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Abstract—The rate of crime incidence in Indonesia is quite high. Some efforts to prevent crime and to create community safety have been already conducted. However, the information technology has not been implemented yet. CrimeID could be a technology tool for both individual and collective crime prevention. The citizen could participate individually as a crowd to annotate the crime data from news article through the crowdsourcing platform using gamification concept. The collected data could be used to raise the awareness among community members of the crime that happens surrounding them by using the location-aware system.

Keywords—Crime prevention, community safety, crowdsourcing, gamification, location-aware

1 Introduction

Indonesian Police registration data in Criminal Statistics 2016 Report, stated that the incidence of crimes in Indonesia during the period 2013-2015 tends to fluctuate [1]. The incidence is about 341 thousand cases in 2013, decreased to about 325 thousand cases in 2014, and increased to approximately 353 thousand cases by 2015. This report also stated that the crime rate during the period 2013-2015 for every 100 thousand people estimated at 140 people in 2013, 131 people in 2014, and 140 people by 2015. The data in this report obtained from two main sources. First, criminal data that collected by the State Police of Republic of Indonesia (administrative-based data). Second, criminal data sourced from the National Socioeconomic Survey and Village Potential Statistics produced by the Indonesian Central Bureau of Statistics (survey-based data).

The crimes incidence of and crime rate in Indonesia mention above are quite high. Crime prevention and community safety efforts already conducted. The Indonesian Government has already concerning about crime prevention as part of peace and order in society as stated in Law No. 2/2002 about Indonesian Police [2]. One of the implementations of this law is that Indonesian Police Chief release a regulation No. 23/2007 about neighborhood security system (SISKAMLING). SISKAMLING have two functions [3]. First, it works as a facility for citizens to meet their needs of feeling
safe in their living environment. Second, it counters threats and disruption occurred in
their residence by pre-emptive action to countermeasures against phenomena and
situations that can be categorized as criminogenic correlative factor.

Nowadays, crime prevention and police performance improvement could be devel-
oped by using new innovation in technology [4]. The use of technological innovation
in the crime prevention could be implemented on the hard or soft technology. Hard
technology includes the use of materials or equipment’s that can be used to prevent
crime such as CCTV cameras [5] [6] and metal detector [7]. Soft technologies are
used to prevent crime by utilizing information generated by software as well as the
latest techniques in computer data processing, for example, geographic information
system [8] [9] [10]. In Indonesia, the technological innovation for crime prevention
mostly still use the hard technology like CCTV. There is still no accessible crime
prevention information system that are available for the citizens.

CRIMEID aims to be a soft technology that could help the crime prevention and
community safety program. CRIMEID will provide more detailed crime data in Indo-
esia, that it can be used for various purposes like crime prevention information sys-
tem and alerting people in the area with the high crime rate. The data collected in-
cludes crime event, crime location, crime victim, crime suspect, and crime status. The
news article as a source of the crime data will be attached to the crime data for the
verification and validation process.

There are three important things that will be discussed in this paper. First is how to
develop a crowdsourcing platform to annotate crime data based on articles available
on online news sites. Second is how to develop a mechanism in the form of gamifica-
tion so it can make people interested to participate in the process of annotating crime
data through the platform. Third is how to use the collected data to support crime
prevention and community safety program through open data and location-aware
applications.

2 Citizen-led Crime Prevention

Bjørn, 2016, in his book, “Preventing Crime: A Holistic Approach” has developed
a complete model for crime prevention that has nine crime prevention mechanisms as
the center of the model [11]. These mechanisms are grouped logically into three
groups, first is a mechanism to prevent criminal acts from occurring, second is a
mechanism to handle criminal acts that occur, and the last is a mechanism to prevent
criminal acts from happening again. The first group includes building moral barriers,
reducing the emergence of new criminals, general prevention, proactive inability,
disruption, and protecting targets that are prone to crime. The second group includes
reactive incapacitation and reducing harm. The last group includes specific deter-
rence, proactive incapacitation, reducing benefits, and rehabilitation.

Both of the citizens and criminal justice system are responsible for crime preven-
tion. V. Roberts & Hastings, 2012, found that most of the people trust that the main
task for preventing crime is outside the criminal justice system [12]. According to
Madero-Hernandez, et al., 2016, crime prevention behaviors that involve the citizens
could be individualistic or collective [13]. The individualistic crime prevention is associated with the victimization experience and safety perception such as fear or crime. Collective crime prevention is more associated with the function of the community context, for example, informal social control. Individual crime prevention behavior orientation is to protect persons and their property from crime. Collective crime prevention behavior goal is to protect the entire neighborhoods to reduce victimizations in the neighborhoods. Our proposed systems (CrimeID) would be categorized as a citizen-led crime prevention system.

3 CrimeID: A Crowdsourcing Platform, Gamification and Location-aware System for Indonesian Crime Data

Towards crime prevention and community safety, we propose a system consisting of three applications. First, a crowdsourcing application to annotate criminal data in Indonesia taken from a local online news site. Second, open data application that provides processed crime data so it can be used by the third parties. Third, a location-aware application that provides users with alerts when entering crime-prone areas as well as application to display crime statistics and crime news. The architecture of CrimeID system is shown in Figure 1.

![Fig. 1. The Architecture of CrimeID System.](http://www.i-jim.org)
3.1 Developing the crowdsourcing platform

The data in Criminal Statistics 2016 Report [1] is presented in the form of summary per province in Indonesia (Figure 2) and the data do not inform the details of each crime that happened during that period. This could be an obstacle for the parties who want to do further research related to the crime aspect like crime prevention and community safety. For example, efforts to raise awareness about the risk level of the population exposed to crime through an early warning system that uses location-based would be inaccurate. The scope of province level geocodes as based for calculation is too broad compared to the real geocode coordinate. To address this problem, the detailed crime data, especially the location of crime were needed.

![Summary of Indonesian Crime Data during 2013-2015](image)

*Fig. 2. Summary of Indonesian Crime Data during 2013-2015 (Source: [1])*

Information about crime in Indonesia is currently spread in various local online news sites that can be accessed by the public. This information cannot be directly used to raise awareness about the risk level of the population exposed to crime. News about the crime must be gathered first before it can be processed. After the news is collected, the next step is to annotate the crime data in accordance with the level of details of the required data. Crowdsourcing would be a proper solution because it depends on the community to perform costly and time-consuming tasks if it is compared to the traditional approach [14].
Developing a crowdsourcing should concern with its main pillars namely the crowdsourcer, the crowd, the crowdsourced task and the crowdsourcing platform [15]. We define the configuration of our crowdsourcing system as shown in Table 1.

| Crowdsourcing Pillar       | CrimeID Configuration                                      |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| The crowdsourcer           | Researcher                                                 |
| The crowd                  | Indonesian citizens (volunteer-based)                      |
| The crowdsourced task      | Annotate the crime data from a published crime news and reporting invalid data |
| The crowdsourcing platform | CrimeID: A web-based application for the crowdsourcing platform |

As stated above, the crowd of the CrimeID is Indonesian citizens. The main task of the crowd is to annotate crime data according to the chosen category during registration and through the profile setting of the crowd. The annotation process of crime data is done by selecting available crime news, filling in the fields of data (Table 2) such as victim data, the perpetrator, and the crime location (Figure 3). The crowd can also report any improper annotation process of crime data conducted by other crowds. The process of checking the data validity is facilitated by always pairing the news of crime with data annotation results conducted by the crowd. It aims to maintain the data validity of the data input by the crowd. All the crowd activity is performed using the crowdsourcing platform (https://alert.id/crime) to assist the annotation of crime data from published crime news. The set of data that already processed in this system when this article was written is shown in Table 3.

| Crime Event                |
|----------------------------|
| Date occurred (yyyy-mm-dd) |
| Time occurred (dd:mm:ss)   |
| Crime Location             |
| Address                    |
| Province                   |
| Sub-Province               |
| District                   |
| Sub-District               |
| Crime Victim               |
| Victim Name                |
| Numbers of Victims         |
| Numbers of Child Victims   |
| Numbers of Adult Victims   |
| Numbers of Male Victims    |
| Numbers of Female Victims  |
| Crime Suspect and Status   |
| Suspect Name               |
| Crime Status               |
Table 3. Summary of The Processed Crime Data

| Total of News Article | 5387 |
|-----------------------|------|
| Amount of Processed News Article | 3012 |
| Amount of Unprocessed News Article | 1836 |
| Number of Data Related to Murder | 1300 |
| Amount Number of Data Related to Theft | 919 |
| Amount Number of Data Related to Harassment | 257 |
| Amount of Data Related to Theft | 919 |
| Amount of Data Related to Harassment | 257 |
| Amount of Data Related to Sexual Harassment | 568 |
| Amount of Data Related to Pickpocketing | 12 |
| Amount of Data Related to Kidnapping | 155 |
| Amount of Data Related to Persecution | 310 |
| Amount of Data Related to Fraud | 374 |
| Amount of Data Related to Mugging | 161 |
| Amount of Data Related to Moping | 36 |
| Amount of Data Related to Robbery | 654 |
| Amount of Data Related to Rape | 148 |

3.2 Developing the gamification mechanism for the crowdsourcing platform

The CrimeID crowdsourcing platform implements the concept of gamification based on the MDA framework which stands for Mechanics, Dynamics and Aesthetics [16]. This gamification is used to fulfill the incentive element which is the new pillar mentioned in integrated crowdsourcing definition [17]. In CrimeID, we just used the mechanics aspect by using challenge, leaderboard, level, and redeem points in the form of a virtual gift as the mechanics’ element.
The challenge given is the task of annotating crime news data and reporting irregularities to the results of annotated data. Users will get additional points when annotating crime news data or reporting any unusual things found in annotated data. Users will get a point deduction if it is proven that they submitted invalid data. Points that have been collected will serve as the basis for compiling a list of leaderboards (Figure 4) and level ups that can be used to purchase a virtual gift in the form of an avatar in accordance with the level achieved (Figure 5).

![Leaderboard Image]

*Fig. 4. Webpage for Leaderboard as One of the Gamification Implementation*

![Avatar Store Image]

*Fig. 5. Webpage for Virtual Badge Store as one of Gamification Implementation*
3.3 Supporting crime prevention and community safety using crimeID

Data that has been processed through the crowdsourcing platform can be accessed by the other parties through an application programming interface. Parties that need the crime data can register to the open data services to gain an access. Existing data may be used by that parties to develop applications in accordance with the needs of third parties. It is intended that the existing data can be utilized properly for crime prevention and community safety program.

CrimeID also consists of a mobile location-aware system named Crime Alert (Android\(^1\) and IOS Version\(^2\)). This app has four main features. First, a feature to provide alerts when a user enters an area and to check whether the region is prone to crime or not (Figure 6). Second, a feature to view crime news taken from an online news site (Figure 7). Third, the feature to display crime statistics both by crime category and the area of the crime occurrences (Figure 8). Fourth, the feature to perform the process of crime data annotation based on news of crime that both have already existed and not in the system (Figure 9). This feature is similar to the one on the Web-based crowdsourcing service platform.

\[\text{Fig. 6. Alert Notification}\]

\(^1\) https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.crime.alert&hl=en
\(^2\) https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/crime-alert/id1315403754?mt=8
Fig. 7. News Article Feature from the Mobile Application

Fig. 8. Crime Statistic Feature of Mobile Application
This location-aware system is expected to help citizen finding information about crimes that occurred in Indonesia. With such information, it is hoped that the citizen will be more aware of the possibility of crime, related to possible time and location. The information that is always updated can help to prevent crime. Citizens who are vulnerable to crime can change their behavior after receiving an alert for the crime occurrence for example by avoiding the location of the crime [18]. Users will get notifications or alerts when entering a crime-prone area. The citizen can also obtain information about criminal acts that exist in both the news about crime and crime statistics based on its category and the area. Users can also be actively involved in updating data through a crowdsourcing feature to perform crime data annotations or crime reposting [19]. In the end, this system is expected to help prevent the occurrence of crime and improve the security in society.

4 Discussion

The sets and quality of the data are very important towards the crime prevention and safety in community. From our observation during the implementation of CrimeID, the growth of the annotated data was very slow. We identified that one of the main problems is how to gather the crowd because this is a voluntary crowd system [14]. To address this problem, we requested the participation of the students that...
took Introduction to Informatics (Computer Science) classes as part of their class assignments.

In 2017/2018 academic year, we give each student (approximately 120 students) a task to annotate at least 10 news articles to get the maximum grade. In the 2018/2019 academic year, we design a little modification in the experiment. The experiment is still part of the class assignment with 54 students but with different scenario as shown in Table 4. Both experiments show that the set of annotated data grow significantly.

Table 4. The Experiment Scenario for 2018/2019 Academic Year

| Number of News Article Processed | Assignment Grade |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Below 10                        | 50               |
| 11-20                           | 60               |
| 21-30                           | 70               |
| 31-40                           | 80               |
| 41-50                           | 90               |
| Above 50                        | 100              |

Another problem is the user participation performance. It should be identify by demographics, financial incentives, intrinsic incentives, and worker behavior [20]. From our experiments, the demographics of the users are just students. As a student, his or her motivation and behavior were affected by the grade. The gamification, especially the badge and virtual gifts, did not influence the students to work more tasks, especially after the assignment due date had been passed. There are just little students who did an extraordinary behavior by annotating more news articles than required. Most of the student's motivation was just to get the maximum grade in their assignment that was very important for them, especially if there were no financial incentives in this system.

Both of the data growth and user participation performance problem could be overcome by using the latest technology to automate the annotations process. Technology like natural language processing, machine learning or deep learning could be used to extract the crime information from text [21][22]. The annotation pipeline’s process also could be done automatically and the crowd just execute the annotation using the simple user interaction [23].

5 Threats to Validity

The proposed solution (CrimeID) still have some weaknesses. First, it is related to gamification. The system will be only attractive to young people. Adults or the elderly would not be interested in this kind of incentive. Second, it is related to the validity of the data. The system used online news article as the source of the data, but it requires a certain education level for annotating the data. When the crowd doesn’t have suitable skill in reading, writing, and understanding the article, it can be a potential source for errors or invalid data.
6 Conclusion and Future Works

The CrimeID system could help the crime prevention and community safety efforts in Indonesia. The citizen could participate individually to join the crowdsourcing platform as a crowd that will help to annotate the crime data from a news article. Gamification concept that implemented in the crowdsourcing platform would hopefully attract more citizens to participate in this platform. The collected crime data would be useful to raise awareness among the community members about the risk level of the population exposed to crime. The future works could develop the automatic annotation process using a machine learning model that is trained from the annotated data.
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