The aim of the paper is to study the impact of population dynamics on gross domestic product GDP growth in India. During last two decades India has been showing phenomenal economic development. One of its main reasons is economic and social policy has been provided by government. Since 1990-s India has deregulated several industries, has privatized many state-owned enterprises, and contributed to foreign direct investment. The Indian middle class, that is almost a quarter of its total population amount, has been driving economic growth in India. High level of education and English-speaking population in urban areas attract foreign investments and provide fertile ground for an intensive development of the country’s high-technology sector.

Economic views on the relationship of population and economic growth are divided into two areas: one that argues that population growth contributes to the nation’s economy by stimulating economic growth and development, and the other argues that population growth may be detrimental to the nation’s economy. Results of this research are consistent with the first theory.

The study revealed that in 1961—2018 population dynamics (ages 15—64) was associated with GDP growth (per capita) in India positively, and strength of the relationship was moderate that means there are many factors push economic growth in India and population dynamics is only one of them. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller test has been used to check population and GDP growth series to identify if they are stationary and can be used for Granger causality test. It has shown that population and GDP growth series are stationary and Granger causality test can be performed to identify the direction of causality for these series. Granger causality test has shown that population dynamics Granger causes GDP growth in India. Correlation coefficient $R=0.54$ demonstrates that population dynamics has been playing important but not a single role in stimulating economic development in India. Therefore population dynamics can be effective driver of economic development of the state being combined with other policy measures.

Дослідження присвячене впливу динаміки населення на економічний розвиток Індії. Протягом останніх двох десятиліть Індія демонструє феноменальний економічний розвиток. Однією з його головних причин є економічна та соціальна політика, що поводилася урядом. Починаючи з 1990-х років, Індія дерегулювала кілька галузей промисловості, приватизувала багато державних підприємств та сформувала сприятливі умови для прямих іноземних інвестицій. Індійський середній клас, що становить майже чверть загальної кількості населення, сприяє економічному зростанню. Високий рівень освіти та англомовне населення у міських районах приваблюють іноземні інвестиції та забезпечують сприятливі підгрунтя для інтенсивного розвитку сектору високих технологій в країні.

Позиції економістів щодо взаємозв’язку населення та економічного зростання розділяються зголом на два напрями: той, який стверджує, що збільшення чисельності населення сприяє економічній нації, стимулюючи економічне зростання та розвиток, а інший стверджує, що збільшення чисельності населення може згубно впливати на економіку нації. Результати цього дослідження підтримують перший напрям.

Дослідження продемонструвало, що в 1961—2018 роках динаміка населення (у віці 15—64 років) в Індії було позитивно пов’язано зі зростанням ВВП (на душу населення), а ступінь цього зв’язку був по-
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to foreign direct investments [5]. English is one of India’s official subsidiary languages. This, combined with the high level of education and comparatively low wages, attracts U.S. technology and call centers to India. India has a young and growing youth population, and about two-thirds of the total population is of working age (between 15 and 64) [6]. The Indian middle class is almost 250 million people — a quarter of its total population amount. K. Amadeo in her research paper states that Indian middle class will continue to drive India’s consumer spending and economic growth [5]. Rohan Kothare has also stated that India has become one of the world’s fastest growing economies primarily due to the rise in population growth creating a positive effect on its long run economic growth. Due to the rising population a large labor force is thus created. Through Indian fiscal policies, India was able to spend money on education to instruct the youth and adults, in order to help them play a productive role in India’s economy [13]. Indian experience shows that the promise of a good outcome is greater when countries not only support foreign direct investments but also are able to invest in themselves.

Results of this research are consistent with previous studies results. Correlation analysis of the relationship between population dynamics and GDP growth in India has brought the following results (see table 1). Correlation coefficient value reaches the highest degree if lag = 0 and decreases as lag increases. The study revealed that in 1961—2018 population dynamics (ages 15—64) was associated with GDP growth (per capita) in India positively that means population growth is accompanied with GDP per capita growth. Association strength in all cases studied is moderate that means there are many factors push economic growth in India and population dynamics is only one of them.

Granger causality test can prove if GDP growth is followed by population dynamics or vice versa, but it provides reliable results if only series are stationary. In the research the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test has been used to check population and GDP growth series to identify if they are stationary. The Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (ADF) is unit root test for stationarity. Unit roots can cause unpredictable results in time series analysis that’s why the analysis has been performed to be sure that the null hypothesis has been rejected (the null hypothesis for this test is that there is a unit root). According to ADF methodology p-value of less than 5% means that there is a unit root. Calculations results of ADF-test are following: p-value = 6 that allows to assume that there no unit root. To make sure t-statistic has been compared with a tabulated critical value: tcritical = 2.89, tcalculated = 8.7172, therefore tcritical < tcalculated. This result means that population and GDP growth series are stationary and Granger causality test can be performed to identify the causality direction of these series.

Results of correlation analysis of the relationship between population dynamics and GDP growth in India have been presented in table 1. Interpretation of correlation coefficient value

| Lag | Correlation coefficient value | Interpretation of correlation coefficient value |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 0   | 0.5411                        | 0.5 < 0.5411 < 0.7, moderate                  |
| 1 year | 0.534358                      | 0.5 < 0.534358 < 0.7, moderate                |
| 2 years | 0.523961                      | 0.5 < 0.523961 < 0.7, moderate                |
| 3 years | 0.504595                      | 0.5 < 0.504595 < 0.7, moderate                |

Table 1. Results of correlation analysis of relationship between population dynamics and GDP growth in India

Source: calculations have been performed by the author on the basis of [2].

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests

| Number of lags | Number of observations | Null Hypothesis | F-statistic | Probability |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 year         | 57                     | PD does not Granger cause GDP | 21.7016 | 2E-05       |
|                |                        | GDP does not Granger cause PD | 0.03366 | 0.8551      |
| 2 year         | 56                     | PD does not Granger cause GDP | 11.8280 | 6E-05       |
|                |                        | GDP does not Granger cause PD | 0.25526 | 0.7757      |
| 3 year         | 55                     | PD does not Granger cause GDP | 6.8167  | 0.0006      |
|                |                        | GDP does not Granger cause PD | 0.39686 | 0.7558      |

Table 2. Pairwise Granger Causality Tests

Source: calculations have been performed by the author on the basis of [2].
Granger causality test for the period 1961—2018 revealed that population dynamics (PD) Granger causes GDP growth. But the bigger the number of lags the smaller magnitude of F-statistics becomes and the magnitude of probability also grows (see Table 1). In the table the results show that first null hypothesis can be rejected therefore population dynamics (PD) Granger causes GDP growth. Another null hypothesis cannot be rejected. According to Granger causality test methodology if p-value of less than 0.05 means that null hypothesis can be rejected. In the research calculated critical therefore null hypothesis can be also rejected using this test and population dynamics Granger causes GDP growth. Therefore population dynamics can be effective driver of economic development of the state being combined with other policy measures.

Results of this research are consistent with previous studies results. Correlation coefficient R=0.54 demonstrates that population dynamics played important but not a single role in economic development in India. Granger analysis results confirm that GDP growth follows population increase.

**Conclusions**

During last two decades India has been showing phenomenal economic development. One of its main reasons is economic and social policy has been provided by government. Since 1990-s India has deregulated several industries, has privatized many state-owned enterprises, and contributed to foreign direct investments. The Indian middle class, that is almost a quarter of its total population amount, has been driving economic growth in India. High level of education and English-speaking population in urban areas attract foreign investments and provide fertile ground for an intensive development of the country’s high-technology sector.

The novelty of the paper lies in the following. The study revealed that in 1961—2018 population dynamics (ages 15—64) was associated with GDP growth (per capita) in India positively, and the level of the relationship was moderate that means there are many factors stimulating economic growth in India and population dynamics is only one of them. Within the research the Augmented Dickey—Fuller has been used to check population and GDP growth series to identify if they are stationary and can be used for Granger causality test. It has shown that population and GDP growth series are stationary and Granger causality test can be performed to identify the causality direction of these series.

Granger causality test has shown that population dynamics Granger causes GDP growth in India. Correlation coefficient R=0.54 demonstrates that population dynamics played important but not a single role in economic development in India. Therefore positive population dynamics can be effective driver of economic development of the state being combined with other policy measures. India’s experience could be a valuable lesson for other developing countries Ukraine included. The following studies will be focused on the other factors that influence GDP growth in India.
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