Non-linear relaxation of interacting bosons coherently driven on a narrow optical transition
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Abstract – We study the dynamics of a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) of 174Yb atoms coherently driven on a narrow optical transition. The excitation transfers the BEC to a superposition of states with different internal and momentum quantum numbers. We observe a crossover with decreasing driving strength between a regime of damped oscillations, where coherent driving prevails, and an incoherent regime, where relaxation takes over. Several relaxation mechanisms are involved: inelastic losses involving two excited atoms, leading to a non-exponential decay of populations; Doppler broadening due to the finite momentum width of the BEC and inhomogeneous elastic interactions, both leading to dephasing and to damping of the oscillations. We compare our observations to a two-component Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) model that fully includes these effects. For small or moderate densities, the damping of the oscillations is mostly due to Doppler broadening. In this regime, we find excellent agreement between the model and the experimental results. For higher densities, the role of interactions increases and so does the damping rate of the oscillations. The damping in the GP model is less pronounced than in the experiment, possibly a hint for many-body effects not captured by the mean-field description.

In the recent years, ultranarrow optical “clock” transitions interrogated by lasers with sub-hertz frequency stability have enabled dramatic progress in time-frequency metrology [1]. The very small radiative linewidth (low spontaneous emission rate) characterizing such transitions opens many unprecedented opportunities, e.g., for quantum information processing [2–5], to reach new regimes in quantum optics [6,7], or to simulate complex many-body systems such as high-spin magnetism or impurity problems [8–10]. Moreover, the recoil effect – the increase of the atomic momentum upon absorbing a laser photon – couples the motional state of the atoms to their internal state. This feature distinguishes single-photon transitions in the optical domain from hyperfine transitions in the radio-frequency or microwave domain, where the recoil is negligible. This enables in principle a fully coherent manipulation of the internal and external atomic state, with applications in atom interferometry [11], or in the realization of artificial gauge potentials [12–14].

In many of these applications, interatomic interactions play an essential role. In atomic clocks, interactions limit the clock accuracy and their role has been studied extensively [1]. Even for fermions, where one would a priori expect vanishing clock shifts at low temperatures, interactions lead to tiny clock shifts because of inhomogeneous excitation [15]. While atomic clocks usually operate far from quantum degeneracy, new phenomena appear in quantum degenerate gases due to the interplay between quantum statistics, the quantized motion of atoms and intra- and inter-state interactions. Optical spectroscopy has been instrumental to reveal Bose-Einstein condensation of spin-polarized atomic
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Fig. 1: (Colour online) (a) Sketch of the experiment: $^{174}$Yb atoms are probed on the clock transition connecting the ground state $g \equiv ^{1}S_{0}$ and the metastable excited state $e \equiv ^{3}P_{0}$. (b)–(f) Population dynamics as a function of pulse duration $t$ for varying Rabi frequency: $\Omega_{L}/(2\pi) \simeq 2.1$ kHz (b), 1.10 kHz (c), 750 Hz (d), 540 Hz (e) and 200 Hz (f). The circles show the measured population in $g$ normalized to the initial atom number, noted $P_{g}$. The solid blue lines show fits to the lossy GP model developed in the text, with only the driving strength $\Omega_{L}$, initial atom number and detuning $\delta_{L}$ as free parameters. The green dashed lines show the evolution of the total atom number normalized to the initial one, noted $P_{e+g}$, according to the same model. The insets show the same data in double-logarithmic scale. The red dashed line in (f) shows an exponential fit to the data with a $1/e$ decay rate of $\simeq 150 \text{s}^{-1}$. For all data shown in this figure, the trap frequencies are $(\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}, \omega_{z}) \simeq 2\pi \times (20, 264, 275) \text{Hz}$ and the BEC chemical potential is $\mu/h \simeq 1 \text{kHz}$.

hydrogen [16–18]. These experiments were performed in a weak-coupling, irreversible regime suitable for spectroscopy. Still, the experimental results have not been fully understood [16,19–21]. More recently, one-photon spectroscopy on ultra-narrow optical transitions with spontaneous linewidth $\ll 1 \text{Hz}$ has been reported and used to probe interaction shifts in an Yb Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) [22,23] or a Sr degenerate Fermi gas [24,25], to measure scattering properties of fermionic [26–30] or bosonic Yb atoms [31,32], to study the superfluid-Mott insulator transition in an optical lattice [33], or to reveal the change in the density of states in spin-orbit–coupled Fermi gases [13,14].

In this article, we report on a study of the dynamics of a BEC of $^{174}$Yb atoms coherently driven on such a narrow transition. The excitation coherently transfers the BEC in a superposition of states with different internal and momentum quantum numbers. The coherent excitation competes against a number of relaxation processes, including linear dephasing due to the finite initial momentum width and non-linear interactions, in particular inelastic processes involving two excited atoms. We observe a crossover with decreasing driving strength from a regime of damped oscillations, where coherent driving prevails, to an incoherent regime, where relaxation takes over. Throughout the crossover (except for very small driving strength), the populations relax in time with a non-exponential law. We compare our observations to a two-component Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) model that fully includes elastic and inelastic interactions and atomic motion. We find excellent agreement between the GP model and the experiment for densities around or below $10^{14}$ atoms/cm$^{3}$, but also that the model underestimates the damping of the coherent oscillations for higher densities. This could point to additional effects beyond the GP description at play in the experiments.

We produce nearly pure BECs of $^{174}$Yb atoms in an optical crossed dipole trap (CDT) [34]. The CDT operates at the so-called magic wavelength $\lambda_{m} \simeq 759.4 \text{nm}$, where the light shifts of the electronic $^{1}S_{0}$ ground state and of the metastable $^{3}P_{0}$ excited state (denoted, respectively, by $g$ and $e$ in the following) are almost equal. The trapping potential of the CDT is then almost independent of the internal state. A laser near-resonant with the $g\leftrightarrow e$ transition couples the two internal states (see [34] for more details on the optical setup and on the frequency stabilization). After preparing a BEC in the $g$ state, we illuminate the sample with a pulse of duration $t$, with a coupling strength $\Omega_{L}$ and detuning $\delta_{L} = \omega_{L} - \omega_{eg}$ from the bare atomic resonance frequency $\omega_{eg}$, with $\omega_{L}$ the laser frequency. The coupling laser propagates in the horizontal $x$-$y$ plane, with a wave vector $\mathbf{k}_{L}$ making an angle $\theta = \pi/4$ with the weak
axis (x-axis) of the trap (see fig. 1(a)). We switch off the CDT immediately after the pulse, let the cloud expand for a time of flight of 12 ms and record an absorption image of the atoms in g using the dipole-allowed $^3S_0 \rightarrow ^1P_1$ transition. In the following, we focus on the normalized population $P_g$ in g, that is the atom number deduced from absorption images normalized to the initial one.

Figures 1(b)–(f) show the time evolution of $P_g$ after the coupling laser is turned on, for various Rabi frequencies. We observe damped, Rabi-like oscillations with a contrast that decreases when increasing the Rabi frequency. For all data shown in fig. 1, the initial condensate contains typically $\sim 10^4$ atoms for a chemical potential $\mu/h \approx 1$ kHz. The laser detuning is fixed to the value where we observe maximum transfer after a given pulse time (see Supplemental Material Supplementarymaterial.pdf (SM) for more details). The observation of oscillations shows that the condensate is coherently transferred in a quantum superposition of g and e. For a uniform condensate, the transfer would couple two single quantum states $|g, 0\rangle$ and $|e, k_L\rangle$ with momenta 0 and $h k_L$, respectively. For our sample of finite size, the two states correspond to two wave packets centered around the same momenta and with a width $\sim h/R$, where $R$ is a typical condensate size.

Our observations are reminiscent of the behavior of two-state quantum systems, both coherently driven and incoherently coupled to a bath, such as the paradigmatic two-level atom of quantum optics or a driven qubit undergoing relaxation. In these examples, under the assumption of short memory of the bath, one expects for weak driving an exponential decay analogous to the Wigner-Weisskopf (W-W) desintegration of a discrete level into a continuum of states [35]. The transition from W-W desintegration to underdamped oscillations with increasing driving strength can be estimated by comparing the spectral width $\Delta$ of the bath (the inverse of its memory time) to the coupling strength $\Omega_L$: Underdamped oscillations take place in the strong-driving regime $\Omega_L \gg \Delta$ and W-W desintegration in the weak-coupling regime $\Omega_L \ll \Delta$, with a continuous change from one regime to the other. The same conclusions hold for an ensemble of independent two-level systems, where inhomogeneities in the coupling strength or detuning also lead to dephasing between the different members of the ensemble. This induces an additional decay of the g-e coherence when considering ensemble-averaged quantities, translating to a reduced contrast of the oscillations.

In the absence of interactions or of atomic motion, the damping of the oscillations would purely be due to ensemble dephasing. One form of dephasing comes from spatial inhomogeneity of the coupling strength or of the detuning. We estimate in [31] a dephasing time of several tens of milliseconds for our experimental parameters, much longer than observed here. Another dephasing mechanism arising from ensemble averaging is Doppler broadening due to the small, but finite momentum width of the BEC. The detuning $\delta^*_L - v_R \cdot k_L$ depends on the atomic momentum $h k_L$ due to the Doppler effect. Here $v_R = \hbar k_L/M$ is the recoil velocity, $M$ is the atomic mass, $\delta^*_L = \delta_L - E_R$ is the detuning from the recoil-shifted resonance, and $E_R = M v_R^2/2$ is the recoil energy. For our experimental geometry, the finite momentum width $\sim h/R_y$, with $R_y$ the size of the condensate in the most confined direction, then it translates into a Doppler broadening of the resonance by $\Delta_D = v_R/\sqrt{2R} \sim 2\pi \times 600$ Hz (see SM for a more detailed discussion). The Doppler width $\Delta_D$ plays the role of the spectral width, and oscillations in figs. 1(b)–(f) are indeed observed when $\Omega_L \geq \Delta_D$.

If we describe the atoms by an internal density matrix $\hat{\rho}$, with external degrees of freedom integrated out, Doppler broadening leads to a decay of the off-diagonal elements $\rho_{eg} = \langle e | \hat{\rho} | g \rangle$ on a time $\tau_2^* \sim \Delta_D^{-1}$. For weak driving strength, assuming the damping can be accounted for by $d \rho_{eg}/dt = -\rho_{eg} \tau_2^*$ and performing adiabatic elimination of the off-diagonal elements [35], one finds that the slowly evolving population $P_g = \langle g | \hat{\rho} | g \rangle$ decays exponentially at a rate $\Omega_L^2 \tau_2^*/2 \propto \Omega_L^2/\Delta_D$. This exponential behavior is observed for the weakest coupling used in our experiment (fig. 1(f)), but not for larger driving strengths where we find instead a much slower algebraic decay at long times (insets of figs. 1(b)–(e)). Moreover, the normalized populations in fig. 1 do not settle to the value 1/2 that would be expected from ensemble averaging of different momentum classes. Hence, the simple picture of the driven two-component BEC as a collection of Doppler-broadened, independent two-level systems is not sufficient to fully explain our experimental observations.

The algebraic decay can be ascribed to inelastic two-body losses due to principal quantum number changing collisions between two excited atoms (the rate for inelastic processes involving one ground and one excited atom is negligible [31,32]). Due to inelastic losses, the spatial density $\rho_e(r)$ in state e decays according to

$$\dot{\rho}_e |_{\text{inel}} = -\beta_{ee} \rho_e^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

with $\beta_{ee}$ a two-body inelastic rate constant. When $\rho_e \propto N$ (for instance, a uniform system prepared in e and in the absence of driving), the total atom number $N$ obeys a similar equation and decays according to

$$\frac{N(t)}{N(0)} = \frac{1}{1 + t/\tau_1},$$

with a relaxation time $\tau_1 \propto 1/(\beta_{ee})$. We find that this decay law is compatible with our observations (insets of figs. 1(b)–(e)).

To describe the crossover more quantitatively, we have fitted to the data an empirical function of the form

$$P_g(t) = A(t) \left( 1 + C \cos(\Omega t) e^{-\Delta t} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

We chose $A(t) \propto (1 + t/\tau_1)^{-1}$ for the amplitude damping function following the preceding discussion, and an
exponential damping of coherences for simplicity. The parameters $\Omega$, $C$ and $\tau_2$ are the angular frequency, contrast and damping time of the oscillations. The best fit parameters are shown in fig. 2 vs. the expected Rabi frequency $\Omega_{\text{calc}}$. We find that the measured oscillation frequencies agree well with the expected ones (fig. 2(a)). Figure 2(b) shows how the contrast $C$ of the oscillations decreases with decreasing Rabi frequency, terminating below $\Omega_{\text{calc}} \lesssim 2\pi \times 600$ Hz. The inverse population and coherence damping times are also shown in figs. 2(c) and (d), respectively. The threshold in fig. 2(b) coincides with $\Omega_{\text{calc}} \simeq \Delta D$, as expected from the picture of an ensemble of Doppler-broadened two-level systems previously discussed. The same picture explains the trend observed for weak coupling, where the effective amplitude damping rate scales as $\tau_1^{-1} \propto \Omega^2 / \Delta D$ (dashed line in fig. 2(c)).

To go beyond this empirical analysis, we analyze the experimental data with a set of two GP equations describing two coherently coupled interacting Bose gases with non-Hermitian evolution,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_{g\alpha}}{\partial t} = \left[ \hat{h} + g_{gg} \rho_g + g_{ge} \rho_e \right] \psi_g + \frac{\hbar \Omega_{\text{calc}}}{2} \psi_e,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_e}{\partial t} = \left[ \hat{h} + \psi_R \cdot \hat{p} - \hbar \delta' L + g_{ee} \rho_e + g_{ge} \rho_g \right] \psi_e + \frac{\hbar \Omega_{\text{calc}}}{2} \psi_g.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Interactions between two ultracold atoms occupying states $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are modeled by contact potentials [38] with coupling constants $g_{\alpha\beta}$ related to the s-wave scattering length $a_{\alpha\beta}$ by $g_{\alpha\beta} = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{\alpha\beta} / M$. For $^{174}$Yb, $a_{gg} = 5.55$ nm is accurately known from photoassociation spectroscopy [39], and other elastic and inelastic scattering parameters have been measured recently using isolated atom pairs or triples in deep optical lattices [31,32]. Inelastic losses are taken into account by the imaginary part of the Hamiltonian term $\propto \beta_{ee}$. In this work, we use the most accurate measurements, namely $a_{ge} \simeq 0.9 a_{gg}$, $a_{ee} \simeq 1.2 a_{gg}$ and $\beta_{ee} \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$/s [31,32]. The spatial densities in $g/e$ are given by $\rho_{g/e}(r) = |\psi_{g/e}|^2$, and we have defined the single-particle Hamiltonian $\hat{h} = \hat{p}^2 / (2M) + V_{\text{tr}}$, with $\hat{p} = -i\hbar \nabla$ the momentum operator, $V_{\text{tr}}$ the harmonic trapping potential, $\psi_e = \psi_e \exp(-i \hat{L} \cdot r)$. The lossy GP eqs. (4), (5) derive from a master equation treated in the mean-field approximation (see SM), and take into account all effects discussed so far – coherent driving, intra- and inter-state interactions, coupling between internal state dynamics, atomic motion by the Doppler term $\psi_R \cdot \hat{p}$ and inelastic losses. Interactions, losses and internal-motional coupling are of the same order of magnitude (a few hundred Hz) for our experimental parameters.

We solve eqs. (4), (5) numerically (see SM) and fit the numerical solution to the experimental data with the initial atom number, coupling strength $\Omega_{\text{calc}}$ and detuning $\delta'_{L}$ as free parameters. For all data shown in fig. 1, we find a good agreement between the predicted evolution of the coherently coupled lossy GP model with the observed dynamics. The fitted Rabi frequencies are close to the expected ones (less than 10% difference), and the fitted detunings are compatible with our accuracy in finding the resonance (see SM).

To obtain more insight on the dynamics described by the dissipative GP equations, we simplify the experimental situation and consider a uniform system of linear size $R$ and density $\rho$. Neglecting elastic interactions and the Doppler term, we are interested in the competition
between the coherent driving and the inelastic losses in the limit $\Omega_L \gg \beta_{ep}$. We then expect Rabi oscillations to develop, with the spatial densities in $c$ and $g$ given by $\rho_c(t) \approx \rho(t)\sin^2(\Omega_Lt/2)$ and $\rho_g(t) \approx \rho(t)\cos^2(\Omega_Lt/2)$. The envelope $\rho(t)$ slowly decays because of the inelastic losses according to eq. (1). After averaging over one Rabi cycle and integrating the resulting equation, we find that the cycle-averaged population $P_g$ obeys eq. (2) with $\tau^{-1}_f = 3\beta_{ep}p/4$. The expected dynamics for $\Omega_L \geq \Delta_D$ is thus underdamped Rabi oscillations around an average value decaying algebraically, as observed experimentally for strong driving.

Both the experiments and the GP calculations show that the algebraic decay persists well beyond the regime of validity of the analytic model. This is demonstrated in fig. 3, where we plot $y = 1/P_{g+e} - 1$ vs. $x = \beta_{ep}p\rho_0$, with $P_{g+e}$ the total atom number (normalized to the initial one) and $\rho_0$ the initial peak density calculated from the GP model. The quantity $y$ depends linearly on $x$ for the algebraic decay law in eq. (2), and grows exponentially with $x$ for an exponential decay. We find that the decay remains algebraic unless the driving becomes very small, $\Omega_L \ll \Delta_D$. In this last regime (fig. 3(d)), we recover the Doppler-broadened model introduced earlier with exponential damping (fig. 1(f)). Even for large driving strengths, the algebraic law only holds approximately and for short times. At long times, elastic interactions, strong depletion from inelastic losses and the motion in the trap can no longer be neglected. It is then not surprising that the simple law in eq. (2) fails to reproduce the long-times dynamics captured by the GP equations.

In the experiments discussed so far, relaxation of coherence or populations are mostly determined by Doppler broadening or inelastic losses, respectively, and elastic collisions are present but not essential to explain the experiments. We present in fig. 4(a) another set of experiments for stronger interactions ($\mu/h \sim 2\text{kHz}$), where elastic collisions contribute substantially to the relaxation dynamics. We find that the contrast of the oscillations, determined by the empirical fit in eq. (3) as before, is reduced as interactions become stronger (fig. 4(b)). The fit to the two-component GP model still reproduces well the long-time decay of the population, but underestimates the damped coherences that we observe experimentally. In fig. 4(c), we quantify the agreement between the GP model and the observations by a reduced $\chi^2 = (1/M)\sum_{i=1}^{M} [f(t_i) - P_{g+e}(t_i)]^2/\sigma_{g+e}^2$, i.e., the sum of the fit residuals $f(t_i) - P_{g+e}(t_i)$ weighted by the standard deviation $\sigma_{g+e}$ and normalized to the number $M$ of data points. We find that the reduced $\chi^2$ increases systematically with the initial chemical potential (see fig. 4(c)). This indicates that effects beyond the GP description become increasingly important. One such effect is momentum relaxation by collisions of the type $|g,0\rangle + |e,k_i\rangle \rightarrow |g,q\rangle + |e,k_i-q\rangle$, where the notation indicates the internal and momentum states of the two atoms before and after the collision. For a uniform gas of density $\rho$, the rate of such processes is

\[ \gamma_{coll} \approx \rho\sigma_{ge}v_{R} \]  

with $\sigma_{ge} = 4\pi a_{ge}^2$ the collisional cross-section. We find $\gamma_{coll} \approx 600\text{s}^{-1}$ for the typical density $\rho \approx 5 \times 10^{14} \text{at/cm}^3$ for the experiments in fig. 4(a). This simple estimate does not account for any correlations between the particles. Additional effects, e.g., due to thermal population of quasiparticles in the initial state or to additional fluctuations of the fields $\psi_g$ and $\psi_e$ due to the stochastic nature of the losses, could also contribute to the relaxation of coherence.

In conclusion, we have studied the coherent dynamics of a two-component, laser-driven BEC. Whereas spontaneous emission is negligible, a number of other dephasing and relaxation processes take place. We identify three effects leading to relaxation: Doppler broadening due to the finite momentum width of the trapped BEC, inelastic losses between excited atoms, and elastic interactions. We compare our observations to a two-component GP model that includes all these effects in a mean-field approach. We find excellent agreement between the model and the experiments for moderate values of the interactions, but also that the oscillations are damped more strongly in the experiment than predicted by the model for larger interactions. The discrepancy for large interactions could point to additional effects beyond the GP description, for instance the role of quasiparticles present in the initial state due to quantum or thermal fluctuations. In the context of hydrogen spectroscopy experiments [16], it has been pointed out that taking quasiparticles into account was probably necessary to explain certain features in the spectra and to resolve apparent paradoxes in the interpretation of the data [19]. Although the theory is more involved for strong driving than in the weak-driving, spectroscopic regime, theoretical tools, e.g., classical field methods [40,41], are in principle available. Comparing such a calculation with our experimental results could provide an experimental
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test for such time-dependent classical field simulations in three dimensions. Finally, we note that the coupled two-component BEC studied in this work can be viewed as a realization of a bulk, spin-orbit–coupled BEC as accomplished in several works with bosonic alkali atoms [42]. The mechanisms identified in this paper will be detrimental for the stability of the spin-orbit–coupled BEC. However, they could be substantially reduced in a box-like trap with a size of a few tens of microns [43]. The uniform mean-field interactions should only lead to a global energy shift, the larger size of the BEC should reduce the Doppler width, and the reduced density should result in less rapid inelastic losses.

***

We acknowledge stimulating discussions with M. Höffner, S. Fölling, R. Le Targat, J. Lodewyck, Y. Le Coq and C. Kollath. This work was supported by the ERC (Starting Grant 258521-MANYBO). LKB is a member of the DIM SIRTEQ of Région Ile-de-France.

REFERENCES

[1] LUDLOW A. D., BOYD M. M., YE J., PEIK E. and SCHMIDT P. O., Rev. Mod. Phys., 87 (2015) 637.
[2] STOCK R., BABCOK N. S., RAIZEN M. G. and SANDERS B. C., Phys. Rev. A, 78 (2008) 023201.
[3] GORSKOV A. V., HERMEL M., GURARIE V., XU C., JULIENNE P. S., YE J., ZOLLER P., DEMLER E., LUKIN M. D. and REY A. M., Nat. Phys., 6 (2010) 289.
[4] DAELEY A., Quantum Inf. Process., 10 (2011) 865.
[5] SHIBATA K., YAMAMOTO R., SEKI Y. and TAKAHASHI Y., Phys. Rev. A, 89 (2014) 031601.
[6] BOHENET J. G., CHEN Z., WEAVER J. M., MEISER D., HOLLAND M. J. and THOMPSON J. K., Nature, 484 (2012) 78.
[7] NORCIA M. A., WINCHESTER M. N., CLINE J. R. K. and THOMPSON J. K., Sci. Adv., 2 (2016) e1601231.
[8] GORSKOV A. V., REY A. M., DAELEY A. J., BOYD M. M., YE J., ZOLLER P. and LUKIN M. D., Phys. Rev. Lett., 102 (2009) 110503.
[9] MARTIN M. J., BISHOF M., SWALLOWS M. D., ZHANG X., BENKO C., VON STECHER J., GORSKOV A. V., REY A. M. and YE J., Science, 341 (2013) 632.
[10] RIEGGER L., DARKWAH OPPONG N., HÖFFER M., FERNANDES D. R., BLOC I. and FÖLLING S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 120 (2018) 143601.
[11] HE L., POLI N., SALVI L. and TINO G. M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 119 (2017) 263001.
[12] GERHIER F. and DALIBARD J., New J. Phys., 12 (2010) 033007.
[13] LIVI L. F., CAPPPELLINI G., DIEM M., FRANCHI L., CLIVATI C., FRITTELLI M., LEVI F., CALONICO D., CATANI J., INGUSCIO M. and FALLANI L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 117 (2016) 220401.
[14] KOLKOWITZ S., BROMLEY S. L., BOTHWELL T., WALL M. L., MARTI G. E., KOLLER A. P., ZHANG X., REY A. M. and YE J., Nature, 542 (2017) 66.
[15] CAMPBELL G. K., BOYD M. M., THOMSEN J. W., MARTIN M. J., BLATT S., SWALLOWS M. D., NICHOLSON T. L., FORTIER T., OATES C. W., DIDDAMS S. A., LEMKE N. D., NAIDON P., JULIENNE P., YE J. and LUDLOW A. D., Science, 324 (2009) 360.
[16] FRIED D. G., KILLIAN T. C., WOLLNIG M., LANDHUIS D., MOSS S. C., KLEPPNER D. and GREYTAK T. J., Phys. Rev. Lett., 81 (1998) 3811.
[17] KILLAN T. C., FRIED D. G., WOLLNIG M., LANDHUIS D., MOSS S. C., GREYTAK T. J. and KLEPPNER D., Phys. Rev. Lett., 81 (1998) 3807.
[18] KILLAN T. C., Phys. Rev. A, 61 (2000) 033611.
[19] GARDINER C. W. and BRADLEY A. S., J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., 34 (2001) 4663.
[20] ORTEL M. O., KILLIAN T. C., KLEPPNER D. and LEVITOY L. S., Phys. Rev. A, 65 (2002) 033617.
[21] LANDHUIS D., MATOS L., MOSS S. C., STEINBERGER J. K., VANT K., WOLLNIG M., GREYTAK T. J. and KLEPPNER D., Phys. Rev. A, 67 (2003) 022718.
[22] YAMAGUCHI A., UETAKE S., KATO S., ITO H. and TAKAHASHI Y., New J. Phys., 12 (2010) 103001.
[23] NOTERMAN J. R., PAMPEL J. W., RENGELINK R. J. and VASSEN W., Phys. Rev. Lett., 117 (2016) 213001.
[24] CAMPBELL S. L., HUTSON B. R., MARTI G. E., GOBAN A., DARKWAH OPPONG N., MCNALLY R. L., SONDERHOUSE L., ROBINSON J. M., ZHANG W., BLOOM B. J. and YE J., Science, 358 (2017) 90.
[25] MARTI G. E., HUTSON B. R., GOBAN A., CAMPBELL S. L., POLI N. and YE J., Phys. Rev. Lett., 120 (2018) 103201.
[26] SCAZZA F., HOFRICHTER C., HOFER M., DE GROOT P. C., BLOCH I. and FÖLLING S., Nat. Phys., 10 (2014) 779.
[27] CAPPPELLINI G., MANCINI M., PAGANO G., LOMBARDI P., LIVI L., SICILIANI DE CUMIS M., CANTANI J., INGUSCIO M. and FALLANI L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 113 (2014) 120402.
[28] ZHANG R., CHENG Y., ZHAI H. and ZHANG P., Phys. Rev. Lett., 115 (2015) 135301.
[29] PAGANO G., MANCINI M., CAPPPELLINI G., LIVI L., SICILIANI DE CUMIS M., CANTANI J., INGUSCIO M. and FALLANI L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 115 (2015) 265301.
[30] HOFFER M., RIEGGER L., SCAZZA F., HOFRICHTER C., FERNANDES D. R., PARISH M. M., LEVINSEN J., BLOC I. and FÖLLING S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 115 (2015) 265302.
[31] BOUGANNE R., BOSCH AGUILERA M., DAREAU A., SOAVE E., BEUGNON J. and GERMER F., New J. Phys., 19 (2017) 113006.
[32] FRANCHI L., LIVI L. F., CAPPPELLINI G., BINELLA G., INGUSCIO M., CATANI J. and FALLANI L., New J. Phys., 19 (2017) 103037.
[33] KATO S., SHIBATA K., YAMAMOTO R., YOSHIKAWA Y. and TAKAHASHI Y., Appl. Phys. B, 108 (2012) 31.
[34] DAREAU A., SCHOLL M., BEAUFILS Q., DÖRING D., BEUGNON J. and GERMER F., Phys. Rev. A, 91 (2015) 023626.
[35] COHEN-TANNOUJDI C., DUPONT-ROC J. and GRYNBerg G., Atom-Photon Interactions: Basic Process and Applications (Wiley VCH, New York) 1997.
[36] TAICHENACEH A. V., YUDIN V. I., OATES C. W., HOYT C. W., BARBER Z. W. and HOLLBERG L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 96 (2006) 083001.
Non-linear relaxation of interacting bosons coherently driven on a narrow optical transition

[37] Barber Z. W., Hoyt C. W., Oates C. W., Hollberg L., Taichenachev A. V. and Yudin V. I., Phys. Rev. Lett., 96 (2006) 083002.

[38] Pitaevskii L. and Stringari S., Bose Einstein Condensation (Oxford University Press, Oxford) 2003.

[39] Kitagawa M., Enomoto K., Kasa K., Takahashi Y., Ciurylo R., Naidon P. and Julienne P. S., Phys. Rev. A, 77 (2008) 012719.

[40] Blakie P., Bradley A., Davis M., Ballagh R. and Gardiner C., Adv. Phys., 57 (2008) 363.

[41] Polkovnikov A., Ann. Phys., 325 (2010) 1790.

[42] Goldman N., Juzelinas G., Hollberg P. and Spielman I. B., Rep. Prog. Phys., 77 (2014) 126401.

[43] Gaunt A. L., Schmidt T. F., Gottliebovych I., Smith R. P. and Hadzibabic Z., Phys. Rev. Lett., 110 (2013) 200406.