Effect of Oxygen Functional Groups in Reduced Graphene Oxide-Coated Silk Electronic Textiles for Enhancement of NO2 Gas-Sensing Performance
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ABSTRACT: An electronic textile-based NO2 gas sensor was fabricated using commercial silk and graphene oxide (GO). It showed a fast response time and excellent sensing performance, which was simply accomplished by modifying the heat-treatment process. The heat treatment was conducted at 400 °C and different heating rates of 1, 3, and 5 °C/min. Compared with our previous research, the response time significantly decreased, from 32.5 to 3.26 min, and we found that the highest response was obtained with the sensor treated at a heating rate of 1 °C/min. To find the reason for this enhanced sensing performance, the morphology, structure, and chemical composition of the reduced GO (rGO) were investigated, depending on the thermal treatment process, using scanning electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. We also measured the temperature-dependent resistance of rGO, which was well described by the fluctuation-induced tunneling (FIT) model. These results revealed that the rGO thermally treated with 1 °C/min of heating rate had the largest amount of oxygen groups. This means that the oxygen functional groups play an important role in NO2 gas-sensing performance.

INTRODUCTION

Materials for detecting harmful gases and bioresponses have been reported because of increased environmental pollution and interest in real-time health monitoring. Among them, graphene has been considered suitable for chemical sensors because it has a high surface area; hence, the absorption and desorption of gas molecules can easily occur. Schedin et al. reported that the conductivity of graphene was changed by charge transfer between graphene and gas molecules when it was exposed to NO2, NH3, H2O, and CO. However, because the mass production of graphene is difficult, graphene is not suitable for large-scale sensor applications. Graphene oxide (GO), one of the graphene-based materials, can be mass-produced using Hummers’ method and has been used for many applications because of the characteristics provided by the oxygen functional groups. To function as a chemoresistive sensor, some of the oxygen in the GO must be removed through a chemical or thermal reduction process because the oxygen functional groups make GO insulating. Thermal reduction is believed to be a more promising approach than chemical reduction because chemical reduction is a complicated process, and chemical agents are required. Moreover, the reduction method often generates heteroatomic impurities in the reduced graphene oxide (rGO). By contrast, thermal reduction is a very simple process. It avoids the use of a liquid environment that leads to uncontrollable aggregation, and no heteroatomic impurities are produced.

The heating rate is an important factor when GO is reduced by the thermal reduction process. The oxygen functional groups are decomposed by heating. Huang et al. reported the self-propagating domino-like reduction. They found that the reduction of neighboring sites occurred because of exothermic thermal reaction. Moreover, Yang et al. reported that the deoxygenating reaction depended on the function of the heating rate, and the total amount of heat generated by the deoxygenating reaction increased with the heating rate. This shows that the degree of reduction changes with the heating rate. The oxygen functional groups remaining after thermal reduction play an important role in response to chemical molecules. Choi et al. theoretically determined that the response and recovery of graphene-based sensors to NO2 gas were closely related to the amount of oxygen functional groups.
groups, especially C–O bonding. In addition, they showed that hydroxyl groups were important active sites using first-principles calculations. For these reasons, further study of the degree of reduction in relation to the heating rate is essential to understanding the sensing mechanism between NO₂ gas and thermally reduced rGO.

In a previous study, we fabricated an electronic textile (e-textile)-based NO₂ gas sensor using commercial silk fabrics and GO. The response of the sensor made using satin (rGO-Sa) was 10.2% when it was thermally reduced at 400 °C. To improve the gas-sensing performance, we fabricated the sensor while modifying the thermal reduction process. The gas-sensing properties of the e-textile sensors were then investigated by exposing them to 1, 5, and 10 ppm of NO₂ gas at room temperature. The sensor reduced at 400 °C with 1 °C/min of heating rate shows the best performance to NO₂ gas. The response to 10 ppm of NO₂ was 20.4%, which is 10.2% higher than that reported in the previous study. Moreover, the response time at 1 ppm of NO₂ was significantly reduced, from 32.5 to 3.26 min. Also, we confirmed that the rGO thermally treated at 1 °C/min of heating rate had the largest amount of oxygen functional groups. For this reason, it is supposed that the gas-sensing performance of the rGO-based sensor is closely related to the amount of oxygen functional groups (Scheme 1), as theoretically reported.

Scheme 1. Schematic Illustration for the rGO-Sa NO₂ Gas Sensor

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1a shows an optical image of white satin. After dipping in the GO solution, the GO is easily coated on the surface of the silk fabric by hydrogen bonding between the oxygen functional groups of the GO and silk fibroin proteins and by hydrophobic interactions between the GO and crystalline β-sheets. As a result, the color of satin changes from white to brown (Figure 1b). When it was heated at 400 °C, the structure was not destroyed, even though the fabric shrank a little (Figure 1c–h). For the experiment of response to NO₂ gas, we fabricated rGOOn-Sa whose size is about 2.0 cm × 0.5 cm (Figure 1d).

Figure 1e shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of a satin fabric. The fiber of the pristine satin has a smooth surface (Figure 1f). After GO coating, the wrinkles were found on the surface of the fiber. It means GO is well coated without any chemical agent (Figure 1g). Moreover, GO remained on the fabric after heat treatment at 400 °C with 1, 3, and 5 °C/min heating rate (Figures 1h–j).

A noticeable difference in the NO₂ gas-sensing response was observed in rGOOn-Sa (n = 1, 3, and 5) compared with that of Pre-rGO-Sa. Figure 2 shows the variation in the resistance of the sensors when they were exposed to 1, 5, and 10 ppm of NO₂ gas. The resistance change in Pre-rGO-Sa to 1 ppm of NO₂ gas occurred very slowly, and it did not reach the steady-state response before 30 min (Figure 2a). The response time of the Pre-rGO-Sa was 32.48 min (Figure 2b). In contrast, the resistance of the rGOOn-Sa samples decreased rapidly as soon as NO₂ gas flowed and reached a steady-state response in much less than 10 min (Figures 2c–e). Here, we obtained response time using rGO1-Sa, which showed the highest sensing response. Note that rGO1-Sa has a remarkably short response time, whose average value is 5.60 min for three samples of rGO1-Sa #R1, #R2, and #R3 (7.16, 6.38, and 3.26 min, respectively). Figure 2f shows the shortest response time obtained with rGO1-Sa #R3.

Figure 3a shows the NO₂ gas-sensing response of the rGOOn-Sa samples. We found that the heating rate affected the gas-sensing response of the rGOOn-Sa. The best sensing response was exhibited by rGO1-Sa thermally treated at heating of 1 °C/min. The response decreased when the heating rate was higher than 1 °C/min. To confirm this tendency, we measured the sensing response for nine different rGO1-, rGO3-, and rGO5-Sa samples (total 27 samples), and then, the values were averaged (Figure 3b). The highest sensing response was shown in rGO1-Sa, as expected. The maximum responses of rGO1-Sa at 1, 5, and 10 ppm of NO₂ were 15.5, 18.4, and 20.4%, respectively. These values are 12.6, 11.7, and 10.2% improvement compared with those of Pre-rGO-Sa at each ppm. Moreover, the response to 1.0 ppm of NO₂ gas is more significant than that of other rGO-based e-textile NO₂ sensors (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information). In Figure 3b, the response has a linear relation with the concentration of NO₂ gas. The sensitivities of sensors (slope) were 0.6820 for rGO1-Sa, 0.6809 for rGO3-Sa, and 0.5537 for rGO5-Sa, which shows the same tendency as the gas-sensing response. Although the sensitivity of Pre-rGO-Sa (0.8474) is higher than that of rGOOn-Sa, the total response of rGOOn-Sa is superior to the response of Pre-rGO-Sa.

Also, Pre-rGO-Sa had the lowest theoretical detection limit (DL), followed sequentially by rGO1-, rGO3-, and rGO5-Sa (Figure 3c). Despite having the topmost sensitivity and the lowest NO₂ DL, the Pre-rGO-Sa had a disadvantage to sensing NO₂ because of the long response time and poor gas-sensing performance.

In a previous study, we found that NO₂ gas was mainly detected by the rGO and not the silk fabric. The role of the silk fabric is to provide flexibility and a large surface area. Therefore, we focused on the properties of the rGO for NO₂ gas-sensing performance. The gas-sensing property changes depending on the heating process and heating rate, even when all samples were thermally treated at the same temperature. It is expected that the heating process and heating rate have an effect on the amount of oxygen functional groups removed from the GO during the thermal reduction process.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) C 1s region spectrum of the GO sheet showed that the C–C/CC bonds accounted for 37.16%, and the rest were oxygen functional groups (Figure 4a). After thermal reduction, the proportion of C–C/CC bonds increased because the oxygen components were removed due to thermal energy (Figures 4b–c, and Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). It is worth noting that the...
degree of reduction was different, depending on the thermal treatment process (Figure 4d). The reason is that heat was also generated by deoxidization. The total heat applied to the GO includes not only external heat from the tube furnace but also heat from the exothermic thermal reaction, generated during the reduction process.24,25,28 Moreover, because the exother-

Figure 1. Optical images of (a) pristine satin, (b) GO-coated satin, (c) rGO-Sa, and (d) the rGO-Sa sensor (scale bar: 1 cm). SEM images of (e) satin fabric, (f) silk fibers of pristine satin, (g) GO-coated satin, (h) rGO1-Sa, (i) rGO3-Sa, and (j) rGO5-Sa.

Figure 2. Resistance variation in (a) Pre-rGO-Sa exposed to 1, 5, and 10 ppm NO2 gas; (b) response time of Pre-rGO-Sa under 1 ppm of NO2 gas. Resistance variation in (c) rGO1-Sa #1, (d) rGO3-Sa #1, and (e) rGO5-Sa #1 samples exposed to 1, 5, and 10 ppm NO2 gas. (f) Response time of rGO1-Sa #R3 under 1 ppm of NO2 gas.
mic reaction depends on the heating rate, the amount of oxygen groups in rGO will be different. This is very important when designing rGO-based NO2 gas sensors because the oxygen functional groups act as the active sites, as mentioned above. It was reported that the hydroxyl groups in the rGO are mainly responsible for enhancing the gas-sensing performance because they have the shortest binding distance and the highest binding energy compared to any other oxygen group. The worst sensing performance was exhibited by the Pre-rGO, which had the highest proportion of C−C/C=C bonds (70.05%). rGO1 (1 means the heating rate 1 °C/min), which showed the best sensing performance, had the lowest C−C/C=C bonds (55.76%). On the other hand, the lowest ratio of oxygen to carbon (0.244) was observed in Pre-rGO, but the highest ratio (0.352) was exhibited in rGO1 (Figure 4e). The same tendency was found in the amount of C−O bonds, which is closely related to hydroxyl groups. Pre-rGO had the lowest amount of C−O bond (6.04%), but the rGO1 had the highest proportion of C−O bonds (12.82%, Figure 4f). This indicates that the amount of oxygen functional groups, especially C−O bonds, is directly concerned in the NO2 gas-sensing performance.

These results and tendencies were also observed in the Raman spectra. The D band and G band appeared at ∼1350 and ∼1586 cm−1 in all the rGO samples, respectively (Figure 5a). The G band represents the band stretching of sp2 carbon, and the D band is related to defects and disorders in the carbon structure. The ID/IG ratio, which is calculated from the subpeak fitting results (Figures S5b,c and S2 in the Supporting Information), is 2.085 and 1.685 for rGO1 and Pre-rGO, respectively. These two ID/IG ratios are the highest and the lowest values among the samples. The ID/IG ratio of rGO3 and rGO5 follows the same tendency as the NO2 gas-sensing result (Figure 5d). The Raman spectra of GO also had other bands, the D*, D″, and D′ bands, as shown in Figures S5b,c and S2 in the Supporting Information. The D* band appears between 1150 and 1200 cm−1 in the disordered
graphitic lattice of soot because of the sp³ bonds. The D″ band between 1480 and 1500 cm⁻¹ is related to the amorphous phase. Also, the D' band at ~1620 cm⁻¹ is due to disordered-induced phonons caused by crystal defects. The D* and D″ peaks in particular can be used as a criterion for the degree of reduction because they change depending on the amount of oxygen functional groups. When the number of oxygen functional groups decrease, the Raman shift of the D* band increases, but that of the D″ band decreases. Figure 5e shows that the Pre-rGO has the largest D* band Raman shift of 1191.78 cm⁻¹, and the rGO1 has the smallest wavenumber (1173.59 cm⁻¹). Also, the D″ band has the opposite tendency to the D* band. The maximum I_D″/I_G and I_D*/I_G ratios were also observed in rGO1 (Figure 5f). The results of the Raman spectra showed that the degree of reduction in rGO1 was lower than that in any other samples. This indicates that many

Figure 5. (a) Raman spectra of GO and rGO samples. The fitted Raman spectra of (b) Pre-rGO and (c) rGO1 by five bands (D*, D, D″, G, and D'). (d) Variation in the I_D″/I_G ratio. (e) Raman shift of the D″ band (black circle) and D* band (red circle). (f) Ratio of I_D″/I_G (leftward red triangle) and I_D*/I_G (rightward blue triangle).

Figure 6. (a) XRD patterns of GO and rGO samples. The amorphous structure overlapped the (002) lattice plane of (b) Pre-rGO and (c) rGO1. The interlayer distance of samples (d) with the GO (001) plane and (e) without the GO (001) plane.
more oxygen functional groups exist in the rGO1 compared with the other rGOs, which is consistent with the XPS results.

In the X-ray diffraction (XRD) study, the peak at 2θ = 11.71° in GO indicates the (001) lattice plane of the sp²-hybridized carbon hexagonal structure, and the interlayer distance is 0.756 nm (Figures 6a,c).32 After the thermal reduction process, the interlayer distance decreases because of the decrease in oxygen groups. As a result, the peak position moves to higher 2θ (Figure 6a). In the rGO samples, the peak for the (002) lattice plane can be divided into multiple peaks. This means that the (002) lattice plane is overlapped with various amorphous structures (Figures 6b,c, and S3 in the Supporting Information). Among the rGO samples, the maximum and minimum interlayer distances calculated from the main peak were observed in rGO1 and Pre-rGO, respectively (Figures 6d,e). This means that the rGO1 has the highest proportion of oxygen functional groups after thermal reduction among rGO samples.

The structure of rGO can be considered as randomly distributed heteroatom (oxygen functional groups)-embedded carbon substrates. Hence, rGO consists of the carbon domain (conducting region) separated by oxygen functional groups (insulating region) that act as a potential barrier. It indicates that the potential barrier width (w) becomes larger as the amount of oxygen functional groups increases. In this case, tunneling and overcoming the barrier of charge carriers are inevitable. The fluctuation-induced tunneling (FIT) model describes this behavior well, as follows:33

\[ R(T) = R_0 \exp \left( \frac{T_1}{T + T_0} \right) \]

where \( R \) is resistance and \( T \) is temperature. \( T_0 \) is the temperature at which the electron starts to become thermally activated, and \( T_1 \) is the energy required for the electron to overcome the insulating gap between carbon clusters. \( T_0 \) and \( T_1 \) are described as follows:34

\[ T_0 = \frac{16e^2\hbar}{\pi(2m)^{1/2}e^2k_b\left( A\frac{V_0^2}{w^2} \right)} \]

\[ T_1 = \frac{8e^2\left( A\frac{V_0^2}{w} \right)}{e^2k_b} \]

where \( \hbar \) is Plank’s constant, \( m \) is the mass of the electron, \( e \) is the electronic charge, \( k_b \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of vacuum, \( A \) is the junction area, \( V_0 \) is potential height, and \( w \) is potential width. \( T_1/T_0 \) is described as follows:

\[ \frac{T_1}{T_0} = \frac{\pi w \sqrt{2mV_0}}{2\hbar} \]

It is related to the potential barrier (\( w \) and \( V_0 \)) that comes from the oxygen functional groups. Hence, \( T_1/T_0 \) provides information about the amount of oxygen functional groups. Figure 7a–e shows the temperature-dependent resistance and \( T_1/T_0 \) values analyzed using the FIT model. \( T_1/T_0 \) values are 16.40 for rGO1, 15.77 for rGO3, 14.93 for rGO5, and 8.79 for Pre-rGO. This behavior shows that the charge-transport property also explains the gas-sensing performance of rGO–Sa well. As a result, it is confirmed that the NO₂ gas-sensing performance and response time depend on the amount of oxygen functional groups in rGO.

Finally, because the surface area and pore structure can also affect the gas-sensing response, we obtained them by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analysis and the t-plot using N₂ sorption isotherm (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information).
Information). The BET surface areas are 13.1 m²/g for rGO1, 13.2 m²/g for rGO3, and 12.8 m²/g for rGO5. Micropore volumes of rGOn (n = 1, 3, and 5) are 0.0018, 0.0022, and 0.0018 cm³/g, respectively. These results show that the sensing response of rGOn-Sa is not related to the surface area and pore volume.

## CONCLUSIONS

We fabricated an e-textile-based NO₂ gas sensor using commercial silk and GO by thermal reduction with different heating rates. Compared with our previous study, the response time decreased, and the gas-sensing response increased. In particular, the response of the rGO1-Sa was 20.4% at 10 ppm of NO₂, which was the best gas-sensing performance of any sample. We found that the difference in gas-sensing performance was due to the amount of oxygen functional groups. In other words, the amount of oxygen groups is closely related to the enhanced gas-sensing performance. These results were confirmed by XPS, Raman spectra, XRD, and charge-transport properties described by the FITE model. In this study, we confirmed that the amount of oxygen functional groups changes depending on the heating rate, which affects the gas-sensing property. We suggest that it is possible to improve the gas-sensing performance of rGO-coated materials by controlling the amount of oxygen groups.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Synthesis of GO Sheets and rGO.

GO was synthesized using Hummers’ method using graphite powder (99.999% metals basis, Alfa Aesar). To prepare the rGO sheet, heat treatment was conducted at 400 °C using the previously reported thermal reduction process (Pre-rGO) and different heating rates: 1 °C/min (rGO1), 3 °C/min (rGO3), and 5 °C/min (rGO5).

### Preparation of rGO-Sa.

GO was dissolved in deionized (DI) water and sonicated for 3 h to make a 1 mg/mL GO solution. Then, the satin, a type of commercial silk fabric, was dipped into the GO solution for 30 min and dried in a fume hood to coat the GO uniformly onto the satin. The samples were then heated to 400 °C at different heating rates of 1 °C/min (rGO1-Sa), 3 °C/min (rGO3-Sa), and 5 °C/min (rGO5-Sa) in the N₂ atmosphere. After that, the temperature was maintained at 400 °C for 2 h.

### Characterization.

To observe the morphology of the samples, SEM (CX-200TM, COXEM, Daejeon, Korea) was used. XPS (PHI 5000 Versa Probe II, Ulvac-PHI 5000 VersaProbe, Phi(Φ), Chigasaki, Japan) was performed with an Al-Kα X-ray source at 23.1 W to analyze the oxygen components. The structure of the rGO sheet, depending on the heating rate, was determined by XRD (SmartLab, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) with a range from 5° to 90°. Raman spectroscopy (Raman-LTPL system, Witec alpha300, Witec, Ulm, Germany) was performed using a 532 nm laser.

### Measurement of the Temperature-Dependent Resistance of the rGO Sheets.

The temperature-dependent resistance of the rGO sheets was measured using a conventional four-probe method. The sample was loaded into a closed-cycle refrigerator cryostat, and the cryostat was evacuated down to under 1 × 10⁻⁵ Torr. Then, we measured temperature-dependent resistance from 293 K to 8 K using a KEITHLEY 6211 direct current (DC) and an alternating current (AC) source, a 2182 nanovoltmeter (KEITHLEY Instruments, Ohio, USA), and a LakeShore 335 temperature controller (Lake Shore Cryotronics, Ohio, USA).

### Gas-Sensing Measurements.

NO₂ gas-sensing measurements were performed on the rGO-Sa using a KEITHLEY 6517A electrometer/high resistance meter and a KEITHLEY 7001 switch system (Keithley Instruments, Ohio, USA). We applied 1.0 V of bias voltage and measured the resistance of rGO-Sa at 25 °C. A more detailed description was reported in the previous study. The sensor response was defined as $S (%) = (R_a - R_g)/R_a × 100$, where $R_a$ and $R_g$ are the resistance in air and NO₂ gas, respectively. The sensor response of each sample (rGO1-, rGO3-, and rGO5-Sa) was measured nine times.

The response time and humidity dependency were measured under 1 ppm of NO₂ using rGO1-Sa, which showed the highest NO₂-sensing performance (Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). The response time of rGO1-Sa was defined as the time when the resistance reached 90% of the saturated resistance change after exposure to NO₂ gas. Each experiment was measured nine times. The humidity was stepwise increased from 0% (~2%) to 80% in 10% step.

The theoretical NO₂ DL was calculated using the sensor’s signal-processing performance. For calculating the DL, we obtain the rms noise and slope of the sensor response. First, we choose 10 data points at the baseline before exposing the NO₂ gas. Then, we carried out fifth-order polynomial fitting about the chosen data using the Origin program. Through the polynomial fitting, we obtain the $y_i$ value; $y_i$ is the original 10 points data value, and $y$ is the fifth-order polynomial data value. Therefore, we can calculate $V_{yi}$ and the rms noise:

$$\text{rms}_{\text{noise}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} V_{yi}^2}{N}}$$

where $N$ is the number of data we choose. To obtain the slope that indicates the sensitivity to NO₂ gas, we draw the response graph. The x-axis is NO₂ concentration and the y-axis is the response (Figure 3b). We obtained the slope by the linear fitting of the response graph. Using this value, we calculated the theoretical DL with the following equation:

$$\text{DL (ppm)} = \frac{1}{\text{slope}}$$
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