NGTS-12b: A sub-Saturn mass transiting exoplanet in a 7.53 day orbit
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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of the transiting exoplanet NGTS-12b by the Next Generation Transit Survey (NGTS). The host star, NGTS-12, is a V = 12.38 mag star with an effective temperature of T_{eff} = 5690 ± 130 K. NGTS-12b orbits with a period of P = 7.53 d, making it the longest period planet discovered to date by the main NGTS survey. We verify the NGTS transit signal with transmission spectroscopy with a Transmission Spectroscopy Metric of 89.4.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Detecting exoplanets via transits has proved to be a very successful path for discovering other worlds. The process began with discoveries from the deep Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment (OGLE; Udalski et al. 1992) survey (e.g. Konacki et al. 2003; Bouchy et al. 2004). Soon, discoveries were being made via purpose built wide-field survey cameras such as Wide Angle Search for Planets (WASP; Pollacco et al. 2006), Hungarian Automated Telescope Network (HATNet; Bakos et al. 2004), and Kilodegree Extremely Little Telescope (KELT; Pepper et al. 2007). Following these successes, space-based missions, primarily CoRoT-5 and planetary Transits (CoRoT; Baglin et al. 2006) and Kepler (Borucki et al. 2010), delivered high-precision photometry which allowed for the discovery of smaller radius planets. Currently, the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) mission (Ricker et al. 2014) is combining the advantages of wide field cameras and space-based photometric precision to uncover transiting exoplanets orbiting bright stars over almost the entire sky.

The Next Generation Transit Survey (NGTS; Wheatley et al. 2018) is a ground-based exoplanet hunting facility, which is situated at...
Figure 1. Full NGTS and TESS light curves for NGTS-12. The red vertical lines give the positions of the observed transits of NGTS-12b. A total of 8 transit events are recorded in the NGTS data, and 3 in the TESS data. The NGTS data has been binned to 30 min and flattened in a similar manner to the TESS data for visual comparison with the 30-min cadence TESS data.

European Southern Observatory’s (ESO’s) Paranal Observatory in Chile. It consists of twelve fully robotic telescopes each with a 20 cm photometric aperture and a wide field of view of 8 deg². By combining the excellent observing conditions at Paranal Observatory with back-illuminated CCD cameras and sub-pixel level autoguiding (McCormac et al. 2013) on ultra-stable mounts, NGTS can achieve a higher photometric precision than previous ground-based facilities. This was demonstrated with the discovery of NGTS-4b (West et al. 2019), a Neptune-sized exoplanet with a transit depth of just 0.13 per cent. NGTS provides a useful complement to the TESS survey, with a higher spatial resolution, a more flexible observing schedule, and better photometric precision for stars with $T > 13$. The majority of discoveries from ground-based transit surveys to date are exoplanets with periods shorter than 5 d. This bias towards short-period planets is a combination of the geometric probability of transit and the difficulty of conducting long-duration photometric campaigns. NGTS is able to mitigate this difficulty in two ways. First, we can conduct longer monitoring campaigns due to the excellent site conditions at Paranal Observatory. Secondly, with higher photometric precision, the signal to noise of each individual transit is higher, and so fewer transits are required to build up the same total signal to noise.

In this paper, we report the discovery of NGTS-12b; a $0.208 \pm 0.022 \, M_J$, $1.048 \pm 0.032 \, R_J$ transiting exoplanet with a period of 7.53 d. NGTS-12b is the longest period planet discovered to date from the main NGTS survey. In Section 2, we detail the photometric and spectroscopic observations obtained of NGTS-12. In Section 3, we perform a global analysis of the data to determine the stellar and planetary properties of the system. We discuss our results in Section 4 and finally present our conclusions in Section 5.

2 OBSERVATIONS

NGTS-12 is a $T = 11.6$ star in the Southern hemisphere (R.A. = $11^h44^m59^s986$, Dec. = $-35^\circ38'26"0267$), which was monitored as part of the NGTS survey. In this section, we set out the photometric and spectroscopic observations of NGTS-12 that led to the discovery of the exoplanet NGTS-12b.

2.1 NGTS photometry

NGTS-12 was observed using a single NGTS telescope between 2017 December 10 and 2018 August 4. During this period, a total of 250 503 images were taken with an exposure time of 10 s. Image reduction and photometry was performed using the CASUTOOLS¹ photometry package as detailed in Wheatley et al. (2018). The detrending of the light curve was performed using an implementation of the SysRem algorithm (Tamuz, Mazeh & Zucker 2005) to remove signals that are exhibited by multiple stars across the field. The full NGTS survey light curve is shown in Fig. 1, and the photometry is provided in Table 1.

The NGTS-12 light curve was searched for periodic, transit-like signals using ORION, a custom implementation of the Box-fitting Least-Squares algorithm (BLS; Kovács, Zucker & Mazeh 2002). A strong peak in the BLS periodogram was detected at 7.53 d. Folding the NGTS photometry on this period revealed a clear transit signal with a depth of 0.55 per cent and a duration of $5.85 \pm 0.073$ h. In Gaia data release 2 (Gaia DR2; Gaia Collaboration 2018), there are no other stars within the photometric radius (15 arcsec) of NGTS-12. The parallax and photometric measurements from Gaia DR2 (listed in Table 3) also rule out the possibility that NGTS-12 is a giant star. The light curve for NGTS-12 shows no evidence of a secondary eclipse, odd-even differences in the transit depths, or out-of-transit variability. A convolutional neural network implemented for NGTS data (Chaushev et al. 2019) reported a probability of $>0.99$ for the transit signal being planetary in nature.

¹http://casu.ast.cam.ac.uk/surveys-projects/software-release.
We therefore concluded that NGTS-12b was a strong transiting exoplanet candidate, and initiated spectroscopic follow-up detailed in Sections 2.3 to 2.5.

### 2.2 TESS photometry

One year after the NGTS monitoring set out in Section 2.1, NGTS-12 was also observed during Sector 10 (Camera 2; CCD 4) of the TESS mission (Ricker et al. 2014) between 2019 March 28 and 2019 April 21. NGTS-12 was not selected for 2-min TESS photometry. We therefore created a light curve via aperture photometry of the TESS 30-min cadence full-frame images (FFIs) following the method set out in Gill et al. (2020). Postage stamps of 15x15 pixels were downloaded for all Sector 10 TESS FFIs. We determined a threshold for target pixels and for background pixels based on an iterative sigma-clipping to determine the median and standard deviation of the background pixels. To exclude the neighbouring $T = 12.0$ star (TIC157230670, 76 arcsec to the north-west, see Fig. 2) as much as possible, we only include pixels in our final aperture for which neighbouring pixels closer to the centre of our target show a higher illumination. Otherwise, we assume the pixel is influenced in majority by another source. For the final pixel mask of NGTS-12, 10 pixels over a threshold of 168 electrons/second were selected (see Fig. 2). To remove the systematic trends from the TESS light curve, we mask out the transits, and then fit a spline

\[ T = 12.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \]

The TESS photometry for NGTS-12 is shown in Fig. 1, and clearly shows three transit events with depths, durations, and epochs consistent with the NGTS data. Again we see no evidence of secondary eclipses, odd-even differences in the transit depths, or out-of-transit variability. The TESS photometry is provided in Table 1.

### 2.3 CORALIE spectroscopy

Two reconnaissance spectra were obtained for NGTS-12 using the CORALIE spectrograph (Queloz et al. 2001) mounted on the Swiss-1.2 m Leonard Euler telescope, located at the ESO La Silla Observatory, Chile. An exposure time of 2700 s was used for both observations. The spectra were reduced using the standard CORALIE data reduction pipeline. The radial velocities (RVs) were extracted via cross-correlation with a G2 binary mask and are given in Table 2. The RV measurements obtained from these spectra were useful in ruling out stellar-mass companions. However, the mean uncertainty of the two RVs from these spectra is 34 ms$^{-1}$, which is roughly 1.5 times the RV semi-amplitude for NGTS-12b. As such, the CORALIE measurements do not have the precision to help constrain

---

**Table 1.** NGTS and TESS photometry for NGTS-12. The full table is available in a machine-readable format from the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance.

| Time (BJD-2458300) | Flux (normalized) | Flux error | Instrument | Exp. Time (s) |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 8097.82554          | 1.0092           | 0.0056     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82569          | 0.9879           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82584          | 0.9989           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82599          | 0.9969           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82614          | 0.9918           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82628          | 1.0120           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82643          | 0.9885           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82658          | 1.0046           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82673          | 1.0085           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |
| 8097.82689          | 0.9945           | 0.0055     | NGTS       | 10           |

---

**Figure 2.** TESS FFI cut-out (15 x 15 pixels) in the region of NGTS-12 from which the TESS light curve was generated. The red boxes indicate the target pixel aperture mask used to extract the photometry and the green boxes denote the pixels used to determine the sky background. The red star indicates the position of NGTS-12, the yellow cross gives the location of TIC157230670. The blue pluses denote the positions of faint neighbouring stars (T>15.5) detected in Gaia DR2 within 1 arcmin of NGTS-12, used for dilution estimation (Section 3.3).

**Table 2.** Radial Velocities for NGTS-12.

| BJD | RV (km s$^{-1}$) | RV err (km s$^{-1}$) | Instrument | Exp. Time (s) |
|-----|----------------|---------------------|------------|--------------|
| 2458826.809493 | -12.61315 | 0.03399 | CORALIE | 2700         |
| 2458830.809847 | -12.65738 | 0.03343 | CORALIE | 2700         |
| 2458845.783261 | -12.61483 | 0.00835 | HARPS | 1800         |
| 2458846.771316 | -12.61613 | 0.00370 | HARPS | 1800         |
| 2458847.794460 | -12.59168 | 0.00295 | HARPS | 1800         |
| 2458849.81984 | -12.5808 | 0.0103 | FEROS | 1200         |
| 2458851.80857 | -12.6002 | 0.0096 | FEROS | 1200         |
| 2458869.833151 | -12.60787 | 0.00410 | HARPS | 1800         |
| 2458871.854470 | -12.58030 | 0.00357 | HARPS | 1800         |
| 2458887.816510 | -12.57215 | 0.00409 | HARPS | 1800         |
| 2458890.765724 | -12.61451 | 0.00600 | HARPS | 1800         |
the planetary parameters, and so we do not include them in the global modelling detailed in Section 3.2.

### 2.4 HARPS spectroscopy

We obtained multi-epoch spectroscopy for NGTS-12 with the HARPS spectrograph (Mayor et al. 2003) between the dates of 2019 December 28 and 2020 February 11 under programme ID 0104.C-0588 (PI Bouchez). The HARPS spectrograph is mounted on the ESO 3.6-m telescope at the La Silla Observatory, Chile. The spectra were reduced using the standard HARPS data reduction pipeline and RVs were extracted via cross-correlation with a G2 binary mask. The full RV time series of eight measurements is given in Table 2. For all the HARPS observations, an exposure time of 1800 s was used. The typical RV uncertainty is 4 m s$^{-1}$. We plot the phase-folded RV measurements in Fig. 4, which clearly shows an RV variation in-phase with the photometric period determined in Section 2.1. The RV semi-amplitude of $K = 20$ m s$^{-1}$ is consistent with a transiting planet orbiting NGTS-12.

We also extract the bisector spans of the HARPS cross-correlation functions. We investigate the correlation between the RV measurements and the bisector spans and find a Pearson-R correlation coefficient of $-0.21$, indicating no strong correlation (see Fig. 4).

### 2.5 FEROS spectroscopy

We obtained two further spectroscopic observations of NGTS-12 with the FEROS spectrograph (Kaufer et al. 1999) on UT 2020 January 1 and 3, using an exposure time of 1200 s. The FEROS spectrograph is mounted on the MPG/ESO-2.2-m telescope at the La Silla Observatory, Chile. We reduced these spectra using the CERES spectrograph (Brahm, Jordán & Espinoza 2017). CERES also calculates RVs by cross-correlating the reduced spectra with a G2 binary mask and then fitting a double Gaussian model to the cross-correlation function in order to account for possible moonlight contamination. We note that the G2 mask used by the CERES pipeline is the same function in order to account for possible moonlight contamination.

We determined stellar atmospheric parameters of NGTS-12 using isochrone fitting with the isochrones PYTHON module (Morton 2015). We obtained priors for $T_{\text{eff}}$, [Fe/H], log $g$ by spectral matching from the 1D stacked HARPS spectra (see Section 2.4), using a custom wavelet analysis algorithm (Gill, Maxted & Smalley 2018) as well as SPECMATCH-EMP (Yee, Petigura & von Braun 2017). We took wavelet analysis algorithm (Gill, Maxted & Smalley 2018) as well from the 1D stacked HARPS spectra (see Section 2.4), using a custom MNRAS Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration 2018) as listed in Table 3.

### 3 ANALYSIS

Using the observations presented in Section 2, along with stellar properties from a variety of astronomical surveys, we modelled the NGTS-12b system. We set out that analysis in this section.

#### 3.1 Stellar properties

We determined stellar atmospheric parameters of NGTS-12 using isochrone fitting with the isochrones PYTHON module (Morton 2015). We obtained priors for $T_{\text{eff}}$, [Fe/H], log $g$ by spectral matching from the 1D stacked HARPS spectra (see Section 2.4), using a custom wavelet analysis algorithm (Gill, Maxted & Smalley 2018) as well as SPECMATCH-EMP (Yee, Petigura & von Braun 2017). We took priors for these parameters that encompassed both spectral matching methods, and the resulting Gaussian priors were $T_{\text{eff}} = 5649 \pm 153$ K, [Fe/H] = $-0.045 \pm 0.15$, and log $g = 3.95 \pm 0.5$. For additional priors, we used the parallax, $B_P$ magnitude, and $R_P$ magnitude from Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration 2018) as listed in Table 3.

We selected the MIST stellar models (Choi et al. 2016; Dotter 2016) to use for the isochrone fitting within the ISOCRONES module. The derived stellar parameters from this modelling are given in Table 3. From this analysis, we found NGTS-12 to be a slightly evolved G-type star with a mass and radius of $M_* = 1.021^{+0.056}_{-0.049}$ $M_\odot$ and $R_* = 1.589 \pm 0.040$ $R_\odot$.

Using the wavelet analysis, we derived a rotational velocity of $v_{\text{sin} i} < 0.5$ km s$^{-1}$. We also check for evidence of rotational modulation in the NGTS photometry by applying a Lomb–Scargle algorithm (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982) to the NGTS photometric residuals. No significant rotation modulation was detected, indicating that NGTS-12 has a low degree of stellar activity. This is consistent with the low rotational velocity ($<0.5$ km s$^{-1}$) and the age of NGTS-12 derived from the ISOCRONES fit (9.4 $\pm$ 1.5 Gyr).

#### 3.2 Global modelling

We used the EXOPLANET PYTHON package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2020) to simultaneously model the photometric and spectroscopic data. It is a PYTHON toolkit which uses Hamiltonian Monte Carlo methods implemented through PyMC3 (Salvatier, Wiecki & Fonnesbech 2016) to probabilistically model transit and RV time series data for exoplanet systems. At each step in the sampling, we determined the Keplerian orbit of the planet around NGTS-12, and used this orbit to
compute the model, the light curve (via STARRY; Luger et al. 2019), and the RV.

We fitted for the following planetary system parameters: time of transit centre, $T_C$, the orbital period, $P$, the planet-to-star radius ratio, $R_p/R_\star$, $\ln K$ (where $K$ is the RV semi-amplitude), and the impact parameter, $b$. We constrained these parameters using the priors given in Table 4. The stellar density, $\rho_\star$, was also included as a free parameter and was constrained using the value derived in Section 3.1. This was done to ensure that physically realistic orbital parameters were derived. In addition, we fitted for the limb-darkening coefficients for both NGTS and TESS. We used a quadratic limb-darkening model and sampled the parameters using the efficient parametrization of Kipping (2013b). We also fitted for the mean out-of-transit flux levels in the NGTS and TESS light curves, $F_{0,\text{NGTS}}$ and $F_{0,\text{TESS}}$, as well as the systemic RV of NGTS-12, $\Gamma_{\text{NGTS}}$, and the systematic instrumental RV offset between HARPS and FEROS, $\Delta R_{\text{FEROS}}$. From an initial modelling run, we found the formal NGTS photometric uncertainties to be slightly underestimated, so we also included an additional error scaling term, $\sigma_{\text{NGTS}}$, which was added in quadrature to the formal NGTS photometry uncertainties.

Since Gaia DR2 shows no stars within the NGTS photometric radius of NGTS-12, we conclude that the NGTS survey photometry is undiluted. However, from a visual inspection of the data, it is evident that the TESS transits are of slightly shallower depth compared with the transits in the NGTS data. This is a result of the larger pixel scale of TESS, meaning that the TESS photometry is diluted by nearby stars, especially TIC157230670 (see Fig. 2). We therefore include a dilution factor, $D$, for the TESS photometry, given by

$$D = F_{\text{cont}}/F_{\text{target}},$$

(1)

where $F_{\text{target}}$ and $F_{\text{cont}}$ are the flux contributions within the TESS photometric aperture from the target (NGTS-12) and contaminating stars, respectively.

For the sampling, we ran 10 chains for 4000 steps each to tune the sampler, followed by a further 7500 steps to sample the posterior distributions. The starting points for the chains were selected from the results of a least-squares minimization prior to the sampling. We determined the Gelman–Rubin statistic (R; Gelman & Rubin 1992) for each chain. For all chains, we found $R < 1.01$, indicating that all the chains had converged, and were well mixed. The resulting photometric and spectroscopic models are plotted in Figs 3 & 4, respectively. We note that the varying levels of photometric scatter in the NGTS light curve in Fig. 3 are due to the non-uniform sampling of phase-space in the NGTS photometry. This is because the orbital

### Table 4. Fitted and derived parameters (including priors) for NGTS-12b from modelling detailed in Section 3.2.

| Parameter | Symbol | Unit | Prior | Value |
|-----------|--------|------|-------|-------|
| Time of transit centre | $T_C$ | BJD (TDB) | $\mathcal{U}(2458572.70, 2458572.90)$ | $2458572.8549 \pm 0.0017$ |
| Orbital period | $P$ | days | $\mathcal{N}(7.5328, 0.1)$ | $7.532806 \pm 0.000048$ |
| Radius ratio | $(R_p/R_\star)$ | – | $\mathcal{U}(0.1)$ | $0.0678 \pm 0.0012$ |
| Impact parameter | $b$ | – | $\mathcal{U}(0, 0.1)$ | $0.20 \pm 0.13$ |
| Stellar density | $\rho_\star$ | g cm$^{-3}$ | $\mathcal{N}(0.359, 0.039)$ | $0.362 \pm 0.022$ |
| Nat. Log. of RV semi-amplitude | $\ln(K)$ | (m s$^{-1}$) | $\mathcal{N}(3.075, 3.0)$ | $3.06 \pm 0.10$ |
| Eccentricity | $e$ | – | $\beta(0.697, 3.27)$ | $0.0^c$ |
| NGTS LDC$^c$ 1 | $U_{1,\text{NGTS}}$ | – | $K(0, 1)^d$ | $0.30 \pm 0.22$ |
| NGTS LDC$^c$ 2 | $U_{2,\text{NGTS}}$ | – | $K(0, 1)^d$ | $0.32 \pm 0.31$ |
| TESS LDC$^c$ 1 | $U_{1,\text{TESS}}$ | – | $K(0, 1)^d$ | $0.51 \pm 0.25$ |
| TESS LDC$^c$ 2 | $U_{2,\text{TESS}}$ | – | $K(0, 1)^d$ | $0.06 \pm 0.31$ |
| Mean NGTS flux baseline | $F_0,\text{NGTS}$ | – | $\mathcal{U}(0.998, 1.002)$ | $1.000168 \pm 0.000019$ |
| Mean TESS flux baseline | $F_0,\text{TESS}$ | – | $\mathcal{U}(0.998, 1.002)$ | $0.999980 \pm 0.000026$ |
| NGTS error term | $\sigma_{\text{NGTS}}$ | – | $\mathcal{N}(0.002, 0.1)$ | $0.001996 \pm 0.000018$ |
| TESS dilution factor | $D$ | per cent | $\mathcal{U}(0, 50.)$ | $8.5 \pm 4.7$ |
| Systemic RV | $\gamma_{\text{RV}}$ | m s$^{-1}$ | $\mathcal{N}(-12595, 50)$ | $-12595.6 \pm 1.4$ |
| FEROS RV offset | $\Delta R_{\text{FEROS}}$ | m s$^{-1}$ | $\mathcal{N}(0, 50)$ | $-3.0 \pm 7.1$ |

| Derived parameters |
|---------------------|
| Planet radius | $R_p$ | $R_J$ | – | $1.048 \pm 0.032$ |
| Planet mass | $M_p$ | $M_J$ | – | $0.208 \pm 0.022$ |
| Planet density | $\rho_p$ | g cm$^{-3}$ | – | $0.223 \pm 0.029$ |
| Planet surface gravity | $\log g_p$ | log cm s$^{-2}$ | – | $2.670 \pm 0.049$ |
| RV semi-amplitude | $K$ | m s$^{-1}$ | – | $21.3 \pm 2.1$ |
| Scaled semimajor axis | $a/R_\star$ | – | – | $10.28 \pm 0.31$ |
| Semimajor axis | $a$ | AU | – | $0.0757 \pm 0.0014$ |
| Orbital inclination | $i$ | degrees | – | $88.9 \pm 0.76$ |
| Transit duration | $T_{14}$ | hours | – | $5.85 \pm 0.073$ |
| Incident stellar flux | $F_{\text{inc}}$ | erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ | – | $(5.66 \pm 0.62) \times 10^8$ |
| Equilibrium temperature | $T_{\text{eq}}$ | K | – | $1257 \pm 34$ |
| Atmospheric scale height | $H$ | km | – | $1020 \pm 150$ |

---

$^a$This is the $\beta$ distribution for the eccentricity of short-period planets from Kipping (2013a).

$^b$Adopted eccentricity. 95 per cent confidence level upper limit is 0.08.

$^c$LDC = limb-darkening coefficient.

$^d$K denotes the informative quadratic LDC parametrization from Kipping (2013b).

$^e$Assuming zero albedo.

$^f$Assuming atmospheric mean molecular mass is 2.2a.
where \( \sigma \) is the measured uncertainty in eccentricity, in order to be considered significant. Our measured value of \( e = 0.01 \pm 0.01 \) does not meet the criteria. Therefore, we find the orbit of NGTS-12b to be circular at a statistically significant level, and therefore adopt the parameters derived using the fixed circular orbit for our final system parameters.

For the large bulk of hot Jupiter planets with orbital periods \( \leq 4 \) d, we would expect a circular orbit, due to the quick circularization timescales. For example, using equation (3) from Adams & Laughlin (2006) with a tidal quality factor of \( Q_p = 10^6 \), we find a tidal circularization time-scale of just 375 Myr for a planet of Jupiter’s size and mass in a 4-d orbit around a solar-mass star. However, at longer orbital periods, such as the 7.53-d orbit of NGTS-12b, the situation is less clear cut; the circular orbit of NGTS-12b is less obvious a priori. Again following Adams & Laughlin (2006) and with \( Q_p = 10^6 \), we find an orbital circularization time-scale for NGTS-12b of \( \tau_{\text{circ}} = 3.77 \pm 0.90 \) Gyr. This is significantly shorter than the lifetime of the system (9.4 ± 1.5 Gyr), and so tidal circularization alone is enough to explain the circular orbit.

### 3.3 Dilution of the TESS transits

As discussed in Section 3.2, we fitted a dilution factor, \( D \), for the TESS photometry, and found a value of 8.5 ± 4.7 per cent. We expect that the majority of the contaminating flux, \( F_{\text{cont}} \), in the TESS photometry will be due to the bright (T = 12.016 mag) neighbour TIC157230670. We inspected the TESS FFI to determine the point-spread function (PSF) in the region of the camera surrounding NGTS-12 to estimate the contamination from TIC157230670, as well as other nearby stars.

We model the PSF as a 2D elliptical Gaussian using the \((S,D,K)\) parametrization PSF model (Pál 2009). As a part of the Cluster Difference Imaging Photometric Survey (CDIPS), Bouma et al. (2019) calculated \((S,D,K)\) shape parameters for a selection of stars across the TESS cameras. We extract shape parameters for the sample of stars with CCD positions closest to NGTS-12. There were relatively few CDIPS targets in TESS Sector 10, Camera 2, CCD4. However, since the shape of the PSF depends almost entirely on the TESS optics, the shape parameters for a given CCD are stable across the different sectors. As such, we can use data from TESS Sector 7, for which Camera 2 CCD 4 has a significantly higher coverage of...
CDIPS stars, to determine the shape parameters for our model PSF for NGTS-12. We therefore extract shape parameters for NGTS-12 of $S = 1.688 \pm 0.022$, $D = -0.0220 \pm 0.0047$, and $K = 0.104 \pm 0.012$.

We use this model PSF to estimate the amount of light from the nearby stars, which falls into the photometric aperture used for NGTS-12. We consider all the stars in TICv8 (Stassun et al. 2019) within 1′ of NGTS-12, as well as the bright neighbour TIC157230670 (see Fig. 2). We use the TESS mag values for each neighbouring star to weight the total flux contained within each star’s PSF. This method yields an estimated dilution factor of $D = 6.09 \pm 0.15$ per cent, which is consistent with the dilution factor derived from the global model. We are therefore confident that the difference in the transit depths between NGTS and TESS is due to blending in the TESS photometry, and that our global modelling is correctly accounting for this blending.

4 DISCUSSION

From the analysis set out in Section 3, we find NGTS-12b to be an inflated sub-Saturn mass planet, with a mass and radius of $M_P = 0.208 \pm 0.022 \, M_J$ and $R_P = 1.048 \pm 0.032 \, R_J$, respectively. This mass and radius gives NGTS-12b a relatively low density of $\rho_P = 0.223 \pm 0.029 \, g \, cm^{-3}$, and using the method of Southworth, Wheatley & Sams (2007) we derive a surface gravity of $log \, g_P = 2.670 \pm 0.056$. Based on these parameters, we expect NGTS-12b to have an extended gaseous atmosphere.

We compare NGTS-12b to the population of currently known planets in Fig. 5. We note that NGTS-12b is at the lower mass edge of the large majority of the currently known hot-Jupiter planet population. In Fig. 5, the presence of the Neptunian desert (eg. Mazeh, Holczer & Faigler 2016) can be clearly seen, and NGTS-12b lies on the edge of the desert. The desert boundaries found by Mazeh et al. (2016) are not particularly well defined for periods $P > 5$ d, and based on this one might not consider NGTS-12b a true Neptune desert planet based on period alone. The fact that NGTS-12b quite clearly lies on the boundary of the desert when we consider incident flux instead of simply period implies that the stellar parameters must be taken into account when studying the Neptune desert and the physical processes which shape it. This same effect has been investigated previously in more detail by Eigmüller et al. (2019) in relation to NGTS-5b.

Tidal interaction between the star and planet has recently been shown to be a significant source of inflation for sub-Saturn mass planets (Millholland, Petigura & Batygin 2020). Despite focusing on planets with $R_P < 8.0 \, R_\oplus$, Millholland et al. (2020) also noted that tidal
inflation could play a role for larger radius planets (eg. WASP-107b; Anderson et al. 2017). Baraffe, Chabrier & Barman (2008) provide model radii for irradiated planets at a distance of 0.045 AU from the Sun. This is a reasonable approximation as the irradiation received by NGTS-12b is equivalent to orbiting at a separation of 0.049 AU from the Sun. Assuming a heavy metal fraction of Z = 0.02, the Baraffe et al. (2008) tables give a predicted radius for a 50 M_J planet at an age of 7.95-10.01 Gyr of 1.044-1.057 R_J. This prediction agrees well with the derived radius of 1.048 ± 0.032 R_J. Therefore, we conclude that the inflation of NGTS-12b is driven by stellar irradiation and tidal effects have very little, if any, influence on R_P. Due to the circular orbit of NGTS-12b this is not surprising, however obliquity tides alone could still have had an impact (Millholland et al. 2020).

We also investigate how the bulk density of a planet, \( \rho_P \), varies with both the stellar irradiation flux incident on it, \( F_{inc} \), as well as the planet’s mass, \( M_P \). From Fig. 6, we can see a steady decrease in \( \rho_P \) with increasing \( F_{inc} \) for planets of a similar mass. We also note from Fig. 6 that NGTS-12b has one of the lowest densities for planets with a similar level of irradiation. It can also be seen that the majority of planets with lower densities than NGTS-12b have both a larger \( F_{inc} \) and \( M_P \). We also note that all the planets in the top-left region of Fig. 6 with \( F_{inc} > 10^9 \text{erg s}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2} \) and \( \rho_P < 0.2 \rho_J \) have a greater mass than NGTS-12b. This implies that a minimum mass is required to maintain an extended atmosphere under these extreme levels of irradiation. This suggests that the level of irradiation received by NGTS-12b is close to an upper limit of irradiation before significant atmospheric loss occurs.

Given NGTS-12b has a low bulk density (0.223 ± 0.029 g cm\(^{-3}\)), a relatively high equilibrium temperature (1257 ± 34 K) and orbits a fairly bright host star (T=11.6), NGTS-12b provides a valuable target for atmospheric characterization through transmission spectroscopy. Kempton et al. (2018) introduced a transmission spectroscopy metric (TSM) for the purposes of identifying good targets for transmission spectroscopic follow-up, based on the expected signal to noise. In Fig. 7, we compare the TSM value for NGTS-12b to other transiting planets. We note that NGTS-12b has a reasonably high TSM, especially when compared to planets of similar and longer orbital periods. Therefore, NGTS-12b presents an opportunity to study the atmosphere of a planet on a longer period than the main population of currently known hot Jupiters.

The discovery of NGTS-12b again shows that NGTS is able to find transiting planets that were not detectable to previous ground-based transit surveys. Fig. 8 shows that NGTS-12b is one of the longest period planets discovered with a ground-based transit survey to date. Only 12 other ground-based transit survey planets have longer orbital periods. Of these, all have deeper transits than NGTS-12b. The placement of NGTS-12b in Fig. 8 suggests that the higher photometric precision achieved by NGTS compared to other ground-based transit surveys will allow more planets with longer orbital periods and shallow transits to be found by NGTS over the coming years.

### 4.1 Fate of NGTS-12b

The age of NGTS-12 (9.4 ± 1.5 Gyr), along with its Solar-like mass (1.021 ± 0.056 M_☉) and metallicity ([Fe/H] = −0.03 ± 0.11), indicates...
that it will soon, or already has, left the main sequence. Indeed, within these uncertainties, the star may either still reside on the main sequence or is already slightly evolved. The increased stellar radius of $1.589 \pm 0.040 \, R_\odot$ for a mass of $1.021^{+0.056}_{-0.049} \, M_\odot$ is evidence for the latter. Therefore, we expect that the expansion of NGTS-12 will begin to increase the irradiation flux received by NGTS-12b.

By analogy with the fate of the Sun (Schröder & Connolly Smith 2008; Veras 2016a, b), upon leaving the main sequence, NGTS-12 will enlarge and tidally draw in and destroy planets that are separated by a distance less than about 1 AU. For NGTS-12b, with a semimajor axis under 0.08 AU, the question is not if the planet will be engulfed, but when. Here, we estimate when and where the engulfment will take place.

In post-main-sequence planetary systems, the inward tidal force (Mustill & Villaver 2012; Adams & Bloch 2013; Madappatt, De Marco & Villaver 2016) competes against the outward orbital expansion due to mass loss from stellar winds (Hadidjemetriou 1963; Veras et al. 2011). However, with such a small semimajor axis ($0.0757 \pm 0.0014 \, AU$), NGTS-12b will be subjected to negligible orbital expansion before tidal forces take hold. Further, our current knowledge of the system indicates that no other forces need to be considered in the computation. Even evaporation of the planet’s atmosphere during the latter stages of NGTS-12b’s lifetime might be negligible enough to not substantially affect its orbital evolution (Schreiber et al. 2019).

Given these assumptions, we use the tidal equations from Villaver et al. (2014) to compute the engulfment time; these tides are often termed ‘dynamical tides’, originally from Zahn (1977). A key element in the computation is the stellar model adopted, which provides a time series in the mass and radius values in the different components in the host star. Given the extent of the uncertainties in stellar age and metallicity, we are content with just a rough estimate. Hence, we use the SSE stellar evolution code (Hurley, Pols & Tout 2000) with an initial stellar mass of $1.021 \, M_\odot$ and an initial value of $Z = 0.0133$ (computed from $Z = 10^{\text{Feh}}/Z_\odot$, with $Z_\odot = 0.0142$). By the time that the star evolves to an age of 9.4 Gyr, it will have lost just $3.3 \times 10^{-4} \, M_\odot$.

We find that the engulfment occurs at a stellar age of 9.9358 Gyr. However, a more sensible way of expressing this estimate is roughly 500 Myr after the current time. The engulfment occurs when star’s radius expands out to about 0.02 AU, which is nearly one quarter of the planet’s current separation. Due to the fairly large uncertainty on the age of NGTS-12 of ± 1.4 Gyr, we should be careful not to draw too strong conclusions from these calculations. However, it is clear that the environment in which NGTS-12b finds itself will be undergoing quite extreme changes in the not too distant future.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We report the discovery of NGTS-12b, a sub-Saturn mass transiting exoplanet with a mass and radius of $0.208 \pm 0.022 \, M_J$ and $1.048 \pm 0.032 \, R_J$. The orbital period of NGTS-12b (7.53 d), which is longer than the periods of 95 per cent of the other planets discovered by all ground-based transit surveys, makes it the longest period planet to date discovered by the main NGTS survey. The transits of NGTS-12b are also detected in the full frame TESS images, and the overall precision of the phase-folded TESS light curve is similar to that of the NGTS light curve (see Fig. 3).

With its low density ($0.223 \pm 0.029 \, g \, cm^{-3}$), and long orbital period (7.53 d), NGTS-12b represents a good chance to study the atmosphere of a planet with a longer period than the bulk population of hot Jupiters.

The mass and irradiation of NGTS-12b places it on the boundary of the Neptunian desert in a currently undersampled region of parameter space. Therefore, NGTS-12b can play an important role in understanding the physical processes shaping this region.
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