Celiac & Gluten Intolerance: A Wellness Perspective

Abstract
The popularity of the gluten-free diet is driven by misconceptions concerning its efficacy for everything from weight control to sports performance. It is time to step back and review the current state of diagnostic methods, diet treatment, and the health-related outcomes of celiac and gluten-sensitive individuals. This review was undertaken to propose changes to wellness promotion and food industry practices for people who are in the gluten intolerant spectrum, and to identify opportunities for research in nutrition and wellness products and services.
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Introduction
Celiac disease is a T cell-mediated autoimmune disease triggered by digestion-resistant immunotoxic peptides from ingestion of gluten. Gluten is a glycosylated storage protein found in wheat, rye, and barley, and used in the production of many processed foods. The disease has a wide array of manifestations, affecting the health of the gastrointestinal, integumentary, immune, and central nervous systems. The current ESPGHAN (European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition) American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) guidelines for the diagnosis of celiac disease were published to improve the accuracy of serologic testing and reduce the high variability in interpretation of duodenal biopsies [1-3]. Experience with serologic testing has led to greater confidence in the method’s ability to detect CD, a disease that affects an estimated 1% of the population in the United States and Europe [4,5]. Estimates from recent serologic studies in India suggest that the prevalence of CD is between 0.056 and 1.14%, an estimate that is larger than previously reported, but likely due to the silent aspect of adult onset symptoms [6-8]. The number of confirmed cases is also rising in China, where previously it was a rarity [9].

The practice of active case-finding by screening high risk groups, such as first degree relatives, type 1 diabetes, or autoimmune thyroid disease, is becoming common practice in Europe and North America, but it remains a largely undiagnosed condition [10,11]. Individuals who are sensitive to gluten, but do not fit the full diagnostic criteria for CD, have been assigned to categories known as “latent celiac” or non-celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS). Italian researchers followed latent celiac patients who had positive serologic markers (TTG and/or anti-endomysial antibodies) and carried the human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DQ2/DQ-8 haplotypes, but initial biopsies showed no small intestinal villous flattening [12]. Within four years 30% participants (n=127), were eventually diagnosed with CD. Australian researchers have demonstrated in a double blind, randomly controlled study that some individuals become symptomatic when challenged with gluten, but otherwise do not meet the diagnostic criteria for CD [13,14]. Proposal triggers of NCGS include gluten and other wheat proteins, food additives, and a diet rich in fermentable Monosaccharides and Polyols (FODMAPS) [15,16]. Some forms of neurologic dysfunction are also related to NCGS, such as gluten ataxia, ecephalopathy, epilepsy, stiff-man syndrome, and myoclonic ataxia [17]. Gluten-intolerant patients present with neurological manifestations, rather than the classic gastrointestinal symptoms, yet possess circulating antibodies to gliadin and to at least one type of transglutaminase. The best estimate for the incidence of gluten-sensitivity in the US population is derived from self-reported gluten-free diet (GFD) from the NHANES survey, and is approximately 0.5%, and some authors estimate that it is as high as 6% [15,18].

Wheat allergy, an IgE mediated reaction to omega-5 gliadin fraction of gliadin protein, is found in only 1% of the general US population. This group includes “Baker’s asthma,” the leading cause of occupational disease in the baking industry that affects between one and 10 cases per 1000, and exercise-induced anaphylaxis (EIA) or food-triggered-exercise induced-anaphylaxis with an unknown prevalence [19-22]. Wheat allergy is diagnosed by serum titer for IgE (> 2 kU/L), and an enzyme-
linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA test) to determine the immunoreactivity to the fractions \( \omega-5 \) gliadin and \( \omega-1.2 \) gliadin, and by the skin prick test (SPT) [23]. Neither NCGS nor wheat allergy falls under the diagnosis of celiac disease, but are part of a spectrum of individuals who must avoid gluten containing foods for their health.

**The rising tide of gluten-free consumers**

Celiac is a disease with a highly variable clinical presentation. Prior to the publication of the 2012 ESPGHAN guidelines, the process of making the diagnosis relied heavily on the gold standard biopsy, but many clinicians have changed their clinical practice as they developed confidence in the accuracy of serologic testing. Primary providers are more willing to use serologic testing for case finding in high risk groups, such as chronic anemia, diabetes, and Grave’s disease [24,25]. Interpretation of the serologic tests is complicated by the high incidence of IgA deficiency in CD resulting in a reduction in IgA class antibodies against gliadin being [26]. IgG anti-endomysium antibodies (IgG-EMA) are accurate biomarkers for CD in patients with selective IgA deficiency. The “gold standard” for the diagnosis of CD is a histological examination of tissue samples in the duodenum with a finding of villous blunting and intraepithelial duodenal lymphocytosis (IDL) graded according to the Marsh-Oberhuber classification [27]. Unfortunately, even the biopsy may provide false negative findings due problems with specimen processing, variability of the distribution of villous flattening along the duodenum, extent of IDL and intraepithelial lymphocyte variability between biopsy sites in the duodenum [28-30]. But the lack of industry-wide assay standardization explains some variability between biopsy sites in the duodenum [28-30].

In the past a typical patient presented with classical clinical signs that include digestive problems, malabsorption, and/or neurological manifestations, such as ataxia, depression, dementia, and/or peripheral neuropathy. The various tests for celiac disease and their published accuracies are displayed in Table 1. Celiac disease and gluten sensitivity have multifactorial origins which are a combination of genetic, epigenetic, and environmental conditions leading to immune dysfunction associated with symptoms occurring after gluten ingestion, as seen in Table 2. Due to improved screening in the US more adult-onset cases fall into the asymptomatic (silent), potential, or latent categories [32]. Regardless of symptoms, a patient testing positive for IgA tissue anti-transglutaminase antibodies (TGA) or endomyosial antibodies (IgA-EMA), who has the DQ2 and DQ8 HLA heterodimers, but a “marginally positive” pathology (grade 1) is classified in the latent category. Using a simple “4 out of 5” rule the diagnosis of CD is made if four of these symptoms are found: classic gastrointestinal symptoms of weight loss and malnutrition; (HLA)-DQ2 or DQ8 genotypes; seropositive values for TGA or EMA; villous flattening in the duodenum; and improvement of symptoms on a GFD [33]. Case finding strategies to improve the CD diagnosis rate have been proposed for the primary care setting [34]. Researchers in Utah have developed a novel approach to facilitating CD screening by using the results of tissue transglutaminase (tTG) IgA and positive duodenal biopsies from a regional healthcare system database to build a predictive model for near-neighbor-derived probability estimates [35]. Locally derived probabilities are likely more accurate than published estimates and are useful to screen potential CD cases and improve treatment outcomes in the community.

Like classic CD, individuals with dermatitis herpetiformis (DH) also carry the CD genotype, but the symptoms are distinguished by a blistering rash initiated by granular IgA deposits in the skin, neutrophils, and inflammatory changes in the epidermis [36]. Diagnosis is confirmed by a skin “punch” biopsy sampling tissue adjacent to active lesions by direct immunofluorescence (IF) of IgA endomysial antibodies (IgA-EMA) which characteristically form a granular pattern [37]. DH is distinguished by the presence of IgA-anti-TG3 or IgA-anti-TG6, rather than IgA-TG2 auto antibodies [38]. Anemia from the inflammatory changes in the mucosa may result from the malabsorption of iron, folate, and B12 [37,39]. DH may disappear during spontaneous periods of remission lasting months to years where the patient can resume eating a normal diet in the absence of skin and gastrointestinal symptoms [40,41]. Because of the relapsing and remitting nature

**Table 1: Accuracy of Celiac Tests.**

| Celiac Disease-Specific Tests          | Sensitivity (range) | Specificity (range) | Positive/Negative Predictive Value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| IgA anti-tTG                          | >95.0% (73.0-100)   | >95.0% (77.8-100)   | 85.71% / 99.92%                   |
| IgG anti-tTG                          | Variable (12.6-99.3) | Variable (86.3-100) | 86.42% / 99.95%                   |
| IgA EMA                               | >90% (82.6-100)     | 98.2% (94.7-100)    | 77.73% / 99.8%                    |
| IgG dGP                               | >90% (80.1-98.6)    | >90.0% (80.1-98.6)  | 98.9% / 95.2%                     |
| Rapid Point of Care Test Kit$ ^1$     | 94.35% (88.71-97.69)| 96.15% (90.44-98.92)| 94.5% / 96.2%                     |
| HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8                    | 91.0 (82.6-97.0)    | 54.0 (12.0-68.0)    | 100% (CI, 79-100) / 100% (CI, 96.6-100) |
| Strategy for Borderline Values        | 100% (99.7-100)     | 99.4% (99.2-99.6)   | 79.7% / 100%                      |
| Strategy for Borderline Values        | tTG-IgA > 4 U/mL or tTG 2-4U/mL with EMA-IgA ≥ 1.5, and s-IgA <0.5g/L with tTG-IgG > 6 U/mL or 3-6 with EMA-IgG ≥ 1.5 |

EMA: Antiendomysial Antibodies; dGP: deamidated Gliadin Peptide

Data are from [25,158-171]

Foods that contain 20 parts per million of gluten contain 0.002% gluten
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Table 2: Potential factors influencing oral tolerance to gluten.

| Genetic and Epigenetic Susceptibility | Environmental Triggers | Immune Dysregulation |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. HLA Genotypes necessary for CD: DQ2.5, DQ8 and DQ2.2 with a double DQB1*02 | Gene-gluten exposure interaction | Celiac Disease |
| 2. High Risk HLA haplotypes | Obesity (evidence by association) | • Tissue transglutaminase-specific B cells ingest gluten–tTG complexes and present gluten peptides to gluten-reactive T cells |
| • 30% of the general population that carries DQ2.5/DQ8 molecules do not have CD and only 3% will develop NCGS | Timing and exposure of gluten (3-6 months, 200 mg vital wheat gluten) in infants with high risk genotype delays onset of CD | • Conversion of T helper (TH) cells into TH1 or TH2 cells |
| • DQ 2.5 homozygous have 5 times the risk of developing CD | Dysbiosis (Gram-negative bacteria and reduced Bifidobacterium & Lactobacillus) | • Protective TH1-mediated immunity induces gluten intolerance |
| • HLA-DQ types have different efficiencies in presenting peptides to CD4+ T cells | Glymphatozoa (herbicidal) disrupts gut bacteria and CYP enzymes in liver | • Gluten-reactive T cell repertoire is stimulated resulting in tTG auto-antibody production and chronic inflammation |
| 3. CD gene locus FRMD4B (3p14.1) | ATIs | 1L-15 stimulated by gluten leads to NKG2D destruction of epithelial cells |
| 4. Myosin IXB (MYO9B) gene may induce intestinal permeability | FODMAPs | Circulating IgA (anti-tTG) rises with intestinal damage |
| 5. Early postnatal development affects maturation of gene-specific DNA methylation, producing changes in gene expression | Food preservatives (glutamates, benzoates, sulfites, and nitrates) | In HD, mucosal response in gut triggers chemokine, IL-8 (CXCL8), recruiting neutrophils |

CD: Celiac Disease; NCGS: Non-Celiac Gluten Sensitivity; HD: Herpetiformis Dermatitis; CD4+T-cell; T helper (TH) cells express the surface protein CD4; tTG: Tissue Transglutaminase; eTG: tTG3; Epidermal Transglutaminase TG; IL: Interleukin; FOXPR3: T-Regulatory cell marker FOXP3; ATIs: Amylase Trypsin Inhibitors; IL-8 (CXCL8): Interleukin 8; IL-17 A: Interleukin 17A

Celiac or gluten sensitive?

In a study screening high-risk groups, the frequency of celiac disease (CD) in the US primary care practice is estimated to be 11.6 per thousand visits, 95% CI [6.8, 16.4] P = 0.001 [34]. From a nationally representative sample, the weighted prevalence rate was reported as 0.71, 95% CI [0.58 – 0.86], which is similar to the incidence in most European countries [46]. Gluten-free diet adherence had a weighted rate of 0.63, 95% CI [0.36 – 1.07]. NHANES data from 2009-2010 reported a weighted rate of 0.548%, 95% CI [0.206, 0.889] for those following a GF diet [18].

The only population survey from the United Kingdom reported that 13% of the respondents were self-described “gluten sensitive,” and only .8% had a confirmed diagnosis of CD [47]. In addition, the authors performed a case finding survey of primary care providers, and subsequently screened patients by symptoms and self-report of relief by a GFD. A subset of participants (n=200) volunteered for a prospective study which included tTG/EMA assays, vitamin tests, and a “modified gluten challenge” consisting of 3 grams of gluten for two weeks as suggested by Leffler et al. [48]. The authors reported that 7% of the subjects were confirmed cases of CD (n=14), and 93% (n=186) were classified as NCGS. A significant number of subjects in the NCGS group (53%) were HLA-DQ2/8 positive, and this was greater than the 16.0% NCGS reported in American and Australian studies [49,50]. A prospective multicenter study in Italy of over 12,000 consecutive patients identified 3.19% new CD cases and NCGS in 2.77%, suggesting that a population estimate of NCGS may be equal or slightly higher than 1% [51]. This is the best estimate to date for an emerging syndrome that is distinguished primarily to symptoms following gluten abstinence and challenge.

Compliance to a gluten-free diet presents a challenge to the sufferer because perceptible symptoms may be delayed or imperceptible after a period of gut rehabilitation. It has been observed that patient self-reports become less reliable over time compared to serologic testing [52]. The Italian “CD-Watch”
surveillance program used an annual t-TG antibody test to identify risky diet behaviors of its participants who were subsidized with gluten-free foods [53]. Over a 5-year period, subjects in the CD-Watch program achieved an overall compliance rate of 91%. Those initially classified as persistently or fluctuating positive serology changed to persistently-t-TG negative resulting in a shift from the initial annual compliance rate of 82% to 93% at year 5. “CD-Watch” illustrates the level of vigilance needed to coach patients into better adherence.

Self-prescribed gluten-free diets may provide symptomatic relief, but when practiced prior to serologic or biopsy testing, delays the opportunity to get a firm diagnosis [54]. Patients with non-celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS) may discover that gastrointestinal symptoms improve solely with gluten avoidance [14], but there is evidence from a carefully controlled study that NCGS may actually be triggered by foods with fermentable, poorly absorbed, short-chain carbohydrates (FODMAPs) [16]. The abundance of sweet tasting, but possibly absorbed short-chain carbohydrates in the Western diet, such as fructooligosaccharides (fructans) and galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS), has also been shown to be a trigger for irritable bowel symptoms. Biesiekierski et al. [16] placed gluten-sensitive participants on a low FODMAP diet and randomized them into one of three treatments: 16 g/d whole-wheat gluten (gluten arm), 16 g/d whey protein isolate (whey arm), or no additional protein (placebo arm) with crossover after a washout period. In the run-in period symptoms decreased significantly from their usual diet baseline. The authors reported a nocebo effect, as they were unable to detect significant differences across the treatment periods for participants with NCGS on a low FODMAP diet.

Many patients find the standard gluten challenge of 8-10 g/day for several weeks prior to serum and histological testing unmanageable. In order to evaluate the minimum gluten challenge that will result in an accurate test, 20 adults with biopsy proven CD on a GFD were challenged for two weeks with either 3 or 7.5 mg gluten/day [48]. There was no significant difference between the two treatment groups and most participants met diagnostic criteria for both histology and serological testing by day 14 of the study. In a few participants there was a delayed response of IGA-tTG titer levels for 2 weeks after the challenge and well after the gastrointestinal symptoms had subsided, illustrating the need to extend the test/retest period form some patients. Leffler et al. [48] concluded that the standard gluten challenge protocol could be modified with a lower gluten dose, and repeat testing for negative titers two weeks later. Published probabilities of obtaining a positive diagnosis using standard gluten challenge of 12 weeks for high risk patients is 39% and low risk symptomatic patients 3.3% [55]. Using data from the 2 week, modified gluten challenge supplied by Leffler, et al., the author of this paper calculated a post-test detection probability of only 9% for high risk, and 5% for low risk patients. Ideally, the patient should be sufficiently challenged with gluten before getting a diagnostic evaluation, and there is a wide variation in the gastrointestinal response to such a challenge.

CD and NCGS may lead to a variety of neurologic extra intestinal symptoms, such as gluten ataxia, neuropathy, epilepsy, and depressive and mood disorders [56-58]. The autoimmune hypothesis for CD and NCGS associated neurologic disorders is supported by the presence of anti-GAD (glutamic acid decarboxylase) antibodies and anti-ganglioside antibodies [59]. The incidence of CD among peripheral neuropathy patients referred to one specialty center, confirmed by elevated anti-gliadin or transglutaminase antibodies, was 2.5% [60]. Gluten-related neurologic dysfunction encompasses a broad spectrum of systemic autoimmune disorders [61].

Celiac disease is initiated by the ingestion of the protein gliadin, a component of wheat gluten, and abstinence usually resolves symptoms. For individuals with a genetic predisposition for CD, T cell tolerance for gluten is lacking, thus triggering release of tissue transglutaminase (tTG). Gluten deamidation by tTG enhances the binding of peptides to HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 producing toxic T cell stimulatory gluten peptides [62]. Both adaptive and innate immune systems are activated in CD. Recently, the role of α-amylase/trypsin inhibitors (ATIs), molecules that function as a natural pesticide for wheat, has been shown to trigger an innate immune activation after an oral challenge. ATIs stimulate toll-like receptors (TLR4) and proinflammatory cytokines initiating an inflammatory response [63,64]. Wheat, kamut, barley, rye and soy are rich sources of these molecules, while quinoa, oats, rice, and fermented soy have lower levels [65]. The use of sourdough fermentation in the making of bread reduces both gluten and ATIs, and has been shown to be tolerated by subjects with CD [66-68]. Because ATIs are potent nutritional TLR4 ligands, they may play a role in the etiology of NCGS and the extra intestinal effects of CD, as well as the development of co-morbid autoimmune diseases. Verification of this hypothesis awaits the publication of ATI-free diet studies testing subjects with CD and NCGS.

Treatment for celiac disease is not complete with a diagnosis and brief patient education. On-going surveillance for dietary adherence and the development of associated conditions, such as diabetes and thyroid disorders, is necessary. If as little as 3 grams of gluten daily can trigger detectable inflammation, and 50 g of gluten can result in villous destruction, then testing to validate adherence has significant preventive value. Use of IGA anti-tTG rapid test is convenient in the clinical setting, and serum anti-tTG disappearance after GFD may indicate improved compliance, but not detect occasional lapses [69]. The rapid test has been shown to be useful in detecting low level serologic positivity during follow-up visits 3-6 months post- diagnosis [70]. The cases discovered by the rapid test subsequently responded to intervention by a dietitian. A 5-year study of adult celiac patients in France reported that IGA-tTG-ab and IGA-EMA tests were initially able to discriminate between compliant and noncompliant early in treatment, but after one year on the GFD the tests were less sensitive [71]. An novel approach to surveillance was reported by researchers in Spain who successfully tagged undigestated gluten and gliadin in the feces with anti-gliadin 33-mer monoclonal antibodies [72]. Healthy individuals and CD participants were placed on different levels of gluten intake and fecal samples taken. Diet intake correlated well with fecal detection.
In a prospective study on diet compliance, patient adherence to the GFD as evaluated by a Registered Dietitian (RD) was compared to IgA anti-tissue transglutaminase, IgA, and IgG anti-deamidated gliadin peptides test results [52]. An experienced celiac nutrition specialist determined compliance by reviewing food recall records, a comprehensive interview, and patient self-report survey, but was blinded to the results of the serological tests. Compared to serologic testing, the dietitian assessment was less accurate, but it should be noted that data was gathered long before the FDA gluten-free rules were published in 2013. A simple screening tool for primary care settings has been developed, and the 7-question Celiac Dietary Adherence Test (CDAT) was shown to be superior to serology for celiac patient follow-up [73]. A group of 141 CD patients were surveyed, and samples taken for serological and histologic evaluation [74]. This short survey (0-1=Non-compliant and 3-4=Compliant) was able to predict 89.3% of the cases with villous atrophy. Celiac specialists in Germany and Australia have demonstrated in randomized, controlled studies that online diet instruction and follow-up improves the knowledge, adherence, and quality of life of newly diagnosed patients. Trials of the efficacy of CD interventions using behavioral health technology or motivational interviewing techniques are lacking in the North American celiac treatment literature.

Diet education and individual motivation were shown to be poor predictors of unintentional diet lapses caused by inaccurate food label or cross-contamination [75]. For some though, non-adherence may be associated with the erroneous belief that an individual with CD has some level of tolerance to occasional gluten intake. This may be especially true for individuals who have a delayed response or extra intestinal symptoms. The lack of GF food labelling standards in the US may have adversely impacted those who may have silent forms of CD, where fatigue or cognitive problems may be the only symptoms of dietary indiscretion. In a survey of US pediatricians and primary care physicians on the symptoms of CD, only 54% were aware that chronic fatigue, and 46% knew that depression and other mood disorders were related [76].

Some individuals cannot tolerate even a minute amount of gluten from their food or environment, and may have persistent symptoms and villous atrophy. These patients are classified as having non-responsive celiac disease (NRCD), a small subgroup of 1-2% of CD patients with refractory disease that do not seem to heal when following the strictest of gluten restrictions. An even more restrictive approach, termed the Gluten Free Diet (GFD) may prevent the incidence of fractures commonly seen in individuals with CD [82,85,86].

Celiac Management in Primary Care

Little has been published in North America on the long-term treatment practices of primary care physicians and specialists. A survey of 185 US expert and non-expert gastroenterologists revealed that in 2012, before publication of ESPGHAN guidelines, there was a wide variation of practice between the two levels of expertise [78]. The participants responded to questions about four celiac patient care vignettes. Experts significantly deviated from non-experts in their endorsement of screening asymptomatic patients in high-risk groups, such as Type 1 diabetes, relatives of the CD patient, and Down Syndrome. There also was a significant difference in the length of pretest gluten challenge protocols ranging from 4 to 12 weeks. A cross-sectional survey of 237 Canadian gastroenterologists reported that most physicians use published practice guidelines with adult physicians following AGA, while pediatricians referred to ESPGHAN for guidance [79]. Follow-up serology timeframes ranged from 3 to 9 months after initial diagnosis. Although 76% of the specialists followed patients on a long-term basis, the remainder referred patients back to the primary care setting. Specialists who rarely saw patients for a follow-up visit were more likely to believe that the surveillance was unnecessary after the patient has started a GFD, and were also less likely to review the diet with the patient at subsequent visits.

Vitamin and mineral status are infrequently monitored. At one tertiary celiac treatment center in Israel, investigators reported that follow-up with children and an adults was inadequate [80]. Considering the risk for coronary artery disease, anemia, diabetes, and osteoporosis in this population, nutritional monitoring and counseling be a part of routine follow-up [81-83]. In addition to serum antibodies, the annual visit should include an evaluation of BMI, bone density, CBC, iron, folate, vitamin D, albumin, total protein, liver enzymes, PT, and electrolytes [84]. Malabsorption and chronic inflammation are detrimental to calcium metabolism and it appears that compliance alone may not prevent the incidence of fractures commonly seen in individuals with CD [82,85,86].

Quality of information in the market place

The Nutrition Facts Label and websites sponsored by various celiac disease awareness organizations remain the most important sources of information for the person who is gluten intolerant. When 1583 celiac consumers and 797 people with gluten sensitivity were surveyed, those with CD were more likely to review the ingredient list prior to a food purchase than those with NGCS who selected foods primarily by their gluten-free product claims [87]. This is an important distinction to make because processed foods may contain unidentified sources of gluten, such as seasonings, hydrolyzed proteins and modified starches. Once the GP labeling law in the US becomes familiar to consumers, they will likely be less critical and knowledgeable of product contents because they will not have to actively seek for information on foods that are safe for them to eat [88]. When asked about the quality of information from various sources, participants in Canada rated health information as excellent.
from the following sources: Canadian Celiac Association (64%), gastroenterologists (28%), dietitians (26%) and primary care physician (12%) [89]. Researchers specializing in celiac treatment in the United States were interested in the quality of information available on the Internet. They rated the accuracy, comprehensiveness, transparency, and readability of consumer information from a sample of English language, US-based information Internet sites [90]. The sample of 98 sites was drawn from various academic, commercial, nonprofit, or non-academic professional organizations. When the weighted cumulative average was summed into a composite score of the component indicators, only 4 of the 98 sites achieved a score of 8, which was the minimum for a quality website. Of the sites that met their criteria, an academic, a commercial site and two non-profits made the cut.

Consumers ultimately are the final arbiters of information that helps them with their celiac diet and general wellness. An ethnographic study of celiac online discussion boards and support groups reported that consumers rely increasingly on alternate ways of getting health information, from home celiac testing kits to gluten-free diet information from the media [54]. From the conversational analysis from an online discussion board, the authors suggest that the long “path” to the diagnosis may be a promoter of diet non-compliance behaviors. Many adults who are newly diagnosed have been through years of frustration due to disappointing negative test results in spite of on-going GI symptoms. A national survey of Canadians with CD reported nearly one-third (27%) had consulted 3 or more physicians before finally getting a definitive diagnosis [91]. Because of this delay, the health care team may in fact be perceived as less trustworthy compared to other information sources.

Access to medical nutrition therapy

Although AGA guidelines support providing an ongoing follow-up by an RD, most American patients see a dietitian once if at all in the initial period after the biopsy confirmation [92,93]. Access to a dietitian does not insure that the services are from a competent nutrition counselor trained to provide both celiac diet education and counseling for eating disorder management as most nutrition specialists tend to work in medical school based celiac treatment centers rather than at the community level [94-96]. Innovative approaches to service delivery for ongoing services such as a dietitian-led clinic, virtual clinic, and in the medical home setting are ways to make nutrition counseling more accessible to the general celiac population [96-98]. Gluten-intolerant individuals will seek out other complementary treatment when their well-being does not improve. In a survey of German celiac patients with IBS-like symptoms had higher rates of health seeking behavior such as acupuncture, medications, and psychotherapy, especially if they also had co-morbidities [99]. Of the symptomatic individuals, 32.3% reported following their GFD “most of the time.” An annual multidisciplinary evaluation may help to bridge the trust divide.

Daily management of the GFD is frequently challenging, especially when eating at restaurants or traveling. The individual with CD lives with the threat of being accidentally “glutened”. Within an online forum at Celiac.com, researchers analyzed the conversations of a single-case subject who announced the desire to quit the diet in a forum posting [100]. This declaration prompted other posters to express their feelings and strategies for sticking with the diet. The diet faithful and the less adherent expressed their feelings toward dietary transgressions, and ultimately shared supportive measures to manage everyday health risk. The authors concluded that diet adherence should be best approached as a “collective phenomenon” not as the achievement of one individual. Virtual or face-to-face support group in helping patients deal with the social challenges unique to the GFD [93,101]. Due to the difficulty of maintaining a gluten-free home when other household members do not have the disorder, websites are available to match single people who share the same gluten-free lifestyle [102]. Healthcare researchers should begin to explore the uses and gratifications of social health media use, such as online health gaming to support better diet adherence and wellness which has been effective for diabetes self-management and other chronic diseases [103-107]. Mobile health applications and smart phone apps are being successfully marketed to assist celiac patients in food shopping, and locating celiac-friendly restaurants [108].

Intervention studies

Research from dietitians working with adult-onset CD in the US is rarely published, but personally and professionally I am aware of many who belong to a specialty GI practice group sponsored by the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Researchers from the Columbia University Celiac Center surveyed celiac patients at their clinic, and attendees at conferences in Iowa, California, and New York. Survey results failed to show an association between consultation with a dietitian and CD-quality of life, celiac diet adherence test (CDAT), or celiac symptom index (CSI) upon univariate analysis [93]. It is not clear from this study how counseling method or treatment setting may play a part in the effectiveness of the intervention. Access to a dietitian trained in celiac diagnosis and treatment has been identified as important to celiac patients [109]. To meet the specialty training needs for health professionals the University of Chicago Celiac Disease Center’s Preceptor ship Program hosts an intensive, 2-day training course for medical and allied health professionals. Future studies should identify the effectiveness of interventions outside of the clinic setting, such as telephonic or web-based health coaching, point-of-purchase tours led by a Registered Dietitians, home visit, or worksite wellness programs.

A growing international concern is the association of CD with obesity, as reported in studies in Ireland/UK and the US [110-112]. On the other hand, the prevalence of obesity among newly diagnosed patients was significantly lower in a large Massachusetts sample compared to the general population (32% vs. 59%, P < 0.0001), but this study also identified a trend toward weight gain in normal and overweight participants by the 2 year follow-up [113]. A diet intervention study for newly-diagnosed normal and overweight patients may give us more understanding of the risk for obesity in this population. A correlational study
in the United States tracked weight change after the initial diagnosis and observed that the GFD was beneficial for weight management, unfortunately there was no specific protocol, the topic was simply “addressed” in the normal nutrition follow-up visit [114].

Co-morbidities associated with CD, such as diabetes, osteoporosis, and heart disease, increase the complexity of wellness interventions. Recently, researchers at Cleveland clinic analyzing electronic medical records at several hospitals reported an odds ratio of 2.0 (95% CI 1.8 to 2.1) of coronary artery disease among patients with CD compared to the control patient population. Newly diagnosed CD patients when compared to age and gender matched controls, were almost 7 times more likely to have osteoporosis (adjusted OR 6.9, 95% CI 0.7–65, P<0.093) [115]. Lifestyle intervention for CD beyond the gluten-free diet should include a heart healthy diet, physical activity, and appropriate nutritional supplements [116-119].

Dietary management at the time of diagnosis and at regular intervals was shown to be effective for pediatric celiac patients with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus with improvement in glucose control, GI symptoms, weight, and bone density [120]. Children with CD are especially vulnerable to secondary effects of celiac disease. Entrepreneurs are now offering home delivery of gluten-free, portion controlled, and low sugar or sodium meals to meet the needs of gluten-free consumers who are managing co-morbid conditions.

Gluten-Free is Not Enough

Unlike Canada, fortification of gluten-free grain products remains voluntary in the US, Europe, and Australia. A study of newly diagnosed patients with CD in Australia, reported that after one year participants diets frequently fell short of recommended intakes for thiamin, folate, vitamin A, magnesium, calcium, fiber, and iron [121]. An Italian study observed that patients on a GFD ate an unbalanced diet favoring saturated fats from eggs, meat and cheese and reduced their consumption of dietary carbohydrates from their previous pattern [122]. Reliance on meat, highly-processed grains, saturated fats, high-sodium foods, and sugary beverages are becoming the norm in most industrialized countries, including those who previously consumed a Mediterranean traditional foods diet. A ten year study of celiac patients showed a significantly higher plasma homocysteine levels compared to general population which corresponded to the low folate and B12 serum levels in half of the participants [123]. Cross-sectional data from Italy and Israel suggest that children are clearly at risk as well, at the rate of having a borderline LDL value doubled after diet adherence, and unhealthy weight gain followed maintenance on a GFD [124]. Celiac patients who follow a gluten-free, heart healthy diet may reduce their risk of cardiovascular disease. Results of a diet survey study in the US raised concerns that less than half of the participants on a GFD did not get the recommended dietary intake for whole grains, calcium, iron, and fiber [125]. Death from ischemic heart disease has been estimated at 1.22 times the intake for whole grains, calcium, iron, and fiber [121]. An Italian study observed that patients on a GFD ate an unbalanced diet favoring saturated fats from eggs, meat and cheese and reduced their consumption of dietary carbohydrates from their previous pattern [122]. Reliance on meat, highly-processed grains, saturated fats, high-sodium foods, and sugary beverages are becoming the norm in most industrialized countries, including those who previously consumed a Mediterranean traditional foods diet. A ten year study of celiac patients showed a significantly higher plasma homocysteine levels compared to general population which corresponded to the low folate and B12 serum levels in half of the participants [123]. Cross-sectional data from Italy and Israel suggest that children are clearly at risk as well, at the rate of having a borderline LDL value doubled after diet adherence, and unhealthy weight gain followed maintenance on a GFD [124]. Celiac patients who follow a gluten-free, heart healthy diet may reduce their risk of cardiovascular disease. Results of a diet survey study in the US raised concerns that less than half of the participants on a GFD did not get the recommended dietary intake for whole grains, calcium, iron, and fiber [125]. Death from ischemic heart disease has been estimated at 1.22 times the intake for whole grains, calcium, iron, and fiber [121].
processed to remove gluten due to a lack of recognized standards and methodologies for alcoholic beverages produced from grains [140]. Many of these products are currently allowed to use the term “gluten-free” on labels and in marketing if the product would also be entitled to make a gluten-free label claim under the standards set forth in the new FDA regulations at 21 CFR 101.9 [137,141]. Cross-contamination in the production and processing of naturally occurring gluten-free foods are more common than previously thought [142-144]. Currently, drug manufacturers supply information on their products on a voluntary basis [145]. Due to the possibility of gluten contamination in most manufacturing facilities, only tested gluten-free products and medications should be deemed safe. Prior to the new FDA gluten-free rules, researchers tested a small sample of gluten-free, “certified” gluten-free off-the-shelf food products (n=158) using an appropriate ELISA-based assay (ELISA), sandwich or competitive methods, by a third-party allergen test lab [146]. Results indicated that although most products contained less than 20 ppm, nearly 5% contained more than the allowable limit. But since the procedure for gluten testing is at the discretion of the manufacturer, it is not known if each batch of final product is tested.

For many gluten-intolerant individuals they experience a cycle of gluten triggered endothelial attacks that result in a state of chronic low level inflammation, even with the best of dietary compliance efforts in an untested marketplace. Oxidative stress in the cell is stimulated by the uptake of α-gliadin peptides into the lysosomes triggering release of reactive oxidative species (ROS) [147]. ROS are involved in the reduced degradation of tTG resulting in elevated tTG protein levels. The reduction in oxidative defense mechanisms in CD further increases tissue susceptibility to damage. In two small studies, CD patients were given a wheat-based sourdough bread produced with lactobacilli in a self-diagnosis gluten-free label claim under the standard threshold for gluten-containing products (Mdn=2.20; 95% C.I. [2.11, 2.89], p=0.019). A systematic review of the literature found little quality evidence that would support the Codex standard of 200 ppm for “safe” levels of consumption [156].

**Discussion**

The availability of GF labeled products makes it easier than ever before to maintain a gluten-free lifestyle. The likelihood that a person with CD will adhere to their diet depends on four factors: availability of gluten-free foods, taste preference, knowledge, and social support. For the self-diagnosed gluten sensitive person, we do not have a clear understanding of the uses and gratifications associated with this diet. For some individuals the need for this diet may be related to cognitive problems, irritable bowel syndrome, dysbiosis, and overconsumption of FODMAPs. For others, gluten sensitivity may be due to celiac disease in a latent form. Because the wellness literature has paid limited attention to celiac disease, there are gaps in our knowledge of factors that promote and sustain wellness. The fatigue reported by many with celiac disease can lead to lower levels of fitness and a higher risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Physical activity to build and maintain bone mass and endurance should be promoted as part of a healthy celiac lifestyle [127,157]. There are many opportunities for research on factors that enhance physical activity and nutritional status for gluten intolerant individuals. Future research should evaluate and disseminate best health intervention practices for the identification and treatment of patients with gluten sensitivities.

**Conclusion**

There are gaps in our knowledge about the effectiveness of public health marketing, social media, worksite wellness programs, and point-of-purchase information for individuals with gluten sensitivity. Developing marketplace solutions for the health needs of people with CD and gluten-sensitivity through the development of web-based health technologies certified functional GF foods, retail health professional guidance, and physical fitness interventions to promote bone density, healthy weight, and endurance will result in life-long health for the gluten intolerant.
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