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Abstract: Background: The genital infection due to Human papillomavirus (HPV) is considered as the most common sexually transmitted infection across the world, including high-risk oncogenic HPV (HR/HPV). Objective: This study aimed to determine the prevalence of HR/HPV genotypes among sexually active women in Parakou (Benin) in 2017. Methods: This research work was a cross-sectional descriptive study carried out in the city of Parakou (Benin), from January 15 to April 15, 2017. Sample consisted of 247 sexually active women selected through a systematic random sampling. Cervical specimens collected with swab were subject to multiplex PCR to characterize 14 HR/HPV genotypes. Results: The prevalence of HR/HPV infection was rated 32.8% [95% CI: 27.1-39.3]. All the fourteen HR/HPV genotypes investigated were detected using PCR among our study population. The most common types of HR/HPV were, in descending order, HPV45 (25.9%), HPV35 (18.5%), HPV52 (17.3%), HPV51 (16.0%) and HPV 58 (14.8%). HPV16 and 18 were found out at respective proportions of 2.5% and 7.4%. Age group 20 years or less had the highest prevalence of HR/HPV infection (55.7%) followed by age group from 21 to 30 years (38.3%). Conclusion: The prevalence of HR/HPV infection is high among sexually active women in Parakou in 2017 and the most frequent HR/HPV are not those found commonly in precancerous and cancerous cervical lesions.
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1. Introduction

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is one of the most widespread sexually transmitted infections across the world; more than half the sexually active women get infected with it during their existence [1, 2]. Nowadays, more than 200 HPV genotypes were identified and classified into two major groups i.e. low-risk HPV and high-risk HPV (HR/HPV), according to whether they cause benign lesions or lesions likely to evolve towards malignant tumors [3, 4]. Epidemiological studies and experimental data showed that although there is viral clearance in the infection, the
The persistence of HR/HPV infection plays a critical role in the development of cancer, and most cervical cancers are associated with HR/HPV [5]. In fact, HR/HPV may be identified virtually in nearly all cervical cancers [4].

With an estimated 570,000 cases and 311,000 deaths in 2018 worldwide, cervical cancer ranks as the fourth most frequently diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer death in women [6]. The highest regional incidence and mortality rates are seen in Africa, with rates elevated in Southern Africa, Eastern Africa, and Western Africa [6]. In relative terms, the rates are 7 to 10 times lower in North America, Australia/New Zealand, and Western Asia [6]. In recent years, clear evidence supports the use of HPV-based tests for the detection of precursor lesions of the cervix [7]. In a randomized trial in India, HPV testing offered greater protection against invasive cervical cancer than either visual inspection with acetic acid or cytology [8].

The prophylactic nonavaccine licensed in 2014, only available in certain regions, target genotypes 6 and 11 which are the cause of benign genital lesions and the next five most common cancer-causing HPV types (HPV 31/33/45/52/58) after HPV 16/18, thus enabling a primary prevention [9]. The genotypes targeted by those vaccines sometimes are not the most encountered in some African regions. Besides, till now there are no vaccines available for the other high-risk oncogenic genotypes. Studies conducted in the Western African sub-region confirm the existence of those high-risk genotypes, particularly in Burkina Faso [10-12], Côte d’Ivoire [13], Senegal and Mali [14], and in Nigeria [15]. In Benin, the research works of Piras et al. [16] and Zohoncon et al. [17] reported HR/HPV genotypes other than HPV16 and 18.

This study aimed to determine the prevalence of HR/HPV genotypes among sexually active women in Parakou (Benin) in 2017 in order to specify the genotypes circulating in the study area.

2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Study Setting and Respondents

We conducted a cross-sectional descriptive survey during the period running from January 15 to April 15, 2017. During the said survey, each subject was put questions and only one sample was collected from her. Sample consisted of 247 sexually active women living in the city of Parakou, received for systematic screening, recruited by an exhaustive sampling at the Borgou Regional University Teaching Hospital during the study period. This study included all non-pregnant women and young girls who gave their free and informed consent about the relevance of the study. The study excluded any woman or young girl, virgin or pregnant, or who underwent total hysterectomy. The sociodemographic, behavioral and sexual variables related to lifestyle and gynecological and obstetrical history were collected on the basis of a questionnaire.

2.2. Sample Collection

Endocervical samples were collected with sterile swab during gynecological or pelvic exam. Those endocervical samples or specimens were stored in a freezer at -80°C while awaiting DNA extraction, and carried to Ouagadougou at CERBA/LABIOGENE where biomolecular analysis was performed.

2.3. Extraction of Viral DNA, Detection and Typing of HR/HPV

Viral DNA was extracted using DNA-Sorb-A kit (Sacace Biotechnologies, Como, Italy) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

The characterization of HR/HPV genotypes was done by real time PCR [11] using HPV Genotypes 14 Real-TM Quant (Sacace Biotechnologies, Como, Italy) which helps detect the following 14 HR/HPV genotypes: 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68. Whole-genome amplification was performed using Sacycler-96 Real time PCR (Sacace Biotechnologies, Como, Italy). It was a multiplex amplification of target area primers (gene L1 and oncoproteins E6 and E7) of HR/HPV, and human beta globin gene as intern control. For each sample, tested PCR-mix-1 were PCR-mix-1 16, 18, 31, IC; PCR-mix-1 39, 45, 59, IC; PCR-mix-1 33, 35, 56, 68 and PCR-mix-1 51, 52, 58, 66. Results were interpreted using Microsoft Excel software “HPV 14 genotypes Real TM.xls” supplied by the manufacturer.

2.4. Data Processing

The qualitative variables were presented as percentages and those quantitative as averages and standard deviation. The data were processed using STATA 13 software.

2.5. Ethical Considerations

The protocol of this study has been approved by the Ethics Committee for Biomedical Research of the University of Parakou under No. 22-CLERB-UP/P/SP/R/SA dated October 29, 2016. It has also been authorized by the Regional Director of Health for Borgou & Alibori under No. 1574/16/MS/DDS-BA/SDSP/SA dated December 26, 2016.

3. Results

3.1. Sociodemographic and Sexual Behavioral Features of the Study Population

Mean age for study population was 33.4 ± 9.7 years. The youngest female subject was aged 19 years and the oldest one was 60 years. Group ages from [21 to 30 years] and from [31 to 40 years] were predominant with respective percentages of 43.3 and 30.4. Table 1 shows the sociodemographic and sexual behavioral characteristics of the study population.

| Age Group | Percentage |
|-----------|------------|
| 19-20     | 3.7%       |
| 21-30     | 43.3%      |
| 31-40     | 30.4%      |
| 41-50     | 16.7%      |
| 51-60     | 5.2%       |
| 60+       | 2.0%       |
Table 1. Sociodemographic and sexual behavioral characteristics of the study population, Parakou, January/April 2017 (n=247).

| Variables                              | Population size | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Age (years)                            |                 |            |
| ≤20                                    | 9               | 3.6        |
| [21-30]                                | 107             | 43.3       |
| [31-40]                                | 75              | 30.4       |
| [41-50]                                | 44              | 17.8       |
| [51-60]                                | 12              | 4.9        |
| Civil status                           |                 |            |
| Single                                 | 82              | 62.7       |
| Married                                | 155             | 33.2       |
| Divorced                               | 4               | 1.6        |
| Widowed                                | 6               | 2.4        |
| Occupation                             |                 |            |
| Trader                                 | 40              | 16.2       |
| Civil servant                          | 44              | 17.8       |
| High school/University female student  | 47              | 19.0       |
| Craftswoman                            | 19              | 7.7        |
| Housewives                             | 97              | 39.2       |
| Educational status                     |                 |            |
| Illiterate                             | 87              | 35.3       |
| Primary/secondary                      | 108             | 43.7       |
| University                             | 52              | 21.0       |
| Sociocultural groups                   |                 |            |
| Bariba and allied ethnic groups        | 62              | 25.1       |
| Dendi and allied ethnic groups         | 23              | 9.3        |
| Aja and allied ethnic groups           | 7               | 2.8        |
| Lokpa and allied ethnic groups         | 10              | 4.0        |
| Yoruba and allied ethnic groups        | 41              | 16.6       |
| Fon and allied ethnic groups           | 44              | 17.8       |
| Fulani and allied ethnic groups        | 35              | 14.2       |
| Somba and allied ethnic groups         | 13              | 5.3        |
| Other country*                         | 12              | 4.9        |
| Parity                                 |                 |            |
| Nulliparous                            | 73              | 29.6       |
| Primiparous                            | 30              | 12.1       |
| Pauciparous                            | 67              | 27.1       |
| Multiparous                            | 56              | 22.7       |
| Grand multiparous                      | 21              | 8.5        |
| Current number of sexual partners      |                 |            |
| 0**                                    | 6               | 2.4        |
| 1                                      | 236             | 95.5       |
| 2                                      | 4               | 1.6        |
| 3                                      | 1               | 0.4        |
| Use of condom                          |                 |            |
| Never                                  | 143             | 57.9       |
| Not frequently                         | 30              | 12.1       |

3.2. Prevalence of HR/HPV Infection

Among the 247 women of our sample, 81 were HR/HPV positive during PCR. Prevalence of HR/HPV infection was 32.8% [95% CI: 27.1-39.3]. Age group 20 years or less had the highest prevalence of HR/HPV infection (55.7%) followed by age group from 21 to 30 years (38.3%). Table 2 shows age distribution of HR/HPV.

Table 2. HR/HPV prevalence by age, Parakou, January to April 2017.

| Age group    | Population size | Percentage |
|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| ≤20 years    | 9               | 55.7       |
| [21 - 30]    | 107             | 38.3       |
| [31 - 40]    | 75              | 24.0       |
| [41 - 50]    | 44              | 31.8       |
| [51 - 60]    | 12              | 25.0       |
| Total        | 247             | 32.8       |

All the fourteen HR/HPV genotypes sought for were detected using PCR in our study population. The number of genotypes per woman varied from 1 to 5. Among the population of women who were HR/HPV positive, the most common HR/HPV types were, in descending order (Figure 1): HPV45 (25.9%), HPV35 (18.5%), HPV52 (17.3%), HPV51 (16.0%) and HPV58 (14.8%).
Twelve HR/HPV genotypes were associated with isolated infections (50.5%) and multiple infection was found in 39.5%. Carrying the HR/HPV type was common in the age group from 21 to 30 years in PCR-positive women (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Carrying HR/HPV type according to age group in PCR-positive sexually active women, Parakou, January/April 2017 (n=81).](image)

4. Discussion

The prevalence of HR/HPV infection found out in this study i.e. 32.8% is close to the rates of Ouedraogo et al. [12] in Burkina Faso in 2018 and Winer et al. [18] in the United States in 2012 which were respectively 34.4% and 35.9%. That prevalence is higher than the ones reported by Traore et al. [11] in Burkina Faso in 2016, Andall-Brereton et al. [19] in the Caribbean in 2017 and Navarro-Vidal et al. [20] in Mexico in 2018 i.e. 25.4%, 25.2% and 14.7% respectively among populations of sexually active women. Besides, it is above the one found by Schluterman et al. [21] in Mali in 2013 (23%) among a population of rural women. Those data support the view according to which the prevalence of HR/HPV infection varies from one region to another [4]. That disparity of prevalences may be due to the variability of exposure to risk factors for HPV infection and to the difference existing between sociocultural contexts from one region to another.

The age specific distribution showed that the highest prevalence of HR/HPV infection was observed in age group 20 years or less followed by age group from 21 to 30 years in our study. The age groups concerned in the study by Ouedraogo et al. [12] in Burkina Faso in 2018 were those aged 25 to 29 and 35 to 39 years with a frequency of 22.1%. In China, the age group of 25 years or less was the most affected by HR/HPV infection (14.8%) [22]. The HR/HPV infection was common in the age group from 40 to 49 years (40.6%) in Mexico [20]. In most of these studies, the prevalence of HR/HPV infection decreases with age. The decrease in the prevalence of HR/HPV in aged women could be related by the sex activity or the immune response status of the women studied [20].

All the fourteen HR/HPV genotypes we sought for (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68) were detected using PCR among our study population. On the contrary, Traore et al. [11] in Burkina Faso in 2016 did not find out genotypes 16 and 33; equally, Ouedraogo et al. [12] in Burkina Faso in 2018 did not find out genotypes 39 and 59 in their studies by using the same PCR kit (HPV Genotypes 14 Real-TM Quant manufactured by Sacace Biotechnologies, Como, Italy). Therefore, the genotypes circulating from one region to another are not strictly the same. The number of genotypes per woman also varies from one region to another. In this research work, it varied from 1 to 5. According to the works conducted by Traore et al. [11] in 2016, Ouedraogo et al. [12] in 2018 in Burkina Faso and by Winer et al. [18] in the United States in 2012, that number varied respectively from 1 to 3, 1 to 5 and 1 to 7.

Among the population of HR/HPV positive women included in our study, the most common types of HR/HPV were, in descending order: HPV45 (25.9%), HPV35 (18.5%), HPV52 (17.3%), HPV51 (16.0%) and HPV58 (14.8%). In a study conducted by Piras et al. [16] in 2011 within 8 cities of Benin, including Parakou, the most common HR/HPV genotypes were HPV59 (24.7%), HPV35 (22.5%), HPV16 (17.6%), HPV18 (14.8%) and HPV58 (13.4%). For Traore et al. [11] in Burkina Faso in 2016, the most common HR/HPV genotypes were HPV39 (18.5%), HPV52 (16.7%), HPV18 (14.8%) and HPV35 (13.0%). On the contrary, for Manga et al. [15] in Nigeria in 2015, the most common genotypes were HPV16 and 18 in respective proportions of 44.7% and 13.2%. Data from a cross-sectional descriptive and case-control
study indicate that the HPV most commonly found out in cervical cancers are HPV16, 18 and 45, in descending order, in North America, South and Central America, in Africa, Asia and Oceania [23]. There is a discrepancy between our findings and those of literature in which HPV 16 is predominant among precancerous and cancerous cervical lesions [24-29]. The present study being limited to systematic screening by PCR without cytological examination of the cervico-vaginal smear and because of the natural viral clearance after two years [4], we cannot therefore establish a formal link between the presence of these HP/VHR and cervical cancer. But our results suggest the genotype of HR/HPV circulating in the study area.

Twelve out of the fourteen HR/HPV genotypes identified in our study were found out by Piras et al. [16] in Parakou in 2011. These are genotypes HPV 59, 35, 16, 18, 45, 56, 33, 51, 31, 52 and 39. Actually, Piras et al. [16] used a kit for the detection of 14 HR/HPV (ProDect® Chip HPV Typing kit, bcs BIOTECH, Cagliari, Italy) which does not include HPV 66 (as in our kit) but rather HPV73. Therefore, the same HR/HPV genotypes have been circulating in Parakou in particular and in Benin in general for some years now. A comparison of our findings to those of Traore et al. [11] shows that HPV35 and HPV52 are frequently found out in Parakou (Benin) and Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso), two cities located in the West African sub-region. In contrast, the most common HR/HPV found out in the study of Ouedraogo et al. [12] in Tenkodogo, another city of Burkina Faso, are HPV56 (36.4%) and HPV66 (36.4%). Once again, these results clearly illustrate the variability of HR/HPV infection. Twelve HR/HPV genotypes were associated with isolated infections in this research work. In Benin in 2011, Piras et al. [16] found out nine genotypes (HPV 16, 18, 35, 42, 52, 56, 58, 59 and 73). In the survey conducted by Traore et al. [11] in Burkina Faso in 2016, eleven genotypes (HPV 18, 31, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 66 and 68) were associated with isolated infections. Isolated HR/HPV genotype infection seems to be predominant as shown in the literature [12, 30, 31].

The main limitation of the present study is the relatively low number of participants included. In this respect, multi-center studies with higher numbers of participants might be useful to elucidate this area of research.

5. Conclusion

It emerges from this research work that the prevalence of HR/HPV infection is high among sexually active women in Parakou in 2017. All the fourteen genotypes (HPV-16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68) which were sought for are common in Parakou; HPV45, 35, 52, 51 and 58 are the predominant ones. Monogenic infection is the most common and the number of genotypes per woman varies from one to five. Genotypes 16 and 18 are found out at a low frequency.

In the light of the above, the two vaccines currently available in our areas (bivalent and quadrivalent) do not provide a good coverage for the women of Parakou. It would therefore be necessary to make available the nonavalent vaccine already used in certain region of the world.
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