Influence of electron-acoustic phonon scattering on intensity power broadening in a coherently driven quantum-dot cavity system

C. Roy∗ and S. Hughes†
Department of Physics, Engineering Physics and Astronomy, Queen’s University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

We present a quantum optics formalism to study intensity power broadening of a semiconductor quantum dot interacting with an acoustic phonon bath and a high Q microcavity. Power broadening is investigated using a time-convolutionless master equation in the polaron frame which allows for a nonperturbative treatment of the interaction of the quantum dot with the phonon reservoir. We calculate the full non-Lorentzian photoluminescence (PL) lineshapes and numerically extract the intensity linewidths of the quantum dot exciton and the cavity mode as a function of pump rate and temperature. For increasing field strengths, multiphonon and multiphoton effects are found to be important, even for phonon bath temperatures as low as 4 K. We show that the interaction of the quantum dot with the phonon reservoir introduces pronounced features in the power broadened PL lineshape, enabling one to observe clear signatures of electron-phonon scattering. The PL lineshapes from cavity pumping and exciton pumping are found to be distinctly different, primarily since the latter is excited through the exciton-phonon reservoir. To help explain the underlying physics of phonon scattering on the power broadened lineshape, an effective phonon Lindblad master equation derived from the full time-convolutionless master equation is introduced; we identify and calculate distinct Lindblad scattering contributions from electron-phonon interactions, including effects such as excitation-induced dephasing, incoherent exciton excitation and exciton-cavity feeding. Our effective phonon master equation is shown to reproduce the full intensity PL and the phonon-coupling effects very well, suggesting that its general Lindblad form may find widespread use in semiconductor cavity-QED.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) embedded in microcavities have established themselves as a new paradigm in cavity quantum electrodynamics (cavity-QED). Technological progress in the design and fabrication of semiconductor cavity-QED systems has enabled them to be used as components in quantum information processing and for the generation of indistinguishable photons. These quantum applications require robust cavity-QED based QD devices that rest on the ability to manipulate and control the underlying quantum processes. Such quantum control is usually obtained when the cavity and QD are in the intermediate to strong coupling regime. Recent experimental studies have focused on resonance fluorescence of a QD coupled to a cavity mode, and significant progress has been made in the study of an off-resonant QD cavity system that is used to observe resonance fluorescence of a single photon emitter. Semiconductor micropillar systems are particularly attractive since a geometrical separation between the pump field and emitted fluorescence signal can be made, facilitating nonlinear quantum optical studies such as intensity power broadening.

For semiconductor cavity-QED systems, signatures of acoustic phonon processes have been noted with incoherent excitation, resulting in off-resonant “cavity feeding” and an asymmetric (on-resonance) vacuum Rabi doublet. Various phonon-coupling models have been developed to try and explain these features; for example, data obtained for the linear spectrum of single site-selected dots in cavities show good agreement with photon Green function theories—where the phonon coupling is included as a self-energy correction to the spectrum. Recently, several works have also experimentally investigated coherent power (intensity) broadening in semiconductor cavity-QED systems. For example, Majumdar et. al. studied the role of phonon-mediated dot-cavity coupling on the power broadened PL intensity for a planar photonic crystal system; with experiments performed at temperatures of 30–55 K on self-assembled InAs QDs, the cavity-emitted intensity PL were found to have exponentially broadened linewidths relative to a bare QD (when compared with calculations from a simple atomic ME). While additional coupling may occur, e.g., from the QD to the continuum states due to the presence of the nearby wetting layer (if it exists), or due to Auger scattering, these processes are usually more important for incoherent excitation. For near-resonant coherent excitation, Ulhaq et. al. have demonstrated that dephasing and coupling due to acoustic phonons is likely the primary (and intrinsic) mechanism that couples the QD and the spectrally detuned cavity mode; their experiments were performed using self-assembled InGaAs/GaAs QDs embedded in a single cavity layer of a semiconductor micropillar cavity. While the important role of electron-phonon scattering on the linear absorption and emission spectra of self-assembled QDs is now becoming better established, there appears to be little theoretical work
describing phonon effects on PL power broadening in a semiconductor cavity-QED system.

Nonlinear resonance fluorescence of an InGaAs QD embedded in a high-quality micropillar cavity was recently investigated by Ulrich et al.\textsuperscript{39}, where, in contrast to atomic cavity-QED, a clear indication of excitation-induced dephasing (EID) was found to manifest in a Mollow triplet spectra with pump-induced spectral sideband broadening. Without cavity interactions, it is well known that the interaction of the driven QD with the underlying phonon reservoir can introduce additional dephasing processes and acoustic phonon sidebands.\textsuperscript{36–38} In a cavity system, these phonon processes can also result in significant coupling between a non-resonant cavity and a QD exciton. Very recently, a polaron master equation (ME) description of phonon-induced EID in QDs and cavity-QED was described by Roy and Hughes\textsuperscript{40}. McCutcheon and Nazir have also adopted a polaron ME approach to describe pulse-excited excitons (without cavity interactions)\textsuperscript{41}. In light of these phonon scattering studies and the emerging class of semiconductor cavity-QED experiments, the inclusion of phonon-scattering in the theoretical description of PL power broadening in a cavity-QD system is highly desired. More generally, one desires accurate quantum optical descriptions of the semiconductor cavity-QED system, where important electron-phonon interactions are accounted for.

In this paper, we present a quantum ME formalism to study the intensity power broadening of a semiconductor cavity-QED system and identify the qualitative features of power broadening in the intensity PL introduced due to electron-phonon interactions. We exploit a time-convolutionless ME (i.e., local in time) for the reduced density matrix of the dot-cavity subsystem, where the system-bath incoherent interaction is treated to second order.\textsuperscript{40,44} The perturbative treatment is performed in the polaron frame which allows us to study the effects of phonon dephasing on the coherent part of the Hamiltonian exactly. Importantly, the cw laser driving the QD introduces additional EID effects in addition to pure dephasing due to the phonon reservoir.\textsuperscript{49,42} In the appropriate limits, the model fully recovers the independent boson model (IBM)\textsuperscript{43,45} and the Jaynes-Cummings model. The polaron transform is particularly convenient for studying QD-cavity-QED systems as it eliminates the exciton-phonon coupling and introduces a modified cavity-coupling and a modified radiative decay rate;\textsuperscript{43–45} in addition, there is a phonon-induced renormalization of the QD resonance frequency through the polaron shift. In the case of an exciton driven system, the Rabi frequency of the cw laser is also renormalized by a temperature-dependent factor which essentially accounts for the dephasing of the cw drive due to phonon coupling. A similar polaron ME approach was previously derived by Wilson-Rae and Imamoglu\textsuperscript{46}, who studied the linear absorption spectrum of a cavity-QED system; however, their ME form\textsuperscript{46} was non-local in time and is substantially more difficult to solve than the time-convolutionless form\textsuperscript{42}.

For our coherently-pumped cavity-QED investigations, we consider two distinctly different pumping scenarios: (i) the QD is driven by a coherent continuous wave (cw) laser field, and (ii) the cavity mode is driven by a coherent cw laser field. We describe the generic features arising due to the relative interplay between phonon-induced dot cavity coupling and EID in the case of a QD driven system, and compare and contrast with power broadening for a cavity driven system; we also discuss the differences in the integrated PL (IPL) for a dot-driven and cavity-driven system. For strong coherent drives (fields), the intensity PL contain significant phonon bath signatures over a wide range of frequencies. To help explain the effects of phonon scattering in these systems, we also derive an effective phonon ME, of the Lindblad form which is shown, in certain regimes, to yield very good agreement with the full polaron ME.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec.\textsuperscript{II} we present the model Hamiltonian and derive a time-convolutionless polaron ME where electron-phonon interactions are included to all orders. In Sec.\textsuperscript{III} we introduce an effective phonon-modified Lindblad ME and compare it to the full time-convolutionless solution; the effective Lindblad ME is shown to yield good agreement with the time-convolutionless ME, and we use it to describe the various phonon scattering processes. In Sec.\textsuperscript{IVA-E} we present and discuss our numerical results of the power broadening lineshape for both QD-driven and cavity-driven systems. In Sec.\textsuperscript{V} we present our conclusions. Appendix\textsuperscript{A} provides some technical details about the derivation of our effective phonon scattering rates and Lindblad ME.

II. GENERAL THEORY AND POLARON MASTER EQUATION MODEL

The dynamics of a strongly confined QD can be modeled by considering a quantized electron-hole excitation, where the electron occupies a conduction band state and the hole occupies a valence band state. Neglecting quantum spin, the dominant features of a strongly confined QD can be described by the two lowest energy bound states. This two-level model is then conditioned by the interaction of the electrons with the lattice modes of vibration, i.e., the acoustic phonons. When the effective two-level system is driven by a cw laser field, power broadening may be substantially modified by the coupling of the QD to the phonon modes.\textsuperscript{48,49} Figure\textsuperscript{1} shows a schematic of a semiconductor cavity-QED system [Fig.\textsuperscript{1}(a)], and an energy-level diagram associated with cavity-pumping [Fig.\textsuperscript{1}(b)] and exciton pumping [Fig.\textsuperscript{1}(c)]; the various parameters in the figures will be introduced below. The semiconductor cavity system of interest could be a micropillar cavity system [cf. Fig.\textsuperscript{1}(a)] which allows one to excite and measure through different photon reservoirs\textsuperscript{39} (e.g., cavity pumping and exciton emission).

Working in a frame rotating with respect to the laser
pump frequency, \( \omega_L \), we first introduce the model Hamiltonian describing a cavity-QED system where the QD interacts with an acoustic phonon reservoir:

\[
H = \hbar \Delta_{xL} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- + \hbar \Delta_{eL} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + h g (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^-) + H_{\text{drive}}^{x/e} + \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- \sum_q \hbar \lambda_q (\hat{b}_q + \hat{b}_q^\dagger) + \sum_q \hbar \omega_q \hat{b}_q^\dagger \hat{b}_q, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \hat{b}_q(\hat{b}_q^\dagger) \) are the annihilation and creation operators of the phonon reservoir, \( \hat{a} \) is the leaky cavity mode annihilation operator, \( \hat{\sigma}^+ \) (annihilation) and \( \hat{\sigma}^- \) (creation) are the Pauli operators of the electron-hole pair or exciton; \( \Delta_{xL} \equiv \omega_\alpha - \omega_L \) (\( \alpha = x, c \)) are the detunings of the exciton (\( \omega_x \)) and cavity (\( \omega_c \)) from the coherent pump laser (\( \omega_L \)), and \( g \) is the cavity-exciton coupling strength.

The pump term, \( H_{\text{drive}}^{x/e} \), accounts for the coherent drive on the cavity-QED system; for a QD (exciton) driven system, \( H_{\text{drive}}^{x} = \hbar n_\text{ex}(\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^-) \), while for a cavity driven system, \( H_{\text{drive}}^{c} = \hbar n_\text{ex}(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) \). The defined pump rate, \( n_{x/c} \), is twice the classical Rabi frequency.

Transforming to the polaron frame, we eliminate the QD-phonon coupling and introduce a renormalized dot-cavity coupling strength\(^{22} \). For the case of the QD driven system, the polaron transformation also results in a renormalized Rabi frequency, defined below. The polaron transformation\(^{14,15} \) can be written as

\[
H' = \exp(S)H \exp(-S), \tag{2}
\]

where

\[
S = \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- \sum_q \lambda_q \frac{\hat{b}_q^\dagger - \hat{b}_q}{\omega_q}. \tag{3}
\]

The transformed Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H_{\text{sys}}' = \hbar (\Delta_{xL} - \Delta_P) \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- + \hbar \Delta_{eL} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \langle B \rangle \hat{X}_g, \tag{4a}
\]

\[
H_{\text{bath}}' = \sum_q \hbar \omega_q \hat{b}_q^\dagger \hat{b}_q, \tag{4b}
\]

\[
H_{\text{int}}' = \hat{X}_g \hat{\zeta}_g + \hat{X}_u \hat{\zeta}_u, \tag{4c}
\]

with

\[
\hat{B}_\pm = \exp \left( \pm \sum_q \lambda_q \omega_q (\hat{b}_q - \hat{b}_q^\dagger) \right), \tag{5a}
\]

\[
\hat{\zeta}_g = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{B}_+ + \hat{B}_- - 2 \langle B \rangle), \tag{5b}
\]

\[
\hat{\zeta}_u = \frac{1}{2i} (\hat{B}_+ - \hat{B}_-). \tag{5c}
\]

The polaron shift,

\[
\Delta_P = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} J(\omega), \tag{6}
\]

and the thermally-averaged bath displacement operator\(^{43} \),

\[
\langle B \rangle = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega^2} J(\omega) \coth(\beta \hbar \omega/2) \right], \tag{7a}
\]

\[
= \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_q \left( \frac{\lambda_q}{\omega_q} \right)^2 (2\bar{n}_q + 1) \right], \tag{7b}
\]

\[
= \langle B_+ \rangle = \langle B_- \rangle, \tag{7c}
\]

where \( \bar{n}_q \equiv \langle \hat{b}_q^\dagger \hat{b}_q \rangle = [e^{\hbar \omega_q/2} - 1]^{-1} \) is the mean phonon occupation number (Bose-Einstein distribution) at a bath temperature, \( T = 1/k_b \beta \). For clarity, we will henceforth assume that the polaron shift is implicitly included in our definition of \( \omega_x \) (one should, however, keep in mind that this shift is temperature dependent). For a dot (exciton) driven system, \( \hat{X}_g \) and \( \hat{X}_u \) are defined through

\[
\hat{X}_g = \hbar g (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} + \hbar n_\text{ex}(\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a})), \tag{8a}
\]

\[
\hat{X}_u = \hbar g (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^-) + \hbar n_\text{ex}(\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^-), \tag{8b}
\]

and for a cavity driven system,

\[
\hat{X}_g = \hbar (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}), \tag{9a}
\]

\[
\hat{X}_u = \hbar (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^-). \tag{9b}
\]

Worth to note is the slightly unusual definition of the system Hamiltonian, Eq. (4). The usual (but in general, incorrect) decomposition of the system Hamiltonian...
to include only the noninteracting QD and cavity parts does not take into account the effect of the coherent cw drive on the system Hamiltonian. As the cavity and the QD systems are internally coupled, as discussed by Carmichael and Walls, this leads to violation of detailed balance. The system Hamiltonian written above leads to the correct form of the density operator while preserving detailed balance. Moreover, it includes the effect of dot-cavity coupling and the dot-cw driving on the coherent part of the Hamiltonian to all orders.

Next, we unitarily transform to a frame of reference defined by this system Hamiltonian which we will use to obtain a time-convolutionless ME; the net effect of this transform, e.g., in the case of resonance fluorescence, is the cavity coupling and the dot-cw driving on the coherent part of the Hamiltonian to all orders.

We then derive a time convolutionless ME for the reduced density operator, \( \rho(t) \), of the cavity-QED system in the second-order Born approximation (for incoherent bath coupling). The time-convolutionless form of the ME, though local in time, is known to capture non-Markov effects due to the reservoirs. However, for our analysis, we will make a Markov approximation as typical phonon processes are substantially faster (i.e., a few ps) than the relevant system dynamics by at least an order of magnitude. This allows us to obtain effective rates which naturally depend on the spectral densities of the phonon spectral function that are locally sampled by the dressed resonances. We have checked that the Markov limit of the fully non-Markovian time-convolutionless ME is rigorously valid for the system and excitation (cw) cases of interest. Thus, while it is straightforward to carry out non-Markov calculations, it is not necessary here—they give identical results.

In the interaction picture described by \( H'_{\text{sys}} \), we consider the exciton-photon-phonon coupling \( H'_{\text{int}} \) to second order (Born approximation), and trace over the phonon modes of freedom to obtain a Markovian time convolutionless ME:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{i \hbar} [H'_\text{sys}, \rho(t)] + L(\rho) - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty dt \sum_{m=g,u} \left( G_m(\tau) \times \left[ \hat{X}_m e^{-iH'_\text{sys} \tau / \hbar} \hat{X}_m e^{iH'_\text{sys} \tau / \hbar} \rho(t) + H.c. \right] \right),
\]

where \( G_{g/u}(t) = \langle \zeta_{g/u}(t) \zeta_{g/u}(0) \rangle \). The polaron Green functions are:

\[
G_g(t) = \langle B \rangle^2 (\cosh[\phi(t)] - 1), \quad G_u(t) = \langle B \rangle^2 \sinh[\phi(t)],
\]

which depend on the phonon correlation function,

\[
\phi(t) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{J(\omega)}{\omega^2} [\coth(\beta \omega/2) \cos(\omega t) - i \sin(\omega t)],
\]

\[
= \sum_q \left( \frac{\Lambda_q}{\omega_q} \right)^2 [(\bar{n}_q + 1) e^{-i\omega_q t} + \bar{n}_q e^{i\omega_q t}],
\]

where \( J(\omega) \) is the characteristic phonon spectral function, defined in this work as

\[
J(\omega) = \alpha_p \omega^3 \exp \left( -\frac{\omega^2}{2 \omega_b^2} \right).
\]

This form of the spectral function [Eq. (14)] describes the electron-LA(ongitudinal acoustic)-phonon interaction via a deformation potential coupling which is the main source of dephasing in self-assembled InAs/GaAs QDs. For all our calculations that follow, we use parameters suitable for InAs/GaAs QDs, with \( \omega_b = 1 \text{ meV} \) (\( \omega_b \)) is a high frequency cutoff proportional to the inverse of the typical electronic localization length in the QD) and \( \omega_b/(2\pi) = 0.06 \text{ ps}^2 \); these values vary somewhat in the literature, though we have taken ours from fitting recent experiments. Using the parameters above, e.g., at \( T = 10 \text{ K} \), yields a polaron shift, \( \Delta_p \equiv 42 \mu \text{ eV} \), and a Franck-Condon renormalization, \( \langle B \rangle = 0.84 \). With these phonon parameters, we already see that clearly the
coherent renormalization effects will be important for analyzing PL intensity for QD-cavity systems, even at relatively low phonon bath temperatures.

We briefly mention that there are other electron-acoustic phonon scattering models that can go beyond the polaron ME approach. For example, McCutcheon et al. recently introduced a more general ME technique to describe the non-equilibrium dynamics of a QD system interacting with a phonon reservoir based on a variational formulation (with no cavity coupling). This elegant approach extends the validity of the ME to parameter regimes, \( \eta_x > \omega_b \), where the ME in the polaron frame can break down. However, the pump parameter regimes that we study in this work (\( \eta_x/c \ll \omega_b \)) are well within the domain of validity of our ME, so we can safely use the polaron ME, while also accounting for cavity coupling\(^{23,24}\).

A more general description of the system dynamics valid in all regimes can be obtained using a quasi-adiabatic path integral approach\(^{66,67}\). The benefits of our polaron ME is that the solution, even with multiphonon and multiphoton effects included, is relatively straightforward, and it has already been used to help explain experiments for coherently-excited dots in the regime of cavity-QED\(^{25,26}\). Moreover, as we will show below, one can derive a user-friendly Lindblad ME that contains many of the key features of phonon interactions in cavity-QED systems.

For numerical calculations, we solve the above ME with steady-state pumping (i.e., \( \eta_x/c \) are time-independent), with the exciton initially in the ground state. Prior to these dynamical calculations, we compute the phonon scattering terms in Eq. \((11)\), whose solution is naturally problem-dependent (through \( H'_{\text{sys}} \)). Thus there are no fixed phonon scattering rates for analyzing QD power broadening as a function of pump power, as the phonon scattering rates are pump-dependent. The same arguments apply for studying power broadening as a function of temperature; one must obtain the phonon-induced scattering rates for each pump value and temperature. Experimentally, the intensity PL lineshape is usually obtained by measuring the QD exciton intensity (\( I_x \)) or cavity mode intensity (\( I_c \)) as a function of increasing pump field. To connect to these quantities, we solve the above ME in a Jaynes-Cummings basis with states \( |0\rangle, |1L\rangle, |1U\rangle, |2L\rangle, |2U\rangle, \cdots \), and compute the steady-state exciton and cavity photon populations, \( \bar{n}_x \equiv \langle \sigma^+ \sigma^- \rangle_{ss} \propto I_x \) and \( \bar{n}_c \equiv \langle a^\dagger a \rangle_{ss} \propto I_c \). Defining the photon states \( |n\rangle \), with \( n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \), and exciton states \( |\pm\rangle \), then the Jaynes-Cummings ladder states are related to the bare states, e.g., through: \( |0\rangle = |+\rangle \), \( |1L\rangle = |2\rangle (|+\rangle |1\rangle - |-\rangle |0\rangle) \), \( |1U\rangle = \sqrt{2} |2\rangle (-|+\rangle |1\rangle + |-\rangle |0\rangle) \).

In our calculations we make partial use of the quantum optics toolbox by Tan\(^{68}\), and find that truncation to two-photon-correlations (two photons or 5 states) is sufficient/necessary for all the dot driven simulations, while truncation to six-photon-correlations (six photons or 13 states) is sufficient/necessary for all cavity calculations that follow. The role of multiphoton effects depends on the value of the dot-cavity coupling rate \( g \), which we choose to be \( g = 20 \) meV—consistent with typical semiconductor cavity-QED power broadening experiments (e.g., see Refs. 30,33). A detailed discussion of the role of multiphoton, and multiphonon processes, is presented in Sec. IV.E.

### III. Effective Phonon Master Equation of the Lindblad Form

Our polaron ME [Eq. \((11)\)] includes both coherent and incoherent contributions from electron-phonon scattering, but some care and insight is needed in extracting the relevant incoherent scattering rates. It is therefore instructive to construct a simplified phonon-modified ME of the Lindblad form, which we call an effective phonon master equation (EPME); we do this by simplifying the term, \( e^{-iH_{\text{sys}} \tau / \hbar} \hat{X}_m e^{iH_{\text{sys}} \tau / \hbar} \), appearing in the full time-convolutionless ME [Eq. \((11)\)]. The resulting Lindblad-form ME enables a very simple numerical solution and facilitates the extraction of various phonon-induced scattering rates in a clear and transparent way. We expect that the integral in Eq. \((11)\) can be approximated, under certain circumstances, by only including the phase evolution of the operators \( \hat{X}_{g,u} \) with respect to the non-interacting part of the system evolution. Further, for a QD-driven system we only include terms proportional to \( g^2 \) and \( \eta_x^2 \) and ignore cross terms proportional to \( g \eta_x \); the inclusion of the cross terms do not preserve the Lindblad form and contribute very little to the overall broadening lineshape as can be demonstrated numerically. For a cavity-driven system, we again include the phase evolution of the operators \( \hat{X}_{g,u} \) with respect to the non-interacting part of the system evolution; however, the effective Lindblad description has only contributions which are proportional to \( g^2 \) since \( \hat{X}_{g,u} \) do not depend on \( \eta_x \).

We will, of course, compare the EPME solution with the full numerical solution of the polaron time-convolutionless ME, i.e., Eq. \((11)\); the prime purpose of the EPME is to help elucidate the physics of phonon-induced incoherent scattering, though we will highlight regimes where it can work quite well in accurately describing the full characteristics of the entire power-broadened PL lineshape.

We postulate that the dynamics of the QD driven system can now be approximately described through

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [H_{\text{sys}}', \rho(t)] + L(\rho) + L_{\text{ph}}(\rho),
\]

where \( L_{\text{ph}}(\rho) \) (‘ph’ refers to phonon) is given by

\[
L_{\text{ph}}(\rho) = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^-}{2} L(\hat{\sigma}^-) + \frac{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^+}{2} L(\hat{\sigma}^+) + \frac{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{a+}}{2} L(\hat{a}^+ \hat{\sigma}^-) + \frac{\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{a-}}{2} L(\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}^-),
\]

and the superoperator \( L(\hat{D}) \) is defined as

\[
L(\hat{D}) = 2 \hat{D} \rho \hat{D}^\dagger - \hat{D}^\dagger \hat{D} \rho - \rho \hat{D}^\dagger \hat{D}.
\]
The above effective ME [Eq. (15)] has a remarkably simple form, and its general format should be familiar to many researchers who have been using atomic cavity-QED models to connect to experimental data using semiconductor cavity-QED systems. However, it must be used with caution, as it is only valid within certain regimes where the above noted approximations are good. The phonon-mediated rates, which drive the effective Lindblad dynamics, are derived to be (see Appendix A):

\[ \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^+ - \sigma^-} = 2 \langle B \rangle^2 g^2 \sigma \text{Re} \left[ \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{i\Delta_{cx} \tau} \left(e^{i\phi(\tau)} - 1\right) \right], \]  

(18)

\[ \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^a - \sigma^i} = 2 \langle B \rangle^2 g^2 \sigma \text{Re} \left[ \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{i \Delta_{cx} \tau} \left(e^{i\phi(\tau)} - 1\right) \right], \]  

(19)

where \( \Delta_{cx} = \omega_c - \omega_x \) is the cavity-exciton detuning. Figure 2 shows a schematic of the various effective phonon-scattering processes: \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^+} \) describes phonon-assisted incoherent excitation and EID (pump-induced broadening); \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^-} \) described enhanced radiative decay and EID; \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^a} \) describes (the somewhat unlikely scenario of) exciton excitation via the emission of a cavity photon, and \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^i} \) describes the process of cavity excitation (cavity feeding) via the absorption of a photon. Importantly, all of these scattering events are driven by electron-phonon interactions and they cause effects that are significantly different to simple pure dephasing models. In fact, pure dephasing through \( \gamma' \) only results in Lorentzian coupling, and is found to play a minor role in what follows below.

Our formalism above shows that for a cavity-driven system, \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^-} = \gamma = 0 \) and \( \Delta_{cx} = \Delta \), and there is no phonon-induced EID due to the lack of any coupling of the drive with the phonon reservoir. We therefore expect (and find) substantially different intensity power broadening between dot-driven and cavity-driven systems; both of these exciton-driven and cavity-driven models are also markedly different to simple atomic models. We highlight that a similar exciton-cavity (feeding) rate, \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^a} \), has been derived by Xue et al. and by Hohenester, though these were obtained for an undriven cavity-QED system. Both of these useful approaches also use a polaron frame to describe the incoherent scattering, though the end equations have some potential problems for small cavity-exciton detunings (where, admittedly, these effective rates are at best approximate anyway), and neither approach includes a coherent (temperature-dependent) reduction in \( g \rightarrow \langle B \rangle g \). For example, Hohenester derives the following exciton-cavity feeding rate: \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^a} = 2g^2 \sigma \text{Re} \left[ \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-i\Delta_{cx} \tau} e^{-i\phi(\tau)} \right] \), which has a similar form to our Eq. (19) (apart from the sign of the phase and the need to subtract of a background term for small de-}

and all these aforementioned polaron formalisms produce qualitatively the same trend as a function of cavity-exciton detuning (compare results in Refs. 23,27 with those in Figs. 5,6).

An alternative effective Lindblad ME with the same process identified in Refs. 23,27 and above (i.e., for exciton-cavity coupling), was recently presented by Majumdar et al., and used in part to study the role of phonon scattering for the cavity-emitted resonance fluorescence spectrum. The influence of phonons was included as two additional incoherent decay terms which were to second-order in the QD-phonon coupling. Unlike the polaronic approaches above, electron-phonon interactions were included only to first order, which is generally not valid in these cavity-QED systems—even at low temperature. More problematic is the fact that the effects of the coherent drive on the phonon reservoir and the associated EID effects are missing; in contrast, we find these to be the dominant source of broadening from electron-phonon scattering. The need for EID processes in coherently driven semiconductor cavity-QED system has already been shown for the Mol have triplet, both experimentally and theoretically.

In addition to the phonon-induced Lindblad decay rates above, one also has phonon-mediated frequency shifts beyond the polaron shift. The effective Hamiltonian, describing the coherent part of the system evolu-
tion, $H_{\text{sys}}^{\text{eff}}$ becomes

$$H_{\text{sys}}^{\text{eff}} = h\Delta_{cL}\hat{\sigma}^+\hat{\sigma}^- + h\Delta_{cL}\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + (B)\hat{X}_g + h\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma} \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}\hat{\sigma}^+\hat{\sigma}^- + h\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma} \hat{a}\hat{a}\hat{\sigma}^+\hat{\sigma}^- + h\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma} \hat{\sigma}^-\hat{\sigma}^+ + h\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma} \hat{\sigma}^+\hat{\sigma}^-,$$

(20)

with

$$\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma}/\sigma^+ = (B)^2\eta^2_2 \text{Im} \left[ \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{\pm i\Delta_{cL}\tau} \left( e^{\phi(\tau)} - 1 \right) \right],$$

(21)

$$\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma/a}/\sigma^+ = (B)^2 g^2 \text{Im} \left[ \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{\pm i\Delta_{cL}\tau} \left( e^{\phi(\tau)} - 1 \right) \right],$$

(22)

where $\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma}, \Delta_{ph}^{\sigma}, \Delta_{ph}^{\sigma}$ and $\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma}$ are the Stark shifts (which scale proportionally with $(B) g^2$ or $(B) \eta^2_2$).

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. Role of Phonon Scattering on Intensity Power Broadening: Effective Phonon ME Versus the Full Time-Convolutionless ME

We first investigate the role of the four phonon Lindblad terms in a typical power-broadened intensity PL computed with our EPME [Eq. (14)] and compare with the full solution (Eq. (11), time-convolutionless ME). The main parameters are listed in Fig. 3 and we have adopted system parameters and coupling constants similar to those in recent semiconductor experiments.

In Fig. 3 we study the role of $\Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma},\Gamma_{ph}^{a},\Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma} - \Gamma_{ph}^{a}$ and $\Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma} - \Gamma_{ph}^{a}$, as defined in Eqs. (18-19), on the power broadening lineshape ($I_c \propto \eta_c$); here we use $\eta_c = 40 \mu eV$ for a QD driven system at a bath temperature of $T = 4$ K, and study two different cavity-exciton detunings, (a-b) $\Delta_{cx} = 3$ meV and (c-d) $\Delta_{cx} = -3$ meV. The corresponding peak $\tilde{\eta}_c$ that results from this interaction is around $4 \times 10^5$. To better highlight the various scattering mechanisms, we include only one of the Lindblad terms in each calculation, as labeled in the plots. By looking at Fig. 3(a,c), it is clear that the process $L(\hat{\sigma}^+)$ is primarily responsible for incoherently exciting the phonon sidebands [cf. 3(a)] and EID; while process $L(\hat{\sigma}^-)$ introduces further pump-dependent EID, as will be highlighted in detail later (note that this process has the same Lindblad operator terms as $\gamma$). The broad background centered at $\omega_L - \omega_x = 1$ meV is present only for the case of $\Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma}$. The exciton-cavity scattering processes, $L(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a})$ and $L(\hat{\sigma}^-\hat{\sigma}^-)$, account for cavity excitation and cavity destruction, respectively, by phonon-assisted processes and these affect the relative magnitudes of the cavity measured intensity PL at different temperatures and drives. Figures 3(b,d) demonstrate that $L(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a})$ is the main cavity-exciton coupling (feeding) term; this mechanism results in enhanced cavity photon numbers at the exciton transition, especially when the cavity is red.
shifted from the exciton—since phonon emission is favorable at lower temperatures. In contrast, the \( L(\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) \) process gives no noticeable exciton-cavity coupling because of the fast cavity decay rate.

In Fig. 5 we carry out a similar exercise for a cavity-exciton system \((\hat{n}_c = 40 \ \mu eV)\), calculating \( I_c \propto \hat{n}_c \), where we study the influence of \( L(\hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^-) \) and \( L(\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) \) on power broadening; here we find excellent agreement with only the \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma+ \sigma^-} \) scattering term (lower red, dashed curve) compared to the full ME solution (lower grey, solid line), which results in a significant exciton-cavity feeding process via phonon emission [cavity is red detuned in (a), cf. Fig. 2 d)]. Again we find that \( L(\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) \) gives no noticeable cavity feeding. For this cavity driven system, we have chosen \( \Delta_{cx} = \pm 0.5 \text{ meV} \) instead of \( \Delta_{cx} = \pm 3 \text{ meV} \) (which we chose earlier for the exciton driven system); this is because a strong exciton-driven system invariably kicks up the phonon sidebands even at low temperatures that can swamp the emission at the cavity mode; so we use a larger cavity-exciton detuning for the exciton driven case. The cavity driven system is thus much cleaner to analyze for smaller cavity-exciton detunings, and the exciton-measured intensity PL is also substantially reduced for larger detunings. Note that the corresponding peak \( \tilde{n}_x \) for this excitation regime is around 0.3.

In Figs. 6 a,b) we plot the normalized cavity mode intensity, \( I_c \propto \hat{n}_c \), for a dot-driven system as a function of QD-laser detuning, again for the two different cavity-exciton detunings, \( (\Delta_{cx} = 3 \text{ meV} \) and \( \Delta_{cx} = -3 \text{ meV} \), at \( T = 4 \text{ K} \). We also show the intensity PL for \( \eta_x = 20 \ \mu eV \) and \( \eta_x = 40 \ \mu eV \). To study the effects of increasing temperatures, in Fig. 6 we plot the \( I_c \) at \( T = 20 \text{ K} \). In these graphs, we show the total power broadened intensity PL obtained using the EPME [dashed lines in (a-b)] and compare with the full polaron ME solution [solid lines in (a-b)]; given the approximations made in the derivation of the EPME, the agreement is remarkably good. The frequency-shift terms, \( \Delta_{ph}^{\sigma} \), are found to be very small here and can be neglected for the cases shown. Note that the phenomenological pure-dephasing rates are temperature dependent, where we choose \( \gamma(4 \text{ K}) = 2 \mu eV \) and \( \gamma(20 \text{ K}) = 20 \mu eV \) (e.g., see Refs. 20-53). The thermal expectations of the phonon displacement operators are calculated to be \( \langle B \rangle (4 \text{ K}) = 0.91 \) and \( \langle B \rangle (20 \text{ K}) = 0.73 \). These results suggest that the dynamics can be well described by our EPME, by essentially only including three separate phonon scattering effects—since, from the findings above, \( L(\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) \) can be safely neglected.

In Figs. 6 c) we plot the corresponding phonon scattering rates, \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^+} \) and \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^-} \), as a function of QD-laser detuning for \( \eta_x = 40 \ \mu eV \), at \( T = 4 \text{ K} \); in Fig. 6 d) we plot these scattering rates for \( T = 20 \text{ K} \). Since the rates depend on QD-laser detuning and the pump strength, they are obviously important for understanding power broadening in a cavity-QED system. In Figs. 6 d) we plot the rates, \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^+} \) and \( \Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^-} \), as a function of QD-cavity detuning for two different temperatures; the regions marked by the vertical lines indicate the chosen detunings in Figs. (a) and (b)—so note that these particular rates are fixed as a

FIG. 5: (Color online) Phonon bath at \( T = 4 \text{ K} \). (a-b) Normalized cavity mode intensity \((I_c)\) for a dot-driven system as a function of QD-laser detuning for two different dot-cavity detunings, \( \Delta_{cx} = 3 \text{ meV} \) and \( \Delta_{cx} = -3 \text{ meV} \), and for two different values of the cw laser Rabi frequency (orange, lower solid line, corresponds to \( \eta_x = 20 \ \mu eV \); and grey, upper solid curve, corresponds to \( \eta_x = 40 \ \mu eV \)). Also shown (black, dashed lines) are the intensity PL obtained using the effective Lindblad form of the full time-convolutionless ME. Note that the corresponding exciton-measured intensity PL is also substantially reduced for larger detunings. Note that the corresponding peak \( \tilde{n}_x \) for this excitation regime is around 0.3.

FIG. 6: (Color online) As in Fig. 5 but with the phonon bath at \( T = 20 \text{ K} \). The system parameters are identical to those given in Fig. 3 except that \( \gamma(20 \text{ K}) = 20 \mu eV \) and we now compute \( \langle B \rangle (20 \text{ K}) = 0.73 \) (cf. \( \langle B \rangle (4 \text{ K}) = 0.91 \)).
function of QD-laser detuning. As discussed earlier, the scattering term, $\Gamma^{\sigma+\sigma}$, describes a process which involves de-excitation of the QD and exciting the cavity mode, aided through phonon emission or absorption.

The collective influence from the various phonon scattering terms, discussed above, results in broadening (EID) of the QD exciton resonance, incoherent excitation of the phonon bath, and significant exciton-cavity coupling (or feeding); the first two of these are pump-dependent, through $\sim \langle B \rangle^2 \eta^2$, while the latter process scales with $\langle B \rangle^2 g^2$. The trends of the exciton-cavity feeding rate, $\frac{\Gamma_{ph}}{\sigma}$, are consistent with the results of Refs. 25, 27, where one observes a peak scattering rate at around $\Delta_{cx} \sim 1 - 2$ meV (depending upon the temperature). Note that at $T = 4$ K and $\Delta_{cx} = -3$ meV, $\Gamma^{\sigma+\sigma}$ dominates, whereas $\frac{\Gamma_{ph}}{\sigma}$ is much larger for $\Delta_{cx} = 3$ meV. However, at $T = 20$ K, the two rates are much closer to each other for the different detunings as the dependence of the rates on QD-cavity detuning becomes more symmetric with increasing temperature.

It is interesting to note that the broadening of the QD exciton resonance as a function of QD-laser detuning closely mirrors the PL lineshape associated with the linear exciton spectrum obtained using the IBM. A similar observation was demonstrated by Alm et al. 26, where the effects of electron-phonon coupling in QDs (with no cavity) on nonstationary resonance fluorescence spectra were studied; the resonance fluorescence dynamics of the QD electronic transition was shown to have a strong dependence on the duration of the laser field, and by increasing duration of the laser pulse, the background phonon continuum was strongly excited.

Similar to the QD driven system, in Fig. 7 we also investigate the exciton PL characteristics, $I_x \propto \bar{n}_x$, but with cavity excitation (investigated in more detail in Subsect. [IV-C]). As anticipated from Fig. 4 we again obtain a very good fit between our EPME results and the full polaron time-convolutionless ME solution. In general, the effective phonon Lindblad solution is expected to closely mimic the full solution here for large QD-cavity detunings ($|\Delta_{cx}| \gg g, \eta_c$), though we find that it can work well over a wide range of excitation conditions.

A preliminary analysis of the role of the various scattering processes on the intensity PL is presented in Tables I-VI. Here we focus on the qualitative influence of the various scattering rates on the exciton ($x$) and cavity ($c$) FWHM broadenings, but later we will investigate...
the QD resonance resulting in reduced coupling between the cavity mode and the QD and subsequent narrowing of the cavity-measured QD driven PL lineshape. However, $\gamma$ has little effect on the power broadening of a QD-measured cavity driven intensity PL ($c$ FWHM). In Table[11] we present numerical results for the $x/c$ FWHM of the intensity PL for different values of $\kappa$. Increasing $\kappa$ here has no observable effect on QD-driven cavity measured intensity PL, but increasing $\kappa$ results in increased broadening of a cavity-driven QD measured intensity PL.

In Table[11] we study the role of the two phonon Lindblad processes, $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma+a}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma-a}$, on a QD-driven cavity-measured system at $T = 4$ K, for various values of $\eta_x$. The last column gives the full polaron ME result [Eq. (11)].

The general system parameters are the same as in Table[11] and all numbers are in units of $\mu$V.

| $\eta_x$ | $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma+a}$ | $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma-a}$ | EPME | Full |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|
| 20      | 80                            | 73                             | 71   | 72   |
| 40      | 159                           | 146                            | 138  | 139  |
| 60      | 240                           | 219                            | 251  | 290  |

The general system parameters are the same as in Table[11] and all numbers are in units of $\mu$V.

| $\langle B \rangle (4 \text{ K})$ | $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma+a}$ | $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma-a}$ | EPME |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| 20                          | 101                           | 101                            | 101  |
| 40                          | 101                           | 101                            | 101  |
| 60                          | 101                           | 101                            | 101  |

The general system parameters are the same as in Table[11] and all numbers are in units of $\mu$V.

| $\eta_x$ | $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma+a}$ | $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma-a}$ | EPME | Full |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|
| 20      | 100                           | 100                            | 100  | 100  |
| 40      | 101                           | 101                            | 103  | 103  |
| 60      | 102                           | 102                            | 107  | 108  |

the full power-broadened PL curves in detail. In Table[11] we study the dependence of the $x/c$ FWHM of the intensity PL on $\gamma$ (the radiative decay rate). Increasing $\gamma$ results in reduced broadening of a cavity-measured QD driven PL lineshape ($x$ FWHM). Increasing $\gamma$ broadens the FWHM of the incoherent phonon scattering [see Eqs. (8a) and (11)].

In Table[11] we list the $c$ FWHM of the power-broadening lineshape of a cavity-driven QD measured system on the two Lindblad processes, $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma+a}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma-a}$, at $T = 4$ K, for various values of $\eta_x$. The Lindblad process caused by $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma+a}$ has no effect on the $c$ FWHM. However, increasing $\Gamma_{\text{ph}}^{\sigma-a}$ (the cavity feeding process) results in some increased broadening. Finally, in Table[11] and [11] we see no role from the slight coherent reduction of $\langle B \rangle g$ at 4 K. In general we see that driving via the exciton or the cavity can yield drastically different results.

To summarize this subsection, we have identified three main Lindblad phonon processes that contribute to the intensity PL of a cavity-QED system. We have also found earlier that the effective scattering rates associated with these processes can be calculated, under certain detuning conditions, from simple analytical solutions [Eqs. (18) and (19)]. These effective Lindblad solutions are compared to the full polaron ME solution and found, in certain cases, to yield very good agreement. In this way we can also argue the underlying physics of the identified phonon scattering processes. However, there can be noticeable differences, especially for the measured $x$ FWHM broadening of an
exciton driven system.

In what follows below, we will use the full polaron ME, and first verify the general need for for multiphonon and mutiphoton effects.

**B. Influence of Multiphonons and Multiphotons on the Intensity PL**

Our time-convolutionless ME above [Eq. 11] utilizes the polaron frame which allows for a nonperturbative treatment of phonons. This enables one to use the full IBM machinery to compute the phonon correlation functions. It is also useful to look at the one phonon limit of the time convolutionless ME by expanding the phonon Green functions to lowest order in the phonon coupling. In this limit we can expand the phonon correlation function $\phi(t)$ to lowest order in the dot-phonon coupling constant as follows: $G_R(t) \simeq 0$ and $G_u(t) \simeq \phi(t)$ where we have used $\langle B \rangle \gtrsim 1$. This then connects to a weak-phonon coupling (i.e., perturbative) approach.

In Figs. 8a) and (b) we plot the cavity mode intensity ($I_c$) for an exciton driven system as a function of exciton-laser detuning for a one phonon and the full polaron (multi-phonon) solution. We recognize that the one phonon scattering process tends to deviate from the full polaron intensity PL, especially noticeable at higher temperatures and for larger driving field strengths. Thus even for low temperatures, a weak-phonon coupling theory can break down. We further find that the one phonon approximation overestimates the power broadening intensity PL for a negatively detuned cavity. Similar conclusions about the need for multiphonon effects were also found in the context of the resonance fluorescence spectra of a QD driven cavity-QED system and time-dependent excitonic Rabi rotation dynamics. However, for a cavity driven system [Fig. 8c) and (d)], at low temperatures (e.g., 4 K), the weak phonon theory can be accurate. Of course, other QD material parameters can yield different trends in the role and assessment of multiphonon coupling. Since the phonon correlation functions that we use are well known for an IBM model, the full phonon calculation of the intensity PL presents the same level of computational complexity as the one phonon calculations—which is a major advantage of the ME formalism above.

We also study the influence of quantized multiphoton processes in the intensity PL of both an exciton-driven and a cavity-driven system. In Figs. 9a) and (b), using cavity excitation ($\eta_c = 30 \mu eV$), we plot $I_x$ as a function of QD-laser detuning for various truncations of the photon Hilbert state. We find that it is necessary to include up to six-photon processes (e.g., a 13 state model) to correctly describe the effect of cavity photons; note that including more than six photon processes yields an identical result to the six photon calculations, so these values have converged on the numerically exact answer. While the need for six photon processes may seem surprising for the relatively small values of $g$ (i.e., 20 $\mu$eV),
the exciton-phonon coupling with phonon scattering can be more sensitive to quantum cavity-QED effects. In the rest of the paper, we thus compute the intensity PL of the cavity driven system in a six-photon truncated basis.

In Fig. 8(c) and 8(d) we plot the cavity intensity PL \( (I_c) \) for a QD driven system \( (\eta_x = 30 \, \mu eV) \), as a function of exciton-laser detuning for various truncations of the photon Hilbert state. Unlike a cavity driven system, we now find that a two-photon truncation of the photon Hilbert space is enough to obtain a correct (i.e., converged in the photon basis) description of the cavity PL. The fundamental difference between a QD-driven and a cavity-driven system is due to the fact that the cavity is represented as a quantized harmonic oscillator whereas a QD is a two-level system. Hence, for our system parameters, the cavity is more easily excited into higher lying Jaynes-Cummings ladder states, even though the system exhibits relative strong dissipation (i.e., \( \kappa = 2.5 g \)). Neglecting quantum multiphoton processes can therefore introduce spurious effects in the PL lineshape. A two-photon truncation was also found to be sufficient (and necessary) for the study of the fluorescence spectrum of a QD driven cavity-QED system.

In summary, the results above highlight the need for both multiphonon and multiphoton effects for understanding power-broadened intensity PL, even for low temperatures \( (4 \, K) \) and rather small cavity-dot coupling rates \( (g = 20 \, \mu eV, \text{cf.} \, \kappa = 50 \, \mu eV) \).

C. Power Broadening through Coherent Exciton Pumping and Cavity Emission

Next we study the cavity-emitted PL for different input powers of an exciton pump. In Figs. 10(a-b) we plot the relative cavity mode intensity \( (I_c) \) as a function of exciton-laser detuning for a QD driven system at two temperatures, \( (a) \, T = 4 \, K \) and \( (b) \, T = 20 \, K \), for various values of \( \eta_x \). The cw field drives the QD which is now detuned to the right (higher energy) of the cavity mode by 3 meV. In Fig. 10(c) we plot the relative cavity mode intensity with only ZPL broadening and set \( \langle B \rangle = 1 \) (i.e., no coherent or incoherent coupling effects from phonons). This then closely corresponds to an atomiclike power broadening model, but with the addition of pure dephasing of the ZPL—a model that is commonly used to analyze semiconductor cavity-QED experiments. The power broadened intensity lineshape in the absence of phonon coupling can be explained by considering two Lorentzian lineshapes centered at the two resonances, the relative oscillator strengths of which are qualitatively determined by their corresponding broadenings.

Without phonon coupling, as shown in Fig. 10(c), an increasing cw drive causes power broadening of the QD exciton which decreases the oscillator strength relative to the cavity mode and also excites the cavity resonance more. With phonon scattering processes [see Fig. 10(a)], the first major difference we notice is the apparent narrowing of the QD resonance compared to Fig. 10(c) which is due to the coherent renormalization of the Rabi frequency—this manifests in an effective drive whose magnitude decreases with increasing temperature; in addition, the phonon interactions reduce the dot-cavity cou-
pling through \( g \rightarrow \langle B \rangle g \). Incoherent phonon coupling also introduces significant additional broadening of the QD exciton due to the \( L(\sigma^+) \) process (see Table II), eventually resulting in a new peak near the phonon cut off frequency (i.e., at the peak of the spectral bath function for phonons, \( \omega_b = 1 \) meV). The mean cavity photon numbers (and thus \( I_c \)) increase in the presence of phonons due to phonon-assisted processes whose magnitude also increases with temperature (see Fig. 11(c)), which also has a larger ZPL). Comparing the cavity PL characteristics in Fig. (10(a-c)), we see that electron-phonon coupling plays a significant role in determining the intensity PL, with features that are not at all explained with simple atomiclike MEs.

In Fig. 11(d) we plot the \( x \) FWHM of \( I_c \) at the QD resonance as a function of \( \eta_c \), which is a typical measurement in experimental studies.\(^{35}\) The orange crosses show the intensity at \( T = 20 \) K, the blue circles at \( T = 4 \) K, and the inverted red triangles show the FWHM in the absence of any phonon coupling. In spite of significant reduction of the effective Rabi frequency due to phonon coupling at high temperatures (e.g., \( \langle B(4 \text{K}) \rangle = 0.91 \rightarrow \langle B(20 \text{K}) \rangle = 0.77 \)), the \( x \) FWHM at \( T = 20 \) K is higher than the FWHM calculated at \( T = 4 \) K, which suggests that EID more than compensates for phonon-induced renormalization of the Rabi frequency. It is noted from Table II earlier that increasing \( \gamma \) (and thus also \( \Gamma^{-} \)), as they have the same Lindblad operators) reduces the mean cavity photon number. We also note from Table IV that the primary contribution to the broadening are the two scattering terms \( \Gamma_{ph}^{+} \) and \( \Gamma_{ph}^{-} \); these two processes increase with temperature and driving strength and introduce additional broadening which reduces \( I_c \). At a pump rate of \( \eta_c \sim 60 \) \( \mu \text{eV} \), we see a more rapid increase of the \( x \) FWHM with phonon coupling due to stronger cw laser-phonon coupling. For pump rates greater than \( \eta_c \sim 40 \) \( \mu \text{eV} \), we also see a more rapid increase of the \( x \) FWHM with phonon coupling.

In Figs. 13(a-b) we plot the relative cavity-mode intensity PL for a dot driven system, where the exciton resonance is now detuned to the left of the cavity mode by 3 meV. We again consider two different temperatures of the phonon reservoir, (a) \( T = 4 \) K and (b) \( T = 20 \) K, for various \( \eta_x \). In Fig. 13(c) we show the normalized cavity mode intensity with only ZPL broadening and \( \langle B \rangle = 1 \). The generic features of Fig. 11 can be understood exactly along the lines of the arguments presented above for Fig. 10 However, as discussed earlier, here we obtain a significant reduction in the mean cavity photon number (less cavity feeding) since the cavity is now energetically higher which requires absorption of phonons—compare Fig. 10(a) and Fig. 11(a). Moreover, the mean cavity photon number is smaller than that in the absence of phonons due to the renormalized (reduced) dot-cavity coupling which, however, increases with temperature. In Fig. 11(d) we plot the \( x \) FWHM of the cavity emission as a function of \( \eta_x \) which shows very similar features to the \( x \) FWHM data presented in Fig. 11(d). We remark that the approximate \( x \) FWHM values are extracted numerically by fitting with a simple Lorentzian model. As it is clear from the plots, the power broadening intensity PL in the presence of phonons are no longer represented by a simple system of coupled Lorentzians, and we observe pronounced non-Lorentzian lineshapes and clear signatures from the phonon bath spectral function. We reiterate the point that there is a substantial discrepancy at large pumps between the \( x \) FWHM from an effective Lindblad solution (EPME) and a full polaron ME solution (see Table IV). This highlights a breakdown of the EPME at large pumps which is not too surprising given the rather coarse approximations made in its derivation. Finally, we comment that the \( c \) FWHM (which can be extracted from the same PL data) does not show any power broadening, which is possibly also a result of the reasonably large QD-cavity detunings.

### D. Power Broadening through Coherent Cavity Pumping and Exciton Emission

In this subsection, we focus on a cavity-excited system, where the emitted excited intensity \( I_e \) is detected through the QD emission, e.g., through (non-cavity mode) radiation modes. In Figs. 12(a) and 12(b) we plot the relative exciton intensity, \( I_x \), as a function of QD-laser detuning for a cavity driven system at two different temperatures, (a) \( T = 4 \) K and (b) \( T = 20 \) K, for various values of \( \eta_c \). The cw field drives the cavity mode which is now detuned to the right of the QD exciton by 0.5 meV. In Fig. 12(c) we show \( I_x \) with no phonon interactions and \( \langle B \rangle = 1 \) (i.e., no coherent or incoherent effects from phonons, apart from pure dephasing). We discern that the power broadened intensity PL in the absence of phonons is quite distinct from the case with finite phonon coupling. On the one hand, we have lost the resonance at the phonon spectral function since there is no longer a term that involved incoherent excitation through the phonon reservoir (\( \Gamma_{ph}^{+} \) process). On the other hand, we see a clear influence from phonon-induced exciton cavity feeding. In particular, without phonon coupling we observe very little emission at the cavity mode frequency which further demonstrates that phonons play a significant role in the power broadened PL lineshape through dot-cavity coupling (via cavity feeding). Also note that the broadening of the QD resonance increases due to enhanced dot-cavity coupling in the presence of phonons—which increases with temperature. However at higher temperatures the mean exciton number is decreased which is mainly due to phonon-induced reduction in \( g \rightarrow \langle B \rangle g \).

In Fig. 12(d) we plot the \( c \) FWHM obtained via exciton emission \( (I_x) \), as a function of \( \eta_e \). The \( c \) FWHM in the presence of phonons at low temperatures \( T = 4 \) K is very similar to the case with only ZPL broadening primarily because of the absence of EID, as the system is now cavity-driven, though \( g \rightarrow \langle B \rangle g \) is still temperature-
dependent. However, the \( \eta \) FWHM increases with temperature even though \( \langle B \rangle \) reduces. We also note that the emission at the QD frequency is suppressed with increasing temperatures. With further increase in temperatures (i.e., above 20 K—not shown), the intensity PL is dominated by emission at the cavity mode. Similar to the exciton pumped system, for pump rates of \( \eta_c \sim 40 \) \( \mu \)eV, we observe a more rapid increase of the \( \xi \) FWHM with phonon coupling. For cavity excitation, the effective Lindblad solution shown earlier provides a very good match for the \( \xi \) FWHM with phonon coupling. For cavity excitation, the \( \xi \) FWHM obtained through exciton emission as a function of \( \langle B \rangle \) is constant. However, the \( \eta \) FWHM of the exciton is dependent. However, the \( \eta \) FWHM increases with temperature even though \( \langle B \rangle \) reduces. We also note that the emission at the QD frequency is suppressed with increasing temperatures. With further increase in temperatures (i.e., above 20 K—not shown), the intensity PL is dominated by emission at the cavity mode. Similar to the exciton pumped system, for pump rates of \( \eta_c \sim 40 \) \( \mu \)eV, we observe a more rapid increase of the \( \xi \) FWHM with phonon coupling. For cavity excitation, the effective Lindblad solution shown earlier provides a very good match for the \( \xi \) FWHM with phonon coupling. For cavity excitation, the \( \xi \) FWHM obtained through exciton emission as a function of \( \langle B \rangle \) is constant.

E. Integrated Photoluminescence (IPL)

Finally, we study the integrated photoluminescence (IPL). In Figs. 14(a) and 14(b) we plot the IPL lineshape for a dot-driven system as measured through the cavity, for the positively detuned cavity mode (\( \Delta_{cx} = 3 \) meV). The total IPL of the cavity and exciton intensity of a dot-driven system plotted in Fig. 14(a) does not saturate with increasing drives—unlike the IPL over the Lorentzian centered at the exciton resonance frequency plotted in Fig. 14(b) (i.e., the integrated \( \xi \) FWHM, emitted via the cavity mode), which shows clear saturation. The Lorentzian lineshape at the QD exciton resonance is computed by subtracting off the background phonon sidebands from the intensity PL. The lack of saturation of the IPL of the total cavity and QD intensity is attributed to the increasing excitation of the background phonon continuum with increasing cw drives which is also enhanced at higher temperatures. These theoretical trends are consistent with the experimental results of Ates et al. 28.

In Figs. 14(c) and 14(d) we show the IPL of the cavity-driven system (with \( \Delta_{cx} = 0.5 \) meV) as measured via the QD exciton emission. Neither the total IPL of the cavity and exciton intensity of a cavity-driven system plotted in Fig. 14(c), nor the IPL over the Lorentzian centered only at the cavity resonance frequency plotted in Fig. 14(d) (i.e., the integrated \( \xi \) FWHM, emitted via the QD) show any saturation. Furthermore, significant power broad-
V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a detailed analysis of the intensity PL power broadening of a semiconductor cavity-QED system under coherent excitation of either the QD exciton or the cavity mode. In particular, we have included the interaction of the QD with an acoustic phonon environment at a microscopic level, while also accounting for exciton-cavity coupling in the regime of cavity-QED. We utilized a time-convolutionless ME approach in the polaron frame to study the cavity-QED dynamics. The interaction of the phonon reservoir with the QD is non-perturbative and is limited mainly by the validity of the IBM (and the single exciton picture) at high temperatures. This approach enables us to treat the coherent interaction between the QD, cavity and the cw laser field to all orders. Central to this approach is the need to account for the internal coupling effects which preserves the detail balanced condition on the system density operator.

Our theory also points out some major flaws and restrictions of atomiclike MEs for modeling coherent excitation regimes in semiconductor QD-cavity systems.

Using material parameters close to those measured in related semiconductor experiments, various intensity PL lineshapes were studied as a function of the excitation pump rate, for different temperatures of the phonon bath. We computed the full intensity PL lineshape over a range of frequencies and extracted approximate Lorentzianlike linewidths of the $c/x$ FWHM of the power broadening intensity PL for both the QD-driven and cavity-driven system. The interaction of the QD with the phonon reservoir is seen to introduce qualitatively different features in the intensity PL, especially at high temperatures, and is quite distinct from the case of a typical power broadening lineshape of a two-level atom. We found a significant narrowing of the QD resonance due to the coherent renormalization of the Rabi frequency resulting in a reduced effective drive. The interaction with the phonon reservoir also reduced the dot-cavity coupling due to renormalization of $g \rightarrow (B) g$. Phonon coupling further introduces additional broadening of the QD exciton due to EID and results in highly non-Lorentzian lineshapes for the intensity PL; via incoherent excitation from the phonon bath, clear signatures of the phonon bath spectral function appear in the exciton driven PL. For a cavity driven system, the mean cavity photon number (which is proportional to the cavity mode intensity), was also found to change in the presence of phonon-assisted processes which depended sensitively on dot-cavity detuning and the temperature of the phonon bath.

To help explain the underlying physics of electron-phonon scattering in these cavity-QED systems, we derived an effective phonon ME (EPME) of the Lindblad form, which facilitates a very simple numerical solution to the full ME and also allows the extraction of various phonon-induced scattering rates in a physically meaningfully way. In particular, we identified specific phonon-mediated processes which cause EID of the QD exciton resonance and incoherent exciton pumping. We also identified Lindblad superoperators which mediate coherent interactions between the QD and the cavity mode, resulting in exciton-cavity feeding—a phenomenon that is becoming more familiar in semiconductor cavity-QED (e.g., see Refs. 13,18,20–22,24–27,29,40). We also studied the regimes of validity of the effective Lindblad solution and found that, for relatively large QD-cavity detunings, the effective Lindblad solution produces a very good fit to the full polaron ME solution. However, discrepancies can occur for exciton pumped systems with increasing drives, where one requires the full polaron ME to obtain a more accurate FWHM of the exciton resonance. Using these polaronic ME formalisms, we found that phonon-induced EID and incoherent coupling between the QD and the cavity is fundamental to obtain a complete picture of power broadening in these semiconductor systems. In particular, our results demonstrate that the cavity emitted QD-driven intensity PL can display quite pro-

FIG. 14: (Color online) Integrated PL for a dot-driven system, (a) and (b), as measured through cavity emission, and the IPL of a cavity-driven system, (c) and (d), as measured via QD emission; both as a function of square of the cw drive for two different temperatures of the phonon reservoir ($T = 4$ K: blue circles; $T = 20$ K: orange crosses); also plotted are the IPL lineshapes in the presence of only ZPL broadening and $(B) = 1$ (inverted red triangles); the corresponding $\gamma^2 = 2 \mu$eV (at 4 K). (a) The IPL of the total cavity and QD intensity of a dot-driven system as measured through cavity emission; $\Delta_c = 3$ meV and the intensity PL are shown in Fig. 14. (b) IPL of the cavity mode intensity over the Lorentzian centered at the QD resonance frequency. (c) IPL of the QD exciton and cavity intensity of a cavity-driven system as measured through the QD; here $\Delta_c = 0.5$ meV and the intensity lineshapes are shown in Fig. 14. (d) The IPL of the QD mode intensity over the Lorentzian centered at the cavity resonance frequency.
found signatures of the phonon spectral bath function. A cavity driven system also contains clear signatures of the phonon bath, containing power broadened features that are significantly different to those obtained in atomic QED. We have demonstrated that pure dephasing of the ZPL, frequently cited as being responsible for phonon-scattering effects such as cavity feeding, actually only plays a very minor role here; the physics of the phonon scattering processes that we identify are manifestly different to the physics of coupled Lorentzian oscillators, either with or without pure dephasing of the ZPL.

The results presented in this paper should be broad interest for interpreting current and future experimental data from semiconductor cavity-QED systems. A more rigorous analysis would use the full polaron ME and a less rigorous approach would use the EPME; the latter approach allows for a simple and intuitive picture of the underlying physics and can be accurate in certain excitation regimes. Our power broadening results were chosen to highlight new regimes where phonon effects are more readily visible and can serve to direct experimental focus in those regimes. For this work, we have also focused our studies to the domain of cw excitation, but the extension to pulsed systems is straightforward and will be presented elsewhere.
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Appendix A: Effective Lindblad master equations with simplified electron-phonon coupling

In this appendix we provide some technical details into the derivation of our effective Lindblad master equation, Eq. (15). We exemplify for the relatively simple case of a cavity driven system, where \( \dot{X}_g = \hbar g(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- - \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) \) and \( \dot{X}_u = i\hbar g(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^+ - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^-) \). The case of a dot driven system can also be derived using similar steps but with a few additional approximations discussed at the end of this appendix. The integrand inside the phonon integral in Eq. (15), \( \int_0^\infty d\tau \sum_{m=g,u} (G_m(\tau) [\dot{X}_m e^{-iH_{sys}^{\tau}/\hbar} \dot{X}_m e^{iH_{sys}^{\tau}/\hbar} \rho(t)] + H.c.) \), can be approximated (e.g., for \( m = g \)) as follows:

\[
G_g(\tau) [\dot{X}_g, e^{-iH_{sys}^{\tau}/\hbar} \dot{X}_g e^{iH_{sys}^{\tau}/\hbar} \rho(t)] + H.c. \\
\simeq \hbar^2 g^2 G_g(\tau) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^+) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} + \hat{\sigma}^+ e^{i\Delta_{ex}t}) \rho(t) - \hbar^2 g^2 G_g(\tau) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} + \hat{\sigma}^+ e^{i\Delta_{ex}t}) \rho(t) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) \\
+ \hbar^2 g^2 G_g(\tau) \rho(t) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} + \hat{\sigma}^+ e^{i\Delta_{ex}t}) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) - \hbar^2 g^2 G_g(\tau) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) \rho(t) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} + \hat{\sigma}^+ e^{i\Delta_{ex}t}) \rho(t) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}) \rho(t),
\]

(A1)

where we have used

\[
e^{-iH_{sys}^{\tau}/\hbar} \dot{X}_m e^{iH_{sys}^{\tau}/\hbar} \simeq e^{-iH_0^{\tau}/\hbar} \dot{X}_m e^{iH_0^{\tau}/\hbar},
\]

(A2)

with \( H_0 = \hbar \Delta_{ex} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- + \hbar \Delta_{ex} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \). This corresponds to approximating \( H'_{sys} \) with \( H_0 \) in the exponential phase and is expected to be only valid when the dot-cavity detuning is large compared to \( g \). It follows that

\[
e^{-iH_0^{\tau}/\hbar} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- = e^{i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^+ \rho(t) e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^+ \rho(t),
\]

(A3)

\[
e^{-iH_0^{\tau}/\hbar} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} = e^{i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \rho(t) e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \rho(t).
\]

(A4)

Consequently, this allows us to write an effective Lindblad ME that can (e.g., see Figs 3-5) reproduce the full polaron ME solution over a range of dot-laser and cavity-exciton detunings. The major advantage of this approach (used on its own or as a complement) is that it is simpler than the full polaron ME approach and allows one to extract various, physically meaningful, scattering processes associated with electron-phonon interactions. Equation (A1) can subsequently be rewritten as

\[
G_g(\tau) [\dot{X}_g, e^{-iH_{sys}^{\tau}/\hbar} \dot{X}_g e^{iH_{sys}^{\tau}/\hbar} \rho(t)] + H.c. \simeq \hbar^2 g^2 \left[ G_g(\tau) e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) + G_g(\tau) e^{i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) \right] \\
- \hbar^2 g^2 \left[ G_g(\tau) e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \rho(t) + G_g(\tau) e^{i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \rho(t) \right] \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \\
+ \hbar^2 g^2 \left[ G_g(\tau) e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) + G_g(\tau) e^{i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) \right] \\
- \hbar^2 g^2 \left[ G_g(\tau) e^{-i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \rho(t) + G_g(\tau) e^{i\Delta_{ex}t} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \rho(t) \right] \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t),
\]

(A5)
where we have neglected the other terms (such as $\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\rho} \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a}$ and so on) as they do not contribute to the ME. Equation (A3) can now be simplified to

$$G_g(\tau)[\hat{X}_g e^{-i\tfrac{\hbar}{2} H_{sys}(\tau)/\hbar} \hat{X}_g e^{i\tfrac{\hbar}{2} H_{sys}(\tau)/\hbar} \rho(t)] + H.c. \simeq \hbar^2 g^2 \text{Re}[G_g(\tau) e^{-i\Delta_{e} t}] (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) + \rho(t) \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- - 2\hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a})$$

$$+ \hbar^2 g^2 \text{Re}[G_g(\tau) e^{i\Delta_{e} t}] (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) + \rho(t) \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- - 2\hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a})$$

$$+ i\hbar^2 g^2 \text{Im}[G_g(\tau) e^{-i\Delta_{e} t}] (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) - \rho(t) \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a})$$

$$+ i\hbar^2 g^2 \text{Im}[G_g(\tau) e^{i\Delta_{e} t}] (\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^- \rho(t) - \rho(t) \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a}).$$

(A6)

Using $\sum_{m=g,u} G_m(\tau) = \langle B \rangle^2 (e^{\phi(\tau)} - 1)$, the defined scattering rates become,

$$\Gamma_{ph}^{\sigma^+ a/\sigma^- a} = 2\langle B \rangle^2 g^2 \text{Re} \left[ \int_0^\infty dt e^{\pm i\Delta_{e} t} \left( e^{\phi(\tau)} - 1 \right) \right],$$

(A7)

while the frequency-shifts,

$$\Delta_{ph}^{\sigma^+ a/\sigma^- a} = \langle B \rangle^2 g^2 \text{Im} \left[ \int_0^\infty dt e^{\pm i\Delta_{e} t} \left( e^{\phi(\tau)} - 1 \right) \right].$$

(A8)

which are used to obtain the contribution of the phonon integral in the Lindblad form, $L_{ph}(\rho)$, and the effective Hamiltonian defined in Eq. (10).

For the case of a dot-driven system, we have an additional complication due to the coherent term driving through the exciton-phonon bath. Using similar steps as above, and also neglecting contributions involving cross terms between operators $\hat{\sigma}^+ (\hat{\sigma}^-)$ and $\hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{a} (\hat{\sigma}^- \hat{a})$, which scale as $\eta_\perp$, we again obtain an effective Lindblad form of the ME. We evaluate the exponential phase terms involving the full system Hamiltonian by replacing $H_{sys}$ with $H_0$. 
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