HYDRA II: A FAINT AND COMPACT MILKY WAY DWARF GALAXY FOUND IN THE SURVEY OF THE MAGELLANIC STELLAR HISTORY
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ABSTRACT

We present the discovery of a new dwarf galaxy, Hydra II, found serendipitously within the data from the ongoing Survey of the Magellanic Stellar History conducted with the Dark Energy Camera on the Blanco 4 m Telescope. The new satellite is compact ($n_h = 68 \pm 11$ pc) and faint ($M_V = -4.8 \pm 0.3$), but well within the realm of dwarf galaxies. The stellar distribution of Hydra II in the color–magnitude diagram is well-described by a metal-poor ([Fe/H] $= -2.2$) and old (13 Gyr) isochrone and shows a distinct blue horizontal branch, some possible red clump stars, and faint stars that are suggestive of blue stragglers. At a heliocentric distance of $134.6 \pm 10$ kpc, Hydra II is located in a region of the Galactic halo that models have suggested may host material from the leading arm of the Magellanic Stream. A comparison with N-body simulations hints that the new dwarf galaxy could be or could have been a satellite of the Magellanic Clouds.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Our view of the Milky Way satellite system has thoroughly changed over the last decade, thanks to systematic CCD surveys of large swathes of the sky. Explorations of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey first revealed that dwarf galaxies extend to a much fainter regime than previously thought (e.g., Willman et al. 2005) and more than doubled the number of known Milky Way dwarf galaxies from data mainly covering the north Galactic cap (e.g., Belokurov et al. 2007). Spectroscopic surveys (e.g., Muñoz et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2007; Simon & Geha 2007) confirm the dwarf galaxy nature of these systems by demonstrating them to be kinematically hotter than implied solely by their baryonic content—which can be as low as only a few hundred solar luminosities (Martin et al. 2008).

More recently, a cohort of faint satellites, most of them likely dwarf galaxies, was found within the first year data from the Dark Energy Survey (DES; Bechtol et al. 2015; Koposov et al. 2015). Although these data only cover 1800 deg$^2$ of the southern Galactic cap to the north of the Magellanic Clouds, they revealed nine new stellar systems. The majority of these new systems have distances that hint that they likely are, or were, associated with the Clouds.

Within the $\Lambda$CDM cosmology, the Milky Way is expected to grow hierarchically by accreting groups of smaller galaxies over time (e.g., Li & Helmi 2008) and it is therefore not...
unexpected that the LMC would be in the process of shedding its satellites in the Milky Way halo (D’Onghia & Lake 2008). In fact, comparisons with simulations show that the majority of current Milky Way satellites, especially the fainter ones, could have been accreted as satellites of larger dwarf galaxies (Wetzel et al. 2015). These group accretions could then drive the planar distribution of Milky Way satellites (Lynden-Bell 1976; Metz & Kroupa 2007; Pawlowski et al. 2013), even though the flat spatial distribution of Milky Way satellites still proves challenging to reproduce in a \( \Lambda \)CDM universe (Pawlowski et al. 2012a, but see also, e.g., Wang et al. 2013).

Here, we report the discovery of a new dwarf galaxy found in the data of the Survey of the Magellanic Stellar History (SMASH; PI D. Nidever). Located at \((\alpha, \delta) = (12^h21^m42^s, -31^\circ59'07'')\) in the Hydra constellation, we name it Hydra II (HyaII) following the usual naming conventions.  

Throughout this paper, the distance to the Milky Way center is assumed to be 8 kpc.

## 2. THE SMASH DATA AND DISCOVERY

SMASH is a NOAO community survey using \( \sim 40 \) nights with DECam on the CTIO Blanco 4 m to perform deep imaging over \( \sim 2400 \text{deg}^2 \) of the southern sky (at 20\% filling factor) with the goal of studying the complex stellar structures of the Magellanic Clouds at all angular separations with unprecedented fidelity (D. Nidever et al. 2015, in preparation). This letter uses data taken during UT 2013 March 17–20 under partly photometric conditions. Observations were obtained for 23 fields spread across an area of \( \sim 1200 \text{deg}^2 \) in the region of the leading arm of the Magellanic Stream. The data used here consist of \( 1 \times 60 \) s and \( 3 \times 267 \) s in \( gr \) and \( 3 \times 333 \) s in \( iz \) dithered subexposures. Observations of several standard star fields at various airmasses were also obtained every night to enable photometric calibration.

InstCal image data products (calibrated, single-frame images) are automatically produced by the DECam Community Pipeline (Valdes et al., 2014) and provided by the NOAO Science Archive Server.  

Hydra II was discovered through a search for compact overdensities in the 23 SMASH year 1 fields. As in Koposov et al. (2007), the data are first filtered to isolate relatively blue stars \((-1.0 < (g-r)_0 < 1.0)\) compatible with a dwarf galaxy’s red giant branch (RGB), horizontal branch (HB), old main-sequence turn-off (oMSTO), and main sequence before being binned in 0.5 pixels and convolved with a 1’ Gaussian kernel. A visual inspection of the resulting maps reveals a few significant overdensities, most of which are spurious, triggered by known astrometric objects in the background. The only overdensity found without a counterpart in the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database or SIMBAD is Hydra II.

## 3. THE PROPERTIES OF HYDRA II

Figure 1 presents the color–magnitude diagram (CMD) of HyaII for a region within two half-light radii (3/4) of its centroid, as determined below. The features produced by HyaII become evident when compared to the field CMD shown in the same figure for a region of the same size and taken 18’ to the west. One can see the dwarf galaxy’s almost vertical RGB between \([ (g-r)_0, g_0 ] = [0.4, 23.5] \) and \([ (g-r)_0, g_0 ] = \)

---

26 Hydra I is a concentration of stars that has been proposed as the progenitor of the “East Banded Structure,” a stellar structure at the edge of the Milky Way disk (Grillmair 2011).

27 https://portal-nvo.noao.edu

28 https://github.com/dnidever/PHOTRED
[0.7, 20.0], where it fades into the foreground contamination, its very clear blue HB at \((g - r)_0, b_0\) \(\approx -[0.2, 21.2]\), its oMSTO and the beginning of the corresponding MS at \((g - r)_0, b_0\) \(\approx [0.3, 24.0]\) and fainter. HyaII also hosts some stars that are consistent with being red clump stars at \((g - r)_0, b_0\) \(\approx [0.5, 20.8]\). A handful of stars bluer and brighter than the oMSTO at \((g - r)_0 \sim -0.2\) and 22.0 < \(g_0\) < 23.5 are likely blue stragglers or intermediate age stars. The smaller relative number of stars in this group to those in the HyaII blue HB is consistent with ratios seen for blue straggler populations in faint dwarf galaxies (Deason et al. 2015).

The right-most panel of Figure 1 shows the distribution of all stellar-like objects in this region of the SMASH survey as small red dots, whereas the big black dots correspond to stars specifically selected in the selection box shown in red in the right-most CMD panel of Figure 1. Despite the chip gaps and the presence of a bright star near the center of HyaII, the CMD features correspond to a clearly defined spatial overdensity that subtends a few arcminutes.

We apply the algorithm presented in an upcoming contribution (N. F. Martin et al. 2015, in preparation) to determine the structural parameters of HyaII. In summary, this algorithm builds on the work of Martin et al. (2008) and uses the location of every star in the sample to produce Markov Chain Monte Carlo samplings of the posterior probability distribution functions (pdfs) for a family of models representing the spatial distribution of member stars as the sum of an exponential radial density profile and a constant level of contamination. The inference is performed in a six-dimensional parameter space, with the parameters representing the centroid of the dwarf galaxy, its exponential half-light radius, \(r_h\), its ellipticity, \(\epsilon\), the position angle of its major axis east of north, \(\theta\), and the number of stars in the galaxy for the chosen CMD selection box, \(N^*\). This version of the algorithm further allows for the masking of regions without data, a particularly necessary step in the case of HyaII given the presence of the bright star near the dwarf galaxy’s center. The resulting marginalized pdfs are shown in Figure 2 for the three most important structural parameters \((\epsilon, \theta, \text{ and } r_h)\) and summarized in Table 1. HyaII is a rather compact \((r_h = 1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2})\) and round stellar system. However, the presence of the chip gaps and the necessity of masking out a region near the center of the dwarf galaxy render the structural parameter somewhat uncertain. Therefore, looking at the pdfs in Figure 2, it is still possible for HyaII to be elliptical \((\epsilon \lesssim 0.4)\) and larger than the favored model \((r_h \lesssim 2.5)\).

The distinct HB of HyaII provides us with a good tool for inferring the distance to the dwarf galaxy. A small CMD selection box tailored around the HB (blue polygon overlaid on the right-most CMD of Figure 1) isolates stars shown in cyan in the right-most panel of Figure 1. Further requiring that they be within 4\(r_h\) limits the sample to 12 HB stars with high membership probability. The relation given by Deason et al. (2011, their Equation (7)) between the absolute magnitude of blue HB stars and their colors allows us to calculate a distance modulus for each of these 12 stars, which, when combined, yield the average distance modulus for HyaII: \((m - M)_0 = 20.64 \pm 0.16\), where we assumed an uncertainty of 0.10 on the relation. This corresponds to a heliocentric distance of 134 \(\pm\) 10 kpc, or a Galactocentric distance of 128 \(\pm\) 10 kpc. This value further yields an estimate of the physical size of the system, \(r_h = 68 \pm 11\) pc (fitting in the uncertainties on the distance), with the corresponding pdf presented in the bottom-right pdf panel of Figure 2.

On the third CMD of Figure 1, we have plotted an old (13 Gyr) and metal-poor ([Fe/H] = −2.2) PARSEC isochrone (Bressan et al. 2012). The isochrone has been shifted to the distance modulus of 20.64 determined above and nicely tracks all the CMD features of the dwarf galaxy (HB, RGB, MSTO).

Following N. F. Martin et al. (2015, in preparation) and Martin et al. (2008), we use the inference of \(N^*\), the number of HyaII stars within a chosen CMD selection box, corrected for holes in the data, to determine the absolute magnitude of HyaII. Although this means that we do not directly calculate the luminosity contribution of the observed stars, the resulting quantity is more representative of the underlying properties of dwarf galaxies, folding in “CMD shot noise” (Martin et al. 2008). We first rerun our structural parameter code for a shallower CMD selection box \((g_0 < 23.7)\), for which the data are complete. We then generate an artificial CMD from the isochrone shown above and its associated luminosity function.

| Table 1: Properties of Hydra II |
|---|
| (20000) | 12521°421° |
| (20000) | −31°59°7° |
| \(\ell\) | 295°61 |
| \(b\) | +30°46 |
| \((m - M)_0\) | 20.64 \(\pm\) 0.16 |
| Heliocentric Distance | 134 \(\pm\) 10 kpc |
| Galactocentric Distance | 128 \(\pm\) 10 kpc |
| \(M_V\) | −4.8 \(\pm\) 0.3 |
| \(L_V\) | \(10^{39.5\pm1.1}\) L\(_\odot\) |
| \((E(B - V))^a\) | 0.053 |
| Ellipticity | 0.01 \(\pm\) 0.01 |
| Position Angle (E of N) | 28°40° |
| \(r_h\) | 1.7\(\pm\)0.2 |
| 68 \(\pm\) 11 pc |

Note. a From Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011).
The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 804:L5 (6pp), 2015 May 1

FIGURE 3. Distribution of Milky Way satellites in the $r_h-M_V$ plane, color-coded by ellipticity. Squares represent globular clusters (Harris 1996, 2010), circled dots are confirmed dwarf galaxies (McConnachie 2012), while dots are recently discovered and ambiguous systems for which there are no spectroscopic confirmations as to their nature. These include the DES discoveries (Bechtol et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2015; Koposov et al. 2015), PSO J174.0675-10.8774/Crater (Belokurov et al. 2014; Laevens et al. 2014), and Laevens 2/Triangulum II (Laevens & Martin 2015). Symbols connected by a full line correspond to different measurements of the size and magnitude of the same object. HyaII is represented by the large triangle and falls within the realm of known, faint dwarf galaxies.

4. DISCUSSION

The properties of the new dwarf galaxy, Hydra II, are typical of other faint dwarf galaxies found around the Milky Way, as can be seen in Figure 3. HyaII is compact ($r_h = 68 \pm 11$ pc) and faint ($M_V = -4.8 \pm 0.3$) but shares these properties with Coma Berenices (Muñoz et al. 2010) and Canes Venatici II (Sand et al. 2012). HyaII is surprisingly round for a dwarf galaxy but it should be noted that this parameter is not very well constrained given the presence of a bright star near the center of the dwarf galaxy. Finally, the oldest and most metal-poor stellar population present in the PARSEC library provides a good fit to the CMD features of HyaII, as is often the case for such faint dwarf galaxies (e.g., Brown et al. 2014).

HyaII is located close to the Vast Polar Structure of Milky Way satellites proposed by Pawłowski et al. (2012b) but this is hardly surprising given that the SMASH survey mainly targets fields in this proposed structure. HyaII is also located in the same region of the sky as the leading arm of the gaseous Magellanic Stream (Nidever et al. 2010), suggesting that HyaII is, or was until recently, part of the Clouds satellite system. The orbital properties of possible satellites of the LMC are presently unconstrained, except for one, the SMC (Kallivayalil et al. 2013), believed to have been accreted by the Milky Way as part of the LMC group, which explains the SMC’s proximity to the LMC, the gaseous bridge connecting them, and similarities in their star formation histories. It is thus reasonable to examine the orbital properties of this one confirmed companion to see if it can shed light on a plausible association of HyaII with the LMC. The orbit of the SMC is expected to be highly eccentric, explaining why the two Clouds have not yet merged. The SMC has likely completed 2–3 orbits about the LMC (Besla et al. 2010). At each pericentric approach between the two galaxies, LMC tides strip stars and gas from the SMC. Indeed, Olsen et al. (2011) and Noël et al. (2013) report a population of SMC stars stripped and captured by the LMC, and Nidever et al. (2013) found a stellar component of the gaseous Magellanic Bridge tidally stripped from the SMC. In Figure 4, we examine the heliocentric distances to stellar debris stripped from the SMC as predicted by simulations that reproduce the global properties of the Magellanic System in a first infall scenario (Besla et al. 2012, Model 2).

HyaII is located near the Leading Arm, a collection of high-velocity neutral hydrogen gas that leads the Magellanic Clouds. This structure is one of the main lines of evidence that the extended gas distribution surrounding the Clouds resulted from tidal forces rather than hydrodynamic processes, such as ram-pressure stripping. The latter process cannot pull material ahead of the stripped body, while the former process can naturally throw stripped material ahead of the Clouds. The Leading Arm is expected to have formed as a tidal tail over the course of the most recently completed orbit of the SMC about the LMC, whereas the Stream formed as a tidal tail at an earlier encounter. Predicted stellar debris in the Leading Arm should roughly trace the most recent orbit of the SMC about the LMC, whereas the Stream formed as a tidal tail at an earlier encounter. Predicted stellar debris in the Leading Arm should roughly trace the most recent orbit of the SMC about the LMC, whereas the Stream formed as a tidal tail at an earlier encounter. Predicted stellar debris in the Leading Arm should roughly trace the most recent orbit of the SMC about the LMC, whereas the Stream formed as a tidal tail at an earlier encounter. Predicted stellar debris in the Leading Arm should roughly trace the most recent orbit of the SMC about the LMC, whereas the Stream formed as a tidal tail at an earlier encounter. Predicted stellar debris in the Leading Arm should roughly trace the most recent orbit of the SMC about the LMC, whereas the Stream formed as a tidal tail at an earlier encounter.

The distance to HyaII is consistent with the debris trail and trajectory of the LMC. The new dwarf galaxy is also within the virial radius of the LMC, assuming a halo mass of $\sim 10^{11} M_\odot$.

29 It is, however, outside the LMC’s tidal radius of $22 \pm 5$ kpc (van der Marel & Kallivayalil 2014).
of the LMC. While not a deassociation of HyaII with the LMC. Further suggests two clear tests for establishing the
association of HyaII with the LMC. This
finite proof, our analysis does suggest that the
distance of HyaII is consistent with an LMC association. This
within the dark-matter halo of the LMC in a
subhalos of a model-analogous LMC group should remain
first infall scenario.

1. The detection of stars in the Leading Arm that have
metallicities consistent with a stripped SMC population
can inform us of the extreme distances that material in
orbit about the LMC can reach. The identification of such
stars is a major goal of our SMASH program.

2. With radial velocities and proper motion measurements
of HyaII’s stars, the dwarf galaxy’s 3D velocity can be
compared against that of the Clouds to test whether the
dwarf galaxy is moving in a similar orbital plane.
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