Atrial fibrillation in Sub-Saharan Africa: epidemiology, unmet needs, and treatment options
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Abstract: Health care in Sub-Saharan Africa is being challenged by a double burden of disease as lifestyle diseases common in the developed world, such as stroke and atrial fibrillation (AF), increase, while, simultaneously, health issues of the developing world in terms of communicable disease persist. The prevalence of AF is lower in Africa than in the developed world but is expected to increase significantly over the next few decades. Patients with AF in Africa tend to be younger and have a higher prevalence of rheumatic valvular heart disease than patients with AF in other regions. Permanent AF is the most prevalent type of AF in Africa, possibly due to the lower use of rhythm control strategies than in the developed world. Mortality rates of patients with AF in Africa are high, due largely to poor health care access and suboptimal therapy. The risk of stroke in AF, which is moderate to high in Africans as in the developed world, contributes to the high mortality rate. Patients with AF in Africa are often undertreated with antithrombotics, as cost and access to monitoring are major barriers. Vitamin K antagonists, including warfarin, are the most commonly available oral anticoagulants, but regular monitoring can be challenging, especially for patients in remote areas. Several non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) have been approved for use in countries across Sub-Saharan Africa and have the potential to reduce stroke burden. The higher cost of newer agents may be offset by the reduced need for regular monitoring, fixed dosing, and lower risk of intracranial bleeding; NOACs could provide a treatment option for patients in remote areas with limited access to regular monitoring. However, NOACs are not indicated in valvular AF. More work is needed to increase understanding of the epidemiology of AF and stroke, as well as to improve management strategies to reduce the burden of cardiovascular disease predicted for Africa.
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Introduction

Economic and social changes in Sub-Saharan Africa are producing increased prosperity, but unfortunately, they are also driving an epidemiological transition to a double burden of disease in the region. 1 2 Health care systems in the developing world are challenged both by significant public health issues related to communicable disease and, increasingly, by a rising prevalence of noncommunicable lifestyle diseases, including obesity, tobacco addiction, hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. 2 Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia in the developed world, and its prevalence in Africa is expected to grow as risk factors for AF, including an aging population, increase in this region. 3 4 Additionally, the large incidence of undertreated streptococcal infections in Africa contributes to the high burden of
valvular AF associated with rheumatic heart disease.\textsuperscript{5,6} The largest relative increase in cardiovascular disease burden between 1990 and 2010 in Sub-Saharan Africa was in AF.\textsuperscript{7} It is estimated that by 2050, the prevalence of AF in Africa will be greater than in any other region of the world.\textsuperscript{8}

The impact of AF on patients in Africa is not well characterized. However, it is important to increase awareness and understanding of AF in Africa for the future, as AF is a significant risk factor for stroke. Compared with stroke from other causes, a stroke associated with AF tends to be more disabling and carries a higher risk of death.\textsuperscript{9-11} Although the prevalence of stroke in Sub-Saharan Africa remains lower than in many developed countries, the burden of disease is high, with a high incidence of stroke-related mortality.\textsuperscript{12}

The leading cardiovascular cause of death and disability in 2010 in Sub-Saharan Africa was stroke.\textsuperscript{7} Even in developed regions where high-quality health care is readily accessible, patients with AF are undertreated with anticoagulant therapy;\textsuperscript{13,14} similarly, data indicate that patients with AF in Africa receive suboptimal antithrombotic treatment to reduce their risk of stroke.\textsuperscript{2,15} Additional attention and resources are needed for prevention and treatment of AF and its associated complications on the African continent and the developing world in general.

**Epidemiology**

Data on the prevalence and incidence of AF in Africa are sparse, but several small studies have been published since 2010. A systematic review of AF epidemiology in developing regions suggested that the prevalence of AF in Africa currently is lower than in other regions studied.\textsuperscript{16} The reported prevalence of AF varies somewhat among studies and is expected to differ between hospital-based studies and in the general population, due at least in part to the patient selection in some hospitals being driven by factors such as affordability and health care-seeking behavior. In a hospital-based study in South Africa, 4.6% of cardiology patients had AF, indicating an estimated prevalence of 5.6 cases per 100,000 population per year.\textsuperscript{7} Similarly, in a retrospective chart review (N=3,908) at a cardiology institute in Ivory Coast, the prevalence of AF was 5.5% among patients admitted to the hospital over a 10-year period.\textsuperscript{17} In a retrospective study at a hospital in Senegal, the overall prevalence of AF was 5.4% and accounted for 66% of heart rhythm disorders.\textsuperscript{18} A lower prevalence rate of AF was reported in a Kenyan study in which the prevalence of AF and flutter in a tertiary referral hospital among medical patients was 0.7% (162/22,144); 15% of these patients presented with a thromboembolic event (of patients with a thromboembolic event, 53% were of Asian or Caucasian origin).\textsuperscript{19} Among elderly residents (≥70 years old) in a rural community in Tanzania, the crude prevalence rate of AF was strikingly low, at 0.7%.\textsuperscript{20} This estimate is much lower than the AF rates in elderly populations in the US or the European Union, where approximately 5% of people aged ≥65 years and 10% of people aged ≥80 years have been diagnosed with AF.\textsuperscript{21,22} The low rate of AF in African populations reported in some studies may be related to health care-seeking behavior and the fact that many patients do not have ready access to good health care. With increased access, it is likely that a higher prevalence of AF will be observed across Sub-Saharan Africa.

With regard to prevalence of AF among the African population, it is notable that the prevalence and incidence of AF in the US is lower among African American men and women than in white men and women, even though risk factors for AF are more common among African Americans.\textsuperscript{23,24} These observations suggest that genetic factors may be contributing to a lower prevalence of AF in native Africans, but at this time, the contribution of genetic variants to a lower AF susceptibility in this population remains uncertain. It will be interesting to study whether environmental factors associated with increased urbanization and life expectancy in some parts of Sub-Saharan Africa will result, as predicted, in a higher AF burden in future years.

AF is independently associated with an increased risk of death.\textsuperscript{1} In the Framingham Heart Study, AF was associated with a 1.5- to 1.9-fold increase in mortality over 40 years of follow-up.\textsuperscript{25} In a study among a predominantly white population in Olmsted County, MN, USA (mean age 73±14 years), the Kaplan–Meier estimates of survival at 4 months and 1 year after first AF diagnosis were 83% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 82%–85%) and 77% (95% CI: 76%–78%).\textsuperscript{26} Notably, among patients aged >70 years with electrocardiogram-confirmed AF in rural Tanzania, the 1-year mortality rate was extremely high (50.0% in men and 66.6% in women).\textsuperscript{20} Likewise, among an urban population with AF in Cameroon, the 1-year mortality rate after diagnosis was high (29.5%).\textsuperscript{15} The lower reported prevalence of AF in Africa may also be related to higher mortality in patients with AF in that region, due to underdiagnosis and undertreatment in settings with limited health care resources.\textsuperscript{27}

The pattern of AF can be classified as paroxysmal, persistent, or permanent. Paroxysmal AF is self-terminating, usually within 48 hours, but may continue for up to 7 days. Persistent AF is present when an AF episode either lasts longer than 7 days or requires termination by cardioversion, either
with drugs or by direct current cardioversion. Permanent AF is said to exist when the presence of the arrhythmia is accepted by the patient and physician. Notably, paroxysmal AF carries the same risk of stroke as permanent or persistent AF. With regard to these AF subtypes, permanent AF is the most prevalent type of AF among African individuals. In a prospective study of AF patients in Cameroon, the prevalence of paroxysmal, persistent, and permanent AF was 23%, 22%, and 56%, respectively. In a private, urban referral teaching hospital in East Africa, the distribution was paroxysmal AF 40%, persistent AF 13.5%, and permanent AF 40% (the remainder had incomplete follow-up data). African patients may progress more rapidly to permanent AF due to the reduced likelihood of detection when AF is paroxysmal and due to the less-frequent use of rhythm control therapies to restore and maintain sinus rhythm. Although some studies suggest that the permanent form of AF may be less common in the US and Europe (estimated prevalence of 30%–40%), other studies demonstrate that worldwide, permanent AF occurs in approximately 50% of patients, and the paroxysmal and persistent forms of AF occur in 25% each.

Patients with AF have a greater risk of stroke than the general population; however, the stroke risk is heterogeneous, modulated significantly by patient comorbidities. A number of risk stratification measures have been developed to predict the risk of stroke among patients with AF. One of the most commonly used and well-validated methods is the CHADS2 score, which stratifies patients by history of Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥75 years, Diabetes (one point each), and Stroke or transient ischemic attack (two points). Patients with a CHADS2 score of zero are considered to be at lower risk of stroke; those with a score of one are considered to be at moderate risk, while those with a score ≥2 are considered to be at high risk. In a South African hospital-based study, the mean overall CHADS2 score of patients with AF (in 195 nonrheumatic cases) was 1.5±0.9. In a study from a cardiac referral center in Cameroon, the mean CHADS2 score was 1.9±0.1, and 92% of patients had a CHADS2 score ≥1. In a study based at the Cardiology Institute in Abidjan, Ivory Coast, 47% of patients had a CHADS2 score of one or two, while 18% had a CHADS2 score ≥3. In the Kenya series mentioned previously, 65% of patients had a CHADS2 score >2. Similarly, in a European cohort, 20% of patients had a CHADS2 score of zero, 62% had a score of one or two, and 18% had a score ≥3. Thus, similar to other regions of the world, most patients with AF in Africa are at moderate-to-high risk of stroke.

The CHA2DS2–VASc point scoring system is a modification of CHADS2, which includes a larger number of risk factors (female sex, 65–74 years of age, and vascular disease) and has a broader score range (zero to nine). CHA2DS2–VASc (rather than CHADS2) has been given a Class I recommendation to assess stroke risk according to the 2012 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the 2014 American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/Heart Rhythm Society (AHA/ACC/HRS) AF Guidelines. CHA2DS2–VASc scores of zero and one are associated with adjusted stroke rates (percentage per year) of 0% and 1.3%, respectively, and a score of nine has a stroke rate of 15.2%. Notably in several studies, the CHA2DS2–VASc system discriminated stroke risk better among subjects with a baseline CHADS2 score of zero to one and defined anticoagulation recommendations more clearly than the CHADS2 score. However, because the CHA2DS2–VASc scoring system has not been validated in the native African population, its utility in this setting currently remains uncertain and may be limited pending its validation.

### Risk factors for AF

#### Valvular AF

AF may be divided into two categories: valvular AF and nonvalvular AF (NVAF). Valvular AF can be defined as AF that occurs in association with a prosthetic heart valve, valve repair, or rheumatic valvular heart disease (predominantly mitral stenosis caused by prior streptococcal infection). Rheumatic heart disease associated with valvular AF is much more common in Africa than in North America or Europe. Among the regions enrolled in Randomized Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy (RE-LY) AF, a global prospective registry that enrolled patients presenting to an emergency department with AF, rheumatic heart disease was present in 22% of African patients vs 2% of North American patients (Table 1). As a consequence of the higher prevalence

#### Table 1 Selected risk factors for AF in African patients compared with the global population enrolled in a prospective registry of emergency department patients presenting with AF

|                    | Africa     | Overall  |
|--------------------|------------|----------|
| Number of patients with AF | 1,137 | 15,400 |
| Age, years, mean (SD) | 57 (19) | 66 (15) |
| Hypertension, %      | 54*       | 62      |
| Previous stroke or TIA, % | 14 | 14      |
| Heart failure, %     | 64*       | 35      |
| Diabetes mellitus, % | 14*       | 22      |
| Rheumatic heart disease, % | 22* | 2       |
| CHADS2 score, mean   | 1.8       | 1.8     |

Notes: *Significantly different from the rest of the world (P<0.005). Data from Walker et al.37

Abbreviations: AF, atrial fibrillation; CHADS2, Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥75 years, and Diabetes (one point each), Stroke or transient ischemic attack (two points); SD, standard deviation; TIA, transient ischemic attack.
of rheumatic heart disease, AF may affect people in Africa
at a younger age than in the US or Europe. In RE-LY AF,
Africa had the youngest median age of patients with AF
(57.2 years, standard deviation [SD]: 18.8 for African patients
vs 70.1 years, SD: 13.4 for North American patients).³⁷

NVAF
Risk factors associated with NVAF include older age, hyper-
tension, diabetes, and heart disease.³⁸ Several AF risk factors
are prevalent among patients with AF in Africa. In a prospec-
tive study of AF patients in Cameroon, underlying cardiac dis-
orders, including hypertensive heart disease (48%), valvular
heart disease (VHD; 26%), dilated cardiomyopathy (16%),
and coronary artery disease (6%), were present in 156/172
patients (91%).³⁹ Similarly, a retrospective study of patients
with AF in a teaching hospital in Kenya reported associated
comorbidities, including hypertension (68%), heart failure
(38%), diabetes mellitus (33%), and valvular abnormalities
(12%).⁴⁰ Among participants in the RE-LY AF registry, some
risk factors for AF (older age, hypertension, and diabetes) were
lower among Africans than in other regions of the world,
but heart failure was much more common in Africans with
AF (Table 1).³⁷ The incidence of NVAF in Africa is likely
to increase as rates of hypertension, diabetes, and other risk
factors increase and the population ages.²

Burden of AF and stroke
AF is associated with an increased risk of stroke. Reported
stroke prevalence varies throughout Africa, depending on the
setting. In a 2007 study, the crude prevalence rate of stroke
in urban Nigeria was 1.14/1,000, lower than the rates in most
developed countries.³⁸ In rural Nigeria, the crude prevalence
of stroke was 1.63/1,000.³⁹ For comparison, the prevalence
of stroke among adult African Americans was 3.8% in
2012.⁴⁰ The lower prevalence in Nigeria may be related to
lower incidence and higher stroke mortality compared with
developed countries.

Although descriptive data are limited, patients with stroke
in Africa are younger and have higher mortality than in other
regions. In a single-center study of stroke patients in Ethiopia,
the mean age of patients was 53.2 years and overall mortality
was 44.5%.⁴¹ In this study, hypertension was the most frequent
risk factor for stroke, followed by cardiac disease, observed
in 66% and 23% of patients, respectively. Hypertension was
untreated, with most hypertensive patients receiving either
no treatment (29%) or erratic and irregular treatments (38%).
In another study from Kenya, the mean age for patients with
stroke was 61 years, and hypertension was found in 64%.⁴²

Valvular heart disease accounted for 40% of all heart disease,
and almost half the patients with VHD also had AF, of whom
none were on treatment.

Treatment options for AF management
Long-term anticoagulation is recommended to reduce the risk
of stroke in patients with AF.³⁴,³⁶,³⁷,⁴³,⁴⁴ The vitamin K antag-
nists (VKAs), including warfarin, are well-studied, having
been used for many years, and low-cost generic versions are
readily available. Warfarin is effective at reducing stroke risk
in patients with AF, provided that good anticoagulation con-
trol is achieved by maintaining the international normalized
ratio (INR) in the therapeutic range for at least 60%–70% of
the time.⁴⁵,⁴⁶ A meta-analysis of six placebo-controlled trials
showed that warfarin significantly reduced stroke risk by 64%
and mortality by 26%.⁴⁷ Use of warfarin, as in the case of all
anticoagulants, carries some risk of bleeding. Administration
of vitamin K can substantially reduce the effect of warfarin
on INR in 24 hours, but because warfarin has a much longer
duration of action than vitamin K, vitamin K may need to
be readministered in patients with ongoing bleeding.⁴⁸
Alternatively, the effect of warfarin can be reversed more
rapidly by administering fresh frozen plasma.⁴⁹ Warfarin
has a number of other limitations, including its slow onset
and offset of action, food and drug interactions that require
patients to be consistent in their dietary and alcohol intake,
and a narrow therapeutic range that requires regular INR
monitoring and dose adjustment to maintain anticoagulant
levels within the therapeutic range.⁵⁰

While warfarin remains the most commonly used oral
anticoagulant in patients with AF, several non-vitamin K
antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs), including dab-
igatran, rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban, have recently
been approved to reduce the risk of stroke in patients with
NVAF.⁵¹–⁵⁴ Potential advantages of the NOACs relative to
warfarin could be that they do not require routine INR or
other anticoagulant level monitoring, they do not have food
or drug interactions, and they can be prescribed in fixed
doses. Disadvantages of the NOACs compared with warfarin
include their cost and the current lack of a specific antidote.
Furthermore, they are not indicated for stroke prevention
in patients with valvular AF. The efficacy of these agents to
reduce the risk of stroke in NVAF has been demonstrated in
Phase III trials (Table 2).

In the RE-LY trial, patients with NVAF at moderate-to-
high risk of stroke were randomized to dabigatran (150 mg
twice daily [bid] or 110 mg bid) or open-label warfarin.
Both doses of dabigatran were noninferior to warfarin at
# Table 2: Phase III clinical trial results for the NOACs

| Comparator     | RE-LY (dabigatran 110 mg)
|----------------|----------------------------|
|                | RE-LY (dabigatran 150 mg)
|                | ROCKET AF (rivaroxaban 20 mg)
|                | ARISTOTLE (apixaban 5 mg)
|                | AVERROES (apixaban 5 mg)
|                | ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 (edoxaban 60 mg)
|                | ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 (edoxaban 30 mg)
| Warfarin       | Warfarin                   | Warfarin                   | Warfarin                   | Aspirin                   | Warfarin                   | Warfarin                   |
| Trial design   | Randomized, open-label, rater-blinded, noninferiority trial | Randomized, double-blind, noninferiority trial | Randomized, double-blind, noninferiority trial | Randomized, double-blind, noninferiority trial | Randomized, double-blind, noninferiority trial | Randomized, double-blind, noninferiority trial |
| Stroke or systemic embolism | RR: 0.91 (95% CI: 0.74, 1.11); P = 0.34<sup>a</sup> | RR: 0.65 (95% CI: 0.52, 0.81); P < 0.001<sup>b</sup> | HR: 0.88 (95% CI: 0.75, 1.03); P = 0.12<sup>c</sup> | HR: 0.79 (95% CI: 0.66, 0.95); P < 0.01<sup>b</sup> | HR: 0.45 (95% CI: 0.32, 0.62); P < 0.001<sup>b</sup> | HR: 0.79 (95% CI: 0.63, 0.99); P < 0.001<sup>b</sup> | HR: 1.07 (95% CI: 0.87, 1.31); P = 0.005<sup>d</sup> |
| Hemorrhagic stroke | RR: 0.31 (95% CI: 0.14, 0.49); P < 0.001 | HR: 0.59 (95% CI: 0.37, 0.82); P = 0.002 | HR: 0.51 (95% CI: 0.35, 0.75); P < 0.001 | HR: 0.67 (95% CI: 0.42, 0.96); P = 0.05 | HR: 0.54 (95% CI: 0.38, 0.77); P < 0.001 | HR: 0.54 (95% CI: 0.38, 0.77); P < 0.001 | HR: 0.33 (95% CI: 0.22, 0.50); P < 0.001 |
| Intracranial hemorrhage | RR: 0.80 (95% CI: 0.45, 0.99); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.67 (95% CI: 0.47, 0.97); P = 0.002 | HR: 0.42 (95% CI: 0.28, 0.59); P < 0.001 | HR: 0.69 (95% CI: 0.38, 0.90); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.47 (95% CI: 0.34, 0.63); P < 0.001 | HR: 0.47 (95% CI: 0.34, 0.63); P < 0.001 | HR: 0.30 (95% CI: 0.21, 0.43); P = 0.001 |
| Myocardial infarction | RR: 1.29 (95% CI: 0.94, 1.71); P = 0.12 | HR: 0.81 (95% CI: 1.04, 0.63); P = 0.16<sup>c</sup> | HR: 0.88 (95% CI: 0.70, 1.17); P = 0.37 | HR: 0.86 (95% CI: 0.63, 0.94); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.94 (95% CI: 0.74, 1.19); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.94 (95% CI: 0.74, 1.19); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.19 (95% CI: 0.05, 0.72); P = 0.19 |
| All-cause mortality | RR: 0.96 (95% CI: 0.79, 1.17); P = 0.12 | HR: 0.92 (95% CI: 1.01, 0.82); P = 0.15 | HR: 0.89 (95% CI: 0.80, 0.98); P = 0.047 | HR: 0.79 (95% CI: 0.60, 0.91); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.92 (95% CI: 0.83, 1.01); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.92 (95% CI: 0.83, 1.01); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.87 (95% CI: 0.79, 0.96); P = 0.006 |
| Major bleeding | 0.70, 0.93; P = 0.003 | HR: 1.04 (95% CI: 0.90, 1.20); P = 0.58 | HR: 0.69 (95% CI: 0.57, 0.83); P = 0.001 | HR: 1.13 (95% CI: 0.75, 1.75); P = 0.57 | HR: 0.80 (95% CI: 0.71, 0.91); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.80 (95% CI: 0.71, 0.91); P = 0.001 | HR: 0.47 (95% CI: 0.41, 0.55); P = 0.001 |

**Notes:** All values are for the intention-to-treat populations unless otherwise stated. *Noninferiority to comparator; **Superiority to comparator; ***The primary end point for ROCKET-AF used the prespecified population. In the prespecified analysis, the HR for stroke or systemic embolism vs warfarin was 0.79 (95% CI: 0.66, 0.96; P < 0.001 for noninferiority). Modified intention-to-treat population in the treatment period; **safety on-treatment population.

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants; RR, relative risk; RE-LY AF, Randomized Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy ROCKET-AF, Rivaroxaban Once Daily Oral Direct Factor Xa Inhibition Compared with Vitamin K Antagonism for Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation; ARISTOTLE, Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation; AVERROES, Apixaban Versus Acetylsalicylic Acid [ASA] to Prevent Stroke in Atrial Fibrillation Patients Who Have Failed or Are Unsuitable for Vitamin K Antagonist Treatment; ENGAGE AF-TIMI, Effective Anticoagulation with Factor Xa Next Generation in Atrial Fibrillation -Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction.
reducing stroke rates. Dabigatran 150 mg, but not 110 mg, was superior to warfarin. Rates of hemorrhagic stroke were significantly lower in both dabigatran arms than in the warfarin arm. Major bleeding occurred significantly less frequently in the 110 mg dabigatran group and at similar rates in the warfarin and dabigatran 150 mg groups.55

In the Rivaroxaban Once Daily Oral Direct Factor Xa Inhibition Compared with Vitamin K Antagonism for Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation (ROCKET AF) trial, rivaroxaban was noninferior to warfarin for prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with NVAF. There were no significant differences in the rates of major, as well as clinically relevant nonmajor, bleeding in patients taking rivaroxaban and warfarin. Intracranial hemorrhage and fatal bleeding occurred significantly less frequently with rivaroxaban, whereas gastrointestinal bleeding, bleeding that led to a drop in the hemoglobin level, and bleeding that required transfusion occurred more frequently with rivaroxaban.

In the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation (ARISTO-TLE) trial, which included patients with NVAF and at least one additional risk factor for stroke, apixaban 5 mg bid (2.5 mg bid in selected patients) significantly reduced the risk of stroke or systemic embolism, major bleeding, and mortality compared with warfarin (target INR: 2–3).56 In the Apixaban Versus Acetylsalicylic Acid [ASA] to Prevent Stroke in Atrial Fibrillation Patients Who Have Failed or Are Unsuitable for Vitamin K Antagonist Treatment (AVERROES) trial, apixaban 5.0 mg bid (2.5 mg bid in selected patients) provided significantly more protection from stroke and systemic embolism than aspirin 81–324 mg/day in patients with NVAF at increased risk of stroke, without significantly increasing major bleeding.57

In the Effective Anticoagulation with Factor Xa Next Generation in Atrial Fibrillation -Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 48 (ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48) trial, patients with NVAF were randomized to edoxaban 60 mg daily, edoxaban 30 mg daily, or warfarin (target INR: 2–3). Both once-daily regimens of edoxaban were noninferior to warfarin with respect to the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism, as well as being associated with significantly lower rates of bleeding and death from cardiovascular causes.58

Notably, a significant limitation of all the NOAC trials with regard to the use of these drugs in the African population is that none of the trials included a large number of patients from Africa, and the percentage of black subjects overall was small. Thus, the use of these newer agents compared with warfarin has not been well validated among subjects from the African continent. Furthermore, the percentage of patients with valvular (rheumatic) AF vs NVAF is expected to be higher in Africa than in some other regions of the world. The NOACs are not indicated in patients with significant VHD (including hemodynamically significant/severe mitral regurgitation or moderate-to-severe mitral or aortic valve stenosis) or in patients with prosthetic heart valves.

As noted earlier, an important drawback of warfarin is that patients require routine monitoring and dose adjustment to maintain serum levels in the therapeutic range.50 Access to monitoring may present a significant burden in some regions of Africa due to remote geographic locations or limited resources. Therefore, treatment with NOACs could minimize logistical hurdles for patients who lack access to laboratory services, as these drugs do not require routine monitoring; in the authors’ experience, people in remote areas opt to use NOACs instead of warfarin for this reason.

While the NOACs are widely available in Europe and the US, the approval status of these agents varies in the countries of Sub-Saharan Africa. The first NOAC approved for treatment of NVAF in Africa was dabigatran, which received regulatory approval in Namibia in 2011.59 As of August 2014, rivaroxaban and dabigatran have been approved in Kenya;50 rivaroxaban was the only NOAC approved in South Africa51 and Nigeria;62 and none of the NOACs were available in Uganda63 or Zimbabwe.64 Up-to-date information about drug approvals in many countries in the Sub-Saharan region is difficult to obtain, and approvals are continuing; therefore, the list above may be incomplete.

**AF stroke prevention treatment guidelines**

Evidence-based guidelines published by national or regional medical associations provide physicians with recommendations on how to manage patients with NVAF (Table 3). The South African Stroke Society guidelines recommend primary stroke prevention for patients with AF stratified by CHADS2 risk score. Under these guidelines, patients with a CHADS2 score of zero may be treated with aspirin; for those with a CHADS2 score of one, warfarin or aspirin may be appropriate; and those with a CHADS2 score of ≥2 should receive warfarin.65 In addition to the CHADS2 score, physicians should consider patient preference, bleeding risk, and access to reliable INR monitoring when making treatment decisions.

The ESC guidelines use the CHA2DS2–VASc score to predict stroke risk; the ESC recommends no treatment for
Table 3 Guideline recommendations on the use of antithrombotic therapy for the prevention of stroke in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation, based on stroke risk

| Guideline                                 | CHADS<sub>2</sub> risk score | CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc risk score |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| South African Stroke Society (2010)       | 0                            | Warfarin or aspirin, considering patient preferences, bleeding risk, and access to reliable INR monitoring. |
|                                            | ≥2                           | Warfarin recommended, taking into account patient preferences, bleeding risk, and access to reliable INR monitoring. |
| ACCP (2012)                                | No antithrombotic therapy or aspirin alone | OAC suggested rather than no therapy or aspirin/aspirin + clopidogrel. |
|                                            |                              | If OAC unsuitable or not desired, aspirin + clopidogrel suggested rather than aspirin alone. |

**Guideline**

- **ESC (2012)**: No antithrombotic therapy. Consider OAC, depending on individual risk (not recommended in female patients aged <65 years with lone AF) or NOAC (dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban) or VKA recommended. If patient refuses OAC, consider aspirin + clopidogrel.
- **NICE (2014)**: No OAC recommended. Consider OAC for men, taking into account bleeding risk and individual patient risks and preferences.
- **ACC/AHA/HRS (2014)**: Reasonable to omit antithrombotic therapy. Either no therapy or consider aspirin or OAC.
- **ASA/AHA (2014)**: Reasonable to omit antithrombotic therapy. No antithrombotic therapy, or anticoagulant therapy, or aspirin.

**CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc risk score**

- 0
- 1
- ≥2

**Abreviations:** ACC/AHA/HRS, American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association/Heart Rhythm Society; ACCP, American College of Chest Physicians; AF, atrial fibrillation; ASA, American Stroke Association; CHADS<sub>2</sub>, Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥75 years, Diabetes (one point each). Stroke or transient ischemic attack (two points); ESC, European Society of Cardiology; INR, international normalized ratio; NICE, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants; OAC, oral anticoagulant; VKA, vitamin K antagonist.

Patients at low risk (CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score of zero) and VKA or NOAC therapy for those at moderate-to-high risk (CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score ≥1). In contrast to the South African guidelines, aspirin is recommended only for patients who refuse oral anticoagulant therapy.41

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in the United Kingdom also stratifies patients by the CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score. NICE advises that anticoagulation may be established with apixaban, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, or a VKA and recommends considering anticoagulation for men with a CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score of one and offering anticoagulation to men and women with a CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score ≥2. Bleeding risk should be taken into account, and the choice of anticoagulant should be based on the clinical features and preferences of the patient.66

In the absence of regional guidelines, physicians in Africa also have the option of referring to any of several different treatment guidelines published by US-based medical societies. The AHA and the American Stroke Association Scientific Advisory use the CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score and recommend that it is reasonable to omit antithrombotic therapy for patients with a CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score of zero; no antithrombotic therapy, anticoagulant therapy, or aspirin therapy may be considered for patients with a CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score of one; and oral anticoagulants are recommended for patients with a CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score ≥2. Options include warfarin (INR: 2.0–3.0), dabigatran, apixaban, and rivaroxaban.67 The American College of Chest Physicians advises no therapy for patients with a CHADS<sub>2</sub> score of zero and oral anticoagulants for patients with a CHADS<sub>2</sub> score ≥1, with aspirin/clopidogrel as an alternative for patients for whom oral anticoagulants are not appropriate.41 The ACC/AHA/HRS guidelines state that it is reasonable to omit antithrombotic therapy for patients with a CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score of zero and suggest an oral anticoagulant or aspirin for patients with a CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc score of one. Oral anticoagulants (either warfarin or NOACs) are preferred for patients with a CHADS<sub>2</sub>–VASc scores ≥2.66

**Costs of AF and stroke**

As in the rest of the world, both the costs associated with AF treatment and the costs of untreated AF are significant concerns in Africa. Indeed, given the paucity of resources available to much of the population of Africa, cost-effective management of AF is of paramount importance. Costs associated with AF may be related to anticoagulant therapy,
INR monitoring, or treatment of AF-related stroke. Failure to maintain optimal anticoagulation places patients at risk of complications, including both stroke and bleeding, the management of which involves a significant cost. A chart review of stroke patients in Nigeria revealed that patients require an average of US$600 and $4,860 (direct costs only) in a government and a private hospital, respectively, to access care within the first 36 weeks poststroke. Average income in Nigeria is <$2/day, which suggests that managing stroke constitutes a huge direct cost burden unaffordable by an average Nigerian stroke sufferer. Cost-effectiveness studies for the region are limited; however, one study in the South African private sector indicated that compared with warfarin, dabigatran as first-line treatment was cost effective in reducing the risk of stroke in patients with AF when used in accordance with its registered indication. While the costs of dabigatran were estimated to be higher than those for warfarin (Rands [R] 60,365 vs R24,243, respectively), the costs of clinical events and follow-up costs were estimated to be lower than for warfarin (R23,246 vs R24,888 and R236,496 vs R252,229, respectively).

Although NOACs are available in certain parts of Africa, the drug costs of the NOACs make them unaffordable for many patients. Because generic warfarin is available at lower cost, warfarin and other VKAs are likely to remain the standard of care in the region. Notably, however, as prosperity in Africa (measured as the proportion of the population living above the poverty line) increases, the African middle class may find the convenience of NOACs, with their reduced burden of monitoring and fewer food–drug interactions, preferable to warfarin irrespective of their drug-related costs.

Real-world treatment with anticoagulants for stroke prevention
Several studies have demonstrated wide variability in the use of anticoagulant therapy in clinical practice in Africa, probably related to regional differences in access to medical care across the African continent. In general, treatment patterns differ between rural and urban settings, with urban patients more likely to receive anticoagulants. One study noted that urban patients were more likely to have a relative contraindication to antithrombotic therapy than rural patients (24% vs 10%; P=0.028) but received antithrombotic therapy more often (38% vs 19%; P=0.025). In a prospective study of AF patients in Cameroon between 2006 and 2007, in patients with an indication for oral anticoagulation, only 34% (54 of 158) actually received it. Similarly, in a hospital-based study from Soweto, South Africa, between 2006 and 2008, 33% of patients with AF received warfarin. In contrast, a much higher percentage of patients received an anticoagulant in Senegal, where in a retrospective hospital-based study between 2003 and 2007, anticoagulation by VKA was established in 62% of cases. Access to quality health care may be getting better in some parts of Africa with improving economic and social conditions. A study at a private urban referral teaching hospital in Nairobi, Kenya, found that 80% of patients with AF and a CHADS2 score ≥2 received anticoagulation between 2008 and 2010. Similarly, a recent observational multicenter national registry in South Africa indicated that 75% of patients with AF were on warfarin for stroke prevention in 2010 and 2011.

Among patients who receive anticoagulant therapy, INR control varies greatly. In a post hoc analysis of Atrial fibrillation Clopidogrel Trial with Irbesartan for prevention of Vascular Events - Warfarin arm (ACTIVE-W), a randomized prospective trial comparing VKA therapy with clopidogrel plus aspirin, patients from South Africa randomized to VKA therapy (n=98) achieved poor INR control, with the lowest time in therapeutic range (TTR) of any country in the trial. Patients from South Africa had a mean TTR of 46%, vs 63% for the study population as a whole. In contrast, in ROCKET-AF, the median individual TTR for South African patients on warfarin was similar to that of the study population as a whole (55% for each group). In ARISTOTLE, the median TTR for patients on warfarin was approximately 68% for patients in South Africa, vs a median of 66% (52%–77%) for the study population as a whole. However, TTR for patients enrolled in randomized controlled clinical trials may not provide an accurate reflection of TTR for patients treated in clinical practice. Among the regions enrolled in the RE-LY AF registry, TTR was lower in Africa than in Europe or North America. In a multicenter study in South Africa, although all the patients were maintained above the minimum INR value of 2.0, approximately 50% had at least one record of INR above the cut-off value of 3.5, possibly reflecting barriers of cost and access that may be especially problematic for rural patients.

Observational studies have begun assessing the impact, safety, and efficacy of the NOACs for stroke prevention in AF in routine clinical practice around the world. It will be of interest to understand how these novel treatments are being used in the real-world clinical setting. Global Registry on Long-Term Oral Antithrombotic Treatment in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation (GLORIA-AF) is a large multinational prospective registry designed to characterize the treatment
of patients newly diagnosed with NVAF who are at risk of stroke and who are being treated with warfarin, aspirin, and NOACs; GLORIA-AF should provide important real-world information on antithrombotic-prescribing patterns and patient outcomes. It is vital to analyze whether the safety- and efficacy profile of NOACs established in the clinical trials is also observed in routine clinical practice. In this regard, Xarelto® (Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Titusville, NJ, USA) for Prevention of Stroke in Patients with Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation, Eastern Europe, Middle East, Africa, and Latin America (XANTUS-EL) is evaluating rivaroxaban. GLORIA-AF and XANTUS-EL will include South African and Kenyan sites, respectively. Similarly, the ongoing Global Anticoagulant Registry in the FIELD-Atrial Fibrillation (GARFIELD-AF) international observational prospective study aims to investigate real-life treatment patterns and patient outcomes, and it includes South African sites.99,100

Rate control vs rhythm control in AF
Although stroke prevention is a critically important therapeutic goal in AF patients, management of AF patients is also aimed at reducing symptoms and preventing other complications associated with AF by control of the ventricular rate and correction of the rhythm disturbance. Rate control appears to be the preferred treatment strategy relative to rhythm control for AF management among most clinicians treating patients with AF in Africa. At a private referral hospital in Nairobi, rate control, using a mixture of digoxin, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, and amiodarone, alone and in combination, was the preferred strategy for arrhythmia management in 78% of patients.19 A national observational registry in South Africa found that rate control, mainly with beta-blockers, was pursued in 64% of AF patients.24 A prospective study involving ten cardiologists in Cameroon treating AF found that a rate control strategy using digoxin and amiodarone was chosen in 84% of patients.15 Consistent with these observations, a study that assessed pharmacological management of AF in developing countries around the world found a high prevalence of rate control therapy use (55%–87%).16 This treatment approach contrasts with AF management in developed countries, where physicians generally prefer rhythm control over rate control strategies. The REGistry on Cardiac rhythm disORDers assessing the control of Atrial Fibrillation (RecordAF) observational study of AF management among recently diagnosed patients in Europe, America, and Asia reported that rhythm control was applied to 55% of patients, whereas rate control was selected in 45% of patients at baseline.81 Similarly, in the Euro Heart Survey, 67% of symptomatic patients with AF were managed with a rhythm control approach.28

Barriers to evidence-based health care in patients with AF
While the provision of good health care at low cost is an extremely complex undertaking, countries such as Ethiopia have substantially improved their health care systems in recent years, and their success points to factors that may affect the ability of other countries to improve.82 Factors that influenced success included political commitment, effective bureaucracies, and the ability to innovate and adapt to resource limitations.82

In Sub-Saharan Africa, the leading cardiovascular cause of death and disability is stroke, and cardiovascular deaths occur at younger ages than in the rest of the world.7 Rates of stroke-related disability and mortality are ten fold higher in medically underserved regions of the world compared with the most developed nations.81 This is primarily due to the lack of access to primary care to screen for and mitigate stroke risk factors.83 In the developed world, AF is the leading preventable cause of stroke, accounting for 15%–20% of all strokes.84 Therefore, effective strategies to reduce the risk of stroke in patients with AF must be considered in the context of local health care systems.

A recent proof-of-concept study in Ethiopia and Swaziland adapted tools and systems originally developed for long-term routine care of patients with HIV to treat patients with noncommunicable diseases using diabetes mellitus, another condition requiring ongoing monitoring and care, as a test case.85 The study demonstrated the potential to rapidly improve the quality of care for diabetes by adapting HIV-specific policies, systems, and tools.85 This approach could potentially be expanded to other noncommunicable conditions, such as AF.

Summary and conclusion
Although infectious disease has historically been a greater health concern in Africa, noncommunicable disease, including AF and stroke, is a growing issue in a setting where resources and access to health care are extremely limited for a large portion of the population. Data on epidemiology of AF in Africa are scarce. The available information suggests a lower prevalence of diagnosed AF currently in Sub-Saharan Africa, but the prevalence of AF in this region is expected to grow significantly over the next 2–3 decades. When AF is detected in Africa, it is more likely to be permanent and associated with high morbidity and mortality. Patients with AF in Africa are
much more likely to be treated with rate control rather than rhythm control in comparison with patients in Europe or the US. Valvular AF is much more common in Africa than in Europe or the US due to the high burden of rheumatic heart disease. Warfarin or the NOACs are treatment options for stroke prevention in NVAF, whereas the NOACs are not indicated for treatment of valvular AF. While undertreatment of AF remains a worldwide problem, patients with AF in Africa are even more likely to be undertreated than those in other regions due to major differences in the availability of health care resources and socioeconomic conditions. In most of Africa, fewer patients with AF receive anticoagulant therapy compared with those in other parts of the world. In addition, some studies suggest that those receiving treatment may have shorter times in the therapeutic range while receiving warfarin. Although the overall prevalence of stroke in Africa is low compared with that in the developed world, possibly due to the younger age of the region’s population, AF is a leading preventable cause of stroke. Undertreatment of AF patients with antithrombotic therapy leaves patients at increased risk of stroke. Furthermore, morbidity and mortality associated with AF-related stroke is significant. NOACs are starting to become available around Africa, and as availability increases, health care providers in Africa will have new treatment options to manage NVAF and reduce the risk of stroke in their patients. More studies and resources are needed to improve understanding of the epidemiology and management of AF in Sub-Saharan Africa.
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