canoe encodes a novel protein containing a GLGF/DHR motif and functions with Notch and scabrous in common developmental pathways in Drosophila
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The canoe misty (cno~ mist~) mutation was isolated by virtue of its severe rough eye phenotype from ~500 fly lines, each harboring a single autosomal insertion of a P element (BmA~ w). Excision of the P element generated a lethal, null allele, cno~ mist~ 10, together with many revertants with normal eye morphology. Ommatidia homozygous for cno~ mist~ 10 produced in an otherwise wild-type eye by somatic recombination, typically contain a reduced number of outer photoreceptors. Some cno~ mist~ homozygous adults bear extra macrochaetes on the head, notum, humerus and/or scutellum. cno~ mist~ hemizygotes often show conspicuous wing phenotypes such as a notched blade and the loss of a cross vein. The sequence of cno cDNA clones isolated from an embryonic cDNA library revealed a long open reading frame that potentially encodes a 1893-amino-acid protein with the GLGF/DHR motif, a conserved sequence in Discs large, Dishevelled, and some other proteins associated with cellular junctions. Flies doubly mutant for cno~ mist~ and scabrous~ 1 (sca~ 1) and those for cno~ mist~ and the split (spl) allele of Notch (N) always have rumpled wings curved downward. The spl; cno~ mist~ double mutant flies also exhibit a "giant socket" phenotype. These phenotypes are rarely observed in flies singly mutant for either cno~ mist~ 1, sca or spl. The wing vein gaps caused by Abruptex~ 1, a N allele producing an activated form of N protein, are dominantly suppressed by cno~ mist~. Heterozygosity for shaggy and myospheroid promotes formation of extra wing veins in cno~ mist~ homozygotes. The genetic interactions suggest that cno participates with members of the N pathway in regulating adhesive cell–cell interactions for the determination of cell fate.
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The molecular mechanisms responsible for cellular pattern formation have been a major focus of developmental biology in the latest decade. Drosophila melanogaster proves to be the best suited organism for the study of cellular patterning, because of its relatively invariant pattern of cellular organization and amenability to genetics (Rubin 1988).

The Notch (N) gene has been studied intensively in Drosophila morphogenesis (Artavanis-Tsakonas et al. 1991; Campos-Ortega 1993). As inferred from its mutant phenotypes, N is a pleiotropic gene that functions in a variety of developmental processes: N activity is required for embryonic neurogenesis [Xu et al. 1990; Lieber et al. 1993] and for proper formation of the eyes [Fortini et al. 1993], wing [Rebay et al. 1993; de Celis and García-Bellido 1994], bristles [Heitzler and Simpson 1991], and egg chambers [Ruohola et al. 1991]. The N gene product appears to play a permissive role, in the sense that it simply permits inductive cell–cell interactions by other, more specific mechanisms [Coyle-Thompson and Banerjee 1993]. The N gene encodes a large transmembrane protein. The 1700-amino-acid extracellular domain contains a tandem array of 36 epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like repeats, and the 988-amino-acid cytoplasmic domain contains six copies of the ankyrin repeat (Wharton et al. 1985; Kidd et al. 1986). Genetic searches for mutations interacting with N identify N group genes, Delta (Dl), Serrate (Ser), Enhancer of split (E(spl)), mastermind (mam), strawberry notch (sno), and deltex [Coyle-Thompson and Banerjee 1993]. scabrous (sca) [Baker et al. 1990] and shaggy (sgg)/zeste-white 3 (zw3) [Perrimon and Smouse 1989] have also been implicated...
in the N pathway [Ruel et al. 1993]. Dl and Ser are transmembrane proteins that have EGF-like repeats, with which they bind to specific sites of the N EGF-like repeats [Rebay et al. 1991]. A recent experiment, using the two-hybrid system of yeast, indicates that Deltex, a cytoplasmic basic protein, directly associates with the N ankyrin repeat [Diederich et al. 1994]. Apart from these repeats (Rebay et al. 1991). A recent experiment, using the which they bind to specific sites of the N EGF-like repeats (Diederich et al. 1994). Apart from these repeats (Rebay et al. 1991). A recent experiment, using the which they bind to specific sites of the N EGF-like repeats (Diederich et al. 1994). Apart from these examples, little is known about the mechanism of the signaling cascade. To understand thoroughly the developmental pleiotropy of the N function, identification of additional elements in the cascade and their molecular characterization are indispensable.

Toward this end, we have screened P-element insertion lines for the rough eye phenotype, and isolated a mutant, misty [mis], which displays a range of phenotypes similar to N in eye, bristle, and wing development. Furthermore, clear genetic interactions are demonstrated between mis and the mutations in the N-related genes, such as sca, sgg, and N itself. Molecular analysis revealed that mis encodes a novel cytoplasmic protein with a GLGF/DHR motif, defining a new class of possible downstream components of the N signaling pathway.

Results

Isolation and mapping of the mis mutation

We generated -500 fly lines harboring single P-element insertions in the autosomes by means of the jump start mutagenesis [Cooley et al. 1988], in which a BmA w element on the X chromosome was forced to translocate to an autosomal site by introducing the 42-3 P transposase source. Mutations were balanced with SM1 for second-chromosome insertions and with TM3 for third-chromosome insertions. The screening, which involves binocular examination of the compound eyes of homozygous adults from all lines except for those carrying lethal mutations, yielded the mis 1 mutation that exhibits a severe rough eye phenotype (Fig. 1). The phenotype is linked with the P-element insertion, as its excision yields many revertant lines with normal eye morphology (Fig. 1). A genomic DNA fragment flanking the BmA w insertion in the third chromosome (data not shown). To further define the locus cytologically, three deficiency chromosomes, Df(3R)Z-1, Df(3R)3-4, and Df(3R)6-7, bearing breakpoints in this region, were tested for allelism to mis 1. Only Df(3R)6-7 produces the eye phenotype when placed in trans to the mis 1 mutation [Fig. 1a]. Df(3R)6-7 removes DNA in the cytological division 82D3-8 to 82F3-6 (S. Wasserman, pers. comm.). Df(3R)Z-1 eliminates the cytological interval of 82A5, 6-82E4, whereas Df(3R)3-4 eliminates 82F1,2-82F10, 11. Therefore the mis mutation is located between 82E4, the right breakpoint of Df(3R)Z-1, and 82F1,2, the left breakpoint of Df(3R)3-4. In this cytological interval, an embryonic lethal mutation called canoe [cno] has been mapped [Jürgens et al. 1984]. The transheterozygotes, mis 1/cno 9X10d (data not shown) and mis 1/cno 10B01 [Fig. 1d,h], had roughened eye structure, indicating that mis 1 is a new allele of the cno locus. mis 1 is thus referred to as cno 10 hereafter.

Phenotypic characterization of cno 10

The wild-type Drosophila compound eye consists of ~800 identical subunits, called ommatidia. Each ommatidium contains 20 cells, including a central core of eight photoreceptors surrounded by pigment cells, which in- India are aligned optically, and covered by a crystalline cone that directs light onto them [Basler and Hafen 1991; Ready 1989; Tomlinson 1988; Yamamoto 1993, 1994; Zipursky 1989].

The scanning electron microscopic (SEM) observations and the tangential sections of cno 10 eyes reveal conspicuous features of the mutant ommatidia. The facets have irregular size and shape, and two or three adjacent ommatidia are often fused. The eye phenotypes are stronger in cno 10/cno 10 and cno 10/Df(3R)6-7 flies, where the number of photoreceptors contained in single ommatidia is decreased and the pigment cell lattice gets thicker than that of the wild type (Fig. 1). The effect of the lethal exclusion allele, cno 10, on formation of the adult eye was examined by performing somatic mosaic analysis. cno 10 may be a null allele, as no transcript can be detected in homozygous embryos by whole mount in situ hybridization [see Fig. 10]. Homozygous cno 10 mutant cells were genetically marked using an ectopic copy of the white + [w + ] gene [a recessive gene affecting eye color], inserted in the third...
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chromosome near the cno locus, as a cell autonomous marker. Clones of homozygous mutant cells were made by inducing mitotic recombination with X-ray irradiation in the first larval instar. Photoreceptor cells that were w− were homozygous mutant in an otherwise wild-type eye. When mutant patches of large size are formed, they are devoid of any ommatidia in some instances. Small mutant patches had ommatidia that were typically composed of a reduced number of photoreceptors. Because there is no lineage restriction in the formation of an ommatidium, cells of the different genotypes mix at the borders of mutant clones. Some such ommatidia had normal morphology, and others did not. Only cells in which cno+ function is not required are expected to be cno mis~ in phenotypically normal ommatidia. It turned out that all of the photoreceptors could be cno mis~ without affecting the normal development of the ommatidium [Fig. 2]. The simplest interpretation of the mosaic analysis is that the loss of cno function may be compensated by other gene products, as has been observed previously for some genes involved in sensory organ development. Another possibility is that cno is required outside of photoreceptor cell precursors. Because mutant cells were used to assemble normal ommatidia only in the small mutant patches or near the mosaic border, the surrounding wild-type cells might compensate the cno function lost from the mutant cells.

cno mis~ did not affect the initiation of ommatidium formation, as staining patterns revealed by mAb 22C10 and by the enhancer trap lines sca3853 and BB02, which serve as specific markers for R8, showed no obvious pattern defects in the larval eye disc [Fig. 3]. However, cobalt sulfide staining of the pupal discs demonstrated that ommatidial assembly in this late stage is clearly distorted in cno mis~ homozygotes [Fig. 3]. In cno mis~ eye discs, ommatidia having two, three, or five cone cells instead of four are occasionally found [Fig. 3]. Ommatidia of mutant pupae often contain extra primary pigment cells [Fig. 3]. Some of these ommatidia lack a secondary pigment cell, resulting in apparent fusion of adjacent facets [Fig. 3]. These results suggest that the cno gene product is needed after pupation, as has been implied for the mutations in which the eye discs appear normal through the third instar but the adult eyes are irregular (Renfranz and Benzer 1989; Baker et al. 1992), although we cannot exclude the possibility that defects in the cno mutant eye could be the consequence of abnormalities present in the larval eye disc but too subtle to be detected in our light microscopic studies.

The mutant phenotype of cno mis~ is not restricted to

Figure 2. The compound eyes mosaic for the cno mis~ mutation. (A) An example of a large mutant clone. The genotypes of the photoreceptors R1–R8 in 58 normally developed mosaic ommatidia are shown schematically in B. (Solid circles) Wild-type cells; (open circles) mutant cells. The numerals indicate the number of cases for each pattern.
canoe in Notch pathway

Figure 3. Phenotype analysis in the developing eye-antennal discs. (A,B) Larval discs of Canton-S wild-type [A] and cnomisI [B] stained with mAb 22C10. (C,D) β-Galactosidase activity stainings of the cnomisI discs from third instar larvae carrying a lacZ marker for R8, BB02 [C], and sca expression, sca3853 [D]. β-Galactosidase expression in sca3853 eye discs starts in multiple cells near the anterior edge of the morphogenetic furrow [indicated by an open arrow] and becomes restricted to R8 precursor cells in the posterior columns. [E,F] Cobalt sulfide stainings of the pupal discs from Canton-S wild-type [E] and cnomisI [F]. In F, fused ommatidia are indicated by arrows. Examples of ommatidia containing too many or too few cone cells are indicated by arrowheads. Scale bar in [A], 39 μm for A and 30 μm for B–F. Anterior is to the right.

the eye. For example, extra macrochaetes [sensory bristles] are occasionally seen in the head, notum, and scutellum (Fig. 4). Although the structure of wings are normal for cnomisI homozygotes, cnomisI hemizygotes show variable but conspicuous wing phenotypes such as a notched blade and the loss of a cross vein [Fig. 6A–C].

Genetic interactions of cno with the N group genes

Fused ommatidia have been reported to occur in sca mutations [Baker et al. 1990]. The sca mutants are also accompanied by bristle abnormalities [Mlodzik et al. 1990]. The similarity in the phenotypes tempted us to examine possible genetic interactions between cnomisI and scaI. Although the compound eye of scaI homozygotes contains some fused facets and others with excess or reduced number of photoreceptors, the majority of ommatidia have the normal complement of eight photoreceptors [Fig. 5a,l]. When the scaI homozygotes are also heterozygous for cnomisI, the phenotype is dramatically enhanced so that many ommatidia are fused to each other [Fig. 5g]. The eyes of the double homozygotes, scaI; cnomisI, are extremely rough, accompanied with giant ommatidia formed by fusions [Fig. 5c,d,h].

The bristle phenotype of scaI was also enhanced by cnomisI [Fig. 4]. The enhancement is particularly clear in certain groups of macrochaetes, such as verticals, notopleurals, and dorsocentrals [Fig. 4]. scaI/scaI individuals heterozygous or homozygous for cnomisI bear more extra bristles than scaI/scaI does [Fig. 4]. The synergistic interaction between scaI and cnomisI is most evident in the notopleurals: supernumerary bristles are frequently generated in scaI/scaI; cnomisI/+ and scaI/scaI; cnomisI/cnomisI, whereas no extra bristle of this group is found in flies singly mutant for scaI or cnomisI [Fig. 4].

The genetic interaction between scaI and cnomisI is further revealed by examining wing morphology [Fig. 6E]. Flies doubly mutant for cnomisI and scaI always have rumpled wings curved downward, with occasional shortening of the anterior cross vein. These phenotypes are not observed in flies singly mutant for either cnomisI or scaI [Fig. 6A,D].

Another mutation found to interact genetically with cnomisI is the split (spl) allele of N. The spl mutation by itself causes severe roughness of the compound eye [Fig. 5i,j]. The overall feature of the spl; cnomisI eye is similar to that of spl, although the incidence of observing fused ommatidia is somewhat higher in the double mutant [Fig. 5k,l]. The “nonadditive” nature of the spl and cnomisI phenotypes is confirmed by examining the inter-
Figure 4. Genetic interactions of cno<sup>misl</sup> with sca<sup>i</sup> and spl in the sensory bristles. The dorsal structures observed with SEM are shown for Canton-S wild-type (a), cno<sup>misl</sup> (b), sca<sup>i</sup> (c), spl (d), sca<sup>i</sup>, cno<sup>misl</sup> (e), and spl; cno<sup>misl</sup> (f). The giant sockets and duplicated bristles characteristic to the double mutants, sca<sup>i</sup>; cno<sup>misl</sup> (g) and spl; cno<sup>misl</sup> (h) are shown at a higher magnification. (i,j) Quantification of genetic interactions between cno<sup>misl</sup> and sca<sup>i</sup> (i) and cno<sup>misl</sup> and spl (j) in the sensory bristle phenotype. The number of extra bristles are counted for 40 specimens for each genotype, and the cumulative number is shown in the ordinate. The bristle types are indicated by the number in the abscissa: anterior, medial, and posterior orbitals (1), ocellar (2), anterior and posterior verticals (3), postvertical (4), upper and lower humerals (5), presutural (6), anterior and posterior notopleurals (7), anterior and posterior supra-alar (8), anterior and posterior dorsocentrales (9), anterior and posterior postalars (10), anterior and posterior scutellars (11), and anterior, medial, and posterior sternopleurals (12). The negative values in the ordinate indicates that the relevant bristles are lost (all bristles devoid of shafts are included regardless of the presence or absence of sockets).
from a simple arithmetic sum of the effects from flies display phenotypes more severe than those expected for spl or sca¹ at lower frequencies (Fig. 4g,h). The phenotype of the double mutants resembles that reported for the Hairless (H) mutant. In H, bristles are either lost (i.e., the shaft and socket fail to appear) or they exhibit a “double socket” phenotype, in which the shaft is apparently transformed into a second socket [Bang et al. 1991].

sca¹; cno¹misl has ruffled wings that are strikingly similar to those of sca¹; cno¹misl, although spl itself has no detectable effect on wing morphology [Fig. 6f,g]. Complete loss of function of N leads to embryonic lethality and extreme hyperplasia of the nervous system. The phenotype shown by females hemizygous for the N locus is characterized by “notching” of the tips and/or edges of the wings [Fig. 6h]. This dominant effect of the N deletion is enhanced in cno¹misl homozygotes. The wings of N¹misl¹/+; cno¹misl are ruffled, and the anterior cross veins are often shortened as they are in sca¹; cno¹misl and spl; cno¹misl [Fig. 6i]. The Abruptex (Ax) alleles are dominant gain-of-function mutations, which typically produce wing vein gaps [Fig. 6i]. The wing vein gaps caused by Ax¹ are partially restored in cno¹misl heterozygotes (Fig. 6k) and fully recovered in cno¹misl homozygotes (Fig. 6l).

We also found that mutations at two other loci, sgg and mysospheroid [mys], interact with cno¹misl in wing morphogenesis. In cno¹misl homozygotes that are heterozygous for a null, lethal allele of sgg [sggD¹], an extra vein develops above the middle portion of the second longitudinal vein [Fig. 7B], whereas sggD¹/+ flies have normal wing structure when they are wild-type for the cno locus [data not shown]. A similar extra vein is seen in cno¹misl homozygotes when they are heterozygous for a null, lethal allele of mys [mys¹G43] [Fig. 7D]. In addition, these flies may have another extra vein, which arises from the posterior cross vein toward the second posterior cell. Again, no such phenotype can be detected in mys¹G43 heterozygotes in the cno¹ gene background [data not shown]. mys¹G43 is a hypomorphic mutation that yields viable homozygous adults with normal wings [Grinblat et al. 1994]; mys¹G43; cno¹misl is practically lethal, with the few escapers having undersized wings [Fig. 7C]. The wings of the double mutant may have a normal pattern of veins or be accompanied by an extra cross vein connecting the second and third longitudinal veins near the wing base [Fig. 7C]. It has been reported that the mys¹G43 mutant embryo has a profound dorsal hole [Leptin et al. 1989; Brown 1994], reminiscent of the embryo homzygous for cno [Jürgens et al. 1984].

Molecular characterization of the cno gene

We isolated a genomic DNA fragment adjacent to the P-element insertion site by plasmid rescue. The isolated fragment was then used to screen a phage library to recover genomic DNA flanking the rescued region. North-
ern blotting with poly(A)+ mRNA from adults reveals that several transcription units are nested in the 15-kb genomic region cloned. Only one of these units is discernibly affected by the P-element insertion, making it a likely candidate for the cno gene [Fig. 8B]. This transcription unit produces a triplet of mRNAs of 7.0, 7.5, and 8.2 kb in length, which are greatly reduced in their amount by the cno misl mutation. Precise excision of the P element restores all three transcripts, as exemplified in the revertant cno misl13 [Fig. 8B]. A comparison of sequences of the genomic DNA from cno misl and a cDNA (see below) demonstrated that the P element is inserted 442 bp upstream of the tentative translation start site of the transcription unit. Based on these observations we identified this transcription unit as the cno gene.

The wild-type cno mRNAs are most abundant in embryos [Fig. 8C]. The transcripts decrease gradually toward the end of the larval stage and increase again in pupae and adults [Fig. 8C]. The relative abundance of three transcripts changes depending on the developmental stages [Fig. 8C]. Primer extention experiments with a cDNA (see below) suggest a single transcription start site that is located 612 bp upstream of the likely translation start site [Fig. 8D]. Because three possible adenylation signals are found in the 3’ end of a cDNA clone [Fig. 9a], it appears plausible that the different sizes of the transcripts reflect different polyadenylation sites.

The first cDNA clone was obtained by screening an eye disc cDNA library. Subsequently, screening of an embryonic cDNA library was repeated until the clones covered the full length of the transcripts. Sequence analysis [Fig. 9a] reveals that the cno cDNAs have a long open reading frame that potentially encodes a 1893-amino-acid protein with the GLGF/DHR motif [Cho et al. 1992; Woods and Bryant 1993], dishevelled [dsh] Klingensmith et al. 1994; Theisen et al. 1994], the mouse dsh homolog [dvl; Klingensmith et al. 1994], postsynaptic density protein 95 [Cho et al. 1992], nitric oxide synthase [NOS] [Bredt et al. 1991], tight junction-associated protein ZO-1 [Itoh et al. 1993], erythrocyte major palmitoylated protein p55 [Ruff et al. 1991], intracellular protein tyrosine phosphatase [PTP-meg; Gu et al. 1991], and the putative Friedreich ataxia gene product X11 [Duclos et al. 1993] [Fig. 9b].

The pattern of cno mRNA distribution was examined by digoxigenin in situ hybridization of whole-mount embryos [Fig. 10A–H]. In early stage 5 embryos before cellularization, mRNA distributes uniformly at a low level throughout the embryos, except for the pole cells. At the cellular blastoderm stage, signals are detected along the dorsal midline, where three intensely stained domains [anterior, central, and posterior] are discernible. In addition, three ectodermal stripes reminiscent of parasegmental expression of gap genes are seen clearly in the central domain of the embryo. In stages 7–10, expression...
is confined to the dorsal furrows and the posterior midgut rudiment. The pattern of mRNA distribution may imply that Cno is required for delamination of presumptive endodermal cells, a process that depends on strict control of adhesion between midgut epithelial cells [Reuter et al. 1993; Tepass and Hartenstein 1994; see also Hartenstein et al. 1992 for a role of N in this process]. Ectodermal expression becomes evident in stage 10. In stage 13, focal stainings are detected near the attachment site of the midgut with the foregut and hindgut. No staining is observed in approximately one-fourth of embryos from the cno misl1 balanced stock [Fig. 10f], indicating that the riboprobe detects specifically the cno transcripts.

A similar in situ hybridization experiment in eye–antennal discs shows that the cno transcripts are expressed ubiquitously, with a higher level of expression in the lateral edge region [Fig. 10j,k].

In an attempt to identify the Cno protein, we expressed a polypeptide corresponding to amino acids 122–258 of the Canoe protein as a fusion with glutathione S-transferase [GST] in Escherichia coli [Fig. 8E]. The fusion protein that is effectively induced by isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was purified by chromatography on glutathione–agarose beads. Rabbits were injected with the bacterially expressed fusion protein for antiserum production. The immunized antiserum was then purified by affinity chromatography.

The antiserum recognizes a single band of ~200 kD in Western blotting of protein extracts from wild-type embryos [Fig. 8F]. This result is consistent with the notion that the size difference of the transcripts is attributable
Figure 9. (a) The nucleotide and predicted protein sequences of *cno* cDNA. Underlined sequences include a potential translation initiation codon and putative polyadenylation signals. The *opa* repeat is boxed; the GLGF/DHR repeat is shaded. The sequence of the *cno* consensus cDNA was determined from five cDNAs. (b) Alignment of conserved amino acid sequences in the GLGF/DHR motif. Cno is compared with Dlg, Dsh, PSD95, NOS, ZO-1, p55, PTP-mag, and X11 [see text for abbreviations and references].

Discussion

In this study we have shown that mutations in the *cno* locus lead to fusions of ommatidia and duplications of bristles, just as *sca* and *N* mutations do. Beyond the phenotypic similarity among *cno*, *sca*, and *N*, we have demonstrated that these mutations genetically interact with each other during eye, bristle, and wing development. These observations suggest that *cno* has a common role with *sca* and *N* in the morphogenesis of diverse sets of tissues. The *sca* gene encodes a fibrinogen-like secreted protein with an EGF motif [Baker et al. 1990; Mlodzik et al. 1990]. Genetic interactions between *sca* and *N* alleles in the developing compound eye have been reported by Baker et al. [1990], proposing that *N* is the receptor for *Sca*. On the other hand, Sca has been postulated to interact with or modify the extracellular matrix in a model proposed recently by Ellis et al. [1994], who found that constitutive activation of *Sca* + in the morphogenetic furrow in the developing eye disc results in improper spacing of facets, with the phenotype practically identical to the *sca* loss-of-function mutants. Their explana-
tion is that Sca, as a protein having a homology with tenascin at its carboxyl terminus, participates in altering the cellular environment within clusters of neurogenic cells, protecting them from interference by inappropriate inductive signals [Ellis et al. 1994].

An important observation in this study is that the interrupted wing vein in Ax
cno mis
homozygotes become lethal when they are heterozygous for arm
dsh
is involved in adhesive cell–cell communications, such as arm [β-catenin], mys [βPS integrin], and N itself [Cagan and Ready 1989], are shown to interact with cno. A reduction in the gene dosage of arm, mys, or N results in obvious enhancement of the cno mis phenotypes, suggesting that the genetic interactions among these genes are specific. The Arm [Peifer 1993], Mys [Brown 1994], and N [Fehon et al. 1991] proteins are tightly localized to the adherens junctions, the most apical junctional complex where elements of the cytoskeleton are closely associated with the cell membrane [Tepass and Hartenstein 1993]. These proteins could mediate the adhesion of the different cell layers in a variety of developing tissues. In the developing adult wing, for example, Mys [Wilcox et al. 1981] and N [Kidd et al. 1989] are expressed in both the presumptive dorsal and ventral cells. During metamorphosis, the basal surface of the dorsal cell layer becomes attached to the basal surface of the ventral cell layer and the two surfaces are connected by large adherens junctions [Fristrom et al. 1993]. In mosaic clones of mys mutant cells within the adult wing, the two surfaces of the wing blade separate [Broder and Jaffe 1989; Zusman et al. 1990]. The crumpled appearance of the wings of cno mis/Df(3R)6-7, spl; cno mis, sca1; cno mis, and N55; cno mis flies may result from incomplete adhesion of the dorsal and ventral cell layers.

In concert with the results of phenotypic analysis, molecular characterization of cno provides circumstantial evidence to support the notion that the cno product mediates adhesive interactions between cells. The sequence of cno suggests an intracellular protein that shares an amino acid motif [GLGF/DHR repeat] with several proteins that are localized to cell junctions [i.e.,Dlg, PSD-95, and ZO-1] or to junction-like complexes [p55] [Woods and Bryant 1993]. It is conceivable that Cno is localized to junctional complexes, although we have not demonstrated this experimentally, as our anti-Cno antibody was not a useful probe for immunohistochemistry.

The Dsh protein of Drosophila has the GLGF/DHR repeat [Klingensmith et al. 1994; Theisen et al. 1994]. A hypothesis has been proposed that dsh function in embryogenesis transduces the wingless (wg) signal and eventually alters the intracellular distribution of Arm, which is otherwise associated with the adherens jun-

Figure 10. The expression pattern of cno mRNA revealed by in situ hybridization with the digoxigenin labeled antisense RNA probe. (A–I) Embryonic cno expression; (J,K) developing eye discs hybridized with antisense (J) or sense (K) probes of cno mRNA. Lateral (A,C,E,G) and dorsal (B,D,F,H) views of stage 5 (A,B), stage 7 (C,D), stage 10 (E,F), and stage 13 (G,H) wild-type embryos. Approximately one-fourth of the embryos of the cno mis balanced stock subjected to in situ hybridization with the antisense probe do not express cno mRNA at a detectable level (I). Stained and unstained stage 10 embryos from the cno mis stock are illustrated in I. In the eye disc, cno mRNA is present ubiquitously, with a higher level of expression in the lateral edge region (J vs. K).
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tion [Noordermeer et al. 1994]. Recently, it was suggested that Dsh may bind directly to N [Axelrod and Perrimon 1994]. The importance of this finding is two-fold. First, it raises the possibility that proteins with the GLGF/DHR repeat (e.g., Dsh and Cno) may mediate cross talk between different transduction cascades (e.g., the N pathway and the Wg pathway, Hing et al. 1994). Second, it proves that N is a multiligand receptor that can activate distinct downstream signaling mechanisms depending on the developmental context. From these considerations, we hypothesize that Cno is a cytoplasmic protein associated with N, mediating the interactions of the N cascade with other signaling pathways. It remains to be determined whether the association of Cno with N is direct or indirect. This issue may be addressed by examining whether the anti-Cno antibody is capable of coprecipitating the N protein. Another approach would be to use the yeast two-hybrid system, which was designed to detect protein–protein interactions as a result of their ability to reconstitute the trans-activating function of the GAL4 protein [Zervos et al. 1993]. With this technique, the deltex product was shown to bind with the ankyrin repeats to the cytoplasmic domain of N [Diederich et al. 1994]. Does Cno bind to N? If so, what would be a role for the GLGF/DHR repeat in this association? These are clearly the most significant questions to be answered in elucidating the molecular mechanism of the Cno functions in Drosophila development.

Materials and methods

Mutagenesis

Mutagenesis was initiated by crossing two strains, one of which contained a jump starter element encoding P-element transposase, which efficiently mobilizes the second nonautonomous transposon [mutator], whose structure facilitates the selection of new insertion mutations and cloning of mutated genes [Cooley et al. 1988]. The D2-3 strain (Robertson et al. 1988) was used as a jump starter, whereas a strain carrying the BmAw transposon (Steller and Pirrotta 1985) was used as a mutator. All flies used had w− background, whereas the BmAw carried a copy of modified w+ strain, allowing us to recover chromosomes with BmAw insertions by selecting individuals with non-white eye color.

The resulting fly stocks with P-element insertions were examined for roughness of the eyes, which led to isolation of mis1. By introducing the D2-3 chromosome to the mis1 line, the mutator element was remobilized, resulting in many lines with white eyes. mis1A and mis1B were representative of these lines. All mis chromosomes were maintained in trans with the TM3 balancer.

Chromosome in situ hybridizations

We employed the plasmid rescue method [Hahn et al. 1980] using the plasmid sequences included in the P element to recover DNA sequences flanking the P-element insertion point. The rescued plasmid from the mis1 line was labeled with biotin-11-dUTP [Enzo Biochemicals] by nick translation. Polytene chromosomes were prepared from salivary glands of late third instar larvae of the w strain. Signal detection was performed with streptavidin-conjugated alkaline phosphatase [BRL] followed by histochemical detection with nitroblue tetrazolium and BCIP.

Somatic recombination technique and mosaic analysis

Heterozygotes for mosaic analysis using w markers were generated by crossing w; P925 to w; mis10/V3. X-irradiation (1200 rads) of the progeny was carried out between 0 and 48 hr of development [Tei et al. 1992]. Mosaic eyes were identified as white patches in otherwise pigmented eyes. The frequency of generating mosaic eyes was 1/500. Morphologically, wild-type mosaic ommatidia from 11 individuals were examined. Mosaic eyes were fixed and embedded, and serial 1.5-μm sections were obtained along the long axis of the eye. Individual ommatidia were identified and followed from distal (~10 μm in depth from the surface of the eye) to proximal (~90 μm from the surface) in ~15-μm steps to avoid error in scoring the absence or presence of pigments.

Histology

Tissue was fixed for 15 min in a chilled mixture of 1% glutaraldehyde and 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. After a buffer wash, tissue was postfixed in chilled 2% osmium tetroxide in phosphate buffer for 1 hr and dehydrated through a graded alcohol series. After absolute alcohol, the tissue was transferred to propylene oxide to which an equal volume of Epon was added. This mixture was left overnight and then replaced with pure Epon for 4 hr. The tissue was then embedded in Epon and polymerized. For light microscopy, semithin sections (~1 μm) were stained with methylene blue or toluidine blue. For scanning electron microscopy, the flies were prepared by critical point drying and coated with 2 nm of gold. Images were taken on a low-voltage prototype SEM. Eye discs from late third instar larvae were dissected out and stained for β-galactosidase activity or with mAb 22C10 as described in Krämer et al. (1991). Cobalt sulfide stainings of pupal eye discs were carried out according to the method described in Cagan and Ready (1989).

DNA isolation and sequencing

The plasmid rescue method was used to recover a genomic DNA fragment flanking the P-element insertion point. The rescued DNA fragment was used to initiate a genomic walk using a λ EMBL3 Canton-S genomic library (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.). Genomic clones that cover exons 5–15 were obtained by screening the library with a probe composed of the 3′ end of a cDNA [see below]. A 5-kb EcoRI fragment in the rescued genomic DNA was used to screen an eye imaginal disc cDNA library [constructed by A. Cowman]. One positive phage containing a 0.3-kb insert was obtained. Subsequently the 0.3-kb cDNA fragment was used as a probe to screen a λgt11 embryonic cDNA library [Zinn et al. 1988]. Through four successive rounds of library screening, four overlapping cDNA clones were isolated. Genomic DNAs and cDNAs were subcloned into the pBlueScript (Stratagene) or pUC19 vector and restriction mapped. Sequencing of genomic DNA and cDNA clones was performed by the chain termination method [Sanger et al. 1977] using Sequenase (U.S. Biochemical).

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was isolated by the single-step method [Chomczynski and Sacchi 1987], and poly(A)+ RNA was prepared by oli-
go(dT)-cellulose affinity chromatography using an mRNA purification kit (Pharmacia LKB). Five micrograms of poly(A)^+ RNA was separated on 1% agarose gel containing formaldehyde as described (Sambrook et al. 1989). Following transfer to Nitroplus 2000 (Micron Separations Inc.), the filter was hybridized in 6× SSC, 5× Denhardt's solution, 0.5% SDS, 4 mM EDTA, 100 μg/ml of salmon sperm DNA, and ^32P-labeled probes (>10^6 cpm/μg) at 65°C. The filter was washed in 0.5× SSC at 60°C and then exposed to X-ray film.

Primer extension analysis

Poly(A)^+ RNA from 0- to 12-hr embryos (5 μg) was hybridized with ^32P-labeled oligonucleotide primer (10^5 cpm) complementary to nucleotides 46-70 of cno cDNA (Fig. 9a). The primer was extended with Moloney murine leukemia virus [Mo-MLV] reverse transcriptase at 37°C for 1 hr, and the reaction product was electrophoresed on an 8 m urea/6% polyacrylamide gel.

Preparation of antiserum

A nucleotide sequence corresponding to amino acid residues 122-258 was amplified by the polymerase chain reaction and ligated into the pGEX-3X vector (Smith and Johnson 1988). The plasmid was introduced into XL-1 blue cells, and a fusion protein with GST was induced by IPTG. After 5 hr of culture, cells were pelleted and resuspended in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 25% sucrose, 0.5% NP-40, 5 mM MgCl_2, and 100 μM (p-amidinophenyl) methanesulfonyl fluoride (p-APMSF). Cells were lysed by sonication for 5 min and centrifuged. The supernatant was mixed with glutathione-agarose beads [Sigma] at 4°C for 30 min. The fusion protein was eluted with 5 mM glutathione and analyzed on a SDS–10% polyacrylamide gel. The fusion protein was further purified by fusion protein affinity chromatography.

Western blot analysis

Embryos of Canton-S (0- to 12 hr) were homogenized in 1× Laemmli sample buffer containing p-APMSF. After boiling for 5 min, the lysates were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. Protein concentrations of the supernatants were determined by the dye-binding method (Bradford 1976). Samples containing 100 μg of protein were electrophoresed on a SDS–5% polyacrylamide gel and transferred to Immobilon PVDF membrane (Millipore Corporation) as described (Towbin et al. 1979). The blot was incubated with antisem, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG [Bio-Rad]. Immunologically reactive proteins were visualized in 1 mg/ml of 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride, 0.02% H_2O_2, and 0.4 mg/ml of NiCl_2.

Tissue in situ hybridization

In situ hybridization to whole-mount discs was performed according to the protocol of Tautz and Pfeifle [1989]. The imaginal discs were dissected in PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15–20 min. All incubations and washes were performed at room temperature. After three 5-min washes in PBS/0.1% Tween, the discs were digested with proteinase K (12.5 μg/ml in PBS/0.1% Tween) for 4–10 min. Following digestion, the discs were washed once in PBS/0.1% Tween and 2 mg/ml of glycine for 10 min and twice for 5 min each in PBS/0.1% Tween, before being fixed for 20 min with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in PBS. Following four 5-min washes in PBS/0.1% Tween, the discs were prehybridized and hybridized as described by Tautz and Pfeifle [1989]. Nonradioactive probes were made by using digoxigenin–UTP and detected with monoclonal antibody against digoxigenin coupled to alkaline phosphatase according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Boehringer Mannheim). Essentially, the same protocol was used for in situ hybridization to whole-mount embryos.
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canoe in Notch pathway
canoe encodes a novel protein containing a GLGF/DHR motif and functions with Notch and scabrous in common developmental pathways in Drosophila.
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