Micropropagation of Two Selected Male Kiwifruit and Analysis of Genetic Variation with AFLP Markers
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Abstract. A simple and reliable protocol for micropropagation during 12 subcultures of two field growth male plants of kiwifruit [Actinidia delicosa (A.Chev.) Liang and Ferguson] is described. The best results of shoot multiplication and elongation were obtained in Cheng’s K(b) medium in the presence of 0.5 µM NAA, 22 µM BA and 1.4 µM GA3, for ‘Tomuri’ explants, and of 0.1 µM NAA, 4.4 µM BA, and 0.3 µM GA3, for clone A explants. In addition, the cytokinin compounds TDZ and mT were also tested allowing improving the multiplication rate in ‘Tomuri’ explants. For rooting, ‘Tomuri’ and clone A developed shoots were treated by basal immersion in a 5 mM IBA solution for 15 seconds. Treated shoots were then cultured in half-strength K(b) medium without growth regulators showing 100% rooting after 30 days. Regenerated plantlets were successfully transplanted to soil (90% survival) and they are actively growing in the field. Somaclonal variation analysis by AFLP was carried out using 15 primer combinations, yielding reproducible and well-discriminative genetic markers. AFLP markers showed to be effective to discriminate genetic variation in this species, being greater in clone A than ‘Tomuri’. Chemical names used: N6-benzyladenine (BA); gibberellic acid (GA); indole-3-butyric acid (IBA); meta-topolin (mT); naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA); thidiazuron (TDZ).

Kiwifruit [Actinidia delicosa (Chev.) Liang and Ferguson] is a domesticated plant, and their fruits are mostly produced by one female cultivar (‘Hayward’). Pollination is one of the main factors limiting kiwifruit production, since inadequate pollination leads to unmarketable fruits (González et al., 1998). Pollination mostly relies on two male clones ‘Matua’ and ‘Tomuri’ selected in New Zealand (Zhang and Thorp, 1986), but in several European countries these males produce little pollen and the flowering period does not exactly coincide with the female cultivar ‘Hayward’.

This situation has led to the use of nonselected male plants in commercial orchards, and the search for other good male pollinizer clones for ‘Hayward’, such as selected clone A in Spain (González et al., 1994). Selected pollinizers would allow commercial orchards to replace those male plants with less flowers and pollen and which do not flower at the same time as ‘Hayward’ with selected male kiwifruit plants. This situation creates the need for a great number of male plants from a single mother plant, which could be obtained by in vitro culture. This technique will be especially useful given that several wood-transmitted diseases have recently been detected in kiwifruit (Di Marco et al., 2002; Gianetti et al., 2002), which makes traditional propagation protocols, such as grafting, more difficult. Several protocols for micropropagation have been published for the female cultivar ‘Hayward’ using shoot or root segments, buds, meristem tips or other explants (Revilla et al., 1992), while the main methods used for clonal micropropagation were carried out with nodal segments (Piagnani et al., 1986; Velayandom et al., 1985), shoot tips (Monette, 1986) or axillary buds (Pedroso et al., 1992; Wessels et al., 1984), few studies have reported clonal micropropagation from male cultivars such as ‘Tomuri’ (Marino and Bertazza, 1990; Piagnani et al., 1986). Most of these reports used N6-benzyladenine (BA) as the cytokinin, and only a few of them also tested the effect of zeatin (Z) and kinetin (KIN). Recent studies have introduced other cytokinins such as thidiazuron (TDZ), which has not been previously assayed in Actinidia, or meta-topolin (mT) that it has been shown that doubles the multiplication rate in this species (Fernández, 2001). In plant propagation, the most crucial concern is to retain genetic integrity with respect to the parent plants. However, it has been reported that in vitro culture techniques induce genetic, specifically somaclonal, variation (Larkin and Scowcroft, 1981). The variability expressed in microplants may be the consequence of, or related to, oxidative stress damage caused to the plant tissues during explant preparation, and in culture as a result of media and environmental factors (Cassells and Curry, 2001). Phenotypic identification has been one of the strategies used to detect genetic variation, although some changes induced by in vitro culture cannot be observed because the structural difference in the gene product does not always alter its biological activity sufficiently for the change to be detectable in the phenotype (Palombi and Damiano, 2002). Consequently, DNA analysis techniques are also used. Some of these techniques assess somaclonal variation, such as RFLP and isozymes (Sabir et al., 1992),RAPD markers (Hashmi et al., 1997; Schneider et al., 1996) and AFLP markers (Vendrame et al., 1999). In kiwifruit, studies of somaclonal variation in ‘Tomuri’, M3, CLG and ‘Hayward’ microcultures have recently been established, starting from shoot meristems and through the adventitious regeneration of fruit peduncles, using RAPD and SSR (Palombi and Damiano, 2002).

In our report, we describe a complete and reliable system for male kiwifruit plant micropropagation from shoot-tips of hardwood from field-grown plants and the analysis of genetic variation induced by in vitro culture carried out using AFLP markers.

Materials and Methods

Plant material. Hardwood cuttings from adult plants of cultivar Tomuri (Zhang and Thorp, 1986) and clone A (González et al., 1994), both male kiwifruit plants (Actinidia delicosa), were used as explant sources. These cuttings, collected in January 2000 and 2001 at experimental orchards located in the SERIDA (Villavicencio, Principado de Asturias, Spain), were subjected to two different methods of sterilization and storage. Protocol A: cuttings were directly stored inside a plastic bag at 4 °C for at least 2 months and surface sterilized with sodium azide 0.4% just before stimulating budbreak. Protocol B: cuttings were surface sterilized as for protocol A and then stored at 4 °C for at least 2 months before stimulating budbreak. In both protocols, cuttings were stimulated to budbreak by placing them in water in a growth chamber at 24 ± 2 °C with a 16-h photoperiod (cool white light fluorescent tubes, 60 µmol m–2 s–1). Lateral shoots produced after budbreak were then used as explant sources.
**Fungal analysis.** Sanitary state of plants was controlled periodically during the micropropagation process. All stock cuttings and micropropagated shoots with symptoms were analysed for fungal diseases. Samples were transferred to 90-mm-diameter petri plates containing malt extract agar (10 g malt extract, Cultimed; 10 g agar, general purpose agar, Sigma; 1 L distilled water). Plates were incubated at 20 to 22 °C with a 16 h dark–8 h light cycle (cool-white light fluorescent tubes, 80 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹). Fungi were examined microscopically (Olympus CH-2) and identified using diagnostic morphological criteria found in Barnett and Hunter (1987), Ellis (1971) and Sutton (1980).

**Tissue culture.** Shoot tips were used as primary explants. They were sterilized by immersion in 70% ethanol for 20 s and then in 1% sodium hypochlorite with three drops of Tween-20 for 20 min. Finally they were washed three times with sterile distilled water.

Shoot tips were cultured in baby food-jars covered with MAGENTA caps (Sigma) containing 30 mL of basal K(h) medium (Cheng, 1975) either without or supplemented with four different growth regulator combinations. The combinations tested were 0.1 µM 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA), 0.4 µM BA and 0.3 µM gibberellic acid (GA₃) (M1); 0.1 µM NAA, 2.2 µM BA and 1.4 µM GA₃ (M2); 0.5 µM NAA, 2.2 µM BA and 1.4 µM GA₃ (M3); 0.5 µM NAA, 22 µM BA and 1.4 µM GA₃ (M4). Explants were transferred to the same fresh medium monthly and the number of new shoots per explant was counted. The effect of TDZ and mT was assayed by culturing in a half-strength K(h) basal medium. Regenerated plantlets were transferred in a solution containing 7 M urea and run at 58 W for 2 h. After electrophoresis, the gel was dried on Watmann 3MM paper in a vacuum dryer at 80 °C for 2 h and autoradiographed on X-ray film (Kodak) using standard procedures.

**Data analysis.** All tissue culture experiments were performed at least three times with a minimum of 10 explants per treatment and repetition. Data was statistically analysed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the differences contrasted using Duncan’s multiple range test (P ≤ 0.05).

**Results and Discussion**

Effects of stock cuttings protocols. Fungi isolated after sterilization following protocol A or B differed markedly in species composition (Table 1). Pathogenic fungi such as *Botrytis cinerea* and *Phomopsis* were isolated from both clone A and ‘Tomuri’ stock cuttings. In protocol B cuttings, *B. cinerea* was the dominant species with other possible pathogens (especially *Phomopsis*). On the other hand, a greater diversity was observed in fungi isolated from the protocol A cuttings.

Previous disinfection of stock cuttings (protocol B) removed a lot of fungi inhabiting the surface of plant material (phyloplane) and only those fungi with the ability to develop resistant forms (like sclerotia) were able to be stored without antagonist competition and subsequent prevalent contamination.

### Table 1. Fungi isolated after sterilization of cuttings following protocols A or B.

| Disinfection and store protocol | Fungi detected in stock cuttings | Fungi detected in vitro shoots |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| **A**                          |                                  |                              |
| Alternaria spp.                 |                                  |                              |
| Botrytis cinerea                |                                  |                              |
| Cladosporium sp.                |                                  |                              |
| Gloeosporium sp.                |                                  |                              |
| Penicillium spp.                |                                  |                              |
| Phomopsis sp.                   |                                  |                              |
| Ulocladium sp.                  |                                  |                              |
| **B**                          |                                  |                              |
| Botrytis cinerea                |                                  |                              |
| Fusarium spp.                   |                                  |                              |
| Phomopsis sp.                   |                                  |                              |

*Protocol A: cuttings were directly stored in a plastic bag at 4 °C for at least 2 months and then surface sterilized with sodium azide 0.4% just before stimulating budbreak. Protocol B: cuttings were surface sterilized as for protocol A and stored at 4 °C for at least 2 months before stimulating budbreak.*
was effective in controlling the pathogens in the cuttings sterilized just before budbreak. Using protocol B, *Ulocladium* sp. was suppressed because of sterilization and *B. cinerea* populations subsequently increased. This problem affected the survival of shoots-tips in culture, so that ‘Tomuri’ shoot tips could be maintained in culture during 12 months using protocol A but only 7 months using protocol B. Furthermore, proliferation rate drastically diminished: ‘Tomuri’ explants cultured in medium M4 formed 1.75 new shoots per explant.

---

**Fig. 1.** Effect of growth regulator combination on shoot induction from shoot tips of adult grown plants. ‘Tomuri’ explants cultured in (A) M1 medium (0.1 µM NAA, 0.4 µM BA and 0.3 µM GA₃), (B) M2 medium (0.1 µM NAA, 4.4 µM BA and 0.3 µM GA₃), or (C) M4 medium (0.5 µM NAA, 22 µM BA and 1.4 µM GA₃). Clone A explants cultured in (D) M1 medium, (E) M2 medium, or (F) M4 medium. Values with the same or without letters are not significantly different at \( P \leq 0.05 \) by Duncan’s multiple range test. Bars above lines represent standard errors.
Fig. 2. Effect of a 35 d pretreatment of TDZ and mT on shoot induction from shoot tips of 'Tomuri' adult grown plants. Filled squares correspond to explants cultured for 35 d in the presence of 0.5 $\mu$M NAA, 2.2 $\mu$M TDZ and 1.4 $\mu$M GA$_3$, and then cultured for four subcultures in M4 medium (0.5 $\mu$M NAA, 22 $\mu$M BA and 1.4 $\mu$M GA$_3$). Filled circles correspond to explants cultured for 35 d in the presence of 0.5 $\mu$M NAA, 2 $\mu$M mT and 1.4 $\mu$M GA$_3$, and then cultured for four subcultures in M4 medium. Filled triangles correspond to explants cultured directly in M4 medium. For each cytokinin treatment, values with the same or without letters are not significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$ by Duncan's multiple range test. Bars above lines represent standard errors.

Fig. 3. Micropropagation of shoot-tips from field grown 'Tomuri' and clone A cuttings: (A) Shoot formation in 'Tomuri' shoot-tips cultured for six subcultures in M4 medium (0.5 $\mu$M NAA, 22 $\mu$M BA and 1.4 $\mu$M GA$_3$). (B) Shoot formation in clone A shoot-tips cultured for 5 subcultures in M2 medium (0.1 $\mu$M NAA, 4.4 $\mu$M BA and 0.3 $\mu$M GA$_3$). (C) Shoot formation in 'Tomuri' shoot-tips cultured for one subculture in M4 medium (0.5$\mu$M NAA, 22 $\mu$M BA and 1.4 $\mu$M GA$_3$) after being pretreated in the presence of 0.5 $\mu$M NAA, 2.2 $\mu$M TDZ and 1.4 $\mu$M GA$_3$. (D) Shoot formation in 'Tomuri' shoot-tips cultured for 1 subculture in M4 medium (0.5 $\mu$M NAA, 22 $\mu$M BA and 1.4 $\mu$M GA$_3$) after being pretreated in the presence of 0.5 $\mu$M NAA, 2 $\mu$M mT and 1.4 $\mu$M GA$_3$. (E) Rooted 'Tomuri' (left) and clone A (right) microshoots after basal immersion in an IBA solution (5 mM) for 15 s, 1 month in half-strength K(h) basal medium and 10 d in sterile peat–perlite substrate. (F) 'Tomuri' and clone A acclimated plants after 3 months in the greenhouse. (G) Clone A plant actively growing after 1 year in the field.
Effect of hormonal concentration. When the culture medium was not supplemented by growth regulators, shoot multiplication did not occur since all explants died during the first month in culture. In M3 medium, explants did not survive more than 3 months and no shoots were observed. In the other three media, shoot proliferation could be observed, but new shoots were never found at the first subculture which indicates that the explants needed a short period of time in order to adapt to culture media (George, 1993). From the second subculture, there was a good proliferation rate but it declined as the culture aged. This decline has also been reported by Margara (1988) and is explained by mutations or loss of endogenous hormones or nutrients.

‘Tomuri’ explants were kept in culture for 12 months in three morphogenic media (Fig. 1). M4 medium yielded the best results, with 3.16 new shoots per explant (M3 medium) or only allowed to obtain the lowest number of them (M1 medium), whereas increasing BA concentration (M4 and M2 media) to reach a ratio of 1:44:3 stimulated new shoot formation. However, growth regulator concentration requirements were different for both pollinizers. Thus, to reach the best multiplication rate in ‘Tomuri’, the combination used was 0.5 µM NAA, 22 µM BA and 1.4 µM GA3 (M4), while for clone A it was 0.1 µM NAA, 4.4 µM BA and 0.3 µM GA3 (M2). These multiplication rates are comparable with those reported by Marino and Bertazza (1990) and Piagnani et al. (1986) for ‘Tomuri’ testing different BA concentrations as the only growth regulator with 1 auxin : 4 cytokinin : 3 gibberellin did not produce new shoots per explant (M3 medium) or only allowed to obtain the lowest number of them (M1 medium), whereas increasing BA concentration (M4 and M2 media) to reach a ratio of 1:44:3 stimulated new shoot formation. Therefore, in this study, the in vitro results of almo et al. (1986) for ‘Tomuri’ testing different BA concentrations as the only growth regulator with 1 auxin : 4 cytokinin : 3 gibberellin did not produce new shoots per explant (M3 medium) or only allowed to obtain the lowest number of them (M1 medium), whereas increasing BA concentration (M4 and M2 media) to reach a ratio of 1:44:3 stimulated new shoot formation.

Table 2. Analysis of informativeness of primer combinations that gave polymorphisms amongst the samples of in vivo and in vitro grown kiwifruit male genotypes (‘Tomuri’ and clone A).

| Primers combinations | No. of total bands | No. of polymorphs bands | Percentage of polymorphism |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1-EcoRI-ACC / Msel-CA | 96                 | 51                      | 53                        |
| 2-EcoRI-ACC / Msel-CAC | 101               | 61                      | 60                        |
| 3-EcoRI-ACC / Msel-CAT | 135               | 71                      | 53                        |
| 4-EcoRI-AAG / Msel-CTG | 62                | 36                      | 58                        |
| 5-EcoRI-AAG / Msel-CTT | 127               | 47                      | 37                        |
| 6-EcoRI-ACA / Msel-CTA | 76                | 31                      | 41                        |
| 7-EcoRI-ACA / Msel-CTC | 90                | 76                      | 84                        |
| 8-EcoRI-ACA / Msel-CTG | 66                | 41                      | 62                        |
| 9-EcoRI-ACA / Msel-CTT | 116               | 43                      | 37                        |
| 10-EcoRI-ACC / Msel-CA | 105               | 71                      | 68                        |
| 11-EcoRI-ACC / Msel-CTC | 85                | 60                      | 71                        |
| 12-EcoRI-ACT / Msel-CAG | 87                | 43                      | 49                        |
| 13-EcoRI-ACT / Msel-CAT | 76                | 39                      | 51                        |
| 14-EcoRI-AAG / Msel-CTG | 58                | 37                      | 64                        |
| 15-EcoRI-AAG / Msel-CTT | 78                | 70                      | 90                        |
| Total                | 1358              | 777                     | 57                        |

Minimum number of polymorphism 36
Maximum number of polymorphism 71
Average number of amplified bands for combination 90.5
Average number of polymorphism for combination 51.8

The effect of TDZ and mT was different in ‘Tomuri’ and clone A explants. After treatment with TDZ and mT, ‘Tomuri’ explants survived in several M4 subcultures and showed appreciative shoot multiplication, whereas clone A explants died during the first or second subculture in M2. ‘Tomuri’ shoot-tips gave a multiplication rate of three new shoots per explant after four subcultures in M4 after prior treatment with TDZ, and after three subcultures when they had been previously treated with mT (Fig. 2). When explants were directly subcultured in M4 medium they needed nine subcultures to reach such multiplication rates (Fig. 1C).
Shoots formed in explants treated with TDZ or mT were shorter, between 0.3 or 0.4 cm, than those obtained in explants directly cultured in BA (>0.5 cm), and they needed to be elongated prior to rooting. Earlier research has reported a reduction in shoot elongation with TDZ (Fellman et al., 1987; Torres and Mogollón, 2000) and mT (Fernández, 2001).

Figure 3 shows ‘Tomuri’ (A) and clone A (B) shoot multiplication from shoot-tips cultured in M4 medium and M2 medium respectively. This figure also illustrates shoot multiplication from ‘Tomuri’ shoot-tips treated with a subculture with TDZ (C) or mT (D) after being maintained in M4 medium.

**Rooting and acclimatization.** All tested shoots rooted after 30 d in half-strength K(h) basal medium (Fig. 3E). Plant survival in the greenhouse was high (about 90%; Fig. 3F) and acclimated plantlets were transferred to field conditions where they remain still, after 1 year actively growing (Fig. 3G). Results are similar to those reported by González et al. (1995) with ‘Hayward’.

**Genetic variation analysis.** Analysis by AFLP using 15 primer combinations showed reproducible and well-resolved bands (Fig. 4). A total of 1358 fragments were obtained, 777 of which were polymorphic (57%), where the combination number seven gave 76 polymorphic bands and only 31 with the primer combination number six. However, no clear correlation was observed between total number of bands and percentage of polymorphism (Table 2), since the greatest percentage was for the combination number 15, and the lowest for nine.

A dendrogram was constructed from a matrix that included both monomorphic and polymorphic bands to express the results of the cluster analyses based on the AFLP fragments (Fig. 5). The correlation coefficient calculated for AFLP markers was \( r = 0.923 \). This value indicated that the matrix obtained was a good representation of the relationships among the genotypes, as reported by Rohlf (1993), who considered a value of \( r = 0.82 \) to be significant. When the results expressed by the dendrogram were considered with respect to the tissue culture effects it could be seen that AFLP markers reflected genetic variation through the culture process.

Genetic variation in kiwifruit during tissue culture has been analysed previously by Palombi and Damiano (2002) using two different DNA-based techniques, RAPD and SSR. These authors observed that RAPD markers could not detect genetic variation induced in micropropagated ‘Tomuri’ plants, whereas SSR showed genetic variation induced during in vitro culture. Our results showed that AFLP markers also discriminate genetic variation, which makes them more effective than RAPD markers, as previously described in studies comparing different DNA-based techniques (Powell et al., 1996; Russell et al., 1997). Thus, SSR used by Palombi and Damiano (2002) and AFLP used in the present work are valid DNA-based techniques for analyzing genetic variation in kiwifruit induced by in vitro culture.

The dendrogram showed that there was a greater similarity between ‘Tomuri’ and clone A field-grown plants, as previously observed in studies to identify clones of Actinidia deliciosa with isozymes, RAPD and AFLP markers (Prado, 2002), than there was between in vitro material and their corresponding mother plant (Fig. 5). There was also a good correlation of similarity between in vitro material and its corresponding field-grown material of the same genotype.

More genetic variation was observed in clone A (67% similarity between in vitro and in vivo plants) than ‘Tomuri’ (76% similarity). In fact, several studies have revealed that different genomes do not respond in the same way to in vitro culture stress. These differences are shown even among varieties of the same species, depending on the different ploidy level of the starting material (Karp, 1991). Another factor that induces genetic variation seems to be the time of culture. However, we have detected that variation does not seem to be influenced by the number of subcultures (Fig. 5). These results are consistent with studies which indicate that most changes occur during the first stages of culture, when cells are under greater stress (Yamagishi, 1996).

We have designed a simple protocol for the micropropagation of two adult field-grown plant pollinizers of kiwifruit. This protocol includes the most effective system for storing cuttings in order to avoid bacterial and fungal proliferation at the time when the culture is established. Three different cytokinins have been evaluated for shoot multiplication, being TDZ and mT more effective than BA. BA proved to be useful for obtaining shoots amenable to rooting and transfer to field, whereas this was not tested with the shorter shoots obtained using TDZ and mT. Since multiplication and elongation can be carried out in the same step using BA, this protocol also reduces costs in developing plants. Further this study report that AFLP markers are able to investigate genetic variation in micropropagated plants. It is possible conclude that when we use molecular markers with this aim, the choice of DNA analysis technique is relevant and depends on both the efficiency with which the molecular markers detect polymorphism and the different level of DNA changes occurring in the plants.
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