Modeling of Direct Economic Losses of Storm Surge Disasters Based on a Novel Hybrid Forecasting System
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Modeling the direct economic losses of storm surge disasters can assess the disaster situation in a timely manner and improve the efficiency of post-disaster management in practice, which is acknowledged as one of the most significant issues in clean production. However, improving the forecasting accuracy of direct economic losses caused by storm surge disasters remains challenging, which is also a major concern in the field of disaster risk management. In particular, most of the previous studies have mainly focused on individual models, which ignored the significance of reduction and optimization. Therefore, a novel direct economic loss forecasting system for storm surge disasters is proposed in this study, which includes reduction, forecasting, and evaluation modules. In this system, a forecasting module based on an improved machine learning technique is proposed, which improves the generalization ability and robustness of the system. In addition, the key attributes and samples are selected by the proposed reduction module to further improve the forecasting performance from the two innovative perspectives. Moreover, an evaluation module is incorporated to comprehensively evaluate the superiority of the developed forecasting system. Data on the storm surge disasters from three typical provinces are utilized to conduct a case study, and the performance of the proposed forecasting system is analyzed and compared with eight comparison models. The experimental results show that the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) predicted by the Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) model was 16.5293%, and the MAPE predicted by the proposed system was 1.0313%. Overall, the results show that the performance of the proposed forecasting system is superior compared to other models, and it is suitable for the forecasting of direct economic losses resulting from storm surge disasters.
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INTRODUCTION

Four portions are introduced in the section. The main issues are introduced in the first part, the second reviews the related literature and works, the third presents the main contributions, whereas the fourth provides the structure of this article.

Main Issues

Marine disasters caused by abnormal marine environments or extreme climate change have a significant impact on marine security, economic and social development, and clean production.
However, storm surge disasters have resulted in a considerable threat to human life and production, causing the most serious impact. The China Marine Disaster Bulletin (China Marine Disaster Bulletin, 2020–2021) shows that the total loss due to marine disasters was about 11.64 billion in 2019 and 832 million in 2020, of which the direct economic losses caused by storm surge disasters account for 99.44 and 97.36%, respectively. The importance of research on the economic impact of storm surge disasters has recently become clear (Neumann et al., 2015; Guo and Li, 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). The rapid and accurate forecasting of losses caused by storm surge disasters can provide strategic decision support for the prevention and reduction of disasters, disaster situation warnings, and rescue management. However, due to the particularity and irregularity of natural disasters, it is difficult to rapidly and accurately forecast marine disaster losses. Therefore, the development of an appropriate method to model the economic losses caused by storm surge disasters is required, as this is widely considered a key concern in clean production (Yang et al., 2019a).

**Literature Review**

In disaster loss assessment and forecasting, scholars have mainly focused on earthquakes (Jena et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020; Pulinets et al., 2021), tropical cyclones (Qi and Du, 2018; Sawant et al., 2019; Giffard-Roisin et al., 2020; Zeng et al., 2021), and floods (Zhi et al., 2020; Soltani et al., 2021), but few studies have been conducted on storm surge. With the background and impact of global climate change (Hao et al., 2021), the problem of marine disasters (Fang et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2020) is becoming increasingly obvious. Among the losses caused by marine disasters, storm surge disaster losses account for a large proportion, so it is necessary to pay attention to the research on storm surge disasters (Arns et al., 2015). Most studies have focused on storm surge and its forecasting methods (Sahoo and Bhaskaran, 2018; Ohz et al., 2020), but only few on direct economic loss assessment. Three methods are commonly adopted in this forecasting field. (1) In previous studies, physical methods were mainly used in data monitoring, early warning, and forecasting. Meanwhile, a large number of data were outputted to assist the research and the forecasting (Lakshmi et al., 2017; Nahorny et al., 2021). Physical models employ meteorological information or related physical information as input, and require a great deal of historical information to judge the specific relationship between disaster sequences and physical information to perform forecasting. However, the amount of data comprising this information is large and difficult to obtain, and consequently forecasting is difficult. (2) Statistical methods such as autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) and generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH) have exhibited good results in solving low-dimensional weakly non-linear problems (Yi et al., 2021). However, disasters themselves are complex problems, whereas disaster loss assessment and forecasting are multi-dimensional and non-linear problems. The traditional statistical methods have obvious disadvantages. (3) In machine learning methods, machine learning algorithms have shown stronger ability to fit complex non-linear data, and, thus, have been highly regarded. Machine learning methods had been widely applied in some forecasting fields such as electricity price forecasting (Yang et al., 2022). Support-vector machine (SVM), extreme learning machine (ELM), and backpropagation neural networks (BPNN) are commonly used forecasting methods. Xiong et al. (2018) successfully improved ELM to predict the seasonal price of vegetables. Liu et al. (2020) proposed a combined model using self-organizing map (SOM), kernel principal components analysis (KPCA), and an SVM to classify and to forecast the patent quality in the biomedical industry. Li et al. (2021) proposed the gray-BPNN model to predict the grain output of Henan Province. These three methods have been proposed to form different models for forecasting, such as single model, hybrid model (Sahin, 2019; Wang et al., 2019b; Yang et al., 2019b), combined model (Niu and Wang, 2019; Wang et al., 2019a), and ensemble model (Hao and Tian, 2019).

In the field of marine disaster forecasting, single models have been widely proposed. Wang et al. (2021) proposed GIS and open data to quantitatively evaluate the storm surge and to estimate the direct physical losses. Yin et al. (2017) established a gray relational model based on the panel data dispersion, which was applied to the study of storm surge disaster losses in the coastal areas of China. Hybrid models have been widely proposed in the field of disaster forecasting. Young et al. (2017) combined the traditional physical hydrological simulation method with the SVR to form a hybrid forecasting model in predicting the hourly runoff of Chishan River Basin in southern Taiwan. Compared with the physical hydrological model, ANN, SVR, and two hybrid models (HEC-HMS-ANN, HEC-HMS-SVR) which were based on a hydrologic modeling system, the novel model exhibited some advantages, especially a higher accuracy in long-term forecasting. However, the individual model research methods on the economic impact of disasters are relatively inaccurate. The combination model was developed to improve the forecasting accuracy. Chen et al. (2018) introduced a new combined model method to forecast disaster losses caused by tropical cyclones, hence, using the model combination method, GA-Elman neural network, SVR, and GRNN were combined into a comprehensive evaluation model. The results showed that their proposed model performed better than the single model. Feng and Liu (2017) established an index system with gray correlation analysis. Compared with the single model, the combined model of BP and SVM was proposed to better forecast the direct economic losses and the number of populations affected by storm surge. Zhao et al. (2020) combined the results of ENN and GRNN with a definite integral to achieve interval forecasting, and obtained a large number of high-precision results of the annual storm surge disaster economic losses. At present, ensemble models are widely proposed to reduce bias and to improve forecasting accuracy (Liu and Xu, 2020; Bravo and Ayuso, 2021), but the application of this model in the field of marine disaster forecasting remains rare (Ding et al., 2020). Zhao et al. (2019) proposed an ensemble learning model called Adaboost-BPNN which is designed to forecast direct economic losses of marine disasters. Considering the interaction between pressure, topographic constraints, and the resonant
characteristics of the basin, Žust et al. (2020) proposed an integrated sea level forecasting method called HIDRA. In the field of direct economic losses of storm surge disasters forecasting, those methods have been developed gradually, but they ignore the significance of attributes and of sample reduction, as well as the model optimization.

Primary Work and Contributions

Therefore, with the goal of exceeding the limitations of the above-mentioned models and methods, a novel hybrid forecasting system is proposed herein to forecast the direct economic losses of storm surge disasters. In contrast to most of the previous studies, it improves the forecasting performance by considering the complexity of the loss factors and the similarity of data. More specifically, the system consists of three modules, including a reduction module for data processing, a forecasting module, and an evaluation module. Specifically, the key attributes in the economic loss assessment attribute set of storm surge disasters are selected by the reduction module to obtain the optimal input of each sample. Subsequently, the forecasting module is designed to obtain the forecasting loss results for each storm surge disaster based on the optimal input. Afterward, the evaluation module comprehensively evaluates the performance of the developed forecasting system. To test the feasibility and the superiority of the forecasting system, real data samples of direct economic losses of storm surge disasters from 1989 to 2019 were collected for numerical experiments. The experimental results showed that the proposed approach exhibited good performance, which was superior compared to that of other models, and it is suitable for the forecasting of direct economic losses resulting from storm surge disasters in practical applications.

The main contributions of this study are summarized as follows:

(1) **Modeling of direct economic losses of storm surge disasters is achieved by a novel hybrid forecasting system.** Previous studies have mainly focused on improving the forecasting performance by introducing different individual models, which ignored the potential forecasting power of a hybrid modeling. Therefore, a novel hybrid forecasting system is proposed, which can bridge the research gap in current studies.

(2) **An advanced reduction module is proposed to simultaneously obtain the key attributes and samples.** Reduction is an effective method to improve forecasting performance. However, it is ignored by majority of the previous studies. Therefore, in this study, we combine rough sets with a SOM, reduce the samples after attribute reduction, and horizontally and vertically process the data to improve the forecasting accuracy of the system.

(3) **A forecasting module based on an improved machine learning technique is proposed to improve the generalization ability and robustness of the system.** The advanced machine learning technique, named as ELM architectures, has, thus, long been ignored in modeling of direct economic losses from storm surge disasters, despite its superiority in other forecasting fields. Hence, the forecasting module is developed based on an improved ELM to further improve the performance of the forecasting systems.

Organization

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Section *Detailed Process of the Developed Hybrid Forecasting System* introduces the reduction module and forecasting module, respectively. In section *The Direct Economic Loss Forecasting System*, the direct economic loss forecasting system is presented. The experimental setup and a summary of the results are shown in sections *Experiments and Summary*. Section *Conclusions and Future* work generalizes the conclusions and suggests some possible directions for future research.

**DETAILED PROCESS OF THE DEVELOPED HYBRID FORECASTING SYSTEM**

The hybrid forecasting system based on intelligent algorithm is successfully developed, which includes three modules: reduction module, forecasting module, and evaluation module.

**Module 1: Reduction Module**

In the process of forecasting the direct economic losses caused by regional storm surge disasters, many indicators affect the data. Varying time intervals of storm surge have different contributions to each other, and various factors have different effects on the loss results. Therefore, the processing of sample data and the selection of storm surge samples affect the accuracy of the forecasting results. The reduction module is applied to simultaneously reduce the indices and samples, so as to identify the key indices affecting the loss values and to select the samples with a strong forecasting correlation. Accuracy is also improved by an effective dataset.

**Construction of Attribute set**

To maintain the integrity and validity of the index system, the availability and accuracy of storm surge disaster loss data should be considered. By combining frequency statistics with theoretical analysis of disaster economic loss assessment (Yin and Sun, 2011; Yin et al., 2011), an economic assessment of the index system of storm surge disasters was constructed, and the initial attribute set of the module was formed. Attribute classification and spatiotemporal clustering were carried out for factors under the four dimensions of the risk of disaster-caused factors, economic loss index, vulnerability to hazards, and the adaptability of storm surge disasters. The initial attribute set is composed of 19 factors that affect the economic losses caused by storm surge disasters, as shown in Figure 1.

**Stage I: Attribute Reduction**

The choice of rough set theory as a tool for feature selection is based on two considerations. First, rough set theory does not require a priori knowledge and the mathematical technology is mature. Second, a rough set theory can directly analyze and infer data so as to discover the hidden knowledge between the data.
and to reveal potential patterns. Thus, it is an effective method of knowledge discovery.

**Definition 1.** In Pawlak’s rough set (Pawlak, 1982) attribute reduction theory, an equivalence relation is central and primitive. The theory begins with the notion of an information system, which is considered as

\[
\text{IS} = (U, AT, g, V),
\]

where is a non-empty set of finite objects, \(U = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\), it is called the universe. \(AT\) is a non-nullable collection of attributes. The information function is

\[
g : U \times AT \rightarrow V,
\]

which expresses the value of \(x_i\) under \(a\), that is \(g(x_i, a) \in V_a(a \in AT, x_i \in U)\), \(V_a\) is the domain that attribute what \(a\) may take.

**Definition 2.** Given any \(A \subseteq AT\), there is an indiscernible relation \(IND(A)\) on \(U\).

\[
IND(A) = \{(x_i, x_j) \in U \times U | \forall a \in AT, g(x_i, a) = g(x_j, a)\}
\]

If \((x_i, x_j) \in IND(A)\), then and cannot be discernible by attributes from \(A\). Generated by \(IND(A)\), the partition of is denoted as

\[
U/IND(A) = \{[x_i]_A : x_i \in U\}
\]

With regard to attribute set \(AT\), \([x_i]_A\) are equivalent classes of indiscernible relation, which can describe arbitrary subsets of \(U\).

The equivalence classes of \(IND(A)\) and the empty set \(\emptyset\) are the base sets in the information system \(IS\).

**Definition 3.** Let \(X \subseteq U\), it may not be represented exactly and clearly in \(U\). One can describe an arbitrary subset \(X\) by a pair of lower and upper approximations. They are defined, respectively, as

\[
\underline{A}(X) = \{X | X \subseteq \overline{X}\}
\]

\[
\overline{A}(X) = \{X | X \cap \overline{X} = \emptyset\}
\]

The pair \([\underline{A}(X), \overline{A}(X)]\) is termed as the rough set of \(X\) in regard to the set of attributes \(AT\).

**Definition 4.** Let \(A\) and \(B\) be the two equivalence relations over \(U\). Then the regions of \(B\): positive region, negative region and boundary region can be defined as, respectively,

\[
POS_B(A) = \bigcup_{X \in U/B} \overline{AX}
\]

\[
NEG_B(A) = U - \bigcup_{X \in U/B} \overline{AX}
\]

\[
BND_B(A) = \bigcup_{X \in U/B} \overline{AX} - \bigcup_{X \in U/B} \overline{AX}
\]
TABLE 1 | Example dataset.

| x ∈ U | a | b | c | d | e |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1     | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 2     | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 3     | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 4     | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 5     | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 6     | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 7     | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 8     | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |

Definition 5. An information system is called a decision system if the collection of attributes $AT$ can be divided into condition attribute set $A$ and decision attribute set $B$. In order to clearly illustrate these mathematical definitions, an example will be employed to explain the principle. In this case, four conditional attributes $(a, b, c, d)$, one decision attribute $e$, and eight objects are represented in Table 1.

In the system, one of the significant matters in data analysis is to find the dependency relationship between attributes. The dependency between $A$ and $B$ can be defined in the following way:

$$\gamma_A(B) = \frac{|\text{POS}_A(B)|}{|U|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

If $\gamma_A(B) = 1$, $B$ depends totally on $A$, if $0 < \gamma_A(B) < 1$, $B$ depends partially on $A$, and if $\gamma_A(B) = 0$, then does not depend on $A$.

For example, if $A = \{b, c\}$ then objects 2, 7, and 8 are indiscernible, 1 and 5 are indiscernible. The partition of $U$ can be shown as

$$U/\text{IND}(A) = U/\text{IND}(b) \otimes U/\text{IND}(c)$$

$$= [(1, 3, 5, 2, 4, 7, 8) \cup [3, 4, 6, 2, 7, 8, 1, 5]]$$

$$= [(3, 1, 5, 4), (2, 7, 8), (6)]$$.

If $A = \{b, c\}$ and $B = \{e\}$, then

$$\text{POS}_A(B) = \bigcup \{\varnothing, [3, 6], [4]\} = [3, 4, 6]$$

$$\text{NEG}_A(B) = U - \bigcup \{[1, 5], [3, 1, 5, 2, 7, 8, 6], [4, 2, 7, 8]\} = \varnothing$$

$$\text{BND}_A(B) = U - [3, 4, 6] = [1, 2, 5, 7, 8].$$

It follows that in attribute set $A$, objects 3, 4, and 6 can definitely be classified as one class for attribute $e$. The rest of the objects, however, cannot be classified.

Hence, The dependency of $\{e\}$ from $\{b, c\}$ is

$$\gamma_{\{b,c\}}(\{e\}) = \frac{|\text{POS}_{\{b,c\}}(\{e\})|}{|U|} = \frac{|[3, 4, 6]|}{|[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]|} = \frac{3}{8}.

Definition 6. Given $A$, and an attribute $a ∈ A$, the significance of the attribute $a$ is defined as

$$\sigma_A(B, a) = \gamma_A(B) - \gamma_{A - \{a\}}(B)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The more the dependency changes, the more important the attribute becomes. If the significance is 0, then $\text{POS}_A(B) = \text{POS}_{A - \{a\}}(B)$, then the attribute is dispensable. Otherwise, $a$ is said to be relatively indispensable in $A$. In $E$, if every attribute is relatively indispensable and $\text{POS}_E(B) = \text{POS}_A(B)$, So is called a relative reduction in condition attribute set $A$.

For example, if $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $B = \{e\}$, then

$$\gamma_{\{a,b,c\}}(\{e\}) = |[3, 4, 6, 7]|/8 = 4/8$$

$$\gamma_{\{a,b\}}(\{e\}) = |[3, 4, 6, 7]|/8 = 4/8$$

$$\gamma_{\{b,c\}}(\{e\}) = |[3, 4, 6]|/8 = 3/8$$

$$\gamma_{\{a,c\}}(\{e\}) = |[3, 4, 6, 7]|/8 = 4/8$$

so the significance of $a, b, c$ is calculated as follows:

$$\sigma_A(B, a) = \gamma_{\{a,b,c\}}(\{e\}) - \gamma_{\{b,c\}}(\{e\}) = 1/8$$

$$\sigma_A(B, b) = \gamma_{\{a,b,c\}}(\{e\}) - \gamma_{\{a,c\}}(\{e\}) = 0$$

$$\sigma_A(B, c) = \gamma_{\{a,b,c\}}(\{e\}) - \gamma_{\{a,b\}}(\{e\}) = 0$$

Hence, attribute $a$ is relatively indispensable, while, attribute $b$ and $c$ can be dispensable. By experimenting, the final relative reduction can be built.

Stage II: Sample Reduction

On the basis of the data, the features of storm surge disaster direct economic losses. The SOM is put forward to classify the samples automatically. The samples with high correlation degree are gathered and retained, while the samples with low correlation degree are separated and removed to achieve a sample reduction.

Definition 1. Self-Organizing Map (Kohonen, 1990) is an unsupervised learning method, which can reduce the dimension of an $n$-dimensional input space $X$ to a two-dimensional output plane, thus, forming a topology of $M$ neurons. The weight vector $W_i (i \in 1, \ldots, M)$ represents each neuron, which is an $n$-dimensional vector related to the input samples. The SOM system schematic is described in Figure 2.
Definition 2. In the initial stage, the weight of neurons is varied to initialize the network with different topologies. When the input vectors are sent through the SOM neural network, each neuron varies its position by calculating the distance between the weight and the input vector. At time step \( t \), a new input sample \( X(t) \) is presented to SOM, and a winner neuron is stated:

\[
WX(t) = \arg \min_{j \in \{1, \ldots, M\}} \|X(t) - W_j(t)\| \tag{9}
\]

Then, the weight vectors are updated:

\[
W_i(t + 1) = W_i(t) + \eta(t)\Psi(i, WX(t))(X(t) - W_i(t)) \tag{10}
\]

where \( \eta(t) \) is a decaying learning rate and \( \Psi(i, WX(t)) \) is called the neighborhood function,

\[
\Psi(i, WX(t)) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|WX(t) - W_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2(t)}\right) \tag{11}
\]

\( \sigma^2(t) \) is the neighborhood radius, \( \|WX(t) - W_i\| \) is the Euclidean distance between the neurons. After repeated iterative training, the similar weight vectors are close, can even be clustered, while the dissimilar weight vectors are separated.

Module 2: Forecasting Module

The prediction module mainly adopts the Marine Predators Algorithm (MPA) to optimize the ELM. The MPA (Faramarzi et al., 2020) was developed as a novel meta-heuristic algorithm, which is primarily modeled on ocean predators to select an optimal foraging strategy according to the prey location. In the forecasting module, the position of the predator is represented by the multi-dimensional vector composed of input weights and hidden layer thresholds, which can determine the performance of the ELM. Then, the optimal parameters are obtained based on the optimization criteria. These two theoretical principles will briefly introduce the following.

Extreme Learning Machine

Definition 1. Extreme learning machine (Huang et al., 2004) was proposed as an easy-to-use and effective single hidden-layer feedforward neural network method. Instead of iteratively adjusting the weights and biases of the network, the hidden layer parameters are assigned randomly, and the least square method is proposed to generate the unique optimal solution. Therefore, it has the advantages of fast training speed, avoiding overfitting, and local optimization to a certain degree.

Definition 2. Given the original training dataset \( (x_i, t_i) \), where \( x_i \) is the input variables, \( x_i = [x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{im}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^m \), and \( t_i \) is the output variables, \( t_i = [t_{i1}, t_{i2}, \ldots, t_{in}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \). \( D \) is the number of hidden neurons. The output matrix of ELM is shown as follows:

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
  t_{1j} \\
  t_{2j} \\
  \vdots \\
  t_{mj}
\end{bmatrix}_{m \times N} = \begin{bmatrix}
  \sum_{i=1}^{D} \beta_{i1}g(a_ix_j + b_i) \\
  \sum_{i=1}^{D} \beta_{i2}g(a_ix_j + b_i) \\
  \vdots \\
  \sum_{i=1}^{D} \beta_{im}g(a_ix_j + b_i)
\end{bmatrix}_{m \times N} (j = 1, 2, \ldots, N) \tag{12}
\]

where \( \beta_i = (\beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \ldots, \beta_{im})^T \) is the output weights matrix between the \( i \)th hidden neuron and the output layer nodes, \( g(\cdot) \) is the activation function of the hidden layer, \( a_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots, a_{in})^T \) is the input weight matrix that connects the \( i \)th hidden layer node and input layer nodes, and \( b_i \) is the bias of the \( i \)th hidden layer node.

The above-mentioned matrix can be indicated as below:

\[
H\beta = T \tag{13}
\]

where \( H \) is the hidden layer output matrix:

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
  g(a_{11}x_1 + b_1) \cdots g(a_{1D}x_1 + b_D) \\
  \vdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
  g(a_{1N}x_1 + b_1) \cdots g(a_{DN}x_1 + b_D)
\end{bmatrix}_{N \times D} \tag{14}
\]

Definition 3. In the training dataset, the inputs samples and its corresponding targets are already given. The input weight matrix \( a \) and bias \( b \) can be given randomly, then the output weight matrix \( \beta \) can be calculated by Moore-Penrose generalized inverse to get its least square solution:

\[
\beta = H^+T \tag{15}
\]

where is the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of matrix. Then the orthogonal projection approach is taken to calculate \( H^+ \) that is \( H^+ = HH^T(HH^T)^{-1} \).

The network architecture of ELM is displayed as (Figure 3).

As mentioned above, the input weight matrix \( a \) and bias \( b \) are the two crucial parameters that are presented in ELM.

Marine Predators Algorithm

According to the theory of "survival of the fittest," the predator determines the optimal strategy ensuring a reasonable contact rate with the prey. The MPA starts from the initial stage of the population, then goes through the three optimization stages considering different speed ratio and simultaneously simulating the whole life cycle of the predator and prey.

Definition 1. At initialization stage, the initial populations for both the prey and the predator can be randomly located in the search space via the following mathematical expression:

\[
U = lb + rand^*(ub - lb) \tag{16}
\]

where \( lb \) and \( ub \) are the lower and upper boundaries for variables, and \( rand^* \) is a random number in the range of 0–1. According to the formula 14, the initial location matrix of prey can be established, as below:

\[
\text{prey} = \begin{bmatrix}
  U_{11} \ U_{12} \ldots \ U_{1d} \\
  U_{21} \ U_{22} \ldots \ U_{2d} \\
  \vdots \ \\
  U_{n1} \ U_{n2} \ldots \ U_{nd}
\end{bmatrix}_{n \times d} \tag{17}
\]

In Equation (17), \( n \) is the number of search agents, while \( d \) is the number of dimensions.

Definition 2. Inspired by the concept of survival of the fittest, the first-class predators have the best foraging techniques. Therefore,
when establishing the Elite matrix, the fittest population is selected as the first-class predator. On the basis of the location information of the prey, the digit group of the elite matrix is updated by searching and finding the quarry. At the end of each iteration, if the first-class predator was replaced by a better one, the Elite matrix would be altered.

Equation (21) imitates the movement of the first half of the population.

\[ S_i = R_L \otimes (\text{Elite}_i - R_L \otimes U_i), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2 \]  
\[ U_i = U_i + P.R \otimes S_i \quad (22) \]

where \( R_L \) represents a random number vector based on the Lévy distribution. The multiplication of \( R_L \) by prey emulates the motion of prey, while the second half of the agents perform the following equations. The second half of the population is represented by the following mathematical formula:

\[ S_i = R_B \otimes (R_B \otimes \text{Elite}_i - U_i), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2 \]  
\[ U_i = \text{Elite}_i + P.CF \otimes S_i \]

\[ \text{CF} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Iter}}{\text{Max_Iter}}\right)^{(2(\frac{\text{Iter}}{\text{Max_Iter}}))} \quad (24) \]

where \( \text{CF} \) is the adaptive parameter that controls the step size for the predator motion.

Definition 5. In the final iteration stage of optimization, \( \text{Iter} > \frac{3}{2}\text{Max_Iter} \), the speed of prey is slower than that of predator. The predator adopts exploitation strategy based on Lévy migration and its location is updated as follows:

\[ S_i = R_L \otimes (R_L \otimes \text{Elite}_i - U_i), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]
\[ U_i = \text{Elite}_i + P.CF \otimes S_i \]
\[ \text{CF} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Iter}}{\text{Max_Iter}}\right)^{(2(\frac{\text{Iter}}{\text{Max_Iter}}))} \quad (25) \]

Definition 6. Faramarzi et al. believed that the external environmental factors make the behavior of the population change more or less, such as the eddy formation or Fish Aggregating Devices (FADs) effects. In order to avoid the local optimization, longer jumps should be considered in exploration. Equation (21) imitates the movement of the first half of the population.
the simulation process. Hence, Equation (27) shows the mathematical model of the FADs effects,

\[
U_i = \begin{cases} 
U_i + CF[U_{\text{min}} + R \otimes (U_{\text{max}} - U_{\text{min}})] \otimes M & r_5 < \text{FADs} \\
U_i + [\text{FADs}(1 - r) + r](U_{i1} - U_{i2}) & r_5 > \text{FADs} 
\end{cases}
\]  

(27)

In Equation (27), where FADs represent the probability of affecting the search process and is set equivalent to 0.2, and M is the binary solution (0 or 1) corresponding to the stochastic solution. If the array is <0.2, the array is altered to zero. If the array is >0.2, the array is converted to one. The notation \( r \in [0, 1] \) defines a random number. The \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are the random indices of the prey.

**Definition 7.** An important feature of marine predators is that they have a specific memory of their successful foraging position. In MPA, this feature is simulated by saving the optimum solution of the previous iteration, and the performance of each solution of the current iteration is compared with the previous one. If it is better, the previous solution will be replaced, which is helpful for fast optimization.

**Proposed MPA-ELM Forecasting Module**

The MPA has a good ability of optimization. The input weight matrix and bias of ELM are optimized. The forecasting module is constructed. The loss of training set is considered as the fitness matrix and bias. The MPA has a good ability of optimization. The input weight is assigned.

**Step 1:** Initialize positions for the prey and predator, construct the matrices of prey and Elite according to Equations (17) and (18), and accomplish a memory saving. The position coordinates of each predator are composed of the random exploration field.

**Step 2:** Select the best predator. On the basis of the location information of the prey and the previous memory of predator to capture its food successfully, the best predator matrix is assigned.

**Step 3:** Determine the update criteria according to the range of Iter. If Iter < \( \frac{1}{3} \text{MaxIter} \), the process is in the stage 1, execute Step 4, otherwise, execute Step 5.

**Step 4:** Update the solutions based on Equations (19) and (20), and then skip to Step 8.

**Step 5:** If \( \frac{1}{3} \text{MaxIter} < \text{Iter} < \frac{2}{3} \text{MaxIter} \), the process is in the stage 2, execute Step 6, otherwise, the process is in the stage 3, execute Step 7.

**Step 6:** Update the positions of the prey and predator based on Equations (21–24). The first half part performs Equations (21) and (22), and the other half follows Equations (23) and (24), and then skip to Step 8.

**Step 7:** Determine the update criteria according to the range of Iter. If Iter < \( \frac{2}{3} \text{MaxIter} \), the process is in the stage 2, execute Step 6, otherwise, the process is in the stage 3, execute Step 7.

**Step 8:** Update the positions of the prey and predator based on Equations (21–24). The first half part performs Equations (21) and (22), and the other half follows Equations (23) and (24), and then skip to Step 8.

The pseudo code of forecasting module is described as follows:

**Algorithm 1: MPA-ELM**

**Fitness function:**

\[
\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}
\]

**output:**

\( \hat{Y} \) — the forecasting direct economic losses from ELM

**Parameters:**

\( \text{Max\_Iter} \) — the maximum number of iterations
\( N \) — the number of search agents
\( F_i \) — the fitness function of Elite
\( X_i \) — the position of \( i \_th \) search agent
\( lb/ub \) — the lower/upper bound of variables
\( \text{Iter} \) — the current iteration number
\( r \) — the random number from 0 to 1

1. // Set the parameters of MPA.*/
2. // Initialize search agents of \( X_i (i = 1, 2, ..., N) \) randomly.*/
3. FOR EACH \( i: 1 \leq i \leq N \)
   4. Evaluate the corresponding fitness function \( F_i \)
5. END FOR
6. // Determine the current Elite matrix.*/
7. WHILE (Iter < \( \text{Max\_Iter} \))
   8. FOR EACH \( i: 1 \leq i \leq N \)
      9. Calculate the objective value of all agents.*/
      10. Update the obtained solution.*/
      11. IF (Iter < \( \frac{1}{3} \text{Max\_Iter} \)) THEN
         12. Update all population positions in the exploration field.*/
         13. \( S_i = R \otimes (\text{Elite}_i - R \otimes U_i) \)
         14. \( U_i = U_i + P \_R \otimes S_i \)
      ELSE IF (\( \frac{1}{3} \text{Max\_Iter} < \text{Iter} < 2 \frac{1}{3} \text{Max\_Iter} \)) THEN
         15. Update the position of the first half population.*/
         16. \( S_i = R \otimes (\text{Elite}_i - R \otimes U_i) \)
         17. \( U_i = U_i + P \_R \otimes S_i \)
         18. Update the position of the second half population.*/
         19. \( S_i = R \otimes (R \otimes \text{Elite}_i - U_i) \)
         20. \( U_i = \text{Elite}_i + P \_C \_F \otimes S_i \)
         21. \( CF = (1 - \frac{\text{Iter}}{\text{Max\_Iter}})^2 \_\text{Max\_Iter} \)
      END IF
   22. END FOR
   23. ELSE IF (\( 2 \frac{1}{3} \text{Max\_Iter} < \text{Iter} < \text{Max\_Iter} \)) THEN
      24. Update all population positions in the final stage.*/
      25. \( S_i = R \otimes (R \otimes \text{Elite}_i - U_i) \)
      26. \( U_i = \text{Elite}_i + P \_C \_F \otimes S_i \)
      27. \( CF = (1 - \frac{\text{Iter}}{\text{Max\_Iter}})^2 \_\text{Max\_Iter} \)
   28. END IF
   29. END WHILE
30. RETURN \( X_i \)
31. Set the weight and bias of the ELM according to \( X_i \)
32. Input the testing data into ELM to obtain the forecasting value \( \hat{Y} \)
Step 7: Update the positions of the prey and predator based on Equations (25) and (26), and then skip to Step 8.
Step 8: Apply the model of the FADs effects using Equation (27), and then skip to Step 9.
Step 9: Evaluate the objective function, and then skip to Step 10.
Step 10: Determine whether the termination condition is satisfied. If it is met, the program ends, and the best position parameter is the output. Otherwise, skip to step 2.
Step 11: Obtain the optimal ELM.
Step 12: Calculate the error, output the predicted result.

Module 3: Evaluation Module
In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed system, an evaluation module is provided. There is no unified standard to confirm the validity of various models. Therefore, by consulting the relevant literature in the field of prediction (Wang et al., 2018; Gu et al., 2021), a variety of error metrics are adopted in this paper, including mean square error (MSE), mean absolute error (MAE), and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), PMSE, PMAE, and PMAPE, as shown in Table 2. Where $m$ represents the number of testing data, $A$ and $F$ represent the collected and predicted economic losses data, respectively. The $\bar{A}$ is the average value of the actual data, and $\bar{F}$ is the average value of forecasting results. Specifically, MSE, MAE, and MAPE can be considered to evaluate the forecasting accuracy, and the smaller value of these indicators shows better forecasting performance. Performance improvement percentage indicators namely PMSE, PMAE, and PMAPE further evaluate the improvement between different models to qualitatively describe the degree of performance improvement. The evaluation module evaluates the forecasting accuracy, the degree of performance improvement, and the forecasting ability of the system. In summary, six indicators are selected, which can focus on a comprehensive scientific evaluation.

### Module 3: Evaluation Module

| Metric   | Definition                                                                 | Equation                                                                 |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MSE      | The average of $m$ error squares                                          | $MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (A_i - F_i)^2$                           |
| MAE      | The mean absolute error of forecasting results                            | $MAE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |A_i - F_i|$                      |
| MAPE     | The average of $m$ absolute percentage errors                             | $MAPE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left| \frac{A_i - F_i}{\bar{A}} \right| \times 100\%$ |
| PMSE     | The improvement rate of MSE between model 1 and model 2                  | $PMSE = \frac{(MSE_1 - MSE_2)}{MSE_1} \times 100\%$                      |
| PMAE     | The improvement rate of MAE between model 1 and model 2                   | $PMAE = \frac{(MAE_1 - MAE_2)}{MAE_1} \times 100\%$                      |
| PMAPE    | The improvement rate of MAPE between model 1 and model 2                  | $PMAPE = \frac{(MAPE_1 - MAPE_2)}{MAPE_1} \times 100\%$                   |

### THE DIRECT ECONOMIC LOSS FORECASTING SYSTEM

A new hybrid forecasting system RS-SOM&MPA-ELM is proposed for the prediction of the direct economic losses caused by storm surge disasters. Figure 5 illustrates the hybrid forecasting framework of our proposed new hybrid approach. The proposed hybrid approach is generalized as given below:

Step 1: Data collection and processing. Before being input to the model, the data is processed into a pattern that meets its requirements, including data normalization, discretization, and processing of the training format. At the same time, the data set is divided into training data set and testing data set.

Step 2: Attribution and sample reduction. Rough sets are proposed to reduce initial attributes, and the key features affecting the direct economic losses are selected. The decision
table, composed of normalized and discretized data sets of direct economic loss factors of storm surge disasters, is reduced by positive region reduction rules and by dependency reduction rules. Then, according to the features extracted from RS, disaster-related parameters of several storm surge are input into the SOM as the initial training set. Subsequently, sample sets that contribute to improving prediction performance are selected as the new training set.

**Step 3**: The MPA optimization. First, the parameters of ELM are initialized. Second, ELM is embedded into the MPA for calculation, including the input weight and the bias update. Finally, after the embedded model training is completed, the algorithm judgment conditions are checked to determine whether the maximum iteration times has been satisfied. If the requirement is met, the optimized parameters are output, otherwise, repeat the above process.

**Step 4**: Final system performance test. After the completion of the third step, the optimized model is obtained, the test data set is input into the forecasting module. Then, the result is output, and the forecasting performance is tested.

### EXPERIMENTS

To verify the superior performance of the system and to ensure the diversity of data, experiments were carried out on the data of three provinces. The experimental environment is macOS 10.14.6, on a system with an Intel(R) Core CPU (Core-i5 2.6 GHz), and 8 G RAM. Different tools were used to implement the methods of this paper. Rough sets were implemented in Python 3.8.3, and all the other models were implemented in the MATLAB R2016b software package.

#### Experimental Data

This study involves 19 variables, including 18 characteristic variables of attribute sets and direct economic losses of storm surge disasters. This paper selected 60 relatively complete records of storm surge disasters in Guangdong, Zhejiang and Fujian provinces from 1989 to 2019 as the research objects (Because there are no complete storm surge samples collected in 2020 and 2021, the selected samples extend to 2019). To ensure the validity and dependability of the data, the relevant data obtained are from the public sources in Table 3.

#### Normalization and Discretization

Each sample in the original data table has 19 different attributes, and each attribute represents different meanings about the economic losses caused by storm surges disasters, including data
about the natural attributes of storm surges and information about the affected areas. Each group of data has different dimensions. To eliminate the dimensional influence between indicators and to speed up the training speed, the general data processing method should be the normalization before entering data into the model. The normalization calculation method is shown in Equation (29), where $x_j$ is the input data of the $j$-th node in the input layer of the model, $x_{\text{max}}$ and $x_{\text{min}}$ are the maximum and minimum values of the input sequence, respectively, and $\bar{x}_j$ is the normalized data with the range of $[0, 1]$.

$$\bar{x}_j = \frac{x_j - x_{\text{min}}}{x_{\text{max}} - x_{\text{min}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

To reduce the attributes based on rough set theory, it is necessary to initially discretize the data. The sample variables are divided into several intervals, and each interval is regarded as a category. This process of categorizing data variables is often called discretization. All values within each category are mapped to the same value, converting the actual value to a numeric attribute of the symbol. The equal distance partition algorithm is proposed for discretization. After discretization, the original decision table is replaced by a new decision table of numerical attributes.

**Experimental Design**

To verify the effectiveness and robustness of the developed prediction system, two experiments will be done, denoted as **Experiment I**: compared with the traditional single models, **Experiment II**: compared with the improved hybrid models.

To verify the superiority of the proposed RS-SOM&MPA-ELM forecasting system in predicting the economic losses caused by storm surge disasters, some forecasting models are selected as comparison models. Therefore, the single neural network algorithm models, different hybrid models, and different optimization algorithm-based models are considered. To sum up, eight comparative models are established to evaluate the developed hybrid forecasting system. These comparison models are listed in Table 4.

To ensure the fairness of the comparison between the models, the basic parameters of each algorithm are set according to the default values. To ensure fairness, some parameter settings in the original literature are maintained for competing models, while some parameters are shared. For example, the number of iterations or the frequency of training of all models is set to 50, and each model was performed 250 times to output statistically stable results. To judge the number of SOM clustering categories, two, three, and four values are selected to do the experiment. The results show that 60 samples are classified according to the occurrence time. To reflect the classification features to the greatest extent and to ensure the sufficient number of samples, the optimum clustering numbers of SOM is determined as 2. The BPNN and ELM adopt Sigmoid function as the activation function. The Radial Basis Function is adopted in SOM and SVM as kernel function. The regularization parameter C and kernel parameter in all SVM-based comparison models are searched in grid ranges of $[2^{-8}, 2^8]$ and $[2^{-3}, 2^3]$ with step 0.5. The specific experimental parameters are shown in Table 5.

Moreover, due to the uncertainty of the occurrence of storm surge disasters and the incompleteness of statistical data, the economic loss forecasting of storm surge disasters exhibited the characteristics of small sample size. In the proposed system and

| Models | Experimental parameter values. |
|--------|-------------------------------|
| BPNN   | Neuron number in the input layer | 5 |
|        | Neuron number in the hidden layer | 7 |
|        | Neuron number in the output layer | 1 |
|        | Number of the hidden layer | 5 |
|        | Learning velocity | 0.1 |
|        | Training requirements precision | 0.00004 |
| SVM    | Maximum number of trainings | 50 |
|        | Penalty parameter | 50 |
|        | Value of gamma in kernel function | 0.2 |
|        | Setting type | 3 |
|        | Value of epsilon in loss function | 0.05 |
|        | Maximum number of errors | 0.1 |
| ELM    | Neuron number in the input layer | 19 |
|        | Neuron number in the output layer | 1 |
|        | Number of the hidden layer | 1 |
| SOM    | Neuron number in the input layer | 5 |
|        | Neuron number in the output layer | 2 |
|        | Learning rate | 0.5 |
|        | Maximum number of trainings | 50 |
| MPA    | Search agents number | 30 |
|        | FADs | 0.2 |
|        | Constant number P | 0.5 |
|        | Random index of agents | 0 to 1 |
|        | Maximum number of iteration times | 50 |
|        | Population scale | 20 |
| PSO    | Acceleration constant C1 | 1.49445 |
|        | Acceleration constant C2 | 1.49445 |
|        | Speed limit | 0.1 to 0.2 |
|        | Variable value | −10 to 10 |
|        | Maximum number of iteration times | 50 |

**TABLE 4 | Comparison models.**

| Experimental | Comparison models |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Experimental I | BPNN, SVM, ELM |
| Experimental II | RS+SOM+BPNN, RS+SOM+SVM, RS+SOM+ELM, MPA+ELM, RS+SOM & PSO+ELM |
comparison models, two groups of data are proposed as the testing set, which are the economic loss samples of regional storm surge disasters in 2019 and 2018. At the same time, two storm surges are coded sequentially: the first sample and the second sample. The remaining samples constitute the initial training data set. The SOM is proposed to, respectively, select the corresponding new training set for the samples. The results are as follows. The new training set of the first sample is from sample 35 to sample 59, whereas that of the second sample is from sample 34 to sample 58. Subsequently, for the five attributes selected by RS, the test and error test should be carried out by minimizing the MAE and the MAPE of the training set to verify whether all of them are input. The results show that in the training set of test samples, regardless of which of the five factors selected by RS is removed, good performance is not obtained. Hence, five attributes are determined as the input of forecasting module.

Experiment I: Comparison With the Traditional Single Models
In Experiment I, three single models are adopted for forecasting through the comparison of performance forecasting standards, where BPNN and SVM are the most popular machine learning models. The ELM is the method related to the forecasting module that we use. Researchers have shown that these models have good performance in forecasting. All the performance metrics of each single model are shown in Table 6.

| Models | MSE     | MAE     | MAPE (%) |
|--------|---------|---------|----------|
| Model 1 | 17.5536 | 4.1327  | 36.9559  |
| Model 2 | 6.7561  | 2.4689  | 21.9069  |
| Model 3 | 3.5866  | 1.8464  | 16.5293  |

Model 1: BPNN, Model 2: SVM, Model 3: ELM.

After attribute reduction on the basis of RS, five attributes are obtained: the length of marine engineering damage $C_6$, the disaster-affected population $C_8$, population of primary industry in GDP $C_{11}$, mariculture area $C_{14}$, and the number of beds per 10,000 people $C_{17}$. Through SOM neural network training, according to the occurrence time of storm surge, 60 storm surge samples are divided into two groups: one group comprised of 32 storm surges from 1989 to 2008, and the other group comprised of 28 storm surges from 2008 to 2019. The recent storm surges were selected as the testing samples, and the storm surges samples from 2008 to 2017 were selected as the training set.

After training, the parameters of the proposed model and other models for forecasting are obtained. The MSE, MAE, and MAPE [Equation (24)] are used as indices to evaluate the forecasting performance. To ensure the reliability and stability of forecasting results, considering the inherent randomness of MPA and ELM, these models run 250 times, and the average forecasting value is taken as the final result.

The comparison between the results obtained by the above hybrid models and by the actual values is shown in Table 7. The MSE, MAE, and MAPE for the predicted values of each model are listed in Table 8. The IR between different approaches is presented in Table 9. The results of the tables also demonstrate the following:

1. The experimental results show that the forecasting performance of all hybrid models is better than that of the single models.
2. The proposed system significantly outperformed all models compared in terms of level of accuracy for the forecasting of direct economic losses caused by storm surge disasters. This verifies that the proposed forecasting system is an effective tool for forecasting direct economic losses caused by storm surge disasters, with the lowest MSE, MAE, and MAPE of 0.0133, 0.1154, and 1.0313%, respectively.
3. Table 9 displays the contribution of reduction module operation in attribute reduction and sample selection, in which Models 1, 2, and 3 represent BPNN, SVM, and ELM, respectively, and Models 5, 6, and 7 refer to approaches that, respectively, combined RS-SOM with the above models. For each corresponding group, Models 5–7 outperformed Models 1–3, respectively, according to the positive IR values. Through the positive PMAPE and PMAE criteria, the results clearly show that the models with the reduction module obtained higher levels of forecasting accuracy, which indicates that reduction module is very helpful to improve forecasting performance. Thus, it is particularly significant to master the features and preprocess the data.
4. The performance of the models with the optimization algorithm is better than other models, which proves that the optimization algorithm can significantly improve the forecasting ability and stability of the model. Among them, the model with MPA algorithm is better in forecasting performance, and the optimization time is relatively short.
5. The forecasting performance of model 9 is improved compared with models 5 and 6. The performance of the models with forecasting module is better than that with other
forecasting models. In general, BPNN and SVM showed strong performance in the forecasting field in the past, but poor performance in this research framework. This is primarily due to the characteristics of the disaster loss data, small sample problems, and the instability of forecasting results. The stability and forecasting performance of the system RS-SOM & MPA-ELM proposed in this paper is superior to that of the existing methods.

**SUMMARY**

According to the performance comparisons of both single models and hybrid models above, it is obvious that the forecasting results of RS-SOM & MPA-ELM are better than other models. Moreover, the forecasting performance of hybrid models is better than that of single models. In addition, some interesting phenomena are found during the study, as noted briefly below:

(1) The results of hybrid models are better than those of single models, and these single models cannot directly obtain satisfactory results. The main factors that affected the forecasting results are the redundancy and the non-linearity of the original influence factors. It is necessary to preprocess the direct economic loss factor table of storm surge disasters, so as to further improve the forecasting accuracy.

(2) In the contrast experiment, with or without RS-SOM, the forecasting results of the models with RS-SOM are better than those without RS-SOM. The RS can remove the redundant factors and screen out the key factors to improve the accuracy of forecasting. When the samples are clustered by SOM, there is a significant correlation between the sample classification and the occurrence time of storm surges. Other samples within 10 years (including 10 years) from the occurrence time of forecasting samples are more relevant to their data. This paper attempts to explain the reasons for this result: if the time interval between the two storm surges is longer, the gap between the industrial structure, economic level, forecasting technology level, and social management level is larger. The items causing economic losses are also different. On the contrary, the closer the occurrence time is, the higher the similarity of samples will be.

(3) In this work, risk of disaster-caused factors are not selected as critical factors, and different factors are chosen in other papers. Different methods and different samples lead to different choices, but the forecasting performance of the proposed approach is good, which verifies the rationality of the factor selection. However, it can be considered that different feature selection methods can be proposed for cross-validation in future work.

(4) Compared with the comparison models, the new forecasting system can obtain better accuracy in the forecasting of direct economic losses caused by storm surge disasters. The data presents the characteristics of small samples. Therefore, the forecasting system can be applied to other areas for small sample forecasting, such as economic loss forecasting for ice disasters, red tides, tsunamis, and other disasters, short-term time series forecasting, and so on.

(5) Although the proposed hybrid model has been verified to have a good forecasting ability in the small sample forecasting of economic losses caused by storm surge disasters, it still has some limitations and needs to be improved. First of all, in terms of data, the collection of economic loss evaluation index of storm surge disasters is limited by the practical difficulty, and the initial 18 index selection is subjective. Secondly, although the proposed optimization algorithm MPA improves the forecasting accuracy, it increases the forecasting time and the model complexity.
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Storm surge disaster is the most serious source of marine disaster losses, which causes massive losses to coastal areas every year. Reasonable disaster loss assessment and forecasting help to carry out disaster management and reduce losses effectively. Therefore, direct economic loss forecasting of storm surge disasters has become an important topic. The proposed RS-SOM+MPA-ELM system is composed of three modules: one of which is the reduction module of RS-SOM, the second is the forecasting module of MPA-ELM, and the last is the evaluation module. The reduction module reduces the attributes and samples of the initial data simultaneously, to obtain the key input set of the forecasting module. In terms of model performance, the training set processed by reduction module performs better on single ELM model, the PMAPE can reach 90.9857%. The random allocation parameters of ELM are selected and optimized by MPA. Experimental results show that the performance of the system optimized by MPA is better than that of RS+ SOM+ELM model. The improvement rate of MAPE between the models is 30.7852%. Based on the data sets of storm surge disasters in Fujian, Zhejiang, and Guangdong, the proposed forecasting system is effective. The module is friendly to small sample forecasting, and the performance of the proposed system is better than other comparison models.

In the article, all the data are numerical data, while multsource data can be added to the later system. At present, we focus on the samples under normal circumstances. In the future research, we would focus on the special sample data and pay more attention to huge disasters with extreme risks. It would be expected to establish a forecasting system with good performance for sparse samples.
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