Effect of tumour-cell-derived or recombinant keratinocyte growth factor (KGF) on proliferation and radioresponse of human epithelial tumour cells (HNSCC) and normal keratinocytes in vitro
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Abstract Purpose of this work was to test the effect of tumour-cell-derived keratinocyte growth factor (KGF) or recombinant KGF (palifermin) on cell proliferation and radiation response of human HNSCC cells and normal keratinocytes in vitro. Four tumour cell cultures derived from head and neck squamous cell carcinomas, primary keratinocytes, and immortalized keratinocytes were analysed. Fibroblasts, the natural source of KGF protein, served as controls. KGF expression was observed in primary and immortalized keratinocytes, fibroblasts, and in tumour cells, while signifcant KGF receptor expression was only found in keratinocytes. Recombinant KGF as well as tumour-cell-derived KGF caused a significant growth stimulation and radioprotection in keratinocytes, which was abolished by a neutralizing anti-KGF antibody. This indicates that tumour-cell-derived KGF is biologically active. In the tumour cell lines, no significant growth stimulation was induced by recombinant KGF, and the neutralizing antibody did not influence tumour cell growth or radiation response. Our results indicate that the normal, paracrine KGF regulatory mechanisms, which are based on KGF receptor expression, are lost in malignant cells, with the consequence of irresponsiveness of the tumour cells to exogenous KGF. In face of the amelioration of the radiation response of normal epithelia, demonstrated in various clinical and various preclinical animal studies, recombinant KGF represents a candidate for the selective protection of normal epithelia during radio(chemo)therapy of squamous cell carcinoma.

Introduction

Oropharyngeal mucositis is a frequent and dose-limiting early side effect in radiotherapy of head and neck cancer. Severe mucositis causes nutritional insufficiency, pain, and susceptibility to infection, which affect patients’ compliance to the treatment. It often necessitates interruption or cessation of the prescribed therapy, with the consequence of a substantial decrease in local control probability. Amelioration of the mucosal response, aiming at avoiding therapy interruptions, could thus increase the therapeutic ratio of radiotherapy of head and neck malignancies. A large variety of strategies have been tested for this purpose in recent years, both in patients and in animal models (Dorr 2003; Epstein and Klasser 2006; Keefe et al. 2007). However, apart from improvement of oral hygiene, adequate pain medication and antibiotic/antimycotic treatment, none of these approaches has so far been established in clinical routine, indicating their relative ineffectiveness.

Keratinocyte growth factor [KGF; also termed fibroblast growth factor 7 (FGF7)] is predominantly produced by cells of mesenchymal origin and acts exclusively through a
specific KGF receptor [fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR2b)], which is expressed primarily by epithelial cells. KGF regulates epithelial proliferation, differentiation, and migration and has an important role in epithelial wound repair. This growth factor hence represents a paracrine mesenchymal–epithelial mediator. A truncated recombinant form of human KGF (Δ23-rHuKGF, palifermin) has been shown to significantly ameliorate the acute radiation response of various epithelial tissues (mouse tongue, intestine, salivary glands, airways, urinary bladder) in animal models (Danilenko 1999; Dorr et al. 2002a, b, c; Dorr et al. 2005b, c; Dorr et al. 2001; Farrell et al. 1998, 1999; Fleischer and Dorr 2006; Jaal and Dorr 2007; Khan et al. 1997; Kilic et al. 2007; Lombaert et al. 2008; Okunieff et al. 2001). More important, this protective efficacy of recombinant KGF for normal epithelial tissues has been confirmed in combination with conditioning treatment for progenitor cell transplantation for haematological malignancies (Spielberger et al. 2004) as well as for radio(chemo)therapy for head-and-neck tumours in first clinical studies (Brizel et al. 2008; Meropol et al. 2003). The detailed mechanisms, through which KGF exerts the protective effect, currently remain unclear.

One major concern with respect to the clinical use of recombinant KGF in combination with the treatment of solid epithelial malignancies is that the agent may not only protect normal epithelia but also the tumour. Most of the studies which tested the effect of recombinant KGF on tumour cell survival after treatment with anticancer drugs or radiation did not demonstrate any substantial protective activity. Similarly, no significant effect of recombinant KGF was demonstrated in animal tumour models; yet these studies used tumour growth delay rather than tumour cure as the endpoint [reviewed in (Dorr 2003)]. However, some experiments suggested that recombinant KGF might have antiapoptotic activity [reviewed (Finch and Rubin 2006)].

We showed previously that the addition of recombinant KGF to the medium of early passage HNSCC and lung tumour cell cultures does not affect radiation-induced impairment of proliferation nor clonogenic cell survival (Hille et al. 2003). These tumour cells expressed KGF mRNA and protein, and low levels of KGF receptor mRNA. One plausible explanation for the lacking effect of KGF was the very low level of KGF receptor mRNA expression in the tumour cells. Moreover, the endogenous KGF expression by the tumour cells may render them independent of exogenous KGF signalling, thus suggesting replacement of the normal paracrine with an autocrine mechanism in case of malignant growth.

Whether this KGF expressed in tumour cells is biologically active, remains unclear. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of recombinant KGF and tumour-cell-derived KGF on cell proliferation and radiation response in human epithelial tumour cells and normal keratinocytes in vitro.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

All cells were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Three tumour cell lines, ZMK-1, BW-255, and GR-145, were derived from human squamous cell carcinomas of the oropharynx, one cell line, OH-65, was derived from the hypopharynx. At least 500 mg of tumour material were disaggregated and cultured in complex medium consisting of Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium (DMEM) and Ham’s F12 (50:50), 15% foetal calf serum (FCS), 0.5 μg/ml hydrocortisone, 2 ng/ml epidermal growth factor, 5 μg/ml insulin, antibiotics, and fungizone. For tumour cell culture, a viable cell count was obtained by trypsin blue exclusion. In culture flasks, 100,000 cells/ml medium were placed, with twice weekly change of medium and grown to confluence. Cell stocks were stored in liquid nitrogen in their 1st to 3rd passage.

Primary keratinocytes were isolated from skin biopsies obtained during dermatological surgery. Fat and connective tissue was removed, and the skin biopsy was dissected into 0.5-cm² pieces. The samples were washed in gentamycin (0.2 μg/ml) three times for 10 min and incubated in thymidine (0.5 mg/ml) overnight at 4°C. Then the dermis was dispatched from the dermis and collected in 0.04% EDTA. An equal volume of preheated 0.1% trypsin/EDTA was added and the dermis was incubated for 6 min at 37°C. Within these 6 min, the probe was shaken every 2 min. The trypsin reaction was stopped by addition of an adequate volume of DMEM/10% FCS. Cells were spun down (200 x g, 10 min) and resuspended in 1–2 ml keratinocyte growth medium (Promocell GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) consisting of Keratinocyte Basal Medium, 0.4% Bovine Pituitary Extract, 0.125 ng/ml Epidermal Growth Factor, 5 μg/ml human Insulin, 0.33 μg/ml Hydrocortison, 10 μg/ml Transferrin, 0.39 μg/ml Epinephrine and 0.15 mM CaCl. Primary keratinocytes were used in their 2nd to 4th passage.

HaCaT cells (immortalized keratinocytes), originally generated by Boukamp and Fusenig (Boukamp et al. 1988) were purchased through CLS Cell Line Services Eppelheim, Germany. They were cultured in medium consisting of DMEM and 10% FCS.

Primary fibroblasts, the natural source of KGF, were derived from a biopsy of a healthy male donor by outgrowth technique and used in their 3rd–5th passage. They were cultured in medium consisting of DMEM and 10% FCS.
The use of tumour material and skin biopsies was approved by the ethical committee of the Medical Faculty of the Georg-August-Universität Göttingen (no. 6/6/96), and healthy volunteers and patients gave their informed consent.

Keratinocyte growth factor (Δ23-rHuKGF, Palifermin)

Amgen Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA, kindly supplied lyophilized recombinant KGF [truncated (Δ23-rHuKGF (Palifermin)]. The recombinant human growth factor was produced in Escherichia coli, purified to homogeneity by conventional chromatography, and tested for the presence of endotoxin by the company. The lyophilizate was first dissolved in aqua ad injectabile and then further diluted in culture medium. The final solution was freshly prepared before each experiment, and final concentrations from 0.2–1 μg/ml were used.

Irradiation

Cells were irradiated with 200 kV X-rays at a dose rate of 1.25 Gy/min. Radiation doses of 1 and 4 Gy were given as single doses. Cells were irradiated as suspension and plated for the respective experiments immediately after irradiation. Sham-irradiated cultures served as specific controls.

Determination of the amount of KGF in cell culture supernatants

The amount of KGF protein was determined in cell culture supernatants by the QuantikineTM Human KGF (FGF-7) Immunoassay (DKG00), R & D Systems, Minneapolis, USA, according to recommended protocol. For experiments, tumour cells, keratinocytes, or fibroblasts (100,000 cells/well) were seeded on 12-well plates (Nalge Nunc Int., Rochester, NY) in their specific medium and allowed to attach for 24 h. Thereafter, the medium was replaced by the KGF-free medium used for keratinocyte culture, and the cells were incubated for further 72 h. For KGF measurement, supernatants were collected, and particulates were removed by centrifugation.

Western blot

The expression of KGF and KGF receptor in tumour cell lines, primary fibroblasts, primary keratinocytes, and HaCat cells was tested by Western Blot analysis. Cells grown to 80% confluency in 25-cm² culture flasks were washed with cold PBS and then scraped in a small volume of PBS. After lysis and whole protein content determination, proteins were separated by 10% SDS–PAGE and subsequently electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. For protein detection, the WesternBreeze Chromogenic Immunodetection System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) was used following the instructions of the manufacturer. Primary antibodies were for KGF MAB2511 Clone 29568, dilution 1:250; for KGF receptor MAB665 Clone 98707, dilution 1:250 (both R&D Systems) and for actin IgG1, dilution 1:1,000 (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, USA). Incubation time for these antibodies was 90 min.

Real-time PCR

Relative quantification of mRNA expression for KGF and KGF receptor was performed with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium) using a thermal cycler ABI PRISM 7700 (Perkin-Elmer-Applied Biosynthesis, Foster City, CA). Total RNA was isolated from tumour cell lines, HaCat cells, and primary keratinocytes with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Aliquots of 1 mg of total RNA, random primers, and Moloney murine leukaemia virus reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) were used for cDNA synthesis. The PCR was done with cDNA aliquots and primer sequences specific for human KGF, KGF receptor, and β-actin. Primer sequences are available upon request. Relative quantification of target gene expression was calculated by the comparative threshold cycle (Ct) method.

CellTiterBlue viability test

The CellTiterBlue viability assay from Promega (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), a method for estimating the number of viable cells present in a given cell population, was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were grown in 96-well tissue culture plates in 100 μl culture medium, and cell viability was tested after 24, 48, 72, and, 96 h at 37°C. For this, cells were incubated with 20 μl CellTiterBlue reagent for 3.5 h, and results were recorded at 570 nm wavelength (reference 600 nm) using a plate reader (GENIOS PLUS, Tecan Deutschland GmbH, Crailsheim, Germany). To test for stimulating effects of KGF, cells were either plated in cell culture supernatants obtained as described under “Determination of the amount of KGF in cell culture supernatants” or in rHuKGF-containing medium at concentrations from 0.2 to 1 μg/ml rHuKGF. For neutralization experiments, KGF antibody (MAB251 Clone 29522) at a concentration of 2 μg/ml was added to the cell culture medium (supernatants) 1 h before plating. This antibody concentration had previously been demonstrated to be sufficient for the neutralization of 15–25 ng KGF. Cell cultures treated with unspecific IgG antibodies served as respective controls. Experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated 3–4 times, and for analysis, data were normalized to the 24-h records.
Table 1  KGF concentrations measured in cell culture supernatants

| Cell line | Mean KGF concentration after 72-h incubation (pg/ml) |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|
| DF-19     | 23.7                                                 |
| BW-225    | 95.5                                                 |
| GR-145    | 9.8                                                  |
| OH-65     | 23.0                                                 |
| ZMK-1     | 329.0                                                |
| HaCat     | 4.8                                                  |

Data analysis

Means and standard errors were calculated for each of the data points; statistical comparison was done using the t-test for each dose point. Statistical comparison was performed between cultures treated with rHuKGF, tumour-derived KGF and no KGF; cultures treated with or without antibody, and irradiated and non-irradiated cells. All analyses were performed with KaleidaGraph™ 3.5, Synergy Software, Reading PA, USA.

Results

Detection of KGF in supernatants of tumour cell lines and fibroblasts by ELISA

The secretion of KGF protein into the culture medium was tested for fibroblasts (DF-19), tumour cell cultures (BW-225, GR-145, OH-65, ZMK-1), and immortalized keratinocytes (HaCat) by ELISA. All supernatants contained KGF, the highest KGF concentrations being found for ZMK-1 and BW-225 cells. Table 1 lists the measured concentrations.

Detection of KGF and KGF receptor in tumour cell lines, fibroblasts and keratinocytes by western blot and real-time PCR

Intracellular KGF was detected in fibroblasts (DF19), the tumour cell cultures (BW-225, GR-145, OH-65, ZMK-1), and primary and immortalized (HaCat) keratinocytes. A typical Western Blot is shown in Fig. 1a.

In contrast, KGF receptor, at the expected molecular weight, was only detected in keratinocytes. Some tumour cells showed bands at higher molecular weights, which might represent aberrant receptor forms or unspecific signals. An example is shown in Fig. 1b.

Real-time PCR was performed to test for radiation-induced changes in KGF and KGF receptor mRNA expression. In accordance with the western blot results, KGF mRNA expression was found in fibroblasts (DF-19), all tumour cell lines (BW-225, GR-145, OH-65, ZMK-1), and primary and immortalized (HaCat) keratinocytes. Primary keratinocytes (KTC) as well as HaCat cells showed increased KGF mRNA expression after irradiation at 6 and 24 h, and at both radiation doses of 1 and 4 Gy. KGF mRNA expression in fibroblasts was increased after 24 h. In tumour cells, up- as well as down-regulation of KGF mRNA was detected. Figure 2a summarizes the results; the mRNA expression is normalized to the unirradiated controls.

In accordance with the Western Blot results, significant KGF receptor mRNA expression was only found in primary keratinocytes and HaCat cells. Figure 2b shows that KGF receptor mRNA expression in primary keratinocytes is upregulated at both time points and radiation doses, while KGF receptor mRNA expression in HaCat cells is nearly unaffected by radiation.

Cell proliferation of keratinocytes in response to recombinant KGF, cell-derived KGF and anti-KGF antibody treatment

Compared to the cell proliferation in KGF-free medium a significant increase in cell proliferation was observed for cells treated with 0.2, 0.5, and 1.0 μg/ml recombinant KGF. No significant difference in proliferation was found between the recombinant KGF concentrations. Figure 3a shows growth curves for keratinocytes incubated in medium containing 0.2 or 1.0 μg/ml recombinant KGF, respectively. Recombinant KGF-induced increased proliferation was also observed in keratinocytes irradiated at 1 or 4 Gy (Fig. 3b). This increased proliferation could be abrogated by the addition of a neutralizing anti-KGF antibody. Data were recorded at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h, and significant
neutralization occurred at 72 (data not shown) and 96 h. In
this experiment, unspecific IgG antibodies were added as
respective controls (Fig. 3b).

To test whether tumour-cell-derived KGF would also stim-
ulate keratinocyte proliferation, keratinocytes were plated in
tumour cell supernatants containing either unspecific IgG
antibodies or a specific anti-KGF antibody at a concentration
of 2 μg/ml antibody, which had previously been determined
to be sufficient for the neutralization of 15–25 ng KGF. Addi-
tion of the specific anti-KGF antibody led to a significantly
lower proliferation of keratinocytes plated in DF 19-, ZMK-1
BW-225-, and GR-145 supernatants. For keratinocytes plated
in OH-65 supernatant or KGF-free keratinocyte medium
(KM), the antibody showed no effect. Data were recorded at
24, 48, 72, and 96 h after plating; significant reduction of
proliferation was observed at 72 h (data not shown) and 96 h;
Fig. 4 a and b show results for cells irradiated at 1 Gy and
4 Gy recorded 96 h after plating.

Cell proliferation of tumour cells and fibroblasts
in response to recombinant KGF, cell-derived KGF
and additional anti-KGF antibody treatment

To test cell proliferation of tumour cells and fibroblasts in
response to recombinant KGF, both cell types were incu-
bated with 0.2 μg/ml recombinant KGF for 72 and 96 h.
Compared to the cell proliferation in KGF-free medium, no
significant increase in proliferation was observed. Figure 5a
shows two growth curves over 72 h, and Fig. 5b summa-
rizes the results recorded at 96 h for all cell lines tested.
To test whether the addition of a neutralizing anti-KGF antibody would influence cell proliferation or radiation response, tumour cells and fibroblasts were plated in medium containing either unspecific IgG antibodies or a specific anti-KGF antibody at a concentration of 2 μg/ml antibody. Data were recorded for cells irradiated at 1 Gy, 96 h after plating. Error bars represent ±1 standard deviation; statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05) inhibition of proliferation is marked by asterisks. Measurements were performed in triplicate, and experiments were repeated at least three times.

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the role of tumour-cell-derived KGF for cell proliferation and radiation response of human epithelial tumour cells and keratinocytes. The findings are of clinical significance and important for the implementation of KGF in clinical use. In particular, we found that tumour-cell-derived KGF could...
stimulate the proliferation of irradiated and unirradiated keratinocytes, while tumour cell proliferation was not affected. To the best of our knowledge, such experiments have not been performed before.

To demonstrate the applicability of the experimental approach, first the effect of recombinant KGF on primary keratinocytes was tested. Comparable to former results (Hille et al. 2003; Slonina et al. 2001), increased cell proliferation and altered radiation response was observed for keratinocytes treated with rHuKGF, the pro-proliferative effect being abolished by a neutralizing anti-KGF antibody. Likewise, the secretion of a protein detectable by a KGF-specific ELISA into the culture medium of fibroblasts and epithelial tumour cells was confirmed. The presence of KGF protein in tumour cells was further supported by specific signals in Western blots. Analysis of radiation-induced changes in KGF expression showed mRNA up-regulation in fibroblasts and no systematic changes of the regulation in tumour cells. Unexpectedly, we also found KGF mRNA and protein expression in primary keratinocytes, RNA expression being up-regulated by irradiation. For confirmation, immortalized keratinocytes (HaCat cells) were tested and showed KGF mRNA expression and secretion as well.

Predominantly, KGF is produced by mesenchymal cells, and target cells are of epithelial origin. However, KGF production by normal epithelial cells has occasionally been described. One report came from Parrott et al. (2000) describing KGF expression in normal ovarian surface epithelium, which increased when cells were cultured. Another report found KGF expression in lung alveolar epithelial cells (Yamayoshi et al. 2004), and recently, Suyama et al. (2009) reported on the expression of KFG protein in epithelial cells of odontogenic keratocysts.

KGF detection is more frequent in altered epithelial cells, e.g. associated with inflammatory and tumorigenic processes. Bansal et al. (1997) described the expression of KGF mRNA in human breast cancer cell lines and purified populations of epithelial cells, Shoa et al. (2006) found markedly increased KGF protein levels in the mucosal epithelium of patients with gastric inflammation, as well as in gastric adenocarcinoma. In the prostate, KGF expression is present in epithelial cells in benign prostate hyperplasia and prostate cancer (Planz et al. 1999). Likewise, oesophageal (Yoshino et al. 2007), pancreatic (Siddiqi et al. 1995), and colorectal (Watanabe et al. 2000) cancer samples revealed significant expression of KGF mRNA and protein, and pancreatic cancer cell lines also expressed KGF mRNA (Siddiqi et al. 1995).

KGF interacts only with KGF receptor and is internalized into the cytoplasm by receptor-mediated endocytosis (Belleudi et al. 2007). Therefore, in studies using immunohistochemistry, the immunolocalized KGF in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells might indicate incorporated KGF through
KGF receptor. However, where tested, KGF expression was confirmed on the RNA level, suggesting true KGF synthesis in epithelial cells. The role of endogenous KGF in normal epithelial cells may be related to the adaptation to ex vivo culture conditions.

The expression of the KGF receptor in primary keratinocytes was expected and could be shown by Western blot and real-time PCR. Accordingly, primary keratinocytes responded to KGF application by increased proliferation. A comparable stimulation of proliferation was achieved when keratinocytes were grown in tumour cell culture supernatants. Such stimulated proliferation was also observed for irradiated cultures. Tumour cells are known to secrete growth factors other than KGF; however, two findings support the assumption that tumour-cell-derived KGF was causative for the observed stimulated proliferation of keratinocytes. First were the same results achieved with supernatant derived from fibroblast cultures, fibroblasts being a natural source of KGF. Second could the stimulated proliferation be abrogated by the application of neutralizing anti-KGF antibodies. To the best of our knowledge, comparable experiments have not been reported to date.

In various preclinical and clinical studies (Beaven and Shea 2007; Brizel et al. 2008; Dorr et al. 2005a, b, c; Dorr et al. 2001; Dorr et al. 2002c; Farrell et al. 1998; Finch and Rubin 2006; Kilic et al. 2007; Lombaert et al. 2008; Meropol et al. 2003), KGF was shown to protect patients with head and neck cancer from adverse (oral mucosal) effects of radio- and/or chemotherapy, at least partly based on modification of keratinocyte proliferation and presumably differentiation. As also epithelial tumour cells express the KGF receptor, one concern with respect to the clinical use of recombinant KGF is that the agent not only reduces the response of normal epithelia to the treatment, but also impacts on the effect of the treatment on these epithelial tumours. Therefore, the effect of recombinant KGF on proliferation in four human epithelial tumour cell cultures was tested in the present study using the CellTiterBlue viability assay. Compared to the cell proliferation in KGF-free medium, no significant increase in proliferation was observed for tumour cells treated with recombinant KGF. Likewise, fibroblasts used as negative control culture were not responsive to treatment with recombinant KGF. These results are in accordance with the results of Ning et al. (1998) and of our former study (Hille et al. 2003). However, in the present and the former study, tumour cell cultures in very early passages were used and thus a contamination of the cultures with mesenchymal cells had been considered as well. To differentiate epithelial from mesenchymal cells, immunocytochemistry for cytokeratin (pan-cytokeratin and cytokeratin 4, 5, 6) and vimentin had been applied (Hille et al. 2003). All tumour cells cultures that were used in the present study stained positive in both cytokeratin assays. A co-expression for vimentin, as it is well known for some cultured epithelial cells, was observed in the samples as well. However, there were no cells expressing vimentin only, and hence we believe that there was no noteworthy fibroblast contamination in the tumour samples.

The mechanisms of the effects of KGF on the various cells and particularly the differences in the responses to KGF of normal and tumour cells are still not fully understood. The effect of KGF is mediated by binding to the KGF receptor, which, in normal cells, binds KGF with high affinity. A plausible explanation for the lack of KGF-induced (recombinant KGF) stimulated proliferation in the present study as well as for lacking radioprotection in the former study (Hille et al. 2003) had been the very low level of KGF receptor mRNA expression in the tumour cell cultures. We now tested whether irradiation would increase the KGF receptor expression. However, no increase in receptor mRNA expression after irradiation at 1 or 4 Gy was observed over 24 h. Others (Drugan et al. 1997; Ning et al. 1998) found no correlation between KGF cell surface receptor expression and KGF-induced proliferation.

The proliferation-enhancing effect of tumour cell supernatants on primary keratinocytes indicated the production of a biologically active protein by tumour cells. To validate that this protein was KGF, tumour cells (irradiated or not) were cultured in the presence of a neutralizing anti-KGF antibody. Within 96 h after irradiation and plating, there were no differences in proliferation compared to proliferation in medium with an unspecific IgG-antibody. To eliminate low antibody concentrations as reason for no effect on tumour cell growth, increased antibody concentrations were tested. However, compared to the proliferation in medium with an unspecific IgG-antibody, no difference in proliferation was observed after 96 h, and no difference was seen between different antibody concentrations.

In conclusion, KGF expression was found in primary and immortalized keratinocytes and in tumour cell cultures established from head and neck cancer, while relevant KGF receptor expression was only found in keratinocytes. Stimulated proliferation by either recombinant KGF or tumour-cell-derived KGF was restricted to keratinocytes. Concerning the mechanism underlying the differences in the responses to KGF of normal and tumour cells a replacement of the normal paracrine with an autocrine mechanism in case of malignant growth seems plausible. Taken together with the positive outcome of clinical studies and the amelioration of radiation tolerance of normal epithelia in animal models, these results support recombinant KGF as a candidate for selective amelioration of the response of normal epithelia during radiotherapy of human epithelial tumours, but also after accidental radiation exposure.
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