Transcriptional Activity of an Estrogen Receptor β Subtype in the Medaka Oryzias dancena
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ABSTRACT: In vertebrate reproductive system, estrogen receptor (ER) plays a pivotal role in mediation of estrogentic signaling pathways. In the present study, we report the cDNA cloning, expression analysis, and transcriptional activity of ERβ1 subtype from medaka Oryzias dancena. The deduced O. dancena ERβ1 (odERβ1; 519 amino acids) contained six characteristic A/B to E/F domains with very short activation function 2 region (called AF2). A phylogenetic analysis indicated that odERβ1 was highly conserved among teleost ERβ1 subgroup. A conventional RT-PCR revealed that the odERβ1 transcripts were widely distributed in the multiple tissues, the ovary, brain, gill, intestine, kidney, and muscle. Further, the relatively higher odERβ1 expressions in the ovary and brain were clearly reproduced in RT-qPCR assay. When HA-fused odERβ1 expression vector was transfected into HEK293 cells, an immunoreactivity for odERβ1 was mainly detected in the nucleus part. Finally, an estrogen responsive element driven luciferase reporter assays demonstrated that the transcriptional activity of odERβ1 significantly increased by estradiol-17β (E2) in a dose dependent manner (p<0.05). However, fold-activation of odERβ1 in the presence of E2 was markedly weak, when it compared with those of O. latipes ERβ1. Taken together, these data suggest that odERβ1 represents a functional variant of teleost ERβ subtype and provides a basic tool allowing future studies examining the function of F domain of ERβ1 subtype and expanding our knowledge of ERβ evolution.
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INTRODUCTION

The importance of the role of estrogens in the sexual development and reproduction of vertebrates is well known, and in particular, estrogens broadly contribute to regulation of female reproductive system, secondary sex characteristics during puberty, and ovulation (Rasier et al., 2006). Biosynthesis of estrogens appears to occur via the cytochrome P450 aromatase enzyme complex in diverse vertebrates, and it is generally accepted that estrogens are effectors for the reproduction strategy, such as implantation, pregnancy recognition, pregnancy maintenance, parturition, and lactation (Lange et al., 2002). Estradiol-17β (E2) is an estrogen and the major female sex hormone involved in the regulation of the estrous and menstrual female reproductive cycles. The biological effects of E2 occur through binding with estrogen receptors (Ers; Evans, 1988).

ERs belong to the superfamily of nuclear receptors and specifically to the family of steroid hormone receptors that act as ligand-mediated transcription factors (Evans, 1988; Zhao et al., 2008). Two forms of the ER, ERα, and ERβ, have been characterized up to date. Both ERα and ERβ...
consist of distinctive domains, such as N-terminal transactivation domain, central DNA-binding domain (DBD), ligand-binding domain (LBD), and C-terminal coactivator/corepressor interacting domain (Zhao et al., 2008). Although the biochemical responses of ERα and ERβ to estrogens are largely similar in their modes, the two ERs show different and specific gene expression pattern in some tissues such as breast cancer (Thomas & Gustafsson, 2011; Haldosén et al., 2014).

In teleost fishes, ERα and ERβ are widely expressed in various tissues, and hepatic vitellogenesis which is a pivotal process for ovarian maturation provides a physiologically relevant endpoint of ER activation (Nelson & Habibi, 2013). In fact, hepatic vitellogenesis and the ERα gene expression are commonly used as bioassays for endocrine disruptors that have estrogen-like activity, whereas the biological significances of ERβ genes are not well elucidated up to now. Furthermore, involvement of ERβ in the control of estrogen-responsive genes in specific tissues is also poorly understood. In a previous report, E2-dependent induction of red fluorescent protein reporter gene expression driven by choriogenin H gene promoter was shown in transgenic marine medaka Oryzias dancena under a wide range of salinity conditions (Cho et al., 2013). To evaluate ERβ–mediated E2 responsiveness in euryhaline teleosts, we firstly investigated the expression profile of ERβ gene in several tissues and transcriptional activities of the ERβ proteins were examined in marine medaka.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. cDNA cloning of *O. dancena* ERβ

Total RNA was extracted from the whole body of adult medaka *O. dancena*, using the RNA Prep kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To obtain full-length ERβ cDNA, the first-strand cDNA was synthesized with the extracted total RNA using a SMART rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) cDNA Amplification kit (Clontech Lab, Palo Alto, CA, USA). A cDNA fragment was amplified with a forward and reverse primer set (#1 and #2; Table 1) based on known *O. latipes* and *O. javanicus* ERβ cDNA sequences (GenBank Accession nos. NM_001104702 and AY917148). PCR amplification was performed in a final volume of 20 μL containing 1 μg of the marine medaka whole-body cDNA, 2.5 mM dNTP 0.8 μL, 10 pmole of each oligo primer, 2× GC LA buffer 10 μL, 5U LA Taq DNA polymerase (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan). The reactions were carried out under the following cycling conditions: 5 min denaturation at 94°C; 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 50°C, and 2 min at 72°C; and 7 min at 72°C. Full-length cDNAs for entire coding region of *O. dancena* ERβ (odERβ) were obtained by RACE PCR amplification in a final volume of 25 μL including 1 μg of 3 '- or 5'-cDNA template, 2 pmole of gene-specific primers (#1 or #2; Table 1) and the Universal Primer A Mix (#3, Table 1), 10× Advantage 2 PCR buffer 2.5 μL, 10 mM dNTP mix 0.4 μL, and 50× advantage 2 polymerase mix (Clontech Lab) 0.4 μL with the following cycles: 5 min denaturation at 94°C; 5 cycles of 30 s at 94°C and 3 min at 68°C; 5 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 66°C, and 3 min at 72°C; 25 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 64°C, and 3 min at 72°C; and 7 min at 72°C. The amplified PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). To construct the odERβ expression vector, open reading frame of the odERβ cDNA was amplified by a conventional PCR using a primer set (#4 and #5, Table 1) and cloned into the Eco RI–Xho I restriction sites in pcDNA3-HA-NLS vector (Zavacki et al., 1997). *Escherichia coli* competent cells were transformed with the vectors and all of the constructs were sequenced by the Sanger method.

2. Phylogenetic and sequence analysis of *O. dancena* ERβ1 cDNA

The amino acid alignment was carried out by CLUSTALW with MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013), and the phylogenetic tree was constructed using the maximum-likelihood (ML)
Table 1. Oligo primers used in the polymerase chain reactions

| Target   | Primer | Direction     | Sequence (5’→3’)                                                                 | Application                      |
|----------|--------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| odERβ1   | #1     | Sense         | GCAATGGGAACCACTTTTGACTC                                                          | Partial cDNA cloning             |
| odERβ1   | #2     | Antisense     | GATGTGAGCGTCCACGCATCTC                                                          | Partial cDNA cloning             |
| odERβ1   | #3     | Sense/antisense| CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAAGCAGTG                                                   | RACE PCR                         |
| odERβ1   | #4     | Sense         | GCGAATTCATGGGAACCATTTTGACTCAGAG                                                   | Plasmid construction             |
| odERβ1   | #5     | Antisense     | GCCTCGAGTCAACGCAGTGCCATTACGG                                                     | Plasmid construction             |
| 18S rRNA | #6     | Antisense     | CAAGAATTTCCACCTCTAGCGGC                                                          | Reverse transcription             |
| odERβ1   | #7     | Sense         | TCCAAGCTGCTCAGATTCA                                                              | RT-qPCR                          |
| odERβ1   | #8     | Antisense     | TCTGTTCCCTGCAGAAAAGG                                                             | RT-qPCR                          |
| 18S rRNA | #9     | Sense         | TCCAGCTCAATAGCGTATC                                                              | RT-qPCR                          |
| 18S rRNA | #10    | Antisense     | AGAACCGGAGTCCATTCCA                                                              | RT-qPCR                          |

Underlined sequences indicate restriction enzyme recognition sites.

RACE, rapid amplification of cDNA ends.

method based on the JTT matrix-based model (Jones et al., 1992). Branch supports were provided using 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

3. Tissue distribution assay of *O. dancena* ERβ1 transcripts

To examine tissue distribution pattern of ERβ1 transcripts, 12 kinds of tissues including brain, eye, fin, gill, heart, intestine, kidney, liver, muscle, spleen, ovary, and testis were surgically removed from mature marine medaka individuals (six males and six females; average body weight=2.3±0.3 g) that had been communally grown under the culture conditions: salinity at 15 ppt, temperature at 26±1°C and dissolved oxygen level at 6±1 ppm. Total RNA was extracted with Trizol reagent (Ambion, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction and further purified with RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) including the DNase I treatment step. Integrity and purity of total RNA sample was verified with 28S:18S rRNA ratio on formaldehyde/MOPS agarose gel and OD260/280 nm (260/230 nm) spectrophotometry, respectively. For end-point RT-PCR, equal amount of total RNA (1 μg) from each individual tissue was pooled within a tissue type, and an aliquot (2 μg) of the pooled RNA sample was reverse transcribed to cDNA using Omniscript RT kit (Qiagen) with oligo-dT20 priming method according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In order to prepare a normalized control (18S rRNA; GenBank accession number HM347347), an *O. dancena* 18S rRNA reverse primer (#6, Table 1) was also included in the RT reaction as described previously. RT product was 8-fold (for ERβ1) or 20-fold (for 18S rRNA) diluted with sterile water, and 2 μL of diluted cDNA was used as template for each PCR reaction. End-point RT-PCR was carried with a pair of primer (#7 and #8; Table 1) to amplify a 240-bp odERβ1 cDNA fragment. Thermal cycling conditions are 33 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 58°C for 20 s and 72°C for 20 s with an initial denaturation
step at 94°C for 2 min. From each cDNA template, a 253-bp fragment of 18S rRNA was also amplified as a normalization control with a pair of primer (#9 and #10; Table 1) under the same thermal cycling conditions described in Cho et al. (2011) except the reduction of cycle number to 22. Amplified products (5 μL) for both odERβ1 and 18S rRNA were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels, and visualized with ethidium bromide (EtBr)-staining.

Based on the end-point RT-PCR assay, tissues examined to show the clear amplification of odERβ1 transcripts were selected for real-time quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR). Basal expression levels of odERβ1 among those tissues were assayed with individual cDNA samples (three males and three females), and each cDNA template was assessed in triplicates. Oligonucleotide primers and thermal cycling conditions for odERβ1 and 18S rRNA were same as those used in end-point RT-PCR above, except the extension of the cycle number to 45. PCR was conducted with LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master Mix and LightCycle 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Applied Sciences, Penzberg, Germany). PCR efficiencies of both primer pairs (i.e., for odERβ1 and 18S rRNA) were 0.96 and 0.94, respectively. Basal expression level of odERβ1 transcripts in each tissue was determined with $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method based on the normalization against 18S rRNA level (Schmittgen & Livak, 2008).

4. Reporter assay

HEK293 cells (5×10⁴ cells/well) were routinely cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, HyClone, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. The cells were seeded in 24-well plates and transfected with odERβ1, O. latipes ERβ1 (oERβ1), and masu salmon ERα (msERα) expression vectors and reporter vectors including an estrogen-response element (ERE)-driven luciferase reporter (ERE-Luc) (Maeng et. al., 2005), and internal control vector for β-galactosidase (200 ng each) using Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Invitrogen). The oERβ expression vector was kindly provided by Dr. Yoshitaka Nagahama, National Institute for Basic Biology, Okazaki, Japan. After 3 hr post-transfection, the cell medium was changed by DMEM with 10% charcoal-stripped FBS and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic. Detailed transfection, ligand treatment and luciferase assay were conducted as described previously (Maeng et al., 2005).

5. Subcellular localization

HEK293 cells were seeded on poly-D-lysine hydrobromide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA)-coated coverslips and transiently transfected with HA-tagged ER expression vectors (200 ng each) as described before. After 30 h, the cells were fixed for 10 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (Wako, Osaka, Japan) and further incubated for 10 min with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100. To block non-specific binding, the cells were incubated with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBST (PBS+0.1% Tween 20) for 30 min at room temperature. The cells were incubated with a 1:5,000 dilution of monoclonal anti-HA antibody produced in mouse (H9658, Sigma-Aldrich) in PBST overnight at 4°C in a humidified chamber. The cells were further incubated with a 1:2,000 dilution of anti-mouse IgG (H+L), F(ab’)2 Fragment (AlexaFluor 488 conjugate, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) in PBST for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. The coverslips were mounted with Fluoroshield Mounting Medium with DAPI (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and the cells were observed using a fluorescence microscope (Eclipse E200, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Fluorescence signals were not detected in the control cells incubated without the primary antibody.

6. Statistical analysis

Differences in basal mRNA expression levels of odERβ among adult tissues and luciferase activities
determined with reporter assays for ERs were assessed with ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test, while differences between sexes given a tissue type with Student’s t-test. Difference was considered to be significant when \( p<0.05 \).

RESULTS

1. Isolation of *O. dancena* ER\(\beta\)1 cDNA and sequence analysis

We cloned an ER\(\beta\)1 cDNA from the whole body of adult marine medaka *O. dancena* based on *O. latipes* and *O. javanicus* ER\(\beta\) cDNA sequences. The cDNA contained an open reading frame of 1,560 nucleotides encoding 519 amino acid residues. The overall protein sequence identities of the odER\(\beta\)1 was relatively higher with ER\(\beta\)1 subtype of *O. latipes*, *Paralichthys olivaceus*, and *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (93.8%, 81.5%, and 69.4%, respectively), but relatively lower with ER\(\beta\)2 and ER\(\alpha\) subtypes of teleosts and human (44.1%–57.0%; Fig. 1A). Amino acid sequences of N-terminal A/B and DNA binding C domains of odER\(\beta\)1 were strikingly similar to those of olER\(\beta\)1 (96.1% and 100%), while the sequences of hinge D domain and ligand binding E/F domains of odER\(\beta\)1 showed relatively lower similarities with the corresponding regions of olER\(\beta\)1 (82.9% and 92.3%). The C-terminal amino acid sequence of odER\(\beta\)1 was short when compared with those of olER\(\beta\)1 and human ER\(\beta\) (Fig. 1B). A molecular phylogenetic analysis revealed that teleostean ER\(\beta\)1 proteins including odER\(\beta\)1 formed a monophyletic group that was distinct from a clade comprising tetrapodian ER\(\beta\) and teleostean ER\(\beta\)2 and ER\(\alpha\) proteins (Fig. 2).

2. Tissue distribution pattern and basal expression levels of *O. dancena* ER\(\beta\)1 transcripts

From end-point RT-PCR analysis, odER\(\beta\)1 mRNAs were predominantly expressed in the ovary (Fig. 3A). Besides the ovary, odER\(\beta\)1 transcripts were also detectable in the brain, gill, intestine, kidney, and muscle, although expression levels in those tissues were significantly lower than that of the ovarian expression. Meanwhile, under the present RT-PCR conditions, odER\(\beta\)1 mRNAs were not clearly detected in the eye, fin, heart, liver, spleen and testis. The robust expression of odER\(\beta\)1 mRNAs in the ovary was clearly reproduced in RT-qPCR assay with female tissues, in which the ovarian expression level was 3.8–15.3 times greater than those in other tissues examined (\( p<0.05 \); Fig. 3B). Among non-ovarian tissues, brain showed a higher expression compared to other tissues (\( p<0.05 \)). Expression patterns in male somatic tissues were not significantly dissimilar from those observed in female. Like in female tissues, male brains displayed a relatively higher expression than others did, although the difference was not highlighted as much as in female (Fig. 3C). In comparison between genders within a given tissue, females tended to show a higher expression level of odER\(\beta\)1 in the brain than males did, however, the difference was not statistically significant due to large individual variations. There was no gender-dependent difference in the expression level of the expression level of odER\(\beta\)1 for gill, intestine, muscle and kidney (\( p>0.05 \)).

3. Functional characterization of *O. dancena* ER\(\beta\)1

The transcriptional activity of the odER\(\beta\)1 was evaluated by cotransfection of a luciferase reporter, ERE-Luc, into a mammalian cell line, HEK293. The ERE-Luc reporter activities of odER\(\beta\)1 were significantly elevated by E2 and the response of odER\(\beta\)1 showed a dose dependent manner (\( p<0.05 \)). The transcriptional fold-activation of odER\(\beta\)1 in the presence of E2 was markedly weak, when the activities of *O. latipes* ER\(\beta\)1 (olER\(\beta\)1) and *Oncorhynchus masou* ER\(\alpha\) (msER\(\alpha\)) were compared (Fig. 4B). The fold-transactivation of odER\(\beta\)1 by E2 treatment showed a dose dependent manner. Transfected HA-tagged odER\(\beta\)1, olER\(\beta\)1, and msER\(\alpha\) expression vectors were mainly expressed in the nucleus of HEK293 cells (Fig. 4C).
In the present study, we cloned an ERβ1 subtype cDNA from *O. dancena* and examined the mRNA expression profile in various tissues. To date, two ERβ subtypes (ERβ1 and ERβ2) have been isolated from a variety of teleost fish species, including Japanese medaka and largemouth bass (Sabo-Attwood et al., 2004; Chakraborty et al., 2011), although a single ERβ gene was reported in mammals (Haldosén et al., 2014). The overall sequence identity analysis of three subtypes of ER indicated that odERβ1 is the ortholog of teleost ERβ1. This classification

**Fig. 1.** Protein sequence identities of *Oryzias dancena* estrogen receptor (ER) β1 subtype with teleost and human ER orthologs. (A) Identities for the entire coding region are represented at the upper lines. ERs typically contain six distinct domains termed A to F extending from the N- to C-terminus. The four characteristic domains of the ERβ1 schematically represented with their corresponding identity percentages at the bottom lines. (B) Multiple sequence alignment of *Oryzias* ERβ1 and human ERβ C-terminal amino acids. Amino acids with 100% and >60% conservation are shown in red and blue, respectively. The corresponding GenBank accession numbers for ER sequences are referred in the legend of Fig. 2.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we cloned an ERβ1 subtype cDNA from *O. dancena* and examined the mRNA expression profile in various tissues. To date, two ERβ subtypes (ERβ1 and ERβ2) have been isolated from a variety of teleost fish species, including Japanese medaka and largemouth bass (Sabo-Attwood et al., 2004; Chakraborty et al., 2011), although a single ERβ gene was reported in mammals (Haldosén et al., 2014). The overall sequence identity analysis of three subtypes of ER indicated that odERβ1 is the ortholog of teleost ERβ1. This classification
was supported by a phylogenetic analysis: ERβ1 and ERβ2 subtypes of teleost species and ERβ of tetrapods. The estimated amino acid length of odERβ1 were 519 which is shorter than olERβ1 (562 amino acids; Chakraborty et al., 2011) and human ERβ (530 amino acids; Ogawa et al., 1998). The lacking of large portion of F domain known as a hormone-dependent activation function AF-2 (Glass & Rosenfeld, 2000) is the most different feature between odERβ1 and olERβ1. Taken together, odERβ1 is most likely a splicing variant of ERβ1 gene. In human, multiple

---

**Fig. 2.** Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree showing relationships between amino acid sequences of estrogen receptor (ER) subtypes. The bootstrap values from 1,000 replicates are given at each branch node. The scale bar indicates 0.1 amino acid replacements per site. GenBank accession numbers are as follows: ERβ1 subtype for Oryzias dancena (MN729292), O. latipes ERβ1 (NP_001098172), Paralichthys olivaceus (BAB85623), Oreochromis aureus (ACF75102), Fundulus heteroclitus (AAU44352), Epinephelus coioides (ADK90034), Cyprinus carpio (BAB91218), Oncorhynchus mykiss (P_001118225); ERβ2 subtype for O. latipes (NP_001121984), O. aureus (ACF75103), F. heteroclitus (NP_001296906), P. olivaceus (XP_019961638), E. coioides (ADK90035), O. mykiss (NP_001118042); ERβ subtype for Callorhinchus milii (BAX07664), Python bivittatus (XP_007432491), Xenopus tropicalis (NP_001035101), Gallus gallus (NP_990125), Homo sapiens (CAA67555), Mus musculus (AAI41076); ERα subtype for O. latipes (P50241) and O. masou (AAS92970).
ERβ isoforms lacking or changing of F domain by alternative splicing of the last coding exons have been reported (Haldosén et al., 2014). The AF-2 region of E2-bound ERs mainly interact with transcriptional co-regulators, i.e., N-CoR, SMRT, and GRIP1, and then the ER complex may recruit the basal transcription machinery including RNA polymerase II (Glass & Rosenfeld, 2000; Webb et al., 2003). Although the function of AF-2 region of ERβ is unclear in teleosts, AF2-deleted female mice were significantly less preferred by adult males than that of normal females in odor preference tests (Antal et al., 2012). Implication of ERβ1 variants in the reproductive axis of teleosts is needed to be elucidated.

Tissue-specific expression of ER subtypes was demonstrated during the life cycle of teleosts (Sabo-Attwood et al., 2004; Chakraborty et al., 2011). The odERβ1 transcripts were strongly expressed in the ovary and significantly detectable in the brain than those of gill, intestine, kidney, and muscle, whereas olERβ1 mRNA expression was observed as faint bands in the testis and the brain (Chakraborty et al., 2011). In rainbow trout, the mRNA for ERβ1 was detected in diverse tissues, e.g., the testis, ovary, brain, and kidney (Nagler et al., 2007). Interestingly, the rainbow trout ERβ1 transcript abundance in the ovary showed the highest expression at the beginning of the reproductive cycle, but then decreased afterward (Nagler et al., 2012). The expression of rockfish ERβ1 mRNA was also significantly higher in the ovary at the early-oocyte stage (Mu et al., 2013). The brain of female O. dancena showed relatively higher level of odERβ1 transcript than other tissues examined. Recently, ERβ1-null female medaka showed apparently normal sexual behavior, but without fertility and oviposition in response to male courtship (Kayo et al., 2019). These observations suggest that ERβ1 expression may be important for estrogen signaling that starts the next cycle of ovarian development through brain-pituitary-gonad axis in teleosts. In fact, expression of esr1 (ERα gene), gnrhr1, fsh, lh genes in the brain of red

![Fig. 3. Distribution and basal expression levels of ERβ1 transcripts in tissues of mature Oryzias dancena.](A) Representative end-point RT-PCR gels to show the RT-PCR products of ERβ1 and 18S rRNA control. (B, C) Relative expression levels of ERβ1 mRNAs in tissues selected from females (B) or males (C) determined with RT-qPCR assay based on the normalization against 18S rRNA levels. Only tissues proven to show the clear expression of ERβ1 in the end-point RT-PCR were subjected to RT-qPCR assay. Mean±SDs with different letters within a given gender (a–c) are significantly different based on ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test at p<0.05. ER, estrogen receptor.
Transcriptional activities and localization of *Oryzias dancena* ERβ1 (odERβ1) in HEK-293 cells. (A) Transcriptional activity of odERβ1 with estradiol-17β (E2, 1 μM). HEK-293 cells were transfected with ERE-Luc reporter vector (200 ng) and β-galactosidase (β-gal) expression vector (100 ng), along with either pcDNA3-HA empty vector or odERβ1 expression vector as indicated. After 24 h of transfection, the cells were incubated in the presence of 1 μM dosage of E2 or the same volume of DMSO for 18 h. All cells were lysed and the luciferase activities were measured and normalized against the β-gal expression as an internal control. Values are the average of at least four transfections (mean±SD). (B) HEK-293 cells were transfected with expression vectors for odERβ1, *O. latipes* ERβ1 (olERβ1), or masu salmon ERα (msERα) expression vector (200 ng each), together with ERE-Luc reporter vector and β-gal expression vector followed by treatment of E2 as indicated doses. Luciferase activity was measured 18 h after ligand addition and normalized as in (A). Mean±SDs with different letters are significantly different based on ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test at *p*<0.05. (C) HEK-293 cells were transfected with HA-tagged odERβ1, olERβ1, and msERα expression vector (200 ng each) and the cells were fixed and examined by immunohistochemistry with anti-HA antibody and DAPI. Magnification ×1,000. ER, estrogen receptor.
spotted grouper was positively associated with the formation of ovarian cavity (Kim et al., 2016). More in-depth investigations of individual tissues during different reproductive stages of medaka *O. dancena* will be required to better understand the biological significance of *ERβ* isoforms.

The transcriptional activities of odERβ1 were significantly elevated by E2 concentration dependent manner. In addition, the nuclear localization and the E2-dependent transactivation mode of odERβ1 were evidently demonstrated like those of olERβ1 and msERα. These results are similar to a previous report that three medaka ER subtypes including olERβ1 are capable of initiating transactivation of vitellogenin genes via upstream EREs (Lee Pow et al., 2016). The transcriptional activity of steroid hormone receptor superfamily including ERs is mainly dependent upon C-terminal ligand-binding E/F domain and AF-2 region (Glass & Rosenfeld MG, 2000). When the amino acid sequence of odERβ1 was compared with those of olERβ1 and human ERβ, odERβ1 was estimated to be a splicing variant from ERβ1 gene that lacks F domain and/or AF2 region. The olERβ1 having a long F domain showed higher fold-activation of transcription of ERE-driven reporter gene in the presence of E2, whereas odERβ1 showed relatively weak fold-activation of the reporter gene in the presence of E2. These data indicate that the complete F domain and AF2 region are important for ligand binding and direct E2-dependent transcriptional activity of ERβ1. In fact, a mutant mammalian ERα deleted for the AF2 core domain impairs E2-induced transactivation by wild type ERα (Jung et al., 2001).

In summary, we cloned an *O. dancena* ERβ1 variant having a short C-terminal activation function 2 region. The odERβ1 mRNAs were highly expressed in the ovary and brain. The transcriptional activity of odERβ1 increased by E2 in a dose dependent manner, although E2-dependent transactivation of odERβ1 was weaker than that of *O. latipes* ERβ1.

**ORCID**

Sejong Maeng  
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0730-0300

Sung Woo Yoon  
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4104-9068

Eun Jeong Kim  
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8303-1731

Yoon Kwon Nam  
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8870-2098

Young Chang Sohn  
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4140-2669

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conceptualization: Sohn YC.

Data curation: Maeng S, Yoon SW, Kim EJ.

Formal analysis: Nam YK, Sohn YC.

Methodology: Maeng S, Kim EJ.

Software: Maeng S, Yoon SW, Kim EJ.

Validation: Maeng S, Kim EJ.

Investigation: Maeng S, Yoon SW, Kim EJ.

Writing-original draft: Maeng S, Yoon SW, Kim EJ, Nam YK, Sohn YC.

Writing-review & editing: Maeng S, Yoon SW, Kim EJ, Nam YK, Sohn YC.

**ETHICS APPROVAL**

Experiment with living marine medaka samples was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Pukyong National University (Approved number 201816).

**REFERENCES**

Antal MC, Petit-Demoulière B, Meziane H, Chambon P,
Krust A (2012) Estrogen dependent activation function of ERβ is essential for the sexual behavior of mouse females. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109:19822-19827.

Chakraborty T, Shibata Y, Zhou LY, Katsu Y, Iguchi T, Nagahama Y (2011) Differential expression of three estrogen receptor subtype mRNAs in gonads and liver from embryos to adults of the medaka, *Oryzias latipes*. Mol Cell Endocrinol 333:47-54.

Cho YS, Lee SY, Kim YK, Kim DS, Nam YK (2011) Functional ability of cytoskeletal β-actin regulator to drive constitutive and ubiquitous expression of a fluorescent reporter throughout the life cycle of transgenic marine medaka *Oryzias dancena*. Transgenic Res 20:1333-1355.

Cho YS, Kim DS, Nam YK (2013) Characterization of estrogen-responsive transgenic marine medaka *Oryzias dancena* germlines harboring red fluorescent protein gene under the control by endogenous choriogenin H promoter. Transgenic Res 22:501-517.

Evans RM (1988) The steroid and thyroid hormone receptor superfamily. Science 240:889-895.

Glass CK, Rosenfeld MG (2000) The coregulator exchange in transcriptional functions of nuclear receptors. Genes Dev 14:121-141.

Haldosén LA, Zhao C, Dahlman-Wright K (2014) Estrogen receptor beta in breast cancer. Mol Cell Endocrinol 382:665-672.

Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM (1992) The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences. Comput Appl Biosci 8:275-282.

Jung DJ, Lee SK, Lee JW (2001) Agonist-dependent repression mediated by mutant estrogen receptor alpha that lacks the activation function 2 core domain. J Biol Chem 276:37280-37283.

Kayo D, Zempo B, Tomihara S, Oka Y, Kanda S (2019) Gene knockout analysis reveals essentiality of estrogen receptor β1 (Esr2a) for female reproduction in medaka. Sci Rep 9:8868.

Kim HK, Kim JH, Baek HJ, Kwon JY (2016) Gene expression of aromatases, steroid receptor, GnRH and GTHs in the brain during the formation of ovarian cavity in red spotted grouper, *Epinephelus akaara*. Dev Reprod 20:367-377.

Lange IG, Hartel A, Meyer HHD (2002) Evolution of oestrogen functions in vertebrates. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 83:219-226.

Lee Pow CSD, Yost EE, Aday DD, Kullman SW (2016) Sharing the roles: An assessment of Japanese medaka estrogen receptors in vitellogenin induction. Environ Sci Technol 50:8886-8895.

Maeng S, Jung Y, Choi E, Jeon JK, Kim S, Gen K, Sohn YC (2005) Expression of gonadotropin subunit genes following 4-nonylphenol exposure in masu salmon: Effects on transcript levels and promoter activities via estrogen receptor alpha. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 142:383-390.

Mu WJ, Wen HS, Shi D, Yang YP (2013) Molecular cloning and expression analysis of estrogen receptor betas (ERβ1 and ERβ2) during gonad development in the Korean rockfish, *Sebastes schlegeli*. Gene 523:39-49.

Nagler JJ, Cavileer T, Sullivan J, Cyr DG, Rexroad C (2007) The complete nuclear estrogen receptor family in the rainbow trout: Discovery of the novel ERalpha2 and both ERβ isoforms. Gene 392:164-173.

Nagler JJ, Cavileer TD, Verducci JS, Schultz IR, Hook SE, Hayton WL (2012) Estrogen receptor mRNA expression patterns in the liver and ovary of female rainbow trout over a complete reproductive cycle. Gen Comp Endocrinol 178:556-561.

Nelson ER, Habibi HR (2013) Estrogen receptor function and regulation in fish and other vertebrates. Gen Comp Endocrinol 192:15-24.

Ogawa S, Inoue S, Watanabe T, Hiroi H, Orimo A, Hosoi T, Ouchi Y, Muramatsu M (1998) The complete primary structure of human estrogen receptor beta (hER beta)
and its heterodimerization with ER alpha in vivo and in vitro. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 243:122-126.

Rasier G, Toppari J, Parent AS, Bourguignon JP (2006)
Female sexual maturation and reproduction after prepubertal exposure to estrogens and endocrine disrupting chemicals: A review of rodent and human data. Mol Cell Endocrinol 254-255:187-201.

Sabo-Attwood T, Kroll KJ, Denslow ND (2004) Differential expression of largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) estrogen receptor isotypes alpha, beta, and gamma by estradiol. Mol Cell Endocrinol 218:107-118.

Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ (2008) Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T) method. Nat Protoc 3:1101-1108.

Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol 30:2725-2729.

Thomas C, Gustafsson JA (2011) The different roles of ER subtypes in cancer biology and therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 11:597-608.

Webb P, Valentine C, Nguyen P, Price RH, Marimuthu A, West BL, Baxter JD, Kushner PJ (2003) ERbeta binds N-CoR in the presence of estrogens via an LXXLL-like motif in the N-CoR C-terminus. Nucl Recept 1:4.

Zavacki AM, Lehmann JM, Seol W, Willson TM, Kliewer SA, Moore DD (1997) Activation of the orphan receptor RIP14 by retinoids. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:7909-7914.

Zhao C, Dahlman-Wright K, Gustafsson JA (2008) Estrogen receptor beta: An overview and update. Nucl Recept Signal 6:e003.