Low-Thermal-Budget Doping of 2D Materials in Ambient Air Exemplified by Synthesis of Boron-Doped Reduced Graphene Oxide
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Graphene oxide (GO) doping and reduction allow for physicochemical property modification to suit practical application needs. Herein, the challenge of simultaneous low-thermal-budget heteroatom doping of GO and its reduction in ambient air is addressed through the synthesis of B-doped reduced GO (B@rGO) by flash irradiation of boric acid loaded onto a GO support with intense pulsed light (IPL). The effects of light power and number of shots on the in-depth sequential doping and reduction mechanisms are investigated by ex situ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and direct millisecond-scale temperature measurements (temperature >1600 °C, < 10-millisecond duration, ramping rate of 5.3 × 10^5 °C s^-1). Single-flash IPL allows the large-scale synthesis of substantially doped B@rGO (=3.60 at% B) to be realized with a thermal budget 10^6-fold lower than that of conventional thermal methods, and the prepared material with abundant B active sites is employed for highly sensitive and selective room-temperature NO2 sensing. Thus, this work showcases the great potential of optical annealing for millisecond-scale ultrafast reduction and heteroatom doping of GO in ambient air, which allows the tuning of multiple physicochemical GO properties.

1. Introduction

2D materials offer high specific surface area with abundant reaction sites, confined thickness on an atomic scale, and other benefits. Graphene[1-2] (as the first 2D material to be discovered) and materials based thereon, which can be cost-effectively produced on a large scale by direct reduction of graphene oxide (GO),[3,4] have been extensively investigated and used in numerous applications. Despite the large specific surface area, high mechanical strength, and ultrahigh carrier mobility of graphene, its practical applicability is limited by the lack of a band gap and catalytic activity,[5,6] which has inspired studies on the intrinsic property modification of graphene due to surface modification via heteroatom doping.[7-10] In particular, B-doped graphene exhibits distinct p-type conductivity and a tunable band gap,[11] featuring a better NO2 sensing performance than pristine graphene[12,13] and endowing related materials with promising electrocatalytic and electrochemical properties.[6,10,14,15]

In this sense, the development of a cost-effective and mass-production-suitable process for simultaneous GO doping and reduction is a task of high importance.

Wang et al. developed a one-pot hydrothermal synthesis (180 °C, 12 h) of B-doped reduced GO (B@rGO) and demonstrated the high performance of this material in Na-ion batteries.[16] Putri et al. prepared N-/B-co-doped rGO via 2-h thermal annealing at 800 °C, showing the great promise of this material for application in the hydrogen evolution reaction.[17] Li et al. prepared B@rGO using dielectric barrier discharge plasma with H2 as working gas.[18] In the aforementioned reports, GO was exposed to a reducing atmosphere and elevated temperature for several hours to achieve reduction and doping. In this sense, the preparation of heteroatom-doped rGO through a facile and low-thermal-budget (LTB) process remains a big challenge, which makes methods for millisecond-scale ultrafast reduction coupled with heteroatom doping in ambient air highly sought after.

Optical annealing based on the photothermal effect can be induced by two main optical approaches, that is,
the monochromatic and broadband light sources. Optical annealing by the photothermal effect offers an attractive and facile way of tuning the properties of the material. Lasers with monochromatic light sources allow the target materials to selectively absorb the wavelength by specific selection of the nanomaterials and their sizes. However, the small spot sizes (≈μm) of lasers can lead to a time-consuming serial process with low productivity, even with the ultra-short process time (≈ns). On the other hand, lamps with a broadband light spectrum feature relatively large beam sizes (≈cm), offering the advantages of rapid (μs to ms) large-area processing and high compatibility with the roll-to-roll process, thus enabling low-cost LTB mass production. Besides, the use of lamps for optical treatment enables a variety of materials to be optically treated and have excited electrons, such as phonons, regardless of their electrical band structures, while a laser system has to be adjusted based on the samples to treat.[19] Accordingly, optical sintering induced by irradiation with intense pulsed light (IPL) has been widely exploited in the welding of metal nanowires in sintering induced by irradiation with intense pulsed light (IPL) to a high-surface-area open-pore structure. The room-temperature annealing procedures used to prepare B@rGO, BA, known to promote dehydration and additional deoxygenation reactions on the GO surface at a low temperature (Figure S1, Supporting Information),[26,27] was uniformly dissolved in an aqueous GO dispersion by ultrasonication, and the obtained solution was drop-coated on glass substrates (Figure 1a–i) and dried to afford BA crystallites uniformly distributed on pristine GO (BA@GO) (Figure S2, Supporting Information). BA@GO-coated glass substrates were placed on the IPL equipment stage and exposed to a single millisecond-scale light pulse generated by the Xe lamp (Figure 1a–ii). During irradiation with IPL, light absorption by GO resulted in a large temperature rise due to photothermal effects, which allowed for LTB doping of GO sheets with B as well as their reduction (Figure 1a–iii).

To elucidate the details of millisecond-scale processes, temperature change was monitored as a function of IPL pulse number using an IR sensor system. Samples were placed 4 cm away from the IPL quartz plate to secure space for IR light passage to the sensor system (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Temperatures measured with an emissivity of 1.00 were corrected using Equation (1):

\[ T_{\text{measured}} = T_{\text{corrected}} + \lambda_{\text{detect}} \times \ln \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\text{measured}}}{\epsilon_{\text{corrected}}} \right) \times C^{-1} \]

where \( T_{\text{measured}} \) is the temperature measured by the IR sensor for an IR sensor emissivity setting \( \epsilon_{\text{measured}} \) of 1.00, \( T_{\text{corrected}} \) is the temperature corrected by considering the real emissivity of materials \( \epsilon_{\text{corrected}} = 0.79 \), \( \lambda_{\text{detect}} \) is the IR sensor detection wavelength (2.3 μm), and \( C = 14388 \mu \text{m} K^{-1} \) is the second radiant constant.[28,29] Besides, the effect of temperature on the progress of GO reduction and doping were probed by ex situ XPS. Note that despite the fact that the emissivity of GO is calculated with the IR sensor and is analogous to the emissivity values of other carbon-based materials, the emissivity of GO will constantly be changing, depending on the reduction degree and doping conditions, leading to a possible error in the temperature calculation. To investigate the optical reduction behaviors of pristine GO, we studied the effect of IPL energy and number of shots on its temperature profile (Figure 1b,c). Note that the time between each light pulse for multiple shots was longer than 1 s. Given that the heat accumulation effect can only occur when the next pulse is induced within milliseconds, the interval between each pulse is too long for the heat accumulation effect to be utilized. When GO was irradiated at a relatively weak energy density (1.3 J cm\(^{-2}\)), temperature increased with increasing pulse number (Figure 1b). This behavior was ascribed to the partial reduction of GO and the concomitant increase of its sheet conductivity, which resulted in photothermal effect–induced heating upon exposure of GO semiconducting domains to photons with above-band-gap energies.[30–32] Given that the band gap of GO lies between 1.0 and 2.2 eV, depending on the reduction degree, light emitted by the Xe flash lamp was preferred to monochromatic (e.g., laser) light for optical GO treatment.[33,34] Notably, temperature increased only slowly (from 293.7 to 466.2 °C) as the number of shots increased from one to six, whereas a much more rapid increase was observed from shot seven onward, which suggested that most oxygenated groups were removed, and the reduction process mostly occurred within the range of 250–450 °C. In the case of higher energy density (2.6 J cm\(^{-2}\)), the temperature increased rapidly and stayed constant at ≈300 °C for 2 ms (Figure 1c). As the reduction process was mostly finished during the first shot, an abrupt increase of temperature to ≈1630 °C was observed during the second shot. Note that the value of 1630 °C is the corrected measurement upper limit of our IR sensor system. Consequently, there exist temperature saturation peaks in the transient temperature profiles when the measured temperature exceeds the upper limit. Interestingly, ultrastart reduction within 1 ms was observed at a higher energy density of ≈11.0 J cm\(^{-2}\), with no shoulder region detected (Figure S4a, Supporting Information). Based on the optical reduction behavior

**2. Results and Discussion**

Figure 1a illustrates the millisecond-scale (<10 ms) optical annealing procedures used to prepare B@rGO. BA, known to promote dehydration and additional deoxygenation reactions on the GO surface at a low temperature (Figure S1, Supporting Information).[26,27] was uniformly dissolved in an aqueous GO dispersion by ultrasonication, and the obtained solution was drop-coated on glass substrates (Figure 1a–i) and dried to afford BA crystallites uniformly distributed on pristine GO (BA@GO) (Figure S2, Supporting Information). BA@GO-coated glass substrates were placed on the IPL equipment stage and exposed to a single millisecond-scale light pulse generated by the Xe lamp (Figure 1a–ii). During irradiation with IPL, light absorption by GO resulted in a large temperature rise due to photothermal effects, which allowed for LTB doping of GO sheets with B as well as their reduction (Figure 1a–iii).

To elucidate the details of millisecond-scale processes, temperature change was monitored as a function of IPL pulse number using an IR sensor system. Samples were placed 4 cm away from the IPL quartz plate to secure space for IR light passage to the sensor system (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Temperatures measured with an emissivity of 1.00 were corrected using Equation (1):

\[ T_{\text{measured}} = T_{\text{corrected}} + \lambda_{\text{detect}} \times \ln \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\text{measured}}}{\epsilon_{\text{corrected}}} \right) \times C^{-1} \]

where \( T_{\text{measured}} \) is the temperature measured by the IR sensor for an IR sensor emissivity setting \( \epsilon_{\text{measured}} \) of 1.00, \( T_{\text{corrected}} \) is the temperature corrected by considering the real emissivity of materials \( \epsilon_{\text{corrected}} = 0.79 \), \( \lambda_{\text{detect}} \) is the IR sensor detection wavelength (2.3 μm), and \( C = 14388 \mu \text{m} K^{-1} \) is the second radiant constant.[28,29] Besides, the effect of temperature on the progress of GO reduction and doping were probed by ex situ XPS. Note that despite the fact that the emissivity of GO is calculated with the IR sensor and is analogous to the emissivity values of other carbon-based materials, the emissivity of GO will constantly be changing, depending on the reduction degree and doping conditions, leading to a possible error in the temperature calculation. To investigate the optical reduction behaviors of pristine GO, we studied the effect of IPL energy and number of shots on its temperature profile (Figure 1b,c). Note that the time between each light pulse for multiple shots was longer than 1 s. Given that the heat accumulation effect can only occur when the next pulse is induced within milliseconds, the interval between each pulse is too long for the heat accumulation effect to be utilized. When GO was irradiated at a relatively weak energy density (1.3 J cm\(^{-2}\)), temperature increased with increasing pulse number (Figure 1b). This behavior was ascribed to the partial reduction of GO and the concomitant increase of its sheet conductivity, which resulted in photothermal effect–induced heating upon exposure of GO semiconducting domains to photons with above-band-gap energies.[30–32] Given that the band gap of GO lies between 1.0 and 2.2 eV, depending on the reduction degree, light emitted by the Xe flash lamp was preferred to monochromatic (e.g., laser) light for optical GO treatment.[33,34] Notably, temperature increased only slowly (from 293.7 to 466.2 °C) as the number of shots increased from one to six, whereas a much more rapid increase was observed from shot seven onward, which suggested that most oxygenated groups were removed, and the reduction process mostly occurred within the range of 250–450 °C. In the case of higher energy density (2.6 J cm\(^{-2}\)), the temperature increased rapidly and stayed constant at ≈300 °C for 2 ms (Figure 1c). As the reduction process was mostly finished during the first shot, an abrupt increase of temperature to ≈1630 °C was observed during the second shot. Note that the value of 1630 °C is the corrected measurement upper limit of our IR sensor system. Consequently, there exist temperature saturation peaks in the transient temperature profiles when the measured temperature exceeds the upper limit. Interestingly, ultrastart reduction within 1 ms was observed at a higher energy density of ≈11.0 J cm\(^{-2}\), with no shoulder region detected (Figure S4a, Supporting Information). Based on the optical reduction behavior
of GO, similar experiments were conducted for BA@GO to further investigate B doping mechanisms. BA@GO was irradiated with a controlled number of shots (from one to four) at an energy density of 4.9 J cm\(^{-2}\) (Figure S4b, Supporting Information). Similarly to the case of GO, the maximum temperature increased with increasing pulse number, which was indicative of gradual reduction progress, as supported by ex situ XPS results (Figure S4c–e, Supporting Information). Notably, BA@GO required more light energy than GO to reach the analogous temperature range (suggested in Figure S4b, Supporting Information), which was attributed to the presence of a BA particle overlayer on GO in the former case. BA particles on the GO surface partially blocked its direct light absorption, thus attenuating photothermal effects. Interestingly, the temperature obtained after the first shot stayed rather low (380 °C). Given that BA has a boiling point of 300 °C, the corresponding shoulder part was mainly ascribed to the partial vaporization of BA on GO sheets to increase their light absorption efficiency. Despite the temperature rise to 847.3 °C after the fourth shot, no significant doping was achieved (Figure S4f–h, Supporting Information), that is, the activation temperature for B doping into GO sheets was much higher than this value. To further probe the mechanism

Figure 1. a) Schematic illustration of the photothermal effect–induced simultaneous doping and reduction of BA@GO in ambient air. Temperature–time curves of pristine GO for pulses with flash light energy densities of b) 1.3 and c) 2.6 J cm\(^{-2}\); d) corresponding curves of BA@GO obtained at an energy density of 11.1 J cm\(^{-2}\). e) Temperature–time curves of BA@GO recorded at a constant applied voltage of 370 V for flash light energy densities of 14.1, 21.9, 25.8, and 31.3 J cm\(^{-2}\) corresponding to pulse-on times of 7, 10, 12, and 15 ms, respectively. f) Temperature-affected sequential reactions of BA@GO in ambient air induced by the photothermal effect.
of photothermal effect–induced reduction and doping, higher-energy pulses (≈11.0 J cm\(^{-2}\)) were employed (Figure 1d). As expected, during the first shot, the temperature rapidly increased within 1 ms and stayed at ≈400 °C for 5 ms, which suggested BA vaporization. The absence of a shoulder peak after the first shot indicated that BA crystallites covering the GO surface were sufficiently vaporized for the effective absorption of light by GO. As reduction was not complete, the second-shot peak could not reach the corrected measurable limit (1630 °C). However, BA vaporization and partial reduction resulted in increased photothermal effect–based heat generation, which allowed for further reduction at temperatures above 1630 °C. As shown in Figure S4a, Supporting Information, higher light energy densities resulted in a shorter process time within a single shot. Therefore, numerous shots with sufficiently high energy densities (>12.0 J cm\(^{-2}\)) were applied to BA@GO to estimate the activation temperature for millisecond-scale B doping (Figure 1e). The slow temperature increase in the range of 250–450 °C and the subsequent faster rise were ascribed to GO reduction and BA vaporization, respectively. The observation of GO reduction and BA vaporization as separate processes was attributed to the low degree of BA reduction on GO sheets. Maximum temperatures of 944.0, 1185.5, 1444.8, and 1605.3 °C were reached for samples irradiated with a single shot at energy densities of 14.1, 21.9, 25.8, and 31.3 J cm\(^{-2}\), respectively. Changes in the valence states of B and C were probed by ex situ XPS (Figure S5, Supporting Information). The intensity of BCO\(_2\) peaks in B 1s spectra increased as the temperature went up to 1400 °C, whereas no significant change was observed below 1400 °C (Figure S5a–d, Supporting Information). Furthermore, in addition to BCO\(_2\) and B\(_3\) peaks, C\(_\rightarrow\)O and C\(_\rightarrow\)O signals due to further reduction and decomposition were detected (Figure S5e–h, Supporting Information). Therefore, the activation temperature for B doping was assumed to exceed 1400 °C. To further explore doping mechanisms, much higher temperatures of >1630 °C, that is, exceeding the measurable range of the IR sensor, were generated on BA@GO (Figure S6, Supporting Information). Figure S6d–g, Supporting Information, shows the corresponding B 1s spectra, revealing the presence of characteristic C sp\(^2\) (284.0 eV) and C sp\(^3\) (284.8 eV) peaks.\[36\] However, the intensities of C\(_\rightarrow\)O and C\(_\rightarrow\)O peaks (red lines) dramatically decreased upon irradiation, which indicated GO reduction to rGO. To clearly define B doping, high-resolution B 1s spectra of GO, rGO, and B@rGO were acquired (Figure 2f, Supporting Information). After IPL treatment of BA@GO, the intensities of peaks related to B–C bonds dramatically increased, that is, B doping was successfully realized within 3 ms in ambient air. As expected, rGO obtained from GO in the absence of BA contained no B. The presence of B in B@rGO was also confirmed by the shift of X-ray diffraction (XRD) peaks to higher angles and the change of the (002)-plane d-spacing from \(3.54\) to \(3.42\) Å, as reported previously (Figure S13, Supporting Information).\[37\] The high resolution B 1s spectra in Figure 2g featured BCO\(_2\), B\(_2\)O, and B\(_3\) peaks at 192.58, 191.19, and 189.46 eV, respectively.\[38\] Notably, B–C bonds in the carbon structure mainly corresponded to B\(_2\)O units. Similar phenomena have been observed for the synthesis of B-doped GO by long-term (>3 h) high-temperature (>800 °C) annealing in a reducing atmosphere.\[39,40\] The concentration of B doped into rGO was estimated as 3.56 at% (Figures S14 and S15, Supporting Information). Even though IPL-assisted B doping featured a short on-state time (3 ms) in ambient air, the dopant concentration was comparable to or even higher than that in B@rGO synthesized by conventional (time- and energy-consuming) thermal processes (Table S1, Supporting Information). Notably, our approach allowed doping and reduction to be accomplished without substrate damage even in cases of flexible polymer substrates (Figure S16, Supporting Information). To confirm the effects of IPL irradiation energy on doping, another sample was irradiated at 5.6 J cm\(^{-2}\), and energy density was shown not to influence dopant concentration (Figure S17, Supporting Information). Notably, IPL treatment resulted in the formation of BC\(_4\) units, which could not be previously achieved by BA@GO were placed on the IPL equipment stage at a distance of 5 mm from the quartz plate of the Xe lamp (Figure S9a,b, Supporting Information). As the above distance was shorter than that (4 cm) used for the in-depth study, doping and reduction were realized at a much lower energy density in the former case. All IPL treatments were performed at an energy density of 1.1 J cm\(^{-2}\), a constant voltage of 300 V, and a duration time of 3 ms. After a single shot, the dark brown film turned black (Figure S11, Supporting Information), which was indicative of successful large-area synthesis of B@rGO. Residual boron oxide in B@rGO films was removed by 30-min dipping of substrates into deionized water at 60 °C (Figure S12, Supporting Information).
conventional thermal methods (Table S1, Supporting Information). Figure 2h shows the calculated thermal budget and process time of various doping approaches, with circle sizes representing dopant concentration. The thermal budget was obtained by calculating the area of the temperature-time curve for a certain process or process sequence and was considered to be the total amount of thermal energy consumed to perform doping. Normally, a lower thermal budget allows for cost-effective and productive thermal processes, leading to energy-efficient manufacturing. As depicted above, thermal annealing has a large thermal budget due to inefficient heat conduction in furnace systems. Besides, all processes in the thermal budget-process time curve are only available in vacuum systems, restricting the facile and universal expansion of the strategy to other types of heteroatom doping. Remarkably, photothermal doping featured a 106-fold lower thermal budget (even in ambient air) than other methods requiring vacuum or reducing-atmosphere processing, thus allowing for strikingly facile and ultrafast doping. This exceptional performance was attributed to the extremely high heating ($5.30 \times 10^5 \degree C \text{s}^{-1}$) and cooling ($4.57 \times 10^4 \degree C \text{s}^{-1}$) rates of the photothermal method compared to those of conventional thermal annealing techniques (Figure 2i).

Surface area is an important parameter determining electrochemical properties, photocatalytic activity, and reactivity toward gas molecules. The surface morphologies of pristine GO, rGO, and B@rGO were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 3a–c) and were shown to be typical of GO, corresponding to planar surfaces with wrinkled and folded features. After single-shot IPL irradiation (300 V, 3 ms, 1.1 J cm$^{-2}$), open porosity development and a surface area increase were observed for rGO and B@rGO, which facilitated diffusion and reactivity. Interestingly, the porous framework of rGO and B@rGO did not appear in thermally treated B@rGO. Cross-sectional SEM imaging (Figure 3d–f) indicated the occurrence of exfoliation and floating for rGO and B@rGO, which increased the exposure of graphene sheets with a high surface area. Photothermal effects could be not manifested down to graphene sheets in the vicinity of glass substrates, as all light energy had been consumed. However, upon photothermal treatment, graphene sheets near glass substrates swelled to...
produce an open pore structure. Figure S18, Supporting Information, further shows that this exfoliation and floating of graphene sheets was accomplished in all parts. The structural features of GO, rGO, and B@rGO were further probed by Raman spectroscopy (Figure S19, Supporting Information).

Porosities and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas of rGO, B@rGO, and thermally reduced and doped rGO (Th-B@rGO) were determined from N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms recorded at 77 K (Figure 3g). Specifically, the BET surface areas of rGO and B@rGO were determined as 153.86 and 60.59 m2 g⁻¹, respectively. As B@rGO was obtained by irradiation of BA@GO, a fraction of light energy was consumed for B doping, and the corresponding increase in surface area was less than that of pristine rGO. The low surface area of Th-B@rGO (1.76 m² g⁻¹) was attributed to the noticeable difference in temperature ramping rates between IPL and thermal treatment methods. In contrast to the case of thermal treatment, the abrupt temperature rise observed for IPL treatment resulted in a rapid increase of pressure inside graphene sheets due to the decomposition of GO oxygenated groups, leading to graphene sheet exfoliation and floating (Figure S18, Supporting Information).[41] In turn, ultrafast reduction and doping due to IPL irradiation could be an effective strategy of surface area increase. The isotherms of rGO and B@rGO were classified as type-IV (hysteresis loop at \( P/P_0 \) ranged from 0.5 to 1.0), indicating the presence of abundant pores (mainly mesopores, 2–50 nm), as verified by Barrett–Joyner–Halenda pore size distributions (Figure 3h). On the contrary, Th-B@rGO exhibited a negligible mesopore distribution.

The aforementioned findings clearly confirm the success of photothermal LTB doping and reduction of GO, showing that the resulting B@rGO with B-doped networks of sp² carbons and a high surface area can be used in surface reaction–dominated applications such as gas sensing layers, supercapacitor electrodes, and photocatalysts.[6,39,42,43] Herein, pristine rGO and B@rGO were used as room-temperature gas sensing layers. The sensors were exposed to 1–20 ppm NO₂ in dry conditions, with the corresponding normalized resistance changes (\( \Delta R/R_{\text{air}} \)) shown in Figure S20a,b, Supporting Information. Compared with pristine rGO, B@rGO showed a 3.1-fold enhanced response to 10 ppm NO₂, which was ascribed to the presence of B-doped active reactions sites in the latter case, as reported previously.[13,42] In addition, B@rGO exhibited a more reliable sensing behavior with better reversibility upon exposure.
to 10 ppm NO₂ for 15 cycles, whereas pristine rGO showed a continuous downward drift of baseline resistance (Figure S20c, Supporting Information). B@rGO sensors showed excellent selectivity to NO₂ in the presence of interfering molecules such as hydrogen, toluene, ethanol, hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, and carbon monoxide (Figure S20d, Supporting Information).

As GO-based byproducts possess residual oxygenated groups sensitive to humidity, NO₂ sensing performance was further tested for three different relative humidity (RH) conditions, that is, RH = 1.5 (dry), 50, and 80%, and the corresponding normalized resistance changes were calculated (Figure 4a,b). Compared to the case of 1.5% RH, B@rGO sensors show a considerable improvement of responses to 1 and 5 ppm NO₂ (23.8- and 26.4-fold) at 80% RH. For pristine rGO, the corresponding enhancement factors equaled 17.2 and 3.6, respectively (Figure S21a,b, Supporting Information). We further compared the sensing performances of pristine rGO and B@rGO toward 0.1–5 ppm NO₂ at 80% RH and calculated the corresponding normalized resistance changes (Figure 4c,d), demonstrating that stable response and recovery (i.e., good reversibility) were observed for both B@rGO and pristine rGO. Compared to that of pristine rGO, the response of B@rGO toward 5 ppm NO₂ was enhanced 5.4-fold because of the abundant B active reaction sites of the latter material. The NO₂ detection limits of B@rGO and pristine rGO were determined as 100 and 400 ppb, respectively, and the above response enhancement well matched the results obtained for 1.5% RH. Pristine rGO and B@rGO showed notable reliability during exposure to 5 ppm NO₂ at 80% RH over 20 cycles (Figure 4e). Moreover, the B@rGO sensor exhibited excellent NO₂ selectivity against hydrogen, toluene, ethanol, hydrogen sulfide, and ammonia as interferents (Figure 4f). The long-term performance of B@rGO was monitored by comparing the response of a fresh sensor with that of a two-month-used sensor to 1–5 ppm NO₂. The deviation between these responses was less than 23%, indicating good long-term stability (Figure S22, Supporting Information).

**Figure 4.** a) Dynamic resistance transients and b) sensitivities of B@rGO to 1, 3, and 5 ppm NO₂ at 1.5, 50, and 80% RH. c) Dynamic resistance transients of pristine rGO and B@rGO and d) sensitivities of the above materials to 0.1–5 ppm NO₂ at 80% RH. e) Reliability of pristine rGO and B@rGO sensors determined by repetitive exposure to 5 ppm NO₂ at 80% RH for 20 cycles. f) Responses of B@rGO to six different gases (5 ppm NO₂ at 80% RH).
superiority of the IPL irradiation method, we further compared
the NO\textsubscript{2} sensing properties of non-IPL-treated pristine GO
with those of Th-B@rGO obtained by thermal annealing
(Figure S23a,b, Supporting Information). Both sensors featured
negligible or poor responses toward 0.1–5 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} at 80\%
RH. Note that even if residual B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} particles were present on
GO sheets, they would not be reactive toward NO\textsubscript{2} gas by them-
selves (Figure S24, Supporting Information). In this regard,
B@rGO obtained by IPL irradiation demonstrated good NO\textsubscript{2}
sensing characteristics that further improved with increasing
RH. The above enhancement was ascribed to the synergistic
effects of GO reduction/B-doping via IPL irradiation as well as
to the humidity-assisted improvement of NO\textsubscript{2} sensing charac-
teristics, which has not been deeply considered previously. Both
GO and rGO possess abundant hydroxyl and carboxyl surface
functional groups, and have therefore been used for effective
humidity sensing based on the easy formation of hydrogen
bonds between the above functional groups and water mole-
cules.\textsuperscript{[44,45]} Under highly humid conditions, water molecules
are readily adsorbed on the B@rGO surface, facilitating the
ionization of surface carboxyl groups to form COO\textsuperscript{–} and H\textsuperscript{+}.\textsuperscript{[46]}
The COO\textsuperscript{–} groups act as hole traps, generally leading to hole
depletion in p-type carbon materials, that is, to a baseline resis-
tance increase. Another possible mechanism is the band gap
increase due to the adsorption of water molecules on the grain
boundary or defective sites of graphene flakes, which increases
resistance.\textsuperscript{[47,48]} The humidity sensing properties of GO, rGO,
and B@rGO are presented in Figure S25, Supporting Informa-
tion. As expected, GO with abundant oxygenated groups was
more sensitive to humidity (6.1–99.9\% RH) than rGO and B@rGO.
The higher humidity sensitivity of B@rGO compared to
that of rGO was attributed to the higher amount of residual oxy-
genated functional groups in the former case after an identical
IPL treatment (Figure S17a,b, Supporting Information). In this
regard, B@rGO exhibited a larger enhancement of humidity-
dependent NO\textsubscript{2} sensing performance than pristine rGO
(Figure 4; Figure S21, Supporting Information).

The mechanism of NO\textsubscript{2} sensing by B@rGO can be explained
by considering the effects of B doping and humidity, as illus-
trated in Figure 5a. Compared to pristine rGO, B@rGO poss-
esses B-doped active sites in the forms of B\textsubscript{C\textsubscript{3}}, BCO\textsubscript{2},
and BC\textsubscript{2}O, as confirmed by XPS analysis (Figure 2g).
Such sites in the carbon matrix are known to provide stronger binding
and more abundant sites for reactions with NO\textsubscript{2} molecules,
which leads to higher modulation of resistance and a higher
response.\textsuperscript{[13]} In dry air, NO\textsubscript{2} molecules are likely to be strongly
adsorbed on either hydroxyl groups or B-doped active sites of
B@rGO, attracting electrons from the B@rGO surface.\textsuperscript{[49]}
In addition, NO\textsubscript{2} molecules can react with physisorbed O\textsubscript{2}
(2NO\textsubscript{2}(gas) + O\textsubscript{2}(gas) + 2e\textsuperscript{−} \rightarrow 2NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}(ads)) to form hole-
accumulation regions and dramatically decrease resistance
(Figure 5a–i).\textsuperscript{[50]} NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} ions tend to be adsorbed and stacked on
the surface of sensing layers, and hence, imperfect recovery
behavior is observed, as in cases of other conventional room-
temperature carbon-based sensors.\textsuperscript{[51–53]} Generally, recovery
kinetics can be improved using cleaning techniques such as
UV light irradiation to remove adsorbed gas analytes.\textsuperscript{[54,55]}
In our case, simple humidity control could dramatically enhance

---

**Figure 5.** a) Illustration of the NO\textsubscript{2} sensing mechanism of B@rGO in i) dry air and ii) humid air. b) Ex situ N 1s XPS spectra of B@rGO recorded in
dry and humid air before and after exposure to NO\textsubscript{2}. c) Response and recovery fitting curves and raw response and recovery curves for NO\textsubscript{2} sensing
by c) pristine rGO and d) B@rGO. e) Rate constants for the NO\textsubscript{2} reaction determined at 1.5%, 50%, and 80% RH.
reversibility during NO$_2$ sensing. In the employed system, water molecules were thought to i) promote hole trap formation to increase baseline resistance and thus contribute to the higher resistance variation during NO$_2$ injection and ii) partially hinder the direct chemisorption of NO$_2$ on B@rGO sensing layers to promote NO$_2$ reaction reversibility. The humidity-assisted enhancement of the NO$_2$ sensing properties of heteroatom-doped rGO and ii) controlled humidity, contributing to the response and reversibility improvement of room-temperature B@rGO sensing layers.

3. Conclusion

Herein, we successfully demonstrated a low-thermal-budget mass-production-suitable synthesis of heteroatom-doped rGO through intense pulse light irradiation in ambient air within <10 ms and probed photothermally induced B-doping processes by millisecond-scale transient temperature profiling and ex situ XPS. GO reduction was followed by the formation of B–C bonds, and a substantial extent of B-doping (>3.60 at% B) was achieved. B@rGO with abundant reaction sites was used as an effective room-temperature NO$_2$ gas sensing layer, featuring significantly enhanced response and reaction kinetics compared to those of pristine GO and rGO, especially under controlled humidity conditions. Thus, intense pulsed light- assisted optical engineering was concluded to be a facile and general strategy for carbon matrix doping with heteroatoms. As an example, B@rGO with abundant B active sites was demonstrated to act as a high-performance chemiresistor with effectively tunable physicochemical properties.

4. Experimental Section

BA@GO Coating on Substrates: Boric acid (H$_3$BO$_3$, >99%) and GO dispersed DI solution (5 mg mL$^{-1}$) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). All chemicals were used without further purification. For boron doping into GO, 20 mg of boric acid was mixed with 2 mL of GO dispersed DI solution and ultra-sonicated for an hour to obtain GO solution with boron acid (BA@GO) uniformly distributed. Then, the mixture of 10 µL was drop-coated on the glass substrate with micropipette several times and dried in ambient air for an hour.

Optical Doping and Reduction by IPL Irradiation: A Xenon flash lamp (PLT, Photocure) was employed to form reduced GO sheets (rGO) and B-doped reduced GO sheets (B@rGO) on glass substrates. The spectrum of a light source ranged from about 300 to 1000 nm (Figure S10a, Supporting Information), especially exhibiting high intensity from 400 to 700 nm. The light energy can be adjusted by tuning the applied voltage, pulse on/off time, pulse number and sample distance from the quartz. The glass substrates coated with GO and BA@GO were placed with 5 mm distance from the quartz (Figure S9b, Supporting Information). The pulse on-time was set as 3 ms with a constant applied voltage of 300 V to the lamp to maintain the flash light energy as 1.1 J cm$^{-2}$. A single light pulse generated from a Xenon lamp was irradiated onto the GO and BA@GO sheets for the in situ synthesis of rGO and B@rGO.

To further verify effects of light irradiation on polymer substrates, colorless polyimide (cPI) films were prepared. Following the same coating method, BA@GO-coated cPI film was prepared and treated with the identical IPL irradiation condition. Interestingly, no damage on the polymer substrate was observed, suggesting the feasibility of in situ synthesis of B@rGO on the flexible substrate for the flexible platform (Figure S16a,b, Supporting Information).

Preparation of B$_2$O$_3$: To obtain B$_2$O$_3$ particles, boric acid was placed in an alumina crucible and sintered through two step heating methods in a box furnace. First, boric acid was annealed at 130 °C for 30 min with ramping rate of 2 °C min$^{-1}$. Afterwards, the subsequent annealing was performed at 330 °C for 1 h with ramping rate of 2 °C min$^{-1}$ from 130 °C to obtain high purity B$_2$O$_3$.

Characterization: The morphologies and cross-section images of GO, rGO, and B@rGO were characterized with scanning electron microscopy.
Gas Sensing Characterization: At first, the sensing materials, that is, GO, rGO, and B@rGO were coated on the Al2O3 substrate, patterned with two parallel electrodes having width and distance of 25 and 70 μm, respectively (Figure S27a, Supporting Information). Note that rGO and B@rGO samples were obtained by a single flash irradiation with large-area beam. The duration time of the flash shot was set as 10 ms with a constant applied voltage of 300 V to the lamp to maintain the flash light energy as 3.3 J cm⁻². The sensing properties were evaluated by using homemade testing equipment (Figure S27b, Supporting Information). By using a data acquisition system (34972A, Agilent) with a 16-channel multiplexer (34902A, Agilent), the resistance of the sensors were measured. By using a data acquisition board (NI USB-6341 X series Multifunction DAQ, National Instruments). Finally, measured data are shown in a form of temperature (For more detail, see Figure S3, Supporting Information).

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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