Unfortunately, terrorism, violence and other acute adverse life events have become a world-wide problem. There is no country today that is protected from these phenomena and people can no longer feel safe anywhere. This new situation has increased both the scientific interest in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and the amount of research conducted on this issue.

Currently, PTSD is studied in three ways:

- as a syndrome, which has to be well defined in order to be accurately diagnosed
- as a debilitating disorder which has harmful effects on people’s well-being, and as such has to be cured
- as a human reaction to various stressful events, whose risk and protective factors have to be studied in an effort to elaborate means of prevention.

Although an increasing amount of research into PTSD had been conducted in the past few years, there is still much to be done. The international scientific community needs to agree well defined criteria for its diagnosis, to formulate reliable and valid scales of measurement, and to plan methods of treatment. The present lack of agreed definitions and measurement tools makes it hard, and at times impossible, to compare epidemiological research results from around the world.

The difficulty in comparing and implementing research results is compounded by the fact that PTSD, to a very large extent, is a disorder that is determined by environmental factors. Although psychiatrists are trained to deal solely with individuals, when we come to determine the severity of this psychopathology, we must take into consideration the specific environment to which the subject was exposed. Hence, we must bear in mind that the groups studied will have experienced different types of stressor and different degrees and length of exposure.

A third problem concerns the symptoms or criteria required for the diagnosis of PTSD. When we use the terms ‘acute’ or ‘chronic’ PTSD, we mean a full-blown clinical picture. Nevertheless, recent publications have stressed the impact of:

- sub-threshold clinical PTSD symptoms and their implications for the development of a full clinical picture
- partial PTSD, which can have a profound effect on the development of future impairments.

In the preceding thematic paper in this issue on PTSD in children, Panos Vostanis discusses the relationship between the intensity of stressors and the epidemiology of PTSD among children in the Gaza Strip. This important and pertinent article summarises research data accumulated during the past 10 years. Yet the fact that that particular population suffers from distinct stressors makes it hard for us to compare it with the Israeli population. The only common factors are the fact that the two populations live in the same geographical area and under a permanent existential stress.

Few studies have been conducted in Israel on PTSD reactions to the stress caused by the unstable safety situation. Three of them will be mentioned here, one concerning the general population and the others concerning children from Jerusalem. The results of an original study on PTSD in Israeli adolescents by Dr. Pat-Horenczyk and colleagues will be reported at the 19th Annual Meeting of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies in Chicago in October (please contact Dr. Pat-Horenczyk for further details: rpat@herzoghospital.org).

**Israeli studies of PTSD**

The study concerning the general population was conducted by Bleich et al. (2003), who examined the effect on the Israeli population of media reports of terrorist acts. Over the past two years a total of 4584 people were injured and 622 killed in Israel by such acts. In this study, the degree of exposure to stress and the frequency of PTSD were measured among a representative sample of the general population in Israel. The authors found that 44% of the sample were personally involved or had at least one relative who was exposed to a terrorist attack. This is a very high degree of exposure, in fact one of the highest described in the literature. Although only 9.4% of the sample presented full-blown PTSD, as much as 55% had at least one symptom of post-traumatic stress. Nonetheless, 82% of the sample reported feeling optimistic about their personal future. The authors also gave an overview of the coping mechanisms used by the sample. They concluded that the surprisingly moderate psychopathological impact of the violence was primarily due to a psychological process of adaptation to living in such a stressful environment, and indicates the degree of resilience of Israeli society.

One of the most interesting studies, in my opinion, concerning PTSD in children has been conducted by Lavi & Solomon (Solomon, personal communication, 2003), from Tel Aviv University, who examined exposure rates and PTSD prevalence rates among children from six different populations: from the centre of Jerusalem, Giloh (a suburb of Jerusalem which has suffered many casualties), Efrat (a residential area within the occupied...
Researchers still differ on their definitions of PTSD, in the assessment tools they use and on the correlations they find.

Common general findings concerning the reaction to prolonged stressors have been found, such as the fact that the degree of exposure is not directly related to PTSD morbidity. The next step is to analyse environmental factors in order to detect protective factors that are responsible for this.

Exposure was estimated by the number of life-threatening events that the children had experienced. Exposure rates (%) were: Katif, 11.6; Palestinian Authority, 10.0; Efrat, 8.5; Israeli-Arabs, 6.9; Giloh, 3.4; centre of Jerusalem, 2.8. Boys, in general, had been more often exposed than girls. Evidence for PTSD was found among 70.2% of the Palestinian population and 50.2% of the Israeli-Arab population. According to the authors, these results are similar to those reported by Saigh (1991) in his study in Beirut and Kuwait. Concerning the Jewish population, the proportions with a PTSD diagnosis were as follows: Katif, 27.9%; Efrat, 27.4%; Giloh, 16.4%; centre of Jerusalem, 13.9%. These results indicate that children who live in the territories show the same prevalence of PTSD as the population living in war zones. Finally, the authors attempted to link personal, religious, cultural and ideological beliefs with PTSD symptoms.

Finally, in June and July of this year, Drs Galili-Weisstub and Ben-Harosh, from the Hadassah Hebrew Medical School in Jerusalem, examined post-traumatic symptoms among 163 minors who were brought to the emergency room of Hadassah Hospital, after 41 terrorist attacks. This sample consisted of 32 children (20%) and 131 adolescents (80%). Of the sample, 23.3% had to be admitted (three of whom died); the remaining 76.7% were discharged. Examination revealed that 42.9% of the children had the PTSD symptoms of re-experiencing, avoidance or hyperarousal (of whom 45.7% were boys and 54.2% girls) (Galili-Weisstub, personal communication, 2003).

Conclusions

We should be very cautious today. We are not able yet to compare research results, unless similar samples and tools are used. At the moment, researchers still differ on their definitions of PTSD, in the assessment tools they use and on the correlations they find with other variables. Nevertheless, common general findings concerning the reaction to prolonged stressors have been found, such as the fact that the degree of exposure is not directly related to PTSD morbidity. The next step is to analyse environmental factors in order to detect what protective factors are responsible for this.

Given the widespread morbidity of PTSD, we should start to think in terms of ‘mass trauma’, which leads us to consider ‘mass treatments’. We should seek ways to use the mass media to instil resiliency in the general population. History and some form of slow ‘immunisation’ may be the leading horizontal factors underlying resiliency. It is important for the scientific community to find out what are the vertical, actual and practical protective components, perhaps hope and faith, which can be used both in our generation and in order to immunise the next generations from this debilitating psychopathology.
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The international community has been slow to appreciate the growing problem of the participation of armed children and youths in non-political disputes, encountered in both developed and developing countries, from Haiti to Northern Ireland. While there is widespread recognition of the issue of ‘child soldiers’ (e.g. www.childsoldiers.org/) there are also many children who participate in organised armed groups that function outside traditionally defined war zones. Nowhere