The BIG X-ray tail
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ABSTRACT
Galaxy clusters grow primarily through the continuous accretion of group-scale haloes. Group galaxies experience preprocessing during their journey into clusters. A star-bursting group, the Blue Infalling Group (BIG), is plunging into the nearby cluster A1367. Previous optical observations reveal rich tidal features in the BIG member, and a long Hα trail behind. Here we report the discovery of a projected ∼ 250 kpc X-ray tail behind the BIG using Chandra and XMM-Newton observations. The total hot gas mass in the tail is ∼ 7 × 10^10 M⊙ with an X-ray bolometric luminosity of ∼ 3.8 × 10^41 erg s⁻¹. The temperature along the tail is ∼ 1 keV, but the apparent metallicity is very low, an indication of the multi-T nature of the gas. The X-ray and Hα surface brightnesses in the front part of the BIG tail follow the tight correlation established from a sample of stripped tails in nearby clusters, which suggests the multiphase gas originates from the mixing of the stripped interstellar medium (ISM) with the hot intracluster medium (ICM). Because thermal conduction and hydrodynamic instabilities are significantly suppressed, the stripped ISM can be long lived and produce ICM clumps. The BIG provides us a rare laboratory to study galaxy transformation and preprocessing.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Galaxies evolve during hierarchical structure formation. The key to galaxy evolution is gas removal, which can be caused by internal and external mechanisms. Internal mechanisms include star formation (SF) and active galactic nucleus (AGN) feedback. External mechanisms include gravitational (e.g. galaxy-galaxy tidal interactions) and hydrodynamic interactions (e.g. ram pressure stripping; RPS). Hybrid processes of gravitational and hydrodynamic interactions acting on galaxies referred to as ‘preprocessing’, happen when galaxy groups fall into clusters (e.g. Boselli & Gavazzi 2006).

An actively star-bursting compact group is infalling into the core of A1367, a dynamically young merging cluster (e.g. Bechtold et al. 1983; Sun & Murray 2002; Cortese et al. 2004). The group was discovered in the Hα survey of nearby clusters by Sakai et al. (2002). Its presence was also revealed in the Hα data by Iglesias-Páramo et al. (2002) and Gavazzi et al. (2003) who named it the Blue Infalling Group (BIG). Cortese et al. (2006) presented deep Hα imaging and multislit spectroscopy of the BIG, and suggested that the BIG experiences preprocessing. They also found that Hα stream extends > 150 kpc to the NW. Yagi et al. (2017) reported that the Hα extends much further; the BIG+tail are ∼ 330 kpc (∼ 180 kpc for tail only) in projection. Fossati et al. (2019) suggested the BIG is shaped by the mutual influence of gravitational interactions and RPS, based on the VLT/MUSE observations.

* chong.ge@uah.edu
† ming.sun@uah.edu

© 2021 The Authors

arXiv:2109.07964v1 [astro-ph.GA] 16 Sep 2021
Here, we present the discovery of a \( \sim 250 \) kpc X-ray tail behind the BIG based on the \textit{Chandra} and \textit{XMM-Newton} observations (Fig. 1). We assume a cosmology with \( H_0 = 70 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \( \Omega_m = 0.3 \), and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \). At the A1367 redshift of \( z = 0.022 \), \( 1'' \approx 0.445 \) kpc.

### 2 DATA ANALYSIS

Table 1 lists the relevant \textit{Chandra} and \textit{XMM} observations. We reduce the \textit{Chandra} observations with the \textit{Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observation} (CIAO; version 4.11) and Calibration Database (CALDB; version 4.8.2), following the procedures in Ge et al. (2018). We process the \textit{XMM} data using the Extended Source Analysis Software (ESAS), as integrated into the \textit{XMM} Science Analysis System (SAS; version 17.0.0), following the procedures in Ge et al. (2019a). We use the AtomDB (version 3.0.8) database of atomic data and the Solar abundance tables from Asplund et al. (2009). The Galactic column density, \( N_H = 1.91 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\), is taken from the NHot tool (Willingale et al. 2013).

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Imaging properties

The BIG is projected between the centres of two subclusters of A1367 (Ge et al. 2021). The X-ray emission at its position is dominated by the cluster ICM emission. While the X-ray excess behind the BIG is readily visible from both the \textit{Chandra} and \textit{XMM} images (Fig. 2), the average cluster emission was subtracted to enhance the BIG feature. We use two superimposed \( \beta \)-models to fit the ICM X-ray emission based on the \textit{XMM} data as detailed in Ge et al. (2021). The X-ray tail of the BIG is revealed after subtracting the best-fit cluster ICM component from the original \textit{XMM} image as shown in Fig. 2. After converting the count rates, in different X-ray bands, based on the ICM spectral model and response files from different instruments, the same best-fit ICM models are also subtracted from the \textit{Chandra} image to derive the residual \textit{Chandra} image in Fig. 2. Churazov et al. (2012) found, for the Coma cluster, the large-scale fluctuations are model-dependent, while the perturbations smaller than several hundred kpc are model-insensitive. This conclusion can be applied to A1367, as both clusters are at nearly the same distance. To test the above argument, we also try the 2D elliptical \( \beta \)-models and patched \( \beta \)-models (Zhuravleva et al. 2015) to fit the X-ray emission of A1367, and conclude that the shown residual feature from the BIG is robust.

To increase the signal-to-noise ratio, we further merge the residual diffuse \textit{Chandra} and \textit{XMM} images. We firstly downgrade the resolution of the \textit{Chandra} image to match the \textit{XMM} image. We then rescale the \textit{Chandra} image to the \textit{XMM} image according to a ratio from their mean values within the overlapping field-of-view (FOV) marked as a dashed polygon in Fig. 2(A). Finally, we add the rescaled \textit{Chandra} image to the \textit{XMM} image and take an average value within their overlapping FOV. This method enhances the contrast between the real structure and background, because the background fluctuations are smoothed and suppressed. The resultant merged residual image is included in Fig. 1. The X-ray tail extends \( \sim 250 \) kpc in projection behind the extragalactic H\textsc{I} knot K1 (Sakai et al. 2002; Cortese et al. 2006) marked as a plus in Fig. 2(C).

#### 3.2 Spectral properties

Background modeling is crucial to recover the relatively faint X-ray emission from the BIG tail. We extract on-source BIG tail spectra within the box regions in Fig. 2(D) and off-source local background spectra from the \textit{Chandra} and \textit{XMM} data,
Figure 2. X-ray images of A1367 and BIG. (A) XMM combined 0.5-2.0 keV background subtracted, exposure corrected, and smoothed image. The cyan dashed polygon outlines the Chandra FOV. Green arc marks a shock front (Ge et al. 2019b). (B) XMM 0.7-1.3 keV residual image with the best-fit ICM model and point sources subtracted. Notable residual features including: the cold front, the BIG (outlined by a dashed contour), UGC 6697 (pointed by a green arrow), and the orphan cloud (OC; Ge et al. 2021). Two green crosses mark the centres of two subclusters of A1367. (C) Chandra combined 0.7-2.0 keV background subtracted, exposure corrected, and smoothed image. The dashed contour outlines the BIG. The green plus marks the K1 (Sakai et al. 2002) as the starting point of the BIG tail. (D) Chandra residual image with the best-fit ICM model and point sources subtracted. The BIG tail is split into five regions marked as solid boxes, with spectral information in Table 2. The white dashed polygon is for the study of X-ray-H\alpha correlation. The somewhat different X-ray appearance of the front of the BIG between Chandra and XMM is caused by the different mask regions for their different PSF sizes.

respectively. We apply the double-background subtraction method to jointly fit the spectra from different instruments (e.g. Ge et al. 2019a). Firstly, the instrumental non X-ray background (NXB) spectra are extracted from the stowed data sets for Chandra, and from the filter-wheel closed data sets for XMM. These rescaled NXB spectra are then loaded into XSPEC as the background spectra. Secondly, the X-ray backgrounds, including the sky and ICM components, are properly modeled in XSPEC. The ICM component is fitted by an absorbed APEC ($kT = 3.9$ keV, $Z = 0.3\ Z\odot$). Because the ICM emission varies across the on-source and off-source regions, their contributions are rescaled by the ratio from the same regions of a mock ICM image based on the above two $\beta$-model fitting. The sky components are represented by an unabsorbed APEC ($kT = 0.12$ keV, from the local hot bubble), an absorbed APEC ($kT = 0.21$ keV, Galactic background), and an absorbed POWERLAW (Index = 1.46, AGN dominated background). The best-fit parameters of the sky components are from a fit to the global spectra with a much larger coverage. The sky contributions of on-source and off-source regions are
linked by their sky solid angles. The X-ray emission of the BIG tail in the on-source region is fitted with an additional absorbed APEC model, whose normalization is fixed to zero \(^a\). The best-fit \(kT\) (keV) and metallicity (Solar) of the BIG tail in the on-source region is fitted with an additional

\(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Region}\(^a\) & \(kT\) (keV) & \text{C-stat/d.o.f} \\
\hline
1-3 & 1.05 \pm 0.08 (0.07 \pm 0.03) & 4834/4609 \\
1-3 (ICM 5\%) & 1.17 \pm 0.11 (0.07 \pm 0.03) & 4833/4609 \\
1-3 (ICM 5\%)↑ & 0.93 \pm 0.12 (0.10 \pm 0.06) & 4560/4609 \\
1 & 0.96 \pm 0.10 (0.15 \pm 0.09) & 3622/3389 \\
2 & 1.15 \pm 0.24 (0.05 \pm 0.05) & 3573/3286 \\
3 & 1.05 \pm 0.16 (0.07 \pm 0.04) & 3246/3086 \\
4 & 1.18 \pm 0.13 (0.43 \pm 0.38) & 1133/1014 \\
5 & 1.13 \pm 0.19 (0.19 \pm 0.11) & 1177/1058 \\
\hline
\end{array}\)

\(^a\): The regions are shown in Fig. 2(D). The main BIG tail is composed of regions 1-3. The BIG tail is robust even if ICM fluctuations (5\%) are taken into account. \(^a\): The best-fit \(kT\) (keV) and metallicity (Solar) of the APEC model.

The generally conical RPS tail behind the BIG resembles the multiphase gas in tails originates from the mixing of the stripped ISM with the hot ICM. Further X-ray/H\(\alpha\) comparison requires deeper H\(\alpha\) data with good flux calibration throughout the BIG X-ray tail. The role of magnetic fields in the stretched tail could also be important (e.g. Churazov et al. 2013).

### 4.2 Nature of the BIG

The generally conical RPS tail behind the BIG resembles other subcluster mergers in clusters like A2142 (Eckert et al. 2017), A85 (Ichinohe et al. 2015), and Coma cluster (Churazov et al. 2021). However, these infall subclusters are not star-bursting and have no report of H\(\alpha\) tails. By contrast, the BIG is a spiral-rich group with the highest density of star formation activity (total SFR \(\sim 3 \times 10^9\) \(M_\odot\)) and Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities (e.g. Rayleigh-Taylor and Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities) can destroy the tails on a relatively short timescale. However, observations suggest that both thermal conduction and hydrodynamic instabilities are highly suppressed, maybe due to magnetic fields (e.g. Markevitch & Vikhlinin 2007). If we assume a tangential velocity in the plane of the sky of \(800\) km s\(^{-1}\) for the BIG (Yagi et al. 2017), the stripped \(\sim 250\) kpc long tail (in projection) has survived for at least 300 Myr. Therefore, the stripped tails can survive for a long time and produce gas inhomogeneities or clumping in ICM, which is important to cluster cosmology and baryon physics (e.g. Ichinohe et al. 2015; Ge et al. 2021).

The warm gas tail behind the BIG, traced by H\(\alpha\) emission, extends \(184\) kpc \(\times\) \(45\) kpc with a mass of \(\sim 3 \times 10^9\) \(M_\odot\) for a volume filling factor of 1 (Yagi et al. 2017). The cold gas tail traced by H\(i\) has a mass of \(\sim 7 \times 10^8\) \(M_\odot\) (BIG-NW region of Cortese et al. 2006). Both the H\(\alpha\) (Fossati et al. 2019) and H\(i\) (Cortese et al. 2006) tails experience a progressive velocity decrease from the BIG. This suggests that the multiphase gas is decelerated relative to the BIG due to the friction with the ICM after stripping.

We compare the X-ray and H\(\alpha\) surface brightness of the diffuse gas in the front part of the BIG tail as shown in Fig. 2(D), where the robust H\(\alpha\) flux from MUSE is available (Fossati et al. 2019). The X-ray-to-H\(\alpha\) emission ratio is 4.0\(\pm\)1.4, which is consistent with the average ratio of \(\sim 3.5\) established from a sample of stripped tails in nearby galaxy clusters (Sun et al. 2021). The correlation suggests the multiphase gas in tails originates from the mixing of the stripped ISM with the hot ICM. Further X-ray/H\(\alpha\) comparison requires deeper H\(\alpha\) data with good flux calibration throughout the BIG X-ray tail. The role of magnetic fields in the stretched tail could also be important (e.g. Churazov et al. 2013).

### 4 DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Stripped multiphase gas of the BIG

In Fig. 1, the cometary trails of the stripped hot gas behind the BIG resemble a cone. The BIG galaxies are at the cone tip and there is a certain inclination angle of the cone axis with respect to the plane of the sky. The cone asymmetry may be due to projection effects if the BIG is infalling into A1367 with a non-zero impact parameter resulting in a curved path or tail and has a substantial velocity along the line of sight (e.g. Eckert et al. 2017), which is very likely because the velocity of the BIG is \(\sim 1700\) km s\(^{-1}\) higher than A1367 (e.g. Cortese et al. 2004). The innermost tail is relatively narrow and has H\(\alpha\) emission, which indicates that its gas is freshly stripped from the ISM of the BIG galaxies. While the outermost tail is much wider and diffuse. It may be stripped from the group hot intergalactic medium (hiGM) gas, which has lower thermal pressure and can be stripped first, it has been mixed with the A1367 ICM and becomes wider probably due to turbulent diffusion (e.g. Eckert et al. 2017). We can estimate the average density of hot gas from the APEC normalization (e.g. Ge et al. 2021), assuming a uniform density distribution within a cone with an altitude of \(\sim 250\) kpc and a circular base diameter of \(\sim 220\) kpc. The resultant average electron gas density is \(5.8 \times 10^{-4}\) cm\(^{-3}\), the total hot gas mass is \(6.8 \times 10^{10}\) \(M_\odot\), and the total X-ray bolometric luminosity is \(3.8 \times 10^{41}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) in the cone. If we focus on the bright main tail behind the BIG within regions 1-3 in Fig. 2(D), we can also assume a uniform density distribution in a cylinder with a height of \(\sim 220\) kpc and a circular base diameter of \(\sim 60\) kpc. The average electron gas density is \(1.2 \times 10^{-3}\) cm\(^{-3}\), the total hot gas mass is \(2.0 \times 10^{10}\) \(M_\odot\), and the total X-ray bolometric luminosity is \(2.1 \times 10^{41}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) in the cylinder.

When stripped tails move in the ICM, thermal conduction and hydrodynamic instabilities (e.g. Rayleigh-Taylor and Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities) can destroy the tails on a relatively short timescale. However, observations suggest that both thermal conduction and hydrodynamic instabilities are highly suppressed, maybe due to magnetic fields (e.g. Markevitch & Vikhlinin 2007). If we assume a tangential velocity in the plane of the sky of \(800\) km s\(^{-1}\) for the BIG (Yagi et al. 2017), the stripped \(\sim 250\) kpc long tail (in projection) has survived for at least 300 Myr. Therefore, the stripped tails can survive for a long time and produce gas inhomogeneities or clumping in ICM, which is important to cluster cosmology and baryon physics (e.g. Ichinohe et al. 2015; Ge et al. 2021).

The warm gas tail behind the BIG, traced by H\(\alpha\) emission, extends \(184\) kpc \(\times\) \(45\) kpc with a mass of \(\sim 3 \times 10^9\) \(M_\odot\) for a volume filling factor of 1 (Yagi et al. 2017). The cold gas tail traced by H\(i\) has a mass of \(\sim 7 \times 10^8\) \(M_\odot\) (BIG-NW region of Cortese et al. 2006). Both the H\(\alpha\) (Fossati et al. 2019) and H\(i\) (Cortese et al. 2006) tails experience a progressive velocity decrease from the BIG. This suggests that the multiphase gas is decelerated relative to the BIG due to the friction with the ICM after stripping.

We compare the X-ray and H\(\alpha\) surface brightness of the diffuse gas in the front part of the BIG tail as shown in Fig. 2(D), where the robust H\(\alpha\) flux from MUSE is available (Fossati et al. 2019). The X-ray-to-H\(\alpha\) emission ratio is 4.0\(\pm\)1.4, which is consistent with the average ratio of \(\sim 3.5\) established from a sample of stripped tails in nearby galaxy clusters (Sun et al. 2021). The correlation suggests the multiphase gas in tails originates from the mixing of the stripped ISM with the hot ICM. Further X-ray/H\(\alpha\) comparison requires deeper H\(\alpha\) data with good flux calibration throughout the BIG X-ray tail. The role of magnetic fields in the stretched tail could also be important (e.g. Churazov et al. 2013).
and $\sigma_v = 170$ km s$^{-1}$ is the radial velocity dispersion of group members (Cortese et al. 2006). $R = 50$ kpc is the size of BIG. Alternatively, we can also infer the mass from the X-ray properties. The $M - L$ relation (e.g. Leauthaud et al. 2010) indicates $M \sim 1 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$, which may be underestimated as some gas has been stripped. The $M - T$ relation (e.g. Sun et al. 2009) indicates $M \sim 4 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$. The mass from X-ray properties is a few $10^{13} M_\odot$, which is one order of magnitude larger than from the virial theorem. However, $\sigma_v$ is probably underestimated because it is from only a few BIG members in a very compact region. If $\sigma$ increases by a factor of $\sim 3$ to $\sim 500$ km s$^{-1}$ and $M \sim \sigma^3$, the mass discrepancy from different methods can be alleviated. In fact, such a high $\sigma$ is suggested by the kinematics of ionized gas (Fossati et al. 2019), though the gas is disturbed by tidal interactions and RPS. The mass of A1367 is $\sim 3 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$, from a mean $T \approx 3.5$ keV (Sun et al. 2009). The merger mass ratio between A1367 and the BIG is $\sim 10$, which is a minor merger.

The perturbed stellar morphology/kinematics, tidal dwarfs, and a complex of ionized gas filaments suggest that the BIG members are suffering from gravitational interactions (Cortese et al. 2006; Fossati et al. 2019). The ISM stripped by tidal forces is further stripped by RPS when the BIG enters A1367. Multiphase gas including cold (Cortese et al. 2006), warm (Fossati et al. 2019), and hot gas has been observed between BIG members (tidal origin) and behind the BIG (RPS origin). Fig. 1 shows a clear positional correlation between warm and hot gas, especially between the BIG members and in the main tail. The wind feature of Hα tails in Fig. 1 imprints the gas motion being tidally stripped out of galaxies (Yagi et al. 2017). Besides the BIG, CGCG 097-093 also has hybrid features of tidal interaction and RPS (e.g. Yagi et al. 2017). As shown in the lower-right corner of Fig. 1, its stripped X-ray and Hα (Yagi et al. 2017) tails extend towards the NE with a length around 30 kpc.

5 SUMMARY
The BIG was discovered in previous Hα surveys of nearby galaxies. Its galaxies are undergoing preprocessing via tidal interactions and RPS. In particular, a long Hα tail of stripped gas is found behind the BIG. Based on the X-ray-Hα correlation in stripped tails (Sun et al. 2021), we may expect a long X-ray tail behind the BIG. However, the BIG is projected near the core of A1367, where the X-ray emission is dominated by the ICM. Nevertheless, using Chandra and XMM data, after subtracting the ICM component of A1367, we discover a projected $\sim 250$ kpc X-ray tail behind the BIG. The stripped tail has survived for at least 300 Myr, and may contribute to ICM inhomogeneities or clumping.

The continual accretion of infalling groups is one of the main mechanisms to feed the growth of clusters. Compared with relatively rare major cluster mergers, minor mergers between clusters and groups are more prevalent. Combining future wide-field Hα and X-ray surveys, more cases of infalling groups will be revealed to study the details of structure formation, ICM clumping, and galaxy preprocessing.
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