Study of outcome after fracture reduction and internal fixation with volar locking plates in distal end radius fractures
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Abstract

Background: The distal end radius fractures are common in older age group patients with osteoporosis. There are many treatment modalities both operative and non-operative methods. In osteoporotic patients the volar locking plate fixation may be the best option after open reduction. Our study aim is to assess the functional outcome in a retrospective study.

Methods: 30 patients in age group of 50-70, with mean age of 60 years, with distal end radius fractures were selected and fixed with volar locking plates after open reduction by anterior incision. These patients were followed retrospectively for period of 12 months mean (24 months follow up). The modified MAYO score and DASH (disabilities of the Arm Shoulder and Hand) scores were used to assess the outcome- functional and fracture union.

Results: About 80% got good to excellent, 15% satisfactory, and 5% poor results according to the mayo and dash scores.

Conclusion: The volar locking plate fixation after open reduction yield good to excellent results, among the treatment options available for distal end radius fractures.
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Our study is retrospective study and the aim is to study functional out come after open reduction and internal fixation with volar locking plates.

**Methods**

Our study is retrospective study. The patients selected were in the age group of 50-70 years, using data base of hospital records. Most of the patients were females with osteoporosis.

The study conducted between 2012 to 2015.

**Inclusion Criteria**

1. Distal end radius fractures with intra-articular extension
2. Fractures with displacement of more than 2 mm.
3. Fractures with shortening more than 3 mm.
4. Fractures with angulation more than 15 degrees.
5. Metaphyseal fractures with comminution.
6. Old non operative cases, those lost reduction.

The mean time of surgery is 14 days. Range 0-28 days after fracture.

**Exclusion Criteria**

1. Fractures more than 28 days old
2. Those treated with other implants.
3. Non traumatic lesions.

Patients: - Using hospital records, and above inclusion criteria, 30 patients were selected and the x-rays-preoperative, post-operative, case sheet analysis, intra-operative findings, questionnaire regarding function and range of motion were analyzed.

Results were assessed using modified MAYO SCORES and QUICK DASH SCORES (disabilities of arm, shoulder and hand).

**Dash and quick dash scores**

**Dash** - The Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH)-

1. Self-reporting questionnaire
2. Measures symptoms and physical function
3. Outcome of 30-item
4. Describes the disability.
5. Monitor changes in function and symptoms over time. [5,6,7]

**Quick Dash** - Quick DASH. It contains eleven items and is similar with regard to scores and properties to the full DASH. A comparison of item-reduction approaches suggested that the retention of clinically sensible and important content produced a comparable, if not slightly better, instrument than did more statistically driven approaches. - [7]

This is a shorter form of questionnaire.

It contains only 11 questions that should be answered by patients in the last week.

In 2002 a revised scoring system was introduced by DASH

**SCORING THE DASH [6,7]**

Two components of the DASH: the disability/symptom questions (30 items, scored 1-5) and the optional high performance sport/music or work section (4 items, scored 1-5). DASH

\[
\text{disability/symptom score} = \left( \frac{\text{sum of } n \text{ responses}}{25/n} \right)
\]

where n is equal to the number of completed responses. 27 of the 30 items should be completed to be calculated. The values for all completed responses are summed and averaged, producing a score out of five. This value is then transformed to a score out of 100 by subtracting one and multiplying by 25. This is done to make the score easier to compare to other measures scaled on a 0-100 scale. Greater disability means higher score.

**Mayo Wrist Score** -

During the last four – 4 weeks the patients will answer the 12 multiple choice questions in 4 sections. [8]
Section 3 - (choose either 3a or 3b)

3a - Range of Motion (% of normal side)
- 100%
- 75-99%
- 50-74%
- 25-49%
- 0-24%

3b - If only injured hand examined
- Greater than 120 degrees
- 0-120 degrees
- 60-90 degrees
- 30-60 degrees
- less than 30 degrees

Section 4 - Grip strength % of normal

The Mayo Wrist Score is
- 100%
- 75-100%
- 50-75%
- 25-50%
- 0-24%

Interpretation: -
- 90-100 – excellent
- 80-90 – good
- 60-80 – satisfactory
- < 60 – poor

Results according to mayo wrist scores, quick dash scores.

| Mayo Wrist Score | Dash Score |
|------------------|------------|
| Excellent        | 90-100     | 0-5 |
| Good             | 80-90      | 6-15 |
| satisfactory     | 60-80      | 16-35 |
| Poor             | <60        | >35 |

100 score is excellent in MAYO WRIST SCORE and 100 is poor in DASH SCORE [5, 6, 7, 8]. x-rays both preoperative and postoperative were analyzed for fracture classification and union of fractures. Pain on pressure (tenderness) at fracture site is also assessed for union clinically. The classification used was AO-ASIF CLASSIFICATION. Radiographic callus formation and trabecular formation is regarded as union.

Surgical Technique- Regional anesthesia or general anesthesia was given accordingly and through anteriorly given incision, besides flexor carpi radialis and its bed, fracture sites were freshened, reduced and fixed with routine locking volar plates. Specific type of the implant was used in all cases. Routine bone grafting was not done. Requirement for decompression of carpal tunnel was not there routinely. Below elbow pop slabs were applied for a period of 4-6 weeks and removed after radiological and clinical union changes. Physiotherapy exercises were employed.

Results & Discussion

About 80% got good to excellent (n=24), 15% satisfactory (n=4), and 5% (n=2) poor results according to the mayo and dash scores. 90% - females, 10% males.

24 patients – excellent to good results
4- patients – satisfactory results
2- patients – got poor result.

The different variables were distributed (continuous and categorical variables) and calculated by using percentages, median and standard deviation statistic techniques.
Results in tabular form

| Mayo Wrist Score | Dash Score |
|------------------|------------|
| Excellent        | 17         | 18         |
| Good             | 7          | 6          |
| satisfactory     | 4          | 4          |
| Poor             | 2          | 2          |

Age wise distribution: -
70% - are in the age range of 60-70 years
20% - are in the range of 55-60 years.
10% - are in the range of 50-55 years.
The above variable factors- sex, age, were not influencing the outcome.

The fracture classification groups according to AO-ASIF CLASSIFICATION [9,10]: –
The total A GROUP– 50% (combined 23-A1, A2, A3.)
B GROUP-20% (COMBINED 23-B1, B2, B3.)
C GROUP-30% (COMBINED 23-C1, 2, 3)
The total follows up period was 24 months post-operatively. Mean follow up period was 12 months. [10]

Analysis of x-rays: The post-operative follow up x-rays were analyzed for fracture union. The fracture union was delayed in group c patients, where comminuted fractures were there. The mean union time was 8.6 weeks (6-30 weeks).
The assessment was based on trabecular formation (bridging) in the x-rays both in A. P AND LAT views. [11]-radiological assessments. Clinically- the assessment for union was no tenderness at fracture site. [11]

Complications: - There were superficial incision site infections in 4 patients, cured after antibiotic therapy. In 6 members mild to moderate stiffness developed and after physiotherapy recovered well. Prominent dorsal screws in 3 members.
There were no tendon ruptures and chronic regional pain syndromes.

Discussion
The most common cause is fall on outstretched hand. There are two age groups most commonly affected. The pediatric age group between 6-10 years and the other age group is geriatric, (60-70). [11,12]. In younger age group high energy motor vehicle accidents cause these fractures. In older people- simple fall can cause distal radius fractures. [11,12].

High load is imparted to the distal radius by carpal bones when the person falls on the outstretched hand. In younger people high energy is required. In geriatric people low energy is sufficient to break the distal radius. [12]. The type of fracture and degree of comminution depends on various factors. [12, 13]
Factors affecting the nature and type of fractures:–
1. Quality of bone
2. Nature of fall
3. Age of the patient
4. Weight of the patient
5. Type of the energy.
6. Position of the hand and wrist at the time of impact.
The combinations of the above factors may cause different types of fracture combinations. Distal cortex fails in compression-causing more comminution on dorsal side. Volar cortex fails in tension. [12,13]

Treatment Protocol:
Non-operative treatment (closed reduction and casting)
Surgical treatment
Plate fixation
External fixation A bridging external fixator device, A non-bridging external fixator, Dynamic hinged external fixation
Closed reduction with percutaneous pinning [13,14]

Factors Affecting Treatment:–
Patient-related:– Age, Osteoporosis, Work-related injuries. [15,16]
Fracture characteristics:–
Un-displaced fractures-treated conservatively
Displacement- laterally>1cm, radial shortening >5mm, comminution, intra-articular fractures, associated ulnar
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fractures, compound fractures- require surgical treatment [16,17]

Comparative Studies:– we compared our results with the studies of others.

Our Results

About 80% got good to excellent (n=24), 15% satisfactory (n=4), and 5%( n=2) poor results according to the mayo and dash scores, as compared to the studies below.

The studies of Joideep phadnis et al [17] have shown The median DASH score was 2.3 and median MAYO score was 90 for the whole group. Overall, 133 patients (74%) had a good or excellent DASH and MAYO score. Statistical analysis showed that no specific variable including gender, age, fracture type, post-operative immobilization or surgeon grade significantly affected outcome. Complications occurred in 27 patients (15%) and in 11 patients were major (6%). And Results of Rozental et al [18] were compared with our results which were also shows almost similar outcome.

Those were few studies with functional outcome analysis after volar fixation with locking plates after open surgical procedure. Those studies also show 70-80% good to excellent functional results, 15-20% satisfactory to poor results using DASH SCORES. In all studies poor functional outcome was due to complications.

Complications: The possible complications- Deep infections- at bone level, superficial surgical site infections, mal-union, carpal tunnel syndrome, tendon ruptures. [19’20]. The other complication -tenosynovitis may be present. [21, 22]. Correct reduction under c-arm guidance before plate fixation (both in A.P & LAT views), good preoperative sterility maintenance, good surgical technique, less soft tissue damage reduces complications. [23, 24, 25]

Conclusion

The study shows that the fixation of distal end radius fractures with volar locking plate, results in good to excellent union and functional outcome. Because of complications like infection, improper reduction before fixation may lead to poor functional outcome. The volar locking plate fixation after open reduction can be executed in all types of distal end radius fractures.
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