Aptamer fluorescence anisotropy sensors for adenosine triphosphate by comprehensive screening tetramethylrhodamine labeled nucleotides
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A B S T R A C T

We previously reported a fluorescence anisotropy (FA) approach for small molecules using tetramethylrhodamine (TMR) labeled aptamer. It relies on target-binding induced change of intramolecular interaction between TMR and guanine (G) base. TMR-labeling sites are crucial for this approach. Only terminal ends and thymine (T) bases could be tested for TMR labeling in our previous work, possibly causing limitation in analysis of different targets with this FA strategy. Here, we analyzed the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) as an example, we demonstrated a success of conjugating TMR on other bases of aptamer adenine (A) or cytosine (C) bases and an achievement of full mapping various labeling sites of aptamers. We successfully constructed aptamer fluorescence anisotropy (FA) sensors for adenosine triphosphate (ATP). We conjugated single TMR on adenine (A), cytosine (C), or thymine (T) bases or terminals of a 25-mer aptamer against ATP and tested FA responses of 14 TMR-labeled aptamer to ATP. The aptamers having TMR labeled on the 16th base C or 23rd base A were screened out and exhibited significant FA-decreasing or FA-increasing responses upon ATP, respectively. These two favorable TMR-labeled aptamers enabled direct FA sensing ATP with a detection limit of 1 μM and the analysis of ATP in diluted serum. The comprehensive screening various TMR labeling sites of aptamers facilitates the successful construction of FA sensors using TMR-labeled aptamers. It will expand application of TMR-G interaction based aptamer FA strategy to a variety of targets.

1. Introduction

Aptamers are single stranded nucleic acid selected in evolutionary process binding to targets with good specificity and binding affinity (Citartan et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2014; Juskowiak, 2011; Kim and Gu 2014; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2009; McKeague and Derosa, 2012). Since the discovery of aptamers in 1990s, aptamer-based assays for small molecules have drawn increasing attentions in environmental sensing, food safety, and clinical analysis due to the unique features of aptamers, such as easy generation, facile labeling, good thermal stability, small size, and target-binding induced structure change (Citartan et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2014; Juskowiak, 2011; Kim and Gu 2014; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2009; McKeague and Derosa, 2012). Taking advantage of fluorescence anisotropy (FA) or fluorescence polarization (FP) in sensitivity, reproducibility, and simplicity (Lea and Simeonov, 2011; Gradinaru et al., 2010; Le et al., 2002; Smith and Eremin, 2008), the aptamer-based FA/FP sensors are attractive (Gokulrangan et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2009; Ruta et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011). In spite of ease of analyzing proteins (Gokulrangan et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2011), the dye-labeled aptamer based direct FA sensors remain challenging for small molecule analysis because the binding of small target only brings negligible mass change of aptamer. Under a rational design, aptamers with dye labeled on terminal ends can be used to direct detection of small molecules due to structure-switch induced FA alteration (Kidd et al., 2011; Perrier et al., 2010; Ruta et al., 2009), but the produced FA changes are usually mild. To improve FA/FP signals in small molecule detection, large biomolecules (e.g. proteins and oligonucleotides) or nanomaterials (e.g. gold nanoparticles, graphene, and silica nanoparticles) have been introduced in assay development by increasing the binding-induced molecular weight change (Cruz-Aguado and Penner, 2008; Cui et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013; Ye and Yin 2008; Yu et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2011, 2012).
Recently, we have reported a simple and noncompetitive FA strategy for a small molecule, ochratoxin A, by using a TMR-labeled aptamer. (Zhao et al., 2014) It is based on the target-binding induced change of intramolecular interactions between TMR and the guanine (G) bases of the aptamer. The interaction significantly affects local rotation of TMR and FA of TMR. In this FA strategy, TMR-labeling position of the aptamers plays a crucial role. The intramolecular interaction between TMR and G bases is distance dependent, and TMR labeling may influence the binding affinity of aptamer depending on the labeling sites (Zhao et al., 2014). An ideal labeling position allows TMR-labeled aptamer showing FA change upon target without loss in binding affinity. Previously, only terminal ends and T base of aptamers could be examined for TMR labeling in FA analysis of ochratoxin A (Zhao et al., 2014). However, G base in aptamers may not be surrounded by T bases or terminal ends and some aptamers may have less T bases. In addition, the binding affinity of aptamer may be disrupted by introducing TMR on those limited labeling sites. Therefore, lack of labeling single TMR on other labeling sites may cause failure and limitation of FA sensors using TMR-labeled aptamer. Achieving conjugation of a single TMR on other bases like A and C in aptamer will allow a full mapping of labeling positions of aptamers and overcome the limitation.

Herein, we successfully built a TMR-labeled aptamer FA sensor for adenosine triphosphate (ATP), an important compound in cell biology and biochemistry (Knowles, 1980), by comprehensive mapping the labeling sites of 5’ terminal, 3’ terminal, and the A, C, and T bases of aptamers. We introduced a single TMR dye on various labeling sites of a 25-mer anti-ATP aptamer (Huizenga and Szostak, 1995; Lin and Patel, 1997), and screened FA response of each aptamer probe among 14 TMR-labeled aptamers on ATP. The aptamer with TMR labeled on the 16th base C showed remarkable FA-decreasing response to ATP, while the aptamer with TMR labeled on the 23rd base A showed complementary and significant FA-increasing response to ATP. These aptamer probes enabled FA sensing ATP with detection limit of 1 μM and detection of ATP in diluted serum samples. The achievement of conjugating TMR on A, C, and T bases of aptamers can greatly facilitate the construction of FA sensor with TMR-labeled aptamer and expand the application of TMR-labeled aptamer FA sensor relying on the intramolecular interaction of TMR and G base to the analysis of a variety of targets.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemical and reagents

Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) was ordered from Sigma. All the DNA oligonucleotides (Dalian, China) or Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China), Guanosine, thymidine, and cytidine were purchased from Amresco. Guanosine triphosphate (GTP), cytidine triphosphate (CTP), and uridine triphosphate (UTP) were ordered from Takara Biotechnology (Dalian, China). The 25-mer DNA aptamer against ATP had the following sequence: 5’-CCT GGG GGA GTA TTG CGG AGG AAG G-3’ (Huizenga and Szostak, 1995). DNA aptamers with single TMR labeled at different positions were listed in Table 1.

2.2. Fluorescence anisotropy measurement

JASCO FP-8300 fluorescence spectrometer (Japan) was used for FA measurement, which was equipped with a thermostat for precise temperature control. In FA analysis experiments, FA of TMR was measured with an excitation at 560 nm and an emission at 578 nm (Zhao et al., 2014). Slits for the excitation and the emission were both fixed at 5 nm. In FA sensing ATP, TMR-labeled aptamer at 50 nM was mixed with varying concentrations of ATP in a 200 μL of buffer solution containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 20 mM CaCl2, and 0.1% Tween 20, and then FA of TMR was measured after 10-min incubation. Without otherwise noted, FA measurement experiments were all performed at 20 °C.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Comprehensive screening FA responses of aptamers with TMR on various labeling sites

Fig. 1 shows the principle for FA sensing of ATP with TMR-labeled aptamers. The 25-nt anti-ATP aptamer contains 13G bases, 5A bases, 3C bases, and 4T bases (Huizenga and Szostak, 1995; Lin and Patel, 1997). Each G base is surrounded by different bases, possibly alters the interaction between TMR and G bases, which affects FA of TMR (Heinlein et al., 2003; Unruh et al., 2005; Yabuki et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2012), leading to FA changes of TMR. When ATP binding weakens TMR-G interaction, local rotation of labeled TMR increases. Thus, FA of TMR decreases with addition of ATP (Fig. 1a). In addition, when the affinity binding of ATP brings an enhanced TMR-G interaction, an increased FA may be observed.

Table 1: List of TMR-labeled aptamers with respect of labeling sites.

| Name   | Sequences                  |
|--------|---------------------------|
| 1      | 5’-CCT GGG GGA GTA TTG C   |
| 2      | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 3      | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 4      | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 5      | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 6      | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 7      | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 8      | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 9      | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 10     | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 11     | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 12     | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 13     | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 14     | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 15     | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |
| 16     | 5’-CCT GGG CTA TTG CCG A   |

* The bold characters show the labeling sites of TMR in the aptamer.
as the strengthen interaction causes decreasing local rotation of TMR (Fig. 1b). To build a successful FA sensor for ATP with TMR-labeled aptamer, the TMR labeling site plays an important role. It is required that the labeled TMR interacts with G bases in aptamer and target-binding induces a change of this interaction. In addition, to generate a good sensitivity in FA sensing for ATP, the binding affinity of the TMR-labeled aptamer needs to be well maintained. Therefore, mapping as many as possible labeling sites is highly demanded to obtain a TMR-labeled aptamer sensor with good FA responses.

We conjugated single TMR on 3’ end, 5’ end, A, C, or T bases of the aptamer against ATP (Zhang et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2014), and obtained 14 different TMR-labeled aptamers (Table 1 and Fig. S1). Thus, we could make a complete screening FA responsive labeling site of aptamer. Fig. S1 in supplementary material shows how to conjugate TMR on different labeling sites of aptamer. The conjugation of TMR on A, C, or T base did not affect the labeled base stacking with the complementary base because the labeling did not occupy hydrogen bonding position, as Fig. S1 shows.

In absence of ATP, most of TMR-labeled aptamers exhibited FA value higher than 0.200, while the 13T-TMR-A25 and 14T-TMR-A25 showed FA values about 0.180 (Fig. 2A). As early studies show, the high FA value suggests a restricted local rotation of TMR resulted from intramolecular interaction between TMR and bases of aptamer, and the low FA value indicates a relatively free local rotation of labeled TMR (Gokulrangan et al., 2005; Ruta et al., 2009; Unruh et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2014). As comparison, when TMR was conjugated on the 5’ end of 25-nt poly T, 25-nt poly C or 25-nt poly A, the FA values of these TMR-labeled aptamers ranged from 0.100 to 0.160. The results show the occurrence of intramolecular interaction between TMR and G bases in most of TMR-labeled aptamers against ATP.

We investigated the FA responses of fourteen TMR-labeled aptamers upon ATP. In presence of ATP, TMR-labeled aptamers showed significantly distinct responses to ATP. Fig. 2B shows the FA changes of the TMR-labeled aptamers (50 nM) caused by ATP at 100 μM. As early studies show, the FA responses of the TMR-labeled aptamers to varying concentrations of ATP. According to their FA responses to ATP, the TMR-labeled aptamers could be classified into three groups, the TMR-labeled aptamers with remarkable FA-increasing response upon ATP (22A-TMR-A25, 23A-TMR-A25, and 3’-TMR-A25), the TMR-labeled aptamers with remarkable FA-decreasing response upon ATP (2C-TMR-A25, 11T-TMR-A25, 12A-TMR-A25, 13T-TMR-A25, 16C-TMR-A25, and 19A-TMR-A25), and the TMR-labeled aptamers with little FA response upon ATP (5T-TMR-A25, 1C-TMR-A25, 3T-TMR-A25, 9A-TMR-A25, and 14T-TMR-A25). Comparing with the aptamers having TMR attached on T bases or terminal ends, the aptamer with TMR labeled on the 23rd base (A) of aptamer (23A-TMR-A25) gave the largest FA increase upon ATP. The aptamer with TMR labeling on the 16th base C of aptamer (16C-TMR-A25) gave the largest FA decrease upon ATP. Without labeling TMR on A or C, it would be impossible to find the TMR-labeled aptamer with such a remarkable FA response to ATP. Clearly, comprehensive mapping various TMR labeling sites of aptamer greatly enhances the chances to build a FA responsive aptamer sensor. The full screening labeling sites overcomes the limitation of only mapping base T and terminal of aptamer in the previous study (Zhao et al., 2014). 23A-TMR-A25 could be used to develop a FA sensor for ATP showing FA-increase response, and 16C-TMR-A25 could be used as a FA sensor for ATP showing FA-decrease response (Fig. 1).

3.2. Optimization of FA sensing conditions

We optimized the experimental conditions of FA sensing ATP with the 23A-TMR-A25 or 16C-TMR-A25 by testing the effects of NaCl, CaCl₂, pH, incubation temperature, and incubation time on the FA responses of TMR-labeled aptamer to ATP. For FA sensing of ATP with 23A-TMR-A25 probe, we assessed the effect of NaCl on the ATP-induced FA change by measuring the FA values of 23A-TMR-A25 probe in absence of ATP and in presence of ATP (100 μM ATP) in the binding buffer solution containing varying concentrations of NaCl, respectively (Fig. S3). With increase of concentration of NaCl, the obtained FA change did not show remarkable alteration, and 50 mM NaCl in solution could give a little larger FA change than NaCl at concentrations higher than 50 mM. Solution containing 50 mM NaCl was applied for FA sensing ATP.

The presence of CaCl₂ significantly affected the ATP-induced FA change of 23A-TMR-A25 (Fig. 3). The addition of CaCl₂ decreased FA value of 23A-TMR-A25 in absence of ATP, suggesting CaCl₂ may affect the local rotation of TMR in 23A-TMR-A25. In absence of CaCl₂, 23A-TMR-A25 showed little FA response to ATP. With addition of CaCl₂, 23A-TMR-A25 showed increasing FA responses to ATP, and highest FA increase was obtained at 20 mM CaCl₂. Further increase of CaCl₂ led to slight decrease of FA response of 23A-TMR-A25 upon ATP. The result shows that CaCl₂ has more effect on FA sensing ATP with 23A-TMR-A25 than NaCl. CaCl₂ is probably required for affinity binding between aptamer and ATP (Deng et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2014). As comparison, when TMR was conjugated on the 5’ end of 25-nt poly T, 25-nt poly C or 25-nt poly A, the FA values of these TMR-labeled aptamers ranged from 0.100 to 0.160.
work. The addition of 0.1% Tween 20 could help to reduce the nonspecific absorption of TMR-labeled aptamer. Finally, the binding buffer solution containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 20 mM CaCl$_2$, and 0.1% Tween 20 was used in FA sensing with 23A-TMR-A25, and incubation at 20 °C for 10 min was applied.

The experimental condition for aptamer probe 16C-TMR-A25 to sense ATP was also optimized. The same binding buffer solution was favorable for 16C-TMR-A25 as that used for aptamer probe 23A-TMR-A25. CaCl$_2$ had significant effect on the ATP-induced FA change (Fig. S6), while NaCl showed little effect. A fast FA response of 16C-TMR-A25 to ATP also enabled the short incubation in FA analysis. High FA decrease of 16C-TMR-A25 caused by ATP was observed when solution pH ranged from 6.0 to 8.0 (Fig. S7). When pH was lower than 6.0 or higher than 8.0, the obtained FA change was lower. The result shows pH ranging from 6.0 to 8.0 is favorable in sensing ATP with 16C-TMR-A25. Low temperature did not significantly affect the FA response of 16C-TMR-A25 to ATP (Fig. S8). High temperature above 50 °C disrupted the capability of 16C-TMR-A25 for FA sensing. Incubation at 20 °C was also applied in sensing ATP with 16C-TMR-A25.

3.3. FA sensing ATP

At optimized experimental conditions, ATP was successfully analyzed with 23A-TMR-A25 or 16C-TMR-A25 aptamer FA sensor (Fig. 4). By using 23A-TMR-A25, FA-increasing response upon ATP was obtained. ATP ranging from 1 μM to 200 μM could be detected, and the maximum FA increase was about 0.047. The detection limit reached 1 μM. The high FA increase is not attributed to the increase of molecular weight as ATP has small molecular weight (about 507 g/mole) and ATP binding only leads to small increase (~6% increase) in molecular weight of the 23A-TMR-A25 (about 8395 g/mole). The fluorescence intensity of 23A-TMR-A25 decreased with increasing concentrations of ATP, and the maximum decrease in fluorescence intensity was about 39% (data not shown). The decrease of fluorescence intensity indicates the strengthening of TMR-G interaction in aptamer upon ATP addition because TMR-G interaction can cause fluorescence quenching of TMR. Thus, the increase of FA of 23A-TMR-A25 is probably due to the enhancement of TMR-G interaction, decreasing the freedom of local rotation of labeled TMR. The dissociation constant ($K_d$) of 23A-TMR-A25 was estimated to be about 9 μM by extracting the fraction of bound probe over the total concentration of the probe from FA responses of aptamer probe to varying concentrations of ATP and non-linear fitting (Jing and Bowser, 2011; Zhao et al., 2014). It is close to the reported $K_d$ of ATP-binding aptamer (Deng et al., 2001; Huizenga and Szostak, 1995). The result shows the labeling of TMR on the 23rd A in the aptamer does not significantly affect the binding affinity of aptamer.

16C-TMR-A25 was used as a FA sensor with FA-decreasing response to detect ATP. ATP in the range from 1 μM to 200 μM could be detected with a detection limit of 1 μM. The obtained maximum absolute FA decrease of 16C-TMR-A25 was about 0.052. The dramatic decrease of FA of 16C-TMR-A25 was due to the ATP-binding reduced TMR-G interaction, which increased the local rotation of TMR. The weak interaction could be proved by the increase of fluorescence intensity of 16C-TMR-A25 (about 59% maximum increase in fluorescence intensity) with increasing of ATP. The reduced interaction eliminated the quenching of TMR fluorescence intensity (about 39% (data not shown)). In all experiments, ATP was successfully analyzed with 16C-TMR-A25 aptamer FA sensor (Fig. S4). By using 16C-TMR-A25, FA-decreasing response upon ATP was obtained. ATP ranging from 1 μM to 200 μM could be detected. The maximum FA decrease was about 0.047. The detection limit reached 1 μM. The high FA decrease is not attributed to the increase of molecular weight as ATP has small molecular weight (about 507 g/mole) and ATP binding only leads to small decrease (~6% decrease) in molecular weight of the 16C-TMR-A25 (about 8395 g/mole). The fluorescence intensity of 16C-TMR-A25 decreased with increasing concentrations of ATP, and the maximum decrease in fluorescence intensity was about 39% (data not shown). The decrease of fluorescence intensity indicates the strengthening of TMR-G interaction in aptamer upon ATP addition because TMR-G interaction can cause fluorescence quenching of TMR. Thus, the increase of FA of 16C-TMR-A25 is probably due to the enhancement of TMR-G interaction, decreasing the freedom of local rotation of labeled TMR. The dissociation constant ($K_d$) of 16C-TMR-A25 was estimated to be about 9 μM by extracting the fraction of bound probe over the total concentration of the probe from FA responses of aptamer probe to varying concentrations of ATP and non-linear fitting (Jing and Bowser, 2011; Zhao et al., 2014). It is close to the reported $K_d$ of ATP-binding aptamer (Deng et al., 2001; Huizenga and Szostak, 1995). The result shows the labeling of TMR on the 23rd A in the aptamer does not significantly affect the binding affinity of aptamer.
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**Fig. 3.** Testing effect of CaCl$_2$ on ATP-induced FA change of 23A-TMR-A25. (A) FA of 23A-TMR-A25 in absence of ATP or in presence of 100 μM ATP was recorded in the binding buffer solution containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, and varying concentrations of CaCl$_2$. (B) Net FA change caused by ATP versus varying concentrations of ATP.
16C-TMR-A25 does not reduce the binding affinity of aptamer against ATP. 16C-TMR-A25 and 23A-TMR-A25 can be used as a pair of aptamer probes for FA sensing, showing complementary FA response to ATP. The obtained sensitivity for ATP analysis in this work is comparable to that obtained in many aptamer-based FA assays and fluorescence assays for ATP (Cui et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2014; Juskowiak, 2011; Liu et al., 2009, 2013; Sassolas et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2012).

3.4. Selectivity of FA sensors for ATP

We assessed the selectivity of FA sensors of 23A-TMR-A25 and 16C-TMR-A25 by testing other small molecules including guanosine, thymidine, cytidine, GTP, CTP, and UTP (Fig. 5). All of the tested small molecules did not induce remarkable FA changes of 23A-TMR-A25 and 16C-TMR-A25. The simultaneous presence of the tested small molecules and ATP did not affect the detection of ATP. The results show 23A-TMR-A25 and 16C-TMR-A25 both exhibit good selectivity in analyzing ATP.

We further tested the feasibility of FA sensor for detection of ATP in complex sample matrix. ATP spiked in diluted human serum samples were analyzed by using 16C-TMR-A25 (Fig. S9). In 100-fold diluted human serum sample, the 16C-TMR-A25 aptamer probe showed the same FA response upon ATP as in binding buffer solution, and the detection limit of ATP still reached 1 μM. In 20-fold diluted human serum sample 16C-TMR-A25 allowed the detection of spiked ATP as low as 2 μM. 23A-TMR-A25 probe also enabled the successful detection of ATP spiked in diluted human serum sample. ATP as low as 1 μM could be detected in 20-fold diluted human serum sample or 100-fold diluted serum sample by using 23A-TMR-A25 (Fig. S9). These results show the 16C-TMR-A25 and 23A-TMR-A25 aptamer probes both can be applied to detect ATP in complex sample matrix.

4. Conclusion

We achieved comprehensive mapping the labeling sites (A, C, T bases, and terminals) of the anti-ATP aptamer to construct fluorescence anisotropy sensors for ATP detection with TMR-labeled aptamers. The FA sensing was based on the ATP-binding induced change of intramolecular interaction between TMR and G bases of aptamers and alteration of FA of labeled TMR. By screening 14 labeling positions, favorable aptamer probes with remarkable FA-increase or FA-decrease response to ATP were obtained when TMR was labeled on 16th C or 23rd A of the aptamer. These aptamer probes allowed detecting ATP at 1 μM with good selectivity. Full screening labeling sites of aptamers (A, C, T bases and terminal ends) greatly enhances the probability to build a TMR-labeled aptamer-based FA sensing system.
aptamer sensor with remarkable FA response upon target-binding. This success will widen the application of aptamer-based FA sensor relying on interaction between TMR and G bases and other aptamer-based fluorescence approach in target analysis.
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