Introduction

Breast invasive carcinoma (BRCA) is a phenomenon in which breast epithelial cells become uncontrollable under the action of various carcinogens. Breast cancer is a local disease, but it can metastasize to lymph nodes and distant organs (1). The incidence of breast cancer in women worldwide is 24.2%, ranking first among women’s cancers, 52.9% of which occur in developing countries (2,3). Many risk factors associated with the occurrence and progression of BRCA have been reported, such as genetic history, overnutrition, and obesity (4). Despite the significant advances in diagnosis, treatment and prognosis,
the prognosis for people with BRCA remains unsatisfactory, with 0.5 million deaths worldwide every year (3). Thus, it is urgent to seek effective therapeutic targets for BRCA.

SETDB1 (SET domain bifurcated histone lysine methyltransferase 1) is a protein lysine methyltransferase located on human chromosome 1q21.3 (5). SETDB1 can be expressed in the liver, brain, heart, ovary, and many other tissues. Previous studies showed that SETDB1 acts as a critical factor in the development of primordial germ cells (6,7). Accumulating evidence has shown that SETDB1 is involved in many human cancers (8-10). Loss of SETDB1 histone methyltransferase activity can influence alternative splicing in kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC) and could potentially target therapy (11). The relationship between SETDB1 and tumor immune invasion in BRCA has rarely been reported.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a major class of small noncoding RNAs that exist widely in eukaryotes. miRNA specifically binds to target messenger RNA (mRNA) to inhibit post-transcriptional gene expression and plays an important role in regulating gene expression, cell cycle, and developmental sequence of organisms. Accumulating evidences has shown that miRNAs regulate tumor progression and metastasis by interacting with target genes in the cells (12-14).

We assessed the expression analysis and the prognostic values of SETDB1 in human cancers. And then, we predicted that miR-29a-3p was associated with the SETDB1. Finally, we analyzed the correlation between SETDB1 expression with immune cell infiltration and immune checkpoints in BRCA. Those findings indicate that miR-29a-3p could mediate the expression of SETDB1 correlates with poor prognosis and immune infiltration in BRCA. We present the following article in accordance with the REMARK checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-1527).

Methods

The expression of SETDB1 in human cancer

The ONCOMINE database (www.oncomine.org) was used to analyze the expression of SETDB1 in various cancer types.

Cell line and RNA extraction

We obtained the human breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MCF-7) and a human mammary epithelial cell line (MCF-10A) from ATCC Cell Lines (Manassas, VA, USA). The cells were cultured with DMEM (Meilunbio, Dalian, China) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, USA) and 1% concentration of penicillin/streptomycin (Meilunbio, Dalian, China) at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Total RNA was obtained from the cell lines using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to the instructions. The absorbance ratio of A260/A280 and A260/A230 was determined by an ND1000 spectrophotometer for RNA quantification. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

qPCR

qPCR was detected with a CFX96 real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, USA). One μg RNA of each sample was transcribed into cDNA using HiScript III RT SuperMix for qPCR kit (Vazyme, China) according to the kit instruction after RNA extraction. According to the manufacturer's protocols, the qPCR was using ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, China). The expression level of SETDB1 mRNA was calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method and normalized to GAPDH. The primer sequences of SETDB1 were as follows: forward primer: 5’-GACTCTCTGAGACAACTTCCAAGGA-3’ and reverse primer: 5’-CAGGGATTGAGGGAGGAACA-3’. The primer sequences of GAPDH were as follows: forward primer: 5’-GACCTCTCTGAGACAACTTCCAAGGA-3’ and reverse primer: 5’-CAGGGATTGAGGGAGGAACA-3’.

Survival analysis

We evaluated the relationship between SETDB1 expression and survival in different cancer types with PrognoScan (http://dna00.bio.kyutech.ac.jp/PrognoScan/index.html) and Kaplan-Meier plotter (http://www.kmplot.com/ analysis/) (15,16). The relationship between SETDB1 expression level and prognosis was searched in PrognoScan, including overall survival (OS), disease-free survival (DFS), disease-specific survival (DSS), event-free survival (EFS) and relapse-free survival (RFS). We analyzed the correlation of SETDB1 expression between OS and RFS in pan-cancer using a Kaplan–Meier plotter.

Candidate miRNA prediction

starBase (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/) is a platform to perform the interaction of RNA and explore survival and
differential expression analysis (17). We predicted the correlation analysis of candidate miRNA and SETDB1 and performed the expression of miR-29a-3p in BRCA.

**GEPIA database analysis**

GEPIA is a research database for analyzing cancer and routine based on TCGA and GTEx data (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/) (18). We used GEPIA to determine the expression of SETDB1 with immune checkpoints in BRCA.

**TIMER database analysis**

TIMER (Tumor Immune Estimation Resource) (https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/) is an online research tool for tumor immunology (19). We used TIMER to assess the correlation of SETDB1 expression between the level of immune cell infiltration and immune checkpoints expression in BRCA.

**UALCAN database analysis**

UALCAN is an online website for analyzing cancer data (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/index.html) (20). We used UALCAN to assess the gene and protein level of SETDB1 in BRCA.

**Statistical analysis**

The results are shown as mean ± SEM. T-test was used to analyze the differences between the two groups. The threshold was set as P value <0.05 and log-rank P value <0.05.

**Results**

**The expression of SETDB1 in human cancer and cell lines**

We analyzed the expression of SETDB1 in human cancer from the ONCOMINE database. SETDB1 was significantly upregulated in the brain, breast, cervical, colorectal, gastric, leukemia, liver, lung, lymphoma, myeloma, and sarcoma. Meanwhile, lower expression of SETDB1 was found in the kidney and pancreatic (Figure 1A).

Next, we evaluate SETDB1 expression in pan-cancer using the TIMER database. As shown in Figure 1B, SETDB1 expression in BLCA, BRCA, CHOL, COAD, ESCA, GBM, HNSC, KIRC, KIRP, LIHC, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, READ, STAD, THCA, and UCEC was increased, and we found SETDB1 was only downregulated in KICH.

Similarly, the gene level and protein level of SETDN1 were also higher than the normal tissues (Figure 1C,1D). We also assessed the expression of SETDB1 in cell lines. As shown in Figure 1E, the relative expression of SETDB1 was higher in breast cancer cell lines than in normal cells.

**The prognostic values of SETDB1 in human cancer**

We assessed the prognostic value of SETDB1 for human cancer in PrognoScan, as shown in Figure 2. SETDB1 played a detrimental role in ovarian cancer (OS: HR =1.82, Cox P=0.00399173), blood cancer (DSS: HR =2.24, Cox P=0.00196555; OS: HR =6.26, Cox P=0.00239648; EFS: HR =5.63, Cox P=0.00251111), brain cancer (OS: HR =1.87, Cox P=0.048827), breast cancer (DFS: HR =5.73, Cox P=0.311536) and lung cancer (OS: HR =0.32, Cox P=0.0330507). However, the SETDB1 have a protective role in bladder cancer (DSS: HR =1.75, Cox P=0.0312558).

We then further evaluated SETDB1-related survival (OS and RFS) from the Kaplan-Meier plotter (Figure 3). SETDB1 was found to have detrimental prognostic factors in BLCA (RFS: HR =2.15, 95% CI: 1.05 to 4.4, longrank P=0.033), ESCA (OS: HR =0.64, 95% CI: 0.48 to 0.85, logrank P=0.029), KIRP (OS: HR =2.28, 95% CI: 1.25 to 4.19, logrank P=0.0061; RFS: HR =5.26, 95% CI: 2.11 to 13.12, logrank P=0.000071), LIHC (OS: HR =1.8, 95% CI: 1.27 to 2.56, logrank P=0.00089), READ (RFS: HR =9.37, 95% CI: 1.09 to 80.5, logrank P=0.013), SARC (OS: HR =1.53, 95% CI: 1.03 to 2.27, logrank P=0.036), UCEC (OS: HR =1.96, 95% CI: 1.29 to 2.96, logrank P=0.0012; RFS: HR =2.44, 95% CI: 1.43 to 4.17, logrank P=0.00071). Meanwhile, we found that SETDB1 has a protective factor in TGCT (RFS: HR =0.32, 95% CI: 0.11 to 0.92, logrank P=0.026) and BRCA (RFS: HR =0.63, 95% CI: 0.41 to 0.97, logrank P=0.032).

By combining the survival significance analysis of the two databases, we found that the expression of SETDB1 was associated with poor BRCA prognosis, and SETDB1 may be a biomarker for poor BRCA prognosis.

**Prediction and analysis of upstream miRNAs of SETDB1**

There are many kinds of gene expression regulation. Non-coding RNA plays a vital role in the regulation of gene expression. We used the software to predict the upstream
miRNA regulating SETDB1 and obtained 12 candidate miRNAs (P<0.05). We then used Cytoscape software to establish a network of miRNA-related SETDB1 (Figure 4A,4B). miRNA has a negative correlation with the target gene. Among these miRNAs, the expression and prognostic of miR-29a-3p and miR-381-3p in BRCA were determined. However, miR-381-3p has been reported in a previous study. Interestingly, we found that miR-29a-3p expression was down in BRCA, and its high expression was positively correlated to prognosis (Figure 4C,4D). Those findings may suggest that miR-29a-3p may be a new potential regulator of SETDB1 in BRCA.

Expression correlation of SETDB1 and immune cell infiltration in BRCA

Immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment affects the prognosis of tumor therapy. To explore
SETDB1 expression in immune cell infiltration, we analyzed the relationships between SETDB1 and infiltrating immune cells by using TIMER and the GEPIA database. As shown in Figure 5A, we found significant changes in immune cell infiltration levels with different copy numbers of SETDB1 in BRCA. We then analyzed the relationship between SETDB1 expression and the level of immune cell infiltration. SETDB1 expression was significantly positively correlated with infiltrating levels of B cell (r=0.09, P=4.94e-03), CD8+ T cell (r=0.106, P=8.68e-04), CD4+ T cells (r=0.233, P=2.72e-13), macrophage (r=0.071, P=2.66e-02), neutrophil (r=0.152, P=2.46e-06), and dendritic cell (r=0.105, P=1.24e-03) in BRCA (Figure 5B-5G).

Correlation between SETDB1 and immune checkpoints in BRCA

The abnormal expression and function of immune checkpoint molecules are one of the crucial causes of many diseases. We assessed the relationship of SETDB1 with immune checkpoints in BRCA. As shown in Figure 6A-6D, SETDB1 expression was significantly positively correlated with CD47 (r=0.262, P=4.91e-17), CD226 (r=0.257, P=1.97e-16), CD274 (r=0.289, P=1.44e-20) and CD276 (r=0.099, P=1.83e-03) in BRCA. Moreover, we found a positive correlation of SETDB1 with CD47 (R=0.096, P=0.0015), CD226 (R=0.12, P=1e-04), CD274 (R=0.11, P=1.6e-04) and CD276 (R=0.17, P=2.5e-08) in BRCA according to the GEPIA database (Figure 6E-6H).
Conclusions

Currently, BRCA is still the first hazard to women’s health. Clarifying the molecular mechanism of breast cancer cells might provide critical ways for finding effective therapeutic targets for prognosis. Histone lysine methylation can activate or inhibit gene transcription and is related to tumor transformation (21). SETDB1 is a member of the H3K9 methyltransferase. SETDB1 has played a role as an oncogene in many human cancers (22-24). Fei et al. (25) found SETDB1 was overexpressed in LIHC, and a previous study reported that silencing SETDB1 expression inhibited the growth of tumor cells (26).

In the current study, we performed the expression analysis of SETDB1 in human cancers using the TIMER

Figure 3 Survival analysis of SETDB1 in different cancers in Kaplan-Meier plotter. RFS of (A) TGCT, (B) BRCA, (C) BLCA, (E) KIRP, (G) READ and (J) UCEC. OS of (D) KIRP, (F) LIHC, (H) SARC and (I) UCEC. SETDB1, SET domain bifurcated histone lysine methyltransferase 1; RFS, relapse-free survival; OS, overall survival; TGCT, testicular germ cell tumors; BRCA, breast invasive carcinoma; BLCA, bladder urothelial carcinoma; KIRP, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma; READ, rectum adenocarcinoma; UCEC, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma; LIHC, liver hepatocellular carcinoma; SARC, sarcoma.
Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) are RNAs transcribed from the genome. Although the majority of ncRNAs do not encode any proteins, but they play a vital role in regulating gene expression (27,28). Numerous studies have found that ncRNAs are associated with the emergence and development of many diseases (29-31). We used the starBase database to predict potential miRNAs that could bind to SETDB1. Finally, we obtained 12 miRNAs that have the potential to regulate the expression of SETDB1. However, among those miRNAs, we found 10 miRNAs have significant changes (P<0.05), and then, using the starBase database, we found only miR-29a-3p and miR-381-3p were found downregulated in BRCA. Nevertheless, the previous study has shown that miR-381-3p/SETDB1 axis played a critical role in the metastasis of BRCA (32). In this study, we found that miR-29a-3p also has the potential to bind SETDB1, and its expression was correlated with the BRCA patient prognosis.

Immune cells play an essential role in the human body, including lymphocytes, T cells, macrophages, neutrophils and ONCOMINE databases collected from TCGA data. Survival analysis for SETDB1 indicated that BRCA patients with high expression of SETDB1 had a poor prognosis.

Figure 4 miR-29a-3p as a potential upstream regulator of SETDB1 in BRCA. (A) Network diagram of miRNAs and SETDB1; (B) the correlation of miRNAs and SETDB1 in BRCA; (C) the expression of miR-29a-3p in BRCA. P<0.05; (D) the prognostic value of miR-29a in BRCA. SETDB1, SET domain bifurcated histone lysine methyltransferase 1; BRCA, breast invasive carcinoma.

| Gene   | miRNA          | R value | P value |
|--------|----------------|---------|---------|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-29a-3p | -0.064  | 3.62E-02|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-29b-3p | 0.02    | 5.17E-01|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-29c-5p | -0.064  | 3.39E-02|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-708-5p | -0.069  | 2.39E-02|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-873-5p | -0.023  | 4.42E-01|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-29a-3p | 0.055   | 6.98E-02|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-7-5p   | -0.004  | 9.00E-01|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-136-5p | -0.014  | 6.45E-01|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-1296-5p| 0.018   | 5.33E-01|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-1270   | -0.011  | 7.27E-01|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-369-3p | -0.129  | 1.94E-05|
| SETDB1 | hsa-miR-381-3p | -0.099  | 1.03E-03|
and dendritic cells. Some studies have shown that the infiltration of immune cells in tumors is correlated to the metastasis, treatment, and prognosis of tumors (33,34). In this study, we found that SETDB1 was positively related to many immune cells in BRCA, including macrophage, B cell, CD8+ T cell, neutrophil, CD4+ T cell and dendritic cells. We also found that high expression of SETDB1 was associated with immune checkpoints in BRCA, such as CD47, CD226, CD274 and CD276. These findings demonstrated that tumor immune infiltration might account for SETDB1-mediated BRCA, and targeting SETDB1 might increase the efficacy of immunotherapy in BRCA.
Our studies showed that SETDB1 was highly expressed in BRCA and breast cancer cell lines and positively correlated with poor prognosis in BRCA. Furthermore, we first predicted miR-29a-3p was a potential upstream regulator of SETDB1 in BRCA. Our findings also suggested that SETDB1 may play a carcinogenic role by increasing tumor immune cell infiltration and influencing immune checkpoint expression. Finally, miR-29a-3p/SETDB1 may be a novel therapeutic target for the treatment of BRCA. However, further research is needed to confirm this novel finding.
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