Characterization of the Gbx1^−/− Mouse Mutant: A Requirement for Gbx1 in Normal Locomotion and Sensorimotor Circuit Development
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Abstract

The Gbx class of homeobox genes encodes DNA binding transcription factors involved in regulation of embryonic central nervous system (CNS) development. Gbx1 is dynamically expressed within spinal neuron progenitor pools and becomes restricted to the dorsal mantle zone by embryonic day (E) 12.5. Here, we provide the first functional analysis of Gbx1. We generated mice containing a conditional Gbx1 allele in which exon 2 that contains the functional homeodomain is flanked with loxP sites (Gbx1^floxed^); Cre-mediated recombination of this allele results in a Gbx1 null allele. In contrast to mice homozygous for a loss-of-function allele of Gbx2, mice homozygous for the Gbx1 null allele, Gbx1^−/−^, are viable and reproductively competent. However, Gbx1^−/−^ mice display a gross locomotive defect that specifically affects hindlimb gait. Analysis of embryos homozygous for the Gbx1 null allele reveals disrupted assembly of the proprioceptive sensorimotor circuit within the spinal cord, and a reduction in ISL1+ ventral motor neurons. These data suggest a functional requirement for Gbx1 in normal development of the neural networks that contribute to locomotion. The generation of this null allele has enabled us to functionally characterize a novel role for Gbx1 in development of the spinal cord.

Introduction

The precise assembly of sensorimotor circuits within the spinal cord during development plays a critical role in defining the control of motor behavior in the mature organism. Each neuronal cell type requires molecular mechanisms that establish progenitor pool sizes and subsequently regulate the differentiation of precursors into unique subpopulations of post-mitotic neurons. Transcriptional networks exist in the developing hindbrain and spinal cord to control the specification, organization and functional properties of neurons, which contribute to motor control systems [1–7]. While many of these developmentally essential genes have been characterized through gene inactivation studies, much work is still needed to elucidate the unique functional capabilities that allow them to contribute to the neural networks that exist within the spinal cord.

Neural circuitry within the spinal cord, a key component to the repertoire of vertebrate motor tasks, is critical in the perception of and reaction to sensory information from the external environment. A characteristic feature of all neuronal transmission within the spinal cord includes the essential involvement of afferent axons, efferent axons, interneurons and motor neurons (MNs). The specific connective arrangement of these important constituents of neural circuitry determines and contributes to the diverse function harbored by neural networks [8,9]. The afferents of neuronal cell bodies that reside within the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) adjacent to the spinal cord project into the dorsal spinal cord, and establish precise connections with interneurons in their target zones. The correct trajectory and establishment of these connections, is critical for processing sensory information from the periphery. The neural cell bodies in the DRG give rise to three major sensory modalities from a heterogeneous population of multipotent neural crest (NC) cells, distinguished only by their unique gene expression. Sensory neuron subtypes include nociceptors that sense pain and express the neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase (Trk) A, mechanoreceptors that sense touch and express TrkB, and proprioceptors that sense spatial anatomical orientation and express TrkC [10,11]. The type, or modality, of sensory stimuli orchestrated is contingent upon the precise connectivity of sensory neurons with subpopulations of interneurons which reside in distinct laminae of the dorsal horn [12]. Specification and patterning of dorsal sensory interneurons requires the differential expression of basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) and homeodomain-containing transcription factors in response to BMP/TGF-β signaling [13]. Development of sensory interneurons within the dorsal spinal cord occurs in two waves of neurogenesis [14,15]. Sensory interneurons that populate deep layers of the dorsal horn are generated in the early phase,
embryonic day (E) 10–E11, from six classes of precursors within the ventricular zone pd1 - pd6 [9,16]. The interneurons that populate the superficial layers of the dorsal horn arise during the late phase of neurogenesis, E12–E13.5, from the most ventral progenitor zones and ultimately generate either inhibitory GABAergic (dILa), or excitatory glutamatergic (dILb) interneurons [14,15].

In contrast to the dorsal spinal cord, the ventral spinal cord is patterned by a gradient of Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) protein secreted by the floorplate and notochord, and contains the core of spinal locomotor circuitry. Cells that reside in five ventral progenitor domains (pMN and pV0 – pV3) are influenced by the differential expression of homeodomain genes and generate motor neurons and four classes of interneurons. Each general class of neuron appears to be comprised of cells capable of differentiating into molecularly distinct neuronal subtypes [5,17,18]. Interestingly, motor control requires interaction between the dorsal and ventral compartments of the spinal cord. For example, while the initial innervation by proprioceptive afferents occurs in the dorsal spinal cord, the ventral spinal cord contains the neurons that regulate the motor output for this somatosensory circuit including the somatic motor neurons, V1-derived inhibitory neurons and V0 commissural interneurons [9,19].

The gastrulation brain homebox (Gbx) class of homeobox genes encode for two DNA binding transcription factors, GBX1 and GBX2. Although Gbx2-/- mice die at the day of birth, analysis of embryos from several species have provided clear evidence that Gbx2 is required in establishment of the midbrain-hindbrain boundary as well as patterning and growth along the anteroposterior axis in the hindbrain [20–24]. In the zebrafish embryo, gbx2 knockdown results in a truncation of the hindbrain region between anterior rhombomere (r) 1 and anterior r3. In addition, severe clustering abnormalities of motor neuron (MN) cell bodies within cranial nerve V, a derivative of r2 and r3, occur [24]. Similar developmental defects have been shown within the anterior hindbrain of Gbx2 deficient mouse embryos, demonstrating an evolutionarily conserved role for Gbx2 in embryonic development [20,21]. In addition, recent fate mapping studies in mouse embryos have demonstrated that some ventral motor neurons as well as dorsal and ventral interneurons of the spinal cord are derived from the Gbx2 lineage [25].

Gbx1 is dynamically expressed within the developing central nervous system and is detected in the neural plate by E8.25. At E10.5, Gbx1 mRNA transcripts are broadly detected within the ventricular zone of the spinal cord, and become restricted to the anterior hindbrain of addition, severe clustering abnormalities of motor neuron (MN) region between anterior rhombomere (r) 1 and anterior r3. In E10.5, Gbx1 nervous system and is detected in the neural plate by E8.25. At E10.5, Gbx1 appears in situ hybridization at E 9.5, using Gbx1 full-length and Gbx1 exon 2-specific probes. A comparison in wild-type embryos shows that expression of full-length (Fig. 2A and D) and exon 2-specific probes (Fig. 2B and E) are identical. Exon 2 expression is absent in Gbx1-/- embryos, indicating that the functional DNA-binding domain has been deleted (Fig. 2C and F). The staining observed in the otic vesicle was due to unspecific trapping of the color precipitate (Fig. 2C, E, F). Gbx1-/- mice are obtained in a ratio in accordance with Mendelian genetics, are fertile, and are as viable through postnatal maturation, as their normal littermate counterparts.

Mice heterozygous for the null mutation displayed no overt behavioral abnormalities. Surprisingly, in Gbx1-/- mice, we observe a locomotive defect that specifically, and bilaterally, affects hindlimb gait (Fig. 3B, Movie S1). Descriptively, the phenotype is characterized as a prolonged step cycle period with overall increased amplitude of the locomotive rhythm. The abnormal gait is observed as early as P15 and persists at a constant level of severity until death of the animal. While the locomotive defect does not progressively exacerbate in mutant mice, the degree to which the phenotype affects different animals varies, ranging from mild to severe (Movie S1).

Ventral Spinal Motor Neurons Appear Specified in Gbx1-/- Embryos

The early onset of Gbx1 expression in the dorsal and ventral ventricular zone of the spinal cord at E9.0–E10.5, suggests a role for Gbx1 in the specification and generation of defined spinal neuronal subpopulations [26]. We performed a series of immunohistochemical analyses to examine the expression of a panel of molecular markers including, basic helix-loop-helix and homeodomain transcription factors, normally expressed within distinct precursor cell populations throughout the dorsal and ventral spinal cord [15]. Comparison of Gbx1+/+ and Gbx1-/- embryos at E10.5 did not reveal any apparent differences in expression of these markers (Fig. 4A–J).
The execution of motor response from sensory stimuli is the result of activated MNs within the ventral spinal cord and subsequent transmission of that signal through axonal projections that target muscles in the periphery. The homeobox gene Hb9, is expressed at the onset of motor neurogenesis, E9.5, where it is essential for the specification of MN cell fate and is maintained postmitotically as a critical factor for MN differentiation [30]. A key indicator of motor neuron differentiation is the expression of a LIM-homeodomain transcription factor, Islet1 (ISL1), just after exiting the cell cycle which consolidates MN cell fate from pMNs [31–33].

To address the defective locomotive phenotype exhibited by Gbx1<sup>−/−</sup> mutants, we analyzed the molecular composition of MN populations within the ventral spinal cord using immunohistochemical analyses during several developmental stages of neural generation. At E10.5–E11.5, no significant difference in expression of HB9 (Fig. 5A, B) and ISL1 (Fig. 5C, D) in ventral motor neurons was observed between Gbx1<sup>+/+</sup> and Gbx1<sup>−/−</sup> mice.
(Figure 4I and J). By E11.5 greater than (85%) of the total pMN population has differentiated into motor neurons [7]. Therefore, these results indicate that the pre-mitotic pMNs that gave rise to the observed postmitotic HB9\(^+\) and ISL\(^+\) ventral spinal MNs at these stages were likely properly specified. Taken together, these data suggest no apparent disruption in the generation of the neuronal population which functions to relay motor output signals to the periphery.

**Figure 2. The functional homeodomain of Gbx1 is deleted in Gbx1\(^{−/−}\) mutants.** (A–F) Whole-mount in situ hybridization for Gbx1 full-length or Gbx1 exon 2 mRNA at embryonic (E) day 9.5. (A–C) Lateral view, dorsal is to the left. (D–F) Dorsal view. (A, D) Gbx1 full-length expression in a Gbx1 WT embryo. Strong Gbx1 expression detected within the anterior hindbrain with a lessening gradient as expression extends caudally. Expression not detected within the otic vesicles. (B and E) Gbx1 exon 2 expression in a Gbx1 WT embryo. Expression of sequence encoding the functional HD of GBX1 recapitulates the pattern detected using the full-length RNA probe. Otic vesicle staining observed within the dorsal view is nonspecific (arrow). (C and F) Gbx1 exon 2 expression in a Gbx1\(^{−/−}\) embryo. No specific staining observed throughout the entire embryo, demonstrating deletion of the G8X1 functional domain. Otic vesicle staining observed is nonspecific (arrows). ov, otic vesicle.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056214.g002

**Gbx1\(^{−/−}\) Embryos Display Abnormal Projection of Proprioceptive Sensory Axons and a Decrease in Peripherin\(^+\) Ventral Motor Neurons**

Since there was no major difference in molecular composition or gross morphological assembly observed of the motor neuron populations in our Gbx1 null mutant when compared to the normal control at E11.5, we sought to examine the neural systems that synchronize somatosensory stimuli and that might address the locomotive phenotype. Peripherin is a type III intermediate filament protein that is abundantly expressed within developing
spinal motor neurons and primary proprioceptive afferent axonal projections in the dorsal spinal cord [34,35]. To examine anatomical constituents of the proprioceptive modality, we analyzed the expression of peripherin by immunohistochemistry in transverse sections of the lumbar spinal cord during mid-embryonic stages. At E14.5, peripherin expression is observed along the length of primary sensory afferents projecting into the dorsal spinal cord through the dorsal root entry zone (DREZ) and marks a subset of ventral motor neurons, in Gbx1+/− embryos (Fig. 6A). This expression profile is altered in Gbx1−/− embryos at E14.5. While peripherin expression persists within the axonal afferents they appear disorganized with several axons extending ectopically (compare white arrow in Fig. 6B and 6A). In addition, we observed a considerable decrease in peripherin expression within the subset of ventrally marked motor neurons (brackets in Fig. 6B). By E15.5, the perturbed assembly of the proprioceptive sensory axon afferents become significantly disarrayed in mutant embryos, displaying a premature termination of ingrowth to their intended target zone and internuclear connection, the Ia interneurons (compare white arrow in Fig. 6D and 6C). Additionally, further examination of peripherin expression within the ventral motor neurons of control and Gbx1−/− embryos demonstrates that the significant decrease in expression persists through E15.5 (Fig. 6D).

The data shown above through mid-embryonic stages, strongly suggest that components of the proprioceptive system are disrupted in spinal cords of Gbx1 null embryos during early stages of motor circuit assembly. However, it is important to note that synaptogenesis and the ontogeny of motor development are not completed until early postnatal stages. To determine if change in the projection of proprioceptive sensory axon afferents persist during late-embryonic and postnatal stages, we assessed the axonal expression of parvalbumin (PV) a marker of proprioceptive neurons. At E17.5 the projection of proprioceptive afferents into the ventral termination zone characteristic of group Ia afferents was nearly absent in Gbx1−/− mutants when compared to controls (Fig. 7A and B).

The first phase of postnatal maturation and synaptogenesis occurs between postnatal day (P) 0 and P8 [36]. Importantly, we show that the dramatic reduction of proprioceptive afferents into the ventral horn of Gbx1 mutants persist through P3, a stage when synaptogenesis and proliferation of proprioceptive synapses occurs [36] (Fig. 7C and D). Taken together, these data demonstrate that essential components of the proprioceptive system are disrupted in Gbx1 null embryos, which may serve as a contributing factor to the locomotive phenotype.

Gbx1−/− Embryos Display a Decrease in Both ISL1+ and ISL1+/Peripherin+ Ventral MNs

Since we observed a decrease in the population of peripherin-immunoreactive ventral motor neurons at a later stage of spinal neural development, we chose to revisit and further examine those populations for ISL1, at comparable developmental stages (E14.5–15.5). At both stages examined, there is a dramatic reduction in ISL1-immunoreactive ventral motor neurons in Gbx1−/− embryos when compared to control embryos (Fig. 8A–D). Quantified measurement of the ISL1 immunohistochemical assay reveals a significant reduction in the total number of ISL1+ cells in the ventral spinal cord (Figure 9A; P<0.0001).

This observation prompted us to investigate whether the subset of motor neurons which lose peripherin immunoreactivity between E14.5–E15.5 are the same subset of motor neurons that lose ISL1 immunoreactivity at the same developmental stage. Thus, we examined spinal cord sections of control and Gbx1 null embryos co-stained with ISL1 and peripherin. The results show co-localization of ISL1 and peripherin in a subset of ventral motor neurons, in mutant and control embryos (Figure 8E–H). We observed a comparable loss in the expression of ISL1 and peripherin co-immunopositive motor neurons in the vMN pool to that of our single staining analyses. This suggests that the reduced population of ventral cell bodies observed in our previous immunohistochemical assays is the same subset of ISL1+ and peripherin+ motor neurons. This conclusion is supported by quantification of the ISL1+ peripherin immunopositive cell bodies, which affirms a marked attenuation of the ventral motor neurons in embryos lacking functional Gbx1 (Figure 9B; P<0.0001). Furthermore, we show that the significant reduction in ventral ISL1+ cells persists through E17.5 in Gbx1−/− embryos (Fig. S1). Together, these results indicate that Gbx1−/− embryos suffer from a severe reduction in the number of vMNs expressing the hallmark motor neuron marker, ISL1, and which also express the axonal growth factor peripherin [37], likely contributing to the locomotive phenotype.

Figure 3. Gbx1−/− mice display a profound locomotive defect severely affecting hindlimb gait. Photograph depiction of the locomotive phenotype observed in a Gbx1−/− 3-month-old mouse (A) compared to Gbx1−/− age-matched control (B). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056214.g003
Characterization of a Murine \textit{Gbx1} Null Allele

| \(Gbx1^{+/-}\) | \(Gbx1^{-/-}\) |
|----------------|----------------|
| A              | B              |
| \text{Pax6 Pax3} \text{E10.5} | \text{E10.5} |
| C              | D              |
| \text{Pax2 Lhx1/5} \text{E10.5} | \text{E10.5} |
| E              | F              |
| \text{En1 Foxd3} \text{E10.5} | \text{E10.5} |
| G              | H              |
| \text{Pax6 Pax3} \text{E10.5} | \text{E10.5} |
| I              | J              |
| \text{Hb9 ISL1} \text{E10.5} | \text{E10.5} |
The Population of Proprioceptive Sensory Neuron Cell Bodies within the Dorsal Root Ganglion Remains Unaffected in Gbx1−/− Mutants

Currently, there are no studies identifying a role for Gbx1 in NC cell development. Recent studies in Xenopus, however, indicate that Gbx2 is the earliest factor in the genetic cascade of NC induction regulated by Wnt signaling [38]. The perturbation of constituents that mediate the internal transmission of the proprioceptive modality in Gbx1−/− embryos prompted us to analyze the NC-derived components that initiate proprioceptive perception. We have previously shown that Gbx1 is expressed in the r4 and r6 NC.
To determine if NC cells are specified and migrate correctly in Gbx12/2 embryos, we examined the expression of the NC marker Sox10 in wild-type and Gbx12/2 embryos at E9.5. We observed no apparent differences in Sox10 expression between wild-type and mutant embryos in the r4/r6 streams or trunk DRG at this stage (Fig. 10A–D). The NC-derived cell bodies functionally responsible for integrating spatial orientation of the organism reside in the DRG. Thus, we examined the DRG for the neurotrophic factor TrkC, which is the molecular marker for proprioceptive sensory neuron cell bodies. TrkC+ neurons are generated during the first two, of three waves of sensory neuron genesis occurring between E9.5–E14.5 [39]. Furthermore, the diversification of sensory subtypes generated during the first and second waves to those that functionally implement proprioceptive stimuli occurs at E14.5, through the co-expression of the RUNX family transcription factor Runx3 and TrkC [40]. Our immunohistochemical analysis showed no overt differences to the morphology of the TrkC+ pool of neurons between our mutant and control animals (Fig. 11A, B). Quantification of the total number of individual TrkC+ cell bodies reinforced the notion that the proprioceptive sensory neuron pool is unaffected in Gbx12/2 mutant embryos (Fig. 11C). This data provides evidence that the population that defines the origin of the proprioceptive modality is properly established in early stages of development in mutant embryos. This implies that disruption to downstream elements of the proprioceptive system is likely the source of molecular and anatomical manipulation that causes hindrance to the neural network that facilitates normal locomotion in Gbx12/2 mice.

Figure 6. Gbx11/−/− embryos display abnormal projection of proprioceptive afferents and decrease in peripherin+ ventral motor neurons. Peripherin immunolabeling in lumbar spinal cord sections at E14.5 and E15.5. Arrows indicate proprioceptive afferents extending into the spinal cord. Control mice show normal projection of afferents into the intermediate spinal cord (A) and ventral termination zone (C). Many of the proprioceptive afferents fail to project into the ventral spinal cord of Gbx11/−/− mice (B and D). Gbx11/−/− embryos also show a marked decrease in the expression of peripherin+ ventral motor neurons (brackets) in (B and D) when compared to control mice (A and C). Scale bars represent 100 μm. 10X magnification.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056214.g006


**Discussion**

*Gbx1* is dynamically expressed during embryogenesis, particularly within the CNS. In this study, we have focused our analysis on the functional role(s) *Gbx1* plays in the developing nervous system. By producing mice homozygous for a *Gbx1* loss-of-function allele, we demonstrate that *Gbx1* function is a key regulatory component in assembly of neuronal circuitry controlling normal locomotion. In contrast to mice homozygous for the *Gbx2*^−/−^ allele, *Gbx1* mutants are viable. However, consistent with *Gbx2* mutant embryos, *Gbx1*^−/−^ embryos display severe developmental defects impacting CNS organization and function. Our data show a disruption in the growth of proprioceptive afferents towards the intermediate zone and ventral termination zone in spinal cords of *Gbx1*^−/−^ mice when compared to normal embryos. In addition, we show a significant loss of ISL1⁺ and ISL1⁺/peripherin⁺ co-expressing ventral motor neurons. These abnormalities are detected at E14.5 and become more apparent at E15.5. Furthermore, we show that the reduction of proprioceptive afferent projection into the ventral horn of *Gbx1* mutants

---

**Figure 7.** *Gbx1*^−/−^ embryos continue to display abnormal projection of proprioceptive afferents at late embryonic and early postnatal stages in development. Parvalbumin immunolabeling in transverse lumbar spinal cord sections at E17.5 and P5. Brackets indicate the innervation of proprioceptive afferents into the intermediate and ventral spinal cord where they are destined to make synaptic connections with their interneuron or motor neuron targets, respectively. Control mice show normal projection of afferents to their intermediate and ventral termination zones (A and C). Many of the proprioceptive afferents fail to fully project to their ventral termination zones in the spinal cord of *Gbx1*^−/−^ mice (B and D), while maintaining their proper termination in the intermediate spinal cord. 10X magnification.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056214.g007
Figure 8. ISL1+ and ISL1+/peripherin+ co-expressing motor neurons are reduced in Gbx1\(^{-/-}\) ventral spinal cord. Immunohistochemical analysis for ISL1 (A–D) and ISL1+/peripherin+ co-expressing cells (E–H) in lumbar spinal cord sections at E14.5 and E15.5. Expression of ISL1+ motor neurons (A and C) and ISL1+/peripherin+ co-expressing cells (E and G) in the ventral spinal cord of control embryos. Gbx1\(^{-/-}\) embryos show a significant reduction in the number of ISL1 ventral motor neurons at E14.5 and E15.5 (B and D) and motor neurons coexpressing ISL1/peripherin (F and H). Scale bars represent 100 μm. 20X magnification.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056214.g008
persist through P5, when synaptogenesis of proprioceptive synapses occurs. Collectively, the results from our analysis of $Gbx1^{+/−}$ mutants from E14.5–P5 provide strong evidence that $Gbx1$ function is required in aspects key to the formation, interconnection and maintenance of sensorimotor circuits in the spinal cord. In addition, the data provide new genetic insights.

**Figure 9.** Quantification of ISL1+ and ISL1+/peripherin+ ventral motor neurons in $Gbx1^{+/−}$ embryos. Quantification of ISL1 expressing motor neurons (A) and ISL1/peripherin coexpressing motor neurons (B) in the lumbar ventral spinal cord of E14.5 and E15.5 embryos. Each bar represents the average from 10 sections ($n=4$) for null and ($n=4$) for heterozygotes; $^*P<0.0001$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056214.g009

**Figure 10.** Expression analysis of $Sox10$ in $Gbx1^{+/−}$ embryos. (A–D) Whole-mount in situ hybridization for $Sox10$ expression at E9.5. (A–B) Lateral view, dorsal is to the left. (C–D) Dorsal view. $Sox10$ expression detected in the r4/r6 hindbrain neural crest streams (asterisks) and within the dorsal root ganglia in the trunk adjacent to the developing spinal cord, is largely unaffected in a $Gbx1^{+/−}$ mutant embryo (B) compared to a littermate control embryo (A). r, rhombomere.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056214.g010
towards the elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying somatosensory-related gait disorders.

Loss of Gbx1 Function Impacts the Late Stages of Sensorimotor Circuit Development

During development, sensory neurons of the DRG send axons to the CNS and to sensory receptors in the periphery [18,41–42]. Several classes of transcription factors have been implicated in the early developmental processes of specification, patterning, and selection of early axonal trajectories of different classes of sensory neurons [17,18,41–44]. For example, the generation of all DRG sensory neurons requires the combinatorial expression of basic helix-loop-helix proteins Neurogenin 1 and Neurogenin 2 [39]. More recent studies show that Runx3, a member of the Runt family of transcription factors, regulates development and survival of proprioceptive afferents. In addition, Runx3-deficient mice display severe motor discoordination and limb ataxia [40,41,45]. Unlike mice harboring mutations for the above stated transcription factors, we detected no change in the total number of TrkA+, TrkB+ or TrkC+ DRG sensory neurons in Gbx1−/− embryos when compared to normal embryos (Fig. 11, data not shown). Thus it is unlikely that Gbx1 expression has a significant role in the establishment or diversification of DRG sensory neurons.

DRG sensory axons and motor axons reach their peripheral target areas prior to the entry of DRG sensory afferents into the spinal cord [46,47]. Depending on their sensory modality, sensory neurons of the DRG send axons to superficial layers of the dorsal horn, (nociception and thermoception), or the deep layers of the dorsal horn, lateral horn and ventral horn, (mechanoreceptive and proprioceptive) [11,12,18]. The early onset of Gbx1 expression in the dorsal and ventral ventricular zone of the spinal cord at E9.0–E10.5, is consistent with the specification and generation of defined spinal neuronal subpopulations, suggesting a possible role for Gbx1 in their integration into neuronal circuits [26]. In contrast to that theory, our immunohistochemical analyses of Gbx1−/− embryos at E10.5 did not uncover any abnormal expression of a panel of molecular markers including, basic helix-loop-helix and homeodomain transcription factors, normally expressed within the distinct precursor cell populations throughout the dorsal spinal cord (Fig. 4). Collectively, these data strongly suggest that Gbx1 expression does not impact the early steps underlying the formation of sensorimotor circuits. Nevertheless, recent studies have shown that Gbx1 expression in the spinal cord is dynamic and becomes restricted to the dorsal mantle zones at E12.5. Immunohistochemical analyses of wild-type embryonic and adult spinal cords demonstrate that Gbx1 is expressed in late-born LBX1+ (class B) neurons from E12.5–E16.5, distinguishes a distinct subpopulation of GABAergic dorsal spinal neurons and could function in the late steps of spinal circuit assembly [26,27].

Proprioceptive neurons begin to project afferents into the dorsal spinal cord at E14.0, before cutaneous afferents terminate in the dorsal horn, and into the deep dorsal horn by E15.0 [46]. Establishment of connections between Ia afferents and ISL1+ motor neurons in the ventral horn begins at E15.5 and continues until P8 [44,46]. In Gbx1−/− embryos, projection of proprioceptive afferents into the intermediate and ventral spinal cord terminates prematurely (Figs. 6 and 7). As a result, these mutant mice lack many of the direct synaptic connections normally
formed with motor neurons in the ventral termination zone, correlating well to the severe hindlimb motor discoordination. Interestingly, the late neuronal and behavioral phenotypes observed in Gbx1 null mutants resembles mild forms of the motor control defects seen in mutant mice with major alterations in proprioceptive neuronal circuitry [40,45,46]. For example, Er81, a member of the ETS transcription factor family is expressed in both developing motor neurons and proprioceptive sensory neurons. Results from studies of Er81 mutant mice exhibit a failed development of a discrete termination zone between Ia proprioceptive afferents and motor neurons in the ventral spinal cord. However, specification of motor neurons and induction of muscle spindles in Er81 mutant mice occurs normally. Furthermore, it is interesting to note that similar to Gbx1−/− mice, Er81 mutants display severely uncoordinated limb movements [44].

In addition to projection of proprioceptive afferents into the intermediate and ventral spinal cord, our data demonstrate a requirement of Gbx1 for normal patterning of ISL1+ ventral motor neurons, another key component of sensorimotor circuits in vertebrates. Motor neurons are within the earliest born neurons of the ventral spinal cord [49,50]. The first postmitotic motor neurons in the mouse spinal cord are detected at E9–E9.5, and the generation of motor neurons is complete by E11.0 [49]. We and others have shown that Gbx1 is expressed in the postmitotic spinal cord at E9.0 and in the ventral ventricular zone at an anatomical level that coincides with motor neuron progenitor cells by E10.5 [26,51]. Consistent with our analysis of dorsal spinal cord precursor cells of Gbx1−/− embryos, our immunohistochemical analyses at E10.5 did not uncover any abnormal expression of ISL1, HB9 or a panel of transcription factors expressed within the distinct precursor cell subtypes throughout the ventral spinal cord (Fig. 4). Furthermore, no apparent difference in the total number of postmitotic ISL1+ and HB9+ ventral motor neurons was observed in Gbx1 mutant embryos at E11.5 when compared to normal controls (Fig. 5).

Intriguingly, we observed a marked reduction in the total number of ISL1+ and ISL1+/peripherin+ motor neurons at later stages in development, E14.5–15.5, in Gbx1−/− embryos compared to normal embryos. These results further support a role for Gbx1 in establishment of sensorimotor connections. However, our results raise two distinct issues concerning the mechanism underlying the loss of motor neurons at this late stage of development of Gbx1 mutant embryos. First, a considerable amount of neuronal loss occurs amongst differentiated, postmitral neurons that are in the process of establishing connections between afferents and target neurons [52]. We have shown that premature termination of proprioceptive afferents occurs in the intermediate zone of Gbx1−/− spinal cords from E14.5–P5 mutants. As a consequence, functional connections with motor neurons in the ventral target zone may not be made, resulting in a loss of motor neurons through programmed cell death [53]. In contrast, recent studies in mice have demonstrated that reduced levels of Islet protein favors the generation of V2a interneurons at the expense of motor neuron formation [54]. In support of this notion, cell-fate conversion of motor neurons occurs in zebrafish upon knockdown of isl1 and isl2 [55]. In our previous in situ hybridization analyses show that Gbx1 expression coincides with a population of motor neurons in the ventral spinal cord at E10.5 [26]. In this study we show that inactivation of Gbx1 does not result in a failure to specify ISL1+ motor neurons. Yet, we observed a significant decrease in the total number of motor neurons in Gbx1 mutant embryos. While our study does not address this question directly, it presents the hypothesis that Gbx1 can play a role in the maintenance of ISL1+ expression in a subset of motor neurons, preventing their conversion into V2a interneurons. However, this possibility remains to be determined empirically.

### Abnormal Locomotion in Gbx1 Mutants

Our analysis of Gbx1−/− mice has revealed a novel role for Gbx transcription factors in regulating the assembly of sensorimotor circuits and motor behavior. Unlike Gbx2 mutant mice, Gbx1 mutants display a striking gait disorder, which specifically affects the hindlimbs. Since Gbx2−/− mice do not survive beyond birth, we cannot determine the manifestation of a gait disorder. However, Gbx2 mutants do display cranial nerve V motor neuron and motor control defects during embryogenesis that severely impact hindbrain development and the ability to suckle [20,24]. In addition, a recent lineage-tracing study using Gbx2CreER-ires-eGFP mice has demonstrated a requirement for Gbx2 expression in early progenitor cells of the neural tube (E9.5) for normal development and patterning of ventral motor neurons in the spinal cord to occur [25]. It is also intriguing that Gbx2 mutant embryos develop with severe inner ear defects affecting vestibular function, which could contribute to impairment of movement and coordination [56]. Our examination of Gbx1 mutant mice did not reveal any apparent musculoskeletal or peripheral nervous system defects. And, since Gbx1−/− mice do not display any abnormal head movements or circling behavior, it is highly unlikely that the phenotype is a result of impaired vestibular function [57]. While our data do not rule out a possible requirement for Gbx1 expression in regions outside of the spinal cord for normal locomotion, we did not observe changes in other components of the major systems that govern posture and locomotion. Moreover, while Gbx1 is expressed in the medial ganglionic eminence, which contributes to the formation of the basal ganglia, expression has not been detected in other major components of the motor system outside of the spinal cord, such as the, brainstem, or cerebellum [26].

Movement disorders are caused by a variety of neurological conditions, which manifest into a broad clinical spectrum that includes dystonia, ataxia and gait disorders. Nevertheless, all movement disorders share common features in neural circuits which impair the planning, control or execution of movement [58]. One of the simplest and best understood neuronal circuits in the vertebrate CNS is the spinal monosynaptic stretch reflex circuit, in which connections are formed between a sensory unit and an effector unit [59]. The precise coordination of movement by this circuit is carried out by connections formed between two main classes of neurons, proprioceptive IA sensory neurons and ventral spinal motor neurons. Therefore, it is very intriguing that Gbx1 directly impacts both proprioceptive afferent projection and ventral motor neuron development in the spinal cord. Furthermore, the function of Gbx1 parallels several transcription factors that control the establishment of connections within the spinal monosynaptic stretch reflex circuit [42]. Group IA afferents innervate muscle spindles in the periphery and form direct connections with ventral motor neurons in this circuit. We have shown a marked reduction of the group Ia proprioceptive afferents in Gbx1 mutants. Whereas the group Ib afferents, which project to the intermediate spinal cord and do not make synaptic contact with motor neurons appear normal in Gbx1 mutants [39]. In summary, our studies revealed a novel role for Gbx1 in regulating key components involved in the integration of sensorimotor circuitry affecting motor behavior. A challenge now is to further define the mechanisms impacted by a loss of Gbx1. Future investigations should be conducted to identify and analyze the direct molecular targets of GBX1. Insight into these factors will
provide greater understanding of transcriptional control of the distinct subpopulations of motor and sensory neurons by Gbx1.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The work performed in this manuscript is in compliance with the University of Missouri Office of Animal Care Quality Assurance (ACQUA) under the protocol number 6479. No IRB approval is needed. The mice were housed and handled in accordance with the University of Missouri Animal Care and Use Committee (GCUC) guidelines. CO2 (100%) asphyxiation followed by cervical dislocation was performed to euthanize adult mice. For embryos, immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde was used for embryos E14 or younger. Chilling followed by decapitation was used for embryos older than E14. The invasive procedures used to harvest embryos were only performed following euthanasia.

Generation of Gbx1−/− Mice

Mice carrying the null allele for the Gbx1 gene were generated through homologous recombinant of a targeting construct engineered to allow excision of the functional DNA-binding homeodomain. From a bacterial artificial chromosome containing the full-length Gbx1 genomic sequence, we isolated 14.9 kb of Gbx1 DNA. Insertion of a flanked neomycin (neo) resistance cassette into the intronic sequence upstream of exon 2 conferred positive selection by G418. loxP sequences inserted 5’- and 3’- to exon 2, which contains the functional DNA-binding motif, facilitates recognition by the Cre DNA recombinase enzyme and mediates excision of the floxed sequence. ES cells electroporated with the targeting construct were screened by Southern blot analysis of XbaI and NdeI/XbaI restriction digested DNA to identify homologous recombination of the short arm and long arm, respectively.

We generated a mouse line carrying the Gbx1flor allele by the injection of homologous recombinant ES cells into 129 blastocysts and subsequent mating of the resulting chimeric males to C57BL6 females to obtain germ-line transmission of the targeted allele. Mice carrying the Gbx1flor allele develop normally, and are reproductive competent. In order to generate the nonfunctional null allele for Gbx1, Gbx1flor homozygous mice were crossed to transgenic mice expressing the Cre DNA recombinase under the control of the ubiquitous β-actin promoter, [29] resulting in mice lacking exon 2 (Gbx1−/−). Gbx1−/− mice are viable and phenotypically indistinguishable from their littermates at birth. However, by postnatal (P) day 15, Gbx1−/− mice show a severe locomotor defect affecting hindlimb locomotion.

Genotype Analysis

Genotyping was achieved by use of PCR using genomic DNA prepared from ES cells, embryonic tissue or adult tail biopsies. To identify the Gbx1flor allele through PCR, a 5’ forward primer (5’ GTTGGCTGTGCGGAGCAAGCA GAG3’) located within exon 2 and a 3’ reverse primer (5’ CCTCAGGATCCACCTCTGTG CT3’) that anneals immediately downstream of the second loxP site, yields a 300 bp product corresponding to the floxed allele. The Gbx1−/− allele was detected using a 5’ forward primer (5’ GTTCAGGAAGCGGATGAGG3’) contained within the neo cassette and the same 3’ reverse primer (5’ CCTCAGGATCCACCTCTGTC T3’) used to detect the floxed allele. PCR analysis was performed under the following conditions: 1) 94°C for 3 minutes, 2) 94°C for 30 seconds, 3) 55°C for 30 seconds and 4) 72°C for 3 minutes (steps 2–4 were repeated for 30 cycles).

Immunohistochemistry

For immunohistochemistry analyses, Gbx1−/− and control embryos were dissected and subsequently fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 2 hours at 4°C, washed 3 times in 1X PBS for 1 hour, equilibrated with 25% sucrose overnight at 4°C and embedded in optimal temperature tissue (OCT) (Ted Ted for cryosectioning. Transverse, serial 20 μm cryosections were made along the length of the spinal cord. Sections were washed with 0.1% Triton X-100 in 1X PBS (PBST), blocked with 1X PBS containing 10% lamb serum, 1% bovine serum albumin, and 0.25% Triton X-100 for 90 minutes and incubated with the appropriate primary antibodies in blocking solution at 4°C overnight. The following day, sections are washed briefly with PBST and incubated with the appropriate fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies in blocking solution at 4°C overnight. The following primary and secondary antibodies we used at the given dilution: mouse monoclonal anti-islet1(1:100, DSHB), mouse anti-HB9 (1:100; DSHB), rabbit anti-peripherin (1:200; Millipore), rabbit polyclonal anti-TrkC (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotech), rabbit anti-parvalbumin (1:500, Calbiochem), goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488 (1:500; Invitrogen), and goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor 488 or 568 (1:500; Invitrogen). Stained sections were dehydrated in serial dilutions of ethanol in 1× PBS and mounted using DPX mounting media or glycerol mounting media containing DAPI.

In Situ Hybridization

Whole-mount RNA in situ hybridizations were performed as previously described [26]. To demonstrate that exon 2, which contains the sequence encoding the functional DNA-binding homeodomain (HD) of Gbx1, is successfully deleted in the Gbx1 null mutants, a 588 bp cDNA fragment consisting of the Gbx1 HD sequence was amplified from genomic DNA using PCR and cloned in the pBluescript KS(−) vector. 5sSO10 anti-sense RNA probe was provided by A. Chandreskekar and construct was engineered by P. Trainor’s lab. For in situ hybridizations digoxigenin (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) labeled probes were used.

Microscopy

Analysis of immunostained spinal cords and DRG sections were examined and photographed using the Zeiss 510 META confocal microscope under 10X and 20X ocular magnification. Identical parameters were used consistently for each experiment.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses of the experiments were performed using the Graphpad Prism software. The unpaired student’s t-test algorithm were applied to the data sets and are represented as mean±SEM. Samples were considered statistically significant having a value of P<0.05.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Reduction of ISL1+ motor neurons in Gbx1−/− ventral spinal cord persists at a late stage in embryonic development. Immunohistochemical analysis for ISL1+ cells in lumbar spinal cord sections at E17.5 Expression of ISL1+ motor neurons (A) in the ventral spinal cord of control embryos. Gbx1−/− embryos show a qualitatively observable significant reduction in the number of ISL1+ ventral motor neurons. 20X magnification. (TIF)
Movie S1 Abnormal hindlimb gait in Gbx1 mutant mice. The video shows the gait of one normal (first white mouse) and two mutant mice (second white mouse, first agouti mouse).

(MOV)
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