Insect High Five™ cell line development using site-specific flipase recombination technology
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Abstract

Insect Trichoplusia ni High Five™ (Hi5) cells have been widely explored for production of heterologous proteins, traditionally mostly using the lytic baculovirus expression vector system (BEVS), and more recently using virus-free transient gene expression systems. Stable expression in such host cells would circumvent the drawbacks associated with both systems when it comes to scale-up and implementation of more efficient high-cell density process modes for the manufacturing of biologics. In this study, we combined Flipase (Flp) recombinase-mediated cassette exchange (RMCE) with fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for generating a stable master clonal Hi5 cell line with the flexibility to express single or multiple proteins of interest from a tagged genomic locus. The 3-step protocol herein implemented consisted of (i) introducing the RMCE docking cassette into the cell genome by random integration followed by selection in Hygromycin B and FACS (Hi5-tagging population), (ii) eliminating cells tagged in loci with low recombination efficiency by transfecting the tagging population with an eGFP-containing target cassette followed by selection in G418 and FACS (Hi5-RMCE population), and (iii) isolation of pure eGFP-expressing cells by FACS and expansion to suspension cultures (Hi5-RMCE master clone). Exchangeability of the locus in the master clone was demonstrated in small-scale suspension cultures by replacing the target cassette by one containing a single protein (i.e., iCherry, as an intracellular protein model) or two proteins (i.e., influenza HA and M1 for virus-like particles production, as an extracellular protein model). Overall, the stable insect Hi5 cell platform herein assembled has the potential to assist and accelerate biologics development.

Keywords: stable expression; insect Hi5 cells; RMCE; FACS; influenza VLPs

Introduction

The superiority of insect cells over bacteria or yeast for expression of complex proteins [e.g., glycoproteins, G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), virus-like particles (VLPs), and “difficult-to-express” mammalian proteins] is well-demonstrated (Stolt-Bergner et al. 2018). When considering insect cells for the production of recombinant proteins to meet pharmaceutical needs (Cox 2012; Krammer and Palese 2015), or to feed structural, functional and drug screening studies, the leading choice is the Baculovirus Expression Vector System (BEVS) (Assenberg et al. 2013; Fernández and Vega 2013). The BEVS is flexible, rapid and often generates high titers of complex and multi-subunit gene products during the late phase of viral infection, even from the most challenging cellular locations (such as cell membranes, among others) (Drugmand et al. 2012). It is used to produce the approved commercial vaccine FluBlok for human use (Krammer and Palese 2015). Nevertheless, generating and preserving high-quality recombinant baculovirus is rather time-consuming and labor-intensive. Moreover, reports indicate that membrane and secreted proteins are frequently expressed poorly and heterogeneously (McCarroll and King 1997), suggesting that the insect cell secretory pathway may be compromised due to the virus infection process (Ailor and Betenbaugh 1999). Degradation of produced recombinant proteins is also likely to occur due to the release of intracellular proteases from the virus-lysed host cells, thus reducing recovery of the overexpressed protein (Summers 1991; Farrell et al. 1998).

Virus-free expression in insect cells has been increasingly exploited to circumvent BEVS-related drawbacks. Transient transfection is a promising approach to produce a wide variety of recombinant proteins in short periods, suitable for target screening and at early stages of the development of biopharmaceutical products (Puente-Massaguer et al. 2018). However, it has several limitations when it comes to scale-up (e.g., need for high DNA amounts, mixing of DNA with the transfection reagent, partial-to-complete medium replacement prior to transfection), commonly leading to increased manufacturing costs and batch-to-batch variability (Ansorge et al. 2009; Gutiérrez-Granados et al., 2016; Bleckmann et al. 2019; Fuenmayor et al. 2019). Stable gene expression from a recombinant cell line has several advantages over transient transfection, including lower demand of plasmid DNA and transfection reagent, higher batch-to-batch consistency and easier scale-up.
One of the critical steps when developing a stable cell line is the rapid selection of cell clones which stably express high quantities of the gene of interest (GOI). This can be a time-consuming and labor-intensive task if classical cell line development methods are used (Bleckmann et al. 2016). Recombinase-mediated cassette exchange (RMCE) techniques can foster cell line generation by eliminating the need for single cell cloning and cell line screening, the most time-consuming steps (Qiao et al. 2009). In a classical RMCE approach, the sequence of steps involves (i) random integration of a reporter cassette (tagging cassette) in the parental cells’ genome, (ii) limiting dilution following by screening of isolated clones with a desired trait (e.g., growth performance and high expression levels of the transgene from a single locus to meet the long term stability), and (iii) evaluation of clones’ ability to support RMCE, identifying those that can be used to express the GOI by means of targeted integration. The latter task is labor-, time-, and cost-intensive, mainly because many of the clones selected at this stage turn out to be incapable of supporting cassette replacement (Vidigal et al. 2018). To lessen the probability of selecting such “useless” clones, analyzing a higher number of cells at the population level and rapidly selecting those showing amenability for cassette replacement with high-throughput screening methods (e.g., fluorescence-activated cell sorting—FACS) may be an option. This would allow for the identification of loci supporting both high transgene expression and high Flip-mediated recombination efficiency (the so-called “hot-spots”). In addition, the RMCE reaction at the population level (before moving to subcloning) would allow further enrichment by FACS of a population of cells that are both high expressing and amenable to cassette exchange.

In this study, we applied the aforementioned concept (i.e., RMCE combined with FACS) and implemented for the first time Fip-RMCE in insect His cells, generating a stable master clonal cell line with flexibility to express (single and multiple) proteins of interest from a tagged genomic locus. As proof of concept, iCherry (as an intracellular protein product) and Influenza-VLPs displaying M1 core protein and HA antigen (as an extracellular protein product) were produced in this platform.

**Materials and methods**

**Plasmid design and construction**

The primers and templates used in plasmid construction are described in Supplementary information (see Supplementary Table S1). All restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB) and used according to manufacturer’s instructions. The polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were carried out using the Phusion DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and cloning reactions were conducted using the In-Fusion HD Cloning Plus kit (Clontech). All inserts were verified by Sanger sequencing.

**Generation of pTarget-eGFP-neo:**

Enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) gene with a polyA from the OpMNPV ie-2 gene was amplified from pTarget-OpIE2-eGFP and the neomycin gene was amplified from pTag-OpIE2-dsRed (Fernandes et al. 2012). The vector backbone fragment containing F5 and Fwt was amplified from the pTarget-OpIE2-eGFP cassette. The three fragments were cloned simultaneously using the InFusion HD Cloning Plus kit (Clontech) to generate the pTarget-eGFP-neo vector (GenBank MW246027).

**Generation of pTarget-eGFP-iFlp-neo:**

The hr5-AcIE1 promoter together with the iFlp gene and ie1 terminator were cloned between the eGFP and neomycin genes of pTarget-eGFP-neo upon SflI/PacI digestion to generate pTarget-eGFP-iFlp-neo vector (Genbank MW246026).

**Generation of pTarget-iCherry-zeo:**

The HR5-AcIE1 promoter, the ie1-term and the OpIE2 promoter were cloned into a SacI fragment of the pTarget-eGFP-neo vector amplified from a vector kindly provided by RedBiotech (Switzerland). The vector backbone fragment containing F5 and Fwt was amplified from the pTarget-OpIE2-eGFP cassette. The three fragments were cloned simultaneously using the InFusion HD Cloning Plus kit (Clontech) to generate the pTarget-iCherry-zeo vector (GenBank MW246028).

**Generation of pFluTarget:**

The HA and M1 genes from a subtype H3 Influenza virus strain were PCR amplified from a vector kindly provided by Redbiotech AG (Switzerland). The HA gene was cloned into a NotI plZT/VS-His plasmid, resulting in plZT-HA. M1 gene was cloned into a SacI digested plZT/VS-His plasmid, resulting in plZT-HA and plZT-M1, respectively. The amplified fragment containing the OpIE2 promoter, HA gene, and polyA from plZT-HA was cloned into plZT-M1 linearized by inverted PCR, generating the plZT-M1-HA vector. Finally, the iCherry gene was excised from the pTarget-iCherry-zeo vector using Ndel and PacI, and replaced by the fragment containing OpIE2 promoter, M1 gene, polyA, OpIE2 promoter, HA gene, and polyA, which was amplified from plZT-M1-HA.

**Cell lines and culture media**

Trichoplusia ni BTI-TN5B1-4 (High Five™, His) cells (Cat. no. B855-02, Invitrogen Corporation, Paisley, UK) were cultured in Insect-XPRESS™ (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) media. The routine culture was performed in 125 or 500 mL shake flasks (10% working volume) at 100 rpm in an Innova 44 R incubator (Eppendorf) at 27 °C. Cells were sub-cultured to 0.2–0.3×10⁸ cell/mL every 3–4 days.
Cell transfection with the pTagging-iCherry and pTagging-iCherry-hyg

To randomly integrate the pTagging-iCherry or pTagging-iCherry-hyg cassettes in the genome of Hi5 cells, parental cells were transfected at 0.3×10^6 cell/mL with 0.3μg of pTagging-iCherry or pTagging-iCherry-hyg, using 8μL of Cellfectin® II Reagent (Invitrogen) per 1×10^6 cells, defined as 1 unit of transfection (u.t.). Cells transfected with pTagging-iCherry-hyg were submitted to antibiotic selection after 48 hours post-transfection (hpt) in medium containing Hygromycin B (200μg/mL, InvivoGen).

Flp-mediated cassette exchange

Flp-mediated cassette exchange was performed in shake flask cultures, using a protocol described elsewhere (Fernandes et al. 2012). The antibiotic selection marker (G418 300μg/mL or Zeocin 200μg/mL, InvivoGen) was added 48 hpt. When the cell viability dropped below 60% (about 120 hpt), the cells were transferred to six-well plates (BD Falcon™) and kept in selection. Cell expansion in adherent was performed until cells achieved enough cell number and high cell viability to be able to grow in suspension.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting

Cells were sorted in a MoFlo high-speed cell sorter (Beckman Coulter, Fort Collins, USA) using a previously developed protocol (Vidigal et al. 2013). Cell populations expressing iCherry or eGFP were collected into ~1 mL of PBS, also supplemented with PF68 maintained at 4°C. After sorting, cells were pelleted (200×g for 10 minutes), seeded in 6 well plates (BD Falcon™), and kept for one week in culture medium with Antibiotic–Antimycotic (Invitrogen). Single cells resulting from the sorting of the Hi5-eGFP population were collected into 96 well plates (BD Falcon™) and kept in selection. Cell expansion in adherent was performed until cells achieved enough cell number and high cell viability to be able to grow in suspension.

Analytics

Cell concentration and viability:

Cell concentration and viability were analyzed by trypan blue exclusion method daily. Cell counting was performed in a Fuchs-Rosenthal hemocytometer chamber (Brand, Wertheim, Germany) or in the Cedex HiRes Analyzer (Roche, Germany).

Flow cytometry:

Calculated eGFP fluorescence for the FL1 channel (emission filter: 520±5 nm) and iCherry by using the FL5 channel (emission filter 630±22 nm). Fluorescence intensity from 10 000 events per sample was collected and analyzed using the FlowMax (2009, Quantum Analysis GmbH) and FlowJo™ (2019, Becton, Dickinson, and Company), respectively.

Western blot:

Culture samples were centrifuged at 200×g for 10 minutes and supernatants were collected and stored at −20°C until further analysis. eGFP and iCherry were analyzed in cellular extracts after cell lysis using I-PER™, according to manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). M1 and HA were analyzed in culture supernatants. For M1, 250μL sample was precipitated in cold ethanol (1:4 parts) and resuspended in loading buffer (42μL); after denaturation, 20μL/well was applied in the gel. For HA, 15μL/well of the supernatant was loaded. Proteins were denatured at 95°C for 5 minutes, separated under reducing conditions in a 4–12% SDS gel (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and transferred to a nitrocellulose (eGFP) or a PVDF membrane (iCherry, M1, and HA) using iBlot® Transfer Stack (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For eGFP identification, membrane was incubated with an anti-GFP monoclonal antibody (dil. 1:2000) (Sigma) during 2 hours at room temperature. For iCherry identification, membrane was incubated with an anti-dsRed polyclonal antibody (dil. 1:1000, Clontech) during 2 hours at room temperature. For M1 protein identification, membrane was incubated with a goat polyclonal antibody (dil. 1:2000) (Abcam, Cat#: ab20910) during 1 hour at room temperature. For HA identification, membrane was incubated with a combination of two polyclonal antibodies (dil. 1:2000) from National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC) for 1 hour at room temperature. As secondary antibodies, an anti-mouse (dil. 1:5000) (Sigma, Cat#: A5420), an anti-rabbit (dil. 1:5000) (GE Healthcare, catalog #NA931-1ML), an anti-goat IgG (dil. 1:2000) (Life Technologies, Cat# 81-1620, and an anti-sheep (dil. 1:5000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# sc-2473) antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase labeling were used for 1 hour incubation at room temperature. Protein band detection was performed with the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (Amersham Biosciences) and captured digitally with no illumination for chemiluminescence using the ChemiDoc XR, Bio-Rad. Molecular weight marker SeeBlue® Plus 2 pre-stained standard 1x (Invitrogen) was used and stain-free imaging was performed using ChemiDoc™ XR, Bio-Rad. The equal-sized transsects images obtained by the ChemiDoc™ XR system were superimposed to display the molecular weight marker and protein bands in the same image. The GFP standard (Sigma) was used as a positive control.

Genomic DNA extraction:

To confirm the presence of the tagging or target cassettes in clones, genomic DNA was extracted using an in-house protocol. Briefly, 8×10^6 cells were pelleted and then added to a solution of 1 mL of Bradley’s solution, 5μL of proteinase K and 2μL of RNase, followed by overnight incubation at 55°C. Bradley’s solution is composed by 10 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.5), 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaCl and 0.5% SDS. 250μL of 5 mM NaCl was added for 5 minutes on ice, and the solution centrifuged for 15 minutes at 10,000 rpm. Isopropanol was added to the resulting supernatant to precipitate DNA. After centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded, the pellet was washed with 1.2 mL of 70% cold ethanol and allowed to air-dry. Pellet was dissolved in nuclease-free water.
Genomic PCR:
PCR was performed using 100 ng of DNA and amplification was done as described above with primer annealing at 69°C and extension step at 72°C for 2 minutes and 51 seconds. Forward and reverse primer sequences to cover the entire tagging cassette, target cassette from the OpIE2 promoter to the OpIE1 promoter (GCCGCGCGTTATCTCATGCGC and GCCGTTGGTGGCGTGAAGCATGTA) or both, were designed. Agarose gel electrophoresis was performed in a 0.7% agarose concentration for 1 hour at 90 V, and the NZY DNA Ladder III (NZYTech; ref. MB04402) was used.

Hemagglutination assay:
The assay used is a plate-based assay in which the concentration of HA in bulk samples can be determined by comparing the hemagglutination profile of these samples with that of a standard of known HA concentration. Briefly, samples were twofold serially diluted in PBS and incubated at 4°C for 30 minutes with 25 μL of 1% chicken red blood cells (RBC) (Lohmann Tierzucht GMBH, Germany). Hemagglutination of RBC was identified by the formation of a network (lattice structure) of interconnected RBC and HA (positive results); if there is not enough HA to bind to RBC, they settle to the bottom of the well (negative result). As standard, an influenza vaccine with a known HA concentration was added to each assay experiment. The HA titer of a sample was determined by calculating the maximum dilution that gave a positive outcome and comparing it to the one obtained for the standard.

Data availability
Plasmid sequences and annotations have been deposited in the GenBank database (accession numbers MW246023, MW246024, MW246025, MW246026, MW246027, and MW246028). The vector pFluTarget is proprietary rights and its sequence can be specified upon request. Supplementary Table S1 contains the primers used to amplify the fragments for plasmid constructions and restriction enzymes used for vector digestion. Cell populations and cell lines generated in this study are the property of Instituto de Biologia Experimental e Tecnológica (IBET) and will be provided upon request addressed to arol恐惧da@ibet.pt. Supplementary material is available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.14544066.

Results
Establishment of a master Hi5-RMCE clonal cell line
Generation of a high expression tagging cell population:
A two-step process was used to generate a tagging cell population with high iCherry expression (named “Hi5-tagging population” from now on): (i) the RMCE docking cassette (pTagging-iCherry) was introduced into the genome of insect Hi5 cells by random integration, and (ii) the resulting tagging cell population was sorted by FACS to select the top 30% iCherry-expressing cells (Figure 1, step 1). The RMCE tagging cassette comprises the iCherry (mCherry codon-optimized for insect cells) gene flanked by the heterologous flipase recognition target (FRT) sites Fwt and F5, with the promoter driving its expression (POpIE2) placed upstream of the Fwt site. Flow cytometric analysis of transfected Hi5 cells (so-called unsorted tagging pool) over 4 consecutive passages showed that the intensity of iCherry fluorescence signal and the number of iCherry positive cells rapidly decreased along passages (Figure 2A), suggesting that stringent selection methods should be applied at a tagging population level to limit such loss of expression. Therefore, the pTagging-iCherry vector was modified to include the hygromycin selection marker gene inside the FRT flanking region, to be expressed from the OpIE1 promoter placed downstream of the F5 site. Parental Hi5 cells transfected with this vector and Hygromycin B (hygro) selected during one week were sorted by FACS gating for the top 30% iCherry expressing cells.

Figure 1 Establishment of Hi5-RMCE master clone and producer cells. Scheme describing the generation of Hi5-RMCE master clones (steps 1–3) and Hi5-RMCE producer cells (step 4). In the 1st RMCE, the tagging cassette vector, encoding the iCherry reporter and the hygromycin selection marker flanked by FRT sites Fwt (Fwt) and FRT F5 (F5) and OpIE2 and OpIE1 promoters, is randomly integrated into the parental cell genome. Flipase RMCE of the FRT-flanked eGFP target cassette sequences results in expression of the eGFP reporter gene along with the expression of the G418 selection marker gene. In the 2nd RMCE, the target cassette including the GOI and the zeocin selection marker gene is integrated into the locus through Flp-RMCE.
(Figure 2B). The stability of the resulting sorted tagging population was evaluated along time by comparing (i) the levels of iCherry expression given by the geometric mean fluorescence intensity (Geo MFI), and (ii) the percentage of iCherry positive cells given by flow cytometry analysis (Figure 2C). The percentage of iCherry positive cells in the sorted population remained above 96%, and the obtained Geo MFI values are relatively constant, around 2 AU (arbitrary units).

Generation of a cell population amenable to Flp-RMCE:
A high eGFP-expressing cell population amenable to Flp-RMCE (named “Hi5-RMCE population” from now on) was generated using a two-step process: (i) Flp-RMCE to introduce the eGFP-encoding “exchange cassette” into the docking sites of the Hi5-tagging population, and (ii) FACS for selection of high eGFP-expressing cells (Figure 1, step 2).

Two different Flp-RMCE strategies were evaluated: (i) single-transfection in which besides eGFP and the G418 selection marker, also iFlp is delivered in the “exchange cassette”; and, (ii) co-transfection in which iFlp is delivered in a second plasmid. The “exchange cassette” is flanked by the same set of FRTs as in the “tagging cassette,” and importantly does not encode promoters for eGFP and G418 selection marker. Therefore, the eGFP and G418 genes are only expressed when cassette exchange successfully occurs. This design allows for monitoring the efficiency of the recombination process. Different plasmid DNA concentrations and Target: iFlp plasmid ratios were also evaluated. Single-transfection using a DNA concentration of 0.3 μg/u.t. proved to be the most efficient strategy, with the highest percentage of eGFP positive cells amongst all conditions screened (Figure 3A). This population of cells was then placed under selective pressure (G418) for 3 weeks (Figure 3B, unsorted pool), sorted for eGFP positive and iCherry negative cells, and enriched for the top 1% eGFP expressing cells (Figure 3B, sorted pool). The sorted population showed stability along passages, with a constant percentage of eGFP positive cells (>93%) and expression of eGFP (average Geo MFI of 7 AU) (Figure 3C).

Generation of a high-producer, amenable to Flp-RMCE cell clone:
To generate a high-producer, amenable to Flp-RMCE cell clone (named “Hi5-RMCE master clone” from now on), the Hi5-RMCE population was subjected to a second round of FACS for single cell seeding into 96 well plates (Figure 1, step 3). Monoclonal cells were monitored by fluorescence microscopy and nonstable positive cells, cells with low eGFP expression and cells with slow growth rate were discarded. The remaining colonies were expanded, and four of them were characterized in suspension cultures. Site-specific integration of eGFP target cassette into the locus was confirmed by genomic PCR analysis (Figure 4A). Clones were screened for eGFP fluorescence intensity by flow cytometry, with clone #44 reporting the highest value (up to fourfold more than in the sorted pool) (Figure 4B) and no iCherry fluorescence signal (Figure 4C). Notably, all isolated clones showed stable eGFP expression over several weeks and were single-positive as determined by flow cytometry (data not shown).

The exchangeability of the locus in Hi5-RMCE clone #44 was assessed by replacing the existing eGFP target cassette by another
containing iCherry and a zeocin (zeo) resistance marker—Hi5-RMCE producer cells (Figure 1, step 4). Flow cytometry data shows that constitutive iFlp expression levels are insufficient to promote cassette exchange as no iCherry positive cells were obtained after 72 hpt (Figure 4D). To overcome this bottleneck, co-transfection of the iCherry target cassette together with iFlp plasmid was attempted and it proved to be successful. The highest percentage of iCherry positive cells was observed with a Target DNA concentration of 0.3 \( \mu \text{g/u.t.} \) and Target: iFlp plasmid ratio of 1:3. Transfected cells were placed under selective pressure for 3 weeks, after which an isogenic population of iCherry-positive and eGFP-negative cells was obtained (Figure 4E). Clone #44 displayed promising results in terms of RMCE locus accessibility and ease of generating an isogenic population after zeo selection, and therefore was further characterized regarding cell growth (Figure 4F) and eGFP expression (Figure 4G). The growth kinetics of the Hi5-RMCE master clone is typical of a parental, nonengineered insect (Hi5) cell line, but with a growth rate significantly lower (\( \mu = 0.029 \text{ h}^{-1} \) vs \( \mu = 0.041 \text{ h}^{-1} \)). The expression of eGFP was confirmed by flow cytometry, and a typical Gaussian-like distribution was observed, consistent with the clonal origin of Hi5-RMCE master clone. Importantly, the levels achieved are similar to those obtained for iCherry in Hi5-RMCE producer cells (Supplementary Figure S1).
Production of influenza HA-VLPs

The feasibility of the Hi5-RMCE master clone herein developed for expression of heterologous proteins was demonstrated with the production of influenza HA-VLPs. To do this, a target cassette containing the genes coding for HA (the antigen) and M1 (the scaffold) influenza proteins was constructed and used for RMCE in the master cell line (named "Hi5-RMCE producer cells" from now on) (Figure 1, step 4). Upon 3 weeks in zeo selection, Hi5-RMCE producer cells lost their eGFP fluorescence, thus confirming successful cassette exchange (Figure 5A). The growth kinetics of these cells was assessed and compared to that of the Hi5-RMCE master clone (Figure 5B). The differences were (i) reduced cell growth rate ($\mu = 0.025 \text{ h}^{-1}$ vs $\mu = 0.029 \text{ h}^{-1}$), and (ii) lower peak cell concentration ($4 \times 10^6 \text{ cell/mL}$ vs $8 \times 10^6 \text{ cell/mL}$). The expression of M1 and HA proteins was assessed by Western blot, and both proteins, with the expected molecular weight (28 and 68 kDa, respectively), could be identified in supernatant samples collected from shake flask cultures (Figure 5C). Expression of HA was also assessed by hemagglutination assay, and a HA titer of 1 HAU/mL was reached after day 4 (Figure 5D). The results obtained confirm the feasibility of the Hi5-RMCE master clone herein developed for the production of influenza HA-VLPs.

Discussion

In this study, we have combined RMCE technology with FACS for the generation of a stable insect Hi5-RMCE master clone with flexibility to express single or multiple proteins of interest from a tagged genomic locus. To achieve this goal, a protocol for selection of Hi5 clones with high recombinant protein expression and amenable to RMCE was devised. The first round of cassette exchange was performed at the tagging population level, with subcloning of high expressing cells prone to RMCE done afterward by FACS to discard cells tagged in loci that do not support RMCE. The co-selection of high eGFP-expressing and RMCE competent clones reduced significantly the number of clones to screen and subsequently the time needed from gene transfer to protein production (Hacker and Balasubramanian 2016). Similar strategies have been applied before to other cell hosts to achieve comparable outcomes (Qiao et al. 2009; Baser et al. 2016; Vidigal et al. 2018).

Gene expression stability is one of the key properties of an engineered cell line. For example, stable expression in suspension cultures reduces batch-to-batch variability and makes process scale-up easier. More importantly, process intensification becomes possible by changing from batch to perfusion or continuous cultures (Zitzmann et al. 2017). In this study, the tagging cassette was randomly integrated into parental Hi5 cell’s genome (guaranteed by the selection with Hygromycin B), and not as an episomal element, thus limiting the loss of GOI expression over time (Fernandes et al. 2012). Indeed, the percentage of positive cells and fluorescence intensities of reporter proteins expressed by the Hi5-tagging population, Hi5-RMCE population, and the Hi5-RMCE master clone remained constant along culture passages, hence demonstrating the potential of insect Hi5 cells for stable protein production and their scale-up.

Another desired attribute in cell line development is the integration of a single copy of the transgene into cell’s genome.
is because when multiple copies are integrated homologous recombination can occur potentially leading to loss of expression of the GOI over time and/or deletion of large regions of the genome host that compromise cell line integrity (Lakso et al. 1996). In this study, the strategy devised encloses a two-step selection process (i.e., FACS and antibiotic) following RMCE with the objective of minimizing the generation of clones with multiple copies. Although the results presented confirm the inexistence of copies of tagging and target cassettes simultaneously in HIS-RMCE master clone genome (which otherwise would per se indicate multicopy integration), the possibility of a second (or more) copy of the target cassette in its genome cannot be ruled out. To ascertain this, karyotyping by Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH), digital PCR or Southern blot could have been used. However, these methods rely on the availability of detailed genomic information of the host cell line, which for insect Hi5 cells is currently lacking (Fu et al. 2018; Talsania et al. 2019). PCR-based sequencing assays [e.g., inverse PCR, splinkerette-PCR (Potter and Luo 2010; Mabashi-Asazuma and Jarvis 2017), linearization amplification mediated PCR, targeted locus amplification] or whole-genome sequencing are alternatives to karyotyping, being routinely used to identify integration sites of the transgene and determine the number of copies present in the genome. This holds true for cell lines whose genome sequence is very complete and well noted, allowing the design of efficient primers and probes as well as the selection of internal references, which is as mentioned above not yet the case for insect Hi5 cells. Therefore, and with the genomic information available at the moment, none of these techniques would have provided a conclusive answer (at maximum a hint or hypothesis) to whether there is one or multiple insertions. New developments in omics technologies [e.g., single-cell RNA sequencing (Zhang et al. 2015; Feng et al. 2020)] will be critical to assist on the complete genome assembly and annotation of the insect Hi5 cell line (incl. impact of cis and trans acting regulatory elements on transgene expression) and thus allow the use of these techniques for estimation of the copy number of inserted target cassette in the HIS-RMCE master clone.

Clonality is another key property of an engineered cell line. Having a producer cell line with high assurance of monoclonality is essential for the approval of a given product by regulatory agencies (Frye et al. 2016). In this study, subcloning after the first RMCE resulted in clones with defined integration sites which are prone to iFlp-RMCE. These clones have RMCE-integration loci that facilitate generation of isogenic cell lines (Oumard et al. 2006; Balasubramanian et al. 2016). Moreover, due to its reusable locus, clones can be rapidly converted into producer cells by RMCE, thus avoiding the risk of stochastic gene expression resulting from random gene integration (Fernandes et al. 2012). The final RMCE generates an isogenic cell pool with stable expression of the protein(s) of interest, avoiding additional subcloning steps.

The design of the exchange cassette was crucial for success of the RMCE/FACS strategy herein implemented. A “promoter trap” based approach was followed, where strong promoters are placed outside the FRT sites and ultimately drive the expression of the GOI and/or resistance gene, so that stringent selection procedures could be enforced (i.e., combining antibiotic pressure and FACS). This promoter-less exchange cassette prevents simultaneous expression of the GOI and the antibiotic resistance gene when the plasmid randomly integrates into other genomic sites besides the pre-selected site. Also, this design provides flexibility for protein expression as not only the expression locus can be used but also the target protein(s) can be expressed in combination with the accessory proteins or scaffolds of interest.

The number of transient transfection protocols for Hi5 cells has been steadily increasing. However, most still require high DNA amounts, usually in the range of 1–28 μg of plasmid DNA per 10^6 cells (Ogay et al. 2006; Shen et al. 2015; Mori et al. 2017; Bleckmann et al. 2019). The stable cell line herein developed required a reduced amount of plasmid DNA (0.3 μg Target DNA per 10^6 cells) to be delivered to the cell in a single transfection reaction. The ratio and absolute quantities of the exchange and recombinase plasmids influence cassette exchange efficiency (Figures 3A and 4C) and an excess of Flp plasmid in relation to the exchange cassette was used as recommended in other RMCE studies (Lauth et al. 2002; Schebelle et al. 2010; Sorrell et al. 2010; Vidigal et al. 2013). Moreover, greater efficiency in the Flp-RMCE was obtained when the iFlp was delivered as part of the exchange cassette. Although a direct comparison between single transfection and co-transfection has not been reported so far, delivery of Flp in the same plasmid as the exchange cassette has been reported in other systems (Oumard et al. 2006; Vidigal et al. 2018).

The potential of the Hi5-RMCE master clone herein established was demonstrated by expressing Influenza HA-VLPs from its tagged locus. Although the target proteins (i.e., HA and M1) could be identified in the supernatant of cell cultures by Western blot and HA assay, the overall productivity was relatively low suggesting that the GOI may be expressed at residual levels in genomic DNA. One can speculate about the promoter strength and/or silencing of expression locus as plausible reasons for such low expression. However, two pieces of evidence point in the opposite direction: (i) the expression locus was chosen based on the strong constitutive promoter Fools (Fools) that controls the expression of the transgenes (Nehlsen et al. 2011), and (ii) silencing of the expression locus by methylation of the promoters will hardly occur in the cell line herein established as low CpG ratios across the plasmids were introduced. It is then clear that molecular engineering strategies are needed to overcome this bottleneck. For example, the use of alternate start codons may promote higher transcription rates, as it did for CHO (Baumann et al. 2017). To improve activity and stability of the target protein, other insect cell promoters, regulatory elements and/or insulinators may be used (Sarkar et al. 2006; Bleckmann et al. 2015, 2019, Baser et al. 2016; Shirk and Furlong 2016). For the generation of the tagging population, other DNA delivery methods (Yáñez and Porter, 1999) and systems for site-specific integration in transcriptionally active genome regions (e.g., CRISPR/Cas) may be tested. At the process level, tailor-made refeed strategies and bioprocess operations such as perfusion can be explored to increase cell density and subsequently production yields (Fernandes et al. 2014; Sequeira et al. 2018).

Conclusions

This study demonstrates the suitability of RMCE technology and FACS high-throughput screening as a powerful combination for the establishment of a stable Hi5-RMCE master cell clone. The final RMCE results in an isogenic cell pool with stable expression of the protein(s) of interest in a two-step protocol, avoiding additional subcloning steps. It constitutes a valuable expression tool to overcome specific bottlenecks associated with stable cell line development (relying on random integration), baculovirus-based or other transient expression systems, and a fast strategy to produce recombinant proteins to cope with emergent needs of biologics.
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