1. INTRODUCTION

The depletion of fossil fuels and the environmental damage resulting from their excessive use have sparked interest in the use of renewable energy resources. However, a major constraint to their massive use is the intermittency of energy due to its dependence on weather conditions. This makes it imperative to develop and optimize energy storage devices that allow better utilization of the resource generated. In particular, to store all of the energy produced by intermittent energy sources, devices with high power and energy densities are needed.

Energy storage devices include batteries, fuel cells, and capacitors. The first two are characterized by high energy densities (to able to store a lot of energy in a small amount of mass) but require very long charging periods (low power density). Consequently, their use as storage devices for intermittent energy sources is not the most viable since their lifetime is low under these operating conditions and in addition do not collect all of the available energy. On the other hand, capacitors are characterized by high power but low energy density, so they are not useful for storing large amounts of energy.

Supercapacitors are an emerging type of material that aims to fill the technology gap between batteries and capacitors, achieving high energy and power densities. These devices store electrical energy through two different mechanisms, electrostatic double-layer capacitance (EDLC) and fast and reversible surface or near-surface redox reactions (pseudocapacitance). The double-layer capacitance is obtained from the energy stored in the Helmholtz double layer especially in large-surface-area electrodes. In the case of pseudocapacitance, the charge (Q) depends on the potential (V) as \( dQ/dV \), and the material behaves to some extent like a battery (faradaic process). However, typical electrochemical responses are quasi-rectangular cyclovoltammograms and quasi-linear galvanostatic charge–discharge (GCD) curves, which resemble those of EDLCs, hence the term “pseudo” in pseudocapacitance. When pseudocapacitive and EDLC mechanisms coexist in the same material, it is referred to as supercapacitive. In all cases, the amount of charge stored is quantified by the specific capacitance (F g\(^{-1}\)).

Conjugated conducting polymers (CPs) are among the most promising electrode materials as supercapacitors due to their high charge density, favorable environmental stability, low cost,
considerable structural diversity, and mechanical flexibility.\textsuperscript{4,6,9} The most studied CPs for energy storage and their theoretical specific capacitances are polyaniline (PAni) with 750 F g\textsuperscript{−1}, polythiophene (PTh) with 485 F g\textsuperscript{−1}, polypyrrole (PPy) with 620 F g\textsuperscript{−1}, and poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) with 210 F g\textsuperscript{−1}. Among the CPs, PPy stands out for its high electrical conductivity, ease of synthesis, and low obtention cost.\textsuperscript{9,13,14} The specific capacitances reported for this polymer range from 100 to 650 F g\textsuperscript{−1}, depending on the synthesis conditions, polymer morphology, dopant nature, and characterization conditions.

There is currently great interest in increasing the energy density and stability of PPy without sacrificing its current power density. To achieve this, it is important to take into account that most of the synthesis parameters significantly influence the physicochemical properties and stability of this polymer. Thus, for example, it is known that the chemical nature of the solvent and the electrolyte affect the microstructure, porosity, conductivity, and cross-linking of PPy. Temperature affects the polymerization kinetics, conductivity, and redox properties.\textsuperscript{19} And the type of electrical signal of synthesis causes differences in adhesion, morphology, and homogeneity of the coatings. Even, postsynthesis modifications, such as additional oxidations, lead to changes in the properties of this polymer, such as in its conductivity and electro-construction. Therefore, it is clearly relevant to perform systematic studies that refine the individual and combined influence of the synthesis parameters on the charge storage properties of PPy. Quite a few studies of how one or two of these parameters affect the electrochemical properties of the polymer are found in the literature; for example, Wang et al. found that the type of dopant ions influenced the capacitance.\textsuperscript{19} Raudsepp et al. evaluated the ionic mobility of different sulfonate dopant ions and the electroactivity of the polymer.\textsuperscript{20} Otero et al. observed that the electrodeposition potential, the type of anion, and the total synthesis charge modified the charge storage of the polymer,\textsuperscript{21} among others.\textsuperscript{21–26} However, there are hardly any publications on the effect of several factors at once, their interactions, and the statistical significance of these parameters on the supercapacitance of PPy. Such an approach holds promise for optimizing synthesis methods and systematically improving the energy storage capacity, thus increasing the chances of obtaining a commercially viable product.

Among the different electrical signals of electropolimerization used with PPy, it has been shown that current pulses and constant current at low current densities favor polymer stability and supercapacitance.\textsuperscript{26–29} It is also known that the use of organic solvents during synthesis, such as acetonitrile (ACN), produces polymers with good mechanical and electronic properties; however, water is a widely used solvent because of the high solubilization of pyrrole, salts and because of its environmental advantages.\textsuperscript{30} Among the different dopant ions, \textit{p}-toluenesulfonate anions tend to improve the charge/discharge stability of the polymer,\textsuperscript{7,9,24} while ClO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{−}-doped polymers generate high capacitances. Postsynthetic modifications of the polymer are uncommon; however, it has been shown that increasing the doping level after synthesis improves the electrochemical properties of PPy as a more active material is obtained.

Therefore, in this work, we study the influence of these synthesis parameters (dopant, solvent, electrical signal, and postsynthetic modification) on the supercapacitance of the electrochemically synthesized PPy. Through statistical analysis, we determine which synthesis parameters and interactions most influence the capacitance of the polymer and conclude which synthesis conditions produce the highest charge storage capacity and stability of the material.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials. Pyrrole (99% purity) was distilled prior to use and stored away from light at 3 °C under N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. All solutions were prepared with type I water with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm unless stated otherwise. Ppyrrole (Ppyrrole) was the monomer used for the fabrication of the polymer, potassium chloride (KCl) was the supporting electrolyte used for electrochemical characterizations, \textit{p}-toluenesulfonic acid (HpTS) and lithium perchlorate (LiClO\textsubscript{4}) were used as dopants, and acetonitrile (ACN) was used as a solvent for synthesis. These chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Potassium hexacyanoferrate (K\textsubscript{3}[Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}]) (from Merck) was used as a redox couple for electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS).

2.2. Design of Experiments (DOE) and Synthesis of PPy Coatings. All electrochemical experiments were performed at room temperature in a three-electrode electrochemical cell with a potentiostat PGSTAT302N MetroOhm Autolab, controlled by the software Nova 2.1.5. The potential was measured against a saturated Ag/AgCl reference electrode, the auxiliary electrode was a platinum wire, and the working electrode was a platinum disk with a geometric area of 0.02 cm\textsuperscript{2} (BASi) so that with each synthesis PPy coatings were obtained on the disk-shaped platinum surface.

A design of experiments (DOE) was used to set the combination of synthesis parameters that would allow evaluating the influence of the main factors and their interactions (secondary factors) on the supercapacitance of the polymer. The effect of two types of electrical signals during synthesis was evaluated. The first one consisted of a unipolar pulse current of 10 000 pulses, each pulse with an on-time of 10 ms (\textit{t}_{\text{on}}) and a relaxation time of 100 ms (\textit{t}_{\text{off}}). During \textit{t}_{\text{on}} an oxidative current of 4 mA cm\textsuperscript{−2} was applied to the working electrode. During \textit{t}_{\text{off}} no current was applied to the electrode. For this synthesis method, named method A, a 2\textsuperscript{3} full factorial design was used (Table 1A). The second synthesis method, named method B, consisted of a series of unipolar pulses of current, as in the previous synthesis, but with a current density of 2.5 mA cm\textsuperscript{−2} followed by a period of constant current density of 0.6 mA cm\textsuperscript{−2}. This current was applied for 10 or 25 min. A mixed three-factor general factorial design was used

| Table 1. Factors and Levels Used in the Design of Experiments |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| (A) 2\textsuperscript{3} factorial design |
| factor | level |
| solvent | water | ACN |
| dopant | ClO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{−} | pTS |
| post-oxidation current (mA cm\textsuperscript{−2}) | 0 | 1 |
| (B) mixed three-factor general design |
| factor | level |
| solvent | ClO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{−} | pTS |
| constant current (min) | 10 | 25 |
| number of pulses | 100 | 300 | 500 |
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The dopant concentration on each synthesis was 0.20 M, and the pyrrole concentration was 0.15 M. All syntheses were carried in water except when ACN (with 2% of water) was one level of the factors to evaluate.

2.3. Characterization. Electrochemical performance of the PPy coatings was investigated by galvanostatic charge/discharge (GCD), cyclic voltammetry (CV), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) techniques. CV measurements were performed in 1.0 M KCl, in a potential window ranging from −0.4 to 0.6 V at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. For the study of capacitance retention as a function of scan rate, scan velocities ranging from 0.1 to 500 mV s⁻¹ were used. Capacitance retention is the percentage of the maximum capacitance that is achieved at different scan rates. The specific capacitance was calculated from CV employing eq 1

\[ C_m = \frac{\int I(V) \, dV}{2 \, m \, \nu \Delta V} \]  

where \( C_m \) (F g⁻¹) is the specific capacitance, \( I \) (A) is the current as a function of the potential scan, \( m \) (g) is the mass of the polymer, \( \nu \) (V s⁻¹) is the scan rate, and \( \Delta V \) (V) corresponds to the voltage window.

GCD measurements were performed in 1.0 M KCl, using a potential window ranging from −0.4 to 0.6 V, at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹. The discharge specific capacitance was calculated using the following equation

\[ C_m = \frac{I \Delta t}{m \Delta V} \]  

where \( C_m \) (F g⁻¹) is the specific capacitance, \( I \) (A) corresponds to the discharge current, \( \Delta t \) (s) is the discharge time, \( m \) (g) is the mass of the polymer, and \( \Delta V \) (V) is the voltage window. The energy density (Wh kg⁻¹) and power density (W kg⁻¹) were calculated from GCD using eqs 3 and 4, respectively

\[ E = \frac{0.5 C_m \Delta V^2}{3600} \]  
\[ P = \frac{E}{\Delta t} \]

where \( E \) (Wh kg⁻¹) is the energy density, \( C_m \) (F kg⁻¹) is the specific capacitance calculated from GCD, \( \Delta V \) (V) corresponds to the voltage window, 3600 is used to transform from J or Ws to Wh, \( P \) (W kg⁻¹) corresponds to the energy density, and \( \Delta t \) (h) is discharge time from GCD.

EIS experiments were carried out to study the redox processes of the PPy coatings and to evaluate their electronic and ionic conductivities. The Nyquist spectra were taken in 0.5
M KCl and 5 mM ferricyanide solutions, using an alternating current (AC) amplitude of 10 mV at open-circuit potential, varying frequencies ($f$) from 100 kHz to 100 Hz. To study the charge storage dynamics of the polymers, measurements were performed from 10 mHz to 10 Hz in 0.5 M KCl and 5 mM ferricyanide solutions using an AC amplitude of 10 mV, varying the potential from $-0.4$ to 0.6 V with a step of 51.3 mV. The results were used to calculate the real capacitance component ($C'$) as a function of angular frequency ($\omega = 2\pi f$) using the following equation:

$$C' = \frac{-Z''}{\omega |Z(\omega)|^2}$$  

The morphology of the coatings was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL model JSM 6490-LV). Raman spectra were acquired using a 638 nm laser in a range between 1800 and 800 cm$^{-1}$ using an XploRA One Horiba Scientific Instrument.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Supercapacitance from CV and GCD. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is a widely used characterization technique for conducting polymers. CV allows studying the behavior of the material at different voltages, to calculate the specific capacitance and determine the effect of the scan rate on the capacitive response. In CV analysis, the larger the area under the curve of the cyclovoltammogram, the higher the capacitance of the material. For synthesis method A (Figure 1), ClO$_4^-$-doped polymers showed higher capacitances than those doped with pTS. When using ClO$_4^-$ as a dopant, the polymers with the highest supercapacitance are those synthesized in water without post-oxidation current (187.22 ± 4.73 F g$^{-1}$) and in ACN with post-oxidation current (180.92 ± 11.47 F g$^{-1}$). In this case, the difference between the two materials is not statistically significant. For the pTS-doped PPy, the material synthesized in water without post-oxidation current had the highest supercapacitance with 171.06 ± 20.17 F g$^{-1}$. The ClO$_4^-$-doped polymers show low capacitances when fabricated in ACN or water, with post-oxidation current, being lower when synthesized in ACN without post-oxidation current. In the case of pTS-doped polymers, the capacitance is lower when a post-oxidation current is applied or ACN is used as a solvent. Further analysis of this behavior is shown below with the results of analysis of variance (ANOVA).

For method B (unipolar current pulses as method A but with a current density of 2.5 mA cm$^{-2}$, followed by a constant current of 0.6 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 10 or 25 min), the most capacitive materials are those synthesized with ClO$_4^-$ using 300 pulses.
and 10 min of constant current, and those doped with pTS using 500 pulses and 10 min of constant current, with supercapacitances of 313.4 ± 8.5 and 312.6 ± 9.8 F g⁻¹, respectively (Figure 2). The energy storage capacity of the ClO₄⁻-doped PPy is not statistically different from the pTS-doped polymer when a constant current is applied for 10 min during synthesis. However, when the current is applied for 25 min, the capacitance of the pTS-doped material decreases drastically. Because pTS-doped PPy tends to form compact layered structures, it is likely that ion diffusion decreases as the layer thickness increases.¹⁹ Therefore, the inner part of the polymeric matrix no longer participates in the charge storage process and is possibly overoxidized due to the slow diffusion of anions to stabilize the positive charges formed during the charging process (oxidation). This behavior will be further analyzed with ANOVA results. The almost rectangular shape of the CVs in the pTS-doped polymers, for both synthesis methods, indicates a quasi-ideal capacitive behavior in this potential window.⁹ Deviations from this behavior, more significant in the ClO₄⁻-doped polymers, can be caused by faradaic reactions associated with the oxidation or reduction of the material during the charge/discharge process. These deviations differentiate EDCLs from pseudocapacitors and correspond to anodic or cathodic waves associated with the expulsion or incorporation of ions into the polymer matrix to maintain the electrical neutrality of the system.³¹ GCD was used as a complementary characterization technique to corroborate the results obtained from CV. This technique is more reliable for determining the energy storage capacity of the polymer, as it simulates the charge/discharge process to which energy storage devices are exposed. Also, it provides useful information such as ohmic loss due to internal resistance and allows calculation of the power density and energy density of the material. In the case of the GCD experiments, the longer it takes to discharge the polymer at a constant current density, the higher the capacitance. The results agree with those obtained by CV, presenting the same capacitive trend for the different coatings. The high symmetry between the charge and discharge curves indicates a high reversibility of the process. For method A (Figure 3), the highest capacitances of PPy-ClO₄⁻ were for the polymers synthesized in water without post-oxidation current (199.3 ± 7.52 F g⁻¹) and for the one synthesized in ACN with post-oxidation current (201.6 ± 10.69 F g⁻¹). For PPY-pTS, the best capacitance was achieved by the material synthesized with 300 pulses and 10 min of constant current (353.75 ± 1.6 F g⁻¹). For PPY-pTS, the best capacitance was achieved by the material synthesized with 500
pulses and 10 min of constant current (343.88 ± 10.8 F g\(^{-1}\)). Again, it is observed that the capacitance of the PPy-pTS obtained by method B is lower when the final constant current is applied for 25 min. The supercapacitances calculated from GCD are slightly higher than those obtained using CV, which is attributed to a slower oxidation/reduction of the polymer at 1 A g\(^{-1}\) than at 5 mV s\(^{-1}\). This allows a larger amount of polymer to participate in diffusion-limited ionic insertion or expulsion during the charge/discharge process.

3.2. Further Characterization of Most Capacitive PPy Coatings. The most capacitive polymers for each dopant and synthesis method were chosen for further analysis. Polymers A-H\(_2\)O/ClO\(_4\) and A-H\(_2\)O/pTS correspond to those obtained by method A, in water, without post-oxidation current, doped with ClO\(_4\)^− and pTS, respectively. Polymers B-300,10/ClO\(_4\) and B-500,10/pTS correspond to those obtained by method B, doped with ClO\(_4\)^− and pTS, with 300 and 500 pulses, with 10 min of constant current (0.6 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) at the end, respectively. The following results correspond to these four polymers.

Electrochemical energy storage is known to be controlled by two different mechanisms: a capacitive process, in which the capacitance is independent of the scan rate, and a semi-infinite diffusion process, which is characterized by a linear behavior with the inverse of the square root of the scan rate. Capacitance retention characterizes the decrease in electrochemical interface area within the structure with increasing scan rates; this is typically due to the limited rate of diffusion of charge-compensating ions at the interface. Higher retention generally suggests better performance, in particular, a higher capacitance obtained even at higher currents and scan rates. In most cases, this decrease in capacitance as a function of the scan rate or charge/discharge current is reported as a % retention with respect to the highest observed value. Figure 5 displays the capacitance retention as a function of scan rate for the selected polymers. Three regions are identified from the plots, the first at high scan rates, where semi-infinite diffusion limits the energy storage process. The second, at intermediate scan rates, corresponds to a transition between an energy storage process limited by semi-infinite diffusion and a purely capacitive process. And the third, at low scan rates, corresponds to a capacitive behavior in which the energy storage is not significantly affected by the scan rate.

As can be seen, the capacitance retention of the polymers obtained by method A is more affected by the scan rate, indicating that these are diffusion-limited more quickly, presenting capacitive behavior only at very low scan rates, below 2 mV s\(^{-1}\) for the A-H\(_2\)O/ClO\(_4\) polymer and below 0.8 mV s\(^{-1}\) for the A-H\(_2\)O/pTS polymer. This means that if the polymers are charged or discharged at scan rates above 2 or 0.8 mV s\(^{-1}\), the materials will store 80% or less of the maximum available capacitance. Suggesting that synthesis method A produce dense and compact polymeric structures, which hinders ion diffusion and decrease the active mass of the
polymer involved in the energy storage process. Polymers obtained with method B exhibit a better capacitive behavior, having a complete dependence on diffusion only at scan rates higher than 70 mV s\(^{-1}\) for polymer B-300,10/ClO\(_4\) and 90 mV s\(^{-1}\) for polymer B-500,10/pTS. This suggests improved ordering of the polymeric matrix, providing more channels for ionic diffusion and improving the capacitance of the polymers obtained by the B method. The lower capacitive performance of the pTS-doped polymers for each synthetic method may allude to the fact that more compact and dense polymeric structures are obtained with this dopant than with ClO\(_4\), which hinders the mobility of ions through the material. This is reflected in the drastic decrease in capacitance retention for pTS-doped materials with scan rate, where in the semi-infinite diffusion region there is a loss of 80% or more of the maximum energy storage ability.

The capacitance retention results of the polymers agree with their Ohmic losses. Here, the lowest Ohmic losses correspond to polymers B-300,10/ClO\(_4\) and B-500,10/pTS, which showed a complete dependence on diffusion only at scan rates higher than 70 and 90 mV s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 5). This reinforces the idea that method B generates PPy with more ordered structures that provide channels that favor ionic diffusion and mobility. The Ragone plot (Figure 6B) shows that polymers obtained by synthesis method B have higher energy densities than those obtained by method A, indicating better performance as an energy storage material.

EIS was used to study the redox processes (charge/discharge) and the electronic and ionic conductivities of...
polymers. All spectra were successfully fitted to the equivalent circuit shown in Figure 7B, which is a variation of the Randles circuit. Such a circuit and its modifications have been widely used to interpret EIS results of conducting polymers. More complex circuits, for example, using dual-channel transmission lines, have also been employed to further investigate the porosity characteristics of these materials. The Nyquist impedance plots (Figure 7A) show a semicircle in the high-frequency region, which is associated with charge transfer processes, while its distortion is attributed to material heterogeneity. The linear part in the low-frequency region is governed by the anionic doping/undoping process of PPy. The diameter of the semicircle corresponds to the interfacial charge transfer resistance between the active material and the electrolyte ($R_s$), while the intercept of the semicircle on the real axis comprises the constant phase element ($Q_1$). From Figure 7C, polymer A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$ has the lowest value of $R_s$, A-H$_2$O/pTS and B-300,10/ClO$_4$ have similar values and B-500,10/pTS has the largest value. This suggests that polymer A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$ has the largest surface area of all polymers. A higher slope of the line located in the low-frequency region indicates a more capacitive behavior, while its distortion is attributed to material heterogeneity. The linear part in the high-frequency region, which is associated to the surface area. This suggests that polymer A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$ has the largest surface area of all polymers.

To study the charge storage dynamics of the selected supercapacitors, EIS measurements were performed between 10 mHz and 10 Hz. At these frequencies, the electrodes manifest predominantly a capacitive response, with a distinctive behavior depending on the energy storage mechanism, which can be evaluated through $C'$ and $\phi$. The phase angle ($\phi$) provides information of the rate-limiting kinetics, acquiring a value of $\phi = 90^\circ$ for a purely capacitive response, $\phi = 45^\circ$ for a diffusion-limited response, and $\phi = 0^\circ$ for a pure resistor. In the case of an EDLC, both $C'$ and $\phi$ remain nearly constant throughout the voltage window at any given frequency. In the case of pseudocapacitors, energy storage is both frequency- and potential-dependent, and is characterized by relatively high values of $C'$, where there are diffusion limitations (indicated by low values of $\phi$). From Figure 8, it is observed that polypyrrole electrodes present complex charge storage dynamics, exhibiting a combination of double-layer and pseudocapacitive mechanisms at the same time. At high frequencies (10–1 Hz), there is little or no energy storage, mainly due to diffusion limitations. As the frequency decreases, the contribution of the double-layer and pseudocapacitance in energy storage increases. In the case of a pure EDLC, $C'$ and $\phi$ would have maximum values at the lowest frequency and decrease slightly at higher frequencies, having almost the same values at every potential for each frequency. In this case, the curvatures of both $C'$ and $\phi$ suggest the superposition of the pseudocapacitive behavior with that of the double-layer behavior, a consequence of the intricate relationship between potential, diffusion, and energy storage.
The chemical structure of the polymers was investigated by Raman spectroscopy (Figure 9). The broad shape of the peaks suggests that they are amorphous solids with short conjugation lengths. The peaks around ~920 and ~960 cm⁻¹ are assigned to deformations of the aromatic ring by the presence of bipolarons and polarons, respectively. Peaks around...
∼1050 and 1077 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the symmetric C–H in-plane bending vibrations of the polaron and bipolaron states, respectively.\textsuperscript{51,54} The peak at ∼1237 cm$^{-1}$ comes from the antisymmetric C–H in-plane bending.\textsuperscript{51,52,55} The peak at ∼1322 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the C–C inter-ring vibration.\textsuperscript{54,56} The peak at ∼1370 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to antisymmetric C–N stretching.\textsuperscript{13,51} The presence of antisymmetric C–H bending of the β hydrogens around 1237 cm$^{-1}$ indicates that the pyrrole rings are linked mainly by α–α′ bonds, so the polymers have a few branches and therefore high quality.\textsuperscript{57} The ratio of peak intensity at ∼960 and ∼920 cm$^{-1}$ (bipolarons and polarons, respectively) was higher for ClO$_4^-$-doped polymers, with values of 1.50 for B-300,10/ClO$_4$, 1.42 for A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$, 1.22 for B-500,10/pTS, and 1.14 for A-H$_2$O/pTS. This depicts a higher level of doping\textsuperscript{50} and hence higher capacitance for ClO$_4^-$-doped polymers than for pTS-doped polymers.

SEM images show that A-H$_2$O/pTS and B-500,10/pTS polymers have a similar structure with different particle diameters, while A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$ and B-300,10/ClO$_4$ polymers have different morphologies (Figure 10). Polymer A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$ has an average floret diameter of 17.3 μm and an average particle diameter of 1.1 μm. A-H$_2$O/pTS has a homogeneous distribution of spherical particles with an average particle diameter of 1.0 μm. The peak intensity at ∼960 and ∼920 cm$^{-1}$ (bipolarons and polarons, respectively) was higher for ClO$_4^-$-doped polymers, with values of 1.50 for B-300,10/ClO$_4$, 1.42 for A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$, 1.22 for B-500,10/pTS, and 1.14 for A-H$_2$O/pTS. This depicts a higher level of doping\textsuperscript{50} and hence higher capacitance for ClO$_4^-$-doped polymers than for pTS-doped polymers.

SEM images show that A-H$_2$O/pTS and B-500,10/pTS polymers have a similar structure with different particle diameters, while A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$ and B-300,10/ClO$_4$ polymers have different morphologies (Figure 10). Polymer A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$ has an average floret diameter of 17.3 μm and an average particle diameter of 1.1 μm. A-H$_2$O/pTS has a homogeneous distribution of spherical particles with an average particle diameter of 1.0 μm.

---

**Figure 9.** Raman spectra of PPy coatings synthesized under different conditions.

**Figure 10.** SEM images of (a) A-H$_2$O/ClO$_4$, (b) A-H$_2$O/pTS, (c) B-300,10/ClO$_4$, and (d) B-500,10/pTS.
diameter of 0.9 μm. B-300,10/ClO₄ shows the presence of C-shaped structures along with spherical particles covering the whole surface. The spherical particles have a mean diameter of 0.5 μm, while the C-shaped structures have an average 2D projected area of 242.2 μm². B-500,10/pTS consists of spherical particles with an average diameter of 0.3 μm. Figure S8 shows histograms with the particle size distribution of the different polymers. Homogeneous small spherical structures such as those of polymers obtained by method B are expected to ease charge transfer processes and improve polymer’s supercapacitance. In general, it is well known that the morphology of these polymers is decisive for their charge storage properties. For example, Wei et al., Wang et al., and Aiping et al. showed that the morphology of PPy coatings influences the ionic transport through the polymer by defining the uniformity and stability of the channels and thus contributes to their capacitance. This has been observed especially from electrochemical characterizations and SEM images.28

Stability studies were performed for the B-300,10/ClO₄ and B-500,10/pTS polymers, as these showed the best supercapacitive performance (Figure 11). B-300,10/ClO₄ showed low cycling stability, losing about 30% of its capacitance (at 1 A g⁻¹) and about 80% (at 30 A g⁻¹), for 5000 cycles. B-500,10/pTS showed greater stability, losing only about 10% of its energy storage capacity at 1 A g⁻¹ or in 4000 cycles at 30 A g⁻¹. Polymer degradation commonly originates from electrical and electrochemical damage to the material.41 Overoxidation causes the polymer chains to form C–O bonds and/or crosslinking between chains;24,41 in addition, morphological changes in the polymer matrix result in loss of conductivity.41 Morphological alterations arise from the volumetric changes of the polymer caused by its swelling and shrinking due to the insertion and ejection of anions during the charge/discharge. Because pTS is a considerably larger anion than ClO₄⁻ and, in addition, when used as a dopant, it produces more compact polymers; during the charge/discharge process, there is less expulsion of pTS and, therefore, less volumetric changes of the polymer, leading to greater stability.
3.3. Design of Experiments and Statistical Analysis.
Statistical analysis of the capacitance obtained by GCD was performed for all polymers. The ANOVA results for synthesis method A (Table S1) indicate that the post-oxidation, dopant/solvent interaction, and dopant/solvent/post-oxidation interactions are not statistically significant for the capacitive response of PPy and can be removed from the model. However, by the hierarchy principle, post-oxidation should not be overruled because its interactions have statistically significant effect.59 The plot of the main effects for synthetic method A (Figure 12) indicates that there is a huge decrease in capacitance when going from ClO$_4^-$ to pTS and from water to ACN. As mentioned in the Raman analysis of the polymer, the signals of the ClO$_4^-$-doped PPy denote a higher level of doping, which can be associated with a better capacitive response. In the case of ACN, it is well known that this solvent generates more compact polymer coatings,30 which hinders the diffusion of ions into the matrix in comparison with the polymer synthesized in water. This results in a lower participation of the active material in the charging/discharging process.

Because of the presence of interactions, the main effects plot must be interpreted carefully, also considering second-order effects. The increase in specific capacitance when a post-
The oxidation current is applied to ClO₄⁻-doped PPy, and the opposite effect when the dopant is pTS (Figure 13), is probably related to the size of the anion. When the polymer is exposed to an oxidation current, positive charges are formed on its chains. These charges are balanced by anions diffusing from solution. Because pTS is a large anion and polymers doped with this ion tend to form compact structures, its insertion into the polymeric matrix is slower than with smaller ions such as ClO₄⁻. Consequently, the nonstabilized cations can react with the polymer or with water molecules, causing overoxidation and degradation of the material, decreasing its capacitance. In the case of ClO₄⁻, its diffusion in the polymer matrix is faster due to its small size, stabilizing the positive charges, preventing the overoxidation of the polymer, and increasing the doping level and, ultimately, the capacitance during the post-oxidation process. In the case of the solvent/post-oxidation current interaction (Figure 13), when the polymer is synthesized in water, the application of the post-oxidation current causes the decrease of its capacitance, and the opposite effect is observed when it is synthesized in ACN. This may be related to the difference in reactivity of the solvents. It is well known that during synthesis, the nonstabilized cations of PPy react with water, causing overoxidation of the material. In contrast, the lower reactivity of ACN gives more time for the anions in solution to diffuse into the polymeric matrix and stabilize the positive charges by doping.

For synthesis method B, the ANOVA results (Table S2) indicate that all factors have a statistically significant influence on the PPy capacitance, except for the interaction “constant current application time/number of pulses”. However, by the hierarchy principle, this term cannot be eliminated from the model because the interaction of all of the parameters is significant. From the main effects plot (Figure 14), the most important parameters are dopant and constant current time. The effect of the dopant was mentioned previously. For the constant current, the highest capacitance was observed when it was applied to the polymer for 10 min. This behavior is attributed to less pore coalescence due to the continuous growth of the polymer. The interaction plot for constant current time/dopant indicates a strong influence on the capacitive response due to the nonparallel behavior of the lines (Figure 15). For 10 min, the slight reduction in capacitance when using pTS as dopant is mainly ascribed to the compact structure of the polymer. Since a low current density (0.6 mA cm⁻²) was used, the amount of polymer formed by this current was small and there is no significant reduction in ion mobility.

For 25 min, the reduction in supercapacitance is attributed to pore coalescence in combination with the compact structure of PPy-pTS. As more polymer is formed by the application of the constant current, the porosity decreases due to coalescence, hampering the diffusion of ions into the inner part of the polymer. Thus, the amount of active material that participates in the charge/discharge process is significantly decreased. In the case of the number of pulses/dopant interaction, the almost parallel behavior of the lines indicates that there is no strong interaction between these parameters.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrated the importance of considering the interactions between the synthesis parameters when obtaining conductive polymers for charge storage. The results showed that method B produces polymers of higher capacitance than method A, attributable to better ionic diffusion at the electrode/electrolyte interface and through the polymer matrix. ANOVA showed that with this method, all of the main factors have a significant effect on the capacitance of PPy, the highest capacitance (353.7 F g⁻¹) was obtained with PPy/ClO₄ synthesized with 300 pulses and application of 0.6 mA cm⁻² at the end for 10 min. Acetonitrile showed disadvantages, compared to water, by favoring the formation of more compact polymers that hinder ionic mobility. PPy/pTS showed high cycling stability; however, due to the compact nature of this polymer, it would be necessary to use it at a very low thickness for good results in energy storage.
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