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With the frequent occurrence of extreme weather, the rain load of structures under high wind speeds accompanied by heavy rainfall conditions has become a hot issue for research. In this paper, based on the discrete particle model, the raindrop impact load correction formula is proposed. A rapid calculation method for the rain load of structures is formed through experimental verification. And the forecasting program is developed independently to complete the calculation of rain load per unit flat plate under different wind speed and rainfall intensity combination states. The rain load correction coefficient $\Delta C_w$ is defined as the dimensionless coefficient of the rain load per unit area of the flat plate in a fully developed rain field driven by wind speed. The rain load is factored into the wind load calculation formula by way of the correction coefficient $\Delta C_w$ to form the calculation formula for the rain load of structures. The results show that $\Delta C_w$ has little correlation with wind speed but is closely related to rainfall intensity $R$. The calculation formula for $\Delta C_w$ about rainfall intensity is fitted. When rainfall intensity $R \approx 709.2$ mm/h, $\Delta C_w$ is approximately 22.3%. The research results in this paper provide theoretical and technical support for guiding the rapid calculation of rain load on structures.

1. Introduction

Rainfall is a common natural phenomenon. In severe weather, such as typhoons and thunderstorms, raindrops achieve high movement speeds driven by high-speed wind. Raindrops form a rain load when they collide with the structure’s surface at high speeds. The high-speed impact of raindrops on the surface of the building produces large amounts of rain pressure, which has a great impact on the erosion of the building surface [1–3] and the separation of the soil [4].

The evolution of raindrops in the impact process is the key to explaining the impact force of raindrops. Adler [5] proposed a finite element method to simulate the raindrop impact on a deformable target. The impact time was so short that load sensors generally could not achieve the necessary sampling frequency to accurately measure the impinging force. Nearing et al. [6] conducted an experiment to measure the raindrop impinging force using a piezoelectric transducer and derived a formula with fitted parameters for the mean peak force. Soto et al. [7] measured the raindrop impinging force using a piezoelectric quartz transducer and simultaneously captured the impinging process using a high-speed camera. Grinspan and Gnanamoorthy [8] found that the output signal of a transducer during bead and liquid drop impact tests was essentially the same when the mass of the bead was approximately 8.5 times than oil droplets and the height of droplet was higher than the bead. This finding indicated that the impact mechanisms of the bead and the liquid drops were completely different and that the impact evolution of a liquid drop was complex. Abuku et al. [9] investigated the impact, absorption, and evaporation of raindrops on building facades. The measurements showed that large drops with high impact speeds splash, whereas drops with small impact speeds and small impact angles bounce. Huang et al. [10] simulated the raindrop impinging process based on the Navier–Stokes equation but did not address the interaction between the substrate and raindrop.

Structures placed in the wind and rain field are subjected to the combined action of wind load and rain load, and the
A shape factor $\mu$ is introduced into MP DSD to form Gamma DSD. It is shown as
\[
N(D) = N_0 D^\mu \exp(-\Lambda D),
\]
where $N(D)$ is the number of raindrops in a unit scale interval and unit volume, and the unit is $\text{m}^{-3}\text{mm}^{-1}$; $N_0$ is concentration, and the unit is $\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{mm}^{-1}$; $\Lambda$ is the scale parameter, related to rainfall intensity $R$ $(\text{mmh}^{-1})$, and the unit is $\text{mm}^{-1}$; $D$ is the diameter of raindrop, and its unit is mm.

When $\mu > 0$, the curve bends upward; when $\mu < 0$, the curve bends downward; and when $\mu = 0$, it degenerates to MP DSD.

The parameter selection of MP and Gamma DSD is shown in Table 3. As can be seen from Figure 1, compared with MP DSD, due to the existence of the shape factor $\mu$, Gamma DSD can more accurately express the characteristics of small-diameter raindrops, which are more common than large-diameter raindrops. Research [21] shows that when $\mu = 3$, Gamma DSD is in good agreement with actual rainfall. The calculation results of $R = 100 \text{mm/h}$ and $R = 709.2 \text{mm/h}$ rainfall are shown in Figure 1. Other rainfalls are not given, but the results are similar to Figure 1.

### 3. Rain Load Calculation Method

#### 3.1. Computational Domain Space Division and Algorithm Flow

The computational domain is defined by the Cartesian coordinate system, and the computational space is divided by the coordinates of the diagonal points. The detailed implementation steps of the rain load calculation method for structures based on discrete particle model are as follows:

1. As shown in Figure 2, the computational domain is divided into four areas: (i) computational domain boundary (OE); (ii) rainfall area (AB); (iii) structure area; (iv) near-object area (CD).

2. According to rainfall intensity $R$, an appropriate DSD is selected to generate discrete raindrops with different diameters in the rainfall area (AB) which is generated, and the wind speed $U_w$ is initialized.

3. The initialized wind field $U_w = U_w(U,V,W)$ is given in the computational domain boundary (OE), where $U$, $V$, and $W$ are the functions of time and space. Since the raindrops are discrete, the spatial occupation is much less than 10%, the influence of the rain load on the wind field is small, so the wind-driven rain one-way coupling algorithm is adopted in this paper.

4. The 3D point cloud data nodes of the structure are loaded into the program. The surface unstructured meshes are generated based on the Curst algorithm; then, the coordinates of the mesh nodes on the

Marshall and Palmer in 1948. Its form is shown as equation (1):
\[
N(D) = N_0 \exp(-\Lambda D).
\]

The research methods, achievements, and shortcomings of the rain load on structures. Choi [11–13] has made major breakthroughs in the use of numerical simulations employing computational fluid dynamics (CFD) in wind-driven rain (WDR) research, conducted based on a steady-state 3D wind flow pattern. And this method is used in the present study. Ke et al. [14] and Fu et al. [15, 16] carried out studies on the numerical prediction of rain loads on structures such as high-rise buildings, power transmission towers, and cooling towers using continuous-phase and discrete-phase models of computational fluid dynamics. The results showed that the rain loads were closely related to the rainfall intensity, and the proportion of rain loads relative to wind loads increased continually as the rainfall intensity increased. However, the CFD method was time-consuming and difficult to calculate, which made it inconvenient for engineering use. There is an urgent need to develop an efficient and fast rain load forecasting method and form a simplified calculation formula to improve the efficiency of rain load calculation.

According to international conventions, the maximum wind force near the center is shown in Table 1. Rainfall intensity is an important index to describe the intensity of rainfall, which is represented by $I$. It is usually expressed by the rainfall of statistical time lengths such as year, month, day, and hour, so the units are mm/24 h, mm/h, etc. Rainfall intensity grades are shown in Table 2, according to 24-hour rainfall and 1-hour rainfall, which can be divided into light rain, moderate rain, heavy rain, rainstorm, and heavy rainstorm.

The research methods, achievements, and shortcomings of wind-driven rain load by domestic and foreign scholars are summarized in Section 1. The raindrop spectrum distribution function and characteristics are given in Section 2. In Section 3, the algorithms involved in rain load calculation based on the discrete particle model are introduced in detail. Research [21] shows that when $\mu = 3$, Gamma DSD is in good agreement with actual rainfall. The calculation results of $R = 100 \text{mm/h}$ and $R = 709.2 \text{mm/h}$ rainfall are shown in Figure 1. Other rainfalls are not given, but the results are similar to Figure 1.

### 2. Raindrop Size Distribution (DSD)

Raindrop size can be described by MP DSD [17], lognormal distribution [18], Gamma distribution [19] and other functions, among which MP DSD and Gamma DSD are the most commonly used. The MP DSD was proposed by
surface of the structure and the normal vectors at the center point of the mesh are calculated.

(5) When \( t = t + \Delta t \), the discrete particle model of wind-driven rain is solved. The raindrops in the rainfall area move under the combined action of the wind field and gravitational field. If raindrops move beyond the rainfall area, the excess raindrops are supplemented in the rainfall area and move randomly without overlapping.

(6) It is determined whether the movement of raindrops exceeds the computational domain boundary, and raindrops beyond boundary disappear. It is determined whether raindrops in the computational domain reach the near object region (CD), and raindrops entering the near object region participate in the near-wall particle search. It is determined whether raindrops collide with structure; if a collision occurs, solve the collision model, then raindrops disappear after the collision.

(7) When the raindrops collide with the structure, the spatial position and the impact load of the raindrops at the current moment are statistically counted. According to the normal vectors at different positions of structure, the raindrop impact load is decomposed into \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) directions, and the load is integrated to obtain the rain load in each direction.

The detailed calculation process is shown in Figure 3.

### Table 1: Typhoon scale.

| Type               | Tropical depression | Tropical storm | Severe tropical storm | Typhoon | Severe typhoon | Super typhoon |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|---------------|
| Wind level         | 6~7                 | 8~9            | 10~11                 | 12~13   | 14~15          | ≥16           |
| Wind speed (m/s)   | 10.8~17.1           | 17.2~24.4      | 24.5~32.6             | 32.7~41.4 | 41.5~50.9      | ≥51           |

### Table 2: Rainfall intensity scale.

| Rainfall type          | Light rain | Moderate rain | Heavy rain | Rainstorm | Weak | Medium | Strong | Extreme |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------|------|--------|--------|---------|
| Daily rainfall intensity (mm/24 h) | 0.1~10    | 10~25         | 25~50      | 50~100    | 200  | 400    | 600    | 700     |
| Hourly rainfall intensity (mm/h)    | <2.5      | 2.5~8         | 8~16       | 16~32     | 64   | 100    | 200    | 709.2   |

### Table 3: DSD parameter [20].

| DSD     | \( \mu \) | \( N_0 \) (m\(^{-3}\)mm\(^{-1}\)) | \( \Lambda \) (mm\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| MP      | 0         | 8000                             | 4.1R\(^{-0.21}\)           |
| Gamma   | 0         | 9057                            | 4.37R\(^{-0.176}\)        |
|         | 3         | 1.19 \times 10^5 R\(^{-0.352}\) | 6.78R\(^{-0.176}\)        |
|         | 6         | 1.44 \times 10^6 R\(^{-0.889}\) | 9.16R\(^{-0.176}\)        |

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1:** Distribution of raindrops with different rainfall intensities. (a) \( R = 100 \text{ mm/h} \). (b) \( R = 709.2 \text{ mm/h} \).
3.2. Selection of DSD Model and Grid Discretization Method of Raindrop Space. The Gamma (3) distribution was chosen for the raindrop distribution function. It can be seen from Figures 4 and 5 that the number of raindrops per unit space increases with the increase of rainfall intensity $R$, and the size of raindrops also transitions from small diameter to large diameter. However, the diameter of raindrops in the rain field is generally less than 5.0 mm. Even if under extreme rainfall conditions with a rainfall intensity $R \geq 709.2$ mm/h, the number of raindrops larger than 5.0 mm is extremely small, and the distribution of raindrop diameter is mainly concentrated in the interval of 0.5 mm–3.0 mm.

According to DSD and rainfall intensity, the rain field is discretized into raindrop particle groups consisting of raindrops with different diameters and different numbers. The total number of raindrop particle groups in unit space is counted as $N$. In order to ensure the number of nodes generated in the space $N' \geq N$, the space is divided into equal distances in the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions. If it is not an integer, it is rounded backwards. The nodes after spatial isometric segmentation are shown in Figure 6.

The spatial distribution of raindrops has the characteristics of randomness, disorder, and nonoverlapping. In order to realize the spatial distribution of raindrops to meet the requirements of “three properties,” the random movement criteria of the spatial nodes are introduced as follows:

1. As shown in Figure 7, on the basis of the generated ordered spatial nodes, the coordinates of each node are taken as the center of the sphere, the radius of the sphere is $r = \Delta d - D_{\text{max}}/2$, and $D_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum raindrop diameter.

2. We introduce a uniform random number $\xi$, $-1 \leq \xi \leq 1$. The original spatial node coordinates are randomly moved in the spherical domain to obtain new space node coordinates, as shown in Figure 8.

$$\text{Node}(x_{\text{new}}, y_{\text{new}}, z_{\text{new}}) = \text{Node} \left( x + \frac{\sqrt{3} \cdot r}{3}, y + \frac{\sqrt{3} \cdot r}{3}, z + \frac{\sqrt{3} \cdot r}{3} \right).$$ (3)
Nonrepeating random integers are generated according to the total number of raindrops $N$. The raindrops of different diameters and their corresponding quantities are randomly filled in the generated space nodes, as shown in Figure 9.

3.3. Unstructured Mesh Generation of Surfaces Based on Curst Algorithm [22]

Definition 1. The Voronoi diagram of a point set $H$ is a collection of polygonal regions that contain only one point $h$ in the point set. The distance from any position to $h$ in the region is shorter than the distance from this position to all other points in the point set.

Definition 2. The graph formed by connecting the points in the adjacent regions (regions with common edges) of the Voronoi diagram is called the Delaunay triangulation.

Definition 3. Suppose $I$ is a point set, and $H$ is the vortex set of Voronoi of $I$.

The complex surfaces generated by the multimodal function are shown in Figure 10. It can be seen that the algorithm realizes the unstructured mesh generation of the complex surfaces.

3.4. Mathematical Model of Raindrop Movement in Wind Field. Choi [12] proposed the motion equation of raindrop particles in wind-driven rain, as shown in the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} &= \frac{1}{8} c_d R_e \pi \mu D \left(U - \frac{dx}{dt}\right), \\
\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} &= \frac{1}{8} c_d R_e \pi \mu D \left(V - \frac{dy}{dt}\right), \\
\frac{d^2z}{dt^2} &= -mg \left(1 - \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_w}\right) + \frac{1}{8} c_d R_e \pi \mu D \left(W - \frac{dz}{dt}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_e$ is the Reynolds number; $\rho_a$ is the air density, 1.235 kg/m$^3$; $\rho_w$ is the raindrop density, 1000 kg/m$^3$; $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity coefficient; $g$ is the gravitational acceleration; $U$, $V$, and $W$ are the components of wind speed in $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions; $m$ is the mass of raindrop; $C_d$ is the drag coefficient of raindrops, related to its diameter $D$. The research of Van Mook [23] shows that when the diameter of a raindrop is larger than 6 mm, the surface tension of the
raindrop cannot maintain its shape, and the phenomenon of fragmentation occurs during the movement.

\[ R_e = \left( \frac{\rho_a D}{\mu} \right) \sqrt{(U - \frac{dx}{dt})^2 + (V - \frac{dy}{dt})^2 + (W - \frac{dz}{dt})^2}, \]  

\[ m = \left( \frac{\pi D^3}{6} \right) \rho_w. \]  

Substituting equations (5) and (6) into (3), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} &= \frac{3C_a \rho_a}{4D \rho_w} \left( U - \frac{dx}{dt} \right) \sqrt{(U - \frac{dx}{dt})^2 + (V - \frac{dy}{dt})^2 + (W - \frac{dz}{dt})^2}, \\
\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} &= \frac{3C_a \rho_a}{4D \rho_w} \left( U - \frac{dy}{dt} \right) \sqrt{(U - \frac{dx}{dt})^2 + (V - \frac{dy}{dt})^2 + (W - \frac{dz}{dt})^2}, \\
\frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} &= \frac{3C_a \rho_a}{4D \rho_w} \left( U - \frac{dz}{dt} \right) \sqrt{(U - \frac{dx}{dt})^2 + (V - \frac{dy}{dt})^2 + (W - \frac{dz}{dt})^2} - g \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_w} \right).
\end{align*}
\]  

The acceleration, velocity, and displacement of raindrops can be obtained by solving (8) using self-programming with the finite element method. According to the experimental results of the drag coefficient of equivalent raindrop diameter 0.1–5.8 mm given by Gunn and Kinzer [24], Equation (8) is obtained by fitting the experimental results. It can be seen from Figure 11 that the fitting results of equation (8) are in good agreement with the experimental values.

\[ C_D = 0.7565 - 0.0926D + 0.0196D^2 - \frac{0.411 \ln(D)}{D}. \]  

The distribution of the rain fields driven by the uniform wind with a horizontal wind speed of 30 m/s is shown in Figures 12 and 13. It can be seen from Figure 12 that the horizontal terminal velocity of particles with different diameters all reach the wind speed under the drive of the uniform wind field. It can be seen from Figure 13 that the raindrop particles move under the combined action of the wind field and gravitational field. The small-diameter raindrops accelerate rapidly in the horizontal direction and slowly in the vertical direction, located at the top. While the large-diameter raindrops accelerate slowly in the horizontal direction and rapidly in the vertical direction, located at the bottom. Therefore, particles with different diameters in the rain field exhibit delamination and diffusion in the vertical direction.

3.5. Near-Wall Collision Particle Search Algorithm. After the unstructured grid of the structural surface is divided, the solid wall point cloud dataset \( S = \{ s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_m \} \) is formed by the center positions of \( m \) grid nodes, and the corresponding normal vector \( \psi = \{ \psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_m \} \). The point cloud dataset \( P = \{ p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_n \} \) is composed of \( n \) raindrops.
near the structure, and the corresponding velocity is \( V = \{ V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n \} \). Rain drops acting on the surface of the structure produce an instantaneous impact load. A projection is made to the three normal vectors at this impact location. The rain load on the structure is obtained by integrating all individual impact loads at the same moment. The specific calculation steps are as follows:

1. At time \( t \), the maximum raindrop velocity \( V_{\text{max}} = \{ V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n \} \) is obtained among all raindrops in the near object area.
2. As shown in Figure 14, the solid wall point set \( S \) is taken as the center of the circle, a circle is drawn with the radius \( R = V_{\text{max}} \cdot \Delta t \), and the raindrop point set \( P_k = \{ p_1, \ldots, p_l \} \), \( p_k \in P \) is calculated in the corresponding space. If \( P_k = \emptyset \) (empty set), it means that there is no raindrop impact at the current position, \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).
3. The set of raindrops near the solid wall point is solved as \( P_k \). The minimum Euclidean distance is calculated between the raindrops in the set and \( s_k \). The raindrop point with the minimum Euclidean distance is determined to collide with the solid wall point.
4. The rain load is calculated through \( F_d = \sum_{i=1}^{m} F_i \cdot \psi_i \), where the normal vector is \( \psi_i = (\psi_{ix}, \psi_{iy}, \psi_{iz}) \).
5. \( t = t + \Delta t \), after updating the computational domain rain field, repeat step (1).

3.6. Collision Model Modification of Raindrop and Wall. Assume that after the raindrop hits the structure vertically, the velocity in the vertical direction is zero. The impact force of a single spherical raindrop on a solid wall can be calculated by the impulse theorem using size, mass, final velocity, and impact time.

\[
\int_0^r f(t) dt + \int_0^r m \, dv = 0 F(r) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^r f(t) dt = \frac{mv}{T} = \frac{1}{6r^2 \rho D^3} v,
\]

where \( r \) is the impact time.
Taking the numerical simulation of impact load with the raindrop \( D = 3.0 \text{ mm}, v = 50 \text{ m/s} \) as an example, the high-speed impact duration curve and pulse form are shown in Figure 15. It can be seen as follows:

1. The entire impact process is completed in a very short time of \( 1e^{-4} \text{ s} \). The impact is initially characterized as a rigid impact load. After a rapid climb to the maximum value, the raindrop produces a flexible deformation, and the load appears to fall slowly.

2. It is divided into two types by way of impulse load: given the pulse time, the impact load is calculated; given the impact load, the pulse time is calculated.

3. According to the classification of (2), four pulse forms can be given: Rigid body impact, pulse time \( \tau = 0.5D/v \), impact load \( F_{rig} = 1/3\pi D^2 v^2 \); Continuous impact, pulse time \( \tau = 0.5D/v \), impact load \( F_{con} = 1/6\pi D^2 v^2 \); the peak impact load of raindrops are calculated as the impulse load \( F_p = C_r \cdot F_{rig} \); The average load of raindrops are used as the impulse load \( F_m = C_p \cdot F_p \).

The maximum impact loads are shown in Figure 16, generated by raindrops with different diameters impacting the solid wall at the final falling velocity [24]. The correction coefficient \( C_r = 0.7481 \), and the corrected results are in good agreement with the numerical calculation results.

3.7. Single Raindrop Impact Test. In order to realize the test of the spatial falling motion of raindrops and the whole process of the impact load acting on the surface of the structure, the instruments and equipment used in the test (see Figure 17) and parameters are as follows: (1) high-speed camera (Photron): sampling frequency of 10 kHz, shooting...
photo resolution of 1024 × 1000. (2) piezoelectric sensor with a maximum range of 5 N, a measurement accuracy of 0.5%FS, and a sampling frequency of 128 kHz; (3) pipette with a precision of 0.5 μl/1 μl; (4) dropper; (5) graduated scale; (6) fill light.

The size of the dropper aperture directly governs the size of the raindrop volume. Twelve droppers of different diameters were selected for the test. After calibration, the volume of raindrops was obtained from 4 μl to 42 μl, with equivalent diameters of 1.97 mm to 4.31 mm, as detailed in Table 4. Droplet volume calibration method: (1) choose an appropriate pipette; (2) pipette aspirate the volume of test liquid; (3) pipette with different dropper diameters; (4) slowly rotating the top knob of the pipette, each rotation of 1 frame, discharge a certain volume of liquid $V_1$ (that is, the accuracy of the pipette). The liquid gradually converges into raindrops at the mouth of the dropper by surface tension; (5) when the droplets happen to drop, record the number of rotating frames $K$, that is, the volume of raindrops $V = KV_1$; (6) each raindrop is repeated at least 3 times to ensure the repeatability of the generated raindrops.

A schematic diagram of the raindrop drop motion test is given in Figure 18, where the dropper of the pipette drops calibrated raindrops from two heights of $H = 0.93$ m and $H = 1.86$ m, respectively. Under the joint action of gravity, air buoyancy, and surface tension raindrops fall and impact on the piezoelectric sensor with a certain final velocity. The piezoelectric sensor is used to record the entire duration of the impact load. High-speed photography technology is used to record the process before the impact and the impact crushing process. The photo of the test site is shown in Figure 19.

An overlay of the program automatically recognizing the shape and spatial location of raindrops at different moments is shown in Figure 20, in which the red dots are filmed raindrops, and the black dots are the raindrops identified by the image. In order to improve the accuracy of the calculation and eliminate the influence of local anomalies, the first 20 photos of the raindrop impact structure are selected, and the distance $l$ from its center point to the top of the photo is calculated. The time interval of adjacent photos is 0.0001 s, and the falling time is 0.002 s. The linear regression method of least squares is used to calculate the end velocity of raindrops. The end velocities of raindrops with different diameters falling from different heights are shown in Figure 21. The impact load duration curve recorded by the piezoelectric sensor from the raindrop is shown in Figure 22. The maximum impact load is extracted from the raindrop impact load duration curve. The maximum impact loads $F_{rig}$ and $F_p$ are calculated from the raindrop mass and the end velocity before impact using (9) and plotted to form Figure 23. It can be seen that the test results and the theoretical calculation of the maximum load correction formula for raindrops $F_p = C_r \cdot F_{rig}$ have a more consistent law, and the error is less than 10%. This verifies the correctness of the maximum load correction formula for raindrops $F_{rig}$

4. Analysis of Calculation Results of Unit Plate Rain Load

The rain load forecast program is independently written using MATLAB. The rain load calculation per unit area of the flat plate under different combinations of rainfall intensity and wind speed is carried out.

As shown in Figure 24, the horizontal distance between the flat plate and the rain field satisfies the conditions for the sufficient development of the rain field driven by the wind field. When the raindrops accelerate to the position of the flat plate, they reach the same speed as the wind speed, as shown in Figure 25. In order to eliminate the phenomenon
of stratification and diffusion during the movement of the rain field caused by gravity, the gravity field is ignored in the calculation. It can be seen from Figure 26 that the raindrop particles of different diameters in the rain field are fully mixed without stratification and diffusion. The calculation conditions are shown in Table 5, with a time step of 0.01 s and a duration of 50 s.

In order to study the statistical characteristics of the flat plate subjected to the impact load of raindrops, 4800 sample points are selected, and the interval is divided into 100 parts. After probability statistics, normalization was carried out, and it was found that it obeys the Gaussian distribution. A Gaussian distribution function is used for fitting, as shown in Figure 27.

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2},
\]

where \(f(x)\) is the probability density function; \(\mu\) is the expected number; \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation.

All the rain loads for the calculated working conditions are averaged to plot Figure 28. It can be seen that the rain load satisfies a quadratic relationship with the wind speed for the same rainfall intensity. The rain load increases with the increase of rainfall intensity for the same wind speed. At the same wind speed, the rain load increases with the increase in rainfall intensity. In order to describe the dispersion degree of rain load, the coefficient of variation \(C_v = \sigma/\mu\) has been introduced. It can be seen from the distribution diagram of the variation coefficient in Figure 29 that due to the increase in rainfall intensity, the concentration of raindrops per unit volume increases, and the load acting on the plate is more uniform. As a consequence, the degree of dispersion of the rain load on the flat plate decreases with the increase of rainfall intensity.

In order to simplify the calculation and facilitate the use of the engineering community, the raindrop impact load is integrated into the wind load for unified consideration, and a correction term is introduced, which is defined as follows:

\[
\Delta C_w = \frac{2F_d}{\rho_d U_{w}^2S},
\]

where \(F_d\) is the rain load on the structure; \(S\) is the windward area.

It can be seen from Figure 30(a) that \(\Delta C_w\) has little correlation with wind speed and is strongly related to rainfall intensity \(R\). In order to simplify the formula and improve its

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Volume \(V_0\) (\(\mu\)L) & 4 & 9 & 10 & 12 & 15 & 16 & 20 & 22 & 25 & 31 & 39 & 42 \\
\hline
Equivalent diameter \(D\) (mm) & 1.97 & 2.58 & 2.67 & 2.84 & 3.06 & 3.13 & 3.37 & 3.48 & 3.63 & 3.90 & 4.21 & 4.31 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Volume calibration table for raindrops.}
\end{table}
practicability, the rainfall intensity $R$, which plays a leading role in $\Delta C_w$, is used as a variable to fit the rain load correction coefficient formula as follows:

$$\Delta C_w = a \cdot R^b,$$

where $a = 0.01206$, $b = 0.4488$, RMSE = 0.003771.

The high-rise trip,let tower studied by Wang et al. [25] belongs to a typical large facade configuration. The number of quantitative pipettes and replaceable burettes is used to measure the water flow rate, while the high-speed camera and scale are used to capture the movement of raindrops and calculate the distance between them. Figure 18 shows the schematic diagram of the test setup, while Figure 19 illustrates the testing site. The overlay of raindrop photos taken by the high-speed camera is shown in Figure 20, while Figure 21 compares the raindrop end velocity for different heights. Figure 22 presents the impact load duration curve for $H = 93$ cm, $V_0 = 39 \mu$L.
Figure 23: Comparison chart of maximum impact load.

Figure 24: Distribution of rain load calculation domain per unit area of flat plate.

Figure 25: Distribution of rain field velocity.
of raindrop particles in the large scale range is huge, and the calculation efficiency of commercial software is low. Three rainfall intensities of $R = 64 \text{ mm/h}$, $R = 100 \text{ mm/h}$ and $R = 200 \text{ mm/h}$ are given by Wang. The flat plate studied in this paper can be considered as the windward side of a rectangular body, which has a similar structural form to the high-rise triplet tower. The calculation results are shown in Figure 30(a). The calculation results in this study are in good agreement with those in the open literature, indicating that the rain load calculation method established in this paper is correct.

It is noted that rain load in this paper adopts the transient impact pulse load superposition algorithm, which is different from the long-term momentum averaging algorithm. Since the rain load is a typical discrete problem, the momentum averaging ignores the pulse characteristics of the rain load. It can be seen from Figure 30(b) that the rain load obtained by the quantitative average algorithm is

| Research object | Rainfall intensity $R$ (mm/h) | Wind speed $U_w$ (m/s) | Condition number |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Flat plate ($S = 1 \text{ m}^2$) | 10, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 709.2 | 10–55, $\Delta v = 5$ | 90 |

![Figure 26: Distribution of particle diameters.](image)

![Figure 27: Statistical probability distribution of raindrop impact loads. (a) $R = 709.2 \text{ mm/h-}v = 30 \text{ m/s}$. (b) $R = 709.2 \text{ mm/h-}v = 55 \text{ m/s}$.](image)
**Figure 28:** Statistical chart of rain loads.

**Figure 29:** Variation coefficient of rain loads.

**Figure 30:** Proportion diagram of rain load. (a) Transient pulse load superposition. (b) Momentum average rain load.
significantly less than the superposition of transient impact load.

Therefore, the calculation formula for the combined wind and rain load on the structure can be expressed as

\[ F = \frac{1}{2} (C_w + \Delta C_w) \rho \frac{U_w^2}{g} S \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where \( C_w \) is the wind resistance coefficient, taken as 1.0.

5. Conclusions and Discussions

A rapid calculation method for rain load on structures is proposed and a forecast program is independently developed in this study. Then, the calculation of the rain load per unit area of flat plate and the statistical analysis of the calculation results are completed. The main conclusions are as follows:

(1) The self-developed rain load forecasting program for structures can realize the prediction of wind-driven rain fields and the calculation of rain loads on structures.

(2) The rain load on the structure obeys the Gaussian distribution, and the degree of dispersion decreases with the increase in rainfall intensity.

(3) The calculation formula for including the rain load into the wind load in the form of a correction factor is proposed, and the calculation formula for the correction factor is given.

(4) The rain load correction coefficient \( \Delta C_w \) is closely related to the rainfall intensity \( R \), but has little to do with the wind speed.

The rain load calculation method established in this paper is the ultimate load superimposed by the transient rain load, which is suitable for the calculation of the local transient ultimate load and rain pressure of the structure. If the low-frequency response problem of rain load to the motion of marine structures is studied, then the long-term momentum averaging algorithm should be used for rain load. Since rain load is a typical discrete problem, the momentum averaging algorithm ignores the impulse characteristics of rain load. How to reasonably increase the impulse characteristics on the basis of the momentum averaging algorithm requires further in-depth research. The fluctuating wind will inevitably cause the pulsation of the rain field, and the structural rain load characteristics in the pulsating rain field are also one of the issues worthy of study [26, 27].

Data Availability

The relevant data designed in this paper are calculated by self-programming according to the numerical algorithm in this paper. At the end of the paper, the corresponding reference 20 is applied in the reference, and the data are from Rain pressure distribution of three-power connected tall buildings with wind-rain coupled environment. J. Nanj. U. Aeron. Astron., 52(05), 825–834.”
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