Temporal evolution of the Evershed flow in sunspots*

II. Physical properties and nature of Evershed clouds
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Context. Evershed clouds (ECs) represent the most conspicuous variation of the Evershed flow in sunspot penumbrae.

Aims. We determine the physical properties of ECs from high spatial and temporal resolution spectropolarimetric measurements. This information is used to investigate the nature of the EC phenomenon.

Methods. The Stokes profiles of four visible and three infrared spectral lines are subject to inversions based on simple one-component models as well as more sophisticated realizations of penumbral flux tubes embedded in a static ambient field (uncombed models).

Results. According to the one-component inversions, the EC phenomenon can be understood as a perturbation of the magnetic and dynamic configuration of the penumbral filaments along which the ECs move. The uncombed inversions, on the other hand, suggest that ECs are the result of enhancements in the visibility of penumbral flux tubes. We conjecture that these enhancements are caused by a perturbation of the thermodynamic properties of the tubes, rather than by changes in the vector magnetic field. This mechanism is investigated performing numerical experiments of thick penumbral tubes in mechanical equilibrium with a background field.

Conclusions. While the one-component inversions confirm many of the properties indicated by a simple line parameter analysis (Paper I of this series), we give more credit to the results of the uncombed inversions because they take into account, at least in an approximate manner, the fine structure of the penumbra.
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1. Introduction

Evershed clouds (ECs) are patches of enhanced Doppler signal that move outwards in the penumbra of sunspots. Surprisingly, there exist very few measurements of their magnetic fields. Shine et al. (1994) followed the evolution of ECs using Dopplergrams and longitudinal magnetograms taken at the Swedish Vacuum Telescope on La Palma. They observed cases of ECs with weak magnetogram signals, but this result could not be interpreted unambiguously because longitudinal magnetograms do not distinguish between field strength and field inclination variations.

Cabrera Solana et al. (2007, hereafter Paper I) used simple parameters derived from visible and infrared lines to characterize the polarimetric properties of ECs. A more sophisticated analysis of the measurements was deemed necessary to study the physics behind the EC phenomenon.

Here we determine the physical properties of ECs by means of Stokes inversions. To this end, one-component atmospheres and uncombed models that take into account the fine structure of the penumbra are employed (Sect. 3). Sections 4 and 5 describe the magnetic, dynamic, and thermal parameters of the ECs and the penumbral filaments hosting them, as well as their variation across the penumbra. Based on these results, different scenarios for the EC phenomenon are examined in Sect. 6.

2. Observations

For a complete description of the data sets the reader is referred to Paper I. Time sequences of a small portion of the center-side penumbra of AR 10781 were taken with the two polarimeters and the adaptive optics system of the German Vacuum Tower Telescope on Tenerife. The polarimeters were operated simultaneously to record the Stokes spectra of four lines at 630 nm and three lines at 1565 nm. The cadence of the scans was 3.9 min on June 30, 2005 and 2 min on July 1, 2005, when the spot was located at heliocentric angles of 43° and 35°, respectively. Fifteen ECs moving from the mid to the outer penumbra were identified as structures of enhanced Doppler velocity during the 236 min covered by the scans. The spatial resolution of the infrared measurements (∼0.6′′) is one of the highest ever reached in ground-based spectropolarimetry.

3. Stokes inversions

3.1. One-component models

To invert the observed Stokes profiles we first use one-component models, i.e., we assume the whole resolution element to be occupied by a single, laterally homogeneous atmosphere. It is believed that penumbrae are formed by at least two magnetic components with different field inclinations (Title et al. 1993; Solanki & Montavon 1993; Martínez Pillet 2000; Bellot Rubio et al. 2004; Bello González et al. 2005; Langhans et al. 2005; Borrero et al. 2005; Schlichenmaier et al. 2007), so the physical
quantities retrieved from these inversions must be understood as an average of the magnetic atmospheres coexisting in the resolution element (Bellot Rubio 2003). The simplicity of the model may lead to erroneous conclusions, but it is instructive to perform such inversions to allow comparisons with earlier works.

The temperature stratification of the atmosphere is modified with 3 nodes\(^1\). As demonstrated in Paper I, visible and IR lines display different Doppler velocities. Hence, we allow for a gradient of LOS velocity (i.e., 2 nodes) to fit all the lines simultaneously. In contrast, the magnetic field is supposed to be constant along the atmosphere. The inversion also returns height-independent macro- and micro-turbulent velocities, modeling the presence of unresolved motions. As the amount of stray light contamination is expected to depend on wavelength, the inversion code determines this contribution separately for each spectral range, which adds two more free parameters. In this way, the Stokes profiles emerging from the pixel are computed as:

\[
I = (1 - \alpha)I_m + \alpha I_{\text{stray}},
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the stray light factor, \(I_{\text{stray}}\) the average quiet Sun intensity profile representing the stray light contribution, and \(I_m\) the Stokes vector emerging from the model atmosphere. Our one-component models and those of Borrero et al. (2004) are different, since we consider height-independent magnetic fields.

The inversions have been performed using the SIR code (Ruiz Cobo & del Toro Iniesta 1992) with 12 free parameters.

### 3.2. Uncombed models

The typical size of the fine structure of the penumbra is 150–250 km or even smaller. At the spatial resolution of our observations, atmospheres with very different properties are likely to be mixed in the resolution element. These atmospheres must be interlaced not only in the horizontal but also in the vertical direction to explain the non-zero Stokes \( V \) area asymmetries observed in visible and infrared lines. The uncombed model proposed by Solanki & Montavon (1993) incorporates the interlacing of field lines in a natural way. This model envisions the penumbra as a collection of horizontal flux tubes embedded in a more vertical background field, which agrees with the results of spectropolarimetric analyses (e.g., del Toro Iniesta et al. 2001; Schlichenmaier & Collados 2002; Bellot Rubio et al. 2004; Borrero et al. 2004, 2005, 2006; Beck 2006).

To account for the uncombed structure of the penumbra, at least to first order, the horizontal and vertical interlacing of the flux tubes and the background must be considered. To this end, we use a two-component model in which part of the pixel is filled by a background atmosphere and the rest is occupied by the background and tube atmospheres interlaced in the vertical direction (cf. Fig. 1). The emergent Stokes profiles are then the combination of the background, \( I_b \), and tube, \( I_t \), profiles:

\[
I = (1 - \alpha)[f I_b + (1 - f)I_t] + \alpha I_{\text{stray}}.
\]

The filling factor \(f\) represents the fractional area of the pixel occupied by the flux tube.

In practice, the tube atmosphere is constructed adding Gaussian perturbations to the stratifications of the background component (see Fig. 2). The half-width (\( R_t \)) and position (\( C_t \)) of the Gaussians are the same for all the atmospheric parameters. In contrast, the amplitudes of the Gaussians depend on the parameter. For obvious reasons, we identify \( R_t \) and \( C_t \) with the radius and central position of the tubes. Except for the temperature, the properties of the background are assumed to be constant with optical depth. The results of del Toro Iniesta et al. (2001), Bellot Rubio et al. (2004), and Borrero et al. (2004) demonstrate that the background atmosphere harbors much smaller velocities than the tube component, so we take the background to be at rest.

The uncombed inversions have been performed with the SIRGAUS code (Bellot Rubio 2003). This code does not account for different stray light contaminations in the different spectral ranges, but systematic errors in the determination of the stray light should produce little changes in the magnetic field properties. The number of free parameters is 17 (see Table 1, where \(-1\) means that the two atmospheric components have the same value of the corresponding parameter).

---

\(^1\) Nodes are grid points of the model atmosphere where perturbations are sought. New model atmospheres are constructed by interpolating the perturbations at the nodes to all grid points.
Fig. 3. Example of Stokes profiles observed at $r = 0.3$ (dots) and best-fit profiles resulting from the one-component inversions (solid lines). The O$_2$ teluric blends present in the visible spectra were not inverted, but they appear in the best-fit profiles due to stray light contamination. The vertical solid lines indicate the rest wavelengths of the lines. The Stokes $V$ signal around Ti$_i$ 630.38 nm has been multiplied by six for better visibility (to the right of the vertical dotted line).

Table 1. Free parameters of the uncombed inversion.

| Parameter          | Background Tube |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| Temperature ($T$)  | 2               |
| LOS velocity ($v_{LOS}$) | 0               |
| Field strength ($B$) | 1               |
| Field inclination ($\gamma$) | 1               |
| Field azimuth ($\phi$) | 1               |
| Microturbulence ($v_{mic}$) | 1               |
| Macro turbulence ($\alpha$) | 1               |
| Stray light factor ($\alpha$) | 1               |
| Filling factor ($f$) | 1               |
| Gaussian position ($C_t$) | 1               |
| Gaussian width ($R_t$) | 1               |

3.3. Quality of the fits

As an example, Fig. 3 shows the best-fit profiles resulting from the one-component inversion of a pixel located at a normalized radial distance $r = 0.3$ ($r$ is defined such that 0 represents the inner penumbral boundary and 1 the edge of the spot). The observed profiles are rather asymmetric. In particular, Stokes $U$ exhibits anomalous shapes. Taking into account the simplicity of the model, the match between observed and synthetic profiles is reasonably good in both spectral ranges. This suggests that one-component models are appropriate to determine the "average" properties of the atmosphere.

Figure 4 displays the same observed spectra and the best-fit profiles resulting from the uncombed inversion. The Stokes $V$ area asymmetries and the shapes of Stokes $Q$ and $U$ are now reproduced in much greater detail. The use of the uncombed model considerably improves the quality of the fits as a result of its more realistic assumptions.

4. One-component view of the EC phenomenon

4.1. Physical properties of ECs

Figures 5 and A.1 show the atmospheric parameters inferred from the inversion of the June 30 data set. The different panels display the magnetic field strength, magnetic field inclination in the local reference frame (LRF), the temperature at log $\tau = 0$, the LOS velocity $v_{LOS}$ at log $\tau = -0.5$, and the difference between the unsigned LOS velocity in two different layers, $\Delta v_{LOS} = |v_{LOS}(\log \tau = -0.5)| - |v_{LOS}(\log \tau = 0)|$. This last quantity is proportional to the gradient of velocity with optical depth.

The vector magnetic field follows the typical behavior observed in sunspots: it is weaker and more horizontal as the radial distance increases. It does not drop to zero outside the visible border of the spot, which is the signature of the sunspot magnetic canopy (Solanki et al. 1994; Westendorp Plaza et al. 2001; Rezaei et al. 2006). The ECs are visible as coherent structures of increased LOS velocity and field inclination. The presence of many moving magnetic features in the surroundings of the spot is obvious (see the arrows plotted in the first panel of Fig. A.1). Their origin and nature will be discussed elsewhere (Cabrera Solana et al. 2008).

For each EC, we calculate the maximum field strength, field inclination in the LRF, and flow velocity at log $\tau = -0.5$ following the procedure described in Sect. 6 of Paper I. Assuming that the velocity and magnetic field vectors are parallel, the modulus of the velocity vector (the flow velocity) is simply $|v| = v_{LOS}/\cos \gamma_{LOS}$. We also determine the maximum difference between the flow velocities at log $\tau = -0.5$ and log $\tau = 0$ ($\Delta|v|$). Table 2 gives the resulting parameters and their rms fluctuations within each EC.
The maximum field strengths attained by the ECs range from 930 G to 1070 G with an average of 1000 G on June 30, and from 1300 G to 1500 G with an average of 1410 G on July 1. In Paper I we discussed the compatibility of the observed linear-to-circular polarization ratios and Doppler velocities with a scenario where the magnetic and velocity fields of the ECs are nearly horizontal. Table 2 confirms the existence of very inclined magnetic fields in the ECs: the average field inclination is deduced to be of the order of 80° for the June 30 observations and 70° for the July 1 data set.

Both $|\psi|$ and $\Delta|\psi|$ display higher values on June 30, i.e. when the spot is farther from disk center. The values of $|\psi|$ are around 4.5 km s$^{-1}$ and 2.6 km s$^{-1}$ on June 30 and July 1, while $\Delta|\psi|$ is about $-0.8$ km s$^{-1}$ and $-0.2$ km s$^{-1}$ on June 30 and July 1, respectively. These changes cannot be explained by projection effects. Thus, the properties of the spot seem to have evolved between the two observations.

### 4.2. Radial variation of the physical properties of ECs

The variation with radial distance of the atmospheric parameters derived from the one-component inversion is studied in this section. We calculate the radial curves of Fig. 6 as described in Paper I. The comparison of the physical properties of the ECs and the penumbral filaments (intra-spines) hosting them shows that:

- on average, the magnetic field of the ECs is weaker than that of the intra-spines from the inner to the mid penumbra, but stronger in the outer penumbra;
- ECs harbor more inclined fields than the intra-spines at all radial distances;
- 

### Table 2. Physical properties of the observed ECs.

| EC | $B$ [G] | $\gamma$ [deg] | $|\psi|$ [km s$^{-1}$] | $\Delta|\psi|$ [km s$^{-1}$] |
|----|--------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| A  | 1010 ± 20 | 81 ± 3 | 4.8 ± 0.4 | -1.8 ± 0.6 |
| B  | 1010 ± 20 | 83 ± 2 | 5.0 ± 0.5 | -0.6 ± 0.4 |
| C  | 1050 ± 60 | 80 ± 3 | 4.5 ± 0.3 | -0.8 ± 0.6 |
| D  | 1010 ± 50 | 82 ± 5 | 4.5 ± 0.8 | -0.6 ± 0.6 |
| E  | 980 ± 30  | 81 ± 3 | 4.2 ± 0.5 | -1.1 ± 0.8 |
| F  | 1070 ± 60 | 88 ± 5 | 4.8 ± 0.8 | -0.4 ± 0.4 |
| G  | 1010 ± 50 | 84 ± 2 | 4.2 ± 0.4 | -0.6 ± 0.2 |
| H  | 950 ± 40  | 83 ± 1 | 4.7 ± 0.3 | -0.3 ± 0.2 |
| I  | 1000 ± 50 | 78 ± 4 | 4.1 ± 0.3 | -1.0 ± 0.3 |
| J  | 930 ± 40  | 83 ± 1 | 4.7 ± 0.3 | -0.9 ± 0.3 |
| K  | 1030 ± 40 | 79 ± 2 | 4.3 ± 0.3 | -1.3 ± 0.1 |
| Mean | 1000 | 80 | 4.5 | -0.8 |
| L  | 1470 ± 20 | 60 ± 3 | 1.4 ± 0.5 | -0.2 ± 0.1 |
| M  | 1440 ± 70 | 73 ± 3 | 2.5 ± 0.5 | -0.2 ± 0.1 |
| N  | 1430 ± 110| 77 ± 2 | 3.1 ± 0.4 | -0.3 ± 0.1 |
| O  | 1290 ± 30 | 75 ± 4 | 3.2 ± 0.2 | -0.2 ± 0.1 |
| Mean | 1410 | 70 | 2.6 | -0.2 |
Fig. 6. Radial variation of the magnetic field strength, inclination in the LRF, azimuth, flow velocity, $\Delta|v|$, and temperature for the ECs (solid lines) and the intra-spines along which they move (dashed lines), as inferred from the one-component inversions. Shaded areas indicate the rms fluctuations of the parameters. $r = 0$ represents the inner penumbral boundary and $r = 1$ the outer edge of the penumbra.

- the magnetic field azimuths are very similar in the ECs and the intra-spines at all radial distances, except perhaps in the outer penumbra;
- in the ECs, the flow velocity is greater than in the intra-spines at all radial distances;
- ECs display stronger gradients of the flow velocity with optical depth than the intra-spines;
- ECs are slightly hotter than the intra-spines in the inner penumbra, but cooler from the mid to the outer penumbra.

Therefore, in terms of one-component models, the magnetic, dynamic and thermal properties of the ECs are rather different from those of the intra-spines.

4.3. ECs as perturbations propagating along intra-spines

In Paper I we found that ECs exhibit larger linear polarization signals than the filaments hosting them. This led us to suggest that they possess magnetic fields more inclined to the LOS. If the magnetic field and the velocity vectors are parallel, any increase in the LOS inclination would result in smaller LOS velocities. One should then conclude that the large Doppler signals associated with the ECs can only be produced by an increase in the modulus of the velocity vector, i.e., by stronger Evershed flows.

The maps of field inclinations and velocities displayed in Fig. 7 for EC A demonstrate that this interpretation is correct. The strong linear polarization signals of the EC are indeed produced by larger field inclinations to the LOS (upper left panel), which come from more horizontal fields (lower left panel). The higher LOS velocities detected in the EC are the observed signatures of stronger Evershed flows (lower right panel).

During their journey to the outer penumbral boundary, the ECsmodify the magnetic field of the intra-spines along which they move, making it more horizontal locally. After the passage of the ECs, the intra-spines recover their original field inclinations. Figure 8 illustrates such a behavior for EC A (the arrows indicate the orientation of the vector magnetic field).

In view of these results, one could define the ECs as quasi-periodic perturbations of the magnetic and velocity fields of the penumbral filaments (intra-spines) along which they move. The main properties of these perturbations are the following: (a) they produce enhancements of $\gamma$ and $|v|$; (b) the amplitude of the perturbation increases as it reaches larger radial distances; and (c) when the EC starts to vanish, the amplitude of the perturbation is reduced. Except for (c), the behavior described in this section is also observed in other type I ECs (see Paper I for a definition).

5. Uncombed view of the EC phenomenon

The spine/intra-spine organization of the penumbra can be interpreted as the azimuthal variation of the filling factors of two magnetic components whose properties remain relatively constant at a given radial distance (Bellot Rubio et al. 2004). Thus, the question arises as to whether the more inclined fields and larger flow velocities of the ECs indicated by the one-component inversions are real or artifacts of a simplistic modeling.

Figures 9 and A.2 show maps of the tube parameters derived from the uncombed inversions, for the June 30 data set. They already make it clear that the properties of the tubes in the ECs and the intra-spines are similar, except for the filling factor. In other words, when the fine structure of the penumbra is considered, the ECs and the intra-spines do not seem to have different physical parameters. This is in contrast with the results of one-component inversions (Figs. 5 and A.1).

5.1. Properties of the tube and background atmospheres inside the ECs

Figure 10 displays the radial variation of the field strength, LRF inclination, azimuth, flow velocity, temperature at log $\tau = 0$, and filling factor of the tube and background atmospheres in the ECs.
...monotonically with radial distance within the tubes, varying by some 0.7 km s\(^{-1}\) from the inner to the outer penumbra. The tube temperatures at \(\log \tau = 0\) are smaller than those of the background at all radial distances. Consistent with previous investigations (Westendorp Plaza et al. 1997, 2001; Schlichenmaier & Schmidt 2000; Mathew et al. 2003; Bellot Rubio et al. 2004; Borrero et al. 2004, 2005, 2006), field lines diving back to the solar interior (\(\gamma_i > 90^\circ\)) are found in the outer penumbra at the position of the ECs. The tube and background fields show differences in azimuth, but usually they are smaller than 20\(^\circ\).

Figure 10 demonstrates that the flow velocity increases monotonically with radial distance within the tubes, varying by some 0.7 km s\(^{-1}\) from the inner to the outer penumbra. The tube temperatures at \(\log \tau = 0\) are smaller than those of the background at all radial distances. The same behavior has been found by Borrero et al. (2005, 2006), but only in the outer penumbra. The tube filling factor increases monotonically with radial distance, in agreement with Borrero et al. (2005).

5.2. Position and width of the tubes

In Fig. 11 we examine the center position, radius, and boundaries of the tubes inferred from the inversion. At all radial distances, the tube axes are located above \(\log \tau \sim 0\), with similar values in the ECs and the intra-spines. Within the scatter we do not detect differences between the ECs and the intra-spines in terms of width or position of the tube’s upper boundary. The lower boundary is always below the line-forming region, so the tubes are necessarily optically thick and/or low-lying (i.e., the spectral lines sample only their upper halves). A similar conclusion has been reached by Borrero et al. (2006).

5.3. ECs as structures of increased filling factor and flow velocity

Figure 12 shows maps of the filling factor of the tube \((f)\) as derived from the uncombed inversions (only the case of EC A is considered; the other ECs behave in a similar way). The maps clearly demonstrate that *ECs are regions of increased filling factors*. The same conclusion can be drawn from the left panel of Fig. 13, where we plot the radial variation of \(f\) for EC A and the rest of the intra-spine. The flow velocity is also higher in the EC (right panel of Fig. 13).

The mean differences between the filling factors (\(\Delta f\)) and flow velocities (\(\Delta |v|\)) of the ECs and the intra-spines are presented in Fig. 14 as a function of radial distance. \(\Delta f\) is of the order of 10\%, while \(\Delta |v|\) reaches 0.7 km s\(^{-1}\). Similar plots for the field strength, inclination, azimuth, and temperature differences are also given in Fig. 14. The values of \(\gamma_i\) and \(\phi_i\) turn out to be remarkably similar in the ECs and the intra-spines. The same happens with \(B_i\) and \(T_i\). This implies that the uncombed inversion no longer interprets the ECs as perturbations of the magnetic configuration of the intra-spines. Figure 15 shows the corresponding quantities for the background atmosphere: while
Fig. 9. *Top to bottom:* magnetic field strength, field inclination, temperature at log $\tau = 0$, LOS velocity, and filling factor of the tube atmosphere retrieved from the uncombed inversion. The parameters of the tubes are constructed taking the values at the tube center. White contours outline the ECs and the inner and outer penumbral boundaries. The letters at the bottom of the last panel label each EC.

Fig. 10. Radial variation of the magnetic field strength, field inclination, field azimuth, flow velocity, temperature at log $\tau = 0$, and filling factor of the tube (solid) and background (dashed) components within the ECs, as derived from the uncombed inversions. Shaded areas represent the rms fluctuations of the atmospheric quantities at a given distance.

Fig. 11. Center position, radius, and boundaries of the flux tubes in the ECs (solid lines) and the intra-spines hosting them (dashed lines). The quantities are given in units of the logarithm of the optical depth. The shaded areas represent the standard deviations of the parameters.

Fig. 12. Maps of the filling factor of the tube ($f$) derived from the uncombed inversions. White contours mark the intra-spine and the boundaries of the penumbra. EC A is outlined with blue contours. Black contours delimit pixels having $f$ larger than 77%. Asterisks and numbers indicate the position of a pixel before and during the passage of the EC.

The passage of the ECs is not associated with changes of $\gamma_b$ or $\psi_b$, we observe small decreases of $B_b$ and slight increases of $T_b$ in the inner penumbra.
The large linear-to-circular polarization ratios of the ECs (Paper I) are responsible for the enhanced filling factors returned by the inversion code. To understand why, Fig. 16 compares the Stokes profiles observed in the same pixel before and during the passage of EC A (cf. the numbers marked in Fig. 12). The figure also displays the contribution of the background and tube atmospheres to the profiles. Note that (a) the passage of the EC increases the linear-to-circular polarization ratios mainly through an enhancement of the linear polarization signal, and (b) the linear polarization profiles arise almost exclusively from the tube component. This combination of factors allows the code to explain the new spectra just by increasing the contribution of the tube atmosphere, i.e., by increasing $f$.

5.4. Physical origin of the enhanced filling factors

The large filling factors associated with the ECs may result from (a) an increase in the number of penumbral tubes, and/or (b) a greater fraction of the tube’s cross section in the line-forming region.

Option (a) seems unlikely because it would require the participation of new tubes, all of them migrating outward along intra-spines in an organized way as the ECs. Option (b) would require flux tubes with larger radii or placed higher in the photosphere. Both possibilities are ruled out by Fig. 11.

Therefore, we consider that perturbations of the thermodynamic properties of the tubes are more feasible as the source of the filling factor enhancements associated with the ECs and, consequently, as the origin of the EC phenomenon. Since no significant temperature variations occur, we suggest that the EC phenomenon is produced by variations of density/pressure...
inside penumbral flux tubes. This mechanism will be examined in Sect. 6.2.

6. Discussion

6.1. One-component interpretation

In Paper I we found that ECs are penumbral structures characterized by larger Doppler shifts, stronger linear polarization signals, and larger Stokes V area asymmetries than both spines and intra-spines. We suggested that these were observational signatures of stronger Evershed flows and more horizontal magnetic fields in the ECs as compared with the rest of the penumbra. Our one-component inversions seem to confirm this picture.

Two different mechanisms have been proposed to explain the origin of ECs: magnetoacoustic waves superimposed on a steady or quasi-steady flow (Georgakilas & Christopoulou 2003), and Ω or U kinks propagating along field lines (Ryutova et al. 1998; Rouppe van der Voort 2003). Another possibility is that ECs are the signatures of sea-serpent field lines associated with moving penumbral tubes (Schlichenmaier 2002). None of the three mechanisms appears to be compatible with the magnetic field geometry deduced from the inversions. The reason is that they should produce both an increase and a decrease of the field inclination in the ECs, but the latter is not detected.

We cannot exclude that higher resolution observations may solve the problem in the future. With the present data, however, the conclusion is that the origin of the magnetic field perturbations indicated by the one-component inversions remains unknown.

6.2. Uncombed interpretation

We have carried out simple numerical experiments to examine whether or not larger linear-to-circular polarization ratios and, consequently, an enhancement of $f$, may result from density and pressure variations inside penumbral flux tubes. To that end we use the model of flux tubes in mechanical equilibrium proposed by Borrero (2007). In this model the tubes are not thin, i.e., the physical properties change over their cross sections.

We assume two different equilibrium configurations to model the conditions of the tube and background atmospheres in intra-spines before and during the passage of an EC. In order to emulate the conditions before the EC passage we consider a horizontal ($\gamma_i = 90^\circ$) tube with a field strength of 1000 G and an Evershed flow of $|v_t| = 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The tube is located at the heliocentric angle of the observations ($43^\circ$ on 30 June) and its axis points to disk center. It is surrounded by a background field with $\gamma_b = 60^\circ$ and $B_b = 450 \text{ G}$. The upper panels of Fig. 17 show the temperatures, gas pressures, and densities derived from the model. The $x$-axis is perpendicular to the tube axis and the $z$-axis represents the line of sight.

The only property that seems to change during the passage of an EC is the strength of the background field. Thus, we model the new situation using the same parameters except for a weaker field of $B_b = 350 \text{ G}$ in the background atmosphere. In response to the weakening of the background field, the gas pressure and density decrease in the tube to maintain lateral pressure balance (bottom panels of Fig. 17).

The pressure and density variations associated with the EC move the optical depth scale toward deeper layers, which increases the fraction of the tube inside the line formation region (compare the solid and dashed lines in the bottom panels of Fig. 17). The variation of the optical depth scale is negligible in the upper half of the tube, becoming significant only in the lower half. This may explain why the position of the tube’s upper boundary is not seen to change with the EC passage.

The downward shift of the optical depth scale increases the observed $L/V$ ratios. This is demonstrated in Fig. 18, where we show the total linear and circular polarization profiles of Fe I 1565 nm before and during the EC passage. The contributions of the tube and background atmospheres to the emergent profiles are indicated with blue and green lines, respectively.
When the EC moves along the intra-spine, the linear-to-circular polarization ratio grows from \( L/V = 0.22 \) to \( L/V = 0.27 \). Since the amount of circular polarization remains roughly the same, the increase in \( L/V \) is due to an increase in the linear polarization, much in the same way as observed (Fig. 16). The larger \( L/V \) ratio is mainly due to a larger flux tube contribution. Since the magnetic properties of the tube are the same before and during the EC passage, the only possible cause is a change in the thermodynamic properties of the tube: a decrease in the gas pressure and density\( ^3 \). The larger flux tube contribution is interpreted by the uncombed inversions as enhancements of the filling factor.

Our experiments support the idea that the \( L/V \) increase observed during the passage of ECs is the result of lower gas pressures and densities in the penumbral tubes that carry the Evershed flow. With the model of Borrero (2007), these conditions are forced imposing a weaker external magnetic field. Such a field is consistent with the observations (Fig. 15), but its origin remains unclear.

7. Summary

In this paper we have interpreted the Stokes profiles emerging from Evershed clouds (ECs) using simple one-component and more sophisticated uncombed inversions of visible and infrared lines. The inversions have allowed us to determine the physical properties of ECs, the ultimate goal being to understand the nature of the EC phenomenon.

The one-component inversions confirm many of the properties indicated by the line parameter study of Paper I. According to them, ECs are structures having more inclined fields and stronger Evershed flows than the rest of the penumbra. ECs move along intra-spines toward the outer boundary of the spot. In their journey, they change the magnetic configuration of the intra-spines, which only recover their initial state after the passage of the ECs. This suggests that ECs represent perturbations of the magnetic field of the intra-spines. However, none of the scenarios proposed for the EC phenomenon is fully compatible with the field geometry derived from the inversion: magnetoacoustic waves and magnetic kinks should also produce more vertical fields in the intra-spines, but we do not detect them.

When the fine structure of the penumbra is accounted for, the EC phenomenon is no longer interpreted as a real perturbation of the magnetic field of the intra-spines. The uncombed inversions suggest that the properties of the flux tubes are nearly the same in the ECs and the intra-spines hosting them. The background atmospheres are also similar, except for a small decrease in the field strength and slightly higher temperatures. The only significant difference in the intra-spines during the passage of an EC is an enhancement of the filling factor of the tube component, i.e., a larger visibility of the flux tubes that carry the Evershed flow. The inversions indicate that the enhanced visibility is not due to changes in the position or radius of the tubes. We therefore propose that the EC phenomenon is the result of gas pressure and density variations in the flux tubes. Such variations would propagate toward the outer sunspot boundary, producing the motion of the ECs. Our interpretation is summarized in Fig. 19. Type I ECs vanish at the edge of the penumbra because the tubes return to the solar interior and the density/pressure perturbations go out of the line-forming region. Type II ECs disappear in the sunspot moat (cf. Paper I), so the flux tubes associated with them have to continue well beyond the outer penumbral border (see Cabrera Solana et al. 2008).

We have performed simple numerical experiments to examine the feasibility of this scenario. We find that a decrease of the gas pressure in the upper half of the tube implies a reduction of the density, which shifts the optical depth scale toward deeper atmospheric layers. The shift does not change the optical depth of the tube’s upper boundary, but makes the tube occupy a larger fraction of the line-forming region. The result is an increase in the linear-to-circular polarization ratios associated with the ECs, which are interpreted by the inversion code as...
larger filling factors. This mechanism holds promise to explain the EC phenomenon. However, further numerical and theoretical work is needed to understand the origin of the pressure/density perturbations. An interesting possibility is that they are the signatures of shocks inside penumbral flux tubes, like the ones detected by Borrero et al. (2005).
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Online Material
Appendix A: Time series of physical maps

Fig. A.1. Same as Fig. 5 from $t = 78.4$ to $t = 156.6$ min.
Fig. A.2. Same as Fig. 5 from \( t = 78.4 \) to \( t = 156.6 \) min.