Surface-associated microbes continue to surprise us in their sophisticated strategies for assembling biofilm communities
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Introduction
Recent work in biofilm research has brought certain topics to the fore. Several labs have made key contributions to our understanding of how surfaces are sensed by bacteria (highlighted in Figure 1). These reports relate the signal transduction events involved in linking adherence to a specific physiological response. Scientists are very interested in discerning the molecular mechanisms involved in responding to surfaces as a way to combat biofilm formation for pathogenic species.

The composition and function of biofilm EPS vary significantly among organisms and this has been the topic of several recent reviews [1-6]. Here, we will summarize recently described, exciting properties of one biofilm matrix component, exopolysaccharides (PSs). Three interrelated topics will be discussed: (a) linking PS structure with biological function; (b) PS signaling properties and (c) the roles of PSs in promoting biofilm morphology and behavior.

In addition, the importance of the secondary messenger, cyclic dimeric guanosine monophosphate (cyclic-di-GMP), in controlling the transition between biofilm and planktonic lifestyles is becoming increasingly apparent for many Gram-negative species [7-9]. The appearance of genetic variants with altered colony morphologies has been reported for a number of bacterial species and these variants have been linked to disease, cyclic-di-GMP signaling and altered PS expression profiles. This short report will also address these themes with a focus on the model species Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Recent insight into surface sensing
It is becoming increasingly clear that a wide variety of bacterial species are capable of sensing surfaces and adjusting their physiology accordingly. This is obviously important in that it allows the bacterium to transition rapidly to a biofilm lifestyle. Surface sensing presents an interesting challenge to bacteria. A surface represents a physical stimuli to a bacterium that encounters it; thus,
the cell must in some way perceive such surface contact and transduce a signal.

There have been a number of exciting studies investigating the mechanisms involving surface sensing at early stages of biofilm development. These studies have revealed that different species employ similar mechanisms for surface sensing. A recent study by the Brun lab demonstrated that surface interactions result in pilus-mediated impairment of flagellar rotation in the well-studied alpha Proteobacteria, *Caulobacter crescentus*, and *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* [10]. This results in the rapid production of polar PSs, which is important for the initial steps promoting tight, irreversible binding of bacteria to a surface. Further experiments by Fuqua *et al.* have shown that this response to a surface involves an increase in cyclic-di-GMP signaling [11].

In *Bacillus subtilis*, surface attachment also impairs the rotation of the flagellum. This in turn stimulates the DegS/U two-component system, which is a key regulator for biofilm formation in this organism [12]. An important mechanism for this species controls the initiation of biofilm formation and has been described by the Kearns lab, which found that a glycosyl transferase, EpsE, plays a dual role in controlling flagellar activity and biofilm EPS production [13,14]. In this system, EpsE can act as a flagellar “clutch” by glycosylating the flagellum and inhibiting motility. This is in addition to playing a role in biofilm PS production. Thus, *B. subtilis* has at least two
systems governing the transition from the free swimming to the biofilm mode of growth.

Collectively, these studies reinforce the importance of coordinating the expression of swimming motility functions and the production of biofilms. They also call to mind the seminal studies in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* [15,16]. These studies, like some of those mentioned above, related inhibition of polar flagellar rotation as a key signal perceived on a surface. In this instance, rotation of the flagellum promoted the expression of lateral flagella. Lateral flagella expression was shown to be a key feature of surface motility for this species. Recent work from the McCarter lab has demonstrated the role of c-di-GMP sensing and PS production as a part of the surface-sensing process [17].

It is important to note that studies by other labs have identified surface-sensing mechanisms that appear to be distinct from those involving flagellar motility. In *P. aeruginosa*, the Harwood lab has identified a chemotaxis-like sensing system important for abiotic surface sensing [18,19]. The Wsp system involves a distinct methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCP)/chemotaxis-like system that is involved in surface sensing. Once activated, the output of this system is a cyclic-di-GMP synthase called WspR. Thus, the Wsp system becomes activated upon surface contact, and this in turn stimulates cyclic-di-GMP signaling and biofilm formation. Another motility-independent surface-sensing mechanism involves the Cpx two-component system in *Escherichia coli* [20]. This system responds to different types of membrane stress and it appears that surface contact induces its activity. These studies suggest that surface sensing may involve different mechanisms implicated in detecting different aspects of surface association. Thus, there is potential that multiple features of surface interaction are capable of inducing a physiological response of a given cell to a surface.

**Roles of exopolysaccharides in promoting biofilm morphology and behavior**

While the roles of PSs in promoting adhesion and biofilm structure are well accepted, less is known of the roles PSs play in other surface-related processes. Biofilm formation in most organisms is believed to be a developmental process whereby individual cells migrate both to and on a surface and initiate a complex, yet coordinated, behavioral process ultimately resulting in a mature structure [4,21]. Our understanding of biofilm behavior has been inspired by parallel developmental and morphological systems studied in *Myxococcus, Bacillus*, and the *Streptomyces*. Recent parallel work in two microbial biofilm systems has provided mechanistic insights into how cooperative motility and PSs intersect.

*B. subtilis* has long been known to produce the molecule surfactin, which aids the bacterial colonies to spread on a surface in the absence of flow [22]. This spreading results from the hydration of secreted EPS [23]. Until recently, whether surfactin-mediated effects impact *B. subtilis* biofilm development was not known. Studies by Angelini *et al.* showed that surfactin is released in “waves” and this generates surface tension gradients that promote cooperative spreading [24]. The surface tension gradients developed were dependent on the bacterial biofilm geometry, which in turn required specific PS production. This results in a concentration gradient of surfactin distribution that results in surface tension forces that pull the biofilm cells outward, away from the colony center.

Recent studies from three groups have revealed similar behavior in *P. aeruginosa* involving the coordination of type IV pilin-dependent motility with extracellular DNA (eDNA) or PSs [25-27]. Two of these studies utilized individual cell tracking algorithms to monitor the behavior of each cell during the migration of newly colonized surfaces. Collectively, the reports show that coordinated groups of *P. aeruginosa* migrate along surfaces and create “furrows” or “trails” along which following cells preferentially migrate, providing positive feedback to the community. Cells actively released eDNA [25] or the PS Psl [26,27], which was necessary for the formation of furrows or trails, respectively. This behavior appears to be stimulated by nutritional deprivation that occurs within the microcolony [26]. Psl trails have been shown to impact the surface behavior of cells that subsequently encounter these trails. Future work is required to elucidate which specific signal coupled Psl/eDNA release and type IV pilin-dependent motility and how the signals regulate these two phenomena. This may in some ways be similar to the PS trails deposited by *Myxococcus xanthus* as it swarms on an agar surface.

**Exopolysaccharide signaling properties**

It has long been recognized that mammals are capable of specifically responding to microbial-derived PSs. Conserved molecular patterns present in the cell wall PSs of bacteria and fungi bind to germ line-encoded receptors on host cells. For example, bacterial peptidoglycan and fungal mannans or beta-glucans are recognized by Toll-like receptor-2 and -4 or C-type lectins, respectively. Recognition of these PS molecules leads to activation of host signaling pathways and the production of antimicrobial compounds [28,29]. Similar recognition and signaling occurs in plant-microbe interactions [30]. A recent discovery that certain plant-derived PSs trigger *B. subtilis* biofilm formation on plant roots provides another example of PS-derived signaling [31]. These cases illustrate the interplay of PS signals on the dynamic
nature of microbe-host interactions. A new twist on PS-mediated signaling involving only prokaryotes has recently emerged in *P. aeruginosa* [32]. Depending upon the conditions, biofilms produced by this organism require one or more of three distinct PSs synthesized by the proteins encoded by the *alg, pel*, or *psl* gene clusters [33]. Irie et al. discovered that, in addition to playing a major structural role in biofilms, the self-produced matrix PS Psl acts as an extracellular signal to activate further matrix PS production [34]. Although the details regarding the pathway of Psl recognition and signaling remain to be discovered, Psl stimulated SadC and SiaD, two diguanylate cyclases that produced elevated levels of cyclic-di-GMP. Because elevated cyclic-di-GMP further stimulates matrix polysaccharide production [35], this regulatory circuit constitutes a unique feed-forward loop. These findings indicate that this biofilm matrix PS does not simply play a passive structural or protective role for biofilm cells. Instead, Psl appears to be a dynamic structure with signaling properties in some ways similar to those of the extracellular matrix of eukaryotic tissues.

**Linking exopolysaccharide structure with biological function**

PSs provide critical functions within biofilms. These include adhesion, cohesion, and aggregation, a barrier that protects cells against antimicrobials or biocides, retention of fluids and nutrients, and a role as a structural scaffold for the community. Several recent studies that defined interactions of biofilm matrix proteins with PSs or eDNA have provided mechanistic insights into how specific the biofilm matrix can modulate these disparate activities.

One such seminal study employed an *in vivo* labeling strategy coupled with super-resolution imaging microscopy to visualize interactions of four *V. cholerae* biofilm matrix components in living and developing biofilms [36]. *Vibrio* *sp.* are natural aquatic organisms but can establish symbiotic or pathogenic interactions with eukaryotes. For *V. cholerae*, biofilm formation is a critical component of the transmission cycle as surface water in cholera-endemic areas harbor large aggregates of these bacteria bound to chitin on a variety of seawater fauna, including crabs, shrimp, and zooplankton [37]. These biofilms consist of at least three matrix proteins, RbmA, Bap1, and RbmC, along with the Vps PS. Berk and colleagues [36] showed that these components promoted three levels of spatial structure: cells, clusters of cells, and clusters of clusters. Cells were organized into clusters with boundaries defined by three-dimensional envelopes of Vps, RbmC, and Bap1. However, RbmA was found throughout the biofilm structure, presumably promoting clustering of cells and aggregates of cells. The amounts and timing of production of each component were critical as mutations in genes encoding these molecules greatly impacted biofilm structure.

Another elegant example linking biofilm structure with function involves the *B. subtilis* EPS matrix protein, BslA. *B. subtilis* biofilms are involved in a mutually beneficial association with plant surfaces. The matrix consists of PSs, amyloid-like proteins containing the structural protein TasA and an accessory protein BslA [38]. The surface of *B. subtilis* biofilms is extremely hydrophobic and displays persistent resistance to liquid, gas, and antimicrobial infiltration. However, until recently, it was unclear how the assembly of these matrix components resulted in biofilm structures with such resiliency. Two independent reports revealed that BslA is a major contributor to the hydrophobic surface properties of *B. subtilis* biofilms [39,40]. BslA was localized to the biofilm matrix via PS interactions and formed a shell around the periphery of biofilms [39,40]. The structure of BslA revealed it to be a member of the immunoglobulin (Ig) superfamily with numerous solvent-exposed hydrophobic amino acids that were critical for BslA-mediated biofilm structure and repulsion activities [39].

Recent studies with several bacteria have revealed eDNA as a critical component of the biofilm matrix (reviewed in [41]). Biofilm eDNA can be derived either from lysed bacterial cells in the community or from neutrophils that release it during a process known as NETosis [42]. While biofilms formed by some bacteria contain eDNA arranged in unique patterns [25,43], it is not clear how eDNA interacts with cells and other matrix components to promote biofilm structure. New studies have linked the DNABII family of DNA-binding proteins (e.g. integration host factor, or IHF) in promoting structural integrity of biofilms through its interaction with eDNA [44-47]. Moreover, treatment of biofilms formed by numerous bacteria with anti-IHF resulted in significant decreases in biofilm biomass and integrity, and active IHF immunization of animals experimentally infected with nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* biofilms enhanced disease resolution [44].

**Colony morphology variants linking biofilms to disease**

For several bacterial species, colony morphology variants have been isolated that exhibit elevated PS production. For example, colony morphology variants of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Burkholderia sp.*, *E. coli*, and *V. cholerae* have been isolated from infections caused by these species.
In several cases, the appearance of these colony variants has been correlated to disease and several of these variants exhibit biofilm-linked traits and heightened tolerance to antibiotic treatment and host defenses. Collectively, these studies indicate that the appearance of colony variants may represent a general adaptive strategy to the disease environment.


P. aeruginosa commonly undergoes a phenotypic conversion from a nonmucoid to mucoid colony morphology [48-51] during cystic fibrosis (CF) airway infections. Mucoid colonies result from the overproduction of alginate, a PS that confers a selective advantage to the CF environment. Another colony morphology variant observed in CF is the rugose small-colony variant (RSCV) phenotype. RSCVs are actively selected for in CF and result from mutations that promote the overexpression of the Pel and Psl PS. RSCVs display increased biofilm formation and heightened resistance to antibiotics, suggesting that they represent a persistent subpopulation in CF [52-55]. RSCVs are also associated with increased tolerance of aminoglycoside, an important class of antibiotics used in the prophylactic therapy of patients with CF [53].

Recent findings have shed some light on the genetic basis for the RSCV phenotype. Mutations resulting in elevated levels of cyclic-di-GMP can cause the RSCV phenotype. Four sets of genetic elements have been linked to the RSCV phenotype in P. aeruginosa: the usp operon, the tpb (also denoted aws or yfi) loci, dsbA, and genes of the rsm signaling pathway [19,56-60]. Both usp and tpb loci contain a gene encoding a diguanylate cyclase, a type of enzyme that synthesizes cyclic-di-GMP. Mutations derepressing the activity of these diguanylate cyclases cause the increase in cyclic-di-GMP that results in the RSCV phenotype. DsbA is thought to modulate cyclic-di-GMP signaling by mediating the correct folding of a periplasmic repressor of the diguanylate cyclase TpbB. It is not yet clear how mutations in the rsm pathway are linked to cyclic-di-GMP signaling. RSCVs harboring mutations in the usp or yfi loci have also been observed among CF isolates [61].

The adaptive advantage of the RSCV phenotype appears to be multifactorial. Besides promoting biofilm formation, these variants have other advantages, which should promote chronic infection. The RSCV phenotype has been shown to promote both oxygen utilization and maintenance of redox balance in cells growing under microaerobic conditions (likely similar to those encountered in the CF airways) [62,63]. In addition, experiments have shown that RSCVs reduce the oxidative burst produced by neutrophils compared with parental non-mucoid strains [64]. These experiments suggest that these variants are particularly well adapted to evading the host immune system.

Conclusions

Biofilm research continues to reveal interesting aspects of bacterial life on a surface. In this commentary, we have highlighted some of the recent interesting work that indicates that biofilm formation is an intricate process involving the sensing of surface-related stimuli and the production of an extracellular matrix that is surprisingly complex in its structure and function. The early days of biofilm research questioned whether the process involved a developmental program. It is now clear that it does indeed and that there are several general trends emerging that are important for a number of different species. The role of biofilms in disease is becoming clearer, and several newly identified features of biofilms contribute to the process. The next few years should be illuminating as researchers continue to unravel important aspects of biofilm development.
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