Emergent soft monopole modes in weakly-bound deformed nuclei
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Based on the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov solutions in large deformed coordinate spaces, the finite amplitude method for quasiparticle random phase approximation (FAM-QRPA) has been implemented, providing a suitable approach to probe collective excitations of weakly-bound nuclei embedded in the continuum. The monopole excitation modes in Magnesium isotopes up to the neutron drip line have been studied with the FAM-QRPA framework on both the coordinate-space and harmonic oscillator basis methods. Enhanced soft monopole strengths and collectivity as a result of weak-binding effects have been unambiguously demonstrated.
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Nuclei close to the particle drip lines are weakly bound superfluid quantum systems and can exhibit exotic threshold phenomena, sharing interdisciplinary interests with weakly bound systems such as multi-quark states, Rydberg atoms and quantum droplets. Since the discovery of nuclear halos with radioactive beams, there have been numerous theoretical developments aiming at weakly-bound nuclei and their dilute surfaces. Extensive Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) studies have provided successful descriptions of continuum couplings and halo features in ground states of weakly-bound nuclei. On the other hand, excitations in weakly-bound nuclei opened vast possibilities to probe novel collective modes, as well as continuum effects and components of the effective interaction that are suppressed in ground states. To address these issues, along with forthcoming facilities such as FRIB, an accurate and self-consistent treatment of continuum together with pairing correlations, deformations and large spatial extensions is essential.

Among the excited states in weakly-bound nuclei, the emergent soft excitation modes (or pygmy resonances) which correspond to the collective motion between neutron halo/skins and cores, are particularly intriguing. These modes can impact astrophysical neutron capture rates and r-process nucleosynthesis. However, the collectivity of observed pygmy resonances, as a crucial verification of coherence, is still under debate. This Rapid Communication is devoted to the low-energy monopole excitations in weakly-bound nuclei, caused due to the soft incompressibility of halos, as the dilute nuclear matter has a decreased incompressibility compared to saturated densities. The low-energy monopole modes have been predicted, e.g., in the neutron-rich Nickel isotopes (observed very recently in $^{68}$Ni), as a rather non-collective excitation; however, it may hardly be expected in another RPA calculation with a proper treatment of continuum. Besides, the collectivity could be enhanced due to weak-binding effects. Therefore, the emergence of collective soft monopole modes, as well as the role of continuum contributions with the fully self-consistent continuum quasiparticle random phase approximation (QRPA) approach, is still an open question.

The standard method to solve the QRPA equation as a matrix form involves tremendous computational costs in deformed cases, and even more when continuum configurations are included. Recently, the developments of the Finite Amplitude Method (FAM), by Nakatsukasa et al., provided an alternative way to solve the QRPA problem iteratively rather than by a direct matrix diagonalization. The FAM-QRPA method provides an efficient way to study collective excitations and it has been implemented on several HFB approaches, such as the spherical coordinate-space HFB, deformed harmonic oscillator (HO) and transformed HO basis HFB, and deformed relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov method. Recently, FAM-QRPA method has been also applied to the discrete modes and $\beta$-decays.

In this Rapid Communication, we have developed a FAM-QRPA approach based on HFB solutions in large, axially symmetric coordinate-spaces, to describe excitations in weakly-bound deformed nuclei, which was a great computationally challenge. In deformed weakly-bound nuclei, the subtle interplay among surface deformations, surface diffuseness, and continuum couplings can result in exotic structures, such as deformed halos. Therefore theoretical studies of ground state properties and excitations need precise HFB solutions to account these phenomena. The conventional HFB approach, based on the HO basis may not be sufficient to describe the surface properties of weakly bound systems. On the other hand, the exact treatment of the continuum in deformed cases, with scattering boundary conditions, is rarely employed. In this context, the HFB approach in large deformed coordinate-spaces can provide very precise descriptions of ground states in deformed weakly-bound nuclei, including quasiparticle resonances and dense continuum spectra, and this has been accomplished recently with a hybrid parallel calculation scheme. Therefore, the next natural step is to combine the FAM-QRPA method with the deformed large coordinate-space HFB approach, to...
realize the deformed continuum QRPA calculations.

The HFB equation is solved by hfb-ax [28, 29] within a large two-dimensional coordinate space, based on B-spline techniques for axially symmetric deformed nuclei [30]. The maximum mesh spacing is 0.6 fm and the order of the B-splines is 12. For calculations employing large box sizes and small lattice spacings, the discretized continuum spectra would be very dense, providing good resolutions. To describe systems in large coordinate spaces, a hybrid MPI+OpenMP parallel programming scheme was implemented to get converged results within a reasonable time. We note that the HFB-AX code has been improved to describe large systems [12], since its initial version [29]. For the particle-hole interaction channel, the often used Skyrme parameterizations SLy4 [31] and SkM* [32] are adopted. For the particle-particle channel, the density dependent surface pairing interaction is used [33]. With a pairing window of 60 MeV, the pairing strengths are taken as $V_0 = 500$ MeV fm$^3$ for SLy4 and $V_0 = 450$ MeV fm$^3$ for SkM*, so that pairing gaps in stable nuclei can be reasonably reproduced.

Once the HFB solutions are obtained, the quasiparticle wavefunctions and energies are recorded. The wavefunctions are represented in a 2D Gauss-Legendre lattice for integral calculations. Our goal is to solve iteratively the non-linear FAM-QRPA equations [23]

$$(E_\mu + E_\nu - \omega) X_{\mu\nu}(\omega) + \delta H^{20}_{\mu\nu}(\omega) = -F^{20}_{\mu\nu},$$

$$(E_\mu + E_\nu + \omega) Y_{\mu\nu}(\omega) + \delta H^{02}_{\mu\nu}(\omega) = -F^{02}_{\mu\nu},$$

where $X_{\mu\nu}(\omega)$ and $Y_{\mu\nu}(\omega)$ are the FAM-QRPA amplitudes; $\delta H^{20}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\delta H^{02}_{\mu\nu}$ are the induced fields; $F$ is the external time-dependent field to polarize the system. Transition strength can be calculated from the $X_{\mu\nu}(\omega)$ and $Y_{\mu\nu}(\omega)$ amplitudes. The expressions for $H^{20}$ and $H^{02}$ in the HFB3 approach can be found in Refs. [23, 24]. We note that time-odd terms of the energy density are very important and have been included. An imaginary part of the transition strength can be calculated from the $X_{\mu\nu}(\omega)$ and $Y_{\mu\nu}(\omega)$ amplitudes. The expressions for $H^{20}$ and $H^{02}$ in terms of a integral of wavefunctions takes the majority of computing time. The total memory of wavefunctions and their derivatives takes about 10-20 Gb. For each frequency $\omega$, the calculation employs the OpenMP shared memory parallel scheme. For different frequencies, the MPI distributed parallel scheme is adopted. Consequently, the FAM-QRPA approach can be efficiently implemented by using this hybrid parallel scheme. The calculations take about 40~80 iterations to converge, by utilizing the Broyden iteration method (with 30 previous iterations included) [34], depending on the size of configuration space, i.e., the box size and the quasiparticle energy cutoff.

Although deformed coordinate-space FAM-QRPA is computationally more expensive compared to the HO basis approach [25], it is much less intensive than the coordinate-space matrix QRPA approach [15, 21]. At the moment, deformed coordinate-space QRPA approaches typically use smaller box sizes which can cause false peaks in the strength function. Compared to a typical QRPA matrix of 160,000 by 160,000 with a box of 20 fm [21], the present FAM-QRPA handles a typical matrix size of 24,000 by 24,000 with a box size of 27 fm, implying computational costs can be significantly reduced. Thus this method offers an unique advantage to study excitations in weakly bound deformed nuclei that require large coordinate spaces.

To verify our implementation of FAM-QRPA, we have calculated the isoscalar (IS) monopole excitation strength function for $^{100}$Zr, with the SLy4 interaction, which is a well deformed nucleus and has been studied in several earlier works [28, 29, 35]. In Fig. 1, the calculated transition strengths with different pairing interactions based on hfb-ax agree well with hfbtho results [25]. The coordinate-space box size is taken to be 24 fm since this nucleus is not very weakly bound. The cutoff on the quasiparticle energies is taken to be 80 MeV. In the hfbtho FAM-QRPA approach, 20 HO shells are used, with no truncations on the FAM-QRPA configuration space. Generally, the two giant peaks, due to the deformation, are obtained in both approaches. At the region of high excitation energy, some discrepancy between two methods can be expected. Close to the zero energy, a spurious component appears and this is more obvious in calculations with the surface pairing (Fig. 1(b)) than with the volume pairing (Fig. 1(a)). This reminds that one should be cautious when using the surface peaked pairing interaction in QRPA calculations, especially for weakly-bound nuclei having large surface diffuseness.

In addition to $^{100}$Zr, the reliability of our approach for weakly-bound deformed nuclei has been evaluated in detail for $^{40}$Mg, by using SLy4 interaction and surface pairing. In Fig. 2(a), the IS monopole strength of $^{40}$Mg has been calculated with different cutoffs at quasiparticle energies. We see that at the low energy part the convergence is slow and only with a cutoff of 95 MeV reason-
FIG. 2. (Color online) The isoscalar monopole strength in $^{40}$Mg calculated with the FAM-QRPA method based on hfb-ax and hfbtho, respectively. The calculations were done with SLy4 interaction and surface pairing. (a) calculations in a coordinate-space of 27 fm with various cutoffs on the quasi-particle energies. (b) calculations in a coordinate-space of 27 fm, 18 fm, and also on the HO basis with 20 shells.

able convergence is reached. Indeed, it is important to keep the completeness of the basis to remove the spurious contribution. The Thomas-Fermi approximation of high energy continuum could provide a solution [28]. Fortunately, this problem is serious only for the strength at $\omega \lesssim 2$ MeV with the surface pairing. In Fig. 2(b), $^{40}$Mg is calculated with box sizes of 18 fm, 27 fm and also with hfbtho by using 20 shells. As shown, all three approaches give roughly similar distributions of the transition strength. With the 18 fm box size, the strength is rather jagged due to less accurate continuum discretization, leading to false resonance peaks. This stresses the importance of large coordinate-space calculations close to the neutron drip line. By comparing the coordinate-space approach and the hfbtho approach, considerable shifts in resonance peak energies can be seen, although the agreement is good for $^{100}$Zr. This demonstrates the necessity of the precise treatment of weak-binding effects in our approach.

In Fig. 3, the calculated IS monopole strengths in Mg isotopes towards the neutron drip line are shown. The last discovered Mg isotope is $^{40}$Mg [36], and the drip line could be extended up to $^{46}$Mg [37]. The predicted drip line position is rather model and functional dependent, as shown in calculations with Skyrme functionals [37] and covariant density functionals [38]. The ground state properties and evolution of deformed halo features of $^{40,42}$Mg were studied in our previous works [12]. Fig. 3 shows that low energy peaks around 4 MeV gradually increase in both, SLy4 and SkM* calculations with the surface pairing interaction, towards the drip line. Such kind of low energy modes were also obtained in an earlier work which used SkM* interaction and mixed pairing [15]. However, the peak strengths with surface pairing are significantly larger compared to those which adopted the mixed pairing, especially in calculations with SkM*. Therefore, the emergence of remarkable soft monopole resonances towards the neutron drip line is mainly related to increasing surface pairing correlations and near-threshold continuum couplings. The two giant monopole resonances around 15 MeV and 23 MeV, due to the splitting caused by deformation, are obtained in various approaches. The peak around 23 MeV is very weak in our calculation and it is washed out towards the neutron drip line. $^{42}$Mg is very weakly bound in the SLy4 calculation, with a Fermi energy of $\lambda_n = -0.22$ MeV. In Fig. 3(a), a clear resonance peak around 9 MeV appears in $^{40}$Mg and its partner in $^{40}$Mg also shows up weakly. This monopole resonance mode is novel since it is not likely related to
the deformation splitting according to the systematics. However, this novel resonance of $^{42}\text{Mg}$ is absent in SkM$^*$ calculations, since the predicted stronger binding with a Fermi energy of $\lambda_\alpha = -1.19$ MeV. In Fig. 4(b), $^{44}\text{Mg}$ (with $\lambda_\alpha = -0.57$ MeV) has a considerable transition strength between 6 MeV to 12 MeV, but no clear resonance peaks appear, probably due to the large strength around 4 MeV.

At the last but not the least, we have investigated the collectivity and mechanism of the low energy monopole resonances in weakly bound Mg isotopes with SLy4. In Fig. 4(a, b), the transition strengths contributed from quasiparticle states with different values of $\Omega$ (angular momentum projection along the $z$-axis) and parity $\pi$ are shown. As illustrated, $\Omega^* = 1/2^-$ states contribute the majority of the strength, especially for $^{40,42}\text{Mg}$ at $\omega=4$ MeV. This analysis leads to a conclusion that resonances around 4 MeV region in Mg isotopes are not very collective, while the resonance in $^{42}\text{Mg}$ around 9 MeV is collective as shown in Fig. 4(b).

In Fig. 4(c, d), we plot a part of the matrix map of $\log(|X_{\mu\nu}|^2 - |Y_{\mu\nu}|^2)$ to show the contributions originating from different quasiparticle states. Note that the full dimension of $1/2^-$ subspace is about 550. The discretized quasiparticle energy spectra corresponding to the index $\mu$ are also displayed. In Fig. 4(c), it can be seen that the 4 MeV resonance in $^{40}\text{Mg}$ is mainly contributed by the near-threshold quasiparticle states below 2 MeV. Similarly, in Fig. 4(d), collectivity in $^{42}\text{Mg}$ is enhanced which is consistent with Fig. 4(a). Based on the stabilization analysis of quasiparticle spectra in $^{40,42}\text{Mg}$ [12], these near-threshold $1/2^-$ states have a non-resonant continuum nature and are mainly responsible for deformed halo.

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) The monopole strength from different $\Omega^*$ quasiparticle states (a, b). The matrix contributions of the $\Omega^* = 1/2^-$ states corresponding to the $\omega=4$ MeV peaks are shown for $^{40}\text{Mg}$ (c) and $^{42}\text{Mg}$ (d). In panels (c) and (d), the quasiparticle energy spectra are also displayed. See details in the text.

In summary, the monopole excitations of weakly-bound deformed Mg isotopes have been studied with the FAM-QRPA framework in large deformed coordinate-spaces, to incorporate the weak-binding effects. The reliability of our new implementation has been carefully evaluated, to stress that the large coordinate space HFB calculations are essential close to the neutron drip line. The systematic calculations of Mg isotopes clearly demonstrate the emergence of collective low energy monopole modes due to two different mechanisms. The soft monopole resonances around 4 MeV are less collective and mainly generated by the pairing halos, corresponding to near-threshold $\Omega^* = 1/2^-$ non-resonant continuum states. In addition, the strength and collectivity of such modes are enhanced towards the neutron drip line. The emergent second resonance around 9 MeV is rather collective and most likely linked to the expected pygmy monopole mode. We conclude that our approach provides a suitable tool to probe novel excitation modes in weakly bound deformed nuclei, in which pairing correlations and incompressibility in low-density nuclear matter are not well understood yet. Further developments of continuum FAM-QRPA for multipole excitations in the fully three-dimensional case will provide a broader context for questing exotic excitation modes as well as their implications for astrophysical nucleosynthesis.
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