Prescription profile of potentially aristolochic acid containing Chinese herbal products: an analysis of National Health Insurance data in Taiwan between 1997 and 2003
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Abstract

Background: Some Chinese herbal products (CHPs) may contain aristolochic acid (AA) or may be adulterated by the herbs suspected of containing AA, which is nephrotoxic and carcinogenic. This study aims to identify the risk and the prescription profile of AA-containing CHPs (AA-CHPs) in Taiwan.

Methods: A longitudinal analysis was conducted on a randomly sampled cohort of 200,000 patients using the data from the National Health Insurance (NHI) in Taiwan between 1997 and 2003.

Results: During the 7-year study period, 78,644 patients were prescribed with AA-CHPs; most patients were females, or middle-aged, or both. A total of 526,867 prescriptions were made to use 1,218 licensed AA-CHPs. Over 85% of the AA-exposed patients took less than 60 g of AA-herbs; however, about 7% were exposed to a cumulated dose of over 100 g of *Radix et Rhizoma Asari* (Xixin), *Caulis Akebiae* (Mutong) or *Fructus Aristolochiae* (Madouling). Patients of respiratory and musculoskeletal diseases received most of the AA-CHP prescriptions. The most frequently prescribed AA-CHPs were *Shujing Huoxie Tang*, *Chuanqiong Chadiao San* and *Longdan Xiegan Tang*, containing *Radix Stephaniae Tetrandrae*, *Radix et Rhizoma Asari* and *Caulis Akebiae*, respectively.

Conclusion: About one-third of people in Taiwan have been prescribed with AA-CHPs between 1997 and 2003. Although the cumulated doses were not large, further actions should be carried out to ensure the safe use of AA-CHPs.
Background
Considerable attention to the safe use of Chinese herbal medicines has been drawn since the reports of nephropathy due to some Chinese herbs [1,2]. The reported nephrotoxicity and carcinogenicity of aristolochic acid (AA) was subsequently corroborated by clinical reports [3-9], results from animal models [10-12] and the detection of AA bound DNA adducts in kidney and ureteral tissues [13-16]. These reports led to the prohibition of all AA-containing products in many countries and regions, such as the USA, UK, Canada, Germany, Australia and Taiwan [13,17-20]. The Bureau of Food and Drug Analysis in Taiwan is mandated to regularly monitor AA-containing Chinese herbal products (AA-CHPs) in the market by quantitative and qualitative analysis.

Substitution of specific AA-containing herbs has been reported. *Caulis Akebiae* (Mutong), *Radix Stephaniae Tetrandrae* (Fangji) and *Radix Aucklandiae* (Muxiang) may potentially be substituted by *Caulis Aristolochiae Manshuriensis* (Guanmutong) [21], *Radix Aristolochiae Fangchi* (Guanfangji) [22-24] and *Radix Aristolochiae* (Qingmuxiang) respectively. Inappropriate uses were reported after the ban had been imposed [18,25-28]. Containing trace amounts of AA [29,30], *Radix et Rhizoma Asarici* (Xixin) is banned [19,31] but still available in Mainland China, Taiwan, Japan and Korea [32].

The CHPs currently covered by the National Health Insurance (NHI) of Taiwan do not include raw herbs. Manufactured and marketed as extract products, CHPs are equivalent to the ‘finished herbal products’ or ‘mixed herbal products’ as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) [33]. In terms of safety, AA-CHPs may be quite different from individual AA herbs because traditional Chinese medicine formulae that are used to make AA-CHPs were designed to not only enhance the efficacy of the herbs but also reduce their toxicity [34,35].

This study aims to determine the prescription profile of AA-CHPs in Taiwan based on data for the period between January 1997 and November 2003. The prescription data for 2004 enable us to determine whether the ban on the use of AA herbs was complied with in Taiwan [36] where the high incidence and prevalence rates of chronic kidney disease were associated with the use of herbal medicines [37].

Methods
Selection of herbs
AA-CHPs in this study are defined as the Chinese herbal products that are (1) either suspected of containing AAs (AA herbs), e.g. *Herba Aristolochiae* (Tianxianteng), *Fructus Aristolochiae* (Madouling) and *Xixin*, or (2) likely to be adulterated by AA herbs, e.g. *Fangji*, *Muxiang* and *Mutong*.

In Taiwan, the ban on some SAA herbs, including *Guanfangji*, *Qingmuxiang*, *Guanmutong*, *Madouling*, and *Tianxianteng*, took effect on 4 November 2003. However *Xixin*, *Mutong*, *Fangji* and *Muxiang*, may still be used if correct species without adulteration or malnomenclature are assured. We therefore examined all the CHPs licensed by the Committee on Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy (CCMP) between 1997 and 2003, including single herbs and herbal formulae, to determine whether they include AA herbs. The inclusion period runs from the start of the research database (1 January 1997) to one day prior to the ban on AA-CHPs (3 November 2003). The databases used in this study were also used in similar studies [38,39].

List of licensed Chinese herbal products
The CCMP list shows that 18,019 CHPs were licensed during the study period, of which 9,837 were covered by the NHI. CHPs in Taiwan can only be prescribed by Chinese medicine practitioners and CHP prescriptions usually contain more than one single herb/herbal formula [38]. For simplicity, all CHPs with the same CCMP standard formulae are classified under the same categories, regardless of slight variations among products of different pharmaceutical companies [40]. For example, there are 46 approved licenses for the formula *Duhuo Jisheng Tang*.

National Health Insurance reimbursement database
The NHI covers over 96.16% of the population in Taiwan [41]. Our cohort of 200,000 patients was randomly selected from all NHI beneficiaries, according to the methods of Knuth [42] and Park and Miller [43] using random numbers generated by a program written in Sun WorkShop C 5.0. Under secure encryption, all reimbursement data of the cohort from 1996 onwards were collected and analyzed. The database contains all transactions of health care services for the cohort, including both Western medicine and Chinese medicine, with the dates and some details of all outpatient visits, hospitalization, diagnoses, prescribed CHPs (dosages, dosage frequency and prescription duration) and the personal data of the patients. The database was made available by the National Health Research Institutes in 2002 and was widely used by researchers in various fields [44]. The main datasets used were ‘Ambulatory care expenditure by visits’, ‘Details of ambulatory care orders’ and ‘Registry for contracted medical facilities’. As the NHI of Taiwan does not cover the use of Chinese medicine in inpatient services, we only studied the use of Chinese medicine in outpatient services. Using the data of 2004, we also studied whether Chinese medicine practitioners complied with the ban on AA herbs.

Statistical analysis
Data analysis was undertaken by descriptive statistics, including the decomposition of the AA herb contents of...
the licensed and prescribed AA-CHP items, AA-CHP prescription rates stratified by patient's gender and age, the median (plus 5 and 95 percentiles) of cumulated doses of AA herbs, the population distribution of those who had been potentially exposed to AA herbs at various dosages, the frequencies of the disease categories prescribed with AA-CHPs, the most frequently prescribed herbal formulae potentially containing AA herbs, and the most common duration and dosage frequencies of AA-CHP prescriptions. All of the above analyses were performed using the SAS software package (version 9.1, USA).

Results
Between 1 January 1997 and 3 November 2003, 1,218 (12.38%) AA-CHPs were identified out of the total of 9,837 licensed CHPs, of which the most frequently prescribed were Muxiang (35.3%) and Xixin (30.7%). A total of 526,867 cases of prescribed and reimbursed AA-CHPs were recorded (Table 1). Among all the AA-CHPs, Xixin was the most frequently prescribed (44.7%). The co-existence of more than two AA herbs was identified in both licensed and prescribed AA-CHPs, of which Mutong and Xixin were the most frequently seen. During the study period, 105,737 patients (52.9%) sought Chinese medicine treatment on at least one occasion, of which 78,644 were prescribed with AA-CHPs. The AA-exposed population demonstrated the prevalence of middle-aged female patients (Table 2). More than 70% of the patients were exposed to lower cumulated doses (less than 30 mg) of all AA herbs in CHPs; about 7% of the patients were prescribed with Xixin, Mutong and Madouling at cumulated doses of over 100 g (Table 3). Given that the random sample of this cohort accounts for approximately 1% of the population of Taiwan, it may be inferred that about 344,300 people were exposed to such high cumulated doses of Xixin, while about 234,700 people were exposed to similarly high cumulated doses of Mutong.

The major disease categories often prescribed with AA-CHPs include respiratory diseases (132,598 visits) and musculoskeletal/connective diseases (77,153 visits), followed by symptoms/signs/ill-defined conditions (68,466 visits), digestive diseases (46,646 visits) and injury/poisoning (40,260 visits). Among all AA-CHPs, 90.7% were in the form of herbal formulae, of which the most frequently prescribed were Shujing Huoxie Tang (containing Fangji), Chuanqiong Chadiao San (containing Xixin) and Longdan Xiegan Tang (containing Mutong) (Table 4).

About 97.5% of all AA-CHPs were prescribed for treatment of no more than seven days and the most common dosage frequency (82.7%) was three times a day. Furthermore, our investigation of the 2004 database found an alarming number of cases of CHPs containing AA herbs (Tianxianteng or Madouling) prescribed after the ban was announced on 4 November 2003. We found a total of 68 records involving the prescription of these herbs to 25 patients by 19 Chinese medicine practitioners (in 19 clinics). Therefore, our estimate was that about 2,760 patients (= 25*23,000,000*96.16%/200,000) were prescribed with the prohibited AA-CHPs at least once during the study period.

Discussion
This study demonstrated that more than one-third (39.3%) of the population in Taiwan were prescribed with AA-CHPs during the study period and that the cumulated doses of AA-CHPs for each patient may have exceeded 100

| Table 1: Distribution frequencies of licensed and prescribed Chinese herbal products potentially containing aristolochic acid, 1997–2003* |

| Types of AA herbs included | Licensed CHPs | % | Prescribed CHPs | % |
|----------------------------|--------------|---|----------------|---|
| Tianxianteng               | 1            | 0.1| 339            | 0.1|
| Madouling                  | 18           | 1.5| 1,395          | 0.3|
| Xixin                      | 307          | 25.2| 191,297        | 36.3|
| Herbs potentially adulterated by AA herbs |
| Fangji (by Guanfangji)     | 174          | 14.3| 93,447         | 17.7|
| Muxiang (by Qingmuxiang)   | 409          | 33.6| 107,014        | 20.3|
| Mutong (by Guanmutong)     | 225          | 18.5| 87,073         | 16.5|
| ≥2 of above herbs          |
| Mutong and Muxiang         | 17           | 1.4| 2,200          | 0.4|
| Mutong and Xixin           | 63           | 5.2| 44,101         | 8.4|
| Muxiang and Xixin          | 2            | 0.2| -              | -|
| Muxiang, Xixin and Tianxianteng | 2 | 0.2| 1              | -|
| Total                      | 1,218        | 100.0| 526,867       | 100.0|

*The table shows the distribution frequencies of licensed and prescribed Chinese herbal products (CHPs) that may potentially contain aristolochic acid (AA).
g (Table 3). Exposure to Xixin and Mutong was the most extensive. Therefore, it is necessary to monitor the use of CHPs. Special attention should be drawn to prescriptions for patients suffering from respiratory and/or musculoskeletal diseases and to the herbal formulae with AA herbs (Table 4).

There are a few major limitations to this study. Firstly, the study was based upon the NHI reimbursement data. Specific information is not available for causal studies or inference. Secondly, different pharmaceutical companies may obtain their herbs from different sources which may have different degrees of AA herb adulterations. The estimation of cumulated AA doses may be inaccurate. Thirdly, this study did cover the consumption of medicinal herbs purchased directly from the market. Therefore our estimate does not represent all consumption of AA herbs in Taiwan.

### Conclusion

This study showed a prescription profile of AA-CHPs in Taiwan between 1997 and 2003 based on the NHI reimbursement data, including an estimate of the total amount of AA herbs consumed and the target population requiring continuous monitoring. Moreover, this study revealed the NHI prescription of some banned AA-CHPs.
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AA: aristolochic acid; CHPs: Chinese herbal products; AA-CHPs: CHPs containing AA; NHI: National Health Insurance; CCMP: Committee on Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy.
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**Table 2: Prescription frequencies of Chinese herbal products (by gender, age and types of herbs), 1997–2003**

| Herbal products | Gender | <12 | 12–18 | 19–34 | 35–59 | 60–75 | ≥76 |
|----------------|--------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Any CHPs       | Male   | 35.4| 41.8  | 11.1  | 7.3   | 23.6  | 26.5| 7.2 | 1.6 |
|                | Female | 25.9| 31.6  | 6.8   | 5.8   | 17.6  | 20.6| 5.6 | 1.2 |
| Types of AA herbs included |     |     |       |       |       |       |     |     |     |
| Xixin          | Male   | 14.8| 20.2  | 4.9   | 3.1   | 9.8   | 12.7| 3.7 | 0.8 |
|                | Female | 0.2 | 0.3   | 0.1   | --    | 0.1   | 0.2 | 0.1 | --  |
| Tianxianteng   | --     | --  | --    | --    | --    | --    | --  | --  | --  |
| Herbs potentially adulterated by AA herbs |        |     |       |       |       |       |     |     |     |
| Fangji         | Male   | 11.5| 13.6  | 0.8   | 2.1   | 7.6   | 11.0| 3.0 | 0.6 |
|                | Female | 10.3| 14.7  | 2.7   | 2.5   | 7.9   | 9.2 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| Muxiang        | Male   | 10.8| 14.4  | 3.3   | 2.4   | 7.6   | 9.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 |

*The prescription frequencies (per 1,000 person-years) of Chinese herbal products (CHPs) are stratified by gender, age and the types of AA containing herbs (AA herbs) or those potentially adulterated by AA herbs.

**Table 3: Distribution frequencies* of Chinese herbal product prescriptions potentially containing aristolochic acid (by cumulated doses), 1997–2003**

| Herbal product | Cumulated dose (g) | Median (90% CI)* | No. of patients | Percentages (%) of patients with various cumulated doses of AA herbs |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Types of AA herbs included |        |      |                |                                              |
| Xixin          | 12.6 (1.5–128.5)    | 47,869           | 54.1            | 18.6                                         |
| Tianxianteng   | 10.5 (0.03–87.0)    | 110              | 66.0            | 19.4                                         |
| Moduling       | 21.0 (4.0–120.0)    | 665              | 36.0            | 29.1                                         |
| Herbs potentially adulterated by AA herbs |        |      |                |                                              |
| Fangji         | 6.0 (1.1–50.8)      | 34,462           | 77.8            | 12.0                                         |
| Muxiang        | 8.0 (1.2–70.0)      | 34,195           | 69.1            | 15.9                                         |
| Mutong         | 14.0 (1.8–124.4)    | 34,399           | 51.8            | 19.9                                         |

*Distribution frequency refers to the number of patients who have been prescribed with Chinese herbal products that may potentially contain aristolochic acid (AA).

*90% CI: 90% confidence interval
Table 4: Distribution frequencies* of the most commonly prescribed herbal formulae potentially containing aristolochic acid, 1997–2003

| Herbal formula containing AA herbs | Prescription frequency Counts | Type of AA herbs included or potentially adulterated |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Shujing Huaxie Tang               | 75,472                       | 14.3 Fangji                                     |
| Chuanqiong Chaoqiao San           | 58,004                       | 11.0 Xin                                        |
| Longdan Xiegan Tang               | 42,351                       | 8.0 Xixin                                       |
| Xiaoqinglong Tang                 | 42,241                       | 8.0 Xixin                                       |
| Duhuo Jisheng Tang                | 41,594                       | 7.9 Xixin                                       |
| Xinyi San                         | 39,323                       | 7.5 Mutong                                      |
| Xiangsha Liujuanzi Tang           | 23,580                       | 4.5 Muxiang                                     |
| Guipi Tang                        | 16,946                       | 3.2 Muxiang                                     |
| Xiaofofang San                    | 16,186                       | 3.1 Mutong                                      |
| Zhenggu Zijin Dan                 | 15,105                       | 2.9 Muxiang                                     |
| Total                             | 526,867                      | 100.0                                            |

*Distribution frequency refers to the top ten most commonly prescribed herbal formulae that may potentially contain aristolochic acid.
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