Evaluation of the sensitivity of $R_1\rho$ MRI to pH and macromolecular density
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1. Introduction

An acidic extracellular pH (pHe) is a characteristic feature of the tumor microenvironment, with pHv values ranging from 6.2 to 7.4 and falling as low as 3.4–5.5 in some cases [1,2]. This acidic pH is due to lactate production from Warburg metabolism [3] and CO2 excretion, due to high catabolic rates and upregulation of the pentose phosphate pathway [1,4]. Larger tumors, such as gliomas, may demonstrate a spatial pH gradient, with a normal pH in the well-perfused periphery and a more acidic pH more centrally [2]. Extracellular acidosis can activate proteinases as well as proangiogenic factors, such as vascular endothelium growth factor A (VEGF-A) and interleukin 8 (IL-8) [5,6], which play a role in stimulating invasion and metastasis [7,8]. pHe may also modulate chemosensitivity and radiosensitivity through alterations in tissue ion trapping [9,10]. Additionally, intracellular pH has been described as a possible marker for delineating salvageable tissue after ischemic stroke. A non-invasive MRI-based approach for the determination and imaging of cerebral pH would be a powerful tool in cancer diagnosis and monitoring, as well as stroke treatment planning. Several pH-based MRI imaging approaches have been proposed but for these to be useful, disentangling the effects of pH from other parameters which may affect the measured MRI signal is crucial to ensure accuracy and specificity. $R_1$ relaxation in the rotating frame ($R_1\rho$) varies with pH but only in the presence of macromolecules. At constant pH, phantom macromolecular density inversely correlated with $R_1\rho$. $R_1\rho$ imaging of the normal human brain demonstrated regional heterogeneity with significant differences between structurally distinct regions, which are likely to be independent of pH. For example, $R_1\rho$ was higher in the basal ganglia compared to grey matter and higher in grey matter compared to white matter. We conclude that $R_1\rho$ cannot be reliably used to image tissue pH without deconvolution from the effects of local tissue macromolecular composition.
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Abstract

The tumor microenvironment is characteristically acidic and this extracellular acidosis is known to play a role in carcinogenesis and metastasis and can affect tumor chemosensitivity and radiosensitivity. Intracellular pH has been used as a possible biomarker of salvageable tissue in ischemic stroke. A non-invasive MRI-based approach for the determination and imaging of cerebral pH would be a powerful tool in cancer diagnosis and monitoring, as well as stroke treatment planning. Several pH-based MRI imaging approaches have been proposed but for these to be useful, disentangling the effects of pH from other parameters which may affect the measured MRI signal is crucial to ensure accuracy and specificity. $R_1$ relaxation in the rotating frame ($R_1\rho$) varies with pH but only in the presence of macromolecules. At constant pH, phantom macromolecular density inversely correlated with $R_1\rho$. $R_1\rho$ imaging of the normal human brain demonstrated regional heterogeneity with significant differences between structurally distinct regions, which are likely to be independent of pH. For example, $R_1\rho$ was higher in the basal ganglia compared to grey matter and higher in grey matter compared to white matter. We conclude that $R_1\rho$ cannot be reliably used to image tissue pH without deconvolution from the effects of local tissue macromolecular composition.

1. Introduction

An acidic extracellular pH (pHe) is a characteristic feature of the tumor microenvironment, with pHv values ranging from 6.2 to 7.4 and falling as low as 3.4–5.5 in some cases [1,2]. This acidic pH is due to lactate production from Warburg metabolism [3] and CO2 excretion, due to high catabolic rates and upregulation of the pentose phosphate pathway [1,4]. Larger tumors, such as gliomas, may demonstrate a spatial pH gradient, with a normal pH in the well-perfused periphery and a more acidic pH more centrally [2]. Extracellular acidosis can activate proteinases as well as proangiogenic factors, such as vascular endothelium growth factor A (VEGF-A) and interleukin 8 (IL-8) [5,6], which play a role in stimulating invasion and metastasis [7,8]. pHv may also modulate chemosensitivity and radiosensitivity through alterations in tissue ion trapping [9,10]. Additionally, intracellular pH has been described as a possible marker for delineating salvageable tissue after ischemic stroke with greater accuracy, thus aiding physicians in making treatment decisions [11]. The non-invasive imaging of pHv could therefore be a powerful tool for tumor diagnosis and monitoring of treatment response.

A number of approaches have been used with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to non-invasively measure pH, including $^1$H, $^3$P, and $^{19}$F MR spectroscopy (MRS), hyperpolarized $^{13}$C MR spectroscopic imaging, chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) methods, including endogenous CEST MRI such as amide proton transfer MRI and amide concentration-independent detection (AACID), and techniques like acidoCEST, which employ exogenous agents [12–16]. $R_1\rho$ MRI is another MRI approach which has been used to measure pH [17]. The $R_1\rho$ signal, the reciprocal of $T_2$ relaxation in the rotating frame ($T_2\rho$), can be partially attributed to the exchange of protons between water and proteins. This exchange is pH-dependent and can theoretically be used to image pH at high spatial resolution [17,18]. Given the sensitivity of this method has been reported in the pH range of 6–8, which covers physiological and tumor pH, it could be an attractive technique for non-
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invasive pH monitoring in vivo [19]. Recent work has suggested that R_{1p} measurements are sensitive enough to detect changes in pH in both the murine and human brains following systemic alterations in pH and neuronal activation within the visual cortex [19].

Tissue pH in the brain is modulated by a wide variety of physiological factors, including lactate and CO₂ production [20], HCO₃⁻ transport [21], and ionic alterations during neurotransmission [22]. These pH changes may be accompanied by local microenvironmental effects such as vasodilatation and transmembrane ion transport [23,24]. Any method that measures tissue pH must be independent of these changes to accurately measure pH. Here, we investigate the sensitivity of R_{1p} to pH and macromolecular density, both in vitro and in human volunteers, to determine whether the reported pH-dependence of R_{1p} is independent of these factors.

2. Methods

2.1. R_{1p} sequence

A 3D Fast Spin Echo (3D-FSE) sequence modified to incorporate a spin-lock [25,26] relaxation using a 12-channel head coil at 3 T (MR750, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, US). The spin-lock preparation pulse used was hard pulse (90°) - spin-lock (B₁,SL = 500 Hz) - hard pulse (−90°), with a (180°) phase transition at the mid-point of the spin-lock pulse. The 180° phase transition was used to reduce B₁ artefact [15]. The imaging parameters were as follows: spin-lock times (TSL) = 1, 2, 15, 25, and 40 ms; TR = 1587 ms; resolution 0.3 × 0.3 mm²; matrix 320 × 256; an echo train length (ETL) of 45; pa Fourier sampling (NEX = 0.5); coil acceleration (ASSET) of 2 [27]. R_{1p} maps were calculated using linear least-squares regression. A sequence diagram has been provided (Fig. 1).

2.2. Phantom preparation

Phantoms of varying pH were made using agarose, milk, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and gadolinium-containing contrast agent (GdCA) (Gadovist, Bayer, Berlin). pH was adjusted by titrating high performance capillary electrophoresis (HPCE) buffer solutions at pH 6.5 and 8.5 (20 mM Na₂PO₄, NaOH/HCl, and monosodium phosphate and disodium phosphate solutions (100 mM Na⁺), as shown in Table 1. To control for any effect of sodium concentration on proton exchange, the phantoms had a fixed sodium concentration before pH adjustment with HCl and NaOH (Table 1). To assess the effect of the HPCE buffer on proton exchange, phosphate-buffered (PBS) and aqueous (HCl/NaOH) GdCA-doped phantoms without HPCE were also used. pH was determined using an automatically calibrated bench top electrode pH meter.

A gadolinium containing contrast agent (GdCA) was added to increase the T_{1p} relaxation rate, as the relaxation time without GdCA was too long (> 200 ms) to measure with the given spin-lock times reliably, without increasing signal non-uniformity. The concentration of GdCA added (0.36 mM) was calculated to approximate the extracellular fluid (ECF) concentration achieved when 5 mL of 1.0 M GdCA is injected during an in vivo study, assuming an average ECF volume of 1.4 L in a 75 kg male adult [28]. It was determined from the results of varying GdCA concentration in Fig. 2 that a concentration of 0.72 mM GdCA produced an optimal range of T_{1p} relaxation rates, and this concentration was used in subsequent experiments.

To study the effect of protein and macromolecular concentration on the pH-dependence of R_{1p}, phantoms with a fixed pH, but varying concentrations of BSA or milk powder, were made up to the maximum saturation level that could be achieved. Previous studies have used glutaraldehyde or agarose but as these produced solid or gelatinous phantoms, the pH could not be reliably measured after setting [17,19].

To maintain the ability to monitor pH throughout the phantom-making process, phantoms were first made without the addition of glutaraldehyde or agarose, as have been used previously [19]. Agarose was subsequently added to examine the effect of macromolecular density. All phantom samples were scanned together inside a single water bath, such that any heating or cooling that occurred affected all samples together. The B₁,SL transmit power was low due to clinical system constraints, which are in place to prevent heating. The temperature of the water bath measured with an infrared thermometer (ST-8861, aml Instruments, Lincoln, UK) before and after a R_{1p} experiment had < 0.5 °C difference.

2.3. Phantom R_{1p} analysis

Long spin-lock preparation of R_{1p} may introduce artefacts related to B₀ and B₁ inhomogeneities. To reduce the effects of artefacts on R_{1p} values, we randomized the sample position in the field of view between scans and averaged the R_{1p} values across three acquisitions to obtain a single R_{1p} value per sample. This randomization procedure may not fully account for non-linear B₀ and B₁ non-uniformity across the sample, but it does represent an average of the field non-uniformities and minimizes effects from variations in B₀ and B₁. Phantoms were imaged while submerged in water before R_{1p} imaging to reduce susceptibility effects from surrounding air.

A linear least-squares analysis was performed to calculate Pearson correlation coefficients and statistical significance between R_{1p} measurements and the pH of the phantom or macromolecular concentration.

2.4. Human R_{1p} imaging and analysis

R_{1p} images were acquired from 7 healthy male volunteer brains (median age 21, range 20–22). As there are known variations in macromolecular density between white and grey matter in the brain [29], we assessed regional variations in R_{1p}. The ROIs were positioned in manually matched anatomical regions by a single operator, defined as white matter (frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe, internal capsule, corpus callosum, centrum semiovale), grey matter (frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe), and basal ganglia (putamen, caudate). ROIs of approximately equal size were manually placed in 10 different positions bilaterally within each matched anatomical region for each volunteer, and their values averaged. Normal distribution was tested with a quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot and a two-tailed t-test was used to calculate statistical significance for R_{1p} differences between white and grey matter, white matter and basal ganglia, and grey matter and basal ganglia.

3. Results

The phantom experimental design was used to assess the correlation between R_{1p}, pH and the concentration of macromolecules and proteins. We observed no significant difference in R_{1p} between HPCE-buffered phantoms at pH 6.5, 7.0, 7.5, and 8.0 (Fig. 2). In these phantoms,
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Fig. 1. Sequence diagram. The R_{1p} preparation shown here includes a +90° tip down pulse, a spin-lock pulse for the length of time TSL (with a 180° phase shift in the centre of the pulse), and a −90° tip up pulse.
Fig. 2. Sensitivity of $R_{1p}$ to pH and GdCA chelate concentration. (A) $R_{1p}$ measurements derived from $R_{1p}$ maps of HPCE-buffered phantoms in the pH range 6.5–8.0 with varying GdCA concentration (mean ± standard deviation). $R_{1p}$ was shown to vary with GdCA concentration but not pH in the absence of macromolecules. (B) Representative image of phantoms from Fig. 2A scanned with $R_{1p}$.

Fig. 3. Sensitivity of $R_{1p}$ to pH and phantom composition. $R_{1p}$ measurements derived from maps of phantoms of pH 4.5 and 9 prepared with phosphate buffer saline (PBS, 0.1 M Na+, PBS and bovine serum albumin (PBS/BSA; 0.1 M Na+, BSA 8% wt/v), aqueous GdCA (0.72 mM) (Gad), and PBS, BSA and agarose (PBS/BSA/Ag; 0.1 M Na++, BSA 8% wt/v, 3% agarose wt/vol). *p < 0.05 significance in $R_{1p}$ signal.

varying GdCA concentration from 0 to 1.44 mM linearly increased $R_{1p}$ rates from 0 s$^{-1}$ to 10 s$^{-1}$. In addition, no significant differences in $R_{1p}$ were observed at the extremes of pH in phosphate-buffered phantoms with or without bovine serum albumin (BSA; pH 4.5 and 9.0; Fig. 3).

In GdCA-doped aqueous phantoms, there was a small, but significant ($p < 0.05$) difference in $R_{1p}$ measured in phantoms at pH 4.5 and 9.0 (Fig. 3). Unlike the case with BSA, we demonstrated a significant pH-dependence in $R_{1p}$ when agarose was included in the phantoms ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 3). In both GdCA-doped aqueous phantoms and agarose-containing phantoms (Fig. 3), there was a dependence of $R_{1p}$ on pH.

$R_{1p}$ demonstrated the highest degree of sensitivity to pH change in agarose-containing phantoms. pH change was accompanied by visible structural change at low pH, akin to curdling. This prompted us to question whether structural changes that occur as macromolecular concentration changes would also influence $R_{1p}$. Therefore, we also investigated whether the $R_{1p}$ signal is dependent on macromolecular concentration, without changes in pH or other variables, which could explain the effects of pH in the presence of agarose. We observed that $R_{1p}$ measurements derived from $R_{1p}$ maps of HPCE-buffered phantoms in the pH range 6.5–8.0 with varying GdCA concentration (mean ± standard deviation). $R_{1p}$ was shown to vary with GdCA concentration but not pH in the absence of macromolecules. (B) Representative image of phantoms from Fig. 2A scanned with $R_{1p}$.

$$\text{Table 1}$$

| Phantom | Composition |
|---------|-------------|
| Fig. 2  | GdCA (Gadovist®) concentrations of 0.00 mM, 0.36 mM, 0.72 mM and 1.44 mM in HPCE buffer solution (20 mM Na₂PO₄) titrated to pH 6.5, 7, 7.5 or 8. |
| Fig. 3 ‘PB’ | Phantom indicated as ‘HCl’ was made up of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+) and GdCA (Gadovist®) (0.72 mM), at pH 4.5. Phantom indicated as ‘NaOH’ was made up of sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na+) and GdCA (Gadovist®) (0.72 mM), at pH 9.0. For both phantoms, pH was adjusted to 4.5 or 9.0 with HCl and NaOH. |
| Fig. 3 ‘PB/BSA’ | Phantom indicated as ‘HCl’ was made up of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+) and GdCA (Gadovist®) (0.72 mM) at pH 4.5. Phantom indicated as ‘NaOH’ was made up of sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na+) and GdCA (Gadovist®) (0.72 mM), at pH 9.0. For both phantoms, pH was adjusted to 4.5 or 9.0 with HCl and NaOH. |
| Fig. 3 ‘Gad (aq)’ | GdCA (Gadovist®) concentration of 0.72 mM in aqueous solution. For both phantoms, pH was adjusted to 4.5 or 9.0 with HCl and NaOH. |
| Fig. 3 ‘PBS/BSA/Ag’ | Phantom indicated as ‘HCl’ was made up of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+), BSA 8% wt/vol and 3% agarose wt/vol, at pH 4.5. Phantom indicated as ‘NaOH’ was made up of sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na+) and GdCA (Gadovist®) (0.72 mM), at pH 9.0. For both phantoms, pH was adjusted to 4.5 or 9.0 with HCl and NaOH. |
| Fig. 4 ‘BSA’ | All phantoms prepared with GdCA (Gadovist®) (0.72 mM). BSA concentration was adjusted to 0%, 0.1875%, 0.375%, 0.5%, 0.75%, 1%, 1.5%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 6% and 8% wt/vol. Phosphate buffer used was prepared from mixture of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+) and sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na+) to pH 7.20. |
| Fig. 4 ‘Milk’ | Nido Full Cream Milk Powder (Nestlé ©) was used to adjust milk concentration to 0%, 0.625%, 0.9375%, 1.25%, 1.875%, 2.5%, 3.75%, 5% and 7.5% wt/vol. Phosphate buffer used was prepared from mixture of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate 0.1 M (0.1 M Na+) and sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate 0.05 M (0.1 M Na+) to pH 7.20. |

Fig. 4. Concentration of GdCA (mM)
4. Discussion

Several methods have been described previously to image the spatial distribution of pH in the brain, including $^1$H, $^{31}$P and $^{19}$F spectroscopy, amide proton transfer and hyperpolarized $^{13}$C MR spectroscopic imaging [17,18,30,31]. However, their use in clinical practice has been limited by lengthy acquisition times, the need for specialized hardware, and poor spatial resolution.

A previous study has reported that $R_1p$ can detect alterations in cerebral pH with changes in inspired gases and following neuronal activation in the visual cortex [19]. MRI contrast relies heavily on the interaction between the nuclear magnetic relaxation of water and macromolecules such as proteins. $R_1p$ has been shown to rely on proton exchange between free water and protein side chain groups in solution [17]. pH affects the water-protein interaction by directly altering protein surface charges and the strength of water-protein hydrogen bonds which in turn alter the exposure of NMR-visible side chains and the dynamics of protein tumbling through tertiary structure changes [17]. pH affects the water-protein interaction by directly altering protein surface charges and the strength of water-protein hydrogen bonds which in turn alter the exposure of NMR-visible side chains and the dynamics of protein tumbling through tertiary structure changes [17]. pH has been shown that any pH-dependence of $R_1p$ is derived from this water-protein proton exchange [17].

However, contrary to the previous published work outlined above, in the absence of these proteins we found no evidence to support that the $R_1$ signal in the absence of these proteins we found no evidence to support that the water-protein proton exchange [17].

A previous study has reported that $R_1p$ can detect alterations in cerebral pH with changes in inspired gases and following neuronal activation in the visual cortex [19]. MRI contrast relies heavily on the interaction between the nuclear magnetic relaxation of water and macromolecules such as proteins. $R_1p$ has been shown to rely on proton exchange between free water and protein side chain groups in solution [17]. pH affects the water-protein interaction by directly altering protein surface charges and the strength of water-protein hydrogen bonds which in turn alter the exposure of NMR-visible side chains and the dynamics of protein tumbling through tertiary structure changes [17]. pH has been shown that any pH-dependence of $R_1p$ is derived from this water-protein proton exchange [17].

pH results in relaxation rate changes due to its modification of free H$^+$ or OH$^-$ molecules and decrease in the activation energy required for proton spin exchange (by 14% between pH 6 and 8) [32]. A flat dependence of $R_1$ and $R_2$ for pH values between 6 and 8 was reported by others [33], which is related to $R_1p$ since all three parameters share a dependence on "molecular correlation time" [34]. In PBS/BSA/agarose phantoms, the correlation between $R_1p$ and pH observed in our work was the reverse of that previously reported for similar phantoms, i.e. decreasing $R_1p$ with increasing pH here compared to increasing $R_1p$ with increasing pH previously [19]. We were able to replicate the experiments of [19] when using the agarose/PBS/BSA phantom (Fig. 3), where pH related changes would cause structural alteration in the phantom and induce other relaxation effects.

Overall, $R_1p$ with a spin-lock power of 500 Hz can only be used as a biomarker of pH when corrected for regional macromolecular density, which is not a trivial measurement. Our findings indicate that $R_1p$ is an unreliable measure of dynamic pH changes in functional imaging, or in tumor monitoring, where the tissue architecture changes as the tumor grows. These experiments showed $R_1p$ increased linearly with increasing macromolecular density at a constant physiological pH of 7.20 (Fig. 4). Previous work has shown that cross-linking BSA with glutar-aldehyde to limit the number of NMR-visible side chain groups attenuates the sensitivity of $R_1p$ to the spin-lock field but did not test the relationship between pH sensitivity and macromolecule concentration [17]. Here we have shown that the macromolecular concentration over the range 0.00–8.00% wt/v correlates with $R_1p$ signal at fixed pH. Proteins and other macromolecules may vary considerably in concentration across normal tissues as well as in the heterogeneous tumor microenvironment [2]. In addition, while the relaxation rate linearly correlates with macromolecular concentration and type over the range tested, non-linear effects are possible at higher concentrations after chemical saturation. Interestingly, similar conclusions have been drawn in studies of pH imaging with the endogenous CEST MRI method, amide proton transfer (APT) MRI. There, the contrast-enhancing effects of increased protein content within tumors may oppose the contrast-reducing effect of lower tumor pH producing only a small increase in APT contrast of the tumor compared to the surrounding tissue [35].

One of the limitations of this experiment is how to disentangle the different elements that contribute to $R_1p$ relaxation. The difficulty of the experiment is due to the measured $R_1p$, being affected by the $R_1p$ of each chemical component within the mixture, such that the pH-dependent

![Fig. 4. Sensitivity of $R_1p$ to macromolecular concentration. $R_1p$ measurements derived from $R_1p$ maps of phantoms at a fixed pH of 7.2 and varying concentrations of milk (circles) and BSA (triangles). Pearson R score for the milk solution: $R^2 = 0.96, p < 0.0001, R_{mil} = 0.72[\text{milk}] + 5.19$; Pearson R score for the BSA solution: $R^2 = 0.96, p < 0.0001, R_{BSA} = 0.46[\text{BSA}] + 4.96$.](image)

![Fig. 5. $R_1p$ data from the human brain. (A) Calculated mean $R_1p$ values (+/- standard deviation) for white matter, grey matter, and basal ganglia in 7 healthy human volunteers (median age 21). Manual ROIs were matched to anatomical regions defined as white matter (frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe, internal capsule, corpus callosum, centrum semiovale), grey matter (frontal lobe, parietal lobe, occipital lobe), and basal ganglia (putamen, caudate). ROIs were placed in 10 different positions within each matched anatomical region in each volunteer, and then averaged. Normal distribution was tested with a quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot and a two-tailed t-test was used to calculate statistical significance for $R_1p$ differences between white and grey matter, white matter and basal ganglia, and grey matter and basal ganglia, $p < 0.001 ** p < 0.05$; significance in $R_1p$ signal difference. (B) $R_1p$ map of the brain of a healthy volunteer.](image)
portion of $R_{1p,\text{exchange}}$ cannot be disentangled as long as it remains a smaller effect than the relaxation rate changes induced by the macro-molecular and buffer components, which are necessary to create an altered pH state. A simple model of relaxation rate consists of a linear sum from multiple chemical components, such that our expected $R_{1p}$ would be:

$$R_{1p} = R_{1p,\text{H}2O} + R_{1p,\text{exchange}} + R_{1p,\text{macromolecule}} + R_{1p,\text{buffer}} + R_{1p,\text{field}} + R_{1p,\text{other}}$$

where the pH dependence of the equation results from the chemical exchange ($R_{1p,\text{exchange}}$) between the free water and labile protons [33]. Non-uniform $B_0$ and $B_1$ contribute to signal decay through the $R_{1p,\text{field}}$ term. $R_{1p,\text{other}}$ accounts for any impurities [32]. While a more complicated model is required to more completely describe the molecular concentrations and interdependence of the terms, this model is useful for first order analysis and conceptual understanding. The buffer required to modify the pH, which has both buffer and pH-related relaxation effects, creates a significant confounder in determining pH-related changes and has been raised as a concern in other studies [32].

More complicated models exist, such as methods that attempt to estimate exchange kinetics [32] and models that remove the relaxation portions due to diffusion or where random molecular motion lead to $R_{1p,\text{H}2O}$ being equal to $R_{1p,\text{H}2O}$ [36], which require spin-lock fields higher than 3000 Hz [36–39] and cannot be achieved on our clinical system due to patient safety constraints. It may be possible to use much smaller spin-lock fields to measure pH [32], where $R_{1p}$ is nearly indistinguishable from $R_0$, however such measurements in clinical settings would be hampered by increased field non-uniformity effects that confound low spin-lock $R_{1p}$ measurements. Our study is unique in using variations of pH buffers for investigating a moderate (500 Hz) spin-lock field [32].

In addition to multiple chemical components contributing to $R_{1p}$ relaxation, $B_0$ and $B_1 +$ can complicate experimental design. We designed our experiment to minimize $B_0$ and $B_1 +$ non-uniformities that will increase the phantom relaxation rate. Due to the use of the standard radiofrequency body coil, and a relatively small phantom, we expected that field non-uniformities would arise primarily at the boundaries of the phantom and air, and therefore we maximized the distance between any pH phantom and the sides of the larger water-containing phantom. While $B_0$ and $B_1 +$ non-uniformities are not visible in Fig. 2, we considered even small $B_0$ and $B_1 +$ effects, as well as a low signal-to-noise ratio, as potential confounders. To counteract these effects, we surrounded our phantoms with water to reduce $B_0$ inhomogeneity that occurs on the boundaries between water and air, performed gradient shimming, and used positional randomization under the assumption that any non-uniformities that existed did not occur over the entire physical volume.

We did not see significant non-uniformity in the $R_{1p}$ maps, but consider $B_0$ and $B_1 +$ non-uniformity as contributors to the errors visible on Figs. 1–3, which was smaller than the change visible on most buffer measurements. A significant increase in signal non-uniformity can be seen for spin-lock times longer than used here, which is subject to both the transmit $B_1 +$ and the static $B_0$ fields. We used a phase transition in the spin-lock pulse to reduce $B_1 +$ effects, although methods that would reduce these artefacts further include the use of adiabatic 90° pulses or a central 180° pulse [40]. A greater spin-lock $B_1 (B_{1,\text{SL}})$ pulse would be less subject to $B_0$ non-uniformity [40], however, the maximum $B_{1,\text{SL}}$ power is limited on this clinical system to ensure patient safety, and $B_{1,\text{SL}}$ of 500 Hz is commonly used for in vivo experiments, and $B_{1,\text{SL}} = 400$ Hz has previously been used to show a pH dependence [19]. A 1.2 kHz, 135° pulse prior to the spin-locking could reduce the $B_0$ sensitivity, however, the power required to achieve is not considered practical [40]. Slightly longer spin-lock times are sometimes used (up to 100 ms) in other $T_1p$ studies [41], although these times increase signal non-uniformities, limiting the accuracy of $T_1p$ relaxation time measurements above ~150 ms ($R_{1p} < 6 \text{ s}^{-1}$). Adiabatic 90° pulses can be used to reduce field non-uniformity artefact, however, we used positional randomization to address artefacts. As the 90° pulses were unaltered with several spin-lock times, the system should be dependent primarily on the spin-lock times and thereby $R_{1p}$.

While pH changes with GdCA may not perfectly match tissue environments, a correlation between pH and $R_{1p}$ should have been seen if $R_{1p}$ is to be considered relevant for spin-lock times < 100 ms. This study did not assess whether $R_{1p}$ would have a stronger relationship with pH when measured with longer spin-lock times, however, long spin-lock times are not used when $R_{1p}$ and pH is referenced being correlated [42–44]. Although pH may directly affect $R_{1p}$, it remains a small effect without the presence of other macro-molecular effects.

We investigated regional variations in $R_{1p}$ across the brain and found significant differences in signal within structurally distinct brain regions. White matter and basal ganglia regions had a higher $R_{1p}$ compared to grey matter ($p < 0.001$) which is likely to be accounted for by the higher content of both protein and lipid [29]. Importantly, no significant differences in intracellular pH between grey and white matter have previously been found using $^{31}$P NMR techniques [45]. Measurements of pH in the brain using $R_{1p}$ are likely to be affected by the concentration of hyaluronic acid and chondroitin sulphate. Regional activity-dependent variations in blood flow have been shown to affect $R_{1p}$ [19], as well as cerebral ischemia [46,47]; increases in blood volume may alter macro-molecular concentrations, which in turn could affect $R_{1p}$ independently of pH. Future studies are required to more fully quantify the effect of macro-molecular concentration and blood flow on $R_{1p}$ before it can be used as an in vivo marker of pH.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the pH-dependence of $R_{1p}$ MRI is highly sensitive to changes in macromolecular concentration and that it is unreliable as a measure of pH alone without taking these factors into consideration. We have also demonstrated variations in $R_{1p}$ across the normal human brain. These findings have important implications for its applications to studying activity-dependent neuronal activation, as well as its potential role in tumor imaging.
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