Phenotypic spectrum of polycystic ovary syndrome and their relationship to the circadian biomarkers, melatonin and cortisol
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Summary

Objective: Firstly, to investigate whether polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) shows a continuum of severity with increasing number of phenotypic features comprising the Rotterdam criteria for PCOS and secondly, to explore relationships of these phenotypes to the circadian biomarkers, cortisol and melatonin.

Background: Studies characterizing the spectrum of PCOS subphenotypes give little emphasis to the distinction among women who manifest zero, one or two of the three phenotypic features comprising the Rotterdam criteria. The relationship of circadian biomarkers to PCOS phenotypes is unclear.

Design: Cross-sectional study of 321 participants from 2011 to 2016 conducted at the National University Hospital (NUH), Singapore.

Participants: Participants included women who attended a health screen for NUH staff, volunteers from the university community, and women referred for possible PCOS from gynaecological clinics at NUH and KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital (Singapore).

Methods: All participants underwent a physical examination, ovarian ultrasound scan and follicular-phase blood testing, and completed a health and lifestyle questionnaire.

Results: A significant positive linear trend in all clinical and biochemical characteristics of PCOS with increasing number of phenotypic features comprising the Rotterdam criteria. We observed a similar trend in serum cortisol and melatonin, two biomarkers of the circadian rhythm.

Conclusion: PCOS may not be an "all-or-none" condition, but rather a continuous spectrum. The positive relationship between number of PCOS criteria with melatonin and cortisol merits further investigation on the role of circadian biorhythms in the pathogenesis of PCOS.
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INTRODUCTION

Studies to elucidate the aetiology of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) are complicated by variations in its definition. The strictest diagnostic criteria, proposed by an expert panel from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) of the US National Institutes of Health, require the presence of both hyperandrogenism (clinical and/or biochemical) and oligo/anovulation. In 2003, a consensus meeting in Rotterdam also included another phenotypic feature: polycystic ovarian morphology, as measured by transvaginal ultrasound. The Rotterdam 2003 criteria for PCOS require the presence of two out of three of the following phenotypic features: (a) oligo/anovulation, (b) hyperandrogenism and (c) polycystic ovarian morphology. In 2009, the Androgen Excess and PCOS (AE-PCOS) Society considered that hyperandrogenism, with its strong associations with both reproductive and metabolic abnormalities, is a key to the pathophysiology of PCOS. Hence, the AE-PCOS criteria require the presence of hyperandrogenism for the diagnosis of PCOS, in addition to the evidence of ovarian dysfunction in the form of either oligo/anovulation or polycystic ovarian morphology on ultrasonography. However, in 2013, a guideline published based on expert consensus recommended the continued use of the Rotterdam criteria, which remain the most widely used.

The Rotterdam criteria create four PCOS subphenotypes: oligomenorrhoea with hyperandrogenism (OA-HA), oligomenorrhoea with polycystic ovarian morphology (OA-PCOM), hyperandrogenism with polycystic ovarian morphology (HA-PCOM) and all three features (OA-HA-PCOM). Hence, the introduction of the Rotterdam criteria for the diagnosis of PCOS in 2003 sparked a flurry of studies characterizing this spectrum of subphenotypes, focused mostly on comparing their risks of cardiometabolic diseases and obstetric and neonatal complications. Notably, little emphasis has been given to the distinction among women who manifest zero, one or two of the three phenotypic features comprising the Rotterdam criteria (PCOS features). In particular, it is unclear whether women with a single feature are more similar to those with none than to those with two features.

The aetiology of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) has challenged reproductive scientists and clinicians since the syndrome was first described by Stein and Leventhal more than 80 years ago. Cortisol and melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) are two well-recognized biomarkers of the circadian rhythm which are crucial for regulating sleep-wake cycles. In humans, these hormones are secreted in a distinct diurnal pattern where cortisol peaks in the early morning and melatonin is highest in the early night. Few studies have been carried out to explore the potential roles of melatonin and cortisol in the pathogenesis of PCOS, and results of those studies have been inconsistent, with some reports of increased night-time melatonin levels, one study reporting higher mean values over 24 hours and another which reported higher morning but not night melatonin levels. One study found increased morning and night cortisol secretion in women with PCOS, yet another study reported no significant differences.

We hypothesized that PCOS would show a continuum of severity according to the number of phenotypic features. Therefore, our primary aim was to evaluate the relationship between the androgenic, ovarian, pituitary and metabolic characteristics typically associated with PCOS with increasing number of PCOS features. Additionally, we were also interested in exploring the associations between circadian biomarkers and the phenotypic spectrum of PCOS.

METHODS

2.1 Study design, setting and population

This is a cross-sectional study carried out at the National University Hospital (NUH), Singapore, from 2011 to 2016. We recruited women 21 to 45 years of age, including NUH staff who underwent an annual corporate health screen, volunteers from the university community, and cases referred from gynaecological clinics at NUH and KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital (KKH), Singapore, as possible cases of PCOS. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, breastfeeding, usage of lipid-lowering or diabetic medications and/or other medications known to affect reproductive function within 60 days of study entry, premature ovarian failure (previously diagnosed or with either of the following: FSH >25.8 IUL, oestrogen <70 pmol/L and/or AMH <0.6 pmol/L), hyperprolactinaemia (previously diagnosed or with prolactin >1000 mU/L), congenital adrenal hyperplasia, adrenal tumours, androgen-secreting tumours, thyroid disease, established disease, severe cardiovascular disease, or history of hysterectomy and/or oophorectomy.

A total of 395 women including staff from the health screen or volunteers from the university community (N = 208) and women referred for possible PCOS from NUH (N = 87) or KKH (N = 100) clinics completed a health and lifestyle questionnaire, underwent anthropometric evaluation, transvaginal ultrasonography of the ovaries, and blood sampling for androgenic and circadian biomarkers and other biochemical variables, between menstrual cycle days 2 to 5 at 7:30 to 8:30 am after an overnight fast. Women with eight or fewer menstrual cycles per year were assigned an arbitrary date for examination. After applying the exclusion criteria, the final study population consisted of 321 women, of which 163 women (51%) were recruited from the health screen and the university community and 158 (49%) were referred from the NUH or KKH gynaecology clinics Figure 1.

Participants were classified as having either zero, one, two or all three of the following phenotypic features of PCOS: hyperandrogenism, oligo/amenorrhoea or polycystic ovarian morphology. Hyperandrogenism was defined as having either one of the following: modified Ferriman-Gallwey score (mFG) ≥5, androstenedione (ADT) ≥13.7 nmol/L, dehydroepiandrosterone-sulphate (DHEAS) ≥8.30 mol/L, testosterone ≥1.89 nmol/L. Oligo/amenorrhoea was defined as having an average menstrual cycle length ≥35 days. Polycystic ovarian morphology was defined as having 22 or more follicles in either ovary, and/or increased ovarian volume (≥8.44 mL) in either ovary. Testosterone and ovarian thresholds
for PCOS were obtained using sensitivity/specificity analyses and receiver operating characteristic curves that best predicted oligomenorrhea/anovulation in a healthy non-PCOS population. ADT and DHEAS were NUH laboratory thresholds for women.

2.2 | Menstrual cycle length

Menstrual cycle length was classified into three categories for analysis: <25 days (short), 25-34 days (normal) or ≥35 days (long).

2.3 | Hyperandrogenism

Assessment of hirsutism by modified Ferriman-Gallwey (mFG) scoring and measurement of circulating testosterone, DHEAS, ADT and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) were performed as previously described.

2.4 | Ovarian morphology

Morphometric analysis of the ovaries was performed by transvaginal ultrasonography as described previously. Women with at least one ovarian cyst or follicle exceeding a diameter of 10 mm, even after repeated evaluation in their following cycle, were excluded to eliminate the possibility of a spuriously large ovarian volume and endocrine effects from a developing dominant follicle. Derivation of antral follicle counts and ovarian volume were as described previously.

2.5 | Anthropometric and metabolic variables

Physical measurements included height, weight, waist circumference and blood pressure. Glucose, insulin and lipids (cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein [HDL] and low-density lipoprotein [LDL]) were evaluated.
lipoprotein (LDL) were measured as described previously.\textsuperscript{21,22} Body mass index (BMI), waist to hip ratio (WHR), the homeostasis model assessment-estimated insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and presence of metabolic syndrome were determined from those measurements as described previously.\textsuperscript{22}

### 2.6 Other biochemical analysis

Prolactin, oestradiol, AMH, LH and FSH were measured using immunoassays, as described previously.\textsuperscript{21,22} In addition, we investigated whether the number of PCOS phenotypic features was associated with serum cortisol and melatonin, two well-recognized biomarkers of the circadian rhythm crucial for regulating sleep-wake cycles. Serum melatonin and cortisol were measured by ELISA (IBL, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Apart from AMH which was assayed weekly, and melatonin and cortisol which were batch-analysed at the end of the study, all other biochemical analyses were performed within the same day of blood sampling. Biochemical analyses and assay performance characteristics are summarized in Table S1.

### 2.7 Health and lifestyle questionnaire

Study women were required to report on lifestyle factors that potentially influence circadian rhythms and reproductive health. Details of the questionnaire were as described previously.\textsuperscript{22} Briefly, lifestyle factors were dichotomized for shift work (yes/no), sleep length (<6 hours/≥6 hours), smoking (yes/no), exercise (regularly vs not), alcohol consumption (never or occasionally/once a week or more) and coffee consumption (<1 cup per day/≥1 cup per day). Stress was recorded on a scale of increasing magnitude ranging from 1 to 10. Additionally, participants were asked to state any previous medical history of depression, anxiety, stress or sleep disorders.

### 2.8 Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA with linear contrast and the Cochran-Armitage chi-squared test were used to analyse trends in continuous and categorical variables, respectively, among women with increasing number of PCOS features. Multivariable linear regression was used to adjust for confounding factors that could confound associations between biomarkers and other PCOS phenotypic characteristics and number of PCOS features. They included age, race, education, BMI, medical history of depression, anxiety, stress and sleep disorders, self-perceived stress levels, and lifestyle factors including shift work, sleep length, exercise frequency, smoking, frequency of alcohol consumption and frequency of coffee consumption. The Cochran-Armitage chi-squared test was carried out in R, while all other statistical analyses used SPSS Version 20.

### 3 RESULTS

As shown in Figure 1, 122 (38%) of the 321 total participants had no phenotypic features of PCOS, 90 (28%) had one of the three features, 68 (21%) had two of the three features and 41 (13%) had all three features. Among women from the health screen and university community, 77 (47%) had no PCOS features, 51 (31%) had one, 24 (15%) had two and 11 (7%) had all three. Among women referred from the clinics, 45 (28%) had no PCOS features, 39 (25%) had one, 44 (28%) had two and 30 (19%) had all three. Of the 90 total participants who had only one of the three PCOS features, 29% had HA only, 23% had OA only and 47% had PCOM only. Of the 68 women who had two out of the three phenotypic features, 9% had HA-OA, 53% had HA-PCOM and 38% had OA-PCOM.

Basic characteristics, lifestyle factors, and relevant medical history of the study women are shown in Table 1. Overall, in the total study sample of 321 women, the majority were Chinese (71%), had at least university education (56%), held executive or professional jobs (55%), did not do shift work (81%), had at least 6 hours of sleep (79%), did not exercise regularly (79%), did not smoke (92%) and consumed neither alcohol (97%) nor (71%) coffee frequently. Less than 10% of the total sample reported a history of depression, anxiety, stress and/or sleep disorders. A highly significant negative association was observed between age and number of PCOS phenotypic features ($P < 0.001$), women with all three features were 5.6 years younger than those with none (28.2 ± 3.8 vs 33.8 ± 5.1 years, respectively).

Table 2 compares androgenic, ovarian, metabolic and pituitary variables among women having none, one, two or three phenotypic features comprising the Rotterdam criteria. Remarkably, all variables that characterize PCOS, including androgenic hormones (mFG score, testosterone, ADT, DHEAS), ovarian morphometry (follicle counts, ovarian volume) and long menstrual cycles, were positively associated with the number of PCOS features. In contrast, no significant associations were observed between lifestyle factors or medical history variables and number of PCOS features. Other variables associated with PCOS, including AMH, LH, BMI, insulin and HOMA-IR, also showed a highly significant linear trend with an increasing number of PCOS features.

We observed a highly significant positive linear trend in both melatonin ($P < 0.001$) and cortisol levels ($P = 0.003$) with an increasing number of PCOS features. Similar results were obtained when we restricted the analysis to women from the health screen and university community (ie, excluding those recruited from the gynaecology clinics), although the differences did not achieve statistical significance, likely owing to the reduced sample size Table 3.

No significant associations between other cardiometabolic outcomes (blood pressure, serum lipid levels or frequency of metabolic syndrome) and the number of PCOS features were observed.
| Number of PCOS features | Total (N = 321) | 0 out 3 (N = 122) | 1 out of 3 (N = 90) | 2 out of 3 (N = 68) | 3 out of 3 (N = 41) | P-trend |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| **Basic characteristics** |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| Age                     | 31.6 ± 5.0     | 33.8 ± 5.1        | 31.3 ± 4.7        | 30.0 ± 4.0        | 28.2 ± 3.8        | <0.001  |
| Race                    |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| Chinese                 | 228 (71)       | 91 (75)           | 65 (72)           | 48 (71)           | 24 (59)           | 0.104   |
| Non-Chinese             | 93 (29)        | 31 (25)           | 25 (28)           | 20 (29)           | 17 (42)           |         |
| Education               |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| Below university        | 180 (56)       | 60 (49)           | 38 (42)           | 23 (34)           | 20 (49)           | 0.354   |
| University              | 141 (44)       | 62 (51)           | 52 (58)           | 45 (66)           | 21 (51)           |         |
| Occupation              |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| Unemployed              | 26 (8)         | 9 (8)             | 9 (10)            | 2 (3)             | 6 (15)            | 0.999\^b|
| Exec/Professional       | 176 (55)       | 67 (55)           | 49 (54)           | 39 (57)           | 21 (51)           |         |
| Non-Exec/Professional   | 119 (37)       | 46 (38)           | 32 (36)           | 27 (40)           | 14 (34)           |         |
| Shift work              |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| No                      | 260 (81)       | 102 (84)          | 68 (76)           | 57 (84)           | 33 (81)           | 0.832   |
| Yes                     | 61 (19)        | 20 (16)           | 22 (24)           | 11 (16)           | 8 (19)            |         |
| Sleep length            |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| ≥ 6 h                   | 253 (79)       | 93 (76)           | 78 (87)           | 53 (78)           | 29 (71)           | 0.621   |
| <6 h                    | 68 (21)        | 29 (24)           | 12 (13)           | 15 (22)           | 12 (29)           |         |
| Exercise frequency      |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| Regular                 | 69 (21)        | 22 (18)           | 26 (29)           | 12 (18)           | 9 (22)            | 0.811   |
| Non-regular             | 252 (79)       | 100 (82)          | 64 (71)           | 56 (82)           | 32 (78)           |         |
| Smoker                  |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| No                      | 297 (92)       | 114 (93)          | 84 (93)           | 62 (91)           | 37 (90)           | 0.461   |
| Yes                     | 24 (8)         | 8 (7)             | 6 (7)             | 6 (9)             | 4 (10)            |         |
| Alcohol frequency       |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| Never/occasionally      | 313 (98)       | 119 (98)          | 88 (98)           | 65 (96)           | 40 (98)           | 0.715   |
| Once a week or more     | 9 (3)          | 3 (3)             | 2 (2)             | 3 (4)             | 1 (2)             |         |
| Coffee frequency        |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| <1 cup per day          | 228 (71)       | 87 (71)           | 63 (70)           | 51 (75)           | 27 (66)           | 0.836   |
| ≥ 1 cup per day         | 93 (29)        | 35 (28)           | 27 (30)           | 17 (25)           | 14 (34)           |         |
| Self-perceived stress   | 5.3 ± 1.9      | 5.2 ± 1.8         | 5.2 ± 1.8         | 5.5 ± 1.9         | 5.2 ± 2.0         | 0.624   |
| Medical history         |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| Depression              |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| No                      | 313 (98)       | 120 (98)          | 88 (98)           | 65 (96)           | 40 (98)           | 0.464   |
| Yes                     | 8 (3)          | 2 (2)             | 2 (2)             | 3 (4)             | 1 (2)             |         |
| Anxiety                 |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| No                      | 312 (98)       | 120 (98)          | 88 (98)           | 66 (97)           | 38 (93)           | 0.448   |
| Yes                     | 9 (3)          | 2 (2)             | 2 (2)             | 2 (3)             | 3 (7)             |         |
| Stress                  |                |                   |                   |                   |                   |         |
| No                      | 295 (92)       | 113 (93)          | 82 (91)           | 62 (91)           | 38 (93)           | 0.938   |
| Yes                     | 26 (8)         | 9 (7)             | 8 (9)             | 6 (9)             | 3 (7)             |         |

(Continues)
TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Sleep disorders | 0 out 3 Total (N = 321) | 1 out of 3 (N = 90) | 2 out of 3 (N = 68) | 3 out of 3 (N = 41) |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| No              | 308 (96)               | 116 (95)           | 88 (98)            | 66 (97)            |
| Yes             | 13 (4)                 | 6 (5)              | 2 (2)              | 2 (3)              |

Data are presented as n (%) or mean ± SD. Frequencies may not add up due to rounding off.

4 | DISCUSSION

We observed a highly significant linear trend between the number of phenotypic features comprising the Rotterdam criteria and every clinical and biochemical manifestation of PCOS we examined, including androgenic characteristics (mFG score, testosterone, ADT, DHEAS, free androgen index), ovarian measures (antral follicle count, ovarian volume) and oligomenorrhea. AMH and LH, which are not features included in the Rotterdam criteria but play a role in the pathophysiology of PCOS, also showed a strong positive relationship with the number of PCOS features. Obesity and insulin resistance (as measured by BMI and HOMA-IR, respectively), which are often observed in women with PCOS, also showed a positive linear trend between the increasing number of PCOS features. Age exhibited the opposite linear trend, consistent with previous reports that the reproductive features of PCOS improve with age. Acne score was not associated with number of PCOS features, suggesting that at least in the Asian ethnic context of Singapore, acne may be more reflective of non-endocrine (perhaps genetic) factors than of hyperandrogenism.

The phenotypic severity of PCOS remains a subject of much debate. PCOS is a reproductive disorder associated with increased metabolic risk, and infertility is therefore a logical candidate for assessing severity. Studies on fertility outcomes across the PCOS phenotypic spectrum are scarce, although a handful of studies have reported on pregnancy and neonatal complications across the four Rotterdam phenotypes. Current classification of PCOS severity is more often based on long-term metabolic risks. The strong association we observed between the number of PCOS features and severity of PCOS (as measured by androgenic, ovarian and menstrual characteristics, as well as BMI, insulin and HOMA-IR) suggests that this continuous measure may better represent the phenotypic spectrum of PCOS than the traditional dichotomous classification.

Additionally, our study is the first to show a strong positive relationship between number of PCOS features and two biomarkers of circadian rhythm, melatonin and cortisol. In particular, the most severe group (manifesting all three PCOS features) had significantly higher mean melatonin and cortisol levels compared with women with none of the features, even after adjustment for potential confounders. In humans, these hormones are secreted in a distinct diurnal pattern: cortisol peaks in the early morning and melatonin peaks in the early night. Few studies have been carried out to explore the potential roles of melatonin and cortisol in the pathogenesis of PCOS, and results of those studies have been inconsistent. Since morning melatonin levels are expected to be low at daylight, our finding of high melatonin levels in the morning suggests a smaller night-day difference, possibly due to perturbed circadian rhythms in women with PCOS. Unlike melatonin, which is principally regulated by photic stimuli and light-dark cycles, glucocorticoid secretion is low in the late afternoon and evening but rises markedly when the subject awakens and becomes active. Cortisol secretion rises steadily through the second half of the night, but exhibits a further marked increase during the first hour after waking from sleep. This response can be heightened among individuals experiencing job stress, overload, and low self-esteem. In this respect, elevated cortisol in our PCOS subjects may be a sign of stress-induced hyperactivity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which may accentuate adrenal androgen excess and thereby lead to the more severe PCOS phenotypes we observed with higher cortisol levels. Taken together, the high morning melatonin and cortisol levels we observed in PCOS may be a sign of disrupted circadian rhythms and stress. Further studies involving multiple daily blood sampling would help to confirm the possible circadian rhythm disruption in PCOS women.

An important strength of our study is that all participants underwent standardized tests and were properly assessed for the widely accepted Rotterdam criteria. One limitation of our study, however, was an insufficient sample size to identify which of the PCOS features—HA, OA or PCOM—made a larger contribution to the reproductive features and metabolic outcomes we studied. Larger studies across different societies and cultures, and studies on the extent to which fertility outcomes are associated with the number of PCOS features would shed additional light on the PCOS spectrum.
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TABLE 2  Clinical and biochemical manifestations of PCOS by number of phenotypic features comprising the Rotterdam criteria

| Androgenic characteristics | Total (N = 321) | 0 out 3 (N = 122) | 1 out of 3 (N = 90) | 2 out of 3 (N = 68) | 3 out of 3 (N = 41) | P-trend |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Acne score                | 1.8 ± 3.7      | 1.5 ± 3.1         | 2.2 ± 5.1          | 1.8 ± 2.6          | 2.0 ± 3.0          | 0.626   |
| mFG score                 | 1.6 ± 2.4      | 1.0 ± 1.2         | 1.4 ± 2.2          | 2.3 ± 2.8          | 2.7 ± 3.6          | <0.001  |
| Testosterone (nmol/L)     | 1.37 ± 0.72    | 1.02 ± 0.38       | 1.19 ± 1.65        | 1.65 ± 0.81        | 2.36 ± 0.57        | <0.001  |
| Androstenedione (nmol/L)  | 8.29 ± 6.36    | 6.72 ± 3.04       | 7.50 ± 3.94        | 8.80 ± 3.88        | 13.96 ± 2.18       | <0.001  |
| DHEAS (µmol/L)            | 5.42 ± 2.17    | 4.70 ± 1.52       | 5.35 ± 2.18        | 6.07 ± 2.46        | 6.63 ± 2.51        | <0.001  |
| SHBG (nmol/L)             | 55.6 ± 31.1    | 62.4 ± 31.9       | 54.7 ± 26.2        | 53.5 ± 35.9        | 40.6 ± 24.5        | <0.001  |
| Free Androgen Index       | 3.67 ± 3.88    | 2.20 ± 1.96       | 2.76 ± 2.38        | 2.88 ± 4.67        | 8.45 ± 5.32        | <0.001  |

Ovarian characteristics

| Antral follicle count | 18.5 ± 12.2 | 10.2 ± 4.9 | 17.6 ± 8.2 | 25.3 ± 10.3 | 34.0 ± 16.0 | <0.001 |
| Ovarian volume (mL) | 5.76 ± 2.79  | 4.02 ± 1.18 | 5.54 ± 1.84 | 7.14 ± 2.77 | 9.12 ± 3.66 | <0.001 |
| Anti-Müllerian hormone (pmol/L) | 48.0 ± 36.5 | 22.4 ± 15.6 | 43.4 ± 26.6 | 71.4 ± 33.0 | 94.9 ± 35.6 | <0.001 |

Menstrual cycle length

| <25 days | 15 (5) | 8 (7) | 4 (4) | 3 (4) | 0 (0) | <0.001b |
| 25 to 34 days | 212 (66) | 114 (93) | 65 (72) | 33 (49) | 0 (0) |<0.001 |
| ≥35 days | 94 (29) | 0 (0) | 21 (23) | 32 (47) | 41 (100) |

Metabolic characteristics

| BMI | 23.4 ± 5.0 | 22.8 ± 3.7 | 23.2 ± 4.5 | 23.3 ± 5.1 | 25.7 ± 7.7 | 0.001 |
| WHR | 0.79 ± 0.06 | 0.79 ± 0.06 | 0.78 ± 0.06 | 0.79 ± 0.07 | 0.79 ± 0.07 | 0.750 |
| Insulin (µu/L) | 8.33 ± 7.93 | 7.62 ± 5.60 | 6.46 ± 3.70 | 8.79 ± 6.75 | 13.9 ± 16.3 | <0.001 |
| Glucose (mmol/L) | 4.77 ± 0.63 | 4.74 ± 0.45 | 4.66 ± 0.43 | 4.92 ± 1.03 | 4.81 ± 0.54 | 0.199 |
| HOMA-IR | 1.82 ± 1.96 | 1.63 ± 1.34 | 1.36 ± 0.84 | 1.97 ± 1.63 | 3.15 ± 4.15 | <0.001 |
| Systolic BP (mm/Hg) | 100 ± 25 | 101 ± 24 | 102 ± 24 | 94 ± 27 | 101 ± 25 | 0.422 |
| Diastolic BP (mm/Hg) | 60 ± 16 | 61 ± 16 | 62 ± 15 | 58 ± 17 | 59 ± 16 | 0.331 |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.00 ± 0.73 | 0.93 ± 0.46 | 1.03 ± 1.09 | 1.02 ± 0.50 | 1.10 ± 0.68 | 0.235 |
| Cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.83 ± 0.81 | 4.77 ± 0.80 | 4.86 ± 0.84 | 4.82 ± 0.78 | 4.99 ± 0.87 | 0.168 |
| HDL (mmol/L) | 1.48 ± 0.36 | 1.48 ± 0.36 | 1.51 ± 0.37 | 1.45 ± 0.33 | 1.47 ± 0.39 | 0.695 |
| LDL (mmol/L) | 2.91 ± 0.76 | 2.85 ± 0.73 | 2.94 ± 0.85 | 2.91 ± 0.72 | 3.02 ± 0.65 | 0.261 |

Metabolic syndrome

| No | 281 (90) | 112 (92) | 82 (93) | 58 (89) | 29 (76) | 0.198 |
| Yes | 32 (10) | 10 (8) | 6 (7) | 7 (11) | 9 (24) |

Pituitary characteristics

| LH (IU/L) | 5.75 ± 4.25 | 4.29 ± 2.00 | 4.42 ± 2.33 | 6.27 ± 3.96 | 11.9 ± 6.50 | <0.001 |
| FSH (IU/L) | 7.49 ± 2.34 | 8.21 ± 2.46 | 7.48 ± 2.59 | 6.70 ± 1.62 | 6.69 ± 1.67 | <0.001 |
| Prolactin (mIU/L) | 269 ± 137 | 262 ± 123 | 276 ± 14.7 | 282 ± 151 | 269 ± 137 | 0.487 |

Data are presented as n (%) or mean ± SD. Frequencies may not add up due to rounding off. BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DHEAS, dehydroepiandrosterone-sulphate; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; mFG, modified Ferriman-Gallwey; LH, luteinizing hormone; SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin; WHR, waist-hip ratio. 

Average of left and right ovaries.

For analysis of trend menstrual cycle length dichotomized to <35 days and ≥35 days.
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TABLE 3  Comparison of melatonin and cortisol levels with increasing number of phenotypic features of the Rotterdam criteria

| Number of PCOS features | N   | Mean ±SD  | Unadjusted mean difference | 95% CI     | Adjusteda mean difference | 95% CI     |
|-------------------------|-----|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Melatonin               |     |           |                           |           |                           |           |
| 0 out of 3              | 122 | 37.5 ±26.1| -                         | -         | -                         | -         |
| 1 out of 3              | 90  | 41.7 ±28.5| 4.11                      | -3.59,11.8| 2.42                      | -5.73,10.6|
| 2 out of 3              | 68  | 44.4 ±26.0| 6.87                      | -1.52,15.3| 3.32                      | -5.65,12.3|
| 3 out of 3              | 41  | 56.0 ±35.9| 18.4                      | 8.43,28.4 | 16.0                      | 4.85,27.2 |
| P-trend: <0.001         |     |           |                           |           |                           |           |
| Cortisol                |     |           |                           |           |                           |           |
| 0 out of 3              | 122 | 103 ±35   | -                         | -         | -                         | -         |
| 1 out of 3              | 90  | 107 ±40   | 3.49                      | -7.21,14.2| 0.784                     | -10.3,11.9|
| 2 out of 3              | 68  | 108 ±44   | 4.86                      | -6.79,16.5| -0.174                    | -12.4,12.0|
| 3 out of 3              | 41  | 125 ±39   | 21.2                      | 7.36,35.1 | 17.5                      | 2.27,32.6 |
| P-trend: 0.003          |     |           |                           |           |                           |           |

aAdjusted for age, BMI, education, medical history of depression, anxiety, stress or sleep disorders, shift work, sleep duration, exercise frequency, smoking, alcohol consumption, coffee consumption and self-perceived stress levels.
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