South Korea has been identified as an aging society since 2000, where the population aged ≥65 years exceeds 7%⁵. According to Statistics Korea, the elderly population aged ≥65 years in the Korean society would occupy 20.3% of the total population by 2025². This rapid progression of the aging phenomenon may induce economic and social issues⁷. The trend of a continuous increase in health care costs owing to metabolic syndrome (MetS), primarily caused by an imbalance in nutrient intake and the lack of physical activity (PA), is a major concern. MetS occurs because of complex interactions among genetic factors, inappropriate dietary habits, and insufficient PA.⁸ According to the 6th Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES), an analysis of the general population in South Korea, 17.0% of the elderly population aged ≥65 years displayed an insufficient level of total calorie intake, whereas 31.4%, 81.3%, 60.5%, and 61.5% displayed less than the estimated average requirement (EAR) of protein intake, calcium intake, vitamin A intake, and vitamin C intake, respectively.⁹ The levels of income and education were determined as the primary cause of such nutrient deficiency, with an imbalance in nutrient intake predominantly concentrated in the low socioeconomic status group¹¹. A decline in the socioeconomic status, particularly with respect to the level of education, would increase irregular daily routines with a lack of balanced nutrient intake, thus suppressing the prevalence of chronic diseases¹¹.

The lack of PA has been identified as the fourth major risk factor of global mortality; nonetheless, a significant percentage of the total population did not perform adequate PA.¹² Increasingly, studies are investigating the correlation between the level of income and PA. Several studies have demonstrated a positive correlation between personal income and participation in PA.¹³-¹⁹ Meltzer and Jena reported that the high income group displayed 26% higher energy consumption through PA with approximately 3% higher intensity, compared with the low income group.²⁰ Likewise, the level of income is supposedly the critical determinant of...
PA\textsuperscript{18,19}. By contrast, improved economy and increased income enhance the total PA, which is higher for individuals close to unemployment on the scale of income level\textsuperscript{14,15,18}. Health equality has become one of the most significant political goals not only worldwide but also in South Korea\textsuperscript{21}. Considering it to be a sensitive indicator of the fairness of public order, an additional increase in social injustice would sufficiently induce a deterioration in health-related inequality\textsuperscript{22}. Thus, researchers should attempt to apply the health indicators of the KNHANES to determine the current status of health inequality caused by differences in income levels across the elderly populations in South Korea to minimize social loss; however, there are limited studies on the correlations of the income level with nutrient intake and the presence of MetS in the elderly. Thus, we aimed to determine the association between the differences in income levels and those in nutrient intake and MetS in the elderly by analyzing the data of the 7\textsuperscript{th} KNHANES (2016‒2018).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Sample and design

This study used cross-sectional data from the KNHANES from 2016 to 2018, conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC). The data are updated every 3 years. Therefore, we used the most up-to-date available data. The details of the study design and data source profiles followed the methods described in the guidelines for the use of raw KNHANES data and in the final report on the sampling frame\textsuperscript{23}.

From 2016 to 2018, 24,269 individuals completed health interview surveys, nutrition surveys, and health examinations, conducted according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. This interview survey was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the KCDC (Reference number; 2018-01-03-P-A). Preceding the survey, all participants were informed about the purpose and procedures of the survey, and written informed consent was obtained from each volunteer prior to participation. Of these individuals, 19,313 people aged under 65 years were excluded; thus, 4,956 people aged over 65 years were retained. Subsequently, we excluded 2,497, 193, and 127 individuals with middle-class income, previously diagnosed or treated for cancer (gastric, colorectal, liver, cervical, breast, thyroid, lung, and other cancers), and with missing data, respectively (Figure 1). A total of 2,139 elderly people were eventually included in this study.

We used the age data extracted among the items of the questionnaire from the KNHANES raw data (2016–2018). The elderly people aged ≥65 years were divided into four groups according to their equivalised monthly household income, ranging from Group I with the highest income to Group IV with the lowest income. Following the exclusion of the middle groups II and III, we selected the groups I and IV to represent the high and low income levels. Moreover, the participants were divided according to the presence of MetS into two groups, namely the MetS group and non-MetS group. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of all participants.

**Metabolic syndrome**

MetS diagnosis was based on the recently harmonized guidelines of the National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III\textsuperscript{24}, American Heart Association, and National Heart Lung and Blood Institute\textsuperscript{25}. For the waist circumference, we followed the criteria suggested by the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity\textsuperscript{26}. MetS was diagnosed if the participants met three or more of the following criteria\textsuperscript{27}: waist circumference >90 cm (men) or >85 cm (women); systolic blood pressure >130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure >85 mmHg; fasting triglyceride (TG) levels >150 mg/dL; fasting HDL-C levels <40 mg/dL (men) or <50 mg/dL (women); and fasting glucose (FG) levels >100 mg/dL.

**Physical activity**

The Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) comprises 16 questions grouped to determine the PA undertaken in different behavioral domains as follows: work, transport, and recreational activities. It analyzes the following five domains of PA: vigorous-intensity work, moderate-intensity work, transport, vigorous-intensity recreation, and moderate-intensity recreation. The participants responded freely to the five domains without any additional option regarding the number of times they performed PA per week and the minutes per day. We used the World

---

**Figure 1. Flow diagram for the selection of the study participants.**
Health Organization GPAQ analysis guidelines to analyze the GPAQ data. A person’s caloric consumption was four times and eight times likely higher on being moderately and vigorously active, respectively, than that while sitting quietly. Therefore, while calculating the total energy expenditure of an individual using GPAQ data, four and eight metabolic equivalents (METs) were allocated to the time spent in moderate and vigorous activities, respectively, as follows:

- Vigorous intensity activity: occupational (MET) = 8.0 × vigorous intensity PA (day/week) × 1-day vigorous intensity PA (min/day)
- Moderate intensity activity: occupational (MET) = 4.0 × moderate intensity PA (day/week) × 1-day moderate intensity PA (min/day)
- Vigorous intensity activity: recreational (MET) = 8.0 × vigorous intensity PA (day/week) × 1-day vigorous intensity PA (min/day)
- Moderate intensity activity: recreational (MET) = 4.0 × moderate intensity PA (day/week) × 1-day moderate intensity PA (min/day)
- Transport (MET) = 4.0 × transport PA (day/week) × 1-day transport PA
- Total PA (MET) = vigorous intensity activity: occupational + moderate intensity activity: occupational + vigorous intensity activity: recreational + moderate intensity activity: recreational + transport

PA levels were classified into four groups as follows: inactive (0–249 MET min/week), somewhat active (250–499 MET min/week), active (500–999 MET min/week), and very active (>1,000 MET min/week). These cut-off points were based on their equivalence to the following PA thresholds: 250 MET min/week represented an energy expenditure dose equivalent to half of the threshold; 500 MET min/week was equivalent to the minimal threshold; and 1,000 MET min/week was equivalent to twice the minimal threshold.

Energy intake

The nutrition outcomes were obtained by a 24-h recall method by interviewing the target households in person. Trained dietitians collected the nutrition survey data from the participants’ homes 1 week following the health interview and health examination. Daily energy intake was calculated using the Korean Food and Nutrient Database of the Rural Development Authority. The following items were included in the analyses: total energy intake, carbohydrate intake, protein intake, and fat intake per day. The energy intake data was converted to kcal. Carbohydrates and proteins were calculated by converting them to 4 kcal per 1 gram, whereas fat was converted to 9 kcal per 1 gram.

Statistical analysis

The continuous variables are presented as mean and standard error. We verified the normality of the distribution of all outcome-variable data using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. We performed the one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) to analyze the differences in risk factors for MetS as well as PA levels and energy intakes between the non-MetS and MetS groups, and the sex characteristics of the dependent variable in each group. We performed the post-mortem independent t-test was performed to analyze the risk factors for MetS as well as PA levels and energy intakes between participants with and without MetS, and between men and women. Upon obtaining a significant interaction effect by the two-way ANOVA, we performed a Bonferroni post-hoc test to separately compare the household-specificity of the dependent variables in each group with and without MetS. Moreover, we determined the relationships between PA levels or energy intake and MetS using logistic regression analysis after controlling for the covariates. The logistic regression analysis findings are presented as odds ratios (ORs) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The level of significance was set at 0.05.
RESULTS

Differences in metabolic syndrome components according to the income level

Table 2 summarizes the levels of MetS components based on the presence of MetS in elderly individuals with low and high income levels. For both low and high income levels, the waist circumference (W; \(p=0.000\)), TG (\(p=0.000\)), SBP (\(p=0.000\)), DBP (\(p=0.016\)), and FG (\(p=0.000\)) were significantly higher in the MetS group than those in the non-MetS group, whereas the HDL-C (\(p=0.000\)) was significantly lower in the MetS group than that in the non-MetS group. The income level and the presence of MetS displayed a significant interaction effect for FG (\(p=0.029\)), with a trend of high FG in the elderly with MetS and low income levels.

Differences in energy intake according to the income level and the presence of MetS

Table 3 summarizes the energy intake based on the presence of MetS in the elderly individuals with low and high income levels. The total energy intake (\(p=0.000\)), carbohydrate intake (\(p=0.011\)), fat intake (\(p=0.009\)), and protein intake (\(p=0.000\)) were significantly lower in the MetS group than those in the non-MetS group. The total energy, i.e., the level of total energy intake, was signifi-

| Factors | Group | Total | ANOVA | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | | High | Low | F-value | p-value (\(\eta^2\)) | Power |
| Waist (cm) | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 83.71±8.60 | 83.95±8.44 | 83.45±8.76 | I 0.607 | 0.436(0.000) | 0.122 |
| | MetS (n=537) | 90.05±8.62 | 90.14±8.75 | 89.97±8.52 | M 217.936 | **0.000(0.093)** | 1.000 |
| | p-value | 0.000** | 0.000** | 0.000** | I×M 0.151 | 0.698(0.000) | 0.067 |
| TG (mg/dL) | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 111.86±55.01 | 110.90±53.15 | 112.90±56.97 | I 2.842 | 0.092(0.001) | 0.392 |
| | MetS (n=537) | 191.68±89.73 | 186.75±95.93 | 195.78±83.47 | M 589.527 | **0.000(0.216)** | 1.000 |
| | p-value | 0.000** | 0.000** | 0.000** | I×M 1.158 | 0.282(0.001) | 0.189 |
| HDL-C (mg/dL) | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 50.19±11.58 | 50.84±11.47 | 49.50±11.67 | I 5.585 | **0.018(0.003)** | 0.656 |
| | MetS (n=537) | 41.16±8.59 | 41.84±9.05 | 40.59±8.15 | M 269.993 | **0.000(0.112)** | 1.000 |
| | p-value | 0.000** | 0.000** | 0.000** | I×M 0.007 | 0.934(0.000) | 0.051 |
| SBP (mmHg) | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 125.58±16.71 | 125.84±17.20 | 125.30±16.18 | I 0.052 | 0.819(0.000) | 0.056 |
| | MetS (n=537) | 135.69±16.33 | 135.60±16.16 | 135.76±16.49 | M 147.809 | **0.000(0.065)** | 1.000 |
| | p-value | 0.000** | 0.000** | 0.000** | I×M 0.184 | 0.668(0.000) | 0.071 |
| DBP (mmHg) | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 71.70±9.60 | 71.84±9.66 | 71.55±9.55 | I 0.009 | 0.926(0.000) | 0.051 |
| | MetS (n=537) | 73.76±10.40 | 73.56±10.12 | 73.94±10.63 | M 17.481 | **0.000(0.008)** | 0.987 |
| | p-value | 0.016* | 0.016* | 0.001** | I×M 0.458 | 0.499(0.000) | 0.104 |
| FG (mg/dL) | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 103.47±21.23 | 103.44±21.49 | 103.50±20.56 | I 4.969 | **0.026(0.002)** | 0.606 |
| | MetS (n=537) | 125.56±36.07 | 122.46±31.87 | 128.14±39.09 | M 287.326 | **0.000(0.119)** | 1.000 |
| | p-value | 0.000** | 0.000** | 0.000** | I×M 4.763 | 0.029(0.002)* | 0.588 |

TG, triglyceride; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; and FG, fasting blood glucose. Values are expressed as means standard errors. Main effect = I (Income) and M (Metabolic syndrome), Interaction effect = I × M (Income × Metabolic syndrome). * \(p<0.05\), ** \(p<0.001\).
cantly lower in the elderly people with low income levels than in those with high income levels.

Differences in physical activity levels according to the income level and the presence of MetS

Table 4 summarizes the PA based on the presence of MetS in the elderly people with low and high income levels. Vigorous occupational PA ($p=0.023$), moderate occupational PA ($p=0.003$), transport PA ($p=0.000$), vigorous recreational PA ($p=0.002$), moderate recreational PA ($p=0.000$), and total PA ($p=0.000$) were significantly lower in the MetS group than those in the non-MetS group. For high income levels, transport PA ($p=0.002$), vigorous recreational PA ($p=0.001$), moderate recreational PA ($p=0.001$), total PA ($p=0.000$) were significantly lower in the MetS group than those in the non-MetS group. For low income levels, moderate occupational PA ($p=0.012$), transport PA ($p=0.018$), and total PA ($p=0.000$) were significantly lower in the MetS group than those in the non-MetS group. The two-way ANOVA did not identify an interaction between the income level and the presence of MetS; however, the total PA, i.e., the level of energy consumption, was significantly lower in the elderly people with low income levels than in those with high income levels.

DISCUSSION

We aimed to determine the presence of MetS and energy intake according to the income levels using the raw data of 2,139 elderly people aged ≥65 years from the 7th KN-HANES (2016‒2018).

Upon analyzing the MetS components based on the presence of MetS, participants with MetS exhibited significantly higher W, TG, SBP, DBP, and FG than those without MetS, whereas the HDL-C level was significantly lower in those with MetS. Regarding the interaction effect between the income level and MetS, the presence of MetS exerted a significant effect on the FG and HDL-C levels. The income level is related to MetS incidence and the risk of cardiovascular diseases. Notably, the income level exerted a greater impact on the FG and HDL-C levels across the five MetS components in this study.

Upon analyzing the energy intake based on the presence of MetS, participants with MetS exhibited significantly lower levels of the total energy intake, carbohydrate intake, fat intake, and protein intake than those without MetS. In addition, both low and high income levels displayed significantly lower levels of total energy intake, fat intake, and protein intake in those with MetS. The two-way ANOVA did not identify an interaction between the income level and the presence of MetS; nonetheless, the total energy, i.e., the level of total energy intake, was significantly lower for low
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Particularly, previous studies demonstrated a pattern of higher protein intake and more balanced nutrient intake in the elderly individuals of a household with high income levels. Likewise, the income level exerted a significant impact on the protein intake in this study; the elderly individuals with low income levels exhibited a lower level of protein intake than those with high income levels, consistent with previous studies. Moreover, low-income elderly populations with obesity aged ≥65 years displayed an imbalance in the nutrient intake with significant effects on obesity, MetS, and cardiovascular diseases.

Upon analyzing the PA based on the presence of MetS, participants with MetS exhibited significantly lower levels of vigorous occupational PA, moderate occupational PA, transport PA, vigorous recreational PA, moderate recreational PA, and total PA than those without MetS. In addition, upon analyzing the PA for the elderly individuals with high income levels, participants with MetS exhibited significantly lower levels of transport PA, vigorous recreational PA, moderate recreational PA, and total PA. By contrast, the elderly individuals with low income levels and MetS exhibited significantly lower levels of moderate occupational PA, transport PA, and total PA. The two-way ANOVA did not identify an interaction between the income level and the presence of MetS; however, the elderly people with low income levels displayed a significantly lower level of total PA, i.e., the

| Factors   | Group          | Total              | Income type | ANOVA |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|-------|
|           |                |                    | High   | Low   | F-value | p-value | η²   | Power |
|           |                |                    |          |       |         |         |      |       |
| Occupational | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 16.78±294.33      | 23.68±355.58 | 9.34±209.11 | I   | 0.317 | 0.574(0.000) | 0.087 |
|           | MetS (n=537)  | 0.00±0.00         | 0.00±0.00   | 0.00±0.00   | M   | 1.678 | 0.195(0.001) | 0.253 |
|           | p-value       | 0.023*            | 0.055      | 0.445      | I × M | 0.317 | 0.574(0.000) | 0.087 |
| Occupational | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 54.64±988.89      | 37.81±381.92 | 72.79±599.69 | I   | 0.785 | 0.376(0.000) | 0.144 |
|           | MetS (n=537)  | 14.79±120.25      | 12.79±137.97 | 16.45±103.41 | M   | 3.479 | 0.062(0.002) | 0.462 |
|           | p-value       | 0.003**           | 0.116      | 0.012*     | I × M | 0.515 | 0.473(0.000) | 0.111 |
| Transport  | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 403.02±765.35     | 426.17±700.70 | 378.08±829.14 | I   | 1.295 | 0.255(0.001) | 0.206 |
|           | MetS (n=537)  | 285.94±538.24     | 304.13±478.16 | 270.79±583.96 | M   | 10.270 | 0.001(0.005)** | 0.893 |
|           | p-value       | 0.000***          | 0.002**    | 0.018*     | I × M | 0.042 | 0.837(0.000) | 0.055 |
| Recreational | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 33.91±135.88      | 60.46±243.44 | 5.29±112.31 | I   | 4.269 | 0.039(0.002)* | 0.542 |
|           | MetS (n=537)  | 6.41±98.07        | 7.54±103.51 | 5.46±97.47 | M   | 3.625 | 0.057(0.002) | 0.477 |
|           | p-value       | 0.002**           | 0.001**    | 0.982      | I × M | 3.671 | 0.055(0.002) | 0.482 |
| Recreational | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 112.21±384.86     | 150.77±438.31 | 70.66±312.33 | I   | 11.623 | 0.001(0.005)** | 0.926 |
|           | MetS (n=537)  | 54.97±250.74      | 77.21±270.71 | 36.45±231.66 | M   | 9.239 | 0.002(0.004)** | 0.860 |
|           | p-value       | 0.000***          | 0.001**    | 0.052      | I × M | 1.231 | 0.267(0.01)  | 0.199 |
| Total physical | Non-MetS (n=1602) | 620.57±1173.74    | 698.88±1180.30 | 536.18±1161.49 | I   | 4.898 | 0.027(0.002)* | 0.600 |
| activity  | MetS (n=537)  | 362.10±633.29    | 401.67±600.31 | 329.15±658.70 | M   | 22.503 | 0.000(0.010)*** | 0.997 |
|           | p-value       | 0.000***          | 0.000***    | 0.000***    | I × M | 0.720 | 0.396(0.000) | 0.136 |

Values are expressed as means standard errors. Main effect = I (Income) and M (Metabolic syndrome), Interaction effect = I × M (Income × Metabolic syndrome), and * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, and *** p<0.001.
level of energy consumption. Low PA levels accounted for approximately 9% of the causes of early mortality worldwide, while low-income countries displayed a low PA levels. The low PA levels of low-income countries were reportedly correlated with an increased prevalence of MetS. Moreover, recreational PA performed by an elderly individual was influenced by their monthly income; an increase in the average monthly income increased the recreational PA.

In another study, the elderly individuals with low income levels demonstrated relatively lesser recreational time with consequent marginal recreational PA, compared with those with high income levels. In addition, the income level was correlated with the level of education such that those with a higher level of education were more likely to perceive the benefits of regular PA, consistent with our findings. Thus, considering the perspective of policy-making, balanced nutrient intake and participation in recreational PA should be encouraged regardless of the income level in the elderly people, while improving their health through education and program development.

This study had several limitations. First, the cross-sectional design made it difficult to verify the causal relationships among the income, energy intake, and PA levels in the participants. Second, socioeconomic variables, such as the education level or socio-interrelationship, were inadequately corrected. Despite these limitations, our findings may increase the reliability of the results and could be generalized as a national trend based on the KNHANES data.

In conclusion, the elderly individuals with MetS exhibited low levels of energy intake and PA regardless of the income level, compared with those without MetS. In addition, those with low income levels exhibited lower levels of energy intake and PA regardless of the presence of MetS. These findings implied the need for balanced nutrient intake and increased participation in PA as well as education and program development to prevent MetS in the elderly people.
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