Two Cases of Inadvertent Dental Aerosol Exposure to COVID-19 Patients

Dear Editor,

Aerosol generating procedures (AGP) are routinely performed in dentistry. A large volume of aerosolised saliva can be generated when rotary air-driven dental handpieces, ultrasonic scalers, air polishers, air abrasion units and water jets are used in the operatory.1 A recent study has postulated that the risk of transmission through aerosolised saliva is possible as the presence of SARS-CoV-2 was detected in the saliva of COVID-19 patients.2 Therefore, the nosocomial transmission of COVID-19 is possible in dentistry and dental practitioners are considered to have a very high exposure risk according to Occupational Safety and Health Administration.3

Although contact and respiratory droplets such as aerosols (>5 μm) are the established modes of COVID-19 transmission, transmission via airborne droplets nuclei (<5 μm) cannot be completely ruled out.4,5 Therefore, apart from contact and droplet precautions, the World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends additional precautions for aerosol generating procedures.4 This entails the use of a fit-tested particulate respirator (N95 or equivalent, or higher level of protection) as part of an increased level of personal protective equipment (PPE).

As community transmission of COVID-19 continues, inadvertent aerosol exposure from infected patients can occur when providing routine dental care. To date, there is limited literature on the risk of nosocomial transmission in dentistry as COVID-19 is an emerging disease. There is also no consensus on whether dental practitioners are adequately protected when providing dental care using Standard Precautions. Herein, we report 2 cases of inadvertent aerosol exposure to COVID-19 patients in a dental setting without the use of a fit-tested particulate respirator and the clinical outcome of the dental teams following the exposure.

Case Presentation

Case 1

A male patient with no recent travel history to high-risk areas before the onset of COVID-19 symptoms had presented to a dental clinic on 2 occasions for dental treatment. During his dental visits, oral examination and scaling were carried out. On the day of his second dental visit, he presented to a hospital and was tested positive for COVID-19 infection. The dentist, a dental hygienist and a dental assistant who had come into close contact with the patient during the dental visits were quarantined for 14 days. This was because the dental team was inadvertently exposed to aerosol generating dental procedures without wearing fit-tested particulate respirator while treating a symptomatic patient who subsequently tested positive for COVID-19. They were wearing PPE, which included surgical masks and eye protection. None of the dental team members was infected after the exposure and quarantine period.

Case 2

A female patient with no recent travel history to high-risk areas before the onset of COVID-19 symptoms had presented to a dental clinic for dental treatment. Root canal treatment was performed during her dental visit. She had onset of sore throat and cough in the evening before the dental visit. She subsequently presented to a hospital and tested positive for COVID-19 infection. The dentist and three dental assistants who had come into close contact with the patient were quarantined for 14 days. This was because the dental team was inadvertently exposed to aerosol generating dental procedures without wearing fit-tested particulate respirator while treating a symptomatic patient who subsequently tested positive for COVID-19. They were wearing PPE, which included surgical masks and eye protection. None of the dental team members was infected after the exposure and quarantine period.

Discussion

In the 2 cases reported here, none of the dental team members contracted COVID-19 even though fit-tested particulate respirators were not used for aerosol generating dental procedures. This suggests that Standard Precautions currently practised by dental
practitioners may have provided substantial protection against COVID-19 transmission in dental settings. The routine use of procedural controls may have also helped to reduce the risk of transmission, but their exact significance cannot be evaluated. In a similar case report from Singapore, 41 medical staff managing a patient who was later found to be COVID-19 positive did not develop any infection of COVID-19. This was despite aerosol generating procedures being carried out on the patient, and only 15% of the medical staff were wearing N95 respirators. The other 85% were wearing surgical masks while treating the patient. The authors suggested that by wearing a surgical mask and observing precautions like hand hygiene, the risk of infection might not be significantly higher compared to wearing an N95 respirator when treating COVID-19 patients.

Current Standard Precautions measures in dentistry have been reported to be sufficient in preventing transmission of many infectious diseases, including influenza and rhinovirus. This was similarly observed in the SARS outbreak, where there were no reports of nosocomial transmission during dental care.

As COVID-19 is a new disease, there is a lack of data on whether dental practitioners are adequately protected when providing aerosol generating procedures while wearing surgical masks. Surgical masks are considered to be ineffective against transmission of airborne droplet nuclei pathogens. It has been demonstrated in in-vitro studies that surgical masks on average provide a 6-fold reduction in exposure to live aerosolised virus (<1.2 μm), whereas a properly fitted respirator can provide at least a 100-fold reduction. Despite such findings, most clinical studies are unable to find a clear benefit of fit-tested respirators over surgical masks against pathogens such as influenza and SARS. There are no similar studies available for SARS-CoV-2, and it remains a controversial topic. It is important to note that surgical masks and respirator use, although critical, are only part of a series of infection control measures.

During this COVID-19 pandemic, additional precautionary measures should be implemented in healthcare facilities, including dental practices, as a systemic approach to mitigate the risk of nosocomial transmission. These measures can be divided into preprocedural, procedural and postprocedural measures. Firstly, preprocedural measures which should be implemented include triaging of all patients, monitoring the health of staff, safe distancing and team segregation. Procedural measures include observing standard precautions, practising proper hand hygiene and donning PPE appropriate for the procedure. Lastly, postprocedural measures include proper equipment and surface decontamination, regular cleaning of common areas and proper handling of waste.

Triaging of patients for signs and symptoms of COVID-19 plays a significant role in determining if the patients are suspect cases. However, this process is not entirely infallible because part of the process depends on self-reporting of symptoms via questionnaires. Furthermore, recent studies have also suggested that presymptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19 patients can be infectious.

Most of the current guidelines have advised for an increased level of infection control measures when treating COVID-19 patients to prevent nosocomial infection in dentistry. It is prudent for dental practitioners to follow such guidelines. Despite the lack of complete information on an emerging disease, experts are able to draw references from previous respiratory infection pandemics to recommend a good risk management strategy which errs on the side of caution for infection control measures.

**Conclusion**

In summary, this article reported 2 cases of inadvertent aerosol exposure to COVID-19 patients in dental settings whereby nosocomial infection did not happen. It is important to note that surgical masks and respirator use, although critical, are only part of a series of infection control measures. We postulate that the summation of infection control measures practised by the dental practitioners may have provided substantial protection against COVID-19 transmission.
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