INCISIONAL NEGATIVE-PRESSURE WOUND THERAPY IN REVISION TOTAL HIP ARTHROPLASTY DUE TO INFECTION
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To present our institution’s experience with negative-pressure wound therapy (NPWT) as an adjuvant in wound healing of patients who have undergone revision total hip arthroplasty (THA) due to septic loosening in the presence of active fistula. Methods: We prospectively assessed patients presenting with THA infection, associated with the presence of fistula, treated with a PICO® device for NPWT, in combination with the standard treatment for prophylaxis infection in our institution. Resolution of the infectious process and healing of the surgical wound without complications were considered an initial favorable outcome. Results: We assessed 10 patients who used PICO® in our department. No complications were identified in association with the use of the NPWT device. The mean follow-up of the patients after use of the device was 12.7 months. Only one patient progressed with fistula reactivation and recurrence of infection. Conclusion: NPWT can be used in wound complications and infection following THA procedures safely and with promising results. Randomized prospective studies should be conducted to confirm its effectiveness. Level of Evidence IV, Case Series.
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INTRODUCTION

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is the standard of care for cases of primary or secondary osteoarthritis in which conservative treatment has failed. With the increase in the number of arthroplasties and the number of young and old patients with more comorbidities, the number of revision surgeries and complications of this procedure have also increased, including surgical wound complications and infections. Known risk factors for skin complications and postoperative infection after THA include rheumatological diseases, diabetes, obesity, poor nutrition, smoking, and chiefly, previous surgeries.¹ Some of the measures recommended in the literature to decrease the risk of infection after THA include the use of prophylactic antibiotics before the incision, shaving with a trichotomizer and not with a blade, adequate sanitization of the hands and forearms, a strictly sterile technique, preparation of the skin with an alcohol solution, control of comorbidities such as diabetes and malnutrition in the perioperative

Descritores: Tratamento de ferimentos com pressão negativa. Artroplastia de quadril. Deiscência da ferida operatória.
period, maintenance of normothermia during the procedure, and an adequate surgical technique, accounting for the dissection planes and minimizing the pressure of the skin retractors to avoid skin damage. Upon the diagnosis of prosthetic infection, treatment varies from antibiotic therapy to surgical cleaning, implant removal, and placement of spacers with antibiotics. Normally, the treatment is lengthy and involves decline of quality of life and function of the patients, and it is associated with high costs to health services.

Negative-pressure wound therapy (NPWT) is a form of adjuvant treatment that has been gaining attention recently in the orthopedic literature. Although its use is well established in other areas of medicine and orthopedics, especially in cases of trauma with severe lesions in soft parts and exposed fractures, its use in the field of primary arthroplasties and prosthetic revision surgeries is still not well established. Studies of cases of periprosthetic fractures after knee and hip and ankle arthroplasties have demonstrated the benefit of using this type of therapy. However, few studies have demonstrated the use of this procedure in cases of primary arthroplasties, and these do not allow a definite conclusion regarding its use. Despite the theoretical benefit reported in a recent review, no prospective studies have clearly documented its benefits. Some of the patients that can benefit from NPWT in hip arthroplasty are those with complications such as dehiscence or prolonged secretion by the surgical wound and those with infection, which would present greater damage to the skin and soft parts that hinder healing. Thus, the objective of this study was to describe our experience with NPWT in the treatment of surgical wounds in patients who had undergone THA revision by septic loosening in the presence of fistula.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ten cases of THA revision were prospectively evaluated because of septic loosening with active fistula (Figure 1). The study was approved by the ethics committee of the institution under registration of protocol IOT-1117, and informed consent was obtained from the included patients. From the time of hospitalization, patients who had an active fistula and signs of prosthetic release on radiographs in at least one of the components were treated using the following protocol for arthroplasty infection at our institution: two-time revision surgery, which involves antibiotic therapy associated with surgical cleaning and debridement with removal of the implant and placement of a cement spacer with antibiotic (femoral and acetabular; Figure 2) and subsequent replacement of implants, using the surgical technique as needed in each case. In some cases, homologous bone grafts were used for acetabular bone defects associated with a reinforcement ring; in others, non-cemented metal cups were used. In the femoral part, the cases were resolved with cementless primary or modular stems for distal fixation or impacted graft technique with short cemented stems. After the usual treatment, at the time of the implant replacement and during the second treatment, the NPWT device was placed on the wound as an additional measure (Figure 3). Intraoperative culture samples were harvested during all surgeries, and postoperative antibiotic therapy was defined in accordance with the antibiogram.

The use of the device did not prevent the patients from receiving the standard rehabilitation that would be used in the case of nonuse of the device. Thus, the amplitude of movement and gait with partial load were encouraged, except when contraindicated for the surgical procedure. The portable device PICO 10 × 40 (Smith & Nephew) was used in this study, with a continuous negative pressure of 80 mmHg. After 7 days, the duration of operation using the device, the wound, and appearance of the dressing (Figure 4) were analyzed, and the need to install a new device was determined. This procedure was performed every 7 days, when the appliance was shut down. The total time of therapy for each patient was quantified.

The resolution of the infection process, closure of the fistula, and good evolution of the surgical wound (Figure 5), without complicating events or the need for a new surgical intervention, were considered initial favorable outcomes.

Figure 1. A patient with septic loosening after total hip arthroplasty, featuring an active fistula.

Figure 2. Anteroposterior radiograph of the pelvis demonstrating use of a spacer with antibiotics.

Figure 3. Negative-pressure therapy device applied over the wound.
any complications and impairment of the rehabilitation of patients, and with satisfactory initial results. Among the described mechanisms of action of NPWT, which are potentially important in its use in arthroplasties, are the removal of fluid; decrease in edema, dead space, and soluble inflammatory molecules; mechanical stabilization; reduction of tension on the wound; and increased blood flow and angiogenesis. DeCarbo et al. conducted a study involving NPWT in hindfoot and ankle lesions and wounds, and demonstrated improvement in edema, pain control, and healing. The usefulness of NPWT has not been proven in arthroplasty surgeries, although several authors have shown a potential benefit of its use as an adjuvant therapy. A recent study by Strugala et al. showed a shorter hospitalization duration and lower incidence rates of dehiscence and postoperative infections with the use of the PICO dressing. In a study that used primary total hip prosthesis, Gillespie et al. observed a reduction of 3% in the number of infections with the use of NPWT and recommend a series with approximately 900 patients to achieve a statistically significant result regarding the incidence of infectious complications related to the procedure. Karlakki et al. reported a shorter hospitalization time with the use of negative pressure dressings in primary prosthesis of the knee and hip than with the use of conventional dressings. Pachowsky et al. concluded an improvement in the drainage of persistent seroma and, consequently, better healing in THA cases. Cooper showed a lower number of infections and no relevant complication with the use of NPWT in revision surgeries of hip and knee prostheses. Ene et al. conducted a study with infected knee prosthesis and obtained good results (no wound dehiscence and complications) with the use of NPWT. In a series of 10 cases of complex lesions of the knee, Helito et al. demonstrated promising results in the healing of wounds and no complications with the use of NPWT. Nonetheless, a randomized study that involved the use of NPWT in knee arthroplasties had to be terminated before its completion because of the formation of blisters around the skin lesion. To prevent blister formation, technological changes have already been incorporated in new devices, which minimized this problem. We did not find this type of complication in our series. The NPWT system used (PICO) consisted of a silicone dressing in multiple layers, designed to prevent blister formation or maceration of the wound.

RESULTS

Ten patients diagnosed as having septic loosening with an active fistula underwent a two-stage THA revision, and PICO was used in the second revision when the implants were placed. The patients’ data are summarized in Table 1. Four patients had rheumatoid arthritis and four others were smokers. Two patients used the device for 21 days (three sets of dressings), one with rheumatoid arthritis and the other a smoker. Eight patients used it for 7 days (one set). The average use for each patient was 9.8 days. None of the patients required therapy for more than 3 weeks. In relation to the outcome, nine patients presented with a favorable outcome based on the established criteria as follows: one patient had reactivation of the fistula 1 year after the operation (after the implants were replaced) and when a surgical reapproach was needed; the other nine patients presented with wound closure and control of the infectious processes without the need for reintervention until the end of follow-up.

No complications were associated with the use of NPWT. The mean follow-up of the patients after the use of the device was 12.7 months, ranging from 12 to 16 months.

DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study was that NPWT may be safely used in revision surgeries of hip prosthesis for chronic infection, without

Table 1. Patients’ data.

| Patient | Age | Sex | Comorbidities                  | Days of use | No. Of dressings | Follow-up (months) | Resolution |
|---------|-----|-----|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1       | 46  | Female | Rheumatoid Arthritis            | 21          | 3                | 15                 | Yes        |
| 2       | 46  | Female | Rheumatoid Arthritis            | 7           | 1                | 12                 | Yes        |
| 3       | 65  | Male   | Smoker                         | 7           | 1                | 16                 | No         |
| 4       | 65  | Male   | Smoker                         | 7           | 1                | 12                 | Yes        |
| 5       | 65  | Male   | Smoker                         | 21          | 3                | 12                 | Yes        |
| 6       | 65  | Male   | Smoker                         | 7           | 1                | 12                 | Yes        |
| 7       | 78  | Female | Rheumatoid Arthritis            | 7           | 1                | 12                 | Yes        |
| 8       | 78  | Female | Rheumatoid Arthritis            | 7           | 1                | 12                 | Yes        |
| 9       | 85  | Female | Obesity/SAH/DM                  | 7           | 1                | 12                 | Yes        |
| 10      | 32  | Male   | Sickle Cell Anemia             | 7           | 1                | 12                 | Yes        |

SAH: systemic arterial hypertension; DM: diabetes mellitus.
no other complications occurred that were directly related to the use of NPWT, similar to other studies that used the same updated device. In our study, the patient with recurrence of fistula had rheumatoid arthritis as a comorbidity. Sun et al. already reported worse results in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. 19

Contrary to the studies that showed benefits, other authors such as Manoharan et al. observed no clinical benefit or cost reduction with the use of negative-pressure dressings. 20

Although we did not find complications in this series, NPWT should be used with caution. Situations such as the exposure of neurovascular bundles or unexplored fistulas are contraindications to the use of NPWT. Patients with coagulopathies or those taking anticoagulants should be monitored with caution when using the device. Circumferential dressings should also be avoided to prevent possible limb ischemia.

Another important benefit of the type of therapy used in this study is the possibility of outpatient treatment. Once the bandage is placed, the patient needs to perform daily changes, and the device can be carried with ease. Payne et al. studied the use of these devices for the most diverse types of skin infections and lesions and showed a possible reduction of costs due to no hospitalization required. 21

Frazão et al. reported a significant increase in costs for the treatment of hip arthroplasty infections in a tertiary hospital as compared with cases without infection. 3 Thus, any economy with safety for the patient may be important for the health system. Despite the small number of cases, the initial results presented in this study are promising. In the treatment of infections, NPWT can be used as an adjunct procedure for the treatment of patients, in no way replacing the treatment algorithm and the consecrated conduct of antibiotic therapy, surgical cleaning, and implant removal. The small number of patients and the short follow-up time, in addition to the heterogeneous sample and absence of a control group are the limitations of this study. Nevertheless, we believe that the study obtained satisfactory results and the results are beneficial as they demonstrate the absence of possible complications and reinforce indications for the use of NPWT.

CONCLUSION

NPWT may be safely used in surgical revision of hip prosthesis due to septic loosening, with promising results. Long-term prospective randomized studies with larger samples should be conducted to prove their effectiveness.
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### Appendix 1. Mapping of the injuries sustained in the 2017 São Paulo state football championship

#### Report on orthopedic injuries sustained during the 2017 São Paulo state football championship

1. This report refers to the following match:

2. What was the weather like at the time of the match?
   - Sunny
   - Cloudy
   - Rainy
   - Sun shower
   - Rain and lightning
   - Night - clear sky
   - Night - rainy

3. Temperature measured at the time of the match:

4. Location
   - Home game
   - Up to 200km away
   - From 200 to 400km away
   - More than 400km away

5. Were there injuries sustained during the match?
   - Yes
   - No

**Fill out the following items only if injuries were sustained**

6. Name of the injured athlete:
   - Date of birth: ________________
   - Position: ________________
   - Injury: ________________
   - Date of the injury: ________________

2. Complementary tests/exams requested:
   - None
   - Radiography (rx)
   - Ultrasound (us)
   - Cat scan
   - Mri
   - Others: ________________

3. Did the injury require surgery?
   - Yes
   - No

4. If yes, specify:

5. Athlete’s return date to sports activities:

6. Days of time loss:

7) Injury severity scale:
   - Slight (up to 3 days of time loss)
   - Minor (3 to 7 days of time loss)
   - Mild (7 to 28 days of time loss)
   - Major (7 days to 8 weeks of time loss)
   - Severe (more than 8 weeks of time loss)

8) Did the final diagnosis confirm the initial diagnosis?
   - Yes
   - No

Final diagnosis: ________________

---

### Appendix 2. Injury Report: 2017 São Paulo State Football Championship

#### Report on orthopedic injuries sustained during the 2017 São Paulo state football championship

1. Name of the injured athlete
   - Date of birth: ________________
   - Position: ________________
   - Injury: ________________
   - Date of the injury: ________________

2. Complementary tests/exams requested:
   - None
   - Radiography (rx)
   - Ultrasound (us)
   - Cat scan
   - Mri
   - Others: ________________

3. Did the injury require surgery?
   - Yes
   - No

4. If yes, specify:

5. Athlete’s return date to sports activities:

6. Days of time loss:

7) Injury severity scale:
   - Slight (up to 3 days of time loss)
   - Minor (3 to 7 days of time loss)
   - Mild (7 to 28 days of time loss)
   - Major (7 days to 8 weeks of time loss)
   - Severe (more than 8 weeks of time loss)

8) Did the final diagnosis confirm the initial diagnosis?
   - Yes
   - No

Final diagnosis: ________________

---