Changes in leisure time and occupational physical activity over 8 years: the Cornellà Health Interview Survey Follow-Up Study
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ABSTRACT

Aim: To describe changes in leisure time and occupational physical activity status in an urban Mediterranean population-based cohort, and to evaluate sociodemographic, health-related and lifestyle correlates of such changes.

Methods: Data for this study come from the Cornellà Health Interview Survey Follow-Up Study, a prospective cohort study of a representative sample (n = 2500) of the population. Participants in the analysis reported here include 1246 subjects (567 men and 679 women) who had complete data on physical activity at the 1994 baseline survey and at the 2002 follow-up. We fitted Breslow-Cox regression models to assess the association between correlates of interest and changes in physical activity.

Results: Regarding leisure time physical activity, 61.6% of cohort members with “sedentary” habits in 1994 changed their status to “light/moderate” activity in 2002, and 70% who had “light/moderate” habits in 1994 did not change their activity level. Regarding occupational physical activity, 74.4% of cohort members who were “active” did not change their level of activity, and 64.3% of participants with “sedentary” habits in 1994 changed to “active” occupational physical activity. No clear correlates of change in physical activity were identified in multivariate analyses.

Conclusion: While changes in physical activity are evident in this population-based cohort, no clear determinants of such changes were recognised. Further longitudinal studies including other potential individual and contextual determinants are needed to better understand determinants of changes in physical activity at the population level.

Regular physical activity has been linked with positive effects on health and wellbeing and its association with reduced all-cause mortality is well established.¹ Not surprisingly, increasing population physical activity levels have been chosen as one of the leading health goals for the year 2010.³ Although most of the recommendations hinge on individuals’ behaviour and focus primarily on activities based on clinical settings, the importance of population-level determinants is also recognised.⁴ Therefore, the role of community-based intervention to promote healthy changes in physical activity is of importance in a global strategy for health promotion and prevention.

Change in physical activity behaviour and its correlation with other health behaviours has been used as a tool to assess the effectiveness of health promotion strategies.⁵ However, the few studies conducted to date have been either cross-sectional analyses⁶ or limited to specific trial interventions.⁷ Eight prospective studies in representative population samples may be helpful to assess the community status of physical activity change and to evaluate variables linked to changes in physical activity that could guide better public health interventions. The present study is, therefore, aimed at describing changes in leisure time and occupational physical activity status in an urban Mediterranean population-based cohort, and to evaluate sociodemographic, health-related and lifestyle correlates of such changes.

METHODS

Study subjects

The Cornèll Health Interview Survey Follow-Up (CHIS.FU) Study is a prospective cohort of a representative sample (n = 2500; 1268 women and 1257 men) of the non-institutionalised population from Cornellà de Llobregat, a city located in the metropolitan area of Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain, with a total population of 85,061 inhabitants. The cohort was established in 1994 with participants randomly selected from the general population and interviewed face-to-face in their home. In 2002 (8 years after the baseline interview), subjects were contacted and interviewed by telephone. Details on subject recruitment and procedures are described elsewhere.⁸ The Cornèll Health Interview Survey Follow-Up (CHIS.FU) Study is a prospective cohort of a representative sample (n = 2500; 1268 women and 1257 men) of the non-institutionalised population from Cornellà de Llobregat, a city located in the metropolitan area of Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain, with a total population of 85,061 inhabitants. The cohort was established in 1994 with participants randomly selected from the general population and interviewed face-to-face in their home. In 2002 (8 years after the baseline interview), subjects were contacted and interviewed by telephone. Details on subject recruitment and procedures are described elsewhere.⁸

Briefly, 1608 subjects responded to the follow-up interview in 2002 (response rate of 64.3%); 1438 participants were directly interviewed and in the remaining 170 follow-up information was obtained through proxy interview. There were 123 (4.9%) refusals, 425 (17.0%) of the cohort members had emigrated and 147 (5.9%) had died. The remaining 197 (7.9%) subjects could not be contacted. The present analysis is based on 1246 subjects (567 men and 679 women) who had complete data on physical activity both at baseline (1994) and at the follow-up interview (2002). Physical activity and other baseline sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics were unrelated to attrition in this cohort.¹¹

Study variables

Leisure time physical activity (LTPA) and occupational physical activity (OPA) in the previous 7 days were assessed using adapted questionnaires from the US Health Interview Survey and the Welsh Heart Health Study¹²–¹⁵; these instruments
have been previously used in similar population surveys.\textsuperscript{15–17} LTPA was assessed with the following questions:

- During last week, how many times did you perform any light physical activity for at least 20 minutes (walking, light recreational activities, going upstairs)?
- During last week, how many times did you perform any moderate physical activity for at least 20 minutes (riding a bike, gym, running, tennis, swimming)?
- During last week, how many times did you perform any intense physical activity for at least 20 minutes (team sports, hockey, football, physical training)?

We then classified LTPA in 1994 and in 2002 into the following categories: sedentary: people who did not perform any activity; light/moderate: any number of times/week of light activity gathered from question 1 and up to two times/week of moderate or vigorous physical activity gathered from questions 2 and 3; and vigorous: more than two times/week any moderate or vigorous physical activity gathered from questions 2 and 3.

OPA was assessed with the question: “Which of the following options best describes your main or usual activity?”

- Inactive: sitting down most of the day
- Light: standing most of the day, with little movement or exertions of energy
- Moderate: walking, carrying some weight, frequent movement
- Intense: physical effort and heavy labour.

We then classified OPA in 1994 and in 2002 into two main categories: sedentary: subjects who answered options 1 or 2 and; and active: subjects who replied options 3 and 4 as daily activities.

To further characterise subjects’ profile regarding LTPA and OPA changes at follow-up, we defined a “positive” change when an upper level of physical activity was reached in 2002 from a “sedentary” status in 1994. A “negative” LTPA change was identified when subjects changed from “light/moderate” to “sedentary” LTPA. A “negative” OPA change was identified when subjects changed from “active” OPA in 1994 to “sedentary” in 2002.

Other independent variables in these analyses included sociodemographic characteristics as measured in 1994: sex, age (15–24 years; 25–44 years; 45–64 years; ≥65 years), maximum educational level attained (less than primary or primary studies; secondary or university studies) and social class categorised in terms of occupation. Social class was defined following the Spanish adaptation of the British Registrar General classification\textsuperscript{16}, class I (directives of the public administration and managers of companies with 10 or more employees, professionals associated with advanced university degree); class II (managers of companies with fewer than 10 employees, professionals associated with initial university degree, technicians and support professionals, artists, sportsmen); class IIIa (administrative workers and support professionals in administrative and financial management, workers in personal and security services); class IIIb (self employed workers); class IIIc (supervisors of manual workers); class IVa (high skilled manual workers); class IVb (semiskilled manual workers); and class V (unskilled manual workers). For the analysis, we grouped these categories in three levels: class I-II, class III (IIIa + IIIb), and class IV-V (IVa + IVb + V). We also used working status according to main activity (employed; unemployed; disabled or retired; student; housewife); lifestyle variables: smoking (never smokers; former smoker; current smokers—including occasional and daily smokers), alcohol consumption (non-drinkers and thirds of consumption in g/day according to the separate distribution in men and women) and health-related variables: number of chronic conditions (0; 1 and ≥2); self perceived health (recoded into two categories as “suboptimal” if subjects declared themselves to have a “poor rather bad,” “bad,” or “very bad” health and as “optimal” if they declared themselves to have a “poor rather good,” “good,” or “very good” health) and body mass index (BMI) calculated as weight/height squared (kg/m\(^2\)). “Obesity/overweight” was defined as BMI ≥25.0 kg/m\(^2\); BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m\(^2\) was considered “normal” weight.

In order to investigate the variables that were associated with changes in physical activity, we fitted Breslow-Cox regression models to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) of change in LTPA and OPA and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI).\textsuperscript{19} The assumption of proportionality of hazards was verified using standard graphic and statistical methods. Separate analyses for men and women were conducted. All models were adjusted for age and, when necessary, for other potential confounders (that is, educational level).

**RESULTS**

Baseline characteristics (1994 survey) and at follow-up (2002 survey) of the 1246 cohort participants who had complete data on physical activity in 1994 and 2002 are shown in table 1. Not surprisingly, some changes in the distribution of certain lifestyle characteristics (that is, smoking and alcohol) and in physical activity levels between baseline and follow-up survey were observed.

**Leisure time physical activity (LTPA)**

The joint distribution of results according to LTPA levels in 1994 and 2002 (table 2) shows that 61.6% of cohort members with sedentary habits in 1994 had changed their status to light/moderate LTPA in 2002 (25.6% of the whole cohort). The change was similar in men (64.4%) and women (59.9%). Only 7.5% of the population moved from sedentary to vigorous LTPA, principally men. A similar percentage of subjects (10.2%) changed their habits from light/moderate to vigorous. Most participants (71.0%) reporting light/moderate levels of LTPA in 1994 did not change. The proportion of subjects who decreased their LTPA habits from light/moderate to sedentary was 18.8%.

After controlling for age, women presented a non-significant lower risk of “positive” change in LTPA (HR = 0.9, 95% CI: 0.7 to 1.1). In men, the likelihood of “positive” change increased with increasing age up to the age of 64. In contrast, an inverse association with age was observed in women: the frequency of change decreased to 54% in women over 64 (HR = 0.7, 95% CI: 0.4 to 1.2). None of the other factors analysed showed a significant association with positive change. A positive change in LTPA appeared to be more frequent in educated and occupationally active women but the difference was not statistically significant. With respect to LTPA “negative” change, women had greater (but not statistically significant) likelihood of decreasing LTPA level compared to men (HR = 1.3, 95% CI: 0.9 to 1.9). Men aged 45–64 were 60% less likely to experience a “negative” change in level of LTPA (HR = 0.4, 95% CI: 0.2 to 0.8) when compared with younger men. Moreover, those with secondary or college education had a lower (but non-significant) probability of LTPA change (HR = 0.5, 95% CI: 0.2 to 1.1).

**Occupational physical activity (OPA)**

Of 745 cohort members with a sedentary level of OPA in 1994, 479 (64.3%) were active in 2002 (table 3). On the other hand, of
The lack of a statistically significant frequency of “positive” change in OPA was observed. The likelihood of “positive” change in OPA was less likely in both men and women of higher social class. In women, the frequency of “positive” change was related to the type of occupation, with housewives having a HR of 1.3 (95% CI 0.7 to 2.2) compared to unemployed women. In women, self-perceived health was related to change in level of OPA: women who declared their health as “suboptimal” had a lower statistically non-significant probability of change (HR = 0.8, 95% CI: 0.6 to 1.2).

Men were less likely to have a “negative” change in OPA and showed a slightly inverse non-significant trend of decreasing risk with increasing age. A significant pattern was observed in women up to age 65. Women aged 45–64 had nearly 70% lower frequency of “negative” change in level of OPA when compared to younger women. A higher level of education attained was associated with a non-significant higher frequency of negative change in OPA both in men and women (HR = 1.5; 95% CI: 0.9 to 2.5, and HR = 1.8; 95% CI: 0.8 to 3.4, respectively). This estimate was attenuated in men after adjustment for confounding variables. In women, multivariate analysis showed that being employed was associated with about 50% decrease in the frequency of a negative change when comparing with being unemployed (HR = 0.5, 95% CI: 0.3 to 0.9) and confirmed that women who were more educated (HR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.0 to 4.0) and older than 64 (HR = 0.9, 95% CI: 1.5 to 6.2) had higher frequency of “negative” change in the level of OPA.

**DISCUSSION**

We found that among our study participants, 61.6% of those reporting sedentary LTPA habits and 64.3% reporting sedentary OPA at baseline changed to more active levels 8 years later. These changes are consistent with reported trends of increasing LTPA in Mediterranean populations, despite the fact that those populations have a high prevalence of obesity. 20–21

Even though we could not identify clear correlates of changes in either LTPA or OPA in this community-based study, they appear to be more closely associated with sociodemographic factors than with other health conditions, related behaviours or lifestyle. Although some studies22–24 have linked the former factors with the likelihood of change in physical activity, many others have not. 25–28 The lack of a statistically significant association between factors such as smoking habits and LTPA or self-perceived health and OPA are possibly affected by the relatively small sample size of the cohort. It is important to keep in mind, however, that we only explored associations between change in physical activity in association with baseline levels of these risk factors (that is, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, etc.), thus assuming that those factors remained unchanged during follow-up. Changes in these risk factors may affect changes in physical activity and, thus, this is a possible limitation of the study. Although we know that changes have occurred (table 1), we can’t determine at which point in the follow-up these changes occurred, and hence we are not able to properly adjust for them in the analysis. However, our findings are in agreement with previously published results reporting no association or weak associations between changes in LTPA status and tobacco, alcohol consumption or obesity. 29 Our study focused mainly on the “first step of change,” from sedentary to light/moderate LTPA, since sedentary subjects are those most

### Table 1: Baseline (1994 survey) and follow-up (2002 survey) characteristics of 1246 cohort members who had complete information

| Characteristics | 1994 | 2002 |
|-----------------|------|------|
| **Sex** | | |
| Male | 567 (45.5) | 567 (45.5) |
| Female | 679 (54.5) | 679 (54.5) |
| **Age (years)** | | |
| 15–24 | 337 (19.0) | 37 (3.0) |
| 25–44 | 370 (29.7) | 415 (33.3) |
| 45–64 | 497 (39.9) | 468 (37.6) |
| ≥65 | 142 (11.4) | 326 (26.1) |
| **Education** | | |
| Less than primary | 213 (17.1) | 324 (26.1) |
| Primary | 720 (57.8) | 596 (48.0) |
| Secondary and university | 312 (25.0) | 321 (25.9) |
| **Occupational status** | | |
| Employed | 471 (37.9) | 607 (48.7) |
| Unemployed | 146 (11.7) | 61 (4.9) |
| Disabled/retired | 141 (11.3) | 317 (25.4) |
| Housewives | 335 (27.0) | 230 (18.5) |
| Students | 153 (12.2) | 31 (2.5) |
| **Smoking habit** | | |
| Never smokers | 778 (62.4) | 689 (55.4) |
| Former smokers | 117 (9.4) | 247 (19.8) |
| Current smokers | 351 (28.2) | 399 (34.8) |
| **Alcohol consumption** | | |
| Non-drinkers | 662 (53.2) | 618 (49.5) |
| 1st third | 205 (16.5) | 205 (16.5) |
| 2nd third | 167 (13.4) | 202 (16.5) |
| 3rd third | 210 (16.9) | 217 (17.5) |
| **Leisure time physical activity** | | |
| Sedentary | 518 (41.6) | 292 (23.4) |
| Light/moderate | 599 (48.0) | 798 (64.0) |
| Vigorous | 129 (10.4) | 156 (12.5) |
| **Occupational physical activity** | | |
| Sedentary | 751 (60.5) | 390 (31.7) |
| Active | 491 (39.5) | 839 (68.3) |
| **Self perceived health** | | |
| Optimal | 1046 (83.9) | 1044 (84.0) |
| Suboptimal | 200 (16.1) | 200 (16.0) |
| **Number of chronic conditions** | | |
| 0 | 473 (38.0) | 278 (23.0) |
| 1 | 290 (23.2) | 291 (24.0) |
| ≥2 | 483 (38.8) | 640 (53.0) |
| **Body mass index** | | |
| Normal (18.5 kg/m² – 24.9 kg/m²) | 583 (50.2) | 492 (43.5) |
| Overweight/obese (≥25.0 kg/m²) | 579 (49.8) | 638 (56.5) |

*The sum does not up the total because of some missing values. †Tertiles in men: 8 g/day and 22.4 g/day; tertiles in women: 1.6 g/day and 6.3 g/day.

480 active people in 1994, 357 (74.4%) continued to be active in 2002. The percentage of active subjects who changed their status to a sedentary level of OPA was 25.6% in 2002. The change from sedentary to active level of OPA was similar in men (63.9%) and women (64.6%). Remaining active in 2002 was also similarly frequent in men and women (76.8% and 76.3%, respectively).

In men, no pattern of association between age and the likelihood of “positive” change in OPA was observed. The frequency of a positive change in OPA in women increased with age (up to 65 years old). Women aged 45–64 were twice as likely to increase their level of OPA compared to the youngest (HR = 2.0; 95% CI 1.4 to 3.0). More educated women (secondary or college education) were less likely to show a positive change in OPA level after controlling for age (adjusted HR = 0.8, 95% CI 0.6 to 1.1). A “positive” change in OPA was less likely in both men and women of higher social class. In women, the frequency of “positive” change was related to the type of occupation, with housewives having a HR of 1.3 (95% CI 0.7 to 2.2) compared to unemployed women. In women, self-perceived health was related to change in level of OPA: women who declared their health as “suboptimal” had a lower statistically non-significant probability of change (HR = 0.8, 95% CI: 0.6 to 1.2).

Men were less likely to have a “negative” change in OPA and showed a slightly inverse non-significant trend of decreasing risk with increasing age. A significant pattern was observed in women up to age 65. Women aged 45–64 had nearly 70% lower frequency of “negative” change in level of OPA when compared to younger women. A higher level of education attained was associated with a non-significant higher frequency of negative change in OPA both in men and women (HR = 1.5; 95% CI: 0.9 to 2.5, and HR = 1.8; 95% CI: 0.8 to 3.4, respectively). This estimate was attenuated in men after adjustment for confounding variables. In women, multivariate analysis showed that being employed was associated with about 50% decrease in the frequency of a negative change when comparing with being unemployed (HR = 0.5, 95% CI: 0.3 to 0.9) and confirmed that women who were more educated (HR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.0 to 4.0) and older than 64 (HR = 0.9, 95% CI: 1.5 to 6.2) had higher frequency of “negative” change in the level of OPA.
critically needing some increase in physical activity. Demographic factors, such as age and sex, were related to physical activity changes. Gender differences in reported LTPA levels were consistent with previous reports and the association with age varied according to gender. Positive changes in LTPA were more likely in men than in women. Men reaching their 40s were more stable in their habits. Thus, targeting behavioural interventions to young men may have greater impact from a public health perspective. In this cohort, women were less likely to modify their physical activity habits, regardless of age.

Some authors have argued that decreasing OPA is one of the factors implicated in the steadily increasing prevalence of obesity in the developed world. In such societies, an increasing reliance on technology has considerably reduced work-related physical activity and the energy expenditure required for daily living. The circumstances in specific community settings such as ours with a unique social or cultural environment and economic organisation may lead to different relations between these social phenomena, however. The results of this study show that there were positive changes in OPA that could be attributable in part to changes in the overall pattern of occupation of the cohort: the proportion of employed people increased between 1994 (37.9%) and 2002 (48.7%) (table 1); it is conceivable that those newly employed were in occupations with higher demands of OPA. Although an association of physical activity and social class has been reported by several authors, the relative homogeneity of social class in our cohort (nearly 80% of the population belong to social class categories IV and V) limited our ability to explore this issue.

Nevertheless, we did observe some degree of association between education and changing physical activity habits, especially in women. Educated and occupationally active women were more likely to increase their LTPA; furthermore employed women had greater tendency to be active in OPA terms. On the other hand, educated and older women had a greater probability to change to sedentary occupation-related physical activity. Therefore, this reveals some association between social class, education and occupation, as previously described in the literature.

Physical and social environment have been linked with increased physical activity. Community interventions to reduce sedentariness and to promote healthy habits have been proposed as primary health promotion activities. In addition, physical activity is ranked as a leading health indicator for future objectives of health improvement. Several campaigns promoted walking and local community-sponsored wellness initiatives to promote exercise and to reduce cholesterol levels were developed in Cornella de Llobregat during the late 1990s. Whereas our objective did not focus on measuring the effectiveness of such activities, their impact could partially explain the magnitude of the observed changes in this population. Our design lacks repeated measures of physical activity at regular points of time that would have helped in evaluating a potential progressive increase in physical activity. Complementary information, not only at the individual level but at contextual level (that is, number of fitness facilities in neighbourhoods), should also be included in new studies aimed at assessing changes in physical activity. Available evidence suggests the benefits of a moderate amount of regular walking.

### Table 2

| Leisure time physical activity in 1994 | No (%) | Leisure time physical activity in 2002 | No (%) | No (%) | No (%) |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| All                                  |        | Sedentary                             | 160 (30.9) | 319 (61.6) | 39 (7.5) |
|                                       |        | Light/moderate                        | 113 (18.8) | 425 (71.0) | 61 (10.2) |
|                                       |        | Vigorous                              | 19 (14.7) | 54 (41.9) | 56 (43.4) |
| Men                                  |        | Sedentary                             | 51 (28.3) | 125 (64.4) | 18 (9.3) |
|                                       |        | Light/moderate                        | 46 (15.9) | 214 (73.8) | 30 (10.3) |
|                                       |        | Vigorous                              | 9 (10.8) | 32 (38.6) | 42 (50.6) |
| Women                                |        | Sedentary                             | 109 (33.6) | 194 (59.9) | 21 (6.5) |
|                                       |        | Light/moderate                        | 67 (21.7) | 211 (68.3) | 31 (10.0) |
|                                       |        | Vigorous                              | 10 (21.7) | 22 (47.8) | 14 (30.4) |

### Table 3

| Occupational physical activity in 1994 | No (%) | Occupational physical activity in 2002 | No (%) | No (%) |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| All                                   |        | Sedentary                             | 266 (35.7) | 479 (64.3) |
|                                       |        | Active                                | 123 (25.6) | 357 (74.4) |
| Men                                   |        | Sedentary                             | 126 (36.1) | 223 (63.9) |
|                                       |        | Active                                | 57 (28.2) | 145 (71.8) |
| Women                                 |        | Sedentary                             | 140 (35.4) | 256 (64.6) |
|                                       |        | Active                                | 66 (23.7) | 212 (76.3) |
In conclusion, while some changes in physical activity are evident within this Mediterranean population, no clear correlates of such changes were identified except for sex, age and education. Further longitudinal analysis exploring other possible individual and contextual factors (for example, health promotion campaigns, availability of fitness and sports facilities, neighbourhood levels of physical activity, etc) are needed to better understand the determinants of changes observed.
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