Workability and Early-Age Strength of Recycled Aggregate Concrete Incorporating Basalt Fibers
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Abstract – This research investigates the effect of basalt fibers (BF) on the workability and early-age compressive and splitting tensile strengths of concrete made with 100% recycled concrete aggregates (RCA). The target concrete compressive strengths were 30, 45, and 60 MPa, whereas the basalt fibers had a length of either 20 or 43 mm. The addition of BF significantly decreased the workability, slightly improved the compressive strength, and remarkably increased the splitting tensile strength of the RCA-based concrete. The compressive strengths of the RCA-based concrete with different BF lengths and volume fractions were insignificantly different. The original compressive strength of the natural aggregate (NA)-based concrete was not fully restored, irrespective of the BF length and volume fraction. Basalt fibers had a more pronounced effect on improving the splitting tensile strength rather than the compressive strength. The original splitting tensile strength of the NA-based concrete was fully restored in most of the cases. Basalt fibers with a length of 43 mm were more effective in improving the splitting tensile strength of the RCA-based concrete than those having a length of 20 mm.
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1. Introduction

The rapid increase in the human population demands infrastructure development, instigating a need for more construction materials [1], [2]. Of these materials, concrete is one of the most commonly used [3]. It is typically made of 20-25% binding paste, i.e. cement and water, and 75-80% filler, i.e. aggregates. These aggregates are created through the processing of natural stone. Thus, their use in concrete results in the depletion of non-renewable natural resources [4], [5]. Therefore, it is essential to find a material that can replace natural aggregates in concrete without significantly affecting its mechanical and durability characteristics.

Concrete structures are demolished after producing a huge amount of construction and demolition waste (CDW) [6], [7]. Generally, CDW is disposed of into landfills. Yet, with limited land, this technique is becoming less desirable. Moreover, the leaching of hazardous materials from CDW results in environmental pollution [8], [9]. Therefore, it is necessary to provide a novel environment-friendly waste management technique to dispose of the CDW. In one method, CDW was processed and successfully converted into recycled concrete aggregates (RCA) [10]. However, concrete incorporating RCA possessed inferior mechanical properties and durability characteristics compared to those made with natural aggregates [11]–[20]. Kachouh et al. [21] noticed 18, 41, and 47% reductions in compressive strength at RCA replacement of 30, 70, and 100%, respectively. Flexural strength of concrete was reduced by 16, 46, and 51% at similar RCA replacement [22]. Due to such inferior performance, the adoption of RCA by the construction industry instead of natural aggregates is hindered. To counteract the negative impact of RCA on the properties of concrete, fiber reinforcement was utilized [21]–[23]. The addition of 2% steel fiber (SF) improved the compressive strength of 100% RCA-concrete by 9% [21]. Though, these added SF are susceptible to corrosion [24]. Accordingly, it is preferred to use non-metallic fibers that are not corroducible, including basalt fibers (BF). This paper examines the effect of adding BF on the workability and early-age compressive and splitting tensile strengths of concrete made with 100% RCA.
2. Related Work

There is limited literature available on the effect of incorporating BF in the mixture on the mechanical properties of concrete made with RCA. Wang et al [25] investigated the combined influence of micro-BF and nano-silica on the mechanical properties of concrete incorporating 100% RCA. Basalt fibers of 32 mm length and 15 µm diameter were used. Their addition ranged between 0 and 3 kgm\(^{-3}\), whereby the highest fiber content improved the compressive strength by 3.82%. Additionally, Fang et al. [26] studied the mechanical properties of 50% RCA concrete reinforced with 18–mm long BF. The elastic modulus of concrete made with 50% RCA improved by 1.29% at BF volume fraction of 0.2%. Moreover, Dong et al. [27] evaluated the effect of BF on the mechanical properties of 50–100% RCA concrete. Basalt fibers were added in the range of 0 to 4 kgm\(^{-3}\). Results showed that the addition of 2 kgm\(^{-3}\) BF improved the 28-day cube compressive strength of 100% RCA-concrete by 10.8%.

![Figure 1. Gradation curves for cement and dune sand](image1)

Table 1. Chemical composition of cement and dune sand

| Compound     | Cement (%) | Dune sand (%) |
|--------------|------------|---------------|
| SiO\(_2\)    | 19.9       | 64.9          |
| Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 4.9        | 3             |
| Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) | 2.3        | 0.7           |
| CaO (%)      | 63.2       | 14.1          |
| MgO (%)      | 2.5        | 1.3           |
| Na\(_2\)O (%)| 0.4        | 0.4           |
| K\(_2\)O (%) | 0.7        | 1.1           |
| LOI (%)      | 2.6        | -             |
| Others (%)   | 3.5        | 14.5          |

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

ASTM Type I cement, natural aggregates, recycled concrete aggregates, dune sand, and tap water were used in the preparation of concrete mixes. The gradation curves of dune sand and cement are shown in Figure 1. The chemical composition of dune sand (DS) and cement was determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis, as shown in Table 1. The different compounds found in cement and dune sand are shown in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of Figure 2.

Coarse aggregates were natural aggregates (NA) and RCA. The nominal maximum size (NMS) of NA was 19 mm whereas that of RCA was 25 mm. The NA were obtained from a local quarry, while the RCA were acquired from a CDW recycling plant. In turn, desert dune sand served as fine aggregates. Table 2 shows the physical characteristics of the aggregates used. The water absorption of RCA was more than that of NA, whereas its resistance to abrasion was lower. The physical appearance of NA, RCA, and dune sand is shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 2. XRD analysis of cement and dune sand](image2)

Table 2. Physical properties of coarse and fine aggregates

| Property                | Unit | Standard Test | NA  | RCA  | DS   |
|-------------------------|------|---------------|-----|------|------|
| Surface area            | cm\(^2\)/g | ASTM C136 [28] | 2.49 | 2.50 | 116.8 |
| Soundness (MgSO\(_4\)) | %    | ASTM C88 [29] | 1.20 | 2.78 | -    |
| Specific gravity        | -    | ASTM C127 [30] | 2.82 | 2.63 | 2.77 |
| Dry-rod density         | kg/m\(^3\) | ASTM C29 [31] | 1635 | 1563 | 1663 |
| Los Angeles abrasion     | %    | ASTM C131 [32] | 16.0 | 32.6 | -    |
| Absorption              | %    | ASTM C127 [30] | 0.22 | 6.63 | -    |
| Fineness modulus        | -    | ASTM C136 [28] | 6.82 | 7.44 | 1.45 |

Basalt fibers of 20 and 43 mm length were used in the preparation of the concrete mixes. The properties of the BF, as provided by the manufacturer, are listed in Table 3. The physical appearance of BF is shown in Figure 4.
A polycarboxylic ether polymer-based superplasticizer (SP) was added to improve the workability of the concrete mix without compromising the mechanical properties. The target slump was set to 150 mm.

Figure 3. (a) 10 mm NA (b) 19 mm NA (c) dune sand (d) RCA

Table 3. Properties of basalt fibers [33]

| Diameter (mm) | Tensile strength (MPa) | Elastic modulus (GPa) | Density (g/cm³) |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 0.72          | > 900                  | 44                    | 2.1             |

Figure 4. (a) 43 mm and (b) 20 mm basalt fiber

3.2 Mixture Proportions

Three different mixes were designed based on ACI 211.1 [34] to attain target compressive strengths of 30, 45, and 60 MPa and a slump of at least 150 mm. Concrete mixes prepared with NA only served as a benchmark. Table 4 presents the mixture proportions of each concrete mix. Concrete mixes were labelled as xRyBFz/w, where x is target compressive strength (MPa), y is the RCA replacement percentage (%), z is the volume fraction of basalt fibers (%), and w indicates the length of BF (in mm). For instance, 45R100BF1.5/43 represents a 45-MPa concrete prepared with 100% RCA and 1.5% volume fraction of BF having a length 43 mm.

3.3 Sample Preparation

Concrete mixes were prepared in the laboratory at an ambient temperature of 25±2°C and a relative humidity of 50±5%. Coarse aggregates (NA or RCA) were first brought up to saturated surface dry (SSD) condition to accommodate for their water absorption. The SSD aggregates and dune sand were then added to the mixer and mixed for 3 minutes. The cement and water were subsequently added, and mixing continued for 3 more minutes. Basalt fibers were added at the end of mixing to avoid the breakage of fibers, as shown in Figure 5. For each mix, three replicate concrete specimens were cast and covered with plastic sheet for 24 hours, after which the specimens were removed from the moulds and cured in water for 7 days.

Table 4. Mix proportions of concrete mixes

| Mix Designation | Mass (kg/m³) |
|-----------------|--------------|
|                 | Cement | Sand | NA | RCA | Water | SP | BF |
| 30R0BF0         | 470    | 570  | 0  | 1130| 230   | 0  | 0  |
| 30R100BF0       | 470    | 570  | 0  | 1130| 230   | 0  | 0  |
| 30R100BF0.5/43  | 470    | 570  | 0  | 1130| 230   | 0  | 0.5|
| 30R100BF1/20    | 470    | 570  | 0  | 1130| 230   | 0  | 0  |
| 30R100BF1/43    | 470    | 570  | 0  | 1130| 230   | 0  | 0  |
| 30R100BF1.5/20  | 470    | 570  | 0  | 1130| 230   | 0  | 0  |
| 30R100BF1.5/43  | 470    | 570  | 0  | 1130| 230   | 0  | 0  |
| 45R0BF0         | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 45R100BF0       | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 45R100BF0.5/43  | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0.5|
| 45R100BF1/20    | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 45R100BF1/43    | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 45R100BF1.5/20  | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 45R100BF1.5/43  | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 45R100BF2/20    | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 45R100BF2/43    | 567    | 543  | 0  | 1100| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R0BF0         | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF0       | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF0.5/43  | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0.5|
| 60R100BF1/20    | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF1/43    | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF1.5/20  | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF1.5/43  | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF2/20    | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF2/43    | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF3/20    | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |
| 60R100BF3/43    | 617    | 513  | 0  | 1079| 216   | 0  | 0  |

3.4 Performance Evaluation

More than 150 samples were tested in the laboratory to determine the workability and early-age mechanical properties of the concrete. The workability of fresh concrete was measured using the slump cone test as per ASTM C143 [35]. Cubes of 100 mm size and cylinders of 100 mm x 200 mm (diameter x height) were used to determine the compressive and tensile strengths as per BS EN 12390-3 [36] and ASTM C496 [37], respectively. For both tests, the load was applied using a 2000-kN compression testing machine at respective loading rate of 7 and 1 kN/s. The average of three
concrete replicate samples was used in the analysis of compressive and splitting tensile strengths.

Figure 5. Mixing of basalt fibers in concrete mix

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Slump

The workability of concrete was characterized by the slump test. Figure 6 demonstrates the workability of different concrete mixes. The horizontal lines represent the workability of control mixes made with NA and 0% BF and having target compressive strengths of 30, 45, and 60 MPa. The slump values of these control mixes were 155, 215, and 220 mm, respectively. The replacement of NA by 100% RCA did not have an impact on the slump of the 30- and 45-MPa concrete. However, the slump decreased by 16% for 60-MPa 100% RCA-concrete. Apparently, the irregular shape and rough surface texture of RCA became more influential at higher strength [38].

Figure 6. Workability of concrete reinforced with 43-mm BF

Figure 6 also shows the effect of 43-mm basalt fiber addition on the workability, i.e. slump. For RCA concrete with a target strength of 30 MPa, the addition of 0.5, 1, and 1.5% 43-mm BF, by volume, resulted in slump of 130, 15, and 10 mm, representing reductions of 16, 90, and 94%, respectively. Contrarily, BF incorporation into RCA concrete designed to attain strengths of 45 and 60 MPa led to decreases of slump up to 93 and 98, respectively.

The use of shorter, 20 mm, basalt fibers presented a similar trend, as shown in Figure 7. RCA concrete with 30, 45, and 60 MPa design strength experienced up to 48, 77, and 73% loss in slump, respectively. Clearly, the addition of basalt fibers reduced the workability with higher volume fractions and longer fibers having a more pronounced adverse impact.

Figure 7. Workability of concrete reinforced with 20-mm BF

4.2. Compressive Strength

The 7-day compressive strength of concrete mixes made with different RCA replacement and BF volume fractions is shown in Figure 8. The mix designated as “CON” represents the control mix made with 100% NA and 0% BF. Regardless of the target strength, the replacement of NA by 100% RCA decreased the concrete compressive strength. In fact, the compressive strength of concrete designed to attain 30, 45, and 60 MPa strength decreased by 49, 47, and 58%, respectively. Clearly, the impact of RCA replacement is more noticeable in concrete with higher design strength.

The addition of BF generally improved the compressive strength of 100% RCA-concrete. Yet, it is worth noting that mixes incorporating 1.5% of 43-mm had limited workability and could not be compacted into samples for testing. Detailed strength values and percent change due to basalt fiber addition are shown in Table 5. For 30-MPa design strength concrete, the addition of 0.5 and 1% of 43-mm BF enhanced the compressive strength by 27 and 21%, respectively. This shows that volume fractions beyond 0.5% for the 43-mm BF do not provide any additional strength. Conversely, adding 20-mm BF
by up to 3%, by volume, improved compressive strength of 100% RCA-concrete by up to 29%. Although, the addition of BF improved the compressive strength of 100% RCA-concrete, it is worth finding the strength retention as percentage of NA-based concrete, as shown in the last column of Table 5. The strength retention of 100% RCA-concrete reinforced with 43- and 20-mm BF at volume fraction of up to 1 and 3% could retain up to 65 and 70% of the compressive strength of the control mix, respectively.

For 45-MPa concrete, the addition of 43- and 20-mm BF at volume fraction of up to 1 and 3% resulted in up to 16% improvement in compressive strength for 100% RCA-concrete. The retention of the compressive strength retention of concrete reinforced with 43-mm BF ranged between 61 and 62%. Counterparts made with 20-mm BF could retain between 57 and 62% of the compressive strength of the NA-based concrete.

Table 5. Compressive strength of BF-reinforced concrete

| Mix Designation | Avg. $f_{cu}$ (MPa) | Increase in $f_{cu}$ compared to RCA concrete (%) | Retained $f_{cu}$ of percent of NA Concrete (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 30R0BF0         | 33.4                | -                                                | -                                             |
| 30R100BF0       | 17.2                | -                                                | -                                             |
| 30R100BF0.5/43  | 21.9                | 27                                               | 65                                            |
| 30R100BF1/43    | 20.8                | 21                                               | 62                                            |
| 30R100BF0.5/20  | 23.5                | 37                                               | 70                                            |
| 30R100BF1/20    | 18.9                | 10                                               | 58                                            |
| 30R100BF1.5/20  | 22.2                | 29                                               | 66                                            |
| 30R100BF2/20    | 21.5                | 25                                               | 64                                            |
| 30R100BF3/20    | 20.1                | 17                                               | 60                                            |
| 45R0BF0         | 44.9                | -                                                | -                                             |
| 45R100BF0       | 23.9                | -                                                | -                                             |
| 45R100BF0.5/43  | 27.2                | 14                                               | 61                                            |
| 45R100BF1/43    | 27.7                | 16                                               | 62                                            |
| 45R100BF0.5/20  | 27.2                | 14                                               | 61                                            |
| 45R100BF1/20    | 27.7                | 16                                               | 62                                            |
| 45R100BF1.5/20  | 27.3                | 14                                               | 61                                            |
| 45R100BF2/20    | 27.5                | 15                                               | 61                                            |
| 45R100BF3/20    | 25.7                | 8                                                | 57                                            |
| 60R0BF0         | 52.7                | -                                                | -                                             |
| 60R100BF0       | 22.1                | -                                                | -                                             |
| 60R100BF0.5/43  | 27.6                | 25                                               | 52                                            |
| 60R100BF1/43    | 30.8                | 39                                               | 58                                            |
| 60R100BF0.5/20  | 24.5                | 11                                               | 46                                            |
| 60R100BF1/20    | 25.3                | 14                                               | 48                                            |
| 60R100BF1.5/20  | 29.1                | 32                                               | 55                                            |
| 60R100BF2/20    | 29.3                | 33                                               | 56                                            |
| 60R100BF3/20    | 27.0                | 22                                               | 51                                            |

Considering the 60-MPa concrete, the compressive strength of 100% RCA-concrete improved by 25 and 39% through the addition of 43-mm BF at volume fraction of up to 1 and 3%. The strength retention of concrete reinforced with 43-mm BF ranged between 52 and 68%. Counterparts made with 20-mm BF could retain between 56 and 67% of the compressive strength of the NA-based concrete.
fractions of 0.5 and 1%, respectively. However, the addition of 20-mm BF at volume fraction of 0.5-3% improved the compressive strength by 11-33%. In terms of strength retention as percent of NA-based concrete, RCA-concrete reinforced with 43-mm BF could retain up 56% of the strength. Mixes reinforced with 20-mm BF had retention values up to 56%.

From the obtained results, it can be noticed that the addition of basalt fibers increased the compressive strength of RCA-concrete, regardless of BF length. In fact, the strength improvement was similar upon adding 20 or 43 mm BF. Similar findings can be perceived for strength retention. Yet, it is worth noting that the incorporation of 20- and 43-mm basalt fibers had more pronounced impact at lower and higher design strengths, respectively.

As noted earlier, three replicate samples were prepared and tested to obtain the average compressive strength. The accuracy of the obtained results is evaluated by determining the standard deviation and coefficient of variation. Table 6 shows that the standard deviation ranged between 0.5 and 2.7 MPa, while the coefficient of variation was in the range of 1.9-9.3% (average of 5%). This shows that the dispersion of test results is relatively low with high repeatability, high precision, and limited uncertainty.

4.3. Splitting Tensile Strength

The splitting tensile strength of concrete mixes tested at 7 days is shown in Figure 9. Replacement of NA by 100% RCA decreased the splitting tensile strength by 21, 35, and 40% for concrete with design strength of 30, 45, and 60 MPa, respectively. Compared to compressive strength, it is obvious that effect of RCA replacement is less detrimental on splitting tensile strength.

The effect of adding 20- and 43-mm basalt fibers on the splitting tensile strength is examined. Strength values, percent increase due to basalt fiber addition, and strength retention percentage as a function of the NA-based values are shown in Table 6. Considering the 30-MPa design strength concrete, the addition of 0.5 and 1% BF improved splitting tensile strength of 100% RCA-concrete by 45 and 86%, respectively. In comparison, splitting tensile improved by 36, 25, 42, 30, and 48% when BF was added in respective volume fractions of 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, and 3%. Furthermore, the strength retention of RCA-concrete mixes incorporating up to 1 and 3% volume fraction of 43- and 20-mm BF was 147 and 117% that of the control mix. Apparently, BF are effective in improving the splitting tensile strength of RCA-concrete. Yet, it is worth mentioning that 43-mm BF were more effective than 20-mm counterparts, owing to their larger length and improved bridging capacity.

For 45-MPa design strength concrete, the splitting tensile strength of RCA-concrete improved by 47 and 109% by the respective addition of 0.5 and 1% of 43-mm BF compared to plain RCA-concrete. Moreover, for 20-mm the maximum splitting tensile strength retention for 43- and 20-mm BF-reinforced RCA-concrete was 135 and 113%, respectively. Similar to concrete with a 30-MPa design strength, longer BF further enhanced the splitting tensile strength.

As for the 60-MPa design strength concrete, the addition of up to 1 and 3% of 43- and 20-mm BF, by volume, increased the splitting tensile strength by up to 116 and 89%, respectively, compared to plain RCA-concrete. With reference to the control mix, the strength retention level could reach up to 132 and 115%.

Table 6. Statistics related to the compressive and splitting tensile strength

| Mix Designation | $f_{cu}$ (MPa) | COV% | $f_{ct}$ (MPa) | COV% |
|-----------------|----------------|------|----------------|------|
| 30R0BF0         | 0.9            | 2.6  | 0.16           | 9.0  |
| 30R100BF0       | 1.2            | 7.1  | 0.11           | 8.8  |
| 30R100BF0.5/43  | 1.8            | 8.4  | 0.13           | 6.8  |
| 30R100BF1/43    | 1.1            | 5.7  | 0.13           | 5.4  |
| 30R100BF0.5/20  | 2.1            | 9.1  | 0.16           | 9.0  |
| 30R100BF1/20    | 0.5            | 2.6  | 0.16           | 9.9  |
| 30R100BF1.5/20  | 0.6            | 2.5  | 0.07           | 3.6  |
| 30R100BF2/20    | 0.6            | 2.7  | 0.11           | 6.6  |
| 30R100BF3/20    | 1.6            | 6.1  | 0.13           | 6.7  |
| 45R0BF0         | 1.9            | 4.3  | 0.08           | 3.7  |
| 45R100BF0       | 1.8            | 7.7  | 0.15           | 9.9  |
| 45R100BF0.5/43  | 1.2            | 4.4  | 0.13           | 5.9  |
| 45R100BF1/43    | 1.7            | 6.2  | 0.19           | 6.0  |
| 45R100BF0.5/20  | 1.8            | 6.7  | 0.05           | 2.1  |
| 45R100BF1/20    | 0.8            | 2.7  | 0.10           | 5.0  |
| 45R100BF1.5/20  | 0.5            | 1.9  | 0.10           | 4.4  |
| 45R100BF2/20    | 1.8            | 6.4  | 0.07           | 2.8  |
| 45R100BF3/20    | 1.0            | 4.1  | 0.11           | 4.3  |
| 60R0BF0         | 2.1            | 4.0  | 0.06           | 2.6  |
| 60R100BF0       | 1.6            | 7.2  | 0.13           | 8.7  |
| 60R100BF0.5/43  | 1.6            | 5.7  | 0.23           | 9.5  |
| 60R100BF1/43    | 0.7            | 2.3  | 0.15           | 4.5  |
| 60R100BF0.5/20  | 0.5            | 2.1  | 0.17           | 9.8  |
| 60R100BF1/20    | 0.9            | 3.6  | 0.07           | 4.0  |
| 60R100BF1.5/20  | 2.7            | 9.3  | 0.19           | 7.8  |
| 60R100BF2/20    | 1.2            | 4.1  | 0.18           | 7.2  |
| 60R100BF3/20    | 0.8            | 2.9  | 0.11           | 3.9  |

a Standard deviation
b Coefficient of variation
Table 7 depicts the ratio of the splitting tensile strength to the compressive strength. Independent of the design strength, mixes made with 100% RCA had a higher ratio than those made with 100% NA. This provides evidence to the fact that the replacement of NA by RCA was less impactful on the tensile strength than the compressive strength. Furthermore, the incorporation of 43- and 20-mm BF resulted in a higher ratio, signifying its more pronounced effect on the splitting tensile strength. However, it is worth noting that, irrespective of the concrete design strength, the 43-mm BF-reinforced concrete provided a higher ratio than 20-mm counterpart, taking into consideration that the former had a maximum volume fraction of 1% while the that of the latter could reach 3%. Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that the integration of 43-mm BF in RCA-concrete is preferred over the 20-mm BF for superior early-age compressive and splitting tensile strengths.

To determine the average splitting tensile strength, three replicate samples were prepared and tested. Similar to the compressive strength, the accuracy of the splitting tensile results is assessed using the standard deviation and coefficient of variation. Table 6 shows that the standard deviation and coefficient of variation were in the ranges of 0.05-0.23 MPa and 2.1-9.9% (average of 6.2%), respectively. Thus, it can be concluded that the test results of the splitting tensile strength have relatively low dispersion, high repeatability, and high precision, but are associated with slightly more uncertainty than those of the compressive strength.

5. Conclusions

The combined effect of basalt fiber addition and RCA replacement on the workability and early-age compressive and splitting tensile strength has been investigated. Two lengths of basalt fibers were used, namely 20 and 43 mm. The concrete design strengths were set to 30, 45, and 60 MPa. The following conclusions can be drawn based on experimental findings:

(i) The replacement of 100% RCA in concrete did not affect the workability of mixes with 30 and 45 MPa design strength, but caused a 16% reduction for the 60-MPa counterpart. The addition of BF reduced the workability. Increased volume fractions and length of the fibers reduced the workability.

(ii) The substitution of NA by 100% RCA led to a decrease in the concrete compressive strength. This strength reduction was more pronounced in concrete with a higher design strength. The incorporation of 20- and 43-mm basalt fibers in
increase being noted for concrete with a lower design strength. Also, the 43-mm basalt fiber-reinforced concrete had a higher splitting tensile strength than that of 20-mm counterparts.
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