ABSTRACT

Objective: to evaluate the Quality of Life at Work of prison agents in Ceará. Methodology: cross-sectional and descriptive study, with a quantitative approach. The data collection took place in August and September 2018, using an electronic questionnaire with sociodemographic variables and the Quality of Life at Work Questionnaire (QWQL-Bref). The sampling considered the snowball technique and the data were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee from the Centro Universitário Católico de Quixadá, under protocol number 2.719.401. Results: 57 prison officers participated, predominantly men, aged between 31 and 43 years, married, with complete higher education, who worked in the profession from one to five years, with 40 to 48 hours of work per week. The quality of life at work was classified as neutral, with the personal domain being the only one with a satisfactory mean and the professional domain with the lowest neutral average obtained. Conclusion: the quality of life at work was considered neutral, but the warning signals for the decrease in the quality of life at work cannot be underestimated. The importance of promoting actions to improve working conditions and their implications for the physical and mental health of these individuals is highlighted.

Descriptors: Prisons. Quality of life. Work. Nursing.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: evaluar la Calidad de Vida en el Trabajo de los agentes penitenciarios cearenses. Metodología: estudio transversal e descritivo, con análisis cuantitativo. La coleta ocurrió en los meses de agosto e setembro de 2018, utilizando un cuestionario electrónico con datos sociodemográficos y a través del Quality of Working Life Questionnaire (QWQL-Bref). El muestreo se realizó mediante bola de nieve y para el análisis de datos se utilizó la estadística descritiva. La investigación obtuvo la aprobación del Comité de Ética en Investigación del Centro Universitario Católico de Quixadá, bajo el dictamen 2.719.401. Resultados: participaron 57 agentes penitenciarios y se detectó el predominio de hombres, con edades entre 31 y 43 años, casados, con estudios superiores completos, uno a cinco años de ejercicio profesional, jornada laboral de 40 a 48 horas semanales. La calidad de vida en el trabajo fue clasificada como neutra, siendo el dominio personal el único con promedio satisfactorio mientras que el dominio profesional arrojó el promedio neutral más bajo. Conclusión: la calidad de vida en el trabajo se consideró neutra, sin embargo, no deben subestimarse los factores de alerta para la disminución de la calidad de vida en el trabajo. Se destaca la importancia de impulsar acciones para mejorar las condiciones de trabajo y sus implicaciones para la salud física y mental de estos sujetos.

Descriptors: Prisiones. Calidad de vida. Trabajo. Enfermería.
INTRODUCTION

Penitentiary agents are responsible for maintaining the surveillance, custody and discipline of people in prisons, as well as for keeping the environment organized and peaceful. In addition, they perform external actions such as armed escorts that transport prisoners to hearings and hospital care.⁵⁷ Although, this profession is involved in the preservation of public order, the stigma associated with the work institution and the high level of stress to which they are submitted undermine the social interaction of these individuals, which can lead to the development of diseases.⁵⁷

Penitentiary agent is considered the second most dangerous profession in the world, as it simultaneously presents the risks of unhealthy and dangerous situations.⁶ Still, the professionals live with high loads of stress and risks, in addition to presenting a complex routine with many responsibilities. The interaction of all these factors can compromise the physical and mental health of these individuals.⁴⁵

Furthermore, these professionals are seen by inmates as responsible for their state of confinement, as they are the institutional representation of what oppresses them.⁴³ This sometimes creates situations of conflict between the two groups, placing agents under constant risk, especially in the state of Ceará, where the public security problems associated with criminal organizations are disturbing and frequently reported in the media. .

In this context, the quality of life of the professional at work would have the aim of guaranteeing the improvement of working conditions, seeking to promote the individual's safety, health and well-being, both at the institutional level, as well as at the personal and social level. This quality of life refers to the self-perception of individuals regarding the satisfaction or not of their daily life needs, considering the opportunities for achieving happiness and self-realization, which are made available through independence, whether physical, economic or social.⁵³

Quality of life has been studied in several areas of knowledge, including health sciences. The holistic view of man as a biopsychosocial being became much more visible with the expanded concept of health, and in view of that, quality of life and health are terms that are continuously connected. Thus, the assessment of quality of life, in different contexts, such as at work, becomes important for promoting the health of individuals and as an instrument for the study of nursing.⁶⁴

The identification of the possible factors that may compromise the quality of life at work for prison officers is relevant to subsidize measures for protection, prevention, and health promotion. In addition, it is the responsibility of health professionals, including the nurse, to analyze the factors that may interfere in the health of workers. Nurses, using health education actions, become agents of transformation, by providing an improvement in the quality of life at work for correctional officers, acting in the prevention of damages resulting from exposure to occupational risks and in health promotion.⁶⁷ Considering the importance of these professionals within the prison environment, it is necessary to analyze the reflexes of their work in their quality of life and health. Thus, this study aimed to assess the quality of life at work of prison agents in Ceará.

METODOLOGIA

This is a cross-sectional, descriptive study with quantitative analysis, carried out within the penitentiary system from Ceará, with penitentiary agents, from August to September 2018.

According to data from 2018, from the Ceará State Department of Justice and Citizenship (SEJUS), during the period of data collection, there were a period of 2.155 prison officers, operating in 148 prison units.⁶⁴ As a criteria for inclusion in the research, the participant should be a correctional officer linked to the State of Ceará and have the WhatsApp application installed to access the survey questionnaire. Exclusion criteria were not applied.

The non-probabilistic snowball sampling method was used, where one participant indicates the next. This method was chosen due to the difficult access and fear of the population to participate in scientific researches. Thus, the invitation to participate and the Free and Informed Consent Term (TCLE) were passed on by WhatsApp application groups, after the necessary ethical and legal authorizations. Therefore, participation was voluntary by adherence, since all prison officers in the State of Ceará, who were given the link to access the electronic form, were invited to participate in the survey. However, only 2.6% of the total population adhered to participation in this study, signing the consent form.

The electronic data collection form was created on Google forms containing a sociodemographic questionnaire created by the authors, with the variables: age group, gender, marital status, education, number of children, weekly work hours, period in which they work as agents, and whether they had another job. The Quality of Working Life Questionnaire (QWQL-Bref), derived from the QWLQ-78, was also used. Its reliability is higher than the original scale (Cronbach’s alpha of 0.9035) to assess quality of life at work. The QWQL-Bref is composed of 20 questions, four of which address the physical / health domain, three the psychological domain, four the personal domain, and nine the professional domain. Each question has a Likert-type scale, ranging from 1 (most negative answer) to 5 (most positive answer) .⁶⁹

To evaluate the results of the QWQL-bref, the classification presented in Chart 1 was used. This classification is used to assess both the individual issues and the domains and the overall quality of life at work index.

After data collection, the variables were displayed in the Excel program, where the absolute and relative frequencies were checked. To calculate the QWLQ-bref scores, algorithms were applied to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet, according to the
RESULTS

57 prison officers participated in the research. They were predominantly men (86%), from 31 to 43 years (63.3%), married (52.6%). Regarding education, 21.1% completed high school, which is the minimum criterion for entering the career, and 56.1% completed college education. Table 1 shows the sociodemographic description of the participants.

Regarding the professional characteristics of the participants, 89.4% of the interviewed agents worked 40 to 48 hours/week, calling it a “24x72” day, where they work 24 hours followed by a break of 72 hours. Although this workload allows for another job, the majority (93%) did not have it. Regarding the period in which they work as agents, periods of 1 to 5 years (48.3%) and 6 to 10 years (24.9%) were prevalent.

As for the results referring to quality of life at work, the averages obtained in each domain indicate that the Personal one had the highest average (3.34), while the Professional domain had the lowest average (2.91). Considering the questions in each domain, the ones that obtained the most negative responses the in Physical / Health domain, were the comfort in the work environment (2.82) and problems with sleep that impair work (2.68); in Psychological, the worst was the freedom of expression (3.0); in the Personal domain, the family assessment (3.0); and in the Professional, the freedom to create new things at work (2.77) and the level of participation in company decisions (2.47). The data are shown in Table 2.

Graph 1 shows the comparison of the classification obtained in each domain investigated and in the QWLQ-bref in its entirety. Only the Personal domain was considered satisfactory by the participants, while the total classification and the other domains were classified as neutral. It is noteworthy that the professional domain is at the lower limit of the neutral classification (47 points), while the total value of the questionnaire is near the upper limit (54.12 points).

Table 1 - Sociodemographic characteristics of prison agents. Quixadá, CE, Brazil, 2018.

| VARIABLE                  | n  | %  |
|---------------------------|----|----|
| AGE GROUP                 |    |    |
| 18 to 30 years            | 15 | 26.8|
| 31 to 43 years            | 36 | 63.3|
| 44 years or more          | 6  | 9.9 |
| GENDER                    |    |    |
| Female                    | 8  | 14.0|
| Male                      | 49 | 86.0|
| MARITAL STATUS            |    |    |
| Married                   | 30 | 52.6|
| Divorced                  | 5  | 8.8 |
| Single                    | 12 | 21.1|
| Stable union              | 10 | 17.5|
| NUMBER OF CHILDREN        |    |    |
| 0                         | 19 | 33.4|
| 1                         | 15 | 26.3|
| 2                         | 13 | 22.9|
| 3 or more                 | 10 | 17.4|
| EDUCATION                 |    |    |
| Complete high school      | 12 | 21.1|
| Incomplete higher education| 13 | 22.8|
| Complete higher education | 32 | 56.1|

Source: Research data.
**DISCUSSION**

Measuring the quality of life at work of the penitentiary agent in Ceará still represents a challenge, since there was little adherence to the research and many opted for neutral responses, which may indicate a tendency to not take a position, which caused a neutral classification of the phenomenon evaluated. Only the personal domain was considered satisfactory, while the professional domain had the lowest average. This reinforces the complexity of carrying out scientific research with this audience and the scarcity of studies with approaches directed at the peculiarities and developments of this profession, especially in the field of health.

A literature review that sought to analyze scientific productions in the nursing field, regarding the health situation of prison staff in the Brazilian context, presented sociodemographic characteristics similar to those found in the present study, and the negative impact of work on the health of these professionals, with a greater probability of disease development.¹¹

The predominance of males in the field of security is an important characteristic. There are penitentiary agents of both sexes, and the gender of the inmates is what determines the number of agents of each gender who will work within a certain prison institution.³ The number of women in professions previously considered to be male has grown depending on social development and the acquisition of equal rights.¹²

Regarding family composition, most participants were married and had children. This configuration favors the individual's permanence at work, due to the need to provide for the family. In addition, the family is an important factor for the perception of support, as the individual feels welcomed in the face of worrying situations, even though, according with literature, family members report negative feelings about the participants' professional choice.¹³

The option for a single job may be related to the stability conferred by public service, considered a positive factor for the quality of life at work.¹⁴
Regarding the length of the service, the longer the time of exposure to risk factors, being work itself a risk factor in this case, the greater the negative consequences, due to cumulative damages.\(^{11}\)

In the Physical / Health domain, the questions that presented the lowest satisfaction referred to the aspects of sleep and comfort. The literature points out that prison units are in precarious conditions due to the lack of structure, weaponry and protective materials, overcrowding and insufficient staff.\(^{11}\) As for sleep, a study carried out with correctional officers found a correlation between the number of hours slept and the Physical / Health, Social Relationships, Psychological domains and the Self-assessment of quality of life.\(^{15}\)

The personal domain presented a satisfactory mean for Quality of Life at Work, with the question regarding respect in the work environment being the one with the best evaluation. Penitentiary agents resocialize inmates, and their conduct must respect the convicted person, \(^{12}\) in order to change society's perception of their profession and favor the resocialization of individuals.

Family assessment was the personal domain element with the lowest mean, reinforcing the negative impact of the profession on family life. Feelings such as fear and anxiety for the prison agent's physical integrity are often reported by family members, in addition to limitations on social leisure activities and the presence of conflicts.\(^{11}\)

The Professional domain presented the lowest average in the sample when compared to the other domains, the result is similar to the investigation carried out with prison agents in Paraná.\(^{10}\) The classification of the domain was neutral, but the questions about the freedom to propose innovations and the level of participation in the company's decisions were evaluated in a more negative way. The limited power of decision is recognized in the prison environment, \(^{16}\) as well as the negligence of official bodies and society itself to offer better working conditions for prison officers.\(^{17}\) In an environment where individuality is not valued or remembered, the proposition of innovations for work is compromised.

Psychological symptoms are frequent in prison agents due to the various stressors arising from the profession.\(^{12}\) In the Psychological domain, the question with the most negative evaluation refers to freedom of expression at work, differing from a study conducted with prison agents in Rio Grande do Norte and Paraíba, in which this issue was considered satisfactory.\(^{18}\) \(^{19}\) The environment of tension and the fear of retaliation from the inmates or the lack of appreciation for the profession may favor this difficulty of freedom of expression in the work of prison officers from Ceará.

According to the results from the research, considering the total results obtained by applying the QWQL-Bref, the quality of life at work of the prison agents from Ceará, participating in the study was classified as neutral. The results corroborate the literature, considering that the concept of quality of life at work encompasses complex interrelated objective and subjective factors, indicating that even if there are not such satisfactory conditions, from an objective point of view, in relation to quality of life in the workplace and organizational conditions, the personal (subjective) assessment of each individual has a special influence on their quality of life at work.\(^{20}\)

Among the limitations of the present study, the method of collecting cross-sectional data by using an online questionnaire can be cited, which may have discouraged the participation of the prison officers invited, not allowing an inferential analysis to be carried out between the investigated variables. Despite this, the results identified corroborate important risk factors that should be discussed by health professionals to promote health and quality of life for these individuals in their work environment.

**CONCLUSÃO**

The quality of life at work was classified as neutral. The personal domain obtained a satisfactory mean while the professional domain had the lowest average. It is noteworthy that the factors presented here, which reveal the quality of life at work for the prison agent, cannot be underestimated, and must be seen as warning signs.

The data indicate the importance of promoting studies on working conditions and their implications for the physical and mental health of these workers. With regard to health and safety at work, it is necessary to develop policies to protect workers, especially in the field of health prevention.

The actions aimed at these professionals may have repercussions within prisons and in the actions of resocialization of the population deprived of liberty, also having the potential to raise the interest for other studies on this theme, thus collaborating for the recognition of the particular needs of this category.

**REFERÊNCIAS**

1. Oliveira AMB, Fonseca CC, Brandão TS. O cotidiano na cadeia pública de Caraubas/RN/Brasil sob o olhar do agente penitenciário. Revista Contribuciones a las Ciencias Sociales. [Internet] 2020 [cited 2020 Ago 30]. Available from: https://www.eumed.net/rev/cccss/2020/01/cotidiano-na-cadeia-publica.html

2. Rudnicki D, Schäfer G, Silva JC. As máculas da prisão: estigma e discriminação das agentes penitenciárias. Revista Direito GV [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Ago 30]; 13(2):608-27. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/2317-61722017274

3. Araújo AFLP. A percepção do trabalho dos agentes de segurança prisional. 137 f. Dissertação (Mestrado) - Centro Universitário Alves Faria (UNIALFA) – Pós-graduação Stricto sensu em Desenvolvimento Regional, Goiânia. 2017. [cited 2018 Mar 6]. Available from: http://tede.unialfa.com.br/jspui/handle/tede/94

4. Bezerra CM, Assis SG, Constantino P. Psychological distress and work stress in correctional officers: a literature review. Ciênc. saúde coletiva [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Jan 26]; 21(7):2135-46. Available from: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=63046188015
6. Barbosa M, Celino SDM, Oliveira LV, Pedroza DF, Costa GMC. Primary health care of convicts in the penitentiary system: subsides for nursing performance. Esc Anna Nery [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2018 Mar 10]; 18(4):386-92. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5935/1414-8145.20140083

7. Jaskowiak CR, Fontana RT. The work in prison: reflections on the health of prison officers. Rev Bras Enferm [internet] 2015 [cited 2018 Jan 26]; 68(2):235-43. Available from: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=2670404000288

8. Ceará, Governo do Estado. Informações sobre servidores públicos. 2020 [cited 2020 Ago 30]. Available from: https://ceara.transparencia.ce.gov.br/portal-da-transparencia/servidores

9. Cheremeta M, Pedroso B, Pilatti LA, Kovaleski JL. Construção da versão abreviada do QWLQ-78: um instrumento de avaliação da qualidade de vida no trabalho. Revista Brasileira de Qualidade de vida [internet]. 2011 [cited 2018 Feb 9]; 3(1):01-15. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.3895/S2175-08582011001000001

10. Reis Junior DR, Pilatti LA, Pedrozo B. Qualidade de vida no trabalho: construção e validação do questionário QWLQ-78. Revista Brasileira de Qualidade de Vida [internet]. 2011 [cited 2018 Feb 9]; 3(2):1-12. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.3895/S2175-085820110002000001

11. Lima EMM, Soares IP, Santos ACM, Souza DO. Saúde dos agentes penitenciários no contexto brasileiro. Rev enferm. UFPE [internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Mar 10]; 12(2):510-9. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.5205/1981-8963-v12i22s831p510-519-2018

12. Bianchi FDM, Vasquez P. Mulheres no cárcere: as peculiaridades das agentes prisionais femininas. Cadernos de iniciação científica [internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Mar 10]; 14. Available from: https://revistas.direitosbc.br/index.php/CIC/article/view/844

13. Figueirôa RA, Dimenstein M. Open-air Control: Fear and Processes of Subjectivation in the Daily Life of Penitentiary Agents. Psicol cienc prof [internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Ago 30]; 38(2):131-43. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1992-3703000212193

14. Barbosa ML, Menezes TN, Santos SR, Olinda RA, Costa GMC. Qualidade de vida no trabalho de agentes de segurança penitenciária: uma análise a partir do TQWL-42. Saúde & Sociedade [internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Ago 30]; 27(2):146-57. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.22199/SS187475.2018.0002.00002

15. Stradiotti KM, Stradiotti JMM, Souza JCRP, Mello MGC, Souza VCRP, Rigo GM. Qualidade de vida de agentes penitenciários. Arq Med Hosp Fac Cienc Med Santa Casa São Paulo [internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Ago 30]; 64(3):226-32. Available from: https://doi.org/10.26432/1809-3019.2019.64.3.226

16. Pinto OS, Oliveira ES. O estresse no agente penitenciário de um município do interior do estado de Rondônia. Revista FAROL [internet] 2018 [cited 2020 Ago 30]; 7(7):120-31. Available from: http://www.revistafarol.com.br/index.php/farol/article/view/148

17. Araújo RM, Oliveira AL. Complexo Penal Estadual Agrícola Mário Negócio: o agente penitenciário e a reintegração social do interno sob parâmetros da crise no sistema prisional brasileiro. Diálogo [internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Ago 30]; 38:75-88. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.18316/dialogo.v0i38.4273

18. Fagundes EF, Costa JC, Moreira TAS. Qualidade de vida no trabalho: o caso de agentes penitenciários em um centro de detenção privisória do rio Grande do Norte. Research, Society and Development [internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Ago 30]; 5(2):109-23. Available from: https://doi.org/10.17648/rdv-5v5l2.101

19. Barbosa ML, Menezes TN, Santos SR, Olinda RA, Costa GMC. The quality of life of health professionals working in the prison system. Ciênc saúde coletiva [internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Ago 30]; 23(4):1293-302. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-8123201823.09292016

20. Teixeira GM, Ruiz VM. Análise diagnóstica da Qualidade de Vida no Trabalho em uma indústria de embalagens. Revista Brasileira de Qualidade de Vida [internet]. 2013 [cited 2018 Nov 19]; 5(3):9-18. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3895/S2175-08582013000300002

Financing sources: No

Conflicts of interest: No

Date of submission: 2020/09/27

Accepted: 2021/01/23

Publication: 2021/04/12

Corresponding author:
Huan Carolina Cândido Moraes
Email: huanacarolina@yahoo.com.br

How to cite this article:
Ramos FMC, Moraes HCC, Campos RKKG, Mendes IC. Quality of life at work of the prison agent in Ceará. Rev Enferm UFPI [internet] 2021 [cited ano mês abreviado dia]; 10:e812. DOI: 10.26694/reufpi.v10i1.812