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ABSTRACT

Background. Numerous studies have been shown that exposure to recreational intense sounds increases the damages of the hearing system. Objectives. This research aims to measure the sound level in Darakeh mountainous region and the climbing routes. Methods. The stationary method was used to record the measurement points. The sound level on the routes was measured in the distance of one meter, in A level and as an equivalent level by a portable device and Decibel X software (Version 2019) and compared with the standard sound (OSHA-90 dBA). Sound pressure level parameters (SPL), average sound level ((LP)̅), equivalent continuous sound level (Leq) and personal daily dose LAEp, d was also calculated. Results. The research findings indicate that the lowest and highest average sound level belongs to the station 9 (roadside) with 68.1 dB and station 6 (Kolbeh Koohsar restaurant) with 81.73 dB, respectively. The results show the relatively high distance of sound level in most stations (except station 2) in comparison with the international standards. The average sound level in climbing routes, the equivalent level, and the personal daily dosage were 77.3, 87.69, and 86.44 dB, respectively. Conclusion. Considering conditions of the region, the average time of athlete presence is one hour longer than the allowed time and they are exposed to high noise pollution which can be lead to physiological complications, aggression and temporary changes in the hearing threshold.
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INTRODUCTION

Although sound waves are considered as an essential factor in human life, in some cases they are not pleasant. Exposure to excessive noise higher than the limits can cause annoyance and hearing loss in human (1). Noise pollution is defined as the propagation of sound and vibration higher than the allowed limits in open spaces (outdoors) and is one of the examples of environmental damages (2) and has obvious consequences on human health and ecosystems (3). Exposure to sound not only occurs in work environments but also includes non-occupational activities such as recreation times, transportation, shopping, etc. (4). Noise pollution has a significant role in dissatisfaction with area (5). Reducing outdoor sound pollution, if desirable for most users, determines acoustic comfort (6). In addition to harmful effects on the hearing system, noise as a general stressor can affect the cardiovascular system and causes nervous simulation, anxiety, mental and psychological problems (7). In some studies, sound effects as a
stressor factor has been studied and noise pollution mentioned as a dangerous factor for human health (8). Based on Maschke, the stress caused by noise pollution has a psychological nature (9, 10) and exposure to sound, especially in thinking activities, affects the person’s efficiency (11).

In general, it can be said that not only noisy environments causes disorders in communication and conversations, but also carelessness in brain activities and inconsistency of thinking activities. Furthermore, learning is reduced and the number of mistakes will be increased. Due to loud and sudden sounds which cause stress and fear, blood pressure, especially the pressure inside the skull increases, salivary secretions decreases and the mouth becomes dry (12). According to studies of World Health Organization and International Labor Organization, signs of physiological effects related to noise pollution in human are shown at the level of 30-60 dB. Also, severe physiological complications and diseases caused by that occur in higher levels and 85-120dB (13, 14). Exposure to higher levels can cause traceable effects or physiological measurements on the body which are called strain or tension. Here, in addition to the mental effects of changes in the nervous and brain waves, changes in blood pressure and heart rate, hardening of the vascular wall and changes in some hormones such as adrenaline and cortisol can be measured (15).

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) reports, exposure to sound pressure level of 80 dB can cause aggressive behaviors. Noise pollution in higher sound pressure levels (more than 85 dB) causes direct effects on hearing organs, including Temporary Threshold Shift (TTS) and long term contacts cause Permanent Threshold Shift (PTS). According to medical surveys, signs of hearing power reduction were seen in those who have been exposed to a sound with the intensity of 80 dB (16, 17). Disorders of the digestive system and increase in cholesterol and triglycerides and diabetes are the consequences of exposure to sound (18). Exposure to noise in the long term can cause hearing harms which individuals might not be aware of it (19-22), Fig 1 which is called sound effects pyramid shows the noise pollution consequences based on two parameters of severity and the number of people exposed to it.

In recent years, noise pollution and its consequences has become an important issue in scientific researches and numerous studies have been conducted to reduce the noise pollution problems in different cities and human settlements (24-26). It is more than two decades that water, soil and air pollution have been considered in Iran but still needs much efforts in noise pollution (27-29). While the increase of different urban activities has led to reveal the
sound pollution as a social problem, but the importance of noise pollution in the country is not clear.

Noise pollution is monitored in three different fields: (1) Traffic and transportation, (2) Industrial activities, and (3) Sport, business and recreational areas. It has been clearly defined that noise pollution is a potential problem for health, communication and social life enjoyment (30). Numerous studies have been shown that exposure to recreational intense sounds increases the damages of hearing system (31, 32). New studies show that living in areas with high noise reduces the possibility of residents’ exercising (33, 34). Noise also affects sleep quality which has a direct impact on one’s tendency towards exercising. Lack of physical activity and exercising is one of the problems of modern society and researches are seeking for a way to overcome it. Reducing noise pollution in cities and terrific volume can be helpful in overcoming this problem. One of new approaches in sport management is sustainable sport management which benefits all the groups such as the public, athletes, and environmental activists. Sport can be universal, fruitful, and environmentally and socially sound (35). Sport activities can be called sustainable and healthy if guarantee psychological and physical health of the users (36). One of the environmental principals of Olympic Coordination Authority (OCA) is commitment to minimize the sound impacts on the environment and neighboring residential areas (33).

**Literature Review.** Based on the standards of Iranian Department of the Environment, the limits of equivalent sound pressure level for the residential area from 7 a.m. to 10 p.m. and is 10 p.m. to 7 a.m. is 55 and 45 dB, respectively (37, 38). The standard of US Environment Protection Agency (EPA) for sound volume in open spaces (game grounds) is between 55 and 70 dB. The standards of England for parks and recreational areas (A) are 55 dB. According to some international standards and studies, sound pressure levels in sport areas should not be more than 55 dB and the sound higher than 85 dB has the ability to hurt the hearing system (39). The sound level for open and public spaces should not be more than 85 dB based on WHO (23).

This research aims to measure the sound level in Darakeh mountain recreational area which attracts many professional and armatures climbers, especially in the weekends. Considering number and variety of present users, it seems that the level of sound is higher in the area which will increase the probability of damages.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**The Study Area.** Evin–Darakeh mountainous area is in the west north of Tehran and in longitude of 51° 26' and latitude of 35° 48' to 35° 54'. As a part of central Alborz, the area is restricted from east to Aliabaad e Velenjak, Hesarak heights from west, Shahneshin and Tochal heights from north and Evin and Saadatabad from south. The study height varies between 1680-2200 metres (34). The narrow pathway of the mountains continues along the river to the highlands which is used as the main climbing routes (Fig 2).

**Procedure.** This is a cross-sectional study and the data has been obtained by field research. Data analysis is analytical and comparative comparison carried out with the national and international standards. At first, the routes were determined by Geographic Position System (GPS). Next, points for map creation was entered into Geographical Information System (GIS) and the route map was created considering 30 meters band from every side, with the vast of 0.1 km² (100 thousand square metres). For creation of sound level map in climbing routes, stationary method was used for recording the measurement points. hence, the athletes’ climbing route (2182 metres) was divided into 11 stations from the end of Darakeh Street to Haftoz Café (in 200 metres...
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distance) (Fig 3). The characteristics of each measured stations are presented in table 1.

![Figure 3. Position and the number of measurement stations of sound level in athletes' climbing route](image)

The sound level on athletes’ route in one metre distance, in A level and as an equivalent level was measured by a portable device and Decibel X software (Version 2019) and compared with the standard sound (OSHA-90 dBA). In evaluating the sound process, the sound level metre microphone was at least 3 metres away from reflective surfaces (such as walls) and 1.5 metres away from the ground (37). Also a distance equals to the length of an arm from the operator’s body was considered. It should be noted that the error of up to 6 dB is negligible while evaluating (40). Measurement was carried out on days without precipitation and minimum wind. The measurement time at each station was 30 minutes (based on standards of Open Air by Iranian DOE), each was repeated three times. The first, second, and third measurement was carried out on 6-6:30 AM, 9-9:30 AM and 12-12:30 PM, respectively.

In the above relation, P is the absolute sound pressure in the measurement point and $P_0$ is the basic pressure which equals to $2 \times 10^{-5}$ Pascal (PA).

| Station No | Station Name                  | Station coordinates at Longitude- Latitude       |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 2          | The beginning of the climbing route- Mousavi alley | 35,80745 - 51,38471                              |
| 3          | Saraye Mahalleh Darakeh       | 35,80990 - 51,38401                              |
| 4          | Café Chenaran                 | 35,8128810 - 51,3822380                         |
| 5          | Baghe Gilas Restaurant        | 35,8150088 - 51,3811664                         |
| 6          | Kolbeh Koohsar Restaurant     | 35,8151276 - 51,3810996                         |
| 7          | The margin of Darakeh River   | 35,8159443 - 51,3808955                         |
| 8          | Pakoopa Restaurant            | 35,8164435 - 51,3799480                         |
| 9          | Roadside station (1)          | 35,8197684 - 51,3806510                         |
| 10         | Roadside station (2)          | 35,8202556 - 51,3803057                         |
| 11         | Hafthoz Café Restaurant station | 35,8207691 - 51,3799349                         |

Table 1. The characteristics of eleven measurement stations of climbing route

In this research, sound pressure level parameters (LP or SPL), average sound level (LP), equivalent continuous sound level (Leq) and personal daily dosage $L_{AEp,d}$ were calculated. First, at each station the sound pressure level (dBA) was measured by a portable device based on the following relation and was recorded in the table (41).

$$SPL (dB) = LP = 10 \log \left( \frac{P^2}{P_0^2} \right)$$

(1)

$$SPL = 20 \log P + 94$$

Due to the logarithmic nature of the sound pressure levels, it is not possible to directly apply math operations such as addition, subtraction, or averaging. Therefore, the average sound level in the studied route is estimated from the following equation (35):

$$\overline{LP} (dB) = 10 \log \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{LP_i}{10^{10 \sigma}} \right]$$

(2)

In this relation, N is the number of measurement points and LPi: sound pressure level on each point

Since the athlete is exposed to various levels of sound pressure during climbing, an equivalent
level of sound exposure (dBA) $L_{eq}$ is used for accurate assessment. The following relation shows the calculation method (40):

Relation

\[
L_{eq}(dB) = 10 \log \left[ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i 10^{LP_i/10} \right]
\]

In this relation, $LP_i$ is the sound pressure level in time $t_i$ with equal units (in hours, minutes, or seconds) and $T$ is the reference time.

Also; personal daily dosage of an athlete was calculated from the following relation (42):

Relation (4)

\[
LEp,d = L_{eq} + 10 \log \frac{t}{m}
\]

In the above relation, $L_{eq}$ is the equivalent sound exposure level, $t$: the duration of athlete presence in the region, and $m$: the maximum time of exposure to noise pollution in according to International Labour Organization standards and is equal to 8 hours. According to the interview with the athletes, the average time spent on one day climbing in Darakeh Mountains is 6 hours. Using the standard published by the Health and Safety Executive on sound reductions in workplaces (South, 2016), the maximum exposure time limit was calculated for athletes in the mountains.

Various research centres have shown that the provision of noise pollution maps is one of the important tools for the study, detection and control of environmental pollution (43-45). To conduct an analysis in GIS, a digital map of the study area from the National Cartographic Centre of Iran (NCC) with a scale of 1: 10,000 in Shape file format was prepared and a GIS Ready map provided to create a spatial database and the relevant analyses. Then the sound data for unknown points was acquired via Spline method from Interpolation. In this case, the following equation was used to determine the level of sound pressure in the intervals between the stations:

Relation (5)

\[
LP_2 = LP_1 - 20 \log \frac{r_2}{r_1}
\]

RESULTS

Sound pressure level (dBA) was measured and recorded in every station at different times. Also, the average sound level in each station and every time was calculated (Table 2). The lowest measured sound pressure level in the study area is in the station 10 and it has been 65.5 dB at the first time. Also, the highest measured sound level belongs to the station 4 and in the third time was 88.7 dB. The measured sound pressure indicates that the average sound pressure level increases by time changing from early morning (6 AM) to noon (12 PM). In other words, there is a direct relation between the sound pressure level and increase in an athlete’s presence in the area (Fig 4).

Table 2. The average 30-minutes sound pressure level in weighted network $L_{eq}$ (30 min) dB (A) in three times intervals in Darakeh climbing routes

| Station No | First time 6-6:30 | Second Time 9-9:30 | Third time 12-12:30 | The average sound level in each station |
|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1          | 69.3             | 73.4              | 84.8               | 75.83                                |
| 2          | 69.8             | 70.5              | 76.7               | 72.33                                |
| 3          | 67.3             | 68.6              | 73.7               | 69.86                                |
| 4          | 70.5             | 84.8              | 88.7               | 81.33                                |
| 5          | 70.5             | 78.2              | 81.3               | 76.66                                |
| 6          | 77               | 83.8              | 84.4               | 81.73                                |
| 7          | 77.1             | 77.4              | 84.1               | 79.53                                |
| 8          | 70.5             | 76.4              | 78.8               | 75.23                                |
| 9          | 66.3             | 66.8              | 71.2               | 68.1                                 |
| 10         | 65.5             | 68.5              | 78.2               | 70.73                                |
| 11         | 76.5             | 77.1              | 77.4               | 77.4                                 |
| average    | 70.93            | 75.04             | 70.93              |                                      |

In general, the lowest and highest average sound level belongs to the station 9 (side road) and station 6 (Kolbeh Koohsar restaurant) with 68.1 dB and 81.73 dB, respectively. Fig 5 shows the relatively high distance of sound level in most stations (excluding two stations) comparing with EPA standards for recreational areas and outdoors sport (Min: 55 and Max: 70 dB). Also, there is a significant difference in comparison with sport and recreational and parks (England) which is 55 dB. Since there is no standard for sound pressure level in outdoor spaces in Iran, there are no
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possibilities to compare it with national standards. However, regarding the permitted limitation in residential areas of DOE (7 AM-10 PM, 55dB; 10 PM-7 AM, 45 dB), it can be found out that regarding the position of station 1, 2 and 3 which are in residential and urban areas, sound level in this area is higher than the standards and causes annoyance and disturbance for the residents.

Figure 4. Calculated sound pressure level $L_{eq}$ (30min) dB (A) in Darakeh climbing routes

Considering the diagrams (Fig 6) it can be concluded that the sound field is free type and since it has not been interrupted over time, it is continuous noise (sound) and fluctuating noise (sound) due to the fact that the pressure level changes is between 5 to 15.

In the following and using relation (2), the average sound level along the climbing route was calculated which is equal to 77.3 dB.

$$\overline{L}(dB) = 10 \log \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{\frac{L_P_i}{10}} \right] = 77.3 \text{ dB}$$

Then by the relation (3), the equivalent sound level $L_{eq}$ (dBA) was estimated. As said before, according to the interview with the athletes, the average time in one day climbing in Darakeh Mountain is 6 hours. Based on this, the equivalent level is estimated 87.69.

$$L_{eq}(dB) = 10 \log \left[ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i 10^{\frac{L_P_i}{10}} \right] = 87.69 \text{ (dB)}$$

In the following and using relation (4), personal daily dosage $L_{Ep, d}$ of an athlete in the study area was calculated which is equivalent to 86.44.

$$L_{Ep, d} = L_{eq} + 10 \log \left( \frac{6}{8} \right) = 86.44 \text{ (dB)}$$

It is obvious that an athlete is exposed to a high noise pollution in Darakeh. HSE nomogram was used for determining the permitted level of exposure to sound in the study area. Due to the equivalent level which is 87, 69 dB and personal daily dosage (86, 44 dB), we can calculate the duration of sound facing in Darakeh for athletes which is 5 hours (Fig 7).

Figure 5. Comparative diagram of average sound level dB (A) measured in the station with international standards

Figure 6. A few samples of sound level (dBA) SPL in the study areas
Using the sound level and data interpolation method, sound pollution map of the study area including Darakeh mountainous climbing route was created (Fig 8).

**DISCUTION**

As shown in Fig 8, climbing route in Darakeh is divided into some categories based on the sound level. Although a big part of study region is located in yellow and orange zone, the green shows sound level of 65-70 dB and standard area. Yellow presents 70-75 dB and acceptable area. Orange shows 75-80 dB sound level and higher than standard and red displays 80-85 dB sound level and annoying and unsafe region. Darakeh region attracts a significant number of users for sport and tourism activities, especially on holidays and weekends. Most users (especially amateur ones) move in approximately 2182 metres. Concentration and movement of athletes and various uses such as cafes, restaurants, etc. leads to increase the sound level in the climbing route and surrounding areas. The survey results indicate that by changing the time from early morning (6 AM) to noon (12 PM), the sound level is increased (from 70, 93 to 79, 93 dB) due to the increase of number of users in the region. On the other hand, activities of services in the region will increase with the presence of athletes which also leads to an increase in sound level. The average sound level measured along the climbing route is 77, 3 dB which is not compliant with EPA standard as well as the standards of UK and WHO and shows a higher level which definitely had the ability to hurt the athletes health. Therefore, it can be defined that considering the conditions of the region, the time of athletes’ presence is about 1 hour longer than the permitted time.

**CONCLUSION**

Considering that the daily dosage of a person in the region is 86, 44 dB, and 6 hours presence of people in the region (on average), athletes are exposed to annoying sounds one hour longer than the standard, according to HSE guidelines. This means they need to decrease their presence hours to 5 hours (on average) to protect their health. Given the equal level 87, 69 dB in Darakeh which athletes are exposed, aggressive behaviours, physiological complications, as well as temporary changes in hearing thresholds can be expected, if it repeated in the long term. Also, the region’s noise pollution map shows that the highest sound level can be seen between station 4 to 9 due to narrowing of the route and the population concentration as well as the number of services. Therefore, it has been suggested that athletes do not stop at these areas and pass it immediately. Also, the region’s management is supposed to remind the restaurants to decrease their noise pollution.
APPLICABLE REMARKS
Based on the results of the present study, it is suggested that managers and planners in the study area focus on several issues. The first is to remove sources of noise pollution in the study area and the second is to select alternative routes. It is also advisable to inform athletes and users through guides. Many athletes are unaware of the serious damage caused by noise pollution.
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