Safety assessment of the process Pinaform, based on Starlinger Decon technology, used to recycle post-consumer PET into food contact materials
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Abstract

The EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes and Processing Aids (CEP) assessed the safety of the recycling process Pinaform (EU register number RECYC167) using the Starlinger Decon technology. The input is hot washed and dried poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) flakes originating from collected post-consumer PET containers, mainly bottles, with no more than 5% PET from non-food consumer applications. They are preheated before being submitted to solid-state polycondensation (SSP) in a continuous reactor at high temperature under vacuum and gas flow. Having examined the challenge test provided, the Panel concluded that the preheating (step 2) and the decontamination in the continuous SSP reactor (step 3) are the critical steps that determine the decontamination efficiency of the process. The operating parameters to control the performance of these critical steps are temperature, pressure, residence time and gas flow. It was demonstrated that this recycling process is able to ensure that the level of migration of potential unknown contaminants into food is below the conservatively modelled migration of 0.1 µg/kg food. Therefore, the Panel concluded that the recycled PET obtained from this process is not considered of safety concern, when used at up to 100% for the manufacture of materials and articles for contact with all types of foodstuffs for long-term storage at room temperature, with or without hotfill. Trays made of this recycled PET are not intended to be used in microwave and conventional ovens and such use is not covered by this evaluation.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Terms of Reference as provided by the requestor

Recycled plastic materials and articles shall only be placed on the market if they contain recycled plastic obtained from an authorised recycling process. Before a recycling process is authorised, EFSA's opinion on its safety is required. This procedure has been established in Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 282/20081 of the Commission of 27 March 2008 on recycled plastic materials intended to come into contact with foods and Articles 8 and 9 of Regulation (EC) No 1935/20042 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 October 2004 on materials and articles intended to come into contact with food.

According to this procedure, the industry submits applications to the Member States Competent Authorities, which transmit the applications to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) for evaluation.

In this case, EFSA received an application, from the Bundesamt für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit, Germany, for evaluation of the recycling process Pinaform, European Union (EU) register No RECYC167. The request has been registered in EFSA's register of received questions under the number EFSA-Q-2019-00126 EFSA-Q-2018-00897. The dossier was submitted on behalf of Pinaform s.l., Spain.

According to Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 282/2008 of the Commission of 27 March 2008 on recycled plastic materials intended to come into contact with foods, EFSA is required to carry out risk assessments on the risks originating from the migration of substances from recycled food contact plastic materials and articles into food and deliver a scientific opinion on the recycling process examined.

According to Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 282/2008, EFSA will evaluate whether it has been demonstrated in a challenge test, or by other appropriate scientific evidence, that the recycling process Pinaform is able to reduce the contamination of the plastic input to a concentration that does not pose a risk to human health. The poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) materials and articles used as input of the process as well as the conditions of use of the recycled PET make part of this evaluation.

2. Data and methodologies

2.1. Data

The applicant has submitted a dossier following the 'EFSA guidelines for the submission of an application for the safety evaluation of a recycling process to produce recycled plastics intended to be used for the manufacture of materials and articles in contact with food, prior to its authorisation' (EFSA, 2008). Applications shall be submitted in accordance with Article 5 of the Regulation (EC) No 282/2008.

Additional information was sought from the applicant during the assessment process in response to a request from EFSA sent on 26 April 2019 and was subsequently provided (see 'Documentation provided to EFSA').

The following information on the recycling process was provided by the applicant and used for the evaluation:

- General information:
  - general description,
  - existing authorisations.

- Specific information:
  - recycling process,
  - characterisation of the input,
  - determination of the decontamination efficiency of the recycling process,
  - characterisation of the recycled plastic,
  - intended application in contact with food,
  - compliance with the relevant provisions on food contact materials and articles,
  - process analysis and evaluation,
  - operating parameters.

1 Regulation (EC) No 282/2008 of the European parliament and of the council of 27 March 2008 on recycled plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with foods and amending Regulation (EC) No 2023/2006. OJ L 86, 28.3.2008, p. 9–18.

2 Regulation (EC) No 1935/2004 of the European parliament and of the council of 27 October 2004 on materials and articles intended to come into contact with food and repealing Directives 80/590/EEC and 89/109/EEC. OJ L 338, 13.11.2004, p. 4–17.
2.2. Methodologies

The principles followed for the evaluation are described here. The risks associated with the use of recycled plastic materials and articles in contact with food come from the possible migration of chemicals into the food in amounts that would endanger human health. The quality of the input, the efficiency of the recycling process to remove contaminants as well as the intended use of the recycled plastic are crucial points for the risk assessment (see guidelines on recycling plastics; EFSA, 2008).

The criteria for the safety evaluation of a mechanical recycling process to produce recycled PET intended to be used for the manufacture of materials and articles in contact with food are described in the scientific opinion developed by the EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (EFSA CEF Panel, 2011). The principle of the evaluation is to apply the decontamination efficiency of a recycling technology or process, obtained from a challenge test with surrogate contaminants, to a reference contamination level for post-consumer PET, conservatively set at 3 mg/kg PET for contaminants resulting from possible misuse. The resulting residual concentration of each surrogate contaminant in recycled PET \( (C_{\text{res}}) \) is compared with a modelled concentration of the surrogate contaminants in PET \( (C_{\text{mod}}) \). This \( C_{\text{mod}} \) is calculated using generally recognised conservative migration models so that the related migration does not give rise to a dietary exposure exceeding 0.0025 \( \mu \text{g/kg body weight (bw)} \) per day (i.e. the human exposure threshold value for chemicals with structural alerts for genotoxicity), below which the risk to human health would be negligible. If the \( C_{\text{res}} \) is not higher than the \( C_{\text{mod}} \), the recycled PET manufactured by such recycling process is not considered of safety concern for the defined conditions of use (EFSA CEF Panel, 2011).

The assessment was conducted in line with the principles described in the EFSA Guidance on transparency in the scientific aspects of risk assessment (EFSA, 2009) and considering the relevant guidance from the EFSA Scientific Committee.

3. Assessment

3.1. General information

According to the applicant, the recycling process Pinaform is intended to recycle food grade PET containers to produce recycled PET flakes using the Starlinger Decon technology. The recycled flakes are intended to be used up to 100% for the manufacture of recycled materials and articles that are intended to be used in direct contact with all kinds of foodstuffs for long-term storage at room temperature, with or without hotfill.

3.2. Description of the process

3.2.1. General description

The recycling process Pinaform produces recycled PET flakes from PET containers, mainly bottles, coming from post-consumer collection systems (kerbside and deposit systems and mixed waste collection).

The recycling process is composed of the three steps below. Step 1 may be performed by a third party or by the applicant.

**Input**

- In step 1, the post-consumer PET containers are processed into washed and dried flakes.

**Decontamination and production of recycled PET material**

- In step 2, the flakes are preheated in batch reactors by a flow of hot gas.
- In step 3, the preheated flakes are submitted to solid-state polycondensation (SSP) in a continuous reactor at high temperature using a combination of vacuum and gas flow.

The operating conditions of the process have been provided to EFSA.

The recycled flakes, the final product of the process, are checked against technical requirements, such as intrinsic viscosity, colour and black spots. They are intended to be converted by other companies into recycled articles used for hotfill and/or long-term storage at room temperature, such as bottles for mineral water, soft drinks and beer. The recycled flakes may also be used for sheets, which are thermoformed to make food trays. They are not intended to be used in microwave and conventional ovens.
3.2.2. Characterisation of the input

According to the applicant, the input material for the recycling process Pinaform consists of hot washed and dried flakes obtained from PET containers, mainly bottles, previously used for food packaging, from post-consumer collection systems (kerbside and deposit systems as well as mixed waste collection). A small fraction may originate from non-food applications. According to the applicant, the proportion of this non-food container fraction will be no more than 5%.

Technical data for the hot washed and dried flakes are provided, such as information on physical properties and on residual contents of moisture, poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC), glue, other plastic than PET, wood, paper and metals (see Appendix A).

3.3. Starlinger Decon technology

3.3.1. Description of the main steps

The general scheme of the Starlinger Decon technology, as provided by the applicant, is reported in Figure 1. In step 1, not reported in the scheme, post-consumer PET containers, are processed into hot washed and dried flakes.

- **Preheating (step 2):** The flakes are preheated in a batch reactor by a flow of hot gas up to the temperature of the next step, the SSP reactor. Several preheaters can be used alternately.
- **SSP (step 3):** The preheated flakes are fed into the SSP reactor running continuously. The SSP reactor remains under vacuum while gas flow is periodically applied to support the removal of the contaminants from the flakes. This step increases the intrinsic viscosity of the material and further decontaminates the PET flakes.

![General scheme of the technology (provided by the applicant)](image)

**Figure 1:** General scheme of the technology (provided by the applicant)

The process is operated under defined operating parameters\(^3\) of temperature, pressure, inert gas flow and residence time.

3.3.2. Decontamination efficiency of the recycling process

To demonstrate the decontamination efficiency of the recycling process Pinaform, a challenge test was submitted to EFSA that was performed in pilot plant scale.

PET flakes were contaminated with toluene, chlorobenzene, phenylcyclohexane, chloroform, methyl salicylate, benzophenone and methylstearate, selected as surrogate contaminants in agreement

---

\(^3\) In accordance with Art. 9 and 20 of Regulation (EC) No 1935/2004 the parameters were provided to EFSA and made available to the applicant, the Member States and the European Commission (see Appendix C).
with the EFSA guidelines and in accordance with the recommendations of the US Food and Drug Administration. The surrogates include different molecular masses and polarities to cover possible chemical classes of contaminants of concern and were demonstrated to be suitable to monitor the behaviour of PET during recycling (EFSA, 2008).

Conventionally recycled\(^4\) post-consumer PET flakes were soaked in a mixture of surrogates and stored for 7 days at 50°C with daily agitation. Then they were washed. The concentration of the surrogates in these flakes was determined.

The preheater reactor was filled with washed and dried contaminated flakes only (step 2). The preheated flakes were then fed into the SSP reactor (step 3). The flakes were analysed after each step for their residual concentrations of the applied surrogates.

The decontamination efficiency of the process was calculated from the concentrations of the surrogates measured in the washed contaminated flakes before the preheating (before step 2) and after SSP (step 3). The results are summarised below in Table 1.

**Table 1:** Efficiency of the decontamination of the Starlinger Decon technology in the challenge test

| Surrogates       | Concentration of surrogates before step 2 (mg/kg PET) | Concentration of surrogates after step 3 (mg/kg PET) | Decontamination efficiency (%) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Toluene          | 206.9                                                  | 1.1                                                   | 99.5                           |
| Chlorobenzene    | 393.1                                                  | 2.1                                                   | 99.5                           |
| Chloroform       | 120.2                                                  | 3.4                                                   | 97.2                           |
| Methyl salicylate| 369                                                    | 4.1                                                   | 98.9                           |
| Phenylcyclohexane| 404                                                    | 6.9                                                   | 98.3                           |
| Benzophenone     | 594.4                                                  | 22.1                                                  | 96.3                           |
| Methyl stearate  | 743.4                                                  | 27.1                                                  | 96.4                           |

PET: poly(ethylene terephthalate).

As shown in Table 1, the decontamination efficiency ranged from 96.3% for benzophenone to 99.5% for toluene and chlorobenzene.

### 3.4. Discussion

Considering the high temperatures used during the process, the possibility of contamination by microorganisms can be discounted. Therefore, this evaluation focuses on the chemical safety of the final product.

Technical data, such as information on physical properties and residual contents of PVC, glue, plastics other than PET, wood, paper and metals, were provided for the input materials, i.e. washed and dried flakes (step 1). These are produced from PET containers, mainly bottles, previously used for food packaging collected through post-consumer collection systems. However, a small fraction may originate from non-food applications such as bottles for soap, mouth wash or kitchen hygiene agents. According to the applicant, the collection system and the process are managed in such a way that in the input stream this fraction will be no more than 5%, as recommended by the EFSA CEF Panel in its ‘Scientific opinion on the criteria to be used for safety evaluation of a mechanical recycling process to produce recycled PET intended to be used for manufacture of materials and articles in contact with food’ (EFSA CEF Panel, 2011).

The process is adequately described. The washing and drying of the flakes from the collected PET containers (step 1) is conducted in different ways depending on the plant but, according to the applicant, this step is under control. The subsequent steps are those of the Starlinger Decon technology used to recycle the PET flakes into decontaminated PET flakes: batch preheating (step 2) and continuous SSP (step 3). The operating parameters of temperature, residence time, pressure and gas flow for both steps have been provided to EFSA.

A challenge test was conducted at pilot plant scale on process steps 2 and 3 to measure the decontamination efficiency. The Panel considered that the challenge test was performed correctly according to the recommendations in the EFSA guidelines (EFSA, 2008) and that steps 2 and 3 are critical for the decontamination efficiency of the process. Consequently temperature, residence time, pressure and gas flow parameters of steps 2 and 3 should be controlled to guarantee the performance of the decontamination. These parameters have been provided to EFSA.

---

\(^4\) Conventional recycling includes commonly sorting, grinding, washing and drying steps and produces washed and dried flakes.
The decontamination efficiencies obtained for each surrogate, ranging from 96.3% to 99.5%, have been used to calculate the residual concentrations of potential unknown contaminants in PET ($C_{\text{res}}$) according to the evaluation procedure described in the ‘Scientific opinion on the criteria to be used for safety evaluation of a mechanical recycling process to produce recycled PET’ (EFSA CEF Panel, 2011; Appendix B). By applying the decontamination efficiency percentage to the reference contamination level of 3 mg/kg PET, the $C_{\text{res}}$ for the different surrogates was obtained (Table 2).

According to the evaluation principles (EFSA CEF Panel, 2011), the dietary exposure must not exceed 0.0025 $\mu$g/kg bw per day, below which the risk to human health is considered negligible. The $C_{\text{res}}$ value should not exceed the modelled concentration in PET ($C_{\text{mod}}$) that could result in a migration giving rise to a dietary exposure exceeding the 0.0025 $\mu$g/kg bw per day, after 1 year at 25°C. Because the recycled PET is intended for general use for the manufacturing of articles containing up to 100% recycled PET, the most conservative default scenario for infants has been applied. Therefore, the migration of 0.1 $\mu$g/kg into food has been used to calculate $C_{\text{mod}}$ (EFSA CEF Panel, 2011). The results of these calculations are shown in Table 2. The relationship between the key parameters for the evaluation scheme is reported in Appendix B.

### Table 2: Decontamination efficiency from the challenge test, residual concentrations of the surrogates in the recycled PET ($C_{\text{res}}$) and calculated concentrations of the surrogates in PET ($C_{\text{mod}}$) corresponding to a modelled migration of 0.1 $\mu$g/kg food after 1 year at 25°C

| Surrogates             | Decontamination efficiency (%) | $C_{\text{res}}$ (mg/kg PET) | $C_{\text{mod}}$ (mg/kg PET) |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Toluene                | 99.5                           | 0.02                          | 0.09                         |
| Chlorobenzene          | 99.5                           | 0.02                          | 0.10                         |
| Chloroform             | 97.2                           | 0.08                          | 0.10                         |
| Methyl salicylate      | 98.9                           | 0.03                          | 0.13                         |
| Phenylcyclohexane      | 98.3                           | 0.05                          | 0.14                         |
| Benzophenone           | 96.3                           | 0.11                          | 0.16                         |
| Methyl stearate        | 96.4                           | 0.11                          | 0.32                         |

PET: poly(ethylene terephthalate).

The residual concentrations of all surrogates in PET after decontamination ($C_{\text{res}}$) are lower than the corresponding modelled concentrations in PET ($C_{\text{mod}}$). Therefore, the Panel considered that the recycling process under evaluation using the Starlinger Decon technology is able to ensure that the level of migration of unknown contaminants from the recycled PET into food is below the conservatively modelled migration of 0.1 $\mu$g/kg food, at which the risk to human health would be negligible.

### 4. Conclusions

The Panel considered that the process Pinaform using the Starlinger Decon technology is adequately characterised and that the main steps used to recycle the PET flakes into decontaminated PET flakes have been identified. Having examined the challenge test provided, the Panel concluded that the preheating (step 2) and the decontamination in the continuous SSP reactor (step 3) are critical for the decontamination efficiency. The operating parameters to control its performance are temperature, residence time, pressure and gas flow.

The Panel considered that the recycling process Pinaform is able to reduce foreseeable accidental contamination of post-consumer food contact PET to a concentration that does not give rise to concern for a risk to human health if:

i) it is operated under conditions that are at least as severe as those applied in the challenge test used to measure the decontamination efficiency of the process;

ii) the input of the process is washed and dried post-consumer PET flakes originating from materials and articles that have been manufactured in accordance with the EU legislation on food contact materials containing no more than 5% of PET from non-food consumer applications.

Therefore, the recycled PET obtained from the process Pinaform intended to be used at up to 100% for the manufacture of materials and articles for contact with all types of foodstuffs for long-term storage at room temperature, with or without hotfill, is not considered of safety concern. Trays
made of this recycled PET are not intended to be used in microwave and conventional ovens and such use is not covered by this evaluation.

5. **Recommendations**

The Panel recommended periodic verification that the input to be recycled originates from materials and articles that have been manufactured in accordance with the EU legislation on food contact materials and that the proportion of PET from non-food consumer applications is no more than 5%. This adheres to good manufacturing practice and the Regulation (EC) No 282/2008, Art. 4b. Critical steps in recycling should be monitored and kept under control. In addition, supporting documentation should be available on how it is ensured that the critical steps are operated under conditions at least as severe as those in the challenge test used to measure the decontamination efficiency of the process.

**Documentation provided to EFSA**

1) Dossier ‘Pinaform’. February 2019. Submitted on behalf of Pinaform s.l., Spain.
2) Additional information, May 2019. Submitted on behalf of Pinaform s.l., Spain.
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CEF   Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids
CEP   Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes and Processing Aids
C_{mod}  modelled concentration in PET
C_{res}  residual concentrations in PET
PET   poly(ethylene terephthalate)
PVC   poly(vinyl chloride)
SSP   solid-state polycondensation
Appendix A – Technical data of the washed flakes as provided by the applicant

| Parameter                              | Value                  |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Moisture                               | < 2%                   |
| Size                                   | 1–15 mm                |
| Bulk density                           | 250–750 kg/m³          |
| Thickness                              | 50–1,200 μm            |
| Other plastic than PET                 | < 500 mg/kg            |
| Flakes with glue                       | < 4,000 mg/kg          |
| Wood, Paper content                    | < 100 mg/kg            |
| Metal content (aluminium, ferrous, others) | < 500 mg/kg        |
| PVC content                            | < 100 mg/kg            |
| Total contamination other than water   | < 2,000 mg/kg          |

PET: poly(ethylene terephthalate); PVC: poly(vinyl chloride).
Appendix B – Relationship between the key parameters for the evaluation scheme (EFSA CEF Panel, 2011)

**PLASTIC INPUT**
Assumption of reference contamination level

3 mg/kg PET

**RECYCLING PROCESS WITH DECONTAMINATION TECHNOLOGY**
Decontamination efficiency measured using a challenge test

Eff (%)

**MIGRATION IN FOOD**
0.1 µg/kg food* calculated by conservative migration modelling related to a maximum potential intake of 0.0025 µg/kg bw per day

**PLASTIC OUTPUT**
Residual contamination in the recycled PET

\[ C_{res} = 3 \text{ (mg/kg PET)} \times (1 - \text{Eff } \%) \]

**PLASTIC IN CONTACT**
Modelled residual contamination in the recycled PET

\[ C_{mod} \]

Yes

\[ C_{res} < C_{mod} \]

No

Further considerations

*Default scenario (infant). For adults and toddlers, the migration criterion will be 0.75 and 0.15 µg/kg food respectively. The figures are derived from the application of the human exposure threshold value of 0.0025 µg/kg bw per day applying a factor of 5 due to the overestimation of modelling.
Appendix C – Table on Operational parameters

| Parameter       | Value 1 | Value 2 | Value 3 | Value 4 | Value 5 | Value 6 | Value 7 | Value 8 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 10% of time     | 1,000   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Continuous      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |

PET recycling process Pinaform