Enhanced growth of black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* by dietary supplementation with *Bacillus* (BP11) as a probiotic
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**Abstract**

*Bacillus* isolate P11 (BP11), isolated from the gastrointestinal tract of the black tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon*, was evaluated for its potential use as a probiotic feed supplement for *P. monodon* culture. BP11, a Gram-positive spore forming bacteria, was identified as a member of the genus *Bacillus* and most likely to be an isolate of *Bacillus subtilis*, based on biochemical tests, physical morphology, and 16S rRNA gene fragment sequence analysis. BP11 is likely to be safe as a probiotic for *P. monodon* since no detectable level of antimicrobial substance or *Bacillus* diarrheal enterotoxin production was found. When the regular feed of *P. monodon* was supplemented with BP11 at ~10⁶ CFU g⁻¹ feed a higher shrimp growth, feed conversion ratio, survival and general health was obtained for both postlarvae (PL) shrimp in concrete tanks and in an earthen pond. In addition, and importantly, the oral administration of BP11 in the shrimp feed led to adherence to the shrimps’ intestine surface with BP11 bacteria and an increased immunity to *Vibrio harveyi* 639 infection, including a reduced mortality. BP11 in dried feed had a reasonable shelf life, with viable cell counts of ~10⁶ and 10⁸ CFU g⁻¹ remaining after 6-months storage at room temperature and 4°C, respectively.
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**Introduction**

Thailand became the world’s largest farmed-shrimp exporter in 1994, exporting a total of around 30% of the world market share [1], and the value of the exported frozen and chilled shrimp from Thailand has remained high (~$ 2,500 million per year) during the last ten years (2001-2010) [2]. However, the yield per crop in most black tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon*, farms in Thailand began to seriously decline since 2005 with some complete losses, as seen elsewhere in SE Asia, due to the low quality of brood stocks and outbreaks of viral and bacterial infections. The resulting stunted growth and high mortality rates induced by these infections have led to a change in the major farmed shrimp species in Thailand and elsewhere away from *P. monodon* to the white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, which now accounts for some 90% of shrimp aquaculture in Thailand and SE Asia [3,4]. Currently, the addition of substantial amounts of antibiotics or chemotherapeutics remains the current method of choice for disease control throughout the shrimp aquaculture industry. However, in addition to the imposed increased costs of such antibiotic administration, and some concern of the health and environmental affects, there is the increasing concern about the predictable spread and increase in antibiotic-resistant bacteria [5-9]. Moreover, it is not clear if the release of virulent pathogen infected aquaculture media back into the sea may lead to transient, but important, mortality in wild shrimp including short term future brood stocks for aquaculture. This has led to alternative suggestions for more sustainable and environmental friendly disease prevention, including the use of non-pathogenic probiotic bacteria [10-13]. This alternative direction of biocontrol aims to make shrimp farming more sustainable. Selection for non-pathogenic probiotic bacteria with a high specificity to the cultured shrimp host and that can provide a healthy balance of indigenous organisms in the hosts’ intestines, or other benefits to the host, is the initial starting point of such an approach. However, the degree of regional (population specific) host-probiotic-pathogen coevolution is unknown, and so screening of probiotic candidates should include the same brood stock populations as used for the shrimp aquaculture. In addition, the bioactive component(s) or the entire probiotic organism(s), of benefit to the health or safety of commercially farmed shrimp needs to be able to be prepared at commercial scale easily and be relatively stable to at least short-term storage (shelf life) to be of practical applicable use [12,14-20].

Although several probiotics have recently been developed for aquaculture crops, they are still not widely used. There are, however, substantial advantages for the use of probiotics in shrimp and fish aquaculture [10,11,21-26]. Among the potential bacterial probiotics for shrimp, some *Bacillus* spp. have been extensively evaluated as an additive in the feed [22-25,27-29] and in the culture water [30-32]. Several *Bacillus* spp. naturally constitute a part of the bacterial flora of the intestinal tracts of several marine taxa, including for example *B. subtilis* in fish [33-35], and *B. subtilis* and *B. pumilus* in shrimp [22,25,36]. Concurrently, *Bacillus* spp. can be isolated from shrimp culture ponds [23-25] and marine environments [37]. *Bacillus* spp. have rarely been reported as shrimp pathogens, whereas several studies have demonstrated that culturing shrimp with feed supplemented with *Bacillus* sp. reduced the subsequent mortality induced by vibriosis, in particular, some strains of *V. harveyi* [12,22,25,27]. To further study the potential of *Bacillus* spp. as a probiotic biocontrol agent in shrimp farming, and to sustain commercial black tiger shrimp aquaculture, we isolated *Bacillus* spp. from the intestines of healthy black tiger shrimp brood stocks derived from the Andaman sea, which is a different area from that previously reported [22]. Thus, this offers the potential of...
either new *Bacillus* isolates or those probiotic bacteria that are better adapted to the local shrimp-pathogen isolates of this region. Therefore, the objectives of this research were to isolate potential probiotic *Bacillus* candidates from the intestines of *P. monodon* from the Andaman sea and to evaluate their effects on the growth, survival and disease resistance of post larva shrimp *P. monodon* from the same region but reared in concrete tanks and in earthen pond, respectively.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial strains**

*P. monodon* brood stocks (~150-200 g of ~9-12 inches each) from the Andaman sea along the West coast of Thailand were collected and their intestines were aseptically dissected out. The removed intestine was longitudinally cut and rinsed gently with sterile 0.85% (w/v) NaCl (NSS) three times and homogenized. Serial dilutions (10^1 to 10^8) in NSS of the intestine homogenate were then plated in triplicate on tryptic soy agar (TSA) plus 1% (w/v) NaCl plates and cultured at 37°C for 24 hr, and the number of colonies were counted. Isolated colonies were selected and checked for antibacterial properties against *Vibrio harveyi* 639 and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 using an agar diffusion technique, as described in detail by Nacerio et al. [38].

*V. harveyi* 639 isolated from *P. monodon* dying of luminous disease was kindly provided by the Shrimp Culture Research Center, Chaoen Pokphan Feedmill, Samutsakorn, Thailand. It was cultured in tryptic soy broth (TSB) or TSB containing 2% NaCl (w/v) at 30°C and identity was confirmed as described previously [39], Presumptive concentrations or colony-forming units (CFU ml^-1^) of *Vibrio* spp. were determined using spread plates of thiosulfate citrate bile sucrose agar (TCBS). *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 was kindly provided from Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University. *E. coli* was cultured on TSA and TSB at 30°C. All media were obtained from Difco, Sparks, MD, USA.

**BP11 identification**

The culture purity and identity of the selected isolate (BP11), which produced the greatest antibacterial performance, was routinely checked during preparation using both conventional methods and the bacterial morphology was determined using spread plates of thiosulfate citrate bile sucrose agar (TCBS). *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 was kindly provided from Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University. *E. coli* was cultured on TSA and TSB at 30°C. All media were obtained from Difco, Sparks, MD, USA.

**Determination of the 16S rRNA nucleotide sequence**

Genomic DNA of the selected isolate (BP11) was extracted following the procedure of the Geneclean II kit (Qiagen, Germany), as previously described [40]. The PCR amplification was carried out in a DNA Thermal Cycler (Perkin Elmer, USA) using the universal bacterial 16S rRNA primers: 10F 5' -AGTTTGATCCTGGCTC- 3' and 1540R 5' -AAGGAGGTGATCCAGCC- 3'. PCR reactions were carried in a total volume of 50 μl, comprised of ~1 μg of DNA template, 1μM of each primer, 1.5 mM Mg2+, 200 μM dNTPs, 1.5 U Taq DNA polymerase in 1 x Taq DNA polymerase buffer. All PCR reagents were purchased from Promega, USA. The PCR conditions consisted of 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 46°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final 72°C for 7 min [41]. After that, the PCR products were sequenced commercially at the BioService Unit (NSTDA, Bangkok, Thailand) using the same F and R primers for sequencing the leading and lagging strand, as used for the PCR. The consensus sequence was compared with those 16S rDNA sequences available in the NCBI GenBank database using the BLASTn search algorithm. The highest sequence identities were aligned using Clustal, checked by eye and used to compute the pair-wise sequence identity and also construct a phylogenetic tree using the Neighbor joining distance method in the PHYLIP Version 3.5. on line software, (http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html) (June 12, 2010).

**Determination of antimicrobial substances**

The evaluation of the production of antimicrobial substances by the BP11 isolate was preliminarily determined using an antimicrobial residue screening test kit (AM-Test), developed by researchers at the Center for Antibacterial Resistance Monitoring in Food-borne Pathogens (in collaborating with WHO), Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand. The principle is based on the tube diffusion method and the threshold for detection limit for 18 known standard antibiotics which are in the range of > 0.001-0.1 ppm, depending upon the antibiotic. The BP11 culture broth after a 24 h (late log phase growth) and 48 h (spore forming stage) incubation at 30°C was harvested by centrifugation (at 6,230 x g, 10 min at 4°C) to pellet the bacteria, and the supernatant passed through a 0.22 μm sterile filter and transferred into a tube containing semi-solid agar with spores of the Gram positive *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* and *P. monodon* allowing the purple color to remain, whereas in the absence of any inhibitor a yellow color would be detected.

**Determination of the enterotoxin produced by BP11 culture**

Determination of the enterotoxin from filtrates of the BP11-cultured broth, were performed following the procedures according to manufacturer's instructions (TECRA® test kit; for the detection of *Bacillus* diarrheal enterotoxin (BDE); TECRA International Pty Ltd, Frenchs Forest NSW 2086, Australia). The principle of this enterotoxin test is based on the “sandwich” configuration of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

**Shrimp feed preparation**

Black tiger shrimp (*P. monodon*) were fed a commercially formulated feed purchased from Grobest and Phokahan Aquatech Corporation Co., Ltd., Thailand. The nutrition in feed by weight were as follows: protein (>35%), lipid (>5%), fiber (>4%), moisture (<10%), and a trace of ash. For the BP11-supplemented feed, 1 kg wet weight (~100 g dry weight) of BP11 (~10^-10^ CFU g^-1^) was thoroughly mixed with 4 kg of feed (i.e., approximately 2.5% (w/w) BP11). For this purpose, a single BP11 colony from a TSA plate was cultured in TSB at 30°C with shaking at 200 rpm for 24 h and then harvested by centrifugation at 6,230 x g, 4°C for 10 min and washed three times with NSS before being weighed and re-suspended in fresh NSS. Fresh BP11 cells were then thoroughly mixed with the feed at a 1:4 (BP11: feed), and the mixture was spread out and dried in an oven for 1–2 h at 37°C. Feed was then stored in clean plastic bags at 4°C until use. Shrimp feed was prepared twice weekly, and each batch was analyzed for the level of viable BP11 cells as CFU g^-1^ by the plate count method. This BP11-supplemented feed typically contained viable BP11 at ~3.9 x 10^2 – ~ 3.6 x 10^5^ CFU g^-1^.
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at 4°C and assayed for viable BP11 levels (as CFU g⁻¹ feed) up to six months in order to monitor their survival upon storage.

**Experiment I: PL-30 shrimp culture in concrete tanks**

Shrimp age of ~ post larva -15 (PL-15) were purchased from a reputable hatchery at Chachoengsao province in Thailand, and acclimatized to the PL-30 stage (0.13±0.01 g) in concrete tanks with flat bottoms (each measuring 80 x 74 x 87 cm) with a closed recirculating water system of 400 L [42]. The culture water salinity level was initially set at 20%. These PL-15 shrimp were bred from broodstock caught from the Andaman sea. After acclimatization to the PL-30 stage, healthy active shrimp were randomly assigned into the two groups: a control and probiotic treatment. Shrimp in the control group were fed with shrimp feed (CF), whilst those in the probiotic treatment group were fed with the BP11-supplemented regular shrimp feed (PF). Each treatment was comprised of three replicates, each containing 70 or 60 shrimp/tank for the two trials, respectively. Shrimp were fed three times daily at 10% body weight and after 90 days of culture the survival (%) and total live weights (g) were determined.

**Experiment II: PL-50 shrimp culture in net cages in earthen pond**

Hatchery reared *P. monodon* from PL-50 (0.23±0.06 g), obtained from the same shrimp hatchery and broodstock as the PL-15 above, were first acclimatized in the cement tank. Shrimp were then preselected for healthy active shrimp and then randomly assigned to the CF- and PF- treatments, as detailed above, and stocked in ten 1.5 m² net cages (1.0 mm mesh) at 100 shrimp/cage. The net cages were all placed at a 1.3 m water depth in a single 1000 m³ system of 400 L [42]. The culture water salinity level was initially set at 20%. These PL-15 shrimp were bred from broodstock caught from the Andaman sea. After acclimatization to the PL-30 stage, healthy active shrimp were randomly assigned into the two groups: a control and probiotic treatment. Shrimp in the control group were fed with shrimp feed (CF), whilst those in the probiotic treatment group were fed with the BP11-supplemented regular shrimp feed (PF). Each treatment was comprised of three replicates, each containing 70 or 60 shrimp/tank for the two trials, respectively. Shrimp were fed three times daily at 10% body weight and after 90 days of culture the survival (%) and total live weights (g) were determined.

**Intestinal microbial investigation of CF- and PF shrimp intestines**

The CF- and PF shrimp of 80 days culture in an earthen pond were randomly selected and kept on ice. Their gastrointestinal tracts were dissected out. The intestines were longitudinally cut and rinsed vigorously with sterile NSS three times before fixation and a portion was fixed in 2.5% (w/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2 for 2 hr. After fixation these samples were then processed following conventional procedures, as reported previously [43], and examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Jeol JSM-5410LV (Japan) electron microscope.

**Water samples collection**

Water samples were collected from the center of each tank (20 ml) and net cage (200 ml) from each treatment for determination of the viable BP11 cells every 15 or 20 days. Water quality measurements included the dissolved oxygen, ammonium, nitrite and phosphate levels plus the temperature, pH and salinity, and were evaluated as described by Strickland and Parsons [44].

**Vibrio harveyi challenge tests**

After 90 and 80 days, shrimp cultured in concrete tanks and in an earthen pond, respectively were randomly taken and challenged with *Vibrio harveyi* 639. The PF- and CF shrimp were subjected to static, disease challenge tests using the luminescent bacteria *V. harveyi* 639 at ~10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹, according to Austin et al. [10] and modified from Rengpipat et al. [22]. Each challenge included 12 tanks with a 2 x 2 factorial design. Treatments were the PF- and CF shrimp challenged with *V. harveyi*, and unchallenged PF- and CF shrimp. Treatments were performed in triplicate containing 30 and 25 shrimp per tank for shrimp cultured from concrete tanks of Trial I and II, respectively. Triplicate replications of each treatment, using 20 shrimp per tank, for shrimp cultured from the net cages in an earthen pond.

During *V. harveyi* challenge tests, no water was exchanged and the water quality parameters and shrimp survival were measured every 2 d. The cumulative number of dead shrimp was recorded each day. Shrimp that died following *V. harveyi* 639 exposure were dissected and the hepatopancreas-intestine and heart muscle were removed, cut and fixed in Davidson’s fixative solution for 24 h and then processed for paraffin sectioning by standard techniques. Tissue sections (8 µm thick) were prepared and processed for haematoxylin and eosin staining (H & E) followed by indirect immunoperoxidase staining using first the anti-*Vibrio* VH3-3H murine monoclonal antibody [45] and secondly the horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat-anti-mouse (GAM-HRP) secondary antibody, diluted to 1:1000 in 10% (v/v) normal calf serum in PBS, as reported previously [45]. A positive immunoreactivity was visualized as a deep brown coloration against the pink and purple background of the H & E staining. In addition, the bacteria isolated from the hepatopancreas intestine and heart muscle of each shrimp, were purified and identified using Gram staining, oxidase and motility tests as described previously [39], and were compared with the original *V. harveyi* 639 culture to confirm the similarity to the *V. harveyi* strain.

**Haemolymph collection**

For determination of the total haemocyte count and antibacterial activity before and after challenge with *V. harveyi*, the haemolymph from shrimp that were cultured in the net cages in the earthen pond was collected from the ventral-sinus cavity of each shrimp using a 26-gauge needle and a 1-ml syringe containing modified KC-199 medium (K-199 medium plus Hepes 2.38 g l⁻¹, supplemented with 5% (w/v) L-cysteine as an anticoagulant [46]).

**Total haemocyte count**

A 100 µl aliquot of the haemolymph, collected from three randomly selected shrimp per tank, was diluted into 0.4 ml of modified KC-199 medium and mixed gently, and then the haemocytes were counted using a haemocytometer under a light microscope at 400x magnification, and calculated as cells ml⁻¹ of haemolymph.

**Antibacterial activity**

One hundred microlitre of the shrimp haemolymph was added to 1.4 ml of ice cold, sterile Van Harreveld’s salt solution (VHS) [47], mixed and then centrifuged at 600x g for 10 min at 4°C. The plasma supernatant was collected and sterilized by filtration (millipore membrane filter, 0.45 µm pore size) for subsequent assays for antibacterial activity. *V. harveyi* 639 was cultured in TSB supplemented with 2% (w/v) NaCl overnight at 37°C. The concentration was adjusted to ~10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹, collected and washed in 2% (w/v) NSS by centrifugation at 92,006 g for 15 min at 4°C. The *V. harveyi* 639 suspension and shrimp plasma (100 µl) were mixed in a sterile test tube and incubated for 1 hr at 37°C. Three 50 µl aliquots were taken from each tube and spread on thiosulfate-citrate-bile-sucrose (TCBS) agar plates to estimate the viable bacterial numbers as CFU ml⁻¹ after incubating at 37°C for 24 hr. For the positive control, *V. harveyi* 639 were incubated with VHS. The percentage inhibition was calculated as...
reported elsewhere [48], where; %inhibition = 100 - (mean CFU ml\(^{-1}\) sample/mean CFU ml\(^{-1}\) positive control) x 100

Statistical analysis: The effect of BP11 on the shrimp growth and survival were evaluated using student's t-test [49] with p<0.05 as the confidence level for significant differences. Completely randomized design was carried out to determine for immune indices and disease resistance after shrimp challenged by V. harveyi 639.

Results

BP11 identification and its characteristics

Of the 245 bacterial isolates obtained from screening the intestines of freshly caught P. monodon broodstocks from the Andaman sea, BP11 isolate produced the greatest antimicrobial activity against V. harveyi 639 and E. coli (data not shown), and so was selected for further evaluation.

BP11, a Gram-positive rod bacteria with a cell size of ~0.55-0.75 x 2.5-3.5 \(\mu\)m (width x length), can form a central spore (Figure 1), and gave good growth in TSB containing 1 - 4% (w/v) NaCl at temperature of 35-50°C and pH of 6-8. The best growth was found in TSB with 2% (w/v) NaCl at 45°C and a pH of 6.5 (data not shown). The BP11 isolate was identified using the api-20E® and api-50 CHB® Medium test kits, where, after analysis with the APILAB Plus software, the percentage similarity of BP11 to Bacillus subtilis was found to be 99.9%. Moreover, sequence analysis of the 1491 bp partial 16S rRNA gene fragment from BP11 (GenBank accession code HM58370) exhibited the highest sequence identity to those sequences annotated as B. subtilis or B. amyloliquefaciens (>99% sequence identity), supported by a NJ distance based phylogenetic tree which grouped BP11 within the B. subtilis/B. amyloliquefaciens clade (data not shown). Thus, the biochemical and morphological analysis combined with the 16S r-RNA based molecular analysis place BP11 as a potential isolate of B. subtilis within the Firmicutes bacterial division.

Antimicrobial and enterotoxin detection

In contrast to the positive control (milk containing antibiotics) that showed a purple color, a yellow color was detected in the AM-test for filtrates of BP11 broth. Thus, no antimicrobial residue was detected in the BP11 broth after 24 and 48 hr of culture. In addition no BDE was detected in the BP11 broth culture by the TECRA test kit.

Figure 1: Light microscope images (x 1,000 magnification) of the Gram-positive rods and spores of BP11. (a) The cells, sized ~0.55-0.75 x 2.5-3.5 \(\mu\)m (width x length) and (b) the central spore of BP11 as pointed by arrow.

PL-30 and PL-50 shrimp culture in concrete tanks and in earthen pond

Water quality: The water quality values, during the two experiments each, for the shrimp cultures in the concrete tanks and in the earthen pond were similar for dissolved oxygen (~10 and 6.1-7.7 mg l\(^{-1}\)), ammonium (0.0-0.5 and 0.0 mg l\(^{-1}\)), nitrite (0.0-0.5 and 0.1mg l\(^{-1}\)) and phosphate (0.1-0.2 and <0.1-0.25 mg l\(^{-1}\)), but slightly different for the pH (6.5-7.0 and 7.7-8.4), temperature (26-27 and 28.4-31.4°C) and salinity (20-23 and 6.1-7.2%). However, all of these water quality parameters were considered safe for shrimp culture [42,50,51]. BP11 counts of ~10\(^{-10}\)-10\(^{3}\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\) were found in all culture water taken from the concrete tanks or net cages of shrimp fed with BP11-supplemented feed (data not shown).

Experiment I: PL-30 Shrimp growth in concrete tanks

The average live weights of the CF shrimp in the concrete tanks after 90 days of culture for the two separate trials (6.17 ± 0.61 g and 6.99 ± 0.19 g) were significant different (\(p < 0.05\)) from those of the PF shrimp (7.48 ± 0.23 g and 8.94 ± 0.43 g) (Table 1).

Experiment II: PL-50 shrimp growth in the net cages in the earthen pond

The influence of BP11 on PL-50 shrimp growth and survival over 80 days was evaluated by simulating to a certain extent the conditions used in commercial shrimp culture using 1.5 m\(^2\) surface area net cages, located in a 1,000 m\(^2\) surface area earthen pond. The average live weights of the PF shrimp (13.74±0.77 g) in the net cages after 80 days culture were significantly different from that of the CF shrimp (12.86±0.38 g) (Table 2). In addition, the survival of PF-group shrimp (76.77 ± 3.78 %) was higher than that in the CF shrimp (65.2 ± 7.60) (Table 2). The food conversion ratio and productive index in the PF-group shrimp were better than those in the CF shrimp (Table 2).

Intestinal microbial investigation of CF- and PF shrimp intestines

After culture for 80 days in the earthen pond, both the CF- and PF shrimp were randomly selected. The intestines were dissected out for sample preparation and examination by SEM to detect adherent bacteria on the intestinal wall. No bacteria conforming to the morphology of the BP11 was detected on the surface of the intestine of the CF shrimp.
Longevity of BP11 in dried feed

Viable BP11 counts in the BP11 supplemented feed were found to be ~10^9 and ~10^8 CFU g\(^{-1}\) of feed after storage for 6 months at 4°C and room temperature (28-32°C), respectively. No changes in the physical morphology or the biochemical properties of BP11 were detected upon storage at either temperature for 6 months. Moreover, a clear probiotic affect of BP11, including the growth and vibriosis resistance enhancement in *P. monodon* (*in vivo*), was evident after storage at -18°C for 2 years, where for example the data of Table 2 is derived from the use of a 2 year old storage culture of BP11,

**Figure 2a and b**, whereas many rod-shaped bacteria were observed on certain surface area of some intestine portions from PF shrimp (Figure 2c and d). Concurrently, BP11 counts from the total bacteria counts (~10^-10^ CFU g\(^{-1}\) intestine of either CF-or PF shrimp, data not shown) were < 10^-10^ and ~10^-10^ CFU g\(^{-1}\) intestine for the CF- and PF shrimp, respectively (data not shown), which supports the possible presence of BP11 on the surface of the PF shrimp's intestines.

**Table 1:** Survival and average live weight of shrimp after 90 days culture in concrete tanks fed with (PF) or without (CF) BP11 supplemented feed, and their cumulative mortality after challenge by *Vibrio harveyi* 639.

| Parameters                        | Control (CF) | Probiotic (PF) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Total live weight (kg)            | 4.56         | 5.33           |
| Average individual live weight (g) on day 80 of the culture (n = 100) | 12.86 ± 0.38 | 13.74 ± 0.77* |
| FCR\(^{1}\)                      | 2.02         | 1.78           |
| Survival (%)                      | 65.2 + 7.6   | 76.8 + 3.78*   |
| PI\(^{2}\)                       | 184          | 287.5          |

*Indicates a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the control (CF) and probiotic (PF) treatment groups.

\(^{1}\)Food conversion ratio; dried weight of ingested feed per live weight of produced shrimp

\(^{2}\)Productive index; Shrimp weight x %survival x 100 per FCR x age

**Table 2:** Survival and total live weight of shrimp after 80 days of culture in net cages in an earthen pond fed with (PF) or without (CF) BP11 probiotic supplement in the feed (Experiment II).

| Parameters                        | Control (CF) | Probiotic (PF) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Total live weight (kg)            | 4.56         | 5.33           |
| Average individual live weight (g) on day 80 of the culture (n = 100) | 12.86 ± 0.38 | 13.74 ± 0.77* |
| FCR\(^{1}\)                      | 2.02         | 1.78           |
| Survival (%)                      | 65.2 + 7.6   | 76.8 + 3.78*   |
| PI\(^{2}\)                       | 184          | 287.5          |

*Indicates a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the control (CF) and probiotic (PF) shrimp

**Figure 2:** Representative SEM images of intestinal bacteria of 80-day earthen pond cultured shrimps’ intestines (a, b) without (CF) or (c, d) with BP11 supplementation and shown at (a, c) x 7,500 or (b, d) x 10,000 magnification.

**V. harveyi challenge test**

The shrimp cultured in the concrete tanks for 90 days were collected and tested for disease resistance to a *V. harveyi* challenge by external exposure. It was found that the cumulative shrimp mortality was significantly lower in the PF shrimp than in the CF shrimp after external challenge with *V. harveyi* 639 for 4 or 5 days. After 9 days, 100% cumulative mortality was found in the CF shrimp compared to only 44% for the PF shrimp (Table 1). Thus, the BP11 supplemented feed appeared to afford (prophylactic) protection to the *P. monodon* shrimp against *V. harveyi* 639 induced mortality.

Likewise, when PL-50 shrimp collected from the net cage culture after 80 days in the earthen pond were challenged by *V. harveyi* 639 (Figure 2a and b), whereas many rod-shaped bacteria were observed on certain surface area of some intestine portions from PF shrimp (Figure 2c and d). Concurrently, BP11 counts from the total bacteria counts (~10^-10^ CFU g\(^{-1}\) intestine of either CF-or PF shrimp, data not shown) were < 10^-10^ and ~10^-10^ CFU g\(^{-1}\) intestine for the CF- and PF shrimp, respectively (data not shown), which supports the possible presence of BP11 on the surface of the PF shrimp's intestines.
Discussion

BP11 is one of the natural bacterial flora found in the intestines of black tiger shrimp from the Andaman sea off the Thai coast and was found not to produce any detectable amount of antimicrobial substances or BDE in TSB based liquid culture. BP11 was identified as being a member of the genus Bacillus and most likely as an isolate of B. subtilis, which is not known as a human or animal pathogen, nor is it toxigenic like some other members of this genus [52]. B. subtilis has also been authorized in the list of additives for feeding stuffs published by...

Table 3: Mean immunity indices values and cumulative mortality (%) of shrimp fed with (PF) or without (CF) BP11 supplement in the feed in net-cage culture in an earthen pond, before and after challenge with Vibrio harveyi 639 for 5 days.

| Parameters                        | Control (CF) | Probiotic (PF) | Control (CF) | Probiotic (PF) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Total haemocytes (x10⁷ cells ml⁻¹) | 1.32 ± 0.69  | 1.62 ± 0.19    | 0.35 ± 0.24  | 0.11 ± 0.10    |
| Antibacterial activities (% inhibition) | 17.3 ± 4.0   | 39.0 ± 3.9     | 63.7 ± 6.1   | 71.1 ± 5.6     |
| Cumulative mortality (%); day 5    | 0.35 ± 0.24  | 67.7 ± 10.4    | 0.11 ± 0.10  | 67.7 ± 10.4    |

Data are shown as the mean ± 1 SD, and are derived from nine shrimp per treatment. Means within a row followed by a different superscript letter are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Figure 3: Representative immunohistochemical detection of V. harveyi infected tissues from dead P. monodon following exposure to V. harveyi 639. The (a,b) hepatopancreas and (c,d) heart muscle after staining by haematoxylin and eosin(H & E) while the right panels (b,d) in each case were also stained with indirect immunoperoxidase using the VH3-3H monoclonal antibody as a probe. Thus, brown dots show the presence of V. harveyi 693. Images shown are representative from three independent shrimp and examined under light microscope (x 1,000 magnification).
In addition, after storage at -18°C for 2 years, BP11 was found to have a longer shelf life in feed, than other groups of bacteria during storage. These are all important properties for consideration as a good probiotic, as suggested previously [55,56].

More than 15 years after the first finding of Bacillus S11 (BS11) as a potential probiotic for black tiger shrimp [22], we here isolated Bacillus P11. Although both BS11 and P11 have been identified as isolates of Bacillus subtilis, their cell size, physical appearance of their colonies on agar plates and the optimal growth conditions of BS11 and BP11 are different, suggesting they are different strains. It is thus unclear if this represents stable probiotic-shrimp relationships between different host populations or a change over time due to environmental changes.

After 80 days of being fed BP11 supplemented feed (PF shrimp) in an earthen pond, BP11 bacterial counts in the shrimp intestines were found to be ~10^5-10^6 CFU g^-1 of shrimp intestines, and likely BP11 (data not shown). BP11 level in shrimp liver had been detected in vitro (data not shown). BP11 level in freshly dried feed ~10^4 and 10^5 CFU g^-1 was still found after storage for 6 months at room temperature and 4°C, respectively, showing that BP11 is fairly stable in accord with the fact that Bacillus species can resist extreme environments and can produce spores, as can be seen for the BP11 isolate (Figure 1b). This affords them a greater survival, including a longer shelf life in feed, than other groups of bacteria during storage [54]. In addition, after storage at -18°C for 2 years, BP11 was found to still be able to cause growth enhancement in P. monodon (in vivo), as shown in Table 2, supporting the efficacy of BP 11 to induce beneficial effects to hosts, to be able to retain their probiotic properties after feed processing, and to display a sufficient bacterial survival level during storage. These are all important properties for consideration as a good probiotic, as suggested previously [55,56].

However, before BP11 can be recommended for use in commercial farms, confirmation was sought by evaluation of its effects in net caged shrimp in an earthen pond that more closely simulates an actual commercial culture environment. Our results still confirmed the same positive outcome of shrimp fed BP11, including the prophylactic response towards protection from vibriosis. In addition, B. subtilis is known to be a non- to low-virulent species and requires a high number of bacteria to cause disease in humans [66]. Therefore, in conclusion, BP11 could be a good probiotic candidate for black tiger shrimp, P. monodon, as an alternative to antibiotics or chemical agents, and may lead to a more sustainable and safe commercial shrimp culture. However, regarding the recognition as safe for human consumption, risk assessment of BP11 should be further confirmed.
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