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During exposure to low salinity, the under-ice amphipods Gammarus wilkitzkii and Onisimus glacialis appeared as euryhaline osmoregulators, displaying regulation of haemolymph concentrations of sodium and chloride. Free amino acids took part in the regulation. During freezing and brine formation, the amphipods were freeze-sensitive and did not tolerate being frozen into solid ice. However, they could stay in the vicinity of the ice, conforming osmotically to the ambient brine and thus lowering the melting point of the amphipods' body fluids. This prevented internal ice formation in the absence of antifreeze agents (THF) in the haemolymph. When G. wilkitzkii, O. glacialis and Apherusa glacialis were exposed to dilute seawater, elevated rates of oxygen consumption and ammonia excretion were observed. The O:N atomic ratio was kept nearly constant during hyposmotic stress, indicating protein/lipids as metabolic substrate. Rates of oxygen consumption and ammonia excretion increased with increasing osmotic differences between the haemolymph and the medium, indicating higher energy requirements for osmotic and ionic regulation at low salinities. A minor decrease in haemolymph sodium concentrations coincided with the increased ammonia output during hyposmotic stress, indicating a possible counter ion regulation of NH₄⁺ and Na⁺. An increased rate of oxygen consumption, ammonia excretion and O:N ratio versus temperature was observed for all species.
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Introduction

Polar sea ice is a habitat for under-ice biota (Horn 1985). If these organisms are associated with the sea ice throughout the year, they will be exposed to seasonal changes in physical parameters as the ice melts or freezes. It is clear that animals in intimate contact with the ice would benefit considerably from physiological and behavioural adaptations that permit them to survive freezing and thawing changes in their immediate environment.

Sea-ice initially forms when open water is exposed to cold air. At the ice/water interface of growing ice, salts are included as brine pockets in the ice (Weeks 1968). In response to temperature change and internal stress, the pockets interconnect as brine channels, and about 50-300 channels per m² may exist along the bottom of the ice (Lake & Lewis 1970; Eide & Martin 1975; Niddrauer & Martin 1979). As the Arctic sea ice becomes thicker, the average bulk salinity of the ice decreases from 10-20% to about 4-8%, indicating a major loss of brine to the ocean (Malmgren 1927; Cox & Weeks 1974). However, the volume and salinity of brine excluded from sea ice depend on the growth rate of the ice and the salinity of the seawater (Wakatsuchi & Ono 1983). In the Arctic, melting of the sea-ice surface begins as air temperatures approach freezing point with a development of melt ponds at the surface of the ice floes (Nansen 1906). A large part of this meltwater flows further off the ice and into the sea. Melt ponds which are characteristic of melting ice in the Arctic have rarely been seen in the Antarctic (Gordon 1981; Maykut 1985) as Antarctic pack ice melts predominantly at the ice/water interface (Andreas & Ackley 1982).

In both polar regions the true under-ice (sympagic) fauna is dominated by amphipods (Carey 1985). The dominant species in the ice community of the Arctic Barents Sea are Apherusa glacialis, Gammarus wilkitzkii and Onisimus glacialis (Gulliksen 1984; Gulliksen & Lønne 1989; Aarset & Aaunaas 1987a). In the Antarctic Weddell Sea, the dominant species observed in the ice community were the amphipod Eusirus antarcticus and the first juvenile stage of the krill Euphausia superba (Aarset & Torres 1989). The micro-distribution of these crustaceans in the under-ice habitats varies from species to species (Carey 1985). Individuals have been observed living in brine channels (Cross 1982; Newbury 1983) and
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partially or fully embedded in the ice (Gulliksen 1984). Green & Steele (1975) reported that *Gammarus maraonthus loricatus* was the only amphipod species associated with ice stalactites and may at times be frozen within them. In the Weddell Sea, *E. antarcticus* was found living in brine channels or at the ice/water interface and the first juvenile stage of the krill *E. superba* was observed feeding on ice algae (Aarset 1988).

During summer melt of the sea ice, the crustaceans are likely to encounter low salinity conditions, and during sea-ice growth they will be exposed to low temperature and high salinity brine at the ice/water interface. The mechanisms of cold resistance and osmotic regulation of the animals will ultimately determine whether they must leave the sea ice or may stay and cope physiologically with the changing conditions. This topic was the first to be examined at the start of the Pro Mare program. The next question to be answered was, What would be the metabolic consequence of an adaptation of amphipods to the sea-ice environment? We have in our studies therefore recorded the metabolic responses (measured as the specific oxygen consumption and ammonia excretion) of the Arctic amphipods exposed to various seawater salinities and temperatures (Aarset & Aunaas 1990a, b, c, 1992).

The effects of salinity and temperature on the rates of oxygen consumption of crustacean species in general have been well documented (Kinne 1970, 1971; Ivleva 1980; Vernberg 1983). Similarly, the effects of salinity and temperature on the rates of ammonia excretion have been presented for several crustacean species (Needham 1957; Haberfield et al. 1975; Mangum et al. 1976; Spaargaren et al. 1982; Claybrook 1983; Quarmby 1985; Taylor et al. 1987). Some authors have further been focusing on the influence of environmental parameters on the relationship between consumed oxygen and excreted nitrogen (atomic O:N ratio), to obtain an indicator of the kind of substrate oxidised by the animals (Mayzaud & Conover 1988 for ref.). A substrate of only proteins should give a minimum value of 7 (Mayzaud 1973), with equivalent weights of proteins and lipids a ratio of 24 is obtained and if lipids and carbohydrates are metabolised, the ratio would approach infinity (Conover & Corner 1968). Our estimates of the O:N ratio were used to clarify the nature of the metabolic substrates oxidised in order to meet the energetic requirements during salinity and temperature stress.

Studies of similar adaptation mechanisms of Antarctic under-ice crustaceans (Aarset 1988; Aarset & Torres 1989) as well as Arctic intertidal amphipods (Aarset & Zachariassen 1988) have been included in the Pro Mare programme to compare the physiological mechanisms involved in the adaptation of amphipods to the under-ice habitat of the Barents Sea.

**Osmotic regulation**

Arctic sympagic amphipod species appear to be euryhaline organisms (Aarset & Aunaas 1987a). The adaptation of such animals to changes in seawater salinity is based on two different types of physiological mechanisms: the anisosmotic regulation of inorganic ions between blood and the external medium, and the intracellular isosmotic regulation, or cell volume regulation of free amino acids (Gilles 1979; Gilles & Pequeux 1983; Clarke 1985).

In the Arctic, *G. wilkitzkii* and *O. glacialis* occur on the undersurface and in interstices of the sea ice where they might be exposed to low salinity seawater during sea-ice melting. In such an environment, the sympagic amphipods would face the problem of diffuse loss of solutes and osmotic influx of water. To cope with these problems, the animals may lower their body fluid concentrations, thus reducing diffusion gradients and/or the ion-water permeabilities of the body surfaces, as well as eliminating water through the production of urine (Potts 1955; Shaw & Sutcliff 1961; Werntz 1963; Lockwood et al 1973; Kirschner 1979; Mantel & Farmer 1983).

The sympagic amphipod species *G. wilkitzkii* and *O. glacialis* appeared as hyperosmotic regulators (Fig. 1A), both displaying extracellular regulation of haemolymph concentrations of sodium and chloride (Fig. 1B and C) (Aarset & Aunaas 1987a). The haemolymph concentration of the poorer regulator *G. wilkitzkii* was nearly parallel with the isosmotic line in media below a salinity of about 17 ppt (Fig. 1A). This response might be of adaptive value for marine organisms inhabiting water which is brackish, due to the reduction in the ionic concentration gradients (Potts 1955) (Fig. 1B and C). The amphipod *O. glacialis* maintained a nearly constant internal
concentration of sodium and chloride over a wide range of external salinities (Fig. 1B and C), and thus appeared to be a very efficient osmotic regulator (Fig. 1A).

The amphipod *P. libellula* was a stenohaline osmoconformer with haemolymph isosmotic to the ambient seawater over the tolerated salinity range (Fig. 1A). The observed lack of a sodium and chloride regulation of the haemolymph (Fig. 1B and C) is characteristic of osmoconformers (Gilles 1979). Being an osmoconformer with a relatively low tolerance to salinity variations, this species is forced to live in a rather constant environment. The species *G. wilkitzkii* and *O.*
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glacialis thus have a larger capacity to adapt to an under-ice environment with salinity variations than P. libellula.

The Antarctic sympagic amphipod E. antarcticus and the first juvenile stage of the krill E. superbera appeared as osmoconformers with a low salinity tolerance (Aarset 1988), indicating that these Antarctic species are not as euryhaline as the Arctic species. This might be associated with differences in the melt progression of the two polar regions with the most significant input of meltwater to the sea surface in the Arctic, creating larger fluctuations in water salinity (Gordon 1981; Maykut 1985). The physiological response of the Antarctic species is thus similar to pelagic crustaceans, while the Arctic sympagic fauna behave more as benthic intertidal species (Werntz 1963; Kinne 1970; Dorgelo 1977; Aarset & Zachariassen 1988).

Free amino acids

A decrease in the concentration of FAA (free amino acids) versus low salinity exposure was documented for the sympagic amphipods G. wilkitzkii and O. glacialis and has been observed for other crustacean species (Florkin & Schoffeniels 1969; Schoffeniels 1976; Gilles 1979; Gilles & Pequeux 1983; Clarke 1985). The adjustment of the intracellular FAA seem to be related to interaction of inorganic ions and enzyme activity in such a way that at low salinities the balance of amino acid synthesis and degradation favour a decline in the FAA pool. This is reduced by release of amino acids from the tissues. A rather high tissue/haemolymph FAA ratio in crustaceans reflects the importance of the amino acids in addition to the inorganic ions as intracellular osmotic effectors (Gilles 1979; Gilles & Pequeux 1983; Clarke 1985).

In the amphipod G. wilkitzkii, a 50% reduction in the total concentration of free amino acids (TFAA) present at 35% occurred during exposure to 5% salinity (Aarset et al. unpubl. data) (Fig 2). The decrease in TFAA corresponds to a decline in haemolymph osmolality and inorganic ions concentrations (Aarset & Aunaaas 1987a), indicating an osmotic response of the amphipod cells. A reduction in the total concentration of essential amino acids (EAA) was also present due mainly to the changes in the concentration of arginine (Figs. 2 and 3). Arginine is an important component of the phosphagen phosphaarginine in the crustacean muscle and appears sometimes in rather high concentrations (Gilles 1979; Claybrook 1983).

The nonessential amino acids in G. wilkitzkii accounted for more than 80% of the TFAA reduction and do so in most crustaceans (Claybrook 1983; Aarset et al. unpubl. data). Of these glycine, alanine and proline appeared to be the most important osmolytes as well as taurine (Fig. 3). Taurine is an end product of sulfur amino acid metabolism and like the free amino acids concentrated intracellularly (Allen & Garrett 1971; Huxtable & Barbeau 1976; Gilles 1979). In G. wilkitzkii, taurine was a most significant osmololyte in the salinity range of 35 to 15%. However, Dalla Via (1986) and MeCoid et al. (1984) both demonstrated a minor contribution of taurine as osmoeffector during hyposmotic stress of the shrimp P. japonicus and P. vannamei, respectively. Taurine appears therefore not to have the same role as osmotic effector in all crustacean species, and this role can be different in the same species depending on the salinity in the range tolerated as has been observed for G. wilkitzkii at 5% (Fig. 3).

The high glycine and alanine concentrations in
G. wilkitzkii decreased respectively 70 and 50% at a salinity decline from 35 to 5% (Aarset et al. unpubl. data) (Fig. 3). As loss of amino acids to the sea does not seem to be the main route in crustaceans, the observed reduction could be a result of amino acid catabolism (Claybrook 1983).

Cold tolerance – brine exposure

Ectothermic polar invertebrates and fishes have body temperatures corresponding to the ambient temperature. If the ambient temperature drops below the melting point of the body fluids, the animals face the problem of internal ice formation. To cope with this, several general strategies have been developed. Freezing tolerance is a strategy based on a tolerance to tissue ice formation, while supercooling is a strategy for avoiding freezing, thus allowing the body fluids to be cooled below the equilibrium freezing point without freezing (for previous reviews of cold tolerance see Aarset 1982; Murphy 1983; Clarke 1983; Zachariassen 1985; 1989; Storey & Storey 1989). Polar ecotherms seem to use both these strategies.

Cold hardiness

As sea ice has a sponge-like structure with cavities, brine channels and crevices, amphipods may become trapped within the bulk sea ice as it grows and thus be exposed to low temperatures. In the Arctic, however, the sympagic amphipods G. wilkitzkii and O. glacialis did not tolerate being frozen into the solid sea ice. Instead the amphipods were able to stay away from the advancing ice front during sea ice growth (Aarset & Aunaas 1987b). The intertidal Spitsbergen amphipod Gammarus oceanicus, however, could survive being frozen into the solid ice at a temperature of about -6 to -7°C (Aarset & Zachariassen 1988). This has also been observed for the eggs of the subarctic plaice P. platessa (Aarset & Jørgensen 1988).

In Antarctica, the first juvenile stage of the
krill, *E. superba*, unlike the amphipod *E. antarcticus*, tolerated being frozen into the solid sea ice at temperatures down to about −4°C (Aarset & Torres 1989). Although both crustaceans are mobile species, the amphipods avoided the growing ice front during freezing experiments while the krill did not. The results correlated well with underwater observations made in the Weddell Sea. During several scuba dives under the polar pack ice, underwater frazil ice formation was observed. While the krill largely disappeared during the freezing episodes, the amphipods left the congelation ice, crawled through the frazil ice layer, and took up a position at the interface of the frazil ice crystal and the surrounding seawater (Aarset 1988).

**Supercooling, thermal hysteresis factor (THF) and nucleating activity**

When the Arctic sympagic amphipod *G. wilkitzkii* were cooled in air, the amphipod froze and died at a temperature of about −4°C (Table 1) (Aarset & Aunaas 1987b). A relatively high supercooling point may indicate that some nucleating agents are present in the body fluids of the animals (Zachariassen 1980). In freeze sensitive insects, it is well known that gut content may act as an ice nucleator (Sømme 1982). In *G. wilkitzkii*, however, there was no observed difference in the supercooling capacity of animals with and without gut contents. Tests for extracellular nucleating agents were also negative (Table 1), indicating an intracellular nucleation. Similarly, the amphipod *A. glacialis* had supercooling points of about −7°C, and *G. oceanicus*, associated with landfast ice, about −6.0°C. (Aarset & Zachariassen 1988).

When the Antarctic under-ice crustaceans *E. antarcticus* and *E. superba* were cooled in air, they froze and died at temperatures of about −11°C and −9°C respectively (Table 1) (Aarset & Torres 1989). These relatively low supercooling points indicate that no potent nucleators were present in the body fluids of the animals, and that the Antarctic under-ice fauna appeared to have a greater supercooling capacity than the Arctic species.

Rakusa-Suszczewski & McWhinnie (1976) studied the supercooling capacity of benthic and pelagic Antarctic species supercooled in filtered seawater. The supercooling capacity was in the range of −4°C to −8°C and ice formation started on gill surfaces and appendages of the animals. Our experiments with individuals supercooled in filtered seawater yielded similar results (range −3°C to −6°C) (Aarset & Torres 1989). However, as the individuals can be supercooled to a lower temperature in air, representing internal ice nucleation, it is probable that ice nucleation initiated in filtered seawater at a higher temperature originates in the medium. The survival of the under-ice fauna in a supercooled state could depend on thermal hysteresis agents present in their body fluids. These are proteinaceous compounds which act to stabilise the supercooled state and which have been demonstrated to be present in different categories of supercooled animals (DeVries 1971; Zachariassen & Husby 1982; Denstad et al. 1987). However, several tests for thermal hysteresis agents in both Arctic and Antarctic crustaceans were all negative (Table 1.) (Aarset & Aunaas 1987b; Aarset & Zachariassen 1988; Aarset & Torres 1989). The fact that animals cooled in freezing seawater were injured at a

| Species     | N  | SCP ± SD | F ± SD | M ± SD | F-M ± SD |
|-------------|----|----------|--------|--------|----------|
| *E. antarcticus* | 3a | −11.4 ± 0.6 | −1.98 ± 0.06 | −1.95 ± 0.07 | −0.03 ± 0.09 |
|             | 3b |          |        |        |          |
| *E. superba*  | 6a | −9.0 ± 1.6 | −2.00 ± 0.09 | −1.96 ± 0.08 | −0.04 ± 0.12 |
|             | 4b | −6.3 ± 0.3 | −1.13 ± 0.04 | −1.12 ± 0.03 | −0.01 ± 0.05 |
| *G. oceanicus* | 4a | −3.8 ± 0.8 | −1.98 ± 0.06 | −1.95 ± 0.09 | −0.03 ± 0.11 |
|             | 4b |          |        |        |          |
| *G. wilkitzkii* | 5a | −7.8 ± 1.7 | −1.98 ± 0.06 | −1.95 ± 0.09 | −0.03 ± 0.11 |
|              | 5b |          |        |        |          |

a = intact animals, b = haemolymph (data from Aarset & Torres 1989. Aarset & Zachariassen 1988. Aarset & Aunaas 1987b)
higher temperature than animals cooled in air indicates that other mechanisms than spontaneous internal freezing were causing the injuries.

**Brine exposure**

During sea-ice growth, brine is excluded to the sea and thereby increases the salinity of the under-ice environment (Maykut 1985). When the Arctic
The Arctic and the Antarctic sympagic fauna thus vary in seawater salinity, the environmental developed physiological mechanisms to cope with variations in seawater salinity, the environmental documented for other crustaceans (Kinne 1964). pods, a mechanism which has previously been the salt tolerance for both the krill and the amphipod species have Metabolic responses versus salinity acclimation Acclimation of the sympagic amphipods G. wilkitzkii, O. glacialis and A. glacialis to low salinities induced elevated oxygen consumption rates for all species (Aarset & Aunaas 1990a, b). For both O. glacialis and A. glacialis the lowest rate was obtained at a salinity of 35%, and the hyposmotic stress induced a 2–3 fold increase in the rate (Aarset & Aunaas 1990b, 1992). However, the oxygen consumption rate of G. wilkitzkii was salinity-independent in the range of 25 to 48% (Fig. 5A). Below a salinity of 15–20%, G. wilkitzkii was rather sensitive to salinity stress, with a 2–3 times increase in the oxygen consumption rate when transferred from 35 to 15%. This indicates that the amphipods can live in the sea-ice environment in the fully compensated salinity range with minor changes in energy requirements. In an environment with salinities below 15–20%, the animals will need higher energy inputs. However, the salinity had little effect on the mean oxygen consumption rates of E. antarcticus and E. superba in their tolerated salinity ranges (Aarset & Torres 1989).
Fig. 5. The rates of specific oxygen consumption (A), specific ammonia excretion (B) and O:N ratios (C) of Gammarus wilkitzkii acclimated for 24 hours to various salinities. The data are given as Mean ± SD with number of animals indicated above each point (Aarset & Aunaas 1990a).

An increased ammonia excretion rate in dilute media was documented for the under-ice amphipods G. wilkitzkii, O. glacialis and A. glacialis. This has previously been noted in a number of other crustacean species (Reginault 1984; Taylor et al. 1987; Haberfield et al. 1975; Spaargaren et al. 1982; Harris & Andrews 1985; Aarset & Aunaas 1990a, b, 1992). Exposure of G. wil-
kitzkii to the 24 hour hyposmotic stress resulted in a substantial increase in ammonia excretion rates in individuals exposed to salinities below 25% (Fig. 5B). Thus, the excretion rate to some extent seems to correspond with the changes in the oxygen consumption rate. In the salinity range of 25–48%, there was a small decrease in excretion rate, indicating that the amphipods were not fully compensating for the salinity variations in the media. Exposure to salinities below 15–20% revealed sensitivity to the salinity stress with a 2–3 times increase in the excretion rate. This observation and the concomitant elevation of the oxygen consumption rates might support an energetically favourable migration of the animals into waters of higher salinities.

Changes in the energy substrate utilisation as a function of osmotic stress has been observed in some crustaceans (Tendengran et al. 1988; Stern et al. 1984); however, a rather constant O:N ratio of about 15–20 has been documented for the sympagic amphipods O. glacialis and A. glacialis (Aarset & Aunaas 1990b, 1992). In G. wilkitzkii the pooled O:N ratio for 24 hours osmotic stress varied from 21 at hyposmotic to 37 at hyperosmotic stress (Fig. 5C); however, individual variations in the ratios displayed no significant differences. Ikeda & Bruce (1986) pooled the data for 12 Antarctic zooplankton species and obtained an O:N ratio of about 14. Antarctic krill E. superba ranged from 21 to 50 (Hirche 1983).

Metabolic responses versus osmolality differences – haemolymph sodium concentrations

In many crustaceans the respiratory rate has been demonstrated to be highest when the osmotic difference between haemolymph and external medium is at a maximum (Rao 1985; King 1965; Hagermann 1970). The lowest respiratory rate occurred when the osmotic difference between haemolymph and medium was minimal. The observations were supported by the studies of G. wilkitzkii (Fig. 6A) and O. glacialis (Aarset & Aunaas 1990a, b). These amphipods were osmo-regulators at low salinities, maintaining elevated haemolymph concentrations of Na+ and Cl− (Aarset & Aunaas 1987a). The observed increase in the oxygen consumption rates thus seems to indicate increased energy requirement for osmotic regulation at low salinities. However, the energy actually required for osmo- and ionic-regulation in crustacean species, calculated from thermodynamic considerations, has been claimed to account for only a minor part (1–5%) of the observed increase in the oxygen consumption rate (Potts 1955; Styczynska-Jurewicz 1970; Sparrgaren 1975; Dalla Via 1987). Another reason for the accelerated respiratory rates may be related to the catabolism of free amino acids during cell volume regulation, as the control of the amino acid pool is governed mostly by changes in transport activity, deamination, and oxidation of the acids to CO2 (Gilles 1973).

Mangum et al. (1976) demonstrated that ammonia excretion of the crab Callinectes sapidus was related to the osmotic difference between blood and water. These observations were supported by the excretion rate of both G. wilkitzkii (Fig. 6B) and O. glacialis (Aarset & Aunaas 1990a, b). The main site of ammonia transfer in crustaceans appears to be the gill epithelium (Evans & Cameron 1986), where the NH4+ ion has been proposed to act as a counter ion in the regulation of haemolymph sodium concentrations (Weiland & Mangum 1975; Kirschner 1979; Taylor et al. 1987). Thus, a minor decrease in haemolymph sodium would coincide with increased ammonium output during hyposmotic stress. In G. wilkitzkii the time-course of the haemolymph sodium concentration might support this observation.

In several crustacean species, the rate of ammonia excretion may be reduced during long term exposure to hyperosmotic stress (Needham 1957; Mangum et al. 1976). In G. wilkitzkii there was a decrease in the excretion rate of individuals exposed to salinities from 25 to 48% indicating a metabolic requirement of the NH4+ ion at higher salinities. Armstrong et al. (1981) suggested a reversal of the Na+/NH4+ exchange mechanism in the prawn Macrobranctes rosenbergii, allowing the animals to hyporegulate in concentrated media. However, no ability to hyporegulate has yet been demonstrated in G. wilkitzkii (Aarset & Aunaas 1987b). Hyporegulation would raise the melting point of the amphipod haemolymph, and under such circumstances ice nucleation would be likely to occur at low temperatures.

Metabolic responses versus temperature

Crustaceans which have adapted to the low temperatures of the Antarctic oceans seem to be
Fig. 6. The rates of specific oxygen consumption (A), specific ammonia excretion (B) and O:N ratios (C) of Gammarus wilkitzkii as a function of the osmotic difference between the haemolymph and medium osmolality. The simple regressions are indicated by solid lines (Aarset & Aunaas 1990a).
sensitive to elevated ambient temperatures (Rakusa-Suszczewski 1980, 1982; Rakusa-Suszczewski & Klekowski 1973). However, Scholander et al. (1953) and Percy (1975) indicated that most of the Canadian Arctic crustaceans they studied survived a temperature exposure of about 18°C. In our studies of G. wilkitzkii, O. glacialis and A. glacialis, short term temperature exposures from −1° to 10°C were not lethal to the under-ice amphipods, although the maximal annual variation in temperature of the surface waters of the Arctic Barents Sea is about 3–4°C. As the crustaceans are unable to control their body temperature, their metabolic rates should vary with changes in ambient temperatures. The general response to increasing temperature is an increased metabolic rate, although several crustacean species appear to be thermally insensitive (low Q10 values) over wide temperature ranges (Prosser 1973; Vernberg 1983).

### Oxygen consumption

Percy (1975) reported that the oxygen consumption rate of the winter acclimated individuals of the benthic amphipod Onisimus affinis were relatively temperature-insensitive between 0° and 8°C with a Q10 of 1.36. However, above 8° the rate increased rapidly with temperature as indicated by a Q10 of 2.4 between 8° and 15°C. Similar results have been demonstrated for the Arctic copepod Calanus glacialis (Tande 1988) and the Antarctic krill Euphausia superba (McWhinnie 1964). The effect of elevated temperatures on the metabolic rates of the Arctic under-ice amphipods G. wilkitzkii, O. glacialis and A. glacialis revealed an overall increase-response for all species (Aarset & Aunaas 1990c, d). Although the rate of A. glacialis appeared to be independent at temperatures between 0° and 5°C, with a rather low Q10 value, the rate increased significantly as the temperature increased further from 5° to 10°C (Fig. 7A). The first response may thus indicate a conservation of energy at low temperatures.

Both G. wilkitzkii and O. glacialis exhibited “normal” Q10 values of 3.4 and 3.6, respectively, in the temperature range 0° to 10°C. In the range from 0° to 5°C, which is approximately the range these amphipods generally would experience in the Arctic, both species displayed rather high Q10 values, with the most pronounced increased by O. glacialis (Aarset & Aunaas 1990b). High Q10 values have been documented for several polar species as an indication of sensitivity to temperature changes (Klekowski et al. 1973). However, Scholander et al. (1953) discussed the problems by using Q10 as an indicator of adaptation to the environment. They concluded that physiological adaptation of poikilotherms to cold was reflected in the lateral displacement of the metabolism temperature curve. Wohlschlag (1960) supported this theory, but arguments against the concept of metabolic cold adaptation have also been presented (Clarke 1980, 1983; Maxwell & Ralph 1985). However, the metabolism temperature curve may vary according to season, starvation, sex, and activity of the animals (Vernberg 1983; Clarke 1980), making comparative data difficult to interpret.

### Ammonia excretion

The rate of ammonia excretion represents a rate at which metabolic systems are digesting, assimilating and processing nitrogen substances. An increase in the excretion rate with increasing temperatures therefore suggests an increase in the digestion and assimilation efficiencies at higher temperatures. Several crustacean species, such as the spot prawn Pandalus platyceros and the Antarctic isopod Glyptonotus antarcticus (White 1975), do increase the excretion rate with temperature. However, excretion rates of copepodite stage V as well as adult females of the Arctic copepode Calanus glacialis were temperature independent in the range of −1.7° to 1°C (Tande 1988).

The effect of elevated temperature on the excretion rate of the under-ice amphipod A. glacialis revealed a significant increase in the rate at both 0°, 5° and 10°C with an overall Q10 of 1.8 (Fig. 7B). The results reveal a significant increase in the nitrogen excretion rates for both G. wilkitzkii and O. glacialis by an elevation of temperature from 0° to 10°C, with an overall Q10 of 1.9 and 2.3 respectively (Aarset & Aunaas 1990c). In the temperature range from 0° to 5°C the most pronounced effect, as for the oxygen consumption rate, occurred in O. glacialis. Thus, the ammonia excretion rates indicated an increased N-loss to the environment during the high temperature exposure. However, as the Arctic poikilotherms usually are subjected to only minor variations in sea surface temperature, the observed N-loss to the Arctic polar ocean would be significantly lower.
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Fig. 7. The rates of log specific oxygen consumption (A), specific ammonia excretion (B) and O:N ratios (C) of *Apherusa glacialis* at a salinity of 35 ppt. after an acute exposure to temperatures of 0°, 5° and 10°C. The simple regressions are represented by solid lines enclosed by 95% confidence limits. Each point represents one animal (Aarset & Aunaas 1992).

**O:N**

The O:N atomic ratio reflects the overall balance of the amphipod metabolism, related to internal energy requirements and external variables. It seems to be sensitive to the nutritive status of the animals, to the season, and to some extent the temperature (Mayzaud & Conover 1988). Ikeda (1974) demonstrated an O:N ratio of warm water species to be lower than cold water species. The copepod *Acartia clausi* and the krill *Meganystiphanes norvegica* exhibited opposite response to increasing temperature (Conover & Mayzaud 1976) while White (1975) found a bimodal distribution for the Antarctic isopod *Glyptonotus*
antarcticus. The sympagic amphipod *Apherusa glacialis* demonstrated an increase in the O:N ratio with temperature elevation (Fig. 7C) and similar observations have been obtained for *G. wiklitzkii* and *O. glacialis* with overall Q_{10} values of 2.0 and 1.6 respectively (Aarset & Aunaas 1990c). This indicates a temperature induced change in the metabolic substrate for all species towards lipids. However, in the temperature range from 0°C to 5°C the most pronounced increase occurred in *G. wiklitzkii*. In conclusion, the oxygen consumption rates for all species seem to be more sensitive to acute temperature exposure than the nitrogen excretion rates, which might represent a general trend in Arctic amphipod metabolism.
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