INTRODUCTION

A drug as defined by U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is “A substance recognized by official Pharmacopoeia or formulary, intended for use in diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment or prevention of disease, intended to affect the structure or function of the body and intended for use as a component of a medicine but not a device or a component, part, or accessory of a device”.1 A large number of drugs enter into the market every year, with the year 2017 recording the highest number of approvals in the last two decades with 46 approvals.2,3 The role of FDA is to ensure that safe and effective drugs enter the market which has made the approval process a tedious and costly affair, with an average of 12 years for a new drug approval and cost of development exceeding 1 billion dollars.1,4

Drug promotion is defined by the WHO as “all informational and persuasive activities by manufacturers and distributors, the effect of which is to influence the prescription, supply, purchase or use of medicinal drugs”.4 The stated aim of drug promotion is to make healthcare
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professionals aware and update their knowledge about the advances in patient care available in the market.1,4 Approximately 1 in every 1000 potential drug molecule reaches clinical trial after preclinical testing and 9 out of every 10 being tested in clinical trials fails to reach market.1 This phenomenon is almost universal as per data of regulatory bodies of most countries. This has generated stiff competition amongst pharmaceutical companies to generate profit out of the successfully approved drugs.1,4

Drug promotion is being carried out vigorously by the pharmaceutical industry at various levels either directly in the form of medical representatives liaising with prescribers, hosting of continuous medical education programmes, conferences or indirectly through print or electronic media.6,5 Promotional activities initially were directed towards clinicians, but of late a major focus is also directed towards ‘direct-to-customer’ advertisements.4 In an effort to regulate the promotional activities many national and international guidelines are being framed from time to time such as the WHO Ethical Guidelines, International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Associations (IFPMA) Code of Pharmaceutical Marketing Practices, Organization of pharmaceutical producers of India (OPPI) and Uniform code of Pharmaceutical marketing practices (UCMP).2,4,6

Although many guidelines exist the implementation of the same is the key which is the need of the hour. Hence, this study has been conducted to assess the correctness of drug promotional literature using the WHO criteria.

METHODS

The current study was undertaken as an observational study conducted by the Department of Pharmacology at a medical college in Delhi. Drug promotional literature like advertisements published in various medical journals were collected from the college library between January to March 2018. A total of 100 advertisements were randomly selected and were included in the study. Drug advertisements related to medical equipments and devices, Ayurvedic medicines, nutritional supplements, two brands of the same medicine and duplicate advertisements were excluded from the study.

All the advertisements included in the study were assessed using the WHO ethical criteria for drug promotion which requires the inclusion of the name of the active ingredients - either their international non-proprietary name or approved generic name, brand name, content of active ingredients per dosage form or regimen, other ingredients known to cause problems, approved therapeutic uses, the dosage form/ regimen, side effects and major adverse drug reactions, precautions, contraindications and warnings, major interactions, name and address of manufacturer/ distributor and references to scientific literature.6

The references will be further assessed based on their source as from a journal article (randomized controlled trials, observational studies, guidelines, research articles or review articles) or from a book and whether they are retrievable or not. Descriptive statistics were utilized to analyze the data and the results were expressed as percentages.

RESULTS

Out of 100 drug advertisements included in this study, 73 advertisements promoted single drug formulation and the rest 27 were for fixed dose combinations. The system/ drug group wise distribution of products being promoted were as follows: Antimicrobials (16%), vitamins and minerals (13%), drugs affecting gastrointestinal system (13%), central nervous system (12%), respiratory system (10%), vaccines (8%), autacoids and related drugs (7%), drugs affecting autonomic nervous system (6%), cardiovascular system (5%) and miscellaneous (10%) (Table 1).

Table 1: System/ drug wise distribution of advertisements.

| Drug Groups                              | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Drugs acting on autonomic nervous system | 6              |
| Autacoids and related drugs              | 7              |
| Drugs acting on Respiratory system       | 10             |
| Drugs affecting central nervous system   | 12             |
| Drugs acting on cardiovascular system    | 5              |
| Drugs acting on gastrointestinal tract   | 13             |
| Antimicrobials                           | 16             |
| Vitamins and minerals                    | 13             |
| Vaccines                                 | 8              |
| Miscellaneous                            | 10             |

The assessment of advertisements using WHO criteria is as mentioned in Table 2. All the selected advertisements mentioned brand names with most of them publishing the generic names as well (97%). 96% of the advertisements mentioned dosage forms whereas only 31% have brought out the regimen for administering the dose. Majority of advertisements (96%) have clearly brought out the therapeutic uses.

A higher percentage (92%) of the advertisements mentioned the name(s) of the active ingredient(s) whereas only 2% mentioned the other ingredients known to cause problems. The advertisements were poorly compliant in bringing out the precautions needed to be taken by the consumers with only 24% bringing out the precautions. The predictors of adverse drug reactions like side effects are brought out by only 22% of advertisements and contraindications by 22%. The other WHO to which advertisements were poorly compliant was warnings (19%) and major interactions (12%).

Out of 100 advertisements only 28% carried references to scientific literature. In these advertisements, a total of 73
references were quoted, amongst which 91.78% were from journal articles and 4.11% were from websites and books respectively (Figure 1). Out of these references 4 were non-retrievable: 3 from journal articles and 1 from a textbook. Furthermore, references from journal articles were classified as per study design such as randomized controlled trials (37.31%), observational studies (16.41%), guidelines (4.48%), research articles (4.48%) and review articles (32.83%). (Figure 2).

Table 2: Analysis of drug advertisements using WHO criteria.

| WHO criteria                                | Percentage of advertisements complying (%) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Brand name                                  | 100                                        |
| Generic name                                | 97                                         |
| Content of active ingredients               | 92                                         |
| Other ingredient known to cause problems    | 02                                         |
| Dosage forms                                | 96                                         |
| Dosage regimen                              | 31                                         |
| Therapeutic uses                            | 96                                         |
| Side effects                                | 22                                         |
| Precautions                                 | 24                                         |
| Contraindications                           | 22                                         |
| Warnings                                    | 19                                         |
| Major interactions                          | 12                                         |
| Reference to scientific literature          | 28                                         |
| Name of manufacturer and distributor        | 96                                         |
| Address of manufacturer and distributor      | 70                                         |

Figure 1: Classification of references to scientific literature in advertisements.

DISCUSSION

The pharmaceutical advertisements in various medical journals are used as a method to disseminate information on various new drug arrivals to the prescribing physicians.7,8 As these advertisements can potentially influence the prescribing nature of physicians it is necessary that the information carried by them must be valid and the claims made by them must be supported by suitable scientific literature.7,8 Many critics have raised concerns regarding rationality of the information presented in these advertisements and poor referencing.8

Unethical drug advertisements have been noticed in the medical journals of various countries.7 Hence our study was an effort to evaluate the advertisements published in various journals read by the faculty in a medical college with respect to their compliance with WHO ethical guidelines for drug advertisements. In this study it was observed that 73% of the advertisements promoted single drug formulation. Charan J et al, in their study of drug advertisements obtained similar results wherein 72.3% of the evaluated advertisements were of single drug. Antimicrobials topped the list of most advertised drug groups in concurrence with other studies.7,9

In this study it was noticed that none of the advertisements fully satisfied the WHO criteria except for the brand name. The generic names, content of the active ingredients and dosage forms were published in most advertisements. In concurrence with our results Nath S et al, demonstrated that majority of drug advertisements carried brand names (100%), contents of active ingredients (95.17%), dosage forms (81.37%).10,11 Furthermore, it is seen that there appears to be greater adherence to the guidelines by the advertisements in this study as compared to Nath S et al, in regard to therapeutic uses (95% vs. 61.37%), side effects (22% Vs 1.37%), contraindications (22% Vs 1.37%) and major interactions (12% Vs 0%).10 This gives a positive outlook as there appears to be a greater adherence to ethical guidelines.

It was observed that only 28% advertisements have given references to show authenticity of their data in concurrence with Naikwadi SA et al.7,12 In a similar pattern maximum references were from review articles.12 The study has some
limitations, as we have collected data only from 100 advertisements. The study however does serve to underscore the fact that physicians should encourage the pattern of ethical promotion of literature and any unethical advertisement should be reported to one of the regional ethics committees located at Mumbai, Chennai, New Delhi and Chandigarh so that they can take legal steps against guilty companies.

CONCLUSION

Pharmaceutical advertisements are used as a medium for dissemination of drug information. The study tried to analyse their compliance to WHO criteria. The pattern of following ethical guidelines while publishing drug advertisements although followed but not in its totality. Hence it is the task of regulatory agencies not only to define guidelines for publishing drug advertisements but also to ensure its complete implementation.
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