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**Downregulated ADAMTS1 Incorporating A2M Contributes to Tumorigenesis and Alters Tumor Immune Microenvironment in Lung Adenocarcinoma**
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**Simple Summary:** Lung cancer is the most dreadful cancer type and has the worst cancer-related clinical outcomes. This study used specimens from the in-house lung cancer cohort and public cohort to verify the roles of downregulated ADAMTS1, a protease remodeling extracellular matrix, to facilitate cancer promotion and progress. Based on the clinical specimens, cell and animal study with the aid of the public databases, we concluded that downregulated expression of ADAMTS1 might promote tumor progression and metastasis and modify the tumor microenvironment in lung cancer. Further investigation would be required for its application in treating lung cancer.

**Abstract:** Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) still holds the most dreadful clinical outcomes worldwide. Despite advanced treatment strategies, there are still some unmet needs. Next-generation sequencing of large-scale cancer genomics discovery projects combined with bioinformatics provides the opportunity to take a step forward in meeting clinical conditions. Based on in-house and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) cohorts, the results showed decreased levels of ADAMTS1 conferred poor survival compared with normal parts. Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) indicated the negative correlation between ADAMTS1 and the potential roles of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), metastasis, and poor prognosis in LUAD patients. With the knockdown of ADAMTS1, A549 lung cancer cells exhibited more aggressive behaviors such as EMT and increased migration, resulting in cancer metastasis in a mouse model. The pathway interaction network disclosed the linkage of downregulated ADAMTS1 and the infiltrating levels of multiple immune cells, especially anticancer CD4+ T cells in LUAD. Notably, ADAMTS1 expression was inversely correlated with the accumulation of immunosuppressive myeloid-derived suppressor cells and regulatory T cells, implying the downregulated ADAMTS1 mediated immune adjustment to fit the tumor survival disadvantages in LUAD patients. In conclusion, our study indicates that
ADAMTS1 interacts with A2M in regulating EMT and metastasis in LUAD. Additionally, ADAMTS1 contributes to poor prognosis and immune infiltration in LUAD patients.

Keywords: A2M; ADAMTS1; EMT; immunity; LUAD; metastasis

1. Introduction

According to Global Cancer Statistics 2020, lung cancer is still the leading cause of cancer-related mortality and the second most diagnosed cancer among all cancer types worldwide [1]. Among the two major pathologic categories of lung cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small cell lung cancer, NSCLC accounts for 85% and is often diagnosed at an advanced stage. The most common subtype of NSCLC is lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD). Even with multiple therapeutic strategies, the 5-year survival is around 10–20% in the patients with stage IV LUAD [1,2], conferring a substantial medical and economic burden. Therefore, a novel therapeutic strategy is desired to improve patient outcomes.

In the advanced stage, cancer cells can remodel the host tissue microenvironment (TME) through extracellular matrix (ECM) protease to destroy the normal structure of ECM [3]. This aberrant remodeling process plays a crucial role in cancer development and invasion [4]. A disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motifs (ADAMTS) family is a group of metalloproteases that polymerize or catalyze ECM [5]. ADAMTS1, a member of the ADAMTS family, remodels chondroitin sulfated proteoglycans and collagen by catalyzing proteoglycan degradation [6]. It can also inhibit angiogenesis by sequestering vascular epithelial growth factor (VEGF) in physiological status [7,8]. Moreover, ADAMTS1 is widely distributed across various tissues or organs to maintain ECM metabolism, including old protein degradation and new protein formation. Therefore, dysregulation of ADAMTS1 has been shown to contribute to various pathological processes of human diseases [9,10]. Many studies have shown the dysregulated activity of ADAMTS1 in tumorigenesis. ADAMTS1 gene is differentially expressed low in various cancer types, including NSCLC, prostate, liver, colorectal, and breast cancers [7,11]. Epigenetic modulation of low ADAMTS1 expression through promoter hypermethylation has also been studied in prostate, colorectal, and lung cancers [7]. However, the role of ADAMTS1 downregulation in lung cancer remains unknown.

ECM is a basic constituent of all tissues and acts as a highly dynamic structure in interactions within a microenvironment, including cancer cell–cancer cell interaction and cancer–non-malignant cell interaction. In addition, the turnover of ECM, such as collagen degradation, is associated with the change of anticancer immunity of TME [12,13]. The current study aimed to investigate the role of ADAMTS1 on cancer progression and immunity of TME. We found that the expression of ADAMTS1 was low in the primary tumors from our patients and public databases. Through next-generation sequencing (NGS), bioinformatic technologies, and functional assays, the ADAMTS1 in advanced LUAD might play a role in the tumor progression and metastasis by changing the host microenvironment. Herein, ADAMTS1 provides an alternative target as a biomarker or therapeutic focus.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Lines

Human lung adenocarcinoma cell line H2087 (CRL-5922™) and A549 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and cultured in RPMI-1640 (for H2087) or F-12K Medium (for A549) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA, USA). H2087 and A549 cells were authenticated by a short tandem repeat (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and detected to be negative for mycoplasma contamination by the MycoAlert™ mycoplasma detection kit (Lonza, Switzerland) every...
3 months. Lung cancer cells were cultured under normoxic (20% O₂), hypoxic (2% O₂) conditions for 24 h (short-term hypoxia) or 100 days (long-term hypoxia), respectively.

2.2. Bioinformatics

The differential expressions of ADAMTS1 and other selected mRNAs between LUAD and normal lung tissue were extracted from the Oncomine database (http://www.oncomine.org (accessed on 1 September 2021), Compendia biosciences, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) [14] or The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) using UALCAN website (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/ (accessed on 1 September 2021)) [15]. The criteria for the significant mRNAs in the analysis were a fold change (tumor/normal) of expression level > 2 and a p-value < 0.05, which was calculated using the Oncomine or UALCAN website. The KM plotter database (http://kmplot.com/analysis/ (accessed on 1 September 2021)) [16] was used to analyze the association of the mRNA expression with overall survival (OS), time to first progression (FP), and post-progression survival (PPS). Patients were divided into 2 groups by median value, which was computed with median survival. The hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p-values were extracted from the KM plotter webpage and considered significant with p-values < 0.05. The functional states of the selected genes of interest in LUAD were assessed by the CancerSEA website (http://biocc.hrbmu.edu.cn/CancerSEA/ (accessed on 29 March 2022)) [17]. GSVA (Gene Set Variation Analysis) score of ADAMTS1 and A2M was calculated by the GSCAL website (http://bioinfo.life.hust.edu.cn/GSCA (accessed on 11 January 2022)) [18]. The Pathway Commons was utilized to acquire the protein interaction (https://www.pathwaycommons.org/ (accessed on 1 September 2021)) [19].

2.3. NGS and Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)

The pairs of adjacent non-tumor lungs and tumors were harvested from the Division of Thoracic Surgery and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital (Kaohsiung, Taiwan, KMUH-IRB-20130054; KMUH-IRB-20180023). The RNA sequencing for the pairs of LUAD and lung normal tissue was performed by the Welgene biotechnology company (Taipei, Taiwan). The criteria for differentially expressed mRNA by NGS analysis were fold change > 2 and fragments per kilobase million (FPKM) > 0.3.

Total RNA was isolated from cells using the TRIzol Reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and cDNA was reverse transcribed using reverse transcriptase kits (Takara, Shiga, Japan). RNA levels were detected using reverse transcription analysis with SYBR Green on a QuantStudio 5 machine (Thermo Scientific, CA, USA). The relative expression levels of the specific mRNAs were normalized to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The relative standard method (2−∆∆Ct) was used to calculate relative RNA expression. The following primers were used: ADAMTS1 (forward, 5’-CGGAAGTGACCTCCAATGCT-3’ and reverse, 5’-CTGCTCGGATCACACACAGT-3’), and GAPDH (forward, 5’-TTCACCACCATGGAGAAGGC-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGCATGGACTGGTCATGA-3’).

2.4. Immunoblot

The total protein of A549 cells was extracted using the radio-immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) supplemented by a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). An equal volume of total protein was denatured by heating and then separated by a sodium dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins in the gel were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (EMD Millipore) by electroblotting, which was probed with various primary antibodies overnight after blocking in 5% nonfat dry milk/TBST, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Cell-Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). The signal of the specific protein was detected using a chemiluminescence kit (EMD Millipore). Primary antibodies used include those against
Slug (catalog# 9585) and Snail (catalog#3879) were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Anti-N-cadherin (catalog#610921), E-cadherin (catalog#610182), and Vimentin (catalog#550513) antibodies were purchased from Becton Dickinson biosciences. Anti-α-smooth muscle actin antibody (catalog#A5228) and GAPDH (catalog#MAB374) antibodies were acquired from EMD Millipore. The western blot was quantified by ImageJ.

2.5. Characterization of the Tumor Immune Microenvironment

TIMER (http://timer.cistrome.org/ (accessed on 29 March 2022)) [20] was used to predict the immune profile of LUAD. It is a comprehensive resource including three modules for exploring the association between infiltrating immune cells and genes across various cancer types. We evaluated the association between the ADAMTS1 expression and the infiltration levels of immune cells in LUAD using the “Immune Association” module. The criteria for the positive or negative correlation between ADAMTS1 and immune cells was a p value < 0.05.

2.6. ADAMTS1 Knockdown

Knockdown of ADAMTS1 in A549 cells was performed by using shRNA plasmids (#1, TRCN0000052110, CGAGTGTGCAAAGGAAGTGAA; #2, TRCN0000052112; CCACAGGA AACTGAAGCATAA; #3, TRCN0000052108, GCCTACATGATTACATCTTT) expression system obtained from the National RNAi Core Facility (Taipei, Taiwan). The stable clone of ADAMTS1 knockdown cells by shRNA (clone ID: TRCN0000052110) was established by the puromycin selection. The knockdown efficacy of ADAMTS1 shRNA plasmid was determined by qRT-PCR.

2.7. Wound Healing Analysis

Control vector and ADAMTS1-knockdown A549 cells were seeded onto a 12 well-plate at 100% confluence, and the cell migration ability was determined by measuring the movement of cells into the acellular area created by a sterile tip. The cells at each well were observed at 0 and 24 hours after wound making under a microscope.

2.8. Animal Model

Control plasmid transfected and ADAMTS1-knockdown A549 cells (1 × 10^6) were transplanted into nude mice by tail vein injection [21]. Animals were sacrificed on week 12, and the number of tumor nodules in the lungs was counted. The lungs of mice were fixed and embedded in paraffin for histological hematoxylin and eosin staining. The whole field images of lungs were acquired using a TissueFAXS system by TissueFAXS instrument (TissueGnostics, Vienna, Austria). All mice (8 weeks, n = 6 for each group) were purchased from the National Laboratory Animal Center Taiwan and housed in a specific pathogen-free environment. All mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free environment. Two experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory. The animals used were approved by the KMU Animal Care and Use Committee (KMU−108158).

2.9. Statistical Analysis

Results were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Multiple group comparisons were calculated by one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) with a Tukey’s post hoc test used with the assistance of the GraphPad Prism program (9.02 version, Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Two tested groups were compared using a Student’s t-test. Results were considered statistically significant when the p-value was less than 0.05. Pearson correlation and multiple linear regression by R package (estimatr) were used for correlation.
3. Results
3.1. Lung Tumors Expressed Lower Levels of ADAMTS1

Hypoxia potentiates malignant potential in cancer. Therefore, we exposed cancer cells to hypoxia conditions, which allowed us to figure out the targets related to aggressive phenotypes [22]. Our results showed that the expression of ADAMTS1 in H2087 cells was decreased under both short-term hypoxia and long-term hypoxia conditions (Figure 1A). In addition, lower levels of ADAMTS1 were found in five out of eight LUAD samples compared with those in matched non-tumorous lung tissues (Figure 1B). The downregulation of ADAMTS1 was also proven at both mRNA and protein levels in the TCGA cohort (Figure 1C,D). Similarly, seven out of eight patients in the lung cancer cohort from Oncomine also supported ADAMTS1 being reduced in lung tumor tissue (Figure 1E). These data suggest that reduced ADAMTS1 may be associated with lung cancer development.

Figure 1. The expression of ADAMTS1 in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD). (A) Fold change of ADAMTS1 expression from the lung cancer cells H2087 cultured in normoxia and hypoxia conditions for 24 h (short) or 100 days (long). The RNA levels of ADAMTS1 were determined by qRT–PCR. (B) Fold change of ADAMTS1 expression in the paired tumor and normal tissue from eight patients with LUAD (in–house cohort). ADAMTS1 mRNA (C) and protein (D) expression in the normal tissue and tumor from TCGA cohort. (E) ADAMTS1 mRNA expression in the normal tissue and tumor of eight datasets from the Oncomine datasets. Data shown represent the mean ± SD (* p < 0.05, *** p < 0.001).

3.2. The Phenotypic Association and Survival Significance of ADAMTS1

Various clinical features based on the transcriptional level of ADAMTS1 in 515 samples in the TCGA LUAD cohort were elucidated. The ADAMTS1 transcriptomic level was significantly lower in tumor parts throughout different extents of lymph node (N0–N3) metastasis and pathological stages (stage I to IV), but were not lymph node metastasis or stage dependent (Figure 2A,B). Similarly, the levels of ADAMTS1 protein were also reduced in tumor tissue across different extents of lymph node metastasis and histologic grades (grade 1 to grade 3) of cancer cells, but were not lymph node metastasis or grade dependent (Figure 2C,D). Next, we assessed the prognostic implication of ADAMTS1 in LUAD patients using the Kaplan–Meier Plotter database. Our results showed that the LUAD patients with downregulated ADAMTS1 mRNA levels carried unfavorable overall survival (OS), time
to first progression (FP), and post-progression survival (PPS) (Figure 2E–G). These results showed that ADAMTS1 is positively correlated with survival in lung cancer patients.

Figure 2. Tumor characteristics and survival analysis of ADAMTS1 expression in LUAD. The mRNA expression of ADAMTS1 among the tumor nodal metastasis (A) and stages (B) in the TCGA cohort. The protein expression among the tumor stages (C) and grade (D) in the CPTAC cohort. Overall survival (OS) (E), time to first progression (FP) (F), and post-progression survival (PPS) (G) with ADAMTS1 expression level were analyzed using Kaplan–Meier methods in the public microarray and TCGA dataset. (**p < 0.005).

3.3. Protein Interaction Network of ADAMTS1

The Pathway Interaction Database provided the molecular interactions with ADAMTS1. The 24 proteins interacting with ADAMTS1 were predicted based on binding, expression regulation, and modification (Figure 3A). We evaluated the possible cooperation of candidate proteins with ADAMTS1 by assessing the expression correlation in the tumor of in-house and TCGA cohorts. As shown in Figure 3B,C, the expressions of A2M, CER1, KRTAP10-8, VEGFA, and SPI1 exhibited significant correlations with ADAMTS1 in our in-house tissue bank (Figure 3B), while A2M, ACAN, HPX, IFNA21, MAZ, PLA2G10, PYHIN1, SPI1, and ZIC2 were significantly correlated with ADAMTS1 expression in the TCGA LUAD cohort (Figure 3C). In addition, when inputting specific protein–ADAMTS1 with 1:1 weight into the survival analysis, it was revealed that higher expression of A2M-ADAMTS1 offered a survival advantage (Figure 3D). Additionally, the multiple linear regression suggested that the strongest correlation with ADAMTS1 was A2M (Appendix A). Moreover, GSVA analysis showed that the GSVA score of ADAMTS1 plus A2M was lower in tumors than in normal parts in the TCGA LUAD cohort (Figure 3F). The results suggest that co-existing ADAMTS1 and A2M is a positive prognostic factor for patients with LUAD.

3.4. The Impact of A2M in Patients with LUAD

Next, we explored the prognostic role of A2M in LUAD patients. The expression of A2M mRNA was low in tumor parts compared with normal parts, and so were lymph node metastasis and tumor stages. However, they were not lymph node metastasis nor stage dependent (Figure 4A). In addition, the expression levels of the A2M protein were low in tumor parts compared to normal ones. The A2M protein levels were lower based on stages and tumor histologic grades, but were not stage or grade dependent (Figure 4B). The expression of A2M also decreased in five out of the eight LUAD patients in the in-house cohort (Figure 4C). The survival analysis revealed a shorter OS, FP, and PPS when
expressing low-level A2M in LUAD patients (Figure 4D–F). It is suggested that decreased A2M expression was a strong predictor of worse prognoses among LUAD patients.

Figure 3. Interaction network of ADAMTS1 in LUAD. (A) Interaction network of ADAMTS1 with 25 other genes analyzed by Pathway Commons. (B) Correlation between ADAMTS1 and these genes in the in-house LUAD patients (C) and in the TCGA cohort (D). (E) Weight analysis of ADAMTS1 and A2M on overall survival (OS) of patients with LUAD. (F) The gene set variation analysis (GSVA) analysis of ADAMTS1 and A2M in TCGA datasets.
3.4. The Impact of A2M in Patients with LUAD

Next, we explored the prognostic role of A2M in LUAD patients. The expression of A2M mRNA was low in tumor parts compared with normal parts, and so were lymph node metastasis and tumor stages. However, they were not lymph node metastasis nor stage dependent (Figure 4A). In addition, the expression levels of the A2M protein were low in tumor parts compared to normal ones. The A2M protein levels were lower based on stages and tumor histologic grades, but were not stage or grade dependent (Figure 4B). The expression of A2M also decreased in five out of the eight LUAD patients in the in-house cohort (Figure 4C). The survival analysis revealed a shorter OS, FP, and PPS when expressing low-level A2M in LUAD patients (Figure 4D–F). It is suggested that decreased A2M expression was a strong predictor of worse prognoses among LUAD patients.

Figure 4. Tumor characteristics of A2M expression and survival analysis of ADAMTS1-A2M expression in LUAD. (A) The expression of A2M mRNA among the normal tissue and tumor (left), the tumor stages (middle), and the nodal metastasis (right) in the TCGA cohort. (B) The expression of A2M protein expression among the normal tissue and tumor (left), the tumor stages (middle), and the tumor grades (right) in the CPTAC cohort. (C) The level of A2M in the tumor and normal tissue from eight patients with LUAD (in-house cohort). Correlation of OS (D), FP (E), and PPS (F) with A2M expression in patients with LUAD. (NS not significant, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.005).

3.5. GSEA Analysis of ADAMTS1

To gain insight into the functional roles of ADAMTS1 and A2M in LUAD, we performed two gene enrichment analyses, GSEA and CancerSEA. The GSEA results suggested that decreased ADAMTS1 in lung cancer was associated with poor survival and cancer metastasis (Figure 5A). Consistent with ADAMTS1, enrichment analysis also revealed that tumors with lower levels of A2M were strongly associated with poor survival and cancer metastasis (Figure 5B). In addition, CancerSEA analysis, which was empowered to evaluate the functional assays of a selected gene, showed a strong correlation between ADAMTS1 and A2M, with phenotype changes contributing to cancer spreading, including EMT, metastasis, and quiescence in lung cancer (Figure 5C,D). These bioinformatics data suggest that decreased ADAMTS1 and A2M levels are associated with more aggressive phenotype in LUAD.
Figure 5. Functional states associated with ADAMTS1 and A2M. (A) Functional analyses of cancer metastasis and poor survival via gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) in TCGA LUAD patients with high or low ADAMTS1 levels ($p < 0.05$). (B) Functional analyses of cancer metastasis and poor survival via GSEA analysis in TCGA LUAD patients with high or low A2M levels ($p < 0.05$). (C) The analysis of functional states of ADAMTS1 using CancerSEA. ($p < 0.05$). (D) The analysis of functional states of A2M using CancerSEA ($p < 0.05$). NES, normalized enrichment score. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

3.6. The Role of ADAMTS1 in the Tumor Microenvironment

Because ADAMTS1 is an extracellular protease, which is required for a balanced immune cell repertoire and tumor inflammatory response [23], we assessed the role of ADAMTS1 in the tumor immune microenvironment using the Timer 2.0 website. Our results
showed that CD8+ and CD4+ T cells were the main populations of immune cells affected by ADAMTS1 expression. Among them, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells exhibited a positive correlation with the level of ADAMTS1 (Figure 6A). Conversely, Treg and MDSC were the immune populations with a significantly negative correlation with the expression of ADAMTS1 (Figure 6B). We further assessed the immune landscape of tumors in the in-house database using Timer 2.0 (Figure 6C). Results showed that CD4+ T cells and hematopoietic stem cells have a positive correlation with the level of ADAMTS1. Interestingly, most types of immune cells, including Treg, have a negative correlation with the expression of ADAMTS1 (Figure 6D).

![Figure 6. Correlation of immune or stroma score with ADAMTS1 and A2M in LUAD. Positive (A) and negative (B) correlation of immune cell population with ADAMTS1. (C) The immune cells population of the LUAD patients from our 8 in-house LUAD patients. (D) The correlation of the immune population with the level of ADAMTS1 in 8 in-house LUAD patients.](image)

### 3.6. The Role of ADAMTS1 in the Tumor Microenvironment

Because ADAMTS1 is an extracellular protease, which is required for a balanced immune cell repertoire and tumor inflammatory response [23], we assessed the role of ADAMTS1 in the tumor immune microenvironment using the Timer 2.0 website. Our results showed that CD8+ and CD4+ T cells were the main populations of immune cells affected by ADAMTS1 expression. Among them, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells exhibited a positive correlation with the level of ADAMTS1 (Figure 6A). Conversely, Treg and MDSC were the immune populations with a significantly negative correlation with the expression of ADAMTS1 (Figure 6B). We further assessed the immune landscape of tumors in the in-house database using Timer 2.0 (Figure 6C). Results showed that CD4+ T cells and hematopoietic stem cells have a positive correlation with the level of ADAMTS1. Interestingly, most types of immune cells, including Treg, have a negative correlation with the expression of ADAMTS1 (Figure 6D).

### 3.7. Knockdown of ATAMDS1 Promotes Cancer Migration, EMT, and Metastasis In Vitro and In Vivo Models

To further validate the roles of ADAMTS1 in mediating lung cancer progression and promotion, this study used the shRNA technique to knock down ADAMTS1 in A549 cells. Because shRNA#1 exhibited the highest efficacy of ADAMTS1 knockdown in A549 cells, we chose this clone for functional analysis (Figure 7A). The wound-healing assay by control-shRNA and ADAMTS1-shRNA showed an enhanced healing process (Figure 7B).
Additionally, when ADAMTS1 was knocked down, the A549 cells shifted from the epithelial (E-cadherin) to the mesenchymal (N-cadherin, α-SMA, Vimentin, Snail, and Slug) phenotype (Figure 7C). Concerning metastasis, the tail vein injection model was used. The ADAMTS1-plasmid transfected A549 cells were injected into the nude mice to verify lung cancer metastasis. The result suggested more metastatic nodules occurred in the ADAMTS1-shRNA group (Figure 7D). These results imply that the low-level ADAMTS1 mediates a more aggressive phenotype in LUAD.

Figure 7. Functional analysis of ADAMTS1 in lung adenocarcinoma. (A) The knockdown efficacy of shRNA transfection. (B) Cell migration of ADAMTS1 knockdown A549 cells, as determined by wound-healing. (C) EMT markers in the ADAMTS1 knockdown A549 cells. The uncropped western blot figures were presented in Figure S1. (D) Tumor metastasis in mice lung after injection of control plasmid transfected and ADAMTS1-knockdown A549 cells. A549 cells were transfected with a control vector or ADAMTS1 shRNA plasmid and selected by puromycin. The cells were implanted into nude mice by tail vein injection, and the tumor nodules were counted after 21 days of inoculation. The data showed represent the mean ± SD (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, **** p < 0.001). ns, not significant.
4. Discussion

Although the diagnosis and treatment of LUAD are constantly improving, LUAD is a high-risk disease with a 5-year survival rate of 16% [2], and the potential mechanisms underlying the development and progression of LUAD are still remaining to be determined. Over the past decade, an increasing number of microarray and next-generation sequencing technologies applied in comprehensive genomic atlases, such as TCGA, have been used to explore novel prognostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets in various cancers. Herein, we investigated the clinical significance of ADAMTS1 in LUAD using multiple bioinformatic tools as well as clinical samples. We found that ADAMTS1 could be exploited as a prognostic predictor and therapeutic target due to its effect on the mesenchymal phenotypic transition and cancer immune microenvironment.

The role of ADAMTS1 in oncology has been investigated in various cancers in the past decade. However, controversy regarding cancer and ADAMTS1 exists, and its role is still under debate, since it could act as either a tumor suppressor or an oncogene depending on the cellular context or specific cancers. There is increasingly abundant evidence that the metalloprotease ADAMTS1 is strongly correlated with metastasis of breast cancer [24]. In contrast, ADAMTS1 may have a suppressive activity in tumor cell growth and progression in protease-dependent and -independent manners. ADAMTS1 decreases fibrosarcoma cell proliferation and migration velocity by disrupting HGF/c-MET signaling [25]. In addition, Wang et al. reported that ADAMTS1 inhibits angiogenesis in lung cancer by regulating VEGF expression through a PI3K/AKT inhibition mechanism [26]. A recent study also showed that ADAMTS1 decreases cancer migration by regulating the spatiotemporal dynamics of Cdc42 activity [27]. This discrepancy might be due to cleavage or to an auto-proteolytic mechanism [28]. Our findings revealed that ADAMTS1 was a target of hypoxia, which acts as a pivotal regulator in stimulating the development of an aggressive phenotype in cancer [29,30]. In this study, we found that the expression of ADAMTS1 dramatically decreased in LUAD patients, who have poor clinical outcomes, supporting the notion that ADAMTS1 holds great promise for the improvement of prognostic prediction in LUAD. Additionally, GSEA analysis showed the enrichment of EMT and metastatic signaling pathways with low ADAMTS1 expression of lung cancer in the TCGA cohort. Meanwhile, CancerSEA analysis also indicated that ADAMTS1 expression was negatively associated with metastasis, supporting the suppressive role of ADAMTS1 in LUAD. The in vitro and in vivo functional assays also demonstrated that knockdown of ADAMTS1 transformed A549 cells to the mesenchymal phenotype, resulting in the enhancement of lung metastasis in the mice model. All of the results above indicate that ADAMTS1 has a tumor suppressive role on LUAD.

As for the downstream target, we suggested that ADAMTS1 decreased cancer development of LUAD by incorporating α2-macroglobulin (A2M). A2M could inactivate a variety of proteases by inhibiting plasmin and kallikrein, and could also act as the carrier protein that binds to various growth factors, hormones, and cytokines such as platelet-derived growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor, insulin-like growth factor, interleukin and TGF-β1 [31]. A2M is also indicated to impede β-catenin signaling, thus consequently inhibiting the malignant properties of astrocytoma cells [32]. Other tumorigenesis-related signaling pathways, such as PI3K/AKT and microRNA-21, have also been reported as inhibitory targets of A2M [33]. ADAMTS1 has been indicated to be able to form a covalent binding complex with A2M by zinc-binding motif interaction [8]. In our study, we found that the expression of ADAMTS1 had a strong association with the level of A2M in LUAD patients. Similar to the impact of ADAMTS1, patients with lower levels of ADAMTS1 were closely related to a poor prognosis of LUAD. Function enrichment analysis by computational algorithms also showed that downregulated A2M was related to EMT and metastasis in LUAD. However, the interaction between A2M and ADAMTS1 in LUAD has not been fully understood, and it is necessary to explore the molecular mechanism further.

The tumor microenvironment (TME) plays important roles in the immunity, progression, and metastasis of various cancers, including LUAD. The presence of a chronic
inflammatory status alters immune cell differentiation and activity, resulting in an imbalance of anti-cancer activity, thus favoring malignant evasion [34]. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and regulatory T lymphocytes (Treg) are involved in tumor-associated immunosuppression and associated with poor clinical outcomes in lung cancer patients [35]. MDSCs, a heterogeneous population of pathologically activated myeloid cells, promote angiogenesis, facilitate EMT, enhance cancer stemness capabilities, protect circulating tumor cells from host immunity, and contribute to resistance to immunotherapy [36]. Treg reduces the co-stimulation ability of an antigen-presenting cell or direct inactivation effector T cell by expressing PD ligand 1 or cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4. In addition, both MDSCs and Treg produce various immunosuppressive cytokines or mediators, such as IL-10, TGF-β, and ROS, which can interact with nearby T cells or other immune cells and prevent their anticancer activation of immune cells [37]. ADAMTS1 has been indicated to alter the infiltration of immune cells in B16F1 cancer by increasing CD3⁺ T cells and CD11b⁺ myeloid cells with a decreased CD163 expression in ADAMTS1-deficient mice [23]. In this study, we found that ADAMTS1 influenced the infiltration of immune cells in the lung cancer microenvironment. High ADAMTS1 expression was associated with a high percentage of CD8⁺ T cells and CD4⁺ T cells. In contrast, low ADAMTS1 expression was related to a high percentage of MDSCs and Treg. Among immune cell types, ADAMTS1 had the strongest and most consistent correlation with CD8⁺ T cells. Upregulation of ADAMTS1 may increase T cell immune infiltration and function of lung cancer, thereby improving the disease outcome of LUAD patients.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study found ADAMTS1 downregulation accompanied by A2M downregulation could promote the LUAD metastasis by activating EMT and changing the immune microenvironment. This study provides crucial clues to the mechanism of LUAD metastasis. Moreover, as a prognostic indicator, ADAMTS1 and its co-operator A2M could be potential therapeutic targets for patients with LUAD.
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Appendix A

Table A1. Multiple linear regression analysis of ADAMTS1 in TCGA-LUAD.

| Factors | Coefficient | Standard Error | p-Value | 95% Confidential Interval |
|---------|-------------|----------------|---------|--------------------------|
| A2M     | 0.713       | 0.044          | ***     | 0.626 to 0.8             |
| ACAN    | 0.096       | 0.025          | ***     | 0.047 to 0.145           |
| HPX     | −0.040      | 0.023          | 0.076   | −0.084 to 0.004          |
| IFNA21  | 0.227       | 0.151          | 0.134   | −0.07 to 0.524           |
| MAZ     | −0.139      | 0.056          | *       | −0.249 to −0.028         |
| PLA2G10 | −0.111      | 0.022          | ***     | −0.154 to −0.068         |
| PYHIN1  | −0.026      | 0.035          | 0.458   | −0.094 to 0.042          |
| SPI1    | −0.082      | 0.046          | 0.076   | −0.172 to 0.008          |
| ZIC2    | −0.051      | 0.017          | **      | −0.084 to −0.018         |

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

References

1. Sung, H.; Ferlay, J.; Siegel, R.L.; Laversanne, M.; Soerjomataram, I.; Jemal, A.; Bray, F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2021, 71, 209–249. [CrossRef]
2. Guo, H.; Li, H.; Zhu, L.; Feng, J.; Huang, X.; Baak, J.P.A. “How Long Have I Got?” in Stage IV NSCLC Patients With at Least 3 Months Up to 10 Years Survival, Accuracy of Long-, Intermediate-, and Short-Term Survival Prediction Is Not Good Enough to Answer This Question. Front. Oncol. 2021, 11, 761042. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Henke, E.; Nandigama, R.; Ergün, S. Extracellular Matrix in the Tumor Microenvironment and Its Impact on Cancer Therapy. Front. Mol. Biosci. 2020, 6, 160. [CrossRef]
4. Dinca, S.C.; Greiner, D.; Weidenfeld, K.; Bond, L.; Barkan, D.; Jorcyk, C.L. Novel mechanism for OSM-promoted extracellular matrix remodeling in breast cancer: LOXL2 upregulation and subsequent ECM alignment. Breast Cancer Res. BCR 2021, 23, 56. [CrossRef]
5. Toba, H.; Ikemoto, M.J.; Kobara, M.; Nakata, T. Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) and a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin type 1 motif (ADAMTS) increments by the renin-angiotensin system induce renal fibrosis in deoxycorticosterone acetate-salt hypertensive rats. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2022, 914, 174681. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Martin, D.R.; Santamaria, S.; Koch, C.D.; Ahnström, J.; Apte, S.S. Identification of novel ADAMTS1, ADAMTS4 and ADAMTS5 cleavage sites in versican using a label-free quantitative proteomics approach. J. Proteom. 2021, 249, 104358. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. de Arao Tan, I.; Ricciardelli, C.; Russell, D.L. The metalloproteinase ADAMTS1: A comprehensive review of its role in tumorigenic and metastatic pathways. Int. J. Cancer 2013, 133, 2263–2276. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Kuno, K.; Terashima, Y.; Matsushima, K. ADAMTS-1 is an active metalloproteinase associated with the extracellular matrix. J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 18821–18826. [CrossRef]
9. Tokmak, A.; Ozaksit, G.; Sarikaya, E.; Kuru-Pekcan, M.; Kosem, A. Decreased ADAMTS-1, -9 and -20 levels in women with endometrial polyps: A possible link between extracellular matrix proteases and endometrial pathologies. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. J. Inst. Obstet. Gynaecol. 2019, 39, 845–850. [CrossRef]
10. Chen, S.Z.; Ning, L.F.; Xu, X.; Jiang, W.Y.; Xing, C.; Jia, W.P.; Chen, X.L.; Tang, Q.Q.; Huang, H.Y. The miR-181d-regulated metalloproteinase Adamts1 enzymatically impairs adipogenesis via ECM remodeling. Cell Death Differ. 2016, 23, 1778–1791. [CrossRef]
11. Freitas, V.; Bussador Do Amaral, J.; Silva, T.; Santos, E.; Mangone, F.; Pinheiro, J.; Jaeger, R.; Nagai, M.; Machado-Santelli, G. Decreased expression of ADAMTS1 in human breast tumors stimulates migration and invasion. Mol. Cancer 2013, 12, 2. [CrossRef]
12. Thorseth, M.-L.; Carretta, M.; Jensen, C.; Molgaard, K.; Jürgensen, H.J.; Engelholm, L.H.; Behrendt, N.; Willumsen, N.; Madsen, D.H. Uncovering mediators of collagen degradation in the tumor microenvironment. Matrix Biol. Plus 2022, 13, 100101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Niland, S.; Riscanevo, A.X.; Eble, J.A. Matrix Metalloproteinases Shape the Tumor Microenvironment in Cancer Progression. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 23, 146. [CrossRef]
14. Rhodes, D.R.; Yu, J.; Shander, K.; Deshpande, N.; Varambally, R.; Ghosh, D.; Barrette, T.; Pandey, A.; Chinnaiyan, A.M. ONCOMINE: A cancer microarray database and integrated data-mining platform. Neoplasia 2004, 6, 1–6. [CrossRef]
15. Chandrashekar, D.S.; Bashel, B; Balasubramanya, S.A.H.; Creighton, C.J.; Ponce-Rodriguez, I.; Chakravarthi, B.; Varambally, S. UALCAN: A Portal for Facilitating Tumor Subgroup Gene Expression and Survival Analyses. Neoplasia 2017, 19, 649–658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Länzcyk, A.; Györrfy, B. Web-Based Survival Analysis Tool Tailored for Medical Research (KMplot): Development and Implementation. J. Med. Internet. Res. 2021, 23, e27633. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Yuan, H.; Yan, M.; Zhang, G.; Liu, W.; Deng, C.; Liao, G.; Xu, L.; Luo, T.; Yan, H.; Long, Z.; et al. CancerSEA: A cancer single-cell state atlas. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018, 47, D900–D908. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Liu, C.J.; Hu, F.F.; Xia, M.X.; Han, L.; Zhang, Q.; Guo, A.Y. GSCALite: A web server for gene set cancer analysis. Bioinformatics 2018, 34, 3771–3772. [CrossRef]

19. Rodchenkov, I.; Babur, O.; Luna, A.; Aksoy, B.A.; Wong, J.V.; Fong, D.; Franz, M.; Siper, M.C.; Cheung, M.; Wrana, M.; et al. Pathway Commons 2019 Update: Integration, analysis and exploration of pathway data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019, 48, D489–D497. [CrossRef]

20. Li, T.; Fu, J.; Zeng, Z.; Cohen, D.; Li, J.; Chen, Q.; Li, B.; Liu, X.S. TIMER2.0 for analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020, 48, W509–W514. [CrossRef]

21. Liu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, S.; Dong, Q.Z.; Shen, Z.; Wang, W.; Tao, S.; Gu, C.; Liu, J.; Xie, Y.; et al. Prospero-related homeobox 1 drives angiogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma through selectively activating interleukin-8 expression. Hepatology 2017, 66, 1894–1909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Tsai, Y.-P.; Wu, K.-J. Hypoxia-regulated target genes implicated in tumor metastasis. J. Biomed. Sci. 2012, 19, 102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Rodríguez-Baena, F.J.; Redondo-Torres, C.; Martino-Echarri, E.; Fernández-Rodriguez, R.; Plaza-Calonge, M.D.C.; Anderson, P.; Rodríguez-Manzanque, J.C. ADAMTS1 protease is required for a balanced immune cell repertoire and tumour inflammatory response. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 13103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Tan, I.A.; Frewin, K.; Ricciardelli, C.; Russell, D.L. ADAMTS-1 Promotes Adhesion to Extracellular Matrix Proteins and Predicts Prognosis in Early Stage Breast Cancer Patients. Cell. Physiol. Biochem. Int. J. Exp. Cell. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2019, 52, 1533–1568.

25. Noriega-Guerra, H.; Cruz, M.C.; Ribeiro, P.R.L.; Strnadel, J.; Wang, H.; Klemke, R.L.; Jaeger, R.G.; Freitas, V.M. Metalloprotease ADAMTS-1 decreases cell migration and invasion modulating the spatiotemporal dynamics of Cdc42 activity. Cell. Signal. 2021, 77, 109827. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Wang, B.; Chen, S.; Zhao, J.Q.; Xiang, B.L.; Gu, X.; Zou, F.; Zhang, Z.H. ADAMTS-1 inhibits angiogenesis via the PI3K/Akt-eNOS-VEGF pathway in lung cancer cells. Exp. Cell Res. 2020, 394, 111858. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. de Assis Lima, M.; da Silva, S.V.; Serrano-Garrido, O.; Hülsemann, M.; Santos-Neres, L.; Rodríguez-Manzanque, J.C.; Hodgson, L.; Freitas, V.M. Metalloprotease ADAMTS-1 decreases cell migration and invasion modulating the spatiotemporal dynamics of Cdc42 activity. Cell. Signal. 2021, 77, 109827. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Liu, Y.J.; Xu, Y.; Yu, Q. Full-length ADAMTS-1 and the ADAMTS-1 fragments display pro- and antimetastatic activity, respectively. Oncogene 2006, 25, 2425–2467. [CrossRef]

29. Hu, Y.L.; Hung, J.Y.; Chang, W.A.; Jian, S.F.; Lin, Y.S.; Pan, Y.C.; Wu, C.Y.; Kuo, P.L. Hypoxic Lung-Cancer-Derived Extracellular Vesicle MicroRNA-103a Increases the Oncogenic Effects of Macrophages by Targeting PTEN. Mol. Ther. J. Am. Soc. Gene Ther. 2018, 26, 568–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Tsai, Y.M.; Wu, K.L.; Chang, Y.Y.; Chang, W.A.; Huang, Y.C.; Jian, S.F.; Tsai, P.H.; Lin, Y.S.; Chong, I.W.; Hung, J.Y.; et al. Loss of mir-145-5p Causes Ceruloplasmin Interference with PHD-Iron Axis and HIF-2α Stabilization in Lung Adenocarcinoma-Mediated Angiogenesis. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 5081. [CrossRef]

31. Arandjelovic, S.; Freed, T.A.; Gonias, S.L. Growth factor-binding sequence in human alpha2-macroglobulin targets the receptor-binding site in transforming growth factor-beta. Biochemistry 2003, 42, 6121–6127. [CrossRef]

32. Lindner, I.; Hemdan, N.Y.; Buchold, M.; Huse, K.; Bigl, M.; Oerlecke, I.; Ricken, A.; Gaunitz, F.; Sack, U.; Naumann, A.; et al. Alpha2-macroglobulin inhibits the malignant properties of astrocytoma cells by impeding beta-catenin signaling. Cancer Res. 2010, 70, 277–287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Kurz, S.; Thieme, R.; Amberg, R.; Groth, M.; Jahnke, H.G.; Pieroh, P.; Horn, L.C.; Kolb, M.; Huse, K.; Platzer, M.; et al. The anti-tumorigenic activity of A2M-A lesson from the naked mole-rat. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0189514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Lim, S.B.; Chua, M.L.K.; Yeong, J.P.S.; Tan, S.J.; Lim, W.-T.; Lim, C.T. Pan-cancer analysis connects tumor matrisome to immune response. npj Precis. Oncol. 2019, 3, 15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Principe, D.R.; Chiec, L.; Mohindra, N.A.; Munshi, H.G. Regulatory T-Cells as an Emerging Barrier to Immune Checkpoint Inhibition in Lung Cancer. Front. Oncol. 2021, 11, 684098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Ortiz-Espinosa, S.; Morales, X.; Senent, Y.; Alignani, D.; Tavira, B.; Macaya, I.; Ruiz, B.; Moreno, H.; Remírez, A.; Sainz, C.; et al. Complement C5a induces the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps by myeloid-derived suppressor cells to promote metastasis. Cancer Lett. 2022, 529, 70–84. [CrossRef]

37. Genova, C.; Dellepiane, C.; Carrega, P.; Sommariva, S.; Ferlazzo, G.; Pronzato, P.; Gangemi, R.; Filaci, G.; Coco, S.; Croce, M. Therapeutic Implications of Tumor Microenvironment in Lung Cancer: Focus on Immune Checkpoint Blockade. Front. Immunol. 2021, 12, 799455. [CrossRef]