Synthesis and Characterization of Hydroxypropylcellulose from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunches (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq)
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Abstract

Indonesia has a lot of oil palm empty fruit bunches (EFB) and usually discarded as agricultural waste. The major component of this oil palm EFB is cellulose, which is useful for food industry in the form of cellulose derivatives such as hydroxypropylcellulose (HPC). This paper reported about a method to prepare HPC from oil palm EFB as cellulose source. Oil palm EFB was dried, cut and milled to obtain EFB powder passed from 60 mesh screen. Cellulose in the EFB powder was extracted using 4% NaOH at 100°C for 3.5 h, and then bleached using 5% NaOCl at 30°C for 3 h. HPC was synthesized from cellulose using NaOH at 5-25% at 25°C for 1 h, then propylene oxide (PO) at 0.6-1.4 mL per g cellulose was added to the slurry and the temperature was adjusted to 55°C for 3 h. HPC from EFB cellulose had more less characters than its commercial especially in purity level. Alkalization using 10% NaOH and its etherification using 1.4% (v/w) PO gave HPC with the highest molar substitution (MS), viscosity, purity and crystallinity i.e. 0.1049; 76.88 cps; 76.91% and 24.39%, respectively.
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Introduction

In 2008, Indonesia is the largest crude palm oil producer and having the largest palm oil plantation in the world. Oil palm empty fruit bunches (EFB) is solid waste derived from process of extraction of crude palm oil (CPO) from fresh fruits bunches (FFB). From 1 ton of FFB will produced 22 – 23% EFB, that containing lignocellulosic materials having cellulose (45,95%), hemicellulose (22,84%), lignin (16,49%), and ash (1,23%) (Darnoko, 1992; Rahman et al., 2007). Oil palm EFB Cellulose is the predominant polymer in lignocellulosic material, having a linear homopolymer of anhydroglucose units linked together by β-1.4 glucosidic bonds (Imeson, 1999; Foyle et al., 2007). However, due to its inter- and intra-molecular hydrogen bonds, cellulose neither melts nor dissolves readily in common solvents (Imeson, 1999; Hattori et al., 2004).

In order to utilize cellulose in food industry, cellulose must be converted to its derivatives such as carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) or hydroxypropylcellulose (HPC). Some methods to isolate and modify cellulose from agricultural products have been developed by many researcher (Adinugraha et al., 2005; Barai et al., 1997; Ramos et al., 2005; Yasar et al., 2007).

HPC is prepared by reacting alkali cellulose with propylene oxide (PO) in addition with inert diluents such as isopropanol. When alkylene oxides are used as reactants, new hydroxyl substitutent groups that can further react are
formed, and chaining out is possible. The extent of derivation is measured as the molar substitution (MS), where MS is defined as the average number of moles of substituent groups per anhydroglucose unit (Imeson, 1999). HPC is used for its emulsifying and thickening properties. It is soluble in water below 40°C and in many polar organic solvent e.g. methyl alcohol, ethyl alcohol, isopropyl alcohol (Mezdour et al., 2006).

![Structure of a repeating unit of Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPC). (Mezdour, 2006)](Fig. 1)

An idealized structure for the repeated unit of HPC molecule is given in Fig. 1. Hydroxyl groups in cellulose, usually replaced by hydroxypropyl groups in the order of \( \text{C}_2 > \text{C}_3 > \text{C}_4 \) (Ho and Klosiewicz, 1980; Reuben and Conner, 1983; Tezuka, et al., 1996; Coffey et al., 1995). The objectives of this research were to develop a method of utilizing EFB as a resource of cellulose and modify its structure to hydroxypropyl cellulose (HPC).

**Materials and Methods**

**Extraction of Cellulose from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunches (EFB)**

EFB was obtained from a plantation in Riau Province - Indonesia. The obtained EFB was dried, cut into small pieces (± 1 cm) then milled for resulting EFB powder. The powder was passed through 60 mesh sieve. Basically, the Cellulose from EFB powder was extracted using our previous method (Adinugraha et al., 2005) with slight modification. Cellulose in the EFB powder was extracted in 4% NaOH (based on optimization study) at ratio of EFB powder to NaOH solution was 1 : 20 (w/v) for 3.5 h at 100°C. The obtained black slurry was filtrated and washed using distilled water and bleached with 5% NaOCl for 3 h at 30°C. The bleached cellulose was washed again until the odor of hypochlorite could no longer be detected, then dried at 60°C in a cabinet dryer.

**Synthesis of Hydroxypropylcellulose (HPC)**

The cellulose powder was alkalized at 25°C for 1 h in a shaking waterbath with 4 mL/g of various concentration of NaOH (5, 10, 15, 20 and 25%) in 20 mL/g of isopropanol as a solvent. After the alkalization process is over, various amount of propylene oxide (PO) 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 and 1.4 mL per g cellulose was added and the temperature raised to 55°C and the reaction continued for 3 h. The slurry was neutralized with 90% of acetic acid and then filtrated. The solid obtained as HPC was washed by 70% ethanol for four times to remove undesirable by products. The obtained cellulose derivative (HPC) was dried at 60°C in an cabinet dryer.

**Characterization of Hydroxypropyl Cellulose (HPC)**

The molar substitution is determined by FAO & WHO (2001). While the moisture content, viscosity and purity of HPC were determined by the ASTM D 1439-94 standard method (ASTM, 1994).

**X-ray Diffraction and FT-IR Spectroscopy of HPC**

A shimadzu XRD-6000 X-ray diffractometer was operated at Cu Kα wavelength of 1.54 Å, 30 mA and 40 kV. The crystallinity of cellulose was calculated using the method from Wakida et al., (2002).

Infrared spectra of the HPC samples were recorded with Shimadzu FTIR-8201 PC. Pellets were made from HPC samples (~3 mg) ground with KBr (~800 mg). Transmission was measured at the wave number range of 4000-400 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Result and Discussion**

The obtained MS from this work was in the range of 0.0020-0.1049, while according to Maruyama and Umezawa (2003), HPC for food applications and pharmaceutical was in the range 0.1- 0.5. The effect when the MS is below 0.1 it must be difficult to obtain the optimal water binding. The effect of NaOH and PO levels to the MS of HPC are shown in Table 1.

As shown on Table 1, the MS of HPC increased with increase in PO level especially at 5 and 10% of NaOH. The highest MS was obtained at 10% concentration of NaOH with PO 1.4 mL/g.
Table 1. Effect of various concentration of aqueous solutions of NaOH and amount of PO to the molar substitution of HPC made from EFB cellulose.

| Propylene oxide (mL/g) | Molar substitution (MS) |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
|                        | NaOH (%) | 5   | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | Mean  |
|                        |          | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 |       |
|                        |          |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| 0.6                    | 0.0059  | b  | ab | bcd | da | bde | h   |
| 0.8                    | 0.0001  | a  | abc | cd | ef | gh | ikl |
| 1.0                    | 0.0051  | e  | abc | cd | ef | gh | ikl |
| 1.2                    | 0.0133  | f  | abc | cd | ef | gh | ikl |
| 1.4                    | 0.0317  | g  | abc | cd | ef | gh | ikl |
| Mean                   | 0.0116  | p  | p  | p  | p  | p  | p    |

Note: Nominal were followed by the same words showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%. The average of nominal were followed by the same words in the line showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%. The average of nominal were followed by the same words in the column showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%.

Table 2. Effect of various concentration of aqueous solutions of NaOH and amount of PO to the purity level of HPC made from EFB cellulose.

| Propylene oxide (mL/g) | Purity level (%) |
|------------------------|------------------|
|                        | NaOH (%) | 5   | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | Mean  |
|                        |          | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 |       |
|                        |          |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| 0.6                    | 89.16    | ab | ab | ab | ab | ab | ab   |
| 0.8                    | 86.85    | cdef | ef | ef | ef | ef | ef   |
| 1.0                    | 88.23    | def | def | def | def | def | def  |
| 1.2                    | 86.36    | cdef | ef | ef | ef | ef | ef   |
| 1.4                    | 82.96    | abcdef | ef | ef | ef | ef | ef   |
| Mean                   | 86.71    | p  | p  | p  | p  | p  | p    |

Note: Nominal were followed by the same words showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%. The average of nominal were followed by the same words in the line showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%. The average of nominal were followed by the same words in the column showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%.

Table 3. Effect of various concentration of aqueous solutions of NaOH and amount of PO to the viscosity of HPC made from EFB cellulose.

| Propylene oxide (mL/g) | Viscosity (cps) |
|------------------------|-----------------|
|                        | NaOH (%) | 5   | 10  | 15  | 20  | 25  | Mean  |
|                        |          | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 |       |
|                        |          |    |    |    |    |    |       |
| 0.6                    | 54.38    | ab | ab | ab | ab | ab | ab   |
| 0.8                    | 51.25    | a  | ab | ab | ab | ab | ab   |
| 1.0                    | 52.50    | e  | abc | abc | abc | abc | abc  |
| 1.2                    | 58.13    | bc | bc | bc | bc | bc | bc   |
| 1.4                    | 69.38    | hijk | jkl | jkl | jkl | jkl | jkl  |
| Mean                   | 57.13    | p  | p  | p  | p  | p  | p    |

Note: Nominal were followed by the same words showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%. The average of nominal were followed by the same words in the line showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%. The average of nominal were followed by the same words in the column showed that they were not different for significant level of 95%.
This is due to the greater level of PO in the proximity of cellulose molecules. However at high concentration of NaOH, the increasing level of PO could not increase MS value, but the declining of MS was observed. This was likely due to the polymer degradation was occurred due to high concentration of NaOH. At the low level of PO (0.6 and 0.8 mL) per g cellulose and NaOH concentration below 10%, low MS were obtained. These phenomena might be occurred due to limited amount of PO available for substituting cellulose and the NaOH concentration was not adequate to complete the conversion of cellulose to alkali cellulose. The declining of MS at higher concentration of NaOH (10%) could also be due to degradation of HPC polymers. The highest MS of HPC from this work was 0.1049 and synthesized using 10% of NaOH and 1.4 mL PO per g cellulose. The effect of NaOH concentration and PO amount to the purity of HPC are shown in Table 2.

It could be seen that at the same level of PO with increasing NaOH concentration (above 10%), the purity of obtained HPC decreased (Table 2). The increasing level of PO also could not increase the purity level almost at all concentration of NaOH. The α-cellulose content in EFB cellulose that used in this work was only 79.83% (db). The impurities that have reactive hydroxyl groups could react with PO. It made possible that etherification agent reacted with those impurities.

From Table 3, it is obvious that etherification at the low concentration of NaOH (5%), the increasing level of PO could not increased the viscosity of resulted HPC. But, at higher concentration of NaOH (10%) the increasing level of PO could increase the viscosity of HPC, but furthermore lowered the viscosity. In this work, viscosity was measured in 1% (w/v) in water at 29ºC. The decrease of viscosity might be because of the degradation of HPC polymer especially at higher concentration of NaOH (>10%). If the polymer chain of HPC is shortened, the HPC is easier to dissolve in the water. At low concentration of NaOH with low level of PO, low viscosity of HPC solution was observed. This probably, the MS of HPC was low, that the ability of HPC to immobilize water was reduced owning to the lack of hydrophylic groups.

The relationship between MS and viscosity could be plotted as a linear curve to show the effect of increase of MS to higher the viscosity of HPC solution (Fig. 2). Surely this was due to more hydroxypropyl groups substituted the hydroxyl groups of cellulose polymers. These hydroxypropyl groups act as hydrophylic groups, therefore with the increase of MS, thus improved the ability of HPC to immobilize water in a system.

The infrared spectroscopy spectra of HPC with MS 0.1049 was shown in Fig. 4. The peaks at wave number of 2924.09 and 1334.74 cm⁻¹ indicated of the presence of hydroxypropyl substituent. According to Dean (1985) and Sastrohamidjojo (2007), methyl groups have wave number about 1450-1375 cm⁻¹, while alkane groups (C-H stretch) have wave number 2850-3000 cm⁻¹. The infrared spectroscopy spectra in Fig. 3 was similar to that shown in Fig. 4, but no peaks at some wave number like at spectra in Fig 3. Some unknown peaks at spectra in Fig. 4 were supposed to the contamination from impurities.

It is obvious that after alkalization process with 10% NaOH, the crystallinity of native EFB cellulose was reduced (Fig. 3). The crystallinity of native EFB cellulose and EFB cellulose after alkalization treatment were 39.13 and 31.67%, respectively. The crystallinity of cellulose was associated with inter- and intra-molecular hydrogen bond of cellulose. According to Foyle et al., (2007), hydrogen bonding between cellulose molecules results in the formation of highly ordered crystalline regions that are not readily accessible to water.

The decrease of crystallinity when the cellulose was treated with 10% NaOH was due to the cleavage of hydrogen bonds because of NaOH. From Fig. 5, it is also shown that cellulose I was

| Molar substitution (MS) | Viscosity (cps) |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| 0.02                    | 40             |
| 0.04                    | 45             |
| 0.06                    | 50             |
| 0.08                    | 55             |
| 0.10                    | 60             |
| 0.12                    | 65             |
| 0.14                    | 70             |
| 0.16                    | 75             |
| 0.18                    | 80             |

linear 1 (-): y = 593.81x + 51.385
R² = 0.9463
linear 2 (--): y = 184.88x + 62.417
R² = 0.832

Fig. 2. Relationship between MS and viscosity of HPC made from EFB cellulose

Table 3

| Molar substitution (MS) | Viscosity (cps) |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| 0.02                    | 40             |
| 0.04                    | 45             |
| 0.06                    | 50             |
| 0.08                    | 55             |
| 0.10                    | 60             |
| 0.12                    | 65             |
| 0.14                    | 70             |
| 0.16                    | 75             |
| 0.18                    | 80             |

Fig. 3. Infrared spectroscopy of HPC with MS 0.1049
converted to cellulose II. According to Coffey et al., (1995), cellulose II is obtained after mercerization, i.e., treatment with NaOH. This conversion was also result in the broadening the distance between cellulose polymer molecules. The substitution to the cellulose polymers will be relatively easier than cellulose without alkalization treatment with NaOH (Fengel and Wegener, 1989).

The HPC (MS 0.1049) resulted from this work had lower crystallinity (24.39%) than that of the commercial sample (MS 0.1330) did (27.27%), this was shown in Fig. 6. The different crystallinity levels may be resulted by the possibility of the different of cellulose source. The crystallinity of HPC with MS 0.1049 was lower than that of cellulose alkalized with 10% NaOH. This phenomenon was supposed to be the cleavage of the broadening hydrogen bonds due to hydroxypropyl substitution at the hydroxyl groups of cellulose.
Conclusion

HPC could be synthesized from hydroxypropylation of EFB cellulose, although it had less characters than the commercial sample. The highest MS and viscosity value (0.1049 and 76.88 cps, respectively) was prepared by alkalization with 10% NaOH and hydroxypropylation with PO 1.4 ml/g cellulose, but the purity level was low (76.91%). Therefore, further work is needed to give higher the purity of HPC.
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