HSP90 inhibitor, AUY922, debilitates intrinsic and acquired lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer cells
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Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) inhibitors, such as trastuzumab and lapatinib are used to treat HER2-positive breast and gastric cancers. However, as with other targeted therapies, intrinsic or acquired resistance to HER2 inhibitors presents unresolved therapeutic problems for HER2-positive gastric cancer. The present study describes investigations with AUY922, a heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) inhibitor, in primary lapatinib-resistant (ESO26 and OE33) and lapatinib-sensitive gastric cancer cells (OE19, N87, and SNU-216) harboring HER2 amplification/over-expression. In order to investigate whether AUY922 could overcome intrinsic and acquired resistance to HER2 inhibitors in HER2-positive gastric cancer, we generated lapatinib-resistant gastric cancer cell lines (OE19/LR and N87/LR) by continuous exposure to lapatinib in vitro. We found that activation of HER2 and protein kinase B (AKT) were key factors in inducing intrinsic and acquired lapatinib-resistant gastric cancer cell lines, and that AUY922 effectively suppressed activation of both HER2 and AKT in acquired lapatinib-resistant gastric cancer cell lines. In conclusion, AUY922 showed a synergistic anti-cancer effect with lapatinib and sensitized gastric cancer cells with intrinsic resistance to lapatinib. Dual inhibition of the HSP90 and HER2 signaling pathways could represent a potent therapeutic strategy to treat HER2-positive gastric cancer with intrinsic and acquired resistance to lapatinib. [BMB Reports 2018; 51(12): 660-665]

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer was the fifth most common cancer in 2018, and the third most common cause of cancer-related deaths in the world (1). South Korea had the highest rate of gastric cancer worldwide in 2018 (1). While surgery remains a major curative treatment modality for localized gastric cancer, palliative chemotherapy is recommended for patients with unresectable gastric cancer to prolong survival and improve quality of life (2). However, the median overall survival in metastatic gastric cancer patients is less than 12 months with the standard combination chemotherapy of fluoropyrimidine and platinum, indicating the need for more effective therapy (3, 4). Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) inhibitors, such as trastuzumab and lapatinib, have been developed for gastric and breast cancers harboring HER2 amplification/over-expression. Following success for breast cancer treatment, and a randomized phase III clinical trial investigating the survival benefit of combining trastuzumab with chemotherapy in HER2-positive gastric cancer patients (ToGA) (5), trastuzumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting HER2 was also approved for unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive gastric cancer. In contrast, lapatinib, a dual HER2 and human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor did not demonstrate overall survival benefit, despite improvement in the objective response rate or progression-free survival in two phase III studies for HER2-positive gastric cancer, in first-line (LOGiC) and second-line (TyTAN) settings (6, 7). These unsatisfactory efficacy outcomes suggest the presence of drug resistance mechanisms or alternative pathways of escape from lapatinib in gastric cancer. Although the molecules that render intrinsic or acquired resistance to lapatinib are not well known, reports have suggested that lapatinib-resistance mechanisms involve FOXO1 suppression (8) and activation of alternative signaling pathways, such as MET (9), Testican-1 (10), AXL (11), HER2 (12-14) and AKT (15, 16), which induce bypass of HER2...
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AUY922, luminespib, is a representative heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) inhibitor and shows anti-cancer effects via binding to the ATPase domain of HSP90, causing loss of chaperone functions. HSP90 inhibitor-misfolded client proteins, including HER2, EGFR, IGF1R, AKT, RAF-1, IKK, c-Kit, v-SRC, NPM-ALK, BCR-ABL, p53, STAT3, HIF1, and CDK4/6, are degraded by proteasomes (17, 18). This process has been shown to induce pro-apoptosis and anti-proliferation effects in various tumors (19-23). AUY922 monotherapy showed promising activity in non-small cell lung cancers harboring EGFR exon 20 insertions (24), and various stages of preclinical and clinical development as a component of combination therapies have been performed (19, 25).

AUY922 has been shown to inhibit growth and proliferation by significantly decreasing AKT and ERK, as well as HER2 levels in HER2-positive breast cancer and gastric cancer cell lines (16, 21, 23). Furthermore, combination of AUY922 (16) or 17-AAG, another HSP90 inhibitor (26) with trastuzumab, showed promising antitumor activity in HER2-positive trastuzumab-resistant breast and gastric cancer preclinical models or metastatic breast cancer patients. These results suggest HSP90 inhibition could be a treatment strategy to overcome resistance to HER2-targeted therapies. However, the effect of AUY922 on HER2-positive and lapatinib-resistant gastric cancer remains to be investigated.

In this study, we describe the dramatically synergistic anti-cancer effect of AUY922, an HSP90 inhibitor in combination with lapatinib, in intrinsic and acquired lapatinib-resistant gastric cancer cell lines harboring HER2 amplification/over-expression.

RESULTS

AKT activation bypasses HER2 inhibition in HER2-positive gastric cancer cells with intrinsic resistance to lapatinib

Western blotting was performed to determine the expression of HER2 and assess signaling pathways in five gastric cancer cell lines. Interestingly, although HER2 was highly expressed and lapatinib inhibited the cell growth in a concentration-dependent manner in all cell lines (Fig. 1A), sensitivity to lapatinib was greater in OE19, N87 and SNU-216 cells, than in ESO26 and OE33 cells. Lapatinib significantly inhibited the growth of OE19, N87 and SNU-216 cells, but not ESO26 and OE33 cell lines in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 1B). Whereas lapatinib remarkably inhibited pAKT in a lapatinib-sensitive OE19 cell line, AKT activation persisted even after lapatinib treatment in an intrinsic lapatinib-resistant ESO26 cell line (Fig. 1C and 1D).

Both intrinsic lapatinib-resistant and lapatinib-sensitive gastric cancer cells are all sensitive to AUY922 via suppression of HER2 and AKT activation

To determine the IC50 of AUY922 in HER2-positive gastric cancer cells, we performed cell viability assays. AUY922 concentration-dependent growth inhibition was observed in all five gastric cancer cell lines (Fig. 2A). Western blotting showed that AUY922 treatment led to a decrease in HER2 and AKT expression in both lapatinib-sensitive and lapatinib-resistant gastric cancer cell lines (Fig. 2B and 2C). These results suggest that AUY922 can effectively inhibit HER2 and AKT in gastric cancer cells, regardless of lapatinib sensitivity.
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cancer cells, five gastric cancer cells, OE19, ESO26, N87, OE33 and SNU-216, were exposed to AUY922 at concentrations ranging from 1 nM to 1 μM. AUY922 showed the potent anti-cancer effect, with low IC50 values in both intrinsic lapatinib-resistant and lapatinib-sensitive gastric cancer cells, though AUY922 did not kill these cancer cells completely, even at 1 μM (Fig. 2A).

To assess if AUY922 could block HER2 and AKT activation, Western blot analyses were performed to measure pHER, HER2, pAKT, and AKT expression in OE19, and ESO26 cells after exposure to 50 nM and 100 nM AUY922 for 24 hrs. AUY922 effectively and equally suppressed pHER2, as well as pAKT expressions in both lapatinib-sensitive OE19 (Fig. 2B) and intrinsic lapatinib-resistant ESO26 cells (Fig. 2C).

**Activation of HER2 and AKT bypass HER2 inhibition in HER2-positive gastric cancer cells with acquired resistance to lapatinib**

To establish lapatinib-resistant gastric cancer cells, we exposed OE19 and N87 cells to increasing concentrations of lapatinib in culture over a 3-month period. We then treated these resistant cells and parental cells with concentrations of lapatinib ranging from 1 nM to 1 μM. Lapatinib-resistant OE19/LR and N87/LR cells exhibited higher IC50 than their OE19 and N87 parental cells, in response to lapatinib (Fig. 3A). AKT and HER2 phosphorylation in lapatinib-resistant OE19/LR and N87/LR cells was not inhibited by lapatinib treatment, in contrast to lapatinib-sensitive OE19 and N87 cells. This implies that activation of AKT and HER2 signaling may play a role in lapatinib resistance (Fig. 3B and 3C). Caspase 3/7 assays revealed that these two resistant cells attenuated lapatinib-induced apoptosis (Fig. 3D and 3E).

**AUY922 sensitizes HER2-positive gastric cancer cells with acquired resistance to lapatinib**

We assessed the effect of the combination of AUY922 and lapatinib for 72 hrs in OE19/LR and N87/LR cells. Western blot analysis revealed that both pHER2 and pAKT expressions were downregulated more in cells exposed to the drug combination, than in cells exposed to either AUY922 or lapatinib alone (Fig. 4A). Levels of cleaved PARP, an apoptosis marker, were also elevated to a higher extent by the combination treatment, than by either drug alone (Fig. 1A). To determine if AUY922 could overcome acquired resistance to lapatinib and synergize with lapatinib in OE19/LR and N87/LR cells, we exposed two cell lines to different concentrations of AUY922 alone, lapatinib alone, and their combinations. In cell

---

**Fig. 3. Activation of HER2 and AKT bypass HER2 inhibition in HER2-positive gastric cancer cells with acquired resistance to lapatinib.** (A) The anti-cancer effect of lapatinib in two parental HER2-positive gastric cancer cells, OE19 and N87, and acquired lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer cells, OE19/LR and N87/LR. Cell proliferation was measured by the CellTiter-Glo luminescent cell viability assay. The average results (± SD) of three independent experiments are shown. (B and C) Expression of pHER2, pAKT, pPARP, and BIM was determined following 72 h incubation with increasing doses of lapatinib in OE19, OE19/LR, N87, and N87/LR cell lines. β-Actin was included as a loading control. (D and E) Caspase 3/7 activity of parental cells (OE19 and N87) and acquired lapatinib-resistant cells (OE19/LR and N87/LR) after treatment with 100 nM lapatinib.

**Fig. 4. AUY922 sensitizes HER2-positive gastric cancer cells with acquired resistance to lapatinib.** (A) Effect of the combination of lapatinib and AUY922 on downstream signaling. Western blotting of HER2, pHER2, pAKT, and pPARP following treatment with lapatinib and AUY922 for 72 h in OE19/LR and N87/LR cell lines. (B and C) Synergistic effect of the combination of lapatinib and AUY922. Proliferation assays were performed in OE19/LR and N87/LR cells treated with 1 μM or 500 nM of lapatinib, plus increasing concentrations of AUY922 from 1 nM to 1 μM for 3 days. (D and E) CI, combination index, and CI table of B and C. (F and G) Caspase 3/7 activity of acquired lapatinib-resistant cells (OE19/LR and N87/LR) after single-agent (AUY922 or lapatinib) or combination (AUY922 plus lapatinib) treatment.
viability studies, the combination of AUY922 with lapatinib at 1 μM or 500 nM showed significant synergy in OE19/LR (Fig. 4B) and N87/LR cells (Fig. 4C), respectively, compared with either agent alone. In addition, we confirmed these synergistic effects using the CalcuSyn program (Biosoft, UK) (Fig. 4D and 4E). These observed synergistic effects occurred via increased caspase-3/7 activity (Fig. 4F and 4G). Taken together, these results indicate that dual targeting of HER2 and HSP90 overcomes acquired resistance to HER2 inhibition by lapatinib, in vitro.

**DISCUSSION**

Although the prognosis for HER2-positive advanced gastric cancer patients has been significantly improved since the development of anti-HER2 targeted therapy, such as trastuzumab (5), appearance of primary or acquired resistance to anti-HER2 therapy remains a major therapeutic challenge. While trastuzumab was established as standard therapy for both HER2-positive breast cancer and gastric cancer (5, 27), other anti-HER2 targeting agents, such as lapatinib, trastuzumab emtansine, and pertuzumab have shown different efficacy outcomes in clinical trials of both cancers, resulting in approval for their use only in breast cancer. Lapatinib enhanced antitumor efficacy in terms of overall response rate or progression-free survival, when combined with cytotoxic chemotherapy in HER2-positive metastatic gastric cancer, but this did not translate to an overall survival benefit (6, 7). The failure of gastric cancer clinical trials for lapatinib might have been caused by inadequate patient selection, HER2 heterogeneity, or statistically under-powered study design. However, one of the most important reasons may be intrinsic or acquired resistance to lapatinib in gastric cancer. Considering multiple escape mechanisms that circumvent inhibition of the HER2 signaling pathways, including compensatory activation of the HER network or activation of other redundant survival pathways (8-16), the combination strategy to block these escape mechanisms could be pursued to overcome resistance to lapatinib in HER2-positive gastric cancer.

In this study, we focused on the combination of an HSP90 inhibitor with lapatinib for treatment of acquired lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer cells. HSP90 is highly expressed in various tumors, including HER2-positive gastric cancers, and has been significantly associated with poor prognosis (28, 29). HSP90 is a molecular chaperone that acts to stabilize client proteins, many of which are oncoproteins, including HER2 (19, 20, 30). HSP90 is a good therapeutic target in HER2-positive cancer because it is in charge of protein stabilization of multiple proteins involved in tumor progression, as well as HER2. The HSP90 inhibitor AUY922 induces degradation of HER2 via ubiquitylation and lysosomal pathways in proteasomes (31). Predilential data have suggested that AUY922 could play a role in overcoming resistance to trastuzumab in HER2-positive breast and gastric cancer cells (16, 32). Besides clinical antitumor activity in non-small cell lung cancer, where AUY922 showed promising activity in cases harboring EGFR exon 20 insertions (24) or ALK rearrangements (33), it also showed encouraging antitumor efficacy when combined with trastuzumab to treat metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer patients who had progressed on trastuzumab-based therapy (34). However, the potential of AUY922 in combination with lapatinib to overcome lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer cells has not yet been investigated.

In this research, we are the first to describe the potent anti-proliferative effects of AUY922 in two intrinsic lapatinib-resistant cell lines (OE33 and ESO26), as well as in lapatinib-sensitive HER2-positive gastric cancer cell lines (OE19, N87 and SNU-216). In addition, we report that AUY922 markedly decreased the levels of HER2 and AKT in both lapatinib-sensitive and lapatinib-resistant cell lines. These results suggest that AUY922 could be useful in treatment of intrinsic lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer.

We also investigated if AUY922 was effective in two acquired lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer cell lines OE19/LR and N87/LR. The acquired lapatinib-resistant cell lines were highly sensitive to AUY922, though cells were not completely killed, even at the highest concentration used (1 μM). However, cells with HER2 ALK rearrangements (33), it also showed encouraging antitumor efficacy when combined with trastuzumab to treat metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer patients who had progressed on trastuzumab-based therapy (34). However, the potential of AUY922 in combination with lapatinib to overcome lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer cells has not yet been investigated.

In this research, we are the first to describe the potent anti-proliferative effects of AUY922 in two intrinsic lapatinib-resistant cell lines (OE33 and ESO26), as well as in lapatinib-sensitive HER2-positive gastric cancer cell lines (OE19, N87 and SNU-216). In addition, we report that AUY922 markedly decreased the levels of HER2 and AKT in both lapatinib-sensitive and lapatinib-resistant cell lines. These results suggest that AUY922 could be useful in treatment of intrinsic lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer.

We also investigated if AUY922 was effective in two acquired lapatinib-resistant HER2-positive gastric cancer cell lines OE19/LR and N87/LR. The acquired lapatinib-resistant cell lines were highly sensitive to AUY922, though cells were not completely killed, even at the highest concentration used (1 μM). In our study, lapatinib-resistant cells were still dependent on the HER2 signaling pathways, including the AKT pathway. Therefore, the combination of AUY922 with lapatinib showed a dramatic synergistic effect in OE19/LR and N87/LR cell lines.

In summary, our results support clinical development of AUY922 as a treatment strategy for overcoming intrinsic or acquired resistance to lapatinib in HER2-positive gastric cancer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and reagents**

The human gastric cell lines OE19, OE33, N87, ESO26, and SNU-216 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and Korea cell line bank (Seoul, Korea). These cell lines were grown at 37°C in 5% CO2 in RPMI-1640 and DEME, containing 10% fetal bovine serum from GibCO (Waltham, MA, USA). NVP-AUY922 and lapatinib were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA), dissolved in DMSO to a final concentration of 10 mM, and stored at −20°C.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo luminescent cell viability assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. Approximately 3 × 104 cells were transferred to white 96 well plates. The next day, the culture medium was removed, and the desired
concentrations of AUY922 or/and lapatinib (CP38774) were added to a volume of 100 μl. After 72 h, 100 μl of CellTiter-Glo reagent was added, and the plates were incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The luminescence was measured using a Wallac 1420 apparatus (PerkinElmer, Boston, MA, USA).

Western blot analysis
Cells were suspended in modified RIPA lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4]), containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and phosphatase inhibitors (1 mM sodium fluoride and 2 mM sodium orthovanadate) on ice for 30 min, and centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 30 min to collect whole cell lysates. The proteins (10-20 μg) were separated on an 8%-12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Western blotting was performed with specific primary antibodies and peroxidase-conjugated reagents (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). The commercial antibodies used in this study included HER2, HSP90, AKT, pAKT, pERK, cPARP, BIM, and β-actin (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA).

Caspase 3/7 assay
Caspase 3 and 7 activations were measured using the Caspase-Glo 3/7 Luminescence Assay (Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Protein samples from cells were prepared using RIPA buffer in the same manner as Western blot sample preparation. Ten micrograms of protein samples in 100 μl total volume were transferred to white 96 wells and centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 30 min to collect whole cell lysates. The proteins (10-20 μg) were separated on an 8%-12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Western blotting was performed with specific primary antibodies and peroxidase-conjugated reagents (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). The commercial antibodies used in this study included HER2, pHER2, HSP90, AKT, pAKT, pERK, cPARP, BIM, and β-actin (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA).

Calculation of the combination index
Activity of the drugs, used singly or in combination treatment, was estimated using CalcuSyn software (Biosoft, Ferguson, MO, USA). Briefly, this program calculated and determined the combination index, a quantitative measure of the degree of drug interaction. A combination index (CI) < 1, CI = 1, and CI > 1 indicated synergistic, additive, and antagonistic effects, respectively.
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