Rotation of X-ray polarization in the glitches of a silicon crystal monochromator: Supplemental material
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Fig. 1. Scatter plots generated by SHADOW for the Stokes parameter $s_1$ at (a) 8540 eV (b) 8700 eV. The horizontal angle of each plot is the vertical angle $\zeta p$. 
Fig. 2. Scatter plots generated by SHADOW for the Stokes parameter $s_3$ at (a) 8540 eV (b) 8700 eV. The horizontal angle of each plot is the vertical angle “$Z_p$.”
Fig. 3. Estimated fraction of the elastically scattered photons reaching each element of the detector after scattering at specified thickness within the sample: (a) comparison of the elements belonging to the most upstream column, and (b) comparison of the top element of each column in the detector.
Fig. 4. Differential scattering cross section at 8545 eV incident energy in units of $r_e^2$ over the surface of the multi-element fluorescence detector for (a) coherent scattering of horizontally polarized photons (b) Compton scattering of horizontally polarized photons (c) coherent scattering of vertically polarized photons (d) Compton scattering of vertically polarized photons. The azimuthal angle in all figures is $\Phi$, the angle between the polarization vector and the observation point.