Genotypic Variation in Plant Traits, Chemical Defenses, and Resistance Against Insect Herbivores in Avocado (Persea americana) Across a Domestication Gradient
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Domestication promotes divergence between wild and cultivated plants. The “plant domestication-reduced defense” hypothesis proposes that cultivated plants have lower chemical defenses and resistance against herbivores than their wild counterparts. Yet, the effects of domestication on the interactions between perennial crops and insect herbivores have not been well-documented. In this study, we hypothesized that domesticated avocado (Persea americana) has lowered resistance against insect herbivores. To test this hypothesis, we measured variation in plant traits (fruit and seed size, seed germination, and plant growth), chemical defenses (total phenolics), and resistance against two leaf-chewing herbivores—a specialist (Copaxa multifenestrata) and a generalist (Spodoptera frugiperda)—among seven avocado genotypes across a domestication gradient: wild (ancestral) genotypes, five (intermediate) landraces (“Blanco,” “Lonjas,” “Vargas,” “Zarcoli,” and “Rodolfo”), and the cultivated (modern) “Hass.” Our results showed that seeds from “Hass” have a lower germination rate and slower growth and have shorter fruits and seeds than the landraces and wild genotypes. “Hass” leaves also had lower amounts of total phenolics than the landraces; however, no differences were found between “Hass” and the wild genotypes. There was no effect of genotype on larval mass gained for both herbivores. However, C. multifenestrata had longer larval longevity on “Hass” and the wild genotypes, whereas S. frugiperda larval longevity showed no differences among genotypes. Moreover, C. multifenestrata inflicted more damage on “Hass,” whereas S. frugiperda inflicted more damage on “Lonjas” than on the other genotypes. In general, bigger fruit and seeds were positively correlated with plant size and phenolic content, and total phenolics were positively correlated with S. frugiperda and negatively correlated with C. multifenestrata.
INTRODUCTION

One of the main goals of crop domestication is the selection of traits important for human consumption such as an increase in harvestable yields (Whitehead and Poveda, 2019); however, this selection often generates unintentional changes on plant traits linked to morphology, nutrient content, and secondary metabolites (Evans, 1993). For example, crop domestication often results in simpler plant morphologies, alteration of the plant's nutritional content, and reduction in plant defenses (Smartt and Simmonds, 1995; Lindig-Cisneros et al., 1997; Jones, 1998; Gols et al., 2008; Whitehead et al., 2017).

Although evidence of the effects of domestication on insect herbivores has accumulated during the last 30 years, there are still gaps in our knowledge. As proposed by the “plant domestication-reduced defense” hypothesis (Gaillard et al., 2018; Hernandez-Cumplido et al., 2018), there is a general assumption that domestication reduces plant defenses (Wink, 1988; Rosenthal and Dirzo, 1997; Benrey et al., 1998; Rodriguez-Saona et al., 2011) due to potential trade-offs between plant defense and reproduction (Rhoades, 1979; Rosenthal and Dirzo, 1997).

However, plant responses to domestication are variable and depend mainly on the structure under selection and the approach that researchers use to evaluate resistant traits (Whitehead et al., 2017). Coupled with this evidence, our current knowledge on the effects of domestication on plant-insect interactions mostly comes from research on short-lived annual plants and a reduced set of plant species (mainly Poaceae) (Miller and Gross, 2011; Meyer et al., 2012). So far, little information has been generated on this topic regarding perennial plants. Three predictions have been raised for perennial plants under artificial selection: (1) the negative effects of domestication on plant resistance and defense will be weaker for woody perennials, intermediate for herbaceous perennials, and strongest for herbaceous annuals; (2) annual plants will show faster rates of evolution than perennial plants due to their short lifespan and development and also because most of the annual crops are grown from seeds, whereas more than 75% of perennial plants are clonally propagated; and (3) selection to maintain natural defenses should be higher in perennial plants because practices such as crop rotation are not possible for these plants (Miller and Gross, 2011; Meyer et al., 2012; Whitehead et al., 2017).

Recently, comparative studies investigated the effects of crop domestication on herbivores with different evolutionary histories with the host plant (Gaillard et al., 2018; Rodriguez-Saona et al., 2019) and from different feeding guilds (Turcotte et al., 2014; Hernandez-Cumplido et al., 2018). Turcotte et al. (2014) compared the effect of the domestication process along 29 herbaceous crop species on two generalist herbivores with different feeding habits (sap sucking v/s chewing) and found a negative effect of domestication on the resistance against a chewing herbivore (Spodoptera exigua Hübner), whereas no effect of domestication was observed for a sap-sucking herbivore (Myzus persicae Sulzer). Gaillard et al. (2018) found a stronger reduction in the performance of generalist than specialist herbivore species on wild plants (teosinte) compared to cultivated maize lines. Similarly, Hernandez-Cumplido et al. (2018) found that the effect of domestication depends on herbivore identity in the North American perennial crop highbush blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum L.), such that the invasive chewing herbivore Lymangria dispers L. performed better on domesticated plants compared to wild plants, whereas no effects were found for the native chewing herbivore Sparganothis sulfureana (Clemens). In general, these studies reflect the need to investigate the role of herbivore species identity to get a better understanding of the effects of domestication on plant defenses and herbivore resistance.

Avocado, Persea americana Mill. (Lauraceae), is one of the most important crops produced in Mexico that is currently widely distributed around the world (Ashworth et al., 2011; Pérez Álvarez et al., 2015). This perennial crop originated in the New World, and archeological evidence suggests that it has been under human selection since 5,000 BC (Galindo-Tovar et al., 2008; Landon, 2009). In Mexico, at least two plant traits have been under artificial selection in avocado, depending on the region. In coastal states, like Oaxaca and Guerrero, leaves are used as condiments and people select for the “anise” smell of some leaves. Another trait under selection has been the size and flavor of the fruit. In the state of Michoacan (Mexico), the highest producer of avocado with 38% of the world's production (SAGARPA, 2011), avocado orchards (mainly var. “Hass”) are found mixed with pine oak forest. Inside these orchards, different landraces and wild plants are left by the farmers to obtain seeds for the establishment of rootstock to graft “Hass” branches. The avocado leaves, seeds, and peel are rich in bioactive molecules, such as phenolic compounds (Yamassaki et al., 2017), which are compounds generally involved in plant defense against insect herbivores (Harborne, 2000; Mitthöfer and Boland, 2012). These compounds are often found in lower amounts in cultivated plants, including blueberries (Vaccinium spp.) (Giovanelli and Buratti, 2009), mirtilla (or Chilean guava; Ugni molinae Turcz) (Chacón-Fuentes et al., 2015), several other berries (Mikulic-Petkovsek et al., 2012), and Opuntia spp. (Astello-García et al., 2015), than in their wild counterparts.

In this study, we measured variation in reproductive and growth traits (seed and fruit size, seed germination, and larval performance. However, despite the genotypic variation in plant traits, phenolic content, and resistance against two herbivores with different levels of specialization, there was no clear support for the “plant domestication-reduced defense” hypothesis in avocado.
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plant growth), chemical defenses (phenolic compounds), and resistance against two leaf-chewing insect herbivores with different degrees of host specialization among seven avocado genotypes selected across a domestication gradient: a wild (ancestral) genotype, five (intermediate) landraces (“Blanco,” “Lonjas,” “Vargas,” “Zarcoli,” and “Rodolfo”), and the cultivated (modern) genotype “Hass.” Based on the plant domestication-reduced defense hypothesis, we predicted higher chemical defenses and resistance against herbivores in the wild avocado genotype, intermediate resistance among landraces, and the lowest resistance in the modern cultivated genotype. Our specific goal was to investigate whether domestication of avocado changed reproductive/growth traits and chemistry (phenolic compounds) and its effects on the performance of two herbivores with different coevolutionary histories with the crop. The generalist fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda Smith; Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) is considered a secondary pest of the crop. The generalist fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) and the avocado moth (Copaxa multifenestrata Heinrich-Shaffer; Lepidoptera, Saturniidae) is a specialist on avocado (Moreno et al., 2010; Perez-Salgado et al., 2016). We expected that (1) trade-offs exist between reproductive/growth traits and resistance against herbivores; (2) chemical defenses have decreased due to the domestication of avocado and, as a result, its resistance against herbivores; and (3) the effects of domestication on herbivores depend on their degree of host specialization such that these effects should be stronger on the generalist than on the specialist herbivore species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field Sites and Plant Genotypes
All sampled sites were located in Tacambaro (Michoacan State, Mexico; 19°25' N, 19°06' S, and 101°38' E), which is part of the “avocado belt”–the main zone of avocado production in Mexico (Medina-Aguilar et al., 2011). Fruit samples were collected from a commercial farm (Testerazo farm; 19°15'33.1" N, 101°27'39.9" W) in August 2017. All plants were at least 10 years old. Ripe fruits from seven avocado genotypes, including one wild (ancestral) genotype, five (intermediate) landraces (“Blanco,” “Lonjas,” “Vargas,” “Zarcoli,” and “Rodolfo”), and the cultivated (modern) genotype “Hass,” were collected. A single fruit was taken per tree, and trees were separated at least 100 m from each other. Because fruit samples from wild plants were taken from three distinct sites (W1, W2, and W3) located at least 2 km apart, fruits from each of these sites were considered subpopulations and thus kept separately. The number of fruits collected was as follows: W1 = 7, W2 = 8, W3 = 10, “Lonjas” = 10, “Vargas” = 10, “Zarcoli” = 10, “Blanco” = 10, “Rodolfo” = 11, and “Hass” = 10, for a total of 86 fruits. All field-collected fruits were brought to the laboratory on the same day of collection (20 August 2017).

Plant Propagation and Traits
At the laboratory in the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (Mexico City, Mexico), the length and width of fruits were measured. After being removed from fruits, seeds were carefully washed, and their length and width were recorded. Seeds were then brought to a greenhouse (22 ± 2°C, 50 ± 10% relative humidity (RH), 12:12 light:dark (L:D)) and planted in 5-L pots with a premixed dirt (Vigoro Industries Inc.; Honolulu, HI, USA), watered every 3 days, and fertilized twice with 20-20-20 N-P-K all-purpose water-soluble food (Scotts Miracle-Gro Products, Inc.; Port Washington, NY, USA). Percent germination was recorded after 8 weeks, and seedling growth was measured monthly for 4 months. After 10 and 12 months, these plants were used for insect performance studies and phenolic analysis, respectively (see below).

Insect Rearing
A S. frugiperda colony was initiated in 2017 from eggs obtained from maize fields near Mexico City (Mexico), and new eggs collected from the same field sites were added to the colony every 2 months to prevent inbreeding. In the laboratory, S. frugiperda larvae were maintained on a wheat germ-based diet (Magnolet, 1970). A C. multifenestrata colony was also initiated in 2017 from larvae collected from avocado landraces located in the city of Chilpancingo in Guerrero (Mexico). The C. multifenestrata larvae were maintained on “Hass” leaves until adult emergence; these adults were then allowed to lay eggs, and larvae from this generation were used in experiments. Spodoptera frugiperda larvae were reared individually in 29.7-ml plastic cups, whereas C. multifenestrata larvae were maintained together in a Rearing & Observation Cage (61 × 61 × 91.4 cm; BioQuip Products; Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA). All colonies were maintained at 25 ± 1°C, 70–80% RH and a 16:8 h (L:D) photoperiod.

Phenolic Analysis
After 12 months, we selected a subset of plants from each genotype (number of plants: wild genotype = 7, “Blanco” = 3, “Zarcoli” = 3, “Lonjas” = 3, “Vargas” = 6, “Rodolfo” = 3, and “Hass” = 10) for phenolic analysis. Leaves (3–4) were collected from each plant and oven-dried for 48 h at 40°C. The leaf material was then ground with liquid nitrogen to obtain a homogenous powder. We took 0.5 g of the leaf powder and dissolved it in 70% acetone at a 1:30 (gm/L) ratio. The solution was placed in an ultrasonic bath for 90 min. Samples with the solvent were vacuum filtered using a Buchner funnel with 70-mm Whatman filter paper (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis MO, USA) and washed with acidified acetone three times. The phenolic solution was poured into a separatory funnel with 1.5 volumes of chloroform. The chloroform/phenolic solution was mixed and then left at room temperature (~20°C) for 8 h to allow separation. The bottom chloroform layer was discarded, and the top of the polar layer was collected. Residues of acetone were removed by evaporation using a Rotavapor (Buchi Co., New Castle, DE, USA). Total phenolics were determined colorimetrically with the Folin–Ciocalteu method in a BioRad 650 microplate reader at 740 nm and using gallic acid as the standard (Makkar, 2003). Total phenolics are expressed in µg/g of dry mass tissue.

Insect Performance
Insect performance studies were conducted in the greenhouse (22 ± 2°C, 50 ± 10% RH, 12:12 L:D) in August–September of 2018. For the generalist S. frugiperda, five 3rd instars were placed inside clip cages (60 × 15 mm), whereas for the specialist C.
multifrenstrata, three 2nd instars were placed per clip cage, and three clip cages were placed per plant (N = 15 and 9 larvae per plant of S. frugiperda and C. multifrenstrata, respectively). Although multiple larvae were placed in each clip cage to ensure sufficient leaf damage, no evidence of cannibalism among larvae was observed. The total number of plants used for the specialist herbivore was three wild genotypes, two “Lonjas,” three “Rodolfo,” three “Blanco,” three “Vargas,” three “Zarcoli,” and three “Hass” (N = 20 plants). For the generalist herbivore, we used four wild genotypes, three “Lonjas,” three “Rodolfo,” three “Blanco,” three “Vargas,” four “Zarcoli,” and four “Hass” (N = 24 plants).

Before the experiment and after 11 days of feeding, all surviving larvae were weighed using an analytical balance (EP-220A; 0.01 mg sensitivity; Precisa, Tuttlingen, Germany). After 11 days, larval mass gained (obtained by subtracting the initial mass from the final mass) and the amount of leaf consumed by larvae were determined. Leaf area consumed was measured using the BioLeaf mobile app (Machado et al., 2016). Because larval survival of both herbivores was unexpectedly low (<20%), we report instead larval longevity, i.e., the total number of days that the larvae survived. Thus, larval mass and longevity and leaf consumption were calculated for each clip cage and were used as measures of insect performance and plant resistance.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed on JMP version 10 (SAS Institute Inc.; Cary, NC, USA). Before analysis, all data (for plant traits, phenolics, and insect performance) were averaged per plant (replicate). Because data on fruit and seed length and width met assumptions of normal distribution and homogeneity of variances, we used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess differences among genotypes, followed by multiple comparison Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test.

We performed χ² tests to compare differences in percent germination among avocado genotypes. Two-way ANOVA was used to test for differences in seedling growth among avocado genotypes at different time periods (date) and the interaction between genotype and date. A significant ANOVA was followed by multiple comparison Tukey’s HSD test. Data on seedling growth were log(x+1)-transformed to meet assumptions of normal distribution.

Data for total phenolics met the assumptions of normal distribution, so we performed one-way ANOVA, followed by a multiple comparison Tukey’s HSD test. Data on mass gained by herbivore larvae did not meet the assumption of normality even after transformation and were thus analyzed using generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with a Poisson distribution and a log-link function. For C. multifrenstrata larval longevity, data were analyzed by generalized linear model (GLM) with a normal distribution and identity-link function. For S. frugiperda larval longevity and leaf area consumed, data were analyzed by GLMM with a Poisson distribution and a log-link function because data did not meet the assumptions of normality even after transformation.

To test for potential trade-offs in avocado between reproduction, growth, and resistance traits, we conducted a series of linear correlations between the measured plant reproductive (seed and fruit size) and growth (plant size) traits, defensive compounds (phenolics), and insect performance parameters (larval longevity, larval mass gained, and leaf consumption).

**RESULTS**

**Plant Traits**

We found significant differences in fruit length (F = 48.24; df = 8, 85; P < 0.001) and width (F = 71.72; df = 8, 85; P < 0.001) among avocado genotypes. The wild and “Hass” genotypes had lower fruit length than the landraces (Figure 1A). However, “Hass” fruit were the widest of all genotypes, and the wild genotypes had the lowest width (Figure 1B).

There were also significant differences in seed length (F = 39.35; df = 8, 85; P < 0.001) and width (F = 9.38; df = 8, 85; P < 0.001) among avocado genotypes. Similar to fruit length, “Hass” seeds had the lowest length, followed by wild genotypes, and landraces tended to have the highest seed length (Figure 1C). In contrast, seeds of the cultivated “Hass” tended to be the widest of all genotypes, whereas the seeds of the wild genotypes tended to have the lowest width; seeds of the landraces tended to be intermediate between wild and cultivated genotypes (Figure 1D).

Percent seed germination also differed among avocado genotypes (χ² = 15.73; df = 8; P = 0.046). On average, the landraces had the highest germination rates (“Blanco” = 80%, “Lonjas” = 60%, “Vargas” = 100%, “Zarcoli” = 70%, and “Rodolfo” = 55%), followed by the wild genotypes (W1 = 43%, W2 = 38%, and W3 = 70%), whereas the cultivar “Hass” had the lowest germination rate at 10%. For seedling growth (i.e., size of plants), we found significant effects of genotype (F = 12.10; df = 8, 343; P < 0.001), date (F = 75.79; df = 3, 343; P < 0.001), and the interaction between genotype and date (F = 2.54; df = 24, 343; P = 0.011) (Figure 2). Although there were some differences by month, in general, the wild genotypes and the cultivar “Hass” had lower growth rates than the landraces, with “Vargas” having the highest growth rate among them.

**Phenolics**

There were significant differences in the levels of total phenolics among avocado genotypes (F = 5.279; df = 6, 34; P = 0.001). The landrace “Zarcoli” had the highest levels of total phenolics, whereas the landrace “Vargas” and the cultivar “Hass” had the lowest levels of total phenolics (Figure 3).

**Insect Performance**

For the generalist S. frugiperda, we found no significant differences in larval longevity among avocado genotypes (F = 0.72; df = 6, 23; P = 0.63; Figure 4A). There were also no differences in larval mass gained among genotypes (χ² = 0.35; df = 6; P = 0.99). However, there were differences in the amount of foliar damage among genotypes (χ² = 98.67; df = 6; P < 0.001). The landrace “Lonjas” suffered significantly more damage by S. frugiperda larvae than the landrace “Rodolfo” and the cultivar “Hass” (Figure 4B).

For the specialist C. multifrenstrata, there were significant differences in larval longevity among avocado genotypes (F =
3.85; df = 6, 19; P = 0.019). Larval longevity was highest in the landrace “Vargas” and the cultivar “Hass” and lowest in the landrace “Lonjas” (Figure 5A). Although we found no differences in larval mass gained among avocado genotypes (\( \chi^2 = 0.06; \) df = 6; \( P = 1.00 \)), there were differences in the amount of foliar damage among genotypes (\( F = 11.67; \) df = 6, 19; \( P < 0.001 \)). Larvae inflicted higher foliar damage on the cultivar “Hass” than the landraces “Blanco,” “Zarcoli,” and “Lonjas” (Figure 5B).

### Correlations Among Plant Reproductive, Growth, and Resistance Traits

As expected, we found a significant positive correlation between the size of fruit and the size of seeds (Table 1). Larger and wider fruit had larger and wider seeds. There was, however, no correlation between the length of fruit and the width of seeds and vice versa, such that longer fruit were not necessarily wider. Plant size was positively correlated with fruit and seed length but not with fruit and seed width (Table 1), indicating that larger fruit/seeds produced bigger plants.

Total phenolics were positively correlated with seed length (Table 1). No other plant reproductive/growth trait was correlated with total phenolics. We also found a positive correlation between total phenolics and the mass gained by the generalist \( S. \) frugiperda (Table 1), indicating that the larval performance of this generalist herbivore was enhanced by increased phenolic levels in avocado leaves. In contrast, we found significant negative correlations between total phenolics and the survival of, and amount of foliar damage by, the specialist \( C. \) multifenestrata (Table 1), indicating that the larval performance of this specialist herbivore was reduced by increased phenolic levels in avocado leaves.

### DISCUSSION

Studies on the effects of crop domestication on herbivore resistance have increased in the last 20 years (Chaudhary, 2013; Chen et al., 2015; Whitehead et al., 2017); yet, more studies using perennial plants are needed for a broader understanding of these effects (but see Rodriguez-Saona et al., 2011; Chacón-Fuentes et al., 2015; Whitehead et al., 2017; Hernández-Cumplido et al., 2018; Whitehead and Poveda, 2019). In our study, we tested how domestication has affected plant traits and resistance in avocado across a domestication gradient. In general, we demonstrated that wild avocado and the cultivated (modern) “Hass” avocado have smaller fruit and seed, lower growth rates, and lower total phenolics than the intermediate landraces. Accordingly, smaller fruit resulted in smaller plants with lower total phenolics. This variation in plant traits affected resistance to insect herbivores in...
unpredictable ways—we found that the generalist *S. frugiperda* generally performed better in the landraces, whereas the opposite was true for the specialist *C. multifenestrata*. Thus, our findings provide no clear support for the “plant domestication-reduced defense” hypothesis in avocado.

**Avocado Domestication on Reproductive/Growth Traits**

The “domestication syndrome” refers to the distinct phenotypic differences in morphological and physiological traits between wild progenitors and their cultivated descendants (Koinange et al., 1996; Gepts, 2004; Brown et al., 2009). In this study, we found marked phenotypic differences in growth and reproductive traits between wild and domesticated (landraces and modern) avocado, thus providing the first evidence for a domestication syndrome in this perennial crop. However, there were two opposing paths on the effects of domestication in avocado growth and reproductive traits.

In general, the avocado landraces included in this study have bigger fruit and seed size, which resulted in bigger plants. Indeed, plant size was positively correlated with the size of fruit and seeds, indicating a lack of trade-off between investment in growth and reproduction. One of the landraces, “Rodolfo,” had the biggest fruit and seeds, which, according to farmers, was one of the most consumed and commercialized landraces in Mexico prior to the 1960s when “Hass” became popular. In fact, since “Hass” dominated the international market, the landraces have lost their economic value and are now distributed only in local markets (Reyes-Alemán et al., 2009). As a result, farmers have stopped expanding their cultivation and prefer to use them as grafts for “Hass.” These landraces were subjected to early selection by local farmers, which contributed to the diversity in fruit sizes observed today and indicated that avocado domestication has occurred without losses of genetic diversity (Jardon-Borbolla et al., 2013). To the contrary, since there was great variation across domesticated (landraces and modern) genotypes in agronomic and resistance traits, our study shows that avocado domestication might have contributed to an increase in its genetic diversity.

Contrary to our expectations, the cultivated (modern) “Hass” has smaller fruit and seed size than the landraces, and these
measures were comparable to those of the wild genotypes. “Hass” also has a slow growth rate and low germination, showing a limitation to allocate resources to growth and survival. These results indicate that seed size is an important determinant of plant germination and growth, as shown in cereals and grain legumes (Abbo et al., 2014). Although it needs confirmation, cultivated “Hass” avocados might perform better under agronomic conditions of high fertility and irrigation than in natural environments common to the wild and landrace genotypes, as has been proposed for domesticated maize (Gepts, 2004). Therefore, it seems that perennial crops like avocado may experience some of the same effects of domestication as annual crops. Although most crops are bred for larger fruit and bigger plants, a syndrome referred to as “gigantism” (Evans, 1993; Koinange et al., 1996; Gepts, 2004; Bautista et al., 2012), this was not necessarily the case for avocado. Instead, the small size of “Hass” fruit might have been selected for easier handling and packaging, which requires more circular fruit according to the Mexican Standard for Exportation NMX-FF-016-2002 (Proaguacate, 2010). Moreover, “Hass” avocados are subjected to long distance trade, and producers have the anecdotal belief that the likelihood of rotting increases when fruits are bigger or have a higher amount of pulp; thus, it is better to breed for small fruit size to avoid losses during transportation due to diseases.

**Avocado Domestication on Resistance Traits**

Two methods were used to measure resistance in avocados. First, we measured total phenolics in leaves. Second, we conducted larval performance bioassays with two herbivores, a specialist on avocados (C. multifenestrata) and a generalist (S. frugiperda). Theories on plant allocation to defenses predict constraints by a trade-off between growth and defense such that slow-growing plants usually have higher levels of secondary metabolites, such as phenolics, than faster-growing ones, as stated by the “resource-availability” (Coley et al., 1985) and the “growth differentiation balance” (Herms and Mattson, 1992) hypotheses. Thus, it is possible that the selection for increased yield and growth rate that occurs when plants are domesticated may cause a reduction in allocation to secondary metabolites (Rosenthal and Dirzo, 1997; Jones, 1998; Massei and Hartley, 2000; Meyer et al., 2012), but this pattern is not consistent across all crops (Turcotte et al., 2014; Whitehead et al., 2017). In avocado, our phenolic analysis revealed that these compounds are generally higher in landraces, intermediate in wild genotypes, and lowest in the cultivated “Hass,” which indicates a divergent effect of avocado domestication on phenolic content. Therefore, even within a crop, the effects of domestication on secondary metabolites might not be consistent and may depend on the plant organ under selection. We also found a positive correlation between seed size (i.e., length) and total phenolic content, indicating a lack of trade-off between reproductive/growth investment and defense in this crop. We only measured total phenolics in this study; future studies are needed to determine if other secondary metabolites are similarly affected by domestication in avocado.

There were strong effects of the genotypic variation in avocado on resistance against insect herbivores. The theory on plant defenses predicts that specialist herbivores should
be better adapted to the secondary metabolites of their host plants than generalist herbivores (Stamp, 2003; Loxdale et al., 2011), although this prediction has been increasingly debated in recent years (Ali and Agrawal, 2012; Smilanich et al., 2016; Rothwell and Holeski, 2019). To our surprise, the generalist *S. frugiperda* performed better on some of the landraces that had higher phenolic content, whereas the specialist *C. multifenestrata* performed best on the cultivated “Hass.” In fact, the performance of *S. frugiperda* was positively correlated with total phenolics on leaves, whereas the performance of *C. multifenestrata* was negative correlated with total phenolics. Previous studies showed
on cultivated plants than on their wild counterparts (Gols et al., 2008; Gaillard et al., 2018; Hernández-Cumplido et al., 2018). However, Chacón-Fuentes et al. (2015) found that Chilesia rudis Butler (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae), a native insect herbivore, performs better on wild ancestors of murtilla (U. molinae) than on cultivated plants. Thus, crop domestication affects the performance of herbivores in various ways likely depending on their life histories. We only tested the effects of domestication in avocado on leaf defenses and resistance against folivores; further studies are needed to test if avocado domestication affects fruit defenses and resistance against frugivorous pests.

Several factors could be responsible for the opposite effects of phenolics (and domestication) on generalist and specialist herbivores in avocado. For instance, herbivores might prefer hosts that reduce their risk of predation or parasitism (Volf et al., 2015), as stated by the “enemy-free space” hypothesis (Jeffries and Lawton, 1984). An increased production of “Hass” avocados may have affected host use by the specialist C. multifenestrata,
making it better adapted to them and reducing their ability to attack other hosts like the landraces. In fact, anecdotally, avocado farmers believe that feeding by the specialist *C. multifenestrata* might benefit “Hass” plants by causing tree crown thinning, which allows more sunlight penetration through the canopy that reduces disease incidence due to a decrease in moisture. Also, competition between specialist and generalist herbivores could have shaped the patterns in host-plant use (Barrett and Heil, 2012). Further studies are needed, particularly under field (natural) conditions, to test these hypotheses.

In summary, our study provides no clear support for the “plant domestication-reduced defense” hypothesis in avocado because domesticated plants (intermediate landraces and modern “Hass”) were not consistently less resistant to herbivores than their wild ancestors. Contrary to our expectations, we found that the generalist *S. frugiperda* performs better in landraces that tended to have higher phenolic content, whereas the specialist *C. multifenestrata* performs better in “Hass” and wild genotypes that had lower phenolic content. It is clear that “Hass” was not selected for resistance traits against herbivores but instead for traits related to fruit marketing (i.e., improved quantity, quality [taste], uniformity in size, and seasonal distribution/transportation) (Galindo-Tovar et al., 2008), which has resulted in plants with a slow growth rate, low germination, low levels of secondary metabolites (i.e., phenolics), and low resistance against *C. multifenestrata*. This low resistance is consistent with farmer reports of *C. multifenestrata* causing up to 60% damage in some regions, such as in Chilpancingo (Guerrero State, Mexico), and negatively affecting fruit production (J. Pérez, pers. com.). Due to these domestication syndromes, “Hass” plants have limited survival and growth in natural habitats. Based on our study, avocado landraces could be considered for the development of new, or the improvement of existing, varieties through breeding or grafting due to their improved agronomic characteristics; although, caution is needed because they might be more susceptible to generalist herbivores. This study provides the first evidence of variation among avocado genotypes on growth/reproductive and resistance traits across a domestication gradient that will be useful for future breeding programs and the conservation of the genotypic diversity in this crop.
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