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**HIGHLIGHTS**

- The outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic abruptly reduced CO2 emissions at urban scale.
- A rapid response of CO2 flux measurements in Florence was observed.
- $\delta^{13}$C-CO2 values recorded the change in the prevailing urban CO2 emitting sources.
- The daily CO2 enhancement reflected changes in the strength of emitting sources.
- COVID-19 pandemic resulted in appreciable reductions of CO2 levels in urban air.

**GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

**ABSTRACT**

The outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic was accompanied by global mobility restrictions and slowdown in manufacturing activities. Accordingly, cities experienced a significant decrease of CO2 emissions. In this study, continuous measurements of CO2 fluxes, atmospheric CO2 concentrations and $\delta^{13}$C-CO2 values were performed in the historical center of Florence (Italy) before, during and after the almost two-month long national lockdown. The temporal trends of the analyzed parameters, combined with the variations in emitting source categories (from inventory data), evidenced a fast response of flux measurements to variations in the strength of emitting sources. Similarly, the $\delta^{13}$C-CO2 values recorded the change in the prevailing sources contributing to urban atmospheric CO2, confirming the effectiveness of carbon isotopic data as geochemical tracers for identifying and quantifying the relative contributions of emitting sources. Although the direct impact of restriction measurements on CO2 concentrations was less clear due to seasonal trends and background fluctuations, an in-depth analysis of the daily local CO2 enhancement with respect to the background values revealed a progressive decrease throughout the lockdown phase at the end of the heating season (>10 ppm), followed by a net increase (ca. 5 ppm) with the resumption of traffic. Finally, the investigation of the shape of the frequency distribution of the analyzed variables revealed interesting aspects concerning the dynamics of the systems.
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1. Introduction

The alarming spread and gravity of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection led, on March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) to declare the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak a global pandemic. Consequently, worldwide governments adopted strategic restrictive measures suppressing industrial and commercial activities, limiting human movements (including social distancing), in order to contain the diffusion of confirmed positive cases and number of deaths (Brauner et al., 2020; Haug et al., 2020). As a collateral effect, these restrictions produced a suite of indirect positive impacts on the environment, largely improving both water and air quality, as documented by recent literature (e.g. Abdullah et al., 2020; Adams, 2020; Baldasano, 2020; Berman and Ebsilu, 2020; Dantas et al., 2020; Gualtieri et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2020; Kerimray et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Mahato et al., 2020; Otmani et al., 2020; Paizal, 2020; Sharma et al., 2020; Tobias et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Elsaid et al., 2021; Han et al., 2021). Similarly, a clear reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions due to COVID-related restrictions was observed, with a decrease in daily global CO2 emissions at national scale ranging from 11 to 25% by April 2020 compared to 2019 levels (Le Quéré et al., 2020) and an overall drop in global CO2 emissions of 6.4% (corresponding to 2.3 billion tons) in 2020 (Tollefsen, 2021). On the other hand, the COVID-19-related CO2 emission drop in May 2020 was estimated to account only for 0.4 ppm on the expected concentration of CO2 in the atmosphere at a global scale (Betts et al., 2020), equivalent to a reduction of about 0.1%. Accordingly, the atmospheric CO2 concentrations measured at the Mauna Loa Observatory (Hawaii, USA) increased by 0.7% (up to 416.21 ppm) in April 2020 compared with April 2019 (Tans and Keeling, 2020).

While at the global scale the COVID-related emission reductions were relatively small and the impact on GHG concentrations was hardly detectable, the pandemic-related restrictions had a disproportionate effect on atmospheric CO2 at urban scale, where emission reductions were expected to be large and the impact on CO2 concentrations detectable. It is well established that cities are responsible for ~70% of energy-related GHG emissions (Hoornweg et al., 2011), mostly related to housing and commercial activities. After two months of national lockdown, the so-called “phase 2” started in Italy on May 4, 2020, progressively easing restrictions and allowing people to travel within their residence region. Accordingly, traffic progressively resumed, although the pre-lockdown levels did not completely restore due to the persistent closure of schools, universities, and most public offices and commercial activities, as well as the lack of tourism-related movements. Eventually, phase 3, in force since July 12, 2020, reduced limitations and restored the majority of industrial and commercial activities. The drastic travel restrictions imposed to some 60 million people and the stop to most of the economic activities during the national lockdown produced a considerable reduction of road traffic and, consequently, of short-term pollutants (e.g. NO2, CO, SO2, C6H6) levels in urban air (e.g. Collivignarelli et al., 2020; Tobias et al., 2020), as impressively depicted by satellite imagery (e.g. Bauwens et al., 2020; Zambrano-Monserrate et al., 2020) and registered by the air quality monitoring network managed by the Italian Environmental Agency (ARPA). Similarly, GHG emissions plummeted, with a reduction of the anthropogenic carbon footprint of 20% with respect to 2015–2018, corresponding to avoided GHG emissions in between ~5.6 and ~10.6 Mt CO2 equivalents (Rugani and Caro, 2020). In Florence, the urban CO2 emissions in March and April 2020 experienced a reduction of 45% compared to previous years (Papale et al., 2020).

The study area was located in the city of Florence, in central Italy (Fig. 1). Italy was the first country in Europe adopting restrictions to counteract the COVID-19 infection outbreak. On March 9, 2020, the Italian Prime Minister issued the decree law informally named #Irestoacasa (in Italian for “I stay at home”) which established a national lockdown (“phase 1”), limiting movements for all the people with exception of documented work needs, emergencies or health reasons, promoting smart working and restricting recreational and commercial activities. After two months of national lockdown, the so-called “phase 2” started in Italy on May 4, 2020, progressively easing restrictions and allowing people to travel within their residence region. Accordingly, traffic progressively resumed, although the pre-lockdown levels did not completely restore due to the persistent closure of schools, universities, and most public offices and commercial activities, as well as the lack of tourism-related movements. Eventually, phase 3, in force since July 12, 2020, reduced limitations and restored the majority of industrial and commercial activities. The drastic travel restrictions imposed to some 60 million people and the stop to most of the economic activities during the national lockdown produced a considerable reduction of road traffic and, consequently, of short-term pollutants (e.g. NO2, CO, SO2, C6H6) levels in urban air (e.g. Collivignarelli et al., 2020; Tobias et al., 2020), as impressively depicted by satellite imagery (e.g. Bauwens et al., 2020; Zambrano-Monserrate et al., 2020) and registered by the air quality monitoring network managed by the Italian Environmental Agency (ARPA). Similarly, GHG emissions plummeted, with a reduction of the anthropogenic carbon footprint of 20% with respect to 2015–2018, corresponding to avoided GHG emissions in between ~5.6 and ~10.6 Mt CO2 equivalents (Rugani and Caro, 2020). In Florence, the urban CO2 emissions in March and April 2020 experienced a reduction of 45% compared to previous years (Papale et al., 2020).
commercial sectors. Differently, the industrial district is in the suburb of the city. Consequently, gas emissions in the city center are mainly deriving from vehicular traffic and domestic heating, accounting for about 35 and 65% of CO₂ emissions (Regione Toscana, 2010; Gioli et al., 2012, 2015; Venturi et al., 2020). According to the Italian regulations of condenserium heating plants, the ignition of domestic heating is established on the basis of climatic zone (DPR no. 412 of 26/08/1993). In the city of Florence, a maximum of 12 h per day from Nov. 1st to Apr. 15th is allowed for residential heating usage.

Overall, the data presented in this study encompassed the period from before the forced confinement time to the easing of restrictions in spring 2020. In particular, the monitored period can conveniently be subdivided into 4 sub-intervals, i.e. (i) the pre-COVID phase (hereafter, “PRE”), including data acquired before the onset of the national lockdown (i.e. until March 8, 2020), (ii) the lockdown phase from March 9 to April 15 (hereafter, “LH”), when domestic heating was active and mobility restrictions were in force; (iii) the lockdown period from April 16 to May 3 (hereafter, “LN”), i.e. when domestic heating was switched off but mobility restrictions persisted, and (iv) the onset of phase 2 (hereafter, “P2”), started on May 4, when mobility restrictions were progressively lifted.

2.2. Measurements of CO₂ fluxes, concentrations and carbon isotopic composition

Turbulent fluxes of CO₂, momentum, and CO₂ were measured with the eddy covariance (EC) technique at half-hourly resolution from the equipment positioned on the roof of the Ximenes Observatory (43° 47′ N, 11° 15′ E; Fig. 1), located in a pedestrianized area of the city center, ca. 33 m above the ground level and 18 m above the average building height. A three-dimensional sonic anemometer (Metek USA-1, Metek GmbH, Elmsborn Germany), and a CO₂ and H₂O open-path infrared gas analyzer (Licor Li-7500A, Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) were logged at the frequency of 20 Hz and fluxes were computed by means of the EddyPro software package (Li-Cor Inc., https://www.licor.com/env/support/EddyPro/software.html). Within the software package, raw data were treated with appropriate corrections, such as despiking of gas analyzer data (Vickers and Mahrt, 1997), high-pass filtering with linear detrending and coordinate axis rotation (Aubinet et al., 2000) and corrections for air density fluctuations (Webb et al., 1980). Quality-control procedures were applied according to Foken and Wichura (1996) procedure. Single point storage correction was applied as described in Papale et al. (2008). More detail on the experimental setup at this site can be found in Gioli et al. (2015). Moreover, standard meteorological parameters were acquired with a weather station. Both EC and weather station were active since 2005. In the framework of this study, data from February 1 to June 4, 2020, were collected.

Concentrations and carbon isotopic compositions of CO₂ and CH₄ (δ¹³C-CO₂ and δ¹³C-CH₄, expressed in ‰ vs. V-PDB) in air were continuously measured at the Department of Earth Science at University of Florence (DST-Unifi, 43° 46′ N, 11° 15′ E; Fig. 1), ca. 550 m away from the Ximenes Observatory and along a generally lightly trafficked road, using a Picarro G2201-i cavity ring-down spectrometer (CRDS) instrument. Measurements were performed from April 2 to June 4, 2020, with a one-second frequency. The Picarro analyzer was housed inside the Laboratory of Fluid Geochemistry at the Department of Earth Sciences of Florence (DST-Unifi) and connected through a Teflon tube to the outdoor, i.e. the internal courtyard of DST-Unifi. The tube was fixed at a height of 2 m above the ground level. The precision was within 0.2 and 0.05 ppm for CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations, respectively, and 0.16 and 1.15‰ vs. V-PDB for δ¹³C-CO₂ and δ¹³C-CH₄, respectively.

2.3. Urban mobility and natural gas consumption by domestic heating amounts

Urban road mobility data were collected from the online platform developed by EnelX Italia LLC and Here Technologies (EnelX and Here, 2020), where traffic flows from February 17 to June 4, 2020, were expressed as daily normalized variations with respect to a standard reference period, i.e. to the weighted average of the flows recorded from January 13 to February 16, 2020, assumed as a baseline mobility condition prior to the onset of COVID-19-related restrictions. Daily variations in natural gas consumption by domestic heating were provided by Estra Ltd. for the period from February 1 to June 4, 2020, and were expressed as daily normalized variations with respect to the monthly average gas consumption during January 2020.

2.4. Explorative and statistical methods

Data reduction (hourly and daily averages and rolling averages; see below) and analysis were carried out using R (R Core Team, 2017) implemented with the Openair package (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012; Carslaw, 2014) and Matlab R2020b licensed to the University of Florence. The acquired data were referred to local time, i.e. CEST (Central European Summer Time). The daily cycles of the measured variables were obtained through the Openair package from the average values per each hour of the day; the 95% confidence interval was also

Fig. 1. Satellite images of (i) the metropolitan area and (ii) the historical center of Florence (Italy). The location of the monitoring sites (Ximenes Observatory and DST-Unifi) is shown (white pentagons).
calculated to take into account the variability of the measured parameters (Carslaw, 2014).

In order to smooth out short-term erratic fluctuations of measured values related to transient effects, e.g. (i) sudden changes of meteorological conditions, (ii) weekly variations in emitting sources, or (iii) fortuitous external factors, 15 days rolling-averages were considered. The latter were calculated for each day as the average of the previous 15 days (e.g. the 15 days rolling average referred to April 17 represented the average of daily values from the interval April 3 to 17). The selected time interval approximately corresponded to the duration of LH and LN observation periods, allowing to investigate the impact of domestic heating season and COVID-19-related restrictions on the measured parameters.

The explorative analysis was followed by the investigation of the dynamics of the measured variables by using distributional analysis to probe the resilience to change, presence of alternative states, autocorrelation in time (Scheffer et al., 2012). The key idea is that the shape of the probability density function describing the behavior of the variables is able to give information about governing dynamics of the system (van Rooy et al., 2013). In this framework, the dynamics of the systems was categorized in component-dominant dynamics and interaction-dominant dynamics (Holden et al., 2009; Holden and Rajaraman, 2012). In the first case, the event-distributions reflect the activity of isolable components, their time-course and an unsystematic additive source of noise. By contrast, in the second case coordination and coupling among processes emerge, so that interactions play a fundamental role generative multiplicative and cascade effects. The distributional analysis was performed on half-hourly averaged CO2 fluxes and on one-second data for CO2 concentrations and δ13C-CO2 values.

3. Results

The daily-averaged urban CO2 fluxes showed a first sharp decrease from PRE (median value of 37.1 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) to LH (median value of 13.3 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), and a second drop after the end of the heating season, i.e. during LN phase (median value of 3.51 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). A small increase in EC flux measurements was observed during P2 phase, when, however, CO2 fluxes (median value of 4.05 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) remained one order of magnitude lower than those measured during the PRE phase. A similar trend was observed in the daily-averaged CO2 concentrations measured by the EC monitoring station, with the highest values being recorded during the PRE phase (with a median value of 434 ppm), followed by a sharp drop during the LH phase (with a median value of 407 ppm) and a minor decrease during the LN phase (with a median value of 402 ppm), whereas the onset of the P2 phase was characterized by a slight increase in CO2 concentrations (with a median value of 406 ppm).

The daily-averaged CO2 concentrations measured by the Picarro analyzer at the street level showed a slight decrease (i.e. few ppm) throughout the whole observation period, with median values of 435.5, 431.8 and 427.9 ppm in the LH, LN and P2 phases, with values ranging from 420.1 to 441.8 ppm, from 418.9 to 435.6 ppm and from 413.1 to 439.8 ppm, respectively. Conversely, the δ13C-CO2 values showed an overall increase, ranging from −12.1 to −10.7% vs. V-PDB, from −11.7 to −10.6% vs. V-PDB and from −11.4 to −9.7% vs. V-PDB in the LH, LN and P2 phases, with median values of −11.7, −11.3 and −10.6% vs. V-PDB, respectively.

The daily-averaged CH4 concentrations displayed a small decrease (<0.1 ppm) throughout the whole monitoring period, with median values of 2.06, 2.05 and 2.04 ppm during the LH, LN and P2 phases, with values oscillating, respectively, from 1.99 and 2.09 ppm, from 1.99 to 2.08 ppm, and 1.98 and 2.08 ppm. The δ13C-CH4 values increased from a median value of −48.1% vs. V-PDB during the LH phase, to −47.5 and −47.1% vs. V-PDB in the LN and P2 phases, respectively, with values from −48.5 and −47.6% vs. V-PDB, from −48.8 to −47.2% vs. V-PDB and from −47.4 to −46.5% vs. V-PDB, respectively.

4. Discussion

4.1. Temporal variations of urban road mobility and natural gas consumption

The adoption of confinement measures to control the COVID-19 pandemic produced an abrupt reduction in the urban road mobility in Florence, as shown in Fig. 2A. Even before the national lockdown imposed by the #IoRestoAcasa decree law, the data registered a sensible decrease in the road traffic, likely due to reductions in tourism flows. However, after March, 9, when Italians were forced to stay at home, road traffic dropped sharply and rapidly, with a reduction of ca. 65% with respect to pre-COVID levels. On the other hand, despite the persistence of school closures and limits on interregional movements, urban traffic flows rapidly increased after the end of the confinement time, reaching values higher than 60% of pre-COVID traffic levels after May 18, with the sole exception of a temporary reduction of road mobility related to the Italian national holiday of June 2 (Republic Day). It has to be considered that the pandemic likely changed the citizens’ travel habits, such as a significant reduction of the public transportation due to the risk of contagion and a relative increase of private means of transport, including environmental sustainable vehicles, e.g. bicycles and electric scooters favored by the pleasant spring weather, but also private cars (Lozzi et al., 2020).

As evidenced by data provided by Estra Ltd., the natural gas consumption appeared to be mainly driven by domestic heating controlled by climate conditions, as clearly results from the comparison of temporal trends in daily-averaged natural gas demand (Fig. 2B) and air temperatures (Fig. 2C) which displayed a strong negative correlation (non-parametric Spearman’s correlation coefficient $r = −0.94$). A drastic cut in the natural gas demand was recorded during the first half of April 2020, i.e. at the end of the heating season and corresponding to a progressive increase in air temperatures, when gas consumption dropped from >60% with respect to the standard reference period to less than 30%. A stabilization at ca. 14% with respect to January levels was observed from the beginning of May to June 4, which was mostly attributable to gas consumption due to cooking and water heating. The diurnal pattern of natural gas consumption (Fig. 2D) experienced as expected a higher demand during daytime. Notably, three peaks occurred when the domestic heating systems were on, i.e. at ca. 06–08, 12 and 18–20. The morning and evening peaks were more pronounced during the PRE and LH phases, whereas the three peaks were comparable and hardly distinguishable from the diurnal baseline during the LN and P2 phases, confirming the drop of natural gas consumption at the end of the heating season.

4.2. Temporal variations in the carbon isotopic fingerprint of atmospheric CO2

The change in urban CO2 emitting sources in response to both confinement provisions and seasonality was expected to produce a change in the carbon isotopic signature of CO2 in urban air. In fact, CO2 emissions from natural gas combustion and vehicular traffic are characterized by distinguishable carbon isotopic compositions, i.e. from −44 to −37‰ vs. V-PDB (e.g. Clark-Thorne and Yapp, 2003; Widory and Javoy, 2003; Chamberlain et al., 2016; Pang et al., 2016) and ca. −27‰ vs. V-PDB (e.g. Clark-Thorne and Yapp, 2003; Widory and Javoy, 2003; Zimnoch, 2009; Görka and Lewicka-Szczech, 2013; Pang et al., 2016), respectively. Once released in the atmosphere, the emitted gas mixes with background CO2, which is characterized by a markedly higher isotopic ratio (i.e. −8.6‰ vs. V-PDB, as annual average measured in 2019 at Mauna Loa Observatory; Keeling et al., 2005). Actually, the measured δ13C-CO2 values (from −12.1 to −9.7‰ vs. V-PDB) were intermediate between those anthropogenic sources and background values. As shown in Fig. 3A, the daily averages of δ13C-CO2 values showed an overall increasing trend during the observation period, with a flattening
around −10.5‰ vs. V-PDB starting from the second half of May, which could be ascribed to a progressive decrease in the amount of non-background CO₂ in air as well as to a change in the isotopic composition of the emitted CO₂. To verify this hypothesis, a Keeling plot analysis (Keeling, 1958, 1961) was performed on the measured data in order to assess the temporal evolution of the carbon isotopic signature of the emitted CO₂.

**Fig. 2.** (A) Road traffic (in %), (B) natural gas consumption (in %) and (C) temperature (in °C, in reverse scale) variations. Road mobility and natural gas consumption were normalized as described in the text. The colored areas refer to PRE (red), LH (orange), LN (yellow) and P2 (cyan) phases. (D) Diurnal cycle of natural gas consumption (in %), normalized as described in the text, during PRE (red curve), LH (orange curve), LN (yellow curve) and P2 (cyan curve) phases. The shaded areas represent 95% confidence intervals.

**Fig. 3.** (A) Temporal pattern of δ¹³C-CO₂ values, expressed as daily averages (black dashed curve) and 15-day rolling averages (red curve). The δ¹³C values resulting from the Keeling plot analysis, expressed as daily averages (grey dashed curve) and 15-day rolling averages (blue curve), are also reported. (B) Temporal pattern of (i) CO₂ fluxes (in μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), expressed as daily averages (black dashed curve) and 15-day rolling averages (red curve), (ii) CO₂ concentrations (in ppm) measured at street level at DST-Unifi and expressed as daily averages (grey dashed curve) and 15-day rolling averages (blue curve), and (iii) CO₂ concentrations (in ppm) measured at roof level at Ximenes Observatory and expressed as daily averages (orchid dashed curve) and 15-day rolling averages (purple curve). The colored areas refer to PRE (red), LH (orange), LN (yellow) and P2 (cyan) phases.
CO₂ emitted from the urban sources (δ¹³C in ‰ vs. V-PDB). According to this method, the δ¹³C values were calculated from the measured CO₂ concentrations and δ¹³C-CO₂ values as the intercept of the data regression line on a δ¹³C-CO₂ vs. 1/CO₂ binary plot (not shown) by adopting a series of quality criteria, i.e. considering (i) 5 h intervals of monotonous increase of hourly-averaged CO₂ concentrations, (ii) a r² threshold of 0.75 and (iii) an intercept standard error limit of 2‰ (e.g. Pataki et al., 2003a, 2003b; Chamberlain et al., 2016; Vardag et al., 2016; Venturi et al., 2020). The resulting δ¹³C values, representing the carbon isotopic signature of the non-background CO₂, expressed as both (i) daily averages (grey dashed curve) and (ii) 15-day rolling averages (blue curve), ranged from -36.8 to -25.0‰ vs. V-PDB, with a progressive increase from ca. -32.1‰ in LH to ca. -27.2‰ at the end of May and the beginning of June (Fig. 3A), confirming an evolution in the isotopic composition of the CO₂ emitted in the urban area. In particular, the obtained temporal trend highlighted the rapid response of carbon isotopic data to changes in emitting sources, i.e. from a relevant though progressively decreasing input to the atmosphere from natural gas combustion during the LH phase to a largely prevailing traffic-derived CO₂ during the P2 phase.

4.3. Temporal patterns of urban CO₂ fluxes

While isotopic data revealed a drastic change in the origin of urban atmospheric CO₂ caused by changes in citizens’ habits, either related or not to COVID-induced restrictions, the amount of the emitted CO₂ from the urban area can be evaluated by analyzing the temporal evolution in EC measurements. As shown in Fig. 3B, where the temporal variation of urban CO₂ fluxes measured in Florence before, during and after the confinement time is reported in terms of both (i) daily averages (black dashed curve) and (ii) 15-day rolling averages (red curve), daily CO₂ flux averages were characterized by a wide day-by-day variability. However, a clear stepwise decreasing trend was recognized, with three distinct time intervals of nearly steady-state conditions being separated by abrupt and sudden shifts and roughly corresponding to PRE, LH and LN phases. In particular, the temporal evolution in urban CO₂ fluxes at the beginning of the lockdown period (from PRE to LH phases) resembled that of road mobility (Fig. 2A), with a ca. 60% reduction coincident with the entry into force of the #Ilorestoacasa decree law (Fig. 3B). The transition from PRE to LH phases was characterized by changes in the diurnal cycle of CO₂ fluxes (Fig. 4A), as follows: (i) overall reduction of ca. 23 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ on average (corresponding to a drop of ca. 62% with respect to PRE levels), increasing at 30 and 36 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ during the morning (8:00–11:00) and evening (20:00–23:00) peaks, respectively (corresponding to a reduction of 48 and 78%, respectively), and (ii) disappearance of the evening peak in the diurnal cycle of CO₂ fluxes during the LH phase, mostly related to the reduction in urban road traffic. Hence, the CO₂ fluxes during the LH phase (oscillating around 13 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), being mainly governed by domestic heating, provided a rough estimation of CO₂ emissions from housing at the end of the heating season. Not surprisingly, the LN phase, i.e. when the vehicular traffic was stopped, was characterized by (i) an overall decrease of ca. 10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in daily-averaged CO₂ fluxes with respect to LH phase (Fig. 3B), and (ii) the disappearance of the morning peak in CO₂ fluxes (Fig. 4B), with a drop of ca. 27 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in the 8:00–11:00 time interval.

Lastly, no significant differences were observed in the CO₂ fluxes between LN and P2 phases, although the more constant diurnal pattern observed during the P2 phase (Fig. 4B) is likely testifying the resumption of traffic as a major driver of CO₂ fluxes from the urban area during the non-heating season. A slight increase in the CO₂ fluxes was indeed observed after the beginning of phase 2, with an increase in daily-averaged EC fluxes of ca. 0.06 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ per day.

4.4. Temporal variations in urban CO₂ concentrations

The observed temporal patterns in urban CO₂ fluxes evidenced a rapid response of EC measurements to changes in the urban sources of GHG caused by COVID-related measures and domestic heating switch-off, testified by the variations in δ¹³C-CO₂ values. Similarly, despite the small variations in concentrations and carbon isotopic values of CH₄ throughout the observation period (<0.1 ppm and around 1‰ vs. V-PDB; Fig. 5), the progressively increasing trend in the δ¹³C-CH₄ values confirmed the decrease in GHG contribution from natural gas consumption during the LN and P2 phases. On the other hand, the impact of such changes on urban atmospheric CO₂ concentrations should not be considered so obvious. In fact, differently from short-term pollutants, CO₂ persists in the atmosphere for long time, progressively accumulating in a continuously increasing trend. As a consequence, despite the cutting in CO₂ emissions due to the COVID-19 crisis (Le Quéré et al., 2020), a negligible effect was observed on the buildup of global atmospheric CO₂ levels (Betts et al., 2020), a phenomenon that was effectively depicted with the renowned imagery of a filling bathtub: the water inflow through the tub represents CO₂ emissions, whereas the water level corresponds to the CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere, which is the actual responsible for long-term climate change: hence,
even if the emissions are reduced, the bathtub continue to fill although at a slower rate (Sterman and Sweeney, 2007; Sterman, 2011).

The temporal variation of daily CO₂ concentration averages measured at street level is shown in Fig. 3B, where it is compared with both EC measurements and daily CO₂ concentration averages registered by the EC station. Similarly to CO₂ fluxes, daily CO₂ concentration averages were characterized by large day-by-day fluctuations. However, the 15-day rolling averages highlighted an overall decrease in diurnal CO₂ concentrations during the whole monitoring period (Fig. 3B). While data from EC station revealed strong decreases in CO₂ concentrations at the transition from PRE to LH phase and at the end of the heating season, CO₂ levels from April to June showed minor variations at both roof and street level. The diurnal CO₂ concentrations measured at street level were higher than those recorded at the rooftop of the Ximenes observatory, likely due to a vertical stratiﬁcation and a buildup effect of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations within the urban street canyons. Noteworthy, a steeper decrease in diurnal CO₂ concentrations measured at street level was recognized during the LN phase after the end of the heating season. However, differently from EC ﬂux data, daily CO₂ concentration averages did not show a clear stepwise trend with shifts concomitant with changes in the urban emitting patterns. Similarly, no relevant variations were observed in the diurnal cycle of CO₂ concentrations (Fig. 4C), which were characterized by a peak around 06–08 and lowest values during afternoon. The morning peak appeared to be more pronounced during the LH phase and more ﬂattened during the LN phase.

However, it must be taken into account that measured CO₂ concentrations are the results of mixing between background levels and contributions from emitting sources, with varying quantitative ratios as a function of (i) variability of atmospheric turbulence, (ii) seasonal changes in background concentrations and (iii) variations in the strength of the emitting sources. Although the use of 15-day rolling averages limited the influence of the first factor, the decreasing trend observed in daily CO₂ concentration averages could still be affected by both seasonality, leading to a decrease in background CO₂ levels in spring and summer in the Northern Hemisphere, and changes in local CO₂ emissions. In order to distinguish these different effects, a statistical analysis of the CO₂ concentration data was performed. The frequency distribution of data was investigated using histograms. As an example, the histograms produced considering one-second-frequent CO₂ concentrations of the whole dataset and of LH, LN and P2 phases are shown in Fig. 6A–D, although similar histograms were constructed for each day (not shown). The analysis of the histograms revealed that CO₂ concentrations were described by the mixing of two distinct normal populations. The first one (hereafter, population A) was centered around 419 ppm and described the 60% of the whole dataset. The second one (hereafter, population B) was centered around 445 ppm and represented the remaining 40% of the data. This indicates two alternative states of the atmospheric CO₂ levels, which roughly corresponded to (i) afternoon and (ii) early morning rush hour (5:00–9:00) conditions (Fig. 4C), i.e. related to (i) high convective turbulence conditions promoting dispersion and dilution phenomena and (ii) more stable conditions favoring buildup of airborne contaminants, respectively. The temporal variation of the barycenter of the two populations, expressed as 15-day rolling average, is shown in Fig. 6E. Population A displayed a nearly constant decreasing trend, with a slope of ca. −0.14 ppm/day, mostly related to seasonal ﬂuctuations of the background CO₂ levels and seasonal variability of atmospheric turbulence dynamics. Differently, population B was characterized by a less regular pattern, with an overall decreasing trend interrupted by a trough during the LN phase. In order to decompose the temporal trend of population A and remove the effect of seasonality, the difference between populations B...
and A was calculated (Fig. 6E). The obtained values corresponded to the daily CO₂ enhancement not related to fluctuations in background values and dispersion dynamics but more strictly governed by the strength of local emitting sources, which are expected to directly influence the peak-to-ampitude of the diurnal CO₂ variations (Fig. 4C). As evidenced in Fig. 6E, CO₂ concentrations experienced a progressive decrease throughout LN phase, followed by a net increase at the beginning of P2 phase with a shift of ca. 5 ppm from the beginning to the half of May, when a stabilization in the difference between populations A and B was observed, resembling both the temporal pattern of urban road mobility (Fig. 2A) and the evolution of the isotopic signature of non-background atmospheric CO₂ (Fig. 3A), and hence representing the contribution from the resumption of urban traffic.

4.5. Distributional analysis and structure of the system dynamics

The distributional analysis of the concentrations of CO₂ (ppm) measured by the Picarro G2201-i cavity ring-down spectrometer (CRDS) and the δ¹³C-CO₂ are reported in Fig. 7A and B, respectively, following the idea of Scheffer et al. (2012) to reverse the y-axis, thus considering the probability density as a proxy of the effect of a potential related to the action of the environmental drivers. Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) was used as a non-parametric way to evaluate the shape of the probability density function of the analyzed random variables (Ahmad and Ran, 2004). The configuration allowed to identify the presence of different basins of attraction for data and, consequently, the flickering of the systems to different alternative states. The CO₂ concentration was exactly in the last situation, showing alternative states that can be populated by the data depending on the effect of external drivers (Fig. 7A); on the other hand, δ¹³C-CO₂ presented a more stable configuration (Fig. 7B). The statistical analysis of the time-behavior for CO₂ concentrations measured during the whole monitoring period indicated that the series was not stationary (KPSS test, p < 0.05, presence of a decreasing trend) and that the rankings of values were not random (runs test, p < 0.05; Kwiatkowski et al., 1992; Alhakim and Hooper, 2008). A similar result was obtained for the δ¹³C-CO₂ values but related to an increasing trend. These evidences agreed with previous observations based on an exploratory analysis of the dataset and highlighted the occurrence of a seasonal effect largely governing the overall temporal trend of CO₂ concentrations and isotopic composition. Consequently, in both the situations autocorrelation in time was expected, but the δ¹³C-CO₂ values appeared to have a higher capacity of recovery under perturbation with respect to the CO₂ concentration, due to the absence of different attraction basins able to generate flickering. This condition also had an important effect on data variance in time. Thus, both the variables showed a gradual change in time but more instability was expected for CO₂ when compared to the δ¹³C-CO₂ data with respect to the variation in environmental drivers. Accordingly, the partitioning of data into LH, LN and P2 phases did not produce a significant change in the shape of δ¹³C-CO₂ configuration, except for a shift towards higher values as a consequence of the seasonal trend (Fig. 7B). Different, in the case of CO₂ concentrations (Fig. 7A), the COVID-19 lockdown period (LH and LN phases) did not result in a change of the overall data distribution, but it produced an evident deepening of the first basin of attraction, corresponding to low CO₂ levels. Noteworthy, both CO₂ and δ¹³C-CO₂ variables tended to follow a Gaussian distribution (or a mixture between two Gaussian distributions) for which variability around the mean emerges from the combined, additive influence of innumerable weak, accidental and mutually independent factors. Accordingly, while the confinement measures directly affected atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, in line with previous observations, removing part of active CO₂ sources, they did not change the overall frequency distribution shape of data, which was largely governed by natural environmental components. These results were in line with findings by Gualtieri et al. (2021) who evidenced that emission reduction actions alone have limited effects on CO₂ concentrations at local urban scale due to the large influence played by meteorological conditions. Nevertheless, the deepening of the first basin of attraction (Fig. 7A) testified that the COVID-19 restrictions made low CO₂ concentrations more resilient, that is more resistant to perturbations in time until a new threshold is achieved. Consequently, it is reliable to affirm that the changes of citizen habits during the pandemic produced a
Fig. 7. Probability density distribution (with reversed y-axis) of (A) CO$_2$ concentrations (in ppm), (B) $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ and (C) CO$_2$ fluxes (in $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) are reported for the whole observation period and for PRE, LH, LN and P2 phases.
tangible, though limited, effect on urban atmospheric CO2 levels with high values becoming less frequent. In particular, the second basin of attraction, i.e. that corresponding to relatively high CO2 levels, appeared to be highly unstable and largely depending on the strength of the emission sources. Accordingly, the consistency of the frequency distribution shape for the $\delta^{13}$C-CO2 values during LH, LN and P2 phases confirmed that, independently from the characteristics of the emission processes, atmospheric CO2 dynamics at urban scale were strictly controlled by dilutive effects regulated by source strengths and turbulent atmospheric diffusion and transport. The discrepancy of behavior between CO2 and $\delta^{13}$C-CO2 has important implications in correlating (e.g. binary plots) the two variables for interpret geochemical processes since for the first one the variance could have an important role in masking plural-modality (alternative states) not corresponding in the second one.

The analysis of the frequency distribution shape for the CO2 fluxes measured during the whole observation period (Fig. 7C) allows us to visualize a further situation characterized by an asymmetrical frequency distribution where high values are a rarer event. The whole distribution could follow an exponential model (normal, log-normal and power law models being more distant) as the expression of additive perturbations in time supporting the hypothesis that component processes themselves, instead of interactions among systems' components, dominate the observed variability (van Rooij et al., 2013). The runs and KPSS test indicate that also the CO2 flux time series is not stationary and random so that autocorrelation is expected, in agreement with the decreasing trend evidenced in Fig. 3B. The partitioning of data into PRE, LH, LN and P2 phases highlighted a change in the frequency distribution shape for the CO2 fluxes (Fig. 7C). While the PRE phase displayed a flattened curve with a large fraction of high CO2 flux values, the onset of the lockdown resulted in the occurrence of a basin of attraction at low CO2 flux values. While the LH phase preserved a significant fraction of high CO2 flux values, as a consequence of domestic heating, the LN phase displayed an abrupt deepening of the basin of attraction, which was only partially recovered during P2 phase, and that represented the amount of CO2 emissions related to urban basal metabolism.

Summarizing, in all the analyzed cases the variables describe a component-dominant dynamics. Interactions and feedback mechanisms thus appear to be minimized. In the case of CO2 concentrations and $\delta^{13}$C-CO2 values the dynamics appears to preserve information only about the mean and the variance while in the case of CO2 flux measurements, basically exponential (a continuous distribution that has highest probability for zero or small values), only information about the mean is preserved (Frank, 2009).

In this framework, the COVID-19 restrictions appear to have had a peculiar impact on the CO2 concentration measures, thus enhancing the presence of stable alternative states, even if in a restricted time interval, permitting the flickering of the system.

5. Conclusions

The present study has demonstrated that the dramatic drop in human activities produced appreciable reductions in CO2 fluxes and in atmospheric CO2 concentrations (in terms of local CO2 enhancement) and related carbon isotopic signature measured at urban scale, evidencing the impact of COVID-related restrictions on the urban CO2 plume. In particular, EC CO2 fluxes showed a rapid response to changes in the strength of urban emitting sources, with fast and abrupt transitions between different steady-state conditions before the national lockdown period, at the end of the heating season and during the progressive resumption of normal urban functions and citizens' habits, with variations in the magnitude of CO2 fluxes being related to the extent of CO2 emissions from the main urban GHG sources, i.e. natural gas consumption and vehicular traffic. Accordingly, the stop imposed to urban mobility caused a c. 62% reduction of urban CO2 fluxes, corresponding to a decrease of 23 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which approximates the contribution from local vehicular traffic. On the other hand, the end of the heating season caused a drop up to 27 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ during the morning peak of the diurnal pattern of urban CO2 fluxes associated to the consumption of natural gas for the warming up of the buildings.

Similarly, the temporal evolution in the isotopic signature of atmospheric CO2 confirmed the direct impact of the changing GHGs sources on the CO2 buildup in the urban air. Accordingly, although the daily-averaged CO2 concentrations showed no remarkable variations clearly attributable to COVID-related restrictions at first sight, an in-depth analysis on the diurnal cycle of CO2 concentrations allowed to recognize the direct footprint of the easing in urban mobility restrictions on the diurnal CO2 enhancement.

Results on the shape of frequency distribution confirmed that some essential features of the dynamics that govern stochastic environmental systems can be understood without specific knowledge about the components affecting the system itself (Holden et al., 2009; Holden and Rajaraman, 2012). The obtained indications could be instead used to formulate hypothesis to build-up basic generative models for further developments. In our case, it emerges the role played by the variance that could be used in future as an early warning signals to monitor changes in time. It is, indeed, a statistic whose behavior is able to move data from one distribution to another, governing the passage among different distributions (log-normal, power laws or exponential models), and contributing to the presence of stable/instable alternative states (Mitzenmacher, 2004; Scheffer et al., 2012; van Rooij et al., 2013).

Unveiling the relationships between human activities, CO2 emissions and atmospheric CO2 concentrations at urban scale through appropriate GHGs monitoring networks will be important to develop adequate emission accounting and verification methodologies, helping to build the sustainable cities of the next future, on the front line in the fight against climate change, and guiding the local, national and supranational political strategies.
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