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Abstract

The couplings of the isosinglet axial-vector currents to the $\eta$ and $\eta'$ mesons are evaluated in a stable, model independent way by use of polynomial kernels in dispersion integrals. The corrections to the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation in the isoscalar channel are deduced. The derivative of the topological susceptibility at the origin is calculated taking into account instantons and instanton screening.

1 Introduction

The subject of $\eta - \eta'$ mixing has been a topic of discussion since $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry was proposed [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The gluon axial anomaly and the corresponding topological charges of the isoscalar mesons imply that the $SU(3)$ singlet axial vector current is not conserved in the chiral limit. Initially the octet-singlet mixing was described by an angle $\theta$ which was thought to be small and later given larger values [1]. It was later realized that the couplings of the isoscalar axial currents to the pseudoscalar mesons need not be dependent and that the single angle description is inadequate. A number of theoretical approaches have been used to compute these couplings. Apart from Chiral perturbation theory [7] QCD sum rules [8], Shore [9] has used the generalized Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner [10] relation to evaluate the couplings.

A related topic is the calculation of the topological susceptibility and its derivative at zero momentum transfer. The results obtained show a wide dispersion [12, 13, 14]. Such a dispersion in the results and instabilities in the parameters which enter the calculations is inherent in the Borel (Laplace) sum rules [15] used by the authors.

This method starts from a dispersion integral.

$$Residue = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{i\theta}^{\infty} dt \ e^{-t/M^2} \ Im \ P(t)$$ (1.1)
The residue contains the physical quantity of interest and the integral runs from the physical threshold to infinity. The integral is then split into two parts
\[ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{-t/M^2} \text{Im} \, P(t) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{-t/M^2} \text{Im} \, P(t) + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{-t/M^2} \text{Im} \, P(t) \] (1.2)
where \( t_0 \) signals the onset of perturbative QCD. In the first integral on the r.h.s of the equation above \( \text{Im} P(t) \) describes the unknown contribution of the resonances. The second integral takes into account the contribution of the QCD part of the amplitude when \( P(t) \) is replaced by its QCD expression. \( M^2 \), the square of the Borel mass is a parameter introduced in order to suppress the unknowns of the problem. If \( M^2 \) is small, the damping of the first unknown integral is good but the contribution of the unknown higher order non-perturbative condensates increases rapidly. If \( M^2 \) increases, the contribution of the unknown condensates decreases but the damping in the resonances region worsens. An intermediate value of \( M^2 \) has to be chosen. Because \( M^2 \) is a non physical parameter the results should be independent of it in a relatively broad window; this is not the case in the problems at hand. The choice of the parameter \( t_0 \) which signals the onset of perturbative QCD is another source of uncertainty. In this work I shall use low order polynomial kernels in order to suppress the contribution of the unknown continuum. The coefficients of these polynomials are determined by the masses of the isoscalar resonances and the method avoids the instabilities and arbitrariness which accompany the use of exponential kernels. Having determined the couplings of the isoscalar currents to the \( \eta \) and \( \eta' \) mesons I shall turn to the study of the corrections to the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation \([10]\) in the isoscaler channel and recover \( m_\eta \). Finally I shall evaluate \( \chi'(0) \) the derivative of the topological susceptibility at zero momentum transfer taking into account the effect of instantons and their possible screening which can be important as has been emphasized by Forkel \([16]\).

2 Axial currents and their coupling to the \( \eta - \eta' \) mesons

The isoscalar components of the octet of axial vector currents couple to the physical \( \eta \) and \( \eta' \) mesons:
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle 0 | A^{(8)}_\mu | \eta(p) \rangle &= 2i f_{8\eta} p_\mu \\
\langle 0 | A^{(0)}_\mu | \eta(p) \rangle &= 2i f_{0\eta} p_\mu \\
\langle 0 | A^{(8)}_\mu | \eta'(p) \rangle &= 2i f_{8\eta'} p_\mu \\
\langle 0 | A^{(0)}_\mu | \eta'(p) \rangle &= 2i f_{0\eta'} p_\mu
\end{align*}
\] (2.1)

In the \( SU(3) \) limit \( f_{8\eta} = f_\pi = 92.4 MeV \) and in the two mixing angle description adopted here, the coupling constants above are independent quantities. The axial vector currents are written in terms of the quark fields:
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\[ A_{\mu}^{(8)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 u + \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 d - 2 \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 s \right) \]  
\[ A_{\mu}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 u + \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 d - 2 \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 s \right) \]  

In the limit \( m_u = m_d = 0 \), the divergences of these currents are

\[ \partial_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{(8)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (-2im_s \bar{s} \gamma_5 s) \]  
\[ \partial_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{0} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} (-2im_s \bar{s} \gamma_5 s) + 2\sqrt{6}Q \]  

where \( Q = \frac{2\alpha_s}{\pi} \tilde{G}G \) is the anomaly with \( G\tilde{G} = G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \), \( G_{\mu\nu} \) being the gluon field strength tensor and \( \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{\rho\sigma} \) its dual. Consider now the correlator:

\[ \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{ij} = \int dx e^{iqx} \langle 0 | T A^{(i)}_{\mu}(x) A^{(j)}_{\nu}(0) | 0 \rangle \]  

\( i, j = 0, 8 \)

It can be decomposed

\[ \Pi_{\mu\nu}(q^2) = (-g_{\mu\nu}q^2 + q_{\mu}q_{\nu}) \Pi(q^2)^{(1)} + q_{\mu}q_{\nu} \Pi(q^2)^{(0)} \]  

and let

\[ \Pi(t = q^2) = \Pi^{(1)}(t) + \Pi^{(0)}(t) \]  

Start with \( \Pi^{88}(t) \). At low energies it has two poles

\[ \Pi^{88}(t) = \frac{-4f^2_8}{t - m_b^2} - \frac{4f^2_8'}{t - m_{q'}^2} + \cdots \]  

and a cut on the real positive t-axis running from the continuum threshold to \( \infty \).

The amplitude also possesses a QCD expansion, valid in the complex t-plane for \( |t| \) large and not too close to the physical cut. The aim of the calculation is to relate the residues of the poles to the QCD parameters.

\[ \Pi_{QCD}^{88}(t) = \Pi^{88}_{pert} + \frac{C^{88}}{t} + \frac{C^{88}}{t^2} + \cdots \]  

The perturbative part is known to 5-loops in the chiral limit [11].

\[ \frac{1}{\pi} Im \Pi^{88}_{pert} = 2 \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left\{ 1 + a_s + a_s^2 (F_3 + \beta_2 \frac{2}{4} L_\mu) \right\} \]  
\[ + a_s^3 [ F_4 + \beta_1 F_3 + \beta_2 \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{4} L_\mu ] \]  
\[ + a_s^4 [ k_3 - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \beta_2^2 F_3 - \frac{5}{24} \pi^2 \beta_1 \beta_2 + \frac{3}{2} \beta_1 F_4 + \beta_2 F_3 + \beta_3 \frac{3}{2} L_\mu ] \]  
\[ + \frac{\beta_1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{2} \beta_1 F_3 + \frac{5}{4} \beta_2 \right) L_\mu + \frac{\beta_3}{8} L_\mu^3 \]  

\[ \]
where
\[ a_s = \alpha_s(\mu^2), \quad L_\mu = \ln(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu'^2}), \quad \beta_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(11 - \frac{2}{3}n_f), \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{1}{8}(102 - \frac{38}{3}n_f), \]
\[ \beta_3 = -\frac{1}{32}(\frac{2857}{2} - \frac{5033}{18}n_f + \frac{325}{54}n_f^2), \quad F_3 = 1.9857 - .1153 n_f, \quad F_4 = 18.2427 - \frac{\pi^2}{3}(\frac{\beta_1}{2})^2 - 4.2158 n_f + .0862 n_f^2 \]
and \( k_3 = 49.076. \)

The strong coupling constant is likewise known to 5-loop order \[17\] in terms of \( \alpha_s(1) \)
\[ \pi \equiv -\frac{2}{3} \beta_1 L \] with \( L = \ln(\Lambda^2) \) where \( \Lambda^2 \) defines the standard \( \overline{MS} \) scale to be used here.

\[ C_{88}^1 = \frac{2}{\pi^2}(1 + 2a_s)m_s^2 \] (2.10)
is a correction to the perturbative part proportional to \( m_s^2 \) \[18\] and
\[ C_{88}^2 = \frac{1}{6}(1 - \frac{11}{18}a_s) \langle a_s G \tilde{G} \rangle + \frac{8}{3}(1 - \frac{7}{3}a_s - \frac{75}{6}a_s^2) \langle m_s \bar{s}s \rangle \]
\[ C_{88}^3 = -\frac{448}{\pi^2}a_s \langle \bar{u}u \rangle^2 \] (2.11)

Consider next the contour \( C \) shown in figure 1 consisting of two straight lines parallel to the real axis and located just above and just below the cut and running from the continuum threshold to a large value \( R \) and the circle of radius \( R \).

Figure 1: The contour of integration C.
And consider the integral
\[\int_c dt f(t) \Pi(t)\]
where \(f(t)\) is an entire function. On the circle \(\Pi(t)\) can be replaced by \(\Pi_{QCD}(t)\) to a good approximation.

Application of Cauchy’s theorem leads to
\[
4f_{8\eta}^2 f(m_\eta^2) + 4f_{8\eta'}^2 f(m_{\eta'}^2) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\text{th}}^R dt f(t) \text{Im} \Pi(t)
- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dt f(t) \Pi_{\text{pert}}(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dt f(t) \Pi_{\text{np}}(t)
\] (2.12)

The first term on the r.h.s of the equation above, which represents the contribution of the physical continuum constitutes the main uncertainty of the calculation. The choice of the so-far arbitrary entire function \(f(t)\) aims at reducing this term as much as possible in order to allow its neglect. All that is known about the continuum is that it is dominated by the pseudoscalar excitations \(\eta(1295)\) and \(\eta(1440)\) as well as the axial-vector isoscalars \(f_1(1285)\) and \(f_1(1420)\) with practically the same masses.

I shall choose for a \(f(t)\) simple polynomial
\[f(t) = p(t) = 1 - a_1 t - a_2 t^2\]
the coefficients \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) of which annihilate \(p(t)\) at the masses of the resonances, i.e
\[p(t) = 1 - 1.090 GeV^{-2} t + .294 GeV^{-4} t^2\] (2.13)
with this choice the integrand is reduced to only a few percent of its initial value on the interval \(1.5 GeV^2 \leq t \leq 2.5 GeV^2\) and the contribution of the continuum is thus practically annihilated.

\(\Pi_{\text{pert}}(t)\) has a different analytical structure than the physical amplitude, it has a cut on the real \(t\)-axis which starts at the origin so that \(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C'} dt f(t) \Pi_{\text{pert}}(t) = 0\) where \(C'\) is the contour shown in figure 2.
Figure 2: The contour of integration $C'$ used to transform the integral $\Pi_{\text{pert}}(t)$ over the circle into an integral over the real axis.

It then follows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dt f(t)\Pi_{\text{pert}}(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^R dt f(t) \text{Im} \Pi_{\text{pert}}(t)$$  (2.14)

Also

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dt f(t)\Pi_{\text{rep}}(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dt (1 - a_1 t - a_2 t^2)(\frac{C_{1}^{88}}{t} + \frac{C_{2}^{88}}{t^2} + \frac{C_{3}^{88}}{t^3} + ...)$$  (2.15)

$$= C^{88} \left[ - a_1 C_2^{88} - a_2 C_3^{88} \right]$$

The second term on the r.h.s of eq. (2.12) equals the contribution of the integral over the circle of $\Pi_{\text{pert}}(t)$ and provides the main contribution. The last two terms are contributed by the corresponding ones in eq. (2.8). Thus

$$4f_{sp}^2 p(m_n^2) + 4f_{sq'}^2 p(m_{q'}^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^R dt \quad p(t) \text{Im} \Pi_{\text{pert}}(t) - C_1^{88} + a_1 C_2^{88} + a_2 C_3^{88}$$  (2.16)

The choice of $R$ is determined by stability considerations. It should not be too small as this would invalidate the OPE on the circle nor should it be too large because $p(t)$ would start enhancing the contribution of the continuum instead of suppressing it. We
seek an intermediate range of $R$ for which the integral in eq.(2.16) is stable. This turns out to be the case for $1.5 GeV^2 \leq R \leq 2.5 GeV^2$. The integral provides the main contribution to the r.h.s of eq.(2.16).

A similar treatment of the amplitude $\Pi^{00}(t)$ leads to

$$4f_{00}^2 p(m_0^2) + 4f_{00}'^2 p(m_0'^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^R dt \ p(t) \ Im \Pi_{\text{pert}}^{00}(t) - C_1^{00} + a_1 C_2^{00} + a_2 C_3^{00} \quad (2.17)$$

where $\Pi_{\text{pert}}^{00} = \Pi_{\text{pert}}^{88}$ and $C_1^{00}$ and $C_2^{00}$ are the non-perturbative coefficients of the QCD expansion

$$\Pi_{\text{QCD}}^{00}(t) = \Pi_{\text{pert}}^{00}(t) + \frac{C_1^{00}}{t} + \frac{C_2^{00}}{t^2} + ...$$

$$C_1^{00} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} (1 + 2a_s) m_s^2$$

$$C_2^{00} = \frac{1}{6} (1 - \frac{11}{18} a_s) \langle a_s GG \rangle + \frac{4}{3} (1 - \frac{7}{3} a_s - \frac{75}{6} a_s^2) \langle m_s \bar{s}s \rangle$$

$$C_3^{00} = -\frac{448}{81} \pi^2 a_s \langle \bar{u}u \rangle^2 \quad (2.18)$$

Finally turn to the mixed amplitude $\Pi^{08}(t)$, with the result

$$4f_{00} f_{00} p(m_0^2) + 4f_{00}' f_{00}' p(m_0'^2) = -C_1^{08} + a_1 C_2^{08} + a_2 C_3^{08} \quad (2.19)$$

with

$$C_1^{08} = -\sqrt{2} \pi^2 (1 + 2a_s) m_s^2$$

$$C_2^{08} = -\frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3} (1 - \frac{7}{3} a_s - \frac{75}{6} a_s^2) \langle m_s \bar{s}s \rangle \quad (2.20)$$

$$C_3^{08} \approx 0$$

Eq.(2.20) is distinguished from eqs.(2.16) and (2.17) in that the dominant perturbative contribution is now absent and the smallness of its r.h.s. will result in the smallness of the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing, i.e. of the couplings $f_{00}$ and $f_{00}'$.

Eqs.(2.16), (2.17) and (2.19) are however insufficient to determine all four couplings. An additional equation is obtained by considering the integral $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c dt \ tp(t)\Pi^{08}(t)$.

The fast convergence of the amplitude, due to the absence of the perturbative part in the asymptotic expansion guarantees the reliability of the result. This yields

$$4f_{00} f_{00} p(m_0^2) m_0^2 + 4f_{00}' f_{00}' p(m_0'^2) m_0'^2 = -C_2^{08} + a_1 C_3^{08} \quad (2.21)$$

The numbers used for the condensates are

$$m_s = (.10 \pm .01) \text{ GeV}$$
\[-\langle \bar{s}s \rangle = (0.012 \pm 0.002) \, GeV^3\]
\[\langle a_s G \tilde{G} \rangle = 0.013 \, GeV^4\]

and the value of the integral in eqs. (2.16), (2.17) at the stability values of \(R\)
\[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^R dt p(t) Im \Pi_{pert}(t) = 0.034 \, GeV^2\] as appears in figure 3

\[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^R dt f(t) Im \Pi(t)\]

Figure 3: The variation of \(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^R dt f(t) Im \Pi(t)\) as a function of \(R\).

These finally yield for the couplings
\[f_{8\eta} = 0.104 \, GeV\]
\[f_{8\eta'} = -0.046 \, GeV\]
\[f_{0\eta} = 0.042 \, GeV\]
\[f_{0\eta'} = 0.0160 \, GeV\] (2.22)

which correspond to mixing angles
\[\theta_8 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{f_{8\eta'}}{f_{8\eta}}\right) = -24^\circ\] and \[\theta_0 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-f_{0\eta}}{f_{0\eta'}}\right) = -14.7^\circ\] (2.23)

The values obtained above can be used in the calculation of the corrections to
the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation [10] in the isoscalar channel. A Ward identity introduces a subtraction which improves the convergence of the dispersion relation and therefore their reliability.

Start with the correlator
\[T^{88}(t) = \int d\mathbf{x} \, \epsilon^{i\mathbf{q}x} \langle 0 | T D^{(8)}(x) D^{(8)}(0) | 0 \rangle\] (2.24)

where \(D^{(8)} = \partial_\mu A^{(8)}_\mu\)

\[T^{88}(t) = -\frac{4 f_{8\eta}^2 m_\eta^4}{t - m_\eta^4} + \frac{4 f_{8\eta'}^2 m_{\eta'}^4}{t - m_{\eta'}^4} + \cdots\] (2.25)

which satisfies the Ward identity
\[T^{88}(0) = -\frac{16}{3} \langle m_\eta \bar{s}s \rangle\]
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Introducing a subtraction consists in considering the integral \( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_c \frac{dt}{t} p(t) \Pi^{ss}(t) \). This gives

\[
f_{s\eta} m_{\eta}^2 p(m_{\eta}^2) + f_{s\eta'} m_{\eta'}^2 p(m_{\eta'}^2) = -\frac{4}{3} \langle m_s \bar{s}s \rangle + m_s^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (1 + \frac{17}{3} a_s) \int_0^R dt p(t) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{4}{3} a_1 (2 \langle m_s \bar{s}s \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \langle a_s G \tilde{G} \rangle) \right\}
\]

(2.26)

Numerically \( f_{s\eta} m_{\eta}^2 p(m_{\eta}^2) = .002 \) GeV\(^4\)

which results in recovering \( m_\eta \)

\[
m_\eta = (500 \pm 30) \text{ MeV}
\]

(2.27)

The uncertainty is estimated from the one in the parameters.

3 The topological susceptibility and its derivative at zero momentum transfer

The topological susceptibility

\[
\chi(t) = i \int dx \, e^{iqx} \langle 0 | T Q(x) Q(0) | 0 \rangle
\]

(3.1)

has poles at the pseudoscalar mesons

\[
\chi(t) = -\frac{\langle 0 | Q|\pi^0 \rangle^2}{t - m_\pi^2} - \frac{\langle 0 | Q|\eta \rangle^2}{t - m_\eta^2} - \frac{\langle 0 | Q|\eta' \rangle^2}{t - m_{\eta'}^2} + \cdots
\]

(3.2)

Consider again the integral \( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_c \frac{dt}{t} p(t) \chi(t) \) with the same polynomial \( p(t) \) introduces in order to suppress the contribution of the physical continuum, it gives

\[
\chi(0) = \frac{\langle 0 | Q|\pi^0 \rangle^2}{m_\pi^2} + \frac{\langle 0 | Q|\eta \rangle^2}{m_\eta^2} p(m_\eta^2) + \frac{\langle 0 | Q|\eta' \rangle^2}{m_{\eta'}^2} p(m_{\eta'}^2) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int dt p(t) \chi^{QCD}(t)
\]

(3.3)

and for the derivative

\[
\chi'(0) - a_1 \chi(0) = \frac{\langle 0 | Q|\pi^0 \rangle^2}{m_\pi^4} + \frac{\langle 0 | Q|\eta \rangle^2}{m_\eta^4} p(m_\eta^2) + \frac{\langle 0 | Q|\eta' \rangle^2}{m_{\eta'}^4} p(m_{\eta'}^2) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int dt p(t) \chi^{QCD}(t)
\]

(3.4)

The coupling \( \langle 0 | Q|\pi^0 \rangle \) is given in [19]

\[
\langle 0 | Q|\pi^0 \rangle = i \frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2 (m_d - m_u)}{m_d + m_u}
\]

(3.5)
and the couplings $\langle 0|Q|\eta \rangle$ and $\langle 0|Q|\eta' \rangle$ are obtained by sandwiching eq.(2.3) between the vacuum and the $\eta, \eta'$ states

$$
\langle 0|Q|\eta \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{12}} (f_{8\eta} + \sqrt{2} f_{0\eta}) m_\eta^2
\tag{3.6}
$$

$$
\langle 0|Q|\eta' \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{12}} (f_{8\eta'} + \sqrt{2} f_{0\eta'}) m_{\eta'}^2
$$

The QCD expression is [12, 13, 14]

$$
\chi_{QCD}^2(t) = C_{21} t^2 \ln t - C_{22} t^2 (\ln t)^2 + C_{01} \ln t - C_{00} + \frac{C_{-1}}{t} + \frac{C_{-2}}{t^2} + I(t) \tag{3.7}
$$

where $I(t)$ stands for the instanton contribution and

$$
C_{21} = - \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \right)^2 \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left( 1 + \frac{83}{4} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)
$$
$$
C_{22} = \frac{9}{4} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_{21}
$$
$$
C_{01} = \frac{9}{64} \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G\tilde{G} \right)
$$
$$
C_{-1} = - \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \langle g_s \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^3 \rangle
$$
$$
C_{-2} = - \frac{15}{128} \pi^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G\tilde{G} \right)^2
$$
$$
C_{00} = - \frac{1}{16} \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G\tilde{G} \right)
$$

when calculations are curried out and numbers inserted eq.(3.3) yields

$$
\chi(0) = .94 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^4 + \delta_1 \tag{3.9}
$$

where

$$
\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dt}{t} \tilde{p}(t) I(t) \tag{3.10}
$$

denotes the instanton contribution. For the derivative

$$
\chi'(0) = a_1 \chi(0) + 2.31 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^2 + \delta_2 \tag{3.11}
$$

with

$$
\delta_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dt}{t^2} \tilde{p}(t) I(t) \tag{3.12}
$$

The instanton term $I(t)$ is model dependent, the form used by Ioffe and Samsonov [12] is

$$
I(t) = t^2 \int dp \tilde{n}(p) \rho^4 K_2^2 (Q \rho)
$$
where
\[ n(\rho) = n_0 \delta(\rho - \rho_c), \quad \rho_c = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad (3.13) \]
and \( K_2(Q\rho) \) is the MacDonald function. It should be noted however that important screening corrections, as has been emphasized by Forkel [16], can modify considerably expression eq. (3.13).

I shall take the screening corrections into account simply by considering the overall factor as a free parameter to be determined by the calculation. Thus let
\[ I(t) = c t^2 K_2^2(\rho_c \sqrt{-t}) \quad (3.14) \]

In order to proceed further the constant \( c \) has to be determined. This is done by considering the integral \( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c dtp(t) \chi(t) \) because the only poles of the integrand lie at the pseudoscalars we have
\[ 0 = \langle 0 | Q | \pi \rangle^2 + \langle 0 | Q | \eta \rangle^2 p(m_\eta^2) + \langle 0 | Q | \eta' \rangle^2 p(m_{\eta'}^2) + \delta_0 \quad (3.15) \]
with
\[ \delta_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c dtp(t) \chi(t) = \frac{c}{2\pi i} \int_c dtp(t)t^2 K_2^2(\rho_c \sqrt{-t}) \quad (3.16) \]

Asymptotic forms of \( K_2(x) \) are given in Dwight [20] these are used to evaluate the integral above which yields
\[ c = -.376 \cdot 10^{-3} \quad (3.17) \]

This together with a similar evaluation of the corresponding integrals appearing in the expressions of \( \delta_1 \) and \( \delta_2 \) give
\[ \delta_1 = .177 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^4 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2 = .028 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^6 \quad (3.18) \]
which corresponds to
\[ \chi(0) = 1.10 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^4 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi'(0) = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^2 \quad (3.19) \]
The value obtained for \( \chi(0) \) is quite close to the one computed on the lattice [21] \( \chi(0) = 1.33 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^4 \) and to the one given by the Witten-Veneziano [22, 23] formula obtained in the large \( N_c \) limit
\[ \chi(0) = \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{2m_f}(m_\eta^2 + m_{\eta'}^2 - 2m_K^2) = 1.05 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^4 \quad (3.20) \]
As to \( \chi'(0) \) the value obtained is relatively large, close to the one advocated by Ioffe [12], \( \chi'(0) = (2.9 \pm .4) \cdot 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^2 \)
4 Results and Conclusion

The subject of octet-singlet mixing of the pseudoscalar mesons has been studied and the couplings of the $\eta$ and $\eta'$ mesons to the axial-currents $A_0^\mu$ and $A_8^\mu$ evaluated yielding for the mixing angles $\theta_8 = -24^\circ$ and $\theta_0 = -14.7^\circ$. The corrected GMOR relation reproduces the value of $m_\eta$. The topological susceptibility and its derivative at the origin have also been computed with the effects of instantons and instanton screening taken into account resulting in $\chi'(0) = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-3} GeV^2$, $\chi'(0) = 1.05 \cdot 10^{-3} GeV^2$. 
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