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Abstract
The volatiles emitted by the ascomycetes Hypoxylon griseobrunneum and Hypoxylon macrocarpum (Hypoxylaceae, Xylariales) were collected by use of a closed-loop stripping apparatus (CLSA) and analysed by GC–MS. The main compound class of both species were polysubstituted benzene derivatives. Their structures could only be unambiguously determined by comparison to all isomers with different substitution patterns. The substitution pattern of the main compound from H. griseobrunneum, the new natural product 2,4,5-trimethylanisole, was explainable by a polyketide biosynthesis mechanism that was supported by a feeding experiment with (methyl-²H₃)methionine.

Introduction
Fungi release a large number of different volatiles that belong to all kinds of natural product classes [1]. Many of these compounds are of interest, because they are markers for the production of fungal toxins and thus can help to distinguish between toxigenic and closely related non-toxigenic species. For example, the sesquiterpene trichodiene (1, Figure 1) is the precursor of the trichothecene family of mycotoxins [2], a class of highly bioactive secondary metabolites that belong to the strongest known inhibitors of protein biosynthesis in eukaryotes [3]. Similarly, the sesquiterpene aristolochene (2) is the parent hydrocarbon of PR toxin [4,5] and has been used as a marker to differentiate between toxin producing and non-producing Penicillium roqueforti isolates [6]. On the other hand, fungal volatiles are interesting, because they contribute with their aroma to the flavour of many edible mushrooms. One of the first identified and certainly most widespread compounds is matsutake alcohol, (R)-oct-1-en-3-ol (3), that is produced inter alia by Tricholoma matsutake [7], a highly sought delicacy in the Japanese cuisine, the bottom mushroom Agaricus bisporus, and the penny bun Boletus edulis [8], as the name indicates a European equivalent to Matsutake in high-class cooking. Volatile organic compounds are also important in the interaction be-
between different species, e.g., between ophiostomatoid fungi and conifer bark beetles that show different behavioural responses to fungal volatiles [9]. Fungal volatiles can also be of importance in the interaction between plants and fungi. In some cases, fungal volatiles seem to be involved in the plant pathogenicity of fungi, as recently observed for 3,4-dimethylpentan-4-olide (4), a volatile from the ash pathogen *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* that currently threatens the European ash population [10]. Both enantiomers of this lactone were found to inhibit ash seed germination and to cause necrotic lesions in the plant tissue. In other cases, fungal volatiles can have beneficial effects and may even be involved in the induction of systemic resistance in plants, as can be assumed for 6-pentyl-2H-pyran-2-one (5) that is produced by many fungi from the genus *Trichoderma* [11,12].

Fungal volatiles can be efficiently analysed by trapping, e.g., on charcoal filters with a closed-loop stripping apparatus (CLSA) that was developed by Grob and Zürcher [13], followed by filter extraction and GC–MS analysis of the obtained headspace extracts [14]. The unambiguous compound identification requires a good match of the recorded electron impact (EI) mass spectrum to a database spectrum and of the retention index, a standardised GC retention factor that is calculated from the retention times of the analytes and of *n*-alkanes [15], in comparison to an authentic standard or published data. A peculiar problem in the analysis of aromatic compounds with multiple substituents is that constitutional isomers with the same types of substituents, but different substitution patterns often have very similar mass spectra. Furthermore, some of the isomers may also have similar retention indices, and therefore it is mandatory for unambiguous structure elucidation to compare analytes that fall into this class to all the possible constitutional isomers. A similar problem can apply to the structural assignment of compounds with multiple stereocentres based on GC–MS data, because the various possible diastereomers usually also produce very similar mass spectra [16], a phenomenon that is also reported for *E* and *Z* stereoisomers and can lead to wrong structural assignments, if no authentic standards are used for comparison [17]. We have recently reported on two chlorinated aromatic compounds from an endophytic *Geniculosporium* sp. [18] and on a series of structurally related phenols, benzaldehydes and anisole derivatives from *Hypoxylon invadens* [19] that could only be identified with certainty following this approach of extensive compound comparisons. Members of the family Hypoxylaceae are regarded to be extremely rich in secondary metabolites [20], but not much is known about volatiles from these fungi [21]. In continuation of this work, here we present the volatiles emitted by *Hypoxylon griseobrunneum* MUCL 53754 and *Hypoxylon macrocarpum* STMA 130423. These strains were selected, because both species released a characteristic and strong odour, as was already mentioned in the literature for *H. macrocarpum* [22,23], but the nature of the odoriferous compounds remained unknown. As will be shown, the bouquets of both species are composed mainly of highly substituted aromatic compounds whose structures were only securely identifiable by comparison to all the possible constitutional isomers with different ring substitution patterns.

**Results and Discussion**

**Headspace analysis**

The volatiles released by agar plate cultures of *H. griseobrunneum* and *H. macrocarpum* were collected using a CLSA [13]. After a collection time of one day the charcoal filter traps were removed and extracted with CH$_2$C$_2$, followed by GC–MS analysis of the obtained extracts. For both strains a large number of compounds from different compound classes including alcohols, ketones, esters, terpenes and pyrazines were identified. Besides the observed minor production of compounds from these classes aromatic compounds dominated, but the patterns were strain-specific.

**Identification of volatiles from Hypoxylon griseobrunneum**

A representative total ion chromatogram for the volatiles released by *Hypoxylon griseobrunneum* is shown in Figure 2 and the results of the analysis are compiled in Table 1. Several compounds in the headspace extract were readily identified from their mass spectra and retention indices, including the widespread alcohol 2-methylbutan-1-ol (6) as one of the main compounds and traces of the corresponding acetate ester 7 (Table 1 and Figure 3). Small amounts of matsutake alcohol (3) were
Figure 2: Total ion chromatogram of a CLSA headspace extract from Hypoxylon griseobrunneum MUCL 53754. Peak numbers refer to compound numbers in Table 1 and in Figure 3.

Table 1: Volatiles identified in the headspace extract from Hypoxylon griseobrunneum MUCL 53754.

| compound                              | retention index | literature index | identification   | peak area  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| 2-methylbutan-1-ol (6)                | 723             | 724 [25]         | ms, ri, std       | 18.6%      |
| methylpyrazine (9)                    | 817             | 819 [25]         | ms, ri, std       | <0.1%      |
| 2-methylbutyl acetate (7)             | 874             | 875 [25]         | ms, ri, std       | <0.1%      |
| 2,5-dimethylpyrazine (10)             | 903             | 908 [25]         | ms, ri, std       | 1.5%       |
| oct-1-en-3-ol (3)                     | 974             | 974 [25]         | ms, ri, std       | <0.1%      |
| octan-3-one (8)                       | 982             | 979 [25]         | ms, ri, std       | <0.1%      |
| trimethylpyrazine (11)                | 995             | 1000 [25]        | ms, ri, std       | 0.2%       |
| 1,8-cineole (13)                      | 1027            | 1026 [25]        | ms, ri, std       | 8.5%       |
| 2-ethyl-3,6-dimethylpyrazine (12)     | 1074            | 1077 [24]        | ms, ri, syn       | <0.1%      |
| veratrole (20)                        | 1141            | 1141 [25]        | ms, ri, std       | 0.2%       |
| 3,4-dimethylanisole (23)              | 1141            |                  | ms, std           | 0.2%       |
| 1,4-dimethoxybenzene (22)             | 1160            | 1161 [25]        | ms, ri, std       | 0.2%       |
| terpinen-4-ol (18)                    | 1174            | 1174 [25]        | ms, ri            | 0.1%       |
| 3-oxo-1,8-cineole (17)                | 1179            | 1186 [25]        | ms, ri            | 0.7%       |
| 2β-hydroxy-1,8-cineole (14)           | 1208            | 1217 [26]        | ms, ri            | 0.4%       |
| 2-oxo-1,8-cineole (16)                | 1213            | 1218 [27]        | ms, ri            | <0.1%      |
| 2α-hydroxy-1,8-cineole (15)           | 1220            | 1228 [26]        | ms, ri            | <0.1%      |
| 2,4,5-trimethylanisole (24)           | 1225            |                  | ms, syn           | 54.5%      |
| 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene (21)          | 1308            | 1309 [28]        | ms, ri, std       | <0.1%      |
| 2,5-dimethyl-p-anisaldehyde (25)      | 1456            |                  | ms, std           | 0.5%       |
| methyl 2,5-dimethyl-p-anisate (26)    | 1544            |                  | ms, syn           | 0.4%       |
| 1,8-dimethoxynaphthalene (27)         | 1657            | 1657 [19]        | ms, ri            | 0.3%       |
| pogostol (19)                         | 1657            | 1651 [25]        | ms, ri            | 0.3%       |

aRetention index I on a HP5-MS column. bIdentification based on ms: identical mass spectrum, ri: identical retention index, std: comparison to a commercially available standard compound, syn: comparison to a synthetic standard. cPeak area in % of total peak area. The sum is less than 100%, because compounds originating from the medium, unidentified compounds and contaminants such as plasticisers are not mentioned.
Figure 3: Volatiles from Hypoxylon griseobrunneum.

also found. This volatile is frequently accompanied by other C₈ metabolites [1], which is reflected for *H. griseobrunneum* by the detection of octan-3-one (8). Trace amounts of a series of alkylated pyrazines including methlypyrazine (9), 2,5-dimethylpyrazine (10), trimethylpyrazine (11) and 2-ethyl-3,6-dimethylpyrazine (12) were also observed. These compounds were previously reported from the actinobacterium *Corynebacterium glutamicum* in which pyrazines are biosynthetically derived from acetoin and its higher homologs [24]. For unambiguous structure elucidation commercially available standards of 9–11 were used, while a synthesis of 12 was performed in our earlier study [24].

Furthermore, a group of monoterpenes and the sesquiterpene alcohol pogostol (19) that was previously reported from other fungi [29,30] were observed. Monoterpenes were comprised of terpinen-4-ol (18), 1,8-cineole (13) as one of the major compounds in the extracts, and small amounts of its oxidation products 2β-hydroxy-1,8-cineole (14), 2α-hydroxy-1,8-cineole (15), 2-oxo-1,8-cineole (16) and 3-oxo-1,8-cineole (17). The monoterpene ether 13 has previously been reported from other *Hypoxylon* spp. [31] and the responsible monoterpene synthase has been identified [32]. Its hydroxylated derivatives 14 and 15 were found in insects feeding on leaves of *Melaleuca alternifolia* that contain large amounts of 13 [26], and both alcohols 14 and 15 along with the ketones 16 and 17 were reported as metabolites of 13 in human milk [27].

The mass spectrum of the main compound 24 from *H. griseobrunneum* (Figure 4A) showed several fragment ions in the low m/z region typical for an aromatic compound, while the fragment ion at m/z = 119 pointed to the loss of a methoxy group from the molecular ion ([M – 31]+), suggesting the structure of a trimethylanisole for 24. Six constitutional isomers of this compound exist (Table 2). For four of these compounds the corresponding trimethylphenols were commercially available that were O-methylated with methyl iodide and K₂CO₃ to yield compounds 24a, 24b, 24c and 24e. The other two isomers 2,3,4-trimethylanisole (24d) and 2,4,5-trimethylanisole (24) were obtained by *ortho*-methylation of 3,4-dimethylphenol (28) via a known procedure [33], followed by HPLC purification of the products 2,4,5-trimethylphenol (29a) and 2,3,4-trimethylphenol (29b) and subsequent O-methylation (Scheme 1). Comparison of the GC retention index of the natural product (I = 1225) to the retention indices of all six standards narrowed the possible structures down to those of 2,4,5-trimethylanisole (I = 1225) and 2,3,5-trimethylanisole (I = 1227), while all other isomers could be ruled out. The final structural assignment of 2,4,5-trimethylanisole for 24 was based on the better matching mass spectrum of this compound in comparison to the alternative of 24c. Compound 24 has not been reported from other natural sources before.

The identification of 24 was further supported by a feeding experiment with (*methyl-²H₃*)methionine. While the methylation pattern of the alternative structure 24c is difficult to understand via a polyketide biosynthesis mechanism, the formation of the assigned structure of 24 by a polyketide synthase (PKS) can be easily rationalised (Scheme 2). The acetate starter unit, bound to the acyl carrier protein (ACP) of an iterative fungal PKS, can be elongated with malonyl-SCoA (mal-SCoA) followed by C-methylation with S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM). Two
Figure 4: EI mass spectra of A) 2,4,5-trimethylanisole (24), B) the coeluting mixture of 3,4-dimethylanisole (23) and veratrole (20) with major peaks originating from 20 shown in red, C) the commercial standard of 23, D) the commercial standard of 20, E) 2,5-dimethyl-p-anisaldehyde (25), F) methyl 2,5-dimethyl-p-anisate (26).

Table 2: Retention indices of all isomers of trimethylanisole.

| structure | compound name\(^a\) | \(I\)\(^b\) |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| ![Structure](image) | 2,4,6-trimethylanisole (24a) | 1157 |
| ![Structure](image) | 2,3,6-trimethylanisole (24b) | 1181 |
| ![Structure](image) | 2,4,5-trimethylanisole (24) | 1225 |
Table 2: Retention indices of all isomers of trimethylanisole. (continued)

| Isomer Description               | Retention Index |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2,3,5-trimethylanisole (24c)     | 1227            |
| 2,3,4-trimethylanisole (24d)     | 1257            |
| 3,4,5-trimethylanisole (24e)     | 1271            |

*a* The natural product from *H. griseobrunneum* is 24, its isomers are designated 24a–e. *b* Retention index *I* on a HP5-MS column.

Scheme 1: Synthesis of trimethylanisoles 24 and 24d.

Scheme 2: Hypothetical biosynthesis of 24. ACP: acyl carrier protein, AT: acyl transferase, KR: ketoreductase, KS: ketosynthase, mal-SCoA: malonyl-SCoA, MT: methyl transferase, SAM: S-adenosyl-L-methionine.

more rounds of elongation with mal-SCoA, the first extension with C-methylation and action of a ketoreductase (KR), result in a tetraketide intermediate that can be cyclised by aldol condensation, followed by elimination of water to result in the aromatic ring system. Thioester hydrolysis and decarboxylation produce 29a that can be converted by SAM-dependent O-methyl-
ylation into 24. In summary, this hypothetical biosynthetic mechanism includes three SAM-dependent methylation steps. A feeding experiment with \((\text{methyl}-^2\text{H}_3)\text{methionine}\), the biosynthetic precursor of SAM, resulted in the incorporation of labelling into up to three methyl groups of 24, but not into the fourth methyl group (Figure 5), which is in line with the biosynthetic model of Scheme 2. Note that because of an isotope effect the isotopomers of 24 can be separated by gas chromatography depending on their deuterium content \([34,35]\), which makes the usage of \((\text{methyl}-^2\text{H}_3)\text{methionine}\) superior to the usage of \(^{13}\text{C}\)-labelled methionine that would not have led to chromatographic separation of the isotopomers. In conjunction with the low incorporation rates obtained here, the results would have been difficult to interpret.

Another trace compound emitted by \(H. \text{griseobrunneum}\) showed a molecular ion at \(m/z = 136\) and coeluted with exactly the same retention time as a second compound with a molecular ion at \(m/z = 138\). In case of two coeluting compounds the individual compounds are often enriched in the right and left peak flanks, and their individual mass spectra can be extracted by careful background subtraction, but this was not the case here, so only

**Figure 5:** Biosynthesis of 24. Feeding of \((\text{methyl}-^2\text{H}_3)\text{methionine}\) resulted in the incorporation of labelling into up to three methyl groups of 24. The shown ion trace chromatograms represent unlabelled 24 \((\text{black, } m/z = 150)\), \((^2\text{H}_3)\text{-24}\) \((\text{blue, } m/z = 153)\), \((^4\text{H}_6)\text{-24}\) \((\text{purple, } m/z = 156)\), and \((^6\text{H}_9)\text{-24}\) \((\text{red, } m/z = 159)\). No incorporation into the fourth methyl group was observed (no peak visible for \(m/z = 162\)). For the ion trace chromatograms of \(m/z = 159\) and 162 also expansions \((20\times)\) are shown.
Table 3: Retention indices of all isomers of dimethylanisole and trimethylphenol.

| Structure | Compound Name | \( \phi \) |
|-----------|---------------|---------|
| ![Structure 2,6-dimethylanisole](image) | 2,6-dimethylanisole (23a) | 1056 |
| ![Structure 2,4-dimethylanisole](image) | 2,4-dimethylanisole (23b) | 1103 |
| ![Structure 2,5-dimethylanisole](image) | 2,5-dimethylanisole (23c) | 1104 |
| ![Structure 3,5-dimethylanisole](image) | 3,5-dimethylanisole (23d) | 1114 |
| ![Structure 2,3-dimethylanisole](image) | 2,3-dimethylanisole (23e) | 1128 |
| ![Structure 3,4-dimethylanisole](image) | 3,4-dimethylanisole (23) | 1141 |
| ![Structure 2,4,6-trimethylphenol](image) | 2,4,6-trimethylphenol (23f) | 1198 |
| ![Structure 2,3,6-trimethylphenol](image) | 2,3,6-trimethylphenol (23g) | 1227 |

The mass spectrum of the compound mixture was obtained (Figure 4B). The analysis of the observed fragment ions suggested that the compound with the molecular ion at \( m/z = 136 \) may be one of the isomers of dimethylanisole, explaining the fragment ion at \( m/z = 105 \) by the loss of the methoxy group ([\( M - 31 \)]\(^+\)), and in agreement with the 14 Da lower molecular ion in comparison to 24. All six isomers of dimethylanisole were commercially available and a comparison of retention indices together with a personal inspection of the mixed mass spectrum of Figure 4B and the mass spectrum of 3,4-dimethylanisole (Figure 4C) unequivocally identified the natural product as 3,4-dimethylanisole (23, Table 3). Interestingly, the elution order of the trimethylphenols is the same as for the corresponding trimethylanisoles with respect to their substitution patterns, and each trimethylphenol consistently elutes slightly later with an increase of the retention index by ca. 30–50 points than the trimethylanisole analogue (Table 2 and Table 3), which is explainable by the significantly higher polarity of the phenols compared to the anisoles. Compound 23 was recently reported from Euphorbia golondrina [36], but was never observed as a fungal natural product so far. Biosynthetically, the identified compound 23 can arise by a similar mechanism as discussed for 24, potentially as a minor product of the same PKS, only the C-methylation step in the second round of chain extension needs to be skipped.
Table 3: Retention indices of all isomers of dimethylanisole and trimethylphenol. (continued)

| Compound Name                  | Retention Index |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2,4,5-trimethylphenol (23h)    | 1262            |
| 2,3,5-trimethylphenol (23i)    | 1267            |
| 2,3,4-trimethylphenol (23j)    | 1296            |
| 3,4,5-trimethylphenol (23k)    | 1311            |

*a The natural product from *H. griseobrunneum* is 23, its isomers are designated 23a–k. b Retention index I on a HP5-MS column.

(Scheme 2). However, during the feeding experiment with (*methyl-^2^H_3*)methionine the formation of 23 was suppressed, possibly because the additional supply of methionine resulted in a higher efficiency of the programmed methylation steps towards 24.

The additional signals in the mixed mass spectrum (Figure 4B) at *m/z* = 138, 123 and 95 that do not originate from 23 are present with similar relative proportions as in the mass spectrum of veratrole (20, Figure 4D), and indeed a commercial standard of 20 revealed the same retention index of *I* = 1141 as the natural product, thus confirming the structure of veratrole for the second of the coeluting compounds. Its isomer 1,4-dimethoxybenzene (22) and a trimethoxybenzene 21 were also detected. Comparison to all three commercially available isomers of trimethoxybenzene established the identity of 21 as 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene (Table 4). 1,8-Dimethoxynaphthalene (27) was also found and has been reported previously from

Table 4: Retention indices of all isomers of trimethoxybenzene.

| Structure | Compound Name                  | Retention Index |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
|           | 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene (21)   | 1308            |
|           | 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene (21a)  | 1368            |
|           | 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (21b)  | 1409            |

*a The natural product from *H. griseobrunneum* is 21, its isomers are designated 21a and 21b. b Retention index I on a HP5-MS column.
other Hypoxylon spp. [19,37]. The corresponding compound 1,8-dihydroxynaphthalene is a known precursor of fungal melanin pigments [38].

Two trace compounds exhibited the mass spectra shown in Figure 4E and Figure 4F that were similar to database spectra of 2,5-dimethyl-p-anisaldehyde (25) and methyl 2,5-dimethyl-p-anisate (26). The substitution pattern of these compounds is well explained by polyketide biosynthesis logic (Scheme 3). Starting from ACP-bound acetate, two non-reducing elongations with malonyl-S-CoA, the first without and the second with C-methylation, followed by another elongation with reduction of the 3-oxo group and cyclisation yields the aromatic system of 25 and 26. Hydrolytic cleavage from the ACP and two methylations of the phenol and the carboxylic acid result in 26, while reductive cleavage and methylation of the phenol give 25. The aldehyde 25 was commercially available and matched the natural product in terms of mass spectrum and retention time. Compound 25 was transformed into the corresponding methyl ester by treatment with iodine and potassium hydroxide in methanol [39]. The obtained material also showed identical behaviour in the GC–MS analysis to natural 26. Both compounds 25 and 26 are new natural products.

Identification of volatiles from Hypoxylon macrocarpum

The composition of the headspace extracts from H. macrocarpum (Figure 6 and Table 5) was completely different from the extracts of H. griseobrunneum with only the three compounds 2,5-dimethylpyrazine (10), trimethylpyrazine (11) and pogostol (19) being emitted by both species (Figure 7). The volatiles benzaldehyde (32) and 2-phenylethanol (35) as two of the main compounds, and the trace compounds 2-acetylfuran (30), 2-acetylthiazole (31), acetophenone (33), 1-phenylethanol (34), 1-phenylpropan-1,2-dione (36) and m-cresol (37) were readily identified from their mass spectra and retention indices and by comparison to authentic standards.

The main compounds released by H. macrocarpum were identified as 3,4-dimethoxytoluene (43) and 4-methylsalicylaldehyde (39), while 2,5-dimethylphenol (38) and 2-methoxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde (40) were detected in lower amounts. All four compounds were previously observed in the bouquet of H. invadens and unambiguously identified by comparison to all possible isomers with different ring substitution patterns [19]. Furthermore, comparison to all ten isomers of methoxy-methylbenzaldehydes described in this study allowed for the identifica-
Figure 6: Total ion chromatogram of a CLSA headspace extract from Hypoxylon macrocarpum STMA 130423. Peak numbers refer to compound numbers in Table 5 and in Figure 7.

Table 5: Volatiles identified in headspace extract from Hypoxylon macrocarpum STMA 130423.

| compound                        | \( I^a \) | \( I \) (lit.) | identification\(^b\) | peak area\(^c\) |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 2,5-dimethylpyrazine (10)       | 903       | 908 [25]       | ms, ri, std          | 0.1%            |
| 2-acetylfluran (30)             | 906       | 909 [25]       | ms, ri, std          | 0.7%            |
| benzaldehyde (32)               | 952       | 952 [25]       | ms, ri, std          | 22.8%           |
| trimethylpyrazine (11)          | 995       | 1000 [25]      | ms, ri, std          | 0.5%            |
| 2-acetylthiazole (31)           | 1012      | 1014 [25]      | ms, ri, std          | 0.6%            |
| 1-phenylethanol (34)            | 1054      | 1057 [25]      | ms, ri, std          | 0.1%            |
| acetophenone (33)               | 1059      | 1059 [25]      | ms, ri, std          | 0.8%            |
| m-cresol (37)                   | 1071      | 1072 [25]      | ms, ri               | 1.9%            |
| 2-phenylethanol (35)            | 1105      | 1106 [25]      | ms, ri, std          | 11.6%           |
| 2,5-dimethylphenol (38)         | 1154      | 1152 [19]      | ms, ri, std          | 3.6%            |
| 4-methylsalicylaldehyde (39)    | 1162      | 1165 [19]      | ms, ri, std          | 16.4%           |
| 1-phenylpropan-1,2-dione (36)   | 1171      | 1175 [40]      | ms, ri               | 0.1%            |
| 3,4-dimethoxyltoluene (43)      | 1243      | 1240 [19]      | ms, ri, std          | 29.1%           |
| 3-methoxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde (41) | 1302   | 1307 [19]      | ms, ri, std          | 0.2%            |
| 2-methoxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde (40) | 1365   | 1364 [19]      | ms, ri, std          | 0.9%            |
| 3,4,5-trimethoxyltoluene (44)   | 1405      | ms, std        |                      | 0.1%            |
| 2,4,5-trimethoxyltoluene (45)   | 1436      | ms, syn        |                      | 0.3%            |
| 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42)  | 1483      | 1475 [25]      | ms, ri, std          | 1.3%            |
| 2,5-dichloro-1,3-dimethoxybenzene (46) | 1552   | 1556 [18]      | ms, ri, std          | 1.0%            |
| pogostol (19)                   | 1657      | 1651 [25]      | ms, ri               | <0.1%           |

\(^a\)Retention index \( I \) on a HP5-MS column. \(^b\)Identification based on ms: identical mass spectrum, ri: identical retention index, std: comparison to a commercially available standard compound, syn: comparison to a synthetic standard. \(^c\)Peak area in % of total peak area. The sum is less than 100%, because compounds originating from the medium, unidentified compounds and contaminants such as plasticisers are not mentioned.

tion of another trace compound from H. macrocarpum as 3-methoxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde (41). The chlorinated compound 2,5-dichloro-1,3-dimethoxybenzene (46) was also rigorously identified by comparison to all possible regioisomers that we had synthesised in a previous study [18]. Interestingly, the substitution pattern for the compound from H. macrocarpum is different to an isomer from the endophyte Geniculosporium sp. that was identified as 1,5-dichloro-2,3-dimethoxybenzene. Compound 46 has not been described as a natural product before. Another trace compound released by H. macrocarpum
exhibited a mass spectrum that pointed to the structure of a dimethoxybenzaldehyde (Figure 8A). Comparison to all six commercially available isomers (Table 6) showed the identity of the natural product and 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42).

Finally, two trace compounds with almost identical mass spectra (Figure 8B and Figure 8C), but clear separation by gas chromatography, were suggested to be trimethoxytoluenes. Two isomers, 3,4,5-trimethoxytoluene (44) and 2,4,6-trimethoxytoluene (44d), were commercially available. 2,3,4-Trimethoxybenzaldehyde (47) was reduced to 2,3,4-trimethoxytoluene (44a) using PdCl₂ and Et₃SiH [41] (Scheme 4), while the other three isomers were synthesised according to reported procedures [42-44]. Comparison of all six isomers to the two natural products (Table 7) resulted in their identification as 3,4,5-trimethoxytoluene (44) and 2,4,5-trimethoxytoluene (45). While 44 is a relatively widespread natural product, its isomer 45 has only once been tentatively identified by mass spectrometry in
Table 6: Retention indices of all isomers of dimethoxybenzaldehyde.

| structure | compound name\(^a\) | \(I\) |
|-----------|----------------------|------|
| ![](structure1.png) | 2,3-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42a) | 1391 |
| ![](structure2.png) | 3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42b) | 1445 |
| ![](structure3.png) | 2,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42c) | 1468 |
| ![](structure4.png) | 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42d) | 1483 |
| ![](structure5.png) | 2,6-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42d) | 1531 |
| ![](structure6.png) | 2,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42e) | 1543 |

\(^a\)The natural product from *H. macrocarpum* is 42, its isomers are designated 42a–e. \(^b\)Retention index \(I\) on a HP5-MS column.

Scheme 4: Synthesis of 2,3,4-trimethoxytoluene (44a).

Plants from the genus *Asarum* \(^{[45]}\), but never from fungi before. However, it remains unclear how 45 was distinguished from 44 or other possible isomers in the earlier study.

Conclusion

Both investigated ascomycetes, *Hypoxylon griseobrunneum* and *Hypoxylon macrocarpum*, were found to emit complex mixtures of volatiles, mainly composed of aromatic compounds. As we have demonstrated, for unequivocal structural assignments based solely on GC–MS data it is important to compare the natural product to all possible constitutional isomers with different ring substitution patterns, because the mass spectra of these isomers are too similar to rely solely on MS data for compound identification. Therefore, also the retention index of the natural product must match the retention index of an authentic standard, and usually the retention indices of the isomeric aromatic compounds with different substitution patterns are sufficiently different for a confident structural assignment. Also biosynthetic considerations can help in the structure elucidation, because some aromatic substitution patterns are in line with a polyketide biosynthesis mechanism, while other substitution patterns may be difficult to understand. But such considerations should be made with care and should ideally be supported, e.g., by feeding experiments, as we have conducted in the present study. The main compounds of *H. griseobrunneum* were 2-methylbutan-1-ol, 1,8-cineol and 2,4,5-trimethylanisole, while *H. macrocarpum* released a completely different bouquet with the main compounds benzaldehyde, 2-phenylethanol,
Table 7: Retention indices of all isomers of trimethoxytoluene.

| structure | compound namea | b |
|-----------|----------------|---|
| ![Structure of 2,3,4-trimethoxytoluene (44a)](structure1) | 2,3,4-trimethoxytoluene (44a) | 1321 |
| ![Structure of 2,3,6-trimethoxytoluene (44b)](structure2) | 2,3,6-trimethoxytoluene (44b) | 1397 |
| ![Structure of 3,4,5-trimethoxytoluene (44)](structure3) | 3,4,5-trimethoxytoluene (44) | 1405 |
| ![Structure of 2,3,5-trimethoxytoluene (44c)](structure4) | 2,3,5-trimethoxytoluene (44c) | 1410 |
| ![Structure of 2,4,5-trimethoxytoluene (45)](structure5) | 2,4,5-trimethoxytoluene (45) | 1436 |
| ![Structure of 2,4,6-trimethoxytoluene (44d)](structure6) | 2,4,6-trimethoxytoluene (44d) | 1488 |

aThe natural products from *H. macrocarpum* are 44 and 45, its isomers are designated 44a–d. bRetention index *I* on a HP5-MS column.

4-methylsalicylaldehyde and 3,4-dimethoxytoluene. All these volatiles exhibit a characteristic smell and are likely main contributors to the odour produced by the fungi, but also some of the identified minor compounds may be important for the fungal fragrance. Notably, fungi of the genus *Hypoxylon* are interesting sources of new natural products, as exemplified by the identification of 2,4,5-trimethylanisole, 2,5-dimethyl-3-anisaldehyde and its corresponding methyl ester, and 2,5-dichloro-1,3-dimethoxybenzene. Therefore, it will be of high interest to investigate the volatiles from further *Hypoxylon* species in the near future.

**Experimental**

**Strains and culture conditions**

*Hypoxylon griseobrunneum* was obtained from a specimen collected in Martinique, Case Pilote, on a trail to Morne Venté on wood and bark of a dead dicotyledon branch in a mesophilic to xerophilic forest, on 25 August 2010 by Jacques Fournier [46]. A voucher specimen is deposited at the herbarium of the University of Lille, France (LIP, No MJF10120) and the culture is deposited with MUCL (Louvain-la Neuve, Belgium) under the accession number MUCL 53754.

*Hypoxylon macrocarpum* was obtained from ascospores of a specimen collected in Germany, Rhineland-Palatinate Province in the vicinity of Forst, near the Pechsteinkopf from wood of *Fagus* on 20 October 2012 by Benno and Marc Stadler [21]. A voucher specimen is deposited in the fungarium of the Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research (HZI, Braunschweig, Germany) under the accession number STMA 130423.

**Analysis of volatiles**

The volatiles emitted by agar plate cultures of *H. griseobrunneum* and *H. macrocarpum* were collected through a closed-loop stripping apparatus (CLSA) [13] for ca. 1 day at room temperature and under natural light-dark rhythm. The CLSA charcoal filter traps were extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 μL, HPLC grade), followed by analysis of the extracts by GC–MS.
GC–MS
GC–MS analyses were performed with a 7890A GC coupled to a 5975C inert mass detector (Agilent, Hewlett-Packard Company, Wilmington, USA). The GC was equipped with a HP5-MS fused silica capillary column (30 m, 0.25 mm i. d., 0.25 μm film, Agilent). Conditions were inlet pressure: 77.1 kPa, He 23.3 mL min⁻¹; injection volume: 1.5 μL; injector operation mode: splitless (60 s valve time); carrier gas: He at 1.2 mL min⁻¹; GC program: 5 min at 50 °C, then increasing with 5 °C min⁻¹ to 320 °C; transfer line 300 °C; electron energy 70 eV. Retention indices (I) were determined from a homologous series of n-alkanes (C₈–C₃₈).

Synthesis of 2,4,5-trimethylphenol (29a) and 2,3,4-trimethylphenol (29b)
Diiodomethane (2.14 g, 8.0 mmol, 2 equiv) was dissolved in dry toluene (3 mL) under an argon atmosphere and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. To the vigorously stirred solution, Et₂Zn in toluene (5.0 mL, 1 M, 6.0 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added rapidly, followed immediately by the addition of 3,4-dimethylphenol (500 mg, 4.0 mmol) in toluene (3 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 5 min then under reflux for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and then quenched with an aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (10% w/w). The aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 20:1). The pure products were obtained as pale yellow liquids.

2,4,5-Trimethylphenol (29a). Yield: 5 mg (0.03 mmol, 32%).
1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 6.89 (s, 1H, CH), 6.63 (s, 1H, CH), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.17 (s, 3H, CH₃). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 151.6 (C₆q), 134.6 (C₆q), 132.1 (CH), 128.0 (C₆q), 123.6 (C₆q), 112.1 (CH), 55.7 (CH₃), 20.0 (CH₃), 18.8 (CH₃), 15.7 (CH₃).

2,4,5-Trimethylanisole (24). Yield: 5 mg (0.03 mmol, 32%). TLC (silica, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 20:1): Rf = 0.48; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 6.82 (s, 2H, 2 × CH), 3.70 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.24 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.27 (s, 3H, CH₃). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 154.9 (C₆q), 133.2 (C₆q), 130.6 (2 × C₂q), 129.5 (2 × CH), 59.9 (CH₃), 20.8 (CH₃), 16.1 (2 × CH₂).

2,4,5-Trimethylanisole (24a). Yield: 6 mg (0.04 mmol, 36%). TLC (silica, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 20:1): Rf = 0.42; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 6.92 (d, 3J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.83 (d, 3J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 3.70 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.27 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.24 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.20 (s, 3H, CH₃), 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 156.9 (C₆q), 136.0 (C₂q), 129.6 (C₂q), 128.2 (C₂q), 128.0 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 60.0 (CH₂), 20.0 (CH₂), 16.2 (CH₃), 12.4 (CH₃).

2,3,5-Trimethylanisole (24b). Yield: 7 mg (0.05 mmol, 42%). TLC (silica, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 20:1): Rf = 0.42; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 6.92 (d, 3J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.83 (d, 3J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 3.70 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.27 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.24 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.20 (s, 3H, CH₃), 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 156.9 (C₆q), 136.0 (C₂q), 129.6 (C₂q), 128.2 (C₂q), 128.0 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 60.0 (CH₂), 20.0 (CH₂), 16.2 (CH₃), 12.4 (CH₃).

2,3,5-Trimethylanisole (24c). Yield: 8 mg (0.05 mmol, 48%). TLC (silica, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 20:1): Rf = 0.47; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 6.62 (s, 1H, CH), 6.55 (s, 1H, CH), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.24 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.11 (s, 3H, CH₃), 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm) 157.6 (C₆q), 137.7 (C₆q), 135.6 (C₆q), 123.1 (CH), 121.9 (C₆q), 109.0 (CH), 55.7 (CH₃), 21.5 (CH₃), 20.1 (CH₃), 11.4 (CH₃).

2,3,4-Trimethylanisole (24d). Yield: 5 mg (0.03 mmol, 32%). TLC (silica, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 20:1): Rf = 0.54;
11H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, 298 K) δ (ppm) 6.96 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 4.78 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.79 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.17 (s, 3H, CH3); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3, 298 K) δ (ppm) 150.6 (Cq), 136.4 (Cq), 128.6 (Cq), 127.2 (CH), 125.1 (Cq), 107.8 (CH), 55.8 (CH3), 20.3 (CH3), 16.0 (CH3), 12.1 (CH3).

3,4,5-Trimethylanisole (24e), Yield: 8 mg (0.05 mmol; 48%). TLC (silica, cyclohexane:ethyl acetate = 20:1): Rf = 0.37; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, 298 K) δ (ppm) 6.59 (s, 2H, 2 × CH), 3.77 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.27 (s, 6H, 2 × CH2), 2.11 (s, 3H, CH3); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3, 298 K) δ (ppm) 157.1 (Cq), 137.7 (2 × Cq), 127.2 (Cq), 113.2 (CH), 55.3 (CH3), 21.0 (2 × CH3), 14.7 (CH3).

Synthesis of methyl 2,5-dimethyl-p-anisate (26)
Similar to a reported procedure [39], 2,5-dimethyl-p-anisaldehyde (25, 1 g, 6.09 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in MeOH (60 mL) and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. Solutions of KOH (1.045 g, 15.89 mmol, 2.6 equiv, in 20 mL MeOH) and I2 (2.01 g, 7.92 mmol, 1.3 equiv, in 10 mL MeOH) were added and the mixture was stirred for 90 min at 0 °C. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed three times with saturated aqueous Na2S2O3 solution and subsequently with brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified via column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 10:1) on silica gel and the pure product was obtained as a colourless solid (277 mg, 1.43 mmol, 23%). TLC (silica, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 3:1): Rf = 0.67. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3, 298 K) δ (ppm) 7.75 (s, 1H, CH), 6.64 (s, 1H, CH), 3.86 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.85 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.60 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.18 (s, 3H, CH3); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3, 298 K) δ (ppm) 167.9 (Cq), 160.6 (Cq), 140.8 (Cq), 133.4 (CH), 123.9 (Cq), 120.9 (Cq), 112.9 (CH), 55.5 (CH3), 51.6 (CH3), 22.1 (CH3), 15.8 (CH3).

Synthesis of 2,3,4-trimethoxytoluene (44a)
According to a known procedure [41], to a solution of 2,3,4-trimethoxybenzaldehyde (47, 500 mg, 2.55 mmol, 1 equiv) in EtOH (13 mL), SiEt3H (590 mg, 5.1 mmol, 2 equiv) was added under an argon atmosphere. PdCl2 (45.2 mg, 0.26 mmol, 10 mol %) was added and after stirring for 1 h, the reaction was quenched with H2O. The mixture was extracted three times with Et2O and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified via column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 10:1). The pure product was obtained as a colourless liquid (267 mg, 1.47 mmol, 57%). TLC (silica, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 3:1): Rf = 0.50; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD6D6, 298 K) δ (ppm) 6.73 (dq, J = 8.4 Hz, 4J = 0.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.38 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 3.78 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.71 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.38 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.22 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 3H, CH3); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CD6D6, 298 K) δ (ppm) 152.9 (Cq), 152.8 (Cq), 143.5 (Cq), 124.7 (CH), 124.3 (Cq), 108.0 (CH), 60.6 (CH3), 60.3 (CH3), 55.8 (CH3), 15.9 (CH3).
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