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1. INTRODUCTION

Pluto and Eris are the largest known icy dwarf planets in the Kuiper Belt, and they both exhibit methane ice bands in their optical and near-infrared spectra (Owen et al. 1993; Grundy & Fink 1996; Brown et al. 2005; Licandro et al. 2006). In addition, Pluto exhibits nitrogen and carbon monoxide ice bands (Owen et al. 1993; Doute et al. 1999); however, there are no detections of nitrogen and carbon monoxide bands in spectra of Eris. Pluto and Eris have sufficient mass and are sufficiently cold that they are able to retain volatiles in their atmospheres, and hence on their surfaces, over the age of the solar system (Schaller & Brown 2007).

The detection and analysis of methane, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen ice bands in Pluto’s near-infrared spectra have resulted in a number of measurements for Pluto’s stoichiometry. Owen et al. (1993) found a methane abundance of 1.5%, a carbon monoxide abundance of 0.5%, and a nitrogen abundance of 98%. Doute et al. (1999) reported a methane abundance of 0.5%, a carbon monoxide abundance of 0.1%, and a nitrogen abundance over 99%. Olkin et al. (2007) found a methane abundance of 0.36%. Nitrogen ice dominates methane and carbon monoxide ice on Pluto’s surface.

Because nitrogen and carbon monoxide bands have yet to be detected in spectra of Eris, it is more difficult to estimate Eris’ stoichiometry. One way to qualitatively estimate the methane and nitrogen mixing ratio on Eris is to rely on laboratory studies of methane and nitrogen ice. Quirico & Schmitt (1997) showed that near-infrared methane absorption bands shift to shorter wavelengths when methane is diluted by nitrogen. We note that Owen et al. (1993) saw such shifts in their spectra of Pluto four years before the laboratory work. Brunetto et al. (2008) found increasing shifts for methane bands with increasing nitrogen content. Eris’ methane bands have smaller shifts than Pluto’s methane bands, suggesting that nitrogen is not as abundant on Eris as it is on Pluto (Brown et al. 2005; Dumas et al. 2007; Merlin et al. 2009).

In addition to using methane band shifts as a proxy for the overall methane–nitrogen mixing ratio, it is possible to use the shifts to qualitatively constrain the mixing ratio as a function of depth into the surface of Eris. The average penetration depth of a photon at a particular wavelength is inversely related to the absorption coefficient at that wavelength, e.g., photons corresponding to larger absorption coefficients are absorbed more, preventing them from penetrating as deeply into the surface. In other words, stronger bands in the spectrum of an icy dwarf planet probe, on average, shallower into the surface than weaker bands. So, it is possible to use blueshifts and albedos at maximum absorption of two or more methane ice bands to look for a trend in the mixing ratio as a function of depth into the surface of Eris.
Licandro et al. (2006) obtained optical spectra of Eris and found evidence of a decreasing nitrogen abundance with depth into its surface. Specifically, they found the weaker 7296 Å band had a shift of $0.1 \pm 3$ Å and the stronger 8897 Å band had a shift of $15 \pm 3$ Å. They speculated that as Eris moved toward aphelion during the last 200 years, and as its atmosphere cooled, less volatile methane began to condense out first. As the atmosphere cooled further, it became more and more nitrogen rich, until the much more volatile nitrogen could condense out on top of the methane-rich ice.

Merlin et al. (2009) obtained optical and near-infrared spectra of Eris and found further evidence for stratification of methane on Eris. Specifically, they found that the 7296 Å and 8897 Å bands were shifted by $9 \pm 4$ Å and $12 \pm 4$ Å, respectively. Furthermore, they found that the stronger near-infrared methane bands, which do not probe as deep as the optical bands, had smaller shifts than the optical bands. Merlin et al. (2009) suggested a pure methane surface layer, on top of a nitrogen-diluted methane layer, on top of a pure methane layer for Eris.

We found similar shifts for five methane bands in the spectrum of Eris (Abernathy et al. 2009). For example, we found that the 7296 Å and 8897 Å bands were shifted by $7 \pm 1$ Å and $5 \pm 2$ Å, respectively. Abernathy et al. reported a correlation on the edge of statistical significance between blueshift and albedo at maximum absorption for the five bands. The correlation suggested an increasing nitrogen abundance with increasing depth into the surface, i.e., the opposite trend reported by Licandro et al. (2006) and Merlin et al. (2009). Abernathy et al. put forth an atmosphere–surface model to explain a way to get an increasing nitrogen abundance with depth.

All of these stratigraphic investigations make the assumption that different methane bands should have the same shift for the same stoichiometry. However, laboratory experiments show that the smaller (larger) the wavelength (wavenumber) of a band the smaller (larger) the shift (Quirico & Schmitt 1997). It is essential to take the different shifts for different bands into account before discussing changes in composition with depth.

Here, we report new optical spectra of Pluto and Eris as well as new transmission spectra of laboratory ice mixtures. We use the new data to place quantitative constraints on Eris’ stoichiometry. In addition, we address the issue of whether the composition of Eris changes with depth. In Sections 2 and 3, we describe our astronomical observations and laboratory experiments. In Section 4, we describe the models we used to derive abundances on Pluto and Eris. In Section 5, we discuss our results.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Pluto

We obtained optical spectra of Pluto on the night of 2007 June 21 UT with the Steward Observatory 2.3 m Telescope and Boller and Chivens Spectrograph. We used a $2.5 \times 240''$ entrance slit and a 600 g mm$^{-1}$ grating that provided wavelength coverage of 7100–9400 Å and a dispersion of 2.0 Å pixel$^{-1}$ in first order. There were high, thin cirrus clouds and the seeing was $1.5''$ throughout the night. Pluto was placed at the center of the slit, and the telescope was tracked at Pluto’s rate. At the start of our observations, Pluto had an airmass of about 1.6, it transited the meridian at an airmass of 1.5, and at the end of our observations it had an airmass of about 1.6.

Since the slit width was $2.5''$ and the seeing was $\sim 1.5''$, we observed Pluto and Charon blended together. Pluto contributed $\sim 90\%$ to the total signal.

![Figure 1. Spectrum of Pluto (top) taken on 2007 June 21 UT with the Steward Observatory 2.3 m Telescope, normalized to 1 between 8200 Å and 8400 Å and shifted upward by 0.2 to facilitate a comparison with the spectrum of Eris. Spectrum of Eris (bottom) taken on 2008 October 3 UT with the 6.5 m MMT, and normalized to 1 between 8200 Å and 8400 Å. The absorption bands in both spectra are due to methane ice and the reddish slope in the “continuum” is consistent with absorption by a tholin-like material. Eris has deeper methane bands than Pluto.](image_url)

We used the calibration techniques described by Abernathy et al. (2009). Briefly, we bracketed object spectra with HeNeAr spectra in order to obtain an accurate wavelength calibration. Telluric and Fraunhofer lines were removed from the Pluto spectra by dividing each 600 s Pluto spectrum by the spectrum of a solar analog star, BS 5996. The airmass difference between each Pluto spectrum and its corresponding solar analog spectrum was $<0.1$. We obtained 12 600 s exposures of Pluto. Since we saw no significant difference between the individual exposures during the night, we summed them to yield a single spectrum of Pluto with an exposure time of 2 hr. In Figure 1, we present the resulting reflectance spectrum of Pluto. The spectrum was normalized to 1.0 between 8200 Å and 8400 Å and moved upward by 0.2 in Figure 1 to facilitate comparison with the spectrum of Eris (see below).

We checked our wavelength calibration by measuring the centroids of 10 well-resolved sky emission lines across our spectrum and compared them to the Very Large Telescope (VLT) high spectral resolution sky line atlas of Hanuschik (2003). The average difference and standard deviation of the differences for the 10 lines were $-0.003$ Å and 0.15 Å. So, it appears our sky lines, and hence our wavelength measurements, are accurate to $\sim 0.2$ Å.

2.2. Eris

We obtained optical spectra of Eris on the night of 2008 October 3 UT with the MMT 6.5 m Telescope, Red Channel Spectrograph, and a red sensitive, deep depletion CCD. We used a $1'' \times 180''$ entrance slit and a 270 g mm$^{-1}$ grating that provided wavelength coverage of 5700–9800 Å and a dispersion of 5.0 Å pixel$^{-1}$ in first order. There were high, thin cirrus clouds and the seeing was variable, $0.8''-1.6''$. Eris was placed at the center of the slit and the telescope was tracked at Eris’ rate. At the start of our observations Eris had an airmass of 1.75, it
Transcribed the meridian at an airmass of 1.25, and at the end of our observations it had an airmass of 1.89.

Again, we used the calibration techniques described by Abernathy et al. (2009). We bracketed object spectra with HeNeAr spectra in order to obtain an accurate wavelength calibration. Telluric and Fraunhofer lines were removed from the Eris spectra by dividing each 600 s Eris spectrum by the spectrum of a solar analog star, SA 93–101. The airmass difference between each Eris spectrum and its corresponding solar analog spectrum was <0.1.

Since we saw no significant difference between the 24 600 s exposures of Eris, we summed the individual exposures to yield a single spectrum with a 4 h exposure time. In Figure 1, we present the resulting reflectance spectrum. The spectrum was normalized to 1.0 between 8200 and 8400 Å. The absorption bands in the spectrum are due to methane ice and the reddish slope in the “continuum” is consistent with absorption by a tholin-like material.

To assess the uncertainty in our wavelength calibration, we measured the wavelengths of well-resolved sky emission lines in our spectrum and compared them to the VLT high spectral resolution sky atlas by Hanuschik (2003). Unfortunately, due to the relatively low spectral resolution of our spectrum, only the 6300.3 Å and 9376.0 Å sky lines were well resolved. Our measured centroids for these two lines were 0.4 and 0.8 Å different from the centroids of Hanuschik. So, it appears our sky lines, and hence our wavelength measurements, are accurate to ∼0.8 Å.

3. LABORATORY EXPERIMENTS

3.1. Setup

We performed experiments in the ice spectroscopy laboratory at Northern Arizona University. This facility includes a series of enclosed ice sample cells machined by the Lowell Observatory instrument shop out of aluminum alloy 7075 stock. Each cell was designed to be mounted upon the upward-pointing cold tip of a CTI Cryogenics® model 1050C two stage closed cycle helium refrigerator driven by a CTI 9600 compressor. A 15 mm diameter cylindrical cavity in each cell holds the cryogenic ice sample for spectroscopic study. To permit transmission of the spectrometer beam through the samples, this cylindrical cavity is capped on each end with a sapphire window sealed in place with indium gaskets. Different cells have different spacing between their windows, allowing transmission spectroscopy through samples of various thicknesses. For the work presented here, we used a cell with a 5 mm thickness. Sample materials were introduced and removed through a stainless steel flexible fill tube which entered the cell from above.

Temperatures were measured using a pair of Lake Shore silicon diode thermometers, mounted on copper rods press-fitted into the cell. One of these is located just above the sample cavity and the other just below the sample cavity. A pair of 50 ohm nickel–chromium heater wires was wrapped around each cell and cemented into place with Emerson and Cuming’s thermally conductive StyCast epoxy. As with the diodes, one heater was wrapped above and one was wrapped below the sample cavity. A Cryo-con model 44 temperature controller was used to monitor and to control the temperature of the cell, via proportional, integral, and derivative (PID) closed-loop control of both heaters. By adjusting the relative amounts of power going into the upper and lower heaters, it was possible to induce a small vertical thermal gradient of up to approximately 1 K cm⁻¹ across the sample (2 K between diode thermometers, with more power into the upper heater corresponding to a larger vertical temperature gradient). Temperature control stability with the Cryo-con 44 was excellent, with unintended temperature fluctuations generally below 0.01. There is a larger absolute uncertainty of less than 1 K on the sample temperature, owing to uncertainties in the calibration of the diode thermometers as well as the fact that they are mounted above and below the sample cavity, rather than directly sampling the ice. We were able to cool samples down to ∼40 K.

The cold head and cell were mounted within an evacuated and turbo-pumped stainless steel cylinder for insulation, and to eliminate contaminants which could condense on the exterior of the cell. The existence of the outer cylinder necessitates an additional pair of windows to pass the spectrometer beam.

We used a Perkin Elmer Nexus 670 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer to generate an external beam, and glass lenses to focus the beam within the cell and again onto a silicon detector. All experiments covered a frequency (wavelength) range from ∼15000 cm⁻¹ (6667 Å) to ∼9000 cm⁻¹ (11111 Å) at a spectral resolution of ∼0.5 cm⁻¹, although lower resolution was used in a few cases, where the signal-to-noise ratio was poor. At least 128 scans were taken in each experiment. In some experiments, 512 scans were taken to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. We present a schematic diagram of our lab setup in Figure 2.

3.2. Sample Preparation

Three separate gas bottles could be connected to a stainless steel manifold through standard VCR® vacuum fittings. In the experiments reported here, we used methane, nitrogen, and argon bottles. The purities of the gases were 99.999% for methane and 99.9% for nitrogen and argon. A gas was released into the manifold, and then pumped out by a turbo pump and monitored by a 1000 Torr manometer. The purging process was repeated five to ten times. After the purges, the manifold was filled with a gas mixture dependent on the particular experiment. The cell was cooled to a temperature slightly above the melting point of the ice. In the case of mixtures, the temperature depended on the mixing ratio of the gases. The gases were then bled into the cell, at which time a liquid could be clearly seen to fill the cell. When the level of liquid was at least half the total volume of the cell, we stopped the gas flow. The manifold did not have a large ballast volume, and two fills of the manifold were usually required to achieve a satisfactory volume in the cell. After filling the cell with liquid, we slowly ramped the temperature down to below the melting point. During the ramp down, a gradient of 2 K was typically kept on the cell. The target temperature was not always known, as the melting point for binary alloys varies a good deal. During the ramp down, the ice was periodically observed for freezing. If the ice became optically thick during the freezing process, the process was stopped and modified until an optically clear ice could be made. Typically, we melted the optically thick ice and then reduced the size of the gradient across the sample and ramped the temperature down more slowly than the previous unsuccessful attempt to grow a clear ice. It should be noted that making an ice is trivial; however, making an optically clear, two-component ice is a difficult process.

Spectra were taken during sample preparation as follows. A background spectrum was taken before we filled the cell with liquid. Another spectrum was taken after we filled the cell with liquid. After growing a clear ice, we ramped the temperature...
3.3. Data Analysis

Our spectra were analyzed as follows. Each spectrum was fitted with a LOWESS filter (Cleveland 1979) to take out some minor high-frequency noise. We assumed that the absorption in our sample was governed by the Beer–Lambert law, and so we computed Lambert absorption coefficient spectra, $\alpha(\nu)$.

We measured the methane and nitrogen stoichiometry of a sample as follows. We integrated a band in a sample and the corresponding band in the pure methane sample to obtain integrated absorption coefficients. Next, we divided the integrated absorption coefficient of the sample by the integrated coefficient of pure methane. The result gave us the fraction of methane in our sample. We repeated the procedure for the other bands in the spectrum. From the scatter of the values for a sample, we found that our solid-phase concentrations have an uncertainty of $\sim 5\%$ of the reported concentration. We found most of the uncertainty came from fitting backgrounds to the spectra.

We computed the spectral shift for each band using a cross-correlation technique. We shifted a band in the pure methane spectrum relative to the same band in the sample spectrum over a range of shifts. We computed $\chi^2$ for each shift. We fitted a parabola to a plot of $\chi^2$ versus shift; the minimum of the parabola gave us the shift for the band. Again, we found most of the uncertainty came from fitting background to the spectra. We found our shifts have an uncertainty of $\sim 10\%$ of the reported shift.

3.4. Methane–Nitrogen Results

Next, we present our results for methane–nitrogen ice mixtures. In Figure 3, we plot the $11240 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (8897 Å) band in the spectrum of a pure methane ice sample (black line). The same band in the spectrum of a sample of 6% methane and 94% nitrogen (red line). The band corresponding to the highly diluted methane sample (red line) has the same shape as the band corresponding to the pure sample (black line), but it is blueshifted by 26 cm$^{-1}$ (21 Å).

In Table 1, we present our blueshifts for samples with methane concentrations of 2%, 6%, 24%, and 99% relative to the bands of pure methane samples. In Figure 5, we plot the values in Table 1. Two trends are apparent in the table.
Figure 4. 13706 cm$^{-1}$ (7296 Å) band in the spectrum of a pure methane ice sample (black line). The same band in the spectrum of a sample of 6% methane and 94% nitrogen (red line). The band corresponding to the highly diluted methane sample (red line) has the same shape as the band corresponding to the pure sample (black line), but it is blueshifted by 31 cm$^{-1}$ (17 Å). The low signal-to-noise ratio of the diluted band is due to the weak absorption efficiency of the band and the low methane abundance of the sample.

Figure 5. Blueshift of the 11240 cm$^{-1}$ (8897 Å) band (open circles) and the 13706 cm$^{-1}$ (7296 Å) band (filled squares) vs. methane abundance. The larger the nitrogen abundance (smaller the methane abundance), the larger the blueshift of the band. For the same methane concentration, the 13706 cm$^{-1}$ band has a larger shift than the 11240 cm$^{-1}$ band.

and figure. First, the larger the nitrogen concentration (and so the smaller the methane concentration) the larger the blueshift. Second, the higher frequency band always had a larger blueshift than the lower frequency band. Quirico & Schmitt (1997) found the same trend of increasing blueshift with increasing frequency in infrared bands. Finally, we note that Quirico & Schmitt (1997) found the 11240 cm$^{-1}$ band had a blueshift of 33 cm$^{-1}$ in spectra of samples with methane abundances of 0.1%, 0.25%, 0.8%, and 2% at 44 K. Their shift is in good agreement with our value of 29 cm$^{-1}$ for a 2% methane sample (see Table 1).

Figure 6. 11240 cm$^{-1}$ (8897 Å) band in the spectrum of a pure methane ice sample at $T \sim 60$ K (black line). The same band in the spectrum of a sample of 9% methane and 91% argon at $T \sim 60$ K (red line). The highly diluted methane band, but at $T \sim 40$ K (blue line). At the higher temperature, the methane and argon molecules are soluble and methane and argon molecules are mixed throughout the ice. At the lower temperature, the methane and argon are not soluble and there are regions of nearly pure methane and regions of highly dilute methane giving rise to the two peaks in the band.

Table 1

| Methane (%) | 13706 cm$^{-1}$ | 11240 cm$^{-1}$ |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 2           | 34             | 29              |
| 6           | 31             | 26              |
| 24          | 25             | 19              |
| 99          | 1              | 0               |

Notes.

$^a$ Samples at $T = 60$ K.

$^b$ Percent Methane.

$^c$ Shifts in units of cm$^{-1}$.

and liquid would condense, even at methane concentrations above 95%. This effect was seen at all times, and is mostly likely related to deviations from ideal mixtures. When we made a liquid, the ice grown was not optically clear.

Besides studying the effect of concentration on shift, we wanted to perform an experiment to study the effect of temperature on shifts. Unfortunately, upon lowering the temperature the ice went through a phase transition (see Section 4.2), and it developed strong scattering characteristics.

3.5. Methane–Argon Results

Next, we present our results for methane–argon ice mixtures. In Figure 6, we plot the 11240 cm$^{-1}$ (8897 Å) band in the spectrum of a pure methane ice sample (same black line as in Figure 3). In addition, we plot the same band in the spectrum of a 9% methane and a 91% argon sample (red line). Both samples were at $T = 60$ K. From cross-correlation experiments, we found that the 11240 cm$^{-1}$ (8897 Å) band in the spectrum of the 9% methane sample was blueshifted by 38 cm$^{-1}$ (30 Å) relative to the band of the pure methane sample.

In Table 2, we present our blueshifts for bands in the spectra of samples with methane concentrations of 9%, 15%, 41%, and 96% methane relative to the corresponding bands of pure
methane samples. In Figure 7, we plot the values in Table 2. As in the methane–nitrogen samples, two trends are apparent in the figure and table. First, the larger the argon concentration (and so the smaller the methane concentration) the larger the blueshift. Second, the higher frequency band always had a larger blueshift than the lower frequency band.

Besides studying the effect of concentration on shifts, we performed an experiment to study the effect of temperature on shifts despite the ice developing strong scattering characteristics. Specifically, we cooled the 9% methane and 91% argon mixture in Figure 6, we plot the 11240 cm\(^{-1}\) (8897 Å) band at \(T\sim 40\) K (blue line). It is clear that the single peak is split into two peaks. We warmed the sample up to \(T\sim 60\) K, and once again obtained a single peak (red line in Figure 6). We discuss the reason for the change in band profile with temperature in Section 4.2.

4. MODELS

Next, we describe the models we used to derive methane and nitrogen abundances on Pluto and Eris. First, we describe a pure methane model. Then, we describe a binary mixture model.

4.1. Pure Methane

In order to establish continuity with previous work, we compared our spectra of Pluto and Eris to spectra of pure methane ice at 30 K. We used Hapke theory to transform laboratory optical constants of pure methane ice at 30 K (Grundy et al. 2002) into spectra suitable for comparison to the Pluto and Eris spectra. In particular, Hapke theory accounts for multiple scattering of light within a surface composed of particulate ice (Hapke 1993). We used Hapke model parameters of \(h = 0.1\), \(B_o = 0.8\), \(\delta = 30^\circ\), \(P(g) = \) a two-component Heney–Greenstein function with 80% in the forward scattering lobe and 20% in the back scattering lobe, and both lobes had asymmetry parameter \(a = 0.63\). Our implementation of the Hapke model used two particle sizes and a tholin-like absorber. We explored parameters specific to our implementation, i.e., particle diameters (\(D_1\) and \(D_2\)), percent of large particles \(D_1\) by volume \((f)\), and tholin-like absorption \((P_1\) and \(P_2\)), with Monte Carlo techniques (as described in more detail by Abernathy et al. 2009).

We performed cross-correlation experiments between 10,000 Hapke model spectra and the portion of Pluto’s spectrum containing the 8897 Å methane band. We shifted each Hapke model spectrum in 2 Å steps between \(-30\) Å and \(+40\) Å, calculated an adjusted \(\chi^2\) goodness of fit, \(R\), for each shift, plotted \(R\) versus shift, and fitted a parabola to the plot to determine the shift corresponding to the minimum in \(R\) for each model.

We found that a dozen or so of 10,000 Hapke models gave equally good fits to Pluto’s 8897 Å band. The relatively small differences in the parameters of these models had little effect on the blueshift. Our best-fit model for the Pluto 8897 Å band had grain sizes of \(D_1 = 1.8\) cm (89% by volume) and \(D_2 = 0.1\) mm (11% by volume). It is important to recognize that our Hapke parameters do not represent a unique fit to Pluto’s band; however, they are plausible values for transparent, pure methane ice grains and are comparable to Hapke parameters in previous fits of Pluto spectra (Grundy & Buie 2001).

Our best-fit model indicated that Pluto’s 8897 Å band is blueshifted by \(17 \pm 2\) Å relative to pure methane ice. On 2007 June 21 UT, we were observing the anti-Charon facing hemisphere of Pluto. Grundy & Fink (1996) reported a correlation between blueshift and longitude for Pluto’s 8897 Å band, and found a blueshift of \(\sim 12\) Å for the anti-Charon facing hemisphere. Grundy and Fink measured their shifts in a manner different than we described above and so differences between the two values may not be significant.

In Figure 8, we present a comparison of Pluto’s 8897 Å band (black line) and our (shifted) best-fit pure methane ice Hapke model (red line). It is clear that Pluto’s 8897 Å band is wider than the pure methane 8897 Å band. We found \(\chi^2 = 14\). Unfortunately, noise in our Pluto spectrum prevented us from analyzing any other methane bands.

We calculated the uncertainty in our blueshift as follows. The best-fit (shifted) model was subtracted from Pluto’s 8897 Å band, giving us the noise in the astronomical spectrum. We note that the noise in the astronomical spectrum dominates noise in the Hapke model spectrum. Next, we calculated the standard deviation of the noise. Then, we applied Gaussian noise with the same standard deviation to the model spectrum. Next, we applied our cross-correlation technique to the noisy model and the original model spectrum. We generated 1000 different noisy model spectra and repeated the cross-correlation experiment for each noisy model and the original model spectrum. A histogram of the resulting 1000 shifts was fitted with a Gaussian distribution, the standard deviation of the fit giving the uncertainty in our shift measurement, 2.0 Å.
We repeated the analysis described above on our Eris spectrum. In particular, we fitted pure methane Hapke models to the 7296 Å, 8691 Å, and the 8897 Å methane ice bands. In Table 3, we present our blueshift measurements for these bands. We point out that the Eris shifts in Table 3 are in good agreement with our previously reported shifts for these bands (Abernathy et al. 2009). In Figures 9 and 10, we present our Eris 8897 Å bands (black line) and our (shifted) best-fit pure methane Hapke model (red line). We found $\chi^2 = 10$ for the 8897 Å band and $\chi^2 = 5$ for the 7296 Å band.

### 4.2. Binary Mixture

We began thinking about the mechanism responsible for the creation of the double peak in the 11240 cm$^{-1}$ (8897 Å) band upon cooling the methane–argon sample down (Figure 6), and it led us to a new model. Examining the methane–argon phase diagram of Greer et al. (1969) that we display in Figure 11, we found that cooling the sample down resulted in changing the structure of the ice from a soluble solution with methane and argon molecules mixed throughout the ice to a solution of limited solubility where we had highly methane-rich regions and highly argon-rich regions. The two peaks in the band came from the two compositionally distinct regions. Greer et al. reported that at $T \sim 40$ K, the solubility limit of methane in argon is $\sim 13\%$ and the solubility limit of argon in methane is $\sim 12\%$. We believe this is the first time anyone has spectroscopically observed the change in a methane–argon ice from a face-centered cubic (FCC) solid solution to regions dominated by FCC argon and FCC methane. The methane–nitrogen phase diagram of Prokhvatilov & Yantsevich (1983) that we display in Figure 12 is similar to the methane–argon phase diagram. At $T \sim 60$ K our samples were solid solutions. At $T \sim 40$ K, methane and nitrogen have limited solubility. The solubility limit of methane in nitrogen is $\sim 2\%$ and the solubility limit of nitrogen in methane is $\sim 4\%$. Because of the experimental difficulties of growing an optically clear methane–nitrogen ice and cooling it, we were unable to spectroscopically see the structural change in a methane–nitrogen ice that we saw in the methane–argon ice. However, the methane–nitrogen phase diagram says it is there.

At the temperatures of Pluto ($T \sim 40$ K) and Eris ($T \sim 30$ K), we expect methane and nitrogen to have limited solubility in one another. So, we developed an ice model for Pluto and Eris consisting of two components—methane-rich crystals near the nitrogen solubility limit and nitrogen-rich crystals near the methane solubility limit. We point out that Doute et al. (1999) made use of methane-rich regions and nitrogen-rich regions in modeling their near-infrared spectrum of Pluto. Although
we could have added additional parameters to enable the two materials to have different grain sizes, we did not feel the current data supported additional free parameters, and so we assumed the same grain sizes for both types of materials. In our model $f$ is the overall methane abundance, $\eta$ is the fraction of methane-rich crystals, $S_{N_2}$ is the solubility limit of nitrogen in methane, and $S_{CH_4}$ is the solubility limit of methane in nitrogen. The relationship between these quantities is given by

$$f = \eta (1 - S_{N_2}) + (1 - \eta) S_{CH_4}. \quad (1)$$

Solving for $\eta$, we get

$$\eta = \frac{f - S_{CH_4}}{1 - S_{CH_4} - S_{N_2}}. \quad (2)$$

We combined absorption coefficients of the methane-rich crystals ($\alpha_n$), and absorption coefficients of the highly diluted methane crystals ($\alpha_s$),

$$\alpha(\nu) = \eta (1 - S_{N_2}) \alpha_n(\nu) + (1 - \eta) S_{CH_4} \alpha_s(\nu). \quad (3)$$

We approximated $\alpha_n$ with pure methane coefficients, and $\alpha_s$ with pure methane coefficients blueshifted by amounts observed in highly diluted samples, e.g., 33 cm$^{-1}$ for the 11240 cm$^{-1}$ (8897 Å) band (Quirico & Schmitt 1997).

We fitted the Pluto and Eris bands much the same way we fitted them with pure methane models in the above section. The primary difference between the two models is that the binary mixture model had an additional free parameter, the methane abundance, $f$. In Figure 13, we plot our best-fit binary mixture model (red line) to Pluto’s 8897 Å band (black line). Note that the binary mixture model provides a better fit to Pluto’s wide 8897 Å band than the pure methane model. We found $\chi^2 = 10$ for the binary mixture model and $\chi^2 = 14$ for the pure methane model. In addition, there was no apparent wavelength mismatch between the model and the data. The best-fit model had a methane abundance of 3.2% ± 0.2%, and hence a nitrogen abundance of 96.8%.

In Figure 14, we plot our best-fit binary mixture model to Eris’ 8897 Å band. Again, there was no apparent wavelength mismatch between the model and the data. The best-fit model for Eris had a methane abundance of 10% ± 2%, and hence a nitrogen abundance of 90%. We found $\chi^2 = 10$ for the binary mixture model and $\chi^2 = 10$ for the pure methane model. In Figure 15, we plot our best-fit binary mixture model to the 7296 Å band. We found $\chi^2 = 5$ for the binary mixture model and $\chi^2 = 5$ for the pure methane model. There was no wavelength mismatch between the model and the data. We found a methane abundance of 8% ± 2%. In Table 4, we present the methane abundances corresponding to the best-fit models for all three Eris bands.

We analyzed our Eris bands with a methane–argon ice mixture. We found models with 40% ± 5% methane, and hence
Figure 13. Pluto’s 8897 Å methane band taken on 2007 June 21 UT with the Steward Observatory 2.3 m Telescope (black line) and the best-fit binary mixture Hapke model (red line). The best-fit model had a methane abundance of ∼3% and a nitrogen abundance of ∼97%. $\chi^2 = 10$.

Figure 14. Eris’ 8897 Å methane band taken on 2008 October 3 UT with the MMT 6.5 m Telescope (black line) and the best-fit binary mixture Hapke model (red line). The best-fit model had a methane abundance of 10% and a nitrogen abundance of 90%. $\chi^2 = 3$.

Figure 15. Eris’ 7296 Å methane band taken on 2008 October 3 UT with the MMT 6.5 m Telescope (black line) and the best-fit binary mixture Hapke model (red line). The best-fit model had a methane abundance of 8% and a nitrogen abundance of 92%. $\chi^2 = 3$.

Table 4

| Object | 7296 | 8691 | 8897 |
|--------|------|------|------|
| Pluto  | 3.2 ± 0.2 |
| Eris   | 8 ± 2 | 9 ± 2 | 10 ± 2 |

Note. a Percent Methane.

60% argon, gave the best fits to Eris’ 7296 Å, 8961 Å, and 8897 Å bands.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Eris’ Stoichiometry

Previous work suggested that the majority of methane ice on Eris is in a pure form rather than in a highly diluted form with nitrogen (Brown et al. 2005; Dumas et al. 2007; Merlin et al. 2009). Such thinking rests on two kinds of observations. First, the nitrogen 2.15 μm band has yet to be detected in spectra of Eris, but it is seen in spectra of Pluto. Second, methane band shifts on Eris are smaller than on Pluto.

Our analysis suggests nitrogen is almost an order of magnitude more abundant than methane on the surface of Eris. By fitting three methane bands in our Eris spectrum with the binary mixture model, we find a methane abundance of ∼10%, and hence a nitrogen abundance of ∼90%. Our model is able to fit all three bands. The lack of a 2.15 μm nitrogen band detection in spectra of Eris does not concern us too much. With an albedo of 70% (Stansberry et al. 2008) and a heliocentric distance of 97 AU, we expect a subsolar surface temperature of ∼30 K for Eris. Such a temperature is below the $T = 35.6$ K transition temperature between the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phase of nitrogen. So it is possible that nitrogen is in the $\alpha$ phase on Eris rather than the $\beta$ phase as it is on Pluto. The 2.15 μm absorption feature in the $\alpha$ phase is much narrower than for the $\beta$ phase (Grundy et al. 1993). If nitrogen is in the $\alpha$ phase, it will be more difficult to detect on Eris than on Pluto and it could complicate our analysis. Specifically, the nitrogen in our experiments was in the $\beta$ phase and Quirico & Schmitt (1997) found that the $v_3 + v_4$ methane band had shifts and profiles that were strongly dependent on the nitrogen phase, whereas the $v_1 + v_4$ methane band had shifts and profiles that were weakly dependent on the nitrogen phase. Our lab setup was not capable of going cold enough to grow nitrogen in the $\alpha$ phase and see whether any of our methane bands exhibit a strong nitrogen phase dependence. Another possibility is that some of the nitrogen ice may be hidden by the presence of scattering inclusions such as voids or wind-blown dust particles of another ice. Grundy et al. (2010) suggested such hidden nitrogen on Triton. Our analysis suggests that the volatile composition of Eris and Pluto may not be so different.

Our spectra are also consistent with Eris having a surface composed of 60% Ar and 40% CH$_4$. It is not unreasonable to expect Ar on the surface of Eris, although Ar is 30 times...
less abundant than N in the solar system (Anders & Grevesse 1989). If all of the N were in the form of N₂, then Ar would be 15 times less abundant than N₂. If some of the N were locked up in the interior of Eris in the form of NH₃, the Ar abundance at the surface could be further boosted relative to N₂. Furthermore, Ar is not destroyed by photolysis and radiolysis as is CH₄. Eris could have started with a CH₄ dominated surface, but photolysis and radiolysis destroyed much of it, leaving Eris’ surface dominated by Ar. Finally, the atomic mass of Ar is larger than the molecular masses of CH₄ and N₂, and so Eris would be able to retain Ar better than CH₄ and N₂ in its atmosphere and hence on its surface.

5.2. Eris Stratigraphy

Previous work looked for a correlation between shift (a proxy for the nitrogen abundance) and albedo of maximum absorption (a proxy for depth into the surface) of methane bands. We and others reported such correlations, the signature of stratification. Licandro et al. (2006) found essentially no shift for the weaker 7296 Å band and a shift of 15 Å for the stronger 8897 Å band. Such a trend suggested a decrease in the nitrogen abundance with depth into the surface. On the other hand, we reported an increase in nitrogen abundance with depth (Abernathy et al. 2009). In the work we report here, we fit models with the same methane and nitrogen stoichiometry for three bands. Furthermore, if there were a change in the stoichiometry with depth, the weaker bands which probe deeper into the surface and therefore probe a range of mixing ratios should be broader than the stronger bands which do not probe as deep into the surface. We find no evidence that the weaker 7296 Å and 8961 Å bands are systematically broader than the 8897 Å band. In short, we see no evidence of stratification in the ice.

5.3. Pluto’s Stoichiometry

There are a range of reported values for the methane and nitrogen stoichiometry of Pluto in the literature. Owen et al. (1993) reported a methane abundance of 1.5% and an uncertainty of a factor of two. So the Owen et al. methane abundance could be as large as a 3%. Doute et al. (1999) found a methane abundance of 0.5%, and Olkin et al. (2007) found a methane abundance of 0.36%.

Our methane abundance of 3.2% ± 0.2% overlaps with the values reported by Owen et al. (1993). However, our methane abundance is inconsistent with abundances smaller than ~2%. At such low abundances, the ice would be dominated by highly diluted methane crystals. So, we should see an 8897 Å band that is as narrow as the pure methane 8897 Å band, but shifted 26 Å (33 cm⁻¹) relative to the pure methane band. Clearly, the Pluto band in Figure 8 is much wider than the pure methane band and not shifted enough for highly diluted methane. We point out that the Olkin et al. (2007) methane abundance of 0.36% is based on 3 μm data, and so does not probe as deep into the surface as our optical data. Perhaps a change in composition with depth is responsible for the different values.

5.4. Future Work

We hope to obtain near- and mid-infrared spectra of Eris at higher spectral resolutions than reported in the literature (R > 15000). Such observations will test the stoichiometry and the lack of stratification in Eris’ ice described here. In addition, we hope to obtain additional spectra of Pluto and map its methane and nitrogen abundances as a function of longitude. Such measurements may prove useful in the interpretation of New Horizons observations of Pluto.
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