Locomotor play drives motor skill acquisition at the expense of growth: A life history trade-off
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The developmental costs and benefits of early locomotor play are a puzzling topic in biology, psychology, and health sciences. Evolutionary theory predicts that energy-intensive behavior such as play can only evolve if there are considerable benefits. Prominent theories propose that locomotor play is (i) low cost, using surplus energy remaining after growth and maintenance, and (ii) beneficial because it trains motor skills. However, both theories are largely untested. Studying wild Assamese macaques, we combined behavioral observations of locomotor play and motor skill acquisition with quantitative measures of natural food availability and individual growth rates measured noninvasively via photogrammetry. Our results show that investments in locomotor play were indeed beneficial by accelerating motor skill acquisition but carried sizable costs in terms of reduced growth. Even under moderate natural energy restriction, investment in locomotor play accounted for up to 50% of variance in growth, which strongly contradicts the current theory that locomotor play only uses surplus energy remaining after growth and maintenance. Male immatures played more, acquired motor skills faster, and grew less than female immatures, leading to persisting size differences until the age of female maturity. Hence, depending on skill requirements, investment in play can take ontogenetic priority over physical development unconstrained by costs of play with consequences for life history, which strongly highlights the ontogenetic and evolutionary importance of play.

INTRODUCTION

Growth is a key life history process in animal development with strong consequences for reproduction and survival (1–7). Life history theory proposes that under ecological constraints, resource allocation to growth is traded against concurrent investments in other processes and energy-demanding activities (2–5). One such activity characteristic for many immature vertebrates is play (8, 9). Play is generally assumed to be of minor ontogenetic importance and thus not considered as a growth rate–limiting factor (4–8). However, the developmental costs and benefits and its significance in life history are largely unknown (8). If play is performed at the expense of growth, it must be of key ontogenetic importance and should only evolve in case of considerable benefits. Here, we aim at testing this hypothesis by investigating the relationship between investments in locomotor play and growth, and identifying the benefits of locomotor play, in an ecologically valid setting.

It is a long-standing assumption in biology and psychology that physical development takes strong ontogenetic and evolutionary priority over competence acquisition via play ["surplus resource hypothesis" (8)]. Locomotor play has been shown to involve energy costs in mammals (10, 11). However, the developmental costs of play are thought to be naturally buffered because only surplus resources remaining after maintenance and growth are allocated to play (8, 12–14), and resource allocation to play is developmentally cost-free and negligible for life history trade-offs. This hypothesis is indirectly supported (i) by findings that growth rates [in primates (15, 16)] and play rates [across mammals (17–22)] increase with increasing food availability and (ii) by the phylogenetic distribution of play because play probably originated when animals "had sufficient metabolic resources, and could accumulate [significantly] more energy than required for growth and maintenance" [(8), p. 404]. Although many ontogenetic and evolutionary theories on early development and life history trade-offs (8, 19, 23, 25–29)]. This assumption is the basis of health recommendations and development-stimulating measures (30–32), but it has not been adequately tested (8, 17, 25, 33), and current evidence is indirect and inconclusive. Across mammals, it has been shown that sex differences in play correspond to the diverging needs of adults (8, 22, 23, 34, 35), the peak of play activity matches the sensitive periods of motor brain areas (8, 25), and early social play may predict later dominance relationships (36). However, the causality of correlations between locomotor play rate and motor skill level in mammals, including humans (19, 37), remains unresolved, because enhanced motor skills may also enable higher play rates (33, 38).

Our longitudinal study aims to fill these two fundamental gaps by directly investigating whether locomotor play is (i) developmentally beneficial by accelerating motor skill acquisition and (ii) developmentally costly because resource allocation to locomotor play is traded off against investments in growth. We combine behavioral observations of locomotor play and latencies of motor skill acquisition with quantitative measures of natural food availability and individual growth rates measured noninvasively via photogrammetry. The study was conducted on 17 infants of a wild unprovisioned multi–male–female group of Assamese macaques (Macaca assamensis) living in their natural habitat with a diverse predator community at Phu Khieo Wildlife Sanctuary in Thailand. Body size measures were recorded for all group members to evaluate the complete growth trajectories of both sexes from birth until adulthood.
RESULTS
Controlling for temporal variation in food availability, we found a strong negative correlation between individual growth rates and time spent in locomotor play (Fig. 1A, see also fig. S7). The range in locomotor play time (4.6 to 12.2% activity time; mean ± SD, 7.7 ± 2.3%) accounted for a difference in growth rate of about 30% (Fig. 1A). This energy trade-off was not caused by time constraints on feeding behavior, because resting time, not feeding time, was traded in for locomotor play time (Fig. 1, B to E, and Fig. S8). The trade-off between play and growth was also independent of infant sex, and both sexes fit the same regression line (Fig. 1A). All the infants spent time in locomotor play during periods of low food availability even though low food availability also slowed down growth (Fig. 2).

Play also carried benefits. Across motor skills, skill acquisition latency was predicted by the statistical interaction of the proportion of time spent in locomotor play and the intensity of locomotor play: the higher the proportion of (high-intensity rough-and-tumble) social locomotor play of all locomotor play, the stronger the effect of play duration on skill acquisition latency (Fig. 3 and fig. S9). This interaction also remained the strongest predictor after controlling for variation in overall levels of locomotor play (measured as play time immediately after the acquisition; Fig. 3). Sex of the infant was not significant and was thus excluded from the final model. Because the motor skill cannot influence the amount of play before its acquisition, these results suggest that locomotor play may drive motor skill acquisition (39).

Although these general patterns were independent of infant sex, we found sex-specific adaptations to the energy trade-off. The more food was available, the more female immatures invested in growth and male immatures in locomotor play (Fig. 2 and Fig. S8). Consequently, male immatures grew less (P = 0.017, t = −2.84, n = 12; Fig. 1A), participated more in locomotor play (P = 0.028, t = 2.57, n = 12; Fig. 1A), and acquired motor skills faster than female immatures (P = 0.006, t = 2.78, n = 184, all two-sided t tests). In a cross-sectional analysis across all group members and ages, both sexes accelerated growth after the cessation of the play period (from birth through 4 years of age; Fig. 4). Throughout their first 4 years of life, however, males grew slower than females, leading to persisting size differences until the age of female maturity, with females reaching adult female body size about 5 months earlier than males (Fig. 4D).

DISCUSSION
Using behavioral observation, photogrammetric measurements, and measurements of food availability under natural conditions, we have shown that resource allocation to locomotor play causes sizable developmental costs. Even under moderate natural energy restriction, investments in locomotor play have strong negative effects on growth, accounting for up to 50% of variance in growth with persisting consequences for life history. These results contradict the current theory stating that physical development takes strong ontogenetic priority over play for skill acquisition (8, 9, 12, 13, 17–19, 23, 40). If developmental costs of play are high, then play should be associated with considerable benefits compensating for these costs. In line with this, our results confirm the widespread, but so far unconfirmed, assumption that locomotor play is developmentally beneficial by accelerating motor skill development (8, 19, 23, 25–28, 33, 37). Additionally, we found sex-differential life history strategies with female immatures focusing their investment on growth at the expense of locomotor play and motor skill acquisition, and vice versa in males.

According to the “surplus resource hypothesis,” the energy excess after growth is a precondition for the proximate occurrence as well as

![Fig. 1. Energy trade-off between locomotor play and growth](Image)

Red, female; blue, male. Residual plots of the individual values for the whole study period (Pearson partial correlations controlled for average food availability and lactation category; n = 12). ∗Residuals are translated into deviations from average in percentage. (A) Growth rate over locomotor play (r = −0.889, P < 0.001); additionally controlled for sex (no figure); r = −0.785, P = 0.002; additionally controlled for average play intensity (no figure): r = −0.895, P < 0.001. (B and C) Growth rate (r = 0.612, P = 0.060) and locomotor play (r = 0.759, P = 0.011) over resting time. (D and E) Growth rate (r = −0.037, P = 0.919) and locomotor play (r = 0.155, P = 0.668) over feeding time.
the evolution of play in animals (8, 9). Indeed, these links may be rather complex, considering the underlying correlates such as prolonged development, parental care, and large brains, as well as the existence of several structurally and functionally different play types (8, 9). However, even our results of a trade-off under moderate activity levels and ecological conditions contradict predictions that enough energy after growth needs to be available for play to occur and that the developmental or even energy costs of physically active play are negligible (8, 9, 12, 13, 17). Instead, they support findings in humans where extreme physical activity during childhood in athletes of competitive sports affects growth and sexual maturation (41). The surplus resource hypothesis has been so influential that resource allocation to immature play was not even mentioned in recent reviews summarizing ecological and evolutionary factors limiting growth rates (5, 6). Our results thus strongly contribute to current life history theory by identifying resource allocation to physically active play as one crucial factor in the process.

Our results support the motor training hypothesis, which proposes that physically active play accelerates motor skill acquisition (25, 28). Accordingly, play may increase fitness by decreasing immature mortality and increasing future dominance rank across mammals (19, 22, 36, 42) because motor skills enhance fight/flight competence, which can prevent damage of physical integrity caused by predation and fights (23, 28). Previous studies provide correlational evidence of a positive association between rates of play and motor skills in mammals including humans (37, 43). Higher levels of motor skills, however, may also enable higher levels and amounts of play (33, 38), so the causality remained unclear. To our knowledge, our study is the first that shows time series causality supporting the “motor training hypothesis.”

Sex differences in energy allocation as found in our study are unexpected in the light of sexual selection theory predicting polygynous males to invest in body size and weaponry (44). In cercopithecine primates, however, female investment in growth and maturation is important because reproductive life span and thus age at first reproduction are major fitness components for females but not for males (16, 45, 46). Male reproductive success is driven by their dominance rank at prime age (46, 47) and coalitional activity (48), both of which are likely to also be affected by fight/flight competence and, thus, motor skills (23). Notably, the developmental benefits of play may not only be limited to motor skill acquisition but may also enhance social skill development and train behavioral flexibility to deal with unexpected events (8, 23). The sex differences in energy allocation to growth or locomotor play may thus correspond to sex-differential life history strategies.

One of the most puzzling phenomena in life history theory is prolonged juvenility (from weaning until sexual maturation), which can last several years in primates and large mammals, with individuals being

---

Fig. 2. Sex-specific investment in growth and locomotor play with increasing food availability. Red, female; blue, male. (A) Growth rate over food availability: with increasing food availability, female immatures invested in increased growth rates (model significance $P = 0.003$ compared to null model, $R^2 = 0.251$, $n = 52$), whereas male immatures did not (model significance $P = 0.07$, $R^2 = 0.065$, $n = 48$; all: $P < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.171$). (B) Locomotor play over food availability: male immatures invested energy from increased food availability in locomotor play (model significance $P = 0.021$, $R^2 = 0.360$, $n = 109$), whereas female immatures did not (model significance $P = 0.084$, $R^2 = 0.321$, $n = 119$; all: $P = 0.95$, $R^2 = 0.032$; all model predictor variables were z-transformed).
that growth rates are indeed restricted by resource availability as proposed. Our results provide a potential causal link between skill acquisition and aversion skill requirements. This indicates that both mechanisms are involved in the amount of locomotor play for skill acquisition (that is, individual variation). Behavior and physical growth are of high phenotypic plasticity (1, 6, 57). That investments in play can take ontogenetic priority over unconstrained physical development with persisting consequences for life history strongly highlights the ontogenetic and evolutionary importance of play.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design

The study was conducted from May 2011 to December 2012 at a long-term study site (established in 2005) at the Phu Khieo Wildlife Sanctuary (157,300 ha, 16°5′–35°N, 101°20′–55°E, 300 to 1300 masl) in northeastern Thailand (58–61). Female Assamese macaques are philopatric and reach sexual maturity within 5 to 6 years, whereas males disperse from their natal group between late infancy and adulthood and show delayed maturation (fully grown ~9 to 10 years). The timing of reproduction is strictly seasonal, and birth season ranges from April to July (62). Across age-sex classes, Assamese macaques are strongly arboreal, spending about 90% of their activity time off the ground (61), including during locomotor play activity (fig. S1).

Data were collected on a fully habituated social group consisting of 22 adults (9 males, 13 females), 23 juveniles (10 males, 13 females), and 12 infants born in 2011 (6 males, 6 females) and 5 infants born in 2012 (2 males, 3 females). All 17 infants were focal animals. The first 2011 cohort became juvenile after weaning at 12 months of age, but were observed for another 6 months until the end of the study. Therefore, we use the term “immatures” whenever these 6 months are also included in an analysis.

Behavioral data

During the 30-min focal animal protocols, instantaneous activity data were recorded every minute (1385.4 focal hours; mean ± SD, 5.5 ± 0.2 hours per individual and month; 86,518 records). We recorded whether the infant was resting, feeding, travelling, socially interacting (either affiliative such as grooming or agonistic), or engaged in solitary or social play. Solitary play was divided into solitary object and solitary locomotor play, and social play was always locomotor and divided into rough-and-tumble play (including elements of chasing and/or wrestling) and other social play (such as sexual play.}

Fig. 3. Latencies of motor skill acquisition are predicted by the interaction between the amount and the intensity of locomotor play before the acquisition. Estimates ± SD of the z-transformed variables predicting latency of motor skill acquisition of 16 skills (LMM, n = 184). Random factor: motor skill labels; model significance: R² = 0.715; intercept: estimate 8.2 ± 0.4. *Before/after the respective age of motor skill acquisition. Sex of the infant was not significant and thus excluded from the model.
or the clumsy interactions at the advent of social play; fig. S2). Social play was differentiated from other social behaviors such as sitting in body contact, grooming, or aggression by the use of a play face and/or regular role changes. Independent from this general activity, we additionally recorded every minute the height of the individual in the tree in 5-m steps and its positional behavior (that is, whether the individual was lying, sitting, standing, walking, running, jumping, climbing, hanging, or brachiating).

**Motor skills**

We recorded all occurrences of 18 different basic motor skills for all 17 focal animals (\(n = 5333\) ad libitum records) to assess the individual latencies of acquisition, that is, the age at first occurrence for each separate motor skill in each individual. The skills were jumping or running (both either on the ground or in a tree), jumping a distance from branch to branch of either more or less than 1 m in more versus less than 5-m height, and hanging from either all extremities or one or two arms or legs either in a solitary context or in an unpredictable social play context where the open skill (63) is played out (see table S1 for details).

**Growth rate**

Size from the length of the lower arms was measured every month via photogrammetry. We took 1706 pictures of the 17 focal animals (6.4 ± 2.1 pictures per individual and month; mean ± SE) and 1754 of all 23 juveniles, which were 1 to 4 years old at the beginning of the study (4.4 ± 1.9 pictures per individual and month). Picture and object distance were simultaneously recorded using a Nikon D5000 camera and a Bosch PLR 50 laser distance measurement tool (accuracy, ±2 mm) as described in (64). The number of pixels in the picture was determined using ImageJ 1.44p (National Institutes of Health). Length was then calculated by multiplying the object distance with the number of pixels in the picture (64) and applying a correction (fig. S3). Length measurements were highly reliable between observers (\(n = 179\) picture random measurements).
and applying Shapiro-Wilk tests (all strong influences of different life spans (that is, different proportions of birth to the end of the study period) and lactation category. To avoid monthly food availability indices an individual was exposed to from for individual differences in average food availability (the average of the previous month's size index). Growth rate indices were then calculated as slopes of linear regressions of these monthly values over time.

**Intensity of locomotor play**
In our study, solitary and social (that is, 83% rough-and-tumble) locomotor play strongly differed in intensity, challenge level, and age curve. The rate of high-intensity locomotion [running, climbing, jumping, hanging, and pendulously travelling; (10, 29)] was much higher during social than during solitary locomotor play. Conversely, the rate of low- and medium-intensive locomotion (that is, standing and walking) was higher during solitary than during social locomotor play (fig. S4). Infants exhibited low-intensity solitary locomotor play first, probably due to neuromuscular immaturity, but soon changed to social locomotor play (figs. S2 and S5 and table S2). Because both the rate and the intensity of play may affect skill acquisition (28), we used a statistical interaction term of locomotor play time and the proportion of social of all locomotor play as predictor of skill acquisition.

**Availability of ecological energy resources**
Monthly food availability indices were calculated on the basis of the fruit abundance in 650 trees and the density of these tree species, based on 44 botanical plots within the home range of the study group, covering 20.75 ha of forest [for details and seasonal variation of food availability in the study side, see (60)]. The food availability index is correlated to individual energy intake (60). Because differences in lactation may have a strong impact on individual energy intake and behavior (65), we additionally placed the infants into two lactation categories, that is, whether the mother conceived again early in the lactation period (that is, at 8 and 10 months of offspring age, n = 2) or well after weaning (interbirth intervals are bimodally distributed in our group because females can conceive in the subsequent mating season or 1 year after). Because female rank was neither correlated to female energy intake in our group [(60), see also (66)] nor to the energy content or yield of maternal milk in rhesus macaques [Macaca mulatta (67)], we did not control for mother’s rank.

**Statistical analyses**
All statistical analyses were run with R 3.1.2. All tests were two-tailed with α level set to 0.05. Test assumptions were controlled for by computing variance inflation factors (VIF for all LMM and GLM; all VIF <2.2) and applying Shapiro–Wilk tests (all P ≥ 0.18) in addition to visual inspection of scatterplots, histograms, and Q-Q plots of residuals to check for normality, linearity, and homogeneity of variance.

**Growth versus locomotor play**
We ran a partial correlation between individual growth rates and the proportion of time individuals spent in locomotor play and controlled for individual differences in average food availability (the average of the monthly food availability indices an individual was exposed to from birth to the end of the study period) and lactation category. To avoid strong influences of different life spans (that is, different proportions of different life history stages), the infants born in 2012 were excluded from the analysis of the growth-play trade-off. Covariance between growth and locomotor play could be mediated by time budget constraints on independent feeding time; that is, increasing time proportions in locomotor play might be at the expense of independent feeding time and, thus, energy intake, which in turn could affect growth rate. Therefore, we ran additional partial correlations to investigate potential covariance of the time spent feeding or resting with growth rate and/or the time spent in locomotor play.

**Growth and locomotor play versus food availability**
We applied LMMs (R 3.1.2, packages car, lme4 and MuMIn; individual as random factors) to explore the relationships between food availability and (i) the proportion of activity time the individuals spent in locomotor play and (ii) individual growth rates. For (i), we used monthly values but excluded the first 2 months of age because low play rates were probably due to physical immaturity at this age (fig. S2 and table S2). Test assumptions were met after the response variable was square root– (for LMM on all individuals) or cubic root–transformed (for LMM on females). Monthly values were, however, not applicable for (ii). Because growth rate indices were calculated as linear regression slopes of size over time, which is based on one average value per individual and month (see above), meaningful calculations required 6-month periods (that is, linear regressions over six values). Growth rate calculations of consecutive months were based on strongly overlapping periods and were highly autocorrelated (fig. S6A). No apparent autocorrelation was found if every other month was ignored (fig. S6B), so we treated these data as independent data points.

**Growth versus age**
We applied sex-specific continuous piecewise regressions with Davies tests for change in slope (R 3.1.2, packages segmented, sm) to explore long-term patterns and age-dependent changes in growth rate. Average monthly size indices of all 40 immatures were used. Average individual adult body size indices were calculated from all pictures taken occasionally throughout the study period, and all adults with fewer than three data points were excluded (n = 7 of 22). The significance of the difference between male and female growth rates before the growth spurt at 4 years was tested using a GLM (R 3.1.2) including an interaction between age and sex.

**Locomotor play versus motor skill acquisition**
We applied an LMM (R 3.1.2, packages car, lme4, MuMIn and rgl; square root transformation of the response variable to meet test assumptions) to explore the relationship between the time spent in locomotor play from birth to the acquisition of a motor skill and the latencies of this skill’s acquisition, with separate values for each motor skill and individual (n = 184) and the motor skill labels as a random factor. Time spent in locomotor play was calculated as interaction between the proportion of time in and the average intensity of locomotor play, thus accounting for the two dimensions of locomotor play (see above). To control for reversed causality [that is, motor skill level predicts amount of locomotor play (33, 38)] and methodological skew (that is, motor skills may be easier and earlier detectible in more active infants), we also included the time spent in locomotor play after the acquisition of a motor skill (same time span) as a proxy for variation in overall locomotor play times into the model. Sex of the infant was included to control for sex-specific genetic programming. Age at acquisition of the previous motor skill in the acquisition sequence was added as
a predictor to control for interdependence between the motor skills. The sequence was generated by applying the I&SI rank order method (Matman1.1) on a before-after matrix (that is, how often motor skill A was acquired before or after motor skill B over all individuals; table S1).

We only included cases where play duration values were based on at least 400 instantaneous records per individual to control for sampling effort. Because this precondition was not met for most of the infants for the two motor skills acquired first during ontogeny, these were excluded from analyses. Also, five individuals that were born more than 1 week before the start of observations were excluded from analysis. We ran a t test (paired, two-sided) to compare the latencies of the motor skill acquisition (normalized via mean scaling within each motor skill) to explore overall sex differences.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/1/7/e1500451/DC1

Fig. S1. Percentage of time in locomotor play at different tree heights.
Fig. S2. Percentage of different play patterns at different ages.
Fig. S3. Proportion of social on all locomotor play was positively related to age and the number of potential play partners around.
Fig. S4. Differences between solitary and social locomotor play in locomotion intensity.
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