Evaluation of using radiographic films in measurements of penumbra width for radiotherapy applications
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ABSTRACT

The accuracy of treatment field size is a success key in cancer radiotherapy. As increase in dose may lead to overdose side effects, and decrease in dose lead to subtherapeutic effect which increased incidence of tumor recurrence or incomplete recovery of patient. Many physical parameters should be measured very accurately in order to predict the dose distribution as physical penumbra of radiation fields. Ionization chamber is considered as slandered tools for measuring penumbra.

Mega voltage x-ray energy penumbra is measured using ionization chamber due to its high accuracy in measuring absolute dose. This technique can produce the gold standard for penumbra measurements; however, it is a very time consuming and demanding process.

Aim of this work is to evaluate the using radiographic films in penumbra width measurements for radiotherapy applications and stereotactic radiosurgery by comparing results obtained by standard ionization chambers to radiographic films as new tool for penumbral width measurements.

Comparison between penumbra region measured by ionization chamber and o-xmat v Kodak radiographic films were carried out under the same physical and dosimetircic conditions.

Penumbra width differences were found to be less than 1 mm for 6 mev, 15 mev photon beam and 6 mv, 15 mv electron beam used in radiotherapy. Measured differences were considered very small and has no effect on penumbral width measurements however o-xmat v Kodak films provide fast, easy and economic method for penumbral measurement used in radiotherapy dosimetery.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the spectacular technological advances in radiotherapy have generated much enthusiasm[1].

Quality assurance (QA) has long been an integral part of the field of radiation oncology, although interest has increased sharply in recent years due to the great impotence of safety challenges presented by novel technologies and automated radiotherapy new high techniques[3,4].

Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) has become the first chionse of treatment technique for many types of cancers such as prostate, head and neck, and breast[4,5].

The gradual decrease of dose is called penumbra [9]..Penumbra is the region near the edge of the field margin where the dose falls rapidly [10]. The dose falls off around the geometric beam edge that is sigmoid in shape and lasts under the linear accelerator independent jaws [11], also penetration of beams trough collimator may contribute to form penumbra where there is also scattering of radiation which has a great role in radiotherapy. The total penumbra is referred to the physical penumbral and it is the sum of the three individual penumbras [12,13].

Mega voltage x-ray energy penumbra is measured using ionization chamber due to its high accuracy in measuring absolute dose. This technique can produce the gold standard for penumbral measurements; however, it is a very time consuming and demanding process [14-19]. Aim of this work is to evaluate the using radiographic films in penumbral width measurements for radiotherapy applications and stereotactic radiosurgery by comparing results obtained by standard ionization chambers to radiographic films as new tool for penumbral width measurements.

2 Materials and Method

Ionization chamber (PTW 0.6 cm³) is used in this study was calibrated by General National Laboratory, Braunschweig, Germany. Therapy Beam Analyzer (MP3-S) system consists of a Perspex tank a moving mechanism, TBA control unit, control pendant, Tandem dual channel electrometer, Semiflex ionization chamber (0.125 cm³), and MEPHYSTO (Medical Physics Tool) software. This software system is used for measurements of relative dose distributions by means of PTW water phantoms and PTW densitometers. MEPHYSTO allows data to be analyzed in compliance with internationally recognized protocols. Fibs Plus laser densitometer which is a computer controlled digital densitometer (FIPS PLUS laser scanner, PTW GmbH, Freiburg, Germany. The transmitted laser intensity is always digitized with a resolution of 12 bit to
ensure optimum accuracy of the measuring values. The maximum spatial resolution of the FIPS PLUS Scanner can vary between 0.2 mm and 0.34 mm. The Elekta multileaf collimator consists of 80 independent leaves which are divided into two banks. The material of the leaves is tungsten alloy with a density of 18.0 g/cm$^3$. The Elekta multileaf collimator has curved leaf ends and a stepped design for the leaf sides. Results obtained by using by ionization chamber were compared to Kodak X-omat V.

This study was carried out Mansoura university hospital, faculty of medicine, department of oncology and nuclear medicine, Egypt.

3- Results and Discussion

3.1 ionization chambers stability

| 6 MV | nC  | Temp. C | kpa |
|------|-----|---------|-----|
| 300V | 30.42 | 20.1  | 102 |
|      | 30.41 | 20.2  | 102 |
|      | 30.42 | 20.2  | 102 |
| 200V | 30.4  | 20.2  | 102 |
|      | 30.4  | 20.2  | 102 |
|      | 30.39 | 20.3  | 102 |
| 100V | 30.38 | 20.3  | 102 |
|      | 30.38 | 20.3  | 102 |
|      | 30.39 | 20.23 | 102 |

Table 1 Stability measurements of ionization chamber over changes of voltage for 6 Mv photon.

| 15 MV | Current nC | Temperature Celsius | Pressure kpa |
|-------|------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 300 V | 35         | 20                  | 102         |
|       | 35.1       | 20                  | 102         |
| 200V  | 34.9       | 20.2                | 102         |
|       | 34.99      | 20.2                | 102         |
| 100V  | 34.98      | 20                  | 102         |
|       | 34.98      | 20                  | 102         |
|       | 34.89      | 20.1                | 102         |

Table 2 stability Measurements of ionization chamber over changes of voltage for 15 Mev photon.

Tables 1 and 2 show relation between absolute dose reading of ionization chamber and operating voltage for different setups operating voltage (100,200and 300)v for 6mv and 15 mv respectively. Difference in reading was within ±1 nanocoulombs which indicate high stability of ionization chamber.

3.2 Characteristic curve

The relation between optical density and dose is presented in figure 1 for 6 Mv radiation beam by irradiating therapy verification film type Kodak X-Omat V. The film is exposed to different doses ranging from 1 cGy to 148 cGy at 1.5 cm depth using field size 20x20 cm$^2$ at SSD = 100 cm.
Figure 1 optical density versus the absorbed dose for radiographic films.

It is illustrated from figure 1 that between 0 cGy to 80 cGy the dose is proportional to the optical density, beyond this limit the optical density does not depend on the radiation dose. The film does not respond to the radiation doses. This limits our study at this dose.

3.3 Penumbra measurements.

| Field Side cm | Radiographic film mm | Ionization chamber mm |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 5             | 3.2                   | 2.9                   |
| 7             | 3.3                   | 3                     |
| 9             | 3.5                   | 3.2                   |
| 10            | 3.6                   | 3.3                   |
| 12            | 3.7                   | 3.4                   |
| 14            | 3.8                   | 3.5                   |
| 16            | 4                     | 3.6                   |
| 18            | 4.1                   | 3.7                   |
| 20            | 4.2                   | 3.8                   |
| 22            | 4.2                   | 3.8                   |
| 24            | 4.3                   | 3.9                   |
| 26            | 4.4                   | 4                     |
| 28            | 4.5                   | 4.1                   |
| 30            | 4.6                   | 4.2                   |

Table 3 Relation between field size and penumbra width at D_{max} for different field sizes for 6 Mv photon.
The penumbra region measurements for 6 mv photon beam by radiographic film and ionization chamber are presented in Table 3 and Figure 2. Radiographic films show small increases in penumbra width around (0.3mm) which can be attributed to the large energy dependence of the films response to x-rays. Penumbras are measured for different field size ranging from 10 x10 cm$^2$ to 30x30 cm$^2$. Penumbra increase as field sizes increase for both ionization chamber and radiographic films.

### Table 4 Relations between penumbra width and field size for 15 Mev photon beam.

| Field size (mm) | Radiographic film (mm) | Ionization chamber (mm) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10              | 3                      | 2.6                     |
| 12              | 3.1                    | 2.7                     |
| 15              | 3.2                    | 2.8                     |
| 18              | 3.25                   | 2.85                    |
| 20              | 3.3                    | 2.9                     |
| 22              | 3.3                    | 2.9                     |
| 25              | 3.4                    | 3                       |
| 27              | 3.5                    | 3.1                     |
| 30              | 3.6                    | 3.2                     |

Figure 2 Relation between penumbra width and field size for photon beam and 6 mv energy

Figure 3 Relations between penumbra width and field size for 15 mev photon beam.
The penumbra region measurements for 15 mv photon beam by radiographic film and ionization chamber are presented in table 4 and Figure 3. Radiographic films show small increases in penumbra width around (0.4mm) which can be attributed to the large energy dependence of the films response to x-rays. Penumbras are measured for different field size ranging from 10 x10 cm² to 30x30 cm². Penumbra increase as field sizes increase for both ionization chamber and radiographic films.

| Field size(cm²) | Radiographic films(mm) | Ionization chamber (mm) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10 x10          | 3.9                     | 3.6                     |
| 12x12           | 4.2                     | 3.9                     |
| 15x15           | 4.6                     | 4.3                     |
| 18x18           | 4.8                     | 4.5                     |
| 20x20           | 4.9                     | 4.6                     |
| 22x22           | 5                       | 4.7                     |
| 25x25           | 5.1                     | 4.8                     |
| 27x27           | 5.2                     | 4.9                     |
| 30x30           | 5.3                     | 5                       |

Table 5 relation between penumbra width and field size for 6 mev electron beam.

Figure 4  Relation between penumbra width and field size for electron beam and 6 mv energy.

Comparison between penumbra region measured by radiographic film and ionization chambers is presented in table 5 and figure 4; we noticed that penumbra increase with increasing square field size and the penumbras measured by films larger than that measured by ionization chamber by about 0. 3 mm.
Table 6 Relation between penumbra width and field size for electron beam and 15 mv energy.

| Field size cm x cm | Radiographic film (mm) | Ionization chamber (mm) |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10 x10             | 3.5                    | 3.2                     |
| 12x12              | 3.7                    | 3.4                     |
| 15x15              | 4                      | 3.7                     |
| 18x18              | 4.05                   | 3.75                    |
| 20x20              | 4.1                    | 3.8                     |
| 22x22              | 4.18                   | 3.88                    |
| 25x25              | 4.2                    | 3.9                     |
| 27x27              | 4.3                    | 4                       |
| 30x30              | 4.4                    | 4.1                     |

Comparison between radiographic film and ionization chambers is presented in table 6 and figure 5; we noticed that penumbra increase with increasing square field size and the penumbras measured by films larger than that measured by ionization chamber by average 0.3 mm.
Table 7 penumbra Measurement for very small field size 6 mv photon beam.

| Field size(cm²) | Radiographic films(mm) | Ionization chamber(mm) |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.4 x 0.4      | 1.2                    | 1.1                    |
| 0.6 x 0.6      | 1.3                    | 1.2                    |
| 0.8 x 0.8      | 1.5                    | 1.4                    |
| 1 x 1          | 1.6                    | 1.5                    |
| 1.2 x 1.2      | 1.64                   | 1.54                   |
| 1.4 x 1.4      | 1.7                    | 1.6                    |
| 1.6 x 1.6      | 1.7                    | 1.6                    |
| 1.8 x 1.8      | 1.76                   | 1.66                   |
| 2 x 2          | 1.78                   | 1.68                   |
| 2.2 x 2.2      | 1.8                    | 1.7                    |
| 2.4 x 2.4      | 1.82                   | 1.72                   |
| 2.6 x 2.6      | 1.94                   | 1.74                   |
| 2.8 x 2.8      | 1.98                   | 1.78                   |
| 3 x 3          | 1.99                   | 1.79                   |
| 3.2 x 3.2      | 2                      | 1.8                    |
| 3.4 x 3.4      | 2.02                   | 1.82                   |
| 3.6 x 3.6      | 2.1                    | 1.9                    |
| 3.8 x 3.8      | 2.13                   | 1.93                   |
| 4 x 4          | 2.3                    | 2.1                    |
| 4.2 x 4.2      | 2.33                   | 2.13                   |
| 4.5 x 4.5      | 2.4                    | 2.2                    |

Figure 6 penumbras Measurement for very small field size 6 mv photon beams.

Table 7 and figure 6 present data obtained by using collimator with small leaf dimension to produce very small beams size used in brain tumors. The leaf-end penumbra width for SSD 100 cm. the maximum difference between penumbra
region measured by ionization chamber and that measured by radiographic films is 0.2 mm which considered as very small difference.

4 Conclusion
Penumbra is an important physical parameter in beam modeling which used in predicting dose distribution for step and shoot and dynamic radiotherapy.

In this work two tools of measuring penumbra were used which they are Kodak X-Omat V and simplex ionization chamber.

For 6 Mev photon beam results show that average 80-20% beam penumbra was found to be 3.9 mm and 3.6 mm for radiographic films and ionization chamber respectively. The average difference is measured and found to be 0.3 mm.

By using square field sizes ranging from 10x10 cm² to 30x30 cm² penumbra increased with increasing field size.

For 15 Mev photon beam the average penumbra were found to be 3.2 mm and 2.8 mm for radiographic films and ionization chamber respectively. The average difference is measured and found to be 0.4 mm.

For 6 Mv electrons beam the average penumbra were found to be 4 mm and 3.7 mm for radiographic films and ionization chamber respectively. The average difference is measured and found to be 0.3 mm.

For 15 Mv electrons beam the average penumbra were found to be 4.7 mm and 4 mm for radiographic films and ionization chamber respectively. The average difference is measured and found to be 0.3 mm.

For small fields used in stereotactic radiosurgery the average penumbra were found to be 1.8 mm and 1.7 mm for radiographic films and ionization chamber respectively. The maximum difference is measured and found to be 0.2 mm.

On the basis of all pervious results it is obvious that the difference is less than 1 mm which considered as small insignificant difference and can be negligible however oxmat v Kodak films provide fast, easy and economic method for penumbra measurement used in radiotherapy dosimetry.
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