Regional heat capacity changes on oil palm plantation development in 1994-2010 based on Landsat-5 TM satellite data
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Abstract. Regional heat capacity change is calculated from the ratio between the addition or subtraction of heat (ΔQ) with the increase or decrease in temperature (ΔT) region. The purpose of this study is to calculate the regional heat capacity change due to the changes of land cover composition with forest, shrubs, oil palm plantation and bare soil using Landsat-5 TM satellite data on 1994, 2000 and 2010. The total area used in this study is 12971 ha. In 1994-2000, 4 % of forest area and 2% shrubs were increased, followed by addition biomass forest 4.01 tons/ha and 2.83 tons/ha for shrubs. The increased of forest area and biomass (tons/ha) caused by forest and shrubs growth processing towards a climax that added the canopy volume. So that, the regional heat capacity in 1994 amounted 19384 MJ °C⁻¹ increased to 19929 MJ °C⁻¹ in 2000.

Data observation for 2000-2010 showed that forest area decreased by 66% due to forest’s clearing into oil palm plantations (47%), shrubs (8%) and bare soil (11%). However, plant’s biomass continues to increased, i.e. 1.48 ton/ha for the forest, 2.73 tons/ha for shrubs and 4.63 tons/ha for bare soil. Before 2000, there was no land cover by oil palm plantations, so the increasing rate from this land was the biggest than the three other lands, amounting to 122.29 tons/ha. Decreasing in the percentage of forest area does not cause a decrease in the heat capacity of the region. Intensive maintenance on oil palm plantation such as water management, fertilizer, and planting space made it biomass productivity, and ability to save the heat is greater than the forest. As a result, in 2010 regional heat capacity increased to 22508 MJ °C⁻¹.

1. Introduction

The utilization of forests for settlements, mines, and plantations in Indonesia began intensively since 1970. Deforestation during the period 1985-1997 has covered about 20 million ha. Sumatra and Kalimantan are the areas with the largest deforestation of 6.7 million ha and 8.5 million hectares [1]. The forest area has been reduced from 103.33 million hectares to 88.17 million hectares in the period of 2000-2009, in Kalimantan about 4.95 million hectares and Sumatra 3.33 million hectares [2]. In 1984-1998 there were approximately 2.4 million hectares of forest converted to oil palm plantations, mainly in Kalimantan and Sumatra. The area of oil palm plantations increased from 4.16 million hectares in 2000 to 8.25 million hectares in 2009 [3].

Many studies demonstrated land-use changes is one of the causal factors to climate changes [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]. The impact of land-use change on climate can be described in biogeophysical and biogeochemical effects [4]. Land cover changes have been impacted to surface albedo values so that the ability of the earth's surface to absorb, reflect and forward the incoming solar radiation also
changes. Landcover patterns changes influence the altered mass and energy flux [6]. The albedo value is influenced by the type and nature of surface radiation, atmospheric conditions, as well as the physical properties of surfaces such as color, water content and surface roughness [12]. This results in changes in surface energy balance and heat capacity, thus affecting the spatial distribution of microclimate elements, such as surface temperatures.

Multispectral remote sensing data such as Landsat TM can be used to estimate surface temperature changes due to urban area expansion and land cover changes. In [13] showed that urban growth increased the surface temperature by 13.01K and positively correlated with decreasing plant biomass marked by changes in vegetation index. In [14] also estimated the surface temperature of vegetation and urban areas by the vegetation index approach and found that there was a negative correlation between surface temperature and vegetation index of -0.73 for forest and -0.67 for urban. In [15] has also demonstrated that vegetation can weaken the urban heat island effect and describe it as a negative correlation between land surface temperature (LST) and Normal difference vegetation Index (NDVI) of -0.41.

The small vegetation index shows low vegetation and biomass densities. [16], [17], [18] demonstrated a positive correlation between the vegetation index and vegetation density, and [19] used it to estimate the biomass that also correlated positively. Changes in the distribution of vegetation density and biomass in a region will have an impact on land surface temperature distribution [20]. The region is experiencing changes in heat capacity, namely the ability of the region to store energy derived from solar radiation.

The purpose of this study was to calculate the change in heat capacity due to changes in the composition of the cover area as a result of land conversion to oil palm plantations in the period 1994-2010. The approach used is the surface energy balance method and temperature change using Landsat-5 TM remote sensing satellite data. This data is used because Landsat-5 TM provides the longest data series based on satellite observation so it can be used as a resource to monitor the earth on a global scale and see the effect of land-use change on the value of the region's heat capacity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Satellite imagery and interpretation

The study area is 112°24′29″- 112°34′1″E and 2°30′54″- 2°37′37″S which is administratively located in Seruyan district and Kotawaringin Timur, Central Kalimantan. The topography of the study area ranges from 0-150 mdpl, with most of the area is lowland, swamp and slightly hilly area in the North. This study uses Landsat 5 TM Path / Row 119/62 data, the date of acquisition of 1 September 1994, 9 March 2000 and 16 January 2010 [21]. The data management and analysis process uses some software such as Minitab 15, ER Mapper 7.1 and Arc Map 10.0 (No. IPB License: EFL588104064).

The data analysis procedure consists of preparing satellite image data, calculating energy balance component, biomass calculation, and heat capacity calculation area. Preparation of image data processing starts from geometric correction, taking of study area and classification of land cover. Geometric correction is done to reduce the geometry error so that the image projection matches the map [22]. The classification of land cover is done by unclassified classification method, which is the process of grouping the digital number (DN) image into several classes using cluster analysis [23].

Classification of the land cover class consists of forests, shrubs, oil palm plantations, and open land. Using a composite band 542 Landsat 5 TM for vegetation [21]. This composite image can detect and distinguish visual image capture by placing it on three primary colors (red green blue, RGB) in sequence. Band 5 captures mid-infrared waves (1.567-1.784 μm) that are sensitive to reflections of rock and soil moisture. Band 4 captures the near infrared wave (0.776-0.904 μm) that is sensitive to the reflection of the leaf's internal structure so it can be used to determine the biomass content, vegetation type and area with high vegetation reflections. Band 2 captures green waves (0.528-0.609μm) sensitive to fertility and reflection of green vegetation values, sediment concentration estimators and bathymetry mapping [24].
2.2. The surface energy balance components

All Landsat 5 TM satellite image data is DN in 8 bits format which must be converted to spectral radiance (Wm⁻²sr⁻¹) with equations from [21]. The channels used include (i) the visible spectral channel (band 1,2,3) used in the energy balance calculations to obtain shortwave EM radiation and albedo, (ii) Red and NIR channels (bands 3 and 4) to calculate NDVI and (iii) thermal channel (band 6) for surface temperature (Ts, °C).

Albedo (α) is the ratio of reflected solar radiation (Rs out) to the incoming radiation (Rs in) on an object on the surface [25] and has a range of values 0-1. The value α = 0 indicates that the object absorbs all radiation shortwave that comes, while α = 1 indicates the object reflects entirely. Albedo is a function of wavelength, so its value gives physical information that occurs at the wavelength.

The surface temperature is the outermost temperature of an object. The surface temperature was extracted from Landsat-5 TM band 6-thermal (wavelength range are 10.45-12.42 μm). Band 6 can detect natural phenomena related to heat so that it can be used for mapping and thermal geological information [24]. The temperature of the image data extraction result is the brightness temperature that is converted from the spectral radiance value with the assumption that the surface of the earth is black (emissivity = 1) [26], so it is necessary to do the emissivity correction with NDVI approach. According to [27], Ts is a function of Tb (brightness temperature) and emissivity (ε) objects ((vegetation 0.96, open land 0.95) [28]; [29], and wavelength (λ) emission radiation (11.5 μm) with the following equation (1):

\[ Ts = \frac{T_B}{(1 + 2\beta ln \epsilon)} \]  

(1)

An energy balance approach was conducted to obtain a net radiation value (RN, watt.m⁻²). It was the difference between the in and out coming (longwave and shortwave) radiation of the earth’s surface system by the following equation:

\[ RN = Rs_{in} - Rs_{out} - RL_{out} \]  

(2)

\[ RN = G + H + LE \]  

(3)

The value of incoming shortwave radiation from the equation \[ \alpha = \frac{Rs_{out}}{Rs_{in}} \] (eq.4), albedo (α) is calculated by the equation, and the RL out value is derived from the Stefan-Boltzmann equation [21].

The calculated soil heat flux (G, watt.m⁻²), from RN, Ts, α and NDVI, as [30]:

\[ \frac{G}{Rn} = \frac{Ts}{\alpha} \left(0.0038\alpha + 0.0074\alpha^2\right)\left(1 - 0.98NDVI^4\right) \]  

(5)

where,

\[ NDVI = \frac{(NIR - Red)}{(NIR + Red)} \]  

(6)

Furthermore, H is calculated by eq.3 and Bowen ratio \[ \beta = \frac{H}{LE} \] (eq.7), so the equation is obtained

\[ H = \frac{\beta(Rn - G)}{1 + \beta} \] (eq.8); β of tropical forest = 0.47 and β of palm plantations = 0.29 [31]; [32], β of shrubs = 0.93 [33], and β of open forestland = 0.4 [34]. The LE was calculated as the remaining energy of Rn (3). After all the energy balance components are known, the air temperature (Ta, °C) can be calculated by modifying the [35], as:

\[ Ta = Ts - \left(\frac{H_{rad}}{\rho_{air} C_p}\right) \]  

(9)

Humid air density (\(\rho_{air}\)) is 1.27 kg.m⁻³, specific heat of air at constant pressure (C\(_p\)) 1004 J.Kg⁻¹K⁻¹. The aerodynamic resistance (r\(_{adj}\)) is calculated by the formula r\(_{adj}\) = 31.9 u\(^{0.96}\) (u = normal wind speed at altitude 1-2 m, u vegetation = 1.41 m.s⁻¹ and u non-vegetation = 1.79 m.s⁻¹) [36].

2.3. Regional heat capacity

The regional heat capacity is the ability of an area to store the heat received from solar radiation. The common approach of heat capacity is obtained from the product of the specific heat to the mass of the
object, since information on the heat of the type of land cover does not exist, the regional heat capacity in this study is calculated by the ratio of heat changes (ΔQ) to the temperature changes (ΔT),

\[ C = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta T} \]  

(10)

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Land cover changes and Albedo (α)

Forest area increased by 4% from 1994 to 2000; it came from bush and shrubs succession to the forest. Open land in 1994 also has occurred a succession to the bush and shrubs. As a result, in the year 1994-2000, the extent of bush cover increased 2%, and open land area decreased 6%. In 2010 the forest area was reduced by 66%, which changed to oil palm plantations (47%), shrubs (8%), and open land (11%), leaving only 4% of the total area covered. Part of the open land in 2000 was the result of forest and bush conversion that was suspected as preparation for oil palm plantations (Figure 1).

Land cover changes since 1994, 2000 and 2010 led to a change in the solar radiation balance received by the surface. The value of Rs in for all types of land cover tends to be the same, which is 765-766 W.m\(^{-2}\) for 1994, 799-801 W.m\(^{-2}\) for 2000, and 804-806 W.m\(^{-2}\) for 2010. Rs out each type of cover is different, oil palm (52.9 W.m\(^{-2}\)), forests (53-66 Wm\(^{-2}\)), bush and shrubs (62-72 W.m\(^{-2}\)) and open land (70-79 W.m\(^{-2}\)). The Rs out value of each land cover in different years cannot be compared because of the difference in solar radiation reception time corresponding to the date of satellite image acquisition.

![Figure 1. Area percentage of each type of land cover from Landsat-5 TM image interpretation](image)

Radiation parameters on the surface that can be compared to land cover are α values. Oil palm plantation cover is the smallest compared to others, ie 0.07, forest-ie 0.07-0.08, bush and shrubs ie 0.08-0.09, and open land has the largest albedo with a range of 0.09-0.10 (Table 1). Changes in land cover types from 1994 to 2010 were not significant because the conversion of forest land is still used for vegetated land cover, so the change in albedo value from year to year is not large. This indicates that the land cover of palm oil plantations that absorb more homogeneous solar radiation compared to others.

The range of albedo extraction of image data in this study is still within the range of albedo previous research results so that the results obtained can describe albedo in the study area. The distribution of albedo values from 1994, 2000 and 2010 shows lower (figure 2). The mean albedo values at the regional level are 0.090, 0.089 and 0.086 respectively. This condition means that in 2010, the proportion of the amount of solar radiation reflected in 2010 is smaller than in previous years. It also shows that in 2010 more surface absorbs incoming solar radiation.

The albedo values of each land cover type vary due to differences in surface physical properties such as vegetation fraction, water content, surface color and roughness [37],[38]. The soil albedo is determined by particle size, mineral composition, moisture, organic matter content and surface roughness, in [12] adds that the surface of a subtle object is capable of reflecting greater radiation than a rough surface. Small albedo values in vegetated land cover are also caused by multilevel canopies that
can absorb solar radiation at multiple levels of the canopy [39]. That is when the incoming solar radiation is reflected by the leaves/stems of plants in diffuse, canopy levels and canopy plant at the bottom of the canopy can absorb back the radiation.

Evaluation of albedo value according to the physical properties of the surface that influence it, indicating this value can be used as one of the indicators to know the change of regional heat capacity. Smaller albedo values are caused by changes in the land cover composition area, where oil palm plantations and forests absorb more incoming solar radiation than shrubs and bare land.

| Land cover type     | 1994 | 2000 | 2010 | Vegetation cover | Non-vegetation cover |
|---------------------|------|------|------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Forest              | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | Bush and Shrubs [43] | 0.06 - 0.08 | Road [37] | 0.05 - 0.20 |
| Bush and Shrubs     | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | Grassland [10] | 0.10 - 0.25 | Roof [37] | 0.08 - 0.18 |
| Open land           | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.09 | Mix forest [10] | 0.05 - 0.20 | Soil [37] | 0.05 - 0.40 |
| Oil palm            | 0.07 |      |      | Oil palm [43] | 0.05 - 0.07 | Bare soil [42] | 0.07 - 0.11 |

Table 1. Albedo values extracted Landsat-5 TM satellite image data and references

![Image](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Distribution of albedo values in 1994, 2000 and 2010

### 3.2. Spatial distribution of surface temperature

The surface temperature distribution of Landsat-5 TM data extraction results in 1994, 2000 and 2010 is presented in figure 3 and figure 4. The average surface temperature shows a decrease, as well as its deviation. The change in surface temperature in this area is the impact of changes in the area and composition of land cover in the study area. Each land cover has different emissivity, conductivity and heat capacities. The higher density level of vegetation cover, it will cause the lower surface temperature. This is in accordance with the results of research by [15], [14] using NDVI to denote vegetation density and stated that the surface temperature is negatively correlated with NDVI.

The difference in acquisition date of satellite data causes the surface temperature value to be incommensurable. Data for 1994 and 2000 were taken on September 1 and March 9 when the relative position of the Sun was around the equator, while January 16, 2010, was in the vicinity of the subtropics. In [13] also explained that the difference in solar radiation illumination in image data could affect the value of surface temperature. However, differences in the land cover composition will affect the distribution of surface temperatures [40]. Therefore, in this study comparable absolute value of the deviation between the mean surface temperature of the region with the surface temperature of each pixel
(30x30 m²). In addition, relating to surface temperature can also be compared is the ratio between \( H \) with \( R_n \) and with \( LE \). The surface temperature correlates with heat flux whose value is affected by the object or the type of surface.

Surface temperature estimation results in this study cannot be used to suggest land-use for oil palm has a lower surface temperature compared to secondary forests. Increased vegetation density from 1994-2010 is one of factor can reduce surface temperature and its deviation. The mean daily maximum of air temperature measurements was 2.5°C cooler than the secondary forest and 6.5°C cooler than the oil palm, regardless of the age [41]. It is in contrast to [31], [32] which states that oil palm with 12-meter canopy height and forest with 35-meter canopy height the same average \( H \) value and same leaf area index. The absolute value of the difference between the surface temperature of the region and the mean temperature shows that in 2010 showed the lowest deviation value compared to 1994 and 2010. \( T_s \) deviation for the entire study area was 1.3°C, 1.4°C, and 0.7°C for 1994, 2000 and 2010. \( T_s \) value of each land cover indicates that secondary forest and oil palm plantations have a lower value than another land cover. This shows that climate conditions in 2010 are more stable and cool. In [42] the daily maximum and minimum temperature differences to determine the cooling ratio.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Distribution of land surface temperature in the study area with Landsat-5 TM satellite data on 1994, 2000 and 2010.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** The surface temperature range in the study area with Landsat-5 TM satellite data.
3.3. Surface energy balance
The common pattern of net radiation is inversely proportional to albedo and surface temperature (Ts). The higher value of the albedo and surface temperature, the smaller the radiation will be. Net Radiation (RN) ranging from the largest is oil palm cover, forests, shrubs, and open land. The calculation results show that the value of H is inversely proportional to LE (table 2). The H ranging value from the largest is in open land, shrubs, forests and oil palm plantations.

In contrast, the LE value of the largest is found in oil palm plantations, forests, shrubs, and open fields. In [32] concluded a difference in canopy structure between rainforest and palm oil generate the same mean of daily maximum H value 100 Wm-2, but different for LE value, ie 300 Wm-2 for rainforest and 400 for oil palm. This indicates the surface temperature between both land-use will tend to be the same, but the air humidity is different.

H value is the energy used for surface heating. The higher H-to-R ratio can show more heat flux near the surface (figure 5). The distribution of ratios between H and Rn for the whole region shows that in 2010 had a lower average value compared to the year 1994 and 2000. The addition of forest and forest area from 1994 to 2000 increased the ratio to 43.1% and 42.6%, while conversion to palm oil decreased the ratio to 36.2%

The land cover change to vegetated land causes more incoming solar radiation to be absorbed by the surface [43], so net radiation also increases. The energy of the net radiation reaching the earth's surface is then used for the process of soil heat flux (G), sensible heat flux (H), latent heat flux (LE) and residual energy used for metabolic processes of organisms (S). According to [44], the value of residuals for terrestrial areas can be neglected as it is very small. The value of G is derived from the equation by [30], where G is directly proportional to Ts, so the energy for warming the soil on open land will be greater than the vegetated land.

Open land has a small LE value because of its high conductivity and shortwave reflection. Net radiation in open land is mostly used for heating the air so that the energy stored for the evapotranspiration process is low. By contrast, vegetated land has lower shortwave reflections and thermal conductivity [45][46], so that the LE is larger. Much of the net energy on the surface is used for evapotranspiration and less for heating air. Oil palm plantations have the highest LE of all vegetation cover as there is a water treatment system that can retain moisture around the plantation. Vegetation land cover with high LE values has low surface temperature values. This is due to the proportion of the sensible heat flux that may cause a smaller temperature rise [47]. The increase in H value is an indication of the ongoing heating process and vice versa for cooling. This proves that the condition of 2010 experiencing surface cooling.

Table 2. Spatial distribution of the energy balance of each land cover with Landsat-5 TM satellite image data

| Land cover    | RN (W.m⁻²)  | G (W.m⁻²)  | H (W.m⁻²)  | LE(W.m⁻²) |
|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|               | 1994  | 2000  | 2010 | 1994 | 2000 | 2010 | 1994 | 2000 | 2010 | 1994 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Forest        | 257   | 303   | 339  | 28.8 | 30.8 | 27.2 | 73.1 | 87.0 | 99.9 | 155.5 | 185.1 | 212.5 |
| Bush and shrub| 251   | 295   | 327  | 29.1 | 31.1 | 28.6 | 106.8 | 127.2 | 144.0 | 114.8 | 136.8 | 154.9 |
| Open land     | 236   | 282   | 322  | 31.4 | 34.3 | 31.0 | 163.9 | 197.8 | 233.2 | 41.0  | 49.5  | 58.3  |
| Oil palm      | 340   | 25.8  | 70.6 | 243.3 |
3.4. Regional heat capacity

The resulting heat capacity value illustrates the amount of instantaneous energy contained in the study area on changes in air temperature and surface temperature. The heat capacity of each type of land cover varies (figure 6). When viewed from the type of land cover, the largest heat capacity is found in oil palm plantations because only with a percentage of 47% of the total area can store the heat of 14991 MJ °C⁻¹ close to 1994 forest heat capacity value of 14929 MJ °C⁻¹ whose percentage is 66% of the study area. The heat capacity of shrubs and open land is almost the same because although the land cover is classified as open land, its NDVI ranges from 0.35-0.44, meaning that on open ground vegetation is still encountered in the form of grass that is sufficient to withstand heat.

During the period of 1994-2010, there was an increase in regional heat capacity. In 1994, the regional heat capacity was 1494 kJ °C⁻¹ ha⁻¹, 2000 was 1536 kJ °C⁻¹ ha⁻¹ and in 2010 was 1738 kJ °C⁻¹ ha⁻¹ (figure 7). The increase between 1994-2000 was caused by the addition of forest area by 4% and 2% shrubs. While in 2000-2010 caused by the conversion of forest land into palm oil plantation by 47%. Oil palm plantations in 2010 have better heat storage capabilities than shrubs, forests and open land.
Figure 7. Changes in regional heat capacity of the study area per hectare with Landsat-5 TM

One of the factors affecting the area heat capacity in this research is potential biomass, i.e., the mass that comes from converting solar energy into organic material through the photosynthesis process. Biomass is also affected by net radiation (Rn 2010 > Rn 2000 > Rn 1994), where the greater the solar energy that can be used for the photosynthesis process, the potential biomass to be produced is also greater.

The calculation of the heat capacity value will give better results if using the function of the object mass and the heat of the object type. However, this study has not been conducted because there is no information on the types of forest cover, bushes, oil palm, and open land. Also, the calculation of biomass in any land cover is also not done. Therefore, the heat capacity calculation is based solely on the ratio of the addition or reduction of heat (ΔQ) to the increase or decrease in temperature (ΔT). The weakness of heat value calculation by using Landsat-5 TM image data is the time of repeat image coverage (the time required for satellite image to photograph the same coordinate and path/row object) is 16 days. In addition, geographically the territory of Indonesia is located around the path of ITCZ (Inter Tropical Convergence Zone), which is the area with an intensive airlifting process that causes high levels of resistance that can scatter the incoming solar radiation.

4. Conclusion
Changes in the land cover composition in the study areas in 1994, 2000 and 2010 led to an increase in regional heat capacity. Increased forest area by 4% and 2% shrub in the 1994-2000 year, increasing the region's heat capacity increased by 3%. Oil palm plantations covering 47% of the study area in 2010 could increase the region's heat capacity by 11.5% compared to 2000. Increased regional heat capacity from 1994, 2000 and 2010 led to a smaller range of surface temperature values in the study area in the addition of heat or energy which comes from solar radiation.

Increased heat capacity in a region can be used as an indication of cooling in the context of climate change on a regional scale. The absorption of solar radiation is increasing with increasing heat capacity. One factor that is thought to increase heat capacity is to increase the rate of growth that can increase the amount of biomass on the surface.

This study is a remote sensing assessment. The results obtained were the output of the equation models and parameters from other studies. Therefore, the results of this study still require verification with field observation data. In addition, it can also be improved by adding remote sensing observation data with more frequency data and different study locations.
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