Identification and in situ hybridization to mitotic chromosomes of a molecular marker linked to maleness in Anastrepha fraterculus (Wied.)

Summary

The present report shows the molecular identification, isolation and cytologically localization of a DNA-sequence from the South American fruit fly Anastrepha fraterculus (DIPTERA: Tephritidae) involved in sex-determination. It belongs to the Tephritidae family, the true fruit flies which are considered a pest of fruit crops. The sex determination system is of vital importance in the genetic control of the fruit fly pest: Sterile Insect Technique which unlike chemical control tactics, is environmentally friendly and does not pose any health concerns. We used in situ hybridization on mitotic chromosomes for localizing the primary sex determination factor in this fruit fly pest. Our results show that in Anastrepha fraterculus the Y chromosome is responsible for sex determination.
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Introduction

Fruit flies occur in two forms – male and female - that differ markedly in their reproductive anatomy and physiology. Sexual chromosomes are functionally responsible that a zygote develops a male or a female. The basic plan of gonadal development in both sexes is female unless testes are induced by factor(s) of the Y chromosome, known as testis determining factor(s) (TDF). 1 In many cases, one of the sex chromosomes has become structurally modified while the other has remained unchanged. The structurally modified chromosome is limited to one sex. The South American fruit fly Anastrepha fraterculus (Wied) belongs to the Tephritidae family, the true fruit flies, where only females damage fruits punching out the fruit with their ovipositor in order to lay eggs. This species often shares host fruits with the Mediterranean fruit fly (Medfly) Ceratitis capitata (Wied.), a very resilient species on which abundant research to control it, is achieved. The only non-contaminant and non-modifier method for the environment is the autocidal control where the species is used to control itself by releasing millions of sterilized flies. 2 3 The problem with fruit fly species is the fact that sterile females do not lose the habit of oviposition. In C. capitata an improvement of the technique is based on linking a genetic marker to the Y-chromosome to produce a sexing sterile male technique, sterile insect technique and then transferred to a 3:1 solution of Methanol: Glacial Acetic Acid for 60 seconds. For uniform fixation, the tissue was cut into 3:1 parts. To aid in the development of mitotic cytology, we proceeded as follows. The slides were placed in a coplin jar with a 3:1 solution of Methanol and acetic acid and kept in the freezer at -20°C until time of use. Neuroblasts of subesophageal ganglion from third instar larvae were used to study mitosis. Dissection was in Ringer’s solution, extracting the cerebral ganglion. The tissue was washed in 1% sodium citrate solution for 15 minutes and then transferred to a 3:1 solution of Methanol: Glacial Acetic Acid for 60 seconds. For uniform fixation, the tissue was cut into.

Materials and methods

DNA Extraction: Genomic DNA extractions from 2 males and 2 virgin females - taken from two inbred strains of A. fraterculus - were performed separately, according to the technique described by Sonvico et al. 16

Polymerase Chain Reaction: The RAPD/PCR reaction conditions were as described by Sonvico et al. 16 it was used 1μM random primer PK18 (5’-CCTAGTCGAG-3’) or each one of one of these primers: PH15, PK09, PK13 or PK17, (Operon Technologies, Alameda, CA). DNA size markers were 100bp DNA ladder.

Purification of selected DNA fragments: The selected amplified DNA fragments were eluted from the gels and purified with a DNA purification kit: GeneClean (MP Biomedicals), according to the respective manufacturer instructions.

Labelling of DNA fragments: Fragments were labelled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP by random priming according to Boehringer manual and used as a probe in FISH analysis.

Cytology: To obtain cytological preparations, to be used in molecular cytogenetics, we proceeded as follows. The slides were placed in coplin jars with a 3:1 solution of Methanol and acetic acid and kept in the freezer at -20°C until time of use. Neuroblasts of subesophageal ganglion from third instar larvae were used to study mitosis. Dissection was in Ringer’s solution, extracting the cerebral ganglion. The tissue was washed in 1% sodium citrate solution for 15 minutes and then transferred to a 3:1 solution of Methanol: Glacial Acetic Acid for 60 seconds. For uniform fixation, the tissue was cut into.
small pieces with needles and pipetting (splash). It was immediately passed through a hot plate at 75°C. The preparations were examined under a phase contrast binocular. Once selected, they were dehydrated for 1-5 minutes in ethanol: 80% and 100%, air dried and stored in a freezer at -20°C.17

FISH: The fluorescence in situ hybridization with probe pK18 on mitotic chromosomes of A. fraterculus, was performed following the protocol by Willwocht and Franz.18

Results

DNA profiles: Males and females DNA of A. fraterculus were analyzed through RAPD/PCR separately. All the random of A. fraterculus were analyzed through primers tested amplified sequences that appeared to be shared (in terms of length) by both males and female individuals (Figure 1 and data not shown). Some of those random primers amplified -only in males- DNA polymorphic sequences differing in size (Figure 1 and data not shown). Figure 1 shows the amplification products produced by random primers PH15, PK09, PK13, PK17 and PK18. Primer PK18 generated one differential band present only in males (Figure 1 Left). The band amplified with primer PK18 (Figure 1 Right) was eluted from the gel, purified, labelled with digoxigenine and used as a probe in FISH analysis. The size of the male band is 300bp.

Figure 1. Left. RAPD-PCR products of amplification using the following random primers: lanes 1 and 2 PH15; 3 and 4 PK09; 5 and 6 PK13; 7 and 8 PK17, 9 and 10 PK18. Lanes 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 correspond to females. Lanes 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 correspond to males. S, size markers. Arrow shows the "male band" amplified with primer PK18. Right. Purified "male band" amplified with primer PK18. Arrow shows the "male band" used as a probe in Fish analysis.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization: Probe pK18 amplified in males and hybridized a large region on the Y chromosomes. It also hybridizes the X telomeres. Strain "fraterculus Argentina 1" for brevity “fArg 1” is our reference strain. Its sexual karyotype is X1Y1. The X-chromosome being acrocentric whereas the Y-chromosome is sub metacentric. The probe strongly marks the proximal region of the long arm of the sub-metacentric Y1-chromosome as well as the entire short arm. A strong signal marks the short arm of the X1-chromosome (Figure 2 A), while a weaker signal is observed on the long arm telomere (Figure 2A). Other strains bearing Y-chromosome polymorphisms were also tested. These chromosomal variants -a sub-telocentric Y2-chromosome (not shown) and a telocentric Y3-chromosome (Figure 2B and ideogram) are shorter than the Y1-chromosome. The probe hybridized the long arm of the Y2-variant (not shown). Probe pK18 completely hybridized the short arm and the long arm of the Y3-chromosome (Figure 2B and ideogram). The short and long arm telomeres of the sexual X1-chromosome were also hybridized on both strains, repeating the pattern of fArg 1 X-chromosome.

Discussion

A method for localizing the primary sex determination factor in Anastrepha fraterculus by FISH is presented. FISH shows that maleness factor strongly hybridizes the short as well as the long arm of the Y-chromosomes. Weaker marks on the X chromosomes, point out the persistence of a small subset of genes shared between the X- and Y-chromosome and selective retention and amplification on the Y-chromosome of male determining factors.

Sex chromosomes have evolved -from what was once an ordinary pair of autosomes,21 with the X retaining and the Y gradually losing most of the ancestral genes,24-26 Lahn revealed that four main events of gene recombination were responsible for the origin of X- and Y-chromosomes. These events caused an inversion and recombination along the regions on Y-chromosome DNA, consequently they cannot linearize anymore with analogue DNA regions present on its partner X-chromosome. This avoided the interchanging of DNA between similar regions present on both sex chromosomes and facilitated that X and Y chromosome segments differentiate from each other. The lack of recombination between the X and the Y chromosomes led to loss and differentiation of genes on the Y chromosome.23

We infer that similar rearrangements have taken place between the X and the Y chromosomes in Anastrepha fraterculus. Apart from differing in their sizes, Y1/X1=0,66 and Y3/X1=0,4, these chromosomal variants differ in their FISH patterns concerning their long arms, suggesting the occurrence of an inversion and a deletion to produce Y3 (Figures 2&3). The Y1 long arm is 60% hybridized, the Y3 long arm is completely hybridized. At least a deletion occurred to produce the Y3 short arm (Figures 2&3). Y-chromosome variation in A. fraterculus, has been previously revealed through C-banding studies detecting Y variants. Since all three length ratios Y1/X1, Y2/X1, Y3/X1, are 0,66, 0,5 and 0,4 respectively, this is pointing out a length gradient associated to the C- and N-banding patterns previously described.22 These data suggest that Y-variants are derived from rearrangements involving the gain or loss of chromosomal segments carrying constitutive heterochromatin, but the maleness factor remains in the proximal region of the long arm next to the centromere of the Y-chromosome.

Furthermore, we had previously found and reported the existence of a triploid XXY and mosaics XY/XXYY which are males. We also found sexual monosomic aneuploids XO which are females in Anastrepha fraterculus.22 A transposition from the X-chromosome long arm to the Y-chromosome short arm have been occurred during human evolution.23 The author analyzed the chromosomes of sex-reversed XX men, rare individuals who look like men but have two X chromosomes instead of one X chromosome and one Y chromosome.
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Through DNA hybridization with probes corresponding to regions of the Y chromosome, he discovered that sex-reversed males carried genes from a 140-kilobase region on the short arm of the Y chromosome. Presumably, this region had been transferred to the X chromosome during a translocation. It was found that one gene in this region, the sex-determining region of the Y, or SRY, was the master regulator of sex determination. The presence of just this region from the Y chromosome is thus sufficient to cause male development.

Figure 3 Ideogram of sexual chromosomal variants studied in Anastrepha fraterculus strains. FISH staining with probe K-18. Dark grey are hybridization signals.

Conclusions

Our results show that in Anastrepha fraterculus the Y chromosome is responsible for sex determination. Further evidence on sexual aneuploids XO females and XXY males strongly support present results. Polymorphisms of the Y, point out that continuous sampling to detect genetic variation is unavoidable for continuous SIT success.
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