Genomic Environment Impacts Color Vision Evolution in a Family with Visually Based Sexual Selection
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Abstract

Many models of evolution by sexual selection predict a coevolution of sensory systems and mate preferences, but the genomic architecture (number and arrangement of contributing loci) underlying these characters could constrain this coevolution. Here, we examine how the genomic organization and evolution of the opsin genes (responsible for tuning color vision) can influence the evolutionary trajectory of sexually selected traits across 15 species in the family Poeciliidae, which includes classic systems for studies of color-mediated sexual selection such as guppies, swordtails, and mollies. Although male coloration patterns and the importance of this coloration in female mate choice vary widely within and among genera, sequencing revealed low variability at amino acid sites that tune Long Wavelength-Sensitive (LWS) opsins in this speciose family. Although most opsin genes in these species appear to have evolved along traditional mutation-selection dynamics, we identified high rates of gene conversion between two of the LWS loci (LWS-1 and LWS-3), likely due to the inverted tandem repeat nature of these genes. Yet members of the subgenus Lebistes appear to resist LWS gene conversion. The LWS opsins are responsible for detecting and discriminating red and orange coloration—a key sexually selected trait in members of the subgenus Lebistes. Taken together these results suggest selection is acting against the homogenizing effects of gene conversion to maintain LWS-1/LWS-3 differences within this subgenus.

Key words: opsin, gene conversion, Poeciliidae, vision, LWS.

Introduction

The genomic repertoire (size and composition of gene families) underlying traits can strongly influence the evolution of behaviors including decision-making processes (Robinson et al. 2008; Renn et al. 2008). However, gene evolution is subject to its own processes and constraints that can facilitate or inhibit genomic repertoire diversity (Lynch 2007). Therefore, understanding the evolution of behaviors requires knowledge of the impacts of the genomic environment on the evolution of genes mediating such traits (Wilkinson et al. 2015).

Sexual selection can lead to behavioral variation, large-scale phenotypic evolution, and even speciation (Andersson 1994; Panhuis et al. 2001; Andersson and Simmons 2006). Variation in the genes tuning sensory systems has been shown to strongly influence the evolution of mate preference behaviors (reviewed in Horth 2007). Genomic predispositions toward divergence or stasis of relevant sensory genes could play a dramatic role in shaping the direction of sexually selected traits.

The Poeciliid family of freshwater fishes includes such classic models for understanding sexual selection as guppies (Poecilia reticulata), swordtails (Xiphophorus helleri), and sailfin mollies (Poecilia latipinna). Although nearly all sexually selected traits in this group are transmitted visually, the role of coloration differs strikingly across Poeciliid species (Pollux et al. 2014). Color vision is accomplished by comparing signals from cone cells with differences in wavelength sensitivity, which is largely determined by the tuning of their opsin proteins (Gegenfurtner and Sharpe 1999). Opsin tuning is primarily...
determined by the protein’s amino acid sequence, and thus opsin sequences can be used to estimate the functional repertoire of a species (Yokoyama and Yokoyama 1996).

Guppies and swordtails have an expanded repertoire of nine cone opsin genes, among the largest known opsin repertoire of any vertebrate (Ward et al. 2008; Watson et al. 2010, 2011). This expanded repertoire is especially pronounced in the long wavelength-sensitive (LWS) class of opsins, which detect wavelengths in the yellow, orange, and red end of the visible light spectrum (Yokoyama et al. 2008). It has been proposed that this expanded LWS repertoire has driven the evolution of strong female mate preferences for red and orange male coloration observed in the subgenus Lebistes (sampled here as Poecilia parae, P. reticulata, P. wingei, P. picta, and P. bifurca; sensu Rosen and Bailey 1963) (Archer and Lythgoe 1990; Hoffmann et al. 2007; Ward et al. 2008; Watson et al. 2011). Yet, despite the fact that the LWS duplication history is shared across the family (Rennison et al. 2012), not all Poeciliid species have mate preferences for long wavelength colors (Pollux et al. 2014). In addition, the evolution of nucleotide variation at key LWS loci—RH1 and RH2—has driven the evolution of strong female mate preferences for red and orange male coloration observed in the subgenus Lebistes (sampled here as Poecilia parae, P. reticulata, P. wingei, P. picta, and P. bifurca; sensu Rosen and Bailey 1963) (Archer and Lythgoe 1990; Hoffmann et al. 2007; Ward et al. 2008; Watson et al. 2011). Yet, despite the fact that the LWS duplication history is shared across the family (Rennison et al. 2012), not all Poeciliid species have mate preferences for long wavelength colors (Pollux et al. 2014)

Gene conversion is a process that typically homogenizes sequence between loci and can act to reduce multilocus gene repertoire diversity (Chen et al. 2007). Previously we found stronger effects of gene conversion homogenizing LWS loci in Xiphophorus helleri (Watson et al. 2010), which have no mate-preferences for long wavelength colors, compared with Poecilia wingei (Watson et al. 2011), which have strong mate-preferences for long wavelength colors. Here, we expand our previous analyses to ask whether patterns of gene conversion differ across the family. We did this by sequencing eight of the nine cone opsins and the rhodopsin gene in 15 Poeciliid species. We then inferred patterns of gene conversion on the repertoire diversity (Chen et al. 2007). Previously we found stronger effects of gene conversion homogenizing LWS loci in Xiphophorus helleri (Watson et al. 2010), which have no mate-preferences for long wavelength colors, compared with Poecilia wingei (Watson et al. 2011), which have strong mate-preferences for long wavelength colors. Here, we expand our previous analyses to ask whether patterns of gene conversion differ across the family. We did this by sequencing eight of the nine cone opsins and the rhodopsin gene in 15 Poeciliid species. We then inferred patterns of gene conversion on the repertoire diversity (Chen et al. 2007). Previously we found stronger effects of gene conversion homogenizing LWS loci in Xiphophorus helleri (Watson et al. 2010), which have no mate-preferences for long wavelength colors, compared with Poecilia wingei (Watson et al. 2011), which have strong mate-preferences for long wavelength colors. Here, we expand our previous analyses to ask whether patterns of gene conversion differ across the family. We did this by sequencing eight of the nine cone opsins and the rhodopsin gene in 15 Poeciliid species. We then inferred patterns of gene conversion on the repertoire diversity (Chen et al. 2007). Previously we found stronger effects of gene conversion homogenizing LWS loci in Xiphophorus helleri (Watson et al. 2010), which have no mate-preferences for long wavelength colors, compared with Poecilia wingei (Watson et al. 2011), which have strong mate-preferences for long wavelength colors. Here, we expand our previous analyses to ask whether patterns of gene conversion differ across the family. We did this by sequencing eight of the nine cone opsins and the rhodopsin gene in 15 Poeciliid species. We then inferred patterns of gene conversion on the repertoire diversity (Chen et al. 2007)

Materials and Methods

Sequencing

Using DNeasy blood and tissue kits (QIAGEN) DNA was extracted from tissue samples of single specimens of: Heterandria formosa, Xiphophorus helleri, Poecilia caymanensis, P. vittata, P. nigrofasciata, P. latipinna, P. velifera, P. petenia, P. mexicana, P. minor, P. reticulata, P. bifurca, P. picta, P. parae, and P. wingei. (Note that Heterandria formosa is not to be confused with Poecilia formosa—two distinct members of the family Poeciliidae from different genera). Also, P. wingei was formerly considered a strain of P. reticulata but has been shown to be a distinct species (Pollux et al. 2014). Primers specific to 5’ and 3’ UTR regions were designed using genomic data: LWS and SWS2 loci—Poecilia wingei (Watson et al. 2011) and Xiphophorus helleri (Watson et al. 2010); SWS1 and RH1 loci—Xiphophorus maculatus (GenBank accessions: AGAJ01036758.1 and AGAJ01019341.1, respectively). UTR primers of RH2-1 were taken from Sandkam et al. (2013). For primer sequences see supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online. We follow Sandkam et al. (2013) and refer to LWS loci by their location relative to one another, with LWS-A being a retrotransposed gene in a separate linkage group compared with LWS-1, LWS-2, and LWS-3. PCR products of LWS-2 were generated in two overlapping segments, each with one UTR and one internal primer. The LWS opsin genes share a duplication history that predates the family Poeciliidae (Watson et al. 2010, 2011; Rennison et al. 2012). By generating sequencing products with UTR primers, we ensure that phylogenetic clustering of opsins within species are gene conversion events rather than independent duplications (Watson et al. 2010). All sequencing was performed by Molecular Cloning Laboratories (MCLAB; San Francisco, CA, USA). Sequence chromatograms were viewed and analyzed using SeqMan Pro (Lasergene 8.0; DNASTAR) when sites were ambiguous we compared overlapping reads and called a base by the highest peak.

A phylogeny of the species used in this study was inferred from ND2 mitochondrial sequences retrieved from GenBank (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). LWS and SWS2 sequences for P. wingei were taken from Watson et al. (2011) (GenBank Accession: HM540108 and HM540107) and X. helleri from Watson et al. (2010) (GenBank Accession: GQ999832 and GQ999833). The LWS and RH2-1 sequences of P. mexicana and P. latipinna were taken from Sandkam et al. (2013) (GenBank Accessions: JF823552 – JF823560). PCR products could not be reliably amplified for the RH2-2 locus in the majority of the species so this opsin gene was left out of all analyses. All sequences generated are available under GenBank Accessions KX768552 - KX768664.

Phylogenetic Inference

The divergence of the opsin classes occurred prior to the emergence of the family Poeciliidae (Rennison et al. 2012), making alignment of introns and UTRs across classes difficult. Therefore, we inferred a series of trees based on several sets of sequences: 1) mitochondrial ND2, 2) all opsins: exon sequence only, 3) SW5: full sequence (UTR, introns and exons), 4) SWS2: full sequences, 5) RH1: full sequence, 6) RH2-1: full sequence, 7) LWS: exons and introns, and 8) LWS: UTR only sequence (see supplementary table S4 in the online Supplementary Material for all UTR lengths).

For each set, sequences were aligned using a command line implementation of Mafft v7.221 (Katoh and Standley 2013) and edited manually using AliView v1.17.1 (Larsson 2014) to ensure that intron–exon boundaries were consistent.
Best-fit models of molecular evolution were determined using MrModelTest 3.04 (Nylander 2004). Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using RAxML v8.2.9 (Stamatakis 2006). We performed Bayesian analysis of each aligned data partition using BEAST v1.8.2 (Drummond et al. 2012) under a log normal relaxed molecular clock model (Drummond et al. 2006). We ran four independent chains for 50 million generations each; we assessed convergence of the runs graphically using Tracer v1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond 2007) and through evaluation of the effective sample size estimates (ESS) for each parameter (Drummond et al. 2006). ESS values above 200 were taken as evidence of parameter stability. We generated maximum clade credibility (MCC) phylogenetic trees from the resulting distributions of trees using TreeAnnotator v1.8.2 (Drummond et al. 2012). ML bootstrap values and Bayesian posterior probabilities were employed to assess support for inferred topologies.

Gene Conversion Analyses

We tested whether the LWS-1/LWS-3 clustering within species and clades was due to gene conversion [as observed in some members of Poeciliidae (Watson et al. 2010, 2011)] using the program GARD on an alignment of all LWS-1 and LWS-3 sequences (Kosakovsky Pond et al. 2006). To identify within species gene conversion tracks between LWS-1 and LWS-3 we ran GENECONV (Sawyer 1989) on the same alignment of all LWS-1 and LWS-3 sequences and identified significant within-species pairwise tracks. GENECONV significance was set to \( P < 0.05 \) with gscale = 0. The length of within species conversion tracks, percent of LWS-1/LWS-3 converted within species, intron length and within species percent amino acid similarity was compared using \( t \) tests in R v3.3.2 (R Core Team 2016).

Results and Discussion

In the absence of gene conversion and homogenization, we would expect to recover species relationships from phylogenies constructed from each opsin gene sequence. However, we have previously reported that phylogenies constructed using LWS coding sequence from X. helleri and P. wingei contained ambiguities in the evolutionary relationships between gene loci and species, which we attributed to the sequence homogenizing effects of gene conversion (Watson et al. 2010, 2011). To examine this expectation across poeciliids, we compared independent phylogenies constructed from each of the eight opsin genes sequenced here to a control phylogeny inferred from the mitochondrial ND2 dehydrogenase 2 (ND2) gene from each species. As expected, species relationships seen in each of the non-LWS opsin phylogenies mirrored those observed in the ND2 tree (fig. 1, supplementary figs. S1–S5, Supplementary Material online) [these also matched previous Poeciliid phylogenetic studies (Breden et al. 1999; Pollux et al. 2014)]. Such expected species relationships were also recovered for LWS-2 and LWS-R, demonstrating that these loci are evolving through traditional mutation-selection dynamics. In contrast, as we found previously in X. helleri and P. wingei, the introns and exons of LWS-1 and LWS-3 loci frequently clustered within species and clades (fig. 2A) indicating that these loci have likely undergone gene conversion (Watson et al. 2010, 2011; Rennison et al. 2012). This is in contrast to the LWS phylogeny built using untranslated region (UTR) (fig. 2B), which again recovered the expected species relationships seen in the ND2 tree. The within species/clade clustering observed in LWS intron/exon trees likely reflects signatures of gene conversion rather than independent duplication (Watson et al. 2010, 2011).

We next identified the regions of LWS sequence that have undergone conversion. The program GARD (Genetic Algorithm Recombination Detection) (Kosakovsky Pond et al. 2006) revealed prevalent gene conversion between LWS-1 and LWS-3, but only four shared significant breakpoints (\( P < 0.05 \)) (fig. 3). This reaffirms the prevalence of gene conversion and suggests the tracks of sequence
experiencing gene conversion differ across the family. We also used the program GENECONV (Sawyer 1989) to identify tracks of gene conversion between LWS-1 and LWS-3 that have occurred at the species level (fig. 3). The five members of the subgenus Lebistes tested (Poecilia parae, P. picta, P. bifurca, P. reticulata, and P. wingei) have shorter tracks of within species LWS-1/LWS-3 gene conversion (mean = 203.8 bp, SD = 79.4) compared with the ten species of non-Poeciliids (mean = 513.8 bp, SD = 220.6) (t(10.97) = -3.80, P < 0.01). Lebistes species also had a lower percentage of their LWS-1/LWS-3 sequence converted (mean = 13.14%, SD = 7.34) compared with other Poeciliids (mean = 41.98%, SD = 20.69) (t(10.92) = -3.77, P < 0.01).

Such differences in conversion tracks likely have functional implications as a pair-wise BLAST analysis revealed that within species LWS-1/LWS-3 percent amino acid sequence similarity was lower in Lebistes (mean = 97.92%, SD = 0.43) than non-Lebistes species (mean = 98.75%, sd = 1.01) (t(16.46) = -2.56, P = 0.02) (table 1).

These results raise two important questions: Why is gene conversion so prevalent between LWS-1 and LWS-3? And what are the implications of gene conversion to color vision?

Below we propose hypotheses for both questions and discuss their support.

Why Is Gene Conversion So Prevalent between LWS-1 and LWS-3?

Gene conversion frequently occurs during double strand break (DSB) repair; the broken ends find a template strand matching intact sequence adjacent to the break and are extended as complementary base-pairs to the template strand. The likelihood of using an incorrect template strand is a function of proximity and similarity (Chen et al. 2007). LWS-1, LWS-2, and LWS-3 occur on LG5 of the P. reticulata genome (GenBank ID 23338) in a tandem array with <6 kb between LWS-1 and LWS-2, and <4 kb between LWS-2 and LWS-3 (Watson et al. 2010, 2011). The LWS duplication that resulted in this conformation predates the emergence of family Poeciliidae and has resulted in a shared genomic architecture of these genes (Watson et al. 2010, 2011; Rennison et al. 2012). The close proximity of LWS-1, LWS-2, and LWS-3 greatly increases the likelihood of gene conversion occurring between these loci.
Surprisingly, while most studies find that conversion occurs more frequently between adjacent loci (Katju and Bergthorsson 2010; Cortesi et al. 2015), we observed gene conversion only between LWS-1 and LWS-3. The differences in intron–exon structure and sequence length among the LWS duplicates may be one factor affecting the differential gene conversion rates. Within species, LWS-1 and LWS-3 introns differ in length by an average of only 0.8 base pairs whereas LWS-1 and LWS-2 differ by an average of 378.3 base pairs (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). This is especially pronounced in the expanded length of intron 1 of LWS-2 which is up to 7.2 times longer than intron 1 of LWS-1 (P. reticulata). The difference in size of intron 1 between LWS-2 and LWS-1/LWS-3 may make it less likely that LWS-1 or LWS-3 will use LWS-2 as a template following a DSB due to the dramatic size differences making alignment difficult. Other systems with opsin gene conversion generally have similar length introns between converting genes (Verrelli and Tishkoff 2004; Cortesi et al. 2015). The close proximity of LWS-1 to LWS-3 and matching length of all

![Fig. 3.—Overview of gene conversion between LWS-1 and LWS-3. Within-species conversion tracks are shown in red. The intron/exon structure is noted below. The thin blue lines denote the shared breakpoints identified by GARD. Thick orange vertical lines denote the five “key sites” (Yokoyama and Radlwimmer 2001) and the thick green vertical line denotes the additional key site proposed for Poeciliid LWS by Kawamura et al. (2016). Note: GeneConv did not detect gene conversion between LWS-1 and LWS-3 within X. helleri because conversion has resulted in these loci being 91% identical throughout (1945/2138 bp) (Watson et al. 2010), making them too similar for the program to distinguish tracks without conversion (Mansai and Innan 2010).](https://academic.oup.com/gbe/article-abstract/9/11/3100/4600207)

### Table 1

|                  | LWS-1 | LWS-2 | LWS-3 | # LWS | LWS-2/LWS-3 Differences (%) |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|
| LWS-1            | 180   | 194   | 197   | 277   | 285                         | 308                         | 2 | 0.28           |
| LWS-3            | 180   | 194   | 197   | 277   | 285                         | 308                         | 2 | 0.28           |
| P. minor         | S     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | S     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | 2 | 0.02           |
| P. caymanensis   | S     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | S     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | 2 | 0.00           |
| P. mexicana      | S     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | S     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | 3 | 0.02           |
| P. reticulata    | S     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | S     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | 3 | 0.02           |
| P. bifurca       | A     | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | P     | Y     | H     | F     | A     | A                           | 3 | 0.02           |
| P. parae         | S/A   | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | P     | Y     | H     | F     | A     | A                           | 3 | 0.02           |
| P. petenensis    | S/A   | Y     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | S     | F     | H     | Y     | T     | A                           | 3 | 0.03           |

Note.—The number of LWS “influential-site” haplotypes within species is summarized as “# LWS.” The within species amino acid differences between LWS-1 and LWS-3 is given as “LWS-1/LWS-3 Differences.” The Lebistes species are highlighted in orange. Note: P. parae and P. reticulata are polymorphic for alleles with an A or S at site 180 in LWS-1 (Tezuka et al. 2014; Sandkam et al. 2015a, 2015b).
sequence length, and inverted orientation of the family Poeciliidae. This may make these genes prime candidates for gene conversion event (fig. 4). The close proximity, identical sequence length, and inverted orientation of LWS-1 relative to LWS-3 makes these genes prime candidates for gene conversion and explains the high prevalence of this process within the family Poeciliidae.

Implications of Gene Conversion for Color Vision

Observations of gene conversion between opsin genes has now been made across many species and opsin subfamilies, including SWS opsin loci in percomorph fishes, RH2 opsin loci in Amazonian cichlids, and L/M opsin in humans and non-human primates, suggesting a key role for gene conversion in opsin evolution across taxa (Hiwatashi et al. 2011; Cortesi et al. 2015; Escobar-Camacho et al. 2017). Importantly, in many cases opsin gene conversion has been shown to have direct impacts on opsin function and phenotypic variation, where it converges opsin tuning and decreases the potential for color discrimination (Verrelli and Tshkoff 2004; Cortesi et al. 2015). However, in other gene families (such as MHC) gene conversion has been shown to be capable of increasing allelic diversity when it occurs in small sections; effectively “shuffling the deck” (reviewed in Ohta 2010).

Our results suggest that gene conversion tracts are shorter in Lebistes compared with the species in the subgenera Poecilia or Limia. There are two potential explanations for this; conversion occurs as shorter segments in Lebistes or there is stronger selection for recombination breaking up introgressed sequence. Either way this has allowed LWS-1/LWS-3 amino acid sequences to be more different in Lebistes species, which likely facilitates color discrimination [e.g. behavioral differences were found in P. reticulata with the Alα versus Ser alleles of LWS-1 (Sakai et al 2016)]. Indeed, studies using microspectrophotometry (MSP) to determine the wavelength to which cone cells are most sensitive have found that members of Lebistes have more cone cell types with maximum sensitivity in the range of the LWS opsins. In Lebistes; P. reticulata and P. wingei both have three cone cell types in the LWS range (P. reticulata: 525, 540, and 560 nm; P. wingei: 533, 548, and 572 nm) (Archer and Lythgoe 1990; Watson et al. 2011) whereas P. parae has four cone types in the LWS range (526, 533, 543, and 553 nm) (Hurtado-Gonzalez et al. 2014). Meanwhile P. latipinna and P. mexicana, both members of the subgenus Poecilia, each have only two cone types in the LWS range (P. latipinna: 551 and 576 nm; P. mexicana: 536 and 563 nm) (Korner et al. 2006) as does X. helleri (534 and 568 nm) (Watson et al. 2010).

Since gene conversion is a function of genomic environment, conversion rates are likely to be the same across all species sharing the same genomic environment [e.g., the shared genomic structure of LWS opsins throughout Poeciliidae (Watson et al. 2010, 2011)]. We propose that strong sexual selection for red/orange discrimination in the subgenus Lebistes (Liley 1965; Houde 1997; Lindholm et al. 2012) has resulted in selection against homogenizing LWS-1/LWS-3 gene conversion which has resulted in more differentially tuned LWS opsins in this clade. Normally, such selection would be examined using tools that identify molecular signatures of selection, such as PAML (Yang 2007; Hofmann et al. 2012). However, gene conversion violates the assumptions of such approaches. It would be interesting to conduct large population studies of Lebistes species to determine if there is a low frequency of individuals with long conversion tracks. Previously, questions of LWS opsin tuning have focused on five key amino acid sites (180, 197, 277, 285, and 308 relative
to human opsin) that have been shown to have dramatic effects on LWS tuning across a broad range of taxa (Yokoyama and Radlwimmer 1998; Yokoyama et al. 2008). Our sequence results for these 15 species reveal relatively few differences in the five-sites across Poeciliids (table 1). However, recent findings show the five-sites likely do not provide the full story of Poeciliid LWS tuning: using in vitro expression of guppy LWS genes, Kawamura et al. (2016) showed that the tuning of the LWS-1 Serine (180) allele and LWS-3 differ dramatically despite having the same amino acids at the five-sites. They identified the amino acid site corresponding to human 194 (reported as 178 by bovine numbering) as a potentially influential site. We found Lebistes species differ at this site between LWS-1 and LWS-3 (table 1). We also found variation at other sites in the transmembrane domain (which generally contains the most influential sites for opsin tuning) (see Supplementary Material online for annotated amino acid alignments). Resolving the phenotypic impacts of such conversion patterns will require large comparisons of in vitro expression to determine the effects of tuning on the opsin genes.

Interestingly, while the P. reticulata and P. parae individuals sequenced here had a Serine at the 180 site (one of the “five key sites”) (table 1), both species have been shown to also possess an allele with an Alanine at the 180 site, and the frequency of that allele varies across populations (P. reticulata—Tezuka et al. 2014; Sandkam et al. 2015a, 2015b; P. parae—Sandkam et al. 2015b). The presence of multiple LWS-1 alleles in Lebistes raises the possibility that other species, including species used in this study, may also possess multiple alleles at opsin loci. However, within species the alleles differ by only 1 base-pair. Therefore, even if other species also possess multiple alleles and follow a similar pattern, such small differences are unlikely to impact our analyses of gene conversion.

Conclusion

We characterized the phylogenetic relationships for nine of the ten visual opsin genes in 15 species throughout the family Poeciliidae. We showed the LWS-1 and LWS-3 loci have undergone gene conversion in this family, acting to homogenize these loci within species, albeit to a different extent across species. Members of the subgenus Lebistes experience gene conversion in smaller segments leading to more differences between LWS-1 and LWS-3 compared with non-Lebistes species. The LWS opsin genes are responsible for detecting the reds, oranges, and yellows upon which Lebistes predominantly base mating decisions. The important role LWS-1/LWS-3 plays in sexual selection for Lebistes may result in selection against homogenizing gene conversion in these species.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.
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