Diamagnetism in wire medium metamaterials: theory and experiment
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Strong diamagnetic response of wire medium with finite wire radius is reported. Contrary to the previous works where it was assumed that the wire medium exhibits only the electric response, we show that the non-zero magnetic susceptibility has to be taken into account for proper effective medium description of the wire medium. Analytical and numerical results are supported by the experimental measurements.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wire metamaterials are composed of arrays of optically thin metallic rods embedded in a dielectric matrix.¹ These structures have been extensively studied both theoretically and experimentally, due to their possible applications in subwavelength image transfer² and control over spontaneous emission lifetime of quantum emitters.³,⁴ While there are different geometries of the wire media, in our work we consider a special class, formed by a two-dimensional array of parallel wires of finite radius and length, shown schematically in Fig. 1. For the practical applications and specifically in order to model electromagnetic properties of wire metamaterial blocks of complex geometry, effective material parameters such as permittivity and permeability are required for proper homogenization. The effective dielectric permittivity of the array of parallel perfectly conducting wires has been obtained in a number of papers.⁵–⁷ Permittivity and permeability tensors are given by

\[
\hat{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_m \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \hat{\varepsilon}_{zz} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mu} = \mu_m, I
\]

where \(I\) is the unity matrix, \(\varepsilon_m, \mu_m\) are the permittivity and permeability of the host material, and \(\hat{\varepsilon}_{zz}\) reads

\[
\hat{\varepsilon}_{zz} = \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon_0} \log \frac{d^2}{4\varepsilon_0(d-r_0)} \right)^{-1},
\]

where \(r_0\) is the wire radius, and \(d\) is the period of the structure. We note that the dielectric permittivity tensor is nonlocal, i.e. it depends on the wave vector \(k_z\). However, in the case of an infinite wire structure along the lateral direction, at normal incidence, we can consider only the tangential components of the dielectric permittivity which coincide with the dielectric permittivity of the matrix. Thus, at normal incidence, the light effectively interacts with uniform dielectric with permittivity \(\varepsilon_m\) and magnetic permeability equal to unity. However, it is clear that the surface currents at the wires interfaces should lead to nonzero magnetic susceptibility. In this work, we present analytical, numerical, and experimental results to conclude that for the proper description of the wire media effective parameters it is required to ac-

![Geometry of the considered structure: array of perfectly conducting wires of finite radius \(r_0\) and length \(D\) is placed inside the dielectric matrix with permittivity \(\varepsilon_m\).](image)

FIG. 1. Geometry of the considered structure: array of perfectly conducting wires of finite radius \(r_0\) and length \(D\) is placed inside the dielectric matrix with permittivity \(\varepsilon_m\).

II. THEORETICAL MODELLING

First, let us consider the quasistatic approximation for the single unit cell. We assume that the uniform static magnetic field is aligned along x direction. Then, the surface currents originating at the surface of the wire are aligned along the wires and can be expressed as \(J_{s,z} = -2\mu_m H \sin(\phi)\), where \(\phi\) is the azimuthal angle. Knowing the currents we can calculate the magnetic moment of the unit cell \(M\) as \(\int d^3r [r \times j]\). Dividing it by the unit cell volume will give us the magnetization density and the effective permeability. The integral can be taken analytically yielding:

\[
\mu_{eff} = \mu_m \left( 1 - \frac{4\mu_0^2}{d^2} \right). \tag{3}
\]

To obtain the effective permittivity we use the results of the work⁸, where it is shown that wire medium belongs to a class of so-called isorefractive media, where the relation \(\varepsilon_{eff} \mu_{eff} = n^2 = \varepsilon_m \mu_m\) holds. Thus, for effective permittivity we have

\[
\varepsilon_{eff} = \varepsilon_m \left( 1 - \frac{4\mu_0^2}{d^2} \right)^{-1}. \tag{4}
\]

Thus, we argue that for the correct description of the wire medium, \(\varepsilon_m\) and \(\mu_m\) in Eqs. (1) should be substituted with right hand-sides of Eq. (3) and Eq. (4), respectively.
We note, that there are a lot of works dedicated to the artificial diamagnetism in metamaterials\textsuperscript{14–16}. At the same time, surprisingly, diamagnetism in wire media metamaterials is conventionally abandoned.

We also support the analytical expressions with the numerical modelling. For that we use the homogenization technique similar to the one described in\textsuperscript{17}. We again assume the quasistatic approximation and consider the propagation of the TEM mode along the wires. In this case, we can set that electric field is aligned along \( y \) and magnetic field along \( x \) directions. We introduce static electric and magnetic potentials \( u_e, u_m \) defined as \( \nabla u_{e(m)} = E(H) \). Then, two Laplace equations for \( u_e \) and \( u_m \) can be solved. For the electric potential we use following boundary conditions: \( u_e |_{y=\pm d/2} = \pm u_0 \), \( \partial u_e / \partial x |_{x=\pm d/2} = 0 \), and \( u_e |_{r=r_0} = 0 \) at the wire interface. For magnetic potential, boundary conditions are: \( u_m |_{x=\pm d/2} = \pm u_0 \), \( \partial u_m / \partial y |_{y=\pm d/2} = 0 \) and \( \partial u_m / \partial r |_{r=r_0} = 0 \). We solve the both Laplace equations numerically\textsuperscript{18}. Knowing the magnetic potentials and therefore the electric and magnetic fields, it is possible to express the effective permittivity and magnetic permeability as

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\int_{-d/2}^{d/2} d/2 \varepsilon_m E_y |_{y=-d/2} dx}{u_e(0)},
\]

\[
\mu_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\int_{-d/2}^{d/2} d/2 \mu_m H_x |_{x=-d/2} dx}{u_m(0)}.
\]

The maps of the scalar electric and magnetic potentials as well as field lines are shown in Fig. 2(a,b). We compare the analytical expressions Eqs. (5), (6) with the more rigorous approach given by expressions Eqs. (\ref{eq:1}), (\ref{eq:2}) in Figs. 2(c,d), respectively. We use the following structure parameters: \( \varepsilon_m = 16, \mu_m = 1 \). It can be seen that for thin wires, the diamagnetism is negligible and both approaches almost perfectly match. At the higher values of \( r_0/d \) the two methods start to give slightly different results but still match quite well. The discrepancies at large \( r_0/d \) appear, since the analytical approach considers a single wire and does not account for the structure periodicity and interaction between wires in different unit cells, which grows with the growth of \( r_0/d \).

Moreover, in order to check if the quasistatic approximation is applicable in the case of realistic wire media structures, we have extracted the effective electric and magnetic susceptibility using Nicholas-Ross-Weir Method\textsuperscript{15–21}. We have calculated the reflection and transmission spectra of the wire medium slab with the same material parameters, thickness \( D = 10d \) in the full-wave electromagnetic simulation package\textsuperscript{22}. The wire radius \( r_0 \) was a parameter which was changed in the region [0.01d, 0.4d]. The conventional Nicolson-Ross-Weir (NRW) method essentially is an inversion of the Rayleigh expressions for the transmission and reflection from the uniform material slab with respect to effective material refractive index \( n = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \mu_{\text{eff}}} \) and impedance

\[
Z = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} / \mu_{\text{eff}}}:
\]

\[
R = \frac{i(Z^2 - 1)}{2Z \cot(n\omega/cD) - i(Z^2 + 1)},
\]

\[
T = \frac{2Z / \sin(n\omega/cD) - i(Z^2 + 1)}{2Z \cot(n\omega/cD) - i(Z^2 + 1)}.
\]

The values of \( n, Z \) are then substituted to obtain the \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}}, \mu_{\text{eff}} \). The results are shown with red dots in Figs. (2c,d). As shown in Figs. (2c,d) there is a good match between the full numerical simulation and semi-analytical approach.

We stress that omitting the effective permeability of the structure leads to incorrect values of reflection and transmission coefficients if calculated with Rayleigh formulae, as shown in Fig. 3(a), where the reflection and transmission spectra for the cases when the permeability is accounted for or omitted are compared to the numerical modelling. The value of \( r_0/d \) is set to 0.25. We can see that omitting the magnetic response gives the incorrect results for the reflection and transmission spectra. Moreover, as can be seen in Fig. (3b), the extracted parameters correctly describe the electromagnetic properties of the wire medium slab in the case of oblique incidence, when diamagnetism is taken into account.
with the reflection and transmission calculated with Eqs. (7), (8) of normal incidence. The solid and dashed lines correspond to the CST modelling results. (b) The dependence of reflection and transmission on the incidence angle at the incident wave frequency 250 GHz; solid lines correspond to reflection and transmission calculated with Eqs. (7), (8) with $\varepsilon = 16, \mu = 1$ (dashed lines), and with $\varepsilon = 23.19, \mu = 0.69$ (solid lines). The dots correspond to the CST modelling. Parameters of the wire medium structure are presented in the text.

III. EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

In order to validate our results experimentally, we have constructed a wire metamaterial block with the following parameters: period of the structure $d = 11$ mm, wire radius $r_0 = 5$ mm, wire length $D = 150$ mm. The block consists of $20 \times 20$ wires with air dielectric matrix, i.e. $\varepsilon_m = 1$. The photo of the structure is shown in the inset of Figure 4. The experimental measurements were conducted in a following manner: a rectangular horn antenna (TRIM 0.75 GHz to 18 GHz; DR) connected to a transmitting port of the vector network analyzer Agilent E8362C was used to approximate a plane-wave excitation. The metamaterial block was placed at the far-field region of the antenna and a similar horn antenna (TRIM 0.75 GHz to 18 GHz) was employed as a receiver. The effective scattering cross-section was obtained from the imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude (due to the optical theorem). Due to the fact that the horn antenna modifies the wavefront substantially, we have normalized the scattering cross-section to unity. After completing the experimental measurements, we have performed the numerical simulation of the scattering at the metamaterial block of the same dimensions for three different models. In the first model, the metamaterial block was modelled as an array of perfectly conducting wires, reconstructing the geometry of the experimental setup. In the second model, the block consisted of an uniform anisotropic media with the following parameters: $\varepsilon_\parallel = 5.762, \varepsilon_\perp = -10000.0 + 1.0i, \mu_\parallel = 0.1735, \mu_\perp = 1.0$, where subscripts $\parallel$ and $\perp$ correspond to the directions parallel and perpendicular to the wire axis, respectively. The scattering cross-sections were normalized to unity. The above values for dielectric permittivities and magnetic permeabilities have been derived with the expressions in Eqs. (3), (4). Finally in the third model, we have calculated the scattering on metamaterial block made from the anisotropic uniform media with the effective transverse dielectric and magnetic permeabilities equal to unity. Notably, it is quite obvious that in the third model, the scattering cross-section should be vanishingly small for the case of normal incidence, since both the effective impedance and refractive index of this structure are equal to unity. The spectra of the forward scattering efficiency for the first two cases are shown in Fig. 4. The observed oscillations in the experimental scattering spectra are due to the artificial Fabri-Perot resonances between metamaterial block interface and source and receiving horn antennas. We observe a good correspondence between the experimental cross-section spectra and numerical results, namely in the positions of the cross-section dips and in the cross-section modulation contrast. Even better correspondence is observed between the two numerical simulations. We note, that such correspondence could not be achieved in the approximation of effectively non-magnetic media, since as was discussed previously, such a media does not scatter the normally incident electromagnetic radiation at all. The observed discrepancies between the experimental and numerical simulation results are mainly due to the wavelength-dependent wavefront distortions of the incident wave, produced by the
IV. CONCLUSION

We have shown both theoretically and experimentally, that the thick wire media exhibit strong diamagnetic response, and that accounting for effective magnetic susceptibility is crucial for obtaining adequate material parameters of these structures.
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