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**ABSTRACT**

Complicated issue in infectious illnesses therapy is increasing of multidrug resistant (MDR) bacteria and biofilms in bacterial infections. In this way, emerging of nanotechnology as a new weapon specifically in the cases of metal nanoparticle (MNPs) synthesis and MNPs surface modification has obtained more attention. In this study, ultrasound-assisted green synthesis method was utilized for the preparation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs with novel shape (dendrimer) through leaf aqueous extract of Artemisia haussknechtii Boiss. Ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), atomic force microscopic (AFM), X-ray diffraction (XRD) techniques were applied for MNPs physicochemical characterization. Also, disc diffusion assay, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), planktonic and biofilm morphology of three pathogenic bacteria involving *Serratia marcescens* ATCC 13880, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) were evaluated upon treatment of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs as antiplanktonic and antibiofilm analysis. Results showed efficient antiplanktonic and antibiofilm activities of biosynthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs with average diameter size of 83.4 nm. Reduction in biofilm formation of *S. aureus* ATCC under Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs stress was significant (66%) in higher MNPs concentration (100 $\mu$g/mL). In addition, as first report, spreading ability of *S. aureus* as important factor in colony expansion on culture medium was reduced by increasing of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. Present study demonstrates striking antiplanktonic, antibiofilm, antispreading mobility and antioxidant aspects of one-pot biosynthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs with novel shape.

**Introduction**

Every year, approximately 100,000 tons of antibiotics against infectious diseases are produced worldwide [1]. Misusage of this amount of antibiotics has lead to multidrug resistance emerging among pathogenic strains, specifically in bacteria [2]. In addition to high costs, MDR has resulted in high-mortality rates through the inefficiency of conventional antimicrobial agents [3]. According to natural selection phenomenon, spreading of resistant pathogens such as bacteria, fungi, and viruses are caused extremely by using new mechanisms of MDR in these microorganisms [4]. For the case of bacteria species, these mechanisms include mainly the application of multidrug efflux pumps and resistance plasmids [5]. Another problem associated with resistance to antibiotic chemotherapy is the formation of chronic biofilm [6]. As a definition, bacterial biofilms are a slimy layer of bacteria that adhere to biotic and abiotic surfaces [7]. With regard to the medicinal aspect, biofilm formation can be contributed to chronic infections such as cystic fibrosis and periodontitis [8]. In order to remove biofilm, using an efficient strategy to disrupt the multicellular structure of the biofilm is necessary [9]. Recently, nanotechnology was used as a novel and powerful tool in medicinal offers [10]. In this technology, MNPs application specifically metal NPs (Ag, Cu, TiO$_2$, ZnO, MgO, and Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs) illustrated antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities against MDR pathogens [11,12]. Among these effects, antibacterial activities of magnetic iron oxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$) NPs have been reported by many investigators [13,14].

Based on bottom-up and top-down approaches, there are many ways for preparation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs including hydrothermal synthesis, thermal decomposition, ultrasound-assisted reduction, co-precipitation, electrochemical synthesis, and laser pyrolysis techniques as chemical and physical methods [15]. These methods have an advantage by the uniformity of MNPs distribution and disadvantages by consumption of toxic and expensive materials in MNPs preparation [16]. In recent years, green synthesis was introduced and applied by many scientists as a novel and effective process [17,18]. Several types of living
organisms such as microorganisms (specifically magnetotactic bacteria), plants, and fungi were used for MNP synthesis [19]. Among these organisms, plants have the advantages of more biocompatibility and availability than microbes and fungi [20]. These advantages are caused by the fact that plants have various secondary metabolites like flavonoids, flavonols, and terpenoids which they can contribute in the reduction and stabilizing of metal ions and MNPs structure, respectively [21]. Major disadvantages of green synthesis method are agglomeration and ununiform size and shape of NPs. For reducing these unsuitable results, we used ultrasonic wave as ultrasound-assisted reduction method. Advantages of this strategy were reported for the biosynthesis of Pd/Fe3O4 nanocatalyst [23]. Therefore, based on the above information, in this study, we utilized *A. haussknechtii* we utilized *A. haussknechtii* leaves for the biosynthesis of Pd/Fe3O4 nanocatalyst by green tea leaves [22].

Physicochemical properties of MNPs such as surface plasmon resonance (SPR) and local field enhancement (LFE) can be changed by the alteration of diameter size and surface composition. For instance, LFE of MNPs with bipyramids shape is higher than other shapes by the sharp tips [23]. In this way, there are spherical, semi-spherical, cubic, triangular, rod, wire, flower-like, and dendrimer shapes. It is worth noting that biological activities including antimicrobial, anti-cancer, cytotoxic, antifungal, biocompatibility, and bioavailability of MNPs are also affected by the size and morphology alterations [24]. These properties of MNPs can be tunable by the selection of synthesis methods and reducing and stabilizing agents [25]. In this regard, the present study illustrated novel shape (dendrimer) of green synthesized Fe3O4 NPs with enhanced antibacterial and antibiofilm abilities.

Different medicinal aspects of *Artemisia* L. genus were approved by many investigators [26,27]. Among this genus, *Artemisia haussknechtii* Boiss. is one of the local species in Iran [28]. Therefore, based on the above information, in this study, we utilized *A. haussknechtii* aqueous extract to synthesize Fe3O4 NPs with a new shape of dendrimer. Assays of disc diffusion, MIC/MBC, and growth kinetics were used to measure antibacterial effects of green synthesized Fe3O4 NPs on three sensitive and MDR bacteria species of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300, *E. coli* ATCC 25922, and *S. marcescens* ATCC13880. In addition, antibacterial mechanisms of these MNPs were determined through analyzing changing in biofilm and bacterial morphology properties.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl3·6H2O ≥ 98%), Iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl2·4H2O ≥ 98%), Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (FCR), gallic acid, sodium nitrite (NaNO2), aluminum chloride (AlCl3·6H2O), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), hydrochloric acid (HCl), sulphuric acid (H2SO4), ethanol, sodium phosphate (Na2PO4), ammonium molybdate [(NH4)6Mo7O24·4H2O], sodium acetate (CH3COONa), 1X PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na2HPO4, 1.47 mM KH2PO4), glutaraldehyde, tannic acid (C76H52O46), 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH), Mueller–Hinton broth (MBH), Mueller–Hinton agar (MHA), and crystal violet were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

**Leaves extract preparation and biosynthesis of Fe3O4 NPs**

Plant species of *A. haussknechtii* were sampled based on the previous study [29]. Aqueous leaf extract of *A. haussknechtii* was prepared by 20 g of freshly amassed leaves. The leaves surface were cleaned with running tap water, followed by washing with distilled water and boiling in 250 ml volume of distilled water at 90°C temperature for half hour. Suspensions were filtered two times with Whatman No. 40 filter papers. The filtered sample was collected and stored at 4°C for next stage. This extract was utilized as reducing as well as stabilizing/capping agents.

During the preparation Fe3O4 NPs, the conical flask containing 50 ml of ferric chloride hexahydrate (0.2 M) was added to 0.001, 0.01, and 0.1 M concentrations of FeCl2·4H2O (50 ml) under stirring on a magnetic stirrer for 2 h. Afterward, 10 ml of the aqueous extract of *A. haussknechtii* leaves was mixed with 90 ml of resulted solution and pH is adjusted to 8, by the addition of 0.1 M NaOH solution. The above reaction was under a stirring condition at room temperature followed by the application of ultrasonic irradiation for 2 h with a frequency of 40 kHz and the total acoustic power of 50 W [30]. In comparison analysis, the effect of different temperatures (4, 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65°C) on MNPs synthesis was measured in the range of 1–7 h. In order to purify MNPs, the resulting solution was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 × 10 min and washed several times with 1:1 mixture of absolute methanol and distilled water. Powder MNPs were prepared by incubation of solution at 50°C for 48 h and then, they were stored in an airtight condition for further characterization by XRD, FTIR, and SEM analysis. The chemical reaction of Fe3O4 precipitation is given in the below Equations 1 and 2.

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+}_{(aq)} + \text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{(l)} + A. \text{ haussknechtii leaf extract}_{(aq)} \xrightarrow{\text{Stirring}} [A. \text{ haussknechtii leaf extract}/\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{Fe}^{2+}]_{(s)} \tag{1}
\]

\[
[A. \text{ haussknechtii leaf extract}/\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{Fe}^{2+}]_{(s)} + 8\text{OH}^-_{(aq)} \xrightarrow{\text{Stirring followed by ultrasonic}} [A. \text{ haussknechtii leaf extract}/\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4]_{(s)} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(l)} \tag{2}
\]

**Physicochemical properties of MNPs**

The prepared annealed samples were analyzed by XRD analysis, UV-Vis spectroscopy, FT-IR, field emission scanning electron micrograph (FE-SEM) to determine the structure, morphology, and elemental composition. XRD technique was applied by EQUINOX 3000, diffractometer in the scanning range of 20–70°, 10–80° and 10–70°(2θ) using Cu Ka radiations of wavelength 1.5406 Å to determine the crystal phases and measurement of the mean crystal size of Fe3O4 NPs. Model XL30, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. FE-SEM was utilized to survey the MNPs morphology and the elemental analysis. AFM analysis
was carried out at ambient conditions by AFM instrument (Nanosurf Mobile S, Furturo Liestal) operating in non-contact (dynamic force) mode by silicon cantilevers of 125 μm length, nanotube tip, scanning rate of 5 μm/s, 5 nm resolution, resonance frequency 209–286 kHz, spring constant 20–80 nm⁻¹. The intensity related to absorption peaks and peak absorbance for Fe₃O₄ NPs was indicated by UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Tomas, UV 331, Billerica, Massachusetts) from 300 to 800 nm wavelength. In addition, spectrophotometer (Bruker, Billerica, Massachusetts, Model: ALPHA) was utilized to obtain FT-IR spectra of each sample.

**Total amounts of phenol, flavonoid, flavonol, and tannin**

Folin–Ciocalteu assay was applied to assess the total amount of phenolic compounds as one of the important group of secondary metabolites [31]. To prepare 3 ml volume of solution sample, distilled water was added to leaf extract and MNPs samples until we get an amount of 200 μL (1 mg/mL), blended completely by 0.5 ml of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent for 3 min followed by the addition of 2 ml of 20% (w/v) sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃). The mixture was heated at 45°C for 15 min and then absorbance was indicated at OD₇₆₅nm. The total phenolic amount was calculated via calibration curve. Measurements were carried out as triplicate repeat for each sample and demonstrated as mg of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per g dry weight (gDW).

Flavones, flavonols, flavanones, isoflavonoids, neoflavonoids, flavanols, flavan-3-ols, anthocyanins, and chalcones are important subgroups of flavonoids secondary metabolites in the plant kingdom [32]. For indication of the total flavonoids amount of each sample, AlCl₃ colorimetric assay was used with slight modification [33]. Standard and treatment solutions (0.5 ml) were separately mixed by distilled water (2 ml) and 5% sodium nitrite (150 μL). Mixtures were combined with aluminum chloride 10% solution (150 μL) and 4% sodium hydroxide (2 ml) followed by standing for a period of 6 min. Then, distilled water was added to make a volume of 5 ml in a 5 ml volumetric flask. The mixture was allowed again to stand for 15 min and absorbance of the solutions was evaluated at OD₅₁₀nm against blank and the total flavonoid content was also expressed as rutin equivalents in mg per g of dried extract (mg catechin/g DW).

In order to determine total flavonol content, 250 ml of 2% AlCl₃ and 250 ml of 5% CH₃COONa solution were added to 200 ml of each sample (1 mg/mL) [34]. Samples were sealed and incubated for 2–3 h at 25°C. The absorbance was indicated at OD₄₄₀nm and results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation of (-)-catechin equivalents per g of dried extract (mg catechin/g DW).

Moreover, measurement of total tannin content was performed based on the method of Sun et al [35]. About 1.5 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid and 3 ml methanol solution of 4% vanillin were added to 50 μL of diluted samples. After 15 min incubation of mixture in room temperature, absorption was determined at OD₅₀₀nm, against methanol as a blank. Total tannin contents were presented as mg of (+)-catechin equivalent (CE)/g DW and all samples were also analyzed in triplicate repeat.

**Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and DPPH assays**

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of the biosynthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs was measured in accordance with phosphomolybdenum assay [36]. About 100 mg of dried leaf extract and the biosynthesized NPs were separately taken into a reaction vial and mixed with 0.05% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). About 0.1 ml aliquot solution of the samples was mixed with 1 ml of the reagent solution (0.6 M H₂SO₄, 0.028 M Na₃PO₄ and 0.004 M [(NH₄)₂MoO₄·2H₂O]). Samples were incubated at 95°C for 90 min followed by cooling at 25°C. Then, absorbance of the resulted samples were indicated at OD₆₉₅nm against a reagent solution (without the annealed samples) as blank. Ascorbic acid was utilized as a positive control. The absorbance of the samples were reported as the total antioxidant activity which the higher antioxidant activity was illustrated by the higher absorbance.

DPPH scavenging activity of MNPs and leaf extract were determined by the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging assay in 96 well microtiter plate [36]. Briefly, 100 μL of each concentration (100–500 μg/mL in methanol) of the green synthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs and aqueous leaf extract was mixed with 100 μL of DDPH (100 μM) solution and incubated in the dark condition and at room temperature for 1 h. After color change from violet to pale yellow, the absorbance of the mixtures was indicated at OD₅₁₇nm. Ascorbic acid was used for comparison assay. The capacity of the samples to scavenge DPPH radical was determined by:

\[
\text{Percentage of DPPH scavenging activity} = \frac{\text{Control OD} - \text{Sample OD}}{\text{Control OD}} \times 100
\]

**MDR and sensitive bacteria**

Reprehensive MDR and sensitive bacteria of gram negative (E. coli ATCC 25922 and S. marcescens ATCC13880) and gram-positive (S. aureus ATCC 43300) were used to determine the antimicrobial activity of Fe₃O₄ NPs. These strains were obtained from bacterial archive of microbiology laboratory, Razi University of Kermanshah. Following evaluation, bacterial strains were maintained on nutrient agar slants at 4°C.

**Disc diffusion assay**

Antibacterial activity was determined by using disc diffusion assay [37]. Overnight MHB cultures of pathogenic bacteria of E. coli ATCC 25922, S. marcescens ATCC13880, and S. aureus ATCC 43300 were prepared freshly for each assay. These cultures were mixed with sterile physiological saline and turbidity was indicated by adding physiological saline until obtaining 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard (1.5 × 10⁸ CFU/mL). Petri plates were prepared by 20 ml of sterile MHA and prepared bacterial inoculations were swabbed on the surface of the solidified media. After drying of media for 10 min,
biosynthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were impregnated on discs at different concentrations of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs (0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 M of FeCl$_2$·4H$_2$O) and were compared to plant leaf extract [38].

**Determination of MIC/MBC**

The bacteriostatic and bactericidal activities of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were measured by MIC/MBC assays [39]. An appropriate volume of bacteria (2 μL) in MHB was added to suspensions of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs whose concentration varied using serial two-fold dilution from 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, and 3.12 μg/mL, respectively. These concentrations were taken from 0.1 M concentrations of MNPs which had a higher antibacterial effect in agar diffusion assay. After incubation of medium for 24 h at 37 °C, the tubes monitored for turbidity as growth and non-turbidity as no growth. The MIC values were interpreted as the lowest concentration of the sample, which illustrated clear fluid with no development of turbidity. Ten μL of the samples from each tube with no growth of bacteria were subcultured onto an MHA. The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was determined as the highest dilution of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs that did not produce a single bacterial colony on the MHA after a 24 h of incubation period [40].

**Effect of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs on bacterial growth kinetic**

Bacterial growth kinetics of *E. coli* ATCC 25922, *S. marcescens* ATCC13880, and *S. aureus* ATCC43300 were evaluated under Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs effect at different concentrations (100, 500, 250, 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, and 0 μg/mL as control). These bacteria were grown in liquid LB medium until they reached the log phase [41]. In order to obtain the first point of optical density (OD$_{600nm}$), two different concentrations of 0.1 and 0.2 were determined by dilution of cell culture medium with fresh LB liquid medium. Different concentrations of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were added into the cell culture medium. Then, the culture medium was incubated at 37 °C and 250 rpm. Bacterial growth kinetics was evaluated by measuring OD at 600 nm (bacterial concentration) at interval each hour.

**Bacterial morphology analysis upon Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs treatment**

Morphology of bacteria upon Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs treatment was visualized firstly by phase contrast microscopy (OLYMPUS BX51, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan) using OLYMPUS-DP12 digital live camera and Q-capture pro7 software, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan, taking samples directly upon the cover-slide from stationary phase of growth kinetics. Then, effect Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs on *S. aureus* morphology was observed precisely by FE-SEM. In this way, 1 ml of bacterial cultures from the stationary phase were taken and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The pellet washed twice and suspended in 1X PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 1.47 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$). One drop of the suspended culture was put on glass slides following the fixing of bacteria by incubating overnight in 2.5% glutaraldehyde. About 1% tannic acid (C$_{76}$H$_{52}$O$_{46}$) was used for 5 min following dehydration by increasing concentration of ethanol (20, 40, 60, 80, and 100%). These samples were coated with gold or platinum for FE-SEM scanning analysis [42].

**Biofilm formation measurement**

Ninety-six-well polystyrene plates was for evaluating biofilm formation. Initially, overnight cultures of bacteria were adjusted to an OD$_{600nm}$ of 0.5 in LB medium and co-cultured by different concentrations (100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.12, and 0 μg/mL as control) of green synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs as treatments and without MNPs as control for 24 h at 37 °C without shaking. Bacterial growth was determined by the assessment of absorbance at OD$_{570nm}$ by UV-Vis spectroscopy. In order to remove planktonic bacteria and, plates were rinsed with water several times. Biofilms were stained with 350 μL of crystal violet (0.1%, v/v) for 30 min at 25 °C. Then, plates were emptied, washed with water, blotted onto tissue paper towels. Dried crystal violet was extracted with ethanol (95%, v/v), and total biofilm formation was then assayed at OD$_{570nm}$ [43]. All experiments were carried out as three replicate tests independently. In addition, results were presented as the averages plus standard deviations (SD) of three replicate cultures. The meaningful inhibition of biofilm was indicated by Tukey’s test ($p \leq 0.05$).

**Morphology analyses of biofilm by light microscopic and AFM**

Light microscopic and AFM were utilized to observe changes of biofilm morphology of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 under treatment by Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. In the case of observation by light microscopic; MRSA strains were cultured on small glass slides in 24-well microtitre plates with different concentrations of MNPs (100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.12, and 0 μg/mL as control) for 48 h. After this time, planktonic cells were eliminated and the biofilm was stained with crystal violet dye for 10 min followed by washing gently and then drying for 10 min. Afterward, the biofilm morphology was observed under light microscopy (40×) (OLYMPUS CX31 with camera model KECAM CMOS 10000 KPA) [44]. After approval of morphology changes of biofilm under various amounts of biosynthesized NPs stress via light microscopic, AFM analysis was applied to assess obviously these changes. In this way, the topography of biofilm structure was evaluated at higher concentration of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs (μg/mL) compared with control sample. Preparation of biofilm by *S. aureus* was carried out on silicone slides for 24h incubation at 37 °C followed by washing with PBS and air drying. Images were obtained at a resolution of 4.42 × 4.42 μm by non-contact AFM (Nanosurf Mobile S).

**FTIR analysis of biofilm**

Biological macromolecules including polysaccharides, proteins, and DNA are framework of biofilm structure which can be affected by MNPs stress [45]. Biofilm surfaces on the glass slides were analyzed from the point of macromolecular composition in two conditions of high concentration (100 μg/mL) of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs stress and without MNPs treatment as control.
for a period of 24 h. For this analysis, FTIR spectrometer was used with the reflectance mode of wavenumber over the range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹ (Bruker, Germany, model: ALPHA).

**Spreading assay of S. aureus**

This assay was carried out via the method of Kaito et al. with slight modification [46]. In this case, 3 g of Muller Hinton agar was used and 0.8 g of nutrient broth in 100 ml distilled water followed by autoclaving of solution. Afterward, filter sterilized 10% (w/v) D-glucose in distilled water was added to the final solution. Different concentrations of MNPs (3.12, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μg/mL as treatments) were co-incubated with 5 μL of S. aureus ATCC 43300 sample onto the center of plates for 48 h at 37°C. Finally, the spreading of bacteria was indicated on the culture medium.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS version 16 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was utilized to obtain one-way ANOVA (Tukey’s test) results of experiments. Furthermore, all tests were carried out in triplicates, means with standard deviation were measured and p ≤ .05 was determined statistically as the meaningful difference between samples and control. In order to determine the dose-response relation between the plant extracts and Fe₃O₄ NPs, regression analysis was applied. Furthermore, linear regression analysis was used to measure the correlation coefficient.

**Results and discussions**

**Physicochemical properties of NPs**

**Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy**

UV-visible absorbance spectroscopy is used to survey the NPs characterization such as concentration, size, aggregation, and bioconjugation [47]. The UV-Vis spectroscopy of A. haussknechtii leaf extract and biosynthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs at different concentrations of FeCl₂·4H₂O are demonstrated in Figure 1(a). The biosynthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs illustrated absorbance peaks in the range of 200–300 nm. However, aqueous leaf extract did not reveal any strong absorbance peak. Amount of absorbance for 0.1 M of FeCl₂·4H₂O was more than other concentrations [48]. The pure metal salt solutions and aqueous leaf extract of A. haussknechtii did not demonstrate any color changes which indicated no proof of MNPs synthesis. Formation of Fe₃O₄ NPs in A. haussknechtii leaf aqueous extract medium was indicated by color change from pale green to blackish (Figure 1(a)). Phytochemicals such as flavonoids and polyphenols of A. haussknechtii leaf extract can be confirmed by color change. This concentration (0.1 M) was selected to evaluate explicitly the effect of temperature parameter (4, 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65°C) on the rate of MNPs growth in a period of 7 h (Figure 1(b)). Similar to the previous study about Ag and Au NPs synthesis, there was a direct relationship between temperature and absorbance values [49].

**XRD analysis**

XRD analysis was applied for the determination of crystallinity and phase purity of Fe₃O₄ NPs. Comparison of the XRD patterns by aqueous extract of A. haussknechtii leaves and phytofabricated Fe₃O₄ NPs are demonstrated in Figure 2(a). There was not any certain peak for plant leaf extract. Unanimous
XRD patterns of leaf extract were reported by previous investigations [48,50]. Figure 2(b) illustrates diffraction peaks at \(2\theta = 31^\circ, 35^\circ, 42^\circ, 58^\circ, \text{and } 61^\circ\) for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs, which are indicated to the crystal planes of (200), (311), (400), (511), and (440), respectively. These peaks were similar to JCPDS file no: 00–003-0863 and dissimilar to JCPDS file no: 01–089-3850, which demonstrated the cubic structure and non-maghemite (\(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) form of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs [48].

Debye–Scherrer equation was used for estimation of the crystallite size of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs [51]. Debye–Scherrer equation is the relationship between crystallite size and X-ray diffraction peak broadening. This equation is shown as follows:

\[
d = \frac{k\lambda}{B_{\text{hkl}}\cos \theta_{\text{hkl}}}
\]

where \(d\) is the crystallite size of green synthesized Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs for \((hkl)\) phase, \(k\) is Scherrer constant (0.9), \(\lambda\) is the X-ray wavelength of radiation for Cu K\(\alpha\) (0.154 nm), \(B_{\text{hkl}}\) is the full-width at half maximum at \((hkl)\) peak in radian, and \(\theta_{\text{hkl}}\) is the diffraction angle for \((hkl)\) phase. Dependent upon atom density, each crystallographic facet contains energetically distinct sites. High atom density at (311) may be associated with high reactivity of these crystalline facets [52]. By the equation, the estimated crystallite size of synthesized Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs was 83.4 nm, which figured out to be high purity crystalline [53].

**FT-IR analysis**

FT-IR analysis was used due to the indication of possible molecules for capping and reducing of MNPs [54]. As seen in Figure 3, the absorbance peaks at 604.46 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1043.86 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to C–Cl and C–F stretching strong bands for alkyl halide compounds [55]. The peak at 1427.06 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to C–N stretching multiple bands of aromatic groups [56]. The intensity of the absorption bands at 1607.39 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3411.81 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond respectively to C=O (carbonyl) and N–H (amine) stretching bands [57]. Results of FT-IR analysis illustrated the attribution of different functional groups in the leaf extract including amine, carbonyl, polyphenols, and alkyl halide. Therefore, some polyphenols may be stabilizing of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs by attaching to the MNPs surfaces through interacting with free amine or carbonyl groups. Also, the absorption band at 1607.39 cm\(^{-1}\) confirms Fe–O stretches of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs [58].

**SEM images, EDAX, and AFM analysis**

As shown in Figure 4(a), the results of SEM images demonstrated the denderimer shape of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs with branched surfaces at nanometer magnifications. This structure may have resulted from chemical interactions such as hydrogen and electrostatic bonds between the organic capping agents of plant secondary metabolites and core of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs [59]. Diameter sizes of NPs were in the range of 1–150 nm by the maximum size between 120 and 130 nm (Figure 4(b)). Compared to similar reports, green synthesized Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs by Solanum trilobatum and Kappaphycus alvarezii extract had 18 and 14.7 nm size with spherical shape [48,60].

Elemental composition analysis of biosynthesized Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs was performed by energy-disperse X-ray spectroscopy (EDAX) method (Figure 4(c)). EDAX graph indicated elemental signal for iron with an intensity of 111.8, which is specific for the absorption of metallic iron nanocrystallites resulted from surface plasmon resonance. Also, signals were observed for Cl, O, Ca, K, and S elements respectively with intensity of 138.4, 53.1, 12.6, 6.5, and 3.2 with also elemental distribution (Figure 4(d)) which may be related to protein/enzymes presence in A. haussknechtii leaf extract [61].

Surface topography, size, structure, agglomeration, and height of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs were surveyed by AFM analyses (Figure 5). Various dimensions of images (Figure 5(a–f)) were utilized to detect clearly NPs. As illustrated in Figure 5(d), lower height of 2.73 nm and uniformity of size distribution were observed for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs. In this case, the previous study

![Figure 3. FT-IR spectrum peaks of leaf extract (a) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs (b) biosynthesized by A. haussknechtii.](image-url)
showed size distribution range 7–77 nm for green synthesized magnetic Fe3O4 NPs by fruit extract of Couroupita guianensis Aubl [62]. Therefore, as a comparative approach, our results showed reduction and uniformity of Fe3O4 NPs size.

**Antioxidant activities**

**Total contents of phenolic, flavonoid, flavonol, and tannin**

Standard curve of gallic acid was used for quantitative evaluation of total phenols. As shown in Figure 6(a), there is linearity curve of the calibration from 20 to 100 μg/mL concentration for gallic acid ($R^2 = 0.9987$). Table 1 illustrates the total phenolic content of the methanolic leaf extract and Fe3O4 NPs with 15.98 ± 1.30 and 3.22 ± 0.77 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g DW, respectively. In accordance with standard curve of rutin, measurement of total flavonoids was quantitatively performed and linearity of the calibration curve was obtained from 20 to 100 μg/mL amount for rutin ($R^2 = 0.9652$; Figure 6(b)). The leaf extract and Fe3O4, respectively showed total flavonoid content.
There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between total phenol and flavonoid content of leaf extract and Fe₃O₄ NPs. Total flavonoid content (TFC) around Fe₃O₄ NPs was 0.76 ± 0.27 mg per gram DW, while the leaf extract had a total flavonol content (TFC) of 0.76 ± 0.27 mg per gram DW. Also, as shown in Figure 6(c), total tannin amount was assessed as a standard curve of (+)-catechin and linearity of the calibration curve (R² = 0.967) was gained from 0 to 120 µg/mL concentration for (+)-catechin. Fe₃O₄ NPs and leaf extract, respectively showed total tannin content with 0.29 ± 0.19 and 2.36 ± 0.47 mg (+)-catechin equivalent (CE)/g DW (Table 1). Also, as observed in this table,
flavonoid/phenol ratio did not demonstrate a meaningful difference between Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and leaf extract.

**Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and DPPH assays**

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of plant leaf extract, Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, and ascorbic acid (control) was compared together by phosphomolybdenum method. Antioxidant activity of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs was increased by the augmentation of samples concentration. As presented in Figure 7(a), amounts of absorbance were 0.788 ± 0.033, 0.599 ± 0.041, and 0.382 ± 0.055, respectively for ascorbic acid, plant leaf extract, and Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs at higher concentrations (500 µg/mL). Therefore, the total antioxidant ability of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs was lower than ascorbic acid and plant leaf extract. In the case of DPPH assay, there were similar results (Figure 7(b)). Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, plant leaf extract, and ascorbic acid showed respectively 48.36%±6.35, 65.7%±4.83, and 97.39%±2.73 values of free radical scavenging DPPH ability. Similarly, there was a value of 55.84%±1.31 for DPPH ability at a higher test concentration of 100 µg/mL [63]. Compared to our previous study, these results illustrate less antioxidant activity of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs than Ag, Cu, and TiO$_2$ NPs [29].

**Antibacterial activities of Fe$_3$O$_4$NPs**

**Disk diffusion assay**

The antibacterial capacity of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs was evaluated firstly against three bacteria E. coli ATCC 25922, S. aureus ATCC 43300, and S. marcescens ATCC13880 by disk diffusion assay. Inhibition zone diameter (IZD) was observed as confirmation of antibacterial ability after incubation of the plates (Figure 8). This assay illustrated that higher concentration (0.1 M of FeCl$_2$.4H$_2$O) had maximum IZD value. E. coli bacterium

**Table 2. IZD Results for Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs effects on E. coli, S. aureus, and S. marcescens.**

| Bacterial strains | 0.1M       | 0.01M     | 0.001M |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| E. coli           | 12.16 ± 1.15 | 8 ± 1.5   | LIZ    |
| S. aureus         | 13.16 ± 0.76 | 10.33 ± 1.04 | 12.66 ± 2.08 |
| S. marcescens     | 13 ± 0.5   | 11.16 ± 0.76 | LIZ    |

(LIZ: Lack of inhibition zone).

![Figure 7. TAC (a) and DPPH free radical scavenging activity (b) of leaf extract and Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. Ascorbic acid solution was applied as standard.](image)

![Figure 8. Images showing zone inhibition values of different concentrations of FeCl$_2$.4H$_2$O (0.1, 0.01 and 0.001 M) against three bacteria strains: E. coli ATCC 25922 (a), S. aureus ATCC 43300 (b), and S. marcescens ATCC13880 (c).](image)
demonstrated $12.16 \pm 1.15$ and $8 \pm 1.5$ mm for concentrations of 0.1 and 0.01 M of FeCl$_2$4H$_2$O. Concentration of 0.001 had no clear inhibition zone. IZDs for S. aureus were $13.16 \pm 0.76$, $10.33 \pm 1.04$, and $12.66 \pm 2.08$ mm in the case of 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 M concentration, respectively. S. marcescens showed respectively inhibition diameter of $13 \pm 0.5$ and $11.16 \pm 0.76$ mm for 0.1 and 0.01 concentrations (Table 2). In addition, there was no clear antibacterial activity in the case of leaf extract of A. haussknechtii.

**Determination of minimum inhibitory/bactericidal concentration (MIC/MBC)**

MIC and MBC assays were applied for evaluating minimum bacteriostatic and minimum bactericidal concentrations.
of green synthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs [64]. In this regard, the effective amount of previous antibacterial test (0.1 M of FeCl₂·4H₂O) was used as a basic concentration to measure MIC assay. As shown in Figure 9, the MIC values of Fe₃O₄ NPs against MDR and sensitive bacteria were in the range of 12.5–50 µg/mL. The highest value of this assay was for E. coli and S. marcescens with 50 µg/mL. In contrast, S. aureus had the lowest amount of 12.5 µg/mL. In case of MBC, values ranges 50–100 µg/mL. MBC result for E. coli and S. marcescens was 100 µg/mL. Staphylococcus aureus showed lower concentration of MBC (50 µg/mL) than E. coli and S. marcescens. Therefore, it can be concluded based on these assays that E. coli and S. marcescens as gram-negative bacteria had more resistant than S. aureus as gram-positive bacteria [65]. In gram-negative bacteria, multidrug efflux pumps as membrane-located transporters make a major contribution to this intrinsic resistance [66]. Multidrug transporters in gramm-negative bacteria protect bacterial cells from the function of antibiotic agents on both sides of the cytoplasmic and outer membranes with the broad specific substrate [67].

Effect of Fe₃O₄ NPs on bacterial growth kinetic

Figure 10 illustrates that the bacterial growth curve decreased continually by augmentation of Fe₃O₄ NPs concentration. The bacterial growth was delayed and inhibited, respectively at low and higher concentration of Fe₃O₄ NPs [68]. Therefore, it may be a consequence from this graph that Fe₃O₄ NPs had bacteriostatic property at low concentration. However, by increasing concentration, the bactericidal effect of MNPs is dominant [69]. Agnihotri et al. reported that bacterial growth of E. coli MTCC443, B. subtilis MTCC 441, and S. aureus NCIM 5201 were decreased with increasing of Ag NPs amount [70]. Higher reduction in growth kinetics of E. coli ATCC 25922 and S. marcescens ATCC13880 was observed at Fe₃O₄ NPs concentrations with ≥50 µg/mL. Comparatively, in the case of S. aureus ATCC 43300, lower amounts of MNPs (≥12.5) had a striking effect on bacterial growth reduction. Also, there was an obvious relationship between initial concentrations of bacteria (0.1 and 0.2 OD) with the antibacterial activity of MNPs. At higher initial OD (0.2), needed amounts of the Fe₃O₄ NPs to inhibit bacterial growth were more than lower initial OD (0.1) [41].

As demonstrated in Figure 11, specific growth rate, \( \mu \), (OD₆₀₀nm/h) of three sensitive and MDR bacteria: (a) E. coli ATCC 25922, (b) S. marcescens ATCC13880, and (c) and S. aureus ATCC 43300 in the presence of MIC concentrations (50 µg/mL for E. coli and S. marcescens and 12.5 µg/mL for S. aureus) had negative rate after 3, 3, and 1 h, respectively. In contrast, there was no negative growth rate in control samples. Escherichia coli and S. marcescens had more resistance to Fe₃O₄ NPs than S. aureus. In this way, response difference of these bacteria can be caused by the difference in cell wall stability and growth rate of gram-negative (−) and gram-positive (+) bacteria under Fe₃O₄ NPs stress [71].

Bacterial morphology analysis upon Fe₃O₄ NPs treatment

Morphology changes of the sensitive strain of S. aureus ATCC 43300 (MRSA) in the presence of 12.5 µg/mL concentration of Fe₃O₄ NPs was observed by SEM images (Figure 12). As illustrated in Figure 12 (a–c), cell wall clumping, interaction of MNPs with the cell surface, and damaging of bacterial wall are indicating of antibacterial mechanisms of Fe₃O₄ NPs. It is worth noting that the presence of MNPs inside of bacteria is obvious from this assay (Figure 12(c)). In order to clear

![Figure 11](image-url) Specific growth rate, \( \mu \), (OD₆₀₀nm/h) of three multidrug resistance bacteria: (a) E. coli ATCC 25922, (b) S. marcescens ATCC13880, and (c) S. aureus ATCC 43300 in the presence of MIC concentrations (50 µg/mL for E. coli and S. marcescens and 12.5 µg/mL for S. aureus) and absence of Fe₃O₄ NPs (control).
illustration, Figure 10(d) shows a schematic image of several antibacterial mechanisms of Fe₃O₄ NPs against S. aureus.

**Biofilm formation assay**

As shown in Figure 13, biosynthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs had antibiofilm effects on E. coli, S. aureus, and S. marcescens bacteria at all concentrations (3.12, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μg/mL) than control samples. Antibiofilm ability was increased with rising MNP concentrations for all bacteria. Higher resistance was observed for the case of E. coli than other bacteria. In contrast, MRSA bacteria demonstrated higher sensitivity with 0.638 ± 0.048 value at 100 μg/mL concentration (p < .05). Furthermore, S. marcescens had a meaningful reduction in biofilm biomass than control samples in 100 μg/mL concentration (0.731 ± 0.061 (p < .05)). At control, 1.302 ± 0.044, 1.636 ± 0.073, and 1.542 ± 0.062 values were observed, respectively for E. coli, S. aureus, and S. marcescens. Reduction percentages at 100 μg/mL concentration compared to control samples were 39, 61, and 53% in the case of E. coli, S. aureus, and S. marcescens, respectively. It can be concluded that antibiofilm activities of Fe₃O₄ NPs were in the sequence of S. aureus > S. marcescens > E. coli.

**AFM and morphology analyses of biofilm**

Biofilm morphology changes of S. aureus stain under Fe₃O₄ NPs stress was evaluated by a light microscope at a magnification of 40× (Figure 14). As illustrated in these images, the reduction of biofilm structure was enhanced by the rising concentration of Fe₃O₄ NPs. Similar to biofilm formation assay, higher antibiofilm activity (66% reduction) was observed at 100 μg/mL of Fe₃O₄ NPs. Therefore, the results of these two methods showed obviously antibiofilm effects of
Fe₃O₄ NPs at the higher concentrations. Changes in biofilm architecture were illustrated at 10 µL phosphatidylcholine-decorated Au NPs at 0.116 mg/mL concentration for 24 h incubation against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PAO1) [72]. Also, biofilm formation of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* was assessed at the presence of biosynthesized silver NPs in 5, 10, and 15 µg/mL concentrations for incubation of 48 h. In this regard, more reduction was viewed at 15 µg/mL amount of Ag NPs [73].

AFM images of biofilm formation by *S. aureus* under high concentrations of biological synthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs (as treatment) and free-NPs (as control) are presented in Figure 15. As evident from Figure 15(a–c), biofilm roughness is lower in treatment (10.666 nm) compared to control (Figure 15(d–f)) by a value of 45.955 nm. In addition, there were pores in treatment biofilm resulted from biofilm damage by Fe₃O₄ NPs stress. The inhibition and disturbing of biofilm structure of *S. aureus* under Fe₃O₄ NPs treatments were approximately similar to the results of light microscopic and AFM analysis. Similarly, reduction in roughness values as 12–36% and 40–60% has been reported, respectively for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* in presence of biosynthesized Ag and Au NPs [49].

**FT-IR analysis of biofilm**

Polymers involving polysaccharides, protein, and nucleic acids are essential macromolecules in biofilm formation. FT-IR spectra of biofilm formation by *S. aureus* on a glass slide in two conditions of Fe₃O₄ NPs stress and NPs-free as control were compared due to analyzing chemical composition changes of biofilms after 24 h period (Figure 16). Results showed peaks of 1114.46 cm⁻¹ and 1112.57 cm⁻¹, respectively for control and treatment which can be the presence of sign of nucleic acids and polysaccharides macromolecules. Peaks at 1655.16 cm⁻¹ and 1655.36 cm⁻¹ illustrate the presence of proteins [74]. In comparison, the treatment showed reduction and increasing of peak intensity at 621.14 cm⁻¹ (C–Cl stretching bond) and 2363.91 cm⁻¹ (C≡C bond), respectively.

**Spreading assay of Staphylococcus aureus**

Colony expansion of *S. aureus* on soft agar was investigated previously in particular conditions as finger-like dendrites [46]. As illustrated in Figure 17, motility ability of *S. aureus* was determined by special assay of spreading upon Fe₃O₄ NPs stress in various concentrations (3.12, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 µg/mL). Compared to a clear pattern of bacterial colonies as finger-like dendrites in control sample and colonies under lower concentrations of Fe₃O₄ NPs (Figure 17(a–g)), there was a decreasing pattern of colonies expansion by increasing MNPs amounts. These results indicate the sensitivity of *S. aureus* colony under NPs stress, which is the
antibacterial advantage of these MNPs. In fact, due to the dependence of virulence, tissue colonization, and biofilm formation of *S. aureus* on colony spreading in initial stages of bacteria growth, infections related to this strain can be blocked by Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs [75].

Surface topography, size, structure, agglomeration, and height of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were surveyed by AFM analyses (Figure 5). Various dimensions of images (Figure 5(a–f)) were used to detect clearly NPs. As illustrated in Figure 5(d), lower height of 2.73 nm and uniformity of size distribution were observed for Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. In this case, the previous study showed size distribution range 7–77 nm for green synthesized magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs by fruit extract of *Couroupita guianensis* Aubl [62].

In this regard, there are reports of the highest content of total phenolic (194.56 ± 3.15 mg GAE/g DW) and flavonoid (283.33 ± 7.18 mg RE/g DW) for fruit extract compared with green synthesized silver NPs (by *Nothapodytes nimmoniana* fruit extract) with 89.09 ± 4.97 mg GAE/g DW and 161.26 ± 2.02 mg RE/g DW values, respectively [76]. Phenolic compounds act as antioxidants via their redox properties [77]. The total phenolic concentration could be applied as rapid screening of antioxidant activities. Plant secondary metabolites such as flavonoids, including flavones, flavanols, and condensed tannins have the antioxidant abilities on the basis of the presence of free hydroxyl functional groups, which they can reduce metal ions to NPs [78]. In this way, the metal ions including iron and copper ions bind to the various reducing/stabilizing flavonoids [79].

Several studies have reported antibacterial effects of MNPs and metal oxide NPs on gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria [80,81]. The efficiency of these antibacterial agents may be related to the cell wall and membrane difference of bacteria. Gram-positive bacteria have a thick cell wall (about 20–80 nm) compared to gram-negative with a thin layer of peptidoglycan (about 7–8 nm) and two cell membranes.

![Figure 15. Topography images in 2-D and (a, b, d, and e) in 3-D (c and f) illustrate higher roughness in control sample than treatment (d, e, and f) having disrupted structure of biofilm having pores. Photographs were taken at a resolution of 4.42 × 4.42 μm. Values of biofilm roughness for untreated *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 as control and treated by Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs as treatment (g).](image-url)
It is worth mentioning that MNPs with a size range of 8–80 nm can penetrate the cell wall [83]. Antibacterial activities of Fe₃O₄ NPs were demonstrated by several studies [68,84]. It was reported that these MNPs can cause damaging of E. coli membranes by diffusion of the tiny particles ranging from 10 to 80 nm [85]. Zero-valent iron NPs interact with intracellular oxygen and cause disturbing the cell membrane by the production of oxidative stress [86]. Green synthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs by fruit extract of Couroupita guianensis Aubl. had more antibacterial activity on gram-negative bacteria K. pneumoniae MTCC 530, E. coli MTCC 2939, and S. typhi MTCC 3917 than gram-positive bacterium S. aureus MTCC 96 [62]. In this case, the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) as an antibacterial factor may result from MNPs unique properties. Also, CuO and Ag NPs have demonstrated that antibacterial activities can be raised by diameter size reduction of MNPs [80,87].

Based on cell wall characteristics including the thickness of peptidoglycan wall and number of the membrane, gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria are different. In this case, gram-negative bacteria with the cell wall including a thin layer of peptidoglycan, an outer bilayer membrane (lipopolysaccharides and proteins) and inner membrane are more complex than gram-positive bacteria by having only a thick layer of peptidoglycan cell wall and plasma membrane [88].

In this regard, growth kinetic comparison of E. coli and B. subtilis (gram-positive) under different concentrations of iron oxide NPs showed higher growth inhibition for B. subtilis than E. coli [68]. Also, the core-shell Fe₃O₄@C-PVPS:PEDOT NPs (iron oxide NPs coated by catechol-conjugated poly (vinylpyrrolidone) sulfobetaines and encapsulated with poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)) illustrated a high antibacterial impact on S. aureus and E. coli [89].

Changes of bacterial morphology were proved by the impact of MgO NPs on S. enteritidis, E. coli O157:H7, and C. jejuni bacteria in the late-log phase of growth [81]. These alterations in morphology were involved in changing shape from spiral to coccoid and producing deep craters in the bacterial membrane. Cell wall clumping, membrane blebs, and rupture were observed in E. coli MTCC 443 at the stationary phase of growth kinetics upon treatment by ZnO NPs with a diameter range of 25–45 nm [90]. Toxicity of MNPs against bacteria can be related to several parameters involving the type of bacteria, physicochemical properties of MNPs such as the large surface area to volume (SA:V ratio), and chemical and biological functionalization of MNPs surface [91]. In this study, secondary...
metabolites of *A. haussknechtii* leaf aqueous extract such as phenol and flavonoids can influence on this property [92].

Antibiofilm properties of green synthesized MNPs were reported by other studies [93,94]. Synergism effect of chemical synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs with antibiotics of streptomycin, vancomycin, and penicillin was estimated against biofilm formation of *Enterococcus faecalis* pathogen. Result of this study did not approve great antibiofilm activity of magnetic NPs with high concentration (1688–16988 μg/mL) [95]. *Pseudomonas aureuginosa* and *S. aureus* exhibited biofilm reduction from 125 and 250 μg/mL to 1000 μg/mL amounts of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, respectively [96]. Incubation of *E. coli* ATCC 15224 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 for 72 h at 37 °C on glass and silicon surface with Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs coating showed a meaningful reduction in these bacteria [97]. Unique properties of MNPs including large surface to volume ratio augment reactivity of MNPs with surrounding materials. In this way, the generation of reactive oxygen species (O$_2^*$, OH*, and H$_2$O$_2$) resulted from MNPs which can disrupt the biofilm structure of bacteria [98]. Also, the shape of MNPs can be an efficient factor in biofilms and bacteria damage [72].

**Conclusions**

In summary, antioxidant, antiplanktonic, antibiofilm, and antimotility capacities of biosynthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs by leaf aqueous extract of medicinal plant *A. haussknechtii* on three MSR bacteria *E. coli* ATCC 25922, *S. marcescens* ATCC13880 and *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 were surveyed as ecofriendly and efficient approach (Figure 18). There are several reports in the case of antibacterial activities of MNPs, but it has been paid less attention about antibiofilm and antimotility aspects of MNPs, specifically biosynthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs. This study introduced a novel shape of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs with the one-pot method of synthesis. In addition, roles of secondary metabolites involving flavonoids, flavonols, and phenols (with having phenolic–OH groups) as reducing and stabilizing agents of iron ions (Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$) were demonstrated in this investigation. Changing of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 strain morphology were indicated as an important facet of antibacterial activities of this type of MNPs. Finally, complementary investigations are needed about the effects of secondary metabolites on MNPs green synthesis and details related to antibacterial and antibiofilm properties of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs.
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