Multidrug resistance operon emrAB contributes for chromate and ampicillin co-resistance in a *Staphylococcus* strain isolated from refinery polluted river bank
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**Abstract**

EmrAB operon is known for multidrug resistance in bacteria and yet has not been reported related to heavy metal resistance or antibiotics/heavy metal co-resistance. Strain *Staphylococcus aureus* LZ-01 which was isolated from industrial wastewater discharging site can co-resist to 6 mM Cr(VI) and 0.75 mg/ml ampicillin. Transcriptome data showed that an emrAB operon was upregulated (1.29-folds for *emrA*, 2.14-folds for *emrB*) under 0.4 mM Cr(VI) treatment. Quantitative PCR results revealed that this operon was upregulated (1.60-folds for *emrA*, 2.34-folds for *emrB*) after 0.20 mg/ml ampicillin treatment. Mutant strain with *emrA* gene knockout resulted in a 0.83-folds decrease in chromate resistance, and a 0.80-folds decrease in ampicillin resistance; while *emrB* knockout strain resulted in a 0.33-folds decrease in chromate resistance, and a 0.60-folds decrease in ampicillin resistance. The complemented strains of both deletion mutants basically restored their resistant performance. The presence of 0.50 mM Cr(VI) induced an elevation in ampicillin resistance from 0.50 to 2.50 mg/ml in the strain LZ-01, similarly, its Cr(VI) resistance was also found to be elevated from 6 to 10 mM by 0.15 mg/ml ampicillin induction. The induction effect could be eliminated by deletion of *emrA* or *emrB*. Our results demonstrated that the chromosomal emrAB operon in *Staphylococcus aureus* LZ-01 was a new type of multidrug resistance system, which conferred both ampicillin and chromate resistance to host cells inhabiting polluted environments.
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**Background**

Antibiotics were once the most powerful weapon against diverse diseases in human medical history since its discovery in early 20th century. But since the occurrence of vast antibiotic resistant pathogens in 1960s, a series of superbugs were found to have pan-drug resistance, like MRSA (Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*), NDM-1, vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) and CA-MASA (Enright et al. 2002; Chambers and DeLeo 2009; Poirel et al. 2010; Datta et al. 2014). Abuse of antibiotics was used to be supposed as the major cause but the decreased usage of antibiotics subsequently does not necessarily prevent the spread of antibiotic resistance in clinical (Salyers and Amábile-Cuevas 1997). Meanwhile, many studies have found that antibiotic resistance positively correlated to toxic metals or other antimicrobials in environments (Seiler and Berendonk 2012). Metal-induced antibiotic resistance is supposed to be more prevalent than antibiotic itself, due to the fact that metals were more persistent than antibiotics in nature environment (Kolpin et al. 2002). The metal contamination is hard to eliminate due to the huge areas and scattered distributions, metal-induced antibiotic resistance are enriched either in amount or abundance under metal stress, and incurable diseases caused by resistant pathogens would be prevalent and become a disaster (Aminov...
2010). Therefore, it is essential to fully understand the co-resistant mechanism of metals and antibiotics, and find ways to decelerate the spreading tendency of resistant genes.

Metal-induced co-resistant bacteria were frequently found in various metal contaminated environments, including shores polluted by hospital wastes and factory discharges (Matyar et al. 2010), livestock farms contaminated by animal feeding amendement (Hölzel et al. 2012), and other polluted water environments (Baker-Austin et al. 2006; Baquero et al. 2008). Compared with the above massive studies in community level, less research focused on the molecular bases of co-resistance or the mechanisms where co-resistance came from. Beside the gene linkage phenomenon of metal and antibiotic resistance on transferable elements which was found several decades ago, multidrug resistance (MDR) pump was another important mechanism explored afterwards (Foster 1983). Plasmids from *Salmonella abortus equi* contained resistances to ampicillin and several metals (Ghosh et al. 2000), the copper and macrolide resistance plasmid (*tcrB-ermB*) was found in *Enterococcus faecium* (Aarestrup et al. 2002; Hasman and Aarestrup 2002). The MexGHI-OpmD efflux pump in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* could improve the bacterial resistance to vanadium and ticarcillin (Aendekerk et al. 2002), and DsbA-DsbB system in *Burkholderia cepacia* and ticarcillin (0–500 μg/ml), erythromycin (0–500 μg/ml). The induction and cross-tolerance tests of strain LZ-01 were conducted using above mediums, and cultured in TSB medium with stock solutions. The stock solutions of different metals were prepared in deionized water and autoclaved at 120 °C for 20 min or filtration sterilized with 0.15 μm membrane. The concentration was 0.5 M for Pb(NO₃)₂, 0.5 M for H₂AsO₄·12H₂O, 0.1 M for HgCl₂, 0.5 M for Pb(NO₃)₂, 0.5 M for MnCl₂·4H₂O and 1 M for CuSO₄·5H₂O.

The TSB medium contained different kinds of antibiotics was prepared for antibiotic resistance test, the antibiotics and their concentrations used in this test were as follows: ampicillin (0–5 mg/ml), chloramphenicol (0–1 mg/ml), vancomycin (0–1 mg/ml), kanamycin (0–1 mg/ml), erythromycin (0–500 μg/ml), gentamycin (0–500 μg/ml) and tetracycline (0–500 μg/ml). The growth status under different antibiotics was measured by both visual inspection and optical density OD₆₀₀.

All strains were incubated at 37 °C, 180 rpm for 12–16 h to obtain log phase culture. All the treatments were conducted using above mediums, and cultured in a shaker with 180 rpm and 37 °C, this procedure lasted up to 36 h. The final result was confirmed by three times individual tests.

The induction and cross-tolerance tests of strain LZ-01 and mutants

The TSB medium was prepared for the chromate induced test. Briefly, strains were cultured in TSB medium with 0.5 mM hexavalent chromate and incubated at 37 °C, 180 rpm for 8–12 h to obtain an optical density OD₆₀₀ at...
0.4. A volume of 100 μl of above chrome-treated cultures was pipetted into a series of tubes with 5 ml TSB medium and a gradient of ampicillin concentrations (0–5 mg/ml). The growth status under different ampicillin concentrations was measured by visual inspection.

The procedure for manganese induced test was just as chromate induced test described above. The induced level of manganese was 0.5 mM. For antibiotic induced test, the procedure was similar to metal induction, except for the first step with different antibiotic induced levels for different strains. The induced level of ampicillin for strain LZ-01 was 0.15 mg/ml, for mutants was 0.05 mg/ml. The induced level of chloramphenicol was 0.15 mg/ml.

The cross-tolerance tests were carried out using TSB medium supplemented with hexavalent chromium and ampicillin simultaneously. The concentration gradient for hexavalent chromium (mM) was 0.8, 1.6, 3.2, 4.0, 4.8, 6.0, 8.0 and 10.0; concentration gradient for ampicillin (mg/ml) was 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.75, 1.0 and 1.25. The tested strain was cultured in TSB medium overnight, and the inoculation proportion was 1:50. The growth status under different ampicillin/chromate concentrations was measured by visual inspection and marked with ‘+’ (growth) or ‘−’ (no growth).

RNA-seq analysis and qPCR assay

The transcriptional information of S. aureus strain LZ-01 after 0.4 mM Cr(VI) treatment was analyzed previously, and many genes were found to respond to the metal stress (Zhang et al. 2014). The differentially expressed gene between the mRNA of S. aureus LZ-01 with and without Cr(VI) was calculated as fold change referring to “The significance of digital gene expression profiles” (Audic and Claverie 1997). The most up-regulated and antibiotic resistance associated genes were selected out as candidates for the next qPCR test.

The Staphylococcus aureus LZ-01 was treated with 0.2 mg/ml ampicillin, and the total RNA was extracted from log phase cultures, then reverse transcribed to cDNA. Total RNA isolation was conducted as follows: 12.5 μl SYBR Primix Ex Taq™ II, 1 μl PCR forward primer (10 μM), 1 μl PCR reverse primer (10 μM), 1 μl CDNA and 9.5 μl dH2O (SYBR Primix Ex Taq™ II, TaKaRa). The quantification reaction was conducted under the following conditions: 30 s at 95 °C, followed by 95 °C 5 s, 58 °C 30 s, 40 cycles. Five technical replicates of the qPCR reactions were performed for each sample. The housekeeping gene, 16S rRNA which appears to be constitutively expressed, was selected as the internal control for normalization. The primer efficiency of the amplifications for each gene was valued through a standard curve by serial dilution of cDNA. The efficiency was calculated according the formula $E = 10^{(-1/k)} - 1$, where k is the slope. The fold change of gene expression was calculated by $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method and normalized relative to 16S rRNA (Livak and Schmittgen 2001).

Gene knockout and complementation

The mutation strains of S. aureus LZ-01 were constructed using a strategy that used the temperature-sensitive allelic replacement shuttle vector pMAD (Arnaud et al. 2004). The upstream and downstream sequences that flanking the emrA and emrB fragments were amplified from strain LZ-01 by PCR. The primers used were emrA-up-F and emrA-up-R for the emrA upstream fragment and emrA-down-F and emrA-down-R for the downstream fragment. Primers used were emrB-up-F and emrB-up-R for the emrB upstream fragment and emrB-down-F and emrB-down-R for the downstream fragment. The neomycin phosphotransferase II gene (npt II) fragment coding kanamycin resistance was PCR amplified from plasmid pET-30a by using the primers npt II-F and npt II-R (Table 1). Three fragments including amplified upstream arm, npt II and downstream arm were linked together using Double-joint PCR method (Yu et al. 2004).

The constructed fragments of emrA upstream-npt II-emrA downstream and emrB upstream-npt II-emrB downstream were quantified using a ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies). The reverse transcription was conducted as follows: the reaction mixture was: 2 μl 5 × PrimerScript RT Master Mix, 1 μl RNA template and 7 μl RNase free dH2O (PrimerScript RT Master Mix Perfect Real Time, TaKaRa). The reaction condition was: 37 °C for 15 min and then 85 °C for 5 s. Primers were designed and synthesized to cover the selected candidate genes. All the primers were designed in Primer 5.0 software, and synthesized by Beijing Genomics Institution (BGI).

qPCR method was used to quantify the transcriptional level of these candidate genes under ampicillin stress, and cDNA from cultured strain LZ-01 without ampicillin was used as control. The detailed qPCR procedure was as follows: 12.5 μl SYBR Primix Ex Taq™ II, 1 μl PCR forward primer (10 μM), 1 μl PCR reverse primer (10 μM), 1 μl CDNA and 9.5 μl dH2O (SYBR Primix Ex Taq™ II, TaKaRa). The quantification reaction was conducted under the following conditions: 30 s at 95 °C, followed by 95 °C 5 s, 58 °C 30 s, 40 cycles.

The constructed fragments of emrA upstream-npt II-emrA downstream and emrB upstream-npt II-emrB downstream were quantified using a ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies). The reverse transcription was conducted as follows: the reaction mixture was: 2 μl 5 × PrimerScript RT Master Mix, 1 μl RNA template and 7 μl RNase free dH2O (PrimerScript RT Master Mix Perfect Real Time, TaKaRa). The reaction condition was: 37 °C for 15 min and then 85 °C for 5 s. Primers were designed and synthesized to cover the selected candidate genes. All the primers were designed in Primer 5.0 software, and synthesized by Beijing Genomics Institution (BGI).

qPCR method was used to quantify the transcriptional level of these candidate genes under ampicillin stress, and cDNA from cultured strain LZ-01 without ampicillin was used as control. The detailed qPCR procedure was as follows: 12.5 μl SYBR Primix Ex Taq™ II, 1 μl PCR forward primer (10 μM), 1 μl PCR reverse primer (10 μM), 1 μl CDNA and 9.5 μl dH2O (SYBR Primix Ex Taq™ II, TaKaRa). The quantification reaction was conducted under the following conditions: 30 s at 95 °C, followed by 95 °C 5 s, 58 °C 30 s, 40 cycles.

The constructed fragments of emrA upstream-npt II-emrA downstream and emrB upstream-npt II-emrB downstream were quantified using a ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies). The reverse transcription was conducted as follows: the reaction mixture was: 2 μl 5 × PrimerScript RT Master Mix, 1 μl RNA template and 7 μl RNase free dH2O (PrimerScript RT Master Mix Perfect Real Time, TaKaRa). The reaction condition was: 37 °C for 15 min and then 85 °C for 5 s. Primers were designed and synthesized to cover the selected candidate genes. All the primers were designed in Primer 5.0 software, and synthesized by Beijing Genomics Institution (BGI).

qPCR method was used to quantify the transcriptional level of these candidate genes under ampicillin stress, and cDNA from cultured strain LZ-01 without ampicillin was used as control. The detailed qPCR procedure was as follows: 12.5 μl SYBR Primix Ex Taq™ II, 1 μl PCR forward primer (10 μM), 1 μl PCR reverse primer (10 μM), 1 μl CDNA and 9.5 μl dH2O (SYBR Primix Ex Taq™ II, TaKaRa). The quantification reaction was conducted under the following conditions: 30 s at 95 °C, followed by 95 °C 5 s, 58 °C 30 s, 40 cycles.
downstream were ligated into pMAD and transformed into *E. coli* Top 10. The plasmid pMAD was digested with the enzyme *Nco*I and *Bam*HI before ligation. The ligation was performed by using an In-Fusion system (In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit, TaKaRa) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasmid DNA obtained from *E. coli* Top 10 was then transformed into the intermediate *S. aureus* host strain RN4220 by electroporation (Augustin and Götz 1990). Plasmid DNA harvested from strain RN4220 was then transformed into *S. aureus* LZ-01, and the mutant screening was conducted (Jo et al. 2011). Deletion of the gene from chromosome was confirmed by DNA sequencing of a PCR fragment from transformants that was obtained using the primers corresponding to the upstream and downstream sequences of the deleted gene. To verify restoration of the resistant phenotype of *S. aureus* LZ-01, we complemented the mutant strains. The PCR fragment containing the whole operon expressed from its own promoter was cloned into plasmid pMAD as described previously. The plasmid was introduced into mutants to create strain LZ-01.

### Results

*Results: S. aureus* LZ-01 resists to several heavy metals and antibiotics

Given that *S. aureus* LZ-01 was isolated from the contaminated river bank soil near oil definery, we supposed that the strain may possess different response to heavy metals. To test this hypothesis, we compared the resistant level of LZ-01 and ATCC standard strain (*S. aureus* ATCC 25923) to several heavy metals, including Cr(VI), As(V), Hg(II), Pb(II), Mn(II) and Cu(II). The resistance of strain LZ-01 to As(V), Hg(II), Pb(II) and Cu(II) was comparable to that of the ATCC 25923. However, the strain LZ-01 had 2- and threefold increases in resistance to Cr(VI) and Mn(II) when compared to the strain ATCC 25923 (Table 2).

| Primers for qPCR (Supplied in Additional file 1: Table S1) | Sequence (5‘−3’ or description) | Source or reference |
|---|---|---|
| *EmrB*-up-F | TTAATCTAGAGACATCTATTTTTGCTTGTCGTTTTT | This study |
| *EmrB*-up-R | AAAATCCCTTAACGGTGGTGTATTTTGTGTTGCTT | This study |
| *EmrB*-down-F | CCGAGACTGATCGATCCCTTGATACAGCATGTTGGAAAC | This study |
| *EmrB*-down-R | GGGCGATATCGGATCCCTGGATACAGCATGTTGGAAAC | This study |
| *EmrA*-up-F | CCGATGGCATCCATCGGAAAATTCGCAACTTTCGCTGAG | This study |
| *EmrA*-up-R | AAAATCCCTTAACGGTGGTGTATTTTGTGTTGCTT | This study |
| *EmrA*-down-F | CCGAGACTGATCGATCCCTTGATACAGCATGTTGGAAAC | This study |
| *EmrA*-down-R | GGGCGATATCGGATCCCTGGATACAGCATGTTGGAAAC | This study |
| npt II-F | AACTCACGTTAAGGGATTTTGG | This study |
| npt II-R | TTTCAGGTGGCAGCTTTCG | This study |
| Strains used in this study |  |
| *S. aureus* LZ-01 | *S. aureus* LZ-01 is the isolated objective strain | Zhang et al. (2013) |
| *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 | *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 is a purchased standard strain | Purchased from CCTCC |
| *S. aureus* RN4220 | Initial recipient for modification of reconstructed plasmids | Augustin and Götz (1990) |
| E.coli: Top 10 | E.coli: Top 10 is used as a host for vector replication | University of Chicago |
| *ΔemrB* | *ΔEmrB* is *S. aureus* LZ-01 mutant strain with deletion of *emrB* | This study |
| *ΔemrA* | *ΔEmrA* is *S. aureus* LZ-01 mutant strain with deletion of *emrA* | This study |
| CB | *S. aureus* CB is the complemented strain for *ΔemrB* | This study |
| CA | *S. aureus* CA is the complemented strain for *ΔemrA* | This study |
| Plasmids |  |
| pMAD | pMAD is a shuttle vector used for gene knockout of *S. aureus* | Arnaud et al. (2004) |
| pET-30a | pET-30a used for clone of npt II gene | Purchased |

Table 1 The primers, strains and plasmids used in this study

| Primers, strains and plasmids | Sequence (5‘−3’ or description) | Source or reference |
|---|---|---|
| Primers for gene knockout (Extended sequences for overlap are marked with underline) |  |  |
| *EmrB*-up-F | TTAATCTAGAGACATCTATTTTTGCTTGTCGTTTTT | This study |
| *EmrB*-up-R | AAAATCCCTTAACGGTGGTGTATTTTGTGTTGCTT | This study |
| *EmrB*-down-F | CCGAGACTGATCGATCCCTTGATACAGCATGTTGGAAAC | This study |
| *EmrB*-down-R | GGGCGATATCGGATCCCTGGATACAGCATGTTGGAAAC | This study |
| *EmrA*-up-F | CCGATGGCATCCATCGGAAAATTCGCAACTTTCGCTGAG | This study |
| *EmrA*-up-R | AAAATCCCTTAACGGTGGTGTATTTTGTGTTGCTT | This study |
| *EmrA*-down-F | CCGAGACTGATCGATCCCTTGATACAGCATGTTGGAAAC | This study |
| *EmrA*-down-R | GGGCGATATCGGATCCCTGGATACAGCATGTTGGAAAC | This study |
| npt II-F | AACTCACGTTAAGGGATTTTGG | This study |
| npt II-R | TTTCAGGTGGCAGCTTTCG | This study |
sensitive to other antibiotics including kanamycin, erythromycin, gentamycin and tetracycline, and the MICs for these antibiotics were less than 0.004 mg/ml (Fig. 1). These results showed that S. aureus LZ-01 was mostly resistant to ampicillin, chromate and manganese, and subsequently resist to chloramphenicol and Pb(II).

The emrAB operon was involved in co-resistance to chromium and ampicillin

Based on the antibiotic and metal resistant performance showed above, we were attracted to explore the handlers behind this performance in S. aureus LZ-01. The genes involved in drug/multidrug/antibiotic transport/resistance and efflux pump were selected from RNA sequencing database of strain LZ-01 which was pre-treated with 0.4 mM chromium. These genes which up-regulated under chromate pressure were quantified by qPCR method in the LZ-01 cultures before and after ampicillin treatment (0.2 mg/ml). Concerning the conventional ampicillin resistance, the genes related to beta-lactamase and penicillin-binding proteins were also selected for qPCR tests. A total of 40 genes were finally selected and described in Table 3.

Although the RNA-seq data proved the expression increase of selected genes (1.01-fold to 15.80-fold change), most of the selected genes failed to increase their expression in qPCR test under ampicillin stress. Only 9 genes were observed that their expression increased with the fold change over 1.00, and among which SAV2352 and SAV2353 were highlighted due to their most up-regulated expressions. Based on the homology blast results, SAV2352 and SAV2353 were named emrB and emrA in this study (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The gene emrB increased its expression quantity 2.14 times under hexavalent chromium stress, and increased its expression quantity 2.34 times under ampicillin stress, thus emrB was supposed as the key gene functioned in the co-resistance of metals and antibiotics in strain LZ-01. Gene emrA was aligned just behind emrB, and both trans-arranged in an operon in the genome of strain LZ-01. Gene emrA increased its expression quantity 1.29 times under chromium stress, and increased its expression quantity 1.60 times under ampicillin stress (Table 3). The genes associated with ampicillin-resistance were also focused, among which pbpA, pbp2, pbp3 and pbp4 had positive response to ampicillin stress.

Deletion of emrA or emrB decreases both resistance to chromate and ampicillin

Both RNA-seq and qPCR tests proved that the emrAB operon was responsible for co-resistance of ampicillin

Table 2 The MIC levels of antibiotics and heavy metals in different strains

| Strains   | Antibiotics (mg/ml) | Metal concentrations (mM) |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------|
|           | Amp    | Chlo | Cr(VI) | As(V) | Hg(II) | Pb(II) | Mn(II) | Cu(II) |
| LZ-01     | 0.51   | 0.25 | 6      | 4     | 0.005  | 1      | 6      | 1      |
| ATCC25923 | 0.06   | 0.13 | 3      | 4     | 0.005  | 0.05   | 2      | 1      |
| ΔemrB     | 0.15   | 0.25 | 4      | 4     | 0.005  | 0.5    | 4      | 1      |
| ΔemrA     | 0.10   | 0.30 | 1      | 3     | 0.005  | 0.5    | 4      | 1      |
| CB        | 0.51   | 0.26 | 5      | 4     | 0.005  | 0.5    | 6      | 1      |
| CA        | 0.51   | 0.26 | 5      | 4     | 0.005  | 0.5    | 5      | 1      |

* All the data here represent consistent result of 3–5 individual tries of gradient dilution series

Fig. 1 The comparison of antibiotic resistant levels between strain S. aureus LZ-01 (the upper part) and type strain S. aureus ATCC 25923 (the lower part)
and chromate in *S. aureus* LZ-01. Thus gene knockout test of *emrA/B* was conducted to verify their roles in co-resistance. The deletion of *emrA/B* from strain LZ-01 changed its original morphology on agar plate (Fig. 2), and the growth curve also showed growth defects of deletion mutants (Fig. 3). The cell doubling time of mutants

| Genes                      | Gene annotation                                      | RNA-seq (0.4 mM Cr(VI)) | qPCR (0.2 mg ml⁻¹ Amp) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Multidrug transporter and  |                                                      |                          |                        |
| resistance associated      |                                                      |                          |                        |
| Genes                     |                                                      |                          |                        |
| SAV0198                   | ABC-type antimicrobial peptide transport system      | 6.21                     | 0.61                   |
| SAV0199                   | ABC-type antimicrobial peptide transport system      | 10.14                    | 0.72                   |
| SAV0203                   | ABC-type multidrug transport system                  | 7.92                     | 0.86                   |
| SAV0274                   | Drug transporter                                     | 5.78                     | 0.57                   |
| SAV0275                   | Penicillin amidase V                                 | 2.96                     | 0.72                   |
| SAV0276                   | Peptidoglycan hydrolase                              | 1.58                     | 0.47                   |
| SAV0277                   | ABC-type multidrug transport system                  | 1.14                     | 0.79                   |
| SAV0351                   | ABC-type multidrug transport system                  | 1.07                     | 1.18                   |
| SAV0661                   | ABC-type antimicrobial peptide transport system      | 1.86                     | 1.19                   |
| SAV1035                   | ABC-type antimicrobial peptide transport system      | 8.23                     | 0.30                   |
| SAV1318                   | ABC-type multidrug transport system                  | 5.10                     | 0.97                   |
| SAV1837                   | ABC-type multidrug transport system                  | 1.01                     | 0.99                   |
| SAV1866                   | ABC-type multidrug transport system                  | 1.23                     | 0.71                   |
| SAV2166                   | Drug resistance MFS transporter                      | 1.47                     | 0.58                   |
| SAV2168                   | Putative multidrug transporter                       | 4.26                     | 1.05                   |
| SAV2169                   | Multidrug ABC transporter                            | 3.58                     | 1.01                   |
| SAV2261                   | Cation/multidrug efflux pump                         | 1.25                     | 1.13                   |
| SAV2262                   | Uncharacterized protein involved in methicillin resistance | 1.01                     | 1.12                   |
| SAV2265                   | Mar R family transcriptional regulator              | 10.64                    | 0.67                   |
| SAV2266                   | MFS family transporter ybf D                         | 6.44                     | 0.63                   |
| SAV2352                  | Drug resistance MFS transporter, drug:H⁺ antiporter-2 family | 2.14                     | 2.34*                  |
| SAV2353                  | Multidrug resistance efflux pump                     | 1.29                     | 1.60*                  |
| SAV2355                  | Drug resistance transporter, Bcr/Cfl A subfamily    | 3.60                     | 0.86                   |
| SAV2419                  | Toxin production and resistance                     | 1.28                     | 0.94                   |
| SAV2420                  | Toxin production and resistance                     | 1.22                     | 0.94                   |
| SAV2421                  | Toxin production and resistance                     | 1.51                     | 0.59                   |
| SAV2428                  | ABC-type multidrug transport system                  | 15.80                    | 0.51                   |
| SAV2462                  | Antibiotic resistance protein                        | 2.13                     | 0.79                   |
| SAV2552                  | Predicted drug exports of the RND family            | 4.55                     | 0.66                   |
| SAV2623                  | ABC-type antimicrobial peptide transport system      | 1.68                     | 0.96                   |
| SAV2701                  | ABC-type antimicrobial peptide transport system      | 13.05                    | 0.73                   |
| SAV2702                  | Similar to ABC transporter, vraE protein             | 10.66                    | 0.63                   |

Ampicillin-resistant genes

| Genes | Gene annotation                          | RNA-seq (0.4 mM Cr(VI)) | qPCR (0.2 mg ml⁻¹ Amp) |
|-------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| SAV1275 | Metallo-beta-lactamase superfamily     | 0.98                     | 0.80                   |
| SAV1304 | Metallo-beta-lactamase                  | 1.02                     | 0.51                   |
| SAV1545 | Metallo-beta-lactamase superfamily protein | 0.74                     | 1.02                   |
| SAV2441 | Similar to beta-lactamase               | 1.42                     | 0.44                   |
| pbp1  | Penicillin-binding protein 1             | 1.54                     | 3.88                   |
| pbp2  | Penicillin-binding protein 1A            | 2.10                     | 2.02                   |
| pbp3  | Penicillin-binding protein 3             | 1.05                     | 2.71                   |
| pbp4  | D-alanyl-D-alanine carboxypeptidase (penicillin-binding protein) | 0.77                     | 3.32                   |

* Stands for the selected genes for next study

Table 3 Genes involved in co-resistance of Cr(VI) and ampicillin were tested by RNA-seq and qPCR methods in strain LZ-01
extended and cell density declined, especially for \textit{emrA} deletion mutant. The deletion of \textit{emrB} increased susceptibility to ampicillin more obviously than to chromate, while strain \textit{ΔemrA} increased susceptibility to both chromate and ampicillin. The growth status of mutant \textit{ΔemrA} and \textit{ΔemrB} were different on plates with chromate and ampicillin pressures (Fig. 2).

Compared to strain LZ-01, the metal tolerance level of \textit{ΔemrB} decreased, the MICs for Cr(VI) and Mn(II) both decreased from 6 to 4 mM, the MIC for Pb(II) decreased from 1 to 0.50 mM (Table 2). The ampicillin resistance level of \textit{ΔemrB} also decreased from 0.5 to 0.15 mg/ml. The deletion of \textit{emrA} caused more severe drop in metal tolerance compared with \textit{ΔemrB}, the MIC for Cr(VI) decreased from 6 to 1 mM, the MIC for As(V) decreased from 4 to 3 mM, the MIC for Pb(II) decreased from 1 to 0.50 mM, and the MIC for Mn(II) decreased from 6 mM to 4 mM. The ampicillin resistance level of \textit{ΔemrA} decreased from 0.50 to 0.10 mg/ml (Table 2). The complemented strains CB and CA have recovered most resistance level to ampicillin(1/1) and chromate(5/6) compared with the wild strain LZ-01. The resistant level of chloramphenicol, Mn(II) and Pb(II) were not significantly changed among the wild strain LZ-01, mutant strain \textit{ΔemrA} and \textit{ΔemrB}, and complemented strain CB and CA. These results indicated that \textit{emrAB} are primarily responsible for the resistances of both Cr(VI) and ampicillin in \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} LZ-01.

The chromate and ampicillin co-resistance of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} LZ-01 could be positively induced by chromate or/and ampicillin

Since the strain LZ-01 has exhibited higher resistance level to metal chromium and antibiotic ampicillin, we wondered that whether these two resistances could be induced by each other in strain LZ-01. When the strain LZ-01 was pre-treated with 0.15 mg/ml ampicillin, its chromate resistance level improved 2/3 times, from 6 to 10 mM; the manganese resistance level also increased
from 6 mM to 9 mM. The pre-treatment of strain LZ-01 with 0.05 mg/ml chloramphenicol also showed improved metal resistance, the resistance of both chromium and manganese increased to 8 mM. When the strain LZ-01 was pre-treated with 0.50 mM hexavalent chromium, its ampicillin resistance level improved almost 5 times, from 0.50 to 2.50 mg/ml; but the chloramphenicol resistance level decreased from 0.13 to 0.06 mg/ml. When the strain LZ-01 was pre-treated with 0.50 mM manganese, its ampicillin resistance level improved from 0.50 to 1.60 mg/ml; the chloramphenicol resistance level increased from 0.13 to 0.64 mg/ml (Fig. 4).

When chromate and ampicillin were simultaneously added to cultures of strain LZ-01, the strain LZ-01 showed high co-tolerance level to chromate and ampicillin. The strain LZ-01 could resist to 6 mM chromate, and 0.75 mg/ml ampicillin in TSB medium. When the chromate concentration increased to 10 mM, strain LZ-01 still resist to 0.20 mg/ml ampicillin. When the ampicillin concentration increased to 1.25 mg/ml, strain LZ-01 still resist to 1.60 mM chromate (Table 4).

When the strain ΔemrB was induced by 0.50 mM chromate, its ampicillin resistance level could reach up to 0.20 mg/ml, with a 33 % increases; when the strain ΔemrB was induced by 0.05 mg/ml ampicillin, its chromate tolerance level could reach up to 6 mM, with a 33 % increases. The induction effect on strain ΔemrA was not as apparent as strain ΔemrB, whatever 0.50 mM chromate or 0.10 mg/ml ampicillin was used. The induction effect was more distinct on strain LZ-01, its induced ampicillin resistance level increased 5 times (from 0.51 to 2.50 mg/ml), and its induced chromate tolerance level increased 1.67 times (from 6 to 10 mM) (Fig. 5). The results of cross-tolerance tests of strain ΔemrA and ΔemrB to chromate and ampicillin were similar, only the ampicillin resistance level increased slightly, in comparison to their single resistance to chromate or ampicillin. The co-tolerance test of strain LZ-01 resulted in a remarkable difference, but this result was in accord with the induction findings (Table 4). The complemented strains CB and CA also showed higher co-tolerance level than mutants, but the co-tolerance level was still inferior to the wild strain LZ-01. These results revealed that co-induction phenomenon was existed in co-resistance which mediated by emrAB operon in Staphylococcus aureus LZ-01.

**Discussion**

In this study, we proved the co-resistance of metals and antibiotics in S. aureus strain LZ-01. The RNA-seq and qRT-PCR methods were employed to find crucial genes that were up-regulated under chromate/ampicillin stresses; the homologous recombination method was used for gene knockout. Our results indicated that a novel emrAB operon confers co-resistance of metal chromium and antibiotic ampicillin in strain LZ-01. This emrAB operon encoded efflux pumps which belonged to the multidrug resistance (MDR) family, and was inducible by metals (chromate and manganese) and antibiotics (ampicillin and chloramphenicol).

The gene emrB of Staphylococcus aureus LZ-01 is 1948 bp in length, and EmrB protein belongs to a family of Major Facilitator Superfamily 1 (MFS_1) with membrane translocases and 14 transmembrane domains, in which many multidrug-resistant (MDR) proteins of Gram-positive bacteria (e.g., QacA, Rouch et al. 1990) and efflux pumps (e.g., TetA, Yamaguchi et al. 1990) are included. The EmrB protein of Staphylococcus aureus LZ-01 has another functional region that belongs to the EpsG family which involved in the production of exopolysaccharide (EPS) component of extracellular matrix during biofilm formation and maintenance (Barbe et al. 2009) (Additional file 1: Figure S2). EmrA is a periplasmic protein which often exists in gram-negative bacteria (Furukawa et al. 1993), and acts as a drug-binding protein that transfers drugs through cell membrane. A limited homology was found to members of HlyD family, including a component of the E.coli hemolysin efflux pump (Felmlee et al. 1985) (Additional file 1: Figure S3). This is the first report that EmrAB pumps involved in ampicillin and chromate co-resistance in Staphylococcus aureus.
The co-resistance of antibiotic and metal based on MDR pumps was widely found in various strains, and most pumps were capable to extrude toxic heavy metals and antibiotics out of cells, such as DsbA-DsbB system in *Burkholderia cepacia* and MDR efflux pumps in *Serratia marcescens* (Perron et al. 2004). But the resistance level conferred by MDR pumps was different, the chromate and/or ampicillin resistance level was quite small comparing with this study. *Salmonella abortus equi* was found to have high level resistance to arsenic (100 mg/ml), chromium (50 mg/ml), cadmium (100 mg/ml), mercury (5 mg/ml) and ampicillin (10 µg) (Ghosh et al. 2000). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* EW32 isolated from southeastern Brazil showed co-resistance to tetracycline and copper, and antibiotic resistance could be induced by heavy metals in the environment (Martins et al. 2014). There are some MDR pumps found in *Staphylococcus aureus*, but none has the chromate or ampicillin resistance. The Smr pump from *S. aureus* extrudes small structure cations such as ethidium bromide and tetrabutylphosphonium (Paulsen et al. 1993), the Acr pump extrudes sodium dodecyl sulfate, acriflavine, novobiocin and rifampin (Poole et al. 1993), the QacA pump extrudes quaternary ammonium compounds (Rouch et al. 1990). The EmrAB pumps in *S. aureus* LZ-01 could resist to both ampicillin (0.51 mg/ml) and chromate (6 mM), and the resistance could be highly induced by each other.

Concerning toxic metal resistance, the most studied toxic metal ions were bivalent cations including Ag⁺, Cd²⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺, Sb³⁺, Ti⁴⁺ and Zn²⁺, few reports described the efflux of anions including AsO₂⁻, AsO₄³⁻, CrO₄²⁻ and TeO₄²⁻ (Silver 1996). Resistance to chromium has been observed in several microorganisms, the resistance often resulted from reduced uptake of CrO₄²⁻, but it was hard to determine whether there was chromate efflux or a direct block on uptake (Silver and Phung 1996). The chromium resistance was usually conferred by chrBACF operon from

| Amp mg/ml | Cr(VI) mM | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|          | 0.8      | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4    | 4.8  | 6    | 8    | 10   |
| LZ-01    | +        | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
|          | 0.2      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
|          | 0.4      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | –    |
|          | 0.5      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | –    |
|          | 0.6      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | –    |
|          | 0.75     | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | –    |
|          | 1.0      | +   | +   | +    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 1.25     | +   | +   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
| ΔemrB    | 0.1      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | –    |
|          | 0.2      | +   | –   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.4      | +   | –   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
| ΔemrA    | 0.1      | +   | +   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.2      | +   | –   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.4      | +   | –   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
| CB       | 0.2      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | +    |
|          | 0.4      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.5      | +   | +   | +    | +    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.6      | +   | +   | +    | +    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.75     | +   | +   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 1.0      | +   | +   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 1.25     | +   | –   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
| CA       | 0.2      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | +    | –    |
|          | 0.4      | +   | +   | +    | +    | +    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.5      | +   | +   | +    | +    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.6      | +   | +   | +    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 0.75     | +   | +   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 1.0      | +   | +   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
|          | 1.25     | +   | –   | –    | –    | –    | –    | –    |
the transposable element or chromosome in many studies. The chromium efflux pump \textit{chrA} conferred as high as 50 mM of resistance level against chromium. The \textit{chrBACF} operon was strongly induced by chromate or dichromate, and this operon also resist to superoxide due to the superoxide dismutase activity of \textit{chrC} (Branco et al. 2008; Morais et al. 2011). The chromium efflux related pumps were encoded by \textit{emrAB} in \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} LZ-01, and this pump also extrudes manganese. The chromate reduction process was not observed in this study. Compared with the 50 mM resistant level of \textit{chrBACF} operon, the \textit{emrAB} of \textit{S. aureus} LZ-01 only has weak chromate resistant capacity of 6-10 mM.

The ampicillin resistance of gram-positive organisms conventionally relies to the beta- lactamase activity or the PBP analogues (Berger-Bächi 2002), but none of the typical structures was found on operon \textit{emrAB} from \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} LZ-01. However, the expression of four PBPs was up-regulated under ampicillin stress, especially PBP1 (encoded by \textit{pbpA}) and PBP4 (encoded by \textit{pbp4}) (Table 3). In the mutant strain \textit{ΔemrA}, all of the four PBPs levels were down-regulated after ampicillin induction, while PBP1 (encoded by \textit{pbpA}) and PBP4 (encoded by \textit{pbp4}) were slightly up-regulated in strain \textit{ΔemrB} (Table 5), these results were consistent with the results of induction tests in Fig. 5. Therefore, the PBPs levels seemed to be responsible for ampicillin resistance in \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} LZ-01, the inactivation of \textit{emrAB} operon had a negative influence on the expression of PBPs.

The induction effect on \textit{emrAB} operon of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} LZ-01 indicated the existence of a regulation system, which sensed the inducer- ampicillin and chromium, and then regulated the expression of \textit{emrAB}, and other resistant genes may also involved. A well-studied model for co-resistance of antibiotics and metals is the BaeSR regulation system. The BaeS may sense the presence of indole, copper and zinc, and then activates the expression of BaeR, which could bind to the promoter region of several multidrug efflux pumps components including \textit{mdtA}, \textit{tolC} and \textit{acrD}. BaeR induced the expression of multidrug efflux pumps, thus conferring the resistances to novobiocin, deoxycholate and β-lactams in \textit{Salmonella} (Nishino et al. 2007). CzcR-CzcS was another well-studied two-component regulation system involved in heavy metal and antibiotic resistance in \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, sublethal zinc concentrations induced resistance to zinc, cadmium, cobalt and imipenem. The CzcR-CzcS system can sense the metals zinc and cadmium, and then regulates the expression of heavy metal efflux pump CzcCBA, as well as the imipenem-resistant antibiotic resistant porin OprD (Perron et al. 2004). The \textit{emrAB} operon was often regulated by \textit{emrR}, a MarR family transcriptional repressors (Lomovskaya et al. 1995). The repression of \textit{emrR} could be relieved by structurally unrelated compounds such as uncouplers of oxidative phosphorylation, salicylic acid and 2, 4-dinitrophenol (DNP) (Hinchliffe et al. 2014). However, the \textit{emrR} was

Table 5 The expression level change of four PBPs genes under ampicillin stress (0.05 mg/ml) in \textit{S. aureus} LZ-01 determined by qPCR

| Genes | LZ-01       | ΔemrB     | ΔemrA     | CB         | CA         |
|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| \textit{pbpA} | 3.88 ± 0.22 | 1.13 ± 0.15 | 1.07 ± 0.11 | 2.51 ± 0.16 | 2.44 ± 0.08 |
| \textit{pbp2} | 2.02 ± 0.13 | 0.76 ± 0.07 | 1.38 ± 0.10 | 1.35 ± 0.09 | 1.63 ± 0.13 |
| \textit{pbp3} | 2.71 ± 0.17 | 0.85 ± 0.12 | 0.26 ± 0.05 | 1.55 ± 0.10 | 1.47 ± 0.09 |
| \textit{pbp4} | 3.32 ± 0.23 | 1.33 ± 0.05 | 0.45 ± 0.07 | 2.23 ± 0.12 | 1.89 ± 0.11 |
not found in the transcriptome of *S. aureus* strain LZ-01, a homologous *marR* gene-SAV2265, which also acts as a negative regulator, was found in the upstream of *emrAB* operon. *MarR* also bound to the promoter region and flanked both -35 region and -10 region, and served as a repressor (Lomovskaya et al. 1995). The *marR* was previously reported as a copper sensor that regulates the multiple antibiotic resistances in *Escherichia coli* (Hao et al. 2014). So we primarily tested whether the *marR* gene can regulate the transcription of *emrAB* in *S. aureus* LZ-01. The *MarR* protein was added to the cultures that harboring promoter from *emrAB*, but no obvious regulation effect was observed (Additional file 1: Figure S4). For chromate resistance in *S. aureus* LZ-01, the *emrAB* pumps could extrude redundant chromate out of cell; but for ampicillin resistance, the *emrAB* pumps may mediate a regulation function that regulate the expression of *pbp* gene clusters. Besides, the *emrAB* pumps also contribute to the cell growth and proliferation, while the deletion of *emrA/B* have reduced cell density and delayed growth rate. Thus the growth defects induced by deletion weakened their resistance. As previously described, the primary mission for chromosomal MDR pumps is keep chemical equilibrium of cells, including but not limited to detoxification of metals or antibiotics. Recently, new functions of chromosomal MDR pumps were revealed, including biofilm maturation, virulence, quorum sensing and signal communication (Martinez et al. 2009).

**Conclusion**

Our findings here demonstrate that microorganisms not only have the specific pathway to resist to a poison substrate, but also have a broad-spectrum defense mechanism to resist various poison compounds. This point is especially valuable for new antimicrobial drug design, and new antimicrobial compounds should limit microbial activities other than synthesis and maintenance of cell walls. Meanwhile, the co-resistance of metals and antibiotics in *S. aureus* LZ-01 is confirmed in our study, and this co-resistance maybe based on the broad-spectrum defense mechanism, like biofilm formation and MDR efflux pumps. The contamination of environments will maintain and strengthen the effect of co-resistance based on transferable elements, even induce to the emergence of new resistance (Bos et al. 2015). The elimination of contaminants (metals, antibiotics, antimicrobials, etc.) and limited usage with caution are necessary for alleviating the co-resistance.
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