The prognosis of autotransplanted tooth on molar region: 5 years follow up cases
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ABSTRACT

Tooth autotransplantation is the transplantation of embedded, impacted, or erupted teeth from one site to another in the same individual, into surgically prepared socket or extraction sites [1]. According to recent prospective studies, the overall 1-year survival rate of tooth autotransplantation was 96% and the 5-year survival rate was 84% [2].

This is a possible option for the replacement of missing or extracted permanent tooth, for example, in cases of congenital tooth agenesis, malformation, unrestorable carries, or traumatic injuries, periodontal disease, ectopic eruption, and endodontic failure [3,4]. Autotransplantation is a general procedure in dentistry for replacing a missing tooth or extraction. Benefit of autotransplantation is that implant-supported prosthesis or other prosthetic treatment is not needed. Moreover, the transplanted tooth can serve as a normal tooth when the procedure is successful [2]. Therefore, in addition to improved esthetics and mastication, successful tooth transplantation offers arch space maintenance and preserves the volume and morphology of the alveolar bone [5,6]. The cost is also considerably low in comparison to advanced treatment options such as dental implants and/or prosthetic replacements. Moreover, it can be performed as a single-step surgical procedure. Provided that case selection as carried out meticulously, autotransplantation can serve as a realizable, fast and economical treatment option for patients [7]. The procedure involves atraumatic removal of a donor tooth, creation of a socket at the recipient site, and replantation of the tooth into the new position. In mature teeth, root canal therapy is also necessary [8].

In spite of broad use of dental implants, autogenous tooth transplantation is sometimes difficult. A multitude factors influence to the success rate: including developmental stage of the root, type of tooth, surgical trauma, the time tooth is preserved outside of the alveolar bone, the shape/site of the recipient socket, and vascularity of the recipient bed.

The outcome of the autotransplantation depends on deliberate choice of case, delicate surgical technique and an understanding of the biologic principle. Conditions of the recipient site are diverse, depending on the diagnosis of the tooth. The success rate of autotransplantation is
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affected by age of patients, developmental stage of donor tooth, surgical technique without any trauma during extraction and storage of donor tooth [9]. The factors affecting the results of tooth autotransplantation have been reported in previous studies [10,12,13].

The aim of the present study is to present two clinical cases of tooth autotransplantation and to assess the prognosis of tooth autotransplantation on molar region.

**Case Report**

**Case 1**

A 35-year-old, nonsmoking and not medically compromised female patient presented severe bone loss and mobility degree 3 in maxillary left first molar (Fig. 1). After extraction of the tooth, clinical and radiographic examinations showed the suitability of both donor tooth (maxillary right third molar) (Fig. 2) and recipient site. Maxillary right third molar was transplanted and surgical wound was sutured (Fig. 3). Root canal therapy was carried out 2 weeks after autotransplantation. After the endodontic treatment was completed, a temporary tooth was given. Confirming that there was almost no mobility of the tooth and no problem in mastication, the permanent prosthesis was set after the one month of the treatment.

One year later, patient complained of pain associated with left mandibular second molars and the mobility of the, so the tooth was extracted.

The patient was followed after one week, two weeks, nine months (Fig. 4), three years (Fig. 5), and five years (Fig. 6). Radiographic and clinical evaluation of maxillary autotransplanted tooth satisfactory tooth stability and healthy gingival condition.

**Case 2**

A 34-year-old, smoking and not medically compromised male patient presented extraction of mandibular right sec-
Evaluation of autotransplanted tooth

ond molar and autotransplantation of mandibular right third molar (Fig. 8) to the extraction socket was planned (Fig. 9). Mandibular right second molar revealed severe bone resorption (Fig. 7). After tooth autotransplantation, clinical and radiographic examinations were followed (Fig. 10 and 11). Radiographic examination after ten months presented healing uneventful (Fig. 12). Clinical and radiographic examination after five years showed gingival swelling and bone resorption (Fig. 13). There were severe bone resorption and pathologic periodontal signs (deep pocket depth) after seven years. Finally, autotransplanted tooth was extracted.

In both cases, endodontic treatment was performed at 2 weeks after the tooth autotransplant. It was recommended that endodontic treatment be started within 7-10 days after tooth transplantation in order to prevent a pulpal origin infection [15].

Discussion

Autotransplantation of teeth provide a new treatment
choice for some clinical cases. Moreover, advantages of tooth autotransplantation is better alternative than fixed or removable prosthodontics. Autotransplantation of a third molar is sometimes an appropriate alternative for replacement of a first or second molar, with conventional prosthetic treatment of implant restoration [9]. The costs for tooth transplantation are much less that for implant restoration or crown and bridge prosthetic treatment.

Studies on transplanted teeth have shown teeth with incomplete root formation would undergo revascularization. Moreover, patients of young ages are usually considered to be more suitable candidates for tooth transplantation [6].
The reason for initial infection of the autotransplanted tooth could be due to unskilled surgical technique or inappropriate surgical procedure. The presence of entire and undamaged periodontal ligament tissue on the root surface of the donor tooth is the most important factor that affects the healing of an autotransplanted teeth [12]. The extraoral time of donor tooth significantly affects the postsurgical healing [13]. The extraoral time in these cases were in 15 minutes, which was not noticeably long. Another possible reason for lost tooth may be poor adaptability between the recipient bed and donor tooth [14]. It may not be provided insufficient blood supply.

In general, the most common reason for failure of the tooth autotransplantation is root resorption. Root resorption and ankylosis is strongly related to damage to the root surface during surgical procedure and infection of the pulp [10,11]. Infected dental pulp tissue is the primary reason of a failure of transplantation to heal. To prevent the risk of these infections, it is recommended that the endodontic treatment be preceded. In this study, endodontic treatment was applied to all the patients within 2 weeks. Blood clots were observed just after the transplantation, and within 3 days after transplantation, macrophages migrated to the wound area following the formation of granulation tissue, which indicated the latter stage of inflammation. Moreover, on the 7th day, connective tissue adherence on the root surface was shown. So it is advisable that the endodontic treatment perform after 7-10 days for initial stabilization [15,16].

Implant procedures are becoming more common, concern to save natural tooth seems to be decreased. As seen in long-term follow up of tooth autotransplantation, it is a very valuable method to replace missing teeth. However, tooth autotransplantation is not recommended for patients with poor oral hygiene. Transplanted teeth have the same risks as natural teeth with caries and periodontal disease. Therefore, periodic follow up and supportive treatment should be carried out. Maintenance and good compliance of patients are necessary for satisfactory long-term results.

Careful consideration to minimize damage the periodontal ligament should be given during extraction and positioning of donor tooth. Moreover, extraoral time of donor tooth must be reduced as possible. It should be studied the preserving solution of donor tooth and surgical technique for complete healing of transplanted tooth.

Previous studies have reported a 5-year survival rate of 84% after tooth autotransplantation. Each autotransplanted tooth of both cases survived up to five years in this study. One of the patients in this study reported that the mobility of transplanted tooth was increased and felt discomfort during mastication after seven years later. As a result, extraction of the tooth was performed. In this study, tooth autotransplantation was performed at the site of bone loss due to moderate or higher periodontal disease. In the second case where a severe bone loss to the apical region was observed, the autotransplanted tooth was unstable after more than 5 years. Therefore, additional studies on the long-term prognosis of tooth autotransplantation depending on the extent and the shape of the bone loss in the recipient site will be necessary.
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