Invasive fungal infections in neutropenic enterocolitis: A systematic analysis of pathogens, incidence, treatment and mortality in adult patients
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Abstract

Background: Neutropenic enterocolitis is a life-threatening complication most frequently occurring after intensive chemotherapy in acute leukaemias. Gramnegative bacteria constitute the most important group of causative pathogens. Fungi have also been reported, but their practical relevance remains unclear. The guidelines do not address concrete treatment recommendations for fungal neutropenic enterocolitis.

Methods: Here, we conducted a metaanalysis to answer the questions: What are frequency and mortality of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis? Do frequencies and microbiological distribution of causative fungi support empirical antimycotic therapy? Do reported results of antimycotic therapy in documented fungal neutropenic enterocolitis help with the selection of appropriate drugs? Following a systematic search, we extracted and summarised all detail data from the complete literature.

Results: Among 186 articles describing patients with neutropenic enterocolitis, we found 29 reports describing 53 patients with causative fungal pathogens. We found no randomised controlled trial, no good quality cohort study and no good quality case control study on the role of antifungal treatment. The pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis was 6.2% calculated from all 860 reported patients and 3.4% calculated from selected representative studies only. In 94% of the patients, Candida spp. were involved. The pooled mortality rate was 81.8%. Most authors did not report or perform antifungal therapy.

Conclusion: In patients with neutropenic enterocolitis, fungal pathogens play a relevant, but secondary role compared to bacteria. Evidence concerning therapy is very poor, but epidemiological data from this study may provide helpful clues to select empiric antifungal therapy in neutropenic enterocolitis.
Background
Neutropenic enterocolitis is the most important and a highly life-threatening abdominal infection in neutropenic patients. This complication most frequently occurs after intensive chemotherapy in acute leukaemias. The pooled incidence, derived from all available studies, was calculated to be 5.3% in patients hospitalised for haematological malignancies, for high-dose chemotherapy in solid tumors or for aplastic anaemia [1]. The literature provides generally poor evidence on the treatment of neutropenic enterocolitis.

In a previous systematic review, we could not find prospective trials nor case control studies on any part of therapy [1]. The most intensively discussed therapeutic question in the past decades is whether patients with neutropenic enterocolitis should receive conservative or surgical therapy. In contrast, the differential options of medical management consume much lesser space in the discussion sections. Gram negative bacteria constitute the most important group of causative pathogens [2-5]. There is a consensus that immediate empirical broad spectrum antibiotic therapy is mandatory, but it is unclear which antibiotic is the drug of choice for empirical therapy. After all, application of a modified recommendation of the current IDSA guidelines for the treatment of neutropenic patients suffering from neutropenic enterocolitis.

The role of fungi as causative organisms is even more obscure. In conventional reviews on neutropenic enterocolitis, sometimes citations concerning detection of Candida spp. in blood cultures can be found [7-9]. In case series and cohort studies, frequencies of causative fungi are either not given or differ largely from 0% up to more than 19% in autopsy studies [10]. In a paediatric study, 16% of the organisms, cultured premortem from blood, were fungi. Moreover, in this report fungal pathogens accounted for 53% of new microorganisms seen at autopsy [11]. Mortality has been reported to be up to 100% in patients with fungaemia [2]. The latter studies suggest a high relevance of fungal pathogens. Changes in the intestinal flora, due to frequent use of broad spectrum antibiotics in neutropenic patients, might support fungal invaders. Surprisingly, the need for an empirical antifungal therapy is nearly never discussed in reports describing patients with fungal neutropenic enterocolitis. Similarly, guidelines give no clear recommendations for the management of these patients. IDSA guidelines for the use of antimicrobial agents in neutropenic patients with cancer [6], generally, make very few recommendations concerning abdominal infections. Particularly, no statement regarding the indication for antifungal therapy in neutropenic enterocolitis is given. The use of empirical antifungal therapy is not recommended as a necessary component of the first-line antimicrobial therapy of neutropenic patient with unexplained fever. Hughes et al. state that, although clinicians disagree as to when, and even if, amphotericin B therapy should be introduced empirically, most believe that the patient who remains febrile and profoundly neutropenic for >5 days, despite the administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics in adequate dosages, is a candidate for antifungal therapy.

On the other hand, there are clinically documented infections in neutropenia in which first line empirical antifungal therapy can be regarded as standard. The guidelines of the German Society of Haematology and Oncology recommend that in febrile neutropenic patients with severe neutropaenia (i.e. lasting for more than 10 days) and lung infiltrates, initial antimicrobial therapy should include amphotericin B [12]. The markedly poorer prognosis of both neutropenic enterocolitis [1] and pneumonia [13] compared to fever of unknown origin (FUO) might suggest that early antifungal could be also beneficial for patients suffering from neutropenic enterocolitis.

For these reasons, we conducted this analysis. Following a systematic search, we extracted and summarised all detail data (if possible, single patient data) from the complete literature to enhance evidence regarding the question whether a routine empirical antifungal therapy should be administered as a parallel with broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy.

Methods
The following clinical questions were posed in this systematic review: What is the frequency of invasive fungal infections in neutropenic enterocolitis? What is the mortality of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis? Does the frequency and the microbiological distribution of invasive fungal infections support empirical antifungal therapy? Do reported results of antifungal therapy in documented fungal neutropenic enterocolitis help with the selection of appropriate drugs?

Identification and selection of relevant reports
We performed a computerised search of the MEDLINE database (PubMed version) for appropriate articles, published from 1953 (including Oldmedline) through April 2005, in any language. The keywords used were "neutropenic enterocolitis" (MeSH search term), "neutropenic colitis" and "typhilitis and (neutrop* or granulocyt*)". Subsequently, reference lists of all identified reports, studies and reviews in the field were screened. We excluded articles describing paediatric patients, describing Clostridium difficile colitis only, not dealing with neutropenic enterocolitis or duplicate publications. We reviewed the titles and abstracts of all potentially pertinent articles for inclusion. In articles with unclear relevance and in all
included articles full text versions were checked. Concerning definition of neutropenic enterocolitis and grading of evidence we refer to our previous study [1]. Studies, describing typhlitis or necrotising enterocolitis during neutropenia, which we considered as sub-entities of neutropenic enterocolitis were included.

**Data extraction**
The data were extracted independently by at least two researchers. Discrepancies were solved by consensus discussion including a third researcher. From articles that met inclusion criteria, individual patient data concerning fungal pathogens were extracted. The frequencies of fungal pathogens were analysed and the findings were summarised. In some studies, several patients with fungal neutropenic enterocolitis are described, but the exact number is not determinable. In such circumstances, the minimum number of patients was included in our analysis. These studies were not included in the calculation of the pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis from representative studies (see below).

**Definition of proven fungal infection**
Only proven invasive fungal bowel infections were analysed. The definitions adhered to the criteria of Ascione et al. [14]. A fungal infection was considered invasive when blood or ascites cultures yielded yeasts with temporally related clinical signs or when fungi could be detected microscopically inside the bowel wall with evidence of associated tissue damage (including ulceration). Detection of fungi in cultures of stool or bowel specimens at autopsy was not considered as proof of invasive fungal infection. Probable or possible fungal infections were not analysed, due to the very heterogeneous reporting of detail data, especially concerning indirect criteria for suspicious patients.

**Further definitions**
Laparotomy with no resection was not considered as surgical therapy. The pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis among patients with neutropenic enterocolitis was calculated with two methods: (1) The "pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis from all reported patients" was calculated by division of the sum of all patients with fungal neutropenic enterocolitis from all relevant studies by the sum of all reported patients with neutropenic enterocolitis. (2) The "pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis from representative studies" was calculated by division of the sum of all patients with fungal neutropenic enterocolitis from representative studies by all patients with neutropenic enterocolitis in these studies. Exclusion criteria for these representative studies in this sense were: (a) Studies from the perspective of pathologists (autopsy studies), (b) studies from the perspective of surgeons, (c) studies in which reporting of microbiological data (analysis of pathogens) was insufficient (most radiological studies) and (d) studies describing less than 4 patients with neutropenic enterocolitis.

**Statistics**
95%-confidence intervals for proportions (Wilson method) were calculated with the statistical package CIA confidence interval analysis from the book "Statistics with Confidence" 2nd ed. (Eds: Altman, Machin, Bryant and Gardner) [15]. Differences between categorical variables were tested with univariate $\chi^2$-tests.

**Results**
A MEDLINE search, as of 15 March 2005, with the search terms "neutropenic enterocolitis or neutropenic colitis" and "typhlitis and (neutrop* or granulocyt*)" yielded 286 articles. This represented an extension to a previously reported search strategy [1]. After individual review of these titles and abstracts, we excluded 87 articles describing paediatric patients, five articles describing Clostridium difficile colitis and 55 other articles, not dealing with neutropenic enterocolitis, reviews without primary data or duplicate publications. After this selection, 139 articles were remaining. Additional hand search in reference lists of these reports and reviews revealed further 47 relevant articles, whose reference lists were also screened. Finally a total of 186 articles were found to be relevant and their full text versions were studied. Among these we found, again, no study on neutropenic enterocolitis that fulfilled the criteria for evidence levels 1a to 3b according to Phillips et al. [16]. We found no systematic review, no randomised controlled trial, no good quality cohort study and no good quality case control study on any part of therapy, particularly, on the role of antifungal treatment. Eighty-four reports of evidence level 4 (case series or poor quality cohort or poor quality case control studies) were detected. One-hundred and two reports of evidence level 5 (expert opinion) were found.

**Studies reporting of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis**
In 29 of 186 relevant papers, a total of 53 patients suffering from neutropenic enterocolitis associated with proven invasive fungal infection were reported (Table 1). In 22 of these cases, fungi were detected in blood cultures. In 26 patients, fungi were observed histologically in the bowel wall and in 4 patients, fungi were detected in both types of material. No case of detection of fungi in the ascitic fluid, but one case of isolation of Candida albicans in a peritoneal lavage was reported. Underlying diseases of these 53 patients included acute myeloid leukaemia (21 pts.), acute lymphatic leukaemia (3 pts.), acute leukaemia not specified (7 pts.), lymphoma (2 pts.), multiple myeloma (1 pt.), haematological malignancies not specified (8 pts.), malignancies not specified but probably haematological (8 pts.), non-small cell lung cancer (1 pt.) and...
**Table 1: Studies reporting patients with fungal neutropenic enterocolitis**

| Study | Study type | No. pts. with fungal NE | Disease | OP? | Outcome | Spec. | Pathogen | Additional study details |
|-------|------------|-------------------------|---------|-----|---------|-------|----------|--------------------------|
| [45]  | CR         | 1                       | AML     | OP  | survived | Histology | Candida spp. + grampositive cocci | CR providing sufficient details |
| [46]  | CS2C       | 1                       | ALL     | OP  | survived | Blood culture + histology | Candida spp. | CR providing sufficient details, 1 case of IFI among 2 cases of NE |
| [47]  | PCS        | 1                       | HMNS    | n.s. | dead     | Blood culture | Candida spp. | Early detailed autopsy series (69 pts), 1 confirmed fungaemia, 3 questionable cases of IFI |
| [48]  | MCS        | 4 (or more)             | AML     | n.s. | n.s.    | Blood culture | Candida spp. | Accurate retrospective study of D-Xylose malabsorption in 10 AML patients. Malabsorption was associated with candidemia but no. of patients with this combination was not completely clear |
| [22]  | CR         | 1                       | AML     | NOP | survived | Blood culture | Candida albicans + P. aeruginosa | CR providing sufficient details |
| [19]  | MCS        | 5                       | AL      | n.s. | dead     | Histology | Candida albicans 3 pts Candida glabrata 1 pt Aspergillus fumigatus 1 pt | Large retrospective study. Important description of bowel wall thickening as negative prognostic factor in 88 pat. with NE. Limitation: Blood culture results are not reported. CS of 3 pat. with NE (1*fungaemia) among 18 pat. with abdominal complications. Few patient details. Pat. were not consecutive. |
| [49]  | CS3C       | 1                       | HMNS    | OP  | survived | Blood culture | Fungus n.s. | CS of 3 pat. with NE (1*fungaemia) among 18 pat. with abdominal complications. Few patient details. Pat. were not consecutive. |
| [50]  | CS2C       | 1                       | AML     | OP  | survived | Blood culture | Candida spp. | CR providing sufficient details |
| [10]  | PCS        | 5 (or more)             | MNS     | n.s. | dead     | Blood culture / histology | Candida tropicalis 2 pts Candida albicans 1 pt Candida spp. 2 pts | Interesting autopsy series describing heterogeneity in the pathologic features of NE. Microbiologic data are not clearly linked to individual patients. No. of pat with IFI was not exactly described. |
| [51]  | SCS        | 1                       | AA      | OP  | Dead     | Histology | Candida spp. + bacteria | Accurate CS of 8 pat. with NE (1*IFI). Pat. were not consecutive. |
| [52]  | CS2C       | 1                       | AA      | NOP | Dead     | Histology | Candida spp. | CR providing sufficient details |
| [53]  | CS3C       | 1                       | HNHL    | OP  | survived | Histology | Candida spp. | Larger CS of 3 pat. with NE (1*IFI) among 56 pat. with abdominal complications necessitating surgery. Pat. were not consecutive. |
| [27]  | MCS        | 1                       | HMNS    | NOP | n.s.    | Blood culture | Candida glabrata + Enterococcus spp. | Cohort study comparing 18 definite and 11 clinically diagnosed consecutive cases of NE. Substantial review. |
| [4]   | MCS        | 2                       | AML     | NOP | dead     | Blood culture / histology | Aspergillus fumigatus 1 pt Candida krusei | Cohort study describing 13 consecutive cases of NE. |
| [54]  | CS3C       | 1                       | AML     | NOP | Dead     | Histology | Cryptococcus neoformans | CR providing sufficient details of an uncommon course and pathogen |
| [17]  | MCS        | 1                       | ALL     | n.s. | Dead     | Blood culture | Candida spp. (+Aspergillus ?) | Cohort study describing 13 consecutive cases of NE. |
| Study | Method | Patients | Source | Outcome | Microbiology | Notes |
|-------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--------------|-------|
| [55] CS2C | CS | 1 | MM | OP | Dead | Blood culture | Candida tropicalis + Staph. aureus + E. coli | CR providing sufficient details, 1 case of IFI among 2 cases of NE |
| [21] CR | CR | 1 | AML | NOP | Dead | Blood culture | Candida krusei | CR providing sufficient details |
| [20] MCS | MCS | 5 | AML | NOP | Dead | Blood culture | Candida spp. 4 pts | Cohort study describing 10 consecutive cases of NE. |
| [56] MCS | MCS | 2 | AL | OP | Dead | Histology | Candida spp. | Case series describing 10 consecutive cases of NE. Patients were consecutive, but only patients with histologic confirmation were analysed. |
| [29] MCS | MCS | 1 | AML | n.s. | survived | Blood culture | Candida albicans | Cohort study describing 7 consecutive cases of NE. |
| [57] MCS | MCS | 4 | HMNS | n.s. | Dead | Histology | Candida spp. | Early large autopsy series. Relatively few individual patient data provided. |
| [58] CR | CR | 1 | NSCLC | OP | Dead | Peritoneal lavage | Candida albicans | CR providing sufficient details |
| [59] CR | CR | 1 | AML | OP | survived | Histology | Fungus n.s. + bacteria n.s. | Short but sufficiently detailed case report embedded in a review emphasizing nursing actions |
| [2] SCS | SCS | 3 | MNS | NOP | Dead | Blood culture | Candida spp. | CS including 18 pat. with clinically diagnosed NE (3 fungaemia) among 58 pat. with abdominal complications. Few patient details. Pat. were not consecutive. |
| [60] PCS | PCS | 2 | AML | NOP | Dead | Histology | Candida spp. | Early smaller (6 patients with NE) but detailed autopsy case series. |
| [61] RCS | RCS | 2 | AML | OP | Dead | Histology + Blood culture | Candida spp. 1 pt | Smaller (6 patients with NE) but detailed radiological case series. |
| [62] MCS | MCS | 1 or more | HMNS | NOP | Dead | Histology | Candida spp. 1 pt | Cohort study describing 34 consecutive cases of NE. No. of pat with IFI was not exactly described. |
| [28] SCS | SCS | 1 | LY | NOP | Dead | Histology | Candida spp. | Case series describing 22 patients with NE. Pat. were not consecutive. Relatively few individual microbiological data provided. Very good review. |

Total 29

53

ns, not specified; NE, neutropenic enterocolitis; IFI, invasive fungal infection; AML, acute myeloid leukaemia; ALL, acute lymphatic leukemia; MM, multiple myeloma; AL, acute leukaemia; CL, chronic leukaemias; MNS, malignancy not specified; HMNS, haematological malignancy not specified; LY, lymphoma; NCCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; pts patients; OP, operated; NOP, not operated; CR, case report; CS3C, case series with 3 cases; MCS, medical case series; PCS, pathological case series; RCS, radiological case series; SCS, surgical case series
aplastic anaemia (2 pts.). In 20 of 29 articles, histopathological evidence, which we still consider as the gold standard, confirmed the diagnosis of neutropenic enterocolitis. In 3 articles a clinical diagnosis fulfilling our previously suggested criteria [1] was established. In 6 articles, authors provided several symptom criteria (e.g. abdominal pain), but did not specify whether one criterion or specific combinations was sufficient for diagnosis and whether radiological confirmation was obligatory or not.

**Causative pathogens**

In 3 patients, invasive fungal infection with no further specification of the pathogen was diagnosed. In 94% (47/50) of the remaining patients, Candida spp. were involved. In 32 patients, the pathogens were reported as *Candida* spp. without a species description. In one of these patients, the blood culture contained also *Aspergillus* spp. [17]. However, a single detection of *Aspergillus* spp. in blood cultures is commonly not considered as proof of an invasive fungal infection due to the risk of false-positive results by environmental contamination [14,18]. In 18 patients, a species description of the fungal pathogen was provided: *Candida albicans* (7 pat.), *Aspergillus fumigatus* (2 pat.), *Candida tropicalis* (3 pat.), *Candida glabrata* (2 pat.), *Candida krusei* (2 pt.), *Cryptococcus neoformans* (1 pt.). *Candida guilliermondii + Trichosporon beigelii* in the same blood culture (1 pt.). In 10 patients, it was not clearly reported whether also bacteria were involved in the infection. In 30.2% (13/43) of the remaining patients, equally causative cocci were observed either in blood cultures or in the bowel wall (species not specified (8 pts.), gram-positive bacteria were observed either in blood cultures or in blood of neutropenic enterocolitis. Unfortunately, even a change to amphotericin B was not successful [21].

In 9 of the 53 patients, it was unclear whether they survived or died in the course of infection. From the remaining 44 patients, 36 died and the pooled mortality rate was calculated to be 81.8% 36/44 pts., 95%-CI: 68–91 %). Seven of them had been operated on. Thirteen patients were treated conservatively. In 16 patients the type of treatment was not specified. In 4 of the 8 survivors, antifungal therapy was not described. Three patients received antifungal treatment, which was not specified. One patient received fluconazole empirically, which was changed in the course to amphotericin B [22]. Three patients were described to recover early from neutropenia, in the other 5, no data concerning recovery of haematopoiesis were provided.

**Calculation of pooled frequency rates**

The pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis among patients with neutropenic enterocolitis was calculated with two methods. Both have advantages and disadvantages:

1. The pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis from all reported patients was calculated to be 6.2% (53/860 95%-CI: 4.7–8.0%). This method includes all available information, but is limited by multiple selection...
biases (selection of more severe autopsied or operated cases).

2. The pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis from 12 representative studies (Table 2) was calculated to be 3.4% (5/146; 95%-CI: 1.5–7.8%). This method includes only a smaller part of available information, but selection bias is reduced as much as possible. However, fungal neutropenic enterocolitis may be underestimated, since only few histologic specimens were included and the sensitivity of the diagnostic methods is limited.

### Table 2: Representative studies included for calculation of pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis

| Study  | Study type          | Underlying diseases | No. FNE | No. NE | Additional study details                                                                 |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| [5]    | Retrospective MCS   | AL and CL           | 0       | 20     | Detailed cohort study describing 20 consecutive patients with NE                          |
| [27]   | Retrospective MCS   | Mostly AL (some solid tumors) | 1       | 29     | Cohort study comparing 18 definite and 11 clinically diagnosed consecutive cases of NE.   |
| [4]    | Retrospective MCS   | AL Some other abdominal infections in other neutropenic pts. are described | 2       | 13     | Cohort study describing 13 consecutive cases of NE.                                       |
| [23]   | Prospective diagnostic study | AL | 0       | 4      | Relatively small but prospective ultrasound -based diagnostic study applying exactly defined diagnostic criteria |
| [63]   | Retrospective MCS   | AML                 | 0       | 10     | Cohort study describing 10 consecutive cases of NE.                                       |
| [64]   | Retrospective MCS   | AL                  | 0       | 9      | Detailed cohort study describing 9 consecutive patients with NE                          |
| [65]   | Retrospective MCS   | Metastatic breast cancer receiving docetaxel-based chemotherapy | 0       | 4      | Small cohort study in taxane-exposed patients. Relatively brief, but sufficient data.      |
| [17]   | Retrospective MCS   | AL                  | 1       | 13     | Cohort study describing 13 consecutive cases of NE.                                       |
| [66]   | Retrospective MCS   | Cancer pts receiving taxane-based chemotherapy | 0       | 5      | Cohort study describing few consecutive patients with NE among 4600 courses of taxane-based therapy. Relatively brief, but sufficient data. |
| [18]   | Retrospective MCS   | AML                 | 0       | 12     | Detailed cohort study describing 12 consecutive patients with NE within an analysis of all infections in pat. receiving chemotherapy |
| [29]   | Retrospective MCS   | AML                 | 1       | 7      | Cohort study describing 7 consecutive cases of NE.                                       |
| [3]    | Retrospective SCS reporting of consecutive patients | AL and some pts with CL | 0       | 20     | The only surgical cohort study describing consecutive patients. Relatively brief, but sufficient data. |

**FNE, fungal neutropenic enterocolitis; ns, not specified; NE, neutropenic enterocolitis; AML, acute myeloid leukaemia; AL, acute leukaemia; CL chronic leukemias; pts, patient; MCS, medical case series; SCS, surgical case series**

### Discussion

This is the first analysis on the relevance of fungal pathogens in neutropenic enterocolitis of adults, based on a systematic analysis of the literature (including our own primary data). The approach was to extract the complete detail data from the studies and to increase evidence by pooling analyses. The maximum number of clearly described patients in a single study was 5, which is to low to perform conclusive statistics concerning frequency, mortality, spectrum of causative species or therapy. A discussion about this question is lacking in the literature, especially in contrast to the extensive debate concerning the role of surgery.

Our study revealed several important results: (1) We found that a total number of 53 patients with fungal neutropenic enterocolitis in adults was described in 29 papers. (2) The pooled frequency of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis was 6.2% calculated from all reported 860 patients and 3.4% calculated from representative studies only. (3) In 94% of the patients *Candida* spp. were...
The frequencies of invasive fungal infections in neutropenic enterocolitis were lower than expected. In our own patients from two studies, we observed fungal neutropenic enterocolitis in 11.8% (2/17) [4,23]. Autopsy studies reported frequencies up to more than 19% [10]. However, several selection and detection biases of these methods of frequency calculation have to be considered. On one hand, fungal neutropenic enterocolitis is probably underestimated, since histology, as the most specific diagnostic method, is rarely obtained in living patients. On the other hand, patients with more severe courses may be selected because of the inclusion of surgical or pathological studies that did not describe consecutive patients. Furthermore, the diagnostic criteria in studies concerning neutropenic enterocolitis are generally heterogeneous. However, in most reported cases of invasive fungal infection, neutropenic enterocolitis was confirmed histopathologically (Table 1).

Fungal infections other than Candida spp., particularly Aspergillus fumigatus, are rare exceptions. Unfortunately, only in a minority of reported cases, a species confirmation is available. Among these patients, Candida albicans occurred most frequently, but in some patients, fluconazole-resistant species such as Candida glabrata and Candida krusei have been observed. In approximately half of the patients, diagnosis of invasive fungal infection is established by blood culture and in the other half by histology. Bacteria are clearly the most important group of pathogens in neutropenic enterocolitis. Rates of bacteraemia between 34% [27] and 82% [28] have been reported in larger series. In most patients, development of neutropenic enterocolitis is probably multifactorial. In our analysis, the term "causative pathogen" does not mean "exclusively causative". We recognize that mostly secondary infection of injured mucosa is responsible for deterioration, complications and poorer outcome of the patients. Furthermore, from a clinical and practical point of view, the treatable factor infection and not hardly treatable factors such as toxic damage should be stressed.

The mortality of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis was very high (81.8%). This pooled mortality rate may be biased and slightly overestimated due to inclusion of 8 patients from autopsy case series. Less severe cases may be moderately underrepresented. However, it must be emphasised that the course of fungal neutropenic enterocolitis is not invariably fatal. Eight patients survived and the description of a 100% mortality rate reported by Starnes et al. and cited by others [2,29] can not be confirmed. It was striking, that in the majority of cases with fungal neutropenic enterocolitis, authors did not report or discuss the use of antifungal therapy. One might speculate that this obviously low grade of consideration of antifungal therapy in neutropenic enterocolitis might have contributed to the high mortality rate.

Infections from Aspergillus spp. are very rare exceptions (despite observation of two patients including one with jejunal ulceration by Aspergillus in one of our studies [4]). This rarity may be explained by the fact that Aspergillus infections are typically acquired by inhalation and invasive growth in the respiratory tract.

Evidence concerning empirical antifungal therapy of neutropenic enterocolitis is still very limited. We found that neither prospective nor high quality retrospective studies concerning this issue in neutropenic enterocolitis are available. In 33 patients with fungal neutropenic enterocolitis, in reports of evidence levels 4 and 5, it was not described that any antifungal therapy was given. In 20 patients with proven fungal neutropenic enterocolitis more or less precise information regarding empirical or microbiologically induced antifungal therapy was provided. Only in two of these patients empirical antifungal therapy (fluconazole) was clearly reported [21,22]. Many other patients probably received antifungals only after detection of fungaemia. Unfortunately, patient numbers and data quality are not sufficient to analyse, statistically, the success of antifungal therapies, especially empirical use. Our analysis is limited by lack of additional individual information from the authors on antifungal therapy.

Possibly, many authors see no need for empirical antifungal therapy. Only few authors of the relevant studies recommended empirical antifungal therapy [20,24,30]. Girmenia et al. suggested a semi-empirical selective therapy with fluconazole based on the detection of Candida mannanproteinemia [24]. Micozzi et al. pointed out that empirical administration of fluconazole in all febrile patients could represent a way to prevent neutropenic enterocolitis [20]. Wach recommended that conservative therapy of neutropenic enterocolitis should include antifungal drugs but did not provide more specific recommendations [30]. D’Amato et al. stated that antifungal treatment should be considered [31].

In the NCCN practice guidelines for fever and neutropenia "consideration of antifungal coverage" is recommended for febrile neutropenic patients with abdominal pain or diarrhea [32]. In the IDSA guidelines for the use of antimicrobial agents in neutropenic patients with cancer, no specific recommendation concerning fungal neutropenic enterocolitis is provided [6]. However, one might have the opinion that these patients fall within the scope...
of the sections of these IDSA guidelines referring to the treatment of neutropenic patients with unexplained fever. They state that, although clinicians disagree as to when, and even if, amphotericin B therapy should be introduced empirically, most believe that the patient who remains febrile and profoundly neutropenic for \(>5\) days despite the administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics in adequate dosages, is a candidate for antifungal therapy [6].

So, should empirical antifungal therapy in neutropenic enterocolitis be recommended? If the answer is yes, when should antifungal therapy be started? Which drugs should be used? We believe that application of the above recommendations for FUO to our subgroup of patients is justified on principle. All patients who remain febrile and profoundly neutropenic for \(>5\) days including those with neutropenic enterocolitis should receive amphotericin B empirically. Some patients, who are already febrile several days before clinical signs of neutropenic enterocolitis develop, will receive amphotericin B earlier as \(6\) days after diagnosis of neutropenic enterocolitis.

The question whether the frequency and the microbiological distribution of invasive fungal infections support immediate empirical antifungal therapy cannot be answered clearly from the literature data. If the moderately low frequency of fungal pathogens is taken into consideration, clinicians might not be willing to start empirical antifungal therapy with amphotericin B only based on the diagnosis of neutropenic enterocolitis, immediately on the first day of fever. Therefore, an earlier start of empirical antifungal therapy (like in patients with pulmonary infiltrates) would not be preferred by many.

However, some arguments could be made in favour of an empirical use of fluconazole in neutropenic patients with enterocolitis: (1) The drug has a low toxicity. (2) Candida albicans is the leading fungal pathogen. (3) Patients with neutropenic enterocolitis and a positive Candida mannanprotein test treated with fluconazole have been shown to have a good prognosis [24]. (4) Fluconazole is an effective drug in clinically stable, non-neutropenic patients with candidaemia [33].

However, fluconazole is not a safe choice for treating non-albicans candidaemia, especially when Candida krusei (primary resistance) or Candida glabrata (dose-dependent sensitivity) have been identified [34]. Many epidemiological studies indicate that the proportion of non-albicans Candida species is clearly increasing and around \(50-60\%\) [35-37]. Also, the empirical use of fluconazole must be restricted to patients who did not receive azoles as antifungal prophylaxis, since no improvement of antymycotic coverage by fluconazole can be expected. Currently, a major part of patients will be pretreated with itraconazole. Our own meta-analysis suggests such an antymycotic prophylaxis in long term neutropenic patients [38], although this practice is still a matter of debate [39] and although its impact on the incidence of neutropenic enterocolitis is unclear. Furthermore – and this a very strong argument against fluconazole for neutropenic enterocolitis – many patients suffer from multiple infections or are at least at high risk to develop a mould infection which would not be covered by fluconazole. All substances that have demonstrated efficacy in valid clinical trials of empirical antifungal therapy for FUO are active against Aspergillus spp. like liposomal amphotericin B [40], itraconazole [41] and caspofungin [42].

Unfortunately, no data are available on the use of itraconazole or other novel antifungal agents as well as voriconazole and caspofungin, in patients with proven fungal neutropenic enterocolitis nor on the empirical use of these agents in this entity. A prospective multicenter study comparing empirical therapies, antibacterial agent vs. the same antibacterial agent plus antymycotic, is warranted.

In our opinion, in patients with evidence of invasive fungal neutropenic enterocolitis (in most cases by detection of candidaemia) administration of amphotericin B, caspofungin or voriconazole are appropriate choices. However, in contrast to amphotericin B [4,22], we found no paper reporting experiences with caspofungin or voriconazole in fungal neutropenic enterocolitis, but only encouraging results from candidaemia trials [43,44]. Of these latter drugs, caspofungin is indicated for patients with renal dysfunction.

Conclusion

In patients with neutropenic enterocolitis, fungal pathogens play a relevant, but secondary role compared to bacteria. The frequency of invasive fungal neutropenic enterocolitis is probably around \(5\%\) and its mortality around \(70-80\%\). The frequency may be underestimated, since only few histological specimens are obtained in living patients and the sensitivity of the diagnostic methods is limited. Early empirical antifungal therapy may be therefore considered in neutropenic enterocolitis. However, evidence concerning therapy is very poor, since most authors did not report or apply antifungal therapy. A clear recommendation for empirical antifungal therapy outside the context of prolonged fever can not be made.
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