Was SN1997ff at $z \sim 1.7$ magnified by gravitational lensing?
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ABSTRACT

The quest for the cosmological parameters has come to fruition with the identification of a number of supernovae at a redshift of $z \sim 1$. Analyses of the brightness of these standard candles reveal that the Universe is dominated by a large cosmological constant. The recent identification of the $z \sim 1.7$ SN1997ff in the northern Hubble Deep Field has provided further evidence for this cosmology. Here we examine the case for gravitational lensing of SN1997ff due to the presence of galaxies lying along our line of sight. We find that, while the alignment of SN1997ff with foreground masses was not favorable for it to be multiply imaged and strongly magnified, two galaxies did lie close enough to result in significant magnification: $\mu \sim 1.4$ for the case where these elliptical galaxies have velocity dispersion $200\text{km/s}$. Given the small difference between supernova brightnesses in different cosmologies, detailed modeling of the gravitational lensing properties of the intervening matter is therefore required before the true cosmological significance of SN1997ff can be deduced.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The search for the values of the cosmological parameters has occupied astronomers for almost a century. Recently, a study of the light curves of local supernovae Ia has provided an accurate calibration of their intrinsic luminosity (Hamuy et al. 1996; Riess et al. 1998). With such a standard candle in hand, the measurement of apparent supernovae brightnesses at high redshift allows the underlying cosmological parameters to be determined. This has been the goal of two teams who have now discovered approaching one hundred supernovae out to a redshift of $z \sim 1.2$ (Schmidt et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999; Riess et al. 2000). The results of these studies suggest that we live in a universe which is dominated by a cosmological constant, with $\Lambda_0 = 0.7$, with enough matter, $\Omega_0 = 0.3$, to flatten the overall topology, as seen in studies of the cosmic microwave background (Melchiorri et al. 2000).

With the release of the cosmological supernovae results, a number of analyses of physical processes that could also dim distant supernovae, and therefore mimic a cosmological constant, were considered. These included gravitational lensing (e.g. Célerié 2000; Bergströrm, Goliat, Goobar, & Mörtsell 2000), dust (Totani & Kobayashi 1999; Croft, Davé, Hernquist, & Katz 2000) and the evolution of supernova properties (Höflich, Nomoto, Umeda, & Wheeler 2000); see Riess (2000) for a more complete description of these effects. Recently, however, the discovery of a supernova at a redshift of $z \sim 1.7$ has been announced; see http://oposite.stsci.edu/pubinfo/pr/2001/09. Located in the northern Hubble Deep Field (Williams et al. 1996; Ferguson, Dickinson, & Williams 2000), this supernova, designated SN1997ff, was identified via the comparison of exposures at differing epochs by Gilliland, Nugent, & Phillips (1999). While a publication detailing the supernova has yet to appear, the press-release announces that this system, with a photometric redshift of $z = 1.7 \pm 0.15$, represents the most distant supernova discovered and that it confirms the earlier conclusions of a cosmological constant dominated universe, as it is brighter than would be expected in a simple matter dominated universe. Such dimming and brightening, relative to matter dominated cosmologies, is a peculiarity expected in universes with a substantial cosmological constant, but is not consistent with the action of dust, gravitational lensing or supernova evolution.

An examination of the Hubble Deep Field, however, reveals that the host of SN1997ff is seen in projection near two large elliptical systems at a redshift of $z = 0.56$. In this Letter, we consider the gravitational lensing influence of these galaxies on the apparent brightness of SN1997ff.

2 THE ENVIRONMENT OF SN1997ff

Examining the host galaxy of SN1997ff in the HDF North reveals that it lies in close proximity to several other sys-
Possess a photometric redshift of \( z \approx 0.56 \), while No. 510 has a photometric redshift of \( z \approx 1.9 \).

Three fiducial values of the velocity dispersion are considered, 100km/s, 200km/s and 300km/s, the central value representing a typical elliptical galaxy (Whitmore, McElroy, & Tonry 1985). For the lower velocity dispersion of 100km/s, the resulting gravitational lensing magnification of SN1997ff due to galaxies No. 512 and No. 524 is only \( \mu \sim 1.08 \). Considering instead the more typical value of 200km/s, the gravitational lensing magnification is \( \mu \sim 1.42 \), corresponding to a brightening of 0.38mags. If galaxies No. 512 and No. 524 are massive ellipticals, with velocity dispersions of 300km/s, the magnification is \( \mu \sim 2.92 \), a brightening of 1.16mags.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The simple analysis presented in this paper demonstrates that it is likely that the recently identified high redshift supernova, SN1997ff, was mildly magnified by the gravitational lensing influence of two galaxies lying close to the line of sight. The magnification is dependent upon the mass of the lensing galaxies, but if these are typical elliptical galaxies, SN1997ff appeared brighter by 0.38mags. While this value will depend upon the assumed form of the mass distribution in the lensing galaxy, the estimate of the magnification presented here will be of the right order.

The difference in apparent brightness of a standard candle in different cosmologies is presented in Figure 2. At \( z = 1.7 \), the difference between alternate world-models is slight, for instance, the dark energy dominated model predicts that objects will be only 0.13mags brighter than in an empty universe model. Our analysis suggests that gravitational lensing enhanced the magnitude of SN1997ff by \( \sim 0.4 \)mags, an effect larger than the difference between those two cosmologies. Indeed, in the light of our gravitational lensing analysis, the new supernova datum may be in closer agreement to an empty universe model (though of course, our analysis does not affect previous conclusions drawn from the sample of lower redshift supernovae). For the true cosmological significance of SN1997ff to be determined, therefore, a detailed model of the gravitational lensing in this system is required.

While SN1997ff is the first supernova to be identified in the higher redshift universe, it is likely that others too will be found in similar circumstances. Hence, the determination of the cosmological parameters via supernova explosions is likely to be come tangled with the problem of understanding the mass distribution of lensing galaxies, something that has dogged the determination of the Hubble Constant from gravitational lensing time delays for a number of years (e.g. Schechter et al. 1997).
Figure 2. The difference in apparent magnitude of a standard candles between an empty universe (dashed line at $\Delta M = 0$) and other cosmologies. The brackets list the parameters ($\Omega_0, \Lambda_0$) of the model.
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