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Materials and Methods

Satellite product

The TROPOMI instrument onboard the Copernicus Sentinel-5P satellite provides daily global coverage of tropospheric column density of NO2 with a spatial resolution 3.5 × 7 km² (3.5 × 5.5 km² starting from August 6, 2019) with overpass time around 13:30. The TROPOMI NO2 processing system is based on the algorithm developments for the DOMINO-2 product and for the EU QA4ECV NO2 reprocessed dataset for OMI, and has been adapted for TROPOMI. In this study, the tropospheric NO2 column densities were taken from S-5P/TROPOMI Level 2 offline products. The measurements with a Quality Assurance (QA) value less than 0.5 were omitted, which removed the measurements with processing errors, anomalously high signals, and sun glints. We re-gridded the Level 2 product on a 0.03°×0.03° spatial grid which corresponds to 3.3 km in latitude.

The MODIS Level 3 AOD datasets are from Aqua (MYD08_D3) and Terra (MOD08_D3) with 1°×1° spatial resolution. We generate the Level 3 daily map by using the average value of Aqua and Terra measurements. Data can be downloaded from ftp://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/allData/51/MYD08_D3 and ftp://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/allData/51/MOD08_D3, respectively.

Ground station observations

Hourly air quality data have been obtained from the national urban air quality real-time platform released by the China National Environmental Monitoring Station (website: http://106.37.208.233:20035). Information from the national air quality monitoring stations used in this study over four major cities is shown in Table S1. The method of measuring air quality follows the national standard of GB 3095-2012. Measurement of PM2.5 was carried out by the β Ray absorption method and micro-oscillation balance method; measurement of NO2 is by the Chemiluminescence method; measurement of SO2 and O3 is by the UV fluorescence method; measurement of CO is by the non-dispersive infrared absorption method and gas filter correlation infrared absorption method. Monitoring stations usually avoid tall buildings, trees and other potential obstacles that would impede air circulation. The surroundings of air monitoring site have been guaranteed with stable electricity supplies and device maintenance service. Sampling ports are 3 to 15 meters above the ground. The distance between each sampling port exceeds 1 m. Temperature inside the monitoring stations is maintained between 15 and 35 °C, relative humidity ≤85%, and atmospheric pressure between 80 and 106 kPa.

Reanalysis data

The boundary layer height, precipitation, relative humidity at 1000 hPa and the wind vector $w = (u,v)$ at 10 meters above the ground were taken from the ERA5 reanalysis data with 0.25°×0.25° spatial resolution. ERA5 combines historical observations into global estimates using advanced modelling and data assimilation systems.

WRF-Chem model simulations
The model used in this study is based on a specific version of the WRF-Chem model (23) with modification by (24–27). The specific WRF-Chem model includes a flexible gas phase chemical module with consideration of different chemical mechanisms and the CMAQ aerosol module (AERO5) developed by US EPA (28). The organic aerosols (OA) are simulated using the volatility basis-set (VBS) modeling method, with the secondary OA (SOA) contributions from glyoxal and methylglyoxal. ISORROPIA (Version 1.7) is used to predict the inorganic aerosols, calculating the composition and phase state of an ammonium-sulfate-nitrate-water inorganic aerosol in thermodynamic equilibrium with gas phase precursors (29). Three major types of heterogeneous aerosol chemistry are considered: the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} on the surface of deliquescent aerosols to form nitrate, the heterogeneous reaction of SO\textsubscript{2} involving aerosol water to form sulfate, and the heterogeneous reaction of glyoxal and methylglyoxal to form SOA.

The anthropogenic emission inventory is developed by (30) with the base year of 2020, including industry, transportation, power plant, residential and agriculture sources. The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) is used to calculate the biogenic emissions online (31). Biomass burning emissions are potentially important for Asian haze (32–35). Fire counts from satellites can partly reflect BB in agricultural activities and wildfires. In the fig. S9, we analyzed the MODIS fire counts during the 2020 city-lockdown period and found very few fire activities in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei area. Moreover, the fires were more frequent in the same period of 2019 than that in 2020 over the whole nation. Therefore, we conclude that BB from agriculture and wildfires did not contribute significantly to the haze formation during the 2020-CLD, and we did not consider them in our WRF-Chem simulations. A haze episode from 21 January to 16 February 2020 in the North China Plain is simulated using the WRF-Chem model, and detailed model configuration can be found in Table S2. A series of model sensitivity experiments are conducted, and the experiment descriptions are provided in Table S3.

The mean bias (MB), root mean square error (RMSE) and the index of agreement (IOA) are used to evaluate the model performance in simulating air pollutants.

\[
MB = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)
\]

\[
RMSE = \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
IOA = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} ([P_i - O] + |O_i - O|)^2}
\]

Where \(P_i\) and \(O_i\) are the simulated and observed variables, respectively. \(N\) is the total number of the simulations for comparisons, and \(\bar{O}\) donates the average of the observations.
Fig. S1. Comparison of column integrated NO$_2$ between three weeks during the 2020 lockdown and 2015-2019 climatology using NASA Aura OMI products. Only regions with background NO$_2$ larger than 0.2 DU are analyzed.
Fig. S2. Spatial distribution of the 1515 state monitoring stations.
Fig. S3. Daytime variation of ozone and NO2 (10:00 to 16:00 LST) as a function of PM2.5 in the winter from 2015 to 2019 (A) and during 2020-CLD (B). During wintertime in north China, the weak insolation slows the atmospheric photochemistry processes. Therefore, very high NOx emissions in the region cause remarkable ozone titration even during daytime, as shown in A, particularly with increasing PM2.5 which further attenuates the incoming solar radiation. However, during 2020-CLD, significant decrease in NOx emissions alleviates the ozone titration, and during haze episodes, the ozone level is much higher than that during the winter from 2015 to 2019. The ozone concentration fluctuates at around 65 μg m⁻³ with PM2.5 exceeding 35 μg m⁻³, caused by complicated nonlinear ozone chemistry.
Fig. S4. MODIS L3 AOD from Terra and Aqua during the same three-week period with 2020-CLD from 2015 to 2020.
Fig. S5. Comparison of observed (black dots) and simulated (blue line) diurnal profiles of near surface hourly NO2, SO2, and CO averaged over all ambient monitoring stations in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (left panels) and Central China (Henan-Hubei-Hunan, right panels) from 21 January to 16 February 2020.
Fig. S6. WRF-Chem simulated surface aerosol species over two characteristic regions: Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei in northern China and Central China. OA and EC are short for organic aerosol and elementary carbon, respectively.
Fig. S7. WRF-Chem simulated time evolutions of PM2.5 and ozone in Central China. Black dots are observations, and blues lines are model simulations.
Fig. S8. Simulated sensitivity of aerosol species and precursor gases to the VOC changes by 30%.
Fig. S9. Accumulated fire counts based on MODIS Active Fire Products over three-week periods during 2020-CLD and 2019-CLIM. Fire counts can be used to indicate the biomass burning in the agricultural activities. Dots in the plots are with confidence level larger than 80 in the MODIS product.
| Station ID | Station Name               | City            | Longitude | Latitude | Station ID | Station Name               | City            | Longitude | Latitude |
|------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| 1001       | Wan Shou Xi Gong           | Beijing         | 116.366   | 39.867   | 1325       | Dong Hu Li Yuan            | Wuhan          | 114.367   | 30.572   |
| 1002       | Ding Ling                  | Beijing         | 116.17    | 40.287   | 1326       | Han Yang Yue Hu            | Wuhan          | 114.251   | 30.551   |
| 1003       | Dong Si                    | Beijing         | 116.434   | 39.952   | 1327       | Han Kou Hua Qiao           | Wuhan          | 114.284   | 30.62    |
| 1004       | Temple of Heaven           | Beijing         | 116.434   | 39.875   | 1328       | Wu Chang Zi Yang          | Wuhan          | 114.301   | 30.549   |
| 1005       | Agriculture Exhibition Center | Beijing      | 116.473   | 39.972   | 1329       | Qing Shan Gang Hua        | Wuhan          | 114.427   | 30.61    |
| 1006       | Guan Yuan                  | Beijing         | 116.361   | 39.943   | 1330       | Tun Kou New District       | Wuhan          | 114.153   | 30.475   |
| 1007       | Wan Liu Haidian District   | Beijing         | 116.315   | 39.993   | 1331       | Han Kou Jiang Tan         | Wuhan          | 114.301   | 30.595   |
| 1008       | Xincheng Shunyi            | Beijing         | 116.72    | 40.144   | 1332       | Dong Hu Gao Xin           | Wuhan          | 114.389   | 30.482   |
| 1009       | Huai Rou Town              | Beijing         | 116.644   | 40.394   | 1333       | Wu Jia Shan               | Wuhan          | 114.213   | 30.641   |
| 1010       | Chang Ping Town            | Beijing         | 116.23    | 40.195   | 1334       | Chen Hu Qi Hao             | Wuhan          | 113.853   | 30.3     |
| 1011       | Olympic Center             | Beijing         | 116.407   | 40.003   | 1345       | Guang Ya Middle School    | Guangzhou      | 113.235   | 23.142   |
| 1012       | Ancient city               | Beijing         | 116.225   | 39.928   | 1346       | Guangzhou No.5 High School | Guangzhou      | 113.261   | 23.105   |
| 1141       | Pu Tuo                     | Shanghai        | 121.4     | 31.238   | 1348       | Guang Dong Business College | Guangzhou      | 113.348   | 23.092   |
| 1142       | Shi Wu Chang               | Shanghai        | 121.478   | 31.204   | 1349       | Guangzhou No.86 High School | Guangzhou      | 113.433   | 23.105   |
| 1143       | Hong Kou                   | Shanghai        | 121.467   | 31.301   | 1350       | Fan Yu Middle School       | Guangzhou      | 113.352   | 22.948   |
| 1144       | Shanghai Normal University in Xuhui | Shanghai   | 121.412   | 31.165   | 1351       | Hua Du Normal University  | Guangzhou      | 113.215   | 23.392   |
| A  | Name                      | City       | Longitude  | Latitude  | Station Name                          | Longitude | Latitude  |
|----|---------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1145 | Yang Pu Si Piao          | Shanghai   | 121.536    | 31.266    | Guangzhou Monitoring Station          | 113.26    | 23.133    |
| 1146 | Qing Pu Dian Shan Lake   | Shanghai   | 120.978    | 31.094    | Jiu Long Town Zhen Long               | 113.568   | 23.278    |
| 1147 | Jing An Monitoring Station | Shanghai   | 121.425    | 31.226    | Lu Hu                                | 113.281   | 23.157    |
| 1148 | Pu Dong Chuan Sha        | Shanghai   | 121.703    | 31.191    | Mao Feng Shan Forestry Park          | 113.589   | 23.554    |
| 1149 | Pu Dong New District Monitoring Station | Shanghai  | 121.533    | 31.228    | Ti Yu Xi                             | 113.321   | 23.132    |
| 1150 | Pu Dong Zhang Jiang      | Shanghai   | 121.577    | 31.207    |                                       |           |           |
**Table S2. WRF-Chem model configurations.**

| Region                        | East Asia |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Simulation period             | 2020-01-21 to 2020-02-16 |
| Domain size                   | 400 × 400 |
| Domain center                 | 35.0°N, 114.0°E |
| Horizontal resolution         | 12km × 12km |
| Vertical resolution           | 35 vertical levels with a stretched vertical grid with spacing ranging from 30m near the surface, to 500m at 2.5km and 1km above 14km |
| Microphysics scheme           | WSM 6-class graupel scheme (36) |
| Boundary layer scheme         | MYJ TKE scheme (37) |
| Surface layer scheme          | MYJ surface scheme (37) |
| Land-surface scheme           | Unified Noah land-surface model (38) |
| Long-wave radiation scheme    | Goddard longwave scheme (39) |
| Short-wave radiation scheme   | Goddard shortwave scheme (40) |
| Meteorological boundary and initial conditions | NCEP 1°×1° reanalysis data |
| Chemical initial and boundary conditions | MOZART 6-hour output (41) |
| Anthropogenic emission inventory | SAPRC-99 chemical mechanism emissions (30) |
| Biogenic emission inventory   | MEGAN model developed by (31) |
| Model spin-up time            | 24 hours |
Table S3. Model sensitivity experiment description.

| Experiments         | Configuration                                                                 | Purpose                                         |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Baseline            | Described in Table S2.                                                       | To reproduce observed pollution changes.        |
| Clim_Met            | Using Climatological meteorological initial and boundary conditions averaged over the same time periods during 2015 - 2019. | To assess the meteorological influence on pollution changes. |
| NOx_80              | According to the satellite observations, increasing NOx emissions in all sectors by 80% from the baseline simulation to reflect the non-COVID19 scenario. | To assess effect of NOx reduction.               |
| Hetero_Chem         | Turning off all heterogeneous chemistry processes in our modified version of WRF-Chem. | To assess the contribution of heterogeneous chemistry to the haze formation. |
| VOC                 | Increasing/Decreasing VOC emissions by 30%.                                  | To assess the sensitivity of VOC emissions to the haze formation. |
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