DISCOVERY OF NEW GAMMA-RAY PULSARS WITH AGILE
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ABSTRACT

Using gamma-ray data collected by the Astro-rivelatore Gamma ad Immagini LEggero (AGILE) satellite over a period of almost one year (from 2007 July to 2008 June), we searched for pulsed signals from 35 potentially interesting radio pulsars, ordered according to $F_s \propto \sqrt{Ed^2}$ and for which contemporary or recent radio data were available. AGILE detected three new top-ranking nearby and Vela-like pulsars with good confidence both through timing and spatial analysis. Among the newcomers we find pulsars with very high rotational energy losses, such as the remarkable PSR B1509–58 with a magnetic field in excess of $10^{13}$ Gauss, and PSR J2229+6114 providing a reliable identification for the previously unidentified EGRET source 3EG 2227+6122. Moreover, the powerful millisecond pulsar B1821–24, in the globular cluster M28, is detected during a fraction of the observations. Four other promising gamma-ray pulsar candidates, among which is the notable J2043+2740 with an age in excess of 1 million years, show a possible detection in the timing analysis only and deserve confirmation.

Key words: gamma rays: observations – pulsars: general – pulsars: individual (PSR J2229+6114, PSR B1509–58, PSR B1821–24) – stars: neutron

1. INTRODUCTION

Although the bulk of the electromagnetic energy output of spin-powered pulsars is typically expected above 10 MeV, only $\sim 0.5\%$ of the radio pulsar population has been clearly identified in the gamma-ray domain (Thompson 2004; Pellizzoni et al. 2009; Halpern et al. 2008). Such meager harvest is to be ascribed, most probably, to the relatively low sensitivity of gamma-ray instruments with respect to radio and X-ray telescopes. Poor gamma-ray pulsar statistics has been a major difficulty in assessing the dominant mechanism which channels pulsar rotational energy into high-energy emission as well as understanding the sites where charged particles are accelerated.

The dominant mechanisms and sites of the emission most probably depend on the rotational period $P$ and magnetic field $B$ of the neutron stars, with the millisecond pulsars (e.g., Harding et al. 2005) behaving differently from the “classical,” higher magnetic field ones. Population synthesis simulations, featuring comprehensive statistical analysis of diverse models of emission geometry and beaming, yielded very different numbers of radio-loud and radio-quiet pulsars potentially detectable as gamma-ray emitters (see e.g., Gonthier et al. 2007). However, such simulations are constrained by the source sample currently available and only a much larger sample of gamma-ray pulsars could help discriminating different emission models.
Astro-rivelatore Gamma ad Immagini LEggero (AGILE) is a scientific mission of the Italian Space Agency, dedicated to high-energy astrophysics (Tavani et al. 2008) launched on 2007 April 23. The sensitivity to photons with energy in the range 30 MeV to 30 GeV of the AGILE Gamma-Ray Imaging Detector (GRID; Prest et al. 2003; Barbierlini et al. 2001) together with a time tagging accuracy of a few μs and a very large field of view (≥ 60° radius) all make AGILE perfectly suited for the detection of the time signature of new gamma-ray pulsars.

The large field of view of AGILE allows long uninterrupted observations and simultaneous monitoring of tens of nearby radio pulsars belonging to the “gamma-ray pulsar region” of the P–P diagram characterized by $B \geq 2 \times 10^{11}$ G and spin-down energy $\dot{E}_{\text{rot}} > 1.3 \times 10^{53}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Pellizzoni et al. 2004).

At variance with the behavior at soft X-ray energies, where the emission is proportional to the rotational energy loss over the squared distance factor ($F_X \propto E d^2$, where $d$ is pulsar distance; e.g., Possenti et al. 2002), the expected gamma-ray flux of radio pulsars is directly correlated to the Goldreich–Julian current/ open field line voltage (Cheng & Ruderman 1980; Harding 1981). It can be estimated according to the law $F_\gamma \propto \sqrt{E d^2}$ (Kanbach 2002; Arons 1996), which is known to reasonably fit EGRET pulsars. The large dispersion of such fit (probably due to different beaming fractions) provides the minimum/maximum normalization values, allowing a worst/best case approach for the gamma-ray flux estimates. Following such an approach, we have built a sample of ~100 radio-loud pulsars which are likely to be above the AGILE sensitivity threshold ($F_{\text{min}} > 2 \times 10^{-8}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at $E > 100$ MeV). Of course, we are fully aware that the actual number of detections will depend upon the emission model geometry and efficiency.

Top-ranking targets with poor AGILE exposure, well-known pulsars already deeply investigated at the epoch of EGRET observations, and sources reserved to the AGILE Guest Observer Program were excluded from our list which encompasses 35 gamma-ray pulsar candidates. In this Letter, we present results about isolated pulsars only. The five pulsars in binary systems of our sample will be discussed in subsequent works.

Since gamma-ray pulsar detection must start from an at least approximate knowledge of recent pulsar ephemerides, a dedicated pulsar radio monitoring campaign has been undertaken. Conservatively, we present here timing analysis results and detection claims only for pulsars having simultaneous radio ephemerides for the whole relevant AGILE observing epochs. Our campaign will continue throughout the AGILE mission for most of the targets (accordingly to their visibility), using two telescopes (Jodrell Bank and Nançay) of the European Pulsar Timing Array (EPTA), as well as the Parkes radio telescope of The Australia Telescope National Facility (ATNF). Details about radio data analysis procedure in use for AGILE are described in Pellizzoni et al. (2009). Timing solutions for the detected pulsars can be requested by contacting the corresponding author.

2. AGILE OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Pulsar data were collected since the early phases of the mission. Timing observations suitable for pulsed signal analysis started in 2007 July (at orbit 1146) after engineering tests on the payload. In this Letter, we analyze data collected up to 2008 June 30.

AGILE pointings consist of long exposures, typically lasting 10–30 days, slightly drifting (≤ 1° day$^{-1}$) from the starting direction in order to match solar panel illumination constraints. The AGILE total exposure (computed with the GRID scientific analysis task AG_ExpmapGen) for the 11 month data span considered is typically ≤ 10$^5$ cm$^{-2}$ s for each target.

Data screening, particle background filtering and event direction and energy reconstruction were performed by the AGILE Standard Analysis Pipeline (BUILD-15). We adopted the AGILE event extraction criteria and timing procedures calibrated and optimized with the observations of known gamma-ray pulsars as described in Pellizzoni et al. (2009). In particular, we performed our timing analysis looking for pulsed signals using both $E > 50$ MeV G class events (i.e., events identified with good confidence as photons) and the combination of G+L events (L events are significantly contaminated by particle background) collected within 60° from the center of GRID field of view and with an extraction radius around pulsar positions optimized as a function of photon energy according to the point-spread function (PSF) and background level of AGILE. For noisy observations in confused regions (e.g., for J2229+6114), an extraction radius of ~2° (well below $E > 50$ MeV PSF) was used to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). Source position accuracy is <0.5° present level of targets exposure.

Given the measured AGILE’s time tagging accuracy of <200 μs and the good radio monitoring (i.e., valid epoch range, adequate number of time of arrivals (ToAs)) available for the majority of our targets, the most significant pulsed signal detection is typically expected within the errors of the radio ephemeris frequency values. In particular, we performed standard epoch folding over a frequency range defined according to 3σ errors of radio ephemeris.

The frequency resolution is $0.5/T_{\text{ds}}$ (where $T_{\text{ds}}$ is the time span relative to the coverage of each target) and we oversampled it by a factor of 10 ($0.05/T_{\text{ds}}$ is the frequency step of our grid). Since the AGILE useful data span for pulsar detection is typically of the order of few months, implying a period search resolution of <10$^{-10}$ s, the resulting number of independent period search trials turns out to be few tens while no independent trials on period derivatives are needed.

Pearson’s $\chi^2$ statistics is applied to the 10-bin folded pulse profiles resulting from each set of spin parameters, yielding the probabilities (weighted for the number of trials performed on the data set) of sampling a uniform distribution, assessing the significance of the pulsed signal (sinusoidal or not). In fact, applying this statistics to the well-known EGRET pulsars (Pellizzoni et al. 2009), we obtained a perfect match between the best period resulting from AGILE gamma-ray data and the period predicted by the radio ephemeris with discrepancies comparable to the period search resolution. Furthermore, we verified our

23 In ranking order: J0737–3039*, J1833–1034, J1744–1134, J1740+1000, J1747–2958, J2043+2740, J1730–2304, J1513–5908, J1524–5625, J1909–3744*, J1357–6429, J1531–5610, J1809–1917, J1617–5055, J1803–2137, J1801–2451, J0940–5428, J1549–4848, J1718–3825, J1105–6107, J1721–2457, J1124–5916, J1722–3712, J1740–3015, J1823–3106, J1745–3040, J1016–5857 (the asterisk indicates binary systems). See http://agile.asdc.asi.it for details about AGILE Data Policy and AGILE Team Targets List.

24 The on-axis AGILE PSF 67% containment radius is ~10° for $E = 50$ MeV, ~5° for $E = 100$ MeV, and ~0.5° for $E = 1$ GeV.

25 When adequate radio observations covering the time span of the gamma-ray observations are available (i.e., where the WAVE terms are included in TEMPO2 ephemeris: Hobbs et al. 2004, 2006; Edwards et al. 2006), we account also for the pulsar timing noise in the folding procedure as reported in Pellizzoni et al. (2009).
Table 1

Table 1 lists the emission parameters of the seven pulsars discussed in this Letter. The resulting radio-aligned light curves are plotted in Figure 1 where for each pulsar the actual bin size and energy range has been adjusted according to the available statistics and light-curve structure. We note that in all cases radio and gamma-ray timing results are compatible, with the highest significance frequency detected in gamma rays within the errors of the radio ephemeris value, considering also the period search resolution. Examples of the exploration of much larger frequency search grids are shown in Figures 2 and 3 for PSR J2229-6114, for which the best gamma signal is within 1σ from the radio peak, and for PSR J1824-2452, for which the radio–gamma frequency discrepancy is comparable with the gamma-ray period search resolution. Both χ² statistics and Zₙ²/H-test provide comparable detection significances, except for PSR J2229-6114. The Zₙ² test applied to this pulsar provides slightly better results than the other statistics (Zₙ² = 39.5, Zₙ² = 45.9 corresponding to an ∼6σ detection). Furthermore, we verified that our analysis procedure (potentially affected by instrument-related systematic errors and biases in events extraction criteria) does not produce fake detections at a significance level above 3σ when the radio-ephemeris are applied to randomly extracted AGILE data.

Four targets are also firmly detected by the likelihood spatial analysis. It is not surprising that spatial detection being missed for weaker targets at the present AGILE exposure level. In high-background regions of the Galactic plane, our pulsed emission search sensitivity can be better than our spatial analysis sensitivity. Furthermore, timing analysis can be applied to the full AGILE event list (i.e., G+L event classes extending up to 60° from the center of the field of view), while, currently, spatial analysis is only fully calibrated for the G class events detected within 40° from the center of the field of view.

However, some of the non-detections in spatial analysis are, indeed, puzzling. According to Figure 1, PSR J2043+2740 has a pulsed flux and light curve very similar to PSR J1513–5908 (note that both are based on G+L events). Moreover, J2043+2740 is out of the Galactic plane, in a region well exposed and with low diffuse emission. Thus, it should have been detected by the image analysis more easily than J1513–5908, which sits in a higher background region, unless, of course, they have very different spectra.

Pulsars in Table 1 are ranked according to their overall detection significance. Pulsars firmly detected both through timing and spatial analyses are placed above the line. Pulsars detected through timing analysis alone await longer simultaneous gamma-ray and radio observations for confirmation and reliable luminosity estimation.

In Table 1, the most significant detection is PSR J2229+6114 for which AGILE-detected pulsed emission (radio/gamma-ray periods discrepancy ≲ 10⁻¹¹ s, Figure 2) and pinpointed the most likely position (l = 106.86; b = 2.94°) to ∼0.2 from the radio pulsar. Our detection provides a reliable identification for the previously unidentified EGRET source 3EG 2227+6122 (l = 106.53; b = 3.18). The AGILE source position, pulsed flux, and photon index (∼2.2) are consistent with the EGRET values (Hartman et al. 1999). The gamma-ray light curve of this pulsar (detected up to over 1 GeV), featuring just one prominent peak shifted ∼180° in phase from the radio main peak, is shown in Figure 1. It is worth noting that assuming a distance of ∼3 kpc inferred by X-ray observations (Halpern et al. 2001) and isotropic emission, the pulsar gamma-ray efficiency would be ∼0.5, a factor of 20 higher than that quoted in Table 1. In modern

| PSR Name     | G.Lon. (deg) | G.Lat. (deg) | P (ms) | t⁺ (yr) | Dₚ (kpc) | log E (erg s⁻¹) | χ²ₑₙ(Nᵣ)ᵇ | σₜₘᵋₑₙᶜ | σₜₘᵋₑₙᵈ | Fγᵃ | log Lγ / E (erg s⁻¹) | Lγ / E |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|---------|----------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------------|--------|
| J2229+6114   | 106.65       | 2.95         | 51.6   | 1.0 × 10⁶ | 12.0     | 37.35          | 6.08(36)     | 5.0       | 7.5       | 26 ± 4 | 35.36                 | 0.01   |
| J1513–5908   | 320.32       | –1.16        | 150.7  | 1.6 × 10⁶ | 5.8      | 37.25          | 4.23(2)      | 4.0       | 6.4       | 34 ± 6    | 35.04                 | 0.006  |
| J1824–2452   | 7.80         | –5.58        | 3.0    | 3.0 × 10⁶ | 4.9      | 36.35          | 4.21(1)      | 4.2       | 3.6       | 18 ± 5    | 34.62                 | 0.02   |
| J1016–5857   | 284.08       | –1.88        | 107.4  | 2.1 × 10⁶ | 9.3      | 36.41          | 6.08(69)     | 4.8       | 12.3      | 62 ± 6    | 35.71                 | 0.2    |
| J1357–6429   | 309.92       | –2.51        | 166.1  | 7.3 × 10⁶ | 4.1      | 36.49          | 5.27(7)      | 4.7       | 1.8       | <14       | <34.35                | <0.007 |
| J2043+2740   | 70.61        | –9.15        | 96.1   | 1.2 × 10⁶ | 1.1      | 34.75          | 4.1(1)       | 4.2       | 0.6       | <6        | <32.84                | <0.01  |
| J1524–5625   | 323.00       | 0.35         | 78.5   | 3.2 × 10⁶ | 3.8      | 36.51          | 4.6(4)       | 4.3       | 1.0       | <16       | <34.34                | <0.007 |

Notes.

ᵃ Dispersion measure distance and characteristic age obtained from ATNF Pulsar Catalog (http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat; Manchester et al. 2005).
ᵇ Pearson’s χ² statistics applied to the 10-bin, E > 50 MeV folded pulse profiles (Nᵣ is the number of steps over period search grid, see the text).
ᶜ Pulsed emission detection significance (weighted accounting for Nᵣ).
ᵈ Likelihood analysis detection significance and flux (or 2σ upper limit) in units of 10⁻⁸ ph cm⁻² s⁻¹ (E > 100 MeV), obtained by search for a source near the pulsar direction.
ᵉ E > 100 MeV luminosity assuming traditional 1 sr beam emission and photon index 2.0 (for J2229+6114 the measured photon index of 2.2 has been used).
ᶠ Possibly multiple sources. Source confusion may affect flux and significance.

Timing results also applying bin-independent parameter-free statistics as the Zₙ² test (Buccheri et al. 1983) and the H-test (de Jager et al. 1989) that are typically more sensitive than χ² tests for the search of sinuous pulsars. In particular, the use of the H-test is suggested if no a priori information about the light curve shape is available as in our case.

First, for each target we searched for pulsed signals using the whole available data span. Later, each observation block was analyzed to check for possible flux and/or pulse profile variability.

We also performed a preliminary maximum likelihood analysis (ALIKE task) on the AGILE data for the regions concerning our targets in order to exploit the instrument’s imaging capabilities to assess gamma-ray source parameters. Here, we focus on timing analysis leaving detailed source positioning, flux and (phase-resolved) spectral analysis for future papers when higher counts photon statistics on each target will be available and instrument effective area calibrations will be consolidated.

3. NEW GAMMA-RAY PULSARS

In Table 1, the most significant detection is PSR J2229+6114 for which AGILE-detected pulsed emission (radio/gamma-ray periods discrepancy ≲ 10⁻¹¹ s, Figure 2) and pinpointed the most likely position (l = 106.86; b = 2.94°) to ∼0.2 from the radio pulsar. Our detection provides a reliable identification for the previously unidentified EGRET source 3EG 2227+6122 (l = 106.53; b = 3.18). The AGILE source position, pulsed flux, and photon index (∼2.2) are consistent with the EGRET values (Hartman et al. 1999). The gamma-ray light curve of this pulsar (detected up to over 1 GeV), featuring just one prominent peak shifted ∼180° in phase from the radio main peak, is shown in Figure 1. It is worth noting that assuming a distance of ∼3 kpc inferred by X-ray observations (Halpern et al. 2001) and isotropic emission, the pulsar gamma-ray efficiency would be ∼0.5, a factor of 20 higher than that quoted in Table 1. In modern
Background-subtracted $E > 100$ MeV folded pulse profiles (except for the $E > 50$ MeV J1357−6429 light curve) of the pulsars shown in Table 1. Events extraction radius is optimized as a function of energy (see the text). All pulse profiles are obtained using G event class only except for PSR J1513+5908 and PSR J2043+2740 that have higher counts statistics because detections are obtained including G+L event class. For PSR J2229+6114 the histogram of the $\sim 75 (G+L)$ events with $E > 1$ GeV is also shown. Absolute timing is performed for each target: the main radio peak (1.4 GHz) corresponds to phase 0. Possible fluctuations of the dispersion measure over the considered time interval are not expected to significantly affect phasing results, given the time resolution of the available gamma-ray light curves.

Pulsar beaming models (in particular high-altitude models) the assumption of isotropy in luminosity calculation could be a better approximation in most cases, implying that efficiencies should be increased by roughly an order of magnitude from those based on the commonly assumed 1 sr beam (Watters et al. 2009). Anyway, precise efficiency measurements await for a better assessment of pulsar distance, flux, and beam geometry.

Figure 1. Background-subtracted $E > 100$ MeV folded pulse profiles (except for the $E > 50$ MeV J1357−6429 light curve) of the pulsars shown in Table 1. Events extraction radius is optimized as a function of energy (see the text). All pulse profiles are obtained using G event class only except for PSR J1513+5908 and PSR J2043+2740 that have higher counts statistics because detections are obtained including G+L event class. For PSR J2229+6114 the histogram of the $\sim 75 (G+L)$ events with $E > 1$ GeV is also shown. Absolute timing is performed for each target: the main radio peak (1.4 GHz) corresponds to phase 0. Possible fluctuations of the dispersion measure over the considered time interval are not expected to significantly affect phasing results, given the time resolution of the available gamma-ray light curves.

Figure 2. PSR J2229+6114: gamma-ray period search result (period trials vs. $\chi^2$ Pearson statistics). The radio period (vertical line at $P_{AGILE} - P_{RADIO} = 0$) is $51.64101208(3)$ ns (PEPOCH = 54575.5856 MJD). The most significant gamma-ray pulse profile is obtained for $P_{AGILE} = 51.64101208(2)$, a value within the 1$\sigma$ error on the radio period (vertical dashed lines).

PSR J1513−5908 (B1509−58) was detected by COMPTEL in the 1–10 MeV range, while EGRET reported (Fierro 1996) only marginal evidence for a weak <4$\sigma$ source at $\sim 1^\circ$ from the radio position, with a pulsed emission upper limit of $< 58 \times 10^{-8}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The AGILE discovery of pulsed emission from PSR B1509−58 relies also on a 6.4$\sigma$ detection of a gamma-ray source (possibly multiple sources) at $\lesssim 0.4$ from the radio position. The main gamma-ray peak at phase $\sim 0.35$ in the AGILE light curve is aligned with the soft gamma-ray peak seen by COMPTEL in the 0.75–30 MeV band (0.35 $\pm$ 0.03; Kuiper et al. 1999) slightly trailing the hard X-rays single peaked light curves (Saito et al. 1997; Rotse et al. 1998). A second possible peak in the AGILE light curve at $\sim 0.85$ could have correspondence with the marginal feature seen in the 10–30 MeV COMPTEL light curve. The COMPTEL sharp spectral break between 10 and 30 MeV (Kuiper et al. 1999) is confirmed by our AGILE point at 100 MeV. Our data imply a softening of the photon index from $\sim 1.7$ to $\sim 2.5$ going from tens to hundreds of MeV. Such a low-energy break, compared to the more common GeV spectral break in gamma-ray pulsars, could be the telltale signature of the photon splitting process inducing significant e.m. cascade attenuation due to the strong magnetic polar field (greater than 10$^{13}$ G) of this pulsar (e.g., Harding et al. 1997).

At variance with all the other targets, the millisecond pulsar J1824−2452 in the Globular Cluster M28 was detected by AGILE, with good significance (greater than 4$\sigma$) and perfect radio–gamma periods match, only in the time interval 54339−54344 MJD (Figure 3). The main radio peak at 1.4 GHz is coincident with the broad single peak seen in gamma rays. Only marginal detection was obtained integrating other observations with comparable exposure or the whole data span. Noise fluctuations could possibly explain the apparent variability. Alternatively, although its gamma-ray efficiency and high stability of spin parameters are compatible with rotation-powered emission, some additional mechanism disturbing the neutron star magnetosphere in the dense cluster environment could be invoked to explain the variable gamma-ray phenomenology of this peculiar pulsar. AGILE timing failures at submillisecond level in some observations (mimicking source variability) cannot be excluded. However, this seems unlikely, since we
second youngest (J1513−5908) and by far the oldest nonrecycled pulsar (J2043+2740) detected at gamma-ray energies. The gamma-ray pulsar search and the improvement of AGILE timing procedures requiring unprecedented long integrations is an ongoing effort, in close collaboration with the radio observers. We trust that the new gamma-ray pulsar detections presented here will trigger multiwavelength observations (e.g., in X-rays) simultaneous to the continuously improving AGILE and Fermi (formerly GLAST) exposures on these targets. The availability of high-resolution light curves and their precise multiwavelength phasing will pave the way to improve pulsar models as well as our understanding of the pulsar population as a whole.

We thank the referee for her/his useful recommendations and comments. The Parkes radio telescope is part of the Australia Telescope, which is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operations as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. We thank Jules Halpern and Fernando Camilo for useful discussions and contributions on gamma-ray pulsar timing.
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