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Abstract

Background: COVID-19 was first discovered in December 2019 and has since evolved into a pandemic.

Objective: To address this global health crisis, artificial intelligence (AI) has been deployed at various levels of the health care system. However, AI has both potential benefits and limitations. We therefore conducted a review of AI applications for COVID-19.

Methods: We performed an extensive search of the PubMed and EMBASE databases for COVID-19–related English-language studies published between December 1, 2019, and March 31, 2020. We supplemented the database search with reference list checks. A thematic analysis and narrative review of AI applications for COVID-19 was conducted.

Results: In total, 11 papers were included for review. AI was applied to COVID-19 in four areas: diagnosis, public health, clinical decision making, and therapeutics. We identified several limitations including insufficient data, omission of multimodal methods of AI-based assessment, delay in realization of benefits, poor internal/external validation, inability to be used by laypersons, inability to be used in resource-poor settings, presence of ethical pitfalls, and presence of legal barriers. AI could potentially be explored in four other areas: surveillance, combination with big data, operation of other core clinical services, and management of patients with COVID-19.

Conclusions: In view of the continuing increase in the number of cases, and given that multiple waves of infections may occur, there is a need for effective methods to help control the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite its shortcomings, AI holds the potential to greatly augment existing human efforts, which may otherwise be overwhelmed by high patient numbers.

(J Med Internet Res 2020;22(10):e21476) doi: 10.2196/21476
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Introduction

COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2 [1], was first discovered in December 2019 and has since become a global pandemic [2]. An emerging viral pandemic like COVID-19 exerts significant pressure on limited health care resources [3]. To prevent human efforts of disease containment from being overwhelmed, we need tools that can streamline the diagnosis, surveillance, and treatment of COVID-19 [4]. This need is particularly pressing in relatively resource-scarce settings, such as low- or middle-income countries [5,6].

Digital methods such as artificial intelligence (AI) hold the potential to greatly enhance medical care [7]. AI implies the use of a computer to model intelligent behavior without human intervention [8]. It has been applied to many areas of medicine [9], especially to aid the detection and prevention of disease [10]. AI techniques being used in medicine are broad, ranging from computer vision to deep learning techniques [11]. Unlike the if-then rules used in traditional computer programming, AI methods emulate the decision-making process of humans via two major approaches. The first major approach is supervised machine learning, which aims to develop a predictive algorithm using regression (linear or multiple) or classification methods.
(eg, decision trees, neural networks). The other major AI approach is unsupervised machine learning, which allows computers to explore large amounts of unclassified data and to discover novel disease or treatment patterns [12].

An example of how AI has been applied to COVID-19 is demonstrated by BlueDot, a Canadian company specializing in infectious disease forecasting [13]. Using an AI engine that continuously gathers data for a multitude of diseases from a range of different sources globally, BlueDot was able to predict the COVID-19 outbreak and alert its users even before the World Health Organization did [14]. Another example is an AI-powered chatbot named SGDormBot, which has been used for symptom-based mass screening of migrant workers for COVID-19 in Singapore [15].

Nonetheless, while AI has been promoted as a tool to help manage the COVID-19 pandemic, AI has both potential benefits and limitations. We therefore conducted a rapid review of AI applications for COVID-19. In our review, we sought to delineate the major categories of AI use, describe the limitations of AI, and identify areas for further development.

Methods

We based our review on the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement [16], and extensively searched two databases (PubMed and EMBASE) for all English-language papers published from December 1, 2019, to March 31, 2020, using the search terms “novel coronavirus,” “2019 novel coronavirus,” “2019-nCoV,” “coronavirus disease 2019,” “COVID-19,” and “SARS-CoV-2.” The database search was supplemented by reference list checks. Papers reporting new data on AI applications for COVID-19 were included. Review papers and commentaries without new data were excluded.

The quality of included studies was assessed using a modified TRIPOD (transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis) statement for adherence to reporting standards [17] and PROBAST (prediction model risk of bias assessment tool) for risk of bias [18]. A thematic analysis and narrative review of AI applications for COVID-19 was then conducted.

Results

Included Studies, Adherence to Reporting Standards, and Risk of Bias

Of 4682 articles, 11 articles were included for review (Figure 1, Table 1). The original TRIPOD statement consisted of a 22-category checklist with 37 items. However, items related to predictor variables were not relevant for studies assessing the performance of AI algorithms. The final components selected, as well as the degree of adherence in each category, are shown in Figure 2. Overall, adherence rates of publications to TRIPOD items ranged from 18.2% to 100%. Items concerned with data validation were reported in <50% of the 11 publications reviewed. Items related to clinical context, study methodology, and applicability were better reported, with >80% of the publications providing adequate information. For the assessment of risk of bias and applicability, we applied the PROBAST tool (Figure 3); as with TRIPOD, we did not assess predictors. Generally, risk of bias in the remaining three categories was low. We identified four areas where AI was applied to COVID-19: diagnosis, public health, clinical decision making, and therapeutics.

Figure 1. Study flow diagram.
| First author (year) | Area or specialty of AI application | AI application method | Clinical benefit shown | Internal or external validation done |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Hurt (2020) [19]   | Diagnosis and clinical decision making | Using a deep learning approach to augment radiographs with color probability | Improved diagnostic accuracy of pneumonia and COVID-19 at point of care, triaged patient for CT scan, helped physicians track evolution of pulmonary manifestation over length of hospitalization | No |
| Li (2020) [20]     | Diagnosis                           | Deep learning–based computer-aided diagnostic system for pneumonia trained with CT scans of patients with COVID-19 suggested pneumonia in patients who received a negative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction test result | Improved accuracy of diagnosis | No |
| Li (2020) [21]     | Diagnosis                           | AI 3D deep learning model to analyze CT scan | Improved diagnostic accuracy and differentiated from non–COVID-19 lung pathologies | Yes |
| Yang (2020) [22]   | Public health                       | Recurrent neural network for AI-based prediction of epidemic trend | Good epidemiological modeling and prediction of trends relating to COVID-19 | Yes |
| Al-Najjar (2020) [23] | Public health                     | AI-based classifier prediction model to determine the outcome of patients | Identified key factors influencing clinical outcome, guided public health decision making | No |
| Jiang (2020) [24]  | Clinical decision making            | Tool with AI capabilities that will predict patients at risk for more severe illnesses based on clinical parameters | AI tool predicted patients at risk for more severe illness on initial presentation, provided clinical decision support | Yes |
| Beck (2020) [25]   | Therapeutics                        | Used pretrained deep learning–based system to identify commercially available drugs that could act on the viral proteins of SARS-CoV-2 | Used AI to discover that atazanavir, an antiretroviral medication, is the best chemical compound due to its high inhibitory potency, among several other antiviral agents that could be used in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 | Yes |
| Kadioglu (2020) [26] | Therapeutics                     | AI combined with molecular docking to identify candidates suitable for drug repurposing via in silico methods | Supervised machine learning was used to study drug likeliness of candidate compounds, helped with evaluation of the potential of various agents | Yes |
| Richardson (2020) [27] | Therapeutics                  | Use of BenevolentAI’s knowledge graph to search for approved drugs that can help treat COVID-19 | Baricitinib was identified as a viable drug with tolerable side effects and potential therapeutic use in patients with COVID-19 | No |
| Ton (2020) [28]    | Therapeutics                        | Use of Deep Docking for accelerated screening of large chemical libraries for potential drugs against COVID-19 | Screened through 1.3 billion compounds from the ZINC15 library to identify the top 1000 potential ligands against the main protease (Mpro) of SARS-CoV-2 and made them publicly available | Yes |
| Zhang (2020) [29]  | Therapeutics                        | Use of AI-based dock analysis to determine whether the compounds listed in Traditional Chinese Medicine databases had potential for direct SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction | Identified 26 herbal plants containing compounds potentially active against SARS-CoV-2 | No |

*aAI: artificial intelligence.

bCT: computed tomography.
Diagnosis

Radiological diagnosis relies on imaging data and is amenable to deep-learning techniques [30]. For COVID-19, features seen on computed tomography (CT) images include bilateral ground-glass opacification and consolidation [31]. One fully automatic 3D deep-learning framework developed for the detection of COVID-19 (COVNet) was able to extract relevant information from both 2D and 3D images obtained from a CT scan to generate a probability score to distinguish patients with COVID-19 from patients with non–COVID-19 community-acquired pneumonia [21]. Once trained, COVNet could process each CT scan with high sensitivity (90%) and specificity (96%) for COVID-19 identification. In contrast to CT, real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), a technique frequently used for COVID-19 diagnosis, had a much lower sensitivity (71%-80%) [20,32]. Another AI system (InferRead CT Pneumonia), a deep learning diagnostic
system, was able to identify features of coronavirus infection in CT scans of patients with false-negative RT-PCR results [33]. Even without CT images, using only plain chest radiographs, a deep learning approach could improve diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia by augmenting radiographs with color probability [19].

Apart from superior sensitivity compared to RT-PCR, AI coupled with radiological investigations could be more efficient for COVID-19 diagnosis. For instance, COVNet could process each CT scan in under 5 seconds on average [21]. This could both decrease physician workload and allow a greater volume of patients to be evaluated, facilitating more rapid case detection [34].

Public Health

AI has proven to be a valuable tool in public health efforts, helping to characterize the epidemiology of COVID-19 and model disease transmission, even in the early stages of the pandemic. The application of AI to this area would be particularly helpful for policy makers, in contrast to clinical decision making by individual clinicians.

Perhaps one of the most prominent examples of AI used in public health, BlueDot has demonstrated its effectiveness in predicting and monitoring infectious diseases like COVID-19. Other applications of AI gathered from our rapid review are discussed as follows. Yang et al [22] developed an AI-based model that used a form of recurrent neural network (RNN) for epidemiological modelling. The model was trained using the 2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) epidemic data from China, while incorporating the epidemiological parameters of COVID-19 and public health interventions like the lockdown of Hubei province. Using the model, it was predicted that the number of cases in Hubei would peak in early February. When the number of predicted infections was plotted against real-time epidemiologic trends, there was considerable similarity between the actual numbers and predictions made by the AI, supporting the accuracy of such models for forecasting disease development. Similar work is in progress using a wide range of data sources.

An artificial neural network has also been used to build a classifier prediction model for patient outcomes in South Korea [23]. The Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention collected patient characteristics like age and gender, extracted the independent predictors, and classified patients with COVID-19 into 2 groups: deceased and recovered. This helped policy makers target the most vulnerable patients, directing attention and resources toward their care.

Clinical Decision Making

AI can assist in clinical decision making regarding patients with COVID-19, including triage decisions for the optimal use of limited health care resources [24]. Hurt et al [19] used an AI-augmented system for plain chest radiographs to track and predict the pulmonary progression of COVID-19 among hospitalized patients, which helped to identify patients that needed critical care. Early identification of at-risk cases could further guide clinicians toward earlier intervention, which may result in improved outcomes. For instance, Jiang et al [24] took multiple clinical parameters and constructed an AI framework that could predict deterioration even at initial presentation, with superior accuracy compared to logistic regression.

Therapeutics

In silico screening with AI can help identify potentially effective therapeutic agents among existing drugs (ie, drug repurposing). Deep learning technology has been used to screen 1.3 billion compounds from the ZINC15 library for drug repurposing [28]. Molecule transformer-drug target interaction (MT-DTI) was a natural language processing (NLP) tool used to predict binding affinity values between commercially available antiviral drugs and target proteins on SARS-CoV-2. This led to the identification of atazanavir, an antiretroviral medication, which may also be effective against SARS-CoV-2 [25]. Similarly, using BenevolentAI’s knowledge graph and a library of structured medical information, machine learning uncovered baricitinib, a Janus kinase inhibitor used for rheumatoid arthritis, as a safe candidate drug that could inhibit SARS-CoV-2 viral entry [27]. In another study, a similar process of virtual drug screening identified antiviral agents against hepatitis C as drugs that had high binding affinities to target proteins on SARS-CoV-2 [26].

Apart from the identification of conventional Western medications that may be applied to COVID-19, in silico screening with AI has also been applied to Chinese herbal medicines. Zhang et al [29] used molecular docking analysis to determine whether natural compounds listed in the Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) Systems Pharmacology Database, Encyclopedia of Traditional Chinese Medicine, and SymbMap could interact directly with SARS-CoV-2 proteins. They eventually shortlisted 26 herbal plants containing potential SARS-CoV-2 antivirals for further trials.

Discussion

Limitations of AI Identified

Despite the many benefits that AI can bring to the table, there are some significant limitations to its use.

Difficult Data Collection

AI needs large amounts of training data to generate accurate predictive algorithms [35]. For instance, the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control requires a dedicated team of epidemiologists to screen, validate, and collate data from multiple international, regional, and local sources [36]. Even data collection for smaller geographical areas is far from easy. In the city-state of Singapore, a Health Ministry–helmed national consortium has been required to assemble detailed data from various health systems, hospitals, and clinics [37].

However, even if financial and logistical resources are plentiful, large volumes of information may not be available during the early stages of disease outbreaks, which is ironically the time when prediction is most required. Hence, relying on AI early in a disease outbreak may be impractical. Nonetheless, even if large amounts of data are available, AI is not infallible, as demonstrated by the failure of GoogleFlu, a big data analysis tool for epidemiological trending for influenza [38]. Similarly,
diagnostic AI tools like those applied to radiology require large volumes of both image- and non–image-based clinical data to achieve high accuracy [11].

Apart from the quantity of data, obtaining high-quality inputs can be particularly challenging. Informal data sources and news reports [39] provide heterogeneous data with inherent noise, resulting in biased results [40]. This is particularly concerning in the context of epidemiological trending and disease prediction, when inaccurate forecasting leads to incorrect calibration of public health responses and health care resourcing. Furthermore, the collection of data from hospitals can be complicated. Some countries, such as Singapore, achieved success with data collection efforts by having a nationwide standardized protocol and rigorous contact tracing [37]. Other countries, such as the United States, rely on individual jurisdictions to report case information to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), but not all jurisdictions provide daily updates. As a result, the case counts may increase at different intervals [41]. Based on a report published by the CDC COVID-19 Response Team in March, less than 6% of cases reported had information regarding the patients’ comorbidities and risk factors and this incomplete data collection has limited research efforts [42].

**Lack of Multimodal AI Assessments**

Many studies used singular data types to perform AI-driven tasks, for instance only using radiological images for the diagnosis of COVID-19 [20,21]. Assessments of patients based on a single data type may be skewed, thus highlighting the need for a multimodal AI framework capable of analyzing different data types [35]. As there is significant overlap in how the lungs respond to different pathological insults [33], and as radiological presentation often depends on an interplay of multiple factors [21], the full potential of CT-based AI algorithms may be better realized by including non–image-based clinical data. For now, based on recommendations by the Italian Society of Medical and Interventional Radiology, CT should be used as a screening tool only for symptomatic patients with specific indications, and the use of CT with AI for screening or as a first-line test is not supported [43].

**Delay in Realization of Benefits**

As useful as AI may be in helping to identify drugs and vaccines against COVID-19, such treatments are unlikely to be made widely available in the immediate future, precisely when such treatments are needed the most. There is considerable delay caused by rigorous medical trials required before approval can be given to drugs or vaccines, and it can take 12-18 months just to develop a vaccine [44]. Even with a vaccine on the market, supply shortage will likely be an issue in the face of massive demand and limited initial production [45].

**Poor Internal Validation**

Another issue we noticed was the poor internal validation done in some of the studies, which makes it hard to determine the clinical or incremental value of AI over conventional methods. Studies that discussed the performance of AI augmentation of CT scans [21] and plain radiographs [19] did not compare AI with radiologists’ evaluations, and did not describe data sets used for validation [33]. Furthermore, confounding factors can affect the internal validity of such studies, such as how variation in respiratory effort, image contrast, technique, and the resolution of radiological images may affect the accuracy of AI-based radiological interpretation frameworks [19]. After completion of our review, we found one study that addressed our validity concerns. Bai et al [46] compared AI with radiologists and demonstrated that AI alone had higher accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity than radiologists, whereas the performance of radiologists was enhanced when AI was used to augment their evaluations.

**Poor External Validation**

External validation could also be improved. Many studies were only applied to patients seen at single centers, or populations within the same geographical region [20,22,23]. This could mean that algorithms shown to be accurate for the population studied may fare less well in other settings. Increasing the diversity of data sets from different populations and demonstrating the reproducibility of AI-based algorithms in different settings would be required if we are to generalize the usage of AI tools [11].

**Inability to Be Used by Laypersons**

While the use of AI technology in the clinical context may seem simple, the underlying theory and operating mechanisms of these algorithms are often opaque to the untrained layperson, which includes health care professionals unfamiliar with AI. For example, one of the intrinsic drawbacks of deep learning is the lack of interpretability, as it is “impossible to determine what imaging features are being used to determine the output” [21]. Physicians may not trust AI to evaluate clinical scenarios, and would not be able to troubleshoot incorrect AI-based assessments [11]. To avoid hindering AI’s uptake, implementation frameworks need to be designed in a way that make AI easily operable and clearly understood by most health care professionals.

**Inability to Be Used in Resource-Poor Settings**

AI-based methods of disease detection, surveillance, and prognostication often require access to digital resources such as CT scanners, mobile phones, and internet access. Such resources may not be widely or consistently available in less developed regions [47]. Thus, the benefits of AI-based approaches may not be realized in resource-poor settings. Effort is needed to shift the reliance of AI from expensive technologies to cheaper and more readily accessible alternatives such as chest X-ray, point-of-care ultrasound, or even vital signs data alone.

**Ethical Pitfalls**

The use of AI may require access to personal information to generate trends, make predictions, and conduct assessments. Moreover, individual patient information has been placed online on multiple platforms [48]. The sharing of such information may lead to the infringement of privacy and personal rights. Although it may be acceptable to process personal data for disease containment in a pandemic, problems arise when the data are used for sinister purposes. As such, there need to be proper ethical guidelines and laws in place to govern the use of AI and big data. For example, the Australian Human Rights
Commission has set out practical steps for researchers to control the use of AI [49]. Overall, health care organizations can be viewed by patients as trustworthy, but it is important to remember that the confidentiality of the data obtained from the public should be respected, and that there should be transparency in how institutions handle the data obtained [50].

A more subtle type of ethical pitfall concerns the need for human input when programming AI tools. AI’s superiority in calculation and computation does not necessarily translate into good decision making unless the machine has values that enable it to make an ethical choice, which requires input from a human programmer [51]. In other words, a particular AI program will make choices based on the programmer’s morality. This raises an ethical concern, as difficult public health decisions like resource allocation are often multifaceted and based on more than just a single set of ethical guidelines conforming to the beliefs of a single person or single group of people. On a smaller scale, the decision to rely solely on the computer to make a unilateral judgment on how or whether a patient should be treated based on the machine’s calculated benefit of treatment is also fraught with ethical concerns as there are some who believe that medical treatment should be discussed together with the patient [50]. As such, it is prudent to use AI only as a guide in decision making, rather than relying on it wholly.

Like all prediction methods, AI may unwittingly single out ethnic minority groups as being at high risk for disease. Unethical interpretation of prediction results may exacerbate ethnic tensions and lead to the stigmatization of and discrimination against specific ethnic groups. To illustrate, the US CDC has reported that African Americans and Hispanics have higher rates of hospitalization and mortality from COVID-19 than the White population [52]. Even though such a finding is likely to be due to differential access to health care [53], the same finding may lead to misconceptions about race and inherent disease susceptibility.

**Legal Barriers**

Finally, there may be legal liabilities associated with adverse outcomes when human physicians use AI technologies for the care of their patients. When malpractice cases involving medical AI applications are involved, the legal system needs to be clear on which party holds the liability [30]. This is particularly worrisome given that the usability of AI, especially for the care of patients with COVID-19, is still relatively unknown due to the lack of sufficient evidence of its effectiveness over traditional methods. Consequently, medical professionals may hesitate when asked to use AI for patient management.

**Areas for Further Work**

There are several other areas in which AI has shown significant promise.

**Surveillance**

Infrared thermal cameras used to screen the public for fever have been paired with AI-powered facial recognition systems to determine if individuals are wearing surgical masks [54]. A US-based computer vision startup has started offering a software that uses camera images to observe for compliance with social distancing rules [55]. With the proliferation of such technologies, it is increasingly evident that AI-based surveillance can greatly help with public health interventions that slow the spread of infection.

Blockchain technology is a digital method that can be used in conjunction with AI, and it refers to a verifiable permanent ledger system that can be used to store health care–related information [7]. The coupling of AI with blockchain for self-testing and tracking systems has been proposed for the surveillance of COVID-19. Not only can such a system of self-testing overcome supply chain limitations in resource-scarce countries and achieve a higher rate of testing [5], it also gives real-time feedback on population health and allows for risk stratification of suspect cases.

AI can also potentially predict the occurrence of disease outbreaks early on, thus giving health authorities more time to act. Effenerger et al [56] have demonstrated the effectiveness of using internet relative search volume (RSV) indices for the forecasting of COVID-19 outbreaks in different geographical areas. Maximum public interest, and therefore maximum RSV indices, preceded peaks in case numbers. This meant that trending RSV indices can help public health authorities predict and respond to local surges of COVID-19 cases.

**Combination With Big Data**

AI-based techniques like machine learning can be used to gather data from multiple sources for processing, which can provide novel insights. We have discussed how AI’s ability to analyze large amounts of data swiftly makes it possible to use the data to predict the likelihood of new outbreaks, model successful disease containment strategies, and to find the most effective treatment protocols [9]. However, there are some other areas of application that have yet to be explored. Sun et al [57] demonstrated the value of using a Chinese health care–oriented social network that streamed reports from local or national health authorities—along with several other international media outlets—for epidemiological studies of the disease; AI can be used to facilitate this collection.

AI, when paired with big data, can find new drugs to treat COVID-19. Integrated AI-based drug discovery methods for novel drug compounds, such as deep generative models, can use large data sets to train and generate new drugs with optimized chemical properties. Such methods are often more time-efficient than traditional computational methods [58].

In addition, AI combined with big data can also be used to assess the accuracy of online information available to the public. Stratifyd, a US-based data analytics company, scans social media posts, cross-references them with data from official sources, and alerts users when false information is identified [59]. It can thus be applied to the current pandemic to prevent misinformation about the disease from spreading online. AI can also be used to analyze international air travel data. By doing so, AI can track disease spread between countries, allowing for an assessment of importation risk at a particular location and the latter’s capacity to respond [60], estimation of disease spread from the epicenter of the outbreak [61], and identification of...
areas that may have undetected cases imported from other countries [62].

**Operation of Other Core Clinical Services**

As the COVID-19 pandemic exerts pressure on health care resources, institutions have had to reduce the provision of clinical services. The American College of Surgeons, for example, has provided guidelines for the management of nonemergency operations, recommending hospitals to “thoughtfully review all scheduled elective procedures” [63]. In Singapore, nonurgent medical appointments have been rescheduled and staff have been redeployed to help manage patients with COVID-19 [64]. To mitigate the impact on non–COVID-19 patients, AI can be used to augment their care.

With regard to medical investigations, AI-based radiological interpretation algorithms can be applied to read scans for non–COVID-19 diseases. With regard to medical consultations, AI-based conversational chatbots can assume some of the duties of the physician, such as symptom screening and patient education. Chatbots are already being used to combat the pandemic. Symptoma, a symptom-to-disease digital health assistant using AI, has been shown to be highly accurate when screening for COVID-19 [65]. Similarly, chatbots have been used to rapidly screen health care workers for COVID-19, facilitating staff movements and minimizing nosocomial transmission [66]. By extension, AI-based chatbots can provide a platform for patients with non–COVID-19 conditions to receive medical care at a time when clinical resources are limited. These chatbots can potentially be augmented by smartwatch-based health monitors (eg, heart rate and electrocardiogram monitoring using the Apple Watch [67]).

**Clinical Management of Patients With COVID-19**

Studies have already established that AI can help guide management of patients with COVID-19 in general practice.
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**TCM**: Traditional Chinese Medicine
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