Ankylosing Spondylitis: Patterns of Spinal Injury and Treatment Outcomes
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Study Design: Retrospective review.

Purpose: We retrospectively reviewed our patients with ankylosing spondylitis (AS) to identify their patterns of spinal fractures to help clarify management strategies and the morbidity and mortality rates associated with this group of patients.

Overview of Literature: Because of the brittleness of bone and long autofused spinal segments in AS, spinal fractures are common even after minor trauma and often associated with overt instability.

Methods: Between January 1, 1998 and March 2011, 30 patients (23 males, 7 females; mean age, 70.43 years; range, 45 to 95 years) with the radiographic diagnosis of AS of the spinal column had 42 fractures. Eight patients presented with significant trauma, 17 after falls, and 5 after minor falls or no recorded trauma. Eleven patients presented with a neurological injury, ranging from mild sensory loss to quadriplegia.

Results: There were 16 compression and 10 transverse fractures, two Jefferson’s fractures, one type II and two type III odontoid process fractures, and five fractures of the posterior spinal elements (including lamina and/or facet, three spinous process fractures, three transverse process fractures). Twenty-four fractures affected the craniocervical junction and/or cervical vertebrae, 17 were thoracic, and one involved the lumbar spine. The most affected vertebrae were C6 and T10. The mean follow-up was 29.9 months. One patient was lost to follow-up. Eighteen patients were treated conservatively with bed rest and bracing. Twelve patients underwent surgery for spinal stabilization either with an anterior, posterior or combined approach.

Conclusions: Nonsurgical treatment can be considered especially in the elderly patients with AS and spinal trauma but without instability or major neurological deficits. The nonfusion rate in conservatively treated patients is low. When treatment is selected for patients with spinal fractures and AS, the pattern of injury must be considered and the need for individualized treatment is paramount.
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Introduction

First described by Marie in 1897 and by Strumpell in 1898, ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a chronic inflammatory condition [1]. The disease is characterized by calcification of the intervertebral discs and ossification of the ligaments with ankylosis of the apophyseal joints. The result is immobility and generalized osteoporosis that affect axial joints and bones with a reported prevalence of 0.02% to 0.23% [2-4].

Fractures of the ankylosed spine are common due to a given patient’s progressive loss of mobility and secondary osteoporosis. Fractures are a serious complication of AS [5], and patients are prone to spinal injuries, even with...
minor trauma or no trauma at all [1,6-9]. A history of chronic neck and back pain before the injury can cause such fractures to be overlooked. In patients with AS, difficulties related to the radiological assessment of the spine as a result of their osteoporosis can further mask the diagnosis. In some cases, ossified disc spaces may be poorly outlined [7,10,11].

Treatment and rehabilitation of these patients are challenging. Furthermore, some aspects of their treatment differ from those of individuals with spinal fractures but without AS [6,7,12]. We retrospectively reviewed our patients with AS in order to identify their patterns of spinal fractures, to help clarify management strategies, and to assess the morbidity and mortality rates associated with this group of patients.

**Materials and Methods**

The medical reports of patients with diagnosis of AS and cervical, thoracic or lumbar fractures treated between

| Patient | Age/sex | Injury level | Pathology | Fracture mechanism |
|---------|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 1       | 61/Male | C6–7         | Frx+dislocation | Ground fall        |
| 2       | 78/Male | L5–S1        | Transverse  | Ground fall        |
| 3       | 61/Male | C6–7         | Frx+dislocation | Trampetine fall    |
| 4       | 70/Male | T9 Corpus    | Pseudoarthrosis | Ground fall        |
| 5       | 75/Male | T8 Corpus    | Corpus Frx  | Motor vehicle accidents |
| 6       | 60/Female | C1          | Jefferson Frx | Ground fall        |
| 7       | 67/Male | T8          | Burst       | Premediction lymphoma no trauma |
| 8       | 87/Male | C5          | Transverse  | No trauma (X-ray for pain) |
| 9       | 52/Male | C7          | Compression | Ground fall        |
| 10      | 63/Male | C1+C3–4     | Jefferson+C3–4 Frx dislocation | Level 1 trauma |
| 11      | 49/Male | T1,2,3,4,5,6,8 | T8 compression others spinous proc | Fall |
| 12      | 66/Female | T5         | Chance (Sup T5 Corpus) | Fall |
| 13      | 45/Male | Odontoid    | Type 3     | Motor vehicle accidents |
| 14      | 71/Male | Odontoid    | Type 3     | Motor vehicle accidents |
| 15      | 74/Male | C6–7        | Transvers  | Fall               |
| 16      | 79/Male | C5–6        | Frx+dislocation | Fall |
| 17      | 85/Female | T10, T11    | T10 compression T11 transvers | Fall |
| 18      | 66/Female | C4 T3       | Minimal compression | Fall |
| 19      | 80/Female | C6–7        | Transvers  | Motor vehicle accidents |
| 20      | 53/Male | T7, T10     | Minimal compression | Trauma |
| 21      | 73/Male | C7          | Kompression | Fall               |
| 22      | 88/Female | C6–7        | Transvers  | Incidentally       |
| 23      | 88/Female | C5          | Corpus Frx  | Ground fall        |
| 24      | 93/Male | T12         | Body Frx   | Fall               |
| 25      | 81/Male | C6–7        | Transvers  | No trauma myelopathy signs |
| 26      | 57/Male | C6, C7      | C6 left transvers proc C7 right lamina | Trauma |
| 27      | 57/Male | C6          | Left transvers process | No trauma |
| 28      | 95/Male | T1          | Compression | Fall               |
| 29      | 85/Male | T10         | Transvers  | Fall               |
| 30      | 54/Male | T10–11      | Transvers  | Motor vehicle accidents |

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; Frx, fractures.
January 1, 1998 and March 2011 at our institution were reviewed retrospectively. Thirty patients (23 men and 7 women, mean age at injury 70.4 years; age range, 45–95 years) with complete clinical, radiological, and neuroimaging data were included in the study (Table 1).

AS was diagnosed by plain radiography of the spine in all patients. Seventeen patients were evaluated with computerized tomography (CT) of the spine. One patient had also undergone myelography and postmyelography CT. The remaining 13 patients, most of whom had neurological deficits, underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at their first hospital admission for the suspicion of spinal trauma. Follow-up examinations included plain radiography, CT, MRI, or combinations of these modalities relevant to the pathology treated (Figs. 1–4).

Eight patients had sustained significant trauma (mean age 66.1 years) as a result of motor vehicle accidents (MVA) or assaults. Seventeen patients (mean age, 69.1 years) had suffered falls—11 from a height and 6 from the ground level. Five patients had experienced only minor trauma or had no trauma (mean age, 76 years; range, 57–88 years) (Table 1).

Surgical management was based on presence of instability and progressive neurological deficits, especially when no medical contraindications were present. Patients underwent posterior fusion, anterior decompression, or both. Instrumentation included pedicle screws, C1–2 transarticular screws, lateral mass screws, hooks, or combination of these constructs. Patients requiring anterior decompression also were fused with a graft or cages and plates. Conservative treatment consisted of bed rest for up to 1 week followed by gradual mobilization in a halo, thoracolumbosacral orthosis, or hard collar. External immobilization was continued until the fusion was evident on radiological studies (mean, 5.7 months) (Table 2).

The length of follow-up was calculated from the date of admission to the patients’ most recent evaluation. Modified Frankel scores of all patients determined at admission and at all follow-up examinations were used as the measure of neurological status and clinical outcome [7].

Fig. 1. (A, B) Sagittal computed tomography of C7 fracture (arrows).

Fig. 2. (A) Sagittal T2-weighted and (B) sagittal short T1 inversion recovery magnetic resonance imaging.

Fig. 3. (A) Sagittal T1-weighted and (B) T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging of L5 fracture.

Fig. 4. Sequence of (A–C) coronal computed tomography scans showing the odontoid fractures (arrows).
Results

The mean follow-up was 29.9 months (Table 3).

1. Mechanism of injury and fracture pattern

The primary mechanism of injury in our patients with...
spinal fractures and AS was falls (17 cases). Six of these cases were ground level falls and included falls from a standing position. The other 11 patients had major falls, including falls from heights, during bed transfers, or from a trampoline (Table 1).

In all patients who had fallen, the thoracic region was most often affected (8 patients) followed by the cervical region (7 patients) and lumbar region (1 patient). One patient had both cervical and thoracic compression fractures. When the mechanism of injury was a low-magnitude force, such as a ground level fall, there were 4 cervical fractures, 1 thoracic fracture, and 1 lumbar fracture (Table 1).

For the 8 cases that had sustained a major trauma from a MVA or assault, cervical fractures were prominent (6 patients). Of the 5 patients who did not mention experiencing a trauma or had a history of minor trauma such as lifting a heavy object, fractures were detected by radiological investigation performed for back pain or neurological symptoms. Four of these patients had a cervical fracture and one had a thoracic fracture (Table 1).

Table 3. Frankel scores of patients

| Patient | Admission Frankel score | Follow-up Frankel score | Follow-up (mo) | Prior fractures |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1       | 5                        | 5                       | 10             | No             |
| 2       | 4                        | 5                       | 11             | Left transvers process of L4 |
| 3       | 5                        | 5                       | 8              | No             |
| 4       | 5                        | 5                       | 21             | No             |
| 5       | 1                        | 1                       | 13             | No             |
| 6       | 5                        | 5                       | 16             | No             |
| 7       | 4                        | 4                       | 9              | No             |
| 8       | 5                        | 5                       | 2              | No             |
| 9       | 5                        | 5                       | 5              | C4, C5 spinous process fractures |
| 10      | 1                        | Exitus                  | No             | No             |
| 11      | 4                        | 5                       | 11             | No             |
| 12      | 5                        | 5                       | 13             | No             |
| 13      | 4                        | 4                       | 7              | T8 old compression |
| 14      | 3                        | 3                       | 24             | No             |
| 15      | 5                        | 5                       | 36             | No             |
| 16      | 4                        | 5                       | 28             | No             |
| 17      | 1                        | 1                       | 4              | No             |
| 18      | 5                        | 5                       | 48             | No             |
| 19      | 5                        | 5                       | 14             | No             |
| 20      | 5                        | 5                       | 60             | Rib fractures  |
| 21      | 5                        | 5                       | 30             | No             |
| 22      | 4                        | 4                       | 24             | C1–2 subluxation old |
| 23      | 5                        | 5                       | 2              | No             |
| 24      | 5                        | 5                       | 19             | No             |
| 25      | 5                        | 5                       | 19             | No             |
| 26      | 5                        | 5                       | 34             | No             |
| 27      | 5                        | 5                       | 14             | No             |
| 28      | 5                        | 5                       | 12             | No             |
| 29      | 5                        | 5                       | 13             | No             |
| 30      | 4                        | 5                       | 21             | No             |
2. Presentation fracture patterns

Altogether, 42 fractures were observed in 30 patients. Nine patients had suffered from multiple spinal fractures (multiple fractures involved at the same segment of the spine in 8 patients and involved the cervical and thoracic regions in one patient (Table 1).

In 10 transverse fractures, the fracture line extended through the entire bone or disc space either through the vertebral body or ossified ligaments. This fracture pattern is often encountered in patients with AS and can include all three spinal columns. There were 16 compression fractures, 2 Jefferson’s fractures, 1 type II and 2 type III odontoid process fractures, 5 lamina and/or facet fractures, 3 spinous process fractures, and 3 transverse process fractures. Twenty-four fractures affected the craniocervical junction, cervical vertebrae, or both. Seventeen affected the thoracic spine, and 1 affected the lumbar spine (Fig. 5). The vertebrae most often involved were C6–T10. Radiological investigation of 4 patients also showed an old fracture of the lumbar transverse process, 2 old fractures of the cervical spinous processes, and 1 old thoracic compression fracture. One patient also had multiple old rib fractures (Table 1).

3. Neurological status

The mean modified Frankel score was 4.3 at admission and 4.5 at the last follow-up examination. Based on modified Frankel scores, 19 patients had no neurological deficits at admission and remained stable throughout their course: 13 had fallen (5 from ground level), 3 had no history of trauma, and 3 patients had sustained major trauma. Eleven patients presented with neurological injury, ranging from mild sensory loss to quadriplegia. Of these patients with modified Frankel scores of 4, there were 4 who exhibited a 1-point improvement in their modified Frankel scores with treatment during their follow-up (Table 3). Three patients had major neurological deficits (modified Frankel score 3 or less)—two had been in an MVA and one had fallen from a height. Their deficits failed to improve after treatment.

4. Treatment

Eighteen patients were treated conservatively, 16 of whom had a modified Frankel score of 5 at admission and stable vertebral colon. One patient with a modified Frankel score of 4 and one patient with a score of 1 did not undergo surgery and were treated only with an external orthosis
because complicating medical factors precluded surgery. One patient who was treated conservatively developed skin ulcers under the halo brace. One conservatively treated patient who exhibited non-fusion died.

Twelve patients underwent 15 surgical procedures: 9 posterior, 6 anterior, and 3 combined approaches for spinal stabilization. The complications in the surgical group were loss of reduction and pseudarthrosis in one patient, requiring re-operation, a wound infection in another patient, and one mortality because of pneumonia. Our morbidity rate was 6.7% and for mortality, it was 3.3% (Table 2).

**Discussion**

Compared to the healthy general population, the risk of AS patients sustaining a vertebral fracture is significantly increased. In patients with AS who have sustained fractures, the rate of neurological deficits has ranged from 40% to 92% [1,5,7,10,12-15]. In our series the incidence of neurological deficits was 37%, a relatively low rate that may be related to several factors. First, patient transportation after injury has improved considerably. Early medical care, even after minor trauma or in patients with pain without trauma, helps avoid major injuries by promoting early diagnosis and treatment. The number of missed fractures, which can be devastating in AS patients, has also decreased as neuroradiological imaging techniques have improved. Likewise, the quality of education for AS patients about their disease and related spinal complications is better, as preventive measures may help patients avoid spinal trauma or lessen its impact. Awareness of their spinal fragility with how to avoid situations that increase the risk of spinal injury and how to alleviate the effect of forces in an accident can all help reduce the incidence of fractures and concomitant neurological deficits in this population.

From previous studies, the predominant site for spinal fractures in AS patients has been the lower cervical spine [1]. Olerud et al. [6] reviewed 31 AS patients with spinal fractures; these included 19 cervical fractures with 5 that involved C1–C2 and 14 the subaxial spine. Graham and Van Peteghem [12] reported that 12 of their 15 cases sustained cervical fractures. Fox et al. [13] performed 41 operations in AS patients: 17 for cervical, 14 for thoracic, and 10 for lumbar fractures. In our series, most fractures also involved the cervical spine. In patients who had falls, however, the thoracic region was most often affected.

Compared with other series, our patients were older. The known increases in kyphosis related to AS and osteoporosis with advanced age may have predisposed our patients who fell to thoracic fractures. We believe that older AS patients with even an ambiguous medical history related to falls should be evaluated in detail for thoracic fractures. Recent studies have focused on the clinical outcomes of patients with AS and cervical or thoracolumbar fractures involving one spinal segment [5,14,16,17]. New clinical series with large number of AS patients and wide age ranges and devoted to evaluating the entire spine are needed to understand fracture locations in different age groups.

In AS patients, the mechanism of injury underlying most spinal fractures is a small-magnitude force [1,6,12,18]. Some fractures are diagnosed incidentally when investigating other complaints, and some fractures are unrelated to trauma [7,19]. Occult vertebral fractures are also common in patients with AS [10]. Five of our patients had no history of trauma while six patients had only experienced ground level falls. These findings are consistent with other reports of the importance of minor trauma as a cause of spinal fractures in AS patients. In this context, clinicians must be mindful of a high likelihood of spinal fractures in patients with AS.

The primary pattern of injury in our AS patients was falls, and the mean age of these patients was high relative to other reports. Along with an inherent increase in kyphosis, changes in the biomechanical properties of the spine, shifts in the center of gravity acting on the body, and geriatric problems such as widespread arthrosis and coordination problems can all contribute to this pattern of injury. Consequently, such patients should be cautioned about falls. If necessary, they should be advised to use mobility-related assistive technology such as walkers, wheelchairs, or canes.

The ideal treatment for spinal fractures in AS is controversial [4,12]. Furthermore, it is difficult to apply fracture classification systems developed for normal spines to patients with AS because their fracture lines usually extend through the entire bone. During extension or flexion, this type of fracture is like the fracture of a long bone [6]. Consequently, it is challenging to identify spinal instability. Decisions must be based on patient’s individual characteristics and findings. The surgical treatment of these patients is associated with high complication and mortality rates, especially in older patients, and neurological improvement is minimal [6,12,20]. Graham and Van
Peteghem [12] also reported a high rate of fracture union and a low rate of morbidity and mortality in patients treated conservatively. We treated 18 patients conservatively. Although these patients were relatively aged (mean, 71.1) having other complicating medical situations as well, only one case of pseudarthrosis was encountered.

For our study, the weak points were the lack of data on severity of AS, the degree of osteoporosis and fusion rates of fractures.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, nonsurgical treatment can be considered as the first step of treatment, especially in elderly patients with AS and spinal trauma but without instability or major neurological deficits. The nonfusion rate in conservatively treated patients is low. When treatment is selected for patients with spinal fractures and AS, the pattern of injury must be considered and the need for individualized treatment is paramount.
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