Acetaminophen Potentiates Fear Processing: A Comparison Between Ancestral and Modern Threats
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The painkilling medication acetaminophen produces a variety of unintended psychological effects. In particular, it has been shown to diminish varied forms of psychological distress by attenuating neural activity in the cerebral cortex and enhancing the signaling of serotonin. As a result, this over-the-counter medication appears to dampen overall affective processing and has been termed “an all-purpose emotion reliever.” However, this drug may not necessarily modify all emotions in the same manner. Specifically, fear processing occurs rapidly within the amygdala and is governed by serotonin. Thus, by blunting cortical activity and facilitating serotonergic action, acetaminophen could in fact potentiate reactions to threatening stimuli. This study intersects with the fields of evolutionary psychology and psychopharmacology by investigating whether acetaminophen modulates responses to fear-inducing stimuli that vary in ancestral relevance. We hypothesized that the more subcortical and prewired mechanisms controlling responses to recurring ancestral threats (snakes and spiders) would be more affected by this drug compared to learned threats in a highly similar manner. Specifically, fear processing occurs rapidly within the amygdala and is governed by serotonin. Thus, by blunting cortical activity and facilitating serotonergic action, acetaminophen could in fact potentiate reactions to threatening stimuli. This study intersects with the fields of evolutionary psychology and psychopharmacology by investigating whether acetaminophen modulates responses to fear-inducing stimuli that vary in ancestral relevance. We hypothesized that the more subcortical and prewired mechanisms controlling responses to recurring ancestral threats (snakes and spiders) would be more affected by this drug compared to learned threats in a highly similar manner. We conclude that acetaminophen does not blunt overall affective processing, and call for further evolutionary-based research examining the various psychoactive effects of this commonly consumed over-the-counter pain reliever.
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Introduction
Painkilling medications can profoundly improve human welfare by minimizing physical discomfort and suffering. However, the widespread use of over-the-counter (OTC) pain medications also presents a mismatch with adaptive mechanisms to decrease pain and distress (Gallup, 2019). Instead of diminishing pain through behavioral changes to address the sources of discomfort, people can now simply treat pain with OTC painkillers, which could lead to maladaptive health outcomes. Moreover, research shows that common OTC medications like acetaminophen (paracetamol, Tylenol) can produce a variety of additional unintended psychological effects that could result in further mismatch with our evolved psychology (Ratner et al., 2018).

Acetaminophen is the most widely used OTC pain reliever in the United States, and is present in almost 600 kinds of medicines (Kaufman et al., 2002). Research suggests that the painkilling properties of acetaminophen are largely attributed to the activation of the descending inhibitory serotonergic pathways (e.g., Graham & Scott 2005; Pickering et al., 2008). Although it remains unclear as to the precise mechanisms by which acetaminophen exerts an analgesic effect, involving serotonergic, opioid and cannabinoid systems (Graham et al., 2013), it is widely accepted that this drug reduces pain through central, rather than peripheral, nervous system mechanisms (Anderson 2008; Courade et al., 2001; Pickering et al., 2006; Smith 2009). As a result, acetaminophen has the potential to alter varied forms of perception, mood, cognition, and behavior.

DeWall et al. (2010) first revealed that daily use of acetaminophen reduced experiences of social distress by diminishing overall neural activity within cortical areas involved in both physical and social pain, i.e., the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and anterior insula. Subsequent research has since expanded upon these findings, indicating that even a typical acute dose of acetaminophen can change how people experience emotional distress, process cognitive discrepancies, and evaluate stimuli in their environment (reviewed by Ratner et al., 2018). For example, studies have shown that a 1,000 mg dose of this OTC drug can reduce negativity when thinking about one’s own mortality (Randles et al., 2013), alleviate pain associated with decision-making (DeWall et al., 2015), and diminish the perceived challenge of tasks linked to social stress (Bershad et al., 2018).

Acetaminophen appears to inhibit general forms of evaluative processing through a blunting of cortical activity and the activation of serotonergic pathways. For example, in one study, participants were administered...
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Gallup et al. investigating the effects of acetaminophen on psychological processing from November 2018 to May 2019. Recruitment occurred through the psychology pool and campus flyers on a public research university in the northeastern United States. Data from one participant was removed upon discovering they were 17 years old, and another participant did not complete the study. Of the remaining sample, 63 identified as male and 31 identified as female (\(N = 94\); mean age 19.55 ± 2.56). Participants received either course research credit or $20 in compensation. Exclusion criteria included any risk factors associated with taking acetaminophen (e.g., currently taking a drug containing acetaminophen, history of liver disorder, an allergic reaction to acetaminophen or history of alcohol abuse) or a history of medical or psychiatric illness. The experiment was conducted in accordance with approved human ethics guidelines, and participants provided informed consent prior to the study. The local Institutional Review Board approved this research (#2018-08-07-1).

Procedure
Coin flip determined whether participants consumed a 10 ml liquid containing 1,000 mg of acetaminophen (\(N = 46\)) or a matched placebo solution (\(N = 48\)). Similar to Misckowski et al. (2016), acetaminophen and placebo solutions were prepared by Pharmacy Specialists Compounding Pharmacy (Altamonte Springs, Florida). The drug solution consisted of acetaminophen (100 mg/ml) dissolved in Ora-Plus suspension liquid and flavored with Ora-Sweet Syrup and the placebo solution consisted of Avicel Microcrystalline powder (100 mg/ml) dissolved in the same vehicle. Participants and researchers were blind to the experimental condition. Participants were asked to refrain from eating for at least three hours prior to the study, and following administration participants waited for a period of 60 minutes for drug absorption (Durso et al., 2015).

Thereafter, participants initially completed a short visual disengagement task, which was part of a separate study (Gallup et al., 2020), before an image rating task similar in procedure to Durso et al. (2015) was presented on a computer monitor using SuperLab 5 (Cedrus Corporation). Stimuli for the rating task included fear-relevant, threatening images randomly intermixed with an equal number of matched control images. In particular, two types of threatening stimuli were included: recurring ancestral threats and modern threats. The ancestral threatening images were of snakes and spiders, while the modern threats were images of handguns and hypodermic needles. The control stimuli included frogs, butterflies, hairdryers, and pens. All images were acquired online using image searches on Google. Seven images were presented from each category (56 total), and all were set to grayscale.

During testing, participants rated one image at a time, and the order of presentation was randomized for each participant. Following the methods of Durso et al. (2015), participants were instructed to rate how positive or negative they thought each image was on an 11-point scale (5 = extremely positive; −5 = extremely negative). The images were standardized to approximately the same size (7.62 x 11.43cm) and presented in the center of the screen, with the rating scale depicted directly under the image for reference (Fig. 1). Participants then also rated

**Methods**

**Participants**
A total of 101 college students participated in a study investigating the effects of acetaminophen on psychological...
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There was no significant difference between males and females ($F_{1,90} = 3.325$, $p = .072$, $\eta_p^2 = .036$), nor were there any interactions between these factors ($ps > .05$).

**Emotional Arousal**

There was a significant main effect of threat condition ($F_{1,90} = 13.495$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .130$), with ancestral threats being rated as more emotionally arousing (i.e., fear-inducing) than modern threats. There was also a significant main effect of drug treatment ($F_{1,90} = 4.557$, $p = .035$, $\eta_p^2 = .048$), with participants in the acetaminophen condition indicating a greater emotional reaction to these images (Fig. 2b). Moreover, there was a significant main effect of sex ($F_{1,90} = 8.955$, $p = .004$, $\eta_p^2 = .090$), with females rating threats as more emotionally arousing ($M = 4.53$ vs. 3.12). However, there were no significant interactions between these factors ($ps > .05$).

**Discussion**

Numerous studies have now examined the psychoactive effects of acetaminophen (Ratner et al., 2018), with most attention paid towards aspects of social pain (DeWall et al., 2010; Slavich et al., 2019) and basic affective processes (Durso et al., 2015; Gallup et al., 2020; Mischkowski et al., 2019). Here, we demonstrate that the psychological effects of this drug extend to the evaluation of threatening stimuli, albeit counter to the general patterns previously documented in the literature with regards to psychological distress. Participants administered acetaminophen in this study reported significantly more negative evaluations and increased emotional arousal in response to threatening stimuli. How much the same image elicited an emotional response on an 11-point scale ($0 = $no emotional response; $10 = $extreme emotional response). Similarly, this rating scale was presented directly under the image for reference (Fig. 1). All ratings were provided using the number pad on a keyboard, and participants proceeded to new images/questions by clicking on the screen with the computer mouse.

Analyses

Participant ratings were averaged for each of the threat categories due to high consistency across responses to the snake and spider images (positive/negative evaluation $\alpha = .946$; emotional arousal $\alpha = .964$) and the handgun and hypodermic needle images (positive/negative evaluation: $\alpha = .892$; emotional arousal: $\alpha = .911$). Statistical tests included separate 2 (threat: ancestral/modern) x 2 (sex: male/female) x 2 (treatment: acetaminophen/placebo) mixed ANOVAs both for the positive/negative valence and emotional arousal response ratings. Analyses were conducted in SPSS v.27, and consisted of 2-tailed tests with the alpha set to .05.

**Results**

**Positive/Negative Valence**

There was a significant main effect of threat condition ($F_{1,90} = 4.318$, $p = .041$, $\eta_p^2 = .046$), with ancestral threats being rated as more negative than modern threats. There was also a main effect of drug treatment ($F_{1,90} = 4.053$, $p = .047$, $\eta_p^2 = .043$), with participants in the acetaminophen condition rating the threatening stimuli as more negative (Fig. 2a).

**Emotional Arousal**

There was a significant main effect of threat condition ($F_{1,90} = 13.495$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .130$), with ancestral threats being rated as more emotionally arousing (i.e., fear-inducing) than modern threats. There was also a significant main effect of drug treatment ($F_{1,90} = 4.557$, $p = .035$, $\eta_p^2 = .048$), with participants in the acetaminophen condition indicating a greater emotional reaction to these images (Fig. 2b). Moreover, there was a significant main effect of sex ($F_{1,90} = 8.955$, $p = .004$, $\eta_p^2 = .090$), with females rating threats as more emotionally arousing ($M = 4.53$ vs. 3.12). However, there were no significant interactions between these factors ($ps > .05$).

**Discussion**

Numerous studies have now examined the psychoactive effects of acetaminophen (Ratner et al., 2018), with most attention paid towards aspects of social pain (DeWall et al., 2010; Slavich et al., 2019) and basic affective processes (Durso et al., 2015; Gallup et al., 2020; Mischkowski et al., 2019). Here, we demonstrate that the psychological effects of this drug extend to the evaluation of threatening stimuli, albeit counter to the general patterns previously documented in the literature with regards to psychological distress. Participants administered acetaminophen in this study reported significantly more negative evaluations and increased emotional arousal in response to threatening stimuli.
images. The ancestral threats (snakes and spiders) were rated significantly more negative and arousing than the modern threats (handguns and hypodermic needles), but, contrary to our predictions, acetaminophen altered the responses to ancestral and modern threats in a similar manner.

These enhancing—as opposed to dulling—effects of acetaminophen provide a stark contrast to previous studies and in particular the findings of Durso et al. (2015), which showed an overall blunting of affective processing in response to both positive and negative stimuli. In this previous study, the blunting effects from acetaminophen were most evident for images that were either extremely negative or extremely positive, with little to no change for moderately pleasant and unpleasant images. While the threatening stimuli used in the current study were clearly negative based on participant ratings (Fig. 2a), the combined responses suggest they were perceived as moderately, rather than extremely, unpleasant (Lang et al., 1997). Nonetheless, this distinction does not account for why the responses to the stimuli in the current study were potentiated rather than being unchanged or just weakly altered as shown previously (Durso et al., 2015). Instead, we suggest that differences in the nature of the stimuli are likely responsible for the contrasting results. The images selected for the current study were all focal images of salient threatening stimuli associated with fear (Bernstein & Allen, 1969; Fredrikson et al., 1996), while the unpleasant images used by Durso et al. (2015), which came from the International Affective Picture System (Lang et al., 1997), included a variety of contexts and stimuli that likely induced a range of negative emotions.

Independent of drug treatment, participants in the current study rated the ancestral stimuli more negative and experienced greater emotional arousal compared to when evaluating contemporary threats. These results were expected as snakes and spiders represent recurring evolutionary threats, favoring corresponding neurological adaptations for rapid detection and response (Dinh et al., 2018; Van Le et al., 2013). Contrary to our predictions, however, the effects from acetaminophen did not vary as a function of the ancestral relevance of the stimuli. This suggests that acetaminophen modulates more general processes involved in the perception and evaluation of threatening stimuli. Consistent with past research, females rated the threatening stimuli as more emotionally arousing, though the effect of threat category and drug treatment did not vary between the sexes.

Further research is needed to uncover, mechanistically, how acetaminophen potentiates negative evaluations and arousal to threatening images. Previous research has shown that as conditions allow conscious processing of fear-relevant stimuli, as was the case here, cortical activity is enhanced and appears to inhibit the amygdala response (Öhman, 2005). Given that acetaminophen attenuates cortical activity (DeWall et al., 2010), this could impair the mitigation of fear initiated by the fast pathway in the amygdala (Méndez-Bértolo et al., 2016), giving rise to the pattern of results observed here. Similar to past researchers studying the psychoactive effects of acetaminophen (Durso et al., 2015; Mischkowski et al., 2016; Pearson et al., 2018), we suspect serotonin also plays a key role. Acetaminophen facilitates serotonergic signaling (Pini et al., 1996) and raises serotonin in the brain (Daya & Anoopkumar-Dukie, 2000), and the amygdala is modulated by this neurotransmitter (Bocchio et al., 2016). In particular, serotonin circuits have been shown to govern fear and anxiety in the amygdala (Marcinkiewcz et al., 2016), and pharmaceutical drugs that increase the effects of serotonin can increase fear after acute treatment (Burghardt et al., 2004).

In summary, we provide evidence for a novel psychoactive effect produced by acetaminophen: the potentiation of affective reactions to fear-related stimuli. Although this represented a small effect, and the study was limited to assessments of a relatively small number of heterogeneous visual stimuli and did not capture explicit measures of fear, our results clearly do not support previous research indicating that acetaminophen blunts overall affective processing. The psychological literature on acetaminophen (Ratner et al., 2018) suggests a complex picture when predicting and understanding the effects of this commonly used medication, and thus we call for additional research examining how this OTC drug could result in further mismatch with our evolved psychology.
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