First results from the IllustrisTNG simulations: a tale of two elements – chemical evolution of magnesium and europium
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ABSTRACT

The distribution of elements in galaxies provides a wealth of information about their production sites and their subsequent mixing into the interstellar medium. Here we investigate the elemental distributions of stars in the IllustrisTNG simulations. We analyse the abundance ratios of magnesium and europium in Milky Way-like galaxies from the TNG100 simulation (stellar masses log (M*/M⊙) ∼ 9.7–11.2). Comparison of observed magnesium and europium for individual stars in the Milky Way with the stellar abundances in our more than 850 Milky Way-like galaxies provides stringent constraints on our chemical evolutionary methods. Here, we use the magnesium-to-iron ratio as a proxy for the effects of our SNII (core-collapse supernovae) and SNIa (Type Ia supernovae) metal return prescription and as a comparison to a variety of galactic observations. The europium-to-iron ratio tracks the rare ejecta from neutron star–neutron star mergers, the assumed primary site of europium production in our models, and is a sensitive probe of the effects of metal diffusion within the gas in our simulations. We find that europium abundances in Milky Way-like galaxies show no correlation with assembly history, present-day galactic properties, and average galactic stellar population age. We reproduce the europium-to-iron spread at low metallicities observed in the Milky Way, and find it is sensitive to gas properties during redshifts z ≈ 2–4. We show that while the overall normalization of [Eu/Fe] is susceptible to resolution and post-processing assumptions, the relatively large spread of [Eu/Fe] at low [Fe/H] when compared to that at high [Fe/H] is quite robust.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Chemical evolutionary studies allow us to probe the global enrichment history of the Universe and individual star formation histories of galaxies, with each element class containing information about different aspects of the star formation and galactic enrichment cycle (e.g. Rana 1991; Matteucci 2012). In particular, the abundance of α-elements such as magnesium and oxygen has been demonstrated to be a sensitive proxy for the initial phases of stellar evolution, which are dominated by heavy element production and return from core-collapse supernovae (SNII; e.g. Tinsley 1980; Kobayashi et al. 2006; Woosley & Heger 2015). In addition, iron peak elements like iron, cobalt, and chromium are created over longer timescales in Type Ia supernovae (SNIa), whereas s-process neutron capture elements (e.g. strontium and barium) probe their proposed injection sites – intermediate and low-mass asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars (e.g. Tinsley 1980; Pagel 1997; Matteucci 2001). Similarly, r-process elements (e.g. europium) provide a window into the most violent events in our Universe – neutron star mergers (e.g. Lattimer
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et al. 1977; Freiburghaus, Rosswog & Thielemann 1999) and SNII. (e.g. Takahashi, Witti & Janka 1994; Woosley et al. 1994).

Each process of element injection is affected by a variety of galactic, stellar, and interstellar medium (ISM) properties such as the shape of the initial mass function (IMF), delay time distribution of SNII and SNII, and environmental parameters which determine how enriched and pristine gas is mixed before forming stars with a specific yield pattern (e.g. Torrey et al. 2012). Detailed measurements of abundance patterns in individual stars are, however, possible only in the Milky Way (MW) and nearby galaxies (e.g. Tolstoy, Hill & Tosi 2009), while the studies of further galaxies require averaging spectra over the entire galaxy’s stellar population, and in many cases, stacking spectra of similar galaxies to generate high enough signa-to-noise data for robust analysis (e.g. O’Connell 1976; Peterson 1976; Peletier 1989). Thus, comparison of simulated chemical evolution in the MW is often the most robust test of our theoretical understanding and therefore the target of our present comparison.

Chemical enrichment models like those in our new IllustrisTNG suite of cosmological simulations allow us to track the average abundance patterns in galaxy populations and individual galaxies simultaneously. This paper complements the analysis of semi-analytical models (e.g. Yates et al. 2013; Hirschmann, De Lucia & Fontanot 2016; De Lucia, Fontanot & Hirschmann 2017) and simulations of individual galaxies (Guedes et al. 2011; Shen et al. 2015; van de Voort et al. 2015, 2018) by providing a statistical analysis of how abundance patterns are affected by galaxy formation histories based on hundreds of simulated galaxies. Semi-analytical models offer the opportunity to explore many different methods of chemical enrichment for minimal computational cost. These studies are especially useful when tracking the evolution of elements like europium in which many different pathways of enrichment have been proposed (Cescutti et al. 2006). While such methods are useful for exploring the average chemical evolutionary properties of a galaxy’s stellar population, they cannot reproduce the spreads in abundance ratios at fixed [Fe/H] that are widely observed in the MW (e.g. Kobayashi et al. 2006). Here, hydrodynamical simulations of star clusters, galaxies, and galaxy groups can be utilized to model the different chemical evolutionary paths for different stars within the larger galactic population allowing for more variance in enrichment histories (e.g. Borgani et al. 2008; Matteucci 2014).

In this paper, we focus on elements which are sensitive indicators of enrichment histories and gas-mixing properties, with an emphasis on the magnesium-to-iron and europium-to-iron ratios. Studies of magnesium and iron probe ejecta properties of SNII and SNIa and span size scales from individual stars (e.g. McWilliam 1997) to stacked spectra from multiple galaxies (Graves & Schiavon 2008; Thomas et al. 2010; Johansson, Thomas & Maraston 2012; Worthey, Bianca Danilet & Faber 2013; Conroy, Graves & van Dokkum 2014). In contrast, investigations of europium are generally relegated to local sources (e.g. Sneden, Cowan & Gallino 2008; Ji et al. 2016).

In simulations which can resolve galactic evolutionary processes, the magnesium-to-iron ratio is often used as a test of the robustness of applied SNII and SNIa injection yields and methods in concert with larger-scale feedback prescriptions (Shen et al. 2015; van de Voort et al. 2015). Within a cosmological context, SSNS mergers are important because they are rare, turning their abundance variations into an insightful indicator of how mixing is treated in the simulations. In particular, we investigate the europium distribution in MW-sized galaxies within the TNG100 simulation, and examine what this distribution can tell us about the gas-mixing processes during star formation in our calculations. How well magnesium and europium distributions compare to observed trends provides sensitive tests of our yield tables, injection processes, star formation histories, and diffusion of metals in the gas phase.

This paper concentrates on chemical enrichment and is one in a series of five papers introducing the IllustrisTNG project, each focusing on a different scientific aspect of the simulations. In Marinacci et al. (2017), we analyse the magnetic field strengths in haloes and compute radio synchrotron maps for clusters of galaxies. In Springel et al. (2017), we examine the clustering of matter and galaxies far into the non-linear regime, while in Nelson et al. (2018), we compare the colour bimodality distribution of our simulated galaxies to data from the SDSS. Finally, in Pillepich et al. (2018) we focus on the stellar mass content of massive galaxy groups and clusters.

This paper begins with a brief introduction of the new and updated methods for treating the creation and advection of metals within the IllustrisTNG simulations in Section 2. A brief summary of some of the salient issues regarding comparisons of simulated and observed abundance ratios is given in Section 3, a description of α- and r-process enrichment within MW-sized galaxies is presented in Section 4, and a discussion of the origins of our simulated abundance ratios is provided in Section 5. We end the paper with a discussion of how resolution affects our results and a summary of our conclusions in Sections 6 and 7, respectively.

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Chemical enrichment implementation in IllustrisTNG

The methods used for production, deposition, and advection of elements in The Next Generation Illustris (IllustrisTNG) simulations have been updated from the original Illustris model described and tested in Vogelsberger et al. (2013) and Torrey et al. (2014). A total of nine individual elements are tracked explicitly (H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, and Fe) plus a pseudo ‘other metal’ species, which represents the sum of all other metals not individually tracked. Throughout the simulation, mass and metals are returned to the ISM from aging stellar populations while accounting for heavy element nucleosynthesis and mass return in SNII, SNIa, and AGB stars.

As in Illustris (Vogelsberger et al. 2013), the mass returned from the SNII and AGB channels for an element, i, during a time step, \( \Delta t \), depends on the metallicity, Z, and age, t, of the single stellar population represented by each star particle:

\[
\Delta M_i(t, \Delta t, Z) = \int_{M(t)}^{M(t+\Delta t)} (y_i(m, Z) + m \chi_i f_{\text{rec}}(m, Z)) \Theta(m) \, dm \quad (1)
\]

where the IMF, \( \Theta(m) \), is taken to be that of Chabrier (2003), \( f_{\text{rec}} \) is the fraction of mass returned to the ISM from a star of mass m and metallicity Z, \( \chi_i \) is the initial mass fraction of element i in the star particle, and \( y_i(m, Z) \) is the amount of element i created or destroyed in each process which is stored in look-up tables for easy access during the simulation. The integral is taken over all masses of stars moving off the main sequence between time \( t_0 \) as

\[
M_i(t) = y_i N_{\text{SNII}}(t, t + \Delta t) = y_i \int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} g(t' - t_0) \, dt'
\quad (2)
\]
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in which the delay time distribution (DTD) is given by

\[
g(t) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } t < t_{8M_\odot}, \\
N_0 \left( \frac{t}{t_{8M_\odot}} \right)^{-s} & \text{if } t \geq t_{8M_\odot},
\end{cases}
\]

where the power-law index \( s = 1.12 \) is taken as our fiducial value (Maoz, Mannucci & Brandt 2012), the normalization constant is \( N_0 = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \ M_\odot^{-1} \) (Maoz et al. 2012), and \( t \geq t_{8M_\odot} = 40 \) Myr is the delay time, here corresponding to the main sequence lifetime of an \( \sim 8M_\odot \) star, the upper mass limit for the uncertain progenitors of SNIa. Following Marinacci, Pakmor & Springel (2014), the upper limit for the integration of the DTD has been updated from infinite to the Hubble time (13.79 Gyr) for consistency with the observations of Maoz et al. (2012). Once again, \( y_i \) is the amount of element \( i \) created in SNIa events which is stored in look-up tables.

Several aspects of the metal enrichment and tracking calculations performed in IllustrisTNG differ from those used in Illustris. An updated second-order metal advection scheme is employed (see section 2.2 of Pillepich et al. 2018, for details), which improves numerical accuracy during the tracking gas-phase metals within the IllustrisTNG simulation, with only minor increases in computational overhead. In addition, the yield tables used to calculate \( y_i \) for elements ejected by SNIa, SNII, and stellar winds have been updated such that the abundances of the nine explicitly tracked elements more closely follow the ratios found in the MW (Nomoto et al. 1997; Portinari, Chiosi & Bressan 1998; Kobayashi et al. 2006; Karakas 2010; Doherty et al. 2014; Fishlock et al. 2014).

A depiction of the most important changes in the revised yield tables is given in Fig. 1, which shows the mass lost from a simple stellar population over a Hubble time. The top panel shows the ejecta mass from SNIa for all tracked elements heavier than He for a single stellar population, divided by the total mass of the star particle. Only one line is shown as the SNIa yields are assumed to be independent of progenitor mass and metallicity. The top middle and bottom panels of Fig. 1 show the SNII and AGB IMF-weighted ejecta mass fractions for all explicitly tracked elements for a single stellar population, once again, normalized by the total mass of the star particle. Because yields for core collapse and stellar wind ejecta depend on both mass and metallicity, they are shown weighted by the IMF implemented in IllustrisTNG (Chabrier 2003) for the various tabulated metallicities. It is worth noting that these IMF-weighted yields are estimates of computed ejecta. Ultimately the age of a particular star particle will determine which progenitor masses are dominating the mass loss at any given time.

Overall, the changes from the original Illustris yields predominantly affect the SNII yield tables and lead to an increase in neon and magnesium and to a decrease in carbon, nitrogen, and silicon (as depicted in fig. 1 of Pillepich et al. 2018). All other elements are hardly changed.

We supplement the monitoring of the individual elements with a tracking of their production channels. In the IllustrisTNG model, we explicitly follow the total metals produced by SNII, SNIa, and AGB stellar winds, along with the iron produced by the individual SNII and SNIa channels. The initial values of all metal mass fractions and ejecta source tags are set to \( 10^{-10} \) to suppress numerical advection errors that could otherwise arise when one attempts to track tiny values close to the machine precision. To minimize influences of this lower floor on our results, we limit our discussion to particles and cells with mass fractions above this initial value, \( \chi \geq 2.5 \times 10^{-10} \). This results in reliable magnesium-to-iron ratios down...
to [Fe/H] ≥ −5.0 and [Eu/Fe] > 3.25. Raising the value of this mass fraction limit has a negligible effect on the results discussed here.

2.2 Tracking the r-process in IllustrisTNG

In IllustrisTNG we explicitly follow the r-process production from neutron star–neutron star (NSNS) mergers, and pay particular attention to the europium ejected from these infrequent events. Similarly to the SNIa implementation, the time dependence of injection is modelled by a DTD, which is approximated as a power law (Piran 1992; Kalogera et al. 2001) with index 1.12 for the SNIa implementation, the time dependence of injection is cut-off time, $t_{\text{cut}}$, to be 100 Myr (Fryer, Woosley & Hartmann 1999; Belczynski et al. 2006; Shen et al. 2015). Comparisons between our simulated cosmological SNIa rate, NSNS merger rate, SNII rate, and observations are shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 2. As the NSNS merger rate is relatively poorly constrained, we caution that some works suggest it may be as high as $5 \times 10^{-5} h^3 \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ (Abadie et al. 2010), several orders of magnitude above our cosmic rate. The mass loss rate of r-process material in the MW can be estimated from the r-process mass ejected per NSNS merger, $M_{\text{rp}}$, the rate of SNIa in the galaxy, $\gamma_{\text{SNIa, MW}}$, and the rate of NSNS mergers, $\gamma_{\text{NSNS}}$ as

$$M_{\text{rp}} \approx 10^{-2} \left[ \frac{M_\odot}{\text{yr}} \right] \left[ \frac{M_{\text{NSNS, MW}}}{0.05 M_\odot} \right] \left( \frac{\gamma_{\text{SNIa, MW}}}{5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{\gamma_{\text{NSNS}}}{10^{-3}} \right).$$

(4)

Using values consistent with both observational and theoretical studies of r-process enrichment, $M_{\text{rp}} = 0.05 M_\odot$ (Rosswog et al. 1999; Metzger et al. 2010; Just et al. 2015; Ramirez-Ruiz et al. 2015), $\gamma_{\text{NSNS}}/\gamma_{\text{SNIa}} = 10^{-3}$, and $\gamma_{\text{SNIa, MW}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Crocker et al. 2017), we recover the rate of $M_{\text{rp}} \approx 7 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, which is broadly consistent with observations (Cowen & Thielemann 2004; Sneden et al. 2008). To obtain a measure of europium, we assume that it is produced in material created by an event is then added to the surrounding gas in each star particle as a function of its age. Any NSNS merger rate, SNII rate, and observations are shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 2. As the NSNS merger rate is relatively poorly constrained, we caution that some works suggest it may be as high as $5 \times 10^{-5} h^3 \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ (Abadie et al. 2010), several orders of magnitude above our cosmic rate.

$$g_P(m) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/m_B}} e^{-\frac{(m-m_B)^2}{2\sigma^2/m_B}}.$$

(5)

Here the dispersion $\sigma$ is normalized by the mass in each sub-mass bin, taken to be $M_B = 100 M_\odot$. The randomly assigned sub-mass bin $m_B$ is the bin where the $i$-th NSNS merger ejecta is assumed to be incorporated. The normalization constant $A$ of the function $g_P(m)$ is chosen such that the total mass summed over all of the sub-mass bins adds up to the total NSNS mass in each star particle, i.e. the total mass in the cell $\sum_{\text{NSNS mergers}} g_P(m) dm$ equals the total NSNS mass in each star particle $P$. Here we assume that the parameters of this model – $M_B$, $\sigma$ – do not change as a function of redshift.

The sub-grid prescription described here ignores the effects of natal kicks on displacing NSNS mergers from their birth position. When natal kicks are significant, such displacement is non-negligible (Bloom, Sigurdsson & Pols 1999; Fryer, Woosley & Hartmann 1999; Belczynski et al. 2006; Zemp, Ramirez-Ruiz & Diemand 2009; Kelley et al. 2010; Behroozi, Ramirez-Ruiz & Fryer 2014) and if the NSNS merger travels to a region where gas densities are lower, the cooling mass is larger, thereby spreading any r-process ejecta over a wider region, potentially smoothing out any large europium enhancements (Macias & Ramirez-Ruiz 2016; Safarzadeh & Scannapieco 2017).

In IllustrisTNG, the NSNS DTD is implemented stochastically in time to determine how many mergers (if any) have occurred in each star particle as a function of its age. Any NSNS merger material created by an event is then added to the surrounding gas particles in the same manner as other actively followed species (Vogelsberger et al. 2013; Pillepich et al. 2018).

2.2.1 Sub-grid distribution of NSNS merger material

Neutron-rich material from NSNS mergers is expected to be incorporated into approximately $10^{11}$–$10^{12} M_\odot$ of the ISM into which it is deposited, though this number depends on the ambient density and turbulent velocity, and the energetics of the ejecta (Cioffi, McKee & Bertschinger 1988; van de Voort et al. 2015; Macias & Ramirez-Ruiz 2016). Because our gas resolution is larger than this cooling mass, and stars forming from this gas will inherit its uniform value of NSNS ejecta mass, we re-sample this mass to account for unresolved discreteness. Therefore, we assume that when a star particle formed that sub-components of the mass of that stellar population would have sampled a highly fluctuating NSNS-ejecta mass field. To implement this in practice, we break up each star particle into a set of smaller mass particles in post-processing and distribute the mass from each NSNS over these higher resolution bins, a process illustrated qualitatively in Fig. 3. In post-processing, we distribute the material from each NSNS merger in each star particle with individual Gaussians, centred in a sub-mass bin, $m$, over all other sub-mass bins, $m$, using a width of $\sigma = 10^4 M_\odot$. For each star particle $P$, the NSNS ejecta distribution over all sub-mass bins, $m$, takes the form

$\log_{10}(N_X/N_Y) - \log_{10}(N_X/N_Y) / N_1$, where $N_i$ is the number density of species $i$. Throughout the paper we use the common nomenclature $X/Y = \log_{10}(N_X/N_Y)$, where $N_i$ is the number density of species $i$. 
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Figure 2. Left column: Cosmic SNIa, NSNS, and SNII rates. The upper dark blue line in the core-collapse plot is the rate for solar metallicity supernovae, while the lower light blue plot is for zero metallicity. Both SNIa and CC observed rates are from Cappellaro, Evans & Turatto 1999; Botticella et al. 2008; Graur et al. 2011; Melinder et al. 2012; Cappellaro et al. 2015; CC rates from Cappellaro et al. 2005; Bazin et al. 2009; Dahlen et al. 2012; Taylor et al. 2014; Cappellaro et al. 2015; Graur, Bianco & Modjaz 2015, and SNIa rates from Hardin et al. 2000; Pain et al. 2002; Tonry et al. 2003; Blanc et al. 2004; Horvash et al. 2005; Dahlen, Strolger & Riess 2008; Dilday et al. 2010; Rodney & Tonry 2010; Barbary et al. 2012; Perrett et al. 2012; Graur & Maoz 2013; Graur et al. 2014; Okumura et al. 2014; Rodney et al. 2014. For reference, from Abadie et al. (2010) we expect rates of $10^{-8}, 10^{-6}, 10^{-5}$, and $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{yr}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-3}$ for their $R_{\text{low}}, R_{\text{re}}, R_{\text{high}}, R_{\text{max}}$ models, respectively, listed in their table 4. The yellow bar covering the majority of the middle panel indicates the range between the lower limit from Abadie et al. (2010), $R_{\text{low}}$, and the approximate upper LIGO/VIRGO limit (Belczynski et al. 2016). The spread in the SNIa rate represents the $2 \sigma$ errors from fitting the normalization of the IllustrisTNG cosmic rate curve to the data points. Finally, the dashed green line is the SNIa rate from Illustris.

Right column: SNIa, NSNS, and SNII rates for the 864 MW mass haloes in the TNG100 simulation. Colours track the stellar mass of the galaxy, with the lighter colours representing haloes with smaller stellar masses and smaller deviations of their enrichment histories from their means. The median is shown with solid magenta lines, and one standard deviation is shown with dashed magenta lines. The observed rate of SNII and SNIa in the MW is relatively uncertain (e.g. Li et al. 2011) and is indicated here with red dots. The SNIa rate multiplied by a factor of 3.5 is shown with a blue triangle, a note of relevance for a subsequent discussion (see Fig. 4 for effects of elevated SNIa rate on abundances).
The TNG100 simulation accounts for radiative gas cooling (Katz, Weinberg & Hernquist 1996), including contributions from metal lines (Vogelsberger et al. 2013), which is dependent on the gas density, temperature, metallicity, and redshift. The effects of self-shielding, the UV background (Faucher-Giguère et al. 2009), and radiative modifications to the cooling rates due to AGN are taken into account (Vogelsberger et al. 2013; Pillepich et al. 2018). Gas is transformed into stars via a sub-grid star formation prescription, which assumes a star formation density threshold of \( n_\text{crit} \approx 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and a gas consumption time-scale of \( \sim 2.27 \text{ Gyr} \) at this density (Kennicutt 1998; Springel & Hernquist 2003). Star particles are formed by stochastically sampling the estimated star formation rate and, once formed, each star particle represents a simple stellar population which follows a Chabrier (2003) IMF. Such a star particle retains the metallicity and metal abundances of the gas cell from which it was formed.

The gas content of a galaxy is strongly impacted by the implemented feedback processes, most notably galactic winds, which transport substantial quantities of metal rich material into the regions surrounding galaxies (Pillepich et al. 2018). The mixing processes induced by the resulting complicated flows are treated naturally by our mesh code, albeit with lower rates of numerical diffusion than fixed grid codes (Springel 2010b). The use of AREPO therefore allows us to forgo schemes required in other studies for sub-resolution

### Table 1. Main numerical parameters of the two realizations of the TNG100 Simulation analysed in this paper.

| Parameter                  | TNG100(-1) | TNG100-2 |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|
| \( N_\text{gas} \)          | 1820\(^3\) | 910\(^3\) |
| \( N_\text{DM} \)            | 1820\(^3\) | 910\(^3\) |
| \( N_{\text{TRACER}} \)      | 2 \times 1820\(^3\) | 2 \times 910\(^3\) |
| \( m_{\text{baryon}} \)      | 1.4        | 11.2     |
| \( m_{\text{DM}} \)          | 7.5        | 59.7     |
| \( \epsilon_{\text{gas, min}} \) \( \text{(kpc/h)} \) | 0.25       | 0.5      |
| \( \epsilon_z = 0, \text{(DM, stars)} \) \( \text{(kpc)} \) | 1.48       | 2.95     |

Figure 3. An illustration of the sub-resolution post-processing method: distribution of NSNS mergers in sub-stellar particle mass bins. The left side sketches an AREPO star particle broken up into sub-mass bins, and the right side depicts the mass in europium distributed in the sub-mass bins with the total amount of NSNS merger mass in this star particle equaling that of 3.5 NSNS mergers. The mergers are distributed at sub-mass bins number \( \approx 3000, 3750, 9000, 9500. \) Note that the ‘fractional’ NSNS merger material is at a lower overall normalization (depicted as fainter stars on the left, sub-mass bin 3000 on the right).

2.3 The IllustrisTNG suite

To analyse the distribution of r-process material in MW mass haloes, we utilize the IllustrisTNG suite of simulations, the successor of the Illustris project (Genel et al. 2014; Vogelsberger et al. 2014a,b; Sijacki et al. 2015), which employs the moving mesh code AREPO (Springel 2010a) to evolve the gravitational and hydrodynamical equations combined with the galaxy formation physics module described extensively in Weinberger et al. (2017) and Pillepich et al. (2018). For the sake of conciseness, we here only briefly summarize the most relevant methodology used in the IllustrisTNG simulations, making specific note of our star formation, cooling, metal creation, and return implementations. For full details on the numerical methods and sub-grid physics prescriptions, we refer the reader to the work of Weinberger et al. (2017) and Pillepich et al. (2018).

The IllustrisTNG simulations adopt the Planck intermediate results for the cosmological parameters, \( \Omega_M = 0.3089, \Omega_K = 0.6911, \Omega_b = 0.0486, h = 0.6774, \) and \( \sigma_8 = 0.8159 \) (Planck Collaboration XIII 2016). Our simulations were all started at redshift \( z = 127, \) and produced 100 time-slice outputs during the time evolution down to the present epoch. The results presented here are based on the TNG100 simulation, a \( 75 h^{-1}\text{Mpc} \approx 100 \text{Mpc} \) periodic box with the initial conditions containing \( 1820^3 \) dark matter particles and the same number of gas cells. The average gas-cell and star particle mass is then approximately \( 1.4 \times 10^6 \text{M}_\odot, \) while the dark matter particle mass is \( 7.5 \times 10^6 \text{M}_\odot. \) The dark matter and stellar softening length is approximately \( 740 \text{pc} \) at \( z = 0, \) while the gas-softening lengths are adaptive with a minimum of \( 185 \) comoving parsecs. Besides TNG100, the simulation suite of IllustrisTNG also encompasses a larger box of \( \approx 300 \text{Mpc}, \) referred to as TNG300, and a smaller box of size \( \approx 50 \text{Mpc} \) (TNG50, still ongoing at the time of this writing). Each of the boxes has also been run at lower resolutions and as dark matter-only versions, which are however not used in this study. A summary of the TNG100 run parameters is presented in Table 1. While the majority of this paper relies on the results from the highest resolution box, TNG100-1, we include the specifications for a lower resolution run, TNG100-2, as we make use of this simulation to test the convergence of our models.
2.4 Milky Way galaxies in TNG100

In order to compare our simulated abundance ratios with those observed in the MW, we begin by defining selection criteria that determine which galaxies we use as MW analogues. First, we select for galaxies with a total halo mass, $M_{200,\text{crit}}$, in the range (0.6–2) $\times$ $10^{12} M_\odot$ (Eadie, Harris & Widrow 2015; McMillan 2017; Zaritsky & Courtois 2017), which results in approximately 2000 galaxies. Next, we require our MWs to reside close to the SFR–$M_\text{halo}$ relation (Graves & Schiavon 2008; Thomas et al. 2014), which typically filters out red galaxies with very low star formation rates, leaving us with $\sim$1400 galaxies. Finally, we require our MW-like galaxies to be ‘discy’. This is quantified by having a large fraction of stellar mass with a high value of the circularity parameter, where the circularity parameter for an individual star, $\epsilon = J_z/J$, measures the angular momentum of the star about the axis of symmetry in units of the maximum angular momentum possible at the same orbital energy $E$. For a circular orbit in the plane perpendicular to the symmetry axis, one has $\epsilon = 1$ (Marinacci et al. 2014).

A commonly employed definition of the ‘disc’ in a simulated galaxy is the collection of stars with $\epsilon > 0.7$. Thus, the fraction of stars with high circularities, $f_\epsilon > 0.7$, determines how much of a galaxy’s stellar population resides in the disc component (Marinacci et al. 2014; Genel et al. 2015). We split our population of galaxies along the median of our $f_\epsilon > 0.7$ distribution, and require our MW selection to come from galaxies with fractional circularities larger than this median.

The final selection of 864 galaxies forms the basis of the discussion of MW-sized galaxies in this paper. Our criteria still allow for a variety of enrichment histories, as depicted by the range of SNIa, NSNS, and SNII enrichment histories for the individual MW-sized galaxies in the right-hand column of Fig. 2, with haloes hosting less massive galaxies having somewhat less diverse enrichment histories.

3 OBSERVATIONAL ABUNDANCE COMPARISONS

In this section we compare the [Mg/Fe] and [Fe/H] stellar abundance ratios found in IllustrisTNG against observations. To provide an even-handed comparison between the simulation derived abundance ratios and the observationally derived values, we split our comparison into two parts: (i) a comparison of abundance ratios in MW-sized galaxies in TNG100 with trends seen in our actively star-forming galaxies and (ii) a comparison of abundance ratios in quiescent galaxies that show rich enrichment histories in these inactive populations as well (Graves & Schiavon 2008; Thomas et al. 2010; Johansson et al. 2012; Worthey et al. 2013; Conroy et al. 2014).

Specifically, we compare the globally averaged abundance ratios in the simulated quiescent galaxy sample against stacked SDSS spectra and compare the distribution of [Mg/Fe] and [Fe/H] values for individual stellar populations against stellar populations observed in the MW. For this initial comparison we consider only magnesium and iron, as europium is only observable in the local stellar population, not in the distant quiescent galaxy populations.
Figure 5. The scales of abundance ratios of [Fe/H] and [Mg/Fe] over a wide range of quiescent galaxy masses. Here, simulated points are the galaxy-averaged stellar components within the stellar mass half radius stacked in each stellar mass bin and observed points are the result of stacked spectra of several galaxies in each stellar mass bin. Only centrals and galaxies which have not recently undergone a burst of star formation ($M_\star$(age $\leq$ 1 Gyr)/$M_\star$ < 20 per cent are included). Observations of quiescent galaxies are denoted by red points, lines, and triangles (Graves & Schiavon 2008; Thomas et al. 2010; Johansson et al. 2012; Worthey et al. 2013; Conroy et al. 2014). All abundances have been renormalized to the solar values of Asplund et al. (2005). Magenta lines and green histograms show the simulated ratios of the $r$-band luminosity weighted medians of stellar abundances in galaxies with low specific star formation rates, sSFR $\leq 10^{-11}$ yr$^{-1}$. Histograms are derived with stellar mass bins of 0.071 log $M_\odot$, and bins with fewer than 10 galaxies are discarded. The range from light to dark colours contains 11–38 and 11–115 galaxies for a total of 1107 and 1267 galaxies for the [Fe/H] and [Mg/Fe] histograms, respectively. The [Mg/Fe] ratios for quiescent galaxies are higher than observed as well, which is depicted in the right-hand panel. Besides complications in the comparison of observational and simulated abundance ratios (e.g. Guidi et al. 2016), another possible explanation for this discrepancy is a too low rate of SNIa (see Fig. 4), or a too high production of Mg from SNII (see the text).

that was produced by SNIa via the ejecta source tags, and rescaling the SNIa contributions appropriately. This is possible because the SNIa yields are independent of the mass, metallicity, or age of the progenitors, and so we can simply rescale Mg, Fe, and H by the assumed increase/decrease in the SNIa rate.

The modified [Mg/Fe] versus [Fe/H] distribution assuming an SNIa rate three and a half times higher than that found in the TNG analogues is indicated by the solid light blue lines in Fig. 4. As SNIa eject most of their mass as iron, the increase in SNIa rates in post-processing predominately affects the [Mg/Fe] distribution by lowering the [Mg/Fe] ratio at higher metallicities. While such an increase in SNIa rate is not ruled out by observations, it would result in a MW SNIa rate somewhat higher than observed, as shown with a blue triangle in the top-right panel of Fig. 2.

As much of the magnesium production is in SNII, it is natural to also explore the effects of altering the magnesium and iron production in SNII as a means to overcome the discrepancies between the simulated and observed abundance ratio. Because SNII yields are dependent on both the mass and metallicity of the progenitor, a post-processing alteration to the yields as was done for with the SNIa source tag is not straightforward. Instead, we perform a coarse estimate of the effects of altered SNII yields on the simulated [Mg/Fe] ratio. In the dashed blue line of Fig. 4, we use to SNII source tag to artificially decrease the total mass in magnesium from SNII by a factor of 2, and add this mass into iron. This change, while large, is within the differences present between different SNII yield generation groups (Portinari et al. 1998; Kobayashi et al. 2006).

While the application of the SNIa and SNII source tags here are used only to illustrate the effects of changing the uncertain SNIa rate in MWs on their [Mg/Fe] distribution, a fuller discussion of yield variations with different rate and progenitor model assumptions will be the topic of a future paper (Naiman et al., in preparation).

3.2 Alpha and iron abundances in quiescent galaxies

The left-hand panel of Fig. 5 shows a comparison of the galaxy wide [Fe/H] ratio as a function of stellar mass for quiescent galaxies. Here our sample of quiescent galaxies includes all those with low specific star formation rate, sSFR $\leq 10^{-11}$ yr$^{-1}$, which is similar to the cuts in line emission in observationally defined quiescent galaxies (Graves & Schiavon 2008). In addition, to facilitate comparison with observations, we further limit our sample in two ways. To mimic the observational cuts excluding superimposed images (Graves, Faber & Schiavon 2009; Peek & Graves 2010), we select only central galaxies for our sample. In addition, we avoid selecting recent starburst galaxies, by further limiting our sample to galaxies in which no more than 20 per cent of the stellar mass is from stars with ages $\leq 1.0$ Gyr. The simulated [Fe/H] values are calculated as the $r$-band luminosity-weighted [Fe/H] average for all stars in the
galaxy using the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models. The background two-dimensional histogram in the left-hand panel of Fig. 5 indicates the distribution of [Fe/H] values for the simulated quiescent galaxy population and the magenta solid line indicates the median relation of this histogram. Bins containing fewer than 10 galaxies have been excluded from the plotted histograms. For comparison, the red lines indicate observations of [Fe/H] values for quiescent galaxy populations, as indicated in the legend (Graves & Schiavon 2008; Thomas et al. 2010; Johansson et al. 2012; Worthey et al. 2013; Conroy et al. 2014). While the simulated [Fe/H] values are relatively flat, we caution here that this result is somewhat sensitive to both bin sizes and exclusion criteria – when less populated bins are included or the bin sizes are smaller there is a slight turn over in [Fe/H] at \( M_\star \lesssim 10^{10.4} \, M_\odot \).

In comparison to the observations (red symbols and curves), the simulated r-band luminosity-weighted [Fe/H] values are somewhat higher (i.e. \(~\sim 0.05 - 0.15\) dex) than found for the observed systems, even though we note that different observational analyses differ by up to \(~\sim 0.1\) dex in normalization and return rather discrepant slopes. In addition to effects of binning and low numbers of simulated galaxies at low stellar masses, these differences can, in part, be influenced by the method used to perform the comparison between the observationally derived and simulated [Fe/H] values (e.g. Guidi et al. 2016, fig. 2 of Nelson et al. 2018).

The right-hand panel of Fig. 5 shows a comparison of the galaxy-wide [Mg/H] ratio as a function of stellar mass for quiescent galaxies. As with [Fe/H], simulated [Mg/Fe] values are calculated as the r-band luminosity-weighted [Mg/Fe] average for all stars in the galaxy using the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models. The [Mg/Fe] ratios shown in the right-hand panel for our sample of quiescent galaxies further demonstrate the complex relationship between simulated and observed abundance trends. The simulated [Mg/Fe] values are gradually increasing with increasing stellar mass and show limited scatter across the full mass range explored in Fig. 5. In contrast, while different observational estimates can differ in their normalizations by up to \(~\sim 0.15\) dex, all of the observed [Mg/Fe] abundance ratios appear to suggest a gradual increase with stellar mass. Once again, we caution here that this trend is somewhat dependent on the size of the bins used to construct the histogram and the number of galaxies present at low stellar masses. The offset between the observed and simulated [Mg/Fe] ratios may point to an overproduction of Mg relative to Fe which is especially true for the lower mass systems. An increase in the SNII rate by a factor of 3.5 for all galaxies (as explored for star-forming MW-like galaxies in Fig. 4) would bring the normalization of the observed and simulated [Mg/Fe] into broad agreement, but would not address any possible trend at low stellar masses for which we have a limited number of galaxies in our sample.

In addition to uncertainties in the SNII rate that we explored above, assumptions about the progenitors of SNII can alter the simulated [Mg/Fe] ratios. The assumed lower mass limit for SNII in IllustrisTNG is \( 8 \, M_\odot \), a generous lower limit for the progenitors of an SNII (Smartt 2009; Ibeling & Heger 2013; Woosley & Heger 2015; Sukhbold et al. 2016). Given the dependence of SNII yields on both progenitor mass and metallicity, a post-processing modification of the SNII rate requires more care than a simple scaling for altering its impact on the [Mg/Fe] ratio in our MWs. In general, one can state that a decrease in SNII would result in overall lower magnesium ratios with respect to iron, as the former is generated predominately in SNII while the latter in SNII.

Finally, while abundances from detailed spectra of individual stars observed in the MW lend themselves to a more straightforward comparison to simulated abundance ratios based on star particles within a simulation, many effects, including measurement errors, accurate atmospheric modelling, and observational completeness, can influence the robustness of such a comparison (Wyse 1998; Ramírez, Allende Prieto & Lambert 2013; Nicholls et al. 2017). Thus, for the purposes of this paper, we focus our discussion on the abundance patterns within simulated star-forming MW mass galaxies. However, we caution that while overall trends can be reproduced, a more detailed processing of our simulated data is required to perform a comprehensive statistical comparison between simulated and observed abundance trends.

4 CHARACTERIZING THE R-PROCESS AND α-ENRICHMENT IN MW-LIKE GALAXIES

The stellar light, maximum [Eu/Fe] distribution, and [Mg/Fe] distribution are displayed in face-on images in the top, middle, and bottom full rows of Fig. 6, respectively, for a sample of five MW mass galaxies from IllustrisTNG. Additionally, edge-on views of [Eu/Fe] are shown in the bottom half panels. The stellar light images are created from the SDSS r, g, and i bands based on the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis models. Since the MW galaxy selection criteria applied here select only on stellar mass and star formation rate, the resulting MW mass galaxies have a variety of morphologies and sizes, as seen in the top row of Fig. 6. The saturated yellow regions in the centre row of Fig. 6 indicate the sites of high [Eu/Fe] ratios. While some sites correspond to large regions of stars, many are more uniformly distributed throughout the galaxies, agreeing qualitatively with observations of the MW showing highly enhanced europium stars in the halo (Cohen et al. 2004; Venn et al. 2004; Barklem et al. 2005).

The overall [Mg/Fe] ratio does not show as large variations in overall abundance as that of [Eu/Fe], and more closely follows recent star formation sites (blue regions in the top row), as depicted by the green maps in the bottom row of Fig. 6. The relatively uniform distribution of magnesium is a result of the predominant production channel being stellar winds or SNII associated with massive stars. Massive stars are uniformly distributed with stellar mass throughout our simulated galaxies. The lack of stochasticity (either in time or in space) in the production of Mg results in a smoothly mixed distribution of Mg within the galaxy. The uniformity of Mg throughout our simulated galaxies stands in stark contrast to the regions of very high [Eu/Fe] generated by the stochastically injected NSNS merger products seen in the middle row panels of Fig. 6.

To quantitatively address the dispersion in [Eu/Fe] as a function of metallicity, Fig. 7 shows the distribution of [Eu/Fe] versus [Fe/H] for star particles bound to all MW mass galaxies in IllustrisTNG. There is significantly more dispersion at low metallicities in the [Eu/Fe] ratio as shown in Fig. 7 when compared against the [Mg/Fe] abundance ratio depicted in Fig. 4. Observational data from MW stars are indicated with red lines while the simulated contour levels are marked with white lines. Additionally, we highlight several observations broken into their halo (small symbols, Cohen et al. 2004; Venn et al. 2004; Barklem et al. 2005), thin disc (medium symbols, Reddy et al. 2003; Venn et al. 2004), and thick disc (large symbols, Reddy et al. 2003; Venn et al. 2004) components. We restrict our comparison to the region \([Eu/Fe] > -0.5\) as observations become increasingly more incomplete below this level (e.g. Jacobson & Friel 2013).
Comparison between the highlighted observations and the two-dimensional histogram from IllustrisTNG MW-sized galaxies suggests that there is broad overall agreement between the simulations and observations, particularly with respect to the significantly increased dispersion in the [Eu/Fe] values found for low metallicity stars. Moreover, both the simulations and observations find that stars with the largest [Eu/Fe] enhancement preferentially have lower metallicities.

We quantify the morphological origin of the large [Eu/Fe] spreads in our MW mass galaxies in Fig. 8, which depicts the stellar distribution of [Eu/Fe] versus distance from the plane of the galaxy in units of the stellar half-mass radius. Here we have defined the plane of the disc to lie perpendicular to the total stellar angular momentum vector. As shown in Fig. 8, larger enhancements of [Eu/Fe] in the lowest metallicity bin reside both close to and far from the disc of the galaxy, while those in the highest metallicity bin tend to cluster more towards the centre of the galaxies. This suggests a greater existence of both lower metallicities and highly [Eu/Fe] enhanced stars in the haloes than in the thin and thick disc populations. These results are broadly consistent with both the observations plotted in Fig. 7, which show increasing [Eu/Fe] dispersions for stars living further out in the halo of the MW, and with simulated results from r-process calculations in zoom-in models (van de Voort et al. 2015).

5 ORIGIN OF HIGH [Eu/Fe] AT LOW METALLICITIES

The difference in spatial distribution between highly [Eu/Fe] enhanced star particles and those which are more moderately enriched hints at a potential difference in their formation or assembly histories. In this section, we explore the possible scenarios that can explain the origin of highly europium enhanced stars. We specifically consider stellar birth location, galaxy properties at $z = 0$, and gas and star formation properties at earlier redshifts ($z = 2–4$).

5.1 Assembly history

One possibility is that high and low europium-enhanced stars were formed in different haloes that later merged. We explore this possibility in Fig. 9, which shows the distributions of [Eu/Fe] for three different [Fe/H] ranges. In addition to breaking our simulated [Eu/Fe] distributions into [Fe/H] bins, we generate separate one-dimensional histograms for both the ‘insitu’ (cyan lines) and ‘ex situ’ (red lines) populations. Here, ‘insitu’ stars are those formed within the main halo, while ‘ex situ’ stars are those accreted by mergers (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016).

We find that, for high [Fe/H] stellar populations (right-hand panel), the insitu and ex situ stellar populations are broadly in
Figure 7. Distribution of [Eu/Fe] versus [Fe/H] for all sub-mass bins of all bound star particles of our simulated MW-like galaxies, binned in a 50 × 50 histogram. Red (white) solid lines denote the 75 per cent, 40 per cent, 25 per cent, and 10 per cent contour levels of the observed (simulated) density of data points while dashed lines show the medians of the distributions in each [Fe/H] bin. Observations denoted with red contour levels are compiled by the NuPyCEE package (Ritter & Côté 2016) and include halo, thick, and thin disc stars (Reddy et al. 2003; Venn et al. 2004; Bensby et al. 2005; Reddy et al. 2006; Aoki & Honda 2008; Lai et al. 2008; Roederer et al. 2009; Frebel 2010; Hansen et al. 2012; Ishigaki et al. 2012; Hinkel et al. 2014; Roederer et al. 2014; Jacobson et al. 2015; Battistini & Bensby 2016). Observationally, MW halo stars dominate the contribution to the [Eu/Fe] ratio at low [Fe/H], as shown by the highlighted observations of Cohen et al. (2004); Venn et al. (2004). In contrast, thin and thick disc stars make up the majority of the contribution at higher metallicities (Reddy et al. 2003; Venn et al. 2004). In the Reddy et al. (2003) observations, membership to the thick disc is denoted by having a line-of-sight velocity >−40.0 km s$^{-1}$, while membership in any component of the Venn et al. (2004) observations is based on their component membership probability being larger than 0.5. Other sources have selected for halo stars explicitly (Cohen et al. 2004; Barklem et al. 2005). Here, and in following [Eu/Fe] versus [Fe/H] plots, lower confidence interval KDE lines are only approximations to the total [Eu/Fe] versus [Fe/H] distribution as several cuts have been applied to the included star particles due to numerical effects (see the text for details).

agreement with each other and the observations. However, some small discrepancies are notable – in general, we predict more stars at low [Eu/Fe] than observed at all [Fe/H] bins, and more high [Eu/Fe] stars than observed in the highest [Fe/H] bin. In addition, while there are many galaxies with a sizable fraction of their mass in highly europium enhanced stars at low [Fe/H], we tend to underpredict the amount of stellar mass in stars with [Eu/Fe] > 1.0. These differences are likely due to a combination of both observational and computational constraints.

Observations of poorly enhanced [Eu/Fe] stars are difficult, making it likely that observational studies miss many of the stars we predict with [Eu/Fe] ∼ −0.5 (e.g. Jacobson & Friel 2013). In addition, comparisons of numbers of stars with highly enhanced [Eu/Fe] ratios are subject to large uncertainties, both in observations (∼0.2–0.5 dex, e.g. Jacobson et al. 2015) and in simulations (see Section 3). Finally, at higher metallicities, some europium lines can become blended with other metal species, making precise determinations of abundances more difficult (e.g. Koch & Edvardsson 2002). Taking these uncertainties in consideration, several trends in the simulations are none the less of interest.

At high [Fe/H], the ex situ stars are slightly offset towards higher [Eu/Fe] with respect to the insitu stars, while at low [Fe/H], this trend disappears, or can even reverse in individual galaxies (not shown). In general, the variations from galaxy to galaxy are greater in the ex situ population than in the insitu population as shown by the relatively larger error bars for the ex situ component (red) in Fig. 9. The difference in these populations motivates a study of whether the creation of high [Eu/Fe] stars at low [Fe/H] is due to differences in the assembly histories of each MW halo as the differences between insitu and ex situ populations seem to suggest.

To test the possible relationship between assembly history and europium enrichment, Fig. 10 shows the dependency of the fraction of present-day highly europium enhanced stars on galaxy properties. As shown in the left-most panel of Fig. 10, the ratio of ex situ to insitu stars is not correlated with the fraction of an MW’s stellar mass that is made up of stars with [Eu/Fe] larger than the 75 per cent contour shown in Fig. 7 ([Eu/Fe] ≥ 1.3). If the assembly history of these individual stars was the driving factor in determining what haloes hosted large [Eu/Fe] enhanced stellar populations, then a trend of increasing (decreasing) f[Eu/Fe]$_{75\text{per cent}}$ with an increasing fraction...
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5.1 Spatial distributions

In Fig. 8, we show the spatial distribution of the maximum [Eu/Fe] in each star particle for several [Fe/H] bins, indicating the distribution of metal-poor and metal-rich stars in both their [Eu/Fe] enrichment and location with respect to the disc of the galaxy. Median and one standard deviation are shown with solid and dashed magenta lines, respectively. The $z$-axis of a disc is defined as the plane perpendicular to the stellar angular momentum vector. Lower and intermediate metallicities tend to have larger [Eu/Fe] enhancements and show a preference to exist in both the plane of the galaxy and further out in its halo than their higher-metallicity counterparts. Here, the histogram colours give the total mass in a bin over all the summed stellar mass from all MWs in TNG100.

Figure 9. Distributions of stellar particles’ sub-mass distributions of [Eu/Fe] in different [Fe/H] bins averaged over the full sample of haloes (thick lines), colour coded by the origin of the stellar particles: insitu (cyan) and ex situ (red). Grey histograms are observational data collected with the NUPYCEE package (Ritter & Côté 2016). Bars show the spread for the insitu and ex situ histograms, normalized by the total mass in each stellar population. All histograms have been normalized by their total area. The simulated distributions generally agree well with observations, though are generally wider in spread and show less evolution with [Fe/H] than the observations (see the text for further details). In addition, there is little difference between the simulated insitu and ex situ distributions.

5.2 Galaxy properties at $z=0$

In addition to assembly history, many present-day halo properties show little correlation with the abundance of enhanced europium stars in the IllustrisTNG MWs. Two examples of this are shown in the last two panels of Fig. 10. In general, the fraction of europium-enhanced stars is relatively independent of present-day halo properties, as is shown in the middle panel of Fig. 10, which depicts the spread in $f_{[\text{Eu/Fe}]_{75}}$ per cent and $f_{[\text{Mg/Fe}]_{75}}$ per cent of ex situ stars should be present if accreted stars (local stars) are dominating the [Eu/Fe] enhancements. However, no such trends are present in the left-hand panel of Fig. 10. The results presented here do not depend strongly on the exact confidence interval chosen.

In addition, the [Mg/Fe] abundance is not correlated with the ratio of ex situ to insitu stars, as shown by the grey contours in the left-hand panel of Fig. 10. The overall larger vertical spread of the [Eu/Fe] enhancement fractions in comparison to those in [Mg/Fe] points to a greater inhomogeneity of [Eu/Fe] in the stellar populations owing to its stochastically injected production as a function of time. These results are in agreement with those of the zoom-in simulations of van de Voort et al. (2015), which find their low [Fe/H] and [Eu/Fe] stars are also formed insitu and migrate to larger radii through dynamical effects. In addition, there are no obvious trends of an enhancement fraction with the number of major or minor mergers a halo has experienced during its assembly (not shown).
Figure 10. Mass fraction of sub-mass star particles in MW-sized galaxies with \([\text{Eu/Fe}] \gtrsim 1.3\) with respect to a variety of galaxy parameters. Each point represents results taken from all star particles bound to a single galaxy. The left-hand panel gives the ratio of the ex situ to insitu stellar populations, the middle panel is the total halo mass of the galaxy, and the right-most panel shows the mass-weighted stellar population age. The contours are based on kernel density estimates from the seaborn PYTHON package, and are meant to guide the eye. No strong trends are present, with slight trends possible only with halo mass and stellar age. For comparison, lines for the mass fraction of enhanced \([\text{Mg/Fe}]\) stars \((\text{Mg/Fe} \gtrsim 0.97)\) are shown as grey contours. These have been renormalized such that they overlay on the \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) contours (by a multiplication factor of about 8).

Figure 11. Relation between stellar sub-mass \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) enhancement and age (left-hand panel), and the cosmological gas phase distributions of \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) for different redshifts (right-hand panel). In the left-hand panel, magenta solid and dashed lines show the median and standard deviation of \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) for each stellar age bin. The stars with the largest \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) tend to reside above the 75 per cent cut and are born at early redshifts. Thick lines in the right-hand panel show gas phase \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) both increases and becomes more homogeneous with time. The \([\text{Mg/Fe}]\) in the gas phase shows the opposite trend (light red (blue) lines at \(z = 4\) \((z = 0)\) – growing less homogeneous with time as they shift from high \([\text{Mg/Fe}]\) to lower \([\text{Mg/Fe}]\) as SNIa begin to pollute the gas. All gas phase histograms have been normalized by their total area. Taken together, these plots indicate that older stellar populations are born from gas with a larger spread of \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) abundances and therefore have a greater chance of forming from highly \(r\)-process enhanced gas during \(z = 2–4\).

5.3 Interplay of early Universe gas and galaxy properties

In the left-hand panel of Fig. 11, we plot the \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) abundance spread with respect to stellar age for every star particle in the 864 MWs in the TNG100 simulation. The typical age of the europium enhanced stars in our simulation of 10–12 Gyr is broadly consistent with estimates obtained from MW zoom simulations (Shen et al. 2015; van de Voort et al. 2015), and overlaps somewhat with both the peak in the cosmic star formation rate (left-hand panel of Fig. 2) and peaks in the star formation rates of individual MWs (right-hand panel, Fig. 2).

Over this time range, the distribution of cosmic gas abundances changes. The gas phase \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) abundance becomes larger and increasingly homogeneous with time as the gas enriched with the stochastically distributed NSNS mergers mixes with less enriched gas, as shown by the more strongly peaked \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) distribution as a function of halo mass. The right-hand panel of Fig. 10 shows the relative independence of the fraction of enhanced stars with the mass-weighted mean stellar age of each MW.
at $z = 0$ than at $z = 4$ in the right-hand panel of Fig. 11 (dark lines). This implies early times are more favorable for the creation of europium enhanced stars. This is in contrast to the evolution of the gas phase [Mg/Fe] ratio (light lines in Fig. 11, right-hand panel) which decreases and grows slightly less homogeneous with time. This is likely due to the increase of enrichment by Mg and Fe from stellar winds and SNIa at later times.

The relation between high europium stellar contamination and early star formation seems in contradiction with the results shown in the last panel of Fig. 10, which shows little correlation between the mass-weighted stellar age of a halo and the fraction of europium enhanced stars it hosts. This apparent contradiction points to the interplay between gas inhomogeneity and star formation in determining the levels of stellar europium enhancement. Fig. 12 shows this interplay in both the gas phase [Eu/Fe] and the fraction of europium enhanced stars. In the top panel, each point represents the gas mass-weighted average for [Eu/Fe] in each of the 864 MW-sized haloes at various redshifts. There is a clear trend of increasing [Eu/Fe] enhancement with decreasing star formation rates. This is in contrast to the [Mg/Fe] distribution, which shows no such correlation (dashed lines in the top panel of Fig. 12) and simply decreases in overall normalization as time progresses and more and more gas is polluted with iron-rich SNIa ejecta. The trend of decreasing [Eu/Fe] with SFR is replicated in the mass-weighted stellar [Eu/Fe] distribution as well, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 12.

The impact of the SFR on decreasing the [Eu/Fe] abundance ratio is likely two-fold. More star formation results in more enrichment from supernovae, which induces more mixing in the gas at $z \approx 2-4$, when the high [Eu/Fe] stars would otherwise be forming from inhomogeneous gas, as pointed out in Fig. 11. Moreover, more star formation increases the enrichment by SNII and SNIa, which in turn increases the iron abundance, thereby decreasing the local [Eu/Fe] ratio of the gas. Because the overall [Eu/Fe] ratio increases with time, as shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 11, it is likely the first effect dominates.

6 RESOLUTION EFFECTS

While resolution effects in magnesium and iron mostly originate from the different amount of stars formed, and thus the number of SNII and SNIa explosions, the interplay between resolution and our sub-grid europium distribution prescriptions results in greater resolution variations for the [Eu/Fe] than [Mg/Fe] ratio. However, the strongest effect is restricted to the overall normalization, while the dispersion of [Eu/Fe] at low [Fe/H] with respect to high [Fe/H] remains stable.

A first effect on the europium distribution in our simulated MWs comes from our assumptions for the size of the cooling mass. One can approximate this cooling mass based on the final momentum of the NSNS merger material during its momentum conserving can approximate this cooling mass based on the final momentum conservation, instead of a strict cut-off at $M_{\text{cool}} \propto Z^{-3/7}$ (Cioffi, McKee & Bertchinger 1988; Thornton et al. 1998; Martizzi, Faucher-Giguère & Quataert 2015; Macias & Ramirez-Ruiz 2016). While our Gaussian prescription described in equation (5) allows for mixing outside the cooling mass, instead of a strict cut-off at $M_{\text{cut}} = 10^5 M_{\odot}$, the assumed dispersion, $\sigma$, of our Gaussian distribution can influence the shape of the europium enhancement in our MW-sized galaxies. This result, shown by comparing the top and bottom panels in Fig. 13, manifests itself predominately as a change in the overall normalization by $\lesssim 1.0$ dex if the cooling mass changes by an order of magnitude.

In addition to changes due to sub-grid turbulent mixing, the metallicity of the surrounding gas can affect the cooling efficiency of the remnant as well, decreasing the value of the cooling mass at higher metallicities, $M_{\text{cool}} \propto Z^{-3/7}$ (Cioffi, McKee & Bertchinger 1988; Thornton et al. 1998; Martizzi, Faucher-Giguère & Quataert 2015; Macias & Ramirez-Ruiz 2016). While our Gaussian prescription described in equation (5) allows for mixing outside the cooling mass, instead of a strict cut-off at $M_{\text{cut}} = 10^5 M_{\odot}$, the assumed dispersion, $\sigma$, of our Gaussian distribution can influence the shape of the europium enhancement in our MW-sized galaxies. This result, shown by comparing the top and bottom panels in Fig. 13, manifests itself predominately as a change in the overall normalization by $\lesssim 1.0$ dex if the cooling mass changes by an order of magnitude.
Figure 13. Changes in the \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) distribution for order of magnitude changes in both the size of our sub-mass bins, \(M_b\), and the cooling mass spread parameter, \(\sigma\). White (red) contours are 10 per cent, 25 per cent, 40 per cent, and 75 per cent contour levels of the simulated (observed) data based on a kernel-density estimate while dashed lines show the medians of the distributions in each \([\text{Fe/H}]\) bin. As \(\sigma\) is changed from \(10^{3.5} \, M_\odot\) (top row) to \(10^{4.5} \, M_\odot\) (bottom row), the overall normalization of the distribution shifts vertically by approximately \(\lesssim 1.0\) dex. A change in the sub-mass bin size results in negligible variation of the fiducial distribution.

Additionally, we performed our analysis on trends of high \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) enhancement with various stellar and galactic properties (encapsulated in Figs 8–12) without a sub-grid formalism and the results are consistent with those which include the sub-grid prescription. Thus, any sub-grid treatment affects only the size and normalization of the \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) spread at low \([\text{Fe/H}]\) and not how the spread depends on a galaxy’s formation history.

In our fiducial model, we assume that any material from a partial NSNS merger within a star particle is distributed following the same Gaussian given in equation (5), albeit with a smaller normalization equal to the fraction of NSNS ejecta, as depicted by the smaller/fainter Gaussian bump in Fig. 3. As the resolution of our simulation increases, this results in more cells being populated with fractional merger material and less cells with whole NSNS mergers, and thus our fiducial prescription begins to underpredict the \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) in more highly resolved simulations. This can be clearly seen in the middle column of Fig. 14, where our fiducial model tends to overpredict the \([\text{Eu/Fe}]\) ratio in lower resolution boxes. Instead of assuming that fractional NSNS mergers are distributed following the same overall Gaussian of equation (5) with varying normalizations, one can assume that all NSNS mergers share the same normalization and fractional mergers are simply fractions of...
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7 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the first results of the new chemical evolution implementation in the IllustrisTNG suite of simulations, the successor of the Illustris project with an updated galaxy formation model. The updated model includes an improved advection scheme for the nine individually tracked metals, revised yield tables, metal production site tagging, and explicit tracking of ejecta from NSNS mergers. It also includes important improvements in the treatment of feedback processes from star formation and supermassive black hole accretion, yielding substantial progress in the predicted galaxy properties.

We began our analysis by comparing the simulated $\alpha$-abundances with those observed in a variety of galaxies – from both quiescent stacked SDSS spectra and individual stars in the MW – and emphasized some of the recent issues relevant to comparing observational and simulated abundance ratios. We then focused on NSNS-driven europium enhancements within the MW in our cosmological context, paying particular attention on how this stochastically injected process serves as a proxy for the efficiency of gas mixing during periods of intense star formation. Here, comparisons between observed and simulated Mg abundances are used as indicators of the effectiveness of our model on large and small scales, while comparisons between simulated and observed europium abundances in the MW highlight the effects of mixing in our simulations – a key component in any theory of cosmological chemical evolution.

Our main findings are as follows:

(i) A robust comparison between observed and simulated abundances of magnesium and iron is non-trivial, and we thus caution that assumptions about the processing of simulated abundance ratios into mock observations can affect the comparison. We further discuss how the assumed rates of SNIa and SNII can affect abundance ratios in MWs. We emphasize that while broad trends in abundance ratios can be recovered, a strict comparison with observations requires a more careful treatment than that discussed here.

(ii) From a set of MW-sized galaxies we reproduce the shape and spread of the observed [Eu/Fe] and [Mg/Fe] abundances in the MW. The large [Eu/Fe] enhancements at low [Fe/H] are reproduced

Figure 14. The [Eu/Fe] distribution in the TNG100 box is influenced by our choices of post processing cooling mass distribution parameters. The left column depicts the un-postprocessed distribution. White (red) contours are 10 per cent, 25 per cent, 40 per cent, and 75 per cent contour levels of the simulated (observed) data based on a kernel-density estimate while dashed lines show the medians of the distributions in each [Fe/H] bin. Here, the simulated points are shifted up by 1.3 dex to better fit the observed data for the purposes of plotting. At the highest resolution, the spread in [Eu/Fe] at low metallicities with respect to that at [Fe/H] ~ 0 is nearly reproduced. However the shape at [Fe/H] ~ 0 is far too flat, and the effects of our mass fraction cut-off of $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ are clearly visible as a line in the distribution at low [Fe/H]. The overall shape of the distribution is better reproduced by our fiducial post-processing model at high resolutions in the upper middle panel, however, this model overproduces Eu as resolution decreases with the fiducial choice of cooling mass parameter of $\sigma = 10^4 M_\odot$. The ‘fractional NSNS’ post-processing model shown in the right most column provides better consistency across resolutions, however, it overproduces Eu with $\sigma = 10^4 M_\odot$. One Gaussian spread across many cells. This results in a more consistent [Eu/Fe] distribution across all resolutions, as shown by the right columns of Fig. 14, however, at an overall higher normalization than in our fiducial model. This prescription serves as an upper limit on the amount of $r$-process mass that may be distributed in a sub-mass bin for a given value of $\sigma$ in equation (5). Both, larger values of the cooling mass and a ‘fractional’ distribution of NSNS merger mass, do not strongly affect any of the conclusions presented here.
with NSNS mergers as the sole enrichment process, with some deviations from the MW observations at higher [Fe/H], dependent upon choices for the distribution of NSNS merger material in post-processing. The MW [Mg/Fe] ratio depends on both methods for comparing between observations and simulations, and assumptions about magnesium yields in SNI and SNIa rates. While our [Mg/Fe] ratio overlaps with the observed data, a better fit is recovered if the rate of SNIa in our MWs is increased by a factor of 3.5.

(iii) Highly europium enhanced stars, those with [Eu/Fe] $\gtrsim 1.3$, originate from older periods of star formation than those without strong enhancements and are predominately formed for redshifts $z \sim 2$–4, during a period of time when the cosmic gas phase distribution of [Eu/Fe] was less homogeneous than it is presently, allowing for stars to form from highly europium enhanced pockets of gas. In contrast to the increase of the gas phase homogeneity of [Eu/Fe], the [Mg/Fe] gas phase ratio becomes less homogeneous with time, likely owing to the increase in Mg and Fe from sources other than SNI (stellar winds and SNIa).

(iv) We find the fraction of [Eu/Fe] and [Mg/Fe] enhanced stars in our simulated galaxies is robust to changes in total halo mass, mass-weighted population age, and formation history.

(v) Gas phase europium enhancements are instead anticorrelated with the star formation rate of their host galaxy at early times, suggesting that vigorous star formation homogenizes regions of europium enhanced gas, limiting the regions that can turn into highly europium enhanced stars. This scenario is born out in trends of simulated gas and stellar phase [Eu/Fe] ratios. There is no such correlation of [Mg/Fe] with star formation rate and redshift.

(vi) Finally, while the large spread of [Eu/Fe] at low [Fe/H] with respect to that at high [Fe/H] is robust to changes in both resolution and the post-processing sub-grid model for the spread of NSNS merger material, the overall normalization of the [Eu/Fe] ratio depends on both these factors. This is in contrast to changes in [Mg/Fe] with resolution, which are relatively small. This reflects upon both the different channels of enrichment – one stochastic (Eu), the other more homogeneous (Mg) – and the necessity of a sub-grid modelling for NSNS mergers.

Future IllustrisTNG simulations and follow-up zoom-in calculations will begin to resolve the cooling mass scale of NSNS mergers, providing a robust test of the results presented in this paper. In addition, the wealth of chemical evolutionary information available in the new IllustrisTNG simulation suite will allow us to probe both galactic- and cosmological-scale mass and metal return more fully in upcoming works.
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