Risk prediction of bleeding and MACCE by PRECISE-DAPT score post-PCI
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Abstract

Background: Guidelines recommend the PRECISE-DAPT (PD) score to adapt duration of dual antiplatelet therapy due to bleeding risk. However, there is first evidence that PD predicts mortality and ischemic events as well.

Methods: We investigated PD Score in 994 patients after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). PD was correlated with clinically frequently used scores. Major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) and Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) bleeding were assessed during one-year follow-up.

Results: 524 patients had PD < 25 and 470 patients PD ≥ 25 (47%). Rate of major and minor bleeding was higher in the PD ≥ 25 group [major bleeding: Hazard ratio [HR] 2.9, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.01–8.16, p = 0.049; minor bleeding: HR 3.94, 95% CI 1.36–9.19, p = 0.0096]. Rate of MACCE, death and myocardial infarction were higher as well (MACCE: HR 2.0, 95% CI 1.52–2.71, p < 0.0001; death: HR 3.9, 95% CI 2.12–5.68, p < 0.0001; MI: HR 2.1, 95% CI 1.26–3.43, p = 0.0041). Rate of stroke/transient ischemic attack did not differ between groups. Discriminative potency to predict major and minor bleeding, MACCE, death and MI were high with nearly equal cut-off values calculated by Youden’s index (YI) (major bleeding: Area under the curve [AUC] 0.66; p = 0.026; YI 28; minor bleeding: AUC 0.72; p = 0.001; YI 24). Conclusion: In our cohort, PD score predicted bleeding moderately in post-PCI patients. In this study, ischemic events were predicted as well. Adaption of antiplatelet therapy duration by PD score is accurate. Nevertheless, it should be well-balanced with patient-related risk for ischemic events.
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1. Introduction

Antiplatelet treatment is the backbone in secondary prevention after stent implantation in patients with coronary artery disease [1]. However, the optimal duration and regime of antithrombotic medication is challenging in individualized medicine. Especially, with regard to comorbidities as atrial fibrillation (AF) that require further antithrombotic strategies with oral anticoagulation as this has been shown to influence platelet reactivity as well [2]. Therefore, well-balanced stratification between risk for bleeding and ischemic events is crucial. A multiplicity of risk scores is already available. However, a user-friendly, 'one-fits-all' approach is still missing. Scores that predict ischemic complications frequently also predict bleeding complications and vice versa. Current guidelines recommend adaption of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) based on the PRECISE-DAPT (PD) score (Predicting bleeding Complications In patients undergoing Stent implantation and subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy) [3,4]. However, there is first evidence that mortality and ischemic events are predicted as well [5]. In this analysis, we aimed to investigate the prognostic value of PD Score.
in comparison with frequently used scores in clinical routine for the determination of bleeding or ischemic complications.

2. Methods

Commonly used scores [GRACE [Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events] [6], DAPT-7, NCDR mortality and NCDR bleeding [8], HASBLED [9], CHA2DS2-VASc [10], ABC stroke [11], PARIS [Patterns of Non-Adherence to Anti-Platelet Regimen in Stented Patients] bleeding score, PARIS thrombotic score [12], PRECISE-DAPT Score [13]) were investigated in 994 patients after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) from 04/2015–05/2016.

One-year follow up was conducted. Major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) defined by mortality of any cause, myocardial infarction and stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) as well as Thrombolyis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) major and minor bleeding events were assessed (Suppl. Fig. 1).

Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS®-Software (New York, USA) and GraphPad-Prism® statistical software (GraphPad software Inc, San Diego). Normal distribution was calculated by Shapiro-Wilks test. Correlation between scores was calculated by Pearson correlation. For patients’ characteristics, quantitative variables are presented as mean (±SD), qualitative variables are presented as percentages and differences were analyzed by t-Student and Chi-square tests. Hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and log-rank test were used for risk prediction of MACCE and bleeding during follow-up. Prediction of MACCE and bleeding was analyzed after adjustment for differentiating patient characteristics that are not included as parameter in the PD score (obesity, male gender, diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, atrial fibrillation, prior stroke, former smoking, severity of CAD, reduced EF, chronic coronary syndrome, NSTEMI, STEMI, radial approach, clopidogrel, prasugrel, ticagrelor, VKA, diuretics, statins, proton pump inhibitors, metamizole, ACE inhibitors). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis and Youden’s J statistic were conducted to evaluate predictive potency of each score for the detection of MACCE and bleeding. P values below 0.05 were defined significant. This study was approved by the University of Düsseldorf Ethics Committee and conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki.

3. Results

3.1. Study population

Mean age was 69.8 ± 11.8 years, 26.5% were obese, 69.5% were male, 97.9% had arterial hypertension, 84.4% chronic kidney disease and 34.8% diabetes mellitus type II. 35.8% patients had chronic coronary syndrome, 16.4% had ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and 45.2% had non-STEMI for PCI indication. 96.3% of patients received aspirin, 98.4% P2Y12 inhibition and 21.2% oral anticoagulation. Duration of antiplatelet therapy and anticoagula-

Table 1

| Patients’ characteristics | All (n = 994) | PD < 25 (n = 524) | PD ≥ 25 (n = 470) | p-Value |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (years) – mean ± SD   | 69.77 ± 11.78 | 63.74 ± 10.27 | 76.5 ± 9.5 | <0.0001 |
| BMI > 30 – no. (%)        | 262 (26.5%) | 157 (30.1%) | 105 (22.5%) | 0.007 |
| Male – no. (%)            | 691 (69.5%) | 408 (77.9%) | 283 (60.2%) | <0.0001 |

| Co-morbidities no. (%)   | Hypertension | Diabetes | COPD | Atrial fibrillation | Liver Disease | CKD | Prior MI | Prior PCI | Prior Stroke | Prior CABG | Former nicotine abusus | Ongoing nicotine abusus | Type of CAD | 1-vessel disease | 2-vessel disease | 3-vessel disease | EF < 40% |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------|------|---------------------|---------------|-----|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                          | 971 (97.9%)  | 345 (34.8%) | 136 (13.7%) | 225 (22.7%) | 13 (1.8%) | 837 (84.4%) | 196 (19.7%) | 364 (36.7%) | 78 (7.9%) | 113 (11.4%) | 128 (12.9%) | 198 (19.9%) | 159 (16%) | 162 (16.3%) | 669 (67.3%) | 124 (24.3%) |<0.0001 |

3.1. Laboratory- Parameters - mean ± SD

| Parameter                        | Mean ± SD       | p-Value       |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Hemoglobin [mg/dl]               | 13.22 ± 2.05    | <0.0001       |
| Thrombocytes [x1000μl⁻¹]         | 236.6 ± 76.24   | 0.023         |
| Cholesterol [mg/dl]              | 181.30 ± 52.02  | 0.946         |
| Triglycerides [mg/dl]            | 160.79 ± 130.14 | <0.0001       |
| Low Density Lipoprotein [mg/dl]  | 115.32 ± 46.62  | 0.001         |
| High Density Lipoprotein [mg/dl] | 48.31 ± 17.82   | 0.901         |
| Lipoprotein(a) [mg/dl]           | 95.66 ± 151.31  | 0.691         |
| Creatinine [mg/dl]               | 1.25 ± 1.06     | <0.0001       |
| GFR [ml/min]                     | 66.15 ± 23.08   | <0.0001       |
| HbA1c [%]                        | 6.29 ± 2.34     | 0.112         |
| C-reactive Protein [mg/dl]       | 1.81 ± 3.91     | <0.0001       |
| Leukocytes [x1000/mm³]          | 9.27 ± 4.83     | <0.0001       |

BMI = Body Mass Index; CABG = Coronary artery bypass grafting; CAD = coronary artery disease; CKD = Chronic kidney disease; COPD = Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; EF = Ejection fraction; GFR = Glomerular filtration rate; MI = Myocardial infarction; PCI = Percutaneous coronary intervention; PD = PRECISE DAPT; SD = Standard deviation
3.2. Correlation with frequently used scores

PD correlated well with bleeding risk prediction scores (HAS-BLED: r = 0.6058, p < 0.0001; PARIS bleeding: r = 0.6692, p < 0.0001; NCDR-bleeding: r = 0.6479, p < 0.0001, Fig. 1A). Moreover, PD correlated well with risk prediction scores for ischemic events (CHA2DS2-VASC: r = 0.5755, p < 0.0001; PARIS-thrombotic: r = 0.5648, p < 0.0001; GRACE: r = 0.6815, p < 0.0001; ABC-stroke: r = 0.6049, p < 0.0001; NCDR-mortality: r = 0.6097, p < 0.0001). A negative correlation was revealed for the DAPT score (r = -0.2291, p < 0.0001, Fig. 1B).
Discrimination potency for MACCE and bleeding was calculated for all analyzed scores in this study. All scores except from the ABC stroke score predicted the occurrence of MACCE. The HASBLED, DAPT and PARIS thrombotic scores predicted MACCE solely with the highest discrimination index for the PARIS thrombotic score (HASBLED: AUC 0.552, p = 0.024, YI 3, sens 0.51, spec 0.42; DAPT score: AUC 0.55, p = 0.031, YI 2, sens 0.52, spec 0.57; PARIS thrombotic: AUC 0.61, p < 0.0001, YI 4, sens 0.66, spec 0.52). Bleeding prediction was not significant for these scores (HASBLED: AUC 0.59, p = 0.075, YI 3, sens 0.55, spec 0.56; DAPT Score: AUC 0.54, p = 0.464, YI 1, sens 0.457, spec 0.647; PARIS thrombotic: AUC 0.55, p = 0.305, YI 4, sens 0.66, spec 0.49). The PARIS bleeding, GRACE-, NCDR mortality, NCDR bleeding and CHA₂DS₂-VASc - Score predicted both MACCE (PARIS bleeding: AUC 0.66, p < 0.0001, YI 6, sens 0.62, spec 0.57; NCDR bleeding: AUC 0.61, p < 0.001, YI 63, sens 0.49, spec 0.72; CHA₂DS₂-VASc: AUC 0.57, p = 0.002, YI 5, sens 0.54, spec 0.56) and bleeding significantly (PARIS bleeding: AUC 0.77, p < 0.0001, YI 7, sens 0.77, spec 0.57; GRACE: AUC 0.69, p < 0.0001, YI 130, sens 0.63, spec 0.70; NCDR mortality: AUC 0.67, p = 0.001, YI 35, sens 0.71, spec 0.55; NCDR bleeding: AUC 0.58, p < 0.0001, YI 53, sens 0.69, spec 0.55; CHA₂DS₂-VASc: AUC 0.56, p = 0.0045, YI 5, sens 0.54, spec 0.56).

4. Discussion

The major findings of this study were (A) that PRECISE-DAPT correlates with scores for prediction of bleeding, (B) that PRECISE-DAPT > 25 was associated with higher rates of bleeding but also with enhanced risk for MACCE and its single components all-cause mortality and MI and (C) that discrimination potency of
PRECISE-DAPT is moderate for bleeding prediction but also for MACCE with nearly equal cut-off values in our cohort.

The optimal DAPT duration is challenging. DAPT guidelines recommend shortening of DAPT duration down to three months after ACS based on the PRECISE-DAPT Score [1,4,13]. In this analysis, nearly half of patients had higher bleeding risk according to PD score and are recommended to receive shortened DAPT. Here, we could show that the PD score predicts bleeding with moderate accuracy – however, ischemic events were predicted as well. This is in line with first pilot studies investigating risk for ischemic events by the PD: Ando et al. revealed that a high PD was associated with higher long-term mortality after ACS [14]. This has also been shown by Morici et al. who investigated platelet count and use of PD score for prediction of mortality [15]. Cardiovascular events are the most common reason for mortality in western countries [16] and moreover, PD was shown to be associated with the degree of coronary stenosis in ACS [17]. Therefore, ischemic events might explain the high mortality in PD ≥ 25 patients. In our study,

---

**Fig. 2.** Kaplan Meier Kurven with log-rank analysis for occurrence of (A) major and (B) minor bleeding, (C) major adverse cerebro- and cardiovascular events (MACCE) and its single components (D) all-cause mortality, (E) myocardial infarction (MI) and (F) stroke/transient ischemic attack (TIA). N = 994, log-rank test for hazard ratio (HR) determination with 95% confidence interval (CI), Shapiro-Wilks test showed normal distribution. MACCE = Major adverse cerebro- and cardiovascular events; MI = Myocardial infarction; TIA = Transient ischemic attack; TIMI = Thrombolysis in Myocardial infarction.
Fig. 3. Receiver operating statistics (ROC) analysis and Youden’s statistic for discrimination potency of the PRECISE-DAPT Score for (A) major and minor bleeding as well as for MACCE and its single components and (B) in comparison with hitherto scores for bleeding and ischemic risk prediction. AUC = area under the curve; DAPT = Dual antiplatelet therapy; GRACE = Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; MACCE = Major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events; MI = Myocardial infarction; NCDR = National Cardiovascular Data Registry; PARIS = Patterns of Non-Adherence to Anti-Platelet Regimen in Stented Patients; PD = PRECISE-DAPT = PREdicting bleeding Complications In patients undergoing Stent implantation and subsEquent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy; TIA = Transient ischemic attack.
we show that MI was higher as well. This is in line with a recent analysis by Valgimigli et al. who revealed that PD also has a discrimination capacity for cardiovascular mortality, MI or stent thrombosis [5]. Based on these results, optimal DAPT duration in patients with high risk for thrombotic and bleeding events seems even more challenging in reference to scoring systems. In comparison, the American DAPT-guidelines do not include a score based decision for de-escalated DAPT. Instead, an individual assessment including clinical judgment and a balanced ratio for bleeding and ischemia is recommended [18]. This seems both pleasant and testing as it relies on the clinical assessment of the treating physician. However, a sub-study of the SMART-Date trial investigated shortening DAPT duration to 6 months with the view to bleeding and MACCE. The authors revealed a reduction in bleeding complications without any differences in MACCE [19]. Additionally, a recent meta-analysis investigated adaption of DAPT duration by PD after second-generation stent implantation: Bleeding complications were again reduced in patients with shortened DAPT whereby MACCE were unaffected [20]. This underlines the clinical usefulness of the score irrespectively from prediction of MACCE. It is crucial in this context that PD is based on a cohort with pre-dominant clopidogrel use for DAPT. However, ticagrelor and prasugrel are recommended over clopidogrel in ACS [1,21]. Nevertheless, a current analysis by Valgimigli et al. investigated PD in DAPT with ticagrelor. In this cohort as well, PD was useful to predict major bleeding with the PARIS thrombotic score to complement for ischemic risk prediction [5].

As expected, PD predicted bleeding well in our analysis. This is in line with its generation approach and was already demonstrated in several studies [19,22]. Additionally, its predictive ability was shown to be stable with regard to longitudinal changes [23]. Moreover, a simplified four-item PD score was already shown to categorize patients benefiting from prolonged DAPT without higher risk for bleeding [24,25]. In our analysis, major bleeding only just reached statistical significance. This might be due to the fact that bleeding rates were rather low as they were defined by TIMI major and minor bleeding. Moreover, patient related factors such as comorbidities, co-medication and clinical presentation in comparison with other studies might have influenced results. Nearly two thirds of patients were on proton pump inhibitors in our study. Moreover, due to its all-comers design, number of patients with ACS as index event rather low. However, in a post hoc sub-analysis of the Global Leaders trial, PD was demonstrated to predict short-term bleeding both for the overall population and for the subgroup of ACS patients [26]. Interestingly, patients with PD > 25 had more often triple antiplatelet therapy in line with an increased rate of patients with AF. Triple therapy is a known risk factor for bleeding that will have intensified rate of bleeding in this cohort. However, DAPT duration is altered in these patients with four weeks of triple therapy followed by dual therapy with a P2Y12 inhibitor and oral anticoagulation. In case of high bleeding risk, a potential drop of aspirin is discussed as strategy to reduce rate of bleeding events [3,4].

It is a common problem that bleeding scores predict ischemic events as well and vice versa. This might be due to the fact that included parameters are similar. Especially age is included in every score except from the PARIS thrombotic score. Moreover, any kind of thromboembolic event in the past or CKD represent frequently used parameters followed by ACS, diabetes, anemia, reduced ejection fraction or smoking. In comparison with the other analyzed scores, prediction of bleeding substantially differed in our study. The PARIS bleeding score showed the highest discrimination index followed by PD, CRACE – and NCDR mortality Score. The NCDR Bleeding and CHA2DS2-VASc Score predicted bleeding with moderate accuracy. The HASBLED-, DAPT-, PARIS thrombotic and ABC stroke score did not predict bleeding. Surprisingly, the CHA2DS2-VASc Score predicted bleeding even better than the HASBLED score. However, these scores as well as the ABC stroke score were established for patients with indication for oral anticoagulation and not for risk assessment post PCI. In our cohort, only one quarter of patients had AF and moreover, rate of stroke was low. These facts might have biased the results.

A prolonged DAPT is discussed in accordance to the DAPT score [7]. In our study, the DAPT score performed moderately in prediction of MACCE but did not predict the occurrence of bleeding. However, discrimination between bleeding and ischemic events by DAPT score is currently a matter of debate. In this context, a recent analysis of real world data did not reveal relevant differences in MI rates in patients stratified by DAPT score [27]. Moreover, a recent Swedish register study demonstrated that DAPT score did not discriminate well between bleeding and ischemic events [28]. The PARIS thrombotic score was established to calculate the risk of coronary thrombotic events after PCI [12]. Here, we could show that performance in prediction of MACCE was precise without prediction of bleeding. This is in line with the results by Valgimigli et al. [5].

Our study has several limitations. First, this is not a prospective-randomized trial. Moreover, patients with PD > 25 had more comorbidities and differed in laboratory parameters and co-medication. However, multivariate analysis was conducted to adjust for these differentiating characteristics. Nevertheless, further factors that have been assessed might have confounded the analysis as well. Additionally, our cohort represents a heterogeneous one with 60% of patients with acute coronary syndrome with higher mortality and thrombotic risk than CCS patients. Moreover, a substantial part of patients is in the need for triple therapy. Oral anticoagulants have been shown to influence platelet reactivity [2]. Therefore, further sub-analyses in these patients are needed. Finally, this study was not designed to investigate clinical outcome based on shortened DAPT duration. This would have applied our findings more directly into routine clinical practice. However, our findings emphasize the importance of an individual based medicine instead of an objectified score related risk estimation.

5. Conclusion

With the aim of an objectified therapy, a multiplicity of scores is available in clinical routine. The recently developed PRECISE-DAPT score is easy to use and PD score has a high prediction value for bleeding prediction. In this study, we revealed that MACCE can be predicted as well. Hence, adaptation of antiplatelet therapy based on calculated PD score is a useful, objective tool to assess bleeding risk in post-PCI patients. Nevertheless, it should be made with caution under outweigh of ischemic complications with the view to an individualized patient related therapy.
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