Lexical Characteristics Analysis of Chinese Clinical Documents

Meizhi Ju
College of Biomedical Engineering and Instrument Science, Zhejiang University

Haomin Li*
The Children's Hospital of Zhejiang University
The Institute of Translational Medicine, Zhejiang University

Huilong Duan
College of Biomedical Engineering and Instrument Science, Zhejiang University

Abstract

Understanding lexical characteristics of clinical documents is the foundation of sublanguage based Medical Language Processing (MLP) approach. However, there are limited studies focused on the lexical characters of Chinese clinical documents. In this study, a lexical characteristics analysis on both syntactic and semantic levels was conducted in a clinical corpus which contains 3,500 clinical documents generated during daily practices. The analysis was based on the automatic tagging results of a lexicon-based part-of-speech (POS) and semantic tagging method. The medical lexicon contains 237,291 entries annotated with both semantic and syntactic classes. The normalized frequency of different terms, syntactic and semantic classes was calculated and visualized. Major contribution of this paper is providing a wide-coverage Chinese medical semantic lexicon and presenting the lexical characteristics of Chinese clinical documents. Both of these will lay a good foundation for sublanguage based MLP studies in China.

1 Introduction

Clinical documents which contain a tremendous amount of patient information to facilitate inter-provider communication, also the most important part of clinical data for secondary use such as clinical research and administration. Recent advance in MLP technologies (Sager et al., 1987; Sager et al., 1994; Friedman and Hripcsak, 1999; Liu et al., 2012; Irina P. Temnikova et al., 2013; Irina P. Temnikova et al., 2014; Pham et al., 2014), such as de-identification (Meystre et al., 2014; Kayaalp et al., 2014), text classification (Pestian et al., 2007; Vijay, 2012), information retrieval (Uzuner et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2013), etc., affords an opportunity to study and analyze clinical documents at an unprecedented scale.

In recent years, Chinese MLP topics have drawn increasing public attention as there are more and more electronic clinical data that major exist in free text format such as clinical documents and reports were accumulated in many hospitals. Some Chinese MLP studies have been reported such as information extraction (Wang et al., 2014), NER (Named Entity Recognition) (Lei et al., 2014). However, systematic studies of lexical characters of Chinese clinical documents, that is the foundation of sublanguage based MLP approach and have been widely studied in other language (Foltz, 1996; Wu and Liu, 2011; Patterson and Hurdle, 2011; Patterson et al., 2010; Friedman et al., 2002), are seldom reported. Lack of accessibility of clinical documents corpus and comprehensive lexical resources for the research community is the major obstacle.

Both syntactic and semantic lexical features are important to understand the medical language structure and grammar (Harris, 1968; 1991). However, studying lexical features in both syntactic and semantic levels in large scale corpus requires a comprehensive medical lexicon to support the automatic lexical tagging process (Meystre et al., 2008). Unfortunately, such lexical resources in Chinese are not available. In this study, we constructed a 237,291 entries Chinese medical lexicon using computer aided methods at first. Then a lexical analysis which aims to present syntactic and semantic features of Chinese clinical documents.
documents was conducted in a corpus contains 3,500 clinical documents. The lexical features of clinical documents from different departments were reported. The annotated corpus was ready for further utilization such as collection of the co-occurrence patterns (Grishman et al., 1986) and sublanguage grammar.

2 Methods

To understand the lexical characters of language used in a subdomain, a large-scale corpus contains typical language samples from the real word need to be constructed at first. Then this corpus should be annotated manually or automatically with part-of-speech (POS) tags and semantic tags. Then the statistical analysis based on these tagging results will help researchers to understand the features of this type of sublanguage.

2.1 Corpus Collection

The corpus was collected from an EMR system which implemented in a 2000-bed hospital in China. More than 60,000 clinical documents were generated from 2009 to 2011 in total 35 clinical departments. Randomly selected 100 clinical documents from each department were used to construct a corpus for this study. Total 5 document types were included in the 3,500 clinical documents which contain 152,393 sentences and 2,375,909 Chinese characters. In addition, 15 clinical documents were randomly selected and manually annotated as the test set to evaluate the coverage of the lexicon as well as the performance of lexical tagging methods.

2.2 Lexicon Construction

A general purpose dictionary which used in an open-source Chinese word segmenter Pangu (http://pangusegment.codeplex.com) constituted the basic of this lexicon. While most of the total 146,259 lexemes from this general purpose dictionary are irrelevant to medical concepts. ICD-10, a medication lexicon which was acquired from (http://yao.dxy.com/) using web crawler technology, and a home-grown lexicon were also compiled into this lexicon. Total 237,291 lexemes were included in this lexicon. Learning from the classical Linguistics String Project (LSP) (Grishman et al., 1973), total 24 semantic categories were designed (Listed in Table 1). POS tags were directly inherited from the Pangu systems. Semantic attribute annotations of lexicon were achieved using both statistical method and syntactic rule based method. Medical domain specialty terms such as ICD-10, medication dictionary that with known semantic class will be annotated in batch during their enrolments. Some semantic class with obvious morphology was assigned through matching key character of the lexeme. For example, if a character ends with "病" ("disease") with POS attribute "noun", its semantic class will be annotated as "Diagnosis" for further manual review. The ambiguity of semantic classes of many lexemes was resolved based on the most frequently usage in the corpus.

| Semantic class       | Example                   | Count |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| Basic                | 年龄"age"                 | 127   |
| Information          |                           |       |
| Body Part            | 脖颈 "neck"               | 7,411 |
| Nursing Care         | 常规护理 "nursing routine"| 2,212 |
| Chemical             | 硫酸 "sulfuric acid"      | 114   |
| Description          | 交通事故 "traffic accidents" | 1,282 |
| Device               | 呼叫设备 "calling device" | 1,618 |
| Diagnosis            | 肺癌 "lung cancer"        | 30,209|
| Document             | 入院记录 "admission notes" | 213   |
| Type                 |                           |       |
| Examination          | X射线检查 "X-ray examination" | 2,066 |
| Expense              | 诊疗费 "medical fee"     | 587   |
| Name                 |                           |       |
| Department           | 急诊科 "emergency department" | 155   |
| Irrelevant           | 法案 "law"               | 146,280|
| Lab Test             | 血清总胆固醇测定 "serum total cholesterol determination" | 4,544 |
| Medical Entity       | 医生 "doctor"            | 93    |
| Medication           | 阿司匹林 "aspirin"       | 20,818|
| Number               | 多 "more"               | 55    |
| Organism             | 血吸虫 "schistosome"     | 959   |
| Phy Function         | 呼吸 "breath"           | 281   |
| Surgery              | 骨髓穿刺术 "bone marrow puncture" | 8,345 |
| Symbol               | $, &                    | 303   |
| Symptom              | 眩晕 "dizziness"        | 4,681 |
| Time                 | 早上 "morning"          | 1,976 |
| Treatment            | 治疗方案 "therapeutic regimen" | 1,340 |
| Unit                 | pmol/L                  | 236   |

Table 1: Semantic classes defined in the lexicon.

In addition, semantic class of lexemes with irrelevant POSes such as "Chinese idiom" was tagged as "Irrelevant". Furthermore, lexemes
which are not processed with the mentioned approaches were annotated manually.

2.3 Tokenization and Annotation

Supported by the constructed lexicon, the tokenization and annotation of the corpus were conducted in the following steps. Firstly, each clinical document in the corpus with extra space was automatically trimmed in the pre-process. Then a punctuation-driven sentence boundary detection algorithm was applied to obtain sentences and clauses. After that, all clauses were segmented into words or phrases using a Chinese lexical analyzer ICTCLAS (Zhang et al., 2003). Both the semantic and syntactic classes were annotated for each word or phrase based on the lexicon during this process. For words or phrases without semantic attributes in the lexicon will be annotated as "Unknown". To make it simple, all the symbols, Arab numbers and punctuations that without specific meanings were all removed.

2.4 Lexical Characteristics Analysis

A statistical frequencies of different lexical categories in different condition were calculated. As shown in Formula 1, a NF (Normalized Frequency) value was normalized as the count of this type of lexemes in every 10,000 lexemes used in the background. As different categories with significant difference NF values, the logarithm of NF (LoF) will be calculated to plot the diverse values easier (Shown in Formula 2).

\[
NF = \frac{N_{\text{category}}}{N_{\text{total}}} \times 10,000 \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{LoF} = \begin{cases} 
\log(NF), & \text{if } NF \geq 1 \\
0, & \text{if } NF < 1
\end{cases} \quad (2)
\]

In Formula 1, the \(N_{\text{category}}\) indicated the count of lexemes with specific semantic or syntactic category attribute in corpus or subset of corpus. The \(N_{\text{total}}\) represented the total number of lexemes in the same corpus. The LoF value will be set to 0, when there are seldom observation of some category in some subset of corpus.

3 Results

3.1 Evaluation of the Lexicon Coverage and Lexical Tagging Methods

The quality of the lexical characters generated from statistical analysis depends on the coverage and completeness of the lexicon constructed. Comparing with the typical comprehensive medical lexical resources such as UMLS which contains millions of terms, our lexicon scale is relatively small. So we calculate the coverage and completeness of the lexicon during the tokenizing and annotation. Total 13,660 lexemes were unrecognized among all 2,375,909 lexemes in the corpus. The coverage of our lexicon in the corpus was 99.43% calculated by Formula 3. Similarly, the distinct lexemes among the unrecognized lexemes and lexemes in the corpus were 577 and 19,847 respectively. Thus, the completeness of the lexicon was 91.11% calculated by Formula 4.

\[
\text{Coverage} = \frac{N_{\text{unrecognized lexemes}}}{N_{\text{Total}}} \times 100\% \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Completeness} = \frac{N_{\text{unrecognized distinct lexemes}}}{N_{\text{Total distinct lexemes}}} \times 100\% \quad (4)
\]

Based on the manually annotated test set, we evaluated the coverage of word segmenter performance and syntax and semantics classification. Word segmentation and annotation regarding POS and semantics were conducted on the test set with the ICTCLAS. As a result, 4,006 lexemes were obtained excluding punctuations and Arabics by the automatic tagging process. Manually checking by one of the authors, the number of error segments caused by ICTCLAS was counted. Meanwhile, the number of lexemes with error POS tag or semantic tag was picked out. The accuracy of word segmentation, POS and semantics was calculated separately by Formula 5 and demonstrated in Table 2.

| Evaluate item       | Accuracy  |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Word Segmentation   | 96.03%    |
| POS                 | 88.09%    |
| Semantics           | 90.86%    |

Table 2: The evaluation result of the lexicon.

3.2 Lexical Characters in Chinese Clinical Documents

The semantic class of lexemes usage frequency (NF value) in different clinical departments was plotted in Fig. 1 using heatmap.2 function gplots package in R. It is apparent from the heat map that "body part", "time", "symptom" and "diagnosis" were the top four semantic classes. We can easily distinguish the mental health department from other departments as the "body part" was used in a relatively lower frequency. Some internal medicine department such as rheumatology, hematology and nephrology more interested in the lab test result discussion. The fluctuation of 22 POS categories in 5 typical document types in Fig. 2.A is basically consistent in general. However, there are observable
differences between semantic categories as showed in Fig. 2.B. For example, document type of informed consents has great differences compared with other types of clinical documents.

Fig. 1. Heat map of original NF value.

Fig. 2. Sublanguage (A) and POS (B) features of 5 document types in corpus.
Fig. 3. The POS proportion of Chinese clinical documents.

Fig. 4. The sublanguage proportion of Chinese clinical document.

We can also notice that large number of phrases related to "time" were used in discharge summaries, implying that these retrospective documents record many temporal information. Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 show the overall LoF proportion of semantic and POS types in the corpus. All the figures lead us to the conclusion that body part, symptom and diagnosis sublanguages account for the largest portion of Chinese clinical documents.

3.3 Co-occurrence patterns in Chinese Clinical Documents

Furthermore, more than 168,823 nonrepeating clauses were obtained in the corpus including total 565,630 clauses. To count the semantic patterns among these clauses, some frequently used co-occurrence patterns were summarized in Table 3. For each pattern, the example clause was highlighted with different font colors and styles to show corresponding semantic component. These co-occurrence patterns will lay a foundation to create sublanguage grammars for the Chinese medical language.

| Co-occurrence pattern                          | Sample                                                                 | Count |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Body Part +Irrelevant +Symptom                 | 心前区隆起无 uplift in precordium                                 | 12,740|
| Irrelevant +Symptom                            | 为白色粘痰 is white sticky sputum                                  | 8,588 |
| Body Part +Description                        | 颈部对称 the neck is symmetrical                                   | 7,679 |
| Irrelevant +Diagnosis                          | 可能是脑瘤 possibly suffer brain tumor                              | 4,877 |
| Body Part +Diagnosis                           | 颈椎肿瘤"Cervical Cancer" :                                       | 4,278 |
| Number +Body Part +Description +Symptom        | 双下肢轻度edema "two lower extremities mild edema"                | 3,161 |

Table 3: Top co-occurrence patterns in the corpus.

4 Discussion and Future Work

In this paper, through constructing a comprehensive medical semantic lexicon, the lexical characteristics of clinical documents both in semantics and syntactic level were analyzed separately. In addition, a number of the most frequent sublanguage co-occurrence patterns of Chinese clinical documents were discovered.

The quality of the lexicon constructed in this study is the major challenge of current analysis. As a mature and high-quality lexical resource such as UMLS will take years and cost millions of dollars to maintain. A Chinese counterpart is urgently needed and its value should be well recognized by governments and funding agencies.

Our future work includes improving the coverage and quality of the lexicon based on the corpus using more computer aided approaches. The accuracy of the automatic tagging process still has plenty of room to improve. Currently most of the errors were caused by ambiguous of semantic type or POS. But the results of this lexical analysis still provide much useful information to Chinese medical language researchers.

Lack accessibility of corpus is one of the obstacles for current Chinese medical language processing studies due to current regulation and privacy concerns. As the automatic de-identification methods already widely accepted in many countries, we will evaluate it in our corpus in the future. After that this annotated corpus will open to the community.
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