Uniform Decoherence Effect on Localizable Entanglement in Random Multi-qubit Pure States
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We investigate the patterns in distributions of localizable entanglement over a pair of qubits for random multi-qubit pure states. We observe that the mean of localizable entanglement increases gradually with increasing the number of qubits of random pure states while the standard deviation of the distribution decreases. The effects on the distributions, when the random pure multi-qubit states are subjected to local as well as global noisy channels, are also investigated. Unlike the noiseless scenario, the average value of the localizable entanglement remains almost constant with the increase in the number of parties for a fixed value of noise parameter. We also find out that the maximum strength of noise under which entanglement survives can be independent of the localizable entanglement content of the initial random pure states.

I. INTRODUCTION

Multipartite entanglement \cite{1} – one of the most important traits of composite quantum systems – has been proven to be an useful ingredient in quantum protocols like quantum teleportation \cite{2}, quantum dense coding \cite{3}, quantum cryptography \cite{4}, and measurement-based quantum computation \cite{5}. It also reveals interesting features in quantum many-body systems \cite{6}, e.g., in quantum critical regions of the phase diagrams \cite{7} such as spin chains \cite{8}, in valence-bond solid states \cite{9}, and in topological systems \cite{10}. This has motivated outstanding experimental advancements in creating multi-party entangled states in the laboratory using different substrates, such as atoms \cite{10, 11}, ions \cite{12}, photons \cite{13}, superconducting qubits \cite{14}, nuclear magnetic resonance molecules \cite{15}, and very recently solid state systems \cite{16}. It has been shown that with increasing number of parties in the composite quantum systems, random pure states \cite{17} tend to be highly multi-party entangled \cite{18}, when entanglement is quantified via distance-based measures \cite{1, 19}. While potential use of multipartite entanglement as resource in quantum protocols highlights the usefulness of this feature, it was shown that highly entangled random multi-party pure states may not be beneficial for computational speed-up \cite{20} (cf. \cite{21}).

A major roadblock towards the study of the characteristics and utility of multi-party entangled quantum states with higher number of parties is the limited availability of computable entanglement measures, both in pure as well as in mixed states describing arbitrarily large composite quantum systems \cite{1}. This has motivated the search for quantum correlation measures that, on one hand, are computable for random multi-party quantum states, and on the other hand, exhibit properties similar to multi-party entanglement when the system-size is increased. Monogamy-based quantum correlations \cite{22, 23}, with measures belonging to both entanglement-separability \cite{1} and information-theoretic \cite{24} paradigms, have recently been shown to exhibit properties similar to multi-party entanglement for random multi-party pure states \cite{25}.

In this paper, we focus on the entanglement concentrated over chosen subsystem(s) of the multi-party random quantum states via local independent projective measurements on the rest of the system, which is referred to as localizable entanglement (LE) \cite{26} (cf. entanglement of assistance \cite{27} and assisted mutual information \cite{28}). Such quantification and characterization of entanglement has been shown to be appropriate and advantageous in graph states used in measurement-based quantum computation \cite{5}, in stabilizer states with and without noise \cite{29}, in defining correlation lengths in quantum many-body systems \cite{26}, and in protocols like entanglement percolation in quantum networks \cite{30}. The concept of localizable entanglement has recently been generalized for concentrating entanglement over multipartite subsystems \cite{31}. It was argued that for a tripartite state, localizable entanglement is not a tripartite entanglement monotone and can also not be considered as a bipartite measure \cite{32}. Therefore, the behavior of localizable entanglement for random pure states can not be inferred from the previous results based on multi-party distance-based and monogamy-based entanglement measures.

Towards this aim, we ask the following question: Do most of the multi-party random pure states also possess high values of localizable entanglement computed over a chosen bipartite subsystem? We answer this query affirmatively. Specifically, we Haar uniformly generate three-, four-, and five-qubit random pure states and determine the LE in terms of entanglement of formation \cite{33} over two of the qubits obtained via optimizing local projection measurement(s) on the rest. We observe that the values of localizable entanglement follow specific frequency distributions. To quantify the pattern, we determine different metrics of these distributions, such as mean, standard deviation, and skewness, in order to understand how LE over a qubit-pair behaves on average when the number of qubits in the system is increased. Our investigation indicates that the mean of LE over a qubit-pair in the case of multi-qubit systems increases gradually with increasing the number of qubits. On the other hand, the standard deviation of the distribution of LE decreases when one increases the number of qubits from three to five.

To our knowledge, most of the studies on the properties of random states is limited to pure states. In this paper, we go beyond the pure states by performing a systematic study of localizable entanglement when the initial random pure state is affected by local as well as global noise, and ask the question as to how the distribution of LE changes in presence of noise. This is a reasonable query in a laboratory set-up where creation of multi-party entangled states
are always affected by certain decoherence. We show that the increasing trend in the average value of localizable entanglement for random states does not alter with the variation of qubits in presence of noise. From this perspective, we also study the robustness of LE against different types of local and global noise considered in this paper. In particular, we evaluate the critical value of the strength of noise after which the localizable entanglement vanishes for a generated randomly generated state and find that the amplitude damping noise destructs LE less than any other noisy channels for a fixed value of noise strength.

We organize the rest of the paper as follows. In Sec. II, we provide brief descriptions of localizable entanglement and the different models for noise considered in this paper. In Sec. III A, the frequency distribution of the values of localizable entanglement in the case of Haar uniformly generated three-, four-, and five-qubit random pure states is discussed, and the corresponding frequency distribution metrics are calculated. Sec. III B describes the effect of local and global noise on these frequency distributions. The robustness of localizable entanglement against different types of noise along with a comparative study of different noise models from this viewpoint is presented in Sec. IV. The concluding remarks are in Sec. V.

II. NECESSARY INGREDIENTS

In this section, we first give the definition of localizable entanglement for arbitrary multi-qubit states. We also ponder on the different types of noises considered in this paper, and set the corresponding terminologies. We shall only deal with qubit systems in this paper, and the definitions as well as terminologies are tailored accordingly.

A. Localizable entanglement

In a multi-qubit system constituted of \( n \) qubits, the maximum possible average entanglement that can be accumulated over a qubit pair by measuring independent local projection operators on the rest of the \( n - 2 \) qubits is called the localizable entanglement \([26, 31]\) over the pair of qubits. In this paper, we restrict ourselves to rank-1 projection measurements. Without any loss in generality, we denote the qubits in the \( n \)-qubit system by \( 1, 2, \cdots, n \), among which the local projection measurements are performed over the \( n - 2 \) qubits except the first two. For a quantum state \( \rho_n \) describing an \( n \)-qubit system, the LE over the qubits 1 and 2 is given by

\[
E_{12}(\rho_n) = \max_k \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-2}} p_k \mathcal{E}(\varrho_{12}^{(k)}) .
\]

Here, the multi-index \( k \equiv k_1'k_2'\cdots k_n' \) denotes the outcome of the rank-1 projection measurements corresponding to the projector \( P_1^{(k)} \) on the qubit \( i = 3, \cdots, n \). The reduced state \( \varrho_{12}^{(k)} \) of the qubit-pair 1, 2 reads as

\[
\varrho_{12}^{(k)} = \text{Tr}_{3,4,\cdots,n} \left[ \rho_n^{(k)} \right] ,
\]

where \( \rho_n^{(k)} \) is the post-measurement \( n \)-qubit state corresponding to the outcome \( k \), given by

\[
\rho_n^{(k)} = \frac{1}{p_k} \mathcal{M}_k \rho_n \mathcal{M}_k^\dagger.
\]

The probability of obtaining the measurement outcome \( k \) is \( p_k = \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{M}_k \rho_n \mathcal{M}_k^\dagger \right] \), where

\[
\mathcal{M}_k = I_1 \otimes I_2 \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{i=3}^{n} P_i^{(k_i)} \right) ,
\]

with \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) being the identity operator in the Hilbert space of qubits 1 and 2. The maximization in Eq. (1) is performed over a complete set of local rank-1 projection measurements on the \( n - 2 \) qubits, which, in general, is difficult to perform. In the case of qubit systems, the rank-1 projectors on a qubit \( i \) can be parametrized in terms of two real parameters \((\theta_i, \phi_i)\) as \( P_i^{(k_i)} = |k_i\rangle \langle k_i|, k_i = a, b, \) with \([34]\)

\[
|a\rangle_i = \cos \frac{\theta_i}{2} |0\rangle_i + e^{i\phi_i} \sin \frac{\theta_i}{2} |1\rangle_i ,
\]

\[
|b\rangle_i = \sin \frac{\theta_i}{2} |0\rangle_i - e^{-i\phi_i} \cos \frac{\theta_i}{2} |1\rangle_i ,
\]

where \( 0 \leq \theta_i < \pi, 0 \leq \phi_i \leq 2\pi \), \(|\{0\rangle_i, |1\rangle_i\}|\) being the computational basis of the Hilbert space of qubit \( i \).

To answer the questions raised in this paper, one needs to compute the exact value of LE corresponding to arbitrary multi-qubit quantum states, pure or mixed, with high number of qubits via performing the maximization involved in the definition of LE (Eq. (1)). Although the parametrization in Eq. (5) reduces the maximization to a \( 2(n-2) \) parameter optimization problem for an arbitrary \( n \)-qubit quantum state, obtaining the optimal basis for computing the exact value of LE can still be a challenging task when \( 2(n-2) \) is a large integer. In this paper, we consider up to five-qubit states, each of which correspond to a maximization of LE over 6 real parameters. This is the maximum number of real parameters that can be handled with satisfactory numerical accuracy in our computational setup. Note also that the definition of LE depends on the choice and computability of a pre-decided entanglement measure \( \mathcal{E} \), termed as the seed measure \([31]\), over the reduced state \( \varrho_{12}^{(k)} \) of two qubits. In the situations where noise is applied to the system, one has to deal with a mixed state describing the \( n \)-qubit system, and the subsequent post-measured states \( \varrho^{(k)} \) will also be mixed. For the purpose of this paper, we consider entanglement of formation (EoF) \([33]\) as the chosen seed measure, which, for a generic two-qubit state \( \varrho_{12} \), is defined as

\[
\text{EoF} = - \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - C^2}}{2} \log_2 \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - C^2}}{2} \right] - \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - C^2}}{2} \log_2 \left[ \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - C^2}}{2} \right] .
\]

Here, the concurrence, \( C \), of the two-qubit system is given by

\[
C = \max \{ 0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \} ,
\]
with \( \lambda_i (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \lambda_4) \) being the eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrix \( \varrho_{12} = \sqrt{\varrho_{12} \varrho_{12}} \), with \( \varrho_{12} = (\sigma_1^y \otimes \sigma_2^y) \varrho_{12} (\sigma_1^y \otimes \sigma_2^y) \).

**B. Noise Models**

To analyze the consequence of decoherence in a multiparty domain, we consider two different situations — **Case 1**, local noise acting identically on each individual qubits of an \( N \) qubit state, and **Case 2**, a global noise acting on the entire system. As local noise, we consider single-qubit non-dissipative as well as dissipative noise models. Examples of the former include the phase-flip (PF) and the depolarizing (DP) noise channels, while the latter is represented by amplitude-damping (AD) noise. We employ the Kraus operator representation [34, 35] of the evolution \( \rho_0 \rightarrow \rho = \Lambda(\rho_0) \) of an initial single-qubit state, \( \rho_0 \), under noise, where the operation \( \Lambda(.) \) can be expressed by an operator-sum decomposition as

\[
\rho = \Lambda(\rho_0) = \sum_{\alpha} K_\alpha \rho_0 K_\alpha^\dagger.
\]

Here, \( \{K_\alpha\} \) is the set of single-qubit Kraus operators satisfying \( \sum_\alpha K_\alpha^\dagger K_\alpha = I \), with \( I \) being the identity operator in the Hilbert space of a qubit. The single-qubit Kraus operators for the PF and DP channels can be represented by the Pauli matrices, \( \sigma^i (i = x, y, z) \), as

\[
\text{Phase-flip noise: } K_0 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{p}{2}} I; \quad K_1 = \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \sigma^z,
\]

\[
\text{Depolarizing noise: } K_0 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{3p}{4}} I; \quad K_1 = \sqrt{\frac{p}{4}} \sigma^x, K_2 = \sqrt{\frac{p}{4}} \sigma^y, K_3 = \sqrt{\frac{p}{4}} \sigma^z,
\]

while for the AD channel, the Kraus operators are

\[
K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{p} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(10)

where \( \rho_n^0 \) is the initial \( n \)-qubit state, and \( \{K_\alpha^i; i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\} \) is the set of single-qubit Kraus operators.

Apart from the local noise, we also consider the *global* white noise of strength \( p \) that takes an \( n \)-qubit state \( \rho_0 \) to

\[
\rho = \frac{(1 - p)}{2^n} I + pp_0,
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix in the Hilbert space of the \( n \)-qubit system.

In the rest of the paper, we denote the \( n \)-qubit noisy state by \( \rho_n(p) \), which is evidently a function of \( p \). The localizable entanglement, \( E_{12} \), of \( \rho_n(p) \) will, therefore, also be a function of \( p \). To keep the notation uncluttered, the LE of \( \rho_n(p) \) is referred as \( E_{12}(n, p) \) for \( p > 0 \), and as \( E_{12}^0(n) \) when \( p = 0 \) (i.e., for pure states). Note that \( E_{12}(n, p) (E_{12}^0(n)) \) can take different values for different states even with fixed \( n \) and \( p \) (with fixed \( n \)).

**III. DISTRIBUTION OF LOCALIZABLE ENTANGLEMENT**

As mentioned in Sec. I, random pure states with moderate values of \( n \) are found to be highly entangled [18] if one quantifies its entanglement via distance-based [1, 19] or monogamy-based measures [25]. It is also noticed that von Neumann entropy of local density matrices of random multi-party pure states converges to unity for large number of parties [18]. In this section, we address a similar question as to whether random pure states shared between moderate number of parties also possess high content of localizable entanglement. Such a question is non-trivial since LE is not straightforwardly a multi-party entanglement measure [32]. Towards this aim, we first investigate the patterns of frequency distributions of LE for random multi-qubit pure states and its variation with the increase in number of qubits. We then study the effects of noise on the distributions after sending all the qubits through noisy channels.
FIG. 1. (Color online.) Absence of noise. Normalized frequency distribution, $f_n^0$ (vertical axis), against $E_{12}^0(n)$ (horizontal axis). We Haar uniformly generate random pure states with three-, four-, and five-qubits for studying $f_n^0$. We also simulate three-qubit W class and 4-qubit generalized Dicke states with single and double excitations. The sample size is taken to be $5 \times 10^5$ in each case. All quantities plotted are dimensionless.

A. Noiseless scenario

Our aim here is to examine LE over first two qubits of random pure multipartite states with a chosen seed measure, specifically EoF [33]. We examine the normalized frequency distribution (NFD) of the values of localizable entanglement of formation, which we obtain by Haar uniformly generating random pure states of $n = 3, 4,$ and $5$ qubits, and computing $E_{12}^0(n)$ for each of these states for a fixed value of $n$. The normalized frequency is defined as [36]

$$f_n^0 = \frac{N(E_{12}^0(n))}{N_S},$$

where $N(E_{12}^0(n))$ is the number of Haar uniformly generated random pure states of $n$ qubits having $E_{12}^0(n)$, and $N_S$ is the total number of the $n$-qubit states simulated, representing the sample size.

| $n$ | 3 (W Class) | 3 (GHZ Class) | 4 | 5 |
|-----|-------------|---------------|---|---|
| $\langle E_{12}^0(n) \rangle$ | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.74 |
| $\sigma_n$ | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.07 |
| $\eta_n$ | 0.61 | $-0.28$ | $-0.46$ | $-0.42$ |

TABLE I. Noiseless scenario. Table for the mean, standard deviation, and skewness of the normalized frequency distributions in the case of random three- (the GHZ class), four-, and five-qubit states and the W class states. All quantities are dimensionless.

The NFD of $E_{12}^0(n)$ in the case of three-, four-, and five-qubit systems are depicted in Fig. 1. As is evident from the shape of the distributions, the mean of the NFD, given by [36]

$$\langle E_{12}^0(n) \rangle = \sum_{E_{12}^0(n)} E_{12}^0(n) f_n^0,$$

shifts towards $\langle E_{12}^0(n) \rangle = 1$ as $n$ increases from 3 to 5, thereby satisfying

$$E_{12}^0(n_1) > E_{12}^0(n_2)$$

for $n_1 > n_2$ with $n_1, n_2 \in \{3, 4, 5\}$. Note that the increment is considerably lower in the case of the change $n = 4$ to $n = 5$ as compared to the increment during the change $n = 3$ to $n = 4$. Interestingly however, the shapes of the distribution change drastically with the variation of $n$. To capture such feature of NFD, we compute the standard deviation (SD) [36],

$$\sigma_n = \sqrt{\left(\left\langle E_{12}^0(n) \right\rangle \right)^2 - \left(\left\langle E_{12}^0(n) \right\rangle \right)^2}. \quad (16)$$

Indeed, we find that the SD decreases remarkably as shown in Table I, thereby showing more randomly generated states cluster around a large value of $\langle E_{12}^0(n) \rangle$ with increasing $n$. We also notice that with increasing $n$, the distributions become more symmetric around the mean, which we confirm by studying skewness [36],

$$\eta_n = \sum_{E_{12}^0(n)} \left( E_{12}^0(n) - \left\langle E_{12}^0(n) \right\rangle \right)^3 f(E_{12}^0(n)), \quad (17)$$

of the NFD, tabulated in Table I.

In the case of three-qubit systems, the Haar uniformly generated random pure states [17] belong to the GHZ class [37]. There exists another interesting class of three-qubit states, namely, the W class states [37], from which the GHZ class states can not be obtained via stochastic local operations and classical communication (SLOCC) in a single-copy level [38]. The W class states form a set of measure zero in the states space of three-qubits and hence they can not be found from the generation of random states. However, this set of states also possess certain features of entanglement, which make them useful for quantum information processing tasks [39]. We, therefore, separately generate the W class states by randomly choosing its parameters [38], and determine the NFD of $E_{12}^0(n)$ (see Fig. 1) for comparison.

We find that the NFD of $E_{12}^0(n)$ in the case of states belonging to three-qubit W class is qualitatively different from the GHZ class, as is evident from Fig. 1. In contrast to the high value ($> 0.5$) of the mean of the NFD in the case of the GHZ class, $\langle E_{12}^0(n) \rangle$ has a comparatively lower value for the states from the W class. It is also clear from Fig. 1 that the shapes of the distributions obtained from these two classes are strikingly different which can be confirmed from the values of the SD and the skewness of the respective NFDs (see Table I). It also indicates that the distributions derived from the states belonging to the set of measure zero can show certain trait that cannot be seen for random pure states. Hence, it can also be interesting to investigate the distribution of LE for a certain family of states with higher number of qubits. For high value of $n$, several such family of states exists. For our investigation, we randomly generate four-qubit generalized Dicke states [40] with a single and double excitations, given by

$$|\psi_n^0\rangle = \sum_i a_i |P_i \left[ 0^s n^r \right] \rangle, \quad (18)$$
with $a_i = \alpha_i + i\beta_i$ being complex numbers ($\alpha_i, \beta_i$ are real numbers chosen from normal distributions of vanishing mean and unit SD) such that $\sum_i |a_i|^2 = 1$, and the summation in Eq. (18) being over all possible permutations, $\{P_i\}$, of the product state $|0\rangle^{\otimes n-r}|1\rangle^{\otimes r}$ having $r$ qubits in the excited state, $|1\rangle$, and the rest of the qubits in the ground state, $|0\rangle$. The NFD of $E_{12}^0(n)$ corresponding to random pure states of the form (18) with $n = 4, r = 1$ is similar to that of the W class states, as in Fig. 1, while the same corresponding to $n = 4, r = 2$ has a different shape which is almost identical to the NFD corresponding to the three-qubit GHZ class states.

### B. Effects of noise

Upto now, distribution of LE has been considered for Haar uniformly chosen multi-qubit quantum states in a noiseless scenario. However, in a realistic situation, a multi-party state, shared between several parties at different locations, can almost always be affected by noise. It is, therefore, of practical interest to check how the above results are modified in presence of different types of noise. In order to observe the consequence of noise on the distribution of LE, we first generate random pure states $\rho_0 = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ of three-, four- and five-qubits. Then, all the qubits are affected by a specific noisy channel, as described in

| Noise Type | 3-qubit GHZ class states | 3-qubit W class states | 4-qubit states |
|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| PF noise   | ![Graph](image1.png)     | ![Graph](image2.png)   | ![Graph](image3.png) |
| DP noise   | ![Graph](image4.png)     | ![Graph](image5.png)   | ![Graph](image6.png) |
| AD noise   | ![Graph](image7.png)     | ![Graph](image8.png)   | ![Graph](image9.png) |

FIG. 2. (Color online.) Normalized frequency distribution under local noise. Normalized frequency distribution, $f_n^p$ (vertical axes), vs. $E_{12}(n, p)$ (horizontal axes). Random pure states in the case of $n = 3$ (GHZ and W class) and 4 (from top to bottom horizontal panels) are subjected to phase-flip (left column), depolarizing (middle column), and amplitude-damping (right column) noise of strengths $p = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4,$ and $0.5$. A sample of $N_2 = 5 \times 10^3$ random pure initial ($p = 0$) states are considered for each of the normalized frequency distributions. All quantities plotted are dimensionless.
for $1 \geq p_2 \geq p_1 \geq 0$, and the peak of the distribution shifts towards lower values of $E_{12}(n, p)$ with increasing $p$, as is evident from Fig. 2. On the other hand, for a fixed $p$, we interestingly find

$$\langle E_{12}(n_1, p) \rangle \approx \langle E_{12}(n_2, p) \rangle$$

for $n_1 > n_2$, $n_1, n_2 \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, for a specific type of noise, where the difference between $\langle E_{12}(n_1, p) \rangle$ and $\langle E_{12}(n_2, p) \rangle$ occurring only in the second decimal place. This is in sharp contrast to the finding for the noiseless scenario, as summarized in Eq. (15). The values of the SD, $\sigma_n^p$ (with a definition similar to that in Eq. (16) with a non-zero $p$), of the NFDs are found to satisfy

$$\sigma_n(p_1) \geq \sigma_n(p_2)$$

for $1 \geq p_2 \geq p_1 \geq 0$, and

$$\sigma_n(p_1) \geq \sigma_n(p_2)$$

for $n_1 > n_2$, $n_1, n_2 \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, for a fixed type of noise.

We now move on to the comparison of LE between different noise models. While DP noise turns out to be the most stringent in pushing the average value of LE towards zero, interestingly, considerably high values of localizable entanglement sustain for the AD noise when the same noise strength $p$ as in the case of the DP and the PF noise is applied. This is clearly visible from the plots in Fig. 2 and Table II. Also, the values of the metrics as well as the profiles of the NFDs suggest that the effect of noise on the distribution of LE is qualitatively similar for the PF and the

| $p = 0.1$ | $p = 0.2$ | $p = 0.3$ |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $n$  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $(E_{12}(n, p))$ | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| $\sigma_n(p)$ | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| $\eta_n(p)$ | -0.10 | -0.28 | -0.16 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 1.15 | 1.08 | 1.03 |

| $p = 0.1$ | $p = 0.2$ | $p = 0.3$ |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $n$  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $(E_{12}(n, p))$ | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| $\sigma_n(p)$ | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| $\eta_n(p)$ | -0.19 | -0.28 | -0.11 | -0.04 | -0.02 | -0.08 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.16 |

| $p = 0.1$ | $p = 0.2$ | $p = 0.3$ |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $n$  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $(E_{12}(n, p))$ | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| $\sigma_n(p)$ | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| $\eta_n(p)$ | -0.18 | -0.34 | -0.26 | 0.00 | -0.10 | -0.01 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.28 |

| $p = 0.1$ | $p = 0.2$ | $p = 0.3$ |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $n$  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $(E_{12}(n, p))$ | 0.46 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.30 |
| $\sigma_n(p)$ | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| $\eta_n(p)$ | -0.26 | -0.45 | -0.41 | -0.19 | -0.42 | -0.37 | -0.07 | -0.30 | -0.27 |

TABLE II. Statistical quantities of the normalized frequency distributions under local and global noise. Tabulation of mean, standard deviation, and skewness of the normalized frequency distributions corresponding to the three-, four-, and five-qubit random pure states subjected to the depolarizing, phase-flip, amplitude-damping, and white noise for noise strengths given by $p = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$. The sample size considered for the determination of the metrics is $N_S = 5 \times 10^4$ for each of the cases. All quantities are dimensionless.
AD channels, while being considerably different from the same corresponding to the DP channel. In order to obtain a full picture about the complete state-space of the three-qubit system, we separately generate three-qubit states belonging to the W class, and perform the same analysis as in the case of states belonging to the three-qubit GHZ class (see Fig. 2 and Table II). The profiles of the NFDs clearly indicate a higher robustness of the multi-qubit quantum states of three- (including the GHZ and the W class states), four-, and five-qubits towards AD noise as far as the value of LE is concerned, in comparison to the PF and the DP noise. We will present a more quantitative analysis on this topic in Sec. IV. In order to check how the NFDs corresponding to the zero-measure states for \( n > 3 \) response against local noise, we apply PF, DP, and AD noise on the qubits of four-qubit generalized Dicke states with single and double excitations (Eq. (18)), and determine the NFDs of \( E_{12}(n, p) \) for different values of \( p > 0 \) for each of the noise-types. We find that our observation regarding the difference between the shapes of the NFDs corresponding to the Dicke states with single and double excitations in noiseless case holds in the noisy scenario also.

To see the effect of global noise, we investigate the profiles of NFDs for a specific noise strengths as depicted in Fig. 3. Comparing Tables II and III, the states in the GHZ class are more robust to the white noise than that from the W class. Similar to the local noise, here also we observe that for fixed noise strength, mean and SD of NFDs do not change with the increase of \( n \) (see Table II).

### IV. ROBUSTNESS AGAINST NOISE

We have pointed out that the effect of noise on the distribution of localizable entanglement is qualitatively similar for the PF and the AD channels, although LE seems to survive against more noise in the case of the AD channel. In this section, we aim for an unambiguous conclusion on the robustness of a multi-qubit quantum state, in terms of its LE, against different types of noise. In order to formulate a figure of merit for this purpose, we look at two specific quantities – (1) the initial value of localizable entanglement, \( E_{12}^0(n) \), of the \( n \)-qubit state, and (2) the value of noise strength, \( p_c \), which we refer to as the critical strength of noise, such that

\[
E_{12}(n, p) > 0, \text{ for } p < p_c,
\]

\[
E_{12}(n, p) = 0, \text{ for } p \geq p_c,
\]

provided \( E_{12}^0(n) > 0 \). Whether a high (low) value of \( E_{12}^0(n) \) implies a high (low) value of \( p_c \), or whether \( p_c \) has any other functional dependence on the value of \( E_{12}^0(n) \), are non-trivial questions, and need careful consideration for a quantitative analysis of the robustness of localizable entanglement against noise.

Towards this goal, we Haar uniformly simulate a sample of random pure states of size \( N_S \) for each of the three-, four-, five-qubit systems, and apply different types of local as well as global noise on the qubits. We define the normalized frequency

\[
f(E_{12}^0(n), p_c) = \frac{N(E_{12}^0(n), p_c)}{N_S},
\]
where $N(E_{12}^0(n), p_c)$ is the number of Haar uniformly generated random pure states with $E_{12}^0(n)$ satisfying Eq. (23) for a system of $n$ qubits. For $N_s = 5 \times 10^5$, we plot $f(E_{12}^0(n), p_c)$ as functions of $E_{12}^0(n)$ and $p_c$ in Fig. 4 for Haar uniformly generated three- and four-qubit random pure states subjected to local noise, where the bin size for determining $f(E_{12}^0(n), p_c)$ is taken to be $10^{-1} \times 10^{-1}$. The observations emerging from Fig. 4 are as follows.

(1) In the case of random pure multi-qubit $(n = 3, 4, 5)$ states with a fixed initial value of $E_{12}^0(n)$, there can be a number of values of $p_c$, as indicated from the vertical spreads of the $f(E_{12}^0(n), p_c)$ in Fig. 4. It clearly indicates that the critical value of noise-strength is independent of the content of the LE of the initial state. Also, a relatively low value of $E_{12}^0(n)$ may correspond to a higher value of $p_c$ compared to the same for a high value of $E_{12}^0(n)$ – see, for example, the points A and B in the case of three-qubit GHZ class states under PF noise.

(2) There is a qualitative difference between the landscape of $f(E_{12}^0(n), p_c)$ in the case of three-qubit GHZ and W class states under PF noise. While the former indicates existence of the values of $E_{12}^0(n)$ and $p_c$ over a broad range in the region $0 \leq E_{12}^0(n) \leq 1, 0 \leq p_c \leq 1$, in the latter, most of the values of $p_c$ are confined in the range $0.9 \leq p_c \leq 1$, while the values of $E_{12}^0(n)$ is consistent with the profiles of the NFD corresponding to the three-qubit W class states in the noiseless situation (see Fig. 1).

(3) The NFDs, $f(E_{12}^0(n), p_c)$, corresponding to the three-(GHZ class), four- and five-qubit random pure states under local noise exhibit qualitatively similar trend. The con-
centration of the randomly chosen states shifts towards higher values of $E_{12}^0(n)$ when $n$ increases, which is consistent with the findings in Sec. III A (see Eq. (15) and Fig. 1).

As predicted in Sec. III B, the DP noise turns out to be the most destructive one, which is evident from the considerably low values of $p_c$ irrespective of the values of $E_{12}^0(n)$, among all types of local noise as well as white noise and random initial states.

In the case of the AD noise, irrespective of the values of $E_{12}^0(n)$, the values of $p_c$ for majority of the three-qubit W class random pure states are found to be in the range $0.9 \leq p_c \leq 1$—a feature similar to the W class states under PF noise. On the other hand, for the three-qubit GHZ class states, the four- and the five-qubit random pure states under AD noise, there is a large fraction of states for which $p_c \in [0.9, 1]$, although there are fraction of states scattered over $0 \leq p_c < 0.9$. This indicates (1) a higher robustness of LE of multi-qubit random three-qubit pure states belonging to the W class under the influence of AD and PF noise, and (2) a higher robustness of LE of a large fraction of three-qubit GHZ class states as well as four- and five-qubit random pure states under AD noise. These findings are in a good agreement with the inference in Sec. III B.

The trends of the NFD, $f(E_{12}^0(n), p_c)$, corresponding to the three-, four-, and five-qubit random pure states under white noise is similar to the DP noise, which is shown in Fig. 4. In all other cases, the change in the landscape is as per the change in the distribution of $E_{12}^0(n)$ when $n$ increases from 3 to 5 (see Fig. 3).

Let us now check the status of $p_c$ of two important families of three-qubit states, namely, the generalized GHZ [41] and generalized W [38, 42] states (see [37] for definitions). In particular, we see whether they exhibit behaviours different than those shown by the GHZ class and the W class states under noise. Towards this aim, we investigate the variation of the values of $p_c$ against that of $E_{12}^0(n)$ for the generalized GHZ and the W states subjected to local noise channels. We find that the trends of $p_c$ as a function of $E_{12}^0(n)$ are similar, qualitatively as well as quantitatively, for the generalized W states and the states belonging to the W class for all the three types of noise. However, such similarities are not always present between the generalized GHZ states and the states from the GHZ class. Let us denote the critical noise strength $p_c$ corresponding to a generalized GHZ state having initial LE $E_{12}^0(n)$ by $p_c^{(1)}$, and the same corresponding to a GHZ class state having the same initial LE $E_{12}^0(n)$ by $p_c^{(2)}$. In the case of the PF channel and for all values of $E_{12}^0(n)$, for majority of the GHZ class states, $p_c^{(2)} \leq p_c^{(1)}$, while in the case of the DP noise, there exists considerable number of states in GHZ class for which $p_c^{(2)} \geq p_c^{(1)}$ for all $E_{12}^0(n)$. For DP noise, the fraction of states in GHZ class for which $p_c^{(2)} \geq p_c^{(1)}$ increases with increasing $E_{12}^0(n)$. The results again confirms that the properties of random pure states cannot be mimicked by a family or subset of states.

V. CONCLUSION

To summarize, we investigated whether multi-qubit random pure states can exhibit high values of localizable entanglement (LE) concentrated over a chosen pair of qubits. Due to the computational limitation imposed by the difficulty in achieving the maximization involved in the definition of localizable entanglement, we have restricted our study in systems composed of three-, four-, and five-qubits. By determining the normalized frequency distributions, we showed that for Haar uniformly generated random pure states, the average value of localizable entanglement increases with increasing the number of qubits in the system. Also, high clustering of the randomly generated multi-qubit quantum states around higher values of localizable entanglement is signalled by other metrics of the normalized frequency distribution, such as the standard deviation and the skewness. This feature bears similarity with the characteristics of genuine multi-party entanglement as shown in previous works. It also indicates that LE can mimic properties similar to a valid multi-party entanglement measure.

In order to check how this characteristic changes in a realistic scenario when noise is introduced in the system, we apply phase-flip, depolarizing, amplitude damping, and white noises on the Haar uniformly simulated random pure states of three-, four-, and five-qubit systems, and study the variation of the metrics of the normalized frequency distribution with varying noise strength. We found that the mean of LE does not increase with the increase of the number of parties for a fixed noise strength. Instead, it remains almost constant for a fixed value of noise which is in contrast with the noiseless situation.

Our analysis also reveals that under decoherence, nature of entanglement content of most of the multi-qubit states are similar and not maximal unlike the noiseless scenario. If such patterns in presence of noise persists for other multi-party entanglement measures, they may be useful for quantum computational speed-up, especially in a realistic scenario. Our study also highlights the potential of localizable entanglement to be considered as an appropriate candidate in revealing the multi-party nature of quantum correlation present in a composite quantum system, and motivates extensive research in this direction from the perspective of quantum information processing tasks.
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