Carbon nanospecies affecting amyloid formation†
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Carbon nanospecies (CNPs) are of high interest in current research due to their many unique properties. They may be created by common processes, such as burning. Therefore, they can become potential contaminants and may have a negative impact on human health and the biosphere. Moreover, they may also catalyze protein misfolding and subsequent amyloid formation, which is extremely hard to treat. We investigated the influence of single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs), fullerene (C60), carbon quantum dots (CDs) and nanodiamonds (NDs) on amyloid formation. This research utilized the hen egg-white lysozyme (HEWL) as a model system. Fibrils were detected by fluorescence of thioflavin-T (ThT) or Nile red (NR) and the results were confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). We have found that NDs promoted amyloid fibril formation at all concentrations. The highest concentration of C60 (250 µg ml−1) accelerated the process of fibrillation, while smaller concentrations (16 and 80 µg ml−1) prolonged the lag phase and were comparable to the control. SWNTs prolonged the lag phase of amyloid formation at all concentrations. CDs efficiently terminated the growth of amyloid fibrils. When we compared the amyloidogenicity of all four types of CNPs, the following trend was apparent: NDs > control > C60 > CDs > SWNTs.

The formation of amyloid fibrils is a very complex process and short peptides are used for closer study of these processes. Peptides that are certain fragments of the precursor protein also have the ability to generate typical amyloid fibrils. A common feature of short peptides generating amyloid fibrils is the high occurrence of aromatic residues, showing that π–π interactions play an important role in the formation of amyloid fibrils. Hydrophobic interactions are among the significant interactions in the aggregation and stabilization of amyloid fibrils. Furthermore, electrostatic interactions have other effects on fibrillation and stabilization.

Carbon nanospecies (CNPs), such as fullerenes (C60), carbon quantum dots (CDs), single- (SWNTs) and multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWN Ts), or nanodiamonds (NDs), are of high interest in current research due to their many unique properties. These nanomaterials are produced by high-tech methods; meanwhile they may also be created by common processes (Fig. 1), such as the burning and pyrolysis of organic materials, arc discharge welding and explosions. Therefore, CNPs can occur in nature and become potential contaminants, but their amyloidogenicity is still not sufficiently clear.

C60 is a stable compound consisting of 60 carbon atoms (molecular weight 720.66 g mol−1) with a diameter of approximately 0.7 nm, which is roughly the size of many active pharmaceutical ingredients. Thirty carbon–carbon double bonds are present in the structure, to which free radicals can easily be added. SWNTs have a typical diameter of between 1 nm (approximately 10 atoms around the cylinder) to 5 nm, with a tube...
length that can range from 1 nm to 1 mm. During their synthesis, Co, Fe, Ni, and Mo are used as catalyzers, which may appear in the final product as residual metal contaminants.\textsuperscript{14} C\textsubscript{60} (ref. 13) and SWNTs\textsuperscript{15} contain sp\textsuperscript{3}-hybridized carbon and therefore may be amyloidogenic, as the aromatic interactions play a key role in amyloid fibrils formation, they may also inhibit amyloid fibrils formation.

NDs consist of sp\textsuperscript{2}-hybridized carbon and surface groups. They can occur in different size ranges with positive or negative charges depending on their production method.\textsuperscript{16} NDs have been synthesized most commonly using a detonation technique,\textsuperscript{17,18} but they can also be formed with chemical vapor deposition\textsuperscript{18,19} or laser ablation.\textsuperscript{19} NDs have interesting properties such as superior hardness and Young’s modulus, and optical properties.\textsuperscript{19} NDs additives have been used for electrolytic metal plating for many years. More recently, they have been used in other applications such as in magnetic resonance imaging, chromatography, tribology; nanocomposites; drug delivery and other applications.\textsuperscript{20,21}

CDs are a type of carbon-based fluorescent nanomaterials with sizes under 10 nm. When comparing CDs with traditional semiconductor quantum dots and organic dyes, CDs are superior in terms of their high solubility in water, facile modification and high resistance to photobleaching. They have excellent biological properties such as low toxicity and good biocompatibility facilitating their application in bioimaging and biomolecule/drug delivery systems. There is a wide range of applications for CDs. Because they have excellent electrical properties as electron donors and acceptors, causing chemical luminescence and electrochemical luminescence, they could be used in optoelectronics or sensors.\textsuperscript{22,23} CDs can be prepared by “top-down” or “bottom-up” methods. The “top-down” methods are based on carving bulk carbon materials into nanoparticles using physical or chemical approaches, such as acid oxidation, electrochemical and hydrothermal methods.\textsuperscript{23–27} Compared with “top-down” methods, the “bottom-up” methods have obvious advantages in adjusting the composition and physical properties of CDs through the careful selection of different organic precursors and carbonization conditions. “Bottom-up” methods include microwave irradiation, hydrothermal/solvothermal treatment or pyrolysis.\textsuperscript{23,26,27} The microwave irradiation of proper carbon sources has many advantages e.g., low cost, speed, efficiency and ease of production.\textsuperscript{28,29} Very often, natural sources (glucose,\textsuperscript{24,30,33} fructose,\textsuperscript{32} citric acid,\textsuperscript{24,34,35} urea\textsuperscript{24,36} and amino acids\textsuperscript{37,38}) are used for the preparation of CDs. Thanks to these known facts, CDs are likely to appear even in common processes that occur during cooking.

The hen egg-white lysozyme (HEWL) is a small protein with four disulfide bonds.\textsuperscript{39} This protein has been studied as a model of the human lysozyme,\textsuperscript{40} whose mutation (sharing 60% of its sequence identity with HEWL) is associated with hereditary systemic amyloidosis.\textsuperscript{41} The release of CNPs into the environment may occur as a result of common processes, such as CNPs production, CNP-containing product manufacturing, and the use and reuse of CNPs products.\textsuperscript{42} Therefore, they may have a negative impact on humans and the biosphere. Moreover, they may also catalyze protein misfolding and subsequent amyloid formation, which are extremely hard to treat. Testing for amyloidogenicity has already been carried out with some CNPs,\textsuperscript{43} but comparisons of CNPs have never been carried out on one type of protein model system. Based on all the previous information, we decided to study and compare the effects of several selected CNPs (SWNT, C\textsubscript{60}, NDs and CDs) on HEWL to determine their risks and study their amyloidogenicity. The obtained results may be useful for the production of CNPs and their subsequent use.

Results and discussion

First, we made a detailed characterization of prepared CDs. For other types of particles (NDs, SWNTs, C\textsubscript{60}), we performed a brief characterization before testing them on HEWL.

Carbon quantum dots
The CDs were obtained a black-brown solution, which was diluted to a light-yellow solution (0.0005 wt%) with blue emission under a UV lamp with a wavelength of 366 nm. The measured ζ-potential of the CDs was ~31.2 mV. Elemental analysis (EA) revealed the composition of the CDs to be C 41.94 wt%, H 4.33 wt%, N 19.36 wt% and O (calculated) 34.38 wt%.

For measuring the spectra, we used an aqueous solution with a concentration 0.005 wt% CDs. It has a broad absorption spectrum with maxima at 273 nm, 344 nm and 404.5 nm (Fig. 2A).
It exhibits excitation-wavelength-dependent photoluminescence (PL) properties ranging from 455 nm (blue) to 549 nm (green) at excitations from 340 nm to 500 nm. The strongest fluorescence emission band located at 460 nm is observed for 360 nm excitation (Fig. 2B).

The functional groups were detected by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Fig. 2C). The spectra were determined using tables in the literature.44 The structural band spreading from 3500 to 2600 cm$^{-1}$ belongs to the O–H and N–H stretching vibrations, with a contribution of the C–H stretching vibrations at approximately 2760 cm$^{-1}$. The bands at 1770 and 1700 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to C=O stretching vibrations (in oxo and carboxylic groups, respectively), C–N stretching vibration appears as a band at 1657 cm$^{-1}$. The N–H deformation vibration absorbs approximately 1575 cm$^{-1}$. The group of bands approximately 1351 cm$^{-1}$ is due to C–H and O–H deformation vibrations, and contributions from the aromatic C≡N stretching are also possible. The band at 1183 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the C–N stretching vibration and the band at 1050 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the C–O stretching vibration. Absorption in the region below 1000 cm$^{-1}$ is caused by out-of-plane O–H and N–H deformation vibrations and skeletal vibrations of the O- and N-rich carbon materials.

The composition of the CDs was examined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and EA (Table 1). The well-corroborated data were further supplemented by determining the covalent structure of the individual functionalities giving rise to characteristic features in the high resolution C 1s, N 1s and O 1s XPS spectra of the CDs (Fig. 3). The C 1s envelope could be resolved with contributions arising from sp$^2$, sp$^3$, C–N and C–O, C=O and C(=O)=O moieties centered at 284.3, 285.0, 286.7, 288.1 and 289.9 eV, respectively.45 The N 1s envelope was characterized by a dominant C–N contribution at 399.7 eV and charged C–NH$_3^+$ moieties at 401.3 eV, thus verifying the nitrogen doping of the performed CDs arising from urea. Concomitantly, the O 1s spectrum showed the presence of carbonyl O=C moieties at 531.4 eV and O–C moieties of the hydroxyl and ether groups at 533.3 eV. The XPS results indicate that the surface structure of the CDs is heterocyclic with aliphatic defects mainly reflected in sp$^3$ carbon species.

Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) was used to characterized the CDs in water. Form Fig. 4, one can see the scattering curves, corresponding to the samples with 3 wt%, 5 wt%, 7.5 wt% and 10 wt% CD contents. The intensity was normalized by the concentration. The curves coincide at larger q-values. The intensity slope in this region is approximately 2.5, which indicates rough interface. The influence of the concentration is clearly visible at the lower q-region, where we note difference in the intensity upturn. Such behavior means that the CDs were partially aggregated, and if we assume the same shape, the

![Fig. 2](A) UV-Vis absorption spectrum, (B) PL spectra at various excitation wavelengths and (C) FTIR spectrum of the CDs.

![Fig. 3](A) High-resolution C 1s, N 1s and O 1s XPS spectra of prepared CDs. Measured spectra are presented with black circles, while their corresponding fitted envelopes are presented with red lines. The individual contributions of different functional groups present in the CDs are represented with blue lines.)
aggregates size is smaller for the lower concentration sample. The radius of gyration for the separated particles, which are clearly observed as a shoulder in the middle q-range, is approximately $R_g = 6.8 \text{ Å}$ for all the concentrations. Assuming spherical objects the radius could be calculated as

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{5R^2}{3}}$$

That will give us the volume, $V = 2835 \text{ Å}^3$. As expected, the intensity, extrapolated to $q = 0$, $I_0$, was roughly the same for all solutions. On the $I/c$ scale, we obtained $I_0 = 0.77 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. According to the equation for determining the molecular weight,

$$M_w = \frac{I_0 N_A}{c \Delta h^2}$$

where $c$ is concentration, $\Delta h^2$ is scattering contrast and $N_A$ is Avogadro’s number. One should know the density of the particles in order to calculate the scattering contrast, but we were not sure about this value. Also due to the presence of aggregates, it was impossible to estimate the scattering contrast of the particles in order to calculate the scattering contrast, but we were not sure about this value. Also due to the presence of aggregates, it was impossible to estimate the scattering contrast of the particles in order to calculate the scattering contrast, but we were not sure about this value.

Morphology of carbon nanospecies

Nanospecies suspensions with at concentrations of $1 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$ were measured with dynamic light scattering (DLS) showing nanospecies with some clusters, which were also observed by TEM. Nanospecies without surface stabilization tend to create larger clusters, resulting from the high hydrophobicity. In our case, we used SWNTs and C60 without surface stabilization to obtain data very close to data for environmental pollutants, as surface stabilization may significantly influence the amyloidogenic activity. The size of the SWNTs clusters was $120 \pm 16 \text{ nm}$; however the aspect ratio of carbon nanotubes must be taken into account. Fig. 6A shows very long SWNTs. C60 fullerenes are spherical particles, but similar to SWNT, they formed clusters in the suspension. The size measured by DLS of these clusters was $373 \pm 86 \text{ nm}$. Fig. 6B shows only clusters of C60 that correspond to value measured with DLS. These clusters are similar to clusters shown in the literature.46-49

In case of NDs, surface groups stabilize them in solution. The surface groups of the NDs were determined with FTIR, and in addition, Raman spectroscopy measurements were performed. The FTIR spectrum of the NDs (Fig. 7B) displays O–H stretching vibrations in the region above $3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and a weak band of OH, (C–O)–OH, NH and NH2 groups should not appear at the spectrum due to rapid chemical exchanges with the solvent. The 13C NMR spectrum (Fig. 5 bottom) allows us to distinguish carbon atoms with different functionalities. Similar to the proton spectrum, carbons directly neighboring electronegative atoms (N, O), or C–O, (C=O)–O, and C–N groups are detected at $\delta = 20$–80 ppm. In the chemical shift range of $\delta = 80$–120 ppm signals from C–C carbons were observed. The existence of carboxylic acid ((C=O)–OH), carboxylate ester ((C=O)–O), amide ((C=O)–N) and imine (C=N) groups is suggested by the signals detected in the range $\delta = 140$–185 ppm.47

Fig. 4 SAXS curves, normalized by concentration, corresponding to 3.0 wt% (black squares), 5.0 wt% (red rings), 7.5 wt% (light-blue rhombi) and 10.0 wt% (pink triangles) CDs in water.

Fig. 5 1H NMR (up) and 13C NMR spectra (down) of the CDs in a D2O solution.
(C–C stretching of aliphatic structures) are visible. The bands typical of sp² materials at 1330 and 1570 cm⁻¹ are not resolved. Furthermore, the \( \zeta \)-potential, which is important for the interaction with proteins, was measured for an ND concentration of 1 mg mL⁻¹ in water and was \(+45 \pm 3\) mV. Using DLS, the NDs were found to produce larger clusters 66 \( \pm 3\) nm in size and a further peak for 13 \( \pm 5\) nm. The peak for smaller particles corresponds to individual nanodiamonds in Fig. 6C. The CDs are too small and have a low contrast in TEM and the grid, on which the sample is applied, is covered with carbon; therefore CDs could not be observed in TEM.

Characterization and detection of amyloid fibrils

The generation of amyloid fibrils is much faster \textit{in vitro} than \textit{in vivo}, but they share the same structure features. The surface of CNPs was not additionally modified to model environment-related situation as closely as possible.

The most common marker used for the rapid detection of amyloid fibrils is thioflavin T (ThT), which shows a huge fluorescence enhancement upon binding to amyloid aggregates, but it does not interact with amyloid oligomers and protofibrils. ThT is a charged molecule, therefore its binding property is different at acidic and neutral pH. A very old method for detecting amyloid in tissues is Congo red staining. The Congo red staining procedure requires the use of polarized light microscopy. The diagnostic “apple green birefringence” may be difficult to visualize and therefore show low sensitivity. Unlike Congo red stain, the experiments with the ThT staining are very easy to perform and the results are much more straightforward to interpret. Another advantage of the ThT is that it detects even very small amounts of amyloid fibrils, where Congo red stain may be doubtful or false negative.

Nile red (NR) can be used to detect amyloid \textit{in vitro}. NR is an uncharged, heterocyclic fluorescence dye. NR does not change fluorescence in the presence of oligomeric states while targeting more mature fibrils. The results obtained from fluorescence must be confirmed by another method and the most appropriate method for a small amount of sample is TEM. TEM micrographs can be used for the qualitative comparison of features, such as the twists in ribbon-like fibrils, curvature fibril and surface smoothness. TEM micrographs can also be used for quantitative analysis, including the length of early aggregates and seeds, the width of fibrils, the number of protofibrils and the periodicity of fibril twists. We used negative staining for all our experiments. This negative staining yields samples with improved contrast and well-preserved morphologies, because the stain not only provides contrast but also protects the sample from radiation damage.

For our experiments, we used the fluorescence of either ThT or NR for quantification. The measured values for the samples were related to the blank that did not contain any fibrils, only the dye. TEM quantification is very complex, so we used TEM micrographs only to determine the morphology of the sample whether it was amyloid fibrils or other formations.

We chose ThT for experiments with the SWNTs, C₆₀ and NDs, because ThT is more sensitive at the beginning of the
process of fibrillation. ThT cannot be used for experiments with the CDs because the spectra of ThT and CDs overlap. Based on this information we chose NR for experiments with the CDs. The CDs have a very low fluorescence even in the NR region, but NR by fluorescence exceeds CDs and therefore it can be used.

We decided to use dichloromethane (DCM) for the preparation of the dispersion, which was removed by evaporation to not influence the process of fibrillation. The dispersions were characterized with TEM and DLS (see previous sections). The stock suspensions of SWNTs and C_60_ were prepared at a concentration of 1 mg mL^{-1}. These suspensions were added to individual vials with the HEWL solution. In all samples and in the control, the amount of DCM was same value, so DCM for all the samples was bubbled with nitrogen for the same amount of time. The samples were incubated and characterized at certain times.

Fig. 8 shows a graph of the relative fluorescence of ThT for different concentrations of SWNTs and C_60_ at different times. The graph shows that the highest concentration of C_60_ (250 μg mL^{-1}) accelerated the fibrillation process. On the other hand lower concentrations of C_60_ (16 and 80 μg mL^{-1}) revealed a statistically significant deceleration of the onset of amyloid fibril formation (i.e., prolonging the lag phase of amyloid fibril formation, most plausibly by the depletion of the seeds via preferential adsorption on the carbon nanospecies). After a short time, the difference disappears and the samples are comparable to the control. The TEM micrographs of all samples of C_60_ (Fig. 9E–G) show the typically long fibers seen in the control (Fig. 9A). In these pictures there are also some spherical particles, but these are probably artifacts that occurred during the preparation of the sample. The TEM micrographs of all samples at different concentrations of NDs at different times (Fig. 9B–D) show long fibers as similar to in the control (Fig. 11A). In these pictures, some artifacts occurred during the preparation of the sample. Fig. 11E shows a thicker layer of fibrils, correlating to the results of the graph (Fig. 10). Based on these experiments, we can say that NDs initiate fibril growth but do not interfere in the elongation process. This finding is critical for the application of NDs in medicine.

Fig. 8 shows a graph which combines graphs from Fig. 8 and 10. Because the graph combines data from two experiments, the best combination method was the percent fibril formation. The method involves that a control at a certain time was taken as 100% and other data were calculated according to the control at this certain time. The obtained values were plotted. The graph shows a peak for all NDs samples. This peak may be due to the fact that the growth of fibrils in the presence of NDs is immediate while the control is in the lag phase (at the beginning of a fibril growth). After reaching a sufficient number of nuclei for the growth of fibrils in the control occurred the rapid growth of fibrils in the control, but the NDs samples already had a large amount of fibrils and the free protein gradually decreases in the samples to produce additional fibrils. A curve for the highest concentration of C_60_ (250 μg mL^{-1}) gradually rises accelerated the fibrillation process, which means the gradual growth of fibrils and this concentration accelerated the fibrillation process. On the other hand curves for lower concentrations of C_60_ (16 and 80 μg mL^{-1}) show a decline from the beginning, and then begin to rise. This decrease can be explained by prolonging the lag phase of amyloid fibril formation due to protein adsorption on surface. The last three curves are for samples with SWNTs. The curves show a decline that means significantly prolonging the lag phase. The curves show the growth of the fibrils can be terminated on the surface of the SWNT. Based on this comparative graph (Fig. 12), the CNPs (SWNTs, C_60_, and NDs) and the control
can be ranked from the most amyloidogenic to least in the following order: NDs > control > $C_{60}$ > SWNTs.

CDs were used in the final experiment. The CDs were prepared as a lyophilized powder. From this powder, a suspension was prepared in water at a concentration 10 mg ml$^{-1}$. This suspension was added to individual vials with the HEWL solution. In all samples and the control, the amount of HEWL was same value. The samples were incubated and characterized at certain times.

Fig. 9 TEM micrographs of experiments with SWNTs and $C_{60}$. (A) fibrils of HEWL (control) after 27.5 h of incubation without any nanospecies; (B) fibrils of HEWL after 27.5 h of incubation with 16 $\mu$g ml$^{-1}$ SWNTs; (C) fibrils and shorter fibrils of HEWL after 27.5 h of incubation with 80 $\mu$g ml$^{-1}$ SWNTs; (D) thick fibrils of HEWL after 27.5 h of incubation with 250 $\mu$g ml$^{-1}$ SWNTs; (E) only long typically fibrils of HEWL after 27.5 h of incubation with 16 $\mu$g ml$^{-1}$ $C_{60}$; (F) 80 $\mu$g ml$^{-1}$ $C_{60}$ and (G) 250 $\mu$g ml$^{-1}$ $C_{60}$.
Fig. 13 shows a graph of the relative fluorescence of NR for different concentrations of CDs at different times. We can see that the CDs significantly affect the process of fibrillation. There is a significant deceleration for almost all concentrations. The smallest concentration of CDs (16 μg ml⁻¹) did not demonstrate any significant influence on the process. The difference from the control was only seen after a long time for the smallest concentration of the CDs. The other three concentrations of CDs (80, 250 and 1000 μg ml⁻¹) significantly decelerated the process of fibrillation. After a long time, the concentration dependence of the process deceleration was demonstrated. These results support the TEM micrographs of the samples (Fig. 14B–E). Fig. 14A shows the TEM micrographs of the control. There are typically long fibrils. The next picture (Fig. 14B) shows typically long fibrils as seen in the control, however, for a higher concentration (80 μg ml⁻¹, Fig. 14C), shorter fibrils occur. As the concentration increases, more short fibrils and clusters appear, as seen in Fig. 14D for a CDs concentration 250 μg ml⁻¹. At the highest concentration (1 mg ml⁻¹), long fibril formation is completely suppressed. The TEM micrograph (Fig. 14E) of this sample shows a completely

Fig. 10 A graph of the relative fluorescence of thioflavin T in experiments with NDs, where the legend above the results from the same time represents a statistically significant difference (α < 0.05) when compared to a control at this certain time.

Fig. 11 TEM micrographs of experiments with NDs: (A) fibrils of HEWL (control) after 23 h of incubation without any nanospecies; (B) fibrils of HEWL after 23 h of incubation with 16 μg ml⁻¹ NDs; (C) fibrils of HEWL after 23 h of incubation with 80 μg ml⁻¹ NDs; (D) fibrils of HEWL after 23 h of incubation with 250 μg ml⁻¹ NDs; (E) many fibrils of HEWL after 23 h of incubation with 1 mg ml⁻¹ NDs.
Fig. 12 The effect of different concentrations of CNPs on fibril formation. A graph combines the results from Fig. 8 and 10. The results were always related to a control at a certain time and this control was taken as 100%. The results were displayed without NDs 1 mg ml⁻¹ and error bars for a clearer view.

Fig. 13 A graph of the relative fluorescence of NR in experiments with CDs, where the legend above the results from the same time represents a statistically significant difference (α < 0.05) when compared to a control at this certain time.

different morphology than of the control. These differences in morphology between the samples containing CDs and the control sample led to a difference in the NR fluorescence. The most plausible mechanism here is that CDs only minimally affect the lag phase, but they efficiently terminate growing fibrils causing their slower growth and shorter lengths (probably similar to the effects of low-molecular-weight aromatic molecules). Interestingly, we found that burnt surfaces are not amyloidogenic, and that the bacterial biofilm created is made of so-called functional amyloids. Therefore “burnt surface” may be a challenge for future applications.

The effect of different concentration of CNPs on the fibril formation is shown in Table 2. It is difficult to compare the results for all nanospecies because, for SWNTs, C₆₀ and NDs ThT was used as the fluorescence dye, and NR was used for CDs. Based on the TEM micrographs and all results, CDs can be added to the previous relationship, and the order of all the CNPs is as follows: NDs > control > C₆₀ > CDs > SWNTs.

We used a small globular protein (HEWL) for our experiments. In a control sample under the selected conditions (pH 2, 57 °C) occurred unfolding protein, subsequent amyloid fibrils formation. The proposed pathway of the amyloid fibril formation of HEWL according to literature²⁸ consists of three stages: (1) the formation of dimmers, (2) the formation of protofilaments, and (3) the formation of amyloid fibrils.²⁹ In order to generate dimmers, there must be large conformational changes in the secondary structure, the increase in the β-sheet structure. These changes were achieved by low pH and high temperature. The structural conversion of the proteins is a key event in the formation of the amyloid fibrils. The created dimmers are the nuclei for the formation of the protofilaments.

What happened when we added CNPs to HEWL. The SWNTs have hydrophobic surface and one side of β-sheet is also hydrophobic, so the hydrophobic interactions between SWNT and β-sheet can create a SWNT-HEWL complex. These interactions can lead to blocking of protein chain for further binding with monomer (in our case HEWL with β-sheet structures) and also it can lead to reduction of population of monomers. Because SWNTs contain sp²-hybridized carbon atoms, not only hydrophobic interactions but also π–π interactions play a key role in process of inhibition. These interactions can have strong effect on the creation of SWNT-HEWL complex. Their effect can also be in destabilization of β-sheet structures and prolongation the lag phase. Both theories would sit on our obtained results for SWNTs that SWNTs prolonged the lag phase and are able to inhibit the process of fibrillation. In the literature there are described two contrast effects of carbon nanotubes: (1) MWNTs promote amyloid fibril formation from β₂-microglobulin and (2) SWNTs inhibit amyloid fibril formation from Aβ. In contrast to the β₂-microglobulin protein, Aβ peptides had high affinity to carbon nanotubes surface.²⁸ From the obtained results with SWNTs, we can also say that HEWL has a high affinity for SWNT. In the case of C₆₀ the key interactions with HEWL are the same (π–π and hydrophobic interactions) as for SWNTs, but these nanospecies have a different shape and a surface curvature, which may be the main reason for different influence on the process of fibrillation. Based on the results with SWNTs and C₆₀ we can confirm that π–π and hydrophobic interactions play a key role in amyloid formation inhibition, but also a shape of nanospecies and a surface curvature can be important for this inhibition. Only a few studies deal with the influence of NDs on the process of fibrillation while they are a promising material for diagnostics. NDs have also hydrophobic sp³-hybridized carbon surface and surface groups, which have a positive charge in our case. This means that adsorption of HEWL on a ND surface proceeded via electrostatic (isoelectric point of HEWL is 11.3 meaning that this protein is anionic under pH considered in the manuscript) and probably also hydrophobic interactions. The most plausible explanation of influence of NDs on amyloid formation is that NDs have become nuclei for the beginning of
the fibrillation process significantly shortening the lag phase and an inducing rapid creation of fibrils. CDs significantly decelerated the process of fibrillation. The key interactions between CDs and HEWL are π-π, hydrophobic and probably also electrostatic (however, CDs have negative charged surface groups rather repelling prevalently negative HEWL molecules).

Based on the results of the influence of four different type of CNPs (NDs, C60, SWNTs, CDs) on formation amyloid fibrils from HEWL, the CNPs can be ranked from the most amyloidogenic to least in the following order NDs > control > C60 > CDs > SWNTs.

Table 2 The effect of different concentration of CNPs on the fibril formation. The star (*) represents a statistically significant difference compared to a zero concentration of CNPs (control). Bold data represent statistically significant decreases in the fibrillation process compared to the control.

| Concentration of CNPs (μg ml⁻¹) | 0      | 16     | 80     | 250    | 1000   |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| SWNTa                           | 100 ± 20 | 22 ± 6 (*) | 13 ± 2 (*) | 11 ± 1 (*) | —      |
| C60a                            | 100 ± 20 | 52 ± 20 (*) | 65 ± 45 | 227 ± 175 (*) | —      |
| NDs                             | 100 ± 20 | 75 ± 31 | 154 ± 56 (*) | 344 ± 110 (*) | 646 ± 276 (*) |
| CDs                             | 100 ± 7  | 77 ± 14 (*) | 62 ± 8 (*) | 34 ± 5 (*) | 4 ± 2 (*) |

The percent fibril formation was determined from the ThT fluorescence value for 47 h of incubation in Fig. 8 and 10. The percent fibril formation was determined from the NR fluorescence values for 188 h of incubation in Fig. 13.
Experimental

All materials and methods, such as the preparation of CDs and their characterization or the preparation and characterization of the amyloid fibrils from HEWL, are given in the ESI (holubova_ESI.docx†).

Conclusions

From the experiments, we found that NDs promoted amyloid fibril formation. Because the NDs are less toxic than other CNPs (C60 or SWNT), this finding may be interesting information for their production by detonation, in which large quantities of NDs may be released, leading to the formation of amyloid fibrils and subsequent disease in humans. Fibril formation in vivo and the development of the disease is likely to occur over a longer period of time than for in vitro testing. Therefore, it is necessary to take precautions, because the consequences can occur after many years. These experiments showed that the highest concentration of C60 accelerated the process of fibrillation, but smaller concentrations prolonged the lag phase. The risks associated with C60 cannot be excluded; however under good occupational hygiene conditions, the risk is low. On the basis of the performed experiments, it is important to take care, especially in applications in medicine, as C60 may cause a negative reaction with proteins, which may lead to the formation of amyloid fibrils. A different situation occurred for SWNTs. These nanospecies significantly prolonged the lag phase of amyloid formation. Although a higher toxicity is known for SWNTs than for C60, but these results are of interest for drug development. Interestingly, CDs significantly affected the process of fibrillation; as the process was decelerated for almost all concentrations. It seems that CDs efficiently terminate fibril growth. The big advantages of CDs are their excellent biological properties such as low toxicity and good biocompatibility, making their applications in medicine as a drug delivery system possible. The studied CNPs (NDs, C60, SWNTs and CDs) exhibited different behaviors in the presence of HEWL. The amyloidogenicity of studied nanomaterials was not observed in physiological conditions but was in the most suitable condition in which HEWL easily forms fibrils. It should be emphasized that HEWL is only a model system that is very suitable for testing, but the results obtained may not be consistent with proteins and peptides that are the cause of the amyloidosis in humans. Furthermore, in vitro testing was studied which may or may not reflect the real effects in vivo. Nevertheless, the results show an interesting comparison of four different types of CNPs based on various applications.
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