The prognosis of different types of pleural tags based on radiologic-pathologic comparison
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Abstract

Objectives: There are increasing numbers of studies of pleural tags (PTs). The purpose of this case series was to classify the PTs in patients with peripheral pulmonary adenocarcinoma based on radiologic-pathologic comparison and to study the prognosis.

Methods: The clinical, imaging, pathological and prognostic data of 161 patients with peripheral pulmonary adenocarcinoma in three hospitals were analyzed retrospectively. We classified PTs using computed tomography (CT) for pathologic comparison.

Results: The CT features were classified into non-interlobular PTs (type 1, one or more linear non-interlobular PT; type 2, one or more linear non-interlobular PT with soft tissue component at the pleural end; type 3, one soft tissue cord-like non-interlobular PT; type 4, directly abutting the non-interlobular pleura) and interlobular PTs (type 1, pulling the interlobular pleura to the homolateral side; type 2, one or more linear interlobular PT; and type 3, pushing the interlobular pleura to the contralateral side). In these PTs, the incidence of visceral pleural invasion (VPI) was high in type 2 (46.88%) and type 3 (56.41%) of non-interlobular PTs. Our prognostic analysis showed that micropapillary or solid histological subtype (HR = 5.766, 95% CI: 1.435-23.159, P = 0.014) and type 3 of non-interlobular PTs (HR = 11.058, 95% CI: 1.349-90.623, P = 0.025) were two independent risk factors for tumor progression.

Conclusions: PT is a risk factor for poor prognosis of patients, the presence of which on CT images can remind us to provide patients with a more reasonable treatment.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the primary cause of cancer-related mortality in most developed countries, with 5-year relative survival rates of 18% at present [1–3]. Currently, although surgical resection is the treatment of first choice for early stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), most patients have either locally advanced or metastatic disease, and only 20–30% of patients have potentially operable early stage disease [4]. The tumor node metastasis (TNM) staging influences the diagnosis, treatment plan and prognosis for this malignancy[1]. Visceral pleural invasion (VPI) is a significant stage descriptor in the eighth edition of the TNM staging system. Mayumi et al. [5] reported that the five-year survival rates decreased from 86% (for patients without VPI) to 62–70% (for patients with VPI). VPI is defined as the tumor that extends to the elastic layer of visceral pleura but not beyond the surface of visceral pleura [6, 7]. For parietal pleural invasion, extrapleural dissection with en-bloc resection were recommended [8], and lobectomy rather than segmentectomy was recommended in patients with VPI [9]. Therefore, accurate diagnosis of VPI is important preoperatively.

Computed tomography (CT) is currently the imaging method of first choice in the staging of lung cancer. The diagnosis of VPI on CT mainly depends on the contact of the tumor with the chest wall, mediastinum or interlobar fissure. However, simple contact of tumor and chest wall, mediastinum or interlobar fissure does not necessarily mean invasion. Pleural tags (PTs) refer to one or more linear strands extending from
the nodule surface to the pleural surface due to thickening of interlobular septa of the lung. PTs on CT images may help us improve the accuracy of early diagnosis of VPI [10]. The purpose of this case series was to classify the PTs in patients with peripheral pulmonary adenocarcinoma based on radiologic-pathologic comparison and to study the prognosis.

Materials And Methods

Patients

With approval from our institutional review board, our study was performed with exemption of informed consent. We retrospectively collected and analyzed the clinical, CT, and pathologic data in 161 patients with peripheral pulmonary adenocarcinoma. They were treated at one of three hospitals (the General Hospital of the People's Liberation Army, Affiliated Beijing Shijitan Hospital of Capital Medical University and Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University) between 2013 and 2019. Imaging data were retrieved from the picture archiving and communication system (PACS). Tumors were characterized as peripheral pulmonary adenocarcinoma, and the diagnosis was confirmed by postoperative histopathologic examination. Sex, age, pleural invasion, the largest diameter of the tumor, pathological type, histological subtype and pathologic T stage (pT stage) of 161 patients were recorded. Tumor stage was determined according to the 2017 Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) TNM Staging (8th edition).

In addition to typical PTs on CT, only patients without other malignancies and without any postoperative adjuvant therapy were included in this study.

Ct Analysis

All patients included in this study received non-contrast CT of the chest performed by a GE LightSpeed 16-Slice CT scanner (GE Healthcare, Beijing, China) or a Siemens SOMATOM Sensation 64-Slice CT Scanner (Siemens, Forchheim, Germany). The CT parameters were as follows: routine section thickness, 1.0, 1.25, or 1.5 mm; section thickness after reconstruction, 0.625–1.25 mm; filtered back projection reconstruction method; 80–120 kV; 200–280 mAs; and a B70f kernel. We used the last CT studies in the lung just before histopathologic diagnosis as the CT observation.

A thoracic radiologist with 26 years of experience in cardiopulmonary imaging and a medical student with 2 years of experience in pulmonary imaging diagnosis consistently examined the CT images of each institution by using a PACS (AGFA Healthcare, Mortsel, Belgium) (lung window width, 1500 HU; level, -500 HU) and labeled the CT images including PTs. PTs were recorded as the radiological parameter for each patient.

Pathological Analysis
All existing histopathologic slides were reviewed by one senior pathologist with 12 years of experience with pathologic diagnosis of the lung and a Master of Pathology with 3 years of experience with pathologic diagnosis.

Statistical Analysis

We used telephone follow-up, but 29 patients were lost to follow-up immediately after surgery among all the 161 patients. Data were collected and entered by using Microsoft Excel and were analyzed using SPSS, version 26.0 (IBM Statistics, Armonk, NY). Age and the largest diameter of the tumor were expressed as means ± standard deviations with ranges. Sex, diagnosis of VPI, pathologic type, histological subtype, pT stage and CT features are expressed as frequencies and percentages. Progression-free survival (PFS) was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and differences in survival rates were determined by log-rank test. Variables with p value < 0.15 were included in the Cox-proportional hazards model for multivariable survival analysis to evaluate independent risk factors affecting the prognosis of patients. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical Characteristics

Figure 1 shows the patient inclusion flowchart. A total of 161 patients (mean age, 59.67 years ± 10.44; age range, 27–84 years), with peripheral pulmonary adenocarcinoma were included (Table 1). Sixty-two (38.50%) of the 161 patients were men (mean age, 60.73 years ± 10.00; age range, 33–84 years), and 99 (61.50%) were women (mean age, 59.01 years ± 10.71; age range, 27–84 years). Seventy-five patients (46.58%) of the 161 patients were diagnosed with VPI and eighty-six patients (53.42%) were diagnosed without VPI. The largest diameter of the 161 patients’ tumor was 2.32 cm ± 1.33, and the diameter ranged from 0.5-11cm.
**Table 1**
Clinical Characteristics of Patients with peripheral pulmonary adenocarcinoma

| Characteristic                                      | No. of Patients | Datum                        |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Age of all 161 patients (y)                         | 161             | $59.67 \pm 10.44(27–84)$    |
| Male                                                | 60.73 \pm 10.00(33–84) |
| Female                                              | 59.01 \pm 10.71(27–84) |
| **Sex of all 161 patients**                        |                 |                              |
| Male                                                | 62              | 38.50%                       |
| Female                                              | 99              | 61.50%                       |
| **VPI**                                             |                 |                              |
| Yes                                                 | 75              | 46.58%                       |
| No                                                  | 86              | 53.42%                       |
| **Largest diameter of 161 patients’ tumor**         |                 |                              |
|                                                      | 161             | $2.32 \pm 1.33(0.5–11)$     |
| Pathological type of 161 patients                   |                 |                              |
| MIA                                                 | 3               | 1.86%                        |
| INMA                                                | 153             | 95.03%                       |
| IMA                                                 | 5               | 3.11%                        |
| **Histological subtype of 161 Patients**            |                 |                              |
| LPA                                                 | 29              | 18.01%                       |
| APA                                                 | 78              | 48.45%                       |
| MPA or SPA                                          | 14              | 8.70%                        |
| PPA                                                 | 16              | 9.94%                        |
| Not available                                       | 24              | 14.90%                       |
| **pT stage**                                        |                 |                              |
| T1                                                  | 76              | 47.21%                       |
| T2                                                  | 81              | 50.31%                       |
| T3 ~ T4                                             | 4               | 2.48%                        |
Three patients (1.86%) of the 161 patients were diagnosed with minimally invasive adenocarcinoma (MIA) in the pathology system, one hundred and fifty-three patients (95.03%) were diagnosed with invasive non-mucinous adenocarcinoma (INMA) and five patients (3.11%) were diagnosed with invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma (IMA). Twenty-nine patients (18.01%) of the 161 patients were diagnosed with lepidic predominant adenocarcinoma (LPA) in the histology system, seventy-eight patients (48.45%) were diagnosed with acinar predominant adenocarcinoma (APA), sixteen patients (9.94%) were diagnosed with papillary predominant adenocarcinoma (PPA), fourteen patients (8.70%) were diagnosed with micropapillary predominant adenocarcinoma (MPA) or solid predominant adenocarcinoma (SPA) and for twenty-four patients (14.90%) data were not available.

Seventy-six patients (47.21%) were diagnosed with pT1 in the pT stage system, eighty-one patients (50.31%) were diagnosed with pT2, and four patients (2.48%) were diagnosed with pT3 or pT4.

**Ct Characteristics**

Figure 2 shows the CT imaging features of the peripheral pulmonary adenocarcinoma determined in consensus. The CT features were classified into two forms (non-interlobular PTs and interlobular PTs). Non-interlobular PTs were classified into four types (type 1, one or more linear non-interlobular PT; type 2, one or more linear non-interlobular PT with soft tissue component at the pleural end; type 3, one soft tissue cord-like non-interlobular PT; type 4, directly abutting the non-interlobular pleura). Interlobular PTs were classified into three types (type 1, pulling the interlobular pleura to the homolateral side; type 2, one or more linear interlobular PT; and type 3, pushing the interlobular pleura to the contralateral side).

In the non-interlobular PTs, nineteen patients (11.80%; eight patients [42.11%] with pleural invasion proved by pathologic analysis) of the 161 patients had type 1 of PTs; thirty-two patients (19.88%; fifteen patients [46.88%] with pleural invasion) had type 2 of PTs; thirty-nine patients (24.22%; twenty-two patients [56.41%] with pleural invasion) had type 3 of PTs and forty-five patients (27.95%; nineteen patients [42.22%] with pleural invasion) had type 4 of PTs. In the interlobular PTs, nineteen patients (11.80%; eight patients [42.11%] with pleural invasion proved by pathologic analysis) of the 161 patients had type 1 of PTs; five patients (3.11%; one patient [20.00%] with pleural invasion) had type 2 of PTs and two patients (1.24%) with pleural invasion had type 3 of PTs (Table 2).
Table 2
CT Characteristics in Patients with Peripheral Pulmonary Adenocarcinoma

| CT Characteristics* | VPI n (%) | non-VPI n (%) | datum |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|-------|
| **Non-interlobular PTs** |           |               |       |
| Type 1              | 8 (42.11%) | 11 (57.89%)   | 11.80%|
| Type 2              | 15 (46.88%)| 17 (53.12%)   | 19.88%|
| Type 3              | 22 (56.41%)| 17 (43.59%)   | 24.22%|
| Type 4              | 19 (42.22%)| 26 (57.78%)   | 27.95%|
| **Interlobular PTs** |           |               |       |
| Type 1              | 8 (42.11%) | 11 (57.89%)   | 11.80%|
| Type 2              | 1 (20.00%) | 4 (80.00%)    | 3.11% |
| Type 3              | 2 (100.00%)| 0 (0.00%)     | 1.24% |

Note. — Data are the number of patients or percentages. Data in parentheses are percentages. PTs = pleural tags, * Based on evaluation of the last chest CT study performed before histopathologic diagnosis.

Pathology

There were 161 patients with a definitive histopathologic diagnosis. Pathologic findings confirmed that 75 (46.58%) of the 161 patients were diagnosed with VPI, and 86 patients (53.42%) were diagnosed without VPI.

After surgery, we correlated the imaging findings with the pathologic findings. According to the characteristics of the CT images, under the ×20 magnification of hematoxylin-eosin staining, the linear pleural tags such as type 1 of non-interlobular PTs and type 2 of interlobular PTs were formed by the contraction of reactive proliferative fibrous tissue in the tumor. This was done by pulling the pleura to make it parallel, concave, and close to each other or the fibrous hyperplasia, and the thickening of the interlobular septae, along which carcinoma cells or inflammatory cells infiltrated. Type 2 of non-interlobular PTs included changes in linear PTs and terminal triangular pleural indentation. Type 3 of non-interlobular PTs were caused by the proliferative fibrous tissue in the tumor, which contracted and pulled pleura to form a V-shape shadow or caused compressive atelectasis to form a cord-like soft tissue shadow. Type 4 of non-interlobular PTs, types 1 and 3 of interlobular PTs showed tumor tissue attached to normal or thickened visceral pleura (Fig. 3).

In patients pathologically diagnosed with VPI, tumor cells were observed to penetrate the elastic fibrous boundary of the pleura and infiltrate into the pleura under the 20x microscopic scale of Elastica van
Gieson staining. In patients diagnosed without VPI, the tumor cells did not break through the elastic layer of the pleura (Fig. 3).

**Prognosis**

Patients in this study were followed up from 2 months to 77 months after surgery. Among the 132 patients included, 50 were males and 82 were females, aged 27–84 years, with a median age of 60 years. There were 32 patients with tumor progression (19 with new malignant nodules or distant metastasis, 13 died of lung cancer). Continuous variables, including age and tumor diameter, were transformed into categorical variables. Based on our prognosis data and pathological results, the type 1 of non-interlobular PTs and type 2 of interlobular PTs were combined into a group of type 1, the type 4 of non-interlobular PTs and type 1 and 3 of interlobular PTs were combined into a group of type 4, to increase the accuracy for PFS analysis.

The results of the univariate analysis affecting tumor progression are shown in Table 3. The univariate and multivariable survival analysis curves were shown in Fig. 4. Univariate analysis showed that tumor size, histological subtype and PTs (type) were significantly associated with prognosis. Cox-proportional hazards model was further used to analyze the prognostic factors. The variables with p value < 0.15, such as sex, tumor size, VPI, PTs and histological subtype, were included in the analysis to exclude the mutual influence of each factor on the prognosis in univariate analysis. The Cox regression survival curve showed that micropapillary or solid histological subtype (HR = 5.766, 95% CI: 1.435–23.159, P = 0.014) and type 3 of non-interlobular PTs (HR = 11.058, 95% CI: 1.349–90.623, P = 0.025) were two independent risk factors for tumor progression.
| Variables                        | Progression(n=32) | Progression-free(n=100) | P     |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Age(year)                       |                   |                         |       |
| ≤60                             | 14                | 56                      | 0.308 |
| ≥60                             | 18                | 44                      |       |
| Sex                             |                   |                         |       |
| Male                            | 18                | 32                      | 0.076 |
| Female                          | 14                | 68                      |       |
| VPI                             |                   |                         |       |
| Yes                             | 24                | 39                      | 0.127 |
| No                              | 8                 | 61                      |       |
| Largest diameter (cm)           |                   |                         |       |
| ≤3                              | 24                | 92                      | 0.019 |
| ≥3                              | 8                 | 8                       |       |
| Pathological type               |                   |                         |       |
| MIA                             | 1                 | 2                       | 0.937 |
| INMA                            | 30                | 94                      |       |
| IMA                             | 1                 | 4                       |       |
| Histological subtype            |                   |                         |       |
| LPA                             | 4                 | 19                      | 0.036 |
| APA                             | 12                | 54                      |       |
| MPA or SPA                      | 5                 | 6                       |       |
| PPA                             | 2                 | 13                      |       |
| Not available                   | 9                 | 8                       |       |
| pT stage                        |                   |                         |       |
| T1                              | 6                 | 55                      | 0.222 |
| T2                              | 25                | 44                      |       |
| T3~T4                           | 1                 | 1                       | 0.016 |
| Type                            |                   |                         |       |
Note. — Type: different type of pleural tags

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 16 |
| 2 | 5 | 19 |
| 3 | 16 | 19 |
| 4 | 10 | 46 |

**Discussion**

In our study, PTs were classified into two forms and seven types (Fig. 5). Yang et al. categorized PTs into nine types and found that type 3 and type 4 of non-interlobular PTs and type 1 and type 3 of interlobular PTs may be indicators for VPI [11]. Our study found that the incidence of VPI was high in type 2 (46.88%) and type 3 (56.41%) of the non-interlobular PTs, while the ratio of VPI in type 3 of interlobular PTs was 2/2. According to our study, type 4 of non-interlobular PT often suggests that there is less possibility of VPI pathologically than type 2 and type 3. Excluding severe pleural adhesion due to inflammatory diseases, chest CT combined with artificial pneumothorax is useful for the evaluation of the extension of lung cancer into the chest wall [12]. This may help us diagnose VPI of type 4 of non-interlobular PTs.

VPI was a significant prognostic factor independently of tumor size, histology of tumor, lymph node status, age, sex, and smoking status [5]. In several previous reports, patients with tumors exhibiting pleural invasion had worse outcomes than those without pleural invasion [13–18]. Therefore, we included VPI (P = 0.127) into the multivariable analysis. Our prognostic results suggested that in addition to histological subtype which was an independent risk factor for poor prognosis, type 3 of non-interlobular PT was also an independent risk factor for tumor progression. This suggests to us that when this type of PT appears on CT, adjuvant therapy such as chemotherapy or targeted therapy should be used after surgery.

There were some limitations in our study. Although we chose patients from three hospitals, the sample size is relatively small, so patient selection bias is inevitable, and the follow-up time is short, which may affect our results. Larger sample studies and longer follow-up are needed to validate the value of PTs in the prognosis.

At present, artificial intelligence assisted diagnosis technology has appeared, which has certain advantages in diagnosing the benign or malignant pulmonary nodules on CT and even the occurrence of VPI. However, the prognosis of different types of PTs is different. In the future, artificial intelligence combined with our prognosis study will provide help in the formulation of a comprehensive treatment plan.

**Conclusions**
In conclusion, despite the limitations mentioned above, PT remains a risk factor for poor prognosis of patients. The presence of PTs on CT images can remind us to provide patients with a more complete surgical resection, pay attention to the postoperative pathological results and provide more reasonable treatments for the next steps.
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Figures

Figure 1

Patient inclusion flowchart shows the number of patients, evaluation of the imaging studies and pathologic analysis.

Figure 2

The CT features were classified into non-interlobular pleural tags (A, B, C, D) and interlobular pleural tags (E, F, G). The first image in each group had the pleural tag with VPI confirmed pathologically, and the second had not. There was only one image in group G (type 3 of interlobular pleural tags with VPI).

Figure 3

Pleural tags and corresponding hematoxylin-eosin-stained or elastica van Gieson stained histologic findings. (Original magnification, ×20.) At the arrow: (a1), (c1), (d1) tumor cells infiltrated the pleura; (a2), (c2) tumor cells were localized under the subpleural; (b1) tumor cells were observed to penetrate the elastic fibrous boundary of the pleura and infiltrate into the pleura; (b2) tumor cells did not break through the elastic layer of the pleura. (d2) Although the tumor was attached to the pleura on CT, it was pathologically confirmed to be pleural thickening and no tumor cell infiltration.

Figure 4
Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival curves of variables with $P < 0.15$ and COX curves of multivariable analysis results.

**Figure 5**

Different types of pleural tags represented by pictograms (a-g, Fig.2 A-G). (a) type 1, one or more linear non-interlobular PT; (b) type 2, one or more linear non-interlobular PT with soft tissue component at the pleural end; (c1) type 3, one soft tissue cord-like non-interlobular PT; (c2) type 3, V-shape non-interlobular PT; (d) type 4, directly abutting the non-interlobular pleura; (e) type 1, pulling the interlobular pleura to the homolateral side; (f) type 2, one or more linear interlobular PT; (g) type 3, pushing the interlobular pleura to the contralateral side.