KPS-1B: THE FIRST TRANSITING EXOPLANET DISCOVERED USING AN AMATEUR ASTRONOMER’S WIDE-FIELD CCD DATA
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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of the transiting hot Jupiter KPS-1b. This exoplanet orbits a V = 13.0 K1-type main-sequence star every 1.7 days, has a mass of $M_{\text{Jup}} = (1.090 \pm 0.086) M_{\text{Jup}}$ and a radius of $R_{\text{Jup}} = (1.03 \pm 0.13) R_{\text{Jup}}$. The discovery was made by the prototype Kourovka Planet Search (KPS) project, which used wide-field CCD data gathered by an amateur astronomer using readily available and relatively affordable equipment. Here we describe the equipment and observing technique used for the discovery of KPS-1b, its characterization with spectroscopic observations by the SOPHIE spectrograph and with high-precision photometry obtained with 1-m class telescopes. We also outline the KPS project evolution into the Galactic Plane eXoplanet survey (GPX). The discovery of KPS-1b represents a new major step of the contribution of amateur astronomers to the burgeoning field of exoplanetology.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A major fraction of all exoplanets confirmed to date transit their host stars (Schneider et al. 2011; Akeson et al. 2013; Han et al. 2014). The eclipsing configuration of these planets enables us to measure their radii and masses by combining prior pieces of knowledge on their host star properties with photometric and radial velocity measurements, and thus to constrain their bulk compositions (Winn 2010). With sufficient signal to noise ratios (SNR), it is also possible to explore their atmospheric properties (Winn 2010; Burrows 2014; Madhusudhan et al. 2016).

Despite their rarity in the Milky Way (∼1% occurrence rate, e.g. Wright et al. 2012), hot Jupiters (i.e. planets with minimum mass $M \sin i > 0.5 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$ and orbital period $P \lesssim 10$ days) are particularly favorable targets for non-space surveys. It is due to their short orbital periods that maximize their transit probabilities, and to their large sizes (up to $2 \, R_{\text{Jup}}$) that maximize their transit depths. These short period gas giants orbit in strong gravitational and magnetic fields (Correia & Laskar 2010; Chang et al. 2010) and undergo irradiation larger than any planet in the Solar System (Fortney et al. 2007). Thorough characterization of hot Jupiters gives us an opportunity to research the response of these planets to severe environments, and to find out their planetary structures and chemical compositions. Moreover, their orbital obliquity can be measured during their transits (Sanchis-Ojeda & Winn 2011; Sanchis-Ojeda et al. 2011; Hébrard et al. 2011; Moutou et al. 2011; Hébrard et al. 2008), bringing a key constraint on their dynamical history (Triaud et al. 2010).

∼300 transiting hot Jupiters are known to date. Wide-field photometric surveys operating from the ground, such as WASP (Pollacco et al. 2006), HAT (Bakos et al. 2004, 2013), KELT (Siverd et al. 2012), Qatar (Alsubai et al. 2013), XO (McCullough et al. 2005; Crouzet 2017) and TrES (Alonso et al. 2004) discovered most of them. Space missions, namely CoRoT (Auvergne et al. 2009), Kepler (Borucki et al. 2010) and K2 (Howell et al. 2014) also contributed to the number of known hot Jupiters. However, the combination of these surveys has left a significant fraction of the sky – the Galactic plane (see Figure 1) – relatively unexplored. Indeed, all these wide-field surveys, including future space missions like PLATO (Rauer et al. 2014) and TESS (Ricker et al. 2015), have a poor spatial resolution in common. It translates into a large level of blending for the crowded fields of the Galactic plane, resulting into a poorer detection potential (due to signal dilution) combined with a drastically increased level of false-alarm probability (due to blended eclipsing binaries). For these reasons, most of the cited surveys try to avoid fields of low Galactic latitudes. Hence, many more hot Jupiters still remain undiscovered there.

This work is based on the hypothesis that amateur astronomy could play a significant role in their discovery. The synergy between amateur and professional astronomers in the exoplanetary research field has proven to be fruitful (Croll 2012). Some examples of that are Zooniverse-based\textsuperscript{1} projects Planet Hunters (Fischer et al. 2012) and Exoplanet Explorers\textsuperscript{2}. Amateurs also participate in the ground-based exoplanet follow-up – for example, KELT-FUN observations for KELT exoplanet candidates (McLeod et al. 2017), observations of KOI-1257 b Kepler exoplanet candidate (Santerne et al. 2014), and take an active part in search for Transit Timing Variations (TTVs, Baluev et al. 2015). However, aforementioned projects are focused on searching for planets in already existing data sets, observing exoplanet candidates and already known planet-bearing stars discovered by professional astronomers. Now amateurs can also contribute to the search for transiting exoplanets by directly gathering wide-field CCD data. This approach is used by the PANOPTES (Guyon et al. 2014) project and the project described in this paper.

In 2012–2016 we carried out the Kourovka Planet Search (KPS, Burdanov et al. 2016; Popov et al. 2015) – a prototype survey with the primary objective of searching for new transiting exoplanets in the fields of

\textsuperscript{1} www.zooniverse.org

\textsuperscript{2} www.zooniverse.org/projects/ianc2/exoplanet-explorers

\textbf{Figure 1.} Transiting hot Jupiters known to date on a projection of the celestial sphere. The exoplanet sample is from the NASA Exoplanet Archive (Akeson et al. 2013) and stars’ positions are from the Tycho-2 catalogue (Hag et al. 2000).
low Galactic latitudes. We used the MASTER-II-Ural robotic telescope (Gorbovskoy et al. 2013) operated at the Kourovka astronomical observatory of the Ural Federal University in the Middle Urals (Russia). At first our observations of target fields TF1 and TF2 in the Galactic plane were performed solely with the MASTER-II-Ural telescope. But since 2014 the Rowe-Ackermann Schmidt Astrograph, operated at the private Acton Sky Portal Observatory (MA, USA), joined the project by observing an additional target field TF3 (located not in the Galactic plane, but in the Ursa Major constellation). Exoplanet candidates that were discovered in the fields TF1 and TF2 turned out to be astrophysical false positives. Here we announce the discovery of the transiting hot Jupiter KPS-1b, which was found after analyzing the TF3 wide-field CCD data gathered at the private Acton Sky Portal Observatory.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows: information about initial detection observations and subsequent follow-up observations are given in Section 2; data analysis and derived parameters of planetary system are presented in Section 3; in Section 4 we briefly discuss the discovered planetary system, outline evolution of the KPS project and future prospects.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. KPS transit detection photometry

As a part of the KPS prototype survey, we observed target field #3 (TF3), which is located in the Ursa Major constellation and contains the smallest number of stars of all the fields observed within the survey. This field is not in the plane of the Milky Way (poorly observable in the northern hemisphere at the time), but was nonetheless observed as part of the test observations with the Rowe-Ackermann Schmidt Astrograph (RASA) telescope for 21 nights in the period from January to April 2015 in the $R_c$ filter and with 50 sec exposures. The RASA telescope (Celestron Inc., Torrance, CA, USA) has a Schmidt-like optical design with a diameter of 279 mm and focal length of 620 mm coupled with a Celestron CGEM mount. The telescope is based at the Acton Sky Portal private observatory in Acton, MA (USA). Software control of the telescope targeting and imaging was done by TheSkyX (Software Bisque, Golden, CO, USA). We used a SBIG ST-8300M camera (Santa Barbara Imaging Group, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) mounted on a custom adapter with a $R_c$ filter. One 1.67\times 1.26 deg$^2$ field was imaged with a pixel resolution of 1.79 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$. Typical full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the stellar point spread function (PSF) values in the images were 2–3 arcsec.

We used Box-fitting Least Squares method (BLS, Kovács et al. 2002) to search for periodic transit-like signals in our time-series and found a significant peak in the periodogram of the star KPS-1 (KPS-TF3-663 = 2MASS 11004017+6457504 = GSC 4148-0138 = UCAC4775-030421, Figure 2 and Table 1) located in the TF3 field. We detected five $\sim 0.01$ mag transit-like events, two of them were full, which allowed us estimate the period as $\sim 1.7$ days. The phase folded light curve with this period is shown in Figure 3. The details of data reduction, transiting signals search and research of exoplanet candidates can be found in Burdanov et al. (2016).

![Figure 2. Finding chart including KPS-1 host star as obtained with the MTM-500 telescope in V band.](image)

| Table 1. General information about KPS-1. |
|-----------------------------------------|
| Identifiers | KPS-1 |
| KPS-TF3-663$^a$ | 2MASS11004017+6457504 |
| UCAC4775-030421 | |
| RA (J2000) | 11h 00m 40.176s |
| DEC (J2000) | +64d 57m 50.47s |
| Bmag$^b$ | 13.949 |
| Vmag$^b$ | 13.033 |
| Jmag$^c$ | 11.410 |

$^a$Exoplanet candidate ID from Burdanov et al. (2016)
$^b$Data from the APASS catalog (Henden et al. 2016)
$^c$Data from the 2MASS catalog (Cutri et al. 2003)
2.2. Photometric follow-up observations

We conducted a set of photometric follow-up runs to validate transit-like events visible in our wide field data, check for presence of the secondary minimum to reject possible eclipsing binary scenario and then to analyze the shape of the transit signal. For this purpose we used a network of telescopes established within the framework of the KPS survey (for a full list of telescopes see Burdanov et al. 2016).

To determine the system’s parameters, we used only high-precision photometric observations. These observations were conducted with the 1-m T100 telescope located at the TÜBİTAK National Observatory (Turkey) and the 1.65-m telescope located at the Molėtai Astronomical Observatory (Lithuania).

T100 is the 1-m Ritchey-Chrétien telescope at the TÜBİTAK National Observatory of Turkey located at an altitude of 2500 m above the sea level. The telescope is equipped with a high quality Spectral Instruments 1100 CCD detector, which has 4096x4037 matrix of 15 $\mu$m-sized pixels. It was cooled down to -95 °C with a Cryo-cooler. The pixel scale of the telescope is 0.31 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$, that translates into 21.5×21.5 arcmin$^2$ field of view (FOV). We used 2×2 binning in order to reduce the read-out time to 15 seconds, which also resulted in a better timing resolution. The star image was slightly defocused to limit the systematic noise originating from pixel to pixel sensitivity variations. To compensate the defocussing, the exposure time was increased to 26, 13, and 14 seconds in the ASAHI$^3$ SDSS g’, r’, and i’ filters respectively in the transit observations of KPS-1b on 17 April 2016. A Johnson $R_c$ filter was used to observe a transit of KPS-1b on 31 March 2016 with 90 seconds exposure time. T100 has a very precise track-

---

$^3$ www.asahi-spectra.com/opticalfilters/sdss.html
ing system, improved even further by its auto-guiding system based on the short-exposure images acquired by an SBIG ST-402M camera. Thanks to this guiding system, the target was maintained within a few pixels during both observing runs. Data reduction consisted of standard calibration steps (bias, dark and flat-field corrections) and subsequent aperture photometry using IRAF/DAOPHOT (Tody 1986). Comparison stars and aperture size were selected manually to ensure the best photometric quality in terms of the flux standard deviation of the check star 2MASS J10595770+6455208, i.e. non-variable star similar in terms of magnitude and color to the target star.

Observations of KPS-1b transit on 29 April 2016 were conducted at the Molėtai Astronomical Observatory (MAO, Lithuania) with the 1.65-m Ritchey-Chrétien telescope. The telescope is equipped with the Apogee Alta U47-MB thermoelectrically cooled CCD camera, which has 1024x1024 matrix of 13 µm-sized pixels. The pixel scale of the telescope is 0.51 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$, what gives 8.7x8.7 arcmin$^2$ FOV. Observations were conducted using a Johnson B filter with 60 seconds exposure time. The observed images were processed with the Muniwin program v. 2.1.19 of the software package C-Munipack (Hroch 2014). Reduction also consisted of standard calibration steps and subsequent aperture photometry.

Obtained light curves show 1.3%-depth achromatic transits. The light curves with imposed models and used baseline models (see section 3.2 for details) are presented in Figure 4.

As part of the KPS exoplanet candidates vetting, we perform photometric observations during the times of possible secondary minimums. Visual inspections of such light curves help to reveal obvious eclipsing binary nature of some of the candidates (for example, KPS-TF1-3154 and KPS-TF2-11789 from Burdanov et al. 2016). We observed KPS-1 at the expected time of secondary minimum on 15 May 2015. We used the 279-mm Celestron C11 telescope at the Acton Sky Portal (Acton, MA USA) in blue-blocking exoplanet filter and binned per 7 min intervals.

2.3. Speckle-interferometric observations

On 7 June 2015, we conducted speckle-interferometric observations of KPS-1 host-star with the 6-m telescope of the SAO RAS. We used a speckle interferometer based on EMCCD (Maksimov et al. 2009) with a new Andor iXon Ultra DU-897-CS0 detector. Observations were carried out in a field of 4.5x4.5 arcsec$^2$ in 800 nm filter with a bandwidth of 100 nm. We obtained two series of speckle images with exposure times of 20 and 100 milliseconds. During the analysis of power spectra we did not find any components at an angular distance up to 0.04 arcsec with a brightness difference of up to 3 magnitudes and at a distance of up to 0.06 arcsec with a brightness difference of up to 4 magnitudes (see Figure 6).

2.4. Spectroscopic observations

We carried out spectroscopic follow-up observations of KPS-1 with the SOPHIE high-resolution spectrograph mounted on the 1.93-m telescope at the Haute-Provence Observatory in south-eastern France (Bouchy et al. 2009). This instrument provides high-precision
radial velocity measurements, which allowed us to determine the planetary nature of the event and then to characterize the secured planet by measuring its mass and constraining its eccentricity.

Observations of KPS-1 with SOPHIE were conducted in the High-Efficiency mode (resolving power $R = 40000$). Ten spectra were secured between March and July 2016, with exposure times ranging from 1150 to 2340 seconds depending on the weather conditions. The SOPHIE automatic pipeline was used to extract the spectra. SNR per pixel at 550 nm were between 13 and 25 depending on the exposures, with typical values around 23. The weighted cross-correlation function (CCF) with a G2-type numerical mask was used to measure the radial velocities (Baranne et al. 1996; Pepe et al. 2002). Due to poor SNRs, we removed 12 bluer of the 38 spectral orders from the CCF; this improved the accuracy of the radial-velocity measurement but did not significantly change the result by comparison to CCFs made on the whole SOPHIE spectral range.

We computed the error bars on radial velocities and the CCF bisectors following Boisse et al. (2010). Moonlight affected six spectra, but this contamination was corrected using the second fiber aperture pointing the sky during the observations (Pollacco et al. 2008; Hébrard et al. 2008). It allowed us to introduce large radial velocity corrections from 100 up to 650 m/s.

The radial velocity measurements and the CCF bisectors are provided in Table 2 and are shown in Figure 7 with the imposed Keplerian fits and the residuals.

The radial velocity measurements have a semi-amplitude around $K \sim 200$ m/s. Their variations are in phase with the transit ephemeris obtained from photometry, implying a Jupiter mass for the companion. We used different stellar masks (F0 or K5) to measure radial velocities and obtained similar amplitudes to those obtained with the G2 mask. We found no indication that these variations are caused by blended stars of different spectral types. Also there are no trends of the bisector spans as a function of the measured radial velocities (see Figure 8), what strengthens our conclusion that KPS-1 har-

| Epoch (BJD - 2 450 000) | RV (km/s) | $\sigma_{RV}$ (km/s) | Bisector (km/s) |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 7465.5366                | -15.722   | 0.013                | -0.057          |
| 7466.4585                | -15.915   | 0.011                | -0.060          |
| 7468.4725                | -15.725   | 0.021                | -0.015          |
| 7515.5258                | -16.014   | 0.022                | -0.009          |
| 7539.3881                | -16.023   | 0.009                | -0.013          |
| 7541.3926                | -15.994   | 0.009                | -0.024          |
| 7576.3995                | -15.675   | 0.015                | -0.048          |
| 7585.3637                | -15.966   | 0.017                | -0.067          |
| 7586.3709                | -15.638   | 0.012                | -0.027          |
| 7587.3628                | -16.009   | 0.013                | -0.006          |

Figure 6. Auto-correlation function derived from the power spectrum by Fourier transform of KPS-1 star are calculated, translated to the $3\sigma$ detection limit $\Delta m_3$ and plotted as a function of radius.

Figure 7. SOPHIE radial velocity measurements of KPS-1 phase folded at the 1.7 days period of the exoplanet with the imposed best-fit Keplerian model.
bors a giant transiting exoplanet and velocity variations are not produced neither by stellar activity nor blended stars.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Stellar parameters from spectroscopy

SOPHIE spectra were used to obtain the stellar parameters of KPS-1. Spectra were corrected for the radial velocities, then set in the rest frame and co-added. We analyzed isolated spectral lines in the Fourier space to deduce $v \sin i$ and the macroscopic velocity $v_{\text{mac}}$. Fitting of the observational spectra to the synthetic one was done using the SME package (Valenti & Piskunov 1996). Through the iterative process, we obtained the metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}]$, the stellar effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ using the hydrogen lines, log $g_*$ using the Ca I and Mg I lines. Obtained results are presented in Table 3.

| Spectral type | K1 |
|---------------|----|
| $T_{\text{eff}}$ | 5165$\pm$90 K |
| log $g_*$      | 4.25$\pm$0.12 cgs |
| $[\text{Fe/H}]$ | 0.22$\pm$0.13 |
| $v_{\text{mac}}$ | $\sim$ 1 km/s |
| $v \sin i$     | 5.1$\pm$1.0 km/s |

3.2. Global modeling of the data

We conducted a combined analysis of our radial velocity data obtained with a G2-type numerical mask (see sub-section 2.4) and available high-precision photometric light curves to put constraints on the KPS-1 system parameters. We used the Markov Chain Monte-Carlo (MCMC) method implemented in the code described in Gillon et al. 2012. The code uses a Keplerian model by Murray & Correia 2010 and a transiting light curve model by Mandel & Agol 2002 to simultaneously treat all the available data.

Each light curve was multiplied by a different baseline model – a polynomial with respect to the external parameters of the time-series (time, airmass, mean FWHM, background values, position of the stars on the CCD or combinations of these parameters). These models help to account for photometric variations related to external instrumental or environmental effects. We used minimization of the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC, Schwarz 1978) to select proper baseline models. Used baselines models can be found in Figure 4.

We used this set of parameters, which were perturbed randomly at each step of the chains (jump parameters):

- the orbital period $P$;
- the transit width (from first to last contact) $W_{14}$;
- the mid-transit time $T_0$;
- the ratio of the planet and star areas ($R_p/R_*$)$^2$, where the planetary radius is $R_p$ and the stellar radius is $R_*$;
- the impact parameter $b' = a \cos i_p/R_*$ (if orbital eccentricity $e = 0$), where $a$ is the semi-major axis and $i_p$ is the orbital inclination;
- the parameter $K_2 = K \sqrt{1 - e^2} P^{1/3}$, where $K$ is the radial velocity orbital semi-amplitude. $K_2$ is used instead of $K$ to minimize the correlation of the obtained uncertainties;
- $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$ and $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$ parameters, where $\omega$ is the argument of periastron;
- the effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ of the host star and its metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}]$;
- the combinations $c_1 = 2u_1 + u_2$ and $c_2 = u_1 - 2u_2$ of the quadratic limb-darkening coefficients $u_1$ and $u_2$. To minimize the correlation of the obtained uncertainties, the combinations of limb-darkening coefficients $u_1$ and $u_2$ were used (Holman et al. 2006).

Uniform non-informative prior distributions were assumed for the jump parameters for which we have no prior constraints.

Before the main analysis, we converted existing timings in $HJD_{\text{UTC}}$ standard to the more practical $BJD_{\text{TDB}}$ (see Eastman et al. 2010 for details) and multiplied initial photometric errors by the correction factor.
\( CF = \beta_w \times \beta_r \). Factor \( \beta_w \) allows proper estimation of the white noise in our photometric time-series. It equals to the ratio of residuals standard deviation and mean photometric error. Factor \( \beta_r \) allows us to consider red noise in our time-series and equals to the largest value of the standard deviation of the binned and unbinned residuals for different bin sizes.

Five Markov chains with a length of 100,000 steps and with 20\% burn-in phase were performed. Each MCMC chain was started with randomly perturbed versions of the best BLS solution. We checked their convergences with using the statistical test of Gelman & Rubin (1992) which was less than 1.11 for each jump parameter. Jump parameters and Kepler’s third law were used to calculate stellar density \( \rho_\star \) at each chain step (see e.g. Seager & Mallén-Ornelas 2003, Winn 2010). Then we calculated the stellar mass \( M_\star \) using \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and [Fe/H] from spectroscopy and an observational law \( M_\star(\rho_\star, T_{\text{eff}}, [\text{Fe/H}]) \) (Enoch et al. 2010; Gillon et al. 2011). A sample of detached binary systems by Southworth (2011) was used to calibrate the empirical law. Then we derived the stellar radius \( R_\star \) using \( \rho_\star \) and \( M_\star \). After that we deduced planet parameters from the set of jump parameters, \( M_p \) and \( R_p \).

We preformed two analyses: first, where eccentricity was allowed to float and second, where the orbit was circular. We adopt the results of the circular assumption as our nominal solutions and place a 3\( \sigma \) upper limit on orbital eccentricity of 0.09. Derived parameters of the KPS-1 system are shown in Table 4.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We presented a new transiting hot Jupiter KPS-1b discovered by the prototype Kourovka Planet Search (KPS) project, which used wide-field CCD data gathered by an amateur astronomer. KPS-1b is a typical hot Jupiter with a mass of 1.090\(+0.087\,-0.086\) \( M_{J\text{up}} \) and a radius of 1.03\(+0.13\,-0.12\) \( R_{J\text{up}} \) resulting in a mean density of 0.98\(+0.27\,-0.34\) \( \rho_{J\text{up}} \). However, a period of 1.7 days is relatively short comparing to the majority of other known hot Jupiters (Han et al. 2014).

After this very promising discovery, we upgraded the original RASA telescope setup. A FLI ML16200 CCD camera (Finger Lakes Instrumentation, Lima, NY, USA) was installed. It has an area approximately twice that of the SBIG ST-8300M. The RASA telescope was moved to a Losmandy G11 mount (Hollywood General Machining Inc., Burbank, CA, USA). To increase sky coverage, four fields are imaged now (FOV: 2.52 \( \times \) 2.02 deg\(^2\) per field) on a cycle basis to obtain an overall FOV of 8.0 \( \times \) 2.5 deg\(^2\). The adjacent fields keep a constant right ascension while the declination is changed, which prevented problems with the equatorial mount when meridian flipping from east to west. The image scale increased from 1.8 to 2.02 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\).

Instead of autoguiding, the telescope was resynchronized before imaging field \#1 with a plate solve image. CCD Commander\(^5\) control software scripts working with TheSkyX was used for multi-field targeting and plate solve resychung. Three images with 40 second exposures are taken per field to allow for a 3-point median filter to be applied on the processed photometry light curves to reduce noise. The overall cadence of the four-field cycle is about 10-11 minutes.

From what had been learned from the KPS survey, the Acton Sky Portal based exoplanet survey telescope now preferably targets the high star density fields of the Milky Way to increase the odds of discovering stars with hot Jupiter exoplanets. This new survey is called the Galactic Plane eXoplanet Survey (GPX). The goal of GPX is to record \( \sim \)150 hours of data over a three-month period while the targeted high-density star fields were observable. This is possible because of the high 2.02 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\) resolution where other low resolution surveys (13.7-23 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\) \( \sim \)100 hours of data over a three-month period while the targeted high-density star fields were observable. This is possible because of the high 2.02 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\) resolution where other low resolution surveys (13.7-23 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\) in case of HAT, WASP, KELT and XO) would encounter challenges because of the blending false-positives scenarios. Similar in terms of the pixel scale (5 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\)), the NGTS survey observes fields which are mostly more than 20 deg from the Galactic plane (Wheatley et al. 2017).

The K-pipe data processing pipeline (Burdanov et al. 2016) was also improved for GPX. With the three images per field data, a 3-point median filter of the post photometry processed star light curves was added to Astrokit (Burdanov et al. 2014) to reduce photometric noise. Also SYSREM algorithm by Tamuz et al. 2005 (implemented in Vartools package, see Hartman & Bakos 2016) post processing of light curves was applied to remove systemic effects.

To date, the GPX survey has collected over 750 hours of data from 2016 to mid 2017 and has identified several candidate stars with potential hot Jupiter exoplanets. A follow-up narrow field telescope at Acton Sky Portal is used to determine whether the transits identified are real, and performs a photometry test to determine if the transit depth is achromatic (consistent over different filter bands) using pairs of rotating filters (\( g' \) & \( i' \), \( V \) & \( I_c \), \( B \) & \( R_c \), etc.), similar to methods used by the XO and KELT surveys. Several amateur and professional observers in Europe, USA, and Russia help in verifying transits. Recently, the follow-up network (McLeod

\(^5\) Matt Thomas, www.ccdcommander.com
Table 4. Parameters of KPS1-b system.

| Parameters from global MCMC analysis | e = 0 (adopted) | e ≥ 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------|
| Orbital period P [d]                 | 1.706291 ± 0.000059 | 1.706290 ± 0.000062 |
| Transit width W14 [d]                | 0.07001 ± 0.0023 | 0.0698 ± 0.0022 |
| Mid-transit time T0 [BJD TDB]        | 2457508.37019 ± 0.00079 | 2457508.37019 ± 0.00082 |
| $b' = a \cos i_p/R_\star [R_\star]$ | 0.754 ± 0.040 | 0.759 ± 0.043 |
| Planet/star area ratio ($R_p/R_\star)^2$ [%] | 1.307 ± 0.085 | 1.300 ± 0.080 |
| $K_2$ [m/s]                         | 237.4 ± 6.5 | 236.6 ± 6.5 |
| $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sin \omega$   | 0 (fixed) | 0.07 ± 0.11 |
| $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \cos \omega$   | 0 (fixed) | 0.040 ± 0.087 |
| $T_{\text{eff}}^a$ [K]               | 5165 ± 90 | 5165 ± 90 |
| Fe/H$^a$[dex]                       | 0.22 ± 0.13 | 0.22 ± 0.13 |

Deduced stellar parameters

| Parameters from global MCMC analysis | e = 0 (adopted) | e ≥ 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------|
| Mass $M_\star$ [$M_\odot$]           | 0.899 ± 0.091 | 0.894 ± 0.090 |
| Radius $R_\star$ [$R_\odot$]         | 0.907 ± 0.086 | 0.914 ± 0.086 |
| Mean density $\rho_\star$ [$\rho_\odot$] | 1.19 ± 0.29 | 1.17 ± 0.28 |
| Surface gravity log $g_\star$ [cgs]  | 4.47 ± 0.06 | 4.47 ± 0.06 |

Deduced planet parameters

| Parameters from global MCMC analysis | e = 0 (adopted) | e ≥ 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------|
| $K$ [m/s]                            | 198.7 ± 5.5 | 198.1 ± 5.5 |
| Planet/star radius ratio $R_p/R_\star$ | 0.1143 ± 0.0037 | 0.1140 ± 0.0034 |
| $b$ [$R_\star$]                      | 0.754 ± 0.049 | 0.751 ± 0.049 |
| Orbital semi-major axis $a$ [au]      | 0.0269 ± 0.0010 | 0.0269 ± 0.0010 |
| Orbital eccentricity $e$              | 0 (fixed) | 0.017 ± 0.022 < 0.09 |
| Orbital inclination $i_p$ [deg]       | 83.20 ± 0.88 | 83.19 ± 0.90 |
| Argument of periastron $\omega$ [deg] | - | 60.470 ± 0.006 |
| Surface gravity log $g_p$ [cgs]       | 3.42 ± 0.09 | 3.42 ± 0.09 |
| Mean density $\rho_p$ [$\rho_{\text{Jup}}$] | 0.99 ± 0.37 | 0.98 ± 0.36 |
| Mass $M_p$ [$M_{\text{Jup}}$]        | 1.090 ± 0.086 | 1.089 ± 0.086 |
| Radius $R_p$ [$R_{\text{Jup}}$]      | 1.03 ± 0.13 | 1.04 ± 0.13 |
| Roche limit $a_R$ [au]                | 0.0113 ± 0.0015 | 0.0113 ± 0.0015 |
| $a/a_R$                               | 2.37 ± 0.25 | 2.37 ± 0.25 |
| Equilibrium temperature $T_{eq}$ [K]  | 1459 ± 56 | 1451 ± 58 |
| Irradiation $I_p$ [$I_{\text{Earth}}$] | 729 ± 130 | 736 ± 130 |

$^a$Used as priors from the spectroscopic analysis.
et al. 2017) for the KELT exoplanet survey (Siverd et al. 2012) has started to follow up the brighter GPX candidates. That network, KELT-FUN, obtains photometric confirmation of the shape and ephemeris of the transits to rule out false positives. The candidate GPX stars are currently waiting for spectroscopic follow-up observations with the SOPHIE spectrograph (Bouchy et al. 2009) and Tillinghast Reflector Echelle Spectrograph (TRES, Szegtyorgy & Furész 2007; Fúrész et al. 2008) via KELT project time to determine whether the achromatic transits are due to hot Jupiters or other companion stars.

We would like to encourage amateur astronomers, who are learning and/or are now very skilled in photometric techniques, to participate in science. One organization, the AAVSO, can provide educational materials to train the amateur astronomer interested in using astro CCD imaging to produce scientific data on variable stars and transiting exoplanets. Research tools, described in this article and references herein, provide one means for any engaged person to possibly find transiting exoplanets with the help of some relatively affordable instruments.
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