Low Prevalence of *ETV6::RUNX1* Fusion Gene in a Hispanic Population
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**ETV6::RUNX1** is a genetic rearrangement of good prognosis in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). In Mexico, its prevalence is low in comparison with Caucasian populations. We developed a novel TaqMan one-step RT-qPCR approach to assess the prevalence of four genetic rearrangements in a cohort of Hispanic
INTRODUCTION

B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) is a malignant transformation of B-lymphoid cell precursors characterized by uncontrolled proliferation and accumulation of leukemic blasts in bone marrow (1). In recent times, the mortality of B-ALL has increased considerably in Latin America. B-ALL is the second leading cause of death in the group aged between 5 and 14 years (2), with an estimated incidence of 49.5 cases per million in Mexico City. This incidence is one of the highest reported in North America and is similar to Hispanics living in the United States, with a predicted increase in mortality by 2030 (3–5). Over the last few years, the survival of children with ALL has not improved in Mexico; according to the CONCORD-3 study, the five-year survival rate for Mexican children with ALL was <60%, while in developed countries rates reached 90% 5 years after diagnosis (6). This low survival of Mexican children with ALL is mainly related to a high percentage of relapses (26.2%), infections (14.2%), chemotherapy-related toxicity during the first year of treatment (7.1%), and a high frequency of mortality during the induction-to-remission phase (7%), among others (7, 8). Additionally, a study on medical care in childhood ALL suggests that improvements in quality of care are required, especially in individuals living in areas with limited access to a specialized healthcare system to avoid delay in treatment by oncology-hematology specialists, enable timely treatment, and avoid abandonment (9).

The presence of translocations in cancer reflects the genomic instability of cells and may characterize specific pathways involved in malignancy; however, mechanisms that cause these translocations are poorly understood. Translocations, often associated with hematological malignancies, have been postulated as one of the main causes of oncogenic transformations associated with leukemogenesis during fetal development (10, 11). However, they are not sufficient by themselves to trigger the leukemic process; additional cooperating mutations are usually required (12). Specific translocations have been associated with an increase in the risk of developing B-ALL, and these may result in the deregulation of one of the genes involved (overexpression of proto-oncogenes or inhibition of tumor suppressor gene) or in the expression of oncogenic proteins such as BCR-ABL1 (12, 13). The study on translocations has allowed for the characterization of four common subtypes in children with B-ALL, KMT2A::AFF1, ETV6::RUNX1, BCR::ABL1p190, and TCF3::PBX1, which alter gene expression and can disturb signaling pathways. The improved detection of fusion genes with prognostic significance may aid in risk stratification and identification of patients who need more aggressive therapy and those for whom less intense therapy is warranted in order to reduce toxicity and relapse risks (14, 15). Fusion gene detection has contributed to improved survival rates in children from other populations, mainly in developed countries (16). In relation to the detection of fusion genes in Mexico, diverse studies (9, 17) have reported low prevalence of favorable ETV6::RUNX1 rearrangements (18–23). Interestingly, the prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 is also significantly lower in Hispanics than in non-Hispanic whites (24, 25). These studies reported that the low prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 may be the result of important ethnic and geographic differences related to the percentage of Native American and European genetic background in Mexicans (21). In Mexico, a FISH analysis revealed a low frequency of ETV6::RUNX1 (8.5%); and abnormalities as extra ETV6 and RUNX1 copies as well as structural changes in ETV6 in 44% of patients evidenced the different alterations that can occur in these genes in children with ALL (22, 23). In addition to the low prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 (6.9–14.9%) in México, in comparison to those reported in Caucasian populations (25%), the high prevalence of TCF3::PBX1 (11.5%) has also been detected, which supports the existence of ethnic differences in the frequency of molecular markers of ALL (19). However, these studies in Mexico have small sample sizes that were analyzed by low-sensitivity/specifiicity techniques. In this study, we sought to reduce two possible sources of error from previous studies that could be causing a low frequency identification of genetic rearrangements. For our study, participation was improved to reduce possible selection biases, including more than 80% of children diagnosed with ALL in Mexico City from 2018 to 2019. We also optimized the detection of ETV6::RUNX1, KMT2A::AFF1, BCR::ABL1p190, and TCF3::PBX1 fusions by TaqMan one-step RT-qPCR, which is a method with higher sensitivity and specificity than previously used techniques.
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METHODOLOGY

Development and Validation of the Method

We developed and validated a TaqMan one-step RT-qPCR method to optimize the detection of ETV6::RUNX1, KMT2A::AFF1, BCR::ABL1p190, and TCF3::PBX1 fusions. An overview of the development and validation of the method described here is presented in Supplementary Figure 1. To design primers and probes, we used the Primer3Plus software program; the position and sequences of the primers and probes are shown in Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 1. To validate the method, we used total RNAs derived from Reh (ATCC® CRL-8286™, RRID: CVCL_1650), SUP-B15 (ATCC® CRL-1929, RRID: CVCL_0103), and RS4;11 (ATCC® CRL-1873, RRID: CVCL_0093) leukemia cell lines. For repeatability evaluation, the detection of each transcript was independently tested four times on 5 days by the same operator, while for reproducibility the detection of each transcript was independently tested four times on 3 different days for all fusion genes and the internal control of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). Sensitivity was compared with QuanDxLeukemia Fusion Genes (Q30) Screening Kit (a multiplex system for simultaneous detection of 30 fusion genes in patients with leukemia) in a separate laboratory (Hospital Juarez de Mexico). Forty-five clinical samples as well as twenty-five fusion gene-positive samples outside the cohort were blindly analyzed with both the QuanDxLeukemia (Q30) kit and the TaqMan one-step RT-qPCR method (Supplementary Tables 3, 4). Plasmids containing the GAPDH, ETV6::RUNX1, KMT2A::AFFI, BCR::ABL1p190, and TCF3::PBX1 transcript sequences were generated by cloning amplified PCR products into a pET12 vector using CloneJet™ PCR Cloning Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific™). Then, the plasmids were transformed and propagated in E. coli JM109 and extracted using the GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific™) and sequenced using an ABI3500xl genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, United States). Plasmid DNA concentration was calculated using a Qubit dsDNA BR assay (Life Technologies Co., Carlsbad, CA, United States) to improve the accuracy of quantification. We converted DNA plasmid size to copy number by multiplying the number of base pairs of each plasmid by the average molecular mass of one base pair (~660 g/mol) to get the approximate mass and then divided it by Avogadro’s constant: NA = 6.022 × 10^23 molecules. The plasmids were serially diluted, and linear regression was performed between the cycle threshold value (Ct-value) and the log10 of the copy number. Amplification efficiency for each fusion reaction was calculated using the equation: $E = 10^{(−1/slope)}$ and converted to E% by $(E - 1) 	imes 100$.

Patients

This study was conducted from January 2018 to December 2019 with B-ALL cases. From a total of 279 cases from eight public hospitals in Mexico City, 247 available bone marrow samples were selected for one-step RT-qPCR analysis. The diagnosis of B-ALL was based on the morphology of leukemic cells and immunophenotyping. Table 1 contains relevant information about patients. The patients included had at least 18 months of follow-up. This study followed accepted principles of ethical and professional conduct according to approval by the National Ethics and Scientific Committee of IMSS (R-2015-785-121). Treatment protocols were: 1) St. Jude Total XIIIb; 2) modified BFM-95; 3) Dana-Farber Cancer Institute 00-01. Written informed consent was obtained from the children’s parents to participate in the study.

RNA Isolation From Bone Marrow Samples

Total RNA was extracted using the Direct-zol MiniPrep (Zymo Research, United States) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The quality of RNA was examined by analysis of GAPDH transcript copy numbers determined by plasmid DNA standard curves, which should be $>2.5 \times 10^5$ copies/ul, as has been previously reported (26). Only samples with sufficient quality were analyzed.

Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction for Fusion Gene Detection

After validation, fusion gene detection (ETV6::RUNX1, TCF3::PBX1, BCR::ABL1p190, KMT2A::AFFI, GAPDH) was carried out with 5 μl of 2× QuantiTect Probe RT-PCR Master Mix and HotStarTaq® DNA Polymerase using 0.5 μl QuantiTect RT Mix, 200 nM of each primer, and 500 nM of each TaqMan probe in a final volume of 50 μl. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 30 min at 50°C and 15 min at 95°C followed by 38 cycles of 94°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS IBM (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Inc., Version 21, Chicago, IL, United States). The prevalence of the four most common gene rearrangements in pediatric ALL was determined. The Kaplan-Meier (KM) method was used to estimate disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS), and a log-rank test was conducted to compare the survival curves at the <0.05 level of significance. DFS was defined as the time from documented complete remission (CR) to relapse or death from any cause.

RESULTS

Development and Validation of TaqMan One-Step RT-QPCR for Detection of ETV6::RUNX1, KMT2A::AFFI, BCR::ABL1p190, and TCF3::PBX1 Fusion Genes

We developed and validated a one-step TaqMan RT-qPCR method for the detection of the fusion gene transcripts ETV6::RUNX1, KMT2A::AFFI, BCR::ABL1p190, and TCF3::PBX1. The use of a one-step assay reduces bench time and, thus, pipetting errors, as well as cross-contamination between RT and PCR steps. We can detect fusion genes using 50-100 ng of total RNA, with a detection sensitivity of $\geq 100$ copies/μl of fusion transcripts. The standard curves using plasmid produced linear results, with high amplification efficiencies for the GAPDH (107%), ETV6::RUNX1 (101%), KMT2A::AFFI (97%), BCR::ABL1p190 (98%), and TCF3::PBX1 (106%) transcripts,
TABLE 1 | Clinical features of patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) by molecular subtype.

| ETV6:RUNX1 | TCF3::PBX1 | BCR::ABL1 p190 | KMT2A::AFF1 | Non-detected | Included patients | Not included patients | P-value** |
|------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Total numbers | 26 | 19 | 8 | 7 | 187 | 247 | 32 |   |
| Clinical subgroups | n (%c) (%) | n (%c) (%) | n (%c) (%) | n (%c) (%) | n (%c) (%) | n (%c) (%) | n (%) |   |
| **Sex** |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Male | 18 (69.2) (13.5) | 9 (47.4) (6.8) | 4 (50.0) (3.0) | 4 (57.1) (3.0) | 98 (52.4) (73.7) | 133 (53.8) (86.4) | 21 (65.6) (13.8) | 0.2 |
| Female | 8 (30.8) (7.0) | 10 (52.6) (8.8) | 4 (50.0) (3.5) | 3 (42.9) (2.6) | 89 (47.6) (78.1) | 114 (46.2) (91.2) | 11 (34.4) (0.8) |   |
| **Age subgroups (years)** |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| <1 | 0 (0.0) (0.0) | 0 (0.0) (0.0) | 0 (0.0) (0.0) | 0 (0.0) (0.0) | 3 (1.8) (100) | 3 (1.2) (75) | 1 (3.1) (25) | 0.39 |
| 1–5.99 | 15 (57.7) (17.4) | 8 (42.1) (9.3) | 1 (12.5) (1.2) | 1 (14.3) (1.2) | 61 (32.6) (70.9) | 86 (34.8) (85.1) | 15 (46.9) (14.9) |   |
| 6–9.9 | 6 (23.1) (10.0) | 3 (15.8) (5.0) | 2 (25.0) (3.3) | 2 (28.6) (3.3) | 47 (25.1) (78.3) | 60 (24.3) (92.3) | 5 (15.6) (7.7) |   |
| 10–14.9 | 2 (7.7) (2.8) | 7 (38.8) (9.8) | 4 (50.0) (5.6) | 2 (28.6) (2.8) | 56 (29.9) (78.9) | 71 (28.7) (86.5) | 11 (34.4) (13.5) |   |
| ≥15 | 3 (11.5) (11.1) | 1 (5.3) (3.7) | 1 (12.5) (3.7) | 2 (28.6) (7.4) | 20 (10.7) (74.0) | 27 (10.9) (100) | 0 (0.0) (0.0) |   |
| **WBC count (cells/μl)** |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| <20,000 | 24 (92.3) (10.2) | 17 (89.5) (7.2) | 7 (87.5) (2.6) | 6 (85.7) (2.6) | 181 (96.8) (77.0) | 235 (95.1) (90.4) | 25 (78.1) (9.6) | <0.001 |
| ≥20,000–50,000 | 2 (7.7) (33.3) | 1 (5.3) (16.7) | 0 (0.0) (0.0) | 0 (0.0) (0.0) | 3 (1.6) (50.0) | 6 (2.4) (75) | 2 (6.3) (25) |   |
| ≥50,000 | 0 (0.0) (0.0) | 1 (5.3) (16.7) | 1 (12.5) (16.7) | 1 (14.3) (16.7) | 3 (1.6) (50.0) | 6 (2.4) (65.4) | 5 (15.7) (45.5) |   |
| **NCl-risk classification** |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Standard | 16 (61.5) (12.4) | 8 (42.1) (6.2) | 1 (12.5) (0.8) | 1 (14.3) (0.8) | 103 (55.1) (79.8) | 129 (52.2) (88.4) | 17 (53.1) (11.6) | 0.92 |
| High | 10 (38.5) (8.5) | 11 (57.9) (9.3) | 7 (87.5) (5.9) | 6 (85.7) (5.1) | 84 (44.9) (71.2) | 118 (48.7) (88.7) | 15 (46.9) (11.3) |   |
| **Relapse** |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Yes | 0 (0.0) (0.0) | 1 (5.3) (8.3) | 1 (12.5) (8.3) | 3 (42.9) (25.0) | 7 (3.7) (58.3) | 12 (4.6) (80) | 3 (9.4) (20) | 0.28 |
| No | 26 (100.0) (11.1) | 18 (94.7) (7.8) | 7 (87.5) (3.0) | 4 (57.1) (1.7) | 180 (96.3) (76.7) | 235 (95.2) (89) | 29 (90.6) (11) |   |
| **Death** |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Yes | 1 (3.8) (6.7) | 1 (5.3) (6.7) | 2 (25.0) (13.3) | 1 (14.3) (6.7) | 10 (5.3) (66.6) | 15 (6.0) (78.9) | 4 (12.5) (21.1) | 0.17 |
| No | 25 (96.2) (10.8) | 18 (94.7) (7.7) | 6 (75.0) (2.6) | 6 (85.7) (2.6) | 177 (94.7) (76.3) | 232 (94.0) (89.2) | 28 (87.5) (10.8) |   |

*According to the National Cancer Institute Risk Classification, patients were classified as standard risk (NCI SR) [with age ranging from 1 to 9.99 years and an initial white blood cell (WBC) count < 50 × 10⁹/L], or as high risk (NCI HR) [age < 1 or ≥ 10 years or initial WBC ≥ 50 × 10⁹/L (27)].

**To compare clinical characteristics between analyzed and non-analyzed ALL patients, the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was conducted when appropriate. n (%c) (%r): number of cases in percentage per column and per row obtained from each clinical subgroup, respectively.

indicating an optimal PCR yield in which the number of copies doubles in each cycle (Supplementary Figure 3). To assess inter-laboratory variation, we obtained good precision, since the coefficients of variation (CV) of reproducibility and repeatability were 2.1–3 and 2.6–3.8%, respectively (Supplementary Table 2).

Assay specificity was evaluated by testing bone marrow RNA from patients without ALL but with other diseases such as pancytopenia, thrombocytopenia, hemophagocytic histiocytosis, or viral infections such as cytomegalovirus. We also use a mixture of different plasmids: EP300-ZNF384, CREBBP-SRGAP2B, DNAH14-1KZF1, ETV6-SNUPN, and ETV6-NULIP1 fusion genes. RNA and plasmids were negative for the common fusion genes (Ct value < 40). The validation was completed by independent testing of 45 and 25 fusion genes positive for the fusion gene samples in an external laboratory (Hospital Juarez).

To this end, our collaborators detected fusion transcripts using the QuanDX kit, while in parallel we analyzed the same samples with the TaqMan one-step RT-qPCR method. The results for ETV6::RUNX1, KMT2A::AFF1, BCR::ABL1p190, and TCF3::PBX1 fusion genes detection by the two methods showed a perfect correlation (Supplementary Tables 3, 4). From the 45 samples, only 7 were detected as positive for any of the transcripts by both methods (15.5%), and three were positive for ETV6::RUNX1, three were positive for TCF3::PBX1, and two were positive for BCR::ABL1p190 (Supplementary Table 3).

Performance of TaqMan One-Step RT-qPCR on Clinical Samples to Determine the Prevalence and Prognostic Impact of Fusion Genes

The Mexican Interinstitutional Group for the identification of Fusion Genes (190) examined by RT-qPCR on clinical samples to determine the prevalence and prognostic impact of fusion genes. The Mexican Interinstitutional Group for the identification of Fusion Genes (190) examined by RT-qPCR on clinical samples to determine the prevalence and prognostic impact of fusion genes.
The prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 fusion gene transcripts was as follows: ETV6::RUNX1 (10.5%), TCF3::PBX1 (7.7%), KMT2A::AFF1 (2.8%), and BCR::ABL1p190 (3.3%) (Table 1). The Kaplan-Meier estimations for overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) according to fusion gene transcripts are displayed in Figure 1. A different OS for each fusion gene was noted in the cohort, however, solid conclusions about the impact of gene fusion on the OS and DFS of Mexican children with ALL may require longer follow-up times. ETV6::RUNX1 was the most common fusion gene transcript present in all age groups; however, the analysis of ETV6::RUNX1 for age groups showed that this fusion was observed mainly in children 1–6 years old in 57.5% of the cases, who were predominantly male (73.3%). Of the patients with ETV6::RUNX1, 92.3% had a white blood cell count below 20,000, and 61.5% were classified as standard risk according to the NCI classification. In this study, almost all the patients with ETV6::RUNX1 fusion gene remained in first complete remission at the time of conclusion of this study; only one patient exhibited poor response and died (Table 1). The lowest prevalence of fusion gene transcript was KMT2A::AFF1, observed in 2.8% of patients aged 1–16 years at the time of diagnosis. Approximately 85.7% of them were classified as high-risk according to the NCI classification, three relapsed, and one died. On the other hand, the TCF3::PBX1 fusion gene was present in 19 patients (7.7%) aged 1-16 years. Of these patients, 89.5% had a white blood cell count below 20,000 (cells/ul) at the time of diagnosis. Eleven (57.9%) were classified as high risk, one relapsed, and one patient died. Finally, transcribed BCR::ABL1p190 showed a positivity rate of approximately 3.6%, and most of the patients had complete remission. One relapse and two deaths occurred in this molecular subgroup.

**DISCUSSION**

In children with ALL who were attended at public hospitals in Mexico City, identification of common fusion genes is not routinely performed; however, it may be available through research projects such as this study. For this purpose, we used the TaqMan one-step RT-qPCR method to identify the prevalence of the ETV6::RUNX1 (10.5%), TCF3::PBX1 (7.7%), KMT2A::AFF1 (2.8%), and BCR::ABL1p190 (3.6%) fusion genes and their association with early mortality in patients with B- ALL. The overall prevalence was 24.2%, which was relatively higher than in previous studies carried out by our research group (17.7%) (18), and 18.83% in a study performed in southern Mexico (20). Also, we detected a higher average age of 8.6 years (range 2–17.7 years) than that of Caucasian children with ALL (5.4) (28), similar to a recent study in Mexico, which is 9.3 (< 1–19, N = 154, 2020 B-ALL (20)). This increase is the result of a higher percentage in the cohort of patients with ALL over 10 years of age (39.7%) (Table 1) related to the increase reported on ALL in the Latin American population (5).

In addition to analyzing the prevalence of major fusion genes for stratification of patients with ALL, we implemented improvements in the analysis technique to have greater sensitivity and reproducibility with respect to previously described studies. We were able to increase acceptance among patients with B-ALL to participate in the study, and we contrasted good and poor prognostic rearrangements with early mortality. This study confirmed the presence of a low prevalence of the ETV6::RUNX1 fusion gene (10.5%) and was within the range of previous Mexican reports using conventional RT-PCR of 7.4% (18), 13.5% (19); fluorescence in situ hybridization of
8.5% (22), 8.7% (23); RT- Multiplex PCR 6.9% (20), 14.9% (21). The low prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 is similar to other Hispanic populations such as 4.5% in Guatemalans and 14% in Hispanic residents of Northern California (24, 25). Therefore, the low prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 is probably due to the genetic background of Hispanic populations. In the same context, it has been observed that the incidence of ALL subtypes and genetic susceptibility to ALL varies according to geographic regions and ancestry of populations. Recently, it has been reported that in a population with a higher prevalence of Native American ancestry, the ETV6::RUNX1 fusion gene is found with lower frequency, and this population was associated with poor prognosis (29). This directly correlates with the ancestry analysis that was performed in Mexican ALL patients using AIMs (Ancestry-informative marker, single nucleotide polymorphism of frequency differences between populations) which showed that they belong to the mestizo group, enriched mainly by a Native American (30). Also, it has been reported that genetic variants are associated with ALL in an ethnic-specific manner and may give rise to racial differences in ALL incidences. For example, ARID5B rs10821936 polymorphisms exhibited significant association with ALL susceptibility, were highly correlated with local Native American genetic ancestry, and were significantly overrepresented in the hyperdiploid subtype (31). In Mexico, we have reported that ARID5B and NAT2 polymorphisms are associated with susceptibility to childhood ALL in a Mexican population (30). Therefore, these polymorphisms, together with the low prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1, contribute to deciphering the genetics of ALL in Mexican children, a population related to poor prognosis.

However, it also raises concerns on whether the low prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 is due to misdiagnosis. In this sense, previous studies on prevalence of common fusion genes in Mexico have been carried out with conventional methods with lower sensitivity as RT-PCR, FISH, karyotype. In this study, RT-qPCR was used, a sensitive method for detection of fusion genes in tumor samples that conventional methodologies detecting even a single molecule in lower amounts of complex samples as bone barrow, which supports the low prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 obtained (32, 33).

Regarding other translocations that were analyzed, the prevalence that has been reported for TCF3::PBX1-positive ALL varies between 3 and 5% in childhood, while we showed a major prevalence of 7.5%, distributed across all age groups. In TCF3::PBX1-positive ALL, the prognosis has improved remarkably in the last years under the current treatment in developed countries. The situation might be different in developing countries (34–36). In this study TCF3::PBX1-positive ALL showed better DFS than KMT2A::AFF1- and BCR::ABL1p190-positive ALL, suggesting that the current treatment protocols used in Mexico show improvements in early mortality. Conversely, patients with KMT2A::AFF1 showed low DFS rates as detected in children between 4 and 16 years of age. This correlates with the analysis of the KMT2A recombinome in acute leukemia and its distribution in clinical subgroups where AFF1 is the most frequent KMT2A rearrangement in ALL present in infant, pediatric, and adult patients (37). Of note, we do not have infant patients in the analysis of this study. Finally, BCR::ABL1 translocation is associated with poor prognosis; this encodes a tyrosine kinase, which is considered a pathogenetic driver that can be therapeutically targeted (38), and so, fortunately, treatment with tyrosine kinase (TK) inhibitors has improved the overall survival of patients with this rearrangement (39). In this study, BCR::ABL1p190 translocation wasentified in 3.6% of the patients and showed low DFS than the other fusion genes, similar to other studies (40). The follow-up time is short at this moment; however, the survival analysis is evidence of the concordance between the genetic rearrangement and the prognosis of the disease. However, we acknowledge that further data are required to more firmly establish the prognostic impact of the detected fusions.

CONCLUSION

The detection of the most common fusion transcripts in the Mexican population and, in particular, the finding of the low prevalence of ETV6::RUNX1 represent an advancement in the description of the genetic factors in the Mexican childhood population that are associated with the stratification of the molecular subtypes of ALL that is fundamental to implementing better treatments in a personalized manner to increase the survival rate.
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