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ABSTRACT

Background. NGScloud was a bioinformatic system developed to perform de novo RNAseq analysis of non-model species by exploiting the cloud computing capabilities of Amazon Web Services. The rapid changes undergone in the way this cloud computing service operates, along with the continuous release of novel bioinformatic applications to analyze next generation sequencing data, have made the software obsolete. NGScloud2 is an enhanced and expanded version of NGScloud that permits the access to ad hoc cloud computing infrastructure, scaled according to the complexity of each experiment.

Methods. NGScloud2 presents major technical improvements, such as the possibility of running spot instances and the most updated AWS instances types, that can lead to significant cost savings. As compared to its initial implementation, this improved version updates and includes common applications for de novo RNAseq analysis, and incorporates tools to operate workflows of bioinformatic analysis of reference-based RNAseq, RADseq and functional annotation. NGScloud2 optimizes the access to Amazon’s large computing infrastructures to easily run popular bioinformatic software applications, otherwise inaccessible to non-specialized users lacking suitable hardware infrastructures.

Results. The correct performance of the pipelines for de novo RNAseq, reference-based RNAseq, RADseq and functional annotation was tested with real experimental data, providing workflow performance estimates and tips to make optimal use of NGScloud2. Further, we provide a qualitative comparison of NGScloud2 vs. the Galaxy framework. NGScloud2 code, instructions for software installation and use are available at https://github.com/GGFHF/NGScloud2. NGScloud2 includes a companion package, NGShelper that contains Python utilities to post-process the output of the pipelines for downstream analysis at https://github.com/GGFHF/NGShelper.

INTRODUCTION

Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) has largely allowed the development of genomics and transcriptomics, and many experiments based on this methodology are routinely...
performed in many fields of biological and life sciences (Frese, Katus & Meder, 2013). The way these experiments are conducted is not, however, trivial, and requires the use of suitable methods at all stages of the experiment to produce sound results. Moreover, NGS experiments must be dimensioned properly to be cost-efficient (Wordsworth et al., 2018).

A generic NGS experiment can be divided into two phases (López de Heredia, 2016). First, an in vitro phase that consists of the construction of genomic libraries (sets of nucleic acid fragments processed in the laboratory following the methodological instructions required by the sequencing technique used in the experiment) and sequencing of those libraries in an NGS platform to generate read files (see Heather & Chain, 2016 for a description of the available sequencing platforms). Then, an in silico or bioinformatics phase is needed to process read sequencing files output by sequencing platforms. The bioinformatic analysis can be subdivided into three stages: (1) pre-processing of read files; (2) read assembly or read mapping; and (3) post-processing of assembly/mapping results. In the pre-processing, the quality of the raw reads (those generated by the NGS platform without any modification) is evaluated. The causes that may produce a decrease in read quality and introduce bias in further inference, such as the presence of adapters and other sequences used in the construction of the libraries, bases with poor quality, PCR duplicates and possible experimental artifacts, etc., are eliminated. When there is not a reference genome or transcriptome, the pre-processed reads are assembled into larger fragments, or clustered, reconstructing the original DNA chains, originating contigs (continuous sequences obtained from the superposition of multiple reads) and scaffolds (ordered distribution of contigs that is inferred when paired reads are used maintaining gaps between them). When the reference genome/transcriptome exists, the pre-processed reads are mapped to the genome to determine the exact genomic region where they align. The post-processing stage will depend on the specific methodology employed in the analysis and on the aims of the experiments. Frequently, this stage consists of the assessment of the quality of the assembly/mapping, on the application of subsequent filters to find significant genomic variants or changes in expressional patterns, and on a functional annotation step to determine the biochemical and biological function of the post-processed sequences.

The large output size of NGS technologies and the algorithms and applications employed in their analysis, present processing limitations typical of big data, such as RAM size, CPU capacity, storage and data accessibility (Yang, Troup & Ho, 2017). Therefore, research labs have to allocate a significant part of their budget to provisioning, managing and maintaining their computational infrastructure (Kwon et al., 2015).

A cost-efficient alternative for bioinformatic analysis of NGS data that presents several advantages over local or HPC hardware infrastructure resides in cloud computing (Langmead & Nellore, 2018), and applications and platforms are optimized to operate bioinformatics on cloud systems, such as the Galaxy framework (Afgan et al., 2018). Cloud computing is flexible and scalable, allowing various configurations of OS, RAM size, CPU number and almost unlimited storage to fit the hardware resources for a specific bioinformatics workflow. Once the workflow computing requirements are provisioned, hardware resources are readily available, and the workflow performance and data can be securely accessed and monitored at any time from any local computer with internet access.
Moreover, for public cloud services, the user only pays for the effectively used resources, reducing experiment times and costs.

Amazon Web Services (AWS) is a public cloud computing platform that has a large number of information technology infrastructure services. The Elastic Compute Cloud (EC2) is the AWS service that provides computing capacity adjustable to the NGS experiment requirements with a wide range of instances, currently more than 350 models with specific processors, number of CPUs, RAM quantity and network type (https://aws.amazon.com/ec2/). EC2 instances (virtual machines) can be grouped into: (1) general purpose instances (types t2, t3, t3a, m3, m4, m5 and m5a, among others), with a balanced mix of hardware resources; (2) compute optimized instances (types c3, c4, c5, and c5a, among others), with high-performance processors and a higher ratio of CPUs per RAM memory than instances for general use; and (3) memory optimized instances (types r3, r4, r5 and r5a, among others), indicated for workloads with high volume of data and with higher ratio of RAM memory per number of CPUs than general purpose instances. A general-purpose instance typically has 4 GiB of RAM per CPU; a compute optimized instance, 2 GiB per CPU; and a memory optimized instance, 8 GiB per CPU. In addition to the previous groups, there are accelerated computation instances that have hardware accelerators or co-processors and optimized storage used for large volumes of data. The purchasing option of an instance can be on-demand, with a pay-per-use model that has a fixed hourly price (https://aws.amazon.com/ec2/pricing/on-demand/); or spot, when the non-used EC2 computing capacity is requested. The spot instances have large discounts compared to the on-demand hourly pricing (https://aws.amazon.com/ec2/spot/pricing) but, they have the advantage that the instance can be stopped by AWS when it is required. The Elastic Block Store (EBS) is the AWS storage service designed to store data from the EC2 service in named data volumes (https://aws.amazon.com/ebs/), and has a fixed monthly quote per GiB.

Here we present NGScloud2, a new version of the NGScloud software (Mora-Márquez, Vázquez-Poletti & López de Heredia, 2018). NGScloud was developed as a bioinformatics system to perform de novo RNA sequencing (RNAseq) analysis of non-model species. This was accomplished using the cloud computing infrastructure from AWS (EC2) and its high-performance block storage service (EBS). NGScloud allowed to create one or more EC2 instances (virtual machines) of m3, c3 or r3 instance types forming clusters where analytic processes were run using StarCluster, an open source cluster-computing toolkit for EC2 (http://star.mit.edu/cluster/). However, NGScloud did not support the new instance types that AWS has made available since the original application release. Below we describe the major new features of NGScloud2 that significantly expand NGScloud2 functionality with respect to the original version, providing workflows for reference-based RNAseq, Restriction site Associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) and functional annotation.

MATERIALS & METHODS

NGScloud2 is a free and open source program written in Python3. Source code and a complete manual with installation instructions and tutorials to exploit all the potential...
Figure 1  Technical improvements of NGScloud2. Hardware infrastructure in AWS’s cloud can be setup using a cluster mode with only the previous generation on-demand instances or a native mode that allows configuring new generation on-demand/spot instances. Apps, reads, datasets, references and results can be stored using multiple volumes or a single storage volume containing specific working directories. All the cloud configuration processes are controlled from the NGScloud2 GUI installed on a local computer. A toolkit of Python programs useful to post-process the output of RNAseq and RADseq experiments is available in NGShelper.

Technical improvements

NGScloud2 introduces a more efficient architecture of instances and volumes than the original version (Fig. 1). While NGScloud used one volume for each type of existing datasets (applications, databases, references, reads and results), NGScloud2 offers the possibility of holding all dataset types in a unique volume, thus reducing the complexity in volume management. NGSCloud2 philosophy is based on the “cluster” concept. A cluster is a set of 1 to $n$ virtual machines with the same instance type. Each instance
type has its hardware features: processor type, CPU number, memory amount, etc. (https://aws.amazon.com/ec2-instance-types/).

NGScloud2 includes two cluster modes, StarCluster and native. The StarCluster mode uses StarCluster (http://star.mit.edu/cluster/), an open source cluster-computing toolkit for EC2, which implements clusters of up to 20 virtual machines, enabling faster analysis. The last version of StarCluster (0.95.6) dates from 2013 and can only use AWS’s previous generation instance types, i.e., m3, c3 or r3. In NGScloud2, we provide a patch to enable using m4, c4 and r4 instance types in the StarCluster mode.

To reduce the dependency of NGScloud from StarCluster, which only allows to create clusters of previous generation instances, NGScloud2 has incorporated a “native” instance creation mode that sets a single virtual machine with any of the currently available on-demand EC2 instance types (m4, c4, r4, m5, m5a, c5, c5a, r5 and r5a). The new generation instance types are slightly cheaper and their hardware improves over equivalent hardware from previous generations. Moreover, the new version enables launching “spot instances” that derive from unused EC2 capacity in the AWS cloud (https://aws.amazon.com/ec2/spot/). Spot instances have the advantage of being up to 50–80% cheaper than on-demand instances at the cost of suffering unpredictable interruption out of control of the user (see the main characteristics of spot instances at https://aws.amazon.com/ec2/spot/pricing/). Therefore, using spot instances is highly recommended for data transfer and for certain bioinformatics processes that run fast, process small volume input or include the possibility to be re-launched from the process interruption point.

NGScloud2 includes a user-friendly graphical front-end to operate the hardware resources, submit processes, and manage the data. The front-end includes a drop-down menu to configure AWS resources (clusters, nodes and volumes) and to install available bioinformatics software. Data transfer between the cloud and the local computer is operated through another drop-down menu. Additional menus are available to run de novo RNAseq, reference-based RNAseq, RADseq and functional annotation workflows, respectively. Log files of each executed process can be consulted in the “Logs” menu.

New methods and applications available

The other major improvements of NGScloud2 over NGScloud are related to the implementation of new bioinformatics pipelines and application tools (Table 1) that are automatically installed using Bioconda (Grüning et al., 2018), thus giving access to updated versions of the software without worrying about dependencies and software requirements. While the original purpose of NGScloud was to help in de novo RNAseq analysis, NGScloud2 includes pipelines and applications to perform reference-based RNAseq, RADseq and functional annotation. The implemented bioinformatics applications were selected through a search of the total number of citations in JCR (https://www.scimagojr.com) publications in the Web of Science. Some other utilities are original software applications implemented by our research group.
Table 1  Software applications selected for de novo RNAseq (dnRNAseq), reference-based RNA-seq (rbRNAseq), RADseq (RADseq) and taxonomy-oriented annotation (TOA) workflows in NGScloud2.

| Software                  | Workflows                      | Task                          | Reference                              | # citations |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|
| BCFtools                  | dnRNAseq, rbRNAseq & RADseq    | Variant calling               | Danecek et al. (2017)                  | 46          |
| BEDtools                  | dnRNAseq, rbRNAseq & RADseq    | Variant calling               | Quinlan & Hall (2010)                  | 7,531       |
| Bowtie2                   | dnRNAseq, rbRNAseq & RADseq    | Read alignment                | Langmead & Salzberg (2012)             | 16,309      |
| BLAST+                    | TOA                            | Annotation pipeline           | Camacho et al. (2009)                  | 5,964       |
| BUSCO                     | dnRNAseq                       | Transcript quality            | Waterhouse et al. (2018)               | 584         |
| CD-HIT; CD-HIT-EST        | dnRNAseq                       | Filtering                     | Li & Godzik (2006)                    | 4,516       |
| Cufflinks                 | rbRNAseq                       | Cufflinks-Cuffmerge:          | Trapnell et al. (2012)                 | 6,679       |
|                           |                                | assembly                      |                                        |             |
|                           |                                | Cuffquant:                    |                                        |             |
|                           |                                | quantitation                  |                                        |             |
|                           |                                | Cuffdiff:                     |                                        |             |
|                           |                                | differential expression      |                                        |             |
| cutadapt                  | dnRNAseq, rbRNAseq & RADseq    | Preprocessing                 | Martin (2011)                          | 23          |
| DETONATE: RSEM-EVAL       | dnRNAseq                       | Assembly quality              | Li et al. (2014)                       | 125         |
| ddRADseqTools             | RADseq                         | Experimental design          | Mora-Márquez et al. (2017)             | 11          |
| DIAMOND                   | TOA                            | Annotation pipeline           | Buchfink, Xie & Huson (2015)           | 2,083       |
| eXpress                   | dnRNAseq                       | Quantitation                  | Roberts & Pachter (2013)               | 482         |
| FastQC                    | dnRNAseq, rbRNAseq & RADseq    | Preprocessing                 | Andrews (2010)                         | 127         |
| GMAP-GSNAP                | GMAP:                          | GMAP:                         | Wu et al. (2016)                       | 119         |
|                           | rbRNAseq                       | Transcriptome alignment       |                                        |             |
|                           | GSNAP:                         | GSNAP: read alignment         |                                        |             |
| HISAT2                    | rbRNAseq                       | Read alignment                | Kim et al. (2019)                      | 288         |
| HTSeq: ht-seq-count       | rbRNAseq                       | Quantitation                  | Anders, Pyl & Huber (2015)             | 6,906       |
| ipyrad                     | RADseq                         | Full pipeline                 | Eaton & Overcast (2020)                | 30          |
| Kallisto                  | dnRNAseq                       | Quantitation                  | Bray et al. (2016)                     | 1,883       |

(continued on next page)
| Software         | Workflows       | Task                                      | Reference                                      | # citations |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| NGShelper        | dnRNAseq        | Transcript-filtering; filtering transcriptome-blast; annotation | https://github.com/GGFHF/NGShelper             | –           |
| QUAST            | dnRNAseq        | Assembly quality                          | Gurevich et al. (2013)                         | 2,061       |
| RADdesigner     | RADseq          | Design                                    | Guillardín-Calvo et al. (2019)                 | 2           |
| rnaQUAST         | dnRNAseq        | Assembly quality                          | Bushmanova et al. (2016)                       | 31          |
| SAMtools        | dnRNAseq, rbRNAseq & RADseq | Variant calling                          | Li et al. (2009)                              | 4,429       |
| SOAPdenovo2      | RADseq          | Pseudo-assembly                           | Luo et al. (2012)                             | 2,558       |
| SOAPdenovo-Trans | dnRNAseq        | Assembly                                  | Xie et al. (2014)                             | 449         |
| STAR             | rbRNAseq        | Read alignment                            | Dobin et al. (2013)                           | 9,718       |
| Tabix            | dnRNAseq, rbRNAseq & RADseq | Variant calling                          | Li (2011)                                     | 195         |
| TOA              | TOA             | all                                       | Mora-Márquez et al. (2021)                    | –           |
| TopHat2          | rbRNAseq        | Read alignment                            | Kim et al. (2013)                             | 6,912       |
| Trans-ABySS      | dnRNAseq        | Assembly                                  | Robertson et al. (2010)                        | 542         |
| TransDecoder     | TOA             | Annotation pipeline                       | https://github.com/TransDecoder                | 45          |
| Transrate        | dnRNAseq        | Assembly quality                          | Smith-Unna et al. (2016)                      | 243         |
| Trimmomatic      | dnRNAseq, rbRNAseq & RADseq | Preprocessing                           | Bolger, Lohse & Usadel (2014)                 | 14,950      |
| Trinity          | Trinity: dnRNAseq genome-guided Trinity: rRNAseq insilico_read_normalization: dnRNAseq | Trinity: assembly genome-guided Trinity: assembly insilico_read_normalization: preprocessing | Haas et al. (2013)                             | 3,330       |

**De novo RNAseq**

The original software was mainly focused on de novo assembly of RNAseq libraries using either Trinity, and included pre-processing of reads with FASTQC (*Andrews, 2010*), Trimmomatic (*Bolger, Lohse & Usadel, 2014*) and three de novo RNAseq assemblers: Trinity (*Haas et al., 2013*), SOAPdenovo-Trans (*Xie et al., 2014*) and Trans-ABySS (*Robertson et al., 2010*). NGScloud2 de novo RNAseq workflow has been improved (Fig. 2) by including cutadapt (*Martin, 2011*) to perform read pre-processing, a new read alignment step with Bowtie2 (*Langmead & Salzberg, 2012*) to map back the reads to the assembled transcriptome...
and software to quantify total counts of transcripts for further differential expression analysis: eXpress (Roberts & Pachter, 2013) and Kallisto (Bray et al., 2016). Intensive processes, such as Trinity and SOAPdenovo-Trans transcriptome assemblers can now be re-launched from the point where the process interruption occurred, thus preventing unexpected malfunctioning of the cloud system or software bugs (Mora-Márquez et al., 2020). A variant calling step is also included to find SNPs or indels using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009), BEDtools (Quinlan & Hall, 2010) and BCFtools (Danecek & McCarthy, 2017).

**Reference-based RNAseq**

In the last years, an increasing number of genomic and transcriptomic resources are available for many plant and animal species. Therefore, reference-based RNAseq is expected to become a usual practice not only for model species. NGScloud2 includes a workflow to accomplish read pre-processing, read alignment, reference-guided assembly, quantitation, differential expression and variant calling (Fig. 3). Read pre-processing is done with the...

---

**Figure 2**  **de novo RNAseq workflow in NGScloud2.** The workflow has the possibility to select several applications to perform each step of the analysis: read pre-processing, de novo transcriptome assembly, transcriptome filtering and quality assessment, read mapping, quantitation, variant calling and transcript annotation. The selection of applications for each step in the workflow and the parameter configuration are controlled from the NGScloud2 GUI installed on a local computer.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11237/fig-2
Figure 3  Reference-based RNA-seq workflow in NGScloud2. The workflow has the possibility to select several applications to perform each step of the analysis: read pre-processing, read alignment, transcriptome assembly, transcript alignment, variant calling quantitation and differential expression. The selection of applications for each step in the workflow and the parameter configuration are controlled from the NGScloud2 GUI installed on a local computer.

same tools as for de novo RNA-seq (Trimmomatic and cutadapt). Read alignment to a reference genome assembly can be performed with Bowtie2, or with popular splice-aware aligners: Hisat2 (Kim et al., 2019), TopHat2 (Kim et al., 2013), STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) or GSNAP (Wu et al., 2016). Moreover, transcriptome alignments can also be run against a reference genome using GMAP (Wu et al., 2016). After read alignment, a transcriptome can be assembled using Cufflinks-Cuffmerge (Trapnell et al., 2012). Reference-guided de novo assembly can also be performed with Trinity’s genome guided version (Haas et al., 2013). Transcript or isoform abundance can be quantified with Cuffquant (Trapnell et al., 2012) or HT-seq-count (Anders, Pyl & Huber, 2015), and differential expression analysis can be run with Cuffdiff and Cuffnorm (Trapnell et al., 2012), or the expression matrix can be downloaded to run locally more up-to-date differential expression packages, such as DESeq2 (Love, Huber & Anders, 2014) or edgeR (Robinson, McCarthy & Smyth, 2010). A variant calling step that operates in a similar way than for de novo RNA-seq is also included.

RADseq
Another major novelty in NGScloud2 is the possibility of running RADseq bioinformatics workflows. This reduced genome representation methodology and its derivates (e.g.,
ddRADseq) are used to find out polymorphism in specific genomic regions nearby restriction enzyme cut sites in populations of multiple individuals, and has revealed powerful in phylogenetics, population genetics, and association mapping studies, among others (Andrews et al., 2016). In NGSccloud2, we have included ddRADseqTools (Mora-Márquez et al., 2017) and RADdesigner (Guillardín-Calvo et al., 2019) to assess the optimal experimental design of a RADseq experiment, i.e., to choose the enzyme combinations, simulate the effect of allele dropout and PCR duplicates on coverage, quantify genotyping errors, optimize polymorphism detection parameters or determine sequencing depth coverage.

The workflow of RADseq data in NGSccloud2 allows to analyze the data using two strategies (Fig. 4). RADseq libraries can be mapped with Bowtie2, GSNAP or HISAT2 to an available genome or pseudogenome assembly. The pseudogenome can be assembled using the same (or complementary) reads with SOAPdenovo2 genomic assembler (Luo et al., 2012), or with the Starcode sequence clusterizer (Zorita, Cuscó & Filion, 2015). After read mapping, variant calling is performed in a similar way than for de novo RNAseq. The alternative is to perform read clusterization, filtering and variant calling in a single step with the robust iPyrad pipeline (Eaton & Overcast, 2020).

Functional annotation
As a last improvement over the original version, NGSccloud2 encapsulates our standalone application TOA (Taxonomy-oriented annotation) (Mora-Márquez et al., 2021), so it can run in EC2. This application automates the extraction of functional information from genomic databases, both plant specific (PLAZA) and general-purpose genomic databases (NCBI RefSeq and NR/NT), and the annotation of sequences (Fig. 5). TOA is a good complement for both RNAseq and ddRADseq workflows in non-model plant species that has shown optimal performance in AWS’s EC2 cloud. TOA aims to establish workflows geared towards woody plant species that automate the extraction of information from genomic databases and the annotation of sequences. TOA uses the following databases: Dicots PLAZA 4.0, Monocots PLAZA 4.0, Gymno PLAZA 1.0, NCBI RefSeq Plant and NCBI Nucleotide Database (NT) and NCBI Non-Redundant Protein Sequence Database (NR). Although TOA was primarily designed to work with woody plant species, it can also be used in the analysis of experiments on any type of plant organism. Additionally, NCBI Gene, InterPro and Gene Ontology (http://geneontology.org/) databases are also used to complete the information.

NGShelper
Besides the cloud infrastructure deployed in NGSccloud2, we have included a companion package, NGShelper that contains Python utilities to post-process the output of NGSccloud2 pipelines. The package contains some Bash (Linux) and Bat (Windows) scripts to facilitate running the Python3 programs.

NGShelper facilitates format conversion of output files, filtering and subsetting of results, VCF and FASTA files statistics extraction, among others. Utilities list and their usage and parameters can be consulted at https://github.com/GGFHF/NGShelper/blob/master/Package/help.txt.
The reference-based RAD-seq workflow has the possibility to select several applications to perform each step of the analysis: RAD-seq experiment design, read pre-processing, pseudo-assembly, read alignment, and variant calling. Alternatively, NGScloud2 allows to run the full iPyrad pipeline on the cloud. The selection of applications for each step in the workflow and the parameter configuration are controlled from the NGScloud2 GUI installed on a local computer.

Validation of NGScloud2

The correct operability of the pipelines for de novo RNAseq, reference-based RNAseq, RADseq and functional annotation was tested with data generated by our research group. Test data for RNAseq and RADseq workflows consisted of two sets of Illumina reads: (1) Pcan, a paired-ended RNA library of xylem regeneration tissue of the conifer tree Pinus canariensis (Mora-Márquez et al., 2020). (2) Suberintro, a set of 16 paired ended Illumina libraries of Quercus suber, Quercus ilex and their hybrids obtained from leaf tissue; eight libraries correspond to genotyping-by-sequencing with MsiI and other eight libraries correspond to ddRADseq with PstI-MspI (see details in Guillardín-Calvo et al., 2019). Read data are available at NCBI: SRX5228139-SRX5228161 for Pcan, and SRX5019123-SRX5019138 for Suberintro. The functional annotation workflow was tested with a small subset of transcripts corresponding to the monolignol biosynthesis gene family in Arabidopsis (Raes et al., 2003).
The functional annotation workflow allows running TOA (Taxonomy-oriented annotation) in AWS's cloud. Pipelines to run functional annotation are executed in the cloud. The databases of reference and the order they are explored can be configured. Query sequences (transcripts or DNA fragments) are aligned to TOA database using either BLAST+ or DIAMOND, and functional annotation information from several ontology systems (GO, KEGG, E.C., MetaCyc) is extracted to annotation report files that can be merged and used to build statistic files and ready-to-publish figures. The load of external genomic databases into TOA database, the selection of pipelines and the parameter configuration of TOA runs are controlled from the NGScloud2 GUI installed on a local computer.

We further compared the capabilities of NGScloud2 as compared to other bioinformatics platforms that make use of cloud systems, such as the popular Galaxy framework (Afgan et al., 2018) or the iPlant Atmosphere service of Cyverse (Skidmore et al., 2011).
Table 2. Tests of de novo RNA-seq workflow performance. Output size and elapsed time (E.T.) consumed by each process and type of instance, number of CPUs and RAM employed to run each process. (*) Pcan1-S0_1.fastq.gz & Pcan1-S0_2.fastq.gz.

| Process                                                                 | Output Size (MB) | E.T. (s) | Instance               | vCPU | RAM (GiB) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------|------------------------|------|-----------|
| Uploading of compressed Pcan FASTQ files (*)                           | –                | –        |                        |      |           |
| Read quality assessment (FastQC)                                        | 2.09             | 500      |                        |      |           |
| Cut of 12 nucleotides from the start of the read (Trimmomatic)          | 2586.08          | 1,474    | t3.medium (spot)       | 2    | 4         |
| Trimmed read quality assessment (FastQC)                                | 1.96             | 407      |                        |      |           |
| Decompression of trimmed reads (gzip)                                   | 0.00             | 259      |                        |      |           |
| Assembly of trimmed reads (SOAPdenovo-Trans)                           | 3612.50          | 2,486    | r5.2xlarge (spot)      | 8    | 64        |
| Assembly of trimmed reads (Trans-ABySS)                                | 4019.22          | 40,727   | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 4    | 32        |
| Assembly of trimmed reads (Trinity)                                     | 60464.70         | 387,683  |                        |      |           |
| Alignment of trimmed reads on the assembled transcriptome (Bowtie2)     | 3898.93          | 2,062    | r5.2xlarge (spot)      | 8    | 64        |
| Quality assessment of the assembled transcriptome (BUSCO)               | 228.08           | 629      |                        |      |           |
| Quality assessment of the assembled transcriptome (QUAST)               | 1.49             | 15       | r5.xlarge (spot)       | 4    | 32        |
| Quality assessment of the assembled transcriptome using trimmed reads (rnaQUAST) | 381.96        | 1,948    | r5.2xlarge (spot)      | 8    | 64        |
| Quality assessment of the assembled transcriptome using trimmed reads (RSEM-EVAL) | 128.07        | 1,979    |                        |      |           |
| Quality assessment of the assembled transcriptome using trimmed reads (Transrate) | 7291.58       | 2,036    | r5.xlarge (spot)       | 4    | 32        |
| Assembled transcriptome filtering (CD-HIT-EST)                          | 163.79           | 15,952   | r5.xlarge (spot)       | 4    | 32        |
| Assembled transcriptome filtering (transcript-filter)                   | 44.30            | 7        | t3.medium (spot)       | 2    | 4         |
| Quantitation (eXpress)                                                  | 76.10            | 320      | r5.xlarge (spot)       | 4    | 32        |
| Quantitation (kallisto)                                                 | 2476.32          | 1,168    |                        |      |           |
| Variant calling (SAMtools & BEDtools & BCFtools & tabix)                | 1504.57          | 4,641    | r5.2xlarge (spot)      | 8    | 64        |

RESULTS
Validation of NGScoud2 operability
We have checked the correct operability of all the workflows and applications in NGScoud2 using real datasets and have estimated the performance of the most cost-efficient instance for each process in the workflows. The datasets including the files produced by the validation tests are available at Zenodo repositories (DOIs: 10.5281/zenodo.4554359, 10.5281/zenodo.4554621, and 10.5281/zenodo.4554857).

Data uploading, read quality assessment, trimming and pre-processing steps for RNAseq and RADseq workflows do not have excessive computational requirements (Tables 2, 3 and 4); therefore, they can be run efficiently using a cheap spot t3.medium instance (2 CPUs and 4 GiB of RAM). Other tasks, however, need the deployment of more powerful instances. For instance, a memory-oriented r5.2xlarge instance (8 CPUs and 64 GiB of
Table 3. Tests of reference-based RNA-seq workflow performance. Output size and elapsed time (E.T.) consumed by each process and type of instance, number of CPUs and RAM employed to run each process. (*) all files correspond to the 23 libraries of Pcan.

| Process | Output size (MB) | E.T. (s) | Instance | vCPU | RAM (GiB) |
|---------|-----------------|---------|----------|------|-----------|
| Uploading of compressed genome and annotation files of Pinus taeda | – | – | | | |
| Decompression of genome and annotation files | 0.00 | 334 | | | |
| Uploading of compressed Pcan FASTQ files (*) | – | – | | | |
| Read quality assessment (FastQC) | 48.14 | 15,642 | t3.medium (spot) | 2 | 4 |
| Cut of 12 nucleotides from the start of the read (Trimmomatic) | 67037.63 | 35,758 | | | |
| Trimmed read quality assessment (FastQC) | 45.21 | 11,631 | | | |
| Decompression of trimmed reads (gzip) | 0.00 | 9,169 | | | |
| Trimmed reads alignment to Pinus taeda genome (HISAT2) | 190994.33 | 73,516 | r5.8xlarge (on-demand) | 32 | 256 |
| Quantitation (htseq-count) | 3.26 | 9,113 | m5.2xlarge (spot) | 8 | 32 |
| Variant calling (SAMtools & BEDtools & BCFtools & tabix) | 52041.37 | 60,180 | | | |
| Transcriptome alignment to Pinus taeda genome (GMAP) | 3046.34 | 35,039 | r5.8xlarge (on-demand) | 32 | 256 |

For functional annotation, TOA configuration and the external genomic database upload do not have large hardware requirements (Table 5). Therefore, a t3.medium instance type (2 CPUs and 4 GiB of RAM) was used, except for the database building processes of Refseq Plant proteome and NT and NR databases for BLAST+ and DIAMOND usage that have been run in a spot compute-oriented c5.xlarge instance (4 CPUs and 8 GiB of RAM) and a spot memory-oriented r5.xlarge (4CPUs and 32 GiB of RAM). However, attention must be paid to the provided storage when dimensioning the experiment, since the internal TOA database and intermediate files can reach >1.5 TB, mainly because of the size of the NCBI NR/NT databases.

TOA annotation pipelines that use BLAST+ or DIAMOND were run in a spot r5.xlarge (4 CPUs and 32 GiB) instance because the test data is a small dataset (Table 6), but larger instance types are required to make times shorter.
Table 4  Tests of RAD-seq workflow performance. Output size and elapsed time (E.T.) consumed by each process and type of instance, number of CPUs and RAM employed to run each process. (*) SRR8199746_2.fastq.gz, SRR8199747_2.fastq.gz, SRR8199748_2.fastq.gz, SRR8199749_2.fastq.gz, SRR8199750_2.fastq.gz, SRR8199751_2.fastq.gz, SRR8199760_2.fastq.gz & SRR8199761_2.fastq.gz.

| Process                                                                 | Output size (MB) | E.T. (s) | Instance       | vCPU | RAM (GiB) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|------|-----------|
| Uploading of compressed Q. ilex x Q. suber FASTQ files (*)              | –                | –        | –              | –    | –         |
| Read quality assessment (FastQC)                                       | 8.11             | 357      | –              | –    | 2         |
| Cut of restriction site sequences (Trimmomatic)                        | 1943.57          | 2,241    | –              | –    | 4         |
| Cut of Illumina TruSeq adapter sequences (cutadapt)                    | 0.05             | 1,589    | t3.medium (spot)| 2    | 4         |
| Cut of overrepresented sequences (cutadapt)                            | 0.05             | 1,441    | t3.medium (spot)| 2    | 4         |
| Cut of o poly(A) sequences (cutadapt)                                  | 0.05             | 1,321    | t3.medium (spot)| 2    | 4         |
| Read quality assessment (FastQC)                                       | 7.69             | 362      | t3.medium (spot)| 2    | 4         |
| Decompression of final trimmed reads (gzip)                            | 0.00             | 100      | –              | –    | 2         |
| Pseudo-assembly of final trimmed reads (starcode)                      | 8402.62          | 13,874   | t3.medium (spot)| 2    | 4         |
| ->assembly 1                                                            |                  |          |                |      |           |
| Pseudo assembly of final trimmed reads (SOAPdenovo2) ->assembly 2      | 2010.87          | 1,907    | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |
| Final trimmed read alignment to assembly 1 (Bowtie2) ->alignment 1     | 20336.83         | 3,355    | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |
| Final trimmed read alignment to assembly 2 (Bowtie2) ->alignment 2     | 4217.00          | 1,702    | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |
| Final trimmed read alignment to assembly 1 (GSNAP) ->alignment 3       | 29994.83         | 954      | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |
| Final trimmed read alignment to assembly 2 (GSNAP) ->alignment 4       | 16676.63         | 1,672    | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |
| Variant calling using assembly 1 and alignment 1 (SAMtools & BEDtools & BCFtools & tabix) | 7177.26          | 3,171    | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |
| Variant calling using assembly 1 and alignment 3 (SAMtools & BEDtools & BCFtools & tabix) | 7458.21          | 3,152    | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |
| Variant calling using assembly 2 and alignment 2 (SAMtools & BEDtools & BCFtools & tabix) | 840.43           | 532      | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |
| Variant calling using assembly 2 and alignment 4 (SAMtools & BEDtools & BCFtools & tabix) | 7701.16          | 3,863    | r5.2xlarge (on-demand) | 8    | 64        |

Comparison with other cloud platforms for bioinformatics

Since Cyverse’s iPlant Atmosphere service has restricted access, and is currently only available to researchers based in the US, we have only performed a qualitative comparison of NGScldou2 and Galaxy (Table 7) regarding expenses, availability, hardware characteristics and other factors that may affect cost-efficiency. From the comparison between the characteristics of both platforms, it appears that they are complementary. Galaxy is an open access platform that allows using a wide set of bioinformatics software tools to define and run user-defined workflows for NGS data analysis (genome assembly, RNAseq, etc.) on small or medium volume datasets. By now, NGScldou2 has implemented de novo RNAseq, reference-based RNAseq, RADseq and functional annotation specific workflows for which the researcher can choose in a flexible way which bioinformatics application or applications to use in each task.
Table 5. Tests of TOA configuration and genomic database processes performance in the functional annotation workflow. Output size and elapsed time (E.T.) consumed by each process and type of instance, number of CPUs and RAM employed to run each process.

| Process                                                                 | Output size (MB) | E.T. (s) | Instance                        | vCPU | RAM (GiB) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------------------------|------|-----------|
| Creation of TOA config file                                           | –                | –        |                                 |      |           |
| Creation of TOA database                                              | 0.00             | 0        |                                 |      |           |
| Creation of genomic dataset file                                      | –                | –        |                                 |      |           |
| Creation of species file                                              | –                | –        |                                 |      |           |
| Download of other basic data                                          | 0.02             | 10       |                                 |      |           |
| Load of basic data into TOA database                                  | 0.02             | 57       |                                 |      |           |
| Build of Gymno PLAZA 1.0 proteome                                     | 0.02             | 73       |                                 |      |           |
| Download of Gymno PLAZA 1.0 functional annotations from PLAZA server  | 0.02             | 33       |                                 |      |           |
| Load of Gymno PLAZA 1.0 data into TOA database                         | 0.02             | 281      | t3.medium (spot)                | 2    | 4         |
| Build of Dicots PLAZA 4.0 proteome                                     | 0.02             | 280      |                                 |      |           |
| Download of Dicots PLAZA 4.0 functional annotations from PLAZA server  | 0.02             | 81       |                                 |      |           |
| Load of Dicots PLAZA 4.0 data into TOA database                        | 0.02             | 1,100    |                                 |      |           |
| Build of Monocots PLAZA 4.0 proteome                                  | 0.02             | 234      |                                 |      |           |
| Download of Monocots PLAZA 4.0 functional annotations from PLAZA server| 0.02             | 48       |                                 |      |           |
| Load of Monocots PLAZA 4.0 data into TOA database                      | 0.02             | 404      |                                 |      |           |
| Build of NCBI RefSeq Plant proteome                                    | 0.02             | 286      |                                 |      |           |
| Build of NCBI BLAST NT database for BLAST+                            | 0.02             | 4,843    | c5.xlarge (spot)                | 4    | 8         |
| Build of NCBI BLAST NR database for BLAST+                             | 0.02             | 7,900    |                                 |      |           |
| Build of NCBI BLAST NR database for DIAMOND                             | 0.04             | 8,416    | r5.xlarge (spot)                | 4    | 32        |
| Download of NCBI Gene functional annotations from NCBI server          | 0.02             | 7        |                                 |      |           |
| Load of NCBI Gene data into TOA database                               | 0.02             | 1,532    |                                 |      |           |
| Download of InterPro functional annotations from InterPro server       | 0.02             | 2        |                                 |      |           |
| Load of InterPro data into TOA database                                | 0.02             | 2        | t3.medium (spot)                | 2    | 4         |
| Download of Gene Ontology functional annotations from Gene Ontology server | 0.02             | 1        |                                 |      |           |
| Load of Gene Ontology data into TOA database                           | 0.02             | 3        |                                 |      |           |

One of the biggest disadvantages of Galaxy compared to NGScloud2 is its limited storage space (only 250 GB) that precludes running large experiments in an efficient way. Galaxy presents also limitations in the maximum number of CPUs and RAM that can be assigned to each process, and on the maximum number of concurrent jobs. Further, the user has to wait some time before the Galaxy infrastructure is set, leading to potential delays in getting the results of the analyses. Among NGScloud2 advantages, it is worth mentioning that AWS’s cloud storage is potentially infinite, and that hardware infrastructure deployment and termination are immediate, allowing running many instances in parallel. Therefore NGScloud2 can be used to securely analyze small, medium, large or very large data without waiting times or walltime limitations, always having in mind that it is a pay-per-use service.
Table 6  Tests of TOA pipeline processes performance in the functional annotation workflow. Output size and elapsed time (E.T.) consumed by each process and type of instance, number of CPUs and RAM employed to run each process.

| Process                                                                 | Output size (MB) | E.T. (s) | Instance                  | vCPU | RAM (GiB) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------------------|------|-----------|
| Uploading of reference dataset file (MonolignolsGenes.fasta)          | –                | –        | t3.medium (spot)          | 2    | 4         |
| TOA nucleotide pipeline using BLAST+ -> annotation 1                  | 15.45            | 1,041    | r5.2xlarge (spot)         | 4    | 32        |
| TOA nucleotide pipeline using DIAMOND -> annotation 2                 | 24.11            | 166      | t3.medium (spot)          | 2    | 4         |
| TOA amino acid pipeline using BLAST+ -> annotation 3                  | 32.13            | 4,997    | r5.2xlarge (spot)         | 4    | 32        |
| TOA amino acid pipeline using DIAMOND -> annotation 4                 | 41.41            | 7,006    | t3.medium (spot)          | 2    | 4         |
| Annotation merger of TOA pipelines using annotation 1 y annotation 3   | 5.49             | 7        | t3.medium (spot)          | 2    | 4         |
| Annotation merger of TOA pipelines using annotation 2 y annotation 4   | 2.44             | 1        | t3.medium (spot)          | 2    | 4         |

DISCUSSION

Bioinformatics is one of the fields that has benefitted from the development of cloud infrastructure. Many software applications contain instructions to be run on cloud systems (e.g., *Eaton & Overcast, 2020* or https://github.com/bcgsc/transabyss/blob/master/TUTORIAL.md#16-mpi-and-multi-threading), but, very often, they require advanced knowledge about cloud infrastructure and how to configure it. Some other integral platforms are more similar to NGScloud2 philosophy, and aim to facilitate cloud instance deployment and data handling, at the same time they offer relatively easy access to software applications and workflow setting. In comparison, NGScloud2 presents some advantages, mostly related to the almost unlimited storage and strong hardware infrastructure availability, the immediacy of the hardware infrastructure setup, and the easy configuration of applications and workflows. Unlike NGScloud2, although Galaxy has a wide range of bioinformatics tools, it does not currently implement applications for the design of RADseq experiments or for the comprehensive analysis of these type of data. Moreover, the informatic system developed in NGScloud2 is flexible enough to allow for further incorporation of new software applications in the future.

NGScloud2 has proved its operability for RNAseq, RADseq and functional annotation analysis, but it is important to select the optimal application for each workflow step according to the specific type of data to analyze (*Conesa et al., 2016; Lópe de Heredia & Vázquez-Poletti, 2016*) and the most cost-efficient instance to run each application (*Mora-Márquez, Vázquez-Poletti & Lópe de Heredia, 2018*). Indeed, the instance type to use will depend on the hardware requirements of the bioinformatics software. NGScloud2 incorporates the possibility of using new generation r5, c5 and m5 instance types, that are more efficient and slightly cheaper than instances from previous generations. Once the instance CPU and RAM are chosen, the purchasing option of the instance (spot or on-demand) will depend on the time the process will be in execution, which pivots usually on the data size and on the algorithm complexity. It must be taken into account that the longer time an instance is running, the higher is the risk of being stopped. The current
### Table 7: Comparison between NGScloud2 and the Galaxy framework

Galaxy employs several hardware infrastructure types (Galaxy cluster, Jetstream, Stampede2, PSC Bridges). Galaxy Data Source: [https://galaxyproject.org/main/](https://galaxyproject.org/main/).

| Feature                  | NGScloud2          | Galaxy ([https://usegalaxy.org/](https://usegalaxy.org/)) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Expense                  | According to use   | Free                                                     |
| Availability             | Immediate          | Galaxy cluster: short/moderate wait                      |
|                          |                    | Jetstream: short/moderate wait                           |
|                          |                    | Stampede2 (normal): moderate/long wait                   |
|                          |                    | Stampede2 (SKX): long/very long wait                    |
|                          |                    | PSC Bridges: moderate/long wait                          |
| Maximum storage size (GB)| Unlimited          | 250 GB for registered users                              |
| Data privacy             | Strong             | Moderate                                                 |
| Maximum walltime (hours) | Unlimited          | Galaxy cluster: 36                                       |
|                          |                    | Jetstream: 36                                            |
|                          |                    | Stampede2 (normal): 48                                   |
|                          |                    | Stampede2 (SKX): 48                                      |
|                          |                    | PSC Bridges: 24-96                                       |
| Maximum CPUs number      | 96                 | Galaxy cluster: 6                                         |
|                          |                    | Jetstream: 10                                            |
|                          |                    | Stampede2 (normal): 64                                   |
|                          |                    | Stampede2 (SKX): 48                                      |
|                          |                    | PSC Bridges: 5-20                                        |
| Maximum RAM size         | 768                | Galaxy cluster: 30                                        |
|                          |                    | Jetstream: 30                                            |
|                          |                    | Stampede2 (normal): 96                                   |
|                          |                    | Stampede2 (SKX): 192                                     |
|                          |                    | PSC Bridges: 240-96                                      |
| Maximum concurrent jobs  | Unlimited          | 6 for registered users                                   |
| de novo RNA workflow     | Specific & flexible| User-designed                                             |
| Reference-based RNA-seq workflow | Specific & flexible| User-designed |
| RAD-seq workflow         | Specific & flexible| No                                                       |
| Functional annotation workflow | Specific & flexible| User-designed |
| Other workflows          | No                 | User-designed                                             |

version of NGScloud2 allows restarting Trinity and SOAPdenovo-Trans processes from the point they stopped. However, most of the applications in NGScloud2 are not designed to be restarted after an unexpected interruption, a common issue in spot instances. Therefore we recommend using on-demand instances in processes with long elapsed times, while other processes that do not require long runtimes or can be re-started after interruption, such as variant-calling, are preferably run on spot instances.

For RNAseq and RADseq workflows, data uploading and read pre-processing are easily done with low-power instances, therefore at a minimal cost. Transcriptome assembly and mapping to reference genome are the most limiting task of the workflows ([Miller, Koren & Sutton, 2010](https://doi.org/10.1083/jem.201008180); [Mora-Márquez et al., 2020](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11237)), both in terms of the storage required for intermediate and output files and of the RAM and CPU number required to run the application. In these cases, memory oriented instances are more efficient than compute-oriented instances because the intrinsic nature of the assembly algorithms. It must be stressed out that memory and CPU requirements of de novo RNASEq assemblies grow
linearly with read number for Trinity, and exponentially for SOAPdenovo-Trans (Mora-Márquez et al., 2020).

For functional annotation with TOA, the main hardware limitations are produced in the BLAST+ or DIAMOND homology search step that requires a minimum of 4 CPUs and 32 GiB instances for small datasets, but larger instance types are required for bigger datasets (e.g., a full transcriptome) or to reduce runtimes. It should be also noted that DIAMOND pipelines can be run in shorter times than BLAST+ pipelines (Buchfink, Xie & Huson, 2015; Mora-Márquez et al., 2021). A sufficient provision of storage volumes is also recommended to run the functional annotation workflow, to take full advantage of TOA capabilities.

**CONCLUSIONS**

NGScloud2 has significantly expanded the types of bioinformatics workflows to run using Amazon Web Services since its previous version. This new version has incorporated major technical improvements that optimize the use of popular software applications otherwise inaccessible to non-specialized users lacking suitable hardware infrastructures. Moreover, these technical improvements are oriented to significantly reduce costs by simplifying data access and taking advantage of EC2 spot instances that may produce savings of up to 50–80% in many steps of the analysis. Therefore, NGScloud2 constitutes a good alternative to other cloud-based platform to analyze RNAseq and RADseq data in model and non-model species.
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