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Abstract: The recent advances in the photorefraction of doped lithium niobate crystals are reviewed. Materials have always been the main obstacle for commercial applications of photorefractive holographic storage. Though iron-doped LiNbO3 is the mainstay of holographic data storage efforts, several shortcomings, especially the low response speed, impede it from becoming a commercial recording medium. This paper reviews the photorefractive characteristics of different dopants, especially tetravalent ions, doped and co-doped LiNbO3 crystals, including Hf, Zr and Sn monodoped LiNbO3, Hf and Fe, Zr and Fe doubly doped LiNbO3, Zr, Fe and Mn, Zr, Cu and Ce triply doped LiNbO3, Ru doped LiNbO3, and V and Mo monodoped LiNbO3. Among them, Zr, Fe and Mn triply doped LiNbO3 shows excellent nonvolatile holographic storage properties, and V and Mo monodoped LiNbO3 has fast response and multi-wavelength storage characteristics.
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1. Introduction

Holographic data storage promises to become the next-generation optical storage technology for many years [1–3]. However, this technology has still not matured to be fit for commercial application. The main obstacle is the lack of an ideal medium [4]. Laser-induced optical damage [5], later named also as photorefraction [6], was discovered in LiNbO3 and LiTaO3 crystals. This effect can be utilized...
as holographic storage, laser physics, information processing and calculation. It was reported that photorefractive can be enhanced by transition-metal ions, such as Fe, Cu, Mn, Ni, etc. [7,8], and among them, iron doped LiNbO$_3$ (LN:Fe) has the best photorefractive properties, such as high diffractive efficiencies, high data storage density and long dark storage time. Though LN:Fe went on to be the mainstay of holographic data storage efforts, several problems, such as low response speed, strong light-induced scattering and volatility impede it from becoming a commercial recording medium.

As to the volatility, the read-out process usually erases the stored information and amplifies the scattered light. Several techniques for “fixing” holograms have been developed [9–12]. However, they have practical disadvantages, and only laboratory demonstrators have been built. It 1998, Buse et al. [13] used iron and manganese doubly doped LiNbO$_3$ (LN:Fe,Mn) to form two different deep electron traps; illumination of the crystals with incoherent ultraviolet light during the recording process with a red-light interference pattern permits the storage of data that can be subsequently read without erasure in the absence of ultraviolet light. This resolution to the problem of volatility leads to the realization of a more practical system, but the response time is in the order of minutes, which is apparently too long for practical applications. Later, Cu and Ce codoped LiNbO$_3$ (LN:Cu,Ce) was reported as another crystal that can be used for nonvolatile holographic storage [14]. Cu and Ce ions occur in the valence states Cu$^{+2+}$ [15] and Ce$^{3+/4+}$ [16], respectively, which are regarded as shallow and deep centers [17]. However, the response time of LN:Cu,Ce is even longer than that of LN:Fe,Mn. To realize a real-time non-volatile read-write memory, shortening of the response time and improving the sensitivity seem to be the critical challenge.

One way to solve this problem is to increase the ratio of [Li]/[Nb], in other words, growing near-stoichiometric (NS) crystals [18]. However, this is difficult to achieve. Until now, even without dopants, strictly stoichiometric pure LiNbO$_3$ ([Li]/[Nb] = 50/50) single crystals of practical size and quality have not been grown. As to doubly doped LiNbO$_3$, we do not even know where the stoichiometric melt composition is. On the other hand, laser-induced optical damage of LiNbO$_3$ can be greatly degraded by optical damage resistant additives, such as Mg, Zn, In and Sc [19–22], as soon as the doping concentration exceeds a certain threshold. LN:Fe crystals codoped with optical damage resistant elements have high resistance against light-induced scattering and fast response speed for concentrations above threshold, as has been demonstrated by Zhang et al. for LN:Mg,Fe [23]. The main mechanism of this phenomenon is that above the doping threshold, a part of the Fe$^{2+}$ and all of the Fe$^{3+}$ ions are repelled from Li-sites to Nb-sites, which causes an abrupt decrease of the capture cross-section of electrons by Fe$^{3+}$ and, as a consequence, a sharp increase of photoconductivity and, therefore, a fast response speed. Nevertheless the decrease of Fe$^{2+/3+}$ ions in Li-sites will cause an apparent decrease of the diffraction efficiency [24].

There are plenty of papers about the photorefraction of LiNbO$_3$ crystals; for a detailed understanding of the background, one can see the books published by Springer [25,26]. In the past decade, there have also been many important reports on this topic, such as that optical damage can be strongly suppressed by optical cleaning [27]. It is not possible to cover in this brief article the immense variety of photorefraction in LiNbO$_3$ and their experimental and theoretical studies. Here we just briefly reviewed some recent advances in the photorefraction of doped LiNbO$_3$, mainly about the newly reported dopants in the last ten years. Our particular choice of topics does not reflect on the importance of those issues that are not discussed.
2. The Optical Damage Resistance of Tetravalent Ions Doped LiNbO$_3$

When Kokanyan et al. [28] grew periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) crystals doped with transition-metal ions by the Czochralski method, they accidentally found that samples doped with HfO$_2$ show reasonable photorefractive resistance. Then the reduced photorefraction of hafnium-doped LiNbO$_3$ (LN:Hf) were investigated [29,30]. It was found that the light-induced birefringence changes of LiNbO$_3$ crystal doped with 4 mol% of HfO$_2$ were comparable to that of 6 mol% MgO-doped crystals. This phenomenon indicates that Hafnium is another new damage-resistant element. Such a decrease of photorefraction is correlated to a corresponding increase in both the photoconductivity and the dark conductivity of the LN:Hf crystals. But it is strange that the dark conductivity of the 1 mol% HfO$_2$ doped PPLN is larger than its photoconductivity. The distribution coefficient of Hf for 4 mol% HfO$_2$-doped LiNbO$_3$ is 0.93, which is nearer to one than that of Mg (1.2), so high quality LN:Hf crystal may be easier to grow than the usually used LiNbO$_3$ crystal doped with 5 mol% MgO [31]. Later, more experiments of birefringence, second-harmonic phase-matching conditions and nonlinear coefficient were performed for a set of LN:Hf crystals as functions of dopant concentration. The data highlight that the doping threshold of Hf in LiNbO$_3$ should be among 2.0 and 2.5 mol% in the melt [32,33]. The basic properties of LN:Hf have been investigated gradually, such as the Raman scattering spectroscopy, proving that Hf ions go into the Li-sites in the low doping concentration range and occupy both Li-sites and Nb-sites in high concentration [34]. Up to 11 mol% HfO$_2$ doped LiNbO$_3$ crystals were grown, but the good crystalline quality with a structural disorder increased linearly with increasing Hf content [35], and the electro-optic and dielectric properties were only slightly affected by the introduction of Hf ions [36,37].

In 2007, Kong et al. reported the outstanding optical damage resistance of zirconium doped LiNbO$_3$ (LN:Zr) [38]. As shown in Figure 1, 2.0 mol% ZrO$_2$-doped LiNbO$_3$ can withstand a light intensity of 514.5 nm laser as high as 2.0 × 10$^7$ W/cm$^2$, which is more than 40 times larger than that of 6.5 mol% MgO-doped LiNbO$_3$. Moreover, the doping threshold of Zr is near 2.0 mol%, where the distribution coefficient is about 0.97. In the same year, Sun et al. also reported that the saturated value of the birefringence change of 6 mol% ZrO$_2$ doped LiNbO$_3$ crystal is seven times smaller than that of congruent pure LiNbO$_3$, but they found that the threshold concentration of the Zr$^{4+}$ ions in LiNbO$_3$ is about 6 mol% in the melt from the results of UV-Visible and infrared absorption spectra of LN:Zr crystals [39]. Argiolas et al. noticed the contradictory data, prepared a series of LN:Zr crystals with various Zr content, studied them using high-resolution x-ray diffraction and birefringence measurements as a function of the dopant content in the melt and concluded that the measured threshold value is very close to 2.0 mol% [40]. And, NSLN:Zr crystals can withstand a light intensity of 80 GW/cm$^2$ at 532 nm pulse laser (the pulse duration 10 ns with a repetition rate of 1 Hz), which is close to that of KDP [41]. The overall investigation clearly indicates that LN:Zr could represent the optimal choice for the realization of room-temperature devices, such as Electro-optical modulators and all-optical wavelength converters [42].
Figure 1. Distortion of Transmitted Argon Laser Beam Spots after 5 min of Irradiation. (a,b,c) LN:Zr1.7; (d) LN:Zr2. The light intensities are (a) $1.3 \times 10^3$ W/cm$^2$; (b) $1.3 \times 10^4$ W/cm$^2$; (c,d) $2.0 \times 10^7$ W/cm$^2$ [38].

In 2010 Wang et al. [43] reported that tin doped LiNbO$_3$ (LN:Sn) crystals have a similar optical damage resistance as LN:Mg crystals, but the doping threshold of SnO$_2$ is only 2.5 mol% and the distribution coefficient is close to one. As compared with other tetravalent ions doped LN, LN:Sn has a similar optical damage resistance as LN:Hf but a lower one than LN:Zr, Sn has a similar distribution coefficient as Zr and is closer to 1.0 than Hf with the doping concentration just near the threshold and dropping faster than Zr and Hf when it is above doping threshold. These experimental results suggest that Sn$^{4+}$ is a new tetravalent ion that greatly increases the optical damage resistance of LN.

The optical damage resistance of LN:Hf, LN:Zr and LN:Sn is collected in Table 1. For comparison, LN:Mg is also listed. We can see that LN:Zr has a much higher optical damage resistance as compared with other doped crystals. It is known that LN:Zr crystal has a higher photoconductivity, but further interpretation is still not clear.

Table 1. Optical Damage Resistance of LN:Mg, LN:Hf, LN:Zr and LN:Sn. The data, except for the doping threshold, come from 6.5 mol% MgO, 4.0 mol% HfO$_2$, 2.0 mol% ZrO$_2$ and 2.5 mol% SnO$_2$ doped congruent LiNbO$_3$ crystals, respectively.

| Crystals Properties | LN:Mg  | LN:Hf  | LN:Zr  | LN:Sn  |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Optical damage resistance (W/cm$^2$, 514.5 nm) | $5.0 \times 10^5$ | $5.0 \times 10^5$ | $>2.0 \times 10^7$ | $4.8 \times 10^5$ |
| Saturation refractive index change (514.5 nm) | $7.8 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.1 \times 10^{-7}$ | $7.6 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Doping threshold (mol% in melt) | 4.6 | 2.0–2.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Distribution coefficient | 1.2 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.98 |
| Optical damage resistance (W/cm$^2$, 351 nm) | – | $1.8 \times 10^4$ | $1.6 \times 10^5$ | – |
| References | [19,31] | [31,33,56] | [38,55] | [43] |
3. The Ultraviolet Photorefraction of Tetravalent Ions Doped LiNbO₃

The ultraviolet (UV) photorefraction of nominally pure congruent LiNbO₃ (CLN) was reported in 1992 [44]. Later, Laeri et al. made a review on UV photorefraction in various ferroelectrics in 1995 [45]. They reported that the photorefraction of pure LiNbO₃, because of the diffusion mechanism, is enhanced in UV as compared to that in visible and that the dominant charge carriers in UV are holes. In 2000 Xu et al. [46] reported the strong UV photorefraction in highly Mg-doped LiNbO₃ crystals with high two-wave mixing gain, fast response and low noise. They demonstrated experimentally that so-called damage-resistant dopants, such as Mg, are damage resistant only in the visible spectrum and that they will enhance photorefraction in the UV spectrum. In 2004, Qiao et al. [47] reported that the UV photorefraction of LiNbO₃ doped with Zn and In was enhanced significantly as compared to that of the nominally pure LiNbO₃ (PLN). They also stated that the resistance against photorefraction in highly Zn and In doped LiNbO₃ is correct only in the visible light range. In highly Zn or In doped LiNbO₃ crystals, diffusion dominates over photovoltaic effect, and electrons are determined to be the dominant charge carriers in UV photorefraction. This is inconsistent with what was reported by Jungen and Laeri [44,45]. The CTVE (charge transfer vibronic excitons) model, proposed by Vikhnin et al. [48], was utilized to interpret the enhancement of highly Zn and In doped LiNbO₃, but the dominate charge carriers were not discussed.

In fact, congruent LiNbO₃ is far from the stoichiometric composition. There is plenty of intrinsic defects. The deficiency of Li₂O induces Li-site vacancies (VLi−) and charge compensated anti-site Nb⁵⁺ (NbLi⁵⁺) ions [49]. Part of the NbLi⁵⁺ ions form small polaron (NbLi⁴⁺) by trapping electrons, and some NbLi⁵⁺ ions with neighboring normal-site Nb ions (NbNb⁵⁺) form bipolarons (NbLi⁴⁺NbNb⁴⁺) by trapping a pair of electrons with opposite spins [50]. Bipolarons and small polarons can act as photorefractive centers and transform into each other by illumination with suitable light or with heat treatment [51,52].

And, in the visible region, the photorefractive centers of PLN were proven to be bipolarons and small polarons [53]. Hesselink et al. have utilized these bipolarons and small polarons to achieve nonvolatile holographic storage in PLN [54]. In UV photorefraction, we can consider that when LiNbO₃ is illuminated by high energy UV light, electrons are excited from deep centers, e.g., Li vacancy or O⁻, to the conduction band, and holes are easily created because of the narrow gap between these deep centers and the valence band. Because there are plenty of NbLi⁵⁺ ions in a CLN crystal, the electrons in the conduction band are easily captured by NbLi⁵⁺ ions to form small polarons, and then bipolarons. However, the holes have no such affect, so in this situation, they may become the dominate charge carriers. For highly doped LiNbO₃ crystals, when the doping concentration exceeds the threshold value, there is no NbLi⁵⁺ ion, that is, no intrinsic defect, to capture the excited electrons by UV light, and then electrons become the dominate charge carriers because of their higher mobility than holes. Of course, further investigation is greatly required to fully understand this phenomenon.

In 2010 Liu et al. [55] reported that LN:Zr crystals have high resistance against photorefraction in the UV region, as well as in the visible range, and can withstand a light intensity of above 10⁵ W/cm² at 351 nm. The dependence of saturated diffraction efficiency on Zr concentration in LiNbO₃ is shown in Figure 2. The saturated diffraction efficiency is apparently reduced when adding Zr into LiNbO₃. The saturated refractive index change of LN:Zr2 is only 1.1×10⁻⁶, which is about eight times smaller than that of PLN, and only one twentieth of that of LN:Mg5. This experimental result indicates that
LN:Zr is an excellent candidate for optical damage resistance from the UV to the visible spectrum. Furthermore, it was observed that the UV photorefractive gratings can be completely erased by 532 nm laser. This is quite different from the observation in the visible region, where reading with light of longer wavelength does not erase the photorefractive grating recorded by a shorter one because its photonic energy isn’t high enough to excite electrons from deep energy levels [13]. Therefore, they considered that this erasing process may have a relationship with another kind of charge carrier: holes; that is, 532 nm cannot excite electrons to the conduction band but can excite holes to the valence band. It is this process that erases the grating. It was thought that the UV photorefraction of highly doped LiNbO3 has a direct relationship with the dopants occupying Nb-sites as the doping concentration exceeds threshold value. The different performance of LN:Zr compared with LN:Mg and CLN was proposed. Since O2− ions near Zr4+ and Mg2+ have different energy levels as the doping ions occupying Nb-sites, the energy level of O2−–MgNb3− is shallower and closer to the photonic energy of 351 nm light than that of O2−–ZrNb−. Therefore, 351 nm laser is more effective with LN:Mg but not with LN:Zr.

**Figure 2.** The Dependence of UV Photorefractive Diffraction Efficiency and Saturated Refractive Index Change of LN:Zr on the Doping Concentration of Zr. For comparison, the open symbols show the data for LN:Mg5. The lines are the guides for the eyes [55].

However, the UV photorefractivity at 351 nm of LN:Hf is different from that of LN:Zr [56]. Figure 3a clearly demonstrates that Hf doping can improve the UV photorefractivity of LiNbO3, i.e., higher diffraction efficiency and response speed can be obtained by Hf doping. Moreover, it is shown in Figure 3b that reduction can also induce a large enhancement of the UV photorefractivity. The energy transfer direction of two-wave coupling was proven to point to the -c end, and the reduction was found to enhance the UV photorefractivity. These results reveal the dominance of electrons in the UV photorefraction. Moreover, it was found that the grating recorded in highly Hf-doped LiNbO3 is nearly stable in the dark, but sensitive to red light. As shown in Figures 2 and 3a, the photorefractive performance of LN:Hf and LN:Zr is different; LN:Hf has a higher saturated diffraction efficiency. If the datum of CLN in Figure 2 were drawn in Figure 3(a), we could see that when the doping concentration of Hf increases, the saturated diffraction efficiency will drop to the minimum at LN:Hf2a, then increase gradually. This phenomenon is just like the situation of LN:Zr, where the diffractive efficiency increases very slowly. This result indicates that the diffractive efficiency decreases fast when the doping concentration of tetravalent ions is below its threshold, reaches the
minimum at the doping threshold, and then increases with increasing doping concentration. The different saturated efficiency between LN:Hf and LN:Zr may be due to the different energy of O$^{2-}$–Hf$^{5+}$ and O$^{2-}$–Zr$^{5+}$.

**Figure 3.** The Ultraviolet Photorefraction of LN:Hf Crystals at 351 nm. The samples are named after their Hf concentration in mol% and their as-grown (a), oxidized (o), or reduced (r) state [56].

The photorefractivity at 325 nm of LN:Hf and Hf:Sn were also investigated [57,58]. It was found that the UV photorefraction of LN:Hf was enhanced significantly as compared to that of PLN. This result clearly indicated that the property of resistance against photorefraction in highly Hf doped LiNbO$_3$ was true only in the visible and near-infrared wavelength range. LN:Hf exhibited excellent photorefractive characteristics at a UV wavelength of 325 nm, even better than those at 351 nm. The diffraction efficiency, the holographic recording sensitivity and response rate, and the two-wave coupling gain coefficient are greatly enhanced when the Sn-doping concentration reaches 2.0 mol% or more. Unlike LN:Hf in which the UV gratings can be erased easily by a red beam, the UV gratings in LN:Sn can withstand long-term red beam illumination. For LN:Hf and LN:Sn crystals, diffusion dominates over photovoltaic effect, and electrons are the dominant charge carriers in UV photorefraction at 325 nm. Now, further investigation is greatly needed to clarify the photorefractive characteristics of LiNbO$_3$ near the absorption edge.

4. The Photorefraction of Tetravalent Ions Co-Doped LiNbO$_3$

In 2006 Li et al. [59] reported the photorefractive properties of LiNbO$_3$ co-doped with HfO$_2$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (LN:Hf,Fe). Then the defect structure, spectroscopy and optical properties were investigated [60–62]. Dissimilar to Mg$^{2+}$ (or Zn$^{2+}$, In$^{3+}$) and Fe co-doped LiNbO$_3$ crystals [63,64], Fe ions still remain at Li sites in LiNbO$_3$:Hf,Fe crystals when the HfO$_2$-doping concentration goes above its threshold value. As a result, the response rate and sensitivity are greatly improved. Meanwhile, the saturation diffraction efficiency remains at a high value. Therefore, hafnium ion was considered as an efficient ion to improve the photorefractive properties of LN:Fe crystal. The saturation diffraction efficiency could reach 80.2% in the LN:Hf,Fe crystal grown from the melt with the [Li]/[Nb] ratio of
And the gain coefficient of LN: Hf, Fe increases dramatically with temperatures up to 110 °C [66]. The similar phenomenon was also found for Hf and Ce co-doped LiNbO$_3$ (LN: Hf, Ce). It was reported that the photorefractive properties of LN: Ce crystals were improved by HfO$_2$ co-doping, and oxidation/reduction treatment had a great effect on the photorefractive properties [67].

Later, Kong et al. [68] reported the photorefractive characteristics of LiNbO$_3$ crystals co-doped with ZrO$_2$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (LN: Zr, Fe). Compared with LN: Hf, Fe, although Zr$^{4+}$ and Hf$^{4+}$ are all tetravalent ions, the concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ ions in as-grown LN: Zr, Fe is much higher than that in LN: Hf, Fe. As a result, the photorefractive response speed of these as-grown LN: Zr, Fe crystals is only 2 s and the sensitivity is larger than 12 cm/J, while the saturation diffraction efficiency still remains at a high level. Therefore, zirconium ions are a preferable choice to improve the photorefractive properties of LN: Fe crystal. It was found that as the [Li]/[Nb] ratio increases, the distribution coefficients of Fe and Zr ions decrease, the absorption edge shifts to a shorter wavelength and the threshold concentration of ZrO$_2$ decreases [69]. Fan et al. reported that NSLN: Zr, Fe crystals have significantly enhanced photorefractive response speed, recording sensitivity and two-wave coupling gain coefficient as compared with CLN: Zr, Fe, while the high saturation diffraction efficiency is still maintained [70]. But Luo et al. reported that the photorefractive properties of LN: Zr, Fe decrease with increasing [Li]/[Nb] ratios [71]. Further investigation is needed to clarify the function of [Li]/[Nb] ratio on the photorefractive characteristics of LN: Zr, Fe crystals.

Then, Kong et al. [72] designed Zr, Fe, and Mn triply doped LiNbO$_3$ (LN: Zr, Fe, Mn) crystals. They analyzed the energy level diagram of LN: Fe, Mn reported by Buse et al. [13] and considered that in commercial CLN codoped with Fe and Mn, the energy levels of Fe$^{2+}/3+$, Mn$^{2+}/3+$, Nb$_{Li}^{4+}$Nb$_{Nb}^{4+}$ and Nb$_{Li}^{4+}$ should at least be included, as shown in Figure 4a. The intrinsic photorefractive centers slow down the electron mobility for non-volatile storage appreciably. Therefore, in order to increase the sensitivity of LN: Fe, Mn crystal, intrinsic defects should be eliminated such that a real two energy level system is obtained as shown in Figure 4b. The results of LN: Hf, Fe and LN: Zr, Fe have shown that when tetravalent ions are co-doped into LN: Fe, they only push Nb$_{Li}^{5+}$ ions to Nb-sites and do not affect the site occupation of Fe$^{2+/3+}$ ions, thus resulting in a significant shortening of the response time [59, 68]. As Mn$^{2+/3+}$ ions have the same valence as Fe$^{2+/3+}$ ions, all lower than +4, it is reasonable to assume that tetravalent ions do not affect the site occupation of Mn$^{2+/3+}$ ions. The experimental results proved that the response time of LN: Zr, Fe, Mn for nonvolatile holographic storage became smaller than 1.0 s, and the measured sensitivity $S'$ became as high as 1.31 cm/J [72]. LN: Zr, Fe, Mn crystal combines the advantages of high diffraction efficiency, long storage lifetime, fast response speed, strong resistance to light-induced scattering and non-volatility. Thus it seems to be an excellent recording medium for practical holographic storage devices. The spectroscopy, defect structure and optical characteristics of LN: Zr, Fe, Mn with different doping concentrations and [Li]/[Nb] ratios were investigated [73, 74]. The high diffraction efficiency and significantly enhanced response speed and sensitivity were also reported at 488 nm laser [75]. The nonvolatile holographic storage of Hf, Fe and Mn triply doped LiNbO$_3$ (LN: Hf, Fe, Mn) was investigated. The recording speed was faster with the increase of HfO$_2$ doping concentration, and at the same time, little loss of nonvolatile diffraction efficiencies could be achieved [76].
**Figure 4.** Energy level diagram of dopants and intrinsic defects in the forbidden gap of LiNbO$_3$. (a) Normal congruent LiNbO$_3$, doubly doped with iron and manganese, where the energy levels of small polaron (Nb$_{Li}^{4+}$) and bipolaron (Nb$_{Li}^{4+}$Nb$_{Nb}^{4+}$) also exist; (b) Ideal doubly doped LiNbO$_3$ [72].

**Figure 5.** Holographic Recording and Fixing Characteristics of LN:Zr,Fe,Mn, LN:Mg,Fe,Mn and LN:In,Fe,Mn. Recording time is 50 s. Readout time is more than 100 min. The inset shows the recording process (0 s to 50 s).

It should be pointed out that the improvement of nonvolatile sensitivity in doped LiNbO$_3$ is directly related to the valence of Zr and Hf if other optical damage resistant ions, such as Mg$^{2+}$ and In$^{3+}$, are codoped into LN:Fe,Mn. Because their valences are the same as Fe$^{2+/3+}$ and Mn$^{2+/3+}$, these codoping ions will affect the lattice occupation of Fe and Mn ions and let them lose their function as photorefractive centers. As shown in Figure 5, nonvolatile holographic storage cannot be accomplished. In fact, the no nonvolatile storage of LN:Mg,Fe,Mn had been found by Liu *et al.* when they investigated the nonvolatile holographic storage of four kinds of LiNbO$_3$ crystals doped with Cu:Ce, Mn:Cu:Ce, Mn:Fe and Mn:Fe:Mg [77]. They found that on the condition of non-volatile holographic storage with high signal-to-noise ratio, the non-volatile diffraction efficiency of the oxidized LN:Cu,Ce crystal is the highest among all studied samples, and the recording sensitivity and the
dynamic range of the oxidized LN:Mn,Fe crystal are the highest. Because the nonvolatile storage of LN:Mg,Fe,Mn was not accomplished, this phenomenon did not receive attention, and the micro-mechanism was not analyzed.

Zr, Cu and Ce triply doped LiNbO₃ (LN:Zr,Cu,Ce) crystals have also been designed and grown [78]. As compared with congruent LN:Cu,Ce crystal, the photorefractive sensitivity \( S \) of LN:Zr,Cu,Ce is exceptionally improved one order of magnitude [79], and the nonvolatile sensitivity \( S' \) is comparable to that of NSLN:Cu,Ce [17]. The light-induced scattering in LN:Zr,Cu,Ce is suppressed effectively, which is much lower than that in LN:Zr,Fe,Mn. Because triply doped LN:Zr,Cu,Ce has low light induced scattering and high photorefractive sensitivity, it is a suitable candidate for nonvolatile holographic storage. However, it should be pointed out that the photorefractive enhancement of Zr-codoping for LN:Cu,Ce is smaller than for LN:Fe,Mn. This might be related to the site occupation change of Ce ions when Zr ions were codoped into it. Because part of the Ce ions have the same tetravalence as Zr ions, when the concentration of Zr exceeds its doping threshold, a part of Ce⁴⁺ ions will be pushed to Nb sites from Li sites. These Ce⁴⁺ ions cannot trap electrons and lose their ability as photorefractive acceptors. As a result, the photorefraction caused by Ce₃⁺/⁴⁺ centers is decreased and the nonvolatile diffraction efficiency is a little lower. The nonvolatile holographic storage properties of Hf, Cu and Ce triply doped LiNbO₃ (LN:Hf,Cu,Ce) crystals were also studied [80]. The results indicated that the codoping with Hf eliminated undesirable intrinsic electron traps, which strongly enhanced the charge transition speed. As the doping concentration of Hf increased, the fixed diffraction efficiency decreased, but the holographic response time and photorefractive sensitivity improved.

Another tetravalent ion Ru-doped LiNbO₃ (LN:Ru) was noted for its nonvolatile recording properties [81]. In spite of the single doping, a nonvolatile hologram can be recorded at a wavelength of 633 nm when a blue light is simultaneously incident on the crystal. The diffraction efficiency of the nonvolatile hologram is about 1% at the extraordinary polarization, but this crystal shows only a small loss of the hologram strength at the beginning of the readout process. An absorption spectra examination of LN:Ru showed that there were two absorption peaks around 370 and 530 nm [82]. The absorption coefficients should increase and the absorption edges shift toward longer wavelength as the Ru concentration increases. The \( \text{OH}^- \) absorption spectra confirm that Ru ions may have substituted for both Li vacancies and antisite Nb ions, as the Ru doping concentration in the present case is well below the threshold limit. The dark decay time constant of the LN:Ru decreased as the temperature increased, from which it can be deduced that in lithium niobate, the energy level is shallower at the Ru center than at the Fe center [83].

One way to improve the recording properties of LN:Ru is codoping Fe ions together with Ru ions (LN:Ru,Fe) [84]. With this approach, the sensitivity and the dynamic range were dramatically enhanced, such as a high sensitivity of 0.12 cm/J, and a large dynamic range of 0.53 were obtained in a 0.5 mm-thick crystal. To further improve the nonvolatile storage characteristics of LN:Ru,Fe crystals, Zr ions can be triply doped (LN:Zr,Ru,Fe) [85]. In oxidized LN:Zr,Ru,Fe crystal, a short response time of 9.1 s and a high recording sensitivity of 0.92 cm/J were demonstrated, together with a high fixed diffraction efficiency of 44.9% maintained. One problem of Ru doped LiNbO₃ crystals in practical application is that the Ru concentration in the grown crystal gradually decreased along the pulling direction because the effective segregation coefficient of Ru in lithium niobate is greater than one, and also because RuO₂ evaporated during the crystal growth period [82].
For a better overview, the photorefractive properties of LN:Hf,Fe, LN:Zr,Fe, LN:Zr,Fe,Mn, LN:Zr,Cu,Ce and LN:Zr,Ru,Fe are collected in Table 2. As a comparison, LN:Fe and LN:Mg,Fe are also listed. We only collected the typical data from the references, so different crystals may have different doping concentrations.

Table 2. Photorefractive Properties of LN:Fe, LN:Mg,Fe, LN:Hf,Fe, LN:Zr,Fe, LN:Zr,Fe,Mn, LN:Zr,Cu,Ce and LN:Zr,Ru,Fe.

| Crystal         | Doping concentration | Photorefractive properties | Reference |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
|                 | Fe$_2$O$_3$ (wt%) | MgO (mol%) | HfO$_2$ (mol%) | ZrO$_2$ (mol%) | $\eta_{sat}$ (%) | $\tau_r$ (s) | $S$ (cm/J) | $S'$ (cm/J) |
| LN:Fe           | 0.01                |                   |             |               |                |           |           |            |
| LN:Mg,Fe       | 0.01 6              |                   |             |               |                |           |           |            |
| LN:Hf,Fe       | 0.03 5              |                   |             |               | 55.4           | 10.7      | 5.23      |            |
| LN:Zr,Fe       | 0.03                |                   |             |               | 32.0           | 1.8       | 12.87     |            |
| LN:Zr,Fe,Mn    | 0.075 wt% MnO       | 0.01 wt% MnO      |             |               | 56.4           | 0.95      | 3.47      | 1.31       |
| LN:Zr,Cu,Ce    | 0.011 wt% CuO       | 0.085 wt% Ce$_2$O$_3$ |             |               | 62.4           | 120       | 0.312     | 0.099      |
| LN:Zr,Ru,Fe    | 0.15 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ | 0.15 wt% RuO$_2$  |             |               | 60.5           | 9.1       | 0.92      |            |

5. The Photorefractive of Pentavalent and Hexavalent Ions Doped LiNbO$_3$

As pointed out in the Introduction, until now, iron was considered as the most effective dopant for the photorefraction of LiNbO$_3$, though several problems, especially the low response speed, impede it from becoming a commercial recording medium. When analyzing the effects of currently used dopants, we noticed that their valences are all below 5+, the valence of Nb. These dopants, therefore, preferably occupy Li-sites. Then a marvelous thought arose: if LiNbO$_3$ is doped with ions of valence 5+ or 6+, these ions may occupy Nb-sites, and thereby induce new effects.

Dong et al. [86] grew a series of vanadium-doped lithium niobate (LN:V) crystals and investigated their photorefractive properties. As shown in Figure 6, a fast photorefractive response of 0.16 s was obtained for 0.1 mol% V-doped LiNbO$_3$ with a 351 nm laser and a total light intensity of 583 mW/cm$^2$. The spectroscopic measurements show that V$^{3+}$, V$^{4+}$ and V$^{5+}$ ions exist in these LN:V crystals. V$^{3+}$ and V$^{4+}$ ions correspond to the 420 nm and 475 nm absorption peaks respectively. The fast photorefractive response and high sensitivity indicate that LN:V is a competitive candidate for UV photorefraction. Even in the visible region, a short photorefractive response time of 0.57 s in LN:V0.1 at a total intensity of 471 mW/cm$^2$ could be obtained [87]. The experimental results also show that electrons are the dominant charge carriers and that the diffusion field dominates over the photorefraction process. Because of its low doping concentration and absorption coefficient, the overall scenarios [86,87] indicate that LN:V may be a suitable material for holographic storage. From Figure 6, we can also see that the time dependence of 0.7 mol% and 1.0 mol% V-doped LiNbO$_3$ is very different from the others; they have a slower response and a higher saturated diffraction efficiency. It was proposed that a doping threshold existed between 0.5 and 0.7 mol%, which may be caused by a site occupation change of V ions from Li-sites at lower concentrations to Nb-sites at higher concentrations, or by some complicated
defect clusters forming at higher doping concentrations. Normally ions will lose their function as photorefractive centers when changing the site occupation from Li-site to Nb-site. However, related experiments are greatly needed to explore what happens when the doping concentration increases from 0.5 to 0.7 mol%.

**Figure 6.** The time dependence of diffraction efficiency of LN:V crystals. The vanadium-doping concentrations are 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1.0 mol% for LN:V0.1, LN:V0.3, LN:V0.5, LN:V0.7 and LN:V1.0, respectively. The laser wavelength is 351 nm; the light intensities of the signal and reference beams are 283 mW/cm² and 300 mW/cm² [86].

**Figure 7.** The UV-visible photorefractive characteristics of LN:Mo0.5 crystals polarized under various polarization currents for 15 min. The light intensity per beam is 320, 400, 400 and 3000 mW/cm² for 351, 488, 532 and 671 nm lasers, respectively [88].

Tian et al. [88] grew molybdenum doped lithium niobate (LN:Mo) crystals under different polarization conditions and investigated their holographic properties. The XPS measurement identified the valence of the Mo ions in LiNbO₃ as 4+, 5+ and 6+. X-ray single crystal diffraction analysis showed Mo⁶⁺ ions push regular Nb⁵⁺ to Li sites, forming new defects of MoNb⁺ and a larger amount of anti-site Nb⁵⁺. That is in contrast to current dopants; hexavalent molybdenum prefers niobium sites. As shown in Figure 7, holographic storage becomes possible from the ultraviolet to the visible spectrum with considerably lower response time. The response time of 0.5 mol% Mo doped LiNbO₃ especially can be shortened to as small as 0.35 s with a still high saturation diffraction efficiency of about 60% at
351 nm. These features can be attributed to Mo$^{6+}$ ions occupying regular Nb sites. The novel defect cannot be created by other dopants currently in use. LN:Mo is thus a promising candidate for all-color holographic storage applications.

6. Summary

The recent developments in the photorefraction of doped lithium niobate crystals are reviewed, especially the photorefractive performance of LN:Hf, LN:Zr, LN:Sn; LN:Hf,Fe, LN:Zr,Fe, LN:Zr,Fe,Mn, LN:Zr,Cu,Ce, LN:Ru, LN:V and LN:Mo. Among them, LN:Zr,Fe,Mn shows excellent nonvolatile holographic storage properties, and LN:V and LN:Mo have fast response speed and multi-wavelength storage characteristics. Has the research of dopants in LiNbO$_3$ reached its plateau? Of course not. Just watch the bustling activities in the past several years. And, many aspects of these high valence ions doped LiNbO$_3$ are still not clear. Dopants in LiNbO$_3$ will continue to attract scientific and technical interest. The properties will have to be understood so that the dopants can either be eliminated or put to good use.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Chinese National Key Basic Research Special Fund (No. 2011CB922003), International S&T cooperation program of China (2011DFA52870), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (65030091 and 65010961). The authors are greatly appreciative of the referees for their valuable comments and advice.

References

1. Psaltis, D.; Mok, F. Holographic memories. *Sci. Am.* 1995, 273, 70–76.
2. Haw, M. The light fantastic. *Nature* 2003, 422, 556–558.
3. Dhar, L.; Curtis, K.; Fäcke, T. Holographic data storage: Coming of age. *Nature Photonics* 2008, 2, 403–405.
4. Hellemans, A. Holograms can store terabytes, but where? *Science* 1999, 286, 1502–1504.
5. Askin, A.; Boyd, G.D.; Dziedzic, J.M.; Smith, R.G.; Ballman, A.A.; Levinstein, J.J.; Nassau, K. Optically-induced refractive index inhomogeneities in LiNbO$_3$ and LiTaO$_3$. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 1966, 9, 72–74.
6. Chen, F.S.; LaMacchia, J.T.; Fraser, D.B. Holographic storage in lithium niobate. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 1968, 13, 223–225.
7. Phillips, W.; Amodei, J.J.; Staebler, D.L. Optical and holographic storage properties of transition metal doped lithium niobate. *RCA Rev.* 1972, 33, 94–109.
8. McMillen, D.K.; Hudson, T.D.; Wagner, J.; Singleton, J. Holographic recording in specially doped lithium niobate crystals. *Opt. Express* 1998, 2, 491–502.
9. Amodei, J.J.; Staebler, D.L. Holographic pattern fixing in electro-optic crystals. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 1971, 18, 540–542.
10. Micheron, F.; Bismuth, G. Electrical control of fixation and erasure of holographic patterns in ferroelectric materials. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 1972, 20, 79–81.
11. Heanue, J.F.; Bashaw, M.C.; Daiber, A.J.; Snyder, R.; Hesselink, L. Digital holographic storage system incorporating thermal fixing in lithium niobate. *Opt. Lett.* **1996**, *21*, 1615–1617.

12. Lande, D.; Orlov, S.S.; Akella, A.; Hesselink, L.; Neurgaonkar, R.R. Digital holographic storage system incorporating optical fixing. *Opt. Lett.* **1997**, *22*, 1722–1724.

13. Buse, K.; Adibi, A.; Psaltis, D. Non-volatile holographic storage in doubly doped lithium niobate crystals. *Nature* **1998**, *393*, 665–668.

14. Liu, Y.; Liu, L.; Zhou, C.; Xu, L. Nonvolatile photorefractive holograms in LiNbO$_3$:Cu:Ce crystals. *Opt. Lett.* **2000**, *25*, 908–910.

15. Vitova T.; Hormes, J.; Peithmann, K.; Woike, T. X-ray absorption spectroscopy study of valence and site occupation of copper in LiNbO$_3$:Cu. *Phys. Rev. B* **2008**, *77*, 144103:1–144103:10.

16. Darwish, A.; Aggarwal, M.D.; Mortis, J.; Choi, J.; Wang, J.C.; Venkateswarlu, P.; Willimas, A.; Banerjee, P.P.; McMillen, D.; Hudson, T.D. Investigations of the charge transfer and the photosensitivity in single and double doped LiNbO$_3$ single crystals: an optical-electron paramagnetic resonance study: I. *Proc. SPIE* **1997**, *3137*, 63–74.

17. Li, X.; Kong, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, L.; Liu, F.; Liu, H.; An, Y.; Chen, S.; Xu, J. Nonvolatile holographic storage of near-stoichiometric LiNbO$_3$:Cu:Ce with green light. *Appl. Opt.* **2007**, *46*, 7620–7624.

18. Liu, H.; Xie, X.; Kong, Y.; Yan, W.; Li, X.; Shi, L.; Xu, J.; Zhang, G. Photorefractive properties of near-stoichiometric lithium niobate crystals doped with iron. *Opt. Mater.* **2006**, *28*, 212–215.

19. Zhong, G.; Jin, J.; Wu, Z. Measurement of optically induced refractive index damage in lithium niobate doped with different concentrations of MgO. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **1980**, *70*, 631.

20. Volk, T.R.; Pryalkin, V.J.; Rubinina, M.M. Optical-damage-resistant LiNbO$_3$:Zn crystal. *Opt. Lett.* **1990**, *15*, 996–998.

21. Yamamoto, J.K.; Kitamura, K.; Iyi, N.; Kimura, S.; Furukawa, Y.; Sato, M. Increased optical damage resistance in Sc$_2$O$_3$ doped LiNbO$_3$. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1992**, *61*, 2156–2158.

22. Kong, Y.; Wen, J.; Wang, H. New doped lithium niobate crystal with high resistance to photorefraction – LiNbO$_3$:In. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1995**, *66*, 280–281.

23. Zhang, G.; Xu, J.; Liu, S.; Sun, Q.; Zhang, G.; Fang, Q.; Ma, C. Study of resistance against photorefractive light-induced scattering in LiNbO$_3$:Fe,Mg crystals. *Proc. SPIE* **1995**, *2529*, 14–17.

24. Chen, S.; Liu, H.; Kong, Y.; Huang, Z.; Xu, J. The high photorefractive sensitivity and fast response time of near-stoichiometric and MgO doped LiNbO$_3$:Fe crystal. *Crys. Res. Technol.* **2006**, *41*, 790–794.

25. Günter, P., Huignard, J.P., Eds. *Photorefractive Materials and Their Applications 2: Materials*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2007; pp. 83–204.

26. Volk, T.; Wohlecke, M. *Lithium Niobate: Defects, Photorefraction and Ferroelectric Switching*; Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, 2008.

27. Kösters, M.; Sturman, B.; Werheit, P.; Haertle, D.; Buse, K. Optical cleaning of congruent lithium niobate crystals. *Nature Photonics* **2009**, *3*, 510–513.

28. Kokanyan, E.P.; Babajanyan, V.G.; Demirkhanyan, G.G.; Gruber, J.B.; Erdei, S. Periodically poled structures in doped lithium niobate crystals. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2002**, *92*, 1544–1547.
29. Kokanyan, E.P.; Razzari, L.; Cristiani, I.; Degiorgio, V.; Gruber, J.B. Reduced photorefraction in hafnium-doped single-domain and periodically poled lithium niobate crystals. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2004, 84, 1880–1882.

30. Razzari, L.; Minzioni, P.; Cristiani, I.; Degiorgio, V.; Kokanyan, E.P. Photorefractivity of Hafnium-doped congruent lithium–niobate crystals. *Appl. Phy. Lett.* 2005, 86, 131914:1–131914:3.

31. Li, S.; Liu, S.; Kong, Y.; Deng, D.; Gao, G.; Li, Y.; Gao, H.; Zhang, L.; Hang, Z.; Chen, S.; Xu, J. The optical damage resistance and absorption spectra of LiNbO3:Hf crystals. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 2006, 18, 3527–3534.

32. Minzioni, P.; Cristiani, I.; Degiorgio, V.; Kokanyan, E.P. Strongly sublinear growth of the photorefractive effect for increasing pump intensities in doped lithium-niobate crystals. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2007, 101, 116105:1–116105:3.

33. Minzioni, P.; Cristiani, I.; Yu, J.; Parravicini, J.; Kokanyan, E.P.; Degiorgio, V. Linear and nonlinear optical properties of Hafnium-doped lithium- niobate crystals. *Opt. Express* 2007, 15, 14171–14176.

34. Hammoum, R.; Fontana, M.D.; Gilliot, M.; Bours on, P.; Kokanyan, E.P. Site spectroscopy of Hf doping in Hf-doped crystals. *Solid State Commun.* 2009, 149, 1967–1970.

35. Galinetto, P.; Rossella, F.; Cristiani, I.; Minzioni, P.; Degiorgio, V.; Kokanyan, E.P. Structural and optical properties of hafnium-doped lithium- niobate crystals. *Phys. Status Solidi C* 2007, 4, 1372–1375.

36. Abarkan, M.; Aillerie, M.; Salvestrini, J. P.; Fontana, M.D.; Kokanyan, E.P. Electro-optic and dielectric properties of Hafnium-doped congruent lithium–niobate crystals. *Appl. Phys. B* 2008, 92, 603–608.

37. Rossella, F.; Grando, D.; Galinetto, P.; Degiorgio, V.; Kokanyan, E. Photoconductive and electro-optical properties of Hf doped lithium niobate crystals. *Ferroelectrics* 2007, 352, 143–147.

38. Kong, Y.; Liu, S.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, H.; Chen, S.; Xu, J. Highly optical damage resistant crystal: Zirconium-oxide-doped lithium niobate. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2007, 91, 081908:1–081908:3.

39. Sun, L.; Guo, F.; Lv, Q.; Yu H.; Li, H.; Cai, W.; Xu, Y.; Zhao, L. Increased optical damage resistance of Zr:LiNbO3 crystals. *Cryst. Res. Technol.* 2007, 42, 1117–1122.

40. Argiolas, N.; Bazzan, M.; Ciampolillo, M.V.; Pozzobon, P.; Sada, C.; Saoner, L.; Zaltron, A.M.; Bacci, L.; Minzioni, P.; Nava, G.; Parravicini, J.; Yan, W.; Cristiani, I.; Degiorgio, V. Structural and optical properties of zirconium doped lithium niobate crystals. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2010, 108, 093508:1–093508:5.

41. Liu, H.; Liang, Q.; Zhu, M.; Li, W.; Liu, S.; Zhang, L.; Chen, S.; Kong, Y.; Xu, J. An excellent crystal for high resistance against optical damage in visible-UV range: Near-stoichiometric zirconium-doped lithium niobate. *Opt. Express* 2011, 19, 1743–1748.

42. Nava, G.; Minzioni, P.; Yan, W.; Parravicini, J.; Grando, D.; Musso, E.; Cristiani, I.; Argiolas, N.; Bazzan, M.; Ciampolillo, M.V.; Zaltron, A.; Sada, C.; Degiorgio, V. Zirconium-doped lithium niobate: Photorefractive and electro-optical properties as a function of dopant concentration. *Opt. Mater. Express* 2011, 1, 270–277.

43. Wang, L.; Liu, S.; Kong, Y.; Chen, S.; Huang, Z.; Wu, L.; Rupp, R.; Xu, J. Increased optical damage resistance in Tin doped lithium niobate. *Opt. Lett.* 2010, 35, 883–885.
44. Jungen, R.; Angelow, G.; Laeri, F.; Grabmaier, C. Efficient ultraviolet photorefraction in LiNbO₃. *Appl. Phys. A* **1992**, *55*, 101–103.

45. Laeri, F.; Jungen, R. Angelow, G.; Vietze, U.; Engel, T.; Würtz M.; Hilgenberg, D. Photorefraction in the ultraviolet: Materials and effects. *Appl. Phys. B* **1995**, *61*, 351–360.

46. Xu, J.; Zhang, G.; Li, F.; Zhang, X.; Sun, Q.; Liu, S.; Song, F.; Kong, Y.; Chen, X.; Qiao, H.; Yao, J.; Zhao, L. Enhancement of ultraviolet photorefraction in highly magnesium-doped lithium niobate crystals. *Opt. Lett.* **2000**, *25*, 129–131.

47. Qiao, H.; Xu, J.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, X.; Sun, Q.; Zhang, G. Ultraviolet photorefractivity features in doped lithium niobate crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* **2004**, *70*, 094101:1–094101:11.

48. Vikhnin, V.; Eglits, R.; Kapphan, S.; Borstel, G.; Kotomin, E. Polaronic-type excitons in ferroelectric oxides: Microscopic calculations and experimental manifestation. *Phys. Rev. B* **2002**, *65*, 104304:1–104304:11.

49. Lerner, P.; Legras, C.; Dumas, J.P. Stoechiometrie des monocristaux de metaniobate de lithium. *J. Cryst. Growth* **1968**, *3–4*, 231–235.

50. Schirmer, O.F.; von der Linde, D. Two-photon- and X-ray-induced Nb⁴⁺ and O⁻ small polarons in LiNbO₃. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1978**, *33*, 35–38.

51. Harhira, A.; Guilbert, L.; Bourson, P.; Rinnert, H. Polaron luminescence in iron-doped lithium niobate. *Appl. Phys. B* **2008**, *92*, 555–561.

52. Kostritskii, S.M.; Aillerie, M.; Margueron, S.; Bourson, P. Two-photon luminescence of small polarons in reduced LiNbO₃ crystals. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2010**, *15*, doi:10.1088/1757-899X/15/1/012057.

53. Kostritskii, S.M.; Sevostyanov, O.G.; Bourson, P.; Aillerie, M.; Fontana, M.D.; Kip, D. Comparative study of composition dependences of photorefractive and related effects in LiNbO₃ and LiTaO₃ crystals. *Ferroelectrics* **2007**, *352*, 61–71.

54. Hesselink, L.; Orlov, S.; Liu, A.; Akella, A.; Lande, D.; Neurgaonkar, R. Photorefractive materials for nonvolatile volume holographic data storage. *Science* **1998**, *282*, 1089–1094.

55. Liu, F.; Kong, Y.; Li, W.; Liu, H.; Liu, S.; Chen, S.; Zhang, X.; Rupp, R.; Xu, J. High resistance against ultraviolet photorefraction in zirconium-doped lithium niobate crystals. *Opt. Lett.* **2010**, *35*, 10–12.

56. Yan, W.; Shi, L.; Chen, H.; Zhang, X.; Kong, Y. Investigations on the UV photorefactivity of LiNbO₃:HF. *Opt. Lett.* **2010**, *35*, 601–603.

57. Xin, F.; Zhang, G.; Ge, X.; Liu, S.; Xuan, L.; Kong, Y.; Xu, J. Ultraviolet band edge photorefractivity in LiNbO₃:Sn crystals. *Opt. Lett.* **2011**, *36*, 3163–3165.

58. Xin, F.; Zhang, G.; Bo, F.; Sun, H.; Kong, Y.; Xu, J.; Volk, T.; Rubinina, N.M. Ultraviolet photorefraction at 325 nm in doped lithium niobate crystals. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2010**, *107*, 033113:1–033113:7.

59. Li, S.; Liu, S.; Kong, Y.; Xu, J.; Zhang, G. Enhanced photorefractive properties of LiNbO₃:Fe crystals by HfO₂ co-doping. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *89*, 101126:1–101126:3.

60. Dai, L.; Wu, S.; Guo, J.; Xu, C.; Su, Y.; Xu, Y. Effect of Li/Nb ratio on growth and spectrometric characterization of Hf:Fe:LiNbO₃ crystals. *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **2009**, *23*, 1557–1565.

61. Shi, H.; Sun, X.; Luo, S.; Jiang, Y.; Meng, Q. Defect structure and optical damage resistance of Hf:Fe:LiNbO₃ crystals. *Opt. Laser Technol.* **2010**, *42*, 1118–1121.
62. Shi, H.; Ren, C.; Luo, S.; Meng, Q.; Sun, X. Optical damage resistance of Hf:Fe:LiNbO₃ crystals with various [Li]/[Nb] ratios. Cryst. Res. Technol. 2011, 46, 931–934.
63. Liu, J.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, G. Microscopic mechanism of suppressing photorefraction in LiNbO₃: Mg, Fe crystals. Solid State Commun. 1996, 98, 523–526.
64. Zhang, G.; Kong, Y.; Xu, J. Origin of the Enhancement of Resistance against Optical Damage in Mg-Doped nearly Stoichiometric Lithium Niobate Crystals. In Photorefractive Effects, Materials, and Devices; Salamo, G., Siahmakoun, A., Eds.; OSA Trends in Optics and Photonics: Delavan, WI, USA, 2001; Volume 62, pp. 166–170.
65. Sun, X.; Shi, H.; Luo, S.; Meng, Q.; Jiang, Y. Improvement of photorefractive properties in Hf:Fe:LiNbO₃ crystals with various [Li]/[Nb] ratios. Chinese Phys. B 2010, 19, doi:10.1088/1674-1056/19/9/094209
66. Liu, B.; Li, C.; Bi, J.; Sun, L.; Xu, Y. Photorefractive features of non-stoichiometry codoped Hf:Fe:LiNbO₃ single crystals. Cryst. Res. Technol. 2008, 43, 260–265.
67. Zhou, W.; Wang, B.; Yuan, W.; Ling, F.; Ma, D.; Nie, Y. Photorefractive properties of double-doped Hf:Ce:LiNbO₃ crystals. Microwave Opt. Technol. Lett. 2008, 50, 1693–1695.
68. Kong, Y.; Wu, S.; Liu, S.; Chen, S.; Xu, J. Fast photorefractive response and high sensitivity of Zr and Fe codoped LiNbO₃ crystals. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2008, 92, 251107:1–251107:3.
69. Fan, Y.; Xu, C.; Xia, S.; Guan, C.; Cao, L.; He, Q.; Jin, G. Growth and spectroscopic characterization of Zr:Fe:LiNbO₃ crystals with various Li/Nb ratios. J Cryst. Growth 2010, 312, 1875–1878.
70. Fan, Y.; Yu, Z.; Li, W.; Xu, C.; Fu, K. Enhancement of blue holographic properties in Zr⁴⁺-doped near stoichiometric Fe:LiNbO₃ crystals. Opt. Laser Technol. 2012, 44, 362–365.
71. Luo, S.; Wang, J.; Shi, H.; Sun, X. Photorefractive and optical scattering properties of Zr:Fe:LiNbO₃ crystals. Opt. Laser Technol. 2012, 44, 2245–2248.
72. Kong, Y.; Liu, F.; Tian, T.; Liu, S.; Chen, S.; Rupp, R.; Xu, J. Fast responsive nonvolatile holographic storage in LiNbO₃ triply doped with Zr, Fe, and Mn. Opt. Lett. 2009, 34, 3896–3898.
73. Xu, C.; Xu, L.; Leng, X.; Xu, Y.; Yang, C. Defect structure and light-induced scattering of Zr-doped near-stoichiometric Mn:Fe:LiNbO₃ crystals grown by TSSG method. Cryst. Res. Technol. 2012, 47, 19–24.
74. Dong, Q.; Liu, L.; Zhu, C. Influence of Li and Nb ratios on the defect structure and exposure energy in LiNbO₃:Fe:Mn:Zr crystals. J. Alloys Compounds 2011, 509, 4167–4170.
75. Xu, C.; Yang, C.; Mo, Y.; Wang, Y.; Sun, L.; Cao, L.; Xu, Y. Improved blue photorefractive properties of near-stoichiometric LiNbO₃:Mn:Fe:Zr crystal. Cryst. Res. Technol. 2010, 45, 1123–1126.
76. Zhou, Z.; Wang, B.; Lin, S.; Li, Y.; Wang, K. Defect structure and nonvolatile hologram storage properties in Hf:Fe:Mn:LiNbO₃ crystals. Optik 2011, 122, 1179–1182.
77. Liu, Y.; Liu, L.; Xu, L.; Zhou, C. Experimental study of non-volatile holographic storage in doubly- and triply-doped lithium niobate crystals. Opt. Commun. 2000, 181, 47–52.
78. Liu, F.; Kong, Y.; Ge, X.; Liu, H.; Liu, S.; Chen, S.; Rupp, R.; Xu, J. Improved sensitivity of nonvolatile holographic storage in triply doped LiNbO₃: Zr, Cu, Ce. Opt. Express 2010, 18, 6333–6339.
79. Dong, Q.; Liu, L.; Liu, D.; Dai, C.; Ren, L. Effect of dopant composition ratio on nonvolatile holographic recording in LiNbO₃:Cu:Ce crystals. Appl. Opt. 2004, 43, 5016–5022.
80. Xu, Z.; Xu, C.; Leng, X.; Ben, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Xu, Y. Growth and nonvolatile holographic storage properties of Hf:Ce:Cu:LiNbO$_3$ crystals. J. Cryst. Growth 2011, 318, 661–664.

81. Fujimura, R.; Shimura, T.; Kuroda, K. Nonvolatile Holographic Recording in Ru Doped LiNbO$_3$ Crystals. In Photorefractive Effects, Materials, and Devices; Delaye, P., Denz, C., Mager, L., Montemezzani, G., Eds.; OSA Trends in Optics and Photonics: La Colle sur Loup, France, 2003; Volume 87, p. 660.

82. Chiang, C.-H.; Chen, J.-C. Growth and properties of Ru-doped lithium niobate crystal, J. Cryst. Growth 2006, 294, 323–329.

83. Chiang, C.-H.; Chen, J.-C.; Lee, Y.-C.; Lin, C.-H.; Chang J.-Y. Photorefractive properties of Ru doped lithium niobate crystal. Opt. Mater. 2008, 31, 812–816.

84. Fujimura, R.; Shimura, T.; Kuroda, K. Two-color nonvolatile holographic recording and light-induced absorption in Ru and Fe codoped LiNbO$_3$ crystals. Opt. Mater. 2009, 31, 1194–1199.

85. Xu, C.; Yang, C.; Zhu, C.; Sun, T.; Wang, R.; Xu, Y. Improved nonvolatile holographic storage properties in Zr:Ru:Fe:LiNbO$_3$ crystal by blue light recording. Mater. Lett. 2012, 67, 320–322.

86. Dong, Y.; Liu, S.; Li, W.; Kong, Y.; Chen, S.; Xu, J. Improved ultraviolet photorefractive properties of vanadium-doped lithium niobate crystals. Opt. Lett. 2011, 36, 1779–1781.

87. Dong, Y.; Liu, S.; Kong, Y.; Chen, S.; Rupp, R.; Xu, J. Fast photorefractive response of vanadium-doped lithium niobate in the visible region. Opt. Lett. 2012, 37, 1841–1843.

88. Tian, T.; Kong, Y.; Liu, S.; Li, W.; Wu, L.; Chen, S.; Xu, J. The photorefraction of molybdenum-doped lithium niobate crystals. Opt. Lett. 2012, 37, 2679–2681.

© 2012 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).