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Medicinal Uses, Phytochemistry, Pharmacology, and Toxicology of Mentha spicata
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Mentha spicata, also called Mentha viridis, is a medicinal plant of the Lamiaceae family characterized by its potency to synthesize and secret secondary metabolites, essentially essential oils. Different populations use the aerial parts of this plant for tea preparation, and this tisane has shown several effects, according to ethnopharmacological surveys carried out in different areas around the world. These effects are attributed to different compounds of M. spicata, in which their biological effects were recently proved experimentally. Pharmacological properties of M. spicata extracts and essential oils were investigated for different health benefits such as antioxidant, anticancer, antiparasitic, antimicrobial, and antidiabetic effects. In vitro and in vivo studies showed positives effects that could be certainly related to different bioactive compounds identified in M. spicata. Indeed, volatile compounds seem to be efficient in inhibiting different microbial agents such as bacteria, fungi, and parasites through several mechanisms. Moreover, M. spicata exhibited, according to some studies, promising antioxidant, antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer effects, which show its potential to be used as a source for identifying natural drugs against cellular oxidative stress and its related diseases. Importantly, toxicological investigations of M. spicata show the safety of this species at different doses and several periods of use which justify its use in traditional medicines as tisane with tea. Here, we report, explore, and highlight the data published on M. spicata concerning its botanical description and geographical distribution, its phytochemical compounds, its pharmacological properties, and its toxicological investigations of M. spicata.
1. Introduction
The use of *M. spicata* is importantly characterized in several populations, including Moroccan population, which has used the aerial parts (with tea) of this plant since time against several diseases including diabetes, digestive and respiratory disorders, throat ailments, and skin disease [1, 2].

Certainly, *M. spicata* contains molecules biologically active having biological effects, and effective spectroscopic analysis of extracts and essential oils of *M. spicata* using GC-MS, HPLC, HPLC-MS, and RMN revealed the presence of several phytochemical bioactive compounds belonging to different classes of secondary metabolites in particularly the classes of flavonoids, phenolic acids, and terpenes [3, 4]. Indeed, the distribution of these chemical compounds between different plant parts and collection regions is variable, which explains different traditional uses (with efficacy) of this species according to each region. In addition, the extraction of these chemical compounds depends on used methods and therefore can justify the difference in traditional applications according to used methods of pharmaceutical formulations preparation.

In vitro and in vivo experimental explorations showed that *M. spicata* extracts and essential oils exhibit remarkable biological activities, including antimicrobial, antiparasitic, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer effects. Indeed, different organic extracts (rich in bioactive compounds) revealed important antifungal activity by their potency to inhibit the growth of some strains involved in human infections such as *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida albicans*, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, and *Microsporum audouinii* [5]. Moreover, *M. spicata* showed antibacterial properties against various bacterial strains, either clinical or reference [6, 7]. It was also revealed that *M. spicata* extracts target some human complex diseases, including chronic inflammatory diseases, diabetes, and cancers. Plant extracts inhibit or activate targets and/or pathways involved in these pathologies, including membrane receptors, signaling pathways, and molecular targets [8, 9].

To the best of our knowledge, despite numerous investigations that have been carried out until now showing remarkable results, there are now literature reviews exploring *M. spicata* as a source of potential lead compounds. Therefore, this review aims to explore, discuss, and highlight all data concerning *M. spicata* and give suggestions about its exploitation as a source for developing bioactive compounds in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic fields.

2. Research Methodology

3. Results and Discussion
3.1. Taxonomy, Botanical Description, Geographic Distribution, and Ecological Factors. *Mentha spicata* (ID: 29719) is also known as spearmint. There are a couple of heterotypic synonyms for this species including *Mentha cordifolia*, *Mentha crispa* var. *crispata* f. *reticulata*, *Mentha viridis* (L.) *L., Mentha × cordifolia*, and *Mentha × villosa* var. *cordifolia*. It is an aromatic plant that belongs to the genus *Mentha*, family Lamiaceae, subfamily Nepetoideae, placed in Magnoliopsida class, and belongs to order Lamiales. The genus *Mentha*, one of the most important members of the Lamiaceae family, is represented by 19 species and 13 natural hybrids, and Lamiaceae family consists of over 7000 species and around 260 genera of trees and shrubs [10]. The spearmint, *M. spicata*, is a hybrid of *M. longifolia* and *M. rotundifolia*. This species is widely grown in Europe, North America, and Asia, but nowadays cultivated throughout all regions of the world [11].

*M. spicata* L. (spearmint) is a creeping rhizomatous, glabrous, and perennial herb with a strong aromatic odor, growing up to 30–100 cm tall with variably hairy to hairy stems and foliage, and a wide spreading fleshy underground rhizome [12]. The leaves are ovate to lanceolate, 5–9 cm long and 1.5–3 cm broad, with a serrated margin. Spearmint produces flowers in slender spikes, each flower pink or white, and 2.5–3 mm long and broad. The stem is square-shaped, a trademark of the mint family of herbs [13]. *M. spicata* L. is well adapted to climatic conditions in tropical and subtropical areas. It can be cultivated in wide range of soils and found in back gardens of homesteads [14].

3.2. Medicinal Uses. *Mentha viridis* is widely used in a variety of applications [15]. Since ancient times, Western and Eastern cultures have practiced *Mentha viridis* as a medicinal and aromatic plant against several diseases (Table 1) [15]. Ethnobotanical investigations into *Mentha viridis* have suggested its potential medical applications in different disorders. It has beneficial effects on diabetes, digestive, skin, and respiratory disorders [1, 2, 16–23].

In Morocco, *Mentha viridis* is a medicinal plant most used in the treatment of throat ailments. The use of this plant to treat throat ailments has been demonstrated by Orch et al. [20], who reported the use of aerial parts’ infusion of *Mentha viridis* in Moroccan oriental folklore. The leaves of *M. viridis* are also administered as a decoction to treat diabetes in the Al Haouz-Rhamna region (Morocco) [1]. Idm’ hand et al. [17] showed that the leaves and stems of *M. viridis* are also used as a decoction and infusion to treat diabetes; on the other hand, El-hilaly et al. [16] showed that these parts were used to treat headache and tiredness. The leaves and flowers of *M. viridis* have also been widely used to treat asthma, bronchitis, chest pain, lung disorders, kidney problems, and diuretics by decoction or infusion [18]. In addition, the leaves of *M. viridis* have been used against gastric disorders by decoction, and the stems are used against ailments of intestines [2, 23]. *M. viridis* whole plant infusions are also used to treat aphrodisiac, cold, flatulence, headache, tonic, and toothache [19, 22]. In another study in Morocco, the powder from the leaves of *M. viridis* is used to treat skin diseases [21].
3.3. Phytochemical Compounds. Extracts and essential oils extracted from *M. spicata* (*viridis*) are considered as valuable source phytochemicals, including natural phenolics and EOS. These volatile compounds are complex mixtures of substances that have been found to create different chemotypes distinguished based on the dominant compound in the essential oil, which depends on the plant species, and within the same variety, the essential oil composition can vary according to the geographical region [24]. In terms of phytochemical content, terpenes and terpenoids are the major components of EOs obtained from aerial parts of *M. spicata*. Thus, more detailed discussion regarding chemical aspects of EOs of these species is described (Table 2). Previous studies reported the existence of different chemotypes in the chemical composition of *M. spicata*, naturally grown as cultivated, around the world, and the essential oil mainly composed of carvone, carvacrol, trans-carveol, piperitone oxide, limonene, 1,8-cineole, camphene, p-cymene, dihydrocarvone, pulegone, β-caryophyllene, germacrene D, menthone, α-pinene, and linalool [3, 5, 26, 27]; whereas, carvone is mentioned as the absolute predominant constituent of *M. spicata* oil as well as monoterpenes including linalool, piperitone, piperitone oxide, menthone, isomenthone, and pulegone (Figure 1 and Table 2). The composition of *M. spicata* EOS from Morocco is relatively stable and has strong homogeneity [7, 40, 53, 56]. No significant difference between samples was observed; whatever the locality (region), the main essential oil compounds are carvone and trans-carveol, showing variation in a narrow range of 29–47.3% and 14–20%, respectively [34, 46, 47, 51, 52]. Various chemotypes of *M. spicata* were also identified for plants cultivated in Italy and Turkey. In plants from Italy, carvone (39.13–59.26%) was detected as the main compound [29], while for the species from Turkey, piperitenone oxide (25.84%), pulegone (24.72%), cis-piperitenone oxide (12.55%), and limonene 1.59% were the principal constituents of the EOS [31]. It is worth noting that chemotype carvone represented the most variation, 79.70% in *M. spicata* EO, which offers spearmint its unique smooth characteristic scent [57], and it also varies according to the spearmint oil grown in different countries. Similarly, EOs from *Cyprus* is reported to possess a higher carvone content (69.23–74.27%) [55].

However, four chemotypes of *M. spicata* were found in Brazil, characterized by the dominant occurrence of carvone which vary from 39.42% to 72.28% and piperitone presented high level 81.18% [7, 56]. Although carvone was constantly present as a chief component among spearmint species, there was one landrace with linalool content up to 58.31%. Since all the studies were carried out in the same environmental conditions, this variation may be triggered by their different genetic backgrounds, having evolved due to complex geographic-environmental differences across Brazil. Interestingly, in most *M. spicata* EOs, carvone is the major constituent, notably found in quantities above 50% in EOs extracted from plants cultivated in Hungary, Iran, Bangladesh, Serbia, Czech Republic, and Pakistan [3, 5, 40, 46, 47, 52, 53].

Furthermore, the occurrence of huge chemical variations among *Mentha accessions* collected from diverse countries seems to be due to the divergent climatological and geographical conditions; existing variations in oil content and composition may be attributed to factors related to ecotype and the environment including temperature, relative humidity, irradiance, and photoperiod [34]. Additionally, the reported yields of carvone for *M. spicata* range from 39.21% to 75.53%, being the highest value found for plants cultivated in Tunisia [51].

As given in Table 2, plants cultivated in several states in Iran usually produce EOs with high (>50%) 1,8-cineole content [39]. Similarly, *M. spicata* populations in China also show certain stability in essential oils, with carvone chemotype affording high yield 46.7–65.4% above, while dihydrocarvone acetate (0.2–7%) observed in Chinese spearmint is the only oxygenated sesquiterpenes [46]. Also, a large chemical variability is observed among *M. spicata* essential oil extracted by different methods. Such variation can be attributed to several factors, including genetic, environmental, and their interaction effects, such as plant part, harvest time, extraction method, ecotype, and geographic origin (climate, edaphic, elevation, and topography) [4].

*M. spicata* has a broad spectrum of bioactive compounds; preliminary screening of *M. spicata* revealed the presence of polyphenols, flavonoids, tannins, sterols, triterpenes, and glycosides [58]. Besides, the chemical composition of *M. spicata* methanolic extracts harvested from different regions of India confirmed the presence of alcohols, phenols, alkanes, alkenes, carbonyl, carboxylic acids, and aromatic compounds [35]. Besides, Bimakr et al. identified

| Table 1: Medicinal use of *M. spicata*. |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Used part       | Dosage form     | Traditional use                     | References |
| Leaf            | Decoction       | Diabetes                          | [1]         |
| Leaf            | Decoction       | Against stomach disorders          | [2]         |
| Leaf, stem      | Infusion        | Headache, tiredness                | [16]        |
| Leaf, stem      | Infusion and decoction | Asthma, bronchitis, chest pain, lungs disorder, kidney problems, diuretic | [18] |
| Leaf, flower    | Infusion and decoction | Asthma, bronchitis, chest pain, lungs disorder, kidney problems, diuretic | [18] |
| Aerial parts    | Infusion        | Cold and flu, toothache            | [19]        |
| Leaf            | Powder          | Throat affection                   | [20]        |
| Whole plant     | Infusion        | Skin diseases                      | [21]        |
| Leaf, stem      | Decoction       | Aphrodisiac, cold, flatulence, headache, tonic, toothache | [22] |
|                 |                 | Against the ailments of intestines | [23]        |
Table 2: Chemical compounds of *M. spicata*.

| Country       | Part used | Compounds                                      | Reference |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| **Morocco**   | Aerial parts | Carvone (33.14%) trans-Carveol (20.06%) β-Caryophyllene (4.41%) 1,8-Cineole (3.99%) Germacrene D (3.14%) Menthone (2.19%) α-Pinene (1.06%) Carvone (47.30–69.19%) Limonene (4.48–15.43%) | [25] |
|               | Aerial parts | trans-4-Caranone (0.82–4.63%) iso-Dihydrocarveol acetate (0.06–2.66%) p-Mentha-3,8-diene (0.85–1.32%) Carvone (57.11%) Limonene (27.77%) 3-Carene (1.01%) Germacrene D (0.65%) Carvone (29.00%) trans-Carveol (14.00%) 1,8-Cineole (7.30%) Dihydrocarveol (14.50%) Carvyl acetate-Z (6.70%) Germacrene D (3.90%) | [26] |
|               | Aerial parts | Carvone (57.11%) | [27] |
|               | Aerial parts | Carvone (29.00%) | [28] |
| **Italy**     | Aerial parts | Carvone (39.13 to 59.26%) 1,8-Cineole (1.07–9.02%) Dihydrocarveol (2.36–5.94%) Germacrene D (1.79–4.11%) Limonene (5.9–11.40%) trans-Carvyl acetate (0.72–5.90%) p-Cymene (33.9%) iso-Piperitone (23.7%) Piperitone (6.9%) Menthone (21.8) p-Cymen-8-ol (19.6) β-Linalool (15.2%) | [29] |
| **Czech Republic** | Aerial parts | Carvone (0.7–59.1%) Menthol (1.1%–14.9%) p-Menthone (1.1%–4.4%) Piperitone oxide (34.1%) Germacrene D (14.6%) β-Caryophyllene (2.2–3%) Dihydrocarveone (11.8–12.7%) cis-Jasmone (1.6–1.8%) | [3] |
| Country | Part used | Compounds | Reference |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Turkey  | Aerial parts | Piperitenone oxide (25.84%) | [31] |
|         |           | Pulegone (24.72%) | |
|         |           | cis-Piperitenone oxide (12.55%) | |
|         |           | Limonene (1.59%) | |
|         |           | Carvone (34.70 to 79.70%) | |
|         |           | 1,8-Cineole (3.40–33.80%) | |
|         |           | β-Pine (0.87–5.29%) | |
|         |           | Limonene (1.10–22.10%) | [24] |
|         |           | Menthone (0.20–2.73%) | |
|         |           | Pulegone (1.70–9.94%) | |
|         |           | Carvone (48.6–57.9%) | |
|         |           | ρ-Cymene (9.6–20.5%) | |
|         | Aerial parts | Carvone (48.6–57.9%) | [32] |
|         |           | 1,8-Cineole (14.6–19.3%) | |
|         |           | Carvacrol (0.1–3.5%) | |
|         |           | α-Pinene (2.3–4.3%) | |
|         |           | Rosmarinic acid derivatives (88%) | |
|         | Phenolic acids | Pentadecanoic acid (7.47%) | [35] |
|         |           | Caffeoylquinic acids (1.2%) | |
|         |           | Hydroxycinamic (1.1%) | |
|         |           | Palmitic acid (5.11 0.41%) | |
|         |           | Stearic acid (1.92 ± 0.21%) | |
|         |           | Oleic acid (8.19%) | |
|         | Fatty acids | Linoleic acid (31.14%) | [33] |
|         |           | α-Linolenic acid (48.17%) | |
|         |           | γ-Linolenic acid (2.07%) | |
|         |           | Stearidonic acid (3.02%) | |
|         | Aerial parts | Carvone (49.62–76.65%) | [34] |
|         |           | Limonene (9.57–22.31%) | |
|         |           | 1,8-Cineole (1.32–2.62%) | |
|         |           | trans-Carveol (0.3–1.52%) | |
|         | Phenolic acids | 7-Oxabicyclo[4.1.0] heptane (9.56%) | [35] |
|         |           | 3-Penten-2-one,4-(2,2,6-trimethyl-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0] hept-1-yl)-(E)-(12.2%) | |
|         |           | stigmast-4-EN-3-one (18.99%) | |
|         |           | trans-Muurola-4 (14%) | |
|         |           | 5-Diene (27.28%) | |
|         |           | Piperitenone oxide (22.22%) | |
|         |           | β-Caryophyllene (10.48%) | |
|         | Aerial parts | Geranyl propanoate (6.55%) | [36] |
|         |           | Sibirene (3.45%) | |
|         |           | Bornol (1.98%) | |
|         |           | Allo-ocimene (1.71%) | |
|         |           | β-Elemene (1.34%) | |
|         | India     | Germacrene D-4-ol (1.02%) | |
|         |           | Carvone (57.49–72.47%) | |
|         |           | Limonene (10.70–24.81%) | |
|         | Aerial parts | Myrcene (0.25–4.36%) | [37] |
|         |           | 1,8-Cineole (0.2–2.02%) | |
|         |           | Carvone (48.60%) | |
|         |           | Limonene (11.30%) | |
|         |           | cis-Carveol (21.30%) | |
|         | Aerial parts | Linalool (1.30%) | [38] |
|         |           | 1,8-Cineole (2.55%) | |
|         |           | cis-Carvyl acetate (2.10%) | |
|         |           | cis-Dihydrocarvone (1.30%) | |
| Country      | Part used | Compounds                                      | Reference |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Iran        | Aerial parts | Carvone (65.15–74.21%)  
|             |           | Limonene (12.22–20.55%)   
|             |           | cis-Dihydrocarvone (2.34–11.13%)   
|             |           | Caryophyllene (1.13–5.06%)   
|             |           | Carvone (42.74–54.34%)   
|             |           | trans-Dihydrocarvone (21.58%)   
|             |           | 1,8-Cineole (8.41–21.78%)   | [39] |
| Iran        | Aerial parts | Pulegone (6.83%)  
|             |           | Limonene (5.2–6.1%)   
|             |           | β-Caryophyllene (3.05%)   
|             |           | Linalool (5.82%)   
|             |           | trans-Dihydrocarvone (3.18%)   | [4] |
| Iran        | Aerial parts | Carvone (49.91%–56.92%)   
|             |           | Piperitone oxide (10.69%–11.72%)   
|             |           | 1,8-Cineole (3.78–3.34%)   
|             |           | Limonene (7.33–6.61%)   
|             |           | Germacrene D (6.26–1.90%)   
|             |           | Carvone (54.34%)   
|             |           | 1,8-Cineole (8.41–22.71%)   
|             |           | Piperitenone oxide (58.87%)   
|             |           | 3,8-Menthadiene (21.58%)   
|             |           | α-Pinene (0.95–1.68%)   
|             |           | 2-Cyclohexen (42.74%)   
|             |           | Borneol (5.82%)   
|             |           | DL-Limonene (5.2%)   
|             |           | Pulegone (6.83%)   | [40] |
| Malaysia    | Flavonoids leaves | Catechin (14–14.4%)   
|             |           | Epicatechin (15.6–16.3%)   
|             |           | Rutin 1 (4.8–16.1%)   
|             |           | Myricetin (4.1–11.7%)   
|             |           | Luteolin (9.3–65.7%)   
|             |           | Apigenin (27–39.2%)   
|             |           | Naringenin (5.4–24.9%)   | [42] |
| Algeria     | Leaves | Carvone (59.40%)  
|             |           | Limonene (6.12%)   
|             |           | 1,8-Cineole, germacrene D (04.66%)   
|             |           | β-Caryophyllene (2.969%)   
|             |           | β-Bourbonene (2.796%)   
|             |           | α-Terpineol (1.986%)   
|             |           | Terpinen-4-ol (1.120%)   | [7] |
| Brazil      | Aerial parts | Carvone (39.42–72.28%)   
|             |           | Pulegone (5.53–10.48%)   
|             |           | Carveol (3.30–4.98%)   
|             |           | Cineol (1.49%)   
|             |           | Linalool (58.51%)   
|             |           | Carvone (15.1%)   
|             |           | α-Terpineol (1.43%)   
|             |           | β-Caryophyllene (2.02%)   
|             |           | Eucalyptol (1.04%)   
|             |           | Terpinen-4-ol (5.73%)   
|             |           | Piperitone (81.18%)   | [43] |
| Brazil      | Leaves | Piperitenone (14.75%)   
|             |           | α-Pinene (0.51%)   
|             |           | Limonene (1.47%)   
|             |           | Limonene (2.04–19.91%)   
|             |           | Isomethone (0.46–11.60%)   
|             |           | Menthone (0.46–11.60%)   | [6] |
| Brazil      | Aerial parts | 1,8-Cineole (eucalyptol) (2.98–8.10%)   
|             |           | d-Carvone (31.35–60.07%)   
|             |           | β-Pinene (2.41–4.27%)   
|             |           | Isomethone (4.46%)   
|             |           | Pulegone (6.68–53.65%)   | [44] |
| Brazil      | Aerial parts | 1,8-Cineole (eucalyptol) (2.98–8.10%)   
|             |           | d-Carvone (31.35–60.07%)   
|             |           | β-Pinene (2.41–4.27%)   
|             |           | Isomethone (4.46%)   
|             |           | Pulegone (6.68–53.65%)   | [45] |
| Country     | Part used | Compounds                                      | Reference |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| China       | Aerial parts | Carvone (46.7–65.4%)<br>Limonene (0.3–1.8%)<br>Linalool (0.6–6.9%)<br>Menthone (1.5–4.7%)<br>Dihydrocarvone (0.8–15.7%)<br>Dihydrocarveol acetate (0.2–7%) | [46]      |
| China       | Aerial parts | Carvone (65.33%)<br>Limonene (18.19%)<br>Dihydrocarvone (2.97%)<br>Camphene (2.34%) | [11]      |
| Hungary     | Leaves    | Carvone (35.9–60.5%)<br>Citronellol (10.1–13.4%)<br>Limonene (1.6–9.4%)<br>Menthone (3.2–4.4%)<br>α-Terpineol (2.1–3%)<br>cis-Dihydrocarvone (1.5–2.2%)<br>(e)-b-Caryophyllene (1.5–2.1%) | [47]      |
| Jordan      | Aerial parts | Carvone (49.5%)<br>Limonene (16.1%)<br>1,8-Cineole (8.7%)<br>cis-Dihydrocarvone (3.9%)<br>β-Caryophyllene (2.7%)<br>Germacrene D (2.1%)<br>β-Pinene (1.1%) | [48]      |
| Abu Dhabi   | Leaves    | Carvone (14.79–87.11%)<br>Dihydrocarvone (0.09–0.19%)<br>Cineole (0.2–0.6%)<br>Limonene (1.94–9.72%)<br>Menthol (0.06–0.19%)<br>Linalool (0.09–0.23%)<br>α-Pinene (0.05–0.3%) | [49]      |
| Romania     | Phenolics, 70% ethanol | Ferulic acid (27.32%)<br>Sinapic acid (6.60%)<br>p-Coumaric acid (15.24%)<br>Luteolin (4.68%) | [50]      |
| Tunisia     | Aerial parts | Carvone (39.21–75.53%)<br>1,8-Cineole (7.24–12.49%)<br>Limonene (6.07–18.45%)<br>cis-Dihydrocarvone (1.17–6.56%)<br>trans-Carveol (0–5.22%)<br>Pulegone (38.74%)<br>Menthone (28.56%)<br>Menthol (5.64%) | [51]      |
| Bangladesh  | Aerial parts | Carvone (73.29%)<br>D-Limonene (7.59%)<br>Dihydrocarvone (3.83%)<br>α-Bourbonene (1.67%)<br>trans-Sabinene hydrate (1.57%)<br>trans-Carveol (1.25%)<br>Dihydrocarveol (1.12%)<br>Eucalyptol (1.01%) | [52]      |
| Serbia      | Aerial parts | Carvone (49.5%)<br>Menthone (21.9%)<br>Piperitone 0.6%<br>β-Bourbonene (26.8%)<br>β-Caryophyllene (0.7%)<br>Germacrene A(0.5%) | [53]      |
Table 2: Continued.

| Country  | Part used | Compounds | Reference |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Palestine | Aerial parts | Limonene (6.23–9.79%) Carvone (36.9–76.82%) Sabinene (0.14–5.51%) cis-Dihydrocarvone (0.65–4.59%) β-Caryophyllene (0.81–3.87%) Dihydrocarveol (2.27–13.76%) | [54] |
| Cyprus   | Aerial parts | Carvone (ketone: 69.23–74.27%) Limonene (alkene: 10.42–11.39%) 1,8-Cineole (alcohol: 5.28–5.99%) β-Pinene (alkene: 1.13–1.25%) β-Caryophyllene (alkene: 0.80–1.29%) Germacrene D (alkene: 2.09–3.13%) Bicyclgermacrene (0.60–1.01%) | [55] |
| Pakistan | Aerial parts | Carvone (51.7%) cis-Carveol (24.3%) Limonene (5.3%) 1,8-Cineol (4.0%) cis-Dihydrocarvone (2.2%) Caryyl acetate (2.1%) cis-Sabinene hydrate (1.0%) | [5] |

Figure 1: Chemical structures of terpenoids identified.
the flavonoid content from *M. spicata* leaves by using conventional Soxhlet extraction (CSE) and supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO2) extraction [42]. The highest content was obtained with methanol solvent, which extracted seven flavonoids. The highest recovery was recorded for the free glycone apigenin 27–39.2%, followed by naringenin 5.4–24.9%, epicatechin 15.6–16.3%, catechin 14–14.4%, rutin 14.8–16.1%, myricetin 4.1–11.7%, and luteolin 9.3–65.7% (Figure 2 and Table 2); the same study also identified apigenin as the major isolated flavonoid (6.14 ± 0.76%) from ethanolic and hydroethanolic fractions. Interestingly, supercritical carbon dioxide extract was found to have more main flavonoid compounds and high recovery comparing to the 70% ethanol Soxhlet extraction [42].

The ethanolic extracts of *M. spicata* contain a large amount of phenolic compounds (polyphenols, flavonoids, and caffeic acid derivatives); ferulic acid was determined in the highest concentration (27.32%), followed by p-coumaric acid (15.24%) and sinapic acid (6.60%). Caftaric acid, caffeic acid, and chlorogenic acid were also identified in low quantities (Figure 2). In addition, luteolin was identified and quantified (4.68%) in *M. spicata* extract (Figure 2, Table 2) [50]. For fatty acids composition, the EOs produced by *M. spicata* are the most widely investigated among all *Mentha* species. Alpha-linolenic acid (48.17%) has been found to be the major polyunsaturated fatty acid of *M. spicata*. Linoleic acid (31.14%) is the second major polyunsaturated fatty acid in the present study. In comparison, oleic acid (8.19%) and palmitic acid (5.11%) are determined as the major monounsaturated fatty acids, stearidonic acid (3.02%), γ-linolenic acid (2.07%), and stearic acid (1.92%) (Figure 3, Table 2). Various phytoestrogens including ergosterol (51.42%), stigmasterol (7.6%), and beta-sitosterol (2.86%) (Figure 4) have been found in *M. spicata* [33]. Moreover, *M. spicata* contains r-tocopherol (6.11%) and vitamin D3 (31.74%) as lipide-soluble vitamins (Table 2). On the other hand, naringenin (55.44%), naringin (25%), and quercetin (19.38%) have been identified as the major flavonoids in the seeds of *M. spicata*; while, myricetin and catechin constituents are not detected [33] (Figure 5 and Table 2). Polar extracts of spearmint leaves are characterized mainly by a high content of phenolic compounds; the sum of rosmarinic acid and its derivatives was about 88% of the total amount of detected phenolics, followed by salvianolic acids (5.6%) and caffeoylquinic acids (1.2%). Hydroxycinnamic acids, including caftaric acid, represented about 11.1% of total phenolics. All other detected phenolic groups, such as flavonols, flavanones, flavones, hydroxybenzoic acids, and hydroxyphenyl propanoic acids, represented approximately 1% [30].

3.4. Mineral and Heavy Metal Contents. Mint tea may be an important source of macro and micrometabolic elements, which are essential for human health. However, literature reflects enormous variability in determined concentrations. Indeed, Subramaniam et al. [59] revealed that total metal concentrations of Fe, Na, Mg, Mn, Pb, Cd, Cu, and Zn in *Mentha spicata* were 395.74 ± 4.09 mg/kg, 808.09 ± 1.64 mg/kg, 532.72 ± 0.93 mg/kg, 85.72 ± 1.13 mg/kg, 9.89 ± 0.36 mg/kg, 0.74 ± 0.07 mg/kg, 29.83 ± 3.16 mg/kg, and 49.76 ± 4.12 mg/kg, respectively. In another study, Choudhury et al. [60] analyzed ten *Mentha spicata* leaves samples collected from four different locations in Northwest India for minor and trace elements including heavy toxic metals using thermal neutron activation analysis (TNAA) and atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS). The authors revealed that the most elements were found in widely varying amounts depending on the location: Na (0.21–0.86 mg/g), K (12.4–53.3 mg/g), and Ca (5.82–16.8 mg/g); whereas, mean contents of other nutrient elements in mint were as follows: Fe (108 ± 22 μg/g), Mg (4.83 ± 0.92 μg/g), Mn (53.5 ± 9.6 μg/g), P (3.88 ± 0.94 μg/g), Cu (16.9 ± 1.8 μg/g), Zn (21.0 ± 4.7 μg/g), and Se (0.18 ± 0.03 μg/g). The toxic heavy metals such as Hg (97–983 ng/g), Sb (1.8–315 ng/g), Ni (0.37–3.22 ng/g), Cd (15–772 ng/g), and As (98–320 ng/g) are all found at ng/g level only but vary in a wide range. Moreover, aerial parts *M. spicata* from Iran contains 129.76 μg/g of Fe, 8.52 μg/g of Zn, and 6.8 μg/g of Mn [61].

3.5. Pharmacological Properties of *M. spicata*. *M. spicata* essential oils and extracts exhibit different biological and pharmacological properties (Figure 6). These properties will be discussed in the following sections.

3.5.1. Antifungal Activity. Several studies investigated the antifungal activity of *Mentha spicata* extracts using different parts of the plant and different methods such as the disc diffusion method, microdilution method, agar well diffusion method, spots method, and microdilution broth susceptibility assay [5, 11, 62, 63].

Table 3 provides all studies that examined the antifungal potential of *M. spicata* extracts, showing the type of extract, plant part used, used method, tested strains, and key results. Using the disc diffusion method, Alakabi et al. [62] assessed the antifungal activity of hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, methanol, ethanol, toluene, n-butanol, n-propanol, isopropanol, and water extracts from the root of *M. spicata* against Aspergillus niger, Candida albicans, Cryptococcus neoformans, and Microsporum audouinii. Water extract showed the highest activity against *M. audouinii* (MIC: 16 μg/mL). It revealed a remarkable antifungal response against other fungal species, *A. niger* (MIC = 32 μg/mL), *C. albicans* (MIC = 64 μg/mL), and *C. neoformans* (MIC = 32 μg/mL). Hexane, chloroform, and ethyl acetate extracts exhibited high antifungal activity against *M. audouinii* with a MIC equal to 32 μg/mL, 64 μg/mL, and 32 μg/mL, respectively. In contrast, the same extracts did not show a significant effect against the other fungal strains tested. Moreover, *C. albicans* was significantly inhibited by toluene and n-butanol extracts (MIC = 64 μg/mL), whereas the fungal activity of *A. niger* was highly reduced by using methanol and ethanol extracts (MIC = 64 μg/mL). Using the same method to screen the antifungal activity of *M. spicata* root extracts, isopropanol
extract was found to be less active for the four fungal strains evaluated [62].

To investigate the antifungal properties of essential oil isolated from the aerial parts of *M. spicata* cultivated in the Algerian Saharan Atlas, the results published by Bardaweel et al. [48] showed a lower activity of essential oil of *M. spicata* against *Candida glabrata* (MIC $\leq 256 \mu g/mL$) by employing the microdilution method. Nevertheless, in the Turkish study conducted by Bayan et al. [64], the volatile oil from *M. spicata* extracted of aerial parts exhibited a strong fungitoxicity effect with 100% of inhibition of mycelium growth in *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *radicis-lycopersici* (FORL), *Verticillium dahliae* Kleb (*V. dahliae*), *Alternaria solani* (*A. solani*), and *Rhizoctonia solani* J.G. Kühn (*R. solani*) at a dose of 12 μL petri $^1$ by using the agar well diffusion method.

In another study from Pakistan, Hussain et al. [5] evaluated the antifungal activity of essential oil of spearmint (*Mentha spicata* L.) isolated from dried aerial parts against five fungal strains. The results showed that *Aspergillus niger* was the most responsive fungal species presenting the largest zone of inhibition (26.9 mm) with the MIC value of 0.07 mg/mL, followed by *Mucor mucedo* ($\Phi = 26.2 \pm 0.8$ mm and MIC $= 0.08 \pm 0.00 \mu g/mL$), *Rhizopus solani* ($\Phi = 26.3 \pm 0.8$ mm and MIC $= 0.09 \pm 0.00 \mu g/mL$), and

![Figure 2: Structures of some flavonoids identified in *M. spicata*.](image)
*Fusarium solani* (*Φ* = 25.2 ± 1.0 mm and MIC = 0.09 ± 0.00 μg/mL). However, *B. theobromae* was observed to be the most resistant fungus with the smallest inhibition zone (23.0 mm) and a MIC value equal to 0.11 mg/mL by using microdilution broth susceptibility assay.

Additionally, Kedia et al. [66] tested the antifungal potency of essential oil of spearmint against 19 food-deteriorating molds using the poisoned food assay. They found that the oil of *M. spicata* has a notable potential to inhibit the fungal growth of all fungi species, causing 100% of mycelial inhibition at 1.0 μL mL⁻¹ excluding *Aspergillus luchuensis* and *A. terreus*, where the percentage of mycelial inhibition was 91.72 ± 0.36% and 75.67 ± 0.74%, respectively. The results of testing the nature toxicity of the oil from *M. spicata* revealed that spearmint essential oil possessed a fungicidal effect in *Cladosporium cladosporioides, Mycelia sterilia, Alternaria alternata*, and *Curvularia lunata* at 1.0 μL mL⁻¹. In their study, Liu et al. [11] investigated the biological properties of the essential oil isolated from aerial parts of *M. spicata* from China. Using the disc diffusion method, the results of this study showed quite strong antifungal potency against *A. niger* with an MIC value of 6.25 μg/mL and an MBC value of 12.50 μg/mL. Compared to a study carried out by S¸arer et al. [67] from eastern Turkey, the oil of *M. spicata* subsp. *spicata* exhibited high antifungal activity against *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis* with an MIC value less than 3.19 μg/mL.

Regarding testing the potential antimicrobial effects of *M. spicata*, [45] investigated the essential oil extracted from air-dried leaves of Algerian spearmint against *Candida albicans* (ATCC 1024) strain and two *Aspergillus* species (flavus NRRL 391 and niger 2CA 936). Using the spots...
**Figure 5:** Chemical structures of phenolic acids identified in *M. spicata*.
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**Figure 6:** Biological and pharmacological properties of *Mentha spicata*.
| Used part | Extracts         | Used method               | Tested strains | Key results            | References |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------|
| Root      | Hexane extract   | Disc diffusion method     | A. niger       | MIC > 356 μg/mL        | [62]       |
| Root      | Chloroform extract | Disc diffusion method   | C. albicans   | MIC > 356 μg/mL        |            |
| Root      | Ethyl acetate extract | Disc diffusion method | C. neoformans | MIC = 64 μg/mL         | [62]       |
| Root      | Methanol extract | Disc diffusion method     | M. audouinii  | MIC = 32 μg/mL         |            |
| Root      | Ethanol extract  | Disc diffusion method     | A. niger       | MIC = 64 μg/mL         | [62]       |
| Root      | Toluene extract  | Disc diffusion method     | C. albicans   | MIC > 356 μg/mL        | [62]       |
| Root      | N-butanol extract | Disc diffusion method     | C. neoformans | MIC > 356 μg/mL        | [62]       |
| Root      | N-propanol extract | Disc diffusion method     | M. audouinii  | MIC = 32 μg/mL         | [62]       |
| Root      | Isopropanol extract | Disc diffusion method    | A. niger       | MIC = 128 μg/mL        | [62]       |
| Root      | Water extract    | Disc diffusion method     | C. albicans   | MIC = 32 μg/mL         | [62]       |
|          | Aerial parts     | Essential oil             | Candida glabrata | MIC = 256 μg/mL      | [48]       |
|          |                  | Microdilution method      | R. solani     | Inhibition = 100% at dose of 12 μL | [64]       |
|          |                  |                            | A. solani     | Inhibition = 100% at dose of 12 μL |            |
|          |                  |                            | F. oxysporum sp. radicis-lycopersici | Inhibition = 100% at dose of 12 μL | [64]       |
|          |                  |                            | V. dahliae    | Inhibition = 100% at dose of 12 μL |            |
|          | Aerial parts     | Essential oil             | A. niger 2CA 936 | Φ = 36.0 ± 1.0 mm   | [65]       |
|          |                  | Volatile oil              | A. flavus NRRL 391 | Φ = 43.7 ± 0.6 mm   |            |
|          |                  | Agar well diffusion method| C. albicans (ATCC 1024) | Φ = 44.3 ± 1.1 mm |            |
|          | Leaves           | Essential oil             | A. niger 2CA 936 | Φ = 32.0 ± 1.0 mm   | [65]       |
|          |                  | Spots method              | A. flavus NRRL 391 | Φ = 36.0 ± 2.0 mm   |            |
|          |                  |                            | C. albicans (ATCC 1024) | Φ = 23.3 ± 0.6 mm |            |
Table 3: Continued.

| Used part | Extracts  | Used method                  | Tested strains                      | Key results                                      | References |
|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Aerial parts | Essential oils | Disc diffusion method | Aspergillus niger                 | Φ  = 26.9 ± 1.2 mm  |
|           |           | Microdilution broth susceptibility assay | Mucor mucedo                        | Φ  = 26.2 ± 0.8 mm  |
|           |           |                              | Fusarium solani                    | Φ  = 25.2 ± 1.0 mm  |
|           |           |                              | Botryodiplodia theobromae          | Φ  = 23.0 ± 1.1 mm  |
|           |           |                              | Rhizopus solani                    | Φ  = 26.3 ± 0.8 mm  |
|           |           |                              | Aspergillus niger (ATCC 9763)      | MIC = 6.25 μg/mL  |
|           |           |                              | Candida albicans (ATCC 7596)       | MIC < 3.19 μg/mL  |
|           |           |                              | Saccharomyces cerevisiae           | MIC < 3.19 μg/mL  |
|           |           |                              | Aspergillus niger (IPA 200)        | Inhibition = 100% |
|           |           |                              | Mucor ramannianus (ATCC 9314)      | Φ  = 15.7 ± 0.09 mm  |
|           |           |                              | Aspergillus ochraceus (NRRL 3174)  | Φ  = 13 ± 0.13 mm   |
|           |           |                              | Candida albicans (IPA 200)         | Φ  = 11.8 ± 0.10 mm   |
|           |           |                              | Rhizopus nigricans                 | No inhibition |
|           |           |                              | Candida albicans                   | Φ  = 16 mm at concentration of 100 mg/mL |
|           |           |                              | Mucor ramannianus (ATCC 9314)      | Φ  = 40 mm          |
|           |           |                              | Aspergillus ochraceus (NRRL 3174)  | Φ  = 43 mm          |
|           |           |                              | Candida albicans (IPA 200)         | Φ  = 21 mm          |
|           |           |                              | Saccharomyces cerevisiae (ATCC 4226 A) | Φ  = 25 mm          |
method, their findings indicate that *Candida albicans* (ATCC 1024) was the most sensitive species with a diameter of growth inhibition zones equal to 44.3 ± 1.1 mm, followed by *A. flavus* NRRL 391 (Φ = 43.7 ± 0.6 mm), and *A. niger* 2CA 936 (Φ = 36.0 ± 1.0 mm). The disc diffusion method also showed high activity against *Aspergillus* species, *A. flavus* NRRL 391 (Φ = 36.0 ± 2.0 mm) and *A. niger* 2CA 936 (Φ = 32.0 ± 1.0 mm) than *C. albicans* (ATCC 1024) (Φ = 23.3 ± 0.6 mm).

On the other hand, Ojewumi et al. [63] demonstrated the antimicrobial role of the leaf oil extract of *M. spicata* from Nigeria by using two types of petroleum ether and hexane extract. They found that the hexane extract showed higher activity against *Aspergillus niger* (Φ = 26 mm) followed by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Φ = 25). In addition, they observed that petroleum ether extract showed potent activity against *Aspergillus niger* (Φ = 27 mm) followed by *S. cerevisiae* (Φ = 27). Therefore, it was noted that the effectiveness of the two extracts was significantly comparable as the inhibitory zone values are very similar. Furthermore, the ethanolic extract exhibited 100% of inhibition against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lentis* in the investigation performed by Singh et al. [68] that aimed to study the antifungal activity of *M. spicata*. The results found were supported by the study conducted in Sudan by Suleiman et al. [69]; they indicated that spearmint oil leaves have demonstrated potent activity against *Aspergillus niger* (ATCC 9763) with an inhibition zone equal to 19 mm at a high concentration (20%) and (15 mm) at low concentration (5%). In addition, the oil of *M. spicata* exhibited considerable inhibition capacity against *C. albicans* with an inhibition zone diameter of 18 mm at higher concentration (20%) and 14 mm at lower concentration (5%). Similarly, the concentration of 100 mg/mL was able to inhibit *C. albicans* with a diameter of growth inhibition zone reached 16 mm using the agar diffusion method [71].

Zaidi et al. [70] evaluated the antifungal efficiency of oil leaves from *M. spicata* against four fungal species including *A. niger* and *Aspergillus spp.*, *C. albicans*, and *Rhizopus nigricans*, using the agar well diffusion method. The results showed that *Mentha spicata* oil exhibited an excellent potential against fungal strains tested but with differing sensitivity. *A. niger* showed a strong inhibition zone of 15.7 ± 0.09 mm compared to *C. albicans*, which possessed an inhibition zone of 11.8 ± 0.10 mm. However, *M. spicata* oil was not able to inhibit the growth of *R. nigricans* strain. The oil also exhibited an antifungal effect against *Aspergillus* spp. (13 ± 0.13 mm). In another study, using the agar well diffusion method, essential oil isolated from spearmint was observed to act as a stronger bioactive source against fungal species with a different zone of inhibition. Indeed, inhibition zone diameters for *Aspergillus ochraceus* (NRRL 3174) (Φ = 43 mm) and *Mucor ramannianus* (ATCC 9314) (Φ = 40 mm) were higher than inhibition zone diameters for *S. cerevisiae* (ATCC 4226 A) (Φ = 25 mm) and *C. albicans* IPA 200 (Φ = 21 mm) [72].

3.5.3. Antiparasitic Activity. Table 5 provides investigations interested in the antiparasitic effect of spearmint [90, 91]. Zandi-Sohani and Ramezani [90] investigated the antiparasitic effect of essential oil isolated from spearmint leaves collected from southwestern Iran against *Tetranychus turkestani*. They discovered that the essential oil of spearmint exhibited acaricidal potential and can be employed to protect against *Tetranychus turkestani*, which showed to cause 100% adult mortality at a concentration of 20 μL/L. The lethal concentration values (LC50 and LC95) for essential oil spearmint were estimated to be 15.3 μL·L−1 and 23.4 μL·L−1, respectively. However, the study conducted by Koumad and Berkani [91] demonstrated that spearmint leaves revealed the lowest acaricidal activity against *Varroa destructor* by smoke. Results showed that spearmint killed 26.20% of *Varroa destructor* and reduced the infestation rate by 2.35%. The mortality rate was estimated at 30.65%, and infestation rate was 13.18%.

3.5.4. Insecticidal Activity. Several investigations reported that extracts and essential oils from *M. spicata* have insecticidal activities against some pathogenic microorganisms [3, 92, 93] (Table 6).

Brahimi et al. [65] studied the impact of essential oil from *M. spicata* leaves against *Rhyzopertha dominica*. This study revealed that the essential oil from *M. spicata* leaf was effectively toxic against *Rhyzopertha dominica* adults. At a high concentration of 2 μL/mL, *M. spicata* oil showed high repellent activity against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (56.2% at 30 minutes), and the mortality rate was 43% after 96 hours of treatment. Furthermore, the toxicity contact assay showed that spearmint oil showed a low insecticidal effect with DL50 equal to 6.1 μL/mL. In another study, Kedia et al. [66]
| Parts used | Extracts | Methods used | Strains tested | Key results | References |
|------------|----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Leaves     | Essential oils | Broth microdilution method | Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 14458) | MIC = 3.2 μL/mL | [6] |
|            |          |              | Staphylococcus epidermidis (ATCC 12228) | MIC = 1.6 μL/mL |
|            |          |              | Bacillus cereus (ATCC 11778) | MIC = 1.6 μL/mL |
|            |          |              | Listeria monocytogenes (ATCC 7644) | MIC = 3.2 μL/mL |
|            |          |              | Escherichia coli (ATCC 11229) | MIC = 3.2 μL/mL |
|            |          |              | Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar typhimurium (ATCC 13311) | MIC = 1.6 μL/mL |
|            |          |              | Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar typhi (ATCC 19214) | MIC = 3.2 μL/mL |
|            |          |              | Shigella flexneri (ATCC 12022) | MIC = 3.2 μL/mL |
| Aerial parts | Essential oils | Disc diffusion assay | P. aeruginosa (ATCC 27853) | No inhibition |
|            |          |              | Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922) | Φ = 9 mm |
|            |          |              | Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923) | Φ = 11 mm |
|            |          |              | Staphylococcus epidermidis | Φ = 10 mm |
|            |          |              | Streptococcus pneumoniae | Φ = 13 mm |
|            |          |              | Streptococcus pyogenes | Φ = 16 mm |
|            |          |              | Klebsiella pneumoniae | Φ = 8 mm |
|            |          |              | Salmonella typhi | Φ = 8 mm |
|            |          |              | Shigella sonnei | Φ = 9 mm |
| Leaves     | Ethanol extract | Disc diffusion assay | Salmonella paratyphi | Φ = 17.00 ± 2.00 mm |
|            |          |              | Shigella boydii | Φ = 31.67 ± 1.53 mm |
|            |          |              | Staphylococcus aureus | Φ = 23.00 ± 1.00 mm |
|            |          |              | Escherichia coli | Φ = 9.00 ± 1.00 mm |
|            |          |              | Vibrio cholerae | Φ = 12.00 ± 1.00 mm |
|            |          |              | Pseudomonas aeruginosa Trace activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Enterococcus faecalis Trace activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Salmonella typhi Trace activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Proteus vulgaris Trace activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Klebsiella pneumoniae No activity No activity |
| Leaves     | Hexane fraction | Disc diffusion assay | Salmonella paratyphi | Φ = 25.67 ± 2.08 mm |
|            |          |              | Shigella boydii | Φ = 36.00 ± 1.00 mm |
|            |          |              | Staphylococcus aureus | Φ = 22.33 ± 1.53 mm |
|            |          |              | Escherichia coli | Φ = 10.67 ± 2.52 mm |
|            |          |              | Vibrio cholerae | Φ = 18.67 ± 0.58 mm |
|            |          |              | Pseudomonas aeruginosa Trace activity No activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Enterococcus faecalis No activity No activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Salmonella typhi No activity No activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Proteus vulgaris No activity No activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Klebsiella pneumoniae No activity No activity No activity |
| Leaves     | Chloroform | Disc diffusion assay | Salmonella paratyphi | Φ = 22.67 ± 2.52 mm |
|            |          |              | Shigella boydii | Φ = 34.00 ± 1.00 mm |
|            |          |              | Staphylococcus aureus | Φ = 24.00 ± 1.00 mm |
|            |          |              | Escherichia coli | Φ = 18.67 ± 1.53 mm |
|            |          |              | Vibrio cholerae | Φ = 16.00 ± 1.00 mm |
|            |          |              | Pseudomonas aeruginosa | Φ = 12.33 ± 1.53 mm |
|            |          |              | Enterococcus faecalis | Φ = 8.33 ± 0.58 mm |
|            |          |              | Salmonella typhi No activity No activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Proteus vulgaris No activity No activity No activity |
|            |          |              | Klebsiella pneumoniae No activity No activity No activity |
| Parts used   | Extracts       | Methods used               | Strains tested                        | Key results                              | References |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|
| Leaves      | Ethyl acetate fraction | Disc diffusion assay | *Salmonella paratyphi*, *Shigella boydii*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | \( \Phi = 20.67 \pm 1.53 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 32.67 \pm 2.52 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 25.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 18.33 \pm 1.53 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 17.33 \pm 1.53 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 8.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ mm} \) No activity No activity No activity No activity | [74] |
| Leaves      | Aqueous fraction   | Disc diffusion assay      | *Salmonella paratyphi*, *Shigella boydii*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | \( \Phi = 22.33 \pm 2.52 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 36.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 31.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 21.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 20.33 \pm 0.58 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 10.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ mm} \) No activity Trace activity No activity No activity No activity | [74] |
| Aerial parts | Essential oil  | Microdilution method     | *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Escherichia coli* | MIC = 32 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) MBC = 64 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) | [48] |
| Aerial parts | Volatile oil   | Disk diffusion method    | *Xanthomonas* spp. ZI378, *Xanthomonas* spp. ZI376, *Xanthomonas* spp. ZI375, *Xanthomonas* spp. ZI373, *Xanthomonas* spp. ZI370, *Xanthomonas* spp. ZI368, *Xanthomonas* spp. ZI366, *Xanthomonas* spp. ZI365 | \( \Phi = 14 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 14 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 13 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 13 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 13 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 13 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 12 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 16 \text{ mm} \) | [64] |
| Leaves      | Essential oil  | Microbroth dilution      | *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) | \( \Phi = 19 \pm 1.73 \text{ mm} \) MIC = 1.25 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) MBC = 1.25 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) | \( \Phi = 13.66 \pm 1.1 \text{ mm} \) MIC = 1.25 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) MBC = 2.5 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) | \( \Phi = 9.5 \pm 0.70 \text{ mm} \) MIC < 10 MBC > 10 | [75] |
| Leaves      | Essential oil  | Disc method              | *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) (Bacillus subtilis (ATCC 6633), Staphylococcus aureus (NCC 9163), Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *MRSA* (ATCC 43300), *Klebsiella pneumonia* E47) | \( \Phi = 24.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 17.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 14.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 11.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 6.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 10.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ mm} \) | [65] |
| Leaves      | Essential oil  | Spots method             | *MRSA* (ATCC 43300), *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6633), *Staphylococcus aureus* (NCC 9163), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *Klebsiella pneumonia* (E47) | \( \Phi = 22.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 32.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 20.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 22.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 6.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 17.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ mm} \) | [65] |
| Aerial parts | Essential oil  | Disc diffusion method    | *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica*, *Pasteurella multocida*, *Staphylococcus aureus* | \( \Phi = 14 \pm 0.6 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 10 \pm 0.8 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 12 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm} \) \( \Phi = 9 \pm 1.1 \text{ mm} \) | [76] |
Table 4: Continued.

| Parts used | Extracts | Methods used | Strains tested | Key results | References |
|------------|----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion assay | *Escherichia coli* (O157H7) | $\Phi = 10$ mm | $\Phi = 11$ mm | [77] |
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion assay | *Listeria monocytogenes* | $\Phi = 10$ mm | $\Phi = 11$ mm | |
| Essential oils | Microwell dilution assay | *Staphylococcus aureus* 29737 | MIC $= 10$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Microwell dilution assay | *Staphylococcus aureus* ML 267 | MIC $= 5$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Microwell dilution assay | *Serratia marcescens* 9341 | MIC $= 10$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Microwell dilution assay | *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC) | MIC $= 10$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Microwell dilution assay | *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 10536) | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Microwell dilution assay | *Escherichia coli* VC Sonawave 3:37 C | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Escherichia coli* (CD/99/1) | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Escherichia coli* (RP4) | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Escherichia coli* (18/9) | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Escherichia coli* (K88) | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Shigella dysenteriae* L. | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Shigella sonnei* 1 | MIC $= 10$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Shigella sonnei* BCH 217 | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Shigella flexneri* type 6 | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Shigella boydii* 937 | MIC $= 50$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 25619) | MIC $= 10$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Vibrio cholerae* 2 | MIC $= 10$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Vibrio cholerae* 785 | MIC $= 10$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Checker board technique | *Vibrio cholerae* 1037 | MIC $= 10$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Staphylococcus aureus* | MIC $= 17 \pm 0.01$ mm | |
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Escherichia coli* | MIC $= 14 \pm 0.01$ mm | |
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Erwinia carotovora* | MIC $= 0.5 \pm 0.02$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Bacillus subtilis* | MIC $= 0.4 \pm 0.01$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Xanthomonas campestris* | MIC $= 0.5 \pm 0.02$ µg/mL | |
| Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | MIC $= 0.4 \pm 0.01$ µg/mL | |

Leaves Essential oils Agar well diffusion method Dilution method

| Parts used | Extracts | Methods used | Strains tested | Key results | References |
|------------|----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Leaves | Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Staphylococcus aureus* | MIC $= 0.4 \pm 0.01$ µg/mL | |
| Leaves | Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Escherichia coli* | MIC $= 0.5 \pm 0.02$ µg/mL | |
| Leaves | Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Erwinia carotovora* | MIC $= 0.5 \pm 0.02$ µg/mL | |
| Leaves | Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Bacillus subtilis* | MIC $= 0.6 \pm 0.01$ µg/mL | |
| Leaves | Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Xanthomonas campestris* | MIC $= 0.5 \pm 0.02$ µg/mL | |
| Leaves | Essential oils | Disc diffusion technique | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | MIC $= 0.4 \pm 0.01$ µg/mL | |

Reference: [77, 78, 79]
| Parts used   | Extracts       | Methods used                               | Strains tested               | Key results                  | References |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Leaves      | Essential oils | Diffusion method                           | *Bacillus subtilis*         | \(\Phi = 11.5 \pm 0.61\) mm  | [80]       |
|             |                |                                            | *Staphylococcus aureus*     | \(\Phi = 13 \pm 1.52\) mm    |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Staphylococcus epidermidis*| \(\Phi = 11.2 \pm 1.61\) mm  |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli*          | \(\Phi = 21 \pm 0.90\) mm    |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*    | \(\Phi = 16 \pm 1.9\) mm     |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Salmonella enterica*       | \(\Phi = 18 \pm 1.33\) mm    |            |
| Aerial parts| Essential oils | Disc diffusion method                      | *Staphylococcus aureus*     | \(\Phi = 26.0 \pm 1.1\) mm   | [5]        |
|             |                | Microdilution assay                        | *Bacillus subtilis*         | \(\Phi = 27.1 \pm 1.1\) mm   |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Pasteurella multocida*     | \(\Phi = 24.3 \pm 0.9\) mm   |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli*          | \(\Phi = 20.3 \pm 0.9\) mm   |            |
| Whole plant | Essential oils | Disc diffusion method                      | *Escherichia coli*          | \(\text{MIC} = 1/250\) (V/V)  | [81]       |
|             |                |                                            |                              | \(\text{MBC} = 1/250\) (V/V)  |            |
| Not reported| Essential oil  | Disc diffusion method                      | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*    | \(\Phi = 15\) mm              | [11]       |
|             |                |                                            | *Bacillus subtilis*         | \(\Phi = 10\) mm              |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli*          | \(\Phi = 25\) mm              |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Staphylococcus aureus*     | \(\Phi = 26\) mm              |            |
| Leaves      | Hexane         | Agar well diffusion techniques             | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*    | \(\Phi = 17\) mm              | [63]       |
|             |                |                                            | *Bacillus subtilis*         | \(\Phi = 12\) mm              |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli*          | \(\Phi = 26\) mm              |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Staphylococcus aureus*     | \(\Phi = 27\) mm              |            |
| Leaves      | Petroleum ether| Agar well diffusion techniques             | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*    | \(\Phi = 15\) mm              | [63]       |
|             |                |                                            | *Bacillus subtilis*         | \(\Phi = 10\) mm              |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli*          | \(\Phi = 25\) mm              |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Staphylococcus aureus*     | \(\Phi = 26\) mm              |            |
| Aerial parts| Essential oils | Disc diffusion method                      | *Staphylococcus aureus*     | \(\text{MIC} = 15.6\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) | [67]       |
|             |                |                                            | *Enterococcus faecalis*     | \(\text{MIC} = 125\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*    | \(\text{MIC} = 125\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli*          | \(\text{MIC} < 3.19\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Serratia spp.*             | \(\text{MIC} = 4.75\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            |                              | \(\text{MBC} > 9.5\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Salmonella spp.*           | \(\text{MIC} = 2.37\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            |                              | \(\text{MBC} > 9.5\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Kluyvera spp.*             | \(\text{MIC} = 2.37\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            |                              | \(\text{MBC} > 9.5\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Klebsiella spp.*           | \(\text{MIC} = 2.37\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) | [82]       |
|             |                |                                            |                              | \(\text{MBC} = 9.5\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli (F5)*     | \(\text{MIC} = 2.37\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            |                              | \(\text{MBC} = 9.5\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli (F17)*    | \(\text{MIC} = 2.37\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            |                              | \(\text{MBC} = 9.5\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli (CS31 A)* | \(\text{MIC} = 2.37\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
|             |                |                                            |                              | \(\text{MBC} = 9.5\ \mu\text{g/mL}\) |            |
| Aerial parts| Essential oils | Disc diffusion method                      | MRSA                        | \(\Phi = 17.5 \pm 0.7\) mm    | [31]       |
|             |                |                                            | *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538) | \(\Phi = 11 \pm 1.4\) mm    |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*    | \(\Phi = 21 \pm 8.4\) mm     |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Escherichia coli Q157: H7* | \(\Phi = 20.5 \pm 2.1\) mm   |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Bacillus cereus* (CCM99)   | \(\Phi = 22.5 \pm 0.7\) mm   |            |
|             |                |                                            | *Enterococcus faecium* (DSM 13590) | \(\Phi = 13 \pm 4.2\) mm    |            |
### Table 4: Continued.

| Parts used     | Extracts    | Methods used                  | Strains tested                                      | Key results                                      | References |
|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Leaves         | Essential   | Broth microdilution method    | *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538)                  | MIC = 10 μg/mL                                   | [83]       |
|                | oil         |                               | *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213)                | MBC = 10 μg/mL                                   |            |
|                |             |                               | *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6633)                     | MIC = 2.5 μg/mL                                  |            |
|                |             |                               | *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 11774)                      | MBC = 2.5 μg/mL                                  |            |
|                |             |                               | *Listeria monocytogenes* (ATCC 19118)               | MIC = 2.5 μg/mL                                  |            |
|                |             |                               | *Salmonella typhimurium* (ATCC 14028)               | MBC = 2.5 μg/mL                                  |            |
|                |             |                               | *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7 (ATCC 10536)           | MIC = 10 μg/mL                                   |            |
|                |             |                               |                                                     | MBC = 10 μg/mL                                   |            |
| Not reported   | Essential   | Microdilution method          | *Staphylococcus aureus*                              | MIC = 0.005 μg/mL                                | [84]       |
|                | oil         |                               | *Bacillus subtilis*                                 | MBC = 0.005 μg/mL                                |            |
|                |             |                               | *Bacillus cereus*                                   | MBC = 0.005 μg/mL                                |            |
|                |             |                               | *Listeria monocytogenes*                             | MBC = 0.005 μg/mL                                |            |
|                |             |                               | *Salmonella typhimurium*                             | MBC = 0.005 μg/mL                                |            |
|                |             |                               | *Escherichia coli* O157 : H7                        | MBC = 0.005 μg/mL                                |            |
| Leaves         | Essential   | Agar diffusion method         | *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922)                     | Φ = 17 mm                                       | [69]       |
|                | oil         |                               | *Bacillus subtilis* (NCTC 8236)                     | Φ = 16 mm                                       |            |
| Not reported   | Decanted    | Disc diffusion assay          | *Staphylococcus epidermidis*                         | Φ = 2 mm                                        | [85]       |
|                | essential   |                               | *Enterococcus faecalis*                             | Φ = 5 mm                                        |            |
|                | oil         |                               | *Streptococcus mutans*                              | Φ = 5 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Escherichia coli*                                  | Φ = 6 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*                             | No inhibition                                    |            |
| Not reported   | Recovered   | Disc diffusion assay          | *Staphylococcus epidermidis*                         | Φ = 2 mm                                        | [85]       |
|                | essential   |                               | *Enterococcus faecalis*                             | Φ = 4 mm                                        |            |
|                | oil         |                               | *Streptococcus mutans*                              | Φ = 5 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Escherichia coli*                                  | Φ = 6 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*                             | No inhibition                                    |            |
| Not reported   | Essential   | Agar well diffusion method    | *Escherichia coli*                                  | Φ = 14 ± 0.05 mm                                 | [70]       |
|                | oil         |                               | *Salmonella typhi*                                  | No inhibition                                    |            |
|                |             |                               | *Salmonella paratyphi*                               | No inhibition                                    |            |
|                |             |                               | *Staphylococcus aureus*                              | Φ = 21 ± 0.09 mm                                 |            |
|                |             |                               | *Klebsiella pneumoniae*                              | Φ = 12.7 ± 0.07 mm                               |            |
|                |             |                               | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*                             | No inhibition                                    |            |
|                |             |                               | *Acinetobacter spp.*                                 | Φ = 18 ± 0.11 mm                                 |            |
| Leaves         | Essential   | Agar diffusion method         | *Bacillus subtilis*                                 | Φ = 15 mm at a concentration of 100 mg/mL        | [71]       |
|                | oil         |                               | *Escherichia coli*                                  | Φ = 17 mm at a concentration of 100 mg/mL        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Staphylococcus aureus*                              | Φ = 16 mm at a concentration of 100 mg/mL        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*                             | Φ = 16 mm at a concentration of 100 mg/mL        |            |
| Aerial parts   | Essential   | Agar diffusion method         | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853)               | No inhibition                                    |            |
|                | oil         |                               | *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922)                     | Φ = 9 mm                                        | [7]        |
|                |             |                               | *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923)                | Φ = 11 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Staphylococcus epidermidis*                         | Φ = 10 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Streptococcus pneumoniae*                           | Φ = 13 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Streptococcus pyogenes*                             | Φ = 16 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Klebsiella pneumoniae*                              | Φ = 8 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Salmonella typhi*                                   | Φ = 8 mm                                        |            |
|                |             |                               | *Shigella sonnei*                                    | Φ = 9 mm                                        |            |
discovered the possibility of using essential oil extracted from aerial parts of *M. spicata* as a pesticide against the insect pest *Callosobruchus chinensis*. According to their findings, treatment with essential oil from *M. spicata* caused 100% mortality to *C. chinensis* after 12 h at a concentration of 0.1 μL/mL air using the fumigation toxicity test, and 100% repellency was observed at 0.025 μL/mL oil concentration in air during repellent activity assay. Using the probit model, the LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values obtained were 0.003 and 0.005 μL/mL air concentrations, respectively. Furthermore, the

| Parts used | Extracts | Methods used | Strains tested | Key results | References |
|------------|----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Leaves     | Essential oil | Agar-well diffusion assay | *Staphylococcus aureus* | Φ = 32.00 ± 2.65 mm (v/v) | [86] |
|            |          | Broth microdilution assay | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* | Φ = 13.33 ± 1.53 mm (v/v) | |
| Whole plant|          |               | *Listeria monocytogenes* | Φ = 17.00 ± 2.00 mm (v/v) | [87] |
|            |          |               | *Bacillus subtilis* | Φ = 26.67 ± 2.08 mm (v/v) | |
|            |          |               | *Proteus mirabilis* | Φ = 29.33 ± 1.53 mm (v/v) | |
|            |          |               | *Escherichia coli* | Φ = 29.33 ± 1.53 mm (v/v) | |
| Leaves     | Essential oil | Agar diffusion method | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (CIP8291) | Φ = 25 mm (v/v) | [72] |
|            |          |               | *Escherichia coli* (ATCC10536) | No activity (v/v) | |
|            |          |               | *Staphylococcus aureus* (CIP7625) | No activity (v/v) | |
|            |          |               | *Listeria monocytogenes* (Scott A 724) | Φ = 29 mm (v/v) | |
| Leaves     | Essential oil | Agar diffusion method | *Escherichia coli* | Φ = 8 mm at a concentration of 500 μL/mL | |
|            |          |               | *Salmonella choleraesuis* | Φ = 13 mm at a concentration of 500 μL/mL | [88] |
|            |          |               | *Staphylococcus aureus* | Φ = 11 mm at a concentration of 500 μL/mL | |
|            |          |               | *Listeria monocytogenes* | Φ = 9.5 mm at a concentration of 500 μL/mL | |
| Aerial parts| Essential oil | Disc diffusion method | *Escherichia coli* | MIC = 2.5 μL/mL (v/v) | [89] |
|            |          |               | *Streptococcus D* | MBC = 2.5 μL/mL (v/v) | |
|            |          |               | *E. faecalis* | MIC = 2.5 μL/mL (v/v) | |
|            |          |               | *K. pneumoniae* | MBC = 2.5 μL/mL (v/v) | |
essential oil from *M. spicata* at 0.1 μL/mL concentration has been reported as the effective fumigant with an oviposition deterrence value estimated at 98%.

In an effort to identify biopesticides for granary weevil to avoid losses of crops caused by insects, Lamiri et al. [94] screened a variety of essential oils for their pesticide effects against *Sitophilus granarius*. They discovered that essential oil of spearment caused 80% and 43% mortality after 24 h and 48 h of exposure, respectively. These findings indicate that the rate of adult mortality rises as the concentration of oil used in the test increases. The study by Papachristos and Stamopoulos [95] assessed the repellent effects of essential oil extracted from whole flowering plants of spearmint against *Acanthoscelides obtectus*. The results showed that this oil exhibited a highly toxic effect in both males and females with LC₅₀ values of 1.2 mL/L air for males and 4.4 mL/L air for females, where males are more affected than females. Also, the oil of spearmint exhibited the most repellent property against *Acanthoscelides obtectus* and appears to be more promising for potential use against this pest.

Abdel-Shafy and Soliman [96] in their research hypothesized that essential oil of spearmint (*M. viridis*) possesses the toxicity effect against embryonated eggs, larvae, and fed females of the cattle tick *Boophilus annulatus* (Acari: Ixodida: Amblyomminidae) in Egypt. It was found that oil spearmint (*M. viridis*) was less toxic on embryonated eggs (LC₅₀ = 1.20%) as well as on unfed larvae (LC₅₀ = 0.90%) and fed females (LC₅₀ = 10.57%) than other oils tested, including peppermint (*Mentha piperita*), marjoram (*Majorana hortensis*), lavender (*Lavandula officinalis*), andsweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum*). Compared to the study performed by Derbalah and Ahmed [92], spearmint oil leaf was highly effective against *Callosobruchus maculatus* with an LC₅₀ value of 235 ppm. The results showed that oil spearmint could be used as a botanical product to control *C. maculatus* insect in cowpea seeds.

### Table 6: Insecticidal activity of *Mentha spicata*.

| Part used          | Extracts          | Tested strains                  | Key results                                      | Reference |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Leaves             | Essential oil     | *Rhyzopertha dominica*         | Mortality = 43% after 96 hours at a concentration of 2 μL/mL | [65]      |
| Aerial parts       | Essential oil     | *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say   | Repellency value = 100% at 0.025 μL/mL air of oil concentration | [66]      |
| Whole flowering    | Essential oil     | *Acanthoscelides obtectus*     | LC₅₀ = 1.2 mL/L air, for males                    | [95]      |
| plants             | Essential oil     | *Boophilus annulatus*          | Embryonated eggs (LC₅₀ = 1.20%); unfed larvae (LC₅₀ = 0.90%); fed females (LC₅₀ = 10.57%) | [96]      |
| Leaves             | Essential oil     | *Callosobruchus chinensis*     | LC₅₀ = 235 ppm                                   | [92]      |
|                    | Essential oil     | *Callosobruchus maculatus*     |                                                 |           |
|                    | Essential oil     | *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say   |                                                 |           |
|                    | Essential oil     | *Sitophilus granarius*         | Mortality = 43% at the 24 h exposure test         | [94]      |
| Leaves             | Essential oil     | *Varroa destructor*            |                                                 |           |
|                     | Dried plant       |                                |                                                 |           |
|                     | Essential oils    | *Tetranychus turkestani*       | LC₉₀ = 15.3 mL/L                                | [91]      |

### Table 5: Antiparasitic activity of *Mentha spicata*.

| Part used          | Extracts          | Tested strains                  | Key results                                      | Reference |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Leaves             | Dried plant       | *Varroa destructor*            | Killed 26.2% of *Varroa* infestation rates = 13.18% Reduced the infestation rate of 2.35% Mortality rate = 30.65% | [90]      |
| Leaves             | Essential oils    | *Tetranychus turkestani*       | LC₅₀ = 15.3 mL/L; LC₉₀ = 23.4 mL/L Mortality = 100% at concentration of 20 μL/L | [91]      |
Pavela et al. [3] showed the effects of a variety of essential oils from the genus *Mentha* L., including *M. spicata*, against the larvae and adults of *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culciniidae). Their findings indicate that the oil of *M. spicata* revealed lower larvicidal efficacy against *C. quinquefasciatus* compared to other oils tested. The lethal response of the oil towards the larvae for *LC₅₀* was estimated as 92 mg/L and for *LC₉₀* was estimated as 160 mg/L. Similarly, the study carried out by Govindarajan et al. [38] focused on the possible larvicidal properties of essential oil from *M. spicata* against three larval species: *A. stephensi*, *C. quinquefasciatus*, and *A. aegypti*. After the exposure of treatment (24 h), the essential oil from *M. spicata* leaves showed a significant larvicidal effect against *A. stephensi*, *C. quinquefasciatus*, and *A. aegypti*, with *LC₅₀* and *LC₉₀* values of 49.71 versus 100.99 ppm, 62.62 versus 118.70 ppm, and 56.08 versus 110.28 ppm, respectively. Also, the essential oil of *M. spicata* caused 99.6 ± 1.6% mortality for *A. stephensi* and 98.1 ± 1.2% for both *C. quinquefasciatus* and *A. aegypti* at a concentration of 125 ppm.

To test the application for alone or combined, three essential oils were isolated from three medicinal plant species belonging to the *Mentha* genus to manage the rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* (Curculionidae). The study conducted by Haouel-Hamdi et al. [93] showed that binary combined Tunisian spearmint oils from *M. longifolia* and *M. viridis*, and combined Tunisian spearmint oils from *M. rotundifolia*, and *M. viridis* showed 99.6% mortality for *Sitophilus oryzae.* The results revealed that the essential oil of *M. spicata* markedly reduced jejunal tissue IL-1β (3.47 ± 1.23 vs. 6.5 ± 0.36 ng/mL), and fecal β-glucuronidase activity (79.78 ± 10.7 vs. 120.6 ± 8.3 U) compared to no-treated mice. In addition, histological investigation of the jejunum section of the animal after administration of irinotecan and ethanolic extract of *M. spicata* showed enhancements in mucositis features.

3.5.6. Antidiabetic Activity. Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disease that affects the endocrine system, often occurring when the pancreas does not secrete enough insulin or when the body cannot use this hormone effectively, resulting in chronic hyperglycemia with disruptions in protein, lipid, and carbohydrate metabolism.

In order to understand the mechanism of antidiabetic action of *M. spicata* better, several recent studies (in vivo and in vitro) performed in chronological order were discussed in this review [8, 100, 101] (Table 8).

Regarding in vivo studies, Al-Fartosi and collaborators evaluated this activity on male rats rendered diabetic by alloxan intraperitoneal injection (125 mg/kg b.w) and treated with phenolic compounds (200 mg/kg b.w) extracted from the leaves of this plant [100]. During 14 days of daily treatment, a decrease in the level of blood glucose, triglycerides, cholesterol, plasma LDL, and VLDL and a significant increase in plasma HDL levels were recorded. This work confirmed the potential of *M. spicata* in the management of diabetes and its complications. In 2017, two similar studies verified these findings on the same animal model. Indeed, the aqueous ethanolic extract (200 and 400 mg/kg b.w) [101] and the aqueous extract (300 mg/kg b.w) [13] of the leaves of this species presented the same results as the previous study. The following year, 40 streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats were treated for 4 weeks with butanol extract from *M. spicata* roots [8]. At the end of this period, the authors observed antidiabetic properties represented by a decrease in blood glucose level and an increase in bodyweight.

A very recent investigation tested this powder on two carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes, namely, α-amylase and α-glucosidase [86]. In fact, inhibiting these two enzymes prevents the digestion of carbohydrates, which is a promising strategy in the treatment of diabetes. The results of this study showed that the leaf essential oil of this herb at doses of 200 and 250 μL was able to inhibit α-amylase (IC₅₀ = 101.72 ± 1.86 μg/mL) and α-glucosidase (IC₅₀ = 86.93 ± 2.43 μg/mL), respectively.

From these studies, it can be inferred that *M. spicata* may be used as an antidiabetic agent; however, further investigations, as well as clinical trials, must be carried out to evaluate this benefit in humans.
3.5.7. Antioxidant Activity. Oxidative stress corresponds to an attack on cells by free radicals, also called reactive oxygen species (ROS), produced continuously from oxygen in the cell, particularly in the mitochondrial respiratory chain. ROS are reactive and very toxic substances. Oxidative stress is caused by an imbalance between the production of prooxidant free radicals and antioxidants. Regarding *M. spicata*, many studies have evaluated its antioxidant activity either by measuring its effectiveness in scavenging free radicals or by directly assaying the products formed using photometric techniques [5, 78, 102] (Table 9). Indeed, Getahun et al. [78] obtained essential oils by hydrodistillation from *M. spicata* leaves to determine their radical scavenging potentials in vitro in DPPH and deoxyribose degradation assays. These oils exhibited potent radical scavenging activities, with IC$_{50}$ values of 5.96 and 0.57 $\mu$L/mL in the DPPH and deoxyribose degradation assays, respectively. In the same year, Nickavar et al. [102] found that the ethanolic extract of *M. spicata* aerial parts showed IC$_{50}$ values of 87.89 and 173.80 $\mu$g/mL by the DPPH• and ABTS•+ assays, respectively. The following year, using the same methods, Mkaddem et al. [72] showed that the essential oil from the leaves of this plant has significant anti-free radical potential.

By respecting the chronology of the studies carried out over time, Ebrahimzadeh et al. [9] examined the antioxidant capacity of *M. spicata* aerial parts in vitro using eight assay systems. They recorded the best activity with the DPPH test (IC$_{50}$ = 105.8 ± 3.98 $\mu$g/mL), followed by the assay of nitric oxide-scavenging activity (IC$_{50}$ = 210.6 ± 7.7 $\mu$g/mL) and scavenging of H$_2$O$_2$ (IC$_{50}$ = 631.1 ± 26.0 $\mu$g/mL). In addition, good antioxidant activity has been demonstrated by Hussain

---

### Table 7: Anti-inflammatory activity of *Mentha spicata*.

| Used part          | Extracts          | Experimental approach               | Key results                                                                 | References |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Whole plant        | Methanol extract  | Carrageen-induced paw edema method  | Significant dose-dependent reduction of paw edema                             | [97]       |
|                    |                   |                                     | Reduced the inflammation with less effectiveness                              |            |
|                    |                   |                                     | Reduced the inflammation by 0–20%                                             |            |
|                    | Hexane extract    |                                     |                                                                                |            |
|                    | Ethyl acetate     |                                     |                                                                                |            |
|                    | Chloroform fraction |                                |                                                                                |            |
| Leaves             | Aqueous fraction  | Carrageenan-induced paw edema in rats |                                                                                |            |
|                    |                   |                                     | The inflammation did not decrease                                              |            |
|                    |                   |                                     |                                                                                |            |
|                    |                   |                                     |                                                                                |            |
|                    |                   |                                     |                                                                                |            |
| Leaves             | Methanol extract  | Irinotecan-induced mucositis in mice | Significantly decreased both jejunal tissue IL-1β and fecal β-glucuronidase activity | [99]       |
|                    |                   |                                     | Improvements in mucositis features                                            |            |

### Table 8: Antidiabetic effects of *Mentha spicata*.

| Part used          | Extracts                  | Dose          | Model                        | Keys results                                                                 | References |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Leaves             | Aqueous ethanolic extract | 200 mg/kg and | Alloxan-induced hyperglycemic rats | Reduced blood glucose level, reduced serum cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, and VLDL and increased bodyweights and HDL levels | [101]      |
|                    |                            | 400 mg/kg bodyweight |                              | Significant decrease in glucose concentration of blood serum; significant decrease in cholesterol and TG; significant increase in plasma HDL; significant decrease in plasma LDL, VLDL. |            |
| Leaves             | Phenolic extract           | 200 mg/kg bodyweight | Alloxan-induced hyperglycemic rats | Decreased blood glucose level; decreased bodyweight; significant reduction of total cholesterol, triglyceride, and LDL-cholesterol levels; significant increase in plasma HDL; significant reduction in the level of MDA | [13]       |
| Leaves             | Aqueous extract            | 300 mg/kg bodyweight | Alloxan-induced hyperglycemic rats | Increased bodyweight; reduced blood glucose                                   | [8]        |
| Roots              | Butanol extract            | 100 mg/kg bodyweight | Streptozotocin-induced hyperglycemic rats | IC$_{50}$ = 86.93 ± 2.43 $\mu$g/mL                                             | [86]       |
| Leaves             | Essential oil              | 200 $\mu$L    | α-Glucosidase inhibitory assay |                                                                                |            |
|                    |                            | 250 $\mu$L    | α-Amylase inhibitory assay    |                                                                                |            |
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et al. [5] (IC$_{50}$ = 13.3 ± 0.6 μL/mL) and by Liu et al. [11] (IC$_{50}$ = 72.07 ± 0.34 mg/mL), using DPPH free radical-scavenging ability. Moreover, the antioxidant power of M. spicata aerial parts has been tested by Benedek et al. [50] using only the DPPH radical scavenging assay, which showed a value of 18.34 ± 2.2% at the concentration of 0.4 mg/mL. A Tunisian research team also confirmed this when they recorded an important antiradical (IC$_{50}$ = 10 ± 0.24 μg/mL) and superoxide anion (IC$_{50}$ = 1.33 ± 0.10 μg/mL) scavenging ability [104]. Furthermore, according to Teixeira and collaborators, the essential oil of this plant was shown to be a potent antioxidant by exhibiting a dose-dependent antioxidant effect at the concentration tested (25, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, and 500 μg/mL), determined by the sequestration of the DPPH radical and by the β-carotene-linoleic acid method [44].

Using the same methods as previous studies, other more recent investigations have confirmed the important antioxidant activity of M. spicata, regardless of its harvest region or parts used (Table 9).

The antioxidant activity of different parts of M. spicata is certainly attributed to its major compounds. Indeed,
L-menthone (32.74%) and pulegone (26.67%) were the main volatiles of its essential oil, while apigenin (38.4 mg/100 g dry weight) was the main flavonoid in methanolic extracts [104]. These molecules are renowned for their antioxidant potential [109].

3.5.11. Protective Effects. The treatment with the aqueous extract of *M. spicata* showed considerable recovery in the form of hepatic histoarchitecture. Similarly, Saad et al. [111] aimed to screen the in vivo and in vitro antioxidative effect of *M. spicata* extract against nicotine-induced oxidative injury in the kidney and brain of rats. The in vivo results obtained reported that *Mentha* extract significantly increased the bodyweight of rats as well as exhibited a significant increase in testis, brain, and accessory sex organ weights. In addition, treatment with the aqueous extract of *M. spicata* had a significant decrease in the MDA levels, but no significant changes in brain AChE were recorded. Also, *M. spicata* extract supplementation could restore the antioxidant enzymes activities to normal levels and participate to ameliorate cerebral cortex histological pictures and histological damages.

3.5.8. Diuretic Activity. The in vivo study performed by Aziz et al. [110] assessed the diuretic property of the aqueous methanol extract from aerial parts of spearmint in rat models. The treatment administered to experimental rats at dose 100 mg/kg revealed significant diuresis (3.74±0.41 mL). The values obtained are more or less close to the reference standard (furosemide, 4.05±0.34 mL) (p<0.05). Also, the extract of spearmint significantly increased the excretion of potassium and sodium (p<0.05), while a significant change in the pH has not been observed after administration of *M. viridis* extract.

3.5.9. Analgesic and Antipyretic Activities. For testing the analgesic and antipyretic effects of methanol extract from *M. spicata*, Yousuf et al. [97] in their study demonstrated that the methanol extract from the whole plant of *M. spicata* had markedly increased the reaction time of mice in a dose-dependent manner by the hot-plate test (p<0.001) proving its marked analgesic effect. In addition, using the acetic acid-induced writhing method, the methanol extract of *M. spicata* also exhibited a significant analgesic action. The inhibition at the dose of 500 mg/kg was estimated at 60.30%. On the other hand, using Brewer’s yeast-induced pyrexia in rats, the methanol extract of *M. spicata* was revealed to exert a strong marked (p<0.01) antipyretic activity at the dose of 500 mg/kg at 3 h than at a dose of 100 mg/kg at 2 h.

3.5.10. Antihemolytic Activity. In order to investigate the biological functions of *M. spicata*, Ebrahimzadeh et al. [9] decided to study the antihemolytic effect of ethanol-water extract from aerial parts of *M. spicata*. The results showed that this extract possesses a weak inhibiting effect with an IC50 = 1250.7±46.1 μg/mL by H2O2-induced membrane damage and hemolysis.

3.5.11. Protective Effects. In their research, Saad et al. [111] were interested in studying the protective activity of *M. spicata* treatment against nicotine-induced oxidative damage in the liver and erythrocytes Wistar rats. The findings showed that aqueous extract from aerial parts of *M. spicata* exhibited a strong protective action. On the hematological parameters, it was found to restore to normal levels the number of erythrocytes, haematocrit, hemoglobin, and white blood cells. However, on hepatic dysfunction parameters, the aqueous extract of spearmint significantly decreased ALT and ALP activities resulting in a decrease in liver toxicity. Furthermore, the aqueous extract of *M. spicata* to nicotine-treated rats provided a statistically significant (p≤0.01) enhancement of antioxidant enzyme capacities, including CAT, SOD, and GPX activities, suggesting an improvement in antioxidant status. According to liver histological analysis, the treatment with the aqueous extract of *M. spicata* showed considerable recovery in the form of hepatic histoarchitecture. Similarly, Saad et al. [111] aimed to screen the in vivo and in vitro antioxidative effect of *M. spicata* extract against nicotine-induced oxidative injury in the kidney and brain of rats. The in vivo results obtained reported that *Mentha* extract significantly increased the bodyweight of rats as well as exhibited a significant increase in testis, brain, and accessory sex organ weights. In addition, treatment with the aqueous extract of *M. spicata* had a significant decrease in the MDA levels, but no significant changes in brain AChE were recorded. Also, *M. spicata* extract supplementation could restore the antioxidant enzymes activities to normal levels and participate to ameliorate cerebral cortex histological pictures and histological damages.

3.5.6. Toxicity Investigations. In pharmacology, the efficacy of a plant or a natural constituent is not sufficient to justify its therapeutic use. Indeed, each bioactive substance is likely to have deleterious effects for human health, at least in high doses and over long periods [112]. In addition to efficacy, the active dose must be free from any toxicity and demonstrate safety. Therefore, in the therapeutic indication of any substance, it is imperative to define its risk-benefit ratio.

Despite the data paucity on its safety profile and given its wide use, the acute and subacute toxicities of *M. spicata* have been tested in four studies to optimize its use [66, 113, 114] (Table 10).

Initially, Yousuf et al. [97] orally administered single doses of 500, 1000, and 2000 mg/kg of whole plant methanolic extract to mice of both sexes. After 24 hours of observation, no mortality or signs of toxicity were noticed. One year later, a plant or a natural constituent is not sufficient to justify its therapeutic use. Indeed, each bioactive substance is likely to have deleterious effects for human health, at least in high doses and over long periods [112]. In addition to efficacy, the active dose must be free from any toxicity and demonstrate safety. Therefore, in the therapeutic indication of any substance, it is imperative to define its risk-benefit ratio.

In the same year, Mugisha and colleagues tested the acute and subacute toxicities of the leaves of this plant in Swiss mice and Wistar albino rats, respectively [114]. For acute toxicity, animals received intragastrically over 72 hours, doses of 10000, 12000, 14000, 16000, and 18000 g/kg b.w of the 70% ethanolic extract. Therefore, a death rate of 100% was obtained at the highest dose with some signs of toxicity (convulsions, abdominal muscle contractions, and hyperurination) above 12000 mg/kg b.w. The LD50 value was...
13606 mg/kg b.w. Regarding subacute toxicity (28 days), ethanol leaf extract (500, 1000, and 1500 mg/kg b.w) caused no mortality or signs of toxicity. However, it significantly increased the levels of mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, lymphocytes, blood cells count, and aspartate transferase and significantly reduced haematocrit. At the same time, serum urea and creatinine levels were not affected, confirmed by histopathological data.

From these toxicological investigations, it can be declared that *M. spicata* is an experimentally safe plant, thus justifying its use in treating numerous abnormalities. However, prolonged treatment in high doses can lead to specific problems. For this, other studies on this plant’s chronic toxicity are necessary to complete its toxicological profile.

### 4. Conclusion and Perspectives

In this work, we reported the ethnobotanical, phytochemical, and pharmacological aspects of *M. spicata* (*M. viridis*). This medicinal plant is frequently used in traditional practices to treat certain diseases and showed interesting biological properties in various scientific investigations. Phytochemical studies of this species showed its richness in numerous bioactive compounds in particularly terpenoid components, exhibiting important biological effects. Pharmacological biology explorations demonstrated that extracts and essential oils of *M. spicata* showed different pharmacological properties such as antibacterial, antiparasitic activity, insecticidal, anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, antioxidant, diuretic, analgesic, antipyretic, antihemolytic, and protective activities. However, these effects were evaluated often using in vitro and in vivo approaches, and therefore, further investigations to validate these activities with determining mechanisms of their actions are needed. Toxicological investigation of *M. spicata* extracts was examined by some studies and showed a safety of this plant. However, clinical trials were not conducted, and there is an urgent need to perform such trials to promote the use of the plant especially after proving its excellent safety profile in the toxicological investigation. Indeed, bioactive compounds of *M. spicata* need further investigations concerning the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic aspects to determine their bioavailability and their mechanisms of action of different targets.
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