Subclinical Hypothyroidism in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Background: The association between subclinical hypothyroidism (SCH) and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) has been reported in several studies, but it is not well-recognized. The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of SCH in women with PCOS.

Methods: An extensive literature search was conducted in PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library databases. All articles published before May 2018 was considered for eligibility. No language restrictions were implemented. The prevalence of SCH in PCOS was calculated by the meta-analysis to produce an odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results: A total of 6 studies including 692 PCOS patients and 540 controls were eligible for the meta-analysis. The combined odds ratio (OR) of SCH risk for women with PCOS compared with controls was 2.87 (95% CI = 1.82–9.92; \( P < 0.000001 \)). The OR increased to 3.59 when limiting thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) cut-off to \( \geq 4 \) mIU/L.

Conclusions: Women with PCOS are more likely to develop SCH.
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INTRODUCTION

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is one of the most common endocrine disorders characterized by anovulation, hyperandrogenism and polycystic ovaries, and affects up to 15–20% women of reproductive age (1). These patients are at risks of a range of metabolic and endocrinological disturbances including infertility, obesity, insulin resistance, and metabolic syndrome (2–4). In addition, there is also increasing evidence to suggest that PCOS links to the increased prevalence of thyroid diseases such as nodular goiter and autoimmune thyroiditis (5).

Primary hypothyroidism is a deficiency status in thyroid hormone production by the thyroid gland (6). It can cause a number of symptoms, such as poor ability to tolerate cold, tiredness, constipation, depression, and weight gain. Severity of hypothyroidism varies significantly, from transient and subclinical forms to severe cases. In fact, subclinical hypothyroidism (SCH), defined as an elevated TSH level in combination with normal T4 and free thyroxine (FT4) levels and lack
of signs or symptoms of hypothyroidism (7). The prevalence of SCH is affected by geographic regions, ethnicity, and age in general population (8–10). Although SCH is a mild form, it also results in anovulatory cycles, sex hormone imbalances, subfertility, and adverse pregnancy outcomes (11–13), which are also features of women with PCOS. In addition, patients with SCH have increased metabolic risk of obesity, insulin resistance and hyperlipidemia similarly to those with PCOS (14, 15).

Considering that women with PCOS and SCH share the above-mentioned features, we deduced that the presence of PCOS might be linked to the initiation and development of SCH. The prevalence of SCH in women with PCOS is variable, ranging from 11 to 36% (16, 17). To date, the overall prevalence of SCH in PCOS is limited by lack of large population-based data. In addition, no meta-analyses on this topic have been published. Hence, we aimed to conduct this systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the prevalence of SCH in women with PCOS.

METHODS

This systematic review and meta-analysis was designed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (18) and Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) (19).

Search Strategy

An extensive literature search was conducted in PubMed, Embase, Web of Science and Cochrane Library databases. All articles published before May 2018 was considered for eligibility. No language restrictions were implemented. The search strategy is shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Study Selection Criteria

Studies were selected according to the following criteria: (1) Studies defining PCOS clearly conforming to the Rotterdam Criteria or other compatible criteria were included; (2) Studies with clear data were included; (3) Studies on subjects having diseases other than PCOS and thyroid dysfunction, taking any other kind of medicine that could have influenced the test result were excluded; (4) Reviews, non-human studied and conference proceedings were excluded. Studies without control groups were only used to systematic review. Two reviewers scrutinized all articles identified by the searches independently. Discrepant opinions between the two reviewers were resolved by discussion and consultation with a third reviewer, if necessary.

Data Extraction

General study characteristics (name of the first author, year of publication, study location, type of study, number of women with and without PCOS), age of participants, diagnostic criteria for PCOS (Rotterdam or ESHRE/ASRM), definition of SCH, number of women with SCH in PCOS were extracted from each included study by using a standardized form. We used the available data for our analysis.

Quality Assessment

Quality of the included studies was assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool. This tool evaluated seven domains, including random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases. Each item was classified as low risk, unclear risk, or high risk. Two reviewers evaluated the quality of included studies independently and discrepant opinions between the two reviewers were resolved by consensus. The possibility of publication bias was assessed by visual inspection of funnel plot.

RESULTS

Study Selection

Our search strategy identified 66 potential articles. Fifty-one studies were excluded after screening based on title or abstract, and 11 potentially relevant studies were assessed by reviewing the full-text article and finally included for the systematic review. Among these studies, 5 articles were excluded from the meta-analysis owing to lack of control groups. Finally, 6 studies including 692 subjects with PCOS and 540 controls met our inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis. Figure 1 presents the search strategy for study selection.

Characteristics of Included Studies

General characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. Of the 11 studies, 8 are cross-sectional design and 3 are case-control design. The majority of studies used the Rotterdam criteria for PCOS diagnosis and only one study used the ESHRE/ASRM criteria. Subjects included in the meta-analysis were from five different countries including Spain (17), India (22), Italy (20, 23), Brazil (21), and China (24), while those only included in systematic review were from America (25), India (26), China (27), Brazil (16), and Iran (28). Definition for SCH varied among studies, with TSH cut-off values ranging from 2.5 to 5 mIU/L. Among these studies, the prevalence of SCH in PCOS varied significantly, ranging from 11.3 to 36.6% (Table 2).

Quality Assessment

The risk of bias for the six studies in meta-analysis was evaluated using the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool. All studies showed a low risk of bias in incomplete outcome data and selective reporting but the evaluation of the random sequence generation and allocation concealment were not clear. Because
Subclinical Hypothyroidism in PCOS

Six individual studies were included to compare the prevalence of SCH between PCOS and controls. The meta-analysis showed that the combined OR of SCH risk for women with PCOS compared with controls was 2.87 (95% CI = 1.82–9.92; P < 0.000001; Figure 3). There was low heterogeneity among these studies (P = 0.16; I² = 37).

However, as shown in Table 1, the cut-off for TSH to establish the diagnosis of SCH is different, which is one of the possible limitations to our results. Thus, a subgroup analysis including four studies in which TSH upper limit was more than 4.0 mIU/L was performed. An evident difference between women with PCOS and controls was found in the composite endpoint (OR 3.59; 95% CI = 2.25–5.73; P < 0.000001; Figure 4). There was little heterogeneity among four studies (P = 0.95; I² = 0).

TABLE 1 | General characteristics of studies included in the systematic review.

| Study | Type               | Region | PCOS diagnosis criteria                        | Definition for SCH | Age (PCOS vs. controls) |
|-------|--------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| (17)  | Case-control study | Spain  | Rotterdam 2003 criteria                       | TSH ≥ 4.2 mIU/L    | 24.5 ± 6.7; 26.3 ± 7.4 |
| (20)  | Case-control study | Italy  | ESHRE/ASRM consensus                          | TSH > 2.5 mIU/L    | 32.2 ± 6.5; 36.7 ± 6.5 |
| (21)  | Cross-sectional study | Brazil | Rotterdam criteria                           | TSH: 4.5–10 mIU/L, normal FT4 levels (0.9–1.8 ng/dl) | 27.8 ± 6.9; 33.5 ± 5.7 |
| (22)  | Cross-sectional study | India | Rotterdam criteria                           | TSH > 4.25 mIU/L   | 22.7 ± 5.30; 26.3 ± 7.4 |
| (23)  | Cross-sectional study | Italy | Rotterdam criteria                           | TSH > 2.5 mIU/L    | 18–36; 18–36            |
| (24)  | Case-control study | China  | Rotterdam criteria                           | TSH > 4.25 mIU/L, normal T3 and T4 levels           | 27.4 ± 5.4; 23.3 ± 4.1 |
| (25)  | Cross-sectional study | America | Rotterdam criteria                          | TSH > 2.5 mIU/L    | 29.5; /                  |
| (26)  | Cross-sectional study | India | Rotterdam criteria                           | /                  | 19 ± 4.84; /            |
| (16)  | Cross-sectional study | China | Rotterdam criteria                           | TSH > 5 mIU/L      | 26.72 ± 5.43; /        |
| (27)  | Cross-sectional cohort study | Brazil | Rotterdam criteria                          | TSH: 4.5–10 mIU/L | 24 ± 5.8; /            |
| (28)  | Cross sectional study | Iran  | Rotterdam criteria                           | TSH > 3.75 mIU/L, normal levels of FT3 and FT4     | 26 ± 4.2; /            |

of the small sample population, two studies have other bias (Supplementary Figure 1). The funnel plot showed reasonable symmetry, with no evidence of publication bias (Figure 2). It is thus concluded that the meta-analysis finding is robust.
TABLE 2 | The distribution of subclinical hypothyroidism in PCOS and controls.

| Study | SCH/PCOS(%) | SCH/controls(%) |
|-------|-------------|-----------------|
| (17)  | 52/142 (36.6) | 7/62 (13.5)     |
| (20)  | 51/151 (33.8) | 36/156 (23.2)   |
| (21)  | 11/65 (16.9)  | 4/65 (6.2)      |
| (22)  | 18/80 (22.5)  | 7/80 (8.8)      |
| (23)  | 22/154 (14.3) | 1/88 (1.1)      |
| (24)  | 27/100 (27.0) | 8/100 (8.0)     |
| (25)  | 30/137 (21.9) | /               |
| (26)  | 16/60 (26.6)  | /               |
| (16)  | 60/428 (14.0) | /               |
| (27)  | 19/168 (11.3) | /               |
| (29)  | 19/75 (25.3)  | /               |

No., number; SCH, subclinical hypothyroidism; TSH: thyroid stimulating hormone; FT3: Free triiodothyronine; FT4: free thyroxine.

**FIGURE 2 | Funnel plot of the studies.**

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this was the first systematic review and meta-analysis aimed at quantifying the relationship between SCH and PCOS. This meta-analysis including six studies showed that women with PCOS had 2.87 times the odds of having SCH than controls, suggesting PCOS might be a risk factor for SCH.

Over the past decades, a large number of studies had investigated the prevalence of SCH in PCOS. Since the prevalence of SCH differs from geographic region, ethnicity or age, the results of studies were inconsistent. Only 14.3% of participants had SCH in the Italian PCOS population (23), whereas 27.0% of participants had SCH in China (24). The reported prevalence of SCH in the Indian PCOS population was nearly 22.5% (22), which was higher than that in Brazil (21). Although a recent study showed that SCH does not increase the risk of PCOS in obese women of reproductive age (29), it is recognized by most researchers that PCOS exacerbates the development of SCH.

Several possible mechanisms for the increased prevalence of SCH in PCOS have been inferred. First, the effect of PCOS on the SCH is likely to be mediated by obesity and insulin resistance. Excessive body weight seems to promote this interplay (23). In addition, there was no difference in the mean values of all endocrine and metabolic parameters tested in the presence or absence of SCH with PCOS. However, abnormal FPG levels and insulin resistance were more likely in women who had SCH than in women without SCH independently of age and BMI (25).

What is critical is that SCH is associated with insulin resistance (30). Second, compromised immune system is likely to be a cause of the interaction between SCH and PCOS since SCH can result from autoimmune thyroiditis (31). Normally, estrogen's immune stimulatory activity is neutralized by anti-inflammatory actions of progesterone levels. However, progesterone level is near zero in PCOS because of anovulatory cycles (32). As a result, estrogen overstimulates the immune system, leading to high incidence of autoimmune diseases (33). Third, the strong direct interaction between thyroid and ovary has been implied by experiments both in humans and animals. For example, thyroglobulin and TSH receptor are detected in bovine luteal cells by immunohistochemistry suggesting that the luteal cells of mature corpora lutea may be involved in the synthesis of thyroid hormones (34).

In the long term, PCOS women with SCH might have increased risk of developing a variety of diseases. Total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG) and fasting glucose were higher in PCOS with SCH (35, 36), thus they are more likely to exhibit hyperlipidemia, impaired glucose metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases (24, 37). SCH may also contribute to the psychological co-morbidities in women with PCOS, such as anxiety and depression, since the strong association between SCH, PCOS and depressive symptoms has been reported, respectively (38, 39). Besides, SCH during pregnancy could lead to multiple adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes, including premature rupture of membranes and neonatal death (40).

Therefore, greater awareness is needed for PCOS women with SCH. Metformin may be a beneficial choice for PCOS women with SCH. A significantly reduction in serum TSH levels was observed in patients with SCH after treatment with metformin and the effect was not related to its dose. Several mechanisms have hypothesized for explaining this effect: (1) a change in the affinity or number of TSH receptors; (2) an increase in the central dopaminergic tone; or (3) an interaction between metformin and TSH (41). Meanwhile, metformin also plays a role in improving the ovulation rate and reproductive outcomes in women with PCOS (42). In addition, it was reported that levothyroxine replacement therapy can improve clinical pregnancy outcome in women with SCH undergoing assisted reproductive technology, not only significantly increasing delivery rate but also lowering miscarriage rate (43). Besides, levothyroxine therapy is associated with a decreased risk of low birth weight (44).

**LIMITATIONS**

Our literature search was comprehensive, and we did not apply any restrictions on language to limit our ability to assess the
relationship between SCH and PCOS. It is undeniable that several limitations present in our meta-analysis. First, the included studies were not restricted to specific range of age and were designed as naturalistic analysis (cross-sectional and case-control studies) with different data collection. Our sample capacity was still too small to avoid random error and most participants included were from clinics or hospitals. Thus, the PCOS groups in this review may be over-represented by those with more severe symptom. Furthermore, there may be possible bias due to the heterogeneity in terms of SCH definition (based on TSH upper limit) and PCOS diagnosis. In spite of these limitations, the present meta-analysis has increased the statistical power by pooling the results of single studies. Therefore, the total number of the subjects was sufficiently large to support our conclusion.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrated that PCOS was strongly associated with an increased risk of SCH. Further studies are needed to explore the potential pathways and focus on whether SCH could be improved by treating PCOS.
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