Muscle strength training program in nonagenarians – a randomized controlled trial
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SUMMARY

OBJECTIVES: The study aims to improve the functional capacity and quality of life (QOL) of nonagenarian women by implementing an individualized muscle strength training program in a geriatric residential care home.

STUDY DESIGN: A randomized controlled trial

METHODS: Twenty-six elderly women were randomized into a control group (CG) and an intervention group (SG). The SG carried out a strength program with TheraBands® for 12 weeks, with two weekly sessions. The assessment tools that were used pre- and post-intervention were the Barthel index of daily living activities, the five times sit-to-stand test (FTSTS) and timed up and go (TUG) test with wiva® sensors.

RESULTS: The SG maintained the Barthel index scores for activities of daily living and improved in the FTSTS; the CG showed a significant decrease on both tests. The dynamic balance test showed significant differences between groups for the variables sit to stand, peak angular velocity, anterior-posterior range, turning, stand to sit, total time, and speed.

CONCLUSIONS: Individualized muscle strength training programs may help promote healthy lifestyles in such populations by maintaining autonomy, improving function and balance.
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INTRODUCTION

The increase in life expectancy together with the decrease in birth rates in industrialized countries means that health care systems and public health policymakers need to focus their attention towards promoting healthy lifestyles at the highest sector of the population pyramid¹. Frailty syndrome is an age-associated condition that is characterized by decreases in the functional reserve and resistance to stressors, related to different physiological systems. This syndrome is strongly associated with sarcopenia and puts older individuals at special risk for disability, hospitalization, and death induced by falls²⁻⁴. Along with sarcopenia, skeletal muscle fat infiltration, which is assessed through mus-
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Methods

This is a randomized controlled pilot study with two groups of participants. One group was submitted to an intervention program, including muscle strength training with TheraBands® (SG); the second group, control group (CG), was asked to maintain their daily routines. Two assessments were defined, at the week before intervention (week 0) and the last week of the intervention (week 12).

Participants

Participants in this study were recruited through a collaboration agreement between the University of Vigo (Spain) and “Fundación San Rosendo”, a company for the management of residential care homes for older adults. Individuals with the following criteria were included: (a) frail older females, (b) cognition mini-exam <23, (c) aged over 85 years, (d) able to stand and walk for at least 30 meters without shortness of breath, (e) able to walk safely and independently without aid, (f) resident in a geriatric long-term care home.

PROCEDURES

All the participants and their families were previously informed about the characteristics of the research protocol. The study was approved by the clinical research ethics committee of the University of Vigo (CE 14-1009-17), and all participants gave their informed consent.

INTERVENTION

Participants were randomly assigned to either the SG or CG. The SG participated in a 12-week training program which consisted of two 60-minute sessions per week, focused on improving lower limbs muscle strength by using TheraBands®. The training program included 10 exercises focused on lower limb muscle strength (plantar and dorsiflexors; knee flexors and extensors; hips flexors, extensors, abductors, adductors, and rotation), in which 2 to 4 exercises were implemented per session (for details see Table 1).

The CG was asked to maintain daily routines (handcraft, reading, and cognitive stimulation) with no systematic physical activity.

Both programs were developed by physiotherapists and physical activity professionals with more than 5 years of experience in therapeutic work with older adults. The evaluation was developed by the HealthyFit research group (University of Vigo), healthcare professionals, and experts in the evaluation of the physical condition of older adults.

ASSESSMENTS

Participants were assessed the week before the training program (week 0) and on the last week of the intervention (week 12). The testing protocol was defined as the anthropometric measures, functional assessment, and dynamic balance assessment.

Anthropometric measures included; height (stadiometer handac) which was assessed in cm with 1.0 mm precision; weight (Tanita TBF300), assessed in kg with 0.1 kg precision; and BMI, calculated according to the following formula – weight/height² (kg/m²). Participants were assessed while barefoot and lightly clothed.

The functional assessment included; the Barthel index of daily living activities and the five times sit-to-stand test. The Barthel index of daily living activities included a 10-item questionnaire aiming to assess individual autonomy concerning daily life activities. Each participant’s functional capacity, according to the Barthel index, is referenced in order to better prescribe the intervention program. The score range goes from 0 to 100, assuming the higher the...
score obtained, the higher the autonomy. The five times sit-to-stand test is a part of the short physical performance battery and aims to assess the lower limb muscle strength/velocity. In this test, participants are asked to stand up from a chair five times as quickly as possible and the time to complete the task, in seconds, is recorded as their performance.

Dynamic balance assessment included, the timed up and go test with wiva® sensors. Wiva® sensors include an accelerometer, a magnetometer and a gyroscope that allow professionals and practitioners to record information about the angular velocities reached during TUG, with the inertial detection devices placed in the L4-L5 spinal segment. In addition, wiva® gathers split time data into the early stages of TUG (sit to stand, gait to go, turning, gait return, stand to sit) may have influenced the final score (time to perform the TUG). P-values <0.05 (2-tailed) were considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

Twenty-six women, aged 85 years and more, following the defined inclusion criteria, were included in the study. They were randomly allocated to two groups, SG (n=13) and CG (n=13). The randomization process was carried out using the statistical program SPSS for Windows in the section selecting cases/random sample of cases.

Two participants did not complete the study be-
Table 2. Sample Characteristics According to the Level of Physical Activity

|                      | Total (n = 24) | Strength Training Group (n = 11) | Control Group (n = 13) |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Mean SD              | Mean SD       | Mean SD                          | Mean SD                |
| Age, y               | 90.63 4.43    | 90.18 4.02                       | 91.00 4.88             |
| Height, cm           | 151.35 7.10   | 151.20 7.40                      | 151.50 6.80            |
| Weight, kg           | 59.48 10.06   | 60.95 8.47                       | 58.23 11.42            |
| Cognition mini-exam4 | 14.30 6.38    | 13.36 6.86                       | 15.17 6.07             |
| Educational background, % |          |                                  |                        |
| No studies           | 54.20         | 54.50                            | 53.80                  |
| Primary              | 29.20         | 27.30                            | 30.8                   |
| Secondary            | 8.30          | 9.10                             | 7.70                   |
| University           | 8.30          | 9.10                             | 7.70                   |

The final number of participants was 24 (ST=11 and CG=13), and the average age was 90.63±4.43 years. The detailed sample characteristics are presented in Table 2.

Table 3 indicates the statistical analysis results of the variables assessed, per group, pre- and post-intervention. Concerning the BMI, we found there was a significant improvement in the SG and significant differences between the groups post-intervention. The functional assessment showed significantly different behaviors between the groups. The SG maintained the scores on the Barthel index of activities of daily living and improved in the FTSTS; the CG showed a significant decrease on both tests. The dynamic balance test showed significant differences between the groups for the variables sit to stand, peak angular velocity, anteroposterior range, turning, stand to sit, total time, and speed. The changes within the group showed a significant decrease in sit to stand, peak angular velocity, anteroposterior range, turning, stand to sit total time, and speed, in the CG. In the SG, a significant decrease in turning was observed (Figure 1).

Discussion

The present research examined the effects of a muscle strength training program through the use of TheraBands®, on frail nonagenarian women. The findings show that the intervention program promoted significant improvements in function, BMI, and a trend to improve the dynamic balance parameters.

Previous studies have found significant correla-
tions between overweight and decreases in mortality in nonagenarians. In the present study, the SG showed a significant decrease in BMI, which was significantly different from the CG after the intervention program. Furthermore, the SG was classified as obesity grade I at week 0, and overweight by week 12, while the CG maintained obesity grade I throughout the training program. This finding may support the benefits of muscle strength intervention programs on such populations. However, more research is needed to confirm and understand the mechanisms to improve the health and quality of life.

The intervention program also improved function in nonagenarians, as shown by the FTSTS and Barthel index of activities of daily living scores. The present results are in line with previous research in which muscle strength training promoted hypertrophy, decreased fat mass, decreased falls, and increased functional capacity in such populations.

The TUG test is an important tool to assess mobility and risk of falls. Aged populations completing the TUG test under 20 seconds have shown autonomy in daily living tasks, presented high scores on the Berg balance scale and can walk at optimal speed (0.5 m/s). The present findings showed that SG trend to increase their walking speed, while the CG experienced significant decreases in sit to stand, turning, and stand to sit.

There are some methodological limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. First, the size of the sample on which the intervention was performed is small. Another limitation lies in the fact that the option of carrying out an intervention of a longer duration should be considered to see if these factors would lead to different results than those obtained. Finally, the use of novel tools, such as wiva® sensors, could be considered a limitation, as the results cannot be compared with those of other studies.

### TABLE 3. ANALYSIS OF FUNCTIONAL PARAMETERS ACCORDING TO THE GROUP.

| Strength Training Group (n = 11) | Control Group (n = 13) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
|                               | Pre-test | Post-test | Pre-test | Post-test |
| Mean SD | Mean SD | Mean SD | Mean SD |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| BMI, kg/m²                  | 30.82 ± 2.93 | 27.18 ± 4.25* | 30.66 ± 2.31 | 30.60 ± 5.20³ |
| FTSTS, s                    | 16.53 ± 4.86 | 12.74 ± 3.12** | 16.17 ± 3.77 | 17.70 ± 4.88³ |
| Barthel Index               | 65.91 ± 24.66 | 66.87 ± 25.34 | 66.92 ± 20.67 | 51.67 ± 21.65³ |
| Dynamic balance             |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| TUG: Sit to Stand           | 4.40 ± 2.43 | 4.58 ± 3.02 | 4.22 ± 1.66 | 6.16 ± 8.67³ |
| Peak Angular Velocity, °/s | 9.60 ± 2.94 | 9.24 ± 4.62 | 8.77 ± 3.25 | 5.71 ± 3.64³ |
| AP Range, m/s²              | 5.08 ± 1.93 | 5.72 ± 2.06 | 5.42 ± 1.90 | 4.19 ± 2.04³ |
| PD Range, m/s²              | 1.73 ± 0.80 | 2.64 ± 1.37 | 2.69 ± 0.75 | 2.29 ± 0.99 |
| ML Range, m/s²              | 2.43 ± 1.26 | 2.66 ± 1.31 | 2.19 ± 0.29 | 1.48 ± 0.69 |
| TUG: Go                     | 8.05 ± 3.56 | 7.53 ± 6.12 | 9.06 ± 4.65 | 9.87 ± 4.78 |
| TUG: Turning                | 4.58 ± 2.22 | 5.45 ± 3.29* | 7.66 ± 4.42 | 8.09 ± 5.28³ |
| TUG: Return                 | 7.98 ± 3.10 | 7.01 ± 2.54 | 9.82 ± 4.86 | 9.53 ± 0.78 |
| TUG: Stand to Sit           | 3.04 ± 2.60 | 3.16 ± 1.94 | 4.36 ± 1.28 | 4.97 ± 0.75³ |
| Peak Angular Velocity, °/s | 129.42 ± 124.12 | 127.03 ± 76.34 | 99.98 ± 42.13 | 98.00 ± 39.15 |
| TUG: total                  | 28.05 ± 9.99 | 27.73 ± 11.62 | 35.12 ± 11.59 | 38.62 ± 15.44³ |
| Speed, m/s                  | 0.21 ± 0.08 | 0.22 ± 0.09 | 0.17 ± 0.06 | 0.15 ± 0.06³ |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.005 significant differences intra-group, $p < 0.05, $p<0.005 significant differences inter-group. Notes. BMI: Body mass index, FTSTS: Five times sit to stand test; TUG: Timed up and go; AP: Anteroposterior; PD: Proximal distal; ML: Medium lateral.
CONCLUSÃO

Little research has been developed on nonagenarians. However, it seems that muscle strength intervention programs may help promote healthy lifestyles on such population by maintaining autonomy, improving function and balance.
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RESUMO

OBJETIVO: O objetivo do estudo é melhorar a capacidade funcional e a qualidade de vida (QV) de nonagenários por meio da implementação de um programa de treinamento aeróbico e de força individualizado em um centro geriátrico residencial.

DESENHO DO ESTUDO: Estudo controlado randomizado.

METODOLOGIA: Vinte e seis mulheres idosas foram randomizadas em grupo controle (CG) e grupo intervenção (SG). O SG realizou um programa de força com 12 semanas de duração de duas sessões semanais. As ferramentas de avaliação usadas antes e após a intervenção foram o Índice de Barthel das atividades da vida diária, o teste Five-to-Stand (FTSTS) e o Timed Up and Go (TUG) com sensores Wii®.

RESULTADOS: O SG manteve as pontuações no Índice de Barthel e melhora no FTSTS; o GC apresentou redução significativa nos dois testes. O teste de equilíbrio dinâmico mostrou diferenças significativas entre os grupos para as variáveis: Sit to Stand, pico da velocidade angular, amplitude anteroposterior, giro, posição sentada, tempo total e velocidade.

CONCLUSÕES: Os programas de intervenção de força muscular podem ajudar a promover estilos de vida saudáveis nesta população para a manutenção da autonomia, a melhora da função e o equilíbrio.

PALAVRAS CHAVE: Terapia por Exercício, Exercício, Fragilidade, Equilíbrio Postural, Qualidade de Vida, Idoso.
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