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Abstract: The machining of hardened steel and other difficult-to-cut materials require high quality and progressive cutting tools to meet the growing requirements for increasing productivity, improving tool life and quality of the cutting process. This study deals with an experimental investigation on the quality improvement by diamond grinding of ceramic and cemented carbide cutting inserts, comparing it with conventional batch produced types. It was found that under finish turning of hardened up to 61 HRC steel the ground cutting inserts improve the machined surface finish, reduce tool wear and breakage and subsequently extend the tool life.
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INTRODUCTION

The cutting tool is considered as an important factor on which the quality and productivity of the cutting manufacturing process depend on. The efficiency of the cutting tool, defined by its serviceability at maximum possible tool life, depends mainly on the material on the tool working part. The application of such tool material in concrete manufacturing conditions depends on the tool and machine tool service function, the required effectiveness of the machining process, the relevant quality and accuracy of the machined surfaces and on the work piece type and material.

The proper choice of the material for tool manufacturing is one of the major conditions for its high productive working. The best material is the one that will produce the machined part at lowest cost. The ceramic and cemented carbide tools may be recommended for finish and semi-finish cutting of hardened steel and difficult-to-cut structural materials.

During machining the edges of the cutting tool are subject to high pressure, friction and high temperatures that cause premature failure of the tool or gradual wear. The catastrophic failure of the tool by which it falls suddenly may be due to the higher temperature at which the tool loses its hardness and becomes dull. This type of sudden failure is known as thermal softening. Moreover, the premature failure of the cutting tool may be due to the breakage or fracture and separation of the cutting edge, that occur in tools mechanically weak to resist the cutting force and brittle. Thus, premature failure is a problem of all brittle materials, especially ceramics.

In the process of metal cutting under the normal conditions, the cemented carbide tool is subjected to gradual wear and failed progressively. The most effective tool flank wear on machining is usually due to both abrasive and adhesion mechanisms.

The efficiency of the machining process and its quality depend on the insert cutting edges and surface state, particularly in the presence of notches, spellings and the surface roughness of the tool face and flank. The objective of this research is to evaluate the effectiveness of diamond-ground carbide and ceramic inserts in improving the machined surface finish and tool life during finish turning of hardened steel of 61 HRC.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE AND TESTS

Machining Test: All external finish turning tests were carried out on a rigid Colchester Student 1800 engine lathe under the conditions shown in Table 1. Coolant was used throughout the turning test.

Work Piece Material: Finish turning trials were carried on a smooth circular bar of high carbon and high chromium alloy tool steel Sverker 21. For revealing the cutting capabilities of the inserts, the workplace was heat-treated to hardness of 61 HRC. The composition and properties of the work piece are stated in Table 2.

Cutting Inserts: Cemented carbide and ceramic inserts of square shape were used. The photographs and geometry of both inserts are shown in Fig. 1. The inserts were clamped mechanically to a standard cutter to provide the cutting geometry as given in Table 1. The composition and properties of the insert materials are also adduced in Table 1.

The surface end of the batch produced cutting inserts was measured using Talsurf 10 surface texture measuring system. The roughness tests were carried out in the face and flank surfaces of the ceramic inserts and only for the flanks of the carbide. The arithmetical mean deviation of the profile (Ra) for all inserts were as follows:
Table 1: Characteristic of the Cutting Inserts and Finish Turning Test Conditions

| Type of inserts used | 1. Batch produced uncoated cemented tungsten titanium carbide and oxide carbide ceramic | 2. Diamond-ground uncoated cemented tungsten titanium carbide and oxide carbide ceramic |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      |                                                                                      |                                                                                      |

Composition and properties of the cutting inserts

| Insert type           | Base elements                  | Density (gm cm$^{-3}$) | Hardness (HRA) | Transverse rupture strength (MPa) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Oxide carbide ceramic | AL2O3 > 60%, TiC < 40%         | 4.2-4.3                 | 93-94          | 550-700                           |
| Cemented carbide      | 97%WC-3%Co                     | 12-Oct                  | 85-92          | 1590                              |
|                      |                                |                         |                |                                   |

Cutting geometry

- Side cutting edge angle = 45°
- Back rake angle = -5°
- End relief angle = 8°

Cutting conditions:

| Cutting speed, $v$ (m min$^{-1}$) | For ceramic inserts | For carbide inserts |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 90; 120; 150 and 180              | 60; 90 and 120     |                    |

| Feed rate, $f$ (mm rev$^{-1}$)    | 0.05; 0.1 and 0.15 | 0.05; 0.1 and 0.15 |
| Depth of cut, $t$ (mm)            | 0.5; 0.75 and 1    | 0.5; 0.75 and 1    |

Cooling fluid: Mineral oil emulsion

Table 2: Nominal Composition and Physical Properties of SVERKER 21

| Chemical composition, wt. (%) | C    | Si   | Mn   | Cr   | Mo  | V   |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
|                               | 1.55 | 0.3  | 0.4  | 11.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

Physical properties

| Density (gm cm$^{-3}$) | Thermal conductivity (W/m°C) | Modulus of elasticity (MPa) | Elongation $A_5$ (%) | Hardness HRC |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 7.7                    | 20                           | 210000                      | 5                     | 61           |

* Ra = 0.15 µm-for both flank and face surfaces of the ceramic inserts.
* Ra = 0.426 µm-for the cemented carbide cutting insert flank.

For the sake of revealing the irregularities on the batch produced insert cutting edge and noses, the latter were subjected to optical metallography test with a scanning microscope (MEIJI) at ×300 magnification. In Fig. 2 (a) the micrographs (×300) including the surface irregularities and departures is presented.

As previously mentioned, to improve the machining accuracy and tool life the inserts were subjected to diamond grinding on surface grinding machine under constant pressure, cutting speed up to 100 m sec$^{-1}$ and with the material removal rate of 0.5 mm min$^{-1}$. After grinding the inserts were subjected again to roughness and microscopic tests with the help of the above mentioned apparatuses. The micrographs (×300) of the ground inserts are shown in Fig. 2 (b). The Ra for the inserts ground surfaces were as follow:

* Ra = 0.063 µm-for both flank and face surfaces of the ceramic inserts.
* Ra = 0.203 µm-for the cemented carbide insert flank.

It is clear from the illustrations (Fig. 2) that the ground edges and noses of the inserts have a less departure from the nominal profile than aground.
Fig. 2: The Magnified Illustrations at 300x of the Cutting Edge and Insert Nose

(a) The Magnified Illustrations of the Unground Cutting Edge and Inserts Nose

(b) The Magnified Illustrations of the Ground Cutting Edge and Insert Nose
(a) By Turning with Conventional Batch Produced Inserts

(b) By Turning with Diamond Ground Inserts

Fig. 3: The Relationship between the Cemented Carbide Tool-Life and the Cutting Speed

Tool Life and Machined Surface Finish: Both ground and underground ceramic and cemented carbide inserts were examined. The carbide insert flank wear at various stages of each test using a microscope was fixed. Carbide insert rejection or failure was determined according to the flank wear for finish processing ranges from 0.4 to 0.6 mm\(^1\). The ceramic inserts work until fracture.

Data on tool life when turning using different inserts are shown in Fig. 3 and 4. The growth of carbide flank wear was significantly reduced by employing the lowest cutting speed of 60 m min\(^{-1}\). As the cutting speed increases and the heat generated at the tool-work contact area becomes elevated as the insert loses its hardness and strength and may lead to gradual wear and the tool failed progressively.

(b) By Turning with Diamond Ground Inserts

Fig. 4: The Relationship between the Ceramic Tool-Life and the Cutting Speed

Shorter tool lives were recorded for both ceramic and carbide tools at high cutting speeds. The increase in feed and depth of cut values induces shorter lives. For ceramic inserts this ensures that the brittle fracture is the dominate concern in tool life.

It is clear that the application of diamond grinding operation for both ceramic and carbide inserts extends the tool (insert) life. The carbide inserts flank wear was greatly reduced. In general, the use of ground cutting inserts extends the tool life by 25 to 30%. The breakage of the ceramic inserts was reduced by 10%.

To compare the different effect between the ground and underground inserts on surface quality, the three dimensional diagrams with mean responses were plotted. Figure 5 and 6 shows the interaction between cutting speed and feed on machined surface roughness. In Fig. 5 and 6, the roughness is approximately a linear scale of feed and speed. The increase in feed value induces a greater peak-to-valley roughness on the surface profile. The greater the depth of cut, the roughness increased insignificantly and practically may be neglected. It is obvious that the use of ground inserts improves the surface finish by 40 to 50%.
Fig. 5: Effect of Cutting Speed and Feed on Surface Roughness under Turning with Cemented Carbide Inserts
The obtained results ensure that the efficiency and quality of the machining process significantly depend on the presence of notches, spellings and irregularities on the batch produced cutting edges.

**CONCLUSION**

The stability and quality of the cutting process depend primarily on the cutting tool besides the cutting conditions and other factors. The departures and irregularities on the inserts cutting edges and surfaces reduce the strength, tool life and have a negative effect on the machined surface quality. By subjecting the batch produced ceramic and carbide inserts for diamond grinding, the tool life is extended and the surface finish of the machined parts is significantly improved.

The future researches may examine the high-speed diamond-ground inserts subjected to consequent lapping with diamond-water suspension.
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