Adaptive radiation and burst speciation of hillstream cyprinid fish Garra in African river
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A short running title: Cyprinids’ speciation burst in African river
Abstract

Adaptive radiation of fishes was long thought to be possible only in lacustrine environments. Recently, several studies have shown that also riverine and stream environments provide the ecological opportunity for adaptive radiation. In this study, we report on a riverine adaptive radiation of six ecomorphs of cyprinid hillstream fishes of the genus *Garra* in a river located in the Ethiopian Highlands in East Africa. *Garra* are predominantly highly specialized algae-scarpers with a wide distribution ranging from Southeastern Asia to Western Africa. However, adaptive phenotypic diversification in mouth type, sucking disc morphology, gut length and body shape have been found among these new species in a single Ethiopian river. Moreover, we found two novel phenotypes of *Garra* (‘thick-lipped’ and ‘predatory’) that were not described before in this species-rich genus (>160 species). Mitochondrial and genome-wide data suggest monophyletic, intra-basin evolution of *Garra* phenotypic diversity with signatures of gene flow from other local populations. Although sympatric ecomorphs are genetically distinct and can be considered to being young species as suggested by genome-wide SNP data, mtDNA was unable to identify any genetic structure suggesting a recent and rapid speciation event. Furthermore, we found evidence for a hybrid origin of the novel ‘thick-lipped’ phenotype, as being the result of the hybridization of two other sympatrically occurring species. Here we highlight how, driven by ecological opportunity, an ancestral trophically highly specialized lineage is likely to have rapidly adaptively radiated in a riverine environment, and that this radiation was promoted by the evolution of novel feeding strategies.
Introduction

Unravelling the mechanisms underpinning the biological diversity remains a major challenge in evolutionary biology. With more than 28,000 species, teleost fishes are the most diverse lineage of vertebrates, and thus an ideal system to address questions regarding diversification. The stunning phenotypic diversity of bony fishes has largely been produced through the process of adaptive radiation, the rapid proliferation of multiple ecologically distinct species from a common ancestor (Schluter, 2000). One of the most extraordinary examples of both adaptive radiation and explosive diversification is represented by the cichlid fishes inhabiting the East African Great Lakes (Kocher, 2004). According to Losos (2010) and Givnish (2015) adaptive radiation and explosive diversification are distinct phenomena: the former may or may not result in, or be accompanied by the latter. The evolutionary success of the cichlids, unmatched among vertebrates, has been promoted by a combination of different factors, where a dominant role has been played, for example, by limited dispersal (because of territoriality and mouth-brooding) and sexual selection for nuptial coloration and mating behavior (Henning & Meyer, 2014; Meyer, Kocher, Basasibwaki, & Wilson, 1990; Seehausen, 2000; Wagner, Harmon, & Seehausen, 2012). It has been suggested, however, that trophic radiation had preceded the diversification driven by other factors at least in cichlids of Lake Tanganyika (Muschick et al., 2014), a cradle of all other East African haplochromine radiations (Salzburger, Mack, Verheyen, E., & Meyer, 2005). Adaptive radiations and diversification bursts were found not only in cichlids, but also in other fish groups, even though in smaller scale, and often in a parallel manner - coregonids, Arctic charrs, and sticklebacks (e.g. Broderson, Post, & Seehausen, 2018; DeFaveri & Merila, 2013; Jacobs et al., 2020; McKinnon & Rundle, 2002; Præbel et al., 2013; Peichel et al., 2001; Schluter, 2000; Skúlason, 1999; Terekhanova et al., 2014) - some of the best known examples of intralacustrine radiations.

The most supported cases of monophyletic, closely related fish species that are believed to have arisen through an adaptive radiation event have been described from lakes rather than rivers.
(Meyer et al. 1990; Seehausen, 2006; Sturmbauer, 1998; Taylor, 1999). For long time, riverine environment has not been considered suitable for adaptive radiation because of its unstable hydrological regimes, reduced habitat diversity and the commonly shallow and narrow watercourses that might facilitate gene flow (Seehausen & Wagner, 2014). However, during the last two decades, examples of fish adaptive radiations occurring in rivers have been reported (Burress et al., 2018; Dimmick, Berendzen, & Golubtsov, 2001; Levin, Simonov, Dgebuadze, Levina, & Golubtsov, 2020; Melnik, Markevich, Taylor, Loktyushkin, & Esin, 2020; Piálek, Říčan, Casciotta, Almirón, & Zrzavý, 2012; Schwarzer, Misof, Ifuta, & Schliewen, 2011; Whiteley, 2007). Although several cases of riverine diversification of cichlid fishes are considered as remnants of adaptive radiations occurred in the palaeo-Lake Makgadikgadi before it dried up back in the Holocene (Joyce et al., 2005), mounting evidence suggests that some fish species flocks of other species than cichlids have diversified within rivers (Burress et al., 2018; Levin et al., 2019; 2020; Melnik et al., 2020; Piálek et al., 2012).

In the present study, we investigate a highly diverse fish group that presumably adaptively radiated in riverine environments. The genus Garra is a species-rich lineage of labeonine cyprinids comprising more than 160 species and is distributed from Southeast Asia to West Africa (Fricke, Eschmeyer, & Van der Laan, 2021; Yang et al., 2012). Garra are mostly moderate-sized fish (usually less than 20 cm in length) with sucking gular disc that inhabit the rhithron zone of river systems (Kottelat, 2020). They are predominantly highly specialized algae scrapers that graze periphyton from rocks and stones using widened jaws equipped with horny scrapers. However, adaptations to still waters such as caves or lacustrine environment have been documented in the Garra, although rarely, accompanied by a reduction of the gular disc and a change of the foraging strategy from algae scraping to planktivory (Geremew, 2007; Kottelat, 2020; Segherloo et al., 2018; Stiassny & Getahun, 2007; www.briancoad.com).

The Ethiopian Highlands are recognized as a center of Garra diversity within Africa (Golubtsov, Dgebuadze, & Mina, 2002; Stiassny & Getahun, 2007), where 13 described species
out of the total 23 found in Africa are recorded (Moritz, El Dayem, Abdallah, & Neumann, 2019).

An assemblage of six *Garra* ecomorphs exhibiting extreme morphological diversity was recently discovered in the Sore River (the White Nile Basin) in southwestern Ethiopia during a survey of the Ethiopian fishes (Golubtsov, Cherenkov, & Tefera, 2012). In particular, two of the six forms display features not found elsewhere within the generic range: a form with a pronounced predatory morphology (large-sized, large-mouthed, with reduced sucking disk and a short gut that is equal to body length) and one with ‘rubber’ lips and prolonged snout region (Fig. 1, Table 1). The other four forms from the Ethiopian *Garra* assemblage drastically differ in mouth and gular disc morphology as well as in body shape (Fig. 1).

Our goals were twofold: i) to investigate the morpho-ecological relationships of six *Garra* sympatric ecomorphs from the Sore River, and ii) to test whether this assemblage has evolved sympatrically. In detail, we aimed at elucidating the population structure and evolutionary history of these ecomorphs using both mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA, cytochrome *b*) and genome-wide nuclear loci obtained with a double digest restriction-site associated DNA (ddRAD) approach.

Table 1.

### Materials and Methods

#### Study area

The Sore River is a headwater tributary of the Baro-Akobo-Sobat drainage in the White Nile basin, (south-western Ethiopia, northern East Africa). It drains the Ethiopian Highlands close to the south-western escarpment. The region is covered by moist Afromontane forest that is drastically shrinking in the last decades due to agricultural development (Dibaba, Soromessa, & Workineh, 2019). The Sore is a rather little river with a length of *ca.* 160 km, its catchment area is *ca.* 2000 km$^2$ and characterized by substantial seasonal variation of rainfall (dry season from December to March) (Kebede, Diekkrüger, & Moges, 2014). In comparison, the Italian Tiber River length is
406 km, its catchment area is 17375 km² (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tiber). Elevation difference between the Sore source (altitude of ca. 2215 m asl, above sea level) and its confluence with the Gabba (Geba) River (alt. 963 m asl) is 1.25 km. The Sore River basin shares drainage boundaries with two of six major watersheds of Ethiopia: Blue Nile in the north-east and Omo-Turkana in the south-east.

We sampled the middle reaches of the Sore River at two sites: (1) at the City of Metu (8°18'42" N 35°35'54" E, alt. 1550 m asl) and (2) ca. 35 km downstream along the river course (8°23'56" N 35°26'18" E, alt. 1310 m asl). The river width at the rapids sampled was 20-40 m at the beginning of the rainy season, depth <1 m, bottom consisted of rocks and large boulders. Fish fauna of the river segment under consideration includes (apart from Garra spp.) a species flock of Labeobarbus (Levin et al., 2020), Enteromius cf. pleurogramma (Boulenger 1902), Labeo cf. cylindricus Peters 1852, Labeo forskalii Rüppell 1835, Chiloglanis cf. niloticus Boulenger 1900 (at the lower site only), and introduced Coptodon zillii (Gervais 1848). Presence of the stony loach (Afronemacheilus) reported by Getahun and Stiassny (1998) from the Sore River at Metu could no longer be confirmed (Melaku, Abebe Getahun, & Wakjira, 2017; Prokofiev & Golubtsov, 2013; present study). Attempts to re-sample a stony loach by intensive electrofishing in 2012 have resulted in the discovery of the enormous morphological Garra diversity in the Sore River (Golubtsov et al., 2012). A hundred kilometers westward, from the lowland part (alt. ca. 500 m asl) of the same river drainage >100 fish species are recorded (Golubtsov & Darkov, 2008; Golubtsov, Darkov, Dgebuadze, 1995;) and >115 species from the Sudd and White Nile in Sudan and South Sudan (Moritz et al., 2019; Neumann, Obermaier, & Moritz, 2016;).

Sampling

Garra samples from the Sore River were collected using a battery driven electrofishing device (LR-24 Combo Backpack, Smith-Root, USA), cast and frame nets in June 2012 and April 2014. In 2011-2014 comparative Garra samples were collected from nine sites in six main Ethiopian

Sampling

Garra samples from the Sore River were collected using a battery driven electrofishing device (LR-24 Combo Backpack, Smith-Root, USA), cast and frame nets in June 2012 and April 2014. In 2011-2014 comparative Garra samples were collected from nine sites in six main Ethiopian
basins (Fig. 2, Table S1). Fish sampling was conducted under the umbrella of the Joint Ethiopian-
Russian Biological Expedition (JERBE) with the permissions of National Fisheries and Aquatic
Life Research Center (NFALRC) under Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR) and
Ethiopian Ministry of Science and Technology (presently Ministry of Innovation and Technology).
Fish were killed with an overdose of an anesthetic MS-222, first preserved in 10% formalin and
then transferred to 70% ethanol. From each specimen fin tissue samples were fixed with 96%
ethanol. Some fish specimens were pictured using a Canon EOS 50D camera. All specimens
(Supplementary Table S1) are deposited at the A.N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution,
at the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, under provisional labels of JERBE.

Fig. 2.

Morphological analysis
Morphometry
The 28 morphometric characters from 107 individuals of all ecomorphs from the Sore River were
examined following Hubbs and Lagler (1958) with additions from Menon (1964): standard length
(SL), head length (HL), snout length (R), eye diameter (O), postorbital distance (PO), interorbital
distance (IO), head width (HW), head height at nape (HH), head height at mid-of-eye (Hh), mouth
width (MW), disc length (DL), disc width (DW), maximal body height (H), minimal body height
at caudal peduncle (h), predorsal length (PL), postdorsal length (PDL), prepelvic length (PPL),
preanal length (PAL), caudal peduncle length (CPD), dorsal fin base length (DFL), dorsal fin depth
(DFP), anal fin base length (AFL), anal fin depth (AFD), pectoral fin length (PFL), ventral fin
length (VFL), pectoral-ventral fin distance (PV), ventral-anal fin distance (VA), and distance
between anal opening and anal fin (DAA). Measurements were done using a digital caliper (to
nearest 0.1 mm). All measurements were performed by one operator for the purpose of consistency
as recommended by Mina, Levin, and Mironovsky (2005).
Measured individuals had body length varied from 43.6 to 185.0 mm SL: ecomorph 1 (71.5-151.0), ecomorph 2 (70.9-160.2), ecomorph 3 (49.3-100.6), ecomorph 4 (49.3-90.6), ecomorph 5 (43.6-81.0; one individual had outstanding length - 185.0), ecomorph 6 (118.4; 139.4) (defined as in Fig. 1 and Table 1), intermediate phenotypes (59.3-105.2). The proportions of head and body were used for principal component analysis (PCA) - measurements of head parts were divided for head length and measurements of body parts were divided for standard length. Data was scaled. The gular disc in some specimens of ecomorph 5 was greatly reduced which hampered the detection of its borders. For the purpose of justification of the values of this character, the identical intermediate values were arbitrarily assigned for all specimens of this ecomorph. PCA was done using prcomp script implemented in R with a variance-covariance matrix.

Gut length and preliminary assay of a diet
Intestines were taken out from the body cavity of 62 preserved specimens of all ecomorphs except for no. 6 (represented by only two specimens), and measured using a ruler to the nearest 1 mm. The sample size for each ecomorph is provided in Table 2. The standard length (SL) of examined individuals varied from 40 to 131 mm, one individual of ecomorph 5 had outstanding length - 185 mm. The ratio of gut length (GL) to SL was used for subsequent analyses. The Kruskall-Wallis test for multiple independent samples with Benjamini-Hochberg method of control of false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) of p-value was applied to check a significance of differences at p<0.05. The dependence of GL on SL was visualized using scatterplots and regressions. R-packages ggplot2 and PMCMR were used to create plots and to test statistical significance of differences.

Diet was assessed for the same individuals, whose intestine length was measured. The main ecological and systematic groups were registered using stereo-microscope Micromed MC-2-ZOOM and microscope Olympus CX41. A composite measure of diet, an index of relative importance, IRI (Hart, Calver, & Dickman, 2002), was used to assess contribution of different
components to a diet. The diet components were grouped in several items i) periphyton, ii) benthos,
iii) macrophytes, and iv) others.

**DNA sampling, extraction, amplification, and sequencing - mtDNA data**

DNA samples (n=107) were collected from Garra inhabiting the Sore River near the City of Metu in 2012 and 2014 from all six forms (see Table 2 for details). For comparison additional DNA samples (n=20) were collected from 8 Garra species inhabiting all main drainages of Ethiopia (10 localities – see map of sampling in Fig. 2). Total genomic DNA was extracted from ethanol-preserved fin tissues using the BioSprint 15 kit for tissue and blood (Qiagen). Sequences of the mitochondrial gene, cytochrome b (cytb) of 989 bp length, were amplified (see PCR conditions in Supplementary Material S2; Palumbi, 1996; Perdices & Doadrio, 2001). PCR products were visualized on 1% agarose gels, purified with ExoSAP-IT™ and sequenced at the Papanin Institute of Biology of Inland Waters (Russian Academy of Sciences) using an ABI 3500 sequencer. All new sequences were deposited in GenBank (Accession Numbers: [xxx -will be provided upon acceptance](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), see Supplementary Table S1).

**Analysis of mtDNA data**

All sequences were aligned and edited using the MUSCLE algorithm (Edgar, 2004) as implemented in MEGA 6.0 (Tamura, Stecher, Peterson, Filipski, & Kumar, 2013). A final set that includes also comparative material from Genbank (African and non-African Garra as well as outgroups) encompassed 143 cytb sequences ([https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) (Table S1). *Akrokolioplax bicornis* and *Crossocheilus burmanicus* were included as outgroups according to previously published phylogenies (Yang et al., 2012).
Gene tree reconstruction was performed using both maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) approaches. Prior to these analyses all sequences were collapsed into common haplotypes using ALTER software (Glez-Peña, Gómez-Blanco, Reboiro-Jato, Fdez-Riverola, & Posada, 2010). We determined the best fit models of nucleotide substitution for each codon position of *cytb* and optimal partitioning scheme using either ModelFinder (as implemented in IQ-TREE 1.6.12; Kalyaanamoorthy, Minh, Wong, Von Haeseler, & Jermiin, 2017; Nguyen, Schmidt, Von Haeseler, & Minh, 2015) or PartitionFinder 2.1.1 (Lanfear, Calcott, Ho, & Guindon, 2012) under Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). The partition scheme selected by ModelFinder (codon position 1 - K2P+R2; codon position 2 - HKY+F+I; codon position 3 - TN+F+G4) was subsequently used in ML search with IQ-TREE, using 1 000 bootstrap replicates.

Bayesian phylogenetic inference (BI) was carried out in MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012). The selected partition scheme was following: codon position 1 with K80+I+G, codon position 2 with HKY+I, and codon position 3 with GTR+G. Two simultaneous analyses were run for $10^7$ generations, each with four MCMC chains sampled every 500 generations. Convergence of runs was assessed by examination of the average standard deviation of split frequencies and the potential scale reduction factor. In addition, stationarity was confirmed by examining posterior probability, log likelihood, and all model parameters by the effective sample sizes (ESSs) in the program Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut, Suchard, Xie, & Drummond, 2014). The gene trees resulting in ML and BI analyses were visualized and edited using FigTree v.1.4.4 (Rambaut, 2014). A haplotype network was constructed using the median joining algorithm (Bandelt, Forster, & Röhl, 1999) in PopArt 1.7 (Leigh & Bryant, 2015).

**ddRAD-seq library preparation**

High molecular weight DNA was isolated from fin tissue preserved in ethanol using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) or obtained by purification of salt method extracted DNA (Aljanabi & Martinez, 1997) using CleanUp Standard kit (Evrogen, Moscow). The dsDNA quantity was
measured using dsDNA HS Assay Kit for fluorometer Qubit 3 (Life Technologies, USA). ddRAD-library was constructed following the quaddRAD protocol (Franchini, Monné Parera, Kautt, & Meyer, 2017) using restriction enzymes PstI and MspI. In total, 77 DNA samples of Garra ecomorphs from the Sore River (see Table 2) and 11 DNA samples from five other species of Ethiopian Garra from adjacent basins were sequenced by two independent runs of Illumina HiSeq2500 and Illumina X Ten (2 x 150 bp paired-end reads). The raw sequencing data were demultiplexed by the sequencing provider using outer Illumina TruSeq dual indexes.

Processing of RAD-seq data

The resulting reads were trimmed for remaining adapters and low quality reads Cutadapt implemented in the Trim Galore 0.4.5 package (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore - Martin, 2011). Read quality was assessed with FastQC 0.11.7 (Andrews & Krueger, 2010) and MultiQC 1.7 (Ewels, Magnusson, Lundin, & Käller, 2016) before and after trimming. Further demultiplexing of individually barcoded samples, construction and cataloging of RAD-loci, and SNP calling were done with STACKS 2.41 package (Catchen, Hohenlohe, Bassham, Amores, & Cresko, 2013). Identification and removal of PCR duplicates were done using the ‘clone_filter’ module of STACKS). STACKS module ‘process_radtags’ was used to demultiplex reads by the dual index inner barcodes and obtain separate fastq files for each individual. Samples that failed to produce more than 100 000 reads were excluded from further processing. To additionally evaluate data quality and identify possible contaminated samples, the reads were mapped to the reference genome of common carp Cyprinus carpio (GCF_000951615.1) using bowtie2 2.3.5 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) with ‘--local-sensitive’ presettings. Then, only Read 1 (R1) files were used for downstream processing and analyses. Prior to next steps, these R1 reads were trimmed at their 3` ends to a uniform length of 130 bp to reduce the influence of sequencing error (due to declined base quality at 3` end).

The de novo pipeline of STACKS was used to assemble loci and perform genotype calling.
We selected optimal parameters using the approach suggested by Paris, Stevens, & Catchen (2017). Following the aforementioned procedure, we found that minimum stack depth (-m) of 5, distance allowed between stacks (-M) of 3, and the maximum distance required to merge catalog loci (-n) of 5 provided the best balance between data quality and quantity for our dataset (Fig. S1).

Population genomic analyses

Individual genotypes of sympatric Garra ecomorphs from the Sore River were exported to a vcf file using the ‘populations’ module of STACKS with the following settings: (i) loci genotyped in at least 90% of samples (-r 0.90) were kept; (ii) SNPs with a minor allele frequency (--min-maf) less than 0.04 and a maximum observed heterozygosity (--max_obs_het) above 0.99 were pruned; (iii) only single SNP per RAD locus was retained, to avoid inclusion of closely linked SNPs. We applied VCFtools 0.1.16 (Danecek et al., 2011) for further filtering of the dataset based on mean coverage and fraction of missing data for each sample. Samples with more than 20% of missing data were blacklisted and excluded from further analyses. Thus, a high-quality dataset of 679 SNPs and 77 individuals was obtained and used for downstream population genetics analyses.

First, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed using the ‘glPca’ function of the R-package adegenet 2.1.1 (Jombart, 2008; Jombart & Ahmed, 2011). Next, rmaverick 1.0.5 (former MavericK; Verity & Nichols, 2016) was used to infer population structure. This program estimates evidence for different numbers of populations (K), and different evolutionary models via generalised thermodynamic integration (GTI). A range of K values between 1 and 10 were explored, using 300 000 burn-in MCMC iterations and 10 000 sampling iterations. Convergence of MCMC was automatically tested every 1 000 burn-in iterations by activating option ‘auto_converge’. This allows exit burn-in iterations when convergence is reached and immediately proceeds to sampling iterations. Parameter ‘rungs’ was set to 10 (number of multiple MCMC chains with different ‘temperature’ to run simultaneously). Both no admixture and admixture models were run, and compared by plotting values of the posterior distribution and overall model
evidence in log space (log-evidence) (Fig. S2-S5). According to this comparison, the admixture model is decisively supported over the no admixture model, and used here to report the results. The same protocol was followed for consecutive hierarchical *rmaverick* runs for the identified clusters. Finally, global and pairwise Reich-Patterson $F_{ST}$ values (Reich, Thangaraj, Patterson, Price, & Singh, 2009) with respective 95% confidence intervals for ecomorphs/genetic clusters were calculated using the R script from Junker et al. (2020). Basic genetic diversity statistics were calculated using the ‘*populations’* module of STACKS.

To test for the gene flow between ecomorphs/genetic clusters, we used the Patterson’s D statistic (ABBA-BABA test), along with the $f_4$-ratio statistic (Patterson et al., 2012) and its $f$-branch metric (Malinsky et al., 2018), as implemented in Dsuite 0.4 software package (Malinsky, Matschiner, & Svardal, 2021). Patterson's D statistic is a widely used and robust tool to detect introgression between populations or closely related species, and to distinguish it from incomplete lineage sorting (ILS). The $f_4$-ratio statistic is a similar method aiming to estimate an admixture fraction. The $f$-branch metric is based on $f_4$-ratio results and serves to assign gene flow evidence to specific branches on a phylogeny. These tests were performed on a group containing ecomorphs/genetic clusters 2b, 3, 4, and 6, while the rest were used as outgroup (in accordance with the results of our phylogenomic analysis).

**Phylogenomic analyses**

IQ-TREE 2.0.5 (Minh et al., 2020) was used for ML phylogenetic analyses of RAD-seq data. First dataset included one to three specimens of each *Garra* ecomorph from the Sore river and other Ethiopian *Garra* species from adjacent basins. Multiple sequence alignments of all loci and respective partition files were created using the ‘--phylip-var-all’ option of ‘*populations’* module of STACKS package. Heterozygous sites within each individual were encoded using IUPAC notation. During the analysis each RAD-locus was treated as a separate partition with independent
best-fit substitution model. Node support values were obtained using ultrafast bootstrap procedure (Hoang, Chernomor, von Haeseler, Minh, & Vinh, 2018) with 1 000 replicates. We also used SVDQuartets algorithm (Chifman & Kubatko, 2014) as implemented in PAUP* 4.0a168 (Swofford, 2003) to perform species-tree inference under the multi-species coalescent model using 18,988 SNPs (single random SNP per locus, minor allele frequency cutoff 0.04, maximum observed heterozygosity cutoff: 0.99). Node support was estimated with 1 000 bootstrap replicates.

The second dataset consisted of all genotyped specimens of sympatric Garra ecomorphs from the Sore River and a single, most closely related outgroup (G. cf. dembeensis from the Barokalu River, as revealed by the analysis of the first phylogenomic dataset that included samples from all the localities in Figure 2). It was analysed with IQ-TREE as described above, except for GTR+G substitution model was used for each partition. The phylogenetic trees were visualized and edited using FigTree 1.4.4 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2008).

Results

Trophic Morphology

PCA of head and body proportions of six sympatric ecomorphs from the Sore River revealed five well-defined clusters (Fig. 3A). Four clusters represent ecomorphs 3, 4, 5, and 6, while the fifth includes individuals from ecomorphs 1 and 2. The ecomorph 5 is the most divergent. PC1 explained 72.3% of the total variance, while PC2 10.2%. The eigenvector with the highest eigenvalues for PC1 were head proportions - nine of ten most loaded ones (especially gular disc proportions, mouth width, interorbital distance, and snout length). The same pattern was detected for PC2 - nine of ten most loaded characters belonged to head proportions (mainly disc length, mouth width, height of head at nape and at eyes etc. - see Table S2 for details).

After excluding ecomorph 5, the ecomorphs 1 and 2 became more distinguishable with low overlapping (Fig. 3B). The PC1 explained 73.8% of variance, while PC2 8.1%. The most loaded
eigenvectors of both PC1 and PC2 were from head proportions with few more contributions of some body proportion characters (see Table S3). The difference between ecomorphs 1 and 2 revealed in PC2 is explained by height of head at both nape and eyes, interorbital distance, head width, body height as well as other characters (Table S3).

Fig. 3.

Gut length and preliminary data on diet

Gut length broadly varied consistently between ecomorphs (Fig. 3C). Shortest guts (107-160 % SL) were detected in ecomorph 5 suggested a predatory trophic type, while the longest guts were recorded in ecomorphs 1 (285-799 % SL) and 2 (354-555 % SL) that possessed the well-developed gular disc and therefore are specialized algal grazers, as also shown by their gut contents (see below). Other ecomorphs had intermediate values gut lengths: ecomorph 3 - 124-295 % SL, and ecomorph 4 - 175-513 % SL, respectively. Broad intra-group variation is explained by increase of gut length with body length detected in some ecomorphs (Fig. 3D). Nevertheless, the similar-sized individuals are divergent in gut length at the same manner that presented in Fig. 3C. Ecomorph 5 having the shortest gut displays even a slight decrease of gut length ontogenetically that was previously reported for piscivorous mode of feeding among African cyprinids (Levin et al., 2019).

The preliminary inspection of gut content revealed differences in the diet between some ecomorphs. Ecomorphs 1 and 2 had permanently filled intestines full of periphyton (diatom, green, and charophyte algae; IRI = 99.98% for ecomorph 1, and IRI = 97.99% for ecomorph 2) and, rarely other items (larvae of water insects - mayflies, chironomids, simulids). The ecomorph 3 had a half-filled gut with dominating periphyton (IRI = 86.3%) with a notable portion of insect larvae (7.62% - predominantly chironomids, also mayflies, and simulids) and macrophytes (5.97%). Ecomorph 4 had fewer filled intestines compared to ecomorph 3 however with strongly dominating periphyton in diet (IRI = 99.49%). The gut of ecomorph 5 (shortest gut) frequently was empty.
including the largest individual (SL=185 mm). When guts were filled, benthos-associated prey was strongly prevalent (IRI = 99.31%; mayflies and chironomids).

Mitochondrial data

Both BI and ML analyses of cytb revealed monophyly of the Garra from the Sore River (Fig. 4A). The closest relative (and ancestor lineage) is from the Barokalu River, a tributary of the Baro River (White Nile drainage). Both Sore and Barokalu rivers share watershed in the Baro system and sampled localities are separated just ca. 50 km by land. Divergence between Garra populations from the Sore and Barokalu is low ($p$-distance = 0.0105±0.0028) and comparable with maximum intra-divergence in the Sore radiation ($p$-distance = 0.0111±0.0033). Being combined together White Nile lineage is a sister to the large clade of Ethiopian Garra from Blue Nile and Lake Tana, Atbara-Nile, Ethiopian Rift Valley, and Omo-Turkana basins.

At the same time, our phylogenetic analyses revealed that Ethiopian Garra are non-monophyletic (Fig. 4A). Some lineages are of more ancient origin and closer to Asian lineages (G. tibanica from Indian Ocean basin) or to lineages from West Africa (e.g. G. vinciguerra from Blue Nile basin). Matrilineal tree of Ethiopian Garra includes up to 12 lineages. Taking into account some species cluster together in one lineage like three species from Lake Tana or that some species were unavailable, we conclude cladogenesis of Garra in Ethiopia Highlands has been more diversified than considered previously (Stiassney & Getahun, 2007).

The Sore lineage is composed of two sub-lineages or haplogroups highlighted by yellow and green (Fig. 4A-B). Haplotype net constructed on 107 cytb sequences confirms presence of two main haplogroups. The core haplotypes of these haplogroups are separated by 5 substitutions. Four of six ecomorphs (2, 3, 4, and 5) share both haplogroups. The ‘green’ haplogroup is prevalent in
number of haplotypes (18), and number of individuals (88), and found in five ecomorphs. Ecomorph 1 is presented exclusively in this haplogroup. In contrast, the ‘yellow’ haplogroup (Fig. 4B) is smaller, with only different 9 haplotypes found in 19 individuals (= 17.7 % of the individuals analyzed). One individual of ecomorph 4 is rather distant (6 substitutions) from the core haplotype of this haplogroup. ‘Yellow’ haplogroup consists of five ecomorphs as well. However, ecomorph 4 is much more frequently represented in this haplogroup (42 % of all individuals) compared to ‘green’ one (6.97 %).

**RAD-seq data**

Raw reads statistics is given in Supplementary File S1.

**Nuclear phylogeny**

The phylogeny of Ethiopian *Garra* based on a concatenated set of RAD-loci sequences (23,365 partitions and 3,075,180 total sites with 0% missing data) is generally similar to that based on mtDNA data (Fig. 4) but it has more strongly supported nodes, as it is based on many more variable sites (Fig. 5A). Sympatric ecomorphs clustered together and form monophyletic lineages, sister to the population from the same riverine basin - Baro drainage in White Nile system (Fig. 5A-B). Closest relative to *Garra* from White Nile system is *Garra* lineage in the *G. dembeensis* complex from neighbor drainage - Omo-Turkana system. The *G. vinciguerrae* from the Blue Nile (which recorded in Ethiopia for the first time in the current study) is ancestor lineage for both White Nile and Omo-Turkana lineages. The most divergent lineages, *G. makiensis* and *G. tibanica*, are from Ethiopian Rift Valley and Indian Ocean basins, respectively.

Fig. 5.
Compared to mitochondrial data, the nuclear phylogenomic tree shows much better segregation of *Garra* ecomorphs from the Sore River (Fig. 5A). Ecomorphs 3, 4, and 6 form monophyletic clusters, while other ecomorphs are divided into two (nos. 1 and 5) or even three (no. 2) clusters. We assign two distantly located branches of both ecomorph 1 (generalized) as 1a/1b as well as ecomorph 2 (stream-lined) as 2a/2b according to population genomics analyses done below (Fig. 6-8). Ecomorphs 1 and 2 from one hand, and other ecomorphs from another hand form two clusters within Sore River adaptive radiation according to SVDQ species tree (Fig. 5B).

Ecomorphs 3 (narrow-mouth), 4 (wide-mouth), and 6 (thick-lipped) are most recently diverged branches according to SVDQ-tree but the nodes are weakly supported (Fig. 5B).

Relationships among the Sore River sympatric ecomorphs based on analysis of all samples and full RAD-loci sequences (> 7000 loci and > 0.96 Mbp length sequences) are presented in Fig. 6. The ML analysis highly support the monophyly of each ecomorph except for ecomorph 2. The most basal lineage is ecomorph 2, which in turn, is paraphyletic, suggesting, possibly, that there is another 7th cryptic species that we could not distinguish phenotypically. Four individuals along with one individual of intermediate phenotype represent another lineage that we call 2b (Fig. 6). Lineage 2a is sister all other ecomorphs that are divided for two subclades - one includes only ecomorph 1 individuals (which, in turn is subdivided into what we call - 1a-1b), while another includes all other ecomorphs - 3, 4, 5, 6, and above mentioned 2b. That latter lineage is composed of lineages, each containing samples of particular ecomorphs except for several samples which were intermediate in their phenotypes (Fig. 6). Ecomorph 6 (thick-lipped mouth) is resolved as sister to the 2b lineage albeit with an apparent rather deep last common ancestor. Generally, the placement of clade 2a as sister to all other *Garra* from the Sore River, that is characterized by a well-developed gular disc (type C), might suggest that this an ancestral condition of this radiation.

Fig. 6.
Population genomics

Principle component analyses of the 679 nuclear SNPs of sympatric ecomorphs revealed several well-defined clusters that correspond to the phenotypic differentiation (Fig. 7). Ecomorph 1 (composed of two genetic sub-clusters 1a-1b), genetic cluster 2a, ecomorphs 3 and 4 are not overlapping, while clusters of 2b and ecomorph 5 broadly overlap. Thick-lipped ecomorph (6) interestingly (although it is difficult to place since we only found two individuals that we could include in this study) could not be identified by PCA as a distinct cluster.

Fig. 7.

The analysis of population structure with admixture revealed an optimum of three genomic clusters that correspond to the i) ecomorph 1 + 2a lineage, ii) ecomorphs 3 + 4, and iii) ecomorph 5 + 2b lineage (Fig. 8, Upper row, K3). Ecomorph 6 is characterized by admixture of two clusters from ecomorphs 3 and 4.

Fig. 8.

Subsequent analysis of each cluster (=lineage) revealed hierarchical subdivision. Thus ecomorph 1 and genetic lineage 2a each are also identified as cluster in the admixture analysis (Fig. 8 middle row, K=2). Although ecomorphs 3, 4, 5, and lineage 2b are supported as independent evolutionary units based on several types of genetic analyses, few individuals in all of these show signs of historical gene flow based on the admixture analysis (Fig. 8). While the two individuals from ecomorph 6 in our study seem most clearly be composed of genetic contributions by ecomorphs 3 (36.8-47.5%) and genetic lineage 2b (51.3-62.3%), possibly supporting a hybrid origin hypothesis. Interestingly, one more individual with combination of the same genomic clusters but with the opposite ratio (54.0% from ecomorph 3 and 43.9 % from lineage 2b) had no
thick-lipped features (the main phenotypic diagnostic feature for ecomorph 6) and was
phenotypically assigned to ecomorph 3 (Fig. 8). One more level of population subdivision was
detected in ecomorph 1 (Fig. 8) with two genomic clusters (lineages 1a and 1b) of high degree of
admixture. It suggests heterogeneous genomic structure of the generalized ecomorph as a result of
secondary contact.

All Reich F_{ST} pairwise comparisons were statistically significant with values ranging from
0.10 (lineages 1a vs. 1b) to 0.46 (ecomorphs 2b vs. 6) (Fig. 9). The ecomorph 6 F_{ST} values were
the highest (0.39-0.46).

As the rmaverick analysis suggested a notable level of admixture between lineage 2b and
ecomorphs 3, 4, and 6 (Fig X), which form a single monophyletic cluster in our phylogenomic
analysis (Fig 8), we performed a number of tests to distinguish between gene flow (introgression)
and incomplete lineage sorting (ILS). The obtained D statistic was positive and significant for a
number of comparisons (Table 3.). Visualization of f-branch metric (which is based on f_4-ratio
results) highlighting introgression between ecomorphs/genetic lineages 2b and 3, 6 and 3, 5 and 3
(Fig 9).

Table 3.

The eighth genetic clusters possess from three (ecomorph 6) to 38 private alleles (ecomorph 4)
(Table 4). The ecomorph 6 has also the lowest heterozygosity (Ho = 0.00058) as well as nucleotide
diversity (Pi = 0.00054) compared to all other ecomorphs (Ho = 0.00104-0.00128; Pi = 0.00121-
0.00091) (Table 4).
Table 4.

Discussion

Our study provides genetic support for the hypothesis of the evolution of an adaptive radiation in a riverine environment. By analyzing trophic features and sucking disc variation, as well as trophic ecology, we show morpho-ecological diversification of the cyprinid fish *Garra dembeensis* into six distinct ecomorphs. First, diversification of two novel phenotypes (thick-lipped and predatory) in the Sore River has evolved rapidly, an event that can be classified as burst of speciation sensu Givnish (2015). Second, adaptive radiation resulted in the origin of several highly specialized lineages of algae scrapers, i.e. specialized ancestor adaptively radiates giving rise to eco-morphological diverse lineages, that seem to be not only ecologically, but also reproductively isolated from each other and can be considered the new species.

Eco-morphological diversification and adaptive radiation of Garra

The genus *Garra* is currently comprised of more than 160 species (Fricke et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2012). Only 23 of which occur in Africa (Moritz et al., 2019). So far, 13 described species were reported from Ethiopia (Golubtsov et al., 2002; Stiassny & Getahun, 2007). In this study, we discovered six additional distinct ecomorphs that originated through adaptive radiation in the Sore River, and thus might warrant the description of five-six new African *Garra* species.

The ecomorphs of the Sore’s *Garra* are exceptionally diverse in trophic and sucking disc morphology. Two novel phenotypes for the whole genus *Garra* – ‘thick-lipped’ and ‘predatory’ - have superficial similarities to Lake Tana large barbs species/morphotypes, e.g., thick-lipped barb *L. negdia* (Rüppell, 1836) and predatory *L. gorguari* (Rüppell, 1836) (Nagelkerke & Sibbing, 1997). This high degree of variation in the sucking disc in Sore’s *Garra* can be observed - from well-developed disc with free posterior margin to complete absence. Such a degree of
morphological diversity concentrated in one riverine spot of Ethiopian Highlands would seem to satisfy the requirements of a diversification burst (sensu Givnish, 2015).

Divergent feeding-related morphology and gut content analysis suggest trophic specialization of *Garra* sympatric forms. This is consistent with other cases of adaptive radiation among Ethiopian cyprinids, where trophic resource partitioning promoted diversification - *Labeobarbus* spp. in Lake Tana (Sibbing, Nagelkerke, Stet, & Osse, 1998) as well as in the Genale River (Levin et al., 2019). The most common foraging strategy among *Garra* is scraping of periphyton from stones and rocks (Hamidan, Jackson, & Britton, 2016; Matthes, 1963). This is predominant in Sore’s *Garra* ecomorphs 1 and 2 that have long gut (4-5 times longer than body length) filled with periphyton and detritus. The ecomorphs 1 and 2 are divergent mainly in body shape. The latter has streamlined appearance and probably is adapted for life in more rapid flowing water. Ecomorph 3 has shorter gut length (ca. 2-times longer than body length) and a mixed diet with significant additions of benthic invertebrates. Ecomorph 5 has an extremely short gut, whose length is as long as the fish body. Short gut is a strong marker for predatory/piscivory feeding strategy in fishes, including cyprinids (Nagelkerke, 1997; Sibbing et al., 1998; Wagner, McIntyre, Buels, Gilbert, & Michel, 2009, Zandoná, Auer, Kilham, & Reznick, 2015). Predatory *Garra* from the Sore River have 4-5-times shorter gut length than congeneric periphyton feeders and twice shorter gut than that of piscivory large-mouthed ecomorph of *Labeobarbus* from the Genale River, Ethiopia (Levin et al., 2019). We found an empty gut in many individuals of ecomorph 5, while small-sized fishes had gut filled with insects. Ecomorph 4 has a rather long intestine and predominantly periphyton in diet, but it is characterized by distinctly divergent mouth phenotype compared to ecomorphs 1 and 2 (Fig. 3). The gut of thick-lipped phenotype (ecomorph 6) was not analyzed because of the extreme rarity of samples. Hypertrophied lips (or ‘rubber lips’) of fishes is an adaptation to foraging on benthos hidden between rock crevices on pebble and rock fragments via increased sucking power by sealing cracks and grooves (Baumgarten, Machado-Schiaffino, Henning, & Meyer, 2015; Machado-Schiaffino, Henning, & Meyer, 2014; Matthes, 1963; Ribbink,
marsh, marsh, & sharp, 1983). this phenotype is widely distributed among other cyprinid fish, the *labeobarbus* spp., inhabiting lakes and rivers of ethiopian highlands (mina, mironovsky, & dgebuadze, 1996; mironovsky, mina, & dgebuadze, 2019; nagelkerke, sibbing, van den boogaart, lammens, & osse, 1994) including the sore river (levin et al., 2020), but it was never detected among *garra* species. our study shows that the thick-lipped mouth phenotype represents an evolutionary novelty within the *garra* lineage that most probably resulted from hybridization events between ecomorphs 2 (lineage 2b) and 3 because its genome had an admixture from these genetic lineages. hybridogenic origin of the *garra*’s thick-lipped phenotype may corroborate results of recent experimental study demonstrating the importance of hybridization in generating of functional novelty of ecological relevance in relation to trophic resources unavailable for parental species in cichlids (selz & seehausen, 2019). the origin of novel thick-lipped phenotype in the genus *garra* is of particular interest in light of knowledge of non-hybrid origin of hypertrophied lips from ancestors with normally developed lips in cichlid fishes (baumgarten et al., 2015; machado-schiaffino et al., 2017). interestingly, there might only be a single locus involved in producing the hypertrophied cichlid phenotype (kautt et al., 2020), the genomic basis of the lip phenotypes in *garra* remains unknown.

another novel phenotype for *garra* detected in the sore river is the “predatory” niche. a conspicuously piscivory trophic strategy is rare among cyriniformes, presumably because they have a toothless jaw. nevertheless, this feeding strategy is quite common among cyprinid fishes inhabiting water bodies of ethiopian highlands. for example, seven of the total 15 endemic *labeobarbus* spp. found in lake tana are predatory on fish (nagelkerke et al., 1994; sibbing et al., 1998); that evolved multiple times among riverine populations of the genus *labeobarbus* (levin et al., 2020).

to our knowledge, only one sympatric diversification has previously suggested for *garra*—the intralacustrine complex including three species inhabited lake tana in ethiopia (geremew, 2007; stiassny & getahun, 2007). this diversification resulted in divergent phenotypes (gular
discs varies from well-developed to reduced size) and ecology (one form is pelagic - *G. tana*) and can be considered as a recent speciation as suggested by the absence of mtDNA divergence among these species (Tang, Getahun, & Liu, 2009). Unfortunately, little is known about morpho-ecological and genetic diversity of this Lake Tana radiation. Sympatric divergence was also recently proposed as the most likely mechanisms for the origin of two blind *Garra* species, *G. typhlops* and *G. lorestanensis*, inhabited the same cave in Zagros Mountains, Iran (Segherloo et al., 2018).

**Possible scenarios of evolution of Garra’s adaptive radiation in the Sore River**

Both mtDNA and genome-wide SNPs data support monophyly of the Sore’s *Garra* as well as their recent speciation based on low genetic divergence between the nearest ancestor and Sore River’s ecomorphs. The closest relative and ancestor of the Sore River diversification inhabits the same subbasin of the White Nile in Ethiopia, therefore suggesting an intra-basin diversification of *Garra* there. On the one hand, mtDNA data might have failed to distinguish sympatric ecomorphs because of high level of shared genetic diversity caused by ILS and introgression, this latter highlighted by D-statistic calculated with the genome-wide nuclear data. On the other hand, the SNP data support a reproductive isolation among closely-related ecomorphs despite few individuals having intermediate phenotypes and genetic admixture. Hybrid origin of intermediate phenotypes might suggest that reproductive isolation barriers are not complete yet.

Patterns of haplotype net (numerous haplotypes occurring in the same phenotypes) as well as SNP data (presence of more genetic clusters than phenotypes) could also suggest secondary contact of local sub-isolated populations. The riverine net of Ethiopian Highlands was significantly influenced by several episodes of dramatic volcanism and tectonism until the Quaternary (Ferguson et al., 2010; Hutchison et al., 2016; Prave et al., 2016). Thus, riverine net fragmentation, isolation or sub-isolation of some riverine parts, and captures of headwaters is a likely scenario given the geological history of Ethiopian Highlands (Mège, Purcell, Pochat, & Guidat, 2015), also
supported by genetic studies on other Ethiopian fishes (Levin et al., 2019; 2020). Concerning the Sore River, while waterfalls and rapids are rather frequent, no geological data that support its connection to other basins are known. In our view, the most reliable evolutionary scenario for the origin of the riverine adaptive radiation in the *Garra* species group draws upon a combination of allopatric and sympatric stages of speciation with hybridization and admixture. A comparable evolutionary history was detected in the *Labeobarbus* adaptive radiation in the Genale River (Ethiopia), which is part of the extended ancient riverine net in Juba-Wabe-Shebelle drainage (Levin et al., 2019).

Speciation with gene flow was detected in several studies (e.g. Feder, Egan, & Nosil, 2012; Fruciano, Franchini, Raffini, Fan, & Meyer, 2016; Kautt, Machado-Schiaffino, & Meyer, 2016; Kautt et al., 2018; Kautt et al., 2020; Machado-Shiaffino et al., 2017; Malinsky et al., 2018; Puebla, 2009; Rougeux, Bernatchez, & Gagnaire, 2017; Schwarzer et al., 2011; Smadja & Butlin, 2011; Zheng & Ge, 2010). Notably, it has been shown as genetic admixture between divergent populations/lineages may be a key factor in promoting rapid ecological speciation (Jacobs et al., 2020; Kautt et al., 2016; Kautt et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2015; Marques, Meier, & Seehausen, 2019). Moreover, ancient hybridization is widely considered one of the most important factors driving the spectacular cichlid adaptive radiations in the Great African Lakes (Irissari et al., 2018; Meier et al., 2017; Verheyen, Salzburger, Snoeks, & Meyer, 2003). Seemingly, ancient introgressive hybridization could be a trigger for small-scaled repeated adaptive radiations among the Arctic charrs *Salvelinus* (Lecaudet et al., 2018). Furthermore, hybridization is the main mechanism generating polyploid lineages in fishes (tetraploid, hexaploid etc. - Braasch & Postlethwait, 2012), whose complex genomes constitute the raw material for the rapid origin of sympatric forms (e.g. *Schizothorax* in Central Asia - Berg, 1914; Burnashev, 1952; Terashima, 1984; *Labeobarbus* in Africa - Levin et al., 2020; Mina et al., 1996; Nagelkerke et al., 1994; Vreven, Musschoot, Snoeks, & Schliewen, 2016). Nevertheless, all described *Garra*, including the Ethiopian species, have diploid genomes (Krysanov & Golubtsov, 1993).
Adaptive radiation in riverine environment

Most adaptive radiations of fishes were reported from the lacustrine environment (e.g., Fryer & Iles 1972; Seehausen & Wagner, 2014). However, increasing evidence suggest that adaptive radiation can take place in other aquatic environments (e.g., marine, riverine) (Burress et al., 2018; Dimmick et al., 2001; Feulner, Kirschbaum, & Tiedemann, 2008; Levin et al., 2019; 2020; Melnik et al., 2020; Matchiner, Hanel, & Salzburger, 2011; Piálek et al., 2012; Puebla, 2009; Whiteley, 2007). Several other cases of potential riverine adaptive radiations that includes ≥ 3 sympatric ecomorphs exist, although they were not been tested with genetic methods yet - for instance, snow trout from Central Asia (Berg, 1914; Burnashev, 1952), barbs Poropuntius and Neolissochilus from Southeastern Asia (Roberts, 1998; Roberts & Khaironizam, 2008). Among cichlids, one of the first riverine adaptive radiations examined genetically were from Southern Africa (Joyce et al., 2005). However, the authors of this study suggested that the adaptive radiation occurred in the lacustrine environment in the palaeo lake Makgadikgadi that dried up in the Holocene (Joyce et al., 2005). Other cichlid adaptive radiations from the rivers of Western Africa (Schwarzer et al., 2011), Southern America (Burress et al., 2018; Piálek et al., 2012;) as well as four independently evolved riverine radiations of labeobarbs from East Africa (Levin et al., 2020), have instead took place in riverine drainages without known lacustrine conditions in the past.

The Garra lineage is adapted to fast and torrent waters. It possesses a morphological novelty - gular sucking disc - used to cling on the bottom of swift waters. This novelty allowed Garra to be distributed widely in highlands and montane zones from Southeastern China to Western Africa. Only a few species were found in the lacustrine environment (Lake Tana – Stiassny & Getahun, 2007) or in caves (e.g. Banister, 1984; Coad, 1996; Kruckenhauser, Haring, Seemann, & Sattmann, 2011; Mousavi-Sabet & Eagderi, 2016), indicating their potential to adapt to steady waters.

Despite the riverine network is generally considered more open to gene flow compared to landlocked water bodies, mountain and highland are an exception to this rule. The Ethiopian
Highlands are a volcanic massif of flood and shield volcano basalts 0.5–3.0 km thick that form spectacular trap topography (1500–4500 m) flanking the Main Ethiopian Rift (Prave et al., 2016). The geological history of the Ethiopian Highlands was tectonically very dynamic and rich in volcanic episodes from Oligocene to Pleistocene time with very recent episodes (Prave et al., 2016). The volcanic activity has been severe enough to deleteriously affect the biota and cause major disruptions in ecosystems. This hypothesis found support in the inferred evolutionary history of the *Labeobarbus* in East Africa. The earliest fossil records of *Labeobarbus* were found in the Ethiopian Rift Valley and dated back to the late-Miocene (Stewart & Murray, 2017), but most of the Ethiopian lineages are younger (Pleistocene origin) (Beshera, Harris, & Mayden, 2016; de Graaf, Megens, Samollo, & Sibbing, 2010; Levin et al., 2020). The tectonic activity of the region could have favored local isolation via the formation of waterfalls (e.g., 33 kya the Blue Nile basaltic blockade formed Tis-Isat waterfall - Prave et al., 2016) or river net fragmentation (Juba-Wabe-Shebelle drainage Mège et al., 2015) along with climatic oscillations resulted to disconnection of water bodies during aridization (Benvenutti et al., 2002). Periodically, it resulted in vacant habitats and ecological opportunity (reviewed by Stroud & Losos 2018) for new species to exploit similar to islands or crater lakes (Burress et al., 2018).

The *Garra*’s diversification burst in the Sore River was detected in the riverine segment at an altitude range of 1310–1550 m asl, that is within the range of four riverine diversifications of the *Labeobarbus* detected throughout Ethiopian Highlands: 1050–1550 m (Levin et al., 2020). Despite the generally broader elevation gradient (175-2000 m asl - Levin et al., 2020) of the *Labeobarbus* species complex, the diversification bursts were only detected in mid-upper reaches. We believe that a combination of two factors might explain this observation: i) fauna in mid-upper reaches is poorer compared to lower reaches, where a more diversified fauna might have already filled the available ecological niches necessary for an adaptive radiation to unfold; ii) the biotopes are more diverse compared to the most upper reach, that means vacant niches are available.
Five endemic, and one introduced non-\textit{Garra} species were recorded in the Sore River in the study area (data of this study). This is an extremely low number compared to more than 110 fish species (Golubtsov & Darkov, 2008, and our data) recorded in the Baro River at Gambella at 440m altitude (our data) to which the drainage of the Sore River belongs with a distance of ~150km between compared localities. The segment of the Sore River where \textit{Garra}’s diversification was detected is rather rich in biotope complexity - pools are alternating pools slow currents, rift areas and rapids (Fig. S6). The depauperated fauna was suggested to provide the ecological opportunities for riverine adaptive radiations similar to the in Southeastern cyprinids of the genus \textit{Poropuntius} (Roberts, 1998) and South America cichlids of the \textit{Crenicichla} due to relaxed competition and vacant niches might have provided ecological opportunities for sympatric speciation by trophic specializations (Burress et al., 2018).

We discovered six new species within the genus \textit{Garra} in the Sore River. Given that the same riverine segment is home for another riverine diversification of fishes represented by four phenotypically diverged ecomorphs of the genus \textit{Labeobarbus} (Levin et al., 2020), we consider the Sore River to being a hot-spot of riverine diversification in the Ethiopian Highlands that requires conservation management. The Ethiopian Highlands are home for several young fish radiations - a large lacustrine diversification among cyprinids (15 species/morphotypes - Mina et al., 1996; Nagelkerke et al., 1994; Nagelkerke et al., 2015) as well as small-sized diversifications of \textit{Garra} (three species – Stiassny & Getahun, 2007) and \textit{Enteromius} (two species - de Graaf, Megens, Samallo, & Sibbing, 2007; Dejen et al., 2002) - all in Lake Tana, and five riverine adaptive radiations of cyprinids each including from four to seven species (Golubtsov, 2010; Golubtsov, Korostelev, & Levin, 2021; Levin et al., 2019; 2020; Mina, Mironovsky, Golubtsov, & Dgebuadze, 1998; current study), highlighting this region’s importance as a hotspot for fish speciation that is in need of additional research on ecological speciation processes.
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Table 1. Common names of the six ecomorphs of African *Garra* from the Sore River, and the preliminary qualitative descriptions used in the field to identify each form.

| Name used in the text          | Basal description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No. 1, ‘generalized’           | Well-developed round-shaped gular disc of type C with free posterior margin (disc classification follows Stiassny & Getahun, 2007). Body shape is generalized for *Garra*.                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| No. 2, ‘stream-lined’          | Slender stream-line body with slim caudal peduncle and increased pectoral fins. Disc of type C.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| No. 3, ‘narrow-mouth’          | Disc is reduced in size, elongated, oval-shaped (closer to type A). Narrow mouth often with groove on lower jaw.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| No. 4, ‘wide-mouth’            | Disc is reduced in size, triangle-shaped. Wide mouth with significantly enlarged labellum (sensu Kottelat, 2020). Disc of type B in degree of development.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| No. 5, ‘predator’              | Completely or almost completely reduced gular disc (type A when presented). Wide head and mouth. This ecomorph achieves larger size compared to others. Largest individuals have nuchal hunch and almost terminal mouth with a bony projection on the lower jaw and matching incision on the upper jaw. |
| No. 6, ‘thick-lipped’          | Greatly developed lips, referred to as ‘rubber lips’ (Matthes, 1963). Intermediate lobe of the lower lip is ball-shaped and unattached. Gular disc is greatly reduced, oval-shaped (type A). Only two individuals recorded.                                                                                                                                                               |

Table 2. DNA and morphology sample numbers of *Garra* ecomorphs from the Sore River.

| Ecomorphs       | Morphology Measurements | Gut length and diet | mtDNA | RAD-seq |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1               | 27                      | 18                  | 27    | 22      |
| 2               | 17                      | 7                   | 19    | 13      |
| 3               | 19                      | 13                  | 18    | 11      |
| 4               | 20                      | 10                  | 17    | 13      |
| 5               | 15                      | 14                  | 24    | 11      |
| 6               | 2                       | -                   | 2     | 2       |
| Intermediate phenotype | 6                   | -                   | 5     | 5       |
| **Total**       | **106**                 | **62**              | **112** | **77**  |
Table 3. Results of Patterson’s D statistic (ABBA-BABA test) and f4-ratio test on selected genetic clusters of *Garra* from the Sore River.

| P1 | P2 | P3 | D statistic | Z-score | p-value | f4-ratio | BBAA | ABBA | BABA |
|----|----|----|-------------|---------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| 4  | 3  | 6  | 0.1176      | 5.3829  | <0.0001 | 0.1128   | 227.5 | 235.0 | 185.5 |
| 2b | 3  | 5  | 0.0650      | 3.1078  | 0.0009  | 0.4226   | 253.5 | 246.5 | 216.4 |
| 2b | 6  | 3  | 0.0646      | 2.3475  | 0.0095  | 0.2854   | 215.6 | 217.3 | 190.9 |
| 4  | 3  | 2b | 0.0624      | 3.8143  | <0.0001 | 0.1237   | 264.6 | 241.4 | 213.0 |
| 4  | 3  | 5  | 0.0492      | 3.6742  | 0.0001  | 0.3277   | 276.2 | 247.4 | 224.2 |
| 2b | 6  | 5  | 0.0327      | 1.4755  | 0.0700  | 0.2051   | 248.6 | 203.4 | 190.5 |
| 4  | 6  | 5  | 0.0304      | 1.5315  | 0.0628  | 0.2330   | 224.5 | 226.5 | 213.2 |
| 6  | 3  | 5  | 0.0199      | 0.9380  | 0.1741  | 0.1641   | 244.2 | 204.7 | 196.8 |
| 2b | 4  | 5  | 0.0178      | 1.0774  | 0.1406  | 0.1134   | 245.9 | 246.3 | 237.7 |
| 2b | 6  | 4  | 0.0040      | 0.1592  | 0.4368  | 0.0151   | 244.6 | 197.8 | 196.3 |
Table 4. Summary of the ecomorphs’ genetic diversity indices averaged over 89,070 loci (both variant and fixed).

| Ecomorphs | No. of private alleles, Np | No. of polymorphic loci, % | Heterozygosity | Coefficient of inbreeding (Fis) ± SE | Nucleotide diversity (Pi) ± SE |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|           |                           |                             | Observed (Ho) ± SE | Expected (He) ± SE                  |                              |
| 1a        | 19                        | 0.42                        | 0.00128±0.00008   | 0.00116±0.00007                     | -0.00014±0.0015             |
| 1b        | 18                        | 0.40                        | 0.00128±0.00008   | 0.00113±0.00007                     | -0.00019±0.0011             |
| 2a        | 27                        | 0.41                        | 0.00124±0.00008   | 0.00114±0.00007                     | -0.00007±0.0012             |
| 2b        | 9                         | 0.24                        | 0.00104±0.00008   | 0.00079±0.00006                     | -0.00023±0.0012             |
| 3         | 20                        | 0.43                        | 0.00127±0.00008   | 0.00107±0.00006                     | -0.00037±0.0013             |
| 4         | 38                        | 0.43                        | 0.00109±0.00007   | 0.001±0.0006                        | -0.00008±0.0015             |
| 5         | 33                        | 0.44                        | 0.00126±0.00008   | 0.00115±0.00007                     | -0.00011±0.0019             |
| 6         | 3                         | 0.10                        | 0.00058±0.00007   | 0.0004±0.0000                      | -0.00006±0.00004            |

* - letters ‘a’ and ‘b’ assign genetic lineages within ecomorphs 1 and 2.
Fig. 1A. *Garra* ecomorphs 1-3 from the Sore River: 1 - ‘generalized’: 136 mm SL; 2 - ‘stream-lined’: 99 mm SL; 3 - ‘narrow-mouth’: 100 mm SL.

Fig. 1B. *Garra* ecomorphs 4-6 from the Sore River: 4 - ‘wide-mouth’: 100 mm SL; 5 - ‘predator’: 193 mm SL; 6 - ‘thick-lipped’: 128 mm SL.
Fig. 2. Sampling sites of Garra in Ethiopian Highlands and Ethiopian Rift Valley; loc. 1-2 are in the Sore River.
Fig. 3. (A) PCA of body and head proportions of six sympatric ecomorphs from the Sore River (n=107); (B) PCA of body and head proportions of five sympatric ecomorphs from the Sore River (n=90) excluding the most divergent sample, ecomorph 5. X designates intermediate phenotypes; (C) Gut length of five sympatric Garra ecomorphs from the Sore River represented as violin boxplots. Middle points are the means, and the box show the range respectively, samples are combined and each contains between 7 (ecomorph 2) and 18 (ecomorph 1) individuals, for a total of 62 individuals. Different lowercase letters above the boxplots indicate significant differences between ecomorphs (p < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test with BH adjustment of p-value); (D) Dependence of gut length on body length in five Garra ecomorphs from the Sore River with smooth local regression lines (Loess regression).
Fig. 4. (A) Consensus tree of relationships among the Ethiopian *Garra* from all main drainages based on *cytb* sequences. Bayesian posterior probabilities (before slash) from BI analysis and bootstrap values from ML analysis (after slash) above 0.5/50 are shown; asterisks represent posterior probabilities/bootstrap values of 1/100. Scale bar and branch lengths provide the expected substitutions per site. The green and yellow colors highlight two branches of *Garra* in the Sore River. (B) Median-joining haplotype network of the *Garra* from the Sore River, based on 107 *cytb* sequences (989 bp length). ‘Green’ haplogroup includes ecomorphs 1-5, while ‘yellow’ haplogroup includes ecomorphs 2-6. Black dots represent hypothetical intermediate haplotypes.
Fig. 5. (A) ML phylogenetic tree of Ethiopian Garra based on RAD-loci sequences - 23,365 loci; 3,075,180 bp and (B) SVDQ species tree. Each locus was treated as a separate partition with GTR+G substitution model and heterozygous sites within each individual encoded using IUPAC notation. Black dots designate 100% bootstrap support, and only values above 50% are given.
Fig. 6. ML phylogeny of sympatric *Garra* ecomorphs from the Sore River based on concatenated RAD-loci sequences (7,370 loci; 969,450 bp). Each locus was treated as a separate partition with
GTR+G substitution model. Heterozygous sites within each individual encoded using IUPAC notation. The individual samples are colored based on the color scheme of Fig. 4 and intermediate (putative hybrids) phenotypes are depicted in another color. The genetic clusters proportions inferred by rmaverick analysis are shown to the right of sample numbers. Black points designate 100% bootstrap support.

Fig. 7. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on 679 nuclear SNPs of sympatric Garra ecomorphs from the Sore River. Points (individuals) and 95% confidence ellipses are colored by phenotype/genetic cluster. Crosses assign intermediate phenotypes.
Fig. 8. Hierarchical *rmaverick* results for sympatric ecomorphs of *Garra* from the Sore River, based on 679 nuclear SNPs. Each column of the barplot shows individual assignments to one of the inferred genetic clusters. Independent runs of *rmaverick* are indicated by a solid black line above a plot, along with an inferred value of $K$. 
Fig. 9. Left - pairwise Reich $F_{ST}$ values (points) with their respective 95% confidence intervals (horizontal lines) for *Garra* genetic lineages from the Sore River based on 679 SNPs. Right - heat map of $f$-branch metric for selected ecomorphs/lineages of the *Garra* Sore radiation. The used guide tree is shown along the x and y axes (in ‘laddered’ form along the y axis). The matrix shows the inferred $f$-branch metric, reflecting excess allele sharing between the branch of the ‘laddered’ tree on the y axis (relative to its sister branch) and the branches defined on the x axis.