Isolation of Bacteria with Potential Plant-Promoting Traits and Optimization of Their Growth Conditions
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Abstract
This research aimed at investigating the isolation and identification of bacterial strains with biological nitrogen-fixing capability and phosphate, potassium, and zinc solubilization activities from a durum wheat field under two different tillage practices including 10 years of conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT) practices. Attempts were also extended to estimate their relative abundances in the soil as well as to develop accurate mathematical models in determining the effect of different temperatures, NaCl concentrations and pH on the growth, and activity of selected isolates. Twelve effective bacterial strains, including Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, and Comamonas genera, were identified with a great potential to solubilize the insoluble forms of phosphate (from 11.1 to 115.5 mg l⁻¹ at pH 8), potassium (from 32.2 to 35.6 mg l⁻¹ at pH 7), and zinc (from 1.11 to 389.90 mg l⁻¹ at pH 9) as well as to fix N₂ gas (from 19.9 to 25.2 mg l⁻¹). To our knowledge, this is the first report of the ability of Comamonas testosteroni and Acinetobacter pittii to fix nitrogen and to solubilize insoluble potassium compound, respectively. Three families, Moraxellaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, and Comamonadaceae, showed a higher percentage of abundance in the NT samples as compared to the CT, but only significant difference was observed in the relative abundance of Pseudomonadaceae (P < 0.01). These strains could be definitively recommended as inoculants to promote plant growth in the wide ranges of pH, salinity levels (with maximum growth and complete inhibition of growth from 0.67–0.92% to 3.5–9.3% NaCl, respectively), and temperatures (2.1–45.1 °C).

Introduction
Wheat cultivation is the main farmers’ income source in the Mediterranean area. This plant requires about 15–30 kg nitrogen (N), 3–5 kg phosphorus (P), 3–6 kg potassium (K), and 0.03–0.06 kg Zinc (Zn) per ton of grain yield [1, 2]. Since total grain production of durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) in Italy, one of the leaders in the world is around 42.46 million tons in 2018 [3], it can be easily estimated how many megatons of these nutrients are needed for wheat cultivation as an annual removal from soil. On the other hand, much more chemical fertilizers are generally applied to supply essential nutrients to the soil–plant system throughout the world, because some elements once applied are not easily available to the plant [4]. For example, less than half (10–40%) of the applied nitrogen in the field is effectively absorbed by plants, and 60–90% of chemical N fertilizers are generally lost by nitrate leaching or ammonia volatilization [5]. Also, P absorption by the wheat plant is only about 20% of the chemical fertilizer applied at the first year [2]. In addition, some elements dissolve relatively slowly in soil, taking too much time to supply adequate amounts required for plant growth [4]. Therefore, farmers usually apply huge amounts of chemical fertilizers, which can result in negative impact on human health and the environment such as soil pollution and/or greenhouse-gas generation [6]. This problem is associated with costs and availability of chemical fertilizers which are real issues of today’s agriculture [7].

Therefore, interest has grown in eco-friendly and cost-effective agro-technologies to enhance crop production and reduce the chemical fertilizers input while minimizing negative effects on the environment and food [7, 8]. Soil microbial composition and abundance, as a component of soil ecosystem, play an important role in nutrient availability in soil and nutrient status in the plant [9]. The use of microbial inoculants or naturally occurring plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) in sustainable agriculture is becoming a more widely accepted practice in many parts of the world.
isolation of bacterial strains from soil samples collected at Lavello (Southern Italy, Basilicata region, located at 41°03′ N, 15°42′ E, altitude of 180 m above the average sea level, with an average of 570 mm and 14.5°C of long-term annual rainfall and temperature, respectively). Since soil tillage managements have complex effects on soil physical, chemical, and biological properties which could subsequently affect PGPB activity in soil [14], the potentially beneficial bacterial isolation was performed in a field under two different tillage practices including 10 years of conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT) practices. Both plots have been cultivated for 10 years with a biennial rotation of durum wheat and legumes including broad bean (Vicia faba L.), green pea (Pisum sativum L.), and chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). In both plots, straw and root residues of durum wheat and legumes have been left on the fields. Three composite soil samples from each plot were collected after harvesting of the durum wheat in 2018. A minimum of five sub-samples were taken randomly by hand auger and combined into one composite sample. Fine fraction passing a 2 mm sieve was collected and transported refrigerated at 4°C.

Isolation of Bacterial Strains

5 g of each sample was weighed and then homogenized in a 45 ml sterile Ringer solution and 5 ml pyrophosphate. Microbial communities were desorbed from soil by sonication. Serial dilution (10^{-2} to 10^{-6}) of samples prepared and then poured on Nutrient Agar (NA) plates supplemented with 1% (w/v) cycloheximide; the plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 h [15]. The streaking technique was used on NA plates to get single colonies for further investigation.

Isolation of Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria

Nitrogen fixation capability of isolates was measured in N-free medium [15] containing 5 g malic acid, 0.5 g KH_{2}PO_{4}, 0.2 g MgSO_{4}-7H_{2}O, 0.1 g NaCl, 4.5 g KOH, 1.4% agar, 0.02 g CaCl_{2}, 2 ml micronutrient solution (l^{-1}; 0.04 g CuSO_{4}-5H_{2}O, 1.2 g ZnSO_{4}-7H_{2}O, 1.4 g H_{3}BO_{3}, 1 g Na_{2}MoO_{4}-2H_{2}O, 1.175 g MnSO_{4}-H_{2}O), 2 ml bromothymol blue (0.5% sol 0.2 mol l^{-1} KOH), 1 ml Fe-EDTA (1.64%), 4 ml vitamins solution (100 ml^{-1}; 10 mg biotin, 20 mg pirdixol-HCl) in 1000 ml deionized water, and pH was adjusted to 7.0. A loop full of bacterial overnight growth in Nutrient Broth (NB) was spread on solid N-free medium plates. The experiments were made in triplicate, and a blue halo zone after 7 days of incubation at 30°C was considered as qualitative evidence of N_{2} gas fixation.

Selection of Effective Phosphate, Potassium and Zinc-Solubilizing Strains

Phosphate-solubilizing abilities of all isolated colonies were measured on Pikovskaya agar (PVK) medium [16] containing 10 g glucose, 5 g Ca_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}, 0.5 g (NH_{4})_{2}SO_{4}, 0.2 g NaCl, 0.1 g MgSO_{4}-7H_{2}O, 0.2 g KCl, 0.5 g yeast extract.
Potassium-solubilizing ability was also determined on modified Aleksandrov agar medium containing 3.5 g glucose, 0.5 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.1 g CaCO₃, 0.0005 g FeCl₃, 2.0 g Ca₃(PO₄)₂, 1.0 g insoluble mica powder as potassium source, and 15.0 g agar (1000 ml deionized water and pH 7.0) [17].

Tris-mineral agar medium was used to evaluate zinc-solubilizing ability containing 10.0 g n-glucose, 1.0 g (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.2 g KCl, 0.1 g K₂HPO₄, 0.2 g MgSO₄, 1.244 g zinc oxide (0.1% Zn concentration) in 1000 ml deionized water at pH7.0 [18].

P, K, and Zn solubilization and N fixation efficiency calculated (Eq. 1) by incubating the isolates on agarized PVK, Aleksandrov, Tris-mineral, and N-free mediums at 29 °C for 7 days [19].

\[
NE = \left( \frac{HZ}{C} \right) \times 100, \tag{1}
\]

where NE is the nutrient solubulization or fixation efficiency, HZ the diameter of the solubilization halo zone, and C is the diameter of the colony.

**Quantitative Estimation of P, K, and Zn Solubilization and N Fixation**

Based on the results of the plate assay, four isolates which showed the best solubilization of phosphate, potassium, and zinc, as well as fixation of nitrogen gas, were subjected to quantify the amount of P, K, Zn, and N in the liquid medium. Accordingly, inoculated and non-inoculated (control) liquid mediums were incubated at 29 °C in a shaker at 200 rpm for 14 days. Total-reflection X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (TXRF) technique was used to analyses solubilized P, K, and Zn in the supernatant of the bacterial cultures, as fully described by Yaghoubi et al. [13], using an S2Picofox TXRF Spectrometer (Bruker Nano GmbH, Berlin, Germany). Also, the total N of liquid samples was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Model UDK 149 Automatic Kjeldahl Distillation Unit, VELP Scientifica, Italy) method.

**Effect of pH on Solubilizing Ability of PGPB Isolates**

The PVK, Aleksandrov, and Tris-mineral mediums were used to estimate the effect of five pH values (6, 7, 8, 9, and 10) on P-, K-, and Zn-solubilizing ability of selected isolates, respectively, as previously described.

**Indole Acetic Acid (IAA) Production**

In vitro production of IAA for each isolate was determined colorimetrically following the method of Gordon and Weber [20]. The bacteria were grown in NB media supplemented with l-tryptophan (1 mg ml⁻¹) at 30 °C for 72 h, and then the supernatants were collected by centrifugation at 5500 rpm for 15 min. One ml of the culture filtrate was allowed to react with 4 ml of Salkowsky reagent (1 ml 0.5 M FeCl₃; 30 ml H₂SO₄ 98%; 50 ml distilled water) at room temperature in the dark for 20 min. The optical density (OD) of solution was read at 535 nm in a spectrophotometer (Model Ultrospec 4000, Pharmacia Biotech Inc. USA), and the amount of IAA produced was calculated by comparing with the standard curve prepared with pure IAA.

**Bacterial DNA Extraction and 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing**

Genomic DNA of isolates was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and the 16S rRNA gene PCR amplification was performed by the universal primers 357F and 1401R, corresponding to the position 341–357 and 1385–1401, respectively, of the 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Escherichia coli* [21]. All PCR amplifications were carried out in 25 μl reactions containing 100 ng of total DNA, 10 mM of each 2′-deoxynucleoside 5′-triphosphate (dNTP), 3 U of Taq DNA polymerase (EuroTaq; EuroClone), and 2.5 mM MgCl₂ using a MyCycler™ thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.). The 1060 bp amplicons were purified using the Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System kit (Promega, USA) and sequenced from both ends by Eurofins Genomics (Milan, Italy). The 16S rRNA sequences were aligned using the BLASTn tool against the NCBI database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) to identify the bacterial strains.

**Soil DNA Extraction and Bacterial Community Analyses**

DNA was extracted from soils using the FastDNA® SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Solon, CA, USA). Aliquots of the extracted DNA were sent to the IGA Technology Service in Udine (Italy) for metagenomic analyses; the sequencing was performed through the MiSeq Illumina system platform. QIIME software (version 1.9.1) was used to perform bacterial community analysis as fully described by Kuczynski et al. [22]. Amplification of the variable V3 and V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene was performed in two steps, including a PCR amplification using locus-specific primers and the flow-cell binding sequence (Nextera XT Index Kit, FC-131-1001/FC-131-1002). Aligned sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with a 97% similarity cut-off after removing the singletons or non-bacterial OTUs. Bacterial α-diversity measures (Good’s coverage estimator, Chao1 index, Shannon index, and Simpson index) were computed based on 10,000 reads per samples. A cut-off of
50% of the target sequencing coverage was considered for rarefaction curves endpoints and normalization of counts. A minimum confidence threshold of 50% was also applied to assign the bacterial taxonomy using the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) Naïve Bayesian classifier.

**Modeling Salt Stress Tolerance of the Selected Bacteria**

Different NaCl concentrations including 0.5 (control treatment), 1, 1.5, 2, and 3% (w/v) were used to estimate the bacterial growth under salt stress (NaCl) in Nutrient Broth (NB) medium. The bacterial growth was evaluated by measuring the turbidity at 600 nm in 0, 5, 9, 15, 20, 24, 29, 33, and 48 h of incubation at 29 °C. A logistic equation (Eq. 2) was used to predict the influence of NaCl concentration on isolates growth. Equation (3) is used to estimate the rate of absorbance increase over time (absorbance per hour) at different NaCl concentrations of NB medium [13, 23].

\[
Ab = \frac{Ab_{\text{max}}}{1 + e^{s(T - 50)}},
\]

where \( Ab \) is absorbance at concentration \( x \), \( Ab_{\text{max}} \) is maximum absorbance, \( x_{50} \) is concentration of NaCl required for 50% inhibition of the maximum absorbance, and \( s \) is slope of the curve in \( x_{50} \).

\[
W = \frac{W_{\text{max}}}{1 + e^{-k(t - t_m)}},
\]

where, \( W \) is the absorbance value at time \( t \), \( k \) is a constant that determines the curvature of the growth pattern, and \( t_m \) is the inflection point when the absorbance rate reaches the peak. The absorbance value at \( t_m \) is half of its maximum value \( (W_{\text{max}}) \).

**Modeling the Effect of Temperature on Solubilizing Ability of Selected Bacteria**

Four constant temperatures (4 °C, 18 °C, 30 °C, and 40 °C) were subjected to propose mathematical models for prediction of the beneficial bacterial growth in NB medium during 34 h of incubation. The turbidity of the culture was estimated at 600 nm. Equation (3) is used to quantify the response of potentially beneficial bacterial isolates to temperatures [6]. A modified beta model (Eq. 4) was used to predict the rate of absorbance increase over time (absorbance per hour) at different temperature levels of incubation [24]:

\[
f(T) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{T - T_b}{T_c - T_b} & \text{if } T > T_b \text{ and } T < T_c \\
1 & \text{if } T = T_b \\
0 & \text{if } T \leq T_b \text{ or } T \leq T_c
\end{cases}
\]

where \( T \) is the temperature, \( T_b \) the base temperature, \( T_c \) the optimum temperature, and \( T_o \) the ceiling temperature. The parameters were estimated by the least-squares method using the non-linear regression procedure and repeated optimization method.

**Data Analysis**

Differences among treatment mean (the least significant difference (LSD) test) was calculated using the SPSS software (ver. 16.0 for windows) at a significance level of 0.05. Modeling analyses along with the determination of standard deviation, coefficient of variation, the coefficient of determination, and root-mean-square error as well as the drawing the regression graphs were performed using SigmaPlot software (ver. 14).

**Results**

**Isolation of P, K, and Zn Solubilizing and N₂ Fixing Bacteria**

All bacterial isolates were screened after 3 and 7 days of incubation to determine their P, K, and Zn solubilization and N₂ fixation efficiency on the isolation plates. Accordingly, 17, 14, and 4 isolates were able to produce a clear zone around their colonies on the Pikovskaya, Aleksandrov, and Tris-mineral medium, respectively (Table 1). In detail, 18.6%, 15.4%, and 4.4% of isolates were able to solubilize P, K, and Zn from insoluble compounds in solid mediums. There was not any blue zone around isolates colonies on solid N-free medium after 3 days of incubation, but the appearance of blue zone was considered as a qualitative evidence of N₂ fixation for four isolates after 7 days of incubation (Table 1).

The results of further examination of the potentially beneficial bacterial solubilization and fixation activity in the corresponding liquid medium, after 14 days of inoculation, are presented in Figs. 1, 2, and Table 2. In this regard, the amounts of P release from insoluble phosphate compound by the four best phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) ranged from 11.1 to 115.5 mg l⁻¹. Isolate NT28 had significantly higher P-solubilization ability as compared to other isolates (NT9, NT10, and NT6) (Fig. 1a).

The amount of K released by potassium-solubilizing bacteria (KSB) ranged from 32.2 (isolate NT15) to 35.6 (isolate CT21) mg l⁻¹, which were not significantly different from each other (Fig. 1d). The maximum solubilization occurred when the KSB activities significantly decreased the pH of the medium during the incubation (Fig. 1e). Four NFB isolates showed biological N₂ fixation activity in liquid N-free medium in a range of NH₄-N from 19.9 to 25.2 µg ml⁻¹. The highest N₂ fixation ability was belonged to...
The results showed that the Zn-solubilizing bacteria (ZSB) activities were affected by the pHm of the Tris-mineral medium. All four ZSB isolates did not have solubilization activity at pH 6 and 7, while Zn solubilization was observed in the range of pH 8–10 (Table 2), although these isolates were able to produce a clear zone around their colonies on Tris-mineral agar medium at pH 7 (data not shown). The highest Zn solubilization (389.9 mg l⁻¹) was observed at pH 9 for isolate NT10, which was significantly higher than other isolates. A decrease in the pH of the Tris-mineral medium after 14 days of incubation was observed at starting pH values from 7 to 10, and the final pH of the medium increased to 6.5–6.8 when the incubation pH was 6.0 (Table 2).

There were also significant differences among the capacity of these isolates to produce IAA in the presence of l-tryptophan. Almost all strains produced amounts of IAA from 3 to 8 µg ml⁻¹, while only one isolate (NT28) showed a very high capacity to 21 µg ml⁻¹ (Fig. 3).

Regression of cubic equation models significantly (P < 0.01) fit correlations between pHm and pHf, as well as pHm and solubilized K; the coefficients of determination (r squared) of the equations were 0.77 and 0.92, respectively. The highest amount of solubilization K belonged to pHm 7, which was significantly higher than that in other pHm (Fig. 1f).
The results of 16S rRNA gene sequencing were compared to those of known 16S rRNA sequences using BLAST and the GenBank database (Table 3). Accordingly, 12 strains belonged to 3 different genera (sequence identity > 98%) including *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Comamonas*.

**Molecular Identification of Potentially Beneficial Bacteria and Their Relative Abundance in the Soil**

The results of 16S rRNA gene sequencing were compared to those of known 16S rRNA sequences using BLAST and the GenBank database (Table 3). Accordingly, 12 strains belonged to 3 different genera (sequence identity > 98%) including *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Comamonas*.

![Graphs and charts showing quantitative estimation of P solubilization, pH of PVK medium, relationship between pHm and pHf, and solubilized P by PSB strain NT28, quantitative estimation of K solubilization, and relationship between pHm and pHf and solubilized K by KSB strain CT21.](image)

**Fig. 1** Quantitative estimation of P solubilization (mg l⁻¹) (a); pH of PVK medium after the 14 days of incubation (pH₆) at starting pH of 7 (pH₇) (b); relationship between the pH₇ and pH₆ and solubilized P (mg l⁻¹) by PSB strain (NT28) (c). Quantitative estimation of K solubilization (mg l⁻¹) (d); pH of Aleksandrov medium at pH₇ of 7 (e); relationship between the pH₇ and pH₆ and solubilized K (mg l⁻¹) by KSB strain (CT21) (f). Means followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different based on the least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 probability level.
the best PSB, KSB, ZSB, and NFB strains were Acinetobacter pittii, Acinetobacter oleivorans, Acinetobacter calcoaceticus, and Comamonas testosteroni, respectively (Table 3). Relative abundances (%) of bacterial Phyla (> 1%) are given in Fig. 4a. The most abundant phylum in the CT and NT soil samples was Proteobacteria, equal to 27.5 and 27.8% of the total sequences, followed by Actinobacteria (24.5 and 23.7%), respectively. Among the less represented phyla, Firmicutes and Verrucomicrobia were more abundant in CT plots as compared to the NT soils, while Bacteroidetes and Planctomycetes were significantly more present in the NT soil samples (Fig. 4a). Nine abundant families with a relative abundance >2% were detected in soil samples (Fig. 4b). Accordingly, Bacillaceae and Chthoniobacteraceae were significantly more abundant in the CT soils as compared to the NT soils. Conversely, the significantly greater relative abundance of Bradyrhizobiaceae, Chitinophagaceae, and Nocardioidaceae detected in the NT samples (Fig. 4b).

The comparison of the presence of phylum, families, and genera where our potentially beneficial bacteria belong to, in NT and CT soil samples, are presented in Table 4. All 12 bacterial strains belonged to the Proteobacteria phylum and three families (Moraxellaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, and Comamonadaceae), which were more present in the NT samples as compared to the CT, although only significantly for Pseudomonadaceae (P < 0.01). Similarly, at the genera level, the presence of Pseudomonas and Comamonas genera in NT was significantly higher when compared to the CT (Table 4).

The number of 410,128 high-quality sequences was detected with a 300-bp read length. Good's coverage rate (0.86) showed that the number of sequencing reads was sufficient to estimate bacterial diversity (Table 5). There was no significant difference between CT and NT samples in terms of the Simpson index, while the rate of Chao1 estimator and Shannon index in the NT was significantly higher than those in the CT samples (Table 5).

**Bacterial Growth Under Different NaCl Concentrations**

The growth of the bacterial strains was influenced by different salinity levels under in vitro conditions. The NaCl concentration required for 50% inhibition of absorbance ($X_{50}$) was about 2.6–3.7%. The maximum bacterial growth ($A_{max}$) was observed in a range of 0.6% (KSB strain)–0.92% (NFB strain) NaCl concentration, which was more than that in control (0.5% NaCl). According to the modeling analyses, a complete inhibition was estimated at 9.3% NaCl for Comamonas testosteroni (NT3, NFB strain), which was

---

**Table 2** Values of Zn solubilization (mg l$^{-1}$) determined at different pH levels of liquid Tris-mineral medium

| Isolates | pH$_{m}$=6 | pH$_{m}$=7 | pH$_{m}$=8 | pH$_{m}$=9 | pH$_{m}$=10 |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|          | Zn (SD) PH$_{i}$ | Zn (SD) PH$_{i}$ | Zn (SD) PH$_{i}$ | Zn (SD) PH$_{i}$ | Zn (SD) PH$_{i}$ |
| NT6      |             |             |             |             |             |
|          | 6.52$^{a}$  | 0.12        | 6.78$^{a}$  | 0.07        | 1.21$^{b}$  | 0.23        | 7.12$^{ab}$ | 0.11        | 91.80$^{a}$ | 21.73       | 7.32$^{a}$  | 0.12        |
|          | (0.65)      | (0.19)      | (0.11)      | (0.09)      | (0.16)      | (0.07)      | (0.11)      | (0.13)      | (11.73)     | (0.12)      | (0.04)      | (0.17)      |
| NT1      |             |             |             |             |             |
|          | 6.82$^{a}$  | 0.19        | 6.76$^{a}$  | 0.08        | 37.01$^{a}$ | 0.64        | 6.95$^{b}$  | 0.09        | 3.20$^{c}$  | 0.54        | 7.93$^{a}$  | 0.04        | 8.86$^{b}$  | (0.24)      |
|          | (0.67)      | (0.17)      | (0.20)      | (0.13)      | (0.09)      | (0.13)      | (0.09)      | (0.07)      | (0.03)      | (0.04)      | (0.07)      | (0.03)      |
| NT10     |             |             |             |             |             |
|          | 6.62$^{a}$  | 0.12        | 6.56$^{b}$  | 0.20        | 17.09$^{b}$ | 4.63        | 7.15$^{ab}$ | 0.16        | 389.90$^{b}$| 42.43       | 6.98$^{a}$  | 0.13        | 126.82 (54.51) | 7.38$^{c}$ | (0.17)      |
|          | (0.70)      | (0.12)      | (0.13)      | (0.15)      | (0.13)      | (0.13)      | (0.16)      | (0.07)      | (0.13)      | (0.14)      | (0.15)      | (0.15)      |
| CT34     |             |             |             |             |             |
|          | 6.55$^{b}$  | 0.04        | 6.69$^{ab}$ | 0.17        | 7.33$^{a}$  | 0.07        | 1.11$^{c}$  | 0.07        | 7.76$^{a}$  | 0.31        | 9.36$^{c}$  | (0.11)      |
|          | (0.66)      | (0.08)      | (0.10)      | (0.08)      | (0.07)      | (0.07)      | (0.08)      | (0.07)      | (0.07)      | (0.08)      | (0.09)      |

pH$_{m}$: the pH of medium culture before the incubation period; pH$_{i}$: the pH of medium culture after the 14 days of incubation period; Zn: zinc solubilization activity (mg l$^{-1}$); SD: standard deviation

Means in each column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different based on the least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 probability level
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91%, 165%, and 51% more than *Acinetobacter oleivorans* (CT21, KSB strain), *Acinetobacter pittii* (NT28, PSB strain), and *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* (NT10, ZSB strain), respectively (Table 6A). The maximum rate of absorbance \( (W_{\text{max}}) \) under different salt concentrations and after 48 h of incubation is presented in Table 6. Accordingly, the maximum growth belonged to *Comamonas testosteroni*, NFB strain, in each NaCl concentration. The time (hours) required to provide the ending of the linear stage is around 24–27 h for KSB strain, 18–22 h for PSB strain, 21–23 h for ZSB strain, and 20–22 h for NFB strain, at different NaCl concentrations, where this inflection point indicated the half of its maximum value \( (w_{\text{max}}) \) (Table 6B).

### Bacterial Growth Under Different Temperatures

Since all biological processes are affected by temperature, the growth of these bacterial strains was estimated at different temperatures using Eq. 4 and characterized by three cardinal temperatures including base \( (T_b) \), optimum \( (T_o) \), and ceiling \( (T_c) \) temperatures. The minimum \( (T_b) \) and maximum \( (T_c) \) temperatures, estimated by the model, were 2.8 and 45.1 °C for *Acinetobacter oleivorans* (KSB strain), 2 and 44.6 °C for *Acinetobacter pittii* (PSB strain), 2.3 and 46 °C for *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* (ZSB strain), and 2.1 and 42.18 °C for *Comamonas testosteroni* (NFB strain), respectively. Also, the estimated optimal growth temperatures \( (T_o) \) were 24.6, 28.2, 27.4, and 23.3 °C, respectively (Table 7A).

The maximum absorbance rates \( (W_{\text{max}}) \) after 34 h of incubation at different temperatures are given in Table 7. The inflection point of the function, where the function changes concavity, was widely ranged from 17.9 h to 26.2 h at 4 °C, 16.8 h to 19.5 h at 18 °C, 12.1 h to 16.6 h at 30 °C, and 17 h to 23.8 h at 40 °C, respectively (Table 7B).

### Table 3

Identification of selected PGPB isolates based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing, and the genome sequences of the authentic type strains of the corresponding species

| Bacterial isolates | Isolation source | Activity in culture medium as | NCBI accession no. | Identified as | Published species designation (suggested designation) | Similarity (%) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| NT28              | NT soil         | PSB and KSB                  | MT974044           | DSM 21653\(^T\) | *Acinetobacter pittii*                               | 99.7          |
| NT9               | NT soil         | PSB                           | MT974040           | JCM 5962\(^T\)  | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*                             | 98.9          |
| NT10              | NT soil         | PSB and ZSB                  | MT974039           | NCCB 22016\(^T\) | *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*                        | 99.1          |
| NT6               | NT soil         | PSB, KSB, and ZSB            | MT974053           | ATCC 14235\(^T\) | *Pseudomonas resinovorans*                           | 99.1          |
| NT1               | NT soil         | KSB, ZSB                     | MT974045           | ATCC 14235\(^T\) | *Pseudomonas resinovorans*                           | 99.1          |
| CT34              | CT soil         | ZSB                           | MT974036           | ATCC 14235\(^T\) | *Pseudomonas resinovorans*                           | 99.1          |
| CT21              | CT soil         | KSB                           | MT974043           | DR1\(^T\)       | *Acinetobacter oleivorans*                           | 98.2          |
| NT15              | NT soil         | KSB                           | MT974038           | DR1\(^T\)       | *Acinetobacter oleivorans*                           | 99.6          |
| NT3               | NT soil         | NFB                           | MT974042           | KS 0043\(^T\)   | *Comamonas testosteroni*                             | 99.9          |
| NT2               | NT soil         | NFB                           | MT974041           | ATCC 14235\(^T\) | *Pseudomonas resinovorans*                           | 99.0          |
| NT31              | NT soil         | NFB                           | MT974049           | ATCC 14235\(^T\) | *Pseudomonas resinovorans*                           | 99.0          |
| CT43              | CT soil         | NFB                           | MT974037           | DSM 50071\(^T\) | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*                             | 95.6          |
Discussion

Since the composition of potentially beneficial bacterial communities and their colonization capacity in soil are affected by management practices such as tillage [25], the bacterial isolation was performed at a field with two different tillage practices including CT and NT. The present study showed that most of beneficial bacterial isolates (81% of the isolates with the best plant growth-promoting traits such as higher solubilization and fixation efficiency) belonged to the no-tillage soil. This finding suggests that the PGPB colonization could be enhanced under NT practice [25] because NT causes slow changes in microbial composition communities [26]. In this regard, Torabian et al. [14] reported that N₂ fixation in some crops such as soybean and chickpea were greater under NT than that under CT.

**Fig. 4** Relative abundance of a bacterial phyla (relative abundance > 1%) and b families (relative abundance > 2%) for bacterial communities under conventional tillage (CT) and no tillage (NT)

**Table 4** Comparison of the presence of beneficial bacteria at phylum, family, and genus levels they belong to, in soil under conventional tillage (CT) and no tillage (NT) using paired t test

| Phylum            | T-value | Family, Genera | T-value | ns, *, ** Significant at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 levels, respectively |
|-------------------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Proteobacteria    | 2.87 ± 0.03 | **| 1.20 | ns |
| Pseudomonadaceae  | 0.017 ± 0.016 | ** | 0.08 ± 0.052 | ** |
| Comamonadaceae    | 1.585 ± 0.076 | ** | 0.047 ± 0.020 | * |
| Moraxellaceae     | 0.014 ± 0.008 | ns | 0.02 ± 0.016 | ns |
| Acinetobacter     | 0.003 ± 0.000 | ns | 0.001 ± 0.000 | ns |
| Bacillales        | 0.014 ± 0.008 | ns | 0.001 ± 0.000 | ns |
| Chitinophaga      | 0.087 ± 0.008 | ** | 0.036 ± 0.007 | ** |
| Pseudomonas       | 0.014 ± 0.008 | ns | 0.001 ± 0.000 | ns |
| Comamonas         | 0.160 ± 0.032 | ns | 0.047 ± 0.020 | * |
According to the results, several bacterial isolates have been isolated from the durum wheat field with the remarkable capability to solubilize insoluble inorganic P, K, and Zn compounds and fix \( N_2 \). Twelve isolates expressing some plant growth-promoting traits (i.e., P, K, and Zn solubilization, \( N_2 \) fixation, and IAA production) at the highest levels
were further characterized in liquid mediums and identified. Our PSB and KSB isolates identified as *Acinetobacter pittii*, *Pseudomonas alcaligenes*, *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*, *Acinetobacter sp.*, and *Acinetobacter oleivorans*. Although bacteria belonging to *Pseudomonas* have been already reported to include PSB and KSB as well as *Acinetobacter* which is known as PSB [27–29], to our knowledge, this is the first report on K solubilization potential of genera *Acinetobacter*.

A high regression coefficient was observed between the reduction in pHf and increment capacity to solubilize P in liquid medium after 14 days of incubation. All the pHm of cultures showed a shift in pH towards the acidic range, and this can give a clue that organic acid exudation might be involved in P solubilizing at all pHm. Accordingly, the PSB strain (NT28) was a more efficient phosphate solubilizer at pHm 8 than at other pHm, while the lowest pHf was obtained from pHm 7. These findings are inconsistent with other studies, such as Bakhshandeh et al. [6] for *Pantoea ananatis*, *Rahnella aquatilis*, and *Enterobacter* sp., who reported that the highest levels of P solubilizing activity were observed at the optimum pH (7.0). A possible explanation is that this strain was isolated from a soil with a quite high pH (7.5), a common situation for soils from southern Italy whose pH are often about 8 [30].

The K solubilizing activity was observed at pHm of 7–9, equal to 35.6, 8, and 6 µg ml⁻¹ along with pHf of 4.3, 5.4 and 5.7, respectively. In fact, more activity of KSB strain resulted in a further decrease in pHf and consequently, more K releasing from mica compound. Similar to the PSB strategy, it may be related to releasing organic acids in the medium by KSB strain, *Acinetobacter oleivorans*. Such results are confirmed by Bakhshandeh et al. [19] and Yaghoubi et al. [11] who stated that the various types of organic acids produced due to the metabolism of KSB isolates which can affect mica dissolution by decreasing the pH of the environment, forming frame work-destabilizing surface complexes or by complexing metals in solution. Likewise, polysaccharides can attach to the mineral surface by adsorbing the organic acids and consequently can increase the organic acids concentration near the mineral [31].

The four ZSB isolates in this research were identified as *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*, *Pseudomonas alcaligenes*, and *Pseudomonas* sp. It has also been reported that the majority

| Parameters | KSB isolate (CT21) | PSB isolate (NT28) | ZSB isolate (NT10) | NFB isolate (NT3) |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| (A)        |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| $T_o ± SE$ | 24.56 ± 1.71      | 28.25 ± 0.91      | 27.41 ± 1.32      | 23.28 ± 1.88      |
| $T_b ± SE$ | 2.82 ± 0.22       | 2.02 ± 0.14       | 2.32 ± 0.19       | 2.06 ± 0.24       |
| $T_c ± SE$ | 45.12 ± 1.11      | 44.56 ± 0.00      | 46.05 ± 1.13      | 42.18 ± 1.83      |
| R²         | 0.95              | 0.97              | 0.92              | 0.95              |

| Parameters | Temperatures       | Wmax ± SE         | k ± SE            | tₚ ± SE           | R²     |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
| (B)        | 4 °C               | 0.14 ± 0.04       | 0.11 ± 0.01       | 0.10 ± 0.01       | 0.13 ± 0.06 |
|            |                    | 0.12 ± 0.02       | 0.14 ± 0.04       | 0.15 ± 0.03       | 0.10 ± 0.03 |
|            | 18 °C              | 0.52 ± 0.01       | 0.72 ± 0.07       | 0.55 ± 0.02       | 0.64 ± 0.02 |
|            |                    | 0.29 ± 0.02       | 0.18 ± 0.04       | 0.23 ± 0.02       | 0.28 ± 0.03 |
|            | 30 °C              | 0.49 ± 0.05       | 0.58 ± 0.01       | 0.45 ± 0.01       | 0.51 ± 0.02 |
|            |                    | 0.15 ± 0.04       | 0.26 ± 0.02       | 0.25 ± 0.03       | 0.18 ± 0.02 |
|            | 40 °C              | 0.45 ± 0.08       | 0.62 ± 0.07       | 0.40 ± 0.01       | 0.18 ± 0.01 |
|            |                    | 0.16 ± 0.04       | 0.18 ± 0.05       | 0.26 ± 0.03       | 0.20 ± 0.02 |
|            |                    | 0.97              | 0.96              | 0.99              | 0.99    |

$T$: the temperature; $T_b$: the base temperature; $T_o$: the optimum temperature; $T_c$: the ceiling temperature; R²: coefficient of determination; W: the absorbance value at time t (34 h); k: a constant that determines the curvature of the growth pattern; $t_{m}$: the inflection point when the absorbance rate reaches the peak which is half of its maximum value ($W_{max}$).
of ZSB belongs to *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Enterobacter*, *Xanthomonas*, and *Stenotrophomonas* genera [18, 32]. The ability of ZSB isolates including *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. was assessed by Saravanan et al. [33] who reported a maximum Zn solubilization equal to 16.4 µg g⁻¹ of Zn in the ZnO. Similarly, Zn-solubilizing potentiality of 143 ZSB isolates was assessed by Gandhi and Muralidharan [18] and reported the maximum ZSB activity by *Acinetobacter* sp. equal to 36.5 µg Zn ml⁻¹ of medium amended by ZnO.

Since soil pH is a relevant factor affecting the colonization, abundance, and prevalence of PGPB in the soil [25], attempt was made to investigate the effect of different pH values of the medium (pHₘ) on beneficial bacterial activity in vitro. In this regard, the responses of bacterial strains to different pHₘ values were different. For instance, the highest Zn solubilizing activity was found at pHₘ 8–10, while no solubilization was observed at pHₘ of 6 and 7. On the other hand, as above discussed, the maximum solubilization of K and P occurred at pHₘ 7 and 8, respectively. A similar finding for ZSB has been reported in our previous research; accordingly, the highest ZSB activity was observed at pHₘ 9, which was significantly higher than that at other pH [13]. It has already reported that some PGBP are able to mobilize mineral nutrients even at pH 12 through a variety of mechanisms such as production of bacterial metabolites [34]. In this regard, Mimmo et al. [35] reported that mobilization of mineral nutrients like Zn by low-molecular weight organic acids, phenolics, and siderophores is mainly caused by the complexing capacity of these molecules rather than their acidity. This complexing capacity is increased at higher pH because deprotonated carboxilic and phenolic moieties are better Lewis bases to react with metal cations (Lewis acids). It has also been stated that organic compounds with more than one acidic moiety (e.g., citric acid) have a stronger complexing capacity at higher pH when all the acidic functional groups are successively deprotonated thus allowing the formation of polydentate complexes with cations possessing more than one positive charge like Fe³⁺ and Zn²⁺ [35].

Therefore, our bacterial strains could be beneficial to use as bio-inoculants on agricultural land with high pH values, since high pH is one of the most important factors contributing to the unavailability of Zn in soils [36]. Furthermore, insoluble Zn compounds such as Zn(OH)₂ and ZnCO₃ usually form from soluble Zn compound and Zn chemical fertilizer at pH of 7.7–9.1 [32], which can result in unavailability of Zn in soils and Zn deficiency symptoms in plants, especially on calcareous soils in southern Italy (pH > 8).

In the present research, N₂ fixation activity in liquid medium was observed from 19.2 µg ml⁻¹ (*Pseudomonas* sp., strain NT2 with 123% of N fixation efficiency) to 25.2 µg ml⁻¹ (*Comamonas testosteroni*, strain NT3 with 136% of N fixation efficiency). It has been already proved that *Pseudomonas* genus one of the most important of NFB genera [37], but no studies have been reported that the *Comamonas* genus capable to fix on N₂.

The final pH of the medium after the incubation period slightly decreased for NT3 strain, *Comamonas testosteroni*, while inversely the pHₘ for other NFB strains, *Pseudomonas* sp., increased. NFB bacteria convert the atmospheric N₂ into ammonia (NH₃) as a plant-utilizable form [10]. This process is an H⁺ consumer and subsequently leads to change the pH. It has in fact reported that increasing the pH of the rhizospheric soil may be an important consequence of N₂ fixation by bacteria [38]. On the other hand, Oliveira et al. [39] examined five NFB bacterial strains and reported the pH of the culture medium after growth for four strains was neutral while another strain changed the pH to acidic.

The differences in pHₘ among isolates may be related to the different N₂ fixing systems. Similarly, Ahmed and Kibert [40] stated that N₂ fixing system varies among different bacterial genera. It has been reported that the N₂ fixation process is carried out by the nitrogenase complex enzyme, including a dinitrogenase reductase and dinitrogenase [41]. Dinitrogenase reductase provides electrons with high reducing power while dinitrogenase uses these electrons to reduce N₂ to NH₃ [40]. According to the metal cofactor, three different N fixing systems have been recognized including Mo-nitrogenase, V-nitrogenase, and Fe-nitrogenase [41]. Most biological N₂ fixation is carried out by the activity of the Mo-nitrogenase [40].

Since the bacteria survival during growth depends on their ability to adapt to the varying environmental conditions such as temperature and salt stress [6]; in this study, an attempt was made to optimize the growth conditions of selected beneficial bacteria at different NaCl concentrations (%) and temperatures. However, the finding of the present research showed that the selected bacteria were able to grow on NB medium amended with 0.5–3% NaCl at a range of examined temperatures from 4 to 40 °C, but modeling analyses estimated optimal growth conditions for possible practical applications. In this regard, the best growth conditions for *Acinetobacter oleivorans* (KSB strain), *Acinetobacter pittii* (PSB strain), and *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* (ZSB strain) were obtained at 0.67, 0.74, and 0.64% of NaCl concentration, at 24.6, 28.2, and 27.4 °C and in pH 7, 8, and 9, respectively. Conditions for *Comamonas testosteroni* (NFB strain) were a bit more different from other strains. Accordingly, the best growth for NFB strain was estimated at higher NaCl concentration (0.92%) and lower temperature (23.3 °C) than other bacterial strains. Complete inhibition of growth was estimated from 3.5% NaCl for PSB strain to 9.3% NaCl for NFB strain. Application of these bacterial strains with great potential of growth and activity in such wide ranges of pH, salinity levels, and temperatures could
be useful in soil inoculation, since it is important to maintain high microbial activity in soils [6, 42], where microorganisms are continually challenged by environmental fluctuations [43]. It has already been reported that microbial growth and activity decreased under saline conditions, due to two primary mechanisms including osmotic effect and specific ion effects [42]. The previous study has shown that the complete inhibitions of Agrobacterium tumefaciens and Rhizobium sp. growth in NB medium were estimated at 4.3 and 6.6% NaCl, respectively [13]. The optimum NaCl concentration for the majority of bacterial isolates was reported at 0.5% NaCl [6]. Bakhshandeh et al. [6] reported that three PSB including Pantoea ananatis, Rahnella aquatilis, and Enterobacter sp. were tolerant to a range of temperature from 5 to 42.7 °C, 12.7–40 °C, and 10.6–43.3 (with optimum 30, 31.4, and 30 °C), respectively. It has also reported that the optimal temperature for N2 fixation by B. japonicum ranges from 25 to 30 °C and temperatures over or below this range are inhibitory [14].

The analysis of bacterial community composition and α diversity are fully discussed in Yaghoubi et al. [44]. Briefly, soils under NT management had more copiotrophic bacteria including Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Planctomycetes, which are known for their high sensitivity to physical soil disturbance [45, 46]. Species richness and bacterial diversity, as estimated by Chao1 and Shannon index, respectively, were higher in soils under NT treatment as compared to the CT practice. This finding is in agreement to others, such as Schmidt et al. [47] and Hao et al. [48] who reported a decreasing trend of the microbial community richness and diversity in soil under CT practice, due to a reduced substrate richness.

We analyzed the presence of the taxonomic groups our potentially beneficial bacterial strains belonged to, in order to know if tillage/no-tillage management affects their presence in soil, even if it should be considered that, these taxa can also include bacteria without such beneficial abilities. Based on the analysis of the taxonomic composition, our bacterial strains belonged to the Proteobacteria phylum and Moraxellaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, and Comamonadaceae families which are known as copiotrophic taxa, based on their life strategies in response to resource availability [49] and soil management, especially physical soil disturbance by tillage [46]. It seems that the reduction of soil disturbance through NT technique can lead to an increase in the content of soil carbon availability [47] and consequently, results in the higher presence of these phylum and families [26] as compared to the CT. Therefore, we can reasonably assume that the preservation of an agro-ecosystem under no disturbance can boost the presence of these beneficial bacterial strains in soil in comparison with the CT management. Similarly, Dong et al. [28] evidenced that the abundance and diversity of KSB decreased after agricultural activities. Souza et al. [50] also reported that the relative abundance of a PGPB order (Rhizobiales) increased under NT practice. A recent study emphasized that the importance of the effect of tillage regime on soil physical and chemical conditions that in turn influence the abundance of beneficial microorganisms [51].

Conclusion

The present research reveals that some effective bacterial strains including Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, and Comamonas genera, isolated and identified from the durum wheat field, have such a great potential to solubilize the insoluble forms of phosphate, potassium, and zinc as well as to fix N2 gas. To our knowledge, this is the first report of the ability of Comamonas testosteroni and Acinetobacter pittii to fix nitrogen and to solubilize insoluble potassium compound, respectively. A detailed assessment of the tolerance of beneficial bacterial strains to salt stress threshold and different temperatures are presented in this research using mathematical models. So, these strains could be definitively recommended as inoculants to promote plant growth in an agricultural environment in the wide ranges of pH, salinity levels, and temperatures, but more in-depth studies will be needed to confirm these solubilizing and fixing activities.
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