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ABSTRACT: The comprehensive depiction of the many-body effects governing nanofluidic electrolytes is an essential step for the conception of nanofluidic devices with optimized performance. By incorporating self-consistently multivalent charges into the Poisson–Boltzmann equation dressed by a background monovalent salt, we investigate the impact of strong-coupling electrostatics on the nanofluidic transport of electrolyte mixtures. We find that the experimentally observed negative streaming currents in anionic nanochannels originate from the collective effect of Cl\(^{-}\) attraction by the interfacially adsorbed multivalent cations and the no-slip layer reducing the hydrodynamic contribution of these cations to the net current. The like-charge current condition emerging from this collective mechanism is shown to be the reversal of the average potential within the no-slip zone. Applying the formalism to surface-coated membrane nanoslits located in the giant dielectric permittivity regime, we reveal a new type of streaming current activated by attractive polarization forces. Under the effect of these forces, multivalent ions added to the KCl solution set a charge separation and generate a counterion current between the neutral slit walls where the pure KCl conductivity vanishes. The adjustability of the current characteristics solely via the valency and amount of the added multivalent ions identifies the underlying process as a promising mechanism for nanofluidic ion separation purposes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Charge transport under nanoscale forces plays a critical role in vital biological processes and various nanoscale applications. From ion exchange between cells and their surrounding aqueous medium\(^1\) to nanofluidic energy conversion\(^2\)–\(^6\) and water desalination,\(^7,8\) diverse nanoscale mechanisms involving the transport of confined liquids are regulated by the collective effect of electrostatic, hydrodynamic, and steric forces. The accurate characterization of these nanoscale forces is thus crucial for the comprehension of living matter and the optimization of nanotechnological approaches. This need continues to motivate intensive research work dealing with the high complexity of the nanofluidified liquids associated with the diversity of the underlying interactions.

The minimal approach to tackle this complex transport problem consists of solving the coupled electrostatic Poisson–Boltzmann (PB) and hydrostatic Stokes equations. In monovalent salt solutions governed by mean-field (MF) electrostatics, this hybrid formalism has been successfully verified by pressure-driven transport experiments\(^9\) and also used to develop strategies for electrokinetic energy conversion from hydrodynamic to electrical power\(^10,11\) as well as nanofluidic ion separation under hydrostatic and electrical driving forces.\(^12\) Subsequently, this approach has been upgraded by incorporation of the direct hydrodynamic effect of ions\(^13\) and polyelectrolytes\(^14\) on electroosmotic (EO) flows. Later studies showed that the explicit contribution of the mobile charges to the interfacial liquid viscosity can induce EO flow reversal even in monovalent electrolytes governed by MF electrostatics.

For ions of valency \(q\), interacting with a membrane of surface charge density \(\sigma_m\), the importance of charge correlations responsible for the deviation from the MF electrostatics is characterized by the dimensionless electrostatic coupling parameter \(\Xi = 2 \pi a_0^2 \sigma_m/\varepsilon_0\), where \(a_0 \approx 7\) Å is the Bjerrum length.\(^15\) In the case of silica nanochannels of characteristic wall charge density \(\sigma_m \approx 1.0\) e/nm\(^2\),\(^16\) the coupling parameter exhibits a broad variation between the weak-coupling (WC) regime \(\Xi \approx 3\) of monovalent salt solutions and the strong-coupling (SC) regime \(\Xi \approx 195\) of quadrivalent charges such as spermine (Spm\(^{4+}\)) molecules. Consequently, MF electrohydrodynamic theories capable of describing monovalent charge transport fail at explaining multivalent charge-driven exotic transport behavior, such as negative streaming currents through anionic slits\(^18\) and the electrophoretic mobility of anionic macromolecules along the applied electric field.\(^19–21\) This deficiency indicates the necessity to use correlation-corrected theories for the rectification of the MF interaction picture.

Like-charge streaming current formation by multivalent counterion addition has been previously studied within the density functional theory (DFT) capable of accounting for...
charge correlations. Despite the confirmed accuracy of the DFT approach, the complexity of its numerical implementation necessitates the development of alternative beyond-MF formalisms offering analytical transparency and thereby providing direct physical insight into exotic electrostatic phenomena. Along these lines, the field theory approach to charge liquids has been a leading alternative to DFT. The formulation of the electrostatic field theory has been mainly motivated by the observation of seemingly counterintuitive equilibrium phenomena, such as macromolecular-like charge-attraction and opposite-charge repulsion driven by ion correlations. The field-theoretic investigation of charge correlations has been initiated with the solution of the correlation-corrected PB-like equations. Then, a consistent one-loop (1l) theory of counterion liquids was developed by Netz and Orland. This has been subsequently extended to salt solutions symmetrically dressed-ion theory has been upgraded by us via the inclusion of composite electrolytes. Motivated by this need, the SC theory these systems thus requires electrostatic formalisms able to take function in terms of the counterion fugacity. It is noteworthy that this SC formalism can be equivalently obtained from the virial expansion of the liquid partition function in terms of the counterion fugacity. Biological systems are characterized by the coexistence of monovalent salt and multivalent ions. The understanding of these systems thus requires electrostatic formalisms able to take into account the multiple coupling strengths governing composite electrolytes. Motivated by this need, the SC theory has been extended by the incorporation of a monovalent salt background at the linear-MF level. Subsequently, this dressed-ion theory has been upgraded by us via the inclusion of an additional loop correction for the monovalent salt component. Finally, through a Gaussian-level variational treatment of the WC salt, and the inclusion of the SC multivalent ions via a virial expansion, we derived a fully self-consistent formalism of complex electrolytes called the SC-dressed Schwinger–Dyson (SCSD) theory.

In this article, we develop an SC-dressed PB (SCPB) formalism corresponding to a simplified version of the SCSD theory. Based on a restricted closure of the Schwinger–Dyson (SD) equation, this simplification enables us to account for the previously neglected ionic hard-core (HC) interactions. Within this formalism, we review the electrohydrodynamic mechanism behind the multivalent ion-driven streaming current inversion in anionic silica channels. We characterize the collective effect of the multivalent cations responsible for the membrane charge inversion (CI) and the no-slip constraint limiting the hydrodynamic mobility of these ions. We identify as well the result of current inversion and consider the effect of repulsive polarization forces neglected in earlier works. The continuous demand for electronic devices of reduced size necessitates the fabrication of micro capacitors with polymer-based materials of high dielectric permittivity. This technical requirement has been met by coating the surface of the polymer matrices by carbon nanotubes (CNTs), enabling the enhancement of the substrate permittivity from the dielectric insulator \( \varepsilon_0 \approx 2 \) to the giant permittivity regime \( \varepsilon_m \approx 10^3 \). The second part of our work probes the potential of surface-coated membrane pores for nanofluidic charge transport purposes. Therein, we reveal a new current generation mechanism triggered by SC interactions. Namely, under the effect of attractive polarization forces emerging in the giant permittivity regime, multivalent cations (anions) added to a KCl solution result in a charge separation and generate a negative (positive) streaming current through interfacially neutral slits. Due to the possibility to tune the sign and magnitude of this counterion current via the type and concentration of the added multivalent ions, our prediction presents itself as a useful mechanism for nanofluidic ion separation and water purification purposes. We characterize as well the impact of the same attractive polarization forces on the ionic composition of voltage-driven currents. Finally, a direct mapping from the SCPB to the dressed-ion formalism is presented in the Supporting Information.

II. THEORY

II.A. Field-Theoretic Coulombic Model. The charge composition of the system is depicted in Figure 1. The electrolyte is composed of the monovalent salt KCl including ions with valencies \( q_\pm = \pm 1 \) and bulk concentrations \( n_\pm \) of a multivalent ion species with bulk concentration \( n_b \) and valency \( q_b \) of arbitrary sign. The charge configuration in Figure 1 corresponds to the specific case of multivalent cations \( q_b > 0 \). The electrolyte is confined to a slit pore of thickness \( d \) located in an anionic membrane of permittivity \( \varepsilon_m \) confining the electrolyte KCl + \( X^\pm \) of permittivity \( \varepsilon_r \) including the multivalent ions \( X^b \) of valency \( q_b \). The charge configuration in the figure assumes \( q_b > 0 \).

![Figure 1. Schematic depiction of the slit pore with thickness \( d \), length \( L \), and width \( w \). The slit located in an anionic membrane of permittivity \( \varepsilon_m \) confines the electrolyte KCl + \( X^\pm \) of permittivity \( \varepsilon_r \) including the multivalent ions \( X^b \) of valency \( q_b \). The charge configuration in the figure assumes \( q_b > 0 \).](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c09638)
for all ionic species. The Coulombic potential is defined in terms of its inverse
\[
\varepsilon^{-1}(r, r') = -\frac{k_B T}{e^2} \nabla e(r) \cdot \nabla \delta(r - r')
\]

where \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant and \(e\) is the electron charge; the liquid temperature is \(T = 300\, \text{K}\), and the dielectric permittivity profile reads
\[
e(r) = e_\infty \delta(\theta(z) - \theta(d - z)) + e_\infty \delta(\theta(d - z - z))
\]

with the dielectric permittivity of the solvent \(e_\infty = 80\).

By introducing two Hubbard–Stratonovich transformations associated with the fluctuating potentials \(\phi(r)\) and \(\psi(r)\) for the pairwise Coulombic and HC ion interactions, respectively, the grand-canonical partition function of the system can be recast as the functional integral\(^{30}\)
\[
Z_G = \int D\phi D\psi e^{-\beta H[\phi, \psi]}
\]

In eq 4, the Hamiltonian functional reads \(H[\phi, \psi] = H_s[\phi, \psi] + H_c[\phi, \psi]\) where the solvent-implicit monovalent salt and multivalent ion components are defined as
\[
\beta H_s[\phi, \psi] = \frac{k_B T}{2e^2} \int dr \varepsilon(r) (\nabla \phi(r))^2
\]

\[
- i \int dr \sigma(r) \phi(r)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int dr dr' \psi(r') \psi(r)
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=\pm} \int dr \hat{n}_i(r)
\]

\[
\beta H_c[\phi, \psi] = - \int dr \hat{\rho}_c(r)
\]

The first three terms on the r.h.s. of eq 5 correspond to the solvent free energy, the contribution from the fixed membrane charge \(\sigma(r)\), and the Gaussian field contribution from the pairwise HC interactions. Then, the ion density term \(\hat{n}_i(r)\) in eqs 5–6 is the contribution from the mobile salt charges \((i = \pm)\) and multivalent ions \((i = c)\). Therein, the fluctuating ion density of the species \(i\) with fugacity \(\Lambda_i\) reads
\[
\hat{\rho}_i(r) = \Lambda_i e^{-\beta \rho_i(r)} + \delta \phi(r) + \psi(r)
\]

where \(V_i(r)\) stands for the steric potential restricting the phase space accessible to the ions and enabling the derivation of their average density from the grand potential \(\beta \Delta G_c = -\ln Z_G\) via the thermodynamic identity
\[
n_i(r) = \frac{\delta \beta G_c}{\delta \rho_i(r)} = \langle \hat{n}_i(r) \rangle
\]

In eq 8, the bracket notation designates the statistical average of the functional \(F[\phi, \psi]\) over the fluctuations of the potentials \(\phi(r)\) and \(\psi(r)\), i.e.,
\[
\langle F[\phi, \psi] \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_G} \int D\phi D\psi e^{-\beta [H[\phi, \psi] - F[\phi, \psi]]} F[\phi, \psi]
\]

The steric constraint \(a_d < z < d - a_d\) imposing on the ions the closest approach distance \(a_d\) to the slit walls will be taken as \(e^{-\gamma r} = \theta(z - a_d) \theta(d - a_d - z)\).

II.B. Derivation of the Electrostatic SCPB Equation. Based on the SD identity, a simplified version of the SCSD approach\(^{17}\) will be derived. For the derivation of the SD equation, one expresses first the variation of the partition function (eq 4) by an infinitesimally small potential shift \(\delta \phi(r)\) as \(\Delta Z_G = \int D\phi D\psi e^{-\beta (H[\phi, \psi] - H[\phi + \delta \phi, \psi])} \). In the remainder of the article, the notation will be simplified by omitting the potential dependence of the functionals. Expanding now the r.h.s. of the functional integral above at the linear order in \(\delta \phi(r)\), one obtains \(\delta Z_G = -\beta Z_G \int d\phi d\psi (\delta H/\delta \phi(r))\). Then, one notes that the shift \(\delta \phi(r)\) in the same functional integral can be absorbed into the redefinition of the functional measure \(D\phi\). This implies the invariance of the partition function \(Z_G\) under the potential shift, i.e., \(\delta Z_G = 0\). This finally yields the formally exact SD identity corresponding to the equation of state for the average potential
\[
\langle \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi(r)} \rangle = 0
\]

At this point, we introduce the SC treatment of the dilute multivalent ions equivalent to a low fugacity expansion of the grand potential.\(^{17}\) First, by Taylor-expanding the SD eq 10 together with eq 9 at the linear order in the counterion density \(\rho_c(r)\), one obtains
\[
\langle \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi(r)} \rangle = \langle \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi(r)} \rangle_i - \langle \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi(r)} \rangle_s
\]

\[\]

\[\]

\]
In order to evaluate the field averages in eq 15 associated with the WC fluctuations of the monovalent salt around the MF PB solution, the salt Hamiltonian (eq 5) will be approximated by the following Gaussian functional

$$H_s \approx \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \left[ \phi - i \phi \right] G^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \left[ \phi - i \phi \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \psi(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}')$$

(Eq 16)

Equation 16 includes the inverse of the monovalent salt-dressed Debye–Hückel (DH) Green's function

$$G^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \psi^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') + \sum_{i=\pm} q_i^2 n_i e^{-\psi_i(\mathbf{r})} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

(Eq 17)

and the salt-screened average potential \(\phi_i(\mathbf{r})\), solving the SD eq 15. Using now the identity

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \psi^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') G(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

(Eq 18)

with eqs 2 and 17, the differential equation solved by the DH Green's function follows as

$$[\nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}) \nabla - e(\mathbf{r}) \kappa^2(\mathbf{r})] G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\frac{e^2}{k_B T} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

(Eq 19)

In eq 19, we introduced the DH screening parameter

$$\kappa(\mathbf{r}) = \kappa e^{-\psi(\mathbf{r})}; \quad \kappa^2 = 4\pi \eta \sum_{i=\pm} q_i^2 n_i$$

(Eq 20)

The solution of the kernel (eq 19) in the planar geometry of the nanoslit is reported in the Supporting Information. Computing the field averages in eqs 13–15 with the Hamiltonian (eq 16), the SCPB equation (eq 15) becomes

$$\frac{k_B T}{e^2} \nabla_r \phi(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_r \phi(\mathbf{r}) + q_i^3 n_i(\mathbf{r}) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$$

$$+ \sum_{i=\pm} q_i n_i(\mathbf{r}) + \sigma(\mathbf{r})$$

$$= 0$$

(Eq 21)

with the ion densities

$$n_\pm(\mathbf{r}) = \Lambda e^{-w(\mathbf{r})/2} - q_\pm(\mathbf{r}) e^{-q_i^3 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')/2}$$

$$\times \left( 1 + \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \int d\mathbf{r}_2 f(\mathbf{r}_2) \right)$$

(Eq 22)

$$n_\pm(\mathbf{r}) = \Lambda e^{-w(\mathbf{r})/2} - q_\pm(\mathbf{r}) e^{-q_i^3 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')/2}$$

(Eq 23)

and the Mayer function

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = e^{-q_i^3 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')/2} - 1$$

(Eq 24)

Evaluating eqs 22 and 23 in the bulk reservoir where \(\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = 0\), \(\phi_0(\mathbf{r}) = 0\), and \(n_i(\mathbf{r}) = n_i\) for \(i = \{ \pm, c \} \) and \(G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')\) with the bulk Green's function \(G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 4\pi \eta e^{-\kappa^2 |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}/r\) and plugging the resulting ion fugacities back into eqs 22 and 23, the ion densities become at the order \(O(n_{b,i})\)

$$n_\pm(\mathbf{r}) = n_{b,i} k_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) [1 + n_{b,i} T_{\pm}(\mathbf{r})]$$

(Eq 25)

$$n_\pm(\mathbf{r}) = n_{b,i} k_{\pm}(\mathbf{r})$$

(Eq 26)

In eqs 25 and 26, the function

$$k_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-q_i^3 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')/2} - q_i^3 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - 1$$

(Eq 27)

corresponds to the bare ion density including the self-energy \(\delta G(\mathbf{r}) = [G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')]_{\mathbb{R}}\). Moreover, eq 25 involves the many-body potentials incorporating the direct interactions of the multivalent counterions with the salt ions

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r} [k_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - f_{\pm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')]$$

(Eq 28)

with the bulk limit of the Mayer function (eq 24)

$$f_{\pm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}) = e^{-q_i^3 G_0(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')/2} - 1$$

(Eq 29)

One notes that the function (eq 28) has the form of a non-uniform second virial coefficient \(B_s\) weighted by the counterion density and renormalized by its bulk value.31

Finally, combining eqs 19 and 31, the SCPB equation takes the following integro-differential form

$$\frac{k_B T}{e^2} \nabla_r \phi(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_r \phi(\mathbf{r}) + q_i^3 n_i(\mathbf{r}) + \sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{k_B T}{4\pi \eta} \phi(\mathbf{r})$$

(Eq 30)

where we introduced the potential component induced by all multivalent counterions in the liquid

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = q_i^3 n_i \int d^3 r' \phi_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$$

(Eq 31)

One notes that, in the bulk limit where \(n(\mathbf{r}) = n_b\) and \(f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) = q_i^3 n_b + q_i^3 n_b\) the bulk electroneutrality condition consistently follows eq 30 as

$$q_i^3 n_b + q_i^3 n_b + q_i^3 n_b = 0$$

(Eq 32)

In order to determine the net average potential, in eq 9, we set \(F = \phi(\mathbf{r})\) and linearize the result in the counterion density. One gets, at the order \(O(n_b)\)

$$\langle \phi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle \phi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle - \langle \phi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

(Eq 33)

Evaluating the salt averages in eq 33, the real average potential \(\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = -i\langle \phi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle\) follows as

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi(\mathbf{r}) + \phi(\mathbf{r})$$

(Eq 34)

Equation 34 indicates that the net average potential is given by the superposition of the salt-dressed potential \(\phi_0(\mathbf{r})\) created by the membrane charge, satisfying the SCPB equation (eq 30), and the potential component (31) induced by the multivalent counterions.32

In this work, the SCPB equation (eq 30) will be solved via a perturbative expansion in terms of the counterion concentration \(n_b\). The details of this solution scheme are reported in the Supporting Information.

Combining eqs 21 and 34, the SCPB equation (eq 30) can be expressed in terms of the net potential \(\Phi(\mathbf{r})\) as

$$\frac{k_B T}{e^2} \nabla_r \phi(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_r \phi(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_{b,i}(\mathbf{r}) + \sigma(\mathbf{r}) = 0$$

(Eq 35)

In eq 35, the total mobile charge density reads

$$\rho_{b,i}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=\pm,c} q_i n_i(\mathbf{r})$$

(Eq 36)

with the bare partition function (eq 27) taking the form \(k_i(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-q_i^3 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')/2} - q_i^3 G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - q_i^3 G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')/2\). The alternative form (eq 35) of the SCPB equation (eq 30) is used below for the computation of the beyond-MF ionic current.
II.C. Computation of the Ionic Current. The net current driven by an external voltage $\Delta V$ and pressure gradient $\Delta P$ through the nanoslit reads

$$I = we \int_{a_m}^{d-a_m} dz \rho_{\text{ion}}(z) u(z)$$

(37)

where the hydrodynamic no-slip radius $a_m$ corresponds to the characteristic thickness of the stagnant liquid layers composed of the multivalent ions strongly bound to the slit walls (see Figure 1). Moreover, the fluid velocity $u(z) = u_L + u_I(z)$ is given by the superposition of the conductive velocity $u_L = \mu_j \text{sign}(q_j) \Delta V / L$ characterized by the ionic mobility $\mu_j$ the convective velocity $u_I(z)$ satisfying the Stokes equation

$$\frac{1}{2} \eta \frac{d^2 u}{dz^2} = \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{ion}}(z)} \left( \Phi - \Phi(z) - \Phi(a_m) \right)$$

(38)

with the electrophoretic transport coefficient $\mu_{ep} = \epsilon / (4 \pi \eta)$. Finally, substituting eq 38 into eq 37, using the equality $\rho_{\text{ion}}(z) = -\Phi'(z) / 4 \pi \eta$, and performing integrations by parts, the net current becomes

$$I = K_p \Delta P + K_v \Delta V$$

(39)

where the conductance components are

$$K_p = \frac{we}{4 \pi \eta L \eta} \int_{a_m}^{d-a_m} \frac{d \Phi(z) dz}{d - 2a_m} - \Phi(a_m)$$

(40)

$$K_v = \frac{we}{L} \int_{a_m}^{d-a_m} dz \left( \frac{e}{4 \pi \eta} \Phi'(z)^2 + \sum_{i=\Sigma, e} \mu_i q_i n_i(z) \right)$$

(41)

Equation 40 is the streaming conductance. Then, eq 41 corresponds to the voltage-driven conductance. The first and second terms in brackets of eq 41 are the convective and conductive flow components, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this part, we apply the SCPB formalism to characterize the effect of strongly coupled multivalent charges on ion transport through nanochannels made of a silicon (Si)-based insulator ($\epsilon_m \ll \epsilon_s$) and surface-coated dielectric membranes ($\epsilon_m \gg \epsilon_s$). We focus on the experimentally relevant case of slits with nanoscale thickness where the conductive component of eq 41 largely dominates the conductive part.$^{23,47}$ The slit width and length are set to the values of $w = 50 \text{ nm}$ and $L = 4.5 \text{ mm}$ of the transport experiments carried out by van der Heyden et al.$^{18}$

III.A. Streaming Current Reversal in Charged Slit Pores. We analyze here the experimentally observed multivalent ion-driven streaming current reversal.$^{18}$ Thus, the voltage is turned-off ($\Delta V = 0$), and the membrane charge density and permeability are set to the characteristic values $\sigma_m = 1.0 \text{ e/nm}^2$ and $\epsilon_m = 2$ of the silica nanochannels used in the transport experiments. Figure 2 shows that, in pure KCl solutions (solid black curve), the salt decrement enhances the K$^+$ adhesion and raises steadily the streaming conductance, i.e., $n_{\text{mem}}$ $K_v$. However, if the KCl concentration is reduced in the presence of Spm$^{4+}$ molecules, $K_v$ initially rises monotonically by following the pure salt curve, reaching a maximum where the effect of Spm$^{4+}$ ions manifests itself and starts dropping to switch from positive to negative at a characteristic KCl concentration $n_{\text{mem}}$. Moreover, the salt concentration at the current reversal rises with the amount of Spm$^{4+}$, i.e., $n_{\text{mem}}$. These features agree qualitatively with the transport experiments$^{18}$ and earlier DFT studies$^{22,23}$ conducted with trivalent cations.

The emergence of a negative current between the anionic slit walls is typically the signature of the membrane CI. In order to probe the actual correlation between these effects, we focus first on the charge configuration at the current reversal. Figure 3a–c displays the Spm$^{4+}$ and monovalent ion densities (eq 25 and 26) and the virial function (eq 28) at the salt concentrations of the dots with the same color in Figure 2. As the bulk KCl concentration is reduced from the positive current $K_v > 0$ (purple) to the negative current $K_v < 0$ regime (red), the suppression of the membrane charge screening drives further Spm$^{4+}$ ions into the slit, i.e., $n_{\text{mem}} < n_{\text{CI}}$. This strengthens the Spm$^{4+}$ –$K^+$ repulsion ($T_c(z)$) and the Spm$^{4+}$ –$\text{Cl}^-$ attraction ($T_e(z)$), depleting the interfacial $K^+$ ions ($n_{\text{CI}}$) and amplifying the $\text{Cl}^-$ density ($n_{\text{CI}}$) above its bulk value.

We investigate now the mechanism enabling these excess CI$^-$ ions to induce a negative current despite the abundance of the strongly cationic Spm$^{4+}$ molecules. Figure 3d displays the cumulative charge density

$$\rho_{\text{cm}}(z) = \int_0^z dz' \rho_{\text{ion}}(z') - \sigma_m = -\frac{\Phi'(z)}{4 \pi \eta}$$

(42)

where the second equality follows the SCPB (eq 35). One sees that the apparent like-charge $\text{Cl}^-$ attraction and opposite-charge $K^+$ exclusion by the anionic membrane manifests itself as the membrane CI. However, at the intermediate KCl concentration

![Figure 2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c09638)

Figure 2. Streaming conductance (eq 40) vs the bulk KCl concentration at various Spm$^{4+}$ concentration values ($q_z = 4$). The slit charge is $\sigma_m = 1.0 \text{ e/nm}^2$,$^{18}$ the membrane permittivity $\epsilon_m = 2$, the pore radius $d = 50 \text{ nm}$, and the steric size $a_m = 0$. The no-slip and HC radii are $a_m = a_m = 3 \text{ Å}$. The picture in the inset is a qualitative depiction of the current reversal mechanism.

![Figure 3](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c09638)

Figure 3. Cumulative charge density $\rho_{\text{cm}}(z)$ at different KCl concentrations (eq 42) and Spm$^{4+}$ concentrations. The $\text{Cl}^-$ density $n_{\text{CI}}(z)$ is shown in red, the $K^+$ density $n_{\text{KI}}(z)$ in green, and the $\text{Cl}^-$ density $n_{\text{CI}}(z)$ in blue. The CI density $n_{\text{CI}}(z)$ is displayed in purple.
(blue) where CI is noticeable ($\phi^{\text{ef}}(z) > 0$), the conductance is not yet inverted ($K_F > 0$). This indicates the absence of one-to-one mapping between CI and current reversal.\textsuperscript{16}

For an analytical insight into the actual causality between CI and current reversal, one should note that, in nanofluidic experiments where one typically has $\chi d \gg 1$, the integral part of the conductance (eq 40) is negligible, i.e.,

$$ K_F \approx -\frac{e\omega(d - 2a_m)}{4\pi L_\eta}\Phi(a_m) \tag{43} $$

Equation 43 implies that current inversion requires the reversal of the zeta potential $\Phi(a_m)$ at the no-slip distance $z = a_m$ rather than the cumulative charge (eq 42) or field $\Phi(z)$ at an arbitrary distance. Figure 3e indeed shows that the transition from positive (blue) to negative current (red) is accompanied with the shift of the potential reversal point into the no-slip region $z < 3\AA$.

The requirement of potential inversion within the stagnant fluid layer indicates that current reversal is favored by the broadness of the no-slip region. Figure 3f confirms this point as the no-slip distance $a_m$ increases from zero, the positive conductance drops and turns to negative before decaying due to the increasing overlap of the no-slip region with the CI\textsuperscript{−} layer. In Figure 4, the electrohydrodynamic mechanism behind this peculiarity is illustrated in terms of the charge density (eq 36) and flux and the integral of the flux corresponding to the cumulative current

$$ j_{\text{cm}}(z) = we\int_{a_m}^{z} d\phi^{\text{ch}}(z')u(z') \tag{44} $$

First, Figure 4a shows that the Spm\textsuperscript{4+} ions located in the stagnant fluid layer $z \leq a_m$ and responsible for the strongly positive electrostatic charge density $\rho_{\text{CI}}(z)$ do not contribute to the hydrodynamic charge flux $\bar{\rho}_{\text{CI}}(z)$. Then, by comparing the maxima and minima in the main plot and the inset, one notes that the Poiseuille velocity (eq 38) rising steadily in the subsequent liquid region attenuates the positive charge contribution from the mobile Spm\textsuperscript{4+} ions at $z > a_m$ and enhances the anionic contribution of the next CI\textsuperscript{−} layer where $\rho_{\text{CI}}(z) < 0$.

To summarize, the Spm\textsuperscript{4+} layer around each no-slip boundary acts as an effective positive surface charge attracting mobile CI\textsuperscript{−} anions without fully contributing itself to the charge flow. In Figure 3f, the emergence of the anionic current by the increase of the no-slip length originates precisely from the enlargement of this cation layer with reduced mobility. Figure 4b shows that, as the salt decrement enhances the adsorption of these counterions by the slit, the mobile CI\textsuperscript{−} excess set by the counterions becomes large enough to invert the cumulative current $j_{\text{cm}}(z)$ from positive (blue) to negative (red), generating a net anionic current $I = j_{\text{cm}}(z = d - a_m) < 0$ through the pore. This effect is illustrated in the inset of Figure 2.

Thus, the streaming current reversal is the collective effect of CI and the reduced interfacial liquid mobility. Figure 5a displays the additional role of repulsive image charge forces in terms of the streaming conductance versus the Spm\textsuperscript{4+} concentration. The comparison of the curves at different membrane permittivities shows that the decrease of the permittivity at a fixed Spm\textsuperscript{4+} concentration.\textsuperscript{16}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{(a) Charge density (eq 36) (main plot) and normalized charge flux $\bar{\rho}_{\text{CI}}(z) = \rho_{\text{CI}}(z)u(z)/u(d/2)$ (inset). (b) Cumulative current density (eq 44). Model parameters for each color are the same as in Figure 3.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5.png}
\caption{(a) Streaming conductance (eq 40) vs the bulk Spm\textsuperscript{4+} concentration. (b) Local Spm\textsuperscript{4+} and (c, d) monovalent ion densities (main plots) and the normalized charge flux $\bar{\rho}_{\text{CI}}(z) = \rho_{\text{CI}}(z)u(z)/u(d/2)$ (inset) at the bulk Spm\textsuperscript{4+} concentration $n_{\text{ck}} = 0.02$ M (dashed line in (a)). The bulk salt density is $n_{\text{ck}} = 1.0$ M in all plots. The membrane permittivity $\epsilon_m$ for each color is reported in the legend of (a). The remaining model parameters are the same as in Figure 2.}
\end{figure}
concentration suppresses the current reversal. As a result, lower substrate permittivities lead to the occurrence of the current reversal at larger Spm$^+$ concentrations, i.e., $\varepsilon_m < \varepsilon_w$.

Figure 5b–d shows that the repulsive polarization forces amplified by the reduction of the substrate permittivity bring two major effects canceling the current inversion. First, the image charge interactions reject the Spm$^+$ ions from the slit ($\varepsilon_m \parallel n_+(z)$), which reduces the Cl$^-$ density and raises the K$^+$ density ($n_-(z) \parallel n_+(z)$). Then, the repelled Spm$^+$ ions move from the no-slip layer toward the hydrodynamically mobile region. These two effects enhance the electrohydrodynamic weight of the Spm$^+$ and K$^+$ cations with respect to the Cl$^-$ anions, which turns the charge flux from negative back to positive (see the inset) and suppresses the current reversal. Next, we consider the opposite case of attractive polarization forces emerging in the giant permittivity regime of surface-coated membrane nanoslits.

III.B. Polarization-Induced Streaming Currents and Ion Separation through Surface-Coated Pores. The surface coating of low permittivity membranes by CNTs is known to enhance the dielectric permittivity of the substrate into the giant permittivity regime $\varepsilon_m \gg \varepsilon_w$ characterized by attractive polarization forces.\(^{39}\) Here, we reveal a new mechanism of streaming current generation triggered by the action of these forces on the multivalent ions of the electrolyte mixture.

Figure 6a displays the permittivity dependence of the streaming conductance in neutral slits ($\varepsilon_m = 0$) containing the electrolyte mixtures KCl+PO$_3^{3-}$ (top plot) and KCl + Spd$^+$ (bottom plot) with the trivalent phosphate (PO$_3^{3-}$) anions and spermidine (Spd$^+$) cations. In a neutral slit confining a pure KCl solution, due to the symmetric charge partition, the local charge density (eq 36) and net current (eq 37) would vanish. However, Figure 6a shows that, in the presence of trivalent ions, the rise of the membrane permittivity from the insulator $\varepsilon_m < \varepsilon_w$ to the giant permittivity regime $\varepsilon_m \gg \varepsilon_w$ amplifies the streaming conductance from a vanishingly small magnitude to a substantially positive value for PO$_3^{3-}$ anions and a negative value for Spd$^+$ cations. Moreover, in the metallic regime, $\varepsilon_m \gtrsim 10^3$, the current amplitude saturates at a limiting value roughly proportional to the multivalent ion concentration. This point is explicitly illustrated in Figure 6b,c: for $\varepsilon_m > \varepsilon_w$ where added PO$_3^{3-}$ anions (Spd$^+$ cations) into the KCl solution activate a positive (negative) conductance whose magnitude rises with the multivalent ion density ($K_m n_{cb}$) and the membrane permittivity ($\varepsilon_m^1 K_m^1$).

Thus, in the giant permittivity regime of the surface-coated pores, the attractive polarization forces coupled to the multivalent ions can solely set a counterion current whose sign and strength can be tuned by the type and amount of the added multivalent charges. This effect is the key prediction of our work. Figure 7 illustrates the mechanism driving this current in terms of the mono- and multivalent ion densities, and the normalized charge flux for the KCl+PO$_3^{3-}$ mixture confined to a neutral slit pore. The permittivity values corresponding to the dots of the same color in Figure 6 are $\varepsilon_m = 2$ (purple), 500 (blue), and 10$^4$ (red). (d–f) Equivalent plots for the KCl + Spd$^+$ liquid.

![Figure 6](https://pubs.acs.org/journal/jpcb...)

**Figure 6.** (a) Polarization-induced streaming current against the permittivity of the neutral membrane and (b, c) the multivalent ion concentration in the mixed solutions KCl+PO$_3^{3-}$ (black curves) and KCl + Spd$^+$ (gray curves). The insets in (a) depict the charge configuration at the origin of the current. The steric ion size and salt concentration are $\rho_w = 1.5$ Å and $n_{cb} = 0.1$ M. The other parameters are the same as in Figure 2.
dependence of the attractive image charge interactions on the ion valency (see eqs 22 and 23), the multivalent ions experience a significantly stronger interfacial adsorption than the monovalent salt ions. In the permittivity regime \( \varepsilon_m \gtrsim 500 \) where this asymmetry becomes pronounced, the salt–multivalent ion interactions take over the salt–image charge interactions. Consequently, the PO\(^{4+} \) (Spm\(^{3+} \)) adsorption affects further K\(^+ \) (Cl\(^- \)) ions but excludes the Cl\(^- \) (K\(^+ \)) ions from the slit (red curves). The insets in Figure 7a,d show that this results in a charge flux opposite to the sign of the added multivalent charges, explaining the counterion current displayed in Figure 6. The corresponding charge separation mechanism is schematically depicted in the insets of Figure 6.

Considering the difficulty in modifying externally the sign and density of the nanopore surface charges, the polarization-induced streaming current presents itself as a highly relevant prediction for nanofluidic ion separation purposes. Figure 8a,b illustrates the extension of this mechanism to membranes with a finite anionic surface charge. One sees that, in negatively charged slits, the increase of the membrane permittivity from the insulator to the giant permittivity regime leads to the pore Cl\(^- \) (see the insets) and the reversal of the charge flux and conductance from a finite positive to a negative value (see the main plots). It is noteworthy that the activation of surface CI by the exclusive effect of the attractive polarization forces has been previously demonstrated in the MC simulations of multivalent electrolytes in contact with planar conductors.\(^{49} \) This partial overlap of the results is a relevant numerical support of the polarization-induced current generation mechanism revealed herein.

### III.C. Ion Separation by Attractive Polarization Forces in Voltage-Driven Charge Transport

The voltage-induced charge transport driven by the individual ion conductivities radically differs from the pressure-driven convective transport process studied in Sec. III.B. We probe here the effect of the attractive coupling between the polarization forces and the multivalent ions on the ionic composition of voltage-driven currents. We consider a neutral slit of small thickness \( d = 5 \) nm where deviations from the bulk transport behavior can be easily observed. In order to focus on the ion separation regime, the bulk multivalent ion concentration will be set to a sufficiently large value for the monovalent coions to be quasi-totally depleted from the slit.

Figure 9a displays the dielectric permittivity dependence of the conductance (eq 41) rescaled by its bulk value

\[
K_{vb} = \frac{\mu e}{L} (d - 2a_m) \sum_{i=m,e} \frac{\mu_i q_i}{|q_i|} n_{i,b}
\]

for the KCl and KCl + Spm\(^{3+} \) solutions. As the permittivity rises from the insulator to the metallic limit, the multivalent ion effects come into play in the giant permittivity regime \( \varepsilon_m \gtrsim 10^3 \) where the KCl + Spm\(^{4+} \) conductance (solid blue curve) experiences a significantly stronger enhancement than the KCl conductance (black). In Figure 9a, we reported as well the reduced conductance \((K_{vb} - K_{vb}^{\text{lim}})/K_{vb}^{\text{lim}}\) excluding the Spm\(^{4+} \) component.
contribution (dashed curve) with the conductance components of the separate ionic species \( k_{\pm, \epsilon} \) defined as
\[
K_{\pm, \epsilon} = \frac{w}{L} \mu_j a_j \int_{-a_j}^{a_j} dz n_j(z) \tag{46}
\]

One sees that, upon the increment of the membrane permittivity from \( \varepsilon_m \approx 2 \) to \( \varepsilon_m \gg \varepsilon_w \), the reduced and total conductances are amplified by comparable amounts. This suggests that the principle role played by the Spm\(^+\) cations on the current enhancement is electrostatic.

The primarily electrostatic effect of the Spm\(^+\) ions on the current amplification is corroborated in Figure 9b,c. According to Figure 9b, for \( \varepsilon_w \gg \varepsilon_m \), the Spm\(^+\) cations strongly adsorbed by the pore walls exclude the K\(^+\) cations and attract the Cl\(^-\) anions. Figure 9c shows that, beyond the permittivity value \( \varepsilon_m \approx 10^3 \), this leads to the suppression of the K\(^+\) conductivity (\( \varepsilon_m \uparrow K_{\epsilon, +} \downarrow \)) and the enhancement of the Cl\(^-\) conductivity (\( \varepsilon_m \uparrow K_{\epsilon, -} \downarrow \)) responsible for the amplification of the KCl + Spm\(^+\) conductance observed in Figure 9a. Although attractive polarization forces equally amplify the Spm\(^+\) conductance (red curve in Figure 9c), due to the low bulk Spm\(^+\) density, the former is largely dominated by the enhanced Cl\(^-\) component. Thus, in the giant permittivity regime of surface-coated pores, added Spm\(^+\) ions induce an electrostatic charge discrimination and generate a Cl\(^-\)-rich current without making themselves a substantial conductive contribution to charge transport.

Figure 9d shows that the addition of the trivalent PO\(^3\)\(^+\) anions to the KCl solution leads to a quantitatively comparable enhancement of the total conductance, which is partly driven by the direct PO\(^3\)\(^+\) \( \rightarrow \) K\(^+\) interactions. Namely, in the regime \( \varepsilon_w \gg \varepsilon_m \), the adsorbed PO\(^3\)\(^+\) ions setting an anionic surface charge exclude the Cl\(^-\) anions and attract the K\(^+\) cations (see Figure 9e). At \( \varepsilon_m \gg 10^3 \), this reduces the Cl\(^-\) interfacial conductivity and enhances the K\(^+\) conductivity, i.e., \( \varepsilon_m \uparrow K_{\epsilon, +} \downarrow K_{\epsilon, -} \) (see Figure 9f). However, in contrast with the previous case of Spm\(^+\) charges, the PO\(^3\)\(^+\) ions of lower valency and larger bulk concentrations also bring a sizable conductive contribution to the increment of the total current; one notes that, in the giant permittivity regime, the PO\(^3\)\(^+\) conductance becomes even higher than the K\(^+\) conductance. Hence, the separate electrostatic and conductive contributions from the PO\(^3\)\(^+\) ions lead to a voltage-driven current equally rich in the K\(^+\) and PO\(^3\)\(^+\) species.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The comprehension of charged systems characterized by the omnipresence of composite electrolytes requires the formulation of electrostatic theories able to take into account mixed interaction strengths. Via the self-consistent incorporation of multivalent ions into the PB equation, we developed a theoretical formalism accounting for the electrostatic and HC interactions of WC monovalent salt and SC multivalent ions. By combining the corresponding SCPB formalism with the Stokes equation, we investigated the impact of SC electrostatics on nanofluidic charge transport through nanoslits fabricated in low-permittivity silica and surface-coated dielectric membranes.

The SCPB theory was applied to characterize the emergence of negative streaming currents in strongly anionic silica nanochannels. This exotic transport behavior is driven by the collective effect of the multivalent counterions activating the membrane Cl\(^-\) and bringing Cl\(^-\) ions into the slit and the no-slip zone reducing the contribution from these interfacial counterions to the net current. As a result of this cooperative mechanism, the like-charge current formation requires the inversion of the average potential within the no-slip region.

We have also probed SC effects on the pressure-driven transport properties of surface-coated nanopores located in the giant permittivity regime \( \varepsilon_m \gg \varepsilon_w \) associated with attractive polarization forces.\(^{49}\) Under the effect of these forces, added multivalent ions result in a charge separation and generate a counterion current through interfacially neutral slits. This key prediction can be readily verified by transport experiments and the underlying electrohydrodynamic mechanism can be beneficial to ion separation and water purification technologies.

In addition, we found that, if the nanoslit carries anionic surface charges, the increment of the membrane permittivity from the insulator to the giant permittivity regime can solely trigger the pore Cl\(^-\) and reverse the streaming current from positive to negative. Hence, the polarization-driven current generation mechanism is supported by MC simulations where the activation of Cl\(^-\) by the exclusive effect of the attractive image–charge interactions has been previously observed.\(^{49}\)

In the case of voltage-driven transport through neutral dielectric slits, charge separation by quadrivalent Spm\(^+\) molecules generates a single-species current rich in Cl\(^-\). However, if the charge separation is achieved by the addition of trivalent PO\(^3\)\(^+\) ions, their higher bulk concentration resulting from their lower valency leads to a voltage-driven current equally rich in the PO\(^3\)\(^+\) and K\(^+\) species. Thus, in the charge-separated current, the weight of the multivalent ions varies inversely with their valency.

The consequences of the polarization-induced charge separation on the field-driven polymer translocation\(^{41}\) will be explored in an upcoming work. We also note that the present formalism was based on the assumption of a uniform no-slip length. In future work, this approximation can be relaxed via the consideration of the explicit ion or solvent effects on the interfacial liquid viscosity.\(^{11}\) Finally, in the Supporting Information, the SCPB formalism is shown to provide a self-consistent route to the dressed-ion theory.\(^{10}\) In light of this exact mapping, the comparative confrontation of these formalisms with intensive MC simulations will help us to identify the validity regime of the underlying approximations.
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