Improved Efficacy of a Gene Optimised Adenovirus-based Vaccine for Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis Virus
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Abstract

Background: Optimisation of genes has been shown to be beneficial for expression of proteins in a range of applications. Optimisation has increased protein expression levels through improved codon usage of the genes and an increase in levels of messenger RNA. We have applied this to an adenovirus (ad)-based vaccine encoding structural proteins (E3-E2-6K) of Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV).

Results: Following administration of this vaccine to Balb/c mice, an approximately ten-fold increase in antibody response was elicited and increased protective efficacy compared to an ad-based vaccine containing non-optimised genes was observed after challenge.

Conclusion: This study, in which the utility of optimising genes encoding the structural proteins of VEEV is demonstrated for the first time, informs us that including optimised genes in gene-based vaccines for VEEV is essential to obtain maximum immunogenicity and protective efficacy.

Background

Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV) is a positive-stranded, enveloped, RNA virus of the genus Alphavirus in the family Togaviridae. VEEV causes a disease in humans characterized by fever, headache, and occasionally encephalitis. It is the cause of recent outbreaks in South America [1] and is considered to be a potential biological weapon [2-6].

There is a complex variety of different serogroups of VEEV. Only serogroup I varieties A/B and C have caused major outbreaks involving hundreds of thousands of equine and human cases [1]. Serogroups II through VI and serogroup I varieties D, E and F are enzootic strains, relatively avirulent in equines and not usually associated with major equine outbreaks, although they do cause human illness which can be fatal [7].

There is currently no vaccine licensed for human use to protect against infection with VEEV, although two vaccines have been used under Investigational New Drug status in humans. TC-83, a live-attenuated vaccine, and C-84, a formalin-inactivated version of TC-83, are not considered suitable for use because of poor immunogenicity and safety [8]. A further live-attenuated vaccine, V3526, derived by site-directed mutagenesis from a virulent clone of the IA/B Trinidad Donkey (TrD) strain of VEEV has recently been developed. V3526 has been shown to be effective in protecting rodent and nonhuman primates against virulent challenge [9-11] but demonstrated a high level of adverse events in phase I clinical trials [12].

We have previously developed adenovirus (ad)-based vaccines which encode the structural proteins of VEEV. The structural proteins of VEEV (core, E3, E2, 6K and E1) are
initially translated from a 26S subgenomic RNA as a single polyprotein. Following proteolytic cleavage, individual proteins are produced that are incorporated into the mature virion [13]. The most potent immunogen, E2, when co-expressed with E3 and 6K by the adenoviral vector, is able to confer protective efficacy in mice against lethal aerosol challenge [14]. For protection against VEEV, the antibody response is the principal correlate of protection [15]. An ad-based vaccine approach is additionally advantageous because of the ability to administer the vaccine by a mucosal route, eliciting immunity important for protection against aerosol challenge [16]. Our previously constructed recombinant adenovirus expressing E3-E2-6K genes from VEEV serotype IA/B (RAd/VEEV#3) was able to confer 90–100% protection against 100LD50 of strains IA/B, ID and IE of VEEV. However, it was less protective against higher challenge doses and requires three intranasal doses. Therefore, we have examined methods for improving the immunogenicity of this vaccine candidate.

Methods for optimising genes are sophisticated and becoming increasingly established for a variety of applications such as expression in prokaryotes, yeast, plants and mammalian cells [17]. Codon usage adaptation is one method of increasing the immunogenicity of epitope-based vaccines as it can enhance translational efficiency. Codon bias is observed in all species and the use of selective codons in genes often correlates with gene expression efficiency. Optimal codons are those that are recognised by abundant transfer RNAs (tRNAs) with tRNAs expressed as tRNA available can also have a significant impact on the expression level. Increasing the RNA levels by methods such as optimisation of GC content, and removal of cis-acting RNA elements that negatively influence expression can also be achieved through the rational design of genes. Because alteration of these parameters is a multi-task problem and cannot be achieved as effectively through linear optimisation, we used multi-parameter optimization software (GeneOptimizer™, Geneart GmbH, Regensburg) which allows different weighting of the constraints and evaluates the quality of codon combinations concurrently.

This is the first demonstration of the optimisation of structural genes of the VEEV. We have both codon adapted and gene optimised the E3-E2-6K genes for expression in mammalian cells from an ad-based vaccine. We show that this process can improve antibody levels by up to ten-fold following administration of the vaccine to mice and that this confers increased protection from virus challenge. This study provides important information to inform the design of vaccines for VEEV, which may be applied to pre-clinical VEEV vaccines such as ad-based vaccine [14], DNA vaccines [19-21], and Sindbis virus-based vaccine vectors [22].

**Results**

**Optimisation of genes expressing E3-E2-6K of VEEV**

The genes encoding the structural proteins, E3-E2-6K, were optimised using GeneOptimizer™ (Geneart GmbH, Regensburg). This included codon usage adaptation, optimal for mammalian expression. One measure of codon quality is the Codon Adaptation Index (CAI), a measurement for the relative adaptiveness of the codon usage of a gene towards the codon usage of highly expressed genes. The CAI scores of the wildtype and optimised genes were 0.75 and 0.98 respectively. Additionally, the optimised gene had an increased GC content of 61% (compared to 52%) and 4 prokaryotic inhibitory motifs, 3 cryptic splice donor sites and 3 RNA instability motifs (ARE) were removed. The new gene sequence (VEEV#3-CO) is aligned with the wild-type sequence in figure 1.

**Rad/VEEV#3-CO virus expresses VEEV antigen**

An adenovirus construct was prepared which expresses the optimised gene sequence (Rad/VEEV#3-CO). Staining of fixed HEK 293 cells infected with Rad/VEEV#3-CO with mouse polyclonal anti-VEEV antibody produced a strong fluorescence absent from uninfected cells (not shown) or cells infected with empty adenovirus (Rad) (Figure 2). This confirms the expression of VEEV antigen. Rad/VEEV#3-CO also gave strong fluorescence in infected HEK 293 cells stained with VEEV E2-specific monoclonal antibodies 1A3A-9, 1A4A-1 and 1A3B-7, confirming expression of the E2 structural protein (data not shown). None of the monoclonal antibodies reacted with Rad infected cells. Antigen expression levels were quantitatively analysed by ELISA using three different detection antibodies, which indicated that the gene optimised adenovirus construct expressed increased levels of antigen compared to the non-gene optimised adenovirus construct (Figure 3).

**Optimised ad-based vaccine elicits an increased anti-VEEV immune response compared to non-optimised**

It was reasoned that the increased antigen expression of the codon-optimised vaccine would lead to an increased VEEV-specific immune response. Mice were immunised on days 0 and 7 with 10^5 pfu and on day 21 with 10^3 pfu of ad-based vaccines and sera were analysed after 2 doses (day 16) and after 3 doses (day 23). The suboptimal dosing regimen as compared to that used previously [14] was designed to allow effective demonstration of improved vaccine efficacy in our animal challenge model. At both timepoints, the ad-based vaccine with optimised genes
induced approximately 10-fold more VEEV-specific antibody than the non-optimised equivalent (Figure 4). This effect was statistically significant (p < 0.0001, Two way ANOVA).

Optimised ad-based vaccine confers protection in mice against homologous VEEV challenge

Groups of 10 mice were challenged by the aerosol route with VEEV (serogroup IA/B). Optimised vaccine significantly protected more mice against homologous virus challenge (90% survival) than either non-optimised vaccine (20% survival) or empty adenovirus (0% survival) (p = 0.001 and p = 0.0001 respectively, Mantel-Haenszel Logrank) (Figure 5).

Discussion

Previous efforts to improve the immunogenicity of an ad-based vaccine for use against VEEV have been unrewarding. Adjuvants such as CpG and interferon alpha, have not only failed to improve immune responses but have increased the vector-specific response, potentially removing the possibility of repeated booster doses [23,24]. We have also shown that although a DNA vaccine can effectively prime the immune response prior to an ad-based vaccine, heterologous prime-boost appeared to offer little advantage over homologous adenovirus boosting [20]. We therefore reasoned that further optimisation of the components of the ad-based vaccine may improve immune responses.

Gene optimisation has been shown to be effective for a number of treatment applications where a protein is synthesised in vivo following gene delivery and is becoming routinely used for a range of applications [25-27]. For example, codon optimisation of the gene for the Respiratory syncytial virus F protein expressed from a DNA vaccine improved the performance relative to wild-type. Stronger antibody responses and better control of virus
replication after challenge was observed in the Balb/c mouse model [28]. Codon optimisation of the Ag85B gene which encodes the secretory antigen of Mycobacterium tuberculosis has also proved beneficial [29]. A stronger Th1-like and cytotoxic T cell immune response in Balb/c mice resulted in increased protective efficacy in an aerosol infection model. Codon usage adaptation of the gag protein of HIV delivered by a DNA vaccine increased gene expression by 10-fold compared to wild-type. A substantially increased humoral and cellular immune response in Balb/c mice was elicited, which was independent of the route of administration [30]. Similarly, optimisation of the Pr55gag genes in a DNA vaccine substantially increased gene expression, largely due to increased mRNA stability of the optimised transcripts [31]. Gene optimised HIV genes are currently encoded in DNA vaccine constructs undergoing human clinical trials [32,33].

Genes delivered by other platforms have also been optimised. For example, vaccinia viral vectors encoding the optimised HIV genes Gag-Pol-Nef are effective in small animal models and humans [32,34,35]. Finally, it has been demonstrated that the benefits of gene optimisation may be particularly acute where two of these approaches are combined in a prime-boost immunisation regimen [32,33].

In this study, we have focused our efforts on ad-based vaccines. Because ad-based vaccines allow in vivo synthesis of the antigen, a wide range of immune responses can be elicited. We have included a gene optimised version of the major antigenic determinant for VEEV, E2, along with the chaperone proteins E3 and 6K within our ad-based vaccine. Delivery of this antigen by the ad-based vaccine is able to elicit the principle correlate of protection, a VEEV-specific antibody response [36-40]. CD4+ T cells [41], αβ TCR-bearing T cells [42], cytokine responses and mucosal immunity following intranasal delivery [16] may also be initiated, though these mechanisms are believed to be of minor importance relative to antibody responses.

There are relatively few published methods for significantly enhancing the performance of ad-based vaccines. Some success has recently been achieved with a complement-based molecular adjuvant (mC4 bp). However, successful application of this to malaria vaccines has yet to prove universally applicable [43]. Gene optimisation has shown promise for a number of infectious diseases. For example, ad-based malaria vaccines have been developed containing malarial antigens optimised for expression in mammalian cells. Codon adaptation significantly increased the expression level of Plasmodium antigen in mammalian cells [44]. In another study developing an ad-based avian influenza (AI) vaccine, it was found that a synthetic AI H5 gene with codons optimised to match the chicken tRNA pool was more immunogenic than its counterpart without codon-optimisation [45]. Furthermore, an ad-based vaccine expressing gene optimised SIV mac239 gag gene was chosen to demonstrate the potential utility of ad-vectors derived from rare serotypes to elicit immune responses in the presence of pre-existing anti-Ad5 immunity [46].

**Conclusion**

In the current study, we are able to reproduce beneficial effects on vaccination efficacy of gene optimisation, for the first time with structural genes from the Alphavirus, VEEV. This is significant because while previous attempts to improve the protective efficacy of ad-based vaccines for this infectious disease have proven unsuccessful [20,23,24], we have increased both the immune response and protective efficacy of this vaccine through gene optimisation. An ad-based vaccine for VEEV may be particularly attractive given the increased inherent safety of this approach compared to live-attenuated vaccines and the potential of ad-based vaccines to be multivalent, potentially including genes from other alphaviruses such as western and eastern equine encephalitis viruses and chikungunya.

**Methods**

**Plasmids, cells and viruses**

Plasmid pVEEV#3 was previously constructed [14]. It contains the E3-E2-6K structural genes from the TC-83 strain of VEEV (attenuated TrD strain) with three mutations changing the sequence to that found in the virulent TrD strain. This gene sequence was replaced by the optimised
gene sequence to produce the plasmid pVEEV#3-CO. The E3-E2-6K gene sequence was optimised and synthesised by Geneart GmbH (Regensburg, Germany) and then cloned into the pVEEV#3 backbone using the Bam HI sites to create the plasmid pVEEV#3-CO. Recombinant adenovirus (RAd/VEEV#3-CO) was constructed and purified as described previously for RAd/VEEV#3 [14]. The optimised gene sequence in the recombinant ad was characterised by sequencing. The viral DNA of RAd/VEEV#3-CO was extracted using the QiaAmp DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen) and the E3-E2-6K genes were PCR amplified. This was then cloned into pCR®4-TOPO® (Invitrogen) for sequencing (Lark Technologies, Inc). Empty adenovirus containing no VEEV genes is designated RAd [14].

HEK 293 and A549 cell lines (European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures, UK) were propagated by standard methods using the recommended culture media. VEEV serogroup IA/B (Trinidad donkey; TrD) was kindly supplied by Dr. B. Shope (Yale Arbovirus Research Unit, University of Texas, Austin, Texas, USA). Virulent virus stocks were prepared and titred as previously described [14].

**Immunofluorescence**

Recombinant adenoviruses were tested for expression of VEEV proteins by immunofluorescence. HEK 293 cell monolayers in T25 flasks were infected with the recombinant ads or empty ad vector (RAd) for 48 hours at an MOI of 1. Cells were then harvested, washed and resuspended in PBS. The suspension (5 μl) was spotted onto glass slides which were then air dried and fixed in acetone at -20°C for 15 minutes. The slides were reacted for 1 hour at 37°C with a 1/400 dilution of mouse polyclonal anti-VEEV antibody in PBS/1% FCS or 10 μg/ml of the E2-specific monoclonal antibodies 1A3A-9, 1A4A-1 and 1A3B-7 in PBS/1% FCS. Mouse polyclonal anti-VEEV antibody was a kind gift from Dr. B. Shope of the Yale Arbovirus Research Unit, University of Texas, Austin, Texas, USA and E2-specific monoclonal antibodies were a kind gift of Dr. J.T. Roehrig, Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, CDC, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA. After three washes in PBS, cells were stained for 1 hour at 37°C with FITC-labelled anti-mouse whole molecule IgG (Sigma) diluted 1/800 in PBS/1%FCS. The slides were washed a further four times in PBS before being mounted in 50% glycerol and examined using a UV microscope.

**ELISA**

Mouse sera, harvested from the marginal tail vein or by cardiac puncture, were assayed for VEEV-specific antibodies using sucrose density gradient-purified, β-propiolactone-inactivated antigen from strain TC-83 [14]. Immunoglobulin concentrations were estimated by comparison of the absorbance values generated by diluted serum samples (three replicates) with a standard curve prepared from dilutions of mouse IgG (Sigma) diluted 1/800 in PBS/1%FCS. The slides were washed a further four times in PBS before being mounted in 50% glycerol and examined using a UV microscope.
with Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test and statistical analysis of survival using the Mantel-Haenszel logrank test were performed as detailed in the Results section.
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