GENETIC VARIABILITY, CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS FOR YIELD AND ITS COMPONENT TRAITS IN RESTORER LINES OF RICE

M. Z. ISLAM¹, M. A. K. MIAN², N. A. IVY³
N. AKTER⁴ AND M. M. RAHMAN⁵

Abstract

Twenty nine restorer lines of rice were evaluated for fourteen agromorphological traits during Boro (irrigated rice) season of 2012-2013 at Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU), Gazipur, and Bangladesh. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the restorer lines for all the traits studied. The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the traits indicating the presence of little environmental influence in the phenotypic expression. Heritability estimate was found high for all the yield contributing traits. High heritability along with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for secondary branches per panicle followed by number of effective tillers, flag leaf area and panicle weight. Contrary, days to flowering and days to maturity showed high heritability coupled with low genetic advance as per cent of mean. Pearson correlation revealed grain yield had positive association with number of effective tillers per hill, panicle length, spikelet fertility, flag leaf area, plant height and thousand grain weights. Mentioned characters also found positive association with yield in both genotypic and phenotypic level. Spikelet fertility, number of secondary branches per panicle, thousand grain weights and number of effective tiller possessed higher positive direct effect on grain yield. It indicated the importance of these traits as selection criteria of yield improvement in hybrid rice programme.
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Introduction

Rice occupies more than 75,01% of total cropped area of Bangladesh (BBS, 2015). It provides 75% of the calories and 55% of the proteins in the average daily diet of the people (Bhuiyan et al., 2002). To feed the ever increasing population, there is no option other than breaking the yield ceiling of rice. Thus, breeding programs are effective and essential for improving the present varieties and increasing of yield.
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Hybrids may offer to make a breakthrough in the yield ceiling of semi-dwarf rice that began in 1964. The discovery of CMS system in rice (Athwal and Virmani, 1972) suggested that breeding could develop a commercially viable F₁ hybrid. Currently, hybrid rice technology is considered as a viable option to increase rice yield globally. China is the first country to exploit heterosis commercially in rice. During late 90’s, about 55% of the rice area in China was under hybrid rice, producing 66% of the total rice production (Virmani et al., 1998). Presently, hybrid rice covers 70% of the total rice area which is about 20 million hectares. The most promising hybrids yielded 20-30% and 15-20% (Yuan, 1998) higher than the best conventional and modern rice varieties, respectively. Initially, after the introduction of hybrid rice in Bangladesh in 1998, over the last one and half decades, about 174 rice hybrids were released for commercial cultivation in different rice growing regions of the country. The area planted to hybrid rice in the country during Boro 2016-17 was around 0.7 Mha has contributed 3-4 MT of additional rice to the total rice production in the country (AIS, 2018).

At present, the hybrid seed production in rice is primarily based on the three-line hybrid system, which involves a CMS line or “A” line, a corresponding iso-nuclear maintainer (B) line, and a genetically diverse restorer (R) line. A line is maintained by crossing it with B line. In hybrid seed production, A line is crossed with R line and R line possesses dominant fertility restorer gene(s), the resultant F₁ used for commercial hybrid is fertile. So, R lines play an important role in three line hybrid rice production.

Genetic variation is the basis of plant breeding and provides a great array of genotypes that can be selected to develop new varieties or breeding materials (Pandey et al., 2009). Variability in terms of genetic divergence for agronomic traits is the key component of breeding programmes for broadening the gene pool of rice and requires reliable estimates of heritability to plan an efficient breeding strategy (Akinwale et al., 2011). Information on association of characters, direct and indirect effects contributed by each character towards yield will be an added advantage in aiding the selection process (Singh et al., 2018).

The knowledge regarding relative contribution of individual traits to yield may be accomplished by correlation studies. However, simple correlation does not provide the adequate information about the contribution of each factor towards yield. Therefore, the technique of path coefficient analysis is utilized to have an idea of direct and indirect contribution of a trait towards the yield, the end product (Lakshmi et al., 2017). Therefore, this study was conducted to identify the most important characters for breeding programme by exploiting the genetic variation, heritability, and path analysis of yield and related attributes of 29 restorer lines of rice.
Materials and Methods

A total of twenty nine (29) restorer lines of rice (Table 1) were collected from Department of Plant breeding and Genetics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU). The R lines were grown at BSMRAU farm during Boro season of 2012-2013 using a randomized complete block design with three replications. Thirty days old seedlings of each R lines of rice were transplanted on the 5 January, 2013 using single seedling per hill in 2.4m² plot with 25 cm and 20 cm space between rows and plants, respectively. Fertilizers were applied @ 290:130:120:100:15 kg Urea-TSP-MP- Gypsum- ZnSO₄ per hectare. Total Urea was applied in three splits at 15 days after transplanting (DAT), 35 DAT, and just before flowering. Intercultural operations and pest control measures were done as and when necessary during the whole growing period. Observation was made from ten selected plants and data were taken on flag leaf area (cm²), plant height (cm), effective tiller number, days to flowering, days to maturity, panicle length (cm), five panicle weight (g), primary branches per panicle, secondary branches per panicle, spikelet fertility (%), grain length (mm), grain breadth (mm), 1000- grain weight (g) and yield per 10- hills (g).

Genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation were calculated following the methodology delineated by Burton (1952), while the estimates of heritability and genetic advance were computed as per the procedures elaborated by Burton and Devane (1953), and Johnson et al. (1955), respectively. Normal Pearson’s correlation and path coefficient analysis was undertaken using R software (version 3.2.1). Furthermore, Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were calculated with META-R software.

Table 1. List of 29 restorer lines of rice

| Name of restorer lines | Total number | Source           |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| BHD 1R, BHD 2R, LP 106R, LP 108R, BU 1R, IR 509R, BU 521R, Metal R, Moyna R, SL 8R, HB 09R, BHD 3R, Heera 2R, Heera 5R, Heera 10R, ACI 1R, LP 70R, Gold R, Doyel R, BU 507R, BU 329R, Shakti R, HB 8R, BU 7R, BU 3R, BU 11R, China 2R, China 1R, BU 2R | 29 | *BSMRAU |

*BSMRAU= Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University

Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance revealed highly significant variations among the R lines for all the characters studied (Table 2). The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the characters studied indicating the presence of environmental influence to some degrees in the phenotypic expression of characters. GCV was the highest (23.61) for number of secondary branches followed by yield (22.85) and flag leaf area.
SLAM et al. (21.87) indicated higher degree of genetic variability among the tested lines for these traits. Heritability estimates in broad sense ($h^2_b$) were relatively higher for almost all the traits studied except for spikelet fertility. Iftekharuddaula et al. (2001) and Hasan et al. (2011) also reported similar results in hybrid rice. High heritability estimates have been found to be helpful in making selection of superior genotypes on the basis of phenotypic performance. Heritability estimates along with genetic gain would be more useful for selecting the best individual. The estimate of heritability were high for number of effective tiller (99.98%), days to maturity (99.98%), panicle weight (99.97%), thousand grain weight (99.97%) and number of secondary branches (99.95%) due to high genetic causes. High heritability along with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for number of effective tillers, panicle weight, number of secondary branches, thousand grain weight, leaf area index and yield. Islam et al. (2016) reported similar findings for secondary branches per panicle and 1000 grain weight. These findings of our study indicated the less influence of environmental effect in the inheritance of these traits. High heritability coupled with low genetic advance as per cent mean were observed in days to maturity, days to flowering and plant height. Similar result was observed by Akinwale et al. (2011) for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height and panicle length.

Table 2. Estimation of genetic parameters of yield and its component traits in restorer lines of rice

| Trait | Mean | Range | $V_p$ | $V_g$ | PCV | GCV | $h^2_b$ | GA (5%) | GAPM |
|-------|------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|--------|--------|------|
| FLA   | 37.57| 25.60-57.42 | 67.16 | 66.55 | 21.97 | 21.87 | 99.10 | 12.83 | 34.41 |
| PH    | 106.97| 94.83-119.56 | 45.70 | 44.79 | 6.32 | 6.26 | 98.01 | 10.47 | 9.79 |
| ET    | 11.00| 7.00-16.00 | 5.48 | 5.49 | 20.84 | 20.82 | 99.98 | 3.70 | 32.89 |
| DF    | 129.00| 121.00-141.00 | 26.12 | 26.09 | 3.95 | 3.94 | 99.89 | 8.07 | 6.23 |
| DM    | 156.00| 149.00-167.00 | 25.81 | 25.83 | 3.27 | 3.26 | 99.98 | 8.03 | 5.16 |
| PL    | 25.81| 22.63-29.33 | 3.00 | 2.98 | 6.71 | 6.69 | 99.33 | 2.72 | 10.53 |
| Pwt   | 17.84| 11.42-28.10 | 13.46 | 13.45 | 20.71 | 20.70 | 99.97 | 5.79 | 32.70 |
| NPB   | 10.59| 9.06-14.73 | 1.76 | 1.73 | 12.63 | 12.52 | 98.29 | 2.06 | 19.61 |
| NSB   | 26.11| 18.03-41.30 | 37.38 | 37.36 | 23.64 | 23.61 | 99.95 | 9.66 | 37.33 |
| SF    | 78.76| 65.67-88.67 | 33.82 | 28.99 | 8.96 | 8.30 | 85.72 | 7.88 | 12.14 |
| GL    | 9.82| 8.82-11.07 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 4.55 | 4.47 | 96.49 | 0.68 | 6.94 |
| GW    | 2.71| 2.08-3.17 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 9.66 | 9.38 | 94.17 | 0.39 | 14.38 |
| TGW   | 28.91| 21.67-33.67 | 12.98 | 12.98 | 17.68 | 17.67 | 99.97 | 5.69 | 27.93 |
| Y/H   | 19.29| 10.73-25.47 | 20.44 | 19.60 | 23.34 | 22.85 | 95.86 | 6.85 | 35.35 |

$V_p$= Phenotypic variance, $V_g$=Genotypic variance, PCV= Phenotypic Coefficient of variation, GCV= Genotypic coefficient of variation, $h^2_b$= Heritability (Broad sense), GA = Genetic advance, GAPM = Genetic advance in percent of mean
FLA= Flag leaf area (cm$^2$), PH=Plant height (cm), ET= Effective tiller number, DF=Days to flowering, DM=Days to maturity, PL= panicle length (cm), Pwt=panicle weight(g), NPB=Primary branches per panicle, NSB= Secondary branches per panicle, SF=Spikelet fertility (%), GL=Grain length(mm), GB=grain breadth (mm), TGW=1000-grain weight (g), Y/H= yield per hill (g)

The genotypic and phenotypic associations of grain yield with other characters are presented in Table 3. Simple correlation reveals the strong significant association of yield with spikelet fertility and number of effective tiller. The genotypic correlation coefficients in most cases were higher than their phenotypic correlation coefficients indicating the genetic reason of association. In some cases phenotypic correlation coefficient were higher than genotypic correlation indicating suppressing effect of the environment which modified the expression of the characters at phenotypic level.

Grain yield was positively correlated with number of effective tillers per hill, panicle length, spikelet fertility and 1000-grain weight. These results were in partial conformity with those of Akinwale et al. (2011) for number of tillers per plant, panicle weight and the number of grains per panicle and Pandey et al. (2012) for biological yield per plant, flag leaf area and spikelet fertility and Singh et al. (2012) for panicle length, total number of tillers per plant and test weight. Eradasappa et al. (2007) reported significant positive correlation for spikelet fertility percentage with yield in rice. Akter et al. (2018) found positive correlation of effective tiller with yield. Days to 50% flowering and days to maturity was negatively correlated with yield that means early maturing variety produced lower yield.

Plant height showed significant and positive correlation with flag leaf area, panicle length and panicle weight both at genotypic and phenotypic level (Table 3). Mirza et al. (1992) reported positive correlation of number of panicles/m$^2$ and grain yield with number of tillers/plant. Days to flowering showed significant positive correlation with days to maturity. Panicle weight possessed positively significant association with flag leaf area and plant height. Moreover, flag leaf area had positive and significant correlation with the number of primary and secondary branches. Numbers of effective tiller showed highly significant positive correlation with spikelet fertility and yield both at genotypic and phenotypic level. Spikelet fertility showed strong positive association with yield both at genotypic and phenotypic level. Kumar et al. (1998) observed high positive correlation of grain yield with spikelet fertility.

Path coefficient analysis (Table 4) revealed that spikelet fertility possessed the highest positive effect on grain yield followed by number of secondary branches per panicle, days to flowering and 1000-grain weight. This indicated that more filled grains in panicle were the highly reliable component of grain yield. These three characters should be given prior attention in rice improvement program because of their major influence on yield which is supported by Hasan et al.
Table 3. Genotypic (G) and phenotypic (P) correlation for yield and its component traits in restorer lines of rice

| Traits | FLA | PH | ET | DF | DM | PL | Pwt | NPB | NSB | SF | GL | GW | TGW |
|--------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|-----|
| PH     | G   | 0.571** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | P   | 0.576** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | G   | -0.252 | 0.072 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | P   | -0.236 | 0.066 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| ET     | G   | 0.127 | -0.190 | -0.198 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | P   | 0.109 | -0.179 | -0.173 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | G   | 0.217 | -0.202 | -0.283 | 0.905** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | P   | 0.202 | -0.192 | -0.264 | 0.887** |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| DF     | G   | 0.418 | 0.580** | 0.134 | -0.228 | -0.286 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | P   | 0.395 | 0.566** | 0.127 | -0.243 | -0.296 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | G   | 0.664** | 0.503* | -0.116 | -0.021 | -0.027 | 0.243 |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | P   | 0.656** | 0.510* | -0.102 | -0.046 | 0.004 | 0.230 |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| DM     | G   | 0.665** | 0.414 | -0.121 | 0.295 | 0.306 | 0.192 | 0.403 |    |    |    |    |    |
|        | P   | 0.617** | 0.386 | -0.113 | 0.262 | 0.276 | 0.168 | 0.372 |    |    |    |    |    |
| Pwt    | G   | 0.552* | 0.444 | -0.208 | 0.208 | 0.134 | 0.423 | 0.478 | 0.844* |    |    |    |    |
|        | P   | 0.546* | 0.456 | -0.184 | 0.178 | 0.108 | 0.402 | 0.463 | 0.784* |    |    |    |    |
| NPB    | G   | -0.136 | 0.125 | 0.757** | -0.366 | -0.321 | 0.203 | -0.003 | -0.208 | -0.163 |    |    |    |
|        | P   | -0.130 | 0.121 | 0.720** | -0.355 | -0.313 | 0.196 | 0.007 | -0.192 | -0.153 |    |    |    |
| NSB    | G   | -0.136 | 0.125 | 0.757** | -0.366 | -0.321 | 0.203 | -0.003 | -0.208 | -0.163 |    |    |    |
|        | P   | -0.130 | 0.121 | 0.720** | -0.355 | -0.313 | 0.196 | 0.007 | -0.192 | -0.153 |    |    |    |
Table 3. Cont’d

| Traits | FLA | PH | ET  | DF  | DM  | PL  | Pwt | NPB | NSB | SF  | GL  | GW  | TGW |
|--------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| G      | 0.143 | 0.283 | 0.442 | -0.024 | -0.116 | 0.137 | -0.017 | -0.033 | -0.172 | 0.314 |
| P      | 0.164 | 0.210 | 0.298 | 0.074  | -0.036 | 0.178 | 0.036 | 0.047 | -0.088 | 0.239 |
| G      | -0.210 | -0.161 | -0.408 | -0.107 | -0.013 | 0.195 | 0.120 | -0.312 | -0.017 | -0.054 | 0.165 |
| P      | -0.170 | -0.136 | -0.365 | -0.056 | 0.008  | 0.147 | 0.122 | -0.300 | 0.007  | -0.048 | -0.013 |
| G      | -0.082 | -0.155 | 0.073  | -0.254 | -0.266 | -0.101 | 0.210 | -0.557* | -0.452 | 0.112  | 0.348 | 0.495 |
| P      | -0.075 | -0.158 | 0.059  | -0.233 | -0.251 | -0.082 | 0.220 | -0.515* | -0.442 | 0.104  | 0.291 | 0.400 |
| G      | 0.030  | 0.253  | 0.728** | -0.264 | -0.423 | 0.246 | 0.211 | -0.099 | 0.088  | 0.692** | 0.297 | -0.207 | 0.230 |
| P      | 0.010  | 0.266  | 0.726** | -0.317 | -0.467 | 0.232 | 0.191 | -0.099 | 0.058  | 0.716** | 0.355 | -0.159 | 0.248 |

*, **Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively

FLA= Flag leaf area(cm²), PH=Plant height (cm), ET= Effective tiller number , DF=Days to flowering, DM=Days to maturity, PL= panicle length (cm), Pwt=panicle weight(g), NPB=Primary branches per panicle, NSB= Secondary branches per panicle, SF=Spikelet fertility (%), GL=Grain length(mm), GB=grain breadth (mm), TGW=1000- grain weight (g), Y/H= yield per hill (g).
Table 4. Partitioning of genotypic correlation into direct (bold phase) and indirect effects of yield and its component traits in restorer lines of rice

|       | FLA | PH | ET | DF  | DM  | PL  | Pwt | NPB | NSB | SF  | GL  | GW  | TGW | Y/H |
|-------|-----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| FLA   | 0.056 | 0.013 | -0.050 | 0.040 | -0.091 | -0.022 | 0.034 | -0.095 | 0.214 | -0.070 | -0.002 | 0.023 | -0.021 | 0.029 |
| PH    | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.017 | -0.059 | 0.084 | -0.032 | 0.026 | -0.059 | 0.169 | 0.065 | -0.003 | 0.017 | -0.038 | 0.242 |
| ET    | -0.013 | 0.002 | 0.225 | -0.054 | 0.115 | -0.006 | -0.006 | 0.015 | -0.070 | 0.340 | -0.005 | 0.056 | 0.012 | 0.611** |
| DF    | 0.007 | -0.004 | -0.037 | 0.330 | -0.371 | 0.013 | -0.001 | -0.040 | 0.078 | -0.183 | 0.000 | 0.009 | -0.057 | -0.256 |
| DM    | 0.012 | -0.005 | -0.060 | 0.284 | -0.431 | 0.016 | 0.001 | -0.041 | 0.052 | -0.165 | 0.002 | -0.001 | -0.063 | -0.399 |
| PL    | 0.021 | 0.013 | 0.023 | -0.069 | 0.114 | -0.061 | 0.012 | -0.026 | 0.148 | 0.087 | -0.003 | -0.007 | -0.017 | 0.235 |
| Pwt   | 0.037 | 0.012 | -0.024 | -0.007 | -0.009 | -0.014 | 0.052 | -0.058 | 0.181 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.014 | 0.050 | 0.206 |
| NPB   | 0.031 | 0.008 | -0.019 | 0.075 | -0.100 | -0.009 | 0.017 | -0.175 | 0.264 | -0.093 | -0.003 | 0.055 | -0.116 | -0.065 |
| NSB   | 0.031 | 0.010 | -0.041 | 0.066 | -0.058 | -0.023 | 0.024 | -0.118 | 0.390 | -0.083 | 0.003 | -0.001 | -0.110 | 0.090 |
| SF    | -0.008 | 0.003 | 0.146 | -0.115 | 0.136 | -0.010 | 0.001 | 0.031 | -0.062 | 0.524 | -0.004 | 0.002 | 0.026 | 0.670** |
| GL    | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.052 | 0.002 | 0.044 | -0.009 | 0.001 | -0.021 | -0.047 | 0.086 | -0.022 | 0.032 | 0.059 | 0.186 |
| GW    | -0.008 | -0.002 | -0.074 | -0.017 | -0.002 | -0.003 | 0.004 | 0.057 | 0.002 | -0.006 | 0.004 | -0.171 | 0.074 | -0.142 |
| TGW   | -0.005 | -0.004 | 0.011 | -0.074 | 0.108 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.080 | -0.171 | 0.055 | -0.005 | -0.050 | 0.252 | 0.211 |

*, **Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

FLA= Flag leaf area(cm²), PH=Plant height (cm), ET= Effective tiller number, DF=Days to flowering, DM=Days to maturity, PL= panicle length (cm), Pwt=panicle weight(g), NPB=Primary branches per panicle, NSB= Secondary branches per panicle, SF=Spikelet fertility (%), GL=Grain length(mm), GW=grain breadth (mm), TGW=1000- grain weight (g), Y/H= yield per hill (g).
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(2010) and Garg et al. (2010). Days to flowering and days to maturity had negative effect with yield. Kumar et al. (2018) reported similar findings in rice. Negative direct effect was observed for number of days to maturity, panicle length, number of primary branches, grain length and grain width. The residual effect of the present study was 0.299 indicated 70.1% of the variability was accounted for 14 yield contributing traits. This result gave an impression that the rest amount of variability might be controlled by other yield contributing traits that was not considered in the present study.

Significant genetic variability among the yield contributing traits of 29 restorer lines of rice, depicted their superiority in contribution of variation in the study. Furthermore, considering the results of character association and path analysis it is indicated that effective tiller number, percentage of spikelet fertility and 1000-grain weight may be considered for yield improvement.
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