Merging of a Perylene Moiety Enables a Ru\textsuperscript{II} Photosensitizer with Long-Lived Excited States and the Efficient Production of Singlet Oxygen
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Abstract: Multichromophoric systems based on a Ru\textsuperscript{II} polypyridine moiety containing an additional organic chromophore are of increasing interest with respect to different light-driven applications. Here, we present the synthesis and detailed characterization of a novel Ru\textsuperscript{II} photosensitizer, namely [[(bppy)\textsubscript{2}Ru(2-(perylen-3-yl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-f][1,10]-phenanthroline)][(PF\textsubscript{6})\textsubscript{2}] RuipPer, that includes a merged perylene dye in the back of the ip ligand. This complex features two emissive excited states as well as a long-lived (8 \textmu s) dark state in acetonitrile solution. Compared to prototype [[(bppy)\textsubscript{2}Ru]\textsuperscript{2+}-like complexes, a strongly altered absorption (\(\varepsilon = 50.3 \times 10\textsuperscript{4}\) M\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1} at 467 nm) and emission behavior caused by the introduction of the perylene unit is found. A combination of spectro-electrochemistry and time-resolved spectroscopy was used to elucidate the nature of the excited states. Finally, this photosensitizer was successfully used for the efficient formation of reactive singlet oxygen.

Introduction

Almost unlimited energy (ca. 3 \times 10\textsuperscript{24} J per year) is provided by the sun, exceeding the world’s increasing energy demand by more than 10\textsuperscript{11} times.\textsuperscript{[1,2]} Accordingly, there is great potential in converting sunlight into electricity or energy-rich compounds, the so-called solar fuels.\textsuperscript{[3–5]}

In this context, the efficient absorption and storage of photonic energy by a suitably photosensitized is crucial. In fact, especially the photosensitizer plays a key role in every photocatalytic system\textsuperscript{[6–9]} and in diverse applications like organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs),\textsuperscript{[10,11]} dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs)\textsuperscript{[12,13]} or in photodynamic therapy (PDT).\textsuperscript{[14,15]} For these applications, transition metal complexes based on polypyridine ligands are still frequently used,\textsuperscript{[3–5,16–19]} however, their lack of high extinction coefficients in a broad range of the visible region is a major drawback\textsuperscript{[19–21]}, which needs to be tackled. One possible solution is the design of multichromophoric architectures containing organic dyes like naphthalene,\textsuperscript{[22,23]} AH-imidazole,\textsuperscript{[24–26]} naphtalimide,\textsuperscript{[27–31]} pyrene\textsuperscript{[32–36]} or oligothiophene (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{[17,30]} All of them already successfully demonstrated their ability to increase excited-state lifetimes and to broaden the absorption profile of the corresponding transition metal complexes. Moreover, the concept of merging an additional organic chromophore (Figure 1) with a metalorganic chromophore also resulted in complexes with high emission quantum yields and an increased electron storage capability.\textsuperscript{[21,27,28,39]} In addition, these organic dyes can serve as an energy reservoir due to excited-state energy transfer processes\textsuperscript{[40–42]} and are...
highly active for the evolution of singlet oxygen needed for PDT applications. In particular, rylene dyes like naphtalene or perylene (monoimide or diimide) are one of the most important classes of organic dyes, because they offer a broad synthetic variability, high chemical and photochemical stability as well as flexible photophysical and electrochemical properties. In particular, rylene imide architectures were successfully incorporated to facilitate charge transfer transitions to or from the organic chromophore.

Rylene radical anions or cations can be observed with characteristic absorption bands. Hence, embedding of such rylene based dyes (organic chromophores) into traditional polypyridine ligands for the preparation of improved transition metal complexes is of high interest.

Therefore, the new ipPer (2-(perylen-3-yl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-f][1,10]phenanthroline) ligand containing a perylene moiety in the backbone of the 1H-imidazo[4,5-f][1,10]phenanthroline (ip) and its corresponding Ru II complex [(tbbpy)Ru(ipPer)](PF 6) 2 (RuipPer; Scheme 1) were developed within this study. A combination of electrochemical and photophysical measurements including time-resolved emission as well as nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopy was then used to evaluate the effect of the additional perylene moiety on the electrochemical and photophysical properties.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and structural characterization

The preparation of the novel imidazophenanthroline perylene (ipPer) ligand was achieved by the conversion of the formylated perylene with 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione (Scheme 1). Adapted Radziszewski conditions for the preparation of imidazole derivatives were applied (see Chapter 2 in the Supporting Information) to minimize the formation of by-products. However, tedious washing with diethyl ether and recrystallization in chloroform was essential for purification resulting in a final yield of 66% for ipPer. Following, standard reaction conditions were applied for the complexation of the hardly soluble ipPer ligand with the [(tbbpy)Ru(Cl)] 2 precursor (tbbpy = 4,4′-tert-butyl-2,2′-bipyridine) to obtain RuipPer. The coordination reaction was performed under microwave irradiation either in hot ethylene glycol or in a mixture of ethanol/water (3:1, v/v) with addition of potassium hydroxide. Purification was performed by Sephadex™ size-exclusion column chromatography with methanol. With both solvent mixtures yields of up to 80% could be achieved.

Subsequently, ipPer and RuipPer were fully characterized by 1H and 13C NMR spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS, MALDI; see all spectra in the Supporting Information). In the 1H NMR spectra almost all perylene protons of ipPer show a different chemical environment (Figure S3.1 in the Supporting Information). Further, the 1H NMR spectra of RuipPer exhibit solely a weak concentration dependency (in the range of 0.25 to 38.9 mM), which argues against strong π-π interactions of neighboring perylene moieties (Figure S3.8). Indeed, the perylene and also the imidazophenanthroline protons do not provide sufficient NMR shifts for the calculation of association constants. 2D NMR spectroscopy (Figure S3.6) identifies the most downfield shifted aromatic proton at about 9.2 ppm as a perylene proton.

Electrochemical properties

The redox properties of RuipPer and of a suitable reference complex, namely [(tbbpy)Ru(ip)] 2+ (Rui) without an additional perylene sphere at the ip moiety, were studied by cyclic and differential pulse voltammetry (CV and DPV) in corresponding acetonitrile (Figure 2 and Table 1) and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) solutions (Figure S5.1–2). Rui was structurally characterized before, but a detailed electrochemical analysis was not performed so far.

Figure 2. Cyclic voltammogram (top) and differential pulse voltammogram (bottom) of RuipPer (red, 1 mM) in acetonitrile solution referenced vs. the ferrocene/ferriencium (Fc/Fc †) couple. An additional voltammogram until –3.0 V is shown in Figure S4.3. Conditions: scan rate of 100 mV/s, [nBu4]NPF 6 (0.1 M) as supporting electrolyte. The arrow illustrates the initial scan direction.
The reversible oxidation of RuipPer from a RuI to a RuII state occurs at 0.82 V and is thus anodically shifted by 30 or 90 mV compared to Ruip and [(tbbpy)Ru]2+, respectively (Table 1). However, in RuipPer this oxidation process is merged with an irreversible oxidation event of the perylene moiety at 0.55 V which corresponds well with bare perylene. At 1.1 V, a second irreversible oxidation of the perylene unit occurs. Interestingly, Ruip exhibits an irreversible oxidation at 0.52 V consistent with the literature, which is most likely related to an oxidation of the imidazole unit without substitution at its C2 carbon atom.

The cyclic voltammogram of RuipPer exhibits six reduction events between −1.76 V and −2.93 V (Figures 2 and S4.3). From these, the events at −1.88, −2.10, and −2.47 V correspond well with Ruip and [(tbbpy)Ru]2+ and can be assigned to the progressive reduction of the phenanthroline and the two bipyridine ligand spheres, respectively. Furthermore, the imidazole unit is quasireversibly reduced at −1.76 V for RuipPer, whereas it is cathodically shifted by 250 mV for Ruip. This implies that the covalently connected perylene unit would lead in a more reducible imidazole unit, which is unexpected because higher electron density on the perylene moiety should cause the opposite. This could indicate an electronically decoupled ground state.

By introduction of the perylene moiety in RuipPer, the reduction at −2.10 V assigned to the tbbpy ligand is overlapping with the first irreversible perylene reduction. (see DPV in Figure 2). At higher cathodic potentials, the perylene sphere is again reduced irreversibly (Table 1).

### Photophysical properties

The photophysical properties of ipPer and its corresponding RuI complex RuipPer were studied in acetonitrile solution (Figure 3). The ipPer ligand exhibits an absorption maximum at around 457 nm without a characteristic vibronic fine structure, which is otherwise typical of a perylene chromophore. Furthermore, its absorption profile is red-shifted of about 15 nm compared to bare perylene.

With a molar extinction coefficient of $\varepsilon = 50.3 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 467 nm the absorptivity of RuipPer corresponds well to the sum of perylene $\pi-\pi^*$ ($37.0 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and RuI metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) transitions (17.5 $10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) indicating the multichromophoric behavior of this novel complex. The intense absorption between 400 and 500 nm is again slightly red-shifted by 10 nm compared to both bare perylene and [(tbbpy)Ru(ip)]]2+. In contrast to the highly emissive ipPer ligand ($\lambda_{em} = 491$ and 525 nm, Figure 3) the RuipPer complex does not show significant emission in the presence of oxygen (see Figure S5.2). Under inert conditions, however, the complex exhibits a clear emission with a maximum at 605 nm (Figure 3). Thus, the emission of RuipPer is oxygen sensitive (see below).

Subsequently, the effect of protonation and deprotonation of the imidazole unit of ipPer on the absorption and emission behavior of both the ligand itself and RuipPer was tested. In this context, the addition of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) or tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH) to acetonitrile solutions under argon atmosphere of ipPer and RuipPer was performed (Figure S5.3). For the present compounds, acidification (protonation) induces only small changes in the absorption (Figure S5.3), but a decrease in intensity and a red-shift (for RuipPer of about 20 nm) of the emission maxima. In contrast, for prototype complexes bearing no additional chromophore studied in aqueous solution, pH values below the $P_k$ value of the ip proton led to an increase in emission.

---

**Table 1. Summary of the electrochemical properties of ipPer, RuipPer and some reference compounds in acetonitrile solution at room temperature. Owing to solubility issues, the electrochemical characterization was also performed in deaerated DMF solutions containing 0.1 M [nBu4N]PF$_6$ as supporting electrolyte. The redox potentials are referenced versus the ferrocene/ferricenium (Fc/Fc$^+$) couple. $E_{on}$ and $E_{off}$ = potentials of the redox processes.**

| Compound   | $E_{on}$ [V] | $E_{off}$ [V] |
|------------|-------------|--------------|
| ipPer$^{[a]}$ | 0.59; 0.91  | −1.98; −2.15; |
| RuipPer$^{[b]}$ | 0.65; 0.81  | −1.84; −1.88; −2.07$^{[c]}$ |
| RuipPer    | 0.55; 0.82$^{[d]}$ | −1.76; −1.88$^{[c]}$; −2.10$^{[c]}$ |
| [(tbbpy)Ru]$^{2+[d]}$ | 0.73 | −1.80; −2.01; −2.28 |
| Ruip      | 0.52; 0.79$^{[d]}$ | −1.85$^{[d]}$; −2.01; −2.09$^{[d]}$; −2.43$^{[d]}$ |

[a] Measured in DMF. [b] Reversible processes with half-wave potentials ($E_{1/2}^{on}$). [c] Taken from ref. [61]. [d] See Figure S4.3.
intensity. Earlier results of Ru metals complexes bearing an ip framework with a pyrene moiety revealed increased ligand centered (LC) absorptions due to protonation.

Even more drastic are the effects for the deprotonation of ipPer and RuipPer. In this case, the absorption maximum of ipPer is shifted from 457 (neutral) to 479 nm (deprotonated, Figure S5.5). Instead, for RuipPer the addition of TBAOH only slightly affects the merged LC/MLCT transitions at around 460 nm, but an additional absorption band in the range from 550 to 750 nm appears (Figure S5.3). This exceeds by far the previous observed effects for pyrene substituted complexes, where usually red-shifted transitions with mostly MLCT character at about 457 nm were detected.

Deprotonated ipPer and RuipPer also possess significant differences in their emission behavior. For the neutral ipPer the vibronically shaped emission between 470 and 580 nm is shifted to 617 nm with a broad emission profile upon deprotonation. In contrast, the emission of RuipPer is completely quenched upon addition of base (Figure S5.3), which is in line with literature. Together with the emission changes upon protonation, this suggested possible quenching abilities of the differently charged imidazole moiety upon addition of acid or base.

The pH dependent changes of RuipPer are reversible in acetonitrile solution in the presence of oxygen (Figure S5.4). When the complex is protonated by TFA (pH ≈ 3) the emission is enhanced, as in the prototype complex (Figure S5.4). At the same time the absorption is not altered. Subsequently, when the complex is deprotonated again by TBAOH (pH ≈ 11), the emission is quenched and the absorption is significantly changed, shifting the MLCT absorption band to higher energies (450 nm). Upon the re-addition of TFA the absorption is red-shifted again and the stronger emission is regained. The same behavior as described above is observed, when the pH is shifted back to 11.

### Time-resolved spectroscopy

The emission lifetime of RuipPer was detected as a biexponential decay with two time constants of 380 ns and 1500 ns in acetonitrile solution under inert conditions. The amplitudes of the global analysis illustrate that the second lifetime is more prominent (Figure 4). The first lifetime of RuipPer is in the same time period as 3MLCT-based lifetimes for structurally related complexes, like Ruip (Table 2, Figure S6.1). Complexes with an attached pyrene moiety typically exhibit a rather long second lifetime (e.g., 1.5 to 26 µs). Hence, the second lifetime of RuipPer is with about 1.5 µs in good agreement with the literature.

This long lifetime was assigned by Reichardt and co-workers to a triplet energy equilibrium between the 3MLCT and the 3IL (intra-ligand) state located on the pyrene moiety. The delayed emission of the 3MLCT is common for multichromophoric transition metal complexes. The shape of the amplitude of the second emission lifetime supports the idea, that this time constant is also related to an emission from the 3MLCT state (Figure 4).

Interestingly, transient absorption (tA) spectroscopy revealed three lifetimes of 600 ns and 1500 ns as well as a long lifetime of 8 µs for RuipPer in acetonitrile solution under inert conditions (Figure 5), which is similar to other multichromophoric Ru complexes.

The transient absorption spectrum of RuipPer has two excited state absorptions (ESA between 360 and 380 nm as well as at 450 nm; Figure 5). In addition, two ground state bleaches (GSB) until 440 and 500 nm can be seen. In this region the GSB and the ESA are superimposed. Additionally, a broad ESA from 360 to 380 nm, but an additional absorption band in the range from 550 to 750 nm appears (Figure S5.3). This exceeds by far the previous observed effects for pyrene substituted complexes, where usually red-shifted transitions with mostly MLCT character at about 457 nm were detected.

Deprotonated ipPer and RuipPer also possess significant differences in their emission behavior. For the neutral ipPer the vibronically shaped emission between 470 and 580 nm is shifted to 617 nm with a broad emission profile upon deprotonation. In contrast, the emission of RuipPer is completely quenched upon addition of base (Figure S5.3), which is in line with literature. Together with the emission changes upon protonation, this suggested possible quenching abilities of the differently charged imidazole moiety upon addition of acid or base.

The pH dependent changes of RuipPer are reversible in acetonitrile solution in the presence of oxygen (Figure S5.4). When the complex is protonated by TFA (pH ≈ 3) the emission is enhanced, as in the prototype complex (Figure S5.4). At the same time the absorption is not altered. Subsequently, when the complex is deprotonated again by TBAOH (pH ≈ 11), the emission is quenched and the absorption is significantly changed, shifting the MLCT absorption band to higher energies (450 nm). Upon the re-addition of TFA the absorption is red-shifted again and the stronger emission is regained. The same behavior as described above is observed, when the pH is shifted back to 11.

### Table 2. Summary of the photophysical properties of ipPer, RuipPer and some reference compounds in acetonitrile solution at room temperature. All emission properties and the quantum yield were determined under inert conditions.

| Compound | λ\text{\textsubscript{max,em}} [nm] (ε [10^3 M\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1}]) | λ\text{\textsubscript{max,em}} [nm] | τ\textsubscript{em} [ns] | τ\textsubscript{tA} [ns] | Φ\textsubscript{em} |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| ipPer    | 257; 288; 328; 442; 457 | 491; 525 | 380; 600; 0.010 |
| RuipPer  | 257 (75.8); 286 (89.6); 467 (50.3) | 617; 1500; 1500; 8000 | 0.095 |
| [bpy]_2Ruip\textsuperscript{2+} | 451 (13.0) | 607; 1100 | – – |
| Ruip\textsuperscript{2+} | 459 (17.5) | 615; 540| – – |

(a) Data for Ruip were taken from ref. [60], except for τ\textsubscript{em} (measured by us).
Elucidation of the nature of the excited states

First, UV/vis spectro-electrochemistry was performed in acetonitrile solution containing 0.1 M \([\text{InBu}_3\text{N}]\text{PF}_6\) as supporting electrolyte. For an easier assignment and distinction of the occurring absorption bands of RuipPer the reference complex Ruip was also examined.

When RuipPer is oxidized at 0.8 V, a superposition of a decrease in absorption at 450 nm and a sharp peak at 530 nm becomes visible (Figure S9.1). This can be assigned to the oxidation of the ruthenium center\(^\text{79}\) and the formation of a perylene cation, respectively.\(^\text{58}\) At an even higher oxidation potential of 1.0 V the depletion of the MLCT absorption band due to the oxidation of Ru\(^{\text{II}}\) to Ru\(^{\text{III}}\) is more pronounced, while the formation of the perylene cation is still present (Figure S9.1). This observation is in line with the reference complex Ruip without a perylene moiety, where oxidation only causes a depletion of the absorption at 450 nm (Figure S9.3).

Under reductive conditions (below \(-1.7\text{ V vs. Fc/Fc}^+\)) an absorption at 530 nm is rising for both Ruip and RuipPer. Hence, this can be attributed to the reduction of the tbbpy ligands and the ip moiety (Figure S9.2 and S9.4). In the differential optical density spectrum of RuipPer applying higher reduction potentials below \(-2.0\text{ V}, a\) sharp absorption band at 620 nm is rising. Consequently, this feature can be assigned to a perylene anion (Figure S9.2), although the wavelength is slightly shifted compared to previous reports.\(^\text{80,81}\)

For the estimation of the driving force for the formation of either a radical anion or cation on the perylene moiety of RuipPer, the electrochemical potentials of the excited states were calculated using the simplified Rehm-Weller equation (Supporting Information chapter 9).\(^\text{82}\) Therefore, it was assumed that the two chromophoric units do not interact as it can be seen by the superposition of both the ground state photophysics and the electrochemical properties. Subsequently, the excited state electrochemical potentials were estimated from the ground state electrochemical potentials and the spectroscopic energy \(E^0\) related to the involved transition.\(^\text{14,82}\)

The electron donor ability of the Ru\(^{\text{II}}\) moiety in the excited state was determined with \(E_{\text{red}}^0 = -1.23\text{ eV (0.82-2.05 eV = -1.23 eV)}\). This driving force is too small to initiate an electron transfer towards the hardly reducible perylene moiety. The perylene can only be reduced from potentials of \(-2.10\text{ V or more negative against Fc/Fc}^+\) (Table 1).

As a second option the perylene might act as an electron donor moiety in its excited state. Taking the relative absorptions of the two chromophores, that is, of perylene and of the Ru\(^{\text{II}}\) polypyrindine center into consideration, excitation at 355 nm (as conducted in the transient absorption experiments) possibly produces excited states of both the perylene and the Ru\(^{\text{II}}\) chromophore (Figure 5). The electron donor capability of the excited perylene moiety is estimated to be \(-2.27\text{ eV (0.55-2.82 eV)}\). Thus, the excited state of perylene can possibly reduce the ground state Ru\(^{\text{II}}\) polypyrindine states (lowest reductive redox potential of \(-1.76\text{ V) to produce a charge separated state of Ru}\(^{\text{I}}\)(tbbpy)\(^+\) (ipPer)\(^+\).

Upon comparison of the spectro-electrochemical features of the perylene radical cation (Figure S9.1) with the signatures observed in the transient absorption (Figure 5) we conclude that no charge separated state is visible in the nanosecond time regime. This is consistent with intramolecular Ru\(^{\text{II}}\)-perylen-based radical cationic species which recombine within the sub-100 ps timescale. Thus, from the above-mentioned options of excited state dynamics, the triplet state formation is left. The perylene based triplet state typically absorbs at around 500 nm.\(^\text{61}\)

However, in our case, this triplet state is not fully visible due to...
the superposition of the GSB and the ESA. The small ESA at 450 nm is the beginning of a shoulder, which is not visible due to the strong GSB from 460 to 490 nm.

Based on these findings we propose that the long dark lifetime stems from a triplet state on the perylene moiety. This assumption is further supported by the fact, that the fine structure is not altered throughout the TA experiments upon addition of oxygen.

Singlet oxygen evolution

The above-mentioned oxygen-dependent emission properties of RuipPer indicate the participation of triplet excited states. Such effects can be used, for example, for the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) like singlet oxygen \( \text{^1} \text{O}_2 \), which are important for PDT applications.\(^{15,34,35}\) In type II reactions of PDT-related photosensitizers, energy transfer reactions from the excited, light-absorbing unit to dioxygen produces highly reactive \( \text{^1} \text{O}_2 \). In recent years, the extraordinary reactivity of such energy transfer reactions of organic triplet states achieved by the participation of transition metal complexes has attracted increasing interest.\(^{34,39,86}\) The most prominent example, TLD1433, is a Ru\(^{2+} \) polypyridine complex bearing a terthiophene backbone that has entered clinical trials for PDT applications. As the highly reactive organic triplet states of such multichromophoric complexes like TLD1433\(^{38,54} \) and Ru\(^{III} \) pyrene\(^{35,87} \) induce the efficient production of \( \text{^1} \text{O}_2 \), RuipPer was also investigated for this ability by using NIR emission spectroscopy (Figure 6). The characteristic emission of \( \text{^1} \text{O}_2 \) at 1274 nm was referenced to \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^{2+} \) (\( \Phi(\text{^1} \text{O}_2) = 57 \pm 5 \% \)) and resulted in a singlet oxygen quantum yield of 80 ± 6 % for RuipPer. This excellent value is well comparable with leading compounds such as TLD1433 (77 %) or the corresponding quaterthiophene-substituted Ru\(^{III} \) complex with a \( \text{^1} \text{O}_2 \) quantum yield of 81 %.\(^{38} \)

**Conclusion**

In this study, a novel multichromophoric photosensitizer based on a Ru\(^{3+} \) polypyridine unit that is merged with an additional perylene moiety was successfully developed. Photophysical measurements revealed a strong absorptivity with high molar extinction coefficients (e.g., \( \epsilon = 50.3 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) at 467 nm) derived from a superposition of the absorption properties of both chromophores. Moreover, the absorption and emission properties of RuipPer are strongly affected by the protonation state of the ip moiety; the deprotonation has an especially drastic impact. Upon excitation with visible light, RuipPer exhibits altered excited-state properties and increased singlet oxygen quantum yields of 80 ± 6 % compared to prototype complexes like [(bpy)\(_2\)]Ru\(^{3+} \). Furthermore, we propose that the long-lived excited states are triplet states because the characteristic spectral features of the perylene radical anion or cation in the spectro-electrochemical measurements do not match the states observed in the TA spectra. Either charge separation and recombination processes lead to an excited triplet state on the perylene moiety or the direct interaction of the sensitized MLCT excited states with energetically higher lying perylene triplet states are responsible for the increased excited-state lifetime of 8 µs. The existence of a triplet excited state is further corroborated by the preserved fine structure obtained in the transient absorption measurements in the presence of oxygen as well as by the quenching of the lifetime. In total, RuipPer offers two emissive MLCT states, an initial MLCT of 380 ns and a second delayed MLCT of 1500 ns interacting with the \( ^{3} \text{IL} \). A third dark perylene-based excited state has a lifetime of 8 µs.

Detailed future investigations using various techniques should continue with the study of the short-lived species finally ending in the long-lived excited states explored herein. Ultrafast spectroscopic techniques and the detection of the possible radical charge separated species by, for example, EPR spectroscopy along with theoretical simulations of the excited states would clarify the ongoing processes.

**Experimental Section**

Further synthetic details, \( ^{1} \text{H} \) and \( ^{13} \text{C} \) NMR spectra, mass spectrometric data and cyclic voltammograms are provided in the Supporting Information. Also, additional results of steady-state absorption, emission, time-resolved emission, transient absorption spectroscopy and spectro electrochemistry are presented.
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