INTRODUCTION: Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is a progressive liver disease characterized by bile duct inflammation and fibrosis. The role of macrophages in PSC development and progression is less studied. Macrophage activation markers soluble (s)CD163 and mannose receptor (sMR) are associated with disease severity and outcome in other liver diseases, but not previously investigated in PSC. We evaluated sCD163 and sMR regarding disease severity and prognosis in patients with PSC.

METHODS: We investigated 2 independent PSC cohorts from Oslo (n = 138) and Helsinki (n = 159) and analyzed blood sCD163 and sMR levels. The Mayo score, Enhanced Liver Fibrosis Test, and Amsterdam-Oxford model were assessed for comparison.

RESULTS: Median (interquartile range) sCD163 was 3.32 (2.27–5.60) and 1.96 (1.47–2.70) mg/L in the Oslo and Helsinki cohorts, respectively, reflecting differences in disease severity between cohorts. Median sMR was similar in both cohorts, 0.28 (0.22–0.44) and 0.28 mg/L (0.20–0.36), respectively. In both cohorts, sCD163 and sMR levels raised with increasing disease severity (liver enzymes, Mayo score, and enhanced liver fibrosis test). Patients with high baseline levels of sCD163 had shorter transplant-free survival than patients with low baseline levels. Furthermore, sCD163 was associated with transplant-free survival in univariate cox-regression analyses. Both sCD163 and sMR performed better in the Oslo cohort of more severely diseased patients than those in the Helsinki cohort of more mildly diseased patients.

DISCUSSION: Macrophage activation markers are elevated according to disease severity suggesting an important role of macrophages in PSC. Furthermore, sCD163 was identified as a prognostic marker and predictor of transplant-free survival in PSC (see Visual Abstract, Supplementary Digital Content 4, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A516).
INTRODUCTION
Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is a progressive autoimmune liver disease with chronic inflammation and strictures of intra- and extra-hepatic bile ducts, ultimately leading to fibrosis and cirrhosis of the liver (1,2). There is no approved pharmacological treatment, and the only curative option is liver transplantation (LT) (3). A better understanding of disease pathology and progression and better biomarkers are unmet needs to improve use of surrogate endpoints in the design of clinical trials for assessment of disease severity, progression, and treatment response (4) because these trials suffer from difficulties with low event rates and hence a low ability to prove effect of a specific intervention (5).

Macrophages are generally activated in inflammatory liver diseases and may also play a role in PSC development and progression. Previous studies showed that the numbers of perisinusoidal macrophages, investigated in liver biopsies, were higher in patients with PSC than in patients with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and healthy controls (6,7). Furthermore, a recent study showed elevated frequencies of macrophages in the livers of patients with PSC compared with other liver diseases, concluding that macrophages may have a potential role in PSC pathophysiology (8). Recent data also suggest that soluble CD14, often regarded as a monocyte activation marker, is elevated in patients with PSC and predicts liver transplantation-free survival (9). However, others found similar levels of granulocyte-

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients with PSC in the Oslo and Helsinki cohorts

|                  | Oslo cohort | Helsinki cohort |    |    |
|------------------|-------------|-----------------|----|----|
|                  | Tx-free survivors | Tx/death | P Value | Tx-free survivors | Tx/death | P Value |
| N                | 75          | 63              | NS       | 134         | 25         | NS       |
| Men, n (%)       | 61 (81.3)  | 46 (73.0)       | NS       | 69 (51.5)   | 15 (60.0)  | NS       |
| Age, yr, median (IQR) | 36.2 (26.2–47.1) | 45.0 (36.0–55.5) | <0.001   | 42.8 (33.2–54.2) | 38.6 (29.8–49.7) | NS       |
| Age at diagnosis, yr, median (IQR) | 30.9 (23.0–43.4) | 38.3 (30.6–52.0) | 0.001   | 36.5 (27–46)  | 26 (20–37)  | 0.003   |
| PSC duration, yr, median (IQR) | 0.6 (0.0–5.7) | 2.7 (0.1–7.7)  | NS       | 0.44 (0.28–0.77) | 0.69 (0.45–1.14) | 0.006   |
| UDCA use at inclusion, n (%) | 21 (28.0) | 31 (49.2) | 0.009   | 98 (73.1) | 14 (56.0) | NS       |
| IBD ever, n (%) | 61 (82.4)  | 41 (65.1)       | 0.02     | 97 (72.4)  | 19 (76.0)  | NS       |
| Years of follow-up, median (IQR) | 7.1 (6.0–7.8) | 1.1 (0.3–2.9) | <0.001 | 8.1 (8.1–8.2) | 3.9 (1.5–5.2) | <0.001 |
| Liver transplant, n (%) | 0 | 46 (73) | NS       | 0         | 23 (92)    | NS       |
| Death as endpoint, n (%) | 0 | 17 (27) | NS       | 0         | 2 (8)      | NS       |
| Laboratory data<sup>a,b</sup> | N | 159 | 10.3 (8.8–10.9) | <0.001 | 64 | 0.43 (–0.66–0.01) | 0.041 |
| ELF test, median (IQR) | 9.2 (8.3–10.2) | 11.1 (9.7–12.3) | <0.001 | 159 | 8.4 (7.4–9.0) | 10.3 (8.8–10.9) | <0.001 |
| Mayo risk score, median (IQR) | –0.35 (–0.97–2.5) | 1.13 (0.05–2.58) | <0.001 | 64 | –0.43 (–0.66–0.01) | 0.46 (–0.60–0.79) | 0.041 |
| AOM, median (IQR) | 1.46 (1.15–1.84) | 2.38 (1.79–3.00) | <0.001 | 64 | 1.52 (1.26–1.76) | 1.63 (1.38–2.82) | NS       |
| ALP, U/L, median (IQR) | 189 (118–282) | 286 (197–483) | <0.001 | 95 | 97 (72–142) | 186 (140–324) | <0.001 |
| ALP, by ULN, median (IQR) | 1.8 (1.1–2.7) | 2.7 (1.9–4.6) | <0.001 | 95 | 0.92 (0.69–1.35) | 1.77 (1.33–3.10) | <0.001 |
| AST, U/L, median (IQR) | 53 (34–92) | 90 (55–165) | <0.001 | 78 | 30 (25–40) | 48 (32–84) | 0.001 |
| ALT, U/L, median (IQR) | 70 (45–158) | 101 (62–161) | NS | 97 | 29 (19–45) | 53 (30–100) | 0.003 |
| Albumin, g/L, median (IQR) | 42 (40–45) | 37 (32–42) | <0.001 | 71 | 38 (37–40) | 37 (33–40) | NS       |
| Total bilirubin, μmol/L, median (IQR) | 13 (9–24) | 34 (16–126) | <0.001 | 84 | 11 (8–17) | 18 (10–22) | NS       |
| Creatinine, μmol/L, median (IQR) | 68 (61–73) | 61 (54–74) | NS | 88 | 67 (56–79) | 68 (62–75) | NS       |
| Platelets, 10<sup>3</sup>/L, median (IQR) | 308 (230–374) | 261 (142–345) | NS | 95 | 259 (214–305) | 265 (134–280) | NS       |
| INR, median (IQR) | 1.03 (0.96–1.09) | 1.05 (0.94–1.25) | NS | 53 | 1 (1.0–1.1) | 1 (1.0–1.1) | NS       |
| Leukocytes, 10<sup>9</sup>/L, median (IQR) | 6.3 (4.7–8.1) | 6.0 (5.0–7.6) | NS | 0 | — | — | — |
| CRP, mg/L, median (IQR) | 2.6 (1.3–5.9) | 8.9 (3.2–19.0) | <0.001 | 0 | — | — | — |

<sup>a</sup>Refers to a subpopulation with a few missing data in the Oslo cohort.
<sup>b</sup>Data only available in a number of patients in the Helsinki cohort, see N.

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AOM, Amsterdam-Oxford Model for primary sclerosing cholangitis; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CRP, C-reactive Protein; ELF, enhanced liver fibrosis; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; INR, International normalized ratio; IQR, interquartile range; NS, not significant (P ≥ 0.05); PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis; Tx, liver transplantation; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acid; ULN, upper limit of normal.
macrophage colony-stimulating factor in patients with PSC and age- and sex-matched controls (10). The macrophage activation markers soluble (s)CD163 (11, 12) and mannose receptor (sMR) (13) are associated with disease severity in multiple liver diseases including autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) and primary biliary cholangitis (14–24). Furthermore, sCD163 and sMR are associated with prognosis in patients with liver cirrhosis, acute alcoholic hepatitis, and acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF) (17,18,25–27). However, macrophage activation markers have not yet been investigated in patients with PSC. We aimed to investigate the macrophage activation markers sCD163 and sMR in 2 independent cohorts of patients with PSC. We hypothesized that high levels of sCD163 and sMR would be associated with more severe liver disease and worse prognosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient population and data collection

The protocol was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the regional committee for research ethics in South Eastern Norway (reference number 2011/2,572) and Helsinki. The patient panels included 138 patients with large-duct PSC from the Norwegian NoPSC biobank, collected during 2008–2012, and 159 patients with large-duct PSC from Helsinki, collected during 2006–2015. Patients were retrospectively included from 2 research registries (Oslo and Helsinki) into which patients were enrolled on referral to the 2 respective centers. Referrals in Oslo were mainly for complex or advanced cases, whereas in Finland, all patients were referred on diagnosis.

PSC was diagnosed based on typical cholangiographic findings by either magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) or endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) according to acknowledged standard criteria (28,29). The first pathological cholangiography defined the date of diagnosis of PSC. PSC duration was defined as the time from the date of diagnosis to the date of inclusion. Data on sCD163 and sMR and platelets, creatinine, total bilirubin, albumin, international normalized ratio (INR), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and gamma-glutaryltransferase (GGT) stem from blood samples taken on the date of inclusion. Data on UDCA use stems from the

Figure 1. sCD163 and sMR distribution in 3 prespecified risk groups of Mayo score and ELF test. White boxes to the left are from the Oslo cohort, gray boxes to the right are from the Helsinki cohort. Mayo score is available in 64 patients in the Helsinki cohort, of whom only 2 had a Mayo score >2. ELF, enhanced liver fibrosis; sCD163, soluble CD163; sMR, soluble mannose receptor.
date of inclusion. Cases of PSC with features of AIH, fulfilling diagnostic criteria of AIH and PSC (30), were included. Cases of secondary cholangitis or small duct PSC were excluded.

Mayo risk scores were calculated (31). Serum samples were also analyzed by the commercially available enhanced liver fibrosis (ELF) test (Siemens Healthineers, Tarrytown, NY) using an ADVIA Centaur XP analyzer (Siemens Healthineers, Tarrytown, NY). The prognostic Amsterdam-Oxford Model (AOM) for PSC was calculated (32). Plasma concentrations of sCD163 and sMR were measured in duplicate by an in-house sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, as previously described in samples frozen at $-80^\circ$C (20,33). Reference intervals for sCD163 is 0.69–3.86 mg/L (20) and for sMR 0.10–0.43 mg/L (33). Soluble CD163 and sMR are resistant to repeated freezing and thawing (20,33).

Patient records and the research database were queried for information on clinical and laboratory data. Unfortunately, all data were not available in the Helsinki cohort because of the retrospective design of this study. Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) diagnosis was based on findings at colonoscopy and histology. Updated information on liver transplantation dates and indications by December 31, 2016, were retrieved from the Norwegian/Nordic Liver Transplant Registry, and data on all-cause death by the same date were retrieved from the Norwegian Death Registry. The Helsinki cohort was updated with events through August 2018.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL) and Stata version 13 (StataCorp, Texas). Continuous variables were tested for normal distribution, and for comparison between groups, the Student t test, the Mann-Whitney U test, or the Kruskal-Wallis test was applied, as appropriate. Biochemical values showing a skewed distribution were transformed using natural logarithmic transformation. A composite endpoint composed by all-cause death and LT was defined. All patients who died in the Oslo cohort, died from PSC-related cancer. Three groups of disease severity (low, intermediate, and high) were defined by Mayo risk score $\leq 0$, $>0$ to $<2$, and $\geq 2$. Three groups of fibrosis severity (mild, moderate, and severe) were defined according to the ELF test level $<7.7$, $\geq 7.7$ to $<9.8$, and $\geq 9.8$, respectively, as recommended by the manufacturer (34). Follow-up started at the date of inclusion and ended at the date of reaching the composite endpoint, or, in case, no endpoint was reached, date of the last follow-up. To evaluate the prognosis, the patients were stratified in 2 groups according to established upper limit of normal of sCD163 (3.86 mg/L) and sMR (0.43 mg/L), respectively, and 8-year transplant-free survival were estimated while using the logrank test to compare crude risk. Correlations between sCD163 and sMR, respectively, and other laboratory variables were assessed by the Spearman rank correlation test. We used Cox regression to assess the potential association of sCD163 and sMR with the occurrence of the endpoint while adjusting for confounding by ELF test and AOM. We did not include the Mayo score in the regression analysis because of the large overlap of values included in the Mayo score and AOM. We performed an additional Cox regression analysis including a ‘recruitment center’ variable after combining the 2 cohorts while adjusting for the ELF test and AOM. We used smoothing splines to determine the functional form of sCD163 and sMR and the logarithmic values of both variables and included the logarithmic values in our Cox regression analysis because this gave a more linear hazard ratio over the range of measured values (see Supplemental Figure 1, Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A513). The ability of sCD163 and sMR to discriminate between patients who experienced an outcome and those who did not were determined by computing the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve. The optimal threshold to distinguish...
patients reaching an endpoint from those who did not was calculated by the Youden index.

## RESULTS

### Patients

Patient characteristics from both cohorts are described in Table 1. We included 138 patients with PSC from a well-characterized Norwegian panel with a median Mayo score of 0.12 (median age 40 years, 78% men). The median follow-up time was 5.4 years (range, 0.1–8.3), during which 17 patients died and 46 underwent LT. Eleven patients had features of AIH.

In the Helsinki cohort including 159 patients with PSC, the median Mayo score was −0.37, median age was 42.2 years, and 53% were men. During a median follow-up time of 8.1 years (range, 0.1–8.3), 2 patients died and 23 were liver transplanted. Twelve patients had features of AIH.

In the Oslo cohort a longer duration of PSC before inclusion in the study than patients in the Helsinki cohort, were more often men, less often used ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), and had more severe liver disease at inclusion as defined by higher ELF test, Mayo score, AOM, ALP, and bilirubin levels (see Supplemental Table 1, Supplementary Digital Content 2, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A514).

### sCD163 and sMR levels in patients with PSC

In the Oslo cohort, median levels (interquartile range) of sCD163 and sMR were 3.32 mg/L (2.27–5.60) and 0.28 mg/L (0.22–0.44), respectively. In the Helsinki cohort, median sCD163 was 1.96 mg/L (1.47–2.70) and median sMR was 0.28 mg/L (0.20–0.36).

For correlations between sCD163 and sMR with liver parameters, we combined the Oslo and Helsinki cohorts and sCD163 and sMR correlated with ALP, bilirubin, ALT, and albumin (see Supplemental Table 2, Supplementary Digital Content 3, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A515). Data for the individual cohorts are shown in supplemental Table 2 (see Supplementary Digital Content 3, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A515).

Furthermore, sCD163 and sMR increased with increasing Mayo score, ELF test, and AOM in the combined cohort (Figure 1, Supplemental Table 2 [see Supplementary Digital Content 3, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A515]). Data for the individual cohorts are shown in supplemental Table 2 (see Supplementary Digital Content 3, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A515).

There was no difference in median sCD163 levels between patients with or without a diagnosis of IBD (data not shown). Furthermore, there were no differences in sCD163 levels between male and female patients with PSC or in patients

---

### Table 2. Univariate Cox regression analyses of factors affecting the transplant-free survival in patients with PSC

|                      | Oslo cohort | Helsinki cohort | Combined cohort |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                      | HR  | 95% CI | P Value | N  | HR  | 95% CI | P Value | N  | HR  | 95% CI | P Value | N  |
| Mayo score, per point increase | 1.94 | 1.62–2.32 | <0.001 | 129 | 2.25 | 1.26–4.01 | 0.006 | 64a | 2.11 | 1.78–2.49 | <0.001 | 193b |
| ELF test, per point increase | 1.80 | 1.55–2.08 | <0.001 | 138 | 2.23 | 1.69–2.94 | <0.001 | 159 | 2.04 | 1.80–2.31 | <0.001 | 297 |
| AOM, per point increase | 2.56 | 1.94–3.37 | <0.001 | 128 | 3.07 | 1.42–6.62 | 0.004 | 64a | 2.85 | 2.20–3.69 | <0.001 | 192c |
| LnsCD163            | 3.15 | 2.19–4.54 | <0.001 | 138 | 2.89 | 1.54–5.44 | 0.001 | 159 | 3.82 | 2.86–5.11 | <0.001 | 297 |
| LnsMR               | 2.92 | 1.89–4.52 | <0.001 | 138 | 1.61 | 0.70–3.73 | 0.265 | 159 | 2.82 | 1.88–4.24 | <0.001 | 297 |
| Age, per year increase | 1.04 | 1.02–1.06 | <0.001 | 138 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Male, yes vs no      | 0.68 | 0.39–1.19 | 0.177  | 138 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Age at diagnosis, per year increase | 1.03 | 1.01–1.05 | <0.001 | 138 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| PSC duration, per year increase | 1.03 | 0.99–1.06 | 0.166  | 138 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| IBD status ever, yes vs no | 0.55 | 0.33–0.92 | 0.024  | 137 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Biliary tract cancer, yes vs no | 6.30 | 3.58–11.09 | <0.001 | 138 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Bilirubin            | 2.16 | 1.72–2.71 | <0.001 | 130 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Albumin, per g/L increase | 0.87 | 0.85–0.91 | <0.001 | 129 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| ALP                 | 2.29 | 1.60–3.28 | <0.001 | 130 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| AST                 | 1.65 | 1.26–2.15 | <0.001 | 130 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| ALT                 | 1.21 | 0.91–1.62 | 0.184  | 130 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Platelet count       | 0.66 | 0.45–0.98 | 0.039  | 129 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| APRI score, per point increase | 1.39 | 1.19–1.63 | <0.001 | 129 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| INR, per point increase | 12.53 | 3.49–45.00 | <0.001 | 113 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |

All data shown from the Oslo cohort and part of the data from the Helsinki and combined cohort.

*Calculated in a subset of 64 patients from the Helsinki cohort with available Mayo score and AOM, events n = 12.

*Calculated in a subset of 193 patients with available Mayo score, events n = 72.

*Calculated in a subset of 192 patients with available AOM, events n = 72. Bilirubin, ALP, AST, ALT, and platelet count were transformed by the natural logarithm before regression analyses because of a right-skewed distribution.

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AOM, Amsterdam-Oxford Model for primary sclerosing cholangitis; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CI, confidence interval, ELF, enhanced liver fibrosis; HR, hazard ratio; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; INR, international normalized ratio; LnsCD163, logarithmic transformed soluble CD163; LnsMR, logarithmic transformed soluble mannose receptor; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis; sCD163, soluble CD163; sMR, soluble mannose receptor; TRC, platelet count.
with or without features of AIH. Similar results were found for sMR.

**Association between sCD163 and sMR and long-term prognosis in patients with PSC**

In the combined cohort, patients with high sCD163 had lower 8-year transplant-free survival (35.2%, 95% CI [24.9%–45.7%]) than those with low sCD163 (83.0%, 95% CI [77.2%–87.5%]). Similarly, those with high sMR had lower eight-year transplant-free survival (58.6%, 95% CI [45.7%–72.5%]) than those with low sMR (75.5%, 95% CI [69.4%–80.5%]) (Figure 2). We found similar results in the Oslo cohort alone, but in the Helsinki cohort, there was no difference in eight-year transplant-free survival between patients with low and high sMR. The transplant-free survival was in general lower in the Oslo cohort than in the Helsinki cohort (see Supplemental Figure 2, Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/AS13).

Results from the univariate Cox regressions are listed in Table 2. sCD163 and sMR showed association with transplant-free survival in the combined cohort, and so did the Mayo risk score, ELF test, and AOM (Table 2). We found similar results in the individual cohorts, except for sMR not being associated with transplant-free survival in the Helsinki cohort (Table 2).

In multivariate analyses including the novel markers, ELF test, and AOM sCD163, ELF, and AOM sMR, ELF, and AOM showed equally good discriminatory abilities in both cohorts with AUCs of 0.80 and 0.73, respectively, than in the Helsinki cohort (AUC < 0.70) (Figure 3, Table 4). The Mayo score and AOM also performed better under the curve (AUC) of 0.79 (95% CI; 0.74–0.85), whereas sMR had an AUC of 0.67 (95% CI; 0.61–0.74) (Figure 3, Table 4). The AUC did not increase when combining sCD163 and sMR with either Mayo score, ELF test, or AOM (Table 4).

Both sCD163 and sMR performed better in the Oslo cohort with AUCs of 0.80 and 0.73, respectively, than in the Helsinki cohort with AUCs of 0.70 and 0.60, respectively. The ELF test showed equally good discriminatory abilities in both cohorts with an AUC of 0.82. The Mayo score and AOM also performed better in the Oslo cohort (AUC ≥ 0.80) than in the Helsinki cohort (AUC < 0.70).

**Discussion**

Here, we present, for the first time, analyses of the macrophage activation markers sCD163 and sMR in 2 independent cohorts of patients with PSC. The main findings were the increased degree of macrophage activation with increasing liver disease severity (liver parameters, Mayo score, ELF test, and AOM). Furthermore, the
markers were associated with prognosis, and for sCD163, the discriminative performance was similar to that of the Mayo score, ELF test, and AOM in the Oslo cohort of more advanced disease.

The macrophage activation markers, sCD163 and sMR, have not previously been investigated in patients with PSC, but we contemplate that most of the measured sCD163 and sMR stem from infiltrating macrophages in the liver because the median level of sCD163 and sMR are similar to those previously presented in other chronic inflammatory liver diseases (14,16,22). We previously showed that sCD163 reflects CD163 expression by immunohistochemistry in liver biopsies (17,21), and levels of sCD163 decrease after treatment in chronic viral hepatitis B and C, AIH, and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (15,16,21,35,36). Moreover, we previously demonstrated a gradient across the liver in patients with liver cirrhosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis suggesting intrahepatic excretion (16,18,37). Furthermore, a recent study found that the monocyte activation marker CD14 was elevated in patients with PSC and predicted liver-transplant-free survival (9). Thus, we believe that the measured sCD163 and sMR levels reflect liver disease severity and stage in PSC, also indicated by the relation found to disease pathophysiology (6–8).

PSC is a chronic liver disease primarily affecting the bile ducts, but as the disease progresses, liver fibrosis and cirrhosis may develop. In line with this, we observed elevated levels of markers of macrophage activation that correlated with disease progression as estimated by Mayo score, ELF test, AOM, ALP, and bilirubin. Thus, it may be suggested that macrophage activation markers are elevated in relation to the grade of fibrosis or cirrhosis, and hence a measure of unspecific liver disease.

On the other hand, PSC-specific characteristics, such as chronic inflammation in the biliary tree, may stimulate macrophages in the surrounding area, as supported by elevated numbers of perisinusoidal macrophages in liver biopsies from patients with PSC compared with patients with PBC and normal controls (6,7). Furthermore, genome-wide association studies have identified a single-nucleotide polymorphism in the gene coding the macrophage stimulating-1.
Macrophage activation markers are associated with disease severity and prognosis in multiple liver diseases.

In primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), markers of prognosis are highly warranted.

Macrophage activation markers, sCD163 and mannose receptor (sMR), have never been investigated in patients with PSC.

Macrophage activation markers correlate with the Enhanced Liver Fibrosis test, Mayo score, and AOM in PSC.

sCD163 is associated with risk of death or liver transplantation in patients with PSC.

Macrophage activation should be studied further in PSC.

sCD163 should be included in future PSC trials with repeated measurements.
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