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**Abstract**

**Background:** Antigenic variation allows the trypanosomes to evade the potentially destructive host immune response and is an important reason for failure to develop a protective vaccine. Among the non-variant structural proteins, paraflagellar rod protein (PFR) is a prospective vaccine target owing to its role in the active movement of the parasite.

**Methods:** The PFR1 gene was cloned in pET-32a expression vector and after confirmation by restriction digestion, expressed as a Histidine-tagged fusion protein, in BL21 DE3 strain of *E. coli*. The expressed protein was affinity purified and then renatured. The immunoreactivity of the expressed recombinant protein was shown by western blot analysis using the specific serum. The experiment was carried out during 2013-14 at Division of Parasitology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India.

**Results:** The results of sequencing, restriction digestion analysis, and PCR reaction revealed that cloning of PFR1 gene in pET-32a expression vector and the results of SDS PAGE and Western blot further confirmed its homogeneity and purity. The *in silico* Te-PFR1 (*T. evansi* PFR1) nucleotides sequence analysis revealed its close homology with the other members of the order Kinetoplastida.

**Conclusion:** We report here the molecular cloning, heterologous expression, and characterization of PFR1, a constituent protein of PFR. Due to its conserved nature, the PFR1 protein could be a prospective vaccine target against multiple *Trypanosoma* species.
Introduction

Surra, caused by Trypanosoma evansi, is prevalent in a wide range of wild and domestic animals including companions in the Indian subcontinent. Surra is a burden to the agriculture-based economy of South Asian countries including India. The disease generally occurs in a chronic form in the large ruminant causing huge loss of production and drought power. The disease is currently managed by chemotherapy using a few drugs available in the market. The emergence of drug resistance and potential toxic nature of the drugs as well as drug residues in animal products have prompted the need for the development of a safe and protective vaccine against the disease (1-4). Paraflagellar rod (PFR) is the constituent proteins of the kinetoplastid flagellum, extending alongside the axoneme from the flagellar pocket to flagellar tip. The PFR is composed of two major proteins PFR1 and PFR2. The slow-migrating protein band in SDS-PAGE gel was defined as PFR1 while the fast migrating band was called PFR2. The nucleotide sequence of PFR is highly conserved throughout the Kinetoplastida and Euglenida (5). Owing to this high sequence homology in trypanosomatids (6), the PFR proteins have the potential of a cross-protective vaccine candidate. We report here the molecular cloning of Te-PFR1 gene, its nucleotide sequence comparison, heterologous expression, and characterization.

Materials and Methods

In vivo propagation and maintenance of parasites

The whole experiment was conducted during 2013-14 at Division of Parasitology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute (I.V.R.I), Izatnagar, U.P., India. The study was approved by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of I.V.R.I, No.F.26-1.

A cryostock of Trypanosoma evansi, horse isolate was revived and amplified in vivo in Swiss albino mice. At teeming level of parasitemia, heart blood was collected and the trypanosomes were isolated by DEAE-cellulose chromatography (7). The purified parasites were pelleted by centrifugation at 4000 g at 4 °C for 5 min.

Molecular cloning of PFR1

Total RNA was extracted from the host cell-free trypanosomes using Trizol reagent following the manufacturer’s instructions (Gibco BRL). Double-stranded complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from the total trypanosome RNA using oligo dT primer following the standard protocol (8). Briefly, a 50µl RT-PCR Reaction with RNA was set up with template RNA 15µl (4.5 µg), oligo dT 2µl (100 pM). The mixture was heated at 70 °C for 5 min and snap cooled on ice and the following was added: RT buffer (5X)10µl, dNTPs (10mM) 5 µl, RNase inhibitor (40U/µl) 0.25 µl, MuMLV RT (200 U/µl) 2µl, DEPC treated NFW, 15.75 µl and the total volume was made up to 50 µl. The mixture was then incubated at 42 °C for 1h followed by 70 °C for 10 min to inactivate the RT. The entire ORF of T. evansi PFR1 gene was amplified from the cDNA by PCR using Taq polymerase in a thermal cycler with specific primers (PFR1 forward primer (FPFR): 5’ ATG GCC GCA GTT GAC GAT G 3’ and PFR1 reverse primer (RPFR): 5’ CTA TTC GAG GCG TGC CGG T 3’). The thermal reaction consisted of initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 32 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 45 sec, annealing at 57 °C for 45 sec and extension at 72 °C for 2 min with a final extension at 72 °C for 15 min. The amplified DNA fragment was visualized by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide and isolated using the QIAquick gel extraction kit (QIAGEN, Germany). The quantification of the purified PCR product was done spectrophotometrically.
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The purified PCR product was further confirmed by Hind III restriction enzyme digestion.

The purified PCR product was ligated to pGEM-T T/A cloning vector following standard protocol (8) and designated as pGEM-T-PFR1. Competent Escherichia coli DH5α was transformed with the plasmid construct and were grown on LB agar containing ampicillin at 37 °C overnight. Positive clones were selected and grown overnight in LB media containing ampicillin. The recombinant plasmid was extracted from the transformed DH5α cells (8) and the insert was released by a restriction digestion with NcoI/PstI for confirmation. The clones were further confirmed by colony PCR and were custom sequenced for nucleotides.

**Expression of PFR1 gene in pET-32a expression vector**

The specific primer-directed PCR amplification of 1770 bp ORF encoding PFR1 was achieved using cDNA as template. The restriction sites for EcoRI and NcoI enzymes were incorporated into the expression primers. PFR1 forward primer (FEPFR1NcoI): 5’ ATCACCATGGCCGCTGAGTTGACGATGCGCCAC 3’, PFR1 reverse primer (REPFR1EcoRI): 5’GCTTGGGAATTCTATTGAGGGCGTGCGGTGCAGT3’. The thermal cycle for PCR amplification of PFR1 ORF was standardized as initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 sec, annealing at 63.6 °C for 50 sec, elongation at 72 °C for 3 min 45 sec and final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min.

The purified PCR product was digested with EcoRI and NcoI restriction enzymes to subclone into the pET32a expression plasmid vector following the standard protocol (8). The recombinant plasmid construct was confirmed by colony PCR and insert release by digestion with EcoRI and NcoI restriction enzymes.

**E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells** (Promega, USA) were transformed with the recombinant PFR1 plasmid following the standard protocol (8). Five positive colonies were selected from the master plate for induction. The colonies were grown in 5 ml of LB broth overnight at 37 °C with constant shaking at 140 rpm. Ten milliliters of fresh LB broth was added to 100 µl of the overnight grown culture and further incubated at 37 °C until mid-log phase with constant shaking. One milliliter of the culture was collected from each tube as an uninduced control. The rest of the culture was induced by IPTG, added at a final concentration of 1 mM, and incubated at 37 °C with constant shaking. One milliliter of the induced culture was collected at hourly interval starting from 3 h of induction. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 13000 rpm and kept at -20 °C till further use.

**Extraction and purification of the recombinant protein**

The BL21 cell pellets collected at an hourly interval of induction along with the controls were suspended in 50 µl of SDS-PAGE sample buffer (2X). The sample volume was made up to 100 µl with autoclaved distilled water and then boiled for 10 min in a water bath to lyse the bacterial cells. The lysate was centrifuged at 12000 rpm and 40 µl of the supernatant was analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE (9) under denaturing conditions at 100V for 2-3 h. The gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250.

The recombinant PFR1 was isolated by pelleting the cells from 100 ml of the induced culture. The cells were resuspended in 5 ml of lysis buffer containing 8M urea and incubated at room temperature for 1-2 h with intermittent vortexing. Subsequently, the debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 30 min and the clear supernatant was transferred to a clean tube. Then the supernatant was mixed thoroughly with 800 µl of Ni-NTA agarose slurry containing 20 mM imidazole (37.5 µl) and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol (5 µl) on a rotatory shaker for 1 h. The lysate-resin mixture was loaded onto a 5 ml polypropylene
column (Qiagen) and equilibrated with 1X Tris-phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). The flow-through was collected. The column was then washed initially with 10 ml of wash buffer I (pH 6.2) containing 25 mM imidazole (9 µl, pH 7.0) followed by 10 ml of wash buffer II (pH 6.0) containing 30 mM imidazole (9 µl, pH 7.0). Finally, the protein was eluted with 4 ml of elution buffer (pH 4.2) in 500 µl fractions.

**Western blot analysis of the recombinant PFR1 gene**

To check the specific reactivity of the purified recombinant PFR1 protein by western blot, about 500 ng of the purified recombinant protein was electrophoresed by SDS-PAGE. The resolved protein was electrotransferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using Tris-Glycine buffer (50 nm Tris base, 380 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS) containing 20% methanol at 100 mA constant current for 3 h. Successful transfer of the protein was confirmed by staining the membrane with Ponceau’s stain. The stain was removed by washing the membrane with TBS buffer. The unbound surface of the membrane was blocked overnight with 3% skimmed milk in TBS, at 4 °C. Following stringent washing with TBS-Tween (0.05%) (3×10min), the membrane was incubated with Ni-NTA anti-histidine HRPase conjugate (Qiagen; 1:1000 dilution) at 37 °C for 1 h. Finally, the membrane was washed thrice with TBS-T and developed with DAB solution (Bangalore Genei, India) in dark. The reaction was stopped by washing the membrane immediately after the color developed. The immune-reactivity of the rPFR1 was further checked against rabbit hyperimmune serum and against reference negative sera.

**Sequence alignment based comparative homology of PFR1**

The nucleotide sequences and chromatograms were studied using BioEdit V7.0.5 program (10). The nucleotide sequence of the complete PFR1 CDS was submitted to GenBank (Accession number FJ968743). The edited sequence was translated to ORFs (http://bio.lundberg.gu.se/edu/translat.html). To delineate the functional domains, the translated amino acid sequence was subjected to Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART) analysis (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/#). The translated PFR1 protein sequence was analyzed by ProtParam online program (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/) to examine physicochemical properties like molecular weight, theoretical pH, extinction coefficient, estimated half-life, instability index, aliphatic index, and grand average of hydropathy (GRAVY).

The PFR1 sequences, available in the database from homologous species, were retrieved for BLAST analysis. The homologous nucleotide coding sequences for PFR1 were compared using ClustalW program of MEGA7. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by p-distance matrix using the neighbor-joining method (11) with 1000 bootstrap samplings (12).

**Results**

The entire ORF of *T. evansi* - PFR1 gene (1770 bp) was PCR amplified using specific primers (Fig. 1).
The amplicon was purified from the PCR contaminants using a commercial gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Germany) and the purity was checked by electrophoresis on one percent agarose gel. The molecular integrity of the PCR product was further confirmed by restriction digestion with Hind III enzyme which produced two fragments of 1003 bp and 767 bp. The result was consistent as an ORF of T. evansi contains a restriction site for Hind III at 1003 bp as shown in an in silico analysis of a published sequence using NEB cutter analysis tool (http://nc2.neb.com/NEBcutter2/). The purified 1770 bp DNA fragment was ligated into a T/A cloning vector to facilitate its nucleotide sequencing and characterization. A pGEM-T vector, having an MCS (Multiple cloning sites) incorporated into a Lac Z coding region, was chosen for the easy selection of recombinant clones. Selection of positive E. coli DH5α colonies were done by blue-white colony screening method and the white clones were further confirmed for the insert by colony PCR. The positive clone thus selected was custom sequenced for nucleotide.

The transformed BL-21 cells were grown in a medium containing the standard inhibitory concentrations of ampicillin (100μg/ml). The recombinant clones were checked by colony PCR and insert release analysis by restriction digestion with EcoRI and NcoI. A high-level expression of PFR1 protein was noted after six hours of induction with 1mM IPTG. The molecular mass of the expressed fusion protein was determined as 89 kDa by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 2).

The recombinant Te-PFR1 protein was purified by affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA agarose beads, and was eluted by imidazole mediated competitive recovery at low pH (Fig. 3). The refolding of the eluted protein was achieved by dialysis against decreasing concentration of urea at 6 h interval and finally against PBS pH 7.4 for 24 h at 4 °C. The heterologous expression of the recombinant protein was confirmed by immunoblot analysis using specific Ni-NTA HRP conjugate. Further, an immunoblot analysis with hyperimmune sera confirmed the immunoreactivity of the expressed recombinant protein (Fig.4).

The molecular conformation of the recombinant Te-PFR1 was studied in silico. The SMART analysis of the deduced amino acid sequence revealed four coiled regions spanning 124-199, 249-278, 313-339 and 477-517 amino acid (aa) residues and one low complexity region at 342-360 aa residues. The ProtParam program predicted the isoelectric point (pI) of Te-PFR1 protein as 5.77, which indicates its slight positive charge and an instability index of 53.55 was suggestive of its unstable nature. The GRAVY index of -0.825 was indicative of a hydrophobic and insoluble nature of Te-PFR1 protein (13). The BLAST analysis retrieved similar sequences of PFR1 from the public database. Fourteen highly homologous sequences PFR1 viz. Trypanosoma evansi Bikaner (Indian) isolate (JQ909241), Trypanosoma evansi China isolate (EU366960), Trypanosoma brucei gambiense (XM_011774118), Trypanosoma brucei (XM_838928), Trypanosoma grayi (XM_009309683),...
Trypanosoma cruzi (AF005195), Leishmania donovani (XM_003862559), Leishmania infantum (XM_003392645), Leishmania panamensis (XM_010702480), Leishmania braziliensis (XM_001566967), Leishmania major (XM_003722209), Leptomonas pyrrhocoris (XM_015802553), Leishmania mexicana (AY198411) and Crithidia deanei (AY785777) were selected for comparison. The PFR1 gene sequences were aligned, the sequence distance was estimated and the neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed.

The model (Tamura-Nei with discrete gamma distribution i.e. TN93+G) with lowest BIC score (13183.401) was considered to be best model in MEGA7 for describing the substitution pattern.

The sequence identity (Table 1) of T. evansi Izatnagar isolate was maximum with the T. evansi Bikaner (Indian) isolate (100%) and T. evansi China isolate (99.9%).

The T. brucei gambiense and T. brucei had a sequence identity of 100% and 99.9% with T. evansi Izatnagar isolate (Fig. 5). Further, a close sequence homology of Te-PFR1 was found when aligned against that of L. panamensis, L. braziliensis, L. donovani, L. major, L. infantum, T. grayi, L. pyrrhocoris, L. Mexicana, T. cruzi and C. deanei (Table 1).

Fig. 3: Purified rPFR1 protein by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. Lane M: Prestained molecular weight protein marker (MBI Fermentas, SM0441) Lane 1: Elute 1 from Ni-NTA agarose column

Fig. 4: The immunoreactivity of rPFR1 protein by western blot analysis with rabbit hyper immune serum raised against native whole cell lysates of T. evansi Lane M: Pre-stained protein molecular weight marker (Thermofisher Scientific, 26619) Lane 1: Purified PFR1 protein

Fig. 5: Phylogenetic tree constructed based on PFR1 nucleotide sequence using the Tamura-Nei model with uniform Gamma distribution and 1000 bootstrap multiplication
**Table 1:** The PFR1 sequence of *Trypanosoma evansi* Izatnagar isolate was compared to the same from some other members of Kinetoplastida available in the GenBank. The upper diagonal values represent sequence distance, whereas the lower diagonal values represent standard error.

| SPP.                                      | Sequence distance and standard error |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| *Trypanosoma evansi* Izatnagar (Indian) isolate (JQ909241) | 0.000  0.001  0.001  0.016  0.019  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.026 |
| *Trypanosoma evansi* Bikaner (Indian) isolate (JQ909241) | 0.001  0.001  0.001  0.016  0.019  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.026 |
| *Leishmania mexicana* mexicana isolate (EU366960) | 0.001  0.001  0.001  0.016  0.019  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.017  0.017  0.026 |
| *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* (XM_011774118) | 0.000  0.000  0.001  0.016  0.019  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.026 |
| *Trypanosoma brucei* brucei (XM_838928) | 0.002  0.002  0.002  0.016  0.019  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.016  0.017  0.016  0.026 |
| *Trypanosoma cruzi* (XM_009939683) | 0.195  0.195  0.196  0.195  0.196  0.013  0.014  0.014  0.014  0.013  0.012  0.014  0.024 |
| *Trypanosoma cruzi* (AF005195) | 0.205  0.205  0.206  0.205  0.206  0.150  0.015  0.016  0.015  0.016  0.015  0.015  0.023 |
| *Leishmania donovani* (XM_003862559) | 0.215  0.215  0.216  0.215  0.215  0.164  0.176  0.001  0.005  0.006  0.003  0.006  0.003  0.023 |
| *Leishmania infantum* (XM_003392645) | 0.215  0.215  0.216  0.215  0.215  0.166  0.176  0.001  0.005  0.006  0.003  0.006  0.003  0.023 |
| *Leishmania panamensis* (XM_010702480) | 0.215  0.215  0.216  0.215  0.215  0.170  0.175  0.038  0.039  0.002  0.005  0.006  0.005  0.022 |
| *Leishmania braziliensis* (XM_001566967) | 0.215  0.215  0.216  0.215  0.215  0.170  0.175  0.040  0.041  0.005  0.006  0.007  0.005  0.022 |
| *Leishmania major* (XM_003722209) | 0.218  0.218  0.219  0.218  0.218  0.164  0.182  0.010  0.011  0.040  0.042  0.006  0.004  0.022 |
| *Leptomonas fukuharae* (XM_015802553) | 0.219  0.219  0.220  0.219  0.219  0.154  0.171  0.050  0.052  0.055  0.057  0.052  0.006  0.022 |
| *Leishmania major* (AY1998411) | 0.221  0.221  0.221  0.221  0.221  0.167  0.177  0.016  0.017  0.038  0.040  0.019  0.054  0.024 |
| *Ceratitis donei* (AY785777) | 0.339  0.339  0.341  0.339  0.342  0.329  0.318  0.307  0.310  0.298  0.295  0.304  0.279  0.315 |

**Discussion**

Surra is one of the most important vector borne haemoprotozoan diseases of tropical and subtropical countries. Importance of trypansomosomosis ranks high due to its devastating effects on the livestock health and productivity leading to severe economic losses to the dairy industry (4, 14). Presently the control measures rely solely on chemotherapy.

There are only a few drugs available in the market with low therapeutic index and high toxicity. Presence of drug residues in the animal products and emergence of drug-resistant strains necessitate development of alternative control measures including a sustainable protective vaccine. Development of a protective vaccine is still a distant dream owing to the antigenic variation displayed by the organism. Since VSG no longer remains an impressive vaccine target (3) like PFR1 owing to its strategic location and conserved nature among different species (15).

In the present communication, we report the molecular cloning of Te- PFR1 gene, its nucleotide sequence comparison, heterologous
expression, and characterization. The principal finding of this study was the identification of the PFR1 gene in T. evansi of Indian isolate by sequencing the recombinant plasmid pGEM-T-PFR1 three times in both directions with no changes. A search for sequences relating to the PFR1 gene of T. evansi in the GenBank at the National Center for Biotechnology Information revealed several PFR1 nucleotide sequences. The search resulted in the identification of complete DNA sequences of PFR1 genes that were homologous to the newly reported Te-PFR1 gene.

This is the first information on the sequence generated from an Indian isolate of T. evansi and submitted to GenBank from India (Accession No. FJ968743). The amplicon of PFR1 gene (1770 bp) is 30 nucleotides less than that of PFR2 gene (1800 bp). In vitro expression of PFR1 protein was carried out using pET32a vector in BL21 DE3 strain of E. coli, as His-tagged fusion protein incorporating EcoRI and NcoI restriction sites in the expression primers since the sequence information of PFR1 gene revealed the absence of the sites for either of these restriction enzymes. A high level of expression PFR1 was noted following six hours of induction of the culture with 1mM IPTG. The mature PFR1 protein was resolved as 89 kDa in SDS-PAGE. The workers reported maximum yield of protein 6h post induction. We got similar findings with rPFR1. Both crude and recombinant version of PFR protein from kinetoplastids showed strong immunogenicity in rodent models (17-19). Immunological relatedness between salivarian and stercorarian group of trypanosomes is rarely investigated. Recently, T. evansi crude antigen showed cross reactivity with T. cruzi positive sera obtained from chagasic patients (20), signifying the presence of common antigens, such as PFR related proteins between these two trypanosome species (16). Moreover, it is interesting to note that the PFR proteins bear no homology to any human and livestock protein (21).

**Conclusion**

The close homology in nucleotide sequence of PFR1 gene of kinetoplastids denotes its conserved nature. The findings give credence to the hypothesis that the PFR molecule(s) as a vaccine candidate has potential to induce protective immunity against many other species of *Trypanosoma* crossing the strain barrier.
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