Syndecan-4 functionalization of tissue regeneration scaffolds improves interaction with endothelial progenitor cells
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Abstract

Key to most implanted cell free scaffolds for tissue regeneration is the ability to sequester and retain undifferentiated mesenchymal stem cells at the repair site. In this report, syndecan-4, a heparan sulfate containing proteoglycan, was investigated as a unique molecule for use in scaffold functionalization. An electrospun hybrid scaffold comprised of poly (glycerol sebacate) (PGS), silk fibroin and type I collagen (PFC) was used as a model scaffold to develop a procedure and test the hypothesis that functionalization would result in increased scaffold binding of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs). For these studies both Syndecan-4 and stromal derived factor-1α (SDF-1α) were used in functionalization PFC. Syndecan-4 functionalized PFC bound 4.8 fold more SDF-1α compared to nonfunctionalized PFC. Binding was specific as determined by heparin displacement studies. After culture for 7 days, significantly, more EPCs were detected on PFC scaffolds having both syndecan-4 and SDF-1α compared to scaffolds of PFC with only syndecan-4, or PFC adsorbed with SDF-1α, or PFC alone. Taken together, this study demonstrates that EPCs can be bound to and significantly expanded on PFC material through syndecan-4 mediated growth factor binding. Syndecan-4 with a multiplicity of binding sites has the potential to functionalize and expand stem cells on a variety of scaffold materials for use in tissue regeneration.
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Introduction

Numerous bioengineered scaffolds intended for use in tissue regeneration lack cells but incorporate secondary modifications designed to attract stem cells post implantation. While this is important in all types of scaffolds, it is highly significant for cardiovascular scaffolds where rapid endothelialization provides a nonthrombogenic surface. In this study, the use of a heparan sulfate containing proteoglycan, syndecan-4, was investigated as a possible binding site for SDF-1α in order to attract CD34+ endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) to an endothelial scaffold termed, PFC [1]. Syndecans are single pass transmembrane proteoglycans consisting of a core protein with pendant heparan sulfate glycosaminoglycan side chains [2]. As an intact transmembrane protein, syndecan-4 is involved in signaling pathways including cellular proliferation, migration, mechanotransduction, and endocytosis [3]. The extracellular domain of syndecan-4 includes pendant heparan sulfate chains, which have been shown to facilitate the binding of SDF-1α to the CXCR4 receptor [4]. Unlike heparin, syndecan-4 is a normal component of endothelial and smooth muscle cell surfaces and has a larger and more complex structure with heparan sulfates existing in a spatially extended and stable conformation. This proteoglycan is therefore an ideal choice for engineered scaffold functionalization.

PFC is an electrospun composite of poly (glycerol-sebacate) (PGS), silk fibroin, and type I collagen. The viscoelastic material is mechanically robust, has minimal degradation in vitro, supports endothelial monolayer formation and has low thrombogenic potential [1].
Thus, PFC is an ideal scaffold to use as a model biomaterial for secondary modification with syndecan-4.

Stromal derived factor-1α (SDF-1α)/CXCL12 is a cytokine that has been shown to localize EPCs to areas of ischemia through the CXCR4 signaling pathway [3]. Currently used methods to bind SDF-1α to vascular grafts include functionalization with heparin followed by incubating the grafts in SDF-1α [6–8]; coating the grafts with fibronectin and then incubating the grafts in SDF-1α [9, 10]; and coating grafts in a heparin coacervate doped with SDF-1α [11]. While these procedures have shown promise in binding SDF-1α, non-covalent coatings are typically non-resilient to vascular flow. In this study, an improved protocol using a heparan sulfate proteoglycan (syndecan-4) was designed and tested in order to investigate the ability to bind SDF-1α and interact with EPCs.

A common method of functionalizing heparin and heparan sulfate or oligosaccharides to biomaterials involves functionalizing the carboxylic acid group, and conjugation to an amine group of the biomaterial [6, 12, 13]. This one-step addition scheme of adding an amine containing biomaterial to a solution of MES buffer with NHS/EDC and heparin/heparan sulfate may result in nonspecific covalent linkages (Fig. 1A). Linkages may occur between the amine groups present on the biomaterial with the carboxylic acid groups on heparan sulfate, linkages between carboxylic acid groups present on the biomaterial with the amine groups on the protein chain, as well as linkages within and between heparan sulfate chains. This nonspecific binding may block the binding sites on heparan sulfate chains of syndecan-4 (Fig. 1B). In this study, to prevent this occurrence, a two-step procedure was used where PFC was exposed first to NHS/EDC in order to bind to the carboxylic acid groups on the biomaterial. After rinsing the material, adding syndecan-4 permitted only the amine groups present on the biomaterial to covalently link with the EDC thus preventing any binding within the heparan sulfate chains. This maintains heparan sulfate in a pendant state and available to bind growth factors (Fig. 1C). In the present study, syndecan-4 was used to functionalize PFC to immobilize SDF-1α and successfully resulted in attachment of EPCs.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Silk fibroin protein was extracted from raw silk (Haian Silk Company, Nantong, China) using an aqueous solvent processing method according to published protocols [14]. PGS prepolymer was synthesized from glycerol (Fisher Scientific) and sebacic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) following published methods [15]. Type I collagen from calfskin was purchased commercially (Elastin Products Company, Inc.). Recombinant human syndecan-4 and human CXCL12/SDF-1α were purchased from R&D Systems.

**Electrospinning of PFC fibers**

Electrospun solutions were prepared using type I collagen, silk fibroin, and PGS at a mass ratio of 4.5:4.5:1 and were dissolved in 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) (Sigma-Aldrich) at a 10% w/v ratio. The solution was loaded into a 5 ml syringe affixed to infusion pump (Baxter, AS50) and ejected from an 18-gauge blunt tip needle at a rate of 2 ml/hr. A voltage of 25 kV was applied at a distance of 18 cm between the syringe tip and the collector plate. A total of 3.5 ml of solution resulted in scaffolds having a thickness of 1 mm. The electrospun scaffold was removed from collector plate and heat polymerized the PGS component. The material was then exposed to 1.5% glutaraldehyde.
PFCSYN was fabricated with 0.8 SDF-1 structure of PFC, PFC SYN, and PFC after exposure to NHS/EDC. A scanning electron microscope (JEOL) was used to determine fiber morphology of electrospun fibers adsorbed (3) the adsorption involved only one monolayer of data as a Langmuir adsorption isotherm. Assumptions were that (1) previously. Saturation kinetics was determined by plotting ELISA as described before. The amount of syndecan-4 was determined by ELISA as described previously, using mouse IgG anti-SDF-1 (1:100) (R&D Systems) as the primary antibody and HRP anti- mouse IgG (Abcam) (1:10 000) as the secondary antibody.

**Heparin displacement study**

This experiment was done to demonstrate that SDF-1α binding was selective for syndecan-4. For these studies, the ionic interaction was displaced with increasing concentrations of highly sulfated heparin. In this experiment, PFCSYN was fabricated with 0.8 μg syndecan-4/cm² PFC as described previously, rinsed with Na₂HPO₄ and PBS, and then incubated with 0.8 μg SDF-1α/cm² PFCSYN for 2 hours at 37°C with agitation. After washing with PBS for 3 times, the scaffolds were incubated with increasing amounts of porcine intestinal mucosa heparin (Fresenius Kabi) at 0, 1, 10, 50, 75, 100, or 200 μg heparin/cm² PFCSYN in PBS at room temperature with agitation for 3 hours. The scaffolds were washed 3 times with PBS after incubation and the remaining amount of SDF-1α on the materials was quantified by ELISA as described previously. Mouse IgG anti-SDF-1 (R&D Systems) (1:100 dilution) was used as the primary antibody and HRP anti-mouse IgG (Abcam) (1:10 000 dilution) was used as the secondary antibody. The amount of SDF-1α on PFCSYN quantified with ELISA was normalized by the absorbance of control group (no heparin added) and plotted against the heparin concentration.

**Cell culture**

Human Bone Marrow Derived cells (PCS-800-012) were purchased from ATCC. Cells were characterized by flow cytometry to be positive for CD34 and CD45. Cells were cultured on fibronectin (Sigma-Aldrich) coated (1 μg/cm²) flasks using complete Endothelial Cell Basal Media (ATCC). The media was supplemented with Endothelial Cell Growth Kit VEGF (ATCC) (without hydrocortisone), supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. After 4 days, non-adherent cells were removed. At 7 days, cell colonies appeared. Cells were trypsinized and replated to distribute colonies. Cells were subcultured at 80% confluence.

**Cell characterization**

The CXCR4 receptor was identified on cells by immunofluorescence staining. Cells were plated in a fibronectin coated 24 well plate at a density of 5000 cells/cm² and incubated in 500 μl culture medium for 24 hours at 37°C. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 40 minutes, blocked with 1% BSA overnight at 4°C, incubated with rabbit anti-CXCR4 (Thermo Scientific) (1:200) primary antibody for 45 minutes at 37°C, and incubated with AlexaFluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Abcam) (1:400) secondary antibody for 45 minutes at 37°C. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI.

**Cell attachment assay**

CD34+ cells were suspended in basal media + 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 10 000 cells were seeded in low attachment 24 well plates. Scaffolds (PFC, PFCSYN, PFC/SDF-1α, and PFCSYN/SDF-1α) were added to wells (n=4). Syndecan-4 was added to material at 0.8 μg syndecan-4/cm² PFC. SDF-1α was added to material at 250 ng/cm² PFC. All well plates were placed on an orbital shaker in a 37°C incubator and rotated at 4 rpm to avoid cell aggregation.

**Detection of bound syndecan-4**

PFC and PFCSYN (n=4) were added to wells of a 96 well plate, rinsed with PBS, and blocked with 0.1% casein (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBST (PBS + 0.05% Tween-20) overnight at 4°C. Samples were then incubated with primary antibody mouse IgG anti-syndecan-4 (Santa Cruz) (1:1000) diluted in 0.1% casein in PBST for 2 hours at room temperature. Samples were then rinsed with PBS with 0.05% Tween-20 and incubated with HRP—anti mouse IgG secondary antibody (Abcam) (1:10 000) diluted in 0.1% casein in PBST. HRP substrate 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) (Thermo Scientific) was added for 30 minutes and the reaction was quenched with 2M sulfuric acid (Sigma-Aldrich). PFC and PFCSYN materials were removed from wells and the absorbance of the remaining solution was read at 450 nm using a plate reader.

**Saturation kinetics of syndecan-4 binding to PFC**

To determine the saturation level of syndecan-4, increasing amounts of syndecan-4 were incubated with PFC during functionalization. The amount of syndecan-4 was determined by ELISA as described previously. Saturation kinetics was determined by plotting ELISA data as a Langmuir adsorption isotherm. Assumptions were that (1) syndecan-4 had a high affinity to the PFC (2) the PFC surface had a specific number of sites where the solute molecules could be adsorbed (3) the adsorption involved only one monolayer of syndecan-4 on the PFC.

**Morphology of electrospun fibers**

A scanning electron microscope (JEOL) was used to determine fiber structure of PFC, PFCSYN, and PFC after exposure to NHS/EDC. Fibers were attached to sample mounts using carbon tape and sputter coated with gold for image analysis. All micrographs were acquired under the same magnification, working distance, and electron beam density.

**SDF-1α + syndecan-4 ELISA**

PFCSYN was fabricated with 0.8 μg syndecan-4/cm² as described previously. After PFC and PFCSYN (n=3) was rinsed in Na₂HPO₄ and PBS, it was added to a PBS solution containing 0.8 μg SDF-1α/cm² PFC. PFC and PFCSYN were incubated with SDF-1α for 2 hours at 37°C with agitation followed by rinsing 3 times with PBS. To determine saturation kinetics of SDF-1α binding to PFCSYN, material was incubated with increasing concentrations of SDF-1α. Amounts of SDF-1α were determined by ELISA as described previously, using mouse IgG anti-SDF-1α (1:100) (R&D Systems) as the primary antibody and HRP anti- mouse IgG (Abcam) (1:10 000) as the secondary antibody.
On days 4 and 7 material was removed from culture weighed and analyzed for DNA content. For these studies, cells were cultured in serum free media to minimize any effects of cytokines.

Fibroblast attachment and growth
Selectivity for cells having receptors for SDF-1α was evaluated by comparing EPC and fibroblast interaction with scaffolds. PFC and PFC SYN/SDF-1α materials were added to ultra-low attachment plates (n = 3), PFC SYN/SDF-1α was fabricated as described previously. EPCs or fibroblasts (no CXCR4 receptors) were added to the materials at 10 000 cells per well, on day 4 PFC and PFC SYN/SDF-1α materials were removed from culture and the number of EPCs or fibroblasts were quantified by DNA measurement.

DNA analysis
Scaffolds (PFC, PFC SYN, PFC/SDF-1α, and PFC SYN/SDF-1α) were placed in 250 µL cell lysis solution (0.2% v/v Triton X-100, 10 mM Tris pH 7.0, and 1 mM EDTA) for 30 minutes on ice and vortexed 3 times for 10 seconds each. Cell lysis solution was placed in 1.5 ml tubes and double stranded DNA content of lysates was quantified with Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA kit (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cell lysate was diluted 10x in TE buffer. DNA standards were diluted in 9:1 (TE Buffer: cell lysis solution). Samples and standards (100 µl) were added to a black bottom 96 well plate. PicoGreen® reagent (100 µl) was added to each of the wells. The plate was covered with foil and mixed on a shaker plate for 5 minutes. The plate was read at excitation: 460 nm, emission: 540 nm. The amount of DNA was calculated from the standard curve and normalized to wet weight of material.

Statistical analysis
All quantitative data were presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). GraphPad Prism software (Version 9.2.0) was used for statistical analysis. Statistical comparisons were determined by Student’s t-test for studies with two comparisons or analysis of variance for studies with multiple comparisons. If significant effects were determined, a Tukey’s post hoc test was utilized to identify significant differences between individual means. Results of P < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results
Functionalization of PFC with syndecan-4
In an initial experiment, PFC was functionalized with syndecan-4 via passive adsorption or by covalent binding by using carbodiimide crosslinking chemistry. Twelve fold more syndecan-4 was detected by ELISA on PFC after covalent linkage compared to PFC with adsorbed syndecan-4 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2A). In a further experiment, the saturation of covalently bound syndecan-4 to PFC was determined using increasing concentrations of syndecan-4. Saturation was calculated to be 0.8 µg syndecan-4/cm² PFC (Fig. 2B). This concentration of syndecan-4 was used for further experiments involving SDF-1α binding.

PFC was imaged using scanning electron microscopy at several magnifications to determine if covalently bound syndecan-4 modified fiber structure. Covalently adding syndecan-4 or exposing material to NHS/EDC did not affect fiber morphology (Fig. 3). At high magnification, no fiber surface modifications such as erosive pitting, blistering or blebbing were observed between treatments.

Figure 2. (A) PFC with adsorbed or covalently linked syndecan-4. Bars represent means and lines represent SEM. (B) Saturation curve for covalently bound syndecan-4 on PFC (N = 3 observations for syndecan-4 concentrations). SEM ranged from 0.02–0.03 for syndecan-4 levels from 0.40 to 2.40 µg/cm² (*P < 0.001)

Binding of SDF-1α to PFC SYN
In an initial experiment, SDF-1α binding to PFC SYN was evaluated and compared to non-functionalized PFC. A 4.7 fold increase in SDF-1α was detected in PFC conjugated with syndecan-4 compared to PFC without syndecan-4 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4A). Next, the saturation of SDF-1α on PFC SYN was determined. For this experiment, PFC was conjugated with 0.8 µg syndecan-4/cm² prior to binding with increasing concentrations of SDF-1α. Saturation of SDF-1α on PFC SYN occurred at 1.2 µg SDF-1α/cm² (Fig. 4B).

Specificity of SDF-1α binding to PFC SYN
The specific binding of SDF-1α to syndecan-4 was determined by using heparin to displace SDF-1α. The results demonstrated that the amount of SDF-1α remaining on the material decreased as the heparin concentration increased. Displacement plateaued around 150 µg heparin/cm² PFC SYN (Fig. 4C).

Interaction of EPC with PFC functionalized with syndecan-4 and SDF-1α
Since the receptor for SDF-1α is CXCR4, EPCs were evaluated first for the presence of the receptor. Fluorescent images demonstrated that cells throughout the culture were positive for the CXCR4 receptor (see Supplementary Fig. 1).

These cells were used to determine the interaction with functionalized PFC using an in vitro adhesion assay. Published work using grafts constructed of PGS demonstrated that bioactivity and EPC
homing occurred at concentrations of 100 ng SDF-1α/mL [11]; therefore, 250 ng SDF-1α/cm² PFC was used to treat the material. There was a significant increase in EPCs on PFC SYN as compared to PFC without syndecan-4 (P < 0.01). Within the sub-group of PFC functionalized with syndecan-4, there was a significant increase in cells on PFC SYN having SDF-1α as compared to PFC SYN without SDF-1α (P < 0.05). In the subgroup of PFC having SDF-1α, a significant increase in cells was observed for PFC functionalized with syndecan-4 as compared to PFC without syndecan-4 (P < 0.01) (Fig. 5).

In order to demonstrate PFC SYN/SDF-1α specifically bound EPCs with SDF-1α and not cells without receptors, fibroblasts were examined in an additional study. Compared to fibroblasts, the numbers of EPCs were significantly (P < 0.01) increased by 100% on PFC functionalized with syndecan-4 and SDF-1α whereas no increases were seen for fibroblasts (Fig. 6).

Discussion

The present study was designed to demonstrate that syndecan-4, a heparan sulfate proteoglycan, could be used to attract EPCs to scaffolds used for tissue regeneration. Through a series of experiments, PFC, a hybrid elastomeric material, was functionalized covalently with syndecan-4, and ionically with SDF-1α. The covalent addition of syndecan-4 to PFC did not modify the morphology of the PFC scaffold.
Addition of syndecan-4 demonstrated saturation kinetics and at maximum saturation, significant binding of SDF-1α was observed. This binding was associated with heparan sulfate on syndecan-4 as evidenced by displacement of heparin. Experiments using EPCs demonstrated that the presence of syndecan-4 and SDF-1α on PFC resulted in significant increased binding of cells. This binding was attributed to the presence of CXCR4 receptors on EPCs, since no increased binding was observed using fibroblasts lacking CXCR4 receptors.

In this study, NHS/EDC chemistry was used to link the carboxylic acid groups present in collagen and fibroin of PFC to the amine groups present in the protein core of syndecan-4. In the protocol, NHS facilitates the addition of EDC to carboxylic acid groups. Upon exposure to an amine group, EDC links the carboxylic acid group to the amine group [16]. This method of conjugation may result in interchain linkage as well as the blocking of binding sites present on the heparin. The protein core of syndecan-4 contains significant amounts of primary amine whereas, heparan sulfate has minimal unsubstituted amine groups [17]. The two-step protocol was used and thus resulted in only the amine groups present on syndecan-4 core protein to covalently link with the EDC, thus was successful in preventing activation of carboxyl groups on heparan sulfate of syndecan-4.

Compared to the use of heparin, functionalization with syndecan-4 represents an improved method of immobilizing growth factors due to its ubiquitous presence and function in native tissue, molecular size, molecular conformation, and more varied oligosaccharide structure. A limitation of functionalizing biomaterials with heparin or only the heparan sulfate moiety of syndecan-4, is that the covalent linkage may impact the structure and therefore function of the carbohydrate [18, 19]. By using larger molecules such as intact syndecan-4 for functionalization, specific domains on the protein can be targeted for covalent linkage, while leaving the pendant heparan sulfate side chains unaffected and accessible for molecular interactions. Compared with non-covalent functionalization such as adsorption, the covalent linkage of syndecan-4 and PFC will provide a higher binding strength that is more resilient to vascular flow. The binding affinity of SDF-1α to heparan sulfate has been reported to be 30 nM, which indicates high binding strength to syndecan-4 [20].

Perlecan, another heparan sulfate containing proteoglycan also may be used in cardiovascular scaffold functionalization. Perlecan has been shown to support endothelial cell adhesion and growth while inhibiting platelets and smooth muscle cell interaction [21]. However, the size and molecular domain of perlecan is much greater than syndecan-4. Thus, functionalization with perlecan may be bulky and distanced from the surface of scaffold material.

This study is novel in that it utilizes syndecan-4 to immobilize SDF-1α. We have shown that it is possible to link covalently syndecan-4 to PFC, a composite elastomeric endovascular biomaterial. Additionally, we were able to immobilize SDF-1α to syndecan-4 on PFC and increase the binding and growth of EPCs on this scaffold. The multifunctionality residing in the variable and specific oligosaccharide sequence of heparan sulfate of syndecan-4 also may provide high affinity binding potential for sequestration of other types of growth factors in circulation or produced in a paracrine manner. Although only PFC was investigated in this study, a broader application includes the use of syndecan-4 to functionalize a variety of composite biomaterials.

Conclusion

The goal of the study was to functionalize a composite biomaterial, PFC, in order to bind EPCs to a scaffold material. The results of the study demonstrated that syndecan-4 can be linked covalently to PFC while maintaining heparan sulfates available for subsequent molecular interactions. The functionalization provided a significant increase above non-functionalized PFC in the ability to sequester the growth factor, SDF-1α. The study provides a procedure whereby SDF-1α as well as other growth factors can be bound to a variety of scaffolds through use of syndecan-4. The findings of this study provide useful information for the rational design of protocols for harboring growth factors on various type of biomaterials with the eventual outcome to provide enhanced retention of undifferentiated progenitor cells necessary for tissue regeneration.
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Supplementary data are available at REGBIO online.
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