Ultrafast sub-nanometer matter-wave temporal Talbot effect
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Abstract
The coherent manipulation of the electron wavefunction at the atomic spatial and temporal scales is the fundamental breakthrough underlying far-reaching ultrafast phenomena as high-order harmonic radiation and attosecond pulse generation. In this work, we present a next step in the coherent control of matter waves by translating the concept of Talbot interferometry to the subnanometer–femtosecond realm. We study the high-harmonic emission from a periodic system irradiated by an intense mid-infrared laser beam at grazing incidence. Our calculations show that Bloch electrons, once ionized, follow a sequence of ultrafast (femtosecond) revivals associated with the temporal Talbot effect. We demonstrate that these revivals leave a distinct signature in the high-frequency harmonic spectrum, in the form of structures extending beyond the main spectral cutoff, toward the x-rays. The reinterpretation of the process of high-order harmonic generation as the temporal realization of a Talbot–Lau interferometer suggests high-harmonic spectroscopy as an appropriate scheme to develop subnanometer ultrafast Talbot interferometry.

1. Introduction
Ultrashort laser sources, with femtosecond and attosecond durations, are extraordinary tools for the manipulation of elemental matter constituents in their natural scales. The design of proper interaction geometries leads to a fine control of the electron dynamics preserving the coherence of their wavefunction. A paradigmatic example of this control can be found in the process of high-order harmonic generation (HHG), where the high-frequency emission is produced by an oscillating dipole, resulting from the coherent superposition of the bound and the ionized electron wavefunctions. The ultrafast scenario, therefore, turns out as an attractive playground for the translation of conventional matter-wave coherent optics to the subnanometer and subfemtosecond scales which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been fully addressed yet.

Coherent optics—based on the manipulation of light preserving its phase—lies at the core of outstanding applications in different areas, such as metrology, imaging and information. Remarkably, holography and Talbot image formation represent two paradigmatic examples of relevant phenomena involved in many technical applications of coherent optics. In view of this, the exploration of the corresponding coherent counterparts in matter waves has been a main interest right after the first demonstration of atom optics. As such, Talbot self-imaging [1] represents an excellent case of practical application of the principles of coherent manipulation of waves. Talbot revivals are a consequence of near-field diffraction, consisting in the appearance of subsequent images of an initial periodic pattern. Since the invention of the laser, the optical Talbot effect has been used in a wide range of applications such as image processing, photolithography, optical metrology and testing, among others. The possibility of lens-less image formation has also technological applications in x-ray and electron optics and particle beams. While first described in light, Talbot phenomena have also their translation to matter waves. The
sequence of matter revivals has been described, and experimentally observed, in electron diffraction by nanostructured gratings [2], atoms [3, 4] and Bose–Einstein condensates [5].

In its most frequent configuration, Talbot revivals follow from the elastic scattering of the wave by a periodic structure. As the energy of the scattered wave is unchanged, Talbot interferences result in image replicas at distance multiples of the Talbot length, \( D_T = 2d^2 / \lambda_{dB} \), for a 1D grating with spatial period \( d \), \( \lambda_{dB} \) being the deBroglie’s wavelength. For dispersive waves, the inelastic scattering with a transient periodic grating leads to a *temporal* Talbot effect [5] where the diffracted wave follows periodic time revivals, with a Talbot period of \( T_T = 2md^2 / h \), \( m \) being the mass and \( h \) the Plank’s constant. The temporal Talbot effect has technological implications in communications [6, 7] and optical and quantum computing [8, 9].

In this paper we propose the translation of matter Talbot interferometry into the unprecedented spatial nanometer and temporal subfemtosecond scales. We show how HHG from periodic crystals can be both an scheme to induce the electron Talbot effect and a realization of an ultrafast nanometric Talbot–Lau interferometer. With our work, Talbot interferometry and high-harmonic spectroscopy converge to a new class of ultrafast technique, sensitive to the details of the coherent dynamics of the electronic wavefunction in its natural spatial and time scales. HHG is a robust technique to generate extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) and soft x-ray coherent radiation [10–14], emitted in the form of attosecond bursts. The process has been thoroughly studied in atomic and molecular gases [15, 16], where it is understood in terms of the so-called *three-step model* [17, 18]. According to this view, during the interaction of an intense laser field with an atom or molecule, tunnel ionization liberates electronic wave packets, that are subsequently accelerated by the electromagnetic field. Upon reversal of the field force, the electronic wave packets are redirected to rescatter with the parent ion, emitting radiation in the form of high-order harmonics of the driving laser frequency. In the atomic/molecular case, the HHG spectrum consists on several harmonics with similar intensities conforming a single plateau that extends up to an abrupt cut-off.

The emergence of HHG studies in crystalline solids in the last decade—either in bulk [19, 20] or in low dimensional configurations [21–23]—demonstrates unprecedented ultrafast control over electron dynamics in solids. High–harmonic spectroscopy from solid targets allows for unveiling details of the band structure [24], the interaction dynamics [25], and the electron wavepacket coherence [26]. In the case of low-dimensional solids, most of the studies consider the electric field polarization parallel to the target plane. However, efficient HHG can be also obtained using a grazing incidence geometry [27], i.e. for an electric field polarization perpendicular to the layer. In this later case, the physics behind the HHG emission can be understood in terms of a three-step mechanism similar to the one derived for atomic and molecular targets. The grazing incidence configuration generates tunnel-ionized wave-packets with a periodic structure, evolving free in the continuum, a scenario with clear parallelism with that of Talbot diffraction from gratings [28]. As the oscillating dipole follows from the interference between the ground state and the recolliding wavefunction, the harmonic spectrum contains interferometric information of Talbot revivals that can be retrieved using high-harmonic spectroscopy techniques.

In particular, our theoretical simulations show that the mechanism leading to HHG from low-dimensional periodic structures corresponds to a temporal realization of a sub-nanometric Talbot–Lau interferometer. Thus, we propose ultrafast Talbot spectroscopy (UTS) as a new technique for interferometric measurements in the subfemtosecond subnanometric scale.

2. Physical concept

We consider the HHG geometry depicted in the upper left panel in figure 1(a), where a few cycle mid-infrared laser pulse interacts with a periodic structure at grazing incidence. The simulation of HHG in such grazing geometry, when the extremely well-resolved spatio-temporal grids are needed, requires modeling the problem in reduced dimensions, a well-known strategy to reduce computational load in strong-field calculations. In our case, the crystal layer is modeled as a periodic chain, with a period of \( d = 2.1 \) Å (4 a.u.), that has been chosen to reproduce the Talbot distance from the graphene hexagonal lattice, \( D_{T_{hex}} = 3a^2 / 2\lambda \), with \( a = 2.46 \) Å being the graphene’s lattice constant [29]. The other parameters of our model potential are chosen to obtain a work function of 5 eV, similar to that of graphene (see methods section). Note that, although both theoretical and experimental works have been carried out in low-dimensional systems like graphene, the usual scheme considers a perpendicularly incident laser, i.e. the electric field is polarized in the target plane, a geometry that does not allow for Talbot revivals.

In addition to the interaction geometry, the upper left panel in figure 1(a) schematizes the process of HHG at grazing incidence: a periodic wavefunction (green) is released into the continuum, then accelerated...
and driven back to the crystal upon reversal of the electromagnetic driving field. The main panel in this figure sketches this process as a function of time, emphasizing the temporal Talbot revivals and the parallelism of the HHG sequence with the configuration of a Talbot–Lau interferometer, in which the diffracted wave is tested using a replica of the diffraction mask, i.e. the crystal potential, at the moment of recombination of the electronic wave packets. As we will discuss below, upon rescattering, the ultrafast Talbot revivals leave a unique signal in the HHG spectrum: a series of frequencies well above the cut-off that depend on the spatial frequency content of the crystal state, as shown in the upper right panel in figure 1(a).

Figure 1(b) shows the band structure of our model potential (see methods for details). Incidentally, we note that it is similar to the electronic structure of carbyne [30], a consequence of the dimensional reduction in our model. We stress, however, that the geometrical details of the energy levels are a secondary aspect in ionization-mediated strong-field phenomena, where an accurate description of the ionization potential, work function, and electron continuum structure is more relevant.

3. Results

We plot in figure 2 the high-harmonic spectra extracted from the numerical solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE), for a five-cycles 3 \( \mu \)m driving field, with a peak intensity of \( 10^{13} \) W cm\(^{-2}\) (see methods). The blue-filled plots in figure 2(a) (main and inset) correspond to the harmonic spectra radiated from an electron initially occupying a single Bloch state (\( k = 0.2g \), where \( g = 2\pi/d \)). The purple-filled plots in figure 2(a) depict the HHG spectra corresponding to the total contribution of a set of initial Bloch states in the valence band, equally distributed along the Brillouin zone (BZ), \( \Delta k = r\Delta k \), where \( \Delta k = g/10 \), \( g = 2\pi/d \) and \( r \) is an integer in the interval (-5, 5]. The main panel plots the low-energy structure, while the complete spectrum is shown in the inset.

The harmonic spectra extend toward 0.8 keV photon energies in the form of a succession of plateaus with decreasing efficiency. The structure of these higher-order plateaus is better resolved in the case of the emission from the single state (blue filled curve), where their nature as replicas of the first plateau becomes evident. Each plateau in the sequence is shifted toward higher energies by a discrete set of energy displacements \( \{ \hbar n\omega_{n} \} \) ( \( n \) being an integer and \( k = k/g = 0.2 \) the reduced Bloch wavevector), highlighted as vertical dashed lines in figure 2(a), and defined later in equation (6). The extension of the first plateau (marked with a horizontal double arrow) corresponds approximately to the one expected from the atomic case if the ionization potential were set to the crystal’s work function. A second relevant
Figure 2. (a) High-harmonic spectrum from a 3 μm, $1 \times 10^{13}$ W cm$^{-2}$ driving. The blue line shows the contribution of a single Bloch state, at $k = 0.2g$, and the purple line shows the contribution of ten states, regularly spaced along the BZ. The blue dashed lines represent the Talbot frequencies given by equation (6) for $k = 0.2g$ and different values of $n$. The numbers enclosed in parentheses correspond to the values of $n$ and $k/g$ for the Talbot frequencies present in the single Bloch state spectrum (blue) and in the ten states spectrum (purple). The purple curve represents the contribution of the same ten states as in (a). The green line represents the contribution of five of those ten states, corresponding to a situation with the first band partially filled (Fermi level intersecting the first band).

As we will show next, the rise of such complex spectra is the consequence of the mingling of the rescattering-induced dipole oscillations and the Talbot modulations in the probability density of the rescattering electron. Since the characteristic de Broglie’s wavelength of the rescattering electron is $\hbar \pi \sqrt{2/mU_p}$, $U_p$ being the ponderomotive energy, the scale of the Talbot distances corresponds to Å, and the temporal scale to hundreds of attoseconds. Therefore, high-order harmonic spectroscopy emerges as a pivotal technique for electron Talbot ultrafast interferometry from sub-nanometer periodic structures, that we refer as UTS. Figure 2(b) shows an example of UTS, demonstrating the spectral variations associated with the details of the band occupation. We compare the spectra corresponding to the Fermi level located in the first gap of the band structure (purple-filled)—where the ten valence states considered above are occupied and, therefore, contribute to the harmonic emission—, with the case in which the Fermi Level
crosses the first band, \( E_g = -3.7 \text{ eV} \) (green-filled)—where only five valence states are occupied. In this later case, the harmonic spectra shows selective suppression at the spectral regions corresponding to the unoccupied crystal states.

4. Discussion

A very successful approach to the quantum description of HHG [31] is based on the so-called strong-field approximation (SFA) [32–34] where, once ionized, the electron is assumed to evolve in the absence of the Coulomb interaction with the ion. Among other characteristics, SFA in atoms and molecules reproduces the \textit{plateau} in the harmonic spectrum, extending up to a cut-off frequency, proportional to the intensity and wavelength of the driving field [31]. Following the analogy with light, the formal parallelism between the SFA description of HHG and Fresnel diffraction can be derived, allowing to translate the most fundamental diffraction phenomena in optics to the recolliding electron scenario [35].

In order to drive a fundamental understanding of UTS, we have developed an SFA description for the dynamics of the ionization wavepacket and HHG from the crystal. In this framework, the solution of the TDSE is written as

\[
|\psi(t)\rangle = |\phi_0(t)\rangle + |\delta\psi(t)\rangle,
\]

with \( |\phi_0(t)\rangle \) the field-free evolution of the eigenstate initially occupied by the electron, and \( |\delta\psi(t)\rangle \) the ket describing the electron in the continuum. This later is defined as the superposition of the wavepackets ionized at all previous times \( t_i \), and propagated to time \( t \),

\[
|\delta\psi(t; t_i)\rangle = -\frac{1}{\hbar} G_F(t; t_i) V_F(t_i)|\phi_0(t_i)\rangle,
\]

\( G_F(t; t_i) \) being the Green’s propagator for a free electron in the presence of the oscillating electric field, i.e. in the absence of the crystal potential. This later dynamics is ruled by the Hamiltonian \( H_F = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V_F(t) \), with \( V_F(t) = -\langle q/mc^2\rangle A(t) \hat{p} + (q/2mc^2) A^2(t) \). Note that according to our configuration (see figure 1(a)), \( H_F \) is separable, \( H_F = H_F_x + H_F_y \), with \( H_{F_x} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} \) and \( H_{F_y} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V_F(t) \). Therefore, we can factorize

\[
G_F(t; t_i) = G_{F_x}(t; t_i) G_{F_y}(t; t_i).
\]

We perform the partial projection of the field-free evolving initial state in equation (1) over \( x \). In accordance with the periodical nature of the crystal, we describe it as a Bloch wave along the \( x \) dimension, with Fourier amplitudes \( |u_n\rangle_y \),

\[
|\phi_0(x, t)\rangle = \langle x|\phi_0(t)\rangle = e^{-i\sum_{n} e^{i\omega_{n,k}t} c_{n}\langle x_{n}|u_n\rangle_y},
\]

where \( c_{n}(k) \) is the energy of the state, and the subindex \( y \) refers to kets in the Hilbert space along the \( y \) dimension.

The ionized wavefunction has the following form (see methods):

\[
|\delta\phi(x, t; t_i)\rangle = -\frac{1}{\hbar} e^{-i\frac{\hbar}{m} c_{0}(k)\hat{p}} e^{-i\sum_{n} e^{i\omega_{n,k}t} c_{n}\langle x_{n}|u_n\rangle_y} G_{F_y}(t; t_i) V_F(t_i)|u_n\rangle_y
\]

with

\[
\omega_{n,k} = \frac{\hbar n^2g^2 + 2kg}{2m},
\]

and where \( \Delta(k) \) accounts for the band bending due to the interaction with the crystal potential (see figure 1(c) and methods), \( c_{0}(k) = c_{0}(0) + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \Delta(k) \).

The amplitude in equation (5) and the frequency in equation (6) are the corresponding generalizations of the formulae in [5], reporting the temporal matter-wave Talbot effect (in our case the matter wave has non-vanishing initial transverse momentum \( \hbar k \)). The reinterpretation the present case in terms of [5] is straightforward: first, the Bloch electron corresponds to the matter wave upon diffraction by the crystal potential. Second, after ionization, the electron is promoted to the continuum where it is dispersed, as each Fourier component of the Bloch state evolve as a plane wave with its free electron energy. Conversely, in [5], the raising of a transient light standing wave creates a scattered Bose–Einstein condensate wavefunction of similar structure to the Bloch state, that is further dispersed as a free wave. In both cases, the resulting wavefunctions have analogous discrete momentum–energy distributions and, therefore, follow a dispersive behavior leading to the temporal Talbot effect.
Following our discussion, let us now consider the time evolution of the electron’s probability density, integrated along the $y$ dimension, associated to the wavepacket ionized at $t_i$. Using equation (5) we can write,

$$y_j \langle \delta \phi(x, t; t_i) | \delta \phi(x, t; t_i) \rangle_y = |\delta \phi(x, t; t_i)|^2 = \sum_p t_p(x, t; t_i),$$  

(7)

where

$$t_p(x, t; t_i) = \sum_q e^{-i\omega_p t_i} i^{(q)}_p(x; t_i)$$

(8)

with

$$i^{(q)}_p(x; t_i) = e^{i\omega_p} \sum_{\mu} |V_\mu(t_i)|^2 |u_{\mu+q}|_y$$

(9)

being the $q$th component of the Fourier transform (8).

In the optical analogy, the probability density in equation (7) corresponds to an intensity distribution at the image plane, built as a superposition of the sub-images $t_p$. As a result of the discreteness of the superposition in equation (8), each of the sub-images $t_p(x; t; t_i)$ shows Talbot revivals with periods $T_{p,k} = 2\pi/\omega_{p,k}$. Note however that, as the Talbot frequency is different for each sub-image $p$, it is not possible to expect a complete revival of the total ionized probability (7), a phenomenon analogous to the so-called partial Talbot effect [36]. The scenario is simplified when considering the relative weights of the sub-image components $t_p^{(q)}$ in equation (9). For the lowest band, the most relevant Bloch coefficients in equation (4) have index $n = 0$, therefore equation (9) shows that the Talbot image $t_p$ is dominated by the $q = p$ term. In addition, the most prominent elements of this sub-set will be those with the smallest index $p$.

Figure 3(a) shows the illustration of this discussion by plotting the Talbot carpet corresponding to the ionized wavefunction from the exact solution of the TDSE, at a given instant of time. In order to allow for better legibility, we have considered for this picture a driving field of only one cycle with square envelope, and a hypothetical crystal structure with parameter $d = 20$ a.u. ($10.6 \, \text{Å}$), so that the Talbot frequencies are smaller and the carpet structure can be clearly depicted. For this figure, the initial state corresponds to an electron in the first band with $k = 0$. The electron in the crystal is tunnel-ionized into the continuum near the driving field peak, at $t_i \simeq 0.25$ cycles, and access to the spatial region where the ionized population is computed, around 0.2 cycles later. The white solid line indicates the structure of the density probability of
the initial state, as a reference. The carpet structure in figure 3(a) clearly shows the temporal sequence of Talbot images with period $T_T \approx 0.3$ cycles, in agreement with that expected for the crystal parameter employed in this calculation. The vertical white dashed lines indicate instants of perfect and inverse images, $t_p$ and $t_i$. Additionally, figure 3(b) shows the Fourier transform of the temporal Talbot carpet for the one-cycle driving field and now using a crystal with parameter of 4 a.u. (2.1 Å), the one used in the TDSE computations shown in figure 2. The Fourier transform evidences the sequence of peaks associated with the Talbot frequencies $\omega_n$, found in our SFA discussion, as reflected in equations (6)–(9) with the main weight corresponding to the contribution $p = q = 1$.

Once we have demonstrated that the SFA leads to a description of ionization and Talbot revivals consistent with the exact TDSE results, we use the SFA framework to discuss the extended spectral structures in the harmonic spectra shown in figure 2. According to the SFA description, each of the ionized wavepackets contributes to the complex dipole acceleration as $a(t) = \int_0^t a(t, t_i)dt_i$, with $a(t, t_i) = \int \langle \phi_0(x, t) | \hat{a} | \delta \phi(x; t, t_i) \rangle dx$, where $| \phi_0(x, t) \rangle$ and $| \delta \phi(x; t, t_i) \rangle$ are given by equations (4) and (14), respectively. Therefore the contribution to the HHG spectrum is described by the Fourier transform of

$$a(t, t_i) = \sum_n e^{-i \omega_n (t-t_i)} a_n(t, t_i)$$

with

$$a_n(t, t_i) = -2\pi \int \left[ e^{i(\omega(0) - \Delta(k))t} / u \hat{a} G_F \{ t; t_i \} V_F(t) | u \hat{a} \}_y. \right]$$

Note that $a_n(t, t_i)$ has the same form of the dipole emitted by a one-dimensional atom, defined along the coordinate $y$, with ionization potential $| \phi_0(0) - \Delta(k) \rangle$. Therefore, the HHG spectrum obtained from the dipole acceleration in equation (10), corresponds to the convolution of the atomic-like spectrum, a single plateau, with the Talbot oscillations. Thus, the superposition of atomic-like spectra shifted by frequencies $n \omega_n$, given by equation (6) and labeled with tuples $(n, k/g)$ in figure 2, gives rise to the multiple plateaus in the TDSE HHG.

Figures 3(c)–(f) shows an illustration of the physical mechanism for which the Talbot frequencies emerge in the harmonic spectra. Panel (c) shows schematically the electron trajectories in the continuum according to the semiclassical picture mentioned in the introduction: electrons are ionized near a field maximal amplitude and evolve in the continuum following rescattering trajectories. Panels (d) to (f) illustrate the probability density of the electronic wave-packet from the TDSE calculation. To enhance visibility, we consider again the hypothetical crystal with parameter $d = 20$ a.u. (10.6 Å) interacting with a one-cycle square pulse. The panels correspond to three different rescattering times, labeled with vertical dashed lines in panel (c). The white curve in (d)–(f) represents the probability density distribution of the initial state. As the maximal efficiency of the dipole emission corresponds to times of maximal overlap of the ionized and initial wavefunction, the HHG is modulated by the Talbot shaping of the ionized wavefunction. In particular, in the case shown in panel (c) the Talbot image is formed at the moment of rescattering, maximizing the HHG efficiency. On the contrary, in panels (d) and (f) the initial wavefunction profile does not overlap optimally with the Talbot maxima of the rescattering wavefunction, thus diminishing the HHG efficiency. Note, however, that the translation of the Talbot images to the temporal oscillations of the harmonic spectrum is not one-to-one, as the dipole oscillations are calculated from the probability amplitude, equation (6), not from the probability density in equations (7)–(9). Both, nevertheless, contain the frequencies corresponding to the Talbot revivals (6).

Before concluding we should note that, since the HHG signal is more efficient when the recolliding electron wavepacket has a maximum overlap with the crystal potential, the HHG signal can be reinterpreted as the temporal correspondent of a Talbot–Lau interferometer. In this later, the Talbot image is probed by a replica of the diffraction mask located at some distance.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the HHG signal from a periodic low-dimensional target irradiated at grazing incidence contains the spectral signature of the Talbot revivals in the ionized electron’s wavefunction. We also show that the process of HHG is the counterpart of Talbot–Lau interferometry in the unprecedented subfemtosecond temporal and nanometer spatial scales. High-order harmonic spectroscopy, therefore, emerges as a suitable tool for a new class of measurements, that we refer to as UTS. We have also show how this new technique can be used to reveal details of the crystal electron occupation.
5. Methods

5.1. The crystal potential

The crystal is modeled by a 2D Mathieu-type potential, periodic along the crystal axis and shaped by a soft-core Coulomb potential in the perpendicular direction [37],

\[ V(r) = \frac{-V_0 a_0}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + a_0^2}} \left[ 1 - \beta \cos (g\rho) \right], \]  

where \( V_0 = 15.5 \text{ eV}, \) \( a_0 = 0.539 \text{ Å} \) is the Bohr radius, and \( \beta = 0.11 \). \( g \) is the reciprocal lattice vector, \( 2\pi/d \), where \( d = 2.1 \text{ Å} \) (4 u.a.) is the crystal lattice constant.

5.2. Integration of the TDSE.

It is known that many-body interactions add an extraordinary complexity to the electron crystal dynamics, and collective effects are found essential to describe the lowest frequency part of the harmonic spectrum. For HHG from ionized electrons, however, the relative weights of the high-order spectral signals can be still properly described in the independent particle approximation [27]. Thus, we solve numerically the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE), for an electron of the linear chain interacting with a femtosecond laser pulse, linearly-polarized along the direction perpendicular to the crystal axis, with vector potential \( A(t) \),

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2m} \left[ p_x^2 + p_y - \frac{\hbar}{c} A(t) \right]^2 + V(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t). \]  

The time integration is carried on using a split operator scheme, where the evolution is computed using Fourier/Crank–Nicolson method, depending on the periodic/vanishing boundary condition in the \( xy \) dimension. The harmonic spectrum is computed as the Fourier transformation of the mean value of the acceleration operator

\[ \hat{\mathbf{a}} = -(1/m) \nabla V(\mathbf{r}). \]

We model the driving laser pulse envelope as a sinus-squared function of 5 cycles of duration (18 fs FWHM) with peak intensity \( 1.03 \times 10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \), corresponding to the tunnel ionization regime (Keldysh parameter < 1).

5.3. Strong-field description of the ionized electron

Using equation (4), and introducing the identity operator \( I = \int |x_i\rangle \langle x_i| \) \( dx_i \) with the factorization in equation (3) in (2), the projection of the ionized wavepacket \( |\delta\phi(t; t_i)\rangle \) on the \( x \) dimension reads as

\[ |\delta\phi(x; t; t_i)\rangle_y = \langle x|\delta\phi(t; t_i)\rangle = -\frac{1}{\hbar} G_{F\phi}(t; t_i) V_F(t_i) e^{-\frac{\pi i (k+\pi g\rho)^2}{\lambda}} \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_n(x; t; x_i, t_i) d\rho_i |\rho_i\rangle_y, \]  

with \( F_n(x; t; x_i, t_i) \) is defined as

\[ F_n(x; t; x_i, t_i) = \langle x|G_{F\rho}(t; t_i) |x_i\rangle e^{i (k+n g\rho) x_i}, \]  

where \( G_{F\rho}(t; t_i) \) corresponds to the free electron propagator along the \( x \) dimension, from the ionization time \( t_i \) to \( t \). We have, therefore

\[ \langle x|G_{F\rho}|x_i\rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{im}{2\pi\hbar(t-t_i)}} \]  

\[ e^{\frac{\pi i k^2}{\lambda D}} e^{-\frac{\pi i (x-x_i)^2}{\lambda D}}, \]  

Note that the analogy with coherent optics follows from the formal equivalence of equation (16) with the 1D Fresnel propagator,

\[ h(x_i, x, D) = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{AD}} e^{\frac{i \lambda (x-x_i)^2}{AD}}, \]

where \( x_i \) and \( x \) are the coordinates at the aperture and the screen where the diffraction image is projected, respectively, and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the diffracted field. The equivalence of equations (17) and (16) follows upon the substitutions \( D \rightarrow c(t-t_i) \) and \( k \rightarrow mc/\hbar \).

Using (15) and (16) and integrating over \( x_i \), we find the expression for the ionized wavefunction (14) as

\[ |\delta\phi(x; t; t_i)\rangle_y = -\frac{1}{\hbar} e^{-\frac{\pi i (k+\pi g\rho)^2}{\lambda}} \sum_n e^{-i\omega_n k (t-t_i)} e^{i (k+n g\rho) x} G_{F\phi}(t; t_i) V_F(t_i) |\rho_i\rangle_y \]

with

\[ \omega_{n,k} = \frac{\hbar n g^2 + 2k g}{2m} \]
and where we have factorized the Bloch electron energy as $\epsilon_0(k) = \epsilon_0(0) + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \Delta(k)$, where $\Delta(k)$ accounts for band bending due to the interaction with the periodic crystal potential (see figure 1(c)).
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