On the robustness of the ammonia thermometer
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ABSTRACT

Ammonia inversion lines are often used as probes of the physical conditions in the dense ISM. The excitation temperature between the first two para metastable (rotational) levels is an excellent probe of the gas kinetic temperature. However, the calibration of this ammonia thermometer depends on the accuracy of the collisional rates with H₂. Here we present new collisional rates for ortho-NH₃ and para-NH₃ colliding with para-H₂ (J = 0) and we investigate the effects of these new rates on the excitation of ammonia. Scattering calculations employ a new, high accuracy, potential energy surface computed at the coupled-cluster CCSD(T) level with a basis set extrapolation procedure. Rates are obtained for all transitions involving ammonia levels with J ≤ 3 and for kinetic temperatures in the range 5–100 K. We find that the calibration curve of the ammonia thermometer – which relates the observed excitation temperature between the first two para metastable levels to the gas kinetic temperature – does not change significantly when these new rates are used. Thus, the calibration of ammonia thermometer appears to be robust. Effects of the new rates on the excitation temperature of inversion and rotation-inversion transitions are also found to be small.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Since its discovery in the interstellar medium forty years ago by Cheung et al. (1969), ammonia has been widely used as a probe of the physical conditions in a variety of interstellar environments, ranging from pre-stellar cores, molecular clouds, to external galaxies (see Ho & Townes 1983, for a review). The peculiar structure of the molecule makes ammonia lines excellent tracers of the density and temperature in these environments. NH₃ is a symmetric top molecule, whose rotational levels can be denoted by two quantum numbers, the total angular momentum J, and its projection K along the C₃ axis. Owing to the possible relative orientations of the hydrogen spins, two distinct species exists: ortho-NH₃ (K = 3n, with n an integer; hereafter o-NH₃) and para-NH₃ (K ≠ 3n; hereafter p-NH₃). As both radiative and non-reactive collisional transitions do not change the spin orientations, transitions between o-NH₃ and p-NH₃ are forbidden. Each of the rotational energy levels (with the exception of those with K = 0) is further split into two sub-levels which can be denoted either by the inversion symmetry of the vibrational wave functions or by the symmetry index ε = ±1 (see Fig. 1 and Eq. 29 in Rist et al. 1993). This splitting is caused by the inversion motion of the molecule, and the corresponding inversion transitions fall in the range Δς ≈ 1 cm. Electric dipole transitions rules (ΔJ = 0, ±1, ΔK = 0) prevent radiative transitions between different K ladders to occur. Consequently, the lowest inversion doublets in each K ladder (i.e. with J = K) are metastable; they can be relaxed only through collisions.

For this reason, the relative population of the first two metastable inversion doublets of p-NH₃, Jₓ, = 1₁,± and 2₂,±, depends solely on the kinetic temperature. Considering only the first three doublets, 1₁,±, 2₂,±, and 2₂,±, and assuming that the population of the 2₂,± doublet is much smaller than that of the 2₂,±, Walmsley & Ungerechts (1983) showed that the excitation temperature between the two lowest doublets is given by the analytic formula:

\[ T_{12} = T \left[ 1 + \frac{T}{T_0} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{C(2_2 \rightarrow 1_1)}{C(2_2 \rightarrow 1_1)} \right) \right]^{-1} \]  

where T₀ is the energy difference between the first two metastable doublets (∼ 41.7 K), T is the kinetic temperature, C(2₂ → 1₁) is the collisional excitation rate (averaged over the symmetry index ε) between the Jₓ = 2₂ and 1₁ rotational levels, and C(2₂ → 1₁) is the collisional de-excitation rate between the 2₂ and 1₁ levels. Thus, if one knows the T₁₂ excitation temperature, one can derive the kinetic temperature of the gas, effectively using ammonia inversion lines as a “thermometer”.

Observationally, T₁₂ can be determined by observing the hyperfine components of the 1₁,± → 1₁,± and 2₂,± → 2₂,± inversion transitions. The inversion doublets have indeed hyperfine components, which are due to the interaction between the electric...
quadrupole moment of the N nucleus and the electric field gradient created by the electrons. If one assumes that the excitation temperature of each hyperfine component (within a given rotational state) is the same, then one can derive the opacity $\tau_1(1)$ and $\tau_2(2)$ of the $1$ and $2$ multiplets from the relative intensity of each hyperfine component. $T_{1,2}$ is then calculated from the following formulae: Ho et al. (1975), Hotzel et al. (2002):

$$T_{1,2} = -\frac{T_0}{\ln}\left(\frac{9}{20}\frac{\tau(2)}{\tau(1)}\right)$$

(2)

In order to “calibrate” the ammonia thermometer, i.e. to compute the kinetic temperature from the measured $T_{1,2}$ excitation temperature, a good knowledge of the collisional rates of $NH_3$ colliding with $H_2$ is necessary (see Eq. [1]). Although a large number of measurements have been made on the $NH_3$–$H_2$ system, using in particular double resonance (e.g. Daly & Oka 1970), crossed beam (e.g. Schleipen et al. 1993) and pressure broadening (e.g. Willey et al. 2002) experiments, laboratory data generally do not directly provide state-to-state rate coefficients. As a result, radiative transfer models can exclusively rely on theoretical estimates. On the other hand, laboratory measurements are crucial to establish the predictive abilities of theory and, in particular, of the potential energy surfaces (PES).

Following the pioneering work of Morris et al. (1973), Walsmsley & Ungeheuer (1983) performed statistical equilibrium calculations based on the theoretical $NH_3$–$He$ collisional rates of Green (1980), Danby et al. (1988) then determined more accurate collisional rates for collisions of $NH_3$ with $p-H_2$ ($J = 0$) and used them to recalibrate the ammonia thermometer. The scattering calculations of Danby et al. (1988) were based on the $ab$ initio $NH_3$–$H_2$ PES of Danby et al. (1988). This latter was subsequently improved to investigate propensity rules at selected collisional energies for $PES$ of Danby et al. (1986). This latter was subsequently improved by Rajamäki et al. (2004): $r_{NH} = 1.9512$ Bohrs, and $H_{NH} = 107.38^\circ$. The ground-state average geometry of $H_2$ was taken as $r_{NH} = 1.4488$ Bohrs (e.g. Faure et al. 2005). The conventions of Phillips et al. (1994) were employed in defining the $NH_3$–$H_2$ rigid-rotor (five-dimensional) coordinate system (one $H$ atom lies in the ($x,z$) plane).

The $NH_3$–$H_2$ PES was constructed using the following two step procedure: (i) a reference PES was computed from a large set ($89,000$ points) of CCSD(T) calculations using the Dunning’s correlation consistent aug-cc-pVDZ basis set; (ii) this reference surface was calibrated using a complete basis set (CBS) extrapolation procedure based on a smaller set ($29,000$ points) of CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. A CBS-type extrapolation was applied to the correlation part of the interaction energy and was performed using a two-point $X^3$ type extrapolation, where $X$ is the cardinal number corresponding to the basis set, as described in Jankowski & Szalewicz (2005). The self-consistent field (SCF) contribution was not extrapolated but was taken at the aug-cc-pVTZ level. All basis sets were supplemented with midbond functions and all calculations were counterpoise corrected as in Jankowski & Szalewicz (2005). The same strategy was recently applied to $H_2 CO$–$H_2$ (Troscompt et al. 2009).

Grid points were chosen for 29 fixed intermolecular distances $R$ (in the range $3–15$ $a_0$) via random sampling for the angular coordinates of $H_2$ relative to $NH_3$. At each intermolecular distance, the interaction energy was then least square fitted using a 120 terms expansion for the angular coordinates, using Eq. (3) of Phillips et al. (1994) adapted to the $C_3v$ symmetry of $NH_3$. This expansion includes anisotropies up to $l_1 = 11$ and $l_2 = 4$, where the integer indices $l_1$ and $l_2$ refer to the tensor ranks of the angular dependence of the $NH_3$ and $H_2$ orientation, respectively. The CBS correction was fitted over a subset of only 46 angular terms with $l_1 \leq 7$ and $l_2 \leq 4$. We note that the expansion restricted to $p-H_2$ ($J = 0$), in which all terms with $l_2 \neq 0$ are eliminated, includes only 24 terms. The accuracy of the angular expansions was monitored using a self-consistent Monte Carlo error estimator. A cubic spline interpolation was finally employed over the whole intermolecular distance range and was smoothly connected with standard extrapolations to provide continuous radial expansion coefficients suitable for scattering calculations. Technical details on the fitting strategy can be found in Valiron et al. (2008). The accuracy of the final five-dimensional fit was found to be better than $1 cm^{-1}$ in the long-range and minimum region of the interaction ($R > 5$ Bohrs). The accuracy of the above procedure was also checked against a moderate set ($1,200$ points) of “high-cost” CCSD(T)-R12 calculations which offer a direct way of reaching the basis set limit value within a single calculation, that is without extrapolation (Noga & Kutzelnigg 1994). The RMS error between the final fit and the benchmark CCSD(T)-R12 values was found to be lower than $1 cm^{-1}$ in the whole attractive part of the interaction ($R > 6$ Bohrs). We emphasize that the intrinsic accuracy of CCSD(T) calculations at the basis set limit is $\sim 1 cm^{-1}$.

Constraining $H_2$ in its lowest para level ($J = 0$) is strictly equivalent to averaging the PES over the $H_2$ rotational motion. The global minimum of this averaged PES lies at $-85.7 cm^{-1}$ for

2 CCSD(T) stands for the coupled cluster method with noniterative evaluation of triple excitations.
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Figure 1. Comparison between the first four angular expansion coefficients, $v_{l,m}$, of the NH$_3$–H$_2$ PES [Danby et al. (1988)] with those from the present work, as a function of the internuclear distance $R$.

$R=6.3$ Bohrs, with H$_2$ in an almost equatorial location, equidistant from the two closest H atoms of ammonia. A similar location was found for the global minimum of the NH$_3$–He interaction, but with a significantly more shallow potential well at $\sim -33$ cm$^{-1}$ [Hodges & Wheatley (2001)]. The five-dimensional PES, including the anisotropy of H$_2$, is of course qualitatively different: the global minimum, as deduced from our fit, lies at $-267$ cm$^{-1}$ for $R=6.1$ Bohrs, with H$_2$ colinear with the C$_3$ axis of ammonia at the nitrogen end. It is interesting to compare this result with the recent calculations of Mladenović et al. (2008): these authors found the global minimum of the NH$_3$–H$_2$ interaction at a similar location with a comparable, although significantly smaller, binding energy ($\sim -253$ cm$^{-1}$). As their calculations were performed at a similar level of accuracy (CCSD(T) method and aug-cc-pVQZ basis sets), this difference most likely reflects monomer geometry effects. Detailed comparisons will be investigated in dedicated future works.

Now, in order to compare the present NH$_3$–H$_2$ PES with that employed by Danby et al. (1988), we present in Fig. 1 a comparison of the angular expansion coefficients $v_{l,m}$. The definition of these coefficients is given in Eq. (2.1) of Danby et al. (1986) and their values are listed in their Table 4. Only the first four are plotted for clarity in the figure. Despite significant differences at short-range ($R \leq 6$ Bohrs), the overall agreement between the two sets of coefficients is quite reasonable. This comparison (i) indicates the good quality of the ab initio calculations of Danby et al. (1986) and (ii) suggests moderate effects of the new PES on the dynamics, as shown below.

Finally, it should be noted that the PES of Danby et al. (1986) has been previously checked against laboratory measurements: Schleipen et al. (1993) and Willey et al. (2002) reported, respectively, symmetry-resolved state-to-state and broadening cross sections. In both cases, a good overall agreement was obtained between theory and experiment, suggesting the adequacy of the PES. Discrepancies were however noted, in particular strong propensity rules predicted by theory for NH$_3$–p-H$_2$ were observed in experiment to a much lower extent (see below).

2.2 Scattering calculations

The quantal theory for scattering of a symmetric top with an atom or a structureless molecule like H$_2$ ($J=0$) can be found in Green (1976). The extension of the formalism to the scattering of a symmetric top with a linear molecule can be found in O’ffer & Flower (1990) and Rist et al. (1993). In the present work, calculations were performed using the (nonreactive) scattering code MOLSCAT [Hutson & Green (1994)] in which the extension to allow for the rotational structure of H$_2$ is not yet implemented. Hence, the present calculations were restricted to collisions between NH$_3$ and p-H$_2$ ($J=0$). Extension to p-H$_2$($J=0,2$) and o-H$_2$($J=1$) is under way and is further discussed below.

All calculations were performed at the close-coupling (CC) level. Inversion doubling was neglected and the inversion-tunneling wavefunction was simply taken as a linear combination of two delta functions centered at the equilibrium position [Green (1976), Davis & Boggs (1978)]. We actually tested this approximation on the NH$_3$–He system by taking the inversion coordinate explicitly into account, as done previously by Davis & Boggs (1981). To this aim, we employed the high quality NH$_3$–He PES of Hodges & Wheatles (2001), which does include the inversion dependence of the interaction. The inversion motion was found to have a negligible effect (less than 10 %) on the rigid-body interaction potential and on the cross sections [Sciioni et al. (2007)], as was concluded by Davis & Boggs (1981) from a lower quality potential. We note that van der Sanden et al. (1992) obtained a comparable result for the NH$_3$–Ar interaction. A similar conclusion is therefore expected for the NH$_3$–H$_2$ interaction, although the inversion dependence of this PES is yet not known.

We adopted the rotational constants $A = B = 9.944116$ cm$^{-1}$ and $C = 6.228522$ cm$^{-1}$. The reduced mass of the system is $1.802289$ a.m.u. As the ortho- and para-levels of ammonia do not interconvert in inelastic collisions, these were treated separately. The coupled-channel equations were integrated using the modified log-derivative propagator of Manolopoulos (1980). The radial propagation used a stepsize parameter STEPS$=10$ except at total energies below 30 cm$^{-1}$ where STEPS was increased up to 300 to constrain the step length of the integrator below $\sim 0.1$ Bohrs. Other propagation parameters were taken as the MOLSCAT default values. Calculations were performed for collision energies between $\sim 0.1$ cm$^{-1}$ and 700 cm$^{-1}$. The energy grid was adjusted to reproduce all of the details of the resonances, with an energy step of 0.2 cm$^{-1}$ up to total energies of 150 cm$^{-1}$ and 0.5 cm$^{-1}$ from 150 to 300 cm$^{-1}$. All calculations also included several energetically closed channels to ensure that cross sections were converged to within 7% for all transitions involving $J \leq 3$. Thus, at the highest investigated energies, the basis set incorporated all target states with $J \leq 11$ and 12 for o- and p-NH$_3$, respectively.

Excitation cross sections for o-NH$_3$ are presented in Fig. 4 for rotation-inversion transitions out of the ground state of o-NH$_3$. Prominent resonant features are observed in this plot. These are caused by both Feshbach and shape type resonances. It should be noted that only Feshbach type resonances are observed in NH$_3$–He

\footnote{\url{http://www.giss.nasa.gov/tools/molscat}}
collisions (e.g. Machin & Roueff 2005). This difference between He and \(H_2(J = 0)\) reflects the deeper potential well of the \(NH_3-H_2\) PES, as discussed in Sect. 2.1. Resonances are found to significantly increase the cross sections at low energy and, therefore, the rate coefficients at low temperature. For example, at 10 K, the \(NH_3-H_2\) rate coefficient for the ground-state transition of \(o-NH_3\), \(1_0.\) \(\rightarrow\) \(1_+\), is a factor of 20 larger than the \(NH_3-H_2\) rate of Machin & Roueff (2005). We note, however, that this factor reduces to 2.5 for the transition 2\(_{0+}\) \(\rightarrow\) \(0_+\) at the same temperature. Willey et al. (2002) also reported significant differences (up to a factor of 4) between \(p-H_2\) and He broadening cross sections. It is also noticed that the cross section for the \(0_{0+}\) \(\rightarrow\) \(3_{3+}\) transition is much lower than \(0_{0+}\) \(\rightarrow\) \(3_{3-}\). This propensity rule was already observed in earlier calculations (Offer & Flower 1990; Rist et al. 1993) but, interestingly, it was found to be considerably weakened for collisions with \(o-H_2\) (\(J = 1\)) and it was observed experimentally only to a slight extent (Schleipen et al. 1993). On the other hand, it was found to be preserved when including the \(J = 2\) state in the \(p-H_2\) basis set (Offer & Flower 1990; Rist et al. 1993). This inclusion was also found to change the absolute values of the cross sections, at a few selected energies, by up to a factor of 3 (Offer & Flower 1990; Rist et al. 1993). Its effect on the average cross sections and rate coefficients is however expected to be moderate, typically 20–30\%. This was indeed checked in the case of \(ND_3-H_2\) calculations employing the present PES (Scifoni et al., in preparation). As a result, the rate coefficients presented below are expected to be accurate within typically 30\%.

Cross sections were integrated over Maxwell-Boltzmann distributions of collisional velocities and collisional rate coefficients were obtained in the range 5–100 K for all transitions involving ammonia levels with \(J < 3\). The lowest levels with \(J = 4\) lie at 177 and 237 K above the ground states of \(p\)- and \(o-NH_3\), respectively. Higher levels and temperatures were not investigated in the present work because collisional rates with \(o-H_2\) are required in models considering temperatures above 100 K. These collisional rate coefficients are made available in the BASECOI\(^5\) and LAMBA\(^6\) databases as well as at the CDS\(^7\).

In Fig. 3, downward rate coefficients are presented for rotation-inversion transitions towards the ground state. The resonant features are found to be completely washed out by the thermal average. The present results are compared with the data of Danby et al. (1988). As expected from the comparison of the expansion angular coefficients (see Fig. 4), the new rates agree within a factor of 2 with those of Danby et al. (1988). We see, however, that there is no particular trend, although the present rates are generally larger.

In Fig. 4, we show the symmetry-averaged rates \(C(2_2 \rightarrow 2_1)\) and \(C(2_3 \rightarrow 2_1)\) that appears in Eq. (4), as a function of the temperature. The new rates are found to be larger than those of Danby et al. (1988) by typically 15% but to follow closely the same temperature dependence. As a result, minor modifications of the ammonia thermometer are expected, as shown below.

3 NON-LTE EXCITATION COMPUTATIONS

In order to estimate the effect of the new collisional rates on the calibration of the ammonia thermometer, we have computed the excitation of both \(o-NH_3\) and \(p-NH_3\) using the large velocity gradient code of van der Tak et al. (2007). We have used the \(o-NH_3\) and \(p-NH_3\) collisional rates with \(p-H_2\) presented in the previous section, as well as those from Danby et al. (1988), for comparison. The latter were taken from the LAMBA database (Schöier et al. 2005). Collision between \(NH_3\) and \(He\) were neglected, because, in addition to \(H_2\) being more abundant than \(He\) by a factor of 5,
NH$_3$-H$_2$ collision rates are typically a factor of 3 larger than the NH$_3$-He rates (Machin & Roueff 2005). Energy levels, statistical weights and Einstein coefficients were taken from the JPL database for molecular spectroscopy (Pickett et al. 1998). For the calculations using Danby et al. (1988) collisional rates, the first 24 levels of o-NH$_3$ and the first 17 levels of p-NH$_3$ were considered (corresponding to energy levels up to 416 and 297 cm$^{-1}$, respectively). For the calculations using the new rates, only the first 6 levels of o-NH$_3$ and the first 10 levels of p-NH$_3$ were considered (up to 118 and 115 cm$^{-1}$, respectively). In both cases, we have neglected the hyperfine structure of the molecule, i.e. we have considered that each hyperfine level within a given inversion level corresponds to the same energy level. While this hypothesis will lead to an overestimate of the line opacity for optical depths greater than a few, it is valid if the line is optically thin (Daniel et al. 2006). We have therefore chosen a column density to velocity gradient ratio that is large enough for this approximation to be valid ($N$(NH$_3$)/$dv/dx$ = $10^{-4}$ cm$^{-3}$/km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$); the same value adopted by Walmsley & Ungerechts (1983).

Fig. 4 shows comparison between the rate coefficients for collisional excitation and de-excitation of p-NH$_3$ by p-H$_2$ ($j = 0$) of Danby et al (1988) and those from this work, as a function of temperature. These rates have been averaged over the symmetry index $\epsilon$.

Figure 4. Comparison between the rate coefficients for collisional excitation and de-excitation of p-NH$_3$ by p-H$_2$ ($j = 0$) of Danby et al (1988) and those from this work, as a function of temperature. These rates have been averaged over the symmetry index $\epsilon$.

In Fig. 5, we show the excitation temperature of several p-NH$_3$ inversion transitions, as a function of the density, for a kinetic temperature of 10 K and the same column density and line velocity than in Fig 4. Spectroscopic data and critical densities are given in Table 1. For a multi-level system, the critical density can be defined (in the optically thin case) as the density at which the sum of the collisional de-excitation rates out of a given level is equal to the sum of the spontaneous radiative de-excitation rates:

$$n_{\text{crit}}(T) = \frac{\sum_{J' \neq J} r_{J' \rightarrow J} A(J' \rightarrow J_{K' \rightarrow K})}{\sum_{J' \neq J} C(J' \rightarrow J_{K' \rightarrow K})}(T).$$

where the summation is done over the $J_{K' \rightarrow K}$ levels (with energies smaller than that of the $J_{K \rightarrow K}$ considered). With this definition, the critical density refer to a level, and not to a transition.

For densities lower than $10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, the excitation temperature of the $1_{1+} \rightarrow 1_{1+}$ and $2_{2+} \rightarrow 2_{2+}$ inversion transitions computed using the rates presented here and those of Danby et al. show little differences. For these densities, collisional de-excitation is negligible, and the excitation temperature of these lines are close to the background temperature (2.73 K). For densities much greater than the critical density (i.e. $\geq 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$), collisional de-excitation dominates, and lines are essentially thermalized. At intermediate densities, the $1_{1+} \rightarrow 1_{1+}$ and $2_{2+} \rightarrow 2_{2+}$ line excitation temperatures predicted using the rates from this work is slightly lower than the one predicted using those from Danby et al. This is because the
de-excitation rates from this work are smaller (by about a factor two) than those of Danby et al. for these lines. As a consequence, the critical densities of the corresponding levels is greater than previously estimated, and the transition thermalize at greater densities. Larger differences in the excitation temperatures of the $3_{2-} \rightarrow 3_{2+}$ and $3_{1-} \rightarrow 3_{1+}$ transitions – for which critical densities are a few $10^9$ cm$^{-3}$ – are seen. For example, at a density of $10^8$ cm$^{-3}$, the excitation temperature of the $3_{2-} \rightarrow 3_{2+}$ transition computed using the rates of Danby et al. is almost a factor two larger than the one computed with the rates obtained here. From the observer point of view, this has no consequences because the energy of the upper level of the transition is 123.6 K. At low densities, for the kinetic temperature considered here, the fractional population of this level is negligibly small.

Fig. 7 shows the excitation temperature of the $3_{3-} \rightarrow 3_{3+}$ inversion transition as a function of the density, for the same species and inversion line and for a kinetic temperature of 50 K. The dashed lines indicate negative excitation temperatures.

The behavior of the excitation temperature is similar to that of $p$-NH$_3$ inversion lines; at low density, it is close to the background temperature, while it is thermalized at densities greater than $10^6$ cm$^{-3}$. We predict, in agreement with Walmsley & Ungerechts (1983), a population inversion for densities ranging between $\sim 4 \times 10^4$ and $\sim 6 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ (note that the range in which the inversion occurs is slightly different for the two collisional rate sets). This population inversion was first predicted by Walmsley & Ungerechts (1983), and has been studied in detail by Flower et al. (1990). The corresponding maser transition has been since observed in several star forming regions, e.g. NGC 6334I (Beuther et al. 2007). As explained by Walmsley & Ungerechts (1983), the lower level of the $o$-NH$_3$ – a kinetic temperature of 50 K – the behavior of the excitation temperature is similar to that of $p$-NH$_3$ inversion lines; at low density, it is close to the background temperature, while it is thermalized at densities greater than $10^6$ cm$^{-3}$. We predict, in agreement with Walmsley & Ungerechts (1983), a population inversion for densities ranging between $\sim 4 \times 10^4$ and $\sim 6 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ (note that the range in which the inversion occurs is slightly different for the two collisional rate sets). This population inversion was first predicted by Walmsley & Ungerechts (1983), and has been studied in detail by Flower et al. (1990). The corresponding maser transition has been since observed in several star forming regions, e.g. NGC 6334I (Beuther et al. 2007). As explained by Walmsley & Ungerechts (1983), the lower level of the $O$-NH$_3$ inversion line and for a kinetic temperature of 50 K. The dashed lines indicate negative excitation temperatures.

The computations with the rates from the present work do not include the levels above $J = 3$. To make sure that these levels can be neglected at a kinetic temperature of 50 K, we have computed the excitation temperature for the transitions shown on Fig. 7 using Dandy’s rates, but without considering the levels above $J = 3$. These were found to be quasi-identical to those computed when the levels above $J = 3$ are considered.

© 2009 RAS, MNRAS 000, 1–8

### Table 1. Frequencies, upper level energies and critical densities of the $p$-NH$_3$ and $o$-NH$_3$ inversion and rotation-inversion transitions considered in this paper.

| Species | Transition $J_{K_a} - J_{K_a}'$ | $\nu$ (GHz) | $E_{up}$ (K) | $n_{crit}$ (cm$^{-3}$) |
|---------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|
| $p$-NH$_3$ | $1_{1-} \rightarrow 1_{1+}$ | 23.694496 | 1.1 | $3.90 \times 10^3$ |
| $p$-NH$_3$ | $2_{2-} \rightarrow 2_{2+}$ | 23.722633 | 42.3 | $3.08 \times 10^3$ |
| $p$-NH$_3$ | $3_{1-} \rightarrow 3_{1+}$ | 23.098819 | 58.3 | $1.44 \times 10^3$ |
| $p$-NH$_3$ | $3_{2-} \rightarrow 3_{2+}$ | 22.834185 | 128.1 | $3.01 \times 10^3$ |
| $p$-NH$_3$ | $3_{3-} \rightarrow 3_{3+}$ | 22.234506 | 144.0 | $5.41 \times 10^3$ |
| $o$-NH$_3$ | $1_{1-} \rightarrow 0_{0-}$ | 23.870129 | 123.6 | $2.63 \times 10^3$ |
| $o$-NH$_3$ | $3_{3-} \rightarrow 3_{3+}$ | 23.870129 | 123.6 | $2.63 \times 10^3$ |

Spectroscopic data are from the JPL catalog. Energies are relative to the fundamental rotation-inversion level of each species, i.e. $1_{1-}$ for $p$-NH$_3$ and $0_{0-}$ for $o$-NH$_3$. The critical densities are given for a kinetic temperature of 10 K. They are computed using Eq. 3 and thus refer to the upper of level of the transition.

![Figure 6.](image1.png) Excitation temperature of the $p$-NH$_3$ inversion transitions computed using the collisional rates of Danby et al. (1983) and those from this paper, as a function of the H$_2$ density. A kinetic temperature of 10 K is assumed.

![Figure 7.](image2.png) Same as in Fig. 6 for the $o$-NH$_3$ $3_{3-} \rightarrow 3_{3+}$ inversion line and for a kinetic temperature of 50 K. The dashed lines indicate negative excitation temperatures.
transition ($3_{1,3}$) is de-populated by collisions to excited levels in the $K = 0$ ladder, while it is populated by radiative transitions from the $3_{1,1}$ level. For densities greater than $\sim 4 \times 10^3 \ cm^{-3}$, the collisional de-population rate is greater than the radiative population rate of the lower level, and the inversion occurs. For densities greater than $\sim 6 \times 10^3 \ cm^{-3}$, excited levels in the $K = 0$ ladder start to become populated and populate the $3_{1,1}$ level collisionally. This limits the maser gain to moderate values; for a density of $1 \times 10^5 \ cm^{-3}$, we predict a negative opacity of only $\tau \approx -2$.

Fig. 7 also shows the excitation temperature of the $1_{1,1} \rightarrow 0_{3,3}$ rotation-inversion transition of $\nu$-NH$_2$. This line, at a frequency of $\sim 572.5$ GHz, was first detected towards OMC-1 with the \textit{Kepler Airborne Observatory} [Keene et al. 1983]. It was also detected towards $\rho$-Oph A with \textit{Odin} space telescope (Liseau et al. 2003), and it will be soon observable with the \textit{Heterodyne Instrument for the Far Infrared (HIFI)} on board the \textit{Herschel Space Observatory}. This line is found to thermalize at densities of $\sim 10^6 \ cm^{-3}$. Once again, little differences between the excitation temperatures computed using the rates of Danby et al. and those from this work are seen. No significant difference were found between the excitation temperatures of the other rotation-inversion transitions that will be observable with HIFI either.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented new collisional excitation rates of p-NH$_2$ and o-NH$_2$ with $p$-H$_2$ ($J = 0$). With respect to older computations from Danby et al. [1988], the present rates were found to agree within a factor of 2. In order to investigate the effect of the new rates on the excitation of o-NH$_3$ and p-NH$_3$, we have computed the excitation of these species under physical conditions that are typical of dense molecular clouds, prestellar cores, as well as the outer envelopes of embedded protostars, using an LVG code. We found that the excitation temperature between the $1_1$ and $2_2$ levels computed using the new rates is almost identical to that computed using older rates at the low temperatures considered here ($\leq 50 \ K$). Thus, the calibration of the ammonia thermometer appears robust. The effect of the new rates on the inversion transitions (at cm wavelength) or the rotation-inversion transitions that will be observable with Herschel-HIFI are also found to be small.

Future works include extension of the present calculations to $p$-H$_2$ ($J = 0, 2$) and $o$-H$_2$ ($J = 1$) as well as to higher ammonia levels and kinetic temperatures. Comparisons with double resonance [Daly & Oka 1970], crossed beam [Schleipen et al. 1993] and pressure broadening [Willey et al. 2003] experiments will be also investigated, with the objective to establish the predictable ability of the present PES and to distinguish between the predictions of the available PES.
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