Draft genome sequence of Acetobacter aceti strain 1023, a vinegar factory isolate

John E. Hung  
*Washington University in St Louis*

Christopher P. Mill  
*Washington University in St Louis*

Sandra W. Clifton  
*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Vincent Magrini  
*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Ketaki Bhide  
*Purdue University*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs)

Recommended Citation  
Hung, John E.; Mill, Christopher P.; Clifton, Sandra W.; Magrini, Vincent; Bhide, Ketaki; Francois, Julie A.; Ransome, Aaron E.; Fulton, Lucinda; Thimmapuram, Jyothi; Wilson, Richard K.; and Kappock, T. Joseph, "Draft genome sequence of Acetobacter aceti strain 1023, a vinegar factory isolate." Genome Announcements 2, 3. e00550-14. (2014).  
[https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/2933](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/2933)
Authors
John E. Hung, Christopher P. Mill, Sandra W. Clifton, Vincent Magrini, Ketaki Bhide, Julie A. Francois, Aaron E. Ransome, Lucinda Fulton, Jyothi Thimmapuram, Richard K. Wilson, and T. Joseph Kappock
Draft Genome Sequence of Acetobacter aceti Strain 1023, a Vinegar Factory Isolate

John E. Hung,a,b,c,** Christopher P. Mill,a,b,c Sandra W. Clifton,b,c Vincent Magrini,b,c Ketaki Bhide,d Julie A. Francois,a,b,c Aaron E. Ransome,a,b,c Lucinda Fulton,b Jyothi Thimmappuram,d Richard K. Wilson,b,c,d T. Joseph Kappcocka

Department of Chemistry, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri, USA; The Genome Institute, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA; Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA; Bioinformatics Core, Discovery Park, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA; Department of Biochemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.

* Present address: John E. Hung, Sigma-Aldrich Company, St. Louis, Missouri, USA; Christopher P. Mill, Department of Biochemistry, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, USA; Sandra W. Clifton, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Advanced Center for Genome Technology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, USA; Julie A. Francois, Monsanto Company, Creve Coeur, Missouri, USA; Aaron E. Ransome, GEVO, Englewood, Colorado, USA.

The genome sequence of Acetobacter aceti 1023, an acetic acid bacterium adapted to traditional vinegar fermentation, comprises 3.0 Mb (chromosome plus plasmids). A. aceti 1023 is closely related to the cocoa fermenter Acetobacter pasteurianus 386B but possesses many additional insertion sequence elements.

Acetic acid bacteria (AAB) are acidophilic aerobic alphaproteobacteria with many uses in food processing (1, 2). Acetobacter aceti strain 1023, a traditional rice vinegar mash surface isolate (3), was used in pioneering studies of AAB physiology (4). The continual selection of vinegar strains has favored acetic acid/ethanol resistance traits and disfavored wasteful overoxidation, in which acetic acid is lost as CO₂ (5). Whole-genome sequencing of A. aceti 1023 was used to identify adaptations in this highly domesticated vinegar strain.

A. aceti 1023 was propagated at 30°C in yeast-peptone-dextrose medium supplemented with 2% ethanol. Genomic DNA was used to prepare plasmid (4.1- and 6.1-kb inserts in plasmid pOTW13) and fosmid (40-kb inserts in pCC-FOSI) libraries, as previously described (6, 7). Using PCAP (8), paired-end Sanger reads were assembled (28,731 reads, 76% input) into 337 contigs >1 kb (total, 3.2 Mb; N₅₀, 17,669 bp), as was disclosed in a preliminary form (9).

Genomic DNA libraries were analyzed by 454 GS-FLX pyrosequencing using both fragment (564,984 reads, 140 Mb total) and mate-pair (3-kb insert; 468,069 reads, 66 Mb total) libraries. A hybrid assembly of Sanger and 454 reads using Newbler (version 2.9) furnished 33 scaffolds composed of 193 contigs (>0.5 kb) and 3.0 Mb total sequence at 72-fold coverage. The scaffolds were ordered with Mauve (version 2.3.1) (10), using the complete genome sequence of Acetobacter pasteurianus 386B (11) as the template. The NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (version 2.5) and BLASTn analysis predicted 2,650 open reading frames, 66 pseudogenes, and 47 functional RNAs. At least eight scaffolds (0.07 Mb total) appeared to originate from plasmids, as judged by the presence of repB and plasmid partitioning genes. As is typical for the low-copy-number AAB “cryptic” plasmids (12), the plasmid scaffolds contain few genes that clearly confer a phenotype.

A phylogenetic analysis of AAB GroEL sequences (13) grouped A. aceti 1023 with A. pasteurianus and Acetobacter pomorum, not A. aceti NBRC 14818 or ATCC 23746. Central carbon metabolism is more straightforward in A. aceti 1023 and A. pasteurianus strains, which use a specialized citric acid cycle containing aarC (14), than in A. aceti NBRC 14818, which has greater metabolic versatility (15–17). As judged by gene synteny and sequence similarity, A. aceti 1023 has a particularly close relationship to A. pasteurianus 386B, a cocoa fermenter (11). However, A. pasteurianus 386B lacks numerous insertion sequence (IS) elements present in the vinegar strains A. aceti 1023 and A. pasteurianus NBRC 3283 (18). As anticipated from Southern blots (19–21), A. aceti 1023 contains IS1380, IS1452, and IS12538, with minimal copy numbers of 64, 4, and 1, respectively. The adaptation of a common ancestor to different fermentation milieux involved divergent histories of transposable element acquisition in A. aceti 1023 and A. pasteurianus 386B.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession no. JEOA00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version, JEOA01000000.
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