Characterization of TiO2 Nanoparticle’s Morphology and its Influence on the Hydrogen Sorption Kinetics of MgH2
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TiO2 nanorods present good performance as catalysts in the sorption behavior of magnesium hydride. In the present study, the morphology of TiO2 nanorods produced from titanate nanotubes heat-treated at 550 °C (NR550), 650 °C (NR650) and 750 °C (NR750) was characterized and their catalytic role on the sorption kinetics of MgH2 was evaluated. For this, ball-milled MgH2-TiO2 nanocomposites with 5 wt% of additives were prepared and the materials were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), BET surface area and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). The hydrogen sorption kinetics of the composites were evaluated in a Sievert’s apparatus at 350 °C. The results indicated that NR550 and NR650 consisted of a mixture of nanorods and nanoparticles and NR750 of coarser nanoparticles of TiO2-anatase with a small amount of rutile. Composites with NR750 and NR550 presented the best sorption kinetics, suggesting the positive effect of the presence of rutile and of anatase nanorods, respectively, on the sorption properties of the material.
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1. Introduction

Even before the genesis of the Hydrogen Economy concept, metal hydrides have been used in a wide range of industrial applications: from additives in steelmaking processes and reagents in the chemical industry to neutron shielding materials in nuclear reactors. However, a new highlight was given to these materials as hydrogen started to be increasingly more considered as a potential candidate for a cleaner and more versatile substitute to fossil fuels. This trend can be indicated by the growing market of hydrogen storage materials and technologies, which responded to about 3.6 billion dollars in 2016, according to a report by BCC Research.

The reason for the use of these materials in hydrogen storage applications relies on their high volumetric capacity and their ability to absorb and desorb hydrogen with a small variation in pressure. Among them, MgH2 is found to be interesting due to its high gravimetric capacity (about 7.6 wt%) and low density (1.7 g/cm³), making it a promisor candidate for lightweight applications. However, despite its good gravimetric storage capacity, the need for moderate to high temperatures (around 300-400 °C) for hydrogen desorption and the slow transformation kinetics of the system Mg-MgH2 are the main challenges for their use. The high working temperatures are related to the thermodynamic stability of the MgH2 compound while the slow absorption/desorption kinetics are attributed to either the passivation of magnesium surfaces (by rapid oxide/hydroxide layer formation), the low hydrogen diffusivity in magnesium hydride (Dh=5.8 x 10⁻¹⁴ cm²/s at 20 °C) or the slow dissociation/recombination kinetics of hydrogen molecules over magnesium surface.

In this sense, many studies have been done with the intent of increasing the sorption kinetics of Mg/MgH2 by the addition of catalysts. One of the strategies adopted is the addition of transition metal oxide catalysts by the production of nanocomposites with MgH2 by means of high energy ball milling. Among them, TiO2 is shown to be an interesting candidate due to the good catalytic performance associated with its low cost and high availability. Besides the composition, many studies indicate that the nanoparticle’s morphology is an important parameter when considering catalytic performance in many systems.

With respect to hydrides, various studies point out that the 1D morphology of catalysts might have a beneficial effect on the sorption kinetics of hydrides systems, and specifically, positive results have been observed for TiO2-based nanomaterials with 1D morphology added to MgH2.

In such a manner, the goal of this study can be divided into two aspects: (i) the morphology characterization through TEM of 1D TiO2 nanoparticles – produced through three different heat treatment routes from titanate nanotubes – and (ii) their effect on the hydrogen sorption kinetics in nanocomposites of MgH2-TiO2 produced by high energy ball milling.

2. Experimental Procedures

2.1 Sample preparation

The production of the catalysts used in this study started with the synthesis of hydrogen titanate nanotubes via an
alkaline hydrothermal route described by Kasuga et al., followed by a subsequent heat treatment at 550 °C, 650 °C and 750 °C for 2 h (named NR550, NR650 and NR750, respectively) in order to produce TiO₂-anatase nanorods (which are observed to form for heat treatment at 550°C/2h). The heat treatment temperatures were chosen in order to evaluate the evolution in size and morphology of the TiO₂ nanomaterials with temperature increase.

For the preparation of the MgH₂-TiO₂ nanocomposites, commercial MgH₂ powder (Sigma Aldrich, 99.4%) was submitted to a two-step ball-milling process consisting of a 24 h milling of pure MgH₂ followed by a 20 minutes milling after addition of 5 wt% of catalyst, both at 300 RPM. The ball milling was carried out under 2 bar of H₂ atmosphere in a stainless steel crucible with tungsten carbide balls (8 balls of 20 mm in diameter) using a Fritsch P6 planetary mill. The samples were handled in a glove-box under argon atmosphere.

2.2 Sample characterization

Both the pure additives and the composites were analyzed by means of X-ray diffraction for phase identification and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) was used for evaluation of morphology and dimensions of the catalysts as well as the distribution of catalysts within the MgH₂-TiO₂ composites. The XRD experiments were carried out in a Bruker D8 Discover powder diffractometer with Cu-κα radiation (40 kV, 40 mA) using a step size of 0.02° and step time of 0.6 s. The BET specific surface area of the nanomaterials used as catalysts in this study was determined using an Asap2020 Micromeritics instrument.

TEM images were obtained in an S/TEM Tecnai G20 FEG 200 kV and consisted of acquisitions of bright and dark-field images. A measure of the distribution of the particle’s size and aspect ratio was obtained through the analysis of dark-field TEM images – acquired by the selection of a region of 101 diffraction ring of TiO₂-anatase with the objective aperture (centered dark-field) and by integration of the entire ring in a hollow-cone dark-field mode, as described in 24,25 – by means of a semi automatized image processing routine using “Fiji-ImageJ v.1.52c”26. The use of dark-field images was preferred for image processing for their simplest contrast information compared to the bright field ones and for the possibility of observing individual particles with no or low superposition effect.

The hydrogen sorption properties were investigated by kinetics tests in an automatic Sievert’s type apparatus designed by PCT-Pro 8. The hydrogen absorption and desorption measurements were performed at 10 bar and 0.1 bar of hydrogen pressure, respectively, at 350 °C. The kinetics curves were normalized to the maximum hydrogen capacity for each sample to give a better understanding of the evolution of fraction reacted with time.

2.3 Image processing routine

The image processing routine used was implemented in “Fiji-ImageJ v.1.52c”26 and can be divided in two main steps: (i) processing of dark-field images (consisted of 4 steps: noise reduction by application of non-local means denoising filter27, binarization through threshold, binary processing and identification of ROIs) and (ii) validation of the objects through comparison with bright field images followed by the evaluation of dimensions and shape of the objects. The parameters used for evaluating the particle’s dimensions were the Feret’s diameter and Min Feret’s diameter, which corresponds to the particle’s length and width, respectively, and, as a shape descriptor, the Aspect Ratio of the objects was considered.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Catalyst characterization

3.1.1 X-Ray diffraction

XRD of the samples NR550, NR650 and NR750 are shown in Figure 1. The analyses of the diffractogram show that the aforementioned samples are crystalline and composed mainly of TiO₂-anatase, which is in agreement with the expected for titanate nanotubes treated at 550 °C11,23. Some small intensity peaks related to TiO₂-rutile are observed for all samples, indicating a small content of this phase within the material, which increases for higher heat treatment temperatures. It is also interesting to highlight the narrowing of peak width as heat treatment temperature is increased, indicating that sample NR750 presents larger crystallites than NR650 while NR550 shows the smallest crystallite size of all the catalysts studied.

3.1.2 Transmission Electron Microscopy

The TEM analyses of the catalysts studied showed that samples NR550 and NR650 consists of a mixture of nanorods and nanoparticles of TiO₂ anatase, with average size of 35.5 and 34.6 nm, respectively, while the sample treated at 750 °C (NR750) consists of nanoparticles of TiO₂ with coarser average size of about 69.4 nm as shown in Figure 2 and Table 2.
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Figure 2. Bright-field (left) and Hollow-cone Dark-field (right) TEM images of the samples NR550 (a, b), NR650 (c, d) and NR750 (e, f).

It is also interesting to point out that the sample NR750 showed signs of sintering of nanoparticles (as highlighted in Figure 3).

The results of the quantitative analysis of TEM images of NR550, NR650 and NR750 are presented in the histograms and cumulative distributions of particle’s length, width and aspect ratio presented in Figure 4 and summarized in Table 1.

It is important to point out that the obtained standard deviations were significantly high, especially for length measurements. This can be attributed to intrinsic errors from the dark-field image acquisition process (overlapping of diffracting nanoparticles or the formation of thickness fringes, for example), which introduce artifacts that can hinder the step of identification of ROIs after binarization. Nonetheless, it is observed that the distribution of particle’s length and width were very similar for the samples NR550 and NR650, which presented practically the same average size. In contrast, the respective curves for the sample treated at 750 °C (NR750) were clearly shifted to greater values of particle size compared to the former ones.

With respect to the aspect ratio, it is possible to observe indications of a bimodal distribution (Figure 4 (e,f)) for the samples NR550 and NR650, pointing out the presence of nanoparticles and nanorods, and a trend of decrease in aspect ratio as heat treatment temperature increases, as indicated by Figure 4 (f), where it is observed that, considering an aspect ratio of 2 for comparison, sample NR550 presented 55% of particles with aspect ratio greater than 2, in contrast to 35% for NR650 and 8% for NR750.

3.1.3 Specific surface area

The specific BET surface area for the additives is presented in Table 2. This analysis shows that NR550 has the highest specific surface area among all the additives produced, with 81 m²/g followed by NR650 and NR750, which agree with the overall trend observed in XRD and TEM results. The higher decrease in specific surface area observed for the sample treated at 750 °C can be attributed not only to the coarsening of the nanoparticles, but also to the loss of their 1D character (which shows greater surface/volume ratio than 0D nanoparticles) and to the occurrence of sintering of nanoparticles as observed in TEM analysis.

3.2 Composite characterization

The XRD patterns of the samples after milling are shown in Figure 5 and indicated that all the samples presented similar constitution characterized by a mixture of MgH₂-β and MgH₂-γ (high-pressure metastable phase of magnesium hydride formed during milling28). Some peaks

**Table 1.** Average particle size and aspect ratio for the samples NR550, NR650 and NR750.

| Feature               | NR550 Mean ± Standard Deviation | NR650 Mean ± Standard Deviation | NR750 Mean ± Standard Deviation |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Length (Feret’s Diameter) | 35.47 ± 19.66 nm                | 34.62 ± 17.26 nm                | 69.42 ± 26.15 nm                |
| Width (Min Feret’s diameter) | 14.11 ± 4.86 nm                | 17.23 ± 5.83 nm                | 45.15 ± 15.80 nm                |
| Aspect Ratio | 2.70 ± 1.70                       | 2.01 ± 0.96                      | 1.50 ± 0.42                      |

**Table 2.** BET specific area for the samples NR550, NR650 and NR750.

| Sample | BET Specific Area (m²/g) |
|--------|--------------------------|
| NR 550 | 80.98                    |
| NR 650 | 57.61                    |
| NR 750 | 20.50                    |
Although it was not possible to obtain a more detailed TEM evaluation of the composite after milling – due to the fast degradation of MgH$_2$ under the electron beam$^{21,29,30}$ and the small amount of thin agglomerates for a quantitative approach – a qualitative analysis of the MgH$_2$-TiO$_2$ composites indicated that the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were fairly distributed within the composite matrix after milling, as shown in Figure 6.

### 3.2.1 Hydrogen sorption kinetics

The hydrogen sorption kinetics analyses of the composites showed that the addition of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles was beneficial to increase the kinetics in all the cases compared to the hydride before and after 24 h milling, as shown in the normalized hydrogen absorption and desorption curves presented in Figure 7.

During absorption, the composites with addition of NR750 and NR550 presented similar performance and their kinetics were superior to the observed for the sample with NR650. These results are consistent with the observed in previous works for TiO$_2$ anatase nanorods$^{21}$ and to the observed by GATTIA et al.$^{31}$ for pellets of MgH$_2$-TiO$_2$ composites containing 5 wt% of TiO$_2$ tested at 340°C/8bar, which absorbed about 50% of the maximum capacity after 5 minutes compared to 72% for the samples with NR750 and NR550 in the present study.

For desorption at 350 °C, the performance of the catalysts was significant, all of them having desorbed about 90% to 100% under 3 minutes, and were comparable to what was observed for composites of MgH$_2$ with TiO$_2$ nanorods and titanate nanotubes by JARDIM et al.$^{21}$. 

Figure 3. High-Resolution TEM image of NR750 showing grain boundary formation, indicating the occurrence of sintering of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

Figure 4. Comparative Histogram (top) and cumulative distribution (bottom) of particle’s length (a), width (b) and aspect ratio (c) obtained from TEM analysis of samples NR550, NR650 and NR750.
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Comparing to other 1D materials, the kinetic performance of NR750 and NR550 were slightly faster than the observed for 1D niobates$^{17}$ in absorption and desorption at 350 °C and presented similar behavior in desorption compared to MWCNTs$^{19}$, also at 350 °C. For both cases, the composite preparation route used was similar to the one adopted in the present study.

Other works also investigated the results of addition of TiO$_2$ nanomaterials to MgH$_2$.$^{32-35}$ However, in reason of the differences in characterization techniques used and in the processing parameters (such as test temperature and pressure and milling energy and time), a direct comparison cannot be done.

Although there are differences between the TiO$_2$ nanomaterials studied in terms of their specific surface area, a direct correlation between the surface area and the performance of the catalysts in the hydrogen absorption or desorption from magnesium hydride was not observed.

The kinetics test showed that the catalyst with higher (NR550) and lower (NR750) specific areas showed similar results at absorption and the latter one performed better than the highest area catalyst in desorption at 350 °C.

The superior performance of the composite with NR750 might be attributed to the greater content of TiO$_2$-rutile present in this material compared to the other catalysts studied. This polymorph, as observed by JUNG et al.$^{36}$, PANDEY et al.$^{37}$ and VUJASIN et al.$^{38}$, tends to present better catalytic performance than TiO$_2$-anatase in hydrogen desorption from magnesium hydride. According to JUNG et al.$^{36}$, the better performance of rutile is attributed to the similarity in crystal structure (both being tetragonal of rutile type) and in cell parameters between TiO$_2$-rutile and MgH$_2$-β (a = b = 4.5163 Å and c = 3.0205 Å for MgH$_2$-β; a = b = 4.5172 Å and c = 2.943 Å for TiO$_2$-rutile$^{36}$, which can facilitate the formation of coherent MgH$_2$-TiO$_2$ interfaces between matrix and catalyst$^{38}$. Another hypothesis for the difference.
in catalytic performance between the titania polymorphs is related to the formation of reduced Ti$^{3+}$ species (which was found to play an important role in catalytic mechanism for the hydrogen desorption process of magnesium hydride$^{35,38}$), as TiO$_2$-rutile is more prompt to be reduced in hydrogen-containing atmosphere than anatase$^{37,38}$ (the reduction rate of rutile – per unit surface area – is 2.5 times faster than anatase’s)$^{40}$.

4. Conclusions

The employment of the dark-field technique presented in this study was efficient in allowing the observation of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles within a MgH$_2$-TiO$_2$ composite (even at a small catalyst content of 5 wt% TiO$_2$).

The analyses of the pure additives indicated that NR550 and NR650 consisted of a mixture of nanorods and nanoparticles of TiO$_2$-anatase while NR750 was composed of coarser TiO$_2$-anatase nanoparticles with a small content of rutile. As a general trend, the increase in heat treatment temperature of titanate nanotubes led to the reduction in the fraction of nanorods and the increase in the average nanoparticles size.

XRD of the composites showed that there was not a noticeable difference in crystallographic composition among the composites, all of which were consisted of a mixture of MgH$_2$-$\beta$ and MgH$_2$-$\gamma$ with a small content of metallic magnesium.

The hydrogen sorption kinetics results showed that the addition of the nanomaterials to the magnesium hydride had a positive effect in the sorption kinetics for all the additives used in comparison to pure hydride.

The catalysts with the two best performances were NR750 and NR550, respectively, which were easily distinguishable during desorption test at 350 $^\circ$C. The high difference in specific area between the two catalysts with the best performances suggests that the surface area was of paramount importance to determine their kinetic behavior. It is suggested that the best performance of NR750 is due to the presence of a greater amount of TiO$_2$-rutile, which has been observed to present higher catalytic activity than TiO$_2$-anatase in MgH$_2$/Mg systems$^{35-38}$. The reason for this is attributed to either the similarity in crystal structure and cell parameters$^{36}$ or the formation of Ti$^{3+}$ species due to rutile presenting higher reduction rate than anatase in a hydrogen reducing atmosphere$^{37,38,40}$.

The effect of the nanomaterials’ morphology was not conclusive. However, the better performance of NR550 compared to NR650 (which presented similar specific surface area and average particle size) might indicate a positive effect of the unidimensional morphology as the former one presented a higher fraction of nanorods.
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