Pushing the detection limit of Magnetic Circular Dichroism to 2 nm
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Abstract

Magnetic Circular Dichroism (MCD) is a standard technique for the study of magnetic properties of materials in synchrotron beamlines. We present here a new scattering geometry in the Transmission Electron Microscope through which MCD can be observed with unprecedented spatial resolution. A convergent electron beam is used to scan a multilayer Fe/Au sample and record energy loss spectra. Differences in the spectra induced by the magnetic moments of the Fe atoms can be resolved with a resolution of 2 nm. This is a breakthrough achievement when compared both to the previous EMCD resolution (200 nm) or the best XMCD experiments (approx. 20 nm), with an improvement of two and one order of magnitude, respectively.
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Detection of Magnetic Circular Dichroism (MCD) in the electron microscope was first reported in 2006 [1], providing an alternative to X-ray MCD (XMCD), the standard technique for investigation of spin and orbital magnetic moments in the synchrotron. The fact that spin-related properties can now be studied with a commercial transmission electron microscope equipped with an energy spectrometer or an energy filter explains the attraction of this novel technique, named Energy-loss Magnetic Chiral Dichroism (EMCD).

Contrary to XMCD that measures polarization dependent X-ray absorption cross sections [2, 3, 4, 5], EMCD exploits faint differences in the double differential scattering cross section (DDSCS) of fast electrons in the diffraction plane of an electron microscope.

The main difficulty in EMCD is the low count rate which has limited the spatial resolution to 200 nm when the method was introduced a year ago [1]. In the meantime, several labs have adopted EMCD [6, 7, 8], and it has become clear that at least two more scattering geometries can be used. The spatial resolution was improved to 30 nm with the LACDIF method [9]; here we report a substantial improvement that allows a spatial resolution of 2 nm to be attained by using convergent electron beam diffraction in the scanning mode of the TEM.

The standard technique for the study of magnetic dichroism on a sub-micrometer scale is XMCD microscopy (typically with resolutions of about 25 to 50 nm), based on obtaining a circular dichroic signal in combination with imaging optics in a synchrotron. This can be achieved either with electron-optical lenses to form images with photoemitted electrons (XMCD-PEEM) or with diffractive X-ray optics [4, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] where a resolution of 15 nm has been reported [15]. Also lensless imaging techniques appear to be very promising, in particular for time-resolved experiments [16].

These techniques have led to considerable progress in the understanding of magnetism in the solid state, and they become increasingly important for the rapidly expanding field of spintronics. The demand for extremely high spatial resolution that arises in this context is met by the intrinsic sub-nm resolution of the TEM.

Here we present a new geometry that allows the detection of EMCD in the TEM on the nanometer scale. The method is applied to an epitaxial multilayer of Fe/Au, demonstrating a spatially resolved MCD signal on a 3 nm wide Fe layer. An analysis of the signal-to-noise Ratio (SNR) shows that the spatial resolution for the detection of MCD is 2 nm with the chosen set-up.
FIG. 1: Principle of EMCD. A: Two coherent incident plane waves, dephased by $\pi/2$, produce a rotating electric perturbation $\vec{F}$ during the atomic excitation. B: When crystal diffraction is used, the detector position with respect to the 0 and g beam determines the final scattering direction $\vec{k}_f$ and thus $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{q}'$. C: A convergent electron beam is scanned across the Au/Fe multilayer sample. The detector is alternatively placed at positions "+" and "-" in the diffraction plane selecting two scattering vectors $\vec{q} \perp \vec{q}'$. The specimen image is a high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) map of the multilayer taken with the 1.7 nm electron probe.

The DDSCS in the dipole approximation for a geometry with two coherent incident plane waves $\vec{k}_0$, $\vec{k}_0'$ phase shifted by $\pm \pi/2$ (Fig. 1A) is [17, 18]

$$\frac{\partial^2 \sigma}{\partial E \partial \Omega} = \frac{4 \gamma^2 k_f}{a_0^2 k_0} \left( \frac{S(\vec{q}, \vec{q}', E)}{q^4} + \frac{S(\vec{q}', \vec{q}, E)}{q'^4} \right) + 2 \Im \left[ \frac{S(\vec{q}, \vec{q}', E)}{q^2 q'^2} \right]$$

(1)

where

$$S(\vec{q}, \vec{q}', E) := \sum_{i, f} \langle f | \vec{R} | i \rangle \langle i | \vec{q}' \cdot \vec{R} | f \rangle \delta(E_i - E_f + E)$$

(2)
is the mixed dynamic form factor \[19, 20\], \( \vec{k}_f \) is the final scattering wave vector (defined by the detector position), \( \vec{q} = \vec{k}_f - \vec{k}_0 \) and \( \vec{q}' = \vec{k}_f - \vec{k}'_0 \) are the wave vector transfers, \( \gamma \) is the relativistic factor, \( a_0 \) the Bohr radius and \( E \) is the energy lost by the fast electron. Using the crystal lattice as a beam splitter the coherent incident waves \( \vec{k}_0, \vec{k}'_0 \) are replaced by \( \vec{k}_0, \vec{k}_g = \vec{k}_0 + \vec{g} \) with a reciprocal lattice vector \( \vec{g} \), as drawn in Fig. 1B.

For an atom at the origin the quantity \( S \) possesses an imaginary part if the atom has a net magnetic moment \( \vec{M} \), which in the present case is forced to be parallel to the optical axis of the TEM by the strong magnetic field (\( \approx 2T \)) of the objective lens \[21\]:

\[
\Im[S(\vec{q}, \vec{q}', E)] \propto (\vec{q} \times \vec{q}') \cdot \vec{M}.
\]

The dichroic signal is the difference of two spectra - Eq.1 obtained by reversing the sign of the third (interference) term. In the difference spectrum the first two terms cancel; only the third one remains.

The equivalence with XMCD can be understood when considering that the inelastic interaction with a given target atom is Coulombic. The perturbation, leading to an electronic transition for an atom at the origin, is an electric field \( \vec{F} \propto \vec{q} e^{i\omega t + \phi} \) (and similarly for \( \vec{q}' \)), with \( \hbar \omega = E \), the energy lost by the probe electron in the transition. By forcing the two coherent plane waves (0 and g in Fig. 1) to exhibit a phase difference \( \delta \phi = \phi - \phi' = \pi/2 \), the electric perturbation vector \( \vec{F} + \vec{F}' \) at the atomic site rotates clockwise in a plane with surface normal \( \vec{q} \times \vec{q}' \), thereby forcing a chiral transition obeying the selection rule \( \Delta m = +1 \) (equivalent to the absorption of a photon with positive helicity). When shifting the detector from position ”+” to ”-” in Fig. 1C, the vector \( \vec{q} \times \vec{q}' \) changes sign, the perturbation field rotates counterclockwise, and the chirality of the transition is reversed.

This ideal situation is never met in practice because it assumes a point-like detector in the diffraction plane measuring a signal from two monochromatic plane waves ionizing an atom at the origin, without any other interaction. However dynamical electron diffraction from the crystal lattice causes a variation of the phase difference \( \delta \phi \) along the electron trajectory with a periodicity given by the extinction distance \[21\] (which appears as a beating effect in the intensity known as \textit{Pendellösung} in electron microscopy). Therefore, even using two nearly monochromatic plane waves, the EMCD signal would always be reduced with respect to XMCD, and could even disappear for particular values of the sample thickness. Integration over convergence and collection angles in the microscope/detector system induces
additional variations in the phase shift between the coherent partial waves; and the presence of secondary Bragg spots other than 0 and g, contributes to a further reduction of the dichroic signal.

Diffraction on the crystal lattice, at first view detrimental to the dichroic signal, can be turned to advantage when one realizes that the phase shift between the 0 and the g wave can be tuned by varying the excitation error. Moreover, the lattice periodicity automatically serves as a phase-lock amplifier, creating equal phase shifts in each elementary cell.

When we extend this formalism to the realistic case of many Bragg scattered waves, Eq. 1 is replaced by

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \sigma}{\partial E \partial \Omega} = \frac{4 k_f}{k_0} \sum_{i \leq j} 2 \Re[A_{ij} S(q_i, q_j, E)] q_i^2 q_j^2.
\]

(4)

where the scattering vectors are enumerated according to the Bragg scattered plane waves in the elastic diffraction pattern. The coefficients \(A_{ij}\) are calculated in the framework of dynamical electron diffraction theory [22].

It was found that the dichroic signal is rather robust with respect to variations in incident and detection angle, with only the prefactor varying in magnitude [9, 21]. It was therefore tempting to replace the LACDIF [23] by a convergent beam diffraction geometry (Fig.1C). Differently from previously reported geometries [1, 9], the crystal is tilted to a three-beam case (i.e. exciting equally the +g and -g beams). The diffraction pattern, consisting now of broad Bragg disks instead of sharp point-like spots, is then electronically shifted such that the detector is placed at symmetric positions, labeled \(-\) and \(+\) relative to a line perpendicular to the g vector and passing through (000). As opposed to the two-beam case this geometry has the advantage that one avoids any spectral difference not related to dichroism. The EMCD signal has a spatial resolution given by the beam diameter which cannot be reduced below a certain limit because spectra obtained with smaller electron probes have a lower spectral intensity and low SNR. Experimentally it was found that a nominal spot size of 1.7 nm yielded a signal strong enough for detection of EMCD in Fe.

In order to reliably determine the spatial resolution, a test specimen was produced by means of molecular beam epitaxy. First a 0.8 nm Fe thin film was grown on a (001)-GaAs substrate followed by 25 nm of Au. Then successive Fe and Au layers were stacked as following: 3 nm Fe, 5 nm Au, 6 nm Fe, 10 nm Au, 10 nm Fe, 21 nm Au, 31 nm Fe covered with a 25 nm Au protection layer.
FIG. 2: A: High-resolution TEM image of the investigated area; the shape and position of the beam during the scan are indicated by the superimposed circles. B: Spectra from the middle of the 3 nm Fe layer, taken at the position marked with a cross. The difference is the dichroic signal.

The sample was then prepared in cross section by mechanical grinding and ion-polishing. In order to avoid contamination of the sample in the 200 keV electron beam, the sample was plasma cleaned in 5N Ar atmosphere directly before inserting it into the microscope. No oxygen was detected during the EELS characterization.

The specimen was first oriented in zone axis conditions, with the Au/Fe interfaces projecting in the TEM image. Then a symmetric 3-beam case was set up, tilting the specimen by roughly 5 degrees off the zone axis such that the interfaces were still projecting, resulting in the excitation of the $(\pm 200)$ spots. The specimen was characterized with Z-contrast imaging and high-resolution TEM shown in Fig. 1C and Fig. 2A.

The EMCD measurements were performed in the scanning mode of the TEM using the focused probe for two subsequent line scans of the same region, one for each detector position ("+" and "+`). Each line scan, consisting of 20 spectra with a nominal separation of 0.9 nm for a total of 17 nm scan length, started in the first 25 nm Au layer and proceeded on a straight line perpendicular to the Au/Fe interfaces across the first 3 nm Fe layer, the 5 nm Au, the 6 nm Fe, and ended close to the interface with the 10 nm Au layer (Fig. 2A). At each point an energy-loss spectrum at the Fe L$_{2,3}$-edge was acquired, with 10 seconds acquisition time. These values were chosen to have the highest signal intensity allowed by the specimen and beam drift. The "+" and "+-" spectra for the point in the middle of the first Fe layer are shown with their difference, representing the dichroic signal (Fig. 2B).

In Fig. 3 the spectral intensity (A) and the dichroic signal (B), integrated over the L$_3$ edge from 707.9 to 713.9 eV, are shown. The Fe and Au layers are clearly resolved, thus
demonstrating a spatial resolution of at least 3 nm.

For the determination of the effective resolution of the EMCD experiment a Gaussian spot profile sweeping across the Au/Fe multilayer is assumed. A least-squares fit to the experimental values yields a variance of $\sigma^2 = 1.0 \text{ nm}^2$ which translates into a Gaussian full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 1.66 nm. This proves that the factor limiting the resolution is indeed the spot size; delocalization or non-projecting interfaces are negligible in the present case. The deviations from the fit function in the leftmost slope in the figure are caused by inconstant drift of the specimen during the scan; variations on the plateau to the right stem from faint thickness variation resulting in changes of the peak height.

We conclude that the Fe signal can be detected with a resolution limit of $< 1.7 \text{ nm}$ in the present experiment. Since the EMCD is a difference of Fe signals, its theoretical geometric resolution must be the same. But this is only true for the same SNR. The smaller SNR in the EMCD signal reduces this limit. Nonetheless, the EMCD signal is clearly visible across the 3 nm Fe layer in Fig. 3B.

The inherent spatial resolution of the experiment derives from the variance of the signal along the scan, invoking the Rose criterion for detection of a faint object in a noisy data set. In doing so, we first simulated the Fe L$_3$ profile of a hypothetical multilayer with varying layer thickness for the actual spot diameter, as shown in Fig. 3C. Panel D shows the corresponding simulated noisy EMCD data set for the given experimental conditions. The single free-standing layer of 1 nm Fe can be detected clearly, but a stack of 1 nm Fe layers separated by 1 nm Au gives an unstructured EMCD signal. Individual layers are visible with at least 2 nm separation.

We conclude that with the present method EMCD signals can be detected with a spatial resolution of 2 nm. This constitutes a breakthrough for the study of nanomagnetism at interfaces and boundaries. The main limiting factors in this experiment are specimen drift and beam instability, which set upper bounds on the collection time of the spectra. If drift can be reduced the dwell time could be increased, thereby lowering the noise level and allowing smaller spots. With the new generation of $C_s$ corrected (scanning-)TEMs it is likely to achieve sub-nm resolution in EMCD spectrometry.
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