MRI-Visible Perivascular Spaces Associated With Cognitive Impairment in Military Veterans With Traumatic Brain Injury Mediated by CSF P-Tau

Ming-Liang Wang†, Dian-Xu Yang†, Zheng Sun†, Wen-Bin Li†, Qiao-Qiao Zou†, Peng-Yang Li†, Xue Wu‡ and Yue-Hua Li* for the Department of Defense Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative

†Department of Radiology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China, 2Department of Neurosurgery, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China, 3Division of Cardiology, Paul E. White Heart Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, United States, 4Institute for Global Health Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States

Objective: To investigate the association of MRI-visible perivascular spaces (PVS) with cognitive impairment in military veterans with traumatic brain injury (TBI), and whether cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) p-tau and Aβ mediate this effect.

Materials and Methods: We included 55 Vietnam War veterans with a history of TBI and 52 non-TBI Vietnam War veterans from the Department of Defense Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database. All the subjects had brain MRI, CSF p-tau, Aβ, and neuropsychological examinations. MRI-visible PVS number and grade were rated on MRI in the centrum semiovale (CSO-PVS) and basal ganglia (BG-PVS). Multiple linear regression was performed to assess the association between MRI-visible PVS and cognitive impairment and the interaction effect of TBI. Additionally, mediation effect of CSF biomarkers on the relationship between MRI-visible PVS and cognitive impairment was explored in TBI group.

Results: Compared with military control, TBI group had higher CSO-PVS number (p = 0.001), CSF p-tau (p = 0.022) and poorer performance in verbal memory (p = 0.022). High CSO-PVS number was associated with poor verbal memory in TBI group (β = -0.039, 95% CI: -0.062, -0.016), but not in military control group (β = 0.019, 95% CI: -0.004, 0.043) (p-interaction = 0.003). Further mediation analysis revealed that CSF p-tau had a significant indirect effect (β = -0.009, 95% CI: -0.022, -0.001, p = 0.001) and mediated 18.75% effect for the relationship between CSO-PVS and verbal memory in TBI group.

Conclusion: MRI-visible CSO-PVS was more common in Vietnam War veterans with a history of TBI and was associated with poor verbal memory, mediated partially by CSF p-tau.
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INTRODUCTION

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, especially in military veterans (1–3). Previous studies have revealed that military veterans with TBI would have a poor performance in cognitive and psychological functioning (4, 5). Epidemiologic Studies even demonstrated an increased incidence of dementia including Alzheimer’s disease (AD) in military veterans with TBI (6, 7). Amyloid beta (Aβ) and/or tau accumulation may play an important role. Recent studies have detected tau and Aβ deposition in the brain of military veterans with TBI by PET examinations (8, 9). However, the upstream mechanisms underlying cognitive impairment remain poorly understood.

MRI-visible perivascular spaces (PVS) are fluid-filled spaces surrounding small penetrating blood vessels (10, 11). Traditionally, MRI-visible PVS was regarded as an imaging marker of small vessel disease and correlated with aging (12). However, more and more studies suggested MRI-visible PVS may be an important imaging marker of dysfunction of brain glymphatic system, through which brain waste like tau and Aβ could be cleared away (13–15). PVS has been proved to be associated with tau pathology (16) and cognitive impairment in Parkinson’s disease (17). In an animal study of TBI, Iliff et al. (18) found chronic impairment of glymphatic system after TBI which may further promote tau aggregation. At present, only a few studies found an increased number of MRI-visible PVS in TBI (19–21). However, the previous studies of TBI did not discriminate CSO-PVS from BG-PVS. It remains unclear about the distribution characteristics of MRI-visible PVS in military veterans with TBI and its association with cognitive impairment, and CSF biomarkers will mediate this effect in military veterans with TBI. Our study would provide an innovative imaging biomarker and a new potential therapeutic target for cognitive impairment in military veterans with TBI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Subjects

The study data in this study were collected from the DOD-ADNI as part of Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database, which was launched in 2003, led by Principal Investigator Michael W. Weiner, M (22). The primary goal of ADNI project was to test whether serial MRI, PET, other biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological assessments can be combined to measure the mild cognitive impairment progression and early AD. DOD-ADNI aimed to investigate whether TBI and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) increase the risk for AD in Veteran subjects. Written informed consent was obtained from all the study subjects, and the study protocol was proved by local participating ADNI institutions.

The inclusion and exclusion procedures were shown in Figure 1. Our study included male Vietnam War veterans with or without a history of non-penetrating TBI, who had complete brain MRI, CSF p-tau, Aβ, and neuropsychological tests results information. Patients with dementia, history of psychosis or bipolar affective disorder, history of schizophrenia (DSM-IV criteria), history of alcohol or substance abuse/dependence within the past 5 years, MRI related exclusions (metal in the body, pacemakers), contraindications for lumbar puncture were excluded in this project. Demographics including age, education, and APOE ε4 status were collected. Medical history of hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia was also collected from the medical history dataset.

Traumatic Brain Injury Classification

The documented history of TBI was identified from the Department of Defense or Veterans Administration records, and TBI severity was classified. Mild TBI was defined as loss of consciousness lasting for less than 30 min, and/or a period of post-traumatic amnesia lasting for less than 24 h; moderate-severe TBI was defined as loss of consciousness lasting for at least 30 min, and/or a period of post-traumatic amnesia lasting for at least 24 h (23).

CSF Biomarker Measurement

CSF samples in this project were analyzed using the ADNI methods to measure the levels of Aβ42, Aβ40, and phosphorylated tau at threonine 181 (p-tau) on a fully automated cobas e601 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics). We especially focused on CSF Aβ42/40 ratio and CSF p-tau, as they were generally thought to reflect Aβ pathology (24) and tau pathology (25).

Psychiatric and Cognitive Assessment

Lifetime PTSD was recorded using the clinician-administered PTSD scale (CAPS) within DSM-IV (CAPS score > 40). Geriatric depression scale (GDS) test was used to measure depression in older adults. Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) test was used to reflect general cognitive performance. Cognitive tests recommended to assess TBI-related cognitive impairment (26) were selected including Trail Making Test Part A (processing speed), Trail Making Test Part B (executive functioning), Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) total score of trials 1–5 (learning), and RAVLT total delayed recall and recognition total (verbal memory). Raw scores of all these cognitive tests were standardized to z-scores for each participant. The average z-scores of RAVLT total delayed recall score and recognition total score were calculated to reflect verbal memory. Higher z-scores indicated poorer performance on the processing speed and executive functioning measures, and lower z-scores indicated poorer performance on verbal learning and verbal memory.

MRI Data Acquisition and Analysis

All MR examinations were performed according to the ADNI MRI scanning method (GE or Siemens), which included
axial T1-weighted, T2-weighted, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), and T2-star gradient-echo weighted sequences (Supplementary Table 1). Two trained neuroradiologists (MLW and W-BL with 10 and 24 years of experience, respectively) who were blinded to the clinical and CSF data, assessed PVS, white matter hyperintensities (WMH), and cerebral microbleeds (CMBs) according to the Standards for Reporting Vascular Changes on Neuroimaging (27).

Perivascular spaces was assessed by comprehensively reading the T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence and counted specially on T2-weighted sequence. PVS were characterized by locating along the penetrating arteries and shown as low signals on T1-weighted and FLAIR images and high signal on T2-weighted images (Figure 2).

Perivasular spaces was assessed by comprehensively reading the T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence and counted specially on T2-weighted sequence. PVS were characterized by locating along the penetrating arteries and shown as low signals on T1-weighted and FLAIR images and high signal on T2-weighted images (Figure 2).

PVS was rated in the basal ganglia (BG) and the centrum semiovale (CSO). CSO was defined as white matter superior to the lateral ventricles in each of cerebral hemispheres. The largest number of PVS was documented on one slice of one side of the brain. A validated visual rating scale was also used to assess MRI-visible PVS (0 = no PVS, 1 = 1–10 PVS, 2 = 11–20 PVS, 3 = 21–40 PVS, and 4 = 41 or more PVS) (12, 28). The PVS number and grade were both assessed for analyses.

White matter hyperintensities was evaluated in the periventricular region (PWMH) and deep white matter region (DWMH) according to Fazekas rating scale (29). Severe WMH was defined as having a score > 1 of the Fazekas scale. The total WMH volume was also extracted from the database, and a ln-transform was applied over the data to generate normal distribution data. CMBs, characterized as low signal on the T2 star sequence (30), were visually counted and rated as 0 = microbleeds absent and 1 = microbleeds present.

Statistical Analyses
Categorical variables were expressed as frequency (percentage) and continuous variables were expressed as mean (standard deviation). The demographics were compared using the chi-square test for qualitative variables and the t-test for quantitative variables, as appropriate between TBI and military control group.

Analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) was done adjusting for (1) age and APOE ε4 status to examine group differences in CSF biomarkers; (2) age, education, and APOE ε4 status to examine group differences in cognitive performance; (3) age, education, APOE ε4 status, MR scanners (General Electric or Simens), CMBs and lnWMH to examine group differences in MRI-visible PVS number. MRI-visible PVS grade was also analyzed using chi-square test.

Multiple linear regression analyses were applied to estimate the association between MRI-visible PVS and cognitive impairment. The cognitive tests scores were taken as outcome variables with MRI-visible PVS as the predictor. We constructed five models to adjust potential confounding factors: Model 1 was unadjusted; model 2 was adjusted for age, education and APOE ε4 status; model 3 was adjusted for CMBs and lnWMH plus the variables in model 2; model 4 was adjusted for CSF biomarkers plus the variables in model 3. All the analyses were done in TBI group subjects and military control subjects respectively, and the interaction effect of TBI status was explored. Furthermore, as increased PVS may indicate the glymphatic system impairment, which would slow the clearance of brain waste like tau and Aβ and finally cause the occurrence of cognitive impairment, mediation analyses were done to investigate whether CSF biomarkers mediated the association between PVS and cognitive impairment using the SPSS PROCESS module (31, 32). As there were four cognitive scales analyzed in this study, Bonferroni corrected p-value was set for 0.05/4 = 0.0125 for the analyses of
cognitive scale. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered as significant for other statistical analyses. All statistical tests were performed by IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0.

**RESULTS**

**Demographics and Neuropsychological Function of the Study Population**

A total of 55 Vietnam War veterans with a history of TBI (age 68.8 ± 3.8 years, all male) and 52 non-TBI Vietnam War veterans (age 68.3 ± 4.4 years, all male) were included in this study. Compared with military control subjects, TBI group had poorer performance in RAVLT verbal memory composite score (\( p = 0.022 \)) after adjusting for age, education, and APOE ε4 carrier status (Table 1). There were no statistical differences in demographics and other neuropsychological tests between military control group and TBI group (\( p > 0.05 \)). Supplementary Table 2 shows the injury characteristics of TBI subjects.

**Comparisons of MRI Findings and CSF Biomarkers Between Military Control and Traumatic Brain Injury Group**

There were 15 Siemens data (four in military control group and 11 in TBI group) and 92 GE data (48 in military control group and 44 in TBI group) used in our study. The inter-rater reliability was excellent for the CSO-PVS number (intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.84–0.92), BG-PVS number (ICC = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.81–0.90), CMBs (κ = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.88–0.97), PVMH (κ = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.84–0.90), and DWMH (κ = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.83–0.92). The intrarater reliability was determined from a random sample of 50 participants with 1 month interval between the first and second image assessments evaluated by one neuroradiologist. The intra-rater reliability was also excellent for the CSO-PVS number (ICC = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.86–0.95), BG-PVS number (ICC = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.80–0.93), CMBs (κ = 0.96, 95% CI: 0.91–1.00), PVMH (κ = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.87–0.97), and DWMH (κ = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.86–0.96). The PVS rating scores of the senior radiologist was used for the final analysis.

Table 2 shows the comparison of MRI findings and CSF biomarkers between military control group and TBI group. Compared with military control group, TBI group had more CSO-PVS both in number (\( p = 0.001 \)) after adjusting age, education, APOE ε4 status, and WMH and grade (\( p = 0.001 \)). The TBI group also had higher levels of CSF p-tau after adjusting for age and APOE ε4 status (\( p = 0.022 \)). There was no statistical difference in BG-PVS, WMH, and CSF Aβ42/40 (\( p > 0.05 \)).

**Multiple Regression Analysis Between MRI-Visible PVS and CSF Biomarkers and Cognitive Impairment**

In multiple regression analysis, high CSO-PVS number was associated with poor RAVLT memory even after adjusting for age, education, apo ε4, CMBs, lnWMH and CSF biomarkers in TBI group (\( β = −0.039, 95% \ CI −0.062, −0.016 \)), but not in military control group (\( β = 0.019, 95% \ CI −0.004, 0.043 \) (\( p \)-interaction = 0.003). There was tendency of association between high CSO-PVS number and poor RAVLT verbal learning in TBI group (\( β = −0.037, 95% \ CI −0.066, −0.007 \)), but not in military control group (\( β = 0.015, 95% \ CI −0.020, 0.050 \) (Table 3). No significant associations were found between CSO-PVS with TMA and TMB test results (\( p > 0.05 \)).

Further mediation analysis revealed that CSF p-tau had a significant indirect effect (\( β = −0.009, 95% \ CI −0.022, −0.001, p = 0.001 \) and mediated 18.75% effect on the relationship between CSO-PVS and verbal memory in TBI group after adjusting for age, education, apo ε4 status, CMBs, and lnWMH (Figure 3).

---

**TABLE 1 | Demographics and neuropsychological tests in Vietnam War veterans with and without a history of traumatic brain injury (TBI).**

| Characteristics               | Military control n = 52 | TBI n = 55 | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|
| Age (years)                  | 68.3 (4.4)              | 68.8 (3.8) | 0.482   |
| Education (years)            | 15.2 (2.2)              | 15.2 (2.4) | 0.983   |
| Hypertension, n (%)          | 33 (63.5)               | 35 (63.6)  | 0.985   |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)     | 18 (34.6)               | 11 (20.0)  | 0.089   |
| Hypertension, n (%)          | 26 (50.0)               | 30 (54.5)  | 0.638   |
| PTSD, n (%)                  | 21 (40.4)               | 24 (43.6)  | 0.733   |
| APOE ε4 carrier, n (%)       | 11 (21.6)               | 17 (33.3)  | 0.183   |
| MMSE score                   | 28.5 (1.3)              | 28.2 (1.7) | 0.218   |
| GDS score                    | 2.7 (3.4)               | 2.3 (2.4)  | 0.574   |
| RAVLT (learning)             | 0.0137 (0.932)          | –0.0129 (1.068) | 0.836 |
| RAVLT (memory)               | 0.141 (0.753)           | –0.131 (0.917) | 0.022* |
| Trails A total time          | –0.131 (0.671)          | 0.124 (1.227) | 0.109  |
| Trails B total time          | 0.0186 (1.002)          | –0.0176 (1.007) | 0.979  |

Values are reported as mean (SD) for the continuous variables and as frequency (percentage) for the categorical variables. Data of RAVLT (learning), RAVLT (memory), Trails A total time and Trails B total time were compared with ANCOVA adjusting for age, education, and APOE ε4 status. Other data were compared using the chi-square test for qualitative variables and the t-test for quantitative variables. *\( p < 0.05 \) (uncorrected). TBI, traumatic brain injury; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; MMSE, mini mental state examination; GDS, geriatric depression scale; RAVLT, Rey auditory verbal learning test.
### TABLE 2 | MRI findings and CSF markers in Vietnam War veterans with and without a history of traumatic brain injury (TBI).

|                      | Military control n = 52 | TBI n = 55 | P-value |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|
| CSO-PVS grade        |                         |            |         |
| 1                    | 21 (40.4)               | 14 (25.5)  | 0.001*  |
| 2                    | 20 (38.5)               | 12 (21.8)  |         |
| 3                    | 9 (17.3)                | 19 (36.2)  |         |
| 4                    | 2 (3.8)                 | 0 (0)      |         |
| CSO-PVS number       | 14.1 (8.4)              | 20.3 (9.7) | <0.001* |
| BG-PVS grade         |                         |            |         |
| 1                    | 35 (67.3)               | 31 (56.4)  | 0.772   |
| 2                    | 17 (32.7)               | 22 (40.0)  |         |
| 3                    | 0 (0)                   | 2 (3.6)    |         |
| BG-PVS number        | 7.7 (3.8)               | 8.0 (4.4)  | 0.538   |
| Mild PWMH, n (%)     | 32 (61.5)               | 42 (76.4)  | 0.513   |
| Severe PWMH, n (%)   | 20 (38.5)               | 13 (23.6)  |         |
| Mild DWMH, n (%)     | 38 (73.1)               | 43 (78.2)  | 0.174   |
| Severe DWMH, n (%)   | 14 (26.9)               | 12 (21.8)  |         |
| WMH volume (cm³)     | 5.8 (4.6)               | 5.0 (7.4)  | 0.287   |
| CMBs, n (%)          | 16 (30.8)               | 11 (20.0)  | 0.200   |
| CSF p-tau            | 17.3 (7.3)              | 21.0 (8.1) | 0.022*  |
| CSF Aβ42/40          | 0.0698 (0.017)          | 0.0704 (0.017) | 0.983 |

Values are reported as mean (SD) for the continuous variables and as frequency (percentage) for the categorical variables. Data of CSO-PVS number and BG-PVS number were compared with ANCOVA adjusting for age, education, APOE ε4 status, MR scanners (General Electric or Siemens), CMBs, and lnWMH. Data of CSF p-tau and CSF Aβ42/40 were compared with ANCOVA adjusting for age and APOE ε4 status. Other data were compared using the chi-square test for qualitative variables and the t-test for quantitative variables. *p < 0.05. TBI, traumatic brain injury; CSO, centrum semiovale; BG, basal ganglia; PVS, perivascular spaces; PWMH, periventricular white matter hyperintensities; DWMH, deep white matter hyperintensities; CMBs, cerebral microbleeds; CSF, cerebral spinal fluid.

### TABLE 3 | Multiple linear regression of CSO-PVS and cognitive test results: overall and stratified to traumatic brain injury (TBI) history.

| Model | Military control n = 52 | P-value | TBI n = 55 | P-value | p-interaction |
|-------|-------------------------|---------|------------|---------|---------------|
|        | β (95% CI)              |         | β (95% CI) |         |               |
| RAVLT verbal learning | 1 | 0.018 (−0.013, 0.049) | 0.240 | −0.041 (−0.069, −0.013) | 0.005* | 0.006* |
|        | 2 | 0.017 (−0.014, 0.049) | 0.270 | −0.036 (−0.065, −0.007) | 0.017 | 0.012* |
|        | 3 | 0.020 (−0.013, 0.054) | 0.233 | −0.044 (−0.072, −0.015) | 0.004* | 0.016 |
|        | 4 | 0.015 (−0.020, 0.050) | 0.392 | −0.037 (−0.066, −0.007) | 0.017 | 0.047 |
| RAVLT verbal memory  | 1 | 0.006 (−0.019, 0.032) | 0.606 | −0.047 (−0.070, −0.025) | 0.001* | 0.002* |
|        | 2 | 0.018 (−0.006, 0.042) | 0.145 | −0.043 (−0.065, −0.020) | 0.005* | <0.001* |
|        | 3 | 0.025 (0.000, 0.049)  | 0.051 | −0.048 (−0.071, −0.025) | 0.003* | 0.001* |
|        | 4 | 0.019 (−0.004, 0.043) | 0.106 | −0.039 (−0.062, −0.016) | 0.001* | 0.003* |

Model 1 unadjusted; Model 2 was adjusted for age, education and apo ε4 status; model 3 was adjusted for the same variables as in model 2 and further adjusted for CMBs and lnWMH; model 4 was adjusted for the same variables as in model 3 and further adjusted for CSF p-tau and Aβ42/40. *p < 0.0125. TBI, traumatic brain injury; CSO, centrum semiovale; PWMH, perivascular spaces; RAVLT, Rey auditory verbal learning test.

**DISCUSSION**

In our study, we aimed to investigate the distribution characteristics of MRI-visible PVS and its association with cognitive impairment in military veterans with TBI, and whether CSF biomarkers mediate this effect. Our study revealed that CSO-PVS was more common in Vietnam War veterans with a history of TBI and was associated with poor verbal memory, mediated partially by CSF p-tau. Our study suggested that MRI-visible CSO-PVS could be a promising indicator for cognitive impairment in military veterans with TBI.

With the wide use of MRI, PVS is frequently detected in clinical practice. MRI-visible PVS has been reported to occur in 100% normal elderly (33–36) and several studies found an increased number of MRI-visible PVS in TBI (19–21). A previous small study revealed that TBI subjects had an asymmetric distribution of MRI-visible PVS, but no higher MRI-visible PVS number compared with healthy controls (37). Compared with military control subjects, Vietnam War veterans with a history of TBI had increased PVS only in CSO region but not in the BG region in our study. Our study suggested that CSO-PVS may be more easily affected by TBI than BG-PVS. The underlying pathophysiological mechanism of MRI-visible PVS in TBI was poorly investigated. One possible explanation was that...
FIGURE 3 | Mediation analysis revealed that CSF p-tau had a significant indirect effect ($\beta = -0.009, 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.022 -0.001, p = 0.001$) and mediated 18.75% effect for the relationship between CSO-PVS and verbal memory in traumatic brain injury (TBI) group after adjusting for age, education, apo e4, CMBs, and lnWMH.

A recent study revealed associations of PVS in the posterior cingulate, fusiform, and postcentral with somatization symptoms in mTBI (40). Furthermore, TBI and poor sleep had an enhanced interaction effect on PVS indicating a possible role of the glymphatic system (41, 42). However, these mechanisms may be weak in subjects without TBI. A recent study also found no association between MRI-visible CSO-PVS and cognitive tests in cognitively unimpaired (43). Our study demonstrated that MRI-visible CSO-PVS could be a promising imaging biomarker for verbal memory impairment in veterans with TBI.

In our study, higher CSF p-tau was found in military veterans with TBI and CSF p-tau mediated partially the relationship between MRI-visible CSO-PVS and verbal memory. A recent study using the same database also revealed higher CSF p-tau in veterans with TBI than military control (44). The higher CSF p-tau might partially reflect the insufficient function of brain glymphatic system. A previous animal study suggested chronic impairment of brain glymphatic system and tau aggregation after TBI (18). The excessive tau protein might stack in the PVS causing the enlargement of PVS which would be visible on MRI, and the malfunction of PVS would slow the clearance of brain waste, suggesting a feed-forward mechanism. The heavy tau burden would further cause damage to the brain neurons and finally lead to cognitive impairment. A recent study also revealed blood p-tau was associated with cognitive impairment in veterans with a history of TBI (45). Therefore, CSF p-tau may be the missing link between MRI-visible CSO-PVS and cognitive impairment. However, a recent study about mild cognitive impairment found no association between PVS volume fraction and CSF p-tau (46). We speculated that tau pathology may be more prominent in cognitive impairment with TBI. Glynmphatic failure has been suggested as a final common pathway to dementia and may constitute a therapeutically targetable pathway (47). Our findings add to the evidence linking MRI-visible PVS to cognitive impairment through possible glymphatic system in veterans with TBI.

Our study had several limitations. First, the number of subjects with a history of TBI was small ($n = 55$). Studies using large sample are needed to replicate our results. Second, due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, the exact order of causal effects between MRI-visible CSO-PVS, CSF p-tau and cognitive impairment cannot be determined. Further longitudinal studies are required to better assess the causal effects. Third, the MRI scanners used in this study were from two different vendors.
(GE, or Siemens). However, the MRI scanning protocol and parameters were almost identical. To reduce the influence of scanners in the detectability of PVS, we also took MRI scanners as a covariate into the ANCOVA analysis of PVS. Fourth, the detectability of PVS on MRI does not necessarily mean that they are the enlargement of perivascular spaces. Future correlational study with the histopathological changes in autopsy study or animal study is needed to figure out the comprehensive mechanism of MRI-visible CSO-PVS in TBI.

Furthermore, we only used visual rating scales to evaluate PVS, which was observer-dependent. There have been many semi-automatic or automatic methods to quantify PVS (48–55). However, these methods also had limitations and restrictions like 3D MRI sequence in 7T MRI (51, 53, 54). Imperfection of the brain parcellation could affect automated quantification (48). Closely aligned multimodal data sets is needed and there is uncertainty in volume estimates resulting from partial volume effects present in radiologic methods (49). Although called “automatic,” these methods still require some manual intervention (50), and visual checking and editing is likely to be needed in complex cases (52). As these methods were still not applied in clinical practice, we only used visual rating measurement. The inter-rater and intra-rater reliability for the rating of PVS was excellent in our study and could be applied easily in practical clinical practice.

In conclusion, our study found that MRI-visible CSO-PVS was more common in Vietnam War veterans with a history of TBI and was associated with poor verbal memory, mediated partially by CSF p-tau. Our study suggested that MRI-visible CSO-PVS could be a promising indicator for cognitive decline and the CSF p-tau may be the missing link between MRI-visible CSO-PVS and cognitive impairment in military veterans with TBI.
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