Macronutrients influence yield and oil quality of hybrid maize (Zea mays L.)
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Abstract

In the present two-year study, an attempt was made to estimate the grain yield, grain nutrient uptake, and oil quality of three commonly grown maize (Zea mays L.) hybrids fertilized with varied levels of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). Results obtained from both the experimental years indicated that application of 125% of recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) recorded maximum grain yield (10.37 t ha⁻¹; 124% higher than control). When compared with 100% RDF, grain yield reduction with nutrient omission was 44% for N omission, 17% for P omission, and 27% for K omission. Nitrogen uptake was increased with increasing NPK levels up to 150% RDF that was statistically at par (p ≤ 0.01) with 125% RDF. Increasing trend in P and K uptake was observed with successive increase in NPK levels up to 125% RDF, above which it declined. The protein content was significantly higher in grains of var. P 3396 with 125% RDF. Nutrient management has significant (p ≤ 0.01) role in the grain oil content. Saturated fatty acids (palmitic, stearic and arachidic acid) content decreased, and unsaturated fatty acid (oleic, linoleic and linolenic acid) increased with increasing NPK levels. The average oleic acid desaturation and linoleic acid desaturation ratios were increased with increasing NPK levels up to 100 and 125% RDF, respectively. However, average monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA): poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), saturated: unsaturated as well as linoleic: linolenic acid ratios were increased on receiving 75% RDF, and beyond that it showed decreasing trend. The omission of K had the highest inhibitory effect on corn oil quality followed by N and P omission.

1 Introduction

Demand for vegetable oils by the food and the industrial sector is expected to increase significantly in the near future [1]. Maize contains about 3–4% oil [2] in the maize germ, an oil-rich portion of the kernel [3]. It is a concentrated source of energy, and contains essential poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and vitamin E [4]. The PUFA content in maize oil regulates
the blood cholesterol and lowers the elevated blood pressure [5]. Nearly 95% of the maize oil is composed of palmitic (16:0), stearic (18:0), oleic (18:1 n-9) and linoleic (18:2 n-6) acid; whereas, linolenic (18:3 n-3) acid (omega 3 fatty acid) content varies from 0.5 to 2.0% [6]. Currently, India produces about 5 thousand tonnes of maize oil [7]. The international demand for improved quality traits in maize hybrids such as oil, protein, carbohydrates and starch are increasing because of its nutritional reasons [8].

Different agronomic practices have direct and indirect influence on maize oil quality. Fertilizer application is one of the most important factors influencing the composition of fatty acids in oil. The fatty acid composition of grain oil can largely be affected by N management [9]. Results of previous research work on the effect of NPK fertilization on nutritional quality of the grains have shown that optimum N fertilization decreased the levels of undesirable long-chain fatty acids and increased the linoleic and oleic acid contents [10]. Fatty acid composition of grain can also be altered with P fertilization [11], and Israel et al. [12] reported an increase in oleic acid concentration with higher P rates. Similarly, Krueger et al. [13] recorded higher linolenic acid concentration in soybean seeds with high P fertilization (56 kg P ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). Potassium (K) also has a key role in augmenting crop yield and improving quality of the produce [14], but little is known about its influence on corn oil quality. Ahmed et al. [15] confirmed that the increase in K application rate up to 250 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ can increase the unsaturated fatty acid content in seed oil of sunflower.

The overall objective of the study was to study the role of nutrients (NPK) on quantity and quality of maize oil. Specific objectives include determination of the efficiency of NPK fertilizers by quantifying the maize grain yield, grain nutrient uptake and oil quality.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study site and climatic characteristics

Experiments were conducted on farmer’s field at Gayeshpur, Nadia, West Bengal, India (23° 26.010’ N latitude, 88° 22.221’ E longitude, 12.0 m above Mean Sea Level), during winters of 2012–13 and 2013–14. The owner of the field Mr. Akhtar Ali Mandal gave consent towards conducting the study on his field. It is worth mentioning that no other specific permissions were required for performing the experiment on the said field as it was exclusively an agricultural land, witnessing farming activities from time immemorial. Also, no endangered or protected species were involved / harmed during the experimentation. The climate of the region was humid-tropic with moderately cool winter (Table 1). The maximum and minimum air

| Growing season | Month  | Mean air temperature (°C) | Mean relative humidity (%) | Rainfall (mm) | Mean sunshine hours (hrs day⁻¹) |
|----------------|--------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
|                |        | Maximum                   | Minimum                   | Maximum       | Minimum                         |
| Winter 2012–13 | November | 29.76                     | 17.12                     | 92.80         | 54.40                           | 10.04                           | 6.82                            |
|                | December | 25.40                     | 11.75                     | 95.50         | 59.50                           | 1.83                            | 5.30                            |
|                | January  | 24.53                     | 9.83                      | 93.75         | 49.75                           | 0.48                            | 5.33                            |
|                | February | 28.78                     | 12.68                     | 91.50         | 45.25                           | 2.25                            | 7.38                            |
|                | March    | 35.55                     | 18.85                     | 89.50         | 34.50                           | 0                               | 8.40                            |
| Winter 2013–14 | November | 30.14                     | 16.60                     | 84.80         | 56.00                           | 0                               | 8.06                            |
|                | December | 26.93                     | 12.28                     | 84.50         | 58.50                           | 0                               | 6.05                            |
|                | January  | 24.15                     | 10.50                     | 85.75         | 63.00                           | 0                               | 5.70                            |
|                | February | 28.08                     | 12.83                     | 85.25         | 53.25                           | 7.13                            | 7.50                            |
|                | March    | 32.65                     | 17.80                     | 85.25         | 48.50                           | 6.55                            | 7.75                            |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t001
temperatures fluctuated from 24.5 to 35.6˚C and from 9.8 to 18.9˚C during winter 2012–13, and from 24.2 to 32.7˚C and from 10.5 to 17.8˚C during winter 2013–14, respectively. In general, there was a gradual drop in air temperature from November to January that favored the growth and development of maize hybrids. The maximum and minimum air relative humidity prevailed between 89.5–95.5% and 34.5–59.5% during winter 2012–13, and 84.5–85.8% and 48.5–63.0% during winter 2013–14, respectively. The average rainfall during the experimental period (November to March) were 14.6 and 13.7 mm during winter 2012–13 and 2013–14, respectively.

2.2 Soil sampling and analysis
Prior to the beginning of the experiment, a composite soil sample was collected at a depth of 0–30 cm, air-dried, crushed and tested for various physical and chemical properties. The research field had a clay-loam soil. Different soil properties were analyzed as per the standard protocols [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22] in Table 2.

2.3 Field preparation and treatment application
The experimental field was ploughed four times with the help of a tractor followed by a cultivator, and two times with tractor followed by a rotavator. After removing stones and weeds, planking was done to break clods and level the field. The plots were 4 m long and consisted of eight rows, 60 cm apart. There was a 0.5 m path between all of the plots, to eliminate the influence of lateral water movement.

The experiment was designed as strip-plot arrangement for the treatments, assigning three maize hybrids (P 3522, P 3396 and Rajkumar) in the vertical strip and nine fertilizer treatments [50% RDF, 75% RDF, 100% RDF, 125% RDF, 150% RDF, 100% PK (N omission), 100% NK (P omission), 100% NP (K omission) and control (zero-NPK)] in the horizontal strip, with three replications. The 100% RDF was considered as the optimum nutrient treatment. The recommendation from Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR)—Indian Institute of Maize Research (IIMR), Punjab, was taken into consideration (http://www.iimr.res.in/) while fixing the RDF (200 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) for this location. Seeds of maize hybrids were sown at 25 kg ha⁻¹ during last week of November by dibbling 2 seeds at each position at 3–5 cm depth. The distance between the plants in the rows was 30 cm; thus, the plant density was approximately 55,555 plants ha⁻¹. The entire amount of phosphorus (through single super

Table 2. Initial physico-chemical properties of the experimental soil (0–30 cm depth).

| Parameter | Result | Methodology | Citation | Equipment used |
|-----------|--------|-------------|----------|----------------|
| Winter 2012–13 | Winter 2013–14 | | |
| Mechanical composition | | | | |
| a) Sand (%) | 36.8 | 35.5 | Hydrometer method | Bouyoucos [16] | Hydrometer |
| b) Silt (%) | 28.0 | 27.5 | | | |
| c) Clay (%) | 35.2 | 37.0 | | | |
| Soil texture | Clay-loam | Clay-loam | Textural triangular method | Brady and Weil [17] | - |
| pH | 7.31 | 6.88 | (in 1:2.5: Soil: Water) | Jackson [18] | μ-processor based pH-EC-Ion meter |
| EC (dS m⁻¹) | 0.296 | 0.15 | (in 1:2.5: Soil: Water) | Jackson [18] | - |
| Organic carbon (%) | 0.66 | 0.54 | Wet oxidation method | Jackson [19] | - |
| Available N (kg ha⁻¹) | 215.2 | 172.4 | Hot alkaline KMnO₄ Method | Subbiah and Asija [20] | Kjeldahl apparatus |
| Available P (kg ha⁻¹) | 41.6 | 53.9 | 0.5 M NaHCO₃ extract | Olsen et al. [21] | Spectrophotometer |
| Available K (kg ha⁻¹) | 186.4 | 190.9 | Neutral N NH₄OAc extract | Hanway and Heidel [22] | Flame photometer |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t002
phosphate/SSP), potash (through muriate of potash/MOP, as per treatments) and 40% of the nitrogen (through urea) were broadcasted at final land preparation. The rest amount of the nitrogen was applied in two equal split doses at 40 days after sowing (DAS)/knee-high stage and at 85 DAS/pre-tasseling stage, respectively. During crop growth, weeds were manually controlled two times at 40 and 60 DAS. Lambda Cyhalothrin (Agent plus 5 EC) at 10 ml 15 L$^{-1}$ was applied (65 DAS) to get rid of grass hopper attack in first crop season only. The crop was grown under assured irrigation and altogether seven irrigations were provided to the crop during both the year of experimentation. First two irrigations were given at 3 (year 1)-5 (year 2) and 35 (year 1)-40 (year 2) DAS. Next three irrigations were applied from 60 DAS onwards (pre-flowering stage) at 10–15 days interval. Sixth and seventh irrigations were given at 100 (silking stage) and 110 DAS (early grain-filling stage). Total amount of rainfall received during the crop growing season for year 1 and year 2 was 110.4 and 84.7 mm, respectively. For both the years, depth of irrigation during first and second irrigation was 30 and 50 mm, respectively; following that next three irrigations were applied at 60 mm depth, and last two irrigations were applied at the depth of 70 mm. Diesel operated water lifting pump (5 HP) was used for irrigating the crop. Harvesting was done when husks turned yellow, silks got a brownish discoloration, and grains became hard. After dehusking, cobs were sun-dried for 7–8 days for easy removal of grains with hand-sheller.

2.4 Laboratory analysis

For N analysis, plant sample (grain) was digested with concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ for 1 to 2 hours at 420˚C until green color was obtained. Then N in the digest was determined by Micro-Kjeldahl steam distillation method [23]. The P and K in grain samples were determined in the digests of tri-acid mixture (HNO$_3$:H$_2$SO$_4$:HClO$_4$, 9:1:4) using UV-VIS spectrophotometer and flame photometer, respectively [19]. The uptake of nutrients (NPK) for grain was calculated from their respective concentration in plant parts separately using the following formula [24].

\[
\text{Uptake of } N/P/K \text{ (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \left[\frac{\text{N} \%/\text{P} \%/\text{K}% \times \text{Dry matter (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{100}\right]
\]

Protein content in maize grain (%) was estimated by multiplying nitrogen content by 6.25 [25]. Oil content (%) in maize grain was determined by taking grain samples (100 g) from the harvest of each plot. The grains were ground and taken together with solvent (Hexane) washings to a Soxhlet apparatus for extraction of oil at 60˚C for about 12 hours [26]. The hexane dissolved in extracted maize oil was then evaporated using a rotary vacuum and boiling water bath, and the oil percentage was determined after a constant weight was obtained.

The fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were synthesized according to AOAC 996.06 method [27]. Briefly, 200 mg of maize oil were subjected to alkaline hydrolysis with the addition of 8% KOH and heated at 80˚C for 20 minutes. After cooling the mixture, 20% BF$_3$-methanol solution (source: Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was added and warmed for another 20 minutes. The mixture was cooled, extracted with hexane and filtered through 0.22 μm filter for analysis. The fatty acid composition was analyzed by 7890B GC (Agilent Technologies, USA) equipped with an auto-sampler, a flame ionization detector (FID) and a fused silica capillary Supelcowax 10 column (30 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μm film thickness) from Supelco (USA). Chromatographic data were recorded and integrated using ChemStation Software (Agilent Technologies, USA). The oven temperature was 170˚C, held for 1 min, raised to 200˚C at rate of 1˚C min$^{-1}$, and increased to 225˚C during 5 min and held for 5 min, total runtime was 40 min, while the injector and detector temperature were set at 260˚C and 270˚C, respectively. The sample volume injected was 1 μL with a split ratio 20:1. The carrier gas (nitrogen) flow rate was 1.0 ml min$^{-1}$. Hydrogen and compressed air used for FID were maintained at 275.6 kPa. The identification
and quantification were performed by external standard method where five fatty acid standards (source: Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were used.

Several ratios were used in the study namely, oleic desaturation ratio (ODR) and linoleic desaturation ratio (LDR). The DR estimates the relative weight of the desaturation pathway from oleic acid to linoleic (18:2 n-6) and linolenic acid (18:3 n-3) within the overall fatty acid biosynthetic system. The ODR and LDR were calculated following Genet et al. [28] and they estimated, within the desaturation pathway, the efficiency of the desaturation from oleic to linoleic (ODR) and from linoleic to linolenic acid (LDR). The ratios were calculated as follows:

\[
ODR = \frac{\%C_{18:2} + \%C_{18:3}}{\%C_{18:1} + \%C_{18:2} + \%C_{18:3}}
\]

\[
LDR = \frac{\%C_{18:3}}{\%C_{18:2} + \%C_{18:3}}
\]

Apart from these, other fatty acid ratios were also calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{MUFA : PUFA} = \frac{\%C_{18:1}}{\%C_{18:2} + \%C_{18:3}}
\]

\[
\text{Saturated : unsaturated fatty acid} = \frac{\%C_{16:0} + \%C_{18:0} + \%C_{20:0}}{\%C_{18:1} + \%C_{18:2} + \%C_{18:3}}
\]

\[
\text{Linoleic : Linolenic acid} = \frac{\%C_{18:2}}{\%C_{18:3}}
\]

P/S index is the ratio of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and saturated fatty acids (SFA) and it was calculated by the following formula [29]:

\[
P/S \text{ index} = \frac{\text{PUFA}}{\text{SFA}}
\]

### 2.5 Statistical analysis

The data obtained were subjected to an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) while strip-plot design and the mean values were compared by the Tukey’s HSD (honest significant difference) test method using the software SPSS v.21.0 (Version 21.0, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Probabilities of significance (\(p \leq 0.01\) or 0.05) were used to test the significance among the main treatment effects, treatment combinations and interactions.

### 3 Result

#### 3.1 NPK application and yield of three different cultivars

The levels of NPK showed significant (\(p \leq 0.01\)) impact on grain yield (Table 3). Application of 50% and 75% RDF recorded significantly (\(p \leq 0.01\)) lesser yield than that recorded in 100% RDF applied plots. The omission of nutrients caused significant (\(p \leq 0.01\)) yield loss with highest reduction in N omission followed by K and P. When compared with 100% RDF, grain yield reduction with nutrient omission was 43.9% for N omission, 16.6% for P omission, and 26.9% for K omission. The effect of all other sources of variation, such as year of cultivation and cultivar type, did not show any significant role on the grain yield (Table 3).
Table 3. Maize grain yield (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) and N uptake (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) as influenced by cultivar and levels of NPK in two years.

| Treatments  | Grain N uptake | Grain yield |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| **Year**    |                |             |
| 2012–13     | 114.85a        | 7.62a       |
| 2013–14     | 118.16a        | 8.16a       |
| **Cultivar**|                |             |
| P 3522      | 122.19a        | 8.34a       |
| P 3396      | 118.60ab       | 7.78a       |
| Rajkumar    | 108.73b        | 7.54a       |
| **Levels of NPK** |          |             |
| 50% RDF     | 118.09bc       | 8.39b       |
| 75% RDF     | 129.35bc       | 8.97ab      |
| 100% RDF    | 144.32b        | 9.43a       |
| 125% RDF    | 149.57a        | 10.07a      |
| 150% RDF    | 153.27a        | 9.17ab      |
| 100% PK     | 62.66d         | 5.29d       |
| 100% NK     | 129.75bc       | 7.85bc      |
| 100% NP     | 107.41c        | 6.89c       |
| Control     | 54.12d         | 4.62d       |

Sources of variation

|                     | Year | Cultivar | Levels of NPK | Year × cultivar | Year × levels of NPK | Cultivar × levels of NPK | Year × cultivar × levels of NPK |
|---------------------|------|----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Year                | ns   | ns       | ns            | ns              | ns                    | ns                       | ns                              |
| Cultivar            | ns   | ns       | ns            | ns              | ns                    | ns                       | ns                              |
| Levels of NPK       | ns   | ns       | ns            | ns              | ns                    | ns                       | ns                              |
| Year × cultivar     | ns   | ns       | ns            | ns              | ns                    | ns                       | ns                              |
| Year × levels of NPK| ns   | ns       | ns            | ns              | ns                    | ns                       | ns                              |
| Cultivar × levels of NPK |     | ns       | ns            | ns              | ns                    | ns                       | ns                              |
| Year × cultivar × levels of NPK |   | ns       | ns            | ns              | ns                    | ns                       | ns                              |

Within cultivar, levels of NPK or year, numbers followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \( p \leq 0.05 \) (otherwise statistically at par); ns: non-significant \( p > 0.05 \);

**Significant at \( p \leq 0.01 \);

Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 200-60-60 kg N-P\(_2\)O\(_5\)-K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t003

3.2 Nitrogen uptake

The N uptake varied significantly \( (p \leq 0.01) \) due to applied N levels, and it was increased with the increase in N levels up to 125% RDF. Omission of nutrients (N/P/K) showed poor N accumulation in grain of the tested cultivars. Greater reduction in N uptake was recorded with N omission (56.6%) followed by K (25.6%) and P omission (10.1%). However, cultivars showed no significant variation in grain N uptake at crop maturity (Table 3). The interaction effects (year × cultivar, year × levels of NPK, cultivar × levels of NPK) on grain N uptake was non-significant too (Table 3).

3.3 Phosphorus uptake

Uptake of P varied significantly \( (p \leq 0.01) \) among cultivars (Table 4). The cultivar P 3522 accumulated highest amounts of P in grain followed by P3396. Lowest P accumulation in maize grain was recorded in Rajkumar. The NPK application exerted significant influence on P uptake in maize grain. Data presented in Table 4 also showed increasing trend in P uptake.
with successive increase in NPK levels up to 125% RDF and it was declined with further increase in NPK level (up to 150% RDF). It is also interesting to note that N omission had far greater impact on P uptake by hybrid maize cultivars, rather than P and K omission.

Year × cultivar × levels of NPK interactions exerted significant (p ≤ 0.01) effect on grain P uptake. Among all the tested hybrids, irrespective of cropping season, P 3522 accumulated maximum grain P when fertilized with 125% RDF while P 3522 accumulated more grain P during year 1.

### 3.4 Potassium uptake

Data presented in Table 5 also showed increasing trend in K uptake with successive increase in NPK levels up to 125% RDF. Omission of N/ P/ K significantly decreased the K uptake and it was drastically reduced in N limited plots (69.6 and 76.1% less than that obtained with 100% RDF during year 1 and year 2, respectively), followed by K limited plots (6.3 and 25.4% less than that obtained with 100% RDF during year 1 and year 2, respectively) and P limited plots (17.5 and 45.5% less than that obtained with 100% RDF during year 1 and year 2, respectively). Cultivar P 3522 accumulated significantly highest amount of K in grain, and the lowest accumulation was recorded in Rajkumar in both the years of study. Uptake of K in maize grain varied significantly (p ≤ 0.01) individually across years, tested cultivars and levels of NPK; however, unlike N and P, the interaction of year × cultivar × levels of NPK had no significant effect on grain K uptake (Table 5).

---

Table 4. Grain P uptake (kg ha⁻¹) of maize as influenced by cultivar and levels of NPK in two years.

| Levels of NPK | P 3522 2012–13 | 2013–14 | P 3396 2012–13 | 2013–14 | Rajkumar 2012–13 | 2013–14 |
|---------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| 50% RDF       | 38.32b-d        | 32.54a-d | 30.90f-i        | 26.61c-g | 24.48jk          | 20.79f-h |
| 75% RDF       | 38.68a-d        | 34.82a-c | 32.08e-h        | 33.13a-d | 29.59hi          | 29.75b-f |
| 100% RDF      | 41.39ab         | 35.39a-c | 33.90e-g        | 34.81a-c | 34.89c-f         | 32.46a-d |
| 125% RDF      | 42.78a          | 37.98ab  | 39.08a-c        | 41.66a   | 34.99c-f         | 36.08a-c |
| 150% RDF      | 41.71ab         | 36.96a-c | 36.03c-e        | 39.45ab  | 34.59d-f         | 32.89a-d |
| 100% PK       | 21.41kl         | 19.69f-h | 18.13lm         | 20.81f-h | 18.04lm          | 22.69d-h |
| 100% NK       | 34.87c-f        | 26.47c-g | 24.04jk         | 21.84e-h | 27.51ij          | 22.71d-h |
| 100% NP       | 35.95c-e        | 29.32b-g | 29.59hi         | 26.41c-g | 30.32g-i         | 31.67a-e |
| Control       | 19.20lm         | 19.00gh  | 17.08m          | 14.60h   | 16.86m           | 18.88gh  |

Sources of variation

- Year
- Cultivar
- Levels of NPK
- Year × cultivar
- Year × levels of NPK
- Cultivar × levels of NPK
- Year × cultivar × levels of NPK

Within cultivar, levels of NPK or year, numbers followed by different letters indicate significant differences at p ≤ 0.05 (otherwise statistically at par); ns: non-significant (p > 0.05);

- *Significant at p ≤ 0.05;
- **Significant at p ≤ 0.01;

Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 200-60-60 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O ha⁻¹

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t004
3.5 Grain protein and oil content

Quality parameters (grain protein and oil content) were significantly \((p < 0.01)\) influenced by NPK levels (Table 6). Irrespective of tested cultivars, application of 125% RDF recorded highest amount of protein content (27.8% more than control). Increase in NPK levels beyond 125% RDF (up to 150% RDF) failed to improve protein content significantly \((p > 0.05)\). While oil content of maize hybrids was decreased with NPK application beyond 75% RDF. Significant \((p < 0.05)\) reduction in protein content of tested maize grains was observed with NPK omissions, while the grain oil content was reduced significantly with K omission only. Omission of N determined lowest protein content (except Control), 13.4% less than 100% RDF while omission of K caused highest reduction in oil content (except Control), 10.2% less than those obtained with 100% RDF.

Grain protein and oil content also varied significantly \((p < 0.01)\) among the tested cultivars (Table 6). The protein content was highest in P 3396, followed by Rajkumar and P 3522. The maize cultivar P 3522 recorded highest oil content followed by Rajkumar and P 3396.

Interaction of cultivar and levels of NPK was significant \((p < 0.01)\) for both protein and oil content (Table 6). The protein content was significantly higher in grains of P 3396 fertilized with 125% RDF, while the grain oil content of P 3522 was significantly higher when the crop

---

**Table 5. Grain K uptake (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) of maize as influenced by cultivar and levels of NPK in two years.**

| Treatments | Grain K uptake |
|------------|---------------|
|            | 2012–13       | 2013–14       |
| Cultivar   |               |               |
| P 3522     | 43.05a        | 36.63a        |
| P 3396     | 38.99b        | 35.17a        |
| Rajkumar   | 37.89b        | 33.31b        |
| Levels of NPK |           |               |
| 50% RDF    | 40.41de       | 35.26bc       |
| 75% RDF    | 44.96c        | 41.39ab       |
| 100% RDF   | 45.21bc       | 42.12ab       |
| 125% RDF   | 48.92a        | 45.49a        |
| 150% RDF   | 48.28ab       | 43.23ab       |
| 100% PK    | 26.65f        | 23.92d        |
| 100% NK    | 42.53cd       | 33.59c        |
| 100% NP    | 38.47e        | 28.95cd       |
| Control    | 24.36f        | 21.40d        |

Sources of variation

- Year
- Cultivar
- Levels of NPK
- Year × cultivar
- Year × levels of NPK
- Cultivar × levels of NPK
- Year × cultivar × levels of NPK

Within cultivar, levels of NPK or year, numbers followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \(p < 0.05\) (otherwise statistically at par); ns: non-significant \((p > 0.05)\);

**Significant at \(p < 0.01\);**

Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 200-60-60 kg N-P\(_2\)O\(_5\)-K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t005
received 50% RDF. The linear regression study (Fig 1) indicates the inverse relationship ($r = -0.285$, $p \leq 0.01$) between grain protein and oil content of the tested hybrids.

### 3.6 Fatty acid content

The content of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in maize oil has been presented in Tables 7, 8 and 9. The effect of NPK levels on fatty acid content in maize oil was significant ($p \leq 0.01$). The palmitic acid content was higher with 125% RDF; however, further increase in NPK levels (150% RDF) did not bring significant ($p \leq 0.05$) variation from the values obtained with 125% RDF (Table 7). The stearic (Table 7) and arachidic acid (Table 9) contents were increased with 100 and 125% RDF application, respectively. The linolenic acid content increased significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) with increase in NPK levels up to 150% RDF (Table 9). Nutrient omission had significance ($p \leq 0.05$) in explaining the obvious role of macronutrients in increased or decreased concentration of fatty acid. All the measured fatty acids were limited by K omission, except for palmitic and stearic acid. Such inhibitory effect of K omission on linoleic and arachidic acid content was differed significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) from that of N and P omission.

The year effect on fatty acid content was significant, except for linoleic acid (Table 8). In year 2, higher values of stearic, linoleic and arachidic acid, but lower of palmitic and oleic acid was observed as compared to year 1. The cultivar P 3522 registered highest palmitic and arachidic acid content, and lowest linolenic acid. On the other hand, P 3396 recorded highest...
linolenic acid and lowest palmitic acid content. It is however, noteworthy to mention that the year effect on fatty acid content would have been more prominent with the presence of longer time study.

The interaction among year, cultivar and levels of NPK put forth significant effect on the contents of all fatty acids in maize oil. The palmitic acid content was significantly higher in P3522 with 75 and 100% NK during year 1 and year 2, respectively. While the cultivar P3396 recorded significantly higher values of stearic acid content when fertilized with 150% RDF during year 2, closely followed by the concentration in P3522 during year 1. The cultivar P3522 had significantly greater concentration of oleic acid when fertilized with 75% RDF in year 1 and it was followed by the concentration in Rajkumar receiving 125% RDF in year 2. The values of linoleic acid content were significantly higher in P3522 receiving zero-NPK in both the years and it was statistically at par with the values obtained in Rajkumar receiving 100% PK in year 2 (Table 8). The significantly higher linolenic acid content was exhibited by the cultivar P3522 when fertilized with 125% RDF in year 1 and it was statistically at par with the values obtained with zero-NPK. The next highest linolenic acid contents were recorded in P3396 with 100% NK and zero-NPK in year 2. For arachidic acid content, the significantly
| Levels of NPK | Palmitic acid | Stearic acid | Oleic acid |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
|              | P 3522        | P 3396       | P 3522     | P 3396     | P 3522     | P 3396     | P 3522     | P 3396     | P 3522     | P 3396     | P 3522     | P 3396     |
|              | P 3522        | P 3396       | P 3522     | P 3396     | P 3522     | P 3396     | P 3522     | P 3396     | P 3522     | P 3396     | P 3522     | P 3396     |
| Year         | **            | **           | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         |
| Cultivar     | **            | **           | ns         | ns         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         |
| Levels of NPK| **            | **           | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         |
| Year × Cultivar| **           | **           | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         |
| Cultivar × Levels of NPK| **           | **           | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         | **         |

Within cultivar, levels of NPK or year, numbers followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \( p \leq 0.05 \) (otherwise statistically at par); ns: non-significant \( p > 0.05 \);

* Significant at \( p \leq 0.05 \);

** Significant at \( p \leq 0.01 \);

Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 200-60-60 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O ha⁻¹

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t007
highest values were obtained in the cultivar P3522 when fertilized with 75% RDF and 100% NP in year 2. The cultivar P 3396 receiving 100% NP also exhibited statistically at par results in year 1. As evident in Table 10, palmitic acid had highest correlation with oleic acid (\( p < 0.01 \)). Both stearic and linoleic acid had the highest association with linolenic acid (\( p < 0.01 \)). Except stearic acid, none of the fatty acids had any significant and positive relation with arachidic acid (Table 10).

### 3.7 Fatty acid ratios

Significant (\( p < 0.01 \)) effect of NPK levels was recorded in case of fatty acid ratios. Irrespective of year and cultivar, application of 100% RDF increased values for ODR (Table 11). The LDR increased with increasing levels of NPK, and peaked at 125% RDF. Both MUFA: PUFA, and saturated: unsaturated acid ratios started increasing at the initial levels of NPK up to 75% RDF, and then gradually decreased with further increment of NPK doses (Table 12). The increase in NPK levels from 50 to 100% RDF lead to significant increase in linoleic: linolenic acid ratios in all tested cultivars in both the years. Omission plots demonstrated some crucial findings, and K omission registered the lowest ODR and LDR, and the highest MUFA: PUFA and linoleic: linolenic acid ratios. Opposite trend was found in case of P omission.

Year effect was found significant on ODR, LDR, MUFA: PUFA, saturated: unsaturated and linoleic: linolenic acid ratios (Table 11). The values of ODR and LDR were greater in year 1; however, other fatty acid ratios were greater in year 2. Cultivar effect was also significant for

Table 8. Linoleic acid content (%) in maize oil as influenced by cultivar and levels of NPK in two years.

| Levels of NPK | P 3522 2012–13 | P 3522 2013–14 | P 3396 2012–13 | P 3396 2013–14 | Rajkumar 2012–13 | Rajkumar 2013–14 |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 50% RDF       | 52.14a-c       | 52.33a-c       | 51.08b-d       | 48.37cd        | 48.75b-d       | 50.01b-d       |
| 75% RDF       | 34.26e         | 50.61b-d       | 54.34ab        | 50.19b-d       | 50.43b-d       | 47.22de        |
| 100% RDF      | 51.31bc        | 51.31b-d       | 51.13bc        | 51.16b-d       | 51.15bc        | 46.85de        |
| 125% RDF      | 50.44b-d       | 51.07b-d       | 49.28b-d       | 52.72a-c       | 51.88a-c       | 48.47cd        |
| 150% RDF      | 51.93a-c       | 49.97b-d       | 50.49b-d       | 49.35b-d       | 53.35a-c       | 49.77b-d       |
| 100% PK       | 52.07a-c       | 52.20a-c       | 52.04a-c       | 43.66e         | 49.80b-d       | 55.98a         |
| 100% NK       | 52.84a-c       | 51.09b-d       | 52.28a-c       | 53.38ab        | 50.49b-d       | 50.77b-d       |
| 100% NP       | 45.52d         | 49.70b-d       | 49.70b-d       | 51.17b-d       | 53.18a-c       | 50.41b-d       |
| Control       | 57.20a         | 55.67a         | 49.57b-d       | 53.02ab        | 48.36cd        | 51.34b-d       |

Within cultivar, levels of NPK or year, numbers followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \( p \leq 0.05 \) (otherwise statistically at par); ns: non-significant (\( p > 0.05 \)).

*Significant at \( p \leq 0.05 \);
**Significant at \( p < 0.01 \);

Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 200-60-60 kg N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>−1</sup>

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t008
all fatty acid ratios. The cultivar P 3522 showed highest values for MUFA: PUFA, saturated: unsaturated and linoleic: linolenic acid ratios, and lowest values of LDR. Just opposite trend was observed in case of P 3396. Significant interaction (year × cultivar) effect was registered for all fatty acid ratios.

Table 9. Linolenic and Arachidic acid content (%) in maize oil as influenced by cultivar and levels of NPK in two years.

| Levels of NPK | Linolenic acid | Arachidic acid |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|
|               | P 3522         | P 3396        | Rajkumar      | P 3522 | P 3396 | Rajkumar |
| 2012–13       | 1.26cd         | 1.14c-g       | 1.11d-g       | 1.13d-g | 0.99e-g | 1.09e-g |
| 2013–14       | 0.01i          | 0.97fi        | 0.01i         | 1.24b-e | 0.12cd  | 0.13a-d |
| 50% RDF       | 0.78h          | 0.78i         | 1.07d-g       | 1.23b-e | 1.21cd  | 1.33a-d |
| 75% RDF       | 1.97a          | 0.81hi        | 1.10d-g       | 1.39ab  | 1.19c-e | 0.99f-i |
| 100% RDF      | 1.22cd         | 0.92g-1       | 1.18c-f       | 1.15c-f | 0.01i   | 1.00f-h |
| 125% RDF      | 1.00i          | 1.07e-g       | 1.34bc        | 1.35a-c | 0.92gh  | 1.41ab  |
| 150% RDF      | 0.01i          | 0.94f-i       | 1.47b         | 1.48a   | 1.18c-f | 1.12d-g |
| 100% PK       | 0.01i          | 0.98f-i       | 0.96f         | 1.12d-g | 1.25cd  | 1.11e-g |
| 100% NP       | 1.84a          | 1.24b-e       | 0.98fg        | 1.47a   | 0.95gh  | 0.99f-i |
| Control       | 0.04j          | 0.40c-e       | 0.76c         | 0.00    | 0.52e-h | 0.02f   |

Sources of variation

- ** Year
- ** Cultivar
- ** Levels of NPK
- ** Year × cultivar
- ** Year × levels of NPK
- ** Cultivar × levels of NPK
- ** Year × cultivar × levels of NPK

Within cultivar, levels of NPK or year, numbers followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \( p \leq 0.05 \) (otherwise statistically at par);

**Significant at \( p \leq 0.01 \);

Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 200-60-60 kg N-P\( \text{O}_2 \)-K\( \text{O}_2 \) ha\(^{-1} \)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t009

Table 10. Pearson correlation among different fatty acid content (%) in maize oil.

|                      | Palmitic acid (C\(_{16:0}\)) | Stearic acid (C\(_{18:0}\)) | Oleic acid (C\(_{18:1}\)) | Linoleic acid (C\(_{18:2}\)) | Linolenic acid (C\(_{18:3}\)) | Arachidic acid (C\(_{20:0}\)) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Palmitic acid (C\(_{16:0}\)) | 1                             | -0.288**                    | 0.452**                    | -0.416**                      | -0.351**                      | -0.065                        |
| Stearic acid (C\(_{18:0}\))  |                               | 1                           | -0.001                      | 0.243**                       | 0.428**                       | 0.378**                       |
| Oleic acid (C\(_{18:1}\))    |                               |                             | 1                           | -0.221**                      | -0.262**                      | -0.156                        |
| Linoleic acid (C\(_{18:2}\))  |                               |                             |                             | 1                             | 0.282**                       | -0.113                        |
| Linolenic acid (C\(_{18:3}\)) |                               |                             |                             |                                |                                | 0.038                         |
| Arachidic acid (C\(_{20:0}\)) |                               |                             |                             |                                |                                |                               |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed);
Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t010
Table 11. ODR, LDR and MUFA:PUFA ratios in maize oil as influenced by cultivar and levels of NPK in two years.

| Levels of NPK | ODR | LDR | MUFA:PUFA |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----------|
|              | P 3522 | P 3396 | Rajkumar | P 3522 | P 3396 | Rajkumar | P 3522 | P 3396 | Rajkumar | P 3522 | P 3396 | Rajkumar | P 3522 | P 3396 | Rajkumar |
|              | 2012–13 | 2013–14 | 2012–13 | 2013–14 | 2012–13 | 2013–14 | 2012–13 | 2013–14 | 2012–13 | 2013–14 | 2012–13 | 2013–14 | 2012–13 | 2013–14 | 2012–13 | 2013–14 | 2012–13 | 2013–14 |
| 50% RDF      | 0.636c-f | 0.637b-f | 0.635c-f | 0.635b-f | 0.629d-f | 0.628c-f | 0.0236de | 0.0213cd | 0.0213de | 0.0228bc | 0.0199de | 0.0213cd | 0.575c-e | 0.571c-i | 0.575c-e | 0.526g-j | 0.589b-d | 0.636a-d |
| 75% RDF      | 0.440g   | 0.625c-g | 0.633c-f | 0.610e-g | 0.616d-f | 0.603f-g | 0.000f   | 0.0188cd | 0.000f   | 0.241bc  | 0.0191cd | 1.274a   | 0.600a-g | 0.579b-e | 0.639a-d | 0.625b-c | 0.658a-b |
| 100% RDF     | 0.639c-e | 0.639b-f | 0.634c-f | 0.648b-e | 0.616d-f | 0.650bc  | 0.0189e  | 0.0149c  | 0.0205de | 0.0235cd | 0.0232c  | 0.0276a  | 0.565c-e | 0.566c-i | 0.577c-e | 0.554e-i | 0.622b-c | 0.540f-j |
| 125% RDF     | 0.632d-f | 0.646b-d | 0.607ef  | 0.649bc  | 0.617d-f | 0.597g   | 0.0378a  | 0.0156de | 0.0218de | 0.0257a  | 0.0224de | 0.0200cd | 0.589b-d | 0.553d-i | 0.646b | 0.544f-i | 0.628c   | 0.674a   |
| 150% RDF     | 0.626d-f | 0.639b-f | 0.613ef  | 0.613d-g | 0.629d-f | 0.606g   | 0.0238de | 0.0181cd | 0.0228de | 0.0228cd | 0.0006f  | 0.0197cd | 0.596b-d | 0.565d-i | 0.631bc | 0.633a-e | 0.592b-d | 0.653a-c |
| 100% PK      | 0.617d-f | 0.653a-c | 0.646cd  | 0.601e  | 0.663ab  | 0.0005f  | 0.0201cd | 0.0251c  | 0.030a   | 0.0181de | 0.0247ab | 0.620bc  | 0.532f-j | 0.548f-f | 0.668a  | 0.649b | 0.508j   |
| 100% NK      | 0.625d-f | 0.636b-g | 0.666ab  | 0.660ab | 0.613ef  | 0.621c-g | 0.0006f  | 0.0180cd | 0.0275b  | 0.0270a  | 0.0228de | 0.0216cd | 0.599b-d | 0.572c-i | 0.502fg | 0.514h-j | 0.631bc  | 0.610a-f |
| 100% NP      | 0.618d-f | 0.628b-g | 0.628d-f | 0.628g-f | 0.659bc  | 0.613d-g | 0.0004f  | 0.0194cd | 0.0193de | 0.0214   | 0.0229de | 0.0215cd | 0.617bc  | 0.592h-a  | 0.594b-d | 0.593a-h | 0.517ef  | 0.632a-e |
| Control      | 0.683a   | 0.684a   | 0.631d-f | 0.648b-e | 0.626d-f | 0.630c-g | 0.0312b  | 0.0218cd | 0.0194de | 0.0269ab | 0.0193de | 0.0189cd | 0.463g   | 0.462j  | 0.584b-d | 0.562i   | 0.599b-d | 0.590b-i |

Within cultivar, levels of NPK or year, numbers followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \( p < 0.05 \) (otherwise statistically at par):

- *Significant at \( p < 0.05 \);
- **Significant at \( p < 0.01 \);

Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 200-60-60 kg N-P_2O_5-K_2O ha\(^{-1}\)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t011
Table 12. Saturated: Unsaturated and linoleic: Linolenic acid ratios in maize oil as influenced by cultivar and levels of NPK in two years.

| Levels of NPK | Saturated: Unsaturated | Cultivar | Linoleic: Linolenic | Cultivar |
|--------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
|              | P 3522 | P 3396 | Rajkumar | P 3522 | P 3396 | Rajkumar |
| 2012–13      |        |        |          |        |        |          |
| 50% RDF      | 0.191b  | 0.191a  | 0.191b  | 0.172c  | 0.190a  | 0.172d  |
| 75% RDF      | 0.285a  | 0.185s  | 0.177a  | 0.193b  | 0.174d  | 0.193b  |
| 100% RDF     | 0.214b  | 0.212a  | 0.187g  | 0.177b  | 0.191a  | 0.177b  |
| 125% RDF     | 0.202b  | 0.168e  | 0.168e  | 0.162d  | 0.194a  | 0.162d  |
| 150% RDF     | 0.168d  | 0.200a  | 0.178b  | 0.178b  | 0.184b  | 0.184b  |
| 100% PK      | 0.185b  | 0.181b  | 0.178a  | 0.186b  | 0.157b  | 0.186b  |
| 100% NK      | 0.184b  | 0.207a  | 0.159f  | 0.177b  | 0.184b  | 0.177b  |
| 100% NP      | 0.180b  | 0.210a  | 0.188a  | 0.162d  | 0.181c  | 0.162d  |
| Control      | 0.158e  | 0.181b  | 0.211b  | 0.174d  | 0.184b  | 0.184b  |

Sources of variation

- Year
- Cultivar
- Levels of NPK
- Year × Cultivar
- Year × levels of NPK
- Cultivar × levels of NPK
- Year × cultivar × levels of NPK

Within cultivar, levels of NPK or year, numbers followed by different letters indicate significant differences at \( p < 0.05 \) (otherwise statistically at par);
- *Significant at \( p < 0.05 \);
- **Significant at \( p < 0.01 \);

Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 200-60-60 kg N-P\(_2\)O\(_5\)-K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.t012

The year × levels of NPK, cultivar × levels of NPK and year × cultivar × levels of NPK effect was significant \((p < 0.01)\) for all fatty acid ratios. The ODR value was significantly higher in P 3522 fertilizer with zero-NPK during both year 1 and year 2. The same cultivar recorded significantly higher values for LDR in year 1 on receiving 125% RDF and it was statistically at par with the values obtained in P 3396 during year 2, when fertilized with 125% RDF, 100% PK and 100% NK, and in Rajkumar receiving 75% RDF during year 2. Similarly, the significantly higher value of MUFA: PUFA ratio was obtained in P 3522 cultivar in year 1; being statistically at par with the values recorded in P 3396 with 100% PK and Rajkumar with 125% RDF during year 2 (Table 11). The value of saturated: unsaturated acid ratio was found significantly higher in P 3522 receiving 75% NPK in year 1 and 100% RDF in year 2. Significantly higher value of linoleic: linolenic acid ratio was exhibited by the cultivar P 3522 when fertilized with 100% RDF in both the years (Table 12). Besides, the study also witnessed significant positive association \((r = 0.832, p < 0.01)\) between the ODR and LDR of maize grain oil for tested hybrids (Fig 2).

4 Discussion

4.1 Impact of nutrient management on yield for three different cultivars

Improvement in yield as well as quality of economic part (grain), nutrient uptake and use efficiency are the major indicators for successful implementation of any fertilizer recommendation in maize. In India, plenty of information is available on nutrient uptake pattern in rice-
wheat system; however, such type of information is limited in maize, particularly for Eastern India where maize is an emerging crop in this geography. The steady increment of maize grain yield due to better nutrient management is distinct from the previous research findings, both at country level as well as at global scale [30]. For instance, Patra et al. [31] and Prodhan [32] reported grain yield of maize up to 5 and 6 Mg ha$^{-1}$ which were more than 1 Mg ha$^{-1}$ higher than the existing recorded yield. After more than a decade, latest literatures are showing that the yield of hybrid maize could be around 10 Mg ha$^{-1}$ with improved nutrient management practices [33]. All the new maize hybrids used in the present study, due to their ‘stay-green’ nature [34], might have accumulated more post-silking dry matter and resulted in higher grain yield. There was no significant ($p \geq 0.01$) difference in grain yield among tested cultivars highlighting the fact the attainable yield for all the three cultivars were similar.

### 4.2 Impact of nutrient management on nutrients uptake across seasons and cultivars

The present study showed the highest grain nutrient uptake in cultivar P 3522, followed by P 3396 and Rajkumar. Dibaba et al. [35], studying the response of maize hybrids to nutrient uptake across seasons and cultivars.
management, reported significant ($p \leq 0.05$) difference among cultivars in nutrient uptake pattern. However, the variation in grain yield was non-significant among the cultivars. Several other investigators have opined that the genotypic difference in maize is the main factor which determines grain yield and yield attributes $[36, 37]$. Hence, the hybrid maize gives higher yields and takes up N/ P/ K more efficiently than the open pollinated varieties.

The uptake of P and K varied significantly across the experimental years; however, the variation in N uptake in grain over the years was non-significant (Table 3). Chen et al. $[38]$ also recorded non-significant difference in maize grain N uptake over two consecutive years of experimentation. The uptake of nutrient (N/ P/ K) and grain yield both were significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) affected by varied NPK levels and/or nutrient omission. Increasing doses of NPK up to 125% of RDF significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) increased the uptake of these macronutrients in maize grain, which is also supported by the results of other maize research $[39]$. Application of 125% RDF might have caused higher nutrient concentration in maize grain, and for the same reason, unlike for the higher doses of NPK (150% RDF), the dilution effect of nutrients was not witnessed in grain $[40]$. In the present study, nutrient omission (-N/ -P/ -K) caused greater reductions both in nutrient uptake and grain yield, in the order of N omission > K omission > P omission. Such results clearly signify the mode of interaction among these nutrients. Therefore, interaction effect of macronutrients on nutrient uptake and grain yield was in the order of $(N \times K) > (N \times P) > (P \times K)$. Several investigators have already proved the positive interaction of N and K $[41]$ and negative interaction of P with K $[42]$. The present study also observed the similar trend. Limited availability of N exacerbates the K dilution in maize plant $[43]$. Higher absorption of P in the lower concentrations of K is believed to be due to high mobility of K. On the contrary, when K is present in the higher concentrations, it will tend to depress the absorption of other ions $[42]$. Several workers have reported positive interaction between N and P, which leads to increase in P absorption and higher yields due to undefined soil and plant related mechanisms $[44]$. Our results confirm that grain yield increased up to 125% RDF, and it was statistically at par with 100% RDF. However, further increase in NPK levels (150% RDF) failed to record any significant improvement. Such effects have also been confirmed in our previous study $[40]$.

### 4.3 Impact of nutrient management on protein and oil content

Both protein and oil content in maize grain were significantly ($p \leq 0.01$) influenced by NPK levels. Greater amount of protein and oil content was recorded with 125 and 75% RDF, respectively. Significant ($p \leq 0.05$) reduction in those quality parameters was observed with nutrient omissions (-N/ -P/ -K), while the poor result was recorded with zero-NPK. Several studies have demonstrated that omission of N/ P/ K leads to significant reduction in protein and oil content $[45, 46]$. The increase in protein concentration of maize grain with nitrogen supply was earlier confirmed by Tsai et al. $[45]$. They expressed that the increase could be due to preferential deposition of zein over the other endosperm proteins. Besides, exclusion of N, in our experiment, resulted in lower protein content, corroborating with previous finding that N application is essential for maize plant to synthesize amino acids $[47]$. It has also been reported that NPK applications cause slight improvement ingrain oil concentration $[48]$, but greater oil production per unit area is attributed to increased grain yield. Our findings revealed that K omission registered highest reduction ingrain oil content. Aytac et al. $[49]$ also reported that increase in oil content was greatly affected by K omission than N omission. Similar to the present study, previous studies also reported that the grain protein concentration increases with the increase in P application rate, but oil content decreases $[50]$. Our results also showed that protein and oil content in maize grain maintained an inverse relationship (Fig 1). It was also
earlier confirmed by Rehman et al. [51] that grain protein content increases with each incremental dose of NPK fertilizer, while grain oil content continues to decrease in maize grain due to dilution. However, sacrificing yield to increase oil content will not be economic as the total amount of oil will decrease with less grain yield. This is well highlighted by the control treatment that produced very low yields with high oil content. Therefore, there is a trade-off between yield and protein content compared to oil content in the maize grain. It has been suggested by Chaudhary et al. [52] that protein and oil are chiefly found in endosperm and embryo or germ in maize kernel, respectively. In mature kernel, endosperm accounts for 80–85% of the total weight and contributes 80% to protein, while the embryo or germ accounts for 8–10% of the total weight and contributes 15–20% of protein and 95% of oil. Thus the negative correlation between protein and oil content might have originated from the relative weight distribution of endosperm and germ in mature maize kernel.

In the present study we found that variation in protein and oil content of maize grain was significant ($p \leq 0.01$) across the cultivars. The P 3396 recorded highest protein and lowest oil content while cultivar P 3522 recorded higher oil content and lowest protein. The cultivar Rajkumar ranked in between for both protein as well as oil content. There are many reports stating such varietal differences in maize with respect to protein and oil content [52, 53].

4.4 Impact of nutrient management on fatty acid composition

An increase in NPK doses from 50 to 150% RDF recorded significant ($p \leq 0.01$) decrease in saturated fatty acids and increase in mono- and poly-unsaturated fatty acid. Results also revealed that the omission of K was most detrimental in augmenting grain oil content and fatty acid composition. The present results support previous findings that showed positive role of K in augmenting grain oil content [54, 55]. In addition, Pettigrew [54] proved that K-omission reduces the amount of photosynthate available for sinks (grain), resulting in increased carbohydrate concentrations in source tissue (leaf), and thereby producing changes in the yield and quality of grain. Significantly higher amount of unsaturated fatty acid in sesame [56] and sunflower oil [57] has been recorded with K fertilization. On the contrary, K-omission had limited effects on fatty acid composition of black cumin [58]. Similarly, addition or omission of N also significantly affected the fatty acid contents. Content of saturated fatty acids in oils was found to be depressed by raising the N-rate [55]. In contrary, in a study conducted in South Eastern United States, both saturated and unsaturated fatty acid contents of mustard oil were observed to be increased with increasing rate of N application up to 150 kg ha$^{-1}$ [59]. Increase in linoleic acid content with P-omission, as observed in our study, was also reported earlier by Krueger et al. [13].

The present study observed significant ($p \leq 0.05$) year-effect on almost all measured fatty acids, except linoleic acid. Lambert [60] also identified two major factors namely cultivar and environment/year affecting maize oil content and fatty acid composition. Significant effect of environment (especially temperature) on maize oil fatty acid has also been illustrated by previous investigators [61, 62]. In the present study, content of different fatty acids differed significantly. Such results are in good agreement with that observed by Goffman and Bo’hme [63] and Khan et al. [64]. In maize oil, palmitic acid shared the major portion (about 12.2 to 13.9%) of saturated fatty acids, oleic acid was the only mono-unsaturated fatty acid (29.0 to 33.7%), and linoleic acid was the highest contributor (47.5 to 50.7%) to poly-unsaturated fatty acid. Based on the above quality attributes, our tested cultivars can be categorized in the group of quality protein maize, as suggested by Sanjeev et al. [4], although the protein, palmitic acid and stearic acid contents were a little lower and linoleic acid content was higher in our tested hybrids.
Effect of cultivar and NPK fertilization on the status of individual fatty acid may not lead to definite conclusion about the overall fatty acid composition in oil globule. Hence, we estimated various fatty acid ratios to draw logical conclusions. We observed that the year-effect was significant for different ratios. Significantly higher uptake of P and K in maize grain might be the key factor in determining significant variation in fatty acid ratios over the years. The higher ODR and LDR with concurrent lowering of MUFA: PUFA, saturated: unsaturated and linoleic: linolenic acid was observed in cultivar P 3396. Reverse trend was recorded in P 3522. The higher ODR advocates better and longer shelf-life of oil; however, reduced ODR inhibits the next desaturation steps, which finally leads to reduced linolenic acid contents [28]. Such results indicate better quality of oil, as we observed for extracted-oil from the tested cultivar P 3396. The average ODR and LDR were increased with increasing NPK levels up to 100 and 125% RDF, respectively. However, average MUFA: PUFA, saturated: unsaturated and linoleic: linolenic acid ratios were increased on receiving 75% RDF, and beyond that it showed decreasing trend. Omission of P recorded the highest ODR and LDR, and lowest MUFA: PUFA and linoleic: linolenic acid ratios. In case of K omission, the trend was just opposite to that of P omission. Dag et al. [65] also recorded significant reduction of MUFA: PUFA ratio with raising P and N-rates. The application of N promotes elongation of the carbon chain of linoleic acid and oleic acid, and encourages the ODR, LDR [10] and saturated: unsaturated fatty acid ratio [55].

In the present experiment, about 64% variation in LDR was explained by ODR values. Such results denote the efficiency of consecutive desaturation systems from oleic to linoleic and from linoleic to finally linolenic acid [66, 28]. On the other hand, significantly (p ≤ 0.01) negative correlation among oleic and linoleic and oleic and linolenic acid might be the consequence of the general tendency of oil to maintain higher ODR. Our findings have shown that increase in NPK rates from 50 to 150% RDF led to increasing linoleic acid content with concurrent lowering of linoleic: linolenic acid ratio. Unexpectedly higher linoleic: linolenic acid ratio was recorded in cultivar P 3396, and it should be modified through efficient breeding management.

![Fig 3. P/S index of corn oil (based on mean data) as influenced by different cultivar and fertilizer levels [Where V1, P 3522; V2, P 3396; V3, Rajkumar and F1, 50% RDF; F2, 75% RDF; F3, 100% RDF; F4, 125% RDF; F5, 150% RDF; F6, 100% PK; F7, 100% NK; F8, 100% NP; F9, control (zero-NPK)].](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216939.g003)
The P/S index is an important parameter for determination of nutritional value of edible oil. Irrespective of fertilizer doses, P/S index of all samples of corn oil showed values higher than one (1), hence considered to have better nutritional quality (Fig 3). Several studies indicate that higher value of P/S index means smaller deposition of lipids in the body [29, 67]. Fertilizer application had greater influence on cultivar P 3522 with respect to P/S index; however, at each NPK level there was hardly any difference in P/S index, except for few cases.

5 Conclusions
The present study reported the role of macronutrient management in explaining maize yield, nutrient uptake and oil quality. The cultivar P 3396 was superior over other tested cultivars on account of highest oil content and saturated fatty acid content and lowest unsaturated fatty acid contents. The effect of increasing NPK levels was well documented, as it increased saturated fatty acids and decreased unsaturated fatty acid contents in maize oil. However, 100% RDF (200 kg N, 60 kg P$_2$O$_5$ and 60 kg K$_2$O ha$^{-1}$) proved to be the best fertilizer practice for hybrid maize cultivation in this *inceptisol* of West Bengal, India.
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