Fiber ring resonator with nanofiber section for chiral cavity quantum electrodynamics and multimode strong coupling
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We experimentally realize an optical fiber ring resonator that includes a tapered section with subwavelength-diameter waist. In this section, the guided light exhibits a significant evanescent field which allows for efficient interfacing with optical emitters. A commercial tunable fiber beam splitter provides simple and robust coupling to the resonator. Key parameters of the resonator such as its out-coupling rate, free spectral range, and birefringence can be adjusted. Thanks to the low taper- and coupling-losses, the resonator exhibits an unloaded finesse of $F = 75 \pm 1$, sufficient for reaching the regime of strong coupling for emitters placed in the evanescent field. The system is ideally suited for trapping ensembles of laser-cooled atoms along the nanofiber section. Based on measured parameters, we estimate that the system can serve as a platform for optical multimode strong coupling experiments. Finally, we discuss the possibilities of using the resonator for applications based on chiral quantum optics.

Over the past years, significant research effort has been devoted to interfacing quantum emitters, such as molecules, quantum dots, color centers, and neutral atoms, with fiber-guided light fields. Suitable light–matter interfaces are considered to be key elements for future quantum networks [13]. One way to realize such an interface consists in coupling emitters to the evanescent fields surrounding the nanofiber-waist of a tapered optical fiber, i.e., a fiber section with sub-wavelength diameter. Such systems already provide absorption probabilities for single fiber-guided photons of about 25 % for a single emitter located on the nanofiber surface [2] and about 5 % at a distance of 200 nm, typical for cold atoms in nanofiber-based optical dipole traps [3, 4].

One way to further enhance the light–matter coupling strength is to increase the number of emitters in the evanescent field and to take advantage of their collective coupling. Another option relies on confining the light in an optical resonator, which allows one to even reach the regime of strong coupling in the sense of cavity quantum electrodynamics (CQED) [5]. There, coherent emitter-light interaction strength dominates over the incoherent decay channels. In this context, optical nanofibers are a versatile platform as they allow one to combine both approaches and, in this way, to reach very strong light–matter coupling in an fiber-integrated environment.

Different nanofiber-based Fabry-Pérot resonator schemes have been developed, e.g., based on Bragg structures created using ion beam milling [6] or laser ablation [7] of the fiber waist. A nanofiber placed on an optical grating has been demonstrated and Purcell enhancement of single quantum emitters coupled to this system has been observed [8]. Tapered optical fibers have been combined with conventional fiber Bragg gratings to form a Fabry-Pérot resonator [9] for which strong coupling has been demonstrated with Cesium (Cs) atoms trapped close to the nanofiber surface [10]. Running-wave type resonators such as nanofiber knot and loop resonators [11] as well as a nanofiber-segment tapered fiber closed to a ring via a 50:50 beam splitter [12] have also been demonstrated, albeit so far with smaller finesse than their Fabry-Pérot counterparts.

Here, we demonstrate a tapered fiber-based ring resonator with optical characteristics that are compatible with entering the regime of single-atom strong coupling. We experimentally reach a resonator finesse of $F = 75 \pm 1$ which corresponds to a single-atom cooperativity of $C \approx 1$. Our implementation offers easy tuning of the resonator eigenpolarizations and out-coupling rate as well as straightforward adjustment of the resonator’s free spectral range. Our system is compatible with established nanofiber-based schemes for the optical trapping of laser-cooled atoms. This would enable a controlled coupling of large ensembles of atoms to the resonator field which then gives rise to extremely large collective atom-resonator interaction strengths. Furthermore, the evanescent fields around the nanofiber exhibit an inherent link between the local polarization and the propagation direction of the guided light [13]. This gives rise to a direction-dependent light–emitter coupling [14, 15] which renders this resonator distinct from traditional Fabry-Pérot or ring-resonators. In particular, this allows one to implement chiral quantum optics effects [16]. Finally, the optical path length of such a tapered fiber ring (TFR) resonator can be significantly increased without reducing its cooperativity. This makes this system a prime candidate for experimentally exploring the regime of optical multimode strong coupling where the atoms simultaneously couple strongly to many longitudinal resonator modes [17, 18].

Our ring resonator consists of a tapered optical fiber with a nanofiber waist connected to an adjustable fiber beam splitter (Newport F-CPL-830-N-FA), see Fig. 1(a), whose splitting ratio can be continuously adjusted between 0 % and 100 %. The tapered fiber, shown schematically in Fig. 1(b), is fabricated from a standard step-
index silica fiber (Fiber Core SM 800) using a heat-and-pull process [19, 20]. The fiber waist has a length of 5 mm and a diameter of 500 nm. Light guided in such a subwavelength-diameter optical fiber exhibits a pronounced evanescent field (Fig. 1(c)) and enables efficient, homogeneous coupling of optical emitters to the guided mode. The standard fiber ends of the tapered fiber are spliced to the fiber beam splitter, yielding a TFR resonator. An adjustable fiber beam splitter allows one to couple light into and out of the resonator. The experimental characterization of the system is carried out by recording transmission spectra through the coupling fiber around a center wavelength of about 850 nm. The polarization of the input light field can be adjusted using a half and a quarter wave plate. (b) Schematic of the tapered optical fiber section with a nanofiber waist. A waist with a diameter of 500 nm and a length of 5 mm was used in the experiment. (c) Normalized intensity profile of a quasi-linearly polarized nanofiber-guided light field. The pronounced evanescent part of the guided light allows efficient interfacing with optical emitters. (parameters: nanofiber radius 250 nm; vacuum optical wavelength 852 nm; fiber refractive index 1.45.)

We optically characterize the TFR resonator by recording transmission spectra through the coupling fiber. To this end, we send light from a tunable, narrow-band diode laser into the coupling fiber and align the probe light’s polarization with one of the principle polarization axes of the resonator using wave plates. The transmitted power is recorded with a photodiode as a function of the laser–resonator detuning. The wavelength of the light is about 850 nm, close to the Cs D2 line. By adjusting the splitting ratio of the beam splitter, we can continuously adjust the fiber–resonator coupling rate $\kappa_{\text{ext}}$ from the undercoupled regime ($\kappa_{\text{ext}} < \kappa_0$) to critical coupling ($\kappa_{\text{ext}} = \kappa_0$) and to the overcoupled regime ($\kappa_{\text{ext}} > \kappa_0$). Here, $\kappa_0$ is the unloaded field decay rate of the uncoupled TFR resonator. Figure 2 shows example spectra for the three different coupling regimes. In each case, the laser is scanned over three consecutive resonances. Narrow transmission dips are clearly apparent with a free spectral range (FSR) of $\nu_{\text{FSR}} = 87.5 \pm 0.4$ MHz demonstrating a high optical finesse and indicating a small internal resonator field decay rate $\kappa_0$. We checked that the birefringence of the resonator and, thus, its eigenpolarizations can be tuned using a fiber paddle polarization controller, and coupling to only one of the resonator modes can always be restored by adapting the setting of the wave plates in the coupling beam path.

Close to a cavity resonance, the field transmission $t$ through the coupling fiber as a function of the frequency of the probe light is given by the Lorentzian [21],

$$t = \frac{\kappa_0 - \kappa_{\text{ext}} + i(\omega - \omega_0)}{\kappa_0 + \kappa_{\text{ext}} + i(\omega - \omega_0)},$$

and is related to the power transmission $T$ by $T = |t|^2$. Here, $(\omega - \omega_0)$ is the detuning between the laser frequency $\omega$ and the resonator frequency $\omega_0$. In order to precisely determine the unloaded resonator loss rate, we record transmission spectra for a total of 12 different settings of the fiber beam splitter. For each setting, the laser is scanned over three consecutive resonances. All spectra
We now estimate how the resonator and coupling parameters change with the resonator length. Diffraction effects make it challenging to maintain a small mode area (and, thus, large coupling strength) for long resonators build from free-space optical components. However, for both, Fabry-Pérot and ring-type fiber-based resonators, the light is guided and the mode cross section is independent of the resonator length. Then, the atom-resonator coupling strength scales as \( g \propto l^{-1/2} \) with the length \( l \) of the resonator. At the same time, the cumulative propagation losses in the standard fiber part are typically much smaller than the losses that occur when the light propagates through the tapered section. In this case, the unloaded resonator decay rate \( \kappa_0 \) depends on the length as \( \kappa_0 \propto l^{-1} \). Consequently, the cooperativity is then independent of the resonator length. Remarkably, it is thus possible to maintain large cooperativities also for large resonator lengths. For our experimental system, Fig. 3 shows the predicted behavior of the collective cooperativity \( C_{\text{coll}} = NC_0 \approx 1500 \) which sets the system far in the strong-coupling regime.

We now estimate how the resonator and coupling parameters change with the resonator length. Diffraction effects make it challenging to maintain a small mode area (and, thus, large coupling strength) for long resonators build from free-space optical components. However, for both, Fabry-Pérot and ring-type fiber-based resonators, the light is guided and the mode cross section is independent of the resonator length. Then, the atom-resonator coupling strength scales as \( g \propto l^{-1/2} \) with the length \( l \) of the resonator. At the same time, the cumulative propagation losses in the standard fiber part are typically much smaller than the losses that occur when the light propagates through the tapered section. In this case, the unloaded resonator decay rate \( \kappa_0 \) depends on the length as \( \kappa_0 \propto l^{-1} \). Consequently, the cooperativity is then independent of the resonator length. Remarkably, it is thus possible to maintain large cooperativities also for large resonator lengths. For our experimental system, Fig. 3 shows the predicted behavior of the collective cooperativity \( C_{\text{coll}} = NC_0 \approx 1500 \) which sets the system far in the strong-coupling regime.

We now estimate how the resonator and coupling parameters change with the resonator length. Diffraction effects make it challenging to maintain a small mode area (and, thus, large coupling strength) for long resonators build from free-space optical components. However, for both, Fabry-Pérot and ring-type fiber-based resonators, the light is guided and the mode cross section is independent of the resonator length. Then, the atom-resonator coupling strength scales as \( g \propto l^{-1/2} \) with the length \( l \) of the resonator. At the same time, the cumulative propagation losses in the standard fiber part are typically much smaller than the losses that occur when the light propagates through the tapered section. In this case, the unloaded resonator decay rate \( \kappa_0 \) depends on the length as \( \kappa_0 \propto l^{-1} \). Consequently, the cooperativity is then independent of the resonator length. Remarkably, it is thus possible to maintain large cooperativities also for large resonator lengths. For our experimental system, Fig. 3 shows the predicted behavior of the collective cooperativity \( C_{\text{coll}} = NC_0 \approx 1500 \) which sets the system far in the strong-coupling regime.

We now estimate how the resonator and coupling parameters change with the resonator length. Diffraction effects make it challenging to maintain a small mode area (and, thus, large coupling strength) for long resonators build from free-space optical components. However, for both, Fabry-Pérot and ring-type fiber-based resonators, the light is guided and the mode cross section is independent of the resonator length. Then, the atom-resonator coupling strength scales as \( g \propto l^{-1/2} \) with the length \( l \) of the resonator. At the same time, the cumulative propagation losses in the standard fiber part are typically much smaller than the losses that occur when the light propagates through the tapered section. In this case, the unloaded resonator decay rate \( \kappa_0 \) depends on the length as \( \kappa_0 \propto l^{-1} \). Consequently, the cooperativity is then independent of the resonator length. Remarkably, it is thus possible to maintain large cooperativities also for large resonator lengths. For our experimental system, Fig. 3 shows the predicted behavior of the collective cooperativity \( C_{\text{coll}} = NC_0 \approx 1500 \) which sets the system far in the strong-coupling regime.

We now estimate how the resonator and coupling parameters change with the resonator length. Diffraction effects make it challenging to maintain a small mode area (and, thus, large coupling strength) for long resonators build from free-space optical components. However, for both, Fabry-Pérot and ring-type fiber-based resonators, the light is guided and the mode cross section is independent of the resonator length. Then, the atom-resonator coupling strength scales as \( g \propto l^{-1/2} \) with the length \( l \) of the resonator. At the same time, the cumulative propagation losses in the standard fiber part are typically much smaller than the losses that occur when the light propagates through the tapered section. In this case, the unloaded resonator decay rate \( \kappa_0 \) depends on the length as \( \kappa_0 \propto l^{-1} \). Consequently, the cooperativity is then independent of the resonator length. Remarkably, it is thus possible to maintain large cooperativities also for large resonator lengths. For our experimental system, Fig. 3 shows the predicted behavior of the collective cooperativity \( C_{\text{coll}} = NC_0 \approx 1500 \) which sets the system far in the strong-coupling regime.

We now estimate how the resonator and coupling parameters change with the resonator length. Diffraction effects make it challenging to maintain a small mode area (and, thus, large coupling strength) for long resonators build from free-space optical components. However, for both, Fabry-Pérot and ring-type fiber-based resonators, the light is guided and the mode cross section is independent of the resonator length. Then, the atom-resonator coupling strength scales as \( g \propto l^{-1/2} \) with the length \( l \) of the resonator. At the same time, the cumulative propagation losses in the standard fiber part are typically much smaller than the losses that occur when the light propagates through the tapered section. In this case, the unloaded resonator decay rate \( \kappa_0 \) depends on the length as \( \kappa_0 \propto l^{-1} \). Consequently, the cooperativity is then independent of the resonator length. Remarkably, it is thus possible to maintain large cooperativities also for large resonator lengths. For our experimental system, Fig. 3 shows the predicted behavior of the collective cooperativity \( C_{\text{coll}} = NC_0 \approx 1500 \) which sets the system far in the strong-coupling regime.
has recently also been considered for implementing photonic quantum simulation protocols \[24\].

Apart from the large possible collective coupling strength and the intrinsic fiber integration, a TFR resonator differs from other resonator types because of the extremely tight confinement of the light in the nanofiber section. This confinement gives rise to an inherent link between local polarization and propagation direction of the light. In conjunction with suitable quantum emitters, such as spin-polarized atoms, NV-centers or quantum dots, this gives rise to strong direction-dependent light–matter interaction strengths \[14, 15\], similar to the case of whispering-gallery-mode resonators \[25\]. This renders the TFR resonator a conceptually novel type of optical resonator for which collectively enhanced chiral light-matter interaction \[16\] provides novel quantum functionalities \[26\] and that can, e.g., be employed to realize optical nonreciprocal devices \[27, 28\].

In summary, we realized a tapered fiber ring resonator that incorporates a nanofiber section, and identified two key areas of application. The high optical finesse, the tight confinement of the light in the nanofiber section, and the compatibility with homogeneously coupling to large ensembles of optical emitters render this system well suited for protocols that require strong light–matter interaction. Our experimental approach also offers practical advantages such as a simple tuning of key system parameters. Both, the chiral light–matter interactions present in our resonator and the option to enter the regime of multimode strong coupling, make tapered fiber ring resonators a powerful platform for future experiments in classical and quantum photonics.
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