Chapter 1
Rice Straw Overview: Availability, Properties, and Management Practices
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Abstract Managing rice straw remains a challenge in Asia where more rice, and hence, more straw, is grown each year to meet rising demand. The widespread burning of rice straw is a major contributor to dangerously high levels of air pollution in South- and Southeast Asia associated with health issues. At the same time, researchers, engineers, and entrepreneurs are developing a range of alternative uses that turn rice straw into a commodity around which sustainable value chains can be built to benefit rural people. The best alternative to burning rice straw in any one location depends on context. However, available information remains scattered in different media and no publication yet exists that helps people learn about, and decide between, rice straw management options. This book provides a synthesis of these options and integrates knowledge on relevant areas: sustainable rice straw management practices, rice straw value chains, and business models. The book is also based on new research and practice data from research organizations and innovators in Vietnam, the Philippines, and Cambodia.
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1.1 Rice Straw Availability

Rice straw is a residual byproduct of rice production at harvest. The total biomass of this residue depends on various factors such as varieties, soils and nutrient management and weather. At harvest, rice straw is piled or spread in the field depending on the harvesting methods, using stationary threshers or self-propelled combine harvesters, respectively. The amount of rice straw taken off the field depends mainly on the cutting height (i.e., height of the stubble left in the field). Rice straw that remains in the field after harvest can be collected, burned, or left to decompose (soil incorporation). The “stubble”—the uncut portion of the rice straw after harvest—remains, and can be burned or incorporated into the soil in preparation for the next crop. The ratio of straw to paddy varies, ranging from 1.0 to 4.3 (Zafar 2015) and 0.74–0.79 (Nguyen-Hung et al. 2016a). We investigated biomass ratios for a common rice variety (NSIC Rc158) at IRRI in 2017 that resulted in the findings shown in Fig. 1.1 (unpublished). Yield of the total straw biomass ranges from 7.5 to 8 t/ha while removed straw (harvested with leftover grains) ranged from 2.7 to 8 t/ha corresponding to the cut portion ranging from 50% to 100% of the total straw biomass. Figure 1.2 shows the global minimum and maximum estimate of rice straw avail-
ability based on global rice production data (IRRI 2019) and the straw:grain ratios of 0.5 and 0.7 from the experiment.

Annual rice straw production is in the ranges of 100–140, 330–470, and 370–520 million t/year in Southeast Asia (SEA), the whole of Asia, and over the world, respectively (Fig. 1.2).

### 1.2 Rice Straw Properties and Composition

Utilization of rice straw is dependent on its characteristics, which can be divided into three major categories: (1) physical properties, (2) thermal properties, and (3) chemical composition. Physical properties include bulk density, heat capacity, and thermal conductivity. Density is the most relevant to the handling and storage of rice straw. Thermal properties, and heating value; these properties are relevant when biomass is converted to energy. Chemical composition, such as lignin, cellulose, hemi-cellulose/carbohydrates, and nutrient contents, are relevant to applications, such as for livestock feed and soil fertility. Characterizing rice straw is helpful for life cycle analysis and efficiency calculations. The most common methods used in the characterization of rice straw can be referenced from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM).

#### 1.2.1 Physical Properties

Based on various studies, the bulk density of rice straw can vary depending on the different forms it may take. Loose rice straw, collected directly from the field, can range in density from 13 to 18 kg m$^{-3}$ in dry matter (dm) (Migo 2019). Chopped straw, ranging in length from 2 to 10 mm (Chou et al. 2009), can have a density range of from 50 to 120 kg m$^{-3}$ (Liu et al. 2011), depending on the equipment used. Depending on the baler equipment used, baled straw size and the compression ratio, and thus bulk density, will vary. A round rice straw bale with a 70-cm length and 50-cm diameter has a bulk density ranging from 60 to 90 kg m$^{-3}$ dm (Nguyen-Van-Hung et al. 2016b). The density of rice straw briquettes with a 90-mm diameter and 7- to 15-mm thickness is 350–450 kg m$^{-3}$ dm (Munder 2013). The density of rice straw pellets with an 8-mm diameter and from 30 to 50 mm in height is 600–700 kg m$^{-3}$ dm (Nguyen-Van-Hieu et al. 2018).

As compared to rice husks, which have a density of between 86 and 114 kg m$^{-3}$ (Mansarav and Ghaly 1997), unprocessed, loose rice straw has a low density. This means a higher volume per kilogram, implying higher shipping and handling costs as well as more complications in processing, transportation, storage, and burning (Duan et al. 2015, Liu et al. 2011). Rice straw volume can be reduced through processing but this will require additional energy inputs. Various size-reduction methods can increase density of the straw including using of pellet mills (Nguyen-V-Hieu
et al. 2018), roller presses, piston presses, cubers, briquette presses, screw extruders, tabletizers, and agglomerators (Satlewal et al. 2017).

When used for bioenergy, rice straw’s bulk density influences the combustion process as it affects the time required in the reactor (Zhang et al. 2012). Rozainee et al. (2008), as cited by Zhang et al. (2012), reported that a low bulk density causes poor mixing and nonuniform temperature distribution (unfavorable operating conditions), which decreases energy efficiency.

The moisture content of rice straw is an important consideration when determining how to process it and what it will be used for. For example, moisture content affects the heating value of the straw, which is important when the byproduct is intended for use as bioenergy. In addition, if rice straw volume is to be reduced, the moisture content before compression should be between 12 and 17% (Kargbo et al. 2010). Unfortunately, the moisture content can fluctuate greatly due to the method and duration of the straw’s storage (Topno 2015).

1.2.2 Thermal Properties

The calorific value is an essential parameter that shows the energy value of rice straw, if to be used for bioenergy. Rice straw’s energy efficiency can be calculated by dividing its energy output by its calorific value, which may be expressed as the higher-heating value (HHV), wherein latent heat of the water is included, or lower-heating value (LHV). In terms of calorific value, rice straw has an HHV that ranges from 14.08 to 15.09 MJ kg$^{-1}$, as determined by different studies as shown in Table 1.1 and is comparable to rice husks with a calorific value of around 14.2 MJ kg$^{-1}$. However, the calorific value of rice straw is just one-third of that of kerosene, which has a calorific value of 46.2 MJ kg$^{-1}$.

In the proximate analysis, volatiles refer to the volatile carbon, combined water, net hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur, which are first driven off in combustion. Rice straw is characterized by high volatiles or volatile matter (VOM) (60.55–69.70%), which is comparable to the biomass of other byproducts, such as sugar cane bagasse, corn straw, wheat straw, etc. In bioenergy applications, specifically in combustion, a high VOM has advantages, such as easier ignition and burning; but it also leads to a rapid, more difficult-to-control combustion (Liu et al. 2011). Fixed carbon refers to the carbon left after the volatiles are driven off. Rice straw has a fixed carbon ranging from 11.10% to 16.75%, which is also comparable to other biomass.

The ultimate analysis reveals the elemental carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur composition of rice straw. Compared to fossil fuels, the carbon content of rice straw biomass is less, while the oxygen and hydrogen contents are higher. As shown in Fig. 1.3, the van Krevelen diagram shows the hydrogen-to-carbon (H:C) and oxygen-to-carbon (O:C) ratios of various fuels. The ranges of H:C and O:C in rice straw are 1.1–1.36 and 0.94–1.06, respectively, which place it in the biomass region of the van Krevelen diagram, specifically in the cellulose region.

Rice straw ash content, which includes noncombustible residues, is around 18.67–29.1%. The high silica content of rice straw (Table 1.2) causes erosion prob-
### Table 1.1 Calorific value and proximate and ultimate analyses of rice straw

| HHV MJ/kg | Proximate analysis (% dry fuel) | Ultimate analysis (% dry fuel) | Sources                      |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
|           | Fix C   | Volatiles | Ash   | C   | H   | O   | N   | S   | Cl   | Ash   |
| 15.09     | 15.86   | 65.47     | 18.67 | 38.24 | 5.2  | 36.26 | 0.87 | 0.18 | 0.58  | 18.67  | Jenkins et al. (1996) |
| 11.10     | 19.20   |           |       |      |     |      |     |     |      |        | Braunbeck (1998)       |
| 14.57     | 35.94   |           |       |      |     |      |     |     |      |        | Munder (2013)          |
| 14.08     | 33.70   | 4.0       | 1.71  | 0.16 | 0.32 | 29.10 |     |     |      |        | Guillemot et al. (2014) |
| 15.03     | 13.21   | 64.24     | 13.26 | 44.40 | 7.40 | 47.07 | 1.13 |     |      |        | Duan et al. (2015)     |
| 14.39     | 16.75   | 60.55     | 22.70 | 35.35 | 3.91 | 37.35 | 0.71 | 0.03 |      |        | Migo (2019)            |
| Range     | −15.09  | −16.75    | −69.70 | −22.70 | −44.40 | −7.40 | −47.07 | −1.71 | −0.18 | −0.58  | −29.10 |
lems in processing machines (for example, in conveyers and grinders), boilers, and decreases the digestibility of rice straw when used as fodder. Rice straw is also characterized by a high volatile matter as compared to wood and coal; and a lower fixed carbon compared than that in coal. The high ash content in rice straw decreases its calorific value and causes problems in energy conversion. A high potassium and alkali content in ash may increase corrosion and fouling problems in grates, since alkali metals are known triggers for these phenomena. Table 1.3 shows the ash analysis of rice straw.

Table 1.2 Rice straw ash properties

| % of ash | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | TiO₂ | Fe₂O₃ | CaO | MgO | Na₂O | K₂O | SO₃ | P₂O₅ | Sources |
|----------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|------|---------|
| (d.b)    |      |       |      |       |     |     |      |     |     |      | Liu, et al. (2011) |
| 75.00    | 1.40 | 0.02  | 2.00 | 1.50  | 1.90| 1.90| 10.00| 0.90| 2.70 |      |         |
| 74.67    | 1.04 | 0.09  | 0.85 | 3.01  | 1.75| 0.96| 12.30| 1.24| 1.41 |      | Jeng, et al. (2012) |
| 82.60    | 1.10 | 0.60  | 1.00 | 3.30  | 1.70| 0.30| 6.30 | 1.70|      |      | Guillemot (2014) |
| 67.78    | 1.54 |       | 2.08 | 1.11  | 1.48|      | 11.87| 0.90|      |      | Migo (2019) |
| Range    | 67.78| 1.04  | 0.02 | 0.85  | 2.08| 1.11| 0.30 | 6.30| 0.90| 1.41 |         |
| ~82.60   | ~1.54| ~0.6  | ~2.00| ~3.01 | ~1.90| ~1.90| ~12.30| ~1.24| ~2.70|      |         |

Fig. 1.3 Van Krevelen diagram for various solid fuels. Source: Adapted from Mando (2013)
### Table 1.3  Chemical composition of rice straw

| DM % | CP % | Crude fiber | NDF | ADF | ADL | EBSi | Ash | Ca | P | Na | K | Sources |
|------|------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|----|---|----|---|---------|
| 92.8 | 4.2  | 35.1        | 69.1| 42.4| 4.8 | 18.1 | 0.29| 0.09| 0.27| 3.4| 1.8| Ngi, et al. (2006) |
| 96.3 |      |             | 73.0| 41.6| 4.8 | 4.3  | 12.1| 1.58| 0.12| 0.13| 3.4| Sarnklong et al. (2010) |
| 90.6 | 4.2  | 35.1        | 69.1| 41.9| 3.2 | 4.3  | 12.1| 0.29| 0.09| 0.13| 1.8| Peripolli et al. (2016) |
| Range|      | 90.6        | 73.2| 44.9| 3.2 | 4.3  | 12.1| 0.29| 0.09| 0.13| 1.8| |
| −96.3|      | −73.2       | −44.9| −4.8| −18.1| −1.58| −0.12| −0.27| −3.4| |

*DM dry matter, CP crude protein, NDF neutral detergent fiber, ADF acid detergent fiber, ADL acid detergent lignin, EBSi extractable biogenic silica*
1.2.3 Chemical Composition

Chemical composition determines the nutritional quality of rice straw, which is important for livestock feed, anaerobic digestion, and as a soil amendment. Rice straw has low nutritional value and research has been done to improve it. Jenkins (1998) indicated that the typical components of plant biomass are moisture cellulose, hemicelluloses, lignin, lipids, proteins, simple sugars, starches, water, hydrocarbon, ash, and other compounds. The concentrations of these compounds depend on the plant species, type of tissue, growth stage, and growing conditions. Rice straw is considered a lignocellulosic biomass that contains 38% cellulose, 25% hemicellulose, and 12% lignin (Japan Institute of Energy 2002). Compared to the biomass of other plants, such as softwood, rice straw is lower in cellulose and lignin and higher in hemicellulose content (Barmina et al. 2013). Table 1.3 shows the compositional analysis of rice straw via the work of various researchers.

1.3 Overview of Rice-Straw Management Options

1.3.1 Burning Issues and Alternative Management Options

Intensification of rice-cropping systems has been associated with the use of high-yielding and short-duration varieties with shorter turnaround time between crops in multi-cropping systems. Furthermore, the rapid introduction of combine harvesters constitutes a game changer because of the larger amounts of straw that are left spread out on the field. Manual collection of the straw in the field is unprofitable because of the high labor cost. Incorporation in the soil poses challenges in intensive systems with two to three cropping rounds per year. This is due to the insufficient time for decomposition, leaving the straw with poor fertilization properties for the soil and hindering crop establishment. As a result, open-field burning of straw has increased dramatically over the last decade, despite being banned in most rice-growing countries because of pollution and the associated health issues. Therefore, it is important to look for sustainable solutions and technologies that can reduce the environmental footprint and add value by increasing the revenues of rice production systems. Options for rice-straw management are shown in Fig. 1.4. Rice straw can inherently be used for soil conditioning thru composting and carbonization; as well as for bio-energy production and for materials recovery such as silica and bio-fiber (for industrial use). It is important to note that not all the possible options are economically viable. This is due to the fact that the processing material and transportation costs in value-adding solutions are still higher as compared to using the other more traditional options.
1.3.2 Scalable Solutions for Sustainable Rice-Straw Management

1.3.2.1 Incorporation

Rice straw incorporation into soil is another common management option, but adequate time must be allowed for its decomposition to ensure effectiveness and production efficiency (Mandal et al. 2004; Yadvinder-Singh et al. 2004; Dobermann and Fairhurst 2002). Additionally, careful straw management considerations have to be made after soil incorporation for greenhouse gas emission (GHGE) (Sander et al. 2014). Rice straw is characterized by a slow decomposition rate; thus, some farmers avoid rice straw soil incorporation especially in intensive cropping systems with 3 weeks interlude. In terms of total carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂-eq) per ha converted from CH₄ and N₂O, recent researches at IRRI showed that rice straw soil incorporation emitted about from 3500 to 4500 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ (Rosamanta 2017) which is about 1.5–2.0 times higher than when rice straw was removed. In response to this, researchers have conducted studies to evaluate using fungal inocula to speed up the decomposition rate (Goyal and Sindhu 2011, Ngo-T-T-Truc et al. 2012). Rice straw is chopped with combine harvesters and then sprayed with an inoculum to foster its decomposition in the soil. This management option is discussed in more detail in Chap. 9.

Fig. 1.4 Rice-straw management options
1.3.2.2 Mechanized Collection

Combine harvesters are known to spread rice straw across the field. Therefore, since rice straw collection is energy intensive, it is only economically viable and practical thru mechanical collection by use of balers. Collection plays a critical role in the rice straw supply chain. A discussion on different rice straw balers used in Asia is presented in Nguyen-V-Hung et al. (2017). Mechanized collection technologies are discussed in more detail in Chap. 2.

1.3.2.3 Mechanized Composting

Rice straw composting is done by adding animal manure and enzymes to rice straw and mixing by a turner and ensilage, in order to homogenize the mixture. The bio-physical processes of decaying matter can drastically improve thru mechanized composting. In turn, the compost can serve as fertilizer for growing vegetables and other crops, or can be used directly as soil conditioner. As soil conditioner, it improves the nutrient and organic matter content of the soil. This technology is described in more detail in Chap. 3.

1.3.2.4 Mushroom Production

The species of rice-straw mushrooms, *Volvariella volvacea*, is commonly used because of it grows easily and has a short growth duration of 14 days. The species grows in tropical weather at around 30–35 °C for the mycelia development stage, and at around 28–30 °C for the fruiting body production stage. The main inputs for mushroom growing are rice straw, spawn, labor, and water. The mushroom harvest usually starts during the third week after inoculation and ends 1 week later. Outdoor mushroom production is a common practice in Vietnam’s Mekong River Delta (MRD). The low investment cost is an advantage of this income-generating enterprise. It produces a yield of 0.8 kg of mushrooms per 10 kg of dried straw and generates a net profit of USD 50–100 t⁻¹ of straw. Indoor production is a less common practice because of higher investment costs and the necessary strict control of the growing conditions. On the other hand, indoor mushroom growing produces about a 2-kg higher yield per 10 kg of dried straw. See Chap. 7 for more details on mushroom production.

1.3.2.5 Rice-Straw Silage for Cattle Feed

Rice straw is of poor quality to serve as a livestock feed. It has a low C:N ratio and high NDF and ADF, which affects its nutritive value. Nevertheless, it is considered as a potential feed additive for increasing the energy and protein content. The prescribed consumption limit of rice straw by ruminants is 1.0 to 1.5 kg per 100 kg
live-weight per day (Drake et al. 2002). Urea treatment of straw, which is rice straw ensiled with 2–4% urea can improve consumption and digestibility of the rice straw as fodder. This technology is discussed in more detail in Chap. 7.

1.4 Conclusions and Recommendations

Upgrading the value chain of rice straw-byproducts and employing sustainable straw-management practices are the key to influencing farmers not to do open-field burning and thus avoid the negative environmental and health consequences. Incorporating rice straw into the soil is an option; however, it needs to be considered carefully to ensure timely decomposition and to minimize GHGE. Mechanized collection with balers plays a critical role in the sustainable use of rice straw. Alternative straw management options, such as straw-based mushroom and feed production, mechanized composting to produce organic fertilizer, etc., are discussed in the remaining chapters of the book.

This book focuses on the scalable options that will add economic value to rice production in Asia. Reviewed and updated information as well as scientific evidence on sustainable rice-straw management will be useful for further developments and related policies. Topics for another publication could be how rice straw can be used to produce biofuel and high-end materials, such as bioplastics, biofibers, and silica.
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