Uremia Preventing Osmotic Demyelination Syndrome Despite Rapid Hyponatremia Correction
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Abstract

Hyponatremia is the most common electrolyte abnormality encountered both in the inpatient and outpatient clinical settings in the United States. Rapid correction leads to a deranged cerebral osmotic gradient causing osmotic demyelination syndrome. Coexisting azotemia is considered to be protective against osmotic demyelination syndrome owing to its counteractive effect on osmolarity change that occurs with rapid hyponatremia correction. In this article, we report the case of a 37-year-old male who presented with altered mentation, acute azotemia, and severe electrolyte derangements, with serum blood urea nitrogen 160 mg/dL, creatinine 8.4 mg/dL, sodium 107 mEq/L, potassium 6.1 mEq/L, bicarbonate 7 mEq/L, and anion gap of 33. Given refractory hyperkalemia with electrocardiogram changes, emergent dialysis was performed. Despite our efforts to avoid rapid correction, serum sodium was corrected to 124 mEq/L and blood urea nitrogen decreased to 87 mg/dL at the end of the 5-hour dialysis session. Fortunately, hospital course and 4-week post-discharge clinic follow-ups were uncomplicated with no neurological sequela confirmed by neurological examination and magnetic resonance imaging.
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Introduction

Osmotic demyelination syndrome (ODS), formerly called as central pontine myelinolysis from rapid hyponatremia correction, was first described by Adams et al.1 Hyponatremia is the most common electrolyte abnormality encountered in the clinical practice with a reported prevalence of 9% to 31% for a serum sodium (Na) <130 mEq/L and 0.03% to 0.49% for serum Na <116 mEq/L.2,3 A study with National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data reported a prevalence of 1.72% among the United States general population.4 The annual health care burden of hyponatremia is estimated to be 1.6 billion to 3.6 billion dollars.5 Human brains adaptation to reduce the risk of cerebral edema from hypotonic hyponatremia itself makes it vulnerable to osmotic stress that develops from rapid hyponatremia correction. The incidence of ODS is reported to be very low at 0.5% to 2% in the general population and 10% among patients undergoing liver transplantation.6,7 Most occurred among subjects with a serum sodium <120 mEq/L and a sodium correction of >10 to 12 mEq/L in the initial 24 hours of presentation.8-12 Despite its low incidence, given significant morbidity and mortality associated, it is still recommended to avoid the rapid correction of hyponatremia. The recommended rate of hyponatremia correction is 4 to 6 mEq/L in 24 hours. Among subjects with severe symptomatic hyponatremia, this correction should be achieved in the initial 4 to 6 hours with a maximum correction rate not exceeding 8 mEq/L within 24 hours.13,14 We hereby report a case of azotemia with severe hyponatremia in which sodium correction occurred rapidly devoid of any neurological sequelae.

Case Report

A 37-year-old man with a past medical history of pancreatitis, type 2 diabetes mellitus on insulin, and ulcerative colitis was brought to the emergency department with complaints of nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea for a 1-week duration
followed by anuria for 48 hours prior to this presentation. Vital signs on presentation include a temperature of 36.3°C, blood pressure of 103/60 mm Hg, heart rate of 79 beats per minute, and respiratory rate of 16 breaths per minute, saturating at 100% on room air. On examination, the patient appeared somnolent with dry mucous membranes and loss of skin turgor. Limited neurologic examination was unremarkable. Comprehensive serum drug screen was negative. Laboratory data are relevant for serum blood urea nitrogen 160 mg/dL, creatinine 8.4 mg/dL, Na 107 mEq/L, potassium 6.1 mEq/L, bicarbonate 7 mEq/L, anion gap of 33, glucose 169 mg/dL, and measured serum osmolality of 297 mOsm/L. Electrocardiogram showed normal sinus rhythm with peak T-waves. Urine studies revealed Na <10 mEq/L, creatinine 286 mg/dL, and osmolality of 311 mOsm/L, with hyaline casts and fractional excretion of sodium of 0.6%. No prior history of renal disease noted, and renal ultrasound was unremarkable. Given refractory and worsening hyperkalemia, worsening uremia, and acidosis, emergent dialysis was planned. However, the lowest sodium bath available for dialysis being 130 mEq, 0.45% normal saline was infused during the dialysis session to prevent rapid sodium correction. He received one session of hemodialysis and serum Na increased to 124 mEq/L and blood urea nitrogen decreased to 87 mg/dL during the 5-hour dialysis session. The patient’s mentation gradually improved, fully alert, awake, and oriented without any immediate neurological deficits. Detailed neurological examination performed prior to discharge was also unremarkable, including normal cranial nerve examination, muscle strength, and deep tendon reflexes, sensory function, gait, and coordination. Both T1- and T2-weighted imaging sequences on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan performed 7 days after the rapid correction event was unremarkable. The patient’s neurological examination remained unremarkable on his 4-week posthospital clinic follow-up.

Discussion
Osmotic demyelination syndrome or osmotic myelinolysis was first described in alcoholics and in malnourished by Adams et al in 1959; however, the etiological role of sodium was not identified at that time. The etiological role of sodium was first described in alcoholics and in malnourished by Osmotic demyelination syndrome or osmotic myelinolysis correction. Absence of MRI findings and delayed neurologic examination in that study, it would be a dangerous assumption to conclude that uremia had prevented ODS among all their study subjects. Fortunately, our case did not have any neurologic sequelae confirmed by delayed neurological testing and MRI imaging. Nevertheless, not all patients with azotemia and hyponatremia can be protective against ODS and clinical models to pinpoint at-risk populations for ODS are not yet developed. ODS among uremic subjects with rapid sodium correction has been reported and confirmed by delayed neurologic examination and MRI. Absence of MRI findings and delayed neurologic examination in that study, it would be a dangerous assumption to conclude that uremia had prevented ODS among all their study subjects. Fortunately, our case did not have any neurologic sequelae confirmed by delayed neurological testing and MRI imaging. Nevertheless, not all patients with azotemia and hyponatremia can be protective against ODS and clinical models to pinpoint at-risk populations for ODS are not yet developed. ODS among uremic subjects with rapid sodium correction has been reported and confirmed by delayed neurologic examination and MRI. Absence of MRI findings and delayed neurologic examination in that study, it would be a dangerous assumption to conclude that uremia prevents ODS, as this might lead a false sense of assurance among the physicians and not to follow any preventive measures to avoid rapid sodium correction. Given the detrimental effects of ODS and lack of sustained evidence on the protective effect of azotemia, physicians should follow all possible precautions to avoid rapid sodium correction. Few such measures include reduction of dialysate sodium,
infusion of hypotonic saline with dialysis, increasing dialysis duration, or perform intermittent short duration sessions if clinically feasible.

Osmotic demyelination syndrome is a rare preventable complication of rapid hyponatremia correction and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Though azotemia was proposed to be protective, not all previously reported cases with azotemia were fortunate enough to be exempt from developing ODS. Given the detrimental effects of this preventable condition, until further evidence, physicians should still follow all the necessary steps to avoid rapid hyponatremia correction even among cases with concurrent azotemia.
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