INTRODUCTION

Intracranial atherosclerosis is one of the major causes of stroke, especially in the Asian population [1]. It can be caused by various mechanisms, mainly artery-to-artery embolism (AA) or branch occlusive disease (BOD) [2]. BOD is caused by occlusion of the perforating artery orifice by atherosclerotic plaque, which differs from AA [3]. Several previous studies compared high-resolution vessel wall magnetic resonance imaging (VW-MRI) characteristics between BOD and non-BOD patient groups. These studies showed that the BOD group differed in remodeling pattern,
stenosis degree, and plaque enhancement when compared to the non-BOD group (AA) [4,5], and that the BOD group had more frequent plaques on the superior or upper dorsal side of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) [4-8]. Although previous studies [4-8] have evaluated MCA plaque distribution in patients with BOD, only qualitative assessments were conducted by dividing the plaque distribution into two, four, or six sections. These previous studies have limitations in that they only evaluated the location of the plaque itself without considering the spatial relationship between the plaque and MCA perforating arteries. In addition, these studies determined MCA plaque location on sagittal images using absolute coordinates, although the location of the plaque or perforating arteries should be determined on a cross-section, perpendicular to the MCA course; this is because the MCA is not straight-shaped—it presents various shapes such as U-shape, inverted U-shape, and S-shape [6]. To overcome these limitations, the spatial relationship between MCA plaque and perforating arteries was evaluated in cross-sectional images perpendicular to the course of the MCA using a three-dimensional (3D) image, which has not been studied so far. To the best of our knowledge, quantitative evaluation of the spatial relationship between MCA plaques and perforating arteries has not been reported. In addition, the non-BOD group classified in the previous study [4] could be misclassified as it includes both BOD caused by atherosclerosis near the orifice of the perforating artery and artery-to-artery embolic infarction. Therefore, in our study, the group was classified separately in cases in which atherosclerosis was located in the orifice of the perforating artery, simultaneously resulting in both perforator infarction and cortical infarction due to distal embolization. Considering that plaque rupture is more prone to occur at the shoulder of the carotid plaque [9-12], we assumed that the distance between it and the perforating artery orifice may be closer in the BOD group. This study aimed to evaluate the spatial relationship between MCA plaque and perforating arteries among different types of MCA territory infarction using high-resolution VW-MRI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our institution (IRB No. 4-2020-0973). The requirement for informed patient consent was waived owing to the retrospective study design. A total of 380 patients underwent VW-MRI between April 2017 and October 2019 at our institution. We included patients with a history of acute MCA infarction confirmed by DWI within 1 month (n = 48). Two neuroradiologists independently reviewed the images (with 10 and 2 years of experience in neuroradiology, respectively). If disagreements occurred between the reviewers, a final decision was made through consensus. Patients with a potential source of cardioembolism, extracranial atherosclerosis with significant stenosis, other stroke etiology (moyamoya disease [n = 4], dissection [n = 4]), or poor-quality images (n = 6) were excluded. The final 34 patients were divided into three groups according to the infarction pattern (Fig. 1): 1) BOD, patients with striatocapsular infarction only (n = 17), 2) BOD-AA (both BOD and AA), patients with simultaneous MCA infarction in the cortical/subcortical and striatocapsular area (n = 9), and 3) AA group, patients with MCA territory infarction without infarction in the striatocapsular area (n = 8). To overcome the overlapping part of the BOD-AA group, a subgroup analysis was performed. For subgroup analysis, BOD and BOD-AA groups were combined into one group (with striatocapsular infarction [BOD+], n = 26) and compared with the AA group (without striatocapsular infarction) to identify the factors related to BOD. The BOD-AA and AA groups were combined into another group (with cortical infarction [AA+], n = 17) and then compared with the BOD group (without cortical infarction) to identify the factors related to AA.

MRI Review

The imaging parameters are described in Supplement. All analyses were performed on cross-sectional images perpendicular to the course of the MCA acquired using a 3D Slicer (3D Slicer v4.10.2) [13]. Plaque and perforating arteries were identified on the PD sequence, which provides sharp delineation of the arterial walls between flowing blood and cerebrospinal fluid with a high signal-to-noise ratio. Plaque enhancement was evaluated using a 3D fat-suppressed post-contrast T1-weighted image. Vessel lumens were identified using a 3D fat-suppressed T1-weighted image. For quantitative analysis, post-contrast T1-weighted and T1-weighted images were co-registered to PD images using rigid body transformation.

Spatial Relationship between the Perforating Arteries and Plaque

To evaluate the spatial relationship between the
perforating arteries and plaque, cross-sectional images perpendicular to the MCA course in which the perforator arose were selected. The location of both the perforating arteries arising from the M1 portion of the MCA and the margin of the plaque were described in angle (°) on the PD sequence using the following angular location definitions, 0°, 90°, 180°, and 270° for the ventral, superior, dorsal, and inferior sides, respectively. Similarly, plaque distribution was expressed as the angle range of the PD sequence. In addition, the longitudinal location of the perforating artery and the most stenotic portion were also calculated as a ratio—distance from the internal carotid artery (ICA) to the perforator or the most stenotic portion/length of the M1 segment (distance from the ICA to the terminal of the M1 segment). The location of the perforating artery or plaque was also qualitatively evaluated by dividing it into four sections (ventral, superior, dorsal, and inferior). Plaque blockage of the perforator orifice was compared between the groups. To evaluate the proximity of the perforating artery orifice to the plaque margin, the angular difference between them was calculated and compared among the groups.

**Morphological Characteristics of MCA**

The outer wall and lumen were manually drawn in cross-sectional images on T1-weighted images with reference to the co-registered PD images at three locations: 1) perforator origin, 2) the most stenotic MCA portion, and 3) normal reference vessel (contralateral or proximal to the stenotic portion). The region of interests were first drawn by a junior neuroradiologist and then confirmed by a senior neuroradiologist with 9 years of experience. The wall area was calculated by subtracting the lumen area from the outer wall area. Stenosis degree was calculated as follows: (1-lumen area/reference lumen area) x 100%; the remodeling index was calculated as follows: outer wall area/reference outer wall area. The enhancement ratio was calculated as follows: (signal intensity of post-contrast T1-weighted image – signal intensity of T1-weighted image)/ signal intensity of T1-weighted image. The 95th percentiles
of the enhancement ratios were recorded.

Statistical Analyses
The Shapiro-Wilk test for normality and Levene’s F test for equal variance were performed for continuous data. To compare features among groups, the Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test was used for data without normality and equal variance. Student’s t test or analysis of variance was used for continuous data with normality and equal variance. For categorical variables, the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was used. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (version 25.0; IBM Corp.) and R statistical software v.3.6.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

RESULTS
Thirty-four patients were included (male, n = 21 [61.8%]; age, 58.2 ± 14.4 years). Seventeen patients (50.0%) were included in the BOD, nine patients (26.5%) in the BOD-AA, and eight patients (23.5%) in the AA group. Representative cases are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The clinical characteristics of the three groups were compared (Supplementary Table 1).

Spatial Relationship between the Perforating Artery Orifice and Plaque
The proportion of patients whose perforator orifice was blocked by plaque significantly differed among the groups (BOD vs. BOD-AA vs. AA, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 1). In the post-hoc analysis, BOD (94.1%) and BOD-AA (88.9%) groups had a higher proportion of patients with a plaque-blocked perforator orifice than the AA group (0.0%) (\( p < 0.001 \) and \( p < 0.001 \), respectively). There were no cases of such blockage in the AA group.

The proximity of the perforating artery orifice to the plaque margin was considered close if the angular difference between them was < 15°. There was a significant difference in the proportion of patients with a close orifice and plaque

Fig. 2. Representative case with BOD.
A. Acute infarction in the right striatocapsular territory on a diffusion-weighted image. B. No significant stenosis of the right MCA M1 segment is noted on TOF MR angiography. C. Curved planar reformation of fusion image (PD + post-contrast T1-weighted image) in the white box on (B). The plaque enhancement on post-contrast T1-weighted image is expressed as a color map (orange color, arrow). D. Perpendicular image where the perforator arouse. Perforator (red arrow), plaque (white arrow), and margin of the plaque (blue arrows). E. Plaque distribution is expressed as an angle (white line) on the PD image. Angular difference is expressed as an angle between the white and red lines. Perforator is shown to originate at the margin of the plaque. BOD = branch occlusive disease, MCA = middle cerebral artery, PD = proton density imaging, TOF = time of flight
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Fig. 3. Representative case with AA.
A. Acute infarction in the left MCA territory on a diffusion-weighted image. B. Significant focal stenosis is seen in the M1 segment of MCA on TOF MR angiography (arrow). C. Curved planar reformation of fusion image (PD + post-contrast T1-weighted image) in the white box on (B). The plaque enhancement on post-contrast T1-weighted image is expressed by a color map (orange color). D. Perpendicular image of the plaque (arrow). E. Plaque (arrow) distribution is expressed as an angle. In this case, the perforator does not originate near the plaque. AA = artery-to-artery embolism, MCA = middle cerebral artery, PD = proton density imaging, TOF = time of flight

Table 1. Qualitative and Quantitative Comparison of the Spatial Relationship between Orifice of the Perforator and Plaque

| Orifice of Perforator Blocked by the Plaque | Angular Difference < 15° | Angular Range of Plaque (Mean Degree ± SD) |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Orifice                                   | No | Yes | P      | No | Yes | P      | No | Yes | P      |
| Comparison among three groups             |    |     | < 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.106 |
| BOD (n = 17)                              |    |     |         |     |      |        |     |      |        |
| BOD-AA (n = 9)                            |    |     |         |     |      |        |     |      |        |
| AA (n = 8)                                |    |     |         |     |      |        |     |      |        |
| Comparison between BOD and AA+            | 0.003 | 0.084 | 0.051 |
| BOD (n = 17)                              |    |     |         |     |      |        |     |      |        |
| AA (n = 8)                                |    |     |         |     |      |        |     |      |        |
| Comparison between AA and BOD+            | < 0.001 | 0.011 | 0.851 |
| AA (n = 8)                                |    |     |         |     |      |        |     |      |        |
| BOD+ (n = 26)                             |    |     |         |     |      |        |     |      |        |

Data are number of patients with % in parentheses unless specified otherwise. Chi-square or Fisher exact test was performed. Spatial relationship was measured at the cross-sectional image perpendicular to middle cerebral artery course in which perforator arising. BOD-AA group and AA group were combined as AA+ group. BOD group and BOD-AA group were combined as BOD+ group. p value was corrected by Bonferroni correction. AA = artery-to-artery embolism, BOD = branch occlusive disease, SD = standard deviation
margin among the groups (BOD vs. BOD-AA vs. AA, \( p = 0.019 \), Table 1). In the post-hoc analysis, the BOD (70.6%) group had a higher proportion of patients with an angular difference < 15° than the AA group (12.5%; \( p = 0.033 \)). The angular plaque range in the BOD-AA group (189.2° ± 55.4°) was significantly larger than that in the BOD group (122.3° ± 79.4°, \( p = 0.043 \)).

In the subgroup analysis, the BOD+ group had a significantly higher proportion of patients with a plaque-blocked orifice than the AA group (92.3% vs. 0.0%; \( p < 0.001 \)). The BOD+ group had a higher proportion of patients (69.2%) with a close perforating artery orifice and plaque margin than the AA group (12.5%; \( p = 0.011 \)). The AA+ group tended to have a larger angular plaque range than the BOD group on cross-sectional images perpendicular to the MCA course in which the perforator arose (176.6° ± 55.0° vs. 122.3° ± 79.4°, \( p = 0.051 \)).

**Perforating Artery Orifice and Plaque Distributions**

Perforating artery orifices were located in the dorsal (47.1%), superior (44.1%), and inferior (8.8%) walls of the cross-sectional images (Table 2). The perforating arteries mainly arose in the dorsal and superior walls of the MCA. The location of the perforating artery orifice, according to the four cross-sectional portions, did not significantly differ among the groups (\( p = 0.256 \)).

The plaque location in the cross-sectional images in which the perforator arose significantly differed among the groups (\( p = 0.002 \)). In the post-hoc analysis, there was a significant difference between BOD and BOD-AA groups (\( p = 0.014 \)), between the BOD and AA groups (\( p = 0.032 \)), and between the BOD-AA and AA groups (\( p = 0.027 \)). In the BOD group, plaque centers were mainly located in the dorsal (41.2%) and superior (41.2%) walls in which the perforators mainly arose. In the BOD-AA groups, plaques were most commonly located in the ventral wall (55.6%), followed by the superior wall (22.2%). In the AA groups, plaque was absent in the cross-sections in which the perforators arose in half of the cases. Even in cases with observable plaque in the cross-sectional images where the perforator arose, the plaque location and perforating artery orifice did not overlap.

In the most stenotic MCA cross-sections, plaques were commonly located in the order of superior (35.3%), ventral (26.5%), inferior (20.6%), and dorsal (17.6%) walls. The plaque location according to the four portions in the most stenotic cross-sections did not significantly differ among the groups (\( p = 0.335 \)).

### Table 2. Comparison of the Locations of Middle Cerebral Artery Perforator and Plaque according to 4-Sections

| Location of Orifice of Perforator | BOD (n = 17) | BOD-AA (n = 9) | AA (n = 8) | Comparison among BOD and BOD-AA | Comparison between BOD and AA+ | Comparison between AA and BOD+ |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Dorsal                            | 5 (29.4)    | 6 (66.7)      | 5 (62.5)  | < 0.001                         | 0.123                        | 0.398                       |
| Superior                          | 2 (11.8)    | 3 (33.3)      | 2 (25.0)  | 0.031                           | 0.003                        | 0.988                       |
| Inferior                          | 10 (58.8)   | 0 (0.0)       | 1 (12.5)  | 0.015                           | 0.09                          | 0.068                       |
| Total                             | 17           | 9             | 8          |                                 |                              |                             |

Data are number of patients with % in parentheses. BOD and BOD-AA group were combined as BOD+ group. BOD group and BOD-AA group were combined as BOD+ group. Data was corrected by Bonferroni correction. AA = artery-to-artery embolism, BOD = branch occlusive disease.
the groups ($p = 0.335$).

The longitudinal location of the perforating artery (ratio of the distance from the ICA to perforator/distance from the ICA to the terminal of the M1 segment) was 0.46 (interquartile range: 0.31–0.71) (Supplementary Table 2). Perforating arteries associated with culprit plaques were the most frequently located in the middle two-thirds of the M1 segment (41.4%). There was no significant difference in the location of the perforating artery among the groups (median [interquartile range]: 0.48 [0.36–0.75], 0.36 [0.20–0.70], and 0.32 [0.29–0.62], respectively; $p = 0.205$).

The longitudinal location of the most stenotic portion (ratio of distance from ICA to most stenotic portion/distance from ICA to terminal of M1 segment) was 0.47 (interquartile range: 0.19–0.78). Seventeen (50%) of 34 patients had proximal stenosis. There was no significant difference in the location of the most stenotic portion among BOD, BOD-AA, and AA (0.47 [0.30–0.77], 0.29 [0.14–0.74], and 0.59 [0.14–0.93], respectively; $p = 0.540$).

**Plaque Morphological Characteristics**

The BOD group had distinct radiologic findings in terms of plaque enhancement and plaque morphology (Table 3). The plaque extent (mm) in the longitudinal plane significantly differed among the BOD, BOD-AA, and AA groups (6.82 ± 3.49, 10.83 ± 5.44, and 11.56 ± 4.87, respectively; $p = 0.026$). There was a significant difference in stenosis degree (%) in the most stenotic portion among the BOD, BOD-AA, and AA groups (14.42% ± 20.96%, 41.79% ± 25.33%, and 37.42% ± 25.09%, respectively; $p = 0.012$).

In subgroup analysis, the plaque extent in the longitudinal plane was significantly larger in the AA+ groups than in the BOD groups (11.18 ± 5.16 vs. 6.82 ± 3.49; $p = 0.007$).

The AA+ group had more stenosis (%) than the BOD group (39.73 ± 24.52 vs. 14.42 ± 20.96%; $p = 0.003$).

**DISCUSSION**

We utilized VW-MRI to evaluate the spatial relationship between MCA plaque and perforating arteries among different types of MCA territory infarctions. To compare the two groups from two perspectives (the factors related to BOD or AA), both the BOD and BOD-AA groups were combined into the BOD+ group, and the BOD-AA and AA groups were combined into the AA+ group.

The BOD+ (BOD and BOD-AA) group had a plaque

---

### Table 3. Comparison of Morphological Characteristics of MCA

|                          | BOD (n = 17) | BOD-AA (n = 9) | AA (n = 8) | BOD+ (n = 26) | AA+ (n = 17) | Comparison among three groups | Comparison between BOD and AA+ | Comparison between AA and BOD+ |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Maximum Extent of Largest Plaque (mm) | 6.8 ± 3.5 | 10.8 ± 5.4 | 11.6 ± 5.2 | 8.2 ± 4.6 | 11.6 ± 5.2 | 0.070 | 0.023 | 0.030 |
| Stenosis Degree (%) at Perforator Arise* | 14.4 ± 21.0 | 41.8 ± 25.3 | 37.4 ± 25.1 | 39.7 ± 24.5 | 39.7 ± 24.5 | 0.309 | 0.007 | 0.089 |
| Stenosis Degree (%) at Most Stenotic† | 11.0 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.3 | 1.0 ± 0.14 | 0.9 ± 0.3 | 0.9 ± 0.3 | 0.364 | 0.093 | 0.503 |
| Remodeling Index at Perforator Arise* | 1.1 ± 0.1 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 1.0 ± 0.14 | 1.0 ± 0.14 | 1.0 ± 0.14 | 0.837 | 0.990 | 0.612 |
| Remodeling Index at Most Stenotic† | 1.0 ± 0.1 | 1.0 ± 0.12 | 1.0 ± 0.13 | 1.0 ± 0.13 | 1.0 ± 0.13 | 0.583 | 0.583 | 0.583 |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. BOD-AA group and AA group were combined as AA+ group. BOD group and BOD-AA group were combined as BOD+ group. $p$ value was corrected by Bonferroni correction. *Calculated at the cross-sectional images in which perforators arise, †Calculated at the cross-sectional images with most stenotic portion of MCA. AA = artery-to-artery embolism, BOD = branch occlusive disease, MCA = middle cerebral artery
margin closer to the perforating artery orifice and had a significantly higher proportion of a plaque-blocked orifice than the AA group. The perforator orifices were mainly located on the dorsal and superior walls of the MCA. The plaque location at the cross-section in which the perforator arose differed significantly between the groups. No patient in the AA group had plaque or overlapping plaque with perforators at the cross-section where the perforator arose. The perforating artery associated with the culprit plaque was the most frequently located in the middle two-thirds of the M1 segment. The plaque extent in both the longitudinal and cross-sectional planes perpendicular to the MCA course was significantly larger in the AA+ group (AA and BOD-AA) than in the BOD group. Patients in the BOD group had less stenosis and enhancement than those in the AA+ group.

While none of the patients with AA had perforator orifices blocked by plaque, the majority of patients in the BOD and BOD-AA groups did. This finding is consistent with the mechanism of BOD caused by perforating artery orifice blockage by atherosclerosis. In a previous study [4] that compared BOD and non-BOD groups, the non-BOD group was defined as patients with infarction beyond the striatocapsular area. However, in our study, the AA+—defined as a non-BOD group as that in the previous study—was further divided into the BOD-AA and AA groups and then compared. Most patients with BOD-AA had plaque-blocked perforating artery orifices. Given these differences, these two groups may need to be considered separately.

Plaque rupture is more prone to occur in the shoulder of the carotid plaque [9-12]. The maximum circumferential stress appears at the plaque shoulder [9] and activated metalloproteinase may often be expressed in the shoulder of vulnerable plaque [14-16]. Our results showed that the BOD+ group had a higher proportion of patients with close perforator orifices and plaque margins than those in the AA group, thereby supporting our assumption.

In our study, the perforating artery orifices were mainly located on the dorsal and superior walls of the MCA, regardless of the group, consistent with the results of previous studies [17,18]. Other studies have shown that superior wall plaque located near the perforating artery orifice causes more symptoms by blocking the orifice [8,19], and that many patients with BOD have plaques on the upper dorsal side [7]. However, a previous study [20] showed that plaque location did not significantly differ between patients with BOD and those with small vessel occlusive disease. This is probably due to the evaluation being based on the plaque center; it is important to evaluate the relationship with the perforating artery by considering the plaque margin.

Therefore, in our study, the proximity of the plaque margin and perforating artery orifice was evaluated in both the short- and long-axis views. The majority of patients in the BOD group had plaques located on the superior or dorsal wall where the perforators mainly arose. However, the plaque was mainly located on the ventral wall of the MCA in BOD-AA group. This difference could be due to the limitation in the process of classifying the plaque location in the short-axis view. In fact, the plaque location is not limited to one quadrant, but can be distributed across several quadrants of the MCA wall if the angular range of the plaque is wide. We posited that the ventrally located plaque in the BOD-AA was sufficient to be extended to the margin of the perforator. The plaque range in the BOD-AA group was significantly higher than that in the BOD group. Additionally, eight of the nine patients with BOD-AA showed plaque blockage (Table 1). These results support this assumption.

In most MCA plaque distribution studies, only the short-axis view (e.g., superior, inferior, dorsal, and ventral) was evaluated. In our study, the location of the perforating artery and stenosis were also evaluated by dividing them into proximal, middle, and distal portions, and the distribution was evaluated as the ratio of the total length of the MCA M1 segment. The perforating artery associated with the culprit plaque was most frequently located in the middle segment of M1, and stenosis most frequently occurred in the proximal third segment of M1. Since the perforating arteries are mainly located in the middle segment and superior/dorsal surface of M1, BOD will occur when plaques form in this location. Atherosclerotic plaques occur mainly in areas with low wall shear stress [21,22]. As plaques protrude into the lumen, an area with high wall shear stress is formed, where the risk of plaque rupture is high [23]. To determine why plaque occurs in the superior/dorsal wall of the middle portion of the MCA in patients with BOD, further research on MCA geometry and hemodynamics is needed.

The AA+ group had more stenosis cases than the BOD group, which is consistent with previous studies [4,24]. The degree of plaque enhancement was higher in the AA+ group than in the BOD group, which is consistent with previous studies [4,25]. The stenosis degree in the AA+ group was higher than that in the BOD group, consistent with previous findings [4,5].
VW-MRI findings can help evaluate stroke etiology and determine the treatment plan by distinguishing BOD and AA through the proximity of the plaque margin and perforating artery orifice, even if MCA stenosis is not significant on MR angiography. However, in such cases, nonstenotic culprit plaques can be missed, and the stroke etiology can be misclassified as embolic infarction or small vessel disease without VW-MRI. This can also affect the treatment plan.

This study has some limitations. First, the sample size was small, and patients from only a single center were included. Second, we only included patients with acute MCA infarction confirmed by DWI within 1 month to evaluate VW-MRI findings in the acute stage. Hence, further studies with larger sample sizes should be performed to validate our findings. Lastly, atherosclerosis was not pathologically confirmed.

In conclusion, the relationship between plaque and perforating arteries and that between plaque location and characteristics varied among different types of MCA territory infarction, as assessed using high-resolution VW-MRI. In patients with BOD, plaque margins were closer and blocked the perforating artery orifices and the stenosis degree and plaque enhancement were lesser than that in patients with AA.
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