Global morbidity and mortality of central nervous system tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract
Background Tuberculosis (TB) is the second most common cause of death due to a single infectious agent worldwide after COVID-19. Up to 15% of the cases are extrapulmonary, and if it is located in the central nervous system (CNS-TB), it presents high morbidity and mortality. Still, the global epidemiology of CNS-TB remains unknown.

Aim To estimate the global prevalence and incidence of CNS-TB based on the available literature.

Methods We systematically searched in MEDLINE, Cochrane Central, Scopus, and LILACS databases (April 2020) and included observational studies evaluating the epidemiology of CNS-TB. Two independent researchers selected and assessed the quality of the studies and extracted relevant data. We performed random-effects model meta-analysis of proportions to estimate the pooled prevalence. The protocol of this study was registered in PROSPERO (CRD 42018103946).

Results We included 53 studies from 28 countries, representing 12,621 patients with CNS-TB. The prevalence of CNS-TB was 2 per 100,000 inhabitants. According to the clinical setting, the prevalence of CNS-TB represented the 13.91% of all cases of meningitis and 4.55% of all cases of TB. The mortality was calculated by tuberculous meningitis due to the lack of data of other presentation, and it rose up to 42.12% in hospitalized patients. The burden of countries’ TB, Human Development Index (HDI), and the prevalence of HIV were the most important prevalence moderators, especially in patients with TB. No data on incidence were found.

Conclusion The prevalence and mortality of CNS-TB remain high, and TB meningitis is the most frequent presentation. The highest prevalence was reported in developing countries, and its main moderators were the countries’ HDI and HIV infection. Our study was limited by high heterogeneity, risk of bias, and potential data under registration from developing countries. The integration of CNS-TB early detection and management into national TB programs and population-based studies from developing countries are needed for better global estimation and response.
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Introduction

Currently, tuberculosis (TB) is the second most common cause of death for a single infectious agent worldwide after COVID-19 [58]. In 2020, due to the ongoing pandemic, the number of patients diagnosed and reported dropped approximately 1 million, resulting in increased mortality and burden, especially for low-income countries [57]. In 2015, the World Health Organization (WHO) implemented the End TB Strategy, whose principal targets were reducing the TB incidence and mortality rates by 20% and 35%, respectively, between 2015 and 2020 [56]. As stated by the latest Global Tuberculosis Report, less than one-third of the targets have been achieved. As a result, countries with a high TB burden are no longer on track to reach the 2020 targets [60].

Although the main manifestation of TB is pulmonary, cases of extrapulmonary TB (EPTB) are not rare, representing 15% of all TB reported cases [60]. Central nervous system TB (CNS-TB) is one of the most challenging clinical diagnoses, and it is associated with a high morbidity and mortality. A high risk of CNS-TB is described in children aged < 5 years and patients under immunosuppression [39, 40, 55]. CNS-TB is classified according to its anatomical localization (intracranial and spinal), tuberculous meningitis (TB meningitis) being the most common manifestation [28, 75].

Previous research has described the prevalence of CNS-TB according to presentation. A systematic review of patients in Africa reported that TB meningitis represented on average 15.3% of all patients with meningitis, associated with a higher mortality in settings with a prevalence higher than 20% [94]. This high mortality rate of TB meningitis was confirmed by a later systematic review of worldwide cases and reported as 22.8%, and the pooled risk of neurological sequelae was as high as 28.7% [93], representing a high burden.

Despite epidemiological data on TB, the worldwide prevalence of CNS-TB remains unknown. For this reason, this systematic review and meta-analysis summarizes the existing data on the prevalence of CNS-TB to provide accurate data for a more effective TB burden reduction strategy.

Methods

We conducted a systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis following the recommendation of the PRISMA guidelines [18]. The study protocol was registered in PROSPERO (number CRD42018103946). Additionally, we followed the Meta-Analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology checklist [82], which is found in Supplementary Table 1.

Literature search and study selection

We systematically searched MEDLINE, Scopus, Central Cochrane Library, and LILACS from inception to April 2020, using a search strategy with the following search terms: “Tuberculosis, Central Nervous System” OR “Tuberculosis, Intracranial” OR “Tuberculosis, Meningeal” AND “Prevalence” OR “Incidence” OR “Risk factors.” The complete search strategy for each database is available in Supplementary Table 2.

We included cross-sectional, case–control, and cohort studies. Other researches that assessed the prevalence of CNS-TB were also included. No restrictions in language or publication date were employed. We excluded animal studies, letters, news, case series with small sample size (< 30 participants), clinical trials, and literature reviews (unless original data were described). Duplicate records were removed using EndNote software before selection.

The study selection process was performed independently by two reviewers with a standard approach. For the first step, titles and abstracts were screened to identify potentially relevant articles for inclusion. After that, these relevant articles were full text assessed to evaluate their eligibility. Disagreement was resolved by a third reviewer.

Data extraction

Two independent researchers extracted the following information from each of the included studies into a Microsoft Excel sheet, in the cases of disagreements, a third reviewer was consulted. General variables were extracted as author, year of publication, year(s) of the study, country, national income defined by the World Bank [5], countries’ Human Development Index (HDI), burden of TB by country [59], and prevalence of HIV in the sample. For the denominators, we classified the groups as follows: (1) general population, the assessment came from the epidemiological surveillance of entire population, as a city or a country (not restricted to hospital), and (2) hospital setting, the total sample including the cases and the non-cases came from institutionalized patients. Additionally, specifications according to the type of diagnosis were made as follows: (a) TB, the sample of the study came from patients with TB (pulmonary or extrapulmonary); (b) meningitis, the sample came from patients diagnosed with meningitis; and (c) general hospitalization: patients admitted to general wards or internal medicine wards, also as the entire surveillance from a hospital.

For the numerators, we extracted tuberculous meningitis, Tuberculosis, and CNS-TB. The latter was the summation of the first two conditions unless the study reported an overall value of CNS-TB. Additionally, we extracted the number of CNS-TB-related deaths.
**Risk of bias (quality) assessment**

To assess the risk of bias in prevalence studies, we used the tool developed by Loney et al. [45]. This instrument contains eight items: a score of 1 (yes) or 0 (no) was assigned for each item, and then, the scores were summed to obtain the overall score, which ranged from 0 to 8. The items were adapted to our study as follows: (1) Study design and methods: One point was given if it was observational with census or random sampling. (2) Sampling frame: The recruitment list came from a census data. (3) Sampling size: The sample size was estimated with a 95% confidence interval (CI) or if it included 195 subjects. This value was estimated with the previous prevalence of TB meningitis [94] and with an online software (http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html). (4) Appropriate measurement: Bacteriological or molecular confirmation was used as an inclusion criterion of the study. (5) Unbiased measurement: It was yes if bacteriological confirmation was made through bacteriological or clinical records. (6) Response rate: The response rate was equal to or higher than 70%, or it was a national surveillance. (7) Results: Estimates were given with 95% CI and subgroup analysis. (8) Study subjects: The sociodemographic characteristics of study subjects were explained in detail: at least sex, age, and prevalence/incidence of TB in the country.

Subsequently, studies were classified as a low (7 and 8), moderate (5 and 6), or high (≤4) risk of bias. Two review authors independently assessed the study’s methodological quality. Disagreements were resolved by a third reviewer.

**Statistical analyses**

We calculated the pooled CNS-TB (TB meningitis and tuberculoma) prevalence and mortality rates with their corresponding 95% CI using a binomial model. Before pooling the estimates, we performed the Freeman–Tukey double arcsine transformation to stabilize the proportion variability [25]. Due to the expected between-study heterogeneity, the exploratory meta-analysis was performed by a prespecified random-effects model according to the DerSimonian and Laird method [19], and the CI calculation was based on the exact method [6]. Additionally, we computed a random-effects cumulative meta-analysis using the data collection year of each study to assess the trend across time of the pooled CNS-TB estimate.

Furthermore, subgroup meta-analyses were conducted to estimate the prevalence of meningitis TB according to the setting (general population or hospital), burden of the TB, country, and prevalence of HIV. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the estimates by evaluating the influence of any individual study. We assessed heterogeneity using the $I^2$ statistic, which estimates the percentage of total variation across studies due to true between-study differences rather than chance. We considered low heterogeneity when the $I^2$ value was <35%. Publication bias was checked by visual inspection of funnel plots and tested for significance using Egger’s regression test.

Moreover, we conducted univariate random-effects meta-regression to test potential between-study moderators [32]. As recommended by Thompson and Higgins [84], we performed meta-regression when at least eight studies were included in the meta-analysis [84]. We used the following criteria to select the best model: the residual percentage of heterogeneity, proportion of between-study variance explained (adjusted $R^2$), and significant criterion of $p<0.05$ per each moderator. We used the categorical outcomes from the subgroup and sensitivity analyses in addition to the continuous estimates of the HDI and prevalence of HIV. Subsequently, we performed Monte Carlo permutation tests (i.e., repeated random sampling) using 10,000 random iterations in order to account for the high false-positive rates that are associated with meta-regression models. The data were analyzed using Stata v15.0 software (College Station, TX).

**Evidence certainty**

The certain of evidence assessment was conducted as in our previously published articles [2, 65, 99]. We adapted the evaluation for prevalence meta-analysis using the five domains described in the GRADE handbook as follows: study limitations (the risk of bias of the primary studies), imprecision (appropriateness of the sample size and width of the CI), indirectness (generalizability of results), inconsistency (between-study heterogeneity), and publication bias [76]. Following the GRADE recommendations, the evidence was classified as of high, moderate, low, or very low certainty [4]. We manually adapted a summary of findings table (SoF) from the GRADE online tool (http://gradepro.org) to display the results.

**Result**

**Characteristics of the studies**

A total of 3,173 studies were identified in the search, and 58 were included after full text assessment [1, 3, 7, 9–17, 20–22, 24, 26, 30, 31, 34–38, 41, 44, 47–54, 62–64, 68–74, 77–81, 83, 86, 88–90, 92, 95, 97, 98]. The details of the selection process are presented in Fig. 1. Additionally, the list of excluded studies with reasons for the decision is presented in Supplementary Table 3.

We included studies from 31 countries located in the five continents worldwide. The most common country was South Africa ($n=10$), followed by China ($n=6$), India ($n=6$), Spain ($n=3$), the United States ($n=3$), Ethiopia ($n=2$), Iran...
(n = 2), and Peru (n = 2). The total number of subjects varied according to the denominator. We included 230,735,358 individuals from populational studies, 14,409 patients from general hospital wards, 202,265 patients with TB (pulmonary and/or extrapulmonary), and 17,1619 patients with meningitis. For the cases, we included 11,165 patients with TB meningitis, 162 patients with tuberculomas, and overall (included not specified cases) 11,515 patients with CNS-TB. The mean prevalence of HIV among the studies was 72%, ranging from 0.5 to 100%. The mean age of the participants of the included studies was 30 (range, 1.3 to 52) years, and there were 15 studies conducted only in children, 11 only in adults, and 24 in both. According to the countries’ income, there were 12 studies conducted in high-income economies, 23 in the upper middle-income economies, 20 in the lower-middle-income economies, and 3 in the low-income economies. The characteristics of the included studies are depicted in Supplementary Table 4.

Quality of studies
The risk of bias was high in 23 studies, moderate in 18 studies, and low in 17 studies. Most of the studies complied with item 1, except from Mbuh et al., which used a convenience sample [49]. The results were presented with 95% CIs only in 26 (49%) of 58 studies, and only 9 (15.5%) of 58 studies presented enough description of the studied population. The complete scores for each study are presented in Supplementary Table 5.

Prevalence of CNS-TB
According to the source of data, the prevalence of CNS-TB in the general population was 2.11 per 100,000 inhabitants (95% CI 0.81 to 4.03; $\hat{p}$, 99.91%), from 11 studies including 7979 cases and a population of 230 million inhabitants [1, 7, 13, 17, 20–22, 52, 63, 68, 70]. For that analysis, values were only available from patients with TB meningitis since no data were found for tuberculosis. In hospitalized patients (general hospitalization), the prevalence of CNS-TB was 8.64% (95% CI 5.34–12.55; $\hat{p}$, 97.10%) based on 13 studies (14,409 patients and 448 cases) [15, 62, 64, 73, 74, 77–81, 92, 95, 98]. On the other hand, the prevalence of CNS-TB was 13.91% of all patients with meningitis (95% CI 10.40–17.81; $\hat{p}$, 99.70%; 33 studies, 171,619 patients, and 6460 cases) [9, 11–16, 20–22, 26, 30, 31, 34–38, 41, 44, 47–52, 54, 70, 79, 83, 86, 88], and it represented the 4.55% of patients with TB (95% CI 2.63–6.97; $\hat{p}$, 98.81%; 11 studies, 202,265 patients, and 4043 cases) [7, 11, 17, 63, 68, 69, 71, 72, 90, 92, 98]. The forest plots for these analyses are presented in Fig. 2.

Prevalence of TB meningitis
The overall population-based estimate was the same as for CNS-TB because only TB meningitis was reported in populational studies. The prevalence in general hospitalization was 7.40% (95% CI 4.50–10.91; $\hat{p}$, 96.77%), from 12 studies including 419 cases and 14,309 total patients [15, 62, 64, 73, 77–81, 92, 95, 97]. In patients with meningitis, TB meningitis represented the 14.63% (95% CI 10.95–18.73; $\hat{p}$, 99.71%) calculated from 32 studies including 169,538 total patients and 6322 cases [9, 11–16, 20–22, 24, 26, 30, 31, 34–38, 41, 44, 47–49, 51, 52, 54, 70, 79, 83, 86, 88]. Finally, in patients with TB, TB meningitis represented the 3.67% (95% CI 2.16–5.66; $\hat{p}$, 99.71%), from 10 studies including 201,223 total patients and 3875 cases [7, 11, 17, 63, 68, 69, 71, 72, 92, 98]. The forest plots for these analyses are depicted by Fig. 3.

Prevalence of tuberculoma
The pooled prevalence of tuberculoma was not possible to calculate according to setting because there was only one study for the general population [63]. In patients with TB, tuberculoma represented the 0.52% (95% CI 0.05–1.32; $\hat{p}$, 0.00%), from 2 studies including 675 patients and 4 cases [11, 63], while in patients with meningitis, it represented 0.156% (95% CI 0.130–0.186; $\hat{p}$, 0.00%), from 2 studies including 81,841 patients and 137 cases [37, 50]. The forest plots for these analyses are displayed in Fig. 4.
Mortality

Mortality was estimated only by the values of TB meningitis due to the lack of data. The mortality of TB meningitis in general hospitalized patients was 42.12% (95% CI 26.46–58.53; $I^2$, 72.53%), from 6 studies including 663 patients and 265 fatalities [15, 79, 81, 92, 95, 97]. In patients with meningitis, the mortality of TB meningitis was 41.06% (95% CI 29.39–53.20; $I^2$, 75.42%), from 10 studies including 432 patients and 164 fatalities [9 –11, 15, 79, 81, 83, 92, 95, 97]. Cumulative meta-analysis confirmed that these estimates were as high as 40% since the first report in 1996. The plots for these analyses are shown in Supplementary Figs. 1, 2, 3.

Subgroup analysis

In CNS-TB

According to setting in patients with TB, the prevalence was higher in studies from hospitalized patients than from the general population (6.49 [95% CI 2.03–13.17] vs. 1.77 [95% CI 1.71–1.83]). According to the countries’ TB burden, it was higher in countries with a high TB burden in the general population and hospitalized patients. Finally, the analysis by the prevalence of HIV in the sample showed that the prevalence of CNS-TB was higher in samples with a high prevalence of HIV in hospitalized patients but not in the general population. A summary of the estimates is presented.
in Table 1. The forest plots of the subanalyzes are displayed in Supplementary Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13.

In TB meningitis

According to countries’ TB burden, in countries with a higher burden, the prevalence was higher in hospitalized patients and patients with TB. In the analysis by the prevalence of HIV in the sample, the prevalence of TB meningitis was higher in settings with a higher prevalence of HIV for hospitalized patients. A summary of the estimates is presented in Table 2. The forest plots of the subanalyzes are displayed in Supplementary Figs. 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21.

Publication bias

For CNS-TB, the visual inspection of the funnel plots showed asymmetry in the analysis of hospitalized patients and patients with meningitis, which was corroborated by the Egger test resulting \( p = 0.008 \) and \( p = 0.008 \), respectively. The funnel plots are presented in Supplementary Figs. 22, 23, 24, 25.

Similarly, for TB meningitis, the visual inspection of the funnel plots showed asymmetry, which was corroborated in the analyses of hospitalized patients (Egger test, \( p = 0.017 \)) and patients with meningitis (Egger test, \( p = 0.007 \)). The funnel plots are presented in Supplementary Figs. 26, 27, 28, 29.

Meta-regression

The prevalence of HIV in study sample was positively correlated with the prevalence of CNS-TB and TB meningitis. Likewise, the study design (retrospective) was positively associated with both the prevalences of CNS-TB and TB meningitis, and the countries’ burden of TB was also positively correlated with the prevalence of CNS-TB. Furthermore, in patients with TB, the countries’ HDI was negatively correlated with the prevalences of CNS-TB and TB meningitis. The estimates are presented in Table 3.
Evidence certainty

We judged the certainty of the evidence of the meta-analyses of CNS-TB and its subtypes. For CNS-TB in the general population we found a moderate level of certainty. We started the evaluation from a high level of certainty since only population-based studies were included. Then, we downgraded the evidence one level due to imprecision since the CI was wide. The rest of the meta-analyses presented a very low level of evidence. Details of the process are presented in Table 4.

Discussion

In this systematic review, we found that the estimated global prevalence of CNS-TB in the general population is 2 cases per 100,000 inhabitants. Additionally, we found a prevalence of 8% in hospitalized patients. The CNS-TB morbidity was influenced mainly by country’s TB burden, HDI, and prevalence of HIV. Furthermore, we calculated a pooled mortality of approximately 40% in hospitalized patients, which reflects the clinical challenge of these conditions. These estimates are mainly based only on TB meningitis data, which is the most important clinical presentation of CNS-TB potentially due to contiguous or hematologic dissemination, most frequently occurring in infants [100]. To the best of our knowledge, no previous systematic reviews have quantitatively addressed this question.

The most common reported presentation is TB meningitis (ranging from 3 to 14%). Tuberculoma was reported in few studies with a frequency of < 1%. We found a prevalence of TB meningitis in patients with meningitis of 14.63%, and this estimate also came exclusively from high and upper-middle-income countries with low TB burden; therefore, it is possible that our calculation is underestimated due to the lack of data from low-income countries. We were unable to identify other populational meta-analysis of the prevalence of TB meningitis or any other CNS-TB presentation; however, a previous meta-analysis of African studies that found

Table 1 Summary of subgroup analyses of CNS-TB

| Subgroup | ES   | 95% CI | $I^2$ (%) |
|----------|------|--------|-----------|
| By Data Source |      |        |           |
| In Meningitis patients |      |        |           |
| General population | 5    | 0.26–15.06 | 99.93 |
| Hospital | 16.8 | 12.23–21.91 | 99.19 |
| In TB patients |      |        |           |
| General population | 1.77 | 1.71–1.83 | 0 |
| Hospital | 6.49 | 2.03–13.17 | 98.93 |
| By TB Burden |      |        |           |
| In general population |      |        |           |
| Low | 1.36 | 0.63–2.35 | 99.78 |
| High | 17.9 | 17.22–18.60 |
| In hospitalized patients |      |        |           |
| Low | 2.6 | 1.52–3.92 | 97.82 |
| High | 11.03 | 6.65–16.31 |
| In Meningitis patients |      |        |           |
| Low | 8.23 | 4.71–12.59 | 99.30 |
| High | 16.31 | 10.29–23.35 | 99.72 |
| In TB patients |      |        |           |
| Low | 1.77 | 1.71–1.83 | 0 |
| High | 9.42 | 2.62–19.74 | 99.07 |
| By HIV sample prevalence (> 50%) |      |        |           |
| In general population |      |        |           |
| No | 2.77 | 1.06–5.28 | 99.91% |
| Yes | 0.17 | 0.14–0.21 | 96.73% |
| In hospitalized patients |      |        |           |
| No | 2.24 | 1.22–3.52 | 87.69% |
| Yes | 15.66 | 6.69–27.39 | 96.73% |
| In Meningitis patients |      |        |           |
| No | 14.47 | 10.29–19.23 | 99.78% |
| Yes | 12.75 | 6.26–20.92 | 97.82% |
| In TB patients |      |        |           |
| No | 4.99 | 2.28–8.66 | 99.04% |
| Yes | 1.7 | 1.38–2.06 |
a prevalence of TB meningitis in patients with meningitis was 15.3% [94]. Although very similar, this could suggest higher values from low- and low-middle-income countries. Additionally, according to the WHO annual report on TB, the scenario of underreport and neglect of treatment had increased since the COVID-19 pandemic [57] and could affect future epidemiological estimation of worldwide CNS-TB cases.

The pooled frequency of CNS-TB in hospitalized patients is approximately 9% (14% in patients with meningitis and 5% in patients with TB), which is significantly higher than in the general population. This could be explained by the fact that CNS-TB is a disease of hospital diagnosis and treatment; hence, the proportion would be higher in using that setting. Still, more surveillance reports of general population are needed, especially from countries where TB produces a high burden and subacute and chronic CNS-TB could pass underdiagnosed or misdiagnosed.

The estimated mortality of CNS-TB is approximately 42% in hospitalized patients, which is calculated based on meningitis-related deaths. After a cumulative analysis, we found that these estimates are still higher (> 40%) since the first epidemiological reports in 1996. This value is higher than the global estimate previous reported (22.8%) [93] but smaller than the estimation from African countries (60%) [94]. Previous studies have reported a two times higher mortality of CNS-TB cases than that of other EPTB; thus, CNS-TB is the most lethal clinical presentation of this infection [22]. In addition, El Sahly et al. [22] reported that the main risk factors for mortality are older age, other extrapulmonary site infection, and hydrocephalus. The current management approaches to reduce mortality, apart from the TB-specific therapy, include steroids to reduce neuroinflammation; nonetheless, the evidence is heterogeneous, especially for long-term outcomes and neurological sequelae [85, 87]. Considering that hydrocephalus is associated with a higher mortality, close monitoring of this complication and potential lumbar puncture approach (i.e., frequent and controlled lumbar punctures similar to the one used in the management of cryptococcal meningitis) could be included in the patient care. However, the validation of the best management protocol requires further studies.

We found that the main moderators were the HDI and country’s burden of TB, specifically for the prevalence of CNS-TB in patients with TB. The economic growth of a country as an independent marker does not seem to be correlated with the prevalence of TB since many upper-middle economies still have a high burden of TB (i.e., China, Peru, and South Africa) just as countries with lower-middle and low incomes [59]. The HDI is a measure that represents the individual’s capacity of consumption and, hence, their standard of living [66]. Still, that concept is limited, and accordingly, we found a weak correlation in the meta-regression. Hence, other metrics related to describe health

### Table 2 Summary of subgroup analyses of TB Meningitis

| Subgroup                  | ES   | 95% CI       | $I^2$ |
|---------------------------|------|--------------|-------|
| By setting                |      |              |       |
| In TB patients            |      |              |       |
| General population        | 1.77 | 1.71–1.83    | 0     |
| Hospital                  | 5.11 | 1.22–11.37   | 98.77 |
| In Meningitis patients    |      |              |       |
| General population        | 5    | 0.26–15.06   | 99.93 |
| Hospital                  | 17.92| 12.87–23.58  | 99.22 |
| By TB burden              |      |              |       |
| Hospitalized patients     |      |              |       |
| Low                       | 2.6  | 1.52–3.92    | 97.64 |
| High                      | 9.43 | 5.50–14.25   | 97.64 |
| In TB patients            |      |              |       |
| Low                       | 1.77 | 1.71–1.83    | 0     |
| High                      | 7.71 | 1.06–19.45   | 99.90 |
| In Meningitis patients    |      |              |       |
| Low                       | 10.59| 6.16–16.02   | 99.37 |
| High                      | 16.1 | 10.03–23.23  | 99.73 |
| By HIV status             |      |              |       |
| Hospitalized patients     |      |              |       |
| No                        | 2.24 | 1.22–3.52    | 87.69 |
| Yes                       | 13.78| 5.22–25.43   | 96.66 |
| In TB patients            |      |              |       |
| No                        | 4.01 | 1.83–6.99    | 98.66 |
| Yes                       | 1.69 | 1.37–2.05    |       |
| In Meningitis patients    |      |              |       |
| No                        | 15.68| 11.18–20.76  | 99.79 |
| Yes                       | 12.36| 6.00–20.42   | 97.79 |

### Table 3 Univariate meta-regression estimates

|                       | CNS-TB |             |    | TB meningitis |             |    |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------|----|---------------|-------------|----|
|                       | B coef. (95% CI) | p value |    | B coef. (95% CI) | p value |
| HIV prevalence        | 0.119 (0.004–0.234) | 0.044 |    | 0.082 (0.002–0.162) | 0.046 |
| In TB patients        |        |             |    |               |             |    |
| HDI                   | –0.653 (–1.035 to –0.27) | 0.004 |    | –0.619 (–0.951 to –0.287) | 0.003 |
| Design                | 0.212 (0.092–0.331) | 0.003 |    | 0.231 (0.146 to 0.316) | 0.000 |
| Burden of TB          | 0.093 (0.008–0.178) | 0.034 |    |                | –     |
### Table 4  Summary of Findings of GRADE evaluation

| Outcomes: Prevalence | Anticipated absolute effects (95% CI) | No of participants (studies) | Certainty of the evidence (GRADE) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                      | Frequency pooled 95% CI               |                             |                                   |
| CNS-TB in general population (Only TB-meningitis) | 2.11 per 100,000 0.81–4.03 | 230 million individuals (11 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, g, c, d, e |
| CNS-TB in general hospitalization          | 8.64 5.34–12.55% | 14,409 patients (13 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, g, c, d, e |
| CNS-TB in patients with meningitis          | 13.91 10.40–17.81% | 171,619 patients (33 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, i, c, b, g |
| CNS-TB in patients with TB                  | 4.55 2.63–6.97% | 202,265 patients (11 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, i, c, d, e |
| TB-meningitis in general hospitalization    | 7.40 4.50–10.91% | 14,309 individuals (12 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, g, c, d, e |
| TB-meningitis in patients with meningitis   | 14.63 10.95–18.73% | 169,538 patients (32 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, i, c, d, e |
| TB-meningitis in patients with TB           | 3.67 2.16–5.56% | 201,223 patients (10 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, i, c, d, e |
| Tuberculoma in patients with TB             | 0.52 0.05–1.32% | 675 patients (2 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, g, c, d, e |
| Tuberculoma in patients with meningitis     | 0.156 0.130–0.186% | 81,841 patients (2 studies) | ⨁◯◯◯ VERY LOWf, g, c, j, h |

**GRADE Working Group grades of evidence**

- **High certainty**: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect
- **Moderate certainty**: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different
- **Low certainty**: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect
- **Very low certainty**: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of the effect

CI confidence interval

**Explanations**

- The certainty rating started from high (due to the inclusion of only population-based studies)
- Low risk of bias was detected in most of the studies (54%), so we did not downgrade the level of certainty
- High inconsistency was present in the meta-analyses with an $I^2 > 60%$. Since high heterogeneity was expected due to the inclusion of worldwide studies, we decided not to downgrade the evidence
- Publication bias was not detected in meta-analysis by funnel plot visualization and corroborated by Egger’s test. Therefore, we did not downgrade the evidence level
- Imprecision was present, since the range of the CI exceeded the 50% value of the estimate. The sample size was adequate $\geq 195$ subjects. So we downgraded one level of certainty
- The certainty rating started from moderate due to the inclusion of hospital-based studies
- Moderate to high risk of bias was found in all the included studies. Thus, we downgraded the evidence two levels
- Publication bias was detected in meta-analysis by funnel plot visualization and corroborated by Egger’s test. Therefore, we downgraded the evidence one level
- Moderate to high risk of bias was found in most of the studies (> 50%). So we downgraded the evidence one level
- Publication bias was not conducted due to small number of included studies. Therefore, we downgraded the evidence one level
- Imprecision was not present, due to a narrow CI and adequate sample size. So we did not downgrade the level of certainty
- This study was downgraded below the level of very low (2 levels)
- This study was downgraded below the level of very low (1 level)

Refs [2, 4, 65, 76, 99]
system efficiencies could be used to understand the popula-
tional determinants of CNS-TB, such as coverage, countries’
overall mortality, economics in health, and user satisfaction.
These metrics can serve as an indirect measure of the coun-
tries’ ability to implement public health policies designed for
reducing TB [42]. However, the level of inequities, nor-
malization of corruption at different levels of structure, and
quality of the care provided by public or private sectors in
the country play a significant role [23, 27, 67]. Therefore,
reducing the burden of TB requires a joint effort of eco-
nomic investment, healthcare policies, and social inter-
ventions [29].

The role of surveillance of EPTB (including CNS-TB) is
crucial for controlling the prevalence of active cases of
TB; however, most of the largest preventive programs—
the majority in countries with a high TB burden—provide
active monitoring almost exclusively for pulmonary TB [46].
Additional interventions could be included to address the
most important risk factors for EPTB as clinical HIV status,
patients receiving immunological treatment, lung cancer,
and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus [8, 96]. Taking into con-
sideration those vulnerable groups, the early identification of
latent TB and role of prophylactic treatment at that disease
stage are interventions to be prioritized, being as accessible
as oral isoniazid [8].

The sociodemographic factors, such as being young,
female sex, from a low-resource setting, and non-white eth-
nicity, including other inequities should be part of the core
target for interventions, especially in developing countries
[8]. In developed countries, most of the patients with TB
are migrants (including those with EPTB) [33]. Therefore,
focused interventions designed for migrants and other high
risk groups (as refugees) that cover the surveillance of EPTB
and latent TB are providing excellent results and could serve
as a model for other countries [43, 91].

Finally, the prevalence of HIV is a moderator in the prev-
ance of CNS-TB in hospitalized patients, which suggests
that the comorbidity increases the prevalence of CNS-TB
only in hospitalized and possible uncontrolled HIV cases.
HIV plays a pivotal role in the burden of TB, being histor-
ically higher in sub-Saharan African countries and low-
income settings but recently also increased in more devel-
oped areas of the world [61].

Limitations and strengths

The main limitations of our analysis are as follows: (a)
lack of information in several regions of the world, espe-
cially in low-income countries, (b) lack of studies for
other presentations of CNS-TB (tuberculoma) and with
information of other denominators (general population)
to estimate the population prevalence of the disease, (c)
lack of prospective cohort studies, and (d) heterogeneity of
the prevalence estimates among the included studies. The
findings were based on demographic statistics, which may
not be accurate for several parts of the world, especially
for extreme age groups or due to premature death of cases
without defining the diagnosis. Finally, we assume that
the specific prevalence would remain constant over time, but
changes in risk exposure can increase or decrease the pre-
valence over time, just as specific therapies, socioeconomic
improvements, and healthcare can estimate the prevalence.

On the other hand, given the importance for public
health and for decision-making on the distribution of
resources, this meta-analysis provides valuable informa-
tion that can be useful for health policies in the regions
and countries reported.

Conclusions

The global prevalence of CNS-TB in the general popula-
tion is 2 cases per 100,000 inhabitants, based mainly on
TB meningitis cases from high- and upper-middle-income
countries with a low TB burden. In hospitalized patients, it
is present in approximately 9%. This frequency is around
14% in patients with meningitis and 5% in patients with TB.
Tuberculoma was reported in few studies with a frequency
of <1%. The estimated mortality of CNS-TB was approxi-
mately 42% in hospitalized patients (based on meningitis-
related deaths), which remains high since the early epide-
miological reports in 1996. The main moderator factors were
the HDI, country’s burden of TB, and prevalence of HIV.
The integration of CNS-TB early detection and management
into national TB programs and population-based studies
from developing countries are needed for better global esti-
mation and response.
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