Magnetic order and electronic structure of 5d³ double perovskite Sr₂ScOsO₆
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The magnetic susceptibility, crystal and magnetic structures, and electronic structure of double perovskite Sr₂ScOsO₆ are reported. Using both neutron and x-ray powder diffraction we find that the crystal structure is monoclinic P2₁/n from 3.5 to 300 K. Magnetization measurements indicate an antiferromagnetic transition at T_N = 92 K, one of the highest transition temperatures of any double perovskite hosting only one magnetic ion. Type I antiferromagnetic order is determined by neutron powder diffraction, with an Os moment of only 1.6(1)µ_B, close to half the spin-only value for a crystal field split 5d electron state with t₂g³ ground state. Density functional calculations show that this reduction is largely the result of strong Os-O hybridization, with spin-orbit coupling responsible for only a ~0.1µ_B reduction in the moment.

PACS numbers: 75.25.-j, 71.15.Mb, 71.70.Ej

It is important to understand the nature of the d³ electronic state, as it appears to produce magnetic transition temperatures found in perovskite systems [1]. Perovskites NaOsO₃, 5d³, and SrTcO₃, 4d³, have T_N = 411 K and T_N ≈ 1000 K, respectively [2,3]. In the double perovskite Sr₂CrOsO₆ two d³ ions are present, Cr³⁺ 3d³ and Os⁵⁺ 5d³, and a ferrimagnetic transition with T_C = 725 K is found, the highest known transition temperature in any double perovskite [6]. However, the presence of two magnetic ions in Sr₂CrOsO₆ makes the interactions controlling this high-T_C insulator more difficult to unravel [7]. It is clear that the influence of the Os 5d³ electrons must be understood in order to ultimately understand the high-T_C found in Sr₂CrOsO₆.

The d³ electronic configuration in a perovskite-type structure is, at first sight, an unlikely host of complex magnetic behavior. The octahedral environment splits the d orbitals into t₂g and e_g levels, stabilizing a half occupied t₂g³ configuration. This is normally assumed to be fully orbitally quenched, resulting in a relatively classical S = 3/2 moment behavior [8]. However, many investigations in 4d³ and 5d³ compounds have found that the ordered magnetic moment measured by neutron diffraction is significantly reduced from the spin only value of µ = 3µ_B [3,9,14]. Explanations of this reduced moment have centered on covalency and frustration. However, it has recently been suggested, however, that the effect of spin-orbit coupling (SOC) cannot be ignored in 4d³ and 5d³ systems [15]. In Ref. [15] they find that the electronic state may lie between L-S and J-J coupling regimes, and therefore the t₂g³ assumption is invalid. Recent experimental investigations have therefore discussed the role of SOC in 4d³ and 5d³ double perovskites [9,16,19].

Here we investigate the magnetic insulator Sr₂ScOsO₆, which has one of the highest known magnetic transition temperatures in double perovskites hosting only one magnetic ion [20,21]. It is the 3d⁰–5d³ analogue of 3d³–5d³ Sr₂CrOsO₆, and thus serves as a model system to unravel the behavior of d³ Os⁵⁺. Sc ions strongly favor the nonmagnetic 3+ oxidation state. X-ray absorption measurements are consistent with an Os⁵⁺ valence state and large 3.6 eV t₂g to e_g splitting in Sr₂ScOsO₆ [22]. We confirm an antiferromagnetic (AFM) like peak in the susceptibility [13], and by neutron powder diffraction (NPD) we show that this is the result of type I AFM ordering at T_N = 92 K. The magnetic moment on the osmium site is 1.6 ± 0.1µ_B. This is significantly reduced from the spin-only value, as is the case for NaOsO₃ [µOs = 1.0(1)µ_B] and SrTcO₃ [µPc = 1.87(4)µ_B], Sr₂CrOsO₆ [µOs = 0.7(3)µ_B] and other 4d and 5d double perovskites. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations show that the reduction in moment in Sr₂ScOsO₆ is largely the result of strong Os-O hybridization, with SOC responsible for only a small fraction of the reduction.

Polycrystalline Sr₂ScOsO₆ was synthesized by combining stoichiometric quantities of SrO₂, OsO₂ and Sc₂O₃. Ground mixtures were contained in alumina tubes and sealed in evacuated silica vessels for heatings of 48 hours at 1000°C. This was followed by regrinding and identical reheating an additional three times.

Magnetization measurements were conducted using a MPMS SQUID magnetometer, from 5 to 400 K under an applied field of 1 kOe. Laboratory x-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) measurements were conducted at room temperature on a Bruker D8 advance using a Ge(111) monochromator and a Cu radiation source (λ = 1.54056 Å).

NPD measurements were conducted on POWGEN at the Spallation Neutron Source at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) [23]. The 0.906 g sample was contained in a vanadium can and measured at 10 K and 300 K. The chopper settings were chosen to correspond to a measured d-spacing range of 0.2760–3.0906 Å. NPD and XRPD data were analyzed using the Rietveld method as implemented in GSAS+EXPGUI [24,25]. No impurity peaks were identified in the diffraction patterns for this sample.

To determine the magnetic structure, separate NPD measurements were performed using a 6 g sample on HB-2A at the High Flux Isotope Reactor at ORNL [26].
The sample was sealed in a vanadium can with He exchange gas, and a closed cycle refrigerator was used to reach 3.5 K. Measurements were conducted with a neutron wavelength of 2.4137 Å, with collimation of 12′–open–12′. The resulting data were analyzed with the Rietveld refinement suite Fullprof [27], using the magnetic form factor for Os5+ from Ref. [28]. An unidentified trace impurity is present in this sample, which is too small to affect the results of the magnetic refinement.

A peak at 92.5 K is seen in the ZFC susceptibility data, see Fig. 1 which is characteristic of AFM order. The FC data shows a ferromagnetic component, that is likely the result of a small canting of the magnetic moments. At 5 K the ZFC M versus H measured up to 5 T (not shown) shows a linear relation consistent with AFM order. These results are similar to the magnetization measurements recently reported in Ref. [13]. Earlier magnetization measurements show a peak in the susceptibility at 25 K, which may be due to different sample quality, as a cubic symmetry was determined from XRPD [22]. The inverse susceptibility versus temperature in the paramagnetic region for our sample is shown in the inset to Fig. 1 along with a Curie-Weiss fit to the data. The fit yields a Curie-Weiss temperature $\Theta = -677 \text{ K}$ and an effective moment of $\mu_{eff} = 3.18 \mu_B$. The reduction in the effective moment from the expected spin-only value of $\mu_{eff} = 3.87 \mu_B$ (assuming a g-factor of 2) is likely an indication of SOC acting as a perturbation on the $t_{2g}^3$ state. The frustration index for Sr$_2$ScOsO$_6$ is $|\Theta|/T_N = 7.4$, indicating a high degree of frustration as expected for a near face-centered-cubic arrangement of magnetic ions.

The results of XRPD measurements and Rietveld analysis are presented in the inset to Fig. 2. As Sc and Os have significantly different atomic masses, x-rays are particularly sensitive to anti-site mixing between $B$ and $B'$ sites. We find that the sites are fully occupied, with 0.952(4) Os [Sc] and 0.048(4) Sc [Os] occupancy on the $B'$ [B] site. Some anti-site disorder is unsurprising given that the ratio of ionic radii of Sc$^{3+}/$Os$^{5+}$ is smaller than 100% ordered Os double perovskites [13, 29]. The occupancies determined from XRPD were subsequently used in the NPD structural refinements. NPD is advantageous for a full structural refinement due to a greater sensitivity to the oxygen positions in the system.

The data from NPD on P OWGEN at 300 K are shown in the main panel of Fig. 2. While the metric of the unit cell was highly pseudocubic, the space group symmetry was determined by the presence of X point reflections in the NPD pattern (two odd indices and one even as indexed on a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ perovskite cell) [30] as the common monoclinic $P2_1/n$ [31] with the tilt system $a' - a - c'$. The Wyckoff positions of the atoms are: Sc on 2d ($1/2$ 0 0), Os on 2c (0 $1/2$ 0), and Sr, O1, O2 and O3 on 4e sites ($x$ y z). Due to the high degree of pseudosymmetry, there was reduced sensitivity to the refinement of the oxygen positional parameters. Soft constraints were therefore placed on the bond lengths with the weakest weighting, using values of 2.06 and 1.96 Å in accordance with Sc–O and Os–O bond lengths found in similar double perovskites [6, 30]. The introduction of soft constraints results in only a marginal difference in the quality of the refinement ($R_{wp}$ is increased by only 0.02 to 4.32%). However, if the soft constraints are removed unphysical bond lengths result. We find that space group $P2_1/n$ describes the structure down to 3.5 K, with no evidence for any structural phase transition. Previous reports of the crystal structure were only available from XRPD at room temperature [13, 22]. Our results agree with the space group $P2_1/n$ reported in Ref. [13], but we find the monoclinic angle $\beta = 90.218(3)^\circ$ to be significantly larger than their result, $\beta = 90.083(2)^\circ$. The complete structural results from the Rietveld refinements for 300 K and
Table I. Results from Rietveld refinements of NPD data from powgen, as described in the text.

| Temperature (K) | 10 K | 300 K |
|-----------------|------|-------|
| Space Group     | P21/n | P21/n |
| a (Å)           | 5.6398(2) | 5.6465(2) |
| b (Å)           | 5.6373(2) | 5.6477(2) |
| c (Å)           | 7.9884(3) | 8.0037(3) |
| V (Å³)          | 253.98(2) | 255.24(2) |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)² |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)⁴ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)⁵ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)⁶ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)⁷ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)⁸ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)⁹ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹⁰ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹¹ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹² |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹³ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹⁴ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹⁵ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹⁶ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹⁷ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹⁸ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)¹⁹ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²⁰ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²¹ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²² |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²³ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²⁴ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²⁵ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²⁶ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²⁷ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²⁸ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)²⁹ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³⁰ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³¹ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³² |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³³ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³⁴ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³⁵ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³⁶ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³⁷ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³⁸ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)³⁹ |
| β               | 90.219(2) | 90.218(3)⁰ |

10 K are given in Table 1 and 33.

Having determined the crystal structure of Sr₂ScOsO₆, we now turn to discussion of the magnetic structure. Figure 2(a) shows data collected on HB-2A at temperatures below Tₘ (115 K) and below Tₘ (85 K and 3.5 K). Peaks at Q = 0.78 and 1.1 Å can be seen to develop in the T < Tₘ data sets, which are not present at 115 K. These reflections can be indexed as (001) and (100) or (010) respectively, indicating a magnetic propagation vector k = (0 0 0). There are two irreducible representations in this case, Γ₁ and Γ₃, compatible with the P2₁/n symmetry with Os on the 2c Wyckoff site, each representing a simple type I AFM structure. Type I order is favored in double perovskites when the nearest neighbor (NN) Os-O-O-Os exchange is dominant, type II order results if the next nearest neighbor (NNN) Os-Sc-Os interaction is stronger, as found in some 3d ion compounds [20, 34].

The type I magnetic model with moments in the a-b plane was fit to the data, with the result shown in Fig. 2(b). As the monoclinic distortion is small, it is not possible to determine the direction of the magnetic moment in the a-b plane from the powder data. The magnetic structure is shown in Fig. 2(d) with the spins represented along the c-axis. The size of the Os moment extracted from the fit is μ₀s = 1.6(1)μ₆, assuming a 95% Os occupancy of the B’ site is contributing to the scattering. Significant covalency may explain the observation of a greatly reduced moment determined by neutron scattering as compared to μₑ₁ from magnetization measurements [35]. The detailed temperature evolution of the Q=(001) = 0.78 Å peak is shown in Fig. 3(c), along with a power-law curve that was fit to the data. This confirms the Tₘ=92(1) K transition temperature associated with this magnetic peak.

It is informative to put these results in context against other double perovskites which host a d²d² configuration. Despite high frustration indexes |θ|/Tₘ, most of these systems overcome frustration to order in a type I AFM state at low temperature [see Fig. 3(d)], although some show short range incommensurate order or type III order [11, 13, 16, 18, 36, 37]. Sr₂ScOsO₆, Sr₂YOsO₆ and Ba₂YOsO₆ all host long range type I AFM order, with Tₘ’s of 95 K, 53 K and 69 K, and frustration indexes |θ|/Tₘ > 7, 4.4 and 11 respectively [13, 15]. Ba₂YOsO₆ is the only one of these compounds to maintain a cubic symmetry, which likely explains the higher level of frustration found in this system [15]. Sr₂ScOsO₆ and Ba₂YOsO₆ have the same size magnetic moment as determined by neutron diffraction, 1.6(1)μ₆ and 1.65(6)μ₆, and so it seems likely that the Os d electrons are in the same state in each of these materials, explaining the relatively high Tₘ observed in both. It would seem that the monoclinic distortion in Sr₂ScOsO₆ relaxes frustration and, along with a smaller unit cell parameter, allows this material to host the highest ordering temperature. The presence of a small amount of Sc/Os site disorder in Sr₂ScOsO₆ may also act to enhance Tₘ, as the interactions between anti-site Os ions and regular site Os neighbors will be strongly AFM.

It is unclear, however, why Sr₂YOsO₆ has the lowest Tₘ of the three compounds. Its lattice is intermediate in size between Sr₂ScOsO₆ and Ba₂YOsO₆, which in principle implies strengthened NN interactions over Ba₂YOsO₆. Also, its magnetic moment is larger at 1.91(3)μ₆ [13] which should energetically favor a higher Tₘ. In the monoclinic symmetry the 12 NN Os distances are not equal, and in Sr₂YOsO₆ the shortest distance is between in-plane ferromagnetically aligned pairs, whereas in Sr₂ScOsO₆ the shortest distance is for out-of-plane AFM aligned pairs. This might explain some lowering of Tₘ in Sr₂YOsO₆, but does not explain the larger magnetic moment. This raises the possibility that a single mechanism both causes a reduction in μ and enhances the exchange interactions and therefore increases Tₘ, as reflected in Sr₂ScOsO₆ and Ba₂YOsO₆. The similar Ru-based compounds Ba₂YRuO₆ and Sr₂YRuO₆ have larger moments of ~ 2 μ₆ and lower Tₘ’s of ~ 30 K [11, 37, 38], whereas high-Tₘ NaOsO₃ also has a reduced moment [3]. Increased hybridization between Os 5d orbitals and O 2p orbitals could be responsible, as this reduces the localized Os moment, but could increase the exchange coupling along the nearest neighbor Os-O-O-Os pathway.

To investigate the effect of hybridization in Sr₂ScOsO₆, we have performed DFT calculations using the experimental crystal structure. The calculations were done using the general potential linearized augmented plane wave (LAPW) method, 69 as implemented in the WIEN2k code [40]. We used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof [41], both by itself and including a Coulomb repulsion via the GGA+U scheme, with U=3 eV. SOC was included in the calculations. We find an AFM ground state in agreement with the experimental observation, and confirm that covalency is largely responsible for the reduction of the Os moment.
Figure 3. (Color online) (a) NPD data collected with HB-2A at 115 K (>\(T_N\)), and 85 and 3.5 K (<\(T_N\)). Magnetic peaks are indicated by stars. (b) Results of Rietveld refinements of the 3.5 K data (solid line). (c) Intensity of scattering at \(Q = 0.78 \text{ Å}^{-1}\) against temperature (circles). The line is the result of a power-law fit against the data. The intensity is number of counts measured with the \(Q = 0.78 \text{ Å}^{-1}\) peak counted for 10 minutes per point. (d) The magnetic structure in one unit cell of \(\text{Sr}_2\text{ScOsO}_6\), showing only Os and Sc ions. Moments are depicted along \((0 0 1)\) and \((1 0 0)/(0 1 0)\).

Figure 4. (Color online) Calculated density of states and Os d projections, from GGA+U calculations including spin-orbit. Os1 and Os2 denote the up and down spin Os relative to the global direction (set along the a-axis). The projections are per atom for a given spin, while the total is per spin for the two Os atom unit cell.

The density of states from the GGA+U calculation is shown in Fig. 4. Even with the inclusion of \(U\) there is an exceptionally strong hybridization between Os 5d and O 2p states evident in the projections. This hybridization provides a mechanism for relatively high ordering temperatures despite the large separation between Os magnetic ions in the double perovskite structure. Specifically, it leads to a redistribution of the moment to include sizable moments on the O ions, with the \(~ 3 \mu_B\) of spin moment spread over OsO6 that form effective magnetic clusters. These magnetic clusters are in close proximity and are therefore strongly interacting. This is similar to the clusters found in the related double perovskite \(\text{Sr}_2\text{YRuO}_6\) with \(T_N = 26 \text{ K}\) \cite{12}, but the hybridization is stronger in the present case.

From the GGA+U calculation we find an Os moment of 1.8 \(\mu_B\), without \(U\) it is only 1.4 \(\mu_B\). The calculated orbital moment is reasonably large, -0.11 \(\mu_B\) in both cases, but the major reduction in the Os moment appears to result from hybridization. This agrees with the experimental finding that the moment observed via neutron scattering is greatly reduced from the \(t_{2g}\) spin-only value, but there is only a slight reduction in \(\mu_{\text{eff}}\) determined from magnetization measurements.

In this report, by combining a full experimental characterization of \(\text{Sr}_2\text{ScOsO}_6\) with DFT calculations in which we include spin-orbit coupling, we have been able to establish the key properties controlling \(\text{Sr}_2\text{ScOsO}_6\). The Os \(t_{2g}\) ground state strongly hybridizes with the surrounding oxygen ions, resulting in one of the highest magnetic transition temperatures for a double perovskite hosting a single magnetic ion. A consequence of the hybridization is the dramatically reduced ordered moment observed by neutron diffraction. Spin-orbit coupling appears to play only a minor role. This is indicated by the small reduction in the effective moment from a Curie-Weiss analysis of the susceptibility, as well the minimal effects due to spin-orbit coupling shown by DFT.

Note Added. After submission of this paper, an online report was published of the properties of \(\text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6\) which contains Os\(^6+\), 5d\(^2\) ions \cite{21}. The magnetic susceptibility data is consistent with an AFM transition \(T_N = 110 \text{ K}\), and is the only reported example of a single magnetic ion double perovskite with \(T_N\) higher than \(\text{Sr}_2\text{ScOsO}_6\).
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