Photoelectrodes with Polydopamine Thin Films Incorporating a Bacterial Photoenzyme
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A fabrication strategy of photoactive biohybrid electrodes based on the immobilization of the bacterial reaction center (RC) onto indium tin oxide (ITO) is proposed. The RC is an integral photoenzyme that converts photons into stable charge-separated states with a quantum yield close to one. The photogenerated electron–hole pair can be eventually exploited, with suitable redox mediators, to produce photocurrents. To this purpose, RC must be effectively anchored on the electrode surface and simple strategies for its stable immobilization ensuring prolonged enzyme photoactivity are strongly desired. In this work, polydopamine (PDA), a polymer reminiscent of the natural melanin, is used to anchor the RC on the electrode surface. PDA is easily prepared in situ by spontaneous polymerization of dopamine in slightly alkaline aerated buffered RC solution. This reaction, carried out in the presence of an ITO substrate dipped into the solution, directly leads to a stable RC-PDA/ITO photoelectrode with 20 nm film thickness and 50% of fully functional RC occupancy. Photocurrents densities recorded using this photoelectrode are comparable to those obtained with far more sophisticated immobilization techniques. The RC-PDA films are fully characterized by visible–near-infrared absorption spectroscopy, ellipsometry, atomic force, and scanning electron microscopies.

1. Introduction

Biohybrid assemblies exploiting the unmatched ability of the photosynthetic proteins to convert solar light into charge separated states are arousing great attention as highly efficient, biocompatible, and eco-sustainable optoelectronic devices.[1–3] In plants, algae and cyanobacteria, the complex apparatus formed by two photosynthetic units, the photosystems one and two (PSI and PSII), efficiently exploit solar energy to oxidize water into molecular oxygen transferring electrons to the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide.[4,5] The use of PSI and PSII as photoactive biocomponents in optoelectronic devices is a hot topic of current scientific research,[6] although some issues related to the PSII stability have been reported. On the other side, bacterial reaction centers (RC), homologous to the photochemical core of the PSII, obtained from the purple photosynthetic bacteria Rhodobacter (R.) sphaeroides have also raised interest for similar applications. Bacterial RCs are indeed easy to isolate and purify, are sturdy proteins and can be handled outside their native environment without loss of functionality.[7,8] Furthermore, mutants of R. sphaeroides can be easily engineered for introducing new and intriguing features.[9] The RC isolated from the mutant R26 of the phototropic bacterium R. sphaeroides, is a transmembrane pigment–protein complex (Figure 1) consisting of three subunits (H, L, and M) forming a protein scaffold to which nine cofactors are noncovalently bound in precise positions: two ubiquinone-10 QA and QB, a nonheme Fe^{2+} ion, two bacteriopheophytins (BPhe) and four bacteriochlorophylls (BChl), two of which form a functional dimer (D).[10,11] Upon photon absorption, one electron moves from D to the first electron acceptor QA and finally to the loosely bound QB, generating an electron–hole couple with unitary quantum yield.[12] In the absence of external reductants, a charge recombination reaction occurs in a timescale from 0.1 up to few seconds. Conversely, in the presence of exogenous electron donor to the oxidized dimer (e.g., the physiological
cytochrome c₂), a second electron can be shuttled to Qₐ that, upon double protonation, is released as quinol and can be substituted by a quinone from a pool in the external medium (Figure 1).

The photocycle can be reproduced using isolated RC suspended in direct, [7,17] or inverse micellar systems, [18] in biomimetic environments, such as liposomes, [19–21] and giant vesicles, [22] or in nonaqueous media (e.g., deep eutectic solvents). [23,24]

To exploit the RC photoconversion efficiency, its application in photoelectrochemical systems requires a suitable immobilization strategy ensuring both protein integrity and efficient electron transfer from the protein to the surface of an electrode.

Various approaches have been recently reviewed [1,25] and include: 1) layer-by-layer electrostatic adsorption of negatively charged RC onto polycationic modified electrodes; [26] 2) entrapment by physisorption of the protein in nanoporous materials and sol–gel media [26] or directly onto the electrode via laser printing [20] or Langmuir–Blodgett techniques; [30,31] 3) covalent binding the RC to the electrode surface by suitable protein linkers or polyhistidine (polyHis) tag at the C-terminal of M subunit of genetically engineered RC; [32] 4) casting a layer of oxidized cyt onto an indium tin oxide (ITO) Gate, prior to RC deposition, to induce an orientation of the photoenzyme that enabled the construction of a novel Light-driven electrolyte-gated organic transistor. [33]

An alternative attaching strategy based on the adhesive properties of polydopamine (PDA) uses a straightforward one-pot molecule encapsulation to produce firmly anchored films on the surface of a dipped electrode in aqueous aerated buffered solution. Dopamine (3,4-dihydroxyphenethylamine, DA) easily polymerizes in presence of oxidant in alkaline aqueous solutions, [34–39] forming a robust melanin-like underwater adhesive polymer, [40] the PDA, composed of 5,6-dihydroxy-indole repeating units and its derivatives. [34] PDA is a promising active material for bioelectronic platforms due to 1) its high biocompatibility and mild pH and temperature polymerization conditions highly compatible with biomolecules, [41] 2) strong adhesion ability, even in wet conditions, to a wide variety of substrates forming robust films without the need of surface pretreatment, [42] 3) efficient semiconducting properties, [43–47] 4) potential covalent functionalization of PDA films by chemical reactions of catechol moiety on the surface groups of PDA. [38,48]

PDA has been already used as efficient adhesive biocompatible polymer for immobilization or encapsulation of enzymes (e.g., laccase, [49] glucosidase, [50] peroxidase [51]) leading to promising biohybrid materials for catalysis, drug delivery, and biosensing.

We present here a simple and reliable wet procedure for the assembly of photoactive RC-PDA/ITO electrodes prepared by mixing aqueous RC and DA solutions in the presence of ITO under aerobic and slightly alkaline conditions. RC effectively encapsulates during the polymerization step, and the PDA adhesion property drives the simultaneous immobilization onto the electrode surface. The encapsulated protein retains full structural integrity and photochemical capability. The RC-PDA/ITO electrode was tested in a photoelectrochemical

---

Figure 1. 3D structure of the photosynthetic reaction center from the mutant R26 of the bacterium *R. sphaeroides* (Protein data bank ID code: 2J8C[29]). In transparence is represented the protein scaffolding where the alfa helixes are shown as cylinders. The RCs has an elliptical transmembrane region having 5 x 7 nm main axes, capped with a hemispheric globular portion having a radius of 3.5 nm. In plain colors are shown the cofactors involved in the cascade of electron transfer reactions originating from the photoexcitation of the bacteriochlorophyll dimer (D, in red). Other cofactors are two monomeric bacteriochlorophylls (BChl, in yellow), two bacteriopheophytins (H, in blue), two quinones (Q, in mauve), and one ferrous ion (in black) arranged in two branches identified by the subscripts A and B. The cofactors span across the subunits L and M (in pale green and cyan) that sit within the membrane, while the third subunit H (in pale orange) protrudes toward the cytoplasm of the bacterial cell. The black arrows represent the forward electron transfer reactions from D to Qₐ that take place only through the branch A, the red arrow represents the electron transfer from the exogenous donor, in this case a cytochrome (Cyt), to the oxidized dimer. The blue arrows represents the quinone/quinol exchange reaction at the Qₐ binding site. [14–16]
cell in the presence of ferrocenemethanol as exogenous electron donor and decylubiquinone as electron acceptor. Photo-currents densities (up to 20 µA cm⁻²) and internal quantum efficiency (up to 38%) are comparable to those obtained with more sophisticated immobilization techniques. Interestingly, the electrochemical mediators can be incorporated within the PDA matrix together with the protein, enabling the device functioning with lower but more stable photocurrents, adding the sole ferrocyanide as electroactive species in the electrolytic solution. The RC-PDA films of the electrode were characterized by steady state and transient absorbance vis–NIR spectroscopies, ellipsometry, atomic force microscopy (AFM), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

2. Results and Discussion

The polymerization of dopamine into PDA in presence of the RC is graphically sketched Figure 2a. Under the conditions illustrated in this work, DA molecules are initially oxidized by oxygen forming a series of intermediates that eventually lead to the formation of PDA nanoaggregates which encapsulate the photoenzyme. We imagine the protein, surrounded by its detergent belt, to accommodate within the solution-filled voids (illustrated in Figure S7, Supporting Information) within the growing PDA structures shown by AFM images (see later). The nanoaggregates remain suspended in the buffer solution unless an ITO surface is available during the polymerization. If so, the nanoaggregates coalesce, adhere, and deposit on the ITO surface forming a stable RC-PDA film amenable as photoelectrode.

2.1. Optimization of the Polymerization Conditions

Polymerization conditions compatible with the presence of the RC require a specific aqueous buffer containing a surfactant, here Triton X-100 0.03% v/v, to ensure the solubilization of the membrane protein, and a buffer to maintain the pH value at 8.0 throughout the reaction. Tris has pKₐ = 8.07 and buffer capacity β(Tris, pH 8.0) = 0.57 × 10⁻³ M pH⁻¹ and, furthermore, leads to higher polymerization yield then phosphate buffer at this pH. Unluckily, Tris is covalently incorporated into the PDA matrix. Phosphate has lower pKₐ = 7.21 and buffer capacity β(phosphate, pH 8.0) = 0.27 × 10⁻³ M pH⁻¹ but is not involved in the polymerization process and is hence chosen as alternative buffer. The polymerization reaction, shown in Figure 2b, releases two protons per DA molecule during the initial oxidation step, hence high pH buffer concentration, namely Tₛ₀₅TX₀₀₃ and Pₛ₀₅TX₀₀₃, were used. The structural differences in the films obtained with Tris and phosphate are discussed in Section 2.3. See the Experimental Section for abbreviations.

2.2. In Situ PDA Assisted Deposition of RC onto ITO Electrode

Encapsulation of RCs into PDA nanoaggregates was confirmed by steady state absorption vis–NIR spectroscopy (Figures S1–S3, Supporting Information), fluorescence reflection microscopy (Figure S4, Supporting Information), and transient absorption NIR spectroscopy (Figure S5, Supporting Information). Adventitious coprecipitation of RC and PDA nanoaggregates is also excluded (Figure S2, Supporting Information) by control experiments.

The initial concentration of dopamine modulates the amount of RCs incorporated in nanoaggregates as well as, see later, the thickness of the final film. A 5 × 10⁻³ M concentration of DA was selected as best compromise between film thickness (see Section S3, Supporting Information) and amount of entrapped RC (Figure 3). Indeed, higher DA concentration increases the entrapment of RC but makes the film thicker and darker, limiting the enzyme photoexcitation and electron transfer to the electrode.

The film sketched in Figure 2a forms after overnight stirring only if dopamine is allowed to polymerize in presence of the target ITO surface. If ITO is added after the formation of nanoaggregates, no adhesion is obtained. This difference may be a consequence of the higher amount of catechol moieties of the oxidized forms of DA available during the polymerization reaction as compared to those available once nanoaggregates have formed. Although the mechanism behind the formation of PDA is still under debate, literature shows that, under alkaline conditions, dopamine undergoes to a first oxidation of catechol groups into quinones, and then to a complex series of reactions leading to a final polymer with hyperbranched and stacked chemical structure (Figure 2b). In the presence of an anchoring surface, such as ITO, the catechol groups of some PDA precursors may be responsible of the adhesion and the consequent film formation, whose final thickness is of the order of tens of nanometers.

2.3. AFM, Ellipsometric, and SEM Surface Characterization of PDA and RC-PDA Films

The nanoaggregates of PDA, their films, and the effect of RC encapsulation were investigated by AFM, SEM, and ellipsometry under different polymerization conditions. Details are given in Section S6 of the Supporting Information and briefly summarized here.

The average particle diameter of PDA nanoaggregates is found to depend upon the initial DA concentration regardless the buffer used during polymerization. Diameter was found to increase from ≈60 nm (50 in Tris buffer and 70 in phosphate buffer) to ≈150 nm. The thickness of the film increases linearly with the DA concentration, passing from 20 to 100 nm when polymerization occurs in Tris. In the case of polymerization performed in phosphate buffer, the thickness of the PDA film starts at 20 nm, reaches the maximum value of 50 nm at DA 10 × 10⁻³ M and decreases at higher DA concentrations (see Figure S6, Supporting Information). The size differences between nanoaggregates and film clearly denote different formation processes.

The role of RC addition is summarized in Figure 3. Noteworthy, independently on buffer and DA concentration, we measured a 50–60 nm similar size of PDA particles, indicating
that RC is an inhibitor of PDA particle aggregation. This size is much larger than dimensions of the RC presented in the caption of Figure 1. Furthermore, in phosphate, the RC-PDA film morphology and surface roughness do not significantly change by increasing the PDA concentration and film thickness. Conversely, in Tris, a hierarchical aggregation in films of the particles occurs during deposition with consequent change of morphology and increase of roughness with film thickness, indicating again a role of the Tris also during the RC encapsulation process. This morphology is consistent with

Figure 2. a) Schematic representation of the polymerization steps that take place in aqueous solution buffered at pH 8.0 by Tris or phosphate buffer in the presence of the ITO glass. b) Scheme of the reactive intermediates formed during the dopamine polymerization reactions (adapted with permission from Hong et al.\textsuperscript{[53]}).
the ellipsometric analysis. Specifically, Figure 3a shows ellipsometric spectra of the pseudorefractive index, $<n>$, and extinction coefficient, $<k>$, of RC-PDA films obtained from both Tris and phosphate buffers; for comparison, the spectrum of RC alone deposited by drop casting on the substrate is shown. The presence of the RC optical transitions at 1.52, 3.1, 4.3, 5.35, and 6.2 eV (see the Experimental Section) is a clear indication of the RC incorporation in the films (in agreement with vis–NIR spectrum in Figure 4). The modeling and best-fit of the ellipsometric spectra resulted in a quantitative evaluation of the RC incorporation (% volume fraction) and thickness of the RC-PDA films. The films have been fitted to a gradient in the three components RC, PDA, and voids, introduced to simulate the roughness of the films.

Thickness and roughness (as indicated by the voids surface %, consistently with AFM measurements in Figure 3b) for the RC-PDA films obtained in Tris are higher with respect to films obtained in phosphate, indicating once more the noninnocent role of Tris in the film formation. Noteworthy, Tris involvement results also in a different RC encapsulation, i.e., a gradient with a surface enrichment in RC is found when the phosphate buffer is used, whereas an almost constant RC/PDA ratio with a strong gradient in roughness is found when Tris buffer is used. The film compositional analysis has been retrieved by fitting the ellipsometric spectra. The working assumption that the film layer is a linear gradient in the refractive index was used once the simple fitting model assuming a homogeneous layer failed. A highly satisfactory fit was instead achieved by the linear gradient model.

SEM analysis of RC-PDA films grown from DA $5 \times 10^{-3}$ M on silicon substrates (see Figure S7, Supporting Information) shows a continuous polymer network, embedding round shaped nanostructures of 40–70 nm, irrespectively from the type of buffer used during the polymerization, in agreement with AFM and ellipsometry surface analysis discussed above.
2.4. Characterization of Photoactive RC Incorporated into the PDA Film

The film obtained by adhesion of PDA nanoaggregates on ITO is easily handled and minimal loss in encapsulated RC is found after rinsing it with deionized water. Figure 4 shows the characteristic RC pigment peaks at 1.64, 1.54, and 1.53 eV (756, 805, and 867 nm, respectively) in rinsed films.

The RCs are entrapped inside the PDA film in multiple stacked dense layers; indeed, form the peak at 805 nm (ε = 288 × 10^{-3} M^{-1} cm^{-1}) it is possible to infer a concentration of RC = 10 × 10^{-12} mol cm^{-2}, comparable to previous data reported for densely packed RC monolayer.[59,60] AFM and ellipsometry, indeed (see Section 2.3), show that the film deposited on ITO starting from DA 5 × 10^{-3} M in P250TX0.03 has a thickness of 20 nm and shows a remarkable RC occupancy that is constantly equal to 50% along the entire thickness (see Figure 3b).

The RC photoactivity can be assayed measuring the absorbance changes at 865 nm upon single flash excitation, as detailed in Section S2 of the Supporting Information. The sudden change in the absorbance is generated by the formation of the charge separated state with an exponential kinetics. Figure 3b).

The same experiment was carried in RC-PDA films polymerized in T250TX0.03 buffer and the resulting surface concentration was found comparable to the phosphate case and equal to 8 × 10^{-12} mol cm^{-2}.
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Figure 5. Absorbance change recorded at 865 nm of RC-PDA/ITO. The signal is an average of 128 flashes. Polymerization conditions: RC 3 × 10^{-6} M, DA 5 × 10^{-3} M in P250TX0.03, pH 8.0. The decay of the charge recombination state can be fitted to a monoexponential function with amplitude −(1.1 ± 0.5) mA and a kinetic constant of k = 11.9 ± 0.1 s^{-1}.

2.5. Photocurrent Generation by the RC-PDA/ITO Coated Electrode

The RC-PDA/ITO photoelectrode is assembled to generate an electric current sustained by continuous illumination by exploiting the photocycle of the reaction center; the role of the physiological external electron donor and acceptors of the photocycle (Figure 1b) can be played, respectively, by ferrocenemethanol (FcnOH) and decylubiquinone (dQ). A three electrodes photoelectrochemical cell is assembled, as detailed in the Experimental Section, using ITO slides as working electrode (WE). All experiments were performed setting the WE potential at the open circuit voltage (OCV) value of −0.1 V versus Ag/AgCl reference electrode.

Photocurrents were recorded under several conditions. A full list is given in Section S3 of the Supporting Information along with all the control experiments. All experiments are performed with a light intensity saturating for the entrapped RC.

2.5.1. ITO Dipped in RC Suspension (RC/ITO)

A cathodic photocurrent of 1 µA cm^{-2} (pink trace, Figure 6a) was recorded using WE prepared by dipping overnight an ITO slide in 3 × 10^{-6} M RC dissolved in P100TX0.03 and then gently rinsing it with deionized water (RC/ITO). This RC/ITO was immersed in a cell containing FcnOH and dQ in a solution buffered (P100TX0.03) at pH 70. The photocurrent is stable since the photocycle rate is limited by the low RC concentration on the electrode surface and reaches a steady state condition established from the rates of diffusion of mediators from the bulk and their reactions to electrodes.

2.5.2. RC-PDA Film on ITO (RC-PDA/ITO)

a) RC-PDA/ITO electrode prepared in P150TX0.03. The electrode is immersed in a cell containing FcnOH and dQ in a solution buffered (P100TX0.03) at pH 70. The photocurrent reaches an initial peak of 15 µA cm^{-2}, decaying to 3–4 µA cm^{-2} within 10 s (black trace in Figure 6a). The photoresponse was found to be reproducible for at least ten cycles, after adequate dark intervals. In this WE configuration, the high initial photocurrent density arises from the high RC density inside the PDA layer. To the best of our knowledge, 15 µA cm^{-2} is among the highest ones reported in literature, for electrodes coated with comparable RC surface density.[31]

Unfortunately, this value decays likely because i) the RC photocycle depletes the mediators nearby the electrode at a rate higher than their diffusion rate from the solution bulk, and ii) the short circuit side reaction between FcnOH and dQH becomes relevant as these species accumulate in solution during the RC photocycle.[52,60]

b) RC-PDA/ITO Electrode Prepared in T250TX0.03. The use of Tris as polymerization buffer influences the photocurrents. WE obtained using phosphate generates a photocurrent almost twice that generated by WE obtained using Tris (blue trace in Figure 6a). This result could be interpreted in view of the ellipsometry results (Figure 3) showing that PDA films obtained in
phosphate are thinner than in Tris, while containing comparable amounts of RC as inferred by photoactivity experiments. In Tris case, the photoresponse might result diminished by both the lower RC light harvesting efficiency and the possibly slower mediator diffusion through the thicker PDA layer to the electrode.

2.5.3. RC-PDA Film on ITO (RC-PDA/ITO) Encapsulating Redox Mediators

The response of the photoelectrode was improved by encapsulating the redox mediators, dQ ($100 \times 10^{-6}$ M) and FcnOH ($300 \times 10^{-6}$ M), added to the polymerization solution. Photo-currents were recorded in presence of potassium ferrocyanide (FeCN) $10 \times 10^{-3}$ M. Control experiments are given in Section S4 of the Supporting Information.

Stable photocurrents of 7 and 2 $\mu$A cm$^{-2}$ are recorded for the WE obtained in phosphate (Figure 6b, black trace) and in Tris (Figure 6b, blue trace) buffers, respectively. As sketched in Figure 7, when light activates the RC, it reduces dQ to dQH$_2$ withdrawing electrons from FcnOH, whose oxidized form is in turn reduced at the ITO electrode (cathodic reaction), while FeCN donates electrons to the platinum counter electrode closing the circuit (anodic reaction). The reoxidation of dQH$_2$ is accomplished by oxidized FeCN or by the dissolved oxygen.

The chronoamperometric profile obtained using RC-PDA/ITO WEs encapsulating the redox mediators and in the presence of bulk-dissolved FeCN (black trace in Figure 6b) is smaller in amplitude with respect to the same experiment done with bulk dissolved mediators but does not show the rapid decay observed using mediators in solution (black trace in Figure 6a). In the absence of FeCN no significant photocurrent is detected, while in the case of bulk-dissolved mediators the presence of
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Figure 7. Scheme of the photoelectrochemical cell based on a Pt wire anode, the FeCN in P$_{100}$TX$_{0.03}$ electrolytic solution and the PDA-coated ITO WE incorporating RC, dQ and FcnOH. The reference electrode has not been depicted for simplicity. The different phases are not to scale.
FeCN results is little or no change of the chronomamperometric profile.

Coincorporation of mediators in RC-PDA films mitigate the diffusion issues responsible of the spike because of i) the high local concentration of RC and mediators and their confinement in the close proximity of the electrode, and ii) the reduced short circuit side reaction rate between FeCNOH⁻ and dQH₂ due to their low diffusion rate inside polymeric matrices. This in situ polymerization produced WEs having photocurrent density that remain fairly stable up to 100 s of illumination (Figure S8, Supporting Information). WEs prepared from T₂₅₀TX₀.₀₃ led to similarly stable but significantly lower photocurrents (Figure 6b blue trace).

A summary of all detected photocurrents parameters is presented in Table 1. Noteworthy, the highest total charge (integral) is that obtained with the RC-PDA/ITO WE encapsulating redox mediators prepared in P₂₅₀TX₀.₀₃.

### 2.6. Internal and External Quantum Efficiencies of WEs

The internal quantum efficiency (IQE, i.e., the number of electrons pumped in the circuit divided by the number of absorbed photons), calculated according to Kamran et al., was found, at the peak intensity, 39% for the RC-PDA/ITO WE and 21% for RC-PDA/ITO + mediators WE, both prepared in phosphate. The IQE obtained in RC-PDA/ITO is comparable to that reported in the literature (32%) for a uniformly oriented RC/LH₁ film deposited by more sophisticated LB technique onto an electrode surface.

The photocurrents obtained from RC-PDA/ITO + mediators WEs were also measured in the wavelength range 580–910 nm, using a set of 10 nm bandpass interferential filters. The photoenzyme action spectrum is shown in Figure 8 where the external quantum efficiency (EQE, i.e., the number of electrons pumped in the circuit divided by the number of incident photons) is plotted versus the excitation wavelengths. The data show a good correspondence with the optical spectrum of the RC.

The structural integrity of the RC in RC-PDA/ITO working electrodes was also monitored in time (see Section S6 of the Supporting Information). The photoresponse of the protein was recorded during a time interval of 9 days showing that the presence of PDA does not protect the RC from photodegradation, while it does have a protective role against denaturation.

### 3. Conclusions

This work presents a simple and mild procedure for assembling PDA films deposited onto ITO. The procedure is biocompatible and allows the encapsulation of fully functional photosynthetic reaction center.

The assembly formed by the photoenzyme encapsulated in the PDA film deposited on ITO is a well-performing photoelectrode that, with the use of the opportune redox mediators, has been successfully used in photoelectrochemical cells. The high density packing of the protein within the film produces a remarkably high, but unstable, photocurrent when the mediators are freely diffusing in the electrolytic solution. A lower but very stable photocurrent having an internal quantum efficiency of 21% is obtained when mediators are encapsulated with the reaction center in the film.

### 4. Experimental Section

**Chemicals:** All chemicals were purchased at the highest available purity degree and were used without further purification. The reagent grade salts for the phosphate buffer solutions, dQ, FeCNOH, Triton X-100 (TX), FeCN, tris-(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane (Tris), fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), and dopamine hydrochloride were purchased from Sigma. Lauryl dimethyl amine N-oxide (LDAO) was from Fluka. All aqueous solutions were prepared using water obtained by Milli-Q Gradient A-10 system (Millipore, 18.2 MΩ cm, organic carbon content <4 µg L⁻¹). ITO glass slides of 15 × 9 × 0.7 mm¹ with a surface resistivity of 10 Ω cm and a transmittance > 85% were washed in 5% Hellmanex solution, rinsed with bidistilled water and finally washed in methanol.

**RC Protein Purification:** R. sphaeroides R26 was grown photoheterothrophically under anaerobic conditions in medium supplemented with potassium succinate. RCs were isolated as previously described. Protein purity was checked using the absorbance ratio A₂₈₀/A₆₅₀, which was kept below 1.4, while structural integrity was established by the ratio A₆₃₀/A₈₀₂ which is equal to 1 for pure and intact RC proteins. RCs were concentrated to ~50 × 10⁻⁶ M by centrifugation with Amicon Centricron-30, dialyzed against a buffered composed by Tris 15 × 10⁻² M, LDAO 0.025% v/v, Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid 1 × 10⁻³ M, pH 8.0 (T₁₅L₀.₀₂5E₁), and stored at ~20 °C for later use. RC concentration was determined spectrophotometrically using ε₈₀₂ = 288 × 10⁻³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

**Figure 8.** Absorption RC spectrum (black continuous line) superimposed to the corresponding action spectrum (circles) of a RC-PDA/ITO + mediators working electrode obtained in P₂₅₀TX₀.₀₃. The photoelectrochemical cell is described in Figure 7.

### Table 1. Summary of parameters for first peak of photocurrent shown in Figure 6.

| Sample | Peak current density [µA cm⁻²] | Integral [µC] |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| RC/ITO | −1.25                        | 120          |
| RC-PDA/ITO prepared in T₂₅₀TX₀.₀₃ | −9.10                       | 650          |
| RC-PDA/ITO prepared in P₂₅₀TX₀.₀₃ | −16.5                       | 650          |
| RC-PDA/ITO encapsulating redox mediators prepared in T₂₅₀TX₀.₀₃ | −1.72                       | 180          |
| RC-PDA/ITO encapsulating redox mediators prepared in P₂₅₀TX₀.₀₃ | −7.83                       | 770          |
**RC Incorporation in Suspended PDA Nanoaggregates:** The incorporation of the RC into the PDA nanoaggregates was performed preparing a mixture of $3 \times 10^{-6}$ protein, $25 \times 10^{-3}$ DA either in 3 mL phosphate $250 \times 10^{-3}$, TX-100 0.03% pH 8.0 ($P_{850TX0.03}$ buffer) or Tris $250 \times 10^{-3}$, TX-100 0.03% pH 8.0 ($T_{850TX0.03}$ buffer). The suspension was stirred overnight at room temperature to allow the oxygen-mediated polymerization favored by the alkaline condition. During the growth of the colloidal polymeric aggregates, the RCs are captured and entrapped within the nanostructures. The suspension was finally ultracentrifuged at 33,000 $g$ for 1 h at 4 °C. The sedimented nanoaggregates (resuspended in the same buffer) and supernatants were analyzed spectrophotometrically to check the protein content.

**RC Incorporation in ITO-Anchored PDA Nanoaggregates:** For this purpose, glass slides (1.2 × 1.0 cm) with the ITO face upward were placed into open vessels containing typically $3 \times 10^{-6}$ m RC, $25 \times 10^{-3}$ m DA in 3 mL $P_{850TX0.03}$ or $T_{850TX0.03}$ buffers. The suspension was analogously stirred overnight at room temperature to allow the oxygen-mediated polymerization (Figure 2a). When needed, dQ 100 stirred overnight at room temperature to allow the oxygen-mediated polymerization favored by the alkaline condition. During the growth of the colloidal polymeric aggregates, the RCs are captured and entrapped within the nanostructures. The suspension was finally ultracentrifuged at 33,000 $g$ for 1 h at 4 °C. The sedimented nanoaggregates (resuspended in the same buffer) and supernatants were analyzed spectrophotometrically to check the protein content.

**Steady State and Transient Optical Spectroscopy:** Steady state optical spectra were recorded by a Cary 5000 (Agilent) UV-visible-NIR spectrophotometer. Transient absorption experiments were performed using a kinetic spectrometer of local design, described elsewhere.[66] The excitation of the sample is provided by a Hamamatsu 15 W L4634-01 xenon lamp for single flash excitation.

**Atomic Force Microscopy Measurements:** Measurements of noncontact intermittent mode AFM were performed using an Autoprobe CP Thermomicroscope to determine the PDA particle diameter and to investigate the film morphology and aggregation state of RC-PDA films as a function of DA concentration and used buffer (phosphate vs Tris). A sharp conical tip with a radius of curvature <10 nm and an amplitude of vibration of 80 kHz (Glever series probes) mounted on a p-type doped Si cantilever was used.

**Spectroscopic Ellipsometry Measurements:** Optical characterization of films of RC, PDA, and RC-PDA was performed by spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE). SE spectra of the pseudocomplex refractive index $n = (n + ik)$ (where $n$ is the real refractive index and $k$ is the extinction coefficient) were measured in the 1.0–6.5 eV (1024–190 nm) range with a resolution of 0.05 eV at an incidence angle of 70° using a phase-modulated ellipsometer (UVISEL, Jobin Yvon).

To derive the film thickness and spectral dispersion of $n$ and $k$ from the measured SE spectra of the RC and PDA films polymerized on glass slides, a simple substrate/film/air model fit analysis was used. A single Lorentzian oscillator model was used to model the PDA layer, whereas five Lorentzian oscillators described the main optical transitions of the RC layer obtained drop casting 1 μL of a concentrated suspension of RC ($55 \times 10^{-6}$ m) on a 2 × 2 cm glass slide (RC surface density $14 \times 10^{-12}$ mol cm$^{-2}$) and dried under nitrogen flux. From the modeling, RC was estimated, a thickness of 78 ± 15 Å that is consistent with the RC dimension[67] and hence a deposited 1 monolayer of RC.

**Electrochemical Measurements:** Photoelectrochemical measurements were conducted at room temperature in a three-electrode cell, adapted to a plastic cuvette (1 × 1 cm$^2$ base and 1.5 cm height) by using an Autolab potentiostat PGSTAT 10. A micro Ag/AgCl electrode was used as reference and a platinum wire as counter-electrode. The RC-PDA covered ITO was the WE and 1 mL of phosphate $100 \times 10^{-3}$, TX-100 0.03% pH 7.0 ($P_{90TX0.03}$) water solution was the support electrolyte. The electroactive WE area, i.e., the portion of the RC/PDA film immersed in solution, was $9 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$. For an oxygen concentration $10^{-6}$ m as electron donor, dQ 100 $10^{-6}$ m as electron acceptor and FeCN $10^{-3}$ m as electrochemical mediator were added in solution when needed. A bias of −0.1 V (corresponding to the OCV of the cell in the dark) was applied between the reference and absorbance at ~4.4 eV (280 nm) and three sets of bands of the Soret (3–4 eV, 413–310 nm), Q$_{y}$ (2–2.5 eV, 620–500 nm), and Q$_{y}$ (1.3–1.9 eV, 950–650 nm) due to BChl and BPhe cofactors.

From the ellipsometric analysis, the main absorption bands due to the protein and cofactors were found redshifted to 3.1 eV (400 instead of 366 nm) and 4.3 eV (288 instead of 280 nm) due to intermolecular electronic coupling in the solid state compared to suspension; the redshift at 1.52 eV (816 nm instead of 802) in the NIR region is rather inaccurate because the resolution of 0.05 eV corresponds to 25 nm in this wavelength range; in the UV region, additional absorption peaks at 5.35 eV (232 nm) and 6.2 eV (200 nm) due to π-π* excitation of benzene-units[70] are also seen. Those optical transitions of the RC, together with that at 1.52 eV can be used further on as the optical direct signature of the effective inclusion of RC in the RC-PDA films.

**Figure 9.** Optical spectra of the refractive index, $n$, and extinction coefficient, $k$, of the PDA a) and RC b) layers, respectively. In a) some values of the refractive index at 633 nm from literature are indicated by the green dots from refs.[68,71,72] while the bars represent the variability of $n$ and $k$ values obtained on PDA films obtained at various concentration of PDA. In b) the absorption spectrum of the RC in suspension is also shown for comparison (black curve); for the RC in suspension the axis absorbance in relative unit is not shown; the spectrum is for the qualitative comparison of the bands.
the working electrodes. For the photocurrent generation, the WE, with the film-covered side facing toward the light source, was illuminated with a 2.6 W LED emitting at 665 nm (corresponding to one of the three major RC peaks in the NIR) with an irradiance of 25 mW cm⁻², providing 1.1 × 10⁻⁶ photons s⁻¹ cm⁻². Light/dark cycles were performed using 10 s for light excitation and 40 s for dark relaxation.

Scanning Electron Microscopy Characterization: For this analysis, two silicon wafers were placed in open vessels containing DA 5 × 10⁻¹⁵ M and RC 3 × 10⁻⁹ M in either PT45TP0300 or T45TP0300 pH 8.0. The suspensions were stirred overnight at room temperature allowing the polymerization. Films were washed thoroughly with deionized water to remove salts. A Zeiss Sigma (Oberkochen, Germany) field emission and scanning electron microscope operating in the range of 0.5–20 kV and equipped with a secondary electron detector and back diffusion was used for the characterization. Low accelerating voltage set to 2 keV was exploited. Samples were mounted onto double sided carbon tape and grounded with silver paste.

Fluorescence Microscopy: Observations were performed by an epifluorescence microscope (Axiomat, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) using FITC excitation filter (λex = 467–498 nm, λem = 513–556 nm). Fluorescence images were captured with a Nikon DSVi1 digital camera (Nikon Instruments, Europe BV, Kingston, Surrey, England) and NIS Elements BR 3.22 imaging software (Nikon Instruments, Europe BV, Kingston, Surrey, England). Samples were observed at 20 × and 50 × magnifications.
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