Scale dependency of dynamic relative permeability–saturation curves in relation with fluid viscosity and dynamic capillary pressure effect
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Abstract Capillary pressure–saturation-relative permeability relationships \((P_c-S_w-K_r)\) are functions of importance in modeling and simulations of the hydrodynamics of two-phase flow in porous media. These relationships are found to be affected by porous medium and fluid properties but the manner in which they are affected is a topic of intense discussion. For example, reported trends in fluid viscosity and boundary conditions effects have been found to be contrary to each other in different studies. In this work, we determine the dependency of dynamic \(K_r-S_w\) relationships (averaged data) on domain scale in addition to investigating the effects of fluid viscosity and boundary pressure using silicone oil (i.e. 200 and 1000 cSt) and water as the respective non-wetting and wetting fluids with a view to eliminating some of the uncertainties reported in the literature. Water relative permeability, \(K_{rw}\), was found to increase with increasing wetting phase saturation but decreases with the increase in viscosity ratio. On the other hand, the oil relative permeability, \(K_{rnw}\), was found to increase with the increasing non-wetting phase saturation in addition to the increase in viscosity ratio. Also, it was found that with the increasing boundary pressure \(K_{rw}\) decreases while \(K_{rnw}\) increases. The influence of scale on relative permeability was slightly indicated in the non-wetting phase with \(K_{rnw}\) decreasing as domain size increases. Effect of measurement location on dynamic relative permeability was explored which is rarely found in the literature. Comparison was also made between \(K_r-S_w\) relationships obtained under static and dynamic condition. Finally, mobility ratio \((m)\) and dynamic coefficient \((\tau)\) were plotted as a function of water saturation \((S_w)\), which showed that \(m\) decreases as \(\tau\) increases at a given saturation, or vice versa.
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1 Introduction

The capillary pressure–saturation-relative permeability ($P_c–S_w–K_r$) relationships for two-phase flow in porous media have been extensively investigated as function of porous media, fluid properties and flow conditions. Such studies are conducted in order to fully define the hydrodynamic behaviour of a number of engineering problems, e.g., immiscible contaminants remediation. Relative permeability-saturation ($K_r–S_w$) and capillary pressure–saturation ($P_c–S_w$) relationships are used to describe the complex interplay of capillary, gravitational and viscous forces which affect the two-phase flow in porous media [1]. But, the non-uniqueness in these relationships is well acknowledged. For example, for the $P_c–S_w$ relationships and their saturation-rate dependency, depend on factors such as fluid properties like viscosity and density ratios [2–5], domain scale [6–9], media permeability [7, 10, 11], micro-heterogeneities [9, 12], and hysteresis in $P_c–S_w$ relationships [9], among others. Hou et al. [13] explored the influence of measurement sensor’s response on the magnitude of the dynamic capillary effects at scale of a representative elementary volume (REV) and argue that the effects may be due to the experimental measurement artifacts. Their acknowledgement of the impact of the micro-heterogeneities on the dynamic capillary effects, at larger scales, is in agreement with the earlier submission of Das et al. [12] and Mirzaei and Das [14].

This saturation-rate dependency of the system properties observed during non-steady state two-phase flow is referred to as dynamic capillary pressure effects [15] and a large body of literature involving experimental, modeling and theoretical studies has emerged concerning these phenomena [3, 4, 7, 13, 15–25]. Studies by Stauffer [23], Kalaydjian [18], Hassanizadeh and Gray [26] suggest that an extension of the $P_c–S_w$ function should include a saturation rate dependent term. This modification is expressed as:

$$P_{dyn} = P^n_{dyn} - P^{dyn}_w = P_{c}^{static} - \tau \left( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial t} \right)$$

where $P_{c}^{dyn}$ (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-2}$) is the phase pressure difference measured under dynamic or non-equilibrium conditions, $P^n_{dyn}$ (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-2}$) is the non-wetting phase pressure, $P^{dyn}_w$ (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-2}$) is the wetting phase pressure, $P_{c}^{static}$ (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-2}$) is the capillary pressure measured under static or equilibrium conditions, $\frac{\partial S_w}{\partial t}$ (s$^{-1}$) is the desaturation rate, and $\tau$ (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) is called dynamic coefficient.

Determining the $P_c–S_w$ and $K_r–S_w$ relationships experimentally for both the quasi-static and dynamic conditions in the laboratory is time consuming and researchers often resort to indirect estimation of the $K_r–S_w$ relationship using the $P_c–S_w$ curve with the aid of the relative permeability models like the ones in Burdine [27] and Mualem [28]. These are most frequently used to simulate two-phase flow though there exist other categories of $K_r–S_w$ constitutive models, e.g., empirical and analogy based models [29]. By estimating the $K_r–S_w$ relationships from experimental $P_c–S_w$ curves, the transfer of above-mentioned inherent non-uniqueness in the $P_c–S_w$ relationships becomes inevitable. Corroborating this assertion, Gao et al. [30] observed that dynamic effects on relative permeability become more important as the pore size increases. Thus, investigating the relationships of the $K_r–S_w$ curve with some of the above-mentioned factors including the dynamic capillary pressure effects is an effort in the right direction.

Even though some of the factors above were reported to have influences on the $K_r–S_w$ relationships, the consistencies of the trend cannot be affirmed. For example, the reported effects of viscosity on $K_r–S_w$ relationships in the literature have been inconsistent [31–41].
Few experimental studies \[32, 38\] show that relative permeability decreases with increasing viscosity ratio, \(\frac{\mu^n}{\mu^w}\), where \(\mu^n\) and \(\mu^w\) are the viscosities of the non-wetting and wetting phases, respectively. Another experimental study \[33\] shows that both oil (non-wetting) and water (wetting) relative permeability increase with increasing viscosity ratio. On the other hand, numerical and modeling studies \[31, 35, 41\] demonstrate that water relative permeability decreases while oil relative permeability increases with increasing viscosity ratio. These are obvious inconsistencies, which warrant further investigations.

Also, the porous medium property effects on the relative permeability had been shown to be significant. Das et al. \[12\] investigated the effects of grain size, amount and distribution of micro-heterogeneities on the \(K_r-S_w\) relationship and found that water relative permeability was higher in coarse grain sand, while oil relative permeability was higher in fine grain sand. The effects of gravity were neglected in earlier work \[42\] but these were included in the latter work \[12\]. They \[12\] further showed that larger intensity of heterogeneity led to higher irreducible water saturation in addition to having significant effect on \(K_r-S_w\) relationship. Petersen et al. \[43\] mentioned the significance of pore geometry and flow behaviour on \(K_r-S_w\) relationship. Recently, Dou and Zhou \[35\] reported that in case of large capillary number \(\left(\text{Ca} = \frac{\mu^n}{\tau}\right)\) presence of heterogeneity would result in a decrease of oil relative permeability while it would be opposite in case of homogeneous media.

As discussed earlier, many recent studies have observed a non-uniqueness of \(P_c-S_w\) curves in terms of dynamic effects in capillary pressure. For example, the experimental results by Goel and O’Carroll \[3\] and Das and Mirzaei \[44\] on dynamic capillary pressure effects, namely the dynamic coefficient-saturation \((\tau-S_w)\) relationships, provide some highlights on these factors as shown in Fig. 1. Both of the studies were conducted for primary drainage (PD) under quasi-static and dynamic conditions, i.e., in the cases when \(\frac{\partial S_w}{\partial t}\) values are near and far from zero, respectively. Goel and O’Carroll \[3\] used F70 silica sand as porous media having a mean permeability of \(1.42 \times 10^{-11}\) m\(^2\). Water and silicone oil with two differing viscosities (i.e. 0.65 and 5 cSt) were used as the respective wetting and non-wetting fluids. Pressures of both phases and water saturation were measured using tensiometers and EC-5 probes at three levels inside the domain. Das and Mirzaei \[44\] used two different sands with mean permeabilities of \(8.7 \times 10^{-10}\) m\(^2\) and \(3.1 \times 10^{-10}\) m\(^2\) along with water and silicone oil of viscosity 200 cSt which is higher than what \[3\] used. The results obtained in the two studies are different owing to not only porous medium properties (e.g., permeability, particle size) and fluid properties but also the domain size. While the domain in one study \[3\] was a cylindrical column 20 cm long and 10 cm inner diameter, in the other study \[44\] it was cylindrical column 12 cm long and 10.2 cm inner diameter. Therefore, we can understand that domain size is an important factor influencing dynamic effects in capillary pressure. It also implies that these factors must have profound impact on the dynamic \(K_r-S_w\) relationship.

It is indeed a matter of great interest how the dynamic \(K_r-S_w\) relationship will be affected by porous medium properties, fluid viscosity and domain size. This is because different pressure gradients and saturation distributions are created in the domain as the viscosity of the fluids, porous medium permeability and domain size change which also affect the dynamic coefficient. Joekar-Niasar and Hassanizadeh \[5\] explained that \(\tau\) (Eq. 1) depends on both the viscosity ratio as well as an effective viscosity which rely on the fluid viscosities of the wetting and non-wetting phases. The authors argue that if the viscosity ratio is less than one under drainage, the invading front can become unstable. Such
instability of fluid/fluid interfaces leading to the formation of fingers have also been observed by a number of other authors (e.g. [45, 46]). The appearances and disappearances of instabilities and overshoots during two-phase flow in porous media are shown theoretically by means of travelling wave analysis by van Duijn et al. [47]. Fingering is also discussed in detail by Rohde and Kissling [48], Kissling et al. [49] and DiCarlo [50]. As the dynamic saturation front in a porous domain could vary within the domain and \( K_r \) relationships are primarily point relationships, it becomes important to know the location dependent \( K_r - S_w \) relationship. When the \( K_r \) data are averaged over a domain, the average \( K_r \) data are likely to be different from the location dependent \( K_r \) data because the \( K_r - S_w \) relationships are non-linear relationships. However, the significance of this difference is not very well understood for dynamic two-phase flow conditions. Finally, there is little known about the relationships between the dynamic relative permeability and dynamic capillary pressure effects.

Indeed the scale dependency and methods for upscaling of \( P_c - S_w, K_r - S_w \) and \( \tau - S_w \) relationships had been the subjects of intense study in the literature [7, 51–56]. Barker and Thibeau [51] and Renard and De Marsily [54] suggested that the constitutive relationship should be used at the same scale as that of a predictive model but the practicality would only be attained with upscaling. In this regard, Gasda and Celia [52] proposed correction for the coarse grid simulation so that it could match better with the fine grid simulations. Just like the \( P_c - S_w \) relationships, Sharifi and Kelkar [55] showed that upscaled relative permeability was time dependent and large scale constitutive relationships were mainly controlled by permeability variation of the porous media [56].

Fig. 1 Comparison of dynamic coefficient—water saturation curves for water and silicone oil with three differing silicone viscosities (0.65, 5 and 200 cSt respectively). Data for silicone oil with 0.65 and 5 cSt are taken from Goel and O’Carroll [3] and remaining data for 200 cSt are from Das and Mirzaei [44]. Goel and O’Carroll [3] used sand having a mean permeability of \( 1.42 \times 10^{-11} \) m\(^2\). Das and Mirzaei [44] used two different sands with mean permeabilities of \( 8.7 \times 10^{-10} \) m\(^2\) (coarse sand) and \( 3.1 \times 10^{-10} \) m\(^2\) (fine sand)
The above discussions present the factors that affect the properties of the two-phase system. We further explained the inconsistencies in reports regarding the trends of the effects of these factors on \( K_r-S_w \) relationships. However, we are confronted with the obvious challenge of identifying the true situation of these relationships with regards to the scale of the domain. In particular, we believe there is little discussion on the scale dependency of the dynamic relative permeability-saturation \( (K_r-S_w) \) curves in relation to \( \tau-S_w \) relationships in the open literature. In this work, we intend to determine the effects of scale and fluid properties on \( K_r-S_w \) relationships in order to ascertain the trend that exists between them. We use high values viscosity ratios (200 and 1000) in contrast to most previous studies so that the ambiguity in this relationship can be understood further. To avoid the influence of intra-domain averaging technique as employed in most of the literature reports, we use separate and different domain sizes (8 and 12 cm high cylindrical cells) as experimental domains. The effects of boundary pressures and measurement locations within the domain in relation to the domain boundary on the results are determined.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Porous medium, domain size and fluid properties

All experiments to determine capillary pressure–saturation relationships were conducted in two different cylindrical columns where the first column has dimensions of 8 cm height by 10.2 cm diameter while the second column has dimensions of 12 cm height by 10.2 cm diameter. Henceforth, we shall refer to the former as 8 cm domain and the latter as 12 cm domain. Details are shown in the experimental set up diagram (Fig. 2). The sand used as porous medium was obtained from commercial samples (Minerals Marketing Company, Cheshire, UK) with mean particle diameter of 482 \( \mu m \). Details of the medium and fluids properties are shown in Table 1. Fluid pairs used were deionised water and silicone oil. Silicone oils with two distinctly different viscosities (200 and 1000 cSt respectively) (Basildon Chemicals, Abingdon, UK) were used. Dynamic experiments were conducted with two different oil injection pressures (Dirichlet boundary condition) at the top boundary of the domain. They were 10 and 20 kPa.

2.2 Instrumentation and column preparation

Based on the domain size, pressure transducers (PTs) (WIKA Instruments Ltd, Redhill, UK), were positioned at different levels of the domain. Two pairs of PTs were attached to the 8 cm high cell at distances of 2 and 6 cm respectively from top boundary (injection point). These pairs were referred to as top and bottom measurement points, respectively. For 12 cm high cell, three pairs of PTs were attached at distances 2, 6 and 10 cm respectively. They were also referred to as top, middle and bottom measurement points respectively. In each pair, one PT was configured to measure silicone oil phase (hydrophobic) while the other measured water phase (hydrophilic) pressure. To achieve this, hydrophilic nylon (pore size: 0.1 \( \mu m \)) and hydrophobic polytetrafluoroethylene, PTFE (pore size: 1 \( \mu m \)) membranes (Porvair Filtration Group Ltd, Hampshire, UK) were used on the respective faces of the PTs. These thin membranes were supported by Vyon filters approximately 5 mm thick (Porvair Filtration Group Ltd, Hampshire, UK). For every pair
of PTs, one time domain reflectometry (TDR) probe (CS640) (Campbell Scientific Ltd, Shepshed, UK) was used at the corresponding position on the wall of the cell. The TDR probe (CS640) with rod length 7.5 cm and diameter 0.159 cm, was used to determine the water content of the porous medium sample locally.

Calibration of the PTs was done with a portable pressure calibrator, DPI 610 (Druck Limited, Leicester, UK) as discussed by Abidoye and Das [57]. Using the outflow weight

![Diagram of experimental set up showing the two core scale domains (i.e., 8 and 12 cm) used in this work (adopted from [57])](image)

**Table 1** Fluid and material properties

| Properties                            | Porous material | Silicone oil | Water |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|
| Permeability, K (m$^2$)               | 5.66 × 10$^{-11}$ | –            | –     |
| Porosity, \( \phi \) (–)              | 0.37            | –            | –     |
| Particle density (g/cm$^3$)           | 2.66            | –            | –     |
| Average particle diameter, \( D_p \) (µm) | 482.4           | –            | –     |
| Particle size range (µm)              | 100 < \( D_p \) < 900 | –       | –     |
| Viscosity, \( \mu \) (cSt)           | –              | 200$^b$      | 1000$^b$ |
| Specific gravity, 25 °C               | –              | 0.972        | 0.974 |
| Entry pressure (kPa)                  | –              | 1.5          | –     |
| Pore size distribution index          | 3.5            | –            | –     |

$^a$ Determined using constant head permeameter [66]

$^b$ [www.baschem.co.uk](http://www.baschem.co.uk) (silicone oil–water system)

$^c$ [www.machinerylubrication.com](http://www.machinerylubrication.com)
of water obtained on weighing balance, average domain saturation was determined using a graduated glass cylinder placed on an accurate weighing balance which was connected to the computer and data logged in real time by the weighing balance software (A&D Company Limited, San Jose, USA). This method was adopted to determine average domain scale saturation as it represents the true saturation in the domain at any particular time from a material balance point of view.

The arrangement of the column was a sort of pressure cell. At the start of a flow experiments, a predetermined amount of water was poured into the cell to a certain position. This was followed by pouring of sand using a metal sieve of appropriate size to ensure uniform sand deposition and prevent air trap while simultaneously vibrating the cell. When this was complete, excess water was drained through the outflow valve. This gave the estimate of the amount of water in the domain. At the top of the sand level, the hydrophobic filter was then placed. This prevents water flow from the top of the domain boundary. The column was then sealed with a metal cap with tubing connected to the silicone oil reservoir.

2.3 Dynamic drainage experiment

Constant pressure was maintained on the hydrophobic membrane, using an air compressor (R.E.P. Air Services, Loughborough, UK). Set pressure, regulated by a choke valve and monitored on pressure gauge was directly imposed on a column of silicone oil in a Mariotte bottle (see Fig. 2). The outflow (displaced water) was collected in a beaker placed on an accurate weighing balance. The beaker was open to the atmosphere.

For the dynamic drainage, a set pressure of either 10 or 20 kPa was imposed on the oil which pushed it to the top of the domain. When the outflow valve was opened, drainage of the porous domain began. \( P_c-S_w \) profiles were conspicuously different under different boundary conditions. Replicate runs of some of the experiments were conducted to check repeatability of the experiments. The ambient laboratory temperature was approximately 20 °C.

2.4 Methods of averaging and parameters calculation

To upscale the local values of measured quantities to the domain level, appropriate averaging technique is needed. This applies to the local pressures of both phases in order to determine capillary pressure for the entire domain at specific wetting phase saturation. The mathematical technique for the averaging is expressed below:

\[
P_{\text{domain}}(t_n) = \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (1 - S_{wj})(P_{nwj})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (1 - S_{wj})} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} S_{wj}(P_{wj})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} S_{wj}} \right)_{t_n}
\]

where \( P_{\text{domain}}(t_n) \) represents the domain representative capillary pressure at a particular saturation and the corresponding experimental time, \( t_n(s) \) referring to the time at nth count of data generated, \( P_{nw} \) and \( P_{w} \) (Pa) are the non-wetting and wetting phase pressures at the nth count of the generated data. \( S_{w} \) refers to the wetting phase saturation and \( j = 1, 2, m \), with \( m \) being the total number of measurement levels in the domain i.e. 2 for 8 cm and 3 for 12 cm cells.
A central difference method was applied to determine the desaturation rate \( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial t} \) in the two-phase system. This was performed using the mathematical relation in Eq. (3). The method is commonly applied in related literature [6, 16]. For the local scale \( \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial t} \), saturation data were obtained from the local TDR readings while at the domain scale, the saturation data determined from the outflow volume collected in the cylinder were used:

\[
\frac{\partial S_w}{\partial t} \bigg|_{tn} = \frac{S_w|_{t_{n+1}} - S_w|_{t_{n-1}}}{t_{n+1} - t_{n-1}}
\]

(3)

### 2.5 Relative permeability calculation

This paper estimates the \( K_r-S_w \) relationship for entire saturation range as follows. \( P_c-S_w \) model given by van Genuchten [58] combined with Mualem’s [28] model yields the following relative permeability function:

\[
K_{rw} = S_{w}^{eff} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - S_{w}^{eff} \left( \frac{S_w}{S_{rw}} \right) \right)^m \right]^2
\]

(4)

\[
K_{rnw} = \left( 1 - S_{w}^{eff} \right)^2 \left( 1 - S_{w}^{eff} \left( \frac{S_w}{S_{rnw}} \right) \right)^{2m}
\]

(5)

where \( K_{rw} \) and \( K_{rnw} \) (–) are the relative permeability of wetting and non-wetting phase respectively, \( m \) (-) is a parameter which is related to van Genuchten pore size distribution coefficient \( n \), and \( S_{w}^{eff} \) (-) is the effective water saturation given by \( S_{w}^{eff} = (S_w - S_{rw})/(1 - S_{rw}) \) wherein \( S_{rw} \) (-) is residual water saturation, and \( S_w \) (-) is water saturation. For the purpose of this work, effective saturation was calculated from the experimentally measured saturation values. Then \( n \) and \( m \) were determined using the fitted van Genuchten [58] model. Using these values of effective saturation, \( n \) and \( m \), values of \( K_{rw} \) and \( K_{rnw} \) were determined. We appreciate that van Genuchten–Mualem equations are derived under specific assumptions [28, 58]. We accept these assumptions and assume that they can be applied to the system under consideration in this work. While calculating the above parameters it was evident that the experimental data fitted well to the van Genuchten–Mualem equations.

### 2.6 Dynamic coefficient (\( \tau \)) calculation

This work is primarily concerned with elucidating the trends in \( K_r-S_w \). However, a brief explanation of the \( \tau \) determination is included for completeness of the discussion. Furthermore this will throw light at the approach employed in this work [57]. Different approaches have been reported in the literature for this purpose. For example, the \( \tau \) calculation approach of Bottero et al. [59], and Das and Mirzaei [44] differs from the approach of Camps-Roach et al. [7] and Goel and O’Carroll [3]. In this work, a similar approach to that of the Bottero et al. [59] was employed. In this approach the dynamic coefficients were calculated from the \( P_c-S_w \) data obtained for different viscosity ratios and domain scales using Eq. (1). To achieve this, the phase pressures for the non-wetting phase \( (P_{nw}) \) and the wetting phase \( (P_w) \) were interpolated, for both equilibrium and dynamic drainage conditions at selected saturations. The corresponding points for the parameters in Eq. (1) i.e. \( P_{nw} \) and \( P_w \) at a particular wetting phase saturation, \( S_w \), were obtained for both
the dynamic and equilibrium drainage experiments. For the dynamic drainage experiments, the difference in the wetting and non-wetting phase pressures at the same saturation gives $P_{\text{dyn}}^c$ in Eq. (1). Similarly, for the quasi static drainage experiment, the difference between the phase pressures gives $P_{\text{static}}^c$ at the same wetting phase saturation. The saturation values were selected to cover the entire saturation range of the experiment, i.e., 0 to 1. Similarly, data for the rate of change of saturation ($\partial S_w/\partial t$) were interpolated. The plots of the differences between the dynamic and equilibrium capillary pressures ($P_{\text{dyn}}^c - P_{\text{static}}^c$) against the desaturation rates ($\partial S_w/\partial t$) at the respective water saturation for different imposed conditions were fitted with a straight line. The slope of this line gives the dynamic coefficient, $\tau$.

At every measurement location in the domain, the respective phase pressures measured from the pressure sensors attached to that location were used in the $P_c$ calculation for that location while $S_w$ was also determined from the TDR reading at the location. For the domain level calculation, average $P_c$ determined from Eq. (2) was used while the domain saturation was determined from water outflow reading on the electronic weighing balance. Most papers in the literature have used similar linear interpolation to determine the dynamic pressure difference at a specific saturation (e.g., [59]).

### 3 Results and discussions

This paper is focussed on finding the influence of different factors which affect the $K_r - S_w$ relationship under dynamic conditions. The work presented here is focussed especially on the effect of fluid viscosity and scale dependency for the two phase flow involving silicone oil and water for homogeneous porous domain.

#### 3.1 $P_c - S_w$ and $\partial S_w/\partial t - S_w$ relationships for different domain scales

To understand the behaviour of the system, we show the behaviour of the $P_c - S_w$ curves and desaturation rate ($\partial S_w/\partial t$) under dynamic conditions at 10 kPa boundary pressure with 200 cSt silicone oil as the non-wetting phase (Fig. 3). It will be recalled that the 12 cm-high cell has three measurement points labelled as top, middle and bottom where all points are equally spaced around the domain geometry. Similarly, 8 cm-high cell has two measurement points labelled as top and bottom.

Figure 3 shows that the $P_c - S_w$ curves are fairly similar at different depths of the domains except at the top of the domain of 12 cm high cell where the $P_c - S_w$ profiles which is higher than at the other lower positions of the domain. Noticeable from the figure is that the final measured saturations obtained at different measurement points differ. Combinations of factors might be responsible for this. It could be attributed to the effect of pressure gradient across the height of the domain. As the distance from the injection point increases, influence of pressure drop increases. This seems to manifests in the decrease in $\partial S_w/\partial t$ values (absolute value) with increasing distance from the injection point (i.e. the lower the measurement point, the the lower the $\partial S_w/\partial t$). This also leads to the $P_c - S_w$ relationships terminating at different saturation points at different depths of each domain. While the $P_c - S_w$ curves at the top of each domain attain lower final measured saturation, the profile at further depths away from the injection points terminate at higher final measured saturation values. This behaviour is shown in Fig. 3a and b for 12 and 8 cm high cells, respectively. The impact of pressure gradient becomes more conspicuous in $\partial S_w/\partial t$ at different depths of
each domain as shown in Fig. 3c, d for the 12 and 8 cm high cells, respectively. Wide gap exists between the $q_{Sw}/q_{t} - S_{w}$ profile at the top of the domain compare to the other lower measurement points. In the 12 cm high cell, this trend continues at lower measurement points with $q_{Sw}/q_{t} - S_{w}$ curve remaining higher at the middle measurement positions than at the bottom position.

Also, the high viscosity of the silicone oil used in the work may be a contributing factor. However, the gap between the $\partial S_{w}/\partial t - S_{w}$ profiles at lower measurement positions (middle and bottom) is much lower compare to the gap existing between the $\partial S_{w}/\partial t - S_{w}$ profiles at the top of the domain and the middle measurement position. [59] earlier showed that the difference in $P_{c} - S_{w}$ profiles at different averaging windows remains quite small while the difference in $\partial S_{w}/\partial t - S_{w}$ profiles at the different averaging windows appear considerable. This had been seen as the major contribution to the magnitude of the upscaled dynamic coefficient ($\tau$). Hence, $\tau$ increases as the size of domain scale or averaging window increases [8, 59].

Similar behaviour was encountered in the $P_{c} - S_{w}$ and $\partial S_{w}/\partial t - S_{w}$ profiles for the two-phase system with 1000 cSt silicone oil as the non-wetting phase. However, the aim of this

Fig. 3 $P_{c} - S_{w}$ and $\partial S_{w}/\partial t - S_{w}$ patterns in the 8 and 12 cm high domains with 200 cSt silicone oil at 10 kPa boundary pressure.
work is to show the effects of fluid properties, domain scale and the dynamic flow conditions on the relative permeability.

4 Effects of viscosity ratio on relative permeability–saturation relationship

To determine the effects of viscosity ratio, experiments were performed using water and silicone oil with two differing viscosities (200 and 1000 cSt respectively). It corresponds to viscosity ratio of 200 and 1000 respectively if viscosity of water is taken as 1 cSt. The results are shown in Fig. 4.

It can be easily noticed that irrespective of the fluid pair, relative permeability of the fluid increases with the increasing saturation of the respective fluid. This trend conforms to the previous literature studies. Further it can be observed that water relative permeability decreases with increasing viscosity ratio while oil relative permeability increases with increasing viscosity ratio which is in line with experimental, numerical and modeling studies [31, 41, 60]. This behaviour can be explained using lubrication effect [32, 35, 39]. As the oil displaces water (drainage), a layer of water is trapped between oil and walls of the capillary. This layer of water acts as lubricant to the movement of oil. The oil moves through the larger capillaries and the smaller capillaries filled with water are bypassed. At higher viscosity ratio water flows in the form of bubbles which results in lower relative permeability for water. The minimum residual water contents for 200 and 1000 cSt silicone oil–water were 11 and 19 %, respectively. Therefore, more water remains as residual for higher viscosity ratio. This was also observed by others [38, 61].

![Figure 4](image-url) **Fig. 4** Relative permeability–water saturation curves for water and silicone oil with two different viscosities (200 and 1000 cSt respectively) for 8 cm high domain and boundary pressure of 10 kPa
The maximum capillary numbers for 200 cSt and 1000 cSt oil (for 10 kPa boundary pressure and 8 cm domain) were $6.57 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.56 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively. It can be understood that water relative permeability increases whereas oil relative permeability decreases with increasing capillary number. This trend was confirmed by another research [60].

### 4.1 Effects of boundary pressure on dynamic relative permeability–saturation relationship

Some of the typical results are plotted in Fig. 5 for water and silicone oil (200 cSt) in 12 cm domain. It can be observed that with increasing boundary pressure water relative permeability decreases while oil relative permeability increases. This can be explained by the relative speed of flow under the different injection pressures. At the 10 kPa injection pressure, the invading oil penetrates more slowly and the water phase that wets the sand surface gets gently displaced. As the injection pressure increases to 20 kPa, the oil invades at faster speed while the response of the wetting phase may be described as sluggish owing its wettability characteristics. Also, at the 10 kPa, the pressure in the water decreases and reaches static state gradually while that of the oil increases gradually. As the injection pressure increases (20 kPa) the oil pressure rises fast while the water pressure decreases faster than before. This manifests in the $K_{rnw}$ becoming higher with pressure and $K_{rw}$ becoming lesser with pressure.

Earlier research by Ataie-Ashtiani et al. [62] showed that pressure gradient could significantly influence $K_r$–$S_w$ relationship. In comparisons, Gao et al. [30] showed that the relative permeability increased with increasing injection for both wetting and non-wetting

![Fig. 5](image-url) Relative permeability-water saturation curves for water and silicone oil (200 cSt) for 12 cm high domain and boundary pressures of 10 and 20 kPa
phases and observed inconsistencies in this trend. However, both of these [30, 62] were modeling studies on heterogeneous systems. Gawish and Al-Homadhi [63] earlier found that the oil relative permeability decreased with increasing boundary pressure. Their investigations were conducted under steady state unlike the dynamic condition in the present study. So, it can be inferred that the relative permeability of the non-wetting phase generally increases with boundary pressure, while the trend in the wetting phase cannot be conclusively inferred. Although the results presented in this section are for silicone oil of viscosity 200 cSt, the same general conclusion can be made for oil of other properties, namely, silicone oil of viscosity 1000 cSt.

The maximum capillary number for 10 and 20 kPa boundary pressure (for 200 cSt oil and 12 cm domain) were found to be $5.68 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.79 \times 10^{-4}$ respectively. It suggests that the oil relative permeability increases whereas the water relative permeability decreases with increase in the capillary number.

4.2 Effects of domain size on dynamic relative permeability–saturation relationship

The results are plotted in Fig. 6 for water and silicone oil (200 cSt) in 8 and 12 cm domain. Domain scale average values are used for plotting Fig. 6. Averaging is done as per the standard procedure given above. The values $K_{rnw}$ obtained at the scales of 8 cm and 12 cm are almost similar while $K_{rnw}$ values differ slightly at the low water saturation values. The similarity in these curves was expected as the permeability is the same. The difference in non-wetting phase relative permeability at low water saturation may be due to the presence of micro scale heterogeneities [42, 44, 62].

![Fig. 6 Relative permeability-water saturation curves for water and silicone oil (200 cSt) for boundary pressure of 10 kPa in 8 and 12 cm domains](image-url)
4.3 Effects of location on relative permeability–saturation relationship

The results are plotted in Fig. 7 for water and silicone oil (200 cSt) in 8 cm domain. It can be observed that at the top of the column relative permeability of water is higher than that of the bottom if the saturation is uniform throughout the column. This trend is opposite for the oil relative permeability. But in reality because of the saturation gradients water relative permeability is less at the top and more at the bottom. An average of the top and bottom relative permeability is also plotted which lies in between of the top and bottom relative permeability.

4.4 Comparison of static and dynamic relative permeability–saturation relationships

The results are plotted in Fig. 8 for water and silicone oil (1000 cSt) in 12 cm domain. It can be observed that at the top of the column dynamic relative permeability of water is higher than that of the static relative permeability of water. This trend is opposite for the oil relative permeability. Similar results were obtained at other levels and in other domain size (8 cm). These results are qualitatively similar as obtained in previous experimental and modeling studies [64, 65]. In previous studies this behaviour was linked at low capillary numbers (Ca).

5 Mobility ratio (m) and dynamic coefficient (τ)

In order to quantify effects of the stability of fluid fronts, mobility ratio (m) [2] was computed using Eq. (6) and the results are plotted to include comparison with dynamic coefficient (Figs. 8, 9).
Fig. 8  Comparison of static and dynamic relative permeability versus water saturation curves for water and silicone oil (1000 cSt) for 12 cm high domain

Fig. 9  Plots of mobility ratio ($m$) and dynamic coefficient ($\tau$) as a function of water saturation with 200 cSt silicone oil at the top boundary pressure of 10 kPa for 8 and 12 cm high domains
For 200 cSt oil (Fig. 9) it was observed that the mobility ratio decreased with increase in domain size while the dynamic coefficient was found to be increasing. Similar trend was noticed in 1000 cSt oil (Fig. 10). Since for a saturation of 50 %, the value of mobility ratio is more than 1, the fluid fronts are considered to be stable. The trend observed here are similar to as observed by [2, 13], i.e., as $m$ decreases $\tau$ increases at a given saturation.

\begin{equation}
    m = \left( \frac{K_{rw} \mu^n}{K_{rnw} \mu^w} \right)
\end{equation}

For 200 cSt oil (Fig. 9) it was observed that the mobility ratio decreased with increase in domain size while the dynamic coefficient was found to be increasing. Similar trend was noticed in 1000 cSt oil (Fig. 10). Since for a saturation of 50 %, the value of mobility ratio is more than 1, the fluid fronts are considered to be stable. The trend observed here are similar to as observed by [2, 13], i.e., as $m$ decreases $\tau$ increases at a given saturation.

6 Conclusions

Experiments under dynamic conditions were conducted using silicone oil and water. $K_r$–$S_w$ relationship is susceptible to the influence of fluid properties (i.e. viscosity) and porous media characteristics. In this study the focus has been to investigate the influence of fluid viscosity together with the role of domain scale on dynamic relative permeability-saturation ($K_r$–$S_w$) relationship in addition to the boundary condition effect. $K_{rw}$ increases with increasing wetting phase saturation and decreases with the increase in viscosity ratio. On the other hand, $K_{rnw}$ increases with increasing non-wetting phase saturation as well as with the increase in viscosity ratio. Also, the water relative permeability decreases while the oil relative permeability increases with the increasing boundary pressure. The boundary pressure effect is significant in comparison to fluid viscosity ratio and scale dependency. At 40 % water saturation and for 8 cm high domain, the $K_{rnw}$ increased by 24.5 % when viscosity ratio was increased from 200 to 1000. Same is true for 12 cm high domain. The
boundary pressure, location of sensors and fluid viscosity play significant role in $K_r$–$S_w$ relationship. The dynamic relative permeability curves are different from the static relative permeability curves, which is in line with previous studies. This study shows that $K_r$–$S_w$ is affected by dynamic effects and therefore provisions must be made in models to include these dynamic $K_r$–$S_w$ relationships. In general, the dynamic capillary pressure–saturation relationship varies depending on the location of the measurement point (e.g., top or bottom of a domain in reference to a fluid injection point). Hence, the relative permeability–saturation curves varies accordingly. The rate dependencies of these curves have not been analysed in great detail in this paper and they would need further analysis in another piece of work.
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