Advancing COVID-19 differentiation with a robust preprocessing and integration of multi-institutional open-repository computer tomography datasets for deep learning analysis
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Abstract. The coronavirus pandemic and its unprecedented consequences globally has spurred the interest of the artificial intelligence research community. A plethora of published studies have investigated the role of imaging such as chest X-rays and computer tomography in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) automated diagnosis. Open repositories of medical imaging data can play a significant role by promoting cooperation among institutes in a world-wide scale. However, they may induce limitations related to variable data quality and intrinsic differences due to the wide variety of scanner vendors and imaging parameters. In this study, a state-of-the-art custom U-Net model is presented with a dice similarity coefficient performance of 99.6% along with a transfer learning VGG-19 based model for COVID-19 versus pneumonia differentiation exhibiting an area under curve of 96.1%. The above was significantly improved over the baseline model trained with no segmentation in selected tomographic slices of the same dataset. The presented study highlights the importance of a robust preprocessing protocol for image analysis within a heterogeneous imaging dataset and assesses the potential diagnostic value of the presented COVID-19 model by comparing its performance to the state of the art.

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease, caused by the new coronavirus which was first observed in Wuhan, China in December 2019. On the 11th of March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared this outbreak as a pandemic. As of the 8th of July 2020, more than 11.5 million people have been confirmed to have contracted the virus and more than half a million have died due to complications of the disease (1). The clinical symptoms of COVID-19 are non-specific and in most of the cases include fever, cough, fatigue and dyspnea (2). Obesity (3), chronic cardiovascular diseases (4) and smoking habits (5) have also been reported to contribute to the deterioration of the disease. Toxic stressors in urban environments could have played a role in deteriorating the immune system of the local population forming the basis for the spreading of COVID-19 disease (6). In a similar context, Tsatsakis et al (7) explored the association among human-induced pollutants found in greenhouse gases, the effect on the immune system and other environmental aspects related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Early diagnosis is important not only for prompt treatment planning but also for isolation of patients in order to prevent spreading the virus to the community. Currently, reverse transcription-polymerase
chain reaction (RT-PCR) represents the gold-standard for diagnosing COVID-19. However, testing with RT-PCR shows limited sensitivity (SN) which, adding to the shortage of testing kits and the increased waiting time for results, increase the screening burden and delays the isolation procedure (8,9). Thus, the scientific community has been searching alternative protocols for timely and accurate diagnosis. X-ray and chest computed tomography (CT) imaging could be used as a reliable and rapid approach for COVID-19 screening (9,10). Both methods have the potential to depict COVID-19 related chest abnormalities, with ground-glass lung opacification and consolidation showing bilateral and subpleural or diffuse distribution representing the cardinal findings (9,11-16). Although, the image acquisition is easy and fast, interpretation can be challenging and time-consuming, especially for inexperienced and subspecialized medical professionals. In order to eliminate such drawbacks, the scientific community has been shifting its focus towards developing automated tools for the analysis of imaging data. In this context, several artificial intelligence (AI) methods have been developed to provide a prediction for the disease and preliminary severity assessment. Tsiknakis et al (17) proposed an Interpretable Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) based on transfer learning for predicting COVID-19 against viral and bacterial pneumonia and normal cases based on more than 400 X-ray images, achieving an area under curve (AUC) of 100%. Apostolopoulos and Mpesiana (18) also developed a CNN for predicting COVID-19 from almost 1,500 X-ray images, achieving an accuracy (ACC) of 96.78%, SN of 98.66% and specificity (SPC) of 96.46%. However, compared to X-ray images, CT scans provide a more detailed overview of the internal structure of lung parenchyma due to the lack of overlapping tissues. Thus, recent research has focused on the development of effective AI methods based on CT scans. Li et al (19) developed a 3D CNN for predicting COVID-19 against community acquired pneumonia (CAP) from 3D CT scans. Their model was trained on a fairly large dataset of 4,356 CT scans from 3,322 patients, 1,296 of which referred to COVID-19 positive patients, and achieved SN of 90%, SPC of 96% and an AUC equal to 95% regarding the COVID-19 class. Their proposed model consists of several identical ResNet50 models, one for each CT image. The feature maps of all the backbone models were combined through a max pooling layer, which was followed by a dense layer for the final ternary classification. The main downside of such an approach is that only one ground truth label per exam is available, and not one for each layer of the CT scan. Optimally, for achieving a finer and detailed network performance, each CT layer should be graded individually. In this way, the model would benefit from the inter-CT-layer relations of the 3D input, as well as from the extended ground truth information. Wang et al (20) proposed a CNN model which was first trained on CT scans with cancer from 4,106 patients in order to learn lung features. Subsequently, they fine-tuned the model on a COVID-19 multi-centric CT dataset (709 patients). Their model was validated on 4 independent datasets from different clinical centers. Their approach performed well in identifying COVID-19 against bacterial or other viral pneumonia cases, achieving an AUC of 87%, SN of 80.39% and SPC of 76.61% against bacterial pneumonia and AUC of 86%, SN of 79.35% and SPC of 71.43% against viral pneumonia. In addition, they stratified the patients into high and low risk groups, in order to propose a hospital-stay time schedule. Zhang et al (21) utilized another network to segment the lung regions, before applying the classification network in order to discard the background and irrelevant regions within the CT scan. Their proposed pipeline achieved an ACC of 91.2%, SN of 94.03%, SPC of 88.46% and an AUC of 96.1% for predicting COVID-19 on a prospective Chinese dataset, while similar results were achieved on two other prospective Chinese datasets. Subsequently, the model was applied on a dataset from Ecuador, ACC of 84.11%, SN of 86.67%, SPC of 82.26% and AUC of 90.5%. In addition, they evaluated the effect of drug treatment on lesion size and volume changes using their AI model. Finally, they compared their model to 8 junior and 4 mid-senior radiologists, for predicting COVID-19 on an independent dataset which was annotated by 4 independent senior radiologists. The model outperformed the junior radiologists, while its performance was comparable to that of the mid-senior ones. Arakaki et al (22) utilized 10 pre-trained convolutional networks as the backbone of their classifier, achieving the best AUC score of 99.4%, SN of 100%, SPC of 99% and ACC of 99.5%, for distinguishing COVID-19 pneumonia from other types of pneumonia (viral and bacterial). At the same time, the performance of a radiologist was moderate, achieving much lower results, i.e., AUC of 87.3%, SN of 89%, SPC of 83% and ACC of 86%. However, their dataset included a limited amount of data, namely 1,020 CT slices for 108 COVID-19 positive patients and 86 pneumonia positive patients. Additionally, plenty of unpublished scientific preprints have been available on open databases, claiming high accuracy, SN and SP scores (23-29), for predicting COVID-19 against other pneumonia types or healthy patients based on deep learning models.

In this study, we propose an extensive pipeline for automatic COVID-19 screening against other types of pneumonia (i.e., viral and bacterial) from CT scans, utilizing lung segmentation for increasing the accuracy. Some key innovations of this study can be summarized in the integration of multi-institutional and open-access data from a variety of scanners and imaging protocols retrieved from online repositories in formats such as DICOM or Portable network Graphics (png), the development of a deep learning lung segmentation model for multiple CT window settings and finally a deep learning model for differentiating COVID-19 from CAP. This study introduces a state-of-the-art deep learning model for lung segmentation on slices with a variety of CT window settings (DSC 99.6%) and an image analysis deep model trained with multi-institutional data for differentiating COVID-19 from CAP (AUC 96.1%).

Materials and methods

Dataset. The proposed analysis was performed using open data of confirmed COVID-19 and widely available online cases. In particular, the patient cohort consisted of 3 datasets (30-32) with 1,266 COVID-19 and 586 pneumonia cases stratified on a patient-basis resulting in 5,109 CT slices. Furthermore, slices characterized other than COVID-19 or pneumonia such as normal were discarded. The curated individual slices were collected from patients in hospitals from São Paulo in Brazil (30) and open repositories such as medRxiv.
Additionally, these datasets were made available as stand alone 8-bit image files with unknown compression methodology, no metadata information available and various CT window settings rendering segmentation and classification tasks with traditional methods challenging. In contrast, the dataset consisted of 20 cases with COVID-19 pixel-based annotated regions of interest in meta-image form (NifTi files) from the open repositories of Radiopedia (http://radiopedia.org) and Coronacases (http://coronacases.org). This multi-institutional collection of CT examinations lead to high variability in spacing, pixel array size and windowing type across the examined cases.

**Lung segmentation.** U-Net (33) is a fully-convolutional architecture comprising multiple consecutive convolutional, pooling layers in the encoder part and convolutional, upsampling layers in the decoder part. The deep network can accurately match incoming CT examination slices to their corresponding segmentation mask. This process is learned from data with known segmentation masks through the back-propagation of the similarity error during the training phase. The Lung Image Database Consortium-Image Database Resource Initiative (LIDC-IDRI) (34) dataset was used for training and evaluating the deep learning segmentation model. The ground truth masks for lung segmentation were extracted by a fully-automated Hounsfield Units (HU) based algorithm (35). A subset of the 1,018 scans with 98,433 CT slices was used for model convergence. A detailed view of the architecture is depicted in (Fig. 1).

**Pre-processing.** The image resolution of the examined patient cohort varied from 148x61 to 1,637x1,225 pixels introducing a significant limitation for the deep learning analysis. Each slice was resized with a linear interpolation technique targeting 512x512 pixels to match the required dimension of the input layer of the U-Net segmentation network. The slices of the examined cohort were segmented with the custom U-Net and normalized to achieve pixel values with unit variance and zero mean prior to the deep learning analysis. In Fig. 2 the testing set ground truth masks versus predicted masks are presented revealing a highly performing segmentation model and in Fig. 3 a randomly selected set of predicted segmentation masks from the COVID-19 dataset are demonstrated. Despite the aforementioned variability in pixel array dimensions and CT window settings the proposed methodology establishes minimal segmentation error.

**Deep architecture analysis.** A transfer learning approach was used for improving the convergence and fine-tuning process based on CT slices of the examined cohort by adapting the inner representation of the pre-trained model to the targeted COVID-19 versus CAP analysis. Two additional convolutional layers with 256 filters each and a neural network with 1,000 neurons were appended in the original pre-trained model as depicted in Fig. 4. The source code and the detailed hyperparameter configuration files are provided online (https://github.com/trivizakis/ct-covid-analysis).

**Performance evaluation.** The evaluation was assessed by following metrics of ACC, SN, SPC and precision (PR):

\[
ACC = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + TN + FN}
\]

\[
SN = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}
\]

\[
SPC = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}
\]

\[
PR = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}
\]
Results

A 4-fold cross-validation process was performed for splitting the dataset in the convergence and the testing set. The convergence set was further randomly split into the training (80%) and validation set (20%) for applying the fine-tuning and hyperparameter optimization process respectively. The testing folds remained unseen throughout the analysis to assess the performance of the proposed deep learning model. A custom U-Net for lung parenchyma segmentation was trained and
evaluated on a total set of 109,370 LIDC-IDRI CT slices with ground truth segmentation masks calculated on a HU basis by an automated algorithm. The U-Net converged after 5 epochs of training and achieved a performance of Dice Similarity Coefficient of 99.55% in the testing set providing a reliable and accurate segmentation model as evident by the randomly selected examples presented in Fig. 3. Although, perfect segmentation masks cannot be obtained for the analysis dataset due to the variability in image quality; the majority of pathological pixels are present in the final segmented image despite some false negative pixels. Several pre-trained models were examined including VGG (36), Inception (37), NASNet (38), DenseNet (39) and MobileNet (40) with additional convolutional and neural network layers as depicted in

Figure 4. The proposed transfer learning analysis pipeline for COVID-19 versus pneumonia differentiation including the preprocessing phase with lung segmentation and z-normalization.

Figure 5. Performance assessment with ROC (left), Precision-Recall (right) curves for the proposed analysis (top) and the baseline model (bottom). ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
Fig. 4. The analysis architecture was trained on average for 16 epochs before early-stopping. Most of pre-trained models performed comparably with an AUC up to 93% and the highest performance (AUC 96.1%) was achieved with VGG-19 as the backend pre-trained network. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and PR-recall curves of the best model are presented in Fig. 5 (top) outlining the robustness and constancy of the examined analysis. The proposed deep learning architecture with a robust pre-processing protocol including lung segmentation and image standardization outperforms the baseline model (up-to AUC 91.3%) with similar architecture but with unsegmented CT slices and the current literature (Table I) with similar experimental protocols.

Discussion

The proposed analysis integrates deep learning lung segmentation for removing irrelevant organs/landmarks and transfer learning for discriminating between COVID-19 and other types of pneumonia from CT imaging data with multiple window settings. The segmentation model was trained on openly available CT data (LIDC-IDRI) providing state-of-the-art performance even in datasets such as the examined COVID-19 (Fig. 3) from various institutes with different CT scanners, no DICOM metadata available and variability in image quality. Thus, the transfer learning-based analysis was focused only on the lung region resulting in higher performance (AUC 96.1%) significantly advancing the baseline of 91.3% without segmented CT slices of the examined dataset. The fact that during inference, suspicious or disputable CT slices selected for analysis by the deep model should have been identified by an expert radiologist from the raw CT volume, might be considered as a limitation since a fully automated diagnosis is not possible. That said, as presented in Table I, the proposed methodology outperforms the current literature (19-21) in terms of AUC performance. In particular, the deep model proposed by Zhang et al (21) demonstrates similar performance with the present analysis but the examined CT slices were manually segmented on lesion basis before classification (COVID-19 versus common pneumonia versus normal patients). Ardakani et al (22) claims a model with an AUC performance of 99.4%, however, it utilizes a different experimental protocol than the examined herein, in which patches (60 by 60 pixels) were extracted by an experienced radiologist for the analysis requiring additional and time-consuming labor from a clinical practice perspective.

In conclusion, the present study is addressing limitations of other efforts (22,27,41) where the analysis was applied on raw CT data with more demanding preprocessing phase and uniform data quality. This is further highlighted by the increased performance stability demonstrated by the low prediction variability among deep models of each fold in both ROC (standard deviation 0.55%) and PR (standard deviation 0.34%) curves as depicted in Fig. 5 (top).
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Table I. Performance of the proposed analysis in comparison to the current peer-reviewed research with similar end-points.

| Type | ACC | SN | SPC | AUC | Patients, no. (training/testing) | CT Slices, no. (training/testing) |
|------|-----|----|-----|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Proposed with segmentation | 91.1 | 92.0 | 87.5 | 96.1 | COVID-19: 894/372 | COVID-19: 2,047/853 |
| Proposed no lung segmentation | 85.1 | 88.8 | 76.8 | 91.3 | COVID-19: 400/68 | COVID-19: 1,165/127 |
| Li et al (19) | - | 90.0 | 96.0 | 95.0 | COVID-19: 1396/155 | Non-pneumonia: 1,173/130 |
| Wang et al (20) | - | 80.4 | 76.6 | 87.0 | All: 709/557 | - |
| Zhang et al (21) | 91.2 | 94.0 | 88.5 | 96.0 | COVID-19: 797 | Non-pneumonia: 697 |
| Ardakani et al (22) | 99.5 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 99.4 | COVID-19: 108 | Non-COVID-19: 86 |
| Harmon et al (42) | 90.8 | 84.0 | 93.0 | 94.8 | COVID-19: 451/276 | Non-COVID-19: 533/1,011 |

ACC, achieving an accuracy; SN, sensitivity; SPC, specificity; AUC, area under curve; CT, computed tomography; CAP, community acquired pneumonia; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.
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