INTRODUCTION

Herbs and spices are plant-derived seasonings used for culinary resolves. In addition to making food taste good, culinary spices have been used as food stabilizers and for their health-enhancing qualities for eras [1]. Spices stimulate appetite and create visual appeals to food [2]. The use of spices in culinary preludes recorded history and is said to have been an vital part of local dishes in South Asia and the Middle East as far back as 2000 BCE [3]. From the dawn of civilization, there is evidence that humans were using spices for their health properties as well as for their ability to create zing in food. These are functional foods that can be verified to have a quantifiable role on certain target functions in the body beyond elementary nutritional requirements. Spices occur in a variety of flavour, colour, and aroma paying a wide range of nutrients to foods [4]. They augment and balance flavour in foods with no waning effect on the organoleptic values of the food [1]. Herbs and spices elaborate secondary metabolites that form part of the plants’ chemical defence. These secondary metabolites obtained from spices also possess noticeable pharmacological and medicinal properties [5]. The importance of spices is accentuated by the fact that they are still found in 40% of drugs prescribed till date [4]. Spices and herbs are esteemed for their latent health attributes. They are revered to have optimistic effects in the cure of countless diseases, predominantly immedicable ones such as cancer, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases [1]. Nutrition and health are tortuously linked and the ability of nutrition (in this case, nutrients from spices) to reduce the risk of diseases has
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attracted the scientists to create ways for increasing production of spices so that farmers incentivised to cultivate spices on their agricultural land. This revolutionises the role of fertilizers to enhance the production of crop. But along with increased yield of crop it also deteriorated the quality of soil when used in increased amount in lure of more and more yield of crop. It also creates problems of bioaccumulation in food crops which causes waning effect on health. This tend agriculturist towards the biofertilizers. Biofertilizers are living microorganism or certain plants (like azolla) that are used to check the declining quality of soil as well as to increase production of crops. Earlier many studies were concluded about the role of fertilizers and biofertilizers in crop production.

The present experiment is designed to devise the role of fertilizers (Ammonium phosphate sulphate) and bio fertilizers (agrozyme) on the cytomorphological and biochemical aspects of plant and also to investigate the impact of these in plant productivity for achieving the sustainable development goal to promote organic farming and sustainable environment for the future.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Procurement of seeds

Pure inbred seeds of Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare L.) were obtained from SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj.

Seed germination

Fresh healthy seeds of fennel were taken and were soaked with water on Petriplates. Within two to four days, seeds germinated giving off healthy roots.

Treatment

For the treatment, roots were separated into various sets and dipped in graded aqueous concentration (prepared by using Dilution method) for 4 different concentrations viz. 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2% of APS and agrozyme solution, respectively for duration of three hours along with control. Agrozyme which contains the seaweed constituents of Fucus vesiculosus and Ascophyllum nodosum [6].

Fixation

After treatment, the roots were fixed in Carnoy’s Fixative (Alcohol: Glacial Acetic Acid in 3:1 ratio) at an appropriate time. After 24 hours, roots were transferred in 90% alcohol for preservation and were ready for cytological observation.

Slide Preparation

The hydrolysis of root tips was done in 1 N HCl at 60°C temperature. After that, the roots were thoroughly washed under running water, followed by staining using 2% acetocarmine stain. These darkly stained root tips were excised and used for slide preparation by Squash technique method. Slides were observed under microscope and photo micrograph was done using PCTV software.

Formula used for calculation

Number of dividing cells

\[
\text{Active Mitotic index (AMI\%)} = \frac{\text{Total number of dividing cells}}{\text{Total number of cells observed}} \times 100
\]

Total Abnormality Percentage (TAB) = \[ \frac{\text{Total Number of Abnormal cells}}{\text{Total number of cells observed}} \times 100 \]

The AMI% and TAB% of each concentration were computed by obtaining ten microscopic views for each slide. For each respective concentration five slides were recorded. Data was further utilised to generate statistical results. For this, SPSS 16.0 software was used followed by One Way analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan Multiple range Test (p < 0.05). Graphs were plotted by the assistance of Sigma Plot 10.0 software.

Morphological analysis

The morphological parameters were taken such as germination (7 days), survival percentage (14 days) and plant height (cm) for studying the impact of biofertilizer and fertilizer. To calculate germination percentage, the total number of germinated seeds was counted and it divided by total number of seeds. After 14 days, the remaining seedlings were counted for survival percentage.

Biochemical Analysis

For biochemical analysis the 20mg of fresh leaves were taken from plant material, which was immediately extracted and assayed.

Pigment Analysis

The photosynthetic pigment content was quantified using 80% Acetone extract method and optical density was taken at 665nm, 646nm and 470nm for Chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids percentages were computed there upon according to method described by Lichtenthaler and Welburn [7].

RESULTS

Fertilizers are used for supplying the micro and or macro elements to the cultivated plants in order to increase crop productivity. So the present investigation elucidates the comparative effect of fertilizer and biofertilizer on the root meristem of Fennel for studying chromosomal behavior. Morphology of the plant is also affected followed by biochemical aspects.

Effect on the Mitotic index and abnormality percentage

As biofertilizer contains living cells of efficient strains of N₂ fixing, phosphorous solublizing or cellulolytic microorganisms which provide and enhance all the essential nutrient elements
The use of biofertilizer accelerates the mitotic index of fennel plants compared to chemical fertilizers. In the present experiment, biofertilizer showed an increased mitotic index at high concentration (Fig. 2). Data in Table 1 summarize the effects of agrozyme and APS on fennel. In the control plant of fennel, the AMI recorded was 12.33±0.16%. The AMI increased at the lower doses of agrozyme and APS. In APS, the AMI first displayed an increasing trend at 0.5% and 1.0% concentration giving values 12.62±0.24% and 12.89±0.12% respectively. However, at the highest concentration (2%) of APS, it decreased to 10.38±0.22%. But in the case of agrozyme, along with an increase in concentration, the AMI increases until the highest dose with values 12.92±0.25% to 14.67±0.21% for 0.5% to 2% concentration, respectively.

Abnormalities in root tips of fennel were dose dependent. The control plant deciphers normal chromosomal organization as 2n=22 at metaphase (Fig. 1A) and normal segregation at anaphase (Fig. 1B). Various chromosomal anomalies were detected at different doses as presented in Fig. 1C-I. Various chromosomal abnormalities were encountered at varying concentrations viz. stickiness, precocious, laggards and bridges etc. Comparative account of TAB% shown via Fig. 1 explains that abnormalities percentage is more conspicuous in APS as compared to agrozyme. In agrozyme, at 0.5% the TAB% was recorded as 1.03±0.12 while in APS it was 2.24±0.18.

### Table 1: A Comparative account of Cytological abnormalities induced by Agrozyme and Ammonium phosphate sulphate (APS) in root meristem of *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.

| Treatment               | Concentration (%) | Metaphasic abnormalities (%) (Mean±S.E.) | Anaphasic abnormalities (%) (Mean±S.E.) | Others | TAB (%) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------|---------|
|                         |                   | Sc | Cm | Pr | St | Un | Br | Lg | St | Un | Sc | Un |
| Agrozyme Control        | 0.5               | 0.21±0.108 | -  | -  | 0.52±0.11 | -  | -  | 0.20±0.103 | 0.10±0.106 | 0.32±0.19 | 0.28±0.152 | 1.0±0.08 | -  |
|                         | 1.0               | 0.35±0.202 | 0.25±0.02 | 0.12±0.123 | 0.12±0.123 | -  | -  | 0.47±0.113 | 0.24±0.120 | 0.11±0.116 | 0.73±0.216 | 2.3±0.152 | -  |
|                         | 1.5               | 0.94±0.133 | 0.52±0.354 | 0.14±0.143 | 0.29±0.286 | 0.38±0.219 | 0.41±0.248 | 0.27±0.138 | 0.67±0.139 | 0.48±0.136 | 0.32±0.164 | 3.1±0.09 | -  |
|                         | 2.0               | -  | 0.76±0.270 | 0.62±0.137 | 0.79±0.172 | 0.31±0.31 | 0.91±0.248 | 0.63±0.181 | 0.60±0.377 | 0.33±0.33 | 0.32±0.164 | 1.5±0.182 | 4.7±0.176 | -  |
| Ammonium phosphate sulphate (APS) Control | 0.5 | 0.25±0.253 | -  | 0.24±0.123 | 0.81±0.096 | 0.23±0.116 | 0.48±0.136 | 0.48±0.136 | 0.11±0.113 | 0.01±0.013 | 2.2±0.184 | -  |
|                         | 1.0               | 0.54±0.120 | 0.42±0.248 | -  | 0.40±0.239 | 0.40±0.225 | 0.81±0.234 | -  | 0.81±0.234 | 0.14±0.143 | 0.13±0.136 | 3.4±0.240 | -  |
|                         | 1.5               | 0.60±0.138 | 0.62±0.313 | 0.77±0.411 | 0.29±0.293 | 0.31±0.155 | 0.45±0.254 | 0.31±0.155 | 0.45±0.254 | 0.15±0.156 | 0.15±0.153 | 0.4±0.254 | 4.2±0.176 | -  |
|                         | 2.0               | 0.36±0.366 | 0.74±0.183 | 0.18±0.183 | 1.10±0.556 | 0.93±0.204 | 0.36±0.366 | 0.93±0.204 | 0.36±0.366 | 0.39±0.196 | -  | 5.9±0.433 | -  |

Where, AMI- Active Mitotic Index, Cm- C- Mitosis, Sc- Scattering, Pr- Precocious movement, St- Stickiness, Un- Un-orientation, Br- Bridge formation, Lg- Laggard formation, TAB- Total Abnormality Percentage. Means followed by lowercase letter are statistically significant at p < 0.05 in Duncan’s Multiple Range Test.
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TAB\% was seen more prominent as comparison to agrozyme i.e. 5.93±0.43\% and 4.70±0.17\%, respectively.

Influence on the morphological parameters

Germination and Survival percentage

The results revealed the significant effects of fertilizer and biofertilizer on germination percentage. The control sets exhibited 95.33±1.26\% which was elevated as the concentration of agrozyme increases. In agrozyme, at 0.5\% and 1.0\% the germination percentage was 96.45±1.83\% and 98.02±1.02\%, respectively. On the opposite in APS, germination percentage shows reciprocal relationship to the treated concentrations (Fig. 3A). The survivability percentage also shows same relationship with agrozyme and APS as above. At 0.5\% and 1.0\% of agrozyme the survivability percentage was found to be 95.47±1.32\% and 96.33±0.78\%. At highest concentration the survivability percentage was declined to 75.43±1.17\% and 52.12±0.81\% in agrozyme and APS respectively (Fig. 3B).

Plant height

From the obtained data it was noticed that biofertilizer and fertilizer both had a significant impact on plant height. Continued stimulation with respect to increase in concentration was noticed in case of agrozyme and highest value of 152.01±2.45 cm plant height was recorded at 2\%. In case of APS the plant height was increased at lower concentration i.e. 0.5\%. However, a sharp decline to 98.67±0.80 cm at higher concentration (2\%) witnessed (Fig 3C).

Effect on the pigment content

Estimation of photosynthetic pigment shows variation in control and treated sets. The chlorophyll \(a\) and \(b\) were recorded to be 0.98±0.06, 0.34±0.02 in control sets whereas carotenoid content was estimated to be 0.32±0.01. A dose dependent increase in photosynthetic pigment was registered in agrozyme treated sets at all doses and at 2.0\% concentration the amount of chl\(a\), \(b\) and carotenoid was recorded to be 1.26±0.01, 0.73±0.04 and 0.52±0.03, respectively as mentioned in Fig. 4 A,B,C. However in case of APS, a dose dependent decrement was noticed with respect to its higher three concentrations except for lowest concentration i.e. 0.5\% APS where, an increase in photosynthetic pigments content was observed. At highest concentration (2\%), quantified chlorophyll content was recorded as 0.68±0.05 (chl\(a\)), 0.18±0.06 (chl\(b\)) and 0.21±0.02 (carotenoid), as (Fig. 4 A,B,C).

DISCUSSION

Cytological analysis

Analysis of variance shows that biofertilizer and fertilizer have a significant effect on AMI. The use of biofertilizer is considered
to be one of the most important factors to increase crop yield. As from the above result biofertilizer efficiency increases the plant mitotic index, which shows that it provides nutrient element which participate in nutrient cycling and benefits crop productivity [8]. It may be due to thickeness in metaphase and anaphase percentage was observed, perhaps due to lengthening of their duration [9,10]. Positive responses for organic fertilizers have also been confirmed in chickpea [11,12]. Main benefits of biofertilizers are, supply of microelement or organic matter and cheap source of nutrients. On the counterpart APS cause mitodeaccelerating effect on the AMI at the higher concentration. This illustrates that the judicious utilization of chemical fertilizer should be used for the field crop. The lowering of AMI % can be attributed to inhibition of DNA synthesis at S-phase [13]. The mitodeaccelerating effect of fertilizers on AMI at higher dose was also reported by Abraham and Nair [14] in *Vicia faba*, Bhatta and Sakya [15] in *Allium cepa*. Chromosomal anomalies show intense increases in both the cases, but the chromosomal disturbance was more obvious in the case of APS. It might have occurred due the binding of ammonium ion to the DNA which causes inhibition of cell cycle. These synthetic chemicals also aggravate chromosomal identity [16]. Abraham [17] found that fertilizers produced a significant increase in chromosomal aberrations. Similar observations were also obtained by Kumar and Naseem [18], Kumar and Gupta [19]. There are many abnormalities induced through fertilizer and biofertilizer but stickiness was the prominent abnormality found at various doses. Stickiness might have caused due to entangling of the chromosomes together. Stickiness (Fig. 1e &1 g) was found in both metaphase and anaphase of mitosis. Chromosome stickiness leads to inactivation of DNA replication, increased chromosomal contraction and condensation or nucleoproteins probably leading to cell death [20].

Due to abnormal function of spindle the chromosome fails to attach with kinetochore resulting into improper chromosomal division which leads to the formation of loop (Fig. 1c)

Precocious movement of chromosomes is characterized as migration of normal chromosome at equatorial plate, leading to seclusion of chromatid from whole cluster of chromosomes. Precocious movement (Fig. 1f) denotes of early terminalisation of chromosome or dysfunctioning of spindle fibre. Laggard chromosome (Fig. 1h) occurred due to stickiness of chromosome or might be depending upon the moving speed and process of an individual chromosome differing from normal ones [21]. Bridges (Fig. 1k) might have resulted due to enhanced activity of fertilizer or biofertilizer caused breakage and reunion of chromosomes [22] or may be due to sticky chromosomes. Cytological explorations inferred that although fertilizers affected plants efficiently but it also increased the chance of environmental hazard. Comparatively, bio fertilizers are eco-friendly and sustainable substitute.

Morphological analysis

On the germination percentage APS shows negative effect. As the concentration increases it causes decline in the germination percentage. From APS ammonium ion might be amenders in the seed coats and internal tissues which reduce germination or cause unavailability of growth hormones (gibberellin and auxin) and water by making seed coat impermeable through binding between the cells. While biofertilizer in general enhanced the germination and other growth parameters which might be due to favorable soil physical environment created by the addition of organic manures [23]. Gupta et al. [24] reported that the use of organic manures are very effective due to the availability of N, P and K which improve soil health, soil ecology and soil environment supplying essential micronutrients. Further survivability of the plant fennel is also increased in the case of biofertilizer application at the lower concentration. Compost types and mineral fertilizers were more effective, as they provided more mineral elements such as N, P, K, Ca, N, Mg and C for plant growth and development. Biofertilizers have an unique combination of all nutrients which might affect overall plant growth advancement. In contrast, chemical fertilizer shows lesser impact on the plant survivability, it might be that chemical fertilizer offers nutrients which are readily soluble in soil solution and thereby very rapidly available to plants but high inorganic ions availability effects physiological condition of the plant negatively. Chemical fertilizer shows instant effect and used up quickly because it contains few elements/ions but biofertilizers contain living microorganisms and other nutrients which provide all the contents needed for the plant division.

APS and agrozyme both one affect plant height positively. APS lower concentrations are beneficial for the plant but its higher amount is not applicable for the plant growth. Agrozyme accelerates the plant height of fennel that its height increase over the control sets. Rezvani et al. [25] also confirmed that biological fertilizer application in wheat resulted a significant effect on root and shoot length, but no significant effect was observed among cultivar types. In a study, which was conducted by Khoram Del et al. [26], it was shown that inoculation of *Nigella* seeds with biological fertilizer caused a significant increase in plant height, leaf area index, maximum dry matter accumulation and plant growth rate as compared with control. Muhammad [27] observed similar results with application of organic manure and compost in rice. The available nutrients might have helped in enhancing leaf area, which thereby resulted in higher photo-assimilates and more dry matter accumulation. These results are supported by the findings of Sparup and Yaduvanshi [28] and Yadana et. al. [29]. Kader et al. [30] reported a beneficial effect of *Azospirillum* on shoot length, which was attributed to production of growth stimulating hormones such as auxin, gibberellin and cytokinin.

Biochemical analysis

Chlorophyll contents are closely related with leaf photosynthetic rate. It takes part in biosynthetic processes occurring in the green part of the plants. Numerous studies have shown that chlorophyll content in plants increases sharply following fertilization with macroelements. The chemical fertilizer enhanced the chla, chlb and carotenoid content at lower concentration, while biofertilizer enhanced these
photosynthetic pigments almost at all the concentrations. According to Skwaryło-Bednarcz and Krzepilko [31], the spare amount of NPK fertilizers is accompanied by the higher total chlorophyll content in plant material. According to Nalborczyk et al. [32] nitrogen fertilizer affects chlorophyll content in plants. Similarly biofertilizer significantly improved chlorophyll concentration in chilli [33] and in black gram [34]. This is because, N is the chief constituent of protein, essential for the formation of protoplasm, which leads to cell enlargement, cell division and ultimately resulting in increased plant growth. This positive effect of fertilizers on the photosynthetic pigments may be due to the improvement of chlorophyll formation, and photochemical efficiency of leaf [35]. Addition of biofertilizer might supply the essential elements to the plant by which plant synthesizes more chlorophyll. Similarly El Kinany [36] concluded that compost amendment has clearly increased chlorophyll amount and leaf mineral nutrition, particularly the macroelements. But APS at higher concentration reduces the chlorophyll concentration. These chemical fertilizers have been accredited to the obliteration of chlorophyll pigments and instability of the pigment protein complex which might be attributed to reduced synthesis of the main chlorophyll pigment complexes encoded by the chl. gene family [37], or to devastation of the pigment protein complexes which protect the photosynthetic apparatus, or to oxidative damage of chloroplast lipids and proteins, therefore development of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids decreases.

CONCLUSION

Aforementioned cytological, morphological and biochemical aspects in fennel evidently illustrate the activity of APS and agrozyme. This work discloses that agrozyme implements acceleration on mitotic division while APS acts as clastogenic at higher doses. APS causes higher anomalies with respect to agrozyme. Among both the treatments, bio-organic fertilizer induced lesser toxicity and increased the quality. Therefore it is advised to use fertilizer in least required concentration, while organic fertilizer should be promoted for sustainable agriculture.
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