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ABSTRACT

Cosmic reionization is thought to be primarily fueled by the first generations of galaxies. We examine their stellar and gaseous properties, focusing on the star formation rates and the escape of ionizing photons, as a function of halo mass, redshift, and environment using the full suite of the Renaissance Simulations with an eye to provide better inputs to global reionization simulations. This suite probes overdense, average, and underdense regions of the universe of several hundred comoving Mpc\(^3\), each yielding a sample of over 3000 halos in the mass range of \(10^7\)–\(10^{15} M_\odot\). At their final redshifts of 15, 12.5, and 8, respectively. In the process, we simulate the effects of radiative and supernova feedback from 5000 to 10,000 Population III stars in each simulation. We find that halos as small as \(10^8 M_\odot\) are able to host bursty star formation due to metal-line cooling from earlier enrichment by massive Population III stars. Using our large sample, we find that the galaxy-halo occupation fraction drops from unity at virial masses above \(10^{9.5} M_\odot\) to \(\sim 50\%\) at \(10^9 M_\odot\) and \(\sim 10\%\) at \(10^{9.5} M_\odot\), quite independent of redshift and region. Their average ionizing escape fraction is \(\sim 5\%\) in the mass range of \(10^8\)–\(10^9 M_\odot\) and increases with decreasing halo mass below this range, reaching \(40\%\)–\(60\%\) at \(10^7 M_\odot\). Interestingly, we find that the escape fraction varies between 10\%–20\% in halos with virial masses of \(\sim 3 \times 10^8 M_\odot\). Taken together, our results confirm the importance of the smallest galaxies as sources of ionizing radiation contributing to the reionization of the universe.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is believed that low-mass galaxies at \(z \gtrsim 6\) are the primary sources of hydrogen ionizing photons to complete reionization. These galaxies naturally have low signal-to-noise ratios with current telescopes because they are distant and intrinsically dim. Nevertheless, recent observational campaigns have provided valuable constraints on the nature of the first galaxies and their role during reionization. The Hubble Ultra Deep Field (HUDF) 2009 and 2012 campaigns (Ellis et al. 2013) can probe galaxies with rest-frame UV magnitude as low as approximately \(-18\) at \(z \gtrsim 7\) and as distant as \(z \approx 11\) (McLure et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2012; Coe et al. 2013; Finkelstein et al. 2015; Oesch et al. 2016).

It is clear that UV ionizing photons from these observed “bright galaxies” are not enough to fully ionize the universe by \(z = 6\) (Robertson et al. 2013), as implied by quasar observations (Fan et al. 2006), thus fainter galaxies and other ionizing sources (e.g., accreting black holes) are needed to provide the remaining ionizing photons. Robertson et al. (2015), by extrapolating the UV luminosity function (LF) with a steep faint-end slope \(< -2\) (e.g., Bouwens et al. 2011, 2015; McLure et al. 2013), have shown that the LF must extend to \(M_{UV} \sim -13\) to be consistent with the integrated Thomson optical depth \(\tau_{\text{es}} = 0.066 \pm 0.012\) measured by the Planck satellite (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015). Galaxies with such magnitudes have stellar masses as small as \(10^8 M_\odot\) in halos with masses \(M \sim 10^8 M_\odot\), providing sufficient UV radiation to complete and maintain reionization. Furthermore, the uncertainty in \(\tau_{\text{es}}\) allows for some contribution from star formation occurring in minihalos with masses of \(M \lesssim 10^8 M_\odot\) (Ahn et al. 2012; Salvadori et al. 2014).

This unseen population of even fainter and, perhaps, more abundant galaxies will eventually be detected by next-generation telescopes such as the James Webb Space Telescope (launch date 2018; Gardner et al. 2006) and 30-meter class ground-based telescopes. However, the growth of these small galaxies can be complicated by feedback from both metal-free (Population III; Pop III) and metal-enriched stars, where H II regions and supernovae (SNe) can drive outflows larger than the escape velocity of their host halo (Kitayama et al. 2004; Whalen et al. 2004, 2008; Kitayama & Yoshida 2005; Abel et al. 2007), leaving behind a gas-poor halo that only recovers by cosmological accretion after tens of megayears (Wise & Abel 2008b; Greif et al. 2010; Wise et al. 2012b; Muratov et al. 2013; Jeon et al. 2014). On the other hand, these SNe also pre-enrich the gas that ultimately assembles the first galaxies to \(10^{-4} - 10^{-3} Z_\odot\) (Bromm et al. 2003; Karlsson et al. 2008; Wise & Abel 2008b; Greif et al. 2010; Wise et al. 2012b). Prior to cosmological reionization, galaxies can then form in DM halos as small as \(10^7 M_\odot\). Low-mass (\(v_e = \sqrt{GM_{vir}/R_{vir}} \lesssim 30\) km s\(^{-1}\)) galaxies may provide \(\approx 40\%\) of the ionizing photons to reionization, eventually becoming photo-suppressed as reionization ensues (Wise et al. 2014). A small fraction (1\%–15\%) of these first galaxies may survive
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until the present day (Gnedin & Kravtsov 2006), and ultra-faint dwarf galaxies discovered in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey that surround the Milky Way could be the fossils of this subset of the first galaxies, providing a way to estimate the abundance of dwarf galaxies during the epoch of reionization (Bullock et al. 2000; Salvadori & Ferrara 2009; Bovill & Ricotti 2011; Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2014; Weisz et al. 2014; Wheeler et al. 2015).

Provided that there is sufficient star formation during reionization, the next question is the fraction of ionizing photons, \( f_{\text{esc}} \), that can escape their host halos into the intergalactic medium (IGM). This quantity is difficult to measure both observationally and theoretically. It is nearly impossible to detect Lyman-continuum (LyC) emission at \( z > 4 \) because Lyman limit systems become much more abundant with increasing redshift (Inoue & Iwata 2008). Detecting LyC emission only becomes feasible at \( z \sim 3 \) when the IGM optical depth is around unity. Deep narrow-band galaxy spectroscopy and imaging have detected LyC emission in numerous \( z < 3 \) galaxies with \( f_{\text{esc}} \) values ranging from an upper limit of 7\%--9\% for bright galaxies (Siana et al. 2015) to 10\%--30\% for fainter LyC emitters (Nestor et al. 2013) and 33 \pm 7\% for “Lyman-continuum galaxies” (Cooke et al. 2014). However, these observations are susceptible to foreground contamination from lower redshift galaxies in the same line of sight (e.g., Vanzella et al. 2012; Siana et al. 2015; Grazian et al. 2016).

Simulations have suggested that the escape fraction is on the order of a few percent for halos with masses \( \geq 10^{11} \, M_\odot \) (Dove et al. 2000; Razoumov & Sommer-Larsen 2007; Gnedin 2008; Yajima et al. 2011). Conversely, recent post-processing results from the EAGLE simulation (Schaye et al. 2015) estimated that \( f_{\text{esc}} \) is on the order of 10\%--20\% in galaxies with star formation rates SFR \( \geq 1 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \) and increases with SFR (Sharma et al. 2016). By redshift 6, they found that galaxies with \( M_{\text{UV}} < -18 \) may provide half of the photon budget to the global ionizing emissivity. If lower mass galaxies have similar escape fractions before reionization, there are not enough ionizing photons that can escape galaxies to reionize the universe by \( z = 6 \) (Gnedin 2008).

The escape fraction from small galaxies is also under debate. Wood & Loeb (2000) argued that \( f_{\text{esc}} \lesssim 0.01 \), due to the higher mean densities at high redshifts. Using idealized isolated galaxy calculations, Fujita et al. (2003) found that \( f_{\text{esc}} \lesssim 0.01 \) from dwarf starburst disk galaxies with total masses between \( 10^8 \) and \( 10^{10} \, M_\odot \), and Paardekooper et al. (2011) found similar results for high-redshift disk galaxies with total masses of \( 10^8 \) and \( 10^9 \, M_\odot \). In contrast, Ricotti & Shull (2000) found a higher escape fraction \( f_{\text{esc}} \gtrsim 0.1 \) in high-redshift halos with masses \( M \lesssim 10^8 \, M_\odot \). Such escape fraction values were then further confirmed by several numerical simulations (Wise & Cen 2009; Razoumov & Sommer-Larsen 2010; Yajima et al. 2011; Ferrara & Loeb 2013; Paardekooper et al. 2013, 2015). Wise et al. (2014), using high-resolution cosmological radiation hydrodynamics simulations of early galaxies, showed that the mean escape fraction of hydrogen ionizing photons decreases with increasing halo mass. They found that the amount of ionizing photons per unit mass escaping from a halo exhibits little evolution with a wide halo mass range, from \( 10^6 \) to \( 10^8 \, M_\odot \). They concluded that low-mass galaxies (\( M_{\text{halo}} \geq 10^5 \, M_\odot \)) may produce a significant amount of the ionizing photons escaping into the IGM at \( z \gtrsim 10 \) during cosmic reionization, suggesting that the faintest galaxies \( (M_{\text{UV}} \gtrsim -12) \) are very important in the early stage of the epoch of reionization.

However, star formation in these low-mass galaxies is suppressed as reionization progresses, and galaxies that are not susceptible to photo-evaporation \( (M_{\text{vir}} \gtrsim 10^8 \, M_\odot) \) provide the remaining ionizing radiation to complete reionization. Post-process radiative transfer calculations (Ma et al. 2015; Sharma et al. 2016) and radiation hydrodynamics simulations (Kimm & Cen 2014; Gnedin 2016) that studied this mass range found that \( f_{\text{esc}} \) is highly variable in a single galaxy and has a large scatter for a given galaxy mass, halo mass, or UV luminosity. Time-averaged \( f_{\text{esc}} \) values between 3\% and 15\% in halos with \( M_{\text{vir}} \gtrsim 10^9 \, M_\odot \), but any trends with halo mass or redshift either weak or inconsistent between groups. Further complicating the issue, runaway and binary stars may boost \( f_{\text{esc}} \) values by a few percent (Conroy & Kratter 2012; Kimm & Cen 2014; Ma et al. 2016).

A major caveat in the work of Wise et al. is that the simulation volume of 1 comoving Mpc\(^3\) is small and has no large-scale variance in cosmological density distribution. There are only 32 dwarf galaxies at the final redshift, and the simulation does not capture galaxies forming in halos more massive than \( 10^9 \, M_\odot \). So, in their paper, they combined the data at different redshifts to statistically evaluate the galaxy properties of star formation and escape fraction by assuming that these properties are independent of redshift and environment.

In this work, we characterize the abundance and escape fraction of ionizing radiation from faint galaxies before cosmic reionization utilizing a suite of zoom-in cosmological radiation hydrodynamics simulations, named the Renaissance Simulations, that survey three regions with varying large-scale overdensities. These quantities are extremely important in understanding the progression of reionization. Each simulation in this work improves the statistics of the first galaxy properties in Wise et al. (2014) by a factor of \( \sim 100 \). We validate their scheme to combine data from different times, confirm their results in different environments, and extend the analysis to slightly more massive galaxies. In addition to elucidating the ionizing photon budget during cosmic reionization, our work can provide valuable constraints on the process of reionization. We first describe our simulation setup in Section 2. Then, in Section 3, we present results on the overall baryonic galaxy properties, on how reionization initially proceeds, and on the similarity of galaxy properties in different large-scale environments. We then describe the method used to calculate ionizing escape fractions in each galaxy and present the variations of this fraction in Section 4. Last, we discuss and conclude our findings in Section 5.

2. SIMULATIONS

We present results from the Renaissance Simulations, a suite of zoom-in calculations that focus on high-redshift \( (z \gtrsim 8) \) galaxy formation and the ensuing reionization, which were originally presented in O’Shea et al. (2015). Each simulation encompasses a different large-scale environment with a comoving volume of \( \sim 200 \, \text{Mpc}^3 \) and includes metal-free and metal-enriched star formation and feedback. These simulations self-consistently capture the formation of nearly 3000 of the first generations of galaxies, and we study their surrounding environment, their baryonic properties, and the self-regulation.
of their star formation. More specifically, we focus on the photo-ionization and photo-heating of the IGM and the role of the first galaxies during reionization. We have previously presented results from the most overdense region (the “Rare Peak” simulation) in the Renaissance Simulations on the Pop III stellar distribution (Xu et al. 2013), X-rays from Pop III binaries (Xu et al. 2014), their 21 cm signal (Ahn et al. 2015), their scaling relations (Chen et al. 2014), and the galaxy LF (O’Shea et al. 2015).

2.1. Simulation Setup

We use the adaptive mesh refinement cosmological hydrodynamics code Enzo (Bryan et al. 2014), along with its adaptive ray tracing module Enzo+Moray (Wise & Abel 2011) for the transport of ionizing radiation, which is coupled to the hydrodynamics and chemistry in Enzo.

All of the Renaissance Simulations are performed in the same comoving volume of (40 Mpc)$^3$. The initial conditions for this volume are generated using MUSIC (Hahn & Abel 2011) with second-order Lagrangian perturbations at $z = 99$ using a 512$^3$ root grid resolution. We use the cosmological parameters from the seven-year WMAP $\Lambda$CDM+SZ+LENS best fit (Komatsu et al. 2011): $\Omega_M = 0.266$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.734$, $\Omega_b = 0.0449$, $h = 0.71$, $\sigma_8 = 0.81$, and $n = 0.963$.

It is computationally prohibitive to have the necessary parsec-scale spatial resolution (and accompanying mass resolution), which is required to marginally resolve star-forming molecular clouds, throughout the entire simulation volume. We perform zoom-in simulations on three selected regions, ranging from 220 to 430 comoving Mpc$^3$ with different overdensities, providing a mixture of large-scale environments. We first run a 512$^3$ N-body only simulation to $z = 6$. We then select an overdense region (“Rarepeak”), a nearly mean density region (“Normal”) and an underdense region (“Void”), which are displayed in Figure 1. The selection of the survey volume and detailed setup of the Rarepeak have been described in Xu et al. (2013), which is centered on two $3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ halos at $z = 6$ with a survey volume of $(3.8 \times 5.4 \times 6.6)$ Mpc$^3$. For both the Normal and Void runs, we select comoving volumes of $(6.0 \times 6.0 \times 6.125)$ Mpc$^3$ as the survey volumes. We then re-initialize all simulations, having the survey volume at the center, with three more static nested grids to have an effective resolution of 4096$^3$ and an effective dark matter mass resolution of $2.9 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ inside the highest static nested grid that encompasses the survey volume. During the course of the simulation, we allow a maximum refinement level of $l = 12$, resulting in a maximal resolution of 19 comoving parsecs. The refinement criteria employed are the same as in Wise et al. (2012b), refining on baryon and dark matter overdensities of four and local Jeans length by at least four cells (Truelove et al. 1998) and is restricted to the survey volumes. While the Rarepeak simulation adjusts the survey volume size during the simulation to contain only the highest resolution dark matter particles of the highest static nested grid, matter in the Normal and Void simulations is not fully contained in a large-scale potential well and have significant peculiar velocities, causing some of the high-resolution particles to migrate out of the initial static grid. Thus, we simplify the simulation setup by restricting grid refinement to occur in the initial high-resolution grid instead of its Lagrangian region. We stop the simulations of the Rarepeak, Normal, and Void regions at $z = (15, 12.5, 8)$, respectively, because of the high computational cost of the radiative transfer. The Renaissance simulations were run on the Blue Waters system at NCSA. Each simulation used approximately eight million core-hours.

2.2. Lyman–Werner Background

All of the simulations use the same chemistry, cooling, metal-free, and metal-enriched star formation, and radiative and supernova feedback models, which are fully described in Wise et al. (2012a) and Xu et al. (2013). We model the H$_2$ dissociating radiation with an optically thin, inverse square profile, centered on all metal-enriched and Pop III star particles. However, while we use the same model for Lyman-Werner (LW) radiation from stellar sources, different LW backgrounds are used in different simulations. LW radiation may significantly delay the Pop III formation in low-mass halos (Machacek et al. 2001; Wise & Abel 2007; O’Shea & Norman 2008), and thus the enrichment history and the emergence of metal-enriched stars in those halos.

The three simulations reported in the paper use three different LW background models. For the Rarepeak simulation, we use the time-dependent LW optically thin radiation background used in Wise et al. (2012b), which is based on the semi-analytical model of Wise & Abel (2005), updated with the seven-year WMAP$^5$ parameters and optical depth to Thomson scattering. This model considers the LW contributions of Pop III stars and galaxies, where the former dominates the emissivity at $z \gtrsim 12$ before becoming suppressed through H$_2$ photo-dissociation. Because of the uncertainties in the choice of the ionizing escape fraction and star-formation efficiencies in this model, we only apply it at higher redshifts ($z \gtrsim 12$) before metal-enriched stars dominate the cosmic emissivity. We use the functional form of the background evolution in Wise et al. (2012b),

$$\log_{10} J_2(z) = A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + Ez^4,$$  

(1)
where \((A, B, C, D, E) = (-2.567, 0.4562, -0.02680, 5.882 \times 10^{-4}, -5.056 \times 10^{-6})\), and \(J_{21}\) is the specific intensity in units of \(10^{-21} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}\). In the high-density region of the Rarepeak simulation, the LW radiation from local Pop III and metal-enriched star sources dominates this LW background at redshifts as early as 20.

For the Normal region, we calculate the LW background self-consistently by considering the actual evolution of sources inside the simulation box. This choice is justified by the fact that the Normal region is indeed a good representation of an average patch of the universe. We assume that sources outside the simulation box are uniformly distributed, which is a good approximation because the spatial fluctuations of the source distribution is smeared out when the sources are located very far from the observing point. Then the background \(J_{21}(z)\) is given by the following calculation (Ahn et al. 2009):

\[
J_{21}(z) = (1 + z)^3 \int_0^{r_{\text{lw}}} \frac{dE_{\text{obs}}}{1 + z} \int r_{\text{obs}}(z) f_{\text{mod}}(r_{\text{os}}) dz,
\]

where \(r_{\text{os}}(z)\) is the comoving distance traveled by a photon from the source to the observing point, and the intensity is modulated by the “picket-fence modulation factor” \(f_{\text{mod}}\) given by

\[
f_{\text{mod}} = \begin{cases} 
1.7 \exp \left[ \left( \frac{r_{\text{os}}/\text{Mpc}}{116.29\alpha} \right)^{0.68} \right] - 0.7 \\
0 & \text{if } r_{\text{os}} \leq 97.39\alpha \text{ Mpc}
\end{cases}
\]

where a scaling factor,

\[
\alpha = \left( \frac{h}{0.7} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\Omega_m}{0.27} \right)^{0.5} \left( \frac{1 + z}{21} \right)^{-0.5},
\]

defines the LW horizon \(r_{\text{lw}} \approx 97.39\alpha \text{ Mpc}\), beyond which no sources contribute to \(J_{21}\). We calculate \(r_{\text{os}}(z)\) by averaging the source luminosity inside the box as

\[
\bar{r}_{\text{os}}(z_i) = \frac{1}{4\pi L_i} \sum_i L_{\nu,i} / (2.1 \text{ eV})
\]

where \(L_{\nu,i} \equiv \int_{11.5 \text{ eV}}^{13.6 \text{ eV}} \frac{\text{d}E_{\nu,i}}{2.1 \text{ eV}}\) is the band-averaged luminosity (Schaerer 2002) of source \(i\) and \(L\) is the (proper) size of the simulation box.

Figure 2 shows the intensity of the LW background used in the simulations of the Normal region and Rarepeak in units of \(10^{-21} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}\). The background in the Rarepeak simulation is computed with Equation (1) and is higher at early times because the mass resolution is not fine enough to capture the smallest star-forming halos with masses of \(\sim 2 \times 10^5 M_\odot\) (Tegmark et al. 1997; Machacek et al. 2001). At these very high redshifts, Pop III stellar radiation dominates the emissivity, and by excluding the low-mass end, we underestimate the background intensity in the Normal region as seen in Figure 2. Nevertheless, the LW background is strong enough to delay the formation of Pop III stars in low-mass halos (<\(10^5 M_\odot\)) (O’Shea & Norman 2008), but eventually will be dominated by local sources with active stars.

The Void simulation runs the fastest because of the small amount of structure formation relative to the other two simulations, and we are able to evolve it down to \(z = 8\). From the latest Planck results (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015), the universe is roughly half ionized at this point. Because we use the Normal simulation for the self-consistent LW background, which ends at \(z = 12.5\), we do not use an LW background for the Void simulation and only consider LW radiation from internal sources. Thus, we expect higher Pop III star-formation rates that occur in smaller halos and an earlier transition to metal-enriched stars. We have re-run the Void simulation with the Wise & Abel (2005) LW background prescription and found that the impact is modest, increasing the total Pop III stellar mass in the simulation by 7% and does not affect the metal-enriched star formation rate density (SFRD; see Figure 2 in Xu et al. 2016). We will not discuss the detailed effects of the LW background on first galaxy formation and cosmic evolution in this paper, though our simulations have shown that the different LW background has some important impacts on star formations at high redshift and in low-mass halos. They do not significantly change the results of this paper on ionizing photon production and their escape fractions.

2.3. Caveats and Model Dependencies

Although the Renaissance Simulations include most of the relevant physical processes during early galaxy formation, there are still some missing physics and model dependencies, not dissimilar to other first galaxy simulations (e.g., Muratov et al. 2013; Jeon et al. 2014; Ricotti et al. 2016). Most of these shortcomings arise from subgrid modeling of star formation and feedback with the remaining dependencies originating from large-scale and limited resolution effects.

The shape and characteristic mass of the Pop III initial mass function (IMF) is weakly constrained, but it is most probably top-heavy (e.g., Abel et al. 2002; Susa et al. 2014; Hirano et al. 2015). The specific luminosity, production of metals and
can suppress Pop III star formation in the smallest minihalos that arise during recombination stellar remnants, and their multiplicity are all dependent on the IMF and the details of metal-free star formation (Schaerer 2002; Heger et al. 2003). For instance if the characteristic mass shifts from $20 M_{\odot}$ to $60 M_{\odot}$, this could favor black hole formation instead of neutrino star formation and metal enrichment. Furthermore, we do not consider X-ray radiative feedback from these stellar remnants because of the computational expense of transporting optically thin X-rays, which could alter the thermal and ionization properties of the ISM (Alvarez et al. 2009; Jeon et al. 2014), but it is not clear whether it would have an impact on the galactic properties. Massive ($10^{5}$–$10^{6} M_{\odot}$) black hole seeding and its feedback (Aykutalp et al. 2014) is also neglected; however, this process is most likely rare (e.g., Dijkstra et al. 2008; Agarwal et al. 2016) and only impacts very few high-redshift galaxies.

Metal-enriched star formation is modeled on the scale of stellar clusters with a minimum particle mass of $10^{5} M_{\odot}$. Here we assume a Salpeter IMF, which could not necessarily hold at high redshifts (Smith et al. 2009; Safranek-Shrader et al. 2016). The effects of binary stellar evolution and runaway stars, both of which can boost the UV escape fraction on the $\sim$5% level (Conroy & Kratter 2012; Kimm & Cen 2014; Ma et al. 2016), are not accounted for in the simulation. On the same topic, we take the ionizing luminosity to be the average over the 20 Myr lifetime of the star particle, whereas stellar population synthesis models show that the luminosities drop precipitously after 4 Myr. Thus, we underestimate the effects of radiative feedback, in particular from radiation pressure (Wise et al. 2012a), in the first few megayears of each star particle and overestimate the effects in the last $\sim$10 Myr.

On the large scale, relative streaming velocities ($v_{\text{rel}} \sim 30$ km s$^{-1}$ at $z \sim 1100$) between baryons and dark matter that arise during recombination (Tseliakhovich & Hirata 2010) can suppress Pop III star formation in the smallest minihalos with $M_{\text{vir}} \lesssim 10^{6} M_{\odot}$ (e.g., Greif et al. 2011; Naoz et al. 2012; O’Leary & McQuinn 2012). Albeit for computational reasons, our limited mass resolution suppresses any cooling and star formation in halos with $M_{\text{vir}} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{6} M_{\odot}$, roughly mimicking the same effect. Although we try to model the LW radiation background self-consistently, it is not applied to all of the simulations, given the nature of zoom-in simulations. This affects Pop III star formation on the 10% level (Xu et al. 2016). Lastly because zoom-in simulations do not capture star formation outside of the focus region, any radiative feedback, i.e., photo-suppression of low-mass galaxies (e.g., Efstathiou 1992; Thoul & Weinberg 1996), from such “external” sources are not included and may become important when H II regions start to overlap in the later stages of reionization.

### 3. SIMULATED GALAXY PROPERTIES

We focus on the role of the first generations of galaxies in cosmic reionization. We first explore their global properties in the three different regions of the Renaissance Simulations. We then calculate the distribution of various baryonic properties of the star-forming halos and the ensuing reionization from this galaxy population. We then describe the UV LF of these galaxies and discuss the similarities of our simulated galaxies in different survey volumes at various times. In our analysis, we only include metal-enriched stars for the following reasons. Because massive Pop III stars are short-lived, it is rare for them to exist in metal-enriched galaxies after a halo merger. Additionally, lower mass ($<8 M_{\odot}$) Pop III stars that may exist in such galaxies have a negligible contribution to the stellar mass and luminosity because of their low star formation efficiencies (e.g., Susa et al. 2014) when compared to the first galaxies (e.g., Wise et al. 2014).

#### 3.1. Early Galaxy Properties in Different Environments

We first present the global properties of the three survey volumes at the final simulation redshift $z = (15, 12.5, 8)$ for the Rarepeak, Normal, and Void regions, respectively. Table 1 summarizes the overall overdensity, halo counts, Pop III star counts, metal-enriched stellar masses, total number of ionizing photons produced, and ionized fraction. Each simulation has more than 3000 halos with masses of $M > 10^{7} M_{\odot}$ that are viable hosts for star formation during the epoch of reionization. Between 5000 and 10,000 Pop III stars form in each of the regions, leading to over $10^{8} M_{\odot}$ of metal-enriched star formation after the initial enrichment. In total, over $10^{69}$ UV ionizing photons are emitted from these stellar populations that ionize 13%, 3%, and 8% of the mass in the Void, Normal, and Rarepeak volumes at the final redshift.

Before interpreting the results from the simulations, we first check how representative these regions are of a typical patch of the universe. By construction, the Rarepeak, Normal, and Void simulations capture high-, mean-, and low-density regions, respectively. Figure 3 shows the simulated halo mass functions (HMFs) compared to the analytic mass function of Warren et al. (2006), which is calibrated by various N-body simulations and ellipsoidal collapse models of the Press–Schecter formalism (Press & Schechter 1974; Sheth & Tormen 1999). All of the HMFs reside between the Warren fits at $z = 12.5$ and 10, depicted by the shaded region in Figure 3. The Normal HMF at $z = 12.5$ fits well with the analytic fit at $z = 12.5$ between $5 \times 10^{6}$ and $10^{8} M_{\odot}$, but the volume contains some small-scale overdense modes, resulting in an overabundance of halos with $M > 10^{8} M_{\odot}$. The Rarepeak and Void HMFs have much

| Region | $z$ | $\delta p$ | $N_{\text{halo}} > 10^{7} M_{\odot}$ | $N_{\text{halo}} > 10^{6} M_{\odot}$ | $N_{\text{halo}} > 10^{5} M_{\odot}$ | $N_{\text{III}}$ | $M_{\text{III}} (M_{\odot})$ | $N_{\text{ion}}$ | $v_{w}$ | $m_{w}$ |
|--------|-----|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| Void   | 8   | 0.025     | 3263                          | 172                           | 5                             | 5110          | 6.27 $\times 10^{6}$  | 3.87 $\times 10^{69}$ | 0.117  | 0.132  |
| Normal | 12.5| 0.0927    | 3275                          | 137                           | 3                             | 6544          | 1.92 $\times 10^{6}$  | 1.20 $\times 10^{69}$ | 0.020  | 0.030  |
| Rarepeak | 15 | 0.686     | 3675                          | 174                           | 4                             | 10112         | 6.09 $\times 10^{6}$  | 2.98 $\times 10^{69}$ | 0.056  | 0.076  |

**Note.** Column (1) Name of simulation. Column (2) Redshift. Column (3) Overdensity of the region. Columns (4)–(6) Number of halos with masses larger than $10^{7}$, $10^{6}$, and $10^{5} M_{\odot}$, respectively. Column (7) Number of Pop III stars and remnants. Column (8) Metal-enriched stellar mass. Column (9) Total number of ionizing photons generated during the simulation. Columns (10) and (11) Volume-weighted and mass-weighted hydrogen ionization fraction.
higher and lower halo number densities than the analytic fit, demonstrating that they truly vary from the cosmic mean. The Void HMF is greater than the Warren fit at $z = 12.5$ in the high-mass end that is caused by a few overdense smaller scale regions, similar to the Normal region.

The abundance of galaxies is inherently connected to the HMF. Figure 4 shows the evolution of the stellar mass function (SMF) in each of the regions, illustrating the initial assembly of galaxies, starting at $z = 20$ in the Rarepeak and Normal regions and at $z = 15$ in the Void region. As time progresses, more massive galaxies form through in-situ star formation and mergers all while low-mass galaxies continue to form. Only in the Void region, the abundance of low-mass galaxies with $M_* \lesssim 10^{10} M_\odot$ stop increasing, suggesting that they are suppressed from either radiative or stellar feedback, which we will investigate more closely later in the section. At higher stellar masses, the SMFs decrease with mass, as expected. However, at any given redshift, the amplitudes in each region vary because of the differences in the underlying HMF. We compare all of the simulated SMFs in the lower right panel of Figure 4 to demonstrate the different mean assembly histories of galaxies. However, at some redshift, the SMFs will be similar between regions. Using the SMF at $z = 12.5$ in the Normal region as a basis, we find that the SMF in the Rarepeak and Void regions are the most similar at $z = 17$ and 9, respectively. The most striking difference in the Rarepeak is the overabundance at the high-mass end caused by the rapid assembly of the most massive galaxy. In the Void region, low-mass galaxies are suppressed by various forms of stellar feedback.

We show the SFRD and specific star formation rate, (sSFR = SFR/$M_*$) for all three regions in Figure 5. In each region, the different large-scale overdensities drive SFRD variations with the Rarepeak (Void) region forming stars over an order of magnitude higher (lower) than the Normal region at any given time.

The number density of halos and star formation histories of the three simulated regions are quite different. One important question to raise about simulations that probe different environments is whether these galaxies can be considered to be a single population that mainly depends on halo mass without much variation on environmental factors and redshift during the early stages of cosmic reionization. Figure 6 shows the virial mass and mass-to-light ratio as a function of their total AB magnitude at 1600 Å, $M_{1600}$. To compute the magnitude, we determine the spectral energy distribution (SED) for each galaxy with the stellar population synthesis model of Bruzual & Charlot (2003). We use the ages, masses, and metallicities of the metal-enriched star particles as input, assuming an instantaneous burst model. We do not consider any nebular emission lines in the SEDs. In galaxies with $M_{1600} \lesssim -12$, brighter galaxies are clearly hosted in larger halos. Dimmer galaxies, however, are hosted in halos with masses ranging from $3 \times 10^{10}$ to $3 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. These small and dim galaxies are usually the result of one burst of star formation that has subsequently aged. No new star formation occurred afterward because the gas supply has been disrupted by supernova and radiative feedback. The mass-to-light ratio shows a more monotonic decreasing trend with increasing luminosity, similar to those found in local dwarf galaxies (McConnachie 2012). These two trends are apparent in each of the three regions, where the overlap of the data points suggest that they can be considered as a single galaxy population that is independent of large-scale environment and redshift, given that it is before cosmic reionization. Thus, we analyze the three regions as one galaxy sample throughout the rest of the paper. We discuss this simplification further in Section 3.4 before presenting our results on the UV escape fraction.

### 3.2. Ionization of the IGM

We show projections of baryon density and hydrogen ionization fraction of the Void, Normal, and Rarepeak regions in Figures 7–9, respectively, that use the same color scales at various redshifts. The differences in the large-scale overdensities are clearly seen in the density projections. The standard reionization picture is apparent in the Void simulation, starting with isolated H II regions at higher redshifts (top row; $z = 15$). These then grow and merge, forming progressively larger volumes at later times, resulting in several $\approx c$Mpc scale H II regions at $z = 8$. In the process, any clumpiness in the IGM is diminished as they are photo-heated (e.g., Pawlik et al. 2009). In the Void simulation, a significant fraction of the ionizing radiation leaves the survey volume, and they are not considered in the calculation of the ionization fraction.
Interestingly, the galaxies in the Rarepeak produce a similar amount of ionizing photons by \( z = 15 \) as the Void region at \( z = 8 \) (see Figure 10). The typical H II regions are much smaller, however; this is caused by higher recombination rates, which are proportional to \( n^2 \) in ionized regions and thus strongly scale as \((1+z)^6\), which results in a difference of a factor of \( \sim 30 \) between the final redshifts of the Rarepeak and Void regions.

Predictably, the ionization history in our three survey volumes differ substantially. Figure 10 shows the ionization fraction, total number of ionizing photons emitted, and the ratio of ionizing photons to hydrogen atoms. The Rarepeak region, as expected, proceeds to form stars and ionize the region at a much faster pace than the other regions. Because the H II regions are small and confined at \( z \gtrsim 12 \) in all simulations, the mass-weighted ionization fractions are significantly higher than the volume-weighted ones. For all simulations at their ending redshift, the photon-to-baryon ratio is greater than unity, demonstrating that most photons are lost to recombination processes over cosmic time.

### 3.3. Galaxy Properties and UV LF

We now focus on the individual properties of the simulated galaxies in our three survey regions. We plot the distribution of the stellar mass \( M_\star \), star formation rate, gas mass fraction \( f_{\text{gas}} = M_{\text{gas}} / M_{\text{vir}} \) and stellar baryonic fraction \( f_s = M_\star / M_{\text{gas}} \) as a
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function of halo mass $M_{\text{vir}}$ in Figures 11–13 for the Void, Normal, and Rarepeak volumes, respectively, at the final output redshifts of $z = (8, 12.5, 15)$. There are 468, 665, and 862 halos that have hosted metal-enriched star formation in the Void, Normal, and Rarepeak volumes, respectively, by these redshifts. From a visual inspection, the distributions from these regions look very similar, suggesting that the galaxy properties are mainly determined by their host halo masses. Most of the halos with $10^7 \lesssim M/\,M_\odot \lesssim 10^9$ have hosted metal-enriched star formation. The largest halo in the simulation suite is located in the Void region at $z = 8$ with a virial mass of $M_{\text{vir}} = 5.3 \times 10^9 \,M_\odot$ and stellar mass of $M_\ast = 1.7 \times 10^8 \,M_\odot$. There is very little star formation in halos below a virial mass of $10^7 \,M_\odot$, which is caused by a combination of a strong UV incident radiation field, originating from nearby galaxies, and the rapid mass accretion of the halos. The UV radiation can suppress star formation in low-mass halos at all redshifts. However, at earlier times, the UV radiation field is weaker, allowing for star formation to occur in such halos, but by the final redshift in the simulations most of these halos have merged into larger galaxies.

The scaling relations shown in Figures 11–13 are similar to the ones found in Wise et al. (2014), who considered the same physical processes and numerical methods as our work in a smaller (1 comoving Mpc)$^3$ volume with a mass resolution that was 10 times smaller. We find the scatter in these relationships to be greater than in Wise et al. (2014) because our larger galaxy sample of $\sim$2000 galaxies, compared to their sample of 32 galaxies, probes various large-scale environments and more importantly star formation histories, where the gas properties are greatly affected by previous stellar feedback in low-mass halos. In each of our survey volumes, the stellar mass to halo

Figure 8. Same as Figure 7 but for the Normal region at $z = 15$ (top) and $z = 12.5$ (bottom).

Figure 9. Same as Figure 7 but for the Rarepeak region at $z = 18.5$ (top) and $z = 15$ (bottom).

Figure 10. Top panel: volume-weighted and mass-weighted ionization fractions as a function of redshift for all simulations. Bottom panel: cumulative number of ionizing photons (solid) from all galaxies and the ratios of number of ionizing photons to number of hydrogen atoms (dotted) within the survey volumes.
mass relation (also see Chen et al. 2014, for the Rarepeak) is consistent with the extrapolated fitting formula of Behroozi et al. (2013). The gas mass fraction varies dramatically between the extremes of nearly zero to the cosmic mean fraction in halos with \( M_{\text{vir}} = 10^7 - 10^8 M_{\odot} \). These low-mass halos are heavily affected by internal and external stellar feedback, cycling between star-forming and quiescence phases (see Wise et al. 2012a, 2014 Hopkins et al. 2014) and their merger histories (Chen et al. 2014). Here the overpressurized HII regions drive \( \sim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) shocks (e.g., Franco et al. 1990) that alone can expel a large fraction of gas from the shallow potential wells of these low-mass halos. The HII regions are anisotropic because of the turbulent nature of the ISM, where the ionization front can propagate faster in directions with smaller overall column densities. This creates a porous ISM that is an important factor in how much ionizing
radiation escapes from the halo, which will be examined in Section 4.

Subsequent supernova feedback only exacerbate the ISM porosity and the magnitude of the outflows, creating extremely gas-poor halos, as seen in the \( f_{\text{gas}} \) panels of Figures 11–13. The large spread is caused by halos caught in different stages of its gaseous disruption, where gas-poor halos have experienced a star-formation event tens of megayears earlier, gas-rich halos have just formed stars or have accreted gas after an earlier star formation event, and the intermediate halos are in the process of being evacuated of its gas during an event or recovering through accretion. As halos grow, it becomes more difficult for gas to be expelled from the galaxy, but the radiative and supernova feedback aid in stirring turbulence and regulating star formation.

For even larger halos \( (M_{\text{vir}} \geq 10^9 M_\odot) \), the scatter in the scaling relations is interestingly smaller even though there are only three to five halos in this mass range in each region, suggesting that galaxies are less susceptible to feedback than low-mass halos and evolve on tighter scaling relations. Their gas fractions \( (f_{\text{gas}}) \) are \( \sim 0.1 \), and their stellar baryonic fractions \( (f_{\text{br}}) \) lie within the approximate range of 0.03–0.1. Although we only have a sample of 12 star-forming halos at these masses, we find that their properties primarily depend on their host halo masses and are less dependent on their formation histories and the environment.

It is worthwhile to compare how \( f_{\text{gas}} \) in low-mass halos depends on the environment to the assumptions made in some semi-analytical studies. For example, Dayal et al. (2014), in estimating the galaxy LF from halo merger trees, assumes that \( f_{\text{gas}} = 0 \) after any star formation activity in halos in the mass range of \( 10^8 - 10^9 M_\odot \). This assumption is only qualitatively consistent with our findings of the gas fraction being diminished in minihalos through radiative and supernova feedback; however, the majority of them have gas fractions above 0.05. The results from such studies may be affected when updating the models to include episodic star formation in these smallest star-forming halos.

The galaxy LF is an important quantification of the overall galaxy population, especially when calculating the intrinsic galactic ionizing emissivity. Combined with the fraction of ionizing UV radiation that escapes from the halo, the LF faint-end slope and the behavior at very low luminosities determine the photons available for cosmic reionization. The aforementioned scaling relations or the UV galaxy LF can be used in semi-analytic reionization calculations (e.g., Robertson et al. 2010, 2013, 2015; Alvarez et al. 2012; Kuhlen &...
Faucher-Giguère (2012) to check whether galaxies can provide a sufficient amount of radiation to complete and sustain reionization by \( z \sim 6 \). We have presented the evolution of galaxy LFs of the Renaissance Simulations in O’Shea et al. (2015), but we reproduce the LF in the Normal region at \( z = 12.5 \), now comparing it to the latest results from the Frontier Fields (Atek et al. 2015; Livermore et al. 2016) and the Bouwens et al. (2015) redshift dependent fit shown in Figure 14. The faint-end slope and normalization should continue to steepen and decrease with redshift, respectively, so the \( z = 7 \) and \( z = 8 \) observed LFs should be used as a reference when inspecting the simulated LF. There are only a few galaxies with \( M_{\text{UV}} \lesssim -17 \) in our survey volumes, the limiting (unlensed) magnitude of the HUDF at \( z = 7–8 \), and in this limit, our simulated number densities are consistent with the LFs found in the HUDF. The simulated Renaissance Simulation LFs follow a power law with a slope consistent with the HUDF extrapolated to low luminosities until they flatten at low luminosities of \( M_{\text{UV}} \gtrsim -12 \). This behavior is also seen in the higher resolution simulations of Wise et al. (2014).

3.4. Similarities of Galaxies in Various Environments and Redshifts

We have shown that the general trends and scatter of various bulk properties—UV luminosity, mass-to-light ratio, stellar masses, star formation rates, stellar baryonic fractions, and gas fractions—during the epoch of reionization are similar in each of the three survey volumes. The lack of environmental variation suggests that galaxies during their initial formation are mainly dependent on their host halo mass, and they are nearly independent of environment or redshift, given that \( z \gtrsim 8 \).

We now directly compare the stellar mass, stellar baryonic fraction, star formation rate, and escape fraction (see Section 4) as a function of halo mass from each region in Figure 15 at their final redshifts. For a more quantitative comparison, we also tabulate the galaxy properties and escape fractions (see Section 4) in 0.5 dex bins of \( M_{\text{vir}} \) in Table 2. Although the galaxy number densities and star formation densities vary greatly between the three survey volumes, we see that the distribution of individual galaxy properties are indistinguishable between large-scale environments with their means and variations consistent with a single population. This invariance suggests that environment and formation time play a lesser role during the formation of the first galaxies. In principle, these effects can suppress or induce star formation through preheating, enrichment, and/or halo temperatures (Gnedin 2000).

In halos with \( M \gtrsim 10^8 M_\odot \), we have found that the host halo mass is the predominant factor, albeit with a large scatter, in controlling galaxy formation during the epoch of reionization. In low-mass (\( M \lesssim 10^8 M_\odot \)) halos, the SFRs and stellar masses increase with halo mass. However, the gas and stellar baryonic fractions have a very large scatter, which is primarily caused by differing histories of star formation and halo mass accretion. Furthermore, the scatter in these quantities is further magnified by capturing these halos in different stages of stellar feedback, i.e., the evacuation of gas.

Figure 16 compares the three regions at the same redshift (\( z = 15, 12.5 \)) to explore how much environment affects early galaxy growth. At both redshifts, the median and variance of the stellar mass and SFR are consistent in all regions, suggesting that halo mass is a dominant factor in determining the stellar content of halos. We note that the SFR in the Void region trends lower than the other regions. At \( z = 15 \), the stellar baryonic fraction and gas fraction in the regions are within \( 1 \sigma \) of each other with greater variances at lower halo masses for reasons discussed previously. At \( z = 12.5 \), the Normal and Void regions nearly mirror each other in all four quantities shown in the figure with the exception of the highest
Table 2
Galaxy and Host Halo Properties

| $log \ M_{\star} (M_\odot)$ | Region      | $log \ SFR \ (M_\odot \ yr^{-1})$ | $log \ f_\star$ | $f_{gas}$ | $log \ M_{\star} (M_\odot)$ | $f_{esc}$ |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| 7.25 Void                   | ...         | $-0.07 \pm 0.98$                 | 0.004           | 0.004   | $3.26 \pm 0.22$            | ...     |
| Normal                      | ...         | $0.24 \pm 0.04$                  | 0.006           | 0.008   | $3.44 \pm 0.35$            | 0.64    |
| Rarepeak                    | ...         | $-2.62 \pm 0.07$                 | 0.055           | 0.055   | $3.15 \pm 0.02$            | ...     |
| 7.75 Void                   | ...         | $-1.26 \pm 1.39$                 | 0.016           | 0.016   | $3.56 \pm 0.46$            | 0.58    |
| Normal                      | $-2.49 \pm 0.38$ | $-1.51 \pm 1.31$              | 0.043           | 0.043   | $3.56 \pm 0.51$            | 0.51    |
| Rarepeak                    | $-2.36 \pm 0.39$ | $-1.97 \pm 1.39$              | 0.069           | 0.069   | $3.67 \pm 0.81$            | 0.42    |
| 7.75 Void                   | $-2.09 \pm 0.32$ | $-2.23 \pm 0.80$              | 0.058           | 0.058   | $4.02 \pm 0.63$            | 0.12    |
| Normal                      | $-2.39 \pm 0.58$ | $-2.17 \pm 0.59$              | 0.071           | 0.071   | $4.14 \pm 0.75$            | 0.17    |
| Rarepeak                    | $-2.11 \pm 0.65$ | $-2.34 \pm 0.68$              | 0.091           | 0.091   | $4.20 \pm 0.74$            | 0.21    |
| 8.25 Void                   | $-1.65 \pm 0.53$ | $-2.02 \pm 0.42$              | 0.102           | 0.102   | $5.11 \pm 1.20$            | 0.04    |
| Normal                      | $-2.03 \pm 0.50$ | $-1.99 \pm 0.46$              | 0.086           | 0.086   | $5.03 \pm 0.63$            | 0.03    |
| Rarepeak                    | $-1.53 \pm 0.57$ | $-1.85 \pm 0.68$              | 0.118           | 0.118   | $5.38 \pm 0.78$            | 0.07    |
| 8.75 Void                   | $-1.33 \pm 0.51$ | $-1.33 \pm 0.60$              | 0.104           | 0.104   | $6.32 \pm 0.74$            | 0.03    |
| Normal                      | $-1.36 \pm 0.47$ | $-1.38 \pm 0.37$              | 0.095           | 0.095   | $6.42 \pm 0.42$            | 0.02    |
| Rarepeak                    | $-0.80 \pm 0.63$ | $-1.34 \pm 0.56$              | 0.133           | 0.133   | $6.44 \pm 0.61$            | 0.07    |
| 9.25 Void                   | $-0.69 \pm 0.29$ | $-0.78 \pm 0.17$              | 0.097           | 0.097   | $7.46 \pm 0.12$            | 0.26    |
| Normal                      | $-0.31 \pm 0.31$ | $-0.70 \pm 0.06$              | 0.100           | 0.100   | $7.54 \pm 0.81$            | 0.16    |
| Rarepeak                    | $-0.21 \pm 0.29$ | $-1.05 \pm 0.28$              | 0.14            | 0.14    | $7.23 \pm 0.43$            | 0.07    |

Note. Statistics are shown for galaxies of the Void region at $z = 8$, the Normal region at $z = 12.5$ and the Rarepeak at $z = 15$ in 0.5 dex bins in $M_{\star}$. Column (1): center of mass bin. Column (2): simulations. Column (3): star-formation rate density. Dashes indicate no recent star formation. Column (4): stellar baryonic fraction. Column (5): gas fraction. Column (6): stellar mass. Column (7): fraction of hydrogen ionization radiation that escapes the virial radius. Errors shown are 1σ deviations.

Figure 16. Comparison of (top to bottom) stellar mass, star formation rate, stellar baryonic fraction, and gas fraction trends with halo mass of the Rarepeak (blue dashed), Normal (solid black), and Void (red dotted) regions at redshifts of 15 (left) and 12.5 (right). The Rarepeak data do not exist for $z = 12.5$ because of its final redshift of 15. The shaded regions denote 1σ deviations in each halo mass bin.

mass bin that contains a peculiar halo that has a very low stellar mass ($M_{\star, v} = 6.0 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, $M_{\star, r} = 6.2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, $f_{gas} = 0.087$), which warrants a more detailed inspection in a later study.

By comparing our simulated galaxy properties in the Void, Normal, and Rarepeak regions, we validate the method of Wise et al. (2014) and Chen et al. (2014) to use galaxies from various redshifts in a single simulation as a single sample to statistically study galaxy properties and scaling relations. We caution, however, that this technique almost certainly breaks down at later times when most halos are exposed to an external UV background, photoevaporating the low-mass halos at the end and after the epoch of reionization.

4. UV ESCAPE FRACTION AND PHOTON BUDGET

For radiation sources in galaxies to contribute to cosmic reionization, their photons must propagate into the nearby IGM, of which only a fraction $f_{esc}$ manages to escape. The remaining fraction is absorbed by neutral gas within the virial radius of the galaxy. In order to semi-analytically calculate the evolution of the ionized fraction, the ionizing photon emissivity is required. The rate of escaping ionizing photons in a single halo can be parameterized as

$$n_{\gamma, \text{halo}} = \psi_{\gamma} f_{esc} f_{gas} (M_{\star, vir}/\mu m_H)$$

where the product $f_{esc} f_{gas} M_{\star, vir}$ is the halo’s instantaneous SFR, $\mu$ is the mean molecular weight, and $\psi_{\gamma}$ is the number of ionizing photons produced per stellar baryon during a stellar lifetime, which can range from 6000 for a Salpeter IMF with solar metallicity to 13,000 for a metal-poor ([Z/H] = −3.3) population star (Schaerer 2003). The escape fraction $f_{esc}$ is the most uncertain factor in determining the photon budget in
in post-processing. Figure 17 shows the projections of the baryon density and UV flux of the most massive halos in the Void, Normal, and Rarepeak regions at $z = (8, 12.5, 15)$, respectively. These most massive galaxies in the Void ($M_{\text{vir}} = 5.3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$; $M_\star = 1.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot$), Normal ($M_{\text{vir}} = 2.7 \times 10^9 M_\odot$; $M_\star = 5.7 \times 10^7 M_\odot$), and Rarepeak ($M_{\text{vir}} = 1.9 \times 10^9 M_\odot$; $M_\star = 4.8 \times 10^7 M_\odot$) regions have escape fractions of about 0.042, 0.122, and 0.090, respectively. It is apparent that the escaping radiation is anisotropic, propagating through low-density channels in the porous ISM (Clarke & Oey 2002) and being absorbed by nearby dense gaseous clumps.

4.1. Method

Because the Renaissance Simulation transports ionizing radiation that is coupled to the energy and chemistry solver, the ionization fractions stored in the data sets are accurate. Thus, we can calculate the escape fraction of all galaxies in a post-processing analysis. We only consider absorption by neutral hydrogen, which is the dominant absorber in the energy range of $10$–$50$ eV, and neglect any other absorbing species in the following analysis. We use the same method as Wise et al. (2014) to calculate $f_{\text{esc}}$. We briefly describe the method next, leaving the details to the original paper.

The escape fraction of ionizing photons from a star particle to an IGM component is dependent only on the optical depth $\tau = N_{\text{H I}}(r)\sigma(E)$, where $r$ is the vector connecting the two points. Therefore, we can simply calculate the H I column density $N_{\text{H I}}$ along various lines of sight and use the photo-ionization cross-section $\sigma$ at $E = 21.6$ eV, which is the average photon energy of the ionizing radiation considered in our simulations. We calculate 768 lines of sight from each star particle to a sphere with radius $r_{\text{vir}}$, centered on the halo center and pixelated with HEALPix at level 3 (Górski et al. 2005). We then compute the associated optical depths and average exp ($-\tau$) over all angles to calculate $f_{\text{esc}}$ of a single star particle. The total UV escape fraction of a single galaxy is the luminosity-weighted average of the escape fraction $f_{\text{esc}}$ of all star particles in the halo.

4.2. Dependence on Halo Mass

Figures 18–20 show the distributions of $f_{\text{esc}}$ and the total number of photons that escape into the IGM for individual halos in the Void, Normal, and Rarepeak regions at different redshifts, respectively. These distributions only include halos that have formed stars in the past 20 Myr—in other words, the massive stars that produce nearly all of the ionizing photons that have not yet ended their lives in SNe. First, we focus on the $f_{\text{esc}}$ distributions in the left panels of the figures. Their general trends do not vary significantly with environment or redshift and follow the same behavior as the results of Wise et al. (2014). The low-mass halos with $M_{\text{vir}} \lesssim 10^8 M_\odot$ have a large scatter in $f_{\text{esc}}$ that is caused by the large variations in $f_*$ and $f_{\text{gas}}$ from halo to halo. Halos with low gas fractions and/or high stellar baryonic fractions have the highest $f_{\text{esc}}$ values. The smallest halos hosting metal-enriched star formation with $M_{\text{vir}} \approx 10^7 M_\odot$ have a median $f_{\text{esc}}$ between 0.4 and 0.6, regardless of redshift and region. The median of the escape fraction steadily decreases with increasing halo mass until it reaches $\sim 0.05$ in the range of $M_{\text{vir}} = 10^8$–$10^9 M_\odot$. However, it
should be noted that our most massive galaxies ($M_{\text{vir}} > 10^9 M_\odot$) in each region have their $f_{\text{esc}}$ values boosted to 0.1–0.2 as they experience strong continuous star formation with SFR $\sim 1 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ and sSFR $\sim 10^{-8} \text{yr}^{-1}$.

The right panels of Figures 18–20 show the distributions of the number of UV photons that escape into the IGM from each halo as a function of halo mass. We also show the total number of escaping photons (red triangles) in each mass bin, along with the cumulative number of escaping photons (green squares) below a given halo mass in the panels. The number of escaped UV photons from galaxies and the cumulative number of escaped UV photons in 0.25 dex bins are represented by red triangles and green squares, respectively. The vertical dashed–dotted and solid lines show the mass bins at which the cumulative UV escaped photons are half and 90% of the total escaped photons, respectively.

The right panels of Figures 18–20 show the distributions of the number of UV photons that escape into the IGM from each halo as a function of halo mass. We also show the total number of escaping photons (red triangles) in each mass bin, along with the cumulative number of escaping photons (green squares) below a given halo mass in the panels. To clearly denote which halos are producing most of the escaping UV photons, we mark the halo mass in which 50% (dashed–dotted lines) and 90% (solid lines) of the ionizing photons are produced in halos below these marked masses. At early times before any $10^9 M_\odot$ halos form, the majority of the escaping photons originate in halos below the atomic cooling threshold ($M_{\text{vir}} \approx 10^8 M_\odot$). However, once more massive halos form at later times, they contribute about half of the escaping UV photons to the photon budget, even though our simulations only capture the formation of a few halos with $M_{\text{vir}} > 10^9 M_\odot$ in each region.

### 4.3. Dependence on Recent Star Formation

We have shown that the escape fraction depends on the halo mass, but in principle it should also depend on the strength and timing of the star formation because of the growth and breakout of H II regions from young stellar populations. Previous groups (Wise & Cen 2009; Kimm & Cen 2014; Wise et al. 2014) have found a correlation between the SFR and $f_{\text{esc}}$ with some delay as the ionization front propagates beyond the virial radius. Figure 21 plots the $f_{\text{esc}}$ distribution as a function of the stellar baryonic fraction $f_\text{b}$ (left panels) and the stellar mass fraction in young stars (right panels), or equivalently the sSFR, for all three regions at their final redshifts. To calculate the sSFR, we use the SFR averaged over the past 20 Myr. We find no general trends in $f_{\text{esc}}$ with either quantity and also observe a large scatter in $f_{\text{esc}}$ in both. However, there is an indication of an upward trend at $f_\text{b} \gtrsim 0.1$. This scenario is rare and occurs when the halo is partially photo-evaporated, leaving behind a dense core and diffuse envelope. Once stars form in this core, the ionization front breaks out of the birth cloud and is largely unimpeded by the photo-evaporated envelope. This behavior
also manifests itself in the highest sSFR bin with several halos having $f_{\text{esc}} \gtrsim 0.5$. With the exception of this extreme behavior at large $f_s$ values, the UV escape fractions are largely independent of these star-formation measures and vary substantially between halos in our simulated sample of $\sim 2000$ galaxies.

4.4. Fractions of Halos with Star Formation and Photons Escaped

Gas in low-mass halos is easily disrupted and expelled from the shallow potential wells as the outflows generated by ionization fronts and SNe easily exceed the escape velocity. This feedback diminishes the available fuel for star formation.
After some time elapses, the cold gas reservoir is replenished by either cosmological infall or any gas remaining in the halo cooling after the massive stars have died. This cycle results in highly stochastic star formation in the lower mass halos in our simulations. Figure 22 quantifies this behavior by plotting the fraction of halos with active star formation (left panel), i.e., stars younger than 20 Myr, and the fraction of halos with a non-zero $f_{\text{esc}}$ (right panel) as a function of halo mass. We show these fractions for all three regions at various redshifts. Only 10% of the $M_{\text{vir}} \sim 10^7 M_\odot$ halos are hosting recent star formation at the times shown, regardless of the redshift and region. This fraction then increases with halo mass to $\sim$50% by $M_{\text{vir}} \sim 10^9 M_\odot$, culminating in all halos with $M_{\text{vir}} \geq 10^9 M_\odot$ hosting recent star formation. These galaxy occupation fractions increase similarly with halo mass in all regions at the times shown. However, for a given region, this fraction decreases as time progresses at $M_{\text{vir}} \lesssim 10^8 M_\odot$, because of the increasing effects of radiative feedback from more massive galaxies, effectively increasing the filtering halo mass for efficient cooling and thus star formation (see Gnedin 2000; Wise & Abel 2008a). Lastly, because ionizing radiation originates from massive stars, the fraction of halos generating escaping photons, shown in the right panel of Figure 22, follow a similar trend as the stellar occupation fraction.

### 4.5. Comparison to Other Work

Early efforts to constrain the escape fraction with simulations found wildly varying $f_{\text{esc}}$ values over a range of halo masses $10^7$–$10^{12} M_\odot$, ranging from less than 1% to unity (e.g., Fujita et al. 2003; Razoumov & Sommer-Larsen 2006; Gnedin et al. 2008; Wise & Cen 2009; Paardekooper et al. 2011; Yajima et al. 2011). However, in the past few years with higher resolution simulations that resolve star-forming clouds, there has been some convergence, showing that low-mass ($log M_{\text{vir}}/M_\odot \lesssim 8$) halos have higher mean $f_{\text{esc}}$ values $\gtrsim 25\%$ (Wise et al. 2014; Paardekooper et al. 2015) that then decrease with halo mass to approach $\sim 5\%$–$15\%$ in more massive ($log M_{\text{vir}}/M_\odot = 8$–11) galaxies at $z \geq 6$ (Kimm & Cen 2014; Ma et al. 2015). Using the star formation surface density as a proxy to $f_{\text{esc}}$, Sharma et al. (2016) suggested that the brightest galaxies dominated the photon budget at the end of reionization with 50% of the photons originating...
from galaxies with UV absolute magnitudes \( M_{1500} \lesssim \) \((-18, -16.5)\) and \( M_* \gtrsim (0.5, 0.1) \) \( M_\odot \) \( \text{yr}^{-1} \) at \( z = (6, 8) \), respectively.

Our results are in agreement with these latest works with \( f_{\text{esc}} \) decreasing with halo mass, given that \( M_{\text{vir}} \lesssim 10^9 M_\odot \). Here we only compare the mean values, but in reality, it should be noted that escape fractions greatly vary between galaxies and temporally. In the smallest galaxies, mean \( f_{\text{esc}} \) values are \( \sim\)50\% in the range of \( 10^7\)–\( 10^{1.5} \) \( M_\odot \) with a large scatter (see Wise et al. 2014; Paardekooper et al. 2015), spanning the full range from zero to unity, depending on previously discussed conditions. This then decreases to \(~\)0.05 at higher halo masses, agreeing with Kimm & Cen (2014) and Ma et al. (2015). The Void simulation at \( z = 8 \) contains two galaxies with \( M_* \simeq 3 \times 10^3 M_\odot \) contained in halos with \( M_{\text{vir}} = 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot \) that have escape fractions of 25\% that are undergoing strong starbursts. These galaxies may be analogs of the ones explored in the “brightest galaxies reionized the universe” scenario posed by Sharma et al. (2016). In the same data, we are also in agreement with Sharma et al., where we both find that 50\% of the ionizing photons (see Figure 18) come from galaxies with an SFR \( \gtrsim 0.1 M_\odot \) \( \text{yr}^{-1} \) in halos \( M_{\text{vir}} \gtrsim 10^9 M_\odot \) at \( z = 8 \).

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have quantified the properties and the UV escape fraction of galaxies during the epoch of reionization, expanding upon the work of Wise et al. (2014) with a much larger sample of \(~)2000 galaxies and extending the relations to a maximum halo mass of \(~)10^{10.5} M_\odot.\) To establish these relations, we have run and analyzed the Renaissance Simulations, a suite of high-resolution radiation hydrodynamics simulations of the first galaxies in three different large-scale environments, each with a comoving volume of \(~)200 Mpc^3,\) that follow Pop III star formation and the transition to metal-enriched stars in thousands of halos.

In particular, we have analyzed these halos to determine any trends in star formation and gas fraction with halo mass and environment. Using our large sample of first galaxies, we showed that their characteristic properties and contribution to the photon budget of reionization are mainly determined by their halo masses and are nearly independent of the environment and redshift during the epoch of reionization. This finding validates the assumption of Wise et al. (2014) and Chen et al. (2014) that the galaxy population during this epoch is nearly invariant with redshift, which allowed them to increase their effective galaxy sample size by combining data sets at different redshifts into a single sample.

We found that galaxies with \( M_{\text{vir}} < 10^9 M_\odot \) are consistent with the high-resolution simulation results of Wise et al. (2014), which only followed the formation of 32 galaxies by \( z \approx 7 \). This result is not unexpected because we use the same star formation and feedback models; however, it does show that these models give a consistent result even with a mass and spatial resolution that is a factor of 10 times larger. Given our larger volume, we are able to extend these relations to halos with masses of up to \( 10^{9.5} M_\odot \). Equivalently, our simulated UV galaxy LF now extends to \( M_{1500} \approx -18 \) and matches the abundances of the faintest galaxies observed at \( z = 7\)–\(8 \). We find that our LFs follow a similar power law as those seen in observations (e.g., Bouwens et al. 2015; Finkelstein et al. 2015), but extends to very faint galaxies with \( M_{1500} \approx -12 \) and flattens above this absolute magnitude (Wise et al. 2014; O'Shea et al. 2015).

These larger galaxies provide some insight into the evolution of the stellar sources of cosmic reionization. We found that the decreasing trend of \( f_{\text{esc}} \) with halo mass with a minimum of 0.05 between \( 10^8 \) and \( 10^9 M_\odot \); however, the mean \( f_{\text{esc}} \) values stabilize around 0.1–0.2 at halo masses of \( M_{\text{vir}} \gtrsim 10^9 M_\odot \), which is consistent with previous findings of Kimm & Cen (2014). Once halos reach this mass, they are largely resistant to SN feedback and external radiative feedback (e.g., Efstathiou 1992; Thoul & Weinberg 1996; Okamoto et al. 2008; Finlator et al. 2011). They initially host relatively strong (i.e., significant sSFR) and continuous star formation, and coupled with an escape fraction in the range of 0.1–0.2, they provide a large fraction (\(~)50\%) of the photon budget of reionization at late times (see also Sharma et al. 2016).

We see that the faintest galaxies below the atomic cooling limit (\( M_{\text{vir}} \lesssim 10^8 M_\odot \)) dominate the photon budget during the initial stages of reionization, but then their star formation is suppressed, leaving more massive galaxies to provide the majority of UV radiation to complete reionization. However, the escape fraction alone does not tell the whole story. Although low-mass galaxies generate most of the ionizing photons at early times, the earliest low-mass galaxies tend to form in overdense regions with high recombination rates, resulting in smaller H II regions. This effect is apparent in the neutral fraction projections in Figure 9, where the cosmological H II regions are contained completely in the collapsing large-scale overdensity. Although these early galaxies ionize a substantial mass fraction of their local environment, they add little to the overall ionized photons needed for complete reionization at \( z \approx 6\)–\(7 \). We only see significant growth of the volume- and mass-weighted ionization fraction at late times—in particular, in the Void region at \( z = 8 \). Nevertheless, these faintest galaxies play an important role in heating the surrounding IGM and shaping internal gaseous galactic properties that affect subsequent galaxy formation.
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