Clinical applications of corneal confocal microscopy
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Abstract: Corneal confocal microscopy is a novel clinical technique for the study of corneal cellular structure. It provides images which are comparable to in-vitro histochemical techniques delineating corneal epithelium, Bowman’s layer, stroma, Descemet’s membrane and the corneal endothelium. Because, corneal confocal microscopy is a non invasive technique for in vivo imaging of the living cornea it has huge clinical potential to investigate numerous corneal diseases. Thus far it has been used in the detection and management of pathologic and infectious conditions, corneal dystrophies and ecstasies, monitoring contact lens induced corneal changes and for pre and post surgical evaluation (PRK, LASIK and LASEK, flap evaluations and Radial Keratotomy), and penetrating keratoplasty. Most recently it has been used as a surrogate for peripheral nerve damage in a variety of peripheral neuropathies and may have potential in acting as a surrogate marker for endothelial abnormalities.
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Introduction
Ocular diagnostic imaging techniques have evolved rapidly over the last ten years. The clinical diagnostic capability of detecting both anterior and posterior segment diseases has grown exponentially in accord with technological innovation. Corneal confocal microscopy is a relatively new technique which until recently has been used predominantly in clinical research. However, it has emerged as a powerful technique for the study of corneal cellular structure. The present review will summarize current knowledge of this technique, the basis on which images are interpreted and analyzed and its huge potential for clinical application.

Optical principles
Minsky (1988) developed the original confocal microscope in 1955 to image brain cells and study neural networks in the living brain. Subsequently, the optical theory of confocal microscopy was more formally developed and extended during the 1980s (Wilson and Sheppard 1984) and 1990s (Hill 1994; Masters and Thaer 1994).

In short, the basic principle of a confocal microscope is that a single point of tissue can be illuminated by a point light source and simultaneously imaged by a camera in the same plane, ie, it is “confocal” (Figure 1). This produces an image with a very high resolution but it has virtually no field of view due to a single point of illumination and detection. To solve this problem, the instrument instantaneously illuminates and synchronously images, ie, scans, a small region of tissue with thousands of tiny spots of light which are reconstructed to create a usable field of view with high resolution and magnification (Efron et al 2001).

The result is that confocal microscopes provide an en face, view of the structure being analyzed. Because the cornea is transparent, white light or more recently lasers
can be used to image it in vivo at high magnification (cellular level) and a high resolution, providing a depth of field $\sim 10 \mu m$, lateral resolution $\sim 1 \mu m$ and a field of view $\sim 300 \mu m \times 220 \mu m$ (Tomey Confoscan).

Current corneal confocal imaging systems
At present there are several confocal imaging microscopes which are commercially available and include: Confoscan P4 (Tomey Corporation, Cambridge, MA, USA), Confoscan 4 (Nidek Technologies, Japan) and the most recent clinical device which is a laser corneal confocal microscope (Heidelberg Retina Tomograph II Rostock Corneal Module (HRTII)) (Heidelberg, Germany).

The primary advantage of laser scanning confocal microscopy is the ability to serially produce images of thin layers from the cornea. According to this the depth of focus for the TSCM (Tandem scanning confocal microscope) is 7–9 $\mu m$, and in slit scanning systems it is 26 $\mu m$ whilst it is 5–7 $\mu m$ using the laser confocal microscope.

When examining the conjunctiva and corneoscleral limbus the major limitation of the white light in-vivo confocal microscope is due to the back-scattering of light. However, laser scanning technology combined with the Rostock Cornea Module (RCM) microscope appears to be less affected by back-scatter enabling accurate imaging of the cornea and conjunctiva. The conjunctiva, peripheral cornea and limbus are therefore best examined at the surface and at medium depth using the HRT II Cornea than with a standard confocal microscope.

Confocal images of corneal layers
Confocal microscopy provides images which are comparable to in-vitro histochemical techniques of each of the five basic layers: corneal epithelium, Bowman’s layer, stroma, Descemet’s membrane and corneal endothelium (Figure 2).

Corneal epithelium
In greater detail the corneal epithelium consists of three layers – the superficial cells, wing cells and basal cells (Figure 3).

Superficial cells
Superficial cells are polygonal, 40–50 $\mu m$ in diameter and approximately 5 $\mu m$ thick (Masters and Thaer 1995; Tomii et al 1994). They exhibit small bright rounded nuclei, surrounded by a darker cytoplasm with a perinuclear hypo reflective dark ring and a well defined cell border. Superficial cells often display a large variation in cytoplasmic reflectivity, which is thought to represent various stages of progression towards cell death, with the darker cells being those about to desquamate (Wilson and Hong 2000). Large dark featureless areas are evident between cells.

Wing cells
Wing cells are located in the intermediate level of the epithelial cells with a variable size and shape and are typically 30–45 $\mu m$. They are characterized by bright cell borders and a bright cell nucleus with few organelles and the nucleus is not usually visible (Masters and Thaer 1995).

Basal cells
Basal cells are 10–15 $\mu m$ in diameter and their cell nuclei are not visible. They display a uniformly bright cell border with a dark cytoplasmic mass (Tomii et al 1994; Harrison et al 2003).

Confocal microscopy has demonstrated a reduction in basal cell density in diabetic patients compared to control
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subjects (Chang et al 2006; Quadrado et al 2006). It has also shown an increase in the light-scattering index (a quantitative measure of tissue reflectivity) in diabetic patients, reflecting an increasing abnormality in the basement membrane of patients with diabetes (Morishige et al 2001).

Bowman’s membrane (anterior limiting lamina)

Bowman’s layer is an amorphous membrane located immediately posterior to the basal epithelium which is approximately 10 μm thick and is made of collagen fibers and contains unmyelinated c-nerve fibers (Patel et al 1998; Wilson and Hong 2000; Kobayashi et al 2006). Confocal microscopic images of the Bowman’s membrane are featureless and grey (Figure 4), with discrete beaded nerve bundles of the sub-basal nerve plexus traversing the field of view. Although single nerve fibers often run in the same nerve bundle, the limited resolution of confocal microscopy permits the visualization of the more reflective beaded nerve fibers only (Auran et al 1995; Müller et al 1996, 1997; Oliveira-Soto and Efron 2001). Some keratocytes in the anterior stroma may be seen in the background.

Confocal microscopy has been used to show that increasing age results in a decrease in nerve fiber density, but there is no effect on the nerve fiber diameter or beading frequency (Grupcheva et al 2002). Several authors have demonstrated a significant alteration in corneal nerve fiber morphology in Bowman’s layer of diabetic patients which have been related to the severity of somatic neuropathy (Rosenberg et al 2000; Malik et al 2003; Kallinikos et al 2004; Hossain et al 2005; Chang et al 2006). This will be discussed in more detail later.

Figure 2 Confocal microscopic corneal images (a) superficial epithelium (b) Basal membrane (c) Bowman’s layer (d) anterior stroma (e) posterior stroma (f) Endothelium. (The instrument used for all the pictures in this paper was the Tommy Confoscan P4 in-vivo slit-scanning real-time confocal microscope (Erlangen, Germany)).

Figure 3 Confocal microscopic images of epithelial layer of cornea: (a) the superficial epithelial cells, (b) wing cells, (c) basal cells.
Corneal stroma
The stroma accounts for 90% of the thickness of the cornea and is composed of collagen fibers, interstitial substance and keratocytes. The collagen fibers and interstitial substance are transparent and essentially form the grey amorphous background of the confocal microscopic images. Keratocyte nuclei are 5–30 μm in diameter; have a varied shape being bean-like in the anterior stroma, and oval shaped in the posterior stroma. They can be identified as discrete bright entities against a grey background (Figures 5a and 5b). The cytoplasm, cell walls and processes cannot be visualized (Hahnel et al 2000). Myelinated Aδ fibers with a diameter ranging from 4 to 8 μm can also be seen in the anterior stroma (Müller et al 2003) but unlike nerves in Bowman’s layer, their orientation and size is highly variable which makes quantification difficult (Figure 5c).

A recent study has shown no significant difference in the number of stromal cells between diabetic patients and control subjects (Quadrado et al 2006). However, stromal nerve thickness has been shown to be significantly increased in diabetic patients compared to control subjects (Mocan et al 2006).

Descemet’s membrane (posterior limiting lamina)
Descemet’s membrane is the basement membrane of the corneal endothelium and confocal images of this structure are acquired in the course of through-focusing from the posterior stroma to the endothelial cells. Images of Descemet’s membrane have a generalized hazy appearance and no cellular structures can be identified (Figure 6). The normal Descemet’s membrane is not visible in young subjects but becomes more visible with increasing age (Hollingsworth et al 2001).

Corneal endothelium
This layer is comprised of a single layer of endothelial cells which are 4–6 μm thick and 20 μm in diameter with a hexagonal or polygonal shape and are easily identified with confocal microscopy as bright cell bodies with dark cell borders (Figure 7). The cell nuclei are rarely recognizable, and the
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Cellular body is homogeneously bright with clearly defined borders.

Increasing age causes a reduction in endothelial cell density by approximately 0.6% a year (Efron et al 2001; Joyce 2003) and an increase in polymegathism (variation in cell size) (Efron et al 2001). Diabetic patients show an increase in endothelial damage (Inoue et al 2002) and polymegathism with increasing duration of diabetes (Lee et al 2006). There may also be a differential loss of endothelial cells with one study demonstrating a reduction in mean cell density of 5% in type 2 and 11% in type 1 diabetes compared to an age-matched control group (Roszkowska et al 1999).

Measuring corneal thickness with corneal confocal microscopy

One of the most important advances in confocal imaging has been the development of confocal microscopy ‘through focusing’ (CMTF) (also known as Z-Scan mode) which enables the measurement of corneal thickness. As all points of the CTMF curve correlate directly with high resolution images, the exact position in the Z-axis of determinate structures such as the epithelial surface, the sub epithelial nerve plexus, and the endothelium can be used to precisely calculate the distance between the different corneal layers (Figure 8). In a Z-Scan profile curve the percentage reflected light intensity (y-axis) is plotted against the distance in the cornea in μm (x-axis).

This method has been used in several studies and has shown high reliability (McLaren et al 2004; Javaloy et al 2004; Brugin et al 2007). The most accurate way of measuring was reported by Brugin et al (2007) who used a corneal confocal microscope with z-ring adapter. Thus the corneal confocal microscope may also provide a means to undertake diagnostic pachymetry enabling accurate, reproducible assessment of corneal thickness.

Clinical applications of confocal microscopy

Because, corneal confocal microscopy is a non invasive technique for in vivo imaging of the living cornea it has been used to investigate numerous corneal diseases. It can provide a qualitative morphological description or it can be used to quantify pathology such as corneal nerve and endothelial cell shape and density or three dimensional kinetic images of the living human cornea (Bohnke et al 1999). Thus it can be used in the detection and management of pathologic and infectious conditions, detection and management of corneal dystrophies and ecstasies, monitoring contact lens induced corneal changes, for pre and post surgical evaluation (PRK, LASIK and LASEK, flap evaluations and Radial Keratotomy), and to monitor penetrating keratoplasty. Most recently it has been used as a surrogate for peripheral nerve damage in a variety of peripheral neuropathies. The ability to distinguish between corneal edema due to corneal graft rejection (caused by presence of inflammatory cells) and endothelial decomposition (caused by low endothelial cell counts without the presence of inflammatory cells) is one of the other advantages of CCM. Cell densities in different layers from the epithelium to the endothelium, including immune cell densities, can be monitored using corneal confocal microscopy.

Confocal microscopy has been shown to be useful in the early detection and diagnosis of several conditions and also as a means to observe and monitor the effects of disease and therapeutic intervention (Bohnke and Masters 1999; Rosenberg et al 2000; Oliveria-Soto et al 2001; Hollingsworth et al 2001; Jalbert et al 2003; Tervo and Moilanen 2003, 2002; Kallinikos et al 2004). A brief summary of published studies utilising CCM to define the...
pathology in various diseases and to examine the effects of refractive surgery and contact lens wear are listed in Table 1.

**Infective keratitis**

Identification of the causative organism in patients with infective keratitis is of considerable clinical importance and several studies have revealed the usefulness of confocal microscopy in diagnosing Acanthamoeba keratitis (Chew 1992; Auran et al 1994; Winchester et al 1995; Cavanagh and McCulley 1996; Pfister et al 1996; Mathers et al 1996, 1997; Kaufman et al 2004), Aspergillus keratitis (Winchester et al 1997), microsporidial keratitis (Shah et al 1996), fungal keratitis (Florakis et al 1997; Winchester et al 1997), Herpes keratitis (Cavanagh et al 1993; Rosenberg et al 2002), contact lens related bacterial keratitis (Kaufman et al 1996), crystalline keratitis (Sutphin et al 1997) and mixed bacterial keratitis (Su et al 2006).

**Table 1**

| Investigator          | Abnormality                                      |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Epithelium**        | Fuchs’ endothelial dystrophy                     |
| Mustonen 1998         | Diabetes                                          |
| Morishige 2001; Quadrado 2006; Chang 2006 | Diabetic neuropathy                             |
| **Bowman’s layer**    | Dry Eye, Sjogren’s syndrome                       |
| Rosenberg 2000; Malik 2003; Kallinikos 2004; Hossain 2006; Chang 2006 | Keratoconus                                     |
| Benitez-Del-Castillo 2007,2004; Hosai 2005; Tuominen 2003 | Corneal nerve regeneration after refractive surgery |
| Brooks 2003; Patel 2006; Simo Mannion 2005 | Keratocyte density in keratoconus                |
| Heinz 1996; Kauffmann 1996; Moilanen 2003; Bragheeth 2005 | Effect of lens wear on corneal stroma and keratocyte density |
| **Stroma and Keratocyte** | Diabetes                                       |
| Erie 2002; Hollingsworth 2005; Patel 2002 | Keratocyte density after PRK                     |
| Jalbert 1999; Kallinikos 2004, 2006 | Keratocyte density after LASIK                   |
| **Endothelium**       | Contact lens wearer                               |
| Patel 2002            | Fuchs corneal endothelial dystrophy              |
| Hara 2003; Mustonen 1998 | Diabetes                                   |
| O’Donnell 2004        |                                                  |

**Corneal dystrophies**

Until recently, the clinical evaluation, diagnosis, and differentiation of corneal dystrophies was based on slit-lamp biomicroscopy. However, this technique cannot provide information at the cellular level and the only means of providing this was by biopsy with histopathological examination, which is clearly an invasive procedure. Because confocal microscopy can visualize alterations non-invasively at a cellular level it has been applied to diagnose Fuchs’ endothelial dystrophy (Kaufman et al 1993; Mustonen et al 1998; Chiu et al 1999a; Hollingsworth et al 2000), posterior polymorphous dystrophy (Chiu et al 1999b; Chiu, Beerman et al 1999) and the iridocorneal endothelial syndrome (Cavanagh et al 1993; Chiu et al 1999c) and has also been used to distinguish between different types of dystrophy in Bowman’s layer (Kobayashi et al 2007) and the stroma (Werner 1999).

Different studies using CCM demonstrate significant qualitative and quantitative alterations in all corneal layers in the eyes of patients with keratoconus. A study comparing in-vitro light microscopy and in vivo CCM (Hollingsworth et al 2005) showed that CCM provides the same level of structural detail as light microscopy (Brookes et al 2003). A significant enlargement in the epithelial cells was observed compared to control subjects and in the superficial layer, the cells appeared to be arranged in a whorl-like fashion.
CCM has revealed a significant reduction in nerve density in the keratoconic cornea. (Simo Mannion et al 2005; Patel et al 2006) and most recently, a study using laser corneal confocal microscopy showed an abnormal sub-basal nerve architecture in patients with keratoconus compared to normal corneas. (Patel et al 2006). The reflectivity of cells in the stromal layer is also increased (Somodi et al 1996; Hollingsworth et al 2005), but the number of keratocyte cells is decreased significantly in these patients, with no significant difference in endothelial polymegathism (Hollingsworth et al 2005).

Refractive surgery and corneal wound healing

An assessment of wound healing following surgical intervention or injury is of considerable clinical importance. Confocal microscopy thus seems to be a good tool for studying, in vivo, corneal evolution after surgery, because it is able to show cellular modifications inside the corneal layers (Cavanagh et al 1993; Kaufman et al 2006).

Following photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) temporal changes in keratocyte density, subepithelial deposits, stromal changes and nerve regeneration have been qualitatively and quantitatively assessed (Corbett et al 1996; Heinz et al 1996; Linna and Tervo 1997; Kauffmann et al 1996; Böhne et al 1998; Moilanen 2003). Corneal nerve degeneration and repair have also been investigated following injury (Auran et al 1995; Petroll et al 1996), and penetrating keratoplasty (Cohen et al 1995; Richter et al 1996).

As Laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) has become the most popular procedure in ophthalmology, confocal microscopy has been used in several studies to investigate post LASIK corneal wound healing. Thus it has been shown that the density of keratocytes decreases after PRK and LASIK for up to 5 years (Erie, McLaren et al 2005a; Erie et al 2006). Regeneration of the corneal sub basal nerves after LASIK has been studied quantitatively and qualitatively using the confocal microscope. (Linna et al 2000; Erie et al 2002a; Mitooka et al 2002; Avunduk et al 2004). Calvillo et al (2004) reported that the number and density of subbasal nerves decreased by 90% in the first month after LASIK and that these nerves began to recover by 6 months and recovered completely by 2 years. At the same time, the mean orientation of the subbasal nerves did not change from the predominantly vertical orientation observed before LASIK. Lee et al (2002) found that the number of subbasal nerve fibers imaged decreased by more than 90% one week after LASIK and increased between 6 and 12 months after surgery but remained at less than half of the preoperative value. Erie et al (2005b) found that the subbasal nerve density recovered to the preoperative nerve density five years after LASIK.

Lee et al (2006) recently reported on subbasal nerve regeneration after LASEK and showed that the subbasal nerve density decreased significantly after LASEK and did not return to preoperative levels up to 6 months postoperatively.

Therefore, the confocal microscope is a unique diagnostic instrument that can be used to evaluate corneal healing, long-term stability and to assess complications after refractive surgery. The ability of the device to view in-vivo cellular detail, micro organisms, inflammatory cells, epithelial cells, fibrosis and measure the thickness of the cornea after laser in-situ keratomileusis, in a non invasive manner, highlights the unique capabilities of this instrument.

Contact lens-induced corneal changes

Confocal microscopy has been used to study contact lens-induced corneal changes by several authors (Efron et al 2002; Patel 2002; Kallinikos et al 2004; O’Donnell et al 2004, 2001; Olivera-Soto et al 2003; Hollingsworth et al 2004).

Confocal microscopy has led to the identification of a new type of chronic stromal change in patients who wear contact lenses (Kaufman et al 1996; Böhne et al 1997; Jalbert et al 1999). Extended contact lens wear causes a loss of keratocytes (Efron et al 2002). The underlying basis for this has been elucidated recently showing that the physical presence of a contact lens induces the release of inflammatory mediators that may cause keratocyte dysgenesis or apoptosis (Kallinikos et al 2004). A reduction in corneal sensitivity occurs in patients with long term contact lens wear (Patel et al 2002), however neither short-term (overnight wear) (Efron et al 2002) nor long-term (12 months extended wear) (Efron et al 2002) soft contact lens wear appears to affect the morphology and/or distribution of corneal nerves viewed using confocal microscopy (Olivera-Soto et al 2003). In a study using confocal confocal microscopy in diabetic patients, the morphology of endothelial cells in diabetic patients who wore soft contact lenses was not appreciably different from non-diabetic control subjects wearing contact lenses (O’Donnell et al 2004).

Corneal microdeposits

Confocal microscopy has been used to identify corneal microdeposits associated with a variety of pathological conditions including: Fabry’s disease (Font et al 1972), Wilson’s disease (Wiebers et al 1977), hyperlipidemia
(Pe’er et al 1983), and long-term contact lens wear (Bohnke et al 1997). It has also been used to identify the side effects of Amiodarone (Mantyjarvi et al 1998; Mastropasqua et al 2002) and the development of microdeposits following refractive surgery (Figure 9) (Bohnke et al 1998).

**Corneal nerve morphology**

The cornea is the most densely innervated part of the human body containing myelinated A\(\delta\) and unmyelinated C fibers derived from the ophthalmic division of the trigeminal nerve. Normal corneal nerve morphology has been studied in healthy subjects by light and electron microscopy (Muller et al 1996, 1997) and more recently confocal microscopy (Oliveira-Soto et al 2001; Grupcheva et al 2002). Several groups have employed corneal confocal microscopy to demonstrate that corneal small nerve fiber damage is directly related to the severity of somatic neuropathy (Figure 10) (Rosenberg et al 2001; Malik et al 2003; Kallinikos et al 2004).

We have also shown that corneal nerve fiber density improves with improved glycemic control over 24 months (Iqbal et al 2005) and within 6 months of pancreas transplantation in patients with Type 1 diabetes (Mehra et al 2006). Therefore we have proposed that corneal confocal microscopy, might be an ideal surrogate endpoint for evaluating therapeutic efficacy in clinical trials of human diabetic neuropathy (Hossain et al 2005). Additionally we have used this technique to quantify corneal nerve abnormalities in a range of other peripheral neuropathies including Fabry’s disease and idiopathic small fiber neuropathy (Tavakoli et al 2006).

**Future developments**

Confocal microscopy is a powerful diagnostic technique which allows non-invasive microscopic examination of the cornea. However, until recently its use in clinical practice has been limited to research centers. One of the major limitations has been the acquisition of high quality and reproducible images with a systematic approach to quantification of structural alterations. This has been overcome in recent years, in part due to the huge improvement in digital systems enabling data compression and facilitating data storage. The quality of acquired images has improved dramatically and new options for data analysis and data interpretation have become available with newer instruments which are smaller and less expensive. With regard to quantifying morphology,

---

(a) (b)

Figure 9 Microdeposits in the stromal layer of a patient after PRK (a) after 1 week (b) after 6 months.

(a) (b)

Figure 10 Image of corneal nerves in Bowman’s layer from (a) healthy subject (b) diabetic patient.
computer-assisted image analysis with defined algorithms may improve the accuracy and repeatability of morphometric parameters defining corneal morphology and real-time 3-dimensional reconstruction will provide a better means of quantifying tissue and cellular architecture (Stachs et al 2006). Furthermore, developing techniques which map the whole cornea will undoubtedly provide more robust and complete quantification (Patel et al 2005, 2006). With regard to corneal nerves in patients with peripheral neuropathy, the construction of 3-D nerve maps would significantly enhance the assessment of branching and hence repair, over time and in response to therapeutic intervention. Additional aspects which merit further assessment include study of the Langerhans cells which may provide insights into basic pathogenic mechanisms of corneal damage and also the immune response to tissue injury (Rosenberg et al 2002; Su et al 2006; Zhivov et al 2005, 2007).

**Conclusion**

Confocal microscopy allows non-invasive in vivo imaging of all layers of the cornea enabling the clinical investigation of numerous corneal diseases. In this brief review we have evaluated the considerable potential of this powerful technique to undertake detailed morphological analysis of corneal structures. In clinical ophthalmology, facilitating the diagnosis of infectious keratitis and assessing tissue repair following surgical intervention or injury holds considerable promise. However, its widest application may well come in the field of metabolic or neurological disease, as it may provide a means to identify patients at risk, follow progression and measure therapeutic response in not only diabetic neuropathy but also a range of other neuropathies.
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