Palmitoylation is required for the production of a soluble multimeric Hedgehog protein complex and long-range signaling in vertebrates
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Hedgehog (Hh) signaling plays a major role in multiple aspects of embryonic development. A key issue in Hh signaling is to elucidate the molecular mechanism by which a Hh protein morphogen gradient is formed despite its membrane association. In this study, we used a combination of genetic, cellular, and biochemical approaches to address the role of lipid modifications in long-range vertebrate Hh signaling. Our molecular analysis of knockout mice deficient in Skn, the murine homolog of the Drosophila ski gene, which catalyzes Hh palmitoylation, and gene-targeted mice producing a nonpalmitoylated form of Shh indicates that Hh palmitoylation is essential for its activity as well as the generation of a protein gradient in the developing embryos. Furthermore, our biochemical data show that Hh lipid modifications are required for producing a soluble multimeric protein complex, which constitutes the major active component for Hh signaling. These results suggest that soluble Hh multimeric complex travels in the morphogenetic field to activate Hh signaling in distant Hh-responsive cells.
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Hedgehog (Hh) signaling plays a key role in inductive interactions in various tissues during vertebrate development, and deregulation of the pathway in human is associated with various congenital anomalies and tumors [Ingham and McMahon 2001; McMahon et al. 2003]. Insights emerging from classical embryology combined with molecular characterization of Hh signaling have provided a paradigm for understanding the fundamental principles of vertebrate development. In many places, while Sonic hedgehog (Shh) mRNA is localized to signaling centers [Echelard et al. 1993; Riddle et al. 1993], a Shh protein gradient, emanating from the signaling centers, is generated [Gritti-Linde et al. 2001], consistent with its role in long-range signaling in a dose-dependent manner. For example, Shh expression in the notochord and floor plate patterns the ventral neural tube as well as the sclerotome of the somites [Echelard et al. 1993; Fan and Tessier-Lavigne 1994; Marti et al. 1995; Roelink et al. 1995; Chiang et al. 1996]. Similarly, Shh expression in the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA) specifies digit identity along the anteroposterior axis of the developing limbs [Riddle et al. 1993; Chiang et al. 1996; Yang et al. 1997; Lewis et al. 2001]. Elucidation of the molecular mechanism by which a Hh protein gradient is generated is key to understanding how a single signal elicits multiple responses in a temporally and spatially specific manner. In this regard, a central question in Hh signaling is to understand how membrane-anchored Hh ligand, due to lipid modifications, travels in the morphogenetic field to generate a protein gradient. Likely, novel cellular mechanisms are used in this process.

Among the many striking features of Hh signaling is the unusual dual lipid modification [Porter et al. 1996; Pepinsky et al. 1998], which appears to be critical for proper Hh signaling. A Hh precursor molecule undergoes autoproteolytic cleavage to generate an N-terminal frag-
ment \( \text{Hh-N} \), the mature form of which \( \text{denoted as Hh-Np} \) p indicates processed) becomes membrane-anchored presumably due to the addition of a palmitoyl moiety to its N terminus \( \text{Pepinsky et al. 1998} \) and a cholesterol group to the C terminus \( \text{Porter et al. 1996} \). Although the cleavage event is a prerequisite for cholesterylization, in vitro studies using purified proteins also inferred that cholesterol modification may precede palmitoylation during Hh protein maturation \( \text{Pepinsky et al. 1998} \). Lipid modification could potentially affect the in vivo biological activities of Hh protein in at least two ways. Overexpression studies suggest that lipid-modified Hh proteins have higher activities than nonlipid-modified Hh proteins \( \text{Kohtz et al. 2001; Lee et al. 2001} \), although the molecular mechanism underlying the increased activity is not known. Furthermore, membrane association of the Hh protein could lead to altered protein distribution.

To directly address the role played by cholesterol modification in Hh signaling during vertebrate development, mice were generated by gene targeting in which only the N-terminal fragment \( \text{Shh-N} \) lacking cholesterol was produced \( \text{Lewis et al. 2001} \). These animals exhibited multiple phenotypes due to defective Hh signaling. Remarkably, in the limbs, digits with anterior identities did not form due to reduced long-range Hh signaling, whereas short-range Hh signaling to induce posterior digits appeared to be intact. This unexpected finding highlighted the importance of cholesterol modification in long-range Hh signaling, which stands in contrast to the traditional view that a membrane-anchored protein is unlikely to be transported in the morphogenetic field. The biochemical mechanism by which lipid-modified Hh protein is transported is not known. It is also not clear to what extent Shh-N protein produced in the \( \text{Shh-N} \) animals is palmitoylated, hence the relative phenotypic contributions due to lack of cholesterol or palmitate cannot be assessed.

Less has been revealed with respect to the role of Hh protein palmitoylation during vertebrate development. Although overexpression studies in mice suggested that Hh protein lacking palmitoylation is less active in some tissues \( \text{Kohtz et al. 2001; Lee et al. 2001} \), the in vivo function of Hh palmitoylation in vertebrates has not been fully investigated. Instead, significant insights into the role of palmitoylation in Hh signaling came from the identification of the \( \text{Drosophila sightless/skinny hedgehog} \) gene \( \text{denoted as ski in this study; Amanai and Jiang 2001; Chamoun et al. 2001; Lee and Treisman 2001; Micchelli et al. 2002} \), which was shown to be involved in Hh palmitoylation. Fly mutants deficient in both maternal and zygotic \( \text{ski} \) function die essentially reflecting a difference in the biochemical properties of Hh proteins and/or a differential tissue response to Hh signaling in diverse species.

To establish the role of Hh palmitoylation during vertebrate development, here we report the molecular analysis of knockout mice deficient in the murine homolog of the \( \text{Drosophila ski} \) gene and gene-targeted mice producing a nonpalmitoylated form of Shh. We also present biochemical studies that provide significant insights into the role of lipid modifications in generating a soluble form of Hh multimeric protein complex.
and suggest a model of how a Hh protein gradient is formed.

Results

Vertebrate Hedgehog proteins with differential lipid modification exhibit different activities in vivo

An important issue in understanding the roles of lipid modification in vertebrate Hh signaling is whether various lipid-modified forms of Hh protein possess different biological activities in vivo. We addressed this issue by using a transgenic approach in mice in order to achieve better control of expression levels. cDNAs encoding different forms of murine Hh protein—including (1) wild-type Shh; (2) ShhC25S, which lacks palmitoylation; and (3) Shh-NC25S, which undergoes neither palmitoylation nor cholesterylation—were expressed throughout the mesenchyme of developing mouse limb buds under the control of the Prx1 promoter/enhancer (Logan et al. 2002). Purified insert DNA at the concentration of 0.5 ng/µL from all three constructs was injected into the pronuclei of fertilized eggs. Embryos were collected at 18.5 days postcoitum (dpc), and skeletal preparations were performed to assess the digit pattern. Transgenic mice expressing wild-type Shh displayed digit duplication in both forelimbs (Fig. 1B) and hindlimbs (Fig. 1F). Slight variation exists from animal to animal, with total number of digits ranging from 14 to 16 in both forelimbs (Fig. 1C) and hindlimbs (Fig. 1G), but digit duplication beyond seven per limb was never observed, and at birth the mutant animals exhibited severe short-limb dwarfism (Fig. 2B), remarkably similar to that of Ihh mutants (Fig. 2D; St-Jacques et al. 1999).

Homozygous Skn embryos can be clearly identified at 10.5 dpc by their smaller size and holoprosencephaly (Fig. 2B), a hallmark of defective Shh signaling, although these defects are less pronounced than those in Shh mutants (Fig. 2D; Chiang et al. 1996). As development proceeds, at 13.5 dpc obvious shortening of the limbs was observed, and at birth the mutant animals exhibited severe short-limb dwarfism (Fig. 2B), remarkably similar to that of Ihh mutants (Fig. 2D; St-Jacques et al. 1999).

Homozygous Skn mutants developed to term but died soon after birth. Consistent with the characteristic phenotypes indicative of defective Hh signaling, expression of Hh target genes, such as Ptc1 (Fig. 2I,F), Goodrich et al. 1996) and Hip1 (Fig. 2I,F; Chuang and McMahon 1999), is greatly reduced in Skn mutants, whereas Shh (Fig. 2E,F,F) and Ihh (Fig. 2F) expression levels cannot be distinguished from those of their wild-type littermates (Fig. 2E,F,E,F). These results indicate that Skn is required for wild-type levels of both Shh and Ihh signaling during mammalian development.

We focused our analysis on the developing neural tube and limb, where Hh signaling has been extensively studied and both short- and long-range signaling are used in patterning these structures (McMahon et al. 2003). In the ventral spinal cord, a combinatorial code of homeodomain transcription factors defines and specifies five progenitor cell types (Vp0, Vp1, Vp2, pMN, and Vp3; Fig. 3; Briscoe and Ericson 1999, 2001). These progenitors subsequently generate five distinct neuronal types (v0, v1, v2, MN, and v3). Previous studies suggest that expression of Shh in the notochord acts short-range to induce the floor plate (Le Douarin and Halpern 2000; Placzek et al. 2000). Subsequently, Hh signaling from the notochord and floor plate acts long-range to regulate expression of homeodomain transcription factors essential for proper generation of ventral motor neurons and interneurons (Briscoe and Ericson 2001). Shh mutant embryos generate v0, v1, and occasionally v2 interneuron precursors at forelimb levels (Pierani et al. 1999; Litingtung and Chiang 2000), indicating that Shh signaling is required for the generation of the Vp2, pMN, and Vp3 progenitor pools. Interestingly, Smo (Lossen et al. 2000), which is essential for all Hh signaling, is required for the specification
of all ventral neural progenitor populations, suggesting that 
Ihh patterns some ventral cell types in Shh mutants
(Wijgerde et al. 2002). Hh signaling from the notochord
and floor plate is also required for expanding sclerotomal
fates (Fan et al. 1995; Chiang et al. 1996). In Skn mu-
tants, Shh is expressed in the notochord, but Shh [Fig.
2F] and HNF3β [Fig. 2R; Ang et al. 1993; Sasaki and
Hogan 1993; data not shown] are not detected in the
ventral midline of the neural tube except at the more
rostral and caudal regions where residual Shh and
HNF3β expression in the ventral midline could be de-
tected [Fig. 2R, arrows]. However, no morphologically
distinct floor plate could be identified at all axial levels.

These results indicate that Skn is required for short-
range Shh signaling in the axial midline. To examine
whether dorsoventral patterning of the neural tube,
which requires long-range Shh signaling in a dose-depen-
dent manner, is affected, we probed the expression of
molecular markers that define different progenitor do-
mains as well as markers for the differentiated interneu-
rons and motorneurons. For instance, the expression do-
main of Dbx1, the ventral boundary of which marks the
progenitor domain of v0 interneurons (Fig. 3A), is shifted
toward the ventral midline in Skn mutants [Fig. 3B].
Nkx6.1, which is expressed in regions encompassing the
progenitor domains of v2 interneurons, motor neurons,
Figure 2. *Skn* and *Shh*\(^{c25s}\) mutants exhibit multiple defects due to reduced Hh signaling. (A–D) External morphology of wild-type, *Skn*\(^{+/--}\), *Shh*\(^{c25s}\), and *Shh*\(^{+/--}\) embryos at 10.5 dpc. All views are lateral. (A’–D’) Skeleton of wild-type, *Skn*\(^{+/--}\), *Shh*\(^{c25s}\), and *Ihh*\(^{+/--}\) 18.5-dpc embryos stained with Alcian blue and Alizarin red. All views are lateral. Embryos in A–D and A’–D’ were photographed at the same magnification, respectively. (E–V, H11032–J11032) Whole-mount in situ hybridization, using digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes on wild-type, *Skn*\(^{+/--}\), and *Shh*\(^{c25s}\) embryos at 10.5 dpc with the exceptions of N (8.5 dpc) and O (9.5 dpc). All views are lateral. Expression of *Hip1* is known to be completely dependent on Hh signaling (Chuang and McMahon 1999), whereas *Ptc1* expression is initially Hh independent but is strongly up-regulated upon Hh signal transduction (Goodrich et al. 1996). Arrows in R point to residual *HNF3β* expression in the more rostral and caudal part of the ventral midline of the neural tube. Expression of *Myogenin* and *MyoD* (Tajbakhsh et al. 1997) in *Skn*\(^{+/--}\) mutants cannot be readily distinguished from that in wild-type embryos. Embryos in E–G, H–J, H’–J’, K–M, Q and R, S and T, and U and V were photographed at the same magnification, respectively. (E’–G’) Isotopic in situ hybridization using \(^{33}P\)-UTP-labeled riboprobes (pink) on paraffin sections of wild-type, *Skn*\(^{+/--}\), and *Shh*\(^{c25s}\) 10.5-dpc embryos at the forelimb/heart level. (E’–G’) Isotopic in situ hybridization using \(^{33}P\)-UTP-labeled riboprobes (pink) on paraffin sections of proximal tibia of wild-type and femur of *Skn*\(^{+/--}\) 18.5-dpc embryos. Expression of *Ptc1* in the chondrocytes is regulated by *Ihh* and not *Shh* (St-Jacques et al. 1999). [Nt] Neural tube, [fp] floor plate, [nc] notochord.
and v3 interneurons in wild-type embryos (Fig. 3E), is barely detectable in Skn−/− embryos (Fig. 3F). Meanwhile, the expression domains of other progenitor markers are either shifted toward the ventral midline (e.g., Dbx2,
Pax6, and Pax7; data not shown) or are absent (e.g., Nkx2.2; data not shown). These results suggest that most v2 interneurons, motor neurons, and v3 interneurons are not present in Skn mutants. Consistent with this conclusion, expression of Chx10 (a marker of v2 interneurons; Fig. 3N), Islet1 (a marker for motor neurons; Fig. 3R), and Sim1 (a marker for v3 interneurons; data not shown) is missing in Skn mutants, whereas expression of Evx1/2 (a marker for v0 interneurons; data not shown) and En1 (a marker for v1 interneurons; Fig. 3J) is shifted toward the ventral midline in Skn mutants. These results indicate that Skn is required for long-range Shh signaling in the neural tube, and the phenotype in the ventral tube is quite similar to that in Shh mutants (Fig. 3D,H,L,P,T; data not shown). Expression of the sclerotomal marker Pax1 (Deutsch et al. 1988) is markedly reduced in Skn mutants (Fig. 2L), indicating that Skn is also required for long-range signaling from the notochord and floor plate to expand sclerotomal fates.

We next investigated the role of Skn in limb patterning. Here it appears that both Shh and Ihh signaling are affected in Skn mutants, albeit to different degrees. The Skn mutant skeleton (Figs. 2B, 4C,C/H11032) is remarkably similar to that in Ihh mutants (Fig. 2D/H11032). The Skn mutant limbs displayed severe dwarfism, with markedly reduced chondrocyte proliferation [Fig. 4D,D’] reminiscent of Ihh mutants (St-Jacques et al. 1999). In contrast, the digit defect in Skn mutants [Fig. 4C,C’] due to reduced Shh signaling from the ZPA is not as pronounced as that in Shh mutants [Fig. 4G,G’; Chiang et al. 1996; Kraus et al. 2001]. It has been shown that the anterior digit 1 still forms in the complete absence of Shh [Kraus et al. 2001], indicating that specification of digits 2 through 5 requires long-range Shh signaling. In gene-targeted mice expressing the N-terminal fragment of Shh (Shh-N) lacking cholesterol modification, digits 1, 4, and 5 are specified but digits 2 and 3 are missing [Lewis et al. 2001]. Interestingly, when the Ptc1 dosage is reduced in the limbs of Shh-N embryos, five digits (5, 4, 2, 1, and 1) are generated [Lewis et al. 2001]. These results were interpreted as a requirement of cholesterol modification for long-range signaling in the limb. In addition, reducing Ptc1 levels leads to an anterior enlargement of the Shh-N signaling domain, presumably due to decreased sequestration of Shh-N by Ptc1 [Lewis et al. 2001]. Because Shh-N may be less efficiently palmitoylated (Pepinsky et al. 1998), the phenotypes in Shh-N mice could be attributed to a combined effect of lacking both cholesterol and, to some extent, palmitoylation. The Skn mutants provide an opportunity to directly test the role of palmitoylation, Hh multimerization, and signaling in long-range Shh signaling.

Figure 4. Skn and ShhC25S mutants have defective long-range Hedgehog signaling in the limb. (A,A’,C,C’,E,E’,G,G’) Fore- and hindlimb skeletons of wild-type, Skn−/−, ShhC25S, and Shh−/− 18.5-dpc embryos stained with Alcian blue and Alizarin red. All views are dorsal. The axis for orientation of the specimens is posterior on the right, anterior on the left, proximal downward, and distal upward. (B,B’,D,D’,F,F’) Hematoxylin-and-eosin-stained sections through the distal ulnar and tibia of wild-type, Skn−/−, ShhC25S, and Shh−/− 18.5-dpc embryos. Arrows indicate immature chondrocytes. (H–U) Whole-mount in situ hybridization, using digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes on wild-type and Skn−/− limbs at 10.5 dpc. Bmp4 expression in Skn−/− limbs cannot be distinguished from that in wild-type limbs (Jones et al. 1991).
torylation in Shh signaling in the limb and also allow us to assess the relative contributions of cholesterol and palmitate modifications in Hh signaling. In Skn mutants, like Shh-N mutants [Lewis et al. 2001], no proximal-distal truncation of limb elements, which occurs in the absence of Shh [Chiang et al. 1996], was observed. However, only four digits [most likely digits 1 and 3–5] form [Fig. 4C,C’]. In most Skn mutants, fusion between digits 3 and 4 in the forelimb [Fig. 4C] was also observed. These results suggest that in Skn mutants, Shh still possesses a “sufficient” level of posterior signaling activity that can specify the most posterior digit 5. However, long-range signaling to the anterior regions to specify digit 2 [and possibly digit 3] is affected. A molecular analysis of markers indicates that expression of Hh-responsive genes, such as Ptc1 [Fig. 4P], Hip1 [Fig. 4Q], and Gli1 [Fig. 4R], is greatly reduced in Shn limb buds, whereas Shh expression [Fig. 4O] is unchanged. Fgf4 expression in the apical ectodermal ridge [AER; Niswander and Martin 1992; Suzuki et al. 1992] is more posteriorly restricted in Skn mutants [Fig. 4S] due to anterior truncation of Hh signaling.

Mouse embryos expressing Shh protein lacking palmitoylation (ShhC25S) exhibit similar defects to those in Skn-deficient embryos due to defective Shh signaling

If the phenotypes observed in Skn mutants are solely due to lack of palmitoylation of the Hh proteins, one would predict that mice expressing only the nonpalmitoylated form of Hh would exhibit similar phenotypes to those in Skn mutants. In contrast, if Shhn has targets other than Hh proteins, Skn mutants may exhibit a spectrum of phenotypes not present in mutants carrying the nonpalmitoylated form of Hh. To distinguish between these possibilities, we used gene targeting in mice to introduce a single base change into the Shh genomic locus [the resulting allele is designed ShhC25S; Supplementary Fig. 3]. This generated a Cys-to-Ser change at amino acid position 25 and abolished palmitoylation of Shh protein.

Homozygous ShhC25S embryos can be clearly identified at 10.5 dpc by their smaller size and holoprosencephaly [Fig. 2C], and their appearance is remarkably similar to that of Skn mutants [Fig. 2B]. As development proceeds, ShhC25S mutants continue to exhibit phenotypes resembling those of Skn mutants [Fig. 2B], with the exception that no obvious skeletal defects were observed in ShhC25S mutants [Fig. 2C’]. Similar to Skn mutants, homozygous ShhC25S mutants developed to term and died soon after birth.

We performed a detailed phenotypic and molecular analysis on the neural tube and limb of ShhC25S mutants, essentially identical to that described above for Skn mutants. In the neural tube of ShhC25S mutants, most v2 interneurons, motor neurons, and V3 interneurons are missing [Fig. 3C,G,K,O,S; data not shown], similar to that in Skn mutants. A direct comparison between Skn and ShhC25S mutants indicates that more v2 neurons are present in ShhC25S, suggesting that Skn neural tube defects are slightly more severe than those in ShhC25S mice and likely due to defective Ihh signaling in Skn mice. In the limb, only four digits [digits 1 and 3 through 5] form in ShhC25S mutants and fusion between digits 3 and 4 was also observed in most mutant forelimbs [Fig. 4E,E’], similarly to those in Skn mice. Animals expressing one copy of ShhC25S and one copy of a Shh null allele [Shhn] do not exhibit more severe phenotypes than those in homozygous ShhC25S mutants [data not shown]. Interestingly, in contrast to the Shh-N allele [Lewis et al. 2001], reducing the dosage of Ptci in ShhC25S or ShhC25S/Shhn mutants has no apparent effects on digit patterning [data not shown]. Taken together, these results indicate that Skn and ShhC25S share similar phenotypes due to defective Shh signaling and Hh proteins are likely the major targets of Skn during embryonic development.

Hedgehog protein is properly processed but its distribution is restricted mainly to the sites of synthesis in Skn and ShhC25S mutants

Because the Shh mRNA expression level appears to be normal in both Skn [Fig. 2F] and ShhC25S [Fig. 2G] mutants, we asked whether processing of Shh to generate a cholesterol-modified N-terminal fragment of Shh also occurs normally in Skn and ShhC25S mutants. Lysates from wild-type, Skn, and ShhC25S embryos collected at 10.5 dpc were separated by SDS-PAGE for Western blotting. Shh antibodies recognized the unprocessed as well as the processed form of Shh on Western blots, which ran at the same position as the cholesterol-modified N-terminal fragment in all lysates from wild-type, Skn, and ShhC25S embryos [data not shown; Fig. 6B, below]. This result suggests that Shh processing and cholesterol modification occur normally in Skn or ShhC25S mutants. In contrast, the addition of palmitate to Shhn protein fails to occur in mutant cells derived from Skn embryos [Fig. 6B, left [below]]. Skn is localized to the ER [revealed by anti-KDEL antibodies] in cultured cells [Fig. 5L], and Skn distribution largely overlaps with that of Shh [Fig. 5M,N,P]. Taken together, these results indicate that Skn likely functions as an acyltransferase on Hh proteins in transit through the secretory pathway.

As a first step toward understanding the molecular mechanisms that underlie the defects in Skn and ShhC25S mutants, we asked whether the distribution of Shh protein is affected in Skn or ShhC25S mutants. In wild-type mouse embryos at 10.5 dpc, Shh mRNA expression at the axial midline is confined to the notochord and floor plate [Fig. 2E’; Echelard et al. 1993]. In contrast, Shh protein can also be detected in Hh-responsive cells outside the notochord and floor plate [Fig. 5A; Gritlin-Linde et al. 2001]. Shh immunoreactivity is strong in the notochord and extends outward in a graded fashion [arrow in Fig. 5A], upward toward the ventral neural tube along the extracellular matrix [arrowhead in Fig. 5A] and downward toward the branchial pouch [Fig. 5A; Gritlin-Linde et al. 2001, Kawakami et al. 2002]. In Skn [Fig. 5B]
or ShhC25S [Fig. 5C] mutant embryos at this stage, Shh immunoreactivity is confined to the notochord (arrows in Fig. 5B,C), and immunoreactivity is barely detected in the neural tube. In the more rostral and caudal regions of the neural tube, Shh immunoreactivity is derived from both the notochord and floor plate. Similar patterns of Shh immunoreactivity extending from the notochord were observed on embryo sections where the floor plate has not yet been induced [Gritli-Linde et al. 2001], suggesting that Shh immunoreactivity in the neural tube is derived from both the notochord and floor plate. In sections of Skn−/− embryos, Shh immunoreactivity is mainly detected in the notochord (arrow), and no obvious extended staining is present. Similarly, Shh immunoreactivity is mainly detected in the notochord (arrow) of ShhC25S embryos. In this section (C), residual Shh immunoreactivity could be detected in the ventral midline of the neural tube (arrowhead), but no obvious extension of staining to the neural tube was noted. This is due to a more posterior extension of residual Shh mRNA expression in the ventral midline of the ShhC25S neural tube at the more rostral levels (data not shown) than that in Skn mutants [Fig. 2R]. Immunostaining was performed on multiple sections and on sections where Shh mRNA [Fig. 2E–G] or protein expression level in the notochord of Skn−/− and ShhC25S mutants was comparable to that of wild-type; lack of spreading of Shh immunoreactivity was still observed. No Shh immunoreactivity was detected in the notochord or neural tube of Shh−/− embryos, although Shh immunoreactivity is detected in the gut of Shh−/− embryos, which represents cross reactivity to Ihh epitopes (data not shown). (E,G) Isotopic in situ hybridization using 35P-UTP-labeled riboprobes [pink] on paraffin sections of wild-type and Skn−/− limbs at 10.5 dpc. F and H are adjacent sections to E and G and were stained with anti-Shh antibodies. The dotted pink lines in F and H represent the approximate domains of Shh mRNA expression as determined in E and G. Multiple limbs were sectioned, and in situ hybridization and immunostaining were performed on all sections from the limb. (I–L) CHO cells transiently transfected with a MYC-tagged Skn and stained with anti-MYC and anti-KDEL antibodies (ER marker). L is a merged image of I–K. (M–P) CHO cells cotransfected with expression constructs encoding MYC-SKN and Shh and stained with anti-MYC and anti-Shh antibodies. P is a merged image of M–O. Similar results were obtained by using HeLa cells (data not shown). [nt] Neural tube; [fp] floor plate; [nc] notochord.
the neural tube where residual Shh mRNA expression can be detected, Shh immunoreactivity is confined to the ventral midline, corresponding to a subdomain of Shh mRNA expression, and immunoreactivity is barely detected in other parts of the neural tube (arrowhead in Fig. 5C) data not shown). Similarly, Shh protein distribution in the limb of Skn mutants [Fig. 5H] is mainly confined to the site of Shh mRNA synthesis in the ZPA [Fig. 5G], whereas Shh immunoreactivity could be detected in Hh-responsive cells outside the ZPA [Fig. 5F] in wild-type embryos at 10.75 dpc. These results are unlikely due to reduced Shh protein expression in Skn and ShhC25S mutants because Shh mRNA expression [Figs. 2E,F, 4H,O] and protein expression by whole-mount immunostaining and Western blotting (data not shown) cannot be readily distinguished from that of wild-type embryos. Taken together, these results indicate that Shh lacking palmitoylation fails to generate a protein gradient in the morphogenetic field.

Hedgehog protein lacking palmitoylation can be targeted to lipid rafts but fails to generate a soluble multimeric protein complex

The observation that Hh protein lacking palmitoylation is not distributed to Hh-responsive cells at a distance from the Hh source prompted us to examine any changes in the biochemical properties of Hh protein in the absence of lipid modification, which could potentially underlie the observed defects. Previous studies suggest that Hh proteins are associated with lipid raft microdomains in the membrane [Rietveld et al. 1999]. In addition, palmitoylation of proteins is well known to play a key role in their sorting/targeting [Linder and Deschenes 2003]. It is possible that Hh protein lacking palmitoylation fails to be anchored on the membrane, which subsequently affects its transport in the morphogenetic field. To test this hypothesis, we transfected HEK293 cells with the above cDNA constructs encoding Shh, ShhC25S, Shh-N, and Shh-N-C25S; isolated lipid raft fractions through sucrose gradient centrifugation, and examined the distribution of Hh proteins in different membrane fractions (Fig. 6A). A significant proportion of Shh was found in the lipid raft fractions as indicated by the presence of raft-resident proteins, Flotillin-1 [Fig. 6A], and caveolin [data not shown]. Surprisingly, Shh-C25S and Shh-N can both be detected in lipid rafts, although the amount of Shh-N in lipid rafts is significantly lower (<50% by quantification) than that of either Shh or Shh-C25S [Fig. 6A, data not shown]. In contrast, Shh-N-C25S, which lacks both lipid modifications, failed to reach the rafts (Fig. 6A). It is possible that a substantial proportion of Shh-N still undergoes palmitoylation, which allows membrane association. To test this, we transfected HEK293 cells with the above cDNA constructs in the presence of [3H]-labeled palmitic acid. Indeed, labeled Shh-N was detected from cells transfected with Shh-N [Fig. 6C, left], indicating that a considerable proportion of Shh-N undergoes palmitoylation in the absence of cholesterol modification.

Because a significant fraction of Shh-C25S reaches lipid rafts, we then asked if events downstream of lipid raft association are affected. It has been reported that a soluble multimeric protein complex of Shh can be detected in the medium of HEK293T cells expressing Shh, and it has been speculated that the soluble multimeric complex with buried hydrophobic moieties can travel in the morphogenetic field [Zeng et al. 2001]. We asked whether formation of soluble multimeric complex is altered in any way by the loss of palmitoylation in Shh-C25S. We transfected HEK293T cells with the previous four constructs and isolated the conditioned medium, which was subsequently analyzed by gel filtration chromatography. We then examined the distribution of Shh protein in different fractions from the column [Fig. 7A]. As previously reported, a portion of the Shh protein migrated at more than six times its native molecular weight [Zeng et al. 2001]. In contrast, all Shh-C25S migrated through the column close to predicted molecular weight. Similarly, no immunoreactivity was detected in fractions corresponding to multimeric complex from the conditioned medium collected from cells transfected with Shh-N or Shh-N-C25S. To test whether the failure to generate a multimeric complex from Shh-C25S is a general phenomenon in diverse cell types, we performed similar experiments by using a variety of cell lines, including CHO, STO, and HeLa cells. Identical results to those from HEK293T were obtained [data not shown]. To explore the biochemical properties of Shh multimeric complex and monomer, the fractions corresponding to the multimeric and monomeric Shh, respectively, were subjected to a second round of gel filtration chromatography, and the eluted fractions were analyzed for the presence of Shh by Western blotting [labeled Shh monomer and Shh multimeric complex in Fig. 7A]. The elution profiles for Shh multimeric complex and monomer, respectively, were essentially identical to the initial elution profiles, indicating the stability of both forms as well as a lack of interconversion between them under the experimental conditions. Taken together, these results indicate that the lipid modifications, palmitoylation and cholesterylization, are both essential for multimerization of Shh protein.

The activity of Shh multimeric protein complex in inducing Hh responses is significantly greater than that of Shh monomer and Shh-conditioned media

Our studies indicate that Skn and ShhC25S mutants have reduced Hh signaling, which is likely a consequence of a reduced Hh protein gradient as well as diminished activity of Hh protein in the absence of palmitoylation. Because Shh-C25S only generates a monomeric form in cultured cells, it is possible that reduced Shh protein transport is a result of failure to generate a multimeric Shh protein complex. In addition, these results would also
suggest that Shh multimeric complex may possess higher activity than that of the Shh monomer. To test this hypothesis, gel filtration fractions corresponding to Shh multimeric complex and monomer were pooled and incubated with a Hh reporter cell line, Shh-LIGHT2 (Taipale et al. 2000). Shh multimeric complex induces Hh responses significantly in contrast to Shh monomer, as indicated by the luciferase reporter assay (Fig. 7B, left). When the protein concentration used in the assay is taken into consideration, Shh multimeric complex has 50-fold higher activity than that of Shh monomer (Fig. 7B, right). The activity of Shh multimeric complex in this assay can be blocked by adding either cyclopamine or Hh-neutralizing antibodies (5E1), indicating that the activity present in the Shh multimeric complex fractions is Hh-dependent. Conditioned media from HEK293T cells transfected with Shh also activated the Hh reporter, and when its activity is normalized to the protein concentration, unfractionated Shh-conditioned media is at least fivefold less active than Shh multimeric complex (Fig. 7B, left; data not shown). These results indicate that Shh multimeric complex is active in inducing Hh responses and is likely the major form involved in this process.

Differential requirement of palmitoylation in Hh multimerization between Drosophila and vertebrates

Given the differences in the biological activities of non-palmitoylated HhC85S and ShhC25S in vivo, we asked whether they also possess different biochemical properties in multimeric complex formation. We expressed various cDNAs encoding Hh, HhC85S, Hh-N, and Hh-N-C25S in Drosophila S2 cells by using the GAL4-UAS system (Brand and Perrimon 1993). The conditioned medium was isolated and subjected to analysis by gel filtration chromatography as described above. We then examined the distribution of Hh protein in different fractions from the column (Fig. 7A). Like vertebrate Hh proteins, Drosophila Hh protein was also eluted in fractions cor-
responding to a higher-molecular-weight protein complex in addition to Hh monomer. The size of the Hh protein complex is greater than that of Shh multimeric complex. Furthermore, similar to vertebrate Shh-N and Shh-NC85S, respectively, were processed for gel filtration chromatography as described in Materials and Methods. The fractions in which the molecular weight (MW) standards elute are indicated by arrows. The ratio between Shh multimeric complex and monomer varies between cell lines used in this study. The fractions corresponding to the multimeric and monomeric Shh, respectively, were subjected to a second round of gel filtration chromatography, and the fractions eluted were analyzed for the presence of Shh by Western blotting (labeled Shh monomer and Shh multimeric complex in the figure). Similar experiments were performed by using constructs encoding Drosophila Hh, HhC25S, Hh-N, and Hh-NC85S in S2 cells. Whether the high-MW protein complex that contains Drosophila Hh is biologically active or physiologically relevant remains to be determined. (B) Activity assays using the Shh-LIGHT2 reporter cell line. Shh multimeric complex exhibits greater activities in inducing Hh-response than Shh monomer as indicated by the luciferase reporter assay. The amount of protein used in this assay is shown on Western blots, in which the number 1 indicates the amount used in this assay, and the other numbers represent fractions or multiplications of that amount. The activity of Shh multimeric complex in this assay can be blocked by adding either cyclopamine or Hh-neutralizing antibodies (5E1) but not by a control antibody. Unfractionated conditioned media from HEK293T cells transfected with Shh also activated the Hh reporter and Shh-conditioned media contains at least fivefold more protein than Shh multimeric complex used in a similar assay.

### Discussion

Our phenotypic analysis of Skn and ShhC25S mice establishes the essential role of palmitoylation in Hh signaling during vertebrate development. Not only is Shh protein lacking palmitoylation less active than is wild-type Shh protein, the distribution of unpalmitoylated Shh protein in the developing embryos is also disrupted. Insights into the molecular mechanism underlying these observations were engendered by our biochemical studies in cultured cells. We provide the first demonstration that active soluble Shh multimeric complex fails to form in the absence of lipid modifications and that Hh multimeric complex is the major active form in activating Hh responses. These results offer a link between active soluble multimeric complex production and protein gra-
dient generation in the morphogenetic field, uncovering new roles for lipid modification of major signaling molecules (Fig. 8).

The role of lipid modifications in short- and long-range Hedgehog signaling

One of the most puzzling aspects of Hh signaling is the role of lipid modifications in long-range signaling. Reduced long-range Shh signaling activity in patterning the neural tube, limb, and sclerotome in Skn and ShhC25S mice establishes the essential role of palmitoylation for long-range Hh signaling. Previous studies using a Shh-N allele also suggest that cholesterol modification is required for long-range Hh signaling in vertebrates (Lewis et al. 2001). Together, these results highlight the unusual and unexpected function of lipid modification in long-range signaling and point to a novel cellular mechanism for transporting lipid-modified Hh protein. The relative contribution of cholesterol and palmitate to this process is not clear and may vary in different tissues. Previous reports (Pepinsky et al. 1998) suggest that Hh protein is less efficiently palmitoylated in the absence of cho-
lesterylation in vitro. In this case, loss of either type of lipid modification may contribute to the defects in Shh-N mice. Because the limb defects in Shh-N mice are more severe than those in Skn or ShhC25S mice, we inferred that cholesterol and palmitate are both required for long-range Hh signaling. However, this conclusion needs to be further tested in vivo using the gene-targeting approach. The neural tube defects in Shh-N mice were described, but a detailed analysis was not reported [Lewis et al. 2001]. In contrast to the limb phenotype, Skn and ShhC25S mutants have severe neural tube defects, at least in the spinal cord, similar to those due to complete loss of Shh, raising the question of the relative contributions of cholesterol versus palmitate moieties to long-range Hh signaling in the neural tube. The differences in the severity of limb and neural tube defects in Skn and ShhC25S mice could also be due to different tissue sensitivity or distinct requirements for a Hh protein gradient in these two tissues. Moreover, we noticed that ShhC25S neural tube defects are similar to but slightly less severe than those in Skn mice, suggesting that Skn may affect either other Hh members or Hh-independent processes. Because both Shh and Ihh signaling are affected in Skn mutants, it would be informative to investigate whether Shh-independent phenotypes in the neural tube of Skn mice are due to loss of Ihh signaling. Furthermore, because the Skn phenotypes are less severe than those in either Shh or Smo mutants, it remains to be investigated whether this is due to functional redundancy between multiple Skn homologs.

The observation that soluble Shh multimeric complex can form is a significant step toward understanding the transport of lipid-modified Hh proteins. An important question is the role of lipid modifications in this process. This issue was addressed by our biochemical studies, which indicate that palmitoylation and cholesterol esterification are both required for the generation of a Shh multimeric complex in cultured cells. These results also open up the opportunity to further probe the biogenesis of multimeric protein complex in vitro and in vivo. Although the in vivo relevance of Shh multimeric complex needs to be further investigated, it is interesting to speculate that Shh multimeric complex is the major vehicle for Hh transport in the morphogenetic field. Consistent with this idea, Hh protein lacking palmitoylation is confined mainly to its site of synthesis and fails to reach distant Hh-responsive cells in developing embryos. It is also possible Hh multimerization occurs to a different extent in various cell types/tissues in vivo. An important unresolved issue is the location and biochemical mechanism of Shh multimeric complex formation in Hh-producing cells. Our observation that Shh multimeric complex and monomer cannot interconvert spontaneously in vitro suggests that Shh multimeric complex is stable and their formation is likely an active process, possibly requiring a special microenvironment where additional proteins are involved. However, it is also possible that formation of Shh multimeric complex could occur spontaneously in vivo. Soluble Shh monomer may not exist in vivo and was only observed in vitro due to protein overexpression. Shh multimeric complex may be generated within the secretory pathway, or it may form on the plasma membrane or in the extracellular milieu. If Shh multimeric complex plays a key role in mediating Hh protein movement in the morphogenetic field, the mechanism by which Hh multimeric complex is transported is not known. This process may involve an additional protein apparatus or specialized structures (such as cytonemes; Ramirez-Weber and Kornberg 1999).

Given that ShhC25S still possesses residual activity, it is surprising that short-range signaling from the notochord to the floor plate is disrupted in Skn and ShhC25S mutants. It is possible that induction of floor plate requires high levels of Hh signal that simply exceed the residual activity of ShhC25S. Alternatively, Hh protein lacking palmitoylation may fail to be transported even short distances to reach adjacent cells.

**Palmitoylation and Hh protein multimerization and activity**

Largely based on the observation that the majority of purified membrane-tethered N-terminal fragment of Shh protein contains either both types of lipid modification or cholesterol alone, it was inferred that palmitoylation follows cleavage/cholesterylation [Pepinsky et al. 1998]. Our labeling experiments provided the first direct demonstration that full-length Shh incorporates [3H] palmitate in cultured cells. In addition, a substantial proportion of Shh-N still undergoes palmitoylation in the absence of cholesterylation. These results raised the issue of the sequence of lipid modifications in vivo as well as the relationship between palmitoylation, cleavage/cholesterylation, and trafficking, which needs to be further investigated.

Our demonstration that the soluble vertebrate Shh multimeric complex exhibits significantly greater activity than do Shh monomer and Shh-conditioned media in inducing Hh responses strongly suggests that the Hh multimeric complex is the major active form produced by Hh-secreting cells. Consistent with this observation, lack of Shh protein lipid modification leads to disruption of Hh multimerization and reduced activity in vitro and in vivo. It thus appears that Hh multimerization is not only involved in transport but also required for its activity. Because Shh protein lacking both palmitoylation and cholesterylation appears to be less active than that without palmitoylation in vitro [Y.-J. Li and P.-T. Chuang, unpubl.] and in vivo, we concluded that Shh monomer without any lipid modification is less active than that with one type of lipid modification. The biochemical basis underlying the differential activities between Shh multimeric complex and various forms of monomer is not known and possibly reflects their distinct biochemical interactions with the Hh receptor Ptc1 (or Ptc2) or Hh-binding protein Hip1. Previous studies indicated that purified Shh-Np and Shh-N exhibit similar affinity for binding to Ptc1 [Pepinsky et al. 1998]. However, the major constituent of Shh-Np was not known in this assay,
and it is possible that multimeric Hh protein actually either has higher intrinsic affinity for Ptc1 or is capable of eliciting greater biological responses, for instance, through receptor oligomerization or binding to coreceptor proteins. It is also not clear how stable the Hh lipid modifications are in vivo. If conversion occurs between Hh multimeric complex and monomers, as well as between various monomers in vivo, they may provide a means to regulate the activities of Hh signaling by controlling the amounts of Hh multimeric complex and monomer(s) present in the morphogenetic field in various tissues.

**Hh protein multimerization and activity in fly and vertebrate**

Because many aspects of Hh signaling are highly conserved from fly to mammals (Ingham and McMahon 2001), it is unanticipated that the roles of lipid modifications in *Drosophila* versus vertebrate Hh signaling do not seem to be identical. Not only is the requirement for lipid modifications for Hh activity different in these two systems, their contributions to Hh protein distribution are also different. Our biochemical studies on *Drosophila* Hh protein identified a high-molecular-weight Hh protein complex with size that appears to be significantly greater than that in vertebrates. It is possible that the *Drosophila* Hh complex has a different biochemical composition from that of the vertebrate Shh multimeric complex. Alternatively, this complex may not represent a physiologically relevant form for Hh signaling in vivo. In this case, the mechanism for transporting lipid-modified Hh proteins in fly and vertebrate systems could be fundamentally different. Interestingly, *Drosophila* Hh protein lacking palmitoylation maintains its ability to generate a high-molecular-weight complex. This is consistent with the observation that Hh protein lacking palmitoylation is readily secreted into the conditioned media of S2 cells subjected to RNAi-mediated *ski* knockdown (Chamoun et al. 2001). It is not clear whether these discrepancies between vertebrate and *Drosophila* Hh protein are due to intrinsic differences in their biochemical properties or due to the microenvironment in which the Hh protein is generated and processed. Understanding the biochemical basis of these differences will shed new light on the molecular mechanisms as well as the evolution of Hh signaling in diverse organisms.

**A general role of palmitoylation in major signaling pathways**

Palmitoylation is one of the most frequent posttranslational modifications found on proteins. In most cases, palmitoylation occurs on membrane proteins and affects their intracellular localization and function (Linder and Deschenes 2003). In addition to potential roles in membrane trafficking, it is unexpected that Hh palmitoylation plays a key role in Hh protein transport and signaling activity, suggesting potential roles of palmitoylation in a wide range of secreted signaling molecules. Consistent with this notion, palmitoylation of Wnt protein was shown to be required for its activity (Willert et al. 2003). Given the similarity between the basic design of Hh and Wnt pathways (Kalderon 2002; Nusse 2003), it is tempting to speculate that lipid modification might play additional roles in Wnt signaling, similar to those uncovered in Hh signaling. Furthermore, if lipid modification was adopted by signaling pathways to confer novel functions, it may not be surprising to identify essential roles played by palmitoylation in other major signal transduction cascades. Lessons learned from studying Hh signaling will lay important foundations for these investigations.

**Materials and methods**

Standard molecular biology techniques were performed as described (Sambrook and Russell 2001).

**Generation of transgenic mice**

cDNAs encoding Shh, ShhC25S, and Shh-Nc25S were cloned into a transgenic vector in which the cDNAs are placed under the regulation of the *Prxi1*-derived regulatory element (Logan et al. 2002). The purified inserts of the transgenic constructs were injected into the pronuclei of fertilized eggs following standard procedures (Nagy et al. 2003). Embryos were collected at 18.5 dpc, and skeletal preparation was performed as described (Nagy et al. 2003).

**Generation of Skn knockout and ShhC25S mice**

There are at least two *Skn*-like genes in mouse. One (BC008159) is more closely related to *Drosophila* ski and was used in this study. The other homolog (AK003605) may represent a more distant member of the family, and its function is not known. To construct a positive/negative targeting vector for removing exon 2 of the *Skn* gene (the resulting allele is designated *Skn*ΔE2), a 3.5-kb genomic fragment containing exon 2 of *Skn* and sequences upstream of exon 2 was amplified by PCR and used as the 5’ region of homology [Supplementary Fig. 1]. A *loxP* site was inserted in the 5’ region of homology, ~70 bp upstream of exon 2. A 3.5-kb fragment containing sequences downstream of exon 2 was used as the 3’ region of homology and was inserted upstream of the MC1-Δt-pA cassette. The genomic fragments were sequenced to verify their identities. A PGK-neo-pA cassette, which also carries a *loxP* site at its 3’ end, was inserted between the 5’ and 3’ homology regions. Upon Cre-mediated excision of sequences between the two *loxP* sites (including the PGK-neo-pA cassette), exon 2 will be removed and *Skn*ΔE2 will be generated. A similarly strategy was used to remove exon 9 of *Skn* (the resulting allele is designated *Skn*ΔE9; Supplementary Fig. 2). To generate a *Shh*ΔC25S allele, a single base pair change (G to C) was introduced in the 2.6-kb genomic fragment used as the 5’ region of homology, resulting in a Cys-to-Ser change at amino acid position 25 of Shh protein [Supplementary Fig. 3]. The 5’ region of homology encompasses exon 1 of *Shh* and sequences upstream of exon 1. A 3.3-kb fragment containing sequences downstream of exon 1 was used as the 3’ region of homology and was inserted upstream of the MC1-Δt-pA cassette. A PGK-neo-pA cassette, flanked by FRT sites, was inserted between the 5’ and 3’ homology regions. E14TG2A.4 (E14) feeder-independent embryonic stem (ES) cells (Nichols et al. 1990) were electroporated with a Sall-in-
earized targeting vectors and selected in G418 and FIAU as described (Joyner 2000). Heterozygous E14 ES cells were injected into blastocysts of C57BL/6 strain mice to generate germline chimeras. Chimeric males were mated with C57BL/6, 129/Sv, 129/Ola, or Swiss-Webster females [to maintain the Skn and ShhC25S alleles in different genetic backgrounds], and heterozygous animals were identified by Southern blotting of tail-tip DNA. Germline transmission was obtained from multiple independently targeted ES cell clones for all three targeting vectors. Heterozygous Skn animals were crossed to animals expressing β-actin-Cre (Lewandoski et al. 1997) to remove sequences between the twoloxP sites [including the PGK-neo-PA cassette]. Heterozygous ShhC25S animals were mated with FLP animals (Dymecki 1996) to remove the PGK-neo-pA selection cassette.

Histology, in situ hybridization, and immunohistochemistry

Histological analysis, whole-mount in situ hybridization using digoxigenin-labeled probes, and section in situ hybridization using 32P-labeled riboprobes were performed as described (Wilkinson and Nieto 1993). Immunohistochemistry using anti-Shh antibodies was performed as described (Gritti-Linde et al. 2001; Kawakami et al. 2002).

Membrane fractionation and lipid raft isolation
cDNAs encoding Shh, ShhC25S, Shh-N, and Shh-N C25S were cloned into the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3 (Invitrogen). The resulting constructs were transfected into HEK293 cells by using Lipofectamine reagent (Invitrogen). Lipid rafts were isolated as follows (Taitale et al. 2000): cells from a 60-mm dish were lysed and collected in 1.5 mL of lysis solution [10 mM NaHPO4 at pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1% Triton X-100, 2 µg/mL Pepstatin A, 10 µg/mL leupeptin, 5 µg/mL apro tinin] at 4°C. The cell lysate were then mixed with same volume of 80% sucrose. Eight sucrose density steps (35.625–5%, 4.375%/step, 1 mL/step, made in above solution, without detergent) were layered onto the 40% sucrose lysate, and centrifugation proceeded for 20 h. Sixteen fractions (0.6875 mL/fraction) were collected. The same volume of each fraction was separated with 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membrane for Western blotting. The membranes were probed with rabbit polyclonal antibodies against Shh and antibodies against lipid raft resident proteins Flo tillin-1 and caveolin-1 (BD Biosciences).

Gel filtration chromatography

For studies on vertebrate Hh proteins, expression constructs encoding Shh, ShhC25S, Shh-N, and Shh-N C25S were transfected into several established cell lines (including HEK293T, CHO, Sto, and HeLa) using Lipofectamine reagent (Invitrogen). Serum-free conditioned media [OptiMEM, supplemented with Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium-A, Invitrogen] from cultured cells transfected with different forms of Shh were collected and filtered through a 0.2-µm filter and then ultracentrifuged at 100,000g for 2 h. The supernatants were concentrated by using a Centricon YM-10 (Amicon) and loaded on to a Superdex 200 (Amersham Biotech) gel filtration column that had been equilibrated with PBS/0.001% NP-40. Every two fractions eluted were precipitated with TCA or immunoprecipitated with mouse anti-Shh antibody (SE1, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), resolved by 12% SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with rabbit polyclonal antibodies against Shh.

For a second round of gel filtration chromatography on pooled fractions, fraction no. 10–18, which contains the Shh multimeric complex, and fraction no. 25–31, which contains the Shh monomers, were combined respectively, stored at 4°C for 12–20 h, and then concentrated with a Centricon YM-10. The concentrated samples were loaded onto the Superdex 200 gel filtration column. Separation, collection, and detection were performed as described above.

For studies on Drosophila Hh proteins, insect S2 cells were grown in Schneider's Drosophila medium [Invitrogen] supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum [Invitrogen], L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin. The S2 cells were cotransfected with the expression construct encoding actin-Gal4 and various UAS plasmids, including UAS-hh, UAS-hhC25S, UAS-hh-N, and UAS-hh-N C25S with Effectene (QIAGEN). Twelve to eighteen hours after transfection, the S2 media were switched to SF-900 II serum-free medium [Invitrogen]. Two days later, the conditioned media were harvested and processed in the same way as described above for vertebrate Hh proteins.

Isotope labeling with [3H]-palmitic acid

HEK293 cells and primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts [MEFs] derived from wild-type or Skn-/- mouse embryos at 10.5 dpc were transfected with Lipofectamine reagent [Invitrogen]. Thirty-six hours after transfection, the cells were incubated for 4 h in 10% dialyzed FBS in DMEM containing 500 µCi/mL [3H]-palmitate [Perkin Elmer]. The cells were washed with PBS, then harvested by scraping in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 2 µg/mL each of apro tinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin A. Insoluble materials were sedimented by centrifugation at 20,000g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant were mixed with mouse anti-Shh antibody [SE1] and protein G beads overnight; the beads were washed, separated by SDS-PAGE, and transferred onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was sprayed with Enhance solution [Perkin Elmer] and exposed to films for 7–14 d. The same PVDF membrane was then probed with rabbit polyclonal antibodies against Shh.

Hedgehog activity assay

Shh-LIGHT2 cells were cultured to confluency in 24-well plates using DMEM media containing 10% [v/v] bovine calf serum, 150 µg/mL zeocin, and 400 µg/mL G418. For activity assays, Shh-LIGHT2 cells were switched to DMEM containing 0.5% bovine calf serum and incubated with [1] various dilutions of conditioned media collected from HEK293 cells transfected with Shh, ShhC25S, Shh-N, or Shh-N C25S expression constructs and [2] various dilutions of fractions from gel filtration chromatography. To test the specificity of Hh activities in the conditioned media or gel filtration fractions, cyclopamine [Poisonous Plant Research Laboratory, ARS-USDA] or anti-Shh monoclonal antibodies [SE1] were also added to the media to reach a final concentration of 4 µM and 1 µg/mL, respectively. After incubation for 2 d at 37°C, cellular firefly luciferase and Renilla luciferase activities were measured by using chemiluminescence. To estimate the relative Hh protein concentrations in the conditioned media and fractions from gel filtration chromatography, the media or fractions at different dilutions were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE, probed with rabbit polyclonal anti-Shh antibody, and detected by using the ECL kit [Amersham Biotech].

Immunostaining

CHO cells grown on chamber slides were transfected with an expression construct encoding MYC-tagged Skn or cotrans-
fect ed with constructs encoding MYC-tagged Skn and Shh with Lipofectamine reagent [Invitrogen]. Thirty-six to forty-eight hours after transfection the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min, washed with PBS, permeabilized in 0.2% Triton in PBS for 15 min, blocked with 2.5% goat serum and 0.02% Triton in PBS for 1 h, and incubated with 1:400 dilution of primary antibodies in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies used include mouse anti-MYC antibodies [StressGen Biotechnologies], rabbit polyclonal anti-Shn antibodies, rabbit anti-Myc antibodies [Cell Signaling Biotech], and mouse anti-Shh antibody [5E1]. The cells were then washed extensively with 0.02% Triton in PBS, incubated with goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 and goat anti-mouse Alexa 594 antibodies [1: 400 dilution] in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature, washed extensively, and mounted onto slides for fluorescence microscopy.
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