A Retained Epidural Catheter Fragment Treated by Surgery
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The breakage of an epidural catheter is an extremely rare complication. We describe a unique case where a retained epidural catheter fragment after epidural anesthesia was treated by surgery. The epidural catheter broke during its removal, requiring surgery to remove the retained catheter. Intraoperatively, the removal of the catheter was attempted by simple traction, but was impossible because of the adhesion. The adhesion of the dura mater surface was carefully exfoliated and the successful removal of the catheter was accomplished. Conventionally, it was said that this follow-up was enough for the retained catheter. However, if a catheter is retained within the spinal canal, surgical removal should thus be considered before the adhesion advances.
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Introduction

Epidural anesthesia is usually performed to provide intraoperative anesthesia, postoperative analgesia or cancer pain control using a catheter. Epidural anesthesia is also frequently used for alleviating labor pain and surgery involving the lower limbs in the field of orthopedics. Epidural catheters are ordinarily removed by physicians after the catheter is no longer needed for pain relief. The breakage of an epidural catheter is an extremely rare complication. Therefore, the management of retained fragments within the spinal canal remains controversial.

Herein we describe a unique case where a retained epidural catheter fragment was treated by surgery.

Case Report

An 82-year-old female with 60 kg body weight and 156 cm height who was otherwise healthy underwent a right total knee arthroplasty for osteoarthrosis under epidural anesthesia at a hospital nearby. The insertion of the epidural catheter and operation were finished without any problems and the removal of the epidural catheter was attempted as usual two days after the operation. The epidural catheter broke during its removal. The physician felt resistance when he tried to pull out the catheter and then the catheter was broken 13 cm from the tip. X-rays and a computed tomography scan were subsequently taken (Fig. 1). Although the catheter fragment retaining within the patient was long, a wait-and-see approach was initially employed because there was no neurological deficit. The effusion from the drain hole stopped two days later. No neurological deficit or fever developed after the first evaluation of the images. However, the migration of the catheter was found by imaging studies four weeks after the occurrence of the catheter breakage (Fig. 2). After detailed
discussions with the patient and her family it was decided that a surgical removal of the retained catheter should be performed. Then, the patient was referred to our hospital.

There was no neurological deficit at the time of admission. A laminectomy was performed at L2–3 seven weeks after the catheter had broken. The patient was placed in the prone position under general anesthesia and then the spinal level that needed to be treated and the retained catheter were identified by using an image intensifier. We exposed the spinal process at L2–3 and the L2 spinal process was split by an air drill. The catheter was confirmed to be present between the L2 and L3 spinous processes and the edge of the catheter was found to be broken (Fig. 3). The catheter went entered the epidural space through the flavum.

After removal of the bilateral flavum in an usual manner the catheter was found to be coiled up at the surface of the dura mater and was covered by a thin reactive film (Fig. 4). The catheter was heading to the nerve root. No evidence of dural puncture by the catheter was found. The removal of the catheter was first attempted by simple traction, however, was found to be impossible because of the adhesion (Fig. 5). The adhesion of the dura mater surface was carefully exfoliated and then the removal of the catheter was performed.

Fig. 1. Lateral X-ray taken just after the catheter breakage occurred. The arrow indicates the retained catheter.

Fig. 2. Lateral X-ray taken four weeks after the catheter breakage occurred. The arrow indicates the migration of the retained catheter.

Fig. 3. The edge of the catheter (arrow) was found between the spinous process.

Fig. 4. The arrow indicates a thin reactive film that covered the retained catheter on the dura mater.
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eter was accomplished (Fig. 6). No cerebral spinal fluid leakage was found after removal of the catheter.

**Discussion**

The causes of catheter breakage include various factors, such as characteristics of the catheter, poor technique during insertion or removal, catheter kinking or knotting and entrapment by the spinous process and interspinous ligaments or facets. In the present case, a reinforced catheter, which has some advantages compared to conventional non-reinforced catheters [1] was used and the insertion was performed in an usual manner. The catheter was inside made of stainless-steel coil body construction and outside of polyurethane. Although threading a catheter more than 5 cm has been reported to increase the risk of knotting [2], the catheter was inserted slightly longer in this case. Mitra and Fleischmann [3] reported several options for epidural catheter removal, however, the physician continued trying to remove the catheter despite encountering resistance in the present case.

The intraoperative findings showed catheter kinking on the surface of the dura mater, however, no catheter knotting was found. The retained catheter was worn out between the spinous process. This patient had a “kissing spine” where there was a close approximation of the spinous processes at adjacent levels. A “kissing spine” might be a risk factor for the shearing failure of catheters. In the present case, several problems, including poor technique, catheter kinking and entrapment by the spinous process appeared to be associated with the breakage of the catheter. If problems occur, it is important to follow the procedures suggested by Mitra et al. before applying excessive tension.

The management of a retained catheter within the spinal canal is controversial. Crawford [4] reported an incidence of catheter breakage of about 0.04% (12/27,000) in the 1980s. Recently, safer techniques have been widely accepted for the insertion or removal. The evolution of the catheters has been advancing too. Those factors helped to further decrease the incidences of catheter breakage. Collier [5] reported an incidence of catheter breakage of about 0.002% (1/60,000) in the 2000s.

No standard treatment for the management of a retained catheter within the spinal canal has established because it is an uncommon complication. One previous paper stated that “It is usually not necessary to remove a small amount of catheter in the epidural space. Thus, in general, laminectomy is reserved for situations associated with symptoms or signs” [6]. However, the breakage of an epidural catheter should be treated on a case-by-case basis. In the present case, the patient was elderly and so, non-surgical treatment was selected at first. The surgical removal was finally performed seven weeks after the catheter broke and adhesion was seen during the operation. Judging from the intraoperative findings of this case, when a foreign body exists in the spinal canal, it has a high potential to cause the development of granulation tissue. A large retained catheter within the spinal canal entails a great risk of extensive surgical invasion at some future point. Therefore, if the adhesion occurs between granulation tissue and the dura mater, the removal of the retained catheter wrapped with granulation tissue becomes difficult. Furthermore, once neurological sequelae develop, it is often difficult or impossible to reverse them.
Consequently, if a portion of a catheter is retained within the spinal canal, surgical removal should be considered before the adhesion advances.
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