An electron fixed target experiment to search for a new vector boson $A'$ decaying to $e^+e^-$
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ABSTRACT: We describe an experiment to search for a new vector boson $A'$ with weak coupling $\alpha' \gtrsim 6 \times 10^{-8}\alpha$ to electrons ($\alpha = e^2/4\pi$) in the mass range $65\text{MeV} < m_{A'} < 550\text{MeV}$. New vector bosons with such small couplings arise naturally from a small kinetic mixing of the “dark photon” $A'$ with the photon — one of the very few ways in which new forces can couple to the Standard Model — and have received considerable attention as an explanation of various dark matter related anomalies. $A'$ bosons are produced by radiation off an electron beam, and could appear as narrow resonances with small production cross-section in the trident $e^+e^-$ spectrum. We summarize the experimental approach described in a proposal submitted to Jefferson Laboratory’s PAC35, PR-10-009 [1]. This experiment, the $A'$ Experiment (APEX), uses the electron beam of the Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility at Jefferson Laboratory (CEBAF) at energies of $\approx 1-4\text{GeV}$ incident on $0.5 - 10\%$ radiation length Tungsten multi-foil targets, and measures the resulting $e^+e^-$ pairs to search for the $A'$ using the High Resolution Spectrometer and the septum magnet in Hall A. With a $\sim 1$ month run, APEX will achieve very good sensitivity because the statistics of $e^+e^-$ pairs will be $\sim 10,000$ times larger in the explored mass range than any previous search for the $A'$ boson. These statistics and the excellent mass resolution of the spectrometers allow sensitivity to $\alpha'/\alpha$ one to three orders of magnitude below current limits, in a region of parameter space of great theoretical and phenomenological interest. Similar experiments could also be performed at other facilities, such as the Mainz Microtron.
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1 Introduction

The development of the Standard Model of particle interactions is the culmination of a century of searches and analyses with fixed-target and colliding beam experiments. Interactions with new forces beyond the Standard Model are currently limited by well-tested gauge symmetries to a handful of possibilities. One of the few remaining ways for interactions with new sub-GeV vector-like forces to arise is for charged particles to acquire millicharges,
$\epsilon \epsilon$, under these forces. This occurs through a simple and generic mechanism proposed by Holdom [2], in which a new vector particle $A'_\mu$ mixes via quantum loops with the Standard Model photon. MeV–GeV masses for the $A'$ gauge boson are particularly well-motivated in this context. Such sub-GeV forces are a common feature of extensions of the Standard Model, but existing constraints are surprisingly weak, with limits at $\epsilon \epsilon \lesssim (0.3 - 1) \times 10^{-2}$. 

Fixed-target experiments with high-intensity continuous wave electron beams and existing precision spectrometers are ideally suited to explore sub-GeV forces by probing reactions in which a new $A'$ vector particle is produced by radiation off an electron beam [3, 4]. The $A'$ can decay to an electron and positron pair and appears as a narrow resonance of small magnitude in the invariant mass spectrum. The production rate of $A'$s, the luminosity, and the mass resolution attainable at, for example, Jefferson Laboratory (JLab) and the Mainz Microtron, vastly exceeds what is currently available using colliding electron beam facilities. In [3], several fixed-target experimental strategies were outlined to search for new sub-GeV vector interactions. In this paper, we summarize a concrete $A'$ search using Jefferson Laboratory’s Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) and the High Resolution Spectrometers (HRS) in Hall A [1], highlighting the features that are applicable to similar experimental facilities. This experiment, the $A'$ Experiment (APEX), can probe charged particle couplings with new forces as small as $2 \times 10^{-4} \epsilon$ and masses between 65 MeV and 550 MeV — an improvement by more than two orders of magnitude in cross section sensitivity over all previous experiments.

Fixed-target experiments of this form are particularly timely in light of a series of recent anomalies from terrestrial, balloon-borne, and satellite experiments that suggest that dark matter interacts with Standard Model particles. Much of this data sharply hints that dark matter is directly charged under a new force mediated by an $A'$ and not described by the Standard Model. Theoretical as well as phenomenological expectations suggest an $A'$ mass $m_{A'} \lesssim 1$ GeV and $\epsilon \epsilon \lesssim 10^{-2} \epsilon$.

In this paper, we shall focus on a search for new vector bosons. However, it should be emphasized that this experiment will provide a powerful probe for any new particle — vector, pseudo-vector, scalar, or pseudo-scalar — that has sub-GeV mass and couples to electrons (for other collider, accelerator, and direct and indirect astrophysical probes see [5–25]; a proposal for an electron beam incident on a diffuse Hydrogen gas target using the Jefferson Laboratory’s Free Electron Laser has been discussed in [12]).

1.1 Brief overview of the experimental strategy

The goal of the experiment is to measure the invariant mass spectrum of electron-positron pairs produced by electron scattering on a high-Z target, and search for a narrow peak with width corresponding to the instrumental resolution. The electron and positron are detected in magnetic spectrometers with acceptance over a small range of particle momentum and angle, such that each experimental setting is sensitive to a mass window $\sim \pm 30\%$ about a central mass value. Using four beam energies from 1–4 GeV, APEX will scan the $e^+e^-$ spectrum in the mass range 65 MeV to 550 MeV.

Optimal sensitivity for these masses is achieved by studying symmetric $e^+e^-$ kinematics, where each particle carries approximately half the beam energy and has an opening
angle $\approx 5$ degrees relative to the beam. Such small effective angles for the spectrometer can be achieved using a septum magnet [1, 26]. Without a septum magnet, lower beam energies and correspondingly wider angles could be used to probe the same mass range. The impact of the geometry on the physics reach will be reviewed in section 3 and was discussed in detail in [3].

The experimental sensitivity is determined by statistics and mass resolution. Given the precision of spectrometers used, the latter is limited by multiple scattering in the target material. In APEX, a long, multi foil target is used to obtain excellent relative mass resolution of $\sigma_m/m \approx 0.5\%$. In addition, different segments of the target will enter the spectrometers for different central angles, increasing the size of the mass window probed simultaneously.

With a beam of 80 $\mu$A on 0.5%–10% radiation-length targets at various beam energies, we expect to collect true coincidence $e^+e^-$ events with a rate in the range of 100–500 Hz (the expected background and accidental coincidence rates within a 2 ns timing window are about an order of magnitude lower). The total $e^+e^-$ sample size will exceed $10^8$ pairs in a 6-day period for each beam energy setting of 1, 2, and 3 GeV, and a 12-day period for the 4 GeV setting.

While this paper reflects an experimental setup optimized for the equipment in Hall A at JLab, many of the experimental considerations are also applicable for equipment available at the Mainz Microtron, JLab Hall B, and other experimental facilities.

### 1.2 Expected reach and impact

APEX will be sensitive to new gauge bosons with couplings as small as $\alpha'/\alpha \approx (6−8) \times 10^{-8}$ for masses in the range $65−300$ MeV, and couplings as small as $\alpha'/\alpha \approx 2 \times 10^{-7}$ for larger $m_{A'} \lesssim 550$ MeV. This is about a factor of 3 − 35 times lower in $\epsilon$ than existing constraints (which assume that the $A'$ couples also to muons), and corresponds to $\sim 10 − 1000$ times smaller cross-sections.

The precise mass range probed by this type of experiment can be varied by changing the spectrometer angular settings and/or the beam energies. Thus, other experimental facilities may be able to perform experiments similar to APEX, but targeting complementary regions of parameter space.

The parameter range probed by APEX is interesting for several reasons. This region of mass and coupling is compatible with $A'$s explaining the annual modulation signal seen by the dark matter direct detection experiment DAMA/LIBRA, and also with dark matter annihilating into $A'$s, which explains a myriad of recent cosmic-ray and other astrophysical anomalies (see section 2.2). In addition, and independently of any connection to dark matter, the proposed experiment would be the first to probe $A'$s of mass $\gtrsim 50$ MeV with gauge kinetic mixing below $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$, the range most compatible if the Standard Model hypercharge gauge force is part of a Grand Unified Theory.

The importance for fundamental physics of discovering new forces near the GeV scale cannot be overstated.
1.3 The organization of this paper

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the physics of hypothetical $A'$ particles, motivation for their existence, current limits, and estimated sensitivity for potential future analyses of existing data. In section 3, we describe $A'$ production in fixed-target experiments. In section 4, we describe the experimental setup. In section 5, we present the parametrics and the Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of the QED $e^+e^-$ pair production rate and the $A'$ signal rate in the proposed setup. We also describe how we made the sensitivity plots. Other background rates, such as $\pi^+$ or $e^+$ singles and accidental $e^+e^-$ pairs, are discussed in section 6. The expected sensitivity is discussed in section 7. The paper is summarized in section 8. Three appendices discuss the form factors used to calculate the signal and background rates (section A), the mass resolution (section B), and the validation of the rates we obtain with the various MC simulations (section C).

2 Physics

We consider new sub-GeV mass vector bosons — ‘dark photons’ $A'$ — that couple very weakly to electrons (as mentioned previously, similar considerations apply to pseudo-vectors, scalars, and pseudo-scalars with sub-GeV mass that couple to electrons). It is useful to parameterize the coupling $g'$ of the $A'$ to electrons by a dimensionless $\epsilon \equiv g'/e$, where $e$ is the electron charge. Cross-sections for $A'$ production then scale to the photon production as $\alpha'/\alpha = \epsilon^2$, where $\alpha' = g'^2/(4\pi)$ and $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi)$ are the fine-structure constants for the dark photon and ordinary electromagnetic interactions, respectively. This experiment will search for $A'$ bosons with mass $m_{A'} \sim 65$ MeV –550 MeV and $\alpha'/\alpha \gtrsim 6 \times 10^{-8}$, which can be produced by a reaction analogous to photon bremsstrahlung (see section 3) and decays promptly to $e^+e^-$ or other charged particle pairs. We refer the reader to figure 1 for a summary of the reach of this experiment.

2.1 Motivation for new physics near the GeV scale

New light vector particles, matter states, and their associated interactions are ubiquitous in extensions of the Standard Model [2, 32–40]. However, the symmetries of the Standard Model restrict the interaction of ordinary matter with such new states. Indeed, most interactions consistent with Standard Model gauge symmetries and Lorentz invariance have couplings suppressed by a high mass scale. One of the few unsuppressed interactions is the coupling of charged Standard Model particles $\psi$

$$\delta L = g' A'_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \quad (2.1)$$

to a new gauge boson $A'$, which is quite poorly constrained for small $g'$ (see figure 1) [3]. Similar couplings between the $A'$ and other Standard Model fermions are also allowed, with relations between their couplings (anomaly cancellation) required for the $A'$ gauge symmetry to be quantum-mechanically consistent. For example, the $A'$ can couple only to electrons and muons, with opposite charges $g'_e = -g'_\mu$ (a U(1)$_{e-\mu}$ boson), or can have couplings proportional to the electromagnetic charges $q_i$ of each fermion, $g_i = e eq_i$. 


Figure 1. Anticipated 2σ sensitivity in $\alpha'/\alpha = \epsilon^2$ for the $A'$ experiment (APEX) at Hall A in JLab (thick blue line), with existing constraints on an $A'$ from electron and muon anomalous magnetic moment measurements, $a_e$ and $a_\mu$ (see [27]), the BaBar search for $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ [28], and three beam dump experiments, E137, E141, and E774 [29–31] (see [3]). The $a_\mu$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ limits assume equal-strength couplings to electrons and muons. The gray dashed line indicates the scale used for other plots in this paper. The irregularity of the reach is an artifact of combining several different run settings (see table 2). The precise mass range probed by this type of experiment can be varied by changing the spectrometer angular settings and/or the beam energies. We stress this point as other experimental facilities may be able to perform experiments similar to APEX, but targeting complementary regions of parameter space.

$A'$ couplings to Standard Model matter with the latter structure can be induced by ordinary electromagnetic interactions through the kinetic mixing interaction proposed by Holdom [2],

$$\delta L = \frac{\epsilon_Y}{2} F'_{\mu\nu} F^\mu_Y, \tag{2.2}$$

where $F'_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A'_\nu - \partial_\nu A'_\mu$ is the field strength of the $A'$ gauge boson, and similarly $F^\mu_Y$ is the hypercharge field strength. This effect is generic, ensures that the $A'$ interactions respect parity, and (as we discuss below) naturally produces small $g'$ and $A'$ masses near the GeV scale. This mixing is equivalent in low-energy interactions to assigning a charge $\epsilon q_i$ to Standard Model particles of electromagnetic charge $q_i$, where $\epsilon = \epsilon_Y/(\cos \theta_W)$ and $\theta_W$ is the Weinberg mixing angle. The $A'$ couplings to neutrinos and parity-violating couplings are negligible compared to Z-mediated effects (see e.g. [13]).

As noted in [2], a new gauge boson $A'$ that does not couple to Standard Model matter at a classical level can still couple through quantum-mechanical corrections. For example, loops of any particle $X$ that couples to both the $A'$ and Standard Model hypercharge generate mixing of the form (2.2), with

$$\epsilon \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \quad (\alpha'/\alpha \sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-4}). \tag{2.3}$$
These quantum effects are significant regardless of the mass $m_X$ of the particle in question, which could be well above the TeV scale (or even at the Planck scale) and thus evade detection.

Smaller $\epsilon$ are expected if nature has enhanced symmetry at high energies. For example, it has been conjectured that the strong and electroweak gauge groups of the Standard Model are embedded in a grand unified theory (GUT) with gauge group SU(5) or larger that is broken spontaneously at a high scale $M_G \approx 10^{16}$ GeV. In this case the mixing (2.2) is suppressed,

$$\epsilon_{\text{GUT}} \sim \frac{\alpha_i^2}{16\pi^2} \ln (M_G/M_X) \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-3},$$

where $\alpha_i$ are gauge couplings. $\epsilon$ of this size leads to effective couplings

$$\alpha'/\alpha \sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-6}.$$  

As shown in figure 1, no experiment to date has probed the range of $\epsilon$ expected in grand unified theories for $m_{A'} \gtrsim 50$ MeV. (From string theory, the possible range of $\epsilon$ is much larger, $\sim 10^{-23} - 10^{-2}$ [35–38].)

An $A'$ mass near but beneath the weak scale is particularly well-motivated, as U(1)' symmetry-breaking and the resulting $A'$ mass may be determined by the same physics that generates the $W$ and $Z$ masses [41]. The best candidate for the origin of the weak scale is low-energy supersymmetry. In this case, the $A'$ can naturally acquire mass suppressed by a loop factor or by $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ compared to the weak scale, leading to MeV to GeV-scale $A'$ masses [13, 35, 41–44]. In supersymmetric models, the gauge kinetic mixing (2.2) is accompanied by quartic interactions

$$\delta L \sim \frac{\epsilon_Y}{4} g_Y g_D |\phi_D|^2 |h|^2,$$

between the Standard Model Higgs doublet $h$ and any scalar $\phi_D$ charged under U(1)', where $g_Y$ and $g_D$ are the gauge couplings of Standard Model hypercharge and the $A'$ coupling to $\phi_D$, respectively. Electroweak symmetry breaking gives $h$ a weak-scale vacuum expectation value, so that (2.6) generates a mass term for $\phi_D$. For positively charged $\phi_D$, and sufficiently small bare mass, this mass term is negative and triggers U(1)' breaking by the Higgs mechanism. The resulting induced mass for the $A'$ is

$$m_{A'} \sim \sqrt{\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{g_D g_Y}{g_2^2}} m_W \sim \text{MeV–GeV},$$

where $g_2$ is Standard Model SU(2)$_L$ gauge coupling and $m_W$ is the W-boson mass. The resulting mass is precisely in the 50 – 1000 MeV range targeted by this experiment. Given our $\epsilon$ sensitivity, we expect to probe the portion of this parameter space with small $g_D$. For example, for $g_D \sim 0.04$ and $\epsilon \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ ($\alpha'/\alpha \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-7}$), we have $m_{A'} \sim 400$ MeV, which can definitively be probed by the proposed experiment. Note that the mechanism of U(1)' breaking above does not rely on supersymmetry, as any quartic interaction of the form (2.6), with arbitrary coupling, can transmit electro-weak masses to the $A'$. Thus, the mass relation (2.7) should not be interpreted too literally.
We stress that the mass of the $A'$ breaks any apparent symmetry between it and the photon: though Standard Model particles have induced $\epsilon$-suppressed charges under the $A'$, any new matter charged under the $A'$ would not have any effective coupling to the photon, and would have gone undetected.

An electron beam scattering on a high-$Z$ target such as Tungsten will produce $A'$s through bremsstrahlung reactions with a cross-section

$$\sigma_{A'} \sim 100 \text{ pb} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{10^{-4}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{100 \text{ MeV}}{m_{A'}} \right)^2,$$

several orders of magnitude larger than in colliding electron and hadron beams [7]. A detailed calculation of this cross-section can be found in [3], and is also reviewed below in section 3. The $A'$ can decay to electrons, and other charged particles that are kinematically allowed. However, the decay width of the $A'$ to charged particles scales as $\epsilon^2 \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-8}$, making the $A'$ exceedingly narrow. Throughout the remainder of this paper, we will therefore consider an $A'$ that is visible as a narrow resonance in the trident $e^+e^-$ mass spectrum.

Such a new gauge boson would constitute the first discovery of a new gauge force since the observation of $Z$-mediated neutral currents. Besides the obvious physical interest of a fifth force, the $A'$ like the $Z$ could open up a new “sector” of light, weakly coupled particles whose spectrum and properties could be measured in fixed-target experiments and flavor factories. The $A'$ sector would provide a new laboratory for many physical questions, and would be revealing precisely because its interactions with Standard Model particles are so weak. In particular, if nature is approximately supersymmetric near the TeV scale, the mass scale of supersymmetry breaking for the $A'$ sector is naturally suppressed by $\epsilon$ times gauge couplings. In this case, supersymmetry could be studied easily in the $A'$ sector, and possibly even discovered there by relatively low-energy experiments before Standard Model superpartners are seen at colliders.

2.2 Motivation for an $A'$ from dark matter

Dark matter interpretations of recent astrophysical and terrestrial anomalies provide an urgent impetus to search for $A'$s in the mass range 50 MeV – 1 GeV, with a coupling $\epsilon \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-2}$.

The concordance model of big bang cosmology — the “Lambda Cold Dark Matter” (ΛCDM) model — explains all observations of the cosmic microwave background, large scale structure formation, and supernovae, see e.g. [45–49]. This model suggests that Standard Model particles make up only about 4% of the energy density in the Universe, while “dark energy” and “dark matter” make up 74% and 22%, respectively, of the Universe’s energy density. The concordance model does not require dark matter to have any new interactions beyond gravity with Standard Model particles. However, an intriguing theoretical observation, dubbed the WIMP miracle, suggests that dark matter does have new interactions. In particular, if dark matter consists of $\sim 100$ GeV to 10 TeV particles interacting via the electroweak force (“weakly interacting massive particles” or “WIMPs”), they would automatically have the right relic abundance observed today.
In addition to the WIMP miracle, evidence from cosmic-ray data and the terrestrial direct dark matter detection experiment DAMA/LIBRA strongly suggest that dark matter interacts with ordinary matter not just gravitationally. While the WIMP miracle suggests that dark matter is charged under the Standard Model electroweak force, we will see that these observations provide impressive evidence for dark matter interacting with ordinary matter through a new force, mediated by a new 50 MeV – 1 GeV mass gauge boson. In addition to explaining any or all of these observations, dark matter charged under this new force automatically has the correct thermal relic abundance observed today by virtue of its interactions via the new force carrier, reproducing the success of the WIMP dark matter hypothesis.

The satellites PAMELA [50] and Fermi [51], the balloon-borne detector ATIC [52], the ground-based telescope HESS [53, 54], as well as other experiments, observe an excess in the cosmic-ray flux of electrons and/or positrons above backgrounds expected from normal astrophysical processes. If their source is dark matter annihilation or decay, synchrotron radiation from these electrons and positrons could also explain the “WMAP haze” near the Galactic center [55], which consists of an excess seen in the WMAP Cosmic Microwave Background data. In addition, starlight near the Galactic center would inverse Compton scatter off the high energy electrons and positrons and produce an excess in gamma-rays. A detection of a gamma-ray excess towards the Galactic center region in the gamma-ray data obtained with the Fermi satellite was recently reported in [56], and has been dubbed the “Fermi haze”.

Taken together, these observations by several experimental collaborations provide compelling evidence that there is an unexplained excess in cosmic-ray electrons and positrons in our Galaxy. Given the firm evidence for a 22% dark matter content of the Universe, a
very natural source of these excesses is dark matter annihilation. However, two features of these observations are incompatible with annihilation of ordinary thermal WIMP dark matter. They instead provide impressive evidence that dark matter is charged under a new $U(1)'$ and annihilating into the $A'$, which decays directly into electrons and positrons, or into muons that decay into electrons and positrons, see figure 2 (left) (see e.g. [5, 57–63]).

These two features are:

- The annihilation cross-section required to explain the signal is 50–1000 times larger than the thermal freeze-out cross-section for an ordinary WIMP that is needed to reproduce the observed dark matter relic density. This can be explained if dark matter interacts with a new long range force mediated by an $\mathcal{O}(\text{GeV})$ mass gauge boson, which allows the dark matter annihilation cross-section ($\langle \sigma v \rangle$) to be enhanced at low dark matter velocities, i.e. $\langle \sigma v \rangle \propto 1/v$. In this case, in the early Universe when the dark matter velocity was high ($\sim 0.3c$), the annihilation cross-section that determines the relic abundance can naturally be the same as that of an ordinary WIMP and reproduce the WIMP miracle. However, in the Milky Way halo now, the dark matter has a much lower velocity ($v \sim 10^{-3}c$), leading to a large increase in the annihilation cross-section that is required to explain the cosmic-ray data. The enhancement at low velocities through a new long-range force is very well known and called the Sommerfeld effect [64].

- The PAMELA satellite did not see an anti-proton excess [65], which strongly suggests that dark matter annihilation is dominantly producing leptons, and not baryons. If dark matter is interacting via an $\mathcal{O}(\text{GeV})$ mass force particle in order to have a large annihilation rate via the Sommerfeld mechanism, then annihilations into the force carrier automatically fail to produce any baryons. Kinematically, the force carriers cannot decay into baryons, and are instead forced to decay into the lighter charged leptons. Thus, annihilation products of dark matter are leptonic in this case.

To explain the additional sources of evidence for a new GeV scale force, we briefly summarize the consequence for dark matter mass spectra that follow from dark matter carrying a charge under a new force. If dark matter is charged under a non-Abelian force that acquires mass, then radiative effects can split all components of the dark matter with size, $\delta \sim \alpha_D \Delta m_{W_D}$, where $\alpha_D$ is the non-Abelian fine structure constant and $\Delta m_{W_D}$ is the splitting of gauge boson masses [57]. Typically, these splittings are $\Delta m_{W_D} \sim \alpha_D m_{W_D} \sim 1–10 \text{MeV}$ for $m_{W_D} \sim 1 \text{GeV}$ [57]. Thus, $\delta \sim 100 \text{keV}$ for $\alpha_D \sim 10^{-2}$. These splittings are completely analogous to the splittings that arise between the $\pi^\pm$ and $\pi^0$ from Standard Model SU(2) breaking. If instead a non-Abelian force confines at a scale $\Lambda_D \sim \text{GeV}$, then a heavy-flavor meson can be cosmologically long-lived and thus a dark matter candidate [66]. Hyperfine interactions can naturally induce $\sim 100 \text{keV}$ splittings of the dark matter particles in this case. We emphasize that the GeV scale force carrier particles mediate quantum corrections that generate the 100 keV and 1-10 MeV splittings of dark matter states [57, 66–68].

When mass splittings arise, $A'$ mediated interactions of dark matter with ordinary matter as well as dark matter self-interactions are dominated by inelastic collisions [57].
The direct dark matter detection experiment DAMA/LIBRA as well as the INTEGRAL telescope provide intriguing evidence for such interactions. The DAMA/NaI [69] and DAMA/LIBRA [70] experiments have reported an annual modulation signal over nearly eleven years of operation with more than 8σ significance. Modulation is expected because the Earth’s velocity with respect to the dark matter halo varies as the Earth moves around the sun, and the phase of the observed modulation is consistent with this origin. A simple hypothesis that explains the spectrum and magnitude of the signal, and reconciles it with the null results of other experiments, is that dark matter-nucleus scattering is dominated by an inelastic process,

\[ \chi N \rightarrow \chi^* N, \]  

(2.9)
in which the dark matter \( \chi \) scatters off a nucleus \( N \) into an excited state \( \chi^* \) with mass splitting \( \delta \approx 100 \text{ keV} \) [67]. The kinematics of these reactions is also remarkably consistent with all the distinctive properties of the nuclear recoil spectrum reported by DAMA/LIBRA. In addition, the INTEGRAL telescope [71] has reported a 511keV photon signal near the galactic center, indicating a new source of \( \sim 1-10 \text{ MeV} \) electrons and positrons. This excess could be explained by collisions of \( \mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV-1 TeV}) \) mass dark matter into \( \mathcal{O}(\text{MeV}) \) excited states in the galaxy [72] — dark matter excited by scattering decays back to the ground state by emitting a soft \( e^+e^- \) pair. The 511keV excess then arises from the subsequent annihilation of the produced positrons.

The existence of an \( A' \) may also help explain various other particle physics anomalies [27] such as the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon \((g - 2)_{\mu}\) [73] and the HyperCP anomaly [74].

While these experimental hints provide an urgent motivation to look for an \( A' \), it is important to emphasize the value of these searches in general. There has never been a systematic search for new GeV-scale force carriers that are weakly coupled to Standard Model particles. Nothing forbids their existence, and their discovery would have profound implications for our understanding of nature. A relatively simple experiment using the facilities available at, for example, Jefferson Laboratory and Mainz will probe a large and interesting range of \( A' \) masses and couplings.

### 2.3 Current limits on light U(1) gauge bosons

Constraints on new \( A' \)'s that decay to \( e^+e^- \) and the search reach of an experiment using the spectrometers of Hall A at Jefferson Laboratory are summarized in figure 1. Shown are constraints from electron and muon anomalous magnetic moment measurements, \( a_e \) and \( a_\mu \) [27], the BaBar search for \( \Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma A' \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+\mu^- \), and three beam dump experiments, E137, E141, and E774 [3]. The constraints from \( a_\mu \) and the BaBar search assume that the \( A' \) couples to muons — this is the case, for example, if it mixes with the photon. If it only couples to electrons, then the constraints on \( a'/\alpha \) and \( m_{A'} \) in the region to which the proposed experiment is sensitive are weaker than \( a'/\alpha \lesssim 10^{-4} \).

We refer the reader to [3, 27] for details on existing constraints. Some of these constraints are similar to results [75]. Here, we briefly review the constraint on \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma A' \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+\mu^- \) derived from the BaBar search [76]. If the \( A' \) couples to both electrons and
muons, this is the most relevant constraint in the region probed by the proposed experiment. The analysis of [76] was in fact a search for Υ(3S) decays into a pseudoscalar a, Υ(3S) → γa → γµ+µ−, but can be interpreted as a limit on A′ production because the final states are identical. Using L_{int} ∼ 30 fb⁻¹ of data containing ∼ 122 × 10⁶ Υ(3S) events, a 90% C.L. upper limit of roughly (1−4)×10⁻⁶ on the γµ+µ− branching fraction was found for m_{A′} ∼ 2m_µ−1 GeV. This search would thus be sensitive to about ∼ 100−500 events with e⁺e− → γA′ → γµ+µ−. Requiring that σ(e⁺e− → γA′) × BR(A′ → µ⁺µ−) × L_{int} ≤ 500, where BR(A′ → µ⁺µ−) = 1/(2+R(m_{A′})) for m_{A′} > 2m_µ with \( R = \frac{\sigma(e⁺e−→μ⁺μ−;E=2m_{A′})}{\sigma(e⁺e−→μ⁺μ−;E=2m_µ)} \), and rescaling the resulting constraint to represent a 95% C.L. upper bound, we find the constraint depicted in figure 1. For m_{A′} ≥ 2m_µ, this requires \( \alpha'/\alpha ≥ 10⁻⁵ \), while the constraint weakens at higher masses, especially near the ρ-resonance. See¹ for a comparison of our sensitivity estimate to those previously published.

We caution that systematic uncertainties in the A′ limit beyond those quoted in [76] may slightly weaken the resulting limit, which should therefore be taken as a rough approximation unless further analysis is done. First, A′ production in B-factories is more forward-peaked than the Υ(3S) decay mode considered in [76], so that the signal acceptance is more uncertain. In addition, background distributions in [76] are derived from smooth polynomial fits to data collected on the Υ(4S) resonance, which is assumed to contain no signal. This assumption is not correct for A′ production, though the resulting systematic effects are expected to be small.

2.4 Sensitivity of potential searches using existing data

Several past and current experiments have data that could be used to significantly improve current limits on \( \alpha'/\alpha \), as discussed in [4, 8, 27]. Here, we estimate the potential sensitivity of searches in three channels (\( π⁰ → γA′ → γe⁺e⁻ \), \( φ → ηA′ → ηe⁺e⁻ \), and \( e⁺e− → γA′ → γµ+µ⁻ \)), considering only the statistical uncertainties and irreducible backgrounds. These are likely overestimates, as we are unable to include either systematic uncertainties or significant instrumental backgrounds such as photon conversion in the detector volume.

BaBar, BELLE, and KTeV (E799-II) have produced and detected large numbers of neutral pions, order 10^{10}, of which roughly 1% decay in the Dalitz mode \( π⁰ → e⁺e−γ \). These experiments can search for the decay \( π⁰ → γA′ \) induced by A′-photon kinetic mixing, which would appear as a narrow resonance over the continuum Dalitz decay background. KTeV has the largest \( π⁰ \) sample, and its \( e⁺e− \) mass resolution can be approximated from the reported measurement of the \( π⁰ → e⁺e− \) branching fraction [77] to be roughly 2 MeV. This paper also reports the measured mass distribution of Dalitz decays above 70 MeV, from which we estimate potential sensitivity to \( \alpha'/\alpha \) as small as \( 5 × 10⁻⁷ \) for \( 70 < m(e⁺e−) < 100 \) MeV, as shown by the orange shaded region in figure 3.

¹Note that our estimate of the constraint here disagrees with those previously published in [3, 7] and [4]. Compared to the published versions of [3, 7], we have here included R and also corrected an error which made the old estimates in [3, 7] too optimistic. The estimate of [4] is also too optimistic, since it did not include the signal efficiency from using one-sigma (mass resolution) bin-widths, and it also used an overly optimistic mass resolution for BaBar.
Similarly, KLOE can search for the decay $\phi \to \eta A'$, likewise induced by $A'$ kinetic mixing with the photon, in a sample of $10^{10} \phi$'s. An analysis of this data is ongoing [78]. We have taken the blue dashed curve in figure 3 from [4], which assumes that mass resolution $\sigma_m$ is dominated by KLOE’s 0.4% momentum resolution. We have adjusted the contours from [4] to determine a 2$\sigma$ contour and enlarged the bin width used to determine signal significance from $\sigma_m$ in [4] to 2.5$\sigma_m$. Above the muon threshold, $\phi$ decays are not competitive with $B$-factory continuum production.

In addition, BaBar and Belle can search for the continuum production mode $e^+e^- \to \gamma A' \to \gamma \mu^+\mu^-$ in their full datasets. For example, an analysis of the Belle $\Upsilon(4S)$ data set would increase statistics by a factor of $\sim 24$ relative to the BaBar $\Upsilon(3S)$ search that we have interpreted as a limit above. We have derived the expected sensitivity (shown as a black dashed line in figure 3) simply by scaling the $\Upsilon(3S)$ estimated reach by $\sqrt{24}$. These searches have not been extended below the muon threshold because of large conversion backgrounds.

3 $A'$ production in fixed target interactions

$A'$ particles are generated in electron collisions on a fixed target by a process analogous to ordinary photon bremsstrahlung, see figure 4. This can be reliably estimated in the Weizs"acker-Williams approximation (see [3, 79–81]). When the incoming electron has energy $E_0$, the differential cross-section to produce an $A'$ of mass $m_{A'}$ with energy
$A'$ production by bremsstrahlung off an incoming electron scattering off protons in a target with atomic number $Z$.

$E_{A'} \equiv xE_0$ is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx d\cos \theta_{A'}} \approx \frac{8Z^2\alpha^3\epsilon^2E_0^2}{U^2} \tilde{\chi} \times \left[ \left( 1 - x + \frac{x^2}{2} \right) - \frac{x(1-x)m_{A'}^2}{U^2} \left( E_0^2 x \theta_{A'}^2 \right) \right]$$

(3.1)

where $Z$ is the atomic number of the target atoms, $\alpha \simeq 1/137$, $\theta_{A'}$ is the angle in the lab frame between the emitted $A'$ and the incoming electron,

$$U(x, \theta_{A'}) = E_0^2 x \theta_{A'}^2 + \frac{m_{A'}^2 - x}{x} + m_e^2$$

(3.2)

is the virtuality of the intermediate electron in initial-state bremsstrahlung, and $\tilde{\chi} \sim 0.1-10$ is the Weizsäcker-Williams effective photon flux, with an overall factor of $Z^2$ removed. The form of $\tilde{\chi}$ and its dependence on the $A'$ mass, beam energy, and target nucleus are discussed in appendix A. The above results are valid for

$$m_e \ll m_{A'} \ll E_0, \quad x \theta_{A'}^2 \ll 1.$$  

(3.3)

For $m_{A'} \gg m_e$, the angular integration gives

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx} \approx \frac{8Z^2\alpha^3\epsilon^2 E_0^2}{m_{A'}^2} \left( 1 + \frac{x^2}{3(1-x)} \right) \tilde{\chi}.$$  

(3.4)

This equation can be integrated over $x$ — the singularity is cut off by the electron mass — and we find the cross-section quoted in eq. (2.8) if we take $\tilde{\chi} \sim 5$. The rate and kinematics of $A'$ radiation differ from massless bremsstrahlung in several important ways:

**Rate:** The total $A'$ production rate is controlled by $\frac{\alpha^3\epsilon^2}{m_{A'}^2}$. Therefore, it is suppressed relative to photon bremsstrahlung by $\sim \epsilon^2 \frac{m_e^2}{m_{A'}^2}$. Additional suppression from small $\tilde{\chi}$ occurs for large $m_{A'}$ or small $E_0$.

**Angle:** $A'$ emission is dominated at angles $\theta_{A'}$ such that $U(x, \theta_{A'}) \lesssim 2U(x, 0)$ (beyond this point, wide-angle emission falls as $1/\theta_{A'}^4$). For $x$ near its median value, the cutoff
emission angle is
\[
\theta_{A'}_{\text{max}} \sim \max \left( \frac{\sqrt{m_{A'} m_e}}{E_0}, \frac{m_{A'}^{3/2}}{E_0^{3/2}} \right),
\]  
(3.5)

which is parametrically smaller than the opening angle of the \( A' \) decay products, \( \sim m_{A'}/E_0 \). Although this opening angle is small, the backgrounds mimicking the signal (discussed in section 6) dominate at even smaller angles.

**Energy:** \( A' \) bremsstrahlung is sharply peaked at \( x \approx 1 \), where \( U(x,0) \) is minimized. When an \( A' \) is produced, it carries nearly the entire beam energy — in fact the median value of \( (1-x) \) is \( \sim \max \left( \frac{m_e}{m_{A'}}, \frac{m_{A'}}{E_0} \right) \).

The latter two properties are quite important in improving signal significance, and are discussed further in section 6.

Assuming the \( A' \) decays into Standard Model particles rather than exotics, its boosted lifetime is
\[
\ell_0 \equiv \gamma c \tau \simeq \frac{3E_{A'}}{N_{\text{eff}} m_{A'}^2 \alpha \epsilon^2}
\simeq \frac{0.8 \text{cm}}{N_{\text{eff}}} \left( \frac{E_0}{10 \text{GeV}} \right) \left( \frac{10^{-4}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 \left( \frac{100 \text{ MeV}}{m_{A'}} \right)^2, 
\]  
(3.6)

where we have neglected phase-space corrections, and \( N_{\text{eff}} \) counts the number of available decay products. If the \( A' \) couples only to electrons, \( N_{\text{eff}} = 1 \). If the \( A' \) mixes kinetically with the photon, then \( N_{\text{eff}} = 1 \) for \( m_{A'} < 2m_{\mu} \) when only \( A' \to e^+e^- \) decays are possible, and \( 2 + R\left(m_{A'}\right) \) for \( m_{A'} \geq 2m_{\mu} \), where \( R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons}; E=m_{A'})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-; E=m_{A'})} \) \cite{82}. For the ranges of \( \epsilon \) and \( m_{A'} \) probed by this experiment, the mean decay length \( \ell_0 \lesssim 250 \mu \text{m} \) is not significant, but the ability to cleanly reconstruct vertices displaced forward by a few cm would open up sensitivity to considerably lower values of \( \epsilon \).

The total number of \( A' \) produced when \( N_e \) electrons scatter in a target of \( T \ll 1 \) radiation lengths is
\[
N \sim N_e \frac{N_0 X_0}{A} \frac{T Z^2 \alpha \epsilon^2}{m_{A'}^2} \tilde{\chi} \sim N_e C T \epsilon^2 \frac{m_e^2}{m_{A'}^2},
\]  
(3.7)

where \( X_0 \) is the radiation length of the target in \( \text{g/cm}^2 \), \( N_0 \approx 6 \times 10^{23} \text{ mole}^{-1} \) is Avogadro’s number, and \( A \) is the target atomic mass in \( \text{g/mole} \). The numerical factor \( C \approx 5 \) is logarithmically dependent on the choice of nucleus (at least in the range of masses where the form-factor is only slowly varying) and on \( m_{A'} \), because, roughly, \( X_0 \propto \frac{A}{Z^2} \) (see \cite{3} and \cite{82}). For a Coulomb of incident electrons, the total number of \( A' \)’s produced is given by
\[
\frac{N}{C} \sim 10^6 \tilde{\chi} \left( \frac{T}{0.1} \right) \left( \frac{\epsilon}{10^{-4}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{100 \text{ MeV}}{m_{A'}} \right)^2. 
\]  
(3.8)

The spectrometer efficiency can be estimated from Monte Carlo simulation of the signal, discussed in section 5. It is quite low in APEX, but of course depends on the precise spectrometer settings. For example, for \( m_{A'} = 200 \text{ MeV} \), \( E_0 = 3.056 \text{ GeV} \), an
Table 1. Main design characteristics of the Hall A High Resolution Spectrometers at nominal target position (see [83] for more details). The resolution values are for the full-width at half-maximum. These parameters correspond to a point target and do not include the effects of multiple scattering in the target and windows. In the calculation of the invariant mass resolution the effect of multiple scattering in the target was taken into account. The vacuum coupling of the scattering chamber and the spectrometer allows one to avoid using windows.

4 Experimental setup

In this section, we describe the experimental setup of the APEX experiment in JLab Hall A. Many of these features are also readily adaptable to other experimental facilities.

The APEX experiment will measure the invariant mass spectrum of $e^+e^-$ pairs produced by an incident beam of electrons on a Tungsten target. The experiment uses the two high-resolution spectrometers (HRS) [83] available in Hall A at JLab (see table 1 for design specifications), together with a septum magnet constructed for the PREX experiment [26], see figure 5.
The physical angle of the HRS with respect to the beam line does not go below $\sim 12^\circ$, but the septum allows smaller angles to be probed down to $\sim 4^\circ - 5^\circ$ by bending charged tracks outward. The detector package in each HRS available in JLab Hall A includes two vertical drift chambers (VDC), the single photo-multiplier tube (PMT) trigger scintillator counter (“S0 counter”), the Gas Cherenkov counter, the segmented high-resolution scintilator hodoscope (“S2m”), and the double-layer lead-glass shower counter.

The electron beam has a current of $80 \mu A$ (corresponding to $\sim 7 C$ on target per day!), and will be incident on a solid target located on a target ladder in a standard scattering chamber. The target will be made of Tungsten ribbons strung vertically one after another orthogonal to the beam direction. The beam will be rastered by $\pm 0.5 \text{ mm}$ in the horizontal and $\pm 2.5 \text{ mm}$ in the vertical direction to avoid melting the target.

4.1 The event selection

The electron will be detected in the left HRS (HRS-L) and the positron will be detected in the right HRS (HRS-R). The trigger will be formed by a coincidence of two signals from the S2m counters of the two arms and a coincidence of the signal in the S2m counters with a signal from the Gas Cherenkov counter of the HRS-R (positive polarity arm). A timing window of 20 ns will be used for the first coincidence and 40 ns for the second coincidence. The resulting signal will be used as a primary trigger of data acquisition (DAQ). An additional logic will be arranged with a 100 ns wide coincidence window between signals from the S2m counters. This second type of trigger will be prescaled by a factor of 100 for DAQ, and is used to evaluate the performance of the primary trigger. Most of the DAQ rate will come from events with a coincident electron and positron within a 20 ns time interval.

Note that since we want to search for a narrow peak in the invariant mass spectrum of $e^+e^-$ pairs, which requires a high level of statistical precision, it is especially important to have the best mass resolution and a smooth invariant mass acceptance. In [1], we show that APEX has these properties.
4.2 The multi-foil target

The experiment will utilize the standard Hall A scattering chamber as it is used by the PREX experiment, with a multi-foil target span of 50-cm along beam direction. The top view of the target is shown in figure 6. The beam is rastered over an area $0.5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2$ (the latter is in the vertical direction). Pair components will be detected by two HRS spectrometers at a central angle of $\pm 5^\circ$. Each ribbon target has a width of 2.5 mm and a distance of 50 mm to the next target. This reduces the multiple scattering of the outgoing $e^+e^-$ pair (produced in a prompt $A'$ decay).

The ribbon comprising each target plane is perpendicular to the beam-line. The acceptance of the HRS spectrometer starts at minimal horizontal angle of $3.3^\circ$. For each target plane, the next plane downstream subtends a horizontal angle up to less than $1.8^\circ$ which results in a reduction of the path length traversed by the produced $e^+e^-$ pairs to just one ribbon in which the pair was produced. For a ribbon thickness of $\sim 4.3 \times 10^{-3}$ radiation lengths, this considerably reduces the multiple scattering in the target versus that if the whole target just consists of a single foil, and leads to a much better mass resolution. Ribbon widths of 2.5 mm were selected to ensure easy alignment of the target.

The central angle of the spectrometer varies with the position of the target. In this experiment, such a variation is very useful because it extends the range of invariant mass covered with one value of beam energy.

There are two considerations to take into account when selecting the material. The first consideration is to achieve the highest possible ratio of signal to background, while keeping the background rate low enough so as not to overwhelm the triggering and DAQ system. The second is a thin foil of a particular material is available. Large backgrounds come from pions produced in photo-production from nucleons, and from electrons produced in the radiative tail of electron elastic scattering. These backgrounds do not mimic the signal, but if their rate is too large, they can overwhelm the detector and the DAQ system. These considerations favor the use of a Tungsten target, with a total thickness between 0.5% and 10% radiation length, with thicker targets used in higher-energy runs. Reduction of the thickness at low energies is required to limit the rate in the electron spectrometer and also minimizes the multiple-scattering contribution to the pair mass resolution.

The heat load of the target is also an important consideration. This is mitigated by rastering the beam and using materials like tantalum or Tungsten. For the parameters of

![Figure 6. The top view of the multi-foil target.](image-url)
Figure 7. A schematic close-up view of the target. The figure is not to scale. The target consists of 10 planes, with each plane made of a 15 µm Tungsten ribbon strung vertically. Each ribbon plane is \(\sim 10\) cm long, and lies at an angle 90 degrees with respect to the beam line. The Tungsten ribbons are spaced at a distance of \(\sim 50\) mm. While each beam electron can traverse all 10 ribbons, the production and prompt decay of an \(A'\) in a ribbon produces \(e^+e^-\) pairs that have an angle of 5 degrees, large enough for them to miss the next ribbon — this greatly reduces the multiple scattering, and is the reason for not using a target foil. The vertical rastering of the beam of \(\sim 0.5\) mm moves the beam spot \(\sim 5\) cm back and forth along the target plane — this helps to prevent the beam from melting the target.

APEX (an electron beam of 80 µA on a 10% \(X_0\) Tungsten target) the heat load is about 140 W. Experimental study has demonstrated that 1 kW/cm\(^2\) is a safe level for a Tungsten foil target \[84\], so we expect that the APEX target will perform quite well.

4.3 The spectrometer optics

The magnetic optics of the HRS spectrometers have been calibrated routinely using elastic electron scattering from a thin high-\(Z\) target. In the APEX experiment, the optics will also be calibrated with a set of targets starting with a large spacing along the beam and finally using the multi-foil production W target. Both spectrometers will be calibrated in a negative charge mode. The method of calibration is based on the so-called sieve slit method, see \[85\] for details. After changing of the HRS-R to a positive charge arm mode, the calibration of the HRS-L will be repeated. Comparison between two calibrations of HRS-L will allow us to make an estimate of the change of optics due to cross talk between the two arms of the septum magnet. The optics of HRS-R in positive charge mode will be checked via reconstruction of the vertex coordinate (trajectory at the targets) and using the end-point of the positron momentum spectra. The confirmation of the invariant mass resolution will be obtained via measurement of a \(\phi(1020)\) meson decay to \(K^-K^+\) pair. The \(\phi\) meson photo production will use a 3.3 GeV electron beam and the W target with 1.5 GeV/c spectrometer momenta settings.

5 Signal and trident kinematics

The stark kinematic differences between QED trident backgrounds and the \(A'\) signal are the primary considerations in determining the momentum settings of the spectrometers.
As we will show in section 6, QED tridents dominate the final event sample after offline rejection of accidentals, so we consider their properties in some detail here.

The irreducible background rates are given by the diagrams shown in figure 8. These trident events can be usefully separated into “radiative” diagrams (figure 8(a)), and “Bethe-Heitler” diagrams (figure 8(b)), that are separately gauge-invariant. This separation is useful because the “radiative” process has kinematics identical to \(A'\) production and can therefore not be removed by any detailed selection requirements, and in fact a simple formula relating \(A'\) production to “radiative” production will be presented momentarily. As a result, a useful guide to optimizing an \(A'\) search strategy is to identify regions of phase space where the “radiative” process is as large as possible compared to the “Bethe-Heitler” process.

We have simulated the production of these continuum trident background events in leading-order QED using the nuclear elastic and inelastic form-factors in [79]. We note that radiative corrections are important corrections to the overall rate, but do not alter the basic kinematics we rely on, nor do we require detailed knowledge of the background shape for the \(A'\) search. The simulation is done using MadGraph and MadEvent [86] to compute the matrix elements for \(e^-Z \rightarrow e^- (e^+e^-) Z\) exactly, but neglecting the effect of nuclear excitations on the kinematics in inelastic processes. The MadEvent code was modified to properly account for the masses of the incoming nucleus and electron in event kinematics, and the nucleus is assumed to couple with a form-factor \(G_2\) defined in appendix A.

The continuum trident background was simulated including the full interference effects between the diagrams in figure 8. In addition, a “reduced-interference” approximation simplifies the analysis and is much less computationally intensive. In this approximation, we treat the recoiling \(e^-\) and the \(e^-\) from the produced pair as distinguishable. Furthermore, we separate trident processes into the radiative diagrams (figure 8(a)) and the Bethe-Heitler diagrams (figure 8(b)), and we calculate the cross-section for both of these diagrams separately. This approximation underestimates the background rates by a factor of about 2–3 in the range of \(A'\) masses and beam energies considered in this paper and [1]. For the reach analysis discussed below, we have used differential distributions computed in the “reduced-interference” approximation, then rescaled to the cross-section for the full-interference process.
The contribution from the radiative diagrams (figure 8(a)) alone is also useful as a guide to the behavior of $A'$ signals at various masses. Indeed, the kinematics of the $A'$ signal events is identical to the distribution of radiative trident events restricted in an invariant mass window near the $A'$ mass. Moreover, the rate of the $A'$ signal is simply related to the radiative trident cross-section within the spectrometer acceptance and a mass window of width $\delta m$ by

$$\frac{d\sigma(e^-Z \rightarrow e^-Z(A' \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-))}{d\sigma(e^-Z \rightarrow e^-Z(\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-))} = \left( \frac{3\pi e^2}{2N_{\text{eff}} \alpha} \right) \left( \frac{m_{A'}}{\delta m} \right),$$

(5.1)

where $N_{\text{eff}}$ counts the number of available decay products and is defined below equation (3.6). This exact analytic formula was also checked with a MC simulation of both the $A'$ signal and the radiative tridents background restricted to a small mass window $\delta m$, and we find nearly perfect agreement. Thus, the radiative subsample can be used to analyze the signal, which simplifies the analysis considerably.

It is instructive to compare kinematic features of the radiative and Bethe-Heitler distributions, as the most sensitive experiment maximizes acceptance of radiative events and rejection of Bethe-Heitler tridents. Although the Bethe-Heitler process has a much larger total cross-section than either the signal or the radiative trident background, it can be significantly reduced by exploiting its very different kinematics. In particular, the $A'$ carries most of the beam energy (see discussion in section 3), while the recoiling electron is relatively soft and scatters to a wide angle. In contrast, the Bethe-Heitler process is not enhanced at high pair energies. Moreover, Bethe-Heitler processes have a forward singularity that strongly favors asymmetric configurations with one energetic, forward electron or positron and the other constituent of the pair much softer.

These properties are discussed further in the appendix of [3], and illustrated in figure 9, which shows a scatterplot of the energy of the positron and the higher-energy electron for the signal (red crosses) and Bethe-Heitler background (black dots). The signal electron-positron pairs are clearly concentrated near the kinematic limit, $E(e^+) + E(e^-) \approx E_{\text{beam}}$. Background rejection is optimized in symmetric configurations with equal angles for the two spectrometers and momentum acceptance of each spectrometer close to half the beam energy (blue box).

While the signal over background ($S/B$) can be significantly improved with a judicious choice of kinematic cuts, the final $S/B$ in a small resolution limited mass window is still very low, $\leq 1\%$. A “bump-hunt” for a small signal peak over the continuous background needs to be performed. This requires the best possible mass resolution, which has an important impact on target design and calls for a multi-foil approach (see appendix B for a discussion of the mass resolution).

5.1 Calculation of the $\epsilon$ reach

For all cross sections and rates of reactions described in this paper and [1], Monte Carlo based calculations were performed over a grid of beam energy settings and central spectrometer angular settings. Interpolation was used to extend this grid continuously to intermediate beam energies and angles — all rates exhibited expected power law behavior, thereby providing confidence in the reliability of an interpolation. Additional cross
checks at specific points were performed to test the accuracy of our interpolation, which was generally better than $\sim 5\%$.

In order to calculate the $\alpha'/\alpha$ reach for a particular choice of target nucleus, spectrometer angular setting, multi-foil target thickness, and momentum bite, the following procedure is performed:

- Monte Carlo events are simulated for the Bethe-Heitler, radiative tridents, and the continuum trident background including the full interference effects between the diagrams. The latter background is computationally intensive, and only a small statistics sample is generated, sufficient to obtain the cross-section from MadEvent.

- The cross-section ratio of the full continuum background (with interference effects) to the sum of the Bethe-Heitler and radiative tridents is calculated, and represents a multiplicative factor by which the latter must be multiplied to get the background cross-section.

- The rates of all reactions impinging on the spectrometer acceptance were calculated by integrating over a chosen target profile, which usually extended from 4.5 to 5.5 degrees. For Bethe-Heitler, radiative tridents, and the continuum trident background, the calculation of the rate was performed as a function of the invariant mass of the $e^+e^-$ pairs.

- Using the expressions in appendix B, we calculated the mass resolution $\delta_m$. We then tiled the acceptance region with bins of size $2.5 \times \delta_m$ in invariant mass.
| Settings                      | A    | B    | C    | D    |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Beam energy (GeV)            | 2.2  | 4.4  | 1.1  | 3.3  |
| Central angle                | 5.0° | 5.0° | 5.0° | 5.0° |
| Effective angles             | 4.5–5.5 | 4.5–5.5 | 4.5–5.5 | 4.5–5.5 |
| Target T/X₀ (ratioᵃ)         | 4%   | 8%   | 0.69% (1:3) | 8%   |
| Beam current (µA)            | 70   | 60   | 50   | 80   |
| Central momentum (GeV)       | 1.095 | 2.189 | 0.545 | 1.634 |

Singles (negative polarity)

|                  |      |      |      |
|------------------|------|------|------|
| e⁻ (MHz)         | 4.1  | 0.7  | 4.5  | 2.2  |
| π⁻ (MHz)         | 0.1  | 1.7  | 0.025 | 0.9  |

Singles (positive polarity)

|                  |      |      |      |
|------------------|------|------|------|
| e⁺ (kHz)         | 27   | 5    | 18   | 17   |
| π⁺ (p) (kHz)     | 90   | 1700 | 25   | 900  |

Trigger/DAQ:

|                  |      |      |      |
|------------------|------|------|------|
| Triggerᵇ (kHz)   | 3.0  | 3.1  | 2.0  | 3.3  |

Coincidence Backgrounds:

|                                      |      |      |      |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Trident: e⁻ Z → e⁻e⁺e⁻ Z (Hz)        | 500  | 110  | 260  | 370  |
| e⁺e⁻ from real γ conversion (Hz)     | 30   | 16   | 3    | 45   |
| Accidentals ᵉ (Hz)                   | 55   | 30   | 40   | 40   |

ᵃ For settings A, B, and D the target is taken to provide uniform coverage of the theta range from 4.5 to 5.5 degrees. For setting C (1-pass), the target is taken to be concentrated at the ends of the angular acceptance, so that the effective angles are 4.5 and 5.5 degrees, with three times more material at the downstream end (5.5 degrees) than the upstream end (4.5 degrees).

ᵇ Trigger: Coincidence in 20 ns time window, assuming π⁺ rejection by a factor of 30 by including GC in trigger.

c Dominated by e⁺e⁻ accidental rate, but π± contributions are also included. We assume offline π⁺ rejection by a factor of 10², π⁻ rejection by a factor of 3, a 2 ns time window and additional factor of 4 rejection of accidentals from the target vertex (all of these rejection factors are quite conservative). Further rejection using kinematics is expected, but not included in the table.

**Table 2.** Expected counting rates for proposed experiment. Settings A and B comprise the primary run plan, while settings C and D are additional possible settings at intermediate energies that may be possible in early running.

- As a function of α'/α, the total number of signal (S) and background (B) events was calculated with the help of (5.1) for each bin.
We then set \( S/\sqrt{B} = 2 \), and solved for \( \alpha'/\alpha \).

This procedure was used to calculate the reach in the \( \alpha'/\alpha \) and \( m_{A'} \) parameter space shown in section 7. Further improvements may be obtained by more sophisticated analysis cuts such as the use of matrix element methods (see e.g. [12]).

6 Backgrounds

In this section, we present the results of an analysis of the expected backgrounds for the \( A' \) search. Table 2 summarizes the expected singles rates, trigger rates, and coincidence rates. For more details on how we calculated the background rates we refer the reader to [1].

Important backgrounds come from electron, pion, and positron singles. There are three contributions to the electron singles rate in the HRS at the proposed momentum settings, namely inelastic scattering, radiative elastic electron-nuclei scattering, and radiative quasi-elastic electron-nucleon scattering. Our calculations of the electron, pion, and positron singles rates were checked against measurements made by experiment E03-012 for a 5 GeV electron beam incident on a hydrogen target, at 6° 2-GeV HRS setting.

Electron singles rates were calculated using a numerical code that included both radiative elastic scattering (including real bremsstrahlung in downstream material) and inelastic scattering, and were found in most cases to be dominated by the radiative elastic process, which was also verified analytically. The code did not account for the extended target geometry, in which electrons scattered at wide angles pass through less than the full target thickness, so we expect the actual singles rates to be slightly lower than these predictions. Positron singles rates from trident reactions were calculated using MadGraph and MadEvent [86], described in section 5.

Pion rates were calculated using the “Wiser” code [87], which is a fit to the measured yields of charged pions and kaons using electron beam energies between 5 and 13 GeV. The data in question was obtained at angles between 10 and 50 degrees, and momenta between 1 and 8 GeV. The Wiser fit matches data from CLAS and Hall C of JLab using 5 to 6 GeV electron beams very well. However, the singles rates for this experiment involve extrapolations to lower beam energies and smaller angles, and we expect that the Wiser fit overestimates the pion singles rates for the three lower-energy settings.

Using our calculations of the singles rates, we compute the rate of accidental coincident triggers arising from an \( e^+ \) in the HRS-R and an \( e^- \) in the HRS-L within the trigger timing windows. These accidental coincidences are a dominant part of the recorded events in APEX, and determine the maximum rate at which potential signal trident events can be recorded. A typical composition of the single rate in the spectrometers is expected to be \( e^-/\pi^- \approx 80/20 \) in the negative polarity arm and \( \pi^+/p/e^+ \approx 80/19/1 \) in the positive polarity arm. The fraction of the true coincidence events could be up to 50% for the \( e^-\pi^+ \) rate within a 2 ns time window, and could be significant for the \( e^-p \) events in certain regions of momenta.

Besides the trident events discussed in section 5, an additional source of true coincidence events is the “two-step” (incoherent) trident process, in which an electron radiates
Figure 10. Anticipated 2σ sensitivity in $\alpha'/\alpha = \epsilon^2$ for APEX [1] for the settings given in table 2 (assuming a six-day run in configuration “A”, “C”, and “D” and a twelve-day run in “B”). Existing constraints are shown in the gray shaded regions. The colored curves correspond to the sensitivity in each of the individual energy settings, and the thick gray curve reflects the sensitivity of a combined analysis.

a real, hard photon in the target that subsequently converts to a high-mass $e^+e^-$ pair. For thin targets, this process is suppressed compared to the trident rate, and so it is sub-dominant for all the settings we consider.

The consideration of these rates determines trigger rates and upper bounds on offline accidental rates shown in table 2.

7 Measurements and reach in APEX

We consider a twelve-day run in the configuration “B” of table 2 and six-day runs in each of the remaining configurations, to search for new resonances in $e^+e^-$ trident spectra from 65 to 550 MeV. For settings “A” and “C”, the target thickness and beam current have been optimized to accumulate the largest possible sample of trident events without saturating the data acquisition system. Settings “B” and “D” are far from data acquisition limits, but we do not use $T/X_0 > 10\%$ to avoid limits on the total radiation produced (this can possibly be mitigated with additional shielding of the target area).

The mass range from 65 to 550 MeV is chosen to take advantage of the Hall A HRS spectrometers, as well as for its theoretical interest. Lower masses are more effectively probed by using lower beam energies, improved forward acceptance, and/or vertexing (see also [12]). Settings at higher masses are possible but have significantly reduced sensitivity and are better suited to exploration with higher-acceptance equipment and an experiment optimized to accept muon and pion pairs as well as electrons.

In each setting, the proposed experiment will accumulate between 70 and 300 million trident events. With these statistics, it will be possible to search offline for small resonances
Figure 11. Comparison of signal rates in six days of running at setting “A” to expected background and statistical sensitivity. **Top:** The resonances in purple and red lines correspond to $A'$ signals at 200 MeV, smeared by a Gaussian to model detector resolution and multiple scattering, with $\alpha'/\alpha = 6.5 \times 10^{-6}$ and $1.3 \times 10^{-7}$, respectively. The upper (purple) signal is just beyond the 2$\sigma$ expected sensitivity of a KLOE analysis, while the lower (red) signal corresponds to the “5$\sigma$” sensitivity (not including a trials factor) of this experiment. The gray line is the simulated invariant mass distribution for the continuum trident background, and the blue and green dashed lines reflect the size of 2 and 5$\sigma$ Poisson fluctuations. **Bottom:** The gray line corresponds to the bin-by-bin differences between pseudodata containing no signal and a smooth fit to this pseudodata. Analogous subtractions when a signal is present are shown in purple and red, with the same $\alpha'/\alpha$ as in the top figure. Again the blue and green dashed lines reflect the size of 2 and 5$\sigma$ Poisson fluctuations.

comprising a few thousandths of the collected data in a resolution-limited window. This will allow sensitivity to new gauge boson couplings $\alpha'/\alpha$ as low as $10^{-7}$ over the broad mass range probed by APEX, as summarized in figure 10. This sensitivity would improve on the cross-section limits from past experiments by a factor of $\sim 10 - 1000$.

As a specific example, we have illustrated the expected sensitivity of setting “A” to $A'$ signals with different $\epsilon$ in figure 11. Each component of the target populates a different invariant mass distribution; for simplicity we consider only the contribution from the front planes of the target, with $\theta_{ee} \approx 5.5^\circ$ (recall that the target is extended along the beam line and consists of 4–5 planes in a zig-zag configuration). The top panel illustrates the absolute size of $A'$ signals at $m_{A'} = 200$ MeV compared to the continuum trident background (gray line) and the size of 2 and 5-sigma statistical fluctuations (blue and green dashed lines), while the bottom panel illustrates how the same signals would appear after subtracting a smooth parameterization of the background. The purple curves in each panel correspond to an $A'$ signal with $\alpha'/\alpha = 7 \times 10^{-6}$ at 200 MeV, which according to the estimates in section 2.4 would not be seen or excluded at 2$\sigma$ by a future KLOE search in $\phi \rightarrow \eta A'$. The red curve has $\alpha'/\alpha = 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$, corresponding to the expected “5$\sigma$” sensitivity (not accounting for the trials factor) in APEX.

8 Conclusions

This paper summarized a new experiment (“$A'$ experiment”, or “APEX”) that has been proposed to the Jefferson Laboratory’s PAC 35 [1]. The experiment proposes to use 30
days of beam to measure the electron-positron pair mass spectrum and search for new
gauge bosons $A'$ in the mass range $65 \text{ MeV} < m_{A'} < 550 \text{ MeV}$ that have weak coupling to
the electron. Parametrizing this coupling by the ratio $\alpha'/\alpha$ that controls the $A'$ production
cross-section, the presented experiment would probe $\alpha'/\alpha$ as small as $\sim (6 - 8) \times 10^{-8}$ at
masses from 65 to 300 MeV, and $\alpha'/\alpha \sim (2 - 3) \times 10^{-7}$ at masses up to 525 MeV, making
it sensitive to production rates $10 - 1000$ times lower than the best current limits set by
measurements of the anomalous muon magnetic moment and by direct searches at BaBar.
The experiment uses the JLab electron beam in Hall A at energies of about 1, 2, 3, and
4 GeV incident on a long (50 cm) multi-foil Tungsten target, and both arms of the High
Resolution Spectrometer at angles between $5.0^\circ$ and $5.5^\circ$ relative to the nominal target
position. The experiment can determine the mass of an $A'$ to an accuracy of $\sim 1 - 2 \text{ MeV}$.

While this paper was motivated by a specific experimental proposal for JLab Hall A,
very similar experiments are possible at other experimental facilities, such as the Mainz
Microtron or JLab Hall B. Many of the considerations discussed in this paper are applicable
to these other facilities.

Constraints on new vector bosons with mass near $50 \text{ MeV} - 1 \text{ GeV}$ are remarkably
weak. However, such light force carriers are well motivated theoretically, and several recent anomalies from terrestrial and satellite experiments suggest that dark matter interacting with Standard Model particles has interactions with new vector bosons in precisely
this mass range. The proposed experiment can probe these hypothetical particles with a
sensitivity that is un-rivaled by any existing or planned experiment.
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A Effective photon flux, target nucleus and beam-energy dependence

In this appendix we summarize the formulas used in section 3 for the reduced effective
photon flux $\tilde{\chi}$, and highlight its dependence on the $A'$ mass, target nucleus, and beam
energy. The effective photon flux $\chi$ is obtained as in [79, 80] by integrating electromagnetic
form-factors over allowed photon virtualities:
For a general electric form factor $G_2(t)$,

$$\chi \equiv \int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} dt \frac{t-t_{\min}}{t^2} G_2(t)$$

(A.1)

(the other form factor, $G_1(t)$, contributes only a negligible amount in all cases of interest). Since we are dominated by a coherent scattering with $G_2 \propto Z^2$, it is useful to define a reduced photon flux,

$$\tilde{\chi} \equiv \chi/Z^2.$$  

(A.2)

The integral in (A.1) receives equal contributions at all $t$, and so is logarithmically sensitive to $t_{\min} = (m_{A'}/2E_0)^2$ and $t_{\max} = m_{A'}^2$.

For most energies in question, $G_2(t)$ is dominated by an elastic component

$$G_{2,el}(t) = \left( \frac{a^2 t}{1 + a^2 t} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 + t/d} \right)^2 Z^2,$$

(A.3)

where the first term parametrizes electron screening (the elastic atomic form factor) with $a = 111 Z^{-1/3}/m_e$, and the second finite nuclear size (the elastic nuclear form factor) with $d = 0.164 \text{ GeV}^2 A^{-2/3}$. We have multiplied together the simple parametrizations used for each in [79]. The logarithm from integrating (A.1) is large for $t_{\min} < d$, which is true for most of the range of interest. However, for heavy $A'$, the elastic contribution is suppressed and is comparable to an inelastic term,

$$G_{2,in}(t) = \left( \frac{a'^2 t}{1 + a'^2 t} \right)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{t}{4m_p^2} (\mu_p^2 - 1) \right)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{t}{0.71 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 Z,$$

(A.4)

where the first term parametrizes the inelastic atomic form factor and the second the inelastic nuclear form factor, and where $a' = 773 Z^{-2/3}/m_e$, $m_p$ is the proton mass, and $\mu_p = 2.79$ [79]. This expression is valid when $t/4m_p^2$ is small, which is the case for $m_{A'}$ in the range of interest in this paper. At large $t$ the form factors will deviate from these simple parameterizations but can be measured from data. One can show that the contribution from the other inelastic nuclear form factor $G_1(t)$ is negligible.

The resulting reduced form factor $\tilde{\chi}(m^2,E_0) = \chi/Z^2$ are plotted in the left panel of figure 12 as a function of $e^+e^-$ mass for various electron energies (1, 2, 3, and 4 GeV) incident on a Tungsten target. The relative efficiency of $A'$ production in targets of different compositions but the same thickness in radiation lengths is given by the ratio

$$R(Z_1,Z_2) = \frac{X_0(Z_1)\chi(Z_1,t)/A(Z_1)}{X_0(Z_2)\chi(Z_2,t)/A(Z_2)}.$$  

(A.5)

For example the ratio $R(Si, W)$ is shown in the right panel of figure 12, again as a function of $e^+e^-$ mass for beam energies between 1 and 4 GeV.

B Mass resolution

In this appendix, we briefly describe an estimate of the mass resolution of the spectrometer. Since we are looking for a small bump on the invariant mass spectrum distribution, an excellent mass resolution is essential to obtain a good reach in $\epsilon$. 
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The mass resolution of the spectrometer, $\delta_m$, is roughly given by

$$\left( \frac{\delta_m}{m} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{\delta_p}{p} \right)^2 + 0.5 \times \left( \frac{\delta_\theta}{\theta} \right)^2,$$

where $\delta_\theta$ is the angular resolution of the electron or positron, and $\delta_p/p$ is the momentum resolution of the HRS, which is always less than $3 \times 10^{-4}$ (in our estimates for the reach of $\epsilon$, we take $\delta_p/p$ to be equal to this upper bound). We have

$$(\delta_\theta)^2 = (\delta_{\text{HRS}})^2 + (\delta_{\text{ms}}^\theta)^2,$$

where $\delta_{\text{HRS}}$ is the HRS angular resolution, which is $\sim 0.5$ mrad in the horizontal direction and $\sim 1$ mrad in the vertical direction. Moreover, $\delta_{\text{ms}}^\theta$ represents the degradation of the resolution due to multiple Coulomb scattering in the target. It is given by the standard formula [82]

$$\delta_{\text{ms}}^\theta = \frac{13.6}{p[\text{MeV}]} \sqrt{\frac{t}{X_0}} \left[ 1 + 0.038 \ln \left( \frac{t}{X_0} \right) \right],$$

where $t$ is the thickness in radiation lengths of the material along the path of the particle, $X_0$ is the radiation length of the target in g/cm$^2$, and $p$ is the momentum of the particle in MeV.

For the proposed experiment, the thickness of the target along the direction of the beam line varies from $t = 0.003X_0$ to $t = 0.09X_0$. However, in the case of a foil target, the distance traversed by trident electron-positron pairs can be significantly smaller because the electron and positron have relatively large angles with respect to the beam line. For a foil, $t \approx \frac{1}{3} t_f$, where $t_f$ is the foil thickness. For Tungsten, $t_f$ can be as small as 10 $\mu$m–20 $\mu$m, or $(3 - 6) \times 10^{-3}X_0$. In this case, we find that the HRS angular resolution, $\delta_{\text{HRS}}$, is comparable to the multiple scattering $\delta_{\text{ms}}^\theta$ in the proposed experiment.
C Monte Carlo validation with E04-012 data

In this appendix, we briefly describe a validation of the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation of the signal, Bethe-Heitler and radiative trident backgrounds (shown in figure 8 and discussed in section 5), and the positron singles.

We first discuss a comparison of the MC with previous experimental results from the JLab experiment E04-012 [88, 89]. This experiment consisted of a 5.01 GeV, 14.5 µA electron beam incident on a 1.72% radiation length liquid Hydrogen target. The $e^+$ singles rate was measured to be $\sim 1.1$ kHz in a momentum window of $\pm 4\%$ around 1.93 GeV and an angular acceptance of 4.5 msr with an aspect ratio of 2-to-1 centered at an angle of $6^\circ$. The $e^+e^-$ coincidence rate was measured at $\sim 4$ Hz for the same angular acceptance for both the electron and positron arm, and with a momentum window of $\pm 4\%$ around 1.93 GeV for the positron and $\pm 4\%$ around 1.98 GeV for the electron. We simulated this with MadGraph and MadEvent [86] as described in section 5, using a form factor for Hydrogen given in [79]. We find a $e^+$ singles rate of $\sim 965$ Hz and an $e^+e^-$ coincidence rate of 3.9 Hz, which agrees with the measured rates to within $\sim 19\%$ and a few percent, respectively.

We have also verified the implementation of form factors in Monte Carlo by simulating photo-production of electrons and muons off Tungsten and Beryllium with MadGraph and MadEvent. The resulting cross-sections agree to within 30% with published computations in the Weizsäcker-Williams approximation [80].
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