Boundary Layer Flow through Darcy–Brinkman Porous Medium in the Presence of Slip Effects and Porous Dissipation
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Abstract: This paper aims to examine the Darcy–Brinkman flow over a stretching sheet in the presence of frictional heating and porous dissipation. The governing equations are modeled and simplified under boundary layer approximations, which are then transformed into system of self-similar equations using appropriate transformations. The resulting system of nonlinear equations was solved numerically under velocity and thermal slip conditions, by fourth-order Runge–Kutta method and built-in routine bvp4c in Matlab. Under special conditions, the obtained results were compared with the results available in the literature. An excellent agreement was observed. The variation of parameters was studied for different flow quantities of interest and results are presented in the form of tables and graphs.
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1. Introduction

The porous medium is a continuous solid phase having void spaces/pores in it. The fraction of the void space to the total volume is named as porosity. There are plenty of porous media available naturally and many of them are artificial. Some examples of porous medium are rocks such as limestone, sand stone, beach sand, pumice and dolomite, lathes packed with pebbles, cloth sponge, rye bread, foamed plastics, endothelial surface layer, catalyst pellets, gall bladder with stones, human lung, and drug permeation through human skin. Industrial and engineering applications of flows through porous medium have attracted the attention of researchers. Purification and filtration processes, seepage of water in river beds, migration of pollutants into the soil and aquifers, drying of porous materials in textile industries, the movement of moisture through and under engineering structures, the saturation of porous materials by chemicals, and heat and mass transport in packed bed reactor columns stand among many other applications. “Flow is linearly dependent on the pressure gradient and the gravitational force” is known as Darcy Law. This law is generally accepted as the macroscopic equation of motion for the Newtonian fluids in porous media at small Reynolds numbers and when the medium is close-packed (lower permeability). However, when the pore distribution in the medium is sparse and the pores are large, the porous medium will have large voids, giving rise to viscous shear in addition to Darcy’s resistance. In that case, the usual viscous resistance term (Brinkman term)
should be considered, along with the Darcy resistance term. This model is known as Darcy–Brinkman model [1–7].

In a stretching flow, an elastic flat sheet that is stretched in its own plane with a velocity changing with the distance from a fixed point. The sheeting material is being produced in numerous manufacturing, industrial and engineering processes. In the manufacture of the polymer sheets, the melt material, when pushed through an extrusion die, cools and solidifies at a distance from the die before reaching the cooling phase. Applications of the boundary layer flow generated by stretching sheet can also be witnessed in procedures such as spinning of fibers, glass blowing, hot rolling, continuous casting, and in thin film flow and many others [8–14]. Boundary layer flow over a stretching sheet in the presence of Darcy porous medium are investigated by several researchers [15–20]. Darcy–Brinkman flow over a stretching sheet was performed by Waqar and Pop [21] and Khan et al. [22]. When fluid is forced to move due to the stretching of sheet, the fluid gains some velocity as well as kinetic energy and this kinetic energy is converted into the heat energy. In the presence of porous medium, viscous dissipation term in energy equation is modified and this phenomenon is called porous dissipation. In [21,22], the authors neglected the viscous dissipation effects. Moreover, even in the case of Darcy flow, the authors neglected the porous dissipation terms in the modeling. From the literature survey and to best of our knowledge, no one has investigated the Darcy–Brinkman flow over a stretching sheet in the presence of frictional heating and porous dissipation.

The phenomenon of slip condition has many industrial and practical applications, especially in microchannels or nano-channels. To study heat transfer flows more accurately, slip conditions are required, which strongly influence fluid motion at the fluid–solid interface. Zhang et al. [23] investigated the heat transfer performance in microchannel under the slip flow regime and constant heat flux boundary condition by considering into account the effects of velocity slip and temperature jump. Hooman and Ejlali [24] showed that the combined effects of temperature jump and velocity slip on forced convection in both parallel plate and circular microchannels for fully developed gas–liquid slip flows. Hussanan et al. [25] studied the Newtonian heating problem with additional effects of velocity slip and free convection on heat transfer flow over a vertical plate. Liu and Guo [26] used second-order slip condition while studying analytical solution of fractional Maxwell flow under magnetic field. Jing et al. [27] investigated the hydraulic resistance and heat transfer rate in elliptical microchannel with the velocity slip for different length ratios. Andersson [28] obtained the analytical solution for the slip flow over a stretching sheet. Turkyilmazoglu [29] performed the heat and mass transfer analysis of MHD flow over a stretching sheet in presence of velocity and thermal slip effects. Yazdi et al. [30] studied the effects of viscous dissipation on MHD flow over a porous stretching sheet in the presence of slip and convective boundary conditions. Hsiao [31] examined the MHD stagnation point flow of nanofluid towards a stretching sheet with slip boundary conditions.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the Darcy–Brinkman flow over a permeable stretching sheet in the presence of viscous and porous dissipation under the velocity and thermal slip conditions. Governing equations are modeled and then transformed into self-similar forms using the suitable similarity transformations. Note that, in the presence of viscous dissipation, similar solutions are very rare. Resulting self-similar equations were solved numerically using shooting method. Comparative study between shooting method and built in routine bvp4c [32] in Matlab was also made, to check the accuracy of our results. Moreover, in special cases, comparison between the existing available results was performed. The variations of pertinent parameters on the dimensionless velocity, temperature, skin friction coefficient and local Nusselt number are illustrated and discussed.

2. Mathematical Formulation

We consider the flow of over a permeable stretching surface embedded in a porous medium. In Cartesian coordinates, x-axis and y-axis are perpendicular to the sheet, which is being stretched with velocity \( U_s = \alpha x \). Let \( T_s = T_{\infty} + cx^2 \) be the temperature of sheet and \( T_{\infty} \) be the ambient temperature.
and \( T_S > T_\infty \). In the presence of viscous dissipation, governing equations under boundary layer assumption are

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{u}{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{v}{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_e}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\mu \varepsilon^2}{\rho K^*} \mu, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{u}{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{\kappa}{\rho C_p} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right) + \varepsilon^2 \rho C_p \left[ \frac{\mu}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \mu u^2 \right], \quad (3)
\]

along with boundary conditions

\[
u = \alpha x + \beta \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right), \quad v = -V_0, \quad T = T_s + \delta \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) \text{ at } y = 0,
\]

\[
u \to 0, \quad T \to T_\infty \text{ as } y \to \infty. \quad (4)
\]

In above equations, \( u \) and \( v \) are the components of velocity along \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively.

Introducing the similarity transformations

\[
\xi = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\nu} y}, \quad u = \alpha g'(\xi), \quad v = -\sqrt{\alpha \nu} g'(\xi), \quad \theta(\xi) = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_s - T_\infty}. \quad (5)
\]

Equations (1)–(3) along with boundary conditions Equation (4) are reduced to the dimensionless forms

\[
\gamma g''' - g'^2 + g\gamma'' - P_m g' = 0, \quad (6)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{Pr} \theta'' + g\theta' - 2 g'\theta + Ec \left( \gamma g'' + P_m g' \right) = 0, \quad (7)
\]

\[
g'(0) = S, \quad g'(0) = 1 + \beta g''(0), \quad g'('\infty) = 0,
\]

\[
\theta(0) = 1 + \delta \theta'(0), \quad \theta(\infty) = 0. \quad (8)
\]

Skin friction coefficients \( S_{fx} \) and the local Nusselt number \( N_{R_{x}} \) are defined as

\[
S_{fx} = \frac{\mu}{\rho U_s^2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad N_{R_{x}} = -\frac{x}{(T_s - T_\infty)} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}. \quad (9)
\]

In dimensionless form, quantities defined in Equation (9) take the form

\[
S_{fx}\text{Re}_{x}^{1/2} = \gamma''(0), \quad N_{R_{x}}\text{Re}_{x}^{-1/2} = -\theta'(0). \quad (10)
\]

In Equations (6)–(10), dimensionless physical parameters are defined as

| Dimensionless Physical Parameters | Notations | Definitions |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Brinkmann parameter              | \( \gamma \) | \( \frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu_e}{\mu K^*} \) |
| Porosity parameter               | \( P_m \) | \( \frac{\mu^2}{\rho K^*} \) |
| Suction/injection parameter      | \( S \) | \( \frac{V_0}{\sqrt{\alpha \nu}} \) |
| Prandtl number                   | \( Pr \) | \( \frac{\mu C_p}{\kappa} \) |
| Eckert number                    | \( Ec \) | \( \frac{\alpha}{\nu} \) |
| Velocity slip parameter          | \( \beta \) | \( \beta_1 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\nu}} \) |
| Thermal slip parameter           | \( \delta \) | \( \delta_1 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\nu}} \) |
3. Solution Methodologies

The nonlinear differential Equations (6) and (7) subject to the boundary conditions in Equation (8) were solved numerically using an efficient Runge–Kutta fourth-order method along with shooting technique. The asymptotic boundary conditions given by Equation (8) were replaced by using a value of 15 for the similarity variable $\xi_{\text{max}}$. The choice of $\xi_{\text{max}} = 15$ and the step size $\Delta \xi = 0.001$, ensured that all numerical solutions approached the asymptotic values correctly. To check the accuracy of computed results, comparison between analytical, exact and shooting method was made for special cases available in the literature. Moreover, for present general case results obtained by shooting method were also compared with the built-in routine bvp4c in MATLAB. The obtained results are in excellent agreement, which confirms the accuracy of our results.

4. Results and Discussion

We analyzed the effects of significant physical parameters on dimensionless velocity $g'(\xi)$, dimensionless temperature $\theta(\xi)$, skin friction coefficient $S_{f} \Re_{x}^{1/2} = -g''(0)$ and local Nusselt number $N_{R_{x}} \Re_{x}^{-1/2} = -\theta'(0)$. Table 1 presents different values of velocity slip parameter $\beta$ when there is no porous medium and sheet is impermeable. Skin friction coefficient decreases by increasing the velocity slip parameter. In the case of no-slip i.e., when $\beta = 0$, Equation (6) admits exact solution of the form [22]

$$g(\xi) = S + \frac{1}{A} \left(1 - e^{-A\xi}\right), \quad A = \frac{S + \sqrt{S^2 + 4\gamma(1 + P_{m})}}{2\gamma}.$$

Table 1. Comparison between analytical solution [22] and shooting method for different values of $\beta$ when $\gamma = 1$ and $P_{m} = 0.0, S = 0.0$.

| Velocity Slip Parameter $\beta$ | $g'(0)$ | $g''(0)$ |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|
|                                 | Andersson [22] | Present | Andersson [22] | Present |
| 0.0                             | 1.0000  | 1.0000  | 1.0000         | 1.0000  |
| 0.1                             | 0.9128  | 0.91278 | 0.8721         | 0.87215 |
| 0.2                             | 0.8447  | 0.84471 | 0.7764         | 0.77645 |
| 0.5                             | 0.7044  | 0.70436 | 0.5912         | 0.59127 |
| 1.0                             | 0.5698  | 0.56974 | 0.4302         | 0.43025 |
| 2.0                             | 0.4320  | 0.43183 | 0.2840         | 0.28408 |
| 5.0                             | 0.2758  | 0.27530 | 0.1448         | 0.14493 |
| 10.0                            | 0.1876  | 0.18670 | 0.0812         | 0.08132 |
| 20.0                            | 0.1242  | 0.12285 | 0.0438         | 0.04385 |
| 50.0                            | 0.0702  | 0.06801 | 0.0186         | 0.01863 |
| 100.0                           | 0.0450  | 0.04225 | 0.0095         | 0.00957 |

Table 2 shows that the numerical solution obtained by shooting method is in good agreement with the exact solution. Moreover, we observed the skin friction coefficient Brinkman viscosity for parameter $\gamma$, whereas an oppose behavior is noted with increasing values suction parameter $S$ and porosity parameter $P_{m}$. Table 3 shows that the skin friction coefficient is higher for the slip case in comparison with no-slip case. Numerical values of local Nusselt number for different physical parameters are presented in Table 4. Nusselt number increases by increasing Prandtl number $Pr$ and decreases by increasing Eckert number $Ec$ and thermal slip parameter $\delta$. 

Table 1. Comparison between analytical solution [22] and shooting method for different values of $\beta$ when $\gamma = 1$ and $P_{m} = 0.0, S = 0.0$. 

| Velocity Slip Parameter $\beta$ | $g'(0)$ | $g''(0)$ |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|
|                                 | Andersson [22] | Present | Andersson [22] | Present |
| 0.0                             | 1.0000  | 1.0000  | 1.0000         | 1.0000  |
| 0.1                             | 0.9128  | 0.91278 | 0.8721         | 0.87215 |
| 0.2                             | 0.8447  | 0.84471 | 0.7764         | 0.77645 |
| 0.5                             | 0.7044  | 0.70436 | 0.5912         | 0.59127 |
| 1.0                             | 0.5698  | 0.56974 | 0.4302         | 0.43025 |
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| 5.0                             | 0.2758  | 0.27530 | 0.1448         | 0.14493 |
| 10.0                            | 0.1876  | 0.18670 | 0.0812         | 0.08132 |
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Table 2. Skin friction coefficient $S_f \text{Re}_x^{1/2} = -g''(0)$ for no slip case $\beta = 0$. Comparison between exact and numerical solution.

| Physical Parameters | $S_f \text{Re}_x^{1/2} = -g''(0)$ |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $\gamma$ | $S$ | $P_m$ | Exact (See Equation (11)) | Numerical (Shooting Method) |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.82287 | 1.82287 |
| 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.15138 | 1.15140 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.89314 | 0.89324 |
| 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.61245 | 1.61245 |
| 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 2.89736 | 2.89736 |
| 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 4.56904 | 4.56905 |
| 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.84307 | 0.84307 |
| 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.61245 | 1.61245 |
| 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.89736 | 2.89736 |

Table 3. Skin friction coefficient $S_f \text{Re}_x^{1/2} = -g''(0)$ for slip case $\beta = 1.0$. Comparison between Shooting method and MATLAB bvp4c.

| Physical Parameters | $S_f \text{Re}_x^{1/2} = -g''(0)$ |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $\gamma$ | $S$ | $P_m$ | Shooting Method | bvp4c |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.610511 | 0.610497 |
| 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.500008 | 0.500006 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.439566 | 0.439507 |
| 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.550438 | 0.550437 |
| 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.712228 | 0.712227 |
| 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.808872 | 0.808872 |
| 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.406493 | 0.406493 |
| 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.452006 | 0.451987 |
| 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.485908 | 0.485905 |

Table 4. Local Nusselt number $N_x \text{Re}_x^{1/2} = -\theta'(0)$ when $\beta = 1.0$ and $S = 0.5$. Comparison between Shooting method and MATLAB bvp4c.

| Physical Parameters | $N_x \text{Re}_x^{1/2} = -\theta'(0)$ |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $\text{Pr}$ | $Ec$ | $\delta$ | $P_m$ | $\gamma$ | Shooting Method | bvp4c |
| 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.456141 | 0.456203 |
| 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.538161 | 0.538197 |
| 6.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.738928 | 0.738983 |
| 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.738078 | 0.738124 |
| 3.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.642319 | 0.642382 |
| 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.546560 | 0.546591 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.208602 | 2.208638 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.820808 | 0.820821 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.504071 | 0.504105 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.640207 | 0.640288 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.566207 | 0.566224 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.517044 | 0.517133 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.619665 | 0.619690 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.578480 | 0.578501 |
| 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.546450 | 0.546487 |
Figure 1 is plotted to see effect of Brinkman viscosity ratio parameter $\gamma$ on velocity profile. It was observed that velocity increases on increasing the values of Brinkman viscosity ratio number. This also makes the sense because the Brinkman viscosity ratio number appears with the velocity gradient term in the momentum equation, consequently large values of Brinkman viscosity parameter increases the velocity. Figure 2 portrays the effects of porosity parameter $P_m$ on the velocity profile. It was observed that velocity and momentum boundary layer decreases by increasing porosity parameter. In Figures 3 and 4, we can see that, by increasing the suction parameter $S$ and slip parameter $\beta$, the velocity of fluid decreases and momentum boundary layer becomes thinner. Figure 5 displays the impact of Brinkman viscosity number on the temperature profile $\theta(\xi)$. Thermal boundary layer is an increasing function of $\gamma$. Increase in the suction velocity $S$ and porosity parameter $P_m$ decreases the fluid temperature with in the boundary layer (see Figures 6 and 7). Figure 8 exhibits the influence of Prandtl number on the temperature field $\theta(\xi)$. Temperature inside the boundary layer decreases with increasing Prandtl number $Pr$. This is true because, by increasing Prandtl number (decreasing thermal conductivity) of fluid, the heat transfer rate from the stretching sheet decreases and therefore thermal boundary layer decreases. Figure 9 depicts the influence of Eckert number $Ec$ on temperature profile. As predictable, it is noticed that the thermal boundary layer increases with increasing values of $Ec$ as Eckert number increases fluid friction between the adjacent layers increases, which results in conversion of the kinetic energy into heat energy. Figure 10 illustrates that temperature and thermal boundary layer reduces by increasing thermal slip parameter $\delta$.
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*Figure 1. Variation of velocity with viscosity ratio parameter $\gamma$.*
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*Figure 2. Variation of velocity with porosity parameter $P_m$.***
Figure 1. Variation of velocity with viscosity ratio parameter $\gamma$.

Figure 2. Variation of velocity with porosity parameter $m_P$.

Figure 3. Variation of velocity with suction parameter $S$.

Figure 4. Variation of velocity with velocity slip parameter $\beta$.

Figure 5. Variation of temperature with Brinkman parameter $\gamma$.
Figure 4. Variation of velocity with velocity slip parameter $\beta$.

Figure 5. Variation of temperature with Brinkman parameter $\gamma$.

Figure 6. Variation of temperature with porosity parameter $m_P$.

Figure 7. Variation of temperature with suction parameter $S$.

Figure 8. Variation of temperature with Prandtl number $Pr$.

Figure 9. Variation of temperature with Eckert number $Ec$.
5. Conclusions

The present work gives the numerical solutions for Darcy–Brinkman flow over a stretching sheet in the presence of porous dissipation and frictional heating. From the numerical results obtained, some important conclusions are summarized:

(i) Both velocity and temperature decrease with the increase of suction parameter.
(ii) The slip parameter has high impact on skin friction coefficient as compared with no-slip condition.
(iii) Heat transfer rate is reduced due to increase in Eckert number and thermal slip parameter.
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