Primordial power spectrum versus extension parameters beyond the standard model
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We reconstruct the shape of the primordial power spectrum of curvature perturbations in extended cosmological models, including addition of massive neutrinos, extra relativistic species or varying primordial helium abundance, from the latest cosmic microwave background data from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe, the Atacama Cosmology Telescope and the South Pole Telescope. We find that a scale-invariant primordial spectrum is disfavored by the data at 95% confidence level even in the presence of massive neutrinos, however it can lie within the 95% confidence region if the effective number of relativistic species or the primordial helium abundance is allowed to vary freely. The constraints on the extension parameters from WMAP7+ACT+H0+BAO, are the total mass of neutrinos $\sum m_\nu < 0.48$ eV (95% CL), the effective number of relativistic species $N_{\text{eff}} = 4.50 \pm 0.81$ and the primordial helium abundance $Y_p = 0.303 \pm 0.075$. The constraints from WMAP7+SPT+H0+BAO, are $\sum m_\nu < 0.45$ eV (95% CL), $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.86 \pm 0.63$ and $Y_p = 0.277 \pm 0.050$.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

Measurements of temperature anisotropy in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) provide us with a wealth of cosmological information. Since 2001 the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) satellite has measured temperature anisotropy of the CMB up to $l \sim 1200$ over the full sky [1, 2]. The ground-based telescopes such as the Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT) [3] and the South Pole Telescope (SPT) [4] have extended temperature measurements to smaller angular scales $l \sim 3000$. Measurements of the small-scale anisotropy of the CMB, in combination with WMAP’s measurements of the degree-scale anisotropy, provide a powerful probe of early-universe physics. Increasing the angular dynamic range of CMB measurements can improve the constraints on the shape of the primordial power spectrum of curvature perturbations [5–7]. Moreover, high-resolution measurements of the small-scale CMB can limit the total mass of neutrinos, the effective number of relativistic species and the primordial helium abundance [3, 4].

Slow-roll inflationary models generically produce a nearly scale-invariant primordial power spectrum of curvature perturbations. Hence, measuring deviations from scale invariance of the spectrum is a critical test of cosmological inflation. For a power-law parameterization of the spectrum motivated by inflationary models with featureless inflaton potentials, the exact scale-invariant spectrum is excluded at more than 99% confidence level by the 7-year WMAP data [2]. Other various parameterizations of the spectrum have been considered: for example, a broken spectrum [8] caused by an interruption of the inflaton potential [9], a cutoff at large scales [10, 11] motivated by suppression of the lower multipoles in the CMB anisotropies [12, 13], and more complicated shapes of the spectrum caused by features in the inflaton potential [14]. However, a strong theory prior on the form of the primordial power spectrum could lead to misinterpretation and biases in parameter determination. Recently some model-independent approaches have been proposed to reconstruct the shape of the primordial power spectrum, based on linear interpolation [15], cubic spline interpolation in log-log space [16, 17], a minimally-parametric reconstruction [16], wavelet expansions [17], principle component analysis [18], and a direct reconstruction via deconvolution methods [19–21]. In these works, the primordial power spectrum has been constrained within the context of the minimal $\Lambda$CDM model.

On the other hand, recent CMB measurements by the ACT and SPT experiments have revealed somewhat less fluctuation power at small angular scales than expected in the standard $\Lambda$CDM model. Except for with the help of the primordial power spectrum with a large negative running spectral index, such a small-scale suppression in the CMB angular power spectrum can also be explained by extra relativistic degree of freedom or additional primordial helium abundance [3, 4]. Moreover, the addition of massive neutrinos can affect the CMB anisotropies by changing...
the matter-to-radiation ratio around the photon decoupling epoch \([1]\).

In this work, we reconstruct the shape of the primordial power spectrum in the extended ΛCDM models, including addition of massive neutrinos, extra relativistic species or varying primordial helium abundance. In order to reduce the degeneracy between the primordial power spectrum and the extension parameters, we use the seven-year WMAP data in combination with small-scale CMB data from the ACT during its 2008 season and the SPT during 2008 and 2009, and adopt two main astrophysical priors on the Hubble constant \((H_0)\) measured from the magnitude-redshift relation of low-z Type Ia supernovae and on the distance ratios of the comoving sound horizon to the angular diameter distances from the Baryon Acoustic Oscillation (BAO) in the distribution of galaxies.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II we describe the reconstruction method of the primordial power spectrum and the extended models in which the power spectrum is reconstructed. In Section III we describe the data used in our analysis and then present our results. Section IV is devoted to our conclusions.

II. BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL

In standard ΛCDM model, the primordial power spectrum is commonly parameterized as a power law, there are three light neutrino species in the early Universe, and big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) took place with specific predictions for primordial element abundances. In this work, we consider simple extensions of the standard ΛCDM model including (i) general form of the primordial power spectrum, (ii) addition of massive neutrinos, (iii) extra relativistic species, (iv) varying primordial helium abundance. Each type of model is in detail described below.

**Primordial power spectrum:** The primordial power spectrum, \(P(k)\), is related to the angular power spectrum of the CMB by a radiative transfer function \(\Delta X^2(k)\) via

\[
C^X_Y = \int d\ln k P(k) \Delta X^X(k) \Delta Y^Y(k),
\]

where \(X, Y\) denote the various temperature and polarization modes. Given a specific ansatz for the primordial power spectrum motivated by theoretical models, one can fit it to the data. Alternatively, given our complete ignorance of the underlying physics of the very early Universe, one can reconstruct the shape of the primordial power spectrum from existing data. In this paper, we use the method proposed in \([6]\) to perform a reconstruction of the primordial power spectrum in the extended ΛCDM models. We divide the spectrum into three bins equally spaced in logarithmic wavenumber between 0.0002 Mpc\(^{-1}\) and 0.2 Mpc\(^{-1}\). We use a cubic spline interpolation to determine logarithmic value of the spectrum between knots. Outside of the wavenumber range we fix the slope of the spectrum at the boundaries since the CMB data place only weak constraints on them.

**Massive neutrinos:** If the neutrinos are non-relativistic at the present epoch and their mass eigenstates are degenerate, then the energy density parameter, \(\Omega_\nu\), can be written in terms of the total mass of neutrinos as

\[
\Omega_\nu h^2 \simeq \frac{\sum m_\nu}{94 \text{eV}},
\]

which as a dark matter component in the Universe cannot be negligible even for a neutrino mass as small as \(m_\nu = 0.07\) eV, as established by the solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations experiments \([22]\). Here, \(h\) is the dimensionless Hubble parameter such that \(H_0 = 100h\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\). However, at the photon decoupling epoch neutrinos were still relativistic as long as \(\sum m_\nu < 1.8\) eV, and thus the matter density at decoupling was actually smaller than a naive extrapolation from the present value. This leads to accelerating decay of gravitational potential around the decoupling epoch. Therefore, by changing the matter-to-radiation ratio the massive neutrinos modify the CMB power spectrum \([1]\). Detecting this effect on the CMB anisotropies allows us to establish the absolute neutrino mass scale \([22]\).

**Extra relativistic species:** In the standard model of particle physics there are three light neutrino species. The total energy density of relativistic neutrinos is related to the photon energy density, \(\rho_\gamma\), via

\[
\rho_\nu = \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} N_{\text{eff}} \rho_\gamma,
\]

where \(N_{\text{eff}}\) is the effective number of neutrino species that is used to parameterize the relativistic degree of freedom. Three light neutrino species correspond to \(N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046\), which slightly exceeds 3 because electron-positron annihilation at neutrino decoupling provides residual neutrino heating \([24]\).

The addition of extra relativistic species increases the expansion rate prior to and during the photon decoupling epoch and thereby increases the angular scales of photon diffusion, as explained in \([25]\). Thus, increasing \(N_{\text{eff}}\) reduces fluctuation power at small angular scales. The effect from extra relativistic species not only produces a nearly constant decrease in the angular power spectrum at \(l > 200\) but also alters its shape at \(l > 200\) \([22]\).
Primordial helium abundance: In the standard BBN model, the predicted value of the primordial helium abundance, $Y_p$, is generally related to the baryon density and the effective number of relativistic species. For the $\Lambda$CDM model, the uncertainty in the baryon density leads to the $\sim 0.02\%$ uncertainty in the helium abundance, as $N_{\text{eff}}$ is fixed to its standard value of 3.046. Therefore, for CMB analysis the primordial helium abundance is usually assumed to

\[
Y_p = 0.2412. \tag{3}
\]

Since the helium recombination happens much earlier than the hydrogen recombination, the number density of free electrons at the hydrogen recombination is given by $n_e = n_b(1 - Y_p)$ where $n_b$ is the baryon number density. A large $Y_p$ decreases the value of $n_e$ and thereby increases the mean free path of photon. This leads to lower fluctuation power in the damping tail of the CMB. We can extend the BBN prior by promoting $Y_p$ to a free parameter and then use the CMB data to probe the helium abundance.

To summarize, we consider the extended $\Lambda$CDM models described by the parameters

\[
\{\Omega_b h^2, \Omega_c h^2, \Theta_\text{s}, \tau, A_1, A_2, A_3, X\}, \tag{4}
\]

where $\Omega_b h^2$ and $\Omega_c h^2$ are the physical baryon and cold dark matter densities relative to the critical density, $\Theta_\text{s}$ is the ratio of the sound horizon to the angular diameter distance at decoupling, $\tau$ is the reionization optical depth, $A_i = \ln[10^{10}P(k_i)] (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the logarithmic values of the primordial power spectrum at knots $k_i$, and $X = \sum m_\nu$, $N_{\text{eff}}$ or $Y_p$ is the extension parameter.

### III. COSMOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS

In this section, we first describe the CMB data from the WMAP satellite, the ACT and SPT experiments, and astrophysical priors used in our analysis. We then combine these data sets to constrain the extended $\Lambda$CDM models and present our results.

Data: We use the 7-year WMAP (WMAP7) data including the high-$l$ TT power spectrum in $l \leq 1200$ and TE power spectrum in $l \leq 800$, and the low-$l$ temperature ($2 \leq l \leq 32$) and polarization ($2 \leq l \leq 23$) data. We consider the Sunyaev-Zel’dovich (SZ) effect, in which CMB photons scatter off hot electrons in clusters of galaxies. Given a SZ template the effect is described by a SZ template amplitude $A_{\text{SZ}}$ as in the WMAP papers 1, 2.

We use the 148 GHz ACT data during its 2008 season. The focus is on using the band powers in the multiple range $1000 < l < 3000$ to improve constraints on primary cosmological parameters. Following 3 for computational efficiency the CMB is set to zero above $l = 4000$ where the contribution is subdominant, less than 5% of the total power. To use the ACT likelihood described in 21, aside from $A_{\text{SZ}}$ there are two more secondary parameters, $A_p$ and $A_c$. The former is the total Poisson power from radio and infrared point sources. The latter is the template amplitude of the clustered power from infrared point sources. We impose positivity priors on the three secondary parameters, use the SZ template and the clustered source template provided by the ACT likelihood package, and marginalize over these secondary parameters to account for SZ and point source contamination.

We also use the 150 GHz SPT data during 2008 and 2009. We focus on the temperature power spectrum binned in 40 band powers in the multiple range $1000 < l < 3000$. Following 25, Gaussian priors on the three secondary parameters are adopted in our analysis. The priors on the Poisson power, cluster power and SZ power are $A_p = 19.3 \pm 3.5 \mu K^2$, $A_c = 5.0 \pm 2.5 \mu K^2$ and $A_{\text{SZ}} = 5.5 \pm 3.0 \mu K^2$, respectively. Moreover, we require these secondary parameters to be positive. As discussed in 21, constraints on cosmological parameters do not depend strongly on these priors. The foreground terms are used only when calculating the SPT likelihood; they are not used when calculating the WMAP likelihood.

To improve constraints on cosmological parameters we follow the methodology described in 1, 2 to consider the addition of distance measurements from astrophysical observations, on the angular diameter distances measured from BAO in the distribution of galaxies, and on the Hubble constant measured from the magnitude-redshift relation of low-$z$ Type Ia supernovae. The Gaussian priors on the distance ratios are $r_s/D_V(z = 0.2) = 0.1905 \pm 0.0061$ and $r_s/D_V(z = 0.35) = 0.1097 \pm 0.0036$, derived from the two-degree field galaxy redshift survey and the Sloan digital sky survey data 26. Here $r_s$ is the comoving sound horizon size at the baryon drag epoch and $D_V$ is the effective distance measure for angular diameter distance. The Gaussian prior on the Hubble constant is $H_0 = 74.2 \pm 3.6$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, derived from the magnitude-redshift relation of 240 low-$z$ Type Ia supernovae at $z < 0.1$ 28. The error includes both statistical and systematical errors.

Results: We use a modified version of the publicly available CosmoMC package to sample the parameter space by means of Monte Carlo Markov Chains technique 29. We also use the WMAP likelihood, the ACT likelihood and the SPT likelihood code for parameter estimation. Figure 1 shows the reconstructed primordial power spectrum of curvature perturbations in the standard $\Lambda$CDM model (top-left panel) and non-standard models with massive neutrinos (top-right panel), with varying relativistic species (bottom-left panel) and a varying primordial helium abundance (bottom-right panel), respectively, derived from WMAP7+$H_0$+BAO combined with the ACT small-scale...
FIG. 1: Reconstruction of the primordial power spectrum of curvature perturbations, $P(k)$, from WMAP7+ACT+$H_0$+BAO, assuming $\sum m_\nu = 0, N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046$ and $Y_P = 0.24$ (top-left panel); $\sum m_\nu = \text{free}, N_{\text{eff}} = 0$ and $Y_P = 0.24$ (top-right panel); $\sum m_\nu = 0, N_{\text{eff}} = \text{free}$ and $Y_P = 0.24$ (bottom-left panel); $\sum m_\nu = 0, N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046$ and $Y_P = \text{free}$ (bottom-right panel).

CMB data. We can see that in the standard model the scale-invariant spectrum is disfavored by the data at 95% confidence level. Including massive neutrinos enhances the primordial spectrum at low-$k$ but suppresses it at middle-$k$ due to an enhancement in the so-called early integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect. Hence, the spectrum becomes flat at large scales. However, there is no significant degeneracy between massive neutrinos and the primordial power spectrum at small scales. Therefore, the scale-invariant spectrum is marginally disfavored by the data in the presence of massive neutrinos. In the extended model with free number of relativistic species (or free abundance of primordial helium), the scale-invariant spectrum can lie well within the 95% confidence region because the considerable degeneracy between relativistic species (or helium abundance) and the spectrum results in large errors and an enhancement of the spectrum at high-$k$. Compared to varying relativistic species, varying helium abundance leads to larger uncertainties of the primordial spectrum at both low-$k$ and high-$k$. Varying helium abundance affects the primordial spectrum at small scales by modifying the recombination process. It indirectly affects the primordial spectrum at large scales due to the correlation between $A_1$ and $A_3$ as shown in Figure 2.

In Table 1, we list the estimated values of the primordial power spectrum as well as the extension parameters beyond the standard model. For a flat $\Lambda$CDM model with a power-law power spectrum, the WMAP7+$H_0$+BAO limit on the total mass of neutrinos is $\sum m_\nu < 0.58$ eV (95% CL) [2]. In our model we find that the WMAP7+ACT+$H_0$+BAO limit is $\sum m_\nu < 0.48$ eV (95% CL). The upper limit on the total mass of neutrinos is improved by adding the small-scale ACT data. With the WMAP7+ACT+$H_0$+BAO data combination, the effective number of neutrino species is estimated to be $N_{\text{eff}} = 4.50 \pm 0.81$ (68% CL), which indicates that a Universe with no relativistic neutrinos is excluded at 5.6$\sigma$. This estimated value is consistent with the effective number of relativistic species estimated in the power-law $\Lambda$CDM model from the WMAP7+$H_0$+BAO data, $N_{\text{eff}} = 4.34 \pm 0.88$ (68% CL) [2], and from the WMAP7+ACT+$H_0$+BAO data, $N_{\text{eff}} = 4.56 \pm 0.75$ (68% CL) [3]. Therefore, the constraints on the effective number of relativistic species do not depend strongly on additional degree of freedom of the power spectrum. For CMB analysis the primordial helium abundance is usually assumed to be...
A total mass of neutrinos to be derived from WMAP7+SPT+H0+BAO.
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FIG. 2: Two-dimensional joint marginalized constraints (68% and 95% C.L.) on the primordial power spectrum parameters, $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$, derived from WMAP7+ACT+H0+BAO.

| $\Lambda$CDM+$\mathcal{P}(k)$ | $\Lambda$CDM+$\mathcal{P}(k)$ | $\Lambda$CDM+$\mathcal{P}(k)$ | $\Lambda$CDM+$\mathcal{P}(k)$ |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $A_1$                       | $3.054 \pm 0.159$           | $3.114 \pm 0.165$           | $3.039 \pm 0.162$           | $3.114 \pm 0.172$          |
| $A_2$                       | $3.179 \pm 0.035$           | $3.164 \pm 0.036$           | $3.188 \pm 0.033$           | $3.173 \pm 0.034$          |
| $A_3$                       | $3.002 \pm 0.049$           | $3.004 \pm 0.049$           | $3.079 \pm 0.060$           | $3.049 \pm 0.073$          |
| $\sum m_\nu$               | $0$                         | $< 0.48 \text{ eV}$         | $0$                         | $0$                        |
| $N_{\text{eff}}$            | $3.046$                     | $0$                         | $4.50 \pm 0.81$             | $3.046$                    |
| $Y_p$                       | $0.24$                      | $0.24$                      | $0.24$                      | $0.303 \pm 0.075$          |

TABLE I: Mean values and marginalized 68% confidence level for the primordial power spectrum parameters and extension parameters, derived from WMAP7+ACT+H0+BAO. For the total mass of neutrinos, the 95% upper limit is given.

$Y_p = 0.24$. When it is allowed to vary, we find $Y_p = 0.303 \pm 0.075$ (68% CL) using the WMAP7+ACT+H0+BAO data. This mean value is lower than predicted in the power-law $\Lambda$CDM from the WMAP7+ACT data, $Y_p = 0.313 \pm 0.044$ (68% CL), because suppressed power spectrum of primordial curvature perturbations at small scales contributes to enhanced Silk damping of the CMB angular power spectrum. However, the degeneracy between the power spectrum and the helium abundance leads to larger uncertainties in $Y_p$ compared to the power-law spectrum.

| $\Lambda$CDM+$\mathcal{P}(k)$ | $\Lambda$CDM+$\mathcal{P}(k)$ | $\Lambda$CDM+$\mathcal{P}(k)$ | $\Lambda$CDM+$\mathcal{P}(k)$ |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $A_1$                       | $3.048 \pm 0.143$           | $3.074 \pm 0.147$           | $3.046 \pm 0.147$           | $3.117 \pm 0.171$          |
| $A_2$                       | $3.176 \pm 0.032$           | $3.168 \pm 0.033$           | $3.181 \pm 0.032$           | $3.170 \pm 0.034$          |
| $A_3$                       | $3.007 \pm 0.040$           | $3.004 \pm 0.040$           | $3.067 \pm 0.059$           | $3.043 \pm 0.063$          |
| $\sum m_\nu$               | $0$                         | $< 0.45 \text{ eV}$         | $0$                         | $0$                        |
| $N_{\text{eff}}$            | $3.046$                     | $0$                         | $3.86 \pm 0.63$             | $3.046$                    |
| $Y_p$                       | $0.24$                      | $0.24$                      | $0.24$                      | $0.277 \pm 0.050$          |

TABLE II: Mean values and marginalized 68% confidence level for the primordial power spectrum parameters and extension parameters, derived from WMAP7+SPT+H0+BAO. For the total mass of neutrinos, the 95% upper limit is given.

The constraints on the power spectrum and extension parameters from the combination data of WMAP7+SPT+H0+BAO are shown in Figure 3 and listed in Table III. Compared to the ACT data, the addition of the SPT data reduces uncertainties in the power spectrum at small scales. The scale-invariant spectrum is disfavored at $2\sigma$ even in the extended model with massive neutrinos. The conclusion that the scale-invariant spectrum is not excluded at $2\sigma$ confidence level if either the effective number of relativistic species or the helium abundance is allowed to vary, is confirmed by using the WMAP7+SPT+H0+BAO data. The combination data constrain the total mass of neutrinos to be $\sum m_\nu < 0.45 \text{ eV}$ (95% CL), which is almost consistent with the result listed in Table I. We find that the WMAP7+SPT+H0+BAO limit on the effective number of relativistic species is $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.86 \pm 0.63$ (68% CL). In the power-law model, the constraint improves to be $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.86 \pm 0.42$ (68% CL) [3]. Compared to the ACT data, the SPT data prefers to a small effective number of relativistic species. If the helium abundance is free
FIG. 3: Reconstruction of the primordial power spectrum of curvature perturbations, $P(k)$, from WMAP7+SPT+$H_0$+BAO, assuming $\sum m_\nu = 0$, $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046$ and $Y_p = 0.24$ (top-left panel); $\sum m_\nu = 0$, $N_{\text{eff}} = 0$ and $Y_p = 0.24$ (top-right panel); $\sum m_\nu = 0$, $N_{\text{eff}}$ is free and $Y_p = 0.24$ (bottom-left panel); $\sum m_\nu = 0$, $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046$ and $Y_p$ is free (bottom-right panel).

to vary, we find the constraint is $Y_p = 0.277 \pm 0.050$ (68% CL) from WMAP7+SPT+$H_0$+BAO. This mean value is lower than the result in the power-law model presented in [4], $Y_p = 0.300 \pm 0.030$ (68% CL). Moreover, compared to the ACT data, the SPT data prefers to a low helium abundance.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

It is known that addition of massive neutrinos can influence the CMB spectrum by changing the matter-to-radiation ratio around the photon decoupling epoch, that extra relativistic species can suppress the CMB damping tail by increasing the expansion rate prior to and during the decoupling epoch, and that excessive helium abundance can also suppress the CMB power at small angular scales by decreasing the number density of free electrons at the hydrogen recombination. Therefore, the extension parameters can mimic the shape of the primordial power spectrum and meanwhile the general form of the primordial power spectrum can affect the constraints on the extension parameters.

In such extended ΛCDM models, we have reconstructed the shape of the primordial power spectrum of curvature perturbations by using the cubic spline interpolation method in log-log space. To reduce the degeneracy between the primordial power spectrum and the extension parameters, we use the CMB data from WMAP7+ACT and WMAP7+SPT in combination with measurements of the Hubble constant and the BAO feature. Even if massive neutrinos are included in the early Universe, the scale-invariant spectrum is disfavored by the combined data at 95% confidence level. If the effective number of relativistic species (or the primordial helium abundance) is allowed to vary, then the scale-invariant spectrum can lie within the $2\sigma$ bound because of the considerable degeneracy between $A_3$ and $N_{\text{eff}}$ (or $Y_p$). Moreover, we found that the two data sets yield nearly the same limit on the total mass of neutrinos. Compared to the SPT data, the ACT data mildly prefers to a large effective number of relativistic species or a large primordial helium abundance.
The contribution from tensor modes was considered when testing for the shape of the primordial power spectrum in [1]. Under the assumption of a scale-invariant tensor spectrum, including tensor modes can suppress the spectrum at large scales. In this case the reconstructed spectrum deviates from the scale-invariant one at 95% confidence level.
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