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Abstract

Background: Although women of reproductive age are the largest group of HIV-infected individuals in sub-Saharan Africa, little is known about the impact of pregnancy on response to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in that setting. We examined the effect of incident pregnancy after HAART initiation on virologic response to HAART.

Methods and Findings: We evaluated a prospective clinical cohort of adult women who initiated HAART in Johannesburg, South Africa between 1 April 2004 and 30 September 2009, and followed up until an event, death, transfer, drop-out, or administrative end of follow-up on 31 March 2010. Women over age 45 and women who were pregnant at HAART initiation were excluded from the study; final sample size for analysis was 5,494 women. Main exposure was incident pregnancy, experienced by 541 women; main outcome was virologic failure, defined as a failure to suppress virus to ≤400 copies/ml by six months or virologic rebound >400 copies/ml thereafter. We calculated adjusted hazard ratios using marginal structural Cox proportional hazards models and weighted lifetable analysis to calculate adjusted five-year risk differences. The weighted hazard ratio for the effect of pregnancy on time to virologic failure was 1.34 (95% confidence limit [CL] 1.02, 1.78). Sensitivity analyses generally confirmed these main results.

Conclusions: Incident pregnancy after HAART initiation was associated with modest increases in both relative and absolute risks of virologic failure, although uncontrolled confounding cannot be ruled out. Nonetheless, these results reinforce that family planning is an essential part of care for HIV-positive women in sub-Saharan Africa. More work is needed to confirm these findings and to explore specific etiologic pathways by which such effects may operate.

Introduction

The largest group of individuals living with HIV in Africa are women of child-bearing age [1]. In South Africa, young women have more than three times the estimated prevalence of HIV infection compared with young men [2,3]. Furthermore, prevalence of HIV among pregnant women in South Africa was estimated at 28% in 2007, and may be as high as 40% among pregnant women ages 30–34: substantially higher than the overall adult prevalence [3]. In South Africa, antenatal testing is a key way in which women receive an HIV diagnosis; as such, pregnancy is a common indication for the initiation of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) for the prevention of mother to child transmission [4]. Pregnancy is also common after clinically indicated initiation of HAART [5,6].

Numerous studies have focused on optimal methods for prevention of mother to child transmission of HIV and subsequent response to HAART [7,8,9,10,11,12,13], as well as issues of fertility during HAART [14]. There is likewise a long history of studies examining the effect of pregnancy on HIV disease progression in the pre-HAART era [15,16,17,18,19] and a growing body of research on the impact of pregnancy on response to HAART in higher income countries [20,21,22,23,24]. However, to date there has been very little research examining effects of pregnancy on maternal response to HAART in sub-Saharan Africa [25]. This relative absence of evidence is striking given the unequivocal statement by
the WHO that women’s health should be “the overarching priority in decisions about ARV treatment during pregnancy” [26]. There are a number of reasons to hypothesize that response to HAART may be compromised during pregnancy. Pregnancy is associated with increases in blood volume and body mass index, which may lead to underdosing of drugs [27,28]. Levels of cytochrome p450, and in particular CYP3A isoenzymes, may rise during pregnancy [29], increasing the metabolism of two antiretroviral drugs often given to HIV-positive pregnant women, lopinavir and nevirapine; thus pregnant women may experience reduced concentrations of both drugs [27,29,30,31]. Additionally, beta-estradiol levels increase substantially in pregnancy [6,32]; beta-estradiol may attenuate the efficacy of stavudine [32], another component of first-line HAART in South Africa. Last, social pressures related to pregnancy including stigma and fear of intimate partner violence [25], as well as responsibilities of new motherhood, may compromise adherence to HAART and thus virologic response to therapy. We thus hypothesized that pregnancy increases risk of virologic failure.

Much remains unknown about both short- and long-term risks associated with pregnancy in HIV-positive women receiving HAART, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. With large numbers of HIV-positive women becoming pregnant [14], it is vital to understand the impact of pregnancy on virologic outcomes of HAART.

Materials and Methods
Ethics statement
This research was based on de-identified previously collected clinical records, and was declared exempt from human subjects review by the University of the Witwatersrand, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and Duke University.

Study population and design
We performed a prospective observational cohort study in the database of the Themba Lethu Clinic [33]. The Themba Lethu Clinic (henceforth, TLC) Cohort is a study of adults initiating HAART in Johannesburg, South Africa. The program is funded by the South African National and Gauteng Department of Health, with support from Right to Care and funding by USAID and PEPFAR. The TLC, sited at the regional Helen Joseph Hospital in urban Johannesburg, has over 17,000 patients in care and is the largest single clinic providing HAART in South Africa, and one of the largest HAART clinics worldwide. We studied previously antiretroviral therapy-naive women from the time of HAART initiation between 1 April 2004 and 30 September 2009 at TLC. We followed these women until they experienced an outcome, administrative end of follow-up on 31 March 2010, or the end of care due to drop-out, death, or transfer of care to another site. Additional exclusions (by age and baseline pregnancy status) are described below.

Typical first-line HAART included stavudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz. Due to concerns about teratogenicity, women found to be pregnant are typically placed on the boosted protease inhibitor Kaletra (lopinavir and ritonavir) rather than efavirenz, while non-pregnant women with declared pregnancy intention at baseline are placed on nevirapine or Kaletra rather than efavirenz. Additional details of the TLC clinical database, clinic procedures, and outcomes have been described previously [33,34,35]. Here, we note that clinical data are captured prospectively in the TLC and that accuracy of data entry has been previously validated [33]. However, subjects did not receive care for pregnancy in the TLC, and thus data on fetal outcomes are not available.

Definitions and data
The main exposure in this study was “ever became pregnant after HAART initiation”; that is, an incident pregnancy occurring subsequent to HAART initiation, regardless of length and outcome of the pregnancy. Pregnancy was defined based on clinical finding of pregnancy recorded in the clinical database in the course of standard HIV care. We concentrated on incident rather than prevalent pregnancy (i.e., pregnancy ongoing at the time of HAART initiation) due to concerns about confounding by indication. Women with prevalent pregnancy often initiate HAART because they are pregnant, and thus may be systematically different than women who initiate HAART for their own health and later become pregnant. Thus, women with prevalent pregnancy might be in general healthier than other women; this might manifest in a greater resiliency to drug toxicities or differing adherence to HAART which would obscure the effect of pregnancy itself. To avoid this bias, we excluded women who were prevalent at baseline from the main analysis, employing a “new pregnancy design” similar to the “new-user design” of pharmacoepidemiology [36]. Alternative exposure definitions were explored in sensitivity analysis.

The main outcome in this study was virologic failure, which was defined following Riddler et al. [37] as either a failure to achieve virologic suppression of plasma HIV-1 RNA to less than 400 copies/ml within six months of HAART initiation, or a viral rebound to above 400 copies/ml at any time after initial suppression. Confirmation of outcome by a second viral load test within 30 days was obtained when possible, but data from patients missing a confirmatory sample were included as failures [37]. In the main analysis, both death and drop-out were treated as censoring conditions; in sensitivity analysis we examined alternative outcomes and censoring variables.

Adherence to HAART was estimated from pharmacy records, as the time-updated cumulative proportion of days between pharmacy visits in which a subject had antiretroviral drugs taken correctly if they are available. Results are the time-updated cumulative proportion of days between pharmacy visits in which a subject had antiretroviral drugs taken correctly if they are available.

Statistical analysis
As noted above, the main analysis focused on the effect of incident pregnancy on time to virologic failure. A key concern of this analysis was the possibility of time-varying confounding affected by prior exposure [38,39]. Thus, in addition to time-updated adjusted Cox models, we also used marginal structural Cox proportional hazards models [38,39] to estimate hazard ratios (HRs), as well as confounding-adjusted extended Kaplan-Meier curves [40]. In both these cases, inverse probability weights were used to account for bias due to both confounding [41] and drop-out [38]. In the main analysis, weights were truncated at the 1st and 99th percentile to reduce the variance of estimates [42]. We used crude Kaplan-Meier curves to estimate crude five-year risk differences with confidence intervals derived from 200 bootstraps of the data.

In all multivariable analyses, we considered the following confounders of the effect of pregnancy on time to virologic failure, based on previous literature and biological mechanism. Confounders measured at baseline (HAART initiation) included age, ethnicity, employment status, current tuberculosis, calendar date of HAART initiation, history of smoking, and WHO stage. Confounders measured over time included weight, body mass index, hemoglobin, CD4 count and CD4 percent, antiretroviral drug regimen, and drug adherence. We used restricted cubic
splines to flexibly control for age, body mass index, CD4 count, and time-on-study. Drug regimen was lagged by three months to ensure that we controlled for drug changes preceding pregnancy, rather than changes in drug regimen affected by pregnancy. We did not control for baseline viral load because it is collected in less than 25% of participants.

### Sensitivity analysis and missing data
To test analytic assumptions, we performed several sensitivity analyses in addition to the main analysis; these sensitivity analyses addressed issues in definitions of the population, exposure, and outcome, as well as technical decisions in the modeling.

We restricted the population to those women who had suppressed virus by six months after HAART initiation, and looked at subsequent incident pregnancy and virologic failure (sensitivity analysis 1). We considered a more inclusive definition of prevalent pregnancy for exclusion from the study population, based on initial drug regimen as well as database-recorded pregnancy status, to ensure that undercounting of prevalent pregnancy was not a source of bias (2). We examined the effect of pregnancy on less-specific outcomes, namely virologic failure or mortality (3), and virologic failure, mortality, or drop-out (4). We also examined a more restrictive outcome, in which we considered virologic failures only if they were confirmed within 30 days (5). We combined analyses 1 and 5, restricting to those with initial suppression who also had confirmed virologic failure (6). We considered an exposure of prevalent and incident pregnancy together, abandoning the “new-user” design described above (7). In all analyses, longitudinal data were carried forward from the most recent observed value. Missing data led to approximately 9% missing observations in the final analysis. The last two sensitivity analyses used a multiple imputation analysis to account for missing data (8), and in dropped carry-forward of time-updated variables (9).

### Role of the funding source
The funding sources had no involvement in the design or conduct of the study, in the collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data, in the preparation, writing, review or approval of this manuscript, or in the decision to submit this manuscript for publication.

### Results
The initial study population comprised 7,181 women at time of HAART initiation. After exploring univariate distributions of age by baseline pregnancy status, we excluded women who were over age 45 at baseline (1 pregnant woman, 1,138 non-pregnant women) to improve comparability and interpretability of final estimates [43]. This left 6,042 women; excluding 548 (9%) women who were pregnant at time of HAART initiation yielded a total sample size for the main analysis of 5,494 women of whom 541 experienced an incident pregnancy during follow-up. These 5,494 women contributed a total of approximately 11,600 person-months to this analysis, of which 11,826 were non-missing (94%); continuous variables are expressed as median (25%, 75% limits). At the time of incident pregnancy, the vast majority of women (94%) had a suppressed viral load. Age at HAART initiation was among the strongest predictors of both baseline and incidence pregnancy (Figure 1). Note, an estimated 44% (95% confidence limits [CL] 36, 54%) of women who were 18–25 years old at the time of HAART initiation experienced incident pregnancy within four years HAART initiation in this cohort.

### Table 1. Characteristics of 5,494 women at time of HAART initiation, and a subpopulation of 541 of those women at time of incident pregnancy, in Johannesburg, South Africa from 1 April 2004 to 30 September 2009.

| Demographics | At baseline (n = 5,494) | At incident pregnancy (n = 541) |
|--------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Age years    | 34 (29, 38)            | 32 (28, 35)                      |
| African ethnicity | 5,293 (96.3)          | 531 (98.2)*                      |
| Employed     | 2,233 (40.6)           | 216 (40.0)*                      |
| History of smoking | 269 (4.9)             | 21 (3.9)*                        |
| Clinical     |                        |                                  |
| HAART regimen |                        |                                  |
| d4T-3TC-EFV   | 4580 (83.4)            | 222 (41.0)                       |
| d4T-3TC-NVP   | 473 (8.6)              | 81 (15.0)                        |
| d4T-3TC-LPVR  | 146 (2.7)              | 116 (21.4)                       |
| Weight kilograms | 57 (49, 65)          | 64 (56, 73)                      |
| Body mass index kg/m² | 22.2 (19.5, 25.5)   | 24.8 (21.9, 27.7)                |
| Body mass index category kg/m² |
| <18.5        | 930 (17.7)             | 22 (4.1)                         |
| 18.5–24.9     | 2,845 (54.2)           | 260 (48.3)                       |
| 25.0–29.9     | 987 (18.8)             | 172 (32.0)                       |
| ≥30           | 486 (9.3)              | 84 (15.6)                        |
| WHO stage III or IV | 2,369 (43.1)      | 235 (43.4)*                      |
| Current tuberculosis | 963 (17.5)          | 88 (16.3)*                       |
| Laboratory   |                        |                                  |
| Hemoglobin, low | 1000 (45.7)          | 113 (21.1)                       |
| CD4 count cells/mm³ | 91 (35, 164)       | 304 (189, 433)                   |
| CD4 count category cells/mm³ |
| ≤50           | 1,736 (32.6)           | 18 (3.3)                         |
| 51–100        | 1,075 (20.2)           | 22 (4.1)                         |
| 101–200       | 1,864 (35.0)           | 112 (20.8)                       |
| 201–350       | 521 (9.8)              | 167 (31.0)                       |
| >350          | 133 (2.5)              | 220 (40.8)                       |
| Viral load log copies/ml | 4.2 (3.4, 4.6) | 1.7 (1.7, 1.7) |
| Viral load category log copies/ml |
| ≤400          | 308 (20.0)             | 444 (93.9)                       |
| 401–10000     | 251 (16.3)             | 10 (2.1)                         |
| >100000       | 984 (63.8)             | 19 (4.0)                         |
| d4T: stavudine. 3TC: lamivudine. EFV: efavirenz. NVP: nevirapine. LPVR: Lopinavir-ritonavir (Kaletra). Categorical variables are expressed as number (% of total non-missing); continuous variables are expressed as median (interquartile range). |
*These measures are baseline measures, not updated to time of incident pregnancy.

1After adjustment for altitude, lower limit of normal hemoglobin is 11.35 g/dl.

2Baseline viral load was missing in 3951 (72%) women at baseline, and in 68 of women at time of exposure.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022778.t001

At the time of incident pregnancy, the vast majority of women (94%) had a suppressed viral load. Age at HAART initiation was among the strongest predictors of both baseline and incidence pregnancy (Figure 1). Note, an estimated 44% (95% confidence limits [CL] 36, 54%) of women who were 18–25 years old at the time of HAART initiation experienced incident pregnancy within four years HAART initiation in this cohort.
At baseline, 87% of women initiated a HAART regimen including efavirenz (83% were on the standard first-line HAART regimen of stavudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz), suggesting a lack of intention to become pregnant; 431 of these women later experienced a pregnancy. At the time of incident pregnancy, fewer women (53%) were receiving efavirenz. Many of these women switched to non-efavirenz-based HAART during pregnancy: 38% remained on efavirenz by month 3 of pregnancy. By month 5 of pregnancy, only 27% remained on efavirenz, the same proportion as remained on efavirenz at 9 months. By 18 months after conception, the proportion receiving efavirenz had risen back to 55%.

Of the 5,494 women considered in the main analysis, 81 women experienced virologic failure after an incident pregnancy (15% of the 541 exposed women); 748 (15%) of non-pregnant women experienced this outcome. Of the 829 total failures analyzed in main analysis, only 52 were confirmed within 30 days and 140 within 60 days. Examining all potential failures (not just those included in main analysis), 189 women had any virologic failure which was confirmed within 30 days. About one-third (n = 253) of total virologic failures were a failure to suppress within six months; the remaining two-thirds occurred after six months. Before experiencing the outcome or administrative censoring, 50 pregnant women (9%) died or dropped out, compared with 1680 (34%) non-pregnant women.

In the main analysis, the crude HR for the total effect of incident pregnancy on time to virologic failure over all of follow-up was 1.37 (95% CL 1.08, 1.73); the adjusted was 1.35 (95% CL 1.03, 1.77); and the weighted HR was 1.34 (95% CL 1.02, 1.78) (Table 2). The crude estimated absolute difference for the total effect of incident pregnancy on 5-year risk of virologic failure was 0.06 (−0.02, 0.14), an estimated 6% increase in virologic failure by five years. Figure 2 shows the crude and weighted extended Kaplan-Meier curves for the effect of pregnancy on time to virologic failure.

Among women who experienced a pregnancy, virologic failure was more common during pregnancy than after pregnancy; of the 81 failures observed, 42 took place during the nine months following reported start of pregnancy and 39 took place thereafter. A time-adjusted crude rate ratio comparing failure rate during the 9 months of the incident pregnancy exposure compared to other times was 1.49 (95% CL 1.09, 2.03), slightly stronger than the crude main effect estimate; likewise, the weighted rate ratio was slightly further from the null at 1.42 (95% CL 1.00, 2.01).

An additional, predictive (non-causal) analysis was undertaken to characterize the associations of baseline and time-updated variables on risk of the outcome (Table 3). To increase interpretability of these predictive factors, this analysis used less-flexible categorical parameterizations of BMI and CD4 count, and...
controlled for confounding only by time-updated measures of risk factors. As a result, the adjusted hazard ratio for pregnancy itself from this model was biased, and was therefore excluded from Table 3. This model showed that older age, baseline employment status, and lower BMI were associated with lower HR for virologic failure; and that lower CD4 count, use of nevirapine (compared with efavirenz), and less-than-perfect adherence were associated with higher HRs for virologic failure.

Results from sensitivity analyses are summarized in Table S1. Restriction to women who had suppressed virus by six months (and who had not yet experienced pregnancy by that point) yielded a point estimate similar but less precise than the main effect: HR = 1.39 (95% CL 0.97, 1.99) (analysis 1, Table S1). The exclusions of additional women suspected of being pregnant at baseline likewise had little effect on the estimate of effect (analysis 2). In general, as the outcome and exposure became less strictly defined (analyses 3, 4, 7) the hazard ratio moved toward or to the null; most notably, when the outcome was failure, drop-out, or death, the point estimate was 0.96 (95% CL 0.78, 1.17). When outcome was more strictly defined, as any virologic failure confirmed within 30 days (analysis 5; starting with the 189 virologic failures described above), results were stronger but less precise (HR = 1.43, 95% CL 0.80, 2.55); results were similar when allowing a 60-day confirmation window. Restricting to women with both initial virologic suppression and confirmed virologic failure (analysis 6; total 101 outcomes) yielded an estimate somewhat closer to the null and much less precise, compared with the main result. The multiple imputation analysis (10 imputations, analysis 8) yielded a point estimate slightly closer to the null (HR = 1.27), as did dropping the “carry-forward” of time-updated variables (analysis 9, HR = 1.25).

Discussion

In this observational study of HIV-positive women initiating HAART in South Africa, we found that incident pregnancy after HAART initiation is associated with increased relative and absolute risk of virologic failure of therapy during follow-up. Our main analysis estimated that pregnancy is associated with a hazard ratio of 1.34 (95% CL 1.02, 1.78), and an estimated absolute 6% increase in virologic failure by five years of follow-up. Our results were stronger when the question being examined was more specific, and weaker when the question was more broad. In the former case, restricting to the period of pregnancy itself (rather than time after pregnancy) yielded an incidence rate ratio further from the null than main results; so did analyzing only confirmed virologic failures. In contrast, as the definitions of exposure and outcome used in the main analysis (incident pregnancy only as the exposure; virologic failure only as the outcome) became less strictly defined (analyses 3, 4, 7), the effect estimates moved toward the null.

Table 2. Estimated effect of incident pregnancy on time to virologic failure among 5,494 women initiating HAART in South Africa, 2004–2009.

| No. of events | Person-months of follow-up | HR 95% CL |
|---------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Unadjusted    |                            |           |
| Not pregnant  | 748                        | 127,446   | 1.08, 1.73 |
| Pregnant      | 81                         | 11,826    | 1.37, 1.77 |
| Adjusted†     |                            |           |
| Not pregnant  | 675                        | 115,376   | 1.03, 1.77 |
| Pregnant      | 67                         | 9,650     | 1.34, 1.78 |
| Weighted‡     |                            |           |
| Not pregnant  | 675                        | 115,376   | 1.02, 1.78 |
| Pregnant      | 67                         | 9,650     | 1.34, 1.78 |

HR, hazard ratio; CL, confidence limit.
†Both standard adjusted and weighted models accounted for the same set of covariates, namely age, ethnicity, history of smoking, employment status, active tuberculosis at study entry, calendar date at entry, WHO stage, and time-updated weight, body mass index, hemoglobin, CD4 count and percent, drug regimen, and drug adherence.
‡Weighted event and person-month counts are given. Unweighted, these numbers are 688/116,884 and 69/9,918.

Figure 2. Crude and weighted cumulative incidence curves for the effect of pregnancy on time to virologic failure among 5,494 HIV-positive women initiating HAART in Johannesburg, South Africa from 1 April 2004 to 30 September 2009. Sample sizes in weighted curves are weighted sample sizes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022778.t002

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022778.g002
Table 3. Adjusted hazard ratios for non-causal associations of selected baseline and time-updated characteristics with time to virologic failure in 5,494 women initiating HAART in Johannesburg, South Africa from 1 April 2004 to 30 September 2009.

| Time fixed characteristics | aHR  | 95% CL |
|----------------------------|------|--------|
| Baseline age (effect of 5-year increase) | 0.89 | 0.83, 0.94 |
| Employed                   | 0.81 | 0.70, 0.94 |
| History of smoking         | 1.33 | 0.98, 1.79 |
| Baseline tuberculosis      | 1.09 | 0.69, 1.33 |
| WHO stage III or IV        | 1.11 | 0.95, 1.30 |

| Body mass index category kg/m² | aHR  | 95% CL |
|--------------------------------|------|--------|
| <18.5                          | 0.64 | 0.40, 1.03 |
| 18.5–24.9                      | 0.71 | 0.52, 0.97 |
| 25.0–29.9                      | 0.86 | 0.67, 1.11 |
| ≥30                            | 1.   | NA     |

| Hemoglobin, low† grams/dl      | 1.01 | 0.85, 1.19 |

| CD4 count category cells/mm³   | aHR  | 95% CL |
|--------------------------------|------|--------|
| ≤50                            | 5.00 | 3.60, 6.96 |
| 51–100                         | 5.35 | 3.98, 7.19 |
| 101–200                        | 3.57 | 2.85, 4.49 |
| 201–350                        | 2.08 | 1.69, 2.56 |
| >350                           | 1.   | NA     |

| Current drug regimen           | aHR  | 95% CL |
|--------------------------------|------|--------|
| Efavirenz                      | 1.   | NA     |
| Nevirapine                     | 1.30 | 1.04, 1.62 |
| Kaletra                        | 1.17 | 0.91, 1.50 |
| Adherence                      | aHR  | 95% CL |
| <95%                           | 1.33 | 1.12, 1.57 |
| 95–99.9%                      | 1.22 | 1.01, 1.46 |
| 100%                           | 1.   | NA     |

aHR, adjusted hazard ratio. Model controls additionally for incident pregnancy, time on study, calendar time, weight, and ethnicity.
†After adjustment for altitude, lower limit of normal hemoglobin is 11.35 g/dl.

The generalizability of both studies to sub-Saharan Africa may be limited due to developed-world settings. The present study, involving over 11,000 person-years of follow-up in South Africa, helps fill a significant gap in the literature. Of course, the replication of this analysis in other sub-Saharan African cohorts is critical for confirming or refuting these findings.

Beyond large sample size, there were several key strengths of this study. Data were collected prospectively in a previously validated clinic database. Issues of time-varying confounding and potential selection bias remain a possible threat to the validity of this study; for example, data on parity were missing for these subjects. Another potential confounder is baseline viral load, which was available in only 25% of women studied; however, restriction to women with a history of single-dose nevirapine exposure from our cohort [33,34] suggest that results from the Temba Lethu Clinic are generally comparable to other cohorts in sub-Saharan Africa; thus, we believe that the present results will have good generalizability to (at least) other urban HAART cohorts in sub-Saharan Africa.

With regard to generalizability, we made two key exclusions in our main analysis: women pregnant at baseline, and women over the age of 45. The former group was excluded because of concerns about confounding and potential selection bias; the latter because women older than 45 are very unlikely to become pregnant (that is, to become exposed) and thus present a relatively small group for whom inference is difficult due to problems of positivity [43]. The present findings should not be extrapolated to either of these groups without significant further study.

Other limitations of this study should be noted. We analyzed observational data from a clinical database, and thus uncontrolled confounding remains a possible threat to the validity of this study; for example, data on parity were missing for these subjects. Another potential confounder is baseline viral load, which was available in only 25% of women studied; however, restriction to women who had successfully suppressed virus by six months after HAART initiation confirmed the main analysis (sensitivity analysis 1), suggesting that this baseline viral load was not a critical source of bias in this study. Similarly, while we strove to exclude all women with a history of single-dose nevirapine exposure from our study, some such women may have been overlooked.

It is also possible that the observed increased rate of virologic failure after incident pregnancy is the consequence of detection bias: pregnant women may receive more lab tests than non-pregnant women. However, compared to non-pregnant women, the pregnant women were more likely to have viral load measured (rate ratio for viral load testing comparing pregnant to non-pregnant person-time was 1.02, 95% CI 0.97, 1.08) and were less likely to have CD4 cells counted (rate ratio 0.85, 95% CI 0.81, 0.89). Thus, results are unlikely to be explained by detection bias.

Last, the interpretation of Table 3 deserves special caution. The main analysis attempted to obtain an estimate of the causal effect of pregnancy on time to virologic failure; but no such claims are made regarding Table 3. Table 3 explicitly represents a predictive, and not a causal, analysis.

In this study, we estimated the total effect of pregnancy on virologic failure, rather than direct or indirect effects that might comprise the total effect. However, estimation of total effects must be interpreted and generalized with caution; the total effect in this case includes not only the pregnancy itself, but also the treatments...
that coincide with pregnancy in our setting, including clinical care and management, and potentially culturally-specific responses to pregnancy, including stigma and adherence-related behaviors. Any total effect may in fact conceal heterogeneous mechanisms of effects. Some aspects of pregnancy (e.g., reduced adherence due to nausea, interruption or change of antiretroviral drug regimen) may increase risk of virologic failure, while others (e.g., findings that high levels of beta-estradiol may result in reduced viral loads [6,47]) may decrease risk. A related factor, the specific choice of antiretroviral drugs for pregnant women, might increase or decrease risks of virologic failure. Future work should explore these different pathways of effect. In addition, future work should explore the effect of pregnancy on both clinical and immunological outcomes of HAART.

In this large study of clinical cohort data, we found that pregnancy after HAART initiation modestly increased both relative and absolute risks of virologic failure. While policy should never be based on a single study, these results provide more support [14]_ENREF_14 for the idea that family planning is an essential part of care and treatment for HIV-positive women in sub-Saharan Africa.
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