Analysis of structures, functions, and transgenicity of phytopeptides defensin and thionin: a review

Sarfuddin Azmi1* and Mohd Kamil Hussain2*

Abstract

Background: Antimicrobial peptides are very primitive innate defense molecules of almost all organisms, from microbes to mammalians and vascular seed-bearing plants. Antimicrobial peptides of plants categorized into cysteine-rich peptides (CRPs) and others and most of the antimicrobial peptides belong to CRPs group. These peptides reported showing the great extent of protecting property against bacteria, fungi, viruses, insect, nematode, and another kind of microbes. To develop a resistant plant against pathogenic fungi, there have been several studies executed to understand the efficiency of transgenicity of these antimicrobial peptides.

Main text: Apart from the intrinsic property of the higher organism for identifying and activating microbial attack defense device, it also involves innate defense mechanism and molecules. In the current review article, apart from the structural and functional characterization of peptides defensin and thionin, we have attempted to provide a succinct overview of the transgenic development of these defense peptides, that are expressed in a constitutive and or over-expressive manner when biotic and abiotic stress inflicted. Transgenic of different peptides show different competence in plants. Most of the transgenic studies made for defensin and thionin revealed the effective transgenic capacity of these peptides.

Conclusion: There have been several studies reported successful development of transgenic plants based on peptides defensin and thionin and observed diverse level of resistance-conferring potency in different plants against phytopathogenic fungi. But due to long regulatory process, there has not been marketed any antimicrobial peptides based transgenic plants yet. However, success report state that possibly in near future transgenic plants of AMPs would be released with devoid of harmful effect, with good efficiency, reproducibility, stability, and least production cost.
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1 Background

There are various mechanisms by which virtually all species, including microorganisms, attempt to protect themselves against dreadful attacks. Unicellular organisms (prokaryote and eukaryote) use a restriction enzyme system of nucleic acid along with secondary metabolite and proteins/peptides to resist the attack of other microbial cells in the same niche. In higher organisms, multilevel system of innate to adaptive immune exercised in defending against pathogens attack [13, 21, 99]. For instance, in higher vertebrate like mammals utilize various kinds of TLR (toll-like receptor) and NOD-TLR (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-TLR), which recognize microbes/pathogen-associated molecular pattern (M/PAMP) and induce various signaling pathway of inflammation and production of different kind of defense protein and peptides [37, 57, 88]. In the adaptive immune response, the mammalian’s system produces immunoglobulin or raise specific cells (T cells) upon access to specific microbes or any foreign item.

Unlike animals that can move and accommodate themselves in the best suited and available environment, plants remained at the place where they germinated or planted and developed different means of survival against various factors such as adverse environment, insect and nematodes invasion, attack of pathogenic microorganisms, etc. On top of these, there is no systemic circulatory system as like animals, so individual plant cells or tissues produce defense molecules or developed means to recognize the pathogen and put suitable defense measures, from physical barrier like secretion of waxes to avoid their penetration to chemical guard like different secondary metabolites to avoid their growth or colonization. Similar to animals, they utilize analogous of TLR, NOD-TLR; LRR receptor-like kinase (RLK), membrane-anchored LRR-receptor protein (LRP), and NBS-LRR (nucleotide-binding site-leucine rich repeat) to recognize the MAMP. Pathogenesis related gene activated upon exposor of MAMP, like flagellin, methylated DNA fragment, LPS, and its other components, cell wall part peptidoglycan, glucan, chitin and some proteins results from generation and secretion of lytic enzymes, antimicrobial secondary metabolites (phenolics, polyacetylenes, alkaloids, terpenoids, etc.), and various kind of antimicrobial peptides/proteins to inhibit the colonization of microbes (Fig. 1) [8, 43, 49, 85].

Among several defense systems, antimicrobial peptides are produced by almost all organisms, which provide innate immunity against a wide range of microbes. For instance, many of normal floras of the mammalian gut system like, lactobacillus secretes antimicrobial peptide which avoids the colonization of unwanted or pathogenic microbe. In another word, the competition of survival at same niche, several bacteria secrete antimicrobial peptides as defense molecules, Bacteriocin (microcins, colicin, nisin, gramicidin, etc.) to avoid the growth and colonization of other microbes in the same ambiance [5, 23, 41, 51]. Likewise, in the response of infection or injury, AMP cecropin secrete in the hemolymph of the pupa of a silkworm. Skin glands of amphibian secrete constitutively temporin, maganin, and several other peptides to fend off the microbial attack [20, 78, 97].

![Fig. 1 Schematic representation of constitutively expressing and releasing of various defense molecules and overexpression on biotic and abiotic stress](image-url)
Defensins and cathelicidin constitutively as well as over-express upon ingress of bacteria as innate immune molecules in the mammalian system [1, 30]. Similarly, plants also secrete various types of antimicrobial peptides in defense measures that kill different pathogenic microbes or stop colonization [31].

Different forms of defensive peptides/proteins have been isolated from various parts of the plants, such as root, stem, leaves, flowers, and seeds. Together with antimicrobial activity against plant pathogens, these peptides demonstrate toxicity to a variety of microbes that show pathogenicity toward animals. Based on the structural motif, disulfide bridges, and sequence homology, plant origin antimicrobial peptide classified into five to eight different class, viz., defensin, thionin, cyclotide; knotting like peptide, lipid transfer protein, hevin-like peptide, snaking, MBP-1 homolog and glycin-rich peptides [31]. In the current review, we have discussed antimicrobial peptides of two classes’ defensin and thionin, emphasizing mainly their importance in the development of transgenic development.

2 Main text
2.1 Defensins
Defensins are a class of small amphipathic antimicrobial cationic peptides with a length of 45 to 54 amino acids and six to eight conserved cysteine residues resulting in three to four disulfide bridges. Based on structural similarities with animal’s defensins, antimicrobial peptides of plants are also categorized in this superfamily. The homology in the peptides of animal and plant sources also a direct evolutionary divergence of animals and plant kingdom. The first peptide of this family isolated from wheat and barley grain was γ-thionin. Due to similarities in preliminary features of α-thionin and β-thionin, the first identified defensin peptide was imprecisely categorized as γ-thionin [15, 83, 91]. Later on, detail structural characterization revealed that γ-thionin displays characteristic structural features of animal’s defensins, like γ-core motif GXCX3-9C and other conserved positions of the sequence. Despite having structural similarities and conserved cysteine residues, there are large sequence variations in plant defensins. The variation in a sequence is possibly linked with the diverse and particular biological function of different defensins and differences in the primary structure of plant defensins from an animal, is likely associated with contrasting physiological function of plants and animals [60, 86].

Plant defensins are usually monomeric in nature. Most of the peptides of this superfamily were isolated from seeds apart from other parts of plants [18, 56]. Like other antimicrobial peptides, defensins preferably exhibit antimicrobial activity through perturbation of membrane. Based on the mechanism of action, defensins are divided into two categories, majorly one which mainly damages the integrity of membrane/fungal hyphae and other which inhibit molecular process, like replication/transcription/translation/other types of machinery. Mechanistic evaluation of defensins suggested that membrane receptor glucosylceramide probably directly interaction and insertion of defensins which lead the morphological damage of fungal cells [19, 27, 34, 74].

In general, there are three methods or models used to describe the mechanism of antimicrobial peptide, viz., (i) carpet model, (ii) barrel stave model, and (iii) toroidal pore model. Briefly, “Carpet model” peptides are electrostatically attracted to the negatively charged phospholipid head groups at numerous sites covering the surface of the membrane in a carpet-like manner. Their accretion on the membrane surface generates tension between the two leaflets of the bilayer, which above a threshold concentration disrupts the bilayer in a detergent-like manner which eventually leads to disintegration/rupture of the membrane ([65, 77]). “Barrel-stave model” peptide helices orient themselves in the membrane in such a manner that the hydrophobic portion of the peptide align with the hydrophobic lipid acyl chains of the core of the bilayer, whereas the hydrophilic portion forms the lining of the interior region of the pore, this topology can be compared with a barrel composed of helical peptides as the staves. In contrast to barrel-stave, in “Toroidal-pore model,” antimicrobial peptide helices insert themselves perpendicularly into the membrane to relieve the curvature strain caused by peptide binding, in this process, they induce the monolayers to continuously bend causing the water core to be lined by both the inserted peptides and lipid head groups [24, 96].

Apart from these models, there is another mechanism explained for defensin. Instead of forming pore directly, defensin interacts with certain phospholipids and facilitates aggregation around, resulting in the permeation of small molecules, ions, and peptides within cells that create reactive oxygen species which eventually stop microbial growth [6, 54, 60, 94]. In mechanistic elucidation, liposome pull-down assay and X-ray crystallographic report of defensin NaD1 of Nicotiana alata revealed that it selectively binds phospholipid phosphatidic acid (PA) (precursor of other phospholipids) and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (NaD1:PA: PIP2). The specific interaction of NaD1 with PA and PIP2 triggers oligomerization of defensin-phospholipid which leads to membrane disorder and disruption of microbial cells [63]. Similar to NaD1, HsAFP1 also exhibits membrane permeabilization activity through specific binding and oligomerization with PA and PIP2 [16]. Mechanistic elucidation also revealed that Lys36 and Arg39 of NaD1 and His32 and Arg52 of HsAFP1, as well as phosphate of PA, play a critical role in the selective
affinity of these defensins toward bacterial membrane [16, 63]. Recently, there has encountered with bi-domain defensin MtDef5, each domain contains 50 amino acids connected through a linker peptide of seven amino acids. Besides, the structural and functional analysis of MtDef5 reveals that replacement of basic amino acids with neutral amino acids of the same core motif removes phospholipid oligomerization properties, which eventually inhibited pore formation activity and subsequently decreased antifungal activity [38].

The structural analysis of conserved tertiary structure and orientation of regular pattern, it has noted that there are two evolutionary independent sub-family of defensins (cis and trans). These two subfamilies are very similar in structural and functional aspects but there are differences in disulfide bridge orientation and intercysteine loop length (Fig. 2). Majority of plant, fungal, and invertebrate defensins belong to cis-type defensin, and trans one includes vertebrate and some invertebrate defensins. Usually, defensins are expressed in precursor form, i.e., N-terminal signal domain which directs its processing and secretion out of the cells and C-terminal matured domain. Based on the structure of precursor protein, plant defensins further subdivided into two class, class 1 and class 2. Most of the plant defenses belong to class 1, which consists of an endoplasmic signal domain followed by a mature/active domain and a relatively very small class, class 2 defensin, consisting of an extra acidic C-terminal prodomain which is removed during the process of maturation. The extra structure of acidic C-terminal prodomain identified in the defensin PhD1 and PhD2 of Petunia hybrida, NaD1 of Nicotiana alata, and developing maize kernel defensin ZmESR6, but the function of this structure is not identified yet. However, it has been advocated that acidic C-terminal prodomain implicate in eliminating the harmful impact of the basic part of defensin or play some role in vacuolar targeting. Plant defensins are potent and broad-spectrum antimicrobial in nature and show activity against bacterial, fungal, viruses, and even against cancerous cells also, but a majority of plant defensins studied or reported at the angle of antifungal activity [45, 63, 76, 89, 90].

Defensins express throughout plant growth but upon induction of any kind of environmental stress (biotic or abiotic), expression exponentially increased [17, 75]. There are several features of defensin, which recognize it as great defense molecules, like systemic distribution provide heavy metal tolerance in the plant, insecticidal activities, protein synthesis inhibition, affect ion channel, antitumor activity, interceding abiotic stress, inhibiting digestive enzymes, etc. [3, 31, 59, 93]. In defense activity

---

**Fig. 2** Representative cis and trans conformation and corresponding tertiary structure of plant defensin HsAFP1 (cis) and human defensin HBD1 (trans). (a and b) Representative and tertiary structure of HsAFP1 (cis) and (c and d) for HBD1 (trans). In cis representative structure of HsAFP1, two consecutive conserved disulfides formed between a-helix and β-3 strand whereas in trans, these two conserved disulfide link form between a-helix and β-3 and β-3 and other secondary structure. --- dash line placed between different secondary structure represent non-conserved disulfide bridges.
against insects, some plant defensins exhibit inhibitory activity toward digestive enzyme present in the insect gut like α-amylase, trypsin and chymotrypsin, etc., for instance, VrD1 from *Vigna radiate*, apart from antifungal activity show defense against insect through α-amylase inhibition [55, 59]. There are some defensin-like, ZmES1-4, play role in reproduction apart from defense [3, 93]. Plant defensins AhPDF1.1 and AhPDF1.2 were recently identified to show metal (Zn) tolerance in yeast and plant through adaptive behavior of endoplasmic reticulum and another organelle [55]. Additionally, paddy defensin CAL1 plays a key role in the distribution of heavy metal cadmium in leaves and stalk in rice instead. CAL1 chelates heavy metal Cd and prevents entry into the cell compartment; thus, it is transported through xylem sap to the leaves and stalks. Analysis of *CAL1*gene and its isogenic line NIL (TN1) and isogenic control NIL (CJ06) revealed that the expression of *CAL1*gene exponentially increases in root and node when it is exposed with Cd. Toward ion channel opening activity, plant defensin ZmES4 open K⁺ channel Kzml in synergid cells and access of K⁺ ions opened pollen tube in maize [2]. In the action of ion channel blockage, certain defensins, such as AtPDF2.3, physically block potassium channel Kv1.2 and Kv1.6, in a similar manner as previously mentioned for snakes toxin and scorpion [46], defensin MetDef1 interferes with Ca⁺² hemostasis by blocking Cav 1.2 channel similar to viral toxin showing toward channel KP4 [46] and recently identified that defensin γ-Z1 and γ-Z2 show Na⁺ ion channel inhibition activity in rat tumor cell [44].

In the last decade, several plant defensins characterized structurally and functionally (Fig. 3). For instance, γ-hordothionin, it is 5.25 Kd defensin with four disulfide bridge displaying cell-free translation inhibition activity, defensin PhD1 (Petunia hybrid), forty-seven amino acids long peptide, consisting of five disulfide bridge; moreover, this defensin’s extra disulfide bridge does not affect the three-dimensional structure. In terms of the sequence, defensins are not very conserved except few amino acid positions, like cysteine, glutamic acid, and glycine. However, in three-dimensional structure, there is conserved γ-core motif comprises of two antiparallel β-sheet and with interposed β-turn region (Fig. 3b orange and yellow strand with interposed loop). It is also perceived that γ-core motif plays an important role for antimicrobial activity but not because of the disulfide loop which stabilizes the structure but due to the abundance of basic amino acids [98].

In the structural and functional studies of Rs-AFP1 and Rs-AFP2 disclose that increasing basic nature amino acids besides existing, through substitution of neutral natural amino acids, increase their antifungal activity [26, 79]. In another study, it was noticed that the potency of antifungal activity of MtDef directly related to the abundance of basic nature amino acids at γ-core motif. γ-core motif of MtDef1 has four basic residues which display potent antifungal activity, but MtDef2 has no antifungal activity in the absence of positively charged amino acids [26, 79]. In another similar kind report, where replacement of γ-core motif of MtDef1 with MtDef4, turned it potent active
toward phytopathogenic fungal strains, as like MtDef4 [67]. A research report showing novel synthetic peptide similar to γ-core motif of certain defensin demonstrates inhibitory activity against multiple human pathogenic bacterial strains, such as L. monocytogenes, S. aureus, H. pylori, etc. [64]. Similarly, a recent study of understanding the spectrum of different defensins toward fungal as well as bacterial strains, Andrew et al., functionally characterized the synthetic γ-core motif of MsDef1, MtDef4, MtDef5, RsAFP-2, and So-D2. In the investigation, he observed that when the γ-core is active or inactive against fungal or bacterial strains, its corresponding full-length is effective or inactive accordingly [70].

There are several transgenic plants in the field which confer extra gene of protein having great resistivity against herbicides, insect, and pests. However, there are no single transgenic plants in the market, which confer resistance against pathogenic fungi. But several studies have reported that plant AMP mainly defensins demonstrated successful response to pathogenic microbes in transgenic plants. The first attempt was made in this direction by transforming radish antifungal peptide defensins Rs-AFP2 into tobacco. In this study, the author identified that Rs-AFP2 was overexpressed in foreign plant tobacco and showed significant resistance to Alternaria longipes phytopathogenic fungi [86]. Only a few years later, the same defensin was transformed into an apple by Agrobacterium tumefaciens and in vitro activity study was found to inhibit the spore proliferation of Fusarium culmorum by transgenic Rs-AFP2 [39]. Similarly, many more studies have been done through generating transgenic plants of different defensins and reported for significant effectiveness response against different fungi, such as transgenic tomato of Rs-AFP2 (demonstrated efficacy against fungi; F. oxysporum, P. infestans, R. solani, and Alternaria solani) [84], rice (tested against rice blast sheath blight causing fungi M. oryzae and R. solani) [46], mustard defensin BjD transgenic tobacco (tested against F. moniliforme and P. parasitica), etc. [92]. Defensins mainly know for antifungal activity and study of the development of transgenic plant have also done mainly against phytopathogenic fungi. Recently, identification of broad-spectrum nature and great potency of MtDef4 and MtDef5 against a wide range of fungal as well as bacterial strains suggest that development of transgenic plant of these peptides would be very fruitful. Though, the question arises why are defensin transgenics which can defend against pathogenic fungi and bacteria not yet on the market? The answer to this question is any drug or medication or genetically modified organism cannot release on the market a prior comprehensive evaluation of its pro and con against consumers (animals and humans), environmental risk, effectiveness, reproducibility, stability, and field response by the appointed regulatory authority. Nonetheless, the findings suggest that transgenic plant of defensin will be triumphed in the market soon.

2.2 Thionins
The first antimicrobial peptide identified and isolated from the plant was thionin. The name thionins represent a family of homologous peptides, for instance, purothionine, derived from wheat seeds and homologous of this from various sources like vascotoxins (from the leaves and stem of Viscum album), phoratoxins (from the leaves of Phoradendron tomentosum), ligatoxins A (Phoradendron liga), etc. [62]. This is class of a small plant defense peptide of approximately 5Kd with six to eight cysteine which involves in disulfide bridge formation [50]. Apart from direct plant protection, thionins also play several other crucial roles, such as protein body packaging or mobilization in the process of germination or dormancy of seed [28]. It also plays a crucial role in the modification of cell walls of fungal hyphae or acts as a subcellular messenger in signal transduction [4, 61].

Structurally, thionins describe as a basic amphipathic peptide with two antiparallel α-helices, one antiparallel β-sheet of two strands, and three to four disulfide bridges. The conserved secondary structure arranges in a pattern of β1-α1-α2-β2, which ultimately give tertiary structure similar to the Greek letter Γ. The longer arm comprises of α1 and α2 and shorter one of β1 and β2 [14, 35, 80, 82] (Fig. 4). The outer surface of the longer arm contains mainly hydrophobic residues where hydrophilic mostly present on the inner surface, the groove between an alpha-helix and beta-sheet, and over the shorter arm. In the groove between α-helix and β-sheet, there is a conserved residues Tyr13, which likely involve in membrane interaction and leakage of microbial cells [50]. In structure and function relation-shipped study of almost all thionins except crambine, it has been observed that conserved position Lys 1 and Tyr 13 play crucial role in toxicity against fungal and animal cells, and the non-toxic Crambins (type-IV thionins) contain Thr and Phe in place of highly conserved position Lys1 and Tyr13 respectively (Fig. 4a). There has been also reported that iodination of Tyr 13 can abolish the toxicity of thionins [25, 29, 81, 95]. Thionin gene comprises of twenty amino acids as a leader sequence and sixty amino acids long C-terminal acidic domain apart from the central active domain of 45-47 amino acids [4]. Leader sequence removes in the process of maturation and production of active AMP [32, 72]. It is postulated by Carrasco, Vazquez et al. [11] and Bohlmann, Clausen et al. [9].

Formerly, thionins were categorized as α-/β-thionins and γ-thionins. Later on, the structural analysis revealed that that γ-thionins are very similar to another
superfamily of peptide defensins and placed in the same [83]. Depending upon the size, numeral of basic residues or net charge, and disulfide bridges, thionins are categorized into five types [80]. Type I, also designate as purothionin, present in endosperm of Poaceae family [21]. It is forty-five amino acids long comprises of four disulfide bridges and highly basic in nature (approximately ten basic residues). Thionintype II (α-Hordothionin and β-Hordothionin), about 46-47 amino acids long, basic nature and similar to type I possess four disulfide bridges. In contrast to type I, the central disulfide loop comprises a few more amino acids [32, 66]. It is isolated from the leaves of a poisonous plant buffalo nut (family Santalacae) and barely. Viscotoxin, phoratoxicine, and ligatoxicine have grouped in type III thionins. These are isolated from the stems and leaves of mistletoe species, for example, Viscum album (vascotoxins), Phoradendron tomentosum (Phoratoxins), and Phoradendron liga (Ligatoxins A). Type III thionins, comprises of 46 amino acids with three disulfide bridges and basicity is very similar to type II [52, 68, 69, 87]. Thionin type IV comprises of 46 amino acids with three disulfide bridges and net charge zero at pH 7, for instance, carambine belongs to type IV thionins were isolated from the seed of Abyssinian cabbage. Despite neutral charge and prevalence of hydrophobic residues, it is amphipathic in nature due to the presence of both basic and acidic amino acids [73]. In contrast to the other four categories of thionins, type V is quite different, such as negatively charged or neutral and a different set of cysteine pairing in disulfide loop formation ([12]).

It is believed that thionins exhibit activity through pore forming over pathogen membrane which allows seepage of Ca and K ions into or from the cells. As per the previous report, when Neurospora crassa treated with antifungal thionin, Rs-APF2, and Dm-AMP1, induce rapid efflux of K and uptake Ca, lead shoot up alkalinity of the incubated medium. Similarly, when treated with α-hordothionin, apart from the uptake of Ca and efflux of K also cause the leakage of α-aminobutyric acids which altered the electrical property across the membrane and subsequently lead to the rupture of a lipid bilayer. It is also reported that thionins present in cell walls or space between the membrane and cell walls of some tissues, but accumulated more upon ingression of pathogenic fungal strains [58]. Similarly, other study reported that thionin Asthi1 localized in the cell wall of transgenic rice of the same which accumulate more and invoke resistance against B. plantarii and B. glumae upon their inoculation. Not only antimicrobial activity, but some thionins also exert antidote toward toxin, like, Thi2.4 neutralize the toxicity of fungal fruit body lectin (FFBL) released from Fusarium graminearum [4].

In contrast to other plant defense peptides, thionins comprise of relatively more conserved in the sequence of amino acids. Broadly, all five type of thionin categorized into three groups depending on the number of cysteine residue or disulfide loop. Types I and II grouped in one category 8C, where they have 8 cysteine residues, types III and IV are placed in 6C (contain 6 Cysteine), and type V in another group where two conserved...
disulfide bridges and one novel disulfide loop. In 8C, the pattern of disulfide loops are as CysI-CysVIII, CysII-CysVII, CysIII-CysVI, and CysIV-CysV. In these, the first loop connect β1 to C terminal coiled part; second, β1 to β2; third, α1 and loop next to α2; and fourth, α1 to α2. In 6C, the second disulfide bridge CysII-CysVII is not present otherwise all other cysteine pairings remain the same to thionins 8C (Fig. 4) [53, 95].

Along with the defense of plants, several plant-based antimicrobial peptides also show inhibitory activity toward animal or human pathogen as we discussed above under defensins. In a study, Marta et al. reported that mixture of different thionin (α-1, α-2, and β type 1) from wheat (T. aestivum) displayed very potent activity against amoebic parasite promastigotes of L. donovani compared to defensins and other plant antimicrobial peptides. In the mechanistic elucidation, the author found that thionin dissipates H/OH across the membrane which subsequently drops the intracellular level of ATP [7]. Apart from antimicrobial activity, some thionins also exhibit anticancer activities. For instance, thionins Pyrularia pubera (PpTH) exhibit anti-cancer activity against cervical cancer (HeLa) and mouse melanoma (B16) with IC_{50} approximately 10 nM, through Ca^{2+} mediated activation of phospholipase A2 upon depolarization of plasma membrane [25]. Viscothione B2 display antitumor activity against sarcoma with IC_{50} around 3 μM through cell permeabilization [42]. On contrary, viscothione A1-3 exhibits a cytotoxic effect toward human lymphocytes through the generation of reactive oxygen species as well as direct membrane damage [10]. Ligatoxin B, show toxic activity toward human adenocarcinoma and Lymphoma cell line (U937GTB) and likely through inhibiting transcription/translation upon direct binding of its DNA binding domain [47]. Thionin phoratoxin (A-F) show toxicity against different kind of cells or tissues, such as phora A and phora B reported toxic toward rat (at 0.5 mg\textsuperscript{-1} mg/kg body weight, through electrical and mechanical damage of papillary muscles cells), phora C, along with anti-tumor activity against different cancerous cells like solid tumor cells (NCI-H69, ACHN) and lymphoma cells (U937GTB), show selective high toxicity toward primary cells of breast cancer among other phoratoxin C-F [40, 71]. Arabidopsis thaliana derived thionin 2.1 exhibits anti-cancer activity against pulmonary adenocarcinoma cell, A549, breast cancer cell MCF-7 and cervical cancer cell HeLa [48]. However, thionin 2.1 also demonstrate inhibitory activity against normal mammary epithelial and endothelial cells of bovine. Together, we can say the non-selective toxic activity of thionins against animals can be exploited to design or modulate for development of novel selective anticancer molecules.

Similar to the defensins, transformation study of thionins have also been done but relatively lesser extent, such as rice plant with transgenic of oat thionin asth1, afford resistance against B. plantarii and B. gulmae, α-Hordothionin expressing transgenic sweet potato described to show resistivity against black rote disease-causing microbes C. fimbriata and transgenic tomato of thionin 2.1, reported to exhibit resistance against several disease-causing microbes [22, 36, 60]. Globally, HLB or citrus greening is vector transmitted one of the most alarming diseases of citrus. Recently, in a study, endogenous thionin of citrus modified and transformed into a variety of citrus-like Carrizo to develop overexpressing transgenic of modified endogenous thionin. The study reported that overexpression of modified thionin develops resistance against citrus canker causing bacteria X citri as well as a significant reduction in the titter of HBL causative bacteria Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus (Las) [33]. Together with results suggest that thionins can also be a promising candidate to develop a transgenic plant with potent resistivity against phytopathogenic fungi and bacteria.

3 Conclusions

In the logic of development of resistant plants against phytopathogenic fungi, transgenic of AMPs could contribute a very crucial role in agriculture. There have been several transgenic plants in the market which confer extra gene of protein having great resistivity against herbicides, insect, and pests. However, there are no single transgenic plants in the market, which confer resistance against pathogenic fungi. As discussed above that there have been studies reported that the successful development of several transgenic plants of different antimicrobial peptides and observed different level of resistance-conferring potency in every other transgenic plant against phytopathogenic fungi. In the long regulatory process, there has not been marketed any antimicrobial peptides based transgenic plants for phytopathogenic fungi. However, success report state that plausibly in the near future transgenic plants of AMPs would be released with devoid of harmful effect, with good efficiency, reproducibility, stability, and least production cost.
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