An Efficient Approach for the Classification of Medicinal Leaves using BFO and FRVM
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ABSTRACT
Herbal plants have been used for medicinal purposes since the ages. These plants also play a major role in medicines, food, perfumes and cosmetics. At present, the identification of herbal plants is purely based on the human perception of their knowledge. It may be probability of human error occurring. An efficient herb species classification system should be automatic and a convenient recognition of herbal plants which reduces the human error. The present research aims to predict the herbal plants in a very convenient and accurate way. This approach is based on the leaf shape, texture, color and its feature. Bacteria Foraging Optimization (BFO) for feature selection and Fuzzy Relevance Vector Machine (FRVM) for the classification of herbal plants are used in the proposed system. The data required for classification are computed using the MATLAB software. In the present work, ten different types of herbal leaves and twenty samples of each have been considered for the process and the classification accuracy is achieved as maximum with an efficient intelligence technique. The efficiency of the proposed method of classifying the different herbal plants gives better performance.

Keywords – Detection, GLCM texture feature extraction, BFO, FRVM classifier.

I. INTRODUCTION
Medicinal plants are considered as a rich resources of ingredients which can be used in different medicinal system which required detecting and identifying the different kind of medicinal plants. The leaves of the medicinal plants play a vital role in the human life. Leaf recognition is very demanding in medicinal and agricultural fields. In present scenario, the World Health Organization (WHO) encouraging the developed countries to use herbal medicines. The use of herbs has been increased in many countries such as India and China and also greatly in Western countries. For efficient usage of herbs, identification of species is an important aspect especially using the leaf character as a key. Thus, present study is aimed to identify the leaves using soft computing techniques in MATLAB. Leaves of different medicinal plants are collected from Palayamkottai, Tamil Nadu and scanned image of the leaves are stored in JPEG format. The analyses of the medicinal leaves are done by using the image processing technique. The proposed approach can be used to classify different types of leaves using different features.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY
Naresh and Nagendraswamy [1] proposed classification of medicinal plants using modified LBP with symbolic representation. The author employs the Modified Local Binary Patterns (MLBP) to extract texture features from plant species. Therefore, the concept of clustering is used to choose multiple class representatives and the intra-cluster variations. Intra-cluster variations are captured using interval valued type symbolic features. Classification is done by using simple nearest neighbor classifier. The results could not achieve 100% accuracy because there are variations in intra-class than between class variations. Ji-Xiang Du and Mei-Wein Shao [2] discussed about the recognition of leaf image set based on manifold distance. The distance is measured between local models which come from different manifolds that are constructed. The problem is transferred to integrate the distance between pairs of subspaces. They extracted the feature of leaf image by Pyramid of Histograms of...
Orientations Gradients (PHOG) which is a spatial pyramid extension of the histogram of gradients. Then the local model has been extracted from manifold. Chaki and Parekh [3] proposed plant leaf recognition using texture and shape features with neural classifiers. Texture of the leaf is exhibited using Gabor filter and Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM). The shape of the leaf is captured using a set of curvelet transform. The preprocessing step is applied to feature extraction to make corrections for varying translation, rotation and scaling factors. There are two classifiers such as Neuro- Fuzzy Controller (NFC) and feed- forward back-propagation, Multi-Layered Perceptron (MLP). Aakif and Khan [4] proposed automatic classification of plants using leaves. They used three steps such as for classification has preprocessing, feature extraction and classification. Leaf features are morphological features and Fourier descriptors which are newly proposed shape-defining features. These extracted features become the input vector of the Artificial Neural Network (ANN) with back propagation. The accuracy achieved was good compared with another classifier. Sinan Kayaligil and Tulin Inkaya [5] proposed the ant colony optimization-based clustering methodology. The author focused on spatial clustering. The number of clusters is unknown and clusters may have arbitrary shapes and density differences. They introduced novel based clustering methodology based on ant colony optimization and yield a set of non-dimensional solutions. The execution time is relatively higher. Mohammad Ali Jan Ghasab and Shamsul Khamis [6] proposed feature decision making ant colony optimization for an automated recognition of plant species. The input features are extracted based on shape, morphology, texture and color. The extracted features are given as an input to Ant Colony optimization (ACO) which acts as a feature decision making algorithm used for recognition of different species through its leaf images. The selected features are given as input to Support Vector Machine (SVM) for classifying the species. The drawbacks of SVM classification technique is that it lacks in transparency, lacks in choosing the type of kernel for processing, and SVM is slow in training and testing the features. Vijayalakshmi and Vasudev Mohan [7] proposed the classification of medicinal plant leaves using texture, shape and color properties. An original plant leaf is pre-processed initially, using the cellular automata filter to minimize the noise. For enhancing the contrast and quality of the image, the histogram equalization and ROI segmentation are applied respectively. The GLCM and LBP systems are introduced for the extraction of feature. In this paper, the feature comprises of Haralick texture-based feature, Gabor features, shape features and color features. The features are extracted from each leaf image, which increases the time complexity, the kernel based PSO is presented to overcome the above issue of selecting the optimum features. Finally, the Fuzzy Relevance Vector Machine is employed to characterize the type of leaves. The experimental analysis showed better results. Thus, in the proposed method, the Fuzzy- Relevance Vector Machine (FRVM) based classification is employed. This FRVM classifier is a popular method used for classification leaves, legume leaves and in agriculture field which offers some benefits over the SVM [8-10].

III. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Leaves of the medicinal plants in various stages have been collected from Palayamkottai and identified. The work is initiated with the collection of leaves from data bases and real time images. The overall block diagram of the proposed method is shown in Fig. 1

![Fig. 1 Overall block diagram of the proposed methodology](image)

The proposed method has the following four stages:
- Preprocessing and segmentation.
- Feature Extraction.
- Feature Selection.
- Classification.

3.1 Preprocessing and segmentation

The collected leaf images are required the preprocessing steps due to noise obtained during the image acquisition. The leaf images are obtained from standard environmental situations, which distract the excellence of the image. In this work, the noises present in the herbal plants images are removed using average filter. This step is applied to minimize the degradation due to noise. Figure 2 illustrate the flow chart of preprocessing stage.

![Fig. 2 Flow chart of the preprocessing stage](image)
3.2 Feature Extraction

3.2.1 Texture Features

The texture feature is extracted using GLCM (Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix). Gray-level spatial co-occurrence matrix $p(i, j)$ based features are defined as second order histogram-based features. These features are based on the joint probability distribution of pairs of pixels. Texture features can be described using this co-occurrence matrix. The parameters considered for texture features are Entropy, Homogeneity, Correlation, Energy and Entropy.

Contrast: $C = \sum_{i=0}^{G-1} \sum_{j=0}^{G-1} (i - j)^2 p[i,j]$  
(1)

Homogeneity: $H = \sum_{i=0}^{G-1} \sum_{j=0}^{G-1} \frac{p[i,j]}{1+|i-j|}$  
(2)

Energy: $E = \sum_{i=0}^{G-1} \sum_{j=0}^{G-1} p[i,j]^2$  
(3)

Correlation: $R = \sum_{i=0}^{G-1} \sum_{j=0}^{G-1} \frac{p[i,j] - \mu_x \mu_y}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$  
(4)

Entropy: $H = -\sum_{i=0}^{G-1} \sum_{j=0}^{G-1} p[i,j] \log_2[p(i,j)]$  
(5)

3.2.2 Shape Features

The shape features of the proposed system are the area, centroid, eccentricity, equivalent diameter, extent, major axis length, minor axis length and perimeter. The values are computed based on shape feature extraction by applying the shape-based features for a single leaf using the Region props. The major axis length can be measured as the major axis passes through the center of mass of the object corresponding to the minimum rotational energy of the shape. The minor axis length can be measured as the minor axis passes through the center of mass of the object and is always perpendicular to the major axis.

Area: $A = \sum a_{p}$  
(6)

Centroid: $C_x = \frac{\Sigma C_{ix} \Sigma A_i}{\Sigma A_i}, \ C_y = \frac{\Sigma C_{iy} \Sigma A_i}{\Sigma A_i}$  
(7)

Eccentricity: $e = \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta}, 0 < \alpha < 90^\circ, 0 \leq \beta \leq 90^\circ$  
(8)

Equivalent diameter: $X_A = \sqrt{\frac{4A}{\pi}}$  
(9)

Extent: $E = \frac{\Delta t_i}{v_i}$  
(10)

Perimeter: $P = \pi \sum_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} d_\alpha$  
(11)

where, $\Sigma a_{p}$ is the sum of each individual pixel value, $C_{ix}, C_{iy}$ are the distance to the local centroid from the x and y axis, $\alpha$ is the angle between the cone's slant generator and the horizontal, $\beta$ is the angle between the plane and the horizontal, $A$ is the area, $X_A$ is the area-equivalent diameter, $\Delta t_i, v_i$ are number of equilibrium difference the number of initial of an image, $I_{\alpha}$ is the weight, $d_\alpha$ is the number of intercepts, $d_\alpha$ is the series of parallel lines with spacing.

3.2.3 Color Features

The color histograms and color co-occurrence matrices are used for evaluating the similarity between two leaf images. The color spaces are used for creating the color histograms. Each color is characterized as a mixture of the three primary color channels (Red, Green and Blue) in the RGB color space. The RGB color space is converted into the HSV (Hue, Saturation and Intensity) color model, which separates the intensity from the chromaticity. Six color features are employed in the proposed work:

- Mean and standard deviation for H colour space
- Mean and standard deviation for S colour space
- Mean and standard deviation for V colour space

Moments are calculated for each of these channels in an image. We will define the $i^{th}$ color channel at the $j^{th}$ image pixel as $P_{ij}$. The three color moments can be defined as:

Mean: $E_i = \frac{\sum_i^{N} P_{ij}}{N}$  
(12)

Standard Deviation: $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_{ij} - E_i)^2}$  
(13)

3.2.4 Feature selection

In machine learning and statistics, feature selection is also known as variable selection. In this process is selecting a subset of relevant features (variables, predictors) for use in model construction. Feature selection techniques are used for three reasons:

- Simplification of models to make them easier to interpret by researchers/ users,
- Shorter training times,
- Enhanced generalization by reducing over fitting (formally, reduction of variance).

Feature selection techniques should be distinguished from feature extraction. A feature selection algorithm can be seen as the combination of a search technique for proposing new feature subsets, along with an evaluation measure which scores the different feature subsets. This work implemented Bacteria Foraging Optimization (BFOA) based feature selection.

3.2.4.1 Bacteria Foraging Optimization

Bacteria search for nutrients is used in a manner to maximize the energy obtained per unit time. Individual bacterium also communicates with others by sending signals. A bacterium takes foraging decision after considering two previous factors. The process, in which a bacterium moves by taking small steps while searching for nutrients is called chemotaxis and key idea of BFOA is...
mimicking chemo taxis movement of virtual bacteria in the problem search space.

In BFO, the position updating which simulates the chemo taxis procedure is used in equation (15), \( \theta_i^t \) presents the position of the \( i^{th} \) bacteria in the \( t^{th} \) chemo taxis step. \( c(i) \) is the step length during the \( i^{th} \) chemo taxis. \( \phi(i) \) is a unit vector which stands for the swimming direction after a tumble. It can be generated by equation (16), where \( \Delta_i \) is a randomly produced vector with the same dimension of the problem:

\[
\theta_i^{t+1} = \theta_i^t + C(i)\phi(i) \tag{15}
\]

\[
\phi(i) = \frac{\Delta_i}{\sqrt{\Delta_i^T\Delta_i}} \tag{16}
\]

**Pseudo code for Bacteria Foraging Optimization**

```
Initialization
For i=1: N_re
    For j=1: N_c
        For n=1:S
            j_last = j(n);
            Generate a tumble angle for bacterium n;
            Update the position of bacterium n by (15);
            Recalculate the j(n);
            m=0
            While (m < N_re)
                If j(n) < j_last
                    m=m+1;
                    Run one more step using (15);
                    Recalculate the j(n);
                    Else
                        m=N_re;
                        End if
                    End while
                    End for
                    Sort the population according to j;
                    For m=1: S/2
                        Bacterium(k + S/2) = Bacterium (k);
                    End for
                    End for
        End for
End for
```

### 3.3 Classification

Classification includes a broad range of decision theoretic approaches to the identification of images. In this work, FRVM classifier is implemented in order to classify the herbal plants.

#### 3.3.1 Fuzzy Relevance Vector Machine (FRVM)

In this paper, the FRVM classifier is mainly used to classify the type of leaf images among the 10 categories of leaves. The FRVM classifiers are made to learn based on the training features and the labels are assigned to the leaf images using the machine learning techniques. The multi class RVM is used to train the classifier using the concepts of machine learning.

The machine learning is used for the classification of the types of plant leaf images. The classifiers are made to learn by assigning labels. The training features and the labels are given as input to the classifiers and effective leaf types are given as output by the classifiers.

In RVM, Gaussian kernel is used as the encountered kernel, which is expressed as follows,

\[
f(a, a_i) = \exp \left[ \frac{-\|a-a_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \tag{18}
\]

Here, \( \sigma \) is the Gaussian kernel. The likelihood of the data set can be expressed using the formula,

\[
p(b|\sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|b - p(a_i)\|^2 \right] \tag{19}
\]

where, \( p(a_i) = [f(a_{i_1}, a_i), f(a_{i_2}, a_i), \ldots, f(a_{i_n}, a_i)] \)

An explicit prior probability distribution over the weights to improve the generalized ability of RVM model, which is defined as follows,

\[
p(\nu|a) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} N(\nu_i|0, a_i^{-1}) \tag{20}
\]

Thus, a classifier function of RVM can be expressed by,

\[
y(a) = \rho(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x \rho(a_i) \tag{21}
\]

where \( x \) represents a hyper-parameter vector. The major advantage of RVM classification is that the size of a training feature improves its computational complexity. In the proposed method, the classification is performed using fuzzy based RVM. Some of the fuzzy rules are introduced in the proposed method to provide better classification results. The rules of FRVM are defined as \( R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n \) denote the range of the training features.

- \( R_1 \): If \( t_{f1} \) is within the range of \( t_{f1}D \)
  - Then leaf = “Class 1 leaf”

- \( R_2 \): If \( t_{f2} \) is within the range of \( t_{f2}D \)
  - Then leaf = “Class 2 leaf”

- \( R_n \): If \( t_{fn} \) is within the range of \( t_{fn}D \)
  - Then leaf = Class 1 leaf + Class 2 leaf + ……N number of leaf.

where \( D \) represents the dimension of the leaf and \( t_f \) denotes the training feature.
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this work, the ten different types of herbal plants were selected from various data bases and real time images. The leaf collected from the nature was dried and scanned to produce image for classification propose. Seven leaf images are collected from data bases [9] and three leaf images are collected in real time. The size of the input image is in 1150 $\times$ 450 pixels. Figure 3 shows the different types of herbal plants and its name.

![Fig. 3 Different types of herbal plants](image)

The preprocessing step is applied to the input RGB image. The RGB image is then converted into gray scale image. Then average filter is applied to remove the unwanted noise. After removing the noise from gray scale image, for enhancing the image and to increase the contrast of the filtered image histogram equalization step. The histogram equalization is used to convert it into a binary image and the edge of the test leaf image is captured. By applying inverted binary process on the leaf image, the background will become zero and the leaf image alone will be represented. The next step is to separate the Region of Interest (ROI) of the leaf from its background. The ROI image is obtained by multiplying the binary image with original image. For extracting texture feature, the binary image is multiplied with RGB original image and for extracting the color feature binary image is multiplied with HSV. Figure 4 shown the preprocessing stages of an input image.

![Fig. 4 (a) Original image (b) Filtered image (c) Histogram equalization (d) Binary image (e) Segmented image (f) HSV image](image)

The color, texture and shape features are extracted from the preprocessed images and the minimum; maximum levels of each feature are tabulated in the following table1 and table 2. The extracted features are given for the feature selection process. In this stage, the bacteria foraging optimization algorithm selects the best 6 features from the extracted features. The BFO algorithm has selected the best features from shape, color and texture. The selected optimal features it is given for the classifier in order to recognize the type of leaf. After classifying with the help of proposed FRVM classifier, the maximum accuracy is obtained as 100% for Nerium and 93% for Vembu.

4.1 Performance Analysis

Performance analysis procedure was done by comparing the classification results to the target label. There are four values resulted from the validation procedure, True Positive (TP), False Positive (FP), True Negative (TN), False Negative (FN). For evaluation purpose, all the parameters are determined for each image in the data set. Sensitivity, Specificity, Error Rate, Positive Predictive Value, Negative Predictive Value and Accuracy are used as performance measures. Confusion matrix is a table that is often used to describe the performance of a classification model on a set of test data for which the true values are known. Table 3 shows the confusion matrix. The above classifier is done on ten samples and the accuracy is plotted in the graph as shown in the figure 5. Table 4 describes the value of the performance measures for all different leaf species.

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{TP}{(TP+FN)} \quad (22) \\
\text{Specificity} = \frac{TN}{(FP+TN)} \quad (23) \\
\text{Error rate} = \frac{FP}{(FP+TN)} \quad (24) \\
\text{Positive Predictive Value} = \frac{TP}{(TP+FP)} \quad (25) \\
\text{Negative Predictive Value} = \frac{TN}{(TN+FN)} \quad (26) \\
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP+TN}{(TP+FP+TN+FN)} \quad (27)
\]
Table 4. Performance measures for the ten species

| S.No. | Parameters                  | Value (%) |
|-------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 1     | Classification Accuracy     | 98.6      |
| 2     | Error rate                  | 10.5      |
| 3     | sensitivity                 | 92        |
| 4     | Specificity                 | 98.3      |
| 5     | Positive Predictive Value   | 84        |
| 6     | Negative Predictive Value   | 97        |

Fig. 5 Accuracy Analysis

**TABLE 1 Maximum and Minimum Value for Shape and Texture Features**

| Classes                         | Shape                | Texture              |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                                 | Area (cm)            | Perimeter            | Contrast |
|                                 | Max.                 | Min.                 | Max.     | Min.     |
| Citrus limon (Elumitchai)       | 5678                 | 5596                 | 5484     | 5484     |
| Aristolochia indica (Eswaramuni) | 5913                 | 5596                 | 5484     | 5484     |
| Ginkgo biloba (Ginkgo)          | 5604                 | 1                    | 5484     | 5484     |
| Hibiscus rosa-sinensis (Chembaruthi) | 6423                 | 1                    | 5484     | 5484     |
| Azadirachta indica (Vaembu)     | 5837                 | 5596                 | 5484     | 5484     |
| Nerium oleander(Arali)          | 5599                 | 5596                 | 5484     | 5484     |
| Vinca rosea (Nithyakalyani)     | 1029                 | 5596                 | 5484     | 5484     |
| Nycanthes arbor-tristis (Pavalamalli) | 4604                 | 1                    | 13168    | 3472     |
| Piper beetle (Vetrillai)        | 1373                 | 1                    | 6565     | 3241     |
| Lawsonia inermis (Maruthani)    | 1028                 | 1620                 | 1973     | 1452     | 0.718     | 0.306     | 0.870     | 0.687     |

Max. = Maximum, Min. = Minimum
TABLE 2 Maximum and minimum values of color features

| Classes               | Std. of Hue | Std. of Saturation | Std. of Value |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Citrus limon          | 0.048       | 0.154              | 0.078         |
| Aristolochia indica   | 0.074       | 0.184              | 0.104         |
| Ginkgo biloba         | 0.059       | 0.149              | 0.104         |
| Hibiscus rosa-sinensis| 0.059       | 0.178              | 0.099         |
| Azadirachta indica    | 0.054       | 0.160              | 0.108         |
| Nerium oleander       | 0.051       | 0.083              | 0.079         |
| Vinca rosea           | 0.050       | 0.152              | 0.116         |
| Nyctanthes arbor-tristis| 0.050     | 0.168              | 0.058         |
| Piper beetle          | 0.051       | 0.116              | 0.156         |
| Lawsonia inermis      | 0.058       | 0.176              | 0.121         |

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the classification of medicinal plant leaves using FRVM with BFO for feature selection is presented. The proposed method identifies the medicinal herbs which are widely used for various medicinal purposes. The manual identification of herbal leaves require domain knowledge and it causes misidentification in some of the cases. Ten different types of herbal leaves of twenty each (total 200 leaves) are used for training, validation and testing. The preprocessing, feature extraction, feature selection and classification tasks were performed using MATLAB software. The extracted features are tabulated and given as input to the feature selection process. The extracted features are tabulated and given as input to the feature selection process. For selecting the best features, BFO algorithm is used. The selected features are given as input to the FRVM classifier in order to classify the different types of herbal plants. The efficiency of the proposed method in classification of the different herbal plants assures better performance.

TABLE 3 Confusion matrix of ten species

| Classes               | Citrus limon | Aristolochia indica | Ginkgo biloba | Hibiscus rosa-sinensis | Azadirachta indica | Nerium oleander | Vinca rosea | Nyctanthes arbor-tristis | Piper beetle | Lawsonia inermis |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Citrus limon          | 16           | 0                   | 3             | 0                      | 0                 | 1               | 0           | 0                        | 0            | 0               |
| Aristolochia indica   | 0            | 18                  | 0             | 0                      | 2                 | 0               | 0           | 0                        | 0            | 0               |
| Ginkgo biloba         | 2            | 0                   | 17            | 0                      | 1                 | 0               | 0           | 0                        | 0            | 0               |
| Hibiscus rosa-sinensis| 0            | 0                   | 1             | 18                     | 0                 | 0               | 0           | 0                        | 0            | 0               |
| Azadirachta indica    | 1            | 0                   | 0             | 19                     | 0                 | 0               | 0           | 0                        | 0            | 0               |
| Nerium oleander       | 0            | 0                   | 0             | 0                      | 20                | 0               | 0           | 0                        | 0            | 0               |
| Vinca rosea           | 0            | 0                   | 1             | 1                      | 0                 | 18              | 0           | 0                        | 0            | 0               |
| Nyctanthes arbor-tristis| 0         | 0                   | 0             | 0                      | 0                 | 17              | 0           | 3                        | 0            | 0               |
| Piper beetle          | 0            | 0                   | 1             | 0                      | 0                 | 0               | 18          | 1                        | 0            | 0               |
| Lawsonia inermis      | 0            | 0                   | 0             | 0                      | 0                 | 18              | 0           | 0                        | 0            | 0               |
In future, the proposed system can be extended to classify a greater number of plant species by considering leaves at different stages of growth.
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