Neurological manifestations in patients hospitalized with COVID-19: A retrospective analysis from a large cohort in Northern Italy
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Abstract
SARS-CoV2 infection is a systemic disease that may involve multiple organs, including the central nervous system (CNS). Aims of our study are to describe prevalence and clinical features of neurological manifestations, mortality and hospital discharge in subjects hospitalized with COVID-19. All individuals admitted for to our hospital COVID-19 were retrospectively included. Patients were classified according to the symptoms at hospital entry in (1) isolated respiratory, (2) combined respiratory and neurologic, (3) isolated neurologic and (4) stroke manifestations. Descriptive statistics and nonparametric tests to compare the groups were calculated. Kaplan Meier probability curves and multivariable Cox regression models for survival and hospital discharge were applied. The analysis included 901 patients: 42.6% showed a severe or critical disease with an overall mortality of 21.2%. At least one neurological
Introducing the SARS-CoV2 infection that quickly spread worldwide, COVID-19 disease showed its complexity with different clinical manifestations, including nervous system involvement. The exact mechanism by which SARS-CoV-2 penetrates the central nervous system (CNS) remains unclear, but it has been proposed that the virus may reach the brain via the cribriform plate and olfactory bulb (Baig et al., 2020), after systemic circulatory dissemination following infection of the lung (Netland et al., 2008) or as a result of involuntary control of breathing in acute respiratory insufficiency requiring assisted ventilation (Baig, 2020). Postmortem and neuroimaging studies showed evidence of cerebral inflammation, leukoencephalopathy, microthrombosis, and bleeding phenomena. Viral RNA is rarely found in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and postmortem brain samples, whereas specific anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies have been detected in CSF. Other mechanisms leading to CNS dysfunction include cytokine-driven inflammatory responses, abnormalities of the endothelial cells, blood-brain barrier damage, autoimmune manifestations, and coagulative disorders. Additionally, some issues such as the psychological trauma of life-threatening illness and the pandemic-related socio-economic stressors may be relevant to psychiatric disorders development (Butler et al., 2020).

So far, a large number of case reports and some case series about the neurologic features of COVID-19 have been published. The primary data suggested that the CNS involvement during SARS-CoV-2 infection was very common. In the first case series from Wuhan, China, Mao reported an incidence of 36% in their study population (78 out of 214), varying from nonspecific symptoms to more characteristic symptoms or disease (as encephalitis, seizures, consciousness disturbance, stroke), involvement of peripheral nervous system and neuromuscular disorders (Mao et al., 2020). Similarly, Romero-Sánchez detected neurologic symptoms in 57.4% (483 out of 841) patients with COVID-19 from the Spanish ALBACOVID registry (Romero-Sánchez et al., 2020), and Karadaş found neurologic involvement in 34.7% (83 out of 239) patients in Ankara, Turkey (Karadaş et al., 2020). On the other hand, further studies suggested a lower occurrence: Xiong registered new-onset specific neurologic events in 4.2% patients with COVID-19 (39 out of 917) in 56 hospitals designated as COVID-19 hubs in China (Xiong et al., 2020) whereas Pinna found CNS involvement in 7.7% patients (50 out of 650) hospitalized with COVID-19 in Chicago, Illinois (Pinna et al., 2020). Taken together, these papers suggest a great diversity of clinical pictures that range from nonspecific symptoms (myopathy, dizziness, or headache) to distinctive symptoms (like anosmia and ageusia) and more severe manifestations such as impaired consciousness, seizures or stroke (Pinzon et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Some clinical manifestations could be considered as COVID-19-specific because of peculiar underlying mechanisms of development during SARS-CoV-2 infection: besides anosmia and ageusia, that are considered useful diagnostic markers, impaired consciousness, peripheral neuropathies such as Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) and stroke could be enlisted here. Generally, some of the common nonspecific symptoms, as well as the distinctive anosmia and ageusia, appear early in the clinical course of the disease, whereas specific symptoms are more commonly reported in severely ill individuals (Pezzini & Padovani, 2020).

These studies provide essential information on the potential neurological manifestations related to SARS-CoV-2 infection, but several important limitations, such as methodological differences among the analyses, should be...
considered when interpreting the results. Moreover, a correct estimation of incidence and prevalence of neurologic involvement during COVID-19 is difficult. Aims of our study are to describe prevalence of neurological symptoms and to analyse demographic characteristics, clinical features, mortality and hospital discharge rates in patients admitted for COVID-19 in large a tertiary Hospital located in Milan, Northern Italy.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Subjects

A retrospective, single centre analysis included all the patients consecutively admitted to our hospital who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 at real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on rhino-pharyngeal swab from February 23 to May 31, 2020. According to the local policies, the swab was collected in the Emergency Department not only in patients accessing for respiratory complaints but also in the presence of other warning factors (i.e., fever, diarrhea or other gastrointestinal symptoms, anosmia or dysgeusia, stroke, contact with infected individuals, living in “red zone” high risk areas, and so on) or in patients requiring hospital admission for other medical reasons.

2.2 | Data collection

Demographic and clinical features were collected from the hospital electronic patient records, and the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was calculated for each subject. All the subjects who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 underwent chest computed tomography (CT) scan to assess lung involvement. Individuals were then classified according to the World Health Organization severity classification (updated at the end of May 2020) in moderate, severe and critical disease (2021). A ‘moderate’ form included respiratory complaints and radiologic evidence of interstitial pneumonia but without respiratory failure. A ‘severe’ case was defined as the presence of respiratory distress (respiratory rate ≥30 per min) or oxygen saturation on room air at rest ≤90%. A ‘critical’ case was defined as the presence of respiratory failure due to bilateral pneumonia in association with either septic shock or acute respiratory distress syndrome observed in the first 72 hr from hospital admission.

Patients were stratified according to the presence of respiratory and/or neurologic disturbances at admittance in the Emergency Department. For postinfective encephalitis or peripheral neuropathy, symptoms were collected not only at admittance but also during the whole hospital stay since these clinical conditions may develop later. Patients were then classified according to the symptoms at hospital entry in (1) isolated respiratory, (2) combined respiratory and neurologic, (3) isolated neurologic and (4) stroke manifestations. The diagnosis of the neurologic disturbances relied on patients reports and clinical examination for the majority of complaints (headache, dysgeusia, anosmia, psychomotor agitation, mental confusion and dizziness). Patients underwent cerebral CT scan in case of stroke, seizure and syncope. Electrophysiology tests were performed in case of seizures and neuropathies. Lumbar puncture with CSF assessment was performed only in case of encephalitis and postinfective disease. Advanced neuroimaging, such as magnetic resonance (MR), could not be performed to reduce the risk of contamination and subsequent cross-infection.

2.3 | Ethical approval

The local Ethics Committee approved the protocol under the special conditions indicated by the Italian 648/96 law. All subjects provided written informed consent.

2.4 | Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics (median and interquartile range [IQR] for continuous variables, absolute and relative [%] values for categorical variables) and nonparametric tests (Kruskal-Wallis for continuous and Chi-square for categorical variables) were applied to compare the groups. Kaplan Meier probability curves and multivariable Cox regression models for survival and hospital discharge were used. Two-tailed $p$ values were calculated, and a value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data management and analysis were performed using SPSS version 25.

3 | RESULTS

The analysis included 901 patients admitted for COVID-19. Clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1: they were mainly Caucasian (81.7%) and with a median age of 64 years (IQR 52–77); both sexes were equally represented (males 51.7%). Although CCI was low (median 3 with IQR 1–5), 42.6% of patients showed a severe or critical disease with an overall in-hospital mortality of 21.2%. The majority (629 individuals, 69.8%) presented only respiratory symptoms while 272 subjects (30.2%) showed at least one neurological complaint at admittance: they were classified according to the coexistence of respiratory and neurologic disturbances (111, 12.3%) or the presence of isolated neurologic symptoms (108, 12.0%). Given the differences in terms on demographic and clinical features (Table 2), 53 individuals presenting with stroke (5.9%) were
TABLE 1  Clinical and demographic features of Study population

| Study population (N = 901) |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Male sex, N (%)           | 556 (51.7) |
| Age (years), median (IQR) | 64 (52–77) |
| Ethnicity, N (%)          |  |
| Caucasian                 | 736 (81.7) |
| Latino                    | 88 (9.8)   |
| MENA                      | 27 (3.0)   |
| Asian                     | 39 (4.3)   |
| Black African             | 11 (1.2)   |
| Charlson comorbidity Index, median (IQR) | 3 (1–5) |
| Diabetes, N (%)           | 176 (19.5) |
| Significant overweight/obesity, N (%) | 220 (24.4) |
| Blood hypertension, N (%) | 396 (44.0) |
| Ischemic heart disease, N (%) | 110 (12.2) |
| COPD, N (%)               | 88 (9.8)   |
| Advanced kidney disease*, N (%) | 66 (7.3) |
| Hemodialysis, N (%)       | 3 (0.3)    |
| Liver cirrhosis, N (%)    | 4 (0.4)    |
| Solid organ transplant recipient, N (%) | 15 (1.7) |
| Active neoplastic disease*, N (%) | 57 (6.3) |
| Autoimmune disease*, N (%) | 21 (2.3) |
| Time to Hospital Admittance (days), median (IQR) | 6 (3–9) |
| Disease severity, N (%)   |  |
| Moderate                  | 517 (57.4) |
| Severe                    | 126 (14.0) |
| Critical                  | 258 (28.6) |
| Overall in-hospital mortality, N (%) | 191 (21.2) |
| Subjects with any neurological symptoms at admittance, N (%) | 272 (30.2) |
| Type of neurologic disturbance observed during hospital stay, N (%) |  |
| Mental confusion/Dizziness | 61 (6.8) |
| Stroke                    | 53 (5.9)   |
| Dysgeusia/Anosmia         | 82 (9.1)   |
| Seizure                   | 19 (2.1)   |
| Syncope                   | 81 (9.0)   |
| Headache                  | 39 (4.3)   |
| Encephalitis              | 5 (0.6)    |
| Psychomotor agitation     | 26 (2.9)   |
| Post-infective encephalitis/neuropathy | 7 (0.8) |

MENA, Middle-East and North Africa; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

*Stage III-V according to the KDIGO classification; ^ either oncologic or hematologic active disease; ° including: rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, multiple sclerosis, primary biliary cirrhosis, Crohn disease, Wegener granulomatosis, vasculitis, psoriasis.

grouped separately. The most common complaints were dysgeusia/anosmia (9.1%) and syncope (9%), followed by mental confusion/dizziness (6.8%). Among those presenting with stroke, 11 (20.8%) were hemorrhagic and 42 (79.2%) acute ischemic. Seventeen cases (32.1%) were considered eligible for treatment: 10 patients (18.9%) underwent thrombolysis and 7 (13.2%) mechan endovascular thrombectomy. Mortality was 23.5% in those who were treated and 44.4% in those who were considered ineligible.

Five patients (0.6%) who presented with mental confusion and psychomotor agitation developed overt encephalitis (or these, three underwent lumbar puncture). Seven individuals (0.7%) showed postinfective neurologic diseases (six with GBS and one with an anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis) and they all underwent lumbar puncture. SARS-CoV-2 was detected in CSF only in one patient. The others had typical inflammatory CSF abnormalities (pleocytosis, high protein levels) but PCR for SARS-CoV-2 tested negative. No significant correlation was observed between CSF parameters and plasma inflammatory markers (data not shown).

As shown in Table 2, patients who developed respiratory symptoms were more commonly males, younger, with a lower CCI and a longer time to hospital admittance compared to those who showed neurologic symptoms. They experienced even a more severe lung involvement and a higher in-hospital mortality compared to those who showed only neurologic symptoms.

If the stratification was performed according to the severity of COVID-19, the presence of any neurologic involvement was higher among those with a moderate disease compared to those with severe or critical disease (37.1% versus 20.6% respectively, p < 0.001). No differences were observed in less specific clinical manifestation such as headache (4.6% versus 3.9%, p = .591) or syncope (10.1% versus 7.6%, p = .193). Indeed, patients with moderate SARS-CoV-2 infection had more commonly COVID-19-specific symptoms such as mental confusion/dizziness (9.5% versus 3.1%, p = .006) and dysgeusia/anosmia (11.0% versus 6.5%, p = .020). Other important manifestations were more common in the presence of a moderate disease like psychomotor agitation (5.0% versus 0%, p < 0.001) or seizures (3.1% versus 0.8%, p = .017). On the other hand, we failed to see differences in terms of encephalitis (1.0% versus 0%, p = .128) and postinfective diseases (1.2% versus 0.3%, p = .123).

The Kaplan Meier probability curves displayed a statistically significant different survival among the four groups (log rank 13.6, p = .003): those presenting with stroke had the worst outcome followed by those with respiratory symptoms, whereas individuals with isolated neurologic manifestations exhibited a better survival (Figure 1). The Cox model showed that all traditional factors (age, male sex, CCI, time to hospital admission) as well as the type of clinical manifestation
were associated to the survival (Table 3). After adjusting for all these factors, age, male sex, CCI and time to hospital admission maintained their effect, whereas stroke did not show to have a different outcome compared to those presenting with respiratory symptoms. Moreover, patients presenting with isolated neurologic complaints upheld a better survival (aHR 0.398, 95% CI [0.206, 0.769], \( p = .006 \)). Conversely, the four subgroups did not show differences in terms of hospital stay and time to discharge (Log rank 1.0, \( p = .795 \), data not shown).

**4 | DISCUSSION**

COVID-19 appears to be a heterogenic disease: SARS-CoV-2 has an organotropism not limited to the respiratory system that involves also kidneys, liver, heart, skin and brain. The syndromic complexity includes very different clinical pictures also in terms of neurologic manifestations. Additionally, some reports of long-lasting disturbances such as disabling fatigue (Halpin et al., 2021) and cognitive difficulties (Zhou et al., 2020) have been published. Thus, these so-called ‘long COVID’ manifestations should be accounted in addition to acute neurologic symptoms.

CNS involvement was observed also with MERS (Kim et al., 2017) and SARS (Lau et al., 2004), but it seems more common in COVID-19. In our cohort, 30.2% of patients described at least one neurologic symptom, but prevalence is different among studies ranging from 4.2% to 57.4%. Table 4 summarizes the main findings regarding the frequency of neurologic symptoms in the published literature.

The mentioned paper by Mao et al. (Mao et al., 2020) reported a more frequent CNS involvement in patients with severe pulmonary disease compared to non-severe disease (31% versus 21%, \( p = .09 \)), whereas in our cohort, patients with isolated neurologic symptoms at admittance had primarily a moderated lung disease (81.5%) and only small numbers were associated with severe and critical respiratory disease (9.4% and 15.1%, respectively). These patients also experienced a lower mortality, suggesting that a primary neurologic disease may have a different course compared to the respiratory syndrome. As a whole, neurologic involvement was higher among those with moderate COVID-19 compared to those with a severe or critical disease (37.1% versus 20.6% respectively, \( p < 0.001 \)). Patients with moderate

---

**Table 2**  Clinical and demographic features of Study population stratified according to baseline symptoms

|                        | Respiratory only (N = 629) | Respiratory/ neurologic symptoms (N = 111) | Neurologic only (N = 108) | Stroke (N = 53) | P     |
|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------|
| Male sex, N (%)        | 409 (65.0)                 | 68 (61.3)                                  | 56 (51.9)                 | 23 (43.4)      | 0.001 |
| Age (years), median (IQR) | 63 (51–75)                 | 62 (52–76)                                 | 72 (50–83)                | 77 (68–85)     | <0.001|
| Ethnicity, N (%)       |                            |                                            |                           |                |       |
| Caucasian              | 499 (79.3)                 | 91 (82.0)                                  | 94 (87.0)                 | 52 (98.1)      | 0.195 |
| Latino                 | 70 (11.1)                  | 15 (13.5)                                  | 3 (2.8)                   | –              |       |
| MENA                   | 22 (3.5)                   | 1 (0.9)                                    | 4 (3.7)                   | –              |       |
| Asian                  | 29 (4.6)                   | 4 (3.6)                                    | 5 (4.6)                   | 1 (1.9)        |       |
| Black African          | 9 (1.4)                    | –                                          | 2 (1.9)                   | –              |       |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index, median (IQR) | 2 (1–4)                  | 2 (1–5)                                    | 4 (1–5)                   | 5 (3–6)        | <0.001|
| Time to Hospital Admittance (days), median (IQR) | 7 (4–10)                  | 7 (5–10)                                   | 1 (0–5)                  | 1 (0–5)        | <0.001|
| Body temperature at Hospital Admittance (°C), median (IQR) | 38.0 (37.1–38.5) | 38.0 (37.2–38.5) | 36.7 (36.0–37.6) | 36.3 (36.0–37.3) | 0.005 |
| Disease severity, N (%)|                            |                                            |                           |                |       |
| Moderate               | 325 (51.7)                 | 64 (57.7)                                  | 88 (81.5)                 | 40 (75.5)      | <0.001|
| Severe                 | 88 (14.0)                  | 21 (18.9)                                  | 12 (11.1)                 | 5 (9.4)        |       |
| Critical               | 216 (34.3)                 | 26 (23.4)                                  | 8 (7.4)                   | 8 (15.1)       |       |
| Overall in-hospital mortality, N (%) | 133 (21.1)              | 22 (19.8)                                  | 16 (14.8)                 | 20 (37.7)      | 0.010 |

Bold values refer to statistically significant factors in multivariate analysis.
SARS-CoV-2 infection had more commonly COVID-19-specific symptoms such as mental confusion/dizziness and dysgeusia/anosmia, whereas no differences were observed in less specific clinical manifestation such as headache or syncope. Encephalitis and postinfective diseases seemed to be more common in moderate COVID-19 patients, but the small numbers hampered the potency of these analyses and the statistical significance was not met. These observations seem to confirm the model by Koralnik and Tyler (Koralnik & Tyler, 2020) who proposed that CNS invasion follows a different pathway of disease development compared to the classic respiratory illness. Mirfazeli et al. performed a hierarchical clustering analysis of COVID-19-related different clinical manifestations and found that respiratory, neurologic and gastroenterological symptoms cluster largely unconnectedly (Mirfazeli et al., 2020).

Anosmia and/or dysgeusia (recorded in 9.1% of individuals) and syncope (observed in 9.0%) were the most common neurologic manifestations. We observed seizures more frequently than what reported in the mentioned paper by Mao (Mao et al., 2020) (2.1% versus 0.5%, respectively). Additionally, some case reports describing seizures at presentation in adult and pediatric patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection have been published (Dugue et al., 2020; Sohal & Mossammat, 2020). As syncope may be a sign of partial epileptic seizures, the overall number of seizures may be underestimated.

In our cohort, we observed several cases of mental confusion (6.8%) and psychomotor agitation (2.9%), a possible expression of direct (Moriguchi et al., 2020) or postinfective (Panariello et al., 2020) CNS involvement. Unfortunately, we were unable to perform a complete neuroimaging assessment and CSF analysis in all cases and SARS-CoV-2 was isolated from CSF only in one patient. Moreover, Woo et al. reported that a large number of patients (78%) complained of persistent mild cognitive impairment after a median of 85 day from clinical recovery (Woo et al., 2020). It has been shown that inflammation continue after coronavirus clearance and several studies have demonstrated that inflammatory activation is linked to mild cognitive impairment (Shen et al., 2019), overt cognitive dysfunction (Chakrabarty et al., 2019) and dementia (Duarte et al., 2017). Therefore, a potential association between inflammatory status and persistent cognitive damage in patients even after COVID-19 healing should be investigated. As a consequence, it is difficult to interpret these neurologic and psychiatric manifestation. SARS-CoV-2
**TABLE 4** Comparison of incidence and type of neurologic manifestations in published case series.

| Present Study | Xiong | Romero-Sánchez | Pinna | Chen | Karadaş | Mao | Varatharaj | Helms | Benussi | Paterson |
|---------------|-------|----------------|-------|------|----------|-----|------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Number of patients enrolled | 901 | 917 | 841 | 650 | 274 | 239 | 214 | 153 | 58 | 56 | 43 |
| Number of subjects with neurologic symptoms, N (%) | 272 (30.2) | 39 (4.2) | 483 (57.4) | 50 (7.7) | 78 (28.4) | 83 (34.7) | 78 (36.4) | 153 (100) | 49 (84.4) | 56 (100) | 43 (100) |
| Geographical location | Italy | China | Spain | US | China | Turkey | China | UK | France | Italy | UK |
| Monocentric vs Multicentric | Mono- | Multi- | Multi- | Mono- | Mono- | Multi- | Multi- | Mono- | Mono- | Multi- |
| Retrospective vs Prospective | Retro- | Retro- | Retro- | Retro- | Retro- | Prospect- | Retro- | Prospect- | Retro- | Retro- |
| Cohort selection | Unselect* | Unselect* | Unselect* | Unselect* | Unselect* | Select^ | Unselect* | Select§ | Select° | Select§ |
| Mental confusion/dizziness, N (%) | 61 (6.8) | 25 (2.7) | 165 (19.6) | 30 (4.6) | 48 (17.5) | 39 (16.3) | 52 (24.3) | 39 (25.5) | 26 (44.8) | No data | No data |
| Stroke, N (%) | 53 (5.9) | 10 (1.1) | 14 (1.7) | 10 (1.5) | No data | 9 (3.8) | 6 (2.8) | 74 (48.4) | 2 (3.4) | 43 (76.8) | 8 (18.6) |
| Dysgeusia/anosmia, N (%) | 82 (9.1) | No data | 93 (11.1) | 8 (1.2) | No data | 34 (14.2) | 23 (10.7) | No data | No data | No data | No data |
| Syncope, N (%) | 81 (9.0) | 3 (0.3) | 5 (0.6) | No data | No data | No data | No data | No data | No data | No data | No data |
| Seizures, N (%) | 19 (2.1) | 0 (0) | 6 (0.7) | 13 (2.0) | No data | 0 (0) | 1 (0.5) | 1 (0.7) | No data | 7 (12.5) | No data |
| Headache, N (%) | 39 (4.3) | 2 (0.2) | 119 (14.1) | 12 (1.8) | 31 (11.3) | 64 (26.8) | 28 (13.1) | No data | No data | No data | No data |
| Encephalitis, N (%) | 5 (0.6) | No data | 1 (0.1) | No data | No data | No data | No data | 7 (4.6) | 1 (1.7) | 0 (0) | 9 (20.9) |
| Psychomotor agitation, N (%) | 26 (2.9) | No data | 69 (8.2) | No data | No data | No data | No data | 23 (15.0) | 40 (69.0) | No data | No data |
| Post-infective disease, N (%) | 7 (0.8) | No data | 1 (0.1) | 0 (0) | No data | 1 (0.4) | No data | 4 (2.6) | No data | 0 (0) | 18 (41.9) |
| Skeletal muscle injury, N (%) | No data | 2 (0.2) | 108 (12.8) | 6 (0.9) | No data | No data | 23 (10.7) | No data | No data | No data | No data |

Xiong W, et al. Neurology 2020; 95: e1479–e1487; Romero-Sánchez CM, et al. Neurology 2020; 95: e1060–e1070; Pinna P, et al. J Neurol Sci 2020; 415: 116969; Chen T, et al. BMJ 2020; 368: m1091; Karadaş Ö, et al. Neurol Sci 2020; 41: 1991–1995; Mao L, et al. JAMA Neurol 2020; 77: 683–690; Varatharaj A, et al. Lancet Psychiatry 2020; 7: 875–882; Helms J, et al. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 2268–2270; Benussi A, et al. Neurology 2020; 95: e910–e920; Paterson RW, et al. Brain 2020; 143: 3104–3120.

*Unselected: the study included all subjects admitted to the hospital with a rhino-pharyngeal swab that tested positive for SARS-CoV-2; ^ selected: the study included only patients able to communicate; § selected: the study included only patients with neurologic disturbances at admittance; ° selected: the study included only patients admitted to Intensive Care Unit.
infection should be considered as a differential diagnosis in psychiatric patients who present with symptoms of sudden onset to avoid wrong or delayed diagnosis. We propose to rule out SARS-CoV2 during pandemic phases in subjects with these symptoms and no previous history of behavioural disturbances.

A peculiar manifestation of COVID-19 is acute cerebrovascular disease. In our study, we observed 6% of strokes, a percentage similar to what reported by Li (Li et al., 2020) and slightly more than what described by Mao (2.8%) (Mao et al., 2020). As expected, our patients with stroke were older and with more comorbidities: these factors could partially explain the observed greater fatality rate. It should be noted also that a mortality of 37.7% is considerably higher that what reported in published literature, where it ranges from 11% to 19% (Saposnik et al., 2008; 2020), and it is higher than mortality in our centre (7.7% in 2019). Our data confirm the existing literature about a substantial superior mortality in individuals with both COVID-19 and stroke than what observed in patients with stroke without SARS-CoV-2 infection (Ghasemiyeh et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2020). Oxley (Oxley et al., 2020) reported five large-vessel strokes in COVID-19 patients younger than 50 years, whereas we observed only four cases (0.4%) in such a young population, thus suggesting that role of the virus in stroke development in low-risk population should be better investigated.

Stroke pathophysiology is complex and multifactorial including other cardiovascular risk factors such as diabetes, obesity, hypertension and previous coronary artery disease. Nevertheless, preliminary reports suggest that the risk factors and the underlying mechanisms of COVID-19-related stroke are different, with a lower prevalence of hypertension and a higher prevalence of cryptogenic stroke subtype (Yaghi et al., 2020). The perturbation of coagulative homeostasis has already been related to death in COVID-19 patients (Zhang et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). We previously showed that D-dimer continued to increase despite the improvement in laboratory evaluation (Allegra et al., 2020; Beyrouti et al., 2020). As a consequence, most of the recorded symptoms could not be fully investigated and the definitive diagnosis could not be completely detailed. Finally, this study is hospital-based; thus, it does not necessarily reflect the true incidence of neurologic manifestations in the general population. Additionally, our follow-up is limited to in-hospital stay so it could not define mortality rate after discharge and long-term neurologic sequelae.

Despite these limitations, our study analysed one of the largest cohorts of COVID-19 patients with neurologic disease and confirms how CNS involvement by COVID-19 comprises different diseases, possible expression of different pathways of SARS-CoV-2 infection, as previously suggested. Larger multicentric studies and with a more homogeneous design are needed to better understand risk factors, pathophysiology and evolution of neurologic diseases during and after COVID-19 to outline preventive strategies and specific treatment for each clinical manifestation.
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