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Abstract
The restricted isometry property (RIP) is a well-known condition that guarantees the absence of spurious local minima in low-rank matrix recovery problems with linear measurements. In this paper, we introduce a novel property named bound difference property (BDP) to study low-rank matrix recovery problems with nonlinear measurements. Using RIP and BDP jointly, we propose a new criterion to certify the nonexistence of spurious local minima in the rank-1 case, and prove that it leads to a much stronger theoretical guarantee than the existing bounds on RIP.

1 INTRODUCTION
The low-rank matrix recovery problem plays a central role in many machine learning problems, such as recommendation systems (Koren et al., 2009) and motion detection (Zhou et al., 2013; Fattahi and Sojoudi, 2020). It also appears in engineering problems, such as power system state estimation (Zhang et al., 2018c). The goal of this problem is to recover an unknown low-rank matrix $M^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ from certain measurements of the entries of $M^*$. The basic form of the low-rank matrix recovery problem is the symmetric and noiseless one with linear measurements and the quadratic loss. The linear measurements can be represented by a linear operator $A : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ given by

$$A(M) = (\langle A_1, M \rangle, \ldots, \langle A_m, M \rangle)^T.$$ 

The ground-truth matrix $M^*$ is assumed to be symmetric and positive semidefinite with rank($M^*) \leq r$.

The recovery problem can be formulated as follows:

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|A(M) - d\|^2$$

s.t.  \quad \text{rank}(M) \leq r, \quad M \succeq 0, \quad M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

where $d = A(M^*)$. By factoring the decision variable $M$ into its low-rank factors $XX^T$, the above problem can be rewritten as the unconstrained problem:

$$\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|A(XX^T) - d\|^2 \right\}. \quad (1)$$

The optimization (1) associated with different machine learning applications is commonly solved by local search methods, such as the stochastic gradient descent (Ge et al., 2015), due to their ability in handling large-scale problems. Since (1) is generally nonconvex, local search methods may converge to a spurious local minimum (a non-global local minimum is called a spurious solution). To provide theoretical guarantees on the performance of local search methods for the low-rank matrix recovery, several papers have developed various conditions under which the optimization (1) is free of spurious local minima. In what follows, we will briefly review the state-of-the-art results on this problem.

Given a linear operator $A$, define its corresponding quadratic form $Q : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$Q(K, L) = \langle A(K), A(L) \rangle, \quad (2)$$

for all $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Definition 1 (Recht et al. (2010)). A quadratic form $Q : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the restricted isometry property (RIP) of rank $2r$ for a constant $\delta \in [0, 1)$, denoted as $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$, if

$$(1 - \delta)\|K\|_F^2 \leq Q(K, K) \leq (1 + \delta)\|K\|_F^2$$

for all matrices $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with rank$(K) \leq 2r$.

Ge et al. (2017) showed that the problem (1) has no spurious local minima if the quadratic form $Q$ satisfies...
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$$\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}} f(XX^T),$$

(3)

where $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary function induced by nonlinear measurements. In this paper, $f$ is always assumed to be twice continuously differentiable. The problem (1) is a special case of (3) by choosing

$$f(M) = \frac{1}{2} \|A(M) - d\|^2.$$  

(4)

In the case with linear measurements, note that $f(M^*) = 0$ and therefore $M^*$ is a global minimizer of $f$. In other words, there are often infinitely many minimizers for $f$, but the goal is to find the ground-truth low-rank solution $M^*$. Similar to the linear measurement case, we assume that the problem (3) has a ground truth $M^* = ZZ^T$ with rank$(M^*) \leq r$ that is a global minimizer of $f(M)$.

The optimization problem (3) has immediate applications in machine learning. One such example is the 1-bit matrix completion problem (Davenport et al., 2014; Ghadermarzy et al., 2019). In this problem, there is an unknown ground truth matrix $M^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $M^* \succeq 0$ and rank$(M^*) = r$. One is allowed to take independent measurements on each entry $M^*_{ij}$, where each measurement value is a binary random variable whose distribution is given by

$$Y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \sigma(M^*_{ij}), \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \sigma(M^*_{ij}). \end{cases}$$

Here, $\sigma(x)$ is commonly chosen to be the sigmoid function $e^x/(e^x + 1)$. The maximum likelihood estimation of $M^*$ is an optimization problem in the form (3) with the objective

$$f(M) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{ij}M_{ij} - \log(1 + e^{M_{ij}})),$$

where $y_{ij}$ is the percentage of the measurements on the $(i, j)$-th entry that are equal to 1. Moreover, every polynomial optimization problem can be formulated as (3), and therefore the analysis of (3) enables the design of global optimization techniques for nonconvex polynomial optimization (Madani et al., 2017).

The Hessian of the function $f$ in (3), denoted as $\nabla^2 f(M)$, can be also regarded as a quadratic form whose action on any two matrices $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by

$$[\nabla^2 f(M)](K, L) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial M_{ij}\partial M_{kl}}(M)K_{ij}L_{kl}.$$  

If $f$ is considered to be the special function in (4), then its corresponding Hessian $\nabla^2 f(M)$ becomes exactly the quadratic form $Q$ defined in (2). Therefore, we naturally extend the definition of the $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ property for quadratic forms given in Definition 1 to general functions $f$ by restricting their Hessian.

**Definition 2.** A twice continuously differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the restricted isometry property of rank $2r$ for a constant $\delta \in [0, 1)$, denoted as $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$, if

$$\|1 - \delta\|K\|^2_F \leq \|\nabla^2 f(M)(K, K)\| \leq (1 + \delta)\|K\|^2_F$$

(5)

for all matrices $M, K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with rank$(M) \leq 2r$ and rank$(K) \leq 2r$.

It is still unknown whether the $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ condition could lead to the nonexistence of spurious local minima. However, Li et al. (2019) proved that the problem (3) has no spurious local minima under a stronger condition, named $\delta$-RIP$_{2r, 4r}$ with $\delta < 1/5$, as defined below (a similar result has obtained for the nonlinear low-rank matrix recovery problems with asymmetric matrices in Zhang et al. (2018b)).

**Definition 3.** A twice continuously differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the restricted isometry property of rank $(2r, 4r)$ for a constant $\delta \in [0, 1)$, denoted as $\delta$-RIP$_{2r, 4r}$, if

$$\|1 - \delta\|K\|^2_F \leq \|\nabla^2 f(M)(K, K)\| \leq (1 + \delta)\|K\|^2_F$$

(5)

for all matrices $M, K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with rank$(M) \leq 2r$ and rank$(K) \leq 4r$.

For the general recovery problem (3) with $r = 1$, the previous results in Zhang et al. (2019) and Li et al. (2019) both have serious limitations. The bound $\delta < 1/2$ given in Zhang et al. (2019) is proven to be tight in the case when $f$ is generated by linear measurements, but it is not applicable to nonlinear measurements. The bound $\delta < 1/5$ given in Li et al. (2019) can be applied to a general function $f$, but it is not tight even in the linear case. To address these issues, we develop a new criterion to guarantee the absence of spurious local minima in (3) for a general function $f$ in the rank-1 case, which is more powerful than the previous conditions. Unlike the bound given in Li et al. (2019), our new criterion completely depends on the
Theorem 2. If the function $f$ applied to rank-2 matrices, rather than rank-4 matrices. Note that the rank-1 case has applications in many problems, such as motion detection (Fattahi and Sojoudi, 2020) and power system state estimation (Zhang et al., 2018c).

Notations $I_n$ is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$, and $\text{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. $A = \text{vec} A$ is the vector obtained from stacking the columns of a matrix $A$. Given a vector $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, define its symmetric matirization $\text{mat}^2(A) = (A + A^T)/2$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the unique matrix satisfying $A = \text{vec} A$. $A \otimes B$ is the Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$, which satisfies the well-known identity:

$$\text{vec}(AXB^T) = (B \otimes A) \text{vec} X.$$

For two matrices $A, B$ of the same size, $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A^T B) = \langle \text{vec} A, \text{vec} B \rangle$. $\|v\|$ is the Euclidean norm of the vector $v$ and $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{(A, A)}$ is the Frobenius norm of the matrix $A$. In addition, $A \succeq 0$ means that $A$ is symmetric and positive semidefinite.

## 2 MAIN RESULTS

To obtain a powerful condition for guaranteeing the absence of spurious local minima in problem (3), it is helpful to shed light on a distinguishing property of the function in (4) for linear measurements that does not hold in the general case: the Hessian matrices at all points are equal. If a general function $f$ satisfies $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$, (5) intuitively states that the Hessian $\nabla^2 f(M)$ should be close to the quadratic form defined by an identity matrix, at least when applied to rank-2 matrices. Hence, $\nabla^2 f(M)$ should change slowly when $M$ alters. The above discussion motivates the introduction of a new notion below.

**Definition 4.** A twice continuously differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the *bounded difference property* of rank $2r$ for a constant $\kappa \geq 0$, denoted as $\kappa$-BDP$_{2r}$, if

$$\|\nabla^2 f(M) - \nabla^2 f(M')\|(K, L) \leq \kappa\|K\|_F\|L\|_F$$

(6) for all matrices $M, M', K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ whose ranks are at most $2r$.

It turns out that the RIP and BDP properties are not fully independent. Their relationship is summarized in the following theorems that will be proved in Section 3.

**Theorem 1.** If the function $f$ satisfies $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$, then it also satisfies $4\delta$-BDP$_{2r}$.

**Theorem 2.** If the function $f$ satisfies $\delta$-RIP$_{2r,4r}$, then it also satisfies $2\delta$-BDP$_{2r}$.

The bounds in the above two theorems are tight. In Section 3, we will construct a class of functions $f$ that satisfy the $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ property but do not satisfy the $\kappa$-BDP$_{2r}$ property for some $\kappa$ with $\kappa/\delta$ being arbitrarily close to 4. Similar examples can also be constructed for Theorem 2.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem, which is a powerful criterion for the nonexistence of spurious local minima based on the RIP and BDP properties jointly. Its proof is given in Section 4.

**Theorem 3.** When $r = 1$, the problem (3) has no spurious local minima if the function $f$ satisfies the $\delta$-RIP$_2$ and $\kappa$-BDP$_2$ properties for some constants $\delta$ and $\kappa$ such that

$$\delta < \frac{2 - 6(1 + \sqrt{2})\kappa}{4 + 6(1 + \sqrt{2})\kappa}.$$

In the case of linear measurements and the quadratic loss, the function $f$ satisfies the $\kappa$-BDP$_2$ property with $\kappa = 0$. Hence, Theorem 3 recovers the result in Zhang et al. (2019) stating that the problem (1) has no spurious local minima if the operator $A$ satisfies the $\delta$-RIP$_2$ property with $\delta < 1/2$. On the other hand, by combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, one can immediately verify that the problem (3) has no spurious solutions if $f$ satisfies the $\delta$-RIP$_2$ property with $\delta < 0.0313$. Theorem 3 is most valuable for functions $f$ associated with nonlinear measurements that satisfy $\delta$-RIP$_2$ and $\kappa$-BDP$_2$ for $\delta < 1/2$ and $\kappa$ being relatively small. At the end of Section 3, we will construct such functions for which the RIP$_{2,4}$ property does not exist, and thus the condition in Li et al. (2019) cannot be used. These are the examples for which the absence of spurious local minima can be certified by Theorem 3, while the existing conditions in the literature fail to work.

## 3 RIP AND BDP PROPERTIES

In this section, the relationship among the RIP$_{2r}$, RIP$_{2r,4r}$ and BDP$_{2r}$ properties of a given function $f$ will be investigated. We will first prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, and then show that the bounds in these theorems are tight. The following lemma will be needed. For its proof, the reader could refer to Candès (2008); Bhojanapalli et al. (2016); Li et al. (2019), presented in different notations, or the supplementary material.

**Lemma 4.** If a quadratic form $Q$ satisfies $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$, then

$$\|Q\|(K, L) - \langle K, L \rangle \leq \delta\|K\|_F\|L\|_F$$

for all matrices $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{rank}(K) \leq r$, $\text{rank}(L) \leq r$.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let $M$ and $M'$ be two matrices
of rank at most $2r$. By the definition of $\delta$-RIP$_{2r,4r}$ of the function $f$, both $\nabla^2 f(M)$ and $\nabla^2 f(M')$ satisfy $\delta$-RIP$_{4r}$. After the constant $r$ in the statement of Lemma 4 is replaced by $2r$, we obtain

$$||\nabla^2 f(M)(K, L) - \langle K, L \rangle|| \leq \delta K||F||L||F,$$

$$||\nabla^2 f(M')(K, L) - \langle K, L \rangle|| \leq \delta K||F||L||F,$$

for all matrices $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of rank at most $2r$, which leads to (6) for $\kappa = 2\delta$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We first prove that any quadratic form $Q$ with $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ satisfies

$$||Q(K, L) - \langle K, L \rangle|| \leq 2\delta ||K||F||L||F,$$  

(7)

for all matrices $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of rank at most $2r$. Let $K = UDV^T$ be the singular value decomposition of $K$. Write $D = D_1 + D_2$ in which $D_1$ and $D_2$ both have at most $r$ nonzero entries, and let $K_1 = UD_1V^T$ and $K_2 = UD_2V^T$. Then, $K = K_1 + K_2$, where rank($K_1$) $\leq r$, rank($K_2$) $\leq r$ and $\langle K_1, K_2 \rangle = 0$. We decompose $L = L_1 + L_2$ similarly. By Lemma 4, it holds that

$$||Q(K, L) - \langle K, L \rangle|| \leq (||Q(K_1, L_1) - \langle K_1, L_1 \rangle|| + ||Q(K_1, L_2) - \langle K_1, L_1 \rangle|| + ||Q(K_2, L_1) - \langle K_1, L_1 \rangle|| + ||Q(K_2, L_2) - \langle K_1, L_2 \rangle||$$

$$\leq \delta (||K_1||F + ||K_2||F)(||L_1||F + ||L_2||F)$$

$$\leq 2\delta \sqrt{||K_1||^2_F + ||K_2||^2_F} \sqrt{||L_1||^2_F + ||L_2||^2_F}$$

$$= 2\delta ||K||F||L||F.$$  

The remaining proof is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 2.

The inequality (7) is parallel to the *square root lifting inequality* (Cai et al., 2010) in the compressed sensing problem. Our result can be regarded as a generalization of that result to the low-rank matrix recovery problem.

In what follows, we will show that the bounds in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are tight. To this end, we will work on examples of function $f$ with $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ or $\delta$-RIP$_{4r}$ for a small $\delta$ whose Hessian has a large variation across different points. Consider an integer $n \geq 4$ and an integer $r \geq 1$. Let

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$$

with $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ whose exact value will be determined later. One can extend $A_1$ to an orthonormal basis $A_1, \ldots, A_{n^2}$ of the space $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Define a linear operator $A : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n^2-1}$ by letting

$$A(M) = (\langle A_2, M \rangle, \ldots, \langle A_{n^2}, M \rangle).$$

Then, for every matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, it holds that

$$||A(M)||^2 = ||M||^2_F - (\langle A_1, M \rangle)^2 \leq ||M||^2_F.$$  

Now, assume that $M$ is a matrix with rank($M$) $\leq 2r$, and let $\sigma_1(M), \ldots, \sigma_{2r}(M)$ denote its $2r$ largest singular values. Observe that

$$||A_1, M|| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_{ii}| \leq \frac{2r}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2r} \sigma_i(M)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2r}{n}} \max_{M} ||M||_F,$$

which implies that

$$||A(M)||^2 = ||M||^2_F - (\langle A_1, M \rangle)^2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{2r}{n} \right) ||M||^2_F.$$  

Define a scaled linear operator $\bar{A}$ as

$$\bar{A}(M) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-r}} A(M), \quad \forall M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$  

Thus, the relation

$$\left(1 - \frac{r}{n-r}\right) ||M||^2_F \leq ||\bar{A}(M)||^2$$

holds for all $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with rank($M$) $\leq 2r$.

After choosing $A_1 = (1/\sqrt{n}) I_n$ in the above argument, let $A$ be the resulting linear operator and $Q$ be the quadratic form in (2) that corresponds to the scaled linear operator $\bar{A}$. By the same argument, a similar linear operator $A'$ and the corresponding quadratic form $Q'$ can be obtained after choosing

$$A'_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1, 1, \ldots, 1).$$  

(9)

Now, we select $K = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $L = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then,

$$||Q - Q'(K, L)||$$

$$= \frac{n}{n-r} ||\langle A(K), A(L) \rangle - \langle A'(K), A'(L) \rangle||$$

$$= \frac{n}{n-r} ||\langle A_1, K \rangle \langle A_1, L \rangle + \langle A'_1, K \rangle \langle A'_1, L \rangle||$$

$$= \frac{4}{n-r} ||K||_F ||L||_F.$$  

In the case $r = 1$, it follows from (8) that both of the constructed quadratic forms $Q$ and $Q'$ satisfy $\delta$-RIP$_2$ with $\delta = 1/(n-1)$. If one can find a twice continuously differentiable function $f$ satisfying $\delta$-RIP$_2$ such that

$$\nabla^2 f(M) = Q, \quad \nabla^2 f(M') = Q'.$$
hold at two particular points $M, M' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with rank$(M) \leq 2$ and rank$(M') \leq 2$, then by (10) the function $f$ cannot satisfy $\kappa$-BDP2 for $\kappa < 4\delta$. Since the design of such function is cumbersome, we will use a weaker result that serves the same purpose. This result, to be formalized in Lemma 5, states that for every $\mu > 0$, one can find a twice continuously differentiable function $f$ with $(\delta + \mu)$-RIP2 and two matrices $M, M' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of rank at most 1 satisfying the following inequalities:

$$\|\nabla^2 f(M) - Q\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \leq \mu \|K\|_F \|L\|_F,$$

$$\|\nabla^2 f(M') - Q\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \leq \mu \|K\|_F \|L\|_F. \tag{11}$$

Combining (10) and (11) yields

$$\|\nabla^2 f(M) - \nabla^2 f(M')\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \leq (4\delta + 2\mu) \|K\|_F \|L\|_F.$$

Therefore, the function $f$ cannot satisfy the $\kappa$-BDP2 property for any $\kappa < 4\delta + 2\mu$. Since $\mu$ can be made arbitrarily small, this shows that the constant $4\delta$ in Theorem 1 cannot be improved. Similarly, by choosing $r = 2$ instead of $r = 1$ and repeating the above argument, one can show that the constant $2\delta$ in Theorem 2 cannot be improved either.

**Lemma 5.** Consider two quadratic forms $Q$ and $Q'$ satisfying the $(\delta + \mu)$-RIP2 property. For every $\mu > 0$, there exists a twice continuously differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and two matrices $M, M' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with rank$(M) \leq 1$ and rank$(M') \leq 1$ such that $f$ satisfies the $(\delta + \mu)$-RIP2 property and that (11) holds for all $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

**Proof.** Given $\mu > 0$, let $f$ be given as

$$f(V) = \frac{1}{2} Q(V, V) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 f(0)\|V\|_F^2 \Delta(V, V),$$

where $\Delta = Q - Q'$ and $H : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ \exp(-1/t^\gamma), & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Here, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ is a constant that will be determined later. It is straightforward to verify that $H$ is twice continuously differentiable and

$$H'(0) = H''(0) = 0, \tag{12a}$$

$$|t H'(t)| = \frac{\gamma}{e}, \quad |t^2 H''(t)| \leq \frac{4\gamma}{e}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{12b}$$

The basic idea behind the above construction of $f$ is that when $\gamma$ is chosen to be small, the growth of the function $H$ becomes so slow that it can be regarded as a constant when computing the Hessian of the above function $f$. As a result, the Hessian is approximately a linear combination of two quadratic forms $Q$ and $Q'$ with the $(\delta + \mu)$-RIP2 property. Formally, the Hessian $\nabla^2 f(V)$ of $f$ at a particular matrix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, when applied to arbitrary $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, is given by

$$\nabla^2 f(V)(K, L) = 2H''(||V||_F^2)\Delta(V, V)\langle V, K \rangle \langle V, L \rangle + H'(||V||_F^2)\Delta(V, V)(K, L) + 2H''(||V||_F^2)\langle V, K \rangle \langle K, V \rangle + [\Delta(K, V)V, L] + [\Delta, + \langle Q', H(||V||_F^2)\Delta\rangle(K, L). \tag{13}$$

By compactness, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Delta(A, B)\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \leq C\|A\|_F \|B\|_F \tag{14}$$

holds for all $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We choose a sufficiently small $\gamma$ such that $26\gamma e \leq 1$. By (12b), (13), (14) and the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\|\nabla^2 f(V) - Q' - H(||V||_F^2)\Delta\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \leq \frac{13\gamma C}{e} \|K\|_F \|L\|_F \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|K\|_F \|L\|_F. \tag{15}$$

To prove that the function $f$ satisfies $(\delta + \mu)$-RIP2, assume for now that $K = L$ and rank$(K) \leq 2r$. The inequality $0 \leq H(||V||_F^2) \leq 1$ and the $(\delta + \mu)$-RIP2 property of $Q$ and $Q'$ imply that

$$(1 - \delta)\|K\|_F^2 \leq \|Q' + H(||V||_F^2)\Delta\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \leq (1 + \delta)\|K\|_F^2. \tag{16}$$

By (15) and the above inequality, the function $f$ satisfies the $(\delta + \mu)$-RIP2 property. To prove the existence of $M$ and $M'$ satisfying (11), we select $M' = 0$ and

$$M = \text{diag}(s, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

For any $K, L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, it follows from (12a) and (13) that

$$\|\nabla f(M') - Q'\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} = 0. \tag{16}$$

Moreover, (14) and (15) yield that

$$\|\nabla^2 f(M) - Q\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|K\|_F \|L\|_F + \|Q' + H(||M||_F^2)\Delta - Q\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}} \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|K\|_F \|L\|_F. \tag{15}$$

Since $H(||M||_F^2) \rightarrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$, (11) is satisfied as long as $s$ is sufficiently large.

The above argument also provides examples of the function $f$ whose corresponding recovery problem (3) can be certified to have no spurious local minima via Theorem 3, while the existing results in the literature fail to do so. Following the above construction, choose $n = 4, r = 1$, and let

$$f(V) = \frac{1 - \lambda}{2} |Q'(V, V) + \lambda f(V),$$

for a constant $\lambda > 0$.
and let $X, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$. Before proceeding with the proof, we need to introduce the above necessary condition.

For every $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $\text{rank}(U) \leq 2r$, it holds that

$$
(1 - \delta)\|U\|^2 \leq U^T H U \leq (1 + \delta)\|U\|^2,
$$

where $U = \text{vec}(V)$.

**Proof.** Condition 3 follows immediately from the $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ property of the function $f$. To prove the remaining two conditions, define $g(Y) = f(Y Y^T)$ and $M = XX^T$. Since $X$ is a local minimizer of the function $g(\cdot)$, for every $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $U = \text{vec}(V)$, the first-order optimality condition implies that

$$
0 = \langle \nabla g(X), U \rangle = \langle \nabla f(M), X U^T + U X^T \rangle.
$$

Define an auxiliary function $h: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by letting $h(V) = \langle \nabla f(V), X U^T + U X^T \rangle$.

By the mean value theorem, there exists a matrix $\xi$ on the segment between $M$ and $M^*$ such that

$$
\|\nabla^2 f(\xi)(M - M^*, X U^T + U X^T)\|
\leq \kappa \|M - M^*\|_F \|X U^T + U X^T\|_F
\leq 2\kappa \|\text{vec}(V)\|_F\|U\|.
$$

Condition 1 can be proved by setting $U = X^T \text{He}$.

For every $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ with $U = \text{vec}(V)$, the second-order optimality condition implies that

$$
0 \leq \|\nabla^2 g(X)(U, U)\| = \|\nabla^2 f(M)(X U^T + U X^T, X U^T + U X^T)\|
= 2\|\nabla f(M), U U^T\|.
$$

The first term on the right-hand side can be equivalently written as $(X U)^T H (X U)$. A similar argument can be made to conclude that there exists another matrix $\xi'$ on the segment between $M$ and $M^*$ such that

$$
\langle \nabla^2 f(\xi')(M - M^*, X U^T + U X^T)\rangle
= \langle \nabla^2 f(\xi')(M - M^*, U U^T)\rangle
\leq \|\nabla^2 f(M)(M - M^*, U U^T)\|
+ \kappa \|M - M^*\|_F \|U U^T\|_F
= \text{vec}(U U^T) \text{He} + \kappa \|\text{vec}(V)\|_F
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\text{vec}(V)\|_F \|W + W^T\| + \kappa \|\text{vec}(V)\|_F
= U^T (I_r \otimes \text{mat}_S(\text{He})) U + \kappa \|\text{vec}(V)\|_F
\leq U^T (I_r \otimes \text{mat}_S(\text{He})) U + \kappa \|\text{vec}(V)\|_F^2,
$$

where $U = \text{vec}(V)$.
in which $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the unique matrix satisfying $\text{vec} W = \mathbf{He}$. Condition 2 can be obtained by combining (20) and (21).

For given $X, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $\kappa \geq 0$, one can construct an optimization problem based on the conditions in Lemma 6 as follows:

$$\min_{\delta, \mathbf{H}} \delta$$

s.t. $\|X^T \mathbf{He}\| \leq a,$

$$2I_r \otimes \text{mat}_S(\mathbf{He}) + X^T \mathbf{HX} \succeq -bI_{nr},$$

$\mathbf{H}$ is symmetric and satisfies $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$, where

$$a = 2\kappa \|X\|_F \|\mathbf{e}\|, \quad b = 2\kappa \|\mathbf{e}\|.$$

(22)

Let $\delta(X, Z; \kappa)$ be the optimal value of (22). Assume that $f$ in the original problem (3) satisfies $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ and $\kappa$-BDP$_{2r}$. By Lemma 6, if $X$ is a local minimizer of (3) and $Z$ is a global minimizer of (3) with $M^* = ZZ^T$, then $\delta \geq \delta(X, Z; \kappa)$. As a result, by defining $\delta^*(\kappa)$ as the optimal value of the optimization problem

$$\min_{X, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}} \delta(X, Z; \kappa) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad XX^T \neq ZZ^T,$$

the problem (3) is guaranteed to have no spurious local minima as long as $\delta < \delta^*(\kappa)$.

The remaining task is to compute $\delta(X, Z; \kappa)$ and $\delta^*(\kappa)$. First, by the property of the Schur complement, the first constraint in (22) can be equivalently written as

$$\begin{bmatrix}
I_{nr} & X^T \mathbf{He} \\
(X^T \mathbf{He})^T & a^2
\end{bmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

The major difficulty of solving (22) comes from the last constraint, since it is NP-hard to verify whether a given quadratic form satisfies $\delta$-RIP$_{2r}$ (Tillmann and Pfetsch, 2014). Instead, we tighten the last constraint of (22) by requiring $\mathbf{H}$ to have a norm-preserving property for all matrices instead of just for matrices with rank at most $2r$, i.e.,

$$(1 - \delta)\|U\|^2 \leq U^T \mathbf{H} U \leq (1 + \delta)\|U\|^2, \quad \forall U \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2},$$

which leads to following semidefinite program:

$$\min_{\delta, \mathbf{H}} \delta$$

s.t. $\begin{bmatrix}
I_{nr} & X^T \mathbf{He} \\
(X^T \mathbf{He})^T & a^2
\end{bmatrix} \succeq 0,$$

$$2I_r \otimes \text{mat}_S(\mathbf{He}) + X^T \mathbf{HX} \succeq -bI_{nr},$$

$$(1 - \delta)I_{n^2} \preceq \mathbf{H} \preceq (1 + \delta)I_{n^2}.$$

Similar to the case with linear measurements studied in Zhang et al. (2019), due to the symmetry under orthogonal projections, the problems (22) and (24) turn out to have the same optimal value. See the supplementary material for the proof.

Lemma 7. For given $X, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $\kappa \geq 0$, the optimization problems (22) and (24) have the same optimal value.

Even if the value of $\delta(X, Z; \kappa)$ for given $X, Z$ and $\kappa$ can now be efficiently calculated by solving the semidefinite program (24), to further compute $\delta^*(\kappa)$, an analytical expression is still needed for $\delta(X, Z; \kappa)$. For our purpose, it is sufficient to find a lower bound on $\delta(X, Z; \kappa)$. In the remainder of this section, we will focus on the problem of lower bounding $\delta(X, Z; \kappa)$ and $\delta^*(\kappa)$ in the case $r = 1$.

When $r = 1$, $X$ and $Z$ reduce to vectors and henceforth will be denoted as $x$ and $z$ with

$$e = x \otimes x - z \otimes z, \quad Xu = x \otimes u + u \otimes x.$$

Moreover,

$$\|Xu\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2\|u\|^2 + 2(x^T u)^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(25)

Given two vectors $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x \neq 0$ and $xx^T \neq zz^T$, one can find a unit vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $w$ is orthogonal to $x$ and $z = c_1 x + c_2 w$. Then,

$$e = X\tilde{y} - c_2^2 (w \otimes w),$$

in which

$$\tilde{y} = \frac{1 - c_2^2}{2} x - c_1 c_2 w.$$

Note that $X\tilde{y}$ is orthogonal to $w \otimes w$. Furthermore, since $\tilde{y} \neq 0$ by $xx^T \neq zz^T$ and thus $X\tilde{y} \neq 0$ by (25), one can rescale $\tilde{y}$ into $\hat{y}$ such that $\|X\hat{y}\| = 1$ and

$$e = \|\hat{e}\| (\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} X\hat{y} - \alpha (w \otimes w)),$$

(26)

with

$$\alpha := \frac{c_2^2}{\|\hat{e}\|} = \frac{\|z\|^2 - (x^T z/\|x\|)^2}{\|\hat{e}\|}.$$

(27)

In addition, (25) also implies

$$\|\hat{y}\| \leq \frac{\|X\hat{y}\|}{\sqrt{2\|x\|}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\|x\|}}.$$

(28)

Lemma 8. Let $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $xx^T \neq zz^T$. The optimal value $\delta(x, z; \kappa)$ of (24) satisfies

$$\delta(x, z; \kappa) \geq \frac{1 - \eta_0(x, z) - 2(1 + \sqrt{2}/2) \kappa}{1 + \eta_0(x, z) + 2(1 + \sqrt{2}/2) \kappa},$$

in which

$$\eta_0(x, z) = \begin{cases}
\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}}, & \text{if } \beta \geq \frac{\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}} \\
\frac{\beta(\beta - \alpha)}{\beta \alpha - 1}, & \text{if } \beta \leq \frac{\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}}
\end{cases},$$

with $\alpha$ defined in (27)\footnote{When $x = 0$, $\alpha$ is defined to be $\|z\|^2/\|\hat{e}\|$.} and $\beta = \|x\|^2/\|\hat{e}\|$.
Therefore, to find a lower bound on $\delta(x, z; \kappa)$, we only need to find an upper bound on $\eta(x, z; \kappa)$.

The dual problem of (29) can be written as

$$\begin{align*}
\min_{U_1, U_2, V, G, \lambda, y} & \quad \text{tr}(U_2) + \langle X^T X + bI_n, V \rangle + a^2 \lambda + \text{tr}(G), \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad \text{tr}(U_1) = 1, \\
& \quad (Xy - v)e^T + e(Xy - v)^T = U_1 - U_2, \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix} G & -y^T \\ -y & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \\
& \quad U_1 \succeq 0, \quad U_2 \succeq 0, \quad V \succeq 0, \quad v = \text{vec}V.
\end{align*}$$

(31)

By weak duality, the dual objective value associated with any feasible solution to the dual problem (31) is an upper bound on $\eta(x, z; \kappa)$.

In the case when $x \neq 0$, we fix a constant $\gamma \in [0, \alpha]$ and choose

$$y = \sqrt{1 - \gamma^2}, \quad v = \frac{\gamma}{\|e\|} (w \otimes w),$$

where $\hat{y}$ and $w$ are the vectors defined before (26). Since $\|X\hat{y}\| = 1$, $\|w \otimes w\| = 1$ and $X\hat{y}$ is orthogonal to $w \otimes w$, it holds that

$$\|Xy - v\| = \frac{1}{\|e\|}.$$
In the case when \( x = 0 \), we have \( \eta_0(x, z) = 0 \), and

\[
U_1 = \frac{ee^T}{\|e\|^2}, \quad U_2 = 0, \quad V = \frac{zz^T}{2\|e\|^2}, \quad y = 0, \quad \lambda = 0, \quad G = 0
\]

forms a feasible solution to the dual problem (31), which implies that

\[
\eta(x, z, \kappa) \leq \langle bI_n, V \rangle = \kappa.
\]

In either case, it holds that

\[
\eta(x, z; \kappa) \leq \eta_0(x, z) + 2(1 + \sqrt{2})\kappa,
\]

which gives the desired result after combining it with (30).

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 7 and the discussion after Lemma 6, we only need to show that

\[
\delta(x, z; \kappa) \geq \frac{2 - 6(1 + \sqrt{2})\kappa}{4 + 6(1 + \sqrt{2})\kappa}, \quad (35)
\]

for all \( x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( xx^T \neq zz^T \). Similarly to the approach used in proof of (Zhang et al., 2019, Theorem 3), it can be verified that the function \( \eta_0(x, z) \) defined in the statement of Lemma 8 has the maximum value 1/3 that is attained by any two vectors \( x \) and \( z \) that are orthogonal to each other such that \( \|x\|/\|z\| = 1/2 \). Consequently, (35) holds in light of Lemma 8.

This paper mainly focuses on the nonlinear matrix recovery problems in the rank-1 case. The issue with ranks greater than 1 is the inability to handle the second-order optimality condition when finding a closed-form solution for the semidefinite program (24). By removing the second-order condition from (24) and only studying the first-order condition, we can generalize the results of the paper to arbitrary ranks. The difference is that the rank-1 result ruled out the existence of spurious solutions over the entire space, while this is impossible to achieve for the rank-\( r \) cases under the approach based on the first-order condition since 0 is always a spurious stationary point of the problem. Instead, the rank-\( r \) generalization of Theorem 3 becomes a local result, stating that there is no spurious local minimum in a neighborhood of the ground truth \( M^* \). For details, please refer to the follow-up work Bi and Lavaei (2020).

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we first propose the bounded difference property (BDP) in order to study the symmetric low-rank matrix recovery problem with nonlinear measurements. The relationship between BDP and RIP is thoroughly investigated. Then, a novel criterion for the nonexistence of spurious local minima is proposed based on RIP and BDP jointly. It is shown that the developed criterion is superior to the existing conditions relying only on RIP.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from ARO, ONR, AFOSR and NSF.

References

Bhojanapalli, S., Neyshabur, B., and Srebro, N. (2016). Global optimality of local search for low rank matrix recovery. In Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst., volume 29, pages 3873–3881.

Bi, Y. and Lavaei, J. (2020). Global and local analyses of nonlinear low-rank matrix recovery problems. arXiv:2010.04349.

Cai, T. T., Wang, L., and Xu, G. (2010). Shifting inequality and recovery of sparse signals. IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 58(3):1300–1308.

Candès, E. J. (2008). The restricted isometry property and its implications for compressed sensing. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 346(9–10):589–592.

Davenport, M. A., Plan, Y., van den Berg, E., and Wootters, M. (2014). 1-bit matrix completion. Inf. Inference, 3(3):189–223.

Fattahi, S. and Sojoudi, S. (2020). Exact guarantees on the absence of spurious local minima for non-negative rank-1 robust principal component analysis. J. Mach. Learn. Res., 21(59):1–51.

Ge, R., Huang, F., Jin, C., and Yuan, Y. (2015). Escaping from saddle points — online stochastic gradient for tensor decomposition. In Proc. Mach. Learn. Res., volume 40, pages 797–842.

Ge, R., Jin, C., and Zheng, Y. (2017). No spurious local minima in nonconvex low rank problems: A unified geometric analysis. In Proc. Mach. Learn. Res., volume 70, pages 1233–1242.

Ghademarzpy, N., Plan, Y., and Yilmaz, O. (2019). Learning tensors from partial binary measurements. IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 67(1):29–40.

Koren, Y., Bell, R., and Volinsky, C. (2009). Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems. Computer, 42(8):30–37.

Li, Q., Zhu, Z., and Tang, G. (2019). The non-convex geometry of low-rank matrix optimization. Inf. Inference, 8(1):51–96.

Madani, R., Sojoudi, S., Fazelnia, G., and Lavaei, J. (2017). Finding low-rank solutions of sparse linear matrix inequalities using convex optimization. SIAM J. Optim., 27(2):725–758.
On the Absence of Spurious Local Minima in Nonlinear Low-Rank Matrix Recovery Problems

Recht, B., Fazel, M., and Parrilo, P. A. (2010). Guaranteed minimum-rank solutions of linear matrix equations via nuclear norm minimization. *SIAM Rev.*, 52(3):471–501.

Tillmann, A. M. and Pfetsch, M. E. (2014). The computational complexity of the restricted isometry property, the nullspace property, and related concepts in compressed sensing. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 60(2):1248–1259.

Zhang, R. Y., Josz, C., Sojoudi, S., and Lavaei, J. (2018a). How much restricted isometry is needed in nonconvex matrix recovery? In *Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, volume 31, pages 5586–5597.

Zhang, R. Y., Sojoudi, S., and Lavaei, J. (2019). Sharp restricted isometry bounds for the inexistence of spurious local minima in nonconvex matrix recovery. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 20(114):1–34.

Zhang, X., Wang, L., Yu, Y., and Gu, Q. (2018b). A primal-dual analysis of global optimality in nonconvex low-rank matrix recovery. In *Proc. Mach. Learn. Res.*, volume 80, pages 5862–5871.

Zhang, Y., Madani, R., and Lavaei, J. (2018c). Conic relaxations for power system state estimation with line measurements. *IEEE Control Netw. Syst.*, 5(3):1193–1205.

Zhou, X., Yang, C., and Yu, W. (2013). Moving object detection by detecting contiguous outliers in the low-rank representation. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, 35(3):597–610.