Incorporation of a novel leguminous ingredient into savoury biscuits reduces their starch digestibility: Implications for lowering the Glycaemic Index of cereal products
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\begin{abstract}
Many carbohydrate foods contain starch that is rapidly digested and elicits a high Glycaemic Index. A legume ingredient (PulseON\textsuperscript{®}) rich in Type 1 resistant starch (RS1) was recently developed; however, its potential as a functional ingredient when processed into a food product required assessment. PulseON\textsuperscript{®} was used to replace 0, 25, 50, 75, and 100\% of the wheat flour in a savoury biscuit recipe. \textit{In vitro} starch digestion kinetics of biscuits and water-holding properties of ingredients were assessed. The RS1 in PulseON\textsuperscript{®} did not appear to be structurally compromised during biscuit making. Replacing 50\% wheat flour with PulseON\textsuperscript{®} reduced the starch hydrolysis index of biscuits by nearly 60\%. This seems to result from the ingredients' impact on water availability for starch gelatinisation. Overall, these findings highlight the potential of using biscuits as a food vehicle for PulseON\textsuperscript{®} to increase consumer intakes of legume protein, dietary fibre, and potentially low glycaemic starch.
\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

There is an urgent need to increase the availability and consumption of healthy foods that appeal to consumers in order to counteract the current global epidemic of diet-related diseases such as obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus (Augustin et al., 2015). Cereals and cereals products are a major source of available (digestible) carbohydrates, predominantly in the form of starch, worldwide. These staple foods are therefore an appealing target for dietary interventions that aim to improve public health (Lafiandra, Riccardi, & Shewry, 2014). Of particular interest is the starch component, which in foods can give rise to vastly different postprandial metabolic responses depending on its susceptibility to digestion (Englyst, Kingman, & Cummings, 1992; Foster-Powell, Holt, & Brand-Miller, 2002; Goñi, Garcia-Alonso, & Saura-Calixto, 1997; Jenkins et al., 1982). For instance, it is well known that foods containing slowly digestible starch attenuate glycaemic and insulinaemic responses (Jenkins et al., 1982). Moreover, the long-term consumption of low Glycaemic Index foods in the diet can potentially contribute to a reduction in the risk of non-communicable diseases such as type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Augustin et al., 2015; Livesey et al., 2019). Starch that escapes digestion in the upper gastrointestinal tract, often described as ‘Resistant Starch’ (RS), reaches the colon where its fermentation by resident microbiota is associated with health benefits similar to those reported for dietary fibre (Asp, van Amelsvoort, & Hautvast, 1996; Nugent, 2005). However, the majority of digestible carbohydrate is consumed in the form of more refined foods such as white and/or wholemeal bread, which are relatively poor sources of RS and tend to have a high Glycaemic Index (Foster-Powell et al., 2002).

Food processing conditions have a major impact on starch structure and thereby influence susceptibility to α-amylase digestion in the upper gastrointestinal tract (Roder et al., 2009; Wang & Copeland, 2013). In its native (raw) form, starch has a highly ordered granular structure with limited susceptibility to amylase attack (sometimes referred to as Type 2 RS) (Dhital, Warren, Butterworth, Ellis, & Gidley, 2017; Slaughter, Ellis, & Butterworth, 2001). This semi-crystalline granular
structure can be disrupted, with sufficient moisture, heat and mechanical energy during processing, as the starch granules swell and lose their ordered structure resulting in a gelatinised starch that is readily digested by amylase (Cooke & Gidley, 1992; Parada & Aguilera, 2009; Roder et al., 2009; Slaughter et al., 2001; Wang & Copeland, 2013). Although flexible, mobile α-glucan chains of hydrothermally-treated starch can re-associate (‘retrograde’) upon cooling (i.e., Type 3 ‘retrograded’ RS), the starch in hydrothermally-treated foods is typically digested at a faster rate and to a greater extent than raw starch (Patel et al., 2017). Thus, preserving intrinsically resistant starch structures throughout the processing treatments that transform raw ingredients into safe, palatable and convenient foods presents a formidable challenge.

Controlling the food matrix that surrounds starch during processing and digestion provides an alternative means of regulating starch resistance. Interestingly, the plant cell walls (dietary fibre) that encapsulate starch in the cotyledonous tissue of pulses appear to act as physical barriers to α-amylase, and may remain intact after hydrothermal processing (Pallares Pallares et al., 2018; Würsch, Del Vedovo, & Koellreutter, 1986), and to some extent after transit to the terminal ileum (Noah et al., 1998). Indeed, studies have demonstrated that the low glycaemic and insulinemic responses to boiled pulses can be mainly attributed to the presence of intact plant cells, which are a source of encapsulated (Type 1) RS (Golay et al., 1986). However, these legume cells can be disrupted during milling, autoclaving and prolonged hydrothermal processing. Moreover, food products prepared from raw legumes that have been milled into flours, do not appear to retain these low glycaemic/insulinemic properties (Edwards, Warren, Milligan, Butterworth, & Ellis, 2014; Golay et al., 1986; Pallares Pallares et al., 2018).

We have recently developed a novel method of processing pulses into a pre-cooked powder product, PulseON® (patent pending; (Edwards, Ellis, et al., 2019)), which, unlike most conventional legume flours, contains high levels of Type 1 RS (RS1), i.e., starch that is physically inaccessible to digestive enzymes. In a compatible food matrix, displacing traditional pulse flours with this novel powder has the potential to enhance dietary intakes of RS, and to lower the glycaemic and insulinaemic responses to boiled pulses with Sainsbury’s British plain wheat flour in a range of different ratios such that PulseON® replaced 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% of the wheat flour in the biscuit recipe. These composite flours were used to obtain biscuits with different ‘doses’ of PulseON®. All other food ingredients used in the biscuit recipes (see Section 2.2) were bought from a local supermarket. The nutrient composition of all ingredients is shown in Supplementary 1.

2.2. Preparation of biscuits

Biscuits were prepared in an experimental kitchen using home-cooking methods with equipment and utensils that resemble those typically used in a domestic kitchen in the UK. Savoury biscuits incorporating different doses of PulseON® in composite flours were prepared following the same recipe (Supplementary 2), such that 100 g dough contained 0.6 g sodium bicarbonate (Dr. Oetker UK Ltd.), 1.4 g of NaCl (SAXA), 13.9 g salted butter (Countrylife), 18.6 g freshly boiled tap water (100 °C and then cooled for 1 min at ambient temperature) and 65.6 g of composite flour (described in Section 2.1). For each dough preparation the dry ingredients (33.5 g flour, 0.3 g sodium bicarbonate and 0.7 g NaCl salt) were manually mixed for exactly 1 min. Butter (7.1 g), cut into chunks, was added and rubbed (using fingers) together with the dry ingredients for exactly 2 min such that the dough mixture resembled large crumbs. Water was added in two batches (3.5 mL and then 6 mL); each addition was followed by exactly 1 min of manual mixing. Biscuit cutters were then used to form the dough mixture into cylindrical shapes with a 70 mm diameter and 5 mm height. All biscuits were baked on a baking tray in a pre-heated forced-air convection oven (Hotpoint, UK) at 190 °C for 20 min.

2.3. Nutrient composition

Proximate analyses of PulseON® and chickpea flour were performed by ALS Food and Pharmaceutical, Chatteris, UK by UKAS accredited methods. In brief, samples were analysed for moisture (by weight loss on drying at 100 to 103 °C), crude protein (N × 6.25), total fat (by NMR), ash, total sugars, dietary fibre (AOAC enzymic-gravimetric method 991.43), and available carbohydrates were calculated ‘by difference’. Energy values were calculated using standard conversion factors. For the other food ingredients, the on-pack nutrition declaration was used. For the biscuits, the change in weight on baking of the dough was recorded and the moisture content of the final baked biscuit was used to express nutrient composition data on a ‘per baked biscuit’ basis.

2.4. In vitro amylolysis

Amylolysis (starch digestion) of biscuits made with composite flours (i.e., different proportions of PulseON® and wheat flour) and the original PulseON® and wheat flour ingredients was evaluated according to the methods of Edwards et al. (2014) using porcine-pancreatic α-amylase (Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd, UK) (A6255, EC 3.2.1.1) prepared in phosphate buffered saline (‘PBS’, from Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd, UK).
Samples of biscuits, wheat flour and PulseON® were prepared for testing immediately prior to the amylosis assay. Biscuits were crushed with a pestle and mortar and sieved to obtain ground biscuit particles between 250 and 500 μm that were weighed out into tubes to achieve 100 mg starch per tube, and then suspended in 10 mL PBS (pH 7.4 at 37 °C) immediately before testing. The wheat flour, chickpea flour and PulseON® ingredients were weighed out ‘as is’ and suspended in 10 mL PBS. These were either submitted to analysis in the ‘raw’ form or after further ‘hydrothermal processing’ in a boiling water bath set at 100 °C with intermittent stirring for 10 min.

To start the assay, freshly prepared samples (i.e., ground biscuits, raw and hydrothermally processed ingredients suspended in PBS) were equilibrated at 37 ± 2 °C for 10 min on a rotary mixer and to which was added 200 μL of α-amylase working solution (200 U/mL in PBS) to achieve a final ratio of 0.4 U/mg starch in the test tube. The tube was promptly returned to the rotary mixer at 37 °C. Aliquots (200 μL) collected after 0, 10, 20, 30, 45, 60 and 90 min from each replicate sample were transferred into tubes containing 200 μL of 0.3 M Na₂CO₃ to inactivate the enzyme and stop the reaction. All samples were evaluated in triplicate.

2.5. Quantification of starch amylosis products

Quantification of starch amylosis product was achieved using the p-hydroxybenzoyl acid hydrazide (PAHBAH) assay (Lever, 1972) as described previously (Edwards, Maillot, Parker, & Warren, 2018). Aliquots collected at various time points throughout the amylosis assay (Section 2.4) were centrifuged at 16,200 × g at 20 °C (Heraeus Pico, Thermo Scientific, US) for 5 min and 200 μL of supernatant from each tube were transferred into new tubes. Then 20 μL of the transferred supernatants were added to 1 mL of a freshly prepared PAHBAH reagent solution (250 mg of 4-hydroxybenzoyl acid hydrazide dissolved in 4.75 mL of 0.5 M HCl, and made up to 50 mL with 0.5 M NaOH) in 1.5 mL Eppendorf® safe-lock™ tubes. Similarily, 1 mL of the PAHBAH solution was added to 20 μL of maltose standard solutions from 0 to 1 mM to allow quantification of reducing sugars (mainly maltose) in the digested samples. After 5 min at ambient temperature, the sample absorbance was read at 405 nm in a spectrophotometer (Biochrom libra S50 UV/Vis). The endogenous reducing sugars values, quantified before the addition of the enzyme, were subtracted from the total sugar values in each tube to reflect only the reducing sugars (as maltose equivalents) released by amylosis.

2.6. Kinetic analysis of the digestibility data

The rate and extent of starch amylosis has an impact on the duration and magnitude of glycaemic responses in vivo, and various indices of \textit{in vitro} starch amylosis are of relevance to predicting relative differences in the glycaemic response (Edwards, Cochetel, et al., 2019; Granfeldt, Björck, Drews, & Tovar, 1992). The analysis of starch digestibility curves has been described in depth elsewhere (Butterworth, Warren, Grassby, Patel, & Ellis, 2012; Edwards, Cochetel, et al., 2019; Edwards et al., 2014). In brief, the product concentration (i.e., obtained from PAHBAH assay maltose equivalents) over time was subjected to Logarithm of Slope (LOS) analysis, enabling kinetic parameters Cₚ (product concentration at the reaction endpoint) and k (digestibility rate constant) to be determined, as described previously (Butterworth et al., 2012; Edwards et al., 2014). To aid interpretation, time-course data showing starch amylosis product formation (i.e., maltose equivalent concentration at time points) were expressed as the corresponding proportion of starch digested over time, wherein the proportion of undigested starch after 90 min is considered potentially resistant starch (Goñi et al., 1997). Curves were fitted through the experimentally obtained data points using SigmaPlot 14.0 (Systat software 2017) and the area under the regression curves were obtained using the Area Under Curve (AUC) macro supplied within the software, which uses an algorithm to integrate non-linear regression curves according to the trapezoidal rule. The AUCs obtained were used to determine the Hydrolysis Index (HI) as shown in Eq. (1). HI values were calculated relative to a highly digestible reference material (plain wheat flour used for the biscuit preparation cooked in water for 10 min at 100 °C) to obtain predicted Glycaemic Index values (Edwards, Cochetel, et al., 2019; Goñi et al., 1997).

\[ HI = \frac{AUC_{(\text{sample total})}}{AUC_{(\text{reference})}} \times 100 \]  

Eq. (1): Calculation of HI in which boiled wheat flour, used for biscuit preparation, is the reference, and area under the curve (AUC) was determined from non-linear regression analysis fitted to the digestibility data for all flour and biscuit samples collected up to 90 min (t = 90).

2.7. Swelling power and water holding tests

The swelling of starch and other polymers during hydrothermal processing is another property of relevance to food applications and has been studied under various different conditions. In this case, the swelling powers of wheat flour, PulseON®, chickpea flour (included for comparison) and the composite flours (i.e., with different ratios of PulseON®, to wheat flour) were determined at two different temperatures (23 °C and 95 °C) in triplicate according to Fu, Kovacs, and Wang (1998). In brief, 33 mg of each sample was weighed into pre-weighed 1.5 mL Eppendorf® safe-lock™ tubes and suspended in 1 mL of distilled water by vortexing for 5 s. The tubes were subsequently incubated with mixing (1,400 rpm) on a thermomixer (Eppendorf® ThermoMixer™ C, UK) at either 23 °C for 1 min (‘ambient test’) or 95 °C for 30 min (‘hydrothermally processed’). Samples were then equilibrated in a water bath at 24 °C for 5 min and centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 10 min at room temperature. The supernatant was removed and the tubes containing the remaining sediment were weighed to obtain the swelling power, then enabling the amount of water held by the sample to be calculated (Eq. (2)).

\[ SP (\text{mg water/mg sample}) = \frac{W_{\text{sediment}} (\text{mg}) - W_i (\text{mg})}{W_i (\text{mg})} \]  

Eq. (2): Calculation of Swelling Power (SP) in which the wet weight of sediment gel (\(W_{\text{sediment}}\)) minus the original weight of dry sample (\(W_i\)) remaining after centrifugation of hydrothermally treated (95 °C for 30 min) flour samples is divided by the \(W_i\).

2.8. Microscopy

Samples of biscuits (i.e., ground to crumbs) and flour ingredients were suspended in deionised water and examined directly using an Olympus BX60 microscope (Olympus Corporation, USA) under polarised light to display starch birefringence. Images were captured with a ProgRes® C10 plus camera (Jenoptik, Germany) and ProgRes® CapturePro software (Jenoptik, Germany). Starch was stained with 1% iodine-potassium iodide (w/w).

Heated stage polarised microscopy was used to monitor changes in ordered structure during hydrothermal treatment. Composite flours (20 mg) were suspended in excess deionised water (400 μL), mixed by inversion, and then 50 μL of this suspension mounted on microscope slides, sealed, and then viewed under polarised light while being heated from 25 °C to 80 °C in excess water on a heated-stage (THMS600, Linkam Scientific Instruments Ltd, UK). Images were captured at regular intervals (with LINK System Software, Linkam Scientific Instruments Ltd, UK) to observe changes in starch birefringence.
2.9. Statistics

All data are represented as means (n = 3) with standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise specified. Statistical analyses were performed in IBM® SPSS® Statistics Version 22 software (IBM Corp. 2013). Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed on starch digestibility data and kinetic indices, with a Tukey’s b post-hoc analysis used to identify homogenous subsets. Statistically significant differences were accepted at p < 0.05. Graphical analyses were performed using SIGMAPLOT version 14.0 (©Systat software 2017) statistical and graphical software.

3. Results

3.1. Starch digestibility analysis

3.1.1. Ingredients

The starch digestibility of food ingredients (used to make the biscuits) and the effect of hydrothermal processing (i.e., 10 mg starch equivalent /mL suspension incubated at 100 °C for 10 min) is shown in Fig. 1A. Raw wheat flour had the lowest starch digestibility of all the ingredients, with only 4% of the starch digested after 90 min incubation with amylase, indicating that raw wheat flour is highly resistant to digestion. However, after hydrothermal processing, the digestibility of wheat flour was greatly increased in that 61% of the starch had been digested within the first 10 min of amylolysis. The starch digestibility curves of hydrothermally processed wheat and chickpea flours were not significantly different (p > 0.05) and were both seen to reach a plateau within 20 min of amylolysis. PulseON®, which has been pre-processed to enhance RS1 content (Edwards, Ellis, Butterworth, Hill, Obuchowicz, & Marson, 2019), showed an intermediate starch digestibility (51% digested after 90 min), when tested ‘as is’ (i.e., the form in which the ingredient was added to the biscuit recipe). After hydrothermal processing, the amount of starch digested from PulseON® after 90 min significantly increased to 79%; however, the digestibility profile shows that digestion of PulseON® occurred more gradually than the wheat and chickpea flours. Thus, the overall Hydrolysis Index of hydrothermally processed PulseON® remained significantly lower than the hydrothermally processed chickpea and wheat flours (p < 0.05).

3.1.2. Biscuits

Starch digestibility curves obtained for biscuits made with different ratios of wheat flour and PulseON® are shown in Fig. 1B. All the biscuits incorporating PulseON® had significantly lower starch digestibility profiles compared with the original biscuit made with 100% wheat flour, regardless of the dose used (p < 0.05, time x dose, ANOVA). The relationship between the dose of PulseON® and biscuit starch digestibility is shown more clearly in Fig. 2; with reference to HI (i.e., calculated from the area under the overall starch digestibility profile) and C90 (i.e., extent of starch digested after 90 min). The HI and C90 values decreased when PulseON® was used to displace the wheat flour in the recipe. Interestingly, the lowest starch digestibility was obtained for the biscuit made with a 50–50 blend of PulseON® and wheat, for which a 58% reduction in HI and a 46% reduction in C90 was achieved relative to the control. Paradoxically, at doses of PulseON® above 50%, the starch digestibility of the biscuit increased rather than showing a continued decline. Thus, the response in starch digestibility did not follow a linear dose–response but a U-shaped relationship (Fig. 2), wherein the greatest reduction in C90, C∞ and HI is achieved with the 50–50 blend. A more pronounced U-shape dose–response relationship was observed with regard to C∞ (obtained from LOS analysis Supplementary 3), which indicates the extent of digestion at the reaction endpoint.

The LOS plots (Supplementary 3) showed that the digestion of biscuits followed a single-phase process characterised by a single rate processing, the amount of starch digested from PulseON® after 90 min significantly increased to 79%; however, the digestibility profile shows that digestion of PulseON® occurred more gradually than the wheat and chickpea flours. Thus, the overall Hydrolysis Index of hydrothermally processed PulseON® remained significantly lower than the hydrothermally processed chickpea and wheat flours (p < 0.05).

Fig. 1. Starch digestibility profiles of raw and boiled wheat and chickpea ingredients (A) and savoury biscuits prepared with different doses of PulseON® (B). Ingredients were analysed as used in the biscuit dough (i.e. raw wheat flour and PulseON® ‘as is’), and also after hydrothermal processing for 10 min at 100 °C (‘boiled’). The boiled chickpea flour was not used in the biscuit recipe but is prepared from the same chickpeas as PulseON® and was included as a comparison. Biscuits (B) were prepared using a composite flour in which PulseON® replaced 0, 25, 50, 75 or 100% of the wheat flour in the recipe. All values are means of triplicates with error bars shown as SD. Curve fitting plots were obtained through non-linear regression to a first order equation. Different superscript letters indicate significant time x treatment differences between curves shown within each graph panel and superscript letters do not apply for comparison between data in both panels (p < 0.05, ANOVA with Tukey’s b post-hoc analysis).
constant $k$ ($r^2 > 0.90$). Biscuits made with PulseON® had rate constants ($k$ range: 0.013 to 0.016) that were significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than the original wheat biscuit ($k = 0.0198 \pm 0.0008$). There seemed to be a tendency for the rate constant, $k$, to decrease with increasing dose of PulseON® (see Fig. 2).

The low values of $C_0$ and $C_{\infty}$ for PulseON® enriched biscuits suggest that starch amylolysis occurs relatively slowly and to a limited extent, thus a high proportion of the starch in the PulseON® enriched biscuits can be considered potentially resistant starch. For instance, at the 50% dose, only 37% of the starch had been digested after 90 min ($C_{90}$), and only 50% at the endpoint ($C_{\infty}$). Based on the starch content (55.0 g starch/100 g biscuit, see Table 1), an 18 g biscuit would contain an estimated 4.3 g of potentially resistant starch. Such starch properties have not been taken into account in the calculated nutrient composition of baked biscuits as shown in Table 1. Displacing all the wheat flour with PulseON® will result in a savoury biscuit containing 4.2 times more dietary fibre, 1.6 times more protein, 23% less starch, and based with PulseON® will result in a savoury biscuit containing 4.2 times more dietary fibre, 1.6 times more protein, 23% less starch, and based with PulseON®.

### Table 1

| Nutrient composition (per 100 g of baked product) & PulseON® in composite flour (w/w) % & 0% & 25% & 50% & 75% & 100% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Energy (kJ) | 1818 | 1871 | 1866 | 1869 | 1906 |
| Protein (g) | 8.54 | 10.11 | 11.36 | 12.64 | 14.15 |
| Fat (g) | 15.87 | 17.26 | 18.14 | 19.02 | 20.28 |
| Carbohydrate (g) | 62.43 | 59.74 | 55.19 | 51.08 | 47.87 |
| Starch (g) | 62.17 | 59.52 | 55.02 | 50.95 | 47.78 |
| Sugars (g) | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.09 |
| Dietary Fibre (g) | 3.05 | 5.56 | 7.88 | 10.19 | 12.68 |
| Moisture (g) | 7.43 | 4.41 | 4.36 | 3.85 | 1.60 |

$^1$ Calculated values from proximate composition of ingredients were adjusted to the measured moisture content. $^2$Carbohydrates that are potentially available (digestible); does not include carbohydrates as dietary fibre.

The swelling power (SP) of composite flours made with different ratios of PulseON® to wheat flour was measured in excess water before (1 min at 23 °C) and after hydrothermal processing (30 min at 95 °C) and is shown in Fig. 3. At 23 °C, SP were low ($<2.8$ g water/g sample) and there were no significant differences between the composite flours tested ($F_{4,14} = 2.255, p = 0.135$, ANOVA). After hydrothermal processing in excess water, the SP values increased compared to ambient conditions. There were significant differences between SP values of the hydrothermally processed composite flours ($F_{4,14} = 131.341, p < 0.001$, ANOVA), which showed a decrease in SP with increasing proportions of PulseON®. The measured SP values are approximately equivalent to the theoretical values that would be expected from the proportions of wheat and PulseON® used in the composite blends, indicating that SP of ingredients used in the composite flours are additive.

### 3.3. Polarised microscopy

#### 3.3.1. Ingredients

Heated-stage microscopy of ingredients suspended in excess water enabled the thermal transitions of starch to be observed in real time (videos are available in Supplementary 4–7). A selection of polarised light micrographs (shown in Fig. 4) were captured during heating of wheat flour, PulseON®, and 50–50 composite flour. In the polarised light micrograph captured at 52 °C, the PulseON® ingredient can be seen to consist of cellular material with enclosed starch (Fig. 4A). Heating PulseON® to 66 °C in excess water did not cause demonstrable changes in the size or micro structure of the ingredient (Fig. 4B), and no changes were observed when the ingredient was heated to 85 °C (video in Supplementary 5). Native wheat flour and chickpea flour both contain ordered starches that exhibit birefringence when viewed under polarised light (see Fig. 4C, and Supplementary 7 for chickpea flour). When wheat flour was heated (Supplementary 4), starch granule swelling and a loss of birefringence (indicative of starch gelatinisation due to a less ordered starch structure) occurred when the heated stage was between 50 and 60 °C (Fig. 4D). For comparison, the starch within native chickpea flour gelatinised between 60 and 70 °C, whereas no changes in birefringence were observed within the PulseON® powder.
4. Discussion

A novel leguminous ingredient (PulseON®) with high levels of structurally-intact dietary fibre (i.e., intact cell walls) and Type 1 resistant starch has been recently developed. However, its functional properties in a food product in relation to starch digestion had not yet been examined. Also, as far as we are aware, this is the first time such a unique food ingredient has been evaluated for use in the design of a functional food. In the present study, we incorporated different doses of PulseON® into a savoury biscuit recipe and examined the effects of this new ingredient on the digestion kinetics of starch in the final biscuit product. This study demonstrates, for the first time, that incorporating PulseON® into savoury biscuits enhances their nutritional value by retaining the structural integrity of the fibre, increasing levels of resistant starch and lowering the rate and extent of starch digestion. These results highlight therefore the potential of using savoury biscuits as a food vehicle for PulseON® to increase consumer intakes of RS, dietary fibre, legume protein and potentially carbohydrate foods with a low Glycaemic Index.

We found that incorporating even relatively low doses of PulseON® reduces the starch digestibility of the biscuits. The starch digestibility of the biscuit made with only PulseON® was similar to the starch digestibility of the original ingredient, which confirmed, for the first time, that the resistant starch properties of the PulseON® were preserved to some extent throughout secondary processing. Moreover, when combined in a biscuit dough with wheat flour, the chickpea ingredient seemed to inhibit the gelatinisation of many wheat starch granules, resulting in a biscuit with even lower starch digestibility than expected. This inhibitory effect appears to be due to the high capacity of the PulseON® to hold water, coupled with the high water requirement of wheat flour for starch swelling and gelatinisation (8 mg water per mg of hydrothermally processed wheat flour, in excess water). Under conditions of limited water (~25–28% moisture in a biscuit dough), the PulseON® is likely, therefore, to compete with wheat flour for water within the dough, thereby limiting availability of water for hydration during heating and subsequent starch gelatinisation. This proposed mechanism is supported by evidence from polarised microscopy images, which showed aggregates of birefringent (i.e., not gelatinised) wheat starch granules in biscuits made with PulseON® (Fig. 5C,D), compared to biscuits prepared from wheat flour alone (Fig. 5B). Indeed, biscuits are known to contain birefringent starch granules, particularly on the biscuit surface where rapid water loss occurs during baking (Burt & Fearn, 1983).

Our findings are consistent with previous studies that have reported limited gelatinisation of wheat starch in biscuit doughs (Chevallier, Colonna, Della Valle, & Lourdin, 2000; Wootton & Chaudry, 1980). The availability of water has been shown to impact the gelatinisation temperature of starch; when starch is heated under conditions of excess water, the starch granules swell which facilitates melting of crystallites at the gelatinisation temperature range of 49–69 °C for wheat starch and 63–83 °C for chickpea starch (Edward et al., 2015). However, at lower moisture levels, there is no free water available for swelling, and individual crystallites are disrupted over a higher temperature range (Bogracheva, Wang, Wang, & Hedley, 2002). Roder et al demonstrated that limited crystallite disruption occurred when starch samples were hydrothermally processed under limited water conditions of 12–30% moisture (Roder et al., 2009). Thus, the biscuit doughs used within the present study had a moisture content within this range, and therefore represented limited water conditions.

Furthermore, the presence of other hydrophilic components, specifically non-starch polysaccharides (dietary fibre) and protein which have a strong affinity for water, have been shown to increase the onset, peak and concluding temperatures of starch gelatinisation. This occurred both under excess water conditions, so that starches in durum wheat and chickpea flours gelatinised at ~2–4 °C higher onset temperatures than the equivalent extracted starches (Edward et al., 2015).
and also in dough systems, where increasing the level of wheat gluten or dietary fibre (an oat bran source) was shown to increase the temperature required for onset of starch gelatinisation (Pareyt, Wilderjans, Goesaert, Brijs, & Delcour, 2008; Villemejane et al., 2016). In addition to binding water, gluten proteins may also interact directly with the starch granule to limit swelling of wheat starch (Debet & Gidley, 2006). Thus, the higher proportion of fibre and protein within the biscuits made with PulseON® would have introduced further competition for water, increasing the temperature required for gelatinisation of wheat starch. The phenomenon of heat and mass transfer within biscuits during baking are certainly complex and future studies may benefit from combining the in vitro techniques used within the present study with modelling approaches (Broyart & Trystram, 2003).

The proposed role of PulseON® in limiting the gelatinisation of wheat starch provides a plausible explanation of the effect of different doses of PulseON® on biscuit starch digestibility. In the raw state, wheat starch is highly resistant to digestion, more so than the chickpea ingredient. When hydrothermally processed under conditions of excess water (i.e., 10 min at 100 °C), starch digestibility of wheat flour increased 19-fold, thus becoming more digestible than the PulseON®, which was more thermally stable. These comparisons of the digestion kinetics of raw and cooked starch are consistent with findings of previous digestibility studies (Roder et al., 2009; Slaughter et al., 2001). However, under the limited moisture conditions of the biscuit dough, which is subsequently baked, complete starch gelatinisation was not achieved. Thus, starch digestibility profiles of biscuits made from the composite flours of wheat and PulseON® were lower than biscuits produced from the individual ingredients (i.e., hydrothermally treated 100% wheat flour or PulseON®). When the wheat biscuit samples were baked from recipes containing increasing amounts of chickpea ingredient (i.e., composite flours with 25, 50, 75% PulseON®), their starch digestibility values were significantly lower than the corresponding biscuit made with 100% wheat flour, probably because in addition to its inherent low digestibility, the PulseON® component hinders wheat starch swelling and gelatinisation by restricting water availability. Thus, the susceptibility of wheat starch to amylolysis, and its contribution to overall biscuit digestibility in our experiments were shown to depend on the dose of chickpea ingredient present, but not in a manner that is easy to predict. Moreover, the overall starch digestibility of the biscuit is the sum of two types of starch digested from wheat flour and the chickpea ingredients, although the relative contributions of these starches to amylolysis cannot be delineated. These complex interactions explain why starch digestibility does not decrease proportionally when the dose of PulseON® in the biscuit dough is increased.

Differences in starch digestibility are of known importance to the glycaemic response in vivo, and the low glycaemic impact of slowly digested starches is likely to be of benefit in the dietary prevention and management of type 2 diabetes (Augustin et al., 2015; Sievenpiper et al., 2009). Starch that resists digestion in the upper gastrointestinal tract has been reported to also increase satiety and reduce food intake (Wanders et al., 2011), promote the growth of beneficial gut microbiota and support colonic health (Asp et al., 1996; Nugent, 2005). However, much of the starch consumed in typical Western diets is often highly digestible and glycaemic, and strongly linked to increased risks of cardiometabolic diseases (Augustin et al., 2015). The PulseON® ingredient used in the present study provides an opportunity to improve intakes of Type 1 RS, which can be found in minimally-processed starchy foods containing intact plant tissues (wholegrains) and/or intact cells (e.g., boiled pulses), but less common in many proprietary food products (Asp et al., 1996; Nugent, 2005). For instance, displacing 50% of the wheat flour with PulseON® left 50% of starch undigested at the endpoint (C∞) of amylolysis, leaving an estimated 4.3 g of potentially resistant starch undigested per 18 g biscuit. The amount of starch that resists amylolysis in vitro is likely of relevance to the colonic delivery of starch in vivo, although the agreement between in vitro analytical estimates and the extent of upper-gastrointestinal starch digestion under physiological conditions still remains unclear.

Although the specific effects of RS1 on health are not fully understood, diets rich in pulses containing RS1 have been associated with positive metabolic outcomes (Sievenpiper et al., 2009). Biscuits have potential for delivering nutraceuticals on a regular basis and in a...
palatable form. For instance, wheat biscuits containing guar galactomannan (‘soluble fibre’) have previously been shown to achieve a significant plasma insulin-sparing effect in healthy human subjects, without adverse effects on palatability (Ellis, Kamanathan, Dawoud, Strange, & Coultate, 1988).

Despite the fact that many studies report good agreement between starch digestibility data observed in vitro, and the in vivo glycaemic responses (Edwards, Cochotel, et al., 2019; Gopi et al., 1997; Jenkins et al., 1982), it should be noted that in vitro digestion methods only suggest likely differences in Glycaemic Index. Further in vivo human studies are therefore needed to establish the postprandial metabolic effects. Such trials should be conducted using biscuits prepared on a commercial pilot scale, to obtain a more representative sample than the biscuits produced under controlled conditions in this mechanistic study. To be commercially attractive to customers, sensory analysis is required to ensure that texture and flavour expectations are met. Future studies will assess how this ingredient performs in other product categories, particularly products with a higher Glycaemic Index.

5. Conclusions

The use of PulseON® – a RS1-enriched chickpea powder, as a wheat flour substitute was shown to effectively decrease starch digestibility of biscuits in vitro, which can be attributed to the intrinsically higher proportions of encapsulated starch in the chickpea cells. In addition, the PulseON® appears to hinder water uptake by wheat starch granules during the biscuit-making process, thus reducing wheat starch gelatinisation and subsequent digestion. New food products enriched in PulseON® could provide consumers with multiple health benefits related to lower starch digestibility and lower Glycaemic Index. Such food products could help in the dietary management and/or prevention of cardiometabolic diseases including type 2 diabetes.
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