Obtaining and Characterization of Volatile Oils from Aromatic Plants
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I. INTRODUCTION

Volatile oils are complex volatile mixtures produced by aromatic plants as secondary metabolites [1]. Essential oils contain often a variety of components, especially terpenes and their oxygenated derivatives called terpenoids. It also contains phenylpropanoids, nitrogen or sulphur [2]. Since ancient times, volatile oils are known for their antibacterial, antifungal and antioxidant effects [3]. Nowadays, many microorganisms have become resistant to antibiotics. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate other sources of antimicrobial agents. Recent studies are investigating volatile oils with antimicrobial properties to combat microbial resistance [4]. The mechanism of antimicrobial action of volatile oils is due to the phenolic compounds. The volatile oils are hydrophobic and they can interact with the microbial cell membrane. This results in increased bacterial membrane permeability, disturb of cell structure and disturb homeostasis [5].

The oxidative stress is an important process that take place in the cells. It produces aging and degenerative diseases like cancer, cardiovascular diseases, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease, autoimmune diseases and dementia [6, 7]. The antioxidants are substances that antagonize the action of free radicals [8]. Synthetic antioxidants such as butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) or butylhydroxyanisole (BHA) have been reported to have a carcinogenic effect. Natural antioxidants are highly studied due to their ability to protect the body from the harmful action of oxidative stress [6].

The aim of this paper was to extract the volatile oils from six aromatic plants (Ocimum basilicum L., Thymus vulgaris L., Foeniculum vulgare Mill., Levisticum officinale Koch., Majorana hortensis Moench and Anethum graveolens L.) and to investigate their antimicrobial and antioxidant activities. The antimicrobial activity was tested against six pathogens that frequently produce foodborne: Salmonella typhi, Bacillus cereus, Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Candida albicans.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Plant materials

Dried aerial parts of Ocimum basilicum L. (basil), Thymus vulgaris L. (thyme), Levisticum officinale Koch. (lovage), Majorana hortensis Moench (marjoram) and dried seeds of Foeniculum vulgare Mill. (fennel) and Anethum graveolens L. (dill) were used for extraction the volatile oils. Except thyme aerial parts, all plant materials were purchased from a local shop. Thyme aerial parts were collected from Sibiu County, Romania and they were dried in the shade.

Volatile oils extraction

The volatile oils were extracted from plant materials by hydrodistillation using a modified neo-Clevenger apparatus for approximately 5 hours. After extraction the volatile oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and stored at 4°C.
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in sealed vials until analysis [9]. The process of hydrodistillation was previously described by other researchers [10, 11].

The yield of extraction ($\eta$) was calculated by the following formula:

$$\eta = \frac{\text{volume of volatile oil (mL)}}{\text{weight of dried plant (g)}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

**Bacterial strains**

In this study, four gram-positive bacteria (Salmonella typhi ATCC 1408, Bacillus cereus ATCC 12600, Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6051 and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 12600), a gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli ATCC 11775) and a fungal strain (Candida albicans ATCC 10231) were tested. In general, these pathogens contaminate food and they are responsible for food poisoning [5].

**Antimicrobial activity**

The antimicrobial activity was performed by Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method [4]. All volatile oils were tested against S. typhi, B. cereus, B. subtilis, Staph. aureus, E. coli and C. albicans. The pathogens were activated on Nutrient broth for 48 h, then cultivated in Petri dishes with Muller Hinton II Agar as substrate. The antimicrobial activity was tested with three different volatile oil quantities: 0.5 μl, 1 μl, respectively 1.5 μl of volatile oils were added to a sterile paper disk placed in the middle of the Petri dishes containing the pathogen and the solidified substrate. Plates were incubated at 35°C for 48 h. After 2 days, the diameter of the inhibition zone was measured with a ruler. All tests were performed in triplicate and the results show the average of the values.

**Antioxidant activity**

The antioxidant activity was determined by DPPH assay. The authors used a method similar with the method described by Tylkowski et al [12], with some modifications. A standard solution of 25 μg/ml DPPH in methanol was prepared. Seven solutions of DPPH with the concentration between 0.25 μg/ml and 2.5 μg/ml were prepared from the DPPH standard solution. The absorbance of the solutions was measured at 515 nm with a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (CECIL 1021), resulting the calibration curve. The equation of the calibration curve was $y = 0.0127x + 0.0036$, where $x$ is the concentration of DPPH solution in μg/ml and $y$ is the absorbance measured at 515 nm.

30 μl of volatile oil were added over 970 μl of DPPH 25 μg/ml standard solution. The absorbance of the samples was determined with CECIL 1021 spectrophotometer.

The concentration of DPPH in the samples was calculated with the following formula:

$$C (\mu g/ml) = \frac{A-0.0036}{0.0127} \quad (2)$$

Where:

$C$ – the concentration of DPPH solution, in μg/ml;
$A$ – the absorbance of the sample;
0.0036 – the intercept of the curve;
0.0127 – the slope of the curve.

The antioxidant activity was calculated by the following equation:

$$\text{AA} (\%) = \frac{C_0-C_1}{C_0} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

Where:

$C_0$ – the DPPH standard solution concentration
$C_1$ - the DPPH concentration after it reacts with the antioxidant

**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The percentage yields of extraction are 1.26% for Ocimum basilicum L. volatile oil, 1.18% for Foeniculum vulgare Mill. volatile oil, 0.96% for Thymus vulgaris L. volatile oil, 0.73% for Anethum graveolens L. volatile oil, 0.56% for Levisticum officinale Koch. volatile oil and 0.3% for Majorana hortensis Moench volatile oil.

Fig. 1-6 present the results obtained after antimicrobial activity analyses.
percentage yield in the present study was determined that basil volatile oil presented the weakest antioxidant activity (AA=18.30%). Lovage volatile oil has lower antioxidant activity (AA=34.99%) than thyme volatile oil, but higher than basil volatile oil (AA=30.27%). Marjoram volatile oil presents the weakest antioxidant activity (AA=18.30%). Fennel volatile oil and dill volatile oil have no antioxidant activity.

DPPH free radical scavenging method results are summarized in Table I.

The volatile oils extracted from fennel seeds (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill*.) and dill seeds (*Anethum graveolens L.*) did not show antioxidant activity.

Compared with another studies, the yields of extraction for basil and lovage volatile oils in the present study were higher than the yields reported by Semeniuc et al, but in our research the yield of extraction for thyme volatile oil was smaller than the yield reported by Semeniuc et al [13]. Fennel volatile oil percentage yield in the present study was close to the yield determined by Singh et al [14]. Busatta et al reported a yield of 1.2% for marjoram volatile oil, a higher percentage than in our study [15]. The percentage yield of dill volatile oil reported by Jian et al was 0.92% [16], close to the yield determined in the present study. The differences in the percentage yields are due to variation in quantity, which is correlated with growing conditions (climate, soil constituents), the part of the plant used for extraction, the stage of ripening process.

In our study, thyme volatile oil showed the highest antimicrobial activity. It was active against all tested pathogens: *S. typhi*, *B. cereus*, *B. subtilis*, *Staph. aureus*, *E. coli* and *Candida albicans*. Also, marjoram volatile oil was active against all tested microorganisms, but the diameters of the inhibition zones were smaller compared with the diameter measured for thyme volatile oil. According to our results, some microorganisms were resistant to lovage, fennel and dill volatile oils. Basil volatile oil presented the weakest antimicrobial effect.

| Volatile oil                  | AA (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Thymus vulgaris L. volatile oil | 87.28  |
| Levisticum officinale Koch. volatile oil | 34.99  |
| Ocimum basilicum L. volatile oil | 30.27  |
| Majorana hortensis Moench volatile oil | 18.30  |

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the percentage yield of extraction is low due to the low content of volatile oil in aromatic plants. For this reason, the volatile oils are so expensive.

This study shows that volatile oils are effective against some pathogens that produce food poisoning. Thyme volatile oil shows the best antimicrobial activity. It is followed by marjoram, lovage, fennel, and basil volatile oils. The data obtained are important for further investigations regarding the treatment of infectious diseases. In future studies we intend to determine the antimicrobial action of these oils at higher concentration and to determine the minimum inhibitory concentrations and the minimum bactericidal concentration.

In addition, the research shows that the tested volatile oils possess antioxidant activity, except dill and fennel volatile oils. These findings confirm that some essential oils can be used to combat the oxidation in different systems. A suggestion for future studies is to test the antioxidant activity with other free radicals.
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