Zearalenone lactonohydrolase activity in Hypocreales and its evolutionary relationships within the epoxide hydrolase subset of a/b-hydrolases
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Abstract

Background: Zearalenone is a mycotoxin produced by several species of Fusarium genus, most notably Fusarium graminearum and Fusarium culmorum. This resorcylic acid lactone is one of the most important toxins causing serious animal and human diseases. For over two decades it has been known that the mycoparasitic fungus Clonostachys rosea (synonym: Gliocladium roseum, teleomorph: Bionectria ochroleuca) can detoxify zearalenone, however no such attributes have been described within the Trichoderma genus.

Results: We screened for the presence of zearalenone lactonohydrolase homologs in isolates of Clonostachys and Trichoderma genera. We report first finding of expressed zearalenone lactonohydrolase in Trichoderma aggressivum. For three isolates (T. aggressivum, C. rosea and Clonostachys catenulatum isolates), we were able to reconstruct full coding sequence and verify the biotransformation ability potential. Additionally, we assessed progression of the detoxification process (in terms of transcript accumulation and mycotoxin decomposition in vitro).

In silico, search for origins of zearalenone lactonohydrolase activity in model fungal and bacterial genomes has shown that zearalenone lactonohydrolase homologs form a monophyletic fungal clade among the a/b hydrolase superfamily representatives. We corroborated the finding of functional enzyme homologs by investigating the functional sites (active site pocket with postulated, noncanonical Ser-Glu-His catalytic triad) conserved in both multiple sequence alignment and in homology-based structural models.

Conclusions: Our research shows the first finding of a functional zearalenone lactonohydrolase in mycoparasitic Trichoderma aggressivum (an activity earlier characterised in the Clonostachys rosea strains). The supporting evidence for presence and activity of functional enzyme homologs is based on the chemical analyses, gene expression patterns, homology models showing conservation of key structural features and marked reduction of zearalenone content in cultured samples (containing both medium and mycelium). Our findings also show divergent strategies of zearalenone biotransformation ability (rapid induced expression and detoxification vs. gradual detoxification) present in several members of Hypocreales order (Trichoderma and Clonostachys genera). The potential for lactonohydrolase activity directed towards zearalenone and/or similar compounds is likely ancient, with homologs present in several divergent filamentous fungi among both Sordariomycetes (Bionectria sp., Trichoderma sp., Apiospora montagnei) and Leotiomycetes (Marssonina brunnea f. sp. ‘multigermtubi’).
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Background

The resorcylic acid lactones are mainly produced by fungi belonging to Hypocreales order (e.g. F. graminearum, Hypomyces subculosus, Pochonia chlamydosporia). Majority of the known compounds is bioactive [1]. The most widespread (due to its potential for accumulation in food and feed) is zearalenone (6-(10-hydroxy-6-oxo-trans-1-undecenyl)-resorcylic acid lactone).

Zearalenone (ZEN) - a mycotoxin produced by several species of Fusarium, most notably F. graminearum and F. culmorum - has relatively low acute toxicity, but it exhibits distinct estrogenic and anabolic properties [2], due to its ability to couple with the estrogen receptor. The examination of ZEN metabolism in swine, rat and chick to its ability to couple with the estrogen receptor. The inhibition of estrogenic and anabolic properties [2], due to its ability to couple with the estrogen receptor. The examination of ZEN metabolism in swine, rat and chick shows that estrogenic and anabolic properties [2], due to its ability to couple with the estrogen receptor. The examination of ZEN metabolism in swine, rat and chick-toshow that estrogenic and anabolic properties [2], due to its ability to couple with the estrogen receptor. The examination of ZEN metabolism in swine, rat and chick exhibits distinct estrogenic and anabolic properties [2], due to its ability to couple with the estrogen receptor. The examination of ZEN metabolism in swine, rat and chick.

In vivo, zearalenone has been proven to exhibit significant fungistatic effects and is thought to contribute one of the key mechanisms of competition between producer and non-producer species [8]. In keeping with this, ability to detoxify zearalenone is thought to confer a considerable adaptive advantage to competing fungal taxa [9].

Among the fungi of Hypocreales order, the mycoparasitic fungus C. rosea was long known to degrade zearalenone [10]. The exact mechanism of detoxification was determined in form of zearalenone-specific lactonase (zearalenone lactonohydrolase) enzyme (zhd101) which catalyzes the hydrolysis of ZEN, a process followed by spontaneous decarboxylation [11]. The end products exhibit both significantly lessened toxic effects and a decreased affinity for estrogen receptors.

To this day, independent detoxification mechanisms have been reported both in fungi (Trichosporon mycotoxinivorans) [12], and in bacteria (Rhodococcus pyridinivorans) [13]. However, a systematic screening of potential biocontrol agents (divergent fungi of Hypocreales order - mainly Clonostachys sp. and Trichoderma sp.) for lactonohydrolase activity and expression patterns has not, to our knowledge, been described in literature.

In this study, we present the results of screening a combined collection of Trichoderma and Clonostachys isolates, for strains with functional lactonohydrolase homologs and confirmed biotransformation ability. We report the first finding of a functional zearalenone lactonohydrolase in T. aggressivum. We also present results of an inquiry into the evolutionary basis of potential resorcylic acid lactonohydrolase activity in filamentous fungi.

Results

Population screening for potential biocontrol agents

Taxonomic identification of isolates used in the screening was carried out with use of both morphological (mycelium and conidia morphology) and molecular techniques (ITS and TEF sequences; Th2/Th4 marker [14]).

We found seven pairs of primers amplifying overlapping products nested within the zearalenone lactonohydrolase coding sequence (products of ca. 300 bp). Total of seventy nine isolates belonging to the Trichoderma and Clonostachys genera were tested for the presence of the gene. For three isolates (C. catenulatum - AN 169, C. rosea - AN 154 and T. aggressivum - AN 171) we obtained full length products for all seven primer pairs, which allowed for the assembly of complete coding sequences for respective lactonohydrolase homologues (see Figure 1 for multiple alignments with model Clonostachys sequence as well as reference model species sequences). The three isolates were further investigated in detail. GenBank accession numbers: AN 169 - KF 515222, AN 154 - KF 515223, AN 171 - KF 515221.

Verification of biotransformation ability potential in isolates of Clonostachys sp. and isolate of Trichoderma sp.

The fastest mycotoxin decomposition was observed in the isolate AN 169 (C. catenulatum), where after 24 hours the levels of ZEN were found to have declined below detectable levels (complete biotransformation ability). In the other two cases, the process progressed much slower. In case of isolate AN 154 (C. rosea), two days after incubation the concentration of ZEN decreased below 50% of initial concentration. In AN 171 culture (T. aggressivum) comparable level was achieved after six additional days. In both cases, after full eight days of incubation the concentration of ZEN in the medium dropped by approximately 80–90% (see Figure 2).

Zearalenone lactonohydrolase gene expression in isolates of Clonostachys sp. and isolate of Trichoderma sp.

Expression of zearalenone lactonohydrolase gene was tested via quantitative RT-PCR (with β-tubulin as reference gene). The isolate AN 171 (T. aggressivum) isolate exhibited over 16-fold induced increase in zhd101 expression 2 hours after zearalenone exposure (which corresponds with results of chemical analysis showing gradually expressed biotransformation ability potential). Conversely, the two other isolates AN 154 (C. rosea) and AN 169 (C. catenulatum) exhibited different expression patterns. The AN 169 isolate (the most effective detoxifier) accumulates higher transcript levels slowly but consistently over the period of days, while AN 154 most likely presents constitutive varying enzyme activity (as evidenced by low slope/plateaus in
Figure 1 Comparative analysis of the zearalenone lactonohydrolase gene sequence in the *Trichoderma* and *Clonostachys* isolates compared to the complete sequence of the model gene *C. rosea* AB076037. AN 171, AN 169, AN 154 isolates with identified sequences homologous to the zearalenone lactonohydrolase gene, origin - the sequence of the model gene - AB076037.
biotransformation ability process following fluctuations in transcript levels - see Figure 3).

Phylogenetic analysis of gene sequences in multiple species
In unsupervised clustering of a/b-hydrolases from multiple genomes, newly sequenced homologs of zearalenone lactonohydrolase were found to form a stable cluster of sequences with previously published homologues from \textit{B. ochroleuca}, as well as 2 additional proteins from \textit{M. brunnea} and \textit{A. montagnei}.

While phylogenetic reconstruction by maximum likelihood indicated strong support for a monophyletic clade formed by the cluster members (Figure 4), positioning of the resulting clade within a/b-hydrolase phylogeny was poorly supported and thus remains uncertain.

Homology modelling and comparative structure analysis
The created homology models uncovered similarities in the active site pocket, as detected by \textit{fpocket} [15]. In all of the modelled structures, the active site pocket is strongly hydrophobic under normal conditions - likely the catalysis is enabled by allowing access to the active site (conformational changes involving cap domain) which allows the reaction to proceed by standard mechanism involving forming a transient oxyanion hole and subsequent cleavage of the lactone ring (Figure 5).

While homology-based models are likely insufficient for elucidation of full sequence of events during substrate binding and catalysis (both the variable cap domain e.g. [16,17] and surrounding loops [18] are involved in controlling and fine-tuning substrate access), we were nevertheless able to ascertain the key functional residues involved.

Our identification of the catalytic triad conflicts with the initial proposition of Takahashi-Ando [11] that active site is formed by S102-H242-D223 (numeration by alignment in Figure 6). Typically, the nucleophilic attack of hydrolase enzyme is facilitated by interaction of histidine with acidic residue (third member of catalytic triad).

This role, according to all our homology-based models cannot be fulfilled by D223 (residue located distantly to active site - Figure 7).

A typically, the third member of catalytic triad appears to be E126 residue, where the side chain is capable of interacting with distal nitrogen of catalytic histidine, provided conformational changes allow rotation of the glutamate side chain towards histidine (see Figure 5 for conformations in modelled structures). This residue is sequentially equivalent (see Figure 7) to catalytic
glutamate residues demonstrated in human epoxide hydrolase (PDB:2Y6U, E153) and epoxide hydrolase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PDB:3KDA, E169).

Another possibility is residue D31 - however it appears to be nonconserved in *Marssonina* sequence (alanine substitution). Sequencing error cannot be completely ruled out in this case, as a single nucleotide change is sufficient for aspartate to alanine substitution in this context. Notably, D31 residue position in relation to the active site histidine favours interactions with proximal imidazole nitrogen (mean distance of ca. 2.5 Å across models) - suggesting

---

**Figure 3** Relative normalized expression (N-fold) of zearalenone lactonohydrolase transcripts during incubation experiments with isolates AN 154 (*C. rosea*), AN 169 (*C. catenulatum*) and AN 171 (*T. aggressivum*). Experiments were carried out at 25°C, in liquid Czapek-Dox medium supplemented with yeast extract and zearalenone.

---

**Figure 4** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of zearalenone lactonohydrolase homologs from divergent filamentous fungi. Bootstrap support is indicated below bifurcations (1000 bootstrap iterations). Tree was based on 245 distinct patterns within a trimmed alignment of full length protein sequences (see: Methods section).
possible conformational change (freeing the imidazole ring) during substrate binding.

Discussion
Zearalenone is one of the most dangerous mycotoxins produced by fungi belonging to the Fusarium genus. Those species are usually severe pathogens of cereals and legumes, and may cause Fusarium head blight and Fusarium ear rot of corn. These toxins are contributing to significant economic losses in livestock production causing the disease known as estrogenic syndrome, which results in sterility. Since 1988 [10] it is known that among the fungi of Hypocreales order, the mycoparasitic fungus C. rosea have the ability for zearalenone decomposition but so far no such properties has been described in any species of the Trichoderma genus.

Selected mycoparasitic Trichoderma and Clonostachys isolates were found to be able to reduce significantly both the production of zearalenone on medium Czapek-Dox broth with Yeast Extract [19] and to detoxify zearalenone. The three isolates (AN 154, AN 171 - especially AN 169) were clearly demonstrated as possible agents with verified biotransformation ability (in vitro). This finding includes the first demonstration of zearalenone lactonohydrolase activity present in a member of Trichoderma genus (AN 171 - T. aggressivum).

Both gene expression and the ability of isolate AN 171 (T. aggressivum) to reduce zearalenone levels were confirmed in vitro experiments. The HPLC experiments verified that all three isolates are able to decrease toxin concentration in a quick and effective manner [11]. The RT-PCR analyses further indicate that the expression of the zearalenone lactonohydrolase gene is subject to different modes of regulation in examined isolates. In particular, for the isolate AN 171, two hours after the toxin administration, a significant increase in the zearalenone lactonohydrolase expression is noted, suggesting that in T. aggressivum the presence of zearalenone in the medium directly activates expression of the gene.

Further study of sequence variation in lactonohydrolase genes is planned, with redesign of PCR markers based on sequenced regions and extension into non-coding regions of transcript (5’-UTR) [11] using RACE-PCR. Subsequent research will also encompass separation and identification of end products for detoxification process, as well as isolation of enzyme protein using Western blot.

Previous works have confirmed the existence and function of zearalenone-specific lactonase in Clonostachys sp. (old name of Gliocladium sp.) [9]. The enzyme is one of the reasons Clonostachys growth is not inhibited by zearalenone. We posist that presence of functioning homologues within Trichoderma can also contribute to their effective antagonistic activity [19], against zearalenone-producing F. culmorum and F. graminearum (and possibly other resorcyclic acid lactone producers).

Mechanistic features of catalytic site involved in zearalenone biotransformation ability are shown to be evolutionarily old, likely predating the split between Leotiomycetes and Sordariomycetes (barring horizontal transfer between fungal hosts). While it is unlikely that the exact function of distant homologs is the same, the affinity towards large hydrophobic epoxides and conservation of catalytic mechanism (as evidenced by active site superposition - Figure 7) are likely. Presence of several conserved arginines within the cap domain raises possibility of their involvement in substrate binding or orientation (coupled with conformational change), analogous to the mechanisms observed previously in dienelactone hydrolase [20] and 3-oxoadipate enol lactonase [16]. Elucidation of the full substrate orientation/catalysis scenario (including involvement of the glutamate and aspartate residues and their spatial conformations during the process) is planned through application of molecular dynamics experiments for modelling of the ligand binding process.

Notably, according to previously published work on B. ochroleuca enzyme [11] ZEN was rapidly replaced with conversion product. The mass of the molecular ion (M + 1) corresponding to this product was 293. In our analysis, we
did not register the corresponding peak, either due to differences in protocol or because of another mechanism of zearalenone decomposition. An alternative mechanism of zearalenone biotransformation ability was recently demonstrated in basidiomycetous yeast *Trichosporon mycotoxinivorans* [11], which requires a modification of hypothetical ZOM-1 intermediate by an unspecified a/b-hydrolase. One possibility, testing of which is beyond the scope of current work, is that the one-step lactonohydrolase evolved as a neofunctionalisation (present within filamentous fungi of *Leotiomycetes*/*Sordariomycetes* orders) of the two-step detoxification mechanism retained by *T. mycotoxinivorans*. If

Figure 6 Multiple alignment of protein sequences corresponding to: template structure 2XUA (3-oxoadipate lactonase), template structure 2Y6U (peroxisomal epoxide hydrolase Lpx1) and lactonase homologs from examined isolates (AN154, AN169, AN171), as well as reference sequences from *Bionectria ochroleuca* (GBK:AB076037), *Apiospora montagnei* (JGI:58672) and *Marssonina brunnea* (MBM_00923 = GBK:EKD21810). Proposed catalytic residues marked with ‘*’ (E128/D31, S102, H242). Residue D223 [11] marked with ‘!’. Secondary structure annotated based on PDB records (2XUA, 2Y6U) and RAPTORX 3-state SSE predictions (a-helix - red, b-sheet - blue). Predicted cap domain enclosed in yellow square.
so, the original mechanism can still exist in select extant lineages (within filamentous Ascomycota) in varying degrees (dependent on selection pressure towards one-step detoxification).

Conclusions
Our research shows the first finding of a functional zearalenone lactonohydrolase in mycoparasitic Trichoderma aggressivum (an activity earlier characterised in the Clonostachys rosea strains). Based on the combined screening of over ninety isolates of Trichoderma/Clonostachys and in silico investigation of origins of the enzyme activity (through phylogeny reconstruction and homology modelling) we were able to provide supporting evidence for its evolutionary origins, as well as monophyly of functional lactonohydrolase homologs in both genera. The supporting evidence for presence and activity of functional enzyme homologs is based on chemical analyses, gene expression patterns, homology models showing conservation of key structural features and a marked reduction of zearalenone content in cultured samples (containing both medium and mycelium).

Methods
Fungal isolates
Fungal isolates originated from culture collections of the Institute of Plant Genetics (Polish Academy of Sciences, Poznan, Poland); Institute of Science of Food Production (Bari, Italy; ITEM), Institute of Food Technology (Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poznan, Poland), Department of Forest Pathology (Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poznan, Poland), Research Institute of Vegetable Crops, (Skierniewice, Poland) and Rothamsted International UK. The isolates were derived from soil, compost, wood, cultivated mushroom and cereal grain samples. All 98 isolates were identified using both morphological [21] and molecular methods (ITS 4-5 and tef1 markers) (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Isolation of pure cultures
Fungal isolates investigated in this study were collected from pieces of decaying wood, cultivated mushroom compost, samples of soil and cereal grain. The samples were plated on salt water nutrient agar (SNA) [22] and incubated at 20°C for 6 days. Putative Trichoderma and Clonostachys colonies were purified on potato dextrose agar (PDA, Oxoid). Pure culture were transferred to the tubes containing SNA medium and stored at −20°C for further study.

Isolation of DNA
Mycelium used for DNA extraction was obtained by inoculating Czapek-Dox broth (Sigma-Aldrich) with Yeast Extract (Oxoid) and streptomycin sulphate (50 mg/L−1, AppliChem) and after incubation at 25°C for 21 days on a rotary shaker (120 rpm). Mycelium was collected on filter paper in a Büchner funnel, was held with sterile water, frozen at −20°C, and freeze - dried. Total DNA was extracted using the CTAB method [23]. The quality of DNA was estimated by NanoDrop 2000 UV-vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA) and via Experion Automated Electrophoresis System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

Primer design
In the case of C. rosea zearalenone lactonohydrolase, previous experiments performed by [9] suggested the use of degenerate starters for identification of homologous
sequences. In our approach to direct sequencing of amplified fragments, degenerate primers gave only non-specific products. Because of that seven pairs of primers were designed on basis of available GenBank homologs (Table 1). The primers targeted evenly spread sites along the coding sequence (ca. 300 bp estimated product length; estimated melting temperature: 60°C). Primer pairs were designed in Primer 3 [24] and manually adjusted based on evaluation of melting parameters in OligoCalc [25].

PCR amplification and sequencing

The PCR reaction was carried out in a 25 μl reaction mixture containing the following: 1 μl 50 ng/μl of DNA, 2.5 μl 10 × PCR buffer (50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH8.8, 0.1% TritonX-100), 1.5 μl 100 mM dNTP (GH Healthcare), 0.2 μl 100 mM of each primer, 19.35 μl MQ H2O, 0.25 μl (2 U/μl) DyNAzyme TM II DNA Polymerase (Finnzymes). Amplifications were performed in C1000 thermocycler (BIO RAD, USA) under the following conditions: initial denaturation 5 min at 94°C, 35 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 45 s at 56°C for all 7 pare primers, 1 min at 72°C, with the final extension of 10 min at 72°C. Amplification products were separated on 1.5% agarose gel (Invitrogen) in 1 × TBE buffer (0.178 M Tris-borate, 0.178 M boric acid, 0.004 M EDTA) and stained with ethidium bromide.

| Primer name | Sequences (5'-3') | Estimated product length |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| LacDP26F    | GAGCCAAGAGAGACCCACAG | 321 |
| LacDP347R   | TTATGTCGAATTGTCGTTGA | 386 |
| LacDP326F   | GTTACCAAGCATTCGCCGACAT | 210 |
| LacDP712R   | AACGTAATGACCTGAGAACCC | 322 |
| LacDP693F   | GGCTTACGGTGACTCAGTTT | 121 |
| LacDP903R   | GTATCTGTGCTAGGGGTAACCG | 315 |
| LacDP886F   | GTTACCCGAGAGGATACGCG | 329 |

RNA isolation and gene expression data analysis

Mycelium was collected from the Czapek-Dox medium. Each sample was weighted on laboratory scales (Sartorius). Total RNA was purified using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturers’ protocol with the additional DNase digestion step. The quality of total RNA was estimated by Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE) and via Bioanalyzer (Bio-Rad, Hercules CA).

The primer pairs specific to target gene were designed using zearalenone lactonohydrolase gene sequences obtained from T. aggressivum, C. rosea, C. catenulatum isolates (Table 2). Analogously to the DNA sequencing primers, these were designed with use of Primer 3 [24] and their properties were tested using OligoCalc [25].

The RT-PCR reaction was conducted using SYBR® Green Quantitative RT-qPCR Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). The total reaction volume was 25 μl: 12.5 μl SYBR Green Taq Ready Mix, 1 μl RNA (< 35 ng), 0.5 μl each primer (10 μM), 0.125 μl reverse transcriptase and 5.125 μl nuclelease free water. Gene expression profiles were determined through quantitative real-time PCR using a CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The reaction was carried using the following protocol: initial denaturation 94°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 15 s, 61°C for 1 min. The melting curve analysis (from 70°C to 95°C) confirmed primer pairs specificity. In the experiment we used three biological and two technical replicates together with a template-free negative control in each analysis of both target and control genes. As a control we used mycelium samples cultivated on medium without addition of zearalenone. Relative quantification of gene expression was done using the 2^ΔΔCt method (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). All data were normalized to β-tubulin as internal control (Real-Time PCR Application Guide, Bio-Rad, Hercules CA).

Mycotoxin chemical analyses

**Sample preparation**

The fungal mycelium was grown in 50 ml Czapek-Dox broth (Sigma-Aldrich) with Yeast Extract (Oxoid) for 9 days at 25°C with rotary shaking at 100 rpm. The zearalenone (Sigma-Aldrich) stock was added after a week of
incubation. The initial concentration of ZEA in the liquid cultures was 2 mg/ml. The samples (both mycelium and medium) were collected before and after addition of the toxin. During the first day, the samples were collected after one minute, two, four and six hours after toxin application. In the following days the samples were collected once a day at the same time. The collected material was used for both chemical analysis and expression profiling.

**Chemicals and reagents**
The zearalenone standard was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Acetonitrile and methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich-Aldrich. Potassium chloride was purchased from Aldrich-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Acetonitrile and methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich-Aldrich (Billerica, MA, USA).

**Zearalenone analysis**
The samples (lysate containing both medium and mycelium) were filtered through glass microfibre filter (GF/B, Whatman). Zearalenone was analysed by the systems consisting of: Waters 2695 high-performance liquid chromatograph, Waters 2475 Multi λ Fluorescence Detector and Waters 2996 Photodiode Array Detector. Millenium software was used for data processing. The excitation wavelength and emission wavelength were set to 274 and 440 nm, respectively. The reversed-phase column C18 (150 mm x 3.9 mm, 4 μm particle, Waters) and acetonitrile-water-methanol (46:46:8, v/v/v) as the mobile phase at a flow rate 0.5 ml/min were used. Zearalenone quantification was performed by external calibration with an electrospray ion source (ESI) with the following settings: the source voltage 3860 V, nebulization with nitrogen at 30 psi, dry gas flow 9 L min⁻¹, gas temperature 310°C, skimmer 1: −33 V, MS/MS fragmentation amplitude of 1 V ramping within the 40–400% range. Spectra were scanned in the mass range of m/z 50–700. The reversed-phase column was Alltima C18 (150 mm x 2 mm, 3 μm particle size) from Alltech. The column was kept at room temperature. Three biological and two technical replicates were used for each sample. The unincubated medium with added toxin was used as a control.

**Database search and cluster analysis**
The search for zearalenone lactonohydrolase homologues was conducted on internal, curated MetaSites database (Koczyk, unpublished). The dataset consisted of combined sequence data from translated GenBank release 192 (PLN and BCT divisions) [29], Ensembl/Fungi v 16 [30], UniProt/SwissProt [31], PDB [32] and sequences from select, published genomes from JGI/DOE MycoCosm [33]. Based on previous BLASTP searches for homologs of lactonohydrolase, a single homolog from unpublished genome of A. montagnei was included in the subsequent analysis.

The unsupervised cluster analysis was based on the subset of proteins detected by 2 iterations of NCBI PSI-BLAST [34], on the above-mentioned database clustered at 70% protein sequence identity with CD-HIT [35]. The zearalenone lactonohydrolase from C. rosea was employed as query. The unsupervised clustering of sequences (10728 total) was conducted in CLANS [36], using the neural-network based clustering option.

**Multiple alignment and phylogeny reconstruction**
The preliminary alignment of a/b-hydrolases was prepared with MAFFT [37]. Conserved regions of the alignment were extracted with TrimAl based on 70% gap threshold setting [38]. Monophyly of the lactonohydrolase cluster within larger context of a/b-hydrolases was then assessed with FastTree2 [39] based on LG model (100 bootstraps) [40].

The multiple alignment of zearalenone lactonohydrolase cluster members was prepared using MAFFT-LINSI [37], and corrected manually in SeaView [41]. Conserved regions of the alignment were extracted with TrimAl using ‘automated’ setting [38]. Maximum likelihood parameters were assessed with ProtTest v3 [42], according to Akaike and corrected Akaike information criteria. The phylogeny reconstruction for lactonohydrolase homologs was conducted in RAxML v 7.3 [43], using WAG model of evolution [44], with 1000 bootstrap iterations. Template sequence of the oxoacidipate enol lactonase (PDB:2XUA) was employed as outgroup, in accordance with its ESTHER [45] classification in the epoxide hydrolase subgroup and its placement in homologs uncovered by HHpred [46].

Visualisation of the phylogenetic tree was prepared with ETE2 [47] and custom Python scripts.

**Homology modelling**
Homology modelling was performed with RAPTOR-X webserver [48]. Choices of modelling templates were checked against HHpred [46] search results for candidate sequences from select, published genomes from JGI/DOE MycoCosm [33]. Based on previous BLASTP searches for homologs of lactonohydrolase, a single homolog from unpublished genome of A. montagnei was included in the subsequent analysis.

The unsupervised cluster analysis was based on the subset of proteins detected by 2 iterations of NCBI PSI-BLAST [34], on the above-mentioned database clustered at 70% protein sequence identity with CD-HIT [35]. The zearalenone lactonohydrolase from C. rosea was employed as query. The unsupervised clustering of sequences (10728 total) was conducted in CLANS [36], using the neural-network based clustering option.

**Multiple alignment and phylogeny reconstruction**
The preliminary alignment of a/b-hydrolases was prepared with MAFFT [37]. Conserved regions of the alignment were extracted with TrimAl based on 70% gap threshold setting [38]. Monophyly of the lactonohydrolase cluster within larger context of a/b-hydrolases was then assessed with FastTree2 [39] based on LG model (100 bootstraps) [40].

The multiple alignment of zearalenone lactonohydrolase cluster members was prepared using MAFFT-LINSI [37], and corrected manually in SeaView [41]. Conserved regions of the alignment were extracted with TrimAl using ‘automated’ setting [38]. Maximum likelihood parameters were assessed with ProtTest v3 [42], according to Akaike and corrected Akaike information criteria. The phylogeny reconstruction for lactonohydrolase homologs was conducted in RAxML v 7.3 [43], using WAG model of evolution [44], with 1000 bootstrap iterations. Template sequence of the oxoacidipate enol lactonase (PDB:2XUA) was employed as outgroup, in accordance with its ESTHER [45] classification in the epoxide hydrolase subgroup and its placement in homologs uncovered by HHpred [46].

Visualisation of the phylogenetic tree was prepared with ETE2 [47] and custom Python scripts.

**Homology modelling**
Homology modelling was performed with RAPTOR-X webserver [48]. Choices of modelling templates were checked against HHpred [46] search results for candidate

| Table 2 The sequences of the primers used for gene expression |
|------------------|------------------|
| Primer           | Sequences (5‘-3‘) |
| LACDP723R        | CAAAGCTAGTGACCTGGAAGC |
| LACDP652F        | CTCCGAGAATTGCGAGTT |
| rTubuTRICHOR2     | AGCGATCCGGAAGTAAAAGA |
| rTubuTRICHOF2     | CACCGTCGGTGAGCCCTA |
structures in pdb70 (with manual inspection of likely templates from epoxide hydrolase superfamily). HHpred was accessed via the MPI bioinformatics toolkit portal [49]. Visualisation and inspection of all models was conducted within PyMol [50]. All structure models are available in compressed form in Additional file 2. Multiple alignment of zearalenone lactonase homologs is available (in FASTA format) in Additional file 3.
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Additional file 1: Table S1. Examined isolates of Trichoderma and Clonostachys.

Additional file 2: Structure models from homology modelling.
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