INVESTIGATING THE ORIGIN OF HOT GAS LINES IN HERBIG AE/BE STARS

P. WILSON CAULEY1 AND CHRISTOPHER M. JOHNS-KRULL2
1Wesleyan University and Department of Astronomy and Van Vleck Observatory, 96 Foss Hill Dr., Middletown, CT 06459, USA; pcauley@wesleyan.edu
2Rice University and Department of Physics and Astronomy, 6100 Main St., MS 108, Houston, TX 77005, USA; cmj@rice.edu

Received 2016 February 4; revised 2016 May 12; accepted 2016 May 12; published 2016 July 13

Abstract

We analyze high-resolution UV spectra of a small sample of Herbig Ae/Be stars (HAEBESs) in order to explore the origin of the T ~ 10^5 K gas in these stars. The C IV λλ1548, 1550 line luminosities are compared to nonsimultaneous accretion rate estimates for the objects showing C IV emission. We show that the correlation between L_CIV and M previously established for classical T Tauri stars (CTTSs) seems to extend into the HAEBE mass regime, although the large spread in literature M and A_V values makes the actual relationship highly uncertain. With the exception of DX Cha, we find no evidence for hot, optically thick winds in our HAEBE sample. All other objects showing clear doublet emission in C IV can be well described by a two-component (i.e., a single component for each doublet member) Gaussian emission line fit. The morphologies and peak-flux velocities of these lines suggest that they are formed in weak, optically thin stellar winds and not in an accretion flow, as is the case for the hot lines observed in CTTSs. The lack of strong outflow signatures and lack of evidence for line formation in accretion flows are consistent with the conclusion presented in our recent optical and He I λ10830 studies that the immediate circumstellar environments of HAEBESs, in general, are not scaled-up analogs of the immediate environments around CTTSs. The conclusions presented here for hot gas lines around HAEBESs should be verified with a larger sample of objects.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mass loss from young stars, in the form of stellar and disk winds, is a critical evolutionary process that largely determines the rotation period of the star when it reaches the main sequence. Mass loss also removes material from the disk that would otherwise be available for the formation of planets. Understanding the physical properties of these winds and how they are launched is thus crucial to building a complete understanding of early stellar and planetary evolution.

Stellar and disk winds are intimately tied to the accretion process (Hartigan et al. 1995; Matt & Pudritz 2005; Romanova et al. 2009; Zanni & Ferreira 2013). There is evidence that magnetospheric accretion, which is the dominant accretion mechanism for classical T Tauri stars (CTTSs) and perhaps many Herbig Ae stars (Muzerolle et al. 2001, 2004; Cauley & Johns-Krull 2014), is able to drive both stellar winds from near the surface of the star and magnetocentrifugal winds from near the star–disk interaction region (Edwards et al. 2006; Cauley & Johns-Krull 2014). Boundary layer accretion, which may operate more prevalently in the higher-mass Herbig Be stars (Mottram et al. 2007; Cauley & Johns-Krull 2014), is also capable of driving strong outflows from near the surface of rapidly rotating stars (Shu et al. 1988). The physical conditions and energetics of the accretion flow thus have a strong impact on the nature of the outflow. By examining spectral diagnostics that probe different temperature and density regimes, estimates of the physical conditions in the mass flows of young stars can be obtained, which in turn could give additional clues to the driving mechanism.

Numerous studies, both observational and theoretical, have investigated the physical conditions of accreting material and outflows around both CTTSs and Herbig Ae/Be stars (HAEBESs) (e.g., Calvet & Gullbring 1998; Catala 1988; Muzerolle et al. 2004; Kurosawa et al. 2011; Edwards et al. 2013). In general, CTTSs show evidence of magnetically dominated accretion flows with temperatures and densities of ~10^4 K and ~10^{11} cm^{-3}, respectively, along the length of the funnel flows and densities ~10 times higher in the immediate pre-shock gas near the stellar surface. There is a large spread in the inferred temperatures, and the inferred densities increase for objects with larger accretion rates (e.g., Muzerolle et al. 1998; Edwards et al. 2013; Ingleby et al. 2013). Simulations of stellar winds and inner disk winds require similar physical characteristics to roughly reproduce observed line profiles (Kurosawa et al. 2011). We note that the physical mechanism responsible for accelerating accretion-driven stellar winds is still unknown. Similar temperature and density ranges in magnetic accretion flows onto HAEBESs have been successful at modeling observed Balmer and Na I line profiles (Muzerolle et al. 2004).

Outflow signatures (i.e., strong blueshifted absorption) have been observed in high-temperature lines (e.g., C IV) in a handful of HAEBESs (Praderie et al. 1982; Grady et al. 1996). For the HAEBESs AB Aur, BD +46°3471, HD 250550, and BD +61°154, modeling the C IV line formation in an expanding chromosphere (i.e., the base of the stellar wind) results in wind temperatures of ~15,000–20,000 K and mass-loss rates of ~5 × 10^{-8} M_☉ yr^{-1} (Catala et al. 1984; Bouret & Catala 1998; Catala 1988). Temperatures of order ~10^5 K in regions above the photosphere have also been invoked to explain observed N V line profiles in AB Aur (Bouret et al. 1997). Strong stellar wind signatures are also seen in the moderately high temperature (T ~ 20,000 K) He I λ10830 line in a large fraction (~40%) of HAEBESs (Cauley & Johns-Krull 2014). Due to the large photoionizing flux of the model HAEBE chromospheres, in addition to X-ray and UV emission from accretion flows, the highest-temperature spectral lines, such as
C IV and N V do not necessarily require temperatures of $\sim 10^5$ K to form (Catala 1988; Kwan & Fischer 2011). Instead, photoionization allows these lines to form at much lower temperatures ($\sim 15,000$–$20,000$ K; Catala et al. 1984; Catala 1988), suggesting that they are likely representative of cooler regions at the base of the outflow.

Although HAEBESs do not have fully convective envelopes and therefore are not expected to sustain active chromospheres and coronae, there are indications that they do indeed host extended atmospheres responsible for some of the observed high-temperature emission. Bouret & Catala (1998) find that a dense, expanding chromosphere is required to adequately describe the blueshifted absorption signatures in the UV lines of AB Aur, HD 250550, BD +46°3471, and BD +61°154. In an attempt to explain X-ray emission observed in HAEBESs by Zinnecker & Preibisch (1994), Tout & Pringle (1995) suggested that shear layers in the rapidly rotating atmospheres of HAEBESs are responsible for driving a dynamo that is capable of sustaining a magnetic field and generating the observed activity levels. Another possibility for magnetic field generation in HAEBESs is a near-surface convection layer produced by the ionization of hydrogen and helium in A-type stars and iron in the hotter B-type stars (Cantiello & Braithwaite 2011; Drake et al. 2014). Although magnetic fields have only been detected on a handful of HAEBESs (e.g., see Alecian et al. 2013), weak small-scale fields may be below current detection limits, preventing the Tout & Pringle (1995) scenario from being ruled out for HAEBESs in general. More recent detections of X-ray emission from HAEBESs are consistent with the conclusion that at least some of these objects are intrinsic X-ray sources, although the exact fraction of intrinsic X-ray emitters compared to those with unresolved low-mass companions is uncertain (Stelzer et al. 2006, 2009; Güenther & Schmitt 2009). However, it is still unclear whether the intrinsic X-ray emission is generated by the star itself, whether it is powered by an accretion flow or stellar wind (e.g., Güenther & Schmitt 2009; Drake et al. 2014), or a combination of both. Although some evidence points to their existence, more investigation is needed into the nature of extended atmospheres around HAEBESs.

A recent study by Ardila et al. (2013, hereafter A13) of a large number of CTTSs shows a complete absence of absorption signatures in high-temperature UV lines. They find that the observed emission in the resonance UV doublets N V, Si IV, and C IV can be well described by a two-component Gaussian fit and is consistent with formation in the accretion flow and not in a stellar or disk wind. The one HAEBE in their sample, DX Cha, shows strong evidence of blueshifted absorption in C IV and Si IV. The lack of hot wind signatures observed in CTTSs and the relatively higher rates observed in HAEBESs (e.g., Grady et al. 1996) suggests that the formation conditions of outflows in the two groups differ significantly. However, better statistics on the occurrence of hot outflows in HAEBESs need to be established in order to reach more general conclusions concerning differences in the physical characteristics and the potential launching mechanisms of the outflows in each group.

Here we present new high spectral resolution observations of UV lines in a sample of 10 HAEBESs in order to place further constraints on the incidence of high-temperature outflow and accretion signatures in HAEBESs. The HAEBESs in our sample were chosen based on a lack of direct evidence (i.e., subcontinuum blueshifted absorption) for outflows in their optical spectra (Cauley & Johns-Krull 2015), but which do show some evidence of mass accretion. Evidence of winds in the higher-temperature UV lines would thus clarify whether or not these objects truly lack any significant outflows or if the physical conditions in the wind render them visible only in the high-temperature lines. The most prominent line in our sample is the C IV $\lambda\lambda1548.2, 1550.8$ doublet. Although we also extract and present the line profiles of N V $\lambda\lambda1238.8, 1242.8$, Si IV $\lambda\lambda1398.8, 1402.8$, and He II $\lambda1640.4$, these lines are strongly contaminated by other nearby lines or, in the case of He II, are weak or not detectable in most of the targets. Thus, we will focus on the morphologies of the C IV doublets and the physical processes responsible for them. To this end we employ both multicomponent Gaussian fitting and a simple stellar wind model in order to explore whether self-absorption in a wind is definitively present or whether the line morphologies result from pure emission. In Section 2 we present our observations and data reduction procedures. The line profiles and their properties are briefly discussed in Section 3. The Gaussian fitting and stellar wind model are presented in Section 4. A discussion of our results is presented in Section 5, and a summary is given in Section 6.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The new Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations were obtained as part of GO-12996 (PI: Johns-Krull) and are listed in Table 1. The DX Cha observations were not a part of this program and are described in A13. We used the E140M grating with the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) to observe 5 of the 10 objects. The resolving power of these observations is $\sim 45,000$, or $\Delta v \sim 7$ km s$^{-1}$, and they cover the wavelength region 1140–1710 Å. The remaining five objects were observed with the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (COS) G160M and G130M gratings in order to provide wavelength coverage from $\sim 1225$ to 1760 Å. Small gaps in wavelength coverage exist for the COS data at $\sim 1280$–1290 Å and 1560–1575 Å due to the 9 mm gap between detector elements. The COS observations have a resolving power of $\sim 20,000$, or a velocity resolution of $\Delta v \sim 15$ km s$^{-1}$.

All observations were reduced using the latest versions of the calstis and calcos pipelines. Pointing data from the raw exposure headers were examined to ensure that objects were properly acquired and aligned on the instrument apertures. All acquisition and guiding data were reported as nominal, thus requiring no corrections to the pipeline output. The STIS echelle orders from each individual exposure are extracted and combined into a single wavelength and flux array. Similarly, the spectra from the adjacent COS detector elements are extracted and combined. Each STIS object was observed twice on consecutive orbits with the same setup. The spectra from the consecutive observations were co-added to produce the final spectrum. Radial velocity corrections are applied to the

---

3 Detection of a $10^5$ K wind has been reported for TW Hya by Dupree et al. (2005, 2014), although the original interpretation of the line profiles was contested by Johns-Krull & Herczeg (2007).
Table 1
Log of HST STIS/COS Observations

| Object ID | Data ID | Instrument | Grating | Aperture | UT Date | Integration Time (s) | Binning (pixels) | S/N @ 1550 Å |
|-----------|---------|------------|---------|----------|---------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| HD 139614 | OC2H05020 | STIS | E140M | 0"2 × 0"2 | 2013 Jun 24 | 1670 | 3 | 6 |
| HD 141569 | OC2H04020 | STIS | E140M | 0"2 × 0"2 | 2013 Jun 24 | 2758 | 3 | 25 |
| HD 142666 | OC2H03020 | STIS | E140M | 0"2 × 0"2 | 2013 Jul 19 | 1375 | 3 | 6 |
| HD 169142 | OC2H02020 | STIS | E140M | 0"2 × 0"2 | 2013 Jul 16 | 2340 | 10 | 5 |
| HK Ori | LC2H06010 | COS | G160M | PSA | 2012 Dec 06 | 1696 | 3 | 15 |
| KK Oph | LC2H08010 | COS | G160M | PSA | 2013 Jun 18 | 1556 | 3 | 7 |
| RR Tau | LC2H07010 | COS | G160M | PSA | 2012 Dec 07 | 1848 | 3 | 5 |
| T Ori | LC2H09010 | COS | G160M | PSA | 2012 Dec 06 | 1696 | 3 | 7 |
| VV Ser | LC2H10010 | COS | G160M | PSA | 2012 May 15 | 1696 | 10 | 4 |
| XY Per | OC2H01020 | STIS | E140M | 0"2 × 0"2 | 2012 Oct 12 | 1613 | 6 | 3 |
| XY Per | OC2H02030 | STIS | E140M | 0"2 × 0"2 | 2012 Oct 12 | 2752 | | |

Table 2
Stellar Parameters

| Object ID | Spectral Type | \(v_{\text{rad}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(M_\star\) (M\(_\odot\)) | \(R_\star\) (R\(_\odot\)) | \(v\sin i\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | References\(^a\) |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| HD 139614 | A7 | 0.3 | 1.80 | 1.77 | 13 | 1, 5, 11 |
| HD 141569 | A0 | 35.7 | 2.33 | 1.94 | 228 | 1, 2 |
| HD 142666 | A5 | −7.0 | 2.15 | 2.82 | 65 | 1, 3 |
| HD 169142 | A5 | −0.4 | 1.69 | 1.64 | 48 | 1, 5 |
| HK Ori | A3 | 14.4 | 3.00 | 4.10 | 60 | 2, 4, 6 |
| KK Oph | A8 | 10.0 | 2.17 | 2.94 | ... | 2, 6, 10 |
| RR Tau | A0 | 15.3 | 5.80 | 9.30 | 225 | 2, 6, 7 |
| T Ori | A3 | 56.1 | 3.13 | 4.47 | 147 | 1, 2, 8 |
| VV Ser | B7 | −2.0 | 4.00 | 3.10 | 124 | 1, 7, 8 |
| XY Per | A2 | 2.0 | 1.95 | 1.65 | 224 | 1, 3, 8 |
| DX Cha | A7 | 14.0 | 2.0 | 0.84 | ... | 5, 9 |
| 41 Ari | B8 | 4.0 | ... | ... | 175 | ... |
| α CMa | A0 | −5.5 | ... | ... | 17 | ... |
| HD 42111 | A3 | 25.3 | ... | ... | 288 | ... |

Note.
\(^a\) All standard star information taken from SIMBAD. References: (1) Alecian et al. 2013; (2) Mendigutía et al. 2011; (3) Donehew & Brittain 2011; (4) Hillenbrand et al. 1992; (5) Garcia Lopez et al. 2006; (6) Manoj et al. 2006; (7) Cauley & Johns-Krull 2015; (8) Mendigutía et al. 2012; (9) Grady et al. 2004; (10) Finkenzeller & Jankovics 1984; (11) Meeus et al. 1998.

wavelength arrays using the values given in Table 2. The spectral lines of interest are then individually extracted from the array and continuum normalized. The final line profiles are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Due to wavelength overlap of the two COS settings, we were able to co-add the flux in the Si iv lines from the observations corresponding to the two different settings. The average signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) across the binned C iv line profile is given in column (9) of Table 1.

3. LINE PROFILES

The extracted line profiles are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The rest velocity of the lines is marked with a black dashed line. Nearby lines that potentially contaminate the lines of interest are marked with vertical dot-dashed blue lines.

Unlike CTTSs, HAEBESs have non-negligible photospheric flux at UV wavelengths. To aid in identifying the circumstellar features, we compare each object spectrum with a main-sequence dwarf standard of similar spectral type. These standards are listed in the last four lines of Table 2. The standard spectra were obtained from StarCat,\(^5\) a compilation of UV stellar spectra obtained with STIS (Ayres 2010). These comparisons are shown for C iv in Figure 3. Although we only show the kinematically important

\(^5\) https://archive.stsci.edu/preps/starcat/
regions of the spectra in Figures 1 and 2, approximately 10 Å of the spectrum on either side of the lines are compared to a standard in order to approximately scale and match the standard to the object. For most objects, this allows unambiguous identification of photospheric features and allows a better estimate of the continuum to be made (horizontal red lines in Figures 1 and 2).

We note that there is a lack of high-resolution UV observations of main-sequence, late A-type dwarfs. Thus, for the late A-type stars in our sample we use the A3 standard HD 42111. Due to the strong emission lines in these objects, the spectral type mismatch does not affect the analysis.

3.1. NV λλ 1238.8, 1242.8

The NV doublet in our sample is in emission in 6 out of 11 objects. With the exception of DX Cha, none of the emission lines show any clear sign of absorption. The absorption in the blue wing of the red doublet member of the DX Cha profile is most likely due to an Ni transition since it does not appear in
the blue doublet member. The emission strength in HD 142666, RR Tau, and KK Oph seems to be comparable for both doublet members, although the true flux level of the red doublet member is obscured by N\textsc{i} emission in the RR Tau and KK Oph profiles.

### 3.2. C\textsc{iv} \(\lambda\lambda1548.2, 1550.8\)

Six of the 11 objects show clear emission in the C\textsc{iv} doublet. Two objects, HD 142666 and RR Tau, show broad emission such that the two distinct members of the doublet are not clearly identified. The apparent emission features of T Ori and XY Per are approximately consistent with a photospheric spectrum of similar spectral type. The profiles of VV Ser and HK Ori show deviations from the photospheric standard profiles, suggesting a circumstellar component. However, higher-S/N observations and a more precise standard comparison are needed to verify the nature of the difference. Three objects, HD 139614, HD 169142, and DX Cha, show visual evidence of asymmetric profile shapes and differing morphologies between doublet members. HD 141569 is the only object that shows sharp blueshifted absorption features. The absorption extends to \(\sim-150\) km s\(^{-1}\), suggestive of a relatively weak outflow.

#### 3.2.1. The C\textsc{iv} Line Luminosity and Mass Accretion Rate

Johns-Krull et al. (2000) were the first to demonstrate a relationship between accretion rate and C\textsc{iv} line luminosity for CTTSs. They interpreted the excess C\textsc{iv} flux, the flux remaining after magnetic surface activity is taken into account, as being produced in the accretion flow onto the star. Yang et al. (2012), for a sample of 37 CTTSs, confirmed the relationship between accretion luminosity \((L_{\text{acc}})\) and C\textsc{iv} line luminosity. They also showed that CTTSs show greater C\textsc{iv} line luminosities as a group than WTTSs, strengthening the conclusion that the C\textsc{iv} line flux is produced by mass accretion. This relationship was further confirmed by A13 for a sample of 28 CTTSs, although they point out that, due to the complexity of the physical processes producing the C\textsc{iv} flux, along with...
the intrinsic variability of CTTSs, the exact relationship between $\dot{M}$ and $L_{\text{C IV}}$ is uncertain. This relationship has not been extended to the higher-mass HAEBESs, although a weak correlation was found between $L_{\text{acc}}$ and $L_{\text{C IV}}$ for the intermediate-mass TTSs by Calvet et al. (2004).

We have measured the CIV line fluxes for the HAEBESs in our sample that show clear emission lines. This criterion excludes HD 141569, T Ori, and XY Per from the analysis. We also exclude HK Ori since most of the flux in the redward doublet member is absent or possibly absorbed by the blueward doublet member. We include VV Ser since the emission in the redward doublet member is still prominent.

Estimates of $L_{\text{C IV}}$ are very sensitive to $A_V$. Thus, the chosen $A_V$ value dominates any random errors, e.g., the measured flux uncertainties or the formal uncertainties derived for $A_V$ or the object distance. $A_V$ is also affected by intrinsic accretion and brightness variations of the system, as well as the choice of total to selective extinction, $R_V$. For most of the objects in our sample, there is a wide range of $A_V$ and $\dot{M}$ reported in the literature. Values of $A_V$ can differ based on how they are determined, whether using photometric colors (e.g., Hernández et al. 2004; Alecian et al. 2013; Fairlamb et al. 2015) or spectroscopic template fits (e.g., Valenti et al. 2003). We have collected these values, as well as distances, from the recent studies of Alecian et al. (2013), Fairlamb et al. (2015), Hernández et al. (2004), Garcia Lopez et al. (2006), Valenti et al. (2003), Mendigutía et al. (2011), and Donehew & Brittain (2011). They are given in Table 3. We do not give the formal uncertainties from these studies since, if they are provided, they are typically much smaller than the range of reported values. Instead, we calculate $L_{\text{C IV}}$ for the full range of $A_V$. There is generally better agreement in distance determinations, and so we use the median distance value for each object.

We choose to use $R_V = 3.1$ for the $L_{\text{C IV}}$ calculations. There has been some effort by various authors to determine the appropriate value of $R_V$ for calculating extinction values toward HAEBESs. Calvet et al. (2004), for example, find that anomalous extinction laws that closely resemble the $R_V = 3.1$ standard interstellar function are better suited for their sample of intermediate-mass CTTSs. Hernández et al. (2004) find better agreement between $A_V$ derived from two different colors, $B - V$ and $V - R$, by using $R_V = 5.0$. However, for $A_V < 2.0$ there is little difference between the $R_V = 3.1$ and $R_V = 5.0$ cases. Furthermore, $R_V = 3.1$ is adopted by a majority of the studies from which the $A_V$ values are taken; $R_V = 5.0$ is used in Hernández et al. (2004) and Alecian et al.

Figure 3. Comparison of the observed C IV lines (black) with a spectroscopic main-sequence standard of a similar spectral type (red). The standard is scaled to match the photospheric features of the object. The standards shown for HD 141569 and RR Tau were rotationally broadened. The rest velocities of the C IV doublet members are marked with vertical blue dashed lines.
Choosing $R_V = 3.1$ thus provides the most consistency across studies. We note that using $R_V = 5.0$ shifts $L_{C IV}$ to lower values (i.e., to the left in Figure 4) but maintains the trend found using $R_V = 3.1$. Given the highly uncertain nature of $L_{C IV}$, regardless of the chosen value of $R_V$, the adopted $R_V$ does not affect the overall conclusions.

The doublet line flux was calculated by subtracting the continuum flux from Table 4 across the line and integrating the flux, centered on the $\lambda 1548.2$ doublet member, from $-500$ to $900$ km s$^{-1}$. This velocity range was chosen to be consistent with Ardila et al. (2013). For VV Ser, only the emission components of the line profile are included in the integration. We take this to be a lower limit on the total emission. The integrated flux value is then corrected for extinction using the $A_V$ values in Table 3 and an extinction law with $R_V = 3.1$. The line luminosity is then calculated using the median distance in Table 3. The final values of $L_{C IV}$ and the range of $L_{C IV}$ are given in Table 4. The accretion rates are not simultaneous with the $C IV$ measurements.

Figure 4 shows $\dot{M}$ plotted against $L_{C IV}$ for the CTTS sample from A13 and the HAEBES sample from this study. For the HAEBESs, the average value in log space of $L_{C IV}$ is shown. The magenta line is the linear relationship found by A13 for their entire CTTS sample. The black dashed line in Figure 4 is the relationship we find for the A13 CTTSs but using values of $A_V$ from Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2014) and Furlan et al. (2009, 2011). Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2014) derive values of $A_V$ by fitting a large grid of low-extinction spectral templates plus a flat accretion spectrum to their CTTS sample. Furlan et al. (2009, 2011) use photometric colors compared with photospheric template colors, as well as an assumption about the underlying excess accretion emission, to calculate $A_V$. The $A_V$ values from A13 come from a variety of sources, but a significant fraction ($\sim 40\%$) are taken from Gullbring et al. (1998). The main difference in the derivation of $A_V$ across these studies is how the excess accretion and inner disk emission are treated, if it is considered in detail at all. How the excess emission is determined is likely a larger driver of the differences in $A_V$ than the difference between photometric and spectroscopic methods. The significantly different linear fits in Figure 4 demonstrate how the adopted value of $A_V$ can strongly affect the $L_{C IV}$–$\dot{M}$ relationship.

Although the number of objects is small, the HAEBESs generally show an increase of $L_{C IV}$ with increasing $\dot{M}$, suggesting a common origin for the line flux in both types of objects. The large spread in $\dot{M}$ and $A_V$ for the HAEBESs prevents any meaningful linear relationship from being
extended into the HAEBE regime. In any case, as shown in Figure 4, this relationship is very uncertain for the CTTS sample. Observations of a larger sample of HAEBEs, combined with simultaneous determinations of $M$ and $A_V$, will be beneficial in further constraining the relationship between $M$ and $L_{C\ IV}$ across a broad stellar mass range.

### 3.3. Si\ IV $\lambda\lambda\, 1398.8, 1402.8$

Six objects show clear emission signatures in Si\ IV. The Si\ IV line profiles can be explained by nearby CO A–X (5–0) band head emission (1393 Å, $-271$ km s$^{-1}$) and O\ IV emission (1401 Å, $+1633$ km s$^{-1}$). All objects displaying Si\ IV emission, with the exception of VV Ser, show some sign of emission in Si\ IV. DX Cha is the only object in the sample that shows a clear blueshifted absorption signature in Si\ IV; the emission profiles are sharply cut off at zero velocity, indicating a strong absorbing wind. DX Cha’s C\ IV line shows a similar morphology, although the red and blue components differ more strongly.

### 3.4. He\ II $\lambda\, 640.5$

Compared to the CTTS sample of A13, He\ II emission is relatively uncommon in our sample: only five objects (HD 142666, HD 169142, HK Ori, KK Oph, and DX Cha) show clear signs of an emission profile. The strong emission lines to both sides of zero velocity in the line profiles of HK Ori, KK Oph, VV Ser, XY Per, and DX Cha are likely complexes of nearby Fe\ II lines. The relative absence of He\ II emission in our sample, as well as the presence of strong Fe\ II features, contrasts strongly with the ubiquitous He\ II emission lines and lack of Fe\ II lines seen in the CTTS sample from A13. This is consistent, however, with the general lack of He\ I emission in the line profiles of HK Ori, KK Oph, VV Ser, XY Per, and DX Cha that is largely absent from the CTTS sample. The presence of strong Fe\ II features in the HAEBE UV spectra also reinforces the findings of Cauley & Johns-Krull (2015) that Fe\ II is more strongly. In the intense ionizing flux emitted by HAEBE photospheres. The lack of He\ II emission in the HAEBE sample will be more fully explored in Section 5.

### 4. INVESTIGATING THE C\ IV MORPHOLOGIES

In young stars, C\ IV line flux can be formed in at least three distinct scenarios: the accretion flow onto the star, hot outflows (e.g., a stellar wind), or in the transition region/chromosphere. If the emitting region is optically thin, the resulting profile would be purely in emission; if the optical depth is large enough, the emission line will show signs of self-absorption. However, it can be nonobvious distinguishing between asymmetric emission line profiles that form as a result of self-absorption and those that form as a result of having multiple emission components. The C\ IV doublet is especially useful for identifying potential outflows: the velocity separation of the doublet ($\sim 500$ km s$^{-1}$) is small enough that optically thick outflows with terminal velocities near the velocity separation of the doublet should show evidence of absorption in the red wing of the blue doublet member.

In order to investigate the origin of the observed line profiles, we compare simple, pure-scattering stellar wind model fits (i.e., emission profiles with absorption) with multi-Gaussian fits meant to represent emission lines formed in an accretion flow or chromosphere (i.e., pure emission lines with no absorption). Simple $\beta$-velocity wind laws, like the one that we employ here, have been shown to be good descriptions of the velocity structure in the winds of O-type stars (e.g., Lamers & Morton 1976; Groenewegen & Lamers 1989), and multi-Gaussian fits are good approximations of the pure emission line profiles in CTTSs, which are believed to form in the accretion flow onto the star (A13).

#### 4.1. Multicomponent Gaussian Fitting

A13 found that the UV doublet emission line profiles of CTTSs can be accurately described by fitting two Gaussians or a single Gaussian to each doublet member. The objects requiring a two-Gaussian fit generally show evidence of a broad component and a narrow component. The broad component is believed to form along the accretion stream, while the narrow component is formed in the post-shock gas at the stellar surface (Calvet & Gullbring 1998; Ardila et al. 2013). For CTTSs, A13 argue in favor of these hot gas lines being produced in the accretion flow onto the star. They cannot, however, rule out their formation in the stellar transition region, though the large velocity motions needed.
for the broad component argue against this interpretation. In this section we perform multicomponent Gaussian fits to the C iv doublets in order to investigate the origin of the C iv line emission in HAEBE stars. Only objects that show pure emission features are included. This excludes HD 141569, T Ori, and XY Per, which closely match their photospheric templates, and HK Ori and VV Ser, which show evidence of a nonstellar contribution.

We fit each emission doublet with two different combinations of Gaussians: (1) a four-Gaussian fit composed of a broad and narrow component for each doublet member, and (2) a single Gaussian for each doublet member, i.e., a two-Gaussian fit. The four-Gaussian fit has the following form:

\[ F_{\text{tot}} = h_1 \exp \left( -\frac{(v - v_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} \right) + h_2 \exp \left( -\frac{(v - v_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} \right) + h_3 \exp \left( -\frac{(v - v_1 - v_{\text{off}})^2}{2\sigma_3^2} \right) + h_4 \exp \left( -\frac{(v - v_2 - v_{\text{off}})^2}{2\sigma_4^2} \right) + 1, \]

where \( h \) is the height of the Gaussian, \( v \) is the velocity offset, \( \sigma \) is the width of the Gaussian in \( \text{km s}^{-1} \), and \( v_{\text{off}} \) is the velocity separation of the doublet (500.96 \( \text{km s}^{-1} \)). A continuum of 1.0 is added to the sum of the components. Thus, the two broad components have the same velocity offset, \( v_1 \), and the same width, \( \sigma_1 \), and likewise for the narrow components. The four-Gaussian fit is simply Gaussians 1 and 3 from Equation (1). We fit for the parameters \( h_1, v_1, \sigma_1, h_2, v_2, \sigma_2, h_3, \) and \( h_4 \). The four-Gaussian fits involve fitting for \( h_1, v_1, \sigma_1, \) and \( h_3 \). We utilize a nonlinear least-squares fitting routine based on the Marquardt method to determine the profile fits (see Bevington & Robinson 1992), and the normalized Poisson uncertainties are used to weight the individual points. The best-fit parameters for each object showing C iv emission are given in Table 5. The fits and the individual Gaussians are shown in Figure 5.

The C iv profiles of HD 139614, HD 169142, and KK Oph are all well described by both a two- and a four-Gaussian fit. The spectrum of HD 142666 has very low S/N, and we suspect that the significant emission between the rest velocities of the C iv components is actually a separate N iv emission component (see Section 3.1) and not the result of a narrow and broad C iv component. This is similar to the profile of RR Tau, which also appears to be adequately described by a four-Gaussian fit. Due to the asymmetric appearance of the blue and red wings of HD 169142’s C iv doublet members, the profile will be investigated using the wind model in the next section.

Although the observed doublet components of DX Cha clearly do not have the same shape, we have shown the Gaussian fits to the DX Cha profile for illustration. The peak Gaussian fluxes for the broad components of the DX Cha fit (Table 5) have a blue-to-red component ratio of 0.30. In an optically thin or effectively thin gas, the blue-to-red component ratio of the C iv doublet emission lines is 2.0, as determined by the statistical weights of the atomic levels. As the medium becomes more optically thick and the lines thermalize, this ratio approaches 1.0. This is evidence that the observed DX Cha line profile is not simply the sum of various emission components and suggests that the wind interpretation, which will be explored quantitatively in the next section, is a more accurate description of the physical process producing the doublet morphology.

### 4.2. Stellar Wind Model

Our pure-scattering model is parameterized and designed to demonstrate optical depth effects on the profile morphologies in closely spaced doublets (e.g., the UV C iv doublet). We do not derive physical parameters (e.g., temperature and mass-loss rate) of the wind. We follow a treatment similar to Castor & Lamers (1979). Our model consists of a nonrotating, spherical star launching a spherically symmetric scattering wind, as suggested for young stars, for example, by Dupree et al. (2005). The outflowing material is assumed to originate at the stellar...
Figure 5. Multicomponent Gaussian fit to the C IV emission lines. The left-hand column shows the four-Gaussian fit and the right-hand column shows the two-Gaussian fit. The data are shown in black, and the fit is shown in magenta. The individual Gaussians are shown in blue and light blue for the blue doublet member and red and orange for the red doublet member. The rest velocities of the doublet members are marked with vertical green lines. The objects marked with a plus sign are the objects we fit using the stellar wind model. Note the large intensity of the broad component for the red doublet member of DX Cha (orange dashed line) compared to the broad component of the blue doublet member (light-blue dashed line): this is unphysical due to the C IV λλ1548, 1550 atomic parameters. For HD 139614, HD 169142, and KK Oph, the two-Gaussian fit is comparable to the four-Gaussian fit. HD 142666 and RR Tau are more accurately described by a four-Gaussian fit (however, see Section 5.3).
surface and is accelerated outward according to Equation (2):

\[ v(r) = v_\infty + (v_\infty - v_0) \left( 1 - \frac{R_s}{r} \right)^\beta \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( v_\infty \) is the terminal wind velocity, \( v_0 \) is the initial wind velocity, \( \beta \) is a positive nonzero number, and \( r \) is the radial distance from the origin. Here we assume that the wind is essentially isothermal in that we assume a constant ionization fraction throughout the wind. Under this assumption, the optical depth at each velocity \( \tau \) becomes a function of only the density and \( r \). The density at each \( r \) can be assigned based on the mass continuity equation assuming a spherically symmetric outflow with a constant mass-loss rate:

\[ \rho(r) = \frac{M}{4\pi r^2 v(r)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( M \) is the mass-loss rate in the wind. For our purposes, the specific value of \( M \) is irrelevant since we only care about the fraction of the original material along the line of sight to the star at each \( r \) and we parameterize the wind in terms of the total optical depth.

The integration along the line of sight through the wind is done through a series of equally spaced shells in velocity space. The initial and final velocities of a shell define a unique radial distance from the star according to Equation (2). We also extend the range of absorbing velocities on either side of each shell to plus and minus half of the chosen velocity step. This acts as a natural line width, which we designate \( \delta v \), since one is not explicitly included in the model. We define the optical depth at each absorbing velocity shell \( v \) as

\[ \tau(r_v) = \sum_i \rho_i \Delta r_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( r_v \) is the center of the annulus at velocity \( v \). Thus, each annulus of physical width \( \Delta r \) contains a fraction of the total optical depth, which is the optical depth at line center for the annulus at \( R_s \), specified by Equation (4). For each annulus, the amount of absorbed intensity is then equal to \( I_\lambda e^{-\tau(r_v)} \), where \( I_\lambda \) is the intensity incident upon the annulus. The absorbing velocities range from the maximum shell velocity down to \( v_f = v_c \cos \theta - \delta v \), where \( \theta = \sin^{-1}(R_s/r) \). Thus, as the wind moves farther from the star, the range of absorbing velocities becomes smaller. The geometry of the model is shown in Figure 6.

We also assume single, isotropic, and completely redistributive scattering (Lamers & Cassinelli 1999). Since the scattering is isotropic, the amount of scattered intensity in any annulus has to be equally redistributed in emission across velocities that range from \(-v(r)-\delta v\) to \(v(r)+\delta v\). Emission from velocities being blocked by the star (i.e., velocities of receding material immediately behind the star) is then set to zero since these photons hit the stellar surface and do not propagate back to the observer. The final line profile is the sum of the absorption profile and the emission profiles at each annulus.

Examples of the model for various input spectra are shown in Figure 7. Panel (a) shows a P Cygni profile with a flat continuum as the input spectrum, similar to the profiles computed in the atlas by Castor & Lamers (1979). Panel (b) shows the effect of having a single Gaussian as the input spectrum, such as proposed by Dupree et al. (2005, 2014) for TW Hya. We note that the result is not a Gaussian but rather a P Cygni profile. For significant absorption to be present in the blue wing while simultaneously preserving the Gaussian shape of the red wing of the input spectrum, few of the absorbed photons can be scattered back into the line of sight and the blue-wing continuum must be negligible so as not to reveal the subcontinuum absorption caused by the optically thick wind. If these conditions do not hold, the resulting line profile will not be Gaussian. Finally, panel (c) shows the resulting asymmetric line shapes of an optically thick wind in a doublet with a velocity separation identical to C IV: the red doublet member absorbs some of the flux that has been redistributed in the red wing of the blue doublet member.

The input spectrum for the fitting routine is a two-component Gaussian with a peak-flux ratio of 2.0, which represents doublet emission from, for example, the base of an accretion flow or a chromosphere. Each component has the same FWHM, and they are centered at their respective wavelengths. Both the Gaussian FWHM and the ratio of the peak fluxes are allowed to vary in the fit. We also allow the total optical depth in the wind, \( \tau \), to vary. The terminal velocity and velocity parameter \( \beta \) are kept fixed at \( v_\infty = 500 \text{ km} \text{ s}^{-1} \) and \( \beta = 1.0 \). We note that changing these fixed values to other reasonable numbers does not change the general results for most choices of \( v_\infty \) and \( \beta \). The same fitting routine mentioned in Section 4.1 is used for the stellar wind fits.

The results of the stellar wind model applied to DX Cha and HD 169142 are shown in Figure 8. It is immediately clear that the wind model favors a large optical depth for DX Cha and a moderate \( \tau \) for HD 169142. Although the fitting program favors a small amount of optical depth for HD 169142, the differences between the best-fit model and the observed profile are well within the measured flux uncertainties. In addition, \( \chi^2 \) is worse for the wind than for either of the Gaussian fits. Thus, we find little evidence for a hot wind in C IV for HD 169142 and favor the multiple emission components explored in Section 4.1.

DX Cha is the only object in the sample that clearly shows evidence of a strong outflow in the C IV doublet: the stellar wind model requires a total optical depth of \( \tau = 113 \) to reproduce the shape of the profile. While the model does a fair job of reproducing the sharp cutoff at zero velocity and the
narrow shape of the blue doublet component, the pure-scattering treatment does a poor job of reproducing the redshifted emission in the red component. This is not surprising as the model assumptions of spherical symmetry and a single emission component are likely incorrect. The stellar wind model clearly demonstrates the asymmetry of the red wing of the blue and red doublet components in the presence of a strong outflow. In addition, the multi-Gaussian fit to the DX Cha profile results in unphysical parameters for the emission lines, further suggesting that the profile morphology is the result of a hot outflow.

5. DISCUSSION

Although the sample of HAEBESs presented here is small, the UV line profile morphologies and characteristics are diverse. Below we discuss some of the important features of the sample, how they relate to mass accretion and outflows, and how these characteristics compare to those of the CTTS sample from A13.

5.1. The General Absence of Hot Optically Thick Outflows

The only object in our sample that shows clear evidence of a hot outflow is DX Cha. The C iv profile of
HD 141569 shows signs of blueshifted absorption, but the absorption is very narrow and relatively weak. With the exceptions of DX Cha, HD 139614, and KK Oph, for which we do not have optical or He \( \lambda \lambda 10830 \) data, our sample also shows no direct evidence of optically thick outflows in their optical or He \( \lambda \lambda 10830 \) line profiles. Thus, not only do most of these objects show no evidence for hot outflows in the UV lines examined here, but wind signatures are also absent from other wavelength regions of their spectra. The lack of observed outflows may be the result of HAEBEs having relatively smaller magnetospheres compared to CTTSs. This possibility is discussed further in the next section. Regardless, it appears that hot, optically thick outflows are not common among our sample and, based on the relatively small percentage of objects showing optical (37\%) or He \( \lambda \lambda 10830 \) (40\%) blueshifted absorption (e.g., Cauley & Johns-Krull 2014, 2015), possibly among HAEBEs in general. However, the lack of hot winds should be examined with a larger and more diverse sample of HAEBEs.

The strong outflow seen in C\( \text{iv} \) for DX Cha (also known as HD 104237A) may be related to the presence of its short-period T Tauri star companion (Feigelson et al. 2003; Böhm et al. 2004). It is likely part of a quintuple system with two or more T Tauri star companions orbiting DX Cha and its immediate companion (HD 104237B) at a distance of \( \sim 1500 \) AU (Feigelson et al. 2003). Interactions between DX Cha and its close companion could drive the wind observed in C\( \text{iv} \). This would explain why we observe a wind only for DX Cha since none of the other objects in our sample have confirmed companions with such close separations. Follow-up observations should be conducted to measure the C\( \text{iv} \) flux as a function of orbital phase in order to investigate the effect of close companions on HAEBE C\( \text{iv} \) flux and profile morphology.

5.2. A Relative Paucity of C\( \text{iv} \) Lines

We suggested in Cauley & Johns-Krull (2014, 2015) that HAEBEs have smaller magnetospheres than CTTSs and that the relatively smaller disk truncation radii in HAEBEs result in less of the necessary energy from the accretion flow being available to launch outflows from near the stellar surface. Although neither HAEBEs nor CTTSs, in general, show evidence for strong outflows in the hot UV lines, the paucity of C\( \text{iv} \) and He\( \text{ii} \) emission in our sample compared to the CTTS sample from A13 suggests that the smaller relative size of HAEBE magnetospheres and their apparent lack of strong magnetic fields may play a role in the lack of emission in the hot lines. We note that the free-fall velocities from, for example, \( \sim 1.2 R_\ast \) for the objects in our sample are similar to the free-fall velocities from 2.0–2.5 \( R_\ast \) for a typical CTTS of mass 0.5 \( M_\odot \) and radius 1.5 \( R_\ast \). Then for a similar (larger) accretion rate, similar (greater) amounts of kinetic energy should be dissipated in the accretion shock. This would suggest that if hot outflows are a product of energy dissipation from the accretion flow, the hot gas lines in emission should be present in the spectra of accreting HAEBEs. Thus, the fact that the UV lines are observed in emission in only \( \sim 50\% \) (\( \sim 60\% \) if the profiles of VV Ser and HK Ori are included) of our sample, when all of our objects have measured accretion rates, suggests that the lack of strong emission in the hot UV lines is not a result of these objects not accreting material from their disks. Furthermore, both XY Per and T Ori, which show no evidence of C\( \text{iv} \) emission, show clear inverse P Cygni profiles in their optical spectra.

One possible explanation for the relative lack of C\( \text{iv} \) and He\( \text{ii} \) emission in our sample is that the temperature of the accretion shock in HAEBEs is too low to produce these species. Temperatures below \( \sim 10^5 \) K may be insufficient to produce enough observable C\( \text{iv} \) flux. If we consider the strong shock regime, i.e., high Mach number, we can estimate the shock temperature using Equation (9) from Calvet & Gullbring (1998). For typical HAEBE parameters of \( M_\ast = 2.0 M_\odot \) and \( R_\ast = 2.0 R_\odot \), shock temperatures are limited to \( 10^5 \) K if material accretes from \( r < 1.2 R_\ast \). A typical infall radius inferred from the redshifted absorption velocities in Cauley & Johns-Krull (2015) is \( R_{\text{in}} \sim 1.1 R_\ast \). This is significantly smaller than that for the CTTS sample from Fischer et al. (2008), which we calculate to be \( R_{\text{in}} \sim 2.7 R_\ast \). In reality, infalling material likely originates from farther out in the disk. Projection effects may result in observed maximum redshifted velocities that are smaller than the true maximum infall velocity of the material (Fischer et al. 2008). In general, however, the smaller values of \( V_{\text{red}}/V_{\text{esc}} \) measured in Cauley & Johns-Krull (2014, 2015) are compatible with the idea that the infalling material for HAEBEs may not be able to heat the post-shock material to temperatures capable of producing C\( \text{iv} \) emission.

For the stellar parameters typical of our sample and using \( R_{\text{in}} = 1.1 R_\ast \), the shock temperature is only \( \sim 3.5 \times 10^4 \) K compared to \( \sim 8.5 \times 10^5 \) K for the CTTS sample. Using the stellar parameters from Table 2, we calculate a typical corotation radius of \( R_{\text{cor}} \sim 1.6 R_\ast \) for the rapid rotators\( ^6 \) (\( \sin i > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) in our sample. This is roughly consistent with the the fact that material accreting along magnetic field lines must originate at distances less than the corotation radius (e.g., Shu et al. 1994). However, if the true infall velocities are higher than the observed redshifted velocities by only \( \sim 20\% \), then the infall distance condition \( (< 1.2 R_\ast) \) required for shock temperatures below \( 10^5 \) K is no longer met for any of the HAEBEs from Cauley & Johns-Krull (2015) with redshifted absorption profiles. The effect of observing the accretion stream projected against the star could easily produce a difference of this magnitude. While it is plausible that the shock temperature of infalling material onto HAEBEs could be lower than that for CTTSs, it is unclear exactly how large this difference is and if it is enough to explain the relative lack of C\( \text{iv} \) and He\( \text{ii} \) emission seen here. Although this idea deserves further investigation, we tentatively suggest that the evidence of smaller magnetospheres in HAEBEs is further supported by the C\( \text{iv} \) analysis presented here and, as a result of the lower shock temperatures produced by the infalling gas, C\( \text{iv} \) production is stunted compared to CTTSs.

5.3. The Origin of the C\( \text{iv} \) Emission Lines

Ardila et al. (2013) established that most of the C\( \text{iv} \) emission observed from CTTSs requires a four-component Gaussian to describe the line shape (a broad and narrow component for each doublet member) and is consistent with formation in an accretion flow, although a contribution from the stellar transition region cannot be ruled out. As we showed in Section 4.1, the C\( \text{iv} \) emission lines of HD 139614, HD 169142,

---

\( ^6 \) While there are four objects with low \( v \sin i \) values, HD 142666 is probably the only object that is truly a slow rotator, the other low \( v \sin i \) values being the result of viewing the stars nearly pole-on (see Meeus et al. 1998).
and KK Oph are well described by a two-component Gaussian. Of the pure emission profiles, HD 142666 is the only one that requires a four-Gaussian fit. However, as we discussed in Section 3.2, the extra emission between the C IV doublet members may be due to N v. In any case, one commonality among the C IV emission line profiles of HD 139614, HD 142666, KK Oph, and RR Tau is that the peak flux is blueshifted. HD 169142 shows a positive velocity for the peak flux in the blue doublet member.

In general, the blueshifted velocities measured for the majority of the emission lines, as well as the hint of wind absorption in HD 169142, suggest that the C IV lines are being produced in optically thin outflowing material. If the C IV lines in our sample are the result of wind emission and a single emission component is required to fit the line morphology, this suggests that any mass accretion onto these objects is not directly responsible for the emission since emission produced by infalling material would result in redshifted emission or emission centered close to zero velocity. Although this may seem to contradict the findings from Section 3.2.1, we are not inferring that mass accretion is not powering the UV lines, but rather that the lines do not form directly in the accretion flows, e.g., along an infalling accretion stream. Some of the accretion energy could be used to heat the atmosphere of the star and power the outflows, i.e., an accretion-driven wind (Matt & Pudritz 2005). As the Gaussian fitting demonstrated, the C IV lines also seem to be pure emission profiles. In other words, they do not seem to be subject to any significant amount of absorption in the flow. Thus, we suggest that the C IV emission line profiles in our sample are formed in weak, optically thin outflows, perhaps in an expanding stellar chromosphere or corona as first proposed by Catala et al. (1984) and Catala (1988) for AB Aur, which are likely accretion powered to some degree. This should be further tested with a larger sample of high-S/N C IV HAEBE line profiles.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented new high-resolution UV spectra for a small sample of HAEBEs and analyzed the line morphologies of the C IV λ1548, 1550 doublet for evidence of hot (∼10^5 K) winds. Although a larger sample of HAEBEs should be examined to better understand these lines and their formation in HAEBEs as a whole, we tentatively conclude the following:

1. The \( L_{C IV} \) versus \( \dot{M} \) relationship seems to extend to the HAEBE mass regime, suggesting a common origin for both CTTs and HAEBEs. A larger sample size of HAEBEs is needed to confirm this. Differing values of \( A_V \) can have a drastic effect on the \( L_{C IV} - \dot{M} \) relationship, and simultaneous measurements of \( L_{C IV} \) and \( \dot{M} \) are desirable.

2. With the exception of RR Tau and HD 142666, the C IV emission lines in our sample are well described by a single Gaussian component. This contrasts with the C IV lines seen in CTTs, which require both a broad and narrow component for each doublet member. This fact, combined with the blueshifted velocities observed for the peak fluxes of the pure emission lines, suggests that these line profiles in our sample are formed in weak outflows near the stellar surface and not in accretion flows onto the star. These outflows are likely accretion powered since all of these objects show signs of accretion in the optical.

3. Only one object in our sample, DX Cha, shows strong evidence of an optically thick outflow in C IV. This is demonstrated with a simple wind scattering model. The existence of a strong wind may be due to the presence of a low-mass companion with an orbital period of ∼20 days (Böhm et al. 2004). Although blueshifted absorption has been observed in C IV in a few HAEBEs (e.g., Grady et al. 1996), hot, optically thick outflows seem to be uncommon for HAEBEs that also do not show evidence of outflows in the optical or in He I λ10830. Due to the relatively smaller percentage of HAEBEs with blueshifted absorption in their optical and He I λ10830 lines, the lack of hot outflows observed here suggests they are also relatively uncommon for HAEBEs in general. However, the statistics on the occurrence rate of winds in C IV are currently poor. An effort should be made to increase the number of objects with measured C IV profiles so that the morphologies and line characteristics can be adequately studied in a manner similar to A13.
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