Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among first-trimester pregnant women during the second wave of the pandemic in India
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Abstract
Objective: Data on the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy are lacking and the potential role and effect of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in pregnancy is yet to be completely investigated.

Method: This is a cross-sectional observational study wherein pregnant women were tested for SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin M and immunoglobulin G levels, irrespective of their infective status or presence or symptomatology.

Result: Of the 220 pregnant women tested, 160 (72.7%) were SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive, 37 (16.8%) were SARS-CoV-2 IgM positive and 27 (16.9%) were both IgG and IgM positive. The average antibody titer found was 10.49 BAU/ml (+14.0) and 0.6 (+0.55) for anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG and IgM non-neutralizing antibodies respectively. ROC analysis for SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity showed a cut-off value of 1.19 with a sensitivity of 99.3% (0.99 AUC, 95% CI) and specificity of 98.3% (0.99 AUC, 95% CI), respectively. Similarly, ROC analysis for SARS-CoV-2 IgM positivity showed a cut-off value of 1 with a sensitivity of 97.3% (0.99 AUC, 95% CI) and specificity of 98.9% (0.99 AUC, 95% CI), respectively.

Conclusion: First trimester sero-molecular screening suggests a high prevalence of COVID antibodies in the study population of pregnant women in the first trimester, without the patients being symptomatic.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization was informed of a cluster of pneumonia cases of unknown origin in Wuhan City, China in December 2019. Since then, and as of 26th September 2021, about 33.6 million cases of COVID-19 with 450 000 deaths have been reported in India, and Delhi recorded 1.4 million cases and about 26000 deaths. All age groups are susceptible to COVID-19 infection; however, impact in pregnant women has drawn much attention because of the unique immunological state of pregnancy and the increased risk of respiratory infections. Recent data from the United Kingdom has confirmed that pregnant women are at more risk of severe illness from SARS-CoV-2 infection, compared with non-pregnant women. Furthermore, infection is associated with increased risk of stillbirth, growth restriction and preterm birth.

Data on the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy are lacking and the potential role and effect of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in pregnancy is yet to be completely investigated. The Indian Council of Medical Research has validated and approved IgG kits for
SARS-CoV-2 to be used to conduct serosurveys in India. Reports of cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy have been documented but are concentrated mainly in the second and third trimester of pregnancy. However, viral infections can be harmful to the fetus during the first trimester of pregnancy as well; SARS-CoV-2 is one of these serious infections creating concerns for obstetricians and pregnant women. Screening pregnant women has gained importance during the first trimester of pregnancy as well; SARS-CoV-2 is one of these serious infections creating concerns for obstetricians and pregnant women. Screening pregnant women has gained importance because of the high proportion of asymptomatic cases and because of the increasing evidence of adverse maternal and fetal outcomes related to COVID-19. Data on the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy are lacking and the potential role and effect of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in pregnancy is yet to be completely investigated. The aim of this study was to evaluate the seropositivity among pregnant women in their first trimester during the pandemic. This data will be further help, when the pregnancy outcomes are evaluated.

2 | METHODS

We report epidemiologic data from a study investigating a cohort of women who became pregnant just before or during the COVID-19 pandemic during the second peak, from April 2021 to August 2021. Ethical approval was given by the institutional ethical committee. 298 pregnant women in the first trimester (11–13 weeks of gestation) were recruited at the rural center of the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi. Data on demographic characteristics and COVID-19-related symptoms were collected using a structured questionnaire. Patients were tested for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) immunoglobulin M and immunoglobulin G levels. Only asymptomatic women, who have not been diagnosed with COVID-19 in the past 3 months, were recruited. Written, informed consent was obtained from all participants.

VIDAS® (Biomerieux) SARS-CoV-2 IgM (qualitative) and VIDAS® SARS-CoV-2 IgG II (semi-quantitative) assay was used with automated VIDAS® system for detection of IgM and IgG respectively. Both are specific for the SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain of the spike protein in human serum which is based on the Enzyme-linked fluorescent immunoassay (ELFA) technique.

Data analysis was carried out using STATA version 16.0. Quantitative variables were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation and qualitative categorical variables were expressed as frequency and percentages. Mean values of normally distributed data were compared using the Student’s t-test Qualitative variables were compared using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. To decide the cut-off values of IgG and IgM markers for an optimum level of sensitivity and specificity, ROC analysis was carried out. Area under curve (AUC) with 95% was presented. A two-sided probability of P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

A total of 298 women in the first trimester of pregnancy (11–13 weeks of pregnancy), were included in the study. Participants had an average age of 24.0 ± 4.1 years and a body-mass index of 22.51 ± 4.3 kg/m². Of the 298 women, 94 (31.5%) were primigravidae, 61 (20.5%) had given birth once, 143 (47.9%) had been pregnant more than once. All women were homemakers, and none were smokers. One woman (0.3%) had essential hypertension. No women had associated medical disorders like type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease or any other autoimmune disease. Other demographic details are presented in Table 1.

Pregnant women were asked questions regarding symptoms related to Covid-19 infection during their first trimester. Symptom profile showed that 31 (10.4%) had fever, 12 (4%) had coughs, eight (2.7%) had shortness of breath, three (1%) experienced headache, two (0.9%) had lethargy and one (0.3%) experienced vomiting during their first trimester. None had joint pains, loss of smell/taste, rhinorrhea or diarrhea. Nasopharyngeal and throat swabs for COVID-19 RT PCR for five symptomatic women (who presented

### TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics in the study population

| Characteristics | IgG positive (n = 160) | IgG negative (n = 60) | P value | IgM positive (n = 38) | IgM negative (n = 182) | P value |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Mean age (in years) | 24.27 | 23.28 | 0.10 | 23.97 | 24.14 | 0.82 |
| Mean gestation (in weeks) | 13.3 | 13.6 | 0.61 | 13.3 | 14.04 | 0.37 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 22.44 | 22.54 | 0.95 | 22.8 | 20.8 | 0.30 |
| Multiparity | 147 | 53 | 0.56 | 33 | 176 | 0.28 |

### TABLE 2 Correlation of symptomatology with IgG positivity

| Symptoms | IgG positive (%) | IgG negative (%) | Exact significance (two-sided) |
|----------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Symptoms present | 33 (20.6%) | 13 (21.7%) | 0.854 |
| Symptoms absent | 127 (79.3%) | 47 (78.3%) | 0.73 |
| Total | 160 | 60 | 0.73 |

### TABLE 3 Correlation of Symptomatology with IgM positivity

| Symptoms | IgM positive (%) | IgM negative (%) | Exact significance (two-sided) |
|----------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Symptoms present | 5 (13.5%) | 41 (22.4%) | 0.273 |
| Symptoms absent | 32 (86.5%) | 142 (77.6%) | 0.273 |
| Total | 37 | 183 | 0.273 |
with current symptoms and not just history of symptoms in the first trimester) included in study were negative. None had exposure to a case of Covid-19 infection at home, in community settings or in hospital, nor did anyone have a history of traveling to an abroad destination. Of the 298 women eligible women who were recruited, 78 were unwilling to participate in serological prevalence study. Around 20% of these women had symptoms suggestive of COVID. As shown in Tables 2 and 3, the presence or absence of symptomatology in their first trimester is not related to IgG or IgM positivity.

Of the 220 patients tested for IgG and IgM, 160 (72.7%; 95% CI: 66.8–78.6%) were SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive, 37 (16.8%; 95% CI: 11.8–21.8%) were SARS-CoV-2 IgM positive and 27 (16.9%; 95% CI: 7.9–1.6%) were both IgG and IgM positive. The temporal association of the antibodies prevalence is shown in Figure 1. The average (SD) antibody titer found was 10.49 BAU/ml (±14.0) and 0.6 (±0.55) for anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG and IgM non-neutralizing antibodies, respectively. ROC analysis for SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity showed a cut-off value of 1.19 with a sensitivity of 99.3% (0.9949 AUC, 95% CI) and specificity of 98.3% (0.9949 AUC, 95% CI) respectively (Figure 2). Similarly, ROC analysis for SARS-CoV-2 IgM positivity showed a cut-off value of 1 with a sensitivity of 97.3% (0.9935 AUC, 95% CI) and specificity of 98.9% (0.9935 AUC, 95% CI) (Figure 3). ROC analysis for SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity showed a cut-off value of 1.19 with a sensitivity of 99.3% and specificity of 98.3% contributing AUC with 0.995. Similarly, ROC analysis for SARS-CoV-2 IgM positivity showed a cut-off value of 1 with a sensitivity of 97.3% and specificity of 98.9% yielding AUC with 0.993. Even though the IgG and IgM positivity was determined based on manufacturer cut-off value, the cut-off value derived from the data may have implications for the Indian population to correctly classify the true positivity and the true negativity.
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**FIGURE 1** Distribution of IgG and IgM levels in pregnant women in their first trimester, during the second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Delhi, India
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**FIGURE 2** ROC analysis for serum IgG levels among pregnant women in their first trimester
4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Principal findings

In this study of 220 patients, 160 (72.7%; 95% CI: 66.8–78.6%) were SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive, 37 (16.8%; 95% CI: 11.8–21.8%) were SARS-CoV-2 IgM positive and 27 (16.9%; 95% CI: 7.9–1.6%) were both IgG and IgM positive.

4.2 | Results

A study evaluated the progression of seroprevalence of COVID antibodies in pregnant population of the south of Madrid, Spain, during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. They reported that seropositivity increased from 0% to 21.4% (95% CI 11.8–31.0) during the study period, of which 27.9% had an asymptomatic course. They tested 769 serum samples during the first and third trimesters of pregnancy for specific IgG anti SARS-CoV-2 RBD and S proteins. In another study from New York City, 19 out of 47 (40.4%) women tested positive for antibodies. Of the 19 women with antibodies detected, three noted symptoms of COVID-19 prior to enrollment and four developed symptoms after study enrollment. Our study showed a high prevalence of COVID-19 prior to enrollment and four developed symptoms after study enrollment. Our study showed a high prevalence of COVID-19 prior to enrollment and four developed symptoms after study enrollment. The ICMR data during this time period also showed similar seropositivity in general population.

4.3 | Clinical implications

The present work highlights the crucial role of serum antibodies for early diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 among asymptomatic pregnant patients. The specificity of real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for the detection of COVID-19 is remarkable, but its accuracy depends on the sampling quality. Advantages of testing pregnant women for antibody response to COVID-19 are bring able to identify possibly “healed” women (e.g., IgG positive) who were never tested with RT-PCR assay using nasopharyngeal (NP) swab specimens and to also detect women who are still at risk for COVID-19 infection (e.g. IgM and IgG negative). Women who do not know their infective status represent a potential threat to others, including healthcare workers (HCWs) and other patients. Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 could serve as the basis for an “immunity passport” or “risk-free certificate” (digital or physical documents that certify an individual has been infected and is purportedly immune to SARS-CoV-2). This statement is yet not verified. Also, while evaluating the effect of COVID on pregnancy outcomes, the antibody evaluation might be useful. However, as seen from the data analysis, there was a high prevalence of COVID-like symptoms in seronegative women and vice-versa; that is say, no symptoms in women with positive IgG or IgM antibodies (Tables 2,3).

4.4 | Research implications

According to the Indian Council of Medical Research, IgG antibody test for COVID-19 may be useful in serosurveys among asymptomatic individuals and the high-risk or vulnerable population to understand the proportion of population exposed to infection with SARS-CoV2 and thus, appropriate public health interventions for prevention and control of disease can be planned and implemented accordingly. As our study clearly shows a high percentage of seropositivity in asymptomatic women, any research on maternal and neonatal outcomes, only on the basis of nasopharyngeal or oral testing in symptomatic women, may be flawed.

FIGURE 3 | ROC analysis for serum IgM levels among pregnant women in their first trimester
4.5 | Strengths and limitations

This study may serve as a basic framework to detect vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from mothers to fetuses and later to detect neonatal outcomes. A further follow-up of these pregnant women may enlighten with the impact of COVID seropositivity on maternal-fetal outcomes, which our study is currently lacking.

5 | CONCLUSION

We report epidemiologic data from this study investigating a cohort of women who became pregnant just before or during the COVID-19 pandemic during the second peak. First trimester seromolecular screening suggests a high prevalence of COVID antibodies in the study population of pregnant women in the first trimester during the COVID-19 wave. Thus, this fact needs to be taken into account when evaluating the effect of COVID-19 on pregnancy.
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