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ABSTRACT

An extensive survey was conducted to collect existing pre- and postharvest practices of mango. The survey was conducted using structured and pre-tested interview schedules at four levels of mango supply chain including growers, ‘Bepari’, wholesalers, and retailers. For growers’ interview, four Upazilas of Chapai Nawabgonj (Chapai Nawabgonj Sadar, Shibganj, Bholihat, and Gomastapur) and two Upazila of Rajshahi (Charghat and Bagha) were selected. For ‘Bepari’, wholesalers, and retailers, the survey was carried out in local assemble markets of Chapai Nawabgonj and Rajshahi, wholesale and retail markets of Mymensingh and Dhaka. Results showed that 20-60% of the mango growers utilized more than 3 ha of land for growing mango in the surveyed districts. During the production, all mango growers applied pesticides to mango crop at 7-10 days interval. Importantly, 60-92% of the growers used growth regulating chemicals to increase yield. The major insect and disease were mango hopper and dieback, respectively. Results of the present survey indicated that 4-16% of the ‘Bepari’ and 2-4% of the wholesalers applied ethylene-liberating ripening chemicals like ethrel, Ripen (a.i. 80% ethephon) and calcium carbide for accelerating mango ripening. The price increase of mango was 45.83% in the supply chain before reaching the consumers. The profit margin of ‘Bepari’, wholesaler, and retailer level was 7.51%, 12.84%, and 16.06%, respectively in study areas.
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I. Introduction

The mango (Mangifera indica L.) is an important fruit crop in Bangladesh under Anacardiaceae family. This fruit tree also recognized as the national tree of Bangladesh. Mango is believed to be originated in South Asia or the Malayan archipelago (Salunkhe and Desai, 1984). The total area under mango cultivation in Bangladesh is 44365 ha and the total production is 11,65,804 metric tons (BBS, 2019).
Mango has some special features such as pleasant aroma, attractive color and delicious taste with high nutritional values, and these attributes make this fruit one of the unique items in the world market. This is also termed as the 'king of all fruits' (Shahjahan et al., 1994). Mangos are rich sources of vitamins and minerals (Paramanik, 1995). It is also a moderate source of carbohydrate as ripe mango pulp contains 16.9% carbohydrate (Salunkhe and Desai, 1984). Per 100 g ripe mango contains 81 g moisture, 0.6 g protein, 0.4 g fat, 0.4 g minerals, 0.7 g crude fiber, 16.9 g carbohydrates, 74 kcal energy, 14 mg calcium, 16 mg phosphorus, 1.3 mg iron (Gopalan et al., 1989). The quality attributes of mango largely determined by preharvest production factors, which include production location, soil type, irrigation, rootstock, shading, and nutrition (Holfman, 1998). According to Monselise and Goren (1987) similar types of production factors influence fruit qualities. They divided the production conditions into two classes, namely primary and secondary factors. The primary factors include climate, nutrition, and plant growth regulators, and the secondary factors include soil quality and management, rootstock, irrigation, pruning, and crop load manipulation. To assess and minimize the postharvest loses it is highly important to find out the existing pre and postharvest practices of produce. Presently modern technologies have been in place to augment fruit production and particularly use of ripening chemicals in accelerating fruit ripening. The socio-economic status of the different stakeholders in the mango business also merits investigation. In Bangladesh, some studies on postharvest behavior of mango have been conducted by several researchers (Absar et al., 1993; Hassan et al., 1998; and Sarder et al., 1998). But little information on existing pre- and postharvest practices of mango in Bangladesh. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to collect information about the existing pre- and postharvest practices of mango in Bangladesh.

II. Materials and Methods

An extensive survey was conducted at four levels of the mango supply chain, and the levels were growers, ‘Bepari’, wholesalers, and retailers. Growers of Sadar, Shibganj, Bholahat and Gomostapur of Chapai Nowabgonj Districts and Bhaga and Charghat of Rajshahi District were the population for in the present study. Names of the mango growers from major-growing block of each Upazila of the two districts were listed. Then 25 growers (sample) from the population were randomly selected for interviews. A total of 150 growers were interviewed. During the data collection from the ‘Bepari’, 25 mangoes ‘Bepari’ were randomly selected from each of the local assemble markets of Chapai Nowabgonj Sadar, Shibganj; and Gomostapur, Puthia in Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi district, respectively. A total of 100 ‘Bepari’ were interviewed. The wholesalers were randomly selected from Karwan Bazar and Badamtoli wholesale markets of Dhaka, Notun Bazar and Machoua Bazar of Mymensing Sadar, and Charghat Bazar of Charghat Upazila, Rajshahi. A total of 100 wholesalers were interviewed. The retailers were randomly selected from Natun Bazar, Machoua Bazar and Charpara of Mymensing Sadar, Sadarghat and Sutrapur of Dhaka, and Shaheb Bazar of Rajshahi district. A total of 100 retailers were interviewed. To collect information that is relevant to the present survey, four sets of interview schedules were prepared to keep the objectives of the research in mind. Four sets of interview schedules were designed for growers, ‘Bepari’, wholesalers, and retailers. The interview schedules were pre-tested in actual field conditions/situations, and necessary corrections, modifications and alternations were made before finally printing the schedules. The respondents’ opinions were transformed into a master sheet to facilitate tabulation. Tabulation and computation were done based on categories developed. Qualitative data were converted into quantitative ones using suitable scoring (if required). For describing the collected information, categories were developed in relation to each of the selected respondents to have a better understanding of the characteristics of the growers, intermediaries and consumers. The data collected from the respondents were compiled, tabulated and analyzed in accordance with the objectives of the study.

III. Results and Discussion

Grower

In our country, growers’ individual characters play an important role in the production and subsequent operations. The major results in relation to the individual are briefly discussed below.

Age: The age of mango growers ranged from 15 to above 50 years. According to the age, the growers were classified into four categories. In Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi Districts, maximum mango
growers (44-72%) had an age range of 35-50 years (Table 01, Table 02). This was probably because the younger generation (<35 years) are more interested to be involved in other occupation like working abroad.

**Education**: Mango growers of the six Upazilas of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi were classified into six categories on the basis of their levels of education. Only 0-16% of the mango growers had higher education like graduation. By contrast, 20-36 and 8-36% of the mango growers were illiterate and can sign only (Table 03).

**Table 01. Age of mango growers in four Upazilas of Chapai Nowabgonj District**

| Category of age (years) | C. N Sadar (n=25) | Shibganj (n=25) | Bholahat (n=25) | Gomostapur (n=25) |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 15 to<25                | 0                | 8              | 0               | 0               |
| 25 to <35               | 16               | 16             | 20              | 20              |
| 35-50                   | 44               | 72             | 52              | 64              |
| >50                     | 40               | 4              | 28              | 16              |
| Total                   | 100              | 100            | 100             | 100             |

**Table 02. Age of mango growers in two Upazilas of Rajshahi District**

| Category of age (years) | Bahga (n=25) | Charghat (n=25) |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 25 to <35               | 32           | 8               |
| 35-50                   | 60           | 64              |
| >50                     | 8            | 28              |
| Total                   | 100          | 100             |

These lower levels of education in the surveyed upazilas would possibly due to the fact that these upazilas are mostly mango growing areas, and people do not show much interest to educate them rather than involve in income generating activities like growing mangos or engage in mango trades.

**Duration of mango cultivation**: Results showed that 40-72% of the growers were involved in mango production for above 15 years, and only 12-48% of the growers for 10-15 years (Table 04). These results suggest that the majority of the growers started their occupation as mango growers from the very beginning of their life.

**Land holdings**: The total land possessed by the growers ranged from 0.02 to 3 ha. The land holdings were classified following the method of classification published by the Manual of Agriculture Extension Department (DAE, 1999). The categories of the farmers on the basis of occupied land were: marginal (0.02-0.2 ha), small (0.21-1 ha), medium (1.01-3 ha), and large (>3 ha). The landholding patterns of the growers are summarized in Table 05 and Table 06. Maximum growers (16-48%) of the surveyed area of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi were small followed by large (16-64%). However, all four categories of growers were found in the surveyed area (Table 05, Table 06). In the surveyed upazilas of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi, 16-48% of the growers utilized 0.21 to 1 ha of land for mango production followed by land more than 3 ha (20-60%). 20-40% of the mango growers of both districts had leased land. The percentages of leased lands under mango cultivation are shown in Table 07.

**Modern cultivation techniques**

**Use of manures and fertilizers**: Range of manures and fertilizers were used by the mango growers (Table 08). It was found that 100% of the growers of both districts used manures and fertilizer for the production of mango. Here it is found that use of organic manure is lower than inorganic fertilizer. It may be due to less population of cattle of the farmers and higher price of organic manure.

**Use of plant growth regulators**: The interviewed growers were found to apply growth regulators to get a higher yield of mango. The rates of application varied from 10-15 mL/10-16 L of water (Table 09). Maximum growers of the surveyed area applied growth regulators from the stage of flowering to...
the entire harvesting season (60-92%). The use of plant growth regulators is highest in Chapai Nowabgonj Sadar (92%) and lowest in Bholahat (60%). The growth regulators used by the growers were Planofix, Biogreen, Okozim, Bioferty, Litocin, and Voxal Super.

Table 03. Levels of education of mango growers in Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi Districts

| District/Upazila       | Level of education | Illiterate | Signature | Class I to < V | Class V to < SSC | S.S.C to < Graduation | Graduation | Total |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|-------|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj**   |                    |            |           |                |                 |                       |            | 100   |
| C. N Sadar             |                   | 36         | 28        | 4              | 8               | 20                    | 4          | 100   |
| Shibganj               |                   | 28         | 8         | 8              | 20              | 20                    | 16         | 100   |
| Bholahat               |                   | 20         | 20        | 4              | 24              | 24                    | 8          | 100   |
| Gomostapur             |                   | 20         | 36        | 0              | 16              | 16                    | 0          | 100   |
| **Rajshahi**           |                    |            |           |                |                 |                       |            | 100   |
| Bagha                  |                   | 20         | 16        | 0              | 48              | 16                    | 8          | 100   |
| Charghat               |                   | 32         | 16        | 24             | 16              | 12                    | 0          | 100   |

Table 04. Duration of mango cultivation in Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi Districts

| District/Upazila       | Duration of mango production | 1-<5 Y | 5-<10 Y | 10-<15 Y | >15 Y |
|------------------------|------------------------------|--------|---------|----------|-------|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj**   |                              |        |         |          |       |
| C. N Sadar             |                              | 4      | 28      | 28       | 40    |
| Shibganj               |                              | 4      | 28      | 12       | 56    |
| Bholahat               |                              | 0      | 12      | 16       | 72    |
| Gomostapur             |                              | 0      | 8       | 24       | 68    |
| **Rajshahi**           |                              |        |         |          |       |
| Charghat               |                              | 0      | 28      | 24       | 48    |
| Bagha                  |                              | 0      | 8       | 48       | 44    |

Table 05. Categories of landholdings of mango growers

| District/Upazila       | Categories of landholdings (ha) of growers | Marginal (0.02-0.2) | Small (0.21-1) | Medium (1.01-3) | Large (>3) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj**   |                                           |                     |                |                 |            |
| C. N Sadar             |                                           | 16                  | 40             | 28              | 16         |
| Shibganj               |                                           | 12                  | 28             | 24              | 36         |
| Bholahat               |                                           | 16                  | 40             | 20              | 24         |
| Gomostapur             |                                           | 8                   | 16             | 12              | 64         |
| **Rajshahi**           |                                           |                     |                |                 |            |
| Charghat               |                                           | 8                   | 48             | 28              | 16         |
| Bagha                  |                                           | 0                   | 36             | 44              | 20         |

Table 06. Land areas of growers under mango cultivation

| District/Upazila       | Categories of landholdings (ha) | Marginal (0.02-0.2) | Small (0.21-1) | Medium (1.01-3) | Large (>3) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj**   |                                 |                     |                |                 |            |
| C. Sadar               |                                 | 20                  | 28             | 16              | 36         |
| Shibganj               |                                 | 16                  | 48             | 12              | 24         |
| Bholahat               |                                 | 20                  | 48             | 12              | 20         |
| Gomostapur             |                                 | 8                   | 16             | 16              | 60         |
| **Rajshahi**           |                                 |                     |                |                 |            |
| Charghat               |                                 | 12                  | 40             | 28              | 20         |
| Bagha                  |                                 | 16                  | 24             | 32              | 28         |
Table 07. Percentage of land taken as lease by mango growers

| District/Upazila | Mango grower |
|-----------------|--------------|
|                 | Yes | No |
| **Chapai Nowabgonj (n=25 in each location)** |
| C. N Sadar      | %   | 20 | 80 |
| Shibganj        | %   | 24 | 76 |
| Bholahat        | %   | 20 | 80 |
| Gomostapur      | %   | 40 | 60 |
| **Rajshahi (n=25 in each location)** |
| Charghat        | %   | 20 | 80 |
| Bagha           | %   | 24 | 76 |

**Use of pesticide**: It was found that out of six upazilas 100% growers of mango of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi use insecticide and fungicide to control the insects and diseases of mango which cause increasing the cost of production. Growers apply insecticides and pesticides at the rate of 10-15 mL/16-20 L starting from flowering to harvesting. Spray dose sometimes exceeds the recommended dose. However, some growers (4-48%) were found to use the sex pheromone trap to control fruit fly (Table 10).

Table 08. Manures and fertilizers used by the mango growers of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi District

| District/Upazila | Organic manures and inorganic fertilizers |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------|
|                 | CD | MOC | Urea | TSP | MoP | ZnSO₄ | Gypsum | DAP | Boron | *Others |
| **Chapai Nowabgonj (n=25 in each location)** |
| C. N Sadar      | %  | 64 | 0    | 92  | 84  | 88    | 12     | 20  | 8     | 0     |
| Shibganj        | %  | 52 | 20   | 96  | 92  | 92    | 32     | 12  | 40    | 32    |
| Bholahat        | %  | 44 | 0    | 88  | 8   | 76    | 12     | 48  | 4     | 0     |
| Gomostapur      | %  | 56 | 0    | 60  | 64  | 64    | 12     | 0   | 32    | 0     |
| **Rajshahi (n=25 in each location)** |
| Charghat        | %  | 32 | 0    | 100 | 80  | 96    | 4      | 0   | 4     | 8     |
| Bagha           | %  | 28 | 0    | 92  | 92  | 92    | 8      | 16  | 0     | 4     |

*Others (Farm Yard Manure, Bone meal, Sulfo organic, water hyacinth); CD (Cowdung), MOC (Mustard Oil Cake), TSP (Triple Super Phosphate), MoP (Muriate of Potash), DAP (Diammonium Phosphate), ZnSO₄ (Zinc Sulphate); Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

Table 09. Use of plant growth regulators by the mango growers

| District/Upazila | Yes | No |
|-----------------|-----|----|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj (n=25 in each location)** |
| C. N Sadar      | %   | 92 | 8  |
| Shibganj        | %   | 60 | 36 |
| Bholahat        | %   | 60 | 40 |
| Bagha           | %   | 68 | 32 |
| **Rajshahi (n=25 in each location)** |
| Charghat        | %   | 72 | 28 |
| Gomostapur      | %   | 76 | 24 |

**Insects of mango**: The most serious insect of mango was mango hopper (48-100%). Hopper attack is higher in Gomostapur (100%) and lowest in Bagha (48%). Fruit fly also found as a serious pest of mango (37-84%), highest in Bholahat 84% and lowest in Bagha 37% (Table 11). Now a day the stem borer also seems as an important pest of mango. These findings are supported by (Hassan, 2010a).

**Diseases of mango**: Now a days the most dangerous disease of mango is dieback (60-92%) followed by gummosis (32-52%) in the both two surveyed districts (Table 12). The highest dieback infected area was Chapai Nowabgonj (92%) and Gomostapur (92%). The highest gummosis infected area was Bagha (72%) and the lowest infected area was Charghat (32%).
Table 10. Pesticides used by mango growers in Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi

| District/Upazila | Yes % | No % |
|------------------|-------|------|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj** (n=25 in each location) | | |
| C. N Sadar | 100 | 0 |
| Shibganj | 100 | 0 |
| Bholahat | 100 | 0 |
| Gomostapur | 100 | 0 |
| **Rajshahi** (n=25 in each location) | | |
| Charghat | 100 | 0 |
| Bagha | 100 | 0 |

Table 11. Commonly found insects of mango

| District/Upazila | Mango Hopper | Mango Weevil | Fruit fly | Stem borer | Mango defoliator | Others* |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj** (n=25 in each location) | | | | | | |
| C. N Sadar | 60 | 12 | 76 | 48 | 20 | 4 |
| Shibganj | 72 | 56 | 80 | 36 | 0 | 4 |
| Bholahat | 72 | 12 | 84 | 64 | 0 | 4 |
| Bagha | 48 | 4 | 37 | 36 | 16 | 0 |
| **Rajshahi** (n=25 in each location) | | | | | | |
| Charghat | 64 | 16 | 80 | 20 | 8 | 0 |
| Gomostapur | 100 | 68 | 40 | 40 | 16 | 0 |

*Others (Leaf cutting weevil, Mosquito). Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

Table 12. Commonly found diseases of mango in Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi

| District/Upazila | Dieback | Gummosis | Gall | Anthracnose | Powdery mildew |
|------------------|---------|----------|------|-------------|----------------|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj** (n=25 in each location) | | | | | |
| C. N Sadar | 92 | 68 | 12 | 20 | 44 |
| Shibganj | 80 | 36 | 8 | 36 | 36 |
| Bholahat | 88 | 48 | 16 | 20 | 0 |
| Gomostapur | 92 | 56 | 6 | 56 | 8 |
| **Rajshahi** (n=25 in each location) | | | | | |
| Bagha | 68 | 72 | 4 | 12 | 20 |
| Charghat | 60 | 32 | 8 | 28 | 12 |

*N.B Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

Use of ripening chemicals: Results showed that 4-16% of the respondents were involved in mango ripening in the respective Upazilas of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi districts respectively by using chemicals like Calcium carbide, Ripen, Ethrel. Others sold mangoes to the intermediaries at the mature unripe stage (Table 13 and Table 14). These findings are in contrast with (Hassan, 2010b).

Methods of ripening: In case of chemical ripening Calcium carbide is used by the mango growers (4-20%) followed by Ripen (0-12)% and Ethrel (0-12)%. 4-32% growers use straw in traditional ripening and 60-92% sale unripe mature mango (Table 14).

Table 13. Use of ripening chemicals by the mango growers in Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi

| District/Upazila | Yes % | No % |
|------------------|-------|------|
| **Chapai Nowabgonj** (n=25 in each location) | | |
| C. N Sadar | 8 | 92 |
| Shibganj | 4 | 96 |
| Bholahat | 8 | 92 |
| Gomostapur | 16 | 84 |
| **Rajshahi** (n=25 in each location) | | |
| Bagha | 16 | 84 |
| Chargha | 16 | 84 |
Table 14. Methods of ripening of mango in Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi

| District/Upazila | Chemical ripening | Traditional ripening |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                  | Ripen | Calcium carbide | Ethrel | Straw cover | Sale mature hard mango |
| Chapai Nowabgonj |       |                 |        |             |                     |
| C. N Sadar       | %     | 0               | 8      | 0           | 4                   | 88 |
| Shibganj         | %     | 4               | 0      | 12          | 32                  | 72 |
| Bholahat         | %     | 0               | 20     | 4           | 16                  | 60 |
| Gomostapur       | %     | 8               | 8      | 0           | 32                  | 56 |
| Rajshahi         |       |                 |        |             |                     |
| Bagha            | %     | 4               | 0      | 4           | 12                  | 92 |
| Chargha          | %     | 12              | 4      | 0           | 20                  | 68 |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

Buyers of mango from the growers: Maximum growers (84-100%) sold their mango at a mature green stage to the ‘Bepari’. Few growers sold to the Faria (0-12%) (Table 15). Growers do not sale mango to the retailer.

Table 15. Buyers of mango fruits from the growers

| District/Upazila | Buyer of mango |
|------------------|----------------|
|                  | Faria | Bepari | Wholesaler | Consumer |
| Chapai Nowabgonj |       |        |            |          |
| C. N Sadar       | %     | 0      | 88         | 0        | 12     |
| Shibganj         | %     | 8      | 100        | 10       | 0      |
| Bholahat         | %     | 4      | 100        | 0        | 0      |
| Gomostapur       | %     | 12     | 84         | 8        | 4      |
| Rajshahi         |       |        |            |          |
| Bagha            | %     | 4      | 92         | 0        | 4      |
| Chargha          | %     | 0      | 92         | 12       | 8      |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

‘Bepari’

The ‘Bepari’ of mango plays an enormous role in mango marketing. The survey on ‘Bepari’ was done in different marketing locations such as Puratan Bazar of Chapai Nowabgonj Sadar, Kansat of Shibganj, Rohonpur Station Bazar of Gomostapur in Chapai Nowabgonj District, Banashor Bazar of Puthia in Rajshahi District. The major results in relation to the individual characteristics of the ‘Bepari’ are briefly discussed below.

Age: The age of the mango ‘Bepari’ ranged from 15 to above 50 years. Based on the age of the ‘Bepari’ were classified into four categories. Around 36-68% of ‘Bepari’ was 35-50 years old as shown in Table 16.

Table 16. Age of mango ‘Bepari’

| Category of age (years) | Chapai Nowabgonj (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25 in each location) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                         | C. N Sadar (%) | Gomostapur (%) | Kansat (%) | Banashor Bazar (%) |
| 15 to <25               | 4             | 0               | 0          | 0                   |
| 25 to <35               | 32            | 12              | 16         | 16                  |
| 35-50                   | 36            | 76              | 68         | 68                  |
| >50                     | 24            | 12              | 16         | 16                  |
| Total                   | 100           | 100             | 100        | 100                 |

Education: Mango growers of the four Upazilas of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi were classified into five categories based on the level of education. Only 4-16% of the mango ‘Bepari’ had higher education. Besides that, 4-32% growers were from Class I to less than V, 20-36% growers were from Class V to less than S.S.C in both Districts of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi (Table 17). Here it was also found that illiterate ‘Bepari’ was less. This may be due to the profit from the mango business ‘Bepari’ needs to have a minimum level of education. Besides that, education would help to minimize the postharvest loss of mango.
Place of purchase of mango: ‘Bepari’ purchase produce both from the assemble markets or from the growers’ at field. The present study revealed that the maximum ‘Bepari’ purchased mango from the growers’ field 36-84% and 16-64% purchase from the assemble markets (Table 18).

Table 17. Education level of mango ‘Bepari’ in three Upazilas of Chapai Nowabgonj and one upazila of Rajshahi district

| District/Upazila          | Level of education | District/Upazila          | Level of education |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|                          | Illiterate | Signature | Class I to <V | Class V to <SSC | S.S.C to <Graduation | Graduation | Total |
| **Chapai Nowabgonj (n=25 in each location)** |                      |                      |                  |                      |                  |            |       |
| C. N Sadar               | %         | 12        | 32             | 20              | 28                  | 4            | 4     | 100  |
| Gomastapur               | %         | 16        | 28             | 4               | 36                  | 16           | 0     | 100  |
| Kansat                   | %         | 24        | 32             | 8               | 28                  | 8            | 0     | 100  |
| **Rajshahi (n=25)**      |                      |                      |                  |                  |                    |              |       |
| Banashor Bazar           | %         | 12        | 32             | 32              | 20                  | 4            | 0     | 100  |

Types of packaging materials used for mango: Different types of packaging materials are used during transport of mango, namely plastic crates and bamboo baskets. Now-a-days, the ‘Bepari’ of mango are interested to use the plastic crates through which the loss is minimized. This is also economic and reusable as compared to those of bamboo baskets. It was found that 16-44% ‘Bepari’ used plastic crates and 72-84% ‘Bepari’ used bamboo baskets as packaging material (Table 19).

Grading of mango: 12-36% ’Bepari’ graded mangoes. However, 64-80% ‘Bepari’ did not grade their mangoes (Table 20).

Mode of transport of mango from the growers’ field to the market: Mangoes are transported from growers’ field to the local assemble markets and to the destination markets through rickshaw, van, cycle and truck. Truck (84-100%) was found to be the main transport vehicle to carry produce from the place of purchase to the destination markets (Table 21). No ‘Bepari’ was found to use a refrigerated covered van to carry perishables despite the fact that refrigerated van is used to carry perishables in developed countries, and many of our neighboring countries. Measures to be taken so that refrigerated covered van could be introduced into our marketing channel to reduce huge postharvest loss and maintain the quality of perishables.

Table 18. Place of purchase of mango fruits by the ‘Bepari’

| Purchase place | Chapai Nowabgonj (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25) |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| Growers’ field | C. N Sadar | Gomastapur | Kansat | Banashor Bazar |
| Assemble market| %         | 64        | 36     | 52     | 48     | 84     | 16     | 36     | 64     |

*G. field means growers field

Measures taken to reduce transport damage: ‘Bepari’ (100%) used various leaves to reduce damage during transport to distant markets (Table 22). 24-88% ‘Bepari’ used straw to reduce postharvest loss during transport from one place to another place (market). 12-56% of the ‘Bapari’ used paper during packaging of mango. Straw was found better than paper in respect of the reduction of postharvest loss.

Table 19. Types of packaging materials used by ‘Bepari’

| Category          | District/Upazila | District/Upazila |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                   | Chapai Nowabgonj (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25) |
|                   | C. N Sadar | Gomastapur | Kansat | Banashor Bazar |
| None              | 12        | 16        | 28     | 8         |
| Plastic crates    | 40        | 16        | 44     | 24        |
| Bamboo basket     | 76        | 84        | 80     | 72        |

*NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25
Table 20. Grading of mango fruits by the ‘Bepari’

| Category  | District/Upazila | Chapai Nowabgonj | Rajshahi |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|---------|
|           |                  | C. N Sadar | Gomostapur | Kansat | Banashor Bazar |
| Grading   | 12               | 20          | 20        | 36     |
| No grading| 88               | 80          | 80        | 64     |

Use of ripening chemicals by the ‘Bepari’: Results showed that 4-12% of the ‘Bepari’ used ethylene and 4-16% used calcium carbide for rapid mango ripening. Though the ‘Bepari’ knew that the uses of ripening chemicals are harmful to human health but they use for getting more profit (Table 23). 80-92% ‘Bepari’ did not use ripening chemicals. These findings corroborate with the findings of other researches by (Hassan, 2010c).

Mode of transport to destination markets: Most of the ‘Bepari’ of the surveyed area used truck as a long-distance transport vehicle (84-100%) in the surveyed area of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi Districts. Less number of ‘Bepari’ used the bus as transport vehicle (4-16%). No ‘Bepari’ used air-conditioned van for transport of mango (Table 24).

Buyers of mango from the ‘Bepari’: From ‘Bepari’, maximum buyers were the wholesalers (80-88%). Some retailers (20-68%) also purchased mango from the ‘Bepari’ (Table 25).

Table 21. Mode of transportation used by ‘Bepari’ to carry fruits from the growers’ field to the assemble markets

| Mode of transport | District/Upazila | Chapai Nowabgonj | Rajshahi |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
|                   |                  | C. N Sadar (%)   | Gomostapur (%) | Kansat (%) | Banashor Bazar (%) |
| Rickshaw          | 12               | 16               | 0         | 0         |
| Van               | 40               | 92               | 20        | 36        |
| Truck             | 52               | 0                | 92        | 72        |
| Bus               | 0                | 0                | 4         | 0         |

Table 22. Precautionary measures taken to reduce damage during transport

| Packaging materials | District/Upazila | Chapai Nowabgonj | Rajshahi |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
|                     |                  | C. N Sadar (%)   | Gomostapur (%) | Kansat (%) | Banashor Bazar (%) |
| Paper               | 12               | 56               | 12       | 20        |
| Straw               | 24               | 36               | 88       | 80        |
| Cloths              | 0                | 4                | 0        | 0         |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

Table 23. Use of ripening chemicals by the ‘Bepari’

| Category         | District/Upazila | Chapai Nowabgonj | Rajshahi |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
|                  |                  | C. N Sadar (%)   | Gomostapur (%) | Kansat (%) | Banashor Bazar (%) |
| None             | 80               | 88               | 92       | 80        |
| Ethylene         | 12               | 8                | 4        | 4         |
| Calcium carbide  | 8                | 4                | 4        | 16        |

Table 24. Mode of transport to the destination markets

| Mode of transport | District/Upazila | Chapai Nowabgonj | Rajshahi |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
|                   |                  | C. N Sadar (%)   | Gomostapur (%) | Kansat (%) | Banashor Bazar (%) |
| Truck             | 84               | 92               | 100      | 88        |
| Bus               | 16               | 8                | 4        | 12        |
Table 25. Buyers of mango from the ‘Bepari’

| Category               | Chapai Nowabgonj (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25) |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                        | C. N Sadar (%)                           | Gomostapur (%)  | Kansat (%) | Banashor Bazar (%) |
| Wholesalers            | 80                                       | 88              | 92         | 80                |
| Retailers              | 20                                       | 68              | 52         | 20                |
| Local assemble markets | 24                                       | 0               | 0          | 8                 |
| Consumers              | 4                                        | 4               | 16         | 4                 |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

**Wholesalers**

**Age:** The age of the wholesalers ranged from 15 to above 50 years. Based on the age of the wholesalers were classified into four categories. Around 30-44% of the wholesaler was of 35-50 years of age (Table 26).

**Education:** The mango wholesalers were classified into five categories. It was found that 8-24% of the wholesalers of mango had primary to secondary education. On the other hand, 20-60% of the wholesalers were illiterate (Table 27). Results suggest that the wholesalers had a reasonable level of education. Around one-third of the wholesalers were illiterate.

**Sources of mango:** Maximum wholesalers (80-96%) purchase mango from the ‘Bepari’; 12-20% from the growers (Table 28).

**Mode of transport to the storehouse:** 56-72% of wholesalers used trucks for transport. Some wholesalers also used van 40-56%. There were no refrigerated transport facilities (Table 29).

Table 26. Age of mango wholesalers

| Category     | Dhaka (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25 in each location) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|              | Badamtoli (%)                 | Karwan Bazar (%)                 | Charghat (%) |
| 15 to<25     | 20                             | 0                                | 8            |
| 25 to <35    | 20                             | 28                               | 32           |
| 35-50        | 40                             | 30                               | 44           |
| >50          | 20                             | 12                               | 8            |
| Total        | 100                            | 100                              | 100          |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

Table 27. Level of education of mango wholesalers

| Wholesale market | Dhaka Badamtoli (n=25) | Karwan Bazar (n=50) | Rajshahi Charghat (n=25) |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Illiterate       | %                      | 20                  | 60                       | %                         |
| Can sign only    | 20                     | 20                  | 24                       | 28                        |
| Class I to <V    | 12                     | 16                  | 0                        | 16                        |
| Class V to <SSC  | 24                     | 0                   | 0                        | 8                         |
| S.S.C to <Graduation | 24                   | 0                   | 8                        | 8                         |
| Total            | 100                    | 100                 | 100                      |

Table 28. Sources of getting mango

| Category       | Dhaka (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25 in each location) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                | Badamtoli (%)                 | Karwan Bazar (%)                 | Charghat (%) |
| ‘Bepari’       | 88                             | 80                               | 96           |
| Grower         | 12                             | 16                               | 20           |
| ‘Faria’        | 8                              | 10                               | 12           |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exit 25

**Use of ripening chemicals by the wholesalers:** 92-100% wholesalers were not involved with mango ripening. Only a negligible percentage of wholesalers used ripening chemicals (Table 30).
Table 29. Mode of transport to storehouse

| Category     | Dhaka (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25 in each location) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|              | Badamtoli (%) | Karwan Bazar (%) | Charghat (%) |
| Truck        | 64             | 72                 | 56           |
| Bus          | 8              | 8                  | 24           |
| Boat         | 4              | 0                  | 0            |
| Van          | 40             | 56                 | 40           |
| Pushcart     | 8              | 16                 | 4            |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

Table 30. Use of ripening chemicals by the wholesalers

| Category       | Dhaka (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25 in each location) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                | Badamtoli (%) | Karwan Bazar (%) | Charghat (%) |
| None           | 100             | 94                 | 92           |
| Ethylene       | 0               | 2                  | 4            |
| Calcium carbide| 0               | 4                  | 4            |

Table 31. Buyer of mango from the wholesalers

| Category       | Dhaka (n=25 in each location) | Rajshahi (n=25 in each location) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                | Badamtoli (%) | Karwan Bazar (%) | Charghat (%) |
| Retailers      | 96              | 94                 | 96           |
| Consumers      | 12              | 24                 | 12           |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25

Buyer of mango from the wholesalers: 94-96% retailers and 12-24% consumers are the buyer of mango from the wholesalers (Table 31).

Table 32. Age of the retailers

| Category of age (years) | C. N Sadar | Mymensingh Sadar | Dhaka | Rajshahi Sadar |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|----------------|
| 15 to <25               | 12%       | 16%             | 8%    | 12%            |
| 25 to <35               | 32%       | 48%             | 40%   | 36%            |
| 35-50                   | 36%       | 28%             | 32%   | 12%            |
| >50                     | 20%       | 8%              | 20%   | 8%             |
| Total                   | 100%      | 100%            | 100%  | 100%           |

n=25 for each location

Retailers

Age: The age of the mango retailers was ranged from 15 to above 50 years among the four retail markets of mango. Based on the age of the retailers were classified into four categories. 32-48% of retailers were 25-35 years and 12-36% of retailers were 35 to 50 years old (Table 32).

Education: 16-60% of the mango retailers had an education level of class V to < S.S.C. On the other hand, a considerable proportion (12-28%) of the retailers was illiterate (Table 33). It was also found that no graduate retailers’ presence in the surveyed retail markets.

Sources of mango: 47-68.75% of retailers collect mango from a wholesaler and 25-30.77% was collect mango from ‘Bepari’ for their business (Table 34). Some retailers of Chapai Nowabgonj and Rajshahi collect mango from the growers’ field as these two districts are the major mango growing area of Bangladesh. Thus, mango can be collected from the growers’ field at a lower rate compared to the ‘Bepari’ and wholesalers.

Mode of transport from wholesalers to retail markets: 32-46% retailers used van for transport to their destination market. 13-34% of retailers use the truck to carry the mango to their desired destination (Table 35).
Table 33. Education level of mango retailers

| Upazila                               | Illiterate | Signature | Class I to <V | Class V to <SSC | S.S.C to <Graduation | Total |
|----------------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|
| C. N Sadar (New market)                | %          | 12        | 20             | 4               | 60                   | 100   |
| Mymensingh Sadar (Notun, Machua Bazar and Charpara) | %          | 28        | 20             | 28              | 20                   | 100   |
| Dhaka (Sadarghat and sutrapur)         | %          | 16        | 28             | 40              | 16                   | 0     |
| Rajshahi sadar (Shaheb Bazar)          | %          | 20        | 12             | 40              | 28                   | 0     |

Table 34. Sources of getting mango

| Category          | C. N Sadar | Mymensingh Sadar | Dhaka | Rajshahi Sadar |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|-------|---------------|
|                   | %          | %                | %     | %             |
| Wholesaler        | 47         | 68.75            | 64.10 | 46.88         |
| 'Bepari'          | 28         | 25               | 30.77 | 28.13         |
| Grower            | 19         | 6.25             | 2.56  | 25            |
| Faria             | 3          | 0                | 2.56  | 0             |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25, n=25 in each location

Table 35. Mode of transport used by the retailers to carry mango

| Category   | C. N Sadar | Mymensingh Sadar | Dhaka | Rajshahi Sadar |
|------------|------------|------------------|-------|---------------|
|            | %          | %                | %     | %             |
| Truck      | 32         | 27               | 34    | 13            |
| Head load  | 7          | 0                | 6     | 10            |
| Bus        | 4          | 6                | 0     | 6             |
| Pushcart   | 4          | 0                | 3     | 0             |
| Van        | 46         | 36               | 43    | 32            |
| Bicycle    | 7          | 0                | 0     | 19            |
| Rickshaw   | 0          | 30               | 14    | 19            |

NB. Due to duplication and overlapping sources exceed 25, n=25 in each location

Buyers of mango of retailers: All retailers (100%) sold their mango to the consumers (Table 36).

Table 36. Buyers of mango of retailers

| Category        | C. N Sadar | Mymensingh Sadar | Dhaka | Rajshahi Sadar |
|-----------------|------------|------------------|-------|---------------|
|                 | %          | %                | %     | %             |
| Consumers       | 100        | 100              | 100   | 100           |

n=25 in each location

Sale and purchase prices of mango at different levels of marketing: The sale and purchase prices of mangoes at different levels of mango supply chain are summarized in Table 37. The growers' sale price of Fazli, Langra, Gopalbhog, and Khirshapat were 43.91, 40.39, 40.44, and 40.15 Tk./Kg which sharply increased to 62.74, 57.37, 57.62, and 62.72 Tk./Kg, respectively at the retail level. The price increase of mango was 45.83% in the supply chain before reaching the consumers. The profit margin of 'Bepari', wholesaler, and retailer level was 7.51%, 12.84%, and 16.06%, respectively.

Table 37. Sale and purchase prices of mango at different levels of marketing

| Respondent   | Average purchase price (Tk/Kg) | Average sale price (Tk/Kg) |
|--------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Fazli        | 43.91                          | 40.39                      |
| Langra       | 40.44                          | 40.15                      |
| Gopalbhog    | 47.13                          | 43.78                      |
| Khirshapat   | 52.08                          | 43.55                      |

| Respondent   | Average purchase price (Tk/Kg) | Average sale price (Tk/Kg) |
|--------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Grower       | 43.91                          | 40.44                      |
| 'Bepari'     | 47.13                          | 43.78                      |
| Wholesaler   | 52.08                          | 43.55                      |
| Retailer     | 57.37                          | 52.11                      |
IV. Conclusion

Preharvest and postharvest practices and procedures have a significant effect on the quality and postharvest loss (210158 metric tons) of mango (Hassan, 2010d). The supply chain rarely follows the pre- and postharvest standard procedures in Bangladesh. According to (Shewfelt et al., 2000) the weakest link in the pre- and postharvest handling distribution chain of fresh fruits in the home handling system; the greatest potential for improvement includes the development of more sophisticated home handling equivalent and transfer of handling knowledge to the consumer. The stakeholders of the supply chain should make aware of the basic principles of fruit handling and storage. Besides that, the government should provide fundamental infrastructures like storage, handling, grading, packing, transport, marketing facilities and technical knowhow. Therefore, further research and development on pre- and postharvest handling of mango is required to reduce the huge amount of postharvest loss and ensure the quality of produce.
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