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Abstract

The $q$-oscillator representation for the Borel subalgebra of the affine symmetry $U'_q(\hat{sl}_N)$ is presented. By means of this $q$-oscillator representation, we give the free field realizations of Baxter’s $Q$-operator $Q_j(\lambda), \tilde{Q}_j(\lambda)$, $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$ for the $W$-algebra $W_N$. We give functional relations of the $T–Q$ operators, including the higher-rank generalization of Baxter’s $T–Q$ relation.
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Mathematics Subject Classification: 81U15, 81R10

1. Introduction

Baxter’s $T–Q$ operators have various exceptional properties, and play an important role in many aspects of the theory of integrable systems. Originally the $Q$-operator was introduced by Baxter [1], in terms of some special transfer matrix of the eight-vertex model. Over the last three decades, this method of the $Q$-operator has been developed in many literatures. We would like to refer to some of these literatures, written by Baxter [2–5], Takhtadzhan and Faddeev [6], Fabricius and McCoy [7–9], Fabricius [10], Bazhanov and Mangazeev [11], Feigin et al [12], and Kojima and Shiraishi [13]. However a full theory of the $Q$-operator for the eight-vertex model is not yet developed. For the simpler models associated with the quantum group $U_q(sl_N)$, there have been many papers which extend, generalize, and comment on the $T–Q$ relation. We would like to refer to some of these literatures, including Sklyanin’s separation variable method, written by Sklyanin [14–16], Kuznetsov et al [17], Pasquier and Gaudin [18], Derkachov [19], Derkachov et al [20–22], Derkachov and Mansahov [23], Belisty et al [24], Korff [25, 26], Bytsko and Teschner [27], Bazhanov et al [28–31, 34], Rossi and Weston [32], Dorey and Tateo [33], Kulish and Zeitlin [35], Antonov and Feigin [36], Krichever et al [38], Bazhanov and Reshetikhin [39], Kuniba et al [40], Boos et al [41, 42], and Chervov and Falqui [43]. Each paper added to our understanding of the great Baxter’s original paper [1]. Especially, for example, the $T–Q$ operators acting on the Fock space of the Virasoro algebra $Vir$ were introduced by Bazhanov, Lukyanov and Zamolodchikov [28–30]. They derived various functional relations of the $T–Q$ operators and gave the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalue of the $T–Q$ operators. Dorey and Tateo...
[33] revealed the hidden connection between the vacuum expectation value of the $Q$-operator and the spectral determinant for Schrödinger equation. Bazhanov et al [34] achieved the $W_3$-algebraic generalization of [28, 29, 30, 31, 33]. In this paper we study the higher-rank $W_N$-generalization of [34]. We study the $T$–$Q$ operators acting on the Fock space of the $W$-algebra $W_N$. We give the free field realization of the $Q$-operator and functional relations of the $T$–$Q$ operators for the $W$-algebra $W_N$, including the higher-rank generalization of Baxter’s $T$–$Q$ relation,

$$Q_i (t q^N) + \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} (-1)^s T_{\Lambda_{s+1}+\Lambda_i} (t q^{N-2s}) Q_i (t q^{-N}) = 0,$$

$$\overline{Q}_i (t q^{-N}) + \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} (-1)^s \overline{T}_{\Lambda_{s+1}+\Lambda_i} (t q) \overline{Q}_i (t q^{-N+2s}) + (-1)^N \overline{Q}_i (t q^N) = 0,$$

where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we give basic definitions, including $q$-oscillator representation of the Borel subalgebra of the affine symmetry $U_q(s\hat{g})$, which plays an essential role in the construction of the $Q$-operator. In section 3, we give the definitions of the $T$- and $Q$-operators. In section 4, we give conjectural functional relations between the $T$- and $Q$-operators, including Baxter’s $T$–$Q$ relation. In the appendix, we give supporting arguments on conjectural formulae stated in section 4.

2. Basic definition

In this section, we give the different realizations of the Borel subalgebra of the affine quantum algebra $U_q(s\hat{g})$, which will play an important role in the construction of Baxter’s $T$–$Q$ operators. Let us fix the integer $N \geq 3$ and a complex number $1 < r < N$. In this paper, upon this setting, we construct Baxter’s $T$–$Q$ operators on the space of the $W$-algebra $W_N$ with the central charge $-\infty < C_{\text{CFT}} < -2$, where

$$C_{\text{CFT}} = (N-1) \left( 1 - \frac{N(N+1)}{r(r-1)} \right).$$

Because $C_{\text{CFT}} \to -\infty$ represents the classical limit, we call $-\infty < C_{\text{CFT}} < -2$ ‘quasi-classical domain’. By analytic continuation, it is possible to extend our theory to the CFT with central charge $C_{\text{CFT}} < 1$. We would like to note that the unitary minimal CFT is described by the central charge $C_{\text{CFT}} = (N-1) \left( 1 - \frac{N(N+1)}{r(r-1)} \right)$ for $N, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(N \geq 2, r \geq N+2)$ [44]. We set parameters $r^* = r - 1$ and $q = e^{2\pi i r^*}$. In what follows we use the $q$-integer $[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$.

2.1. The $q$-oscillator representation

Let $\{\epsilon_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^N$, relative to the standard inner product $\langle \epsilon_i | \epsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Let us set $\tilde{\epsilon}_j = \epsilon_j - \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \epsilon_j$. We have $\langle \tilde{\epsilon}_i | \tilde{\epsilon}_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j} - \frac{1}{N}$. Let us set the simple roots $\alpha_i = \tilde{\epsilon}_j - \tilde{\epsilon}_{j+1}$, $(1 \leq j \leq N-1)$ and $\alpha_N = -\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \alpha_j$. Let us set the fundamental weights $\omega_j$ as the dual vector of $\alpha_j$, i.e. $\langle \alpha_j | \omega_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Explicitly we have $\omega_j = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_j$. Let us set the weight lattice $P = \oplus_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{Z} \tilde{\epsilon}_j$. We consider the quantum affine algebra $U_q(s\hat{g})$, which is generated by $e_1, \ldots, e_N, f_1, \ldots, f_N$, and $h_1, \ldots, h_N$, with the defining relations,

$$[h_i, h_j] = 0, \quad [h_i, e_j] = (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) e_j, \quad [h_i, f_j] = -(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) f_j, \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j} \frac{q^{h_i} - q^{-h_i}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

$$e_i^2 e_j - [2]_q e_i e_j e_i + e_j e_i^2 = 0, \quad f_j^2 f_j - [2]_q f_j f_j f_j + f_j f_j = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = -1.$$
Here \(((\alpha_j, \alpha_k))_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}\) is the Cartan matrix of type \(s_{1N}\). Let us introduce the Borel subalgebra of \(U'_q(s_{1N})\). The Borel subalgebra \(U'_q(\hat{h}^*)\) is generated by \(e_1, \ldots, e_N, h_1, \ldots, h_N\), and \(U'_q(\hat{h}^*)\) by \(f_1, \ldots, f_N, h_1, \ldots, h_N\). In this paper we consider the level \(c = 0\) case, with the central element \(c = h_1 + \cdots + h_N\). Let us introduce the \(q\)-oscillator representation \(\sigma\) of the Borel subalgebra \(U'_q(\hat{h}^*)\). The \(q\)-oscillator algebra \(\text{Osc}_j, (1 \leq j \leq N - 1)\), is generated by elements \(E_j, E^*_j, H_j\), with the defining relations,

\[
[H_j, E_j] = E_j, \quad [H_j, E^*_j] = -E^*_j, \quad qE_jE^*_j - q^{-1}E^*_jE_j = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}}. \tag{2.1}
\]

Let us set \(\text{Osc} = \text{Osc}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Osc}_{N-1}\). We have \([E_j, E_k] = 0, [E^*_j, E^*_k] = 0, [E_j, E^*_k] = 0, [H_j, H_k] = 0\) for \(j \neq k\). Let us set the auxiliary operator \(H_N = -H_1 - H_2 - \cdots - H_{N-1}\).

We define homomorphism \(\tau : U'_q(\hat{h}^*) \rightarrow \text{Osc}\) by

\[
\tau(e_1) = 1, \quad \tau(e_2) = q\tau_1, \quad \cdots \quad \tau(e_N) = q^{N-1}\tau_{N-1},
\]

\[
\tau(h_1) = -H_1, \quad \tau(h_2) = -H_2 + H_3, \quad \cdots \quad \tau(h_N) = -H_N + H_1.
\]

This \(q\)-oscillator representation \(\sigma\) satisfies the level zero condition \(\tau(h_1 + h_2 + \cdots + h_N) = 0\).

This \(q\)-oscillator representation gives a higher-rank generalization of those in [34]. By means of the Dynkin diagram automorphism \(\tau, \sigma\), we construct a family of the \(q\)-oscillator representation \(\sigma_{\tau, \sigma}\). Let us set the Dynkin diagram automorphism \(\tau\) of the affine algebra \(U'_q(s_{1N})\),

\[
\tau(e_i) = e_{i+1}, \tau(h_i) = h_{i+1}, \quad \tau(e_N) = e_1,
\]

\[
\tau(h_1) = h_2, \tau(h_2) = h_3, \cdots, \tau(h_N) = h_1.
\]

Let us set the Dynkin diagram automorphism \(\sigma\) of the finite simple algebra \(U_q(sl_N)\), generated by \(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_N, h_1, \ldots, h_N, f_1, \ldots, f_N\).

\[
\sigma(e_1) = e_1, \sigma(e_2) = e_{N+1}, \cdots, \sigma(e_N) = e_N,
\]

\[
\sigma(h_1) = h_1, \sigma(h_2) = h_2, \cdots, \sigma(h_N) = h_N,
\]

\[
\sigma(f_1) = f_1, \sigma(f_2) = f_{N+1}, \cdots, \sigma(f_N) = f_N.
\]

and \(\sigma\) is extended to the affine vertex as \(\sigma(e_1) = e_1, \sigma(h_1) = h_1, \sigma(f_1) = f_1\). We have the action of \(\tau^j, \sigma, \tau^{-1}\),

\[
\tau^j \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau^{-1}(e_i) = e_{j-1-i},
\]

\[
\tau^j \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau^{-1}(h_i) = h_{j-1+i},
\]

\[
\tau^j \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau^{-1}(f_i) = f_{j-1-i},
\]

with \(s, j \in \mathbb{Z}\). We set homomorphism \(\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_{i,j} : U'_q(\hat{h}^*) \rightarrow \text{Osc}, (1 \leq j \leq N)\),

\[
\alpha_{i,j} = a_i \cdot \tau^{-j}, \quad \beta_{i,j} = a_{i-j} \cdot \tau^j \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau^{-1}. \tag{2.3}
\]

These \(q\)-oscillator representations \(\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_{i,j}\) will play an important role in the construction of Baxter’s \(Q\)-operator.
2.2. Evaluation highest weight representation

Let us consider the quantum simple algebra $U_q(gl_N)$, which is generated by $E_{α_1}, \ldots, E_{α_{N-1}}, H_1, \ldots, H_N$, and $F_{α_1}, \ldots, F_{α_{N-1}}$, with the defining relations,

$$[H_i, H_j] = 0, \quad [H_i, E_{α_j}] = (δ_{i,j} - δ_{i,j+1}) E_{α_j}, \quad [H_i, F_{α_j}] = (-δ_{i,j} + δ_{i,j+1}) F_{α_j},$$

$$[E_{α_i}, F_{α_j}] = δ_{i,j} q^{H_i - H_{i-1}} - q^{-H_i + H_{i+1}}.$$

We have the evaluation representation $π(λ)$ of $U_q(gl_N)$, which is generated by $E_{α_1}, \ldots, E_{α_{N-1}}, H_1, \ldots, H_N$, and $F_{α_1}, \ldots, F_{α_{N-1}}$, with the highest weight $π(λ)(E_{α_j}) = (δ_{i,j} - δ_{i,j+1}) E_{α_j}$, $π(λ)(F_{α_j}) = (-δ_{i,j} + δ_{i,j+1}) F_{α_j}$, and $π(λ)(H_i) = δ_{i,j} q^{H_i - H_{i-1}} - q^{-H_i + H_{i+1}}$.

We have the evaluation representation $ev_t(e_j)$ of $U_q(gl_N)$, given by

$$ev_t(e_j) = E_{α_1}, \ldots, ev_t(e_{j+1}) = E_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(e_{j+k}) = E_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(e_N) = E_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$ev_t(f_j) = F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(f_{j+k}) = F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(f_N) = F_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$ev_t(h_1) = H_{N-1}, \ldots, ev_t(h_N) = H_1.$$

We have the conjugation $ev_t$ of $U_q(gl_N)$, given by

$$ev_t(e_j) = E_{α_1}, \ldots, ev_t(e_{j+1}) = E_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(e_N) = E_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$ev_t(h_1) = H_{N-1}, \ldots, ev_t(h_N) = H_1.$$

Let us set the automorphism $σ$ by

$$σ(E_{α_j}) = E_{α_{N-1}}, \ldots, σ(E_{α_{N-1}}) = E_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$σ(H_i) = -H_{N-1}, \ldots, σ(H_{j+1}) = -H_{N-1},$$

$$σ(F_{α_j}) = F_{α_{N-1}}, \ldots, σ(F_{α_{N-1}}) = F_{α_{N-1}}.$$

We have the evaluation representation $ev_t, ev_{t′}$ of $U_q(sl_N)$, which is given by

$$ev_t(e_j) = t F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(e_{j+k}) = t F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(e_N) = t F_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$ev_t(f_j) = t F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(f_{j+k}) = t F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(f_N) = t F_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$ev_t(h_j) = t H_{N-1}, \ldots, ev_t(h_N) = t H_1.$$

Let us set the automorphism $σ$ of $U_q(sl_N)$, given by

$$σ(E_{α_j}) = E_{α_{N-1}}, \ldots, σ(E_{α_{N-1}}) = E_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$σ(H_i) = -H_{N-1}, \ldots, σ(H_{j+1}) = -H_{N-1},$$

$$σ(F_{α_j}) = F_{α_{N-1}}, \ldots, σ(F_{α_{N-1}}) = F_{α_{N-1}}.$$

We have the evaluation representation $ev_t, ev_{t′}$ of $U_q(sl_N)$, which is given by

$$ev_t(e_j) = t F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(e_{j+k}) = t F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(e_N) = t F_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$ev_t(f_j) = t F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(f_{j+k}) = t F_{α_1}, j \ldots, ev_t(f_N) = t F_{α_{N-1}},$$

$$ev_t(h_j) = t H_{N-1}, \ldots, ev_t(h_N) = t H_1.$$
2.3. Screening current

Let us introduce bosons $B_m^i, (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}; i = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1)$, by

$$[B_m^i, B_{m'}^j] = m \delta_{m+m',0} \alpha_i | \alpha_j \rangle \langle \alpha_j | \alpha_i \rangle \frac{r - 1}{r}, \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N - 1). \tag{2.4}$$

Let us set $B_m^N = -\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} B_m^j$. We have the commutation relation $[B_m^i, B_{m'}^j] = m \delta_{m+m',0} \alpha_i | \alpha_j \rangle \langle \alpha_j | \alpha_i \rangle \frac{r - 1}{r}$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. Let us set the zero-mode operators $P_\lambda$ and $Q_\lambda, (\lambda \in P = \oplus_j \mathbb{Z} e_j)$ by

$$[P_\lambda, i Q_\mu] = (\lambda | \mu). \tag{2.5}$$

Let us set the Heisenberg algebra $B$ generated by $B_m^1, \ldots, B_m^{N-1}, P_\lambda, Q_\lambda, (\lambda \in P)$ and its completion $\hat{B}$. Let us set the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ by

$$B_m^i |l, k \rangle = 0, \quad (m > 0) \tag{2.6}$$

$$P_\mu |l, k \rangle = \left( \alpha \left( \sqrt{r} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \right) |l, k \rangle, \right. \tag{2.7}$$

$$|l, k \rangle = e^{\sqrt{r} P_\lambda - i \sqrt{r} Q_\mu} |0, 0 \rangle. \tag{2.8}$$

Let us set the screening currents of the $W$-algebra $W_N$ by

$$V_{\alpha_j}(u) = \exp \left( \sqrt{\frac{r}{r}} Q_{\alpha_j} \right) \exp \left( \sqrt{\frac{r}{r}} P_{\alpha_j} u \right) \exp \left( \sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} B_m^j e^{i m u} \right) \times \exp \left( - \sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} B_m^j e^{-i m u} \right), \quad (1 \leq j \leq N). \tag{2.9}$$

Here we have added one operator $V_{\alpha_j}(u)$, which looks like affinization of the classical $A_{N-1}$. We can find the elliptic deformation of $V_{\alpha_j}(u)$ for $j \neq N$ in [12, 13]. For Re$(u_1) >$ Re$(u_2)$, we have

$$V_{\alpha_j}(u_1) V_{\alpha_j}(u_2) =: V_{\alpha_j}(u_1) V_{\alpha_j}(u_2) : e^{i u_1} - e^{i u_2} \frac{z_j}{r}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N),$$

$$V_{\alpha_j}(u_1) V_{\alpha_j}(u_2) =: V_{\alpha_j}(u_1) V_{\alpha_j}(u_2) : e^{i u_1} - e^{i u_2} \frac{z_j}{r}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N),$$

$$V_{\alpha_j}(u_1) V_{\alpha_j}(u_2) =: V_{\alpha_j}(u_1) V_{\alpha_j}(u_2) : e^{i u_1} - e^{i u_2} \frac{z_j}{r}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N).$$

By analytic continuation, we have

$$V_{\alpha_j}(u_1) V_{\alpha_j}(u_2) = q^{(\Delta_0/\Delta_j)} V_{\alpha_j}(u_2) V_{\alpha_j}(u_1), \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N). \tag{2.10}$$

Let us set

$$z_j = \exp \left( -2 \pi i \sqrt{\frac{r}{r}} P_{\alpha_j} \right), \quad (1 \leq j \leq N). \tag{2.11}$$

We have $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_N = 1$ and

$$V_{\alpha_j}(u + 2 \pi) = z_j^{-1} V_{\alpha_j}(u), \quad z_i V_{\alpha_j}(u) = q^{\Delta_j - \Delta_i} V_{\alpha_i}(u) z_i.$$

Let us set the nilpotent subalgebra $U^0_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ generated by $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N$. We have homomorphism $sc : U^0_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \hat{B}$ given by

$$sc(f_j) = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} \int_0^{2 \pi} V_{\alpha_j}(u) du, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N).$$
3. Baxter’s $Q$-operator

In this section, we define Baxter’s $T$–$Q$ operators by means of the trace of the universal $R$, and present conjecturous functional relations of the $T$–$Q$ operator, which include the higher-rank generalization of Baxter’s $T$–$Q$ relation.

3.1. $L$-operator

Let us set the universal $L$-operator $L \in \hat{B} \otimes U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$ by

$$L = \exp \left( -\pi i \sqrt{\frac{r^*}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{\omega_j} \otimes h_j \right) P \exp \left( \int_0^{2\pi} K(u) \, du \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Here we have set $K(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{\omega_j}(u) \otimes e_j$.

Here $P \exp \left( \int_0^{2\pi} K(u) \, du \right)$ represents the path ordered exponential $P \exp \left( \int_0^{2\pi} K(u) \, du \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \cdots \int K(u_1) K(u_2) \cdots K_n(u_n) \, du_1 \, du_2 \cdots du_n$.

The above integrals converge in ‘quasi-classical domain’ $-\infty < C_{CFT} < -2$. For the value of $C_{CFT}$ outside the quasi-classical domain, the integrals should be understood as analytic continuation. Let us set $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}_N})$ the extension of $U'_q(\hat{\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{l}_N})$ by the degree operator $d$. Let us set $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm)$ the extension of $U'_q(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm)$ by the degree operator $d$. There exists the unique universal $R$-matrix $R \in U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^+) \otimes U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$ satisfying the Yang–Baxter equation,

$$R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12}.$$

The universal $R$’s Cartan elements $t$ is factored as $R = q^t \overline{R}$, $t = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} h_j \otimes h_j + c \otimes d + d \otimes c$,

where $(h^i | h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. We call the element $\overline{R} \in U'_q(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^+) \otimes U'_q(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^-)$ the reduced universal $R$-matrix. The $L$-operator is an image of the reduced $R$-matrix [34],

$$L = (sc \otimes id)(\overline{R}).$$

The $L$-operator will play an important role in trace construction of the $T$–$Q$ operators.

3.2. $T$-operator

Let us set the $T$-operator $T_s(t)$ and $\mathcal{T}_s(t)$ by

$$T_s(t) = Tr_{\pi^{(s)}} \left( \exp \left( -\pi i \sqrt{\frac{r^*}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{\omega_j} \otimes h_j \right) L \right), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_s(t) = Tr_{\pi^{(s)}} \left( \exp \left( -\pi i \sqrt{\frac{r^*}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{\omega_j} \otimes h_j \right) L \right). \quad (3.3)$$
Let us set an image of $L$ as $L_{ij}(t) = (id \otimes \pi_{L}^{(i)}(t))(\mathcal{C})$, and the $R$-matrix $R_{\lambda_{j},\lambda_{j}}(t_{1}/t_{2}) = \pi_{L}^{(i)}(t_{1}) \otimes \pi_{L}^{(i)}(t_{2})$. We have the so-called $RLL$ relation,

$$R_{\lambda_{j},\lambda_{j}}(t_{1}/t_{2})L_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{1})L_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{2}) = L_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{2})L_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{1})R_{\lambda_{j},\lambda_{j}}(t_{1}/t_{2}).$$

Multiplying the $R$-matrix $R_{\lambda_{j},\lambda_{j}}(t_{1}/t_{2})^{-1}$ from the right, and taking trace, we have the commutation relation

$$[T_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{1}), T_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{2})] = [T_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{1}), \bar{T}_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{2})] = [T_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{1}), \bar{T}_{\lambda_{j}}(t_{2})] = 0.$$

The coefficients of the Taylor expansion of $T_{\lambda}(t)$ commute with each other. Hence we have infinitely many commutative operators, which give the quantum deformation of the conservation laws of the $N$th KdV equation.

### 3.3. $Q$-operator

Let us set the Fock representation $\pi_{L}^{(i)}$: $\text{Osc}_{j} \rightarrow W_{\pm}$ with $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$,

$$W^{+} = \oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{C}|k\rangle_{+}, \quad W^{-} = \oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{C}|k\rangle_{-}.$$

The action is given by

$$\pi_{L}^{(i)}(\mathcal{H}_{j})|k\rangle_{\pm} = \mp k|k\rangle_{\pm}, \quad \pi_{L}^{(i)}(\mathcal{E}_{j})|k\rangle_{\pm} = \frac{1 - q^{-2k}}{(q - q^{-1})^{2}}|k - 1\rangle_{\pm}, \quad \pi_{L}^{(i)}(\mathcal{E}_{j})|k\rangle_{\pm} = \frac{1 - q^{2k}}{(q - q^{-1})^{2}}|k + 1\rangle_{\pm}.$$

Let $\pi_{j}$ and $\bar{\pi}_{j}$ be any representation of the $q$-oscillator $\text{Osc} = \text{Osc}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{Osc}_{N-1}$ such that the partition $Z_{j}(t), \bar{Z}_{j}(t)$ converge:

$$Z_{j}(t) = \text{Tr}_{\pi_{j}(\mathcal{H}_{j})} \left( \exp \left( -2\pi i \sqrt{\frac{2}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{i,j} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j} \right) \right),$$

$$\bar{Z}_{j}(t) = \text{Tr}_{\bar{\pi}_{j}(\mathcal{H}_{j})} \left( \exp \left( -2\pi i \sqrt{\frac{2}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{i,j} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j} \right) \right).$$

Let us set the operators $A_{j}(t)$ and $\bar{A}_{j}(t)$ with $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$,

$$A_{j}(t) = \frac{1}{Z_{j}(t)} \text{Tr}_{\pi_{j}(\mathcal{H}_{j})} \left( \exp \left( -\pi i \sqrt{\frac{2}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{i,j} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j} \right) \mathcal{L} \right),$$

$$\bar{A}_{j}(t) = \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_{j}(t)} \text{Tr}_{\bar{\pi}_{j}(\mathcal{H}_{j})} \left( \exp \left( -\pi i \sqrt{\frac{2}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{i,j} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{j} \right) \mathcal{L} \right).$$

Let us set Baxter’s $Q$-operator $Q_{j}(t)$ and $\bar{Q}_{j}(t)$ with $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$,

$$Q_{j}(t) = t^{(-1/2)} \sqrt{\rho_{i,j}} A_{j}(t), \quad \bar{Q}_{j}(t) = t^{(1/2)} \sqrt{\rho_{i,j}} \bar{A}_{j}(t).$$

We would like to note the convenient relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} P_{i,j} \otimes \sigma_{t,j}(h_{k}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (P_{t,j} - P_{t,j+1}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{k},$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} P_{i,j} \otimes \bar{\sigma}_{t,j}(h_{k}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (P_{t,j-1} - P_{t,j}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{k}.$$
Here we should understand the suffix number as modulus $N$, i.e., $\bar{e}_{jN} = \bar{e}_j$.

From the Yang–Baxter equation, we have the commutation relations
\[
[Q_{j_1}(t_1), Q_{j_2}(t_2)] = [\bar{Q}_{j_1}(t_1), \bar{Q}_{j_2}(t_2)] = [Q_{j_1}(t_1), \bar{Q}_{j_2}(t_2)] = 0,
\]
and
\[
[Q_{j_1}(t_1), T_\lambda(t_2)] = [Q_{j_1}(t_1), \bar{T}_\lambda(t_2)] = [\bar{Q}_{j_1}(t_1), T_\lambda(t_2)] = [\bar{Q}_{j_1}(t_1), \bar{T}_\lambda(t_2)] = 0.
\]

The operators $A_j(t)$ can be written as power series:
\[
A_j(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{Nn} \in \mathbb{Z}_N} a_{Nn}^{(j)}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{Nn})
\times \int \cdots \int_{2\pi \geq u_i \geq u_j \geq -2\pi} V_{a_{\sigma_1}}(u_1) \cdots V_{a_{\sigma_{Nn}}}(u_{Nn}) du_1 \cdots du_{Nn}.
\]

Here we have set
\[
a_{Nn}^{(j)}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{Nn}) = \frac{1}{Z_j(t)} \text{Tr}_{\pi_{\sigma_1}, \ldots, \pi_{\sigma_{Nn}}} \left( \exp \left(-2\pi i \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N} R^e_{\sigma_j} \otimes h_j} \right) e_{\sigma_1} e_{\sigma_2} \cdots e_{\sigma_{Nn}} \right).
\]

The coefficients $a_{Nn}^{(j)}$ vanish unless $n = |\{j \mid \sigma_j = s\}|$ for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, and behave like $a_{Nn}^{(j)} \sim O(t^n)$. The coefficients $a_{Nn}^{(j)}$ are determined by the commutation relations of the Borel subalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N})$ and the cyclic property of the trace, hence the specific choice of representation $\pi_j, \bar{\pi}_j$ is not significant as long as it converges. In [12, 13], we have constructed the elliptic version of the integral of the currents,
\[
\int \cdots \int_{2\pi \geq u_i \geq u_j \geq -2\pi} V_{a_{\sigma_1}}(u_1) V_{a_{\sigma_2}}(u_2) \cdots V_{a_{\sigma_{Nn}}}(u_{Nn}) du_1 du_2 \cdots du_{Nn}.
\]

4. Functional relations

In the previous section, we show that the $T$–$Q$ operators commute with each other. In this section, we give conjectural functional relations of the $T$–$Q$ operators, which coincide with the previous work [34] upon $N = 3$ specialization. We have checked those functional relations up to the order $O(t^n)$ in the appendix. Some similar formulae have been obtained in the context of the solvable lattice models associated with $U_q(s\bar{f}_N)$ [38–40]. At the end of this section we summarize the conclusion.

4.1. Functional relations

The $T$-operator is written by the determinant of the $Q$-operators. Let us set the Young diagram $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_N)$, ($\mu_j \geq \mu_{j+1}; \mu_j \in \mathbb{N}$). Using the same character as the Young diagram $\mu$, we represent the highest weight $\mu = \mu_1 \Lambda_1 + \cdots + \mu_N \Lambda_N$. We set
\[
c_0 = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq N} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2-j}{2-j}} \right).
\]

We have the following determinant formulae of the $T$-operator:
\[
T_{\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{c_0} \begin{vmatrix}
Q_1(tq^{2\mu_1}) & Q_1(tq^{2\mu_1}) & \cdots & Q_1(tq^{2\mu_N}) \\
Q_2(tq^{2\mu_1}) & Q_2(tq^{2\mu_2}) & \cdots & Q_2(tq^{2\mu_N}) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
Q_N(tq^{2\mu_1}) & Q_N(tq^{2\mu_2}) & \cdots & Q_N(tq^{2\mu_N})
\end{vmatrix},
\]
(4.1)
Here we have used the auxiliary parameters $2\bar{\mu}_j = 2\mu_j + N - 2j + 1, (1 \leq j \leq N)$. We have checked the above formulae (4.1) and (4.2) for $\mu = \Lambda_1$ and $\mu = \Lambda_1 + \cdots + \Lambda_{N-1}$, up to the order $O(t^2)$ (see the appendix). As the special case $\mu_j = 0, (1 \leq j \leq N)$, we have the quantum Wronskian condition:

$$c_0 = \begin{vmatrix} Q_1(tq^{-1}) & Q_1(tq^{-3}) & \cdots & Q_1(tq^{-N+1}) \\ Q_2(tq^{-1}) & Q_2(tq^{-3}) & \cdots & Q_2(tq^{-N+1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_N(tq^{-1}) & Q_N(tq^{-3}) & \cdots & Q_N(tq^{-N+1}) \end{vmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

We have checked the above formulae (4.3) and (4.4), up to the order $O(t^2)$ (see the appendix). Let us set $c_i = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2j}}{\sqrt{2j}} \right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. The two kinds of $Q$-operator, $Q_i(t)$ and $\overline{Q}_i(t)$, are functionally dependent. The $Q$-operator $Q_i(t)$ is written by the determinant of the $Q$-operator $\overline{Q}_i(t)$,

$$c_i Q_i(t) = \begin{vmatrix} Q_1(tq^{N-2}) & Q_1(tq^{N-4}) & \cdots & Q_1(tq^{-N+2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_{i-1}(tq^{N-2}) & Q_{i-1}(tq^{N-4}) & \cdots & Q_{i-1}(tq^{-N+2}) \\ Q_{i+1}(tq^{N-2}) & Q_{i+1}(tq^{N-4}) & \cdots & Q_{i+1}(tq^{-N+2}) \end{vmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

$$c_i \overline{Q}_i(t) = \begin{vmatrix} Q_1(tq^{-N+2}) & Q_1(tq^{-N+4}) & \cdots & Q_1(tq^{N-2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_{i-1}(tq^{-N+2}) & Q_{i-1}(tq^{-N+4}) & \cdots & Q_{i-1}(tq^{N-2}) \\ Q_{i+1}(tq^{-N+2}) & Q_{i+1}(tq^{-N+4}) & \cdots & Q_{i+1}(tq^{N-2}) \end{vmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. We have checked the determinant formulae (4.5) and (4.6) up to the order $O(t^2)$ (see the appendix). We derive the following (4.7)–(4.13) from the above formulae (4.1), (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6). We have the higher-rank generalization of Baxter's $T$–$Q$ relation (4.7) and (4.8), as the consequence of (4.1) and (4.2),

$$Q_i(tq^N) + \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} (-1)^s T_{A_1+\cdots+A_s}(tq^{-1})Q_i(tq^{-N+2s}) + (-1)^N Q_i(tq^{-N}) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)
with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. This Baxter’s $T–Q$ relation, (4.7) and (4.8), coincides with those in [34] upon $N = 3$ specialization. Note that the specialization to $N = 2$ does not yield the formulae in [28–30], because the Dynkin diagram for $N = 2$ is different from those for $N \geq 3$. We have to give separate definitions of the bosons, the $q$-oscillator and the screening currents for $N = 2$, [28–30]. This Baxter’s $T–Q$ relation (4.7), (4.8) coincides with those of [38] for $N \geq 3$. In [38], Krichever et al gave the conjecture that the standard objects of quantum integrable models are identified with elements of classical nonlinear integrable difference equation. For the simplest example they showed that the fusion rules for quantum transfer matrices coincide with the Hirota–Miwa’s bilinear difference equation [45, 46] (the discrete KP). They derived higher-rank generalization of Baxter’s $T–Q$ relation by analysing the Hirota–Miwa’s bilinear difference equation (classical nonlinear integrable difference equation) too. In this paper, we derive the same Baxter’s $T–Q$ relation by analysing the quantum field theory of the KP (quantum integrable model). Hence this paper gives a supporting argument of the conjecture on quantum and classical discrete integrable models, by Krichever et al [38]. As a consequence of (4.5) and (4.6), we have the bilinear formulæ of the $T$-operator (4.9) and (4.10):

\[
(-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)(N-2)}{2}} c_0 T_{m\Lambda_1} (t) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} (-1)^{s+1} c_s \mathbf{Q}_s (tq^{2m-1}) \mathbf{Q}_t (tq^{S-1}), \quad (4.9)
\]

\[
(-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)(N-2)}{2}} c_0 T_{m\Lambda_{N-1}} (t) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} (-1)^{s+1} c_s \mathbf{Q}_s (tq^{-2m+1}) \mathbf{Q}_t (tq^{-N+1}), \quad (4.10)
\]

and

\[
(-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)(N-2)}{2}} c_0 T_{m(\Lambda_1+\cdots+\Lambda_{N-1})} (t) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} (-1)^{N+s} c_s \mathbf{Q}_s (tq^{-2m+1}) \mathbf{Q}_t (tq^{N-1}), \quad (4.11)
\]

\[
(-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)(N-2)}{2}} c_0 T_{m(\Lambda_1+\cdots+\Lambda_{N-1})} (t) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} (-1)^{N+s} c_s \mathbf{Q}_s (tq^{-2m+1}) \mathbf{Q}_t (tq^{-N+1}). \quad (4.12)
\]

As a consequence of the determinant formulæ (4.1) and (4.2), we have the Jacobi–Trudi formulæ of the $T$-operator. For the Young diagram $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{N-1}, 0)$, we have

\[
T_{\mu} (t) = \begin{vmatrix}
\tau^{(\mu_1)} (t) & \ldots & \tau^{(\mu_1+j-1)} (tq^{2j-1}) & \ldots & \tau^{(\mu_1+l(\mu_1)-1)} (tq^{2(l(\mu_1)-1)}) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\tau^{(\mu_1-i+1)} (t) & \ldots & \tau^{(\mu_1+i+j)} (tq^{2j-1}) & \ldots & \tau^{(\mu_1-i+l(\mu_1))} (tq^{2(l(\mu_1)-1)}) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\tau^{(\mu_{\nu}+l(\mu_{\nu}+1))} (t) & \ldots & \tau^{(\mu_{\nu}+(j-1))} (tq^{2j-1}) & \ldots & \tau^{(\mu_{\nu}+l(\mu_{\nu}))} (tq^{2(l(\mu_{\nu}))-1})
\end{vmatrix},
\]

\[
(4.13)
\]

Here we have set $\mu' = (\mu_1', \mu_2', \ldots, \mu_{\nu}')$ the transpose Young diagram of $\mu$, and $l(\mu') = \mu_1$. We have set $\tau^{(0)} (t) = T_{\Lambda_1+\Lambda_1} (t)$. We have $\tau^{(0)} (t) = T^{(N)} (t) = 1$. The above conjectural functional relations of the $T–Q$ operators, (4.1)–(4.13), coincide with the previous work [34] upon $N = 3$ specialization.
4.2. Conclusion

In this paper we present a $q$-oscillator representation of the Borel subalgebra $U'_{q}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{N})$, (2.2). By using this $q$-oscillator representation, we give the free field realization of Baxter’s $Q$-operator $Q_j(t), Q_j(t)$ with $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, for the $W_N$-algebra, (3.4)–(3.6). The commutativity of the $Q$-operator is direct consequence of the Yang–Baxter equation. We give conjecturous determinant formulae of the $T–Q$ operators for the $W_N$-algebra, (4.1)–(4.6). The commutativity of the $Q$-operators is a direct consequence of the Yang–Baxter equation. We give conjecturous determinant formulae of the $T–Q$ operators for the $W_N$-algebra, (4.1)–(4.6). We have checked these determinant formulae for the $W_N$-algebra up to the order $O(t^2)$ in the appendix. Because the scheme of functional relations works well, we conclude that the number of the $Q$-operators for the $W_N$-algebra is just $2N$, ($N \geq 3$). In this paper we did not give a complete proof of the determinant formulae for the $W_N$-algebra. Bazhanov et al [34] gave the proof of the determinant formulae for the $W_3$-algebra. Their proof is based on the trace of the universal $\mathcal{L}$-operator over Verma module, and the Bernstein–Gel’fand–Gel’fand (BGG) resolution. Because we have already established conjecturous determinant formulae, higher-rank generalization of the complete proof seems a calculation problem. However it is not so easy.
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Appendix. Supporting arguments

In this appendix, we give some supporting arguments on conjecturous formulae of the determinant formulae (4.1)–(4.6). We check those determinant formulae up to the order $O(t^2)$. At first we prepare the Taylor expansion of $A_j(t), \overline{A}_j(t)$. Let us set $\pi_j = \pi_j^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{N-1}^N$. Taking the trace for the basis $|n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{N-1}\rangle = (\mathcal{H}_1^*)^{n_1} (\mathcal{H}_2^*)^{n_2} \cdots (\mathcal{H}_{N-1}^*)^{n_{N-1}} |0\rangle$, we have

$$Z_j(t) = \text{Tr}_{\pi_j} \left( \exp \left( -2\pi i \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (P_{j_k} - P_{j_{k+d}}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_k} \right) \right) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \left( 1 - \frac{z_k}{z_j} \right)^{-1},$$

with $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. In the same manner as the above, we have

$$\overline{Z}_j(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \left( 1 - \frac{\overline{z}_k}{\overline{z}_j} \right)^{-1}.$$

Let us set $a_i, \overline{a}_i$ by

$$A_i(t) = 1 + a_it + O(t^2), \quad \overline{A}_i(t) = 1 + \overline{a}_it + O(t^2).$$
Let us set
\[ J_{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_N}^{(n)} = \int \cdots \int_{2x \geq u_1 \geq u_2 \geq \cdots \geq u_N \geq 0} V_{k_1}(u_1) V_{k_2}(u_2) \cdots V_{k_N}(u_N) \]
\[ \times V_{k_1}(u_{N+1}) V_{k_2}(u_{N+2}) \cdots V_{k_N}(u_{2N}) \cdots \]
\[ \times V_{k_1}(u_{N(N-1)+1}) V_{k_2}(u_{N(N-1)+2}) \cdots V_{k_N}(u_{N(N-1)+N}) \text{ du}_1 \text{ du}_2 \cdots \text{ du}_{N^2}. \]

Let us calculate coefficient of \( \text{Tr} \) coefficients of \( J \) \( J^{(1)} \). We have
\[ \text{Tr}_t \left( \exp \left( -2\pi i \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} P_{k_0} \otimes H_k \right) e_1 e_2 e_3 \cdots e_N \right) \times J^{(1)}_{1, 2, \ldots, N} = t (q - q^{-1})^{N-2} q^{-2} \]
\[ \times \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \text{Tr}_t \left( \exp \left( -2\pi i \frac{1}{r} (P_{k_0} - P_{r_{i\beta}}) \otimes H_k \right) E_k E^*_k \right) \times J^{(1)}_{1, 2, \ldots, N}. \]

Taking the trace and dividing \( Z_t(t) \), we have
\[ a_i = \frac{q^{2N-2} z_i^{N-2} z_1}{(q - q^{-1}) \prod_{k \neq i} (q^2 z_i - z_k)} \times J^{(1)}_{1, 2, \ldots, N} + \cdots, \]
with \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). In the same manner as the above, we have
\[ \overline{a}_i = (-1)^N \frac{q^{2N-2} z_i^{N-2} z_1}{(q - q^{-1}) \prod_{k \neq i} (q^2 z_i - z_k)} \times J^{(1)}_{1, 2, \ldots, N} + \cdots, \]
with \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). Let us check the determinant relations between \( Q_i(t) \) and \( \overline{Q}_i(t) \), \( 4.5 \) and \( 4.6 \). We have
\[ \begin{vmatrix}
Q_1(tq^{N-2}) & Q_1(tq^{N-4}) & \cdots & Q_1(tq^{-N+2}) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
Q_N(tq^{N-2}) & Q_N(tq^{N-4}) & \cdots & Q_N(tq^{-N+2})
\end{vmatrix}
\[ = t^{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} N}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq N} \left( \frac{z_j}{z_k} - \frac{z_k}{z_j} \right) \]
\[ \times \left( 1 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \prod_{k \neq i}^{N} \left( \frac{q^2 z_j - z_k}{z_j - z_k} \right) q^{-N+2} \right) + O(q^2). \]

Inserting the formulae of \( a_i \) into the RHS and using the following identity,
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{z_j^{N-2} z_i}{z_j^2 - z_i} \prod_{k \neq i}^{N} (z_j - z_k) = (-1)^N \prod_{k \neq i}^{N} \frac{z_i^{N-2} z_i}{z_k q^2 - z_i} \]
we have
\[ t^{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} N}} C_i \left( 1 + (-1)^N \frac{q^{2N-2} z_i}{(q - q^{-1}) \prod_{k \neq i}^{N} (z_k q^2 - z_i)} \times J^{(1)}_{1, 2, \ldots, N} \times t + \cdots \right), \]
which coincides with the leading terms of \( \overline{Q}_i(t) \). As the same argument as the above, the coefficients of \( J_{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_N}^{(n)} \) coincide with each other up to the order \( O(q^2) \). Now we have
checked the determinant formulae (4.5) and (4.6) up to the order $O(t^2)$. For the second we check the quantum Wronskian condition (4.3) and (4.4) up to the order $O(t^2)$. We have Taylor expansion of determinant of $Q_i(t)$,

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
Q_1(tq^{N-1}) & Q_1(tq^{N-2}) & \cdots & Q_1(tq^{-N+1}) \\
Q_2(tq^{N-1}) & Q_2(tq^{N-2}) & \cdots & Q_2(tq^{-N+1}) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
Q_N(tq^{N-1}) & Q_N(tq^{N-2}) & \cdots & Q_N(tq^{-N+1})
\end{vmatrix}
= \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \left( \sqrt{\frac{z_j}{z_k}} - \sqrt{\frac{z_k}{z_j}} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N} (q^2 z_i - z_k) q^{-N+1} \right) t + O(t^2).
$$

Inserting the explicit formulae of $a_i$ into RHS and using the following identity,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} (-1)^{i+1} z_i^{N-2} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N, j,k \neq i} (z_j - z_k) = 0,
$$

we have

$$
\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \left( \sqrt{\frac{z_j}{z_k}} - \sqrt{\frac{z_k}{z_j}} \right) (1 + O(t^2)).
$$

Now we have checked the quantum Wronskian condition (4.3) and (4.4) up to the order $O(t^2)$. Next we consider the determinant formulae (4.1) and (4.2) for the special cases $\mu = \Lambda_1$ and $\mu = \Lambda_1 + \cdots + \Lambda_{N-1}$. Because we have checked formulae (4.5) and (4.6) up to the order $O(t^2)$, it is enough to show (4.9)–(4.12) in order to show (4.1) and (4.2) up to the order $O(t^2)$. We have

$$
(-1)^{N-1(N-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-1)^{i+1} c_i Q_i(tq^{N+1}) Q_i(tq^{-1})
= \sum_{s=1}^{N} (-1)^{s+1} z_s^{N} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N, j,k \neq s} (z_j - z_k) (1 + t(q^{N+1}a_s + q^{-1}a_s) + O(t^2)).
$$

Using the following relation,

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{N} (-1)^{s+1} z_s^{N} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N, j,k \neq s} (z_j - z_k) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (z_j - z_k)(z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_N),
$$

we show that the first leading term becomes $c_0 \sum_{s=1}^{N} z_s$. Inserting the explicit formulae of $a_s, \bar{a}_s$ and using the following relation,

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{N} \frac{z_s^{2N-2}}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq s}^{N} (z_s - z_k)} \left( \frac{q}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq s}^{N} (z_s - q^2 z_k)} - \frac{q^{-1}}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq s}^{N} (z_s - q^{-2} z_k)} \right) = (q - q^{-1}),
$$

we have the second leading term,

$$
t q^{2N-2} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (z_k - z_j) (z_1 \bar{a}_1^{(1)} z_2 \bar{a}_2^{(1)} \cdots + z_2 \bar{a}_2^{(1)} z_3 \bar{a}_3^{(1)} \cdots + \cdots).
$$
Now we need explicit formulae of $T_{\Lambda_1}(t)$. Let us fix a basis of the irreducible highest representation of $U_q(sl_N)$ with $\Lambda_1$ by

$$|\Lambda_1\rangle, \quad \pi^{(1)}(E_{\alpha_1})|\Lambda_1\rangle, \quad \pi^{(2)}(E_{\alpha_2}, E_{\alpha_3})|\Lambda_1\rangle, \ldots, \pi^{(N)}(E_{\alpha_{N-1}}, \ldots, E_{\alpha_1})|\Lambda_1\rangle.$$ 

The matrix representation of $\pi^{(1)}(\cdot)$ is written upon this basis by

$$\pi^{(1)}(E_{\alpha_i}) = (\delta_{j,i}\delta_{k,i+1})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N-1),$$
$$\pi^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_i}) = (\delta_{j,i+1}\delta_{k,i})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N-1),$$
$$\pi^{(1)}(H_i) = (\delta_{j,i}\delta_{k,i})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N).$$

Using this matrix representation, we have

$$T_{\Lambda_1}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j f_{j+N-1\ldots,j+1,j}^{(n)}.$$ 

Now we have checked the determinant formula (4.1) for $\mu = \Lambda_1$ up to the order $O(t^2)$. As the same manner we checked the determinant formula (4.1) for $\mu = \Lambda_1 + \ldots + \Lambda_{N-1}$ and (4.2) for $\mu = \Lambda_1, \Lambda_1 + \ldots + \Lambda_{N-1}$, up to the order $O(t^2)$. For reader’s convenience we summarize the explicit formulae of $T_{\Lambda_1\ldots+\Lambda_{N-1}}(t)$, $\bar{T}_{\Lambda_1}(t)$ and $\bar{T}_{\Lambda_1\ldots+\Lambda_{N-1}}(t)$. The matrix representation of $\pi^{(1)}(\Lambda_1\ldots+\Lambda_{N-1})$ is written by

$$\pi^{(1)}(E_{\alpha_i}) = (\delta_{j,i}\delta_{k,i+1})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N-1),$$
$$\pi^{(1)}(F_{\alpha_i}) = (\delta_{j,i+1}\delta_{k,i})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N-1),$$
$$\pi^{(1)}(H_i) = (\delta_{j,i}\delta_{k,i})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N-1).$$

We have

$$T_{\Lambda_1\ldots+\Lambda_{N-1}}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z_j} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z_j} f_{j+N-1\ldots,j+1,j}^{(n)}.$$ 

$$\bar{T}_{\Lambda_1}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n q^{-\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j f_{j+N-1\ldots,j+2\ldots,j+N-1}^{(n)}.$$ 

$$\bar{T}_{\Lambda_1\ldots+\Lambda_{N-1}}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z_j} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n q^{\frac{n(n-2)}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z_j} f_{j+N-1\ldots,j+1,j}^{(n)}.$$ 

Using these explicit formulae, we have

$$T_{\Lambda_1}(q^{-N}t) = \bar{T}_{\Lambda_1}(q^{N}t),$$
$$T_{\Lambda_1\ldots+\Lambda_{N-1}}(q^{\frac{N}{2}}t) = \bar{T}_{\Lambda_1\ldots+\Lambda_{N-1}}(q^{\frac{N}{2}}t),$$
$$T_0(t) = \bar{T}_0(t) = 1.$$
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