In-vivo detection of cyclic-di-AMP in Staphylococcus aureus
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ABSTRACT

Cyclic-di-AMP (CDA) is a signaling molecule that controls various cellular functions including antibiotic tolerance and osmoregulation in *Staphylococcus aureus*. In this study, we developed a novel biosensor (*bsuO* P6-4) for *in-vivo* detection of CDA in *S. aureus*. Our study showed that *bsuO* P6-4 could detect a wide concentration range of CDA in both laboratory and clinical strains making it suitable for use in both basic and clinical research applications.
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Main text

Cyclic-di-AMP (CDA) is a newly discovered second messenger, which is present in bacteria belonging to the phyla firmicutes and actinobacteria (1). Recent studies have demonstrated that CDA plays important roles in regulating vital biological processes such as DNA repair, ion homeostasis, and central carbon metabolism among others (2-5). In addition, CDA has also been implicated in controlling processes that are important for bacterial pathogenesis such as biofilm formation, antibiotic tolerance, and virulence (6-10). CDA mediates its function through binding to its cognate effectors (i.e. proteins and riboswitches) and thereby modifying their function through allosteric changes and/or through altered gene expression. Thus, maintaining the correct concentration of CDA in bacterial cells is critical not only to retaining cellular homeostasis but also to responding to changing environmental needs. This is attained by controlling CDA’s synthesis or degradation machinery(s) that is present in bacterial cells.

In *Staphylococcus aureus*, CDA synthesis and degradation are mediated by DacA (diadenylate cyclase) and GdpP (the primary CDA phosphodiesterase) respectively (1). Recent studies have highlighted that increased CDA concentrations promote tolerance to β-lactam antibiotics and allow cell wall restructuring (8, 11). Furthermore, a growing number of contemporary clinical surveillance studies have identified mutations in *gdpP* among β-lactam resistant or non-susceptible natural isolates of *S. aureus* (12-15). Since many of these mutations have been either shown (8) or are predicted to attenuate the function of GdpP, causing increased CDA concentrations in cells, these findings underscored the clinical importance of CDA and highlighted the importance of accurate determination of its concentrations for both basic and clinical research settings.
Detection and quantification of CDA are typically carried out either through HPLC/MS, indirect ELISA, or dye intercalation assay (16-18). These assays determine CDA’s abundance in a static manner, i.e. in samples containing bacterial cell lysates. Of these, HPLC/MS despite being a gold standard in the quantification of small molecules such as CDA requires expensive technical infrastructure and operational expertise. The operation of ELISA is relatively easy but requires expertise in protein purification. In this study, we present a novel RNA-based CDA biosensor (*bsuO* P6-4), which can determine its concentration in live cells through flow cytometric analysis.

*bsuO* P6-4 is a second-generation CDA biosensor with improved CDA affinity and signal-to-noise ratio than its predecessor, *yuaA* P1-4 (19). This improvement was achieved by fusing the pro-fluorescent dye-binding RNA aptamer (Spinach) to the P6 stem instead of the P1 stem (as in *yuaA* P1-4) of the natural CDA binding riboswitch sequence present in the upstream region of *ydaO/yuaA* genes in *Bacillus subtilis* (20) (Fig.1A). This rational design of *bsuO* P6-4 restored the pseudoknot interaction between the P1 and P8 stems, which acts as a native stabilizer of the *ydaO/yuaA* riboswitch structure (21-23). Additionally, a modified fluorescent dye binding module, coined as cpSpinach2 (24) that was circularly permutized to accept a transducer stem was used for the construction of *bsuO* P6-4 (Fig.1A). Thus, *bsuO* P6-4 consists of two components, the CDA-binding *ydaO/yuaA* module, and the pro-fluorescent, DFHBI-binding cpSpinach2 module. Binding of CDA to *bsuO* P6-4 enabled appropriate folding of the cpSpinach2 module, allowing DFHBI binding and production of a fluorescence signal (Fig.1B) and thereby enabling detection of CDA.
An in-vitro fluorescence assay testing the CDA biosensors revealed a >10-fold higher affinity of bsuO P6-4 compared to its predecessor yuaA P1-4 (Fig.1C). In preparation for in-vivo experiments, a tRNA scaffold was added to flank the 5’ and 3’ ends of the biosensors for increased RNA half-life (Table S1) (25). The resultant biosensors were cloned into a constitutive expression vector and transformed into a wild-type (Wt) S. aureus and its isogenic ΔgdpP strain. While both the biosensors were able to report the higher level of CDA that is characteristic of a ΔgdpP strain (8), bsuO P6-4 showed significantly enhanced fluorescence compared to that of yuaA P1-4. More importantly, bsuO P6-4 compared to yuaA P1-4 displayed a higher dynamic range of signal (3.73X vs 1.55X) between Wt and ΔgdpP strains making it amenable for detection of a wider concentration range of CDA (Fig.1D). The reason why yuaA P1-4 exhibits higher background fluorescence than bsuO P6-4 in vivo is unknown.

Next, we sought to determine whether bsuO P6-4 could report different concentrations of CDA in the cells. For this purpose, isogenic gdpP point mutants were created that displayed varying degrees of GdpP’s loss of function that were identified in our previous study (8). As shown in figure 2, bsuO P6-4 was able to detect differing CDA concentrations in the isogenic strains (Fig.2A), which correlated well (R²=0.9453) with the results independently obtained through ELISA assay among the identical strains (Fig.2B & C). In addition to the isogenic strains, bsuO P6-4 was also able to determine different CDA concentrations in clinical isolates (Fig. S1), which suggested that it could be used in both laboratory and clinical strains. Our results further showed that the Wt and ΔgdpP strains with bsuO P6-4 were also suitable for fluorescent microscopic analysis (Fig. S2).
However, this method was not sensitive enough to differentiate the intermediate concentrations of CDA of the other isogenic strains (data not shown).

In summary, we have developed a novel bsuO P6-4 biosensor that is effective in determining different CDA concentrations in live S. aureus cells through flow cytometry. The plasmid harboring bsuO P6-4 can be transformed into both laboratory and clinical S. aureus isolates for reporting CDA concentration. This biosensor-based approach could be used in flux detection of CDA concentrations in future studies.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Construction of the cyclic-di-AMP biosensor bsuO P6-4 and its ability to detect cyclic-di-AMP. A) & B) Schematic representation of the creation and function of bsuO P6-4. C) & D) Comparison of in-vitro and in-vivo detection of CDA respectively by bsuO P6-4 and yuaA P1-4.

Figure 2: Ability of bsuO P6-4 in detecting varying concentrations of cyclic-di-AMP in S. aureus. A) & B) Detection of CDA in wild-type and isogenic strains of S. aureus which carried GdpP loss of function mutations using flow cytometry and ELISA assay respectively. C) Correlation of signals obtained in A & B.
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Figure 2: Ability of bsuO P6-4 in detecting varying concentrations of cyclic-di-AMP in *S. aureus*. A) & B) Detection of CDA in wild-type and isogenic strains of *S. aureus* which carried GdpP loss of function mutations using flow cytometry and ELISA assay respectively. C) Correlation of signals obtained in A & B.