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If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

nBuddy
Evaluated Version (if any)

- e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"
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Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app store (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

https://nbuddy.info/

URL of an image/screen shot (optional)
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Accessibility *

Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- access is free and open
- access only for special usergroups, not open
- access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- app/intervention no longer accessible
- Other:
| Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition * |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)" |
| Gestational Diabetes Mellitus |

| Primary Outcomes measured in trial * |
|-------------------------------------|
| comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial |
| achievement of optimal weight (defined as res |

| Secondary/other outcomes |
|--------------------------|
| Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect? |
| absolute weight loss, serum metabolic markers, self-reported nutritional intake, health education and quality of life via questionnaires, and user engagement in the intervention group. |

| Recommended “Dose” * |
|----------------------|
| What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used? |
|   | Approximately Daily |
|   | Approximately Weekly |
|   | Approximately Monthly |
|   | Approximately Yearly |
|   | "as needed" |
|   | Other: |
Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- unknown / not evaluated
- 0-10%
- 11-20%
- 21-30%
- 31-40%
- 41-50%
- 51-60%
- 61-70%
- 71%-80%
- 81-90%
- 91-100%
- Other:
Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- inconclusive: more research is needed
- Other:
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- submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- published
- Other:
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- JMIR mHealth and UHealth
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- Other JMIR sister journal
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TITLE AND ABSTRACT
1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *
I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

-  yes
-  Other:

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title
Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

1  2  3  4  5

-  subitem not at all important
-  essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"SmartPhone Application to Restore Optimal Weight (SPAROW) for Women with Recent Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Randomized Controlled Trial"
1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title
Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

All components of the intervention were delivered via smartphone.

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title
Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes")
Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"for Women with Recent Gestational Diabetes Mellitus"
1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks 'like this' to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The intervention is an interactive APP for weight loss"

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like "fully automated" vs. "therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted" (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)
Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The intervention enables logging of weight, meals and activity, with online interaction with a team comprising dieticians, a physiotherapist and an occupational therapist.

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT
Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed user group trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of "open", as "open" in web-based trials usually refers to "open access" (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important o o o o ☐ essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"unblinded randomized controlled trial",
1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data
Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | | | | | essential |

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"40% of women in the intervention group achieved optimal weight at 4 months post-delivery compared to 32.2% in the control group (P=0.27). Compared with control, women in the intervention group reported significantly reduced caloric intake at 4 months post-delivery delivery (p<0.001) and higher health-directed behavior scores (P=0.045). The intervention group also reported increased emotional distress scores (P=0.010). At 4 months, participant engagement with the intervention was maintained at 60.8% ± 33.9."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials
Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | | | | | essential |

Clear selection
"Although a statistically significant increase in women achieving healthy weight was not observed, this app remains promising as women in the intervention group reported improved health behaviours and lower caloric intake. Importantly, the high retention rates suggest a larger study with longer term follow-up might confirm the effectiveness of this app for weight management."

---

**INTRODUCTION**

**2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale**

"Although a statistically significant increase in women achieving healthy weight was not observed, this app remains promising as women in the intervention group reported improved health behaviours and lower caloric intake. Importantly, the high retention rates suggest a larger study with longer term follow-up might confirm the effectiveness of this app for weight management."

**2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution**

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection
Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is an important cause of morbidity and mortality, estimated to affect 415 million people worldwide in 2015 [1]. Women who had gestational diabetes (GDM) in their pregnancies have an up to seven-fold increased risk of developing subsequent T2DM [2]. The postpartum period following GDM represents a unique opportunity for early intervention to lower risk of subsequent T2DM, possibly delaying the point at which pre-diabetes or T2DM is detected through health screening or other clinical encounters at later stages in their lives."

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

Clear selection
"Telephone-based [7] and face-to-face [8,9] interventions have shown to be moderately effective in reducing postpartum weight retention in women with GDM, but poor compliance due to time constraints is a constantly cited barrier to successful postpartum weight loss [8,10]. Additionally, these methods are labour-intensive and may depend on the busy mother being available at definite time slots. Questionnaires indicate that women with GDM in Singapore prefer web-based or APPs for health-education [11], consistent with findings from a trial which showed improved postpartum weight loss in women with GDM using a web-based intervention [12]. APP-based interventions appear effective in improving nutritional behaviors and weight loss in the general population [13], but literature on APP-based interventions for weight loss during or after pregnancy is limited [14-19]. Reduced gestational weight gain has been reported with the use of an APP in the antenatal period [16,18], but a positive effect on postpartum weight retention has yet to be demonstrated [17]. To date, there have been no studies on the use of an APP for postpartum weight loss in women with a history of GDM."

"Our hypothesis was that a smartphone application would be effective in achieving optimal weight in women with recent GDM."

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

"Our hypothesis was that a smartphone application would be effective in achieving optimal weight in women with recent GDM."

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Telephone-based [7] and face-to-face [8,9] interventions have shown to be moderately effective in reducing postpartum weight retention in women with GDM, but poor compliance due to time constraints is a constantly cited barrier to successful postpartum weight loss [8,10]. Additionally, these methods are labour-intensive and may depend on the busy mother being available at definite time slots. Questionnaires indicate that women with GDM in Singapore prefer web-based or APPs for health-education [11], consistent with findings from a trial which showed improved postpartum weight loss in women with GDM using a web-based intervention [12]. APP-based interventions appear effective in improving nutritional behaviors and weight loss in the general population [13], but literature on APP-based interventions for weight loss during or after pregnancy is limited [14-19]. Reduced gestational weight gain has been reported with the use of an APP in the antenatal period [16,18], but a positive effect on postpartum weight retention has yet to be demonstrated [17]. To date, there have been no studies on the use of an APP for postpartum weight loss in women with a history of GDM."

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Our hypothesis was that a smartphone application would be effective in achieving optimal weight in women with recent GDM."

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSIZBSU1bwOc_OimqcS64RdfIFvrmTSkZQL2-3O8O9hrl5Sw/viewform?hl=en_US&formkey=dG...
METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were randomized at the recruitment visit to the intervention or control arm, using a random permuted block design with block size of 4. An independent researcher generated the set of sequences and assigned participants to the intervention or control groups using sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes to ensure allocation concealment until interventions were assigned. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding of participants and assessors was not possible. "

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no changes to methods after trial commencement.
3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other “unexpected events” that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no bug fixes during trial

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Women were required to own a smartphone and be able to independently use an APP. "

"Women were required to own a smartphone and be able to independently use an APP. "

"Women were required to own a smartphone and be able to independently use an APP. "

"Women were required to own a smartphone and be able to independently use an APP. "

"Women were required to own a smartphone and be able to independently use an APP. "
4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Women were required to own a smartphone and be able to independently use an APP."

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   essential

Clear selection
"Electronic medical records of all women in the postnatal ward were screened daily by research members to determine eligibility. If eligibility criteria were met, women were approached by a member of the study team in the ward before discharge, and written informed consent was obtained."

"Women allocated to the intervention arm were instructed to download an APP called ‘Nutritionist Buddy (nBuddy)’ immediately postpartum before discharge and were briefed on its use by a member of the study team. During this briefing, participants would be taught how to use the different functions of the APP, and the live chat function would be demonstrated. A coloured information booklet was also provided to the participant on how to use the APP."
4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"3-day food diaries were mailed to participants 2 weeks before their follow-up visits. They were advised to input meals consumed on 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day and submit them at the follow-up visit.", "The Health Education Impact Questionnaire (heiQ), RAND-12 and self-efficacy questionnaires were self-administered at the follow-up visits."

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The Health Education Impact Questionnaire (heiQ), RAND-12 and self-efficacy questionnaires were self-administered at the follow-up visits."
4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a “Conflict of interest” section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important

Clear selection
Does your paper address subitem 5-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-ii) Describe the history/development process
Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

5-iii) Revisions and updating
Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection
Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

---

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ![Circle](x)| ![Circle](x)| ![Circle](x)| ![Circle](x)| ![Circle](x)| essential |

Clear selection

---

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

---

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ![Circle](x)| ![Circle](x)| ![Circle](x)| ![Circle](x)| ![Circle](x)| essential |
5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important  ○ ○ ● ○ ○ essential

5-vi) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained “access to the platform and Internet” [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a “backdoor” login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important  ○ ○ ○ ● ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

After the APP was downloaded by the participant, the study team member who recruited the participant in the ward would initiate the first live chat on the same day. Following this, team members actively engaged participants online for 5-10 minutes daily during weekdays for the first week, followed by 2-3 times a week in subsequent weeks. Questions raised by the participants throughout the week would be responded to within a working day. Issues faced by participants were discussed between team members to ensure the provision of appropriate and relevant advice. Additionally, adjustment strategies and self-care behaviors were encouraged to aid participants in adapting to motherhood and healthy habits formation

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].
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subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"The nBuddy APP is locally developed and was based on the Obesity-Related Behavioural Intervention Trials (ORBIT) model for developing behavioural treatments for chronic disease [24]. It has been described in further detail in our protocol paper [12]."

5-ix) Describe use parameters
Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"Participants were advised to log their food intake daily by selecting a pre-entered meal from the APP’s nutritional database of more than 11,000 locally available foods. Reminder notifications were sent two hours after standard meal times if participants failed to do so. Prompts recommending healthier food alternatives catered to ethnicity were activated automatically if foods that were unhealthy or high in calories were selected, or if participants had exceeded their calorie limit for the day. Optional prompts for meal-planning could be selected by participants, which would suggest healthy food options one hour before meal time. "

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSIZBSUp1bwOc_OimqcS64RdfIvFvmarTSkZQL2-3O8O9hrL5Sw/viewform?hl=en_US&formkey=diG... 26/57
5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered"). It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

A unique feature of the intervention was that it allowed live interaction between the participants and the study team consisting of dietitians, physiotherapists, and occupational therapists. All members of the research team attended a one hour training session conducted by the chief dietician on how to support the participants through the APP. After the APP was downloaded by the participant, the study team member who recruited the participant in the ward would initiate the first live chat on the same day. Following this, team members actively engaged participants online for 5-10 minutes daily during weekdays for the first week, followed by 2-3 times a week in subsequent weeks. Questions raised by the participants throughout the week would be responded to within a working day. Issues faced by participants were discussed between team members to ensure the provision of appropriate and relevant advice. Additionally, adjustment strategies and self-care behaviors were encouraged to aid participants in adapting to motherhood and healthy habits formation.
5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Reminder notifications were sent two hours after standard meal times if participants failed to do so. Prompts recommending healthier food alternatives catered to ethnicity were activated automatically if foods that were unhealthy or high in calories were selected, or if participants had exceeded their calorie limit for the day. Optional prompts for meal-planning could be selected by participants, which would suggest healthy food options one hour before meal time.

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as eHealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection
A unique feature of the intervention was that it allowed live interaction between the participants and the study team consisting of dietitians, physiotherapists, and occupational therapists. All members of the research team attended a one hour training session conducted by the chief dietician on how to support the participants through the APP. After the APP was downloaded by the participant, the study team member who recruited the participant in the ward would initiate the first live chat on the same day. Following this, team members actively engaged participants online for 5-10 minutes daily during weekdays for the first week, followed by 2-3 times a week in subsequent weeks. Questions raised by the participants throughout the week would be responded to within a working day. Issues faced by participants were discussed between team members to ensure the provision of appropriate and relevant advice. Additionally, adjustment strategies and self-care behaviors were encouraged to aid participants in adapting to motherhood and healthy habits formation.

The primary outcome measure of this study was the percentage of women who were able to achieve their first trimester weight at 4 months postpartum if first trimester BMI was ≤23kg/m², or weight loss of at least 5% with respect to first trimester weight if their first trimester BMI was >23kg/m².
6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

1 2 3 4 5  
subitem not at all important □ □ □ □ □ essential 
Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Online questionnaires were not used.

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

1 2 3 4 5  
subitem not at all important □ □ □ □ □ essential 
Clear selection
Data on APP usage by participants were manually retrieved via the APP’s backend dashboard in combination with usage statistics obtained from the APP developer. We tracked engagement data in the following categories: (a) meal logging; (b) step logging; (c) weight logging; and (d) interactivity with health coaches. Due to the lack of available app engagement data in the current literature, we defined adequate engagement as logging of at least one meal per day for more than 50% of the month, logging or syncing of steps at least 8 times per month, logging of weight at least 4 times per month and communicating with coaches on the APP at least 8 times per month. The overall utilisation rate represented the percentage of days in a month in which an app component was engaged. To standardise calculations, it was assumed that each month had a total of 30 days.
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes to trial outcomes were made after the trial was commenced

7a) How sample size was determined
NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size
Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ☒ essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

". To achieve a power of at least 80%, we aimed to recruit 75 individuals in each group. Accounting for an approximate attrition rate of 15%, we aimed to recruit 100 individuals in each group. "

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines
8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Participants were randomized at the recruitment visit to the intervention or control arm, using a random permuted block design with block size of 4. An independent researcher generated the set of sequences and assigned participants to the intervention or control groups using sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes to ensure allocation concealment until interventions were assigned. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding of participants and assessors was not possible.
Participants were randomized at the recruitment visit to the intervention or control arm, using a random permuted block design with block size of 4. An independent researcher generated the set of sequences and assigned participants to the intervention or control groups using sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes to ensure allocation concealment until interventions were assigned. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding of participants and assessors was not possible.

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Participants were randomized at the recruitment visit to the intervention or control arm, using a random permuted block design with block size of 4. An independent researcher generated the set of sequences and assigned participants to the intervention or control groups using sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes to ensure allocation concealment until interventions were assigned. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding of participants and assessors was not possible.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Participants were randomized at the recruitment visit to the intervention or control arm, using a random permuted block design with block size of 4. An independent researcher generated the set of sequences and assigned participants to the intervention or control groups using sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes to ensure allocation concealment until interventions were assigned. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding of participants and assessors was not possible.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment.

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn’t

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn’t. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

1  2  3  4  5

subitem not at all important 〇 〇 〇 〇 〇 essential

Clear selection
Participants were randomized at the recruitment visit to the intervention or control arm, using a random permuted block design with block size of 4. An independent researcher generated the set of sequences and assigned participants to the intervention or control groups using sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes to ensure allocation concealment until interventions were assigned. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding of participants and assessors was not possible.
11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions
(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not relevant

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes
NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values
Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection
12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

For the analysis of the outcomes based on weight restoration and breastfeeding status (exclusive, partial or none), the Pearson's \( \chi^2 \) test was implemented to compare the respective proportions between groups at 4 months postpartum. The effect estimate was expressed as an odds ratio (OR) with its 95% confidence interval. Subsequent analyses via the mixed effect logistic regression model was used to adjust for ethnicity, parity and the effect of time taking into account repeated measures at 6 weeks and 4 months.
The trial obtained ethics approval from the Domain Specific Review Board and was registered under clinicaltrials.gov (identifier: NCT03324737).

Electronic medical records of all women in the postnatal ward were screened daily by research members to determine eligibility. If eligibility criteria were met, women were approached by a member of the study team in the ward before discharge, and written informed consent was obtained.
RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Women with GDM (n=773) who delivered at NUH, Singapore between November 2017 and February 2019 were screened for eligibility (Figure 1). Three hundred and forty six women did not meet eligibility criteria and 227 declined participation. 200 women consented to participate in the trial and were randomized either to the intervention arm (n=101) or the control arm (n=99). At the end of the study, 95% of those recruited (96 in Intervention and 93 in Control), provided information on the outcome analyses for the duration that they were observed. In total, 11 patients (5 Intervention, 6 Control) were lost to follow-up at Week 6, and a further 7 (1 Intervention, 6 Control) were lost to follow-up at Month 4.

---

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| essential | | | | | |
Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Shown in Figure 1

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

First trimester weight was defined as weight taken before 13 weeks of gestation. If multiple weights were available in the first trimester, a mean of those weights was taken. Participants in the control and intervention arm were scheduled for follow-up visits at 6-week and 4-month postnatal during which a series of investigations were performed [12]

14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period

Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important 〇 〇 〇 〇 〇 essential

Clear selection
Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The trial was not stopped early

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group
NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, Table 1.
15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues
In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues
In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential

Clear selection

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions
Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) "across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds" [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants "used" the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define "use" of the intervention.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, in Table 1
Table 1

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important  ○ ○ ○ ○ ● essential

All analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat (ITT) basis assuming a two-sided test at the 5% level of significance using STATA v16.

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

All analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat (ITT) basis assuming a two-sided test at the 5% level of significance using STATA v16.
17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use
In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

Table 5

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

In the intention-to-treat analysis, 40.0% of women in the intervention arm achieved optimal weight at 4 months, compared to 32.2% in the control arm (OR 1.40, 95% CI 0.76 to 2.58). Adjustment for ethnicity, parity and the effect of time via linear mixed model did not materially alter the results (OR 1.55, 95% CI 0.53 to 4.54).

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSIZBSUp1bwOc_QimqcS64RdfIAFvmrTSkZQL2-3O8O9hrL5Sw/viewform?hl=en_US&formkey=dG… 46/57
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Although women in the intervention arm achieved a mean difference in weight reduction of 1.05kg compared to the control arm (95% CI 0.14, 2.24 p=0.084), this difference did not reach statistical significance. There were no significant differences in anthropometric measurements, breastfeeding status and OGTT results at 4 months (Table 2). Metabolic serum markers did not differ between the groups at 4 months, except for lower Hba1c in the control group at 4 months (Supplementary Table 1). At 4 months postnatal, 3.4% and 0% had impaired fasting glucose and 13.8% and 18.9% had impaired glucose tolerance in the intervention and control group respectively. None of the participants had type 2 diabetes mellitus at 6 weeks or 4 months.

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users
A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ essential

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group
(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Increased emotional distress was addressed with the intervention

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not encounter these problems during the trial

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection
Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence
NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)
Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Clear selection
Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

In this randomized controlled trial involving an APP-based lifestyle intervention among postpartum women with recent GDM, the intervention did not achieve a statistically significant difference in the primary outcome. However, the intervention was effective in promoting an overall healthier lifestyle with reduced self-reported caloric intake and improved health-directed behaviors in women with a history of GDM. Utilisation of the app remained constantly high throughout the study period, with 60% usage of the intervention at 4 months.

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.
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subitem not at all important 〇 〇 〇 〇 〇 essential

Clear selection
Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Going forward, a continued challenge with any intervention remains its ability to sustain long-term maintenance of optimal weight, as positive effects on weight loss have been shown to be negated following the cessation of the intervention [8]. However, longer term follow-up following an intensive lifestyle intervention in an overweight population showed a sustained positive effect on weight, fasting glucose and nutritional intake 13 years post-intervention [40]. This implies that if women continue with the intervention for long enough, healthier food and lifestyle choices may become routine, which may be key in sustaining optimal weight and reducing T2DM risk in these women. Given the apparent acceptability of this APP intervention with relatively high and sustained engagement rates, it is possible that longer-term follow-up of these women would demonstrate even greater weight loss or weight maintenance, which is a crucial step in the battle against the growing T2DM epidemic. Additionally, APP-based interventions would be particularly valuable in the delivery of care during the current COVID-19 pandemic and its aftermath which will rely heavily on telemedicine to minimise direct patient contact.

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials
Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important   ○  ○  ○  ○  ●  essential

Clear selection
Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

The main limitation of our study was that it was underpowered to detect a statistically significant difference in the primary outcome, likely due to the reasons mentioned earlier. Another limitation is that the outcomes in which significant improvements were detected (caloric-intake and health-directed behaviors) were self-reported rather than objectively measured outcomes. Studies have shown that self-reported physical activity is often an overestimation of actual physical activity [39], which could also explain the lack of a significant improvement in weight loss in the intervention group. This emphasizes the need for objectively measured physical activity in future studies, possibly in the form of pedometers provided to all participants of the study. We also acknowledge the limitations of interpreting decreased caloric intake without concomitant data on energy expenditure, since reduced caloric intake may be related to reduced physical activity during the postnatal period. However, as changes in body weight and breastfeeding may be on the causal pathway between the intervention and caloric intake, it is not appropriate to adjust for these factors in our regression model. Similarly, controlling for overall caloric intake when comparing differences in specific macronutrients may not be appropriate, since overall caloric intake lies on the causal pathway between intervention and macronutrient intake. A separate modelling study to investigate the causal pathways involved will be conducted in the future.

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial.
21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations.

subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Given the apparent acceptability of this APP intervention with relatively high and sustained engagement rates, it is possible that longer-term follow-up of these women would demonstrate even greater weight loss or weight maintenance, which is a crucial step in the battle against the growing T2DM epidemic. Additionally, APP-based interventions would be particularly valuable in the delivery of care during the current COVID-19 pandemic and its aftermath which will rely heavily on telemedicine to minimise direct patient contact.

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  ○  essential
Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This study was registered on clintrials.gov on the 30th of October 2017, under the trial registration number: NCT03324737.

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31615456/

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSIZBSUp1bwOc_OimqcS64RdfAFvrmrTSkZQL2-3O8O9hrL5Sw/viewform?hl=en_US&formkey=dG...54/57
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Funding for this study is from a Health Services Research Grant (HSRG) from the National Medical Research Council, Ministry of Health, Singapore granted on the 16th March 2017.
This funding source had no role in the design of this study and did not have any role during its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data, or decision to submit results.

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated
In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.
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Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.
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