Irritable bowel syndrome: Is it “irritable brain” or “irritable bowel”? 
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ABSTRACT

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) has been recognized as one of the most common and best studied disorders among the group of functional gastrointestinal disorders. It is a functional bowel disorder in which abdominal pain or discomfort is associated with defecation or a change in bowel habit. In the Western world, IBS appears to affect up to 20% of the population at any given time but in Asian countries, the median value of IBS prevalence defined by various criteria ranges between 6.5% and 10.1%, and community prevalence of 4% is found in North India. Those attending gastroenterology clinics represent only the tip of the iceberg. The disorder substantially impairs the quality of life, and the overall health-care costs are high. IBS has therefore gained increased attention from clinicians, researchers, and pharmaceutical industries. It is often frustrating to both patients and physicians as the disease is usually chronic in nature and difficult to treat. However, the understanding of IBS has been changing from time to time and still most of its concepts are unknown. In this review we have discussed, debated, and synthesized the evidence base, focusing on underlying mechanisms in the brain and bowel. We conclude that it is both brain and bowel mechanisms that are responsible. The clinical implication of such mechanisms is discussed.
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Introduction

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) has been recognized as one of the most common and best studied disorder among the group of functional gastrointestinal disorders. It is a functional bowel disorder in which abdominal pain or discomfort is associated with defecation or a change in bowel habit. In the Western world, IBS appears to affect up to 20% of the population at any given time, although the prevalence figures vary substantially depending on the definition of IBS. On the contrary in Asian countries, the median value of IBS prevalence defined by various criteria ranges 6.5–10.1%. In India, IBS has been reported to be common. A recent study has estimated IBS prevalence in Northern India community to be 4%, though its exact incidence is hard to assess, but it appears that those attending gastroenterology clinics represent only the tip of the iceberg.

The disorder substantially impairs the quality of life, and the overall health-care costs are high. IBS has therefore gained increased attention from clinicians, researchers, and pharmaceutical industries. It is often frustrating to both patients and physicians as the disease is usually chronic in nature and difficult to treat. However, the understanding of IBS has been changing from time to time and still most of its concepts are unknown. In this review we tend to find out the various etiological mechanisms underlying IBS.
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Etiological Dilemmas: Is it Irritable “Bowel” or “Brain/Mind”?

Studies on the pathophysiologic mechanisms behind IBS have always led to controversies that whether the predominant pathology lies in the bowel or in the brain. We provide here a brief overview of all such mechanisms studied so far in favor of both bowel and brain.

Evidences in favor of irritable “bowel”
If we look into the evolution of mechanistic hypothesis in IBS, then we can formulate that initially it was thought that abnormal colonic motility was the basic pathophysiologic mechanism behind IBS. But over the years, the concept has changed. Some of the mechanisms are listed below:

1. Abnormal motility
2. Visceral hypersensitivity
3. Role of infection
4. Role of inflammation
5. Role of bacterial overgrowth
6. Role of serotonin
7. Role of brain-gut axis interaction.

Abnormal motility
Evidences from manometric, electromyographic, and colonic transit studies (1980s) have demonstrated that patients with IBS have: (1) Discrete clustered contractions in the small intestine which are associated with episodes of abdominal pain, (2) abnormalities in the migratory motor complex, which may lead to either delayed (constipation) or accelerated (diarrhea) intestinal transit, and (3) very high amplitude propagating contractions within the colon, especially in the postprandial period which are associated with episodes of abdominal pain.[27-29]

Some of the studies which have tried to find out different types of altered motility in different parts of the gastrointestinal (GI) system are enlisted in Table 1.

However, no single pattern of disordered motility is pathognomonic of IBS. In general, the alterations in GI motility found in patients with IBS likely reflect an exaggeration of normal patterns of GI motility.

Visceral hypersensitivity
It has been proved by the use of technique of intraluminal balloon distension that patients with IBS have altered thresholds to pain within the GI tract, compared with non-IBS patients. IBS patients perceive balloon distension at much lower levels of inflation and describe the distension as more painful, compared with normal patients.[27-29] They may also misinterpret normal GI function as painful.

Visceral hypersensitivity is a common and predominant pathophysiologic mechanism, responsible for triggering the motility disturbances and abdominal pain. Many researchers have proposed that it may be regarded as a “biological marker” of IBS. Nevertheless, only 60% of patients are hypersensitive to distension that is, mainly the diarrhea subtype (IBS-D) are more hypersensitive to rectal distension than patients who have constipation subtype (IBS-C).[30,31]

Although hypersensitivity is a frequent finding in patients with IBS, it does not appear to be a consistent indicator of IBS. Several external and internal factors can modulate visceral sensitivity. So, it cannot be used as a diagnostic marker for IBS. It seems to result from the sensitization of nerve afferent pathways originating from the GI tract.

Role of infection
Chaudhry and Truelove (1962) reported that a percentage of patients with irritable colon developed typical IBS symptoms after an acute infective enteritis. Epidemiologic studies have shown that GI infection is the strongest environmental risk factor for the development of IBS.[32] A recent systematic review and meta-analysis identified that the pooled incidence for IBS development after infectious gastroenteritis was 10%.[33] *Salmonella, Shigella, and Campylobacter* are among the most frequently isolated infectious agents, but viral infection has also been documented as a trigger of IBS.[34,35] Several risk factors increase the risk of postinfectious IBS (PI-IBS) development, including prolonged duration of the initial illness, toxicity of infecting bacterial strain, smoking, degree of mucosal inflammation, female gender, presence of psychological disorders, such as depression and anxiety, and treatment with antibiotics during the acute gastroenteritis episode.[36-38] Studies showed a continuing presence of CD3 and CD8 T lymphocytes and increased expression of interleukin-1 (IL) beta in patients progressing to PI-IBS after acute infection with *Shigella* or *Campylobacter jejuni.[39]*

Though there is growing evidence in favour of infection as an etiologic model for IBS, but the point against it is that neither all patients have history of infection prior to developing IBS symptoms nor treatment by antibiotics helps in improvement of symptoms in all IBS patients.

Role of mucosal inflammation
Normal intestine is always in a state of inflammation, with a balance between commensal enteric organisms...
and the immune system. Mast cells play a critical role in normal immune function and respond to antigen stimuli through degranulation resulting in release of the inflammatory mediators histamine and tryptase. Degree of cellularity of mucosal mast cells and proximity to sensory nerves have been found to be correlated with abdominal pain in IBS. Studies have reported that increased number of mast cells throughout the GI tract in patients with IBS with no history of gastroenteritis. It has also been seen that biopsy specimens contained increased numbers of neutrophils and mast cells in the colonic mucosa, and chronic inflammatory infiltrate with neuronal degeneration in the myenteric plexus of the jejunum.

These findings have resulted in interest not only in the role of mast cells in IBS, but also the potential therapeutic role of mast cell stabilizers in IBS. However, the results are arbitrary with no consistent findings.

**Role of bacterial overgrowth**

Numerous theories have been implicated regarding the role of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) in the pathogenesis of IBS, however definite evidence is still lacking. SIBO has been defined based on jejunal aspirate and culture demonstrating more than 10^5 CFU/mL of coliform bacteria in the small intestine. Methodological issues in diagnosing SIBO by lactulose breath test or by glucose breath test has resulted in controversies.

However, factors which support role of bacteria in IBS are:
- Excessive fermentation
- Increased small bowel gas formation
- Abnormal breath tests compared with healthy controls
- Excessive coliform bacteria in proximal small bowel of IBS subjects
- Improvement of IBS symptoms with antibiotic therapy.

The causal link of SIBO with IBS is somewhat argued due to the evidence of treatment of SIBO with nonabsorbable antibiotics like rifaximin which has been shown to result in improvement of symptoms in patients with IBS. But still, it remains yet to be clarified whether SIBO is an essential factor in the pathophysiology of IBS, or is just linked by serendipity.

**Role of serotonin**

Serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine [5-HT]) is a neurotransmitter vital to normal GI function. Studies have shown that 5-HT plays a critical role in GI motility, visceral sensitivity, GI immune function, and blood flow. At the molecular level, abnormalities in the serotonin reuptake transport system have been found in IBS patients. Polymorphism of the 5-HT2A receptor gene may be associated with the development of IBS has also been suggested. In a recent study done in India, a significant association was found between

---

**Table 1: Studies summarizing motility studies**

| Author (year) | Part of GI system | Findings |
|---------------|-------------------|----------|
| Van Wijk et al., 1992 | Stomach | Delayed gastric emptying |
| Evans et al., 1997 | | Anger inhibits antral motility |
| Welgan et al., 2000 | Small intestine (DCCs) | Increased frequency and duration of DCCs and DCCs associated with pain |
| Leahy et al., 1999 | | Increased DCCs following CRH |
| Kumar and Wingate, 1985 | Small intestine (MMC and phasic contractions) | Increased frequency of MMCs |
| Kellow et al., 1990 | Small intestine (small bowel transit) | Duodenal and jejunal contractions suppressed by stress |
| Schmidt et al., 1996 | | Delayed in IBS-C and accelerated in IBS-D |
| Fukudo et al., 1998 | | |
| Kellow et al., 1988 | | |
| Kellow et al., 1992 | | |
| Cann et al., 1983 | | |
| Lu et al., 1998 | | |
| Vassallo et al., 1992 | Colon and rectum (phasic contractions) | Greater increase in phasic contractions after meal |
| Rogers et al., 1989 | | Greater increase with stress |
| Welgan et al., 1988 | | |
| Fukudo and Suzuki, 1987 | | |
| Bueno et al., 1980 | Colon and rectum (myoelectric activity) | Increased long spike bursts with diarrhea and irregular short spike bursts with constipation |
| Horikawa et al., 1999 | Colon (colonic transit) | Delayed in IBS-C and accelerated in IBS-D |

IBS: Irritable bowel syndrome, IBS-C: Irritable bowel syndrome, predominantly constipation type, IBS-D: Irritable bowel syndrome, predominantly diarrhea type, DCCs: Discrete clustered contractions, CRH: Cortisol releasing hormone, MMC: Migratory motor complex, GI: Gastrointestinal
the SS genotype of serotonin re-uptake transporter polymorphism (SERT-P) and constipation predominant type of IBS.\cite{48}

Serotonin released as neurotransmitter from both the afferent and efferent neurons of the myenteric plexus present in layers of intestinal wall increases intestinal motility and secretion by increasing the firing rate of secretomotor neurons. These secretions from the crypts of Lieberkuhn in turn induce vasodilation of arterioles to increase blood flow in support to stimulated secretion. In case of IBS patients with predominant diarrhea subtype, there is increased serotonin production leading to increase in secretions. Thus, serotonin plays a major role in the pathophysiology of IBS-D type. Evidence in favour of this mechanism can be linked to the efficacy of the 5-HT3 receptor antagonist (Alosetron) blockade in women with diarrhea predominant IBS which suggests an overstimulation of secretomotor neurons by serotonin.\cite{49,50}

It has also been investigated and found that in patients with constipation predominant IBS there occurs an increased serotonin concentration in colonic mucosa when compared with individuals with diarrhea predominant IBS, reflecting impaired release of serotonin.\cite{51} This further strengthens the role of serotonin in IBS.

Brain – gut axis interactions in irritable bowel syndrome

“Brain-gut axis” refers to the bi-directional continuous communication between the gut (enteric nervous system [ENS], luminal wall) and the central nervous system (CNS), including the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA). It plays a prominent role in modulation of gut function in health and disease.

The emotional motor system (EMS) in the brain - a revised name for limbic system and some paralimbic structures (including the medial prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and hypothalamus) communicate emotional changes via the autonomic nervous system to the gut. The ENS, also called the “brain-in-the-gut,” organizes and coordinates activity of musculature, mucosal epithelium, and vasculature to generate functionally significant patterns of behavior.\cite{52,53}

Pathophysiological consequences of disruption of brain-gut axis has been linked to many disorders of GI tract like gastroesophageal reflux disease, peptic ulcers, functional dyspepsia, IBS, inflammatory bowel disease, food allergy etc.\cite{54,55}

In IBS, it is hypothesized that stress acts on the emotional limbic system and leads to increased release of adrenocorticotropic hormone and cortisol which act upon the ENS causing the symptoms of IBS that is, abdominal pain, loose stools etc. There is also a role of several immune mediators like IL-6, IL-8 etc.

Evidence in this favor are from the various neuroimaging studies (positron emission tomography, functional magnetic resonance imaging) on IBS patients which have suggested that there is a dysregulation of central and ENS which induces dysmotility or visceral sensitivity and all these processes are modified by psychosocial processes.\cite{56,57}

However, still many of these mechanisms are yet to be understood and mechanisms related to bowel to be the only pathophysiology of IBS is still debatable.

Now let us discuss the various evidences and mechanisms which favor that brain and the psyche has a predominant role in the pathophysiology of IBS.

Evidences in Favor of “Irritable Brain and/or Mind”

For better understanding, let us conceptualize the bio-psycho-social model of IBS which includes

- Biological factors: Neuroanatomical factors, neuroimmunological factors
- Role of psyche
- Social factors: Environmental influences and role of stress.

Biological factors

Neuroanatomical factors

As discussed above, the EMS is concerned with the modulation at CNS level. In IBS patients, it has been seen that there is selective activation of dorsal cingulate cortex which is concerned with attentional processes and response selection. Activation of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex concerned with emotional and autonomic response to stimuli has also been seen. IBS patients showed greater degree of activation in these regions than controls.\cite{58}

Several studies have been carried out to unpin the details of brain pathways behind IBS, but still whether it is selective attention at cortical level or there is increased afferent processing of the pathways ascending to brain remains unclear.

Neurophysiological factors

CNS communicates with ENS via three pathways that is, autonomic pathway, neural pathway, and neuroendocrine pathway.
As far as autonomic pathway is concerned, data from various studies have not been consistent. Some studies have suggested that sympathetic activity may be increased or reduced\(^{[99]}\) while some other studies have suggested diminished or enhanced parasympathetic tone.\(^{[60,61]}\) Overall it has been observed that patients with IBS have altered autonomic activity associated with symptom exacerbation.

Neural pathways play a major role in modulating symptoms of IBS especially the experience of pain. Spinothalamic tracts provide information that is largely directed to the primary somatosensory cortex and functions to localize and discriminate visceral stimuli. Spinoreticular pathways do not function primarily to localize stimuli but are important in the reflexive, affective, and motivational aspects of sensation. The brain modulates afferent pain signals by dispersing inhibitory signals to the spinal cord. The inhibitory efferent signals then travel by way of the opioidergic, serotoninergic, and noradrenergic systems to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord where they presynaptically inhibit the afferent pain signals.

It was seen in studies that the dorsal subregion of the anterior cingulate cortex is an area that is selectively activated to a greater degree in patients with IBS compared with controls.\(^{[56,62]}\) Evidence in this regard is seen in the effectiveness of tricyclic antidepressants in pain relief, at doses less than that used in depression.\(^{[63-65]}\) Brain imaging studies have shown compromised activation of corticopontine pain inhibitory circuits. Patients with IBS may fail to use CNS downregulating mechanisms in response to incoming or anticipated visceral pain.\(^{[66]}\) It seems that differences exist between patients with IBS and healthy subjects with respect to regional brain activation. But, debate still continues whether it is the central activation or the peripheral pathways responsible for pathogenesis.

If we consider the neuroendocrine pathways abnormalities, alteration in the HPA has been reported in IBS patients. Studies have reported an increased level of corticotropin releasing hormone which in turn affects the motility and sensitivity of the gut.\(^{[67]}\) However, it is unsure whether the change is primary or in response to other stressors. Studies have reported that increased levels of cortisol in IBS patients compared to controls, either at baseline or in response to stress.\(^{[68]}\)

**Role of the psyche**

There is upcoming evidence between the relationship of IBS and “the psyche.” Psychiatric disorders have been found to coexist with IBS patients as evident from various studies. Human psyche is affected by several factors like personality factors, altered health beliefs, and coping skills.

**Psychiatric disorders and irritable bowel syndrome**

Several studies show that among patients who seek medical attention for IBS, around 70% have psychiatric comorbidity.\(^{[69-71]}\) Rates of psychiatric disorders are depression - 46%, generalized anxiety disorder - 34%, panic disorder - 31%, and somatization - 26%.\(^{[72]}\) Psychiatric disturbances among persons with IBS may represent a reaction to stress of chronic GI illness.\(^{[73,74]}\) Severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms correlated with IBS symptoms.\(^{[75]}\) Patients with co-morbid anxiety had more pronounced cortical evoked potential indicating selective attention compared with noncomorbid IBS.\(^{[76]}\) Also it has been reported that the prevalence and role of psychiatric comorbidity in individuals with IBS is higher than in nonulcer dyspepsia.\(^{[77]}\)

It has also been seen that psychiatric disorders and IBS share common genetic predisposition. For example, SERT-P gene is associated with a subtype of IBS and the same polymorphism in promoter region of SERT gene is also seen in depression.\(^{[78,79]}\) Another study has reported that C/C genotype polymorphism in 5HT3A receptors is associated with increased anxiety and severity of IBS symptoms.\(^{[79,80]}\) A positive association between psychological stress and abnormal immunity has been implicated as evident from a study in which it was seen that IBS patients with high level of IL-6 was associated with the presence of psychological co-morbidity.\(^{[81]}\)

In summary, still there is little data to support the etiological role for psychiatric disturbance in IBS. However, it has significant impact on severity as well as outcome. Treatment of psychiatric disturbances can result in improvement of symptoms. Hence, it is important to recognize and treat them.

**Personality factors**

Majority of studies have supported that IBS patients have high level of neuroticism.\(^{[82]}\) Neuroticism might influence coping strategies like catastrophizing and somatization.\(^{[83]}\) Neuroticism is also a significant predictor of illness perception and treatment beliefs in IBS.\(^{[84]}\) Several studies have found that many IBS sufferers score high in the personality trait alexithymia\(^{[85,86]}\) which is associated with increased symptom severity in IBS. However, these personality traits are not unique to IBS, but it reflects an important intervening variable.

**Health beliefs and coping skills**

Patients having IBS often believe that their chronic gut symptoms indicate a serious illness. They report a sense
of losing freedom, spontaneity, social contacts and feeling of fearfulness, shame and embarrassment. These in
turn lead to change in their behavior like avoidance of
activities and adaptations in routine in an effort to gain
control. Patients with IBS have high scores on bodily
preoccupation, hypochondriacal beliefs and disease
phobia. They are more likely to catastrophize than
patients with organic disorder. It has been noticed that
patients with IBS with a high degree of catastrophizing,
have a tendency to report more severe pain.

It is difficult to draw conclusions in generalizing coping
skills in patients with IBS. Health beliefs are irrational
leading to hypochondriacal attitudes and various
maladaptive coping strategies such as catastrophizing
are used.

Role of social factors
Role of social learning
Social learning has been implicated as one of the
important social factors in the biopsychosocial model
of IBS. Many patients with moderate to severe IBS have
a coexisting somatization disorder, and it is quite likely
that excessive illness behavior could be transmitted from
parents to children in an intergenerational transmission of
illness behavior. Parental modeling and reinforcement
of illness behavior contributes to the causes of illness. It
has been suggested that contribution of social learning
is as great as the contribution of heredity.

Environmental stressors
Environmental stressors have been classified as early
life stressors and psychosocial stressors. Some of these
factors implicated in IBS are as described below:

Early life stressors like prenatal traumatic events (poor
nutrition in fetal life), early stressful life events (surgery,
emotional, physical or sexual abuse), family
dysfunctioning (divorce of parents), family history of
abdominal pain, bowel dysfunction, and inflammatory
bowel diseases.

Psychosocial stressors like major life events (divorce,
unemployment, death of a relative), major social
events (social changes, revolution), and daily hassles.

Abuse history either in childhood or during adult
life needs a special mention in this aspect. Abuse has
been reported by patients with other chronic and
painful non-GI functional conditions as well. But the
constant finding is that abused individuals experience
high level of psychological distress and somatization,
both being risk factors for development of IBS. Two
recent studies have concluded that lifetime history of
broad range of trauma and abuse are independent risk
factors for development of IBS. The findings suggest
that physicians should become aware of various risk
factors among patients with chronic or severe refractory
symptoms and some sort of psychological treatment is
necessary for such patients.

Role of stress
Stress is defined as acute threat to the homeostasis of an
organism, real (physical) or perceived (psychological),
and posed by events in the outside world or from
within. In the genetically predisposed individual,
both early life stress and severe life threatening stress
referred to as pathological stress can result in permanent
irreversible enhancement of responsiveness of central
stress circuits and vulnerability to development of
functional disorders later in life. Later on, fear
conditioning plays an important role in triggering stress
responses to situations and context.

In IBS patients, it has been reported from many studies
that stress is associated with symptom severity. Nearly
51% of IBS patients report that a stressful event preceded
the onset of the disorder. Patients with IBS have greater
reactivity to stress. The identification of a specific stressor
helps in planning treatment through psychological and
pharmacological treatment.

A recent study which aimed to investigate the effect of
stress on intestinal permeability in humans revealed that
when the subjects were subjected to acute psychological
stress there was increase in small intestinal permeability.
Also when the link between posttraumatic stress disorder
and IBS was investigated in an urban African Americans
population, the former was found to be independently
associated with IBS, thereby further strengthening the
role of psychosocial stress in IBS.

Acute psychological stress, as well as administration
of corticoliberin (also known as corticotrophin
releasing factor) to mimic the stress response, have
both been found to increase intestinal mucosal
permeability in healthy volunteers. It is also well
known that stress affects the immune system. A number
of studies have supported that the increase in
intestinal permeability in patients with IBS is linked
to enhanced activity of the immune system via release
of proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis
factor and interferon-gamma.

Some landmark studies that have evaluated the role of
stress in IBS are described in Table 2.
IBS patients reported greater negative life events and scored higher on psychiatric disorders compared to UC or controls. Stress in IBS was found to be higher compared to FBD and healthy controls. Table 2 summarizes the studies evaluating the role of stress in IBS. A majority of patients with IBS reported stress led to changes in stool pattern and abdominal pain. Stressful life events and abdominal pain were also found to be associated with other psychiatric conditions.

Summarizing all the psychosocial factors, we can say that direct cause and effect relationship has not been found. Various psychological factors have an effect on outcome as well as they are associated with each other. Each of the factor plays an important role as far as management is concerned and all these should be kept in the management protocol while managing an IBS patient.

Lastly, we summarize, the etiopathogenesis in IBS in Table 3.

Mostly the evidence has been found on these above mentioned aspects/areas of research. There have always been controversies in almost all the theories put forward to explain IBS. We can rather say that it is the “irritable” brain-gut axis which plays a significant role in the genesis of IBS.

### Conclusions and Future Directions

Our understanding of the pathophysiology of IBS is still in its evolution level. Psychosocial factors contribute to predisposition, precipitation, and perpetuation of IBS symptoms. Neuroimaging studies have tried to delineate the relationship between psychosocial factors and emotions, gut physiology and clinical symptoms.

Screening subjects for psychosocial stressors may be an effective intervention strategy. The potential role that inflammation may play in IBS, genes involved in cytokine production and/or receptors is an important avenue for future research.

Evidence is growing that IBS can no longer be purely regarded as a functional disorder. Neither of the notions...
about IBS that, “It’s all in the head” or “it’s all in the mind”, is right, in its entirety. Rather, psychiatrists and physicians/gastroenterologists should work in close liaison and manage it comprehensively. Essentially, it is the person as well as the GI symptoms to be taken care of, along with attempts to improve the quality of life as well. Therefore, perhaps, it is the combination of irritable “brain” and “bowel” is what constitutes the disease entity.
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