10. Geothermal potential of granitic rocks of the Mourne Mountains
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The Palaeogene Mourne Mountains Complex in County Down is a potential geothermal energy resource due to the high levels of radioactivity in its granitic rocks. The regional Tellus geochemical and geophysical surveys mapped the radioelement distribution of the rocks at surface. Follow-up investigations confirmed the heat potential of these, some of the most radioactive rocks in the island of Ireland, and investigated their depth extent by electromagnetic (magnetotelluric, MT) depth sounding. The results suggest that resistive rocks, interpreted as the granitic intrusion, reach depths of 5 to 6 km in the Eastern Magmatic Centre and 4 to 5 km in the Western Magmatic Centre. The MT results are discussed in the context of the different models of granite emplacement proposed for the Mournes.

Tellus aeromagnetic data and MT modelling suggest that the granite bodies extend at depth to the south of the outcrop, as predicted for the laccolith emplacement mechanism, although modelled granite thickness is greater than expected. The MT data indicate a high-conductivity zone of unknown origin beneath the granites, extending from depths of 8 to 20 km. The high radiogenic heat production and the modelled thicknesses of granites are favourable factors for the enhanced geothermal system (EGS) potential of the Mournes, although the measured geothermal gradients and calculated heat flows are lower than those in comparable EGS targets.

The scope for geothermal energy in Ireland

Geothermal energy is energy stored in the form of heat beneath the surface of solid earth. Assuming an average worldwide geothermal gradient of 25°C km⁻¹, it is simple to calculate that 99.7% of the Earth is at a temperature greater than 150°C. Clearly the heat resources
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of the Earth are vast. However, the engineering challenges of heat extraction are great and the capital costs and geological risks high, so there has to date been little incentive to invest in the development of geothermal energy, compared with other low-carbon energy resources. Nevertheless, in the future, as the use of fossil fuels declines and technology develops, the scope for wider exploitation of geothermal resources can only increase.

Extracting geothermal energy from readily accessible shallow heat reservoirs in volcanically active regions is well established, notably for example in Iceland. In less active regions, such as the UK and Ireland, there is also scope for exploiting geothermal resources from two principal categories. Firstly, in hot sedimentary aquifers (HSAs), naturally occurring hot water in sedimentary strata is pumped to the surface to feed district heating networks or, if hot enough, to generate electricity. An HSA system has been running successfully for district heating in Southampton since 1987, and the method could be used in other areas. HSA targets in Northern Ireland have been identified from geophysical surveys and deep boreholes in the Larne, Lough Neagh and Rathlin sedimentary basins.

Secondly, in EGSs cold water is injected from surface into a zone of fractured hot rock, where it is circulated and heated before being pumped to surface, usually to drive a power station turbine. In the UK and Ireland, such hot rock reservoirs appropriate for EGS may exist in several intrusive granite bodies (known as high heat production (HHP) granites) in which heat is produced by natural radioactive decay. A UK deep geothermal energy research programme in the 1980s, jointly funded by the EC and the UK government, included drilling and fracturing the radioactive Carnmenellis granite in Cornwall. Follow-on developments from this earlier programme include two proposed power plants in Cornwall.

The geological potential and economic implications of development of geothermal targets in Britain and Northern Ireland have been widely reported; reviews include those by Kelly et al. (2005), Busby (2010), Pasquali et al. (2010), GT Energy (2011) and SKM (2012). Similar assessments for the Republic of Ireland have been made by Goodman et al. (2004, 2010), Jones et al. (2010) and Pasquali et al. (2015). Several sites in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland are the subject of geological and geophysical research by the IRETHERM project (www.iretherm.ie) led by the Dublin Institute of Advanced Studies (DIAS).

The Tellus and Tellus Border Projects, through a limited programme of ground surveys, drilling and analysis, examined the EGS potential of the Mourne Mountains Complex, County Down. In the Tellus and Tellus Border survey areas other less radioactive granite bodies that may in the future offer scope for EGS are the Cooley Mountains, County Louth; the Ox Mountains, County Sligo; and the County Donegal granites.

Enhanced geothermal systems and heat production
The flow of heat from the Earth’s interior to the surface has two components: primordial heat released by the cooling down from the Earth’s formation, and radiogenic heat produced by the decay of radioactive isotopes in the mantle and crust. Most crustal
rocks contain small concentrations of the radioactive isotopes of uranium (\(^{238}\text{U}, ^{235}\text{U}\)), thorium (\(^{232}\text{Th}\)) and potassium (\(^{40}\text{K}\)), but in some granite bodies the concentrations may be anomalously high. These HHP granites typically have elevated radiogenic heat production rates that can be estimated from the measured concentrations of the heat-producing radionuclides. Such measurements can be made locally by geochemical analyses of rock samples or by ground gamma-ray spectrometry and regionally by airborne gamma ray spectrometry (AGRS).

Using current EGS technology, water temperatures of 100–150°C are needed for electricity generation and so the target depth in stable continental settings is usually between 3 and 5 km, depending on the local geothermal gradient. Key parameters for assessing EGS potential are heat flow, heat production, the depth and extent of the granite and its capacity to be fractured.

**Thermal characteristics of granites in the UK and Ireland**

Busby (2010) has summarised the research of the past 30 years into the thermal characteristics of UK rocks. The average background crustal heat flow for the British Isles is approximately 52 mW m\(^{-2}\). Radiogenic granites in Cornwall (113–126 mW m\(^{-2}\)), the Pennines (78–101 mW m\(^{-2}\)) and the Eastern Highlands of Scotland (59–76 mW m\(^{-2}\)) all show above-average heat flows. Wheildon *et al.* (1985) calculated values of 84–87 mW m\(^{-2}\) in two boreholes in the Mourne Mountains Complex, after topographic and palaeoclimate corrections, although these boreholes were relatively shallow (66 m and 149 m total depth). Downing and Gray (1986) indicate (their Fig. 10.1) that the Mournes area is one of only three areas in the UK where a temperature of 200°C may be encountered at depths of less than 7 km, although the geothermal gradients may have been overestimated by these authors.

Systematic research into the radiogenic heat potential of granites in Ireland has been carried out by scientists at NUI Galway, funded by EC and government grants. These quantitative studies into the radioelement abundances of granite batholiths in County Galway (Feely and Madden, 1986, 1987, 1988; Feely *et al.*, 1991; Madden, 1987), Leinster and Donegal (McCabe, 1993) were based largely on extensive ground surveys using portable gamma-ray spectrometers, augmented by measurements made in shallow boreholes. Of these Caledonian granites, the small Costelloe Murvey leucogranite in the Galway batholith had the highest calculated heat flows (72–79 m W m\(^{-2}\)) and heat production estimates (5.0–7.83 µW m\(^{-3}\)).

This research also characterised the Barnesmore granite in County Donegal as HHP granite, and this has been confirmed by both the Tellus Border AGRS data and Willmot Noller *et al.* (2015), who determined a mean production of 3.11 µW m\(^{-3}\) from existing data. Cook and Murphy (1952) estimated that the Barnesmore granite was about 5 km thick, based on simple 2D gravity modelling. Elsewhere, the Tellus Border stream sedi-
ment geochemistry showed high values of uranium around The Rosses in the west of the county, an area not yet covered by AGRS data.

**Radiogenic heat production in the Mourne Mountains Complex**

O’Connor (1981) reported that the Palaeogene granites are consistently more radioactive than the Caledonian granites of Ireland. The geology of the Mourne Mountains Complex is described by Cooper and Johnston (2004). The Complex was the focus for uranium exploration in the 1970s and 1980s and more recently the radiochemistry was investigated by Tellus geochemical sampling and AGRS, which mapped enhanced levels of uranium, thorium and potassium. Figure 10.1 shows the geology and ternary images of these three elements for soils and AGRS. Using the AGRS data, Van Dam (2007) calculated the radiogenic heat flux for Northern Ireland using the formula of Carmichael (1989):

\[
\text{heat production (µW m}^{-3}\text{)} = 0.337(0.74eU + 0.199eTh + 0.26K)
\]

where \(eU\) = equivalent uranium concentration in mg kg\(^{-1}\), \(e\text{Th}\) = equivalent thorium concentration in mg kg\(^{-1}\) and \(K\) = potassium concentration in %. Figure 10.1d shows the calculated radiogenic heat production for the study area with high values of >4.6 µW m\(^{-3}\) over the Mourne Mountains. The Eastern Magmatic Centre (EMC) generally shows higher values than the Western Magmatic Centre (WMC). However, the Tellus survey AGRS underestimates the true radioelement concentration where the gamma radiation from bedrock is attenuated by soil and peat and where erosion has disturbed the equilibrium of the radioactive decay chain. Appleton et al. (2008) compared the AGRS data with soil sample analyses and found that the values of \(K_2O\), \(e\text{Th}\) and \(eU\) measured by AGRS across all Northern Ireland were, respectively, approximately 20%, 30% and 66% less than those measured directly from the soil samples.

Yarr (2013) reported similar findings based on field survey measurements on exposed granite, using a portable gamma-ray spectrometer (PGRS). She calculated average heat production values of 7.79 µW m\(^{-3}\) and 6.56 µW m\(^{-3}\) for the eastern and western centres, respectively, comparable with the adjusted AGRS results. Willmot Noller et al. (2015) calculated heat production rates from 3300 new and legacy radioelement analyses across Ireland and found that the Mourne Mountains Complex shows the highest rate of all Irish granites sampled, averaging 6.83 µW m\(^{-3}\) from 57 samples. The calculated heat production rates for the Cornish (4.0–5.3 µW m\(^{-3}\)) and Pennine (3.7–5.2 µW m\(^{-3}\)) granites are significantly lower.

In 2009 a 600 m deep borehole was drilled into the EMC granite at Silent Valley as part of a Government-funded GSNI research programme into the geothermal energy potential of Northern Ireland (Reay and Kelly, 2010; Fig. 10.2). Continuous rock cores were retrieved and a suite of geophysical measurements was made (Kelly, 2010). A temperature gradient of 21.07°C km\(^{-1}\) was calculated, similar to the values recorded in the two earlier
shallow boreholes, but lower than the gradients measured in the Cornish granites. Laboratory measurements of thermal conductivity, density and specific heat capacity were made from granite core samples. Yarr (2013) used the PGRS to measure the radioactivity of the drill-core of the Silent Valley borehole and found that it increased slowly with depth.

Geophysical and structural models of the Mourne Mountains Complex

The radiometric data and the heat flow calculations discussed above are derived from measurements from the near-surface rocks only. The deep geothermal energy potential will also depend on the geometry (shape, thickness and volume), the bulk geochemistry of the rocks including the water content, the extent of existing fractures at depth and the capacity to enlarge these artificially. During the Tellus Project we investigated some of these using surface geophysical methods.

Features and implications of the gravity and magnetic anomalies

Variations in the densities of the rocks at depth across an area will be reflected by changes in the gravity anomaly. Relatively higher gravity indicates the presence of denser mafic rocks, while less dense rocks such as granite show lower gravity values. Figure 10.3 shows the variation in gravity across the Mournes; the Palaeogene Slieve Gullion, Carlingford
and Mournes Igneous complexes are characterised by high positive anomalies untypical of granites. In contrast, the older Devonian Newry Granodiorite to the north shows the low anomaly that would be expected from a large granitic body extending to great depth. The gravity low over the Newry Granodiorite is consistent with a low-density intrusion extending to depths of more than 10 km and is similar to the anomalies seen over other Caledonian granite batholiths in the Southern Uplands of Scotland.

The gravity field of the north of Ireland was first mapped and modelled by Cook and Murphy (1952). With the benefit of more extensive data, Carruthers et al. (1999) and Reay (2004) presented models that demonstrate that the large positive gravity anomaly across the Gullion/Cooley/Mournes area is caused by a large mafic or ultramafic body underlying the Palaeogene intrusions. An initial 2D model estimated the thickness of the Mournes granite at 2.5 to 4 km, although 2D modelling overestimates the gravity effect, and thus underestimates the thickness, of a 3D body with the shape of the Mournes Complex. Strong positive gravity anomalies are characteristic of other intrusive centres of the British Palaeogene Igneous Province (Emeleus et al., 2005) and the Skye, Mull, Rum and Blackstone Complexes in the Inner Hebrides have been modelled as cylindrical bodies of mafic or ultramafic composition extending to depths of about 15 km.

Gravity anomaly values decrease from Slieve Gullion and the Carlingford Igneous centre on the Cooley peninsula in a northerly and north-westerly direction towards the
elongate SW–NE gravity low over the Newry Granodiorite. There is no marked change of the gravity gradient across the Mournes, which suggests that the granite intrusions are not very thick, although, when a 20 km high pass filter is applied to the gravity data (Fig. 10.4), the gravity lows (blue) on the western margin of the WMC and the northeastern margin of the EMC indicate that the low-density granite may be thicker here. It is not immediately obvious from Fig. 10.4 whether the mafic body extends north from the Gullion–Cooley area beneath the entire Mournes granite intrusion, but the anomaly highs (red) suggest that high-density rocks underlie much of the Mournes granite. Three-dimensional (3D) modelling of the gravity anomalies may help to constrain this.

Variations in the magnetic mineral content of rocks can also be mapped by ground or airborne surveys, which may reveal the subsurface extent of igneous intrusions. The Tellus and Tellus Border airborne magnetic data have been merged (Fig. 10.5) and distinctive positive anomalies can be seen to match closely the outcrop pattern of the Slieve Gullion and Carlingford intrusions. In the area of the Mourne Mountains, however, the magnetic anomalies appear to extend south under Carlingford Lough and eastwards slightly offshore. This anomaly pattern indicates that the intrusions may extend beyond the granite outcrop beneath the country rocks. The magnetic data also suggest an asymmetry to the Mournes intrusions, with the anomaly maxima being located just to the south of the WMC and in the eastern part of the EMC.
Structural models for the emplacement of the Mourne Mountains granites

Structural studies of the Mourne Mountains have suggested two main mechanisms for the emplacement of the granite intrusion: cauldron subsidence (Richey, 1928) and laccolithic emplacement (Stevenson et al., 2007; Stevenson and Bennett, 2011).

Cauldron subsidence involves a large block of country rock, bounded by a steeply dipping ring dyke, sinking into the underlying magma chamber. Granite magma then rises up the widening ‘wall’ fissure before moving laterally into a ‘roof’ fissure using the space created by the sinking country rock. In this passive type of emplacement the magma chamber would be directly below the intrusion. The Richey model proposed that the granite emplacement was asymmetric, with the magma mainly coming up the eastern wall and spreading west to south-westwards into the roof. Steeply dipping mineral fabrics might be expected in the wall zone with shallow dipping or sub-horizontal mineral orientation in the roof zone, and the country rock should show little deformation from the passive intrusion. Walker (1975) proposed a different mechanism involving diapiric ascent of the granite magma, assisted by the upward movement of underlying mafic magma. In this case the granite intrusion was more forceful but the mafic magma chamber was still directly below the granite.

The field evidence for the cauldron subsidence model is not strong and, after reviewing the field mapping by Gibson (1984) and Hood (1981) and studying deformation fabrics...
deduced from the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility, Stevenson and co-workers proposed a new model of emplacement. They proposed that the granitic magma rose from a feeder zone to the south-southwest and was emplaced as a laccolith. The laccolithic model implies a thinner, more lenticular granite body perhaps 2 km thick and a more forceful emplacement, with deformation of the country rock as observed in places. In this model the granite would be laterally displaced from the underlying mafic magma chamber, as suggested by the gravity data.

Modelling the intrusion dimensions using electromagnetic sounding

In 2010, in a collaborative field programme, geoscientists from GSNI, DIAS and the University of Birmingham undertook an MT survey to investigate the deep structure of the Mourne Mountains Complex. The operational details and analysis of results are described by Ayres (2011) and Yeomans (2011). Three lines totalling 56 km were surveyed using Phoenix MT equipment, with MT soundings taken at approximately 1 km intervals.

The MT data were modelled by joint inversion of the transverse electric and magnetic modes to produce cross-sections beneath the three traverses. For the models in this chapter Ture applied REBOCC inversion software (Siripunvaraporn, 1999) within the band 0.000167 to 22.727 seconds. This produced robust 2D models of the variation in resistivity of the rocks beneath the profiles that may be interpreted as different rock types. Sedimentary rocks typically have relatively low resistivities whereas dry granites are characterised by high resistivities, although these may be reduced by several orders of magnitude.
magnitude if they are fractured or contain even 1% water. Mafic igneous rocks tend to have much higher resistivities than sedimentary rocks but lower than granite, although water content may again be significant.

The EMC model (Fig. 10.6) shows a near-vertical resistor (R1, with values of >10,000 Ω m) at the northern end of the profile, which reaches a depth of approximately 10 km and lies north of the granite outcrop. This body could be interpreted as a steeply dipping wall intrusion, consistent with asymmetric intrusion from a feeder zone in the north-east. The near-vertical relatively conductive body (C1, values of <1000 Ω m), observed between R1 and the main granite body (R2), may represent a fault zone or a concealed slab of the Silurian greywacke and slate country rock separating different granitic pulses.

The model delineates a large central resistor (R2, values of >2500, up to 40,000 Ω m), which corresponds to the granite outcrop. R2 is interpreted as the main granite body of the EMC and extends to depths of 5–6 km. Both R1 and R2 have central zones with electrical resistivities of >10,000 Ω m, which are typical of relatively dry granites.

At the southern end of the model, where Lower Palaeozoic greywackes crop out, resistor R3 dips at a moderate angle towards the south. R3 is less resistive than R1 and R2 (4000–10,000 Ω m) and it may represent a buried intrusion composed of granite, with higher water content, or of a more mafic lithology. Variations in modelled resistivities may also arise where the same rock types cross the profile at different strike angles (one of the limitations of the 2D MT modelling technique is that a single geological strike angle must be defined for the entire profile, and this strike angle may not be exactly correct everywhere.

Figure 10.7. 2D resistivity model along MT profile WMC.
along the profile, potentially leading to inaccuracies in the recovery of resistivity values). The position and dip of R3, to the south of the granite outcrop, could be taken as support for the laccolithic emplacement model but the separation of R3 from the main EMC by a narrow conductive zone and the relatively steep dip of R3 at depth would require some modification of the Stevenson model. Additional MT and gravity modelling work is required to further constrain the geological origin of R3 and assess its geothermal potential.

Conductive body C3 (resistivities of <100 Ω m) at depths of 8 km to 20 km beneath the EMC would be consistent with the position of a deep high-density intrusion originally proposed by Cook and Murphy (1952), but the origin of its low resistivity is not known and such values are not typical of mafic igneous rocks. Possible explanations include hydrothermal alteration of mafic rocks beneath the granites; the presence of water-filled fractures; ductile shear zones with highly conductive graphite; or sulphide mineralisation relating to the Mournes magmatism.

The MT model across the WMC (Fig. 10.7) also shows a near-vertical resistor (R4) at its northern end extending to depths of at least 15 km, which may be interpreted as the MT response from the Newry granodiorite which crops out here. Resistors R6 and R7 correspond to most of the outcrop of the WMC and R5 may represent a northward extension of the WMC beneath the country rock. These resistors are relatively shallow (4–5 km maximum depth) and separated by relatively conductive bodies C4 and C5, which may be related to fault zones. South of the granite outcrop, resistor R8, which dips southward from 1 km to 10 km depth, could represent a magmatic feeder zone of granite with higher
water content, or more mafic lithologies, similar to R3. Although R3 and R8 have different resistivity values, such a situation can arise where a 2D resistive body crosses the two profiles at different strike angles. From the result of the model it can be seen that different relatively conductive zones are mapped between resistive granitic bodies. Conductive body C7, lying beneath the southern part of the WMC, is similar to C3 under the EMC but with slightly higher resistivities (100–400 $\Omega$ m).

The model along the profile SLA, which runs just south of the WMC and EMC granite outcrops in a WSW-ENE direction (Fig. 10.8), has two laterally persistent shallower resistors. R9 is interpreted as a southward extension of the G4 granite intrusion concealed beneath the greywackes and with its base at about 4 km depth. The resistor appears to extend close to the surface, which suggests that the granite is close to the surface and/or that the hornfelsed greywacke outcropping here is also highly resistive where contact metamorphism has reduced its water content. The laterally extensive resistor R10 corresponds to the northern shallower margin of resistors R3 and R8, its base rising to 5 km depth between the WMC and EMC profiles. Together these resistors suggest the presence of an intrusive body concealed beneath the greywackes and dipping south towards Carlingford Lough. Over its western part R11 is overlain by the shallow relatively conductive C8, which may be interpreted as relatively unaltered greywacke country rock. Conductive body C9, with minimum resistivities of about 40 $\Omega$ m at about 7 km depth, lies beneath R10 and R11 and corresponds to the locations of the shallowest parts of C3 and C7 on the EMC and WMC profiles respectively.

The MT models suggest that the granites are relatively thin (EMC 5–6 km, WMC 4–5 km), although thicker than proposed for the laccolith model and still with some potential as a deep geothermal energy resource. The steeply dipping resistor and conductor at the northern end of the EMC profile may represent the juxtaposition of a granite wall intrusion and a thin slab of water-bearing country rock, which could constitute an attractive EGS target.

Figure 10.9. Comparison of 2D resistivity models along the EMC MT survey line, produced using different algorithms. Note: horizontal and vertical scales are equal in this figure; in previous model figures the horizontal exaggeration is about 1.5 times the vertical.
Similarly, the southward-dipping resistor south of the two magmatic centres, if interpreted as a buried granitic intrusion, would be a credible EGS target. However, some caution must be exercised when considering the detailed geometry of the modelled bodies described above.

The inversion of the MT data produced robust deep 2D models that are broadly similar to those produced independently by Yeomans (2011) and Ayres (2011) from the same data, although the modelled ‘bodies’ differ in details of shape and resistivity values (Fig. 10.9). The differences between the models produced by different modelling algorithms reflect the non-uniqueness of the MT inversion method. This highlights the importance of integrating the use of MT data with other methods such as gravity and magnetic interpretation.

Conclusions
The Tellus surveys have mapped variations in the radioelement distribution of rocks and soils of the Mourne Mountains Complex. Previous work and recent geochemical ground follow-up of the Tellus data confirm the radiogenic heat potential of these rocks, which are among the most radioactive granites in Ireland. 2D modelling along an MT sounding transect across the WMC suggests that the granite extends down to 4–5 km depth, which is consistent with the results from 2D modelling of the gravity data. The results from the MT modelling yielded slightly greater depths of 5–6 km for the granites of the EMC.

The MT models do not provide clear evidence in favour of either the cauldron subsidence or the laccolith emplacement models of granite intrusion. The steeply dipping resistive body to the north could be interpreted as a wall intrusion of the former whereas the south-dipping resistor in the south could be the feeder zone of the latter. Irrespective of their origin, these deeper bodies could represent EGS targets. The MT models indicate the presence of an unexpected high-conductivity zone beneath the resistive granites. This zone is of unknown origin and is much deeper than current targets but, if indicative of fractured water-bearing rocks, could hold EGS potential in the future. Detailed 3D modelling of the gravity and magnetic anomalies may help to constrain the extent of any underlying mafic intrusion and resolve its relationship to the conductive mass below the Mourne Mountains.

The EGS potential of the Mournes granite remains enigmatic, the measured high heat production values of the granites being very favourable, whereas the complicated gravity signature, moderate heat flow values and modest geothermal gradients are less favourable factors. The thicknesses of the main granite bodies, as interpreted from the MT data, are less than those of other EGS targets in the UK but the steeply dipping resistive zones to the north and south of the granite outcrop may warrant further investigation to confirm their form and shed some light on their origin.
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