Diversity of $bla_{CTX-M-1}$-carrying plasmids recovered from *Escherichia coli* isolated from Canadian domestic animals

Ashley C. Cormier1, Gabhan Chalmers1, Roxana Zamudio2, Michael R. Mulvey3, Alison E. Mather2,4, Patrick Boerlin1*

1 Department of Pathobiology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada, 2 Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk, United Kingdom, 3 National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, 4 University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, United Kingdom

* pboerlin@uoguelph.ca

Abstract

Conserved IncI1 and IncHI1 plasmids carrying $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ have been found circulating in chickens and horses from continental Europe, respectively. In Canada, $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ is overwhelmingly the most common $bla_{CTX-M}$ variant found in *Escherichia coli* from chicken and horses and can be recovered at lower frequencies in swine, cattle, and dogs. Whole-genome sequencing has identified a large genetic diversity of isolates carrying this variant, warranting further investigations into the plasmids carrying this gene. Therefore, the objective of this study was to describe the genetic profiles of $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ plasmids circulating in *E. coli* from Canadian domestic animals and compare them to those recovered in animals in Europe. Fifty-one $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ positive *E. coli* isolates from chicken (n = 14), horses (racetrack horses n = 11; community horses n = 3), swine (n = 7), turkey (n = 6), dogs (n = 5), beef cattle (n = 3), and dairy cattle (n = 2) were selected for plasmid characterization. Sequences were obtained through both Illumina and Oxford Nanopore technologies. Genomes were assembled using either Unicycler hybrid assembly or Flye with polishing performed using Pilon. $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ was found residing on a plasmid in 45 isolates and chromosomally located in six isolates. A conserved IncI1/ST3 plasmid was identified among chicken (n = 12), turkey (n = 4), swine (n = 6), dog (n = 2), and beef cattle (n = 2) isolates. When compared against publicly available data, these plasmids showed a high degree of similarity to those identified in isolates from poultry and swine in Europe. These results suggest that an epidemic IncI1/ST3 plasmid similar to the one found in Europe is contributing to the spread of $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ in Canada. A conserved IncHI1/FIA(HI1)/ST2 plasmid was also recovered from nearly all race-track horse isolates (n = 10). Although IncHI1/ST2 plasmids have been reported among European horse isolates, IncHI1/ST9 plasmids appear to be more widespread. Further studies are necessary to understand the factors contributing to these plasmids’ success in their respective populations.
Introduction

Since the early 2000s, the Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance (CIPARS), has reported extended-spectrum cephalosporin (ESC) resistance among *Escherichia coli* from beef cattle, swine, chicken, and turkey [1–4]. Other studies have also demonstrated ESC resistance among *E. coli* from horses [5, 6] and dogs [7] in Canada. When available, genotypic analysis of ESC-resistant *E. coli* from these animal species has identified *bla*<sub>CMY-2</sub> as the major ESC resistance determinant and has documented the emergence of the *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> family in Canada [7–12].

After having been detected globally for several years [13–17], *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> variants were first detected in humans and companion animals in Canada in the early 2000s [18–20]. The wide dispersion and success of these resistance genes have been attributed to their association with epidemic strains and with mobile genetic elements (MGEs) such as plasmids, integrons, transposons, and insertion sequences [21]. These MGEs have allowed *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> to move extensively within and between bacteria, and have provided the tools necessary for persistence in the absence of β-lactams through the co-location of multiple resistance determinants and co-selection by other antibiotics [22, 23].

Following *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub>’s emergence in Canada, variants *bla*<sub>CTX-M-14, -15, -27, and -55</sub> have become those most frequently identified among *Enterobacterales* from both humans and animal species [24, 25]. This contrasts with *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub>, which is rarely identified in humans in Canada [25] but widespread in bacteria from pigs, cattle, and dogs and overwhelmingly the most common variant in bacteria from chicken and horses [6, 24]. This also contrasts with what has been reported in Europe where *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub> has been regularly found among human isolates [26–29] and is the most common variant recovered from various production animals [30, 31]. Studies in Europe and Canada have demonstrated a large genetic diversity among isolates carrying *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub>, suggesting that clonal spread may not be the major or only driver in the spread of this variant [24, 30, 32, 33].

Using a variety of molecular techniques, researchers have identified related incompatibility (Inc) group HI1 plasmids circulating within European horse populations [34, 35]. Similarly, related IncI1 plasmids were observed in European chicken populations [32, 36], and in various food animal samples [29, 30]. IncI1 plasmids have been well characterized and are frequently found to carry antimicrobial resistance determinants, apart from *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub> [37]. These plasmids have four conserved regions, encoding replication (e.g., *inc*, *repY*, *repZ*), stability (e.g., *parA*, *parB*), leading (e.g., *ardA*, *psiA*) and conjugative transfer (e.g., *traA-Y*) [37].

The appearance of conserved IncI1 and IncHI1 plasmids across Europe raises two questions: First, are there also conserved *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub>-carrying plasmids circulating in bacteria from domestic animals in Canada? Second, if this is true, are they the same as those recently recovered across Europe? Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate and describe the genetic profiles of *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub> plasmids circulating in bacteria from Canadian domestic animals. For this purpose, we used the Illumina and Oxford Nanopore platforms and hybrid assembly approaches to assemble and subsequently compare *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub> plasmid sequences of recent *E. coli* isolates from chicken, turkeys, pigs, dairy cattle, beef cattle, horses, and dogs from Canada.

Materials and methods

Isolate selection

Fifty-one *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub>–positive *E. coli* isolates from various commodities and sources were selected for this study (Table 1). Isolates from chicken, turkey, swine, beef cattle, racetrack
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horses, and dogs were selected from previous studies based upon the detection of \textit{bla}_CTX-M-1. The Ontario Veterinary College (OVC) horse and dairy cattle isolates were from a larger collection of \textit{bla}_CTX-M group-1 positive isolates collected by the Dr. J. Scott Weese laboratory, OVC. Briefly, samples of 200 mg of feces taken from horses entering the OVC large animal clinic and dairy cattle from a single farm in Ontario, Canada were incubated for 18–24 hrs at 37°C in LB broth (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA) (9 ml) without antibiotics. The resulting cultures were plated (10 μl) on CHROMID\textsuperscript{ESBL} agar (BioMérieux, Laval, QC, Canada) and incubated for 18–24 hrs at 37°C. Putative \textit{E. coli} isolates were frozen for later use. Susceptibility testing was used to confirm the extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producers’ phenotype in accordance with the guidelines of the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) \cite{38}.

All or up to a maximum of 14 \textit{bla}_CTX-M-1-positive isolates were selected from each animal species source. In instances where more than 14 isolates were available from an animal species, isolates were selected using a random number generator. If several isolates were available from the same sample, a random number generator was also used to select one isolate from this sample.

Whole genome sequencing and assembly

DNA extractions for short- and long-read sequencing were performed using the Lucigen MasterPure DNA Purification kit with complete removal of RNA, according to manufacturer’s instructions (Lucigen, Middleton, WI, USA). Short-read sequences were obtained using MiSeq (PE300), HiSeq (PE100), or NextSeq (PE150) technology (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) after library preparation using Nextera XT kits (Illumina). Sequencing was performed at the Advanced Analysis Centre, University of Guelph, ON, Canada; McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre, McGill University, QC, Canada; and at the National Microbiology Laboratory of Canada, MB, Canada. Long-read genome sequencing and assembly were performed on all isolates except those from turkey since these were performed in a previous study \cite{11}. Long-read sequences were obtained in-house using the Oxford Nanopore MinION (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) with FLO-MIN106D flow cells, following library preparation using the Ligation Sequencing Kit (SQK-LSK109), Native Barcoding Kit (EXP-NDB104 and EXP-NBD1114) as per manufacturer instructions.

Basecalling and demultiplexing were performed using MinKNOW v1.4.2 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies), and Porechop v0.2.4, respectively \cite{39}, or Guppy Basecaller v3.3 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). Genomes were assembled using Unicycler v0.4.4 hybrid assembly

| Animal Species  | Number of isolates | Sampling location         | Sample type | Sample year(s) | Reference |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|
| Chicken         | 14                 | Ontario                   | cecal        | 2015–2016      | \cite{12} |
| Turkey          | 6                  | Canada                    | fecal        | 2016–2017      | \cite{11} |
| Beef cattle     | 3                  | Alberta                   | fecal        | 2014–2015      | \cite{8}  |
| Dairy cattle    | 2                  | Ontario                   | fecal        | 2017           | This study|
| Horse           | 11                 | Ontario (racetrack)       | fecal        | 2017           | \cite{24} |
|                | 3                  | Ontario (OVC)             | fecal        | 2018           | This study|
| Swine           | 7                  | Ontario                   | cecal        | 2015–2016      | \cite{12} |
| Dog             | 5                  | Ontario                   | fecal        | 2016           | \cite{7}  |
| Total           | 51                 |                           |             |                |           |

\footnotesize{Horse isolates were collected from two different studies conducted in Ontario, at the Ontario Veterinary College (OVC) large animal clinic and at a racetrack.}

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264439.t001
and Flye v2.6 [41] with five rounds of Pilon polishing v1.25 [42]. Pilon was used to correct potential errors in the long-reads using short-reads. Polished Flye assemblies were manually circularized by mapping short- and long-reads against the original circularized Flye assembly to determine the correct sequence of nucleotides necessary to close the plasmid. Frequently, both polished Flye and Unicycler outputs yielded similar results for each plasmid of interest. To determine which assembly would be used in the final analyses, a series of quality control measures were taken. First, ARIBA v2.14.1 [43] and ABRicate v0.9.8 [44, 45] were used to confirm that genes were not lost in assembly compared to short-read assemblies. Socru v2.2.4 [46] was used to identify large-scale misassemblies in the entire genome by the arrangement of ribosomal operons. Long and short reads were then mapped to the final plasmid assemblies to determine if there were any noticeable gaps in coverage that may indicate a misassembly. Finally, if both assemblies remained comparable in quality until this point, Snippy v4.4.5 [47] was used to determine the number of short nucleotide variations (SNVs) between the short-reads and the long-read/hybrid plasmid assemblies. The plasmid assembly with fewer SNVs was selected for further analyses.

Following genome assembly, Achtman sequence types were confirmed using PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org/), phylotypes and serotypes were determined using EZClermont [48, 49] and ECTyper [50], respectively.

**Plasmid alignment and gene annotation**

The resulting \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) plasmids were compared using Easyfig [51] to identify conserved plasmids. Comparisons were made between plasmids recovered in Canada, continental Europe and with the IncI1 plasmid, R64 (Bioproject: PRJNA224116). Gene identification was performed using ResFinder v3.1.0 [45], PlasmidFinder v2.0.1 [52], and ISE Scan [53]. Plasmid multilocus sequence typing (pMLST) was performed with PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org/). When necessary, mapping of short-reads was used to confirm STs. Reference mapping was also used to look for the presence of the \( \text{fos} \) operon, as well as for the \( \text{pemK} \), \( \text{ccdA/B} \), \( \text{relE/B} \), \( \text{parD/E} \), \( \text{vagC/D} \), \( \text{hok/sok} \), \( \text{pndA/C} \), and \( \text{srnB/C} \) addiction systems on all ESC resistance plasmids.

**Validation of predicted antimicrobial susceptibilities**

To validate the predicted antimicrobial susceptibilities of the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \)-carrying plasmids characterized in this study, a subset of 14 plasmids were assessed by antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) using the disk diffusion method. A single plasmid from each resistance profile within each Inc group (S1 Table) was chosen at random for transformation and AST. Plasmid preparations were made using the QIAGEN® Plasmid Purification Mini Kit, as per manufacturer instructions (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA). Plasmids were transformed via electroporation into \( E. \text{coli} \) ElectroMAX™ DH10B™ (Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and transconjugants selected on LB agar containing 1 mg/L ceftriaxone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Polymerase chain reaction amplification of \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M}} \) [54], plasmid preparations, and gel electrophoresis were performed on transformants to confirm the presence of a single \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \)-carrying plasmid. Once confirmed, AST was performed according to the CLSI guidelines [38] using the following antimicrobials: cefotaxime, trimethoprim, spectinomycin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, kanamycin (BD, Sparks, MD, USA), and sulfonamides (Oxoid Ltd, Hampshire UK). For this study, those isolates that fell into the intermediate zone of inhibition were considered resistant. Susceptibility testing for streptomycin was not performed due to the presence of natural resistance to this antimicrobial in \( E. \text{coli} \) DH10B.
SNP analyses of IncI1/ST3 and IncHI1 plasmids

A single representative plasmid (pAC112.1 and pAC1185-1-1) was selected from the IncI1/ST3 and IncHI1/ST2 plasmid groups based on assembly quality; those with the highest depth of coverage and the fewest SNVs were selected. Using these plasmids, a selection of publicly available plasmids with the same pMLST and/or Inc-type were identified by the Nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTN) [55], available through NCBI. These included five IncI1/ST3 plasmids from various food animals, as well as one IncHI1/ST2 and eight IncHI1/ST9 plasmids from horses (Table 2); all plasmids carried \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \).

Prokka v1.14.6 [59] was first used to annotate the plasmid sequences of interest. Roary v3.13.0 [60] was then used on IncHI1 and IncI1/ST3 plasmids separately, to identify core and accessory genes among international and Canadian plasmids. For this analysis, core genes were defined as those present in 80% of plasmids with a minimum identity of 95%. Pairwise comparison of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) was then performed through snp-dist v0.7.0 [61].

Results

Assemblies, incompatibility groups and pMLST

A combination of Unicycler and polished Flye assemblies was selected for analysis. Hybrid assembly showed that \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) was located on 45 plasmids and on six occasions on chromosomes. Chromosomal \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) was identified in isolates from all animal species. Plasmid were recovered from 27 different Achtman STs.

PlasmidFinder identified six Inc types among the plasmids. Two Inc-type markers were identified on 13/45 plasmids (S1 Table). Plasmids with multiple Inc types all carried at least one IncF marker. The most common Inc-type reported was IncI1 (n = 28), with plasmids originating from chicken (n = 12), turkey (n = 5), swine (n = 6), beef cattle (n = 2), and dog isolates (n = 3). The second most common Inc-type was from a group of plasmids identified as IncHI1/FIA(HI1) (n = 11); all but one of the plasmids from this group were recovered from racetrack horse isolates. The remaining plasmid from this group was from a dog isolate. Four IncN plasmids from cattle isolates (dairy and beef; n = 3) and an OVC horse, as well as two IncFIB/FII plasmids from horses (racetrack and OVC), were also recovered. Plasmids varied

Table 2. Publicly available plasmid sequences retrieved through NCBI BLASTN for phylogenetic comparison with plasmids recovered in this study.

| Plasmid   | Accession number | Inc-type | ST | Animal  | Origin           | Reference |
|-----------|------------------|----------|----|---------|------------------|-----------|
| p14019095 | MK181557         | I1       | 3  | Chicken | Denmark          | [56]      |
| pHV292    | KM377239         | I1       | 3  | Chicken | Switzerland      | [33]      |
| pCOV33    | MG649046.1       | I1       | 3  | Chicken | France           | [57]      |
| p15090172 | MK181562         | I1       | 3  | Swine   | Denmark          | [56]      |
| unnamed   | CP009580.1       | I1       | 3  | Swine   | Netherlands      | [58]      |
| p15504714-1 | MT586601.1     | HI1      | 9  | Horse   | Netherlands      | [35]      |
| p15504779-4 | MT586602.1      | HI1      | 9  | Horse   | Netherlands      | [35]      |
| p15504829-4 | MT586603.1      | HI1      | 9  | Horse   | Netherlands      | [35]      |
| p97974-2T | MT586605.1       | HI1      | 9  | Horse   | Czech Republic   | [35]      |
| p99063    | MT586606.1       | HI1      | 9  | Horse   | Czech Republic   | [35]      |
| p99783-3T | MT586607.1       | HI1      | 9  | Horse   | Czech Republic   | [35]      |
| p99975-2  | MT586608.1       | HI1      | 9  | Horse   | Czech Republic   | [35]      |
| p100063-3 | MT586609.1       | HI1      | 9  | Horse   | Czech Republic   | [35]      |
| p10068    | MT586604.1       | HI1      | 2  | Horse   | Czech Republic   | [35]      |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264439.t002
in size, however, remained relatively consistent within incompatibility groups (S1 Table). The median plasmid size for the major incompatibility types IncI1 and IncHI1 plasmids were 107,168bp and 213,856bp, respectively.

Plasmid multi-locus sequence typing identified ST3 as the most common (26/28) among IncI1 plasmids, ST2 (10/11) among IncHI1/FIA(HI1) plasmids, and ST1 among IncN plasmids (4/4).

**Antimicrobial resistance genes and predicted susceptibility**

For this study, multi-drug resistance plasmids were defined as having resistance determinants to three or more antimicrobial classes. A total of 41 out of 45 plasmids encoded multi-drug resistance (Table 3). Two plasmids carried determinants for two antimicrobial classes and four plasmids carried β-lactam resistance determinants only (i.e., \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \)). Predicted resistance phenotypes of 14 plasmids were successfully confirmed through AST as per CLSI guidelines (S1 Table). The most common resistance genes co-located on the same plasmid as \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) were to sulfonamides \((n = 41)\) and tetracyclines \((n = 32)\), followed by streptomycin \((n = 18)\), spectinomycin \((n = 17)\), trimethoprim \((n = 15)\), gentamicin \((n = 15)\), chloramphenicol \((n = 11)\), and kanamycin \((n = 4)\). Aminoglycoside resistance determinants were the most numerous on plasmids recovered from horse isolates, except for a single IncHI1/FIA(HI1)/ST9 plasmid from a dog isolate. Sulfonamide and/or tetracycline resistance determinants were identified on all but one IncI1 plasmid; 22 of these plasmids carried resistance determinants to both (S1 Table).

**Identification of elements or genes associated with the persistence and mobilization of \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \)**

Following gene annotation of all plasmid assemblies, the \( \text{fos} \) operon was found only on a single IncHI1/FIA(HI1)/ST9 plasmid from a dog isolate. The \( \text{pndA/C} \) addiction system was present on all IncI1 plasmids and the \( \text{relE/B} \) addiction system was present on all IncHI1 plasmids. None of the addiction systems investigated were found on the IncN or IncFIB/FII plasmids.

The \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) gene was present downstream of the insertion sequence (IS) \( \text{ISEcp1} \) on all IncI1 plasmids \((n = 28)\) and 4/6 chromosomes. In two of these chromosomes (Isolates 76-2-1 and 446–1), the epidemic IncI1/ST3 plasmid appears to have been integrated. The isolates

### Table 3. The number of plasmids from each animal species with the corresponding predicted resistance phenotype.

| Animal Species (♂) | **CTX** | SUL | TET | STR | SPT | GEN | KAN | TMP | CHL |
|---------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| D(1), Bc(1), Dc(2)  | R       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| S(1), T(1)          | R       | R   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Bc(2), S(3), D(2), C(11), T(3) | R | R | R |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| S(2), C(1)          | R       | R   | R   | R   |     |     |     |     |     |
| Hovc(2), Hr(1)      | R       | R   | R   | R   | R   |     |     |     |     |
| Hr(1)               | R       | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |     |     |
| T(1)                | R       | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |     |     |
| D(1)                | R       | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |     |     |
| Hr(8)               | R       | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |     |     |
| Hr(1)               | R       | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   | R   |     |     |
| Total plasmids with predicted phenotype | 45 | 41 | 32 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 4   | 15 | 11 |

* S-Swine; C-Chicken; T-Duck; Bc-Beef cattle; Dc-Dairy cattle; HoVC-OVC horse; Hr-Racetrack horse
** CTX-Cefotaxime; SUL-Sulfonamide; TET-Tetracycline; STR-Streptomycin; SPT-Spectinomycin; GEN-Gentamicin; KAN-Kanamycin; TMP-Trimethoprim; CHL-Chloramphenicol.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264439.t003
possessing chromosomally encoded \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) were each of a different Achtman ST and the insertion site was different for each one. On most IncI1 plasmids (\( n = 23 \)) \( ISEcp1 \) was the only insertion sequence upstream of \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) and was inserted within the shufflon region. However, in five plasmids, an additional IS element (i.e., IS1, IS4, or IS5) had inserted between \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) and \( ISEcp1 \), effectively truncating \( ISEcp1 \) in four instances. In contrast, \( ISEcp1 \) was not found on IncN, IncHI1/FIA(HI1), or IncFIB/FII plasmids. Instead, \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) was located in regions heavily populated with a variety of IS families (e.g. IS1, IS3, IS4, and IS6) and other resistance determinants on IncHI1/FIA(HI1) and IncFIB/FII plasmids. On IncN plasmids, \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) was surrounded by one to three insertion sequences from either the IS1, IS3, or IS6 families. The orientation of the IS in these regions was not always consistent and at times differed between plasmids of the same Inc-type.

**Confirmation of conserved plasmids**

Based on Easyfig alignments, conserved plasmids were found in multiple isolates from a single host species, as well as in isolates from several different animal species. These include conserved IncI1/ST3 plasmids (S1 Fig) among chicken (\( n = 12 \)), turkey (\( n = 4 \)), swine (\( n = 6 \)), dogs (\( n = 2 \)), and beef cattle (\( n = 2 \)). Conserved IncHI1/ST2 plasmids (S2 Fig) were also identified among racetrack horses (\( n = 10 \)), IncN/ST1 plasmids among a community horse isolate (\( n = 1 \)), beef cattle (\( n = 1 \)) and dairy cattle (\( n = 2 \)), and IncFIB/FII plasmids in racetrack (\( n = 1 \)) and community horse isolates (\( n = 1 \)). Only the two major groups of conserved plasmids were explored further (i.e., IncI1/ST3 and IncHI1/FIA(HI1)/ST2).

When a random selection of IncI1/ST3 plasmids was compared to the prototype plasmid R64, an approximately 20kbp section of the R64 plasmid was missing among the IncI1 plasmid recovered in this study. The genes identified in this section include those encoding IS elements and various metal and drug resistances (i.e., arsenic, tetracyclines, and aminoglycosides; Fig 1). The chicken plasmid pAC1185-1-1 was selected as a representative for the IncI1/ST3 plasmid population and was processed through NCBI BLASTN to search for similar plasmids. A selection of five IncI1/ST3 plasmids was retrieved for further comparison (Table 2). Three-hundred and seventy-one different genes were identified from the IncI1/ST3 plasmids recovered in this study and those listed in Table 2, out of which 89 were identified as core genes. The core genes represent approximately 63% of the median length of IncI1/ST3 plasmids recovered in this study. Pairwise SNP comparisons of core gene showed ten Canadian plasmids with less than 50 SNPs when compared to the five European plasmids listed in Table 2 (S2 Table). An additional seven Canadian plasmids had only 10–50 SNPs when compared against multiple European plasmids selected for this analysis. Plasmids with less than 50 SNPs when compared with European plasmids were from chicken, turkey, swine, beef cattle and dog isolates; five of which were recovered from \( E. \) coli ST10 and three from ST117 (S1 and S2 Tables).

Five hundred and twenty-one different genes were identified from the IncHI1 plasmids, out of which 216 were identified as core genes. The core genes represent approximately 77% of the median length of IncHI1 plasmids recovered in this study. When compared with representative plasmids from Europe (Table 2) using pairwise SNP analyses, European horse plasmid p10068 (ST2) was distinct from IncHI1/ST2 horse plasmids recovered in this study, whereas Canadian dog plasmid pAC48-1-1 showed few SNPs when compared to European horse plasmids of the same ST (S3 Table).

**Discussion**

A group of conserved IncI1/ST3 plasmids was found among a variety of poultry, swine, dog, and cattle isolates from Canada. When compared against assemblies available through NCBI,
these plasmids showed a high structural similarity to those identified in isolates from poultry and swine in Europe. Core gene SNP analysis confirmed this similarity, therefore, providing evidence that \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) among \( E. \ coli \) from major production animals in Canada is being mobilized by the same epidemic plasmid previously identified in European isolates. Canadian plasmids exhibiting less than 50 SNPs (n = 17) when compared to plasmids of European origins were recovered from a diversity of animals and \( E. \ coli \) STs. However, 47% of plasmids were recovered from either \( E. \ coli \) ST10 or ST117. These results suggest that a widespread epidemic IncI1/ST3 plasmid is associated with the global dissemination of \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \), but that its spread by conjugation is also supported by the expansion of highly successful bacterial clones.

The IncI1 plasmids from this study were, in general, multidrug resistance plasmids (median resistance to two classes of antimicrobial in addition to \( \beta \)-lactams). Sulfonamide and/or tetracycline resistance determinants were identified on almost all conserved IncI1/ST3 plasmids. Fortunately, critically important resistances (e.g. to aminoglycosides) were rarely encoded on
these plasmids. In recent years, the Canadian government and production animal industries have taken steps to limit the use of medically important antimicrobials for preventive medicine [62, 63]. However, based upon the most recently available data as of 2018, tetracyclines and sulfonamides remained some of the most heavily used antimicrobials in food animals in Canada [1]. Therefore, the continued use of these less important antimicrobials has the potential to reduce the efficacy of restrictions on the use of critically important antimicrobials such as ESCs through co-selection of these multi-drug resistant plasmids. These results warrant further in vivo investigations to assess whether the on-farm use of tetracyclines and/or sulfonamides does indeed influence the persistence of ESC resistance plasmids through co-selection.

The lower prevalence of bla\textsubscript{CTX-M-1} among \textit{E. coli} from animal species other than chicken in Canada [24] may be indicative that this conserved IncI1 plasmid has first emerged in this species and is just starting to spread in other animal species, and in time may become more broadly and evenly distributed. It should also be noted that the chicken isolates used in this study were collected from samples acquired between 2015 and 2016, only one to two years after the cessation of ceftiofur use by the poultry industry [63]. The presence of this plasmid may have been driven by the previous use of ceftiofur in chicken, and subsequently maintained by factors such as addiction systems (e.g. \textit{pndA/C}), plasmid transmissibility, unknown metabolic advantages provided by the plasmid, or co-selection. Previously, \textit{bla\textsubscript{CMY-2}} was the main resistance determinant responsible for ESC resistance in poultry populations in Canada [10, 12]. Infrequently, these plasmids carried resistance determinants to other classes of antibiotics when originating from poultry [64]. In contrast, the epidemic IncI1/ST3/CTX-M-1 plasmid recovered in this study encodes resistance to several antibiotics commonly used in Canada. As a result, over time, and if co-selection or other fitness factors are indeed acting upon these plasmids, \textit{bla\textsubscript{CTX-M-1}} may become the major ESC resistance determinant among Canadian poultry. Further monitoring in animal populations and in vivo studies are warranted to test this hypothesis.

Consistent with what has been reported by Irrgang et al., 2018 [30], the \textit{ISEcp1} mobile element encompassing the \textit{bla\textsubscript{CTX-M-1}} was inserted between the PilV protein gene responsible for conjugation, and the shufflon-specific recombinase of our IncI1/ST3 plasmids. These authors demonstrated that \textit{in vitro} conjugation was not interrupted by the insertion of the gene cassette in this region [30]. The broad genomic diversity of isolates carrying these plasmids in our study [24] suggest that this is the same under field conditions. The IncI1/ST3 plasmid is the most frequently recovered plasmid in this study encodes resistance to several antibiotics commonly used in Canada. As a result, over time, and if co-selection or other fitness factors are indeed acting upon these plasmids, \textit{bla\textsubscript{CTX-M-1}} may become the major ESC resistance determinant among Canadian poultry. Further monitoring in animal populations and in vivo studies are warranted to test this hypothesis.

Conserved IncHI1/FIA(H11)/ST2 plasmids were identified among all but one racetrack horse isolate from this study, but not in other horses from the local population served by the OVC large animal clinic which showed more diversity in plasmid type. These IncHI1/FIA (H11)/ST2 plasmids were found in seven different \textit{E. coli} STs, suggesting that clonal expansion was not the major driver in the spread of this plasmid within the racetrack. The racetrack sampled in this study frequently boards horses of international origins. In contrast, horses entering the OVC large animal clinic are more likely to be from the local Ontario community. This could explain the difference in the types of plasmids recovered from each source. The racetrack represents an environment with fewer opportunities for interference from outside sources when compared to community farms that may be more heavily influenced by other animals and humans. Highly similar IncHI1 plasmids are circulating in \textit{E. coli} from horses in European
countries [34, 35]. Although the dominant plasmid sequence type detected in European horses has been ST9, ST2 has also been reported [35]. When comparing the plasmids recovered in this study to those identified in Europe, the racetrack horse plasmids (ST2) show a higher degree of genetic diversity than the European isolates (mainly ST9) within their core genes. Additionally, a representative IncHI1/ST2 plasmid (p10068) from the Czech Republic is no more or less similar to other IncHI1/ST2 plasmids recovered in this study than they are to ST9 plasmids from Europe. Additionally, the lack of diversity among IncHI1/ST9 plasmids in comparison to IncHI1/ST2 plasmids may suggest that IncHI1/ST9 plasmids may have emerged more recently than the IncHI1/ST2 plasmids.

Lastly, differences seen between the various horse populations explored (community vs. racetrack) may also be the result of the racetrack representing an environment with fewer opportunities for interference from environmental and human sources. It should be noted that the availability of community-derived horse samples was limited in comparison to those from the racetrack horses described in this study. Therefore, the results obtained here may not have fully captured the diversity of \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-1} plasmids circulating the Ontario horse population or the presence of a conserved plasmid population similar to the one found in the racetrack horses.

Many factors could be contributing to the dominance of this large IncHI1/FIA(HI1)/ST2 plasmid among racetrack horse isolates. First, these plasmids provide resistance to a large number of antibiotics. To our knowledge, there has been no data on antimicrobial use in horses in Canada reported recently. However, discussions with veterinary practitioners suggest that penicillin, gentamicin, and trimethoprim-sulfonamide combinations are among the first-line antimicrobials administered through the OVC (personal communication: Dr. Luis Arroyo Castro, OVC), falling in line with what had been reported in the early 2000s [65]. Frequent use of these antimicrobials would provide the opportunity for direct selection and co-selection of ESC resistance, and potentially support their persistence. Similar to the IncI1 plasmids recovered in this study, an addiction system was also found on the conserved IncHI1/FIA(HI1) plasmids. In the absence of antimicrobial use, this system would help to maintain this particularly large plasmid within the population. Lastly, these plasmids may provide a yet unknown additional fitness advantage for the bacterium, other than that afforded by the \textit{fos} operon.

The \textit{fos} operon involved in short-chain fructooligosaccharide metabolism is suspected to contribute to the success of the strains carrying IncHI1 plasmids in the equine intestinal tract [66]. Despite their presence in a variety of genetically unrelated isolates and widespread presence in the racetrack horses, none of the IncHI1/ST2 plasmids recovered in this study carried the \textit{fos} operon. The single IncHI1/ST9 plasmid recovered from a dog isolate was the only one in this study to possess this carbon utilization system. These results mirror that of Valcek et al., 2021 [35] who found that IncHI/ST2 plasmids did not carry the \textit{fos} operon, whereas IncHI1/ST9 plasmids did. Therefore, one can wonder whether there are additional characteristics of IncHI1 plasmids other than the \textit{fos} operon that could also be contributing to their success in horse populations. Based on the findings from Valcek et al., 2021 [35] it is possible that the \textit{fos} operon provides some advantage for IncHI1/ST9 plasmids, over IncHI1/ST2. However, IncHI1/ST9 plasmids may have yet to appear in the racetrack surveyed in this study, thereby limiting any comparisons that can be made between the success of IncHI1/ST9 vs. IncHI1/ST2 plasmids in this horse population.

**Conclusions**

Our observations show that similar to what has been observed in animals in Europe, a conserved epidemic IncI1/ST3 plasmid is predominantly responsible for the dissemination of \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-1} across a variety of animal species in Canada. More extensive studies comparing \textit{bla}_{CTX-M-1}
plasmids from a diverse set of geographical regions are warranted to further clarify the relationships between international IncI1/ST3 plasmids and their transmission pathways. Due to the diversity of isolates often found carrying the conserved $\text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$ plasmids, and based upon results from previous conjugation studies [67], we hypothesize that the success of $\text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$ in chicken may, in part, be the result of enhanced conjugative abilities of these plasmids.

Isolates from racetrack horses carried mostly IncHI1/FIA(HI1) plasmids. Based on pairwise SNP comparisons this is likely the result of the intercontinental movement of horses. The $\text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$ plasmids circulating in the bacteria from this racehorse population seem to differ from those found in the local Canadian horse population and also differ from the more conserved main ST9 plasmids found in European horses.

The dominant presence of two conserved $\text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$ plasmids in chicken and racehorses, respectively, warrants further investigations on the mechanisms and plasmid characteristics that are contributing to the overwhelming success of these plasmids. The absence of the $\text{fos}$ operon in the plasmids of this study suggests that additional factors and host adaptations may be involved in this success. Explanations for the lack of penetration of these plasmids in bacterial pathogens from humans in Canada are also needed.
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