associations were found between chronic conditions receiving and (early) retirement benefits over time (Table 4.1.). Also, no statistical significant associations were found between SPH and receiving unemployment benefits over time (Table 4.2.).

Exploring the role of differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) on SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement among older employees: cross-sectional associations at $T=48$ months.

3.3. Self-perceived health

Results in Table 5 show that the highest proportion of employees indicating moderate/poor SPH was amongst employees with incident and prevalent chronic condition(s) (respectively 18.4 and 34.8%) at $T=48$ months. Contrary, amongst healthy employees 4.0 per cent indicated moderate/poor SPH ($p<0.001$).

3.4. Labour participation and retirement outcomes

3.4.1. Retirement intentions

No statistical significant associations were found between differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) and retirement intentions (Table 5).

3.4.2. Working hours

Results showed that the highest proportion of employees indicating working part-time was amongst employees with incident and prevalent chronic condition(s) (respectively 32.1 and 38.0%) at $T=48$ months. Amongst healthy employees, 24.4 per cent indicated working part-time ($p<0.001$).

3.4.3. Changes made in work due to health reasons in the past year

Results showed that amongst employees with incident and prevalent chronic condition(s) respectively 5.5 and 8.1 per cent indicated to have made changes in work due to health reasons in the past year. This percentage was substantially lower amongst healthy employees (1.1%) ($p<0.001$).
Table 5
Exploring the role of prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s): cross-sectional associations with demographic, health, and indicators of labour participation and retirement at wave 2012

|                             | Healthy employees (n = 593) | Employees who developed chronic condition(s) between time period 2008–2012 (n = 180) | Employees with chronic condition(s) at both time periods (2008–2012) (n = 409) | p-value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| **Demographic factors**     |                             |                                                                                     |                                                                                  |         |
| Age (Years) (Mean, SD)      | 55.87 (3.96)                | 56.43 (3.93)                                                                        | 56.24 (4.00)                                                                    | 0.157   |
| **Health factors**          |                             |                                                                                     |                                                                                  |         |
| SPH (N, %)                  | Good1 5.69 (96.0)           | 146 (81.6)                                                                           | 266 (65.2)                                                                       | <0.001* |
|                             | Moderate/poor2 24 (4.0)     | 33 (18.4)                                                                            | 142 (34.8)                                                                       |         |
| **Labour participation outcomes** |                           |                                                                                     |                                                                                  |         |
| Retirement intentions      |                             |                                                                                     |                                                                                  |         |
| Strong (N, %)               | 111 (20.4)                  | 45 (27.1)                                                                            | 88 (23.9)                                                                        | 0.151   |
| Working hours               |                             |                                                                                     |                                                                                  |         |
| Full-time                   | 410 (75.6)                  | 112 (67.9)                                                                           | 225 (62.0)                                                                       | <0.001* |
| Part-time                   | 132 (24.4)                  | 53 (32.1)                                                                            | 138 (38.0)                                                                       |         |
| Changed work due to health in the reasons in the past year? | Yes 6 (1.1) | 9 (5.5) | 30 (8.1) | <0.001* |

1: Good SPH, 2: moderate/poor SPH.

4. Discussion

This study aimed 1) to examine associations between chronic conditions (mental, physical, or coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s)) SPH, independently, and labour participation and retirement among older employees over a six-year time period, and 2) to explore the potential role of prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) on SPH and labour participation and retirement among older employees. In sum, study findings showed that coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions were significantly associated with strong retirement intentions and part-time employment compared to healthy employees at T0. Longitudinal analyses showed that employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions had substantial and significant higher risks for decreasing working hours, losing employment, and receiving disability benefits over time compared to healthy employees. SPH was substantially and significantly associated with strong retirement intentions at T0. However, no significant associations were found between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement over time, suggesting a more instantaneous effect of SPH on indicators of labour participation and retirement. As for differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s), descriptive findings show that the proportion of employees indicating moderate/poor SPH and working part-time was substantially higher amongst employees with respectively incident and prevalent chronic condition(s) compared to healthy employees.

In line with previous research [18, 32, 52], cross-sectional results showed that the proportion of employees with strong retirement intentions was higher amongst employees with mental and coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s) compared to healthy employees. Additionally, the proportion of employees working part-time was higher amongst employees with physical and coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s) compared to healthy employees. With regards to SPH, cross-sectional results showed that the proportion of employees indicating strong retirement intentions was higher amongst employees who indicated moderate/poor SPH compare to those who indicated good SPH. Yet, no significant associations were found between SPH and working hours at T0. When assessing the associations between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement within the four distinguished groups based on chronic condition(s) at T0, results showed that amongst healthy employees and employees with physical chronic condition(s) in the groups with strong retirement intentions, the proportion of employees indicating moderate/poor SPH was substantially higher compared to those with weak retirement intentions. Additionally, amongst healthy employees, in the group who made changes in work
due to health reasons, the proportion of employees indicating moderate/poor SPH was substantially higher compared to those who did not make changes in work, at T0.

Longitudinal results showed that employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions had substantial and significant higher risks for decreasing working hours, losing employment, and receiving disability benefits over time compared to healthy employees. Additionally, employees with physical chronic condition(s) had a substantial and significant higher risk to receive disability benefits over time compared to healthy employees, which is in line with research showing associations between chronic conditions in general and the risk to exit employment through disability and unemployment benefits [9, 14, 19, 20, 24, 26]. Nearly all observed statistical significant associations over time were found amongst the group of employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions. Perhaps the presence of two or more chronic conditions simultaneously within an individual may substantially increase the risk to exit paid employment [17, 22]. Study findings did not show significant associations between chronic conditions and strong retirement intentions over time. Perhaps selection processes and/or coping skills contributed to these findings. At T0, solely employees who were actively involved in labour participation were included. Perhaps the unhealthiest employees were already excluded (selective participation). Moreover, employees experiencing significant limitations due to chronic condition(s) might have already left the labour market. Employees who remained included in this study were perhaps better able to cope with chronic condition(s) whilst being in employment. With regards to SPH, these study findings did not show statistical significant associations between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement over time. This was contrary to our expectations, since previous research [18, 21, 31, 32] demonstrated substantial longitudinal associations. Perhaps, the lack of significant associations between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirements over time can at least partially be explained by the numbers of employees included in the reference group (n = 1,550 employees with good SPH) and comparison group (n = 205 employees with poor/moderate SPH). Due to the relatively low number of workers included in the reference group, and exclusion of prevalent cases of the respective outcomes, the statistical power to test these specific associations could be too low, as only few cases were for these analyses over time. Also, the time period between article baseline and the first follow-up measurement was four years: perhaps this time window was too broad to observe effects over time.

As for differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s), descriptive findings showed that the proportion of employees indicating moderate/poor SPH, working part-time and made changes in work due to health reasons, was substantially and statistically significantly higher amongst employees with incident and prevalent chronic condition(s) compared to healthy employees. Yet, these study findings did not solely find differences between employees with incident/prevalent chronic condition(s) compared to healthy employees, also substantial differences between having incident or prevalent chronic condition(s) were found. As for SPH, the proportion of employees indicating poor/moderate SPH was almost twice as big amongst employees with prevalent chronic condition(s) compared to employees with incident chronic condition(s). These findings are supported by previous studies stating that illness duration affects patients’ self-rated health: the longer patients were ill, the lower their perceived health score was [33]. Moreover, these study findings showed that the proportion of employees with incident or prevalent chronic condition(s) were more likely to work part-time, compared to healthy employees. Perhaps employees with (a) chronic condition(s) reduced their working hours in order to remain involved in (paid) employment [4, 12, 33].

4.1. Strengths and limitations

When interpreting the study findings several strengths and limitations of the study should be considered. The multitude of exposure and outcome measures studied is a major advantage. By assessing both SPH and different subtypes of chronic condition(s), independently, in relation to objective and subjective outcome measures of labour participation and retirement, this study provides a more comprehensive insight into (health) factors which might affect indicators of labour participation and retirement of older employees. Within this study we were able to distinguish between mental, physical, and coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s). For further studies it might be of additional value to take into account comorbidity when having data available of the primary condition of interest and other
existing chronic condition(s) amongst employees suffering from comorbidity, in order to understand the impact of health on labour participation and retirement amongst older employees further. Moreover, this study defined groups of mental, physical, and coexistent physical-mental chronic condition(s) based on valid measurements. However, the severity and impact of a particular type of chronic condition might still differentially affect an employees’ ability to work [4, 14]. These study findings are thus readily generalizable to employees with chronic conditions in general, or specified to being mental and/or physical, yet not towards a specific type of chronic condition since the impact on labour participation and retirement might vary. As for SPH, the association between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement was studied by using one item with five answer possibilities. In line with previous research [45], these five answer possibilities were dichotomized into good SPH and moderate/poor SPH which created contrast between the two studied categories of good SPH and moderate/poor SPH in this study. For further research, it may be valuable to study SPH on a continuous scale or as a categorical variable, when numbers included within the categories are sufficient in order to assess the transition from one category of SPH to another. This might further advance the understanding of SPH on labour participation and retirement. Within this study, the effect of chronic condition(s) and SPH, separately, was studied on multiple outcome measures of labour participation and retirement. Study findings have demonstrated associations firstly, however, it should be acknowledged that these outcome measures were studied parallel, and that we cannot excluded independence of these outcome measures. Therefore, further research should secondly assess the independence of these outcome measures, thus to assess potential coherence between the outcome measures of labour participation and retirement.

Another advantage was the relatively long follow-up period of six years of this prospective cohort study, which enabled us to distinguished cause and effect over time. Yet, it should be noted that within this six-year follow-up period three measurements had taken place: article baseline measurement (T0), and follow-up measurements 2012 and 2014 (T = 48 months and T = 72 months). Perhaps, the time in-between measurements may sometimes be rather long in order to detect a change in outcome measures. The time in-between measurements, might also affected the observed results for differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) and might led to an underestimation of the observed findings, since the time window used to define incidence and prevalence of chronic condition(s) was four years [53]. Perhaps, employees with incident chronic condition(s) might have already developed (a) chronic condition(s) at the beginning of the four-year time window, making it debatable whether the condition can still be classified as being incident. These employees might already have developed coping skills to cope with this chronic condition whilst being in employment or have already made some work adjustments during this time period [8]. Those who were not able to cope the chronic condition(s) might have already left the labour market before follow-up measurement. The associations found between incident chronic conditions and outcomes of labour participation and retirement and SPH might thus underestimate the strength of the real association.

These study findings provide substantial insights for a preventive approach, since this study approaches health both in terms of chronic condition(s) and SPH, independently, within the same study sample (total study population of n = 1,763 at T0). Both chronic condition(s) as SPH should be considered important health-related factors affecting labour participation. Preventive measures can therefore relate to both chronic condition(s) and SPH, providing input to develop and tailor preventive measures specifically for older employees in order to extend working life. Furthermore, chronic condition(s) and SPH were studied consisting of respectively n = 1,699 and n = 1,755 employees for analyses studying chronic conditions and SPH, enabling us to disentangle cause and effect over time. The number of employees included within these groups was sufficient, however, the number of employees included in the group of employees with mental chronic condition(s) (n = 62) was too low to study incident cases for receiving disability benefits over six-year follow-up period. The relatively low number of employees with mental chronic condition(s), might also be explained by the fact that employees might already have left the labour market earlier, due to the substantial impact of mental chronic condition(s) on (paid) employment, resulting in too low number of employees with mental chronic condition(s) included in this study. Moreover, the low number of employees included in this group moreover made it difficult to compare groups,
such as healthy employees vs. employees with mental chronic condition(s), and to draw valid conclusions. Additionally, for the longitudinal analyses regarding chronic condition(s) in relation to labour participation and retirement, healthy employees were considered the reference group. As for health status, according to this study’s definition of having or not having chronic condition(s), employees in the reference group may still suffer from several health conditions, which can be considered as determinants of disease(s) such as overweight, obesity, or hypertension [42]. Thus, since older employees in the reference group could still suffer from various health conditions, no artificial contrast was created which might increase this study’s external validity.

No adjustments for potential confounding factors were made for the cross-sectional analyses in this study, since the purpose of these analyses was solely descriptive. In the longitudinal analyses, adjustments were made for important confounding factors based on previous research [1, 20, 48]. As mentioned previously, various work-related factors (such as physically demanding work) could substantially affect health and vice-versa [4, 6]. Moreover, the prevalence of chronic conditions is higher amongst lower educated workers, and may consequently increase the risk to exit paid employment [22]. By adjusting for these confounding factors, potential bias may be avoided. However, we still cannot exclude (potential) residual confounding. Perhaps, various unidentified work-related confounding variables might affect the studied relation. Further research might explore more-in depth other potential undefined confounding factors when studying this relation, such as the severity of a specific type of chronic condition, or other work-related factors. Also, stratification for gender was not possible in this study due to low numbers of women included in the strata. If numbers would be sufficient, further research could also stratify for educational level or gender to assess potential differences in the observed effect over time.

Further, this study assessed a decrease in working hours, by assessing a transition from full-time to part-time employment over time. However, a decrease of working hours may also be a gradual process instead of a full instant transition from fulltime to parttime employment [34]. Therefore, future research could also explore a decrease in working hours as an absolute number of working hours, instead of a transition from full-time to part-time employment. Hereby, a decrease in working hours is then independently from employees’ amount of working hours at baseline.

4.2. Conclusion

This study indicates substantial and statistical significant cross-sectional associations between chronic conditions and SPH, independently, and indicators of labour participation and retirement such as strong retirement intentions and working hours. As for chronic condition(s), study findings showed that employees with coexistent physical-mental chronic conditions had substantial and significant higher risks for decreasing working hours, losing employment, and receiving disability benefits over time compared to healthy employees. However, despite finding strong cross-sectional associations between SPH and indicators of labour participation and retirement, this study found no significant associations for SPH over time. Study findings suggests a rather instantaneous effect of SPH on labour participation and retirement. As for differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s), findings show substantial difference in self-rated SPH, which was rated lowest amongst employees with prevalent chronic condition(s).

These findings include both chronic condition(s) and SPH within the same research sample and indicate that a potential (early) labor market exit as a result of declining health is not only affected by the presence of one or more chronic condition(s). In addition, SPH should also be considered when developing preventive measures to increase the (sustainable) labour participation of older workers to reach the statutory retirement age and to prevent early labour market exit. On the one hand, preventive measures should aim to reduce the emotional need for work of employees with chronic mental illness (s), or physical work demands for employees with chronic physical illness (s). On the other hand, preventive measures should also focus on SPH. However, SPH is strongly related to employees’ perception of their ability to extend working life, and therefore requires a more individual approach and may require approximating other health and social variables to promote the active participation of older workers. Furthermore, future research should aim to additionally assess the role of differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s) over time, since these study findings were solely explorative yet still showing
strong and substantial cross-sectional associations between SPH and differences between prevalent and incident presence of chronic condition(s).
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