Quantitative Connection between Cell Size and Growth Rate by Phospholipid Metabolism
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Abstract: The processes involved in cell growth are extremely complicated even for a single cell organism such as Escherichia coli, while the relationship between growth rate and cell size is simple. We aimed to reveal the systematic link between them from the aspect of the genome-scale metabolic network. Since the growth rate reflects metabolic rates of bacteria and the cell size relates to phospholipid synthesis, a part of bacterial metabolic networks, we calculated the cell length from the cardiolipin synthesis rate, where the cardiolipin synthesis reaction is able to represent the phospholipid metabolism of Escherichia coli in the exponential growth phase. Combined with the flux balance analysis, it enables us to predict cell length and to examine the quantitative relationship between cell length and growth rate. By simulating bacteria growing in various nutrient media with the flux balance analysis and calculating the corresponding cell length, we found that the increase of the synthesis rate of phospholipid, the cell width, and the protein fraction in membranes caused the increase of cell length with growth rate. Different tendencies of phospholipid synthesis rate changing with growth rate result in different relationships between cell length and growth rate. The effects of gene deletions on cell size and growth rate are also examined. Knocking out the genes, such as ΔtktA, ΔtktB, ΔyqaB, Δpgm, and ΔcysQ, affects growth rate largely while affecting cell length slightly. Results of this method are in good agreement with experiments.
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1. Introduction

Cell size is an important measurable characteristic in the study of bacterial physiology. Since the growth rate is another significant physiological feature, the link between them is worth studying. A significant phenomenon about bacterial growth is that cell volume increases with the growth rate under nutrient limitation [1–3]. This relationship, known as Schaechter’s growth law, was discovered 60 years ago [1]. Experiments studying cell size and growth rate were performed with different strains of Escherichia coli under various growth conditions [4–13]. The Schaechter’s growth law has been reconfirmed at the single-cell level. In addition, two new kinds of relationships have been discovered. One is that cell size is independent of growth rate under translation inhibition [10] and another is cell size decreases with growth rate under LacZ overexpression [10]. Although cell size control and cell growth involve a variety of processes, cell length and growth rate follow a simple relationship. Therefore, the coordination between cell size and growth rate is critical to understand the growth features of bacteria.

Bacterial cells regulate fatty acid synthesis and cell membranes are assembled to form a proper membrane structure [5,14,15]. As the size of cell membrane relating to phospholipid metabolism is
sufficient to quantify the cell size, we intended to link cell size to the growth rate from the aspect of the
genome-scale metabolic network. Previous works revealed the relationship between them from
many aspects [1,5,6,9,11,11–14,16–23] but did not propose a quantitative model to describe how cell
size and growth rate correlate with each other from the aspect of bacterial metabolism. Since the
empirical relationship between cell size and growth rate is suitable for different bacterial strains [1–3]
and mutants [6,9,10,13] whose growth regulations are not exactly the same, the detailed regulation
mechanism may be not critical to the correlation between the growth rate and cell size. Regardless of the
specific regulations of bacterial growth, the metabolic-balance constraint will establish a relationship
between the synthesis rates of materials required for cell membranes and for other parts of cells.
It results in the correlation between bacterial cell size and growth rate.

We provided a method to estimate bacterial cell length from the cardiolipin synthesis rate.
Considering that phospholipid is a main constituent of the bacterial membranes, we estimated
the cell surface area from the phospholipid amount in a cell based on the bacterial envelope structure.
The Michaelis–Menten kinetics of the cardiolipin synthesis reaction, which is able to represent all the
phospholipid synthesis in the bacterial exponential growth phase, sets another constraint between the
amount of phospholipid and the cell surface area. This two constraints set the quantitative relationship
between the cell length and the cardiolipin synthesis rate. Combining this method with the relationship
between the growth rate and the cardiolipin synthesis rate, we finally obtained a function about the
cell length and the growth rate. Two ways were introduced to quantify the relationship between the
growth rate and the cardiolipin synthesis rate. One way was the flux balance analysis (FBA) of the
genome-scale metabolic network. FBA [24–27] is a widely used method to predict the growth rate and
metabolic reactions rates. It is suitable to analyze metabolic features of a single cell organism growing
in the exponential phase and it has been applied to several organisms such as H. influenzae [28,29],
S. cerevisiae [30,31], and E. coli [32–39]. FBA provides the relationship among the growth rate and
multiple metabolic reactions including the cardiolipin synthesis. Since FBA is also successful in
analyzing the effects of gene deletions and drug inhibitions [40–42], we analyzed the gene mutants’
effects on cell size and growth rate. Another way was directly using a linear equation to quantify
the relationship between the growth rate and the cardiolipin synthesis rate. By changing the linear
coefficient of this equation, we had the different relationship between the cell length and the growth
rate and predicted that cell length can decrease or be unchanged as growth rate increases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Surface Area Connected to the Amount of Phosphatidylglycerol

Based on the envelope structure of gram-negative bacteria, the cell surface area of Escherichia coli
is a function of the amount of phospholipid. The envelope of Escherichia coli mainly has three
layers: inner membrane, periplasm, and outer membrane [2,43–48]. Both inner membrane and
outer membrane take a phospholipid bilayer as the skeleton. A layer of lipopolysaccharide covers
the outer membrane and a peptidoglycan layer exists in the periplasmic zone. Various enzymes and
molecular machines are inserted into or covered on the inner and outer membrane [45,49,50]. As both
inner membrane and outer membranes are mainly composed of a phospholipid bilayer with embedded
proteins [44,45,50–53], the surface area of a bacterial cell is divided into two parts. One is the area
covered by two phospholipid bilayers, and the other is the area covered by proteins embedded in
the membranes. This is written as

\[ s_{\text{area}} = s_{\text{lipid}} + s_{\text{protein}}. \] (1)

Here, \( s_{\text{area}} \) denotes the surface area of a bacterial cell. \( s_{\text{lipid}} \) is the surface area covered by phospholipid in membranes of a cell, and \( s_{\text{protein}} \) is the surface area covered by embedded proteins in
membranes of a cell, drawn as Figure 1A. Using \( f_l \) representing the fraction area of phospholipid in membranes, we had

\[
s_{\text{area}} = \frac{s_{\text{lipid}}}{f_l} \tag{2}
\]

As the area covered by a phospholipid molecule \( s_p \) is about 0.5 square nanometers \([54]\), we had

\[
s_{\text{lipid}} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot n_{\text{lipid}} \cdot N_A \cdot s_p. \tag{3}
\]

Here, \( n_{\text{lipid}} \) denotes the amount of phospholipid in two layers of membranes in a cell in unit of mol. \( N_A \) is the Avogadro constant in unit of mol\(^{-1}\). The factor \( \frac{1}{4} \) derives from two parts. One is that each cell of \( \text{Escherichia coli} \) has two layers of membranes, and the other is that each membrane is a lipid bilayer. The cartoon is shown as Figure 1A.

Since cell polar caps are relatively unchanged \([55,56]\) and new cell caps are formed in the middle of the cell during division period \([57]\), the phospholipid in membranes is classified into two parts: one part is the lipid in the cell side surface and the other part is the lipid in cell caps. The idealized shape of \( \text{E. coli} \) used in this work is a right circular cylinder with two hemispherical polar caps, shown as Figure 1A. The cell side surface \( (s_{\text{side}} = \pi D (L - 2 \cdot \frac{D}{2})) \) refers to the lateral area of the right circular cylinder, shown as the gray area in Figure 1A, and the cell caps \( (s_{\text{caps}} = \pi D^2) \) are the hemispherical polar caps shown as the purple area in Figure 1A. Thus, the amount of phospholipid in membranes \( (n_{\text{lipid}}) \) is the amount of phospholipid in cell side area \( (n_{\text{side}}) \) plus the amount of phospholipid in cell caps area \( (n_0) \), written as:

\[
n_{\text{lipid}} = n_{\text{side}} + n_0. \tag{4}
\]

Since a bacterial cell has two semisphere caps at the beginning of a cell cycle and has four semisphere caps at the end of a cell cycle \([55,56]\), the average value of \( n_0 \) during a cell cycle is about the phospholipid in \( \epsilon \) times semisphere caps. \( \epsilon \) is average number of semispheres during a cell cycle. Thus, we had

\[
n_0 = f_l \cdot \frac{\epsilon \pi D^2}{2s_p N_A}. \tag{5}
\]

In order to estimate the amount of all kinds of phospholipid in a bacterial cell from the amount of phosphatidylglycerol (abbreviated as PG), we introduced the factor \( f_{\text{PG}} \) as the ratio of the amount of PG in cell side surface to all phospholipid in cell side surface. We assumed \( f_{\text{PG}} \) was equal to the ratio of the amount of PG to lipid in bacterial cells, which is about 18% \([35,58]\). The amount of PG in cell side surface is denoted as \( n_{\text{PG}} \). Then we had

\[
n_{\text{lipid}} = \frac{n_{\text{PG}}}{f_{\text{PG}}} + n_0. \tag{6}
\]

Inserting Equations (6) and (3) into Equation (2), we had

\[
s_{\text{area}} = \frac{N_A \cdot s_p}{4 \cdot f_l} \cdot \left( \frac{n_{\text{PG}}}{f_{\text{PG}}} + n_0 \right). \tag{7}
\]

Because

\[
s_{\text{area}} = s_{\text{side}} + s_{\text{caps}}, \tag{8}
\]

\[
= \pi D (L - 2 \cdot \frac{D}{2}) + \pi D^2, \tag{9}
\]

\[
= \pi DL, \tag{10}
\]
Equation (7) was rewritten as
\[
\pi DL = \frac{N_A \cdot s_p}{4 \cdot f_l} \cdot \left( \frac{n_{\text{PG}}}{f_{\text{PG}}} + n_0 \right),
\]
an equation about cell length (L), cell width (D), and the amount of PG (n_{\text{PG}}).

2.2. Estimation of Phosphatidylglycerol Amount from the Kinetics of the Cardiolipin Biosynthesis Reaction

Based on the kinetics of an enzyme-catalyzed reaction, the reaction rate is a function of the reactant concentration and the enzyme concentration. To obtain the PG concentration, we selected the cardiolipin biosynthesis reaction catalyzed by the cardiolipin synthase clsA \[59–63\], because the products of the cardiolipin biosynthesis reaction \[61,64\] are also a kind of major phospholipid in cell membranes. During a cell cycle, cardiolipin must be produced, and \textit{Escherichia coli} in the exponential growth phase synthesizes the cardiolipin due to the activity of clsA \[65–68\]. The cardiolipin biosynthesis reaction occurs in the inner membrane and the phospholipid of the outer membrane is transported from the inner membrane \[45,49,50,52\]. According to the Michaelis–Menten kinetics, this reaction is written as
\[
2 \text{PG} + \text{clsA-free} \xrightleftharpoons[k_2]{k_1} \text{clsA-2PG} \xrightarrow{k_3} \text{cardiolipin} + \text{glycerol} + \text{clsA-free},
\]
(12)
where \(k_1, k_{-1}, \text{and } k_2\) are the rate constants. This reaction is abbreviated as CLPNS in this work.

According to the law of mass action, the reactions rates are written as
\[
\begin{align*}
  r_1 &= k_1[\text{PG}]^2[\text{clsA-free}], \\
  r_{-1} &= k_{-1}[\text{clsA-2PG}], \\
  r_{\text{CLPNS}} &= k_2[\text{clsA-2PG}],
\end{align*}
\]
(13) through (15)
where \([X]\), the concentration of \(X\), is the amount of \(X\) per area in unit of mol/\(\mu\)m\(^2\). This is because CLPNS takes place at the inner membrane \[45,49,50,52\]. \(X\) stands for any substances such as PG and clsA. Because \(\frac{d[\text{clsA-free}]}{dt} = -r_1 + r_{-1} + r_2\), when the concentration of clsA did not change, we had
\[
k_1[\text{PG}]^2[\text{clsA-free}] = k_{-1}[\text{clsA-2PG}] + k_2[\text{clsA-2PG}].
\]
(16)

Inserting Equations (13)–(15) into Equation (16), we had
\[
r_{\text{CLPNS}} = V_{\text{max}} \frac{[\text{PG}]^2}{K_M + [\text{PG}]^2},
\]
(17)
where \(r_{\text{CLPNS}}\) is the reaction rate of CLPNS, PG is the concentration of PG, and \(V_{\text{max}}\) and \(K_M\) are two kinetic parameters. Because the unit of \(r_{\text{CLPNS}}\) in Equation (15) is mol/(\(\mu\)m\(^2\) · h), a unit transformation was done to have the unit consistent with that in FBA where the unit of \(r_{\text{CLPNS}}\) is mmol/(gDW · h) (Detail information is written in Appendix A.3). \(K_M\) is about 2 × 10\(^{-35}\) (mol/\(\mu\)m\(^2\))^2 \[62\] and \(V_{\text{max}}\) is about 0.38 mmol/(gDW·h) in BW25113 \[62,69\].

Inserting \([\text{PG}] = \frac{n_{\text{PG}}}{s_{\text{side}}}\) to Equation (17), we had
\[
\frac{n_{\text{PG}}}{s_{\text{side}}} = \left( \frac{K_M \cdot r_{\text{CLPNS}}}{V_{\text{max}} - r_{\text{CLPNS}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]
(18)
where \( n_{PG} \) is the amount of clsA in cell side surface of a cell and \( s_{side} \) is the side surface area of a cell. As \( s_{side} = \pi D (L - 2 \cdot \frac{D}{2}) \), Equation (18) was rewritten as

\[
\frac{n_{PG}}{\pi DL - 2\pi D^2} = \left( \frac{K_M \cdot r_{CLPNS}}{V_{max} - r_{CLPNS}} \right)^\frac{1}{2},
\]  

an equation about \( L, D, n_{PG} \) and \( r_{CLPNS} \).

From Equation (5), Equation (11) and Equation (19), we had an equation about \( L, n_0, \) and \( r_{CLPNS} \), written as

\[
L = \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{s_p \cdot N_A \cdot n_0}{2 \epsilon \pi \cdot f_l} \cdot \left( \frac{K_M \cdot r_{CLPNS}}{V_{max} - r_{CLPNS}} \right)^\frac{1}{2} \right) + 1 \), \]  

(20)

Then, we can estimate the cell length \( (L) \) from the cardiolipin synthesis rate \( (r_{CLPNS}) \).

### 2.3. Introducing the Relationship between Growth Rate and Cardiolipin Synthesis Rate

#### 2.3.1. Cell Length Estimation Based on FBA

As flux balance analysis is efficient to simulate the metabolic rates and the growth rate of bacteria in the exponential growth phase (when metabolic reactions was assumed to be at the steady state, and the detailed description of the system was in Appendix A.1), we integrated flux balance analysis with Equation (20) to obtain the relationship between cell length and growth rate. FBA [1,32,35] is represented as

\[
\begin{align*}
\max: & \quad r_{biomass} \\
\text{subject to:} & \quad S \cdot r = 0, \quad (22) \\
& \quad b_l < r < b_u. \quad (23)
\end{align*}
\]

\( r \) is a vector of reactions rates in unit of mmol/(gDW·h). \( r_{biomass} \) equals to the growth rate. \( S \) is a stoichiometric matrix, whose element \( S_{ij} \) is the stoichiometry of the metabolite \( i \) in the reaction \( j \) in the metabolic network of the system. \( b_l \) is the lower bounds vector, and \( b_u \) is the upper bounds vector. Each set of bounds of exchange reactions corresponds to a nutrient medium. By setting different bounds of exchange reactions, we simulated the growth rate and metabolic reactions’ rates including \( r_{CLPNS} \) of *Escherichia coli* growing in different nutrient conditions. Detail description of FBA was written in Appendix A.2. Combining FBA with Equation (20), we are able to examine the effects of nutrient limitation and gene deletions on cell length and growth rate. We called this method the size estimation based on flux balance analysis (SEFBA).

Previous study [70] found that the fraction of protein in cell membranes increases with growth rate and finally reaches to a maximum value. The transport reactions of cells are classified into two groups which are the facilitated diffusion \( (r_{fac}) \) and the simple diffusion \( (r_{sim}) \). The ratio of facilitated diffusion rate \( (r_{fac}) \) to all the transport reactions’ rates \( (r_{fac} + r_{sim}) \) follows a similar tendency with the fraction of protein in membranes changing with growth rate (shown as Figure A8). In order to introduce the factor that \( f_l \) changes with growth rate, we assumed that the ratio of the surface area covered by protein to the surface area covered by phospholipid in membranes was in proportion to the ratio of facilitated diffusion rate \( (r_{fac}) \) to simple diffusion rate \( (r_{sim}) \), written as

\[
\frac{s_{protein}}{s_{lipid}} = \beta \cdot \frac{r_{fac}}{r_{sim}}. \]  

(24)

This assumption was made because the facilitated diffusion incorporates with membrane proteins to transport substances while simple diffusion depends on the concentration gradient and the
membrane area covered by phospholipid. Only small molecules like carbon dioxide, oxygen, and water transport by simple diffusion [54]. The concentrations of oxygen and carbon dioxide in chemostat culture do not change in this work, so the simple diffusion rate is in proportion to the membrane area covered by phospholipid. As for facilitated diffusion, Michaelis–Menten kinetics is able to describe it [71]. When substance concentration is at high value, the facilitated diffusion mainly depends on protein amount in membrane. In other words, the facilitated diffusion rate is approximately in proportion to the membrane area covered by protein at high substance concentration. Thus, we had the Equation (24) where $\beta$ is a coefficient. Under this assumption, we had

$$f_l = \frac{1}{1 + \beta \cdot \frac{r_{fac}}{r_{sim}}}.$$  

(25)

$r_{fac}$ and $r_{sim}$ were obtained from the results of FBA. The mass fraction of protein to phospholipid in membranes has a maximum value to maintain the structure of membranes, which is about 2.5 (wt/wt) [54,72]. It implies that $f_l$ is larger than 4/9. Because 97% values of $r_{fac}$ obtained from the simulations of iJR904 is smaller than 2.5, the parameter $\beta$ is estimated to be about 0.5 for iJR904 [35]. Similarly, $\beta$ is about 0.22 for iJO1366 [32]. When the cardiolipin synthesis rate is small enough, cell length changes slightly with $f_l$. The influence of $f_l$ on cell size is enhanced with increased synthesis rate of cardiolipin (shown as Figure A9).

To summarize, the final representation of SEFBA is written as:

$$\text{max: } r_{\text{biomass}},$$

(26)

subject to:

$$S \cdot r = 0,$$

(27)

$$b_l < r < b_u,$$

(28)

$$L = \left( \frac{s_p \cdot N_A}{4 \cdot f_{PG} \cdot f_l} \cdot \left( \frac{K_{M \cdot r_{CLPNS}}}{V_{max - r_{CLPNS}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right) \cdot \left( \frac{s_p \cdot N_A \cdot n_0}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_l} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

(29)

We used two genome-scale metabolic models iJR904 [35] and iJO1366 [32] in order to examine the effects of different metabolic networks on the results. As Figure 2 and Figure A7 show, the results of iJR904 and iJO1366 are similar. The parameters are listed in Table 1.

2.3.2. The Reduced Model of SEFBA

To obtain an explicit relationship between growth rate and cell length, we directly introduced the relationship between the cardiolipin biosynthesis rate and the growth rate with a linear equation instead of the results of FBA. The cardiolipin biosynthesis rate is assumed to change linearly with the growth rate, written as

$$r_{CLPNS} = w_{CLPNS} \lambda + v_0.$$  

(30)

Here, $w_{CLPNS}$ and $v_0$ are coefficients in this linear equation. $w_{CLPNS}$ represents the correlation between $r_{CLPNS}$ and growth rate $\lambda$. When the reactions’ bound vectors, $b_l$ and $b_u$, are in a certain range, $w_{CLPNS}$ and $v_0$ are constant. Furthermore, when cells grow with the same bottleneck processes, the values of $w_{CLPNS}$ and $v_0$ are constant. In other words, the relationship between $r_{CLPNS}$ and growth rate $\lambda$ does not change when bacteria grow with the same limitations. This equation is suitable among a range of growth rates. Theoretically, $w_{CLPNS}$ can be negative while $v_0$ is positive during a certain range of growth rates.
Combining Equations (30) and (20), we have

\[
L = \left( \frac{\epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2} - 1 \right)}{1 - C \cdot \left( \frac{1}{H - E \cdot \lambda} - 1 \right)^{1/2}} + 1 \right) D. \tag{31}
\]

Here, \( C = s_p \cdot N_A \cdot K_M^{1/2} \), which is derived from the reaction rate constant \( K_M \). Previous study [70] showed that the protein occupancy in membrane almost does not change when growth rate is faster than 0.3 h\(^{-1}\). It is reasonable to assume \( f_l \) constant. Then, \( C \) is a constant parameter. \( H = 1 - \frac{v_0}{V_{\text{max}}} \), and \( E = \frac{r_{\text{CLPNS}}}{V_{\text{max}}} \). Both \( H \) and \( E \) are related to coefficients \( r_{\text{CLPNS}} \) and \( v_0 \). \( D \) stands for the cell width. This equation describes the quantitative relationship among cell length, cell width, phospholipid synthesis, and phospholipid occupancy in membranes. All the parameters used in this work are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Parameters and variables involved in this work.

| Parameters | Description | Values |
|------------|-------------|--------|
| \( r_{\text{CLPNS}} \) | the cardiolipin biosynthesis rate | from FBA (Section 2.3.1) or as a variable (Section 2.3.2) |
| \( K_M \) | parameter of Michaelis–Menten kinetics | \( 2 \times 10^{-35} \text{ (mol/} \mu\text{m}^2)^2 \) [62] * |
| \( V_{\text{max}} \) | parameter of Michaelis–Menten kinetics | 0.38 mmol/(gDW h) for BW25113 [62,69] *; 0.3 mmol/(gDW h) for MG1655 [62,69] *; 0.76 mmol/(gDW h) for SJ202; 0.38 mmol/(gDW h) for NCM3722 |
| \( s_p \) | average surface area covered by a phospholipid molecule | 0.5 nm\(^2\) [54] * |
| \( f_l \) | the fraction of surface area covered by phospholipid in membranes | from FBA based on Equation (25) |
| \( \beta \) | coefficient in Equation (24) | 0.5 for iJR904 and 0.22 for iJO1366 based on the experimental data of \( f_l \) [54,72] * |
| \( f_{PG} \) | ratio of the amount of PG to the amount of all kinds of phospholipid located in the cell side surface | 18% [58] * |
| \( n_0 \) | average amount of phospholipid in cell caps during a cell cycle | from cell width based on Equation (5) |
| \( \epsilon \) | average number of cell caps during a cell cycle | 3.4 (fitting) |
| \( D \) | cell width | fitting the experimental data of cell width [6,9,13] or as a variable |
| \( C \) | \( C = s_p \cdot N_A \cdot K_M^{1/2} \) | 2.96 * |
| \( H \) | \( H = 1 - \frac{v_0}{V_{\text{max}}} \) | 1 based on FBA (Section 2.3.1) or as a variable (Section 2.3.2) |
| \( E \) | \( E = \frac{r_{\text{CLPNS}}}{V_{\text{max}}} \) | fitting |

* Obtained from the literature.
3. Results

3.1. Extracting Cell Size from the Metabolic Mode

Cell size is associated with the structure of the cell envelope. As for gram-negative bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, the envelope has two layers of cell membranes. Because the skeleton of cell membranes is phospholipid, cell size depends on the amount of phospholipid. As phosphatidylglycerol takes about 18% of the entire phospholipid in *Escherichia coli* [35,58], it sets the geometric constraint among the phospholipid amount, cell length, and cell width (shown as Figure 1B and Section 2.1). The amount of phosphatidylglycerol is also related to phosphatidylglycerol metabolism. The kinetics
of the catalytic reaction of cardiolipin biosynthesis determine the relationship between the reaction rate and the phosphatidylglycerol concentration, thus setting another constraint among the amount of phospholipid, cardiolipin synthesis rate, cell length, and cell width (shown as Figure 1B and Section 2.2). Combining these two constraints, we obtained a function of the cardiolipin synthesis rate, cell width, and cell length (shown as Figure 1B and Equation (20)). Since cardiolipin biosynthesis is part of the metabolic network, the metabolic balance and bacterial growth strategy relate cardiolipin synthesis rate to the whole metabolic network. Based on the flux balance analysis, the genome-scale metabolic model gives the relationships between growth rate and metabolic reaction rates (shown as Section 2.3.1). Integrating the function of cell length and cardiolipin biosynthesis with the relationship between phospholipid metabolism and growth rate, we quantitatively connected cell length with growth rate by metabolic network.

This method enables us to calculate cell length based on cardiolipin synthesis kinetics and the genome-scale metabolic networks, which is different from previous research. Previous models about metabolic networks and flux balance analysis studied the distribution of metabolic reactions and the effects of growth strategies [75], solvent capacity [76], cell volume constraints [27], and cell surface area constraints [39] on global metabolic reactions. Although the influence of phospholipid metabolism on cell size was studied by experiments [14], a quantitative model has not illustrated it.

3.2. Coordination between the Growth Rate and Cell Length

Different nutrient concentrations in culture media lead to different nutrient transport rates (Equation (2) in research [77], shown as Figure 1C). Nutrient transport reactions, classified into simple diffusion and facilitated diffusion, are the processes in which nutrients in the culture medium transport in or out of cells. When the system is at a steady state, nutrient transport rates are equal to nutrient exchange rates (Appendix A.1 Description of the system). Nutrient exchange reactions are the processes by which nutrients are added in or expelled from the chemostat. In a chemostat culture system, the nutrient exchange rate is determined by the nutrient concentration in culture media, which controls metabolic reaction rates and the growth rate. Thus, we control the nutrient exchange rates to simulate bacterial metabolic features in various culture media. For example, we set the bounds of nutrient exchange rates to correspond to the M9 minimal medium (a kind of culture medium, same to [73]) with glucose as the single carbon source, then changed the glucose exchange rate from 0 to 30 mmol/gDW/h. The results of FBA show that growth rate increases with glucose exchange rate (shown as Figure 1D) and that cardiolipin biosynthesis rate increases linearly with the growth rate (shown as Figure 1E). Equation (20) depicts the relationship between cardiolipin synthesis rate and cell length (shown as Figure 1F). Finally, cell length is a function of the growth rate. SEFBA demonstrates that lipid biosynthesis links cell size with growth rate (Figure 1D–F), which describes how cell length varies with the growth rate under multiple nutrient limitations. To validate the SEFBA model, we compared it with experimental data [6,9,13] of four bacterial strains. The bounds of exchange reactions in FBA correspond to the availability of nutrients in growth media [35]. Each series of bounds corresponds to a group of growth culture media which had the same nutrient components. We used 20 series of bounds (written in Table S1) to simulate the metabolic states of *Escherichia coli* in different culture media [6,25,73,74,78]. Altering the maximum rates of bottleneck carbon-source exchange reactions from 0 to 30 mmol/(gDW·h), we obtained 20 series of SEFBA results. Figure 2 compares the results of SEFBA with experimental data.

The solid lines in Figure 2 with different colors correspond to 20 culture media. They are not exactly the same but have a similar tendency, which is consistent with the empirical relationship that the cell size is larger at faster growth rate. Furthermore, it indicates that the tendency of cell length with growth rate does not strongly depend on culture media.
Figure 2. Comparison between the results of size estimation based on flux balance analysis (SEFBA) with experimental data. The solid lines in different colors are the results of SEFBA. Each color of the solid lines stands for a kind of culture medium which is determined by reactions bounds. $K_M$ is $2 \times 10^{-35} \text{mol/µm}^2$, and $\beta$ is 0.5 in all sub-figures. The dashed lines were drawn by Equation (31), where parameter $C$ is 2.96 and parameter $H$ is 1. Cell width $D$ changes linearly with the growth rate based on experiments data [6,9,13]. The parameter $E$ is 0.04 in (A), 0.023 in (B), 0.04 in (C), and 0.035 in (D), standing for the variation rate of cardiolipin synthesis with growth rate. The upper edges of light blue area were calculated by setting $w_{CLPNS}$ zero while changing cell width $D$, so the light blue area represents the cell length variation caused by cell width. The upper edges of light gray area were calculated by setting $w_{CLPNS}$ a positive value while changing cell width $D$, so the light gray area represents the cell length variation caused by $r_{CLPNS}$. The upper edges of brown area were calculated by setting $n_0$ changing with growth rate (same to cell width changing with growth rate) in SEFBA. 

(A) The circles in orange are the experimental data [13] with the strain of BW25113. $n_0$ is $1 \times 10^{-16}$. $V_{max}$ is 0.38 mmol/(gDW·h). (B) The squares in cyan are the measured data from experiment [9] with the strain SJ202. $n_0$ is $5.8 \times 10^{-17}$. $V_{max}$ is 0.76 mmol/(gDW·h). (C) The inverted triangles filled with purple are from experiments of MG1655 [6]. $n_0$ is $5 \times 10^{-17}$. $V_{max}$ is 0.3 mmol/(gDW·h). (D) The regular triangles filled with red are experiments of NCM3722 [6]. $n_0$ is $5.3 \times 10^{-17}$. $V_{max}$ is 0.38 mmol/(gDW·h). The values of $V_{max}$ for BW2513 and MG1655 are based on the mass spectrometric data [69], and the values of $V_{max}$ for SJ202 and NCM3722 were obtained by fitting experimental data. The values of $n_0$ for each of the bacterial strains were estimated based on the cell width. $\epsilon$ is 3.4 obtained by fitting the experiments.

The bias of SEFBA may come from two main reasons. One reason is that the constraints of FBA are off the real condition. For example, neglecting the complicated genetic regulation mechanism results in the losses of coordination among reactions. Another reason is the thermodynamic constraints of reactions [79,80]. The metabolic reactions network has reaction loops [32,35]. This may lead to the large reaction rates in the results of FBA.
3.3. Influence of Phospholipid Synthesis and Cell Width on Cell Length

Cell length can be classified into two parts according to membrane formation. One part is the length occupied by cell caps which are formed in the middle of the cell, and another part is the length of cell side surface which extends by adding phospholipid and protein into cell membranes [44,81]. Cell width depends on $n_0$ the average amount of phospholipid in cell caps during a cell cycle and $f_i$ the fraction area of phospholipid in cell membranes. The length of the cell side surface relates to $f_i$ and cardiolipin biosynthesis rate $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$.

Assuming that $n_0$ is constant while $f_i$ varies, we had the solid lines in Figure 2. The values of $n_0$ for each of the bacterial strains were estimated from the average value of cell width. The segments and fluctuations in the solid lines in Figure 2 were derived from the alteration of active metabolic reactions which resulted in the variations of the relationship between $f_i$ and $\lambda$ and the relationship between $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$ and $\lambda$. As Figure A3 shows, $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$ is almost in proportion to $\lambda$. Thus, the fluctuations of cell length mainly come from the variation of $f_i$ (Figure A3).

Assuming $f_i$ is constant while $n_0$ varies, we had the black dashed lines in Figure 2. Under nutrient limitation, $n_0$ equals to zero, which means $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$ is 0 when $\lambda$ is infinitely close to 0. $w_{\text{CLPNS}}$ is the slope of $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$ changing with $\lambda$. Since the light blue area in Figure 2 stands for the cell length variation caused by the cell width change and the black dashed line represents the cell length variation caused by the change of cell width and $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$, the gray area represents cell length variation derived from phospholipid synthesis. It implies that the influence of phospholipid synthesis on cell length is a little stronger than that of cell width variation in some cases.

To further validate cell width variation with growth rate, we used Equation (5) to fit the experimental data of cell width for each bacterial strain, shown as Figure A4. In Figure A5, the black lines are the cell length calculated under the condition that $f_i$ is changing while $n_0$ is constant. The orange lines are the cell length calculated with $f_i$ constant and $n_0$ changing. Black lines are close to orange lines. The change of either $f_i$ or $n_0$ leads to the change of cell width. This means that cell width does affect cell length, but the source of variation in cell width is not significant.

3.4. Three Forms of Relationship between Cell Length and Growth Rate under Different Stress Conditions

The relationship between cardiolipin synthesis rate and growth rate, obtained by FBA, is not explicit and applies only to the nutrient limitation conditions. To focus on the effects of phospholipid synthesis on growth rate and cell size, we used a linear equation straightforwardly to depict how cardiolipin synthesis rate changes with growth rate and then had the reduced model of SEFBA (Section 2.3.2). Thus, the tendency of cardiolipin synthesis rate varying with growth rate determines how cell length changes with growth rate (Figure 3A). The reduced model of SEFBA was able to study the relationship between cell size and growth rate under various stresses (Figure 3B). The different relationship between $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$ and growth rate results in the alteration of the relationship between cell length and growth rate. As Figure 3A shows, stresses in *Escherichia coli* can be classified into three groups according to the tendency that cell length changes with the growth rate.

With cell width unchanged, cell length will not change with the growth rate if $w_{\text{CLPNS}}$ is zero. If a factor affects growth rate but not division process and phospholipid synthesis rate, cell length will be independent of the growth rate under the regulation of this factor. Previous research [10] shows that when translation processes were inhibited by different amounts of chloramphenicol, bacterial size was almost constant while the growth rate changed. This implies that cardiolipin synthesis rate $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$ is independent of the growth rate under translation inhibition.

Another classification is that cell length decreases with the growth rate. It occurs when $w_{\text{CLPNS}}$ is negative, which happens when a factor inhibits cell growth but accelerates phospholipid synthesis. Previous studies [10] showed that LacZ overexpression results in reduced growth rate and increased cell length. It implies that $r_{\text{CLPNS}}$ negatively correlated with the growth rate with lacZ overexpression. Under lacZ overexpression stress, protein allocation strategy is different from that under nutrient limitation, which can cause different metabolic reaction distribution. When LacZ is overexpressed,
bacteria may be forced to express more protein, so more phospholipid is needed to enlarge cell volume without lysis. This leads to negative correlation between \( r_{\text{CLPNS}} \) with growth rate.

The last classification is that cell size increases with the growth rate. One typical example is the nutrient limitation. \( r_{\text{CLPNS}} \) positively correlates with growth rate.

![Figure 3. Three tendencies of cell length changing with growth rate. D is cell width. \( H = 1 - \frac{v_0}{V_{\text{max}}} \) and \( E = \frac{r_{\text{CLPNS}}}{V_{\text{max}}} \) (See Equation (31)). \( E \) represents the correlation between the cardiolipin synthesis rate and growth rate. The reduced model of SEFBA is suitable to describe the changes of cell length with growth rate under various growth stresses. The parameter C in Equation (31) depends on \( f_{\text{PG}} \) and \( K_{\text{M}} \). As both \( f_{\text{PG}} \) and \( K_{\text{M}} \) are about constant, the value of C is 2.96 under different growth stresses. (A) The lines display the relationship between growth rate and cell length under different stress conditions. Different types of lines were drawn with different parameters written in the table beside. (B) Comparison of the reduced model and experiments. The experimental data were cited from Basan et al. [10].](image)

### 3.5. Effects of Metabolic Defects on Growth Rate and Cell Length

Impacts of several metabolic gene deletions on cell length and growth rate were researched [13], however, we still lacked a model to predict these effects at a systematic level. With the ability of the genome-scale metabolic model to study gene deletions, we performed flux balance analysis of the metabolic network with genetic defects and calculated cell length to investigate whether cell length showed a positive correlation with growth rate. We did three series of simulations of metabolic gene deletion mutants. Under the influence of metabolic gene deletions, cell length variation correlates to growth rate variation positively (Figure 4A). Knocking out the genes that participate in the phosphate metabolism reduces the growth rate while weakly influencing cell size, such as \( \Delta \text{kta} \), \( \Delta \text{ktaB} \), \( \Delta \text{yqaB} \), \( \Delta \text{pgm} \), and \( \Delta \text{cysQ} \). Some mutants without essential genes cannot grow. These mutants are out of consideration. Furthermore, we examined how the oxygen metabolic pathway affects growth rate
and cell size. Interestingly, cell length in anaerobic conditions is larger than that in aerobic conditions, while growth rate in anaerobic conditions is smaller than that in aerobic conditions (see Figure A6).

**Figure 4.** Effects of metabolic gene deletions on growth rate and cell size. (A) Each point stands for an *Escherichia coli* mutant with the same parameters in SEFBA. $\beta$ is equal to 0.22, which is adapted to metabolic networks iJO1366. Other parameters except for $\beta$ are the same as Figure 2A. Three colors of points stand for three culture media (written in Table S2). One culture medium corresponds to MOPS medium [6,78] with glucose. One corresponds to M9 medium [25,73,74] with glucose. Another corresponds to rich medium [6] with kinds of amino acids and glucose. The maximum exchange reaction rate of oxygen is 15 mmol/gDW·h, and the maximum exchange reaction rate of glucose is 15 mmol/gDW·h. Detail information of bounds was written in Table S2. Each mutant can have more than one gene deletion and each gene deletion can also switch off more than one reaction in metabolic reactions network. The detailed information about mutants was written in Table S3. (B) Comparison between experimental data [4] and SEFBA results of nine mutants of metabolic gene deletions. Twenty kinds of bounds were used in SEFBA to simulate different culture media (Table S1). For each kind of bound, we varied the glucose exchange rate to have the predicted growth rate of FBA close to the experimental data. The ordinate is the variation in cell length of *Escherichia coli* strains with gene deletions compared to that without gene deletions. The error bars are the minimum and maximum values of cell length variation among 20 kinds of culture media.

To further examine the SEFBA model, we compared the SEFBA predictions of gene-deletion strains with experiments [4] which studied the influence of several central carbon metabolism genes on cell size and the growth rate. The medium [4] used to culture these nine mutants was Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with 0.2% glucose. Since the chemical components of LB are unclear, we did SEFBA with 20 kinds of culture media. The bounds of exchange reactions corresponding to these 20 kinds of culture media were written in Table S1. For each kind of reaction bound, we did flux balance analysis with various glucose exchange rates and selected the result whose growth rate was closest to the experimental value. Then, we predicted the cell length of each mutant in these 20 kinds of culture media. The comparison of SEFBA and experiments was drawn in Figure 4B. The large error bars imply that physiology features of mutants depend on the cultural media. It is reasonable that the metabolic defects caused by a gene deletion may be critical in a cultural medium but less critical in another cultural medium. Thus, the cell size of a mutant changes widely among cultural media. The change directions we predicted for $\Delta$gnd and $\Delta$acnB are not consistent with experiments. The inconsistency may result from several aspects. One is that components of the FBA model used in this work are not fully constrained, such as the solvent capacity constraint [76], protein allocation constraint [26], etc. Another is that the nutrient uptake rates in the Westfall’s experiments [4] are
unavailable—the use of the estimated values of nutrient uptake rates instead of experimental values may lead to mispredictions of some mutants.

4. Discussion

This work provides a possible explanation as to why cell size changes differently with growth rate under different kinds of growth stresses from the metabolic aspect. A reason is that the phospholipid metabolic rate changes differently with growth rate under various growth stresses. The uncertainty of parameters in SEFBA among bacterial strains under different growth stresses limits the prediction ability of the model, as well as the reduced model of SEFBA. Despite this, the correlation between growth rate and phospholipid metabolic rate is the key in determining the tendency of cell size changing with growth rate. SEFBA or the reduced model of SEFBA is still able to describe the relationship between cell size and growth rate without considering the detailed regulation processes in cells. It implies the metabolic features are the basis of the correlation between cell size and growth rate.

Unlike previous improved models of FBA [27,39,75,76], our method combined cardiolipin synthesis kinetics with the genome-scale metabolic model to calculate cell length, instead of improving the objective function or the constraints in the flux balance analysis. Thus, this method does not improve the accuracy of metabolic reactions predictions but enables us to predict cell size in various culture media and examine the effects of metabolic modes on cell size. This method accompanied by the conventional FBA is named as SEFBA in this work. It is able to combine with any other improved FBA like FBAwMC [27] and FBA^ME [39] instead of the conventional FBA. The accuracy of SEFBA may be improved by replacing the FBA part in SEFBA with other improved FBA models. Besides, if more constraints of reactions could be introduced into the metabolic model, we will be able to study the relationships between bacterial cell size and growth rate with other growth limitations.

The cardiolipin biosynthesis reaction used in this work is the reaction that two molecules of phosphatidylglycerol are transferred into a cardiolipin molecule and a glycerol molecule catalyzed by clsA synthase. Both phosphatidylglycerol and cardiolipin are major phospholipids in bacteria [61,64]. Since the mechanism of cardiolipin biosynthesis reaction [60,63] is much simpler than other phospholipid biosynthesis (for example, PG synthesis processes include two kinds of reactions and involve three enzymes which are pgA, pgB, and MsbA [63]), we used cardiolipin biosynthesis reaction to estimate the concentration of phosphatidylglycerol. As the reaction occurs in exponential growth phase and this method also intends to study the cell size feature of *Escherichia coli* in exponential growth phase, it is suitable to use the cardiolipin biosynthesis reaction to calculate cell size.

SEFBA is not susceptible to the genome-scale metabolic network models. Similar results were obtained with iJR904 and iJO1366 (Figure 2 and Figure A7). Because the transport reactions involved in different metabolic networks are not the same (which results in different simple diffusion rate and facilitated diffusion rate), β needs an update to meet the constraint of phospholipid-to-protein ratio in membranes. The other parameters in SEFBA, including $K_M$, $V_{\text{max}}$, and $n_0$, are independent of metabolic networks.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at [http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/9/2/391/S1](http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/9/2/391/S1). Table S1: reactions bounds in FBA corresponding to twenty kinds of culture media; Table S2: reactions bounds in FBA for gene-deletion mutants; Table S3: gene deletions and corresponding reactions involved in iJO1366; Table S4: reactions bounds information used to compare bacteria growth in aerobic condition to that in anaerobic condition.
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Appendix A. Supplementary Notes

Appendix A.1. Description of the System

We designed a system first. The system is a cultural container where bacterial cells are cultivated, as Figure A1 shows. The container is full of culture medium. We use several parameters to describe the system’s features. They are the volume of system denoted by $V$, the temperature of system denoted by $T$, and the number of cells in the system denoted by $N_{cell}$. We add fresh culture medium into the system and drain newly generated cells and metabolic wastes off the system to ensure the system is at a steady state. That is, the concentration of components in the system are constant at steady states. The time average of every physiological variable is also constant, such as the average size and dry mass of cells in the system.

![Figure A1. The schematic diagram of the system.](image)

In the system, a variety of processes occur, which can be written in the form of reactions. We classified all reactions in the system into three categories based on their function, as Figure A2 shows. They are exchange reactions including reactions with the function of exchanging nutrients between the system and outside, transport reactions including reactions with the function of transporting molecules in or out the cell, and intracellular reactions including reactions whose reactants and products are all in cells. We treated a kind of molecules in culture medium and the same kind of molecules in cells as different kinds of molecules. The system is taken as a reaction solution where a cluster of reactions occurred. The reactions’ rates in this work are actually the average rates of reactions in the entire system space over a cell cycle. We use a vector $r$ to stand for reactions rates whose elements $r_j$ is the reaction rate of reaction $j$ occurred in $N_{cell}$ cells in the system.
**Figure A2.** The categories of reactions in the system. Exchange reactions are nutrient exchanges between system and outside. Transport reactions are nutrient exchanges between cells and medium. Intracellular reactions are reactions whose reactants and products are both in cells.

We considered \( V, N_{\text{cell}}, T, \) and reaction rates \( r \) as system parameters.

In exchange reactions, biomass reaction is a special reaction in the system. It is an artificial reaction which represents the processes synthesizing cells and moving the newly generated cells out of the system. We wrote it with two reactions, BR1 and BR2. BR1 is written as

\[
\text{BR1: } N^B_{p_1} p^1_{\text{recursor}} + N^B_{p_2} p^2_{\text{recursor}} + \cdots + N^B_{p_i} p^i_{\text{recursor}} + \cdots \rightarrow \text{Biomass} + N^B_{w_1} W^1_{\text{waste}} + \cdots. \quad (A1)
\]

It represents the processes by which biomass precursors are converted into a cell. The biomass precursors include 20 kinds of amino acid, four kinds of ribonucleic acid and four kinds of deoxyribonucleic acid as well as some other essential compounds in cell. \( p^i_{\text{recursor}} \) stands for a kind of biomass precursor and \( N^B_{p_i} \) stands for the fraction of \( p^i_{\text{recursor}} \) in unit of mmol per gram of dry weight, which means that \( N^B_{p_i} \) mmol \( p^i_{\text{recursor}} \) are needed to produce 1 g dry weight of cells. Thus \( \text{Biomass} \) stands for an artificial molecule and 1 mmol \( \text{Biomass} \) equals to 1 g cells content without water, that is the molar mass of \( \text{Biomass} \) equals to 1000 g/mol, denoted by \( M_B \) \[82\].

BR2 is the exchange reaction that moves the newly synthesized cell out of the system to maintain the system at steady states, shown as

\[
\text{BR2: } \text{Biomass} \rightarrow \phi. \quad (A2)
\]

In many cases, BR1 and BR2 are written as a single reaction:

**Biomass reaction:**

\[
N^B_{p_1} p^1_{\text{recursor}} + N^B_{p_2} p^2_{\text{recursor}} + \cdots + N^B_{p_i} p^i_{\text{recursor}} + \cdots \rightarrow N^B_{w_1} W^1_{\text{waste}} + \cdots. \quad (A3)
\]

Then, we calculated the reaction rate of biomass reaction denoted by \( r'_b \). According to the reaction rate definition, we have

\[
r'_b = \frac{d[B_{\text{biomass}}]}{dt}. \quad (A4)
\]

Here, \( [B_{\text{biomass}}] \) is the molar concentration of cells after dehydration in the system in unit of mol/liter. That is

\[
[B_{\text{biomass}}] = \frac{M_{\text{DW}} \cdot N_{\text{cell}}}{V \cdot M_B}. \quad (A5)
\]
Here, $M_{DW}$ is the dry mass of a single cell in unit of gram, $N_{\text{cell}}$ is the number of cells in the system. The system volume $V$ is in unit of liter. Thus,

$$r_b' = \frac{N_{\text{cell}} \frac{dM_{DW}}{dt}}{V \cdot M_B}$$

(A6)

$r_b$ is in unit of mol/(liter · h). Define a system parameter $\alpha$ as

$$\alpha = \frac{M_{DW} \cdot N_{\text{cell}}}{V \cdot M_B}$$

(A7)

Thus,

$$r_b' = \frac{\alpha \frac{dM_{DW}}{dt}}{M_{DW}}$$

(A8)

According to the definition of growth rate $\lambda$ that $\frac{dM_{DW}}{dt} = \lambda M_{DW}$, we had

$$\lambda = \frac{r_b'}{\alpha}$$

(A9)

When the system is at steady states, $M_{DW}$, the average dry weight of a single cell in a cell cycle, is constant. That is, $M_{DW}$ does not change with time. Thus, cells in the system grow in exponential phase when the system is at steady states. However, $M_{DW}$ will change among steady states. As a consequence, the value of $\alpha$ is certain in each steady state, while it alters when the system is at different steady states. The unit of $r_b'$ is mol · liter$^{-1}$ · h$^{-1}$. Transforming it to mmol · gDW$^{-1}$ · h$^{-1}$ same to previous studies [82], we have

$$r_b = \frac{1000V}{N_{\text{cell}} \cdot M_{DW}} \cdot r_b' = \lambda.$$

Appendix A.2. Description of Flux Balance Analysis

Flux balance analysis method is suitable to analyze a steady system. Under the assumption that the system described above is at steady state where the concentration of every kind of substance is constant, such as the concentration of the cell and the concentration of nutrients, we use flux balance analysis to study the relationship among reactions. We defined a vector $m$ whose element $m_i$ represents the concentration of metabolite $i$. $r$ is a vector of reactions rates whose element $r_j$ represents the reaction rate of reaction $j$ occurred in the system. The unit of $r_j$ is mol · gDW$^{-1}$ · h$^{-1}$. $S$ is a stoichiometric matrix, whose element $S_{ij}$ is the stoichiometry of the metabolite $i$ in the reaction $j$ in the metabolic network of the system. According to the Mass Conservation Law, we have

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = S \cdot r.$$  

(A10)

The concentration of each kind of component in the system is constant at steady states, so

$$S \cdot r = 0.$$  

(A11)

This equation is called mass balance constraint. We introduce another constraint to the system. It is often called as bounds, which defines the ranges of reaction rate. It is written as

$$b_l < r < b_u.$$  

(A12)

$b_l$ and $b_u$ are vectors whose elements $b_l^j$ and $b_u^j$ are respectively the lowest and largest values of reaction $j$ among all achievable steady states. That is, the bounds of reactions restrict a space of steady states of the system. The negative value of a exchange reaction of a nutrient means that the nutrient is added
into the system, and the positive value means that the nutrient is exhausted out of the system. Each kind of nutrient in medium participates at least two reactions, as Figure A2 shows. One is an exchange reaction, and the others are transport reactions. $r_{ex}^i$ denotes to the exchange reaction that excrete nutrient $i$ is out of the system, and $r_{tran}^i$ denotes to the sum of reactions that transport nutrient $i$ into cells. At steady states, the sum of $r_{ex}^i$ and $r_{tran}^i$ equals to zero. That is, $r_{tran}^i = -r_{ex}^i$. If the lower bounds of reaction, $b_{tran}^i$, is larger than or equal to zero, the cells in system cannot absorb nutrient $i$. This means $b_i$ determines the maximum rate at which cells in the system utilize nutrient $i$. By changing the value of $r_{tran}^i$, we can simulate cells growing in different mediums. Different maximum values of $r_{tran}^i$ are related to the concentration of nutrients $i$ in the system and the concentration of enzymes that catalyze this reaction.

Assuming that the system prefers the state of biomass precursors to produce cells at maximum rate, we use $r_b$ as the objective function. Thus, the flux balance analysis in this work method is written as:

$$\text{max: } r_b$$
$$\text{subject to: } S \cdot r = 0$$
$$b_1 < r < b_u.$$  (A13)
(A14)
(A15)

**Appendix A.3. Kinetics of Cardiolipin Synthesis Reaction and Unit Transformation**

Cardiolipin synthesis reaction can be written as

$$2 \text{PG} + \text{clsA-free} \xrightleftharpoons[k_1]^{k_b} \text{clsA-2PG} \xrightarrow{k_2} \text{Cardiolipin} + \text{glycerol} + \text{clsA-free}, \quad \text{ (A16)}$$

where $k_1$, $k_{-1}$, and $k_2$ denote the rate constants. The phosphatidylglycerol is abbreviated as PG in this work, and the reaction of cardiolipin synthesis catalyzed by clsA is abbreviated as CLPNS. $r'$ with subscripts represent the reaction rates in unit of mol·µm$^{-2}·h^{-1}$, for example $r'_{CLPNS}$ is the cardiolipin synthesis rate in unit of mol·µm$^{-2}·h^{-1}$. According to the law of mass action, the reaction rate is written as

$$r'_1 = k_1 [\text{PG}]^2 [\text{clsA-free}],$$
$$r'_{-1} = k_{-1} [\text{clsA-2PG}],$$
$$r'_{CLPNS} = k_2 [\text{clsA-2PG}],$$  (A17)
(A18)
(A19)

where $[X]$ is the concentration of $X$ meaning amount of $X$ per area in unit of mol/µm$^2$. This is because this reaction is taking place at cell membrane. $X$ can be any one of a number of substances such as PG and clsA. Because $\frac{d[\text{clsA-free}]}{dt} = -r'_1 + r'_{-1} + r'_{CLPNS}$, when the concentration of clsA does not change, we had

$$k_1 [\text{PG}]^2 [\text{clsA-free}] = k_{-1} [\text{clsA-2PG}] + k_2 [\text{clsA-2PG}],$$  (A20)

thus we had

$$\frac{[\text{clsA-free}]}{[\text{clsA-2PG}]} = \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_1 [\text{PG}]^2}.$$  (A21)
As the sum of \([\text{clsA-free}]\) and \([\text{clsA-2PG}]\) is \([\text{clsA}]\), we had

\[
r'_{CLPNS} = k_2[\text{clsA}] \frac{k_1[\text{PG}]^2}{k_1 + k_2 + k_1[\text{PG}]^2} \\
= k_2[\text{clsA}] \frac{[\text{PG}]^2}{\left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{k_1}\right) + [\text{PG}]^2}
\]

(\text{A22})

(\text{A23})

\[
= V'_{\text{max}} \frac{[\text{PG}]^2}{K_M + [\text{PG}]^2}
\]

(\text{A24})

where \(V'_{\text{max}} = k_2[\text{clsA}]\) and \(K_M = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{k_1}\).

To transform the unit of \(r'_{CLPNS}\) from \(\text{mol} \cdot \mu\text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}\) to \(\text{mmol} \cdot \text{gDW}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}\), we had

\[
r_{CLPNS} = \frac{1000 \cdot s_{\text{area}}}{M_{DW}} \cdot r'_{CLPNS}
\]

(\text{A25})

\[
= \frac{1000 \cdot s_{\text{area}}}{M_{DW}} \cdot k_2[\text{clsA}] \cdot \frac{[\text{PG}]^2}{\left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{k_1}\right) + [\text{PG}]^2}
\]

(\text{A26})

\[
= \frac{1000 \cdot s_{\text{area}}}{M_{DW}} \cdot \frac{n_{\text{clsA}}}{s_{\text{area}}} \cdot k_2 \cdot \frac{[\text{PG}]^2}{K_M + [\text{PG}]^2}
\]

(\text{A27})

\[
= \frac{1000 \cdot n_{\text{clsA}} \cdot k_2}{M_{DW}} \cdot \frac{[\text{PG}]^2}{K_M + [\text{PG}]^2}
\]

(\text{A28})

\[
= V_{\text{max}} \frac{[\text{PG}]^2}{K_M + [\text{PG}]^2}
\]

(\text{A29})

where \(n_{\text{clsA}}\) is the amount of clsA per cell in unit of mol, \(V_{\text{max}} = \frac{1000 \cdot n_{\text{clsA}} \cdot k_2}{M_{DW}}\). The difference between \(r'\) and \(r\) with same subscript is the unit.
Appendix B. Supplementary Figures

Figure A3. Relationships among growth rate, cell length, cardiolipin synthesis rate, and fraction area of phospholipid in membranes. The purple solid lines are the cardiolipin synthesis rate changing with the growth rate. As the sub-figure shows, the cardiolipin synthesis rate is nearly proportional to the growth rate in all culture media, except for the media containing kinds of amino acid. The blue solid lines represent the fraction area of the phospholipid in cell membranes. As growth rate increases, $f_l$ does not change smoothly at some points; it is the same for $r_{CLPNS}$. Data in each sub-figure is from a series of simulations corresponding to the same kind of culture media.
Figure A4. Cell width changing with growth rate. Points in each sub-figure stand for the cell width of four bacterial strains at various growth rates. The colorful lines are cell width in SEFBA calculated with Equation (5) whose $n_0$ is estimated based on cell width average and the average value of $f_l$. The light blue area is the cell width calculated by the experimental relationship between cell width and the growth rate. The orange area is the cell width variation derived from the $f_l$ change. All the parameters used here are the same as Figure 2.
Figure A5. Effects of cell width on cell length. The solid lines in both black and orange are cell length. The difference between them is whether the variation of cell width is derived from the fraction area of phospholipid in membranes ($f_l$) or from the amount of phospholipid in cell caps ($n_0$). As for black solid lines, $f_l$ is based on the simulations, which varies with the growth rate, while $n_0$ is constant. As for orange solid lines, $f_l$ uses the average value, which does not change with the growth rate, while $n_0$ changes with the growth rate.
**Figure A6.** Growth rates and cell length of bacteria in aerobic conditions and in anaerobic conditions. *E. coli* grows faster in aerobic condition than anaerobic condition, while cell length is smaller in aerobic condition than anaerobic condition. (A) the growth rate of bacteria growing in 20 aerobic and anaerobic culture media. (B) The cell length of bacteria growing in 20 aerobic and anaerobic culture media. These results were derived from SEFBA simulations whose reaction bounds corresponding to culture media were written in Table S4 and parameters were the same as in Figure 2 of BW25113. It suggested that bacteria in anaerobic condition has a smaller growth rate but a larger cell size than that in aerobic condition.
Figure A7. Relationship between growth rate and cell length with iJO1366 model. The simulations were done with iJO1366 model. The parameters used here are the same as those in Figure 2, except for $\beta = 0.22$. The results of SEFBA using iJO1366 model are similar to using iJR904 model.

Figure A8. The tendency that the fraction of facilitated diffusion to all transport reactions varies with growth rate. Despite that there are several parts of lines corresponding to the culture media with more than six kinds of amino acids decreased in a small range of growth rate, the fraction of facilitated diffusion to all transport reactions mainly has a tendency to increase with growth rate and to reach a limit value finally. The data in this figure was obtained by flux balance analysis with bounds listed in Table S1, the same as in Figure 2.
Figure A9. Effects of the fraction of phospholipid in membranes on cell size. According to the Equation (20), the fraction of phospholipid does have influence on the cell length. To test the impact strength, we fixed the cardiolipin synthesis rate and changed $f_l$. The values of $n_0$, $K_M$, and $V_{max}$ were the same as those of Figure 2A. We set $r_{CLPNS}$ to three values with the unit of mmol/(gDW·h).
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