Cubic–quartic optical soliton perturbation and modulation instability analysis in polarization-controlled fibers for Fokas–Lenells equation
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Abstract. The objective of this study is to investigate miscellaneous wave structures for perturbed Fokas–Lenells equation (FLE) with cubic-quartic dispersion in polarization-preserving fibers. Based on the improved projective Riccati equations method, various types of soliton solutions such as bright soliton, combo dark–bright soliton, singular soliton and combo singular soliton are constructed. Additionally, a set of periodic singular waves are also retrieved. The dynamical behaviors of some obtained solutions are depicted to provide a key to understanding the physics of the model. The modulation instability of the FLE is reported by employing the linear stability analysis which shows that all solutions are stable.
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1 Introduction

Recently, nonlinear optics has become one of the important fields of science that have wide range of physical and engineering applications. The significance of this field has been enhanced since the appearance of optical fiber as a common type of optical waveguide that transmits light and signals over longe distances [1, 2]. Further to this, the continuous theoretical and experimental research works confirm that optical fiber has potential influences on developing photonic and optoelectronic devices [3–6]. One of the diagnostic tools to examine the physical properties of optical fiber is the optical pulses. The controllable interaction of dispersion and nonlinearity of the pulse propagation leads to the formation of stable and undistorted pulses known as soliton. There are several mathematical models that study the dynamic of soliton in optical fibers. One of these models that is accounted as a generalized form of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation is the Fokas–Lenells equation (FLE). In literature, FLE is dealt with by many authors to obtain exact solutions by utilizing various powerful techniques. The employed integration schemes in the previous studies are Sine-Gordon expansion method, Riccati equation method, mapping method, trial equation method, Kudryashov’s method, semi-inverse variational principle, modified simple equation method, Laplace-Adomian decomposition method, auxiliary equation method and many others. For more details, readers are referred to references [7–18].

Soliton propagation along an optical fiber can be subject to the low count of chromatic dispersion (CD) which severely affects the transmission process. To overcome this effect, a variety of novel techniques have recently been proposed. One of the most popular technologies employed in the research studies is based on adding another form of dispersion such as Bragg gratings dispersion, pure–cubic dispersion, etc. Such dispersion can be artificially introduced into the optical fiber to counteract the chromatic dispersion of the fiber. Modulation instability is one of the key phenomena that can occur in such dispersion-loaded fibers. This effect can lead to the formation of multiple solitons or a cascade of solitons, known as “soliton–soliton interaction”.
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dispersion, pure quartic dispersion, cubic-quartic dispersion and many others. For example, the combination of fourth-order dispersion (4OD) and third-order dispersion (3OD) terms can completely compensate for low CD and gives rise to creation of the so-called cubic–quartic (CQ) solitons, see the references [19–24]. Later, the model of FLE is developed to include 4OD and 3OD terms and that means CQ solitons can be constructed in polarization preserving fibers [25–27]. The current study mainly discusses CQ-FLE with perturbation terms of Hamiltonian type. The proposed model takes the form

\[ i\Psi_t + ia \Psi_{xxx} + b \Psi_{xxxx} + |\Psi|^2(c \Psi + id \Psi_x) = i(2\psi_x + \lambda(|\Psi|^{2n}) \Psi + \mu(|\Psi|^{2n}) \psi), \]

where \( \Psi(x, t) \) is a complex-valued function representing optical soliton profile. The independent variables \( x \) and \( t \) denote the distance along the fiber and the elapsed time, respectively. The first term indicates the time evolution while the terms with \( a \) and \( b \) account for the third- and fourth-order dispersions. The nonlinear influence has the form of Kerr law and is given by the coefficient of \( c \). The term with \( d \) is the coefficient of nonlinear dispersion. On the right-hand side of equation (1), the perturbation terms with \( \lambda, \mu \) are defined as inter-modal dispersion, self-steepening effect and higher-order dispersion, respectively. The parameter \( n \) represents the full nonlinearity effect and \( i = \sqrt{-1} \).

The model (1) is investigated with the help of the improved projective Riccati equations method [28, 29] to derive distinct exact solutions. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the suggested scheme. Section 3 demonstrates how the FLE is reduced to a simple form using the traveling wave transformation. In Section 4, various solution expressions illustrating different wave structures are extracted. In Section 5, the modulation instability by means of standard linear stability analysis is examined. Section 6 displays the remarks and discussion of the obtained results. Finally, our conclusion is given in Section 7.

2 Elucidation of scheme

Herein, we present the process of applying the improved projective Riccati equations method as follows. Consider a nonlinear evolution equation (NLEE) in the form

\[ P(u, u_t, u_{xx}, u_{xxt}, u_{t}, u_{xxx} \ldots ) = 0, \]

where \( u = u(x, t) \) is an unknown function and \( P \) is a polynomial in \( u \) and its various partial derivatives.

Based on the traveling wave transformation given by

\[ u(x, t) = U(\xi), \quad \xi = x - ct, \]

the NLEE (2) reduces to a nonlinear ordinary differential equation (NLODE) of the form

\[ Q(U, U', U'', U''' \ldots ) = 0, \]

where prime denotes the derivative with respect to \( \xi \).

We assume that equation (4) has a solution in the form of a finite series as

\[ U(\xi) = a_0 + b_0 f(\xi) g(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ a_j f^j(\xi) + b_j g^j(\xi) \right], \]

where \( a_0, b_0, (j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m) \) are constants to be determined. The parameter \( m \) is a positive integer which can be identified by balancing the highest order derivative term with the nonlinear term in equation (4).

The variables \( f(\xi) \) and \( g(\xi) \) satisfy the following improved projective Riccati equations

\[ f'(\xi) = \delta Ag^2(\xi), \quad g'(\xi) = -Af(\xi) g(\xi) - \frac{B}{A} \frac{g(\xi)}{g(\xi)} (R - Bf(\xi)), \]

where \( A, B \) and \( R \) are arbitrary constants and \( \delta = \pm 1 \). The third equation in the system (6), which gives the relation between the functions \( f(\xi) \) and \( g(\xi) \), represents the first integral of the couple ODEs in this system.

The set of equations (6) is found to possess solutions in the form

\[ f_1(\xi) = \frac{R \tanh(R \xi)}{A + B \tanh(R \xi)}, \quad g_1(\xi) = \frac{R \sech(R \xi)}{A + B \tanh(R \xi)}; \]

which implies \( \delta = 1 \), and

\[ f_2(\xi) = \frac{R \coth(R \xi)}{A + B \coth(R \xi)}, \quad g_2(\xi) = \frac{R \sech(R \xi)}{A + B \coth(R \xi)}, \]

provided that \( \delta = -1 \).

Substituting (5) along with (6) into equation (4) gives a polynomial in \( f^i(\xi) \) and \( f'\xi g(\xi) \). Then, we equate each coefficient of \( f^i(\xi) \) and \( f'\xi g(\xi) \) in this polynomial to zero to get a set of algebraic equations for \( a_0, b_0 \). Finally, solving this system of equations, we obtain various exact solutions of equation (2) according to (7) and (8).

3 Traveling wave reduction of the model

Now, we aim to reduce the complex form of the model (1) to an NLODE with a view to deriving the optical soliton solutions. Therefore, we assume the traveling wave transformation of the form

\[ \Psi(x, t) = \psi(\xi) e^{i\phi(x, t)}, \]

where \( \psi(\xi) \) accounts for the amplitude of the soliton while \( \phi(x, y, t) \) denotes the phase component. The wave variable \( \xi \) is given by

\[ \xi = x - vt, \]

and the function \( \phi(x, y, t) \) is introduced as

\[ \phi(x, y, t) = -\kappa x + \omega t + \theta, \]
where the parameters \( v, \kappa, \omega \) and \( \theta \) represent the soliton velocity, frequency, wave number and phase constant, respectively.

Substituting (8) into equation (1) leads to a couple of equations for real and imaginary parts given, respectively, as

\[
(3ak - 6bk^2)\psi'' - (\omega + 2ak + 3bk^3)\psi' + (c + dk - \lambda k)\psi^2 + \psi = 0, \tag{12}
\]

\[
(a - 4ak)\psi'' - (\omega + 3ak^2 - 4bk^3)\psi' + d\psi^2 + \psi' = 0, \tag{13}
\]

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to \( \zeta \).

The system of equations (12) and (13) is reduced to

\[
(3ak - 6bk^2)\psi'' - (\omega + 2ak + 3bk^3)\psi' + (c + dk - \lambda k)\psi = 0, \tag{14}
\]

with the expression for the velocity of the soliton presented as

\[
v = -\omega - 8bk^3, \tag{15}
\]

under the constraints

\[
n = 1, \tag{16}
\]

\[
a = 4bk, \tag{17}
\]

\[
d - 2\mu - 3\lambda = 0. \tag{18}
\]

4 Solutions of the model

Now, we embark on deriving the solutions of the perturbed CQ-FLE through implementing the improved projective Riccati equations method stated in Section 2. The proposed technique is basically used to handle equation (14) and then its obtained solutions are plugged into the transformation (9) so as to extract the optical solitons of the governing model.

According to the series formula given in (5) and the balance between the terms \( \psi'' \) and \( \psi^3 \) in equation (14), this leads to \( m = 2 \). Hence, the general solution form of equation (14) reads

\[
\psi(\zeta) = a_0 + b_0 f(\zeta) g(\zeta) + \sum_{j=1}^{2} [a_j f(\zeta) + b_j g(\zeta)]. \tag{19}
\]

Substituting (19) together with equations (6) into equation (14) gives rise to an equation having different powers of \( f^i g^j \). Collecting all the terms with the same power of \( f^i g^j \) together and equating each coefficient to zero, yields a set of algebraic equations. Solving these equations simultaneously leads to the following results.

**Case 1.**

\[
a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = b_2 = 0, \quad b_0 = \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{30b(A^2 - B^2)^3}{A^2(c + dk - \lambda k)}}.
\]

\[
b_1 = \frac{b_0 RB}{A^2 - B^2}, \quad \omega = -\omega - 24, \quad R = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}k}. \tag{20}
\]

Inserting (20) in accompany with (7) into (19) yields

\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm 2R^2 \sqrt{\frac{30b(A^2 - B^2)}{c + dk - \lambda k}} \times \frac{A \tanh(R\zeta) \sec h(R\zeta) + B \sec h(R\zeta)}{(A + B \tanh(R\zeta))^2} e^{\phi(x,t)}, \tag{21}
\]

where \( b(A^2 - B^2)(c + dk - \lambda k) > 0, \quad \zeta = x + (\omega + 8bk^3)t \) and \( \phi(x, t) = -\omega x - \left( \omega - 24 \right) t + \theta \).

**Case 2.**

\[
\begin{align*}
 a_0 &= \frac{3a_2k^2}{10(A^2 - B^2)}, \\
 a_1 &= \frac{2a_2 RB}{A^2 - B^2}, \\
 a_2 &= \pm \sqrt{\frac{30b}{A^2} \left( \frac{c + dk - \lambda k}{A} \right)^2} \\
 \omega &= -\omega - \frac{219}{25} 24, \quad R = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}k}. \tag{22}
\end{align*}
\]

Plugging (22) along with (7) into (19) brings about

\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm 2(R^2 - B^2)R^2 \sqrt{\frac{30b}{c + dk - \lambda k}} \times \frac{\sec h(R\zeta)}{(A + B \tanh(R\zeta))^2} e^{\phi(x,t)}, \tag{23}
\]

where \( b(c + dk - \lambda k) < 0, \quad \zeta = x + (\omega + 8bk^3)t \) and \( \phi(x, t) = -\omega x - \left( \omega + 18bR^2k^2 - 6 \right) t + \theta \).

**Case 3.**

\[
\begin{align*}
 a_1 &= a_2 = b_0 = b_1 = 0, \\
 a_0 &= -\frac{b_2(10R^2 + 3k^2)}{30(A^2 - B^2)}, \\
 b_2 &= \pm 2(A^2 - B^2) \sqrt{\frac{30b}{c + dk - \lambda k}}. \\
 \omega &= -\omega - 18bR^2k^2 - 6 \frac{b}{5} 24, \quad 40R^4 - 30R^2k^2 + 9k^4 = 0. \tag{24}
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting (24) together with (7) into (19) generates

\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{30b}{c + dk - \lambda k}} \times \left\{ \frac{10R^2 + 3k^2}{15} - \frac{2(A^2 - B^2)R^2 \sec h^2(R\zeta)}{(A + B \tanh(R\zeta))^2} \right\} e^{\phi(x,t)}, \tag{25}
\]
where $b(c + dk - \lambda k) < 0$, $\xi = x + (x + 8bk^3)t$ and $\phi(x, t) = -\kappa x - \left(\frac{2}{5}bk^4 + \frac{2}{5}bk^4\right)t + \theta$.

### Case 4.

$$b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = \frac{3a_2k^2}{10(A^2 - B^2)}; \quad a_1 = \frac{2a_2RB}{A^2 - B^2};$$

$$a_2 = \pm \frac{2(A^2 - B^2)^2}{A^2} \sqrt{-\frac{30b}{c + dk - \kappa k}}, \quad \omega = -\frac{219}{25}bk^4;$$

$$R = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{3}{10}k}. \tag{26}$$

Putting (26) in addition to (7) into (19) gives us the soliton solution (23).

### Case 5.

$$a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = 0, \quad b_0 = \pm \frac{b_2\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}{A},$$

$$b_1 = \pm \frac{b_2\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}{A(A^2 - B^2)}, \quad b_2 = \pm \left(\sqrt{\frac{30b}{c + dk - \kappa k}}\right);$$

$$\omega = -\frac{219}{25}bk^4; \quad R = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{6}{5}k}. \tag{27}$$

Plugging (27) and (7) into (19) leads to

$$\Psi(x, t) = \pm R^2 \sqrt{\frac{30b(A^2 - B^2)}{c + dk - \kappa k}} \times \frac{\text{sech}(R\xi) \left[ B + A \tanh(R\xi) \pm \sqrt{B^2 - A^2 \text{sech}(R\xi)} \right]}{(A + B \tanh(R\xi))^2} e^{\phi(x, t)}, \tag{28}$$

where $b(c + dk - \lambda k) < 0$, $A^2 < B^2$, $\xi = x + (x + 8bk^3)t$ and $\phi(x, t) = -\kappa x - \left(\frac{2}{5}bk^4 + \frac{2}{5}bk^4\right)t + \theta$.

### Case 6.

$$b_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = \frac{6bk^2}{5A\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}; \quad a_1 = \frac{2b_2RB\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}{A(A^2 - B^2)};$$

$$a_2 = \pm \frac{b_2\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}{A}, \quad b_1 = \frac{b_2RB}{A^2 - B^2};$$

$$b_0 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{30b(A^2 - B^2)^3}{A^2(c + dk - \lambda k)}}; \quad \omega = -\frac{219}{25}bk^4; \tag{29}$$

$$R = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{6}{5}k}.$$

Substituting (29) in accompany with (7) into (19) produces the soliton solution (28).

### Case 7.

$$b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = -\frac{a_2(20R^2A^2 - 30R^2B^2 - 3A^2\kappa^2)}{30(A^2 - B^2)^2};$$

$$a_1 = \frac{2a_2RB}{A^2 - B^2}; \quad a_2 = \pm \frac{2(A^2 - B^2)^2}{A^2} \sqrt{-\frac{30b}{c + dk - \kappa k}};$$

$$\omega = -\frac{219}{25}bk^4, \quad 40R^2 - 30R^2k^2 + 9k^4 = 0. \tag{30}$$

Inserting (30) along with (7) into (19), we secure

$$\Psi(x, t) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{30b}{c + dk - \kappa k}} \left[ \frac{20A^2R^2 - 3A^2k^2 - 30B^2R^2}{15A^2} \right. \right.$$\left. $$- \frac{2(A^2 - B^2)R \tanh(R\xi) \left\{ (A^2 + B^2) \tanh(R\xi) + 2AB \right\}}{A^2(A + B \tanh(R\xi))^2} \right] e^{\phi(x, t)}, \tag{31}$$

where $b(c + dk - \lambda k) < 0$, $\xi = x + (x + 8bk^3)t$ and $\phi(x, t) = -\kappa x - \left(\frac{2}{5}bk^4 + \frac{2}{5}bk^4\right)t + \theta$.

### Case 8.

$$a_1 = a_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = -\frac{b_2(5R^2 + 6k^2)}{30(A^2 - B^2)}; \quad b_0 = \pm \frac{b_2\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}{A};$$

$$b_1 = \pm \frac{b_2RB\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}{A(A^2 - B^2)}; \quad b_2 = \pm (A^2 - B^2) \sqrt{-\frac{30b}{c + dk - \kappa k}};$$

$$\omega = -\frac{219}{25}bk^4; \quad 5R^4 - 15R^2k^2 + 18k^4 = 0. \tag{32}$$

Substituting (32) as well as (7) into (19) provides the soliton solution

$$\Psi(x, t) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{30b}{c + dk - \kappa k}} \left[ \frac{5R^2 + 6k^2}{30} \right. \right.$$\left. $$\pm \frac{R^2\sqrt{B^2 - A^2} \text{sech}(R\xi) \{ B + A \tanh(R\xi) \}}{(A + B \tanh(R\xi))^2} \right] e^{\phi(x, t)}, \tag{33}$$

where $b(c + dk - \lambda k) < 0, A^2 < B^2$, $\xi = x + (x + 8bk^3)t$ and $\phi(x, t) = -\kappa x - \left(\frac{2}{5}bk^4 + \frac{2}{5}bk^4\right)t + \theta$.

### Case 9.

$$b_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = \frac{b_0(25R^2A^2 - 30R^2B^2 - 6A^2k^2)}{30A(A^2 - B^2)\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}};$$

$$a_1 = \pm \frac{2b_0RB\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}{A(A^2 - B^2)}; \quad a_2 = \pm \frac{b_0\sqrt{B^2 - A^2}}{A},$$
Inserting (36) along with (7) into (19) yields

$$\omega = -2k - \frac{9}{2} b R^2 k^2 - \frac{6}{5} b k^4, \quad 5 R^2 - 15 R^2 k^2 + 18 k^4 = 0.$$  

(34)

Substituting (34) together with (7) into (19) creates

$$\Psi(x, t) = \pm \sqrt{- \frac{30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}} \left\{ \frac{25 A^2 R^2 - 6 A^2 k^2 - 30 B^2 R^2}{30 A^2} \right. \\
\pm \frac{R^2 A^2 \sqrt{B^2 - A^2 \tanh(R\xi)[B + A \tanh(R\xi)]}}{A^2 (A + B \tanh(R\xi))^2} \\
\left. \left[ 2 A B + (A^2 + B^2) \tanh(R\xi) \right] \right\} e^{\phi(x, t)},$$

(35)

where $b(c + d k - \lambda k) < 0$, $A^2 < B^2$, $\xi = x + (x + 8 b k^3) t$ and $\phi(x, t) = -k x - \left( 9 x + \frac{9}{2} b R^2 k^2 + \frac{6}{5} b k^4 \right) t + \theta$.

**Set II.** If $\delta = -1$, then the following cases of solutions in the hyperbolic cosecant and cotangent functions are retrieved.

**Case 1.**

$$a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = b_2 = 0, \quad b_0 = \pm 2 \sqrt{- \frac{30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}}$$

$$b_1 = \frac{b_0 R B}{A^2 - B^2}, \quad \omega = -2k + \frac{24}{25} b k^4, \quad R = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{5} k}.$$  

(36)

Inserting (36) along with (7) into (19) yields

$$\Psi(x, t) = \pm 2 R^2 \sqrt{\frac{-30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}} \frac{A \coth(R\xi) \csch(R\xi) + B \csch(R\xi)}{(A + B \coth(R\xi))^2} \frac{e^{\phi(x, t)}}{e^{\phi(x, t)}},$$

(37)

where $b(A^2 - B^2)(c + d k - \lambda k) < 0$, $\xi = x + (x + 8 b k^3) t$ and $\phi(x, t) = -k x - \left( 24 b R^2 k^2 + \frac{6}{5} b k^4 \right) t + \theta$.

**Case 2.**

$$b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = \frac{3 a_2 k^2}{10 (A^2 - B^2)},$$

$$a_1 = \frac{2 a_2 R B}{A^2 - B^2}, \quad a_2 = \pm \frac{2 (A^2 - B^2)^2}{A^2} \sqrt{- \frac{30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}},$$

$$\omega = -2k - \frac{219}{25} b k^4, \quad R = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{10} k}.$$  

(38)

Plugging (38) in addition to (7) into (19) brings about

$$\Psi(x, t) =$$

$$\pm 2 (A^2 - B^2) R^2 \sqrt{\frac{-30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}} \frac{\csc h^2(R\xi)}{(A + B \coth(R\xi))^2} e^{\phi(x, t)},$$

(39)

where $b(c + d k - \lambda k) < 0$, $\xi = x + (x + 8 b k^3) t$ and $\phi(x, t) = -k x - \left( 219 b R^2 k^2 + \frac{6}{5} b k^4 \right) t + \theta$.

**Case 3.**

$$a_1 = a_2 = b_0 = b_1 = 0, \quad a_0 = \frac{b_2 (10 R^2 + 3 k^2)}{30 (A^2 - B^2)},$$

$$b_2 = \pm 2 (A^2 - B^2) \sqrt{- \frac{30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}},$$

$$\omega = -2k - 18 b R^2 k^2 - \frac{6}{5} b k^4, \quad 40 R^4 - 30 R^2 k^2 + 9 k^4 = 0.$$  

(40)

Substituting (40) and (7) into (19) generates

$$\Psi(x, t) = \pm \sqrt{- \frac{30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}} \frac{10 R^2 + 3 k^2}{15} + \frac{2 (A^2 - B^2)^2 R \csch(R\xi)}{(A + B \coth(R\xi))^2} e^{\phi(x, t)},$$

(41)

where $b(c + d k - \lambda k) < 0$, $\xi = x + (x + 8 b k^3) t$ and $\phi(x, t) = -k x - \left( 219 b R^2 k^2 + \frac{6}{5} b k^4 \right) t + \theta$.

**Case 4.**

$$b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = \frac{3 a_2 k^2}{10 (A^2 - B^2)}, \quad a_1 = \frac{2 a_2 R B}{A^2 - B^2},$$

$$a_2 = \pm \frac{2 (A^2 - B^2)^2}{A^2} \sqrt{- \frac{30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}},$$

$$\omega = -2k - \frac{219}{25} b k^4, \quad R = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{10} k}.$$  

(42)

Putting (42) as well as (7) into (19) gives us the soliton solution (23).

**Case 5.**

$$a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = 0, \quad b_0 = \pm \frac{b_2 \sqrt{A^2 - B^2}}{A},$$

$$b_1 = \pm \frac{b_2 R B \sqrt{A^2 - B^2}}{A (A^2 - B^2)}, \quad b_2 = \pm \frac{2 (A^2 - B^2)}{A^2} \sqrt{- \frac{30b}{c + d k - \lambda k}},$$

$$\omega = -2k - \frac{219}{25} b k^4, \quad R = \pm \sqrt{\frac{6}{5} k}.$$  

(43)
Plugging (43) along with (7) into (19) results in
\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm R^2 \sqrt{-\frac{30b(A^2 - B^2)}{c + dk - \lambda \kappa}} \times \frac{\cosh(R\xi) \left[ B + A \coth(R\xi) \pm \sqrt{A^2 - B^2 \cosh(R\xi)} \right]}{(A + B \coth(R\xi))^2} \times e^{\phi(x, t)},
\]
where \( b(c + dk - \lambda \kappa) < 0, A^2 > B^2, \xi = x + (x + 8b^2k^2)t \) and \( \phi(x, t) = -\kappa x - (2\kappa - \frac{219}{25}b^2k^4)t + \theta \).

**Case 6.**
\[
b_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = \frac{6b_0k^2}{5A^2 - B^2}, \quad a_1 = \pm \frac{2b_0RB \sqrt{A^2 - B^2}}{A(A^2 - B^2)}, \quad a_2 = \pm \frac{b_0 \sqrt{A^2 - B^2}}{A}, \quad b_1 = b_0 \frac{RB}{A^2 - B^2}, \quad b_2 = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{30b(A^2 - B^2)}{A^2(c + dk - \lambda \kappa)}}, \omega = -2\kappa - \frac{219}{25}b^2k^4, \quad R = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{6}{5}b \kappa}.
\]
(44)

Substituting (45) in accompany with (7) into (19) provides the soliton solution
\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{30b}{c + dk - \lambda \kappa}} \times \frac{\left\{ 5R^2 + 6\kappa^2 \right\}}{30} \pm \frac{R^2 \sqrt{A^2 - B^2} \cosh(R\xi)}{A + B \coth(R\xi)} [B + A \coth(R\xi)]
\]
\[
\times \left( \frac{R^2(A^2 - B^2) \cosh(R\xi)}{(A + B \coth(R\xi))^2} \right) \times e^{\phi(x, t)},
\]
(49)

where \( b(c + dk - \lambda \kappa) < 0, A^2 > B^2, \xi = x + (x + 8b^2k^2)t \) and \( \phi(x, t) = -\kappa x - (2\kappa - \frac{9}{2}b^2R^2k^2 - \frac{6}{5}b^4) t + \theta \).

**Case 8.**
\[
a_1 = a_2 = 0, \quad a_0 = \frac{b_2(5R^2 + 6\kappa^2)}{30(A^2 - B^2)}, \quad b_0 = \pm \frac{b_2 \sqrt{A^2 - B^2}}{R}, \quad b_1 = \pm \frac{b_2 RB \sqrt{A^2 - B^2}}{A(A^2 - B^2)}, \quad b_2 = \pm (A^2 - B^2) \sqrt{-\frac{30b}{c + dk - \lambda \kappa}}, \omega = -2\kappa - \frac{9}{2}b^2R^2k^2 - \frac{6}{5}b^4, \quad 5R^4 - 15R^2k^2 + 18k^4 = 0.
\]
(48)

Inserting (46) and (7) into (19), we come by
\[
\Psi(x, t) = \sqrt{-\frac{30b}{c + dk - \lambda \kappa}} \times \left\{ \frac{2A^2R^2 - 3A^2\kappa^2 - 30B^2R^2}{15A^2} \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{2(A^2 - B^2) \cosh(R\xi) \left\{ (A^2 + B^2) \coth(R\xi) + 2AB \right\}}{A^2(A + B \coth(R\xi))^2} \right\} e^{\phi(x, t)},
\]
(47)

where \( b(c + dk - \lambda \kappa) < 0, \xi = x + (x + 8b^2k^2)t \) and \( \phi(x, t) = -\kappa x - (2\kappa - \frac{18b^2R^2k^2 + \frac{6}{5}b^4}) t + \theta \).
where \( b(c + dk - \lambda k) < 0\), \( A^2 > B^2\), \( \xi = x + (\alpha + 8b_k^2)t\) and \( \phi(x, t) = -\lambda x - \left(2k + \frac{9}{2}bR^2 k^2 + \frac{6}{5}b_k^4\right)t + \theta\).

Interestingly it can be noticed that the complex values of the constant \( R \) in some solutions obtained above generate periodic type solutions and then the amplitude function of these solutions may be complex. However, the complex-valued amplitude for some of these solutions can be converted into real value. For example, the periodic solution (23) has the form

\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm \frac{3k^2(A^2 - B^2)}{5} \times \sqrt{\frac{-30b}{c + dk - \lambda k}} \frac{\sec^2(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)}{\left(A + iB \tan(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)\right)^2} e^{i\phi(x, t)}.
\]

(52)

Since \( B \) is an arbitrary constant, it can be assumed as \( B = i\Gamma \), where \( \Gamma \) is a real constant. Thus, solution (52) becomes

\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm \frac{3k^2(A^2 + \Gamma^2)}{5} \times \sqrt{\frac{-30b}{c + dk - \lambda k}} \frac{\sec^2(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)}{\left(A - \Gamma \tan(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)\right)^2} e^{i\phi(x, t)}.
\]

(53)

Similarly, the periodic solution (28) given by

\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm \frac{6k^2}{5} \sqrt{\frac{30b(A^2 - B^2)}{c + dk - \lambda k}} \frac{\sec(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)}{\left[B + iA \tan(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx) \pm \sqrt{B^2 - A^2 \sec^2(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)\right]}} \left(A + iB \tan(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)\right)^2 e^{i\phi(x, t)},
\]

(54)

takes \( A = i\Upsilon \), into

\[
\Psi(x, t) = \pm \frac{6k^2}{5} \sqrt{\frac{30b(\Upsilon^2 + B^2)}{c + dk - \lambda k}} \frac{\sec(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)}{\left[\Upsilon - B \tan(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx) \pm \sqrt{\Upsilon^2 - B^2 \sec^2(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)\right]}} \left[\Upsilon + B \tan(\sqrt{\frac{A}{30}} kx)\right]^2 e^{i\phi(x, t)},
\]

(55)

where \( \Upsilon \) is a real constant. Consequently, the same technique can be used to the rest of periodic solutions to handle a real value for the amplitude of periodic waves.

### 5 Modulation instability analysis

In this section, the modulation instability of the perturbed Fokas–Lenells equation (1) is studied by means of the standard linear stability analysis.

Consider that equation (1) has the perturbed steady-state solution in the form

\[
u(x, t) = \left[\sqrt{P} + U(x, t)\right] e^{i\phi(t)},
\]

(56)

where \( P \) is the normalized optical power while \( U(x, t) \) is a small perturbation and \( U \ll P \). The perturbation \( U(x, t) \) is examined by utilizing linear stability analysis. Inserting equation (56) into equation (1) and linearizing, one can reach

\[
i \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + cP(U + U^*) + \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial x^3} + i\left[\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} - \lambda(n + 1) P^n - \mu P^n \right] \frac{\partial U^*}{\partial x} = 0,
\]

(57)

where \( * \) denotes the conjugate of the complex function \( U(x, t) \). Assuming that the solution of equation (57) in the form

\[
U(x, t) = \beta e^{i(Kx - \Omega t)} + \gamma e^{-i(Kx - \Omega t)} + \nu,
\]

(58)

where \( K \) and \( \Omega \) are the normalized wave number and frequency of perturbation, respectively. Substituting ansatz (58) into equation (57), we find a couple of equations in \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) by splitting the coefficients of \( \{i(Kx - \Omega t)\} \) and \( \{-i(Kx - \Omega t)\} \) presented as

\[
\begin{align*}
[n(\lambda + \mu)(\beta + \gamma) + \lambda\beta]K P^n + [bK^4 + aK^3] \\
+ (dP - \lambda)K + cP + \Omega\beta + cP\gamma \& = 0, \\
[n(\lambda + \mu)(\beta + \gamma) + \lambda\gamma]K P^n - [bK^4 + aK^3] \\
+ (dP - \lambda)K + cP - \Omega\gamma - cP\beta \& = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(59)

The system of equations (59) can be written in the matrix form for the coefficients of \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \). The determinant of this matrix leads to the dispersion relation in the form

\[
\Omega^2 + \chi_1 \Omega + \chi_2 K^2 + \chi_3 K^4 + a^2 K^6 - b^2 K^8 = 0,
\]

(60)

where the constants \( \chi_1, \chi_2 \) and \( \chi_4 \) are given as

\[
\chi_1 = 2\left\{[(n(\lambda + \mu) + \lambda)P^n - (dP - \lambda)]K + aK^3\right\},
\]

(61)

\[
\chi_2 = 2\left(\alpha P^n + \lambda P^{2n} - dP^{n+1}\right)(n(\lambda + \mu) + \lambda) - \lambda^2 P^{2n} + (dP - \lambda)^2,
\]

(62)

\[
\chi_4 = 2\left[(x - dP)a - bcP + a(n(\lambda + \mu) + \lambda)P^n\right].
\]

(63)

The dispersion relation has the solution given as

\[
\Omega = \left[\sqrt{P - \alpha - aK^2 - (n(\lambda + \mu) + \lambda)P^n}
\pm \sqrt{b^2 K^6 + 2bcPK^2 + n^2(\lambda + \mu)^2 P^{2n}\left|K\right.}\right].
\]

(64)
This expression determines the steady-state stability that depends on the fourth-order dispersion, nonlinear influence, self-steepening effect, higher-order dispersion and wave number. It is clearly seen that the value of frequency $X$ is real for all values of $K$ and hence the steady state is stable against small perturbations. Figure 1 shows the graph of dispersion relation.

6 Results and discussion

The implemented mathematical tools in terms of the improved projective Riccati equations have led to abundant exact solutions for the perturbed FLE model. All derived solutions are entirely new and different than the ones found in the literatures. Comparing the results obtained here with the corresponding results extracted in the previous studies, it is found that all solutions retrieved in [27] by using the sine–Gordon equation integration scheme can be deduced in this work when $B = 0$. The created traveling wave solutions include various wave structures such as bright soliton, combo dark–bright soliton, singular soliton, combo singular soliton and periodic waves.

To throw light on the dynamical behaviors of cubic–quartic optical solitons and other waves in polarization-preserving fibers, the graphical representations for some of the constructed exact solutions are presented. Wave structures are displayed in 2D and 3D plots by selecting suitable values of the model parameters. Figure 2 illustrates the evolution of soliton solution (21), where the wave profile shows an M-shaped (two-hump) soliton. The graph in Figure 3 demonstrates periodic singular wave of solution (23). Moreover, Figure 4 presents the plot of solution (25) that describes the bright soliton wave. In Figure 5, the graph of
solution (28) depicts the structure of periodic bright soliton train. Additionally, it is clear from Figure 6 that the evolution of solution (31) characterizes the profile of W-shaped wave (dark-dark soliton).

7 Conclusion

The present work focused on investigating distinct forms of exact solutions for cubic–quartic Fokas–Lenells equation with Hamiltonian perturbation terms in polarization-preserving fibers. The study is carried out with the aid of the improved projective Riccati equations. The implemented approach enables us to find different wave structures including bright soliton, combo dark–bright soliton, singular soliton and combo singular soliton. Besides, the periodic singular waves are also recovered as a byproduct of executing solution method. The behaviors of some derived solutions are illustrated graphically to pave the way for understanding the physics of the model. Further to this, the stability of the retrieved solutions have been diagnosed by utilizing the linear stability analysis. The modulation instability of the perturbed FLE is discussed and confirms that all extracted solutions are stable. Overall, the proposed algorithm is rich in various solutions which are entirely new and can be exploited in the physical and engineering applications of fiber optics.
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