Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been shown to associate with increased risk of thromboembolic events. Mechanical thrombectomy (MT) has long been used to effectively manage those with large-vessel occlusive (LVO) stroke and has similarly been implemented in the management of stroke in COVID-19 patients.

Review Summary: The COVID-19 pandemic took the health care sector by a storm. Thus, less is known about MT outcomes in this population and evidence suggesting poor outcomes postthrombectomy for COVID-19 patients is accumulating. We provide a narrative on some of the published studies on the outcomes of MT in COVID-19 patients with LVO between March 2020 and February 2021. A description of patient characteristics, risk factors, COVID-19 infection severity, stroke features and thrombectomy success in this population is also presented as data from several studies show that LVO in COVID-19 patients may have some distinguishing characteristics that make management more challenging.

Conclusions: The effect of COVID-19 on the long-term prognosis of stroke patients after thrombectomy is yet to be determined. The accumulating evidence from current studies indicates a negative impact of COVID-19 on outcomes in acute ischemic stroke patients who receive MT, irrespective of timely, successful angiographic recanalization. This review may help alert clinicians of some of the COVID-19-specific postthrombectomy challenges.
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METHODS

We conducted a literature search utilizing the PubMed search engine by using different combinations of the terms: COVID, thrombectomy, stroke, LVO and outcomes. We reviewed observational cohort studies, case-control studies, case series, case reports and systematic reviews published between March 2020 and February 2021 and included articles describing COVID-19 patients with LVO stroke who underwent MT, in addition to details of their risk factors, COVID-19 severity, clinical presentation, laboratory results, angiographic results and thrombectomy outcomes. Furthermore, for the purpose of comparison, we included a few important studies describing COVID-19 stroke patients in general, regardless of having undergone MT or not. We believe that these studies help present a more complete picture.

Review of the Literature

Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Stroke Time-to-Treatment

The COVID-19 pandemic took the health care sector by a storm. Not only did it disrupt management of chronic conditions and elective procedures, but life-saving emergency procedures, in which time is critical, have been delayed or even aborted. In AIS patients, MT must be performed in a timely manner; if an MT is not performed within 6 hours of when an AIS patient was last seen in his/her normal state, it loses its benefit. In a select group of patients, those with potentially saveable tissue identified on computed tomography perfusion or magnetic resonance imaging, that window is extended to 24 hours. Several reports describe delayed presentation of AIS patients because of anxiety associated with being in the hospital during the pandemic, many of whom had an extremely poor outcome. If the patient did manage to make it to the hospital in time, the service itself during the pandemic is associated with many logistic and clinical challenges. SARS-CoV-2 is highly contagious, and the virus may spread via droplets or become aerosolized during intubation. Thus, additional precautions
before intubation are required such as using appropriate protective gear, using special equipment and performing manual ventilation and intubation before arrival to the angiographic interventional suite. Furthermore, the physical movement of the team members in these suites must be highly controlled to reduce the risk of transmission. In addition, strict measurements of systolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure and end tidal carbon dioxide are needed to avoid poor outcomes such as disability and death in this population.3,13 Overall, data from different parts of the world show that the pandemic has had a negative impact on AIS time-to-treatment metrics, a factor which plays a key role in predicting clinical outcomes in stroke patients.1,12

**Demographic Characteristics, Laboratory and Risk Factors of COVID Patients Treated With MT**

Data on demographic characteristics of COVID-19 patients treated with MT indicate a wide range of age groups. While some studies reported on young patients in their thirties with an obvious male predominance,5,10 other studies reported a high frequency of MT in older male COVID-19 patients with a median age of more than 70 years.13-15 Overall, according to a meta-analysis of 50 studies, COVID-19 patients with acute cerebrovascular disease were found to be younger than stroke patients with no SARS-CoV-2 infection (pooled median difference = 6.0 y).16 In a parallel manner, COVID-19 patients who underwent mechanical thrombectomy were younger than uninfected patients who underwent MT during both the pandemic6 and before the pandemic (Table 1).17 Interestingly, in addition to being younger, some studies on MT reported many COVID-19 patients with AIS lacking traditional vascular risk factors,5,10 although many other studies described the presence of these risk factors (eg, hypertension, diabetes and cardiovascular disease) in COVID-19 patients treated with MT.6,13-15

Data from several studies describe distinguishing laboratory findings in COVID-19 patients with AIS, such as elevated levels of D-dimer, ferritin, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), lupus anticoagulant, antcardiolipin immunoglobulin A, and antiphospholipid immunoglobulins.3,27,28 This was consistent with studies on COVID-19 patients who underwent MT (Table 1).10,13,17,18 Importantly, specific laboratory findings in COVID-19 patients may have diagnostic, prognostic, and possible therapeutic implications.13,29-32 An example of the prognostic value of such data is from studies on COVID-19 pneumonia patients which suggest that high levels of D-dimer, interleukin-6, LDH, and ferritin on admission, along with abnormal coagulation values—all of which were frequently found in COVID-19 patients who underwent MT10,13,17,18—are associated with a higher mortality risk.30,31 In addition, laboratory indicators such as low lymphocyte count and high aspartate and LDH levels were significantly associated with 30-day mortality after MT in COVID-19 patients and can be used as predictors of mortality in these patients.13 The proposed therapeutic benefit of these laboratory values lies in possibly guiding clinicians to the appropriate anticoagulation dose in COVID patients, whether it be for prophylaxis or for patients undergoing MT. In case of prophylaxis, many reports showed a high frequency of cerebrovascular disease in COVID-19 patients despite receiving a standard prophylactic regimen.1,16,32 Some investigators further suggest that standard low molecular weight heparin may be insufficient and higher doses of prophylaxis may be required in COVID-19 patients with a high risk for cerebrovascular disease and markedly elevated laboratory coagulopathy indicators.1,32 Nevertheless, in patients with COVID-19-related critical illness who do not have suspected or confirmed venous thromboembolism, the American Society of Hematology still recommends using prophylactic-intensity over intermediate-intensity or therapeutic-intensity anticoagulation.33 In patients undergoing thrombectomy, it may be prudent to monitor inflammatory markers as it has been suggested that those with elevated markers may require aggressive anticoagulation and prolonged observation at the peak of their immune response.25

**COVID-19 Severity at the Time of Stroke in MT Patients**

Severe SARS-CoV-2 infection has been shown to associate with increased mortality, risk in stroke patients who were treated with MT (Table 1). Data from multiple studies suggests that MT in COVID-19 patients with stroke is in fact successful, but the severity of the underlying pneumonia may affect the overall outcomes.5,13,14 Thrombectomy in severe infection is complicated by diagnostic and therapeutic delays imposed by the fact that these patients are more likely to be sedated and intubated, thus hindering the early detection of any deterioration in the level of consciousness. Other challenges in this population include multiorgan damage, complicated patient management pathways, COVID-19-associated arterial disease and hypercoagulability.7 A study from Spain concluded that COVID-19 was an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality. The same group also found that despite receiving MT, LVO in COVID-19 patients was associated with 50% in-hospital mortality and most of the patients who died (80%) had a severe SARS-CoV-2 infection.14 A multicenter cohort study involving 34 European stroke centers studied COVID-19 patients with LVO who underwent MT and showed that 27% of their patients experienced non-neurological complications and close to one third of them required intensive care unit management. They concluded that, generally, the risk of mortality in this subset of patients depends on the severity of the infection, patient comorbidities, age, and laboratory abnormalities.13 Of note, studies on COVID-19 patients with MT showed that stroke can be the first manifestation of SARS-CoV-2 infection.10,15,19,20 Therefore, clinicians must suspect COVID-19 diagnosis in stroke patients who present to the hospital with altered levels of consciousness, especially during the pandemic time. That level of suspicion must also be maintained when dealing with confusion or even unconsciousness in diagnosed COVID-19 patients because though it could be related to the severity of their underlying infection, it may also be a sign of stroke.34

**Stroke Characteristics in COVID-19 Patients Treated With MT**

Evidence supports a higher frequency of cryptogenic stroke in COVID-19 patients.3 In a study from New York, 65.6% of stroke cases in COVID-19 patients were cryptogenic; a rate much higher than that documented in contemporary uninfected (30.4%) and historical controls (25%).15 In general, studies showed that stroke patients with COVID-19 were more impaired on presentation with higher National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores on admission than contemporary18 and historical controls.16,35 Similarly, on presentation, higher NIHSS scores were seen in COVID-19 patients who underwent MT (Table 1).5,6,17,23

Other notable stroke features in COVID-19 patients who were treated with MT include a higher frequency of LVO, higher thrombus burden with a tendency for clot fragmentation, multiterritory vascular involvement and uncommon stroke...
### TABLE 1. Clinical, Laboratory, Thrombectomy Success and Outcomes in COVID-19 Patients With Stroke Who Underwent Mechanical Thrombectomy

| Reference | MT Patient Characteristics [n (%)] | COVID Severity in MT Patients [n (%)] | Laboratories in MT Patients [n (%)] | Thrombectomy Success [n (%)] | Outcomes [n (%)] |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| John et al<sup>15</sup> | n = 6 | 3 (50) no symptoms | D-dimer 1000+ | 1 (16.6) mRS 1 | 6 (60) In-hospital death |
|           | Age average 46.8 | 3 (50) fever, cough, dyspnea | 2 (50) Fibrinogen 500+ | 1 (16.6) mRS 3 | — |
|           | 5 (83.3) males | | PT/PTT normal | 1 (16.6) mRS 4-5 | — |
|           | 1 (16.6) HTN, DM | | | 1 (16.6) TICI 0 | (at discharge or 30 d poststroke) |
|           | 5 (83.3) no risk factors NIHSS 23 | | | | |
| Escalard et al<sup>16</sup> | n = 10 | 2 (20) no symptoms | D-dimer median 2440 | 9 (90) successful recanalization (TICI ≥ 2b) | 6 (60) In-hospital death |
|           | Age median 59.5 | 5 (50) mild symptoms | CRP 15.4 (4-40) | 74 (79.6) Successful recanalization (TICI 2b-3) | |
|           | 8 (80) males | 3 (30) Hospitalized for COVID | PT/PTT normal | 2 (2.2) reocclusion of the same artery | |
|           | 8 (80): ≥ 1 stroke risk factor NIHSS average 22 | | | | |
| Oxley et al<sup>10</sup> | n = 4 | 2 (50) no symptoms | | | |
|           | Age range (37-49) | 1 (25) lethargy | 3 | — | |
|           | 4 (100) males | 1 (25) fever, cough, lethargy | | 1 (25) Home | 18 |
|           | 1 (25) Hx of stroke NIHSS average 16 | | | 1 (25) rehabilitation | |
| Cagnazzo et al<sup>13</sup> | n = 93 | 32 (34.4) no symptoms | D-dimer median 2440 | 74 (79.6) Successful recanalization (TICI 2b-3) | 13 (14) Non-neurological NIHSS at 24 h (median) 14 |
|           | Age median 71 | 31 (33.3) fever | CRP 15.4 (4-40) | 2 (2.2) reocclusion of the same artery | |
|           | 63 (67.7) males | 31 (33.3) dyspnea | PT/PTT normal | | |
|           | 43 (46.2) Cardiovascular disease NIHSS median 17 | | | | |
| Requena et al<sup>14</sup> | n = 10 | 9 (90) Prestroke symptoms | | | |
|           | Age average 70.8 | 2 (20) ICU admission | | 5 (50) TICI 2b-3 | 5 (50) In-hospital death |
|           | 6 (60) males | 7 (70) Severe infection | | NIHSS at 24 h (median) 18 | |
|           | 2 (20) Atrial fibrillation NIHSS median 18 | | | 5 (50) In-hospital death | |
| Pop et al<sup>15</sup> | n = 13 | 12 (92.3) patients presented to the hospital for stroke | | | |
|           | Age median 78 | 9 (90) Prestroke symptoms | | 10 (76.9) TICI 2b-3 | 3 (60) In-hospital death |
|           | 5 (38.4) males | | | 1 (7.6) reocclusion within 9 h | |
|           | NIHSS median 13 | | | NIHSS median 5 (last available) | 2 (15.3) In-hospital death |
| Yaghi et al<sup>18</sup> | n = 6 | 1 (16.7) no symptoms | All with ↑ D-dimer ↑ CRP | 2 (33) rehabilitation | |
|           | Age average 55 | 1 (16.7) cough only | 5(83) D-dimer 2700+ | 2 (33) Hospitalized (ICU) | |
|           | 6 (100) comorbidities | 4 (66.7) hypoxia | | 2 (33) Hospitalized (ICU) | |
|           | 2 (33) Atrial fibrillation | | | | |
| Wang et al<sup>17</sup> | n = 5 | | All with ↑ D-dimer ↑ ESR, CRP ↑ INR, ↑ PTT ↑ interleukin-6 | 1 (20) TICI 3 | 1 (20) Home |
|           | Age average 52.8 | | | 2 (40) TICI 2b | NIHSS 1 |
|           | 4 (80) males | | | 2 (40) TICI 2a | 1 (20) Home |
|           | 4 (80) comorbidities NIHSS average 23 | | | 2 (40) reocclusion within minutes | NIHSS 15 |
| Al Saiegh et al<sup>19</sup> | n = 1 | | | | |
|           | Age 62, female No risk factors NIHSS range: 10-19 | | | | |
| Grewal et al<sup>20</sup> | n = 3 | 1 (33.3) COVID symptoms | D-dimer | 1 (33.3) TICI 3 | 2 (66.7) In-hospital death |
|           | Age average 47.5 NIHSS average 16 | 2 (66.7) patients presented to the hospital for stroke | 1 (33.3) normal | 2 (66.7) TICI 2b | mRS 4 |
|           | | | 1 (600) | 15,000+ | |
| Yaeger et al<sup>21</sup> | n = 10 | 5 (50) COVID positive 5 (50) COVID negative NIHSS range: 10-19 | | | |
|           | Age average ≤ 50: 25 (24) 71 (68.3) males 30 (28.9) Atrial fibrillation NIHSS average 14 NIHSS range: 10-19 | 3 (60) cough, dyspnea | | | |
|           | | 56 (53.9) Mechanical ventilation | | | |
| De Havenon et al<sup>22</sup> | n = 104 | 5 (100) no fever, no critical respiratory illness | | | |
|           | Age 62, female No risk factors NIHSS range: 10-19 | | | | |
| Al Kasab et al<sup>23</sup> | n = 13 | 13 (100) Successful recanalization (TICI ≥ 2b) | | | |
|           | Age median 58 8 (61.5) males NIHSS median 19 | | | | |
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locations, all of which are associated with increased mortality risk.5,10,17,36 Clinicians should pay attention to the above mentioned radiologic characteristics and when present, a concomitant SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with AIS must be suspected, especially in the days of the pandemic and in those with no vascular risk factors.35 Neuroimaging studies of COVID-19 patients also showed that ischemic strokes were unexpectedly frequent (35.3%) in the vertebrobasilar territory.37 Moreover, although the cause of stroke is often cryptogenic, other ischemic stroke subtypes were also encountered in COVID-19 patients such as LVO, cardiogenic embolism and arterial dissection.18,26,37,38

MT Success in COVID-19 Patients

On the basis of appropriate imaging and after identifying potentially salvageable tissue, current guidelines recommend MT in patients who present with AIS symptoms caused by occlusion of the intracranial internal carotid artery or proximal middle cerebral artery up to 24 hours of last known normal.39 It is clear, however, that reperfusion procedures during the pandemic face several challenges. An early pandemic case series of 5 COVID-19 patients from New York presented many of the technical challenges for the interventional radiologists to overcome. Maneuvers usually performed during LVO treatment in disseminated intravascular coagulation, cancer-associated coagulopathy, and sepsis may be needed such as administration of intraluminal fibrinolytics or antiplatelet agents, conducting multiple passes to retrieve a clot, using aspiration devices and stent deployment.4

Outcomes of MT in COVID-19 Patients

Evidence from multiple published studies supports an association between COVID-19 and longer hospital stay, higher complications rates, worse functional outcomes and higher inhospital mortality rates in AIS/LVO patients—whether they underwent MT or not—when compared with those without the infection.3,16–18,26,35,40,41 A comprehensive cross-sectional study of patients from a large New York-based health care system found that stroke patients with COVID-19 had over a 9-fold increase in mortality compared with those without the infection.42 Interestingly, they identified a higher risk of unfavorable outcomes in COVID-19 patients with ischemic stroke independent of traditional stroke risk factors and surrogates of stroke severity, which they attributed to the respiratory impact of COVID-19 on an already compromised patient with stroke.42 Reviewing the published literature on MT outcomes in COVID-19 patients, findings were similar to the aforementioned studies, with a few exceptions. The vast majority of studies showed that LVO patients who underwent MT and had a concomitant SARS-CoV-2 infection had a high mortality rate, despite timely intervention and successful reperfusion (Table 1).5,6,13,14,19,23,26 Moreover, MT in this subset of patients called for complex maneuvers due to multiple territory involvement, both arterial and venous vasculature involvement, unfavorable clot consistency and high clot burden.20 In addition to the high in-hospital mortality (50%) seen in this population, patients who survived, were more likely to suffer poor functional outcomes and had higher NIHSS scores (a median of 18.0) 24 hours postthrombectomy.14 In contrast to the majority of studies supporting worse outcomes in COVID-19 patients who underwent MT for LVO, a prospective study from 28 thrombectomy-capable stroke centers in North America, South America, and Europe showed that COVID-19 patients who underwent MT for LVO had similar in-hospital mortality rate.

TABLE 1. (continued)

| MT Patient Characteristics [n (%)] | COVID Severity in MT Patients [n (%)] | Laboratories in MT Patients [n (%)] | Thrombectomy Success [n (%)] | Outcomes [n (%)] |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Mansour et al24                   |                                      | Used to be isolated in isolation hospital/ institution | D-dimer 490 μg/L CRP 21 | Successful recanalization (TICI 3) | NIHSS 2 after extubation |
| Pisano et al25                    |                                      | Cough, fever, lethargy            | D-dimer 90,000+ Anticardiolipin IgM mildly elevated | Unsuccessful recanalization (TICI 2a) | Malignant cerebral edema In-hospital death |
| Sweid et al26                     |                                      |                                     |                             |                 |                               |

The hypercoagulability associated with COVID-19 poses extra challenges for the interventional radiologists to overcome. Maneuvers usually performed during LVO treatment in disseminated intravascular coagulation, cancer-associated coagulopathy, and sepsis may be needed such as administration of intraluminal fibrinolytics or antiplatelet agents, conducting multiple passes to retrieve a clot, using aspiration devices and stent deployment.4
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National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores range from 0 to 42, with higher numbers indicating more severe disability; thrombolysis in cerebral infarction (TICI) scores range from 0 to 3, with 3 indicating complete perfusion. Laboratory reference ranges are as follows: fibrinogen: 175 to 450 mg/dL; D-dimer: 0 to 500 ng/mL.

COVID-19 indicates coronavirus disease 2019; CRP, C-reactive protein; DM, diabetes mellitus; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HTN, hypertension; Hx, history; ICU, intensive care unit; INR, international normalized ratio; MT, mechanical thrombectomy; PT, prothrombin time; PTT, partial thromboplastin time.

MT Patients [n (%)]

| COVID Severity in MT Patients [n (%)] | NIHSS 15 | NIHSS 11 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                      |          |          |
| Successful recanalization (TICI 3)   |          |          |
| NIHSS 2 after extubation             |          |          |

MT Patient Characteristics [n (%)]

| COVID Severity in MT Patients [n (%)] | Laboratories in MT Patients [n (%)] | Thrombectomy Success [n (%)] | Outcomes [n (%)] |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
duration of hospitalization, discharge modified Rankin Scale scores and rate of functional independence (modified Rankin Scale 0 to 2) at discharge as non-COVID-19 patients.  

The poor outcomes reported in AIS patients with COVID-19 postthrombectomy led a group of investigators to compare this population to AIS patients with COVID-19 who did not undergo thrombectomy. This study found that COVID-19 patients who underwent thrombectomy (who comprised 3.3% of the 3165 patients treated with MT), had a near identical rate of death to COVID-19 patients with AIS who did not undergo thrombectomy (note that patients with lacunar stroke or non-occlusive atherothrombotic stroke do not receive MT).  

This suggests that the higher rates of poor outcome were inherent to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Interestingly, when both of these groups were compared, MT patients had a higher rate of favorable discharge than those who did not undergo the procedure. Given the improved outcomes following reperfusion, eligible COVID-19 patients with AIS should undergo MT. It is worth noting that the same group reported that COVID-19 was a significant predictor of mortality despite adjusting for comorbidities such as respiratory failure, acute renal failure, and duration of hospitalization. 

Postthrombectomy care is an important aspect of LVO management. Hemorrhagic transformation of ischemic infarcts has been reported in COVID-19 stroke patients, including patients who underwent MT. In a study that included 11 hospitals in New York, they reported 72 AIS patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, 20.8% of whom had associated brain hemorrhage, including 6 patients with hemorrhagic transformation and 9 patients with simultaneous hemorrhage and infarction. Similar rates were reported postthrombectomy in a study on 93 COVID-19 patients, with the rate of intracranial hemorrhage reported to be 24.7% (23 patients) and the rate of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage being 5.4% (5 patients). It has been suggested that SARS-CoV-2 infection may increase the risk of hemorrhagic stroke, however, it is not known whether some of the documented intracranial hemorrhage cases in COVID-19 patients with AIS are the result of the infection and its associated cytokine storm or a consequence of anticoagulation. 

Another postthrombectomy complication is early arterial reocclusion. It was documented in up to 40% of the patients who received MT in some case series. It was suggested that reocclusion was a sequel of the procoagulant state associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, the risk of recurrent strokes was found to be higher in AIS patients with COVID-19. One of the reports on ischemic stroke in COVID-19 patients documented a recurrence rate of 10% during the same admission. This is considerably high compared with a historical in-hospital stroke recurrence of only 0.8%. Therefore, continuous monitoring and frequent evaluation following MT is warranted to promptly diagnose and treat any of the frequently encountered complications in this population, all the while adhering to infection control protocols (Table 1). 

**DISCUSSION**

The poor clinical outcome of MT in COVID-19 patients with AIS is documented in the literature and is attributed to a combination of patient risk factors and the underlying COVID-19 pathology. Multiple cohort studies suggest that the severity of the underlying pneumonia may affect overall outcomes; COVID-19 patients are sicker and have more systemic complications than patients without COVID-19. This is believed to account for the worse reperfusion outcomes. Of the documented COVID-19 complications that may be of relevance, acute respiratory failure, acute renal failure, and coagulopathy were the most frequently encountered. A multicenter cohort study found that the poor prognosis postthrombectomy in COVID-19 patients with stroke was not explained by the traditional predictors of poor outcomes in stroke patients such as NIHSS scores but was likely determined by the severity of the underlying infection, patient comorbidities, age, and laboratory indicators such as low lymphocyte count and high aspartate and LDH levels. These laboratory findings were significantly associated with 30-day mortality after MT in COVID-19 patients and can therefore be used as mortality predictors in this population.

Despite achieving successful recanalization with MT, several investigators reported poor outcomes in COVID-19 patients. This can be attributed to higher rates of both neurological and non-neurological complications. Significant non-neurological complications such as respiratory and cardiac failure, deep venous thrombosis/pulmonary embolism, pneumonia and multigain failure were responsible for the poor prognosis and in part, for the high mortality rates seen in COVID-19 postthrombectomy. Neurological complications such as intracranial hemorrhage and malignant cerebral infarction or edema were the main causes for morbidity and mortality in COVID-19 patients after MT. It is widely believed that the underlying systemic inflammation, accompanied by respiratory complications with subsequent cerebral oxygenation and hemodynamic alterations may play an important role in the poor outcomes seen postthrombectomy in those with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Interestingly, COVID-19 associated endothelitis and intravascular neutrophil extracellular traps were proposed by Escalard et al to explain why despite recanalization, patients were still unable to achieve successful reperfusion; in spite of a successful clot removal via thrombectomy, the diffuse microvascular thromboinflammation injury/endothelitis seen in COVID-19 patients result in a compromised systemic microcirculatory function in multiple vascular beds and organs. In addition, the vascular inflammation in COVID-19 patients is associated with a diffuse microangiopathy which accounts for the increased risk of recurrent thrombosis/stroke and early reocclusion seen in this population following MT. The other proposed theory involves the formation of intravascular neutrophil extracellular traps, structures that have been shown to play a key role in initiating both arterial and venous thrombosis, ultimately leading to organ damage and high mortality rate in COVID-19 patients. In light of the aforementioned findings, we believe attention must be diverted towards therapies that target the inflammatory drivers of SARS-CoV-2 infection to reduce its severity once established and improve the high mortality rates reported in this population.

The limitations of this review include the following: it is a narrative review aimed at summarizing the available published literature on the outcomes of thrombectomy in COVID-19 patients with LVO, which is a relatively new diagnostic challenge with many unknown attributes. In addition, this review carries the inherent defects of the studies included in which key information pertaining to patients’ risk factors, stroke severity, infection severity, laboratories and long-term outcomes may have been overlooked. Moreover, these studies adopted different approaches and were conducted on different populations with distinct features and are hence expected to carry some heterogeneity in terms of findings. Finally, given that most of the available studies were written while the patients were still hospitalized or recently discharged to rehabilitation facilities, we were not able to report on the long-term outcomes of COVID-19 patients who received thrombectomy.
CONCLUSIONS

The effect of COVID-19 on the long-term prognosis of stroke patients after thrombectomy is yet to be determined. The accumulating evidence from current studies indicates a negative impact of COVID-19 on outcomes in AIS patients who receive MT, irrespective of timely, successful angiographic recanalization. Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection suffer longer hospital stays, more complications, worse clinical outcomes, and higher mortality rates compared with those without the infection. This can be attributed to a combination of patient risk factors and the effects of the underlying COVID-19 pathology. Clinicians should be on the lookout for complications such as early reocclusion, hemorrhagic conversion and recurrent strokes postreperfusion. Therefore, continuous monitoring and frequent evaluation postthrombectomy are crucial. Moreover, adherence to infection control protocols and prompt detection of any neurological deterioration is equally important. Finally, MT amidst a pandemic calls for some modifications with some groups having already published recommendations for specifically managing COVID-19 patients undergoing MT.

This review may help clinicians anticipate some of the pitfalls imposed by the SARS-CoV-2 infection on the management and prognosis of stroke patients after thrombectomy. It also emphasizes the need for therapies that target the inflammatory drivers of COVID-19 to decrease the high disability and mortality rates seen in this population.
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