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Abstract Omalizumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody that reduces levels of circulating immunoglobulin E (IgE) and expression of IgE high-affinity receptors on mast cells and basophils, interrupting the subsequent allergic inflammatory cascade. Current indications for treatment with omalizumab in pediatric patients are clearly defined and are confined to moderate-to-severe uncontrolled allergic asthma and chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU). Any other prescription can only be off label. Data available from clinical trials conducted in children suggest that omalizumab is clinically effective and generally well tolerated. Given its mechanism of action, recent reports have suggested its possible clinical use in other IgE-mediated disorders, such as allergic rhinitis, food allergy, and anaphylaxis. In recent years, several studies have also investigated the possible applications of omalizumab in a number of non IgE-mediated diseases. The aim of the present review is to assess all applications of omalizumab as therapy in the pediatric population. The approved indications—allergic asthma and CSU—are reviewed. Moreover, further potential applications of omalizumab are discussed in both IgE-mediated and non-IgE-mediated diseases.

Key Points

Omalizumab is a monoclonal antibody that targets circulating free immunoglobulin E (IgE) and prevents its interaction with the high-affinity IgE receptor (FcεRI), thereby interrupting the allergic cascade.

Current indications for treatment with omalizumab are confined to moderate-to-severe uncontrolled allergic asthma and chronic spontaneous urticaria. Any other prescription can only be off label.

Data available from clinical trials conducted in pediatric populations suggest that omalizumab is generally well tolerated.

1 Introduction

Omalizumab is a recombinant DNA-derived humanized monoclonal antibody. It is an immunoglobulin E (IgE)-specific, IgG1 κ antibody that targets circulating free IgEs [1].

Discovered in 1966 by Ishizaka, IgE antibodies play a crucial role in the pathophysiology of allergic disease. Once produced and released into the bloodstream, IgE molecules bind to high-affinity and low-affinity receptors for the Fc region of IgE (FcεRI and FcεRII, respectively) on the surface of tissue mast cells and circulating basophils [2]. The binding between allergens and allergen-specific IgEs on the surface of these cells leads to rapid release of preformed mediators, including histamine, proteoglycans, and proteases, transcription of cytokines (interleukin [IL]-4, tumor necrosis factor [TNF], IL-6), and de novo
synthesis of prostaglandins (PGD2) and leukotrienes (LTD4), thus resulting in clinical manifestations of type I hypersensitivity and promotion of adhesion and infiltration of circulating inflammatory cells [2].

Considering the pivotal mechanism of action of IgE antibodies in allergy, it is clear that anti-IgE therapy could represent an effective treatment for several allergic diseases.

In particular, omalizumab decreases levels of circulating IgE by binding to the constant region (C\text{d}) of the IgE molecule, which prevents free IgE from interacting with high-affinity and low-affinity IgE receptors (FceRI and FceRII) [1]. The reduction of free IgE levels following omalizumab administration leads to a downregulation of FceRI expression on inflammatory cells [1]. In addition, it has been demonstrated that omalizumab also reduces FceRI in vivo expression on dendritic cells, which may lead to a reduction in allergen presentation to T cells and attenuation in the Th2-mediated allergic pathway [3]. Thanks to these effects, omalizumab decreases pro-inflammatory mediator release, thus reducing allergic inflammation [4]. In particular, treatment with omalizumab decreases mast-cell activation and sensitivity and reduces eosinophil infiltration and activation [4, 5]. All the effects described represent the biological basis for treatment with omalizumab and justify its clinical efficacy.

Omalizumab is recommended to be administered as a subcutaneous injection. The dose and frequency of dosing are guided by a nomogram that is derived from the total serum IgE level and the body mass index [6, 7].

Considering asthma, according to the US FDA, omalizumab is approved in adults and adolescents (12 years of age and above) with moderate to severe persistent asthma who have a positive skin or blood test to a perennial aeroallergen and whose symptoms are inadequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids (Table 1) [6]. In the EU, according to the European Medicines Agency (EMA), omalizumab is approved as add-on therapy in adults, adolescents, and children (6 to <12 years of age) with severe persistent allergic asthma, who have a reduced lung function, as well as frequent daytime symptoms or nighttime awakenings, and who have multiple documented severe asthma exacerbations, despite daily high-dose inhaled corticosteroids, plus a long-acting inhaled beta2-agonist (Table 1) [7].

In 2012, the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) published an appraisal on the clinical and cost effectiveness of omalizumab within its licensed indication for the treatment of severe persistent allergic asthma in children aged 6–11 years, after the extension of the treatment to this age group [8]. The analysis concluded that omalizumab appears to reduce clinically significant exacerbations, but there was no evidence of improvement in daily symptoms, clinically significant severe (CSS) exacerbations, or hospitalization rates. The main driver of cost effectiveness was the reduction in asthma-related mortality associated with a reduction in CSS exacerbations. As the number of CSS exacerbations avoided was low, as is asthma-related mortality in children, the potential small gain in quality-adjusted life-years associated with omalizumab was not sufficient to compensate the high treatment cost, even under the most favorable scenario analyses [8]. Subsequent recommendations by NICE were that omalizumab should not be routinely provided for the treatment of severe persistent allergic asthma in children aged 6–11 years [8]. Moreover, omalizumab may be an efficacious alternative to oral corticosteroids in children with more severe asthma, but an oral corticosteroid-sparing trial would need to be conducted to confirm this [8].

Recently, both the FDA and the EMA have approved omalizumab as add-on therapy for the treatment of chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU) in adults and adolescents (12 years of age and above) with inadequate response to H1 antihistamine treatment (Table 1) [6, 7].

Besides these two approved applications, treatment with omalizumab has been explored in other allergic and non-allergic diseases [9, 10]. It is important to highlight that, since the FDA has approved omalizumab for children aged 12 years and over, the majority of studies investigating possible off-label applications in pediatric populations set the lower age limit for administration of omalizumab at 12 years [9, 10]. Moreover, to date, new possible applications have been evaluated only in adults [11]. Most of these trials have only reported preliminary results [11]; further confirmations of efficacy in adult patients are awaited in order to consider possible applications in pediatric patients.

At present, 58 clinical trials investigating the use of omalizumab in children are registered on clinicaltrial.gov.

The increasing interest in omalizumab is due to evidence of both efficacy and safety [12]. In particular, in terms of safety, data obtained from patient populations including adults, adolescents, and children within the context of clinical studies and post-marketing surveillance showed that omalizumab was generally well tolerated [12].

Corren et al. [13] analyzed the safety of omalizumab using data from completed clinical studies involving more than 7,500 patients, including adults, adolescents, and children, treated for up to 1 year; in one study, patients with severe allergic asthma were treated for up to 4 years. The analysis has focused on the risk of immune system effects, hypersensitivity reactions, malignant neoplasia, parasitic infections, and thrombocytopenia. Omalizumab showed a good safety and tolerability profile: the incidence of anaphylaxis in the controlled studies (investigator reported) was 0.14 % in omalizumab-treated patients and 0.07 % in control patients [13]. Incorvaia et al. [10]
observed that the anaphylaxis incidence of 0.14% is similar to the incidence of anaphylactic reactions for other drugs, such as oral penicillin, aspirin, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, as well as to the incidence of anaphylaxis reported in the general population.

Post-marketing data, based on an estimated exposure of 57,300 patients between June 2003 and December 2006, showed that the frequency of anaphylaxis attributed to omalizumab use was estimated to be at least 0.2% of patients [13].

Recently, the Omalizumab Joint Task Force (OJTF) of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology and the American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology Executive Committees has recently evaluated the evolution of available data, concluding that post-marketing reports continue to be infrequent [14, 15]. However, the OJTF established guidelines when treating patients with omalizumab, which include prescribing an epinephrine autoinjector to all patients receiving omalizumab, with training on proper use, and observing all patients for 2 h after the first three injections and for 30 min after all other injections [14, 15].

The aim of the present review is to assess the application of omalizumab in children. We identified studies from MEDLINE, the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (CENTRAL) databases and ClinicalTrials.gov using the keywords ‘omalizumab’ and ‘children’. We also performed a search of relevant files from the FDA (www.fda.gov) and EMA (http://www.ema.europa.eu) databases. References of the retrieved articles were also screened. We set no language restrictions. The approved indications—allergic asthma and CSU—are discussed. Moreover, we analyzed further potential applications of omalizumab in a number of IgE-mediated and non-IgE-mediated diseases.

### 2 Licensed Therapeutic Indications

#### 2.1 Pediatric Allergic Asthma

International asthma guidelines [16–18], also supported by the latest international consensus on pediatric asthma [19], currently recommend omalizumab for children with moderate to severe asthma not controlled with other medications, which in many cases will include high-dose inhaled corticosteroids in combination with a long-acting beta2-agonist. Patients aged ≥12 years must also have reduced lung function (FEV1 <80% of normal) for omalizumab to be indicated.

The licensed therapeutic indications of omalizumab for children and adolescents with allergic asthma are reported in Table 1.

Since the first launch of omalizumab, its earliest efficacy and safety data have been assessed in adults and adolescents (≥12 years) but not in younger children because of the lack of appropriately designed pediatric trials [20–24]. Most of the available evidence has been established in well-designed clinical trials conducted mainly in subjects aged between 12 and 75 years: the adolescent group (12–17 years) included in these studies represented only a small percentage of the overall sample (between 6 and 8%) [12].

In the last 10 years, compelling evidence from randomized clinical trials and real-world studies conducted in pediatric populations has shown that adding omalizumab to current asthma therapy is effective and well tolerated in children (aged 6–12 years) with uncontrolled allergic asthma [12].
In 2001, a double-blind, randomized, and placebo-controlled 28-week trial first evaluated the impact of omalizumab in the treatment of 334 children (aged 6–12 years) with moderate to severe allergic asthma requiring treatment with inhaled corticosteroids [25]. Children were randomized to subcutaneously administered placebo (N = 109) or omalizumab (N = 225) at a dose based on body weight and initial serum IgE. The efficacy of omalizumab versus placebo was evaluated over three phases: 16 weeks when inhaled steroid (beclomethasone dipropionate [BDP]) therapeutic doses were kept stable (stable-steroid phase), followed by an 8-week steroid-reduction phase to the minimum effective dose, and then maintained constant for the final 4 weeks [25]. The reduction in BDP dose was significantly greater in the omalizumab group than in the placebo group (median reduction 100 vs. 66.7 %), and a significantly greater percentage of omalizumab-treated patients (55 %) could reduce their BDP dose to withdrawn completely without losing asthma control. For the omalizumab group, the incidence and frequency of asthma exacerbations achieved a statistically significant reduction during the steroid-reduction phase, during which fewer participants in the omalizumab group had asthma exacerbation episodes (18.2 vs. 38.5 % for placebo; P < 0.001), and the mean number of episodes per patient was smaller than with placebo (0.42 vs. 2.72; P < 0.001) [25]. Omalizumab was well tolerated, with no evidence of clinically significant drug toxicity or serious treatment-related adverse events (AEs); the frequency of all AEs was similar in the omalizumab group and the placebo group (89.3 vs. 87.2 %), and the majority of AEs were mild to moderate in severity [25]. The most commonly reported AEs were headache (36.0 vs. 30.3 % for placebo), pharyngitis (25.8 vs. 23.9 % for placebo), upper respiratory tract infection (34.7 vs. 35.8 % for placebo), and viral infection (24.9 vs. 22.9 % for placebo). Urticaria was reported in nine omalizumab patients (4 %) compared with one placebo patient (0.9 %) [25]. Study-drug–related AEs occurred more frequently in the omalizumab group than in the placebo group (6.2 vs. 0.9 %; P = 0.029), and they included urticaria (1.3 %), rash (0.4 %), flushing (0.4 %), and pruritus (0.4 %). Injection site pain or other local skin reactions (burning, itching, warmth, bruising, redness, hive formation, rashes) occurred in 37.5 % of omalizumab-treated and 36.6 % of placebo-treated patients [25].

An analysis on asthma-related quality of life (AQLQ) was subsequently carried out in these patients, via administration of the Pediatric Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (PAQLQ) at treatment baseline, at the end of the steroid-stable treatment phase, and at the end of the steroid-reduction phase [26]. Baseline PAQLQ scores reflected minimal impairment in AQLQ in both groups, indicating good control of asthma before starting treatments; therefore, a lack of significant differences between treatments was observed during the steroid-stable phase [26]. However, at the end of the study, changes from baseline in the activities and symptoms domain scores, as well as in overall AQLQ, were significantly higher for omalizumab than placebo (P < 0.05); likewise, a greater proportion of patients in the omalizumab group achieved a clinically relevant improvement in AQLQ (defined as an increase in score of ≥0.5 points from baseline) than in the placebo group, reaching statistical significance only for the activities domain and the overall AQLQ score (P < 0.05) [26]. When considering a large improvement in AQLQ (defined as an increase in score of ≥1.5 points from baseline), the difference between the omalizumab-treated and placebo-treated groups was particularly marked for the activities domain (23.3 vs. 14.0 %, respectively; P = 0.995), symptoms domain (16.0 vs. 9.3 %, respectively; P = 0.1814), and overall score (13.7 vs. 8.1 %, respectively; P = 0.2258) [26].

Surveillance on the long-term safety of omalizumab was studied in an open-label extension of the study by Milgrom et al. [25], in which the active drug was administered to all children for an additional 24 weeks [27]; the reported incidence of AEs in patients treated with omalizumab for the overall 52 weeks was generally comparable to that for placebo [27]. Upper respiratory tract infection and headache were the most frequently reported AEs (47.1 and 42.7 %, respectively). Urticaria occurred in 11 of 225 (4.9 %) patients receiving omalizumab for 52 weeks. Urticaria was mild to moderate in severity, and was suspected to be drug related in five patients; it resolved spontaneously or with antihistamine, except for one patient who was discontinued because of generalized urticaria [27]. No AEs suggestive of serum sickness or immune complex formation occurred; no anti-omalizumab antibodies were detected in any of the children [27].

In 2009, Lanier et al. [28] conducted a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial in 627 children (aged 6 to <12 years) with moderate-to-severe persistent allergic asthma inadequately controlled despite medium to high doses of inhaled corticosteroids. Children were randomized to subcutaneously administered placebo (N = 206) or omalizumab (N = 421) at a dose based on body weight and initial serum IgE. Over a period of 52 weeks (24-week fixed-steroid phase followed by a 28-week adjustable-steroid phase), omalizumab was effective, significantly reducing the rate of clinically significant asthma exacerbations by 43 % versus placebo (0.78 vs. 1.36; P < 0.001), with an acceptable safety profile [28]. The overall incidence of AEs was similar in the omalizumab and placebo groups (90.3 vs. 93.7 %), and most AEs were mild or moderate in severity. Moreover, the overall incidence of serious AEs (SAEs) was lower in the
omalizumab group than in the placebo group (4 vs. 8%; \( P < 0.05 \)) [28].

In a further randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study by Kulus et al. [29], add-on treatment with omalizumab reduced the rate of clinically significant asthma exacerbations by 34% versus placebo (0.42 vs. 0.63; \( P = 0.047 \)) over a first 24-week fixed-steroid dosing period in 235 children aged 6 <12 years (omalizumab, \( N = 159 \); placebo, \( N = 76 \)) with severe allergic asthma inadequately controlled despite combination therapy. Extending the treatment period to 52 weeks (24-week fixed-steroid plus 28-week adjustable-steroid phases), the exacerbation rate was reduced by 50% (0.73 in omalizumab; placebo, \( P < 0.001 \)).

In 2011, Busse et al. [30] published the results of the ICATA (inner city anti-IgE therapy for asthma) study, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicenter trial of omalizumab in 419 inner-city children, adolescents, and young adults (6–20 years of age) with persistent allergic asthma; children were randomized to subcutaneous placebo (\( N = 211 \)) or omalizumab (\( N = 208 \)) at a dose based on body weight and initial serum IgE. Omalizumab as compared with placebo significantly reduced the number of days with asthma symptoms from 1.96 to 1.48 days per 2-week interval, a 24.5% decrease (\( P < 0.001 \)). Similarly, it significantly reduced the proportion of participants who had one or more exacerbations from 48.8 to 30.3% (\( P < 0.001 \)) [30]. Moreover, the authors identified a specific subgroup of potential responders to omalizumab in patients who were sensitized to cockroach antigens; compared with patients who were neither sensitized nor exposed to cockroach allergen, those receiving omalizumab had bigger reductions in inhaled corticosteroid dose (\( P = 0.03 \)) and asthma exacerbations (\( P = 0.06 \)) and increased odds of not having an asthma exacerbation (\( P = 0.06 \)) [30]. The authors also demonstrated that omalizumab is effective in children as young as 6 years of age [31].

Regarding safety, Milgrom et al. [31] presented a pooled safety analysis of two double-blind, placebo-controlled studies in children (aged 6 to <12 years) with moderate-to-severe allergic asthma, investigating both the efficacy and the safety of omalizumab. Of 926 children (omalizumab, \( N = 624 \); placebo, \( N = 302 \)), the most common AEs were nasopharyngitis, upper respiratory tract infection, and headache, and they were more frequently reported in the placebo (91.7%) than in the omalizumab group (89.7%) group. Suspected treatment-related AEs included headache, erythema, and urticaria, none of which were reported by \( \geq 2\% \) of patients receiving omalizumab. SAEs were reported by 3.4 and 6.6% of patients receiving omalizumab and placebo, respectively; the most common were appendicitis, pneumonia, and bronchitis. No deaths were reported [31].

Furthermore, a systematic review by Rodrigo et al. [32] supported the indication given in international guidelines for the use of subcutaneous omalizumab as add-on therapy to corticosteroids in children with moderate to severe persistent allergic asthma, first taking into account the latest randomized placebo-controlled trials performed in a pediatric population.

The evidence for a steroid-sparing impact of omalizumab treatment was reported by Brodlie et al. [33] in a small, uncontrolled study of children and adolescents (aged 5–16 years) with severe allergic asthma in the UK. After a 16-week therapeutic trial in 34 patients, the omalizumab add-on treatment allowed a significant reduction in daily prednisolone dose from 20 mg at baseline to 5 mg (\( N = 34 \), \( P < 0.0001 \)), and improved asthma control and quality of life (childhood asthma control test [ACT] score increased from 12 to 20 points; \( N = 23 \), \( P = 0.0001 \)) in more than 90% of children. These uncontrolled data, while very encouraging, were obtained in a relatively small patient cohort and should be interpreted with appropriate caution [33].

In 2013, Deschildre et al. [34] conducted the first pediatric real-world study with the aim of extending previous omalizumab efficacy and safety observed in randomized trials and, moreover, to assess its effect on disease control as an efficacy outcome. This 1-year observational study was conducted in a large group of children and adolescents (\( N = 104 \), aged <18 years) with severe allergic asthma in France. Omalizumab was administered as required by the dosing table, according to IgE levels and weight [34]. The results of this study went beyond those of previously reported clinical trials: asthma control, defined according to Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) criteria, improved significantly over 52 weeks (asthma control was ‘good’ for 0% of the population at treatment initiation and improved to 67% of patients; \( P < 0.0001 \)). The other main finding was a 72% reduction in the rate of severe exacerbations (the mean rate of severe exacerbations decreased from 4.4 per patient during the previous year to 1.25 during the year of treatment; \( P < 0.0001 \)), as well as a 30% reduction in the inhaled corticosteroid dose (\( P < 0.0001 \)), as proposed in adult guidelines. Moreover, the percentage of children requiring hospitalization decreased from 44% in the past year to 6.7%
(P < 0.001) [34]. Furthermore, omalizumab was also generally well tolerated in the real-world setting, with the most frequent AEs consisting of pain and local reaction at injection site (N = 33) [34]; however, the author also reported SAEs such as one case of extended urticaria, one case of anaphylaxis that was unrelated to omalizumab but linked to fruit allergy, and four cases of systemic reactions associated with abdominal and muscular pain, fatigue, and headache [34].

Although these data are the result of an observational study, they do reflect the previous findings of randomized controlled trials, indicating that they should be generalizable to clinical practice [34].

Finally, in 2014, a systematic Cochrane review analyzed the efficacy of omalizumab in more than 25 randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials involving adults, adolescents, and children with moderate to severe asthma; three of these studies focused exclusively on a pediatric or adolescent population, and, in adult studies, the adolescent population was represented by a small percentage (6–8 %) [12]. For this reason, the authors required a further clinical assessment in the pediatric population. However, based on all the studies considered, they concluded that omalizumab was effective in reducing asthma exacerbations and hospitalizations as an adjunctive therapy to inhaled steroids and during steroid-tapering phases of clinical trials. Furthermore, omalizumab was generally well tolerated, with the only exception being transient injection site reactions [12].

Omalizumab has proven to be safe and beneficial in children with allergic asthma in trials of 1-year duration [12, 28, 31]; its long-term safety and efficacy have been evaluated in adults [35] but not yet demonstrated in a pediatric population.

To date, strong biological markers to identify responders are still lacking. These issues, as well as further cost-utility analyses, are still open and need to be investigated in future pediatric studies.

2.2 Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria

Urticaria is a disease characterized by the development of wheals (hives), angioedema, or both [36]. Urticaria needs to be differentiated from other medical conditions where wheals, angioedema, or both can occur as a symptom (for example, the skin prick test, anaphylaxis, auto-inflammatory syndromes, or hereditary angioedema [bradykinin-mediated angioedema]) [36].

CSU is a chronic urticarial subtype, defined as itchy hives that last for at least 6 weeks, with or without angioedema, and that have no apparent external trigger [36]. It is estimated that 0.5–1 % of the population experiences this condition, which generally has a prolonged duration and has a detrimental effect on patients’ quality of life [37, 38].

The pathogenesis of CSU is uncertain but has been associated with histamine release from cutaneous mast cells and blood basophils [39]. Furthermore, it has been recently hypothesized that autoreactivity may play a larger role in CSU than previously thought [39]; about one-third of patients with CSU test positive in the autologous serum skin test, indicating the presence of autoantibodies or histamine-releasing factors, and approximately one-third produce IgG autoantibodies against IgE or FcεRI, and cross-linking of autoantibodies bound to FcεRII leads to degradation of mast cells and basophils and the release of histamine [39].

Before the FDA and EMA approval of omalizumab, non-sedating H1-antihistamines were the only agents licensed for use in patients with CSU. However, a majority of patients did not respond to these drugs, even when they were administered at three to four times their licensed dose [40].

Recently, the FDA and the EMA approved omalizumab for the treatment of CSU in adults and children 12 years and above with inadequate response to H1-antihistamines [6, 7].

To date, the potential pharmacologic mechanism of omalizumab in CSU has not been determined; it has been hypothesized that the depletion of free IgE by omalizumab, with subsequent downregulation of FcεRI and FcεRII, as well as possible sequestration of incoming allergen molecules by omalizumab-IgE immune complexes, may abolish the ability of IgE to potentiate mast cell activity in this way [39].

Interestingly, the mechanism of omalizumab appears to be different in urticaria and allergic asthma, for which the drug has been licensed previously. In urticaria, dosage is not dependent on serum IgE levels, and response is seen very often after only 12 h [39].

The approval of omalizumab for the treatment of CSU is primarily based on the results from three pivotal phase III clinical trials known as ASTERIA I, ASTERIA II, and GLACIAL [41–43].

The ASTERIA II study (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT01292473) evaluated the efficacy and safety of omalizumab in 323 patients (adults and adolescents ≥12 years) with moderate to severe CSU not responding to antihistamine therapy. Only 3 % of these patients were aged between 12 and 17 years [42]. Patients were randomized to omalizumab 75 mg, 150 mg, or 300 mg or placebo, administered subcutaneously every 4 weeks, for a total of three doses within a 12-week treatment period, followed by a 16-week follow-up period. At week 12, the mean (± standard deviation [SD]) change from baseline in weekly itch severity score was −5.1 ± 5.6 in the placebo group, −5.9 ± 6.5 in the 75 mg group (P = 0.46), −8.1 ± 6.4 in the 150 mg group (P = 0.001), and −9.8 ± 6.0 in the
300 mg group ($P < 0.001$) [42]. The rate of AEs was low and similar across the groups, although it was higher in the 300-mg group (6 %) than in the placebo group (3 %) or in either the 75- or the 150-mg group (1 % for each) [42].

In the GLACIAL study (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT01264939), 336 patients (adults and adolescents ≥12 years)—with persistent CSU despite treatment with H1-antihistamines at up to 4 times the approved dose plus H2-antihistamines, leukotriene receptor antagonists, or both—were randomized to receive six subcutaneous injections at 4-week intervals of either omalizumab 300 mg or placebo, followed by a 16-week observation period [43]. The primary objective was to evaluate the safety of omalizumab compared with placebo. The overall incidence and severity of AEs was similar between omalizumab and placebo recipients [43]. Efficacy was evaluated at weeks 12 and 24 and included itch severity, wheal, and urticaria activity scores. At week 12, the mean change from baseline in weekly itch severity score was $-8.6$ (95 % confidence interval [CI] $-9.3$ to $-7.8$) in the omalizumab group compared with $-4.0$ (95 % CI $-5.3$ to $-2.7$) in the placebo group ($P < 0.001$). These benefits were sustained to week 24 [43]. However, it is not clear how many adolescent patients were involved in this study.

Recently, the results from a third clinical trial with omalizumab in CSU were presented [41]. In the ASTERIA I study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01287117), patients aged 12–75 years with CSU who remained symptomatic despite H1-antihistamine treatment were randomized to receive omalizumab 75, 150, or 300 mg or placebo every 4 weeks during the 24-week treatment period [41]. Only 6 % of these patients were aged between 12 and 17 years [41, 44]. Efficacy results in ASTERIA I were consistent with those from ASTERIA II and GLACIAL [44]. All these findings show that omalizumab reduces clinical symptoms and signs of CSU resistant to antihistamines [41–44]. These results had a strong influence on clinical practice.

The recently updated guideline for the definition, classification, diagnosis, and management of urticaria recommend a trial of omalizumab as add-on therapy to modern second-generation H1-antihistamines as third line in the algorithm of treatment of urticaria (strong recommendation/high level of evidence) [36].

Unfortunately, there is little published information about CSU in children [45]. Consequently, most of the recommendations contained in current guidelines for the prevention and treatment of CSU in children is based on extrapolation of data obtained in adults and adolescents [45]. To date, omalizumab has not been studied in children with CSU aged <12 years [46].

3 Further Potential Clinical Applications: Off-Label Indications

In recent years, several studies have investigated the possible applications of omalizumab in a number of IgE-mediated and non-IgE-mediated diseases [9, 10].

3.1 Allergic Rhinitis

Omalizumab has been reported to be effective for the treatment of patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) and persistent allergic rhinitis (PAR) [47–51]. Results from clinical trials demonstrated that omalizumab improved symptoms, quality of life, and necessity of rescue medications in adults and adolescents (>12 years and above) with allergic rhinitis (AR) [47–51].

In a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials, Tsabouri et al. [52] assessed the efficacy and safety of omalizumab in patients with poorly controlled AR. Of 11 clinical trials included in the meta-analysis, two studies referred to the same clinical trial with a sample size of 221 patients and included only children and adolescents aged 6–17 years (mean age 12 years) and another four trials included pediatric patients. Of these, two reported the proportion of the included pediatric patients (9 and 23 %, respectively), whereas the other two did not provide further information [52]. The clinical trial conducted specifically in children was in combination with subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) and is also discussed separately below [58]. Overall, a statistically significant reduction in the daily nasal symptom severity score and in daily nasal rescue medication score was observed [52]. According to this evidence, omalizumab may provide a new treatment strategy for AR. In particular, omalizumab may benefit patients with moderate-severe AR with proven allergen-specific antibodies who have no sufficient response to recommended medications [52]. Moreover, treatment with omalizumab would be beneficial in patients with comorbid AR and asthma [53]. The recently published position paper on pediatric rhinitis by the European Academy for Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) considers omalizumab as a possible treatment for patients with AR and moderate-to-severe asthma when other recommended therapies are ineffective [54]. However, the use of omalizumab for the treatment of AR has not been approved, and the high cost limits this application. Nevertheless, its periodic off-label use may be justified in patients with severe disease not responding to standard treatments [55].

Recently, the use of anti-IgE antibody therapy in addition to conventional SCIT has been explored in several trials [56–59]. In particular, the combination of omalizumab with SCIT in patients with SAR and comorbid seasonal allergic asthma has been proved to be safe and
efficient in reducing the symptom load during the pollen season in a patient population that included approximately 25% adolescents (>12 years and above) [56, 57].

Considering the pediatric population, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial involving 221 children (aged 6–17 years) with SAR was conducted with the aim of exploring the additional anti-allergic effect of omalizumab in combination with SCIT [58]. The combination therapy with SCIT and omalizumab resulted in a significant reduction in rescue medication use (86%, \(P = 0.001\)) and number of symptomatic days (61%, \(P < 0.001\)); moreover, this combined treatment showed superior efficacy on symptom severity compared with SCIT alone (nasal symptoms: median 0.45 vs. 0.32, \(P = 0.025\)) [58]. Furthermore, a safety analysis on the same population showed a good tolerability of SCIT + omalizumab (82% of patients); the AEs with possible causal relationship to treatment occurred slightly more often in the groups treated with omalizumab (24.8 vs. 16.0% for placebo), particularly local reactions (16.8 vs. 12.3%) and some systemic, gastrointestinal (2.7 vs. 0.9%) and ear symptoms (1.8 vs. 0%) [59]. Most AEs (93.4% with omalizumab and 87.2% with placebo) were judged by the patients as mild to moderate. Finally, redness and swelling at the SCIT injection site appeared significantly more often in the placebo group (52 vs. 37%, \(P = 0.01\); 38 vs. 17%, \(P = 0.001\), respectively), which suggests a positive effect of omalizumab on local reaction induced by SCIT [59]. These results potentially disclose new perspectives for the application of SCIT in the pediatric population; however, there are no data available as to whether this combination therapy is also cost effective.

3.2 Allergic Bronchopulmonary Aspergillosis

Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) is a lung disease caused by aspergillus-induced hypersensitivity. This condition is more frequent in patients with cystic fibrosis, with a prevalence of 2–15% [60]. Systemic corticosteroids remain the mainstay of treatment, and antifungal agents are added to reduce the aspergillus antigen burden. However, corticosteroid adverse effects have led to the exploration of novel therapeutic interventions [60].

A review of current evidence on the use of omalizumab for ABPA in children with cystic fibrosis showed that there is a limited published literature and all available data are obtained from case reports [61]. In the eight case reports analyzed, treatment with omalizumab was associated with improved expiratory flow function, reduced frequency of respiratory symptoms, and decreased use of systemically administered corticosteroids [61]. There are no randomized, placebo-controlled trials evaluating this treatment approach in the pediatric population.

As assessed by the Cochrane Collaboration, there is a need for large prospective randomized controlled trials of anti-IgE therapy in people with cystic fibrosis and ABPA, with both clinical and laboratory outcome measures such as steroid requirement, ABPA exacerbations, and lung function [62].

3.3 Nasal Polyposis

The clinical efficacy and safety of omalizumab has been recently evaluated in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, including a small population of adults with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis and comorbid asthma [63]. Further studies in adult patients are awaited in order to consider the possible application of anti-IgE therapy in children.

3.4 Severe Refractory Atopic Dermatitis

Severe refractory atopic dermatitis (AD) is a chronic debilitating condition that is associated with elevated serum IgE levels [64].

Small reports and a pilot study in adults and adolescents investigating anti-IgE therapy in patients with severe refractory AD found symptomatic improvement with omalizumab [65–69].

No literature are available for the pediatric population; Lacombe Barrios et al. [70] only reported a small case series of five children and two adolescents with severe AD treated with omalizumab in a study strongly limited by the absence of a placebo control group. Thus, further studies are needed to evaluate the role and efficacy of anti-IgE therapy in patients with severe AD.

3.5 Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis

Food allergy is defined as an adverse reaction to a food allergen caused by immunologic mechanisms; the IgE-mediated form occurs with different degrees of severity, ranging from mild oral allergy syndrome to anaphylaxis [71].

Anaphylaxis is a severe, potentially life-threatening systemic hypersensitivity reaction, characterized by being rapid in onset, with life-threatening airway, breathing, or circulatory problems and is usually, although not always, associated with skin and mucosal changes [72]. It can involve allergic and non-allergic mechanisms; most cases of anaphylaxis involve an IgE-mediated mechanism, such as that seen in food-induced anaphylaxis [72].
Considering its efficacy in reducing serum IgE levels and downregulating the cell surface expression of FcεRI, omalizumab has been explored for other IgE-associated conditions, such as IgE-mediated food allergy and food-induced anaphylaxis [73].

The first randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial investigating the effect of anti-IgE therapy in food allergy was conducted in 84 patients (aged 12–60 years) with peanut allergy, including only 18 patients aged 12–17 years. Patients were randomized to receive monthly subcutaneous injections of one of three doses of another anti-IgE molecule known as TNX-901 (150, 300, or 450 mg) or placebo for a total of 4 months [74]. Within 2–4 weeks of the last dose, patients were challenged with increasing amounts of peanuts until a clinical reaction occurred [74]. Treatment with TNX-901, rather than omalizumab, increased the threshold dose of peanut eliciting a clinical reaction in a dose-dependent manner, and this was statistically significant only at the highest dose (450 mg) when compared with placebo [74].

Subsequent studies examined the use of omalizumab as a therapy for food allergy. Sampson et al. [75] recently started a phase II clinical trial with omalizumab. This was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial designed to enroll 150 peanut allergic subjects (aged 6–75 years); however, due to the severe reactions (anaphylaxis) that occurred during the peanut challenge performed prior to receiving any drug, the study was terminated early. As a result, only 14 subjects, including seven pediatric patients, completed the study [75].

Recently, the role of omalizumab in reducing allergic reactions to food allergen challenges was investigated in patients undergoing food immunotherapy. A small pilot open-label study evaluated the use of omalizumab as an adjuvant to milk oral immunotherapy in 11 pediatric patients (aged 7–17 years). Pre-treatment with omalizumab for 7–11 weeks enabled nine children to tolerate the rush desensitization milk protocol (from 0.1 to 1,000 mg of milk protein over 1 day) [76].

Currently, there are no data to support the role of omalizumab as monotherapy in the induction of tolerance in food-allergic patients. To date, several clinical trials (clinicaltrials.gov identifiers NCT02062814, NCT01157117, NCT01290913, NCT00932282), all involving children as well as adults, are examining the use of omalizumab in desensitization protocols to various foods, particularly milk and peanut [73].

### 3.6 Other Disorders

Although anecdotal evidence of utility has been reported, no formal trials are examining the off-label use of omalizumab in other pediatric disorders, such as mast cell diseases (systemic mastocytosis or mast cell activation syndrome), exercise-induced anaphylaxis, eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases, severe vernal keratoconjunctivitis, or skin bullous disorders [9, 10].

### 4 Conclusion

Although its use has been approved only in moderate-to-severe uncontrolled allergic asthma and in CSU, omalizumab has been explored in several pediatric conditions. Further studies including clinical efficacy, safety, and cost-benefit ratios as primary outcomes are needed to translate these findings into clinical practice, especially in the pediatric population.
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