Investigation of Catalytic Effects and Compositional Variations in Desorption Characteristics of LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$
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Abstract: LiNH$_2$ and a pre-processed nanoMgH$_2$ with 1:1 and 2:1 molar ratios were mechano-chemically milled in a high-energy planetary ball mill under inert atmosphere, and at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Based on the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) experiments, 2LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ demonstrated superior desorption characteristics when compared to the LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$. The TGA studies also revealed that doping 2LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ base material with 2 wt. % nanoNi catalyst enhances the sorption kinetics at lower temperatures. Additional investigation of different catalysts showed improved reaction kinetics (weight percentage of H$_2$ released per minute) of the order TiF$_3$ > nanoNi > nanoTi > nanoCo > nanoFe > multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCNT), and reduction in the on-set decomposition temperatures of the order nanoCo > TiF$_3$ > nanoTi > nanoFe > nanoNi > MWCNT for the base material 2LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$. Pristine and catalyst-doped 2LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ samples were further probed by X-ray diffraction, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, transmission and scanning electron microscopies, thermal programmed desorption and pressure-composition-temperature measurements to better understand the improved performance of the catalyst-doped samples, and the results are discussed.
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1. Introduction

The depletion of fossil fuels, especially oil in the near future, rising environmental concerns due to global warming, and the necessity of a secure energy supply have created a worldwide interest in the renewable energy technologies during the last decade. Among many forms of alternative energy options, hydrogen has attracted much attention as an energy carrier due to its potential for the replacement of oil in stationary and mobile applications. However, viable hydrogen storage technology remains the biggest challenge in the utilization of the hydrogen despite intensive research efforts throughout the world. As of now, there is no single material that is capable of attaining the desired set of targets designated by the US Department of Energy (DOE) and FreedomCAR industrial partners. Solid-state hydrogen storage can be broadly classified into two groups considering the mechanisms involved, namely, physisorption, as in carbon-nanotubes (CNT)/metal organic frameworks (MOFs), and chemisorption, as in metal/complex hydrides. The complex metal hydrides, which have been extensively studied recently, have high volumetric and gravimetric densities, but suffer from high desorption temperatures, reversibility, and sluggish kinetics [1]. Therefore, improving the desorption kinetics, reversibility and desorption temperatures of the complex metal hydrides remains a challenge.
Among many complex hydrides investigated, some of the amides (i.e., LiNH$_2$/Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$) had shown favorable storage capacity and reversibility.

The studies on the interaction of lithium with hydrogen and nitrogen as early as 1910 led to the discovery of the LiNH$_2$-LiH system [2,3]. However, a detailed investigation of this system as a potential hydrogen storage material was not carried out until 2002 when Chen et al. [4] first reported the promising results for the lithium nitride (Li$_3$N) system, in which reaction consists of two steps and given as:

$$\text{Li}_3\text{N} + 2\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{NH} + \text{LiH} + \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{LiNH}_2 + \text{LiH} \quad (1)$$

The theoretical capacity of Li$_3$N system is 10.4 wt. %; however, only the second step of the reaction path given in (1) is practical for reversible hydrogen storage since the first reaction step has a very low equilibrium pressure (~0.07 bar) [4]. Further investigation of the second step of the reaction (1), which has a theoretical capacity of 6.5 wt. % and favorable thermodynamics, revealed the role of the lithium hydride (LiH). The elementary steps are given by the reaction steps (2) and (3) as follows [5].

$$2\text{LiNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{NH} + \text{NH}_3 \quad (2)$$
$$\text{NH}_3 + \text{LiH} \rightarrow \text{LiNH}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad (3)$$

The reaction (3) was found to be ultrafast (~25 ms) and responsible for the capture of NH$_3$ which is detrimental for the fuel cells [5]. After these prolific works, the research on hydrogen storage in amides focused on compositional changes, replacement of Li with other light or more electronegative alkali/earth alkaline metals, mechanical activation, effects of catalysts, reaction mechanism, reversibility issues, and the mitigation of NH$_3$ emission.

The high desorption temperature (~285 °C at 1 bar) of the LiNH$_2$ + LiH system, which is not feasible for mobile applications, led to studies on the destabilization of the system. One of the ways involves replacing Li with more electronegative metals such as Mg, Na, and Ca. Nakomori et al., partially replaced Li in LiNH$_2$ with 90 at. % Li and 10 at. % Mg, and obtained a 50 K reduction in the desorption temperature [6]. Later, Luo developed a new hydrogen storage material by completely replacing LiH with MgH$_2$ in the reaction (1). The resulting compound had a 4.5 wt. % gravimetric capacity with a plateau pressure of 30 bar at 200 °C [7]. Further studies on the LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ system concentrated on the reaction mechanism, kinetics, structural characterization, and thermodynamics of the system [8–17]. It was shown that the 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ system transforms into the Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$-2LiH system after the first desorption/absorption cycle, and the proposed reaction mechanism is [8,9]:

$$\text{MgH}_2 + 2\text{LiNH}_2 \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{Mg(NH)}_2 + 2\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \left[\text{Mg(NH}_2\right]_2 + 2\text{LiH} \quad (4)$$

The different compositions of the Li-Mg-N-H system were also investigated. Leng et al. obtained ~7 wt. % capacity with a 3Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$-8LiH compound, and Nakomori et al. investigated a 3Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$-12LiH compound with a capacity of 9.1 wt. % [18–20]. Despite the higher gravimetric capacities of these systems, desorption temperatures were relatively higher than the Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$-2LiH compound. Xiong et al. examined the LiH-Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ with molar ratios of 1:1, 2:1 and 3:1, and showed that the lower the Li content the higher the NH$_3$ emission, and the higher the Li content the higher the desorption temperature [21]. A LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ (1:1) compound was also investigated by several researchers, and the results showed considerable NH$_3$ emission, and the revealed reaction mechanism was quite different than the LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ (2:1) compound [13,22,23].

Besides the Li-N-H and Li-Mg-N-H systems, other (i.e., Li-Ca-N-H) metal amide-metal hydride systems were also investigated. Some researchers focused on the decomposition of metal amides alone to discover new working pairs, and to address the NH$_3$ emission problem [18–26], whereas some other authors examined the Li-Ca-N-H system, but the results were not superior compared to Li-N-H and Li-Mg-N-H systems [27,28].
Apart from the type of the complex hydride system, the preparation procedure also plays a significant role in the hydrogen storage characteristics of the complex hydrides. The favorable effects of mechanical activation (MA) via ball milling, such as reducing the onset temperature of desorption, enhancing the reaction kinetics, and lowering the activation energy, have been known for a while, and these favorable effects are associated with the creation of nanocrystallites, smaller particle sizes, and increased surface area [29–31]. Regarding the amide systems, MA is especially important in preventing the release of NH\textsubscript{3} by enhancing the homogeneous mixing of the constituents. Since the conversion of amide to imide is an ammonia-mediated process as explained in Equations (2) and (3), a metal hydride compound can effectively capture NH\textsubscript{3} only if the M-amide–M-imide interface could be created at the nanoscale. It was shown that increasing the milling time decreases the grain size monotonically and increases the surface area up to around 5 h milling, as well as enhances the desorption kinetics considerably [30,32–34]. Xie et al. further confirmed that reducing the particle size enhances the reaction kinetics, and reduces the NH\textsubscript{3} emission [35]. Osborn et al. investigated the low temperature milling, and the results showed that desorption kinetics is faster for the sample milled at −196 °C compared to the samples milled at −40 °C and 20 °C [36].

Ammonia emission, even in trace levels, is undesirable in the amide systems because it poisons the fuel cells and causes loss of hydrogen, which in return results in the loss of gravimetric capacity [37]. The effect of NH\textsubscript{3} emission on cyclic behavior and capacity loss is further elaborated, and the results showed that NH\textsubscript{3} emission can be mitigated by ball milling. Ammonia emission is more pronounced for the Li-Mg-N-H system compared to the Li-N-H system since the reaction rate of MgH\textsubscript{2} with NH\textsubscript{3} is slower than the reaction rate between LiH and NH\textsubscript{3} [38–41].

Another important strategy in improving the performance of the complex hydrides is the utilization of catalysts. The seminal study of Bogdanovic on Ti-doped NaAlH\textsubscript{4} paved the way for further investigations of the favorable effects of various catalysts in complex hydrides [42]. The favorable effects of catalysts in enhancing the reactions kinetics, lowering the desorption temperature, and alleviating NH\textsubscript{3} emission in the amide systems have been studied by many researchers [43–49]. Ickikawa et al. was the first to investigate the effects of TiCl\textsubscript{3}, Ni, Co and Fe on NH\textsubscript{3} emission, reaction kinetics, and the desorption temperature of the Li-N-H system, and the results showed that TiCl\textsubscript{3} is superior compared to Ni, Co and Fe [39]. Isobe et al. focused on Ti\textsuperscript{nano}, Ti\textsuperscript{micro}, TiCl\textsubscript{3}, TiO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{nano}, and TiO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{micro} in the Li-N-H system, and proved the importance of the particle size of the catalysts. The nanocatalysts were superior compared to micro catalysts [44]. Yao et al. investigated Mn, V, MnO\textsubscript{2}, and V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} in the Li-N-H system, and showed that Mn, V, MnO\textsubscript{2}, and V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} has no effect in hydrogen desorption, but enhances the ammonia emission [45]. The catalytic studies on the Li-Mg-N-H system revealed that as-prepared single-wall carbon nanotube (SWCNT) considerably improves the reactions kinetics compared to purified SWCNT/ multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCNT), graphite and activated carbon [46]. Janot et al. [47] showed that Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, TiCl\textsubscript{3} and Pd have insignificant effect on kinetics of the Li-Mg-N-H system, and these results were further confirmed elsewhere [48]. Wang et al. reported no improvements on kinetics of the Li-Mg-N-H system using Ti, Fe, Co, Ni, Pd, Pt and their oxides, but they did not disclose the details. However, they showed enhanced kinetics using a potassium-modified Mg(NH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}-2LiH compound [49]. Utilization of the transition metal nitrides (TaN, TiN) were also shown to enhance the kinetics [50].

To the best of our knowledge, the effect of various nanocatalysts (i.e., nanoCo, nanoTi, nanoFe, nanoNi, Ti\textsubscript{3} and MWCNT) on the performance of the LiNH\textsubscript{2}-nanoMgH\textsubscript{2} complex hydride has not been systematically investigated to date. Additionally, we have utilized a preprocessed MgH\textsubscript{2} (nanoMgH\textsubscript{2}) in preparation of the LiNH\textsubscript{2}-nanoMgH\textsubscript{2} complex hydride to better understand the benefits of reduced particle size [51–53]. In this study, the effects of compositional changes on hydrogen storage characteristics of LiNH\textsubscript{2}-nanoMgH\textsubscript{2} compound has been investigated using (1:1) and (2:1) molar ratios, respectively. The effects of nanoNi catalyst concentration and various other catalysts (i.e., Ti\textsubscript{3}, nanoCo, nanoTi, nanoFe and MWCNT) on desorption kinetics, the on-set decomposition temperature, and the gravimetric capacity of the LiNH\textsubscript{2}-nanoMgH\textsubscript{2} compound are reported.
2. Materials and Methods

LiNH$_2$ was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, with purity not less than 95%, and MgH$_2$ was procured from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, MA, USA) with a purity of 98%. All the materials were kept and handled in an inert nitrogen atmosphere in a glove box. LiNH$_2$ was used as received, whereas MgH$_2$ was preprocessed for 15 h in ball mill under Ar/H$_2$ medium to obtain nanoMgH$_2$ with finer particle/grain size (<10 nm) compared to as-received MgH$_2$. The catalyst TiF$_3$ was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich with 99.9% purity, nanoTi, nanoCo, nanoNi and nanoFe (purity of 90%, particle size range of 3–20 nm, and average surface area of 35–130 m$^2$/g) were purchased from QuantumSphere Inc. (Santa Ana, CA, USA) and MWCNT (purity of at least 60%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All the catalysts were used without further purification. The samples were ball milled using an 80 mL stainless steel bowl, which had a custom-built lid to facilitate evacuating/purging with hydrogen/argon (5%/95%) before starting and after every 2 h of ball milling. High-energy ball milling was carried out by Fritsch Pulverisette P6 planetary mill, the ball milling parameters such as the ball-to-powder ratio, milling speed and milling time were to 20:1, 300 RPM and 1–5 h, respectively. The base materials, xLiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$, were synthesized by milling xLiNH$_2$ (x = 1 or 2) and MgH$_2$ for 5 h, and then the desired catalysts were added. The resulting compound was ball milled again for 15 min to make sure a thorough dispersion of catalysts in the base materials was obtained. After the ball milling operation, all the samples were kept in a glove box until further characterization as explained below.

The microstructural and chemical analyses were carried out to confirm the morphology and composition of chemical elements by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-rays (EDX). The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) of the samples was carried out by Philips X’pert diffractometer with CuK$_\alpha$ radiation of $\lambda = 1.54060$ Å. The as-milled samples were prepared inside the glove box, and sealed with Parafilm® tape, which shows peaks at 2$\theta$ angles of 21° and 23°. The diffraction data was analyzed using PANalytical X’pert Highscore software version 1.0f.

The Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer was utilized to measure the bond stretches of the complex hydride compound, and the instrument’s working range was between 370–7800 cm$^{-1}$ with a resolution of 0.5 cm$^{-1}$.

The gravimetric weight loss experiments were conducted by TA (New Castle, DE, USA) instrument’s SDT-Q600 equipment, which is the combination of thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The as-prepared samples and their catalyst doped versions were heated at a rate of 5 °C/min, and the data was analyzed with TA Universal Analysis 2000 software.

The thermal volumetric sorption analyses of the pristine and catalysts loaded base materials were carried out by Setaram (Caluire FRANCE) HyEnergy’s PCTPro 2000 (i.e., a fully automated Sievert’s type apparatus) and Quantachrome’s (Boynton Beach, FL, USA) Autosorb 1C thermal programmed desorption (TPD) equipment.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Thermal Programmed Desorption (TPD)

TGA and TPD analyses facilitate the rapid screening of the complex hydride materials in a relatively short duration and gives invaluable information on the desorption characteristics such as gravimetric storage capacity and hydrogen decomposition temperature. To determine the optimal catalyst concentration, we focused on the nanoNi catalyst, which showed enhanced performance in various complex hydrides as discussed in the Introduction. It is highly desirable to use a minimum amount of catalyst to limit the cost of the complex hydride. As shown in Figure S1 (see supplementary information), 2 wt. % nanoNi showed the best desorption performance at temperatures up to 300 °C. Temperatures higher than 300 °C are not practical for the mobile applications; therefore, 2 wt. % nanoNi doping is the optimal catalyst loading for the 2LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$. The concentration of 2 wt. % nanoNi catalyst was also investigated for the LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ system, and the TGA and TPD results are given in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.
Figure 1. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) profiles of pristine and Ni-doped LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ with 1:1 and 2:1 ratios.

Considering the TGA results of LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ given in Figure 1, the addition of 2 wt. % nanoNi catalyst improved the hydrogen desorption up until around 200 °C, but hampered the ultimate gravimetric capacity (i.e., capacity at 375 °C) of the LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ system. This result is in line with TPD studies. According to Figure 2, the hydrogen signal of the 2 wt. % nanoNi-doped LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ increases up until 200 °C during the TPD experiments, then sharply decreases with increasing temperatures. From the practical application point of view, high temperature performance of the complex hydrides is not critical for the mobile applications; therefore, it is concluded that nanoNi doping is desirable for the LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ system.

Regarding the TGA of 2LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ material given in Figure 1, the 2 wt. % nano-Ni doping enhances the gravimetric capacity of the base material at all temperatures studied. The TPD
Regarding the TGA of 2LiNH2-nanoMgH2 material given in Figure 1, the 2 wt. % nano-Ni doping added material compared to the base material, which is further evidence for higher H2 desorption from 2 wt. % nanoNi-doped material. Comparing gravimetric capacities of LiNH2-MgH2 with the molar ratios of 1:1 and 2:1 given in Figures 1 and 2, the 2LiNH2-nanoMgH2 compound is superior to LiNH2-nanoMgH2, hence 2LiNH2-nanoMgH2 is selected for further investigation.

A closer look into Figures 1 and 2 on the thermogravimetric and thermal programmed desorption profiles of 2 wt. % nanoNi-doped LiNH2-nanoMgH2 with 1:1 and 2:1 ratios are discussed here. The TGA of the 2 wt. % doped 1:1 sample shows the gaseous weight loss close to 5% with two desorption steps, whereas the 2 wt. % nanoNi-doped 2:1 sample shows double the weight loss capacity (~10%) with single major decomposition step and inflections at higher temperatures (~325 °C). This is confirmed from the TPD profile (Figure 2) of 2 wt. % doped 2:1 compound, where the total effective desorption or decomposition attributed in a single broader step when compared to TPD profiles of 2 wt. % doped 1:1 LiNH2-nanoMgH2 compound, where there are two sharp decomposition steps below 225 °C. We have also demonstrated TGA with other nickel concentrations, and found that lower nanoNi catalyst concentration of 2 wt. % is ideal to improve the gaseous hydrogen decomposition characteristics such storage capacity and the rate of desorption (see Supplementary Material Figure S1).

The TGA and TPD results of mixing 2 wt. % nanoNi, nanoCo, nanoFe, nanoTi and TiF3 are given in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Thus, these catalysts enhance the hydrogen desorption kinetics as well as the gravimetric capacity in comparison to the base material.
A closer analysis on the linear portion and start-up on-set point on the profiles of Figure 3, the reaction kinetics (wt. %/min) and on-set decomposition temperature were estimated for all the catalysts and are summarized in Table 1. It can be seen from Table 1 that TiF3 enhances the reaction kinetics most with the order of TiF3 > nanoNi > nanoTi > nanoCo > nanoFe, and the highest reduction in on-set desorption temperature was obtained from the nanoCo catalyst (nanoCo > TiF3 > nanoTi > nanoFe > nanoNi).

Table 1. Reaction kinetics and on-set decomposition temperature of 2LiNH2-nanoMgH2 with different catalysts.

| Reaction Kinetics (wt. %/min) | On-Set Temperature (°C) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| TiF3                          | nanoCo 121.96           |
| nanoNi                        | TiF3 125.99             |
| nanoTi                        | nanoTi 136.65           |
| nanoCo                        | nanoFe 142.86           |
| nanoFe                        | nanoNi 149.30           |

The thermal programed desorption profiles, unlike TGA, may only provide insights into the decomposition temperature along with strength of signal indicating the concentration of gaseous decomposition. Therefore, the trend in reaction kinetics as obtained from TGA in Figure 3 above may not be comparable to the TPD profiles of Figure 4. It seems, however, that the TPD of nanoCo excelled in the highest hydrogen concentration with the order of nanoCo > TiF3 > nanoFe = nanoTi > nanoNi > base. By comparing the TGA profiles at an instant decomposition temperature say 225 °C, it is discernible again that nanoCo and TiF3 outperformed with highest hydrogen release capacity and then continues with the order nanoCo > TiF3 > nanoFe = nanoTi = nanoNi > base. Overall, the catalyst-doped 2LiNH2-MgH2 thus enhances the hydrogen decomposition kinetics while maintaining available hydrogen content similar to that of the base hydride compound. The high reactivity of cobalt nanoparticles and the Ti3+ state of TiF3 thus increases the reaction kinetics for the hydrogen absorption and reversible desorption. Further research is needed to understand why only Co among all transition metal nanoparticles (Fe, Ti, Ni) is superior in hydrogen decomposition at low temperatures.

3.2. Desorption Kinetics Using Sievert’s Type Measurements

The ramping desorption kinetics (1 °C/min) of the base material 2LiNH2-MgH2 and 2 wt. % Ni-added 2LiNH2-MgH2 compound are given in Figure 5. As expected, Ni addition did not alter the overall desorption capacity of 2LiNH2-MgH2 since the final desorption temperature was 325 °C. However, Ni addition showed enhanced kinetics and higher desorption capacity up to 250 °C.

Figure 5. Ramping kinetics of 2LiNH2-nanoMgH2 and 2LiNH2-nanoMgH2 + 2 wt. % Ni.
The absorption kinetics of 2 wt. % Ni added 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ and the base material 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ at 180, 200, and 220 °C are given in Figure 6. The catalyst-added compound performed best in terms of kinetics at 200 °C, reaching 2.6 wt. % capacity in 60 min, whereas the base material can only reach a capacity of 1.75 wt. % in 60 min, as shown in Figure 6. The absorption kinetics of 2 wt. % Ni added 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ at 180, 200, and 220 °C up to 5 h is also given in Figure S2 (see Supplementary Material). The ultimate capacity of 2 wt. % Ni-added 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ is around 3.5 wt. % at 200 °C (Figure S2), which is lower than the theoretical and reported values earlier [41]. This discrepancy is due to differences in the material preparation procedure, slightly different compound ratios (LiNH$_2$ to MgH$_2$ ratio is 2:1 in this study as compared to 2:1.1 in Ref [41]), NH$_3$ emission, and the self-decomposition of Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ to Mg$_3$N$_2$ at elevated temperatures. Among these, the self-decomposition of Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ to Mg$_3$N$_2$ is considered to be the main reason, since every absorption cycle preceded by the evacuation of the 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ compound at 325 °C for 60 min to make sure for the complete desorption of the sample. Moreover, the self-decomposition of Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ to Mg$_3$N$_2$ was also confirmed with the XRD measurements, as explained in Section 3.3.

On the other hand, Luo et al. showed a 25% capacity loss after 270 cycles where 7% of the capacity loss was attributed to NH$_3$ emission that increases with higher desorption temperatures [41]. Since the desorption temperature in this study was much higher (325 °C compared to 240 °C in Ref [41]), the main reasons for the apparent capacity loss are twofold: self-decomposition of Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ to Mg$_3$N$_2$, and NH$_3$ emission. Therefore, high temperatures should be avoided in utilization of the 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ compound. To better understand the capacity loss due to self-decomposition of Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ to Mg$_3$N$_2$ and NH$_3$ emission, further investigations are underway by utilizing gas chromatography and a residual gas analyzer coupled with a quadruple mass-spectrometer.

It is well known that the addition of transition metal catalysts to complex hydrides does not change the thermodynamic properties (i.e., enthalpy of formation) of the base material, but enhances the kinetic properties of the base material by lowering the activation energy [55]. The exact mechanism for the improved kinetics by Ni addition is not well understood currently. However, the enhanced kinetics due to Ni addition is considered to be associated with the increased heterogeneous sites for the nucleation of the complex hydride phases given in Equation (4). Additionally, Ni addition can facilitate the hydrogen diffusion through the complex hydride matrix [56].
3.3. X-ray Diffraction (XRD), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), and Energy Dispersive X-rays (EDX)

The conversion or yield of the products have been determined by metrological characterization tools such as X-ray diffraction for phase identification, FTIR spectroscopic analysis for chemical bonding information, and SEM/EDX microscopic tools to evaluate the microstructure and nanoparticle size determination in addition to compositional (elemental) distributions.

X-ray diffraction and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy were employed to characterize the 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ compound after ball milling (BM), and after pressure composition temperature (PCT) measurements in hydrogenated/dehydrogenated conditions, to verify the validity of the reaction mechanism given in reaction (3). FTIR spectra of LiNH$_2$, 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ after 5 h ball milling (BM) and after PCT measurements in hydrogenated/dehydrogenated conditions are given in Figure 7. The characteristics of N-H asymmetric and symmetric vibrations of LiNH$_2$ at 3313 and 3259 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, were observed in 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ after 5 h BM. FTIR spectrum of hydrogenated 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ showed the characteristic bands of Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ at 3278 and 3325 cm$^{-1}$ and Mg$_3$N$_2$ band at 3160 cm$^{-1}$ [10,57]. On the other hand, the FTIR spectrum of the fully dehydrogenated 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ showed the characteristic peaks of Li$_2$Mg(NH)$_2$ compound at 3163 and 3180 cm$^{-1}$ [38].

![Figure 7. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra of LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ (2:1) before and after pressure composition temperature (PCT).](image)

The XRD profiles of the empty sample holder, 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ after 5 h BM and after PCT measurements in hydrogenated/dehydrogenated conditions, are given in Figure 8. Self-decomposition of Mg(NH$_2$)$_2$ to Mg$_3$N$_2$ at elevated temperatures was discussed by Luo et al., and further proved unambiguously by XRD and FTIR measurements in this study [41]. However, the XRD of the dehydrogenated sample resulted in an unidentified peak around 27.5° which needs to be further investigated. This peak could be the result of an oxide formation at high desorption temperatures due to the impurities in the as-received raw materials. The SEM/EDX mapping and image of the of 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ + 2 wt. % Ni is given in Figure 9, which clearly shows the uniform dispersion of nanoNi particles among the 2LiNH$_2$-MgH$_2$ compound. Therefore, 15 min is a reasonable duration for mixing catalyst with the base material. Moreover, the EDX spectral analysis of these samples demonstrated the correct 2 wt. % fraction of elemental Ni catalyst on a base hydride matrix. Additionally, the morphology and particles size of the nanoNi (obtained from QuantumSphere Inc.) catalyst was determined by the transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and is shown in Figure 10. From the TEM microstructure, it is evidenced that we have used cluster sizes of 3–10 nm nickel nanoparticles admixed with the base hydride compound that has enhanced hydrogen absorption and desorption kinetics.
nanoparticles admixed with the base hydride compound that has enhanced hydrogen absorption and desorption kinetics.

Figure 8. X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ after ball milling and after PCT measurements in hydrogenated/dehydrogenated states.

Figure 9. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)/ energy dispersive X-rays (EDX) mapping (a) and image (b) of the 2LiNH$_2$-nanoMgH$_2$ + 2 wt. % Ni. Red and green color (labeled) represents Mg and Ni, respectively.

Figure 10. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of nickel nanopartile manufactured by the QuatumSphere Inc. [58].
4. Conclusions

The complex hydride LiNH\(_2\)-nanoMgH\(_2\) compound was systematically investigated considering different compositional variations and the effect of various catalysts. The thermogravimetric and thermal programmed desorption results revealed that 2LiNH\(_2\)-nanoMgH\(_2\) has higher hydrogen storage capacity and faster sorption kinetics when compared to LiNH\(_2\)-nanoMgH\(_2\). Among the various concentrations of nanoNi additives on the base material of 2LiNH\(_2\)-nanoMgH\(_2\), 2 wt. % nanoNi showed enhancement in reaction kinetics. Additionally, the TiF\(_3\) doping demonstrates greater reaction kinetics (0.5816 wt. %/min), whereas the nanoCo doping shows the lowest on-set decomposition temperature (121.96 °C) as obtained from the TGA results. The absorption kinetics of 2LiNH\(_2\)-MgH\(_2\) mixed with 2 wt. % nanoNi was rapid at 200 °C, and more than a twofold increase in kinetics when compared to the base material within the first 60 min of absorption. Structural, microstructural, and chemical investigations using metrological tools further supported that high temperature desorption is detrimental to the overall capacity of the 2LiNH\(_2\)-MgH\(_2\) compound.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/7/7/701/s1, Figure S1: TGA analysis of Ni doped LiNH\(_2\)-nanoMgH\(_2\) (2:1); Figure S2: Absorption kinetics of 2LiNH\(_2\)-nanoMgH\(_2\) + 2 wt. % Ni at 180, 200 and 220 °C.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| BM           | Ball Milling          |
| CNT          | Carbon Nanotube       |
| DSC          | Differential Scanning Calorimetry |
| DOE          | Department of Energy  |
| EDX          | Energy Dispersive X-rays |
| FTIR         | Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy |
| MA           | Mechanical Activation |
| MOF          | Metal Organic Frameworks |
| MWCNT        | Multiwall Carbon Nanotube |
| PCT          | Pressure Composition Temperature |
| RPM          | revolutions per minute |
| SDT          | Simultaneous TGA and DSC |
| SEM          | Scanning Electron Microscopy |
| SWCNT        | Single Wall Carbon Nanotube |
| TCD          | Thermal Conductivity Detector |
| TEM          | Transmission Electron Microscopy |
| TGA          | Thermogravimetric Analysis |
| TPD          | Thermal Program Desorption |
| wt. %        | weight percent   |
| XRD          | X-Ray Diffraction |
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