Network-Based Drug Discovery Identifies Statins as a Repurposing Agent to Improve Activity of Current Therapies in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia.
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Abstract

Background: Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is a B lymphoid malignancy highly dependent on the microenvironment. CLL cell interactions with the supportive tissue microenvironment play a critical role in disease pathogenesis. Despite new targeted therapies such as ibrutinib and venetoclax, disease progression and relapse remain an issue. The present study aimed to identify drugs targeting key proteins described to have a role in the microenvironment.

Methods: We used a platform for drug discovery based on systems biology and artificial intelligence. Bioactive compounds have been screened in silico and selected compounds were evaluated in CLL cell lines in the presence of stromal cells to mimic the microenvironment and validated the best candidates in primary CLL cells.

Results: Our results showed that the commercial drug simvastatin was the most effective and selective out of the tested compounds. Simvastatin decreased CLL cell survival and proliferation as well as cell adhesion. Importantly, this drug enhanced the antitumor effect of venetoclax and ibrutinib.

Conclusions: We proposed that systems biology approaches combined with pharmacology screening could help to find new drugs for CLL treatment and to predict new combinations with current therapies. Our results highlight the possibility of repurposing widely used drugs such as statins to target the microenvironment and to improve the efficacy of ibrutinib or venetoclax in CLL cells.

Background

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is a mature B-cell neoplasm characterized by a progressive accumulation of mature functionally incompetent B cell lymphocytes (CD19^+^) in which microenvironmental signals play a critical role in ontogeny and evolution [1]. Recently new targeted therapies have been approved for CLL such as ibrutinib, a Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor targeting the B cell receptor (BCR) signaling, and venetoclax, a BCL2 inhibitor. Both drugs are associated with significantly better progression-free survival and overall survival compared to chemoimmunotherapy [2]. The microenvironment in the bone marrow and secondary lymphoid organs plays a crucial role in sustaining the viability of CLL cells and still represent a major obstacle to achieve disease eradication [3]. Ibrutinib in addition to interfering with BCR signaling as its primary mechanism of action, appears to block survival signals delivered by the microenvironment, which may include cell–cell contact and cytokines that modulate cell migration, trafficking, and proliferation [4,5].

Systems biology represents a natural complement to ongoing efforts in cell biology by integrating information about the parts (e.g., genes, proteins) of a complex biological system with the aim to predict the behavior of the whole. Furthermore, it could be a powerful instrument to link pharmacological and disease data, thereby providing a tool to evaluate the pleiotropic effect of large compound libraries and existing drugs[6]. There are many examples of the successful identification of novel therapeutic strategies based on systems biology [7–10]. In this study, bioactive compounds with known targets and
current drugs have been screened \textit{in silico} using a systems biology-based approach [11] for their potential to target CLL microenvironment. The effect of these drugs was tested \textit{in vitro} in CLL cells in the absence or presence of stromal cells to mimic the microenvironment.

**Methods**

**Identification of key molecules involved in CLL microenvironment**

The ‘CLL microenvironment’ motive was defined through manual curation of the literature to identify proteins (effectors) with a known role in microenvironment effects. Effector proteins were evaluated in the context of human functional protein network using different network-based mathematical modeling strategies. Models were built on the bases of a human protein functional interaction network, including physical interactions and modulations, signaling, metabolic relationships, and gene expression regulation. Data were obtained from public (KEGG, REACTOME, INTACT, BIOGRID, HPRD, MATRIXDB, MIPS, DIP, MINT) and private databases and manual curation of scientific literature. The final map includes 12,000 proteins and 180,000 links connecting the proteins. A subset of proteins called ‘Key Proteins’ with a high probability of having a large effect on the retrieved molecular description of the microenvironment was identified using two different mathematical modeling strategies based on artificial neural networks (ANN) [11] and on sampling methods [12]. ANN infers the probability of the existence of a specific relationship between sets of proteins on the bases of the topology of the network and provides a probabilistic score (from 0% to 100%) with an associated p-value that describes the probability of the results being a true positive result. A score > 91% indicates a very strong relationship (p-value <0.01); a score between 76–91% indicates a strong relationship (p-value 0.01–0.05); a score between 40–76% indicates a medium–strong relationship (p-value 0.05–0.25); and a score < 40% indicates a weak relationship (p-value >0.25). The likelihood of the individual effectors of CLL microenvironment motive of affecting the whole motive has been measured using this approach. Proteins that are closer to a higher number of other proteins in the microenvironment motive have more chances to be a good target. Modeling based on sampling methods infers the most plausible network interactions and information flow linking a set of input proteins. This modeling strategy allows measures of signal propagation through the network. Using this approach, we have evaluated which of the individual effectors of CLL microenvironment, when their action is reverted, had a major impact on the molecular microenvironment description as a whole.

**Identification of compound library for screening and combination therapies**

Drug targets where obtained from BindingDB (https://www.bindingdb.org) and DrugBank (https://www.drugbank) databases. The mathematical model strategy based on (ANN) [11] was used to select compounds affecting the larger number of key proteins related to the microenvironment motive. Compounds were purchased from Mcule Inc. (Palo Alto, CA) (Additional file 1). Compounds to validate the targets selected were described in Additional file 2.

**Cell lines and primary cells culture**
We used the CLL cell lines HG3 (ACC 765) and MEC-1 (ACC 497) from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ) and the human bone-marrow derived stromal cell line HS-5 (CRL-11882) from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Mycoplasma contamination in cell lines was routinely tested by PCR. Identification of all cell lines was done using the GenePrint®10 system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Primary CLL cells and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from healthy donors were isolated by Ficoll-Paque sedimentation (GE-Healthcare, Munich, Germany), cryopreserved and stored in the Hematopathology collection registered at the Biobank (Hospital Clinic-IDIBAPS; R121004-094) Clinical and biological data of each patient are detailed in Additional file 3. The project was conducted following the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Hospital Clinic Ethics Committee.

CLL cell lines, primary CLL cells and PBMCs were cultured in RPMI 1640 complemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine and 50 µg/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and grown in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C with 5% CO₂. HS-5 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Life Technologies). HS-5 cells (2x10⁵ cells/mL) were plated overnight, and once obtained a confluent stroma monolayer, medium was replaced by CLL cells and drugs were added for 48 h. Afterward, CLL cells were collected by carefully rinsing the wells without disturbing the stroma monolayer.

Analysis of cytotoxicity and active metabolic activity (MTT) assay

HG3 and MEC-1 CLL cell lines (200 000 cells/mL) and primary CLL cells (2x10⁶ cells/mL) with >90% tumor B cells, were incubated alone or in coculture with HS-5 cells (50 000 cells/mL) for 48 h with the compounds at doses ranging from 0.1 to 15 µM. Cell cytotoxicity was quantified by double staining with Annexin-V conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and propidium iodide (PI) (eBiosciences, San Diego, CA). Normal PBMCs were labeled simultaneously with anti-CD3-FITC, anti-CD19-Phycoeritrin (PE; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) antibodies, and Annexin V-Pacific Blue (Life Technologies). Labeled samples were analyzed on an Attune focusing acoustic cytometer (Life Technologies).

Cytotoxicity values were represented relative to untreated control. For analysis of the metabolic activity, cells were cultured with the drugs for 48 h and 0.5 mg/mL MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) was added for 2–6 additional hours before spectrophotometric measurement. Each measurement was made in triplicate. Values were represented using untreated control cells as reference.

In vitro CLL proliferation assay

CLL primary cells (10⁷ cells) were labeled with 0.5 µM carboxyfluoresceinsuccinimidyl ester (CFSE; Life Technologies) as reported previously [13,14]. Briefly, 10⁵ cells/200 µL were cultured for 6 days in an enriched RPMI-1640 supplemented with 15 ng/mL recombinant human IL-15 (R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN) to sustain survival and with 0.2 µM CpG DNA TLR-9 ligand (ODN-2006; Invivogen, San Diego, CA) to induce cell proliferation [15]. The percentage of divided cells was determined as the percentage of CD19+...
(PE)/Annexin-V-(Pacific Blue) cells showing a decrease in CFSE staining on flow cytometry. Fluorescence-minus-one (FMO) was used as a negative control. Data analysis was performed using FlowJo 10.0.7 software (FlowJo, Ashland, OR).

**Chemotaxis assay**

Primary CLL cells were washed twice and maintained in serum-starved in FBS-free RPMI during the whole experiment. Three hours after treatment, cells were diluted to $5 \times 10^6$ cells/mL with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MI) in PBS and 100 µL ($5 \times 10^5$ cells) were added to the top chamber of a 6.5 mm diameter and 5 µm pore size transwell culture polycarbonate insert (Corning, Corning, NY), previously overnight coated with Intercellular Adhesion Molecule (ICAM) (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ), washed twice with PBS, and transferred to wells containing 600 µL of RPMI with 0.5% BSA with or without 200 ng/mL of human recombinant CXCL12 and CXCL13 (Peprotech) per well. After 3 h of incubation, 100 µL from each lower chamber of the transwell plate were collected in triplicate and viable cells gated and counted on a cytometer for 12 s under a constant flow rate of 500 µL/min. Migration was represented as percentage of migrating cells out of total viable cells added to the transwell.

**Cell confluence assay**

HG3 cells and HS-5 were plated at 200 000 cells/mL and 50 000 cells/mL, respectively (100 µL per well) into a 96-well flat bottom plate. Cell growth was monitored for 48 h by recording phase images using the IncuCyte ZOOM® live cell imaging system (Essen BioScience, Ltd. Royston Hertfordshire; UK) and confluence algorithm.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical data analysis was performed using Prism 6.01 Graphpad software (San Diego, CA). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used to compare the median of a set of samples against a hypothetical median. Comparison between two paired groups of samples was evaluated by the nonparametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used to compare two unpaired groups of data. Statistical significance was considered when P-value < 0.05 (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). Receiver (or Relative) operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed and the area under ROC curves (AUC) was calculated to evaluate sensitivity and specificity of both metabolic rate and cytotoxicity for each compound tested. Cut-off points on the ROC curves with higher AUC and P < 0.05 were used as selection criteria of effective drugs. The best cut off for MTT assay was 50% and of 20% for annexin analysis (Additional file 4).

**Results**

Based on the existing knowledge on CLL microenvironment and using systems biology approaches, we have identified *in silico* compounds with a potential to counteract the CLL microenvironment. Then, we
screened and validated some of these compounds in CLL cells using *in vitro* assays. The analytical workflow consisted of three interconnected parts: data sources, *in silico* analysis and *in vitro* screening are summarized in Figure 1.

**Identification of key molecular enclaves involved in CLL microenvironment**

A molecular description of CLL microenvironment was obtained by the identification of effector proteins with a known role on CLL microenvironment according to PubMed publications and a manual review of the literature. A total of 139 unique proteins (Additional file 5) were selected.

The known functional associations of these proteins with other proteins where retrieved from public data bases and we built a network around the known proteins related with CLL microenvironment effect. The contributions of close neighbor proteins on the effector proteins were also taken into consideration. To narrow down the target area of analysis a combination of different systems biology measures based on the human protein functional microenvironment network was used. Firstly, we used the ANN\(^1\) based model to identify effectors that connect with most of the other effectors. Proteins that are closer to a higher number of other proteins in the microenvironment motive have more chances to be a good target. Secondly, we used a mathematical modeling strategy based on sampling methods [12] to select those with higher impact on the whole response when their action in disease stage was reverted. The combination of both analyses has identified a subset of 57 proteins, from now on referred as “key proteins” (Figure 2a and (Additional file 6).

**Compound library selection**

The same network-based mathematical model of ANN [11] was used to select compounds with the best target combination to affect the larger number of key proteins or effectors of the CLL microenvironment. Compounds were selected from BindingDB and DrugBank databases based on three criteria: i) compounds with a predictive score \(> 78\) and a \(p\)-value lower than 0.05 using the ANN algorithm [11], ii) linkage to a drug supplier and iii) among the compounds fulfilling the previous criteria with the same target profile, the one with the best reported binding constant was selected. Finally, the number of compounds moving forward to the phenotypic screening was 65 (54 bioactive compounds and 11 drugs) (Additional file 1). The number of targets affected by the selected compounds is represented in Figure 2b.

**Compound library screening in CLL cells**

The CLL HG3 cell line and the human bone marrow stromal HS5 cell line were used to test the effect of the 65 selected compounds. MTT analysis was performed after incubation of cells for 48 h with 15 \(\mu\)M of the different compounds (Figure 3a). Only 8 compounds were affecting metabolic activity (color dot) taking into account a threshold of 50% determined by a ROC analysis (Additional file 4). Cytotoxicity was also analyzed by Annexin-V/PI staining in HG3 alone or in coculture with the stromal cell line HS5 (Figure 3b). Six compounds were cytotoxic for HG3 cells using a threshold of 20% determined by a ROC analysis (Additional file 4). One of these compounds (A5) was a false positive due to autofluorescence. Similar
results were obtained when HG3 cells were cocultured with HS-5 cells (Figure 3b). Two compounds (A1 and A2) exerted a cytostatic effect (MTT analysis) but with no effect on viability (Annexin V/PI staining). These results were also confirmed in the MEC-1 CLL cell line (Additional file 7). Then, a dose response (1 to 15 µM) was performed in the HG3 and HS5 cell lines with the 8 selected compounds. At all concentrations used, the CLL cell line was more sensitive to these compounds in a dose dependent manner by MTT (Figure 3C) or Annexin-V analysis (Figure 3d) than the stromal HS-5 cell line. Compound D1 exerted a high cytotoxic effect in all cell lines even at the lowest doses used.

**Compound library screening in primary CLL cells**

A dose-response screening of these 8 selected compounds was performed in primary CLL cells with doses ranging from 0.1 to 2.5 µM. Compounds C5, C7, D1 and F1 exerted a significant dose-dependent cytotoxic effect (Figure 4a). Compounds A1, A2, A12 and C11 did not exert any cytotoxic effect regardless of the doses used. Then, these 4 active compounds (C5, C7, D1 and F1) were analyzed in primary CLL cells in the presence of HS-5 in order to mimic the microenvironment. Compound D1 was discarded for not being selective for tumoral CLL cells, as a high cytotoxic effect was observed on HS-5 cells. Compounds C7 and F1 were selective for CLL cells even in the presence of HS-5 cells at all tested doses. In contrast, compound C5 only exerted a significant (p<0.05) and selective effect at the dose of 2.5 µM (Figure 4b).

We also analyzed if these compounds had any effect on CLL proliferation. CFSE-labeled primary CLL cells were induced to proliferate by incubating them with a medium containing the CpG oligonucleotide ODN2006, which triggers growth and cell division in the proliferative centers of CLL patients, and the inflammation-linked cytokine IL-15, which is constitutively produced by stromal cells [15] for 6 days. As shown in Figure 4c, ODN2006 plus IL15 induced an increase of CFSE\textsuperscript{low} viable CLL cells indicative of increased cell proliferation. All compounds tested (C5, C7 and F1) decreased the percentage of CFSE\textsuperscript{low} viable CLL cells, indicating that these compounds induced a significant reduction on CLL proliferation. Ibrutinib 0.25 µM was used as a positive control to inhibit proliferation of CLL cells under these conditions. In order to analyze if the cytotoxicity effect of these compounds was specific for CLL cells, we incubated PBMCs from healthy donors with these compounds at the same doses used in primary CLL cells. The effect on normal B (CD19\textsuperscript{+}) and T (CD3\textsuperscript{+}) lymphocytes was analyzed by flow cytometry. Compounds C7 and F1 were selective for CLL cells at all doses used. In contrast, compound C5 lost the selectivity at 2.5 µM, the highest dose tested (Figure 4d).

**Target validation of selected compounds**

Two compounds were selected C7 and F1. One of the main targets of compound C7 was NOD1 (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 1) (Additional file 8). NOD1 is an innate immune receptor which together with NOD2 recognizes intra-cellular bacterial components [16]. As NOD1 was also a target of other possible effective compounds (A1, A12 and C5) we compared the cytotoxic effect of these compounds with 5 currently available commercial NOD inhibitors (Additional file 2):
BDBM62265, BDBM54356, NOD-IN-1 and noditinib (NOD1 inhibitors) and GSK583 (inhibitor of RIP2, a downstream effector of NOD1/2) [17]. Any of these specific inhibitors exerted a cytotoxic effect in the CLL cell lines (HG3, MEC-1) and the stromal HS-5 cell line analyzed by MTT analysis (Figure 5a). We confirmed by AnnexinV/PI staining that these inhibitors were not cytotoxic for HG3 alone or in coculture with HS-5 cells (Figure 5b). Therefore, we considered that NOD1 was not the main target responsible of the effects seen with C7, although we cannot disregard that NOD1 had some pleiotropic effects with other identified targets for this compound. F1 that corresponded to simvastatin was the other compound of interest (Additional file 1). This drug inhibits the synthesis of cholesterol in the liver by the enzyme 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR) [18]. We compared the effect of simvastatin with other commercially available stains (lovastatin, fluvastatin and rosuvastatin) with different IC50 (Additional files 2 and 9). As it has been reported that statins also inhibit the integrin LFA-1 [19], we also tested two specific LFA-1 inhibitors (lifitegrast and BDBM50199033). We observed that all statins exerted a cytotoxic effect, analyzed by MTT (Figure 5c) and AnnexinV/PI staining (Figure 5d), although simvastatin was the statin with the highest effect. In contrast, LFA-1 inhibitors did not show any cytotoxic effect. Again, we cannot rule out a possible contribution of LFA-1 when combined with HMG-CoA reductase in the effects seen. In this way, we hypothesized that statins through the inhibition cholesterol synthesis pathway, might participate in the decrease of cell survival and proliferation and in addition, they might also influence cellular adhesion by inhibiting LFA-1 (Figure 5e). To validate this hypothesis, first we analyzed the confluence of cell culture of HG3 and HS5 alone and HG3 in coculture with HS5 treated with simvastatin 1 µM for 48 h. We observed that simvastatin induced a dramatic decrease on cell proliferation in HG3 both in the absence and in the presence of HS-5 cells. In contrast, no effect was observed in HS-5 alone (Figure 6a). We next analyzed the effect of statins and LFA-1 inhibitors on ICAM-mediated adhesion and migration of CLL cells triggered by CXCL12 and CXCL13, key chemokines for CLL cell homing to lymphoid tissues [3]. All different statins and specific LFA-1 inhibitors induced a significant reduction (p<0.05) on CLL adhesion/invasion induced by CXCL12 (Figure 6b) and CXCL13 (Figure 6c). We also confirmed by CFSE staining that all statins tested reduced significantly (p<0.05) the proliferation of CLL cells induced by incubation of cells with ODN2006 plus IL15 at 6 days (Figure 6d). Stains decreased the percentage of CFSE<sub>low</sub> CLL cells as shown in Figure 6e. To further study the effect of statins in CLL cells, we incubated primary CLL cells alone or in coculture with the stromal cell line HS5 with these different drugs. As observed in Figure 7a, all statins tested induced a cytotoxic effect on CLL cells, and this effect was not protected by incubating the cells with the stromal cell line HS-5. Furthermore, this effect is selective for CLL cells, as no effect was observed in the HS-5 cells at the low doses tested (0.1 and 1 µM; Figure 7a). The effect of these statins was also analyzed in PBMCs from healthy donors (Figure 7b). Statins exerted a significant selective cytotoxic effect in CLL cells compared to B (CD19<sup>+</sup>) and T (CD3<sup>+</sup>) cells from healthy donors at the doses of 0.1 and 1 µM.

**Systems biology analysis of possible combination therapies**

To identify if statins could improve CLL current treatments, we looked for the best combination therapies with simvastatin using the same network-based mathematical model screening used for single drug
screening [11]. Drugs were selected when the prediction score for the combination was superior to the individual ones and the p-value associated with the prediction score was lower than 0.1. As simvastatin displayed a high prediction score for CLL (75), it was difficult to identify compounds that increased its individual action. We tested 22 drugs commonly used for CLL treatment and 5 of them showed a probability for the combination above threshold, but only for ibrutinib and venetoclax the combination score was higher than the individual ones (Additional file 10).

Validation *in vitro* of combination therapies with statins

According to the systems biology prediction, we tested the combination of statins with ibrutinib and venetoclax. We incubated CLL cells in the presence of ODN2006 plus IL15 for 6 days, and CLL cell-viability was analyzed in CD19⁺ CLL cells by AnnexinV staining. We observed that incubation of cells with different statins and ibrutinib (0.1 µM) reduced CLL cell viability significantly (Figure 8a). Furthermore, proliferation of CLL cells decreased after ibrutinib and statins when were used alone and this effect on CLL proliferation was significantly higher when statins were combined with Ibrutinib (Figure 8b). Also, the cytotoxic effect of venetoclax 1 nM increased with the addition of statins 0.1 µM. We observed a significant (p<0.05) decrease in cell viability when venetoclax and statins were incubated together (Figure 8c).

Discussion

CLL is a malignancy of antigen-experienced mature B lymphocytes, in which microenvironmental signals play a critical role in ontogeny and evolution [20]. Recently, ibrutinib [21,22], a first-in-class BTK inhibitor and venetoclax [23,24], a selective BCL2 inhibitor have been approved for CLL treatment. However, evolution and selection of subclones leads to resistance and disease relapse [2]. Most of these new targeted therapies are also disrupting the dialogue of tumor B cells with the microenvironment giving further support to the importance of the microenvironment.

In the present study, we have employed a systems biology approach, based on artificial intelligence and pattern recognition techniques, in order to identify targets and new drugs that can overcome the supportive effect of the tissue microenvironment on CLL cell survival [25]. With this strategy we have created mathematical models that integrate all the available biological, pharmacological, and medical knowledge about CLL to simulate human physiology *in silico*. Eight of these compounds showed promising results either for their cytotoxicity or viability effects or in many instances for both effects, with minimal changes in their effects when analyzed in coculture. Thus, their action may overturn, at least partially, the supportive effect of the microenvironment. Validation of these 8 selected compounds in primary CLL cells and CLL cell lines in the presence of the stromal HS-5 cell line highlighted that the commercial drug simvastatin (F1) was the most effective and selective out of the 65 compounds tested.

Simvastatin is a commercially approved statin that interferes in the synthesis of cholesterol in the liver by inhibiting the enzyme HMGCR in the mevalonate pathway, thus blocking the synthesis of mevalonate and
preventing cholesterol formation [18]. Statins can be classified as natural or fungal-derived (lovastatin, simvastatin, pravastatin), and synthetic (fluvastatin, atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, pitavastatin and cerivastatin). The two groups differ in their ability to inhibit HMGCR and in their lipophilicity [26]. Statins show numerous pleiotropic effects including anti-inflammatory, anti-angiogenic, anti-oxidant and anti-cancer activities [27]. Multiple pleiotropic anti-cancer effects were observed such as induction of cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, inhibition of migration, invasion, metastasis and angiogenesis [28,29] and particularly, a cytotoxic effect was reported in hematological malignancies [30,31]. Epidemiologic studies suggest improved outcomes in some hematological malignancies in the statins users [32,33]. Particularly in CLL and lymphoma cells, statins induced apoptosis [34,35]. In this way, it has been proposed that upon statin treatment, cellular geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate levels are depleted, resulting in deficient isoprenylation of proteins. This, in turn, would lead to mislocalization and malfunction of such proteins, ultimately causing cell apoptosis [36]. It has also been reported in lymphoma cells that statins induced apoptosis by promoting ROS generation and regulating Akt, Erk and p38 signals via suppression of mevalonate pathway [37]. Furthermore, isoprenoid intermediates of mevalonate pathway might influence the expression of genes participating in the regulation of cell proliferation and transformation [29]. Simvastatin also targets the integrin LFA-1 [19]. This protein is a heterodimeric integral membrane protein composed of an alpha chain (LFA-1) and a beta chain (ITGB2) which is expressed on all leukocytes [38]. LFA-1 plays a central role in leukocyte intercellular adhesion through interactions with its receptive counterpart intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) [39]. LFA-1 is one of the most important adhesion molecules that mediate contact between tumor and stromal cells. Furthermore, cell adhesion has been considered as one of the major causes of primary drug resistance [40]. Our results showed that the statins (simvastatin, lovastatin, fluvastatin and rosuvastatin) exerted a cytotoxic effect, while no effect was observed using LFA-1 inhibitors. Furthermore, all statins tested reduced significantly the proliferation of CLL cells. When we analyzed the effect of statins and LFA-1 inhibitors on ICAM-mediated adhesion and migration of CLL cells triggered by CXCL12 and CXCL13, key chemokines for CLL cell homing to lymphoid tissues [3], all statins and the LFA-1 inhibitors induced a significant reduction on CLL adhesion/invasion induced by CXCL12 and CXCL13. With these results and the two putative targets of statins, HMGCR and LFA-1, we can hypothesize that statins might participate in the decrease of cell survival and proliferation by inhibiting the pathway of cholesterol synthesis and, on the other hand, they might target cellular adhesion by inhibiting LFA-1 (Figure 5e). Binding of statins to the LFA1 I-domain induces a conformational change in LFA-1 and inhibits the interaction of LFA-1 with ICAM1, which could contribute to the effects of statins on cell-adhesion, invasion and inflammation [41]. The effect of statins on primary leukemia stem cells has been reported in the context of a supportive microenvironment [42]. Furthermore, the mevalonate pathway inhibition caused by simvastatin abrogates the protective effect of stromal cells in CLL cells [43]. Recently, an association between low-potency lipophilic statin (lovastatin and fluvastatin) use and a reduced CLL risk, with a possible dose-response effect has been reported [44]. Our results emphasize on the fact that statins might play a role on the inhibition of the supportive microenvironment in CLL.
Since statins increase the susceptibility of CLL cells to chemotherapy [35], and the concomitant use of statin and aspirin is associated with improved outcome in CLL patients receiving fludarabine, cyclophosphamide and rituximab (FCR) chemotherapy [45], we looked for a potential improvement upon the addition of statins to the current CLL treatments. We identified by systems biology-based \textit{in silico} screening and validated in cell culture that statins can improve the efficacy of ibrutinib or venetoclax. Preclinical work has shown that statins enhance the ability of venetoclax and navitoclax to kill CLL cells by downregulating glutamine uptake or metabolism as well as its downstream signaling cascades [46]. Furthermore the response to venetoclax was enhanced among statin users in different clinical trials [47].

\textbf{Conclusions}

We propose that systems biology approaches combined with pharmacology can be useful to find new drugs for CLL treatment and to predict new and effective combinations. Our results highlight the possibility of repurposing the widely used drugs, such as statins, to improve the efficacy of the currently available targeted therapies in CLL.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Overall experimental procedure: (1) Data sources: Molecular description of CLL microenvironment effects retrieved from literature and mapping in a human protein functional network. Bioactive compounds and drugs with known protein target profile. (2) In silico analysis: Different metrics derived from network-based mathematical models have been used to select key molecular enclaves in the network around the known effector proteins of CLL microenvironment motive; to identify bioactive compounds with a potential effect around the key proteins and to identify antineoplastic agents that could have synergistic effect with simvastatin and (3) In vitro screening. Compound library and combination therapies with simvastatin were screened using an in vitro coculture CLL system.
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Figure 2

Molecular description of CLL microenvironment and targets. A) 139 proteins (effectors) selected as descriptors of the CLL microenvironment and the relations between them. B) Extract of the network build around the selected effectors of the microenvironment that contains the targets for the 65 screened compounds. Dark green square: CLL microenvironment selected descriptors; Light green round: Proteins directly related with selected CLL microenvironment descriptors; Orange, round: Protein targets of the 65
screened compounds that lay within the network described by the microenvironment effector proteins and close neighbours; Red square: Proteins that are effectors and targets simultaneously.
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Molecular description of CLL microenvironment and targets. A) 139 proteins (effectors) selected as descriptors of the CLL microenvironment and the relations between them. B) Extract of the network build around the selected effectors of the microenvironment that contains the targets for the 65 screened compounds.
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Figure 3
Compound library screening in HG3 and HS5 cell lines. A) Active metabolic activity of cells treated with the compounds at the concentration of 15 µM in the HG3 and HS-5 cell lines. B) Cytotoxicity of the compounds at 15 µM concentration in HG3 alone and in coculture with HS-5. C) Active metabolic activity of cells treated with the compounds at the concentrations of 1-5-15 µM in the HG3 and HS-5 cell lines. D) Cytotoxicity of the compounds at 1-5-15 µM concentration in HG3 alone, HG3 in coculture with HS-5 and in HS-5 alone. Cells were treated for 48 h with the compounds at concentrations from 1 to 15 µM. Each dot represents the mean of 3 independent experiments. Dotted line indicates the threshold to discriminate the effect of the compounds. The cut off for MTT and cytotoxicity was 50% and 20%, respectively. Active metabolic activity of CLL cells was measured using the MTT assay, and is depicted relative to untreated control. Cytotoxicity was defined as the increase in Annexin-V+/PI+ cells compared to untreated control.
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**Figure 4**
Screening of selected compounds in primary CLL cells. A) Cytotoxicity of the compounds at 0.1-1-2.5 µM in primary CLL cells (n=2-13). CLL cells were treated for 48 h with the compounds at concentrations from 0.1 to 2.5 µM. Dotted line indicates the threshold to discriminate the effect of the compounds. The cut off for cytotoxicity was 20%. Cytotoxicity was defined as the increase in Annexin-V+/PI+ cells compared to untreated control. B) Cytotoxicity of the compounds at 0.1-1-2.5 µM concentration in primary CLL cells, primary CLL in coculture with HS-5 cells and HS5 cells alone (n=6-13). CLL cells were treated for 48 h with the compounds at concentrations from 0.1 to 2.5 µM. Dotted line indicates the threshold to discriminate the effect of the compounds. The cut off for cytotoxicity was 20%. Cytotoxicity was defined as the increase in Annexin-V+/PI+ cells compared to untreated control. C) Percentage of proliferating CD19+ CLL cells after ODN2006+IL15 stimulation (30 min before treatment) and treatment with different compounds at the dose of 1 µM (C5, C7 and F1) or 0.25 µM (ibrutinib) for 6 days measured by CFSE dilution (n=10). D) Cytotoxicity of the compounds at 0.1-1-2.5 µM concentration in primary CLL cells and PBMCs from healthy donors (n=8) after 48h of incubation. Each compound is labeled with different form and color. Bars represent the mean ± SEM of all samples analyzed. Non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for statistical analysis. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001.
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C) Percentage of proliferating CD19+ CLL cells after ODN2006+IL15 stimulation (30 min before treatment) and treatment with different compounds at the dose of 1 µM (C5, C7 and F1) or 0.25 µM (Ibrutinib) for 6 days measured by CFSE dilution (n=10).

D) Cytotoxicity of the compounds at 0.1-1-2.5 µM concentration in primary CLL cells and PBMCs from healthy donors (n=8) after 48h of incubation. Each compound is labeled with different form and color. Bars represent the mean ± SEM of all samples analyzed. Non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for statistical analysis. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001.
Validation of selected targets. Cells were treated for 48 h with the compounds at concentrations from 1 to 15 µM. Each dot represents the mean of 3 independent experiments. Dotted line indicates the threshold to discriminate the effect of the compounds. The cut off for MTT and cytotoxicity was 50% and 20% respectively. Active metabolic activity of CLL cells was measured using the MTT assay and is depicted relative to untreated control. Cytotoxicity was defined as the increase in Annexin-V+/PI+ cells compared
to untreated control. A) Active metabolic activity of cells treated with A1, A12, C5 and C7 and NOD1/2 specific inhibitors in HG3, MEC1 and HS-5 cell lines. B) Cytotoxicity of A1, A12, C5 and C7 and NOD1/2 specific inhibitors in HG3, HG3 in co-culture with HS-5 and in HS-5 cells C) Active metabolic activity of cells treated with the F1 compound (simvastatin) and different statins and specific LFA-1 inhibitors in the HG3, MEC and HS-5 cell lines. D) Cytotoxicity of F1 compound and different statins and specific LFA-1 inhibitors in HG3 alone, HG3 in co-culture with HS5 and in HS5 alone. Round dots: compounds that target NOD1/2; Square dots: compounds that target HMGCR and LFA-1; Grey dots: specific inhibitors for each target. E) Schematic description of statins mechanism of action.
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Figure 6
Statins reduce CLL migration, proliferation and viability. Cells were treated for 48 h with the compounds at concentrations of 1 µM. A) Cell phase object confluence after F1 treatment which was monitored for 48 h by recording phase images using the IncuCyte® Live Cell Analysis Imaging System in HG3, HS5 and coculture of HG3 and HS5 cells (n=3). Bars represent the ± SD of all samples analyzed. B) CXCL12-induced adhesion/migration of CLL cells treated with statins or LFA-1 inhibitors for 3 h and analyzed by transwell assays. Values are presented as the ratio of migrating cells and total viable cells, relative to the untreated control. Dotted line indicates the untreated control reference. C) CXCL13-induced adhesion/migration of CLL cells treated with statins or LFA-1 inhibitors for 3 h and analyzed by transwell assays. Values are presented as the ratio of migrating cells and total viable cells, relative to the untreated control. Dotted line indicates the untreated control reference. D) Percentage of proliferating CD19+ CLL cells after ODN2006+IL15 (ODN IL15) stimulation (30 min before treatment) and treatment with different drugs for 6 days measured by CFSE dilution (n=7). E) Flow cytometry histograms of a CLL representative case (#4) show the percentages of proliferating cells (gated on viable CD19+ cells) after 6 days of ODN2006+IL15 stimulation. A decrease in CFSE signal is indicative of cells that have divided. FMO, fluorescence-minus-one. Non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for statistical analysis. *P<0.05. Lov: lovastatin, Fluv: fluvastatin, Rosu: rosuvastatin, Lifi: lifitegrast and B033: BDBM50199033B033
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Figure 7

Statins exert a cytotoxic effect in primary CLL cells alone or in coculture with stromal cells. CLL cells or PBMCs from healthy donors were treated for 48 h with the statins at concentrations from 0.1 to 2.5 µM.
Cytotoxicity was defined as the increase in Annexin-V+/PI+ cells compared to untreated control. A) Cytotoxicity of statins in primary CLL cells alone, in coculture with HS5 or in HS5 alone (n=6). B) Cytotoxicity of statins in primary CLL cells and CD19+ B cells and CD3+ T cells from healthy donors (n=8). Bars represent the mean ± SEM of all samples analyzed. Non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for statistical analysis. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.
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Figure 8

Combination effects of statins with venetoclax or ibrutinib. Primary CLL cells were treated with 0.1 µM statins, 1 nM venetoclax or 0.1 µM ibrutinib. A) Viability of primary CD19+ CLL cells after incubation of cells with statins and ibrutinib for 6 days (n=9-12). Percentage of viable cells was measured as
CD19+Annexin-V- cells by flow cytometry and normalized to untreated control samples. B) Percentage of proliferating CD19+ CLL cells after ODN2006+IL15 stimulation (30 min before treatment) and treatment with statins and ibrutinib for 6 days measured by CFSE dilution (n=6). C) Viability of CD19+ CLL cells after incubation with statins and venetoclax for 48 h (n=7). Non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for statistical analysis. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.
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