CDK5-induced p-PPARγ(Ser 112) downregulates GFAP via PPREs in developing rat brain: effect of metal mixture and troglitazone in astrocytes
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The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ), a group of ligand-activated transcriptional factors, is expressed in glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)-immunoactive astrocytes. Here, we investigated the role of PPARγ in regulating GFAP using a mixture of As, Cd and Pb (metal mixture, MM) that induces apoptosis and aberrant morphology in rat brain astrocytes. We observed a phospho PPARγ (serine 112 (S112)) (p-PPARγ (S112))-mediated downregulation of GFAP in the MM-exposed astrocytes. We validated this using pure PPARγ agonist, troglitazone (TZ). As reported with MM, TZ induced astrocyte damage owing to reduced GFAP. In silico analysis in the non-coding region of GFAP gene revealed two PPARγ response elements (PPREs); inverted repeat 10 and direct repeat 1 sequences. Gel shift and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated enhancement in binding of p-PPARγ (S112) to the sequences, and luciferase reporter assay revealed strong repression of GFAP via PPREs, in response to both MM and TZ. This indicated that suppression in GFAP indeed occurs through direct regulation of these elements by p-PPARγ (S112). Signaling studies proved that MM, as well as TZ, activated the cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK5) and enhanced its interaction with PPARγ resulting into increased p-PPARγ (S112). The p-CDK5 levels were dependent on proximal activation of extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase 1/2 and downstream Jun N-terminal kinase. Taken together, these results are the first to delineate downregulation of GFAP through genomic and non-genomic signaling of PPARγ. It also brings forth a resemblance of TZ with MM in terms of astrocyte disarray in developing brain.
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Gliarial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) is the major intermediate filament protein that constitutes a major part of the astrocyte cytoskeleton.1 Enhanced expression of GFAP causes reactive gliosis2 that induces brain injury and degeneration.3 Nonetheless, GFAP preserves shape and arbor of astrocyte processes contributing to mechanical strength of the cell.1 Deregulation of GFAP leads to anomalies in developing and adult brain, engendering severe psychiatric disorders,4 rare genetic diseases,5,6 and encephalopathy.7,8

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) group of ligand-activated transcription factors influences metabolism and functions of astrocytes in brain.9,10 The PPAR gamma (PPARγ) promotes apoptosis11 and participates in differentiation of astrocytes.12 However, the role of PPARγ in GFAP regulation is unexplored. In the classical ligand-dependent activation of PPARγ,13 involves recruiting co-activators/cofactors and binding to cognate DNA-responsive element (PPARγ response elements, PPREs), either ‘direct’ or ‘inverted’ repeat type, on the promotor region of target genes.13,14 Being a phosphoprotein, activity of PPARγ is affected by phosphorylation status.15,16 The serine 112 (S112) residue of PPARγ is often hyperphosphorylated by growth factors and stress as a crucial post-translational event.17

Activation of cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK5) is reported to increase phosphorylation of PPARγ in pancreatic β-cells,18 human embryonic kidney cells,19 colon cancer cells20 and adipose tissue.21 The CDK5 and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) are associated with cytoskeletal proteins in rat brain,22 and cross talk between CDK5 and extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) moderates neuroplasticity23,24 and regulates glial migration.25 However, the interdependence of these kinases in regulating GFAP is unreported.

We reported earlier that a mixture of As, Cd and Pb (metal mixture (MM)), at doses relevant to human exposure, attenuated GFAP levels, adversely affected astrocyte morphology and induced apoptosis in developing rat brain.26
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The synergistic effect on astrocytes involved initial activation of ERK1/2 that in turn stimulated Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling. Here, we used MM-exposed rats to understand the GFAP-regulating role of phospho-PPARγ (p-PPARγ; S112) in astrocytes.

To validate the mechanism of GFAP regulation, we used the PPARγ agonist troglitazone (TZ) that is known to influence the metabolic state of astrocytes. TZ modified astroglial glucose metabolism and mitochondrial function, and proved to be beneficial in neurodegenerative conditions. However, TZ-mediated neuroprotection by astrocyte-specific mechanisms was non-genomic and not through PPARγ activation. Here, we explored whether TZ had any GFAP-modulating role by the genomic action of PPARγ.

We investigated the phosphorylation of PPARγ at S112 residue, and probed binding of PPARγ (S112) to functional PPREs in GFAP gene in MM- and TZ-exposed astrocytes. We verified whether CDK5 had any major role in phosphorylation of PPARγ. We focused on activations of ERK1/2 and JNK pathways that may culminate in altered astrocyte properties.
The importance of our findings is twofold, as they provide the molecular mechanism governing GFAP modulation by PPARγ and point to the deregulation of astrocytes by TZ.

Results

Effect of MM on levels of p-PPARγ and effect of p-PPARγ on GFAP in rat brain astrocytes. We reported earlier that MM damaged developing astrocytes in rat brain, where GFAP levels attenuated, resulting in altered astrocyte morphology. Here, we investigated a probable mechanism that modulates GFAP expression in astrocytes. We treated the developing rats (in vivo) and cultured astrocytes (in vitro) with MM. We observed an increase in p-PPARγ (S112) both in vivo (Figure 1a) and in vitro (Figure 1b). To identify whether this upregulated p-PPARγ (S112) suppresses GFAP (Figure 1c), we pre-incubated MM-treated cultured astrocytes with a PPARγ antagonist, T0070907. T0070907 prevented the rise in p-PPARγ (S112) (Figure 1d) and fall in GFAP (Figure 1e).

Figure 2 (see next page for Figure caption)
Effect of TZ on GFAP and p-PPARγ and on astrocyte morphology. To understand the regulatory role of p-PPARγ (S112) in astrocytes, we treated developing rats with TZ. TZ suppressed GFAP (Figure 2a), and the area, perimeter and process number of astrocytes (Figure 2b). The astrocyte count reduced by 0.7662 ± 0.08582-fold. (To determine whether reduction in GFAP altered astrocyte morphology, we silenced GFAP gene in cultured astrocytes. We observed a reduction in area, perimeter and process number (Supplementary Figure 1)). To confirm TZ-mediated astrocyte damage, we determined the effect of TZ on S100β and glutamine synthetase-immunolabeled astrocytes. We observed that TZ reduced immunoreactive intensity of S100β and glutamine synthetase, as well as the astrocyte cell count (Supplementary Figure 2).

We determined the effect of TZ on p-PPARγ (S112) in rat brain astrocytes (in vivo). TZ caused a rise in p-PPARγ (S112) (Figure 2c). We validated the in vivo observations in cultured rat astrocytes that demonstrated a time-dependent fall in GFAP (Figure 2d) and increase in p-PPARγ (S112) (Figure 2e).

To recognize whether the alteration in p-PPARγ (S112) and GFAP levels is TZ specific or valid for all thiazolidinediones, we treated cultured astrocytes with rosiglitazone or pioglitazone. We observed that rosiglitazone raised and pioglitazone through addition of unlabeled probes in competition experiments. To validate that p-PPARγ (S112) is indeed recruited to the PPRE sites of the GFAP gene, we carried out chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. MM and TZ stimulated an increase in the quantitative PCR (qPCR) products with IR10 (Figure 3d) and DR1 primers (Figure 3e), corroborating the increase in GFAP-PPRE-p-PPARγ (S112) complex. To evaluate whether PPRE-p-PPARγ (S112) binding repressed the transcriptional activity of GFAP gene, we designed a construct harboring IR10 and DR1 sequences fused to luciferase reporter, and transfected this construct into cultured rat astrocytes. Both MM and TZ suppressed luciferase reporter activity (Figure 3f) indicating a PPRE-mediated transcriptional repression of GFAP.

Effect of MM and TZ on the activation of CDK5 and its binding with PPARγ. To know whether CDK5 participated in the phosphorylation (S112) of PPARγ, we tested for CDK5 activation in rat brain astrocytes (in vivo). Both MM (Figure 4a) and TZ (Figure 4b) activated CDK5, which is evident from enhanced phosphorylation.

To confirm participation of CDK5 in inducing p-PPARγ (S112), we determined CDK5-PPARγ immune complex formation (through co-immunoprecipitation) in MM- or TZ-treated astrocytes. We observed an augmented CDK5-PPARγ immune complex indicating a direct involvement of CDK5 in PPARγ phosphorylation (Figure 4c). Inactivation of CDK5 with R-CR8 prevented phosphorylation (S112) of PPARγ as well as decrease in GFAP, for MM (Figures 4d and f) and TZ (Figures 4e and g).

These results suggest that MM- and TZ-mediated phosphorylation of PPARγ at S112 is a direct consequence of CDK5 activation and its physical interaction with PPARγ.

Effect of MM and TZ on transcriptional regulation of GFAP. To identify genomic involvement of p-PPARγ (S112) in regulating GFAP, we performed an in silico analysis of GFAP gene for the presence of PPREs. We found an inverted repeat (IR10: +913 to +934) and a direct repeat (DR1: +507 to +519) sequence (marked in red) with P-values of 0.000789774 and 0.000383786, respectively as prospective PPREs (Figure 3a and Table 2). To analyze whether MM and TZ stimulated the binding of p-PPARγ (S112) to putative IR10 and DR1 sites, we performed gel mobility shift assays. We observed that MM and TZ induced a shift in the position of DNA band for both IR10 (Figure 3b) and DR1 (Figure 3c), indicating formation of DNA–protein complex. Incubation of nuclear lysates with antibody specific to p-PPARγ (S112) (Figures 3b and c) caused a supershift (supershift binding), confirming the binding of PPREs to p-PPARγ (S112). The specificity of binding was verified through addition of unlabeled probes in competition experiments. To validate that p-PPARγ (S112) is indeed recruited to the PPRE sites of the GFAP gene, we carried out chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. MM and TZ stimulated an increase in the quantitative PCR (qPCR) products with IR10 (Figure 3d) and DR1 primers (Figure 3e), corroborating the increase in GFAP-PPRE-p-PPARγ (S112) complex. To evaluate whether PPRE-p-PPARγ (S112) binding repressed the transcriptional activity of GFAP gene, we designed a construct harboring IR10 and DR1 sequences fused to luciferase reporter, and transfected this construct into cultured rat astrocytes. Both MM and TZ suppressed luciferase reporter activity (Figure 3f) indicating a PPRE-mediated transcriptional repression of GFAP.

Figure 2: TZ downregulates GFAP, impairs astrocyte morphology and enhances p-PPARγ (S112) expression in rat brain astrocytes. (a) The cortical tissues from vehicle (V) and TZ-treated rats were immunoblotted for GFAP and β-actin. Representative western blot (LHS) and densitometry (RHS) of GFAP normalized with β-actin. Data represent mean ± S.E. of five pups from five litters. **P < 0.01 (compared with V). (b) Five-μm-thick cryostat sections of cerebral cortex (coronal section) from V- and TZ-treated rats were stained for GFAP. LHS: Representative photomicrograph (× 100 magnification) of an GFAP-immunostained astrocyte (green fluorescence), and RHS: relative area, parameter and number of processes in the GFAP-immunostained astrocyte. Sections are representatives of four rats from four different litters, and bar diagrams represent mean ± S.E. ***P < 0.001 and **P < 0.01 (compared with V). (c) Five-μm-thick cryostat sections of cerebral cortex (coronal section) from V- and TZ-treated rats were co-labeled for p-PPARγ (S112), GFAP and nuclear Hoechst. LHS: Representative photomicrograph (× 20 magnification) of p-PPARγ (S112) (red fluorescence), GFAP (green fluorescence) and nucleus (blue fluorescence), and the three merged in the same field. RHS: The p-PPARγ/GFAP ratio normalized with nuclear Hoechst. Sections are representatives of four rats from four different litters, and bar diagrams represent mean ± S.E. ***P < 0.001 and **P < 0.01 (compared with V). (d) Five-μm-thick cryostat sections of cerebral cortex (coronal section) from V- and TZ-treated rats were co-labeled for p-PPARγ (S112), GFAP and nuclear Hoechst. LHS: Representative photomicrograph (× 20 magnification) of p-PPARγ (S112) (red fluorescence), GFAP (green fluorescence) and nucleus (blue fluorescence), and the three merged in the same field. RHS: The p-PPARγ/GFAP ratio normalized with nuclear Hoechst. Sections are representatives of four rats from four different litters, and bar diagrams represent mean ± S.E. ***P < 0.001 and **P < 0.01 (compared with V). (e) Five-μm-thick cryostat sections of cerebral cortex (coronal section) from V- and TZ-treated rats were co-labeled for p-PPARγ (S112), GFAP and nuclear Hoechst. LHS: Representative photomicrograph (× 20 magnification) of p-PPARγ (S112) (red fluorescence), GFAP (green fluorescence) and nucleus (blue fluorescence), and the three merged in the same field. RHS: The p-PPARγ/GFAP ratio normalized with nuclear Hoechst. Sections are representatives of four rats from four different litters, and bar diagrams represent mean ± S.E. ***P < 0.001 and **P < 0.01 (compared with V). (f) Representative western blot (upper panel) and densitometry (lower panel) of GFAP relative to β-actin in Pio- or Rosi-treated astrocytes at 18 h after treatment. Data represent mean ± S.E. of four independent experiments in triplicate. **P < 0.01 (compared with V). (g) Representative western blot (upper panel) and densitometry (lower panel) of p-PPARγ (S112) relative to PPARγ in Pio-treated astrocytes at indicated time points. (h) Representative western blot (upper panel) and densitometry (lower panel) of p-PPARγ (S112) relative to PPARγ in Rosi-treated astrocytes at indicated time points. Data represent mean ± S.E. of four independent experiments in triplicate.
Figure 3  MM and TZ downregulate GFAP by increased p-PPARγ binding to GFAP promoter in rat brain astrocytes. (a) GFAP gene sequence was analyzed through NUBiscan and two PPREs of higher significance (Table 2) were selected. Upper panel: Representative sequence of GFAP gene containing IR10. Lower panel: Representative sequence of GFAP gene containing DR1 sequence. (b) The rat primary astrocytes were treated with MM or TZ for 12 h. The nuclear lysates were collected and incubated with IR10 oligonucleotide probes for 20 min in absence or presence of anti-p-PPARγ (S112) antibody. The DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by EMSA. LHS: Representative blot showing shift of IR10 DNA band relative to unbound DNA, for MM, in absence or in presence of anti-p-PPARγ (S112) antibody. RHS: Representative blot showing shift of IR10 DNA band relative to unbound DNA, for TZ, in absence or in presence of anti-p-PPARγ (S112) antibody. Note the supershifted DNA-protein complex (sb: supershifted band). Lane 1: labeled probe only; lane 2: labeled probe + protein from vehicle (V)-treated astrocytes; lane 3: labeled probe + protein from MM- or TZ-treated astrocytes; lane 4: labeled probe + unlabeled probe + protein from MM- or TZ-treated astrocytes (competition experiment, CE). The data shown are representative of three separate experiments. (c) The rat primary astrocytes were treated with MM or TZ for 12 h. The nuclear lysates were collected and incubated with DR1 oligonucleotide probes for 20 min in absence or in presence of anti-p-PPARγ (S112) antibody. The DNA-protein complexes were analyzed by EMSA. LHS: Representative blot showing shift of DR1 DNA band relative to unbound DNA, for MM, in absence or in presence of anti-p-PPARγ (S112) antibody. RHS: Representative blot showing shift of DR1 DNA band relative to unbound DNA, for TZ, in absence or in presence of anti-p-PPARγ (S112) antibody. Note the supershifted DNA-protein complex (sb: supershifted band). Lane 1: labeled probe only; lane 2: labeled probe + protein from vehicle (V)-treated astrocytes; lane 3: labeled probe + protein from MM- or TZ-treated astrocytes; lane 4: labeled probe + unlabeled probe + protein from MM- or TZ-treated astrocytes (competition experiment, CE). The data shown are representative of three separate experiments. (d) The rat primary astrocytes were treated with MM or TZ for 12 h. Cells were fixed and ChIP was performed with anti-p-PPARγ (S112) antibody. The precipitated DNA was then amplified through real-time PCR using IR10 or DR1 primers. (e) Representative bar diagrams showing fold change of IR10 DNA relative to V in MM- or TZ-exposed astrocytes. Data represent mean ± S.E. of four independent experiments in triplicate. ***P<0.001 (compared with V). (f) The rat primary astrocytes were transfected with pGL3, pGL3-IR10 or pGL3-DR1 constructs, and then treated with V, MM or TZ for 18 h. The luciferase activity was measured and expressed as percentage relative to activity of empty vector. ***P<0.001 and **P<0.01 (compared with V).
MEK1/2 (assessed by ERK1/2) and downstream JNK pathways, and thereby affected cultured astrocytes.\textsuperscript{26} We validated the data \textit{in vivo} (Figures 5a and b) in which we observed an MM-mediated increase in p-ERK1/2 and p-JNK in astrocytes of rat brain. We determined whether the same phenomenon was valid for TZ. TZ caused an increase in p-ERK1/2 (Figure 5c) and p-JNK (Figure 5d) in rat brain astrocytes \textit{(in vivo)}, which was further validated \textit{in vitro}.
(Figure 5e). Inhibiting MEK1/2 with PD98059 prevented TZ-mediated rise in p-JNK, indicating JNK as downstream to MEK1/2 (Figure 5f).

We investigated whether upregulation of p-CDK5 by MM or TZ was dependent on MEK1/2 and JNK. PD98059 and the JNK inhibitor, SP600125, inhibited phosphorylation of CDK5 for both MM (Figure 5g) and TZ (Figure 5h), indicating ERK1/2- and JNK-dependent activation of CDK5. We further observed that PD98059 and SP600125 restricted the rise in p-PPARγ (S112) (Supplementary Figures 3 and 4) and fall in GFAP (Supplementary Figures 5 and 6).

**Effect of MM and TZ on astrogliosis.** Increased expression of GFAP induces astrogial activation and gliosis during neurodegeneration.30 We, therefore, investigated whether TZ or MM could serve as neuroprotective agents by their ability to downregulate GFAP. We induced astrogliosis by treating astrocytes with lipopolysaccharide (LPS)31 and co-treated with TZ or MM. We found that TZ reduced GFAP levels (Figure 6), suggesting its GFAP-regulating function in pathological conditions. Co-treatment of MM and LPS killed the astrocytes (data not shown).

Therefore, it could be construed that MM- and TZ-mediated modulation in p-PPARγ (S112) and GFAP involved sequential activation of ERK → JNK → CDK5, followed by physical interaction between CDK5 and PPARγ and consequent phosphorylation of PPARγ at S112 (Figure 7).

**Discussion**

The present study for the first time proposes a model (Figure 7) whereby increased p-PPARγ (S112) down-regulates GFAP by binding to IR10 and DR1 response elements in GFAP gene. TZ in close resemblance with MM (a) stimulates ERK → JNK → CDK5-mediated phosphorylation (S112) of PPARγ, (b) enhances p-PPARγ (S112) binding to GFAP-PPREs, (c) reduces GFAP, and (d) disrupts astrocyte morphology in developing brain.

PPARγ antagonists are reported to suppress glial scar formation by way of reduced astrocyte differentiation in mouse embryonic stem cells.32 On the contrary, PPARγ agonists exhibit protective effect in spinal neuroimmune activation,32 atrophic lateral sclerosis33,34 and retinal ischemia/reperfusion injury35 via reduced gliosis and modulated GFAP. However, the studies either fail to offer in vivo confirmation or focus only on the anti-inflammatory feature of PPARγ function. They lack investigating the genomic regulation of GFAP by PPARγ. Here we verify the GFAP-regulating role of PPARγ both in vitro and in vivo. We further unearth a mechanism of GFAP regulation through DNA binding of PPARγ. The MM-treated developing rats showing reduced GFAP in developing brain36 served as a tool for the purpose. To confirm the involvement of PPARγ signaling, TZ-treated developing rats provided an excellent support. TZ-mediated astrocyte damage was further confirmed through the downregulated expressions of S100β and glutamine synthetase (Supplementary Figure 2) that also qualify as astrocyte markers. However, detailed investigation on this is needed.

We prove that the fall in GFAP is mediated through p-PPARγ (S112). Post-translational modifications represent a vital molecular mechanism to regulate the activity of PPARγ.37,38 Phosphorylation at Ser112 is a major post-translational modification,37 and mutation at the site alters transcriptional and adipogenic activity of PPARγ.39,40 We find that the augmented p-PPARγ (S112) repressed GFAP, resulting in altered astrocyte morphology in developing brain. Suppression of p-PPARγ (S112) prevented the fall in GFAP and further raised its (GFAP) level above vehicle. Therefore, although PPARγ target genes are affected by PPARγ phosphorylation in a tissue-specific manner,40 a common conformational transformation from PPARγ to p-PPARγ (S112) may account for transspression.

However, downregulation of GFAP is not a uniform characteristic of the thiazolidinediones. Pioglitazone and rosiglitazone unaltered and raised levels of GFAP, respectively. Probably, the inability to induce p-PPARγ (S112) distinguishes pioglitazone and rosiglitazone from TZ in terms of their effects on GFAP in astrocytes. Despite reports of PPARγ and GFAP sharing several regulatory activities,41,42 whether PPARγ binds to GFAP gene is unknown. Using a combination of computer-assisted analysis, gel shift, ChIP and transient transfection assays, we identified the two functional PPREs IR10 and DR1 within the non-coding region of GFAP gene, located at + 913 and + 507, respectively, from the transcription initiation site. Both MM and TZ increased the binding of p-PPARγ (S112) to IR1
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and DR10, and suppressed luciferase activity in astrocytes transfected with luciferase reporters driven by these PPREs. Therefore, our data divulges that an enhanced interaction of p-PPARγ (S112) to the GFAP-PPREs represses the gene. Probably, the phosphorylation at S112 stabilizes an inactive conformation of PPARγ by recruiting negative co-regulators and co-repressors, turning off the activated GFAP gene.

We bring forth, for the first time, a CDK5-mediated phosphorylation of PPARγ in astrocytes. CDK5 has been reported to associate with its strongest and best characterized activator,
P35, and phosphorylate PPARγ in adipose and pancreatic β cells. Further, CDK5 forms an immune complex with p35 that contributes to the altered morphology and process extension in astrocytes, and in the reorganization of GFAP cytoskeleton in pathological situations. Therefore, it may well be assumed that CDK5-mediated phosphorylation (probably by complexing with P35, which needs to be probed) of PPARγ is a pertinent reason behind the adverse changes in astrocytes.

S112 phosphorylation at the NH2-terminal (A/B domain) by MAPKs modulates ligand-binding affinity of PPARγ and negatively regulates transcriptional and biological functions of PPARγ. Activation of MAPKs and JNK by stress results in enhanced phosphorylation of PPARγ at S112. The MAPKs directly couple to the receptor controlling a variety of target genes involved in lipid homeostasis. Here, in brain astrocytes, we observed a participation of ERK1/2 and JNK in the generation of p-PPARγ (S112) and repression of GFAP. However, it occurs by intermediate involvement of CDK5 that directly interacts with PPARγ. Ours is the first report on CDK5-mediated phosphorylation of S112. Serine 273 is also an important site for phosphorylation of PPARγ. However, we are yet to determine whether the modulation in GFAP levels by MM and TZ involved any hyper phosphorylation of serine 273.

**Figure 6** TZ reduces astrogliosis during neurodegeneration. The rat primary astrocytes were pre-treated with LPS and then treated with vehicle (V), LPS or TZ and LPS for 18 h. Representative western blot (upper panel) and densitometric analysis (lower panel) of GFAP relative to β-actin. Data represent mean ± S.E. of four independent experiments in triplicate. ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01 (compared with V) and *P < 0.05 (compared with LPS alone).

**Figure 7** Proposed schematic diagram of MM- and TZ-mediated GFAP downregulation in astrocytes of rat brain. The MM and TZ induces sequential activation of ERK1/2, JNK and CDK5. The CDK5 binds to the PPARγ, enhances p-PPARγ (S112) that suppresses GFAP.
TZ ameliorates ischemic injury from stroke\textsuperscript{50,51} and alleviates the effects of neurodegenerative diseases. Ischemia and neurodegeneration are largely associated with astroglial damage, characterized by increased expression of immunoreactive GFAP.\textsuperscript{2} Therefore, the question arises whether the neuroprotection by TZ is due to reduction in GFAP. The data on reduced levels of GFAP in LPS-treated astrocytes suggest that our observed GFAP-suppressing role of the TZ may be utilized for neuroprotection during astroglial damage. However, this aspect calls for further confirmation.

Overall, we differ from a prior report where astrocytes were resistant to TZ even at 30 times higher concentration.\textsuperscript{37} Rather, the strange similarity of TZ with neurotoxic MM in developing astrocytes invokes the necessity of assessing in detail its effect on neurodevelopment.

Taken as a whole, our study enlightens both the genomic and non-genomic PPAR\textsubscript{g}; signaling pathways to astrocyte damage during brain development. The data claims TZ as a new molecule provoking astrocyte irregularity and distortion in developing brain. It also delves deep into the toxic mechanism of MM in developing astrocytes. However, a detailed inspection is needed to discern the PPAR\textsubscript{g}; and p-PPAR\textsubscript{g}; molecular mechanism in astrocytes during normal and pathological conditions.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and kits. Sodium arsenite, lead acetate, cadmium chloride, sodium orthovanadate, sodium fluoride, porcine S stain, bromophenol blue, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, protease inhibitor cocktail, dithiothreitol bovine serum albumin acrylamide, bis-acrylamide, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), p-formaldehyde, sucrose, ethylene glycol, agarose, 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane, polyvinylidene fluoride membrane, ethanol, dimethylformamide, methanol, isopropanol, chloroform, Tris-HCl, NaCl, EDTA, EGTA, Triton X-100, mammalian tissue and cell protein extraction reagent and LPS were procured from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). PPAR\textsubscript{g} and p-PPAR\textsubscript{g} antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology and Sigma Chemical Co., respectively. S100beta (S100\textsubscript{b}) and rabbit polyclonal antibody to glutamine synthetase were obtained from Millipore. Mouse monoclonal antibodies to pERK1/2, p-JNK, S100beta, and glutamine synthetase, and 1:400 dilution of GFAP, overnight at 4°C, washed thrice with PBS, probed with Alexa Fluor 546 goat anti-mouse IgG conjugate (1:200 dilutions) or Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugate (1:200) secondary antibodies, counterstained with Hoechst 33258 (0.2 mM), washed in PBS, mounted in Vectashield mounting media and visualized under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Insci Tech Co. Ltd, Kawasaki, Kanagawa, Japan) using >10, >20 and >40 objectives. The immunofluorescence images were then imported and quantified in ImageJ 1.42q (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/); developed by Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda and USA.\textsuperscript{26} Count of S100\textsubscript{b巨大} or glutamine synthetase immunoreactive astrocytes were quantified with the Shape descriptors plugin of the software. Mean pixel intensity of S100\textsubscript{b巨大} or glutamine synthetase fluorescence immunoreactivity were measured by RGB plugin of the software.

Primary astrocyte culture. Astrocytes were cultured from 1-day-old rat brain as described previously.\textsuperscript{25} The cortices were released from the skull cavity, gently transferred to a 60-mm Petri dish, rinsed with PBS, cleaned, put in serum-free medium, mechanically triturated and digested with trypsin for 10 min at 37°C. The tissue was rinsed with a squirt of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium: nutrient mixture F-12 culture medium, trypsin-EDTA, G-5 supplement, fetal bovine serum, sample loading buffer for western blotting and protein markers were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). The nuclear and cytoplasmic extraction reagents, supravital stain, enolase, testo max sensitivity substrate, nylon membrane and electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) kit were purchased from Pierce Biotechnology (Rockford, IL, USA). PPAR\textsubscript{g}; agonist TZ, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, PPAR\textsubscript{g}; antagonist T070907 and CDK5 inhibitors (R-CR8 were purchased from Tocris Biosciences (Bristol, UK). Tissue-freezing medium was purchased from Leica Microsystems (Heidelberg Strasse, Germany). Aminax transfection reagent was purchased from Lonza (Basel, Switzerland). ChIP kit was purchased from Millipore (Temecula, CA, USA). Vectashield mounting medium and Elite ABC kit were purchased from Vector Laboratories (Burlingame, CA, USA). The SYBR Green qPCR kit was purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA, USA). The pG3 basic promoter plasmid vector and luciferase substrate were obtained from Promega (Madison, WI, USA).

Antibodies. Rabbit polyclonal antibody to PPAR\textsubscript{g}; was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA), rabbit polyclonal antibodies to S112-phosphorylated PPAR\textsubscript{g};, phospho CDK5 and CDK6 were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies to ERK1/2, JNK1/2, phospho-ERK1/2 and phospho-JNK1/2 and anti-actin H4 antibody were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Rabbit monoclonal antibody to S100beta (S100\textsubscript{b}) and rabbit polyclonal antibody to glutamine synthetase were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Mouse monoclonal antibodies to GFAP and TATA box-binding protein were obtained from Millipore. Mouse monoclonal antibodies to p-actin and peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. The Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies were purchased from Invitrogen.

Animals and treatments. All animal handling procedures were performed following the regulations of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee and with their prior approval for using animals. Pregnant female Wistar rats, obtained from the institute animal house, were fed through gavage with MM or water (vehicle) from gestation day 5 (G5) and continued in lactating rats until postnatal day 16 (P16). The MM was a mixture of 10 times the most frequently occurring concentrations of As, Cd and Pb in Indian ground water. At this dose of exposure, the GFAP levels and astrocyte morphology were adversely affected. TZ and its vehicle were intraperitoneally injected in pups from P1 to P16 at a low dose (Table 1). The number of pregnant rats per treatment group was 30. After standardization of litters (culling), equal numbers of male and female pups were taken for each experiment, and pups from different litters were independent subjects.\textsuperscript{32}

Immunohistochemistry. Immunofluorescence staining of rat brain section was performed according to the previously described method.\textsuperscript{36} Four P16 pups from four different litters were anesthetized and perfused by phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) followed by 4% p-formaldehyde, and the brain was cryoprotected in 25% sucrose. Five-micron transverse sections were made from the coronal sections of the cerebral cortex using cryomicrotome (Microm HM 520; Labcon, Munich, Germany), which were then mounted on 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane-coated slides. The sections were air dried, antigen-retrieved with Na-citrate buffer (pH 6.0), washed with PBS, incubated for 30 min in methanol, washed twice with PBS and blocked with 3% donkey serum in PBS at room temperature for 1 h. The sections were then probed with 1:100 dilution of p-PPAR\textsubscript{g};, p-R44, p-JNK, p-CDK5, S100beta, glutamine synthetase, and 1:400 dilution of GFAP, overnight at 4°C. Sections were washed with PBS, probed with Alexa Fluor 546 goat anti-mouse IgG conjugate (1:200 dilutions) or Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugate (1:200) secondary antibodies, counterstained with Hoechst 33258 (0.2 mM), washed in PBS, mounted in Vectashield mounting media and visualized under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Insci Tech Co. Ltd, Kawasaki, Kanagawa, Japan) using >10, >20 and >40 objectives. The immunofluorescence images were then imported and quantified in ImageJ 1.42q (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/); developed by Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda and USA.\textsuperscript{26} Count of S100\textsubscript{b巨大} or glutamine synthetase immunoreactive astrocytes were quantified with the Shape descriptors plugin of the software. Mean pixel intensity of S100\textsubscript{b巨大} or glutamine synthetase fluorescence immunoreactivity were measured by RGB plugin of the software.

**Table 1** MM and TZ doses (in vivo)

| Group | Treatment |
|-------|-----------|
| 1     | Vehicle for MM |
| 2     | TZ (1 μg/mL) |
| 3     | MM (3 μg/mL) |
| 4     | TZ (1 μg/mL) |

Abbreviations: MM, metal mixture; TZ, troglitazone
MEK1/2, JNK, CDK5 and PPARγ, respectively, and then co-treating with MM or TZ. The time course of activation of PPARγ, CDK5, MEK1/2 (assessed by ERK1/2 phosphorylation) and JNK in MM- or TZ-treated astrocytes was determined by pre-incubating the cells in reduced serum medium for 60 min, and then treating with MM or TZ in reduced serum. To understand the role of MM and TZ in astroglisis, the astrocytes were pre-incubated in reduced serum medium and then treated with LPS (1 µg/ml) or LPS and MM or TZ in reduced serum medium.

Protein isolation and western blotting. The cultured astrocytes were washed in ice-cold PBS and suspended in CellLytic Lysis Reagent with protease inhibitor cocktail and 1 mM dithiothreitol. To determine the phosphorylation of JNK, ERK1/2, CDK5 and PPARγ, cells were treated with lysis buffer supplemented with 1 mM sodium orthovanadate and 25 mM sodium fluoride following an optimizing protocol.55 For brain tissues, P16 cortical tissues were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in tissue lysis buffer following an optimized protocol.55 The samples were centrifuged at 15 000 r.p.m. for 30 min at 4°C. The clear supernatant was taken and protein concentration was determined using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). Protein samples (50–100 µg) were subjected to 6–15% SDS-PAGE followed by transfer on polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk in TBST (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01% Tween 20) for 60 min at room temperature and probed with primary antibodies against p-PPARγ, p-ERK1/2, p-JNK, JNK, p-CDK5, CDK5, GFAP or β-actin (1:1000 to 1:20 000 dilutions) in TBST.

The astrocytes were visualized chemiluminescence with the supersignal West Femto maximum sensitivity substrate. Densitometric quantification of bands was done using the VersaDoc Gel Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Identification of PPREs on GFAP gene. The PPREs on rat GFAP gene were identified using NUScan, an in silico tool for prediction of nuclear receptor-binding site that relies on the combination of nucleotide distribution weight matrices of single-hexamer half sites.57 Nucleotide sequence of rat GFAP gene (GenBank ID: 24387; Chromosome: 10; NC_005139.3) was scanned on NUScan against matrix of weighted PPRE half sites from previous mentioned experimental data.56 Scanning results were further analyzed and PPREs were selected on the basis of their P-values (Table 2).

Isolation of nuclear proteins and EMSA. The astrocytes (5 × 10^6) were collected and washed in ice-cold PBS, resuspended in 500 µl hypotonic (10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂ and 20 mM Tris-HCl; pH 7.4) buffer, incubated on ice, and 500 µl of SEPHAROSE A bead was added for pre-clearing for 4 h at 4°C. Beads were precipitated by centrifugation at 14 000 r.p.m. at 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was incubated with anti-PPARγ (1:100 dilution) or mouse IgG1 antibody for 12 h at 4°C with gentle rotation. Then, 0.1 µl of sepharose A bead was added and incubated for 4 h at 4°C. Beads were precipitated by centrifugation at 14 000 r.p.m. at 4°C and washed three times with wash buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.2 mM sodium orthovanadate and protease inhibitor cocktail) followed by protein elution in SDS containing buffer. The eluted proteins were subjected to western blotting for CDK5 or PPARγ proteins. Western blot data from input samples were used as indicator of sample integrity and position of proteins on blot.

siRNA oligonucleotide transfection for GFAP. The primary astrocytes were transfected using Amaxa Nucleofector kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Amaxa Biosystems) Supplementary Data. Briefly, the cells were plated and grown to 70–80% confluence and then trypsinized. Around 1.5 × 10⁶ cells were centrifuged and resuspended in Nucleofector solution (0.5 ml supplement mixed with 2.25 ml of Nucleofector solution provided with the Amaxa kit. Chemically synthesized and annealed oligonucleotide constructs for GFAP (25-nm)

Table 2 PPRE sequence of GFAP gene

| PPRE | Sequence (5'→3') | P-value |
|------|-----------------|---------|
| 1    | 5'-AGGCCAGGTCAC-3' | 0.000383876 |
| 2    | 5'-AGGTCATgatacatacTGTCCCT-3' | 0.000789774 |

Abbreviations: DR1, direct repeat 1; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; IR10, inverted repeat 10; PPRE, peroxisome proliferator responsive element

Table 3 Oligonucleotide sequence for EMSA

| PPRE | Sequence (5'→3') |
|------|-----------------|
| 1    | 5'-CAGGCGCGTAGGTCACACCTGT TTGAGGCCAGGTTGACACCTG TGGACCCAAGGTTGAGACCTGC-3' |
| 2    | 5'-TACTCTAGTACGAGTGGTACATGT GACCTTTTACCATGACGACTGACAGAT GTGATATCATGTTACATCCTCAGCAGATGACGATGTTGACATCATGACGACTC3' |

Abbreviations: DR1, direct repeat 1; EMSA, electrophoretic mobility shift assay; IR10, inverted repeat 10

ChIP assay. The astrocytes were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde and then lysed. The chromatin was harvested and fragmented using sonication and then immunoprecipitated with anti-p-PPARγ antibody or anti-acetyl H4 antibody (positive control) or mouse IgG1 (negative control). Purified DNA was amplified using two sets (sets 1 and 2; Table 4) of IR10 or DR1 or glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase-specific primers and quantified by real-time PCR using SYBR Green PCR master mix and the PRISM 7900 HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

PPRE reporter assay. The three repeats of the IR10 and DR1 PPRE-binding sequence from GFAP gene (Table 5) were synthesized from Eurofins MWG Operon and each were cloned into pGL3-basic promoter plasmid vectors (Promega) at 5’-knt-Sacl site. The pGL3-basic promoter plasmid, pGL3-IR10 or pGL3-DR1, was co-transfected with green fluorescent protein plasmid (for determining transfection efficiency) into rat primary astrocytes using the cell-specific Amaxa Nucleofector reagent (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) and Amaxa electroporator (Amaxa Biosystems, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions. The promoter-induced luciferase activity was measured as described previously.58

Co-immunoprecipitation. The co-immunoprecipitation was performed according to a previously described method.57 Protein lysate from the cultured astrocytes was prepared in non-denaturing lysis buffer (20 mM Tris- HCl, pH 8, 137 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40 and 2 mM EDTA). Per sample, 200 µg protein was taken in 500 µl buffer and 100 µl of salmon sperm was added for pre-clearing for 30 min at 4°C with gentle mixing. The samples were centrifuged at 14 000 r.p.m. at 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was incubated with anti-PPARγ (1:100 dilution) or mouse IgG1 antibody for 12 h at 4°C with gentle rotation. Then, 100 µl of salmon sperm A bead was added and incubated for 4 h at 4°C. Beads were precipitated by centrifugation at 14 000 r.p.m. at 4°C and washed three times with wash buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.2 mM sodium orthovanadate and protease inhibitor cocktail) followed by protein elution in SDS containing buffer. The eluted proteins were subjected to western blotting for CDK5 or PPARγ proteins. Western blot data from input samples were used as indicator of sample integrity and position of proteins on blot.
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Table 4 Primer sequences for qPCR in ChIP assay

| Set | Primer Sequence (5’–3’) |
|-----|------------------------|
| 1 IR10 (Set 1) | R 5’-AGGGGACACTGCTTACGAGTCGCT-3’ |
| 2 IR10 (Set 2) | R 5’-AGGGGACACTGCTTACGAGTCGCT-3’ |
| 3 DR1 (Set 1) | R 5’-AGGGGACACTGCTTACGAGTCGCT-3’ |
| 4 DR1 (Set 2) | R 5’-AGGGGACACTGCTTACGAGTCGCT-3’ |
| 5 GAPDH | R 5’-AGGGGACACTGCTTACGAGTCGCT-3’ |

Abbreviations: ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; DR1, direct repeat 1; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; IR10, inverted repeat 10; qPCR, quantitative PCR

Table 5 PPRE sequence for cloning

| PPRE Sequence (5’–3’) |
|----------------------|
| 1 DR1 5’-CAGGATCTAGGATCATGAACTGTC-3’ |
| 2 IR10 5’-TACCTGAGAGGATCTGCTTACGTC-3’ |

Abbreviations: DR1, direct repeat 1; IR10, inverted repeat 10; PPRE, peroxisome proliferator responsive element

were electroporated separately using T-20 program of an Amaxa electroporator. Non-targeting control siRNA (25 nM) and Pmax-green fluorescent protein plasmid (2 μg, to determine the efficiency of transfection) were transfected in the cells as negative control and positive controls, respectively. The cells were then cultured in complete growth medium.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean ± S.E. of the indicated number of experiments. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test, or one sample t-test when appropriate, in SPSS-9.0-software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
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