Nuclear entry, oligomerization, and DNA binding of the Drosophila heat shock transcription factor are regulated by a unique nuclear localization sequence
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In normally growing Drosophila cultured cells the Drosophila heat shock transcription factor (dHSF) is localized in the cytosol and translocates into the nucleus after heat shock. In the cytosol of nonshocked cells, the dHSF is present as a monomer that cannot bind DNA. Upon stress, the dHSF enters the nucleus where it is observed to be a trimer. A novel nuclear localization sequence (NLS) in the dHSF was found to be responsible for stress-dependent nuclear entry. Deletion of the NLS prevents nuclear entry, as expected, yet surprisingly also allows constitutive oligomerization and DNA binding in the cytosol. Further analysis of the NLS by mutagenesis suggests that the two functions of nuclear entry and oligomerization are separable in that distinct residues present in the NLS are responsible for each. Mutations in certain basic residues completely block nuclear entry, as expected for a constitutive NLS. In addition, two residues were found in the NLS that, when altered, allowed constitutive nuclear entry of dHSF independent of stress. These residues may interact with a putative cellular component or possibly other domains of the HSF to prevent nuclear entry in normally growing cells. The NLS can also function autonomously to target a β-galactosidase fusion protein into the nucleus in a heat shock-dependent fashion.
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The activity of specific transcription factors can be regulated at several levels, including DNA binding and transcription activation [Keegan et al. 1986; for review, see Ptashne 1988]. Regulation of DNA binding is often the result of cytoplasmic sequestration through association with other proteins [for review, see Baeuerle and Henkel 1994]. For example, steroid receptors are localized in the cytoplasm in association with other proteins that restrict their entry into the nucleus [Picard and Yamamoto 1987; for review, see Hanover 1992]. When the appropriate hormone ligand is present, these complexes are disrupted and the receptor can enter the nucleus to activate transcription [Picard and Yamamoto 1987]. Similarly, the NF-κB/rel/dorsal family of transcription factors is tethered in the cytosol by association with IkB proteins [Baeuerle and Baltimore 1988; Steward 1989; Beg et al. 1992; for review, see Blank et al. 1992; Baeuerle and Henkel 1994]. In response to the appropriate stimulus, IkB becomes phosphorylated and degraded, allowing NF-κB to enter the nucleus [Henkel et al. 1993; Beg and Baldwin 1994].

Another interesting example of signal-induced transcription factor activation is the heat shock transcription factor (HSF). The activity of the HSF from various organisms from yeast to man is regulated by heat and other stressors [for review, see Morimoto et al. 1992; Voellmy 1994]. HSF activation in insects and vertebrates is thought to include a monomer to trimer transition and, in the case of vertebrates, stress-dependent nuclear localization [Clos et al. 1990; Baler et al. 1993; Sarge et al. 1993; for review, see Voellmy 1994]. With regard to the Drosophila HSF (dHSF), earlier reports suggested a potentially more complex situation. Biochemical fractionation studies showed that the dHSF is present in the cytosol as a monomer in nonshocked cells [Clos et al. 1990]. Indirect immunocytochemical staining showed, however, that the factor is present in the nucleus in both nonshocked and heat-shocked cells [Westwood et al. 1991].

Despite the conserved function of the HSF in eukaryotes, there is little overall protein sequence homology. For example, all HSFs from yeast to man bind efficiently
to the highly conserved heat shock response elements [HSE], which consist of an array of nGAAn repeats; yet they share only ~30% amino acid homology in the DNA-binding domain [Sorger and Pelham 1988; Wiederecht et al. 1988; Clos et al. 1990; Scharff et al. 1990; Gallo et al. 1991; Rabindran et al. 1991; Sarge et al. 1991; Schuetz et al. 1991]. A second region of homology includes a conserved heptad leucine-isoleucine repeat [LIR], which is responsible for the oligomerization of the factor and is adjacent to the DNA-binding domain [Sorger and Nelson 1989]. Vertebrate, Drosophila, and plant HSFs all contain a third region of homology, which is also an LIR at the carboxyl terminus [Clos et al. 1990; Scharff et al. 1990; Gallo et al. 1991; Rabindran et al. 1991; Sarge et al. 1991; Schuetz et al. 1991]. The ScHSF does not possess this third homology region and binds constitutively to DNA [Jakobsen and Pelham 1988; Sorger et al. 1988; Wiederecht et al. 1988]. This fact raises the interesting possibility that the third LIR might be involved in regulation of the stress-induced monomer-to-trimer transition observed in Drosophila and vertebrate HSFs. Mutations in three conserved hydrophobic amino acids within the carboxy-terminal LIR of the human HSF [hHSF] and deletion of the homologous region in the dHSF resulted in constitutive trimerization and DNA binding of these factors when assayed in whole-cell extracts of transiently transfected cells [Rabindran et al. 1993].

In this report we describe the identification and characterization of the nuclear localization sequence [NLS] domain of the dHSF that is essential for stress-dependent regulation of nuclear localization, oligomerization, and DNA binding in Drosophila SL2 cells. The NLS also responds to stress when placed in the context of another protein, suggesting that it may function autonomously. Furthermore, we show that cytoplasmic sequestration of the dHSF in nonstressed cells is saturable and can be overcome by high levels of protein expression, leading to the interesting prospect that a limiting cytosolic component may be responsible for sequestration.

**Results**

**dHSF nuclear entry is regulated by stress**

In Drosophila, biochemical fractionation studies of SL2 cells have shown that the dHSF is found in the cytosol of normally growing cells; this is also true for exogenously expressed vertebrate HSF in these cells [Clos et al. 1990; Westwood et al. 1991; Baler et al. 1993; Sarge et al. 1993]. Immunocytochemical studies of nonstressed HeLa cells and mouse 3T3 fibroblasts have shown that HSF1 is distributed in a diffuse pattern over the cytoplasm and nucleus [Sarge et al. 1993]. Upon heat shock, HSF1 enters the nucleus of both 3T3 and HeLa cells [Sarge et al. 1993]. In the case of dHSF, however, the immunocytochemical studies performed with Drosophila SL2 cells demonstrate that the factor is localized within the nucleus under all growth conditions, differing from the cell fractionation analysis [Westwood et al. 1991].

The subcellular localization of the dHSF described here is similar to that observed in mammalian cells. A combination of four monoclonal antibodies raised against the recombinant dHSF was used to visualize the subcellular distribution of dHSF in SL2 cells prior to and after heat shock. Shown in Figure 1 [NS] is a representative staining pattern of dHSF localization in nonstressed cells. Figure 1 [NS] shows an apparent perinuclear compartmentalization; in some cells this compartmentalization is clearly localized to one side of the nucleus. A second, less frequent, staining pattern is also observed with dHSF localization distributed evenly between the cytosol and the nucleus, similar to mammalian HSF1.

After 20 min of heat shock, the HSF is no longer observed in the cytosol and nuclear envelope region; it is now localized within the nucleus in a punctate pattern [Fig. 1 [HS]]. The nature and composition of these granules are unknown. This analysis demonstrates that the dHSF is primarily localized in the cytoplasm in SL2 cells growing at normal growth temperatures and suggests

![Figure 1. Localization of Drosophila HSF in SL2 cells. This figure shows immunofluorescent photomicrographs positioned below a phase contrast photomicrograph. [NS] Nonstressed cells; [HS] heat-shocked cells. The cells were stained with a 1:100 dilution of anti-dHSF monoclonal antibodies.](image-url)
that a stress-regulated NLS domain may exist in the dHSF.

Identification of a stress-regulated NLS in the dHSF

To identify the NLS of the dHSF, initially we expressed various deletion mutant constructs under the control of the actin 5C promoter in Schneider (SL2) cells. The high levels of expression generated by the actin 5C promoter resulted in constitutive nuclear localization of a significant fraction of the exogenously expressed factors (data not shown). To avoid problems associated with overexpression, we generated SL2 cell lines in which the expression level of the wild-type dHSF and deletion mutants were regulated by the Drosophila metallothionein promoter (see Materials and Methods). Because it is known that high concentrations of heavy metal ions induce the heat shock response (for review, see Morimoto et al. 1992), we determined the concentration range of CuSO₄ in which the activity of the endogenous dHSF is regulated properly (Fig. 2). It was found that concentrations of CuSO₄ up to 5 mM and 5 hr of incubation did not induce the endogenous dHSF to bind DNA. In general, 1 hr of incubation with 1 mM CuSO₄ provided sufficient levels of exogenous dHSF expression for the purpose of these studies. Under these conditions the exogenous dHSF is expressed at higher levels than the endogenous HSF. This allows one to selectively observe exogenous expression by appropriate dilution of the primary antibody used in Western blotting experiments (see Materials and Methods).

Wild-type exogenous dHSF (construct N691 in Fig. 3C,D,G) is distributed evenly over the cytoplasm and nucleus in nonshocked cells (Fig. 3C), and upon heat shock it is localized exclusively in the nucleus (Fig. 3D) in a characteristic punctate pattern. Identical conditions were employed to determine the intracellular localization of 10 HSF deletion mutants and a summary of the results is shown in Figure 3G. Progressive deletion of the dHSF from the carboxyl terminus to amino acid 461 did not alter the subcellular distribution of the factor in either nonshocked or heat-shocked cells (Fig. 3G, constructs N589, N536, and N461).

The subcellular distribution of two internal deletions and one amino terminal-deleted HSF was also examined (Fig. 3G, constructs Δ3h, ΔBst, and 33C, respectively) and showed staining patterns similar to those of N691 under normal and stressed conditions (Fig. 3G). Removal of the conserved LIR at the carboxy-terminal region (Fig. 3G, construct Δ3h) did not affect the subcellular distribution of the factor in response to stress. Therefore, unlike human HSF2 (Sheldon and Kingston 1993), the LIR does not play an obvious role in regulating the subcellular distribution of dHSF. An internal deletion in the dHSF between amino acids 336 and 403 (Fig. 3G, construct ASaf) and a further deletion from 460 to 404 (Fig. 3G, construct N404), however, resulted in the loss of the nuclear localization in response to heat shock. Further analysis of this region demonstrated that NLS function was localized between amino acids 393 and 420. Deletion of this region (Fig. 3G, construct ΔNLS) completely blocked nuclear entry of dHSF in response to stress (Fig. 3E,F). Deletion of sequences 414 to 424 immediately adjacent to the bipartite NLS motif (393 to 414) had no effect on nuclear entry; in addition, none of the dHSF deletion mutations were capable of constitutive nuclear entry (data not shown).

To identify the functional residues present in the NLS

Figure 2. Effect of CuSO₄ on endogenous dHSF. Cells were incubated with 1 mM CuSO₄ for indicated times in hours and DNA-binding activity (DNA) and subcellular distribution (Western) of endogenous HSF was analyzed in the cytosol (C) and nuclear (Nu) extracts of the nonshocked (n) and heat-shocked (h) cells.
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Figure 3. Subcellular distribution of dHSF deletion mutants after transfection into SL2 cells. Immunofluorescence micrographs of nonshocked (25°C) and heat-shocked SL2 cells (30 min at 37°C) in which vector alone (A,B); full-length dHSF (N691) (C,D); NLS-deleted dHSF (ΔNLS) (E,F) are shown. (G) Summarized results of immunofluorescence analysis of expressed dHSF deletions in SL2 cells. dHSF derivatives are represented schematically by shaded boxes with numbers indicating amino acid positions. (cyt) Staining pattern such as that shown in E or F, indicating an exclusively cytoplasmic localization. (nu) Staining pattern as seen in D, indicating exclusively nuclear localization. (cyt/nu) Staining pattern such as that shown in C, indicating an evenly distributed staining pattern between cytoplasm and nucleus. For each construct, cells were transiently transfected with plasmid DNAs encoding the indicated exogenous factor under control of the metallothionein promoter. Each protein was expressed by induction with 1 mM CuSO₄ for 1 hr. Exogenous factors were stained with a 1:1000 dilution of the anti-dHSF antibodies (allowing the detection of exogenous factor only).
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Figure 4. Mutational analysis of the NLS. (A–N) Single or multiple point mutations were generated as described in Materials and Methods. Each mutant was expressed by addition of 1 mM CuSO₄ for 1 hr to stable cell lines carrying the appropriate constructs under control of the metallothionein promoter. Non-shocked (25°C) and heat-shocked cells (37°C for 30 min) were stained with anti-dHSF antibodies as described in Materials and Methods. Mutant nomenclature: The first letter indicates the wild-type amino acid, the number shows the position, and the second letter is the mutant amino acid. Amino acids are in single-letter code.

dHSFs enter the nucleus constitutively (Fig. 4K, L, M, N for P₄₀₆), suggesting that residues Q₄₀₃ and E₄₀₆ play an important role in retaining the HSF in the cytosol in the absence of stress.

Nuclear localization of β-galactosidase–HSF fusion proteins

To determine whether the NLS could regulate the nuclear transport of another protein, the dHSF was fused to Escherichia coli β-galactosidase. Anti β-galactosidase antibodies were used to localize the resulting fusion pro-
teins. β-Galactosidase alone expressed in SL2 cells localizes in the cytosol under normal and heat-shocked conditions [data not shown]. Fusions that include the entire HSF [Z–2C] shows a staining pattern very similar to that of the full-length dHSF [N691] [Fig. 5A, nonshocked, B, heat-shocked]. The intracellular localization of fusions that include amino acids 165–691 [Z–165C], 220–691 [Z–220C], 360–691 [Z–360C], 2–536 [Z–N536], and 2–460 [Z–N460] were all comparable to the Z–2C fusion under both normal and heat-shocked conditions [data not shown]. In contrast, Z–ΔNLS and all other fusions in which the region between residues 393 and 420 were deleted localize exclusively in the cytosol of both non-shocked and heat-shocked cells [Fig. 5C,D for Z–ΔNLS; data not shown]. These observations support the previous deletion analysis that the region between residues 393 and 420 contains a stress-regulated NLS. It is important to note, however, that high levels of expression of the fusion proteins containing the NLS result in constitutive nuclear localization of a fraction of the expressed fusion protein [data not shown].

To examine whether the region containing the NLS can function autonomously and independently of other HSF sequences, fusion proteins were constructed that join β-galactosidase and residues 393–424 of either the wild-type NLS or two singly mutated forms, K405 to M and Q403 to L. The subcellular distribution of these fusion proteins in SL2 cells was analyzed. The fusion Z–NLS [wild-type NLS sequence] is primarily cytoplasmic when expressed at low levels in nonshocked cells [Fig. 6A]. If Z–NLS was expressed in cells at high levels, a partial or total nuclear localization of the fusion was observed in the nonshocked cells [data not shown]. Z–NLS was, however, always localized within nuclei of heat-shocked cells [Fig. 6B]. The fusion Z–NLS K405 to M was detected exclusively in the cytosol of either non-shocked or heat-shocked cells [Fig. 6C,D], even at high levels of protein expression. The fusion Z–NLS Q403L showed stress-independent constitutive nuclear localization. Although Z–NLS Q403L was largely localized in the nucleus in the nonshocked cells, there were some examples where the fusion protein was evenly distributed between the cytoplasm and nucleus [data not shown]. Usually, however, the number of cells with exclusive nuclear staining was significantly greater than those evenly distributed throughout the cell. The overall staining patterns observed with these three fusion proteins indicate that the region between residues 393 and 424 contains sufficient sequence information to regulate stress-dependent nuclear translocation.

**DNA binding and subcellular fractionation of deletion mutants**

DNA binding and subcellular fractionation analysis of the deletion mutants was performed by also regulating their expression with the metallothionein promoter. Expression was induced with 1 mM CuSO₄ for 1-, 2-, and 3-hr time periods. The cells were fractionated into nuclear and cytosolic fractions and subjected to DNA-binding analysis, Western blotting, and PhosphorImager analysis as described in Materials and Methods. Increasing levels of wild-type exogenous dHSF [dH–WT] results in increasing levels of DNA-binding activity in the nuclear fraction [Nul] of nonshocked [n] cells [Fig. 7A]. In addition, higher levels of dHSF are observed in the nuclear fraction of nonshocked cells. Prolonged expression also results in significant increase in the levels of dHSF present in the cytosolic [C] fractions of nonshocked cells. Despite this significant increase in cytosolic levels, the dHSF does not bind DNA in the cytosolic fraction. Heat shock [h] results in essentially complete transport of the dHSF into the nucleus. PhosphorImager quantitation of the DNA-binding analysis is shown in the adjacent histograms [Fig. 7A–C]. CN represents the levels of DNA binding activity observed in the cytosolic fraction of nonshocked cells. Similarly, CH refers to levels of DNA binding in the cytosolic fractions of heat-shocked cells. DNA-binding activity in the nuclear fractions of nonshocked [NN] and heat-shocked [NH] are also presented in the histogram. Only in the nuclear fractions are significant levels of DNA-binding activity observed when wild-type dHSF is expressed.

These results suggest that a correlation may exist between subcellular localization and DNA-binding activity of dHSF. For this reason we examined the potential role of the NLS on the DNA-binding activity of the dHSF.
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Figure 7. Analysis of wild-type dHSF (dH-WT), NLS-deleted, and 3h-deleted forms of dHSF expression levels on subcellular distribution and DNA binding. (A) Drosophila SL2 cell line carrying stably integrated cDNA encoding dHSF under control of the Drosophila metallothionein promoter was incubated with 1 mM CuSO₄ for indicated times in hours. DNA-binding activity (DNA) and subcellular distribution (Western) of HSF was analyzed in the cytosol (C) and nuclear (Nu) extracts of the nonshocked (n) and heat-shocked (h) cells. (CN) Cytosol nonshock; (CH) cytosol heat-shock; (NN) nuclear nonshock; (NH) nuclear heat-shock. (B) Analysis of the DNA-binding activity and subcellular distribution of ΔNLS, a dHSF mutant in which the nuclear localization is deleted in stably transformed SL2 cells. The analyses shown in this panel is the same as in A. (C) Analysis of the DNA-binding activity and subcellular distribution of Δ3h, a dHSF mutant in which the LIR is deleted in stably transformed SL2 cells. The analysis is as described for A and B. To the right of A–C, the PhosphorImager quantitation of gel shifts analyses is presented as histograms.

Also expressed under the same conditions. Western analysis shows that the ΔNLS mutant remains in the cytosol regardless of its expression level (Fig. 7B). This is true whether growing under normal conditions or heat-shocked. Surprisingly, the ΔNLS present in these cytosolic fractions binds HSE with high affinity regardless of whether the cells are heat-shocked. In addition, ΔNLS present in nonshocked and heat-shocked cytosolic extracts binds DNA even at low levels of expression after only 1 hr of CuSO₄ induction. Also, there is no significant difference between the overall DNA-binding activities of nonshocked and heat-shocked extracts measured at low or high levels of ΔNLS expression (see Fig. 7B, histogram of ΔNLS). Taken together, these observations suggest that the NLS regulates nuclear entry and DNA binding.

Previous studies, however, have suggested that the conserved carboxy-terminal LIR [cLIR] regulates the DNA binding of the HSF (Rabindran et al. 1993). Therefore, we examined the DNA-binding activity of a cLIR deletion mutant [Δ3h] in this expression system.
sis of the DNA-binding properties and subcellular distribution of the Δ3h mutant at increasing levels of expression shows that the subcellular distribution of Δ3h is properly regulated when the cells are induced with CuSO₄ for 1 and 2 hr (Fig. 7C). When the cells were induced for 3 hr, a significant amount of the protein is detected in the nuclear fraction of normally growing cells (Fig. 7C, lane 3 Nu). The cytosolic fractions of all nonshocked cells expressing Δ3h show a low level of DNA-binding activity. However, there is a large increase in DNA-binding activity when the mutant is present in the nuclear fractions (Fig. 7C, histogram Δ3h). A quantitative comparison of the DNA-binding activities of Δ3h in the cytosolic and nuclear fractions shown in the histogram illustrates that Δ3h in the nuclear fraction of heat-shocked cells binds 15- to 38-fold greater to the HSE compared with Δ3h in the cytosolic fraction of nonshocked cells (Fig. 7C, cf. CN and NH). This demonstrates that the Δ3h mutant responds to heat shock in a manner similar to the dH-WT. High levels of Δ3h expression result in constitutive nuclear entry of a fraction of the expressed factor similar to that of wild-type dHSF (Fig. 7A). In both cases, the factors bind DNA with high affinity (Fig. 7A,C). The level of DNA-binding induction of Δ3h is lower than that of the dH-WT by a factor of two (as determined by PhosphorImager analysis). Otherwise, the dH-WT and Δ3h mutant respond to heat shock and overproduction essentially identically.

Comparison of the oligomeric states of dH–WT, Δ3h, and ΔNLS

Trimerization of HSF in response to heat shock is thought to be an important step in the regulation of its DNA binding activity (Peteranderl and Nelson 1992; Westwood and Wu 1993; for review, see Sorger 1991; Voellmy 1994). Therefore, we determined whether deletion of either the NLS or cLIR alters the oligomeric state of dHSF in an effort to explain the effects observed on DNA binding. The oligomeric state of the wild-type dHSF, Δ3h, and ΔNLS was determined by gel filtration chromatography. In the analysis of dH–WT and Δ3h, we employed cytosolic nonshocked and nuclear heat-shocked fractions of cells that were induced for 3 hr with CuSO₄. In the case of ΔNLS, the cytosolic nonshocked and the cytosolic heat-shocked fractions were assayed, because this mutant does not enter the nucleus. The extracts were fractionated on a Superdex 200 gel filtration column, and the expressed dHSFs were separated from the endogenous dHSF by Ni-agarose chromatography in the presence of 3 M guanidine hydrochloride (the expressed factors all have polyhistidine tags; see Materials and Methods). Results of the Western analysis on the...
column fractions are presented in Figure 8. Wild-type dHSF present in the cytosol of the nonshocked cells chromatographs in the molecular weight (MW) range of 150 kD consistent with a monomeric form [Fig. 8A, left, lanes 7–9]. Wild-type dHSF in the nuclear fraction of the heat-shocked cells chromatographs with a significantly higher apparent MW consistent with a multimeric form of the factor [Fig. 8A, right, lanes 2–5].

The cytosolic fraction of nonshocked cells expressing ΔNLS was analyzed and shown in Figure 8B. The ΔNLS chromatographs at the same high MW range as wild-type dHSF present in the nuclear fraction, consistent with a multimeric form [left, lanes 2–4]. The DNA-binding activity in these fractions was also monitored. As shown in Figure 8B, there is significant HSE binding activity in these fractions [right, lanes 2–4]. The chromatographic behavior of the ΔNLS in the cytosolic fraction of the heat-shocked cells is the same as in the cytosolic fraction of nonshocked cells (data not shown).

The Δ3h mutant present in the cytosol of nonshocked cells fractionates at a MW range consistent with a monomeric factor [Fig. 8C, left, lanes 6–9]. The DNA-binding activities in these fractions was also monitored and is shown in Figure 8C [left]. There was no apparent HSE binding activity of Δ3h in these fractions. The Δ3h present in the nuclear fraction derived from heat-shocked cells migrates at a MW similar to the wild-type dHSF present in the nuclear fraction of heat-shocked cells. The Δ3h in these fractions binds the HSE with high affinity [Fig. 8C, right, lanes 2–5].

In summary, deletion of the cLIR (Δ3h construct) does not cause constitutive oligomerization of the dHSF in the cytosol under normal growth conditions. Thus, oligomerization of Δ3h is regulated normally. However, deletion of the NLS region results in constitutive oligomerization of the factor in the cytosol of nonshocked cells. This suggests that the NLS region plays an important role in the regulation of dHSF oligomerization.

Single amino acid substitutions in the NLS result in constitutive oligomerization and DNA binding of dHSF

To further confirm that a functional NLS is required for the proper regulation of dHSF, we examined the DNA-binding properties of three selected point mutations within the NLS. The first mutation examined was the sole member of the class one mutation that contained three amino acid substitutions within the NLS region (LRR: Q399L, K400R, L404R; see above). As described earlier, these mutations do not have a deleterious effect on normal NLS function. The subcellular distribution of this mutant in the cytosolic and nuclear fractions of nonshocked and heat-shocked cells are comparable to those of wild-type dHSF (data not shown). In addition, this mutant binds to the HSE only when present in the nuclear fraction (data not shown).

The next mutations tested were those in which nuclear entry was disrupted [K405M or L404P]. K405M is detected only in the cytosolic fractions of the nonshocked or heat-shocked cells [Fig. 9A, lanes 5,6,9, 10,13,14]. The K405M mutant present in these fractions binds DNA constitutively with high affinity [Fig. 9A]. A quantitative analysis of DNA-binding activities show that K405M binds the HSE with similar affinities when derived from either nonshocked or heat-shocked cells [Fig. 9A, histogram K405M]. The subcellular distribution and DNA-binding activities of L404P mutant are very similar to K405M, indicating that single amino acid substitutions can disrupt NLS function just as well as larger deletions [Fig. 9B].

In addition to mutations that block stress-dependent nuclear entry, we examined a mutant that constitutively enters the nucleus [Q403L]. This mutant was found to reside exclusively in the nuclear fractions of both nonshocked and heat-shocked cells [Fig. 9C, lanes 7,8,11,12,15,16]. It is important to note that the amount of dHSF seen in the cytosolic fraction of the nonshocked cells remains constant throughout the time periods examined [Fig. 9C, lanes 1,5,9,13]. The DNA-binding activity of Q403L was equally strong in the nuclear fractions of nonshocked and heat-shocked cells [Fig. 9C, Q403L]. These observations suggest that the NLS region is necessary for both the regulation of dHSF localization and DNA binding in response to heat shock.

The oligomeric states of LRR, K405M, L404P, and Q403L were analyzed by gel filtration chromatography using Superdex 200. For LRR, a cytosolic fraction from nonshocked cells after 3-hr CuSO₄ induction was compared with the corresponding nuclear heat-shocked fraction [data not shown]. As expected, the cytosolic LRR chromatographs in the MW range of a monomeric form; in heat-shocked cells the LRR chromatographs in the MW range consistent with an oligomeric form of the factor [data not shown]. For K405M and L404P, the oligomerization states in the cytosolic fractions of nonshocked and heat-shocked cells were compared. K405M and L404P chromatograph in a MW range of a higher-order oligomeric structure [Fig. 9D]. Adopting a higher order oligomeric structure is required for efficient DNA binding. For Q403L, the Superdex 200 fractions from nuclear nonshocked and heat-shocked extracts were analyzed [Fig. 9D]. Q403L chromatographs in the high MW range when derived from both nonshocked and heat-shocked cells [Fig. 9D, Q403L, lanes 2–4 of NS and HS panels]. This result demonstrates that once the dHSF enters the nucleus, even under normal growth conditions, it oligomerizes and can bind DNA.

Discussion

Regulation of the stress response in eukaryotes is a complex multistep process. In Drosophila and mammals it includes nuclear transport, oligomerization, DNA binding, and possibly specific phosphorylation or dephosphorylation of the HSF [Clos et al. 1990; Baler et al. 1993; Sarge et al. 1993; for review, see Voellmy 1994; C.S. Parker, unpubl.]. All of these events occur in a rapid synchronous fashion that leads to the activation of the HSF allowing hsp genes to be transcribed. To define the do-
mains of the dHSF that are involved in this regulatory pathway, initial studies employed transient transfection studies with mutant proteins expressed at high levels. We found that this method was unreliable as overexpres-
High levels of dHSF expression with either the strong actin 5C promoter or long periods of induction of the metallothionein promoter with CuSO4 lead to nuclear entry in the absence of stress. These observations suggest that there is a threshold level of dHSF that can be sequestered in the cytoplasm. Once the factor is expressed beyond this threshold level, nuclear entry occurs. While the factor is present within the cytosol it remains as a monomer not capable of binding DNA. Once in the nucleus, regardless of the amount of dHSF, it will adopt a higher-order structure (oligomer) and bind DNA. These results demonstrate that depending upon the cellular stress conditions, dHSF has a fixed location in the cell. Furthermore, the subcellular location of the factor seems to be crucial for its DNA-binding activity. The correlation of the oligomeric state, DNA-binding activity, and subcellular location for the dHSF suggests that a common mechanism regulates all three phenomena.

The fact that overexpression of dHSF results in constitutive nuclear entry of some of the factor suggests that dHSF is under negative control in the cytosol. It is possible that cytosolic inhibitory factors, whose amount is limited, may associate with dHSF to retain it in the cytosol in an inactive monomeric state in nonstressed cells. One curious feature of the cytosolic sequestration of the dHSF is that the capacity of the cytosol to sequester dHSF increases with increasing levels of dHSF expression. This leads to the possibility that dHSF itself is involved in the regulation of the expression of some or all components of the inhibitory mechanism. Several reports have suggested that heat shock proteins might be involved in the regulation of HS activity [Voellmy 1994 and references therein]. Although there is no evidence presented here, elevated levels of dHSF that force nuclear entry might in turn lead to an increase in heat shock protein transcription and synthesis. Clearly isolation and identification of the cytosolic sequestering and inhibiting components will be of great importance in understanding the mechanism by which stress activates the dHSF.

Sequences of dHSF involved in the regulation of oligomerization and DNA binding are contained within a novel NLS

It is apparent that oligomerization of dHSF is a prerequisite step for DNA binding because only oligomeric forms of dHSF bind to the HSEs with high affinity. The mechanism by which monomer-to-oligomer transition occurs, however, is not yet understood. In one report, it was shown that deletion of the cLIR in human and Drosophila HSF results in constitutive oligomerization for each factor [Rabindran et al. 1993]. In those experiments, however, the effects of overexpression of dHSF on oligomerization and subcellular distribution of dHSF were not taken into account. The report suggested that an intramolecular interaction between cLIR and the oligomerization domain would stabilize the monomeric state of dHSF [Rabindran et al. 1993]. If this were the case then the oligomeric state of the HSF should be independent of its concentration unless some other cofactor was required to stabilize this putative interaction. We note that recombinant dHSF (expressed in bacteria) is trimeric [our unpublished observations]; this suggests that the monomer formation requires additional components that are not present in E. coli. Our observations demonstrate that the oligomeric state of wild-type dHSF corresponds to its subcellular location regardless of the level of expression. dHSF present in the cytosol is always monomeric and cannot bind DNA; that present in the nucleus is always found in a higher-order structure that can bind DNA.

The domain responsible for both the localization and oligomerization of dHSF is a novel NLS. We did not observe a significant role of the conserved third helical region (cLIR) in this process, however. In this report we demonstrate that if wild-type or various deletions of dHSF, including Δ3h, are expressed in cells at high levels, then nuclear entry occurs and DNA binding is observed only in the nuclear fractions in nonshocked cells. Our data clearly demonstrate that Δ3h goes through the same regulatory pathway as wild-type dHSF with regard to the regulation of oligomerization and DNA binding. Therefore, deletion of cLIR does not cause constitutive oligomerization and DNA binding of the dHSF.

In a more recent study it was shown, using a heterologous system, that there are at least three hydrophobic repeats (LZ1, LZ2, and LZ3) that are involved in the regulation of human HSF (hHSF) oligomerization [Zuo et al. 1994]. We cannot directly compare our observations of the dHSF regulation in the SL2 cells with those of the hHSF observed in the Xenopus oocytes because of the heterologous nature of the system used. It would be interesting to see whether mutations in the LZ regions of hHSF that caused the constitutive oligomerization of the factor in the Xenopus oocytes would have a similar effect on the regulation of the factor in human cells. At this point, however, we cannot rule out the possibility that the regulatory pathway that we describe may be a Drosophila-specific mode of regulation. Because the cLIR is one of the few sequences conserved between the vertebrate and Drosophila factors, it remains a reasonable proposition that the cLIR is important for some aspect of dHSF regulation or transcription activity.

The analysis of wild-type dHSF in SL2 cells suggested a close correlation between the subcellular localization and the oligomeric state of the factor. As we further explored this relationship we found that deletion or loss-of-function mutations in the NLS resulted not only in the disruption of the nuclear entry, but also in constitutive oligomerization and high-affinity HSE binding in the cytosol of nonshocked cells. This observation suggests that the NLS plays a central role in the activation process of dHSF. Single amino acid substitutions (K405M, for example) within the NLS also caused the disruption of nuclear entry and constitutive DNA bind-
ing by dHSF. Thus, constitutive oligomerization of ΔNLS is probably not attributable to conformational changes resulting from the mutation, but rather is related to a mechanism regulating the activation process of dHSF. Further, single point mutations also caused the opposite effect (Q403L) and allowed constitutive nuclear entry in the absence of stress. This effect was independent of the levels of expression, leading to the possibility that an interaction with a cytosolic inhibitor was abolished. The NLS sequence and mutation results are presented in summary form in Figure 10A.

It is both interesting and somewhat surprising that the oligomeric state of dHSF is controlled by an NLS. It is possible that the NLS regulates the oligomeric state of dHSF via a direct interaction with other regions of dHSF, for example, the oligomerization domain. A more likely possibility is that there is an interaction with an accessory factor (inhibitor) that prevents the dHSF from entering the nucleus and oligomerizing at the same time. This accessory factor may in turn facilitate an interaction with the oligomerization domain by bridging the two domains or stabilizing a direct interaction. The only region of dHSF that, when deleted, caused constitutive oligomerization of dHSF in the cytosol was the NLS. It is likely then that any putative inhibitory factors would interact with the NLS. This interaction would not only mask the NLS from the exposure to nuclear targeting proteins, but also maintain dHSF in a monomeric conformation. It is certainly possible that the putative factor could interact with other regions of dHSF in addition to the NLS. However, it seems that an essential interaction regarding the regulation of oligomerization occurs through the NLS.

The amino acid sequence composition of the NLS in-
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Figure 10. |A| Functional domains and summary of the mutational analysis of the NLS. |B| Superimposition on a helical wheel with NLS amino acids positioned on it. Amino acids that, when mutated, disrupted nuclear entry are enclosed in round boxes, and those amino acids that, when mutated, resulted in constitutive nuclear entry of the dHSF are enclosed in square boxes.
icates that it belongs to the bipartite NLS family [Dingwall and Laskey 1991]. This motif consists of a short stretch of two or three basic amino acids (K and R), followed by any 10 amino acids and a second short stretch of basic amino acids [usually in combinations of two or three Ks and Rs]. A Chou-Fasman hydrophobicity–hydrophilicity prediction of the entire dHSF sequence suggests a strong probability of an α-helical secondary structure in the NLS region [our unpublished data]. Computer modeling of this sequence as an α-helix shows that it is an amphiphatic helix (see Fig. 10B). On one face of the helical cylinder basic residues are clustered, resulting in a positively charged surface. On the other side of the helical cylinder are glutamine and glutamic acid residues resulting in a somewhat negatively charged face. Our mutational analysis showed that substitutions of the lysine or arginine groups on the positively charged face with other residues resulted in the disruption of nuclear entry and constitutive oligomerization of dHSF in the cytosol [see Fig. 10A], whereas substitution of Q403 on the negatively charged face resulted in constitutive nuclear entry. It is conceivable that each surface plays a unique role in the regulation of nuclear entry and oligomerization. The lysine–arginine-rich side could be the binding site for a component of the nuclear targeting machinery, and the Q-E-rich face of the helix would be the recognition site for the putative inhibitory factor. However, for stable and efficient inhibitor binding, the inhibitory factor might also interact with the basic residues on the K-R-rich face. This hypothesis helps to explain why mutations on the K-R-rich side resulted in constitutive oligomerization [efficient binding of the inhibitor would be impaired because of the mutations]. An alternative explanation of the mutant effects would be that the repressor binds to the basic side and stabilizes an interaction of the Q-E-rich surface with the oligomerization domain; mutations on the Q-E surface disrupt this stabilization.

It is possible that the putative cytoplasmic inhibitory factor and a component of the nuclear targeting machinery compete for binding to the basic surface on the NLS. Under normal growth conditions the inhibitor can bind with higher affinity to the NLS perhaps by association with the Q-E-rich surface. Heat shock would then cause dissociation of the repressor [either enzymatically or by some other mechanism], allowing the nuclear targeting machinery to associate with the NLS. How the putative inhibitor would maintain the dHSF in a monomer conformation remains a mystery warranting the identification and isolation of the inhibitory components. The identification of these factors would also provide important new information about the heat-stress signal transduction pathway, which would in turn bring us one step closer to the identification of the receptors that sense cellular stress.

Materials and methods

Plasmids and DNA constructions

Two cDNAs for dHSF were isolated from a 0- to 20-hr embryonic cDNA library by degenerate oligonucleotide screening employing a sequence present within the DNA-binding domain of the yeast HSF [C.S. Parker, unpublished]. These two cDNAs were identical except for their 5′ region. One cDNA is truncated at the 5′ end. This truncation removes 33 amino acids at the amino terminus of the HSF. The sequence of both cDNAs matches closely the published sequence for dHSF [Clos et al. 1990]. Each of these cDNAs was subcloned as an EcoRI fragment into the pBluescript II SK (Stratagene) resulting in the pBSdHSF (full-length cDNA) and pBSdH33 [5′-truncated cDNA]. The Salt-PstI fragment, containing dHSF cDNA, of each pBSdHFL and pBSdH33 was subcloned into the Salt-PstI site of the pStcatx355GC [Rusconi et al. 1990], resulting in vectors pStcdHFL and pStcdH33.

The Drosophila expression vector pPAC [Krasnow et al. 1989] was modified by inserting the double-stranded form of the oligonucleotide [5'-GATCGGATATCTCTAGAGAGCTCTCCG- GATGGGAATCCAAAGGCTACTGTAAGTGACTAAC-3'] in the BamHI site. This oligonucleotide contains multiple cloning sites and three stop codons at its 3′ end. This vector is called pPACo.

The CuSO4-inducible expression vector pMtdH was made by replacing the EcoRI-Xbal promoter fragment of the pPACo with the Drosophila metallothionein promoter fragment [Orto et al. 1987]. EcoRI-Xbal, from pHSH [a generous gift from Dr. Don Rio, University of California, Berkeley] plasmid. The Xbal–SacII, which contains the 5′ coding region of the dHSF cDNA in the pMtdH, was replaced with the Xbal–SacII from pETHisdH, generating the vector pMtHisdH. pETHisdH was constructed by subcloning of NdEl–BamHI fragment, which contains the full-length cDNA of the dHSF, into the corresponding sites of pH8s-pEt1. In this construct the second amino acid of HSF is fused in frame to the six histidines. This allows the isolation of the factor on the Ni-agarose (QIAGEN) column.

pPACoHyg was constructed as follows: Oligonucleotides 5′-CCCGGATCCAAAAAGCCTGAACTCACC-3′ and 5′-CCCT TTAGACATTTCTGTGCCCTCGG-3′ were used to generate a PCR fragment of the hygromycin B phosphotransferase gene from the pCophyg plasmid [a generous gift from Dr. Don Rio]. This fragment was digested with BamHI and cloned into the BamHI–Stul sites of the pPACo.

Deletions were made at the carboxyl terminus of dHSF by cutting the pStcdHL at a Sphi site, which truncates the protein at Glu-589, a Stul site, which truncates the protein at Gin-536, a BspEI site, which truncates the protein at Ser-461, a Asl site, which truncates the protein at Leu-404, or an SspI site, which truncates the protein at Asn-338. The Sphi site was blunt-ended with mung bean nuclease and the BspEI and Asl sites were filled in using the large fragment of DNA polymerase. The internal deletion ∆Bst was made by in-frame fusion of the BspEI and Asl sites in the HSF. Each of these plasmids was then digested with XbaI and the appropriate fragment was gel-purified and cloned into the XbaI–SacII site of pH8s-pACo and pMt vectors.

The internal deletions ∆3h (∆580–620), ∆SaI (∆336–403), and ∆NLS (393–420) were made using an oligonucleotide-directed loop-out method using conditions very similar to the site-directed mutagenesis system of Kunkel et al. (1987). For each internal deletion, an oligonucleotide was synthesized in which the end points of the targeted sequences were brought together: ∆3h, 5′-GTCCTATTAGACATGCATCAGGCGCATCTGGACGAG-3′; ∆NLS, 5′-AAATCTCCGAGCATGACCATCGAGCTGTTGTA-3′; ∆SaI, 5′-CTTGTTCCTTCCTTTAGAGCCCGCAGCGTGTG-3′. Approximately 20 picomoles of a phosphorylated oligonucleotide was annealed to 0.3 μg of the single-stranded, uracil-substituted pBSdHL in 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 2 mM MgCl2, and 50 mM NaCl. After annealing, 10x poly-
merization buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 37.5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM DTT, 7.5 mM ATP, 4 mM each dNTPs] was added to the reaction, as well as 10 units of T4 DNA ligase and 2 units of T4 DNA polymerase. The polymerization reaction was incubated for 15 min on ice followed by incubation for 2 hr at 37°C. The reaction was diluted to 80 µl with TE (10 mM Tris at pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA), and 20 µl of that was used to transform E. coli strain DH5α. The deletion of the targeted sequence was verified by restriction digest analysis. Using restriction sites at the flanking region of the deleted sequences, the homologous regions of the restriction digest analysis. Using restriction sites at the flanking region of the deleted sequences, the homologous regions of the DH5α strain

For Z-460C, the 2C fragment was digested with BspEI and BstEII of the pPacO. HSF-β-galactosidase fusions were constructed as follows: The DH5α deletions were generated by PCR and fused to the Z DNA in the pPacO vector. All 5’ primers contain a GATCCTCCAGGTCGCGTTCA-3’) and X1 (5’-TTTCCC-

The NLS region (393 to 415) in the pBSdHFL was subjected to a random mutagenesis by using the oligonucleotide w 10 (5’-ATC-TCTGCXCGCYTGTYGTZGCXXGXTGCXTCXXAZGCX-

GCGAGXGCXXCGXCXCGCCXGCTCCCGACC-3’). For the synthesis of this oligonucleotide mixture of X = 90% T + 3.3% of each A, C, and G; Y = 90% C + 3.3% of each A, T, and G, and Z = 90% A + 3.3% of each C, T, and G were used to obtain a pool of oligonucleotides having mutations at the second and third position of the codon for each amino acid. The w10 oligonucleotide was used for dsDNA synthesis by the method of Kunkel et al. (1987) as described above. After transformation of dsDNA into bacteria, single colonies were picked, plasmid DNA was isolated, and the mutagenized region was sequenced. After identification of mutations, the mutated regions were subcloned (via BstBI and BspEII) into the pPacodH or pMtHisdH to replace the homologous portion of the dHSF with the mutated region.

**Cell culture, stable and transient transfections**

*D. melanogaster* Schneider cell line 2 (SL2) (Schneider 1972) was maintained in SL2 cell culture media (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% heat-treated (at 65°C for 30 min) fetal bovine serum (Hy-clone), 100 U/ml of penicillin and 100 U/ml of streptomycin. Cells were grown in Corning flasks at 25°C (non-shocked). For DNA transfection, 3 × 10⁵ cells were seeded in 3 ml of medium on a 30-mm tissue culture dish and transfected using calcium phosphate precipitates (Ausubel et al. 1992). The cotransfection cocktail contained a mixture of 5 µg of the vector that contained the gene of interest and 1 µg of the vector that contained the selectable marker pPacHyg. At 15–18 hr post-transfection cells were spun down, washed once with 1× PBS containing 2 mM EDTA, washed with the complete medium, and resuspended in 3 ml of fresh medium. After 4 days, cells were spun down and resuspended in selective medium containing hygromycin B (200 µg/ml). Selective medium was replaced every 5 days, and stably transformed polyclonal cell populations were isolated after 3 or 6 weeks of selection with hygromycin B. Hygromycin B was maintained routinely in the media at all times after selection.

For transient expression, cells were transfected as above, except no vector containing a selective marker gene was included in the transfection mixture. The amount of the DNA that was used for transfection is indicated in the figures. After transfection, cells were washed as above and grown for 2 days before using them for experiments.

Cells were heat shocked at 37°C for 30 min on the tissue culture dish by transferring the plates to a 37°C incubator.

**CuSO₄ induction**

We have analyzed and determined the optimum induction procedure for the metallothionein promoter. Cells were induced routinely by addition of 1 mM of CuSO₄ to the culture medium for times indicated in the figure legends.

**Cell staining and immunofluorescence detection**

Cells were grown at 25°C and subjected to heat shock as described above. Nonshocked and heat-shocked cells were fixed directly on the tissue culture dish with the 1× tissue fixative Histochrome (Amresco, Solon, OH) for 15 min at room temperature. Fixative was removed and cells were washed three times with 1× PBS (137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 7.5 mM Na₂HPO₄). Cells were incubated 1 min in 0.1% NP-40 in PBS. After three PBS washes, cells were incubated with a 1:100 dilution of anti-Drosophila HSF antibodies or IgG fraction of rabbit anti-β-galactosidase (Cappel, Oregon Teknika Corp., West Chester, PA), in

**Mutagenesis**

The NLS region (393 to 415) in the p8sdHFL was subjected to a random mutagenesis by using the oligonucleotide w10 (5’-ATC-TCTGCXCGCYTGTYGTZGCXXGXTGCXTCXXAZGCX-
1% nonfat dry milk for 1 hr at room temperature. After extensive washing with PBS, cells were incubated with secondary antibodies, fluorescein-conjugated goat affinity purified antimouse IgG-IgM, or rhodamine-conjugated IgG fraction goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cappel), at a dilution of 1:200 for 30 min at room temperature. Cells were washed four times with PBS and a drop of buffered 90% glycerol containing 2% propyl gallate was applied and a no. 1 cover slip was attached. Cells were viewed and photographed using a Zeiss Axioplan under normal phase microscopy and with UV irradiation with the aid of fluorescein- and rhodamine-specific filters. Micrographs were reproduced from slides on a sprint scan 35 (Polaroid) and printed on a Kodak XLS 8300 digital printer.

Preparation of mini cytosolic and nuclear extracts

The cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were prepared by modifications of the procedure described by Schreiber et al. (1988): 1.5 ml of the cell suspension (3 x 10⁶ cells/ml) were centrifuged for 2 min at 3000 rpm and washed with PBS. Cells were harvested at 3000 rpm and resuspended in 150 ml of hypotonic buffer (A) containing 10 mM HEPES at pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 2.5 mM DTT, 5 mg/ml of leupeptin, and 1.2 mM PMSF and incubated on ice for 5 min. Then 150 ml of buffer A containing 0.5% of NP-40 was added and vortexed for 10 sec. The mixture was centrifuged at 14,000g for 2 min. The cytoplasmic supernatant was transferred into a new Eppendorf tube containing 0.1 mM EGTA, 2.5 mM DTT, 5 mg/ml of leupeptin, and 1.2 mM PMSF and incubated on ice for 5 min. Then 150 ml of buffer A containing 0.5% of NP-40 was added and vortexed for 10 sec. The mixture was centrifuged at 14,000g for 2 min. The cytoplasmic supernatant was transferred into a new Eppendorf tube and the nuclear pellet was washed once with 100 ml of 0.5% NP-40 in buffer A. Each nuclear pellet was resuspended in 200 ml of buffer C consisting of 20 mM HEPES at pH 7.9, 25% glycerol, 0.8 M NaCl, 100 mM KCl, 0.01% triton X100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, and DTT–leupeptin–PMSF as above. Samples were vortexed and incubated on ice for 15 min, and then centrifuged at 14,000g for 5 min. The supernatant is the nuclear extract.

Protein analysis: gel electromobility shift assay, Western blot

Eight microliters of the cytoplasmic and 2 µl of the nuclear extracts were used for the gel electromobility shift assay. The differences in the salt concentrations of cytosolic and nuclear extracts were adjusted by adding 2 µl of buffer C to the gel shift reaction of the cytosolic extract, and adding 8 µl of the buffer A to the gel shift reaction of the nuclear extract. In general the binding reactions [30 µl] contain 0.2–0.5 ng (30,000 cpm) of a radiolabeled double-stranded HSE oligonucleotide (5'-GCGCGCCTTCGAAATGTTCGCGAAAAGA-3'), 1 pg of poly[(dA-T)], 1 µg of poly(dA-T)], 1 µg salmon testis DNA, 4% [wt/vol] Ficoll, 20 mM HEPES at pH 7.9, 50 mM NaCl, 20 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 0.25 mg/ml of bovine serum albumin [BSA; Boehringer Mannheim] and extracts as described above. The samples were incubated on ice for 30 min. Fifteen microliters of the mixture was loaded on a 4% polyacrylamide gel [30 acrylamide:1 Bis acrylamide] and electrophoresed for 2–3 hr. The gel was usually prerun for 30 min at 20°C at 12 V/cm in 0.25x TBE. After electrophoresis the gel was dried and exposed to X-ray film on a PhosphorImager screen (Molecular Dynamics).

The remaining 15 µl of the gel shift reactions were separated on an 8% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. After blocking the nitrocellulose with 3% [wt/vol] Carnation nonfat dry milk, the blot was probed with a 1:1000 dilution of a hybridoma supernatant containing anti-Drosophila HSF. Primary antibodies were visualized by the ECL (Amer sham) method as recommended by the vendor.

Gel filtration chromatography

For analytical gel filtration chromatography, 300 µl of cytosolic extract or 100 µl of nuclear extract was loaded on a Superdex 200 HR 10/30 (0.5 x 30 cm; Pharmacia) column that was equilibrated in buffer NPO [150 mM NaCl and 50 mM Na2HPO4, [pH 7.0]]. The column was run at a flow rate of 0.5 ml per minute. The void volume of this column is ~6 ml. Oligomeric dHSF elutes between 6.5 and 8 ml, and monomeric HSF elutes between 8.5 and 10 ml. Fractions of 0.5 ml or 1 ml were collected. Proteins in each fraction either were TCA precipitated or, in the case of histidine-tagged proteins, were separated from the endogenous HSF on Ni-agarose beads [Qiagen] in the presence of 3 M GuHCl. Proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE, and dHSF was visualized by Western blotting.
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