Succession of Bacterial Community During the Initial Aerobic, Intense Fermentation, and Stable Phases of Whole-Plant Corn Silages Treated With Lactic Acid Bacteria Suspensions Prepared From Other Silages
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The present study was aimed at investigating the bacterial community in lactic acid bacteria (LAB) suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage (LAB suspension-CS) and Elymus sibiricus silage (LAB suspension-ES) and the bacterial community succession of whole-plant corn silages inoculated with LAB suspension-CS or LAB suspension-ES during initial aerobic phase, intense fermentation phase, and stable phase. The LAB suspensions were cultured in sterile Man, Rogosa, Sharpe broth at 37°C for 24 h and used as inoculants for ensiling. The chopped whole-plant corn was treated with distilled water (CK), LAB suspension-CS (CSL), or LAB suspension-ES (ESL) and then ensiled in vacuum-sealed plastic bags containing 500 g of fresh forage. Silages were sampled at 0 h, anaerobic state (A), 3 h, 5 h, 10 h, 24 h, 2 days, 3 days, 10 days, 30 days, and 60 days of ensiling with four replicates for each treatment. The results showed that Lactobacillus, Weissella, and Lachnoclostridium_5 dominated the bacterial community in LAB suspension-CS; Lactobacillus was the most predominant bacterial genus in LAB suspension-ES. During the initial aerobic phase (from 0 h to A) of whole-plant corn silage, the pH and the abundances of Pantoea, Klebsiella, Rahnella, Erwinia, and Serratia increased. During the intense fermentation phase (from A to 3 days), the pH decreased rapidly, and the microbial counts increased exponentially; the most predominant bacterial genus shifted from Pantoea to Weissella, and then to Lactobacillus; inoculating LAB suspensions promoted the bacterial succession and the fermentation process, and LAB suspension-CS was more effective than LAB suspension-ES. During the stable phase (from 3 to 60 days), the pH and the microbial counts decreased, and Lactobacillus dominated the bacterial community with a little
INTRODUCTION

Ensiling is an effective method for preserving moist forage crops and supplying quality forage to livestock throughout the year (Guan et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). During the fermentation process, water-soluble carbohydrates are converted into organic acids by lactic acid bacteria (LAB) under anaerobic conditions to reduce pH and inhibit undesirable microorganisms for long-term preservation of silage (Keshri et al., 2018). Generally, the main LAB genera that play a major role in silages include Lactobacillus, Weissella, Pediococcus, Lactococcus, Enterococcus, and Leuconostoc (McGarvey et al., 2013; Muck, 2013; Gharechahi et al., 2017). Additionally, the ensiling fermentation process is highly complex involving many types of microorganisms (Xu et al., 2019), and the process can be divided into four main phases including the initial aerobic phase, intense fermentation phase, stable phase, and aerobic feed-out phase (Weinberg and Muck, 1996; Dunière et al., 2013; Ávila and Carvalho, 2019). Therefore, understanding the succession of microorganisms and the correlation between microorganisms and fermentation quality in different phases of fermentation may reveal the fermentation process and provide a scientific basis for modulation of silage fermentation.

Whole-plant corn silage is the most common forage for ruminant worldwide because of the good fermentation quality and high nutritional value (Khan et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). In the past decade, the microbial communities during ensiling have become a research focus of silages with the development of next-generation sequencing technologies (Romero et al., 2018). Gharechahi et al. (2017), Keshri et al. (2018), and Xu et al. (2020) reported the dynamics of microbial community during the ensiling of whole-plant corn silages treated with LAB or collected from different locations. Guan et al. (2018) determined the bacterial community in corn silages prepared with farm bunker-silo in Southwest China. Other previous studies revealed the bacterial community in whole-plant corn silages inoculated with LAB (Xu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019), and the bacterial and fungal communities in whole-plant corn silages after 5 days of aerobic exposure (Keshri et al., 2018). However, little is known regarding the successions of microbial community during the initial aerobic phase and the fermentation relay of LAB during entire fermentation of whole-plant corn silages.

The LAB was usually used as silage additives to promote the ensiling process and improve the fermentation quality of end-silages (Weinberg and Muck, 1996). Some recent studies indicated that adding inoculants (containing selected LAB strains) changed the microbial community by making LAB dominated microorganism as soon as possible (Keshri et al., 2018; Guan et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Several authors have reported that LAB prepared from alfalfa is more effective in improving the fermentation quality of alfalfa silage than that from other forage sources (Ohshima et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2009; Denek et al., 2011). Moreover, Ali et al. (2020) found that inoculating epiphytic microbiota from red clover has a greater effect on improving microbial succession and fermentation quality of red clover silages than that from maize and sorghum. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have reported the microbial succession of whole-plant corn silages ensiled with LAB prepared from whole-plant corn silages and other silages. Elymus sibiricus is a tall-growing and perennial bunchgrass widely distributed in Europe, Asia, and North America (Klebesadel, 1969), and used as forage because of high yield potential and good quality (Wang et al., 2017). Moreover, E. sibiricus has become a grass for artificial grassland and been ensiled for ruminants in China (Sun et al., 2009; Li et al., 2016).

We hypothesized that inoculation of the LAB suspensions prepared from whole crop corn and E. sibiricus silages at ensiling may alter the bacterial community and their successions in whole crop corn silage. Thus, the objectives of this study were to determine the bacterial community in LAB suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage and E. sibiricus silage and to characterize the changes in the bacterial community during the initial aerobic phase, intense fermentation phase, and stable phase of the anaerobic fermentation process in whole-plant corn silage inoculated with two different LAB suspensions prepared from whole crop corn and E. sibiricus silages.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparing LAB Suspension

The silage samples (about 500 g) for preparing LAB suspensions were collected from wrapped whole-plant corn silage (four randomly selected bales; density >700 kg/m³) and wrapped E. sibiricus silage (four randomly selected bales; density >700 kg/m³), respectively, on September 5, 2019. The wrapped silages were ensiled for about 350 days on an experimental farm of Inner Mongolia Academy of Agriculture and Animal Husbandry Science, Hohhot, China. The samples were separately packed in a plastic bag (food grade, 300 mm × 400 mm; Qingye, Beijing, China) by a vacuum sealer (DZ-300; Qingye, Beijing, China), and then transferred to the laboratory in an ice box. The silages (20 g) were individually mixed uniformly with 180 ml of sterile Man, Rogosa, Sharpe (MRS) broth in a reagent bottle (200 ml) by a shaker (HY-150, Wuhan Huicheng Biological Technology Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China) at 4°C for 30 min and then cultured.
at 37°C in an incubator (LRH-70, Shanghai Yiheng Scientific Instruments Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) for 24 h. The 20 ml of LAB suspensions was drawn from each reagent bottle, placed into a sterilized centrifuge tube, and then stored at −80°C for analyzing bacterial community. The pH and LAB count of the silage samples and the LAB suspensions were analyzed. The LAB suspensions were stored at 4°C and used as an inoculant for ensiling whole-plant corn in 5 days.

Preparing Silages and Sampling
Corn (Zea mays L.) was grown on the same farm. Whole corn plants were harvested at the two-thirds milk-line stage from four different fields as replicates on September 10, 2019. The fresh forages from each field were separately chopped into 1- to 2-cm pieces, mixed thoroughly, and then divided into three batches of film for three treatments as follows: CK, spraying 3.0 ml/kg fresh weight (FW) of distilled water; CSL, spraying 3.0 ml/kg FW of LAB suspension made from whole-plant corn silage (LAB suspension-CS); and ESL, spraying with a mixture of 1.0 ml/kg FW of LAB suspension made from E. sibiricus silage (LAB suspension-ES) and 2.0 ml/kg (FW) distilled water. After mixing uniformly, approximately 500 g of forage was packed into a plastic bag and sealed with a vacuum sealer; 44 bags of silage were prepared per treatment (11 bags per field), and a portable oxygen meter (KP810, Henan Zhong an Electronic Detection Instruments Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) for detecting oxygen content. The silages were stored in laboratory and sampled after ensiling for 30 days, and 60 days. When the oxygen content in silages reduced to 0, the silage was in anaerobic state. The 20 g of sample from each treatment of each field for detecting oxygen content. The silages were dried in a forced-air oven (BPG-9240A, Shanghai Yiheng Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) at 65°C for 48 h, ground through a 1-mm screen using a mill (FS-6D; Fichi Machinery Equipment Co., Ltd., Shandong, China) and then dried at 105°C until reaching a constant mass for detecting dry matter content. The mixture of 25 g fresh silage and 225 ml sterile water was homogenized for 100 s in a flap-type sterile homogenizer (JX-05, Shanghai Jingxin Industrial Development Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and filtered through four layers of cheesecloth to prepare silage extracts. The pH of silage was measured by pH meter; the counts of LAB, Coliforms, bacteria, and yeast were determined by culturing on MRS agar, violet red bile agar, nutrient agar, and potato dextrose agar, respectively, at 37°C for 48 h (Cai, 1999).

The bacterial community of the LAB suspensions and the whole-plant corn silages were analyzed. The DNA extraction was operated using the E.Z.N.A. Stool DNA Kit (D4015, Omega, Inc., United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The V3–V4 region of the bacterial rRNA gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (98°C for 30 s followed by 32 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 10 s, annealing at 54°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 45 s and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min) with primers 341F (5′-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3′) and 805R (5′-GACTACHVGGGTATCCTAATCC-3′) (Logue et al., 2016). The PCR products were purified by AMPure XT beads (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Danvers, MA, United States) and quantified by Qubit (Invitrogen, United States). The samples were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq PE250 platform according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Paired-end reads were assigned to samples based on their unique barcode and truncated by cutting off the barcode and primer sequence. Paired-end reads were merged using FLASH. Quality filtering on the raw reads was performed under specific filtering conditions to obtain the high-quality clean tags according to the fqtrim (v0.94). Chimeric sequences were filtered using Vsearch software (v2.3.4). After dereplication using DADA2, the feature table and feature sequence were obtained. Alpha diversity and beta diversity were calculated by QIME2, the sequence alignment of species annotation was performed by BLAST, and the alignment database was SILVA and NT-16S. The sequencing data were submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database (accession number: PRJNA693042). The stacked bars of bacterial genera were made by Excel (Microsoft 365, Microsoft Corporation, Seattle, WA, United States) according to the relative abundance of the bacterial community. The difference in the bacterial community among treatments or ensiling time was analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U test and the Kruskal–Wallis test by R version 3.6.1.

Statistical Analyses
The LAB Count and pH of LAB suspension whole-plant corn silage, and E. sibiricus silage were analyzed using GLIM procedure of SAS (version 9.1.3; SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, United States). For the ensiling experiments, data on dry matter, pH, and microbial counts of whole-plant corn silage were analyzed as 3 × 2 factorial design, 3 × 7 factorial design, and 3 × 4 factorial design during the initial aerobic phase, the intense fermentation phase, and the stable phase, respectively. The model included effects of additives, storage time, and their interaction. Differences among additives, and among storage times, were analyzed with the GLIM procedure of SAS. The interaction of additives and storage time was analyzed using the PDIF procedure of SAS. The correlation between bacterial genera and pH were performed by R version 3.6.1 using the OmicStudio tools at https://www.omicstudio.cn/tool.

RESULTS
Characteristic of LAB Suspension
Whole-plant corn silages for preparing LAB suspension had lower pH and less LAB count than E. sibiricus silages (P < 0.05). Compared to LAB suspension-ES, the LAB suspension-CS had higher pH and lower LAB count (P < 0.05) (Table 1).

The dominant genera in LAB suspension-CS were Lactobacillus, Weissella, Lachnoclostridium_5, and Clostridium_sensu_stricto_12 with abundances of 40.3, 37.6, 16.6, and 5.01%, respectively. However, Lactobacillus was the most predominant genus in LAB suspension-ES (96.4%), followed by Pediococcus and Clostridium_sensu_stricto_12
TABLE 1 | pH and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) counts of whole-plant corn silage and Elymus sibiricus silage (log_{10} colony-forming units/g fresh weight), and of LAB suspension (log_{10} colony-forming units/ml) prepared from the two silages.

| Items                  | Whole-plant corn silage | Elymus sibiricus silage | SEM     | P value       |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------|
| Silages pH              | 3.51b                   | 4.71a                   | 0.0128  | <0.0001      |
| LAB                    | 6.09b                   | 7.59a                   | 0.0398  | <0.0001      |
| LAB suspension pH      | 4.55a                   | 3.76b                   | 0.0176  | <0.0001      |
| LAB                    | 8.49b                   | 9.02a                   | 0.0251  | <0.0001      |

Values with different lowercase letters show significant differences (P < 0.05). SEM, standard error of means.

The initial aerobic phase of CSL was longer than that of CK and ESL (P < 0.05) (Figure 2). There was no interactive effect detected between storage time and additives.

During this phase, the predominant bacterial genera in CK, CSL, and ESL were Pantoea, Pseudomonas, Sphingomonas, unclassified Gammaproteobacteria, Klebsiella, Rahnella, Enterobacter, and Chryseobacterium with more than 1% of LAB suspension-CS and LAB suspension-ES (P < 0.05) (Figure 1B).
Intense Fermentation Phase
During this phase, the pH and the coliform count decreased (P < 0.05), while the counts of LAB, bacteria, and yeast increased (P < 0.05). The ESL had lower pH at A and 10 h, and higher pH at 3 h than CK and CSL (P < 0.05). Comparing with CK, the CSL and ESL had lower pH after 24 h (P < 0.05), and higher LAB count from 3 h to 2 days (P < 0.05). The storage time impacted pH and counts of LAB, coliforms, bacteria, and yeast (P < 0.05); the additives (LAB suspension) affected pH and counts of LAB, bacteria, and yeast (P < 0.05), which were also interactively influenced by storage time and additives (P < 0.05) (Table 3).

During this phase, the abundance of Lactobacillus in CK and CSL increased to 70.5 and 84.5% at 3 days, respectively; however, Weissella increased to 42.4 and 33.8% at 10 h and then decreased to 4.05 and 2.10% at 3 days, respectively. Additionally, Lactococcus and Leuconostoc in CK and CSL went up in the first 24 h and then turned down. Pediococcus in CK increased to 3.94% at 2 days and then decreased to 2.28% at 3 days. Pediococcus in CSL increased to 2.85% at 24 h and then reduced to 1.34% at 3 days. In the ESL, Lactobacillus increased to 62.3% at 2 days and then decreased to 49.9% at 3 days; moreover, Weissella, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, and Pediococcus went up in the first 24 h and down at 2 days, and then went up a little bit at 3 days. Pantoea in CK and ESL went down during this phase, while in CSL, it increased to 37.0% at 3 h and then went down to 0.67% at 3 days. Moreover, Klebsiella and Enterobacter in CK, CSL, and ESL went up in the first 5 h and then turned down (Figure 5).

Stable Phase
During this phase, the pH and the counts of LAB, yeast, coliforms, and bacteria decreased in CK, CSL, and ESL (P < 0.05). The CSL and ESL had lower pH than CK, and ESL contained more yeast count than CK and CSL (P < 0.05). The storage time and the additives had an effect on pH (P < 0.05) (Table 4). During this phase, the abundance of Lactobacillus reached 80.5% in CK and 85.1% in CSL at 10 days, and 80.1% in ESL at 30 days, and then reduced to 57.9, 61.8, and 48.7% at 60 days, respectively. The opposite trends were observed in Weissella (reduced to 1.81 and 1.26% in CK and CSL at 10 days and 2.19% in ESL at 30 days, respectively) and Leuconostoc (reduced to 0.83 and 0.66% in CK and CSL at 10 days and 0.86% in ESL at 30 days, respectively). Lactococcus in CK, CSL, and ES decreased to 0.85, 0.51, and 0.52% at 60 days, respectively. Pediococcus went down to 1.57% in CK and to 0.49% in ESL at 30 days and then up to 2.04

Table 2: Dry matter (DM, g/kg) and microbial counts (log_{10} colony-forming units/g fresh weight) of whole-plant corn silage during the initial aerobic phase.

| Items               | CK  | CSL | ESL | SEM | P value |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| DM                  | 0 h | 389 | 364 | 378 | 6.2533  | 0.0612  |
|                     | A   | 385 | 369 | 372 | 5.1200  | 0.1141  |
| pH                  | 0 h | 7.56| 8.32| 7.88| 0.2032  | 0.0770  |
|                     | A   | 6.83| 6.65| 6.55| 0.1023  | 0.6735  |
| Yeasts              | 0 h | 6.19| 6.38| 6.93| 0.1909  | 0.0473  |
|                     | A   | 6.59| 6.68| 6.65| 0.1008  | 0.5425  |
| Coliforms           | 0 h | 7.20| 7.53| 7.47| 0.0669  | 0.0174  |
|                     | A   | 7.22| 7.42| 7.53| 0.0751  | 0.0504  |
| Bacteria            | 0 h | 7.56| 8.32| 7.88| 0.2032  | 0.0770  |
|                     | A   | 7.61| 8.28| 7.86| 0.2377  | 0.1853  |
Values with different lowercase letters (a and b) indicate significant differences among treatments on the same time. Values with different uppercase letters (A and B) indicate significant differences between 0 h and anaerobic state (A). CK, whole-plant corn silage without any lactic acid bacterial suspensions; CSL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage; ESL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from Elymus sibiricus silage. A, anaerobic state. SEM, standard error of means.

Graph 2: Initial aerobic phase time (min) of whole-plant corn silages. CK, whole-plant corn silage without any lactic acid bacterial suspensions; CSL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage; ESL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from Elymus sibiricus silage. Values with different lowercase letters show significant differences (P < 0.05).
and 0.92% at 60 days, respectively, and that in CSL decreased to 0.57% at 60 days (Figure 6).

**Correlations Between Main Bacterial Genera and pH**

From 0 to 5 h, the most dominant bacterial genus was *Pantoea* in silages with LAB population as minor taxa (Figures 3, 5). The pH had negative correlations with *Weissella*, *Leuconostoc*, *Lactococcus*, *Pediococcus*, and *Lachnoclostridium_5*. The pH had positive correlations with *Pseudomonas* and *Lactobacillus* and negatively with *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* (P < 0.05). *Pseudomonas* correlated positively with *Weissella* and *Enterobacter* (P < 0.05). *Pseudomonas* correlated positively with *Pantoea* and unclassified *Gammaproteobacteria* (P < 0.05) and had negative correlation with *Weissella* (P < 0.05) (Figures 7A, B).

The most dominant bacterial genus was *Weissella* from 5 to 24 h in silages with LAB population increasing rapidly (Figure 5). The pH had negative correlations with *Weissella*, *Lactococcus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Pediococcus* (P < 0.05), and positive correlations with *Klebsiella*, *Pantoea*, *Enterobacter*, and *Sphingomonas* (P < 0.05). *Weissella* correlated positively with *Lactococcus* and *Leuconostoc* (P < 0.05) and negatively with *Klebsiella*, *Pantoea*, *Enterobacter*, and *Sphingomonas* (P < 0.05) (Figure 7C).

*Lactobacillus* dominated the bacterial community from 24 h to 60 days (Figure 6). The pH had negative correlations with *Lactobacillus* and *Sphingomonas* and positive correlations with *Weissella*, *Lactococcus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Pediococcus*, and *Rahnella* (P < 0.05). *Lactobacillus* correlated negatively with *Weissella*, *Klebsiella*, *Lactococcus*, *Pantoea*, *Leuconostoc*, *Enterobacter*, and *Pediococcus* (P < 0.05) (Figure 7C).

**DISCUSSION**

Whole-plant corn silages for preparing LAB suspension had lower pH and less LAB count than *E. sibiricus* silages; moreover, LAB suspension-CS contained higher pH and lower LAB count than LAB suspension-ES (Table 1). Those suggested that the LAB in LAB suspension-CS might have good acid tolerance, but the poor capacity of producing acid and proliferation. *Lactobacillus* was the most predominant bacterial genus in LAB suspension-CS and LAB suspension-ES (Figure 1). Additionally, LAB suspension-CS contained greater abundances of *Weissella*, *Lachnoclostridium_5*, and *Clostridium_sensu_stricto_12* than ESL, which might be due to their inhibited activity by lower pH in LAB suspension-ES (Table 1). *Lachnoclostridium_5* and *Clostridium_sensu_stricto_12* were also detected in whole-plant corn silage (Keshri et al., 2018) and in mulberry leaf silage and stylo silage (He et al., 2020). The optimum condition for *Lachnoclostridium* growth is neutral to alkaline pH and 20–63°C, and the main fermentation product is acetate by fermenting mono- and disaccharides (Yutin and Galperin, 2013). The high moisture and pH (>4.0) in LAB suspension-CS might provide the satisfactory growing condition for *Clostridium* that is an undesirable...
FIGURE 4 | Difference in bacterial communities (genus level) between before ensiling (0 h) and at anaerobic state (A) in whole-plant corn silages without any lactic acid bacterial suspensions (CK, A), and treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage (CSL, B) and from Elymus abricus silage (ESL, C).
### TABLE 3 | Dry matter (DM, g/kg) and microbial counts (log_{10} colony-forming units/g fresh weight) of whole-plant corn silage during the intense fermentation phase.

| Items | CK | CSL | ESL | SEM | P value | Interaction |
|-------|----|-----|-----|-----|---------|-------------|
| DM    | A  | 385 | 369ab| 372b | 5.1200 | 0.1141 | *** | * |
|       | 3 h| 386 | 375a | 379ab| 5.1160 | 0.4881 |     |   |
|       | 5 h| 392A| 359abB| 377abA| 4.8634 | 0.0035 |     |   |
|       | 10 h| 388A| 348bB | 380abA| 3.3344 | <0.0001 |     |   |
|       | 24 h| 391A| 365abB| 391aA | 4.6015 | 0.0048 |     |   |
|       | 2 days| 379 | 374a | 375ab | 3.5375 | 0.5541 |     |   |
|       | 3 days| 382 | 371ab | 379abA| 4.6533 | 0.2643 |     |   |
|       | SEM | 4.2870 | 5.8195 | 3.7257 |       |       |     |   |
| pH    | A  | 6.14aA| 6.03aAB| 5.94bB | 0.0340 | 0.0080 | *** | *** | *** |
|       | 3 h| 5.95bB | 5.94bB | 6.02aA | 0.0129 | 0.0036 |     |   |
|       | 5 h| 5.58c   | 5.63c   | 5.53c   | 0.0235 | 0.0550 |     |   |
|       | 10 h| 5.11dA | 5.10dA | 4.89dB  | 0.0463 | 0.0135 |     |   |
|       | 24 h| 4.83eA | 4.53eB | 4.58eAB | 0.0178 | 0.0137 |     |   |
|       | 2 d | 4.25fA | 4.04fC | 4.14fB  | 0.0090 | <0.0001 |     |   |
|       | 3 d | 4.01gA | 3.83gB | 3.86gB  | 0.0109 | <0.0001 |     |   |
|       | SEM | 0.0744 | 0.0797 | 0.0780 |       |       |     |   |
| Lactic acid bacteria | A  | 6.59d | 6.68d | 6.55d | 0.1023 | 0.6735 | *** | *** | *** |
|       | 3 h| 6.78dB | 7.24cA | 7.30cA | 0.1039 | 0.0123 |     |   |
|       | 5 h| 6.35cB | 8.76bA | 9.08bA | 0.0924 | 0.0024 |     |   |
|       | 10 h| 8.70bB | 9.37aA | 9.41aA | 0.0530 | <0.0001 |     |   |
|       | 24 h| 9.24aB | 9.44aA | 9.57aA | 0.0488 | 0.0028 |     |   |
|       | 2 d | 9.26aB | 9.41aA | 9.44aA | 0.0454 | 0.0048 |     |   |
|       | 3 d | 9.26aB | 9.52a  | 9.46a   | 0.0700 | 0.0957 |     |   |
|       | SEM | 0.0776 | 0.0659 | 0.0770 |       |       |     |   |
| Yeast | A  | 6.90f | 7.05d | 6.90d | 0.1098 | 0.5425 | *** | *** | *** |
|       | 3 h| 6.84f | 7.00d | 6.78d | 0.0920 | 0.2630 |     |   |
|       | 5 h| 7.17eB | 7.77cA | 7.38cB | 0.0996 | 0.0065 |     |   |
|       | 10 h| 7.63dB | 8.24bA | 8.15bA | 0.0734 | 0.0005 |     |   |
|       | 24 h| 8.10cC | 8.27bB | 8.42aA | 0.0444 | 0.0022 |     |   |
|       | 2 d | 8.36dB | 8.42bA | 8.43aA | 0.0120 | 0.0053 |     |   |
|       | 3 d | 8.62aC | 8.78aA | 8.68bA | 0.0097 | <0.0001 |     |   |
|       | SEM | 0.0776 | 0.0659 | 0.0770 |       |       |     |   |
| Coliforms | A  | 7.22a | 7.42a | 7.53a | 0.0751 | 0.0504 | *** |   |
|       | 3 h| 7.54a | 7.37a | 7.64a | 0.1017 | 0.2256 |     |   |
|       | 5 h| 7.39a | 7.49a | 7.61a | 0.0775 | 0.1830 |     |   |
|       | 10 h| 7.71a | 7.73a | 7.87a | 0.0628 | 0.1966 |     |   |
|       | 24 h| 7.65aA | 7.42bA | 7.69aA | 0.0603 | 0.0223 |     |   |
|       | 2 d | 5.77bA | 4.31bB | 5.79bA | 0.0954 | <0.0001 |     |   |
|       | 3 d | 3.23c | 3.37b | 2.67c | 0.7648 | 0.7929 |     |   |
|       | SEM | 0.1781 | 0.4451 | 0.1935 |       |       |     |   |
| Bacteria | A  | 7.61f | 8.28c | 7.86e | 0.2377 | 0.1853 | *** | *** | *** |
|       | 3 h| 7.70ef | 7.80d | 7.84e | 0.0526 | 0.2051 |     |   |
|       | 5 h| 7.88eB | 8.70bcA | 8.77bA | 0.0614 | <0.0001 |     |   |
|       | 10 h| 8.27dB | 8.91abA | 8.97cA | 0.0285 | <0.0001 |     |   |
|       | 24 h| 8.94c  | 9.14ab | 9.21b  | 0.0920 | 0.1559 |     |   |
|       | 2 d | 9.19bf | 9.40aA | 9.47aA | 0.0524 | 0.0112 |     |   |
|       | 3 d | 9.39a | 9.45a | 9.51a | 0.0796 | 0.5857 |     |   |
|       | SEM | 0.0639 | 0.1621 | 0.0673 |       |       |     |   |

Values with different lowercase letters (a, b, . . . g) indicate significant differences among anaerobic state, 3, 5, 10, 24 h, 2 days, and 3 days after ensiling of each treatment. Values with different uppercase letters (A, B, and C) indicate significant differences among treatments on the same time during the intense fermentation phase. CK, whole-plant corn silage without any lactic acid bacterial suspensions; CSL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage; ESL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from Elymus sibiricus silage. A, anaerobic state. SEM, standard error of means. *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001.
microorganism that endangered the fermentation quality of silage (Carvalho-Estrada et al., 2020).

The ensiling process was divided into the initial aerobic phase, the intense fermentation phase, the stable phase, and the aerobic feed-out to better understand the main reactions in silage (Weinberg and Muck, 1996). Ávila and Carvalho (2019) reported the main microorganisms in each phase of fermentation process. In the present study, the initial aerobic phase, the intense

FIGURE 5 | Relative abundance of bacterial communities (genus level) in whole-plant corn silages during the intense fermentation phase. CK, whole-plant corn silage without any lactic acid bacterial suspensions; CSL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage; ESL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from Elymus sibiricus silage; A, anaerobic state.
**TABLE 4** | Dry matter (DM, g/kg) and microbial counts (log$_{10}$ colony-forming units/g fresh weight) of whole-plant corn silage during the stable phase.

| Items          | CK        | CSL       | ESL       | SEM        | $P$ value | Interaction |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|
|                | DM        | 382ab     | 371       | 379        | 4.6533    | 0.2643      | **          |
|                | 3 days    | 373b      | 379       | 381        | 3.4621    | 0.3005      |             |
|                | 10 days   | 387a      | 378       | 380        | 2.8565    | 0.0992      |             |
|                | 60 days   | 388aA     | 369B      | 389A       | 3.9423    | 0.0106      |             |
|                | SEM       | 3.3796    | 4.0575    | 3.8884     | 0.0364    | 0.2538      | **          |
|                | $P$ value |           |           |            | 0.0354    | 0.2538      | **          |
|                | pH        | 4.01aA    | 3.83bB    | 3.86aB     | 0.0107    | <0.0001     | ***         |
|                | 3 days    | 3.85b     | 3.82b     | 3.83a      | 0.0082    | 0.0550      | ***         |
|                | 10 days   | 3.90bA    | 3.87aB    | 3.86aB     | 0.0067    | 0.0136      | ***         |
|                | 60 days   | 3.65c     | 3.58c     | 3.58b      | 0.0206    | 0.0725      | ***         |
|                | SEM       | 0.0149    | 0.0103    | 0.0128     |           |             |             |
|                | $P$ value |           |           |            | <0.0001   | <0.0001     | <0.0001     |
| Lactic acid bacteria | 3 days | 9.26a     | 9.52a     | 9.46a      | 0.0699    | 0.0597      | ***         |
|                | 10 days   | 8.71b     | 8.99b     | 8.94b      | 0.1188    | 0.2561      |             |
|                | 30 days   | 8.30c     | 8.17c     | 8.20c      | 0.0675    | 0.4381      |             |
|                | 60 days   | 7.68d     | 7.64d     | 7.70d      | 0.0321    | 0.4029      |             |
|                | SEM       | 0.1040    | 0.0463    | 0.0741     |           |             |             |
|                | $P$ value |           |           |            | <0.0001   | <0.0001     | <0.0001     |
| Yeast          | 3 days    | 8.62aC    | 8.78aA    | 8.68aB     | 0.0097    | <0.0001     | ***         |
|                | 10 days   | 8.33bB    | 8.37bB    | 8.47aA     | 0.0173    | 0.0007      |             |
|                | 30 days   | 8.03c     | 7.95c     | 7.99b      | 0.1116    | 0.8877      |             |
|                | 60 days   | 7.23d     | 7.21d     | 7.19c      | 0.0982    | 0.9544      |             |
|                | SEM       | 0.0601    | 0.0799    | 0.0829     |           |             |             |
|                | $P$ value |           |           |            | <0.0001   | <0.0001     | <0.0001     |
| Coliforms      | 3 days    | 3.23a     | 3.37a     | 2.67a      | 0.7648    | 0.7929      | ***         |
|                | 10 days   | ND        | ND        | ND         | –         | –           |             |
|                | 30 days   | ND        | ND        | ND         | –         | –           |             |
|                | 60 days   | ND        | ND        | ND         | –         | –           |             |
|                | SEM       | 0.2101    | 0.5817    | 0.2369     |           |             |             |
|                | $P$ value |           |           |            | <0.0001   | <0.0001     | <0.0001     |
| Bacteria       | 3 days    | 9.39a     | 9.45a     | 9.51a      | 0.0796    | 0.5857      | ***         |
|                | 10 days   | 8.71b     | 8.85b     | 8.64b      | 0.1030    | 0.3807      |             |
|                | 30 days   | 8.12c     | 8.14c     | 8.18c      | 0.0729    | 0.8331      |             |
|                | 60 days   | 7.19d     | 6.91d     | 7.25d      | 0.1089    | 0.1248      |             |
|                | SEM       | 0.0969    | 0.0876    | 0.0923     |           |             |             |
|                | $P$ value |           |           |            | <0.0001   | <0.0001     | <0.0001     |

Values with different lowercase letters (a, b, c, and d) indicate significant differences among 3, 10, 30, and 60 days after ensiling of each treatment. Values with different uppercase letters (A, B, and C) indicate significant differences among treatments on the same time during the stable phase. ND, no detected. CK, whole-plant corn silage without any lactic acid bacterial suspensions; CSL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage; ESL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from Elymus sibiricus silage. SEM, standard error of means. **, $P<0.01$; ***, $P<0.001$.

fermentation phase, and the stable phase were determined by the oxygen content, the increasing LAB count (pH > 4.0), and the decreasing LAB count (pH < 4.0), respectively.

The LAB suspensions were cultured in MRS broth anaerobically; however, the LAB was suddenly exposed to oxygen after spraying on ensiling materials. Because of the decreased oxygen-scavenging ability, the complete absence of the oxygen-scavenging system, as well as the accumulation of toxic oxygen metabolites (Zhang and Cai, 2014), the LAB counts in CSL and ESL decreased during the initial aerobic phase (Table 2). Nevertheless, the original epiphytic LAB on whole-plant corn might have the greater oxygen-scavenging ability, owing to the constant exposure to air before ensiling, which resulted in an increasing LAB count in CK during this phase (Table 2). The respiration of aerobic microorganisms and plant cells, and the fermentation of facultatively aerobic or anaerobic bacteria occur synchronously in silage during the initial aerobic phase (Ávila and Carvalho, 2019); those cooperating with plant and microbial proteolytic enzymes cause proteolysis and increase of the buffer capacity in silage (Tao et al., 2012). In the present study, *Pseudomonas* presented in silage with considerable abundance (Figure 3) and can produce proteolytic enzymes and result in
FIGURE 6 | Relative abundance of bacterial communities (genus level) in whole-plant corn silages during the stable phase. CK, whole-plant corn silage without any lactic acid bacterial suspensions; CSL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from whole-plant corn silage; ESL, whole-plant corn silage treated with lactic acid bacterial suspensions prepared from Elymus sibiricus silage.
protein degradation (Scatamburlo et al., 2015; Paludetti et al., 2020). Those might result in an increase of pH during the initial aerobic phase (Table 2). Additionally, the respiration is much greater than the fermentation and the initial aerobic phase might be mainly depending on the aerobic respiration in silages (Avila and Carvalho, 2019). In the current study, the duration of the initial aerobic phase was 85, 109, and 90 min in CK, CSL, and ESL, respectively (Figure 2), with 34.6, 25.2, and 0.95% of aerobic bacteria, and 28.2, 57.6, and 86.2% of facultatively anaerobic bacteria in materials, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1). The effect of the respiration of plants and microbes on the initial aerobic phase needs further research. After ensiling, the initial microbial activity is mostly aerobic microflora and then inhibited by an anaerobic or/sufficiently acidic environment in silage (Dunière et al., 2013). In this study, during the initial aerobic phase, the abundances of Pantoea, Klebsiella, Rahnella, Erwinia, and Serratia increased in CK, CSL, and ESL, as well as Enterobacter in CK and CSL (Figures 3, 4). Nevertheless, the LAB population (Weissella, Enterococcus, Lactobacillus, and Pediococcus) present in the silages as minor taxa (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 2A), and the bacterial counts increased in CK and decreased in CSL and ESL (Table 2). Those implied that Enterobacteriaceae and Erwiniaeae represented the major aerobic bacterial activity and LABs have weak activity in whole-plant corn silages during the initial aerobic phase.

During the intense fermentation phase, the most dominant bacterial genus was Pantoea from A to 3 h or 5 h with pH >5.5, Weissella from 10 to 24 h with pH >4.50 and <5.2, and Lactobacillus after 2 days with pH ≤4.25, respectively (Figure 5 and Table 3). Those implied that two shifts of the most predominant bacterial genus (from Pantoea to Weissella and then to Lactobacillus) occurred in whole-plant corn silages during this phase. Similarly, Keshri et al. (2018) found that the most dominant bacterial genus in whole-plant corn silages was Acinetobacter in the first 3 h, Weissella from 5 h to 1 day, and then Lactobacillus after ensiling for 2 days. The LAB count increased exponentially from A to 24 h (Table 3); the abundance of Weissella, Lactococcus, and Leuconostoc had increased before 10 h in CK, CSL, and ESL, while the abundance of Lactobacillus in CK reduced in the first 10 h while it decreased in CSL and ESL in the first 5 h after that they increased (Supplementary Figure 3). Moreover, the CSL and ESL contained greater Lactobacillus than CK at 10 h, 24 h, and 2 days (Supplementary Figure 2), and lower pH at 10 h, 24 h, 2 days, and 3 days.
In the first 5 h after ensiling, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Erwinia* dominated bacterial community in whole-plant corn silage with LAB population as minor taxa pH > 5.5 (Supplementary Figure 4 and Tables 2, 3). The abundance of *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* increased (Figures 3, 5) because *Enterobacteriaceae* thrived in anaerobic and weak acidic condition (pH > 5.4) (McGarvey et al., 2013). Additionally, *Enterobacteriaceae* could ferment water-soluble carbohydrates and lactic acid to acetic acid or other products in silage (Ni et al., 2017). Those might explain the correlation of *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* with pH and acetic acid (Figure 7A). The *Weissella, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc*, and *Lactobacillus* had negative correlation with pH (Figures 7A, B) and their abundances went up during this time (Supplementary Table 1). Nevertheless, the LAB population was minor taxa in the first 3 h and the abundance of *Lactobacillus* in LAB population decreased in the first 5 h (Supplementary Figure 3B). Those indicated that the activity of *Weissella, Lactococcus*, and *Leuconostoc* might be the main reason for reducing the pH in whole-plant corn silage in the first 5 h. From 5 to 24 h, the LAB population had a rapidly increasing abundance and dominated the bacterial community after 10 h (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 3). *Weissella, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Lactobacillus,* and *Pedicoccus* had negative correlation with pH (Figure 7C). Additionally, *Weissella* had greater abundance than other LAB genera (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 3). Those suggested that the reducing pH of whole-plant corn silage was due to the activity of *Weissella* cooperating with *Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Lactobacillus,* and *Pedicoccus* during this time. *Klebsiella, Pantoea, Enterobacter,* and *Sphingomonas* had a reducing abundance and a positive correlation with pH from 5 to 24 h (Figures 5, 7C), indicating that their activity might be inhibited under the acidic condition (pH < 5.4). After 24 h, the pH had negative correlation with *Lactobacillus* dominating the bacterial community and correlated positively with *Weissella, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Pedicoccus,* and *Pantoea* (Figures 5, 6, 7D and Supplementary Figure 3). Those suggested that the activity of *Lactobacillus* caused the reducing pH, and the other LAB genera and *Pantoea* might be restrained effectively by the acidic environment (pH < 4.2). Moreover, Xu et al. (2020) also detected a negative correlation between pH and *Lactobacillus* sp. in whole-plant corn silage. Based on the above analysis between the pH and LAB population, the LAB fermentation relay occurred from *Weissella, Lactococcus,* and *Leuconostoc* (LAB genera as minor taxa) to *Weissella, Lactococcus,* and *Leuconostoc.*
Lactobacillus, and Pediococcus (LAB genera rising rapidly), and then to Lactobacillus (LAB genera dominating).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Lactobacillus, Weissella, and Lachnocolastridium were the predominant bacterial genera in LAB suspensions at the initial aerobic phase, the pH and the abundances of Pantoea, Klebsiella, Rahmella, Erwinia, and Serratia increased. During the intense fermentation phase, the pH decreased rapidly and the microbial counts increased exponentially; the most predominant bacterial genus shifted from Pantoea to Weissella and then to Lactobacillus; the LAB suspensions promoted microbial succession and LAB suspension-ES was more effective. During the stable phase, the pH and microbial counts decreased, and Lactobacillus dominated bacterial community. The LAB fermentation relay occurred from Weissella, Lactococcus, and Leuconostoc to Weissella, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Lactobacillus, and Pediococcus, and then to Lactobacillus during the anaerobic fermentation process of whole-plant corn silage.
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