Influence of Air Temperature on the Drying Kinetics and Quality of Tomato Slices
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Abstract

The influence of different drying temperatures on the drying kinetics and quality of tomato slices was studied. In particular, the effect of hot air temperature on the lycopene content, non-enzymatic browning, colour and flavour changes during drying at various temperatures in the range of 50-80°C was investigated. Drying time reduced from 1140 mins to 540 mins as the air temperature increased from 50 to 80°C. The non-enzymatic browning increased with temperature from 0.485 to 1.40. The lycopene levels of the fresh tomatoes significantly (p<0.05) increased from an initial value of 2.96 mg/100g to 61.23 mg/100g, 59.10 mg/100g, 60.88 mg/100g, and 65.28 mg/100g when dried at 50, 60, 70, and 80°C respectively. Eleven out of the twelve sensors used in the electronic nose system indicated flavour degradation of all dried samples compared with the fresh tomatoes. The values of the hue angles recorded for the dried tomatoes ranged between 51.81º and 61.95º, revealing that the dried tomatoes were yellow hued, thus indicating less browning. The drying characteristics curves were evaluated against the Page, Henderson and Pabis, and the Logarithmic mathematical models but the Page model best described the drying of tomato slices. The effective moisture diffusivity coefficient increased with increasing drying temperature and was found to be 5.13×10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹, 6.45×10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹, 8.44×10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹, and 10.26 ×10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹ at respective hot air temperatures of 50, 60, 70, and 80°C with activation energy for moisture removal of 22.28 KJ/mol.
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Introduction

Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) cultivation is wide spread throughout the world [1]. The tomato crop is noted to be the second most important vegetable crop next to potato [2]. Globally, China is by far the largest producer of tomatoes, followed by the USA, India, Egypt and Turkey [3]. Many developing countries still face enormous challenges of postharvest losses of tomatoes due to inadequate processing and storage facilities. Tomatoes produced in the peak seasons are either consumed fresh, sold at relatively cheap prices, or are allowed to go waste [4]. Studies show that tomato contains a large amount of lycopene, which is the major carotenoid, accounting for 90% of the total carotenoids [5]. Lycopene’s antioxidant activity is reported to be higher than β-carotene, γ-carotene, and α-tocopherol, which provides effective scavenging effects on cancer causing free radicals. Epidemiological studies have shown that lycopene in tomato is particularly effective in fighting prostate cancer, cervical cancer, cancer of the stomach and rectum as well as pharynx and oesophageal cancers [6]. Studies done by Toğrul [7] indicated that among the carotenoids, lycopene is the compound that produces the greatest number of unsaturations, having a total of 13 double bonds, with 11 of them conjugated in structure. The conjugated double bond in lycopene is responsible for the red colour of ripe tomato and its antioxidant activity [8,9]. Other medicinal benefits of tomatoes include reduction of cholesterol, improvement of vision, maintenance of gut, lowering of hypertension, alleviation of diabetes, protection of the skin, prevention of urinary tract infections and gallstones. Lycopene is used in cosmetics and pharmaceutical products and is an excellent natural colourant in several food formulations [8,10]. Other authors have suggested that lycopene in tomato can chelate singlet oxygen [9] with a chelating constant two times higher than that of β-carotene [11]. Additionally, lycopene can sequester nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and sulphide (RS) free radicals and inhibit DNA and cellular membrane damage due to its oxidative processes. Several reports show high correlation of carotenoid consumption and reduction of diseases such as cancer, artherogenesis, bone calcification deficiencies, macular degeneration, neuron damage, and heart problems [12-16].

The most widely used commercial drying plants for numerous agricultural products are the conventional hot-air dryers, in which heat is transferred to the product by means of heated air [1]. The basic objective in drying food products is the removal of water from the solid material to certain moisture content where microbial spoilage is avoided. Longer shelf life and significant reduction in the volume of the products are major reasons for the popularity of dried food material. The objectives of energy efficient process and the highest possible product quality are conflicting in many cases in that most energy-saving measures are harmful for quality aspects and vice versa [17]. Thermal damage incurred by a product during drying is directly proportional to the temperature and time involved [18]. Even though hot-air drying is the most common method to preserve foods, many researchers believe that hot-air drying leads to degradation of products flavor, colour, nutrients, and case hardening, due to their long drying times and high temperatures employed in practice [18-20].
A Review by Vadivambal and Jayas [18] indicated that quality assessment includes three principal indicators of nutritional value, acceptability, and safety. Good quality is judged by freshness, expected appearance, flavour, and texture. Food safety is protecting the food from physical (drying out, infestation), chemical (rancidity, browning) and microbial hazards or contamination that may occur during all stages of food production, growing, harvesting, processing, transporting, distributing, and storage. In tomato drying, the colour and flavour are considered the most important quality attributes affecting the degree of acceptability of the products by consumers [17]. Research shows that, among many factors affecting the quality attributes during drying, the most important are the moisture content and temperature. Cernişev [17] reported that the decrease in moisture content and the higher temperature of the material during drying lead to several irreversible chemical reactions as well as structural, physical and mechanical changes. In today’s advanced food processing technologies, the trend is to minimize chemical degradation reactions, maximize nutrient retention, minimize energy consumption and reduce carbon footprint to produce better quality products [17].

The objective of this research was to investigate the influence of hot-air on the drying kinetics and quality attributes of tomato slices. Specifically, this research investigated the influence of the hot-air on the drying kinetics, the moisture diffusivity, and activation energy for moisture removal of tomato slices. Additionally, the study evaluated the drying characteristics of tomato slices against the Page, Henderson and Pabis, and Logarithmic drying models available in literature. In this case, the experimental dimensionless moisture ratio was fitted to the three models and to establish the influence of hot-air on the moisture diffusivity coefficient and activation energy for hot-air drying of tomato slices. Finally, the most important quality attributes of dried tomatoes such as lycopene content, flavor, colour, and non-enzymatic browning were investigated.

### Materials and Methods

#### Materials

Fresh tomatoes of good quality from the same cultivar were procured from the Zhenjiang local Market, China. Selection was based on visual assessment of uniform colour and geometry. The initial procured from the Zhenjiang local Market, China. Selection was based on visual assessment of uniform colour and geometry. The initial moisture content of the tomatoes was determined at 105°C for 24 h. The tomato samples were washed and stored in a refrigerator at a temperature 4°C in order to slow down the physiological and chemical changes [21,22]. Prior to drying, the individual tomatoes were cut into slices of thickness 7 mm using a cutting machine (SS-250, SEP Machinery Company Ltd, Guangzhou, China).

#### Drying equipment and drying method

The adjustable cabinet hot-air dryer used in the study (Shanghai Experimental Apparatus Company Limited, 101C-3B) has the technical features of 230/380V 50Hz and 59 kW, with a maximum temperature of 300°C (Figure 1). 100g of the tomato slices were dried at drying air temperatures of 50, 60, 70, and 80°C with three replications. The masses of the drying samples were monitored every 30 min at the initial stages and later changed to 1 h at the later stages of drying until constant mass was observed. The electronic balance used to monitor the mass of drying samples was of 0.01g precision (Sartorius BS2202S, Germany). The tomato slices were put in a thin layer on a round stainless steel meshed bowl and dried to a final moisture content of about 0.18 kg water per kg dry matter. The average moisture content was used to plot the drying characteristics curves for the temperature range studied with dimensionless moisture ratio against drying time.

### Drying kinetics expressed in terms of empirical models

The drying kinetics of tomato slices was expressed in terms of empirical models, where the experimental data obtained for the four different temperatures (50, 60, 70 and 80°C) were plotted in the form of dimensionless moisture ratio (MR) [Equation 1] against drying time (expressed in min).

\[
MR = \frac{M_0 - M_t}{M_0 - M_e} \quad \text{[Equation 1]}
\]

Where, MR is the moisture ratio, M is the moisture content at any time, t, M_e is the equilibrium moisture content, and M_0 is the initial moisture content.

The drying rate of tomato slices was calculated using [Equation 2] [23], where \( M_{\text{pred}} \) is the moisture content (kg water per kg dry matter) at \( t + dt \), and \( t \) is the drying time (min)

\[
DR = \frac{M_{\text{pred}}(t + dt) - M_{\text{pred}}(t)}{dt} \quad \text{[Equation 2]}
\]

The experimental set of (MR, t) were fitted to three different empirical drying models widely used in scientific literature shown in Table 1 to describe the drying kinetics of tomato slices. Three primary criteria were used to determine the goodness of fit to the models; the correlation coefficient \( R^2 \), the reduced chi-square \( \chi^2 \), and the root mean square error (RMSE) and the reduced chi-square \( \chi^2 \). The highest \( R^2 \), lowest \( \chi^2 \) and RMSE were used to determine the goodness of fit. Several authors have used these criteria to select the best models for drying mistletoe [24], onion slices [25], aromatic plants [26], olive leaves [27], okra [28], thyme [23], and aloe vera [29].

The different statistical evaluation [Equations 3, 4, and 5] to describe the goodness of fit of the dried tomato slices are as follows:

\[
R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{\text{pred},i} - MR_{\text{exp},i})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{\text{exp},i} - \bar{MR}_{\text{exp}})^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{\text{pred},i} - MR_{\text{exp},i})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (MR_{\text{exp},i} - \bar{MR}_{\text{exp}})^2} \quad \text{[Equation 3]}
\]

#### Table 1: Mathematical models that was applied to the drying curves.

| Model name | Model Expression | References |
|------------|------------------|------------|
| Page       | \( MR = \exp(-kt) \) | Page (1949) |
| Logarithmic| \( MR = \exp(-kt) + c \) | Doymaz (2010) |
| Henderson & Pabis | \( MR = \exp(-kt) \) | Ghodake et al. (2006) |

#### Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the dryer used in the experiment.
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\[
\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{MR}_{\text{exp},i} - \text{MR}_{\text{pred},i})^2}
\]

(4)

\[
\chi^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{MR}_{\text{exp},i} - \text{MR}_{\text{pred},i})^2}{N - z}
\]

(5)

Where MR_{\text{exp},i} and MR_{\text{pred},i} are the experimental and predicted dimensionless MR respectively, N is the number of observations, and z is the number of model constants. The drying rate constants and coefficients of the model equations were determined with nonlinear regression of SPSS 16.0 [30], and the goodness of fit of the curves was determined with correlation analysis.

Calculation of moisture diffusivity and Activation Energy

Fick’s second law of diffusion [Equation 6] has been widely used to describe the drying process during the falling rate period for agricultural materials [23,31,32]

\[
\frac{\partial M}{\partial t} = D_{\text{eff}} \nabla^2 M
\]

(6)

[Equation 6] is solved by Crank [34] for an infinite slab, assuming undimensional moisture movement volume change, constant temperature and diffusivity coefficient, and negligible external resistance. The solution is of the form:

\[
\text{MR} = \frac{M - M_x}{M_0 - M_x} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \exp \left( -\frac{(2n+1)^2 \pi^2 D_{\text{eff}} t}{4L^2} \right)
\]

(7)

For long drying times, [Equation 7] simplifies to a limiting form of the diffusion equation as given by [Equation 8]

\[
\text{MR} = \frac{M - M_x}{M_0 - M_x} = \frac{8}{\pi} \exp \left( -\frac{\pi^2 D_{\text{eff}} t}{4L^2} \right)
\]

(8)

From [Equation 8], D_{\text{eff}} of the tomato slices was obtained from the slope of the graph of InMR against the drying time. InMR versus time results in a straight line with negative slope and K is related to D_{\text{eff}} by [Equation 9].

\[
K = \frac{\pi^2 D_{\text{eff}}}{4L^2}
\]

(9)

Where, MR is the moisture ratio, D_{\text{eff}} is the effective moisture diffusivity (m²/s), and L is half the thickness of slice of the sample (m), M is the moisture content at any time, t, M_{x} is the equilibrium moisture content, and M_{0} is the initial moisture content.

D_{\text{eff}} can be related to the temperature by Arrhenius [Equation 10] [23]

\[
D_{\text{eff}} = D_0 \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{R(T + 273.15)} \right)
\]

(10)

Where D_{0} is the constant in the Arrhenius equation (m²/s), E_{a} is the activation energy (KJ/mol), T is the temperature of hot-air (°C), and R is the universal gas constant (8.31451 kJmol⁻¹ K⁻¹). [Equation 11] can be rearranged into the form:

\[
\ln(D_{\text{eff}}) = \ln(D_0) - \frac{E_a}{R(T + 273.15)}
\]

(11)

The activation energy for moisture diffusion was obtained from the slope of the graph of ln(D_{eff}) against 1/(T+273.15).

Colour measurements

The colour of the fresh and dried tomato slices was measured in Hunter parameters with an automatic colour difference meter (DC-P3, Beijing, China). The calibration was standardized by placing the tip of the measuring heat flux against the surface of the white and black calibration plates. After standardization, three random readings were recorded; the colour brightness coordinates, L, measures the whiteness value of a color and ranges from black at 0 to white at 100. The Chromaticity coordinates, a, measures the red when positive and green when negative, and the chromaticity coordinate, b, measures yellow when positive and blue when negative. Also, the chroma, C, [Equation 12] and hue angle [Equation 13] were calculated from the values of L, a, and b, and used to describe the changes in color after drying. The chroma indicates colour saturation and is proportional to its intensity. The hue angle is another parameter used to characterize colour of food products. An angle of 0° or 360° represents red hue, while angles of 90°, 180°, and 270° represent yellow, green, and blue hues respectively [22].

\[
C = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}
\]

(12)

\[
\alpha = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)
\]

(13)

Flavour measurement

The electronic nose system for agricultural products consisting of twelve Tin dioxides semiconductor sensors array was used to monitor the flavour of the fresh and dried samples. The instrument was previously described by [34]. Four (4) grams of the fresh and reconstituted dried samples were sealed in the concentration chamber and incubated at a temperature of 20 ± 0.8°C [35]. Thereafter the samples were allowed to enable the volatilization of the flavour components into the headspace and pumped into the sensor chamber at a constant flow rate of 150 mL/min. The sensor response pattern signals was measured using pattern recognition algorithms controlled by a commercial acquisition board computer program PCL-816 (Advantech Inc, Taiwan, China). The sensor patterns were monitored until stable values were recorded by all the twelve sensors. Measurements were recorded in resistance changes experienced by the sensors when exposed to the flavour compounds.

Non-enzymatic browning determination

A modified method of Cernîşev [17] was used to determine the browning index (BI) of the dried tomatoes. The extent of browning was evaluated as browning index measured as absorbance at 440 nm. Brown pigments were extracted from 2 g test portions from the dried tomato samples. Samples were ground into fine powder with a kitchen blender for 2 min after which 50 ml of ethanol (60%, v/v) was added and allowed to stand for 12 h. After 12 h, the mixture was agitated and then filtered through 0.45 µm nylon filter membrane. Browning index of filtrates was evaluated with spectrophotometer (UNICO 7200, Shanghai, China) against 60% ethanol. All samples were extracted in duplicate.

Lycopene content determination

The lycopene in the fresh and dried tomato samples was extracted...
in acetone and then taken up in petroleum ether following the protocol. Five (5) gram of fresh tomato pulp and 1 g of dried tomatoes were extracted repeatedly with acetone using pestle and mortar until the residue was colourless. The acetone extract was transferred into a separating funnel containing 20 ml petroleum ether and mixed gently. 20ml of 5% sodium sulphate solution was added and the separating funnel shaken gently. Another 20 ml of petroleum ether was added to make up for any evaporated petroleum ether. The coloured pigment noticed in the upper petroleum ether was separated and the lower phase re-extracted with additional petroleum ether until colourless. The petroleum ether was washed with a little distilled water and poured into a brown bottle containing 10 g of anhydrous sodium sulphate and kept for at least 30 min. The petroleum ether was decanted into a 100ml volumetric flask through a cotton wool in a funnel. The sodium sulphate slurry was washed with petroleum ether until it was colourless and transferred into the volumetric flask. It was topped up to the mark with petroleum ether and the absorbance measured in a spectrophotometer at 503 nm with petroleum ether as blank. The lycopene content (mg/100 g sample) was calculated using [Equation 14]

\[ L_c = \frac{31.206 \times \text{Abs}}{w_t} \quad (14) \]

Where \( L_c \) is the lycopene content (mg/100g), Abs is the absorbance, and \( w_t \) is the weight of the sample (g)

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out with SPSS 16.0 [30] to determine the influence of hot-air on the parameters measured. The Fishers least significance difference (LSD) was used to compare differences in parameters. Where significant differences exist, the Duncan Multiple range test was employed to separate the means.

**Result and Discussion**

**Influence of hot-air temperature on drying kinetics of tomato slices**

Figure 2 show the variation of moisture ratio versus drying time for the various air temperatures of 50, 60, 70, and 80°C. The initial average moisture content of the tomatoes was 24.71 kg water/ kg dry matter, which reduced to 0.18 kg water/ kg dry matter after drying. The drying followed a falling rate period and the increase in temperature accelerated the drying process. As hot-air temperature increased, moisture removal also increased and ultimately resulted in the reduction in drying time. Drying time reduced from 1140 mins to 540 mins as the air temperature increased from 50 to 80°C. This means that there was significant savings in time as hot-air temperature increased. The results agree with what reported by Contreras et al. [20], Bai-Ngew et al. [36], Figel [37], Karaaslan and Tuncer [22] for microwave drying of apple and strawberry, durian chips, beetroot, and spinach respectively.

The drying rates (DR) obtained in unit time for the different temperatures are given in Figure 3. DR increased with the increase of air temperature, with the highest values of DR obtained during the experiment at 80°C. From the curves, it is clearly shown that the drying temperature had a significant effect on the DR. It was found that during the drying process of tomato slices at air temperatures of 50 and 60°C, there was a constant rate drying period when the moisture decrease from 25 to 15 kg water/ kg dry matter. On the contrary, no similar trend was observed for the 70 and 80°C dried samples. This indicates that diffusion is the most likely physical mechanism governing moisture movement in the tomato particles. Similar results were reported for hot-air drying of thyme [23], onion slices, and Rehmannia.

**Moisture diffusivity and activation energy**

The variation of In (MR) against drying time for the tomato slices dried at 50, 60, 70, and 80°C is shown in Figure 4. In general, it was found that the plot of In (MR) against time followed a straight line regression equation with negative slope. However, at the latter stages of drying, the trend did not follow the straight line. The results of the effective moisture diffusivity coefficient, \( D_{ae} \), at the different air temperatures studied, increased with hot-air temperature with corresponding values of 5.13×10\(^{-10}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\), 6.45×10\(^{-10}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\), 8.44×10\(^{-10}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\), and 10.26 ×10\(^{-10}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\), at respective air temperatures of 50, 60, 70, and 80°C. The values of the \( D_{ae} \) obtained from this research lie within the general range of 10\(^{-12}\) – 10\(^{-4}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) for drying of food materials. The increasing trend of \( D_{ae} \) with increase in drying air temperature was expected because of increase in vapour pressure inside the tomato samples. The values of the correlation coefficients ranged between 0.8407 and 0.9152. The relatively high value of correlation coefficient indicates good fitness between experimental and predicted values. The moisture diffusivity values recorded for hot-air drying of tomato slices are within those existing in literature, such as 5.30-17.73 ×10\(^{-10}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) for aloe vera [27], 1.345 – 2.658 ×10\(^{-10}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) for onion slices and 1.097-5.991 ×10\(^{-9}\) m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) for thyme [23]. The moisture diffusivities values were used to fit [Equation (11)] to estimate the activation energy for moisture diffusion, \( E_a \).
5 displays the Influence of hot-air temperature on effective moisture diffusivity. The results of such fitting gave a regression coefficient of 0.9977 indicating that the quality of such a fitting was good. The value obtained for the activation energy for moisture diffusion was found to be 22.28 kJ/mol. The activation energy obtained in this study was relatively lower than activation energies of 26.4 kJ/mol for drying onion slices [25], 42.80 for drying red pepper Kaymak-Ertekin [27], 47.14 kJ/mol for drying rehmannia, 51.26 kJ/mol for okra drying [28], 46.86 kJ/mol for drying Avishan, and 73.84 kJ/mol for thyme drying [23].

Modeling of the drying curves

The dimensionless moisture ratio against drying time for the experimental data at various air temperatures was fitted to the Page, Henderson and Pabis, and logarithmic drying models available in literature. The results of such fitting of the experimental data for the samples dried at 50 oC are displayed in Table 2, which show the values of the estimated constants with their corresponding statistical R², χ², and RMSE values characterizing each fitting. From the results, it is evident that the experimental data fitted to the models used in this study. The correlation coefficients obtained are in the range of 0.9771-0.9962. This means that the three models could satisfactorily describe the hot-air drying of tomato slices. The relatively high values of correlation coefficients, low reduced chi-square, and low root mean square errors indicate a good predicting capacity for the temperature of correlation coefficients, low reduced chi-square, and low root mean square errors indicate a good predicting capacity for the temperature described by the models tested, the Page model obtained the highest R², χ², and RMSE values characterizing each fitting. From the results, it is evident that the experimental data fitted to the models used in this study. The correlation coefficients obtained are in the range of 0.9771-0.9962. This means that the three models could satisfactorily describe the hot-air drying of tomato slices. The relatively high values of correlation coefficients, low reduced chi-square, and low root mean square errors indicate a good predicting capacity for the temperature tested over the entire duration of the drying process. Among the three thin-layer drying models tested, the Page model obtained the highest R² values and the lowest χ², and RMSE values. Figures 6, 7, and 8, display the fitting of the experimental and simulated points to the Page, Henderson and Pabis, and logarithmic models respectively. It can be seen from figures that the experimental data are closely bounded to the simulated data for the Page model around logarithmic curves. However, at the latter stages of drying, the experimental data were further away from the predicted data in the Henderson and Pabis and Logarithmic models.

Influence of hot air on colour parameters

The results of the colour changes in Hunter parameters obtained from the hot air drying of tomato slices at the various temperatures are displayed in Figure 9 (a, b, and c) for L(brightness), a(redness), and b(yellowness), and Figure 10 for C(Chroma), and a° (hue angle) values. It is obvious that there was a significant (p=0.05) increase in the brightness for all the dried tomato samples at the various temperatures studied in comparison with the fresh ones. However, brightness increased significantly with temperature from 60.91 to 65.47 when air temperature increased from 50 to 60°C and then decreased from 63.81 to 63.11 at temperatures from 70 to 80°C. This trend shows that luminance of the fresh tomato slices improved after drying.

In comparison, the redness of the fresh tomatoes was significantly higher than the dried samples. The redness significantly decreased with air temperature from 27.96 to 19.63 in the range of 50 to 70°C but rose to 21.51 at 80°C. This shows that, compared with the fresh tomatoes, there was red pigment degradation associated with hot air drying within the temperature range employed in this study. This pigment degradation was consistent with what Contreas et al. [20] reported for microwave-air drying of strawberry. The decrease in redness may be attributed to the occurrence of reaction between the amino acids and reducing sugars (Maillard reaction) in the tomato during drying. The yellowness of all dried tomato samples generally increased significantly (p=0.05)
with increasing temperature indicating less browning. The yellowness increased from 22.68 in the fresh tomato to 37.19 for the samples dried at 80°C. The values of the hue angles recorded for the dried samples ranged between 51.81° and 61.95°, revealing that all the dried samples were yellow hued rather than red. This was consistent with published results by Al-Muhtaseb [38] for drying tomato pomace. The samples dried at 50°C were closer to the red hue of fresh tomatoes whereas the 70°C dried samples were closer to the yellow hue indicating that these samples are likely to be accepted best by consumers. The higher values of hue angles recorded by all dried samples compared with the fresh clearly indicate that less browning occurred. Hawlader et al. [39] reported that a decrease in hue angles ($\alpha$°) values is an indication of more brown pigment formation and shifting away from yellowness. In this study, there was rather an increase in hue angles, thus shifting it towards yellow. The higher L values and a/b values are desirable in dried products [40]. Nevertheless, higher L values in the dried samples may be related to anthocyanin degradation caused by microwaves on the surfaces of the samples [20].

**Influence of hot air temperature on non-enzymatic browning**

Browning is another quality criterion aside flavour and colour in the processing of tomatoes. The influence of hot air drying...
temperatures on the development of non-enzymatic browning in tomato is displayed in Figure 11. The extent of browning was evaluated spectrophotometrically as dried tomato extracts absorbance at 440 nm. The results clearly show how BI increased with temperature from 0.051 in the fresh tomatoes to 1.40 after drying at 80°C. This increasing BI with temperature indicates that the tomato slices were greatly affected by hot air temperature and the rate of brown pigment formation increased as drying rates increased during the drying process. The trend agrees with BI for conventional and multistage drying of tomato reported by Cernișev [17]. The brown pigment formation in the dried tomatoes may be due to the reactions between nitrogenous constituents and reducing sugars, nitrogenous constituents and organic acids, and sugars and organic acids [41,42].

### Influence of hot air temperature on lycopene content

To compare the influence of hot air temperature on lycopene, the dried tomato slices lycopene content were compared with that of the fresh (Figure 12). The lycopene levels of the fresh tomatoes significantly increased from 2.96 mg/100g to 61.23, 59.10, 60.88, and 65.28 mg/100g when air dried at 50, 60, 70, and 80°C respectively. The values of the lycopene content obtained after hot air drying were relatively lower than the 82.90 mg/100g reported by Takeoka et al [43] for tomato paste.

### Influence of hot air temperature on flavor

Figure 13 displays the untransformed mean response signals from 12 tin dioxide sensors in the e-nose system for both the fresh and the dried samples. No consistent flavour degradation was recorded by all the twelve e-nose sensors. Eleven out of the twelve sensors used indicated flavour degradation of all dried samples compared with the fresh tomatoes. Two sensors showed no difference in flavour between all the dried samples but showed slight difference between the fresh and the dried tomatoes. These lower response signals by the e-nose for the dried samples might be due to the degradation of flavour compounds when exposed to the prolonged hot air temperatures. The result is similar to what was reported by Alibas et al. [19], Contreras et al. [20], Vadivambal and Jayas [18] for dried products.

### Conclusion

It was shown that increase in hot air temperature enhanced the drying rate and significantly reduced the drying time of tomato slices. It was observed that the lycopene levels of the tomatoes increased significantly after drying whereas the flavour degraded. The increase in the hot air temperature increased the non-enzymatic browning. The brightness increased significantly with temperature from 50 to 60°C and then decreased from 70 to 80°C indicating that the luminance of the fresh tomatoes improved after drying. The redness of the samples dried at various temperatures decreased whilst the yellowness increased when compared with the fresh tomatoes while brown pigment formation increased with increasing temperature. The moisture diffusivity coefficient increased with increasing hot air temperature with Arrhenius type activation energy for moisture removal of 22.28 kJ/mol. Among the Page, Henderson and Pabis, and Logarithmic thin-layer drying models that were fitted to the experimental data, the Page model showed the best fit although the three models could satisfactorily describe the hot-air drying kinetics of tomato slices.
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