Human Papillomavirus: Flow Cytometry Detection and PCR Analysis, A Comparison Study
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Abstract

Recent reports have shown that during persistent HPV infections of the cervical epithelium the viral genome, of high risk HPV types, often integrate into the host chromosome, which results in an over expression of the viral proteins E6 and E7 of human papillomavirus. These oncoproteins induce immortalization and malignant transformation of cells conferring a certain growth advantage to the infected cells.

A total of 100 women undergoing routine cervical cytology samples joined this cohort study. A Thin Prep Pap Test sample was taken following consent for study participation and was tested for HPV. Cytological screening of the samples was done by trained cytologists. After sample collection, 1 ml aliquot was removed and prepared for flow cytometric analysis and the rest of the sample was sent for PCR analysis. In the total of 100 cases, 4 specimens were characterized as unsatisfactory.

For the rest 96 specimens, 82 specimens were found to be positive, for the HPV virus and 14 negative. In the conclusion, our results showed that the use of flow cytometry in the detection of HPV virus is a feasible method and requires only 1 ml of sample specimen to give accurate results for the diagnosis.
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Introduction

Human Papillomavirus (HPV) infection is very common. It is estimated as the most common sexually transmitted disease, (high risk human papillomavirus are the necessary causes of cervical cancer) [1]. More than 100 types of HPV have been identified which can be classified as high-risk or low-risk depending on their known oncogenic activity. The vast majority of cervical cancers infections with HPV take place in cervical epithelium and is usually of transient nature. Fortunately about 90% of HPV infections clear within two years without treatment. Only in a small amount of the women infected with oncogenic types of HPV, the infection will progress to high-grade lesions or even cervical cancer in the absence of treatment [2,3].

During persistent infections the viral genomes often integrate into the host chromosome, which results in an over expression of the viral proteins E6 and E7. These oncoproteins induce immortalization and malignant transformation of cells conferring a certain growth advantage to the infected cells [4-6]. In women 30 years and older, the current HPV testing test can be used for adjunctive screening with Pap smear to detect oncogenic types of HPV in cervical cytology specimens. Most HPV DNA assays such as type-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Hybrid Capture II (Digene) detect the present of HPV infection despite the fact that only a small proportion of the women infected with a high-oncogenic-risk type will suffer disease that progresses to cancer. Although HPV assays have a high sensitivity, their specificity is low because they detect an infection that in the vast majority of women do not develop cancer and regress spontaneously [7-9].

The detection of E6/E7 mRNA is a new approach in HPV infection monitoring [10,11]. The incorporation of HPV in human genome leads to E6 and E7 HPV protein production. E6 and E7 block the Rb and P53 proteins and this is the first signal in cell transforming cascade, with final result the cervical cancer. The aim of this study was to compare the PCR analysis in the detection of human papillomavirus with the detection of the virus by flow cytometry, a new technique.

Materials and Methods

A total of 100 women undergoing routine cervical cytology samples joined this cohort study. A Thin Prep Pap Test sample was taken following consent for study participation and was tested for HPV. Cytological screening of the samples was done by trained cytologists. After sample collection, 1 ml aliquot out of 20 ml was removed and prepared for flow cytometric analysis and the rest of the sample, (19 ml), was sent for PCR analysis. The 1 ml sample aliquot of the specimen was centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 min at room temperature. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 more min. Cells, then, was fixed for 1 h at room temperature with 300 μl of Cell Perm (Invirion Diagnostics, USA – AG002). A 1 ml of pre-hybridization buffer 1 (Invirion Diagnostics, USA – AG002), was added and centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 more min. Then the cell pellet was re-suspended in 1 ml of pre-hybridization buffer 2 (Invirion Diagnostics, USA – AG002). The supernatant was re-suspending in 100 μl of hybridization buffer and HPV probe cocktail
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(Invirion Diagnostics, USA – AG002), and live for 45 min inside the water bath at 43ºC. After the 45 min had pass 1 ml of stringency wash 1(Invirion Diagnostics, USA – AG002), was added and centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 more min. Then, the cell pellet was re-suspended in 1 ml of stringency wash 2 (Invirion Diagnostics, USA – AG002), and live for another 15 min inside the water bath at 43ºC. At the end of the procedure the cells were centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 more min, resuspended in 400 µl of PBS and the samples were run on a Beckman Coulter FCS500 with a 488 nm argon laser, with forward (FS) and side (SS) scatter. Using forward and side scatter in the flow cytometry we distinct the cervical cell populations for both the negative and positive samples by established gating. For all samples each population was determined as well as the percentage of the cells. All samples in the study were analyzed using previously established gates for the presence of endocervical, ectocervical and polymorphonuclear cells. The total time of analysis depended on the density of each specimen. The total time of sample analysis by flow cytometry was depended on the quality of the samples. The forward scatter versus side scatters dot plot was used for the distinction of ectocervical, endocervical, and polymorphonuclear cells. The gating was fixed for all samples. The instrument was set to measure absolute counts collected from the whole volume of the samples under investigation. Each population was determined and the percentage of cells included was counted.

### Statistical Analysis

Data was expressed as mean +/-SD. Significant differences were determined by using Epi Info™, Version 3.5.1. Results were considered significant when P<0.05.

### Results

In the total of 100 cases, 4 specimens were characterized as unsatisfactory from technical reasons, for the rest 96 specimens, 82 specimens were found to be positive for the HPV virus and 14 negative. The cervical cells found in the liquid specimen are resolved in distinct populations using forward and side scatter of a flow cytometer [10]. All the specimens in our stuffy were analyzed using previously established gates for the presence of endocervical, ectocervical and polymorphonuclear cells [10]. The instrument was set to measure absolute counts collected from the whole volume of the samples under investigation. Each population was determined and the percentage of cells included was counted.

In the total of 96 cases the analysis of flow cytometry and PCR detection gives the same results in most cases (Tables 1 and 2).

A cytological diagnosis is showing in Table 3.

The result is expressed on percentage of analyzed ectocervical cells that over express oncoproteins E6 and E7. If this percentage is over 2% the HPV Oncotect result is positive, if it is lower it is a negative result. A negative result means there is no over expression of high-risk HPV oncoproteins E6/E7 [10]. With a negative result the risk to develop a cervical cancer in the future is almost null. A positive result means that the viral DNA has been integrated into the cell genome which results in an over expression of E6/E7 proteins.

| Cytology Diagnosis | High Levels E6 and E7 (%) | Type   |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| HSIL               | 3.7                        | cp8304 |
| HSIL               | 3.9                        | 31     |
| LSIL               | 0.8                        | Negative |
| HSIL               | 9.1                        | 16     |
| HSIL               | 8.7                        | 59     |
| HSIL               | 6                          | 51     |
| LSIL               | 0.2                        | Negative |
| HSIL               | 7.8                        | 16     |
| LSIL               | 4.8                        | 31     |
| LSIL               | 0.5                        | Negative |
| HSIL               | 8.4                        | 31     |
| HSIL               | 10.3                       | 53     |
| HSIL               | 6.9                        | 31     |
| HSIL               | 2.7                        | 59     |
| LSIL               | 0.5                        | Negative |
| LSIL               | 1.6                        | Negative |
| HSIL               | 23.4                       | 66     |
| HSIL               | 25.3                       | 33     |
| HSIL               | 20.6                       | 66b    |

### Discussion

The cell based quantification of E6/E7 mRNA, regardless of HPV subtype, with the usage of INCELLDX HPV ONCOTECT kit is an important marker with high sensitivity (>92%), specificity (>85%) and PPV (>87%), as compared with HR HPV PCR based techniques (Tables 1.2). Flow cytometry proved to be more efficient as a screening method, since all high risk type's samples were detected and all cell populations were well represented by flow cytometry (Figure 1A and 1B).

### Table 3: Cytological diagnosis and HPV types in high levels of E6 and E7.

In this study a total of 100 women were in parallel investigated for the adequacy of the smear/pap test by PCR and flow cytometry analysis for the detection of HPV. We report the use of flow cytometry as a rapid and more precise method in the detection of the HPV virus in comparison with PCR analysis. HPV analysis by flow cytometry is an in situ test for the detection of HPV E6 and E7 mRNA in intact ectocervical cells. It takes advantage of the fact that those oncogenic genotypes of HPV over express E6 and E7 mRNA following integration of HPV into genomic DNA.

Current investigations suggest that in situ detection of active viral mRNA precedes or coincides with morphologic changes in cervical cells signifying cervical cancer [10].

This method could identifies persistent HPV infections that can
progress to high-grade lesions, detects the HPV oncogenic activity analyzing cervical cells that over express oncoproteins E6 and E7, and can be used at any time of woman life because there is no low age limit, and also exclude the false negative or unsatisfactory results [11,12]. The specificity and positive predictive value are also higher than other HPV detection techniques such as PCR analysis. Is a rapid and precise technique and can give us a rapid quantification of the sample and because of the accuracy of the test the procedure is optimized and could lead to a lower health cost for the patient in comparison to PCR analysis which is more time consuming technique and more expensive.

Also, the importance of this analysis by flow cytometry is the estimation of sample adequacy which could be a useful indicator for the sample in consequent analysis. As a conclusion, our results showed that the use of flow cytometry in the detection of HPV virus is a feasible method and requires only 1ml of sample specimen to give accurate results for the diagnosis. This might be very useful in screening young women, a cohort in which the prevalence of HPV DNA positivity may be as high as 20%.
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