RBF Based Localized Method for Solving Nonlinear Partial Integro-Differential Equations
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Abstract: In this work, a numerical scheme is constructed for solving nonlinear parabolic-type partial-integro differential equations. The proposed numerical scheme is based on radial basis functions which are local in nature like finite difference numerical schemes. The radial basis functions are used to approximate the derivatives involved and the integral is approximated by equal width integration rule. The resultant differentiation matrices are sparse in nature. After spatial approximation using RBF the partial integro-differential equations reduce to the system of ODEs. Then ODEs system can be solved by various types of ODE solvers. The proposed numerical scheme is tested and compared with other methods available in literature for different test problems. The stability and convergence of the present numerical scheme are discussed.
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1 Introduction
In the fields of sciences and engineering, physical systems which depends on time and space can be formulated by partial differential equations. Some time the physical system may not be accurately model by such formulations at a given time by ignoring effect of the system in some past times. Specifically in the fields of nuclear reactors, thermoplastic and heat flow there is need to include the memory effect to the system. This addition always occurs as an integral term in basic differential equation resulting a partial integro-differential equation. Various types of partial integro-differential equations available in the literature using for different physical systems. In the present work, we study the parabolic-type Volterra partial integro-differential equations [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)]. These type of equations have application in reaction-diffusion problems [Engler (1983)], compression of viscoelastic media [Visser (1997)] and nuclear reactor dynamics [Pachpatte (1983)]. The analytical solution can be available in few cases, so researchers
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have introduced different techniques for the approximating solutions of PIDEs. Some of these efficient numerical methods include the finite difference method [Tang (1993)], the Quintic B-spline collocation method [Zhang, Han and Yang (2013)], the Variational iteration method [Nawaz (2011)], the Quasi-wavelet with numerical method [Yang, Xu and Zhang (2011)], the spectral method [Fakhar-Izadi and Dehghan (2011)], the Method of lines [Kauthen (1992)], the Finite Element Methods [Yanik and Fairweather (1988)], the orthogonal spline collocation method [Yan and Fairweather (1992)], the Galerkin methods [Zhang, Lin, Lin et al. (2001)] and the \( \theta \)-weighted with Radial Basis Functions [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)]. Hardy [Hardy (1971)] introduced a numerical method using multiquadrics (MQ) radial basis functions. In year 1982 [Franke (1982)] a comparison had been made among different numerical methods and the Hardy’s MQ radial basis functions method outclass all the other methods regarding accuracy, stability and ease of implementations. Tarwater [Tarwater (1985)] demonstrated the effect of shape parameter in MQ on the solution accuracy. In the work [Carlson and Foley (1991)] it has suggested that a small value of the shape parameter be used if the function varies rapidly, but a large value be used if the function has large curvature. In 1990 Kansa extended the method of Hardy’s for solving numerically various types differential equations vary efficiently [Kansa and Multi-quadrics (1990)]. The convergence analysis have been discussed by many authors (see for example [Micchelli (1984); Madych and Nelson (1990); Franke and Schaback (1998)]). The most advantage of utilizing the RBF for the approximation of PDEs is its effortlessness, pertinence to various PDEs, and viability in managing with multi-dimensional issues and complicated domains. In most of the cases the global method returned the differentiation matrices asymmetric and dense, which needed large amount of data and computations time. To overcome these issues the authors in Tolstykh et al. [Tolstykh (2000); Shu, Ding and Yeo (2003); Vertnik and Šarler (2006)] developed a local meshless technique where the kernel based interpolant in small sub-domains centered around each center is used for system matrices. This idea has been extended to develop different sorts of very efficient numerical methods and has been used effectively to a wide range of unsolved issues (see for example [Sarra (2012), Yao, Šarler and Chen (2011)]). Various other modification of local RBF methods and their application can be found in the literature for example local RBF method for Darcy flow by Kosec et al. [Kosec and Šarler (2008)], local RBF-based differential quadrature method for incompressible Navier-Stokes equations by Shu et al. [Shu, Ding and Yeo (2005)], H-adaptive local radial basis function collocation meshless method by Kosec et al. [Kosec and Šarler (2011)], the meshless local Petrov Galerkin (MLPG) method by Atluri et al. [Atluri and Shen (2005)], Stable calculation of Gaussian-based RBF-FD stencils by Fornberg et al. [Fornberg, Lehto and Powell (2013)]. Scattered node compact finite difference-type formulas generated from radial basis functions by Wright et al. [Wright and Fornberg (2006)]. In this work, we use such type approach to solve the nonlinear parabolic-type Volterra partial integro-differential equations [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)].
2 Development of the proposed method

The nonlinear parabolic-type Volterra partial integro-differential equation is of the type

\[ u_t(x, t) = \mathcal{L}u(x, t) + \int_0^t \kappa(x, t, s, u(x, s))ds + f(x, t), \quad (1) \]

where \( x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1, \) and \( t \in [0, T], \) and subject to the following initial and and the boundary conditions respectively

\[ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \ x \in \Omega, \quad (2) \]
\[ B\mathcal{L}u(x, t) = g(x, t), \ x \in \partial \Omega, \quad (3) \]

where \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( B \) are spatial operators. It is assume that the functions \( \kappa \) and \( f \) are continuous on \( \{(x, t): x \in \Omega, 0 \leq t \leq T\}. \) Let \( N \) be the number of points in the set \( \{x_i, i=1, \ldots, N\} \in \Omega \) and \( \Omega_k \) be a sub-domain in the domain \( \Omega \) contains \( m \) points for each center \( x_k \) in \( \Omega \). The unknown function \( u \) is approximated by the linear combination of radial basis functions in each sub-domain \( \Omega_k \), \( k=1, \ldots, N \) (see for example [Uddin, Ali and Ali (2015); Uddin, Minullah, Ali et al. (2015)]) is given by

\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(r_j) \lambda_j, \quad (4) \]

where \( r_j = \|x - x_j\| \), and \( x, x_j \in \Omega_k \) is the Euclidean norm between two centers \( x \) and \( x_j \) and \( \varphi \) is a radial basis function defined for \( r \geq 0 \) and \( \lambda_j \) are the expansion coefficients. From Eq. (4), \( N \) number of \( m \times m \) systems of linear equations in the matrix form are given by

\[ u^k = A^k \lambda^k, \quad k=1, \ldots, N, \quad (5) \]

where \( A^k = \{\varphi(\|x_i - x_j\|)\}_{m \times m}, \ x_i, x_j \in \Omega_k \) is the system matrix for each \( k=1, \ldots, N, \) and

\[ \lambda^k = (A^k)^{-1} u^k, \quad k=1, \ldots, N. \quad (6) \]

and we can also approximate \( \mathcal{L}u(x, t) \) by

\[ \mathcal{L}u(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{L}\varphi(r_j) \lambda_j, \quad (7) \]

which can be represented by the matrix-vector form

\[ \mathcal{L}u(x, t)^k = A^k_L \lambda^k, \quad k=1, \ldots, N, \quad (8) \]

where \( A^k_L = \{\mathcal{L}\varphi(\|x_i - x_j\|)\}_{m \times m}, \ x_i, x_j \in \Omega_k, k=1, \ldots, N. \)
By eliminating the expansion coefficients $\lambda^k$ from Eqs. (6)-(8), we get

$$ L^k u^k = W^k u^k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, N, $$

where $W^k = A^k(A^k)^{-1}$, denote the corresponding weight for the $k$th center, hence for all centers $k = 1, \ldots, N$, we have from Eq. (9)

$$ LU = WU, $$

where $W$ is a $N \times N$ sparse differentiation matrix. Using these kernel based approximation of function $u$ and $Lu$ in the model Eq. (1), we get the system of ODEs of Eq. (1),

$$ \frac{dU}{dt} = F + Wu + K, $$

where

$$ K = \int_0^t k(x_i, t, s, u(x_i, s))ds, \quad F = f(x_i, t), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N $$

are $N \times 1$ matrices. Advancing the solution in time, we write the solution of Eq. (11) in the form

$$ U_{n+1} = \{1 + \delta tW\}U_n + \delta t\{F_n + K_n\}; $$

where $U_n$, $F_n$, and $K_n$ denote the values at $(x_i, t_n = n\delta t, i = 1, \ldots, N)$, with step size $\delta t$ and the $i$th element of $K_n$ can be computed by using the numerical trapezoidal rule as

$$ K_n = \frac{t_n}{2n} \{\kappa(x_i, t_n, s_0, u(x_i, s_0)) + 2 \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} \kappa(x_i, t_n, s_q, u(x_i, s_q)) + \kappa(x_i, t_n, s_n, u(x_i, s_n))\}. $$

This is the required scheme for obtaining the numerical solution at any time level $n$. Initially we take $U_0 = h_0(x)$ from the given initial condition $u(x, 0) = h_0(x)$. In the next section we will discuss the stability and convergence of the this scheme.

3 Stability and convergence of the scheme

The scheme in Eq. (12) is a recurrence relation that allows us to advance the solution in time from $t_n = n\delta t$ to $t_{n+1} = (n+1)\delta t$. The value of the amplification matrix $B = 1 + \delta tW$ depend on the ratio $\delta t/h^r$, here $r$ is the order of largest space derivative and $h$ denote the distance between two nodes. Suppose $u_n$ be the exact solution of Eq. (1) at time $t_n = n\delta t$, then it follows that $|D^N u(x) - D^N U(x)| \leq C h^N |u|$ see [Fasshauer (2007); Uddin and Haq (2011)]. Further assume the numerical scheme in Eq. (12) is of order $p$ in space, so we get

$$ u_{n+1} = Bu_n + \delta t\{F_n + K_n\} + O((\delta t)^2 + h^p), \quad \delta t \to 0, h \to 0. $$
We define the error at nth time level by $e_n = u_n - U_n$. By subtracting Eq. (12) from Eq. (14) we get
\[ e_{n+1} = B e_n + O((\delta t)^2 + h^p), \delta t \to 0, h \to 0. \] (15)

By Lax-Richtmyer definition of stability the scheme Eq. (15) is stable if
\[ ||B|| \leq 1, \] (16)

The result $B \leq \rho(B)$ is always true and $B = \rho(B)$ if $B$ is normal. Let us assume that the initialize condition and the solution of the given integro-differential equation must be sufficiently smooth and $h$ to be enough small. For keeping the values of $\delta t/h$ to be constant we must have $\delta t \to 0$. so there exist a constant $C$ such that

\[ ||e_{n+1}|| \leq ||B|| ||e_n|| + C((\delta t)^2 + h^p), n=0, 1, 2, \ldots, T. \] (17)

Since initially at $n=0$, $U_0 = u_0$ therefore $e_0 = 0$ and so by mathematical induction, we have

\[ ||e_{n+1}|| \leq (1 + ||B||^2 + \ldots + ||B||^{n-1})C((\delta t)^2 + h^p), n=0, 1, 2, \ldots, T. \] (18)

By using Eq. (16), we have

\[ ||e_{n+1}|| \leq nC((\delta t)^2 + h^p), n=0, 1, 2, \ldots, T. \] (19)

This shows the scheme is convergent.

4 Application of the method to problems

In this section, we apply the present numerical scheme to various problems 1-D and 2-D of the type given in Eq. (1) to confirm the accuracy, efficiency and validity of the present numerical scheme. The $L_2$ and $L_\infty$ error norms are used to measure the error in solutions of the of Eq. (1) whose special 1D case is given by

\[ u_t(x, t) = \alpha(x, t)u_{xx}(x, t) + \int_0^t \kappa(x, t, s, u(x, s))ds + f(x, t), x \in [a, b], t \in [0, T]. \] (20)

**Problem 4.1** In this problem, we solved the problem in Eq. (20) with the following initial and boundary conditions,

\[ u(x, 0)=x, 0 \leq x \leq 1, u(0, t)=0, u(1, t)=e^{-t}, 0 \leq t \leq T. \] (21)

For the choice of the functions $\alpha(x, t)=x^2/2$, and $\kappa(x, s, t, u)=e^{-t}u$, the function $f(x, t)$ can be found when the exact solution is used $u(x, t)=se^{-t}$, see for example [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)]. We tested the accuracy of our numerical scheme by calculating the error norms $L_2$ and $L_\infty$ respectively, and these numerical results are shown in Tab. 1 for all time $t\in[0,1]$. The solution is advanced in time with a step size $\delta t=0.0001$. The present numerical scheme performed well and more accurate results are obtained as compared to
results for the same problem in Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)], and these results are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1 respectively.

Problem 4.2 In this second problem, we consider Eq. (20) subject to the following initial and boundary conditions

\[ u(x,0) = x(1-x), x \in [0, 1], u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, 0 \leq t \leq T, \]

and given selected function \( \alpha(x, t) = x^2(1-x)/2 \), and \( \kappa(x, s, t, u) = (1-2x)e^{-s}u(x, s)^2 \), where the exact solution, \( u(x, t) = x(1-x)e^{-\lambda t} \) is used from Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)] for the purpose of comparison. The problem is solved by the present

Table 1: L_2 and L_\infty error norms for \( \delta t=0.0001, N=40, MQ: \varphi(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + c^2}, c=5.2 \), and comparison with Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)] (Method-I)

| Time | Present Method | Method-I |
|------|----------------|----------|
| \( t \) | \( L_2 \) | \( L_\infty \) | \( L_2 \) | \( L_\infty \) |
| 0.1  | 8.1660e-8      | 9.1909e-7 | 7.2262e-5 | 1.8818e-5 |
| 0.2  | 1.3134e-7      | 1.3936e-6 | 1.0648e-4 | 2.6480e-5 |
| 0.3  | 1.7393e-7      | 1.7837e-6 | 1.2475e-4 | 3.0188e-5 |
| 0.4  | 2.1714e-7      | 2.173e-6  | 1.3405e-4 | 3.1915e-5 |
| 0.5  | 2.6589e-7      | 2.6139e-6 | 1.3791e-4 | 3.2470e-5 |
| 0.6  | 3.2399e-7      | 3.1429e-6 | 1.3840e-4 | 3.2421e-5 |
| 0.7  | 3.9458e-7      | 3.7823e-6 | 1.3681e-4 | 3.2001e-5 |
| 0.8  | 4.8030e-7      | 4.5658e-6 | 1.3397e-4 | 3.1393e-5 |
| 0.9  | 5.8336e-7      | 5.5011e-6 | 1.3047e-4 | 3.0699e-5 |
| 1.0  | 7.0563e-7      | 6.6145e-6 | 1.2669e-4 | 2.9994e-5 |
numerical method and the results are compared with results discussed in Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)] (Method-I), and the same are shown in Tabs. 2-3 and Fig. 2.

**Problem 4.3** In this problem, Eq. (20) is solved with the present method for the following values of the functions \( a(x, t)=1+x^2 \), and \( \kappa(x, s, t, u)=x^2 t u^2 \), subject to the following initial condition

\[
u(x, 0) = \sin hx + 1, x \in [0, 1], \quad (23)
\]

**Table 2:** \( L_2 \) and \( L_\infty \) error norms for \( \delta t=0.0001, N=40, c=8 \), MQ: \( \varphi(r)=\sqrt{r^2 + c^2} \), corresponding to problem 2

| Time | \( L_2 \) Present Method | \( L_\infty \) Present Method | \( L_2 \) Method-I | \( L_\infty \) Method-I |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.1  | 1.802e-8        | 2.278e-7        | 2.523e-5        | 7.348e-6        |
| 0.2  | 3.121e-8        | 4.111e-7        | 4.412e-5        | 1.261e-5        |
| 0.3  | 4.846e-8        | 6.269e-7        | 5.862e-5        | 1.644e-5        |
| 0.4  | 8.165e-8        | 9.394e-7        | 6.980e-5        | 1.923e-5        |
| 0.5  | 1.373e-7        | 1.402e-6        | 7.832e-5        | 2.126e-5        |
| 0.6  | 2.176e-7        | 2.075e-6        | 8.476e-5        | 2.271e-5        |
| 0.7  | 3.229e-7        | 2.984e-6        | 8.953e-5        | 2.372e-5        |
| 0.8  | 4.535e-7        | 4.134e-6        | 9.295e-5        | 2.437e-5        |
| 0.9  | 6.091e-7        | 5.520e-6        | 9.529e-5        | 2.477e-5        |
| 1.0  | 7.895e-7        | 7.140e-6        | 9.675e-5        | 2.492e-5        |

**Table 3:** Absolute error for different \( t \), and \( x \), MQ: \( \varphi(r)=\sqrt{r^2 + c^2} \), corresponding to problem 2

| \( x \)  | \( t \) | Exact solution | Absolute Error |
|---------|-------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.1     | 0.01  | 0.08991        | 1.9703e-11     |
| 0.2     | 0.05  | 0.15841        | 1.3513e-8      |
| 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.20379        | 8.1282e-8      |
| 0.4     | 0.15  | 0.22602        | 1.5278e-7      |
| 0.5     | 0.2   | 0.22621        | 1.1920e-7      |
| 0.7     | 0.5   | 0.14798        | 1.3717e-6      |
| 0.9     | 0.9   | 0.040037       | 2.4739e-6      |
and boundary conditions
\[ u(0, t) = 1, u(1, t) = \sin hx + e^{-t}, 0 \leq t \leq T, \]  
(24)

where the exact solution \( u(x,t) = \sin hx + e^{-t} \) of the above problem is used from the earlier work [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)]. The error norms \( L_2 \) and \( L_\infty \), when the solution is advanced with step size \( \delta t = 0.0001 \) are compared our results with obtained results in Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)] are shown in Tab. 4 and Fig. 3 respectively. The proposed method gives more accurate results than the method in Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)].

**Problem 4.4** In this problem, we solved Eq. (20) with the exact solution \( u(x,t) = -x^3 e^{-x^2 t} \) and with the initial and boundary conditions
\[ u(x, 0) = -x^3, x \in [0, 1], u(0, t) = 0, u(1, t) = -e^{-t}, 0 \leq t \leq T, \]  
(25)

The values of the functions \( \alpha(x, t) = x^2/2 \) and \( \kappa(x, s, t, u) = (1 - 2x)e^{e^{-2t}u^3} \) are used. The results of the present kernel based local method is represented in the form norms \( L_2 \) and \( L_\infty \) in Tab. 5 and Fig. 4. These results compared with the results of an other method in Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)].

**Problem 4.5** We consider an other set of function for approximate the problem in Eq. (20) by the current numerical scheme with the initial condition and boundary conditions given by
\[ u(x, 0) = \sin x, x \in [0, 1], u(0, t) = 0, u(1, t) = e^{-t} \sin(1), 0 \leq t \leq T, \]  
(26)

where \( \alpha(x, t) = x^5 + 4x^2 \) and \( \kappa(x, s, t, u) = (x^3 \ t^3 + 1) \ s^2 \ u^2 \) and \( f(x, t) \) can be found from the exact solution \( u(x, t) = e^{-t} \sin x \), given in Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)]. The present localized kernel based method is used and the solution is advanced in time with the time step \( \delta t \). The results are shown in form of error norms \( L_2 \) and \( L_\infty \) respectively and are shown in Tabs. 6-7 and Fig. 5. The proposed method performed more accurate results than the method in Avazzadeh et al. [Avazzadeh, Rizi, Ghaini et al. (2012)].
Problem 4.6 Here we applied the local kernel based method for solving Eq. (20) having the exact solution given by \( u(x, t) = x \cos(t) \) with initial condition \( u(x, 0) = x, x \in [0, 1] \), and with the boundary conditions \( u(0, t) = 0, u(1, t) = \cos(t), 0 \leq t \leq T \). The functions \( \alpha(x, t) = \cos^2 x + \sin(x) \) and \( \kappa(x, s, t, u) = e^{x^2 + t^2} u^2 \) are used and the function \( f(x, t) \) can be found from the exact solution. The error norms \( L_2 \) and \( L_\infty \) are shown in Tab. 8 and Fig. 6.

Problem 4.7 In this last test problem, we find the numerical solution by present numerical scheme for a 2D problem in the form of Eq. (1) given by

| Time t | \( L_2 \) | \( L_\infty \) | \( L_2 \) | \( L_\infty \) |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.1    | 5.7009e-8 | 6.2154e-7 | 2.8762e-5 | 5.669e-6 |
| 0.2    | 8.3627e-8 | 8.7320e-7 | 7.1767e-5 | 1.4970e-5 |
| 0.3    | 1.1948e-7 | 1.1814e-6 | 1.1479e-4 | 2.430e-5 |
| 0.4    | 1.661e-7  | 1.5692e-6 | 1.5411e-4 | 3.2854e-5 |
| 0.5    | 2.2597e-7 | 2.0635e-6 | 1.8929e-4 | 4.0506e-5 |
| 0.6    | 3.0259e-7 | 2.6969e-6 | 2.2070e-4 | 4.7335e-5 |
| 0.7    | 4.0021e-7 | 3.5096e-6 | 2.4891e-4 | 5.3505e-5 |
| 0.8    | 5.2369e-7 | 4.546e-6  | 2.7449e-4 | 5.9117e-5 |
| 0.9    | 6.7837e-7 | 5.8545e-6 | 2.9796e-4 | 6.4264e-5 |
| 1.0    | 8.6992e-7 | 7.4851e-6 | 3.1977e-4 | 6.9050e-5 |
\[ u_t(x, y, t) + \int_0^t \kappa(x, y, t, s, u(x, s))ds = (\Delta - \beta)u(x, y, t) + f(x, y, t). \]  

(27)

where \((x, y) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]\), and \(t \in [0, T]\), subject to the conditions: \(u(0, y, t) = y(y-1)t\) and \(u(x, 0, t) = u(x, 1, 0) = 0\) for all \(t \in (0, T]\), and \(u(x, y, 0) = 0\) and \(u(x, y, t) \to 0\) as \(x \to +\infty\)

The function \(\kappa(x, y, s, t, u) = \exp(-\beta (t-s))u(x, s)\) is selected, where as the function \(f(x, y, t)\) is calculated using the exact solution taken from Han et al. [Han, Zhu, Brunner et al. (2006)] as \(u(x, y, t) = y(y-1)t \exp(-\beta x)\). The results of the present kernel based local method is represented in the form norms \(L_2\) and \(L_\infty\) are presented in Tab. 9 and Fig. 7
Table 6: $L_2$ and $L_\infty$ error norms for $\delta t=0.0001$, $N=10$, $c=3.6$, MQ: of problem 5

| Time $t$ | Present Method $L_2$ | Present Method $L_\infty$ | Method-I $L_2$ | Method-I $L_\infty$ |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 0.1      | 2.0737e-7             | 9.5969e-7                 | 7.4402e-5      | 1.8045e-5           |
| 0.2      | 3.1607e-7             | 1.4274e-6                 | 8.6495e-5      | 2.0161e-5           |
| 0.3      | 3.7380e-7             | 1.6866e-6                 | 8.7941e-5      | 2.0125e-5           |
| 0.4      | 4.0106e-7             | 1.8103e-6                 | 8.5265e-5      | 1.9312e-5           |
| 0.5      | 4.0873e-7             | 1.845e-6                  | 8.0760e-5      | 1.8165e-5           |
| 0.6      | 4.0354e-7             | 1.8216e-6                 | 7.5505e-5      | 1.6893e-5           |
| 0.7      | 3.9002e-7             | 1.7604e-6                 | 7.0018e-5      | 1.5621e-5           |
| 0.8      | 3.7130e-7             | 1.6758e-6                 | 6.4581e-5      | 1.4378e-5           |
| 0.9      | 3.4959e-7             | 1.5776e-6                 | 5.9339e-5      | 1.3192e-5           |
| 1.0      | 3.2641e-7             | 1.4728e-6                 | 5.4369e-5      | 1.2075e-5           |

Table 7: Absolute error for different $t$, and $x$, MQ: $\varphi(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + c^2}$, corresponding to problem 5

| $x$ | $t$ | Exact | Absolute Error |
|-----|-----|-------|----------------|
| 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.09033 | 4.4754e-7 |
| 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.16266 | 1.2312e-6 |
| 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.26103 | 1.7712e-6 |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.29079 | 1.6049e-6 |
| 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.31991 | 9.4521e-7 |
| 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.31848 | 2.4739e-6 |

Figure 5: Approximate solution: Error versus number of nodes in spatial domain $[0, 1]$, at time $t=0.1$ to problem 4
Table 8: L_2 and L_∞ error norms for problem 7 with δt=0.0001, N=40, c=1.83 using MQ

| Time | Present Method | Method-I |
|------|----------------|----------|
|      | L_2            | L_∞      | L_2     | L_∞     |
| 0.1  | 1.03610e-9     | 1.4038e-8| 3.3649e-7| 2.0870e-7|
| 0.2  | 1.0384e-9      | 1.4140e-8| 3.9640e-7| 2.1980e-7|
| 0.3  | 1.02538e-9     | 1.3986e-8| 4.1812e-7| 2.2400e-7|
| 0.4  | 1.0018e-9      | 1.3689e-8| 4.2893e-7| 2.2640e-7|
| 0.5  | 9.7049e-10     | 1.3285e-8| 4.3757e-7| 2.2860e-7|
| 0.6  | 9.3274e-10     | 1.2793e-8| 4.4727e-7| 2.3130e-7|
| 0.7  | 8.8991e-10     | 1.2225e-8| 4.5903e-7| 2.3460e-7|
| 0.8  | 8.4356e-10     | 1.1596e-8| 4.7297e-7| 2.3830e-7|
| 0.9  | 7.9563e-10     | 1.0919e-8| 4.8973e-7| 2.4280e-7|
| 1.0  | 7.4872e-10     | 1.0209e-8| 5.0876e-7| 2.4780e-7|

Figure 6: Approximate solution with N=40

Table 9: L_2 and L_∞ error norms for δt=0.0001 Using IMQ \( \varphi(r) = 1/\sqrt{r^2 + c^2} \)

| t    | I×J  | Shape parameter | L_2     | L_∞     |
|------|------|-----------------|---------|---------|
| 0.1  | 4×4  | 0.66            | 5.0351e-5| 7.6403e-4|
| 0.5  |      |                 | 4.1577e-4| 5.5003e-3|
| 0.1  | 8×8  | 0.42            | 7.9110e-5| 2.2450e-3|
| 0.5  |      |                 | 5.5718e-4| 1.2990e-2|
| 0.1  | 16×16| 0.14            | 6.9801e-5| 3.2969e-3|
| 0.5  |      |                 | 3.7400e-4| 1.7412e-2|
| 0.1  | 32×32| 0.066           | 7.3087e-5| 6.7045e-3|
| 0.5  |      |                 | 3.7354e-4| 3.4084e-2|
respectively. These solutions are well comparable with exact and numerical results obtained in Han et al. [Han, Zhu, Brunner et al. (2006)].

5 Conclusion
In this work, a local kernel based numerical scheme is developed for solving the nonlinear Volterra type integro-differential equations. The numerical scheme is local in nature like finite differences numerical scheme and the system matrices are small and well conditioned. The numerical scheme is stable for a large range of RBF shape parameters. Convergence and stability of the proposed numerical scheme have been established. A number of 1D and 2D integral equations have been solved to validate the present numerical scheme. The efficiency and applicability of the proposed method is well demonstrated and compared with some available results. The developed numerical scheme is an alternative for solving such types of models effetely and accurately.

Funding Statement: The authors received no specific funding for this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

References
Atluri, S. N.; Shen, S. (2005): The basis of meshless domain discretization: the meshless local Petrov-Galerkin (MLPG) method. Advances in Computational Mathematics, vol. 23, no. 1-2, pp. 73-93. DOI 10.1007/s10444-004-1813-9.

Avazzadeh, Z.; Rizi, Z. B.; Ghaini, F. M.; Loghmani, G. (2012): A numerical solution of nonlinear parabolic-type Volterra partial integro-differential equations using radial basis functions. Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 881-893. DOI 10.1016/j.enganabound.2011.09.013.

Carlson, R. E.; Foley, T. A. (1991): The parameter R2 in multiquadric interpolation. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 29-42. DOI 10.1016/0898-1221(91)90123-L.
Engler, H. (1983): On some parabolic integro-differential equations: existence and asymptotics of solutions. Equadiff 82. Springer, pp. 161-167.

Fakhar-Izadi, F.; Dehghan, M. (2011): The spectral methods for parabolic Volterra integro-differential equations. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 235, no. 14, pp. 4032-4046. DOI 10.1016/j.cam.2011.02.030.

Fasshauer, G. E. (2007): Meshfree Approximation Methods with MATLAB, vol. 6. World Scientific, Singapore.

Fornberg, B.; Lehto, E.; Powell, C. (2013): Stable calculation of Gaussian-based RBF-FD stencils. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 627-637. DOI 10.1016/j.cam.2012.11.006.

Franke, C.; Schaback, R. (1998): Convergence order estimates of meshless collocation methods using radial basis functions. Advances in Computational Mathematics, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 381-399. DOI 10.1023/A:1018916902176.

Franke, R. (1982): Scattered data interpolation: tests of some methods. Mathematics of Computation, vol. 38, no. 157, pp. 181-200.

Han, H.; Zhu, L.; Brunner, H.; Ma, J. (2006): Artificial boundary conditions for parabolic Volterra integro-differential equations on unbounded two-dimensional domains. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 197, no. 2, pp. 406-420. DOI 10.1016/j.cam.2005.09.021.

Hardy, R. L. (1971): Multiquadric equations of topography and other irregular surfaces. Journal of Geophysical Research, vol. 76, no. 8, pp. 1905-1915. DOI 10.1029/JB076i008p01905.

Kansa, E.; Multiquadrics, A. (1990): A scattered data approximation scheme with applications to computational fluid dynamics. I. Surface approximations and partial derivative estimates. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 9.

Kauthen, J. P. (1992): The method of lines for parabolic partial integro-differential equations. Journal of Integral Equations and Applications, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 69-81. DOI 10.1216/jiea/1181075666.

Kosec, G.; Šarler, B. (2008): Local RBF collocation method for darcy flow. Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 197.

Kosec, G.; Šarler, B. (2011): H-adaptive local radial basis function collocation meshless method. Computers, Materials and Continua, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 227.

Madych, W.; Nelson, S. (1990): Multivariate interpolation and conditionally positive definite functions. II. Mathematics of Computation, vol. 54, no. 189, pp. 211-230. DOI 10.1090/S0025-5718-1990-0993931-7.

Micchelli, C. A. (1984): Interpolation of scattered data: distance matrices and conditionally positive definite functions. Approximation Theory and Spline Functions. Springer, 143-145.

Nawaz, Y. (2011): Variational iteration method and homotopy perturbation method for fourth-order fractional integro-differential equations. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 2330-2341. DOI 10.1016/j.camwa.2010.10.004.
Pachpatte, B. (1983): On a nonlinear diffusion system arising in reactor dynamics. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 501-508. DOI 10.1016/0022-247X(83)90078-1.

Sarra, S. A. (2012): A local radial basis function method for advection-diffusion-reaction equations on complexly shaped domains. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 19, pp. 9853-9865. DOI 10.1016/j.amc.2012.03.062.

Shu, C.; Ding, H.; Yeo, K. (2003): Local radial basis function-based differential quadrature method and its application to solve two-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 192, no. 7-8, pp. 941-954. DOI 10.1016/S0045-7825(02)00618-7.

Shu, C.; Ding, H.; Yeo, K. (2005): Computation of incompressible Navier-Stokes equations by local RBF-based differential quadrature method. *Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 195-206.

Tang, T. (1993): A finite difference scheme for partial integro-differential equations with a weakly singular kernel. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 309-319. DOI 10.1016/0168-9274(93)90012-G.

Tarwater, A. E. (1985). *Parameter Study of Hardy’s Multiquadric Method for Scattered Data Interpolation, Technical Report*. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Lab.

Tolstykh, A. I. (2000). On using RBF-based differencing formulas for unstructured and mixed structured-unstructured grid calculations. *Proceedings of the 16th IMACS World Congress, Lausanne*, vol. 228, pp. 4606-4624.

Uddin, M.; Ali, H.; Ali, A. (2015): Kernel-based local meshless method for solving multidimensional wave equations in irregular domain. *Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences*, vol. 107, no. 6, pp. 463-479.

Uddin, M.; Haq, S. (2011): RBFs approximation method for time fractional partial differential equations. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 4208-4214. DOI 10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.03.021.

Uddin, M.; Minullah, Z.; Ali, A.; Kamran (2015): On the local kernel based approximation of highly oscillatory integrals. *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 1253-1264. DOI 10.18514/MMN.2015.1091.

Vertnik, R.; Šarler, B. (2006): Meshless local radial basis function collocation method for convective-diffusive solid-liquid phase change problems. *International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 617-640. DOI 10.1108/09615530610669148.

Visser, E. (1997): *Syntax Definition for Language Prototyping*. (Ph.D. Thesis), University of Amsterdam.

Wright, G. B.; Fornberg, B. (2006): Scattered node compact finite difference-type formulas generated from radial basis functions. *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 212, no. 1, pp. 99-123. DOI 10.1016/j.jcp.2005.05.030.
Yan, Y.; Fairweather, G. (1992): Orthogonal spline collocation methods for some partial integrodifferential equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 755-768. DOI 10.1137/0729047.

Yang, X.; Xu, D.; Zhang, H. (2011): Quasi-wavelet based numerical method for fourth-order partial integro-differential equations with a weakly singular kernel. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, vol. 88, no. 15, pp. 3236-3254. DOI 10.1080/00207160.2011.587003.

Yanik, E. G.; Fairweather, G. (1988): Finite element methods for parabolic and hyperbolic partial integro-differential equations. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 785-809. DOI 10.1016/0362-546X(88)90039-9.

Yao, G.; Šarler, B.; Chen, C. S. (2011): A comparison of three explicit local meshless methods using radial basis functions. *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 600-609. DOI 10.1016/j.enganabound.2010.06.022.

Zhang, H.; Han, X.; Yang, X. (2013): Quintic B-spline collocation method for fourth order partial integro-differential equations with a weakly singular kernel. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 219, no. 12, pp. 6565-6575. DOI 10.1016/j.amc.2013.01.012.

Zhang, S. H.; Lin, T.; Lin, Y. P.; Rao, M. (2001): Extrapolation and a-posteriori error estimators of Petrov-Galerkin methods for non-linear Volterra integro-differential equations. *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, vol. 19, pp. 407-422.