Ruxolitinib is effective in the treatment of a patient with refractory T-ALL

1 | CASE PRESENTATION

In 2014, a 56-year-old female with a history of lobular breast carcinoma with invasive ductal component, hormone-receptor–positive, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) positive, presented with cutaneous lesions of the upper front body. Skin biopsy revealed infiltration of immature blastoid cells, positive for CD7, CD5, CD10, TdT, CD38, cytoplasmatic CD3 but negative for surface CD3, CD34, MPO, CD8, with a proliferation index of 80-90%. Subsequent bone marrow (BM) examination identified a cell population with the same phenotype. Fluorescence in situ hybridization identified a KMT2A rearrangement, other than AFF1. According to the WHO 2016 Classification, the case was categorized as precursor T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL). Specific treatment following the German Multicenter Study Group on Adult Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (GMALL) 07/03 protocol was initiated [1]. The patient reached complete hematological remission (hCR) but remained positive for measurable residual disease (MRD) (real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR), detection limit of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ for both markers). According to protocol, after first consolidation, the patient proceeded to human leukocyte antigen matched related donor allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, achieved molecular complete remission and complete resolution of the skin lesions.

In the following years, the patient suffered a series of cutaneous relapses while maintaining complete donor chimerism and MRD negativity until May 2018. After that, the MRD results turned positive at the above-mentioned detection level while maintaining hCR. Cutaneous relapses were treated as shown in Table 1. The last cutaneous relapse occurred on the right leg (Figure 1A) in March 2020, as confirmed by histology and immunohistochemistry (Figures 1B and 1C). The patient was enrolled in the Molecularly Aided Stratification for Tumor Eradication Research (MASTER) program [2], where a whole genome and transcriptome sequencing performed on the skin biopsy identified several molecular alterations among which there were two heterozygous Janus kinase 3 (JAK3) mutations: A573V (variant allele frequency (VAF) 53%) and M511I (VAF, 56%). For both mutations, expression was confirmed by RNA sequencing. In addition, the KMT2A rearrangement was identified as a KMT2A-ELL gene fusion by RNA sequencing, and other T-ALL recurrent mutations (U2AF1 R35L, NOTCH1 L167BP, and CCND3 R190fs) were detected. Given the recent clinical evidence regarding the sensitivity of T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia to JAK2 inhibition and the preclinical data in transformed lymphocyte cells regarding the inhibition of JAK1/JAK3 using the JAK inhibitors ruxolitinib or tofacitinib [3,4], the decision was made to pursue therapy with ruxolitinib in combination with tofacitinib. Due to major contraindications to tofacitinib in our patient (deep vein thrombosis of the jugular and subclavian vein and heart failure NYHA III), the salvage therapy with ruxolitinib 10 mg twice daily was initiated. An interim examination on day 15 revealed moderate anemia (Hb 10.5 g/L) with otherwise no relevant side effects from the therapy. The cutaneous lesions completely dissolved (Figure 1D), donor chimerism was 100%, but MRD remained positive at the detection limit ($1 \times 10^{-5}$). At the second staging on day 50, we could confirm a complete remission of the skin lesions. No further treatment related toxicities were encountered. Ruxolitinib was discontinued after 5 months due to a cutaneous relapse and increasing MRD in peripheral blood and BM. A therapy with decitabine and venetoclax was started and soon after, due to lack of response, multiple infectious complications and relevant clinical deterioration, treatment deescalation was discussed with the patient and a best supportive care therapy was pursued.

2 | DISCUSSION

T-ALL is a rare, aggressive T-cell malignancy. Chemotherapy alone cures only 25-45% of the cases, and despite improvements in the understanding of the origin of the disease, survival remains dismal, with only 50% alive at 5 years [5]. Thus, novel treatment agents and strategies are urgently needed.

Comprehensive molecular analyses have already identified a large number of T-ALL-specific oncogenes [6]. Still, the genetic defects underlying the malignant proliferation and survival of the leukemic cells remain clinically unexploited. Recent studies showed that most cases of T-ALL present with recurrent chromosomal aberrations or mutations [7]. Thus, molecular screening in diagnostic and relapse setting may open-up additional treatment options. The most frequent genomic alterations in T-ALL affect PI3K/AKT/mTOR, JAK/STAT, RAF/MEK/ERK, or NOTCH1 signaling pathways [7].
**TABLE 1**  
Description of therapies used since diagnosis, best response, and main side effects

| Timeline/event | Therapy | Result |
|---------------|---------|--------|
| **January-April 2015**  
Initial diagnosis | Prephase, induction, and consolidation therapy based on GMALL 07/03-Protocol including prophylactic cranial irradiation. | Complete regression of cutaneous lesions, bone marrow puncture: hematological CR, MRD positive |
| **June 2015** | Related donor-allogenic, HLA-matched blood stem cell transplantation (conditioning: TBI 8 Gy/fludarabine, immunosuppression with CsA/MMF) | Hematological CR, MRD negative (<5 × 10⁻⁴), bone marrow chimerism: 98-100% donor |
| **May 2016 and September 2016:**  
1st and 2nd cutaneous relapse  
(Hematological CR, MRD positive, bone marrow chimerism: 100% donor) | Radiotherapy (8 × 3 Gy right lower leg, 15 × 2 Gy distal right lower leg), DLIs | Hematological CR, MRD negative, bone marrow chimerism: 100% donor |
| **April 2017, November 2017, May 2018:**  
3rd, 4th, 5th cutaneous relapse (hematological CR, MRD negative, bone marrow chimerism: 100% donor) | Radiotherapy (15 × 2 Gy right upper leg, 8 × 3 Gy right lower leg, 15 × 2 Gy abdomen) | Hematological CR, MRD negative, bone marrow chimerism: 100% donor |
| **November 2018:**  
6th cutaneous relapse  
(hematological CR, MRD borderline positive, bone marrow chimerism: 100% donor) | Radiotherapy (15 × 2 Gy abdomen) | Hematological CR, MRD borderline, bone marrow chimerism: 100% donor |
| **March 2019:**  
7th cutaneous relapse (PB: MRD borderline positive) | 3 cycles of nelarabine | MRD PB: borderline complete dissolution of skin lesions |
| **December 2019:**  
8th cutaneous relapse (PB: MRD borderline positive) | 3 cycles of nelarabine/cyclophosphamide | MRD PB: negative, regressive cutaneous lesions |
| **March 2020:**  
9th cutaneous relapse (PB: MRD borderline positive) | Ruxolitinib 10 mg twice daily | MRD PB: borderline complete dissolution of skin lesions, moderate anemia (Hb: 10.5 g/dL) |

Abbreviations: CR: complete remission; CsA: cyclosporine; DLI: donor lymphocyte infusion.; Gy: gray; HLA: human leukocyte antigen; JAK: Janus kinase; MMF: mycophenolate mofetil; MRD: measurable residual disease; PB: peripheral blood; T-ALL: T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; TBI: total body irradiation.

Detection limit 1 × 10⁻⁵.

The JAK/STAT signaling pathway plays an essential role in normal hematopoiesis. JAK2 helps in the maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells and various stages of myelopoiesis [8], whereas JAK1 cooperates with JAK3 for lymphopoiesis. JAK3 mutations have been reported in 10-16% of T-ALL patients, with JAK3 M511I being the most frequently identified mutation [9]. JAK3 mutations have been reported to be dependent on binding to the common γ chain of cytokine receptor complexes [10].

Targeted therapy with tofacitinib, a pan-JAK inhibitor, in combination with ruxolitinib was suggested based on the sequencing results. This was supported by previous preclinical data regarding its effectiveness of targeting JAK3 mutations as well as a successful therapy with tofacitinib described in a single-patient case report with T-ALL and a JAK3 mutation [11,12]. Accordingly, tofacitinib, combined with ruxolitinib, has an enhanced potency in vitro in other disease models aiming to inhibit the IL2RG-JAK1-JAK3-STAT5B signaling pathway [13]. As JAK3 mutants are dependent on JAK1 signaling for their cellular transformation, it is possible to use both JAK1/JAK2 and JAK3-selective inhibitors in JAK3 mutation-positive leukemia [11]. However, as previously mentioned, due to existing contraindications to tofacitinib, single-agent ruxolitinib was initiated.

As seen in previous publications in T-ALL murine xenograft models, the patient showed a remarkable response of the skin lesions with ruxolitinib, independently of the presence of JAK1 or JAK2 mutations. In line, JAK3 M511I was found to obtain an increased JAK/STAT signaling by acquiring an additional mutation in the pseudokinase domain, which was also present in our patient [15].

Since our patient had complete donor chimerism, we assumed that the graft versus leukemia effect was sufficient to maintain an hCR in the BM but not to prevent the skin relapse. Leukemic cells were able to evade immune surveillance and migrate into peripheral tissues; however, single-agent ruxolitinib was able to induce complete remission of the extramedullary relapse in our case.

Our results illustrate the potential benefit of the JAK inhibitor ruxolitinib in JAK3-mutated refractory T-ALL and emphasize the importance of integrating molecular markers in current treatment decision making for patients with T-ALL. Further exploration of this targeted therapeutic strategy in molecularly stratified patient cohorts is warranted.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

SJ, HH, SS, CMT, and RFS were involved in the diagnosis and treatment of the patient. SJ, HH, and RFS designed the case report. PH, VT, CH, and SF did and interpreted molecular analyses. SF, PH, VT, and CH analyzed the NGS results and reviewed the case within the MASTER program. BH performed bioinformatic analysis. AS, HG, and BG performed the histopathological and immunophenotypic analysis. SJ, HH, and RS wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors interpreted
LETTER

FIGURE 1  (A) Cutaneous lesions right leg before treatment largest nodule 2 cm × 2 cm in diameter and (B) skin biopsy showing diffuse dermal infiltrates of small, monotonous blastoid cells, sparing the epidermis; HE, original magnification: 200x. (C) Immunohistochemistry of cutaneous lesions showing CD5 positivity of neoplastic infiltrates; original magnification: 200x. (D) Clinical control after 15 days of treatment
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