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Abstract
We have previously shown that small B16 melanomas can be successfully treated using a combination of anti–cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen (CTLA)-4 monoclonal antibody with a granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) producing irradiated tumor cell vaccine. Regression of tumors results in long-lasting immunity and is frequently accompanied by autoimmune depigmentation. Here we examine the cellular and molecular mechanisms of this combined treatment. Histological examination of depigmented lesions revealed infiltration of polymorphonuclear cells and deposition of antibody. The combination therapy also induced tumor rejection and skin depigmentation in B cell–deficient and in CD4+/H11001 T cell–depleted mice. Both effects of the treatment absolutely required CD8+/H11001 T cells. Analysis of the response in successfully treated mice revealed elevated levels of CD8+/H11001 T cells specific for a nonameric peptide consisting of residues 180–188 of the melanocyte differentiation antigen tyrosinase-related protein (TRP)2. There was no evidence of reactivity to the melanocyte antigens gp100, tyrosinase, Mart1/MelanA, or TRP1. Fas–FasL interactions and perforin played a role in mounting the effector response, whereas the tumor necrosis factor pathway was not required. The cellular requirements for tumor rejection in this therapeutic setting were strikingly different from those in a prophylactic setting. In particular, if mice received a prophylactic vaccine consisting of anti–CTLA-4 and B16–GM-CSF before tumor challenge, full protection was obtained even in the absence of CD8+/H11001 T cells. Our data demonstrate that therapeutic autoreactive CD8+/H11001 T cell responses can effectively be generated in tumor-bearing mice and stresses the value of studying tumor immunity in a therapeutic rather than a prophylactic setting.
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Introduction
In the past decade, a wealth of tumor-associated antigens have been identified that provide targets for CTL and CD4+/H11001 T cells in cancer patients (for reviews, see references 1 and 2). Human melanoma has provided a paradigm in
in this respect, demonstrating that such tumor antigens can be derived from tissue-specific self-antigens, from tumor-specific mutated proteins, or from aberrantly expressed proteins that normally function only during embryonic development. Depigmentation or vitiligo (spontaneous or treatment related) appears to correlate with favorable prognosis and successful rejection of metastatic melanoma, indicating that self-antigens might play a role in antitumor responses (3,4). Melanocyte proteins involved in pigment synthesis have frequently been shown to be targets for CTLs, CD4+ T cells, and antibodies in melanoma patients or in healthy donors. In vitiligo and melanoma patients, tumor-associated and potentially autoimmune T cell–mediated reactivity can be linked directly to destruction of pigmented cells in the skin (5, 6). As these pigmentation antigens are expressed by most melanomas even in advanced stages, they are considered to be good candidate targets for specific immunotherapy, provided that damage to healthy tissue is limited. Importantly, immunological tolerance to these melanocyte antigens is clearly incomplete to healthy tissue is limited. A satisfactory animal model for melanoma treatment related (rad) GM-CSF–producing cells (in PBS) subcutaneously into the ear. Depletions were done as described earlier, starting a week before tumor challenge. Depletions were performed as described previously (13). Briefly, mice were challenged subcutaneously with 1–2 × 10^6 B16-BL6 cells in PBS. At the same day or later as indicated, treatment was initiated by injecting 10^6 irradiated (16,000 rad) GM-CSF–producing cells (in PBS) subcutaneously into the left flank, and repeated 3 and 6 d later. The vaccine consisted of a 1:1 mixture of clones BL6/GM-E and BL6/GM-18. Treatment with 9H10 or control hamster IgG started 3 d later. Antibodies were delivered intraperitoneally at 100 μg in PBS, followed by two injections of 50 μg 3 and 6 d later. Tumor growth was scored by measuring perpendicular diameters. Mice were killed when the tumors displayed severe ulceration or reached a size of 250 mm^3. Depletion of lymphoid subsets was done as described earlier, starting a week before tumor challenge. Depletions were maintained for at least 3 wk by weekly injecting the appropriate

### Materials and Methods

**Mice.** C57Bl/6 female mice, B cell–deficient (from The Jackson Laboratory), MHC class I (H-2^b^), and class II–deficient mice (H-2^K^; Taconic) as well as perforin-deficient, TNF-α knockout, gld, and lpr mice (all bred into the C57Bl/6 background) were maintained and treated in accordance with institute guidelines. Mice were used for tumor experiments when 8–16 wk old.

**Antibodies and Cell Lines.** Generation and purification of anti–CTLA-4 (9H10) has been described previously (17). Control hamster IgG, control rat IgG, and control mouse IgG were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories. Anti–HLA–DR, anti–HLA–DR, anti–CD4 (OKT4), anti–CD8 (OKT8), and anti–CD8 (H-2K^b^) were isolated from hybridoma supernatants, or grown as ascites by standard procedures. Antisera specific for TRP-1 (TAA99) and TRP-2 (aaPEP8) were generously provided by Alan Houghton (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Institute, New York, NY) and Vincent Hearing (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). The C57Bl/6–derived tumor cell lines B16-BL6, B16-F10, B16-F10 (obtained from I. Fidler, M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX), EL-4, MC38, RMA-S, as well as the immortalized dendritic cell line DC2.4 (18) were cultured in DMEM or IMDM supplemented with 1 U/ml penicillin, 1 μg/ml streptomycin, 50 μg/ml gentamycin, 2 μM 1-glutamine, 20 μM β-mercaptoethanol, and 8% fetal calf serum (hereafter referred to as CM). GM-CSF–producing B16-BL6 clones BL6/GM-E, BL6/GM-18, and the CD80–expressing variant B16-BL6/B7.1 (13) were similarly cultured in CM.

**Subcutaneous tumor challenge.** Subcutaneous tumor challenge and treatment experiments were performed as described previously (13). Briefly, mice were challenged subcutaneously with 1–2 × 10^6 B16-BL6 cells in PBS. At the same day or later as indicated, treatment was initiated by injecting 10^6 irradiated (16,000 rad) GM-CSF–producing cells (in PBS) subcutaneously into the left flank, and repeated 3 and 6 d later. The vaccine consisted of a 1:1 mixture of clones BL6/GM-E and BL6/GM-18. Treatment with 9H10 or control hamster IgG started 3 d later. Antibodies were delivered intraperitoneally at 100 μg in PBS, followed by two injections of 50 μg 3 and 6 d later. Tumor growth was scored by measuring perpendicular diameters. Mice were killed when the tumors displayed severe ulceration or reached a size of 250 mm^3. Depletion of lymphoid subsets was done as described earlier, starting a week before tumor challenge. Depletions were maintained for at least 3 wk by weekly injecting the appropriate

---

*a Abbreviations used in this paper: aa, amino acid(s); PI, propidium iodide; TRP, tryrosinase-related protein.*
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antibodies. Prophylactic experiments were done as follows. Mice were injected by injecting 10^6 irradiated GM-CSF-producing B16-BL6 cells subcutaneously on days −12, −9, and −6, in combination with anti–CTLA-4 given on days −9, −6, and −3 (100, 50, and 50 μg per mouse). On day 0, mice were challenged with B16-BL6. Depletions of lymphoid subsets in the prophylactic model were started at day −3 by three daily injections of 500 μg of depleting antibody, and maintained for 3 wk by biweekly administration of antibody.

Generation of T Cell Cultures from Spleen and IFN-γ Release Assays. Spleens were harvested from mice rejecting B16-BL6 and restimulated in vitro with B16-BL6/B7.1 or a mixture of B16-F0 and the dendritic cell line DC2.4 after overnight coculture. 5 × 10^6 spleen cells were mixed with 10^5 irradiated (16,000 rad) stimulator cells and recombinant human IL-2 was added to a final concentration of 30 IU/ml. After 7 d, cells were collected and purified by Histopaque gradient centrifugation. Live cells (2.5 × 10^6 per well) were stimulated with target cells (5 × 10^4 per well) in 96-well round-bottom plates for 24 h, after which supernatant was collected and tested for the presence of IFN-γ by sandwich ELISA (BD PharMingen). As target cells, several variants derived from parental line B16-F0, as well as the nonpigmented colon tumor line MC38 were used. MC38 cells were transduced as described earlier (19) with recombinant vaccinia virus (VV) expressing murine Pmel-17/gp100, Mart-1/Melan-A, tyrosinase, gp75/TRP-1, TRP-2, or B-galactosidase to serve as targets in a cytokine release assay. As a control, wild-type vaccinia (VVwt) was used in each experiment. Pep- tide pulsed targets were prepared by washing MC38 cells threefold in serum-free media, and incubating up to 2 × 10^6 cells per ml with 10 μg/ml peptide, for 90 min at 37°C. Unbound peptide was washed away by three washes with serum-free media, after which cells were diluted in CM and dispensed into 96-well plates for the cytokine release assays.

Peptide Selection, Synthesis, and Testing. Peptides were selected from the murine TRP-2 protein sequence based on the published motifs for binding to H-2K^b or H-2D^d. For H-2K^b, both 8- and 9-mer sequences were included, whereas for H-2D^d this included 9- and 10-mer sequences. Peptides were synthesized by standard Fmoc chemistry, and purity was checked by HPLC. Fractions routinely contained >95% of the expected sequence. Peptides were dissolved in DMSO at 50 mg/ml, and diluted into PBS for binding assays and peptide pulsing onto MC38 or RMA-S cells. Peptide binding to H-2K^b and H-2D^d was determined as follows. RMA-S cells, precultured at 26°C for 48 h, were washed three times in serum-free media and incubated with peptide at 26°C for 2 h, followed by 2 h at 37°C. Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS/0.5% BSA, stained for expression of H-2K^b and H-2D^d, and analyzed on a FACScan™ (Becton Dickinson).

Tetramer Staining. TRP-2 180–188/K^b tetramers labeled with allopurinocyanin (APC) were a gift from Ton Schumacher and John Haanen (Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands). Blood leukocytes were collected in heparin coated tubes and erythrocytes were lysed using standard procedures. Remaining leukocytes were washed and incubated with FITC-labeled CD8^α-specific antibodies and APC-labeled tetramers in PBS/1% BSA, and after two washes in PBS/1% BSA analyzed in PBS/1% BSA/1 μg/ml propidium iodide (PI). PI-negative cells were gated for the lymphocyte population and further analyzed.

Histology. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections were prepared from the depigmenting skin and control skin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Alternately, sections were deparaffinized and reacted with biotinylated anti–mouse IgG/IgM antibody followed by streptavidin-peroxidase, and the reaction visualized with diaminobenzidine (brown precipitate). Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Results

Lack of Functional B Cell Responses Does Not Impair B16 Treatment or Depigmentation. Both tumor rejection and depigmentation occurred in mice bearing B16 tumors after combination therapy with anti–CTLA-4 and GM-CSF B16 vaccine, even in the absence of CD4+ T cells (13). This suggested that neither CD4 T cell help, nor by extension antibody responses, were required for either effect. We examined the depigmented skin of successfully treated mice for hallmarks of autoimmune. Polymorphonuclear cells were clearly detected infiltrating the dermis concentrating around the hair follicles (Fig. 1). Also, pigmented granules were found deposited around the hair follicles and Ig deposits were detected (Fig. 1). These hallmarks of autoimmune response were absent from nonaffected skin in these depigmented mice and in control animals. Antibody responses directed against melanocyte antigens such as TRP-1/gp75 were shown previously to be involved in depigmentation in humans and in mice (20, 21). However, we were unable to detect antibodies against TRP1/gp75 or other B16 specific proteins by staining intact or permeabilized cells using sera collected from depigmented mice (data not shown). As a definitive determination of the role of B cells in tumor rejection and depigmentation using our combination therapy of B16, we attempted to treat B16 tumors in B cell knockout (μMT) mice bred onto the C57Bl/6 background. At the usual tumor dose of 10^4 cells per mouse, B cell–deficient mice displayed ~75% tumor take (Fig. 2 A). The reduced tumor take compared with wild-type mice is consistent with earlier studies showing that B cell–deficient mice displayed enhanced immunity to several transplantable tumor cell lines (22). All B6,μMT mice receiving combination therapy rejected their tumors and most of these (6 of 9) displayed signs of depigmentation within 4 wk after challenge (Fig. 2 B). These results demonstrate that B cells are not required to initiate or maintain immunity against B16 or normal melanocytes in this model and further suggest that the antibody deposition detected in the skin of depigmented wild-type mice may be a secondary effect of the autoimmune response.

Role of Fas, Perforin, and TNF-dependent Pathways of Killing in Mounting a Productive Immune Response to B16. The perforin, Fas/Fas-L, or TNF–α–dependent pathways of killing have all been implicated in controlling tumor outgrowth (23–25). To delineate the relative roles of these pathways in the combination therapy of B16, we tested our combination B16 vaccine protocol in mice deficient in perforin, TNF–α, Fas (lpr), or Fas-L (gld) functions bred into the B6 background. The results are presented in Table 1. In the absence of treatment all mice developed B16 tumors appearing macroscopically between days 10 and 12 and growing at comparable rates. The incidence and rate of tumor growth in lpr or gld receiving the combination ther-
apy was similar to untreated controls, demonstrating that functional Fas/Fas-L interactions are necessary for the therapeutic effects of the treatment. In the absence of perforin, B16 tumor outgrowth was delayed by the combination therapy, but all of the mice eventually succumbed to tumor. In contrast, all TNF-α-deficient mice rejected their tumors upon combination treatment, and 4 of the 7 survivors developed autoimmune depigmentation, demonstrating that this pathway of killing is not required for therapeutic effect. These results underscore the importance of both Fas/FasL interactions and perforin for obtaining tumor rejection in our B16 model of combination therapy.

**Definition of TRP-2_180–188 as a T Cell Target in Mice Receiving Combination Treatment.** Depletion studies had indicated that successful treatment of B16 by the combination therapy required CD8+ T cells (13; see Fig. 5). As most of the surviving mice developed depigmentation, we reasoned that melanocyte antigens involved in pigment synthesis could be serving as targets for cytotoxic T cells. We generated short-term T cell cultures by stimulating spleen cells with a mixture of a syngeneic dendritic cell line DC2.4 and B16 (cocultivated for 24 h). These cultures were tested for reactivity against syngeneic MC38 cells that had been transduced with rVV expressing each of the aforemen-
tioned melanocyte antigens. T cell cultures from mice rejecting B16-BL6 subcutaneous tumor challenge (mouse 12K54), or B16-F10 lung metastases (mouse 44C1; see, for example, Fig. 4 in reference 13), displayed a restricted reactivity toward the TRP-2 antigen (Fig. 3).

The murine TRP-2 protein sequence was scanned for potential Kb or Db binding peptides. Over 60 peptides (8 to 10 amino acids [aa]) were synthesized and tested for binding to Kb or Db on RMA-S cells (Table II). Peptides were pulsed onto RMA-S cells and tested for the ability to stimulate splenic T cells from depigmented mice that had rejected B16 tumors. Strong stimulation was found only with a single peptide comprised of aa 180 to 188 of TRP-2, SVYDFFVWL (Table II), a nonamer variant of a previously identified TRP-2–derived B16 epitope (26). Interestingly, this variant had been shown to bind to H-2Kb with much higher affinity than the 8-mer minimal epitope, which may explain why we only detected reactivity against the nonamer (27). Mice rejecting established B16 tumors upon combination treatment were evaluated for the presence of TRP-2–specific CD8+ T cells in peripheral blood samples using TRP-2180–188/Kb tetramers. As these cells were not detected in significant numbers (<0.2%) in untreated mice, this implies that the combination treatment of CTLA-4 blocking antibody plus GM-CSF producing whole cell vaccine was responsible for inducing this reactivity.

Differential Requirements for Lymphocyte Subsets in Prophylactic versus Therapeutic B16 Model. In our combination therapy model, CD8+ and NK1.1+ cells were required for successful treatment of B16 melanoma (13). Previous studies using the GM-CSF vaccine alone had clearly demonstrated a requirement for CD4+ T cells and Th2 cytokines for protection against subsequent challenge with B16 cells (16). CD8+ cells were shown to contribute to the response, but were not essential. As these two studies differed not only in the use of anti–CTLA-4 but also in the setting of the treatments, we compared the requirements for CD4+CD8+ cells and NK1.1+ cells in prophylactic and therapeutic application of the combination treatment protocol.

Confirming our previous findings in the therapeutic setting, depletion of CD8+ and NK1.1+ cells hampered rejection of small established tumors (Fig. 5 B). CD4+ T cells were not required or either tumor rejection or depigmentation. In fact, the data suggest that the combination therapy might be slightly more effective in inducing tumor rejection and depigmentation when CD4+ T cells are not present. This suggests that CD4+ T cells might play a role in inhibiting the antitumor response. This possibility has been examined in another study (27a).

In contrast to therapy, in the prophylactic setting full protection to subsequent challenge was conferred by the combination treatment in the absence of either CD4, CD8, or NK1.1+ cells at the time of B16 challenge (Fig. 5 A). Thus, CTLA-4 blockade in combination with the prophylactic application of the GM-CSF vaccine allows the generation of an effective antitumor response that is not dependent on any single lymphocyte subset, including CD4+ T
Interestingly, despite the fact that the CD8\(^+\) T cell subset was not required for tumor rejection in the prophylactic setting, CD8\(^+\) T cells were essential for depigmentation. This showed that in prophylaxis, in contrast to therapy, effective tumor immunity could be generated without subsequent autoimmune.

### Table II. TRP-2\(_{180-188}\) Encodes the Optimal Epitope Recognized by T Cells Derived from Treated Mice

| aa (TRP-2) | Sequence       | Motif\(^a\) | Kb  | Db  | Recognition\(^c\) (IFN-\(\gamma\) ng/ml) |
|------------|----------------|-------------|-----|-----|---------------------------------------------|
| 180        | SVYDFFVWL      | Kb + -      | 73.4|     |                                             |
| 181        | VYDFFVWL       | Kb + -      | 11.3|     |                                             |
| 185        | FVLHYYYSV      | Kb + -      | 10.3|     |                                             |
| 186        | VVLHYYSV       | Kb + + + +  | 1.3 |     |                                             |
| OVA8       |                 |             |     |     |                                             |

The data shown are only for the Kb binding peptides relevant to the actual epitope that stimulate T cells from the immunized mice. The data concerning the other Kb and Db peptides can be found on the lab website: http://mcb.Berkeley.edu/labs/allison.

\(^a\)Peptides were selected from the murine TRP-2 sequence using the motif searches at the following internet address: http://bimas.dccn.nih.gov/molbio/hla_bind/ (39), and compared to results obtained from an in-house motif search program. Peptide sequences containing at least one anchor and scoring high in both programs were selected for synthesis and further analysis.

\(^b\)Binding to H-2K\(^b\) or H-2D\(^b\) was determined by incubating RMA-S cells with a peptide dilution range (100-0.1 \(\mu g/ml\)) and detection by D\(^b\)- or Kb-specific antibodies. Binding was scored as follows: no binding (--), significant binding at 10 \(\mu g/ml\) (+), at 1 \(\mu g/ml\) (++), or at 0.1 \(\mu g/ml\) (+++).

\(^c\)Peptides were pulsed onto RMA-S cells and recognition was tested by determining IFN-\(\gamma\) release in short-term splenic T cell cultures (see, for example, Fig. 4).

### Discussion

**CD8\(^+\) T Cells, but Not B Cells or CD4\(^+\) T Cells, Are Required for Tumor Rejection and Associated Autoimmunity after Combination Therapy.** The results presented here confirm our earlier report that CD4\(^+\) T cells are not required for successful therapy of B16 using the B16–GM-CSF and anti–CTLA-4 combination therapy and, in addition, demonstrate that B cells are also dispensable for both tumor rejection and depigmentation. These findings are of interest for several reasons. One concerns the mechanism of depigmentation. The fact that tumor rejection was followed by autoimmune depigmentation suggests that a major component of the immune response was directed against antigen(s) shared between the tumor, vaccine, and normal melanocytes. Several previous studies have implicated antibody responses to pigmentation antigens as playing a central causal role in vitiligo or melanoma-associated hypopigmentation (20, 21, 28–31). Although we detected
the presence of Ig deposits in the depigmented skins of surviving wild-type B6 mice, we did not find evidence of specific B cell responses toward pigmentation antigens expressed by B16. Our findings do not rule out the possibility that the antibody deposited on depigmentation lesions in wild-type mice is secondary to the antitumor and autoimmune response and is the consequence of a process similar to intermolecular epitope spreading. However, the fact that B cell knockout mice (Fig. 2) and MHC class II−/− mice (unpublished data) develop depigmentation after receiving combination therapy and rejecting tumor clearly demonstrates that antibody is not required for either effect. This, together with the demonstration that CD8+ T cells are absolutely required for depigmentation in either the therapeutic or prophylactic settings in our system, indicates that this effect involves recognition of MHC class I–restricted peptides present in or derived from normal melanocytes.

A second important point made by the data is that there is no requirement for CD4+ T cell help in the induction of either tumor rejection or depigmentation in the combination therapy. The basis for this is not clear, but may reflect an effect of CTLA–4 on the threshold of costimulation needed for activation of naive CD8+ T cells. It is tempting to speculate that in this system, blockade of CTLA–4/B7 interactions allows effective activation and expansion of CD8+ T cells even by dendritic cells that have not been licensed by activated CD4+ T cells (32–34). This possibility is supported by a previous report that CTLA–4 blockade resulted in the enhancement of CD8+ T cell responses to peptide independently of CD4+ T cell help (35). Whatever the basis for the effect, it is clear that the addition of anti–CTLA–4 antibody frees the GM-CSF–transduced tumor cell vaccine from an absolute dependence on CD4+ T cells (16).

A third point that emerges from the data is that tumor rejection requires both the perforin and the Fas pathways of killing (Table I). The importance of Fas in immune control of tumors has only been recognized recently (23, 36, 37) and was confirmed in our therapy model. In both lpr and gld mice displayed impaired therapeutic responses in our treatment model, Fas/FasL interactions may primarily be required during the generation of an effective immune response. From these data we cannot rule out the possibility that Fas/FasL interactions are also involved in direct effector mechanisms controlling tumor outgrowth. In perforin–deficient mice, B16 tumors initially displayed delayed outgrowth after combination treatment. Ultimately, however, all the mice succumbed to progressive B16 tumors. This suggests that the first wave of immune effector cells might not depend on perforin for their immune control of tumor growth, whereas ultimately both Fas/FasL and perforin dependent lytic pathways are required for tumor rejection (possibly involving both T cells and NK cells).

CD8+ T Cell Response toward TRP-2180–188 Generated by Combination Therapy. As both antitumor and autoimmune responses were dependent on the presence of CD8+ cells (e.g. Fig. 5), we sought to identify the antigenic target(s) of this response. In studies on CTL reactivity against human melanoma, several candidate antigens were identified, including tyrosinase, Pmel-17/gp100, Melan-A/Mart-1, TRP-1, and TRP-2. In response to combination therapy, a T cell population directed to TRP-2 is specifically activated and expanded in our model (Figs. 3 and 4). Mice surviving for up to 18 mo after the initial subcutaneous or intravenous tumor challenge only reacted against TRP-2 of the melanoma differentiation antigens tested. It is unlikely that this would reflect CD4 T cell reactivity against the TRP-2–derived nonamer, as the vaccinia-infected or peptide-pulsed target cells (MC38 or RMA-S) are MHC class II negative. The murine TRP-2181–188 epitope, VYDFFVWL, presented by H-2Kb was previously characterized as a B16 tumor rejection antigen (26). In our system, only minimal reactivity against the octamer epitope was found. Instead, we found potent reactivity toward a nonamer variant of this epitope SVYDFFVWL (TRP-2180–188). This result is consistent with the idea that the nonamer epitope binds much better to H-2Kb than the 8-mer epitope (27).

Combination Therapy Evokes Different Responses in Prophylactic or Therapeutic Setting. Applying combination treatment in mice depleted of different lymphoid populations, we compared the requirements for successful B16 rejection in a prophylactic setting and a therapeutic setting. In the therapeutic setting, immunity to B16 tumors was found to be critically dependent on CD8+ T cells and to a lesser extent on NK1.1+ cells. In contrast, prophylactic combination treatment induced sufficient protection to a subsequent B16 challenge in the absence of CD4, CD8, or NK1.1+ cells. However, autoimmune depigmentation still required CD8+ T cells. One interpretation could be that compared with prophylaxis, in the therapeutic setting a more vigorous CTL response is required to fight progressive disease. This suggests that successful treatment of B16 is accompanied by an enhanced risk of concomitant autoimmunity, and that the window between antitumor immunity and autoimmunity will be different in tumor-free or tumor-bearing subjects (38). These observed differences in requirements for lymphoid subpopulations may also explain discrepancies with respect to the mechanism required for immunity to B16 as described by others. A clear involvement of CD4+ T cells in control of B16 tumors was demonstrated earlier (16). Similarly, generation of anti–TRP-2 CTL by genetic immunization required the presence of functional CD4 T cell help, and did not depend on NK cells, Fas, or perforin (29). These results were obtained in prophylactic studies, and our results suggest that they might have led to different conclusions in a setting of treatment. As the requirements for lymphoid subpopulations when compared between the prophylactic and the therapeutic setting were different, studying the therapeutic model for T cell–dependent immunotherapy of B16 is highly valuable for further development of strategies to immunotheapy of cancer in man.
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