Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a complex, heritable and heterogeneous condition that manifests in a range of phenotypes. Characterizing these phenotypes could reveal insights into the underlying pathophysiology, which is relevant in individualizing clinical management to each patient. There is keen interest in personalizing the treatment of T2DM using a precision medicine approach that integrates epidemiological, phenotypic, genetic and molecular data. However, much of the evidence in this arena has been limited to studies in populations of European descent.

Of the estimated 463 million adults with diabetes mellitus globally, >90% have T2DM and nearly half live in two large countries: India and the People’s Republic of China. Indian and Chinese people might be more susceptible to hepatic or skeletal muscle insulin resistance, respectively, than other populations, resulting in specific forms of insulin deficiency. Cluster-based phenotypic analyses demonstrate a higher frequency of severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus and younger ages at diagnosis, lower β-cell function, lower insulin resistance and lower BMI among Indian and Chinese people compared with European people. Individuals diagnosed earliest in life have the most aggressive course of disease and the highest risk of complications. These characteristics might contribute to distinctive responses to glucose-lowering medications. Incretin-based agents are particularly effective for lowering glucose levels in these populations; they enhance incretin-augmented insulin secretion and suppress glucagon secretion. Sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors might also lower blood levels of glucose especially effectively among Asian people, while α-glucosidase inhibitors are better tolerated in east Asian populations versus other populations.

Further research is needed to better characterize and address the pathophysiology and phenotypes of T2DM in Indian and Chinese populations, and to further develop individualized treatment strategies.

In this Review, we synthesize the latest evidence regarding the epidemiology, pathophysiology, phenotypes and glycaemic management of T2DM among Indian and Chinese populations and their diasporas, and identify novel avenues of research to advance therapeutic approaches.
Key points

- India and China account for nearly half of the global number of people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and incidence rates are rising rapidly among young people.
- Indian and Chinese people seem to have increased susceptibility to reduced insulin secretion, which probably has a stronger or more frequent role than insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of T2DM than in other populations.
- In many Indian people, deficient first-phase insulin secretion and increased hepatic insulin resistance result in impaired fasting glucose, while in many Chinese people, deficient first-phase and second-phase insulin secretion and increased skeletal muscle insulin resistance result in impaired glucose tolerance.
- Cluster-based phenotypic analyses demonstrate a higher frequency of severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus and demonstrate generally younger ages at diagnosis, lower β-cell function, lower insulin resistance and lower BMI among Indian people and Chinese people compared with European people.
- Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists improve pancreatic β-cell function and reduce blood levels of glucose especially effectively in Indian and Chinese people compared with other populations.
- Sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors might lower blood levels of glucose more effectively in Asian people than in European people, while α-glucosidase inhibitors are better tolerated among east Asian people than in other populations.

Epidemiology

Prevalence

In 2021, the estimated age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes mellitus was 10.6% (95% CI 9.2–12.0%) in China and 9.6% (95% CI 8.5–10.6%) in India, accounting for 145 million and 74 million people, respectively, or 41% of the global number of adults with diabetes mellitus (Fig. 1). The large burden of diabetes mellitus in India and China has been shaped by various behavioural, geographical, socioeconomic and cultural factors in each country (BOX 1).

Incidence

In India, the incidence of total diabetes mellitus has been estimated within local and regional studies. In Tamil Nadu, the Chennai Urban Population Study reported an incidence of 20.2 per 1,000 person-years for the period 1996–1997 (n = 513). The Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (2001–2013) estimated an incidence of 33.1 per 1,000 person-years (n = 1,376). Among adults aged 20–44 years in Delhi and Chennai, the Centre for Cardiometabolic Risk Reduction in South Asia Study (CARRS) (2010–2018) reported incidence rates of 14.2 (men) and 14.8 (women) per 1,000 person-years (n = 6,676). In Puducherry, the incidence was 21.5 per 1,000 person-years in 2007 (n = 1,223), while in urban Kerala, the incidence was 24.5 per 1,000 person-years between 2007 and 2017 (n = 869).

In China, T2DM incidence trends have been estimated in local and regional studies using population-based data. In Zhejiang province, the incidence of T2DM increased from 193.8 to 443.7 (men) and 212.9 to 370.5 (women) per 100,000 person-years over the period 2007–2017. In Hong Kong, the incidence of young-onset T2DM increased from 75.4 to 110.8 (men) and 45.0 to 62.1 (women) per 100,000 population over the period 2002–2015. Among those aged 40–59 years in Hong Kong, incidence increased from 697.0 to 852.7 (men) and 569.7 to 639.8 (women) per 100,000 person-years between 2005 and 2012 and 2005 and 2011, respectively, while incidence declined among those aged ≥60 years.

International comparisons of T2DM incidence are limited by differences in age standardization, time period during which incidence rates were measured, living environments and methodologies. In a comparative study using similar paediatric registries (age <20 years), the incidence of young-onset T2DM was lower in Chennai and New Delhi (0.5 per 100,000 population) than in the US SEARCH for Diabetes study (5.9 per 100,000 population). However, this difference could have been due to greater diagnostic delay in India than in the USA. Multi-ethnic studies in high-income countries suggest that T2DM incidence is higher among populations of Indian origin than in populations of Chinese origin in the global diaspora. A Canadian population-based study reported nearly double the incidence of T2DM among individuals of south Asian origin (defined here as ethnic origin from India, Pakistan, Bangladesh or Sri Lanka) compared with individuals of Chinese origin. Young-onset T2DM incidence among individuals of south Asian origin was triple that of individuals of Chinese origin aged 20–29 years (147.2 versus 46.9 per 100,000 patient-years), and more than double that of individuals of European origin aged 20–29 years (147.2 versus 67.1 per 100,000 patient-years). In a population-based international comparative study, T2DM incidence was highly heterogeneous among Chinese Canadians, People who had emigrated from the Chinese mainland, Hong Kong and Taiwan had respectively higher, similar and lower T2DM incidence rates compared with their origin populations.
Fig. 1 | Burden of diabetes mellitus and its correlates in India and China.  

**a** | Estimated number of adults with diabetes mellitus (millions) aged 20–79 years in India and China from 2000 with projections to 2030.  

**b** | Percentage of population residing in urban areas in India and China from 2000 with projections to 2030.  

**c** | Average daily dietary energy supply in India and China from 2000 with projections to 2030. Dietary energy supply indicates the amount of calories available for consumption in a given country; measures of individual caloric intake are not available at the national population level253,254.  

**d** | Crude prevalence of diabetes mellitus in the states of India (2016) and provinces of China (2015–2017). Crude prevalence rates are shown to illustrate spatial distribution. Rates are not directly comparable between countries owing to differences in the age distribution between countries (comparable age-standardized data unavailable). In China and India, the rising prevalence of diabetes mellitus is driven by rapid urbanization, increased caloric intake and reduced physical activity255–258. The high crude prevalence and number of people with diabetes mellitus in China relative to India is driven in part by China’s older age distribution259,260. Panel a is derived from data from the International Diabetes Federation Atlas6. Panel b is derived from data from the United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division261. Panel c is derived from data from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations253,254. Panel d is derived from data from Tandon et al.262 and Li et al.53.
Box 1 | Comparing contextual factors affecting T2DM in India and China

Health-related behaviours
- Rapid economic growth, unprecedented urbanization, sedentary behaviour, insufficient sleep, increased carbohydrate and saturated fat consumption and reduced fibre consumption drive an increase in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) prevalence255–258.
- Packaged foods and beverages in India and China have the highest energy density and saturated fat content in a comparison with 12 other countries261.
- High rates of tobacco use among men (China: 50.5%; India: 19.0%)262,263 are associated with increased risk of T2DM264 and its complications265,266.

Geographical and socioeconomic factors
- Owing to the high population and large size of China and India, both countries have wide variations in prevalence: the highest rates are in southern India (Tamil Nadu, Kerala: 12.1–12.3%)267,268 and northern China (Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia: 12.7%)269.
- Diabetes mellitus is most prevalent among wealthy270,271 and urban populations (India: urban 11.2%, rural 5.2%272; China: urban 13.1%; rural 8.7%273).
- Public health-care systems are limited by inadequate public investment, especially in India relative to China (1% versus 5% of gross domestic product, respectively)274.
- Limited health services and high risk of unaffordable health-care costs contribute to disparities in outcome among rural and low socioeconomic status populations compared with wealthier, urban populations275,276.

Culture, tradition and religion
- Linguistic, ethnic and sociocultural variation across India and China (especially ethnic minorities that are not Han Chinese) shape lived experiences277,278 and should inform T2DM interventions279,280.
- Religious fasting (such as Ramadan and Navaratri) affects diet and physical activity; optimal T2DM management requires education and individualization281,282.
- Ayurveda (Indian traditional medicine) and traditional Chinese medicine are often used as holistic, patient-focused approaches to address T2DM.
- Traditional Chinese medicine and Ayurveda include components such as litchi (also called lychee)283 and pomegranate284 to address T2DM285. Litchi toxicity causes hypoglycaemia in children286, and some components can alter the gut microbiome287.

Pathophysiology

Insulin resistance and impairments in insulin secretion are crucial factors in the pathophysiology of T2DM288,289 (Box 2). Insulin is secreted by pancreatic β-cells in response to rising arterial concentrations of glucose290. First-phase insulin release peaks at 2–4 min after the initial rise in arterial levels of glucose and drops sharply by 10–15 min, while second-phase insulin release is more gradual, achieving a steady state at 2–3 h after the initial rise in arterial levels of glucose291. Under conditions of insulin resistance, β-cells are stimulated to secrete more insulin than under conditions of normal insulin sensitivity292. Insufficient insulin secretion, especially in the presence of insulin resistance, glucolipotoxicity and obesity-related inflammation, results in hyperglycaemia and, eventually, T2DM293.

Glucolipotoxicity
Toxicity to the pancreatic β-cells arising from chronically elevated levels of glucose and fatty acids.

β-Cell dysfunction and insulin resistance

Although the natural history of T2DM has been traditionally described as a process of increasing age-related insulin resistance followed by declining β-cell function and insufficient insulin secretion, it has been postulated that people predisposed to T2DM probably have a primary genetic factor that impairs β-cell function294,295,296. This hypothesis is based partly on studies that demonstrate reduced first-phase and second-phase insulin secretion among healthy, normoglycaemic and insulin-sensitive first-degree relatives of people with T2DM297. Accordingly, T2DM might develop among genetically predisposed people when obesity or other factors such as age increase insulin resistance to overwhelm their intrinsically limited β-cell insulin secretion.

Several studies in south Asian populations suggested that people of Indian origin develop early β-cell failure in response to increased insulin resistance. This concept was demonstrated in the prospective British Whitehall study of 5,749 white civil servants and 230 civil servants of south Asian origin without diabetes mellitus at baseline (1991–2009)298. In this cohort, the Homeostatic Model Assessment of insulin sensitivity (HOMA-S) was lower among people of south Asian origin than in white people, but declined with age in both groups. However, the increase in the Homeostatic Model Assessment of β-cell function (HOMA-β), which might reflect a compensatory response to insulin resistance, was much greater and peaked around 15 years earlier (at age 50–60 years) in people of south Asian origin than in white people. During the study, fasting blood levels of glucose remained constant among white people but increased linearly with time among people of south Asian origin, indicating that compensatory insulin secretion was insufficient to overcome insulin resistance. These differences were partially explained by higher rates of obesity, less healthy dietary patterns and lower socioeconomic status in the south Asian versus the white population. Similar findings were reported in the Netherlands299 and in the Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America (MASALA) and the Multietnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohorts of US adults with or without diabetes mellitus300.

By contrast, many people of Chinese origin seem to exhibit progressive β-cell failure despite declining insulin resistance over time. In the MASALA and MESA cohorts, HOMA-β dropped sharply during adulthood up to age 60 years, followed by a steadier decline after this age, in the presence of steadily declining Homeostatic Model Assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) across all ages301. In a systematic review, east Asian people (defined here as having an ethnic origin from China, Japan, South Korea or Taiwan) had high sensitivity to insulin but a severely limited innate capacity to secrete insulin302. This limited capacity meant that even a small reduction in insulin secretion (for example, due to ageing or β-cell exhaustion) would result in T2DM.

Multi-ethnic comparisons. In the MASALA and MESA cohorts303, HOMA-β was statistically significantly lower in south Asian people than in Chinese people304. Insulin secretion among south Asian and Chinese people was the lowest among all ethnic groups. South Asian people had a higher HOMA-IR than white or Chinese people, especially at the ages of 40–60 years305. After age 60 years, white people had the highest insulin resistance among these ethnic groups. In the CARRS cohort of adults in India and Pakistan without diabetes mellitus at baseline, HOMA-β was statistically significantly lower in these south Asian people compared with Pima Indian people306 and Black, but not white, people in the USA307 after adjusting for age and BMI. In the Singapore Adults
Metabolism Study, β-cell function among people of Indian origin was not statistically significantly different from that of people of Chinese origin among lean (BMI <23 kg/m²) individuals without diabetes mellitus. People of Indian origin also had higher insulin resistance (measured using the euglycaemic–hyperinsulinaemic clamp) than people of Chinese origin.

Relative contributions of β-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance. Studies published during the past year suggest that decreased insulin secretion among Indian people could be more important than insulin resistance in predicting progression to T2DM. In the CARRS cohort, fewer Indian and Pakistani participants had obesity, and Indian and Pakistani participants had a lower HOMA-IR compared with age-matched Pima Indian people, white people, and Black people in the USA. HOMA-IR also had a weaker association with incident diabetes mellitus among the south Asian CARRS cohort (adjusted hazard ratio (HR) 2.28–3.58) compared with Pima Indian people (HR 4.40, 95% CI 3.49–5.56). This association between HOMA-IR and incident diabetes mellitus was also weaker in the CARRS cohort of south Asian people (HR 2.67, 95% CI 2.05–3.48) compared with Black people (HR 14.55, 95% CI 11.11–19.07) or white people (HR 34.64, 95% CI 27.63–43.42).

Interestingly, higher HOMA-β was associated with lower diabetes mellitus incidence among all of these populations. This association was weaker among south Asian people (HR 0.44, 95% CI 0.34–0.58) compared with Black people (HR 0.08, 95% CI 0.06–0.11) and white people (HR 0.05, 95% CI 0.04–0.06), but was similar among south Asian people (HR 0.35, 95% CI 0.28–0.44) and Pima Indian people (HR 0.34, 95% CI 0.26–0.43). In the MASALA study, insulin secretion, measured using the oral disposition index (Box 2), was associated with progression to pre-diabetes or T2DM after a mean of 2.5 years, while the insulin sensitivity index did not significantly predict incident pre-diabetes or T2DM after accounting for the level of visceral adipose tissue. In Chennai, the Diabetes Community Lifestyle Improvement programme reported that the contribution of impaired β-cell function to impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was greater than that of insulin resistance, suggesting that β-cell dysfunction was the main contributor to IFG and IGT.

Studies in Chinese populations report mixed findings on the relative contributions of impaired insulin secretion and insulin resistance to T2DM pathogenesis. In Shanghai, cross-sectional studies reported worse β-cell function in people with T2DM compared with individuals with pre-diabetes, whereas insulin sensitivity was similar across groups. Studies in Nanjing city and Zhejiang province found that both β-cell function and insulin resistance were worse among people with T2DM compared with people with pre-diabetes or normal glucose tolerance. Furthermore, in Zhejiang, insulin resistance was associated with increased odds ratio of pre-diabetes, whereas impaired β-cell function was associated with increased odds ratio of T2DM. The nationwide China Cardiometabolic Disease and Cancer Cohort Study of 94,952 people found that a greater proportion of incident diabetes mellitus cases were attributable to HOMA-IR (24.4%, 95% CI 23.6–25.2%) than to HOMA-β (12.4%, 95% CI 11.2–13.7%) and that small increases in body weight were associated with increased risks of diabetes mellitus. Although these studies seem to be conflicting, their interpretation is limited by potential confounders, including socioeconomic and health-related behavioural changes over time and the lack of dynamic insulin secretion indices.

Distinct pathways of T2DM development
An indirect approach to characterizing the development of T2DM involves examining epidemiological patterns of IFG and IGT, which are different states of pre-diabetes associated with distinct metabolic abnormalities and a high risk of progression to T2DM (Fig. 2). In India, there is a preponderance of isolated IFG relative to isolated IGT (prevalence rate of 6.5%, 95% CI 6.3–6.7% and 2.8%, 95% CI 2.7–3.0%, respectively, in most states). This pattern is similar to white, Black and Hispanic populations in the USA, in which IFG is more common than IGT. IFG is characterized by hepatic insulin resistance causing increased hepatic gluconeogenesis and reduced first-phase insulin secretion. These changes are consistent with the observation of elevated HOMA-IR, a key indicator of hepatic insulin resistance, among people with IFG versus those with normoglycaemia. Increased hepatic lipid accumulation might have an especially important role in Indian people. In a multi-ethnic study of lean, healthy men in the USA, increased insulin resistance among people of Indian origin (relative to people who are not Asian and people of east Asian origin) was associated with increased hepatic triglyceride content, suggesting a predisposition to hepatic steatosis and hepatic insulin resistance.
By contrast, China has a much higher prevalence of isolated IGT (11.5%, 95% CI 10.5–12.7) than isolated IFG (2.4%, 95% CI 2.0–2.9). IGT is characterized by skeletal muscle insulin resistance and changes in both first-phase and second-phase insulin secretion \(\uparrow\) insulin resistance and other populations \(\uparrow\) impaired fasting glucose \(\uparrow\) impaired glucose tolerance. If IFG and IGT might arise from distinct β-cell abnormalities and confer different risks of progression to T2DM in people who are not east Asian \(\uparrow\) might have limited β-cell regenerative capacity. In particular, polymorphisms of PSMD6 and PAX4 (which appears to be monomorphic in people who are not east Asian) might reduce insulin secretion and β-cell functional mass, respectively. These polymorphisms might also predict responses to rosiglitazone in Chinese populations (rosiglitazone is no longer used in most regions over concerns about increased cardiovascular risk) \(\uparrow\) and other studies suggest that genetic variants might predict responses to metformin and time to insulin therapy, but further pharmacogenetic studies are required.

**Early life environment.** According to the developmental origins of health and disease ‘thrifty phenotype’ hypothesis, intrauterine and early life exposure to malnutrition followed by an energy-rich setting in later life results in a high risk of T2DM \(\uparrow\) (Fig. 3). Major events such as the great Bengal famine (1943) and Chinese famine (1959–1961) affected millions of Indian and Chinese people, respectively. Fetal and childhood exposure to the Chinese famine, followed by high socioeconomic status during adulthood, were associated with increased T2DM incidence in several studies \(\uparrow\). The effect of famine and malnutrition might be mediated by epigenetic changes \(\uparrow\), decreased pancreatic β-cell proliferation and increased insulin resistance compared with individuals not exposed to famine or malnutrition \(\uparrow\). In India, the prospective Pune Maternal Nutrition Study demonstrated that maternal undernutrition and vitamin B12 Excess adiposity and insulin resistance in Indian people can be more severe in people than in Chinese people, thus exacerbating insulin deficiency and causing relatively early T2DM. Hepatic insulin resistance and adiposity can be more severe in Indian people than in Chinese people, which might predispose them to increased visceral adiposity and adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in β-cell glucolipotoxicity. Because the population of India is particularly genetically complex owing to endogamy and diverse sociolinguistic groupings, large sample sizes are required to ensure adequately powered GWAS and to stratify by Indian subpopulation.

The unique genetic variants for T2DM in Indian populations 2189 seem to be distinct from those in Chinese populations. Large GWAS have confirmed that genetic loci such as PAX4 (which encodes a pancreatic islet transcription factor), PSMD6 and ZFAND3 (which encode proteins involved in insulin secretion), NID2 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian Punjabi Sikh people \(\uparrow\) and TMEM163 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian people. In the UK Biobank, people of South Asian origin had a lower frequency of genetic variants predisposing to subcutaneous adiposity compared with the white population, which might predispose these populations to increased visceral adiposity and adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in β-cell glucolipotoxicity. Because the population of India is particularly genetically complex owing to endogamy and diverse sociolinguistic groupings, large sample sizes are required to ensure adequately powered GWAS and to stratify by Indian subpopulation.

The unique genetic variants for T2DM in Indian populations seem to be distinct from those in Chinese populations. Large GWAS have confirmed that genetic loci such as PAX4 (which encodes a pancreatic islet transcription factor), PSMD6 and ZFAND3 (which encode proteins involved in insulin secretion), NID2 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian Punjabi Sikh people \(\uparrow\) and TMEM163 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian people. In the UK Biobank, people of South Asian origin had a lower frequency of genetic variants predisposing to subcutaneous adiposity compared with the white population, which might predispose these populations to increased visceral adiposity and adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in β-cell glucolipotoxicity. Because the population of India is particularly genetically complex owing to endogamy and diverse sociolinguistic groupings, large sample sizes are required to ensure adequately powered GWAS and to stratify by Indian subpopulation.

The unique genetic variants for T2DM in Indian populations seem to be distinct from those in Chinese populations. Large GWAS have confirmed that genetic loci such as PAX4 (which encodes a pancreatic islet transcription factor), PSMD6 and ZFAND3 (which encode proteins involved in insulin secretion), NID2 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian Punjabi Sikh people \(\uparrow\) and TMEM163 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian people. In the UK Biobank, people of South Asian origin had a lower frequency of genetic variants predisposing to subcutaneous adiposity compared with the white population, which might predispose these populations to increased visceral adiposity and adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in β-cell glucolipotoxicity. Because the population of India is particularly genetically complex owing to endogamy and diverse sociolinguistic groupings, large sample sizes are required to ensure adequately powered GWAS and to stratify by Indian subpopulation.

The unique genetic variants for T2DM in Indian populations seem to be distinct from those in Chinese populations. Large GWAS have confirmed that genetic loci such as PAX4 (which encodes a pancreatic islet transcription factor), PSMD6 and ZFAND3 (which encode proteins involved in insulin secretion), NID2 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian Punjabi Sikh people \(\uparrow\) and TMEM163 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian people. In the UK Biobank, people of South Asian origin had a lower frequency of genetic variants predisposing to subcutaneous adiposity compared with the white population, which might predispose these populations to increased visceral adiposity and adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in β-cell glucolipotoxicity. Because the population of India is particularly genetically complex owing to endogamy and diverse sociolinguistic groupings, large sample sizes are required to ensure adequately powered GWAS and to stratify by Indian subpopulation.

The unique genetic variants for T2DM in Indian populations seem to be distinct from those in Chinese populations. Large GWAS have confirmed that genetic loci such as PAX4 (which encodes a pancreatic islet transcription factor), PSMD6 and ZFAND3 (which encode proteins involved in insulin secretion), NID2 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian Punjabi Sikh people \(\uparrow\) and TMEM163 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian people. In the UK Biobank, people of South Asian origin had a lower frequency of genetic variants predisposing to subcutaneous adiposity compared with the white population, which might predispose these populations to increased visceral adiposity and adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in β-cell glucolipotoxicity. Because the population of India is particularly genetically complex owing to endogamy and diverse sociolinguistic groupings, large sample sizes are required to ensure adequately powered GWAS and to stratify by Indian subpopulation.

The unique genetic variants for T2DM in Indian populations seem to be distinct from those in Chinese populations. Large GWAS have confirmed that genetic loci such as PAX4 (which encodes a pancreatic islet transcription factor), PSMD6 and ZFAND3 (which encode proteins involved in insulin secretion), NID2 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian Punjabi Sikh people \(\uparrow\) and TMEM163 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian people. In the UK Biobank, people of South Asian origin had a lower frequency of genetic variants predisposing to subcutaneous adiposity compared with the white population, which might predispose these populations to increased visceral adiposity and adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in β-cell glucolipotoxicity. Because the population of India is particularly genetically complex owing to endogamy and diverse sociolinguistic groupings, large sample sizes are required to ensure adequately powered GWAS and to stratify by Indian subpopulation.

The unique genetic variants for T2DM in Indian populations seem to be distinct from those in Chinese populations. Large GWAS have confirmed that genetic loci such as PAX4 (which encodes a pancreatic islet transcription factor), PSMD6 and ZFAND3 (which encode proteins involved in insulin secretion), NID2 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian Punjabi Sikh people \(\uparrow\) and TMEM163 (which encodes a synaptic vesicle membrane protein linked to insulin secretion) among Indian people. In the UK Biobank, people of South Asian origin had a lower frequency of genetic variants predisposing to subcutaneous adiposity compared with the white population, which might predispose these populations to increased visceral adiposity and adipocyte hypertrophy, resulting in β-cell glucolipotoxicity. Because the population of India is particularly genetically complex owing to endogamy and diverse sociolinguistic groupings, large sample sizes are required to ensure adequately powered GWAS and to stratify by Indian subpopulation.
deficiency predicted low birthweight, childhood adiposity and childhood insulin resistance. However, paternal T2DM is also associated with low birthweight. This observation suggests that a genetic predisposition to insulin deficiency also partly explains the relationship between low birthweight and T2DM risk (the fetal insulin hypothesis). Conversely, maternal hyperglycaemia and obesity are associated with higher birthweight and increased risk of T2DM compared with mothers without hyperglycaemia or obesity during pregnancy. This association was demonstrated in the prospective Mysore Parthenon Birth Cohort Study, which reported increased childhood adiposity and insulin resistance among offspring of mothers with T2DM compared with those from mothers without T2DM.

**Islet amyloid.** Amyloid deposits within the pancreatic islets might have a role in T2DM pathogenesis by accelerating β-cell apoptosis. An estimated 40–100% of individuals with T2DM have islet amyloid deposits. A Chinese autopsy study demonstrated that individuals with T2DM were statistically significantly more likely to have islet amyloid deposits at autopsy than individuals without T2DM. High dietary fat intake (which appears to be particularly common in India and China) might increase islet secretion of amyloid. Genetic studies investigating a link between T2DM risk and mutations of the islet amyloid polypeptide (also known as amylin) gene have shown that the S20G mutation is associated with T2DM and an earlier age at T2DM diagnosis (age <40 years) among Chinese and other east Asian populations, but not in European-origin and Indian populations. Animal studies suggest that this mutation might reduce the number and function of β-cells.

**Gut microbiome.** Emerging evidence suggests that gut microbial composition might affect T2DM risk by modulating inflammation and affecting gut permeability, insulin resistance, carbohydrate processing and fatty acid oxidation. Local differences in diet and environment probably contribute to unique gut microbial signatures among Indian and Chinese populations. These differences could affect T2DM risk and responses to glucose-lowering drugs.

**Infection.** Infections increase insulin resistance by activating inflammatory mediators (for example, tumour necrosis factor-α (TNFα) and C-reactive protein) and could have a role in T2DM pathogenesis. Hepatitis B infection is common in India and China, but, unlike hepatitis C infection, has not been consistently associated with T2DM in these populations. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection increases insulin resistance among previously normoglycaemic individuals and might predispose to future T2DM development. Limited evidence suggests that tuberculosis and its treatments might increase the risk of T2DM.

**Helicobacter pylori** infection has been inconsistently linked to T2DM in Chinese populations.

**Phenotypes**

**Subgroups of diabetes mellitus**

In the Swedish All New Diabetics in Scania (ANDIS) cohort, all incident cases of diabetes mellitus were classified into five subgroups using a cluster analysis based on specific phenotypic characteristics: age at diagnosis, BMI, glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) antibodies, HOMA-β and HOMA-IR. The severe autoimmune diabetes mellitus subgroup consisted of people with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and latent autoimmune diabetes mellitus of adulthood, and T2DM was divided into subgroups hypothesized to relate to disease aetiology: severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus, severe insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus, mild obesity-related diabetes mellitus and mild age-related diabetes mellitus.

Although this method of classification has been criticized as oversimplified, comparing the clinical characteristics across subgroups and populations suggests distinct phenotypes of diabetes mellitus in Indian and Chinese people. In India, a cluster-based analysis was performed among people with diabetes mellitus (disease duration <5 years) identified within private clinics across nine states. Although GAD antibodies were unavailable to identify severe autoimmune diabetes mellitus, when compared with the ANDIS cohort, severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus occurred more often (26.2% versus 17.5%), at a younger age (mean 42.5 years versus 56.7 years), at a lower BMI (mean 24.9 kg/m² versus 28.9 kg/m²) and with lower levels of β-cell function and insulin resistance within this Indian cohort. Individuals within the remaining subgroups were also younger at diagnosis, with lower BMI and lower β-cell function compared with the ANDIS cohort. In particular, the Indian subgroup with severe insulin resistance also had low β-cell function, a characteristic not observed in the Swedish subgroup with...
Table 1 | Phenotypic characteristics among subgroups of diabetes mellitus identified in Indian, Chinese and Swedish cohorts

| Parameter | Sweden | India | China | Li et al. | CNDMDS | Xiong et al. |
|-----------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-------------|
| **Sample size (n)** | 8,980 | 19,084 | 2,204 | 15,772 | 2,316 | 5,414 |
| **Newly diagnosed** | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| **Disease duration (years; mean (s.d.))** | 0.0 (0) | <5 Unknown | 0.0 (0) | 0.0 (0) | 8.6 (6.3) | |
| **Setting** | Clinic | Clinic | Survey | Clinic | Survey | Clinic |
| **Location** | Scania | Nine states | Fifteen states | National | National | Hunan |
| **Severe autoimmune diabetes mellitus** | | | | | | |
| Frequency (%) | 6.4 | NA | NA | 6.2 | NA | 3.7 |
| Age at diagnosis (years; mean (s.d.)) | 50.5 (17.9) | NA | NA | 42.7 (14.0) | NA | 49.3 (12.1) |
| HbA1c (%) | 9.5 (2.8) | NA | NA | 10.7 (5.3) | NA | 8.9 (2.4) |
| BMI (kg/m²; mean (s.d.)) | 27.5 (6.4) | NA | NA | 22.0 (3.8) | NA | 24.3 (3.9) |
| HOMA-β (mean (s.d.)) | 56.7 (44.7) | NA | NA | 21.9 | NA | 84.0 (112.8) |
| HOMA-IR (mean (s.d.)) | 2.2 (1.6) | NA | NA | 0.7 | NA | 3.7 (7.9) |
| **Severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus** | | | | | | |
| Frequency (%) | 17.5 | 26.2 | 27.4 | 24.8 | 13.5 | 41.2 |
| Age at diagnosis (years; mean (s.d.)) | 56.7 (11.1) | 42.5 (10.8) | 40.1 (9.8) | 50.5 (11.6) | 52.4 (11.9) | 46.6 (10.7) |
| HbA1c (%) | 11.5 (1.8) | 10.7 (2.1) | 10.0 (2.1) | 12.5 (4.0) | NA | 10.2 (1.9) |
| BMI (kg/m²; mean (s.d.)) | 28.9 (4.8) | 24.9 (3.5) | 22.7 (3.1) | 22.5 (2.6) | 25.4 (3.2) | 25.0 (3.7) |
| HOMA-β (mean (s.d.)) | 47.6 (28.9) | 38.8 (26.9) | NA | 20.2 | NA | 32.2 (19.5) |
| HOMA-IR (mean (s.d.)) | 3.2 (1.7) | 2.8 (1.6) | NA | 1.1 | NA | 1.3 (0.8) |
| **Severe insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus** | | | | | | |
| Frequency (%) | 15.3 | 12.1 | 7.6 | 16.6 | 8.6 | NA |
| Age at diagnosis (years; mean (s.d.)) | 65.3 (9.3) | 42.1 (9.8) | 45.4 (10.2) | 51.8 (11.0) | 47.4 (13.4) | NA |
| HbA1c (%) | 7.1 (3.6) | 9.1 (1.9) | 9.0 (2.0) | 7.2 (3.6) | NA | NA |
| BMI (kg/m²; mean (s.d.)) | 33.9 (5.2) | 26.5 (3.1) | 25.0 (2.9) | 27.0 (3.2) | 27.8 (4.3) | NA |
| HOMA-β (mean (s.d.)) | 150.5 (47.2) | 64.5 (37.7) | NA | 98.6 | NA | NA |
| HOMA-IR (mean (s.d.)) | 5.5 (2.7) | 3.8 (1.9) | NA | 2.2 | NA | NA |
| **Moderate obesity-related diabetes mellitus** | | | | | | |
| Frequency (%) | 21.6 | 25.9 | 30.3 | 21.6 | 32.7 | NA |
| Age at diagnosis (years; mean (s.d.)) | 49.0 (9.5) | 46.5 (10.4) | 48.2 (9.6) | 39.1 (10.2) | 52.1 (11.7) | NA |
| HbA1c (%) | 7.4 (3.6) | 8.3 (1.8) | 7.9 (1.8) | 10.1 (4.1) | NA | NA |
| BMI (kg/m²; mean (s.d.)) | 35.7 (5.4) | 32.6 (4.1) | 29.9 (3.6) | 27.9 (3.0) | 29.3 (2.7) | NA |
| HOMA-β (mean (s.d.)) | 95.0 (32.5) | 100.8 (51.5) | NA | 36.0 | NA | NA |
| HOMA-IR (mean (s.d.)) | 3.4 (1.2) | 4.1 (1.5) | NA | 1.8 | NA | NA |
| **Mild age-related diabetes mellitus** | | | | | | |
| Frequency (%) | 39.1 | 35.8 | 34.8 | 30.9 | 45.1 | 55.1 |
| Age at diagnosis (years; mean (s.d.)) | 67.4 (8.6) | 50.2 (10.3) | 55.5 (9.8) | 54.8 (9.8) | 53.2 (12.7) | 53.6 (10.0) |
| HbA1c (%) | 6.7 (3.1) | 7.2 (1.2) | 6.7 (1.2) | 7.6 (3.5) | NA | 7.1 (1.1) |
| BMI (kg/m²; mean (s.d.)) | 27.9 (3.4) | 25.9 (2.9) | 23.4 (2.8) | 23.4 (2.6) | 23.3 (2.3) | 24.7 (3.5) |
| HOMA-β (mean (s.d.)) | 86.6 (24.9) | 94.1 (43.1) | NA | 48.8 | NA | 82.6 (42.6) |
| HOMA-IR (mean (s.d.)) | 2.6 (0.8) | 2.6 (0.8) | NA | 1.3 | NA | 1.5 (0.8) |

Cohorts included people with newly diagnosed or established diabetes mellitus as indicated. Among cohorts with established diabetes mellitus, age at diagnosis was ascertained retrospectively, and biomarkers were measured at variable times after diagnosis (indicated by disease duration). Homeostatic Model Assessment (HOMA) values included in this table were all calculated using C-peptide values. ANDIS, All New Diabetics in Scania; HOMA-β, Homeostatic Model Assessment of β-cell function; HOMA-IR, Homeostatic Model Assessment of insulin resistance; INDIAB, Indian Council of Medical Research-India Diabetes study; INSPIRED, India–Scotland Partnership for Precision Medicine in Diabetes project; CNDMDS, China National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study; NA, not available; s.d., standard deviation. aThe study by Wang et al. was excluded from this table owing to data unavailability. bStandardized by sex. cThe subgroup originally characterized by Anjana et al. as ‘combined insulin resistant and deficient diabetes’ in both Indian cohorts is referred to in this table and the text as severe insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus for consistency and ease of comparison. Similarly, the subgroup originally characterized as ‘insulin-resistant obese diabetes’ is referred to as moderate obesity-related diabetes mellitus. The distinctive characteristics of these subgroups are reviewed in the text.
severe insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus (mean HOMA-β 64.5 versus 150.5). Indian people with obesity-related diabetes mellitus also had higher insulin resistance compared with Swedish people in this subgroup (mean HOMA-IR 4.1 versus 3.4). Although selection bias was a potential limitation, these findings appeared consistent when validated among a larger community-dwelling population sampled across 15 Indian states\(^\text{110}\).

In China, cluster-based analyses similarly revealed low levels of HOMA-β, HOMA-IR and BMI across subgroups, with younger ages at diagnosis and an increased frequency of severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus in some\(^\text{111–113}\), but not all\(^\text{114}\), cohorts compared with the ANDIS cohort. In a 2020 study (the largest study conducted in clinics across China)\(^\text{111}\), all subgroups had lower levels of HOMA-β, HOMA-IR and BMI compared with the ANDIS cohort. Severe autoimmune diabetes mellitus occurred at a younger age (mean 42.7 years) than in Swedish people (mean 50.5 years). As with Indian people, severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus was more common (24.8% versus 17.5%) than in the ANDIS cohort and occurred >5 years earlier in life. Severe insulin-resistant and moderate obesity-related diabetes mellitus were diagnosed at least a decade earlier in life than in ANDIS. Finally, the mild age-related diabetes mellitus subgroup was less frequent and was diagnosed a decade earlier compared with ANDIS. In the China National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study (CNDMDS), people with newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus were identified in a nationally representative survey, but autoantibodies were unavailable and surrogate measures were used for HbA\(_1c\) and C-peptide\(^\text{114}\). The findings were broadly similar to those of the 2020 study\(^\text{111}\), except for lower proportions of severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus and severe insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus, higher proportions of moderate obesity-related diabetes mellitus and severe obesity-related diabetes mellitus, and older age at diagnosis of moderate obesity-related diabetes mellitus\(^\text{111}\). A similar study among hospital inpatients in Beijing reported younger age at diagnosis for severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus, but disease durations were unreported\(^\text{111}\). In Hunan, a clinic-based study of people with long disease duration was unable to replicate the ANDIS subgroups\(^\text{112}\).

In comparison with the Chinese cohorts, it appears that Indian people have generally higher levels of insulin resistance across subgroups, along with higher levels of insulin secretion across most subgroups\(^\text{110–112,114}\). Severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus presented earlier in life (around age 40 years), at a similar BMI, but with higher HOMA-β and HOMA-IR in the Indian cohort than in the Chinese cohorts\(^\text{110–112,114}\). Severe insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus also presented earlier in life, but with much lower HOMA-β in the context of higher HOMA-IR in Indian people compared with Chinese people\(^\text{110–112,114}\). Moderate obesity-related diabetes mellitus occurred with a similar frequency but with higher BMI, HOMA-β and HOMA-IR values among Indian cohorts compared with Chinese cohorts\(^\text{110–112,114}\). Mild age-related diabetes mellitus featured much higher HOMA-β and HOMA-IR among Indian people, but was otherwise generally similar to that seen in Chinese people\(^\text{110–112,114}\).

These Indian and Chinese cohorts generally featured younger ages at diagnosis compared with the ANDIS cohort\(^\text{10,105–112,114}\). Although these findings seem to conflict with previous multi-ethnic studies in high-income countries that report a higher incidence of young-onset T2DM among people of Indian origin relative to people of European or Chinese origin\(^\text{12,24}\), immigrant populations are heterogeneous and vary from the populations from which they emigrated according to socioeconomic status and other factors\(^\text{25}\). Moreover, young-onset T2DM incidence in Canada among men who have emigrated from China has risen over the past decade to surpass that seen in people who are not Chinese Canadian as of 2017\(^\text{[Ref.25]}\). Although selection bias could have inflated the proportion of younger individuals in the clinic-based or hospital-based Chinese studies, new cases of diabetes mellitus in the nationally representative CNDMDS cohort were similarly diagnosed at younger ages compared with the ANDIS cohort\(^\text{111,112}\). Further research is required to confirm whether these data reflect a skewed distribution towards earlier age at T2DM diagnosis in Chinese people.

One US multi-ethnic cluster-based study included people aged ≥45 years in the MASALA and MESA cohorts examined on average 5.7 years after diagnosis with diabetes mellitus (standard deviation (s.d.) 7.8 years), without data on GAD antibodies\(^\text{115}\). People taking insulin were excluded from the cluster analysis and manually classified on the basis of age at diagnosis. Although the results were not directly comparable to those of ANDIS, five unique subgroups were identified: older age at onset (43%), severe hyperglycaemia (26%), severe obesity (20%), younger age at onset (1%) and requiring insulin medication use (9%). People of Chinese origin were more likely to be classified in the older age at onset subgroup than people of other ethnicities, and less likely to be classified in the severe obesity subgroups, while people of south Asian origin were most likely to be classified in the severe hyperglycaemia subgroup. Although the authors suggested that different metabolic processes might be occurring among south Asian and Chinese populations, further multi-ethnic studies are required to explore this possibility.

**Young-onset type 2 diabetes mellitus**

Phenotypes of T2DM have also been classified by age at diagnosis\(^\text{10,116}\). Young-onset T2DM is especially important in Indian and Chinese populations because of their tendency to be diagnosed at an early age (<40 years)\(^\text{110–112,114}\), as well as the aggressive course and poor outcomes of this condition\(^\text{10}\).

**Rapid β-cell dysfunction.** In the Treatment Options for Type 2 Diabetes in Adolescents and Youth (TODAY) study of 699 mostly Black, white and Hispanic youth with young-onset T2DM (mean age 13.8–14.1 years) randomized to receive metformin with or without rosiglitazone or basal insulin, the oral disposition index declined by 20–35% per year, which is a much faster decline in insulin secretion than that seen in older populations\(^\text{112}\). For example, the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS; mean age 52–53 years)
reported that HOMA-β declined by approximately 7% per year in people with newly diagnosed T2DM. In a Diabetes Outcome Progression Trial (ADOPT), HOMA-β declined by approximately 7–11% per year in mostly white, Black and Hispanic adults with T2DM (mean age 56.3–57.9 years) randomized to receive rosiglitazone, metformin or glyburide monotherapy. The percentage decline in HOMA-β was equivalent to a glycemic deterioration rate of around 0.07–0.14% per year, which is similar in magnitude to that of a Scottish observational cohort.

In China, multiple lines of evidence have confirmed the accelerated rates of β-cell decline in young-onset T2DM. In a Hong Kong population-based study of adults aged 18–75 years, the steepest rates of glycemic deterioration were among those with young-onset T2DM, especially those diagnosed at age 20 years. Aggressive glycemic deterioration in young-onset T2DM contributed to persistently increased HbA1c levels and more than triple the cumulative exposure to hyperglycaemia from diagnosis until age 75 years, compared with usual-onset T2DM (defined here as age at diagnosis ≥40 years). A national Chinese cross-sectional survey of people with newly diagnosed T2DM showed that HOMA-β was similar across ages at diagnosis, but the difference in HOMA-β between people with T2DM and age-matched control individuals was much greater among people with young-onset than in people with usual-onset T2DM. Furthermore, people with young-onset T2DM had the most abnormal HOMA-IR and oral disposition index out of all the groups in the study. Similarly, a study conducted in India of 82 people aged <25 years with either young-onset T2DM, pre-diabetes or normoglycaemia showed that T2DM was associated with a lower oral disposition index, but not insulin resistance, after adjustment for age and obesity.

High risk of complications. The Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration estimated that people with usual-onset T2DM might die up to 6 years earlier than those without T2DM, but an analysis of the Swedish National Diabetes Registry estimated that those diagnosed with young-onset T2DM at age 15 years might lose 12 years of life compared with people without T2DM. This increased risk of mortality is driven by an elevated risk of T2DM complications compared with usual-onset T2DM.

In China, a national cross-sectional study of 200,000 people with T2DM who were identified using the China National HbA1c Surveillance System reported that those with young-onset T2DM had an increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease (OR 1.91, 95% CI 1.81–2.02). In a subset of this population, the prevalence of diabetic kidney disease was also higher among people with young-onset T2DM (5.1%) than those with usual-onset T2DM (1.5% OR 1.69, 95% CI 1.46–1.95). In Hong Kong, young-onset T2DM was associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (HR 1.48, 95% CI 1.17–1.88) and diabetic kidney disease (HR 1.35, 95% CI 1.12–1.62) versus usual-onset T2DM. Furthermore, young-onset T2DM was associated with an increased rate of hospitalization owing to mental illness, with 36.1% of all hospitalizations of people with young-onset T2DM before age 40 years attributable to psychiatric conditions, including psychotic disorders and mood disorders. In these studies, the increased risk of complications associated with a younger age at diagnosis was not entirely explained by longer disease duration. The prevalence of complications in China varies along a north to south gradient, with higher rates among northern Chinese populations than southern Chinese populations for both young-onset and usual-onset T2DM.

In India, the epidemiology of complications in young-onset T2DM is described at the local and regional level. In a large diabetes mellitus centre in Chennai, 267 people with young-onset T2DM diagnosed before age 25 years had a similar odds ratio of having diabetic nephropathy (OR 1.07, 95% CI 0.66–1.73) and higher odds ratio of having diabetic retinopathy (OR 2.02, 95% CI 1.38–2.95) compared with 267 people with usual-onset T2DM diagnosed after age 50 years, and metabolic risk factors were more poorly controlled in the young-onset T2DM group. In the same centre, a study of people diagnosed with diabetes mellitus at age 10–25 years found that people with young-onset T2DM had double the odds ratio of having any microvascular or macrovascular complication (OR 2.11, 95% CI 1.27–3.51) compared with people who had T1DM. A subsequent study estimated that the incidence of diabetic nephropathy was 13.8 per 1,000 person-years in T2DM compared with 6.2 per 1,000 person-years in T1DM (attained age <20 years).

Few international studies have systematically compared complication rates specifically among people with young-onset T2DM in India and China. In a cross-sectional study of people with T2DM from outpatient clinics across Asia (n = 5,646 (China, public clinics), 15,341 (Hong Kong, public clinics), 9,107 (India, private clinics)), the Joint Asia Diabetes Evaluation programme found that Indian people with young-onset T2DM had a higher prevalence of ischaemic heart disease (India, 8.3%; China, 2.8%; Hong Kong, 5.8%) and diabetic kidney disease (India, 19.7%; China, 1.3%; Hong Kong, 5.7%) than Chinese people; however, differences in disease duration (median: 9.0 years (India), 4.0 years (China) and 14.0 years (Hong Kong)) might have had a role. The prevalence of stroke was higher among Chinese people than in Indian people with young-onset T2DM (China, 1.5%; Hong Kong, 3.3%; India, 0.7%).

Emerging management strategies. Clinical recommendations for management of young-onset T2DM lack evidence because most intervention studies have been conducted in people with usual-onset T2DM. In China, short-term intensive insulin therapy is a standard treatment option for newly diagnosed T2DM. Younger age at diagnosis predicted a reduced rate of glycemic relapse (HR 0.75, 95% CI 0.58–0.95 for every 10 years) after 2 weeks of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion among 124 Chinese adults with newly diagnosed T2DM (mean age 47.33 ± 9.45 years). A retrospective analysis of a randomized controlled trial that compared subcutaneous insulin infusion therapy...
with or without metformin among people with newly diagnosed T2DM showed that people with young-onset T2DM treated with metformin required lower doses of insulin than people with usual-onset T2DM. This finding suggests that people with young-onset T2DM might be more sensitive to metformin than people with usual-onset T2DM.

In the TODAY study, metformin monotherapy was associated with treatment failure in 51.7% of people with young-onset T2DM diagnosed at ages 10–17 years, with lower treatment failure rates when metformin was combined with rosiglitazone (38.6%) or behavioural intervention (46.6%)\(^\text{145}\). In the Restoring Insulin Secretion study, treatment for 3 months with insulin glargine followed by 9 months with metformin improved β-cell function compared with metformin monotherapy among adults diagnosed with T2DM at ages 20–65 years, but not among those diagnosed at ages 10–19 years\(^\text{146}\).

Additionally, early combination therapy with multiple glucose-lowering agents\(^\text{147}\) is a promising strategy for young-onset T2DM. In a 5-year randomized clinical trial, early treatment with metformin and the dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) inhibitor vildagliptin led to greater and more durable glycaemic lowering compared with a sequential strategy of metformin followed by augmentation with vildagliptin\(^\text{148,149}\). These effects were similar in Asian people and people who are not Asian\(^\text{150}\), and the benefit was even greater in young-onset T2DM than usual-onset T2DM (48% versus 24% reduction in risk of treatment failure)\(^\text{150}\).

**Pharmacological glycaemic management**

Reducing the incidence of microvascular and macrovascular complications requires optimal glycaemic control, a fundamental cornerstone of multifactorial T2DM management\(^\text{151}\). Multiple classes of non-insulin pharmacological agent are commonly used to treat hyperglycaemia. In accordance with their distinctive pathophysiology and phenotypes, Indian and Chinese people with T2DM respond differently to many of these medications compared with other populations (TABLE 2).

**Metformin**

The efficacy of metformin as a treatment for T2DM was first demonstrated in the UKPDS study\(^\text{152}\). Subsequent studies have verified that metformin lowers glucose levels efficaciously among Chinese and south Asian people\(^\text{153}\), and improves β-cell function among Indian people\(^\text{154}\) and Chinese\(^\text{155}\) people without obesity. However, no studies to our knowledge have directly compared its effects across Chinese, Indian and other populations.

**α-Glucosidase inhibitors**

In China, the Metformin and Acarbose in Chinese as the Initial Hypoglycaemic Treatment study reported that acarbose was not inferior to metformin in HbA\(_1c\) lowering (mean difference 0.01%, 95% CI −0.12% to 0.14%), and resulted in better weight reduction and improved levels of lipids compared with metformin\(^\text{156}\). A meta-analysis comparing acarbose with DPP4 inhibitors also reported that acarbose had superior weight loss efficacy with a similar degree of glucose lowering\(^\text{157}\). In western populations with IGT, acarbose was found to reduce the risk of developing T2DM (HR 0.75, 95% CI 0.63–0.90) compared with placebo\(^\text{158}\). A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials reported that acarbose had a greater HbA\(_1c\)-lowering effect among east Asian populations than in western populations (1.26% (s.d. 1.20%) versus 0.62% (s.d. 1.28%))\(^\text{159}\). This difference was postulated to be driven by the fairly large proportion of starch content in east Asian diets\(^\text{160}\). An observational study of 21 countries also found that east and southeast (defined here as having an ethnic origin from Indonesia, Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam, Malaysia, Cambodia or Singapore) Asian people had larger HbA\(_1c\) reductions with acarbose compared with south Asian people and European people\(^\text{161}\). These findings conflict with other systematic reviews reporting similar HbA\(_1c\)-lowering effects in east Asian people and western people\(^\text{162,163}\).

The incidence of diarrhea as an adverse effect might be less common in Asian people than people who are not Asian\(^\text{164}\). This finding may be most applicable to east Asian people because the Asian populations included within this meta-analysis were predominantly east Asian. In a trial among Chinese people with coronary artery disease and IGT, acarbose prevented the onset of diabetes mellitus but did not reduce the frequency of cardiovascular events\(^\text{165}\).

**Sulfonylureas**

Although sulfonylureas can cause hypoglycaemia and weight gain\(^\text{166}\), they are cheap and are the second most common oral glucose-lowering medication in India\(^\text{166–168}\) and China\(^\text{169,170}\) after metformin. Glycaemic improvements with sulfonylureas might be less durable compared with improvements with other classes of glucose-lowering medication owing to accelerated β-cell failure, especially with older sulfonylureas\(^\text{169}\). In ADOPT, the incidence of elevated fasting blood levels of glucose >10.0 mmol/l after a median of 4.0 years of treatment was 34% for glyburide compared with 21% and 15% for metformin and rosiglitazone, respectively\(^\text{170}\). A cluster-based analysis of the ADOPT cohort showed that glycaemic deterioration was the steepest among those with severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus treated with glyburide, while those with mild age-related diabetes mellitus showed the greatest benefit\(^\text{171}\). An intensive glucose-lowering strategy using modified-release glargide, a modern sulfonylurea, successfully lowered HbA\(_1c\) to 6.5% after a median of 5 years in the Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation (ADVANCE) trial\(^\text{172}\). A sub-analysis of the ADVANCE trial showed that HbA\(_1c\) lowering was similar among Asian people (defined in this study as people from China, India, Malaysia and the Philippines) (−0.78%, 95% CI −0.83% to −0.74%) and eastern European people (−0.71%, 95% CI −0.78% to −0.64%)\(^\text{173}\). Secondary analyses of clinical trials suggest that sulfonylureas lower blood levels of glucose more effectively among men of
Table 2 | Characteristics and consensus recommendations for the use of non-insulin glucose-lowering drugs in Indian and Chinese populations

| Drug class               | Drug action                                                                 | Glycaemic lowering                                                                 | Other characteristics                       | Consensus guidelines and recommendations |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Metformin (biguanide)    | Activates AMP-activated protein kinase, reduces hepatic glucose production, enhances insulin-mediated glucose uptake, increases glucose utilization and GLP1 secretion in the gut without weight gain or hypoglycaemia<sup>115-221</sup> | Probably efficacious in Asian people<sup>111</sup>, but there have been no studies comparing the effects of metformin in Asian populations and populations that were not Asian | Improves β-cell function among Indian<sup>121</sup> and Chinese<sup>114</sup> people | First-line monotherapy (HbA<sub>1c</sub> < 9%) or as component of dual oral therapy (asymptomatic HbA<sub>1c</sub> > 9%)<sup>117</sup> | Preferred first-line monotherapy<sup>222</sup> | First-line monotherapy<sup>218</sup> |
| α-Glucosidase inhibitors | Delay carbohydrate absorption in the small bowel, thus reducing postprandial glucose excursion and postprandial insulin secretion<sup>225</sup> | Glycaemic-lowering efficacy is similar to that of metformin<sup>110</sup> and DPP4 inhibitors<sup>117</sup> | Fewer gastrointestinal adverse effects (specifically diarrhea) in east Asian populations than people who are not Asian<sup>116</sup> | Second-choice second-line therapy in combination with metformin for asymptomatic HbA<sub>1c</sub> > 9%<sup>117</sup> | Less preferred as first-line monotherapy than metformin<sup>116</sup> | Not specifically recommended<sup>118</sup> |
| Sulfonylureas            | Reduce blood levels of glucose in a glucose-independent manner by binding to the SUR and closing the ATP-sensitive potassium channels, thus stimulating β-cell insulin secretion<sup>115</sup> | Gliclazide reduces blood levels of glucose efficaciously in Asian populations and populations that were not Asian<sup>115</sup> | Glyburide accelerates β-cell decline, especially in severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus (no studies in Asian people)<sup>116</sup> | First-choice second-line therapy in combination with metformin for asymptomatic HbA<sub>1c</sub> > 9%<sup>117</sup> | Less preferred as first-line monotherapy than metformin<sup>116</sup> | Second-line or third-line therapy in combination with metformin<sup>116</sup> |
|                          | Possibly no effect on the risk of cardiovascular disease<sup>116</sup> | Greater glycaemic-lowering effectiveness than thiazolidinediones for men with BMI < 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> among people of European origin (no studies in Asian people)<sup>74</sup> | Probably do not increase the risk of cardiovascular disease across Asian populations and populations that are not Asian<sup>225</sup> | Consider as an initial monotherapy (especially gliclazide<sup>225</sup>) if a patient has a contraindication or intolerance to metformin<sup>116</sup> | Second-line or third-line therapy in combination with metformin<sup>116</sup> | Second-line or third-line therapy in situations in which cost is a major issue<sup>218</sup> |
| DPP4 inhibitors          | Inhibit DPP4, an enzyme that catalyses the degradation of incretins such as GLP1 and gastric inhibitory polypeptide<sup>71,78</sup> | Sitagliptin has greater glucose-lowering efficacy in Asian populations than in populations that are not Asian; these<sup>110</sup> benefits to Asian populations might apply to all DPP4 inhibitors<sup>95,236</sup> | Less improvement in β-cell function among Asian populations compared with populations that were not Asian<sup>117</sup> | First-choice second-line therapy in combination with metformin for asymptomatic HbA<sub>1c</sub> > 9%<sup>117</sup> | Second-line or third-line therapy in combination with metformin<sup>116</sup> | Second-line or third-line therapy for individuals with a compelling need to minimize hypoglycaemia<sup>119</sup> |
|                          | No effect on cardiovascular events<sup>224,226</sup> | Higher insulin resistance associated with less-effective glucose lowering among people of European origin (no studies in Asian people)<sup>185</sup> | | | | |
Table 2 (cont.) | Characteristics and consensus recommendations for the use of non-insulin glucose-lowering drugs in Indian and Chinese populations

| Drug class       | Drug action                                                                 | Glycaemic lowering                                                                 | Other characteristics                                                                 | Consensus guidelines and recommendations                                                                 |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Thiazolidinediones | Decrease insulin resistance by activating the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ, stimulating differentiation of pre-adipocytes to adipocytes, shifting adipose tissue distribution from visceral to subcutaneous, ameliorating glucolipotoxicity and inflammation<sup>42</sup> | Probably efficacious in Asian people<sup>119</sup>, but no studies comparing effects with people who were not Asian | Improved insulin sensitivity and pancreatic β-cell function among Indian<sup>119</sup> and Chinese people<sup>91</sup> | Second-choice second-line therapy in combination with metformin for asymptomatic HbA<sub>1c</sub> >9%<sup>217</sup> |
|                  |                                                                                |                                                                                      | Might reduce the risk of cardiovascular complications in Chinese people<sup>19</sup> | Second-line or third-line therapy in combination with metformin<sup>142</sup> |
|                  |                                                                                |                                                                                      |                                                                                       | Second-line or third-line therapy if cost is a major issue or if there is a compelling need to minimize hypoglycaemia<sup>18</sup> |
|                  | Increased risk of heart failure, fracture and bladder carcinoma (pioglitazone)<sup>95</sup> | Less efficacious in preventing T2DM among south Asian people than in people who were not Asian<sup>192</sup> | Does not increase the risk of hospitalization due to heart failure among east Asian people<sup>49</sup> |                                                                                                                   |
| SGLT2 inhibitors  | Lower blood levels of glucose by inhibiting SGLT2-mediated glucose reabsorption in the kidney in an insulin-independent manner<sup>31,122</sup> | Similar<sup>102,103</sup> or more efficacious glucose lowering in Asian people compared with people who were not Asian | Similar<sup>102,103</sup> or more efficacious weight lowering in Asian people versus people who were not Asian | First-choice second-line therapy in combination with metformin for asymptomatic HbA<sub>1c</sub> >9%<sup>233,234</sup> |
|                  |                                                                                |                                                                                      | Consider first-line monotherapy if a patient has intolerance or a contraindication to metformin<sup>15</sup> | Second-line or third-line therapy in combination with metformin<sup>142</sup> |
|                  |                                                                                |                                                                                      | Recommended in addition to metformin for patients with established ASCVD, high risk of ASCVD or chronic kidney disease | Second-line or third-line therapy for individuals with a compelling need to minimize weight gain or promote weight loss<sup>18</sup> |
|                  | Reduce weight<sup>234-238</sup>, blood pressure<sup>11</sup>, all-cause and cardiovascular mortality<sup>236-238</sup>, non-fatal myocardial infarction<sup>236-238</sup>, heart failure hospitalization<sup>237-239</sup>, progression of diabetic kidney disease<sup>234-237,402</sup>, increased risk of diabetic ketoacidosis<sup>241,242</sup>, genital mycotic infections<sup>122</sup>, lower-extremity amputations<sup>241,242</sup> | Similar cardiovascular risk reduction in Asian people compared with white people<sup>202</sup> | SGLT2 inhibitors are preferred over GLP1 receptor agonists for patients with heart failure<sup>143</sup> |                                                                                                                   |
| GLP1 receptor agonists | Activate the GLP1 receptor with multiple actions, including delayed absorption of nutrients in the stomach and small bowel, decreased appetite and food consumption, weight loss, glucose-dependent insulin secretion and suppression of glucagon, resulting in reduction in hyperglycaemia<sup>28,244</sup> | Similarly efficacious glucose lowering in Asian people and people who are not Asian<sup>16</sup> | Greater cardiovascular risk reduction in Asian people compared with white people<sup>203</sup> | Second-choice second-line therapy in combination with metformin for asymptomatic HbA<sub>1c</sub> >9%<sup>119</sup> |
|                  |                                                                                |                                                                                      | Second-line or third-line therapy in combination with metformin<sup>142</sup> | Second-line or third-line therapy for individuals with a compelling need to minimize weight gain or promote weight loss<sup>18</sup> |
|                  |                                                                                |                                                                                      |                                                                                       | Recommended independently of HbA<sub>1c</sub> for patients with established ASCVD (or indicators of high ASCVD risk) |
European origin without obesity, and less effectively among women of European origin with obesity, compared with thiazolidinediones \(^{25,26}\). These findings have not been replicated in Indian and Chinese populations.

**Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors**

DPP4 inhibitors lower blood levels of glucose by restoring first-phase insulin secretion in a glucose-dependent manner and suppressing abnormally elevated glucagon levels \(^{175,176}\), thus targeting two crucial issues in T2DM and IGT \(^{177}\). These features of DPP4 inhibitors are especially important in the context of β-cell dysfunction among Indian and Chinese people. Although the initial uptake of DPP4 inhibitors was slow in China \(^{168,170}\) and India \(^{168}\), their popularity seems to be rapidly increasing \(^{179,180}\), especially with the availability of low-cost teneligliptin in India \(^{180}\). In the Trial Evaluating Cardiovascular Outcomes with Sitagliptin study, east Asian people had the greatest initial reduction in HbA\(_1c\) (−0.60%) compared with other groups (≤0.55% reduction) \(^{182}\). This greater effect in east Asian people has been attributed to the restoration of first-phase insulin secretion \(^{190}\). Lower levels of insulin resistance among east Asian people \(^{113,112,114}\) relative to people of European origin \(^{110}\) could also have a role, as insulin resistance is associated with less-effective glucose lowering with DPP4 inhibitors among people of European origin \(^{183}\). Further research is required to confirm whether these findings extend to Indian people \(^{184}\). A meta-analysis that compared randomized controlled trials including mostly Asian participants with trials that included mostly participants who were not Asian found that DPP4 inhibitors lowered HbA\(_1c\) better in Asian people than in people who were not Asian (between-group HbA\(_1c\) difference −0.26%, 95% CI −0.36% to −0.17%) \(^{185}\). Another meta-analysis that compared randomized controlled trials that included mostly white participants with trials that included mostly Asian participants reported similar HbA\(_1c\) lowering in white people (−0.49%, 95% CI −0.59% to −0.38%) and Asian people (−0.62%, 95% CI −0.8% to −0.45%) \(^{186}\), but a sensitivity analysis restricted to studies 12–52 weeks in duration showed greater HbA\(_1c\) lowering among Asian people (mostly east Asian people; −0.73%, 95% CI −0.88% to −0.57%) versus white people (−0.49%, 95% CI −0.59% to −0.39%) \(^{187}\).

An additional meta-analysis reported better glucose lowering with DPP4 inhibitors in Asian people compared with white people (between-group HbA\(_1c\) difference −0.18%, 95% CI −0.32% to −0.04%), but improvements in HOMA-β were smaller in Asian people than in white people \(^{188}\). Similar findings have been described with DPP4 inhibitor and metformin combination therapy \(^{189}\).

**Thiazolidinediones**

A meta-analysis reported that pioglitazone and rosiglitazone are efficacious in lowering HbA\(_1c\) among Chinese, Indian and other Asian people \(^{189}\), but, to our knowledge, there are no studies comparing Chinese, Indian or Asian people in general with people who are not Asian. In a UK study of 177 people of Indian descent with T2DM, rosiglitazone reduced HbA\(_1c\) by 1.16% compared with placebo and improved insulin sensitivity and β-cell function \(^{190}\). Similar findings have been described with pioglitazone in China \(^{191}\). In the multi-ethnic Diabetes Reduction Assessment with Ramipril and Rosiglitazone Medication trial, rosiglitazone was less efficacious in reducing the incidence of diabetes mellitus in south Asian people than in people of other ethnicities \(^{192}\). An international observational study reported that pioglitazone and rosiglitazone are associated with increased hospitalization for heart failure in Australia and Canada, but not in east Asian countries or regions \(^{193}\). In European populations, pioglitazone reduces all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction and stroke among people with T2DM \(^{184,185}\), especially those with insulin resistance \(^{186}\). In China, an observational...
study suggested that pioglitazone was associated with a 30% reduction in myocardial infarction, heart failure and stroke. Pioglitazone is commonly used in China (5.6–17.0% of people with T2DM who are treated with oral agents) and India (regularly prescribed by 56.2% of Indian physicians).

SGLT2 inhibitors
Several meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials have shown that SGLT2 inhibitors are probably similarly or more effective in reducing blood levels of glucose and body weight, and similarly effective in reducing the incidence of adverse cardiovascular events in Asian people and people who were not Asian or white people. It is unknown whether these effects might differ between Indian people and Chinese people. Although SGLT2 inhibitors are widely recommended among Asian populations, data on the real-world use of SGLT2 inhibitors in China and India are scarce. In Hong Kong, the use of SGLT2 inhibitors increased rapidly from 2015 to 2016 (0.04% to 0.4% of non-insulin glucose-lowering medications). In India, the availability of low-cost remogliflozin and future generic options will probably expand the uptake of this class.

GLP1 receptor agonists
In a secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial, the glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) receptor agonist liraglutide lowered blood levels of glucose by a similar degree among south Asian people and Western European people. A meta-analysis comparing 19 studies including predominantly white participants with three studies including predominantly Asian participants found that the glucose-lowering efficacy of GLP1 receptor agonists was similar across both groups. A similar meta-analysis reported that the glucose-lowering effectiveness seemed to be similar among Asian people and people who are not Asian regardless of baseline weight.

Previous meta-analyses comparing cardiovascular risk reduction among Asian people and people who are not Asian reported mixed findings, but an updated meta-analysis of six cardiovascular outcome trials for GLP1 receptor agonists reported that reductions in major adverse cardiovascular events were greater among Asian people than in white people. Emerging evidence suggests that GLP1 receptor agonists could be more effective when initiated early in the course of disease among people with adequate β-cell function.

Conclusions
Although the massively growing burden of T2DM in India and China has been strongly driven by rapid urbanization, these populations also have an inherent, shared predisposition to deficient insulin secretion. This susceptibility confers an increased risk of severe insulin-deficient diabetes mellitus occurring even among lean people at young ages <40 years. Other subtypes of T2DM also seem to present earlier in life and with lower β-cell function, lower insulin resistance and lower BMI among Chinese people and most Indian people compared with European people. These distinctions are key to deciphering the unique responses to glucose-lowering medications among Indian and Chinese populations. In particular, incretin-based therapies have a key role in enhancing pancreatic β-cell function in these populations, providing effective glucose lowering with DPP4 inhibitors and providing highly effective glucose lowering with GLP1 receptor agonists if initiated early in the course of disease when β-cell function is still fairly well preserved. Informed by these differences, future precision medicine strategies should be adapted, recalibrated and rigorously validated among Indian and Chinese populations. In particular, better treatments tailored to phenotype are required for those diagnosed at the youngest ages, and further work is required to identify effective early combination therapies. Future research will need to integrate basic sciences, clinical, epidemiological, genetic and other sources of data to better characterize and treat the unique pathophysiology and phenotypes of T2DM in India and China, and throughout their global diasporas.
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The image contains a page of text that appears to be an article or a section of a book, discussing various studies and findings related to diabetes treatment and management. The text is dense and technical, typical of a scientific or medical journal. It references multiple studies and researchers, indicating a comprehensive review of the topic. The page contains numerous references to other works, suggesting a thorough analysis of the subject matter. The text discusses the effectiveness and impacts of different treatments on diabetes, including the use of sitagliptin, metformin, pioglitazone, and other medications. It also touches on the role of lifestyle changes and genetic factors in the management of type 2 diabetes.

The text is written in a formal, scholarly style, typical of scientific literature. It is structured in a way that advances the argument or findings of the article, with clear headings and subheadings to guide the reader through the content. The references are cited in a standard academic format, indicating a rigorous approach to the review of the literature.

Overall, the page is a valuable resource for anyone interested in the latest developments in diabetes management, providing insights into the current state of research and future directions in the field.
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