Bacterial type B RNase P: functional characterization of the L5.1-L15.1 tertiary contact and antisense inhibition
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ABSTRACT

Ribonuclease P is the ubiquitous endonuclease that generates the mature 5′-ends of precursor tRNAs. In bacteria, the enzyme is composed of a catalytic RNA (~400 nucleotides) and a small essential protein subunit (~13 kDa). Most bacterial RNase P RNAs (P RNAs) belong to the architectural type A; type B RNase P RNA is confined to the low-G+C Gram-positive bacteria. Here we demonstrate that the L5.1-L15.1 intradomain contact in the catalytic domain of the prototypic type B RNase P RNA of Bacillus subtilis is crucial for adopting a compact functional conformation: Disruption of the L5.1-L15.1 contact by antisense oligonucleotides or mutation reduced P RNA-alone and holoenzyme activity by one to two orders of magnitude in vitro, largely retarded gel mobility of the RNA and further affected the structure of regions P7/P8/P10.1, P15 and L15.2, and abolished the ability of B. subtilis P RNA to complement a P RNA-deficient Escherichia coli strain. We also provide mutational evidence that an L9-P1 tertiary contact, as found in some Mycoplasma type B RNAs, is not formed in canonical type B RNAs as represented by B. subtilis P RNA. We finally explored the P5.1 and P15 stem–loop structures as targets for LNA-modified antisense oligonucleotides. Oligonucleotides targeting P15, but not those directed against P5.1, were found to efficiently anneal to P RNA and to inhibit activity (IC50 of ~2 nM) when incubated with preassembled B. subtilis RNase P holoenzymes.
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INTRODUCTION

RNase P endonucleolytically removes 5′-leader sequences from precursor tRNAs in all domains of life to generate functional tRNAs (Frank and Pace 1998; Lai et al. 2010; Lechner et al. 2015). In bacteria, the RNase P holoenzyme consists of an RNA subunit of up to 400 nucleotides (nt) in length and a small basic protein of ~13 kDa (Kazantsev and Pace 2006). The bacterial RNA subunit displays robust RNA-alone catalytic activity in vitro, but the protein component is indispensable for enzyme function in vivo (Schedl et al. 1974; Kirsebom et al. 1988; Gösringer et al. 2006; for review, see Gösringer and Hartmann 2007). Archaeal and many eukaryotic nuclear RNase P enzymes are ribonucleoprotein (RNP) enzymes as well, but their RNA subunits have lost robust RNA-alone activity (Pannucci et al. 1999; Kikovska et al. 2007; Li et al. 2009, 2011); they depend on more protein subunits (at least four in Archaea, nine to 10 in Eukarya), and none of the archaeal/eukaryal proteins is related to the bacterial RNase P protein (for review, see Hartmann et al. 2009; Ellis and Brown 2010; Jarrous and Gopalan 2010; Lai et al. 2010; Walker et al. 2010; Lechner et al. 2015). Finally, the mammalian mitochondrial RNase P lacks any RNA subunit (Holzmann et al. 2008).

Numerous studies in the bacterial RNase P field contributed novel insights into our general understanding of RNA architecture and structure formation. Milestones included, to name but a few, the finding that RNase P RNAs (P RNAs) consist of two independently folding domains, the specificity (S-) domain and the catalytic (C-) domain (Loria and Pan 1996), the bioinformatic derivation of P RNA 3D architecture (Massire et al. 1998) and the crystal structures of P RNA domains (Krasilnikov et al. 2003, 2004), full-length P RNAs (Kazantsev et al. 2005; Torres-Larios et al. 2005) and a type A RNase P holoenzyme–tRNA complex (Reiter et al. 2010). There are two architectural types of bacterial P RNA, type A and B (Haas et al. 1996), which differ in peripheral structural elements but have very similar S- and C-domain core structures. However, based on 3D structural analyses, type A RNAs adopt more concave folds (owing to stabilizing interdomain contacts, see below) capable of forming the S-domain–T-loop interaction, while the rather flat type B RNA structures...
need to undergo a conformational change to form the S-domain–T-loop contact (Kazantsev et al. 2011).

In P RNAs of type A, three interdomain loop–helix contacts are known to orient C- and S-domains toward each other: L18–P8, L8–P4, and P1–L9 (Brown et al. 1996; Massire et al. 1997, 1998). While the L8–P4 contact is universally conserved among bacterial P RNAs, the L18–P8 interaction is present in most, but not all type A RNAs, and the P1–L9 contact is mainly implemented in thermostable P RNAs of type A (Marszalkowski et al. 2008). Interestingly, two P RNAs from Aquifæcales with growth optima of ~70°C that lack P18 form instead a stable L9–P1 contact consisting of a 5′-GYAA L9 tetraloop docking onto tandem G-C base pairs in P1 (Marszalkowski et al. 2006). The same type of L9–P1 contact likely compensates the lack of P18, and thus absence of the L18–P8 contact in P RNAs from Chlorobium species (Haas et al. 1994). Thus, it seems that usually two interdomain contacts are implemented in bacterial type A RNAs and sometimes three in thermostable P RNAs, such as the one from Thermus thermophilus (Marszalkowski et al. 2008).

In P RNAs of type B, C- and S-domain primarily interact via the conserved L8–P4 contact (Massire et al. 1998; Kazantsev et al. 2005). Regarding P1–L9, it is yet unclear if such contact forms in type B RNA, as the crystal structure of the related Geobacillus stearotathermophilus P RNA lacked electron density in the P9 region (Kazantsev et al. 2005). At least a subset of type B RNase P RNAs from Mycoplasma species form a pseudoknot (P21) between an enlarged L9 loop and a single-stranded 3′-extension of P1 (Massire et al. 1997). Within P RNA C-domains, hallmarks are the L5.1–L15.1 contact specific for type B and the pseudoknotted P6 helix specific for type A RNAs (Massire et al. 1998).

Several features also make bacterial RNase P an attractive drug target: (i) The enzyme is essential for viability; (ii) there are fundamental architectural differences between bacterial RNase P and that of eukaryotes; and (iii) at a 60- to 100-fold lower copy number in the cell than ribosomes, the number of RNase P molecules to be targeted per cell is comparatively low (Dong et al. 1996). Thus, several studies have so far explored the druggability of bacterial RNase P using different classes of small molecules (Vioque 1989; Mikkelsen et al. 1999; Eubank et al. 2002; Hori et al. 2005; Kawamoto et al. 2008; Toumpeki et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2014; for review, see Willkomm et al. 2010). Finally, as the enzyme mostly consists of RNA, bacterial RNase P lends itself to being addressed by antisense agents. We and others have previously explored antisense inhibition of the type A RNase P RNA from Escherichia coli, which identified the L15/16 loop that interacts with tRNA 3′-CCA ends as the most effective target site within the ribozyme (Childs et al. 2003; Gruegelsiepe et al. 2003, 2006; Willkomm et al. 2003). Noteworthy, conjugates of an invasive peptide and a peptide nucleic acid (PNA) 14-mer targeting the L15/16 loop showed P RNA-specific growth inhibition of E. coli cells (Gruegelsiepe et al. 2006).

Up to now, antisense approaches focused on type A RNase P RNA of E. coli only. However, a number of highly relevant pathogens (e.g., Staphylococcus aureus, B. anthracis, Mycoplasma species) possess type B RNase P RNAs. Therefore, in the present study, we performed experiments to target B. subtilis P RNA as the major model system of type B architecture, although substantial functional and structural studies were also performed with the P RNA from Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae (Swärd et al. 1994, 1996; Brännvall et al. 1998; Brännvall and Kirsebom 2005). We started out with disrupting the tertiary contact between elements L5.1 and L15.1 either via antisense oligonucleotides targeting the L5.1 loop or by mutation, which allowed us to demonstrate the crucial role of this type B-specific interaction for enzyme function in vitro and in vivo. We further compared the L5.1 target region with the L15 loop interacting with tRNA 3′-CCA ends (Swärd et al. 1996; Wegscheid and Hartmann 2007) in terms of inhibitory antisense efficacy. Whereas a 14-mer LNA/DNA mixmer was shown to efficiently target the L15 loop to inhibit activity of B. subtilis RNase P, preassembled holoenzymes were not accessible to invasion and inactivation by even longer LNA-modified oligonucleotides (20- to 21-mers) targeting the L5.1 region. Finally, we could rule out an L9–P1 interdomain contact in B. subtilis RNase P, whose formation had remained unclear because of the lack of electron density in P9 in the X-ray structure of the related Geobacillus stearotathermophilus P RNA (Kazantsev et al. 2005).

RESULTS

Targeting P5.1 of B. subtilis P RNA by antisense oligonucleotides

In an exploratory SELEX procedure to screen for regions in B. subtilis P RNA that are accessible to the binding of antisense oligonucleotides (see Supplemental Material; Supplemental Figs. S1, S2), we identified RNA oligonucleotides complementary to sequences in the P5.1 region (AS1-long and AS2-long), complementary to nt 58–77 and nt 52–72 of B. subtilis P RNA, respectively (see Fig. 1A). As these oligonucleotides would disrupt the L5.1–L15.1 tertiary contact in the C-domain of type B RNase P RNAs (Kazantsev et al. 2005), we decided to analyze this target region in detail. First we addressed the question if the two selected antisense RNAs (AS1-long and AS2-long) targeting the P5.1 region by 20 or 21 complementary nucleotides were able to inhibit the RNase P reaction. Both oligonucleotides showed inhibitory effects in the RNA-alone reaction (Fig. 1B). As the antisense transcripts contained additional 5′- and 3′-flanking sequenc-
the parental library RNA AS1-long, suggesting that the additional $5'$- and $3'$-flanking sequences negatively affected the efficacy of oligonucleotide annealing. At varying concentrations, RNA oligonucleotide AS1 inhibited RNase P cleavage with an IC$_{50}$ of 48 ± 9 nM in the RNA-alone reaction and 89 ± 51 nM in holoenzyme assay I (Supplemental Fig. S3).

Disrupting the L5.1-L15.1 interaction: effect on P RNA folding

As RNA antisense oligonucleotides AS1-long and AS2-long were identified in a gel mobility shift–based selection approach (Supplemental Fig. S2), we considered it likely that binding of these RNAs to B. subtilis P RNA, which would disrupt the L5.1-L15.1 long-range interaction (Kazantsev et al. 2005), leads to substantial conformational changes and thus strongly altered gel mobility. To examine this idea, we further constructed a B. subtilis P RNA variant with disrupted L5.1-L15.1 contact owing to truncation of the apical part of L15.1 (named P RNA mutant Δ15.1) (Fig. 2A). The truncation removed the conserved A residues 280, 281, 285, and 286 (Massire et al. 1998) that are crucial for the L5.1-L15.1 interaction (Kazantsev et al. 2005). The shortened stem was closed by a stable $5'$-UUCG tetraloop.

Indeed, preannealing of oligonucleotide AS1 to wild-type (wt) P RNA resulted in a substantial retardation of gel mobility (Fig. 2B, lanes 2–4). P RNA variant Δ15.1 already migrated markedly slower than the wt RNA in the absence of AS1 (Fig. 2B, lane 5 vs. 1); preannealing of AS1 reduced gel mobility only slightly further (Fig. 2B, lanes 6–8). These findings indicate the fundamental importance of the L5.1-L15.1 contact for the overall folding of bacterial type B RNase P RNAs.
The effects of disrupting the L5.1-L15.1 contact were also analyzed by RNase T1 probing (Fig. 2C,D). This revealed increased accessibility of L5.1 in the Δ15.1 mutant (Fig. 2C, G70/72, lanes 5 vs. 4), in line with disruption of the loop-loop interaction, and confirmed binding of AS1 as predicted. Also, AS1 increased the accessibility of the region 3′ of its binding site (P8/P9/P10.1, Fig. 2A, bottom; Fig. 2C, lane 7 vs. 8) and affected the P15 region: Accessibility of G258/9 decreased and that of G262–265 increased (Fig. 2D, lane 13 vs. lanes 12 and 14), a trend that was also weakly but reproducibly seen for the Δ15.1 mutant (Fig. 2D, lane 15 vs. 12 and 14). Finally, AS1 and, to a lesser extent, the Δ15.1 mutation, decreased accessibility of G305 in the L15.2 loop (Fig. 2D, lanes 12–15). In summary, disruption of the L5.1-L15.1

FIGURE 2. (Legend on next page)
interaction by annealing of oligonucleotide AS1 altered the accessibility of regions P8/P9/P10.1, P15, and L15.2 to cleavage by RNase T1. Disruption of the interaction by the Δ15.1 mutation confirmed such an effect for L15.2 (and to a smaller extent for L15) and, as expected, showed increased accessibility also for L5.1.

**Kinetic analysis of the P RNA mutants Δ15.1, ml9, and P9Mf**

In addition to analyzing the effect of disrupting the L5.1-L15.1 contact on enzyme activity, we were interested in exploring the possible formation of an L9-P1 tertiary contact. In the X-ray structure of the related *G. stearothermophilus* P RNA, the apical part of P9 remained unresolved (Kazantsev et al. 2005), but P1 and P9 are juxtaposed and may well interact with each other. To test this, we constructed two P9 mutants of *B. subtilis* P RNA, variants ml9 and P9Mf (Fig. 3A). In variant ml9, the L9 tetraloop was mutated from 5′-UUAG to 5′-GUUU (to disrupt a potential tetraloop sequence-specific interaction), whereas P9 was largely truncated in variant P9Mf by changing it to the P9 element found in P RNAs from *Mycoplasma fermentans* and *M. flocculare* (Massire et al. 1997).

We determined the single turnover kinetic parameters of the RNA-alone reaction (k_{react} and K_{m(sto)}) for *B. subtilis* P RNAs Δ15.1, ml9, and P9Mf relative to the wt RNA (Table 1; Fig. 3B). This revealed essentially no effect of the ml9 mutation, little effect of the P9Mf mutation (less than twofold reduction in k_{react}/K_{m(sto)}), but severe defects particularly on the level of k_{react} in the presence of the Δ15.1 mutation (k_{react}/K_{m(sto)} decreased by almost two orders of magnitude) (Table 1). Similarly, the velocity of the holoenzyme reaction was essentially identical for wt P RNA and variants ml9 and P9Mf, but dropped more than 10-fold in the case of variant Δ15.1 (Table 2).

**In vivo complementation of the *B. subtilis* Δ15.1 mutant P RNA in *E. coli* BW**

Expression of the *rnpB* gene (encoding the RNase P RNA subunit) in *E. coli* strain BW strictly depends on the presence of arabinose in the growth medium (Wegscheid and Hartmann 2006). In the presence of glucose instead of arabinose as the carbon source, chromosomal *rnpB* expression is switched off in strain BW and further growth requires (plasmid-based) expression of another gene that provides the RNase P function. Expression of *B. subtilis* wt *rnpB* from plasmid pACYC indeed supported growth of *E. coli* BW bacteria, although less efficiently than the homologous *E. coli* *rnpB* gene (Fig. 4; see the more cauliflower-like appearance of colonies in the upper right segment of the glucose plate). However, no complementation was observed with the *B. subtilis* Δ15.1 *rnpB* gene (Fig. 4). This in vivo result confirms the in vitro data, indicating that disruption of the L5.1-L15.1 tertiary contact largely prevents type 2 RNase P RNAs from adopting an active conformation.

**Inhibition assays with LNA/RNA(DNA) mixmers**

In our initial inhibition assay (holoenzyme assay I, Supplemental Fig. S3), we preincubated P RNA with the RNA antisense oligonucleotide at 55°C before adding the P protein, which favored oligonucleotide invasion and annealing. We also tested oligonucleotide inhibition in a setup where we preassembled the RNase P holoenzyme before preincubating the holoenzyme and the inhibitory oligonucleotide (holoenzyme assay II). This setup was used in view of applications...
closer to the natural situation (where inhibitors primarily act on assembled holoenzymes), e.g., where one wants to inhibit endogenous RNase P activities in cell lysates in the context of RNA processing studies. Under these conditions, we did not observe any significant inhibition by RNA oligonucleotide AS1 at a concentration of 10 µM, irrespective of the length (5–30 min) and temperature (37°C or 50°C) of holoenzyme/inhibitor preincubation before addition of pre-tRNA (Fig. 5B,C). To further enhance inhibition efficacy, we then tested an LNA/RNA mixmer version of AS1 (named AS1-LNA) and another LNA/RNA mixmer with the target site on P RNA shifted by 7 nt and 1 nt longer than AS1 (named AS3-LNA). AS1-LNA showed enhanced inhibition in the 50°C preincubation setup only (relative to AS1), while AS3-LNA failed to substantially improve efficacy (Fig. 5B,C). To further enhance inhibition efficacy, we considered the L15 loop as a target site, based on its exposition on the surface for Watson-Crick binding with pre-tRNA 3′-CCA ends (Svärd et al. 1996; Wegscheid and Hartmann 2007) and the suitability of the corresponding region in type A RNAs for antisense inhibition (Gruegelsiepe et al. 2003, 2006; Willkomm et al. 2003). The 14-mer LNA/DNA mixmer AS4-LNA (directed against nt 251–264 of P15) indeed turned out to be an efficient inhibitor of the B. subtilis RNase P holoenzyme, strongly inhibiting enzyme activity in the 37°C and 50°C preincubation setup and after preincubation periods as short as 5 min (Fig. 5B,C), with an IC50 of ∼2 nM (holoenzyme/inhibitor preincubation for 15 min at 37°C) (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Disrupting the L5.1-15.1 tertiary contact of B. subtilis P RNA by an antisense RNA oligonucleotide annealing to the P5.1/P5 region or by deleting the apical part of L15.1 substantially reduced the RNA’s three-dimensional compactness, as inferred from largely retarded mobility in native PAA gels. The change in gel mobility relative to native P RNA was particularly pronounced upon annealing of the antisense RNA 20-mer, explaining why we identified this type of antisense oligonucleotides in a SELEX assay selecting for antisense RNAs that retard P RNA gel mobility. RNase T1 probing indicated that disruption of the L5.1-15.1 interaction also affects folding of the nearby P15 element, known to interact with tRNA 3′-CCA ends (Figs. 2, 7). The sensitivity of the L15 loop to changes induced by structural alterations in its vicinity may be explained by its high flexibility, inferred from the finding that it remained unresolved in the G. stearothermophilus P RNA crystal structure (Kazantsev et al. 2005). Altered susceptibility at G305 in the L15.2 loop upon L5.1-15.1 disruption was surprising, as P15.2 sticks out of the molecule and points to the opposite direction relative to P15.1 (Fig. 7). This may reflect a more indirect effect
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**TABLE 1.** Single turnover kinetic parameters of B. subtilis P RNA variants (RNA-alone reaction)

| P RNA | k_{react} (min^{-1}) | K_{m(sto)} (µM) | k_{react}/K_{m(sto)} (M^{-1} s^{-1}) |
|-------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| wt    | 5.7 ± 0.02           | 0.20 ± 0.004    | 0.47 × 10^6                          |
| ml9   | 5.4 ± 0.13           | 0.16 ± 0.02     | 0.56 × 10^6                          |
| P9Mf  | 5.1 ± 0.11           | 0.28 ± 0.02     | 0.30 × 10^6                          |
| Δ15.1 | 0.43 ± 0.01          | 0.96 ± 0.05     | 0.75 × 10^4                          |

For details, see Materials and Methods; k_{react} and K_{m(sto)} values are mean values from at least three independent experiments; errors are standard errors of the curve fit.
resulting from altered interaction modes of P RNA and the relatively bulky RNase T1 probe upon disruption of the L5.1-15.1 tertiary contact. However, one should mention that the genuine positioning of P15.2 is not yet clear, since the L15.2 loop was involved in the formation of crystal contacts (Kazantsev et al. 2005). Oligonucleotide invasion into P5.1/P5 further seemed to destabilize folding of elements P7/P8/P10.1, as inferred from increased susceptibility to hydrolysis by RNase T1 (Fig. 2).

Combined with the kinetic data and in vivo complementation results obtained for the P RNA variant Δ15.1, our findings demonstrate that the L5.1-15.1 intra-C-domain contact is a key strut of the type B structure, required for locking the RNA in its active conformation, which likely also positions the neighboring L15 in a manner favorable for base-pairing with pre-tRNA 3′-CCA ends. Architecturally, the L5.1-15.1 intra-C-domain contact is likely at least as crucial as the universally conserved L8-P4 interdomain contact, as the L5.1-15.1 contact is a lateral clamp with optimal leverage effect, apparently well placed to effectively stabilize the rather flat array of helical domains in type B catalytic RNAs (Fig. 7; Kazantsev et al. 2005).

Both the L5.1-15.1 and L8-P4 contacts were found to be surprisingly complex in the crystal structure of G. stearothermophilus P RNA (Kazantsev et al. 2005). This complexity of the L5.1-15.1 interaction may explain its relative inaccessibility to oligonucleotide invasion in the context of the fully assembled holoenzyme (Fig. 5). Our study further excludes formation of a specific L9-P1 tertiary contact that may significantly contribute to interdomain orientation and catalytic function in normal type B RNase P RNAs. Only some Mycoplasma type B RNase P RNAs have developed a tertiary contact between L9 and P1, in this case a pseudoknot interaction (P21; see above). Why some Mycoplasma species (M. genitalium, M. pneumoniae) have this stabilizing L9-P1 contact which is lacking in others remains to be addressed experimentally.

The 20-mer RNA oligonucleotide AS1, a derivative of the selected library RNA AS1-long, was only weakly inhibitory in assays using the preassembled RNase P holoenzyme. To improve oligonucleotide affinity and thus inhibition efficacy, we designed two RNA/LNA mixmers (AS1-LNA and AS3-LNA) that differed from each other by somewhat shifted target sites. Both mixmers did not substantially improve the efficacy of inhibition when preincubated with the RNase P holoenzyme at 37°C. Increasing the temperature for preincubation of holoenzyme and oligonucleotide to 50°C to enhance flexibility of the P RNA structure and facilitate oligonucleotide invasion, marginally enhanced inhibition by AS3-LNA and moderately by AS1-LNA. Temperatures >50°C were not used to avoid disassembly of the holoenzyme. However, a 14-mer LNA/DNA mixmer (AS4-LNA) directed against the P15 element turned out to be a very efficient inhibitor of the B. subtilis RNase P holoenzyme, in line with exposure of the L15 loop on the RNA’s surface for base-pairing with tRNA 3′-CCA ends. The AS4-LNA inhibitor may be useful to block the endogenous RNase P activity in cell lysates used for RNA processing studies employing exogenously added RNases. For example, B. subtilis cells grown under heat shock conditions (e.g., 50°C) may accumulate RNA precursors for which processing may subsequently be analyzed in cell lysates after silencing of endogenous RNase P activity.

Our finding that the L15 loop is an excellent target site for antisense strategies not only in bacterial type A RNase P RNAs (Childs et al. 2003; Gruegelsiepe et al. 2003, 2006; Willkomm et al. 2003), but also in type B RNAs (this study), allows one to conclude that this region is generally predestined for antisense inhibition strategies, thus obviating the need for experimental approaches to screen for accessible regions in other bacterial P RNAs. Furthermore, in addition to sterically interfering with substrate (3′-CCA) binding, the inhibitory efficacy of antisense oligonucleotides targeting the

| TABLE 2. Holoenzyme reactions for wt B. subtilis P RNA and mutants thereof | \( k_{\text{obs}} \) (min⁻¹) | 
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| RNA             | P protein | Without protein | P protein | Without protein |
| wt              | 25 ± 9     | 0.005 ± 0.001   | 25 ± 9     | 0.005 ± 0.001   |
| ml9             | 29 ± 14    | 0.005 ± 0.001   | 29 ± 14    | 0.005 ± 0.001   |
| P9Mf            | 28 ± 13    | 0.004 ± 0.001   | 28 ± 13    | 0.004 ± 0.001   |
| Δ15.1           | 2.1 ± 0.3   | 0.001 ± 0.000   | 2.1 ± 0.3   | 0.001 ± 0.000   |
| no RNA          | –         | –               | –         | –               |

Reactions were performed as described in Materials and Methods. Preincubations and holoenzyme assembly were performed according to holoenzyme assay I conditions; \( k_{\text{obs}} \) values are mean values from at least three independent experiments (±SD).

FIGURE 4. LB agar plates with E. coli BW cells transformed with the empty expression vector pACYC or the same plasmid harboring the native E. coli rnpB gene, or the structural gene encoding B. subtilis wt or Δ15.1 P RNA, each fused to the E. coli rnpB promoter. Cells were grown for 2 d under permissive (arabinose) or nonpermissive (glucose) conditions at 37°C. The more cauliflower-like colony appearance of BW cells expressing B. subtilis rnpB under glucose conditions can be attributed to slower colony growth (less efficient complementation). For further details, see Materials and Methods.
L15 loop of *E. coli* (type A) RNase P RNAs was inferred to include contributions from partially unfolding the catalytic core and from perturbing the coordination of catalytically relevant Mg2+ ions (Gruegelsiepe et al. 2006). It will be interesting to explore to which extent this mechanistically applies to bacterial type B RNase P enzymes as well. Finally, peptide nucleic acid (PNA) versions of the AS4 oligonucleotide coupled to invasive peptides are promising candidates for in vivo growth inhibition of *B. subtilis*, as demonstrated for PNA-peptide conjugates targeting the functionally equivalent L15 loop region of *E. coli* RNase P RNA (Gruegelsiepe et al. 2006). Even further, the L15 loop due to both accessibility and key role in RNase P function lends itself to be explored as a potentially vulnerable target site also for RNase P inhibitors of other chemical natures for which an in vivo application might be more feasible than for antisense oligonucleotide-based strategies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Synthetic oligonucleotides**

The RNA oligonucleotide AS1 (5′-GGCAUCAGCACCGUGCA-3′; targeting nt 58–77 of *B. subtilis* P RNA), lacking the constant 5′- and 3′-extensions of the SELEX library transcripts (see Supplemental Material), was obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). LNA/RNA mixmers AS1-LNA (5′-GGCAUCAGCACCGUGCA-3′; as AS1, but with locked nucleic acid [LNA] modifications indicated in bold) and AS3-LNA (5′-ACACUCGGCCGCUCAGCAC-3′, targeting nt 85–65 of *B. subtilis* P RNA, LNA modifications indicated in bold), as well as the LNA/DNA mixmer AS4-LNA (5′-CCCTACAAATTT-3′, targeting nt 264–251 of *B. subtilis* P RNA, LNA modifications indicated in bold) were purchased from Exiqon.

**Construction of mutant P RNAs**

Transcription templates for mutant P RNAs were constructed using standard PCR techniques as described in Li et al. (2009) and verified by DNA dideoxy sequencing (custom service by Eurofins Genomics).

**Inhibition assays**

All inhibition assays were performed under multiple turnover conditions (10 nM P RNA and 100 nM pre-tRNA substrate).
Preincubation of pre-tRNA in RNA-alone reactions was for 5 min at 55°C and for 25 min at 37°C; P RNAs were preincubated with inhibitor for 40 min at 37°C in reaction buffer [100 mM Mg(OAc)2, 100 mM NH4OAc and 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.0]. RNase P holoenzyme reactions were performed in buffer KN (200 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NH4OAc, 2 mM spermidine, 0.05 mM spermine, 4 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and 4.5 mM Mg(OAc)2.

For holoenzyme assay I, P RNA and inhibitor were preincubated for 5 min at 55°C followed by 50 min at 37°C. Then RNase P protein was added, followed by another 5 min at 37°C. Pre-tRNA was preincubated for 5 min at 55°C and for 25 min at 37°C.

For holoenzyme assay II, preassembled holoenzyme was used and preincubated with inhibitor for 5 to 30 min at 37°C or 50°C. Pre-tRNA was incubated at 85°C for 2 min in KN buffer without Mg2+, then chilled on ice, followed by adding Mg(OAc)2 to a final concentration of 4.5 mM. Holoenzyme assembly was carried out as follows: 10 nM B. subtilis P RNA was incubated in KN buffer for 1 min at 85°C and cooled down to room temperature within 1 h. Samples were then adjusted to 4.5 mM Mg(OAc)2 and incubated for 5 min at 37°C. Holoenzyme formation was induced by addition of B. subtilis P protein (20 nM final concentration), followed by incubation for 10 min at 37°C. Holoenzyme aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at −80°C, and used up (leftovers discarded) after the first thawing. For the number of individual experiments and experimental errors, see figure and table legends.

**In vitro transcription, 32P-labeling, and structure probing with RNase T1**

B. subtilis P RNA variants and pre-tRNA5′Gly (from T. thermophilus) were produced by runoff in vitro transcription using plasmid pDW66 and mutant constructs thereof (linearized with Dral; Wärncke et al. 1999), and pSBpt3'hh (linearized with BamHI; Busch et al. 2000), respectively, as previously described (Gruegelsiepe et al. 2005). 5′-End-labeling of pre-tRNA and 5′- and 3′-end-labeling of P RNAs were done as previously described (Gimple and Schön 2014). Enzymatic probing with RNase T1 was performed according to Li et al. (2009). For the synthesis of partially Rp-phosphorothioate-modified P RNAs and generation of phosphorothioate-specific iodine hydrolysis ladders, see Heide et al. (2001).

**Native PAGE analysis**

Native PAGE analysis of B. subtilis wt P RNA and the Δ15.1 mutant with and without preannealed oligonucleotide AS1 was performed as follows: 20,000 cpm of 3′-32P-labeled P RNA plus 50 fmol AS1 were preincubated in buffer KN containing 4.5 mM Mg(OAc)2 for 5 min at 55°C plus 50 min at 37°C, followed by mixing with an equal volume of native gel loading buffer (10% glycerol, 0.025% each xylene cyanol and bromophenol blue, 10 mM MgCl2) and native 11.25% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in THEAM buffer (66 mM HEPES, 33 mM Tris–HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NH4OAc, and 10 mM MgCl2, pH 7.4).

**Preparation of recombinant RNase P protein**

Recombinant RNase P protein used for holoenzyme assay I was prepared as previously described (Marszalkowski et al. 2006), and for holoenzyme assay II according to Niranjanakumari et al. (1998).

**Single turnover RNA-alone kinetics of B. subtilis P RNA variants**

Reactions were carried out in 50 mM MES, 100 mM Mg(OAc)2, 100 mM NH4OAc, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 6.0, using trace amounts (<1 nM) of 5′-32P-end-labeled pre-tRNA5′Gly (the 3′-CCA terminus extended by the trinucleotide GUC) and varying excess amounts of the respective B. subtilis P RNA variant. Substrate and P RNA were preincubated separately in the same buffer for 5 min at 55°C and 55 min at 37°C before mixing the two to start the kinetics at 37°C. Aliquots were withdrawn at different time points, reactions stopped by addition of denaturing loading buffer, and samples analyzed by 20% denaturing PAGE. The single turnover kinetic parameters kcat and Km(sto) shown in Table 1 were determined as described in Busch et al. (2000). For the number of individual experiments and experimental errors, see figure and table legends.

**Holoenzyme kinetics of B. subtilis P RNA variants**

Reactions were performed with holoenzyme preassembled from 10 nM RNase P RNA and 100 nM B. subtilis RNase P protein using 100 nM 5′-32P-end-labeled pre-tRNA5′Gly in buffer KN4.5 (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 [37°C], 150 mM NH4OAc, 2 mM spermidine, 0.05 mM spermine, 4 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 4.5 mM Mg(OAc)2) at 37°C. For the number of individual experiments and experimental errors, see figure and table legends.

**In vivo complementation analysis**

The E. coli BW strain carries the chromosomal rnpB gene under control of the arabinose-dependent PBAD promoter (Wegscheid and
Hartmann 2006). Bacteria were chemically transformed with the low copy vector pACYC encoding the respective rnpB structural gene under control of the native E. coli rnpB promoter. For complementation experiments, a single colony was resuspended in 500 µL LB medium. A droplet of 10 µL was applied to an LB agar plate containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin, 34 µg/mL chloramphenicol, and 10 mM arabinose or glucose. Plates were incubated for 2 d at 37°C.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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