Development and Evaluation of a Novel Protein-Based Assay for Specific Detection of KPC β-Lactamases from Klebsiella pneumoniae Clinical Isolates
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ABSTRACT Carbapenemases confer resistance to nearly all β-lactam antibiotics. The extensive spread of carbapenemase-producing multidrug-resistant bacteria contributes significantly to hospital-acquired infections. We have developed a novel protein-based binding assay that identifies KPC β-lactamases from clinical isolates. We used the protein-protein interaction between KPCs and a soluble β-lactamase inhibitory protein (BLIP) variant, BLIPK74T/W112D, which specifically inhibits KPCs but not other β-lactamases. In this assay, BLIPK74T/W112D was allowed to form complexes with KPC-2 in bacterial cell lysates and then extracted using His tag binding resins. We demonstrated the presence of KPC-2 by monitoring the hydrolysis of a colorimetric β-lactam substrate. Also, to further increase the accuracy of the method, a BLIPK74T/W112D-mediated inhibition assay was developed. The binding and inhibition assays were validated by testing 127 Klebsiella pneumoniae clinical isolates with known genome sequences for the presence of KPC. Our assays identified a total of 32 strains as KPC-2 producers, a result in 100% concordance with genome sequencing predictions. To further simplify the assay and decrease the time to obtain results, the BLIPK74T/W112D protein was tested in combination with the widely used Carba-NP assay. For this purpose, the genome-sequenced K. pneumoniae strains were tested for the presence of carbapenemases with the Carba-NP test with and without the addition of BLIPK74T/W112D. The test accurately identified carbapenemase-producing strains and the addition of BLIPK74T/W112D allowed a further determination that the strains contain KPC carbapenemase. Thus, the BLIPK74T/W112D protein is an effective sensor to specifically detect KPC β-lactamases produced by clinical isolates.

IMPORTANCE Infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae are associated with high therapeutic failure and mortality rates. Thus, it is critical to rapidly identify clinical isolates expressing KPC β-lactamases to facilitate administration of the correct antibiotic treatment and initiate infection control strategies. To address this problem, we developed a protein-based, KPC-specific binding assay in combination with a cell lysate inhibition assay that provided a 100% identification rate of KPC from clinical isolates of known genomic sequence. In addition, this protein sensor was adapted to the Carba-NP assay to provide a rapid strategy to detect KPC-producing isolates that will facilitate informed treatment of critically ill patients.
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\(\beta\)-Lactams are the most often used antimicrobials in medicine and account for 65% of all antibiotic prescriptions in the United States (1). \(\beta\)-Lactam antibiotics work by inhibiting cell wall biosynthesis in bacteria. There are four primary mechanisms by which bacterial pathogens overcome these antibiotics: production of \(\beta\)-lactamase enzymes, alteration of the active sites of penicillin binding proteins (PBPs), decreased expression of outer membrane proteins (OMPs), and expression of multidrug efflux pumps (2). The most common resistance mechanism is the production of \(\beta\)-lactamases, enzymes that inactivate drugs by hydrolyzing the \(\beta\)-lactam ring (3). \(\beta\)-Lactamases are broadly classified as serine hydrolases that use a nucleophilic serine residue or metalloenzymes that use Zn\(^{2+}\) ions in the active site to facilitate substrate hydrolysis (4). Currently, \(>2,800\) naturally occurring \(\beta\)-lactamases have been identified, and each has a unique amino acid sequence and characteristic hydrolysis profile for different \(\beta\)-lactam antibiotics (3, 5).

Carbapenems are an important class of \(\beta\)-lactam antibiotics because they have a broad spectrum of antibacterial activity and are resistant to the action of most \(\beta\)-lactamases (6). Because of these properties, they are considered the last defense for treatment of bacterial infections (6). The extensive use of carbapenems, however, has resulted in the emergence of \(\beta\)-lactamases that efficiently hydrolyze these antimicrobial agents (7). These \(\beta\)-lactamases, termed carbapenemases, hydrolyze nearly all \(\beta\)-lactams (8), thereby creating a serious public health threat (9). The KPC, NDM-1, and OXA-48 carbapenemases, in particular, have become widespread sources of resistance (7). Of these carbapenemases, the KPC carbapenemases are the most prevalent in the United States and comprise greater than 95% of carbapenemases in Enterobacteriaceae strains (10, 11). KPC-2 was first identified in North Carolina in 1997 and has subsequently spread worldwide (7, 12). Infections caused by KPC producers in Klebsiella pneumoniae are associated with high therapeutic failure and mortality rates (9, 13). The KPC-2 enzyme has a broad substrate specificity and efficiently hydrolyzes carbapenems in addition to penicillins and cephalosporins and is also only weakly inhibited by clavulanic acid (8). New \(\beta\)-lactamase inhibitors such as avibactam and vaborbactam have recently been introduced, however, that inhibit KPC and have expanded treatment options (14). Nevertheless, KPC variants have been identified in both laboratory and clinical isolates that show phenotypic resistance to the ceftazidime-avibactam combination for the treatment of serious infections caused by carbapenem-resistant organisms (15, 16).

It is important to rapidly identify clinical isolates that produce carbapenemases in order to inform treatment options and to implement infection control measures to limit their spread (13). Several phenotypic detection methods have been described, including the modified Hodge test (17), the modified carbapenem inactivation method (18, 19), and methods detecting the carbapenemase-mediated hydrolysis products of carbapenems, including the Carba-NP assay (20) and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization—time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (13). These methods are rapid and useful but do not identify the specific carbapenemase being produced by the resistant organism. Such information is useful in that antibiotics such as ceftazidime-avibactam have activity against KPC but not metallo-\(\beta\)-lactamases such as NDM, VIM, and IMP. Other tests such as lateral flow immunoassays using antibodies directed against specific carbapenemases (21) or PCR- and DNA sequencing-based methods can detect the specific enzyme produced (22), which may facilitate a more targeted treatment strategy. Here, we describe an additional, highly accurate approach for determining the presence of the KPC carbapenemase that is based on the binding and inhibitory properties of an engineered version of the \(\beta\)-lactamase inhibitory protein (23).

The \(\beta\)-lactamase inhibitory protein (BLIP), originally isolated from Streptomyces clavuligerus, was the first experimentally characterized \(\beta\)-lactamase inhibitory protein (24, 25). BLIP is a 165-amino-acid protein that binds and inhibits the KPC-2 carbapenemase with subnanomolar affinity (26). BLIP also binds to other \(\beta\)-lactamases made by Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria with \(K_i\) values ranging from picomolar to
The interaction between BLIP and β-lactamases has been used as a model system to provide insight into the determinants of molecular recognition in protein-protein interactions (28, 29). The molecular interface of the BLIP–β-lactamase complex has been extensively studied using structural, computational, and biochemical approaches (26, 29, 30). Additionally, alanine-scanning mutagenesis has been used to identify the amino acid sequence requirements for the binding of BLIP to β-lactamases such as TEM-1, SHV-1, Bla1, and KPC-2 (27, 28, 31). These studies have identified several BLIP amino acid positions that control the specificity of BLIP toward different β-lactamases (Fig. 1) (23, 27, 31). This has made it possible to engineer BLIP as a sensor to identify specific β-lactamases (23).

Previously, we showed that an engineered BLIPK74T/W112D mutant has high specificity for binding KPC-2 but not other β-lactamases (Fig. 1) (23). In addition, it was shown that BLIPK74T/W112D-conjugated beads can isolate KPC-2 from crude cell lysates prepared from Escherichia coli laboratory strains expressing KPC-2 and from K. pneumoniae clinical isolates (23). We previously quantitated the presence of KPC-2 by hydrolysis of the colorimetric substrate nitrocefin (23). However, binding conditions were not optimized to enhance signal and reduce nonspecific binding. In addition, only five clinical isolates were tested to validate the specificity of the binding assay, an insufficient number to adequately assess test performance. Finally, we had shown that the BLIPK74T/W112D-based detection assay can accurately identify KPC-2, but it was not determined whether the assay could detect other clinically relevant KPC variants such as KPC-3. In the present study, we optimized and expanded the conditions of the assay to identify the most clinically relevant variants of KPC-2. Additionally, we tested the optimized assay against 127 K. pneumoniae clinical isolates and accurately identified all KPC producers, a result 100% concordant with whole-genome sequence predictions. Finally, to improve ease of use, we adapted the BLIPK74T/W112D protein to the well-established Carba-NP assay to allow detection of carbapenemases in clinical strains and determine whether KPC is present.

RESULTS

BLIPK74T/W112D potently binds to and inhibits KPC β-lactamase variants. Currently, a total of 39 KPC carbapenemases have been identified based on the U.S. National Library of Medicine database (National Center for Biotechnology Information). However, KPC-2 and KPC-3 are the most prevalent KPC variants found worldwide and are typically responsible for hospital outbreaks (7, 32, 33). In a previous study, we used a mutagenesis and genetic screening approach to identify a BLIP variant (BLIPK74T/W112D) that bound to
and inhibited KPC-2 but not other class A β-lactamases (23). We tested the hypothesis that the tight binding property of BLIPK74T/W112D is not limited to KPC-2 but instead extends to other variant KPC β-lactamases. We determined the inhibitory constant $K_i$ values of BLIPK74T/W112D toward KPC-2 through KPC-9, CTX-M-14, CTX-M-15, NDM-1, OXA-48, and TEM-1. BLIPK74T/W112D has a $K_i$ value of 2.4 nM toward KPC-2 (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Despite amino acid substitutions in and near the active site, KPC variants 3 through 9 were inhibited by BLIPK74T/W112D with a potency comparable to that of KPC-2 (Table 1), strongly suggesting that BLIPK74T/W112D is a general KPC inhibitor. In agreement with previous data, BLIPK74T/W112D bound weakly to other class A β-lactamases, including the common TEM-1, CTX-M-14, and CTX-M-15 enzymes (Fig. 2 and Table 1) (23). Finally, BLIPK74T/W112D did not inhibit the class B NDM-1 metallo-β-lactamase and very weakly inhibited the OXA-48 carbapenemase (Fig. 2). In the aggregate, these results suggest that the BLIPK74T/W112D mutant is a suitable candidate for developing a protein-based assay to specifically identify KPCs among various β-lactamases.

Development of an *in vitro* KPC β-lactamase-specific binding assay with BLIPK74T/W112D. To establish an *in vitro* assay with high specificity for binding KPC β-lactamases, we optimized our prior assay conditions with various BLIPK74T/W112D concentrations and used a different protein binding strategy compared to our previous study (23). KPC-2 was used as a model protein for the study because BLIPK74T/W112D has a similar affinity for KPC-2 and KPC variants.

To further optimize and validate our approach, we used *E. coli* strains TP112, TP159, and TP160 expressing the TEM-1, CTX-M-14, and KPC-2 enzymes, respectively. In our previous study, we covalently immobilized BLIPK74T/W112D onto an agarose resin, which could result in heterogeneity of BLIP immobilization and various binding affinities for

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{β-Lactamase} & K_i \text{ (nM)} \\
\hline
\text{KPC-2} & 2.4 \pm 0.4 \\
\text{KPC-3} & 2.5 \pm 0.4 \\
\text{KPC-4} & 1.2 \pm 0.2 \\
\text{KPC-5} & 1.5 \pm 0.3 \\
\text{KPC-6} & 1.3 \pm 0.3 \\
\text{KPC-7} & 1.5 \pm 0.3 \\
\text{KPC-8} & 1.6 \pm 0.3 \\
\text{KPC-9} & 0.7 \pm 0.1 \\
\text{CTX-M-14} & 908 \pm 24 \\
\text{CTX-M-15} & 433 \pm 87 \\
\text{TEM-1} & 6,240 \pm 1,112 \\
\text{NDM-1} & \text{NM}^a \\
\text{OXA-48} & 1,181 \pm 129 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\(^a\)NM, no measurable inhibition.
Hence, rather than covalently immobilizing BLIPK74T/W112D onto a resin, we added various concentrations of BLIPK74T/W112D ranging from 50 to 2,085 nM to bacterial cell lysates and allowed formation of BLIP/KPC-lactamase complexes to occur in solution. This step maximized the opportunity for native BLIPK74T/W112D to interact with KPC-lactamases in cell lysates and provided an estimation of the minimum amount of BLIPK74T/W112D required in the assay. Free BLIPK74T/W112D and BLIPK74T/W112D/KPC complexes were recovered from cell lysates through binding of the N-terminal His tag on BLIPK74T/W112D to a metal affinity resin. This two-step binding procedure ensures an efficient BLIPK74T/W112D binding interaction with KPC-2 and specific extraction of the complexes.

We released β-lactamases bound to BLIP by adding 30% ethanol, which disrupts the BLIP/β-lactamase interaction but does not affect β-lactamase catalytic activity (23). We simultaneously detected the presence of free β-lactamase by including nitrocefin in the elution buffer and monitoring its hydrolysis (Fig. 3A). No positive signal was observed in the E. coli TP112 (TEM-1) cell lysate, a result consistent with the high $K_i$ value (weak binding) for TEM-1 β-lactamase inhibition by BLIPK74T/W112D (Fig. 2 and Table 1).
contrast, BLIPK74T/W112D efficiently captured KPC-2 from E. coli TP160 cell lysates, as indicated by nitrocefin hydrolysis. Interestingly, the nitrocefin hydrolysis signal increased in the presence of decreasing concentrations of BLIPK74T/W112D. At high BLIPK74T/W112D concentrations, the percentage of BLIPK74T/W112D/KPC-2 complexes captured by the metal affinity resin was significantly lower than that of free BLIPK74T/W112D. This result suggests that, at high concentrations of BLIPK74T/W112D, there is more BLIP than β-lactamase and therefore more free BLIP and less BLIP in complex with β-lactamase is captured on the resin when the total BLIPK74T/W112D concentration exceeds the protein binding capacity of the resin. In addition, we observed substantial nitrocefin hydrolysis signal for the E. coli TP159 cell lysate that contains CTX-M-14 β-lactamase (Fig. 3A). This signal can be explained by the expected fractional occupancy of CTX-M-14 by BLIPK74T/W112D of 52% when BLIPK74T/W112D is added to the lysate at 1,000 nM and the expected fractional occupancy of 76% when BLIPK74T/W112D is added at 2,850 nM (Table 2). The fractional occupancy is calculated based on the $K_i$ value of 908 nM for BLIPK74T/W112D inhibition of CTX-M-14 as described in Materials and Methods (34). This signal was significantly reduced when lower concentrations of BLIPK74T/W112D were added to the cell lysate, as predicted by fractional occupancy calculations (Table 2). To further enhance the specificity of BLIPK74T/W112D binding with KPC-2 in cell lysates, the initial BLIPK74T/W112D concentration was decreased to 10 nM when the fractional occupancy of CTX-M-14 is predicted to be 1% while the predicted fractional occupancy of KPC-2 by BLIPK74T/W112D is 81% (Fig. 3B) (Table 2). At the 10 nM BLIPK74T/W112D concentration, the nitrocefin hydrolysis signal from TEM-1 or CTX-M-14 cell lysates was negligible, but KPC-2 detection sensitivity was retained (Fig. 3B).

To quantify the minimal amount of KPC-2 β-lactamase required in cell lysates for capture and detection using 10 nM BLIPK74T/W112D, we performed the KPC-2 binding assay with E. coli cell lysates lacking β-lactamase to which various concentrations of purified KPC-2 β-lactamase were added. The cell lysates were also mixed with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a negative control. As shown in Fig. 3C, cell lysates with no added KPC-2 or with BSA exhibited limited nitrocefin hydrolysis signal which represents background level. The nitrocefin hydrolysis signal increased in the presence of 3.9 ng to 7.2 ng KPC-2, indicating a low nanogram detection limit for this assay. Taken together, the results establish an in vitro binding assay using 10 nM purified BLIPK74T/W112D that detects KPC-2 β-lactamase with 4 ng sensitivity.

**BLIPK74T/W112D-based binding assay detects KPC-2 in K. pneumoniae clinical isolates.** To test the hypothesis that the BLIPK74T/W112D-based binding assay accurately identifies clinical strains producing KPC-2, we tested 10 K. pneumoniae isolates that had previously been whole genome sequenced (Materials and Methods) (35). E. coli strains TP159 and TP160, expressing CTX-M-14 and KPC-2, were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Cell lysate from each strain was used in the binding assay, and 10 nM BLIPK74T/W112D was added to bind KPC-2. BLIPK74T/W112D was captured via its His tag using a metal affinity resin. KPC-2 bound to captured BLIPK74T/W112D was eluted with 30% ethanol and monitored by nitrocefin hydrolysis as described above (Fig. 4). The level of nitrocefin hydrolysis chosen as the cutoff at which to call a strain KPC positive was >0.08. This value is approximately 40-fold higher than the average absorbance signal (0.002) obtained from determinations with lysates from the negative-

| β-Lactamase | Fractional occupancy (%) vs concn of BLIP mutant |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|             | 10 nM  | 50 nM  | 200 nM | 1,000 nM | 2,850 nM |
| KPC-2       | 0.806  | 0.952  | 0.988  | 0.998    | 0.999    |
| CTX-M-14    | 0.011  | 0.052  | 0.182  | 0.524    | 0.758    |
| CTX-M-15    | 0.023  | 0.103  | 0.313  | 0.699    | 0.870    |
| TEM-1       | 0.002  | 0.008  | 0.031  | 0.139    | 0.313    |

*Fractional occupancy ($f$) is the fraction of β-lactamase bound at each listed concentration of the BLIPK74T/W112D mutant. The equation for determining $f$ is provided in Materials and Methods.
control strain *E. coli* TP159 (CTX-M-14). Positive signals were observed in cell lysates prepared from *K. pneumoniae* strains KPN-11, KPC-17, KPN-82, KPN-98, KPN-109, KPC-110, KPC-123, and KPN-125 and *E. coli* TP160 (KPC-2), indicating the presence of KPC-2 in these strains. In contrast, no nitrocefin hydrolysis occurred in lysates from *K. pneumoniae* isolates KPN-50 and KPN-111 and *E. coli* TP159 (CTX-M-14), suggesting the absence of KPC-2. To evaluate the accuracy of the binding assay, we compared the results to predictions from the genome sequencing results (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The KPC-2 predictions based on the binding assay were 100% concordant with predictions from genome sequencing (Table S1). Despite the fact that most of the *K. pneumoniae* strains contained more than one type of β-lactamase based on genome sequencing, the BLIPK74T/W112D binding assay specifically identified KPC-2 in cell lysates.

Next, we tested an additional 117 *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates using the same procedure described above. The nitrocefin hydrolysis levels shown in Fig. S2 predicted that *K. pneumoniae* strains KPN-28, KPN-42, KPN-43, KPN-57, KPN-77, KPN-95, KPN-103, KPN-114, KPN-115, KPN-117, KPN-118, KPN-130, KPN-141, KPN-145, KPN-159, KPN-169, KPN-191, KPN-192, KPN-196, KPN-201, KPN-213, and KPN-218 produce KPC-2 β-lactamase. With the exception of KPN-43, these strains were also identified as KPC-2-positive strains based on whole-genome sequencing results (Table S1). Significant nitrocefin hydrolysis signal was observed from strain KPN-43 (see Fig. S2A in the supplemental material), but whole-genome sequencing results predicted that it was a KPC-2-negative strain (Table S1). To identify whether KPN-43 was a false-positive result, we further tested for the presence of KPC-2 by performing colony PCR using strain KPN-43 and KPC-2-positive strains KPN-11 and KPN-98 and KPC-2-negative strain KPN-111. DNA bands corresponding to the KPC-2 gene were obtained using KPN-43, KPN-11, and KPN-98 as the templates, but not KPN-111 (Fig. S1). Therefore, despite the whole-genome sequence predictions, KPN-43 is a KPC-2-expressing clinical isolate. This indicates that the KPC-43 prediction was not a false-positive result and strongly suggests that the BLIPK74T/W112D-based KPC binding assay can be used for sensitive and specific identification of KPC-2 β-lactamase from clinical isolates.

**Combination of BLIPK74T/W112D binding and inhibition assays improves detection.** By comparing the BLIPK74T/W112D binding results with whole-genome sequencing results (Table S1), all predicted KPC-2-positive strains from a total of 127 *K. pneumoniae* isolates were identified except for strains KPN-185 and KPN-186. Thus, KPN-185 and KPN-186 were identified as KPC-2-positive strains by whole-genome sequencing but as KPC-2-negative strains by our protein-based binding assay. As noted above, only strains with absorption signals of >0.08 were considered KPC-2 positive in the BLIPK74T/W112D binding assay. The binding assay identified strain KPN-186 as a KPC-2-negative strain.
with no observed absorption signal (Fig. S2C). We used colony PCR of the KPN-186 strain as the template and verified KPN-186 as KPC-2 negative despite the prediction based on genome sequencing (Fig. S1). KPN-185, on the other hand, exhibited weak nitrocefin hydrolysis with an absorption signal above that observed for the \textit{E. coli} TP159 negative-control strain but lower than the 0.08 signal cutoff, resulting in some ambiguity in concluding on the presence or absence of KPC-2 based on binding assay results. Therefore, as a secondary screen, we conducted a BLIPK74T/W112D-based inhibition assay on cell lysates prepared from clinical isolates with ambiguous results from our binding assay.

The inhibition assay is based on the observation that cell lysates prepared from KPC-2-expressing strains have nitrocefin hydrolysis activity, which is significantly reduced by the addition of BLIPK74T/W112D. To avoid interactions of BLIPK74T/W112D with other β-lactamases in the cell lysate, especially CTX-M-14 and CTX-M-15, we optimized the amount of BLIPK74T/W112D required for the inhibition assay. Various concentrations of BLIPK74T/W112D were added to cell lysates, and β-lactamase activity was monitored by nitrocefin hydrolysis. The presence of BLIPK74T/W112D significantly inhibited the enzymatic activity of KPC-2 in \textit{E. coli} TP160 cell lysates in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 5A). In contrast, CTX-M-14 was not inhibited by BLIPK74T/W112D in \textit{E. coli} TP159 cell lysates at concentrations up to 100 nM (Fig. 5B). Therefore, 100 nM BLIPK74T/W112D was used in the assay to obtain maximal inhibition of KPC-2.

We conducted the inhibition assay with cell lysates from \textit{K. pneumoniae} strain KPN-185 and the \textit{E. coli} TP159 and TP160 control strains in the presence or absence of BLIPK74T/W112D. The nitrocefin hydrolysis absorption signals at 30 min are shown in Fig. 5C. In the absence of BLIPK74T/W112D, cell lysates prepared from strains KPN-185, TP159, and TP160 all exhibited nitrocefin hydrolysis. Upon the addition of BLIPK74T/W112D, a significant reduction in nitrocefin hydrolysis was observed for the KPN-185 (30%) and positive-control \textit{E. coli} TP160 (100%) cell lysates, indicating KPC-2 β-lactamase is produced in strain KPN-185. Therefore, the inhibition assay successfully identified KPN-185 as a KPC-2-expressing clinical isolate and can be used as a secondary screen.

**FIG 5** Identification of KPC-2 in \textit{K. pneumoniae} clinical isolates using the KPC cell lysate inhibition assay. (A) Nitrocefin hydrolysis curves of \textit{E. coli} TP160 (KPC-2) cell lysate in the presence of increasing concentrations of BLIPK74T/W112D; 10 nM, 25 nM, 50 nM, and 100 nM BLIPK74T/W112D were added to TP160 cell lysate. (B) Nitrocefin hydrolysis curves of \textit{E. coli} TP159 (CTX-M-14) cell lysate in the presence of increasing concentrations of BLIPK74T/W112D. (C) Nitrocefin hydrolysis signals from cell lysates of strains KPN-6, KPN-43, KPN-106, KPN-185, KPN-186, KPN-377, KPN-147, NEK-4, TP159, and TP160 in the presence or absence of 100 mM BLIPK74T/W112D at 30 min.
for clinical isolates with ambiguous results from the BLIPK74T/W112D binding assay. Hence, the combination of the binding assay results and the inhibition assay results lead to agreement between predictions based on genome sequencing results and also correctly identified KPN-43 as a false-negative result and KPN-186 as a false-positive result from genome sequencing.

In addition to KPN-185, five K. pneumoniae strains, including KPN-6, KPN-106, KPN-147, KPN-377, and NEK-4 (Fig. S2A to D), had absorbance signals from the BLIPK74T/W112D binding assay that were greater than that observed for the E. coli TP159 negative control but less than the 0.08 cutoff. These five strains were classified as KPC-negative strains, which agreed with the predictions based on the whole-genome sequencing data. However, because of the ambiguous signal, we performed the cell lysate inhibition assay on these strains to further verify the presence or absence of KPC-2. All cell lysates conferred nitrocefin hydrolysis activity except for strain NEK-4. The addition of 100 nM BLIPK74T/W112D had no inhibitory effect on lysates for any of the five strains (Fig. SC), strongly supporting the conclusion that KPC-2 is not produced in these strains. In addition, a complete reduction in nitrocefin hydrolysis signal was observed from the KPN-43 cell lysate in the presence of 100 nM BLIPK74T/W112D, while no inhibition was observed for the KPN-186 cell lysate (Fig. SC). These results further support the predictions based on the BLIPK74T/W112D binding assay that KPN-43 is a KPC-2-positive strain and KPN-186 is a KPC-2-negative strain. It is clear that the BLIPK74T/W112D-based cell lysate inhibition assay is a sensitive secondary assay to further verify KPC-2-expressing strains, especially when weak KPC-2 binding signals are observed in the BLIPK74T/W112D-based binding assay (Fig. S3).

To further verify the results, meropenem susceptibility assays were performed on the 127 K. pneumoniae strains using the carbapenem inactivation method as described in Materials and Methods (18). The 32 strains identified by the BLIPK74T/W112D binding and inhibition assays were also not susceptible to meropenem, as predicted based on the presence of KPC-2 (Table S1). The assays also identified KPN-43 as not susceptible and KPN-186 as susceptible, consistent with the BLIPK74T/W112D binding and inhibition results.

Taken together, the results demonstrate that the BLIPK74T/W112D protein is an effective sensor for KPC β-lactamases and constitutes a KPC-specific detection assay with 100% sensitivity and specificity when using a combination of the BLIPK74T/W112D-based binding assay and the BLIPK74T/W112D-based inhibition assay.

**Use of BLIPK74T/W112D in the Carba-NP assay identifies KPC in clinical isolates.** A potential limitation of the BLIPK74T/W112D-mediated β-lactamase capture assay described above is the need for overnight growth, creation of cell lysates, and manipulation of the affinity resin. The Carba-NP assay is commonly used to detect carbapenemase-producing strains (20). It entails inoculation of colonies to create a suspension, lysis of the cells, and addition of imipenem and the pH indicator molecule phenol red. Hydrolysis of imipenem by a carbapenemase lowers the pH of the solution, which is visually detected as a change in color of the phenol red from red to yellow (20). The BLIPK74T/W112D inhibitor was adapted to the Carba-NP assay by testing colony suspensions in the presence and absence of the inhibitor. A sample that turns yellow in the absence of BLIPK74T/W112D but remains red in the presence of inhibitor indicates the strain produces KPC β-lactamase.

The Carba-NP assay with BLIPK74T/W112D was initially tested with the E. coli TP159 and TP160 strains producing CTX-M-14 and KPC-2 β-lactamases. For this purpose, a loop of cells was added to a solution containing lysozyme and detergent for cell lysis, imipenem, phenol red, and the presence or absence of BLIPK74T/W112D (Materials and Methods). Cell suspensions from E. coli TP159 producing CTX-M-14 remained red with or without the addition of BLIPK74T/W112D (Fig. 6). In contrast, cell suspensions from E. coli TP160 producing KPC-2 turned yellow in the absence of BLIPK74T/W112D but remained red when the inhibitor was present. These results suggest that the assay can be used to identify KPC-producing strains (Fig. 6B). In addition, the E. coli TP160 strain was
used to show that imipenem is required for the color change and that the addition of 200 nM BLIPK74T/W112D is sufficient to block the color change (Fig. 6B).

We next performed the Carba-NP assay with BLIPK74T/W112D and the 127 K. pneumoniae clinical isolates described above. The results obtained were consistent with the results of the BLIPK74T/W112D binding and inhibition assays (Fig. S4). Strains KPN-11, KPC-17, KPN-28, KPN-42, KPN-43, KPN-57, KPN-77, KPN-82, KPN-95, KPC-98, KPN-103, KPN-109, KPC-110, KPN-114, KPN-115, KPN-117, KPN-118, KPC-123, KPN-125, KPN-130, KPN-136, KPN-141, KPN-145, KPN-159, KPN-169, KPN-185, KPN-191, KPN-192, KPN-196, KPN-201, KPN-213, and KPN-218 were predicted to be KPC-2-positive strains (Fig. S4). These strains were also identified as KPC-2-positive strains based on whole-genome sequencing results and the binding and cell lysate inhibition assays described above (Table S1). Note that we were only able to confirm strain KPN-185 as KPC-2 positive and strains KPN-6, KPN-106, KPN-147, KPN-377, and NEK-4 as KPC-2 negative using the combination of the binding and cell lysate inhibition assays (Fig. S2 and Fig. 5C). In contrast, with the Carba-NP assay, color differences for phenol red in the presence and absence of BLIPK74T/W112D for strain KPN-185 were apparent (Fig. 6A). As expected based on genome sequencing results, no color changes occurred for strains KPN-6, KPN-106, KPN-147, KPN-377, and NEK-4 due to lack of carbapenemase activity.

The Carba-NP assay with BLIPK74T/W112D was also performed with K. pneumoniae strains known to produce either the NDM-1 metallo-β-lactamase or the OXA-48 carbapenemase. The assay revealed NDM-1 or OXA-48 production based on color change of the suspension from red to yellow. However, addition of BLIPK74T/W112D did not alter the color reaction, a result indicating the absence of KPC β-lactamase. This result shows that BLIPK74T/W112D does not inhibit NDM-1 or OXA-48, as predicted based on the in vitro inhibition assays (Table 1 and Fig. S4). Therefore, the Carba-NP assay coupled with BLIPK74T/W112D can readily identify KPC-producing strains and can distinguish strains producing KPC from those producing other carbapenemases.

**DISCUSSION**

Carbapenems are critical agents used to treat serious infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria. Unfortunately, many bacterial pathogens have developed resistance...
to carbapenems by producing β-lactamases such as the KPC β-lactamases (8, 36). In principle, when KPC is associated with a bacterial infection, effective β-lactams or antimicrobial combinations such as ceftazidime-avibactam and meropenem-vaborbactam can be used to kill the pathogen (37, 38). Thus, rapid identification of KPC in clinical isolates will facilitate the administration of correct treatment regimens for carbapenem-resistant infections, thereby decreasing patient morbidity and mortality.

In this work, we report development of a β-lactamase binding and inhibition assay that specifically identifies KPC carbapenemases made by clinical isolates. For our binding and inhibition assay, we chose a previously characterized BLIPK74T/W112D variant as the KPC sensor (23). We showed that BLIPK74T/W112D specifically binds and inhibits the enzyme activity of KPC-2 and KPC variants, but not other β-lactamases (Table 1). In addition, BLIP is an easily purified, soluble protein, with a relatively low molecular weight (17.5 kDa) and is stable at room temperature. Hence, BLIPK74T/W112D is a good candidate for use in the recognition of KPCs in vitro.

The BLIPK74T/W112D binding and inhibition assay as performed here involves growing a culture overnight and using 1 ml for cell lysis with 1% Triton X-100. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation and incubated for 1 h with commercially available Talon beads and washed four times before the addition of 30% ethanol with nitrocefin. The total time to process after overnight growth, including incubation time, is approximately 3 h. However, shorter incubation times are possible, and optimization is in process.

Here, we showed that the minimum amount of KPC-2 that can be detected in the binding assay is 4 ng. KPC-2 has a molecular weight of ~29,000 g/mol, and therefore, 4 ng of KPC-2 equals approximately 0.14 pmol or 10^11 molecules in 1 ml lysis buffer. The overnight cultures of K. pneumoniae contain ~3 × 10^9 cells per ml. Therefore, a KPC-2 expression level of approximately 40 molecules per cell required to produce 4 ng of KPC-2. A previous study by Soufi et al. demonstrated that the dynamic range of protein copy numbers is approximately 1 to 300,000 protein molecules per bacterial cell (39). The majority of proteins are expressed at copy numbers between 10^2 and 10^4, which are significantly larger values than the 40 protein molecules per cell required to detect KPC-2. Thus, the binding assay should detect KPC-2 from K. pneumoniae with lower than average expression levels for bacteria.

An interesting observation from the cell lysate inhibition assay is that nitrocefin hydrolysis was decreased for cell lysates in the presence of 100 nM BLIPK74T/W112D for 29 of 32 strains (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). This finding suggests that KPC-2 is the dominantly expressed β-lactamase in KPC-2-positive strains despite the presence of genes for other β-lactamases. Although this conclusion is based on analysis of only 127 clinical isolates, if broadly true, it further supports the value of the assay. As discussed above, KPC-2 has a broader spectrum of β-lactam and inhibitor resistance compared to the majority of other β-lactamases. If KPC-2 is the dominantly expressed β-lactamase in its bacterial host strain, identification of KPC-2 will be an effective strategy to inform an antibiotic treatment plan in that antibiotics such as ceftazidime-avibactam and meropenem-vaborbactam are effective against KPC-producing organisms.

The Carba-NP assay is a rapid assay to detect carbapenemase-producing bacteria. Modifications of the assay, such as the Carba-NP-II method where the inhibitors tazobactam and EDTA are added in parallel with the standard assay to distinguish the molecular class of carbapenemase present, i.e., Ambler class A, B, or D (40, 41). The modified test, however, does not indicate the specific carbapenemase present in the strain.

We explored the possibility of using the BLIPK74T/W112D protein in conjunction with the Carba-NP phenotypic test. We showed that performing the Carba-NP test with or without BLIPK74T/W112D can be used to detect a carbapenemase and determine whether it is a KPC β-lactamase. Thus, while it should be possible to optimize the time involved in the BLIPK74T/W112D binding and inhibition assay, the BLIPK74T/W112D protein can be used in the rapid Carba-NP assay to identify KPC β-lactamase.
In conclusion, we have shown that an engineered BLIP\textsubscript{K74T/W112D} protein displays highly specific KPC-2 binding and provides a 100% identification rate of KPC-2 from clinical isolates. Because all KPC variants tested in this study had tight binding affinity toward BLIP\textsubscript{K74T/W112D}, the data strongly support its use as a sensor for the detection of KPC–beta-lactamases from clinical isolates.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and plasmids.** Plasmid pGR32 with the BLIP\textsubscript{K74T/W112D} gene (23) was transformed into \( E. \) coli R8791 (W3110 lacI\text{Q} LB) (42) for BLIP production and purification.

The variant KPC genes were inserted into plasmid pET-28a\textsuperscript{+} with an N-terminal 6\textsuperscript{*}His tag. The resulting plasmids were transformed into \( E. \) coli BL21(DE3) [fhuA2 [lon] ompT gad (DE3) [dcm] Dhids ADE3 = λ BamHII ΔEcori-B int (lacI placUV5-T7 gene1) (21 ΔnaiS)] for protein production and purification (43).

\( E. \) coli TP112 (\( F^{-} \) mcrA Δmrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC Δ80d lacZ M15 ΔlacX74 deor recA1 endA1 araD139 (Δ[ara leu])7649 galU galK rpsL nupG pyrF [λcI857 (cro-bioA)] tet) (28), TP159 (\( F^{-} \) mcrA Δmrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) Δ80d lacZ M15 ΔlacX74 deor recA1 endA1 araD139 (Δ[ara leu])7649 galU galK rpsL nupG pyrF [λcI857 (cro-bioA)] tet) (28) express the TEM-1, CTX-M-14, and KPC-2 enzymes, respectively, from chromosomally located genes. These strains were tested in the \( \beta \)-lactamase binding assay and the cell lysate inhibition assay. \( E. \) coli MG1655 (\( F^{-} \) λ \( \lambda \) iV\textgreek{g} rfb-50 rph-1) was used as a \( \beta \)-lactamase negative-control strain.

A total of 127 strains of \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae} isolated from patients in the Houston Methodist Hospital System and characterized by whole-genome sequencing were used to test the sensitivity and specificity of the BLIP assay (35). These 127 strains were chosen from a set of 1,777 \( K. \) pneumoniae clinical isolates that had been genome sequenced. The sequencing and strains were extensively described by Long et al. (35). Antibiotic susceptibility data are available for all of the strains. Of the 1,777 \( K. \) pneumoniae strains, 581 contained KPC based on genome sequencing data. Of these, 572 (98.4%) were KPC-2 (35). From this collection, a mix of strains was chosen that included those producing KPC-2 based on sequence annotation and those that did not. In addition to the 127 strains described above, 5 strains producing NDM-1 and/or OXA-48 were also chosen from the collection to test the specificity of the Carba-NP/BLIP\textsubscript{K74T/W112D} assay. Each strain was streaked onto blood agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Four or five colonies from each strain were inoculated and grown in Luria-Bertani medium (LB) at 37°C overnight.

**Carbapenem inactivation method.** To verify the carbapenemase susceptibility of \( K. \) pneumoniae clinical isolates, we performed previously described carbapenem inactivation methods with modifications (18). Briefly, 1\( \mu \)l inoculation loop of bacteria was added to 1 ml tryptic soy broth (TSB) (BD BBL tryptic soy broth) and vortexed for 15 s to resuspend the cell pellet thoroughly. Subsequently, a 10\( \mu \)g meropenem (MEM) disk (BD BBL Sensi-Disc susceptibility test disc) was immersed in the suspension and incubated at 37°C for 4 h. At the end of the incubation period, a freshly inoculated \( E. \) coli ATCC 25922 strain (a carbapenem-susceptible strain) with a turbidity equivalent to a McFarland value of 0.5 was streaked in three directions using a sterile cotton swab onto a Mueller-Hinton plate (BD DDL Mueller-Hinton agar). The MEM disk was then removed from the suspension using a 10\( \mu \)l inoculation loop and placed onto the Mueller-Hinton plate. After incubation at 37°C overnight, meropenem susceptibility was determined by direct measurement of inhibition zone diameter. Strains with an inhibition zone diameter greater than 20 mm were classified as meropenem susceptible.

**Protein production and purification.** BLIP\textsubscript{K74T/W112D} containing an N-terminal 6\textsuperscript{*}His tag was purified from \( E. \) coli strain R8791 as previously described with modifications (23). \( E. \) coli cells with plasmid pGR32-Blip\textsubscript{K74T/W112D} were cultured in LB medium at 37°C, and protein expression was induced with 6 mM \( \alpha \)-lactose for 26 h at 23°C when the optical density at 600 nm (OD\textsubscript{600}) reached 0.9 to 1.0. Cells were harvested the next day by low-speed centrifugation and suspended in lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM NaCl, and Xpert protease inhibitor cocktail (GenDEPOT). Cells were sonicated, and cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 8,000 \( \times \) g for 4°C to 20 min. The supernatant was loaded onto a column containing Co\textsuperscript{2+}-charged Talon resin and the flow through was collected. This step was repeated once to increase protein binding. After washing, BLIP\textsubscript{K74T/W112D} was eluted with lysis buffer supplemented with 400 mM imidazole. To further purify BLIP\textsubscript{K74T/W112D}, the eluted protein fractions were concentrated and loaded onto a Superdex75 Increase 10/300 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 200 mM NaCl buffer. Protein purity was assessed with sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), followed by Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) staining.

For purification of KPC-2 and variant enzymes, \( E. \) coli BL21(DE3) cells with plasmid KPC-pET28a\textsuperscript{+} were grown at 37°C to an OD\textsubscript{600} of \( \sim \)1.0 and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG (isopropyl-\( \beta \)-d-1-thiogalactopyranoside) for 20 h at 23°C. Cell pellets were suspended in lysis buffer with 20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.4), 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, and Xpert protease inhibitor cocktail and sonicated several times to disrupt cells. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 8,000 \( \times \) g at 4°C for 20 min. The supernatant was loaded onto a Co\textsuperscript{2+}-charged Talon resin column to allow protein binding. KPC was eluted by lysis buffer supplemented with 40 mM, 100 mM, 250 mM, and 500 mM imidazole, and KPC containing fractions were combined, concentrated, and loaded onto a Superdex75 Increase 10/300 gel filtration column in 20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.4) and 200 mM NaCl buffer to further purify the enzymes. Protein purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE, followed by Coomassie brilliant blue staining.
Enzyme inhibition assays and \( K_i \) value determinations. To determine \( K_i \) values of BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) against various \( \beta \)-lactamases, enzyme inhibition assays were conducted by measuring hydrolysis of nitrocefin, a colorimetric \( \beta \)-lactam substrate, using a spectrophotometric assay (23). For each assay, a \( \beta \)-lactamase (1 nM KPC-2, 1 nM KPC-3, 1 nM KPC-4, 1 nM KPC-5, 1 nM KPC-6, 1 nM KPC-7, 1 nM KPC-8, 1 nM KC-9, 0.2 nM CTX-M-14, 0.5 nM CTX-M-15, 1 nM NDM-1, 0.5 nM OXA-48, or 0.375 nM TEM-1) was mixed with increasing concentrations of BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) in buffer containing 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0) and 100 \( \mu \)g/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA) and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. Hydrolysis of nitrocefin was monitored at 482 nm with an Infinite M200 pro plate reader (TECAN). Initial hydrolysis rates of nitrocefin were plotted as a function of BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) concentration, and \( K_i \) values were obtained by fitting the data to the Morrison tight binding inhibitor equation using GraphPad Prism 5 (44).

The predicted fractional occupancy (\( f \)) is the fraction of \( \beta \)-lactamase bound to the BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) ligand at a given BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) concentration, as calculated using the equation \( f = 1/(1 + K_i/[L]) \) where \( K_i \) is the inhibition constant and [L] is the BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) concentration (34).

**BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) - \( \beta \)-lactamase binding assay.** To establish an assay for specific detection of KPC-2 \( \beta \)-lactamase, pulldown experiments of KPC-2 were performed with different concentrations of BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) and \( \beta \)-lactamase-containing cell lysates. To prepare bacterial cell lysates, \( \beta \)-lactamase-containing \( E.\ coli \) strains TP112 (TEM-1), TP159 (CTX-M-14), and TP160 (KPC-2) were grown at 37°C overnight. One milliliter of each culture was harvested by low-speed centrifugation, suspended with 1 ml of 1% Triton X-100 in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl) and rotated at room temperature for 45 min. Cell debris was removed by centrifuging at 10,000 x g at room temperature for 20 min. To allow binding of BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) to \( \beta \)-lactamases in the cell lysate, purified BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) was mixed with 1 ml of cell lysate with final concentrations of 10 nM, 50 nM, 100 nM, 200 nM, 1,000 nM, and 2,850 nM and rotated at room temperature for 1 h. Then, 10 \( \mu \)l of Talon resin preequilibrated with TBS buffer was added to the mixtures and rotated at room temperature for 1 h to isolate free BLIP or BLIP/\( \beta \)-lactamase complexes through binding to the His tag on BLIP. Proteins bound nonspecifically were removed by washing the protein-bound Talon resins four times with 1.5 ml TBS with a 30-min incubation between each wash. To release \( \beta \)-lactamase bound to BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\), the Talon resins were suspended in TBS buffer supplemented with 30 \( \mu \)M nitrocefin and 30% ethanol. Nitrocefin hydrolysis was monitored simultaneously with a M200 pro plate reader (Tecan). The absorption values at 482 nm at different time points were normalized by subtracting values at the zero time point and plotted versus BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) concentrations and cell lysate types.

To determine the detection sensitivity of the assay for binding to KPC-2, the binding assay was conducted as described above. To mimic cell lysate conditions, serial dilutions (0.125 to 1,000 ng) of purified KPC-2 was mixed with 1 ml of \( E.\ coli \) MG1655 cell lysate. The binding capacity of 10 nM BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) to KPC-2 in the cell lysate was evaluated based on nitrocefin hydrolysis at 482 nm. As a control, 1,000 ng of BSA was added to the \( E.\ coli \) MG1655 cell lysate. For clinical isolates, the binding assay was performed as described above. Cell lysates were prepared from overnight cultures, and 10 nM BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) was used for detection.

**Cell lysate inhibition assay.** Overnight cultures of \( E.\ coli \) strains TP159 and TP160, producing CTX-M-14 and KPC-2 \( \beta \)-lactamases, respectively, were suspended in 1% Triton X-100 in TBS and lysed by incubating at room temperature for 45 min. Cell lysates were further diluted with TBS. Increasing concentrations of BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) (0, 10 nM, 25 nM, 50 nM, and 100 nM final) were mixed with the cell lysate at room temperature for 20 min. Nitrocefin was added to 30 \( \mu \)M, hydrolysis was monitored, and the relative absorption for each BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) concentration was plotted as a function of time. For clinical samples, the cell lysate inhibition assay was conducted in the presence of 100 nM BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) or buffer as a control.

**Carba-NP test.** The Carba-NP test procedure was adapted from a previous study with modifications (20). Cell lysis buffer was prepared by the addition of lysozyme to a final concentration of 300 \( \mu \)g/ml into B-PER Bacterial Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Scientific). One or two full 10-\( \mu \)l inoculation loops of \( E.\ coli \) strains TP159 and TP160 were suspended in 400 \( \mu \)l lysis buffer and incubated at room temperature for 10 min to facilitate cell lysis. Final concentrations of 0, 10, 100, and 400 nM BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) were then added to cell lysates and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Subsequently, 40 \( \mu \)l of phenol red solution at pH 7.8 containing 6 mg/ml imipenem was mixed with 40 \( \mu \)l of cell lysate and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. A phenol red solution with no imipenem was added to cell lysates as a negative control. Visual reading of the color in the tubes was performed to assess for the presence of a carbapenemase and whether it was KPC. For clinical samples, the Carba-NP test was conducted in the presence of 200 nM BLIP\(^{K74T/W112D}\) or buffer alone as a control.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available online only.
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