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Abstract. Recent techniques for inferring business relationships between ASs \cite{1, 2} have yielded maps that have extremely few invalid BGP paths in the terminology of Gao \cite{3}. However, some relationships inferred by these newer algorithms are incorrect, leading to the deduction of unrealistic AS hierarchies. We investigate this problem and discover what causes it. Having obtained such insight, we generalize the problem of AS relationship inference as a multiobjective optimization problem with node-degree-based corrections to the original objective function of minimizing the number of invalid paths. We solve the generalized version of the problem using the semidefinite programming relaxation of the MAX2SAT problem. Keeping the number of invalid paths small, we obtain a more veracious solution than that yielded by recent heuristics.

1 Introduction

As packets flow in the Internet, money also flows, not necessarily in the same direction. Business relationships between ASs reflect both flows, indicating a direction of money transfer as well as a set of constraints to the flow of traffic. Knowing AS business relationships is therefore of critical importance to providers, vendors, researchers, and policy makers, since such knowledge sheds more light on the relative “importance” of ASs.

The problem is also of multidimensional interest to the research community. Indeed, the Internet AS-level topology and its evolutionary dynamics result from business decisions among Internet players. Knowledge of AS relationships in the Internet provides a valuable validation framework for economy-based Internet topology evolution modeling, which in turn promotes deeper understanding of the fundamental laws driving the evolution of the Internet topology and its hierarchy.

Unfortunately, the work on inferring AS relationships from BGP data has recently encountered difficulties. We briefly describe this situation in its historical context.
Gao introduces the AS relationship inference problem in her pioneering paper [3]. This work approximates reality by assuming that any AS-link is of one of the following three types: customer-provider, peering, or sibling. If all ASs strictly adhere to import and export policies described in [3], then every BGP path must comply with the following hierarchical pattern: an uphill segment of zero or more customer-to-provider or sibling-to-sibling links, followed by zero or one peer-to-peer links, followed by a downhill segment of zero or more provider-to-customer or sibling-to-sibling links. Paths with the described hierarchical structure are deemed valid. After introducing insight about valid paths, Gao proposes an inference heuristic that identifies top providers and peering links based on AS degrees and valid paths.

In [4], Subramanian et al. (SARK) slightly relax the problem by not inferring sibling links, and introduce a more consistent and elegant mathematical formulation. The authors render the problem into a combinatorial optimization problem: given an undirected graph $G$ derived from a set of BGP paths $P$, assign the edge type (customer-provider or peering) to every edge in $G$ such that the total number of valid paths in $P$ is maximized. The authors call the problem the type-of-relationship (ToR) problem, conjecture that it is NP-complete, and provide a simple heuristic approximation.

Di Battista et al. (DPP) in [1] and independently Erlebach et al. (EHS) in [2] prove that the ToR problem is indeed NP-complete. EHS prove also that it is even harder, specifically APX-complete. More importantly for practical purposes, both DPP and EHS make the straightforward observation that peering edges cannot be inferred in the ToR problem formulation. Indeed, as the validation data presented by Xia et al. in [5] indicates, only 24.63% of the validated SARK peering links are correct.

Even more problematic is the following dilemma. DPP (and EHS) come up with heuristics that outperform the SARK algorithm in terms of producing smaller numbers of invalid paths [1, 2]. Although these results seem to be a positive sign, closer examination of the AS relationships produced by the DPP algorithm [6] reveals that the DPP inferences are further from reality than the SARK inferences. In the next section we show that improved solutions to the ToR problem do not yield practically correct answers and contain obviously misidentified edges, e.g. well-known global providers appear as customers of small ASs. As a consequence, we claim that improved solutions to the unmodified ToR problem do not produce realistic results.

An alternative approach to AS relationship inference is to disregard BGP paths and switch attention to other data sources (e.g. WHOIS) [7, 8], but nothing suggests that we have exhausted all possibilities of extracting relevant information from BGP data. Indeed, in this study we seek to answer the following question: can we adjust the original (ToR) problem formulation, so that an algorithm approximating an APX-complete problem above a certain inapproximability limit (ratio) dependent on the particular problem.

---

3 There exists no polynomial-time algorithm approximating an APX-complete problem above a certain inapproximability limit (ratio) dependent on the particular problem.
rithmic solution to the modified problem would yield a better answer from the practical perspective?

The main contribution of this paper is that we positively answer this question. We describe our approach and preliminary results in the subsequent two sections, and conclude by describing future directions of this work.

2 Methodology

2.1 Inspiration behind our approach

The main idea behind our approach is to formalize our knowledge regarding why improved solutions to the ToR problem fail to yield practically right answers. To this end we reformulate the ToR problem as a multiobjective optimization problem introducing certain corrections to the original objective function. We seek a modification of the original objective function, such that the minimum of the new objective function reflects an AS relationship mapping that is closer to reality.

2.2 Mapping to 2SAT

To achieve this purpose, we start with the DPP and EHS results [1, 2] that deliver the fewest invalid paths. Suppose we have a set of BGP paths \( P \) from which we can extract the undirected AS-level graph \( G(V, E) \). We introduce direction to every edge in \( E \) from the customer AS to the provider AS. Directing edges in \( E \) induces direction of edges in \( P \). A path in \( P \) is valid if it does not contain the following invalid pattern: a provider-to-customer edge followed by a customer-to-provider edge. The ToR problem is to assign direction to edges in \( E \) minimizing the number of paths in \( P \) containing the invalid pattern.

The problem of identifying the directions of all edges in \( E \) making all paths in \( P \) valid—assuming such edge orientation exists—can be reduced to the 2SAT problem.\(^4\) Initially, we arbitrarily direct all edges in \( E \) and introduce a boolean variable \( x_i \) for every edge \( i, i = 1 \ldots |E| \). If the algorithms described below assign the value \( \text{true} \) to \( x_i \), then edge \( i \) keeps its original direction, while assignment of \( \text{false} \) to \( x_i \) reverses the direction of \( i \). We then split each path in \( P \) into pairs of adjacent edges involving triplets of ASs (all 1-link paths are always valid) and perform mapping between the obtained pairs and 2-variable clauses as shown in Table 1. The mapping is such that only clauses corresponding to the invalid path pattern yield the \( \text{false} \) value when both variables are \( \text{true} \). If there exists an assignment of values to all the variables such that all clauses are satisfied, then this assignment makes all paths valid.

\(^4\) 2SAT is a variation of the satisfiability problem: given a set of clauses with two boolean variables per clause \( l_i \lor l_j \), find an assignment of values to variables satisfying all the clauses. MAX2SAT is a related problem: find the assignment maximizing the number of simultaneously satisfied clauses.
Table 1. Mapping between pairs of adjacent edges in $P$, 2SAT clauses, and edges in $G_{2SAT}$. The invalid path pattern is in the last row.

| Edges in $P$ | 2SAT clause | Edges in $G_{2SAT}$ |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------|
| $i \rightarrow j$ | $x_i \lor x_j$ | $x_i \rightarrow x_j$, $\bar{x}_j \rightarrow \bar{x}_i$ |
| $i \rightarrow j$ | $x_i \lor \bar{x}_j$ | $x_i \rightarrow \bar{x}_j$, $\bar{x}_j \rightarrow x_i$ |
| $i \rightarrow j$ | $\bar{x}_i \lor x_j$ | $\bar{x}_i \rightarrow x_j$, $x_j \rightarrow \bar{x}_i$ |
| $i \rightarrow j$ | $\bar{x}_i \lor \bar{x}_j$ | $\bar{x}_i \rightarrow \bar{x}_j$, $\bar{x}_j \rightarrow \bar{x}_i$ |

To solve the 2SAT problem, we construct a dual graph, the 2SAT graph $G_{2SAT}(V_{2SAT}, E_{2SAT})$, according to the rules shown in Table 1: every edge $i \in E$ in the original graph $G$ gives birth to two vertices $x_i$ and $\bar{x}_i$ in $V_{2SAT}$, and every pair of adjacent links $l_i \lor l_j$ in $P$, where literal $l_i$ ($l_j$) is either $x_i$ ($x_j$) or $\bar{x}_i$ ($\bar{x}_j$), gives birth to two directed edges in $E_{2SAT}$: from vertex $l_i$ to vertex $l_j$ and from vertex $\bar{l}_j$ to vertex $\bar{l}_i$. As shown in [9], there exists an assignment satisfying all the clauses if there is no edge $i$ such that both of its corresponding vertices in the 2SAT graph, $x_i, \bar{x}_i \in V_{2SAT}$, belong to the same strongly connected component (SCC) in $G_{2SAT}$.

If an assignment satisfying all the clauses exists we can easily find it. We perform topological sorting on nodes in $V_{2SAT}$ and assign true or false to a variable $x_i$ depending on if $t(\bar{x}_i) < t(x_i)$ or $t(x_i) < t(\bar{x}_i)$ respectively. All operations described so far can be done in linear time.

2.3 MAX2SAT: DPP vs. EHS

As soon as a set of BGP paths $P$ is “rich enough,” there is no assignment satisfying all clauses and making all paths valid. Furthermore, the ToR problem of maximizing the number of valid paths can be reduced to the MAX2SAT [1, 2] problem of maximizing the number of satisfied clauses. Making this observation, DPP propose a heuristic to find the maximal subset of paths $P_S \subset P$ such that all paths in $P_S$ are valid.

EHS use a different approach. They first direct the edges $i \in E$ that can be directed without causing conflicts. Such edges correspond to vertices $x_i, \bar{x}_i \in V_{2SAT}$.

---

5 An SCC is a set of nodes in a directed graph s. t. there exists a directed path between every ordered pair of nodes.

6 Given a directed graph $G(V, E)$, function $t : V \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is topological sorting if $t(i) \leq t(j)$ for every ordered pair of nodes $i, j \in V$ s. t. there exists a directed path from $i$ to $j$. 
that have indegree or outdegree zero. Then EHS iteratively remove edges directed as described above and strip $P$, $G$, and $G_{2SAT}$ accordingly. This procedure significantly shortens the average path length in $P$, which improves the approximation of ToR by MAX2SAT. Finally, they approximate MAX2SAT to find a solution to the ToR problem.

2.4 Solving MAX2SAT with SDP

The MAX2SAT problem is NP- and APX-complete [10], but Goemans and Williamson (GW) [11] construct a famous approximation algorithm that uses semidefinite programming (SDP) and delivers an approximation ratio of 0.878. The best approximation ratio currently known is 0.940, due to improvements to GW by Lewin, Livnat, and Zwick (LLZ) in [12]. Note that this approximation ratio is pretty close to the MAX2SAT inapproximability limit of $\frac{22}{21} \approx 0.954$ [13].

To cast a MAX2SAT problem with $m_2$ clauses involving $m_1$ literals (variables $x_i$ and their negations $\bar{x}_i$, $i = 1 \ldots m_1$) to a semidefinite program, we first get rid of negated variables by introducing $m_1$ variables $x_{m_1+i} = \bar{x}_i$. Then we establish mapping between boolean variables $x_k$, $k = 1 \ldots 2m_1$, and $2m_1 + 1$ auxiliary variables $y_0, y_k \in \{-1, 1\}$, $y_{m_1+i} = -y_i$, using formula $x_k = (1 + y_0 y_k)/2$. This mapping guarantees that $x_k = \text{true} \iff y_k = y_0$ and $x_k = \text{false} \iff y_k = -y_0$.

Given the described construction, we call $y_0$ the truth variable. After trivial algebra, the MAX2SAT problem becomes the maximization problem for the sum $1/4 \sum_{k,l=1}^{2m_1} w_{kl}(3 + y_0 y_k + y_0 y_l - y_k y_l)$, where weights $w_{kl}$ are either 1 if clause $x_k \lor x_l$ is present in the original MAX2SAT instance or 0 otherwise. Hereafter we fix the notations for indices $i, j = 1 \ldots m_1$ and $k, l = 1 \ldots 2m_1$.

The final transformation to make the problem solvable by SDP is relaxation. Relaxation involves mapping variables $y_0, y_k$ to $2m_1+1$ unit vectors $v_0, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1+1}$ fixed at the same origin—all vector ends lie on the unit sphere $S_{m_1}$. The problem is to maximize the sum composed of vector scalar products:

$$\max \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k,l=1}^{2m_1} w_{kl}(3 + v_0 \cdot v_k + v_0 \cdot v_l - v_k \cdot v_l) \tag{1}$$

subject to $v_0 \cdot v_0 = v_k \cdot v_k = 1$, $v_i \cdot v_{m_1+i} = -1$, $k = 1 \ldots 2m_1$, $i = 1 \ldots m_1$.

Interestingly, this problem, solvable by SDP, is equivalent to the following minimum energy problem in physics. Vectors $v_0, v_k$ point to the locations of particles $p_0, p_k$ freely moving on the sphere $S_{m_1}$ except that particles $p_i$ and $p_{m_1+i}$ are constrained to lie opposite on the sphere. For every MAX2SAT clause $x_k \lor x_l$, we introduce three constant forces of equal strength (see Fig. 1): one repulsive force between particles $p_k$ and $p_l$, and two attractive forces: between $p_k$ and $p_0$, and between $p_l$ and $p_0$—the truth particle $p_0$ attracts all other particles $p_k$ with the forces proportional to the number of clauses containing $x_k$. The goal is to find the location of particles on the sphere minimizing the potential energy of the system. If we built such a mission-specific computer in the lab, it would solve this problem in constant time. SDP solves it in polynomial time.
To extract the solution for the MAX2SAT problem from the solution obtained by SDP for the relaxed problem, we perform rounding. Rounding involves cutting the sphere by a randomly oriented hyperplane containing the sphere center. We assign value true (false) to variables \(x_k\) corresponding to vectors \(v_k\) lying on the same (opposite) side of the hyperplane as the truth vector \(v_0\). GW prove that the solution to the MAX2SAT problem obtained this way delivers the approximation ratio of 0.878 [11]. We can also rotate the vector output obtained by SDP before rounding and skew the distribution of the hyperplane orientation to slightly prefer the orientation perpendicular to \(v_0\). These two techniques explored to their greatest depths by LLZ improve the approximation ratio up to 0.9401 [12].

### 2.5 Analysis of the unperturbed solution

We now have the solution to the original ToR problem and are ready to analyze it. While the number of invalid paths is small [2], the solution is not perfect—some inferred AS relationships are not in fact accurate. What causes these misclassifications?

First, some edges may be directed either way resulting in exactly the same number of invalid paths—such edges are directed randomly. To exemplify, consider path \(p \in P, p = \{ i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{|p|-1} j \}, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{|p|-1}, j \in E,\) and suppose that the last edge \(j\) appears only in one path (that is, \(p\)) and that it is from some large provider (like UUNET) to a small customer. Suppose that other edges \(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{|p|-1}\) appear in several other paths and that they are correctly inferred as customer-to-provider. In this scenario both orientations of edge \(j\) (i.e. correct and incorrect: provider-to-customer and customer-to-provider) render path \(p\) valid. Thus, edge \(j\) is directed randomly, increasing the likelihood of an incorrect inference. We can find many incorrect inferences of this type in our experiments in the next section and in [6], e.g. well-known large providers like UUNET, AT&T, Sprintlink, Level3, are inferred as customers of smaller ASs like AS1 (AS degree 67), AS2685 (2), AS8043 (1), AS13649 (7), respectively.
Second, not all edges are customer-to-provider or provider-to-customer. In particular, trying to direct sibling edges leads to proliferation of error. Indeed, when the only objective is to maximize the number of valid paths, directing a sibling edge brings the risk of misdirecting the dependent edges sharing a clause with the sibling edge. To clarify, consider path $p \in P$, $p = \{ij\}$, $i, j \in E$, and suppose that in reality $i$ is a sibling edge that appears in multiple paths and that $j$ is a customer-to-provider edge that appears only in one path $p$. The algorithm can classify edge $i$ either as customer-to-provider or provider-to-customer depending on the structure of the paths in which it appears. If this structure results in directing $i$ as provider-to-customer, then the algorithm erroneously directs edge $j$ also as provider-to-customer to make path $p$ valid. In other words, the outcome is that we maximize the number of valid paths at the cost of inferring edge $j$ incorrectly.

We can conclude that the maximum number of valid paths does not correspond to a correct answer because, as illustrated in the above two examples, it can result in miss-inferred links. Specifically, in the presence of multiple solutions there is nothing in the objective function to require the algorithm to prefer the proper orientation for edge $j$. Our next key question is: Can we adjust the objective function to infer the edge direction correctly?

### 2.6 Our new generalized objective function

A rigorous way to pursue the above question is to add to the objective function some small modifier selecting the correct edge direction for links unresolved by the unperturbed objective function. Ideally this modifier should be a function of “AS importance,” such as the relative size of the customer tree of an AS. Unfortunately, defined this way the modifier is a function of the end result, edge orientation, which makes the problem intractable (i.e. we cannot solve it until we solve it).

The simplest correcting function that does not depend on the edge direction and is still related to perceived “AS importance,” is the AS degree “gradient” in the original undirected graph $G$—the difference between node degrees of adjacent ASs. In the examples from the previous subsection, the algorithm that is trying not only to minimize the number of invalid paths but also to direct edges from adjacent nodes of lower degrees to nodes of higher degrees will effectively have an incentive to correctly infer the last edge $j \in p$.

More formally, we modify the objective function as follows. In the original problem formulation, weights $w_{kl}$ for 2-link clauses $x_k \lor x_l$ (pairs of adjacent links in $P$) are either 0 or 1. We first alter them to be either 0, if pair $\{kl\} \notin P$, or $w_{kl}(\alpha) = c_2 \alpha$ otherwise. The normalization coefficient $c_2$ is determined from the condition $\sum_{k \neq l} w_{kl}(\alpha) = \alpha \Rightarrow c_2 = 1/m_2$ (recall that $m_2$ is the number of 2-link clauses), and $\alpha$ is an external parameter, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, whose meaning we explain below.

In addition, for every edge $i \in E$, we introduce a 1-link clause weighted by a function of the node degree gradient. More specifically, we initially orient every edge $i \in E$ along the node degree gradient: if $d^-_i$ and $d^+_i$, $d^-_i \leq d^+_i$, are degrees of
nodes adjacent to edge \(i\), we direct \(i\) from the \(d_i^-\)-degree node to the \(d_i^+\)-degree node, for use as input to our algorithm.\(^7\)

Then, we add 1-link clauses \(x_i \lor x_i, \forall i \in E\), to our MAX2SAT instance, and we weight them by \(w_{ii}(\alpha) = c_1(1 - \alpha)f(d_i^-, d_i^+)\). The normalization coefficient \(c_1\) is determined from the condition \(\sum_i w_{ii}(\alpha) = 1 - \alpha\), and the function \(f\) should satisfy the following two conditions: 1) it should “roughly depend” on the relative node degree gradient \((d_i^+ - d_i^-)/d_i^+\); and 2) it should provide higher values for node pairs with the same relative degree gradient but higher absolute degree values. The first condition is transparent: we expect that an AS with node degree 5, for example, is more likely a customer of an AS with node degree 10 than a 995-degree AS is a customer of a 1000-degree AS. The second condition is due to the fact that we do not know the true AS degrees: we approximate them by degrees of nodes in our BGP-derived graph \(G\). The graphs derived from BGP data have a tendency to underestimate the node degree of small ASs, while they yield more accurate degrees for larger ASs \([14]\). Because of the larger error associated with small ASs, an AS with node degree 5, for example, is less likely a customer of an AS with node degree 10 than a 500-degree AS is a customer of a 1000-degree AS.

We select the following function satisfying the two criteria described above:

\[
f(d_i^-, d_i^+) = \frac{d_i^+ - d_i^-}{d_i^+ + d_i^-} \log(d_i^+ + d_i^-). \tag{2}\]

In summary, our new objective function looks exactly as the one in (1), but with different weights on clauses:

\[
w_{kl}(\alpha) =  \begin{cases} 
    c_2 \alpha & \text{if } \{kl\} \in P, \\
    c_1(1 - \alpha)f(d_k^-, d_k^+) & \text{if } k = l \leq m_1, \\
    0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \tag{3}\]

Now we can explain the role of the parameter \(\alpha\). Since \(\sum_{k \neq l} w_{kl}(\alpha) = \alpha\) and \(\sum_{k=1} w_{kl}(\alpha) = 1 - \alpha\), parameter \(\alpha\) measures the relative importance of sums of all 2- and 1-link clauses. If \(\alpha = 1\), then the problem is equivalent to the original unperturbed ToR problem—only the number of invalid paths matters. If \(\alpha = 0\), then, similar to Gao, only node degrees matter. Note that in the terminology of multiobjective optimization, we consider the simplest scalar method of weighted sums.

In our analogy with physics in Fig. 1, we have weakened the repulsive forces among particles other than the truth particle \(p_0\), and we have strengthened the forces between \(p_0\) and other particles. When \(\alpha = 0\), there are no repulsive forces, the truth particle \(p_0\) attracts all other particles to itself, and all the vectors become collinear with \(v_0\). Cut by any hyperplane, they all lie on the same side as \(v_0\), which means that all variables \(x_i\) are assigned value true and all links \(i\) remain directed along the node degree gradient in the output of our algorithm.

\(^7\)An initial direction along the node degree gradient does not affect the solution since any initial direction is possible. We select the node degree gradient direction to simplify stripping of non-conflict edges in the next section.
3 Results

In our experiments, the BGP path set $P$ is a union of BGP tables from RouteViews [15] and 18 BGP route servers from [16] collected on May 13, 2004. Paths of length 1 are removed since they are always valid. The total number of paths is 1,025,775 containing 17,557 ASs, 37,021 links, and 382,917 unique pairs of adjacent links.

We first pre-process the data by discovering sibling links. For this purpose, we use a union of WHOIS databases from ARIN, RIPE, APNIC, and LACNIC collected on June 10, 2004. We say that two ASs belong to the same organization if, in the WHOIS database, they have exactly the same organization names, or names different only in the last digits, e.g. “ATT-37” and “ATT-38,” or very similar names, e.g. “UUNET South Africa” and “UUNET Germany.” We infer links in $P$ between adjacent ASs belonging to the same organization as sibling. We find 211 sibling links in our dataset, which we ignore in subsequent steps. More precisely, we do not assign boolean variables to them.

We then direct the remaining links in the original graph $G$ along the node degree gradient, assign boolean variables to them, and construct the dual $G_{2SAT}$ graph. After directing edge $i$ along the node degree gradient, we check whether this direction satisfies all clauses containing $l_i$ ($x_i$ or $\bar{x}_i$). If so, we then remove the edge and strip $P$, $G$, and $G_{2SAT}$ accordingly. In this case we say that edge $i$ causes no conflicts because the value of the corresponding literal $l_i$ satisfies all the clauses in which $l_i$ appears, independent of the values of all other literals sharing the clauses with $l_i$. A non-conflict edge has two corresponding vertices in the $G_{2SAT}$ graph, $x_i$ and $\bar{x}_i$. It follows from the construction of the $G_{2SAT}$ graph that $x_i$ has an outdegree of zero and $\bar{x}_i$ has an indegree of zero. We repeat the described procedure until we cannot remove any more edges. The stripped graph $G$ has 1,590 vertices (9% of the original $|V|$) and 4,249 edges (11% of the original $|E|$). The stripped $G_{2SAT}$ graph has 8,498 vertices and 46,920 edges. In summary, we have 4,249 ($m_1$) 1-link clauses and 23,460 ($m_2$) 2-link clauses.

We feed this data into a publicly available SDP solver DSDP v4.7 [17], reusing parts of the code from [2] and utilizing the LEDA v4.5 software library [18].
Table 2. Hierarchical ranking of ASs. The position depth (the number of AS at the levels above) and width (the number of ASs at the same level) of the top five ASs in the $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ cases are shown for different values of $\alpha$. The customer leaf ASs are marked with asterisks.

| AS # | name            | degree | depth wid. | $\alpha = 0.0$ | depth wid. | $\alpha = 0.2$ | depth wid. | $\alpha = 0.5$ | depth wid. | $\alpha = 0.8$ | depth wid. | $\alpha = 1.0$ |
|------|----------------|--------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| 701  | UUNET          | 2373   | 0 1        | 0 173         | 1 232      | 1 252         | 17 476     |
| 1239 | Sprint         | 1787   | 1 1        | 0 173         | 1 232      | 1 252         | 17 476     |
| 7018 | AT&T           | 1723   | 2 1        | 0 173         | 1 232      | 1 252         | 17 476     |
| 3356 | Level 3        | 1085   | 3 1        | 0 173         | 1 232      | 1 252         | 17 476     |
| 209  | Qwest          | 1072   | 4 1        | 0 173         | 1 232      | 1 252         | 17 476     |
| 3643 | Sprint Austr.  | 17 194 | 1 222      | 1 250         | 1 268      | 1 0 4         |
| 6721 | Nextra Czech Net | 3 1742 | 941 833 88 | 868 90 884 89 | 0 4          |
| 11551| Pressroom Ser. | 2 1742 | 941 1419 398 | 1445 390 1457 386 | 0 4          |
| 1243 | Army Systems   | 2 2683 14725* | 2753 14655* | 1445 390 1457 386 | 0 4          |
| 6712 | France Transpac| 2 2683 14725* | 2753 14655* | 292 3 1 252 4 13 | |

Incorporate the pre-rounding rotation and skewed distribution of hyperplane orientation by LLZ [12].

Fig. 2 shows results of edge orientations we derive for different values of $\alpha$ in (3). Specifically, the figure shows the percentage of valid paths, edges directed as in the $\alpha = 0$ case, and edges directed as in the $\alpha = 1$ case. In the particular extreme case of $\alpha = 1$, the problem reduces to the original ToR problem considered by DPP and EHS, and its solution yields the highest percentage of valid paths, 99.67%. By decreasing $\alpha$, we increase preference to directing edges along the node degree gradient, and at the other extreme of $\alpha = 0$, all edges become directed along the node gradient, but the number of valid paths is 92.95%.

Note that changing $\alpha$ from 0 to 0.1 redirects 1.64% of edges, which leads to a significant 6.53% increase in the number of valid paths. We also observe that the tweak of $\alpha$ from 1 to 0.9 redirects 2.56% of edges without causing any significant decrease (only 0.008%) in the number of valid paths. We find that most of these edges are directed randomly in the $\alpha = 1$ case because oriented either way they yield the same number of valid paths. In other words, the AS relationships represented by these edges cannot be inferred by minimizing the number of invalid paths.

We also rank ASs by means of our inference results with different $\alpha$ values. To this end we split all ASs into hierarchical levels as follows. We first order all ASs by their reachability—that is, the number of ASs that a given AS can reach “for free” traversing only provider-to-customer edges. We then group ASs with the same reachability into levels. ASs at the highest level can reach all other ASs “for free.” ASs at the lowest level have the smallest reachability (fewest “free” destinations). Then we define the position depth of AS X as the number of ASs at the levels above the level of AS X. The position width of AS X is the number of ASs at the same level as AS X.

Table 2 shows the results of our AS ranking. For different values of $\alpha$, we track the positions of the top five ASs in the $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ cases. In the former case, well-known large ISPs are at the top, but the number of invalid paths is relatively large, cf. Fig. 2. In the latter case delivering the solution to the unperturbed
ToR problem, ASs with small degrees occupy the top positions in the hierarchy. These ASs appear in much lower positions when $\alpha \neq 1$. Counter to reality, the large ISPs are not even near the top of the hierarchy. We observe that the depth\(^8\) of these large ASs increases as $\alpha$ approaches 1, indicating an increasingly stronger deviation from reality. The deviation is maximized when $\alpha = 1$. This observation pronounces the limitation of the ToR problem formulation based solely on maximization of the number of valid paths.

4 Conclusion and future work

Using a standard multiobjective optimization method, we have constructed a natural generalization of the known AS relationship inference heuristics. We have extended the combinatorial optimization approach based on minimization of invalid paths, by incorporating AS-degree-based information into the problem formulation. Utilizing this technique, we have obtained first results that are more realistic than the inferences produced by the recent state-of-the-art heuristics [1, 2]. We conclude that our approach opens a promising path toward increasingly veracious inferences of business relationships between ASs.

The list of open issues that we plan to address in our future work includes: 1) modifications to the algorithm to infer peering; 2) careful analysis of the trade-off surface [19] of the problem, required for selecting the value of the external parameters (e.g. $\alpha$) corresponding to the right answer; 3) detailed examination of the structure of the AS graph directed by inferred AS relationships; 4) validation considered as a set of constraints narrowing the range of feasible values of external parameters; and 5) investigation of other AS-ranking mechanisms responsible for the structure of the inferred AS hierarchy.
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