HEN1 recognizes 21-24 nt small RNA duplexes and deposits a methyl group onto the 2' OH of the 3' terminal nucleotide.
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ABSTRACT

microRNAs (miRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) in plants bear a methyl group on the ribose of the 3′ terminal nucleotide. We showed previously that the methylation of miRNAs and siRNAs requires the protein HEN1 in vivo and that purified HEN1 protein methylates miRNA/miRNA* duplexes in vitro. In this study, we show that HEN1 methylates both miRNA/miRNA* and siRNA/siRNA* duplexes in vitro with a preference for 21–24 nt RNA duplexes with 2 nt overhangs. We also demonstrate that HEN1 deposits the methyl group on to the 2′ OH of the 3′ terminal nucleotide. Among various modifications that can occur on the ribose of the terminal nucleotide, such as 2′-deoxy, 3′-deoxy, 2′-O-methyl and 3′-O-methyl, only 2′-O-methyl on a small RNA inhibits the activity of yeast poly(A) polymerase (PAP). These findings indicate that HEN1 specifically methylates miRNAs and siRNAs and implicate the importance of the 2′-O-methyl group in the biology of RNA silencing.

INTRODUCTION

Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) are 21–24 nt sequence-specific mediators of RNA silencing. siRNAs are processed from long double-stranded RNAs through the action of an RNase III enzyme Dicer [reviewed in (1)]. The products of Dicer-mediated cleavage are duplexes of siRNAs with 2 nt overhangs in each strand. Usually one strand of the siRNA duplex is selectively incorporated into an RNA silencing effector complex known as the RISC while the antisense strand is degraded. The RISC loaded with the siRNA targets RNAs with sequence complementarity to the siRNA for cleavage. siRNAs are also known to target chromatin modifications, such as histone methylation and DNA methylation (2–4). In addition to siRNAs from viruses and transgenes, plants appear to be rich in endogenous siRNAs, such as siRNAs from repeat sequences, transposons, as well as a class of trans-acting siRNAs (5–12). Plant siRNAs belong to two major size classes, 21–22 nt and 24 nt. The 21–22 nt class mediates RNA cleavage while the 24 nt class is believed to cause chromatin modification (5).

microRNAs (miRNAs) are 21–24 nt RNA products of non-protein coding genes. In animals, the biogenesis of miRNAs from their primary precursors involves at least two RNase III enzymes [reviewed in (13)]. A Drosha-containing protein complex processes the pri-miRNA into a hairpin pre-miRNA, in which the miRNA is located within the stem of the hairpin. The pre-miRNA is further processed by Dicer to a duplex of the miRNA and its antisense strand miRNA*. The miRNA/miRNA* duplex resembles a siRNA/siRNA* duplex in that each strand has a 2 nt overhang, but differs from a siRNA/siRNA* duplex in that it usually contains mismatches in the two strands. The miRNA strand is selectively loaded on to RISC, where the miRNA mediates sequence-specific regulation of target mRNAs.

In plants, the biogenesis of miRNAs and all types of siRNAs involves an additional step, methylation. Arabidopsis miRNAs and siRNAs carry a methyl group on the ribose of the 3′ terminal nucleotide (14–16). Methylation of the small RNAs requires the protein HEN1. In hen1 mutants, small RNAs lack methylation and have additional nucleotides, primarily uridines, on their 3′ ends, suggesting that one function of small RNA methylation is to protect the 3′ ends of the small RNAs from an as yet unidentified enzymatic activity in vivo (15). In vitro, HEN1 was found to act on two miRNA/miRNA* duplexes with different sequences but not DNA duplexes, single-stranded miRNA, pre-miRNA or tRNA, suggesting that HEN1 prefers the structure of miRNA/miRNA* duplexes (16). Furthermore, we showed that the 2′ OH and 3′ OH of the 3′ terminal nucleotide in miRNA/miRNA* duplexes are both required for the activity of HEN1 (16).
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In the previous study, we found that HEN1 was unable to methylate two 21 nt siRNA/siRNA* duplexes in vitro (16), which raised the question of whether HEN1 can recognize and methylate siRNA duplexes on its own. In addition, the position of the methyl group on the ribose of the 3' terminal nucleotide was not determined. In this study, we characterized the substrate specificity of HEN1, determined the position of the methyl group, and tested the effect of the methylation on other enzymes. We showed that HEN1 prefers miRNA/miRNA* duplexes of 21–24 nt and that HEN1 also works on siRNA/siRNA* duplexes between 21 and 24 nt but it prefers 23–24 nt siRNA/siRNA* duplexes. We showed that HEN1 exclusively methylates the 2' OH of the 3' terminal nucleotide and that this modification in a small RNA affects the ability of the small RNA to serve as a substrate for two enzymes tested.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Expression and purification of HEN1 protein from Escherichia coli

Glutathione S-transferase (GST) and GST-HEN1 were expressed and purified as described previously (16).

Preparation of miRNA/miRNA* and siRNA/siRNA* duplexes

Single-stranded RNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies or Dharmazym RNA Technologies in high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) purified forms. The RNAs were resuspended in the annealing buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM KCl, and 5 mM MgCl₂], and the concentrations of the RNAs were verified by spectrometry. Annealing of the complementary strands was performed by mixing equal amounts of RNAs, heating the mixture to 95°C for 5 min, cooling to 37°C and incubating at 37°C for 2 h followed by room temperature incubation for 1 h.

miRNA and siRNA methyltransferase assay

The methyltransferase assay was performed as described previously (16). Briefly, a 100 μl of reaction mixture containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 5% glycerol, 2 μl RNasin (Promega), 0.5 μCi S-adenosyl-L-[methyl-14C]methionine ([14C]SAM) (58.0 μCi/mmol; Amersham Biosciences), 5 μg purified protein and 1 nmol RNA substrate was incubated at 37°C for 2 h. The reaction was extracted with phenol/chloroform. Small RNAs were ethanol precipitated and analyzed in a 15% polyacrylamide urea gel. The gel was treated with an autoradiography enhancer (Enhance from PerkinElmer) and exposed to X-ray film at −80°C.

For the methylation of miR173/miR173* C (duplex 2 in Table 1) for use in the HPLC analysis, about 200 μg of the annealed miR173/miR173* C duplex was incubated with purified GST-HEN1 or GST in the presence of 50 mM SAM at 37°C for 2 h. The miRNAs were extracted with phenol/chloroform followed by ethanol precipitation. The extracted miRNAs were annealed and methylated again with GST-HEN1 or GST.

Reverse-phase HPLC analysis of methylated miR173 nucleosides

The miR173/miR173*C RNAs (duplex 2 in Table 1) from the GST or GST-HEN1 reactions were dissolved in a 45 μl volume of 20 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.3) with 5 mM ZnCl₂ and 50 mM NaCl, and then digested with 5 U of nuclease P1 (USB; 1000 U/μl) for 60 min at 37°C. After digestion, 10 μl of 1 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) and 1 U of calf intestine alkaline phosphatase (Roche) were added, and incubation was carried out for 30 min at 37°C. The miR173/miR173*C hydrolysate was subjected to reverse-phase HPLC with a Phenomenex Luna C18 (250 x 4.60 mm) column at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. The mobile phase was 50 mM triethylamine acetate [TEAA (pH 7.6)] and 2% acetonitrile (ACN). A gradient was used where the concentration of ACN was gradually increased at 15 min. The running program was as follows: 0–15 min: 2% ACN; 15–20 min: linear increase to 100% ACN.

miRNA 3' end adenylation with yeast poly(A) polymerase (PAP)

A 25 μl mixture containing 0.5 μl yeast PAP (USB; 600 U/μl), 0.5 μl or 2.5 μl [α-32P]ATP (10 μCi/μl; PerkinElmer) and 80 nM miR173 was incubated at 37°C for 10 min. The radiolabeled RNAs were analyzed in a 15% polyacrylamide urea gel. The radioactive signals were visualized with a PhosphorImager.

Ligation with T4 RNA ligase

Synthesized miR173 RNA standard and miR173 with a methyl group on either the 2' or 3' OH (miR173-2’OMe or miR173-3’OMe) were treated with calf intestine alkaline phosphatase (New England Biolabs) to remove the 5’P to prevent self-ligation. Ligation of the miR173 RNAs to an RNA linker (5’-pUAUGAAGGCC), in which the 3’ OH is blocked by a C-3 spacer (CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), was performed at 16°C for 16 h in a 10 μl mixture containing 20 U T4 RNA ligase (Amersham Pharmacia), 1 ng of dephosphorylated miR173 and 1 μg RNA linker. Free miR173 and miR173 ligated to the RNA linker were resolved by gel electrophoresis and detected by RNA filter hybridization using a probe complementary to miR173.

RESULTS

HEN1 has a strict size requirement for miRNA/miRNA* duplexes

Our previous study (16) showed that miRNA/miRNA* duplexes, but not pre-miRNA, DNA duplexes, or single-stranded miRNAs, are preferred substrates of HEN1 in vitro. We also showed that a miRNA/miRNA* duplex with blunt ends did not serve as a good substrate indicating that the 2 nt overhang is an important feature in the substrate. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the 2’ and 3’ hydroxyl groups (OH) on the 3’ terminal nucleotide are required in cis for methylation. Other features of the miRNA/miRNA* duplex, such as the sequence, the length of the duplex, the number and the sequence of the overhanging nucleotides and the presence of unpaired nucleotides, or bulges, in the duplex,
| Number | Name |
|--------|------|
| 1      | miR173/miR173* | g a uucgccu gca agaga a ucac 22 nt |
| 2      | miR173/miR173*C | g a uucgccu gca agaga a ucac 22 nt |
| 3      | miR173(17)/miR173* (17) | g a uucgccu gca agaga a ucac 17 nt |
| 4      | miR173(17)/miR173*(16) | g a uucgccu gca agaga a ucac 16 nt |
| 5      | miR173(16)/miR173*(15) | g a gaa g gca uucua ucac 16 nt |
| 6      | miR173(18)/miR173*(17) | g a gaa g gca uucua ucac 18 nt |
| 7      | miR173(20)/miR173*(19) | g a gaa g gca uucua ucac 20 nt |
| 8      | miR173(24)/miR173*(23) | g a gaa g gca uucua ucac 24 nt |
| 9      | miR173(26aaaa)/miR173*(25uuuu) | g a gaa g gca uucua ucac 26 nt |
| 10     | miR173(28)/miR173*(27) | g a gaa g gca uucua ucac 28 nt |
| 11     | miR173(26agag)/miR173*(25ucuc) | g a gaa g gca uucua ucac 26 nt |
| 12     | siR173(17)/siR173*(17) | g a uucgccu gca agaga a ucac 17 nt |
| 13     | siR173(19)/siR173*(19) | g a uucgccu gca agaga a ucac 19 nt |
may also influence or determine whether the duplex can serve as a substrate of HEN1 but were not examined.

We decided to test the importance of these features by making various modifications of miR173/miR173* (duplex 1 in Table 1), which we previously showed to be a substrate of HEN1 (16). We first tested truncated versions of miR173/miR173* (duplexes 3 and 4 in Table 1) for their ability to serve as substrates for HEN1 in an in vitro methylation reaction. Duplex 3 represented a 5 nt truncation from the 3′ end of miR173 and a 4 nt truncation from the 5′ end of miR173* such that the length of the resulting duplex, the sequence of the overhanging nucleotides in the miR173 strand, and the number of bulges were different from the original miR173/miR173*. Duplex 4 represented a 5 nt truncation from the 5′ end of miR173 and the 3′ end of miR173* such that the length of the duplex and the sequence of the overhanging nucleotides in the miR173* strand were different from the original miR173/miR173*.

### Table 1. Continued

| Number | Namea | Sequence, structure and lengthb |
|--------|-------|---------------------------------|
| 14     | siR173(21)/siR173*(21) | uucgccuggcagagaaucac 21 nt  
gaagaacgcauggucucuag 21 nt |
| 15     | siR173(23)/siR173*(23) | uuaaccuggcagagaaucac 23 nt  
gaagaacgcauggucucuag 23 nt |
| 16     | siR173(24)/siR173*(24) | uuaaccuggcagagaaucac 24 nt  
gaagaacgcauggucucuag 24 nt |
| 17     | siR173(25)/siR173*(25) | uuaaccuggcagagaaucac 25 nt  
gaagaacgcauggucucuag 25 nt |
| 18     | miR173(21)/miR173*d(21) | g - 22 nt  
uggcuguagcagagaa uca deoxy 21 nt |
| 19     | miR173(22)/miR173*d(21) | g - 22 nt  
uggcuguagcagagaa uca deoxy 21 nt |
| 20     | miR173(23)/miR173*d(21) | g - 23 nt  
uggcuguagcagagaa uca deoxy 21 nt |
| 21     | miR173(24)/miR173*d(21) | g - 24 nt  
uggcuguagcagagaa uca deoxy 21 nt |
| 22     | miR173(25)/miR173*d(21) | g - 25 nt  
uggcuguagcagagaa uca deoxy 21 nt |
| 23     | miR173(21)/miR173*(21) | g - 21 nt  
uggcuguagcagagaa uca deoxy 21 nt |
| 24     | miR173(23)/miR173*(21) | g - 23 nt  
uggcuguagcagagaa uca deoxy 21 nt |

aThe antisense strands are marked by an asterisk. The length of each strand is indicated by the numbers in the parentheses.
bThe sense strands and antisense strands are in red and blue, respectively. The sequences (5′ – 3′) are displayed from left to right for the sense strands and right to left for the antisense strands. ‘-’ indicates the absence of a nucleotide at a position. Letters in black represent nucleotides not present in the original miR173/miR173*.

Duplexes 18–22 contain a 2′ deoxyribose on the 3′ terminal nucleotide of miR173*.
miR173/miR173* duplex was methylated by HEN1 in vitro (Figure 1a, lane 1), both truncated duplexes failed to be methylated (Figure 1a, lanes 3 and 4). In fact, both strands in the two duplexes failed to be methylated, although the overhanging sequences were not altered in one of the two strands. Since our previous work showed that both strands of the miR173/miR173* duplex are methylated in vitro, the fact that the sense strand of duplex 4 and the antisense strand of duplex 3, in which the sequences of the overhanging nucleotides were not affected, failed to be methylated suggests that the length of a duplex or the presence of bulges is an important feature in the substrate. In duplex 4, the number of bulges and the position of the bulges relative to the 3' end of the sense strand are the same as that in miR173/miR173* and yet duplex 4 could not be methylated by HEN1. This suggests that the length of the RNA duplex is a critical factor in substrate recognition.

We further tested the length requirement by maintaining the 3' overhanging nucleotides on both strands and introducing deletions or insertions of nucleotides in the fully complementary region encompassing the 5' half of miR173 in the duplex. The deletions or insertions were introduced into position 3 from the 5' end of miR173 so that the two bulges in the 3' portion of the molecule were unlikely to be affected (Table 1). Duplexes 5–7 represented deletions of 6, 4 and 2 nt (starting from nucleotide 3 of the miR173 strand), respectively (Table 1). All three failed to be methylated by HEN1 in vitro (Figure 1b, lanes 5–7). Addition of two A-U base pairs (duplex 8 in Table 1) so that the two strands were 24 nt and 23 nt did not affect methylation efficiency (Figure 1b, lane 8). Addition of 4 A-U base pairs (duplex 9, Table 1) greatly reduced methylation efficiency (Figure 1b, lane 9) while addition of 6 A-U base pairs (duplex 10, Table 1) abolished methylation (Figure 1b, lane 10). To ensure that the effect of the additional nucleotides was not due to the sequence of the additional nucleotides, we tested another duplex (#11) with four additional nucleotides of different sequences from duplex 9 (Table 1). Duplex 11 behaved similarly to duplex 9 (Figure 1b, lanes 9 and 11). These data indicate that the most preferable length of miRNAs for HEN1-mediated methylation is 21–24 nt.

HEN1 methylates 21–24 nt siRNA/siRNA* duplexes in vitro

We previously reported that HEN1 was unable to methylate two 21 nt siRNA/siRNA* duplexes in vitro (16). Later we found that weak methylation signals could be observed upon prolonged exposure of the autoradiogram (data not shown), suggesting that HEN1 can act on siRNAs, perhaps at reduced efficiency. To further examine the ability of the enzyme to use siRNA/siRNA* duplexes as substrates as compared to miRNA/miRNA* duplexes, we designed siR173/ siR173* (duplex 14 in Table 1) by eliminating the unpaired A in miR173 and by changing nucleotide 9 of miR173* from G to C such that the mismatch at this position is eliminated. Duplex 14 was tested together with miR173/miR173* under identical conditions and was found to be less well methylated as compared to miR173/miRNA* duplexes (Figure 2, compare lane 14 to lane 1). This suggests that the mis-matches or bulges in the duplexes may be features recognized by HEN1. Alternatively, HEN1 may have a different size requirement for siRNA/siRNA* duplexes such that 21 nt is not an optimal length for siRNA/siRNA* duplexes.

We tested the size requirement for siRNA duplexes. Starting from the 21 nt duplex 14, we deleted from position 3 of the siR173 strand 2 and 4 nt to result in a 19 nt duplex 13 and a 17 nt duplex 12, respectively. Duplex 13 was poorly methylated while duplex 12 was not detectably methylated by HEN1 (Figure 2, lanes 12 and 13). We also inserted 2, 3 or 4 A-U base pairs into position 3 of the siR173 strand of duplex 14. The 23 nt duplex 15 (Table 1) was much better methylated than the 21 nt duplex 14 (Figure 2, lanes 14 and 15). In fact, duplex 15 was methylated at a level comparable to that of miR173/ miR173* (Figure 2, lanes 15 and 1). The 24 nt siRNA duplex 16 was less well methylated than the 23 nt duplex 15 (Figure 2, lanes 15 and 16). The 25 nt siRNA duplex 17 was barely methylated (Figure 2, lane 17). Therefore, HEN1 prefers 21–24 nt siRNA duplexes with 23 nt being the optimal length at least in the sequence context of siR173/siR173*.

HEN1 recognizes the 2 nt 3' overhang

The 2 nt 3' overhang is a feature specific to products of RNase III including miRNA and siRNA duplexes generated by Dicer (17). We determined whether the 2 nt 3' overhang is an important feature in substrates of HEN1. We showed previously that a 2' deoxy modification on the ribose of the 3' terminal nucleotide prevented the methylation of the cis but
not the trans strand of the duplex (16). Therefore, we employed miR173*d(21), which was identical to miR173* in sequence but which contained a 2′ deoxyribose on the 3′ terminal nucleotide, to allow us to monitor the methylation of only the miR173 strand in the duplex. We annealed miR173 oligos of various lengths to miR173*d(21) to generate duplexes 18–22 (Table 1) that have 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 nt overhangs, respectively in the miR173 strand. As previously observed, duplex 19 with a 2 nt overhang in the miR173 strand was methylated (Figure 2, lane 19). All other duplexes, which had 1, 3, 4 or 5 nt overhangs in the miR173 strand, failed to be methylated (Figure 2, lanes 18, 20–22). This indicates a strict requirement for the length of the overhang for HEN1 activity.

Next we asked whether the two overhangs on the two ends of the duplex were both required for the methylation of either end. We tested the ability of miR173* to be methylated when it was in duplexes 23 and 24 (Table 1) such that only the miR173* strand had a 2 nt overhang. The miR173 strands in duplexes 23 and 24 were the same as those in duplexes 18 and 20, respectively (Table 1). miR173* was efficiently methylated in these duplexes (Figure 1a, lanes 23 and 24). These results indicate that the 2 nt overhang acts in cis to allow methylation to occur.

HEN1 deposits a methyl group exclusively on to the 2′ OH of the 3′ terminal nucleotide

Chemical reactions showed that at least one of the two OH groups on the 3′ terminal nucleotide of small RNAs is blocked after methylation, suggesting that the methyl group is on either the 2′ OH, the 3′ OH or both positions. Chemical reactions done on miRNAs and siRNAs isolated from Arabidopsis showed that the small RNAs are blocked at the 2′ OH, 3′ OH or both positions in vivo. A recent study claimed that the methyl group is on the 2′ OH based on the fact that small RNAs, like 2′-O-Methyl (2′OMe) RNA oligonucleotides, showed reduced efficiency of ligation to an RNA linker by T4 RNA ligase (14). However, we found that a 3′OMe RNA oligonucleotide was also ligated to an RNA linker at a reduced efficiency as compared to an unmodified RNA (see below). Furthermore, the enzymatic reaction could not distinguish between 100% methylation at a single position versus a mixture of 2′ methylation and 3′ methylation in a population of molecules.

One way to definitively determine the position of the methyl group is to analyze the modified terminal nucleoside by HPLC. We first tested whether 3′OMe cytidine could be distinguished from 2′OMe cytidine by HPLC. We examined the elution profiles of 3′OMe cytidine, 2′OMe cytidine, cytidine, guanosine, uridine and adenosine on a C18 column. Indeed, 3′OMe cytidine and 2′OMe cytidine could be easily distinguished from each other and from other nucleosides (Figure 3a and d). Next, we designed an RNA oligonucleotide, miR173*C, by changing the last nucleotide of miR173* from G to C. We annealed miR173 to miR173*C (duplex 2 in Table 1) and performed the HEN1 methylation reaction. Since both strands would be methylated, the G to C change ensured that only methylated cytidine be present after the reaction. We digested the RNA oligonucleotides with nuclease P1 and calf intestine phosphatase to release the nucleosides, which were then loaded on to the HPLC column. The control reaction (with GST alone) was also similarly treated and examined by HPLC. By comparing the elution profile of the GST-HEN1 reaction (Figure 3c and f) to that of the GST reaction (Figure 3b and e), one extra peak was found in the GST-HEN1 profile (asterisk in Figure 3c and f). The elution time of the peak coincided with the 2′OMe cytidine standard (Figure 3a and d). The maximum absorbance of this peak is at 270 nm, characteristic of cytidine and methyl cytidine but distinct from other nucleosides (data not shown). This indicates that the extra peak represented 2′OMe. No peak corresponding to the 3′OMe cytidine standard was present. This result clearly demonstrated that HEN1 deposits a methyl group exclusively on to the 2′ OH of the ribose of the terminal nucleotide.

2′-O-Methyl specifically affects yeast PAP

Having pinpointed the position of the methyl group allowed us to begin to determine the function of the modification on small RNAs biochemically. We tested the effect of a 2′OMe on the activities of two commercially available enzymes that act on RNAs, T4 RNA ligase and yeast PAP. We carried out ligation reactions of miR173, miR173 with a 2′OMe (miR173-2′OMe)
and miR173 with a 3′OMe (miR173-3′OMe) to a linker molecule blocked at its 3′ end. After ligation, the small RNAs were resolved by electrophoresis, blotted to a membrane and detected by hybridization using a probe complementary to miR173. The ligated and free miR173 forms could be distinguished by their differential mobility (Figure 4a). In order to quantitatively compare the ligation efficiency of the three miR173 forms, we used an excess amount of the linker so that the miR173 forms would be limiting. Unmodified miR173 was nearly completely ligated to the linker. The 2′OMe group reduced the ligation efficiency by ∼30%. Intriguingly, HPLC purified miR173 was nearly completely ligated to the linker. The 2′OMe group reduced the ligation efficiency by ∼30%. Intriguingly, HPLC purified miR173-3′OMe could also be ligated to the linker with an efficiency about 50% of the unmodified miR173. This indicates that T4 RNA ligase does not have an absolute requirement for a free 3′ OH. Consistent with this, miR173 with a 3′ deoxyribose on the 3′ terminal nucleotide could also be ligated to the linker under our experimental conditions (data not shown). Therefore, a methyl group on the 2′ OH of the terminal nucleotide of an RNA reduces the efficiency of T4 RNA ligase catalyzed ligation to the 3′ OH of the RNA. However, since a methyl group on the 3′ OH of the terminal nucleotide does not abolish T4 RNA ligase catalyzed ligation, this assay cannot be used to distinguish the position of the methyl group in small RNAs.

We next evaluated the effect of 2′OMe on yeast PAP activity. miR173 and miR173-2′OMe were incubated with [γ-32P]ATP in the presence of yeast PAP. When 2 pmol of [γ-32P]ATP was present, a small number of A residues were added to miR173 but not miR173-2′OMe (Figure 4b). A similar observation was also made by Ebhardt et al. (14). We considered two possibilities that could account for this observation. One, the 2′ OH is necessary for substrate recognition or catalysis by PAP. Two, PAP does not require the 2′ OH but a 2′OMe prevents substrate recognition or catalysis. To

---

**Figure 3.** Determination of the position of the methyl group by HPLC. (a) An elution profile of nucleoside standards. A, C, G, U, C-2′OMe and C-3′OMe were mixed and applied to the column. The retention times of C, G and U were determined by running each standard individually under identical conditions. The retention of A was much longer than 20 min and is not shown. The retention times of C-3′OMe and C-2′OMe were determined by spiking the mix with more of either nucleoside in a separate run and noting which peak increased in amount. (b) An elution profile of the control methylation reaction with GST alone. (c) An elution profile of the methylation reaction with GST-HEN1. See ‘Materials and Methods’ for the treatment of the RNA duplexes before HPLC. The peak marked by an asterisk is the methyl-C generated by GST-HEN1. (d–f) Magnified versions of (a–c) in the region of 13–20 min.
that yeast PAP could form 2′–5′ phosphodiester bonds. In fact, other members of the polymerase beta-like nucleotidyltransferase superfamily, to which PAP belongs, are known to make 2′–5′ phosphodiester bonds (18). Alternatively, a contaminating exonuclease might have first released the 3′ terminal nucleotide of miR173-3′OMe and the remaining oligonucleotide was extended by PAP. Consistent with the exonuclease hypothesis, the labeled RNAs in the miR173-3′OMe reaction appeared ~1 nt shorter than those in the other reactions. However, if this was the case, miR173-2′OMe was not subjected to the same activity. We also tested the effect of various terminal modifications on PAP in the presence of 10 pmol of [α-32P]ATP. More A residues were incorporated into the products under this higher ATP concentration (Figure 4c). 2′OMe did not eliminate but greatly reduced ATP incorporation as compared to miR173 (Figure 4c). The 2′ deoxy, 3′ deoxy and 3′OMe reduced the amount of ATP incorporation but did not have an adverse effect as severe as 2′OMe (Figure 4c).

**DISCUSSION**

The present and a previous study together define the features of a small RNA duplex that allow recognition by HEN1 for methylation *in vitro*: free 2′ and 3′ OH on the 3′ terminal nucleotide, 2 nt 3′ overhang, and a length of 21–24 nt. Although a full mechanistic understanding of HEN1 catalyzed small RNA methylation awaits the structure of HEN1 together with its substrate, we can begin to deduce how HEN1 recognizes its substrates and catalyzes the reaction. We envision that both OH groups on the ribose of the 3′ terminal nucleotide are necessary for the positioning of the 2′ OH in the active site of the enzyme to ensure that only the 2′ OH of the terminal nucleotide (but not internal nucleotides) is methylated. The fact that the 2 nt overhang acts in *cis* suggests that the two ends of the molecules are independently recognized and methylated. However, both ends of the substrate must be contacted for the methylation of one end since HEN1 measures the length of the strands. Perhaps, HEN1 recognizes the substrate as a dimer with each monomer having one active site (two active sites being present in a HEN1 monomer is unlikely due to the lack of any sequence repeats). The two monomers each binds to the 3′ overhang on one strand and catalyzes the methylation of that strand. This model, however, is based on the assumption that both strands in a single RNA duplex are methylated, which has not been proven. The observation that both strands were methylated [(16) and data not shown] was made on a population of molecules. It is possible that the methylated duplexes were random mixtures of ones with either strand methylated. Alternatively, HEN1 measures the length of the substrate as a monomer and methylates only one end at a time.

HEN1 measures the length of the small RNA. This, together with the requirement for a 2 nt overhang and the presence of 2′ and 3′ OH on the terminal nucleotide, probably ensures that only miRNAs and siRNAs are methylated. A viral RNA silencing suppressor p19 also measures the length of a siRNA duplex for binding. It does so as a homodimer, in which each monomer has two tryptophan residues that interact with the 5′ and 3′ ends of each RNA strand (19,20). p19 does not require the 3′ overhang in siRNA duplexes for binding.
While HEN1 prefers the 2 nt 3' overhang in RNA duplexes, it can also act on RNAs with blunt ends with reduced efficiency (16).

We demonstrated that methylation occurs exclusively on the 2' OH of the 3' terminal nucleotide. We showed that 2'OMe on the terminal nucleotide of an RNA oligonucleotide reduced the efficiency of ligation by T4 RNA ligase through the 3' OH and greatly reduced the ability of yeast PAP to act on the 3' OH. Therefore, 2'Ome can affect enzymatic activities that target the 3' OH. Since small RNAs have additional U residues on their 3' ends in the absence of methylation in vivo (15), the 2'Ome probably prevents an unknown activity from accessing the 3' OH in vivo. RNAi can spread into sequences adjacent to those homologous to the initial trigger in the target.

It has been proposed that siRNAs serve as primers for RdRPs (21–23). 2'Ome in plant siRNAs may influence, positively or negatively, the ability of RdRPs to use siRNAs as primers.
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