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We study dispersive optical nonlinearities of short pulses propagating in high number density, warm atomic vapors where the laser resonantly excites atoms to Rydberg P-states via a single-photon transition. Three different regimes of the light-atom interaction, dominated by either Doppler broadening, Rydberg atom interactions, or decay due to thermal collisions between groundstate and Rydberg atoms, are found. We show that using fast Rabi flopping and strong Rydberg atom interactions, both in the order of gigahertz, can overcome the Doppler effect as well as collisional decay, leading to a sizable dispersive optical nonlinearity on nanosecond timescales. In this regime, self-induced transparency (SIT) emerges when areas of the nanosecond pulse are determined primarily by the Rydberg atom interaction, rather than the area theorem of interaction-free SIT. We identify, both numerically and analytically, the condition to realize Rydberg-SIT. Our study contributes to efforts in achieving quantum information processing using glass cell technologies.

**Introduction.**—Strong and long-range interactions between atoms excited in high-lying Rydberg states \([1–3]\) can be mapped onto weak light fields via electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) \([4–10]\), permitting interaction-mediate optical nonlinearities \([11–17]\) and optical quantum information processing \([18–27]\). In the EIT approach, ultracold temperatures (\(\sim \mu \text{K}\)) are of critical importance to maintain the dispersive nonlinearity (typically sub-megahertz). As Doppler broadening (\(\propto \sqrt{T}\) with \(T\) the temperature) increases from about 100 kilohertz at 1 \(\mu\)K to gigahertz at 300 K, large thermal fluctuations at high temperatures can easily smear out the nonlinearity \([28–31]\). To overcome this limitation, recent experiments employ short (nanoseconds) and strong (gigahertz Rabi frequencies) lasers to excite high density, room-temperature (or hot) Rydberg gases \([29, 30, 32]\) confined in glass cells \([33–36]\). Through a four-wave mixing process, strong dispersive nonlinearities even exceed the laser strength and thermal effect to realize a single photon source in the glass cell setting \([32]\). Though rapid experimental developments \([29, 30, 32]\), theoretical understanding of the optical nonlinearity mediated by Rydberg interactions that emerges in nanosecond timescale and room temperature gases remains unavailable.

In this work we theoretically investigate dispersive optical nonlinearities of nanosecond light pulses generated in thermal gases of Rydberg atoms excited via a single-photon transition. A crucial requirement to generate significant Rydberg interactions at high temperatures is the high number density of the gas, where inelastic collisions between groundstate atoms and Rydberg electrons are strong. We identify a dispersive nonlinear regime of nanosecond pulses where the Rydberg interaction is in the order of GHz and surpasses the thermal and collisional effects. Importantly this Rydberg nonlinearity depends non-perturbatively on the transient dynamics of the atoms. A key finding is that the pulse shapes into a bright soliton, leading to Rydberg self-induced transparency (SIT), in low and high temperature gases. Through numerical and mean-field calculations, we reveal explicitly the dependence of Rydberg-SIT on the Rydberg interaction. This is fundamentally different from conventional (i.e. no two-body interactions) SIT which is governed by the area theorem barely due to light intensities \([37]\). Our study opens opportunities to implement
optical quantum information processing with warm Rydberg gases. As the strong quantum nonlinearity is realized with nanosecond pulses, photon coincidences rates can increase from mega-bit to giga-bit. Such orders of magnitude increasing means Rydberg-SIT in room temperature gases could be a much more robust and scalable platform for carrying out optical quantum information processing.

**Light-atom interaction.** — We consider nanosecond laser pulses (wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ along the $z$ axis) propagating in a high density gas (density $N$), as depicted in Fig. 1(a) and (b). The laser resonantly couples ground-state $|1\rangle$ to Rydberg $nP$ state $|2\rangle$ (with $n$ the principal quantum number) via a single-photon transition [see Fig. 1(c)]. Two Rydberg atoms (located at $\mathbf{r}_j$ and $\mathbf{r}_k$) interact via the van der Waals (vdW) interaction $V_r(\mathbf{r}_{jk}) = -C_6/|\mathbf{r}_{jk}|^6$ with $\mathbf{r}_{jk} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k$ and $C_6 \propto n^{14}$ to be the dispersion coefficient. In this setting, Rydberg electrons frequently collide with surrounding ground-state atoms through the polarization interaction. Using the Fermi pseudo-potential and neglecting higher partial waves [38], such interaction is approximated to be $V_p(\mathbf{r}_{jk}) \approx 2\pi a_s \delta(\mathbf{r}_{jk})$ [39] where $a_s$ is the s-wave scattering length of the electron-atom collision [40]. This yields the $N$-atom Hamiltonian ($h \equiv 1$)

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_j + \sum_{k \neq j}^{N} \left[ \frac{V_r(\mathbf{r}_{jk})}{2} \hat{r}_{22}^j \hat{r}_{22}^k + V_p(\mathbf{r}_{jk}) \hat{r}_{21}^j \hat{r}_{21}^k \right]$$

where $\hat{H}_j = \Omega(\mathbf{r}_j) \hat{r}_{21}^j / 2 + \text{H.c.}$ is the $j$-th atom Hamiltonian with $\hat{r}_{\alpha\beta} = |\alpha\rangle \langle \beta| \ (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2)$. Here Rabi frequency $\Omega(\mathbf{r}_j) = \omega_z \hat{E}(\mathbf{r}_j)$ depends on the slowly varying electric field $\hat{E}(\mathbf{r})$ and dipole moment $\omega_z$ between the Rydberg and groundstate. To be concrete, Cs atoms will be considered in this work as the respective dipole moment is relatively large compared to other alkali atoms (see Supplementary Material (SM) [41] for details). Single-photon Rydberg excitation of ultracold Cs atoms has been demonstrated experimentally with nanosecond [42] and continuous lasers [43–46].

In addition to vdW and dipole-dipole interactions between Rydberg atoms, the attractive polarization interaction between electrons and groundstate atoms has been extensively studied previously [38, 39]. In ultracold gases, it leads to the formation of ultralong-range Rydberg molecules [47–50] and Rydberg polarons [51]. At high temperatures, it causes a spectra shift and inelastic collision due to mixing with other Rydberg states [39]. After compensating the shift with laser detuning, the inelastic collision is characterized by decay rate $\gamma_{21}^i = \Delta \nu \sigma_{np}$ [39] where $\Delta \nu = \sqrt{2k_B T/M}$ is the thermal velocity ($M$ mass of Cs atoms), and $\sigma_{np}$ the collisional cross-section [41]. As shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), the cross-section becomes larger with increasing $n$ and temperature $T$. The decay rate moreover depends on atomic densities linearly. In high density ($> 10^{15}$cm$^{-3}$) gases, the decay, e.g. $\gamma_{21}^i \sim 1$ gigahertz at $T = 300$ K, is comparable to the Doppler broadening [Fig. 2(c)-(d)].

Taking into account the inelastic collision, dynamics of the system is described by a set of coupled Maxwell-Bloch equations [52]. In the following, we will focus on propagation of short pulses along $z$ direction while neglecting the diffraction as the medium is short. Applying the continuous density approximation, this yields the one dimensional (1D) Maxwell-Bloch equations,

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Omega(z) = \Delta \nu \rho_{12}(z) - \Omega^*(z) \rho_{21}(z) = 0,$$   (1a)

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{21}(z) + \frac{\Omega(z)}{2} w(z) - i \gamma_{21}^i f(v) R_{21}(z)$$

$$= -N^{1/3} \int dz' w'(z') V_r(z' - z) \rho_{22,21}(z', z) = 0$$   (1b)

$$i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \Omega(z) + \frac{k}{2} \chi(z) \Omega(z) = 0,$$   (1c)

where $\rho_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \langle \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(z) \rangle$ is the mean value of operator $\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(z)$, and $w(z) = 1 - 2\rho_{22}(z)$ the population inversion. $R_{21}(z) = \int dv \rho_{21}(z)$ and $\chi(z) = 2N(d_{12})^2 \int dv f(v) \rho_{21}(z)/[\Omega(z)]$ are the integrated density and susceptibility [52], correspondingly. $f(v) = 1/\sqrt{\pi v_T} \exp[-(v/v_T)^2]$ is the 1D Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distribution. These equations couple to two-body correlation $\rho_{\alpha\beta,\mu\nu}(z', z) \equiv \langle \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(z') \hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}(z) \rangle$, whose equation is cumbersome and given in SM [41]. Note that spontaneous decay due to finite Rydberg lifetimes (10 $\sim$ 100µs) can be neglected in the dynamics due to mismatch of the time scales [41].

**Transmission of light pulses.** — We first study optical losses due to the collisional and Doppler effects. The
FIG. 3. (Color online) Transmission of the pulses. The pulse duration (a) and temperature (b) of the medium affect the transmission. When $\tau \sim 1$ ns, $\eta \sim 1$ almost independent of the temperature. Notable absorption is found when $\tau \gg 1$ ns in (a). Transmission varies with temperature non-monotonically for very long pulses, e.g. $\tau = 100$ ns in panel (b). The imaginary part of the static susceptibility $\tilde{\chi}$ and real part $\chi$ are marginal. Such transient dynamics guarantees the formation of Rydberg-SIT at the optimal area $\theta(0) = 0.35\pi$. Note that coherence $\text{Im}(\rho_{21})$ is symmetric with respect to $t_0$, i.e. positive (negative) when $t < t_0$ ($t > t_0$) [Fig. 4(c)]. As $\rho_{22}, \rho_{21} \to 0$ when $t \to +\infty$, the light is thus absorbed and then emitted coherently. When $T$ increases from 1 µK to 300 K [Fig. 4(c)], modifications of the dynamics are marginal. Such transient dynamics guarantees the formation of Rydberg-SIT at the optimal area $\theta(0) = 0.35\pi$.

We define fidelity $F = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{d}t \left| \Omega(L) \right|^2 / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{d}t \left| \Omega(0) \right|^2$ to quantify the deformation of the pulses. $F = 1$ if the input and output pulse are identical. When $0 < \theta(0) \leq 2\pi$, $F$ indeed displays a single maximal at $\theta(0) = 0.35\pi$ (see SM [41] for more details). As shown in Fig. 4(d), the optimal area varies when changing $n$. To systematically understand the dependence of the optimal area on $n$, we carry out large scale calculations for $20 \leq n \leq 50$. It is found that the optimal area decreases monotonically with increasing $n$, while the corresponding fidelity is high [Fig. 4(e)]. Note that Rydberg-SIT can also be achieved with Gaussian pulses, which lead to similar optimal areas and fidelities as shown in SM [41].

State-dependent optimal areas. — Inspired by the transient dynamics of Rydberg-SIT [Fig. 4(d)], we will develop a mean field (MF) theory for the Bloch equation (BE) to understand the optimal area. To deal with the two-body interaction term in Eq. (1b), we apply a
dependence qualitatively by examining static susceptibility $\tilde{\chi}(T)$ of infinitely long pulses, which is given analytically in SM [41]. By analyzing the imaginary part of $\tilde{\chi}$ [Fig. 3(c)], we find that the collisional decay (Doppler effect) plays a leading role at low (high) temperatures. Moreover the real part of $\tilde{\chi}$ is large at lower temperatures [Fig. 3(d)]. This means that the pulse can gain an optical phase during propagation.
Rabi frequency and Rydberg state.

$$u \approx 4 \nu$$ allows us to analytically evaluate the effective interaction $z < \text{blockade radius }$ than the blockade radius $F$. Rydberg-SIT is immune to the Doppler broadening and collisional decay. A key finding is that the optimal area of Rydberg-SIT is determined. Substituting the ansatz to the MF equation, the trial parameters and area $\theta$ can be calculated analytically (see SM [41]). Explicitly, the Rydberg-state-dependent area is given by
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which is the key result of the MF calculation. Eq. (3) shows $\theta \rightarrow 2\pi$ when $u \rightarrow 0$, recovering the area theorem in non-interacting SIT [54]. Increasing $u$, $\theta$ decreases gradually. When compared with numerical data, an excellent agreement is found if $n < 40$. Small deviations for $n > 40$ attribute to the two-body correlation and collisional decay, which become important gradually with increasing $n$.

**Conclusion and discussion.**— In this work, we have studied propagation dynamics of nanosecond pulses in thermal, high-density Rydberg gases. We have shown that strong dispersive optical nonlinearities can be achieved from low to high temperatures. Rydberg-SIT can form in thermal atomic gases which is largely immune to the Doppler broadening and collisional decay. A key finding is that the optimal area of Rydberg-SIT is reduced by the Rydberg atom interaction. The optimal area and its dependence on the interaction are determined both numerically and analytically.

This work opens exciting opportunities to study non-linear optics and to implement quantum information processing at nanosecond time scales with warm Rydberg gases. Beyond the present level scheme, one can

$$\rho(0) \rightarrow \rho(\tau) = e^{i \int_0^\tau \Omega(t) dt} \rho(0) e^{-i \int_0^\tau \Omega(t) dt}$$

$C_2(\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi^2}} \int_0^\infty V(z) dz$ is an effective Rydberg interaction. To avoid divergence in the integral, the vdW potential is modified at short distances to have a soft-core shape, $V(z) \approx C_2 / (z^6 + z_m^6)$, when atomic distances are smaller than the blockade radius $z_m = \left(\frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_0}\right)^{1/6}$ [2]. This allows us to analytically evaluate the effective interaction $u = 4\pi \sqrt{3} \nu C_6^{1/6} \Omega_0^{5/6} / 3$, which depends on the density, Rabi frequency and Rydberg state.

Depending on the ratio $(kv_f + u) / \gamma_{21}$, three different regimes of the coherence are obtained approximately according to Eq. (2). Fixing $T$, a Doppler broadening dominant region appears at low densities when $kv_f > u \gg \gamma_{21}$, as shown in Fig. 4(f). For sufficiently high densities [dotted line in Fig. 4(f) with $10kv_f = u$] Rydberg interactions overtake the other two effects, i.e. $u > kv_f \gg \gamma_{21}$. This is the most interesting region where Rydberg-SIT can form. Further increasing densities (dashed line, $kv_f + u = 100\gamma_{21}$), the collisional decay starts to kick in and causes losses. The overall decay will also depend on the propagation distance.

In the next, we will find the optimal areas analytically in the Rydberg interaction dominant region (by neglecting terms involving $kv_f$ and $\gamma_{21}$). As the nonlinear Eq. (2) is difficult to integrate even with this approximation, we will apply the following ansatz solution $\rho_{21} = A[1 - \cos \left( \int_0^\tau \Omega(t) dt \right)]$ and $\rho_{21} = -\frac{B}{2} \cos \left( \int_0^\tau \Omega(t) dt \right) + C \rho_{21}$ where $A$, $B$, and $C$ are trial parameters, and $\Omega_0 = \sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left(-\frac{t^2}{2\tau^2}\right) / \sqrt{2\pi} \tau$. Such ansatz ensures the symmetry of the transient dynamics, i.e. $\text{Im}[\rho_{21}]$ is symmetric with respect to the pulse center, and $\rho_{12} = \rho_{22} = 0$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$. We then approximate the pulse $\Omega$ in the MF equation with a Gaussian $\Omega = \theta \exp \left(-\frac{t^2}{2\tau^2}\right) / \sqrt{2\pi} \tau$ where $\theta$ is the optimal area to be determined. Substituting the ansatz to the MF equation, the trial parameters and area $\theta$ can be calculated analytically (see SM [41]). Explicitly, the Rydberg-state-dependent area is given by
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also achieve strong Rydberg nonlinearities of nanosecond laser pulses via multi-photon excitations (e.g. electromagnetically induced transparency). Benefited from tunable light-atom couplings and spatial excitation selectivity [55], this allows us to study, for example simulations [56], in strongly interacting Rydberg gases. The strong Rydberg nonlinearity permits to realize quantum information applications, such as fast optical phase gates [57–60], with Rydberg-SIT (see SM [41] for a demonstration).
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