INTRODUCTION

A good restoration can resemble the original anatomical shape of a tooth, which is known as biomimetic restoration. Restoration can be done with both direct and indirect method. The contact, contour and the occlusion is well controlled and achieved in the laboratory in indirect method while the direct restorations pose challenges in achieving the same intra-orally. It requires skilled dexterity to achieve precise anatomy as that of natural tooth and is time consuming and technique sensitive.

Dr. Waseem Riaz introduced the new ‘stamp technique’ to overcome the problem of anatomic formation for direct composite restoration that could obtain dental occlusal topography appropriately. It has also been reported for vertical bite reconstruction of worn out dentitions.

Stamp is like an index, which is the mini impression made by flowable composite or putty before cavity preparation. This stamp copy the original unprepared tooth structure, thus replicates the original anatomy of the tooth structure. Before final curing, the obtained stamp is then pressed against the final composite increment to achieve a positive replica of the pre-operative anatomy. The preoperative occlusal morphology provides an ideal index for replication of esthetic along with proper function & has become a norm in modern dentistry for patients seeking esthetic restoration even for the posterior teeth. However, such replication technique is dependent on intact occlusal morphology and only useful for restoring carious teeth with intact occlusal anatomy or hidden caries.

CASE REPORT

Case 1

A 24 year female patient reported to the department of Conservative dentistry and Endodontics complaining of mild sensitivity to cold in lower right back tooth region. An oral examination revealed Class I caries on tooth 47 (Figure 1a). After thorough examination, it was decided to restore 47 using the stamp technique with putty (Figure 1b). After making the index, tooth was isolated with rubber dam (Figure 1c), a cavity was prepared (Figure 1d) followed by etching with 37% orthophosphoric acid (Figure 1e) and bonding with one coat bond SL (Figure 1f). After placing the last increment of composite (3M Filtek Supreme), the index was placed back on the teeth to replicate the previous anatomy (Figure 1g). After
removing the index, the excess composite was removed and cured (Figure 1h) and finishing and polishing done by using Soflex Spiral Wheel [3M-ESPE] (Figure 1i). This case utilized a single shade of composite.

DISCUSSION

In the past few decades, the occurrence of dental caries is reduced. The efficient use of fluorides is considered as the contributing factor in reduction of caries incidence. Evidence also suggests that fluoride temporarily hides cavities by causing only surface remineralization which “covers up” underlying cavities. This phenomenon has been identified as the ‘fluoride bombs’ and indicates the direct relationship of fluoride utilization with the increasing resistance of the enamel surface. Despite the decreasing prevalence of tooth decay and the need for direct restorations, dentin caries lesions (“hidden caries”) is a frequent finding in individuals.

Restoring a complex occlusal morphology of posterior teeth is tremendously difficult when direct composite resin restorative materials are use. Time required for finishing and polishing the composite restoration is double as compared to other restoration. The new stamp technique, an alternative placement technique of composite restoration has been introduced to overcome these problems. The preoperative case selection with preserved anatomy of pit and fissure caries is important aspect for success of the treatment. The stamp technique consists of fabricating an occlusal matrix that mimics the natural occlusal anatomy of posterior teeth, before preparing cavity and the matrix is then placed against the final composite increment before curing it. The pressure exerted by the stamp on the composite resin decreases the formation of microbubbles as well as interference of oxygen in the curing of the last layer which are considered for long-term success factors.

Geena Mary et al concluded microbrush stamp technique is an easy to follow procedure to effectively and efficiently recreate occlusal topography in teeth with almost intact occlusal anatomy. Alexy Murashkin proposed different stamp technique and concluded it to be a convenient, favorable and biomimetic procedure. Pompeu et al presented a case report on occlusal stamp technique and concluded that this technique is effective for restoration in posterior tooth with hidden caries and extensive dentin involvement.

The stamp technique is a fast procedure with decreased chair side time in recreating occlusal anatomy and also in polishing and finishing. Since the matrix replicates the occlusal anatomy, no manual contouring is required; there is no need of special instruments for contouring the restoration, also the material
consumption is minimum. This technique cannot be used in all cases; grossly carious teeth cannot be restored and pre-restoration evaluation must be done to ensure normal occlusion. Furthermore the cost of the material used for making impression is also expensive. However, other cost-effective materials could be considered for stamp as pit and fissure sealants, poly methyl methacrylate, pattern resin, gingival dam material, vacuum formed template, and bite registration material.

**CONCLUSION**

Using the stamp technique, we obtain fast, simple and predictable integration of the new restoration in the occlusal anatomy and function. When the operator is skilled, this technique is a favorable and convenient procedure.
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