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**ABSTRACT**

Introduction: The plants are an alternative source for the management of a number of human disorders because of diverse metabolites.

Aims: Current study targets to probe underexplored therapeutic potentials of Medicago denticulata (M. denticulata) through phytochemical and biological assays.

Methods: The dried whole plant (aerial and root parts) was extracted in succession with dichloromethane (DCM) and methanol by simple maceration process and designated with codes MDWD and MDWM respectively.

Results: The phytochemical investigation uncovered the occurrence of saponins, terpenoids, tannins, phenols and flavonoids in the extracts. MDWD fraction unveiled the highest total phenolic contents 54.47 μg GAE / mg dry weight (DW), The total reducing potential 58.125 μg AAE / mg DW and total antioxidant capacity 81.71 μg AAE / mg, while MDWM fraction revealed maximum flavonoids content 16.72 μg QE / mg DW. The phytotoxicity of methanol and DCM extracts exhibited 73% and 63% growth regulation respectively at concentration of 1000 μg/mL. Extracts revealed antidiabetic activity through α-amylase inhibition. The maximum inhibition i.e. 116.91% was detected at the concentration of 1000 mg / mL by DCM extract.

Conclusion: Dichloromethane and methanolic extracts of M. denticulata are well thought-out as the potential source of antioxidants and could provide immunomodulatory, antifungal, phytotoxic and α-amylase inhibition activities.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The assorted plant kingdom of the world is generally acknowledged for its medicinal significance. Potential medicinal constituents of natural plants have significantly contributed in the development of many herbal therapies used for several diseases around the world. The herbs have been utilized by all cultures for quite a long time. Each area of the world uses herbs indigenous to that area. The remedies derived from plants play very important role in the traditional medicine system, like Ayurveda, Egyptian and Chinese, which represents their recurrent usage till today. Eastern area of Mediterranean have been recognized throughout generations with a rich record of the natural medicinal herbs. A striking number of new drugs had been developed from terrestrial plants being utilized as medicines in China, Egypt, India and Greece from antiquated time. There is a prediction that plants can give potential bioactive compounds for development of new ‘leads’ for example, vinblastine and vincristine used in therapy of cancer. Regardless of much advancement made in synthetic drug research, natural plants and their products are yet considered as significant sources of the medicaments and have wide use in pharma industry. From last few years, there has been increasing curiosity around world to investigate novel and hidden potentials of the traditional herbal preparations. Recent research in the drug discovery from medicinal plants includes multidimensional approach through combination of biological, phytochemical, botanical, and other molecular procedures. The medicinal plants drug discovery keeps on giving new significant leads against different pharmacological targets. Pakistan has been blessed through botanical wealth with di-
verse flora. Generally in deserts, western and northern seashore and mountain areas of Pakistan approximately 6000 taxa comprising the flowering plants were accounted. To best of our knowledge, the most of traditional plants in the vicinity have not been characterized up till now to demonstrate their biological and phytochemical potentials. Thus, current study has been concentrated predominantly on phytochemical and pharmacological profiling of *M. denticulata*.

**Genus Medicago**

The genus *Medicago* L. belonging to family Fabaceae (subfamily Papilionoideae, tribe Trifolieae) comprises of fifty species, of which *Medicago sativa* L. (alfalfa) is renowned. These species are perennial or annual herbs and rarely shrubs. *Medicago polymorpha* (Burr medic) is a common, polymorphic annual legume of Mediterranean origin. It has important value in many dry land farming systems as a self-reseeding and very effective nitrogen fixer. Fresh leaves of *Medicago polymorpha* cooked in water are taken orally to treat indigestion and constipation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Collection**

Plant was gathered from periphery of Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan, during months of March and April. The plant was identified as *Medicago denticulata* (synonyms were *Medicago polymorpha* and Burr Medic) by Dr. Zafar Ullah Zafar, The institute of pure and applied biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan. The voucher no. R.R.Stewart.F.W.Pak.413 was provided to that plant.

**Extraction of plant material**

For effective extraction, the whole plant material was dried at a room temperature for a period of 3 to 4 weeks before it was comminuted to coarse powder and weighed. Extraction of that powdered material was done through simple maceration procedure. Weighed quantity of that powdered plant was soaked in a measured volume of the dichloromethane (DCM) solvent for 24 hours in an extraction bottle before filtration was carried out. Above process was repeated 3 times with dichloromethane solvent. Then extraction of this marc was completed in a similar way by using methanol solvent. To attain crude extract, Dichloromethane and methanol extracts were subjected to dryness in a rotary evaporator (Buchi, Switzerland) under the reduced pressure. The dichloromethane (12 g) and methanol (23.6 g) extracts collected in the separate sample bottles were labeled with codes as MDWD and MDWM respectively.

**Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis**

Preliminary phytochemical examination for extracts was completed as described by.

**Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis**

Total phenolic along with flavonoid contents were measured by following described procedures.

**Estimation of total phenolics**

Total phenolic contents (TPC) of *M. denticulata* fractions were determined using a method based on the study of with a slight change. Briefly, 20 µL of the each fraction was put in the 96-well plate, followed through adding 90 µL of diluted FolinCiochette reagent in the each well plate. After incubating that mixture for five minutes at a room temperature 6% sodium carbonate solution (90 µL) was added and then incubated again for 1 hour at a room temperature. The absorbance was documented on microplate reader at 630 nm wavelength. Value of total phenolic content was determined as gallic acid equivalent (GAE).

**Estimation of total flavonoids**

Aluminum chloride based colorimetric technique was utilized for quantification of total flavonoid content (TFC), using quercetin as a standard. Concisely, the reaction mixture comprising 20 µL of the sample solution (20 mg/mL DMSO), 10% aluminum chloride (10 µL), 1M potassium acetate (10 µL), and 160 µL of distilled water was incubated for half an hour at room temperature. Then, spectrophotometric absorbance of this mixture was determined at 415 nm wavelength and total phenolic content was communicated µg QE/mg DW.

**Total Antioxidant Capacity (Phosphomolybdate Assay)**

For determination of antioxidant capacity of samples Phosphomolybdate technique was utilized. The assay mixture (1000 microliter) containing sodium phosphate (0.028 M), H$_2$SO$_4$ (0.6 M) and 0.004 M ammonium molybdate was transferred to the sample tubes holding 100 µL test sample. The mixture samples were cooled after heating for 1.5 hours at 95 °C hot water bath. The absorbance of reaction mixture was recorded at 765 nm. As reference standard ascorbic acid was utilized.

**Total Reducing Power (Potassium Ferricyanide Colorimetric Assay)**

Reducing powers of the extracts were evaluated as per process described previously by. Briefly, the mixture containing 200 µL of test extract (4 mg/mL DMSO), 0.2 mol/L phosphate buffer having pH value 6.6 (400 µL and) and 1% potassium ferricyanide [K$_3$Fe(CN)$_6$] were incubated at temperature 50°C for twenty minutes. About 400
µL trichloroacetic acid (10%) was added to above mixture and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for ten minutes at a room temperature. A 500 µL solution from upper layer, distilled water (500 µL) and 100 µL FeCl₃ (0.1%) were mixed. The absorbance was noted down at 700 nm wavelength and an increased value of absorbance of the mixture is related with increased reducing power. For the preparation of blank instead of the extract a 200 µL of DMSO was added to above mentioned reaction mixture. Reducing powers of those samples were stated as per µg ascorbic acid equivalent per mg plant dry weight (µg AAE/mg DW).²³

**BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION**

**α-amylase inhibitory assay**

The assay was performed with the help of modified procedure described by²⁴ An amount 250 µL of the extract solution was placed in the tube followed by the addition of equal volume of sodium phosphate buffer (0.02M) having pH=6.9 comprising 0.5 mg/mL of α-amylase solution. After pre-incubation of this solution at 25°C for ten minutes, an amount 250 µL of the starch solution (1%) in a sodium phosphate buffer (0.02M) having pH=6.9 was added in different intervals of time and after that incubation was done for 10 minutes at 25°C. Reaction was ended through addition of 500 µL of dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) reagent. Tubes were incubated in the boiling water for five minutes and then cooled at room temperature. After diluting with distilled water (5 mL), the absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured by the use of spectrophotometer at 540 nm wavelength. By the use of same procedure control was set through substituting extract by distilled water. Then α-amylase inhibitory potential was determined as %inhibition by the following given formula.²⁵

\[
\text{% Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Abs Control} - \text{Abs extracts}}{\text{Abs Control}} \times 100
\]

**Antifungal assay**

Agar tube dilution assay was performed for screening of extracts about their in vitro antifungal action against Aspergillus flavus, Candida albicans, Fusarium solani and Microsporum canis. Test samples were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to prepare stock solution. Sabouraud dextrose agar (4%) was prepared in the distilled water. After dissolving the contents with the help of magnetic stirrer, known quantity was distributed into the screw capped tubes. These tubes holding media were then autoclaved for 15 minutes at 121°C. Then after cooling these tubes to 50°C, test samples were taken from the stock solution into non-solidified Sabouraud agar medium. Then at room temperature the tubes were permitted to solidify. Inoculation of each tube was done using piece of the inoculum (4mm diameter) detached from 7 days old fungi growth culture. Incubation of the tubes containing culture was done for growth at optimal temperature 28–30°C for seven days. Humidity (40-50%) in the incubator was constrained by placement of open pan filled with water. These cultures during incubation were observed twice in week. The standard drugs in this assay were Miconazole and Amphotericin B. % Inhibition of fungal growth was determined by formula given.²⁶

\[
\% \text{Inhibition} = 100 - \frac{\text{Linear growth in test (mm)}}{\text{Linear growth in control (mm)}} \times 100
\]

**Immunomodulatory assay**

In Luminol-enhanced chemiluminescence assay a 25 µL of diluted whole blood HBSS⁺⁺ (Hanks Balanced Salt Solution, comprising magnesium chloride and calcium chloride) [Sigma, St. Louis, USA] was incubated along 25 µL extract sample that have a concentration of 50µg/mL. The control wells contain cells and HBSS⁺⁺ only, no extract sample. Performance of this test was made in white half area of the 96 well plates [Costar, NY, USA], that was incubated for fifteen minutes at 37°C in the thermostat chamber of a luminometer [Lab systems, Helsinki, Finland]. After incubation, an addition of a 25 µL of serum opsonized zymosan (SOZ) [Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland] and 25 µL of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) perceiving probe, luminol [Research Organics, Cleveland, OH, USA] was done in each well, with the exception of blank wells that contain HBSS⁺⁺ only). The level of ROS was documented in the luminometer in terms of a relative light units (RLU).²⁷

**Phytotoxicity assay**

In this assay, E-Medium was prepared by mixing a number of constituents in 1L distilled water, the adjustment of pH 6-7 was done by addition of potassium hydroxide pellets (stock solution). The working E-medium was prepared via mixing 900 mL distilled water and 100 mL stock solution. 30 mg of the extract sample was dissolved into 1.5 mL of methanol to make a stock solution of sample. Extract sample was pipetted from stock solution into 3 flasks. The solvent of 3 flasks containing 10, 100 and 1000 µg/mL concentration of extract was evaporated over a night. Working E-medium (20 mL) along with plant Lemna minor (each having rosette of 2 - 3 fronds) were added to every flask (total = 20 fronds). The standard drug (paraquat) was present in other flask supplemented with E-medium. The number of fronds was note down in each flask at 7th day after keeping the flasks in the growth cabinet. The results were evaluated as percentage growth regulation by comparing with negative control.²⁸
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Phytotoxicity bioassay

The phytotoxic capability of dichloromethane and methanol extracts of whole plant was examined with the help of Lemma minor phytotoxicity bioassay. Growth regulation (%) revealed by crude extracts is presented in Table 2. Results exposed that sample MDWM indicated % growth regulation 26% and 36% at the concentration of 10 and 100µg/mL respectively, but at concentration of 1000µg/mL, it presented 73% growth regulation. While sample MDWD indicated % growth regulation 21% and 26% at a concentration of a 10 and 100µg/mL respectively, however at concentration 1000 µg/mL, it revealed 63% growth regulation. In this way, the extracts exposed low growth regulation at concentrations 10 and 100µg/mL however appeared to have moderate % growth regulation at high concentration value (1000 µg/mL) by comparing with a standard drug.

% Regulation = \[
\frac{\text{Number of fronds in test samples}}{\text{Number of fronds in negative control}} \times 100
\]

RESULTS

Preliminary phytochemicals screening

The extracts were preliminarily evaluated for presence of different phytochemical groups with the help of precise chemical-based methods. As indicated by qualitative screening, presence of the terpenoids, tannins, saponins, phenols, and flavonoids was declared in these extracts. The results indicating preliminary phytochemical screening of the extracts are presented in Table 1.

Phytotoxicity bioassay

The phytotoxic capability of dichloromethane and methanol extracts of whole plant was examined with the help of Lemma minor phytotoxicity bioassay. Growth regulation (%) revealed by crude extracts is presented in Table 2. Results exposed that sample MDWM indicated % growth regulation 26% and 36% at the concentration of 10 and 100µg/mL respectively, but at concentration of 1000µg/mL, it presented 73% growth regulation. While sample MDWD indicated % growth regulation 21% and 26% at a concentration of a 10 and 100µg/mL respectively, however at concentration 1000 µg/mL, it revealed 63% growth regulation. In this way, the extracts exposed low growth regulation at concentrations 10 and 100µg/mL however appeared to have moderate % growth regulation at high concentration value (1000 µg/mL) by comparing with a standard drug.

Immunomodulatory activity

Luminol-enhanced chemiluminescence assay showed that sample MDWD had moderate activity against ROS (reactive oxygen species) with 55.9% inhibition while sample MDWM showed insignificant immunomodulatory activity with 38.9% inhibition. The results of immunomodulatory activity are given in Table 3. Ibuprofen was used as standard for this assay with % inhibition at 25 μg/mL = 73.2%

α-amylase inhibitory activity

The extracts were also assessed for α-amylase inhibition activity through standard in-vitro α-amylase inhibition assay. Extracts showed highest inhibitory action against α-amylase. The %age inhibition results of Medicago denticulata extracts on activity of α-amylase are given in Table 4.

The %age inhibition of α-amylase increases by increasing concentration of extract as presented in the graph (Figure 1).

Antifungal activity

Antifungal activity of M. denticulata extracts against 4 strains of fungi namely Aspergillus flavus, Fusarium solani, Candida albicans and Microsporum canis is mentioned in Table 5. The information obtained indicates a moderate antifungal action shown by extracts contrary to tested strains. Extracts indicated varying degree of activity against more or less all tested fungi. The maximum inhibition zone was revealed by MDWM extract against Microsporum canis. The effects were compared using standard drugs Amphotericin B and Miconazole. (Table 5)

Total phenolic and flavonoid contents

The recorded values of TFC and TPC in the extracts of M. denticulata are given in Table 6. The total gallic acid equivalent phenol range in the extracts was 41.357 to 54.472 μg GAE/mg DW with maximum content quantified into MDWD extract. Total flavonoid content determination presented that among the extracts, maximum amount of quercetin equivalent flavonoids 16.729 μg QE/mg DW were noted in MDWM extract followed by MDWD extract (13.493μg QE/mg DW).

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and total reducing power (TRP)

Phenolic components in several medicinal plants have been demonstrated to be promising contributor towards the antioxidant activity. It is shown that among plant extracts tested, MDWD extract was found to reveal highest antioxidant and reducing potential 81.716μg AAE /mg DW and 58.125 μg AAE/mg DW respectively. The phosphomolybdenum based antioxidant capacity and reductive power outcomes of Medicago denticulata extracts are given in Table 6.

DISCUSSION

Considering the results of the qualitative screening, these plant extracts are assumed to contain some health-promoting phytochemicals like terpenoids, tannins, saponins, phenols and flavonoids. The presence of these secondary metabolites in various medicinal plants belonging to different families was also reported by.20 The past investigations confirm that Medicago genus is appreciated source of the saponins. Triternpene saponins were recognized in Medicago polymorpha as glycosides of hedegenin, echinocystic acid, bayogenin, caulophyllogenin and soyasapogenol B. The Echinocystic acid, (detected 67.3 ± 0.9% of the total sapogenins), was a main aglycone in Medicago species.30,32

A moderate immunomodulatory activity of dichloromethane extract with 55.9% inhibition was exhibited by the plant. The literature about the reported immunomodulatory activities of medicinal plants supports the current findings. Several plants native to Iran were examined for immunomodulatory properties and at higher concentrations these extracts exhibited peripheral blood lymphocytes (isolated
from the healthy individual) inhibitory potential. These inhibitory properties of some plants may be due to the induction of apoptosis.\textsuperscript{13} The inhibition of ROS generation in whole blood phagocytes by herbal plants has already been reported.\textsuperscript{34} Methanolic extract of \textit{Enicostema axillare} acts on cell-mediated and humoral immune functions, reducing release of inflammatory cytokines in peritoneal macrophages.\textsuperscript{35} An alcoholic extract from the bark of \textit{Mangifera indica} Linn (having 2.6\% mangiferin), was explored for its potential on the humoral and cell-mediated components of mice immune system. It revealed promising immunostimulatory effects.\textsuperscript{36}

\textit{M. denticulata} has antifungal potential like other \textit{Medicago} species. The maximum inhibition zone was revealed by MDWM extract against \textit{Microsporum canis}. Antifungal effect of triterpenoidal glycosides from \textit{Medicago sativa} has also been previously reported.\textsuperscript{37}

Among plant extracts tested, maximum phenolic contents were quantified into MDWD extract. This extract also revealed highest antioxidant and reducing potential 81.716 µg AAE /mg DW and 58.125 µg AAE/mg DW respectively. A significant correlation among reducing power, antioxidant capacity, and the total phenolic contents were shown in the investigation, signifying that the phenolic compounds may be main contributor towards antioxidant potential of that plant. Numerous classes of polyphenols, including phenolic acids, flavonoids, lignans, and stilbenes present in the medicinal plants had been linked to their antioxidant potentials which are helpful in preventing age-related diseases, mainly produced via oxidative stress.\textsuperscript{38,39} Thus, currently phenols and flavonoids assurance in these extracts propose this medicinal plant to be a source of valuable natural antioxidants. The previously reported antioxidant activity of \textit{Medicago polymorpha} extract against free radicals for example DPPH, ABTS and significant reducing power \textsuperscript{40,41} support the above findings.

Phytotoxicity is an important factor to consider when determining a plant’s allelopathic potential. The determination of a plant’s phytotoxicity aids in the development of biological herbicides or naturally occurring plant growth regulators. The necessity for phytotoxic compounds cannot be overstated, as the majority of the agricultural products are affected by weeds. Human health is negatively impacted by synthetic herbicides. Researchers are battling to find an effective, safe and human health-friendly phytotoxic compounds. Due to the occurrence of natural phytotoxic components in the current studied plant make it valuable to isolate important agro-chemicals. Phytotoxic effects of crude methanolic extracts of various parts of some medicinal plants on the germination of radish seeds were investigated.\textsuperscript{42} The phytotoxicity of medicinal plants was also described by.\textsuperscript{43}

The extracts showed a concentration dependent significant α-amylase inhibitory activity. α-amylase catalyses hydrolysis of 1,4-glycosidic bonds of carbohydrates into monosaccharide single sugar units that can be absorbed more easily from intestine. Inhibition of α- amylose enzyme in human digestive tract is thought to be useful in treating diabetes by reducing of glucose absorption.\textsuperscript{44} It is indicated from the studies that \textit{Azadirachta indica}, \textit{Mangifera indica} and \textit{Murraya koenigii} are beneficial to manage postprandial hyperglycemia.\textsuperscript{45} The pancreatic α-amylase inhibitory action of roselle (\textit{Hibiscus sabdariffa} Linn.) tea extract was described by.\textsuperscript{46} α-amylase enzyme was significantly inhibited by \textit{Phyllanthus amarus} suggesting that the plant contains potential α-amylase inhibitor compounds that may contribute to its antidiabetic activity.\textsuperscript{47}

\section*{CONCLUSION}

The current study concludes \textit{Medicago denticulata} as a potential source of phytochemicals. The dichloromethane extract (MDWD) and methanolic extract (MDWM) have potential phenolic and flavonoid contents with important biological activities. Maximum gallic acid equivalent (GAE) total phenolic contents [54.47 and 41.35 µg GAE/mg dry weight] and quercetin equivalent (QE) total flavonoid contents (13.49 and 16.72 µg QE /mg DW) were documented in DCM and methanolic extracts of \textit{M. denticulata} respectively. Maximum antioxidant capacity represented as ascorbic acid equivalent in DCM and methanol extracts was 81.71 and 72.01 µg AAE /mg DW respectively, however reducing power noted as ascorbic acid equivalent in DCM and methanol extracts was 58.12 & 54.51 01µg AAE /mg DW. Screened plant extracts are found to have adequate antioxidant potential which may be credited to the existence of flavonoid and phenolic content. The effects of immunomodulatory activity conclude that sample MDWD revealed moderate activity against ROS. The findings also confirm the antifungal, phytotoxic and α-amylase inhibitory potential of these extracts. Inhibition of enzyme α-amylase (responsible to hydrolyze carbohydrates) is a significant tactic in lowering postprandial blood glucose level. Obtained results demand further pharmacological description and the bioactivity guided isolation of those components that are responsible for these observed activities.
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### Table 1: Qualitative phytochemical analysis

| Phytochemicals         | MDWD | MDWM |
|------------------------|------|------|
| Flavonoids             |      |      |
| Lead acetate test      | +    | +    |
| H₂SO₄ test             | +    | +    |
| Phenols                |      |      |
| Ferric chloride test   | +    | +    |
| Lead acetate test      | +    | +    |
| Saponins               |      |      |
| Froth formation        | +    | +    |
| Terpenoids             |      |      |
| Salkowski’s test       | +    | +    |
| Tannins                | +    | +    |

(+): Present

### Table 2: Phytotoxic activity of extracts by *Lemna minor* bioassay

| Extract | Conc. of extract (µg/mL) | No. of fronds | % Growth regulation |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
|         | Sample | Control |          |                  |
| MDWM    | 1000   | 05      | 12      | 19                | 73%                |
|         | 100    |         | 14      | 19                | 36%                |
|         | 10     |         | 14      | 19                | 26%                |
| MDWD    | 1000   | 07      | 14      | 19                | 63%                |
|         | 100    |         | 14      | 19                | 26%                |
|         | 10     |         | 15      |                   | 21%                |

### Table 3: Results of immunomodulatory activity

| Extract code | Concentration (µg/mL) | % Inhibition |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| MDWD         | 50                    | 55.9         |
| MDWM         | 50                    | 38.9         |
Table 4: Results of α-amylase inhibitory activity

| Samples | Concentration mg/mL | Absorbance | Control (Absorbance) | %age inhibition |
|---------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|
| MDWD    | 150                 | 0.222      | 1.17                 | 98.02564       |
|         | 250                 | 0.112      | 1.17                 | 107.4274       |
|         | 500                 | 0.008      | 1.17                 | 116.3162       |
|         | 1000                | 0.001      | 1.17                 | 116.9145       |
| MDWM    | 150                 | 0.77       | 1.17                 | 51.18803       |
|         | 250                 | 0.663      | 1.17                 | 60.33333       |
|         | 500                 | 0.554      | 1.17                 | 69.64957       |
|         | 1000                | 0.401      | 1.17                 | 82.7265        |
| Standard (Acarbose) | 150 | 0.934 | 1.17 | 37.17094 |
|         | 250                 | 0.781      | 1.17                 | 50.24786       |
|         | 500                 | 0.665      | 1.17                 | 60.16239       |
|         | 1000                | 0.451      | 1.17                 | 78.45299       |

Table 5: Antifungal activity of M. denticulata extracts

| Name of fungus | Observation | MDWD | MDWM | Standard Drug | % Inhibition |
|----------------|-------------|------|------|---------------|--------------|
| Candida albicans | % Inhibition | 21   | 32   | Miconazole    | 110.8        |
| Result          | +           | +    |      |               |              |
| Aspergillus flavus | % Inhibition | 10   | 20   | Amphotericin B | 20.20        |
| Result          | +           | +    |      |               |              |
| Microsporum canis | % Inhibition | 32   | 34   | Miconazole    | 98.4         |
| Result          | +           | +    |      |               |              |
| Fusarium solani | % Inhibition | 21   | 32   | Miconazole    | 73.25        |
| Result          | +           | +    |      |               |              |

Table 6: Results of total phenolic contents (TPC), total flavonoid contents (TFC), total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and total reducing power (TRP)

| Extracts | TPC (µg GAE/mg) | TFC (µg QE/mg) | TAC (µg AAE/mg) | TRP (µg AAE/mg) |
|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| MDWD     | 54.4726776     | 13.49383667    | 81.71641791     | 58.125          |
| MDWM     | 41.3579235     | 16.72958398    | 72.01492537     | 54.51584507     |

Figure 1: % age inhibition of α-amylase.