Loss of IP₃ receptor function in neuropeptide secreting neurons leads to obesity in adult Drosophila
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Abstract

Background: Intracellular calcium signaling regulates a variety of cellular and physiological processes. The inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate receptor (IP₃R) is a ligand gated calcium channel present on the membranes of endoplasmic reticular stores. In previous work we have shown that Drosophila mutants for the IP₃R (itprₖu) become unnaturally obese as adults with excessive storage of lipids on a normal diet. While the phenotype manifests in cells of the fat body, genetic studies suggest dysregulation of a neurohormonal axis.

Results: We show that knockdown of the IP₃R, either in all neurons or in peptidergic neurons alone, mimics known itpr mutant phenotypes. The peptidergic neuron domain includes, but is not restricted to, the medial neurosecretory cells as well as the stomatogastric nervous system. Conversely, expression of an itpr⁺ cDNA in the same set of peptidergic neurons rescues metabolic defects of itprₖu mutants. Transcript levels of a gene encoding a gastric lipase CG5932 (magro), which is known to regulate triacylglyceride storage, can be regulated by itpr knockdown and over-expression in peptidergic neurons. Thus, the focus of observed itpr mutant phenotypes of starvation resistance, increased body weight, elevated lipid storage and hyperphagia derive primarily from peptidergic neurons.

Conclusions: The present study shows that itpr function in peptidergic neurons is not only necessary but also sufficient for maintaining normal lipid metabolism in Drosophila. Our results suggest that intracellular calcium signaling in peptidergic neurons affects lipid metabolism by both cell autonomous and non-autonomous mechanisms.
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Background

Calcium is a key signaling molecule in multi-cellular organisms that regulates a variety of cellular processes [1,2]. The IP₃R (Inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate Receptor) is a ligand gated calcium channel present on the membranes of endoplasmic reticular (ER) stores. It mediates the release of ER calcium upon binding of its cognate ligand IP₃. In Drosophila there is a single gene, itpr, for the IP₃R which is 60% homologous to mammalian IP₃R₁ [3]. Previous studies have shown that expression of the Drosophila IP₃R is widespread in all tissues and cell types examined [4,5].

However, depending on their allelic strength, itpr mutants exhibit relatively specific metabolic and neuronal phenotypes. Hetero-allelic combinations of strong itpr mutants exhibit metabolic defects, altered feeding and transcriptional changes in metabolic gene pathways during larval stages [6,7]. itprₖₐ₁₀₉₁ and itpr₉₃ are point mutations in the modulatory domain (Gly 1891 Ser), and in the ligand binding (Ser 224 Phe) domain respectively of the IP₃R. These mutants are lethal as homozygotes, while their hetero-allelic combination (itprₖₐ₁₀₉₁/₉₃) or itpr₉₃ is adult viable [8]. Recently, we demonstrated the presence of metabolic changes in itprₖₐ adult animals leading to starvation resistance, increased body weight, elevated TAGs and hyperphagia [9].

In mammals, disorders like type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease, respiratory complications and osteoarthritis...
are a result of altered fat metabolism [10]. The complexity of these diseases arises in part from regulation of fat metabolism through the interaction of signaling pathways involving multiple tissues and organs. Genetic studies in model organisms help understand aspects of this complexity. In Drosophila, fat metabolism is essential for maintaining energy homeostasis. Nutrient fat in the form of Triacylglycerides (TAGs) is broken down to fatty acids in the mid-gut, absorbed and re-synthesized as TAGs in the fat bodies [11]. Perturbations in fat metabolism can lead to changes in TAG levels and consequent obesity [11,12]. Lipids stored in fat body cells are utilized under stress conditions and the storage and mobilization of lipids to target tissues is tightly regulated, based on energy requirements. This requires communication between the gut, fat body cells and oenocytes, the cells analogous to the mammalian liver in Drosophila [13]. Furthermore, signals from the brain coordinate feeding behavior as well as the utilization of stored TAGs, finally affecting the body weight of an organism [14-16]. Based on the obese and hyperphagic phenotypes of itprka1091/ug3 mutants, it appears that calcium release by the IP3R helps maintain this axis of lipid metabolism and feeding in Drosophila. Here we show that peptidergic neurons are an important focus of IP3R function in the context of metabolic control.

Results

The IP3R affects Drosophila metabolism through its function in peptidergic neurons

To identify the tissue focus of itpr mutant phenotypes an itpr RNAi strain (dsitpr) was used to specifically knockdown the IP3R in all neurons and in the fat body. Pan-neuronal knockdown of the IP3R lead to a significant level of starvation resistance. In contrast, animals with knock down of the IP3R in the fat body exhibited the same extent of viability post starvation as control animals (Figure 1A). Obesity, starvation resistance and hyperphagia in itprka1091/ug3 mutants can be rescued by expression of an itpr+ cDNA in a subset of peptidergic neurons that secrete the insulin-like peptides (Dilps) amongst other neuropeptides [9,17,18]. These cells are marked by the Dilp2GAL4 strain. To test for necessity of the IP3R in Dilp neurons, dsitpr was driven by Dilp2GAL4. Surprisingly, these animals did not exhibit any starvation resistance (Figure 1A). Consequently, we tested animals with knockdown of itpr by the dimm GAL4 that expresses in a larger subset of exclusively peptidergic neurons (including the Dilp neurons) [18]. This resulted in animals with a significant level of starvation resistance when compared with controls (Figure 1A).

In order to test if lack of starvation resistance with IP3R knockdown in Dilp2 neurons, arose due to insufficient knockdown, we expressed a GFP tagged IP3R transgene
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**Figure 1** Itpr levels in peptidergic neurons are critical for the starvation resistance phenotype. (A) Flies with knock down of itpr using pan-neuronal and dimm drivers show starvation resistance as compared to the wild type whereas itpr knock down in either Dilp2 neurons or fat bodies do not show any starvation resistance. (B) Flies with knock down of itpr in Dilp2 neurons and dimm neurons using UASitpr-GFP showed decrease in GFP levels when compared to their controls without knockdown, cell bodies shown by arrow heads. Scale bar is 50 μm. (C) Over-expression of itpr+ in both pan-neuronal and peptidergic domains rescues starvation resistance observed in itpr−/−.
(UAS-itprGFP) in both Dilp2 neurons and peptidergic neurons marked by dimmGAL4. These strains were tested for the extent of GFP fluorescence in the presence and absence of IP$_3$R knockdown by dsiitpr. GFP expression was abrogated in the Dilp neurons with both drivers indicating efficient knock-down (Figure 1B). Thus, IP$_3$R knockdown is essential in multiple peptidergic neurons for generating starvation resistance. Concurrently, when an itpr$^+$ cDNA was over-expressed in either all neurons or peptidergic neurons in the background of itpr$^{ku}$, the rescued animals displayed a viability profile similar to that of controls (Figure 1C).

Next we measured the body weights of animals with pan-neuronal and peptidergic knockdown of the IP$_3$R. Similar to what has been observed for itpr$^{ku}$ these knockdown animals showed significantly higher body weights after feeding for 144 hours. Body weights remained significantly elevated post-starvation for 72 hours. Knockdown of itpr exclusively in the fat body however, fails to elicit the above phenotype. Additionally, the elevated body weight of itpr$^{ku}$ mutants (as first seen in [9]) was rescued by over-expression of itpr$^+$ in peptidergic neurons (Figure 2A).

Pan-neuronal knock down of the IP$_3$R also lead to elevated levels of TAGs in the abdomen at 144 hrs after feeding. Moreover, in flies with itpr knockdown using pan-neuronal and peptidergic GAL4s the TAG levels are high even after starvation for 72 hrs. The fat body knockdown of itpr animals also have slightly but significantly higher TAG levels post starvation (Figure 2B). This result agrees with the partial rescue of the elevated TAGs of itpr$^{ku}$ by over expression of itpr$^+$ exclusively in the fat body observed previously [9]. On the other hand, over expression of itpr$^+$ in the peptidergic neurons alone completely rescues the elevated TAGs post feeding and starvation (Figure 2B). These data suggest that itpr function in peptidergic neurons is both necessary and sufficient for maintaining the level of stored TAGs in fat body cells of adult Drosophila.

**IP$_3$R function in peptidergic neurons regulates food intake**

Obesity of itpr$^{ku}$ derives in part from excess feeding or hyperphagia [9]. Feeding behavior in Drosophila is regulated by neurons which innervate and control satiety signals in the gut [19]. The presence of peptidergic neuronal cell bodies on the proventriculus, the insect stomach, has been reported previously [18,20]. Similarly, we observed that both pan-neuronal GAL4 and the peptidergic GAL4 strains (dimmGAL4) used in our studies express in neurons that innervate the gut, with cell bodies on the proventriculus (Figure 3). To test if excess feeding observed in itpr$^{ku}$ flies has a neuronal focus, animals with either pan-neuronal knockdown of the IP$_3$R or with knockdown in peptidergic domains were tested for the quantity of food ingested within a fixed time period by including an edible red dye in their food. A significantly higher quantity of red dye was observed in abdomens of animals with either pan-neuronal or peptidergic neuron knockdown of
the IP₃R (Figure 4A, B). Oil red O staining demonstrated elevated TAGs in the guts of flies with IP₃R knockdown indicating greater ingestion and digestion of lipids (Figure 4C). Next we tested if expression of itpr⁺ in peptidergic neurons rescued the excess feeding observed in itpr⁺ᵘ [9]. itpr⁺ expression in peptidergic neurons of itpr⁺ᵘ rescued hyperphagia as evident from the significantly reduced level of red dye in the abdomens of rescued animals compared with control animals (Figure 5A, B).

**IP₃R function in peptidergic neurons affects transcription of a gut lipase**

For obese and hyperphagic animals, excess storage of TAGs is likely to be accompanied by altered levels of lipid metabolizing enzymes in the gut. Magro, encoded by CG5932, is the *Drosophila* homolog of mammalian gastric lipase, LipA and is expressed in the anterior part of the gut and released into the intestine to digest TAGs. Levels of Magro have been shown to modulate TAG levels as knocking down Magro shows reduction in TAG levels [21]. *itpr⁺ᵘ* mutants have elevated levels of TAGs as well as elevated levels of magro [9]. Since peptidergic knockdown of itpr phenocopies the *itpr⁺ᵘ* mutant, transcript levels of the gastric lipase *magro* were measured from isolated guts of appropriate genotypes (Figure 5C). *magro* expression in guts from flies with knockdown of the IP₃R in peptidergic neurons appears significantly elevated. Moreover, expression of *itpr⁺* in peptidergic neurons of *itpr⁺ᵘ* animals could rescue the elevated levels of *magro* transcripts (Figure 5C). Thus, IP₃R mediated signals in peptidergic neurons appear to regulate *magro* transcription in the gut in a manner that is not yet understood.

**Discussion and conclusions**

Insulin-like peptides (ILPs), which are secreted by a subset of the medial neurosecretory cells in the brain (Figure 1), regulate lipid homeostasis in the fat body cells of adult *Drosophila* [22,23]. The obese phenotype observed in adult *itpr* mutants suggested a role for IP₃ mediated calcium signaling in modulating ILP release and secretion. However, significant differences were observed between the phenotypes of *itpr* mutant animals rescued by expression of an *itpr⁺* cDNA as compared with rescue by over-expression of *Drosophila* ILP2, suggesting that IP₃-R mutants affect a broader axis of neurohormonal control than the one defined by insulin signaling [9]. IP₃-mediated calcium signaling and its modulation of the neurohormonal axis, leading to obesity, have now been investigated in greater detail. The ILP secreting medial neurosecretory cells also secrete a number of other neuropeptides, which regulate stress and metabolism in *Drosophila* [24,25]. While it is possible that these neuropeptides, in addition to the ILPs, contribute to the *itpr* mutant phenotype of obesity this seems unlikely because knockdown of the IP₃-R in neurons which secrete ILPs and additional neuropeptides (the DILP neurons) had no effect on starvation resistance and obesity in *itpr* mutants. The peptidergic neurons, defined by *dimmGAL4*, include the DILP neurons plus other neurosecretory cells in the brain [18]. Because knockdown of the IP₃-R in peptidergic neurons phenocopied the *itpr* mutant, and restoring *itpr* function specifically in peptidergic neurons rescued mutant phenotypes, we conclude that IP₃-R function and Ca²⁺ release affects lipid metabolism primarily through regulation of neuropeptide secretion. The composite phenotypes of hyperphagia and obesity in IP₃-R mutants and peptidergic knockdown animals suggest a role for these neuropeptides in regulation of feeding and TAG storage and utilisation in the fatbody. Our data support the idea that feeding and lipid mobilisation are regulated by non-overlapping sets of neurosecretory cells, possibly comprising the mNSCs which regulate TAG utilisation in the fatbody, and other neuropeptide secreting cells such as the neurons of the stomatogastric system, which regulate satiety and feeding. Moreover, it is likely that feedback mechanisms exist between these two groups of neurons such that knockdown of the IP₃-R in one set can be compensated by the other, as in the case of knockdown in the DILP neurons. A recent study revealed the role of drosulfakinin (DSK), a neuropeptide expressed in the DILP neurons in feeding and satiety [25]. The target cells of DSK are not known and in the light of our observations, we hypothesize that these might be peptidergic neurons of the stomatogastric nervous system. Indeed, axonal projections from the mNSCs have been shown to target the proventriculus and midgut in both larval and adult animals [19]. Thus, when IP₃-R...
knockdown affects both sets of neurons, as in *dimmGAL4* knockdown and in *itpr* mutants, it is likely that such feedback mechanisms are abrogated. As a consequence despite the existence of sufficient TAG stores in the fatbody the animals continue to feed excessively, leading to a further increase in TAG deposits and obesity.

The altered neurohormonal axis created by knockdown of *itpr* in peptidergic neurons, and in *itpr* mutants, leads to non-cell autonomous effects such as up-regulation of the gastric lipase *CG5932 (magro)* which has been previously reported to be expressed mainly in the proventriculus and then delivered to the intestinal lumen [11]. Our data support the idea that *magro* transcription is regulated by neuropeptides released from the stomatogastric nervous system (SNS). Stimuli received by the SNS are likely to derive from elevated feeding and body TAG levels. A similar neurohormonal gut brain axis is known to exist in mammals in which the vagus nerve which innervates the gastro-intestinal tract plays a role in regulating feeding, satiety and nutrient absorption [15,26] and responds to the orexin neuropeptides [27]. A better understanding of signaling mechanisms that regulate interactions between these axes is likely to help in devising new therapeutic measures for human obesity.

**Methods**

*Drosophila* strains

*iitp* RNAi experiments utilized the UAS-*dsitpr* strain (1063R-2) obtained from the National Institute of Genetics, Kyoto, Japan. The UAS-*itprGFP* strain used was from Srikanth et al., 2006 [28]. *itpr*<sup>ka1091/ex3</sup> (*itpr<sup>ka</sup>) is a heteroallelic combination of single point mutants in the *itpr* gene that were generated in an EMS (ethyl methane sulfonate) screen [8]. The embryonic wild-type *itpr* cDNA (UASitpr<sup>+</sup>) [5] was used for rescue experiments. UAS<sup>c125</sup>*CD8-GFP* (II) used was obtained from the Bloomington Stock Centre, Bloomington, IN. GAL4 strains used were a fat body GAL4, *c729GAL4* [29], a Dilp2 neuronal GALA4,Dilp2GAL4 [30], a pan-neuronal GALA4, elav<sup>C125</sup>GAL4 [31] and a peptidergic neuron GALA4, *dimmGAL4* [32].

**Starvation assays**

Flies were grown on normal food (80 g of corn flour, 20 g of D-Glucose, 40 g of sucrose, 8 g of agar and 15 g of yeast

---

Figure 4 Knockdown of *itpr* in neurons shows increased feeding. (A) Flies with pan-neuronal and peptidergic *itpr* knockdown show increased feeding as compared to the RNAi control as observed in their abdomen when fed colored food. (B) Spectrophotometric quantification of the red dye in fly abdomen (*p* < 0.05; Student’s t test; difference significant as compared to the RNAi control) (C) Oil Red O staining for TAGs in the gut also shows increased accumulation of TAGs in the guts of flies with pan-neuronal and peptidergic knock down of *itpr* as compared to the RNAi control.
extract in a total volume of 1 litre). They were aged on the same food for 3 days and the starvation assay was carried out as described in [9].

Immunohistochemistry
Adult brains of the specified genotypes were dissected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. A rabbit anti-GFP primary antibody (1:10,000; #A6455, Molecular Probes, Eugene, USA) was used with a rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 secondary (1:400; #A1108) to probe for levels of UASitprGFP+. The samples were mounted in 60% glycerol and confocal images were acquired using an Olympus FV1000 Confocal Microscope and viewed using FV10-ASW 3.0 viewer (Olympus Corporation, Japan).

Oil red staining of neutral lipids
For Oil Red O staining, guts were fixed in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde. They were incubated for 20 to 30 min in 0.1% Oil Red O (Sigma, St. Louis, USA), washed in PBS and mounted in 60% glycerol. Photographs were obtained on an Olympus BX60 Microscope with an Evolution VF camera (Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, USA).

TAG assay
TAGs were estimated with a Triglyceride reagent kit (GPO-ESPAS, Ranbaxy Diagnostic Limited, India) in homogenates from Drosophila abdomens and were normalized to protein levels as described in [9]. Total protein was estimated from the same homogenate using a BCA kit from Sigma-Aldrich.

Quantification of feeding assay
Freshly eclosed flies were collected and aged for 3 days on normal food, starved for 24 hours and transferred into vials containing 1.2% red dye (Chromotrope FB, Sigma), 1% agar and 100 mM sucrose. They were allowed to feed in a dark chamber for 2 hours post which the intake of red dye was monitored from abdominal lysates of three independent batches, as described in [9].

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR
Total RNA was isolated from ~5 guts of the indicated genotypes dissected in PBS for each sample. RNA
isolation was performed using TRIZol reagent (Invitrogen, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was dissolved in nuclease free water and quantified using a NanoDrop machine (Thermo scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), and the integrity was checked on a 1.5% TAE gel. Approximately 500 ng of RNA was used for cDNA preparation by Reverse Transcription as described in [7]. qPCR was performed on an ABI 7500 fast machine operated with ABI 7500 software using MESA GREEN qPCR Master Mix Plus for SYBR Assay I dTTP (Eurogentec, Belgium). Each qPCR experiment was repeated three times with independently isolated RNA samples at 1:10 dilution. rp49 was used as the internal control. A melt curve was performed after the assay to check for specificity of the reaction. The fold change of gene expression in the mutant relative to wild-type was determined by the comparative DDCt method [33]. In this method the fold change is $2^{\Delta \Delta \text{Ct}}$ where $\Delta \Delta \text{Ct} = (\text{Ct (target gene)} - \text{Ct (rp49)})_{\text{mutant}} - (\text{Ct (target gene)} - \text{Ct (rp49)})_{\text{wild type}}$.

**Statistical analysis**

Computations of means, standard error of the mean (SEM) and students t-tests were performed using Origin software using MESA GREEN qPCR Master Mix Plus for SYBR Assay I dTTP (Eurogentec, Belgium). Each qPCR experiment was repeated three times with independently isolated RNA samples at 1:10 dilution. rp49 was used as the internal control. A melt curve was performed after the assay to check for specificity of the reaction. The fold change of gene expression in the mutant relative to wild-type was determined by the comparative DDCt method [33]. In this method the fold change is $2^{\Delta \Delta \text{Ct}}$ where $\Delta \Delta \text{Ct} = (\text{Ct (target gene)} - \text{Ct (rp49)})_{\text{mutant}} - (\text{Ct (target gene)} - \text{Ct (rp49)})_{\text{wild type}}$.

**Statistical analysis**

Computations of means, standard error of the mean (SEM) and students t-tests were performed using Origin 7.5. All error bars in all figures represent SEM.
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