Angiotensin System Polymorphisms’ in SARS-CoV-2 Positive Patients: Assessment Between Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Patients: A Pilot Study
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Introduction: The renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS), a metabolic cascade regulating pressure and circulating blood volume, has been considered the main system involved in the pathogenesis of severe lung injury and organs decline in COVID-19 patients. The angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE1), angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), angiotensinogen (AGT) and receptors angiotensin II receptor type 1 (AGTR1) are key factors for SARS-CoV-2 entering in the cells, sodium and water retention with an increase blood pressure, promotion of fibrotic and inflammatory phenomena resulting in a cytokine storm.

Methods: In this pilot study, the frequencies of six polymorphisms in the ACE1, ACE2, AGT and AGTR1 genes were analysed in symptomatic patients affected by COVID-19 and compared with the results obtained from asymptomatic subjects.

Results: Thus, we have identified that rs2074192 (ACE2), rs1799752 (ACE1) and rs699 (AGT) SNPs could potentially be a valuable tool for predicting the clinical outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. A genetic predisposition may be prospected for severe internal organ damages and poor prognosis in patients with COVID-19 disease, as observed in symptomatic vs asymptomatic.

Conclusion: This study provides evidence that analysis of RAAS polymorphisms could be considered the key point in understanding and predicting the SARS-CoV-2 course infection.
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Introduction
Over the last two decades, seven coronaviruses responsible for respiratory diseases in humans have been studied and deeply analyzed for their major lung and multi-organ damages (adverse myocardial condition, cardiomyopathy and kidney failures), including the SARS-CoV-2 responsible for COVID-19 pandemic.1-3 The clinical spectrum of SARS-CoV-2 infection varies from the most frequent asymptomatic or mild symptomatic forms to severe progressive pneumonia and death, with specific virulence factors to achieve mortality rates around 3%.4

In some cases, after an asymptomatic period, a sudden and inexplicable worsening of clinical conditions is observed.5

According to current knowledge, the main cause of death in COVID-19 patients is a refractory acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) secondary to SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia,6 but the pathophysiology of COVID-19 remains partly unknown with the resulting lack of effective treatments for these patients.
Based on the observation of the high similarity of SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV-1, a recent study suggests the hypothesis that also during COVID-19 many genes can be downregulated leading to immune system hyperactivation, induction of signaling pathways and a subsequent cytokine storm. Several studies reported that SARS-CoV-2 is highly selective towards the angiotensin system. In fact, it has been ascertained that the virus binds strictly the ACE2-expressing cells widely distributed in blood vessels and tissues/organs (lungs, heart, kidney and eye), a condition that explains the SARS-CoV-2 widespread. Furthermore, recent data obtained by bioinformatic approaches have shown that the presence of an ACE2-Nepriylisin-carbonic anhydrase complex in most of vital organs and as a receptor of COVID-19 could be the basis of multi-organ damages caused by SARS-CoV-2. This ability explains the observation of hypertension, diabetes and cardiovascular conditions as frequent comorbidities in COVID-19 disease.

The ACE2 protein is a well-known carboxypeptidase significantly involved in RAAS, essential in regulating blood pressure and fluid homeostasis as well as electrolytes, with functional influences on many organs such as blood vessels, heart, kidneys and eyes. The whole angiotensin system, including the angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE1), the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the angiotensinogen (AGT) and the receptors angiotensin II receptor type 1 (AGTR1) were identified as the key factors for SARS-CoV-2 in entering into the cells, tissues and organs. Based on our previous study concerning the potential application of a personalized approach in the selection of therapy for COVID patients, we decided to evaluate whether six different Single Nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), pharmacogenetically relevant belonging to ACE1, ACE2, AGT and AGTR1 genes or their haplotype combinations, could be predictive of susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection or could be related to the severity of disease. For this reason, we performed a pilot study analyzing the rs2074192 and rs2106809 (ACE2 gene), rs1799752 (ACE1 gene), rs4762 and rs699 (AGT gene) and rs5186 (AGTR1 gene) genetic variants in a selected population with SARS-CoV-2 infection clinically divided into asymptomatic and symptomatic groups.

**Methods**

**Patients**

The pilot study was performed according to Institutional guidelines and approved by the Azienda Sanitaria Locale Brindisi Ethical Committee, Brindisi, Italy (Prot n. R.CE 30/21). All procedures followed the principles embodied in the Declaration of Helsinki and written informed consent was obtained from all patients voluntarily joined the study.

The study population included a total of 104 patients, 54 symptomatic (19/35 F/M, mean age 68.00 ± 12.38 yrs., range 40–90 yrs) and 50 asymptomatic (27/23 F/M, mean age 45.00 ± 11.67 yrs., range 22–62 yrs) consecutively enrolled according to inclusion criteria (test positivity and RX imaging). In the symptomatic patient group, the recovered clinical information included the severity of respiratory compromise, dividing into two groups with high and intermediate intensity of care (40% and 60%, respectively), obesity (45%), hypertension (62%), diabetes (57%) and the presence of conjunctivitis (42%).

**DNA Extraction and Genotyping**

Five milliliters of peripheral blood were collected in EDTA-vacutainer tubes and DNA was extracted by using the Extra kit DNA (salting out); Dia-Chem srl., Naples, Italy, according to the manufacturers’ procedure. DNA concentration was measured by NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and samples with A260/280 ≥1.8 were considered appropriate for analysis.

All patients were analyzed for six genetic polymorphisms: rs2074192 (ACE2, c.*1860-449C>T, intron variant), rs2106809 (ACE2, c.*264+788T>C, intron variant), rs1799752 (ACE1, c.2306–117_2306-116ins-del, intron variant), rs4762 (AGT, c.620C>T, p.Thr207Met), rs699 (AGT, c.803T>C, p.Met268Thr) and rs5186 (AGTR1, c.*86A>C, 3 Prime UTR Variant 1166A-C) using the commercial kits Ampli ACE2 rs2074192, AMPLI ACE2 *rs2106809, AMPLI ACE2 *rs2106809, AMPLI SET-ACE I/D, AMPLI-SET-AGT T174M, AMPLI-SET-AGT M235T and AMPLI SET AGTR1 A1166C (Dia-Chem) according to the manufacturer’s procedures.

**Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed to assess the possible associations between genotypes’ frequencies and gender, age, clinical variables and asymptomatic or symptomatic status. Allelic frequencies (%) were estimated by gene counting and genotypes were scored. Data were analysed by using Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test, as appropriate. Two-sided tests were used for analysis and a P-value≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analysis was performed by using
GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA; https://www.graphpad.com).

A comparison between our results obtained from the genotyping of our six SNPs analyzed and the allele frequency data available from the 1000 Genomes Browsers (A Deep Catalog of Human Genetic Variation, https://www.internationalgenome.org) and from the Genome Aggregation Database v3.1 (GnomAD, https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org) was performed. In the case of rs1799752 ACE1 I/D polymorphism, for which frequency data are not available, we used our mutational data obtained in a previous study on 825 Italian subjects.18

The frequencies of each SNPs genotype were compared with those expected for a population in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). For rs2074192 and rs2106809, the HWE was analyzed separately for females and males due to the localization of the ACE gene on X chromosome. The significance of the differences of observed alleles and genotypes, haplotype frequencies and associations between groups as well as analysis of multiple inheritance models (codominant, dominant, recessive, over dominant, and log-additive) were tested using free web-based applications SNPStats software (http://bioinfo.iconcologia.net/snpstats/start.htm) and SHEsis software (http://analysis.bio-x.cn/myAnalysis.php).19–21

Results

Comparison of Allelic Frequencies with World Population Databases

Our study population included 104 Caucasian individuals, as tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 after nasopharyngeal swabs and subdivided in 50 asymptomatic subjects and 54 moderate-to-severe COVID-19 patients.

We first performed a comparison of the allele frequencies obtained from our subjects and the data available in the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3, using global and European population data, and GnomAD genomes v3.1 databases by extrapolating global population (Table 1). Only rs699 SNP displayed a significant different frequency distribution in our population with respect to global population from the 1000 Genome Project (P<0.00001) and GnomAD genomes (P=0.006877) but no significant difference with the European Population from the 1000 Genome Project (P=0.14).

Table 1 Comparison Between Allele Frequencies Obtained from the Genotyping of Our Study and the Allele Frequency Data Available from the 1000 Genomes and GnomAD Databases

| Alleles (%) | 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 Global Population | 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 European Population | GnomAD Genomes r3.0 Global Population | Total 104 Patients % | P value* |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| rs2074192   | C 63.7                                        | 57                                            | 59.8                                  | 66.3                 | 0.77–0.19–0.38 |
|             | T 36.3                                        | 43                                            | 40.2                                  | 33.7                 |         |
| rs2106809   | A 68.4                                        | 75                                            | 80.6                                  | 78.4                 | 0.11–0.62–0.60 |
|             | G 31.6                                        | 25                                            | 19.4                                  | 21.6                 |         |
| rs1799752   | I                                            | 41.4**                                       | 45.2                                  | 0.57**               |         |
|             | D                                            | 58.6**                                       | 54.8                                  |                      |         |
| rs4762      | C 89.8                                        | 87                                            | 88.9                                  | 84.6                 | 0.28–0.68–0.4 |
|             | T 10.2                                        | 13                                            | 11.1                                  | 15.4                 |         |
| rs699       | T 29.5                                        | 59                                            | 42.2                                  | 61.6                 | <0.00001–0.14–0.006877 |
|             | C 70.5                                        | 41                                            | 57.8                                  | 38.4                 |         |
| rs5186      | A 88.2                                        | 73                                            | 77.3                                  | 77.9                 | 0.06–0.41–0.86 |
|             | C 11.8                                        | 27                                            | 22.7                                  | 22.1                 |         |

Notes: *P values relative to the comparison with 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 global population, 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 European population and GnomAD genomes r3.0 global population respectively. **P values relative to the comparison with mutational data obtained in a previous study on 825 Italian subjects.16
Genotype Association Analysis

Distributions of genotypes and allele frequencies of the analyzed polymorphism observed in our population are listed in Table 2. No significant differences in all subjects were found between genotypes frequencies and gender or age, and no association was identified in the group of symptomatic patients regarding severity of pneumonia, obesity, hypertension, diabetes and presence of conjunctivitis. Conversely, comparing the allele frequencies obtained between asymptomatic subjects and symptomatic patients we found a significant difference for rs2074192 (P=0.001754), rs1799752 (P=0.00001) and rs699 (P=0.0334759) variants.

Genotype distribution of rs2074192 was different from those predicted by the HWE for both females (P=0.0088) and males (P<0.0001) in symptomatic group. In particular, for the male group, the T/T genotype was more frequent in codominant [odds ratio (95% CI): 15.79 (1.90–131.47), P=0.0019], dominant [odds ratio (95% CI): 5.61 (1.41–22.40), P=0.0069] and recessive [odds ratio (95% CI): 16.50 (1.99–136.49), P=0.005] inheritance models, while for the female group, the C/T genotype shows a higher frequency in symptomatic patients in codominant [odds ratio (95% CI): 0.32 (0.08–1.21), P=0.0018] and overdominant [odds ratio (95% CI): 0.19 (0.55–0.69), P=0.0086] inheritance models.

Similarly, the genotypic frequencies in the group of symptomatic patients were different from those predicted by the HWE for rs1799752 (P=0.037). The II genotype of rs1799752 variant was associated with a lower frequency in symptomatic subjects in codominant [odds ratio (95% CI): 0.05 (0.00–0.07), P<0.0001], dominant [odds ratio (95% CI): 0.02 (0.00–0.14), P<0.0001] and recessive [odds ratio (95% CI): 0.02 (0.00–0.14), P<0.0001] inheritance models. Conversely, distributions of rs699 (P=0.0018) were different by Hardy–Weinberg distribution in the asymptomatic patients with a T/C genotype less frequent in this group in codominant [odds ratio (95% CI): 0.69 (0.13–3.72), P<0.0001], overdominant [odds ratio (95% CI): 0.17 (0.04–0.76), P<0.015] and log-additive [odds ratio (95% CI): 0.08 (0.02–0.25), P<0.0001] inheritance models. Genotype distributions of rs4762 and rs5186 variants did not differ significantly from those predicted by the HWE and their frequencies did not significantly differ between asymptomatic and symptomatic patients (Table 2). Instead, the rs2106809 variant was found to be in disequilibrium in both symptomatic and asymptomatic groups only in male patients.

Haplotype Analysis

Haplotype analysis performed using rs2074192, rs1799752 and rs699 SNPs, demonstrated the occurrence of nine haplotypes. In particular, the haplotypes CIT and TIC were significantly higher in asymptomatic patients (P=0.00001 and P=0.048806, respectively), while haplotypes TDT and TDC were associated with symptomatic patient group (P=0.001157 and P=0.000052, respectively). The prevalence of the other haplotypes was comparable between the two groups (Table 3).

Discussion

We analyzed the genetic variants located on ACE2 (rs2074192, rs2106809), ACE1 (rs1799752), AGT (rs4762, rs699) and AGTR1 (rs5186) genes in a cohort of 104 Italian patients positive for SARS-COV-2 infection, divided into 54 symptomatic COVID-19 patients and 50 asymptomatic subjects, in order to investigate the potential correlation of some polymorphisms of the RAAS pathway with the susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection and the clinical outcome of disease.

COVID-19 is a severe form of lung disease (infectious pneumonia) leading to respiratory failure in acute forms. Lung lesions remain after healing. Symptomatic patients show interstitial bilateral pneumonia (multiple foci) and have 1) dyspnea “hunger for air”, 2) dry cough and 3) high fever. CT and PCR are elective diagnostic tools. In addition to nose and mouth epithelia, the ocular structures (cornea, conjunctiva and retina) were found to express high levels of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 (two main virus doors) and tears can spread the virus through the nasolacrimal system. Although having high levels of ACE2 and TMPRSS2, some antiviral countermeasures to lower virus infection at the ocular surface have been identified. As widely recognized, RAAS represents the main gate for SARS-CoV-2.

In this pilot study, we found that the rs2074192 (ACE2), rs1799752 (ACE1) and rs699 (AGT) SNPs could potentially be a valuable tool for predicting the clinical outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients.

The ACE2 gene (OMIM *300335, Cytogenetic location: Xp22.2) encodes a surface enzyme protein with extensive biological activities including the effect of antagonism on RAAS-promoting release of vasoactive peptides with a vasodilating, anti-inflammatory and organ-protective effect, suggesting a role in the regulation of cardiovascular, renal and even fertility functions. This enzyme is expressed on cell membranes of lungs, arteries, heart, kidneys, intestines and eye (cornea, conjunctiva and
Table 2 Distributions of Genotype and Allele Frequencies of SNPs rs2074192, rs2106809, rs1799752, rs4762, rs699 and rs5186 Observed in Asymptomatic and Symptomatic Patients

| SNP        | Genotypes (%) | Asymptomatic (n=50) | Symptomatic (n=54) |
|------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| rs2074192  | C/C           | 28 (56)             | 27 (50)           |
|            | C/T           | 21 (42)             | 7 (13)            |
|            | T/T           | 1 (2)               | 20 (37)           |
| Alleles (%)| C             | 77 (77)             | 61 (56)           |
|            | T             | 23 (23)             | 47 (44)           |
| HW (p)     |               |                     |                   |
| females    |               | 0.37                | 0.0088            |
| males      |               | 0.13                | <0.0001           |
| rs2106809  | A/A           | 38 (76)             | 40 (74)           |
|            | A/G           | 4 (8)               | 3 (6)             |
|            | G/G           | 8 (16)              | 11 (20)           |
| Alleles (%)| A             | 80 (80)             | 83 (77)           |
|            | G             | 20 (20)             | 25 (23)           |
| HW (p)     |               |                     |                   |
| females    |               | 0.27                | 0.076             |
| males      |               | <0.0001             | <0.0001           |
| rs1799752  | I/I           | 22 (44)             | 7 (13)            |
|            | I/D           | 21 (42)             | 15 (28)           |
|            | D/D           | 7 (14)              | 32 (59)           |
| Alleles (%)| I             | 65 (65)             | 29 (27)           |
|            | D             | 35 (35)             | 79 (73)           |
| HW (p)     |               | 0.55                | 0.037             |
| rs4762     | C/C           | 35 (70)             | 38 (70)           |
|            | C/T           | 14 (28)             | 16 (30)           |
|            | T/T           | 1 (2)               | 0 (0)             |
| Alleles (%)| C             | 84 (84)             | 92 (85)           |
|            | T             | 16 (16)             | 16 (15)           |
| HW (p)     |               | 1                   | 0.58              |
| rs699      | T/T           | 19 (38)             | 17 (31)           |
|            | T/C           | 31 (62)             | 25 (46)           |
|            | C/C           | 0 (0)               | 12 (22)           |
| Alleles (%)| T             | 69 (69)             | 59 (55)           |
|            | C             | 31 (31)             | 49 (45)           |
| HW (p)     |               | 0.0018              | 0.59              |

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued).

| rs5186  | Asymptomatic (n=50) | Symptomatic (n=54) |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Genotypes (%) | A/A | 27 (54) | 35 (65) |
| A/C     | 21 (42) | 17 (31) |
| C/C     | 2 (4) | 2 (4) |
| Alleles (%) | A | 75 (75) | 87 (81) |
| C       | 25 (25) | 21 (19) |
| HW (p)  | 0.7 | 1 |

Notes: For each polymorphism the relative gene, chromosomal position, nucleotide variant and amino acid consequence are described.
Abbreviations: D, deletion; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; I, insertion.

Table 3 Haplotype Analysis Performed on rs2074192, rs1799752 and rs699 SNPs and Their Corresponding Frequencies in Asymptomatic (N= 50) and Symptomatic (N= 54) Patients

| Haplotypes | Frequency | χ² | P value |
|-----------|-----------|----|---------|
|           | Asymptomatic | Symptomatic | Cumulative |       |
| rs2074192 | rs1799752 | rs699 | Total | | |
| C         | D         | T    | 20.35 | 18.43 | 22.65 | 20.35 | 0.563 | 0.453054 |
| C         | I         | T    | 19.44 | 36.7 | 6.27 | 39.79 | 29.067 | < 0.00001 |
| C         | D         | C    | 13.41 | 12.08 | 16.20 | 53.20 | 0.726 | 0.394138 |
| C         | I         | C    | 13.15 | 9.79 | 11.36 | 66.35 | 0.133 | 0.715342 |
| T         | D         | T    | 12.43 | 4.49 | 19.22 | 78.77 | 10.558 | 0.001157 |
| T         | I         | C    | 9.32 | 9.13 | 2.73 | 88.10 | 3.862 | 0.048806 |
| T         | D         | C    | 8.62 | 0 | 15.08 | 96.71 | 16.360 | 0.000052 |
| T         | I         | T    | 3.29 | 9.37 | 6.49 | 100 | 0.598 | 0.439342 |

Note: Comparisons were carried out with χ² analysis and a P value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant (see bold values in the column).
Abbreviations: D, deletion; I, insertion.
the rs140312271 showed a significantly different statistical frequency compared to an ethnicity-matched control group.29

In this study, we have identified for the rs2074192 SNP (located at intron 16 of ACE2 gene) a significant higher frequency of the T allele in the symptomatic group compared to the asymptomatic ones in both females and males (Table 2). The T allele of rs2074192 polymorphism is already known for its association with cardiovascular risk, retinopathy in type-2 diabetes mellitus individuals, hypertension and hypertensive left ventricular hypertrophy.30,31 Furthermore, it should be noted that our data are in agreement with a very recent large study conducted on 1644 COVID-19 patients from the UK Biobank, showing that the T allele is correlated with more severe outcomes of SARS-COV2 infection.32

The ACE1 gene (OMIM 106180, cytogenetic location: 17q23.3) encodes an enzyme physiologically active on blood pressure regulation and electrolyte balance by catalyzing the conversion of angiotensin I (vasodilator) into a physiologically active peptide angiotensin II (vasoconstrictor) and aldosterone-stimulating peptide that also controls blood pressure and electrolyte balance.33 The ACE also inactivates bradykinin in BK (vasodilator) that could play a relevant role in COVID-19 as already described for other viral models.34 Approximately 50% of variability in plasma levels of ACE depends on the rs1799752 polymorphism, located at intron 16 of the ACE1 gene and characterized by the presence of an insertion (I) or a deletion (D) of a 287 bp Alu repeat sequence, directly related to a lower or higher serum ACE levels, respectively.18 The presence of allele D is associated with a greater risk of hypercoagulability, hypertension, endothelial damage, diabetic nephropathy, diabetes mellitus and the risk of overweight/obesity, cerebral ischemia and response to Interferon-β treatment.35 Moreover, patients with the D/D genotype show an increased mean pulmonary arterial pressure and vascular resistance after exercise, as compared to the remaining genotypes when treated with ACE inhibitors such as captopril.14 A recent study performed comparing COVID-19 prevalence and rs1799752 allele frequency data, recovered by Johns Hopkins University and GnomAD, respectively, demonstrates that the ACE1 I/I genotype is significantly negatively correlated with susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection and worse clinical outcome.36 These results are in line with our data indicating that genotype I/I and allele I are significantly more frequent in the asymptomatic than symptomatic patient group (Table 2).

The AGT gene (OMIM 106150, cytogenetic location: 1q42.2) encodes for the angiotensinogen precursor or pre-angiotensinogen, highly expressed in liver as precursor and quickly cleaved into angiotensin I by renin following a reduced blood pressure. In turn, angiotensin I, through the cleavage carried out by the ACE is converted into angiotensin II, a physiologically active form that participates in the homeostasis of electrolytes and the stability of blood pressure.35 Numerous experimental evidences suggest that sequence variants of this gene are correlated with hypertension, heart failure and cardiovascular risk factors.37 In particular, for rs699 SNP, the presence of the TT genotype has been associated with the development of arterial hypertension, systolic blood pressure, coronary artery disease, mean arterial pressure.38-40 Patients with the TT genotype and hypertension may have an increased risk of stroke when treated with ACE inhibitors.41 In our study, the rs699 SNP, as a unique case, displayed a significant increased frequency of the T allele in comparison to the global population from the 1000 Genome Project and GnomAD while it did not differ from the data extrapolated from the European population (Table 1). Furthermore, we also found a lower frequency of the T/C genotype in the group of asymptomatic patients different by the Hardy–Weinberg distribution (Table 2), but at the present time there are no data of this polymorphism in COVID-19 patients in order to make a comparison.

The results of this study, albeit with a limited but well-selected number of patients, suggest that some gene variants of RAAS pathway could modulate some pathological conditions associated with the heterogeneous clinical picture caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection such as disseminated intravascular coagulation and thrombosis, interstitial pneumonia, conjunctivitis and the cytokine storm.14,36,42 Furthermore, data would suggest that the early genetic evaluation of subjects infected with SARS-CoV-2 can predict the ongoing/severity of disease, becoming a useful tool for selecting those patients deserving more attention to avoid complications. This personalized approach (predictive medicine) might represent a step forward in the development of strategies to counteract this complicated pandemic situation, seriously affecting worldwide.

In this context, precision medicine is an innovative approach to disease prevention and treatment based on genetic differences between individuals and the influence of environment and lifestyle. After mapping and sequencing
the human genome, the scientific community has focused on the functional significance of all the differences that define the genetic characters of each patient. Some of the elements that underlie the differences in the genome are due to SNPs. The study/analysis of SNPs finds applications in the field of diagnostic (differential diagnosis), giving clinicians the ability to identify individual susceptibilities to numerous diseases and responses to drugs, including side effects and toxic reactions (pharmacogenomics).

In this regard, in a previous study using a silicon prediction of drug effects, we have extensively evaluated the interactions between SNPs and drugs that show efficacy or toxicity in countering COVID-19, suggesting the application of personalized medicine tools during the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Overall, the main strength of this study is the possibility of analyzing two groups of clinically selected patients for whom a specific case–control association study could be performed. In fact, as far as we know, this is one of the first studies to perform a genetic comparison between asymptomatic subjects and symptomatic patients, while numerous previous studies have compared data from databases of population of different ancestries.

Finally, further molecular – epidemiological studies are required to understand the exact mechanisms underlying the clinical variability of COVID-19 disease, even in populations from different ethnic groups, and predict the most severe clinical manifestations, to develop personalized approaches or alternative strategies.
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