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Abstract
Introduction: Chronic pain (CP) patients often display lower heart rate variability (HRV) and baroreceptor sensitivity (BRS), which are associated with increased evoked pain intensity and decreased pain tolerance.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to test whether the association between low levels of HRV and BRS and increased evoked pain responsiveness in individuals with CP is mediated by psychological distress and whether this mediation is sex dependent.

Methods: The sample consisted of 877 participants in Wave 6 of the Tromsø population study who reported clinically meaningful CP. Resting HRV and BRS parameters were derived from continuous beat-to-beat blood pressure recordings. Psychological distress was assessed using the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-10. After cardiovascular assessment, participants completed a 106-second cold pressor task (3°C bath), which assessed cold pressor pain intensity (CPI) and cold pressor pain tolerance (CPT).

Results: In the full CP sample, mediation analyses showed significant indirect effects, without direct effects, of HRV and BRS on both CPT and CPI via psychological distress. When stratified by sex, significant indirect effects via psychological distress were only found in males for the impact of rMSSD on CPT, the impact of SDNN on CPT, and the impact of BRS on CPT via psychological distress. Moderated mediation analyses revealed that there were no significant sex differences in the indirect effects of HRV and BRS on both CPT and CPI via psychological distress.

Conclusions: The hypoalgesic impact of cardiovascular regulatory systems on evoked pain responses is conveyed via the indirect effects of psychological distress.
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1. Introduction

Baroreceptor sensitivity (BRS) and heart rate variability (HRV) are among the most important indexes of cardiovascular and autonomic health in those suffering from chronic pain (CP). BRS assesses efficiency of the baroreflex, a homeostatic feedback loop important for maintaining healthy blood pressure levels, whereas HRV captures variability in the time interval between successive heartbeats. The standard deviation of the average normal-to-normal interbeat
intervals (SDNN) and the root mean square of successive RR interval differences (rMSSD) are specific HRV parameters associated with a subset of vagal fibers that modulate their activity in response to physiological changes.\textsuperscript{37,41,62,63} Meta-analysis\textsuperscript{65} indicates that CP is associated with reduced rMSSD,\textsuperscript{8} which can be associated with poor fear inhibition,\textsuperscript{68} failure to recognize safety cues,\textsuperscript{62} and hypervigilance\textsuperscript{61} manifesting in worry and rumination.\textsuperscript{6,49} Significant differences in SDNN have also been found in individuals with fibromyalgia, neuropathic pain, and orofacial pain when compared with healthy controls.\textsuperscript{65} Elevated HRV and BRS are linked to optimized cardiac regulation,\textsuperscript{34,43,60} emotion regulation,\textsuperscript{35,63} meaning in life,\textsuperscript{41} and increased pain resiliency and lower pain sensitivity in healthy individuals.\textsuperscript{19,24,26,51} Lower SDNN and rMSSD are associated with greater pain intensity in those with CP.\textsuperscript{3,8} The experience of more intense pain in those with CP may be interfering with parasympathetic regulatory activity.\textsuperscript{17,20,53}

Weekly elevations of pain and stress in CP patients predict increased psychological distress; conversely, greater positive affect predicts lower pain intensity.\textsuperscript{31,71} Psychological distress, which is often comorbid with CP,\textsuperscript{67} could contribute to reduced HRV and BRS and thus might influence both evoked pain tolerance and intensity.\textsuperscript{8} Moreover, sex differences have also been found\textsuperscript{47} in associations between cardiovascular function and pain responsiveness.

The current study aimed to test whether the impact of HRV and BRS on cold pressor pain tolerance and intensity is mediated by psychological distress and sex dependent in participants reporting CP. We hypothesized that levels of psychological distress would significantly mediate the impact of rMSSD, SDNN, and BRS on cold pressor pain intensity and tolerance in those with CP. Furthermore, we hypothesized that there would be significant differences between men and women in the extent to which psychological distress mediates the relationship between HRV and BRS measures and evoked pain responsiveness.

2. Methods

2.1. Design

The Tromsø Study has been an ongoing epidemiological study of chronic disease prevalence, health issues, and symptoms in Norway. To date, 7 surveys (6–7 years apart) have been conducted. Tromsø 6 provided data for the current study and included assessment of sociodemographic, psychosocial, and health-related factors as well as a standardized cold pressor protocol.\textsuperscript{15} The study and analysis were approved by the Data Inspectorate of Norway, the Regional Committee of Medical and Health Research Ethics of Northern Norway (#8885, December 10, 2019), and complies with the Declaration of Helsinki. Each participant gave written informed consent before participation.

2.2. Sample

For Tromsø 6 (2007–2008), 19,762 men and women were invited and 12,982 of them (65.7%) aged 30 to 87 years participated. The sample was recruited from 4 different groups: (1) all previous attendees in the second visit of Tromsø 4 (1994–1996), (2) a 10% random sample of individuals aged 30 to 39 years, (3) all inhabitants aged 40 to 42 years and 60 to 67 years, and (4) a 40% random sample of inhabitants aged 43–59 years.\textsuperscript{15} All participants in Tromsø 6 were asked to participate in a cold pressor test to evaluate acute pain responsiveness; because of capacity problems, some participants left the testing site without being examined.

Within the total potential sample available for this study, 1,143 participants reported experiencing clinically meaningful CP, operationalized as participants reporting that: (1) they were currently experiencing persistent pain that had lasted for ≥3 months, (2) the pain was experienced daily, and (3) the pain had a usual severity of ≥3/10 on a 0 to 10 pain intensity scale (described below).\textsuperscript{48} From this group, a final sample (n = 877) was selected based on the following criteria: (1) age 30–65 years; (2) continuous BP data sufficient to derive HRV and/or BRS values; (3) valid cold pressor pain intensity ratings and cold pressor pain tolerance times and; (4) valid reports of negative affect on the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-10. Individuals not meeting the criteria for CP or other study criteria were excluded from the study sample. Figure 1 shows the CP population selection process and Table 1 displays the sociodemographic characteristics of the final sample analyzed.

2.3. Apparatus and assessment

2.3.1. Chronic pain assessment

All participants rated their usual CP intensity on a 0 to 10 numeric rating scale, anchored with “no pain” and “worst pain imaginable.” Participants also reported all body locations in which they experienced CP (from a list of 14 locations; yes/no format). The number of reported pain locations was summed, creating a variable reflecting the total number of CP locations (range: 1–14). Pain locations included head, jaw, neck, back, shoulder, arm, hand, hip, leg, foot, chest, stomach, genitals, and skin. Pain duration was recorded in years.

2.3.2. Psychological distress assessment

The Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25 (HSCL-25)\textsuperscript{13} assesses symptoms of anxiety and depression using 25 items on a 4-point scale (“not at all” to “extremely”). The shortening of the HSCL-25 to the 10-item HSCL-10 does not adversely affect specificity or sensitivity and has been validated in the Norwegian population.\textsuperscript{29} It consists of 2 subscales, anxiety (5 items) and depression (5 items), with these 2 subscales aggregated into a total score assessing overall psychological distress.\textsuperscript{59}

2.3.3. Cardiovascular assessment

A noninvasive beat-to-beat blood pressure (BP) monitor (Finometer Pro; Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) was used to assess BP, BRS, and HRV via continuous examination of the arterial pressure wave in the middle finger of the nondominant hand and analysis of the pulse wave data (as an estimate of the R-R interval).\textsuperscript{52} Matlab R2015 was used for Finometer data preprocessing, artifact correction, and data formatting as well as for BRS derivation. The Finometer data were cleaned for technical errors using a threshold-based recording rejection method that removed nonphysiological values and sporadic artifacts.\textsuperscript{15} The resting HRV and BRS values reported here for each participant were recorded during the seated rest period before the cold pressor test was conducted.

2.3.3.1. Heart rate variability

The current analyses focused on the standard deviation of the NN intervals (SDNN) (in milliseconds) and the root mean square of successive RR interval differences (rMSSD) (milliseconds). All participant HRV data were processed using the RHRV module.
2.3.3.2. Baroreceptor sensitivity

Baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) values (in milliseconds/mm Hg) were derived using the sequence technique based on procedures described previously. The technique identifies spontaneous ramps in BP that are associated with concordant changes in the R-R interval. Sequence method BRS data derived using R-R interval estimations using the pulse wave from finger plethysmograph devices (like the Finometer used in the current study) have been found to correspond well with BRS measures derived using ECG recordings when obtained under short resting conditions and is not confounded by respiratory effects.

2.3.4. Cold pressor assessment

A 3°C circulating water bath (Julabo PF40-HE; JULABO Labortecnik GmbH, Seelbach, Germany), connected to a 13-L external plexiglass container with a flow of 22 L/min, was used in the cold pressor test. The procedure began with participants seated in a comfortable chair with instructions to relax for 30 seconds. Participants were asked to submerge their dominant hand up to the wrist in the cold water and keep their hand still without clenching or making a fist. They were instructed to continue until their pain tolerance was reached or the full test was completed (106 seconds). During the cold pressor test, participants rated their pain intensity on a verbal numeric rating scale (NRS) every time they heard a recorded voice say “now,” by calling out a number from 0 through 10, with 0 representing “no pain” and 10 representing “worst pain imaginable.” These ratings of cold pressor pain intensity (CPI) were obtained 4 seconds after cold pressor onset and every 9 seconds thereafter for a total of 12 ratings. Cold pressor pain tolerance (CPT) time (in seconds) was recorded when the hand was removed from the water.

2.4. Procedure

Participants completed 2 questionnaires including items regarding various health issues, symptoms and diseases (medical and psychological), and medication use. Physical examinations, performed by trained personnel, included measurements of height, weight, waist and hip measurements, and resting systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP/DBP). Height and weight were measured in cm and kg, respectively. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kg/m². Waist and hip circumferences were measured in cm, and waist-to-hip ratio was calculated as waist (cm)/hip (cm).

A single study technician conducted all laboratory testing procedures with participants seated. The cardiovascular and cold pressor assessment procedure began with participants resting quietly for 5 minutes as the cold pressor test was described and the Finometer Pro device was placed and calibrated. Continuous resting cardiovascular data were then recorded for a 30-second resting baseline assessment period followed by the cold pressor task. Resting oscillometric BP measurements (SBP/DBP) were then obtained in a separate, adjacent room at least 25 minutes after the cold pressor test as in previous population studies. Participants remained seated and completed the HSCL-10 during this time.

2.5. Statistical analyses

Sociodemographic sample characteristics (Table 1) are presented as mean with standard deviation for continuous variables and frequencies with percentages for categorical variables. Descriptive statistics (Table 2) are provided for HRV, BRS, CPT, and CPI overall and by sex. Differences by sex were tested using independent sample t-tests. A χ² test was used to test sex differences for categorical variables. Pearson correlational analyses were conducted to examine pairwise associations between HRV, BRS, HSCL-10, CPT, and CPI variables (Table 3A). All primary mediation analyses were conducted with Stata/MP version 16.1 while further analyses were performed in IBM SPSS version 26 for Windows. For CPI, the average of 12 pain ratings during the cold pressor task was calculated for each participant. The expectation-maximization algorithm was used to impute any missing values for CPI before calculating the average NRS pain rating for each participant.
To test associations between CPT/CPI and HRV/BRS, and between HSCL-10 and HRV/BRS independent of the influence of age, sex, and BMI (Table 3A). For each sex, the partial correlation coefficients were adjusted for age and BMI (Tables 3B and C). Associations between cardiovascular measures and clinical CP measures (usual pain intensity ratings and number of pain body sites) were evaluated using Pearson correlations (Table 4).

To test our mediation hypothesis (Fig. 2), structural equation modeling (SEM) in Stata was used to examine the direct and indirect (via HSCL-10 scores as the mediator) effects of HRV and BRS on CPT and CPI for the whole sample (n = 877) (Table 5A) and within each sex subgroup (n = 341 males and n = 536 females) (Tables 5B and C). Because the various HRV and BRS measures were significantly correlated in the overall sample (Table 3A), each mediation model evaluated included only a single HRV or BRS measure to avoid issues of multicollinearity. The primary mediation analyses used a series of hierarchical linear regressions (embedded in SEM); CPT/CPI were specified as the dependent variables, HRV/BRS as the independent measures were significantly correlated in the overall sample (n = 877).
To account for missing data in the independent HRV and BRS variables (the latter was missing in ~26% of the total n = 877 sample) that could have influenced the overall mediation results, SEM with full information maximum likelihood was applied first to impute missing data (assuming missing at random) and thereafter fitted to the path models (3 linear regression models). Additionally, SEM with maximum likelihood as an estimation method without imputing missing data was also carried out. The results without imputing missing data were valid if the missing values were Missing Completely at Random.

In our analysis, an indirect (mediated) effect was considered significant if the 95% confidence interval (CI) did not contain zero. For the mediation analyses, bootstrap methods (percentile and bias-corrected) were used to estimate the path coefficients and 95% CIs, which were based on 2000 random samples with replacements from the original sample (overall and by sex). Additive difference in mediated effects between males and females was tested for significance by subtracting the mediated effects of males from those of females for effects between males and females was tested for significance by t hypothesis (overall and by sex). Additive difference in mediated effects between males and females was tested for significance by subtracting the mediated effects of males from those of females for each bootstrap. The percentile bootstrap CI was derived after 2000 bootstraps for the difference in mediated effects between sexes. All mediation analyses were adequately powered based on previously published empirical power estimates for percentile and bias-corrected bootstrap methodology for large sample sizes.

### Table 2

|                     | Total (n = 561–877) | Females (n = 344–536) | Males (n = 217–341) | Difference (95% CI) (n = 653–870) | P (two independent samples t test) |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CPT (s)             |                     |                       |                     |                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)           | 85.0 (31.0)         | 81.9 (32.4)           | 89.9 (28.1)         | 7.95 (3.88, 12.01)               | <0.001                           |
| CI (0–10)           | 6.7 (2.3)           | 6.9 (2.2)             | 6.3 (2.3)           | -0.62 (-0.93, -0.31)             | <0.001                           |
| rMSSD (ms)          | 29.5 (23.4)         | 29.6 (23.2)           | 29.4 (23.7)         | -0.21 (-3.40, 2.99)              | 0.901                            |
| SDNN (ms)           | 38.6 (25.6)         | 38.1 (25.8)           | 39.4 (25.3)         | 1.39 (-2.08, 4.86)               | 0.435                            |
| BRS (ms/mm Hg)      | 11.4 (16.1)         | 11.2 (14.7)           | 11.8 (18.1)         | 0.55 (-2.12, 3.22)               | 0.672                            |

Probability values refer to comparisons between sexes.

CPT, cold pressor tolerance; CPI, cold pressor intensity; BRS, baroreflex sensitivity; rMSSD, root mean square of the successive differences of the R-R intervals; SDNN, standard deviation of R-R intervals.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Sample characteristics

Sample characteristics are summarized overall and by sex in Table 1. The CP population comprised more females (n = 536; 61.1%) than males (n = 341; 38.9%) yet both sexes were of similar age. Average past week clinical chronic pain intensity was statistically similar across both sexes and moderate in intensity. Widespread pain was significantly more common in females than males. Furthermore, females reported significantly higher psychological distress than males (average difference [95% CI] P-value: −1.51 [−2.21, −0.81], P < 0.001) and had pain for a longer duration. Males had higher alcohol and coffee consumption as well as higher average SBP and DBP but exercised less than females. HRV, BRS, and cold pressor outcomes are summarized overall and by sex in Table 2. Mean CPT was significantly higher in males than in females (average difference [95% CI] P-value: 7.95 [3.88, 12.01], P < 0.001) and as expected, the opposite was true for CPI (−0.62 [−0.93, −0.31], P < 0.001). There were no significant differences in BRS, SDNN, or rMSSD parameters between the sexes.

#### 3.2. Pearson and partial correlations between heart rate variability, baroreflex sensitivity, and pain-related outcomes

Pearson and partial intercorrelations between cardiovascular parameters, psychological distress, and cold pressor pain outcomes for the entire CP population and separately, for males and females, are summarized in Table 3. Partial correlations showed that after controlling for potential confounds of age, sex, and BMI in the entire CP sample, psychological distress (HSCL-10) was significantly and inversely correlated with CPT (r = −0.207, P < 0.001) and positively correlated with CPI (r = 0.180, P < 0.001)—both representing small effect sizes. Partial correlations in males controlling for age and BMI revealed significant negative correlations (small effect sizes) between psychological distress and rMSSD, SDNN, and CPT. For females, psychological distress displayed a significant negative correlation with CPT and a positive correlation with CPI (see Appendix Table I for exact P-values, available as supplemental digital content at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A147). Both of these findings indicated small effect sizes.

Table 4 indicates that in the full sample (n = 877), SDNN showed a small but significant inverse association with the number of chronic pain sites, and BRS showed a similar inverse association with usual pain intensity. Other associations between clinical pain outcomes and cardiovascular measures were not significant. Psychological distress showed a significant positive correlation with both usual pain intensity (r = 0.155, P < 0.001) and number of chronic pain sites (r = 0.270, P < 0.001). CPT exhibited significant inverse associations with both usual pain intensity and number of chronic pain sites, whereas CPI had a significant positive correlation only with usual pain intensity. All these associations represented small effect sizes. In females, small but significant inverse associations were noted between rMSSD and SDNN and both usual pain intensity and number of chronic pain sites. BRS was inversely associated only with usual pain intensity. Psychological distress showed notable positive correlations with usual pain intensity (r = 0.195, P < 0.001) and number of chronic pain sites (r = 0.263, P < 0.001). Additionally, usual pain intensity was associated significantly with both CPT (inverse) and CPI (positive). All the significant associations noted in females represented small effect sizes. In males, there were no significant associations found among the cardiovascular parameters, clinical pain parameters, and experimental pain parameters except for a significant positive correlation between the number of CP sites and psychological distress (see Appendix, Table II for exact P-values, available as supplemental digital content at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A147).

#### 3.3. Mediation analyses

To evaluate our primary hypothesis, we performed a mediation analysis (Fig. 2) for the full CP sample (n = 877) which
tested for the indirect effects of HRV and BRS on cold pressor pain intensity (CPI) and tolerance (CPT) via psychological distress (HSCL-10). As shown in Table 5A, these analyses showed that the direct effect of HRV and BRS on CPT and CPI were nonsignificant. However, significant indirect-only mediation was found for the impact of rMSSD, SDNN, and BRS on CPI and CPT via psychological distress for the entire CP population.

Parallel analyses using non-imputed data indicated that in the full sample, indirect-only (mediated) effects for rMSSD and BRS remained, although the indirect effects for SDNN were no longer significant (see Appendix, Table IIIA, available as supplemental digital content at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A147). Even though SDNN and rMSSD are intercorrelated, SDNN has been shown to be less reliable under short recording windows. The significant indirect-only effects of SDNN and rMSSD on CPT in
males using imputed data remained significant in analyses using non-imputed data, although only direct effects were noted between BRS and CPT in analyses using non-imputed data (Appendix, Table IIIB, available as supplemental digital content at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A147).

To evaluate hypothesized sex differences in these mediation effects, our sample was stratified by sex and mediation analysis was rerun separately for males and females. In males (Table 5B), mediation analyses revealed significant indirect-only mediation effects for the impact of rMSSD, SDNN, and BRS on CPT via psychological distress. In addition to these indirect-only mediated effects, analyses in CP males also revealed significant complementary mediation (mediation with direct effect) for the impact of BRS on CPT via psychological distress. No significant direct or indirect effects via psychological distress were found in mediation analyses carried out in CP females (see Table 5C and Appendix Table IIIIC, available as supplemental digital content at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A147). Finally, a moderated mediation analysis for our entire CP population (n = 877) (Table 5D) was performed to determine whether the hypothesized mediation model was significantly different between CP males and females (see Appendix Table IIID for mediation without imputing data, available as supplemental digital content at http://links.lww.com/PR9/A147). Findings showed that...

---

**Table 5**

Mediation analyses evaluating the total direct effect and indirect effect (via psychological distress) of cardiovascular parameters on cold pressor pain intensity (CPI) and tolerance (CPT) via psychological distress levels (HSCL-10). HRV, heart rate variability.

**A. Overall chronic pain population (n = 877)**

| Cardiovascular parameter | Cold pressor outcome | Direct effect (95% CI) | Indirect/mediated effect via HSCL-10 (95% CI) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| rMSSD                   | CPT                  | 0.016 (−0.063, 0.095)  | 0.022* (0.007, 0.042)                        |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.0005 (−0.007, 0.006) | −0.001* (−0.003, −0.0004)                    |
| SDNN                    | CPT                  | 0.020 (−0.057, 0.092)  | 0.016* (0.006, 0.034)                       |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.002 (−0.007, 0.004) | −0.001* (−0.002, −0.00003)                  |
| BRS                     | CPT                  | 0.053 (−0.075, 0.135)  | 0.027* (0.007, 0.055)                       |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.007 (−0.016, 0.002) | −0.002* (−0.003, −0.0005)                   |

**B. Male chronic pain participants only (n = 341)**

| Cardiovascular parameter | Cold pressor outcome | Direct effect (95% CI) | Indirect/mediated effect via HSCL-10 (95% CI) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| rMSSD                   | CPT                  | 0.071 (−0.043, 0.171)  | 0.024* (0.003, 0.053)                        |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.004 (−0.014, 0.006) | −0.001 (−0.003, 0.0003)                      |
| SDNN                    | CPT                  | 0.057 (−0.057, 0.160)  | 0.022* (0.002, 0.052)                       |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.002 (−0.011, 0.008) | −0.001 (−0.003, 0.0002)                      |
| BRS                     | CPT                  | 0.125 (0.048, 0.364)   | 0.022* (0.002, 0.073)                       |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.011 (−0.032, −0.002) | −0.001 (−0.005, 0.0004)                     |

**C. Female chronic pain participants only (n = 536)**

| Cardiovascular parameter | Cold pressor outcome | Direct effect (95% CI) | Indirect/mediated effect via HSCL-10 (95% CI) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| rMSSD                   | CPT                  | −0.014 (−0.123, 0.090) | 0.015 (−0.006, 0.045)                       |
|                         | CPI                  | 0.001 (−0.007, 0.008)  | −0.001 (−0.003, 0.0004)                      |
| SDNN                    | CPT                  | −0.002 (−0.101, 0.090) | 0.006 (−0.019, 0.031)                       |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.002 (−0.009, 0.005) | −0.0004 (−0.002, 0.001)                     |
| BRS                     | CPT                  | −0.002 (−0.276, 0.138) | 0.024 (−0.022, 0.076)                       |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.004 (−0.019, 0.013) | −0.002 (−0.004, 0.002)                      |

**D. Moderated mediation analysis for chronic pain participants (n = 877)**

| Cardiovascular parameter | Cold pressor outcome | Difference in mediated effects between males and females (n = 877) | Percentile method: bootstrapped 95% CI for the difference |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| rMSSD                   | CPT                  | 0.0093                                                       | (−0.0252, 0.0434)                                         |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.0028                                                      | (−0.0026, 0.0029)                                         |
| SDNN                    | CPT                  | 0.0162                                                       | (−0.0155, 0.0510)                                         |
|                         | CPI                  | −0.0079                                                      | (−0.0031, 0.0013)                                         |
| BRS                     | CPT                  | −0.00224                                                     | (−0.0512, 0.0668)                                         |
|                         | CPI                  | 0.0036                                                       | (−0.0043, 0.0037)                                         |

BRS, baroreflex sensitivity; rMSSD, root mean square of the successive differences of the R-R intervals; SDNN, standard deviation of R-R intervals.
* P < 0.05.
sex did not significantly moderate the indirect effects of any of the cardiovascular variables on CPT or CPI via psychological distress (i.e., the 95% CI for the sex difference in the mediated effects contained zero).

In summary, significant indirect-only mediation was found for the impact of rMSSD and SDNN on CPT in the entire CP population. When stratified by sex, both significant indirect and direct effects of BRS on CPT were noted in males (i.e., complementary mediation). Moderated mediation analyses indicated that there were no significant sex differences between males and females for the impact of rMSSD, SDNN, and BRS on CPT and CPI via psychological distress.

4. Discussion

The role psychological distress plays in mediating the impact of HRV and BRS on evoked pain responsiveness in individuals with CP has remained unclear. The current study tested whether: (1) associations between rMSSD, SDNN, and BRS and responses to the cold pressor pain task were mediated by psychological distress and (2) whether that mediation was dependent on sex.

Our findings indicate that psychological distress significantly mediated the impact of rMSSD, SDNN, and BRS on cold pressor pain tolerance (CPT) and intensity (CPI) for those diagnosed with CP. These mediated effects occurred in the absence of any significant direct effects (i.e., indirect-only mediation). When stratified by sex, psychological distress significantly mediated associations between both HRV and BRS measures and CPT in males (i.e., complementary mediation). Females with CP exhibited no statistically significant mediation effects for psychological distress. Contrary to our secondary hypothesis, a formal moderated mediation test of these apparent sex differences did not reveal significant sex moderation effects.

The absence of sex moderation effects for our mediation model is surprising given that females display significantly higher psychological distress. Contrary to results regarding evoked pain responsiveness, analyses by sex revealed that significant inverse associations between HRV, BRS, and CP intensity were observed only in females. The mechanisms by which CP weakens these associations which are commonly found among psychological distress, autonomic tone, and pain remain unclear. Males and females had statistically comparable HRV and BRS levels, so any apparent sex differences did not appear to be driven by baseline differences in these cardiovascular measures.

Although complementary mediation (both direct and indirect effects) was found for links between BRS and CPI in males with CP, most of the significant indirect effects of HRV and BRS on evoked pain responses via psychological distress occurred in the absence of significant direct effects (indirect-only mediation). The presence of significant indirect-only mediation (mediated effects in the absence of significant direct associations between independent and dependent variables) has been well recognized in prior statistical literature. Recent research has shown that step 1 in the study by Baron and Kenny is not a requirement for mediation; several methodological studies have shown that mediated effects can be statistically significant even when the total effects are not.

The general absence of significant direct associations in the current work may relate to previous findings, suggesting that hypoalgesia related to resting HRV is significantly reduced in individuals with CP relative to healthy pain-free populations. Limited work indicates that direct enhancement of HRV may be hypoalgesic in individuals free of CP and with those suffering from conditions defined by autonomic imbalance/dysregulation—a characteristic of CP. While speculative, CP may have reduced the magnitude of direct HRV- and BRS-related hypoalgesia sufficiently enough to leave only the indirect effects conveyed via psychological distress that were observed in this current study.

The current study has several limitations. Due to the large population size and time constraints during data collection, ultrashort HRV and BRS recording periods (30 seconds) were used. Ultrashort HRV recordings prevented analyses of HRV parameters, such as high-frequency HRV, which are deemed unreliable under such short recording windows. Furthermore, reliance on pulse wave-derived HRV values, rather than ECG-derived HRV values, can potentially lead to overestimation. Not accounting for caffeine consumption, physical exercise intensity, specific cardioactive medication use, and nicotine intake immediately before HRV participant recording sessions may have influenced our results. It also remains unclear whether descending modulation of pain and HRV may have been cognitively confounded in our study during the cold pressor task. Finally, it is important to note that all significant correlations in this study indicated small effect sizes, so clinical importance of these effects remains unclear.

4.1. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study found that in a large CP population sample of wide age range, the impact of HRV and BRS on evoked pain tolerance and intensity was not direct. Rather, the impact of HRV and BRS on evoked pain responses was conveyed indirectly via psychological distress, with these mediated effects not differing significantly by sex. Future work to enhance our understanding of the mechanisms accounting for indirect-only vs complementary mediation effects appears warranted.
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