Structural and aggregation behavior of the human γD-crystallin mutant E107A, associated with congenital nuclear cataract
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Purpose: To analyze the conformational features and aggregation properties of the mutant protein E107A human γD-crystallin (HGDC), associated with congenital nuclear cataract.

Methods: cDNAs of wild type and E107A mutant were cloned and expressed in BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells and the proteins isolated and purified. The conformational properties and structural stability of the two proteins were compared using circular dichroism and fluorescence spectroscopic analysis. His-tagged cDNAs of the two proteins were transfected into HLE-3B human lens epithelial cells, and into HeLa cells and their in situ aggregation properties compared using immunofluorescence.

Results: The mutant protein was found to be remarkably similar in its secondary and tertiary structural features to the wild type. Its structural stability, analyzed by guanidinium chloride-induced denaturation, was also found to be similar. Its solubility, however, was over hundred-fold less than that of the wild type, and it had the tendency to precipitate and form light scattering particles. That it had the tendency to self-aggregate was noticed by using bis-ANS and Nile Red as extrinsic fluorescent probes. Such aggregation was also seen in situ when transfected and expressed in HLE-3B and in HeLa cell lines.

Conclusions: E107A HGDC is yet another example of how a point mutation in the protein does not affect its conformation and stability but leads to substantial reduction in solubility and generation of light scattering aggregate particles in vitro and in situ when introduced into cell lines.

Unlike age related cataract, which has multiple etiologies, congenital cataract is essentially due to mutations in genes (barring those that occur due to infections in utero). While over 34 mutations in a variety of genes (the crystallins, gap junction proteins, some enzymes) are known to be associated with congenital cataracts [1], the ones involving human (γ- crystallin) have attracted particular attention for detailed study. This is because γ-crystallins are monomeric proteins, and the structures of several of these are known both in solution and in the crystal state, thus making it possible to attempt protein-structure-based correlation with cataract formation. And among them, human γD-crystallin (HGDC) has been studied in some detail since more than a dozen mutations in this protein are associated with congenital cataract [2]. The molecular anatomy of HGDC has been studied in detail [3,4], and correlations between structural perturbations of some mutants and the resultant loss of solubility, phase separation and lens opacification have been made in some detail [5-7].

We focus our attention here on the mutation E107A of HGDC, which is reported to be associated with congenital nuclear cataract [8]. Our study suggests that this single replacement of glutamic acid in the COOH-terminal domain of this double-domain protein by the neutral apolar alanine leads to self-aggregation, forming light scattering particles both in the test tube and when transfected into cell lines. E107A is yet another mutant of HGDC where the secondary and tertiary structures are much the same as the wild type molecule, and yet change in a single residue leads to precipitation and light scattering.

METHODS

Cloning of wild type and mutant human γD-crystallin (HGDC) constructs: Human cadaveric eye lens from a recently deceased person was collected from the Ramayamma International Eye Bank of our Institute, after due ethical and scientific approval from the Institutional review Board, and total RNA was isolated from it using Trizol reagent. The first strand was synthesized by RT–PCR using oligo-dT primer and Superscript III reverse transcriptase. HGDC cDNA was amplified from the first strand using forward primer with Nde I restriction site and reverse primer with Hind III restriction site. The amplified wild-type HGDC cDNA was cloned into a Smal digested pBSK(+) vector. The recombinant clones were confirmed by PCR and restriction digestion. Wild-type
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cDNA was released from the pBSK(+) vector by restriction digestion with NdeI and HindIII. The released cDNA was sub-cloned into the NdeI and HindIII sites of the pET-21-a vector. E107A mutant clones were generated from the pET-21-a HGDC template by site directed mutagenesis using Phusion DNA polymerase. The amplification conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step at 98 °C for 30 s, followed by 16 cycles of denaturation, annealing and extension at 98 °C (10 s), 55 °C (30 s), 72 °C (3 min), respectively, with a final extension step at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR product was digested with Dpn I for 1 hr and transformed into DH5α and the plasmids were isolated.

**Generation of His-tagged wild type and mutant HGDC constructs:** His-tagged wild-type HGDC was amplified from the pET21-a-γD using Phusion DNA polymerase using forward primer having EcoR1 restriction site, and reverse primer having codons for His tag and XhoI restriction site and cloned into the EcoR1 and XhoI sites of the pCDNA3.1(+) vector by the above-mentioned method. His-tagged E107A HGDC clone was generated from the pCDNA3.1(+) γD template by site-directed mutagenesis using Phusion DNA polymerase and the above mentioned method. The sequences of the wild-type and mutant clones were verified by sequencing using an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer, with T7 forward, T7 reverse and BGH reverse primers. The primers used for cloning and sequencing are listed in Table 1.

**Overexpression of recombinant proteins:** The recombinant constructs pET21-a-γD wild-type and pET21-a-γDE107A were transformed into BL21(DE3) pLysS cells. The cultures were grown at 37 °C in the presence of ampicillin and chloramphenicol to an absorbance value of 0.6 at 600 nm. Expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-D-galactopyranoside, and the cultures were grown for an additional 4 h. Cells were pelleted down from 2 l culture by centrifugation at 5,850×g for 20 min at 4 °C and were suspended in 40 ml of Lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 20 μg/ml aprotinin). Sonication was done for 40×30 s with 30 s intervals at 35% amplitude using a high intensity ultrasonic processor (Sonics Vibra Cell; Sonics & Materials Inc., Newton, MA). The cell lysate was centrifuged at 20,300×g at 4 °C for 20 min.

**Purification of the proteins:** The supernatant was subjected to ammonium sulfate fractionation at 20%, 30%, and 60% concentrations. All the fractions were loaded onto a 15% SDS–PAGE gel and monitored for the presence of the recombinant protein. The fraction containing the recombinant protein (30%–60%) was taken and excess salt removed by dialysis using 50 mM Tris-Cl buffer pH 8.3 and chromatographed using an Q-Sepharose (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO) ion-exchange column. The Q-Sepharose column was equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-Cl buffer, pH 8.3, and the (30%–60%) protein fraction was loaded on the column and eluted using a salt gradient of 0–1 M KCl. OD 280 was noted and the fractions were checked by SDS–PAGE. The fractions containing the required protein were pooled, concentrated using an Amicon stirred ultrafiltration cell with a 3 kDa cut off membrane. The purity of the proteins was assessed by the appearance of a single band on SDS–PAGE.

**Spectroscopic analysis of recombinant proteins:** Intrinsic fluorescence spectra were recorded at room temperature using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-2500; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) using excitation at 295 nm and emission from 300 to 400 nm. 

**Table 1. List of primers used for cloning and sequencing.**

| Clone            | Primer                  | Primer sequence                                      |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| pET21-a-γD       | Forward                 | 5′TCCCATATGGGAAGATCACCCTCTACGAG3′                    |
|                  | Reverse                 | 5′GCAAGCTTATCGAGAAATCTATGACTCT3′                     |
| pET21-a-γDE107A  | Forward                 | 5′gatagagttcactgctgcatgtcctcagggacccg3′             |
| & pCDNA3.1(+)γD  | Reverse                 | 5′GACAGGAGACGAGTCGCGAAGTGCATCCTATCATCTGGGCTC3′       |
| pCDNA3.1(+)γD    | Reverse                 | 5′CGCTCGAGTTAATGATGATGATGATGAGGAGAATCTATGACTCCTCAG3′|
| T7               | Forward                 | 5′TAATACGACTCAGATAGG3′                               |
| T7               | Reverse                 | 5′TATGCTAGTTATGCTCAG3′                               |
| BGH              | Reverse                 | 5′TGAAGGCAAGCCTCAGGAGG3′                             |
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Yokohama, Japan), and the spectra recorded in the range of 300 to 400 nm using an excitation wavelength of 295 nm, with 2.5 nm excitation and emission slits. The protein concentrations used were 10 μM (0.2 mg/ml) in MOPS buffer, pH 7.3. Extrinsic fluorescence spectra of proteins were recorded at room temperature using two surface hydrophobicity reporter probes, namely 4, 4’-dianilino-1,1’-binaphthyl-5,5’-disulfonate or bis-ANS, and 9-diethylamino-5H-benzo[alpha]phenoxazin-5-one or Nile Red. With bis-ANS, the spectra were recorded in the range of 400 to 600 nm, with the excitation wavelength at 395 nm, with 2.5 nm slits. With Nile Red, the excitation was at 540 nm and the emission recorded between 565 and 700 nm, using 10 nm slits. The protein concentrations used, in each case, were 10 μM (0.2 mg/ml) in MOPS buffer, pH 7.3.

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded using a dichrograph instrument (J-715; Jasco, Easton, MD) at room temperature. Far-ultraviolet (UV) CD spectra (250–200 nm) were recorded with 0.2-cm path length quartz cells and the near-UV CD spectra (320–250 nm) were recorded with 1 cm path length quartz cells. Three scans of each spectrum were averaged, smoothed, and baselines of the buffer alone were subtracted. The protein concentration used for determining the far-UV spectra was 10 μM (0.2 mg/ml) in MOPS buffer, pH 7.3 and for near-UV spectra, it was 50 μM (1.0 mg/ml) in MOPS buffer, pH 7.3.

**Cell culture and transfections:** HLE-3B and HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Sigma Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone; Thermo-Fischer, Fischer Scientific, Mumbai, India) in a 5% humidified CO₂ incubator at 37 °C. One day before transfection, 75,000 cells were seeded on a 22 mm
The two curves are essentially superimposable, suggesting that the replacement of Glu by Ala in the molecule does not affect the backbone conformation of the protein chain in any significant manner. Figure 1B, which compares the near-UV CD spectra, reveals that the tertiary structure of the protein changes in a minor fashion (slight reduction in the residue molar ellipticity) upon the replacement of Glu by Ala. Figure 2A compares the intrinsic fluorescence spectra of the two molecules. Wild-type HGDC emits with a band maximum at 327.5 nm and intensity of 78.5 (arbitrary units), while E107A emits at 329.5 nm with an intensity of 76.2 units. Apparently, replacement of an anionic charge in the side chain of residue 107 by a nonpolar neutral moiety appears to have changed the microenvironment of the Trp and Tyr residues only in a minor manner.

We next studied the stability of the proteins by thermal denaturation. HGDC is known to be a very stable protein that does not denature even upon heating to over 75 °C [9], but starts precipitating at about that temperature [10]. Unfortunately, the mutant E107A starts precipitating at even lower temperatures (and also far sooner than the wild type, when heated up to 65 °C). Indeed, we found the solubility of the mutant to be far lower than that of the wild type, <4 mg/ml cf 400 mg/ml, respectively. We then studied the chemical denaturation patterns of the two using the denaturant guanidine hydrochloride at room temperature. Figure 2B reveals that the denaturation profiles of the two are essentially the same, as monitored by the change in the intrinsic fluorescence of the protein, from 327 nm in the native form to 350 nm upon denaturation. Both molecules show a sharp loss of conformation beyond 2.8 M of guanidine hydrochloride.

In an effort to investigate the changes that might have occurred in the surface exposure of residues upon mutation, and the associated loss of aqueous solubility of the protein, we used the extrinsic reporters bis-ANS and Nile Red. Figure 3A shows that upon binding to E107A, the emission intensity of bis-ANS increases over twofold in comparison to when it is bound to the wild type, indicating the mutant to have a higher extent of surface hydrophobicity. The second probe, Nile Red, known to be a sensitive detector of protein aggregates [11,12], also showed over twofold increase in its emission intensity upon binding to the mutant (Figure 3B), in comparison with the wild type. It thus appears that the mutant has a tendency to self-aggregate and precipitate.

While the structural analysis was done on the untagged (“pure”) wild-type and mutant proteins, we have conducted
Figure 4. Confocal microscopic images of HLE-3B and HeLa cells transfected with His- tagged pcDNA(3.1+)/γDWT and pcDNA(3.1+)/γDE107A constructs. Wild-type and mutant proteins were probed with anti-his antibody (raised in mouse), and FITC conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody. 

A, D, G, J: This panel set represents the protein visualized using FITC alone. 
B, E, H, K: This panel set is a visualization of the cells using propidium iodide as the nuclear dye. 
C, F, I, L: This panel set shows merged signals. Notice that the mutant shows punctate particles in both HLE-3B and HeLa cells, while the wild-type molecule does not. The signals were magnified 630×.
the transfection experiments using His-tagged ones. This was done to differentiate the transfected protein from any native indigenously expressed HGDC in the host cell. Figure 4 shows the confocal microscopic images of human lens epithelial cells (HLE-3B cell line) transfected with the His-tagged cDNAs of wild-type and mutant HGDC, and visualized as described in the Methods section. While cells transfected with the wild-type cDNA are clear, those with the E107A mutant show light scattering particles, indicative of in situ aggregation of the mutant molecules. Quantitative analysis of the intensity of FITC fluorescence and the area of the spot covered, for both the wild-type and mutant protein spots (Figure 4A,D,G,J), using the Image J software [13], revealed the following. In the case of the wild type, the intensity was 16.04 arbitrary units and the area occupied 15,850 μm², while with E107A it was 45.75 units and area 7,835 μm², respectively. The mutant occupies less area, suggesting clumping and aggregation (and higher intensity from a smaller area). Similar results were obtained when we used HeLa cells (which do not express γ-crystallins [14]) rather than HLE-3B. This behavior of the E107A mutant is similar to that of W156X HGDC [15], V76D HGDC [16], and T5P γC-crystallin [17]. It was not possible for us at this point in time to determine whether these are self-aggregates or involve other proteins.

**DISCUSSION**

Replacing the anionic charged glu residue at position 107 in the COOH-terminal domain of HGDC by the nonpolar ala is expected to change the isoelectric point of the protein (from pl 7.0 of the wild type to pl 7.64 in the mutant, estimated as per [18]). Yet this change does not affect the chain conformation or the structural stability of the protein, but reduces its solubility 100-fold. In displaying this behavior, E107A is remarkably reminiscent of some other point mutants of HGDC. In particular, P23T (and its synthetic variants) was studied in considerable and comprehensive detail by the Pandes [5-7,19] and Jung et al. [20], and shows how alteration in a single side chain can have subtle but telling effects. This tendency is reflected when the protein is introduced in cell lines as well, as seen in Figure 4, with the His-tagged E107A.

While discussing the results of the in situ experiments in Figure 4, a point to be considered is whether His-tagging affects the structure and solubility of the protein. We chose to use His-tagging rather than the more common fluorescent proteins GFP, CFP, or RFP, since the latter adds more than 250 residues to the test protein (HGDC, with 178 residues), while the addition of 6 residues of His is relatively more benign. In addition, it has been shown that His-tagging has no effect on protein structure or conformation [21]. In comparison, a recent report suggests that GFP tagging of superoxide dismutase (SOD1) alters some physicochemical and fibrillation properties of the latter, though not affecting its cellular localization [22].

The mutant E107A is thus another example of the family of HGDC, besides P23T, R58H, and V76D [5-7,15], which shows how a point mutation that does not affect the secondary or tertiary structure of the protein can still generate changes in the self-aggregation behavior of the protein, ultimately leading to reduced solubility and light scattering. That it does so upon introduction in situ into cells is of some relevance to its association with cataractogenesis.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank Dr. Venu Talla for his advice and support; Dr. Yogendra Sharma and Rajeev Raman of the Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hyderabad, India, for their readily help and advice with the protein purification experiments; Professor G. Krishnamoorthy for a sample of Nile Red; and the Department of Biotechnology, Hyderabad Eye Research Foundation, and Champalimaud Foundation for financial support.

**REFERENCES**

1. Vanita V, Singh JR, Singh D, Varon R, Sperling K. Novel mutation in the γ-S crystallin gene causing autosomal dominant cataract. Mol Vis 2009; 15:476-81. [PMID: 19262743]
2. Roshan M, Vijaya PH, Lavanya GR, Shama PK, Santhiya ST, Graw J, Gopinath PM, Satyamoorthy K. A novel human CRYD mutation in juvenile autosomal dominant cataract. Mol Vis 2010; 16:887-96. [PMID: 20508808]
3. Das P, King JA, Zhou R. β-Strand interactions at the domain interface critical for the stability of human lens γD-crystallin. Protein Sci 2010; 19:131-40. [PMID: 19937657]
4. Kosinski-Collins MS, King J. In vitro unfolding, refolding, and polymerization of human gamma D crystallin, a protein involved in cataract formation. Protein Sci 2003; 12:480-90. [PMID: 12592018]
5. Pande A, Ghosh KS, Banerjee PR, Pande J. Increase in surface hydrophobicity of the cataract-associated P23T mutant of human γD-crystallin is responsible for its dramatically lower, retrograde solubility. Biochemistry 2010; 49:6122-9. [PMID: 20553008]
6. Pande A, Zhang J, Banerjee PR, Puttamadappa SS, Shekhtman A, Pande J. NMR study of the cataract-linked P23T mutant of human gamma D-crystallin shows minor changes in hydrophobic patches that reflect its retrograde solubility. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2009; 382:196-9. [PMID: 19275895]
7. McManus JJ, Lomakin A, Ogun O, Pande A, Basam M, Pande J, Benedek JB. Altered phase diagram due to a single point mutation in human γD-crystallin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104:16856-61. [PMID: 17923670]
8. Messina-Baas OM, Gonzalez-Huerta LM, Cuevas-Covarrubias SA. Two affected siblings with nuclear cataract associated with a novel missense mutation in the CRYGD gene. Mol Vis 2006; 12:995-1000. [PMID: 16943771]
9. Mills IA, Flugh SL, Kosinski-Collins MS, King JA. Folding and stability of the isolated Greek key domains of the long-lived human lens proteins γD-crystallin and γS-crystallin. Protein Sci 2007; 16:2427-44. [PMID: 17905830]
10. Mandal K, Kono M, Bose SK, Thomson J, Chakrabarti B. Structure and stability of gamma-crystallins, IV: aggregation and structural destabilization in photosensitized reactions. Photochem Photobiol 1988; 47:583-91. [PMID: 3406121]

11. Sutter M, Oliviera S, Sanders NN, Lucas B, van Hoek A, Hink MA, Visser AJ, De Smedt SC, Hennink WE, Jiskoot W. Sensitive spectroscopic detection of large and denatured protein aggregates in solution by use of the fluorescent dye Nile Red. J Fluoresc 2007; 17:181-92. [PMID: 17294134]

12. Demeule B, Gurny R, Arvinte T. Detection and characterization of protein aggregates by fluorescence microscopy. Int J Pharm 2007; 329:37-45. [PMID: 17005340]

13. Liu B-F, Song S, Hanson M, Liang JJ-N. Protein-protein interactions involving congenital cataract T5P γC-crystallin mutant: a confocal fluorescence microscopy study. Exp Eye Res 2008; 87:515-20. [PMID: 18926820]

15. Talla V, Srinivasan N, Balasubramanian D. Visualization of in situ intracellular aggregation of two cataract-associated human γ-crystallin mutants: lose a tail, lose transparency. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008; 49:3483-90. [PMID: 18421082]

16. Wang K, Cheng C, Li L, Liu H, Huang Q, Xia CH, Yao K, Sun P, Horwitz J, Gong X. γD-Crystallin-associated protein aggregation and lens fiber cell denucleation. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007; 48:3719-28. [PMID: 17652744]

17. Pigaga V, Quinlan RA. Lenticular chaperones suppress the aggregation of the cataract-causing mutant T5P γC-crystallin. Exp Cell Res 2006; 312:51-62. [PMID: 16303126]

18. ExPASy (Expert Protein Analysis System) proteomics server-ProtParam tool. <www.expasy.ch/tools/protparam.html.

19. Pande A, Annunziata O, Asherie N, Ogun O, Benedek GB, Pande J. Decrease in protein solubility and cataract formation caused by the Pro23 to Thr mutation in human gamma D-crystallin. Biochemistry 2005; 44:2491-500. [PMID: 15709761]

20. Jung J, Byeon IJ, Wang Y, King J, Gronenborn AM. The structure of the cataract-causing P23T mutant of human gamma D-crystallin exhibits distinctive local conformational and dynamic changes. Biochemistry 2009; 48:2597-609. [PMID: 19216553]

21. Carson M, Johnson DH, McDonald H, Brouillette C, DeLucas LJ. His-tag impact on structure. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 2007; 63:295-301. [PMID: 17327666]

22. Stevens JC, Chia R, Hendriks WT, Bros-Faser V, van Minnen J, Martin JE, Jackson GS, Greensmith L, Schiavo G, Fisher EM. Modification of superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) properties by a GFP tag-implications for research into amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). PLoS ONE 2010; 5:e9541. [PMID: 20221404]