Purpose. The main goal of the work is to advance the ocean general circulation model by improving description of the processes of vertical turbulent exchange of heat, salt and momentum which significantly affect quality of reproducing the ocean circulation and thermohaline structure using the models based on a system of the ocean hydrothermodynamics primitive equations.

Methods and Results. The main instrument of the research is the sigma model of the oceanic and marine circulation developed at the Marchuk Institute of Numerical Mathematics, RAS. In the incompressibility, hydrostatics and Boussinesq approximations, the system of equations is supplemented with the $\omega_k$ and $\epsilon_k$ parameterizations of the vertical turbulent exchange, the equations for which are solved by the splitting method applied to the physical processes. The equations are split into the stages describing transport-diffusion of the turbulence characteristics and their generation-dissipation. At the generation-dissipation stage, the equations for turbulent characteristics are solved analytically. At that, the stability functions resulted from application of the spectral algorithm are used. To assess quality of two parameterizations of the vertical turbulent exchange, the North Atlantic–Arctic Ocean circulation is numerically simulated and the upper ocean layer characteristics are studied.

Conclusions. It is shown that the structure of the North Atlantic–Arctic Ocean large-scale fields is sensitive to choice of the vertical turbulence models. In particular, application of the $\epsilon_k$ parameterization is accompanied by a noticeably higher rate of involvement of the seasonal pycnocline waters in the developed turbulence zone than that resulting from application of the $\omega_k$ parameterization.
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INTRODUCTION

Ocean general circulation models (OGCMs) are, as a rule, based on systems of primitive equations [1–4]. It is possible to distinguish several main differences of the OGCMs from the models of classical hydrodynamics, namely: the presence of rotation (Coriolis acceleration); simplification of the third equation of motion to a hydrostatic relationship; “artificial” vertical mixing upon the achievement of stable stratification; free upper surface – the sea level and simplification of the
kinematic boundary conditions; complex coastal boundary, the presence of islands, variable bottom topography. The models of marine and oceanic dynamics are described by complex non-classical systems, including evolutionary and diagnostic equations, and require the development of special numerical algorithms [1, 2, 5–8]. The features of the marine and oceanic dynamics problems include a small horizontal scale of modeled phenomena and a small amount of observational data.

Practical simulations reveal the fact that the increase of spatial resolution and consideration of observational data are the key questions for improving the modeling [8–10]. An important resource for increasing the OGCM adequacy is the presence of parametrizations of subgrid processes [11–14]. Models should be constantly enriched with physically justified parameterizations, since it is hardly possible to explicitly describe ocean dynamics in the near future. This is especially true of meso- and sub-mesoscale variability, the dynamics of coastal zones and water areas at high latitudes, where the Rossby radius is about 1–5 km. The parametrization of subgrid processes remains one of the most important tasks of modeling and forecasting the hydrophysical and meteorological fields [14–24].

A feature of the ocean general circulation model of Marchuk Institute of Numerical Mathematics (INM) of the Russian Academy of Sciences is the formulation of its equations in evolutionary form and a solution algorithm based on the splitting method with respect to physical processes [1, 2]. The development of the model – its physical enrichment, the inclusion of a new factor or parameterization is also carried out within the framework of the splitting method. A new model subsystem is predetermined in evolutionary form, and its operator is represented as the sum of suboperators of a simpler structure [11, 12]. $k - \omega$ and $k - \varepsilon$ vertical turbulence models also satisfy this condition.

The parameterization of vertical turbulent exchange of momentum, heat and salt is an important factor in the physical development of the model. The spatial-temporal scales of vertical turbulent exchange are much smaller than the ones of large-scale circulation. They are approximately 1–10 km horizontally, 1–10 m vertically and from seconds to several hours in time. For primitive models, this is a subgrid process to be parameterized.

Turbulent mixing is often described in the OGCMs by a second-order operator with coefficients of turbulent exchange of momentum $\nu_u$, heat $\nu_T$ and salt $\nu_S$ [11-15]. In order to determine the exchange coefficients, the models based on two equations are used [17]. The first equation is written for the turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) $k$, the second – either for the turbulence scale $l$ ($k - kl$ model) or for the TKE specific dissipation rate $\varepsilon$ ($k - \varepsilon$ model) or for the frequency $\omega$ ($k - \omega$ model). The characteristics $l$, $\varepsilon$, $\omega$ are related algebraically. These models describe developed turbulence and separate layers with developed and underdeveloped intermittent turbulence. Mixing in the underdeveloped turbulence layers is performed with implying double diffusion, destruction of internal and tidal waves and other effects [18, 19].

We develop an effective algorithm for solving $k - \omega$ and $k - \varepsilon$ turbulence equations. Like the OGCM equations, the turbulence equations are solved using the splitting method with respect to physical processes. The equations are split into the two main stages: transport – diffusion and generation – dissipation of the corresponding functions. Moreover, at the second stage of splitting the turbulence
equations are solved analytically. The paper describes the results of using these
parametrizations in the modeling of large-scale fields of the North Atlantic and
the Arctic Ocean.

**Ocean general circulation model**

The INM RAS OGCM is formulated in a sigma coordinate system with a free
surface $\sigma = (Z - \zeta) / (H - \zeta)$, where $Z, \zeta, H$ are a geopotential vertical coordinate,
sea surface height (SSH) and ocean depth [11, 12, 25, 26]. The model is based on
primitive equations written in a bipolar orthogonal coordinate system on a sphere.
The poles are located at the geographical equator at $120^\circ$ W and $60^\circ$ E [24].
The prognostic variables of the model are horizontal current velocities, SSH,
potential temperature and salinity. Sea ice is simulated according to [27].

The OGCM equation set is split into the two main subsystems: transport –
diffusion of substances and adaptation of current and density fields. The equations
are approximated by finite differences on the grid “C”. More detailed description
of the model is given in [12, 25]. In the OGCM simulations the time step $\tau_{ocm}$ is
1 hour. Vertical turbulence processes are described within the framework of the
“diffusion” approach. The exchange coefficients are calculated using $k - \varepsilon$ or
$k - \omega$ model.

**Turbulent exchange equations**

The $k - \varepsilon$ model is described by a system of two equations for the turbulent
kinetic energy $k$ and its specific dissipation rate $\varepsilon$ [17, 19]. The equations of the $k - \varepsilon$ model in $\sigma$-coordinate system ($\sigma$ is directed downward) have the following form
[17]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dk}{dt} & - \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\partial \nu_v}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \sigma} - \Lambda k = \nu_\sigma G^2 - \nu_\sigma N^2 - \varepsilon, \\
\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} & - \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\partial \nu_v}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \sigma} - \Lambda \varepsilon = \left[ c_1^\varepsilon \nu_\sigma G^2 - c_2^\varepsilon \nu_\sigma N^2 - c_1\nu_\sigma \varepsilon \right] \frac{\varepsilon}{k},
\end{align*}
\]

where $G, N$ are the velocity shear and buoyancy frequencies calculated in the OGCM:

\[
G^2 = \left( \frac{1}{H} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \sigma} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{H} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \sigma} \right)^2, \quad N^2 = \frac{g}{H \rho_0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma}; \ \Lambda \text{ is a horizontal diffusion operator}; \ \nu_\sigma \text{ is a coefficient of vertical turbulent viscosity, } \nu_\rho \text{ is a coefficient of potential density vertical turbulent exchange. In the developed turbulence layer where } k > k_{min} = 0.03 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^2, \text{ the temperature and salinity diffusion coefficients are also assumed } \nu_T = \nu_\rho \text{ and } \nu_S = \nu_T \ [28]. \text{ Dimensionless turbulent Schmidt numbers for TKE and dissipation rate are } \sigma_{k}^\varepsilon = 1, \ \sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1.3. \text{ The remaining parameters are equal to: } c_1^\varepsilon = 1.44, c_2^\varepsilon = 1.92, c_1^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} -0.4, & N^2 > 0, \\
1.0, & N^2 \leq 0. \end{cases} \text{ Here and further it is assumed that } k \neq 0, \ \varepsilon \neq 0, \ \omega \neq 0.
The solution of turbulence equations depends on the buoyancy and velocity shear frequencies calculated by the OGCM. In its turn, the coefficients of vertical turbulent exchange used in the OGCM depend on the turbulence characteristics. The coefficients of vertical turbulent viscosity $v_u$ and diffusion $v_T$ in the OGCM are calculated using similarity relations. For $k – \varepsilon$ models we have:

$$v_u = \left(c_s^0\right)^3 c_s^u \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}, \quad v_T = \left(c_s^0\right)^3 c_s^T \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}. \quad (2)$$

Here $c_s^u, c_s^T = c_s^T$ are dimensionless stability functions for a vector and scalar; $c_s^0 = 0.5544$ is a value of stability function at a neutral stratification. In weak turbulence layers the background values $v_u = 1, v_s = v_T = 0.05$ cm$^2$/s are used.

The $k – \omega$ model equations have the following form [17]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dk}{dt} &- \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left( c_s^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} k \right) - \Lambda k = v_u G^2 - v_s N^2 - \left(c_s^0\right)^4 \omega k, \\
\frac{d\omega}{dt} &- \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left( c_s^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \omega \right) - \Lambda \omega = \left[c_1 v_u G^2 - c_3 v_s N^2 - c_2 \left(c_s^0\right)^4 k \omega \right] \frac{\omega}{k}.
\end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Dimensionless turbulent Schmidt numbers for TKE and dissipation rate are $\sigma_k = 2, \sigma_\omega = 2$. According to [17], the rest of parameters are $c_1^\omega = 0.555, \quad c_2^\omega = 0.833, \quad c_3^\omega = \begin{cases} -0.6, & N^2 > 0 \\
1, & N^2 \leq 0 \end{cases}.$

When calculating the coefficients of vertical turbulent viscosity $v_u$ and diffusion $v_T$, we use the following relations

$$v_u = \frac{c_s^u k}{c_s^0 \omega}, \quad v_T = \frac{c_s^T k}{c_s^0 \omega}, \quad (4)$$

where $c_s^0 = 0.5562$. Like in $k – \varepsilon$ model, the background values $v_u = 1, v_s = v_T = 0.05$ cm$^2$/s are used in weak turbulence layers.

**Splitting of turbulent exchange equations**

The systems of equations (1) and (3) are solved using the splitting method with respect to physical processes. We split the procedure for solving equations into the two stages describing the transport – diffusion and generation – dissipation processes. At each time step $t^j < t < t^{j+1}$, simpler split subsystems are solved. The solution obtained at the current stage is used as an initial condition at the next stage.

The transport – diffusion and generation – dissipation processes have different characteristic times. Transport – diffusion is a slow three-dimensional evolution of $k, \varepsilon, \omega$ fields similar to the one of the OGCM background fields. Generation – dissipation is a fast spatial process describing the dynamics of turbulent disturbances.
At the stage of three-dimensional transport – diffusion, writing down the equation for TKE in one line, we have:

\[
\frac{dk}{dt} = \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\nu_u}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \sigma} + \Lambda k ,
\]

\[
\frac{de}{dt} = \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\nu_u}{\sigma_e} \frac{\partial e}{\partial \sigma} + \Lambda e ,
\]

\[
\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\nu_u}{\sigma_\omega} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \sigma} + \Lambda \omega .
\]

On the upper boundary of the ocean for \( \sigma = 0 \) we set the conditions:

\[
\frac{\nu_u}{\sigma_k} \frac{1}{H} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \sigma} = -c_g (u'_s)^3 ,
\]

\[
\frac{\nu_u}{\sigma_e} \frac{1}{H} \frac{\partial e}{\partial \sigma} = Q^e ,
\]

\[
\frac{\nu_u}{\sigma_\omega} \frac{1}{H} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \sigma} = Q^0 ,
\]

where \( u'_s \) is a friction velocity in the water at the ocean surface; \( c_g \) is a dimensionless parameter depending on wind and waves (wind generation parameter): \( c_g \approx 10 \) [21] or \( c_g \approx 40 \) [22]; \( Q^e, Q^0 \) are the surface fluxes of specific dissipation rate and dissipation frequency. One of the methods for setting the “dissipation flux” is given at p. 22, 23 in [28]. In our experiments it was supposed that \( Q^0 = 0 \). At the ocean bottom for \( \sigma = 1 \) the normal fluxes \( k, e, \omega \) are equal to zero.

At the generation – dissipation stage, substituting (2) in (1) and (4) in (3), we have:

\[
\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} = \left[ \left( \frac{c_s^0}{c_s} \right)^3 \left( G^2 - \frac{N^2}{Pr} \right) k - \frac{k}{c_s^4} \right] k ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} = \left[ \left( \frac{c_s^0}{c_s} \right)^3 \left( c_s^4 G^2 - c_s^4 \frac{N^2}{Pr} \right) k - c_s^4 \frac{e}{k} \right] e ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} = \left[ \frac{c_s^0}{c_s} \left( G^2 - \frac{N^2}{Pr} \right) \frac{1}{\omega} - \left( \frac{c_s^0}{c_s} \right)^4 \omega \right] k ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = \left[ \frac{c_s^0}{c_s} \left( c_s^4 G^2 - c_s^4 \frac{N^2}{Pr} \right) \frac{1}{\omega} - c_s^4 \left( \frac{c_s^0}{c_s} \right)^4 \omega \right] \omega .
\]
Here the relations \( \nu_\rho \equiv \nu_T \equiv \nu_s = \nu_s / Pr \), \( Pr = \epsilon_s^u / c_s^T \) are used, \( Pr \) is the Prandtl number. It can be seen that unlike \( k - \epsilon \), the system of \( k - \omega \) equations (6) has a simpler form – the equation for \( \omega \) does not depend on \( k \). Since in the splitting scheme all the coefficients (7) – (10) are taken from the previous step, it is easy to write out the analytical solution (10).

An analytical solution for the \( k - \omega \) model at the generation – dissipation stage is given in [15, 23 and 24]. In these works, it was noted that the selection of parameters and the use of observational data in the simulations are important factors in increasing the adequacy of modeling the large-scale ocean circulation. The possibility of increasing the OGCM’s adequacy by taking into account the observational data on the climatic annual mean buoyancy frequency in \( A \) and \( B \) is also shown. From this point of view, the algorithm is quite flexible. Firstly, it allows us to vary \( A \) and \( B \) by changing the stability functions or the Prandtl number, while preserving the simplicity and stability of the computations. Secondly, it makes it possible to solve the turbulence equations with a large time step equal to the one for the OGCM. So, the time step \( \tau_{\text{ocm}} \) is 1 hour in numerical experiments for the OGCM. At the transport – diffusion stage the equations of the \( k - \omega \) model are also solved with the time step 1 hour. At the generation – dissipation stage the \( k - \omega \) equations are solved with the step \( \tau_T \) varied within the range \( 1 \text{min} \leq \tau_T \leq 1 \text{h} \).

**Analytic solution for the \( k - \epsilon \) model at generation – dissipation stage**

We transform the \( k - \epsilon \) model equations at the generation – dissipation stage to a form similar to the one for the \( k - \omega \) model. To do this, we introduce an auxiliary function

\[
\tilde{\omega} = \epsilon / k / \left( \epsilon_s^0 \right)^3 / c_s^u .
\]

(11)

The equations (7), (8) with regard to assumption that \( k \neq 0 \), \( \epsilon \neq 0 \) will be written down as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial \ln k}{\partial t} = \left( G^2 - N^2 / Pr \right) \frac{1}{\omega} - \left( c_s^0 \right)^3 c_s^u \tilde{\omega} ,
\]

(12)

\[
\frac{\partial \ln \epsilon}{\partial t} = \left( \epsilon_s^c G^2 - c_s^c N^2 / Pr \right) \frac{1}{\omega} - c_s^c \left( c_s^0 \right)^3 c_s^u \tilde{\omega} .
\]

(13)

Subtracting (12) from (13), we obtain the equations for \( k \) and \( \tilde{\omega} \), moreover, the equation for \( \tilde{\omega} \) does not depend on \( k \):

\[
\frac{\partial \ln k}{\partial t} = A / \tilde{\omega} - D \tilde{\omega} ,
\]

(14)

\[
\frac{\partial \ln \tilde{\omega}}{\partial t} = B / \tilde{\omega} - C \tilde{\omega} ,
\]

(15)

\[ A = G^2 - N^2 / Pr , \quad D = \left( c_s^0 \right)^3 c_s^u , \]

\[ B = \left( c_s^c - 1 \right) G^2 - \left( c_s^c - 1 \right) N^2 / Pr , \quad C = \left( c_s^0 \right)^4 \left( c_s^c - 1 \right) . \]

(16)
The analytical solution of (14), (15) has the form:

\[
\tilde{\omega} = \sqrt{\frac{B}{C}} \left( \tilde{\omega}_0 / \sqrt{B / C} \right) \text{th} \left( \sqrt{BC} t \right) + 1, \quad (17)
\]

\[
k = k^0 \frac{1 + \left( \sqrt{B / C} / \tilde{\omega}_0 \right) \text{th} \left( \sqrt{BC} t \right) }{1 + \left( \tilde{\omega}_0 / \sqrt{B / C} \right) \text{th} \left( \sqrt{BC} t \right) } \frac{A/B}{D/C}, \quad (18)
\]

\[
\tilde{\omega}_0 = \varepsilon^0 / k^0 / D,
\]

where \( \tilde{\omega}_0 \) and \( k^0 \) are the values at the initial time moment at the generation – dissipation stage. In terms of \( k, \varepsilon \) we obtain:

\[
k = k^0 \frac{1 + \text{th} \left( \sqrt{BC} t \right) \left( D \sqrt{B / C} k^0 / \varepsilon^0 \right) }{1 + \text{th} \left( \sqrt{BC} t \right) \left( D \sqrt{B / C} k^0 / \varepsilon^0 \right) } \frac{A/B}{D/C},
\]

\[
\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{B}{C}} \left( \varepsilon^0 / k^0 \right) \text{th} \left( \sqrt{BC} t \right) + D \sqrt{B / C} \text{th} \left( \sqrt{BC} t \right) Dk.
\]

**Stability functions based on the spectral algorithm**

Usually the form of the stability functions (when averaging the equations of geophysical hydrodynamics according to Reynolds) does not take into account scale differences and mix them [17, 28, 29]. Generally to say, the turbulence models used in the OGCMs should take into account the impact of multiscale processes. Their consideration can be introduced using the spectral algorithm [16, 30]. In the spectral algorithm, nonlinear equations of ocean circulation are replaced by linear spectral equations with random external forcing known as the Langevin equations. After a series of transformations, the latter are reduced to the two differential equations determining new stability functions dependent only on the Richardson number \( \text{Ri} = N^2 / G^2 \) [16, 30]:

\[
\frac{c_s^\nu}{c_s^0} = \frac{1 + 8 \text{Ri}^2}{1 + 2.3 \text{Ri} + 35 \text{Ri}^2}, \quad \frac{c_s^\tau}{c_s^0} = \frac{1.4 - 0.01 \text{Ri} + 1.29 \text{Ri}^2}{1 + 2.44 \text{Ri} + 19 \text{Ri}^2}. \quad (19)
\]

It should be noted that the spectral algorithm does not support the widespread notion of complete attenuation of turbulence by highly stable stratification. In this case, the first of the stability functions (19) gives the value 0.22 while the second one tends to zero asymptotically for large \( \text{Ri} \).
Numerical experiments and simulation results

The main purpose of numerical experiments is to assess the influence of the two turbulence parametrizations $k-\varepsilon$ and $k-\omega$ on the structure of modeled hydrophysical fields. The simulations were carried out using the INM RAS OGCM with built-in $k-\varepsilon$ and $k-\omega$ subsystems and with new stability functions (19).

Statement of the numerical experiments. The simulations were carried out for the water area including the Atlantic Ocean northward of 30° S, the Arctic Ocean and the Bering Sea. The simulations were carried out from January 1, 1976 to December 31, 1977. The computational area has open boundaries located at 30°S and in the straits of the Aleutian Islands. The area includes the Mediterranean, Black and Baltic Seas. The grid step in latitude and longitude is 0.25°. 40 sigma levels are set in vertical with the refinement near the ocean surface. The ocean bottom topography was smoothed in accordance with the horizontal resolution of the model so that there are no strong bottom gradients. Model depth is limited to a minimum of 10 m.

Boundary conditions at the ocean surface are calculated using the atmospheric characteristics according to the CORE-II (Datasets for Common Ocean-ice Reference Experiments – Phase II) data [31]. The fluxes of sensible and latent heat, fresh water and wind stress are calculated with 1-hour discreteness according to CORE-II data on air temperature, humidity, wind speed components and sea level pressure using the model water temperature. The fluxes of long-wave and short-wave radiation (taking into account its penetrating ability) are set with 1 day discreteness [31]. The precipitation rate and river runoff are set with 1 month discreteness.

On solid coastal boundaries the conditions of no normal flow and no heat and salt flux are set. At the liquid boundaries from the surface to the bottom the climatic monthly mean values of temperature and salinity are set. The runoff of the main rivers is indirectly taken into account in the boundary condition for salinity.

January climatic fields of the ocean temperature and salinity, the absence of movement and sea ice [24] are taken as initial conditions. In the boundary condition (6) $Q_0^\omega = 0$.

The turbulence equations at the generation – dissipation stage are solved analytically with the time step $\tau_T = 1$ h equal to the OGCM step $\tau_{ocm}$.

The simulation results. We compare the simulation results with the observational data for “C” ocean weather station (OWS) with 52.75° N, 35.5° W coordinates, where the upper layer probing was carried out 8 times a day 1.

Fig. 1 presents the temperature profiles at the initial stage of free convection development in September – October 1977. Both the observational data at the OWS “C” and the average over the model cell (covering the OWS) OGCM calculations with $k-\varepsilon$ and $k-\omega$ mixing parametrizations are given. All profiles are constructed by discrete data using cubic spline interpolation [32].

---

1 A collection of climatological and statistical data at the ocean station “C” (52° 45′ N, 35° 30′ W) for 1976–1980 period. Section 1. Oceanographic and hydrochemical observations. Obninsk, 1984. 338 p.
For the month under consideration the observed temperature of the upper quasihomogeneous layer (UQL) decreased by 1.75°C. The simulations indicate that the UQL deepening due to using the $k-\varepsilon$ model is noticeably larger in comparison with both the observational data and the $k-\omega$ model. The UQL temperature is reproduced better when using the $k-\omega$ parameterization.

Using the maximum curvature of the daily mean vertical temperature profiles we determine the position of the ocean UQL lower boundary $h$ and relate it to $w_e$ - the rate of thermocline water involvement into the UQL: $w_e = dh / dt$. Using this ratio we can assess the rate of thermocline water involvement into the UQL. The simulations show that during October 1977 the value is $w_e \sim 0.5$ m/day for the $k-\omega$ model and observational data, while it is $w_e \sim 0.8$ m/day for the $k-\varepsilon$ model.

Fig. 2 presents the UQL thickness for October 10, 1977 in the North Atlantic, Norwegian and Greenland. All the qualitative features of the UQL thickness distribution coincide in both cases. However, we note that in most of the water area $k-\varepsilon$ the parametrization (compared with $k-\omega$) leads to stronger mixing. That is, in the initial period of free convection the UQL thickness, which is an important characteristic of vertical mixing, is sensitive to the choice of parameterization.
Conclusions

1. The application of the $k - \varepsilon$ and $k - \omega$ parametrizations of turbulent mixing in the INM RAS ocean general circulation model is described. When calculating the parameters of turbulence models, the stability functions are used based on the spectral algorithm.

2. The method of splitting with respect to physical processes is used for the numerical solution of turbulence equations. A feature of the method is the exact solution of the split equations at the generation – dissipation stage. Previously this technique was used for $k - \omega$ model [15, 23, 24]. In this case, as well, an analytical solution is written down for the $k - \varepsilon$ model and used in the simulations.

3. It is shown that the structure of large-scale fields of the North Atlantic – the Arctic Ocean is sensitive to the choice of vertical turbulence models. So, for example, in the $k - \varepsilon$ model the rate of seasonal pycnocline water involvement into the zone of developed turbulence is noticeably higher than when using the $k - \omega$ model.
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