Aeromonas hydrophila: Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Histopathology of Isolates from Diseased Catfish, Clarias gariepinus (Burchell)
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Abstract

Antimicrobial resistance in bacterial pathogens is a global public health problem. The aim of this research was to reveal the distribution and antimicrobial drug resistance of bacterial pathogens in diseased catfish, Clarias gariepinus (Burchell) from Marang River Terengganu, Malaysia. Eleven isolates of Aeromonas hydrophila were derived from diseased fish. Commercial biochemical identification kit (BBL-Crystal) and the PCR products of 16S rDNA was used to identify the isolated bacterial strains. Disc diffusion method using 6 types of antibiotic discs was performed for antibiotics susceptibility testing. The majority of isolated bacteria were A. hydrophila. All isolates of A. hydrophila were resistance to Ampicillin and susceptible to tetracycline of the analyzed isolates against the tested antibiotics. Multiple drug resistance index (MAR) for all isolates was ranged from 0.10 to 0.50. Isolates of A. hydrophila showed β- haemolytic pattern on blood agar. Clinically; exophthalmia and dermal lesions with hyperaemia and cellultis of the fins were observed. Necropsy revealed yellow foci on the liver surface, tightly full gall bladder with emerald green bile and swollen, friable kidney and spleen. Histopathologically indemicated skin necrosis, hyperplasia in the secondary lamellae of gill, degenerative changes in glomerular epithelium in kidney, vacuolar degeneration in hepatocytes, hyperplasia in the lymph follicles of spleen, edema, and focal hyaline degeneration in muscles. Therefore, routine monitoring of drug susceptibility pattern over time is necessary.
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Introduction

High antibiotic resistance is seen in bacterial infections caused by members of the genus Aeromonas [1]. They are amid the most common diseases of fish especially those in pond systems containing recirculation [2]. The emergence of resistance to antimicrobial agents in bacterial pathogens is a global public health problem [3]. A. hydrophila is a microorganism widely distributed in nature: in water, soil, food. It is also part of the normal bacterial flora of many animals. As an opportunistic microorganism it is a secondary biological agent that contributes to the occurrence of a fish disease and its deterioration [4]. A. hydrophila is a Gram-negative aerobic and facultative anaerobic, oxidase-positive motile bacterium that dwells in aquatic environments and in gastrointestinal tracts of healthy fish [5].

Significant mortalities due to A. hydrophila infection were recorded in the South and South-East Asia farmed fish [6]. In the study of Musa et al stated that bacterial isolates from sick freshwater ornamental fish from aquarium shops in Terengganu-Malaysia consisted of mostly A. hydrophila (60%) [7]. The bacterium causes diverse pathologic conditions such as dermal ulceration, rotting of the tails, fin haemorrhagic, septicaemia, red sores, exophthalmia, erythrodermatitis and scale protrusion especially for common carp Cyprinus carpio [8,9].

Chronic infections could lead to ulceration, inflammation, and dermal lesions with focal haemorrhages Cipriano [8] and during acute septicaemia, the liver and kidney are the common target organs [10]. According to Wooley [11] antibiotic resistance is a major problem when dealing with A. hydrophila infections. Therefore, the main objectives of the present study areto isolate and identify of A. hydrophila from farmed diseased catfish, Clarias gariepinus (Burchell) and to evaluate their antibiotic susceptibility profile along with the clinical and histopathological effects in diseased fish.

Materials and Methods

Sample fish

About 60 diseased catfish, Clarias gariepinus were collected from a local farm culture Marang River Terengganu, Malaysia (05°12’N, 103°13’E). The fish weighed from 350 to 800 g. The fish were anaesthetised with Tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222) and then dissected according to Wilson [12] and performed by standard methods [13].

Bacterial isolation

Lesions from skin, fin and gill, were inoculated onto Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA) (Merck, Germany) and incubated aerobically at 28°C for 24 h. Then internal samples were acquired aseptically from the kidney, liver, spleen and the infected muscles. The plates were examined for bacterial growth. Dominant colonies were selected, re-streaked on Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA). Cultures were placed in 20% glycerol and supplemented in Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB) for storage at -80°C. Dominant colonies were selected for bacterial isolation to establish the optimal number of bacterial cells, and undergoing thorough purification procedure until pure colonies were established to be sure that dominant colonies were not contaminated.
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Phenotypic characterization

Phenotypic characterization of *A. hydrophila* were carried out biochemically to species level by using following tests: Gram staining, motility, catalase, Kovac’s oxidase, indole typical growth reaction on Triple sugar iron agar, oxidation and fermentation, urease test, H2S production, Methyl Red-Voges Proskauer (MR-VP), reduction of nitrate to nitrite, haemolysin production, and arginine dihydrolase. Isolates of *A. hydrophila* were then identified by using a commercial Identification System kit (BBLTM Crystal E/NF, USA) [14].

Identification of the isolates

The purified isolates were amplified in BHI broth and DNA extraction was done with a DNA extraction kit. The bacteria were subjected to the PCR with universal 16S rRNA primers and the PCR products were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis and sequenced. The sequences were performed by comparative analysis with the Genbank databases for identification of the isolates [15].

**Antibiogram**

Antibiogram testing was carried out using the disc diffusion method. Antibiogram was performed on Mueller-Hinton Agar (Oxoid, England). Standard guidelines were used for result evaluation [16]. Six antibiotic disk namely ampicillin (10 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), nitrofurantoin (50 µg), colistin sulphate (25 µg), florfenicol(30 µg) and novobiocin (10 µg) (Oxoid, England) were utilized. After a period of 24 h incubation, the zones of inhibition were compared and measured according to the manufacturer’s instruction (NCCLS, 2006) (Table 1). In this study we chose only more commonly antibiotics that used to prevent and treated diseases in most farmed fish [16].

Based on the zone of inhibition, the characterizations of strains were investigated as sensitive, intermediate, or resistant. The formula below is used to calculate the Multiple Antibiotic Resistances (MAR index) of the present isolates against tested antibiotics.

\[
\text{MAR index} = \frac{X}{Y-Z}
\]

Where;

- X—Total of antibiotic resistance case
- Y—Total of antibiotic used in the study
- Z—Total of isolates [17].

When the use of antibiotics is seldom or of low dose use for animal of treatment, the MAR value is usually equal to or less than 0.2. In contrast, the elevated rate of use or the high risk of exposure of antibiotics for animal treatment will yield an MAR index value which is more than 0.2.

### Table 1: Breakpoints for 6 antibiotics according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute Standards

| Antibiotic       | Sensitive (mm) | Intermediary (mm) | Resistant (mm) |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Ampicillin       | ≥ 17           | 14-16             | ≤ 13          |
| Colistin sulphate| ≥ 11           | 9-10              | ≤ 8           |
| Florfenicol      | ≥ 18           | 15-17             | ≤ 14          |
| Nitrofurantoin   | ≥ 16           | 13-15             | ≤ 12          |
| Novobiocin       | ≥ 17           | 15-16             | ≤ 14          |
| Tetracycline     | ≥ 19           | 15-18             | ≤ 15          |

(Source: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2008)

Haemolytic activity

The test organisms were cultivated on blood agar (Oxoid, England). Plates were incubated at 28°C for 24 to 48 h. The existence of clear zones around the colonies indicative of β-hemolysis (complete lysis of the red blood cell). Green zones around colonies signify α-hemolysis. The green aura around a colony as an outcome of hemoglobin reduction to meta hemoglobin in red blood cells. No hemolysis is known as γ-hemolysis [18].

**Clinical Signs and Post-mortem**

**Examination**

The clinical signs were recorded and the fish were anesthetized in 100 mg/L tricaine methane sulfonate (MS-222). Fish were killed by transecting the spinal cord behind the skull for post-mortem examination and the gross lesions were recorded.

**Histopathological examination**

For histopathological studies, tissue specimens were obtained from fins, gills, liver, kidney, muscle and spleen. The tissue specimens were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Dehydration and infiltration of tissue were carried out using automatic tissue processor (Leica, Germany). Samples were embedded in paraffin, and were sectioned using rotary microtome (4 to 5 µm) (Leica, Germany) and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) according to the method described by [19].

**Data analysis**

Data was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the mean was compared with last significant different (L.S.D) P<0.05 using Gestate 12.1 program.

**Result**

**Clinical signs**

The diseased fish showed symptoms of increased respiration and lethargy, skin lesions such as white discoloration, shallow hemorrhagic ulcers or deep ulcers with exposed underlying muscle. Some fish showed marked hemorrhages on the base of the fins and vent. Others were dropsey, kidney congestion and enlargement, pale liver and gills, or gall-bladder enlargement with the accumulation of yellowish fluid in the body cavity.

**Bacterial Isolation and Identification**

Biochemical test delivered a preliminary identification of the *A. hydrophila* strain. Eleven isolates were identified as Gram negative (Figure 1), motile, oxidase-positive, catalase-positive (Table 2). The isolates induced β-hemolysis on blood agar. From the result of BBL Crystal Gram negative ID Kits the isolate was identified as *A. hydrophila*. The PCR products of 16S rDNA were about 1500 bp after agarose gel electrophoresis was done. It was demonstrated that the 16S rDNA PCR products were 1523 bp by sequencing. And the pair-wise alignments of the 16S rDNA gene showed that the homology of the isolate to *A. hydrophila* was the most closest. Results of this study showed that 73.3% of bacterial strains isolated from diseased catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell) were *A. hydrophila* and 6.6% were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Flavobacterium indicum, Chruseobacterium indologenes* and *Chryseobacterium gleum*.

**Antimicrobial resistance patterns**

Among 11 bacterial strains tested, all strains showed 100%
resistance to ampicillin, 90.90% to colistin sulphate, and 27.27% to nitrofurantoin. On the other hand, all isolates were 100% susceptible to tetracycline, and 90.90% to novobiocin and florfenicol as shown in Table 3.

**Antimicrobial Multi-resistance and MAR Index**

All 11 isolates showed multiple resistant patterns to at least one antibiotic. 7 strains were commonly resistant to 2 antibiotics with 63.6% multi-resistance patterns, while 3 strains were multiple resistances against 3 antibiotics with 27.2% of total strains, and 1 strain showed multiple resistances against 1 antibiotic with 9% of total strains. MAR index ranged from 0.1 to 0.5 (Figure 2) (Table 3).

**Antibiogram**

All isolated *A. hydrophila* strains showed 100% resistant towards ampicillin and 90.90% to colistin sulphate. 9.09% of the isolates showed resistance for both novobiocin and florfenicol whereas 27.27% was observed for nitrofurantoin. Isolates were 100% susceptible to tetracycline. There were significant differences (P<0.05) among all antibiotics used in present study in their effect of inhibition the growth of *A. hydrophila* as shown in (Figure 3). Tetracycline was the highest mean inhibition zone diameter (25mm) against *A. hydrophila* while, ampicillin was the lowest mean inhibition zone diameter (4 mm).

**Clinical signs and gross changes**

Clinical findings observed on the 60 diseased catfish during the sampling for this study were different among the fish. Some of diseased catfish display one clinical sings while others showed more than two clinical sings. These clinical findings included: dermal lesions with focal hemorrhage, ulcers, inflammation and hyperaemia of the fin bases. A “washboard” appearance on skin due to the scales bristling out, exophthalmia in one or both eyes, and eventual bursting of the orbit, an accumulation of fluid in the scale pockets; abdominal distention as a result of an edema; dark green pustules on the liver with yellowish foci on the surface; gall bladder contained emerald green bile; swollen and friable kidney and spleen (Figures 4 and 5).

**Discussion**

*A. hydrophila* is one of the major sources of disease complications for farmed fishes [20,21]. Ye concluded that *A. hydrophila* is a foodborne pathogen causing zoonotic diseases spreading from animals to humans based on twenty *A. hydrophila* isolates from sixty diseased freshwater fish that were characterized by antibiotics susceptibility testing, RAPD-PCR fingerprinting and detection of their virulence factors [22]. Another study by Daskalov [23] also showed *A. hydrophila* as being widely distributed in food, drinking water and environment, and as an important pathogen causing freshwater fish hemorrhagic diseases, zoonotic diseases, and food borne infections. Multiple factors can be involved in the virulence processes of *Aeromonas hydrophila*. In this study, eleven isolates of *Aeromonas hydrophila* were derived from diseased fresh water fishes of different species. *Aeromonas hydrophila* is naturally found in the intestinal tract of the fish, and does not produce...
disease under natural conditions. Disease outbreaks are usually brought on by factors including: stress, changes in environmental conditions, overcrowding, handling, transportation, poor water quality, changes in temperature, low dissolved oxygen, high CO₂ levels, high nitrite levels, and high ammonia levels. These are the most common predisposing factors associated with \( A. \) hydrophila diseases. Moreover, the pathogenicity of \( Aeromonas \) hydrophila appears to be associated to stress of the host, \( Aeromonas \) hydrophila with high virulence can infect healthy fish; however, the stress coming from intensive fish farming also contributes and triggers outbreaks [24].

The spreading of drug resistance amid \( Aeromona \) spp. is also of risk since surveys indicated the emergence of these organisms as primary human pathogens [5]. Six antibiotics namely; ampicillin, tetracycline, nitrofurantoin, colistin sulphate, florfenicol, and novobiocin were used in the study mainly due to their routine usage in the prevention and treatment of fish disease in most fish farming in this area. Tetracycline and oxytetracycline are commonly applied for the treatment of \( A. \) hydrophila.
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Hydrophila infections. The aeromonads have been regarded as being universally resistant to penicillins [5]; therefore, ampicillin has been incorporated in the culture media for selective isolation of the aeromonads from contaminated samples. The goal in this study was to confirm that A. hydrophila is resistant to penicillin but sensitive to tetracycline. Our results indicate that A. hydrophila showed resistance to more than two antibiotics, especially to ampicillin and colistin sulphate.

Results of the present study revealed that 73.3% of bacterial strains isolated from diseased catfish, Clarias gariepinus (Burchell) were A. hydrophila, and 6.6% were Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Flavobacterium indicum, Chryseobacterium indologenes and Chryseobacterium gleum. Therefore, due to these findings we focused on Aeromonas hydrophila for their dominance. The causation of bacterial disease in ornamental fish studied in Malaysia aquarium shop [7] stated that the majority of bacterial disease in ornamental fish was (60%) A. hydrophila, these results were similar with our findings that A. hydrophila is the most common (73.3%) bacterial disease pathogen in farmed catfish. The present study also reveals that all bacterial strains had (100%) of antibiotic resistance against ampicillin antibiotics the results were relatively similar to the study of [25] who determined that kidney attacked by bacterial toxins led to kidney cells to lose their lymphocyte infiltration similar to the report of Suprapto [41] stating that the most frequent virulence factor found in the analyzed isolates [31].

According to the results obtained in this study, control measures should be designed to deal with opportunistic infections. Therefore, an antimicrobial susceptibility test against bacterial disease fish has to be completed in cage cultured fish.

Multiple factors are involved in the virulence processes of Aeromonas hydrophila. In this study, the virulence factors were widely distributed among the A. hydrophila isolates. Aerolysin was the most frequent virulence factor found in the analyzed isolates [34]. The lipases and hydrolipases are important virulence factors in Aeromonas spp, they alter the structure of the cytoplasmic membrane of the host thus exacerbating its pathogenicity, especially if the aerolysin gene is present [35]. Moreover, the hemolytic activity of A. hydrophila as β-hemolysis may be used as an indicator of enterotoxicity [36] and this result is in agreement with our finding that all isolates of A. hydrophila were β-hemolysin, and are relatively similar to Khalil and Mansour [37], who described A. hydrophila as having a wide range of biological functions related to β-haemolysin (aerolysin) containing haemolytic and proteolytic activities lethal to fish. Despite the fact that potentiologically pathogenic aeromonads very few studies have included A. hydrophila strains. For example such isolate from European Seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax) fish could be enterotoxigenic and may be responsible for outbreaks of diarrhea if the fish are consumed without proper cooking; and media containing ampicillin may not be suitable for isolation of A. hydrophila [38]. Haemorrhage at the anus and blood-stained ascites has been detected in several fish infected with A. hydrophila. Clinical signs and gross changes were similar to those described in naturally infected rainbow trout [39]. Skin lesions with focal hemorrhage and inflammation may be related to Aeromonas spp. infections associated with ulcerative skin and may be on the surface of organ or deep within tissue [40]. Our findings were also similar with the findings of Cipriano [8] who stated that the chronic infections of A. hydrophila led to dermal ulceration lesions with focal haemorrhages and inflammation. The present study revealed hemorrhages of the pectoral fin had been seen in the diseased fish, these results were relatively similar to the study of Suprapto [41] that the hemorrhage of the pectoral fin is prominent. Our histopathological results are in agreement with Harikrishnan and Balasundaram [42] that A. hydrophila causes hemorrhagic sepsis, characterized by small superficial wounds and localized bleeding which evolve to epidermal wounds. Exophthalmia seen in some cases in the present study are similar to those reported in Yamot and Inglis [43] who described an acute mortality among Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) infected with A. hydrophila and the most apparent clinical signs included an opaqueness in one or both eyes, accompanied by exophthalmia and eventual bursting of the orbit. Arrangements of hepatocytes in the liver were disturbed showing some cells with vacuolation with severe necrosis. The present study exhibited results relatively similar to Afifi [44] that toxins produced by A. hydrophila and extracellular products such as hemolysin, protease, elastase may cause severe necrosis in the liver.

Our study also observed that the kidneys of the diseased fishes were severely damaged, exhibiting degenerative changes in the glomerular epithelium with cytoplasmic vacuol formation, as well as focal lymphocyte infiltration similar to the report of Suprapto [41] stating that kidney attacked by bacterial toxins led to kidney cells to lose their structural integrity.

**Conclusion**

These results show that the strains in sample developed antibiotic
resistance. Therefore, a further development of the resistance may be expected, consequently the number of effective antimicrobial drugs is diminishing. Since this is a microorganism that may threaten human health, transmission of the reduced susceptibility may have negative consequences for humans.
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