High type I collagen density fails to increase breast cancer stem cell phenotype
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Breast cancer is a highly frequent and lethal malignancy which metastasis and relapse frequently associates with the existence of breast cancer stem cells (CSCs). CSCs are undifferentiated, aggressive and highly resistant to therapy, with traits modulated by microenvironmental cells and the extracellular matrix (ECM), a biologically complex and dynamic structure composed mainly by type I collagen (Col-I). Col-I enrichment in the tumor-associated ECM leads to microenvironment stiffness and higher tumor aggressiveness and metastatic potential. While Col-I is also known to induce tumor stemness, it is unknown if such effect is dependent of Col-I density. To answer this question, we evaluated the stemness phenotype of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 human breast cancer cells cultured within gels of varying Col-I densities. High Col-I density increased CD44⁺CD24⁻ breast cancer stem cell (BCSC) immunophenotype but failed to potentiate Col-I fiber alignment, cell self-renewal and clonogenicity in MDA-MB-231 cells. In MCF-7 cells, high Col-I density decreased total levels of variant CD44 (CD44v). Common to both cell types, high Col-I density induced neither markers related to CSC nor those related with mechanically-induced cell response. We conclude that high Col-I density per se is not sufficient to fully develop the BCSC phenotype.
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Abstract

Breast cancer is a highly frequent and lethal malignancy in which metastasis and relapse are frequently associated with the existence of breast cancer stem cells (BCSCs). CSCs are undifferentiated, aggressive, and highly resistant to therapy, with traits modulated by microenvironmental cells and the extracellular matrix (ECM), a biologically complex and dynamic structure composed mainly by type I collagen (Col-I). Col-I enrichment in the tumor-associated ECM leads to microenvironment stiffness and higher tumor aggressiveness and metastatic potential. While Col-I is also known to induce tumor stemness, it is unknown if such an effect is dependent on Col-I density. To answer this question, we evaluated the stemness phenotype of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 human breast cancer cells cultured within gels of varying Col-I densities. High Col-I density increased CD44+CD24− breast cancer stem cell (BCSC) immunophenotype but failed to potentiate Col-I fiber alignment, cell self-renewal, and clonogenicity in MDA-MB-231 cells. In MCF-7 cells, high Col-I density decreased the total levels of variant CD44 (CD44v). Common to both cell types, high Col-I density induced neither markers related to CSC nor mechanically-induced cell response. We conclude that high Col-I density per se is not sufficient to fully increase and sustain the BCSC phenotype.

Introduction
Breast cancer is the most frequent and the second deadliest cancer worldwide (Torre et al., 2015). Although the 5-year relative survival rate for breast cancer patients has recently reached almost 90% (Sant et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2016), incidence and death rates have been increasing in developing countries (Miller et al., 2016). Also, recurrence affects 30% of patients within five years after diagnosis and is related to factors such as hormone receptor status, disease stage, tumor differentiation (Cheng et al., 2012), and the existence of cancer stem cells (CSCs; Zhou et al., 2010). CSCs maintain intratumoral heterogeneity by being able to self-renew and to differentiate (Lapidot et al., 1994; Nassar & Blanpain, 2016). CSCs are believed to drive disease recurrence due to its enhanced resistance towards therapy, which is linked to a higher expression of drug transporters, higher resistance to DNA damage, and quiescence (Borst, 2012).

Interestingly, low nuclear levels of proliferative marker Estrogen Receptor alpha (ERα) is common in BCSCs (Simões et al., 2011). Also, epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), which includes downregulation of E-cadherin and upregulation of Snai1, is a process tightly correlated with the acquisition of stemness traits by breast cells (Mani et al., 2008).

Similar to its normal counterparts, CSCs are also identified by distinct immunophenotypes, such as the CD44+/CD24- (Al-Hajj et al., 2003), and their characteristics are also maintained by the expression of pluripotency factors, such as Nanog (Jeter et al., 2009) and Oct-4 (Beltran et al., 2011). CSCs are modulated by the non-cellular and cellular components (Calabrese et al., 2007; Pickup, Mouw & Weaver, 2014) of the tumor microenvironment, the former mainly represented by the extracellular matrix (ECM).

The ECM is a highly dynamic structure that regulates a multitude of cellular processes, such as migration, invasion, proliferation, and differentiation (Pickup, Mouw & Weaver, 2014). The ECM is composed of a great variety of macromolecules, such as polysaccharides, glycoproteins, and proteins, with type I collagen (i.e., Col-I) being its most abundant component (Ricard-Blum, 2011). Increased Col-I density in the breast tumor-associated ECM potentiates tumorigenesis and metastasis (Provenzano et al., 2008; Kakkad et al., 2012). It also correlates with high stiffness (Fenner et al., 2014) in mammographically assessed high breast density (Alowami et al., 2003; Huo et al., 2015), which is per se one of the highest risk factors for breast cancer development (Li et al., 2005). In its turn, stiffness is a physical trigger that elicits a mechanically-induced cell response (i.e., mechanotransduction; Wang, 2017) by regulation of mechanotransducers, such as transcriptional coactivators YAP/TAZ (Dupont et al., 2011). Also, nuclear translocation of YAP/TAZ upregulates the BCSC phenotype both in vitro and in vivo (Cordenonsi et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015a).

As Col-I enrichment fosters tumorigenesis, progression, and metastasis of breast cancer, we hypothesized that this effect relies on the upregulation of the BCSC phenotype. We tested this hypothesis by culturing MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cell lines within low-, intermediate- and high-density Col-I gels (Figure 1). Cells were also cultured in two-dimensional (2D) surface of tissue culture plates and as mammospheres (MS) to respectively represent conventional and breast cancer stem cell (BCSC) culture (Figure 1). We observed that high Col-I density upregulates CD44+/CD24- BCSC immunophenotype and inhibits mesenchymal morphology of MDA-MB-231 cells. In MCF-7 cells, high Col-I density decreased the total levels of variant CD44 (CD44v). However, high Col-I density fails to upregulate CSC-related markers and clonogenic and mammosphere formation efficiency as well as matrix remodeling measured by Col-I fiber alignment. Overall, high Col-I density fails to upregulate the BCSC phenotype.
Materials & Methods

Cell culture
Mammary tumor cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 were acquired from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, USA). Human embryonic carcinoma cell line NTERA-2 was kindly provided by Professor Rodrigo A. Panepucci (Fundação Hemoce centro de Ribeirão Preto, FUNDHERP, Brazil). MDA-MB-231, MCF-7, and NTERA-2 cells were cultured in DMEM-F12 (Sigma Aldrich, USA) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS; Cultilab, Brazil) and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco, USA). Cells were cultured in 2D surface of polystyrene tissue culture plates (Corning, USA) and within Col-I gels. Col-I gels were prepared on ice by mixing rat tail type I collagen (cat. 354249, Corning, USA), 10X DMEM-F12, and 10X Reconstitution Buffer (2.2% NaHCO$_3$, 4.8% HEPES in 0.2N NaOH) in a ratio of 8:1:1, respectively. In order to obtain different Col-I densities in the final mixture, the collagen solution was further diluted in 0.02N Acetic acid. Then, cell suspension was added to the mixture in a volume that would not exceed 1% of the final volume, to not significantly interfere with the final concentration of collagen. Col-I final mixture (175µl) was added to wells of 24-well culture plates (Corning, USA) and placed in the CO$_2$ incubator for 1 hour to polymerize. Col-I gels were then covered with the appropriate cell culture media. Col-I gels were detached from the bottom of wells with the aid of a pipette tip and Col-I gels (Figure 1). We opted for floating gels to avoid cell attachment and spreading on the bottom of wells, which is hardly accomplished when using restrained gels.

Flow cytometry
Cells were plated on a 2D surface (1x10$^4$ cells/35mm dish) and within Col-I gels (2x10$^4$ cells/gel) placed into 24-well plates (Corning, USA), as previously described. After seven days in culture, Col-I gels were recovered, washed with PBS 1X, and incubated with 2.5 mg/ml type I collagenase (cat. LS004196, Worthington Biochemical) solution at 37ºC for 20 minutes under gentle agitation. Collagenase was used as it was shown to not alter the levels of CD44 expression (Biddle et al., 2013; Tsuji et al., 2017). Next, gel-recovered cells and cells in 2D were dissociated with 0.05% EDTA/PBS buffer and washed twice with PBS. Cells were incubated for 20 minutes in the dark at 4ºC with CD44-FITC (cat. 555478, BD Biosciences) and CD24-PE (cat. 555428, BD Biosciences) fluorophore-conjugated primary antibodies diluted 1:50 in blocking buffer (0.5% m/v BSA). After washing, cells were incubated with fixation buffer (0.5% m/v BSA in 2% m/v Paraformaldehyde) and analyzed on a BD FACSCalibur™ (BD Biosciences, USA) flow cytometer. Unstained cells and magnetic beads (BD™ CompBead, EUA) stained with either CD44-FITC or CD24-PE alone were used to determine cell autofluorescence and to perform fluorescence compensation, respectively. At least 10,000 events were acquired in each run. Dot-plot graphs and manual gating (Supplemental Figure 1) were generated using FACSalyzer software, which is freely available online at https://sourceforge.net/projects/fcsalyzer/.

PCR array
MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured on a 2D surface and within Col-I gels for seven days. Cells were recovered as previously described, and total RNA was extracted with RNeasy Mini kit (cat., 74104, Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The total RNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically (Epoch, Biotek) by measuring the 260/280 nm ratio, and total RNA integrity was checked by running an agarose gel electrophoresis. Next, cDNA was...
synthesized from 0.5µg RNA using RT² First Strand and mixed with RT² SYBR Green mastermix according to manufacturer's instructions. The final mixture was added to the wells of RT² Profiler™ PCR Array Human Cancer Stem Cells (cat. 330231, Qiagen, EUA) array plates. Briefly, this PCR array consists of a 96-well plate containing primers (1 pair/well) for amplification of CSC-related genes (84 wells), endogenous control genes (5 wells), and quality-check controls (7 wells). The reactions were read in StepOnePlus™ (ThermoFisher®, USA) quantitative PCR (qPCR) system according to the manufacturer's recommendations for this equipment. Data were loaded in the analysis website (PCR Array Data Analysis Web Portal, Qiagen), and expression of the 84 genes was normalized to the arithmetic mean of the expression of endogenous control genes. The online analysis platform also generated the heatmap of gene expression and gene clustering based on modulation of expression. A list with complete data is provided in Supplemental Table 1.

qPCR
cDNA was obtained as described in the previous section and amplified with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix Kit (ThermoFisher®, USA) in a StepOnePlus™ (Applied Biosystems, USA) PCR system. Relative quantification of gene expression was calculated according to Pfaffl (Pfaffl, 2001) using GAPDH as an endogenous control for mRNA normalization. The sequences of primers are presented in Supplemental Table 2.

Immunoblotting
Cells were cultured for seven days on a 2D surface (5x10^3 cells/dish) or within Col-I gels (25x10^3 cells/gel), or ten days in suspension for mammosphere generation (5x10^3 cells/well). Protein extraction was performed at 4°C with ice-cold reagents. Cells in 2D surface were washed in PBS and then lysed with RIPA lysis buffer (150mM Sodium Chloride, 1.0% NP-40, 0.5% Sodium Deoxycholate, 0.1% Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0) containing phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, USA). Col-I gels were collected, washed with PBS, and briefly centrifuged for removal of excess liquid. Col-I gels were transferred to microtubes containing lysis buffer and triturated with the aid of a sharp point tip. Mammospheres (MS) were collected, washed in PBS, and lysed with RIPA buffer. Samples were kept under agitation for 20 minutes with occasional vortexing and then centrifuged (11000rpm for 20 minutes). Supernatant protein content was measured with Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay (ThermoFisher, USA) kit, except for gel lysates due to Col-I interference with the assay. Whole-cell lysates were then boiled (95°C, 10 minutes) with β-mercaptoethanol for protein denaturation and electrophoresed (15-30µg, 20-40% volume of Col-I lysate) in 10% polyacrylamide gels (SDS-PAGE). Proteins were transferred to Hybond ECL nitrocellulose membranes (GE, USA) and blocked overnight at 4°C with TBS buffer containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) and 5% non-fat milk. Blots were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody in blocking buffer, washed with TBS-T (4x5 minutes), and incubated for 1h at room temperature with secondary antibody in blocking buffer, followed by washing with TBS-T. Chemiluminescent detection was performed with Clarity Western ECL Substrate kit (BioRad, USA) in MF-Chemibis 3.2 (DNR Bio-Image Systems, Israel). Densitometry was performed in Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012). List of antibodies used for immunoblotting is provided in Supplemental Table 3.

Analysis of self-renewal potential
Cells previously cultured on 2D surface (5x10^3 cells/plate) or within Col-I gels (25x10^3 cells/gel) for 7 days were collected, dissociated, and cell suspension was plated in ultra-low attachment 6-well plates (Corning, USA) at low cell density (5x10^3 cells/well) in DMEM-F12 containing 20ng/ml bFGF (Sigma, USA), 20ng/ml EGF (Sigma, USA) and 1X B-27 supplement (Gibco, USA). Plates remained undisturbed in a CO_2 incubator for ten days, and then 25 images were acquired per well in an Axio Vert.A1 microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRc camera (Carl Zeiss, Germany). Mammospheres were segmented in Fiji by sequentially applying minimum and median filters of 1- and 3-pixel radius followed by an auto threshold.

**Immunofluorescence**

Cells were cultured on a 2D surface (100 cells/13mm round glass coverslips) (Knitel, Germany) for seven days, then washed with PBS, fixed with 4% Paraformaldehyde (PF) for 10 minutes, washed once again and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS. Next, cells were incubated for 1 hour in blocking buffer containing 1% BSA, 0.2% Triton X-100, 0.05% sodium azide, and 10% Goat Serum (KPL, USA) in PBS. Cells were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer, washed with PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20 (PBS-T) and incubated for 1h at room temperature with secondary antibody in blocking buffer. Cells were washed with PBS, followed by distilled water, and coverslips were mounted on glass slides with SlowFade® Gold or ProLong® Gold mounting medium with DAPI (ThermoFisher, USA). Col-I gels were washed, fixed with 4% PF for 15 minutes, and incubated with 0.15M Glycine in PBS for 10 minutes to quench aldehyde groups. Next, gels were washed, permeabilized with 0.5% TX-100 in PBS, and blocked overnight at 4°C. Gels were then cut in 4-6 pieces, and each piece was incubated overnight at 4°C with a primary antibody. Gels were extensively washed with PBS-T and incubated for 1h at room temperature with appropriate secondary antibodies. After extensive washing with PBS-T and water, gels were mounted on glass slides and covered with either SlowFade® Gold or ProLong® Gold mounting medium with DAPI (ThermoFisher, USA). MS were collected in microtubes previously washed with 0.5% BSA in PBS. After pelleting, MS were suspended with PBS, and small aliquots (~25µL) were pipetted in areas of glass slides delimited with a hydrophobic pen (Super PAP Pen, USA). Glass slides were air-dried for 5 minutes at 37°C in an incubator, fixed for 10 minutes and incubated with 0.15M Glycine in PBS. After permeabilization (0.5% TX-100 in PBS) for 15 minutes, slides were washed with PBS and incubated with a blocking buffer. Next, slides were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies, washed with PBS-T and incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 hour. Slides were further washed with PBS-T and MS were covered with SlowFade® Gold or ProLong® Gold mounting medium with DAPI (ThermoFisher, USA), followed by a 13mm round glass coverslip. Samples were rather stained with SYTOX Green (Molecular Probes, USA; 1:5000 dilution) instead of DAPI when imaging was performed with Axio Observer.Z1. A list of antibodies used for immunofluorescence is provided in Supplemental Table 3.

**Immunofluorescence image analysis**

Images were acquired on an Axio Vert.A1 fluorescence microscope or Axio Observer.Z1 with a motorized stage operated by Zen Blue 2.0 software and located at CEFAp (University of São Paulo, Brazil). z-stacks were deconvolved with Richardson-Lucy Total Variation (RLTV) algorithm in the DeconvolutionLab2 plugin (EPFL, Switzerland) of Fiji. Cell nuclei were segmented manually by Gaussian blur filter (3-pixel radius) application followed by auto
threshold and watershed partitioning. Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of nuclear markers was calculated as the fluorescence intensity of a nuclear marker per cell nucleus area. For cell membrane markers, the "Moments" threshold was applied after an image was subjected to background subtraction (rolling ball radius = 5-pixel radius) and minimum filter (0-pixel radius).

**Clonogenic assay**

Cells previously cultured on a 2D surface (5x10^3 cells/plate) or within Col-I gels (25x10^3 cells/gel) for 7 days were collected, dissociated and the cell suspension was cultured in 60mm culture plates at low cell density (250 cells/plate) for 14 days, with cell media exchange every other day. Cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 4% PF for 10 minutes, and stained with 0.1% Crystal Violet solution in 20% Methanol for 2 minutes. Cells were cautiously washed with distilled water and air-dried overnight at room temperature. Digital images of the colonies were acquired in a BioDoc-It (UVP, USA) imaging system and counted in Fiji software following instructions of a previous report (Cai et al., 2011).

**Live cell imaging and confocal reflectance**

Cells were cultured within Col-I gels (250 cells/gel) for seven days in 24-well glass-bottom culture plates (MatTek, USA). Staining with CellTracker™ Green (ThermoFisher, USA) was performed as previously described (Ueda et al., 2004). Briefly, gels were washed twice with serum-free media and incubated for 45 minutes with 25µM CellTracker™ Green (ThermoFisher, USA) in a CO_2 incubator. Next, gels were washed with serum-free media and incubated with complete media in a CO_2 incubator until analysis. Confocal reflectance microscopy was performed as described (Kraning-Rush et al., 2012). Briefly, images were acquired on an Axio Observer.Z1 inverted microscope with LSM 700 (Carl Zeiss, Germany) in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere and 37°C humidified environment. Samples were illuminated through an 80/20 dichroic mirror with 405 nm laser and a LD-C-Achromat 40x/1.1 NA water immersion objective. Cells were imaged with a 488 nm laser. Z-stacks (0.31µm x 0.31µm x 0.95µm; xyz) were acquired in the center/middle of the gels. Cells were later manually segmented in Fiji.

**Angular distribution of Col-I fibers**

We analyzed the angular orientation of the Col-I fibers according to a previous study (Franco-Barraza et al., 2016). Briefly, the images were opened in Fiji and processed in the OrientationJ plugin (Rezakhaniha et al., 2012). “Direction” function was used to determine the most frequent fiber angle in the image (i.e., mode). “Distribution” function was then used to generate a histogram of the number of fibers (y-axis) at each orientation angle between -90° and +90° (x-axis). Next, the “Analysis” function was used to assess the hue of fiber orientation angles, and 0° was assigned with cyan and normalized to the mode angle in Photoshop CC software (Adobe Systems, USA).

**Degree of Col-I fiber alignment**

The anisotropy (directional dependence) of Col-I fibers was evaluated as previously described (Wang et al., 2018). Briefly, the angular distribution of the fibers was approximated to a normal (Gaussian) distribution using GraphPad Prism v.7 software (GraphPad, USA). The anisotropy ratio, which quantifies the directionality of orientation, was calculated as the ratio between the maximum height and Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) of the Gaussian distribution. The
ratio between fibers within 30° from the mode angle (i.e., 15° to +15°) and total fibers was also used to access coherency in angle orientation (Franco-Barraza et al., 2016).

**Statistical analysis**

GraphPad Prism v.7 was used for statistical analysis. Means of three or more groups of an independent variable were compared by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post-test. Means of three or more groups of two independent variables were compared by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-test. The difference between groups was considered statistically significant when p<0.05. The absence of asterisks in graphics means the absence of a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Effect of Col-I density on CSC immunophenotype of mammary cancer cells**

We initially evaluated by flow cytometry the levels of the well-known CSC immunophenotype CD44⁺CD24⁻ in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 human breast cancer cell lines grown on a 2D surface or within Col-I gels. Both cell lines presented some level of CD44⁺CD24⁻ immunophenotype (Figure 2A). MCF-7 cells showed similar levels of CD44⁺CD24⁻ subpopulation in all groups, while MDA-MB-231 cells showed a higher proportion of CD44⁺CD24⁻ subpopulation in gels of intermediate and high Col-I density (Figure 2B). These results suggest that the increase in Col-I density favors the CSC phenotype in MDA-MB-231 cells.

**Effect of Col-I density on the CSC-related genes in MDA-MB-231 cells**

High throughput techniques allow concomitant evaluation of the various molecular components that regulate the functional properties of CSC. We then evaluated the expression of dozens of genes related to BCSC phenotype in MDA-MB-231 cells by a PCR array kit (RT² Profiler, Qiagen, Germany). Col-I modulated the expression of 29 CSC-related genes, relative to the traditional monolayer culture. Of these 29 genes, 13 were downregulated, and 16 were upregulated (Figure 3A). Positively regulated genes included classic pluripotency markers (Nanog, Lin28a, Pou5f1/Oct4). Nevertheless, Col-I densities similarly modulated gene expression (Figure 3A). In RT-qPCR validation, cells grown within Col-I gels showed higher Nanog levels compared to those grown on monolayer, while Pou5f1/Oct4 levels remained unaltered and Snai1 levels were diminished in low Col-I density. Once again, Col-I density did not modulate the expression of analyzed genes (Figure 3B). These results suggest Col-I modulates the expression of CSC-related genes relative to monolayer culture regardless of its density.

**Effect of Col-I density on CSC-related protein levels in MDA-MB-231 cells**

Protein levels of CSC-markers were first evaluated by immunoblotting. Nanog levels were undetectable in cells cultured either in monolayer or within Col-I gels, while CD44 and Integrin-α6 levels were similar among all groups (Figure 4A, B). In contrast, monolayer cells presented high levels of YAP and its positive regulator PP2A in comparison to cells cultured within Col-I gels. The levels of an inhibitor and inducer of YAP activity, namely Sav1 and Wbp2, remained unaltered (Figure 4A, B). Importantly, Col-I density did not modulate the protein levels of the proteins, as mentioned above. We next evaluated the subcellular localization of CSC-related
markers by immunofluorescence. Both Nanog (Figure 5A-D) and YAP (Figure 5E-H) were mainly cytoplasmic, and as expected, CD44 was located at the cell membrane (Figure 5I-L). Notably, Col-I density modulated neither subcellular localization nor levels of CD44 (Figure 5P) and nuclear YAP (Figure 5Q) nor proliferation measured by PCNA (Figure 5R).

**Effect of Col-I density on the CSC-related functional properties of MDA-MB-231 cells**

We proceeded to the functional analysis of MDA-MB-231 cells cultured within gels of varying Col-I densities. We evaluated the colony formation efficiency of MDA-MB-231 cells by the clonogenic assay in tissue culture plates, and the self-renewal capability by the mammosphere formation assay in ultra-low attachment plates. Both properties remained unaltered among groups, whether comparing the culture environment (monolayer vs. Col-I) or Col-I density (Figure 6A-J). Mammosphere area, diameter, and circularity were also very similar among groups (Figure 6K-M). These results suggest Col-I density modulates neither proliferation nor self-renewal of MDA-MB-231 cells.

**Morphological characterization of Col-I gels and MDA-MB-231 cells**

Col-I gel architecture is affected by factors such as cell culture media, gel thickness, and cell presence, while cell morphology also changes in response to Col-I density (Carey et al., 2012). We then evaluated the morphology of acellular and cellular Col-I gels and the associated cells. To that end, gels containing live cells were stained with Cell Tracker Green (ThermoFisher, USA) and imaged in confocal microscopy (Supplemental Figure 2). We observed that the width (Figure 7A), length (Figure 7B), and straightness (Figure 7C) of Col-I fibers remained unaltered, regardless of Col-I density or cell presence. The porous area of acellular Col-I gels decreased with the increase in Col-I density (Figure 7D). High Col-I density impaired cell elongation measured by cell aspect ratio (i.e., cell major axis/cell minor axis; Figure 7G). Col-I density did not modulate cell perimeter (Figure 7E), area (Figure 7F), solidity (Figure 7H), or circularity (Figure 7I). These results suggest Col-I density minimally alters the morphology of both cells and Col-I fibers.

**Col-I fiber organization in cellular and acellular gels**

Our next goal was to analyze the microarchitecture of Col-I gels and its interaction with MDA-MB-231 cells. Gels stained with Cell Tracker Green were imaged in fluorescence and reflectance mode on a confocal microscope to detect cells and Col-I fibers, respectively. Cells did not show a preferential orientation (Figure 8A-C), and Col-I fibers were similarly distributed across the gel, regardless of cell presence (Figure 8D-I). Normalized angular orientation visually demonstrated a similar variety of angle distribution among groups (Figure 8J-O). We next quantitatively analyzed Col-I fiber orientation by measuring the proportion of fibers within 30° of the most observed angular orientation (i.e., mode). We observed no difference between groups (Figure 9A, B) regardless of cell presence and Col-I density, implying these did not modulate Col-I fiber angular orientation. We further evaluated the global angular orientation of Col-I gels by calculating their anisotropy ratio values, which quantifies the directionality of fiber angular orientation. To do so, we first subjected Col-I fiber frequency histograms (Figure 9A) to a Gaussian fit (Figure 9C), and the anisotropy ratio was later calculated as the ratio between Gaussian fit maximum height by its full width half maximum (FWHM). Anisotropy ratio of gels was similar regardless of cell presence or Col-I density (Figure 9E), further suggesting such parameters did not affect global fiber angular orientation.
Effect of Col-I density on CSC markers of mammosphere-derived MCF-7 cells

Previous results showed a minimal positive effect of Col-I density on the CSC phenotype of MDA-MB-231 cells. We then tested whether Col-I density associated with a known stimulating environment for CSC development and maintenance would favor such a process. To do so, MCF-7 mammospheres were dissociated into single cells that were cultured within Col-I gels and fed with mammosphere specific media for a week (Figure 10A). Gels were subjected to immunofluorescence or protein extraction for immunoblotting. Col-I did not significantly affect Integrin-α6 and CD44 standard (CD44s) levels (Figure 10B, C). However, the increase in Col-I density reduced CD44 variant (CD44v) and E-cadherin and increased Sox2 levels (Figure 10B, D). In contrast, high Col-I density upregulated total levels of estrogen receptor α (ERα). Once again, Col-I density failed to regulate total YAP levels (Figure 10C, D). Regarding the subcellular localization of CSC markers, we observed a subtle cytoplasmic expression of Sox2 in mammospheres but no detectable expression in cells cultured within Col-I gels (Figure 11A-D). YAP was localized throughout the whole cell (Figure 11E-H), and its levels were similar regardless of Col-I density (Figure 11Y), as similarly noted for ERα (Figure 11I-L, X). Both CD44 (Figure 11M-P) and E-cadherin (Figure 11Q-T) were localized at the cell membrane, and their levels were similar among groups (Figure 11Z, AA). The levels of proliferative cells identified by Ki67 nuclear expression (Figure 11U-W) were also unaltered by Col-I density (11BB). Overall, Col-I density failed to modulate CSC markers levels and subcellular localization in mammosphere-derived MCF-7 cells.

Discussion

ECM is an important modulator of several physiological and pathological processes. Moreover, its structural composition is extremely varied and complex (Bonnans, Chou & Werb, 2014). Understanding how collagen enrichment in the mammary gland plays its significant role in breast cancer is thus mandatory. On the other side, CSC is a focus of attention due to their high resilience and contribution to tumor onset and maintenance (Nassar & Blanpain, 2016). To further shed light on this topic, we evaluated whether high Col-I density positively regulates stemness in breast cancer cells.

The CD44+CD24− subpopulation was the first identified as CSC in breast cancer (Al-Hajj et al., 2003). Our results show no difference in CD44+CD24− subpopulation levels between cells cultured in 2D surface or within Col-I gels. Nonetheless, CD44+CD24− subpopulation was increased in HD relative to LD condition. However, a recent study has shown that culture in Col-I scaffolds induces higher levels of CD44+CD24− subpopulation than 2D surface (Chen et al., 2012). The Col-I framework difference between this study and ours may have a role in such disparity (Tan et al., 2015) and should be a subject of further research.

Pluripotency markers are crucial for the maintenance of CSC-related phenotype and functional activity (Jeter et al., 2009; Stolzenburg et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2015). We observed that high Col-I density upregulates Nanog but not Oct4 transcripts relative to cell culture in 2D surface, as seen before (Reynolds et al., 2017). Our study did not address whether this effect is due to Col-I per se or to the distinct culture environments between 2D surface and Col-I gels (3D). Further studies comparing CSC-related genes of cells cultured in 2D and Col-I-coated 2D are then needed. High Col-I density modestly impacts Nanog nuclear levels in colorectal carcinoma cells in comparison to low Col-I density (Pankova et al., 2019). As for MCF-7, our results are in line
with Sox2 and Bmi1 transcripts, but not nuclear ERα, being increased in Col-I-enriched ER⁺ murine breast tumors (Shea et al., 2018). A multitude of cell surface proteins and glycoproteins have been associated with the BCSC phenotype. In contrast to our results, CD44 is highly expressed in Col-I-enriched regions of human breast tumors (Pang et al., 2016). Of note, tumor-associated macrophages (TAM; Esbona et al., 2018) and CAFs (Sharon et al., 2015) are enriched in desmoplasia and are important source of Osteopontin, a glycoprotein that both interacts with CD44 and increases its levels (Rao et al., 2013; Sharon et al., 2015). Thus, the absence of stromal cells in our experimental model may explain the lack of measurable increase in CD44 levels similar to what was observed in those cancer-associated desmoplasias. We also observe that high Col-I density downregulates CD44v levels without a compensatory increase in CD44s levels in MCF-7 cells. CD44v⁺ tumor cells are highly metastatic (Hu et al., 2017), while CD44s mediates invadopodia activity and maintenance of BCSC traits (Zhao et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019). Further studies are necessary to evaluate the impact of CD44v decrease in MCF-7 phenotype. Integrin-α6 preferentially binds laminin and is an important marker of various stem cell types (Krebsbach & Villa-Diaz, 2017). Indeed, Integrin-α6 levels are upregulated by increasing Col-I density of Col-I/laminin-rich ECM (e.g., Matrigel) gels (Paszek et al., 2005). The increase in Col-I density alone fails to upregulate Integrin-α6 levels in our experimental model. Thus, laminin may have a critical role in Col-I density-mediated Integrin-α6 upregulation. Lastly, our results suggest that previously reported downregulation of E-cadherin by Col-I (Cheng & Leung, 2011) relies on Col-I density. Proliferation markers may also aid in the identification of BCSC. For example, ALDH⁺ breast tumors display higher proportion of proliferation marker PCNA and a worse clinical outcome (Gong et al., 2010) while Ki-67+, another classical proliferation marker, is essential for the functional characteristics of BCSC (Cidado et al., 2016). We demonstrate that Col-I density does not modulate Ki67 levels of MCF-7 cells, it corroborates in vitro and in vivo findings of similar studies also involving ERα⁺ environment (Barcus et al., 2015, 2017; Shea et al., 2018). In contrast, an increase in Col-I density appears to reduce PCNA levels in MDA-MB-231 cells and corroborate in situ proliferation downregulation previously shown (Kim et al., 2015b). In conclusion, cells with different ER status may respond differently to Col-I density concerning the expression of cell proliferation markers. Mechanical factors are also critical in modulating biological processes. The Hippo pathway mediates the cellular response to these mechanical factors (Cordenonsi et al., 2011). In our study model, Col-I density fails to modulate YAP mechanotransducer levels or subcellular localization in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. On the other hand, YAP response to Col-I density varies greatly even with the phenotypically indistinguishable fibroblasts and mesenchymal stem cells (Ali, Chuang & Saif, 2014; Ishihara et al., 2017). It further suggests that Col-I density enrichment may not universally lead to YAP activation. Moreover, Col-I density does not modulate SAV1 and WBP2 expression, respectively inhibitor, and inducer of YAP activity. Our results suggest YAP-independent mechanotransduction in a model of Invasive Ductal Carcinoma similar to recently reported for Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (Lee et al., 2019). Therefore care should be taken to evaluate the clinical relevance of YAP-targeting therapies for breast cancer patients (Liu et al., 2017). Functionally, BCSCs are capable of generating mammospheres in vitro. Culture within Col-I gels is known to not modulate mammosphere formation efficiency relative to the 2D surface (Reynolds et al., 2017). Here we provide evidence that such an effect seems to be independent of Col-I density. On the other hand, unaltered Ki67 levels help to explain the absence of
clonogenicity modulation by Col-I density. In terms of morphology, high Col-I density impaired cell elongation, presumably due to smaller pore size caused by increased fiber density. Interestingly, this did not lead to decreased fiber alignment and went in line with a previous report showing MDA-MB-231 displaces fibers of low and high-density Col-I gels (Riching et al., 2014). Of note, our study was limited in the sense that it only explored Col-I remodeling on Col-I floating gels. Gel restraining would lead to increased sense of stiffness by cells regardless of Col-I density and likely to a pronounced mechanotransduction resulting in greater cellular contractility and matrix remodeling (Wozniak et al., 2003).

Conclusions

In this study, we hypothesized that high Col-I density upregulates BCSC phenotype. On contrary, apart from upregulation of a BCSC immunophenotype, our results suggest that high Col-I density fails to fully impact the BCSC phenotype both in molecular and functional levels. Indeed, more recent reports underscore the importance of tumor microenvironment (Shea et al., 2018) and Col-I topography (Ray et al., 2017) to the maintenance of BCSC phenotype and functionality in Col-I-enriched environments. Future studies should evaluate whether Col-I density impacts stemness of primary cancer cells, which are more prone to plasticity. Considering how the increase of tumor stiffness associated with Col-I enrichment is attracting therapeutic attention (Lampi & Reinhart-King, 2018), our results further support directing efforts into better understanding how matrix topography and composition associates with Col-I-enrichment to drive breast cancer progression and stemness.
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Figure 1

Scheme of cell culture environments used in this study.

Cell lines (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) were cultured on a 2D surface to represent conventional cell culture, while culture within floating Col-I gels was used to evaluate Col-I density effect on cells. Mammosphere culture was used to enrich cells with the BCSC phenotype.
Figure 2

Flow cytometry-based analysis of CD44^+ CD24^- subpopulation levels in breast cancer cell lines cultured on a 2D surface and within Col-I gels.

Cell lines (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) were cultured for 7 days on a 2D surface and within Col-I gels, then collected, fixed and immunolabeled with CD44-FITC and CD24-PE. (A) Dot-plot graphs with subpopulations expressing none, one or both the analyzed molecules. The lower-right gate (Q3) contains the subpopulation of interest (CD44^+ CD24^-). Each cell line has a specific autofluorescence intensity, resulting in an equally specific division of the graphs. (B,C) Bar graphs represent the mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments. (One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test, * p <0.05).
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Figure 3

Gene expression analysis of markers associated with the CSC phenotype of MDA-MB-231 cells.

(A) Hierarchical clustering of 29 differentially expressed genes in MDA-MB-231 cells cultured on a 2D surface or within Col-I gels. The increasing subdivision of the initial branch (from left to right) approximates genes with increasing similarity of expression modulation. The heatmap represents a single independent experiment. The magnitude of gene expression is represented by color scale, from blue (smaller magnitude) to red (larger magnitude). (B) RT-qPCR analysis of Nanog, Pou5f1/Oct4 and Snai1 mRNA expression. Results are presented in log$_2$ fold change expression relative to 2D surface group after normalization with Gapdh mRNA. Bar graphs represent the mean ± SD of three biological replicates. (One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test;*p≤0.05 and **p≤0.01, relative to 2D surface group).
Figure 4

Immunoblotting of CSC-related and mechanotransduction markers from MDA-MB-231 cells cultured on a 2D surface or within Col-I gels

(A) Representative gels of CSC-related and cell mechanotransduction markers. Whole cell lysate of NTERA-2 human embryonic carcinoma cell line was used as a positive control for the expression of Nanog. β-actin was used as endogenous control. (B) Bar graphs represent mean ± SD of at least two independent experiments. (One-way ANOVA followed by BonFerroni post-test; **p<0.01, relative to the 2D surface group of the respective POI).
A.

**BCSC markers**

| Exposure  | 2D | LD | ID | HD | NTERA-2 |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----------|
| **Nanog** |    |    |    |    |          |
| **Integrin-α6** |    |    |    |    | - 40kDa |
| **CD44** |    |    |    |    | - 120kDa |
| **β-actin** |    |    |    |    | - 75kDa |

**Hippo pathway**

| Marker  | 2D | LD | ID | HD |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| **YAP** |    |    |    |    |
| **PP2A** |    |    |    |    |
| **WBP2** |    |    |    |    |
| **SAV1** |    |    |    |    |
| **β-actin** |    |    |    |    |

B.

![Bar graph showing protein expression levels](image)

**Protein expression (POI)/β-actin**

| Protein | 2D | LD | ID | HD |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| Integrin-α6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| CD44    | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| YAP     | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| PP2A    | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| WBP2    | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| SAV1    | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
Figure 5

Immunofluorescence of CSC-related, mechanotransduction and proliferation markers from MDA-MB-231 cells cultured on a 2D surface or within Col-I gels

(A-O) Representative images of the expression of CSC-related (Nanog and CD44), mechanotransduction (YAP) and proliferation (PCNA) markers in gray scale. Cell nuclei were replaced by their contours (white dashed line) for better visualization of Nanog and YAP nuclear expression. Scale bar: 20μm. Box & Whisker’s graph represents median and 5\textsuperscript{th}/95\textsuperscript{th} percentiles, as well as mean (+) of Mean Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) for YAP (P) and CD44 (Q) markers. (R) Bar graph represents mean percent ± SD of PCNA+ cells. Experiments were performed independently at least twice, with number of evaluated cells (n) ranging from 50 to 800. Objectives used: (A-H) 63x (2D) and 40x (Col-I); (I-L) 63x; (M-O) 10x.
Figure 6

Clonogenic and self-renewal potential of MDA-MB-231 cells cultured on a 2D surface or within Col-I gels.

(A-D) Evaluation of the clonogenic potential of MDA-MB-231 cells previously cultured on a 2D surface or within Col-I gels. Cells were collected and processed to obtain individualized cell suspension. After plating (500 cells/35mm plate), cells were cultured for 14 days and then washed, fixed and stained with crystal violet. The images were acquired with a transilluminator and represent technical replicates of one independent experiment. (E) Bar graphs represent mean ± SD of five independent experiments performed in technical quadruplicates. (F-I) Evaluation of mammosphere (MS)-formation efficiency by MDA-MB-231 cells previously cultured on a 2D surface or within Col-I gels. Cells were collected, processed to obtain individualized cell suspension and grown in ultra-low attachment 6-well plates (5000 cells/well) with culture medium enriched for MS formation. After 10 days, images of at least 25 fields per well were acquired. Dashed squares outline zoomed-in MS, which have a minimum diameter of 50μm (scale) and compact shape. Bar graphs (J) and scatter plots (K-M) represent mean ± SD of five independent experiments, performed in technical triplicate.
Figure 7

Analysis of individual shape descriptors of Col-I fibers and MDA-MB-231 cells.

Col-I fibers were imaged by confocal reflectance while cells were stained with CellTracker Green right before image acquisition. (A-D) Bar graphs represent mean ± SD of the width (A), length (B), straightness (C) of Col-I fibers and porous (non-fibrillar) area of the gels (D). The "-" and "+" signs (A-C) identify acellular and cellularized gels, respectively. (E-I) Column scatter plots of the perimeter (E), area (F), aspect ratio (G), solidity (H) and circularity (I) of evaluated MDA-MB-231 cells. Aspect ratio, solidity and circularity values are represented in arbitrary unities (a.u.). Column scatter plots represent mean ± SD of at least 20 cells imaged in three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way (D, E-I) or two-way ANOVA (A-C) followed by Bonferroni's post-test (D,G; *p<0.05, relative to LD).
Figure 8

Microstructure analysis of Col-I fibers by confocal reflectance.

MDA-MB-231 cells were stained with CellTracker Green® and imaged alive with a confocal microscope. Simultaneously, Col-I fibers were imaged by confocal reflectance microscopy. (A-C) Images depict cells (green) interacting with Col-I fibers (gray scale) in gels of varying Col-I densities. Images represent maximum intensity projections of z-stacks. (D-I) Maximum intensity projections of light reflected by Col-I fibers, by means of confocal reflectance technique. (J-O) Angular normalization of Col-I fibers detected in (D-I), with the most recurrent angle (i.e. mode) being normalized to 0° and attributed to cyan color. Angle assignment to each fiber was performed by OrientationJ plugin in Fiji software, while hue normalization of the color scale was performed in Adobe Photoshop CC software. Scale bar = 25μm.
Figure 9

Col-I fiber alignment in acellular and cellularized Col-I gels.

(A) Histogram represent normalized mean Col-I fiber frequency per angular orientation of gels. Vertical dashed lines highlight fiber angle proportion ranging 30° from mode angle (i.e. 0°) and quantified in (B). (B) Box & whiskers graphs represent median, 95th/5th percentiles and mean (+) of Col-I fiber proportion between +15° and -15° relative to mode angle. Images (n = 20-26) were acquired from three independent experiments. (C) Histogram represent Gaussian fit of normalized mean Col-I fiber frequency per angular orientation of gels. (D) Representation of Maximum Height and Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) of a Gaussian fit. (E) Bar graphs represent mean anisotropy ratio ± SD of three independent experiments, with at least 20 images analyzed per group.
Figure 10

Immunoblotting of CSC-related and mechanotransduction markers of MS-derived MCF-7 cells cultured within Col-I gels.

(A) MCF-7 cells were plated for ten days in ultra-low attachment plates for MS generation. Then, MS were collected, dissociated, and plated into Col-I gels. After seven days, Col-I gels were lysed for protein extraction. Representative gels for detection of CSC-related (B) and mechanotransduction markers (C) in MS and Col-I gels whole-cell lysates. The NTERA2 human embryonic carcinoma cell line was used as a positive control for Sox2 expression. (D) Densitometric analysis of immunoblottings. Bar graphs represent mean ± SD of at least two independent experiments.
Figure 11

Immunofluorescence of CSC-related, mechanotransduction and proliferation markers in MS-derived MCF-7 cultured within Col-I gels.

(A-W) Representative images of the expression of molecular markers (grayscale) and cell nuclei (blue). Cell nuclei were replaced by their contours (white dashed line) for better visualization of YAP (E-H) and ERα nuclear expression (I-L). Scale bar: 20μm. (X-AA) Box & Whiskers plot represent Mean Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) of the evaluated markers. Plots represent median, 95th/5th percentiles and mean (+) of each group data. (BB) Bar graph represents mean percentage (± SD) of cells expressing Ki-67 proliferation marker. Data refer to cells (n = 50-200) from at least two independent experiments.
