Effect of Graded Levels of NPK Fertilizers on Pests Incidence in Bt Cotton in Alfisol
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A field experiment was conducted in farmer’s field at Jodalli village (Kalghatgi taluk) in 2012-13 and at Pale village (Hubballi taluk) in 2013-14 under protective irrigation to study the effect of graded levels of NPK fertilizers on pests incidence of Bt cotton. The interaction of graded levels of NPK did not show significant effect on pests population (thrips, jassids, aphids, shoot weevil, mirid bug and midge) during first and second years of experimentation and also in pooled data. However, the present study revealed that an inverse relationship between the increased levels of potassium and the pests incidence.

Keywords
Alfisol, Cotton, Fertilizer, Nutrient, pest

Introduction
Cotton is an important commercial crop unanimously designated as ‘king of fibre crops’ and is prone to insect pests attack at various stages of crop growth. Compared to world average cotton lint yield (600 kg ha⁻¹), India produces around 375 kg lint ha⁻¹. The low cotton lint yield is associated because of number of reasons, of them, its cultivation under rainfed situation and pest infestation. Introduction of synthetic pyrethroids, though brought desirable control of bollworms, resulted in resurgence of sucking pests viz., aphid (Aphis gossypii Glover), leafhopper [Amrasca biguttula biguttula (Ishida)], thrips (Thrips tabaci Lindeman) and whitefly [Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius)] (Ajri et al., 1986 and Patil et al., 1986). In the last few decades, these pests became very serious pests of cotton and many other crop plants in tropical and subtropical areas of the world (El-Zahi et al., 2012). Nutrient management improves the plant health, which enables the plant to tolerate against the incidence and attack of herbivores.

Fertilizers, especially nitrogen fertilizer, are the major factors to increase crop yield, and can influence pest populations by reducing...
plant resistance to insects (Altieri and Nicholls, 2003; Way et al., 2006). Previous studies have showed that increased nitrogen supply is related to the occurrence of insect herbivores such as *Aphis gossypii* in cotton (Nevo and Coll, 2001), *Liriomyza trifolii* (Facknath and Lalljee, 2005) and whitefly (Bi et al., 2001). Therefore, it is widely accepted that many crops supplied with nitrogen fertilizer are favorable for many herbivore insects, despite of their promoting crop growth and yield. Potassium has been considered to be a key component of plant nutrition that significantly influences crop growth and some pests’ infestation. A prevailing view is that a high potassium status in plant tissues supplied by soil decreases the incidence of many pests. Potassium fertilizer is negatively associated with occurrence of *L. trifolii* (Facknath and Lalljee, 2005), *Aphis glycines* (Myers and Gratton, 2006), leafhoppers and mites (Parihar and Upadhyay, 2001).

Therefore, an understanding of basic agronomic practices such as optimal row spacing, fertilizer rates, insect pests, diseases and crop response to these factors are essential for maximizing yields. Sufficient nutrient supply and successful protection of the crop against herbivores and pathogens are critical for crop yield and quality in modern agriculture (Amtmann et al., 2008). Keeping all these points in view, a research work was framed with an objective of studying the effects of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers either alone or in combinations on the population densities of pests.

**Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted in farmer’s field one at Jodalli village (Kalghatgi taluk) in 2012-13 situated at 15°19’865” North latitude and 75°00’65” East longitude and another at Pale village (Hubballi taluk) in 2013-14 situated at 15°14’404” North latitude and 75°08’600” East longitude under protective irrigated condition to find out the appropriate NPK levels for Bt cotton in Alfisol. The farmer of Jodalli village did not agree to take up the experiment during second year. Hence, the experiment was conducted at Pale village. The spacing adopted was 90 cm and between rows and 60 cm between plants for hybrid cotton. The factorial randomized complete block Design with nineteen treatments and three replications was adopted. The treatment details are given below.

**Treatment details**

**A. Factor - I (N levels)**

\[ N_1: 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}, N_2: 125 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}, N_3: 150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \]

**B. Factor - II (P_2O_5 levels)**

\[ P_1: 50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}, P_2: 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \]

**C. Factor - III (K_2O levels)**

\[ K_1: 50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}, K_2: 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}, K_3: 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \]

**Absolute control**

Entire recommended dose of phosphorus and potassium and 50 per cent of nitrogen were applied after germination by ring method. Remaining 50 per cent of nitrogen was applied at 60 DAS as per the package of practice. Adequate plant protection measures were taken as per the recommended package for Bt cotton as and when required at various growth stages commonly to all the treatments. The plant protection measures for the control of sucking pests (thrips, jassids, aphids, shoot weevil, mirid bug and midge) were taken as and when required at various growth stages commonly to all the treatments.
Scoring of pests

Observations were made on thrips, jassids and aphids on three leaves (top, middle and bottom), shoot weevil (10 random plants), mirid bug and midge (10 squares) from each of 10 randomly selected plants from each plot. The incidence of pest was recorded by using 1-4 grade (Kranthi et al., 2009) and the observations were then converted to transformed values.

Results and Discussion

Effect of different levels of NPK fertilizers on pests population in Bt cotton

The pooled data revealed that, sucking pests populations were significantly affected by different levels of nitrogen and potassium application. Significantly higher thrips, jassids, aphids and shoot weevil populations (2.58 and 1.68, 2.14 and 1.55, 3.49 and 2.37 per 3 leaves and 4.03 and 3.63 per 10 plants) were recorded in the treatment N$_3$ (150 kg N ha$^{-1}$) at 70 and 90 DAS, respectively. Ahmed et al., (2007) found that the highest rate of nitrogen resulted in the highest per leaf mean population of jassids, whitefly and thrips. He reported that, an excessive dose of nitrogen fertilizer might produce lush green plants, which will attract pests. Cisneros and Godfery (1998) reported that nitrogen affected the population dynamics of naturally occurring aphids with higher densities in plots receiving high N rates. Godfery et al., (1999) mentioned that high levels of nitrogen fertilization appear to promote increased cotton aphid reproduction and the build-up of high in field aphid populations.

Different levels of phosphorus showed significant effect on jassids and shoot weevil population (1.41 per 3 leaves at 90 DAS and 3.84 and 3.59 per 10 plants at 70 and 90 DAS, respectively). In case of potassium levels, with the increase in levels of potassic fertilizers there was a decrease in pest population. The treatment receiving K @ 100 kg ha$^{-1}$ (K$_3$) recorded lower thrips, jassids, aphids and shoot weevil populations (2.29 and 1.40, 1.88 and 1.23, 3.03 and 2.05 per 3 leaves and 3.65 and 3.32 per 10 plants at 70 and 90 DAS, respectively) compared to other two levels.

The interaction of NP levels showed significant effect on thrips and aphids population. Significantly higher thrips and aphids population were recorded in the treatment N$_3$P$_2$ (2.64 and 1.73 and 3.56 and 2.44 per 3 leaves at 70 and 90 DAS, respectively). But, the jassids and shoot weevil populations were unaffected by the combined effect of NP levels. There was an inverse relationship found with NK interaction effect. It was observed that, increased levels of nitrogen recorded higher sucking pests incidence and incase of potassium levels it was vice versa. El-Zahi et al., (2012) in his study reported that plants fertilized with potassium either alone or in combinations with others were infested with the lowest population densities of jassids (Impoasca spp.) and aphids (Aphis gossypii). Potassium fertilizer significantly decreased the aphid population density and reduced the infestation level of cotton plants with aphids.

The combined effect of NPK fertilizers among the treatments was statistically non significant during first and second years of experimentation and in pooled data. Many studies have been done on the effect of nitrogen and potassium rates on the population density of sucking pests, but no information are available at present on the effect of combined application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Purohit and Deshpande, 1991) (Table 1–5).
Table 1: Thrips population (per 3 leaves) in Bt cotton as influenced by different levels of NPK in Alfisol

| Treatments | 70 DAS | Thrips (per 3 leaves) | 90 DAS | Pooled |
|------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|
|            | 2012-13| 2013-14               |        |        |
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
| N<sub>1</sub> | 2.38 (1.70) | 2.43 (1.71) | 2.40 (1.70) | 1.40 (1.38) | 1.56 (1.44) | 1.48 (1.41) |
| N<sub>2</sub> | 2.49 (1.73) | 2.53 (1.74) | 2.51 (1.73) | 1.56 (1.43) | 1.60 (1.45) | 1.58 (1.44) |
| N<sub>3</sub> | 2.56 (1.75) | 2.61 (1.76) | 2.58 (1.76) | 1.67 (1.47) | 1.69 (1.48) | 1.68 (1.47) |
| S.Em.± | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.013 |
| P<sub>1</sub> | 2.46 (1.72) | 2.50 (1.73) | 2.48 (1.72) | 1.53 (1.42) | 1.59 (1.45) | 1.56 (1.43) |
| P<sub>2</sub> | 2.48 (1.73) | 2.55 (1.74) | 2.52 (1.74) | 1.55 (1.43) | 1.64 (1.46) | 1.60 (1.45) |
| S.Em.± | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| K<sub>1</sub> | 2.65 (1.77) | 2.76 (1.81) | 2.71 (1.79) | 1.72 (1.49) | 1.85 (1.53) | 1.79 (1.51) |
| K<sub>2</sub> | 2.48 (1.73) | 2.52 (1.74) | 2.50 (1.73) | 1.53 (1.42) | 1.58 (1.44) | 1.55 (1.43) |
| K<sub>3</sub> | 2.29 (1.67) | 2.29 (1.67) | 2.29 (1.67) | 1.38 (1.37) | 1.42 (1.39) | 1.40 (1.38) |
| S.Em.± | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.013 |
| N<sub>P1</sub> | 2.37 (1.69) | 2.40 (1.70) | 2.38 (1.70) | 1.38 (1.37) | 1.55 (1.43) | 1.47 (1.40) |
| N<sub>P2</sub> | 2.39 (1.70) | 2.46 (1.72) | 2.42 (1.71) | 1.41 (1.38) | 1.57 (1.44) | 1.49 (1.41) |
| N<sub>P1</sub> | 2.50 (1.73) | 2.54 (1.74) | 2.52 (1.74) | 1.57 (1.44) | 1.63 (1.46) | 1.60 (1.45) |
| N<sub>P2</sub> | 2.47 (1.72) | 2.51 (1.73) | 2.49 (1.73) | 1.55 (1.43) | 1.58 (1.44) | 1.56 (1.43) |
| N<sub>P1</sub> | 2.52 (1.74) | 2.55 (1.74) | 2.53 (1.74) | 1.64 (1.46) | 1.60 (1.45) | 1.62 (1.45) |
| N<sub>P2</sub> | 2.60 (1.76) | 2.67 (1.78) | 2.64 (1.77) | 1.69 (1.48) | 1.78 (1.51) | 1.73 (1.49) |
| S.Em.± | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.006 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.018 | 0.023 | 0.016 | NS | 0.025 | 0.019 |
| N<sub>K1</sub> | 2.53 (1.74) | 2.68 (1.78) | 2.60 (1.76) | 1.47 (1.40) | 1.71 (1.49) | 1.59 (1.45) |
| N<sub>K2</sub> | 2.42 (1.71) | 2.44 (1.71) | 2.43 (1.71) | 1.42 (1.38) | 1.53 (1.42) | 1.47 (1.40) |
| N<sub>K1</sub> | 2.19 (1.64) | 2.17 (1.63) | 2.18 (1.64) | 1.31 (1.34) | 1.45 (1.39) | 1.38 (1.37) |
| N<sub>K2</sub> | 2.69 (1.79) | 2.78 (1.81) | 2.74 (1.80) | 1.78 (1.51) | 1.86 (1.54) | 1.82 (1.52) |
| N<sub>K3</sub> | 2.51 (1.73) | 2.56 (1.75) | 2.53 (1.74) | 1.54 (1.43) | 1.54 (1.43) | 1.54 (1.43) |
| N<sub>K4</sub> | 2.26 (1.66) | 2.24 (1.66) | 2.25 (1.66) | 1.37 (1.37) | 1.41 (1.38) | 1.39 (1.37) |
| N<sub>K5</sub> | 2.73 (1.80) | 2.83 (1.82) | 2.78 (1.81) | 1.90 (1.55) | 1.99 (1.58) | 1.95 (1.56) |
| N<sub>K6</sub> | 2.51 (1.73) | 2.56 (1.75) | 2.53 (1.74) | 1.62 (1.46) | 1.66 (1.47) | 1.64 (1.46) |
| N<sub>S</sub> | 2.43 (1.71) | 2.45 (1.71) | 2.44 (1.71) | 1.48 (1.41) | 1.42 (1.38) | 1.45 (1.39) |
| S.Em.± | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.008 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.023 | 0.028 | 0.020 | 0.034 | 0.030 | 0.023 |
## Contd.

| Treatments | 70 DAS | 90 DAS | 90 DAS | 90 DAS |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.65 (1.78) | 2.75 (1.80) | 2.70 (1.79) | 1.70 (1.48) | 1.82 (1.52) | 1.76 (1.50) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.46 (1.72) | 2.51 (1.73) | 2.49 (1.73) | 1.51 (1.42) | 1.55 (1.43) | 1.53 (1.43) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.27 (1.66) | 2.22 (1.65) | 2.25 (1.66) | 1.39 (1.37) | 1.40 (1.38) | 1.40 (1.38) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 2.65 (1.77) | 2.77 (1.81) | 2.78 (1.79) | 1.74 (1.50) | 1.88 (1.54) | 1.81 (1.52) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.49 (1.73) | 2.53 (1.74) | 2.53 (1.73) | 1.54 (1.43) | 1.60 (1.45) | 1.57 (1.44) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.32 (1.68) | 2.35 (1.69) | 2.44 (1.68) | 1.38 (1.37) | 1.44 (1.39) | 1.40 (1.38) |

S.E.m.± | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.006 |

C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.54 (1.74) | 2.66 (1.78) | 2.60 (1.76) | 1.45 (1.40) | 1.70 (1.48) | 1.57 (1.44) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.40 (1.70) | 2.41 (1.71) | 2.41 (1.70) | 1.39 (1.37) | 1.53 (1.42) | 1.46 (1.40) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.16 (1.63) | 2.13 (1.62) | 2.15 (1.63) | 1.31 (1.35) | 1.44 (1.39) | 1.38 (1.37) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 2.52 (1.74) | 2.70 (1.79) | 2.61 (1.76) | 1.50 (1.41) | 1.73 (1.49) | 1.61 (1.45) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.44 (1.71) | 2.47 (1.72) | 2.45 (1.72) | 1.45 (1.40) | 1.53 (1.42) | 1.49 (1.41) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.21 (1.65) | 2.21 (1.65) | 2.21 (1.65) | 1.30 (1.34) | 1.45 (1.40) | 1.38 (1.37) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 2.68 (1.78) | 2.79 (1.81) | 2.74 (1.80) | 1.76 (1.50) | 1.82 (1.52) | 1.79 (1.51) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.54 (1.74) | 2.61 (1.76) | 2.58 (1.75) | 1.56 (1.43) | 1.58 (1.44) | 1.57 (1.44) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.29 (1.67) | 2.22 (1.65) | 2.26 (1.66) | 1.40 (1.38) | 1.47 (1.40) | 1.44 (1.39) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 2.69 (1.79) | 2.78 (1.81) | 2.74 (1.80) | 1.80 (1.52) | 1.89 (1.55) | 1.85 (1.53) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.47 (1.72) | 2.51 (1.73) | 2.49 (1.73) | 1.53 (1.42) | 1.50 (1.41) | 1.51 (1.42) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.24 (1.65) | 2.26 (1.66) | 2.25 (1.66) | 1.33 (1.35) | 1.34 (1.35) | 1.33 (1.35) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 2.74 (1.80) | 2.81 (1.82) | 2.77 (1.81) | 1.88 (1.54) | 1.95 (1.57) | 1.92 (1.55) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.45 (1.72) | 2.51 (1.74) | 2.48 (1.73) | 1.60 (1.45) | 1.56 (1.43) | 1.58 (1.44) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.36 (1.69) | 2.32 (1.68) | 2.34 (1.68) | 1.45 (1.34) | 1.29 (1.34) | 1.37 (1.37) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 2.73 (1.80) | 2.84 (1.83) | 2.79 (1.81) | 1.92 (1.56) | 2.03 (1.59) | 1.98 (1.57) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.56 (1.75) | 2.60 (1.76) | 2.58 (1.76) | 1.64 (1.46) | 1.76 (1.50) | 1.70 (1.48) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.50 (1.73) | 2.58 (1.75) | 2.54 (1.74) | 1.50 (1.41) | 1.54 (1.43) | 1.52 (1.42) |

S.E.m.± | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.017 | 0.015 | 0.011 |

C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.043 | NS |

Control | 2.93 (1.85) | 2.97 (1.86) | 2.95 (1.86) | 2.35 (1.69) | 2.94 (1.86) | 2.65 (1.77) |

S.E.m.± | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.017 | 0.015 | 0.011 |

C.D. at 5% | 0.032 | 0.038 | 0.028 | 0.048 | 0.042 | 0.032 |

Notes: FYM – 51 ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>1</sub> – 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub> – 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>3</sub> – 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

P<sub>1</sub> – 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>2</sub> – 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>3</sub> – 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

K<sub>1</sub> – 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>2</sub> – 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>3</sub> – 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

NS – Non significant

DAS – Days after sowing

Figures in the parentheses indicate ‘±’ = 0.5 transformed values
**Table 2** Jassids population (per 3 leaves) in Bt cotton as influenced by different levels of NPK in Alfisol

| Treatments | 70 DAS | Jassids (per 3 leaves) | 90 DAS | C.D. at 5% |
|------------|--------|------------------------|--------|------------|
|            | 2012-13| 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| N<sub>1</sub> | 2.15 (1.63) | 1.98 (1.57) | 2.07 (1.60) | 1.20 (1.30) | 1.26 (1.33) | 1.23 (1.31) |
| N<sub>2</sub> | 2.15 (1.63) | 2.04 (1.59) | 2.10 (1.61) | 1.31 (1.34) | 1.48 (1.40) | 1.39 (1.37) |
| N<sub>3</sub> | 2.19 (1.64) | 2.09 (1.61) | 2.14 (1.62) | 1.44 (1.39) | 1.66 (1.47) | 1.55 (1.43) |
| S.Em.± | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| K<sub>1</sub> | 2.36 (1.69) | 2.29 (1.67) | 2.32 (1.68) | 1.52 (1.42) | 1.61 (1.45) | 1.56 (1.43) |
| K<sub>2</sub> | 2.17 (1.63) | 2.03 (1.59) | 2.10 (1.61) | 1.29 (1.34) | 1.47 (1.40) | 1.38 (1.37) |
| K<sub>3</sub> | 1.98 (1.57) | 1.79 (1.51) | 1.88 (1.54) | 1.14 (1.28) | 1.32 (1.35) | 1.23 (1.31) |
| S.Em.± | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.018 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 2.14 (1.63) | 1.96 (1.57) | 2.05 (1.60) | 1.17 (1.29) | 1.24 (1.32) | 1.21 (1.31) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 2.16 (1.63) | 2.00 (1.58) | 2.08 (1.61) | 1.22 (1.31) | 1.28 (1.33) | 1.25 (1.32) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 2.15 (1.63) | 2.02 (1.58) | 2.09 (1.61) | 1.30 (1.34) | 1.43 (1.39) | 1.37 (1.36) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 2.15 (1.63) | 2.06 (1.60) | 2.11 (1.61) | 1.32 (1.35) | 1.52 (1.42) | 1.42 (1.38) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 2.15 (1.63) | 2.10 (1.61) | 2.12 (1.62) | 1.43 (1.39) | 1.64 (1.46) | 1.53 (1.42) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 2.24 (1.65) | 2.09 (1.61) | 2.16 (1.63) | 1.45 (1.39) | 1.68 (1.48) | 1.56 (1.43) |
| S.Em.± | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.24 (1.65) | 2.09 (1.61) | 2.16 (1.63) | 1.27 (1.33) | 1.36 (1.36) | 1.31 (1.35) |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.14 (1.63) | 2.01 (1.58) | 2.08 (1.61) | 1.20 (1.30) | 1.25 (1.32) | 1.23 (1.31) |
| N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.07 (1.60) | 1.84 (1.53) | 1.96 (1.57) | 1.12 (1.27) | 1.17 (1.29) | 1.15 (1.28) |
| N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.36 (1.69) | 2.37 (1.69) | 2.36 (1.69) | 1.57 (1.44) | 1.63 (1.46) | 1.60 (1.45) |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.19 (1.64) | 2.01 (1.58) | 2.10 (1.61) | 1.26 (1.33) | 1.50 (1.41) | 1.38 (1.37) |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 1.91 (1.55) | 1.73 (1.49) | 1.82 (1.52) | 1.10 (1.27) | 1.30 (1.34) | 1.20 (1.30) |
| N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.47 (1.72) | 2.40 (1.70) | 2.44 (1.71) | 1.73 (1.49) | 1.83 (1.53) | 1.78 (1.51) |
| N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.16 (1.65) | 2.09 (1.61) | 2.12 (1.62) | 1.40 (1.38) | 1.67 (1.47) | 1.53 (1.42) |
| N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 1.95 (1.56) | 1.79 (1.51) | 1.87 (1.54) | 1.20 (1.30) | 1.48 (1.41) | 1.34 (1.35) |
| S.Em.± | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.020 | 0.032 | 0.017 | 0.017 |
Contd.

| Treatments | Jassids (per 3 leaves) | 70 DAS | 90 DAS |
|------------|------------------------|--------|--------|
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.34 (1.68) | 2.27 (1.66) | 2.30 (1.67) | 1.48 (1.41) | 1.59 (1.44) | 1.54 (1.43) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.14 (1.62) | 2.01 (1.59) | 2.08 (1.61) | 1.30 (1.34) | 1.44 (1.39) | 1.37 (1.37) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 1.97 (1.57) | 1.78 (1.51) | 1.88 (1.54) | 1.12 (1.27) | 1.28 (1.33) | 1.20 (1.30) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.37 (1.70) | 2.30 (1.67) | 2.34 (1.68) | 1.56 (1.43) | 1.62 (1.45) | 1.59 (1.44) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.19 (1.64) | 2.05 (1.60) | 2.12 (1.62) | 1.27 (1.33) | 1.50 (1.41) | 1.39 (1.37) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 1.98 (1.57) | 1.79 (1.51) | 1.89 (1.54) | 1.16 (1.29) | 1.35 (1.36) | 1.26 (1.32) |
| S.Em± | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.005 |

C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS

N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.21 (1.65) | 2.09 (1.61) | 2.15 (1.63) | 1.23 (1.32) | 1.35 (1.36) | 1.29 (1.34) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.14 (1.62) | 1.99 (1.58) | 2.06 (1.60) | 1.18 (1.30) | 1.24 (1.32) | 1.21 (1.31) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.09 (1.61) | 1.79 (1.51) | 1.94 (1.56) | 1.09 (1.26) | 1.15 (1.28) | 1.12 (1.27) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.27 (1.66) | 2.08 (1.61) | 2.18 (1.64) | 1.30 (1.34) | 1.37 (1.37) | 1.34 (1.35) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.14 (1.63) | 2.04 (1.59) | 2.09 (1.61) | 1.21 (1.31) | 1.27 (1.33) | 1.24 (1.32) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.06 (1.60) | 1.89 (1.55) | 1.98 (1.57) | 1.15 (1.28) | 1.20 (1.30) | 1.17 (1.29) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.34 (1.68) | 2.33 (1.68) | 2.33 (1.68) | 1.54 (1.43) | 1.61 (1.45) | 1.58 (1.44) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.18 (1.64) | 1.93 (1.56) | 2.06 (1.60) | 1.27 (1.33) | 1.41 (1.38) | 1.34 (1.36) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 1.95 (1.56) | 1.79 (1.51) | 1.87 (1.54) | 1.08 (1.26) | 1.28 (1.33) | 1.18 (1.30) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.38 (1.70) | 2.41 (1.71) | 2.40 (1.70) | 1.59 (1.45) | 1.65 (1.47) | 1.62 (1.46) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.20 (1.64) | 2.08 (1.61) | 2.14 (1.63) | 1.25 (1.32) | 1.59 (1.45) | 1.42 (1.38) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 1.87 (1.54) | 1.68 (1.48) | 1.78 (1.51) | 1.12 (1.27) | 1.32 (1.35) | 1.22 (1.31) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.47 (1.72) | 2.39 (1.70) | 2.43 (1.71) | 1.67 (1.47) | 1.81 (1.52) | 1.74 (1.50) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.10 (1.61) | 2.13 (1.62) | 2.12 (1.62) | 1.44 (1.39) | 1.69 (1.48) | 1.56 (1.44) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 1.88 (1.54) | 1.77 (1.51) | 1.83 (1.52) | 1.18 (1.30) | 1.42 (1.39) | 1.30 (1.34) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 2.48 (1.73) | 2.42 (1.71) | 2.45 (1.72) | 1.78 (1.51) | 1.85 (1.53) | 1.81 (1.52) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>5</sub> | 2.22 (1.65) | 2.04 (1.59) | 2.13 (1.62) | 1.35 (1.36) | 1.65 (1.47) | 1.50 (1.41) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>6</sub> | 2.01 (1.59) | 1.80 (1.52) | 1.91 (1.55) | 1.21 (1.31) | 1.54 (1.43) | 1.37 (1.37) |
| S.Em± | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 0.008 | 0.008 |

C.D. at 5% | NS | 0.038 | NS | NS | NS | NS

Control | 2.65 (1.78) | 2.68 (1.78) | 2.67 (1.78) | 2.07 (1.60) | 2.14 (1.62) | 2.10 (1.61)

S.Em± | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.008 | 0.008

C.D. at 5% | 0.036 | 0.037 | 0.027 | 0.044 | 0.024 | 0.024

Note: FYM = 5 t/ha<sup>1</sup>  N<sub>1</sub> = 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  N<sub>2</sub> = 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  N<sub>3</sub> = 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  P<sub>1</sub> = 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  P<sub>2</sub> = 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  K<sub>1</sub> = 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  K<sub>2</sub> = 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  K<sub>3</sub> = 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

NS = Non significant
DAS = Days after sowing
Figures in the parentheses indicate ‘x’ = 0.5 transformed values
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Table 3: Aphids population (per 3 leaves) in Bt cotton as influenced by different levels of NPK in Alfisol

| Treatments | 70 DAS | 90 DAS |
|------------|--------|--------|
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| N<sub>1</sub> | 3.12 (1.90) | 3.48 (1.99) | 3.30 (1.95) | 2.17 (1.63) | 2.02 (1.59) | 2.09 (1.61) |
| N<sub>2</sub> | 3.04 (1.87) | 3.67 (2.04) | 3.35 (1.96) | 2.27 (1.66) | 2.25 (1.66) | 2.26 (1.66) |
| N<sub>3</sub> | 3.20 (1.92) | 3.77 (2.07) | 3.49 (1.99) | 2.40 (1.70) | 2.35 (1.69) | 2.37 (1.69) |
| S.Em.+ | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| C.D. at 5% | NS | 0.016 | 0.023 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.008 |
| P<sub>1</sub> | 3.12 (1.90) | 3.60 (2.02) | 3.36 (1.96) | 2.25 (1.66) | 2.19 (1.64) | 2.22 (1.65) |
| P<sub>2</sub> | 3.12 (1.90) | 3.68 (2.04) | 3.40 (1.97) | 2.31 (1.67) | 2.23 (1.65) | 2.27 (1.66) |
| S.Em.+ | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| C.D. at 5% | NS | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| K<sub>1</sub> | 3.65 (2.04) | 3.85 (2.09) | 3.75 (2.06) | 2.48 (1.72) | 2.36 (1.69) | 2.42 (1.71) |
| K<sub>2</sub> | 3.10 (1.90) | 3.62 (2.03) | 3.36 (1.96) | 2.29 (1.67) | 2.24 (1.65) | 2.26 (1.66) |
| K<sub>3</sub> | 2.61 (1.76) | 3.45 (1.99) | 3.03 (1.87) | 2.07 (1.60) | 2.03 (1.59) | 2.05 (1.60) |
| S.Em.+ | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.038 | 0.016 | 0.023 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.008 |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 3.09 (1.89) | 3.41 (1.98) | 3.25 (1.93) | 2.19 (1.64) | 2.03 (1.59) | 2.11 (1.61) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 3.15 (1.91) | 3.55 (2.01) | 3.35 (1.96) | 2.15 (1.63) | 2.01 (1.58) | 2.08 (1.61) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 3.22 (1.93) | 3.63 (2.03) | 3.42 (1.98) | 2.21 (1.64) | 2.25 (1.66) | 2.23 (1.65) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 2.86 (1.82) | 3.72 (2.05) | 3.29 (1.94) | 2.33 (1.68) | 2.26 (1.66) | 2.29 (1.67) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 3.06 (1.88) | 3.77 (2.07) | 3.42 (1.97) | 2.35 (1.69) | 2.28 (1.67) | 2.31 (1.68) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 3.34 (1.95) | 3.77 (2.07) | 3.56 (2.01) | 2.45 (1.72) | 2.42 (1.71) | 2.44 (1.71) |
| S.Em.+ | 0.019 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.004 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.054 | NS | 0.032 | 0.018 | 0.016 | 0.011 |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.37 (1.97) | 3.59 (2.02) | 3.48 (1.99) | 2.30 (1.67) | 2.17 (1.63) | 2.24 (1.65) |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 3.13 (1.90) | 3.50 (2.00) | 3.31 (1.95) | 2.19 (1.64) | 2.06 (1.60) | 2.13 (1.62) |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.86 (1.83) | 3.35 (1.96) | 3.10 (1.90) | 2.01 (1.58) | 1.83 (1.53) | 1.92 (1.55) |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.64 (2.04) | 3.91 (2.10) | 3.77 (2.07) | 2.51 (1.73) | 2.38 (1.70) | 2.44 (1.72) |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.98 (1.86) | 3.62 (2.03) | 3.30 (1.95) | 2.31 (1.68) | 2.26 (1.66) | 2.29 (1.67) |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.49 (1.73) | 3.49 (2.00) | 2.99 (1.86) | 1.99 (1.58) | 2.12 (1.62) | 2.05 (1.60) |
| N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.92 (2.10) | 4.06 (2.14) | 3.99 (2.12) | 2.63 (1.77) | 2.53 (1.74) | 2.58 (1.75) |
| N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 3.20 (1.92) | 3.74 (2.06) | 3.47 (1.99) | 2.35 (1.69) | 2.39 (1.70) | 2.37 (1.69) |
| N<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.48 (1.72) | 3.51 (2.00) | 2.99 (1.86) | 2.22 (1.65) | 2.13 (1.62) | 2.17 (1.63) |
| S.Em.+ | 0.023 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.005 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.066 | 0.028 | 0.039 | 0.018 | 0.020 | NS |
| Treatments | 70 DAS | 90 DAS |
|------------|--------|--------|
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.60 (2.02) | 3.82 (2.08) | 3.71 (2.05) | 2.44 (1.72) | 2.34 (1.69) | 2.39 (1.70) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 3.07 (1.89) | 3.59 (2.02) | 3.33 (1.95) | 2.27 (1.66) | 2.20 (1.64) | 2.23 (1.65) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.69 (1.79) | 3.39 (1.97) | 3.04 (1.88) | 2.03 (1.59) | 2.02 (1.59) | 2.02 (1.59) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 3.69 (2.05) | 3.88 (2.09) | 3.79 (2.07) | 2.51 (1.73) | 2.38 (1.70) | 2.45 (1.72) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.13 (1.90) | 3.65 (2.04) | 3.39 (1.97) | 2.30 (1.67) | 2.28 (1.67) | 2.29 (1.67) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.52 (1.74) | 3.50 (2.00) | 3.01 (1.87) | 2.11 (1.61) | 2.04 (1.59) | 2.07 (1.60) |

S.E.m± | 0.019 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.004 |

C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.33 (1.96) | 3.58 (2.02) | 3.45 (1.99) | 2.27 (1.67) | 2.15 (1.63) | 2.21 (1.65) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 3.05 (1.88) | 3.40 (1.98) | 3.23 (1.93) | 2.22 (1.65) | 2.05 (1.60) | 2.14 (1.62) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.89 (1.84) | 3.25 (1.94) | 3.07 (1.89) | 2.06 (1.60) | 1.89 (1.54) | 1.97 (1.57) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 3.41 (1.98) | 3.61 (2.03) | 3.51 (2.00) | 2.23 (1.68) | 2.19 (1.64) | 2.26 (1.66) |

S.E.m± | 0.019 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.004 |

C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.70 (2.05) | 3.97 (2.11) | 3.84 (2.08) | 2.56 (1.75) | 2.40 (1.70) | 2.48 (1.73) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.66 (1.78) | 3.67 (2.04) | 3.17 (1.91) | 2.34 (1.68) | 2.29 (1.67) | 2.31 (1.68) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.21 (1.65) | 3.50 (2.00) | 2.86 (1.82) | 2.09 (1.61) | 2.08 (1.61) | 2.08 (1.61) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 3.89 (2.10) | 4.05 (2.13) | 3.97 (2.11) | 2.60 (1.76) | 2.51 (1.74) | 2.56 (1.75) |

S.E.m± | 0.032 | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.007 |

C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Control | 4.25 (2.18) | 4.96 (2.34) | 4.61 (2.26) | 2.63 (1.77) | 2.71 (1.79) | 2.67 (1.78) |

S.E.m± | 0.032 | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.007 |

C.D. at 5% | 0.091 | 0.039 | 0.054 | 0.031 | 0.027 | 0.019 |

Note: FYM – 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>1</sub> – 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub> – 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>3</sub> – 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>1</sub> – 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub> – 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>1</sub> – 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub> – 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>3</sub> – 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NS – Non significant DAS – Days after sowing Figures in the parentheses indicate ‘x’ = 0.5 transformed values

Contd.....
Table 4: Shoot weevil population (per 10 plants) in Bt cotton as influenced by different levels of NPK in Alfisol

| Treatments | 70 DAS | 90 DAS |
|------------|--------|--------|
|            | Shoot weevil (per 10 plants) | Shoot weevil (per 10 plants) |
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| N<sub>1</sub> | 3.46 (1.99) | 3.67 (2.04) | 3.57 (2.02) | 3.37 (1.97) | 3.68 (2.04) | 3.53 (2.01) |
| N<sub>2</sub> | 3.94 (2.11) | 3.75 (2.06) | 3.84 (2.08) | 3.41 (1.98) | 3.70 (2.05) | 3.55 (2.01) |
| N<sub>3</sub> | 4.18 (2.16) | 3.87 (2.09) | 4.03 (2.13) | 3.49 (2.00) | 3.77 (2.07) | 3.63 (2.03) |
| S.Em.± | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.010 |
| P<sub>1</sub> | 3.83 (2.08) | 3.74 (2.06) | 3.79 (2.07) | 3.42 (1.98) | 3.68 (2.04) | 3.55 (2.01) |
| P<sub>2</sub> | 3.89 (2.09) | 3.79 (2.07) | 3.84 (2.08) | 3.43 (1.98) | 3.75 (2.06) | 3.59 (2.02) |
| S.Em.± | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.007 | NS | 0.010 | 0.008 |
| K<sub>1</sub> | 3.93 (2.10) | 3.99 (2.12) | 3.96 (2.11) | 3.66 (2.04) | 3.96 (2.11) | 3.81 (2.08) |
| K<sub>2</sub> | 3.88 (2.09) | 3.78 (2.07) | 3.83 (2.08) | 3.42 (1.98) | 3.73 (2.06) | 3.57 (2.02) |
| K<sub>3</sub> | 3.77 (2.07) | 3.52 (2.01) | 3.65 (2.04) | 3.19 (1.92) | 3.45 (1.99) | 3.32 (1.95) |
| S.Em.± | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.010 |
| P<sub>N</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 3.41 (1.98) | 3.66 (2.04) | 3.53 (2.01) | 3.36 (1.96) | 3.67 (2.04) | 3.52 (2.00) |
| P<sub>N</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 3.51 (2.00) | 3.69 (2.05) | 3.60 (2.02) | 3.39 (1.97) | 3.68 (2.04) | 3.54 (2.01) |
| P<sub>N</sub>P<sub>3</sub> | 3.91 (2.10) | 3.72 (2.05) | 3.82 (2.08) | 3.41 (1.98) | 3.65 (2.04) | 3.53 (2.01) |
| P<sub>N</sub>P<sub>4</sub> | 3.96 (2.11) | 3.77 (2.07) | 3.87 (2.09) | 3.40 (1.97) | 3.74 (2.06) | 3.57 (2.02) |
| P<sub>N</sub>P<sub>5</sub> | 4.18 (2.16) | 3.84 (2.08) | 4.01 (2.12) | 3.48 (1.99) | 3.72 (2.05) | 3.60 (2.02) |
| P<sub>N</sub>P<sub>6</sub> | 4.19 (2.17) | 3.91 (2.10) | 4.05 (2.13) | 3.50 (2.00) | 3.82 (2.08) | 3.66 (2.04) |
| S.Em.± | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| C.D. at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.51 (2.00) | 3.85 (2.09) | 3.68 (2.04) | 3.57 (2.02) | 3.87 (2.09) | 3.72 (2.05) |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 3.46 (1.99) | 3.67 (2.04) | 3.57 (2.02) | 3.37 (1.97) | 3.70 (2.05) | 3.53 (2.01) |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 3.41 (1.98) | 3.50 (2.00) | 3.46 (1.99) | 3.18 (1.92) | 3.47 (1.99) | 3.32 (1.96) |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>4</sub> | 4.04 (2.13) | 3.99 (2.12) | 4.01 (2.12) | 3.66 (2.04) | 3.95 (2.11) | 3.80 (2.07) |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>5</sub> | 3.96 (2.11) | 3.78 (2.07) | 3.87 (2.09) | 3.41 (1.98) | 3.72 (2.05) | 3.57 (2.02) |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>6</sub> | 3.82 (2.08) | 3.47 (1.99) | 3.64 (2.03) | 3.15 (1.91) | 3.42 (1.98) | 3.28 (1.94) |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>7</sub> | 4.24 (2.18) | 4.13 (2.15) | 4.19 (2.16) | 3.75 (2.06) | 4.08 (2.14) | 3.91 (2.10) |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>8</sub> | 4.21 (2.17) | 3.90 (2.10) | 4.05 (2.13) | 3.48 (2.00) | 3.76 (2.06) | 3.62 (2.03) |
| N<sub>N</sub>K<sub>9</sub> | 4.10 (2.14) | 3.60 (2.02) | 3.85 (2.08) | 3.24 (1.93) | 3.47 (1.99) | 3.36 (1.96) |
| S.Em.± | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.006 |
| C.D. at 5% | NS | 0.022 | 0.014 | NS | 0.021 | 0.017 |

(continued)
### Contd.

| Treatments | Shoot weevil (per 10 plants) | 70 DAS | 90 DAS |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.89 (2.09) | 3.96 (2.11) | 3.92 (2.10) | 3.64 (2.03) | 3.95 (2.11) | 3.80 (2.07) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 3.84 (2.08) | 3.75 (2.06) | 3.79 (2.07) | 3.43 (1.98) | 3.70 (2.05) | 3.57 (2.02) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 3.77 (2.07) | 3.51 (2.03) | 3.64 (1.92) | 3.18 (1.97) | 3.45 (1.94) | 3.28 (1.94) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 3.98 (2.11) | 4.02 (2.13) | 4.00 (2.12) | 3.68 (2.04) | 3.97 (2.11) | 3.83 (2.08) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 3.91 (2.10) | 3.81 (2.08) | 3.86 (2.09) | 3.41 (1.98) | 3.75 (2.06) | 3.58 (2.02) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 3.78 (2.07) | 3.54 (2.01) | 3.66 (2.04) | 3.20 (1.92) | 3.52 (2.00) | 3.36 (1.96) |

S.Em.± 0.006 0.006 0.004 0.008 0.006 0.005

C.D. at 5% NS NS NS NS NS NS

| Treatments | Shoot weevil (per 10 plants) | 70 DAS | 90 DAS |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 3.44 (1.98) | 3.80 (2.07) | 3.62 (2.03) | 3.53 (2.01) | 3.86 (2.09) | 3.70 (2.05) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 3.42 (1.98) | 3.66 (2.04) | 3.54 (2.01) | 3.39 (1.97) | 3.71 (2.05) | 3.55 (2.01) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>3</sub> | 3.37 (1.97) | 3.52 (2.00) | 3.44 (1.99) | 3.14 (1.91) | 3.45 (1.99) | 3.30 (1.95) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>4</sub> | 3.58 (2.02) | 3.90 (2.10) | 3.74 (2.06) | 3.61 (2.03) | 3.88 (2.09) | 3.74 (2.06) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>5</sub> | 3.50 (2.00) | 3.68 (2.04) | 3.59 (2.02) | 3.34 (1.96) | 3.69 (2.05) | 3.52 (2.00) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>6</sub> | 3.46 (1.99) | 3.49 (2.00) | 3.47 (1.99) | 3.22 (1.93) | 3.48 (1.99) | 3.35 (1.96) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>7</sub> | 4.00 (2.12) | 3.96 (2.11) | 3.98 (2.12) | 3.64 (2.04) | 3.93 (2.10) | 3.79 (2.07) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>8</sub> | 3.90 (2.10) | 3.76 (2.06) | 3.83 (2.08) | 3.39 (1.97) | 3.68 (2.04) | 3.53 (2.01) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>9</sub> | 3.84 (2.08) | 3.44 (1.98) | 3.64 (2.03) | 3.19 (1.92) | 3.35 (1.96) | 3.27 (1.94) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>10</sub> | 4.08 (2.14) | 4.01 (2.12) | 4.05 (2.13) | 3.67 (2.04) | 3.97 (2.11) | 3.82 (2.08) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>11</sub> | 4.01 (2.12) | 3.80 (2.07) | 3.91 (2.10) | 3.43 (1.98) | 3.76 (2.06) | 3.60 (2.02) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>12</sub> | 3.80 (2.07) | 3.50 (2.00) | 3.65 (2.04) | 3.11 (1.90) | 3.49 (2.00) | 3.30 (1.95) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>13</sub> | 4.23 (2.17) | 4.11 (2.15) | 4.17 (2.16) | 3.73 (2.06) | 4.08 (2.14) | 3.91 (2.10) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>14</sub> | 4.19 (2.17) | 3.83 (2.08) | 4.01 (2.12) | 3.51 (2.05) | 3.71 (2.03) | 3.61 (2.03) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>15</sub> | 4.11 (2.15) | 3.57 (2.02) | 3.84 (2.08) | 3.21 (1.93) | 3.36 (1.96) | 3.28 (1.94) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>16</sub> | 4.26 (2.18) | 4.15 (2.16) | 4.21 (2.17) | 3.76 (2.06) | 4.08 (2.14) | 3.92 (2.10) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>17</sub> | 4.23 (2.17) | 3.96 (2.11) | 4.10 (2.14) | 3.46 (1.99) | 3.80 (2.07) | 3.63 (2.03) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>18</sub> | 4.08 (2.14) | 3.62 (2.03) | 3.85 (2.08) | 3.27 (1.94) | 3.58 (2.02) | 3.43 (1.98) |

S.Em.± 0.010 0.011 0.007 0.014 0.010 0.008

C.D. at 5% NS NS NS NS NS NS

| Treatments | Shoot weevil (per 10 plants) | 70 DAS | 90 DAS |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|
|            | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | Pooled |
| Control | 5.11 (2.37) | 4.97 (2.34) | 5.04 (2.35) | 4.88 (2.32) | 4.50 (2.23) | 4.69 (2.28) |

S.Em.± 0.010 0.011 0.007 0.013 0.014 0.010

C.D. at 5% 0.028 0.031 0.020 0.038 0.040 0.027
Table 5: Mirid bug and midge population (per 10 squares) in Bt cotton as influenced by different levels of NPK in Alfisol

| Treatments | Mirid bug (per 10 squares) 2013-14 | Midge (per 10 squares) 2013-14 |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|            | 90 DAS                            | 110 DAS                       | 110 DAS                       |
| N<sub>1</sub> | 2.34 (1.69)                        | 3.70 (2.05)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>2</sub> | 2.36 (1.69)                        | 3.67 (2.04)                   | 1.86 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>3</sub> | 2.40 (1.70)                        | 3.74 (2.06)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| S.Em.±     | 0.004                              | 0.005                         | 0.003                         |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.010                              | NS                            | NS                            |
| P<sub>1</sub> | 2.38 (1.70)                        | 3.70 (2.05)                   | 1.8 (1.53)                    |
| P<sub>2</sub> | 2.36 (1.69)                        | 3.71 (2.05)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| S.Em.±     | 0.003                              | 0.004                         | 0.002                         |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.010                              | NS                            | NS                            |
| K<sub>1</sub> | 2.40 (1.70)                        | 3.76 (2.06)                   | 1.86 (1.54)                   |
| K<sub>2</sub> | 2.36 (1.69)                        | 3.69 (2.05)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| K<sub>3</sub> | 2.34 (1.68)                        | 3.67 (2.04)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| S.Em.±     | 0.004                              | 0.005                         | 0.003                         |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.010                              | 0.014                         | NS                            |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 2.35 (1.69)                        | 3.67 (2.04)                   | 1.83 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 2.33 (1.68)                        | 3.73 (2.06)                   | 1.86 (1.54)                   |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub> | 2.38 (1.70)                        | 3.68 (2.05)                   | 1.86 (1.54)                   |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> | 2.34 (1.69)                        | 3.66 (2.04)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>3</sub> | 2.40 (1.70)                        | 3.75 (2.06)                   | 1.86 (1.54)                   |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>3</sub> | 2.40 (1.70)                        | 3.73 (2.06)                   | 1.84 (1.53)                   |
| S.Em.±     | 0.005                              | 0.007                         | 0.004                         |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.010                              | NS                            | NS                            |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.35 (1.69)                        | 3.72 (2.05)                   | 1.84 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.34 (1.68)                        | 3.68 (2.05)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.34 (1.68)                        | 3.70 (2.05)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.41 (1.70)                        | 3.77 (2.07)                   | 1.87 (1.54)                   |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.36 (1.69)                        | 3.64 (2.04)                   | 1.86 (1.54)                   |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.32 (1.68)                        | 3.61 (2.03)                   | 1.84 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.44 (1.72)                        | 3.79 (2.07)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.40 (1.70)                        | 3.73 (2.06)                   | 1.85 (1.53)                   |
| N<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.36 (1.69)                        | 3.70 (2.05)                   | 1.86 (1.54)                   |
| S.Em.±     | 0.006                              | 0.009                         | 0.004                         |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.010                              | NS                            | NS                            |
## Contd.

| Treatments | Mirid bug (per 10 squares) | Midge (per 10 squares) |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|            | 90 DAS                      | 110 DAS                |
|            | 110 DAS                     |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.41 (1.71)                 | 3.77 (2.07)            | 1.85 (1.53) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.38 (1.70)                 | 3.69 (2.05)            | 1.85 (1.53) |
| P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.34 (1.69)                 | 3.65 (2.04)            | 1.85 (1.53) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.39 (1.70)                 | 3.75 (2.06)            | 1.86 (1.54) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.35 (1.69)                 | 3.69 (2.05)            | 1.85 (1.53) |
| P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.33 (1.68)                 | 3.69 (2.05)            | 1.84 (1.53) |
| S.Em.±     | 0.005 (0.007)               | 0.004                  |
| C.D. at 5% | NS                          | NS                     | NS         |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.36 (1.69)                 | 3.71 (2.05)            | 1.83 (1.53) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.35 (1.69)                 | 3.65 (2.04)            | 1.84 (1.53) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.34 (1.69)                 | 3.64 (2.04)            | 1.83 (1.53) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.35 (1.69)                 | 3.73 (2.06)            | 1.86 (1.54) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.32 (1.68)                 | 3.72 (2.05)            | 1.85 (1.53) |
| N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.33 (1.68)                 | 3.75 (2.06)            | 1.87 (1.54) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.41 (1.71)                 | 3.80 (2.07)            | 1.87 (1.54) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.41 (1.70)                 | 3.65 (2.04)            | 1.85 (1.53) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.34 (1.68)                 | 3.60 (2.02)            | 1.86 (1.54) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.40 (1.70)                 | 3.74 (2.06)            | 1.88 (1.54) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.32 (1.68)                 | 3.63 (2.03)            | 1.86 (1.54) |
| N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.30 (1.67)                 | 3.62 (2.03)            | 1.82 (1.52) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.46 (1.72)                 | 3.80 (2.07)            | 1.86 (1.54) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.39 (1.70)                 | 3.76 (2.06)            | 1.85 (1.53) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.35 (1.69)                 | 3.70 (2.05)            | 1.88 (1.54) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub> | 2.43 (1.71)                 | 3.78 (2.07)            | 1.85 (1.53) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub> | 2.40 (1.70)                 | 3.71 (2.05)            | 1.84 (1.53) |
| N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub> | 2.37 (1.69)                 | 3.70 (2.05)            | 1.84 (1.53) |
| S.Em.±     | 0.009 (0.012)               | 0.006                  |
| C.D. at 5% | NS                          | NS                     | NS         |
| Control    | 2.71 (1.79)                 | 5.12 (2.37)            | 1.99 (1.58) |
| S.Em.±     | 0.010 (0.022)               | 0.006                  |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.028 (0.062)               | 0.018                  |

Note: FYM – 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>  
N<sub>0</sub> – 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
N<sub>1</sub> – 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
N<sub>2</sub> – 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
P<sub>0</sub> – 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
P<sub>1</sub> – 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
P<sub>2</sub> – 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
K<sub>0</sub> – 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
K<sub>1</sub> – 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
K<sub>2</sub> – 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
NS – Non significant  
DAS – Days after sowing  
Figures in the parentheses indicate √x + 0.5 transformed values.
Significantly higher mirid bug population of 2.40 per 10 squares were recorded in the treatment N$_3$ (150 kg N ha$^{-1}$) at 90 DAS. The treatment receiving K @ 100 kg ha$^{-1}$ (K$_3$) recorded lowest mirid bug population (2.34 and 3.67 per 10 squares at 90 and 110 DAS, respectively) compared to other two levels. Different levels of NPK fertilizers neither alone nor in combination did not affect the midge population.
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