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Abstract

We introduce YATO, an open-source, easy-to-use toolkit for text analysis with deep learning. Different from existing heavily engineered toolkits and platforms, YATO is lightweight and user-friendly for researchers from cross-disciplinary areas. Designed in a hierarchical structure, YATO supports free combinations of three types of widely used features including 1) traditional neural networks (CNN, RNN, etc.); 2) pre-trained language models (BERT, RoBERTa, ELECTRA, etc.); and 3) user-customized neural features via a simple configurable file. Benefiting from the advantages of flexibility and ease of use, YATO can facilitate fast reproduction and refinement of state-of-the-art NLP models, and promote the cross-disciplinary applications of NLP techniques. The code, examples, and documentation are publicly available at https://github.com/jiesutd/YATO. A demo video is also available at https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLJ0mhzMcRu0U1TkzBfAftQ0iJRxYTTjXH.

1 Introduction

Large language models (LLMs) such as GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020), ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2022), and LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023a,b) have gained significant progress in natural language processing (NLP), showing strong abilities to understand text and competitive performance across various NLP tasks. However, these models are either close-source or difficult to fine-tune due to the high computational costs, which makes them inconvenient for academic research or practical implementation.

Alternatively, traditional neural models, such as recurrent neural networks (RNN, Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), convolutional neural networks (CNN, LeCun et al., 1989), and pre-trained language models (PLMs, Devlin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Clark et al., 2020) have been widely studied and utilized for text understanding. These models benefit from large-scale training data and can be quickly fine-tuned toward specific usages. Recent works also show they can offer useful guidance to LLMs (Xu et al., 2023). Therefore, small open-source deep learning models are important in current NLP systems, especially in computation and data resource-limited scenarios.

However, due to the complexity of the deep learning model architecture, it is challenging to implement methods or reproduce results from the literature. The different implementations of these models can lead to unfair comparisons or misleading results. Most existing frameworks were designed for professional developers, which brings additional obstacles for less experienced users, especially for researchers with less or no artificial intelligence (AI) background (Zacharias et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2021). In addition, these frameworks seldom support user-defined features required for various domain applications (e.g., in medical named entity recognition, customized lexicons can be supplemented as external features, such that additional labels are tagged as features when a word occurs in the lexicon). For non-expert, cross-domain users, customizing models via source code with additional features is complex. To promote interdisciplinary applications of cutting-edge NLP techniques, it is necessary to build a flexible, user-friendly, and effective text representation framework that supports a wide range of deep learning architectures and customized domain features.

There exist several text analysis toolkits in the NLP community. CoreNLP (Manning et al., 2014) and spaCy (Honnibal and Montani, 2017) offer pipelines for many traditional NLP tasks, while the performance is sometimes under-optimal due to the use of less powerful models. AllenNLP (Gardner et al., 2017) and flairNLP (Akbik et al., 2019) utilize pre-trained models while they do not support
user-defined features. FairSeq (Ott et al., 2019) is designed for sequence-to-sequence tasks like machine translation and document summarization. Transformers (Wolf et al., 2020) offers implementation for various tasks by using state-of-the-art models across different modalities, while it is heavily engineered. PaddleNLP (Contributors, 2021) and EasyNLP (Wang et al., 2022a) are specifically designed for industrial application and commercial usage, which are not lightweight for research purposes. The above toolkits are mostly developed for professional AI researchers or engineers, where heavy coding effort is necessary during model development and deployment. The learning curve is steep to fully leverage these toolkits for cross-disciplinary researchers (e.g., medical, financial) who need to build models with lightweight code.

This paper presents a toolkit, YATO (Yet Another deep learning based Text analysis Open toolkit), for researchers looking for a convenient way of building state-of-the-art models for two most popular types of NLP tasks: sequence labeling (e.g., Part-of-Speech tagging, named entity recognition) and sequence classification (e.g., sentiment analysis, document classification). YATO is built on NCRF++ (Yang and Zhang, 2018), a popular neural sequence labeling toolkit with over 250+ citations from research papers, 1,900+ stars and 120+ merged pull requests on GitHub as of Oct. 2023. NCRF++ has been utilized in many cross-disciplinary research projects, including medical (Yang et al., 2020) and finance (Wan et al., 2021). YATO retains its strengths, integrates advanced pre-trained language models, and adds capabilities for sequence classification and data visualization.

### 2 Highlights of YATO

Table 1 lists the comparison of YATO and popular existing text analysis libraries. The highlights of YATO include:

- **Lightweight.** YATO focuses on two fundamental while popular NLP tasks: sequence labeling and sequence classification, covering many downstream applications such as information extraction, sentiment analysis, text classification, etc. Different from the heavily engineered libraries, YATO is concise and lightweight with less library dependence. It can be fast developed and deployed in various environments, making it a user-friendly toolkit for less experienced users.

- **Flexible.** Most of the existing libraries do not support the combination of various neural features. By using YATO, users can customize their models through free combinations of various neural models, including traditional neural networks (CNN, RNN) and state-of-the-art PLMs, as well as hand-crafted features for domain adaptation. YATO also supports various inference layers, including attention pooling, softmax, conditional random field (CRF), and \( n_{best} \) decoding.

- **Configurable.** To minimize the effort of coding, all the model developments on YATO can be easily conducted by editing the configuration file. YATO will load the configuration file and construct the deep learning models following the configurations.

- **Easy to Use.** YATO is built based on PyTorch\(^1\) and has been released on PyPI\(^2\), the installation can be done through `pip install ylab-yato`. For non-AI users, editing a configuration file to build deep learning models is simple and intuitive. For AI users, YATO provides various modularized functions for professional development.

- **High Performance.** In extensive experiments on sequence labeling and classification tasks, YATO proves that it can achieve state-of-the-art performance on most tasks and datasets. YATO offers flexibility in terms of hardware resources, supporting both GPU and CPU for training and inference.

---

1. [https://pytorch.org/](https://pytorch.org/).
2. [https://pypi.org/](https://pypi.org/).

| System       | Lightweight | PLM | Neural Models | User-Defined Features | Configurable w/o Coding | SOTA Performance | Reference                      |
|--------------|-------------|-----|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| CoreNLP      | ✓           | ✓   | ✓             | ✗                     | ✓                       | ✓               | (Manning et al., 2014)         |
| spaCy        | ✓           |✓    | ✓             | ✗                     | ✓                       | ✓               | (Honnibal and Montani, 2017)   |
| AllenNLP     | ✓           | ✓   | ✓             | ✓                     | ✗                       | ✓               | (Gardner et al., 2017)         |
| FlairNLP      | ✓           | ✓   | ✓             | ✓                     | ✓                       | ✗               | (Akbik et al., 2019)           |
| NCRF++        | ✓           | ✓   | ✓             | ✗                     | ✓                       | ✓               | (Yang and Zhang, 2018)         |
| FairSeq       | ✗           | ✓   | ✓             | ✗                     | ✓                       | ✗               | (Ott et al., 2019)             |
| Transformers  | ✗           | ✓   | ✓             | ✗                     | ✓                       | ✓               | (Wolf et al., 2020)            |
| PaddleNLP     | ✗           | ✓   | ✓             | ✗                     | ✓                       | ✓               | (Contributors, 2021)           |
| EasyNLP       | ✗           | ✓   | ✓             | ✗                     | ✓                       | ✓               | (Wang et al., 2022a)           |
| YATO          | ✓           | ✓   | ✓             | ✗                     | ✓                       | ✓               | This paper                    |

Table 1: Comparison between existing popular text analysis libraries and our proposed YATO.
processes. It provides the ability to specify the desired device configuration, facilitating efficient utilization of multiple GPUs on a single server.

**Visualization.** YATO offers the interface for visualizing text attention, which can help users further interpret and analyze the results.

3 Architecture of YATO

YATO is designed **hierarchically** to support flexible combinations of character-level features, word-level features, and pre-trained language models\(^3\), as well as handcrafted features. As illustrated in Figure 1, YATO supports four patterns to represent text as embeddings and with flexible choices on adding handcrafted features and inference layers.

3.1 Text Representations

**Pure Pre-trained Language Model.** YATO enables the initialization of parameters with pre-trained language models such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019), and ELECTRA (Clark et al., 2020), and fine-tunes them on training data. Leveraging the rich knowledge inside the PLM, they have demonstrated strong performance on downstream tasks. To better leverage the models with domain-specific knowledge, YATO also supports pre-trained models designed for specific tasks, such as SciBERT (Beltagy et al., 2019), BioBERT (Lee et al., 2020) and others.

**Hierarchical Pre-trained Language Model.** The hierarchical pre-trained language model in YATO differs from the conventional notion of hierarchy, which typically describes relationships between word, sentence, and document structures. Instead, it signifies the ordinal relation between the traditional neural network and the pre-trained language model. Specifically, YATO supports using both word sequence features and the pre-trained language model representations in a hierarchical way, where the word and character features can be explicitly encoded in advance and used as the input for the pre-trained language model.

**Traditional Neural Network (TNN) & Pre-trained Language Model.** In contrast to the hierarchical combination, we can use the word sequence features directly before the final prediction layer, combined with the representation brought from the PLMs. Such a feature-based approach is also used in ELMo (Peters et al., 2018) and BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), which shows close performance while does not require fine-tuning the pre-trained models.

**Pure Traditional Neural Network.** Besides using the transformer-based pre-trained models, we also support traditional neural models such as RNN, CNN, and BiLSTM. Compared with Transformer, these models usually have fewer parameters and are also shown effective for sequence modeling (Ma and Hovy, 2016; Lample et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018), especially when the training data is limited.

3.2 Handcrafted Features and Inference

**Handcrafted Features.** YATO provides feature embedding modules to encode any handcrafted fea-

---

\(^3\)It supports all available pre-trained models from https://huggingface.co/models.
# Configuration File

**Dataloader**

- train_dir=The path of train dataset
- dev_dir=The path of development dataset

**Model**

- use_crf=True/False
- use_char=True/False
- char_seq_feature=GRU/LSTM/CNN/False
- word_seq_feature=GRU/LSTM/CNN/FeedFowrd/False
- low_level_transformer=pretrain language model
- high_level_transformer=PLM from huggingface
- bilstm=True/False

**Handcrafted Features**

- feature=[POS] emb_size=20 emb_dir=your POS embedding
- feature=[Cap] emb_size=20 emb_dir=your Cap embedding

**Hyperparameters**

- sentence_classification=True/False
- status=train/decode
- iteration=epoch number
- optimizer=SGD/Adagrad/adadelta/rmsprop/adam/adamw
- learning_rate=learning rate

**Prediction**

- raw_dir=The path of decode file
- decode_dir=The path of the decode result file
- nbest=0 (for labeling)/1 (for classification)

---

Table 2: A sample of a configuration file.

Features and the corresponding feature embeddings can be concatenated to the text representation on any patterns. Users can add feature embeddings by formatting the text following YATO instructions without any coding effort.

**Inference Layers.** The encoded text embeddings from the text representation structure are fed into a softmax or CRF (Lafferty et al., 2001) layer for sequence labeling tasks, and YATO also supports nbest decoding to generate more candidate label sequences with probabilities for further optimization. For sequence classification tasks, a classifier head over [CLS] representation, or pooling/attention layer on all word representations is used, and a visualization tool is also available in YATO to visualize the word importance in the attention layer.

## 4 The Overall Workflow and Usage

The overall workflow of YATO comprises three primary components to facilitate solving downstream tasks: configuration preparation, model training, and model decoding. Users can leverage this modular pipeline to tackle a wide range of applications.

**Configuration Preparation.** Users can specify the dataset, model, optimizer, and decoding through the configuration file, as shown in Table 2. In particular, different patterns can be customized by setting the values of “high_level_transformer”, “low_level_transformer”, “bilstm”, and different word sequence representations can be easily designed through specifying “char_seq_feature” and “word_seq_feature”. Handcrafted features can be added through “feature”.

**Model Training.** YATO enables efficient training of high-performance models for sequence labeling and sentence classification with minimal code and configuration file specification. For example, users can train a competitive named entity recognition or text classification model using a few lines of code and a configuration file. The simplicity of the YATO interface allows rapid prototyping and experimentation for these fundamental NLP tasks. The framework was designed in batch computation which can fully utilize the power of GPUs.

**Model Decoding.** Similar to model training, simple file configuration can be used to enable YATO. Besides the greedy decoding, YATO also supports nbest decoding, i.e., which decodes label sequences with the top n probabilities by using the Viterbi decoding in neural CRF layers. The nbest results can serve as important resources for further optimizations, e.g., reranking (Yang et al., 2017).

## 5 Experiments

### 5.1 Datasets and Main Results

To evaluate our framework, we evaluated 8 datasets that cover sequence labeling and classification tasks in both English and Chinese, including named entity recognition (NER) on CoNLL2003 (Tjong Kim Sang and De Meulder, 2003), OntoNotes (Hovy et al., 2006) and MSRA (Levow, 2006); CCG supertagging on CCG-Bank (Hockenmaier and Steedman, 2007); sentiment analysis on SST2, SST5 (Socher et al., 2013), and ChnSentiCorp (Tan and Zhang, 2008).

Table 3 and Table 4 demonstrate that YATO can reproduce both classical and state-of-the-art deep learning models on most sequence labeling and classification tasks. For some results such as BERT on CoNLL, the originally reported 92.4 F1 score by Devlin et al. (2019) may not be achieved with current libraries, as discussed in previous literature (Stanislawek et al., 2019; Gui et al., 2020). Overall, YATO achieves the best performance on MSRA, OntoNotes 4.0, CCG supertagging, and
Table 3: Results for sequence labeling tasks. **Bold** represents that YATO’s re-produce is more accurate. a(Yang et al., 2018) b(Devlin et al., 2019) c(Liang et al., 2020) d(Shelmanov et al., 2021) e(Wang et al., 2022b) f(Liu et al., 2021) g(Li et al., 2022) h(Durrani et al., 2020)

| Model                        | CoNLL 2003 | OntoNotes 5.0 | MSRA | Ontonotes 4.0 | CCG supertagging |
|------------------------------|------------|---------------|------|---------------|------------------|
| CCNN+WLSTM+CRF              | 91.26      | 91.11         | 81.53| 92.83         | 74.55            |
| BERT-base                    | 91.61      | 92.11         | 84.68| 94.71         | 79.93            |
| RoBERTa-base                 | 90.23      | 90.11         | 86.28| 96.02         | 80.94            |
| ELECTRA-base                 | 91.59      | 91.54         | 85.25| 96.03         | 80.47            |

Table 4: Results of sequence classification tasks. **Bold** represents that YATO re-produce is more accurate. † denotes the results of the dev set. a(Devlin et al., 2019) b(Zaheer et al., 2020) c(Clark et al., 2020) d(Munikar et al., 2019) e(Sun et al., 2020) f(Xia et al., 2022) g(Cui et al., 2021) h(Xin et al., 2020)

| Patterns | SST5 | CoNLL 2003 |
|----------|------|------------|
| 1. Pure PLM       | 53.48| 91.61      |
| 2. Hierarchical PLM | 53.77| 90.52      |
| 3. TNN⊕PLM        | 54.84| 90.47      |
| 4. Pure TNN        | 43.48| 91.26      |

5.2 Comparison of Different Patterns

Table 5 shows the performance of four different model patterns on both sequence labeling and classification tasks (one dataset for each task). The combination of Hierarchical PLM and TNN⊕PLM (patterns 2 and 3) outperforms pure models (patterns 1 and 4) on SST5. However, pure PLM achieves the best performance on the CoNLL 2003 NER dataset. These results demonstrate that complex models are not always better than simple models, and a flexible framework is necessary for providing various model candidates.

5.3 Results by Using Handcraft Features

To demonstrate the effectiveness of encoding handcraft features in domain application, Table 6 shows the comparison results on two medical NER tasks, the NCBI-disease (Doğan et al., 2014) for English and Yidu-S4K (CCKS, 2019) for Chinese. Experiments on NCBI-disease apply two types of features, capitalization and English medical lexicon from the Chinese-English mapping medical glossary. Experiments on Yidu-s4k dataset employ two medical lexicons as handcrafted features: the medical glossary of THUOCL (Han et al., 2016) and the same medical glossary sourced from the web while in Chinese version. Results show that handcraft features can improve the model performance in the medical domain.

5.4 Comparison with Transformers

The aforementioned results show that we can achieve the reported values across various tasks by using YATO. We further use tasks from GLUE benchmark (Wang et al., 2018) and compare with the results by using Huggingface Transform-
ers (Wolf et al., 2020), which is one of the most popular libraries. Table 7 shows the results by using BERT-base-uncased model, the values of Hugging-face Transformers are sourced from the corresponding github page⁵. YATO achieves comparable and overall better performance than that of Hugging-face Transformers by using default settings.

5.5 Visualization of Attention Map

Beyond performance, YATO provides a visualization tool for taking the list of words and the corresponding weights as input to generate Latex code for visualizing the attention-based result. Figure 2 provides visualization examples of attention on sentiment prediction tasks. Words or characters with sentiment polarities can be automatically extracted and highlighted using our YATO module. As shown in this table, words that have a high impact on the sentiment are highlighted. This visualization module can improve the interpretability of deep learning models in our toolkit.

5.6 Efficiency Analysis

YATO is implemented using a fully batch computing approach, making it quite efficient in both model training and decoding. With the help of GPU and large batches, models built on YATO can be decoded efficiently. Figure 3 shows the decoding speed of four patterns at different batch sizes. The Pure TNN model has the best inference speed (1400 sentences/s) with a batch size of 64. The decoding speed of Transformer-based models decreases to around 400 sentences/s, showing the trade-off between efficiency and performance. Overall, without using external optimization techniques, YATO has a competitive decoding speed.

6 Conclusion

YATO is an open-source toolkit for text analysis that supports various combinations of state-of-the-art deep learning models and user-customed features, with high flexibility and minimum coding effort. YATO is maintained by core developers from YLab (https://ylab.top/). It aims to help AI researchers build state-of-the-art NLP models and assist non-AI researchers in conducting cross-disciplinary research with advanced NLP techniques. Given the success of its predecessor, NCRF++, we believe that YATO will greatly promote the applications of NLP in various cross-disciplinary fields and reduce disparities of AI application in these areas. In the future, we plan to integrate advanced LLMs and customize modules that support modeling time series, multimodal features, and specific features for various domains.
Limitations

Our proposed text analysis toolkit mainly focuses on discriminative style tasks, where most of them are treated as token-level or sentence-level classification tasks. Recent studies show that the generative style language models such as GPT (Radford et al., 2018), BART (Lewis et al., 2019), and T5 (Raffel et al., 2020) can also show promising zero-shot and few-shot results by adding user-defined prompts or instructions as external inputs, we leave this as our future work.
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