RAČUNANJE TERENSKEGA POPRAVKA NA PODLAGI DIGITALNEGA MODELA GOSTOTE TOPOGRAFSKIH MAS
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This paper presents the determination of terrain correction by the means of the Digital Density Model (DDM) of topographic masses (Earth’s crust mass above the geoid) for the territory of Serbia. The DDM is determined using the Digital Terrain Model (DTM) with the resolution of 30 m, basic geological map of Serbia, and a set of hypotheses on the density of the Earth’s crust masses. The assumption that the general lithosphere density over the territory of Serbia ranges between 2200 kg/m³ and 2800 kg/m³ was adopted. Terrain correction is determined at 5765 points, with relatively uniform distribution over the territory of Serbia. The calculations had also included comparing the values obtained therein to the terrain corrections obtained when accepting the hypothesis of constant lithosphere density of 2670 kg/m³. Results of comparison highlight that the differences of terrain correction values ranging from –1.31 mGal to 0.73 mGal, with 98 % of differences falling within the range of ±0.2 mGal.

IZVLEČEK

V članku predstavljamo postopek računanja terenskega popravka za območje Srbije na temelju tako imenovanega digitalnega modela gostote DMG (angl. Digital Density Model) topografskih mas, to je mas Zemljine skorje nad ploskvijo geoida. DMG je bil določen na podlagi digitalnega modela reliefa (DMR) ločljivosti 30 x 30 metrov in osnovne geološke karte Srbije, ob tem pa smo upoštevali domneve o gostoti mas Zemljine skorje na obravnvanem območju. Predpostavljali smo, da je gostota litosferskih mas na območju Srbije med 2200 kg/m³ in 2800 kg/m³. Terenski popravek smo izračunali v 5765 točkah, ki so enakomerno razporejene po območju Srbije. V raziskavi smo tudi primerjali tako pridobljene rezultate s popravki modela terena, ko smo predpostavili, da je gostota litosfere na študijskem območju ena in znaša 2670 kg/m³. Rezultati primerjalne analize so pokazali, da se terenski popravek razlikujejo od –1,31 mGal do 0,73 mGal, pri čemer pa je 98 % izračunanih razlik v intervalu ±0,2 mGal.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In geodetic research, the gravitational effects of topographic masses need to be considered when resolving the Geodetic Boundary Value Problem, i.e. when determining the geoid or quasigeoid. Detailed problem description and review of numerous published papers covering years of research on this topic was given in Tziavos and Sideris (2013). The gravitational effects of topographic masses are dominant at the level of short wavelength characteristics of the gravitational signal spectrum, i.e. it is directly accountable for determining the geoid or quasigeoid at the centimetre accuracy level. Thus far, the geoid or quasigeoid had been determined in Serbia twice. Both solutions were presented in detail in Odalović (2008) and Ågren et al. (2011). Both determinations above use remove–restore procedure (Forsberg, 1993), treating the gravitational effect of topographic masses by the means of the Residual Terrain Model (RTM) (Forsberg and Tscherning, 1981), with formal and final calculation being performed by directly applying the expression for the gravitational effect of right rectangular prisms (Nagy et al., 2000), along with a set of approximate expressions as shown in Li (1993). Both geophysical and geodetic treatments of the gravitational effect of topographic masses often assume average lithosphere mass density value of 2670 kg/m³, due to unknown distribution of densities. Both determinations of geoid/quasi-geoid above use this particular value, assuming lithosphere masses are equal as per densities. Tziavos and Featherstone (2000) and Olugbenga (2000) in their own researches for the district of West Australia and the territory of Canada, respectively, showed that using more realistic lithosphere density distribution may significantly improve the gravitational effect of topographic masses modelling. In both researches, authors use some relatively simple density model of the upper part of lithosphere in order to include the effects of density anomalies in good modelling procedures. In many of other papers dedicated to the effect of density anomalies authors start their treatment of density anomalies by creating of a digital model of the density distribution or Surface Density Maps (SDM), according to already existing geological maps and some additional information on the density of lithosphere. For example, one of such an SDM was shown in Tenzer et al. (2011) where their creation was performed on the basis of the geological maps and huge number of wet density values. The SDM was created in a regular grid with resolution of 1 x 1 arc minutes. Within this research, there was no any kind of modelling of densities between the Earth down to the geoid itself. Another example of SDM is shown in Huang et al. (2001) where 2D geological map of Canada was used for creating of SDM for the area of the Canadian Rocky Mountains. SDM was created in the form of regular grid with resolution of 30 x 60 arc seconds. The distribution of the densities down to the geoid was treated only lateral on the basis of the surface densities. The use of the SDM in geoid determination was also showed in Kühtreiber (1998). In this paper, author used SDM to adopt density value by using RTM reduction (Forsberg and Tscherning, 1981) with the aid of (modified) well known TC-program (Forsberg, 1984). As in the last two cases, for the densities of masses down to the geoid, surface density value was adopted.

Over the territory of Serbia, density of masses of physical Earth’s surface is in the range from 2200 kg/m³ to 2800 kg/m³, that significantly deviates from the average value of lithosphere mass density. In the northern parts of Serbia, covering 30% of the total territory of Serbia, mass densities are nearly homogeneous at 2200 kg/m³, while the mass densities at the southern part reach the value of 2800 kg/m³ (Dimitrijević et al., 1970). This paper presents the first results of the research regarding the effect of different lithosphere densities on the value of terrain correction for the territory of Serbia, according to...
the newly created DTM and DDM. We created DDM also from the existing geological maps by creating SDM, using some additional hypothesis about the upper layer of the lithosphere in order to provide more realistic density anomalies from the physical surface of the Earth down to the geoid surfaces.

2 MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

Terrain correction is the part of the topographic masses gravity effect that is inappropriately considered (or left out completely) under the procedure of Bouguer reduction of the gravity, i.e. while determining the value of gravity on the geoid, based on the values of measuring results on the Earth’s physical surface (Heiskanen and Moritz, 1967). Bouguer reduction starts by determining the gravity effect of the Bouguer plate in a point P which is located at the physical surface of the Earth (Figure 1):

$$\delta g_B = 2\pi G \rho H^0,$$

where $G$ is the universal gravitational constant, $\rho$ is the mean mass density of the Earth’s crust and $H^0$ and is the orthometric height of the point (Heiskanen and Moritz, 1967).

![Figure 1: Representation of the Bouguer plate.](image)

After calculating corrections for the free air,

$$\delta g_{FA} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial H^0} H^0$$

and atmospheric correction (Torge, 1989)

$$\delta g_{Atm} = 8.74 - 9.9 \cdot 10^{-4} H_{[m]} + 3.56 \cdot 10^{-8} H^2_{[m]}$$

the value of the gravity on the geoid can be calculated as:

$$g' = g_{meas} - \delta g_B + \delta g_A + \delta g_{FA}$$

where $\partial g/\partial H^0$ is vertical gravity gradient and $g_{meas}$ is measured gravity value at the point $P$. It is obvious that the non-existing masses were taken into consideration (Figure 1, masses marked $m-$) while proceeding with the Bouguer’s plate effect evaluation and that the gravitational mass effect positioned above Bouguer’s plate was not taken into consideration (Figure 1: masses designated $m+$. The total of gravitational mass effect $m-$ and $m+$ is designated as terrain correction (Forsberg and Tscherning, 1997):

$$c = \delta g_{meas} + \delta g_{Atm}$$
and it can be calculated using the expression:

\[
c = G \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{z=H_p}^{\infty} \int_{x=H_p}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(x, y, z)}{\sqrt{(x-x_p)^2 + (y-y_p)^2 + (z-z_p)^2}} \, dx \, dy \, dz
\]

The final value of gravity on the geoid is obtained by adding the terrain correction to the value defined by the expression (4):

\[
g_B = g' + c
\]

The gravity anomaly value determined in this manner is used to establish Bouguer’s anomalies on the geoid, as it is presented in several researches, e.g. (Hackney and Featherstone, 2003):

\[
\Delta g_B = g_B - \gamma_0
\]

where \( \gamma_0 \) is the value of normal gravity at an adopted reference ellipsoid (Moritz, 1984). The equation (1) together with a representation of Bouguer plate showed in Figure 1 is just one way to represent the gravity effect of topographic mass. A lot of different approach is possible. For example, in Vaniček et al. (2001), topography mass was treated just like in this paper, as a Bouguer plate, but in addition as a Bouguer shell. The approach of the spherical Bouguer shell is also applied in the research presented in Kuhn et al. (2009) for a huge number of gravity points all over Australia. Another way for calculation of topography effects throughout Bouguer concept is to derive spherical Bouguer shell from the Earth’s topography harmonic expansion as it is shown in Balmino et al. (2012). In all mentioned papers advantages and disadvantages of different approaches were presented, but we decided to use the Bouguer plate as a simpler approach which is not computationally too demanding.

The terrain correction determined by the expression (5) is also a part of the anomaly defined by equation:

\[
\Delta g_H = g_{\text{mes}} - \gamma_0 + \delta g_{\text{fr}} + c + \delta g_A
\]

which is obtained by using the Helmert reduction. Anomalies defined by (9) are the basic values for determining the gravimetric geoid under the remove–restore method. The Bouguer anomaly is not used for the purposes of geoid determination, due to the exceptionally high effects on the geoid undulations known as indirect effect (Heiskanen and Moritz, 1967). The indirect effect is, furthermore, the consequence of removing or pushing out the topographic masses, causing the change of gravity potential, i.e. the variation of the geoid undulation values (\( N_R \)) and for that reason, it needs to be additionally modelled within the remove–restore method (Forsberg and Tscherning, 1997):

\[
N = N_R + E
\]

The indirect effect value \( IE \) may be determined from (Ägren et al., 2009):

\[
IE = \frac{2 \pi G \rho}{\gamma_0} \left( H_p^2 + \frac{2 H_p^3}{3 \, R} \right),
\]

where \( R \) is the mean Earth radius, the first term in the expression (11) pertains to the Bouguer’s plate effect and the second term to the terrain correction effect (Moritz, 1980). The indirect effect has strictly local
character and is directly proportional to the height of the point where the effect is being evaluated, as well as to the density of topographic masses around the evaluation point (Sjöberg and Nahavandchi, 1999).

3 TERRAIN CORRECTION PRACTICAL EVALUATION

The integral defined by the expression (6) is commonly calculated today by the means of digital terrain model (DTM), which divides the topographic masses into right rectangular prisms (Figure 2), where the symbol for infinity in (6) is replaced by the distance of \( D = 167 \) km, and for the densities of Earth’s crust masses the mean value of 2670 kg/m\(^3\) is being adopted; thus the integral (6) being transformed into the following expression (Forsberg and Tscherning, 1997):

\[
e = G \rho \int_D \int_{z=H_p}^{z=H_p^o} \frac{z - H_p^o}{\sqrt{(x-x_P)^2 + (y-y_P)^2 + (z-z_P)^2}} \, dx \, dy \, dz.
\]

where \( x, y, \) and \( z \) are the coordinates of the running point, and \( x_P, y_P, \) and \( z_P \) are coordinates of the evaluation point.

The procedure of the evaluation involves determining gravity effect of each prism that is positioned at the distance less than 167 km from the evaluation point \( P \), taking into consideration the effect of the Earth’s curvature, and finally, summing the effects of all prisms.

The expressions used for determining the gravity effect of a single prism, i.e. the potential and its first partial derivatives are given respectively by the following expressions (Figure 3) (Nagy et al., 2000):

\[
V = G \rho \left[ xy \ln(z + r) + xz \ln(y + r) + yz \ln(x + r) - \right.
\]
\[
\left. \frac{x^2}{2} \arctan \frac{yz}{xr} + \frac{y^2}{2} \arctan \frac{xz}{yr} - \frac{z^2}{2} \arctan \frac{xy}{zr} \right]^{x_2}_{x_1}^{y_2}_{y_1}^{z_2}_{z_1},
\]

\[
V_z = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = -G \rho \left[ x \ln(y + r) + y \ln(x + r) - z \arctan \frac{xy}{zr} \right]^{x_2}_{x_1}^{y_2}_{y_1}^{z_2}_{z_1},
\]

\[
V_x = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -G \rho \left[ y \ln(z + r) + z \ln(y + r) - x \arctan \frac{yz}{xr} \right]^{x_2}_{x_1}^{y_2}_{y_1}^{z_2}_{z_1},
\]

\[
V_y = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = -G \rho \left[ z \ln(x + r) + x \ln(z + r) - y \arctan \frac{zx}{y} \right]^{x_2}_{x_1}^{y_2}_{y_1}^{z_2}_{z_1},
\]
where
\[ r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}. \]  
For all the prisms that are located at the distance greater than \( d = 15 \text{ km} \) (Nowell, 1999; Hinze et al., 2005) from the point \( P \), the effect of the Earth’s curvature can be exactly taken into consideration using the transformation (Makhloof, 2007) (Figure 4):
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_x' \\
V_y' \\
V_z'
\end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R} \left( \varphi, \lambda, \varphi', \lambda' \right) \cdot 
\begin{bmatrix}
V_x \\
V_y \\
V_z
\end{bmatrix},
\]
(18)
where
\[
\mathbf{R} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1 s'_2 (c_2 s'_1 + c_1 s'_2) + c_1 c'_1 & s_1 (-c_2 s'_1 - s_2 c'_2) & -s_1 c'_1 (c_2 s'_1 + s_2 c'_2) + c_1 c'_1 \\
  s'_1 (c_2 s'_1 + c_1 s'_2) & s_2 s'_1 + c_2 c'_2 & c'_1 (-s_2 c'_2 + s_1 c'_1) \\
  -c_1 s'_1 (c_2 s'_1 + s_2 c'_2) + s_1 c'_1 & c_1 (-c_2 s'_1 + s_2 c'_2) & c'_1 (c_2 s'_1 + s_2 c'_2) + s_1 c'_1
\end{bmatrix},
\]
(19)
with
\[
s_1 = \sin \varphi, \quad s_2 = \sin \lambda, \quad c_1 = \cos \varphi, \quad c_2 = \cos \lambda,
\]
\[
s'_1 = \sin \varphi', \quad s'_2 = \sin \lambda', \quad c'_1 = \cos \varphi', \quad c'_2 = \cos \lambda'.
\]
(20)
By summing the effects of all prisms, the value of terrain correction is finally evaluated by:
\[
c_P = \sum_{i}^n V_{z_i} + \sum_{j}^{n_2} V'_{z_j},
\]
(21)
where \( n_1 \) is the number of prisms that are at the distance \( d < d' \), and \( n_2 \) is the number of prisms that are at the distance \( d \geq d' \).

Table 1 shows the gravity effect of one prism with a square base of 30 m and the height of 500 m at the different horizontal distances from the prisms centre of mass. The table indicates that the effect of different densities of individual prisms for a point at the prism itself has the value of several tenths of mGal, and the
Effect of the same prism to the point at the horizontal distance of only 100 m from the centre of mass of the prism is of the order of several hundreds of mGal. Whatever the case may be, it can be stipulated that the summary effect of large numbers of prisms with various densities on evaluating terrain correction is not negligible in general. To estimate the effect of adopting the hypothesis of equal topographic mass densities in summing up a large number of prisms by using (21), we have treated the terrain correction using expression (6), and used the DDM to determine the density that appears as variable in (6). For the purposes of the researches, the DDM was created as the set of right rectangular prisms that are covering the area shown in Figure 5 using the following: the DTM of Serbia, with the resolution of 30 m in both directions; the Geological Map of Yugoslavia, scale 1:500,000, and the SRTM model (USGS, 2005), with the resolution of 3 arc second in both directions, which was used for topographic masses outside the territory of Serbia.

Table 1: Gravity effect of a single prism with dimensions of 30 m x 30 m x 500 m, depending on the density of the prism and on horizontal distance from the prism's centre of mass (units: mGal).

| Density (kg/m³) | Effect on gravity (mGal) | Distance (m) | Effect difference compared to the effect of density of 2670 kg/m³ (mGal) |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2670           |                        | 0            | 0                                                                  |
| 2200           | 1.53                   | 0.12         | -0.33                                                             |
| 2300           | 1.60                   | 0.12         | -0.26                                                             |
| 2400           | 1.67                   | 0.13         | -0.19                                                             |
| 2500           | 1.73                   | 0.13         | -0.12                                                             |
| 2600           | 1.80                   | 0.14         | -0.05                                                             |
| 2670           | 1.85                   | 0.14         | 0.00                                                              |
| 2700           | 1.87                   | 0.14         | 0.02                                                              |
| 2800           | 1.94                   | 0.15         | 0.09                                                              |

Figure 6: Division of topographic masses into prisms using the DTM.

Figure 7: Division of right rectangular prisms per height to the smaller prisms.
The set of DDM prisms was obtained as follows: the topographic masses were divided into right rectangular prisms with equal bases using the DTM (Figure 6); the prisms obtained in this manner were additionally divided per their heights into the maximum of 3 segments, i.e. 3 smaller prisms (Figure 7) and using data from the geological map and adopting a series of hypothesis for the Earth's crust masses.

4 CREATION OF THE DTM

The DTM is created from the digitized data of the contour lines from the maps of scale 1 : 25,000, being one out of series projects of the Military Geographic Institute of Serbia (MGI). In 2004, the MGI handed over the data to the Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics at the Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Belgrade, in the point scatter format, for the purposes of scientific research. The DTM with resolution of 30 m x 30 m in both directions was created using those data, and was used in the procedure of a high resolution geoid determination in the territory of the Republic of Serbia (Odalović, 2008) (Figure 8). The coordinates of the grid point relate to the Cartesian coordinates in UTM projection. The DTM height values were determined using an interpolation procedure, i.e. by applying the method of squared inversion distance, from the points scatter coordinates in the direct vicinity of a point for which the height is being determined (Odalović, 2008). Comparison of derived DTM with the data of about 80000 geodetic network points indicates that the accuracy of the model is better than 10 m (Odalović, 2005). Using such DTM, we consider that the topographic masses are divided into prisms with bases of 30 m in both directions. As for topographic masses (Figure 8), the relief of Serbia is characterized by different formations: starting with flatlands, with heights of approximately 90 m in the parts north of the Sava and Danube Rivers, followed by moderately mountainous terrain in the central and eastern part of Serbia with heights ranging from 200 m to 1000 m, and high mountainous terrain at the southwest with heights from 1000 m and exceeding 2000 m.

Complex relief is the consequence of complex geological structure. Flatlands part in the north of Serbia was formed during the period after the Pannonian Sea had dried out, due to the tectonic terrain uplifting in the area during the period of the last 15 million of years. The mountain range in the east Serbia is a part of the Carpathian–Balkan volcanic complex and the igneous rocks are dominant in that part. The southwest part of Serbia is designated by high mountain ranges, the part of the Dinaric complex (Robertson et al., 1994). This shape of relief was formed by constant uplifting due to the African plate pressure on the southern Europe in the northeast direction. The sedimentary rocks (limestone and dolomite) are prevailing in this part of the terrain, often suffering deviations under the powerful tectonic pressure, and became metamorphic rocks. The Danube River enters Serbia at a three-state boundary: Hungarian, Croatian and Serbian; and exits Serbia in the east, at the border with Romania, and flows into the Black Sea afterwards. The length Danube River through Serbia is 588 km, with 137.6 km shared with Croatia and 299.4 km with Romania. The topography along the Danube in Serbia varies from plains to hilly regions and wild canyons. The most beautiful part of its basin is Đerdap gorge, where the Danube is the widest (Golubac: 6000 m) and the narrowest (Gorges: 150 m wide and 90 m deep).
1. Mixed European & Adriatic affinities;
2. Serbo-Macedonian Masiff;
3. Transylvanian, South Apuseni and Eastern Var- 
dar; 4. Oceanic ophiolites Šava;
5. Adria thrust sheets Jadar-Kopaonik; 6. Car-
patho-Balkanides;
7. Dinaric, Western Vardar, Mirdita; 8. Adria thrust 
sheets Drina-Ivanjica-Pelagonides.

(Major Tectonic Units: Schmid, et al., 2008)

5 CREATION OF THE DDM

Each prism that was defined by the means of DTM was additionally divided along the height into the maximum of 3 prisms (Figure 7, example of prism division A, B, C); based on its position, height, and data from the geological map of Serbia as well as the series of adopted hypothesis. Overview of geological map (Figure 9) instantly indicates that there are several blocks that can be noted as separate geological units (it needs to be noted that the different geological units do not necessarily represent units with different densities because there are geologically different rocks with the same or similar densities). In the geological sense, four major units can be designated over the territory of Serbia. In the far north of Serbia there is a big depression, flat terrain with heights of about 90 m, with surface rocks of small density ranging from 2200 kg/m$^3$ to 2300 kg/m$^3$, newly created in a geological sense (Dimitrijević et al., 1970). These are the remnants of the former Pannonian Sea. In the southern part of the territory of Serbia, significant differences of surface densities can be noticed, which indicate a very heterogeneous geological structure. Three dominant units may be distinguished yet. In the eastern part of the territory, the volcanic rocks prevail, being the part of the Carpathian-Balkan volcanic complex that stretches from Romania (Transylvania), over the eastern Serbia and the entire Bulgaria, ending at the Black Sea. This geological unit is composed mostly of volcanic rocks with densities that range from 2500 kg/m$^3$ to 2650 kg/m$^3$ (Dimitrijević et al., 1970). In the central part of the territory, there are the oldest rocks from the Paleozoic age that belong to the old Rhodope mass stretching from Greece, over Macedonia, and ending at the Danube in Serbia. The density of this geological unit is greater, and ranges from 2650 kg/m$^3$ to 2800 kg/m$^3$ (Dimitrijević et al., 1970). In the western part of the territory of Serbia,
Dinarides rocky complex is dominant, stretching from Slovenia, over Bosnia and Herzegovina, and Serbia, and ends in the western Macedonia. Those complex rocks vary in structure, from very old ones (Paleozoic), over medium age ones (Mesozoic) and younger volcanic rocks, with granites and andezites being representative. In this part, the densities vary widely, from younger sediments with a density of 2300 kg/m³ to very old crystal shale with density up to 2800 kg/m³ (Bilibajkić et al., 1979). However, the Mesozoic sediments with density from 2550 kg/m³ to 2650 kg/m³ are prevailing (Dimitrijević et al., 1970). The characteristic property of this area is that it contains rocks that suffered major transformations during the geological history (metamorphism), being very complex in tectonic sense. According to everything above, it is stipulated that the densities of topographic masses range between 2200 kg/m³ and 2800 kg/m³, with the water density value Danube, Sava and the other rivers in Serbia being 1000 kg/m³, followed by creating the SDM (Figure 10) (Grekulović, 2014). For the depth of the rivers their average depths in territory of Serbia were adopted. For example, the depth of Danube 15 m was adopted according to data from the Republic Hydrometrological Service of Serbia (http://www.hidmet.gov.rs/).

For the vertical division of prisms from the physical Earth surface down to the geoid, the topographic masses are shown as the function of orthometric height and surface density of prism, pursuant to the quantified model shown in Table 2 in detail. Quantified model of determining the heights of pylons with determined density was obtained from the available geological data, data from drill sites, as well as from geophysical logging data. For example, a prism with an orthometric height over 100 m and surface density ($\rho_1$) that corresponds to its position less or equal to 2200 kg/m³ is divided into 3 prisms. The first part of the prism has the height equal to 10% of the total prism height and its density equals to the surface density. The second part prism has the height equal to 50% of the total prism height and the density ($\rho_2$) is determined by the expression:

$$\rho_2 = \frac{\rho_1 + 2800 \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}}{2}. \quad (22)$$
In the case of three prisms in pillar, the expression (22) is adopted by the next assumptions:

- Density increases up with depth,
- First layer with density $\rho_1$ is relatively thin, adapted 10% of total pillar height, according to geo-
  logical data;
- Third layer on the bottom has a maximum density of 2800 kg/m$^3$ as a 50% part of the pillar height;
- Layer in the middle has a density that is average of first layer density $\rho_1$ and bottom densities of
  2800 kg/m$^3$.
- Anyway, by these hypotheses, density distribution will be much closer to real geological settings
  than average density of 2670 kg/m$^3$.

Table 2: Determination of number, density, and height of each pillar.

| Number of prism parts | $H$ (m) | Surface density $\rho_1$ [kg·m$^{-3}$] | Density of prism parts $\rho_2$ [kg·m$^{-3}$] | Height of prism parts [percentage] |
|----------------------|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2                    | ≤ 100  | 2200                             | 2200                            | 30                               |
| 2                    | ≤ 100  | 2201–2300                        | $\rho_2$                        | 30                               |
| 1                    | ≤ 100  | > 2300                           | $\rho_1$                        | 100                              |
| 3                    | > 100  | ≤ 2200                           | $\rho_2$                        | 10                               |
| 3                    |       | 2210–2300                        | $\rho_1$ $\rho_2$ $2800$        | 20                               |
| 3                    |       | 2310–2400                        | $\rho_1$ $\rho_2$ $2800$        | 10                               |
| 3                    |       | 2410–2500                        | $\rho_1$ $\rho_2$ $2800$        | 20                               |
| 3                    |       | 2510–2600                        | $\rho_1$ $\rho_2$ $2800$        | 30                               |
| 3                    |       | 2610–2700                        | $\rho_1$ $\rho_2$ $2800$        | 30                               |
| 2                    | > 2700 | $\rho_1$ $\rho_2$ $2800$         | 50                               |

The third part of height accounts for the remaining 40% of the total prism height with the density of 2800
kg/m$^3$. This case corresponds to prism division marked with a C in figure 7. In the case where the prism
height is less or equal to 100 m and the corresponding surface density is greater than 2300 kg/m$^3$ the prism
is not being divided; it is included in the DDM as a single prism (marked with A in Figure 7). In the third
potential case, a prism is divided into two parts if its height is less or equal to 100 m, and the surface density
is equal to 2200 kg/m$^3$ or ranges from 2201 kg/m$^3$ to 2300 kg/m$^3$ (Figure 7: marked with a B). Percent-
ages that determine ratios of prism height are determined from experience and geophysical data on the relations of separate geological units gauges over a given territory, being predominant over the study area. For example, the established fact is that in the territory of Serbia, the gauge of younger sediments (Tertiary and Quaternary) is relatively low, compared to the older rocks, thus this layer accounts for no more than 30% of the total pillar height. Similarly, the rocks from the Mesozoic era, mostly limestone rocks, generally have the greatest gauge in the entire rocks complex gauge, thus this part the height percentage mostly accounts for approximately 70%. For topographic masses out of Serbia territory, prisms were not divided by the height and their average density was taken into account. Over 800,000,000 prisms were created in this manner, and archived in a total of 129,600 data files; including the prism number, its bottom left and upper right point coordinates (Figure 3, points 1 and 2) and the prism density for each individual prism.

6 CALCULATION OF TERRAIN CORRECTION

Two data sets were determined using the DTM and the DDM created and applying expressions (12) and (6): set $c_{2670}$ - terrain correction with constant mass density of 2670 kg/m³ and set $c$ - terrain correction with density defined by the DDM. In determination of all data sets, masses at calculation points and up to the distance of 167 km above were considered. For the masses in close proximity to the computation points, at the distance up to 20 km, the DDM created was used. For all other masses, located at the distance of 20 km and up to 167 km, a coarse digital model with the resolution of 450 m in both direction was used, particularly aiming at saving the time needed for the calculation. A coarse model was created from the DDM in the following way: a) Each block of the DDM consisted of 15 x 15 points (225 points in total) were treated as one point of coarse DDM, b) The coordinates of the central points of each block were used as coordinates of the points of coarse DDM, c) For each block average height of 225 points were calculated and adopted as the heights of coarse DDM (heights used is these calculations were heights of most upper prisms, or, on the other words, prisms which upper base is near to the physical surface of the Earth), d) And for the density of prisms average value of 2670 kg/m³ were adopted. The sets were determined in the total of 5765 points that were relatively uniformly distributed over the territory of Serbia (Figure 11). Calculating of terrain correction to the certain distance has always included some of truncation effects (Mikuška et al., 2006), but for the territory of the Serbia effect of the distant mass over 167 km in this research was not considered.

Points where the terrain correction was evaluated were a part of the Serbia gravimetric survey subset (Odalović et al., 2012), and geodetic coordinates for each point in the GRS80 system were known, together with the orthometric heights. Apart from relatively uniform distribution, points were also selected in such manner that their heights determined using classical geodetic methods do not exceed the difference of 1 m from their respective heights from the DTM. Special software was developed at the Institute of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Belgrade, for the purposes of practical calculations of $c_{2670}$ or $c$, which calculates these sets of all 5765 points in approximately 6 hours, using 8 threads Intel i7 CPU, with 16 GB RAM. Statistical evaluation of the results, along with the differences

$$DTC = c - c_{2670},$$

are shown in Table 3.
Table 3: Basic statistical data sets for $c$, $c_{2670}$, and $DTC$ (units: mGal).

| Parameter          | Minimum | Maximum | Mean  | Standard deviation |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| $c$                | -0.01   | 37.68   | 1.97  | 2.69               |
| $c_{2670}$         | -0.01   | 37.68   | 1.98  | 2.69               |
| $DTC = c - c_{2670}$| -1.31   | 0.73    | -0.10 | 0.07               |

7 DISCUSSIONS

7.1 Terrain corrections determined with a constant value of density

The aforementioned results about terrain correction, determined with constant density value, are graphically shown in the figure 12. From the figure is obvious that minimal values of terrain correction were achieved in the northern part of Serbia, which is almost flat area. In the same area, there are few values of terrain correction with negative sign due to the Earth curvatures. In Figure 12, these points are located in the areas delineated by dashed line. From the scattered graph of terrain correction plotted against to the evaluation point heights, showed in the Figure 13 together with their regression line, it can be seen that there exists a relatively strong correlation of the terrain correction with terrain heights. In general, terrain correction values increases from the north (flat area) to the south (area with rough topography mass).
Figure 12: The general representation of the terrain correction surface over the territory of Serbia (equidistance 2 mGal).

Figure 13: Terrain corrections plotted against heights of the evaluation points (red line: regression line of the terrain correction as a function of height of the evaluation points).

The maximum value of the terrain correction for selected dataset was 37.68 mGal, but in Serbia the maximum detected value is reached at the point located on Rtanj mountain 41.93 mGal (Figure 12, the centre of the black circle); according to the histogram of terrain corrections, showed at the Figure 14, we can say that this kind of values are unique in Serbia. This high value of terrain correction of the Rtanj mountain is because of its characteristic pyramidal shape.

Figure 14: Histogram of $c$.
7.2 Terrain corrections determined by using DDM

The data showed in the Table 3 indicate the following: both sets of terrain correction, determined with constant values topographic masses and by using DDM, have almost the same statistical values. The spatial distribution of minimal, maximal and also negative values is same in both data sets, but there are still meaningful differences between those two sets. The graphical representation of these differences is shown in the Figure 15.

Significant differences occur in the northern and central part of Serbia (Figure 15, areas marked by red circles 1 and 2). In these areas, the values reach $-1.31$ mGal and up to $0.73$ mGal. In Figure 16, two of many possible profiles of these areas are shown. There is a total of 110 points within this range, i.e. 2% of the total number of points. Fruska Gora mountain covers a minor part of Vojvodina, consisting of older, higher density rocks. Compared to the broader surrounding area, which is flat and consists of younger, lower density rocks, due to the major differences in density, this mountain causes the difference of $-1.31$ above (Figure 15, red circle 1). In the area of circle 2, where the difference is $0.73$ mGal, Kopaonik range is notable, with the height exceeding 2000 m. This mountain complex, mostly consists of volcanic rocks, while the surrounding area with the height of about 1000 m to 1500 m contains rocks with diverse structure and prevailing higher densities rocky matter. It is worth to say that there is not any correlation of differences $DTC$ with heights of its valuation points (Figure 17).
Histogram of differences in Figure 18 shows that 93% of differences are in the 0.1 mGal range, and as much as 98% being in the 0.2 mGal range.

7.3 Effects of the DTC to the geoid undulation and the indirect effect

The differences ranging from –1.31 mGal to 0.73 mGal will change the geoid undulation values at the level of a few millimetres, which can be easily concluded from the expression (Heiskanen and Moritz, 1967):\[ \delta N_p = \frac{s_0}{\gamma_0} DTC_p, \] where \( s_0 \) is the distance that defines immediate surroundings of the evaluation point, \( \gamma_0 \) is the value of normal gravity on the ellipsoid and \( DTC_p \) is the differences calculated by (23). For example, for \( DTC_p = -1.31 \) mGal, \( s_0 = 5 \) km and \( \gamma_0 = 9.8 \) the value of \( \delta N_p = -7 \text{mm} \) is obtained, and for \( DTC_p = 0.73 \) mGal undulation will be changed for \( \delta N_p = 4 \text{mm} \). For an average difference value \( DTC_p = 0.10 \) mGal, the value of \( \delta N_p \) is less than 1 mm. From the expression (11), the value of indirect effect in the territory of Serbia changes in the 2 cm range, when applying DDM for its evaluation instead of the constant topographic mass density value. Geoid undulations over the territory of Serbia range from 40 m to 47 m (Odalović, 2008) and considering the quality of the data available and the accuracy of the determined geoid undulations at the level of 5 cm (Odalović, 2005), we can conclude that the changes of the indirect effect are not significant, at the moment.

7.4 Effects of the DTC to the gravity measurement in the local areas

Regarding to gravity investigations in applied geophysics, terrain corrections have a great role, especially in details with small grid data spaced and that are performed in rugged topography. Calculation of topography effect by using of average density of 2670 kg/m3 is commonly applied. However, if we use digital density model (DDM) with different densities of terrain, it is possible to get much better results that are closer to the real situation in the field. In practical use, Bouguer gravity maps are often prepared by contour interval of 0.1 mGal, in some special cases even 0.05 mGal. For this purpose, terrain corrections must be calculated very carefully and DDM in this case has a great role. Beside of all mentioned, the values of terrain correction are directly in relation to the geometry of topographic mass in the vicinity of evaluation points. To confirm this hypothesis, a test model of gravity investigation is prepared in the...
local area of 20 km x 20 km in the southwest part of Serbia (central part of red circle 2 in the Figure 13). In this area calculation is performed at 413 points (average distance between the points is 1 km). Basic statistical data for this calculation are shown in the Table 4, and general shape of $DTC$ at the Figure 19.

| Parameter          | Minimum | Maximum | Mean  | Standard deviation |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| $c$                | 2.67    | 24.64   | 7.84  | 3.24               |
| $c_{2670}$         | 2.62    | 24.64   | 7.68  | 3.19               |
| $DTC = c - c_{2670}$ | 0.0     | 0.75    | 0.16  | 0.16               |

Height of evaluation points [m]

| Height of evaluation points [m] | 243 | 1643 | 765 | 310 |

Table 4: Basic statistical data for $c$, $c_{2670}$ $DTC$ and heights of evaluation points for the local area.

The minimal value of the $DTC$, $-0.0001$ mGal (red circle at the Figure 19), is situated at the point with high elevation of 1339 m and around the point in a radius of about 500 m is relative flat terrain. The main reason for such result is topography in the vicinity of a point, which is not rugged and the value of terrain correction is relatively small. On the other hand, the point with maximal $DTC$, $0.73$ mGal (blue circle at the Figure 19), at the elevation of 257 m is situated in a canyon with steep slopes. This means that close topography has great effect, especially if the densities of rocks vary in the surroundings (area in radius of 500 m).

8 CONCLUSIONS

The computations of terrain corrections and indirect effect on the geoid for the territory of Serbia using a high resolution DDM are presented. The DDM provides an approximate density model solution, tending to approach significantly the density disposition of the lithosphere topographic masses, based on the geological map of Serbia and a series of hypothesis on the Earth’s crust masses density. The evaluation also involved a comparison of values of terrain corrections and indirect effect, obtained when the hypothesis of constant density of topographic masses are adopted. The maximal differences riches...
values from −1.31 mGal up to 0.73 mGal, along with 98% of differences falling within the range of ±0.2 mGal. The value of indirect effect, due to applied DDM, will change the geoid undulations at the range from 1 cm to 2 cm. In determining the geoid at the territory of Serbia, it is obvious that these effects are not particularly significant, however, in the areas with distinct topographic masses, the aforementioned effects reach the value of a few decimetres, as shown in (Huang, 2002; Olugbenga, 2000). As for the future activities, a set of additional modelling is planned, particularly focusing on additional data acquisition regarding lithosphere and further software development. The additional information on lithosphere would contribute to the DDM improvement, and further software development would decrease the time needed to determine the gravitational effect of upper lithosphere.

Acknowledgments
Support for this research was provided by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (Project No. TR36020).

Literature and references:
Ägren, J., Djulović, S., Škrnjak, I. (2011). Plan for the Future Determination of a National Geoid Model for Serbia. Technical report. Belgrade: Republic Geodetic Authority. Ägren, J., Sjöberg, L. E., Klaemohr, R. (2009). The new gravimetric quasigeoid model KTH98 over Sweden. Journal of Applied Geodesy, 3 (3), 143–153. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/JAG.2009.015
Balmi, G., Vales, N., Bonvalet, S., Briais, A. (2012). Spherical harmonic modelling to ultra-high degree of Bouguer and isostatic anomalies. Journal of Geodesy, 86 (7), 499–520. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00190-011-0533-4
Bilibajkic, P., Mladenovic, M., Mijacic, S., Ristic, I. (1979). Explanation for the Gravity map of SFR Yugoslavia, Bouguer Anomalies, 1:500000. Zagreb: Enterprise for Applied Geophysics “Geoﬁzika” Zagreb, and Belgrade: Institute for Geological and Geophysical Research.
Dimitrijevic, M., Karamata, S., Sikosek, B., Vesilinovic, D. (1970). Geological map of Yugoslavia 1:500000 and explanation. Technical report. Belgrade: Institute for Geological and Mining Exploration.
Federal Geological Institute (1970). Geological Map of Yugoslavia 1:500000 and Explanation. Belgrade: Institute for Geological and Mining Exploration.
Forsberg, R. (1993). Modelling the fine-structure of the geoid: Methods, data requirements and some results. Surveys in Geophysics, 14 (4), 403–418. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00690568
Forsberg, R., Tscherning, C. C. (1981). The use of height data in gravity field approximation by collocation. Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth, 86 (B9), 7843–7854. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/JB086iB09p07843
Forsberg, R. (1984) A study of terrain corrections, density anomalies and geophysical inversion methods in gravity field modelling. Reports of the department of geodesy science and survey, 355. Ohio State University.
Forsberg, R., Tscherning, C. C. (1997). Topographic effects in gravity field modelling for BVP. In: Sansó F. (Ed.), Rummel R. (Ed.), Geodetic Boundary Value Problems in View of the One Centimeter Geoid (pp. 239–272). Springer, Berlin. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-80011-7
Grekuolovic, S. (2014). Modeling of the earth crust density in solving the boundary value problems of the gravity potential. Doctoral dissertation. Belgrade: University of Belgrade, Faculty of Civil Engineering.
Hackney, R. I., Featherstone, W. E. (2003). Geodetic versus geophysical perspectives of the ‘gravity anomaly’. Geophysical Journal International, 154 (1), 35–43. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2003.01941.x
Heiskanen, W., Moritz, H. (1967). Physical Geodesy. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman and Co.
Hinze, W. J., Aiken, C., Bruzena, J., Coakley, B., Dater, D., Flanagan, G., Forsberg, R., Holdenbe, T., Keller, Y., Kellogg, J. N., Kucks, R., Li, X., Manville, A., Pilkington, M., Plouff, D., Ravat, D., Roman, D., Urrutiafucugach, J., Webring, M., Winester, D. (2005). New standards for reducing gravity data: The North American gravity database. Geophysics, 70 (4), 325–332. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1988183
Huang, J. (2002). Computational methods for the discrete downward continuation of the earth gravity and effects of lateral topographical mass density variation on gravity and the geoid. Ph.D. thesis. New Brunswick: University of New Brunswick, Department of Geodesy and Geomatics Engineering.
Huang, J., Vanicek, P., Pagotakis, S. D., Brink, W. (2001). Effect of topographical density on geoid in the Canadian Rocky Mountains. Journal of Geodesy, 74 (11–12), 805–815. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001900000145
Kuhn, M., Featherstone W. E., Kirby, J. F. (2009). Complete spherical Bouguer gravity anomalies over Australia. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 56 (2), 213–223. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08120090802547041
Kühnheuber, N. (1998). Precise geoid determination using a density variation model. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, 23 (1), 59–63. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0079-1946(97)00242-5
Li, Y. (1993). Optimized spectral geoid determination. Master’s thesis. Calgary: University of Calgary, Department of Geomatics Engineering.
Makhlood, A. (2007). The use of topographic-isostatic mass information in geodetic
Robertson, A. H. F., Karamata, S. (1994). The role of subduction-accretion processes in the tectonic evolution of the Mesozoic Tethys in Serbia. Tectonophysics, 234 (1–2), 73–94. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(94)90205-4

Schmid, S. M., Bemoulli, D., Fugenschuh, B., Mateuños, L., Schefel, S., Schuster, R., Tischler, M., Ustaszewski, K. (2008). The Alpine-Carpathian-Dinaric orogenic system: correlation and evolution of tectonic units. Swiss Journal of Geosciences, 101 (1), 139–183. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1127/0038-003X/2008/0038-003X

Sjöberg, E. L., Nahavandchi, H. (1999). On the indirect effect in the Stokes–Helmert method of geoid determination. Journal of Geodesy, 73 (2), 87–93. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001900000222

Tenzer, R., Singh, P., Rattenbury, M., Nicolson, J. (2011). A digital rock density map of New Zealand. Computers and Geosciences, 37 (8), 1181–1191. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2010.07.010

Torge, W. (1989). Gravimetry. Berlin-New York: Walter de Gruyter.

Tziavos, I., Sideris, M. (2013). Topographic Reductions in Gravity and Geoid Modeling. In Sansò F. (Ed.), Sideris M. (Ed.), Geoid Determination (pp. 337–400). Berlin: Springer. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74700-0_8

Tziavos, I. N., Featherstone, W. E. (2000). First results of using digital density data in gravimetric geoid computation in Australia. In M.G. Sideris (Ed.), International Symposium on Geodesy: Gravity, Geoid and Geodynamics 2000 (pp. 335–340). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

USGS, (2005). Shuttle radar topography mission (SRTM) - “finished” products. U.S. Geological Survey. http://edc.usgs.gov/products/elevation/srtm.html

Vaniček, P., Novak, P., Martinec, Z. (2001). Geoid, topography, and the Bouguer plate or shell. Journal of Geodesy, 75 (4), 210–215. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s001900100165

Odalović O. R., Grekulović S. M., Starčević M., Nikolić D., Todorović Drakul M. S., Joksimović D. (2018). Terrain correction computations using digital density model of topographic masses. Geodetski vestnik, 62 (1), 79-97. DOI: 10.15292/geodetski-vestnik.2018.01.79-97