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Abstract
In this article, we consider a bivariate Chlodowsky type Szász–Durrmeyer operators on weighted spaces. We obtain the rate of approximation in connection with the partial and complete modulus of continuity and also for the elements of the Lipschitz type class. Moreover, we examine the degree of convergence with regard to the weighted modulus of continuity and Peetre’s $K$-functional. Further, we construct the associated GBS type of these operators and estimate the degree of approximation using the mixed modulus of continuity and a class of the Lipschitz of Bögel type continuous functions. Finally, with the help of Maple software, we present the comparisons of the convergence of the bivariate Chlodowsky type Szász–Durrmeyer operators and associated GBS type operators to certain functions with some graphs and error estimation tables.
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1 Introduction
The approximation of the continuous functions via the sequences of linear positive operators, which have many applications in disciplines such as engineering and physics, besides mathematics, has been an important research topic since the last century. In [1], Bernstein proposed one of the elegant proof of the Weierstrass approximation theorem. A generalization of Bernstein operators on an unbounded set was introduced by Chlodowsky [2]. In 1930, an integral modification of the classical Bernstein operators was presented by Kantorovich [3]. In [4, 5], Szász–Mirakjan considered the linear positive operators on $[0, \infty)$, which are related to the Poisson distribution. In 1957, Baskakov [6] studied a sequence of positive linear operators for the convenient functions defined on the interval $[0, \infty)$. To approximate the Lebesgue integrable functions, Durrmeyer [7] introduced and studied the integral modification of the Bernstein operators. In recent years, a lot of generalizations and modifications of above-mentioned operators over finite or infinite intervals have been studied by several authors. One of the prominent components of approximation theory, the Korovkin type theorems, on weighted spaces was introduced by
Gadzhiev [8, 9], Ispir [10] presented a modification of the Baskakov operators for the interval \([0, b_m]\) and derived some approximation results in terms of the Korovkin theorems. In [11], Ditzian studied a necessary and adequate condition on the degree of convergence of Szász–Mirakjan and Baskakov operators on weighted spaces. In 2005, Ibikli and Karsli [12] proposed the Bernstein–Chlodowsky operators in terms of Durrmeyer type operators and reached some approximation results of these operators. Mazhar and Totik [13] considered a modification of the integral type of the Szász–Mirakjan operators and proved direct estimates and saturation results of these operators. In [14], Mursaleen and Ansari defined the Chlodowsky version of the Szász operators by the Brenke type polynomials and established degree of convergence with a classical method, Peetre’s \(K\)-functional and second-order modulus of continuity. Dogru [15] investigated some properties of the continuous functions on \([0, \infty)\) by the modified positive linear operators. In 2013, Izgi [16] introduced and studied the following composition of the Chlodowsky and Szász–Durrmeyer operators on weighted spaces

\[
Z_m(\mu; x) = \frac{m}{b_m} \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_{m,k}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} s_{m,k}(t) \mu(t) \, dt, \quad (0 \leq x \leq b_m),
\]

where \(p_{m,k}(q) = \binom{m}{k} q^k (1-q)^{m-k}, \quad (0 \leq k \leq m), \quad q \in [0,1], \quad s_{m,k}(w) = e^{-mw^j}(mw^j)^j j!, \quad w \in [0, \infty),\) and \((b_m)\) is a positive and increasing sequence with the following assumption:

\[
 \lim_{m \to \infty} b_m = \infty, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{b_m^2}{m} = 0.
\]

He investigated the uniform convergence, rate of approximation on weighted spaces, and proved a Voronovskaya type asymptotic formula for the operators (1.1). Recently, the univariate or bivariate cases of several well-known linear positive operators have been studied in many papers [17–24].

The structure of this research is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we propose the bivariate extension of operators (1.1). We introduce the uniform convergence of these operators and estimate the order of approximation in terms of the partial and complete modulus of continuity for the elements of the Lipschitz type class, weighted modulus of continuity, and Peetre’s \(K\)-functional, respectively. In Sect. 3, we discuss the associated GBS type of these operators and investigate the order of convergence by the mixed modulus of smoothness and the Lipschitz class of the Bögel continuous functions. In the final section, we present some graphs and error estimation tables to compare the convergence of bivariate and associated GBS type operators to certain functions.

### 2 Construction of the operators

Let \(I_{\alpha_n} := [0, \alpha_n] \times [0, \beta_m]\) and the space \(C(I_{\alpha_n})\) be the set of all real-valued functions of bivariate continuous on \(I_{\alpha_n}\). The weighted function is given by

\[
\rho(z, y) = 1 + z^2 + y^2, \quad (z, y) \in I_{\alpha_n}.
\]

It is endowed with the norm \(\|\mu\|_{\rho} = \sup_{(z, y) \in I_{\alpha_n}} \frac{\mu(z, y)}{\rho(z, y)}\). Moreover, by \(B_{\rho}(I_{\alpha_n})\), we denote the real-valued continuous functions on \(I_{\alpha_n}\) and verify \(|\mu(z, y)| \leq C_\mu \rho(z, y)\); here \(C_\mu\) is fixed and depends just on \(\mu\). We also denote by \(C_{\rho}(I_{\alpha_n})\) the subspace of every continuous
function depending on \( B_\rho(I_{\alpha_n\beta_m}) \), and by \( C_\rho^\mu \) the subspace of every functions \( \mu \in C_\rho(I_{\alpha_n\beta_m}) \), satisfying \( \lim_{(x,y) \to (\infty, \infty)} \frac{\mu(x,y)}{xy} = a \), where \( a \) is a constant depending on \( \mu \). In what follows, let \( e_{\alpha\mu}(z, y) = z^\alpha y^\mu, (z, y) \in I_{\alpha_n\beta_m} \) \( (u, v) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \) with \( 0 \leq u, v \leq 4 \) be the bivariate test functions.

Now, based on the method of parametric extensions (see: [25, 26]), we define two-dimensional Chlodowsky–Szász–Durrmeyer operators as follows:

\[
R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) = \frac{n}{\alpha_n \beta_m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} P_{n,m,k,j}(\frac{z}{\alpha_n}, \frac{y}{\beta_m}) \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{n,m,k,j}(\frac{t}{\alpha_n}, \frac{s}{\beta_m}) \mu(t, s) \, dt \, ds,
\]

(2.1)

where \( P_{n,m,k,j}(u_1, v_1) = (\binom{m}{j}) \binom{n}{k} u_1^k (1 - u_1)^{n-k} (1 - v_1)^{m-j}, (0 \leq k \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq m), (u_1, v_1) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \) and \( S_{n,m,k,j}(u_2, v_2) = e^{-\mu u_2} e^{-\mu v_2} (\frac{nu_2}{\alpha_n} + \frac{mv_2}{\beta_m})^j (\frac{nu_2}{\alpha_n} + \frac{mv_2}{\beta_m})^k, (u_2, v_2) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty); (z, y) \in I_{\alpha_n\beta_m} \) and the sequences \( (\alpha_n), (\beta_m) \) are increasing of positive numbers, satisfying:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \beta_m = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha_n^2}{n} = 0, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\beta_m^2}{m} = 0.
\]

(2.2)

**Lemma 2.1** ([16]) For the test functions \( e_p(t) = t^p, p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \) the following identities hold:

\[
Z_m(e_0; y) = 1, \quad Z_m(e_1; y) = y + \frac{b_m}{m},
\]

\[
Z_m(e_2; y) = y^2 + \frac{(4b_m - y)y}{m} + \frac{2b_m^2}{m^2},
\]

\[
Z_m(e_3; y) = y^3 + \frac{(18b_m^2 + 9(m - 1)b_m - (3m - 2)y^2)y}{m^2} + \frac{6b_m^3}{m^3},
\]

\[
Z_m(e_4; y) = y^4 + \left[ 96b_m^3 + 72(m - 1)b_m^2 + 16(m - 1)(m - 2)b_m y^2 - (6m^2 - 11m + 6)y^3 \right] \frac{y}{m^3} + \frac{24b_m^4}{m^4}.
\]

**Lemma 2.2** Let the operators \( R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) \) be given by (2.1). Then the following identities hold true:

(i) \( R_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; z, y) = 1, \)

(ii) \( R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}; z, y) = z + \frac{\alpha_n}{n}, \)

(iii) \( R_{n,m}(e_{0,1}; z, y) = y + \frac{\beta_m}{m}, \)

(iv) \( R_{n,m}(e_{2,0}; z, y) = z^2 + \frac{4\alpha_n}{n} z - \frac{2\alpha_n^2}{n^2}, \)

(v) \( R_{n,m}(e_{0,2}; z, y) = y^2 + \frac{4\beta_m}{m} y - \frac{2\beta_m^2}{m^2}, \)

(vi) \( R_{n,m}(e_{3,0}; z, y) = z^3 + \frac{18\alpha_n^2 + 9(n - 1)\alpha_n z - (3n - 2)z^2}{n^2} z + \frac{6\alpha_n^3}{n^3}. \)
(vii) \[ R_{n,m}(e_{0,3}; z, y) = y^3 + \frac{(18\beta_n^2 + 9(m-1)\beta_n y - (3m-2)y^2)}{m^2}y + \frac{6\beta_n^3}{m^3}, \]

(viii) \[ R_{n,m}(e_{4,0}; z, y) = z^4 + \frac{96\alpha_n^3 + 72(n-1)\alpha_n z + 16(n-1)(n-2)\alpha_n z^2}{m^2}z + \frac{24\alpha_n^4}{m^4}, \]

(ix) \[ R_{n,m}(e_{0,4}; z, y) = y^4 + \frac{96\beta_n^3 + 72(m-1)\beta_n y + 16(m-1)(m-2)\beta_n y^2}{m^2}y + \frac{24\beta_n^4}{m^4}. \]

**Proof** The desired result can be obtained easily from Lemma 2.1 and (2.1).

**Lemma 2.3** Let the operators \( R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) \) be given by (2.1). Then in view of Lemma 2.2, we have

(i) \[ R_{n,m}(e_{1,0} - z; z, y) = \frac{\alpha_n}{n}, \]

(ii) \[ R_{n,m}(e_{0,1} - y; z, y) = \frac{\beta_m}{m}, \]

(iii) \[ R_{n,m}((e_{1,0} - z)^2; z, y) = \left(\frac{2\alpha_n - z}{n}\right)z + \frac{2\alpha_n^2}{n^2}, \]

(iv) \[ R_{n,m}((e_{0,1} - y)^2; z, y) = \left(\frac{2\beta_m - y}{m}\right)y + \frac{2\beta_m^2}{m^2}, \]

(v) \[ R_{n,m}((e_{1,0} - z)^4; z, y) = \frac{z}{n^3}(72\alpha_n^3 - 12(6n - 1)\alpha_n z - 4(n - 8)\alpha_n z^2 + 3(n - 2)z^2) + \frac{24\alpha_n^4}{n^4}, \]

(vi) \[ R_{n,m}((e_{0,1} - y)^4; z, y) = \frac{y}{m^3}(72\beta_n^3 - 12(6n - 1)\beta_n y - 4(m - 8)\beta_n y^2 + 3(m - 2)y^2) + \frac{24\beta_n^4}{m^4}. \]

**Proof** Since the proofs of (ii), (iv), and (vi) can be obtained with similar calculations, we will only prove (i), (iii), and (v).

Using the properties of linearity of operators (2.1) and Lemma 2.1, one has

(i) \[ R_{n,m}(e_{1,0} - z; z, y) = R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}; z, y) - zR_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; z, y) \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha_n}{n}, \]

(iii) \[ R_{n,m}((e_{1,0} - z)^2; z, y) = R_{n,m}(e_{2,0}; z, y) - 2zR_{n,m}(e_{1,0}; z, y) + z^2R_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; z, y) \]

\[ = z^2 + \frac{\left[4\alpha_n - z\right]z}{n} + \frac{2\alpha_n^2}{n^2} - 2z\left(z + \frac{\alpha_n}{n}\right) + z^2 \]

\[ = \left(\frac{2\alpha_n - z}{n}\right)z + \frac{2\alpha_n^2}{n^2}, \]

(v) \[ R_{n,m}((e_{1,0} - z)^4; z, y) \]

\[ = R_{n,m}(e_{4,0}; z, y) - 4zR_{n,m}(e_{3,0}; z, y) + 6z^2R_{n,m}(e_{2,0}; z, y) - 4z^3R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}; z, y) + z^4R_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; z, y) \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= z^4 + \left\{ 96\alpha_n^3 + 72(n-1)\alpha_n^2 z + 16(n-1)(n-2)\alpha_n z^2 - (6n^2 - 11n + 6)z^3 \right\} \frac{z}{n^3} \\
&\quad + \frac{24\alpha_n^4}{n^4} - 4z \left( \frac{18\alpha_n^2 + 9(n-1)\alpha_n z - (3n-2)z^2}{n^2} + \frac{6\alpha_n^3}{n^3} \right) \\
&\quad + 6z^2 \left( \frac{2\alpha_n z}{n} + \frac{z}{n^2} \right) - 4z^3 \left( z + \frac{\alpha_n}{n} \right) + z^4 \\
&= \frac{z}{n^3} \left\{ 72\alpha_n^3 - 12(6n-1)\alpha_n^2 z - 4(n-8)\alpha_n z^2 + 3(n-2)z^3 \right\} + \frac{24\alpha_n^4}{n^4}. \quad \Box
\end{align*}
\]

**Lemma 2.4** As a consequence of Lemma 2.3, we have

(i) \( \sup_{0 \leq z \leq \alpha_n} R_{n,m}(e_{1,0} - z)^2; z, y \leq \frac{3\alpha_n^2}{n} \),

(ii) \( \sup_{0 \leq y \leq \beta_m} R_{n,m}(e_{0,1} - y)^2; z, y \leq \frac{3\beta_m^2}{m} \),

(iii) \( \sup_{0 \leq z \leq \alpha_n} R_{n,m}(e_{1,0} - z)^4; z, y \leq \left( \frac{12\alpha_n^2}{n} \right)^2 \),

(vi) \( \sup_{0 \leq y \leq \beta_m} R_{n,m}(e_{0,1} - y)^4; z, y \leq \left( \frac{12\beta_m^2}{m} \right)^2 \).

**Proof** In view of Lemma 2.3, one can obtain

(i) \( \sup_{0 \leq z \leq \alpha_n} R_{n,m}(e_{1,0} - z)^2; z, y \leq \frac{2(n+1)\alpha_n^2}{n^2} \leq \frac{3\alpha_n^2}{n} \)

and

(iii) \( \sup_{0 \leq z \leq \alpha_n} R_{n,m}(e_{1,0} - z)^4; z, y \leq \frac{3(n+47)\alpha_n^4}{n^3} \leq \left( \frac{12\alpha_n^2}{n} \right)^2 \).

Analogously, the proof of the inequalities (ii) and (iv) can be obtained by the same methods; thus we get the desired result. \( \Box \)

In the next theorem, with the help of theorems related to the weighted approximation of functions of several variables proved by Gadzhiev et al. [27], we show the uniform convergence of the operators given by (2.1) on \( I_{\alpha_n\beta_m} \).

**Theorem 2.5** Let the linear positive operators \( R_{n,m}: C(I_{\alpha_n\beta_m}) \rightarrow B_{\rho}(I_{\alpha_n\beta_m}) \) verify the following conditions:

\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \| R_{n,m}(e_{0,0}) - 1 \|_{\rho} = 0, \\
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \| R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}) - z \|_{\rho} = 0, \\
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \| R_{n,m}(e_{0,1}) - y \|_{\rho} = 0, \\
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \| R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}^2 + e_{0,1}^2) - (z^2 + y^2) \|_{\rho} = 0.
\]
Hence,
\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \left\| R_{n,m}(\mu) - \mu \right\|_{\rho} = 0
\]
for all \( \mu \in C_{\rho}^{\alpha}(I_{\alpha \beta}) \).

**Proof** Taking into account the following relations from Lemma 2.2:

1. \( R_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; z, y) = 1 \),
2. \( R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}; z, y) = z + \frac{\alpha_n}{n} \),
3. \( R_{n,m}(e_{0,1}; z, y) = y + \frac{\beta_m}{m} \),
4. \( R_{n,m}(e_{2,0}; z, y) = z^2 + \frac{4\alpha_n - z}{n} z + 2\alpha_n^2 + \frac{2\alpha_n^2}{n^2} \),
5. \( R_{n,m}(e_{2,1}; z, y) = y^2 + \frac{4\beta_m - y}{m} y + \frac{2\beta_m^2}{m^2} \).

Thus, it clear that \( \| R_{n,m}(e_{0,0}) - 1 \|_{\rho} \to 0 \) as \( n, m \to \infty \) on \( I_{\alpha \beta} \).

\[
\| R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}) - z \|_{\rho} = \sup_{(z,y) \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \left| \frac{R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}) - z}{1 + z^2 + y^2} \right| \leq \frac{\alpha_n}{n} \sup_{(z,y) \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \frac{1}{1 + z^2 + y^2}.
\]

Hence, we get
\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \left\| R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}) - z \right\|_{\rho} = 0.
\]

Similarly, one can obtain
\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \left\| R_{n,m}(e_{0,1}) - y \right\|_{\rho} = 0.
\]

Also,
\[
\| R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}^2 + e_{0,1}^2) - (z^2 + y^2) \|_{\rho} \\
= \sup_{(z,y) \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \frac{\left| R_{n,m}(e_{2,0}; z, y) + R_{n,m}(e_{0,1}; z, y) - (z^2 + y^2) \right|}{1 + z^2 + y^2} \\
\leq 2 \left( \frac{\alpha_n^2}{n^2} + \frac{\beta_m^2}{m^2} \right) \sup_{(z,y) \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \frac{1}{1 + z^2 + y^2} + 4 \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{n} + \frac{\beta_m}{m} \right) \sup_{(z,y) \in I_{\alpha \beta}} \frac{z + y}{1 + z^2 + y^2}.
\]

Thus, we have
\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \left\| R_{n,m}(e_{1,0}^2 + e_{0,1}^2) - (z^2 + y^2) \right\|_{\rho} = 0.
\]

Since all conditions of the bivariate Korovkin type theorem are satisfied, we arrive
\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \left\| R_{n,m}(\mu) - \mu \right\|_{\rho} = 0,
\]
for all \( \mu \in C_{\rho}^{\alpha}(I_{\alpha \beta}) \). Consequently, the proof is complete. \( \square \)
Let \( I_{cd} := [0, c] \times [0, d] \subset I_{n,m} \). For \( \mu(z, y) \in C(I_{cd}) \), we give the complete modulus of continuity as follows:

\[
\sigma(\mu, \gamma_n, \gamma_m) = \sup \left\{ |\mu(u, v) - \mu(z, y)| : (u, v), (z, y) \in I_{cd}, |u - z| \leq \gamma_n, |v - y| \leq \gamma_m \right\}
\]

where \( \sigma(\mu, \gamma_n, \gamma_m) \) verify the subsequent properties:

1. \( \sigma(\mu, \gamma_n, \gamma_m) \to 0 \text{ as } \gamma_n \to 0, \gamma_m \to 0 \)
2. \( |\mu(u, v) - \mu(z, y)| \leq \sigma(\mu, \gamma_n, \gamma_m) \left( 1 + \frac{|u - z|}{\gamma_n} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{|v - y|}{\gamma_m} \right) \)

For the integers \( z \) and \( y \), the partial modulus of continuity is given by

\[
\omega_1(\mu, y) = \sup \left\{ |\mu(u_1, y) - \mu(u_2, y)| : y \in [0, d], |u_1 - u_2| \leq y, y > 0 \right\},
\]

\[
\omega_2(\mu, y) = \sup \left\{ |\mu(z, v_1) - \mu(z, v_2)| : z \in [0, c], |v_1 - v_2| \leq y, y > 0 \right\}.
\]

Let the space \( C^2(I_{cd}) \) denote the functions of \( \mu \) such that \( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_j} \) \((j = 1, 2)\) belongs to \( C(I_{cd}) \). For \( \mu \in C(I_{cd}) \), the norm on \( C^2(I_{cd}) \) and Peetre’s \( K \)-functional are defined as follows:

\[
\|\mu\|_{C^2(I_{cd})} = \|\mu\|_{C(I_{cd})} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{C(I_{cd})} + \left\| \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_j} \right\|_{C(I_{cd})} \right)
\]

and

\[
K_2(\mu, \xi) = \inf \left\{ \|\mu - h\|_{C(I_{cd})} + \xi \|h\|_{C^2(I_{cd})} : h \in C^2(I_{cd}) \right\},
\]

respectively, where \( \xi > 0 \). Also, the following inequality:

\[
K_2(\mu, \xi) \leq D\omega^2_2(\mu, \sqrt{\xi}) \tag{2.3}
\]

holds, where \( \omega^2_2(\mu, \sqrt{\xi}) \) denotes the second order of the modulus of continuity, and \( D > 0 \) is an absolute constant independent of \( \mu, \xi \) and \( \omega^2_2 \).

**Theorem 2.6** For any \((z, y) \in I_{cd}\) and all \( \mu \in C(I_{cd}) \), we arrive

\[
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \leq 2\sigma(\mu, \gamma_n, \gamma_m(z, y)),
\]

where \( \gamma_n = \gamma_n(z) = \sqrt{R_{n,m}(\epsilon_{1,0} - z^2; z, y)} \), \( \gamma_m = \gamma_m(y) = \sqrt{R_{n,m}(\epsilon_{0,1} - y^2; z, y)} \) and \( \gamma_{n,m} = \gamma_{n,m}(z, y) = \sqrt{\gamma_n^2(z) + \gamma_m^2(y)} \).

**Proof** Taking into account the properties of \( \sigma(\mu, \gamma_{n,m}) \) and utilizing the linearity of the operators (2.1) yield

\[
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| = |R_{n,m}(\mu(t, s); z, y) - R_{n,m}(\mu(z, y); z, y)|
\]
Using the linearity of operators (2.1) and Lemma 2.2, we arrive

\[
\begin{align*}
&\leq R_{n,m}\left(\|\mu(t, s) - \mu(z, y)\| z, y\right) \\
&\leq R_{n,m}\left(\sigma\left(\sqrt{(e_{1,0} - z)^2 + (e_{0,1} - y)^2}\right) z, y\right) \\
&\leq \sigma(\mu, \gamma_{n,m})\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,m}} R_{n,m}\left(\sqrt{(e_{1,0} - z)^2 + (e_{0,1} - y)^2}\right) z, y\right].
\end{align*}
\]

Next, using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.2, one can obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \\
&\leq \sigma(\mu, \gamma_{n,m})\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,m}} \left(R_{n,m}\left((e_{1,0} - z)^2 + (e_{0,1} - y)^2\right) z, y\right)\right]^\frac{1}{2} \\
&= \sigma(\mu, \gamma_{n,m})\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_{n,m}} \left(\gamma_n^2(z) + \gamma_m^2(y)\right)^\frac{1}{2} \right] \\
&= 2\sigma(\mu, \gamma_{n,m}(z, y)),
\end{align*}
\]

which gives the proof. \qed

**Theorem 2.7** Suppose that the operators \(R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y)\) are given by (2.1), and \(\mu \in C(I_{cd})\). Then the following relation verifies

\[
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \leq 2\left(\omega_1(\mu, \gamma_n) + \omega_2(\mu, \gamma_m)\right),
\]

where \(\gamma_n = \gamma_n(z)\) and \(\gamma_m = \gamma_m(y)\) are given as in Theorem 2.6.

**Proof** Using the linearity of operators (2.1) and Lemma 2.2, we arrive

\[
\begin{align*}
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \\
&= |R_{n,m}(\mu(t, s); z, y) - R_{n,m}(\mu(z, y); z, y)| \\
&\leq R_{n,m}\left(\|\mu(t, s) - \mu(z, y)\| z, y\right) \\
&\leq R_{n,m}\left(\|\mu(t, s) - \mu(z, s)\| z, s\right) + R_{n,m}\left(\|\mu(z, s) - \mu(z, y)\| z, y\right) \\
&\leq \omega_1(\mu, \gamma_n)\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_n} R_{n,m}(t; z, y)\right] \\
&\quad + \omega_2(\mu, \gamma_m)\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_m} R_{n,m}(s; y, z, y)\right].
\end{align*}
\]

Utilizing the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

\[
\begin{align*}
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| &\leq \omega_1(\mu, \gamma_n)\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \left(R_{n,m}\left((e_{1,0} - z)^2\right) z, y\right)\right]^\frac{1}{2} \\
&\quad + \omega_2(\mu, \gamma_m)\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_m} \left(R_{n,m}\left((e_{0,1} - y)^2\right) z, y\right)\right]^\frac{1}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, for all \((z, y) \in I_{cd}\), taking \(\gamma_n = \gamma_n(z)\) and \(\gamma_m = \gamma_m(y)\) as in Theorem 2.6, we have the proof of this theorem. \qed
With the help of the Lipschitz class, we will also estimate the order of approximation of operators (2.1). Let $\mu \in C(I_{cd})$, $(z,y), (t,s) \in I_{cd}$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in (0,1]$ the class of Lipschitz for the bivariate case is given by

$$\text{Lip}_L(\mu; \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \{ \mu \in C(I_{cd}) : |\mu(t,s) - \mu(z,y)| \leq L|t - z|^{\varphi_1} |s - y|^{\varphi_2} \}.$$  

(2.4)

**Theorem 2.8** Suppose that $\mu \in \text{Lip}_L(\mu; \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$. Then for all $(z,y) \in I_{cd}$, we obtain

$$|R_{n,m}(\mu; z,y) - \mu(z,y)| \leq L(\delta_n(z))^\varphi_1 (\delta_m(y))^\varphi_2,$$

where $\delta_n(z)$ and $\delta_m(y)$ are given as in Theorem 2.6.

**Proof** Using the linearity and monotonicity properties of operators (2.1), in view of Lemma 2.2, it becomes

$$|R_{n,m}(\mu; z,y) - \mu(z,y)| \leq R_{n,m}(\{ |\mu(t,s) - \mu(z,y)| ; z,y \})$$

$$\leq LR_{n,m}(|t - z|^{\varphi_1} |s - y|^{\varphi_2}; z,y)$$

$$= LR_{n,m}(|t - z|^{\varphi_1}; z,y)R_{n,m}(|s - y|^{\varphi_2}; z,y).$$

Utilizing the Hölder’s inequality for $(p_1, q_1) = \left( \frac{2}{\varphi_1}, \frac{2}{2-\varphi_1} \right)$, $(p_2, q_2) = \left( \frac{2}{\varphi_2}, \frac{2}{2-\varphi_2} \right)$, one has

$$|R_{n,m}(\mu; z,y) - \mu(z,y)| \leq L(R_{n,m}((e_{1,0} - z)^2; z,y)^{\frac{\varphi_1}{2}} R_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; z,y)^{\frac{2-\varphi_1}{2}}$$

$$\times R_{n,m}((e_{0,1} - y)^2; z,y)^{\frac{\varphi_2}{2}} R_{n,m}(e_{0,0}; z,y)^{\frac{2-\varphi_2}{2}}$$

$$\leq L(\delta_n(z))^\varphi_1 (\delta_m(y))^\varphi_2.$$

Hence, the proof is completed. \hfill \square

Next, we will examine the degree of approximation of functions $\mu \in C^*_\rho$ on $I_{a,bn}$. Analogously as in [18], for each $\mu \in C^*_\rho$, we consider the weighted modulus of continuity as below:

$$\Delta_{n,m}(\mu; \gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \sup_{|r| \leq \gamma_1, |s| \leq \gamma_2} \frac{|\mu(z + r, y + s) - \mu(z,y)|}{\rho(r,s)\rho(z,y)}.$$

Additionally, $\Delta_{n,m}(\mu; \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \to 0$ for $\gamma_1 \to 0, \gamma_2 \to 0$. Also, for $\mu_1 > 0, \mu_2 > 0$, the following relation satisfies

$$\Delta_{n,m}(\mu; \mu_1 \gamma_1, \mu_2 \gamma_2) \leq 4(1 + \mu_1)(1 + \mu_2)\Delta_{n,m}(\mu; \gamma_1, \gamma_2).$$

**Theorem 2.9** Let $R_{n,m}(\mu; z,y)$ operators be given by (2.1). For all $\mu \in C^*_\rho$ and $n, m$ the following inequality

$$\sup_{(z,y) \in I_{a,bn}} \frac{|R_{n,m}(\mu; z,y) - \mu(z,y)|}{1 + z^2 + y^2} \leq K\Delta_{n,m}(\mu; \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_n}{n}}, \sqrt{\frac{\beta_m}{m}}),$$

holds and is sufficiently large, where $K > 0$ is a constant.
Proof For all \((z, y) \in I_{\alpha_{n}, \beta_{m}}, (t, s) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)\), using the definition of operators (2.1), we get

\[
|R_{n,m}(\mu(t, s); z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \\
\leq R_{n,m}(\{\mu(t, s) - \mu(z, y); z, y\}) \\
\leq 8\left(1 + z^2 + y^2\right)\Delta_{n,m}(\mu; \gamma_{n}, \gamma_{m})R_{n,m}(B_1(z)B_2(y); z, y),
\]  
(2.5)

where

\[
B_1(z) = \left(1 + \frac{|t - z|}{\gamma_{n}}\right)(1 + (t - z)^2),
\]

and

\[
B_2(y) = \left(1 + \frac{|s - y|}{\gamma_{m}}\right)(1 + (s - y)^2).
\]

It is clear that since

\[
B_1(z) \leq \begin{cases} 
2(1 + \gamma_{n}^2), & |t - z| \leq \gamma_{n}, \\
2(1 + \gamma_{n}^2), & |t - z| \geq \gamma_{n},
\end{cases}
\]

then for each \(z, t \in [0, \infty)\), we derive

\[
B_1(z) \leq \left\{1 + \frac{(e_{1,0} - z)^4}{\gamma_{n}^4}\right\}.
\]  
(2.6)

Analogously, we get

\[
B_2(y) \leq \left\{1 + \frac{(e_{0,1} - y)^4}{\gamma_{m}^4}\right\}.
\]  
(2.7)

Using (2.6) and (2.7) in (2.5) yields

\[
|R_{n,m}(\mu(t, s); z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \\
\leq 4\left(1 + z^2 + y^2\right)\Delta_{n,m}(\mu; \gamma_{n}, \gamma_{m}) \\
\times \left[1 + \frac{R_{n,m}((e_{1,0} - z)^4; z, y)}{\gamma_{n}^4}\right]\left[1 + \frac{R_{n,m}((e_{0,1} - y)^4; z, y)}{\gamma_{m}^4}\right] \\
\leq 4\left(1 + z^2 + y^2\right)\Delta_{n,m}(\mu; \gamma_{n}, \gamma_{m}) \\
\times \left[1 + \frac{144\left(\frac{\alpha_{n}^2}{n}\right)^2}{\gamma_{n}^4}\right]\left[1 + \frac{144\left(\frac{\beta_{m}^2}{m}\right)^2}{\gamma_{m}^4}\right].
\]

Choosing \(\gamma_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{n}^2}{n}}, \gamma_{m} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{m}^2}{m}}\) and taking the assumptions for the sequences \((\alpha_{n}), (\beta_{m})\) in (2.2), we get the proof of this theorem. \(\square\)
Theorem 2.10 Suppose that $\mu \in C(I_{cd})$. Then the following inequality satisfies

$$|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)|$$

$$\leq N(\omega_2(\mu; \sqrt{A_{n,m}(z,y)})) + \min\{1, A_{n,m}(z,y)\|\mu\|_{C^2(I_{cd})}\} + 2\sigma(\mu; \xi_{n,m}(z,y))$$

where a constant $N > 0$ independent of $\mu$ and $A_{n,m}(z,y)$. $\xi_{n,m} = \sqrt{\frac{(\omega_2)^2}{m} + \left(\frac{\beta_m}{m}\right)^2}$, $A_{n,m}(z,y) = \gamma_n^2(z) + \gamma_m^2(y) + \xi_{n,m}$ and $\gamma_n(z), \gamma_m(y)$ are given by Theorem 2.6.

Proof Firstly, we consider the following auxiliary operators:

$$\overline{R}_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) = R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu\left(z + \frac{\alpha_n}{n}, y + \frac{\beta_m}{m}\right) + \mu(z, y). \tag{2.8}$$

It follows by Lemma 2.2 that

$$\overline{R}_{n,m}(t - z; z, y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{R}_{n,m}(s - y; z, y) = 0.$$

For $\mu \in C^2(I_{cd})$, $(s, t) \in I_{cd}$, using the Taylor expansion formula, we get

$$\mu(s, t) - \mu(z, y) = \mu(s, y) - \mu(z, y) + \mu(s, t) - \mu(s, y)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \mu(z, y)}{\partial z}(s - z) + \int_{z}^{t} (s - u) \frac{\partial^2 \mu(u, y)}{\partial^2 u} du$$

$$+ \frac{\partial \mu(z, y)}{\partial y}(t - y) + \int_{y}^{t} (t - v) \frac{\partial^2 \mu(z, v)}{\partial^2 v} dv. \tag{2.9}$$

Operating $\overline{R}_{n,m}$ on (2.9), it becomes

$$\overline{R}_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)$$

$$= \overline{R}_{n,m}\left(\int_{z}^{s} (s - u) \frac{\partial^2 \mu(u, y)}{\partial^2 u} du; z, y\right) + \overline{R}_{n,m}\left(\int_{y}^{t} (t - v) \frac{\partial^2 \mu(z, v)}{\partial^2 v} dv; z, y\right)$$

$$= \overline{R}_{n,m}\left(\int_{z}^{s} (s - u) \frac{\partial^2 \mu(u, y)}{\partial^2 u} du; z, y\right) - \int_{z}^{s} \frac{\alpha_n}{n} - u \frac{\partial^2 \mu(u, y)}{\partial^2 u} du$$

$$+ \overline{R}_{n,m}\left(\int_{y}^{t} (t - v) \frac{\partial^2 \mu(z, v)}{\partial^2 v} dv; z, y\right) - \int_{y}^{t} \frac{\beta_m}{m} - v \frac{\partial^2 \mu(z, v)}{\partial^2 v} dv.$$

Hence,

$$|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)|$$

$$\leq \overline{R}_{n,m}\left(\int_{z}^{s} \frac{\partial^2 \mu(u, y)}{\partial^2 u} du; z, y\right) + \int_{z}^{s} \frac{\alpha_n}{n} - u \left|\frac{\partial^2 \mu(u, y)}{\partial^2 u}\right| du$$

$$+ \overline{R}_{n,m}\left(\int_{y}^{t} \frac{\partial^2 \mu(z, v)}{\partial^2 v} dv; z, y\right) + \int_{y}^{t} \frac{\beta_m}{m} - v \left|\frac{\partial^2 \mu(z, v)}{\partial^2 v}\right| dv$$

$$\leq \overline{R}_{n,m}((s - z)_2^2; z, y) + \left(z + \frac{\alpha_n}{n} - z\right)^2.$$
Choosing \( \xi_{n,m} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\beta_n}{m}\right)^2} \), \( A_{n,m}(z,y) = \gamma_n^2(z) + \gamma_m^2(y) + \xi_{n,m}^2 \), we obtain
\[
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \leq A_{n,m}(z, y) \| \mu \|_{C^2(U_{cd})}.
\] (2.10)

Additionally, using Lemma 2.2 and (2.1), (2.10), we derive
\[
|\overline{R}_{n,m}(\mu; z, y)| \leq |R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y)| + \left| \mu \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{n}, \frac{\beta_m}{m} \right) \right| + |\mu(z, y)| \leq 3\| \mu \|_{C^2(U_{cd})}.
\] (2.11)

Next, (2.1) and (2.11) yield
\[
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)|
\leq |\overline{R}_{n,m}(\mu - h; z, y)| + |R_{n,m}(h; z, y) - h(z, y)|
+ |h(z, y) - \mu(z, y)| + \left| \mu \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{n}, \frac{\beta_m}{m} \right) - \mu(z, y) \right|
\leq 4\| \mu - h \|_{C^2(U_{cd})} + |R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| + \left| \mu \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{n}, \frac{\beta_m}{m} \right) - \mu(z, y) \right|
\leq (4\| \mu - h \|_{C^2(U_{cd})} + A_{n,m}(z, y)\| h \|_{C^2(U_{cd})}) + \sigma \left( \mu; \xi_{n,m}(z, y) \right).
\] (2.12)

Consequently, in (2.12), utilizing the infimum on the right-hand side over all \( \mu \in C^2(U_{cd}) \) and taking (2.3), we attain
\[
|R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)|
\leq N\left( \omega_2 \left( \mu; \sqrt{A_{n,m}(z, y)} \right) + \min \left\{ 1, A_{n,m}(z, y)\| \mu \|_{C^2(U_{cd})} \right\} + \sigma \left( \mu; \xi_{n,m}(z, y) \right). \]

Hence, the required result is obtained. \( \square \)

3 The GBS type of \( R_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) \)

The notion of the \( B \)-continuous and \( B \)-differentiable functions were firstly used by Bögel [28, 29]. Dobrescu and Matei [30] proposed the Generalized Boolean Sum (GBS) type of Bernstein operators. Next, Badea [31, 32] presented the \( B \)-continuous functions with the GBS type operators. We refer readers to interesting research in this direction [33–38].

Let us now give some definitions that we will use in this section.

A function \( \mu : U \times V \to \mathbb{R} \), where \( U, V \) are compact intervals of \( \mathbb{R} \). For any \( (z, y), (t_0, s_0) \in U \times V \), the mixed difference of \( \mu \) is given as
\[
\phi_{(t_0, s_0)}(z, y) = \mu(z, y) - \mu(z, s_0) - \mu(t_0, y) + \mu(t_0, s_0).
\] (3.1)

If a real-valued function \( \mu \) satisfies the following relation, it is called a Bögel-continuous \( (B \)-continuous) at \( (t_0, s_0) \in U \times V \).
\[
\lim_{(t_0, s_0) \to (z, y)} \phi_{(t_0, s_0)}(z, y) = 0.
\]
If the following limit denoted by $D_B \mu(z,y)$ exists and is finite, then a function $\mu$ is called a Bögel-differentiable ($B$-differentiable) at $(t_0, s_0) \in U \times V$.

$$
\lim_{(t_0, s_0) \to (z, y)} \frac{\phi_{(t_0, s_0)} \mu(z, y)}{(t_0 - z)(s_0 - y)} = D_B \mu(z, y).
$$

(3.2)

Note that by $C_b(U \times V)$ and $D_B(U \times V)$, we denote the sets of each $B$-continuous and $B$-differentiable functions on $U \times V$, respectively. Considering the definition of $B$-continuous, one gets $C(U \times V) \supset C_b(U \times V)$, see [39] for details.

A function $\mu : U \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a Bögel-bounded ($B$-bounded) on $U \times V$ if there exists $W > 0$ such that $|\phi_{(t_0, s_0)} \mu(z, y)| \leq W$ for all $(t_0, s_0), (z, y) \in U \times V$.

Also, if $U \times V$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$, hence all Bögel-continuous functions are Bögel-bounded on $U \times V$.

Further, by $B_b(U \times V)$, we denote the space of all $B$-bounded functions on $U \times V$, and it is endowed with the norm $\|\mu\|_B = \sup_{(t, s) \in U \times V} |\phi_{(t_0, s_0)} \mu(z, y)|$.

For $\mu \in B_b(I_{cd})$, the mixed modulus of smoothness is defined as:

$$
\omega_{\text{mixed}}(\mu; \delta_1, \delta_2) := \sup \left\{ \left| \phi_{(t_0, s_0)} \mu(z, y) \right| : |t_0 - z| < \delta_1, |s_0 - y| < \delta_2 \right\},
$$

where $(z, y), (t_0, s_0) \in U \times V$ and $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Also, for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$, the following inequality holds

$$
\omega_{\text{mixed}}(\mu; \lambda_1 \delta_1, \lambda_2 \delta_2) \leq (1 + \lambda_1)(1 + \lambda_2) \omega_{\text{mixed}}(\mu; \delta_1, \delta_2),
$$

(3.3)

for more details, see [31, 32].

Let $C_b(I_{cd})$ denote the set of all Bögel-continuous functions on $I_{cd}$. For $\mu \in C_b(I_{cd})$, the Lipschitz class $\text{Lip}_L(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ by $L > 0$, $(z, y), (t_0, s_0) \in I_{cd}$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in (0, 1]$ is given by

$$
\text{Lip}_L(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \left\{ \mu \in C_b(I_{cd}) : \left| \phi_{(t_0, s_0)} \mu(z, y) \right| \leq L|t_0 - z|^{\phi_1}|s_0 - y|^{\phi_2} \right\}.
$$

Now, we construct the associated GBS type of operators (2.1). For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, for each $(z, y) \in U \times V$ and any $\mu \in C_b(I_{cd})$, we derive

$$
G_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) = R_{n,m}(\mu(z, s_0) + \mu(t_0, y) - \mu(t_0, s_0); z, y).
$$

Exactly, for any $(z, y) \in I_{cd}$ and $\mu \in C_b(I_{cd})$, the GBS type operators related to the $R_{n,m}$ operators are defined as:

$$
G_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) = \frac{n}{\alpha_n} \frac{m}{\beta_m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} P_{n,m,k,j} \left( \frac{z}{\alpha_n}, \frac{y}{\beta_m} \right) \\
\times \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty S_{n,m,k,j} \left( \frac{t}{\alpha_n}, \frac{s}{\beta_m} \right) \left[ \mu(z, s_0) + \mu(t_0, y) - \mu(t_0, s_0) \right] dt_0 ds_0.
$$

(3.4)

It is clear that the operators given by (3.4) are positive and linear.

Now, with the help of the mixed modulus of continuity, we will estimate the degree of approximation of operators (3.4).
Theorem 3.1 Let \( \mu \in C_b(I_{cd}) \). Then for each \((z, y) \in I_{cd}\) and \(n, m \in \mathbb{N}\), we arrive

\[
|G_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \leq 8\omega_{\text{mixed}}(\mu; \sqrt{\alpha_n^2/n}, \sqrt{\beta_m^2/m}).
\]

Proof In view of (3.3), we get

\[
|\phi_{(t_0,0)}(z, y)| \leq \omega_{\text{mixed}}(\mu; |t_0 - z|, |s_0 - y|)
\]

\[
\leq \left(1 + \frac{|t_0 - z|}{\delta_1}\right)\left(1 + \frac{|s_0 - y|}{\delta_2}\right)\omega_{\text{mixed}}(\mu; \delta_1, \delta_2),
\]

(3.5)

where \((z, y), (t_0, s_0) \in I_{cd}\) and \(\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+\).

From (3.1), it is clear that

\[
G_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y) = -R_{n,m}(\phi_{(t_0,0)}(z, y); z, y).
\]

(3.6)

Utilizing the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to (3.6) and using (3.5) and Lemma 2.2 yield

\[
|G_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)|
\]

\[
\leq R_{n,m}(\phi_{(t_0,0)}(z, y); z, y)
\]

\[
\leq \left(\frac{1}{\delta_1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}R_{n,m}\left((t_0 - z)^2; z, y\right) + \delta_2^{-1}\sqrt{R_{n,m}\left((s_0 - y)^2; z, y\right)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\delta_1\delta_2}R_{n,m}\left((t_0 - z)^2; z, y\right)R_{n,m}\left((s_0 - y)^2; z, y\right)\omega_{\text{mixed}}(\mu; \delta_1, \delta_2).
\]

Using Lemma 2.4 and choosing \(\delta_1 = \sqrt{\alpha_n^2/n}\) and \(\delta_2 = \sqrt{\beta_m^2/m}\), we obtain the proof. \(\square\)

The next result gives the order of convergence of operators (3.4) in connection with the Lipschitz type class.

Theorem 3.2 Let \( \mu \in \text{Lip}_L(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \). For any \((z, y) \in I_{cd}\), \(L > 0\) and \(\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in (0, 1]\), we derive

\[
|G_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \leq L\left(\delta_n(z)\right)^{\frac{2q_1}{p_1}}\left(\delta_m(y)\right)^{\frac{2q_2}{p_2}},
\]

where \(\delta_n(z)\) and \(\delta_m(y)\) are given as in Theorem 2.6.

Proof From (3.6), we get

\[
|G_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \leq R_{n,m}(\phi_{(t_0,0)}(\mu(z, y)); z, y)
\]

\[
\leq LR_{n,m}\left((t_0 - z)^2; z, y\right)\right)
\]

\[
= LR_{n,m}\left((t_0 - z)^2; z, y\right)R_{n,m}\left((s_0 - y)^2; z, y\right).
\]

Utilizing the Hölder’s inequality with \((p_1, q_1) = \left(\frac{2}{q_1}, \frac{2}{2-q_1}\right), (p_2, q_2) = \left(\frac{2}{q_2}, \frac{2}{2-q_2}\right)\) and Lemma 2.2, we derive

\[
|G_{n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \leq L\left(R_{n,m}\left((t_0 - z)^2; z, y\right)^{\frac{2}{p_1}}\right)\left(R_{n,m}(\epsilon_{0,0}; z, y)^{\frac{2}{2-q_1}}\right).
\]
Proof. For \( \mu \in D_b(I_{cd}) \), we get

\[
\phi_{(t_0, s_0)}(z, y) = (z - t_0)(y - s_0)D_B\mu(u, v), \quad (t_0 < u < z \text{ and } s_0 < v < y),
\]

see details in [39]. Therefore,

\[
D_B\mu(u, v) = \phi_{(t_0, s_0)}D_B\mu(u, v) + D_B\mu(u, y) + D_B\mu(z, v) - D_B\mu(z, y). \tag{3.7}
\]

Since \( D_B\mu \in B(I_{cd}) \), then using (3.7), we may write

\[
|G_{\mu, n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \\
= |R_{n,m}(z - t_0)D_B\mu(u, v); z, y)| \\
\leq R_{n,m}(|z - t_0| |y - s_0| D_B\mu(u, v); z, y) \\
+ R_{n,m}(|z - t_0| |y - s_0| D_B\mu(u, y); z, y) \\
+ R_{n,m}(|z - t_0| |y - s_0| D_B\mu(z, v); z, y) \\
+ R_{n,m}(|z - t_0| |y - s_0| \omega_{\text{mixed}}(D_B\mu; |u - z|, |v - y|); z, y) \\
+ 3\|D_B\mu\|_{\infty} R_{n,m}(|z - t_0| |y - s_0|; z, y). \tag{3.8}
\]

From (3.3), we get

\[
\omega_{\text{mixed}}(D_B\mu; |u - z|, |v - y|) \\
= \omega_{\text{mixed}}(D_B\mu; |u - z|, |s_0 - y|) \\
\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_n} |t_0 - z|\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_m} |s_0 - y|\right) \omega_{\text{mixed}}(D_B\mu; \gamma_n, \gamma_m). \tag{3.9}
\]

Taking into account (3.8) and (3.9) and utilizing the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we get

\[
|G_{\mu, n,m}(\mu; z, y) - \mu(z, y)| \\
= |R_{n,m}(\phi_{(t_0, s_0)}(z, y); z, y)| \\
\leq 3\|D_B\mu\|_{\infty} R_{n,m}((z - t_0)^2 (y - s_0)^2; z, y) \\
+ \left[R_{n,m}(|z - t_0| |y - s_0|; z, y) + \frac{1}{\gamma_n} R_{n,m}((z - t_0)^2 |y - s_0|; z, y)\right].
\]
\[ + \frac{1}{\gamma_n} R_{n,m} \left( (|z - t_0|)(y - s_0)^2; z, y \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma_n \gamma_m} R_{n,m} \left( (z - t_0)^2(y - s_0)^2; z, y \right) \omega_{\text{mixed}} \left( D_B \mu; \gamma_n, \gamma_m \right) \]
\[ \leq 3 \| D_B \mu \|_{\infty} \sqrt{R_{n,m} \left( (z - t_0)^2(y - s_0)^2; z, y \right)} \]
\[ + \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \sqrt{R_{n,m} \left( (z - t_0)^2(y - s_0)^2; z, y \right)} + \frac{1}{\gamma_m} \sqrt{R_{n,m} \left( (z - t_0)^2(y - s_0)^2; z, y \right)} \right] \omega_{\text{mixed}} \left( D_B \mu; \gamma_n, \gamma_m \right). \]

Moreover, for \((t_0, s_0), (z, y) \in I_{cd}\) and \(\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}\), we have
\[ R_{n,m} \left( (z - t_0)^{2\alpha}(y - s_0)^{2\beta}; z, y \right) = R_{n,m} \left( (z - t_0)^{2\alpha}; z, y \right) \times R_{n,m} \left( (y - s_0)^{2\beta}; z, y \right). \]

From Lemma 2.4, choosing \(\gamma_n = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_n}{n}}, \gamma_m = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_m}{m}}\) and taking the assumptions for the sequences \((\alpha_n), (\beta_m)\) in (2.2), we have the desired result. \(\square\)

4 Graphics and error estimation tables

In this section, with the help of Maple software, we compare the convergence of operators (2.1) and (3.4) to the certain functions \(\mu(z, y)\).

Example 4.1 Let \(\mu(z, y) = y^2 e^{-\frac{z}{2}}\) (yellow). In Fig. 1, we illustrate the convergence of operators (2.1) to \(\mu(z, y) = y^2 e^{-\frac{z}{2}}\) for \(n, m = 5\) (red), \(n, m = 10\) (blue), \(n, m = 30\) (green), \(\alpha_n = \ln(n + 1)\) and \(\beta_m = \ln(m + 1)\). In Table 1, we also estimate the error estimation of operators (2.1) to \(\mu(z, y)\) for \(n, m = 20, 50, 150\), respectively.

![Figure 1](image-url)
Table 1  Error of approximation of the operators $R_{n,m}$ to $\mu(z,y) = y^2 e^{-\frac{z}{y}}$ for $n = m = 20, 50, 150$

| $(z, y)$ | $|R_{20,20}(\mu(z,y) - \mu(z,y))|$ | $|R_{50,50}(\mu(z,y) - \mu(z,y))|$ | $|R_{150,150}(\mu(z,y) - \mu(z,y))|$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| $(0.3, 1.5)$ | 0.6435472188 | 0.3410782433 | 0.1480568422 |
| $(1.9, 1.9)$ | 0.3410782433 | 0.1480568422 | 0.0398512456 |
| $(0.8, 1.1)$ | 0.4485584185 | 0.2328299145 | 0.0996229187 |
| $(1.0, 1.0)$ | 0.3930734882 | 0.2028217122 | 0.0864267340 |
| $(1.7, 1.2)$ | 0.3646211418 | 0.1901662382 | 0.0816144751 |
| $(0.5, 0.5)$ | 0.2658423955 | 0.1317683536 | 0.0546119918 |
| $(0.7, 0.2)$ | 0.1252365581 | 0.0571437271 | 0.0222797449 |
| $(0.1, 0.1)$ | 0.0979546553 | 0.0409096770 | 0.0147769533 |

Figure 2 The convergence of operators $R_{n,m}$ (green) and $G_{n,m}$ (purple) to $\mu(z,y) = \frac{1}{2} - z y e^{-\frac{y}{z}}$ (yellow) for $n = m = 10$

Table 2  Error of approximation of the operators $R_{n,m}$ and $G_{n,m}$ to $\mu(z,y) = \frac{1}{2} - z y e^{-\frac{y}{z}}$ for $n = m = 35$

| $(z, y)$ | $|R_{35,35}(\mu(z,y) - \mu(z,y))|$ | $|G_{35,35}(\mu(z,y) - \mu(z,y))|$ |
|---|---|---|
| $(0.1, 1.9)$ | 0.06428470976 | 0.002120692726 |
| $(1.8, 0.7)$ | 0.002120692726 | 0.000754980516 |
| $(0.4, 1.8)$ | 0.05735796320 | 0.002090527419 |
| $(0.9, 0.9)$ | 0.05065017152 | 0.0000280690201 |
| $(0.2, 0.6)$ | 0.04596062125 | 0.0001407297777 |
| $(1.2, 1.2)$ | 0.04357712171 | 0.001298623143 |
| $(0.3, 0.3)$ | 0.04113235500 | 0.0004038057284 |
| $(1.5, 1.5)$ | 0.03465505561 | 0.001851367364 |

Figure 1 clearly shows that since the values of $n, m$ increase, the order of convergence of operators (2.1) to $\mu(z,y)$ becomes better. Further, from Table 1, it is obvious that as the values of $n, m$ are increasing, the absolute difference between operators (2.1) and $\mu(z,y) = y^2 e^{-\frac{z}{y}}$ is decreasing.

Example 4.2 Let $\mu(z,y) = \frac{1}{2} - z y e^{-\frac{y}{z}}$ (yellow). In Fig. 2, we compare the convergence of operators (2.1) (green) and (3.4) (purple) to the function $\mu(z,y) = \frac{1}{2} - z y e^{-\frac{y}{z}}$ with $n, m = 10$ and $\alpha_n = \sqrt{n}$, $\beta_m = \sqrt{m}$. Also, in Table 2, we compute the error estimation of operators (2.1) and (3.4) to $\mu(z,y)$ for $n = m = 35$ and certain values of $0 \leq z, y \leq 2$. 


If Fig. 2 and Table 2 are analyzed in detail, it becomes obvious that the GBS type operators (3.4) are approximated much better than operators (2.1).

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Funding
Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials
Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
RA dealt with the methodology and writing–original draft preparation. MM made the formal analysis, writing–review and editing. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details
1Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Harran University, Sanliurfa 63100, Turkey. 2Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University (Taiwan), Taichung, Taiwan. 3Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 18 August 2021 Accepted: 7 February 2022 Published online: 23 February 2022

References
1. Bernstein, S.: Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul des probabilités. Comp. Comm. Soc. Mat. Charkow Ser. 13, 1–2 (1912)
2. Chlodowsky, I.: Sur le développement des fonctions définies dans un intervalle infini en séries de polynômes de M. Bernstein. Compos. Math. 4, 380–393 (1937)
3. Kantorovich, L.V.: Sur certain développements suivant les polynômes de la forme de s. Bernstein, I. II. CR Acad. URSS, 563–568 (1930)
4. Szász, O.: Generalization of S. Bernstein’s polynomials to the infinite interval. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 45, 239–245 (1950)
5. Mirakyan, G.M.: Approximation of continuous functions with the aid of polynomials. Dokl. Akad Nauk SSSR 31, 201–205 (1941)
6. Baskakov, VA: An example of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 113, 249–251 (1957)
7. Durrmeyer, J.L.: Une formule d’inversion de la transformée de Laplace, Applications a la théorie des moments, These de 3e cycle. Faculté des Sciences de l’Université de Paris (1967)
8. Gadzhiev, A.D.: The convergence problem for a sequence of linear operators on unbounded sets, and theorems analogous to that of P.P. Korovkin. Sov. Math. Dokl. 15(5) (1974)
9. Gadzhiev, A.D.: Theorems of the type of PP Korovkin type theorems. Mat. Zametki 20, 781–786 (1976)
10. Ispir, N.: On modified Baskakov operators on weighted spaces. Turk. J. Math. 25, 355–365 (2001)
11. Ditlman, Z.: On global inverse theorems of Szász and Baskakov operators. Can. J. Math. 31, 255–263 (1979)
12. Ibikli, E., Karo, H.: Rate of convergence of Chlodowsky type Durrmeyer operators. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6(4), 106 (2005)
13. Mazhar, S.M., Totik, V.: Approximation by modified Szász operators. Acta Sci. Math. 49, 257–269 (1985)
14. Murasaleen, M., Ansari, K.J.: On Chlodowsky variant of Szász operators by Brenke type polynomials. Appl. Math. Comput. 271, 991–1003 (2015)
15. Dogru, O.: Weighted approximation of continuous functions on the all positive axis by modified linear positive operators. Int. J. Comput. Numer. Anal. Appl. 1, 135–147 (2002)
16. Izi, A.: Approximation by a composition of Chlodowsky operators and Szász–Durrmeyer operators on weighted spaces. LMS J. Comput. Math. 16, 388–397 (2013)
17. Walczak, Z.: On certain modified Szász–Mirakyan operators for functions of two variables. Demonstr. Math. 33, 91–100 (2000)
18. Ispir, N., Atakut, C.: Approximation by modified Szász–Mirakyan operators on weighted spaces. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 112, 571–578 (2002)
19. Srivastava, H.M., Ansari, K.J., Özger, F., Ödemiş Özger, Z.: A link between approximation theory and summability methods via four-dimensional infinite matrices. Mathematics 9, 1895 (2021)
20. Izi, A.: Approximation by composition of Szász–Mirakyan and Durrmeyer–Chlodowsky operators. Eurasian Math. J. 3, 63–71 (2012)
21. Gupta, V., Srivastava, G.S.: Saturation theorem for Szász–Durrmeyer operators. Demonstr. Math. 29, 7–16 (1996)
22. Agrawal, P.N., Baxhaku, B., Chauhan, R.: The approximation of bivariate Chlodowsky–Szász–Kantorovich–Charli type operators. J. Inequal. Appl. 2017, 195 (2017)
23. Firlej, B., Leśniowicz, M., Rempulska, L.: Approximation of functions of two variables by some operators in weighted spaces. Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 101, 63–82 (1999)
24. Taşdelen, F., Olgun, A., Baycanbaz-Tunca, G.: Approximation of functions of two variables by certain linear positive operators. Proc. Math. Sci. 117, 387–399 (2007)
25. Delvos, F.J., Schempp, W.: Boolean Methods in Interpolation and Approximation. Longman, Harlow (1989)
26. Bărbosu, D.: Polynomial approximation by means of Schurer–Stancu type operators, Ed Universitati de Nord (2006)
27. Gadzhiev, A.D., Efendiev, R.O., Ibikli, E.: Generalized Bernstein–Chlodowsky polynomials. Rocky Mt. J. Math. 28, 1267–1277 (1998)
28. Bögel, K.: Über Mehdimensionale differentation von funktionen mehrerer reeller veränderlichen. J. Reine Angew. Math. 170, 197–217 (1934)
29. Bögel, K.: Über mehdimensionale differentation, integration und beschränkte variation. J. Reine Angew. Math. 173, 5–30 (1935)
30. Dobrescu, E., Matei, I.: The approximation by Bernstein type polynomials of bidimensional continuous functions. An. Univ. Timişoara Ser. Şti. Mat.-Fiz. 4, 95–108 (1998)
31. Badea, C., Badea, I., Gonska, H.H.: Notes on degree of approximation on b-continuous and b-differentiable functions. Approx. Theory Appl. 4, 23–31 (1995)
32. Ispir, N.: Quantitative estimates for GBS operators of Chlodowsky–Szász type. Filomat 31, 1175–1184 (2017)
33. Pop, O.T., Fărcaș, M.D.: Approximation of b-continuous and b-differentiable functions by gbs operators of Bernstein bivariate polynomials. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 7(3), 92 (2006)
34. Kajla, A., Midla, D.: Blending type approximation by GBS operators of generalized Bernstein–Durrmeyer type. Results Math. 73(1), 1 (2018)
35. Bărbosu, D., Acu, A.M., Muraru, C.V.: On certain GBS-Durrmeyer operators based on q-integers. Turk. J. Math. 41, 368–380 (2017)
36. Bărbosu, D., Pop, O.T.: A note on the GBS Bernstein’s approximation formula. An. Univ. Craiova, Ser. Mat. Inform. 35, 1–6 (2008)
37. Garg, T., Acu, A.M., Agrawal, P.N.: Weighted approximation and GBS of Chlodowsky–Szász–Kantorovich type operators. Anal. Math. Phys. 9, 1429–1448 (2019)
38. Bögel, K.: Über die mehrdimensionale differentation. Jahresber. Dtsch.-Ver. Math.-Ver. 65, 45–71 (1962)