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AMIGOS III: pseudo-torsion angle visualization and motif-based structure comparison of nucleic acids
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Abstract

Motivation: The full description of nucleic acid conformation involves eight torsion angles per nucleotide. To simplify this description, we previously developed a representation of the nucleic acid backbone that assigns each nucleotide a pair of pseudo-torsion angles (eta and theta defined by P and C4’ atoms; or eta’ and theta’ defined by P and C1’ atoms). A Java program, AMIGOS II, is currently available for calculating eta and theta angles for RNA and for performing motif searches based on eta and theta angles. However, AMIGOS II lacks the ability to parse DNA structures and to calculate eta’ and theta’ angles. It also has little visualization capacity for 3D structure, making it difficult for users to interpret the computational results.

Results: We present AMIGOS III, a PyMOL plugin that calculates the pseudo-torsion angles eta, theta, eta’ and theta’ for both DNA and RNA structures and performs motif searching based on these angles. Compared to AMIGOS II, AMIGOS III offers improved pseudo-torsion angle visualization for RNA and faster nucleic acid worm database generation; it also introduces pseudo-torsion angle visualization for DNA and nucleic acid worm visualization. Its integration into PyMOL enables easy preparation of tertiary structure inputs and intuitive visualization of involved structures.

Availability and implementation: https://github.com/pylelab/AMIGOSIII.

Contact: anna.pyle@yale.edu

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 Introduction

Nucleotide conformation is determined by six backbone torsion angles, the torsion angle of the bond between the base and the sugar, and the sugar pucker, making its description an eight-dimensional problem. To reduce this complexity, we previously developed an alternative representation in which each nucleotide is described by two pseudo-torsion angles: eta (C4’–P–C4’–P+1) and theta (P–C4’–P+1–C4’+1) (Duarte and Pyle, 1998). Eta and theta angles can be plotted in an analogous manner to phi and psi angles in proteins, resulting in a Ramachandran-like plot (Nucleic Acid Ramachandran, or NARama) which facilitates quick and accurate categorization of nucleic acid structure (Duarte and Pyle, 1998; Wadley et al., 2007).

This torsional space representation of nucleic acid tertiary structure has proven to be a powerful tool for structural analysis. It has been used to identify novel structural elements, or motifs (Adams et al., 2004; Chan et al., 2016), classify and differentiate existing motifs (Duarte et al., 2003) and reveal conformational changes in related structures (Duarte et al., 2003; Giambasu et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2015). Similar to the PROCHECK program (Laskowski et al., 1993) for protein structures, it has also been used to assess new structures for unusual or potentially ‘disallowed’ regions (Lakomek et al., 2010; Montemayor et al., 2014; Ren et al., 2017, 2021).

Several programs have been developed to analyze RNA structure utilizing the eta/theta formalism. The Perl programs AMIGOS (Duarte and Pyle, 1998) and PRIMOS (Duarte et al., 2003) calculate eta/theta angles for RNA and perform RNA motif searching by first distilling 3D structures into a linearized set of eta/theta angles known as RNA worms. RNA or DNA worms provide computationally searchable and comparable roadmaps of 3-D structure by describing each nucleotide as a function of its sequence position and eta/theta angles (Duarte et al., 2003). The Java program AMIGOS II (Wadley et al., 2007) combines the functionality of AMIGOS and PRIMOS in a single graphical user interface application.

Despite its utility, AMIGOS II has several shortcomings. First, AMIGOS II lacks the ability to parse DNA structures—a feature which would enable the analysis of unusual DNA structures, such as
DNA aptamers. Second, AMIGOS II is unable to calculate eta’ (C1’–i–P–C1’–P–i–1) and theta’ (P–C1’–i–P–C1’–i–1) angles (Gruene and Sheldrick, 2011; Keating and Pyle, 2010), which are easier to accurately determine from experimental density maps than eta and theta angles. Here, we describe the reimplementation of AMIGOS II as AMIGOS III. AMIGOS III is written in Python and functions as a plugin for the popular molecular graphics system PyMOL (Schrodinger, 2021). AMIGOS III is compatible with PyMOL versions ≥ 2.5 and may be used with Windows, macOS, and Linux. AMIGOS III not only offers the major features of AMIGOS and PRIMOS, but it also expands their use to DNA, introduces nucleic acid worm visualization and eta’/theta’ visualization, and provides easier probe worm selection for motif searching and faster worm database generation.

2 Overview of AMIGOS III

AMIGOS III has two major features: NARama and motif searching. Both features can be used to analyze RNA and DNA structures, as long as the structures have defined coordinates for C4’ and P atoms for eta/theta calculation or C1’ and P atoms for eta’/theta’ calculation. Therefore, AMIGOS III can work with structures from coarse-grained simulations such as those from SimRNA (Bonecki et al., 2016) and Vfold3D (Xu et al., 2014).

2.1 NARama

The NARama feature of AMIGOS III recreates the functionality of AMIGOS with improved visualization and introduces visualization of nucleic acid worms. The NARama feature allows users to generate 2-D eta/theta and eta’/theta’ plots and 3-D nucleic acid worm plots (Fig. 1) using Matplotlib. On the 2-D plots, the color of the point matches the color of the nucleotide in the PyMOL session, and the shape of the point denotes the sugar pucker of the nucleotide (circle for C3’-endo; triangle for C2’-endo) (Supplementary Text S1), providing enhanced visualization compared to AMIGOS II. The plotting of eta’/theta’ is also a new feature to AMIGOS III compared to previous versions of the program. The 3-D plot displays the (eta, theta) coordinates of all nucleotides in the input selection as a function of their position in the sequence, offering the first built-in visualization of nucleic acid worms. Helical regions are shown in blue and non-helical regions are shown in red, allowing for rapid motif characterization and discovery.

2.2 Motif searching

The motif searching feature of AMIGOS III reimplements PRIMOS by allowing users to generate worm databases and to perform worm searches. Each worm search conducts structural comparisons between a probe worm and equivalent length worms from a worm database using eta/theta formalism. In 59.8% of the time required by PRIMOS (Supplementary Table S1), AMIGOS III can generate a worm database by creating a CSV file of eta and theta angles for each nucleic acid chain in an input directory. To perform a worm search, AMIGOS III prompts the user to select the directory containing the worm database and to select a probe worm as either a PyMOL object or a local file. The ability to select a probe worm directly from the structure loaded in the PyMOL session allows for more streamlined and user-friendly motif searching within minutes (Supplementary Fig. S1). AMIGOS III outputs a single text file containing the results from the motif search, using the same scoring methods as PRIMOS and AMIGOS II (Supplementary Text S2).

3 Results

As a case study, AMIGOS III was used to analyze the structure of a fluoride riboswitch (PDB 4EN5) (Fig. 1) and a modified DNA aptamer (PDB 7MK1) (Supplementary Fig. S2). The NARama feature provides a variety of structural observations, including which nucleotides adopt different sugar pucker conformations and which regions have non-helical character.
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