Scalar warm inflation in holographic cosmology

Zahra Bouabdallaoui\(^{1}\), Ahmed Errahmani\(^{1}\), Mariam Bouhmadi-López\(^{2,3}\) and Taoufik Ouali\(^{4}\)

\(^{1}\)Laboratory of Physics of Matter and Radiation, University of Mohammed first, BP 717, Oujda, Morocco
\(^{2}\)Department of Physics University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU. P.O. Box 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain
\(^{3}\)IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48011, Bilbao, Spain

(Dated: March 11, 2022)

We consider warm inflation in the context of holographic cosmology. The weak and the strong dissipative regime are analysed in the slow-roll approximation and within what is known as intermediate inflation. For an appropriate choice of the equation of state, the intermediate inflation is not only an exact solution in general relativity, but also in the holographic setup considered in this paper. Within this approach several dissipative and physically relevant functions are considered. We constrain our model using the latest Planck data. We conclude that three of the models analysed are consistent with Planck data for some ranges of the model parameters. However, one of them is ruled out by the observations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The inflationary paradigm was proposed to sort out several shortcomings of the standard Big Bang theory.\(^{1}\)\(^{2}\). In addition, this paradigm reproduces correctly the distribution of the large scale structure\(^{7}\)\(^{11}\) and the observed anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background\(^{12}\)\(^{16}\). The zoo of the inflationary models is quite wild and many models are consistent with the current observations. Therefore, it would be quite interesting to have some approach that alleviate such a degeneracy\(^{17}\)\(^{21}\). Within the inflationary scenario with a slow roll approach, two roads can be taken. On the one hand, in the cold inflationary scenario, the inflaton does not interact with its environment and the reheating of the Universe takes place at the end of inflation when the scalar field reach the bottom of the potential and starts oscillating. However, this kind of approach might face some fine-tuning problems and the reheating problem itself. Furthermore, the latest Planck data ruled out many forms of inflaton potentials, including, for instance, the quadratic and quartic potentials, both well motivated from a particle physics perspective. On the other hand, there is the warm inflationary (WI) scenario, where the radiation production occurs gradually as the Universe inflates through the dissipation of the inflaton field into a thermal radiation\(^{22}\)\(^{23}\). In addition, initial density fluctuations (the seeds of the large scale structure) is produced during the WI era i.e. the fluctuation of the inflaton field is due to a thermal process rather than to a quantum one as in cold inflation\(^{24}\)\(^{28}\). This thermal process affect deeply the background and the perturbative results of the inflationary dynamics\(^{29}\)\(^{30}\).

Furthermore, cold and warm inflation have been well studied in the framework of an effective approach to quantum gravity. Indeed, for example in extra-dimensions models, motivated by superstring theory\(^{31}\)\(^{32}\) such as brane-worlds models where matter is considered to be confined in a 3-brane while gravity can propagate in the bulk, have been a natural arena for inflation. In addition, warm inflation has been analysed in modified theory of gravity, like braneworlds\(^{33}\)\(^{42}\) and in loop quantum cosmology\(^{43}\)\(^{47}\). We would to remember that Randall and Sundrum model\(^{48}\) is extremely well motivated and can be used as the main setup of the AdS/CFT correspondence. We recall that the AdS/CFT correspondence, conjectured by Maldacena\(^{49}\), consists in describing the 5-gravitational theory as a conformal field theory on the 4-D boundary space-time. This duality can be seen as an holographic approach. The effect of the holographic picture on cold inflation has been analysed in\(^{50}\)\(^{51}\) by a conformal field theory on its 4-dimensional boundary space-time. Previous study of the effect of this holographic picture on cold inflation scenario might be found in Refs.\(^{50}\)\(^{51}\), and its extension by a non minimal coupling (NMC) to the induced gravity by means of the dynamical system and the modifications of the amplitude of the primordial perturbation in\(^{52}\)\(^{53}\). Recently, we have studied a NMC Higgs inflation in this context\(^{54}\).

In this paper, we study warm inflation within an holographic view point in order to complete our previous study\(^{51}\) and to see how well is warm inflation supported within the holographic picture. In this context, we consider a well known form of the scale factor and named as intermediate inflation. This kind of model was already studied in general relativity and in a modified theory of gravity\(^{55}\)\(^{60}\).

The outline of the paper is as follow. In Sec. III we construct the main formulas of warm inflation in the context of the holographic duality. In Sec. IIII we show how the intermediate inflation occurs from an equation according to the holographic setup. In Sec. IV we review...
the main formulas used in warm inflation at the perturbative level. In Secs. V and VI we consider the case of the weak and the strong dissipation regime respectively. In these sections, we compute the main parameters of these regime in terms of the holographic parameter and of the perturbation parameters. We conclude our paper in section VII.

II. HOLOGRAPHIC WARM INFLATION

In the AdS/CFT correspondence, the Friedmann equation is modified as \[ H^2 = \frac{2\kappa \rho_c}{3} \left( 1 + \epsilon \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}} \right) \] where \( \kappa = \frac{\dot{m}_p^{-2}}{8\pi m_p^{-2}} \) and \( m_p \) is the 4-dimensional Planck mass, \( \rho \) is the total energy density, \( \rho_c = 3\dot{m}_p^4/8c \) and \( c = \dot{m}_p^6/M_p^6 \) is the conformal anomaly coefficient which is defined as the ratio between the 4-dimensional Planck mass and the 5-dimensional mass \( M \). At the low-energy limit, \( \rho \ll \rho_c \), and for the branch \( \epsilon = -1 \) the standard form of the Friedmann equation can be recovered. In the following only this branch will be considered.

In warm inflation, we will consider that the universe is filled with a self-interacting scalar field with energy density \( \rho_\phi \) and a radiation energy density \( \rho_\gamma \).

The conservation law of the total energy density \( \rho = \rho_\phi + \rho_\gamma \) reads

\[ \dot{\rho}_\phi + 3H(\rho_\phi + \rho_\gamma) + \dot{\rho}_\gamma + 4H\rho_\gamma = 0 \]

The dynamical equations for the energies densities, \( \dot{\rho}_\phi \) and \( \dot{\rho}_\gamma \), in warm inflation are described respectively by

\[ \dot{\rho}_\phi + 3H(\rho_\phi + \rho_\gamma) = -\Upsilon \dot{\phi}^2, \]

\[ \dot{\rho}_\gamma + 4H\rho_\gamma = \Upsilon \dot{\phi}^2, \]

where a dot means derivative with respect to the cosmic time. The positive dissipation factor, \( \Upsilon \), is responsible for the reheating the universe through the decay of the scalar field \( \phi \). Several phenomenological expression for the dissipation term \( \Upsilon \dot{\phi}^2 \) are given in the literature \[62\ [68] .

Following Refs. \[66\ [67\ , we consider the general form of the dissipative coefficient, given by

\[ \Upsilon(\phi, T) = C_\phi \frac{T^m}{\phi^{m-1}} \]

where \( m \) is an integer and \( C_\phi \) is associated to the dissipative microscopic dynamics. Different expressions for the dissipation coefficient, i.e. different choices of values of \( m \), have been analyzed in \[60\ [68\ . In this paper, we will discuss the following cases:

- \( m = -1 \) which corresponds to the dissipation rate of the non supersymmetric model \[63\ [64\ .

- \( m = 0 \) in which the dissipation coefficient represents an exponentially decaying propagator in the high temperature regime. A dissipation coefficient which depends only on the scalar field was first considered in warm inflation in \[69\ .

- \( m = 1 \) corresponds to the high temperature regime \[23\ [70\ [72\ .

- \( m = 3 \) is motivated by a supersymmetric model \[23\ [67\ [73\ , a minimal warm inflation \[74\ [76\ , a quantum field theory model of inflation \[77\ [79\ , and through axion inflation \[78\ . Furthermore, this case is used in other contexts such as in a hilltop model \[79\ , in a stochastic approach \[80\ , in a potential with an inflection point \[81\ , and in runaway potentials \[82\ . By using a sphaleron rate in a nonabelian gauge fields \[74\ , a Chern-Simons diffusion rate in a minimal warm inflation \[77\ and in the Dirac-Born inefld \[76\ , the authors show that the dissipative coefficient in the case \( m = 3 \) does not depend on the inflaton fields as in Eq. \[2.3\ .

The energy density, \( \rho_\phi \), and the pressure, \( p_\phi \), of the standard scalar field can be written as

\[ \rho_\phi = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V(\phi), \quad p_\phi = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} - V(\phi), \]

where \( V(\phi) \) represents the effective potential.

By introducing the dimensionless dissipation parameter \( Q \), defined as

\[ Q = \frac{\Upsilon}{3H}, \]

Eq. \[2.3\] can be rewritten as

\[ \dot{\rho}_\phi = -3H\dot{\phi}^2(1 + Q). \]

We will consider two dissipative regimes on this paper. The strong dissipative one characterised by \( Q \gg 1 \) and the weak dissipative one in which \( Q \ll 1 \).

At the epoch of warm inflation it is safe to assume that the energy density of the scalar field is the dominant component of the cosmic fluid \((\rho_\phi \gg \rho_\gamma)\) \[23\ [24\ [27\ . Therefore, the effective Friedmann Eq. \[2.4\ reduces to

\[ H^2 \approx \frac{2\kappa \rho_c}{3} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho_\phi}{\rho_c}} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{2\kappa \rho_c}{3} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\rho_c}} + V(\phi) \right), \]

Furthermore, by combining Eq. \[2.8\ and the derivative of Eq. \[2.4\ , one can show that

\[ \dot{\phi}^2 = (\dot{\phi}^2)_{\text{std}}G, \]
where

\[ [\dot{\rho}]_{\text{std}} = -\frac{2\dot{H}}{\kappa(1 + Q)}, \]  

(2.11)

and

\[ G = 1 - \frac{3H^2}{2\kappa \rho_c}, \]  

(2.12)

are the kinetic energy density of the scalar field in standard warm cosmology \[83\] and the correction term characterising the effect of AdS/CFT correspondence, respectively.

We can notice that at the low energy limit, \((\rho \ll \rho_c)\), the correction term reduces to one and the standard expression of the scalar field is recovered.

We suppose that during warm inflation the radiation production is quasi-stable, i.e. \(\dot{\rho}_\gamma \ll 4H\rho_\gamma\) and \(\dot{\rho}_\gamma \ll \Upsilon \dot{\phi}^2\). The combination of Eqs. (2.4) and (2.10) yields

\[ \rho_\gamma = [\rho_\gamma]_{\text{std}} G, \]  

(2.13)

where \([\rho_\gamma]_{\text{std}}\) is the radiation energy density in standard warm inflation \[83\] expressed as

\[ [\rho_\gamma]_{\text{std}} = -\frac{\Upsilon \dot{H}}{2\kappa H(1 + Q)}. \]  

(2.14)

Furthermore, the energy density of radiation is related to the temperature as \[84\]

\[ \rho_\gamma = C_\gamma T^4, \]  

(2.15)

where \(C_\gamma = \pi^2 g_* / 30\) and \(g_*\) characterise the number of relativistic degrees of freedom. Substituting Eq. (2.15) into Eq. (2.13), the temperature of the thermal bath \(T\) can be written as

\[ T = [T]_{\text{std}} G^\frac{1}{4}, \]  

(2.16)

where \([T]_{\text{std}}\) is the temperature in standard warm inflation \[83\]

\[ [T]_{\text{std}} = \left[ -\frac{\Upsilon \dot{H}}{2\kappa C_\gamma H(1 + Q)} \right]^\frac{1}{4}. \]  

(2.17)

Substituting Eq. (2.16) in (2.5), we get that

\[ \Upsilon \dot{\phi}^m = C_\phi \left[ \frac{1}{2\kappa C_\gamma} \right]^{\frac{m}{2}} \dot{\phi}^{1-m} \left[ -\frac{\dot{H}}{H} \right]^\frac{m}{2} (1 + Q)^{-\frac{m}{2}} G^{\frac{m}{2}}. \]  

(2.18)

At low energy limit, \((\rho \ll \rho_c)\), the standard expression \[83\] of Eq. (2.18) is recovered.

Furthermore, by solving Eq. (2.9) for the scalar density and using simultaneously Eq. (2.10), we find the effective potential

\[ V(\phi) = \frac{3H^2}{2\kappa} \left( 1 + G \right) + \frac{\dot{H}}{\kappa(1 + Q)} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} Q \right) G. \]  

(2.19)

At low energy limit, Eq. (2.19) recovers the expression of standard warm inflation \[83\].

### III. INTERMEDIATE INFLATION

In order to illustrate our purpose, we will focus on the intermediate scenario of inflation \[71, 55, 59\] in which an exact solution can be obtained. Nevertheless, exact solutions can also be found for power law expansion of the universe \[85\] and in the de Sitter inflationary scenario \[2\].

In the intermediate scenario of inflation, the scale factor obeys the following expression \[81, 55, 54\]

\[ a(t) = a_I \exp(At^\lambda), \]  

(3.1)

where the two constants \(f\) and \(A\) satisfy the conditions \(0 < f < 1\) and \(0 < A\) respectively. Intermediate inflation model may be derived from an effective theory at low dimensions of a fundamental string theory. Therefore, the study of the intermediate inflationary model is motivated by string/M-theory. In this scenario, the cosmic expansion evolves slower than the standard de Sitter model, \(a(t) \propto \exp(H_0 t)\) and faster than power law inflation \((a \propto t^\rho, \rho > 1)\).

The intermediate scenario of inflation is still an exact solution in our holographic setup. Indeed, an appropriate choice of the equation of state of the form

\[ \rho + p = \gamma \rho_c \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}} \right]^{-\lambda}, \]  

(3.2)

for \(\lambda > 1\) and \(\gamma > 0\) reproduces this intermediate paradigm. For \(\lambda = 1\) and \(\rho \ll \rho_c\), we recover the standard Friedmann equation and the barotropic equation of state \(p = (\gamma - 1) \rho\). The equation of state (3.2) implies that while the weak energy conditions \[86\] is satisfied, the strong energy condition \((\rho + 3p \geq 0)\) is violated for a sufficiently small energy density and for \(\lambda > 1\). The violation of the strong energy condition assure that the acceleration of the Universe takes place.

With the help of Eq. (2.1) and the conservation of the total energy density

\[ \dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \]  

(3.3)

where \(\rho = \rho_\phi + \rho_\gamma\) and \(p = p_\phi + p_\gamma\) are the energy density and the pressure respectively, a straightforward calculus leads to the intermediate inflation given by Eq. (3.1).

On the other hand, the dimensionless slow-roll parameters are given by

\[ \epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{1 - f}{Aft}, \]  

(3.4)

\[ \eta \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{2HH} = \frac{2 - f}{2Aft}. \]  

(3.5)

In order to estimate the scale where the perturbations cross the Hubble radius, we need to define the end of
inflation, i.e. \( \epsilon = 1 \). According to the behaviour of the potential (see Eq. (2.19)), a description of how inflation ends in our model requires a deeper analysis which is out of the scope of this paper. However, to illustrate our purpose, we have plotted, in Fig. 1 and 2, the potential \( V \) and the first slow roll parameter \( \epsilon \) for the couple of parameters \((A, f)\). The weak dissipative regime is illustrated in Fig. 1 for \((A = 0.01, f = 0.45)\), \((A = 0.08, f = 0.27)\) and \((A = 0.1, f = 0.13)\) and the strong dissipative regime is illustrated in Fig. 2 for \((A = 0.1, f = 0.20)\), \((A = 0.1, f = 0.12)\) and \((A = 0.1, f = 0.06)\). We notice from Fig. 1 that the slow roll parameter is always less than one and its violation cannot stop inflation, in the decreasing branch of the potential, in the cases \((A = 0.01, f = 0.45)\) and \((A = 0.1, f = 0.20)\) for weak and strong dissipative regime, respectively. In this case, a modification of the model by adding a new parameter \( \phi_{\text{end}} \) may trigger the stop of the intermediate inflation \[93\]. In the case of \((A = 0.1, f = 0.13)\) and \((A = 0.1, f = 0.06)\), the slow roll parameter is always higher than one and the intermediate inflation may occur between values of the scalar field where the scalar field reaches the maximum of the potential and the scalar field value at which \( \epsilon = 1 \) (first solution) for weak and strong dissipative regimes, respectively. In the last case, the intermediate inflation may also occur between values of the scalar field at which \( \epsilon = 1 \) (the second solution) and infinite values of the scalar field.

Furthermore, the number e-folds \( N \) is given by

\[
N = \int_{t_k}^{t_{\text{end}}} H dt = A \left( t_{\text{end}}^f - t_k^f \right), \tag{3.6}
\]

where \( t_k \) and \( t_{\text{end}} \) are the cosmic time at the horizon crossing and at the end of inflation, respectively. By equating Eq. (4.4) to unity, we obtain \( t_{\text{end}} = \left( \frac{1 - f}{Af} \right)^{\frac{1}{f}} \), and Eq. (3.6) give us the cosmic time at the horizon crossing

\[
t_k \doteq \sqrt{\frac{1 - f(N + 1)}{Af}}. \tag{3.7}
\]

**IV. PERTURBATIONS AND WARM INFLATION**

Warm inflation not only affects the background parameters but can also modify those at the perturbative level as the scalar perturbations; though the tensor perturbations remains unchanged. The general idea for the perturbations in warm inflation being of thermal origin were given in \[23\]–\[27\]. However, the most relevant works with the modern notation in which the fluctuations in the scalar field are mainly originated from thermal fluctuation rather than quantum fluctuations during warm inflation can be found in \[28\]–\[30\].

In order to study the cosmological perturbations, we consider the scalar perturbations of a Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) background in the longitudinal gauge. Therefore, the perturbed metric reads

\[
ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Phi)dt^2 + a^2(t)(1 - 2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \tag{4.1}
\]

where \( a(t) \) is the scale factor, \( \Phi(t, x) \) is the scalar perturbation. Furthermore, the dissipative coefficient depends explicitly, in general, on the temperature and on the inflaton field as given in Eq (2.3). This dependence modifies the set of the perturbative equations. In fact, the amplitude of the scalar perturbation of the inflaton field will be enhanced by a correction factor. These modifications appear, not only because of the scalar perturbations of the background metric but also due to the existence of a dissipative coefficient in the conservation of the energy momentum tensor through

\[
\delta Y = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial T} \delta T + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi. \tag{4.2}
\]

As we will notice in the next paragraph, this modification will affect strongly the amplitude of the scalar spectrum. This treatment has been already studied in literatures e.g. \[67\]–\[70\], \[87\]–\[91\]. In the next paragraph, we introduce the amplitude of the scalar perturbation of the inflaton field as evaluated at the horizon crossing. Like we did previously, we assume that the perturbations will not be highly affected by the holographic approach effect. Therefore, we assume that the amplitude of the scalar perturbation is given by \[82\]–\[84\], \[90\]–\[92\]

\[
P_R = \left( \frac{H^2}{2\pi^2} \right)^2 \left( 1 + 2n + \frac{2\sqrt{3\pi Q} T}{\sqrt{3 + 4\pi Q} H} \right) F(Q) \tag{4.3}
\]

where the coefficient \( n \) denotes the statistical distribution for the inflaton field. By assuming that the inflaton is in thermal equilibrium with the radiation bath, \( n \) behaves like a Bose-Einstein distribution. The function \( F(Q) \) describes the coupling between the inflaton field and radiation. Its expression is obtained numerically by resolving the systems of perturbations equations for warm inflation \[67\]–\[72\], \[87\]–\[89\]. We will specify its numerical fit in the strong dissipative regime for each value of the dissipative coefficient. From Eqs. \[21.10\], \[21.11\] and \[21.10\], equation \[4.3\] can be rewritten as
FIG. 1. Plot of the potential (left curve) and the slow roll parameter (right curve) in the weak dissipative regime for \((A = 0.01, f = 0.13)\), \((A = 0.08, f = 0.27)\) and \((A = 0.1, f = 0.45)\). The horizontal dashed line (right curve) corresponds to \(\epsilon = 1\).

FIG. 2. Plot of the potential (left curve) and the slow roll parameter (right curve) in the strong dissipative regime for \((A = 0.1, f = 0.2)\), \((A = 0.1, f = 0.12)\) and \((A = 0.1, f = 0.06)\). The horizontal dashed line (right curve) corresponds to \(\epsilon = 1\).

\[
P_R = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} H^4 \left[ -\frac{2\dot{H}}{\kappa (1 + Q)} \right]^{-1} G^{-1} \left( 1 + 2n + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi Q}{\sqrt{3 + 4\pi Q}} \frac{T}{H} \right) F(Q),
\]

(4.4)

where

\[
\frac{T}{H} = \left[ -\frac{\gamma \dot{H}}{2\kappa C_H (1 + Q)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} H^{-\frac{1}{2}} G^\frac{1}{2},
\]

(4.5)

and the combination of Eqs. (2.7) and (2.18) give

\[
Q [1 + Q]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{3H C_H^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{1}{2\kappa C_H} \right]^{1/2} \phi^{1/2} \left[ -\frac{\dot{H}}{H} \right]^{1/2} G, \quad (4.6)
\]

which can be rewritten in term of the parameters of the intermediate inflation, Eq. (3.1), as

\[
P_R = \frac{A^4 f^4}{4\pi^2} \left[ \frac{2Af(1 - f)}{\kappa (1 + Q)} \right]^{-1} I(N)^{3f^{2-2}}(1 - S(I(N))^{2f^{2-2}})^{-1} \left( 1 + 2n + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi Q}{\sqrt{3 + 4\pi Q}} \frac{T}{H} \right) F(Q),
\]

(4.7)
\[ T = \frac{1}{A_f} \left[ \frac{3A f(1 - f)}{2\kappa C_\gamma} \frac{Q}{1 + Q} \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} I(N)^{\frac{m}{2}}(1 - S(I(N))^{2f - 2})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.8) \]

\[ Q [1 + Q]^{\frac{m}{m}} = \frac{C_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}}}{3A_f} \left[ \frac{(1 - f)}{2\kappa C_\gamma} \right]^{\frac{m}{m}} \phi^{\frac{4(1 - m)}{m}} I(N)^{-f + \frac{2m}{m - 4}} [1 - S(I(N))^{2f - 2}]^{\frac{m}{m}}. \quad (4.9) \]

where the quantities \( S = 3A^2 f^2/2\kappa \rho_c \) and \( I(N) \) are given by Eq. 83.

In the next section, we will examine separately the weak dissipative regime and the strong one. In the weak dissipative case, we will approximate the function \( F(Q) \) to be equal to one while for the strong dissipative regime we will fix this function according to the values of the parameters \( m \) found in 91, 92.

\[ F(Q) \sim 1 + 4.981Q^{1.946} + 0.127Q^{4.330}, \quad (4.10) \]
\[ F(Q) \sim 1 + 0.335Q^{1.364} + 0.0185Q^{2.315}, \quad (4.11) \]
\[ F(Q) \sim \frac{1 + 0.4Q^{0.77}}{(1 + 0.15Q^{1.09})^2}, \quad (4.12) \]
\[ F(Q) \sim 1, \quad (4.13) \]

for \( m = 3, m = 1, m = -1 \) and \( m = 0 \), respectively. We can notice, from Eqs. 111, 113, that in the strong dissipative regime, the scalar perturbations of the inflaton field will be enhanced for the case \( m \neq 0 \) as compared with the weak dissipative regime.

To complete the set of parameters required to constrain our model by the observational data, we introduce the amplitude of the tensor perturbation, \( P_T \). As mentioned above, while the amplitude of the scalar perturbation in warm inflation is given by Eq. 113, the amplitude of the tensor perturbation remain unchanged and is given by 92

\[ P_T = 2\kappa \left( \frac{H}{\pi} \right)^2, \quad (4.14) \]

and from Eq. 4.3, we can express the tensor-to-scalar ratio, \( r = P_T/P_R \), in terms of the number of e-folds \( N \)

\[ r = \frac{8\kappa}{A^2 f^2} \left[ \frac{2Af(1 - f)}{\kappa(1 + Q)} \right] I(N)^{-f} (1 - S(I(N))^{2f - 2}) \left( 1 + 2n + \frac{2\sqrt{3\pi} Q T}{\sqrt{3 + 4\pi Q} H} \right)^{-1} F(Q)^{-1}. \quad (4.15) \]

V. WEAK DISSIPATIVE REGIME

Considering that the system evolves according to the weak dissipative regime \((\Upsilon \ll 3H)\). Performing the integration of Eq. 2.10, the evolution of the scalar field is given by

\[ \phi_t - \phi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{8A(1 - f)}{\kappa f}} t^{\frac{1}{m}} H_{A,f,c}(t) \]
\[ = [\phi_t]_{std} H_{A,f,c}(t) \quad (5.1) \]

where the integration constant, \( \phi_0 \), will be set to zero in the rest of the paper and \( H_{A,f,c}(t) \), a correction term as compared to standard warm inflation 83, can be expressed as

\[ H_{A,f,c}(t) = 2F_1 \left( -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{f}{4(f - 1); 5f - 4; S(t^{2f - 1})} \right), \quad (5.2) \]

where \( 2F_1(a; b; c; d) \) is the hypergeometric function and \( S = 3\ell^2 f^2/2\kappa \rho_c \).

From Eqs. 3.1 and 2.18, as \( Q = \Upsilon/3H \ll 1 \), the dissipation coefficient \( \Upsilon \) can be rewritten in terms of the scalar field as

\[ \Upsilon = C_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} \left[ \frac{1 - f}{2\kappa C_\gamma} \right]^{\frac{m}{m}} \phi^{\frac{4(1 - m)}{m}} t^{-\frac{m}{m}} (1 - S t^{2f - 2})^{-\frac{m}{m}}. \quad (5.3) \]

The evolution of the scalar field and of the dissipation coefficient are given respectively, at the horizon crossing \( t_k \), by

\[ \phi_{t_k} = \sqrt{\frac{8A(1 - f)}{\kappa f}} \left( I(N) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} H_{A,f,c}(N), \quad (5.4) \]

and

\[ \Upsilon = C_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} \left[ \frac{1 - f}{2\kappa C_\gamma} \right]^{\frac{m}{m}} \phi_{t_k}^{\frac{4(1 - m)}{m}} (I(N))^{\frac{m}{m}} \times (1 - S(I(N))^{2f - 2})^{-\frac{m}{m}}. \quad (5.5) \]

If we compare the scalar field and the dissipation coefficient of our model at the horizon crossing with that obtained in the case of standard warm inflation 83, we find, respectively

\[ \phi_{t_k} = [\phi_{t_k}]_{std} H_{A,f,c}(N), \quad (5.6) \]
and

\[ \Upsilon = [\Upsilon]_{\text{std}} [\Upsilon]_c, \quad (5.7) \]

with

\[ [\Upsilon]_{\text{std}} = C_{\phi}^{4-m} \left( \frac{1 - f}{2\kappa C_{\gamma}} \right) \phi^{\frac{4(1-m)}{1-m}} \left( I(N) \right)^{-\frac{m}{1-m}}, \quad (5.8) \]

and

\[ [\Upsilon]_c = \left( H_{A,f,c}(N) \right)^{\frac{4(1-m)}{1-m}} \left( 1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2} \right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}}. \quad (5.9) \]

Here \( H_{A,f,c}(N) \) and \([\Upsilon]_c\) represent corrections term to the scalar field and to the dissipation coefficient in the weak dissipation regime, respectively. We can show that the correction term \( H_{A,f,c}(N) \) does not deviate too much from 1 i.e. the variation of the scalar field is not strongly affected by the AdS/CFT correspondence.

The dissipation term introduced previously in Eq. (2.10) describes the thermal radiation bath and its evolution versus the conformal anomaly coefficient tell us about the effect of AdS/CFT correspondence on the thermal process. From Fig. 3, we notice that the effect of the AdS/CFT correspondence is more significative and increases with the conformal anomaly coefficient for \( c \geq 10^5 \). This effect increases the thermal process. However, we can see from Eq. (5.3) that for specific values of \( A \) and \( f \) there must be a limiting value \( S(I(N)) = 1 \) beyond which the dissipation coefficient no longer makes sense.

To incorporate the observed parameters in warm inflation at the perturbative level, we rewrite the amplitude of the scalar perturbation Eqs. (4.7)-(4.9) and the tensor-to-scalar ratio Eq. (4.15) in the weak dissipative regime (i.e. \( F(Q) \approx 1 \)) as follow

\[
P_R = \frac{A^4f^4}{4\pi^2} \left[ \frac{2Af(1-f)}{\kappa} \right]^{-1} I(N)^{3f-2}(1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2})^{-1} \left( 1 + 2n + 2\pi Q \frac{T}{H} \right)^{-1}, \quad (5.10)
\]

\[
T \frac{H}{H} = \frac{1}{Af} \left[ \frac{3Af(1-f)}{2\kappa C_{\gamma}} \right]^{\frac{1}{f+2m-4}} I(N)^{-f+2m-4} \left[ 1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2} \right]^{\frac{m}{1-m}}, \quad (5.11)
\]

\[
Q = C_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{f+2m-4}} \left[ 1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2} \right]^{\frac{m}{1-m}}, \quad \phi^{\frac{1}{f+2m-4}} I(N)^{-f+2m-4} \left[ 1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2} \right]^{\frac{m}{1-m}}, \quad (5.12)
\]

\[
r = \frac{8\kappa}{A^2f^2} \left[ \frac{2Af(1-f)}{\kappa} \right] I(N)^{-f}(1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2}) \left( 1 + 2n + 2\pi Q \frac{T}{H} \right)^{-1}, \quad (5.13)
\]

where at the crossing horizon time, the inflaton field is given by Eqs. (5.1) and (6.2). Furthermore, by combining Eqs. (5.11) and (5.12), the above equations read

\[
P_R = \frac{A^4f^4}{4\pi^2} \left[ \frac{2Af(1-f)}{\kappa} \right]^{-1} I(N)^{3f-2}(1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2})^{-1} \left( 1 + 2n + 2\pi Q \frac{T}{H} \right)^{-1}, \quad (5.14)
\]

\[
T \frac{H}{H} = \frac{1}{Af} \left[ \frac{3Af(1-f)}{2\kappa C_{\gamma}} \right]^{\frac{1}{f+2m-4}} I(N)^{-f+2m-4} \left[ 1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2} \right]^{\frac{m}{1-m}}, \quad (5.15)
\]

\[
Q = C_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{f+2m-4}} \left[ 1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2} \right]^{\frac{m}{1-m}}, \quad \phi^{\frac{1}{f+2m-4}} I(N)^{-f+2m-4} \left[ 1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2} \right]^{\frac{m}{1-m}}, \quad (5.16)
\]

\[
r = \frac{8\kappa}{A^2f^2} \left[ \frac{2Af(1-f)}{\kappa} \right] I(N)^{-f}(1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2}) \left( 1 + 2n + 2\pi Q \frac{T}{H} \right)^{-1}, \quad (5.17)
\]

and the scalar spectral index \( n_s = 1 - \frac{d\ln(P_R)}{dN} \) can be written as
\[ n_s - 1 = -(3f - 2) \frac{d \ln I(N)}{dN} + \frac{d \ln (1 - S(I(N))^{2f - 2})}{dN} - \frac{d \ln (1 + 2n + 2\pi Q T)}{dN} \]

\[ = -(3f - 2) \frac{I(N)^{-f}}{A f} + \frac{2(1 - f)S(I(N))^{f - 2}}{A f(1 - S(I(N))^{2f - 2})} + n_4, \]

where we have used Eq. (3.14) and we have defined \( n_4 \) as

\[ n_4 = -\frac{d \ln (1 + 2n + 2\pi Q T)}{dN}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.19)

The term \( n_4 \) is obtained numerically. The numerical analysis is done for different values of the number of e-folds and the conformal anomaly coefficient is set to be \( c = 10^7 \). We have also assumed the warm condition \( \frac{T}{H} > 1 \), the weak dissipation case \( Q \ll 1 \) and we have constrained the spectral index in the observational range \( 0.9 < n_s < 1 \).

The results show that the dissipative coefficients \( \Upsilon_1 = C_\phi T \) and \( \Upsilon_0 = C_\phi \phi \) are not supported by Planck data for any set of values of \( C_\phi \), \( A \) and \( f \). However, for a convenient choice of those parameters, namely \( C_\phi \), \( A \) and \( f \), the dissipative coefficients \( \Upsilon_{-1} = C_\phi \phi^2 / T \) and \( \Upsilon_3 = C_\phi T^3 / \phi^2 \) are well supported by data. Indeed,

- for \( m = -1 \), we plot the dissipative parameter \( Q \) and the tensor-to-scalar ratio \( r \) as parametric functions of \( n_s \) for the following range of parameters \( C_\phi = 10^{-25}, 0.1 < A < 2 \) and \( f = 0.125 \). The parametric plot is shown in Fig. 4.

We notice from the plots of Fig. 4 and 5 that we are, indeed, in the weak dissipative regime. From the plots of the same Fig. 4, i.e., \( m = -1 \) and \( m = 3 \) in the dissipative coefficient, we notice that the tensor-to-scalar ratio lies in the 1 \( \sigma \) contour of Planck data for \( N = 55 \) and \( N = 60 \). This means that the weak dissipative regime in warm inflation in the holographic context under consideration may reproduce the observational data for the choice of parameters considered above.
FIG. 4. The plots show the variation of the dissipative parameter $Q$ (plot (a)) and the variation of the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$ (plot (b)) versus the spectral index $n_s$ for different values of the e-fold number, the conformal anomaly coefficient $c = 10^7$ and the parameter $m = -1$. These plots are obtained for the following choice of parameters $C_\phi = 10^{-25}$, $0.1 < A < 2$ and $f = 0.125$.

FIG. 5. The plots show the variation of the dissipative parameter $Q$ (plot (a)) and the variation of the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$ (plot (b)) versus the spectral index $n_s$ for different values of the e-fold number, the conformal anomaly coefficient $c = 10^7$ and the parameter $m = 3$. These plots are obtained for the following choice of parameters $C_\phi = 5.7 \times 10^7$, $0.1 < A < 0.5$ and $f = 0.5$.

FIG. 6. The value of $T/H$ versus the spectral index $n_s$ for the conformal anomaly coefficient $c = 10^7$ for $m = -1$, $C_\phi = 10^{-25}$ curve (a) and for $m = 3$, $C_\phi = 5.7 \times 10^7$ curve (b).
VI. STRONG DISSIPATIVE REGIME $\Upsilon >> 3H$

In this section, we analyse the strong dissipative regime, $(Q \gg 1)$, by considering exact solutions for the cases $m = 3$ and $m \neq 3$. Integrating Eq. (2.10) by using Eq. (6.1), the evolution of the scalar field is given by

$$\phi_t - \phi_0 = \begin{cases} 
\left[ \frac{(3-m)G_m(t)}{2K_m} \right]^{\frac{2}{m-3}}, & \text{for } m \neq 3 \\
\exp \left[ \frac{G_m(t)}{K_m} \right], & \text{for } m = 3
\end{cases}$$

(6.1)

with

$$\phi_0 = \frac{\phi_0}{m} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-3}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-3}} = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-3}}.$$

is the correction term of the dissipation coefficient in the strong dissipative regime.

Fig. 8 shows the plot of $T/H$ versus the spectral index in the weak dissipation regime for $m = -1$ (curve (a)) and $m = 3$ (curve (b)). The two curves, (a) and (b), are obtained for the same choice of parameters as in curve (a) and curve (b) in Fig. 8 respectively. These figures show clearly that the results obtained in Fig. 8 satisfy the warm condition.

Fig. 7 shows the evolution of the correction term $[\Upsilon]_c$ versus the conformal anomaly coefficient $c$ for three values of the parameter $m$ and for a fixed value of the parameter $f = 0.25$ and for three values of the parameter $A$. We notice that the AdS/CFT correspondence has no significant effect and the thermal process is described by standard warm inflation for $c < 10^7$ for the three chosen values of $m$ parameters. Furthermore, while for $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ the thermal process decreases, it increases strongly for $m = -1$ with the increasing values of the conformal anomaly coefficient $c > 10^7$ for the range of $f$ and $A$ considered. However, this range of the conformal anomaly may change by changing the value of $f$ and $A$.

Furthermore, in the strong dissipative regime, $1 \ll Q$, Eqs. (4.7)-(4.9) and (4.15) take the following form

$$P_H = \frac{\sqrt{3\pi} A f^2 c \left[ \frac{3Af(1-f)}{2kC_f} \right]^\frac{1}{2}}{8\pi^2} \left[ I(N) \right]^{\frac{3-4f}{2}} (1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} F(Q),$$

(6.9)

$$T/H = \frac{1}{A f} \left[ \frac{3Af(1-f)}{2kC_f} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ I(N) \right]^{\frac{3-4f}{2}} (1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

(6.10)

$$Q = C_f \left[ \frac{3Af(1-f)}{2kC_f} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ I(N) \right]^{\frac{3-4f}{2}} (1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} F(Q)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

(6.11)

$$r = \frac{16(1-f)}{\sqrt{3\pi} \left[ \frac{3Af(1-f)}{2kC_f} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ I(N) \right]^{\frac{3-4f}{2}} (1 - S(I(N))^{2f-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} F(Q)^{-1}.$$
We notice here that \( \frac{T}{H} \) in Eq. (6.10) is independent of the parameters \( Q, m \) and \( C_0 \). We notice also that according to the function \( F(Q) \) which characterises the coupling between the inflaton field and radiation, Eqs. (4.10)-(4.12), and Eqs. (6.9) and (6.11) that a strong effect is observed on the amplitude of the scalar perturbation in the strong dissipative regime particularly in the case of \( m \neq 0 \).

As in the weak dissipation case, a numerical approach to calculate the scalar spectral index \( n_s = 1 - \frac{d\ln(P_R)}{dN} \) is mandatory. To this aim, we set the value of the conformal anomaly coefficient to \( c = 10^7 \), we consider as well the warm condition \((\frac{T}{H} > 1)\) and the strong dissipation case \((Q \gg 1)\).

The results show that, for any range of parameters \( C_0, A \) and \( f \), the dissipative coefficient \( \Upsilon_1 \) and \( \Upsilon_0 \) are excluded by observational data. We plot the dissipative parameters and the tensor-to-scalar ratio \((Q \text{ and } r)\) as parametric functions of the spectral index \( n_s \). For the dissipative parameter \( Q \), Fig. 8 the range of parameters considered are \( C_0 = 10^{10}, \ 0.1 < A < 6 \) and \( f = 0.0625 \). While for the dissipative parameter \( Q \), Fig. 9 the range of parameters considered are \( C_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{5}, \ 0.1 < A < 4 \), and \( f = 0.25 \). We notice from the plots of Figs. 8 and 9 that we are, indeed, in the strong dissipative regime. For the plots of the same Figs. 8 and 9 i.e. \( m = 1 \) and \( m = 3 \) in the dissipative coefficient, we notice that the tensor-to-scalar ratio and the spectral index values agrees with the 1σ contour of Plank data for a large values of \( N \)-efolds. In Fig. 10 we show the evolution of \( T/H \) versus the spectral index in the strong regime for \( c = 10^7, \ 0.1 < A < 6 \) and \( f = 0.25 \). The curve confirms that the result obtained in the strong dissipation regime satisfy the conditions of warm inflation. This means that the strong dissipative regime of warm inflation in the holographic context under consideration is in agreement with the observational data for the choice of parameters mentioned above.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have studied warm inflation with a scalar field in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence and with a general form for the dissipative coefficient. We have considered that the scale factor evolve with time during intermediate inflation as \( a(t) = a_I \exp At \), \((0 < f < 1)\). In this context, we have provided the basic equation in the weak and the strong dissipation regime and we have shown that these equations are equal to the standard one times some corrections term which at the low energy limit tends to one. We have discussed our model in the frame of warm inflation condition \((T/H > 1)\) by determining the consistent range of \( C_0 \)-parameter of the dissipative...
FIG. 8. The plots show the variation of the dissipative parameter $Q$ (plot (a)) and the variation of the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$ (plot (b)) versus the spectral index $n_s$ for different values of the e-fold number, the conformal anomaly coefficient $c = 10^7$ and the parameter $m = 1$. These plots are obtained for the following choice of parameters $C_\phi = 10^{10}$, $0.1 < A < 6$ and $f = 0.0625$.

FIG. 9. The plots show the variation of the dissipative parameter $Q$ (plot (a)) and the variation of the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$ (plot (b)) versus the spectral index $n_s$ for different values of the e-fold number, the conformal anomaly coefficient $c = 10^7$ and the parameter $m = 3$. These plots are obtained for the following choice of parameters $C_\phi = 1.5 \times 10^5$, $0.1 < A < 4$, and $f = 0.25$.

FIG. 10. The strong dissipation regime value of $T/H$ versus the spectral index for the conformal anomaly coefficient $c = 10^7$, $0.1 < A < 6$ and $f = 0.25$. 
We have examined our theoretical prediction by plotting the evolution of different inflationary parameters versus observational data. In both dissipative regimes, while our model is well supported by Planck data for the dissipative coefficient $\Upsilon_3$, it is not the case for the dissipative coefficient $\Upsilon_0$. However, while the dissipative coefficient $\Upsilon_{-1}$ is well supported by Planck data in the weak dissipative regime, the dissipative coefficient $\Upsilon_1$ is well supported in the strong dissipative regime.

We have shown that for a suitable interval of $C_0$-parameters of the dissipative coefficient and for $A$ and $f$ parameters of the intermediate inflation our predicted inflationary parameters are consistent with the 1σ confidence level contours derived from Planck data.

Finally, we conclude that scalar warm inflation in the context of holographic cosmology for the cases $m = -1$, $m = 1$ and $m = 3$ may describe the inflationary era and predicts the appropriate inflationary parameters with respect to the observational data within a slow roll approximation for the weak, strong and both regime, respectively.
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