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Abstract

Many young adults are at risk for cardiovascular disease related to their behavioral choices. Irresponsible alcohol consumption, tobacco smoking, sedentary lifestyle, poor dietary habits, and excessive weight gain are some of the behaviors that put young adults at risk. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention identified that 15% of young adults are diagnosed with chronic illnesses related to their behavioral choices. The purpose of this review is to identify, in the literature, interventions that are currently available to young adults and evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of those interventions. An extensive electronic search was conducted using CINAHL, EBSCOhost, Cochrane, PubMed, and Google Scholar. A total of 130 articles were identified and 28 articles met the inclusion criteria. Three main interventions were identified for young adults: personalized interventions, technology-based interventions, and educational/behavioral interventions. The interventions were all effective to different degrees and interventions were most effective when they were combined. This review impacts in what manner nurses and health care providers deliver health promotion, prevention, and management of cardiovascular risk factors in young adults; in particular, nurses play a key role in lifestyle modifications including diet and exercise.
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Cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains the number one cause of death globally and Asian countries contribute significantly to the global burden of CVD (Benjamin, Muntner, & Bittencourt, 2019). As a subpopulation, CVD and cardiovascular risk factors are rapidly increasing in the Asian Indian population, specifically, they have a high prevalence of hypertension and diabetes (Gholap, Davies, Patel, Sattar, & Khunti, 2011; Nag & Ghosh, 2013). A study analyzed the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) from 2003 to 2005 assessing the prevalence of CVD risk factors among Asian Americans compared to non-Hispanic Whites in the United States found that Asian Indians had higher odds of physical inactivity compared to Whites (2009). Additionally, compared to Whites, Filipinos were more likely to have hypertension and Asian Indians were more likely to have diabetes (Ye, Rust, Baltrus, & Daniels, 2009). Considering that CVD is the number one killer, it affects all races, ethnicities, and ages. However, CVD and modifiable cardiovascular risk factors are preventable; therefore, young adults are the ideal population to implement CVD prevention programs.

Young adults experience a period of identity development where they cultivate a sense of self and acquire autonomy (Benson & Elder Jr, 2011). As such, their transition to adulthood, moving away from the supervision and guidance of their parents or guardians, makes them vulnerable to experimenting and being influenced by peers (Steinberg et al., 2013). Young
adults often make decisions and participate in activities that will have long-term health consequences. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), two out of three college students drink alcohol excessively or binge drink, and approximately nine out of ten begin smoking between the ages of 18 and 26 (Kann et al., 2018). These behaviors put this age group at a higher risk of developing significant health, social, and psychological problems including CVD, hypertension, liver disease, mental disorders such as depression and anxiety, poor academic performance, family problems, substance use, and risky sexual behaviors (Kann et al., 2018). Additionally, for 4–5% of young adults, chronic conditions may further limit their activity levels (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2018). This warrants further evaluation and intervention as these young adults’ lives are being hindered by poor health choices resulting in life-long consequences.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau (2010) young adults constitute 36.5% of the U.S. population; thus, their potential health risks should not be taken lightly. In response to and in understanding the prevalence of cardiovascular health risk behaviors in young adults, it is imperative to identify in the literature the current interventions that are available to young adults and evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of those interventions. Therefore, the purpose of this review was to examine intervention studies related to young adults’ cardiovascular risk factors.

**Methods**

Our literature searches specifically targeted young adults aged 18–39 years and intervention studies targeting cardiovascular risk factors (smoking status, physical inactivity, diet, elevated blood pressure or hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, overweight/obesity, stress, or alcohol consumption). We conducted an extensive electronic search of the medical literature using CINAHL, EBSCOhost, Cochrane, PubMed, and Google Scholar databases. Keywords included young adults, college students, cardiovascular risk factors, cardiovascular disease, blood pressure, elevated blood pressure, hypertension, intervention, diabetes, diet, alcohol consumption, binge drinking, physical activity, smoking status, stress, and overweight/obesity. We limited our search to only include articles of English language and those published between 2000 and 2018. The initial search identified 130 articles. Next, each author (D.M.T. and A.S.) independently reviewed the abstracts and papers, including the reference lists, to determine inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria included a form of intervention implementation on at least one or more cardiovascular risk factors in young adults or college students. A total of 28 studies met the inclusion criteria for review.

**Results**

**Study Details**

The majority (92%) of the studies included in this review were conducted in the United States. The articles were comprised of 23 randomized control trials (RCTs), one quasi-experimental study, one longitudinal study, and four systematic reviews (Table 1). Sample sizes varied among the studies ranging from 49 to 1,639 young adults. Among the 28 articles, nine were intervention studies focused on reducing alcohol...
consumption, thirteen on promoting weight management, five promoting healthy eating, seven on physical activity and healthy lifestyle, and one focused on reducing elevated blood pressure and stress levels. The following intervention themes emerged and were identified and categorized: personalized interventions, technology-based interventions, and educational/behavioral interventions.

**Personalized Intervention.** Among the 28 reviewed articles, three evaluated the effectiveness of personal interventions to reduce alcohol consumption in college students. Baer, Kivlahan, Blume, McKnight, and Marlatt (2001) conducted a RCT to compare the effect of individualized prevention interventions to self-monitor drinking patterns in 348 high-risk college student drinkers over a four-year period. Clarke, Field, and Rose (2015) studied the effect of a brief personalized feedback intervention to an active control group in 103 high-risk college students who consumed alcohol at least once a week. Baer et al. (2001) found statistically significant effects of personalized interventions in their intervention groups compared to the control groups. However, Clarke et al. (2015) found no significant differences between the personalized intervention and active control groups.

Gilbertson, Norton, Beery, and Lee (2018) implemented a personalized RCT intervention, Alcohol-Wise, on college students (an intervention group and a waitlist group) who were alcohol drinkers ($n = 126$) using web-based technology. The intervention group was administered the Alcohol-Wise intervention in their first semester in August (prior to arrival on campus) and the waitlist group received the intervention second semester in December (prior to starting the second semester). The study found that there was a higher GPA in the intervention group (first semester) whereas there was an initial increase in alcohol consumption in the waitlist group (second semester) at 10 weeks. At 24 weeks, academic achievement was higher in the intervention group compared to the waitlist group; however, the intervention group also demonstrated an increase in frequency of heavy episodic drinking compared to the waitlist group.

**Technology-Based Intervention.** Among the articles reviewed, 14 evaluated the effectiveness of technology-based interventions on the following topics: improving diet and physical activity, preventing weight gain, stress management, and increasing social support. Sample sizes ranged from 106 to 1,639 participants. Technology-based interventions were mainly delivered via mobile phones using text messaging, phone applications, and internet through email and social media. Harrer et al. (2018) compared a stress management intervention that was delivered through the internet and mobile devices to a control group. The intervention group had a significant reduction in perceived stress compared to the control group. A Youth Experience and Health (YEAH) study also examined the effect of web-delivered interventions on stress and found no significant difference in perceived stress between the intervention and control groups (Kattelmann, White et al., 2014). Likewise, when education and physical activity were compared to online social networking to improve social support for activity, the researchers found no differences in perceived social support or physical activity in either group (Cavallo et al., 2012). However, a 10-week intervention whereby participants received video reminders through email 4 times a week resulted in participants making productive behavioral changes (Kattelmann, Bredbenner et al., 2014). The majority of the reviewed articles in this category were RCT studies that delivered the interventions electronically using social networking to impact weight management and healthy food choices. Six of the studies found that the intervention group had significant weight loss and made healthier food choices compared to the control group (Brown, O’Connor, & Savaiano, 2014; Haapala, Barengo, Biggs, Surakka, & Manninen, 2009; Gow, Trace, & Mazzeo, 2010; Napolitano, Hayes, Bennett, Ives, & Foster 2013; Patrick et al., 2009; West et al., 2016). Five of the studies found no significant differences between the intervention and control groups (Batch et al., 2014; Dour et al., 2013; Kattelmann, Bredbenner et al., 2014; Schweitzer, Ross, Klein, Lei, & Mackey, 2016; Svetkey et al., 2015).

Also included in this review were systematic reviews on studies that uses technology-based interventions. For instance, Carey, Scott-Sheldon, Garey, Elliott, and Carey (2016) reviewed 31 studies, which included 68 interventions to prevent alcohol misuse in college students and involve 8,621 participants. They found that having students who violate campus alcohol policies participate in mandated interventions only had a short-term effect in reducing risk of alcohol misuse. Likewise, Lee, Watson, Mulvaney, Tsai, and Lo (2010) reviewed 27 RCT studies on the effect of walking on blood pressure, one third of the studies found a statistically significant effect of walking on lowering blood pressure. A review of current literature on the effectiveness of digital health innovations (cuffless blood pressure sensors, wireless smartphone-enabled upper arm blood pressure monitors, mobile applications, and remote monitoring technologies) on hypertension control demonstrated that digital health innovations improve hypertension...
| Author, year | Purpose | Population/design | Intervention | Results/limitation |
|--------------|---------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| **Personalized Intervention** | | | | |
| Baer et al. (2001) | Examine the natural history of drinking and related problems over 4 years. | RCT 348 college students age 19 or less, committed to going to University of Washington in 1999. | Control vs intervention: individualized prevention intervention vs self-monitor drinking patterns. | The preventive intervention group showed significant differences in alcohol use and related problems over 4 years. |
| Clarke et al. (2015) | Compare a brief personalized feedback intervention with an active control designed to encourage engagement. | RCT 103 students who consumed alcohol at least once per week; Mean age 23.85. | Brief personalized feedback intervention vs active control intervention. | (1) Significant reduction in alcohol consumption and frequency in both groups. (2) No statistical difference between both groups. |
| Gilbertson et al. (2018) | Investigate the effectiveness of a personalized web-based alcohol intervention on multiple measures of alcohol consumption. | 126 first-year college students were randomized to Alcohol-Wise either in August (prior to arrival on campus) or December (prior to second semester). | Personalized web-based alcohol intervention, Alcohol-Wise program. | The web-based personalized alcohol intervention, Alcohol-Wise program had short-term effectiveness on academic achievement when used as a population level prevention tool in incoming first-year students. |
| **Technology-based Intervention** | | | | |
| Harrer et al. (2018) | Evaluate the efficacy of an internet-based, app-supported stress management intervention. | RCT 106 college students May 7, 2016 to January 30, 2017. | Internet and mobile-based stress intervention group vs waitlist control group. | (1) Significant reduction in perceived stress in the intervention group. (2) No significant difference self-compassion, perfectionism, resilience, and self-esteem in both groups. |
| Kattelmann, White et al. (2014) | Describe the development and pilot testing of a tailored, theory-based, web-delivered intervention for prevention of excessive weight gain in college students. | 13 universities; 20 participants from each university (n = 260); primarily white; aged 18–24; 60% female. | 10-week intervention. Participants received staged-tailored nudges with videos via e-mail 4 times/week; participants self-identified their stages of change for the targeted behavior by completing required survey. | Intervention helpful in making productive changes. Information relatable and applicable, web site images needed improvement and reading needed to be shortened, more interactive quizzes necessary. |
| Kattelmann, Bredbenner et al. (2014) | To assess the effectiveness of a tailored theory-based, Web-delivered intervention (YEAH) using community-based participatory research process. | 1,639 college students from 13 universities; aged 18–24; RCT: 10-week intervention (delivered via internet and e-mail) and 12-month follow up. | Both groups completed assessments at baseline and 3 months’ post intervention. Experimental group visited the website weekly for 10 weeks to set goals for targeted behaviors. Control group had access after the follow up assessment. | No differences at baseline assessment. No significant difference in perceived stress. Differences were not maintained at 12-month follow up. |
| Author, year          | Purpose                                                                 | Population/design                                                                 | Intervention                                                                                                         | Results/limitation                                                                                     |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Patrick et al. (2009) | Describe the theoretical rationale and intervention design of the SMART study. | 404 overweight/obese college students were randomized to SMART group or information web-based weight loss program. | SMART interventions: Facebook, mobile apps, website with blogs, e-mail, SMS, and occasional “life-line” contact with a health coach vs information web-based weight loss program. | Strategies for PA and dietary intake can be embedded in an intervention using social and mobile technologies to promote healthy weight-related behaviors. |
| Batch et al. (2014)  | Describe the rationale of the National Heart Lung, and Blood Institute-sponsored Cell Phone Intervention for you (CITY) study. | 365 young adults aged 18–35; randomized, longitudinal study; three interventions for 24 months; data collection baseline, 6, 12, and 24 months. | CP and PC receive electronic scales. PC group attend 6 weekly group sessions and monthly one-on-one coaching call. CP receive cell phone app that delivers tailored and individualized feedback and a brief “progress check” phone call every 6 months. | Conclusion that relative to control, neither a mobile app alone nor personal coaching with mobile self-monitoring resulted in statistically significant weight loss after 24 months. |
| Brown et al. (2014)  | Increase awareness of MyPlate icon and to evaluate the effectiveness and the appropriateness of using mobile phone texting to deliver the MyPlate icon and behavior-directed motivational Dietary Guideline message to college students. | 150 college students, aged 18–24; randomly assigned to treatment or control group by gender; data point baseline and post intervention; self-reported food frequency questionnaire (Rapid Eating and Activity Assessment for participants short version), and MyPlate recognition assessment. | Treatment group: receive MyPlate icon along with 1–7 behavior-directed motivational Dietary Guideline messages. The intervention group received two repetitive text messages a week for 7 consecutive weeks. The control group received a brochure at the beginning of the intervention. | The overall change in knowledge of the MyPlate food groups was statistically different from the control group. The intervention group had a significant increase in fruit intake. 84% reported that regular text messages helped them stay focused on their health and 98% reported that text messages were a good way to receive health information. |
| Napolitano et al. (2013) | Examined the feasibility, acceptability, and initial efficacy of a technology-based 8-week weight loss intervention among college students. | 52 college students, aged 18–29; primarily female (86.5%); racially diverse; randomized; baseline questionnaire and 8 weeks intervention. | Three interventions, Facebook (n = 17), Facebook plus – text messaging and personalized feedback (n = 18), and Waiting List control (n = 17). | At 4 and 8 weeks, Facebook plus weight losses were significantly different from Waiting list and Facebook. Weight changes at 4 and 8 weeks were not significantly different between Facebook and Waiting List groups. |
| Dour et al. (2013)  | Evaluate the motivational effect of the Project WebHealth study procedures and intervention components on weight-related health behavior changes in male and female college students. | 653 participants from Project WebHealth; 323 experimental vs 330 control (18–20 years old). | Experimental group completed a 10-week intervention of online lessons on varying topics. Received e-mail each week with a link to the lesson for the week. Control group received the lessons after data collection completed. | Experimental group found the online lessons “somewhat” motivating to improve health. Most participants in the experimental group reported improving at least 1 target behavior. |
| Author, year               | Purpose                                                                 | Population/design                                                                 | Intervention                                                                                   | Results/limitation                                                                 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Schweitzer et al. (2016)  | Assess the feasibility and to determine preliminary efficacy of an electronic wellness program in improving diet and physical activity in college students. | 148 college students; ALIVE, an evidence-based Web-based behavior change program – 24-week diet and physical activity program was delivered to participants via email. | Intervention group received weekly, tailored, and interactive diet and physical activity goals. The control group received non-diet and non-exercise-related health fact sheets. Data point at baseline, week 12, and week 24. | Use of an electronic wellness program is feasible in college students and resulted in a decrease in saturated fat intake and an increase in observed fruit intake compared to a control group. |
| West et al. (2016)        | Examine a technology-based, social media-facilitated weight gain prevention intervention for college students. | A controlled, quasi-experimental study; 58 undergraduate students were assigned to either a behavioral weight gain prevention intervention or a HPV vaccination awareness intervention. | Both groups had 8 lessons delivered via electronic newsletters and Facebook postings over 9 weeks. The HW group had behavioral strategies to prevent weight gain, a Wi-Fi-enabled scale, and an electronic physical activity tracker. The control group received information. | Both groups were weight stable at the end of the study. The HW intervention led to a significant increase in the number of appropriate weight control behaviors reported. |
| Gow et al. (2010)         | Evaluated an Internet intervention with first year college students.    | 170 first year student; data point: baseline, post, and 3-month f/p assessment; height, weight, and surveys completed; randomization. | Four groups: feedback intervention, Internet intervention, combined intervention, and control group. Feedback intervention weighs and report to PI weekly electronically. Internet intervention had weekly sessions delivered via BB. Control no treatment. | The combined intervention group had significantly lower body mass index scores than the control group. Mean body mass index for the combined intervention group was significantly lower than the intervention and feedback group. Adherence was higher in feedback group. |
| Cavallo et al. (2012)     | Test the efficacy of a PA intervention that combined education, PA monitoring, and online social networking to increase social support for PA compared to an education-only control. | 134 female college students; aged <25 years old; 12-week, data point baseline and 12 weeks, randomization, PA questionnaire (self-report). | Intervention vs education-only control. Intervention participants had to access the INSHAPE website. Moderator to encourage participation and answer technical or PA-related questions. | Overall, the study did not find increases in perceived social support or PA over time between groups; limitation: self-report PA. |
| Haapala et al. (2009)     | Investigate the short- and long-term effectiveness and the predictors of weight loss in a mobile phone weight-loss program among healthy overweight adults. | 125 subjects; ages 25–44 (mean age 38) years old; blind randomized to experimental vs control group. Data point at 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 for intervention. | 2 groups: experimental group \((n = 62)\) vs control \((n = 63)\), control no intervention. Intervention based on text messages, no phone call with tailored response text message. | Significant time effect for weight loss across the 3 months’ intervals and by group interaction at 12 months. Most of the weight loss in the experimental group took place during the first three months. By 12 months the experimental group had lost significantly more weight than the control group. |
| Author, year          | Purpose                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Population/design                                                                                                                                   | Intervention                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Results/limitation                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Svetkey et al. (2015)| Determine the effect on weight of two mobile technology-based behavioral weight loss interventions in young adults.                                                                                  | 365 subjects; 18–35 years old; Randomized controlled; the CITY study was one of the seven trials in the EARLY consortium.                                | 3 groups: personal coaching (PC) intervention ($n = 120$), cell phone (CP) intervention ($n = 122$), and control group ($n = 123$)                                                                      | No significant differences in mean weight loss at 24 months among the treatment groups. CP subjects lost the least weight at all measurement points. PC subjects had the greatest mean weight loss, which was significantly greater than control at 6 months. |
| Burke et al. (2015)  | Specific CVD risk factors review of mobile health usage by the AHA.                                                                                                                                       | Weight management (some young adult articles) and hypertension review (mainly older adult interventions).                                             | mHealth intervention.                                                                                                                                                                                      | Most intervention studies used a single, predetermined technology and did not give participants the option of choosing between a single or multiple form of technology.                                               |
| Carey et al. (2016)  | Evaluate the efficacy of mandated interventions to prevent future alcohol misuse.                                                                                                                                 | Meta-analysis of 31 studies with 68 separate interventions, and 8,621 participants.                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Providing mandated interventions to students who violate campus alcohol policies is an effective short-term risk reduction strategy.                                                                                           |
| Lee et al. (2010)    | Systematic review of the evidence for the effectiveness of walking intervention on BP.                                                                                                                                 | 27 studies randomized controlled trials, aged 16–88; interventions focus on walking; BP as an outcome.                                                | Walking intervention with control group.                                                                                                                                                                   | 9 out of 27 trials found a statistically significant effect on BP. Nine studies had a higher percentage of moderate to high walking intensity.                                                                         |
| Goldberg and Levy    | Review the current literature on mHealth technologies and novel diagnostic and management protocols and make recommendations.                                                                          | Review of new technologies for BP monitoring and management.                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Inconclusive results on accuracy and validate mHealth technologies for monitoring BP.                                                                                                                            |
| Ulla Diez et al. (2012)| Test the efficacy of a brief health-promotion intervention in encouraging a HPLP in university students.                                                                                                 | 73 Mexican students; RCT; dependent variables: overall HPLP, PA, nutrition, health responsibility, stress management, and interpersonal relations   | Experimental: 7-session program, HPM, data point: baseline, 1 week and 3 months after intervention. Control, did not receive any intervention.        | Lifestyles differed and significant changes were observed between the intervention and control groups. Changes remained at 3 months follow-up after the intervention.                                                    |
| Nidich et al. (2009) | Determine the effects of a mind-body intervention on BP, psychological distress, and coping in college students. Primary outcome was causal BP.                                                          | 298 university students (average age 25); single-blind RCT comparing stress reduction with the TM program; baseline assessment, post-test after 3 months | Experimental vs control group using blocks method. The program is practiced twice a day for 20 min. Students learned the TM technique from certified instructors, seven-step course | Significant reductions for the TM group vs controls in both SBP (5.0 mm Hg vs +1.3 mm Hg; $P = .014$) and DBP (−2.8 mm Hg vs +1.2 mm Hg; $P = .028$).                                                                 |
| Author, year | Purpose | Population/design | Intervention | Results/limitation |
|--------------|---------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Borsari and Carey (2005) | Compare a BMI and an AE for mandated students. | 64 college students who had committed one violation of school alcohol policy that resulted in referral for further evaluation. | Students were randomized to the BMI and AE groups. | Decreased alcohol use in both the BMI and AE groups; BMI students reduced alcohol-related problems to a greater extent than AE students. |
| Weinstock et al. (2016) | Evaluate the difference between combined MI and weekly EC and MI and weekly CM for exercise. | 70 sedentary heavy drinking college students who were not seeking treatment for alcohol-related problems | Randomization to 8-week exercise intervention: MI + EC or MI + CM. Baseline evaluation and post-treatment evaluation at 2 months and 6 months. | Heavy drinking college students actively engaged in MI. The MI + CM group exercised significantly more often than the MI + EC group. |
| Lytle et al. (2016) | Determine the effect of a 24-month intervention condition on body mass index/overweight/obesity. CHOICES study. | 441 students from three community colleges in Minnesota were randomized to intervention and control groups. | Intervention: 24-month intervention – 1-credit college course on healthy weight behaviors and participation in a social networking and social support website for the duration of the trial. | Overweight/obese students at baseline were more than three times likely to transition to a healthy weight by the end of the trial compared to the control group. |
| Hansson et al. (2006) | Study the effects of alcohol and coping intervention among University students who have parents with alcohol problems. | 82 university students with at least one parent with alcohol problems randomly assigned. | Random assignment to one of three programs: alcohol intervention program, coping intervention program, or combination program. | Alcohol and combination intervention groups improved their drinking pattern significantly more than the group that coping intervention group. |
| Schaben and Furness (2018) | Determine the impact of fitness trackers on physical activity behavior, body measurements, and wellness knowledge or perceptions of wellness in college students. | Phase 1 (54 college students) voluntary participation. Phase 2 (80 students) part of a required general education wellness course. | Phase 1: fitness trackers, survey, and record steps for the week. Increased step goal weekly. Post-test and surveys after 12 weeks. Phase 2: fitness trackers, complete online educational modules, pretests, post-tests, and step tracking information. | Statistically significant increase in percentage of body fat during phase 1. There was a decrease in moderate PA in phase 2 with no change in body fat. |

*Notes: AE = alcohol education; AHA = American Heart Association; ALIVE = A Lifestyle Intervention Via Email; BB = blackboard; BMI = brief motivational interview; BP = blood pressure; CHOICES = Choosing Healthy Options in College Environments and Settings; CM = contingency management; CP = cell phone; CVD = cardiovascular disease; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; EC = exercise contracting; HPLP = health-promoting lifestyle profile; HPM = Health Promotion Model; HPV = human papillomavirus; HTN = hypertension; HW = healthy weight; INSHAPE = Internet Support for Healthy Associations Promoting Exercise; LDL = low-density lipoproteins; MI = motivational interviewing; PA = physical activity; PC = personal coach; PI = principal investigator; RCT = randomized control trial; SBP = systolic blood pressure; SMART = Social Mobile Approaches to Reduce Weight; TM = transcendental meditation.
and medication adherence (Goldberg & Levy, 2016). Burke et al. (2015) reviewed studies conducted between 2004 and 2014 on the use of technology mediated tools to improve health. They concluded that technology mediated tools are impactful in delivering health related messages efficiently, sharing of self-management parameters between patients and clinicians, and delivering of feedback and guidance to patients.

**Educational/Behavioral intervention.**

Among the reviewed articles, seven studied the effect of educational and behavioral interventions on health-promoting lifestyles, elevated blood pressure, increased physical activity, weight management, and alcohol consumption. Ulla Diez et al. (2012) conducted an RCT (n = 73) to test the efficacy of the Health Promotion Model to encourage a health promoting lifestyle in two groups, an intervention and control group. There was a significant lifestyle change in the intervention group that was also sustained at the 3-month follow up compared to the control group. Likewise, two RCT studies (n = 134 and 298) compared the impact and feasibility of educational interventions, mind/body interventions, and behavioral interventions to reduce blood pressure and increase exercise in students with elevated blood pressure and obesity. Results identified significant differences among the study outcome variables (reduced systolic and diastolic blood pressure and increased exercise) in the intervention group compared to the control group (Nidich et al., 2009; Schaben & Furness, 2018).

Two research groups conducted interventions on alcohol consumption using an educational session (Borsari & Carey, 2005; Hansson, Rundberg, Zetterlind, Johnsson, & Berglund, 2006). Borsari and Carey (2005; n = 64) compared the effect of a brief motivational interview and an alcohol educational session in college students who drank alcohol. While there was decreased alcohol consumption in both groups, the brief motivational interview group demonstrated a greater reduction in alcohol related problems. Likewise, Hansson and colleagues (2006; n = 82) studied the effect of an alcohol intervention, coping intervention, and a combination of both the alcohol and coping interventions and found that the alcohol intervention and the combined intervention were both more effective in reducing alcohol consumption compared to the coping intervention alone.

Two research groups studied motivational and conditioning interventions (Lytle et al., 2016; Weinstock, Petry, Pescatello, & Henderson, 2016). Weinstock and colleagues (2016; n = 70) compared the effect of combined motivational interviewing and weekly exercise to the combined motivational interviewing and weekly contingency management for exercise on alcohol consumption. They found that the combined intervention groups exercised significantly more and had a decrease in binge drinking episodes. However, the effect was more significant when they used a combination of motivational interviews and the weekly contingency management for the exercise group. Lytle et al. (2016; n = 441) evaluated the effect of a 24-month intervention on weight management compared to a control group. They found that the intervention group was three times more likely to transition to a healthy weight compared to the control group. These studies have shown that behavioral interventions are effective on increasing activity and controlling weight gain, blood pressure, and alcohol consumption in college students. More importantly, the effect is heightened when behavioral interventions are combined with education interventions.

**Discussion**

The literature search yielded 130 articles with 26 studies meeting the inclusion criteria for this review. In their transition from adolescence to adulthood, young adults may feel invincible and carry out behaviors that could have long-term health sequelae (Benson & Elder Jr, 2011). They may adopt lifestyle habits such as binge drinking, smoking, making unhealthy food choices, and becoming sedentary that place them at increased risk for CVD. Several studies examined the effectiveness of different interventions in young adults with cardiovascular risk factors to prevent their risk for CVD in the future. Though there are many intervention choices, they are not equally effective to mitigate risk factors in young adults.

The studies we evaluated that used personalized interventions demonstrate effectiveness for reducing alcohol consumption, weight loss, and blood pressure control. However, the effect was not equally effective throughout each semester for college students. Nor was the effect on weight and blood pressure sustained. Based on the studies reviewed, it is worthwhile for health care providers to keep in mind that there were not enough studies completed in young adults using personalized interventions to make a strong conclusion for recommendations.

Considering the global evolution of technology and social media, it was not surprising to discover that technology-based interventions were effective in promoting healthy behaviors and behavior modifications
that improve healthy food choices, physical activity, weight management, and stress reduction. Though technology-based interventions were effective, the outcomes were short lived compared to outcomes of behavioral and combined interventions. Behavioral interventions seem to demonstrate an impact on health-promoting lifestyle changes (Borsari & Carey, 2005; Lytle et al., 2016). Once again when reviewing the technology-based interventions, the most effective interventions were a combination of interventions instead of a single intervention (Gow et al., 2010; Hansson et al., 2006; Weinstock et al., 2016). It is worth noting that the advancement in technology also plays a factor in the type of interventions that were offered which may explain why there are more technology-based interventions available in the literature compared to the other two types of interventions.

Notably, some of the intervention studies were implemented over a short period while others were over a longer period, ranging from 10 to 24 months. It is unclear whether the duration of the interventions influenced the effectiveness of the intervention. Therefore, it would be beneficial to determine in future studies whether there is a significant difference in the duration of certain interventions on their effectiveness.

Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Research

There are several factors that could impact the effectiveness of interventions. In this review, duration of the interventions varied significantly. As such, future longitudinal studies to determine if the duration of the intervention influenced effectiveness of the interventions would be beneficial. Additionally, obtaining a sustainable effect of the studied health outcomes is as important to achieve and warrants further investigation. As well, the majority of the reviewed articles were RCTs; therefore, it worthwhile to consider.

Poor eating habits, lack of exercise, excessive alcohol consumption, and excessive weight gain are some of the factors that endanger the health of young adults including young Asian Americans who are fast-growing in the United States with high prevalence of CVD that impact the current and future public health. According to the CDC and the National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism statistics (2018), a significant number of college students and young adults die yearly from alcohol related-incidents as well as being involved in alcohol-related physical and sexual assaults. Likewise, many college students and young adults are at risk for chronic illnesses related to their health risk behaviors. This review identified, analyzed, and synthesized published literature on interventions to decrease CVD risk factors in young adults, which can greatly contribute to the literature including guiding clinicians and researchers to identify the most appropriate and effective interventions to help young adults lead and maintain a healthy lifestyle. Additionally, this review impacts in what manner nurses and health care providers deliver health promotion, prevention, and management of cardiovascular risk factors in young adults; in particular, nurses play a key role in lifestyle modifications including diet and exercise.
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