Once-daily budesonide MMX in active, mild-to-moderate ulcerative colitis: results from the randomised CORE II study
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ABSTRACT

Objective Budesonide MMX is a novel oral formulation of budesonide that uses Multi-Matrix System (MMX) technology to extend release to the colon. This study compared the efficacy of budesonide MMX with placebo in patients with active, mild-to-moderate ulcerative colitis (UC).

Design Patients were randomised 1:1:1:1 to receive budesonide MMX 9 mg or 6 mg, or Entocort EC 9 mg (budesonide controlled ileal-release capsules; reference arm) or placebo once daily for 8 weeks. The primary endpoint was combined clinical and endoscopic remission, defined as UC Disease Activity Index score ≤1 with a score of 0 for rectal bleeding and stool frequency, no mucosal friability on colonoscopy, and a ≥1-point reduction in endoscopic index score from baseline.

Results 410 patients were evaluated for efficacy. Combined clinical and endoscopic remission rates with budesonide MMX 9 mg or 6 mg, Entocort EC and placebo were 17.4%, 8.3%, 12.6% and 4.5%, respectively. The difference between budesonide MMX 9 mg and placebo was significant (OR 4.49; 95% CI 1.47 to 13.72; p=0.0047). Budesonide MMX 9 mg was associated with numerically higher rates of clinical (42.2% vs 33.7%) and endoscopic improvement (42.2% vs 31.5%) versus placebo. The rate of histological healing (16.5% vs 6.7%; p=0.0361) and proportion of patients with symptom resolution (23.9% vs 11.2%; p=0.0220) were significantly higher for budesonide MMX 9 mg than placebo. Adverse event profiles were similar across groups.

Conclusion Budesonide MMX 9 mg was safe and more effective than placebo at inducing combined clinical and endoscopic remission in patients with active, mild-to-moderate UC.

INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory disease of the colon and rectum characterised by intermittent flares of active disease with diarrhoea, rectal bleeding and rectal urgency, alternating with periods of remission.1–3 Corticosteroids, such as prednisolone, are effective for inducing rapid remission in active UC, but due to their adverse effects they are usually reserved for patients who have failed mesalazine (5-aminosalicylic acid; 5-ASA), patients who need a prompt response or those with severe disease.3 4 The European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation guidelines recommend that active, mild-to-moderate UC should initially be treated with oral mesalazine >2 g/day, with systemic corticosteroids reserved for patients with symptoms of active colitis whose disease does not respond to mesalazine.3

Oral budesonide is a topically acting corticosteroid with low bioavailability and few systemic side effects; corticosteroids are effective in treating active UC, but due to their adverse effects, are usually reserved for selected patients.5 Moreover, corticosteroids can be associated with numerically higher rates of clinical and endoscopic improvement versus placebo.5–7 The demonstration of a statistically significant increase in the rates of combined clinical and endoscopic remission compared with placebo and an active control suggests that once-daily budesonide MMX 9 mg improved the rates of histological healing and symptom resolution compared with placebo.

What is already known on this subject?

▸ Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic, debilitating inflammatory disease of the colon and rectum.

▸ Corticosteroids are effective in treating active UC, but due to their adverse effects, are usually reserved for selected patients.

▸ Budesonide, coupled with a colonic release system (MMX Multi-Matrix System) has demonstrated promising clinical efficacy in UC, while minimising systemic side effects.

What are the new findings?

▸ Here, we have investigated the efficacy of once-daily budesonide MMX in the induction of combined clinical and endoscopic remission in patients with active, mild-to-moderate UC compared with placebo and an active control.

▸ Budesonide MMX 9 mg provided a statistically significant increase in the combined clinical and endoscopic remission rate compared with placebo (17.4% vs 4.5%; p=0.0047).

▸ Furthermore, budesonide MMX 9 mg improved the rates of histological healing and symptom resolution compared with placebo.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

▸ Colonic-release budesonide may be a useful alternative to conventional corticosteroid therapy for the treatment of active, mild-to-moderate UC.

▸ In addition, our data highlight the value of using robust endpoints and ensuring histological evidence of active disease prior to initiating studies of anti-inflammatory agents in UC.

Significance of this study
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effects. Budesonide’s safety and efficacy profiles are well characterised in the treatment of several inflammatory conditions, from asthma and allergies to inflammatory bowel disease.1–7 The local activity of budesonide in the colonic mucosa is the key to efficacy. Current oral formulations of budesonide, such as Budesofalk and Entocort EC, release the drug only in the distal ileum and proximal colon8 and so are not optimally designed for the anatomical distribution of UC. The pH-dependent release of these formulations means that there is no effective rate-controlling mechanism to ensure extended release of the drug along the entire length of the colon. In a recent study, oral pH-modified release budesonide was significantly less effective than mesalazine for inducing clinical remission in active UC (risk ratio 0.72; 95% CI 0.57 to 0.91), which may be due to unreliable colonic release in patients with altered intestinal pH.9

To improve the release of budesonide, it can be coupled with a colonic release system (MMX Multi-Matrix System) that provides targeted drug delivery to the entire colon, as supported by scintigraphic data.10 This technology has already been used successfully with oral mesalazine (mesalazine MMX).2 11–13 The goal of budesonide MMX treatment is to maintain the efficacy of corticosteroids while minimising systemic side effects. Preliminary data in patients with left-sided UC showed that 47.1% of patients treated with budesonide MMX 9 mg once daily achieved clinical improvement (clinical remission, defined as a Rachmilewitz Clinical Activity Index (CAI) score of ≤4, or a ≥50% reduction in CAI score from baseline) at 4 weeks, compared with 33.3% of those treated with placebo, suggesting that this formulation might be a viable new treatment option.14

The objective of this phase III CORE (Colonic Release budesonide) study was to investigate the efficacy of once-daily budesonide MMX for the induction of combined clinical and endoscopic remission in patients with active, mild-to-moderate UC compared with placebo. A non-powered, internal reference arm of oral budesonide controlled ileal-release capsules (Entocort EC) was included as an active control to conform with regulatory requirements. Because the clinical trial was conducted in 15 countries in the European Union (EU) and outside the EU, ileal-release budesonide was chosen due to its presence in each market which was essential to gain approval to conduct the study from local agencies.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Men and women aged 18–75 years with UC for ≥6 months, diagnosed according to international criteria,1 were eligible to participate if they had active, mild-to-moderate disease, defined as a UC Disease Activity Index (UCDAI) score of ≥4 and ≤10.15 16 Although not an inclusion criterion, to be included in the efficacy analyses, patients also had to have histological evidence of active UC identified via mucosal biopsy at baseline. Investigators were instructed to obtain three biopsies from the most severely affected areas following examination of each segment of the colonic mucosa. Histological confirmation was obtained via a central histopathologist in an independent lab with examinations performed in batches to maintain homogeneity and blinded conditions while preserving the trial from any possible bias. Concomitant UC medications were not allowed; for patients receiving oral 5-ASA at the screening visit, oral 5-ASA medication was required to be washed out ≥2 days and rectal ASAs had a 4-week washout prior to randomisation.

Patients were excluded from the study if they had infection (defined as the presence of any enteric pathogens (eg, Shigella spp, Clostridium spp, Salmonella spp, ova and parasites) or Clostridium difficile toxins A or B) or limited proctitis (UC limited to the rectum ≤15 cm above the dentate line); or if they had used oral or rectal steroids in the last 4 weeks, immunosuppressive agents in the last 8 weeks or anti-tumour necrosis factor agents in the last 3 months. Further exclusion criteria included severe colitis (UCDAI score >10); evidence or history of toxic megacolon; severe anaemia (haemoglobin <10.5 g/dl), leucopenia or granulocytopenia; pregnancy or lactation; use of cytochrome P450 3A4 inducers or inhibitors (eg, ketoconazole, phenytoin) or antibiotics; severe disease of other organs/systems; liver cirrhosis, or evident hepatic or renal disease/insufficiency; type I diabetes; glaucoma; hepatitis B/C; and HIV infection.

The protocol was approved by all relevant ethics committees and the study was conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice (GCP), the Declaration of Helsinki, and all applicable regulations related to clinical trials in the participating countries. Patients provided written consent prior to any study-related procedure (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00679380).

Study design

This was a phase III, randomised, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial carried out at 69 centres in 15 countries in Europe, Russia, Israel and Australia. Patients were randomised 1:1:1:1 to receive budesonide MMX 9 mg/day, budesonide MMX 6 mg/day, Entocort EC 9 mg/day (3 × 3 mg capsules) taken once daily in the morning; active reference arm), or placebo, administered once daily for 8 weeks. Randomisation was conducted centrally via an interactive voice response system (IVRS). Study medication was taken after breakfast every day; each patient took one tablet and three capsules per day of active or placebo study medication according to the randomisation schedule. To maintain blinding, a double-dummy technique, with placebo tablets of budesonide MMX and placebo over-encapsulated Entocort EC, was used. Scheduled full assessment visits were undertaken at screening and at week 8 (day 56, ±2 days) with intermediate control assessment of clinical conditions at randomisation (day 1), and at weeks 2 and 4. Assessments included full colonoscopy (not flexible sigmoidoscopy), baseline stool tests to exclude infectious colitis, blood and urine samples, evaluation of patient diaries, mucosal biopsy samples and pill count.

Endpoints

The primary endpoint was combined clinical and endoscopic remission at week 8, strictly defined as a total UCDAI score ≤1, with a rectal bleeding score of 0, stool frequency score of 0, mucosal appearance score of 0 (no sign of mucosal friability on full colonoscopy) and a ≥1-point reduction in baseline endoscopic index (EI) score17 at week 8. Secondary endpoints were clinical improvement, defined as a ≥3-point improvement in the UCDAI score from baseline to week 8, and endoscopic improvement, defined as a ≥1-point reduction in the endoscopy subscore of the UCDAI from baseline to week 8. Other endpoints included histological healing, defined as a total score of ≤1 (Saverymuttu criteria18) for histological assessment of all biopsy specimens, and symptom resolution, defined as rectal bleeding and stool frequency UCDAI subscores of 0 at week 8. Clinical and endoscopic remission rates were also examined in an exploratory subgroup analysis, for which data were stratified by median age, gender and geographical region.

Safety assessments

Safety assessments included adverse event (AE) reporting, potential glucocorticoid-related effects and morning plasma
cortisol (assessed at baseline (mean of two samples taken on different days prior to randomisation) and week 8/final visit). All AEs were coded according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities V.11.0.

Sample size determination and statistical analysis
Assuming a difference of 20 percentage points between at least one budesonide MMX treatment group (estimated remission rate of 47%) and placebo (estimated remission rate of 27%) at week 8, 110 patients per group provided 80% power to detect a statistically significant difference between at least one budesonide MMX treatment group and placebo at the two-sided α=0.025 level of significance. Assuming a dropout rate of approximately 10%, 123 patients per group or 492 patients total were to be randomised in this study. The study was not powered to detect a statistically significant difference between the budesonide MMX and Entocort groups.

The primary efficacy analysis for superiority of budesonide MMX 9 mg or 6 mg versus placebo was based on a χ² test at a two-sided significance level of α=0.025. A hierarchical testing procedure was used for the analyses of primary and secondary endpoints at the α=0.025 level of significance. For other endpoints (histological healing and symptom resolution) and all analyses of Entocort versus placebo (all endpoints), an exploratory two-sided significance level of α=0.05 was applied. In the subgroup analyses, the effect of demographic variables on the primary endpoint were investigated using the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test at a two-sided significance level of α=0.05.

RESULTS
Patients
The study was conducted between July 2008 and February 2010. A total of 613 patients were screened and 512 were randomised. Of the 512 randomised patients, three did not receive study medication. The safety population consisted of 511 patients who received at least one dose of study medication, including two patients who received treatment with budesonide MMX 9 mg, but who were not randomised through the IVRS. A total of 509 treated patients were randomised into the four treatment arms: placebo (n=129), budesonide MMX 9 mg (n=126), budesonide MMX 6 mg (n=128) and Entocort EC 9 mg (n=126) (figure 1). From within the safety population, a total of 101 patients were excluded from the efficacy analyses as per figure 1. Among the exclusions, 77 patients had normal histopathology at baseline, 50 had documented GCP violations and one had infectious colitis at screening. Two patients were not randomised. Some patients had more than one reason for exclusion. Of the 410 patients in the mITT population, 89
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received placebo, 109 received budesonide MMX 9 mg, 109 received budesonide MMX 6 mg, and 103 received Entocort EC 9 mg. In the mITT population, 138 patients discontinued the study before week 8. The reasons for premature withdrawals were similar across treatment groups. Baseline patient demographics and clinical characteristics are shown in table 1.

**Efficacy outcomes**

**Primary endpoint**

Combined clinical and endoscopic remission at week 8 was achieved in 17.4% of patients in the budesonide MMX 9 mg group, 8.3% of those in the budesonide MMX 6 mg group, 12.6% of those in the Entocort EC group and 4.5% of patients in the placebo group (figure 2). The rate of combined clinical and endoscopic remission with budesonide MMX 9 mg was significantly higher than with placebo (17.4% vs 4.5%; OR 4.49; 95% CI 1.47 to 13.72; p=0.0047) (figures 2 and 3). Although numerically more patients achieved combined clinical and endoscopic remission with budesonide MMX 6 mg compared with placebo, this difference did not reach statistical significance.

Subgroup analyses were performed for the mutually exclusive categories of left-sided disease (disease limited to the left side of the colon up to the splenic flexure) and extensive disease (disease extending beyond the splenic flexure). In patients with left-sided disease, the clinical and endoscopic remission rate for budesonide MMX 9 mg was significantly greater than placebo (17.7% vs 5.8%, p=0.0268). For extensive disease, clinical and endoscopic remission rates were numerically greater in the budesonide MMX 9 mg compared with placebo (13.8% vs 0.0%, p=0.1350). A sensitivity analysis of combined clinical and endoscopic remission in the conventional intention-to-treat population (ie, all randomised and dosed patients; n=509), in which all patients excluded from the mITT population were considered as not achieving remission, demonstrated similar remission rates to the mITT analysis (budesonide MMX 9 mg 15.1%, budesonide MMX 6 mg 7.0%, Entocort EC 10.3%, placebo 3.1%; p=0.0008 for budesonide MMX 9 mg vs placebo). Prespecified stratified subgroup analyses of combined clinical and endoscopic remission rates confirmed statistical differences in remission rates between budesonide MMX 9 mg and placebo for younger patients (aged ≤43.5 years; p=0.0195), men (p=0.0246) and Eastern European patients (p=0.0227).

**Secondary endpoints**

Compared with placebo, numerically more patients treated with budesonide MMX 9 mg achieved clinical improvement (42.2% vs 33.7%; OR 1.44; table 2 and figure 3), but statistical significance was not reached. Clinical improvement rate in the...

**Table 1 Baseline patient demographics and clinical characteristics (safety population; n=511)**

| Parameter                      | Placebo (n=129) | Budesonide MMX 9 mg, (n=128) | Budesonide MMX 6 mg, (n=128) | Entocort EC (n=126) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Mean age, years (range)        | 39.9 (12–68)     | 37.6 (13–66)                 | 37.9 (14–66)                 | 36.7 (12–67)        |
| Gender, n (%)                  |                  |                              |                              |                     |
| Men                            | 77 (59.7)        | 70 (54.7)                    | 67 (52.3)                    | 66 (52.4)           |
| Women                          | 52 (40.3)        | 58 (45.3)                    | 61 (47.7)                    | 60 (47.6)           |
| Race, n (%)                    |                  |                              |                              |                     |
| White                          | 129 (100.0)      | 126 (98.2)                   | 128 (100.0)                  | 126 (100.0)         |
| Asian                          | 0                | 1 (0.9)                      | 0                            | 0                   |
| Other                          | 0                | 1 (0.9)                      | 0                            | 0                   |
| UC history                     |                  |                              |                              |                     |
| Mean disease duration, years   | 6.3              | 5.5                          | 5.7                          | 6.3                 |
| Duration ≤1 year, n (%)        | 23 (17.8)        | 28 (21.9)                    | 19 (14.8)                    | 22 (17.5)           |
| Duration >1 to ≤5 years, n (%) | 55 (42.6)        | 55 (43.0)                    | 62 (48.4)                    | 45 (35.7)           |
| Duration >5 years, n (%)       | 51 (39.5)        | 44 (34.4)                    | 47 (36.7)                    | 59 (46.8)           |
| Disease extent, n (%)          |                  |                              |                              |                     |
| Proctosigmoiditis               | 64 (49.6)        | 58 (45.3)                    | 58 (45.3)                    | 51 (40.5)           |
| Left-sided colitis             | 44 (34.1)        | 37 (28.9)                    | 40 (31.3)                    | 49 (38.9)           |
| Extensive/pancolitis           | 20 (15.5)        | 31 (24.2)                    | 29 (22.7)                    | 26 (20.6)           |
| Mean baseline UCDAI score      | 6.2              | 6.5                          | 6.6                          | 6.7                 |
| Mean baseline EI score         | 6.6              | 6.5                          | 7.2                          | 6.5                 |
| Prior mesalazine use*          | 75 (58.1)        | 66 (51.6)                    | 77 (60.2)                    | 70 (55.6)           |
| Prior sulfasalazine use*       | 28 (21.7)        | 33 (25.8)                    | 27 (21.1)                    | 30 (23.8)           |

*Prior medications are medications which started prior to the first dose of study drug.

EI, Endoscopic Index; MMX, Multi-Matrix System; UC, ulcerative colitis; UCDAI, UC Disease Activity Index.
budesonide MMX 6 mg group was also not statistically different to that in the placebo group (25.7% vs 33.7%; table 2). Endoscopic improvement rate was numerically higher with budesonide MMX 9 mg than with placebo (42.2% vs 31.5%; OR 1.59; table 2 and figure 3). As per the prespecified hierarchical statistical analysis plan, statistical comparison of endoscopic improvement rate between budesonide MMX 9 mg and placebo was not performed. Endoscopic improvement rate for budesonide MMX 6 mg was 25.7% vs 31.5% for placebo. Table 2 also shows that clinical improvement and endoscopic improvement rates were numerically higher in patients treated with budesonide MMX 9 mg than in the Entocort EC control arm. However, the study was not powered to detect statistical significance between the budesonide MMX and Entocort EC treatment groups.

Other endpoints
Significantly more patients achieved histological healing (16.5% vs 6.7%, p=0.0361; OR 2.74; 95% CI 1.04 to 7.22) and complete symptom resolution (23.9% vs 11.2%, p=0.0220; OR 2.47; 95% CI 1.12 to 5.46; 36.1% vs 17.5%, p=0.0196 in observed cases only (data not shown)) with budesonide MMX 9 mg compared with placebo (table 2 and figure 3). Numerically more patients achieved histological healing (9.2% vs 6.7%) and symptom resolution (13.8% vs 11.2%) with budesonide MMX 6 mg compared with placebo, but differences were not statistically significant (table 2).

Safety
The numbers of patients with treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs), treatment-related TEAEs, TEAEs leading to discontinuation and serious TEAEs were similar across all four treatment groups (table 3). The nature and severity of TEAEs were also comparable across groups, with the majority of patients with TEAEs experiencing events that were mild or moderate in severity (table 3). The most common TEAEs in the placebo, budesonide MMX 9 mg and 6 mg, and Entocort EC groups were UC relapse (11.6%, 15.6%, 21.1% and 12.7% respectively) and headache (6.2%, 16.4%, 15.6% and 7.1%, respectively) (table 3). Serious TEAEs led to discontinuation in nine patients and four patients experienced treatment-related serious TEAEs (treatment failure with budesonide MMX 9 mg, UC relapse/nausea/urge incontinence with budesonide MMX 6 mg and gastric ulcer/UC relapse with Entocort EC). Worsening of potential glucocorticoid-related effects occurred infrequently during the study (table 4). The most frequent worsened potential glucocorticoid-related signs or symptoms were mood changes (3.5%), sleep changes (3.3%) and insomnia (1.6%). No notable differences were observed between the active treatment and placebo groups with regard to these effects.

At week 8, mean morning plasma cortisol concentrations of 253 and 315 nmol/litre (reference range: 138–690 nmol/litre) were measured in the budesonide MMX 9 mg and 6 mg groups, respectively, representing a decrease from baseline of 103 and 48 nmol/litre, respectively. A mean decrease from baseline of 47 nmol/litre was also observed in the Entocort EC group, resulting in a week 8 mean morning plasma cortisol concentration of 323 nmol/litre. In contrast, the mean morning plasma cortisol concentration in the placebo group increased by 28 nmol/litre from a baseline value of 337 nmol/litre. Differences in mean change in morning plasma cortisol levels from baseline to week 8 were statistically significant between treatment groups (MMX 9 mg or 6 mg vs placebo, p<0.0001; Entocort EC vs placebo; p=0.0004); however, absolute mean concentrations remained within the normal reference range for all treatment groups at all time points.

**DISCUSSION**
This study demonstrates the efficacy and safety of budesonide MMX 9 mg for inducing combined clinical and endoscopic remission in patients with active, mild-to-moderate UC. Budesonide MMX 9 mg provided a statistically significant increase in the stringently defined combined clinical and endoscopic remission rate compared with placebo (17.4% vs 4.5%; p=0.0047). Furthermore, budesonide MMX 9 mg improved the rates of histological healing and symptom resolution compared with placebo. The notable features of this trial are its stringent definition of remission, the decision to analyse efficacy only in patients with objective, histological evidence of active inflammation at baseline, and the use of full colonoscopy (rather than flexible sigmoidoscopy) for endoscopic assessment.

**Table 2** Secondary and other endpoints: clinical improvement, endoscopic improvement, histological healing and symptom resolution from baseline to week 8 (mITT population; n=410)

| Efficacy parameter       | Placebo (n=89) n (%) | Budesonide MMX 9 mg, (n=109) n (%) | Budesonide MMX 6 mg, (n=109) n (%) | Entocort EC (n=103) n (%) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Clinical improvement     | 30 (33.7)            | 46 (42.2)                          | 28 (25.7)                         | 34 (33.0)                 |
| Endoscopic improvement   | 28 (31.5)            | 46 (42.2)                          | 28 (25.7)                         | 38 (36.9)                 |
| Histological healing     | 6 (6.7)              | 18 (16.5)*                         | 10 (9.2)                          | 14 (13.6)                 |
| Symptom resolution       | 10 (11.2)            | 26 (23.9)*                         | 15 (13.8)                         | 19 (18.4)                 |

*p<0.05.

mITT, modified intention to treat; MMX, Multi-Matrix System.
The absolute clinical and endoscopic remission rates seen in this study are lower than the remission rates reported in several recent UC trials.291 1 There are a number of potential reasons for these differences, which relate primarily to the study end-points and inclusion/evaluation criteria used. A key factor is the criteria used to define remission.21 The current study set a high goal: a total UCDAI score of \( \leq 1 \) with normal stool frequency and no rectal bleeding, together with a \( \geq 1 \)-point reduction in baseline EI score and no mucosal friability on complete colonoscopy. This definition was chosen partly to minimise the placebo response, but also to have an outcome that was clinically relevant. Patients can detect a noticeable benefit from treatment when their symptoms, such as rectal bleeding and increased stool frequency, are alleviated. Additionally, endoscopic improvements, particularly mucosal healing, may be an important indicator of longer-term outcomes, such as the likelihood of colectomy over the following year.22 In their study comparing Budesonide MMX with Salofalk, Gross et al9 defined remission as a Rachmilewitz CAI score of \( \leq 4 \), which might mean anything up to a score of 2 for stool frequency (defined as 30–60 bowel actions/week) and 2 for rectal bleeding (defined as a little blood). The mesalazine MMX studies21 11 2 used similar UCDAI criteria to our study, but employed a less thorough method (flexible sigmoidoscopy) for endoscopic evaluation. The entry criteria were also different from those used in the current study, particularly those relating to disease characteristics (newly diagnosed patients and patients with established disease were recruited, but only those with a current flare duration \( \leq 6 \) weeks), relapse history (patients relapsing on maintenance mesalazine >2.0 g/day and those relapsing within 2 weeks of a dose reduction from >2.0 to \( \leq 2.0 \) g/day were not eligible), and prior treatment response (patients with unsuccessful treatment of their current flare with steroids or mesalazine >2.0 g/day were not eligible). These factors, combined with the less

### Table 3  Summary of TEAEs (safety population, \( n=511 \))

|                      | Placebo (\( n=129 \)) | Budesonide MMX 9 mg. (\( n=128 \)) | Budesonide MMX 6 mg. (\( n=128 \)) | Entocort EC (\( n=126 \)) | Total (\( n=511 \)) |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
|                      | \( n (\%) \)           | \( n (\%) \)                        | \( n (\%) \)                        | \( n (\%) \)              | \( n (\%) \)        |
| All TEAEs            |                        |                                     |                                     |                           |                     |
| Any TEAE             | 57 (44.2)              | 71 (55.5)                           | 80 (62.5)                           | 69 (54.8)                 | 277 (54.2)          |
| Related TEAEs*       | 31 (24.0)              | 33 (25.8)                           | 28 (21.9)                           | 29 (23.0)                 | 121 (23.7)          |
| Severity of TEAEs    |                        |                                     |                                     |                           |                     |
| Mild                 | 18 (14.0)              | 27 (21.1)                           | 36 (28.1)                           | 30 (23.8)                 | 111 (21.7)          |
| Moderate             | 32 (25.4)              | 32 (25.0)                           | 38 (29.7)                           | 29 (23.0)                 | 131 (25.6)          |
| Severe               | 5 (3.9)                | 12 (9.4)                            | 5 (3.9)                             | 10 (7.9)                  | 32 (6.3)            |
| TEAEs leading to discontinuation | 19 (14.7) | 24 (18.8) | 30 (23.4) | 22 (17.5) | 95 (18.6) |
| Any serious TEAEs    | 5 (3.9)                | 4 (3.1)                             | 3 (2.3)                             | 1 (0.8)                   | 13 (2.5)            |
| Related serious TEAEs* | 0                       | 1 (0.8)                            | 2 (1.6)                             | 1 (0.8)                   | 4 (0.8)             |
| Serious TEAEs leading to discontinuation | 2 (1.6) | 4 (3.1) | 2 (1.6) | 1 (0.8) | 9 (1.8) |
| Most frequent TEAEs (\( \geq 5\% \) incidence in any treatment group) | | | | | |
| UC                   | 15 (11.6)              | 20 (15.6)                           | 27 (21.1)                           | 16 (12.7)                 | 78 (15.3)           |
| Headache             | 8 (6.2)                | 21 (16.4)                           | 20 (15.6)                           | 9 (7.1)                   | 58 (11.4)           |
| Abdominal pain       | 7 (5.4)                | 3 (2.3)                             | 5 (3.9)                             | 7 (5.6)                   | 22 (4.3)            |
| Flatulence           | 3 (2.3)                | 5 (3.9)                             | 7 (5.5)                             | 7 (5.6)                   | 22 (4.3)            |
| Nausea               | 3 (2.3)                | 8 (6.3)                             | 7 (5.5)                             | 3 (2.4)                   | 21 (4.1)            |
| Nasopharyngitis      | 2 (1.6)                | 1 (0.8)                             | 8 (6.3)                             | 6 (4.8)                   | 17 (3.3)            |
| Blood cortisol decrease | 1 (0.8)             | 7 (5.5)                             | 3 (2.3)                             | 4 (3.2)                   | 15 (2.9)            |

*Related—possible, probably or missing.

MMX, Multi-Matrix System; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; UC, ulcerative colitis.

### Table 4  Worsened potential glucocorticoid-related signs or symptoms occurring during treatment (safety population; \( n=511 \))

| Worsened glucocorticoid signs/ symptoms* | Placebo (\( n=129 \)) | Budesonide MMX 9 mg. (\( n=128 \)) | Budesonide MMX 6 mg. (\( n=128 \)) | Entocort EC (\( n=126 \)) | Total (\( n=511 \)) |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
|                                        | \( n (\%) \)           | \( n (\%) \)                        | \( n (\%) \)                        | \( n (\%) \)              | \( n (\%) \)        |
| Any effect                             | 13 (10.1)              | 8 (6.3)                             | 6 (4.7)                             | 14 (11.1)                 | 41 (8.0)            |
| Moon face                              | 4 (3.1)                | 2 (1.6)                             | 0                                   | 1 (0.8)                   | 7 (1.4)             |
| Flushing                               | 1 (0.8)                | 0                                   | 1 (0.8)                             | 1 (0.8)                   | 3 (0.6)             |
| Fluid retention                        | 2 (1.6)                | 0                                   | 0                                   | 0                         | 2 (0.4)             |
| Mood changes                           | 7 (5.4)                | 2 (1.6)                             | 3 (2.4)                             | 6 (4.8)                   | 18 (3.5)            |
| Sleep changes                          | 4 (3.1)                | 2 (1.6)                             | 3 (2.4)                             | 7 (5.6)                   | 17 (3.3)            |
| Insomnia                               | 2 (1.6)                | 1 (0.8)                             | 2 (1.6)                             | 3 (2.4)                   | 8 (1.6)             |
| Acne                                    | 2 (1.6)                | 1 (0.8)                             | 1 (0.8)                             | 3 (2.4)                   | 7 (1.4)             |
| Hirsutism                               | 0                      | 0                                   | 1 (0.8)                             | 1 (0.8)                   | 1 (0.2)             |

*Worsening of glucocorticoid-related signs or symptoms from baseline to any post-baseline visit. MMX, Multi-Matrix System.
rigorous endoscopy and inclusion of patients with normal baseline histology in the efficacy analyses, would very likely have contributed to the higher remission rates across all treatment groups in the mesalazine MMX studies.\textsuperscript{2} \textsuperscript{11} \textsuperscript{12} If ORs for the benefit of treatment over placebo on remission rates are compared, however, budesonide MMX 9 mg (OR 4.49; 95% CI 1.47 to 13.72) compares favourably with mesalazine MMX 4.8 g (OR 2.78; 95% CI 1.27 to 6.06),\textsuperscript{11} and also with mesalazine 4 g (OR 2.56; 95% CI 1.05 to 6.25)\textsuperscript{23} and alendimab 160/80 (OR 1.92; 95% CI 1.03 to 3.58).\textsuperscript{24} This highlights the importance of considering relative efficacy. The overall remission rates for placebo versus active drug in these three studies, albeit representing slightly different patient populations, were 12.9% vs 34.1%, 12.2% vs 26.3%, and 9.4% vs 16.5%, respectively.

Budesonide MMX 9 mg increased clinical improvement and endoscopic improvement rates compared with placebo, but these differences did not reach statistical significance. Nevertheless, histological healing (which appears to predict long-lasting remission) and symptom resolution (perceived improvement by patients) were statistically superior in the budesonide MMX 9 mg group compared with the placebo group. The efficacy of budesonide MMX 9 mg and 6 mg versus placebo has also been studied in an almost identically designed, 8-week phase III study (CORE I) conducted in the USA, Canada, Mexico and India that used a non-powered control arm.\textsuperscript{25} The CORE I study results were very similar to those obtained in the current study, showing that budesonide MMX 9 mg was effective at inducing remission in active, mild-to-moderate UC. The rates of combined clinical and endoscopic remission at week 8 with budesonide MMX 9 mg and placebo were 17.9%, and 7.4%, respectively (p=0.0143). As in the present study, budesonide MMX 9 mg was also associated with significantly higher rates of symptom resolution than placebo at week 8 (28.5% vs 16.5%, respectively; p=0.0258).\textsuperscript{25} The consistent rates of remission and symptom resolution between these two studies further support the efficacy of budesonide MMX 9 mg in patients with active, mild-to-moderate UC.

The main limitation of this study was the high number of patients recruited and subsequently excluded from the efficacy analyses due to violations in GCP or lack of active UC on histological analysis. Although lack of active UC on histological analysis should, ideally, have been a prospective exclusion criterion, histology could only be assessed practically after study initiation due to the lengthy time required for central analysis. Objective assessment of active disease was, nonetheless, considered essential, as anti-inflammatory agents cannot reasonably be expected to work in the absence of inflammation. In our study, 15.1% of patients (77/511) with apparent clinical and endoscopic evidence of active disease did not, in fact, have histological evidence of active inflammation. When patients from sites with major GCP violations were removed from the analysis, 9.2% (47/511) of patients did not have active disease. These numbers are slightly higher than the CORE I study with budesonide MMX, which had a relatively low percentage (3.3% (17/510)) of patients without active disease. It is important to note that the CORE I and CORE II studies, though similar in design, were conducted in two mutually exclusive regions and using two separate central laboratories for histology. Typical clinical trials in UC have reported higher normal histology numbers than those reported above. As an example, a recent post hoc analysis of an induction-of-remission trial of mesalazine granules (Apriso) in UC showed that 13.7% of patients (52/380) had no objective histological evidence of active inflammation at baseline despite the presence of clinically and endoscopically active disease.\textsuperscript{26} Central reading of video endoscopies in near-real time, using a validated scoring instrument,\textsuperscript{27} may be a more practical approach to independent confirmation of disease activity for such patients. The number of GCP violations was also a concern, but they were restricted to four sites: all patients from these sites were excluded from the efficacy (but not safety) analyses. It should be noted that a substantial number of the patients who did not have evidence of active disease on histology at baseline were recruited at these sites. Reassuringly, the sensitivity analysis in which all the patients who were randomised and dosed (ie, the conventional intention-to-treat population) were analysed (with patients excluded from the mITT population set to non-responder imputation) still showed a highly significant effect of budesonide MMX 9 mg versus placebo on combined clinical and endoscopic remission rates (15.1% vs 3.1%, respectively, p=0.0008).

Overall, budesonide coupled to the MMX colonic release system was well tolerated and raised no new safety concerns, with an AE profile not clinically different to placebo. Reductions in morning plasma cortisol levels occurred at a higher frequency in both budesonide MMX groups and the Entocort EC group, which is an expected consequence of any oral steroid treatment. However, mean morning plasma cortisol levels remained within the normal range at all times, and a subsequent extended maintenance treatment study with budesonide MMX 6 mg over 12 months showed no further decline.\textsuperscript{28} \textsuperscript{29} There were also no notable increases in low corticosteroid-related side effects with budesonide MMX compared with placebo. These data support the hypothesis that low bioavailability and targeted delivery of budesonide limits side effects. The CORE I and II\textsuperscript{25} studies were designed only to evaluate short-term efficacy (8 weeks) and not maintenance therapy. Since steroids have not to date been shown to prevent relapse in UC, conventional maintenance therapy after a clinical response to budesonide MMX with 5-ASA or thiopurines can be expected to be standard practice. There will be some patients who have mild but persistently active disease and for these patients budesonide MMX might reasonably be continued for longer than 8 weeks on an individual basis, but this is not supported by the current data and needs more study.

In conclusion, budesonide MMX 9 mg daily was safe and effective for the induction of remission of active, mild-to-moderate UC. The data also highlight the value of using robust endpoints and the importance of ensuring histological evidence of active disease prior to initiating studies of anti-inflammatory agents. Colonic-release budesonide may therefore be an alternative to conventional corticosteroid therapy for the treatment of active, mild-to-moderate UC.
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