ALDH1 is an independent prognostic factor for patients with stages II–III rectal cancer after receiving radiochemotherapy
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Background: About one in five patients with locally advanced rectal cancer (RC) suffers recurrence or distant metastasis after neoadjuvant therapy. We investigated how cancer stem cell markers change after neoadjuvant therapy and how these markers relate to recurrence.

Methods: Pretreatment biopsies and postoperative specimens were taken from 64 patients with locally advanced rectal adenocarcinoma who received preoperative radiochemotherapy (RCT) between sampling. Samples were tested immunohistochemically for CD44, LGR5, ALDH1 and CD166; scores were dichotomised as high or low. The median follow-up period was 36 months.

Results: High expression of CD44, LGR5, ALDH and CD166 was found in 38%, 5%, 48% and 10%, respectively, before RCT and 86%, 33%, 71% and 52%, respectively, after RCT. CD44 (P=0.001), LGR5 (P=0.049) and CD166 (P=0.003) were significantly upregulated after RCT. Whereas no recurrence was seen during the follow-up in the low ALDH group, 40% of the high ALDH group suffered recurrence. In multivariate COX analysis, postoperative ALDH1 independently predicted poor prognosis in patients with RC who received RCT (P=0.0095).

Conclusion: Preoperative RCT upregulates expression of stem cell markers in patients with RC. High post-treatment ALDH1 expression predicts poor prognosis for these patients after neoadjuvant therapy.

Mounting evidence indicates that human cancers derive from cancer-initiating cells or ‘cancer stem cells’ (CSCs) (O’Brien et al, 2007; Ricci-Vitiani et al, 2007). As CSCs can initiate and sustain malignant growth and resist chemo- and radiotherapies (Boman and Wicha, 2008), potential CSC biomarkers have been studied to better understand mechanisms underlying tumour growth, progression and treatment responses (Lugli et al, 2010; Avoranta et al, 2013).

Neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy (RCT) followed by total mesorectal excision (TME) has become the standard treatment for locally advanced stages II–III rectal cancer (RC) (using the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) guidelines) within the last decade (Sauer et al, 2004). Individual responses, however, vary considerably (Rodel et al, 2005). Cytotoxic agents are thought to reduce tumour volume by killing actively proliferating cells but sparing some CSCs, which acquire resistance from these therapies through natural selection and genetic mutation. Reportedly, enrichment of the stem cell marker CD133 in RC treated with preoperative RCT independently predicts metastasis and poor survival (Sprenger et al, 2013). CD133 was initially identified as a reliable CSC marker in primary human colorectal cancer (CRC; O’Brien et al, 2007; Ricci-Vitiani et al, 2007); however, this use is controversial (Shmelkov et al, 2008). Alternatively, CD44, LGR5 (Kemper et al, 2012), CD166 and ALDH molecules...
(among others) have also been reported as CSC markers in CRC (Lugli et al, 2010).

In this study, expression of several CRC stem cell markers – CD44, LGR5, ALDH and CD166 – were evaluated, for the first time, synchronously in both pretreatment biopsies and corresponding surgical specimens from patients with locally advanced RC who were treated with standardised preoperative RCT. Expression levels of markers were correlated with clinicopathologic characteristics and clinical follow-up.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patient cohort.** Sixty-four patients with locally advanced AJCC stages II–III rectal adenocarcinoma treated between August 2009 and January 2011 were included in the study – 21 patients in the discovery set and another 43 in the validation set. All patients underwent standardised preoperative RCT and quality-assessed curative TME surgery in The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. cTNM classifications were determined using the AJCC guidelines. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. All patients gave informed consent for use of biopsies and tumour samples. Staging procedures, including colonoscopy, contrast-enhanced CT scans of the thorax, abdomen and pelvis and pelvic MRIs, were performed in all cases to confirm locally advanced tumour stage and to exclude patients with evidence of distant metastatic disease at the initial RC diagnosis.

**Treatment procedures, pathological-staging procedures and tumour regression grading.** Treatment consisted of neo-adjuvant RCT. Each patient received a total irradiation dose of 46 Gy in 23 fractions of 2 Gy with concomitant application of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). Surgical procedures comprised anterior resections, abdomino-perineal resections and discontinuous resections (Hartmann procedure). Perioperative assessment of TME quality was performed in all surgical specimens. Pretreatment biopsy material was taken endoscopically. Pathological staging of post-treatment tumour resections was performed using AJCC 7th TNM classifications. Histopathological tumour regression grade (TRG) was based on a semi-quantitative 4-point grading system described by the NCCN guidelines: Grade 0 (complete response): no viable cancer cells; Grade 1 (moderate response): single cells or small groups of cancer cells; Grade 2 (minimal response): residual cancer outgrown by fibrosis; Grade 3 (poor response): minimal or no tumour kill; extensive residual cancer (Ryan et al, 2005). Patients whose preoperative biopsies were too small or who had too few postoperative cancer cells (TRG 0/1) were excluded from the study.

**Immunohistochemical staining.** All matched pre- and post-surgical samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Sections were cut at 3-μm thickness and mounted on APES-coated glass slides. Slides were deparaffinised in xylene three times for 15 min, rehydrated through graded ethanol for a total of 25 min and immersed in distilled water for 1 min. To retrieve antigens, slides were heated in 0.01 M citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in a microwave oven at 100 °C for 5 min and at 40 °C for 10 min. Slides were then immersed in phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) for 5 min, twice. Sections were incubated with the following primary antibodies: anti-human CD166 (clone M0G/07; 1:200; Novocastra, Newcastle, UK), anti-human CD44s (clone DF1485; 1:50; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), anti-human Lgr5 (polyclonal; 1:1000; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and anti-human ALDH1 isoform (polyclonal; 1:500; Abcam). Negative controls underwent the same protocol without primary antibodies in a humidified chamber and were refrigerated at 4 °C overnight. Slides were then rinsed with PBS three times and incubated with polyperoxidase-anti-mouse/rabbit IgG (Zymed, San Diego, CA, USA) for 15 min. After washing with PBS, 3,3′-diaminobenzidine was used as the chromogen. Sections were counterstained for 2 min with hematoxylin solution.

**Preparation of the tissue microarray (TMA).** Postoperative tumour tissue and adjacent mucosa were studied. Paraffin blocks containing areas of invasive carcinoma were identified on corresponding H- and E-stained sections. Areas of interest that represented the invasive front of the tumour, rich in non-necrotic tumoral glands, were identified and marked on the source block. The source block was then cored and a 1-mm core transferred to the recipient block using the Beecher Tissue Microarray (Beecher Instruments, Silver Spring, MD, USA). Tow cores were arrayed per specimen. Adjacent mucosa was taken from the proximal or distal stump.

**Immunohistochemical (IHC) scoring.** Immunostaining was evaluated by two independent pathologists blinded to the study. Expression was analysed by an individual labeling score considering percent of positive cells and staining intensity. Intensity was scored as 0, none; 1, weak; 2, moderate; 3, strong; and 4, extremely strong. To dichotomise the markers, 0 and 1 were defined as low expression, and 3 and 4 as high expression. The fraction of positive tumour cells was assigned to 0 (no staining), 1 (<25% positive), 2 (25–50% positive), 3 (50–75% positive) and 4 (>75% positive). Intensity and fraction of positive cell scores were multiplied for each marker and thus ranged from 0 (no positive cells) to 16 (>75% of tumour cells with intense staining). To dichotomise the markers, optimal cutoff points were calculated using the SPSS 17.0 ROC curve. TMA scores were obtained by the TMAJ software (HU Tissue MicroArray Core Facility, Baltimore, MA, USA).

**Clinical evaluation and follow-up.** All patients were re-evaluated at 3-month intervals for 2 years and every 6 months thereafter. Evaluations consisted of pertinent medical history, physical examination, blood cell counts and blood chemistry including carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels at every follow-up visit. Colonoscopies (in patients treated with anterior resections) were performed at 6-month intervals in the first 2 years, and annually thereafter. Follow-up chest, abdomen and pelvis CT studies were scheduled every 6 months for the first 3 years, and annually thereafter. Histological confirmation of local recurrence and distant relapse (defined as tumour manifestation outside the pelvis) was encouraged. Alternate acceptable criteria included sequential enlargement of a mass in radiologic studies with simultaneous increase in serum CEA levels. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the time from surgery to detection of any tumour relapse. The median follow-up time was 36 months (range: 24–40 months).

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis used SPSS 17.0. Frequency tables were analysed using the $\chi^2$ test, with the likelihood ratio (LR) or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Pairwise comparison of expression in biopsies and operative samples was analysed using a nonparametric paired-samples test (Wilcoxon signed-ranks test). Correlation of markers’ labeling scores with clinical outcome variables was computed by Spearman rank correlation. The ROC curve was used to set the cutoff point. Time to event data were visualised using Kaplan–Meier analysis with log-rank test. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered significant for all tests.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical results and cancer relapse.** Table 1 shows patients’ clinicopathological characteristics. In initial pretreatment staging, 51 of the 64 patients had mesorectal lymph node involvement. After preoperative RCT, 21 patients had evidence of residual lymph node metastasis. Pathological lymph node staging was based
on a median lymph node yield of 15. Positive circumferential margin of resection was not present. Forty-two patients (65.6%) were downstaged after RCT.

Stem cell marker expression in pre- and post-RCT specimens. CD44, LGR5, ALDH and CD166 immunoreactions were assessed in all investigated tumour biopsies and corresponding surgical specimens in discovery set patients. Both biopsies and post-treatment tumour samples showed predominately homogeneous positivity. Table 2 shows immunopositive percentages for the four markers by dichotomised scores. High expression rates for CD44, LGR5, ALDH and CD166 were 38%, 5%, 48% and 10%, respectively, before neoadjuvant therapy, and 86% (P = 0.001), 33% (P = 0.049), 71% (P = 0.116) and 52% (P = 0.003), respectively, afterwards (Table 2). Expressions of CD44, LGR5 and CD166 were significantly upregulated after neoadjuvant RCT (Figure 1). CD44 was upregulated in eight patients, and downregulated in none; six and nine patients had upregulated LGR5 and CD166 expression, respectively. Immunohistochemical pictures are shown in Supplementary Figure 1S.

Initial stem cell marker expression, clinicopathological parameters and survival. In pre-RCT specimens, CD44, LGR5, ALDH1 and CD166 expressions did not correlate to each other. No clinicopathologic parameters correlated with CD44, LGR5, ALDH1 or CD166 expression. Preoperative high or low CD44, ALDH1 and CD166 expression did not predict DFS (Supplementary Figure 2S). No analysis was performed for LGR5 as only one patient showed as positive.

Post-therapeutic stem cell marker expression, clinicopathological parameters and survival. No clinicopathological parameters correlated to CD44, LGR5, ALDH1 or CD166 expression levels postoperatively. No markers except ALDH1 were related to survival. Among 15 patients with high ALDH1 expression, six showed distant metastases or local recurrence (40%) within the follow-up period, whereas no patient with low ALDH1 expression developed metastasis or local recurrence; this implies that ALDH1 expression distinguishes patients at high risk for relapse. As ALDH1 expression after RCT predicted relapse in Kaplan–Meier analysis (P = 0.041, Supplementary Figure 3S), we analysed ALDH1 in a validation data set. High ALDH1 expression was inversely associated with advanced downstaging (P = 0.010). In downstaged patients (sensitive group), ALDH1 expression rate was 50% but was 100% in non-downstaged patients (resistant group) (P = 0.019).

Postoperative ALDH1 expression independently predicted tumour recurrence. ALDH1 expression in 43 patients’ tissue was postoperatively studied using TMA. The IHC scores...
ALDH1 predicts poor prognosis in RC

Recent evidence suggests that CRC CSCs can renew themselves and are relatively insensitive to chemotherapy, which is targeted at homogeneous populations of rapidly growing and underdifferentiated tumour cells (Boman and Wicha, 2008). Our previous in vitro study showed that CD133 expression was upregulated and cells became more ‘stemlike’ after 5-FU exposure (Deng et al., 2010). Dylla et al. (2008) found that CRC CSCs were enriched in colon tumours after chemotherapy and could rapidly regenerate their tumours of origin in a xenograft model. However, few such studies investigated CSCs in living human patients.

Here, we compared expression of several CSC markers in matched pretreatment biopsies and corresponding surgical specimens of 21 patients with locally advanced RC who were treated with standardised 5-FU-based neoadjuvant RCT. In comparing pretreatment biopsies and surgical resection specimens, we saw significant upregulation of CD44, CD166 and LGR5 in cancer cells following RCT. This concords with findings of significant upregulation of CSC expression after treatment with neoadjuvant chemotherapy or RCT (Iinuma et al., 2011). Expression of another stem cell marker, ALDH1, increased in four patients whose biopsies were initially ALDH1. ALDH1 expression trended upwards after RCT, although not significantly, and was significantly higher in resistant patients (100%) compared with chemosensitive patients (50%). We found that tumour cells had upregulated expression of stem cell markers during RCT in RC, indicating that RCT spares CSCs or enriched cells with stem cell phenotypes in RC patients who received neoadjuvant therapy. Initial stem cell markers did not correlate to the regression of tumour grade and prognosis, possibly because CSC counterparts in stage II–III patients are a small population. However, as residual stem cells escape therapy, they have more chances to gain epithelial–mesenchymal transformation and cause metastases.

Our present investigation found that high ALDH1 expression in post-treatment specimens was an independent predictor of recurrence in RC patients who receive neoadjuvant RCT. ALDH1 was strongly predictive in a recent investigation (Rodel et al., 2005). Accordingly, the current study demonstrates that high post-treatment ALDH expression significantly predicts cancer relapse and distant metastases, with recurrence risk increasing by 0.03% for each point increase in ALDH1 expression. This also underscores the potential role of ALDH1 in metastatic pathways. ALDH1 is a detoxifying enzyme that oxidises intracellular aldehydes and thereby confers resistance to alkylating agents (Dylla et al., 2008). Possibly, the detoxification capacity of ALDH1 protects CSCs against oxidative insult, thus supporting their well-recognised longevity. ALDH1-based markers can be used to track colonic stem cells during tumorigenesis. Reportedly, ALDH1+ cells indicate increasing colonic CSC numbers as CRC progresses (Huang et al., 2009). Huang et al. (2009) recently showed that CD133+ and CD44+ cells identified subpopulations that were seven-fold larger than the ALDH1+ population, within normal colonic tissues, and concluded that the ALDH1+ CSCs are a subset of CD44+ CSCs. This subset of stem cells could be insensitive to chemical or radiation therapy. ALDH1 enzymatic activity is a major mediator of resistance to cyclophosphamide – a classical chemotherapeutic agent (Dylla et al., 2008). ALDH1 is now widely accepted as a CRC-CSC marker (Arcaroli et al., 2012; Shenoy et al., 2012). However, only high residual ALDH1 expression after RCT significantly predicted distant metastasis or local recurrence. Among patients with high ALDH1 expression, 40% had recurrent cancer, whereas no patients with low ALDH1 expression did. Residual high expression, rather than initial or increased ALDH1 expression, was highly related to poor prognosis. We recommend conducting ALDH1 staining routinely for patients who receive neoadjuvant RCT to better predict the recurrence risk.
More effective adjuvant treatment should also be investigated for those patients with high ALDH1 expression. In summary, our results show that therapeutic strategies upregulate expression of stem cell markers CD44, LGR5 and CD166 during the preoperative therapy in RC patients. High postoperative ALDH1 expression predicts poor prognosis for CRC patients who received neoadjuvant therapy. However, the implications of ALDH1 expression in RC should be validated in a clinical trial.
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