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Abstract

Background

Worldwide, scientific congresses are cancelled because of the COVID-19 pandemic. Yet, scientific exchange is more important than ever, especially for infectious diseases and infection prevention specialists. Within a prospective cohort of congress attendees, we evaluated the safety concept of the 2020 congress of the Swiss Societies of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Hygiene.

Methods

The congress was held between September 2nd and 4th 2020 in Geneva (Switzerland), where COVID-19 incidence in the week during the congress was 65 cases /100 000 population within 7 days. A rigorous safety concept was implemented including universal face masking, physical distancing during sessions, and maximal reduction of social events. We invited congress attendees to participate in this prospective cohort and used an anonymized online questionnaire to assess risk perception, risk exposures, symptoms and diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 of attendees before, during and after the congress. Dried blood spots were taken from attendees on-site and four weeks later to detect specific antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 and to document seroconversions.

Results

A total of 365 people attended the congress, thereof 271 healthcare professionals. Of these, 196 (54%) either answered the questionnaire (N = 150) or provided baseline and follow-up blood samples (N = 168). None of the study participants reported a positive PCR result in the 2 weeks after the congress. Five of 168 (3%) participants were seropositive at follow-up, all of which had already been positive at baseline. The safety concept was deemed appropriate by 92% of the study participants and hygiene measures were performed correctly by the vast majority.

Conclusion

In this prospective cohort of congress attendees, no PCR positive cases or seroconversions could be documented after attending an on-site medical congress. These findings indicate that congresses with a rigorous safety concept may take place, even in areas with moderately-high COVID-19 activity.

Introduction

An important and widely recommended measure to reduce the spread of Severe Acute Respiratory Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) is to ban or to limit public gatherings[1]. On November 17th 2020, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) issued recommendations for organizers regarding risk
assessment and safety concepts of such gathering [2, 3]. Medical congresses are also subject to these regulations; as a consequence, many medical and non-medical congresses were cancelled or converted into purely online events with different sensations about their output[4–7]. At the same time, scientific exchange, inspiring discussions with colleagues, and networking are more important than ever, in particular for infectious disease and infection prevention specialists.

After the first COVID-19 wave in Switzerland, gatherings of less than 1000 people - under the assumption of strict safety concepts in place - were allowed again as of June 2020. The Swiss Societies for Infectious Diseases (SSI) and for Hospital Hygiene (SSHH) therefore decided to hold their annual congress, with an expected number of 300 participants, corresponding to an event with higher risk according to the CDC document [3]. Safety concepts of large gatherings have not been systematically evaluated [8]. In Austria, the Salzburg Festival took place in August 2020 with a total of 70'000 visitors for 90 performances over 30 days [9]. One positive staff-member was reported, without any secondary transmission. To our knowledge, there is no data evaluating the safety of medical congresses during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, little is known about the perception of the risk and safety concept of congress attendees.

In this prospective cohort, we intended to evaluate the safety concept of the Joint Annual Meeting of the SSI and SSHH by documenting the number of COVID-19 cases among congress attendees after the event. We also aimed to assess risk factors for potential infections during the congress and to assess the risk perception of attendees.

Methods

Setting, venue and safety concept

The congress took place in Geneva (Switzerland) between September 2nd and September 4th 2020, at a time when the number of cases was rising again nationwide and after the first COVID-19 wave had been successfully contained. The Geneva region was particularly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic and showed one of the highest COVID-19 incidences in the country (65 cases/100 000 population in Geneva vs. 29 cases/100000 population for Switzerland) during the week of the congress. The congress took place at Palexpo, an exposition and congress centre offering 106'000 square meters of floor space in a single block hall[10]. Only meetings that complied with the conditions imposed by the council of state were allowed to take place. Safety measures included universal masking (with surgical masks) in the exposition centre (except for the dining area); physical distancing during sessions (with a vacant seat between participants); hand hygiene upon admission to the congress (supervised by safety personnel); multiple dispensers of alcoholic hand rub within the congress area; personal data registration at lunch for contact tracing purposes; only seated catering and cancelling of official social events (Table 3). The pictograms used as part of the safety concept of the exposition centre are shown in Figure S1. All congress participants received instructions on the necessary hygiene measures during the congress via email[11].
Recruitment and study procedures

All congress attendees (including participants, industry representatives and congress staff) >16 years old were informed by email before the congress about the study and were invited to participate in this prospective cohort. For study inclusion, oral informed consent was obtained from participants (approved by the local ethics committee).

Capillary dried blood spots (DBS) from a finger-prick applied on a filter paper card were collected from participants by trained personnel during the congress. Participants received an additional DBS collection kit labelled with their unique study ID and were instructed on how to obtain the follow-up blood sample. The kit contained an instruction sheet (English, German or French), alcohol swabs, auto-retractable safety single-use lancet, a filter paper card, plasters and a return label. Participants were requested to collect finger-prick DBS 4 weeks (+/- 7 days) after the congress and to send the filter paper to the study centre by postal mail. DBS cards were kept at -80°C until analysed.

Four weeks after the congress, an anonymized online questionnaire was sent to all congress attendees. Study participants were asked to enter their study ID in order to match the questionnaires with serology results. Congress attendees not included in the serologic investigation were also invited to fill in the questionnaire. Questions included the type of profession, working canton, canton of residence, the number of contacts with COVID-19 confirmed patients, adherence with protective measures before, during and after the congress (at the congress site but also off-site during private gatherings), adherence to safety measures of other congress attendees (i.e. peer rating), perceived risk of COVID-19 at the congress and the estimated impact of congress safety measures, use of public transportation, leisure activities before and after the congress. Also, participants were asked regarding symptoms compatible with COVID-19, and results of SARS-CoV-2 PCR swabs or serologies done before and after the congress.

SARS-CoV-2 serology from DBS

Before performing serology, filter paper cards (standard 903™ Protein Saver Cards, Eastern Business Forms, Greenville, USA) were punched and eluted. The methodology was previously developed and established in our research laboratory as part of another research project[12]. All five circles were completely punched to 8mm discs, incubated with 0.5ml elution buffer for 180 min on a shaker at room temperature. Finally, serology from the eluent was performed by two chemiluminescence immunoassays (ECLIA, pan immunoglobulin with anti-nucleocapsid (N)- and anti-spike (S)-specificity, Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). The latter assay simultaneously detects IgG, IgM and IgA directed against the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the S1 subunit of the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 and has been evaluated by our group before[13] Samples were deemed positive if either assay returned a result above the manufacturer’s cut-off.

Outcome and data analysis
The primary outcome was a composite of self-reported COVID-19 diagnosis (i.e. SARS-CoV-2 positive nasopharyngeal PCR) within 3 weeks or seroconversion within 4 weeks after the congress. The secondary outcome was self-reported occurrence of COVID-19 compatible symptoms within 2 weeks after the congress (i.e. testing criteria of the Federal Office of Public Health). Baseline characteristics were described using number and percentages for categorical, and mean and standard deviation (or median and interquartile range, as appropriate) for continuous variables. The proportion of participants with the primary or secondary outcome was calculated; positive cases including previous diagnosis of COVID-19 were described in detail. We used SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics 20, Armonk, New York) for all statistical analyses.

Results

A total of 365 individuals attended the congress, thereof 271 healthcare workers (HCW). Of these 365, 196 (54%) either answered the questionnaire (n=150, including 17 without baseline serology) or provided baseline and follow-up blood samples (n=168) (Figure 1). For 11 participants, the questionnaire could not be linked to the blood sample because of missing or incorrect ID. Questionnaire and blood samples could be matched for 122 participants.

Baseline characteristics of participants who answered the questionnaire are summarised in Table 1. Among the 150 participants, 56% were physicians and 24% were infection control practitioners. The majority (58%) was female, 85% were between 30 and 60 years old, most of them (77%) lived in the German part of Switzerland, 23% in the French or Italian part. Regular contact with COVID-19 patients was reported by 50% of participants. Before the congress, 57 (38%) participants had a nasopharyngeal PCR for SARS-CoV-2, whereof 2 (3.5%) were positive. In the three weeks after the congress, 8 participants reported having had a PCR for SARS-CoV-2 of which all were negative.

Among 168 follow-up serologies, 5 (3%) were positive. All five were already positive at baseline, resulting in zero seroconversions. Of the five seropositive cases, one did not provide a questionnaire, and two reported either a previous positive PCR and/or positive SARS-CoV-2 serology result. One participant reported a positive PCR before the congress, but had a negative serology both at baseline and at follow-up (Table 3). Risk exposures before, during and after the congress are summarized in table 2.

The safety concept was deemed appropriate by 92% of participants. Figure 2 shows the self-reported adherence of study participants with wearing a mask, hand hygiene, and data registration at lunch (upper row), as well as the perceived importance of the corresponding measures (lower row). Of note, 83% of respondents enjoyed the congress and preferred an on-site congress over a virtual one.

Discussion

In this prospective cohort of attendees of an on-site medical congress held in a geographical area with moderately-high COVID-19 prevalence area, we could not identify any SARS-CoV-2 cases or transmission
events. The findings underline the appropriateness of the safety concept, which was well accepted and followed by the participants. Strengths of the study are the dual approach to identify COVID-19 among participants using an online questionnaire and serology tests and the prospective design with a 4-week follow-up.

During the COVID-19 pandemic many congresses are being cancelled to avoid gatherings of hundreds or thousands of attendees in a single venue[1]. These decisions might have significant consequences as medical education is being disrupted; also economic damage to scientific societies and industry partners has to be expected. Virtual congresses on digital platforms were established to overcome these problems. Many professional societies seem to have switched smoothly from physical to virtual congress [4], others even praised the advantage of easier accessibility for everyone, lower costs, and better environmental sustainability. However, virtual congresses have their downsides. Inspiration and emotions are less likely to be conveyed by online platforms; many formal and informal interactions based on human contact between congress participants from hospitals, societies and industry are limited. In line with this hypothesis, most study participants preferred the on-site version over a purely virtual congress. This finding has to be interpreted cautiously, as the people participating at the congress might not be representative for the entire community of Swiss ID and infection control professionals because of selection bias.

Of note, thirteen study participants reported symptoms compatible with COVID-19 within 3 weeks after the congress, but only half of them underwent PCR testing. This is surprising, as the FOPH recommends testing of all people, even those with minor symptoms. It seems that this recommendation is not universally followed, not even by people working in infectious diseases and infection control. However, since serology at follow up was negative in all eleven participants, an alternative cause for the reported symptoms is plausible.

Our study has weaknesses. First, most people besides congress staff participating in the congress were infectious disease physicians or hygiene experts, hence we assume adherence to hygiene rules were put consistently into practice. This might not be the case for attendees of other congresses. Second, almost half of congress attendees are not represented in our study. However, within the tightly connected ID community in Switzerland, potential undetected clustering of cases after the congress would have been noted by some of the society members. Third, the accuracy of DBS in detecting SARS-CoV-2 antibodies has not been widely assessed[18]. However, study participants with previous positive PCR and/or positive serology were all seropositive in the DBS, except for one participant with positive PCR and serology in March. In this context it is important to note that almost 10% of patients with positive PCR results do not show specific SARS-CoV-2 antibodies or decline over time[19]. These results and the fact that the seroprevalence of 3% is perfectly in line with previous seroprevalence studies among Swiss HCW from the same time period strengthen our confidence in the DBS methodology [12].

In summary, these results suggest that on-site medical congresses held in geographical areas with moderately-high COVID-19 prevalence are safe, provided that appropriate safety measures are
implemented and meticulously followed. The safety concept used at this congress could serve as a model for future similar events.
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Tables

Table 1. Baseline characteristics and risk factors of study participants before/during and after the congress.
## Baseline characteristics

|                          | All (n=150) |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Female, n (%)            | 87 (58)     |
| Age, y (30-60)           | 124 (83)    |
| Country of residence     |             |
| Switzerland, n (%)       | 140 (93)    |
| French/italian part, n (%)| 34 (23)    |
| German part, n (%)       | 115 (77)    |
| Profession               |             |
| Physician                | 84 (56)     |
| Hospital hygiene expert  | 36 (24)     |
| Other                    | 30 (20)     |
| Living with children     |             |
| >12 years, n (%)         | 39 (26)     |
| ≤ 12 years, n (%)        | 45 (30)     |
| Contact with COVID-19 patients |         |
| yes, <10, n (%)          | 37 (25)     |
| yes, >10, n (%)          | 38 (25)     |

## Risk exposure before congress

| masturbate  | Contact to covid infected persons 3 weeks before congress |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| yes, overall, n (%)                                   | 32 (21)         |
| yes, at work with patients, n (%)                     | 27 (18)         |

## Transport to congress

|         |                       |
|---------|-----------------------|
|         | public transport <1h, n (%) | 13 (9)   |
|         | public transport >1h, n (%) | 110 (73) |

## Recreational activity

|                           |                       |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| none, n (%)               | 78 (52)               |
| indoor/outdoor sports, n (%) | 53 (35)  |
| choir/music group, n (%)  | 9 (6)                 |

## Risk exposure during congress
| Accommodation                  |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| At home, n (%)                | 41 (27) |
| Hotel single room             | 94 (64) |

During congress attended

| Restaurant/Bar, n (%)         | 94 (63) |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| 1-5 people met/evening, n (%) | 50 (68) |
| 5-10 people met/evening, n (%)| 18 (25) |
| Concert/Diskothek/night club, n (%) | 2 (1) |

**Risk exposure after congress**

| yes, overall, n (%)          | 40 (27) |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| yes, at work with patients, n (%) | 29 (19) |

After congress attended

| Restaurant/Bar, n (%)         | 106 (71) |
| Concert/Theater, n (%)        | 22 (15)  |

Recreational activity

| none, n (%)                  | 78 (52) |
| indoor/outdoor sports, n (%) | 53 (35) |
| choir/music group, n (%)     | 9 (6)   |

Public transport used since congress

| never/sporadically, n (%)    | 75 (50) |
| during working days, n (%)   | 39 (26) |
| weekends, n (%)              | 12 (8)  |
| during workings days/weekends, n (%) | 24 (16) |

n = number

**Table 2. Baseline characteristics, risk factors for study participants with positive serology or self-reported PCR/Serology.
| Baseline characteristics (sex/age) | Serology (Baseline P1/ follow-up P2) | Reported PCR/Serology | Risk factor |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| sex/age                           | origin                              | Profession            |             |
| f / 41-50 y                       | german speaking part of switzerland | Hospital pharmacist   | pos / pos   | not done / pos | pos house-hold contact |
| f / 51-60 y                       | french speaking part of switzerland | Nurse                 | pos / pos   | not done       | pos house-hold contact |
| f / 51-60 y                       | german speaking part of switzerland | Nurse                 | pos / pos   | pos / pos      | travelled outside switzerland |
| f / 31-40 y                       | german speaking part of switzerland | Physician             | pos / pos   | not done / not done | contact with Sars-CoV-2 pos patient, travel outside Switzerland |
| f / 31-40 y                       | german speaking part of switzerland | Physician             | neg / neg   | pos / pos      | contact with Sars-CoV-2 pos patient, regular use of public transport |
| no info                           | no info                             | no info               | pos / pos   | no info        | no info |

PCR = polymerase chain reaction pos = positive neg = negative  f = female

Table 3. Safety concept of the congress venue.
**Elements of safety concept**

| Category                                      | Details                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Obligatory mask                               | At all times except while eating/drinking during breaks                                                                             |
| Physical distancing                           | 1.5m                                                                                                                                     |
| Cleaning                                      | Regular disinfection of all surfaces with which participants have been in contact                                                       |
|                                              | Keeping doors open as much as possible                                                                                                  |
|                                              | Sufficient and regular room ventilation                                                                                               |
|                                              | Regular refill of dispensers for soap, hand disinfectants, disposable towels and cleaning products                                      |
|                                              | Regular cleaning and disinfection of toilets                                                                                          |
| Registration/congress environment             | Payment in advance online or by credit card                                                                                           |
|                                              | Registration office staff works behind protective glass                                                                               |
|                                              | No congress kits                                                                                                                       |
|                                              | Cloakroom on self-service basis                                                                                                       |
| Exhibition measures                           | Sufficient distance between exhibition stands and maximum number of staff allowed simultaneously according to available space (2p/6m², 3p/8m², 7p/15m², 11p/24m², 16p/36m², 18p/42 m²) |
|                                              | Regular disinfection of items displayed on the stand                                                                                  |
|                                              | Keeping minimum distance (1.5m) to customers                                                                                           |
|                                              | Telephone number of staff available in case of contact tracing                                                                       |
| Catering                                      | Generally seated                                                                                                                      |
|                                              | Sufficient numbers of coffee stations to reduce waiting lines                                                                       |
|                                              | Pastries, salads and desserts individually wrapped                                                                                  |
|                                              | 3 different areas allowing a maximum of 100 people to be seated/catering area                                                          |
|                                              | Use of organic disposable material                                                                                                   |
|                                              | For Lunch: a form is to be filled in by all seated person on each table and at each lunch                                            |
|                                              | Dish of the day served by staff, buffets protected by Plexiglas                                                                       |
|                                              | Water and juice served by an waiter                                                                                                   |
|                                              | No networking dinners except for speaker's dinner                                                                                     |
Conference rooms, posterwalks

Every second chair used

Speakers bring their presentation on a USB key

No touching of microphones by the speaker

Regular disinfection of lectern computer and microphones

Authors presenting their poster during the posterwalk must also wear a mask

Personal data

Data of participants, sponsor/exhibitor delegate, organizations/congress employees stored for at least 14 days after the event, treated with utmost confidentiality and deleted afterwards

In case of infection precautionary measures such as quarantine/isolation will be imposed on certain persons
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