The reverse Warburg effect is likely to be an Achilles’ heel of cancer that can be exploited for cancer therapy
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ABSTRACT

Although survival outcomes of cancer patients have been improved dramatically via conventional chemotherapy and targeted therapy over the last decades, there are still some tough clinical challenges that badly needs to be overcome, such as anticancer drug resistance, inevitable recurrences, cancer progression and metastasis. Simultaneously, accumulated evidence demonstrates that aberrant glucose metabolism termed ‘the Warburg effect’ in cancer cell is closely associated with malignant phenotypes. In 2009, a novel ‘two-compartment metabolic coupling’ model, also named ‘the reverse Warburg effect’, was proposed and attracted lots of attention. Based on this new model, we consider whether this new viewpoint can be exploited for improving the existent anti-cancer therapeutic strategies. Our review focuses on the paradigm shift from ‘the Warburg effect’ to ‘the reverse Warburg effect’, the features and molecular mechanisms of ‘the reverse Warburg effect’, and then we discuss its significance in fundamental researches and clinical practice.

INTRODUCTION

The production, transformation and utilization of energy in a living organism are essential for various biological activities. Emergences of aberrant metabolic characteristics imply a new pattern for survival and fitness or abnormal biological functions. As one of the several hallmarks of cancer [1], the anomalous characteristic of energy metabolism pathway in cancer cells has received striking attention in the past decades [2–4], which is regarded as important as other features, such as sustained angiogenesis, avoiding immune destruction and so forth [5]. In the 1920s, the metabolic distinction between normal and tumor cells was firstly reported by Otto Warburg [6], termed as ‘the Warburg effect’, which suggests even in the presence of sufficient oxygen, the malignant cells prefer to produce adenosine triphosphate (ATP) via glycolysis instead of oxidative phosphorylations (OXPHOs) [7]. The process is also called ‘aerobic glycolysis’. As a milestone in cancer research, this remarkable discovery has become a basic principle of energy metabolism for cancer cells in the last several decades. According to ‘the Warburg effect’, the partial suppression of oxidative metabolism in tumor cells is mainly resulted from the mitochondrial dysfunction. It has long been recognized that glycolytic phenotypes in cancer cells are closely connected with defective mitochondrial OXPHOs (Figure 1). For examples, glycolytic enzymes such as lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), phosphofructokinase (PFK) and hexokinaseII(HKII) increase under hypoxic condition, which has been associated with anti-apoptosis and even ‘chemoresistance’ [8, 9]. The overproduced LDHA and LDHB catalyze the conversion of pyruvate into lactate, consequently, lactate is secreted into microenvironment.
through monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs), which contributes to extracellular acidity [10]. The local acidified environment can facilitate cancer invasion via an increase in vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) [11, 12]. Simultaneously, the elevated level of NADPH through pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) serves as byproducts of proliferation or cofactor for supplement of reduced glutathione (GSH) [13]. The excess NADPH not only induces the reduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS), it’s also closely linked to anti-apoptosis and initiation of metastasis under oxidative stress. The decrease of manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD), an important mitochondrially localized enzyme, is responsible for adaptive increase of uncoupling proteins (UCPs) and then leads to carcinogenesis and glycolytic metabolism diagram [14, 15]. Compared to OXPHOs, ‘the Warburg effect’ contributes to anti-apoptosis, increasing biosynthesis of macromolecules and balancing the intercellular redox potential [2, 4]. In addition, this original work undoubtedly provides a new avenue to oncologic research and clinical medicine, such as PET/CT, an effective diagnostic method that is widely used in many fields [16, 17]. However, increasing evidence indicates limitations and questionable points of ‘the Warburg effect’. The theory of ‘aerobic glycolysis’ was challenged by some current investigations, for example, in many human malignant cell lines, glycolysis contributes less than 50% for energy production [18]. Especially in cervical and breast cancer cell lines, such as HeLa and MCFs, mitochondrial OXPHOs still contributes more than 70% and 90% respectively in overall ATP generation under normal conditions, it only reduces to less than 40% in hypoxic environment [19, 20]. And stunningly, Suganuma et al. reported that leukemia THP-1 may be a newly identified ‘oxidative’ cell line, which is sensitive to OXPHOs inhibitor oligomycin A, inversely, it is resistant to glycolytic inhibitor 2-DG [21]. More importantly, the Warburg effect merely focuses on metabolism in cancer cell and neglected the metabolic interactions between cancer cells and other components in microenvironment [22].

**Metabolic interaction between aerobic and oxidative cells indicates a paradigm shift to the reverse Warburg effect**

Unlike the Warburg effect, some tumor cells exhibit high rates of OXPHOs [13, 14, 23]. In these cells,

**Figure 1: The Warburg effect in cancer cells.** As shown in this diagram, the Warburg effect is mainly induced by mitochondrial dysfunction. NADPH: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; ROS: reactive oxygen species; UCPs: uncoupling proteins; PEP: phospho-enolpyruvate; GLUTs: glucose transporters; HK: Hexokinase; G6P: glucose 6 phosphate; MCTs: monocarboxylate transporters; PPP: pentose phosphate pathway; PFK1: phosphofructokinase-1; LDHA/B: lactate dehydrogenase A/B.
glycolysis contributes 1% to 64% of ATP production, OXPHOs is still the predominant ATP supplier for cancer cells [24, 25]. Moreover, many studies show that OXPHOs and aerobic glycolysis are not always mutually exclusive, to some extent, they contribute differently to ATP production with the alterations in the tumor environment, such as in normoxia and in hypoxia [26, 27]. It implies that ‘the Warburg effect’ is not a general feature of all cancers, heterogeneous tumor cells exhibit flexible metabolic phenotypes even in a single tumor mass [28–31]. Furthermore, the most intriguing question is still the ‘metabolic paradox’: how to explain that ATP is produced via a low efficiency method despite high energy demand for tumor proliferation and metastasis?

The revealed studies pointed out that OXPHOs was not constantly suppressed during carcinogenesis, it could be partly restored under nutrient shortage status via metabolic reprogramming induced by LKB1-AMPK-p53 and P3K-Akt-mTOR pathways [32]. Clinically, 3-bromophyruvate (3-BP), a potent glycolysis inhibitor has been proved not always helpful in cancer patients [33]. Recent clinical material shows that in breast cancer the mitochondrial respiration significantly increases and is sensitive to respiratory chain inhibitors [31, 34, 35]. Thus, we need to reconsider why seemingly peripheral mitochondrial OXPHOs in ‘the Warburg effect’ greatly affect clinical the outcomes of cancer patients.

Recently, more experiments indicate that tumor-microenvironment (TME) plays a key role in carcinogenesis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [36, 37]. Stromal cells constituting dominantly in the microenvironment, especially the cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), affect the homeostasis of TME. Furthermore, interactions between cancer cells and surrounding CAFs highly affect the growth, metabolism, metastasis and progression of carcinoma [31, 38]. Based on this renewed interest, a ‘two-compartment’ model, also named as ‘the reverse Warburg effect’, has been proposed to reconsider metabolism in tumor [39–42]. It has rapidly attracted considerable attention. In this model, cancer cells and CAFs become metabolically coupled (Figure 2). Cancer cells secrete hydrogen peroxide into microenvironment, which induces oxidative stress in neighboring CAFs. Consequently, CAFs undergo aerobic glycolysis and generate high level of energy-rich fuels (such as pyruvate, ketone bodies, fatty acids and lactate), in turn, these energy-rich fuels ‘feed’ mitochondrial OXPHOs in cancer cells and are utilized for efficient ATP production [43–46]. In this pattern, loss of Caveolin-1 (Cav-1) in stroma cells, an important structural protein that is involved in several regulations including signaling pathways, endocytosis and vesicular transport, may exacerbate oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunctions in CAFs [47–49]. In addition, upregulated

Figure 2: The reverse Warburg effect. Cancer cells induce oxidative stress in neighboring fibroblasts by secreting reactive oxygen species (ROS), triggering aerobic glycolysis and production of high energy metabolites, especially lactate and pyruvate, which are in turn transported through ‘lactate shuttle’ to sustain the anabolic need of adjacent cancer cells. In this process, many events occur such as loss of Cav-1 in stroma cells, upregulation of mono-carboxylate transporters (MCTs) in both, etc. These changes mean more than biomarkers of increased aerobic glycolysis in stroma cells, but are involved in some regulatory pathways which drive tumor progression, metastasis and even drug resistance.
monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs) serve as an ‘energy transfer device’, which mediates transportation of high energy fuels (such as lactate) from CAFs to cancer cells. It has been termed ‘lactate shuttle’ [31, 50–54].

Unraveled mechanisms involved in the reverse Warburg effect

Oxidative stress induced metabolic interaction

Oxidative stress is undoubtedly core part in metabolic reprogramming of two-compartment model of ‘the reverse Warburg effect’ [44, 55, 56]. It plays a critical role as a ‘mutagenic’ and ‘metabolic’ engine driving DNA damage, abnormal chromosome numbers (aneuploidy) and CAFs-cancer cell co-evolution [57]. In addition, gene profiling implies that oxidative stress also affects expression of some molecules and then regulates autophagy, mitophagy, inflammatory responses, apoptosis and other behaviors in CAFs [58–60].

First of all, oxidative stress related loss of stromal caveolin-1 (Cav-1) seems one of the most important predictive biomarkers of tumor recurrence, invasion and prognosis [49, 61]. In the theory of ‘the autophagic tumor stroma model of cancer metabolism’, cancer cells secrete ROS in microenvironment, which induces oxidative stress in CAFs, and then leads to the onset of autophagy and production of auto-phagosomes that fuse with lysosomes, resulting in degradation of mitochondria and Caveolin-1 (Cav-1). In turn, loss of Cav-1 causes more production of ROS in cancer cells, which initiates the cascade of oxidative stress in CAFs through a positive feedback mechanism [44, 58, 62, 63]. Moreover, apart from oxidative stress, there are other possible mechanisms that may participate in deregulation of Cav-1 in CAFs, such as activation of TGF-beta signaling pathway, inactivation of tumor suppressor genes (such as p53) and activation of oncogenes (H-ras, v-abl, brc-abl, TGF etc.) [64, 65]. As a potent inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase (NOS), Cav-1 also binds to it and inhibits its activity in stroma cells. Therefore, CAFs are unable to restrict nitric oxide (NO) synthesis due to loss of Cav-1, and accumulation of NO induces mitochondrial dysfunction and glycolytic metabolism [66]. In general, different pathways modulate suppression of Cav-1 and ROS production, which contribute to metabolic shift from mitochondrial OXPHOS to glycolysis in CAFs [67].

Secondly, oxidative stress elevates two main transcription factors: hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) and nuclear factor κB (NFκB) [68–70]. Some studies revealed that activation of HIF-1α and NFκB is mainly mediated by reduced expression of prolyl hydroxylase domain–containing protein (PHD) [71, 72]. Under normal physiological oxygen concentration, α-subunit of HIF is hydroxylated by PHD and subsequently degraded by E3-ligase and Hippe1-Lindau (VHL) protein [71]. However, accumulated ROS or hypoxic environment prevents production of PHD, reduces hydroxylation level of HIF-1α and activates it. More details about the procedure are not so clear yet [73]. As for NFκB, it is activated by IκB kinase (IxBK), which is under the control of oxygen-sensitive PHD. So downregulation of PHD induces activation of IxBK, consequently, mediates activation of NFκB [74, 75]. As a regulator of innate immune response, it also effects secretion of cytokines and metabolic pattern in CAFs [61, 76]. HIF-1α induces hypoxia response, promotes transcription of angiogenic factors (such as VEGF) and mediates autophagy, mitophagy and aerobic glycolysis [77, 78]. In the process of glycolysis, several glycolytic enzymes, such as 2 isoforms of pyruvate kinase M (PKM1 and PKM2), mono-carboxylate transporters (MCTs) and lactate dehydrogenase A and B (LDHA, LDHB) overexpress significantly [79–81]. As the rate limiting glycolytic enzymes, increased expression of PKM1 and PKM2 promote cancer mass growth by different mechanisms. It has been shown that PKM1 upregulation, as a consequence of increased aerobic glycolysis, mediates lactate production in CAFs. Differently, PKM2 upregulation promotes autophagic program in CAFs, and also stimulates ketone bodies storage [82–83]. Recent in vivo studies indicate that excess PKM1 increases tumor related inflammation, while overexpressed PKM2 enhances mitochondrial OXPHOS in cancer cells [84, 85]. High level of LDHs and MCTs accelerate energy-rich fuels production and transportation to cancer cells for survival, progression and invasion [56, 86, 87].

Taken together, ‘oxidative stress mechanism’ drives CAFs-Cancer cells metabolic coupling and support tumor growth through genetic, metabolic and cellular processes (Figure 3).

Cellular electromagnetic field theory

The reverse Warburg effect is far more than metabolic disturbances in cancer cells or CAFs, which involves insights into structural changes of molecules, biochemical reactions and genetic modifications [88–90]. Some remarkable biophysical evidences provide new perspectives to cancer research. In earlier time, it suggested that coherent electrical polar oscillations and formation of electromagnetic fields play a critical part in living cells, and their disturbances occur in cancer cells, but the exact structure generating electromagnetic field was not identified. Subsequently, extensive researches and series of experimental measurements confirmed that microtubule is the main source of electromagnetic reactions [91–94]. Interestingly, microtubules exhibit considerable influences on mitochondrial activity and affect many biologic activities of living cells, especially in cancer cells. Normal mitochondrial functions mainly depend on transfer of protons from the matrix into the intermembrane space and finally diffuse through outer membrane to cytoplasm. This proton-transfer route is correlated with formation of a
strong static electric field and high level of water ordering in the mitochondrial neighborhood. And at the same time, microtubule oscillations, a strongly nonlinear and low damping activity, are activated by energy generated in mitochondria [95]. Importantly, microtubule oscillations are connected with generation of electrodynamic field which involves transport of molecules and particles, and information transfer [96].

In cancer tissue with the reverse Warburg effect, mitochondrial function in CAFs is suppressed by genetic and chemical signaling. Defects in respiratory enzymes and electron carries in inner membrane disturb normal proton transfer. As consequence, it leads to mitochondrial dysfunction and changes of mitochondrial inner membrane potential. In contrary, cancer cells obtain the enhanced mitochondrial activity and uptake high energy rich metabolites (pyruvate, lactate etc.), provided by CAFs and stroma. It provides the increased power of microtubule oscillations, causing proliferation, aggressiveness and metastatic ability [97].

The relationship between Warburg effect and the reverse Warburg effect: competitive or cooperative?

Based on multi-genetic/epigenetic reprogramming and heterogeneity of different sub-populations of cancer cells, apparently, the Warburg effect can’t meet the demands of malignant transformation and development in tumor. As a metabolic symbiosis, the reverse Warburg effect emphasizes metabolic alterations in CAFs and reciprocal interactions with cancer cells. Despite huge differences between the two metabolic phenotypes, they seem to be reconciled with each other due to the complex metabolic plasticity and regulation. Moreover, the metabolic diversity of cancer tissue reflects rapidly.

![Figure 3: Oxidative stress-mechanism in the reverse Warburg effect.](image-url) Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated in cancer cells freely diffuses into microenvironment and enters into adjacent CAFs, which results in oxidative stress. Consequently, oxidative stress leads to activation of HIF-1α and NFκB. HIF-1α triggers angiogenesis, aerobic glycolysis. In addition, HIF-1α induces autophagy and lysosomal degradation, which causes loss of stromal Cav-1. As an important structural protein and nitric oxide synthase (NOS) inhibitor, loss of Cav-1 amplifies oxidative stress by ‘positive feed-forward control’ and also contributes to aerobic glycolysis in CAFs. As consequence, glycolytic enzymes such as pyruvate kinase M 1and 2 (PKM1, PKM2), lactate dehydrogenase A and B (LDHA, LDHB), mono-carboxylate transporters (MCTs) are highly activated. Briefly, oxidative stress-mechanism is strongly correlated to the reverse Warburg effect.
adaptation to the drastic changes in nutrient environment [98].

According to the perspective of intra-tumor heterogeneity (ITH), even in a single tumor, there are numerous heterogeneous populations, OXPHOs and glycolysis contribute differently to each population, they both favour tumor tissue metabolism under different conditions. For those oxidative cancer cells, they generate energy in mitochondria at a high rate and metabolically interact with CAFs. Metabolic reprogramming in CAFs, resulting from the direct contact with cancer cells and paracrine signaling in TME, contributes to emergence of the reverse Warburg effect [99]. But for glycolytic cancer cells, the Warburg effect is still predominant.

The ‘competition’ between glycolysis (the Warburg effect) and OXPHOs (the reverse Warburg effect) is mainly influenced by growth requirement. Some studies suggested that for rapid proliferation tumor, glycolysis may be more privileged. Because, apart from plenty energy supply, cancer cells need lipids, nucleic acids and other intermediates from glycolysis for biosynthesis. For differentiated tumors, enhanced OXPHOs is prior for efficient ATP production, which inhibits key enzymes of glycolysis (e.g. phosphofructokinase 1 and pyruvate kinase) [100].

In addition, even though the distinction of the Warburg effect and the reverse Warburg effect is emphasized, we have to admit the ‘cooperation’ between them is really important for the dynamic metabolic regulations during carcinogenesis. Smolkova et al. put forward that initially the proliferation and differentiation of cancer stem cells (CSCs) are manipulated by oncogenic (e.g. Myc) signaling. Meanwhile, activation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1), AMPK and NFκB signaling mediates hypoxic conditions, which leads to oncogenic and hypoxia-mediated glycolytic phenotype in cancer cells [32]. Once entering proliferation phase, mitochondrial revival starts in cancer cells with increased consumption of nutrients and oxygen, undergoing the co-evolution with cancer cells, CAFs get genetic/epigenetic reprogramming that induces metabolic alterations and emergence of the reverse Warburg effect [61].

In general, classic Warburg effect and the reverse Warburg effect are not totally competitive, the two metabolic models can be perfectly reconciled with each other as well. It reflects the highly plasticity and dynamicity of cancer glucose metabolism. So it’s easier to understand why glycolytic pattern is not the unique method for cancer glucose metabolism, and therapeutics targeting glycolysis in cancer patients not always has positive responses. Considering the metabolic diversity and pattern shift between the co-existing modes: glycolysis and OXPHOs, we speculate whether the reverse Warburg effect may provide new avenues for cancer treatment?

**Targeting ‘the reverse Warburg effect’ may provide a novel strategy for cancer diagnosis and treatment**

Greek mythology breeds the earliest misty impression of our fate, and also provides some romantic elements for scientific research. One of the mythological stories says, when Achilles was born, his mother was informed that her son would die young. To prevent this tragedy, she took Achilles to River Styx, dipped his body into the river, which was supposed to offer power of invulnerability. Unfortunately, his heel failed to be washed by the magical river water for his mother held him by that. So, Achilles’ heel is a weakness spot of an overall strong body, which eventually led to his death after shot by a poisonous arrow in the war. Now, from the metabolic perspective in cancer research, we quote this meaningful term from Greek mythology, use it to describe ‘the reverse Warburg effect’ for cancer treatment by analogy with the ‘Achilles heel’ for an unconquerable hero. First, like what we have mentioned above, loss of stromal Cav-1 occurs in various epithelial cancers, especially in nearly all sub-types of human breast cancers. Independent of other epithelial markers (such as HER2, ER, PR), it’s a newly identified predicative biomarker of early recurrence, lymph node metastasis, tamoxifen-resistance and poor clinical outcome [48, 101–103]. Moreover, xenograft model experiments indicate that Cav-1 deficient stroma cells drive angiogenesis and tumor growth [104–106]. We have discussed loss of Cav-1 is one of significant reasons which is responsible for aerobic glycolysis in CAFs, correspondingly, it has been shown that combination of two potent mitochondrial ‘poisons’ (metformin and arsenic trioxide (ATO)) are able to re-sensitize breast cancer cells that are CAF-induced tamoxifen and fulvestrant resistance [107]. Similarly, based on ‘the reverse Warburg effect’, for prostate cancer (PCa), many cases show a high expressions of MCT4 and CAIX(an established hypoxia marker) in CAFs with concomitant strong MCT1 expression in PCa cells, which are always connected with high aggressiveness [108, 109]. It suggests treatment with MCT1/MCT4 targeted drugs maybe a good option for improving the poor diagnosis [110]. Recently, metformin, a classic anti-diabetic agent for treating type 2 diabetes, has been newly considered for reducing the expression of MCT4 on CAFs. Now, it’s undergoing phase 2/3 clinical trials as adjuvant therapy in several cancer types [111]. Moreover, combination of Acetylcysteine (N-Acetyl-L-cysteine) and Topotecan is on phase 2 clinical trial in patients with ovarian cancer, based on their regulation of Cav-1, MCT4 and HIF-1α expression [53].

In some patients with aggressive B-cell lymphoma accompanied by lactate acidosis, preclinical studies show that FDA-approved drugs, such as metformin and sirolimus can inhibit activation of ‘lactate shuttle’ (or high expression of MCTs), decrease expression of LDHB and
PKM1, suppress CAFs-cancer cells metabolic coupling [112]. Likewise, for prostate cancer patients, there are great differences on clinical courses between Gleason scores (GS) 3 + 3 and 3 + 4 prostate cancers (PCa). It has been confirmed that some reverse Warburg effect related genes (such as FOXO1, GPD2, SPARC, HK2, COLIA2 etc.) are differentially expressed between GP3 and GP4 PCa. Hence, gene expression profiling based on ‘the reverse Warburg effect’ has been used to classify Gleason pattern, distinguishing GP3 from GP4 PCa [113]. Based on ‘the reverse Warburg effect’, we consider whether interfering with the interplay between CAFs and cancer cells is more effective than targeting cancer cells alone? Apart from the existent surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapeutic drugs, or even some newly emergent cancer treatment, such as the revolutionary use of CRISPR/Cas 9 clinically, delivery of engineered cytotoxic T cells (CAR-T) targeting tumor antigens [114], clinical use of antibodies targeting immune checkpoint blockade like cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated antigen-4 (CTLA-4) and programmed death-1 (PD-1) [115], we boldly speculate a new therapeutic mode and describe it as ‘two-compartment blockade’. In this mode, two routes maybe promising targeting candidates (Figure 4): (1) ROS generated in cancer cells and subsequent oxidative stress on CAFs. (2) High energy metabolites transport from CAFs to cancer cells.

Despite some applications of the reverse Warburg effect in cancer patients outcome evaluation, early stage diagnosis, even theoretically clinical benefits, we still need further studies to exploit more therapeutic potential of the reverse Warburg effect in the future.

CONCLUSION

Taken together, the reverse Warburg effect is a novel metabolic pattern newly identified between cancer cells and neighboring Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). Its discovery doesn’t deny the value of the Warburg effect and cannot replace it, actually, the reverse Warburg effect extends the heterogeneity and plasticity of cancer metabolism. It is closely connected with proliferation, metastasis, angiogenesis, drug resistance and other aggressive behaviors of cancer cells. Although it’s validated that ‘the reverse Warburg effect’ can be initiated by oxidative stress in two-compartment metabolic coupling and change of cellular electromagnetic field, detailed mechanisms are still not clear. Current findings about the reverse Warburg effect provide series of new predicative biomarkers for cancer and novel strategies for anti-cancer therapies. We believe that this ‘Achilles’ Heel’ will bring new approaches for cancer treatment in the future.

Figure 4: ‘Two-compartment blockade’ therapeutic mode based on the reverse Warburg effect. This mode targets metabolic interactions between cancer cells and CAFs, including:(1) ROS generated in cancer cells and subsequent oxidative stress on CAFs. (2) High energy metabolites transport from CAFs to cancer cells.
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