Checklist of invertebrates and conspicuous fishes in rocky reefs and Sargassum beds in the North Pacific of Costa Rica
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The marine biodiversity is well known in some areas and for some marine ecosystems of the Pacific coast of Costa Rica. The marine sector of Área de Conservación Guanacaste, in the North Pacific of the country, is a priority area for conservation of marine and coastal biodiversity. 

Objective: Our aim was to increase the knowledge of marine biodiversity in a conservation priority site in Costa Rica and in poorly or scarcely studied marine ecosystems, such as rocky reefs and Sargassum beds, respectively. 

Methods: The study was conducted in Bahía Salinas and Golfo de Santa Elena in 2013. In January, four rocky reefs were visited; while in February three rocky reefs and one Sargassum bed were visited. We identified the macroinvertebrates and conspicuous fish species through underwater visual census methods (timed swim and band transects). The mean density of each species was estimated through band transects method. 

Results: A total of 25 invertebrates and 34 fish species were identified. We found a high number of species of invertebrates (23) and fish species (33) in rocky reefs, but few species on Sargassum beds (eight invertebrates and ten fish species). Species composition differed between marine ecosystems. 

Conclusions: Our study further evidence the limited knowledge of invertebrates and fish communities in these marine ecosystems in the North Pacific of Costa Rica. Considerably more surveys and field work are required to support management decisions that are backed by sound scientific knowledge.
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The marine biodiversity of the Pacific coast of Costa Rica is well known in some areas (i.e., Bahía Culebra, Golfo de Nicoya, Isla del Caño and Golfo Dulce). Other marine areas remain poorly studied, with little information available or far from complete, like the north Pacific of Costa Rica (Bahía Salinas and Golfo de Santa Elena) (Cortés, 2016; Cortés, 2017; Wehrtmann & Cortés, 2009). The same occurs regarding coastal ecosystems since some, such as coral reefs, have been relatively well studied (Alvarado, Beita-Jiménez,
Mena, Fernández-García & Guzmán-Mora, 2015; Alvarado, Beita-Jiménez, Mena, Fernández-García, Guzmán-Mora, & Cortés, 2016; Alvarado Beita-Jiménez, Mena, Fernández-García, Cortés, Sánchez-Noguera, Jiménez, & Guzmán-Mora, 2018; Cortés, 1886/1997); while in others the research and publications on marine biodiversity are scarce (such as sandy beaches and rocky coast) (Dexter, 1974; Madrigal-Castro, Cabrera-Peña, Monge-Esquivel & Pérez-Acuña, 1984; Ortega, 1987; Sibaja-Cordero & Cortés, 2008; Sibaja-Cordero, Camacho-García & Vargas-Castillo, 2014).

The Área de Conservación Guanacaste (ACG) is one of eleven Conservation Areas within Costa Rica and it was declared a UNESCO World Heritage Site in 1999 (SINAC, 2012; UNESCO, 2020). The marine sector of ACG, recognized as a conservation priority site for marine and coastal biodiversity within Marine Ecological Unit Papagayo in the north Pacific of Costa Rica (Alvarado, Herrera, Corrales, Asch, & Paaby, 2011; SINAC-MINAET, 2008), has a high species richness and high diversity coastal and marine ecosystems, such as mangrove forest, beaches, bays and coves, rocky intertidal zones, mud flats, rocky subtidal sites, coral reefs, rhodolith beds, deep areas, shoals, and several isolated islands (Cortés, 2017; Cortés & Joyce, 2020). During the trade winds season (December to April-May; Rodríguez, Alfaro & Cortés, 2021) it is possible to find marine ecosystem dominated by the brown macroalgae *Sargassum liebmannii* J.A. Agardh 1847, that grow significantly as a consequence of the upwelling that brings deep cold, nutrient-rich and CO₂-rich waters to the surface (Cortés, Samper-Villarreal & Bernecker 2014).

In ACG, including Bahía Salinas (BSa) and Golfo de Santa Elena (GSE), there are some publications on invertebrates and fish communities in coral reef, rocky reef and sandy areas (Alvarado et al., 2018; Cordero-Umaña & Santidrián-Tomillo, 2020). Thus, the aim of the present study is to increase the knowledge of marine biodiversity in this conservation area, specifically on BSa and GSE, and on poorly studied ecosystems like rocky reefs and *Sargassum* beds. The study provides a checklist of invertebrates and conspicuous fishes species that occur on shallow (6-14 m depth) rocky reefs and *Sargassum* beds in ACG. In addition, patterns of geographic distribution, number of species, species density and abundance are discussed.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Study site:** The study was conducted during January and February 2013 in BSa and GSE, within ACG in the North Pacific of Costa Rica (Fig. 1, Table 1).

**Field methodology:** In BSa we visited two sites, while in the GSE six. The marine ecosystems monitored were shallow (6 to 13.5 m depth) rocky reefs (boulders < 30 cm, small rocks < 30 cm – 1 m, big rocks 1 m – 3m, and rocks > 3m) and *Sargassum* beds (rocky substrate covered with 70% to 100% *Sargassum*)

![Fig. 1. Sampling sites in the North Pacific of Costa Rica. Rocky reefs: S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S7 and S8; Sargassum beds: S6.](image-url)
(Fig. 1, Table 1). Underwater Visual Census (UVC) methods using SCUBA were conducted at the eight sites during two sampling times (January and February 2013). In January, four sites were visited (S2, S5, S7 and S8). At each site the timed swim method, 50 to 60-minute, were done to register the invertebrates and conspicuous fish species. While in February, the other four sites (S1, S3, S4 and S6) were visited. Census followed standard band transect methodology described in previous studies (Alvarado et al., 2015; Alvarado et al., 2016). In each marine ecosystem, 10 m long transects, parallels to shore line and separated 10 m between them, were conducted by a single diver swimming to register the composition and abundance of invertebrates and conspicuous fishes. Three to six transects were performed at each site. Two runs were made along each transect. The first run was done while the line was being unrolled, recording all conspicuous fishes (>2.5 cm length) over the benthos and between crevices. For both UVC techniques, individuals under rocks were not registered to avoid altering the substrate. The categories were mollusks (gastropods and bivalves) and echinoderms (sea stars, sea urchins, sea cucumbers and brittle stars). Cryptic species (brittle stars) registered in transect bands method were not quantify to avoid an underestimation. For fishes, each band transect covered an area of 50 m$^2$ (10 x 5 m) and for invertebrates an area of 20 m$^2$ (10 x 2 m). Mean density (# ind m$^{-2}$), relative abundance (# ind of one species/total # individuals), and frequency of occurrence (# transects observed/total # transects) were calculated for each species.

Fish species nomenclature and taxonomic designations followed Fricke, Eschemer & Van der Laan (2020) and Van der Laan, Fricke & Eschemeyer (2020), and for invertebrates the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS Editorial Board, 2020). Fish species distribution were based on Froese & Pauly (2019) and Fricke et al. (2020), and categorized as follows: CT, Circumtropical; EP, Eastern Pacific;
IP, Indo-Pacific; ETP, Eastern Tropical Pacific; WA, Western Atlantic. The IUCN Red List (IUCN 2020) was used to categorize the conservation status of each species recorded in this study as follows (in order of threat level): NE, not evaluated; DD, data deficient; LC, least concern. The categories mentioned correspond only to the species observed in this study.

RESULTS

A total of 25 invertebrate species in 25 genera and 18 families were observed in BSa and GSE (Table 2) with the combined use of timed swim \( (n = 3) \) and band transects methods \( (n = 21) \). The most speciose invertebrate families were the Muricidae (3 spp.), Ophidiasteridae (2 spp.), Diadematidae (2 spp.), Toxoponeustidae (2 spp.), Cucumaridae (2 spp.) and Ophiocomidae (2 spp.). *Hexaplex* (2 spp.) was the most species rich genera, the rest of genera were represented by one specie. The highest number of species was observed in the rocky reef (23 spp.) while in *Sargassum* beds eight spp. (Table 2).

Based on classification of the IUCN Red List, the conservation status of invertebrates species observed in BSa and GSE is unknown

| Taxon | Marine Ecosystem | IUCN Red List |
|-------|------------------|---------------|
| Phylum Mollusca |                    |               |
| Class Gastropoda |                 |               |
| Family Conidae |                    |               |
| *Conus* spp. Linnaeus, 1758 | S1, S5 | NE |
| Family Fasciolariidae | S3, S4, S8 | NE |
| Opeatostoma pseudodon (Burrow, 1815) | S3, S4, S8 | NE |
| Family Muricidae | S2 | NE |
| Babelomurex hindsi (Carpenter, 1857) | S3, S4 | NE |
| Hexaplex erythrostomus (Swainson, 1831) | S6 | NE |
| Hexaplex princeps (Broderip, 1833) | S1, S2, S4, S5, S6 | NE |
| Family Turbinellidae | S6 | NE |
| Vasum caestus (Broderip, 1833) | S6 | NE |
| Family Plakobranchidae | S4, S7 | S6, NE |
| Elysia diomedea (Bergh, 1894) | S4, S7 | S6, NE |
| Class Bivalvia |                    |               |
| Family Margaritidae | S1, S2, S5 | NE |
| Pinctada mazatlanica (Hanley, 1856) | S1, S2, S5 | NE |
| Family Spondylidae | S1, S5 | NE |
| Spondylus limbatis G. B. Sowerbey II, 1847 | S1, S5 | NE |
| Phylum Echinodermata |                    |               |
| Class Asteroidea |                    |               |
| Family Mithrodiidae | S5, S7 | NE |
| Mithrodia bradleyi Verrill, 1867 | S5, S7 | NE |
| Family Ophidiasteridae | S1, S3, S4, S5, S7 | NE |
| Pharia pyramidalata (Gray, 1840) | S5 | NE |
| Phataria unifascialis (Gray, 1840) | S3 | NE |
| Family Oreasteridae | S3 | NE |
| Nidorellia armata (Gray, 1840) | S3 | NE |
because those species have not been assessed by the IUCN (Table 2).

In the case of fishes, a total of 34 species in 30 genera and 15 families were observed (Table 3) with the combined use of timed swim \( (n = 3) \) and band transects methods \( (n = 21) \). The most speciose families were Serranidae (5 spp.), Pomacentridae (5 spp.), Labridae (5 spp.) and Tetraodontidae (4 spp.). *Haemulon* (3 spp.) and *Halichoeres* (3 spp.) were the most species rich genera. Two genera were represented by two species, and the rest by one species. The highest number of fish species was observed in the rocky reef (33 spp.), while in *Sargassum* beds only 10 species (Table 3).

Three percent of species are restricted to the Eastern Tropical Pacific (Gulf of California to Peru), whereas 94% occur throughout the Eastern Pacific (California, USA to Chile). Only four species are found outside the Eastern Pacific, one of which is circumtropical (*Diodon holocanthus*), one is amphioceanic (*Haemulon steindachneri*) and the remaining two occur in the Indo-Pacific (*Arothron hispidus, A. meleagris*) (Table 3).

Classification by IUCN Red List status revealed that the fish observed in BSa and GSE are not species in threatened categories, only of least concern (Table 3).

We counted a total of 1,964 invertebrate individuals with the use of band transects \( (n = 21) \). The highest number of individuals was recorded in the rocky reef, 1,862 ind., while in *Sargassum* beds only 102 ind. In the rocky reef, *Diadema mexicanum* accounted for 81.3% of all individuals observed. *D. mexicanum* (100%) and *Eucidaris thouarsii* (93%) ranked highest in frequency of occurrence, and three species were observed between 40 to 50% of the transects (*Toxopneustes roseus, Opeatos tomatia pseudodon* and *Phataria unifascialis*). *D. mexicanum* has the highest mean density (5.04

| Class Echinoidea          | Marine Ecosystem | IUCN Red List |
|---------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Family Arbaciidae         |                  |               |
| *Arbacia stellata* (Blainville, 1825; ?Gmelin, 1791) | S1, S2, S3, S8 | NE            |
| Family Diadematiidae      |                  |               |
| *Astropyga pulvinata* (Lamarck, 1816) | S7              | NE            |
| *Diadema mexicanum* A. Agassiz, 1863 | S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S7, S8 | S6 NE        |
| Family Cidaridae          |                  |               |
| *Eucidaris thouarsii* (L. Agassiz & Desor, 1846) | S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S7, S8 | NE            |
| Family Toxopneustidae     |                  |               |
| *Toxopeustes roseus* A. Agassiz, 1863 | S1, S2, S3, S7, S8 | S6 NE        |
| *Tripneustes depressus* A. Agassiz, 1863 | S2, S7          | S6 NE        |
| Class Holothuroidea       |                  |               |
| Family Cucumaridae        |                  |               |
| *Cucumaria flamma* Solis-Marin & Laguarda-Figueras, 1999 | S2 | NE |
| *Pseudocnus* spp. Panning, 1949 | S2, S7        | NE            |
| Family Holothuriidae      |                  |               |
| *Holothuria* (Stauropora) fuscocinerea Jaeger, 1833 | S2 | NE |
| Class Ophiuroidea         |                  |               |
| Family Ophiocomidae       |                  |               |
| *Ophiocoma aethiops* Lütken, 1859 | S4, S5  | NE            |
| *Ophiocomaella alexandri* (Lyman, 1860) | S6 | NE |
| Family Ophionerididae     |                  |               |
| *Ophioneris annulata* (Le Conte, 1851) | S2 | NE |
TABLE 3
Taxonomic list of conspicuous fishes recorded in rocky reefs (RRs) and Sargassum beds (SBs) in the North Pacific of Costa Rica during January and February 2013, showing geographic distribution and IUCN Red List category.
CT: Circumtropical; EP: Eastern Pacific; EP + IP: Eastern Pacific and Indo-Pacific; EP + WA: Eastern Pacific and Western Atlantic; ETP: Eastern Tropical Pacific. LC: Least Concern.

| Taxon | Marine Ecosystem | Distribution | IUCN Red List |
|-------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
|       | RRs  | SBs  |                  |                |
| Order Myliobatiformes |       |       |                  |                |
| Family Urotrygonidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Urobatis halleri (Cooper, 1863) | S2, S5 | S6  | EP  | LC  |
| Order Anguilliformes |       |       |                  |                |
| Family Muraenidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Muraena lentiginosa Jenyns, 1842 | S4, S5, S7, S8 | EP  | LC  |
| Order Acanthuriformes |       |       |                  |                |
| Family Pomacanthidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Holacanthus passer Valenciennes, 1846 | S2, S3, S4, S5, S8 | EP  | LC  |
| Pomacanthus zonipectus (Gill, 1862) | S4, S8 | EP  | LC  |
| Family Chaetodontidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Chaetodon humeralis Günther, 1860 | S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S7, S8 | S6  | EP  | LC  |
| Johnrandallia nigrirostris (Gill, 1862) | S3, S4, S5, S7, S8 | EP  | LC  |
| Order Tetraodontiformes |       |       |                  |                |
| Family Diodontidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Diodon holocanthus Linnaeus, 1758 | S2, S3, S4, S5, S7, S8 | S6  | CT  | LC  |
| Family Tetraodontidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Arothron hispidus (Linnaeus, 1758) | S5, S8 | EP + IP | LC  |
| Arothron meleagris (Anonymus, 1798) | S2, S5 | EP + IP | LC  |
| Canthigaster punctatissima (Günther, 1870) | S2, S5, S8 | S6  | ETP | LC  |
| Sphoeroides lobatus (Steindachner, 1870) | S2  | S6  | EP  | LC  |
| Family Balistidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Balistes polyplepis Steindachner, 1876 | S5, S7, S8 | S6  | EP  | LC  |
| Order Carangiformes |       |       |                  |                |
| Family Carangidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Caranx caballus Günther, 1868 | S2, S3, S8 | EP  | LC  |
| Order Perciformes |       |       |                  |                |
| Family Serranidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Alphestes immaculatus Breder, 1936 | S1, S2, S7 | EP  | LC  |
| Cephalopholis panamensis (Steindachner, 1876) | S2, S3, S4, S5, S7 | EP  | LC  |
| Epinephelus labriformis (Jenyns, 1840) | S1, S2, S3, S5, S7, S8 | EP  | LC  |
| Paranthias colonus (Valenciennes, 1846) | S8  | EP  | LC  |
| Serranus psittacinus Valenciennes, 1846 | S6  | EP  | LC  |
| Family Apogonidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Apogon pacificus (Herre, 1935) | S2, S5, S7, S8 | EP  | LC  |
| Family Lutjanidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Lutjanus argentiventris (Peters, 1869) | S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S7, S8 | EP  | LC  |
| Family Haemulidae |       |       |                  |                |
| Haemulon maculicauda (Gill, 1862) | S2  | EP  | LC  |
| Haemulon scudderii Gill, 1862 | S8  | EP  | LC  |
| Haemulon steindachneri (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882) | S3, S4, S6 | EP + WA | LC |
We counted a total of 622 fish individuals with the use of band transects ($n = 21$). The highest number of individuals was recorded in the rocky reef (539 ind.), while only 83 ind. in Sargassum beds. In the rocky reef, three families of the Pomacentridae and Labridae were dominant. The most abundant species were Toxopneustes roseus, Diadema mexicanum, and Eucidaris thouarsii with a relative abundance of 87.3%, 81.3%, and 10.3%, respectively. In Sargassum beds, T. roseus accounted for 87.3% of all individuals observed and ranked highest in frequency of occurrence (83%) and in mean density (0.74 ± 0.75 ind m$^{-2}$) (Table 4).

| Taxon | Marine Ecosystem | Distribution | IUCN Red List |
|-------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
|       | RRrs | SBs |                |               |
| **Family Pomacentridae** |       |     |                |               |
| *Abudelfd# troscletlii* (Gill, 1862) | S2, S4, S5, S7, S8 | EP | LC |
| *Chromis atrilobata* Gill, 1862 | S3, S4, S5, S7, S8 | EP | LC |
| *Microspathodon dorsalis* (Gill, 1862) | S3, S4, S5, S8 | EP | LC |
| *Stegastes acapulcoensis* (Fowler, 1944) | S2, S3, S4 | EP | LC |
| *Stegastes flavilatus* (Gill, 1862) | S2, S4, S5, S7, S8 | S6 | EP | LC |
| **Family Labridae** |       |     |                |               |
| *Bodianus diplotaenia* (Gill, 1862) | S2, S4, S5, S7, S8 | EP | LC |
| *Halichoeres chierchiae* Di Caporiacco, 1948 | S3, S5 | EP | LC |
| *Halichoeres dispilius* (Günther, 1864) | S3, S4, S7, S8 | S6 | EP | LC |
| *Halichoeres nicholsi* (Jordan & Gilbert, 1882) | S5, S7 | EP | LC |
| *Thalassoma lucasanum* (Gill, 1862) | S1, S3, S5, S7, S8 | EP | LC |
| **Family Scorpaenidae** |       |     |                |               |
| *Scorpaena mystes* Jordan & Starks, 1895 | S7 | EP | LC |

### Table 4

List of invertebrates observed in rocky reefs and Sargassum beds in the North Pacific of Costa Rica organized by total abundance, relative abundance, frequency of occurrence, and mean density (± SD)

| Species | Abundance (# inds) | Relative abundance (%) | Frequency (%) | Mean Density (# ind m$^{-2}$) | SD |
|---------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----|
| **ROCKY REEFS** |       |     |                |               |
| *Diadema mexicanum* | 1513 | 81.3 | 1.00 | 5.04 | 2.02 |
| *Euclidaris thouarsii* | 192 | 10.3 | 0.93 | 0.64 | 0.57 |
| *Toxopneustes roseus* | 73 | 3.9 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.47 |
| *Opeastoma pseudodon* | 43 | 2.3 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 0.24 |
| *Arbacia stellata* | 14 | 0.8 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.14 |
| *Phataria unifascialis* | 9 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| *Hexaplex princeps* | 7 | 0.4 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| *Hexaplex erythrostomus* | 3 | 0.2 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| *Spondylus limbatis* | 3 | 0.2 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| *Pinctada mazatlanica* | 2 | 0.1 | 0.07 | <0.01 | 0.03 |
| *Conus sp.* | 1 | 0.1 | 0.07 | <0.01 | 0.01 |
| *Elysia diomedea* | 1 | 0.1 | 0.07 | <0.01 | 0.01 |
| *Nidorellia armata* | 1 | 0.1 | 0.07 | <0.01 | 0.01 |
| **SARGASSUM BEDS** |       |     |                |               |
| *Toxopneustes roseus* | 89 | 87.3 | 0.83 | 0.74 | 0.75 |
| *Diadema mexicanum* | 4 | 3.9 | 0.50 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| *Hexaplex princeps* | 3 | 2.9 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| *Astropyga pulvinata* | 3 | 2.9 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| *Vasum caestus* | 1 | 1.0 | 0.17 | <0.01 | 0.02 |
| *Elysia diomedea* | 1 | 1.0 | 0.17 | <0.01 | 0.02 |
| *Tripneustes depressus* | 1 | 1.0 | 0.17 | <0.01 | 0.02 |
species (Chromis atrilobata, Halichoeres dispilus and Stegastes acapulcoensis) accounting for 69.8% of all individuals observed. S. acapulcoensis (60%), Bodianus diploptera (60%) and Chaetodon humeralis (52%) ranked highest in frequency of occurrence, and five species were observed on 33% of transects. According to their mean density (Table 5), C. atrilobata (0.34 ± 0.52 ind m⁻²), H. dispilus (0.09 ± 0.21 ind m⁻²), S. acapulcoensis (0.07 ± 0.10 ind m⁻²) and H. steindachneri (0.05 ± 0.18 ind m⁻²) ranked highest. While in Sargassum beds, H. dispilus accounted for 77.1% of all individuals observed and ranked highest in frequency of occurrence (83%) and in mean density (0.21 ± 0.18 ind m⁻²) (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

The North Pacific of Costa Rica is a region of great research interest due to its

### TABLE 5

| Species                        | Abundance (# inds) | Relative abundance (%) | Frequency (%) | Mean density (#ind m⁻²) | SD       |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Chromis atrilobata             | 255                | 47.3                   | 0.33         | 0.34                    | 0.52     |
| Halichoeres dispilus           | 65                 | 12.1                   | 0.33         | 0.09                    | 0.21     |
| Stegastes acapulcoensis        | 56                 | 10.4                   | 0.60         | 0.07                    | 0.10     |
| Haemulon steindachneri         | 40                 | 7.4                    | 0.27         | 0.05                    | 0.18     |
| Thalassoma lucasanum           | 23                 | 4.3                    | 0.13         | 0.03                    | 0.10     |
| Bodianus diploptera            | 19                 | 3.5                    | 0.60         | 0.03                    | 0.03     |
| Chaetodon humeralis            | 14                 | 2.6                    | 0.53         | 0.02                    | 0.02     |
| Diodon holocanthus             | 13                 | 2.4                    | 0.33         | 0.02                    | 0.04     |
| Johnrandallia nigrostris       | 10                 | 1.9                    | 0.27         | 0.01                    | 0.03     |
| Epinephelus labiformis         | 9                  | 1.7                    | 0.40         | 0.01                    | 0.02     |
| Abudelfufi troschelii          | 8                  | 1.5                    | 0.33         | 0.01                    | 0.02     |
| Microspathodon dorsalis        | 8                  | 1.5                    | 0.33         | 0.01                    | 0.02     |
| Holacanthus phasrer            | 4                  | 0.7                    | 0.20         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Stegastes flavilatus           | 4                  | 0.7                    | 0.13         | <0.01                   | 0.02     |
| Lutjanus argentinensis         | 3                  | 0.6                    | 0.20         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Cephalopholis panamensis       | 2                  | 0.4                    | 0.13         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Halichoeres chierchieae        | 2                  | 0.4                    | 0.13         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Muraena lentiginosa            | 1                  | 0.2                    | 0.07         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Pomacanthus zonipectus         | 1                  | 0.2                    | 0.07         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Caranx caballus                | 1                  | 0.2                    | 0.07         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Alphestes immaculatus          | 1                  | 0.2                    | 0.07         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |

### ROCKY REEFS

| Species                        | Abundance (# inds) | Relative abundance (%) | Frequency (%) | Mean density (#ind m⁻²) | SD       |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Halichoeres dispilus           | 64                 | 77.1                   | 0.83         | 0.21                    | 0.18     |
| Chaetodon humeralis            | 4                  | 4.8                    | 0.33         | 0.01                    | 0.02     |
| Haemulon steindachneri         | 4                  | 4.8                    | 0.17         | 0.01                    | 0.03     |
| Serranus psittacinus           | 3                  | 3.6                    | 0.33         | 0.01                    | 0.02     |
| Sphoeroides lobatus            | 2                  | 2.4                    | 0.33         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Stegastes flavilatus           | 2                  | 2.4                    | 0.17         | <0.01                   | 0.02     |
| Urophys halleri                | 1                  | 1.2                    | 0.17         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Diodon holocanthus             | 1                  | 1.2                    | 0.17         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Canthigaster punctatissima     | 1                  | 1.2                    | 0.17         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |
| Balistes polyplepis            | 1                  | 1.2                    | 0.17         | <0.01                   | 0.01     |

### SARGASSUM BEDS
high biological diversity and ocean-atmosphere phenomena, and for its great national importance due to economic activities that take place there (Cortés, 2014; Cortés, 2016). The scientific information about species in marine ecosystems in an area is critical to understand, conserve and management the biodiversity in an integral way (Margules & Pressey, 2000). Although our records of invertebrates and fish species in BSA and GSE are typical of the biogeographic province of the Eastern Tropical Pacific (ETP) of Costa Rica (Alvarado, Chacón-Monge, Solís-Marín, Pineda-Enríquez, Caballero-Ochoa, Solano-Rivera & Romero-Chaves, 2017; Alvarado & Chiriboga, 2008; Alvarado & Fernández, 2005; Alvarado, Solís-Marín & Ahearn, 2010; Alvarado et al., 2015; Alvarado et al., 2018; Cordero-Umaña & Santidrián, 2020; Cortés, 2017; Dominici-Arosemena, Brugnoli-Olivera, Cortés-Núñez, Molina-Ureña & Quesada-Alpizar, 2005; Murase, Angulo, Miyazaki, Bussing & López, 2014;), the distribution geographic record of invertebrates and fishes observed in this study contribute to the knowledge of marine biodiversity in conservation priority areas (North Pacific of Costa Rica) and/or sites (marine sector of conservation areas) identified in the country (Alvarado et al., 2011; Cortés, 2012; Cortés, 2014; Cortés, 2017; SINAC-MINAET, 2008). Moreover, it contributes to the research efforts on the biodiversity of marine ecosystems of the Pacific shores of Costa Rica (Cortés, 2012; Cortés, 2016) that have received little (e.g., rocky reefs) (Cordero-Umaña & Santidrián-Tomillo, 2020; Dominici-Arosemena et al., 2005; Espinoza & Salas 2005) or scarce attention (e.g., *Sargassum* beds) compared with others, e.g., coral reefs (Alvarado & Chiriboga, 2008; Alvarado et al., 2015; Alvarado et al., 2018; Cortés, 1996/1997).

Our estimates of number of macroinvertebrates and conspicuous fish species would be larger with more spatial and temporal replication. Because estimates are sample-design dependent, comparisons to number of species at other sites where different sampling designs were used should be considered only suggestive. At the rocky reefs, for mollusks (gastropods and bivalves) and echinoderms (sea stars, sea urchins, sea cucumber and brittle stars) we recorded a lower number of species (23) than reported in rocky reefs in the Gulf of Papagayo (46 species) (Cordero-Umaña, & Santidrián-Tomillo, 2020) and in coral reefs (35 to 37 species) in the ETP of Costa Rica (Alvarado et al., 2015; Alvarado et al., 2018). On the other hand, the number of fish species (33) is less than reported previously (46 to 81 species) by Cordero-Umaña & Santidrián-Tomillo (2020), Dominici-Arosemena et al. (2005) and Espinoza & Salas (2005) in rocky reefs in the North Pacific of Costa Rica. Our lower number of species maybe due to our lower sampling compared to previous studies, our results suggest that the number of macroinvertebrates and fish species in rocky reef could be higher at BSA and GSE.

In the case of *Sargassum* beds, our study is the first biodiversity report for this marine ecosystem in the ETP of Costa Rica. With eight macroinvertebrates (mollusks and echinoderms groups) and ten fish species, the number of species recorded are lower than reported in *Sargassum* beds for these faunistic groups (44 to 73 species) from others latitudes, like the Gulf of California in México (Foster, McConnico, Lundsten, Wadsworth, Kimball, Brooks et al., 2007; Suárez-Castillo, 2008; Suárez-Castillo, 2014; Suárez-Castillo, Riosmena-Rodríguez, Hernández-Carmona, Méndez-Trejo, López-Vivas, Sánchez-Ortiz et al., 2013). Our results suggest that the number of invertebrates and fish species could be higher in GSE, this too possibly due lower sampling effort than used in other studies, and because we visit the *Sargassum* beds in February, before the algae reached their maximum length (102.0 cm in April) (Cortés et al., 2014). The mean length of *S. liebmanni* thallus observed was 7.67 cm (Alvin Suárez, personal observation). *Sargassum* species are considered foundation species because they harbor a vast diversity of species because of the habitat produced by the algae itself (Foster et al., 2007; Suárez-Castillo, 2008; Suárez-Castillo, 2014).
The variation of nearshore flora and fauna is often attributed to habitat structure and seasonal changes in environmental conditions (Aburto-Oropeza & Balart, 2001; Beukhof, Dencker, Pecuchet & Lindegren, 2019; Domíñici-Arosemena & Wolff, 2006; Foster et al., 2007; McCourt, 1984; Palacios & Zapata, 2014; Scrosati, 2001). However, without physical descriptors of marine ecosystems and temporal replication and more quantitative, seasonal records of species composition in these marine ecosystems from this study, the consistency of these temporal patterns and their causes are largely unknown. The communities of invertebrate and fish of both marine ecosystems have different species composition. At the rocky reefs, the black sea urchin, *D. mexicanum*, and slate pencil urchin, *E. thouarsii*, were the most abundant invertebrates (1513 and 192 ind., respectively) and frequently observed (100% and 93%, respectively). This result suggests that our estimate is reasonable for this marine ecosystem, where it has been recognized that these two species are the most dominant grazers in the ETP (Guzmán & Cortés, 1993). It has been reported that these two echinoids exert a strong influence on the community structure (Andrew, 1989; Glynn, Wellington & Birkeland, 1979; Lawrence, 1975; Underwood, 1992), so the values of mean density of *D. mexicanum* (5.04 ind m$^{-2}$) and *E. thouarsii* (0.57 ind m$^{-2}$) observed in this study are important to consider the periodical monitoring of community structure in rocky reefs in BSa and GSE. The mean density value recorded for *D. mexicanum* in this study are higher than was has been previously recorded in the northern Pacific region of Costa Rica (0.20 to 2.19 ind m$^{-2}$) (Alvarado, Cortés & Reyes-Bonilla, 2012). The fish species composition observed in this study, high abundance of *C. atrilobata* (255 inds.) and serranids, pomacentrids, labrids and tetraodontids fishes with highest number of fish species, is similar to recorded for rocky reefs in the North Pacific of Costa Rica (Cordero-Umaña & Santidrián-Tomillo, 2020; Domíñici-Arosemena et al., 2005; Espinoza & Salas, 2005), indicating that the estimate for our survey sites is reasonable for this type of community.

Comparisons of communities of invertebrate and fish species in *Sargassum* beds from this study with the observed in other sites in the northern Pacific region of Costa Rica can’t be done, because our work represent the first report of this type for this marine ecosystem in the region. The composition of invertebrates and fish species recorded are similar to observed in *Sargassum* beds in the Gulf of California (Foster et al., 2007; Suárez-Castillo, 2008; Suárez-Castillo, 2014; Suárez-Castillo et al., 2013) suggesting that our observations are typical for this marine ecosystem. However, it was possible to observe invertebrate species (*Vasum caestus, Astropyga pulvinata*) that have not been previously recorded in *Sargassum* beds, and differences in the invertebrate and fish species frequently observed and with highest mean densities compared with *Sargassum* beds in other sites (Suárez-Castillo, 2008; Suárez-Castillo et al., 2013). Therefore, taxonomic and community structure studies of the fauna in *Sargassum* beds in the North Pacific of Costa Rica are necessary.

The original approach of this research by one of the authors (A. Suárez) was to monitor the community of invertebrate and fish species in *Sargassum* beds in the northern Pacific region of Costa Rica, where has been reported the absence of *S. liebmannii* since 2013, following a population outbreak of the black sea urchin *D. mexicanum* (Cortés et al., 2014). The substantial decrease or total disappearance of these seaweed beds by multiple factors (seasonal patterns related to temperature changes, introduction of alien species, biotic interactions, among others) has been reported in many coastal areas around the world (Aburto-Oropeza, Sala, Paredes, Mendoza & Ballesteros, 2007; Britton-Simmons, 2004; Haraguchi & Sekida, 2008; Rivera & Scrosati, 2006; Viejo, 1997; Xuan-Vy & Huu-Dai, 2011). The losses of these foundation species and the shift from algal dominated substrate to bare substrate, as observed on rocky reefs in our survey sites in BSa and GSE (Alvin Suárez, personal.
may have implications in the decline of marine biodiversity associated with them. Therefore, more studies of marine biodiversity in Sargassum beds in the North Pacific of Costa Rica are necessary.

Our study further evidences the poorly and scarce knowledge of communities of invertebrates and fish species in rocky reefs and Sargassum beds in the North Pacific of Costa Rica, respectively. Which require considerably more survey and field work to locate such marine ecosystems, better characterize their marine biodiversity that occur in them and better understand of the community structure of fauna and the factors that determine them. Information that is required to support management decisions that are backed by sound scientific knowledge. These marine ecosystems deserve special consideration in efforts to conserve biodiversity.
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RESUMEN

Listado de invertebrados y peces conspicuos en arrecifes rocosos y mantos de Sargassum en el Pacífico Norte de Costa Rica

Introducción: La biodiversidad marina es bien conocida en algunas áreas y para algunos ecosistemas marinos de la costa Pacífica de Costa Rica. El sector marino del Área de Conservación Guanacaste, en el Pacífico norte del país, es un área prioritaria para la conservación de la biodiversidad marina y costera.

Objetivo: Nuestro objetivo fue el de incrementar el conocimiento de la biodiversidad marina en un sitio prioritario de conservación de Costa Rica y en ecosistemas marinos poco o nulamente estudiados, como son los arrecifes rocosos y mantos de Sargassum, respectivamente.

Métodos: El estudio se realizó en Bahía Salinas y Golfo de Santa Elena en el 2013. En enero, cuatro arrecifes rocosos fueron visitados; mientras que en febrero tres arrecifes rocosos y un manto de Sargassum fueron visitados. Identificamos las especies de invertebrados y peces conspicuos a través métodos de censos visuales submarinos (buceos cronometrados y transectos de banda). La densidad promedio de cada especie se estimó mediante el método de transectos de banda.

Resultados: Se registró un total de 25 invertebrados y 34 especies de peces. Encontramos un mayor número de especies de invertebrados (23) y peces (33) en los arrecifes rocosos, pero pocas especies en el manto de Sargassum (ocho especies de invertebrados y diez de peces). La composición de especies difirió entre ecosistemas marinos.

Conclusiones: Nuestro estudio evidencia el limitado conocimiento de las comunidades de invertebrados y peces en estos ecosistemas marinos en el Pacífico Norte de Costa Rica. Considerablemente más encuestas y trabajo de campo son requeridos para apoyar decisiones de manejo que sean respaldados por conocimientos científicos sólidos.

Palabras clave: equinodermos, moluscos, peces, sitio prioritario de conservación, Área de Conservación Guanacaste.
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