Structural Diversity of Silver Fluorinated β-Diketonates: Effect of the Terminal Substituent and Solvent
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Abstract: In order to demonstrate the role of the fluorination and some solvents in the structural organization of the Ag(I) coordination polymers with β-diketonate ligands (R\(^{3}\)C(O)C\(_{\alpha}\)RC(O)R\(^{2}\))\(^{-}\) we synthesized a series of the compounds containing tfac- (R\(_{1}\) = CH\(_{3}\), R\(_{2}\) = CF\(_{3}\)) and pfpac- (R\(_{1}\) = CH\(_{3}\), R\(_{2}\) = C\(_{2}\)F\(_{5}\)) anions. Solvent-free [Ag(L)]\(_{\infty}\) (L = tfac 1, pfpac 2) compounds and the corresponding acetonitrile and toluene adducts have been characterized by elemental analysis and/or NMR, IR and single-crystal XRD. This series includes five new coordination polymers. Compound 1 is a 3D coordination framework based on Ag−O\(_{\text{chelate/bridge}}\) Ag−C\(_{\alpha}\) bonds, and argentophilic interactions. An increase in the fluorinated group leads to a chain coordination polymer 2 of an unusual structural organization. These chains can be represented as a “DNA-type”, where two intertwined helices based on Ag−O\(_{\text{chelate}}\) and Ag−C\(_{\alpha}\) bonds are connected through Ag−O\(_{\text{bridge}}\) ones. Two structural types of chain coordination polymers, [Ag(tfac)(CH\(_{3}\)CN)] and [Ag\(_{2}(L)_{2}(\text{solvent})\)], have been revealed for the adducts. The latter structural type differs significantly from the previously studied toluene and acetonitrile adducts of fluorinated Ag(I) β-diketonates of the same stoichiometry. Thermal analysis in helium showed that both 1 and 2 decompose to metallic silver with the compound of pfpac-ligand being slightly more stable.
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1. Introduction

β-Diketone ligands (R\(^{3}\)C(O)C\(_{\alpha}\)RC(O)R\(^{2}\))\(^{-}\) are widely demanded building blocks in coordination chemistry, which are distinguished by a chelating effect and internal conjugation, providing stability, and their great structural versatility by their varying terminal groups (R\(^{1}\) and R\(^{2}\)) and the substituent at the methine carbon atom (C\(_{\alpha}\)) [1–4]. This allows the forming of complexes of various structural organization and dimension. In particular, for silver, fluorinated β-diketonate ligands were used for constructing coordination polymers with macroligands [5,6] or exo-N-donor synthons [7,8], stabilizing the multinuclear ethynide or thiolate clusters [9–11], and obtaining polymer, di- or mononuclear precursors [12–14] for chemical vapor deposition processes.

However, much less attention has been paid to the scrutiny of pristine silver(I) fluorinated β-diketonates, although a rich structural diversity can also be expected there. In addition to the different modes of anion coordination, a feature of silver in such a ligand environment includes the Ag−C\(_{\alpha}\) bonds with the methine carbon atom and argentophilic interactions.

The realization of these possibilities is determined by the structure of the β-diketone ligand. Several silver (I) β-diketonates have been structurally characterized, where only the influence of the non-fluorinated terminal group can be observed: R = H, R\(^{1}\) = CF\(_{3}\), R\(^{2}\) = CH\(_{3}\) [15], C(CH\(_{3}\))\(_{2}\) [16], C(OCH\(_{3}\))(CH\(_{3}\))\(_{2}\) [17], C\(_{6}\)H\(_{5}\) [18] (Scheme 1). In particular, an increase in the substituent reduces the dimensionality of the polymer structure to 1D. These
tapes are corrugated, and for the tert-butyl and phenyl substituents, they are organized in the same way, with a with significant kink of the chelate metallocycle [16,18], while an additional donor atom (OCH₃), which is coordinated to silver, reduces the tightness of the structure [17]. The effects of changing the substituent at the methine or fluorinated group have not been studied.

![Scheme 1](image)

Scheme 1. The β-diketonate ligands discussed in this work.

Another interesting capacity to design the structure of silver(I) β-diketonates is the coordination of solvents of a different nature. For example, coordination polymers [Ag₂(R¹C(O)C₆H₅H(C(O)R²)₂Q)∞] are known for Q = water [19], toluene, tetrahydrofuran (THF) [20] (R¹ = R² = CF₃), and acetonitrile [21] (R¹ = CF₃, R² = C₆H₅, C₁₀H₁₇, C₄H₃S) (Scheme 1). Despite the same stoichiometry, the structures of these polymers differ in their dimensions (2D for water and toluene adducts, 1D for THF and acetonitrile adducts) and further in their β-diketonate coordination modes and silver environment.

In addition to the fundamental interest for the structural features and the development of an understanding of the silver coordination capabilities, Ag(I) β-diketonates and their adducts with solvents are also useful for practical applications. For example, some polymers have exhibited solid phase luminescence [21]. Due to their low thermal stability (<200 °C), these compounds can be used to obtain Ag-based coatings and nanoparticles by thermal or supercritical fluid deposition [15,22,23]. The decomposition temperature range and solubility of the target solvent can be tuned by a ligand structure modification. Moreover, photosensitivity of silver β-diketonates makes it possible to obtain functional materials even at room temperature [22,24]. In addition, pristine silver(I) coordination polymers are receiving attention as antimicrobial materials [25–27].

Summarizing the above, our work is devoted to revealing the effect of a fluorinated substituent (CF₃ → C₂F₅) and a solvent (CH₃CN, toluene) on the structural and thermal properties of Ag(I) β-diketonates (Scheme 1). All the complexes with 1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-3,5-hexanedione (Hpfpac, C₂F₅C(O)CH₂C(O)CH₃) have been synthesized for the first time. For the counterpart, 1,1,2-trifluoro-2,4-pentanedione (Htfac, CF₃C(O)CH₂C(O)CH₃), some information on the silver complex has been already presented [15], however, without any data on the discussed adducts. In addition, despite the description of the [Ag(tfac)]∞ structure [15], no corresponding data are available in the Cambridge Structural Database. Thus, here we present the synthesis and characterization of the Ag(I) fluorinated β-diketonate coordination polymers and their solvent adducts, the crystal structures of [Ag(L)]∞ (L = tfac, 1, pfpac 2), [Ag(tfac)(CH₃CN)]∞ 1a, [Ag(pfpac)(CH₃CN)]∞ 2a, [Ag₂(L)₂(C₆H₅)]∞ (L = tfac 1t, pfpac 2t), and the comparative thermogravimetric study. The features of the new structures versus the related coordination polymers [20,21] will be also discussed.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sources and Analytical Methods

All reagents and solvents were commercially available. For synthesis under ambient conditions no additional purification was performed. For synthesis under the inert conditions (Schlenk technique), all the liquids were previously dehydrated and then degassed by distillation in argon.

Elemental analyses were performed with a Carlo Erba Model 1106 instrument (for C, H, N) or by a standard spectrophotometric method with La complex of alizarin complexone (for F) [28]. The errors of the measurements did not exceed 0.5 abs. wt.%.

IR (infrared) spectra were recorded with a Scimitar FTS 2000 spectrometer in the wavenumber range of 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\). The samples were pellets with KBr or fluorinated oil.

NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 Plus spectrometer (\(\text{H}: 500.129 \text{ MHz}, 13\text{C}: 125.757 \text{ MHz}\)) at 25 °C. The samples were dissolved in deuterated dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO-\(d_6\)). Chemical shifts (\(\delta, \text{ppm}\)) were calibrated with residual DMSO as the internal standard (\(1^1\text{H} = 2.50; 13\text{C} = 39.52\)) [29].

The thermogravimetry (TG) study was carried out with a Netzsch TG 209 F1 Iris thermobalance in a helium flow (30 mL min\(^{-1}\)). Sample masses were 10 ± 1 mg; the heating rate was 10 °C min\(^{-1}\). The thermal effects were recorded with c-DTA\textsuperscript{®} software (Netzsch) for the calculated signal of differential thermal analysis (c-DTA). The final decomposition rates were 10\(\text{mg min}^{-1}\).

Synthesis was carried out in air. 0.222 g of Ag\(_2\)O (0.96 mmol) was placed in aluminum foil-wrapped flask, and then 35 mL of Et\(_2\)O was added. The flask was then placed in water/ice bath for cooling. 0.4 mL of Htfac (2.10 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring. Synthesis continued for 2 h. White precipitate that appeared was filtered and dried in vacuum. The product was Ag\(_2\)(tfac)\(_2\)(H\(_2\)O) \(1\text{w}\). Yield: 90% (0.468 g, 0.87 mmol). IR spectrum (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3430, 3266 (O–H), 3011, 2923 (ν(C=O)), 1655, 1621, 1552 (ν(C=O)), 1528 (ν(C=C) + δ(C–H)), 1512 (ν(C=O) + ν(C=C)), 1481 (ν(C=O)), 1438 (δ(CH\(_3\))), 1360 (ν(C=O)), 1282 (ν(C=C) + ν(C–CF\(_3\))), 1215 (δ(CH)), 1190 (ν(C–F)), 1132 (ν(C=C)), 1119 (δ(CF\(_3\))), 1021, 1000 (ν(CH\(_3\))), 929, 847 (ν(C–CF\(_3\))), 808–800 (ν(C=C) – δ(C–C) + ν(C–CH\(_3\)) + π(C–H)), 758 (ν(Ag–O) + ν(C–CH\(_3\)) + chelate def.), 725 (ν(Ag–C) + ν(C–CH\(_3\)) + δ(C=O)), 604 ν(Ag–O)), 551 (ν(Ag–C)), 516 ν(Ag–C).

The slow ether extraction of \(1\text{w}\) in an evacuated sealed two-knee ampoule [30] leads to the crystals of the anhydrous [Ag(tfac)]\(_\infty\) \(1\), suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) in the lower knee. The partial decomposition of \(1\text{w}\) was also observed in the upper knee. Anal. Calcd. (wt. %): for Ag\(_2\)C\(_{10}\)H\(_{10}\)O\(_5\)F\(_6\) 1: C, 23.0; H, 1.5; F, 21.8.

Found: C, 22.9; H, 1.8; F, 22.0. IR spectrum (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2923 (ν(C–H)), 1635 (ν(C=O)), 1532 (ν(C=C) + δ(C–H)), 1463 (δ(CH)), 1360 (ν(C–F)), 1281 (ν(C=C) + ν(C–CF\(_3\))), 1203 (δ(CH)), 1189 (ν(C–F)), 1137 (ν(C–C)), 1117 (δ(CF\(_3\))), 1020, 988 (ν(CH\(_3\))), 919, 844 (ν(C–CF\(_3\))), 816 (ν(C=C) + δ(C–C) + ν(C–CH\(_3\))), 803 (π(C=C)), 757 (ν(Ag–O) + ν(C–CH\(_3\)) + chelate def.), 604 (ν(Ag–O)), 555 (ν(Ag–C)), 517 (ν(Ag–C)).

2.3. Synthesis of [Ag(pfpac)]\(_\infty\) \(2\)

The synthesis in air was performed similarly for \(1\text{w}\); however, the solvent volume was reduced to prevent product dissolution. Namely, for 0.190 g of Ag\(_2\)O (0.82 mmol) we used 6.5 mL of Et\(_2\)O and 0.3 mL of Hpfpac (1.98 mmol). Resulting white precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuum. The product was also agua-β-diketonate Ag\(_2\)(pfpac)\(_2\)(H\(_2\)O) \(2\text{w}\). Yield: 82% (0.430 g, 0.67 mmol). Anal. Calcd. (wt. %): for Ag\(_2\)C\(_{12}\)H\(_{10}\)O\(_5\)F\(_{10}\) 2w: C, 22.5; H, 1.6; F, 29.7. Found: C, 22.9; H, 1.1; F, 30.3. IR spectrum (KBr, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3430, 3272 (ν(O–H)), 2980, 2933 (ν(C–H)), 1645 (ν(C=O)), 1518 (ν(C=C) + δ(C–H) + ν(C=O)), 1459 (ν(C=O)), 1360 (ν(C=O)), 1238 (ν(C–CF\(_3\))), 1214 (δ(CH)), 1192 (ν(C–F)), 1162 (ν(C–C)), 1123 (δ(CF\(_3\)),
1027, 992 (ν(CH₂)), 932 (ν(C–CF₃)), 819 (ν(C–C) + δ(C–C) + ν(C–CH₃)), 805 (π(C–H)), 757 (ν(Ag–O) + ν(C–CH₃) + chelate def.), 726 (ν(Ag–C) + ν(C–CH₃) + δ(C=O)), 620 (ν(Ag–O)), 561 (ν(Ag–C)), 531 (ν(Ag–C)), 496.

To obtain the anhydrous compound, we carried out a similar synthesis in a Schlenk apparatus using molecular sieves. The volume of ether used was such as to ensure complete dissolution of the target product (e.g., 30 mL for 56 mg of Ag₂O). After 18 h stirring at room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered using kieselguhr. A white powder [Ag(pfac)]∞ 2 was obtained from a colorless mother liquor by evaporation of ether in vacuum (10⁻² Torr). ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO-d₆, 2.50 ppm): δ 5.02 (br s, 1H, Cα–H), 1.96 (s, 3H, –CH₃). ¹³C NMR spectrum (DMSO-d₆, 39.52 ppm): 194.78 (s, C=O, pfac), 169.00 (t, Jₐβ = 20.7 Hz, C=O, pfac), 118.97 (qt, J₁ = 286.4, J₂ = 37.0, >CF₃, pfac), 108.80 (tq, J₁ = 264.0, J₂ = 35.7, >CF₂, pfac), 91.79 (s, Cα–H, pfac), 29.95 (s, –CH₃, pfac).

Crystals of the anhydrous compound 2 suitable for single-crystal XRD were also grown by slow ether extraction of 2w in an evacuated sealed two-knee ampoule. As for the tfac-based complex, the partial decomposition of the initial 2w was observed in the upper knee.

2.4. Synthesis of Ag(I) β-Diketonate Adducts

Adducts with acetonitrile and toluene were obtained by recrystallization of Ag(I) aqua-β-diketonates 1w and 2w from the corresponding solvents under ambient conditions. The resulting solution was filtered to remove a small amount of brown precipitate, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure (10⁻¹ to 10⁻² Torr). The products were formed as white powders and corresponded to stoichiometry [Ag₂(L)₂Q]∞ (Q = CH₃CN, toluene; L = tfac, pfac). The yields were 90–95% for acetonitrile and 80% for toluene.

Ag₂(tfac)₂(CH₃CN), ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO-d₆, 2.50 ppm): δ 5.14 (br s, 1H, Cα–H), 2.07 (s, (3H) × 0.5, –CH₃, CH₃CN), 1.98 (s, 3H, –CH₃, tfac). [Ag₂(tfac)₂(C₇H₈) ]∞ 1I, ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO-d₆, 2.50 ppm): δ 7.25 and 7.18 (both m, (5H) × 0.5, C(Ph)–H, toluene), 5.05 (br s, 1H, Cα–H), 2.30 (s, (3H) × 0.5, –CH₃, toluene), 2.01 (s, 3H, –CH₃, tfac).

[Ag₂(pfac)₂(CH₃CN) ]∞ 2a, ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO-d₆, 2.50 ppm): δ 5.06 (br s, 1H, Cα–H), 2.07 (s, (3H) × 0.5, –CH₃, CH₃CN), 2.02 (s, 3H, –CH₃, pfac). [Ag₂(pfac)₂(C₇H₈) ]∞ 2t, ¹H NMR spectrum (DMSO-d₆, 2.50 ppm): δ 7.25 and 7.18 (both m, (5H) × 0.5, C(Ph)–H, toluene), 5.13 (br s, 1H, Cα–H), 2.30 (s, (3H) × 0.5, –CH₃, toluene), 2.00 (s, 3H, –CH₃, pfac).

The crystals of the adducts suitable for single-crystal XRD were obtained by slow evaporation of the corresponding solvents at 5 °C. For all adducts, the stoichiometry of the crystals corresponded to powder samples, except with [Ag(tfac)(CH₃CN)]∞ 1a.

2.5. Single-Crystal XRD Study

Single-crystal XRD data for compounds 1, 1a and 1I (Table S1, Supplementary Materials) were collected by a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer with a CMOS PHOTON III detector and 1µS 3.0 microfocus source (collimating Montel mirrors). For compounds 2, 2a and 2t (Table S1, Supplementary Materials), the data collection was performed on a Bruker Apex DUO diffractometer equipped with a 4K CCD area detector using the graphite-monochromated radiation. All the experiments were carried at 150 K using MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The ϕ- and ω-scan techniques were employed to measure intensities. Absorption corrections were applied with the use of the SADABS program. The crystal structures were solved using the SHELXT [31] and were refined using SHELXL [32] programs with OLEX2 GUI [33]. Atomic displacement parameters for non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically except for C atoms in 2. The structures were deposited to the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as a supplementary publication No. 2131021–2131026.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Characterization

The syntheses of both Ag(I) fluorinated β-diketonates were performed by a convenient acid–base reaction between silver oxide and the corresponded β-diketone [6,15–17,19]. The reactions were carried out at ambient conditions using diethyl ether as a solvent. The generated water (half-equivalent, Scheme 2) tends to be incorporated into the resulting compound. The aqua-β-diketonates of Ag₂(L)₂(H₂O) stoichiometry was previously formed both for symmetric and asymmetric perfluorinated ligands: R¹ = R² = CF₃ [19], R¹ = C₃F₇, R² = C(CH₃)₃ [6]. However, anhydrous compounds were straightly obtained in some cases: R¹ = CF₃, R² = C(CH₃)₃ [16] R² = C(OCH₃)(CH₃)₂ [17].

\[
\text{Ag}_2\text{O} + 2\text{HL} \rightarrow \text{Ag}_2\text{L}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O}), \quad \text{L = tfac \text{ 2w, tfac \text{ 1w}}}
\]

Scheme 2. The synthesis routes to obtain Ag(I) fluorinated β-diketonates.

In this work, we received aqua-β-diketonates 1w and 2w. The tendency to form anhydrous crystals upon slow crystallization at low temperatures was previously noted for [Ag(tfac)]ₙ L [15]. We confirmed this observation for both compounds, obtaining 1 and 2 crystals by another crystal growth method. Moreover, for the new pfpac-ligand, we have implemented an alternative strategy for the direct synthesis of the anhydrous coordination polymer 2 (Scheme 2). The idea was to capture the generated water, for which we used molecular sieves. The Schlenk technique was applied to prevent the capture of water from the environment. In this synthetic method, it was important that the product did not form as a precipitate, but was completely dissolved in the solvent used. This was easily achieved, due to observed significant increase in the solubility of the Ag(I) β-diketonate compound in ether with an increase in the fluorinated substituent length (evaluated up to 850 mg 2w/100 mL Et₂O).

The IR spectra of the obtained Ag(I) β-diketonates contain several characteristic series of bands. Stretching vibrations of C–H bonds (3000–2800 cm⁻¹) appeared for all compounds, while vibrations of the O–H bonds (3500–3200 cm⁻¹) of water can be seen only in spectra of 1w and 2w. This was confirmed by the recoding of spectra in fluorinated oil. The wavenumber region of 1650–1100 cm⁻¹ contains several strong and medium peaks of following vibrations: stretching C=O (~1640, 1553–1518, ~1465, 1360 cm⁻¹), stretching C–C (~820 cm⁻¹), and deformation CF₃ (~1130–1120 cm⁻¹). Frequencies of some weaker vibrations of C=C and deformation CH₃ are mostly superimposed with C=O and C–F peaks. It should be noted that peaks of stretching vibration of C–CF₃ bonds for pfpac-based compounds 2w and 2a are strongly shifted towards higher frequencies when compared to tfac-complexes 1, 1w, and 1a (~1330 cm⁻¹ versus ~1280 cm⁻¹), which can be associated with a change of substituent in the β-diketonate ligand. Peaks in the range of 1030–900 cm⁻¹ are associated with rotational –CH₃ vibrations (1030–1020 cm⁻¹, ~990 cm⁻¹) and stretching C–C vibrations (935–920 cm⁻¹). It is interesting that the peak at ~1030–1020 cm⁻¹ is much more intensive for 2w and 2a than for 1, 1w, and 1a, which can also be associated with the influence of the terminal substituent in the ligand. The region of 850–500 cm⁻¹ contains some medium and weak peaks of stretching and deformation C–C vibrations (~820 cm⁻¹), π-system of β-diketonate vibrations (810–800 cm⁻¹), and vibrations of chelate metalloccycle (~760 cm⁻¹), as well as Ag–O (~760 cm⁻¹, 620–600 cm⁻¹) and Ag–C (~725 cm⁻¹, 570–550 cm⁻¹, 530–516 cm⁻¹). Some of these peaks are superimposed. Several typical IR spectra are given in Supplementary Materials (Figure S1). The set of bands in the IR spectra of compound 1 fully corresponds to that previously described in the work [15], which was used for detailed assignment.
According to NMR data, there was no free β-diketonate ligand in the samples, which was confirmed by the absence of the OH-group resonance in the ligands enol-form (resonance with chemical shift of more than 10 ppm). Coordination of the β-diketonate ligand to the silver leads to a slight shift of the Cα–H group resonance to the high-field region.

All the silver β-diketonates studied (1, 2, 1a, 2a) are slowly soluble in water, but quickly soluble in polar O-donor solvents (alcohols, THF). However, the time-dependent stability of these solutions requires further study, since precipitation of silver or its oxide was observed upon exposure to light or heating. They also dissolved in N-donor (CH3CN) and aromatic (toluene) solvents, and the corresponding adducts Ag2(L)2(Q) were formed upon evaporation. The stoichiometry of these products was confirmed by 1H-NMR. This composition seems to be typical for the adducts of Ag(I) β-diketonates, since it was noted for various solvents and anionic ligands [16,20,21]. It was retained when the adduct crystals 1, 2a, and 2t were grown from appropriate solvents. The exception was the acetonitrile adduct of Ag(tfac), whose crystals 1a correspond to one-equivalent stoichiometry.

It should be noted that the formation of the adducts with CH3CN were also demonstrated by IR through the appearance of a characteristic band at 2310 and 2280 cm−1, corresponding to C≡N stretching vibrations (Figure S1, Supplementary Materials). At the same time, the bands of water vibrations disappeared. Both acetonitrile adducts Ag2(L)2(CH3CN) are storage stable, while the toluene adducts are rapidly converted to the corresponding aqua-β-diketonates 1w and 2w. According to NMR, in the studied solutions, both toluene and acetonitrile most likely leave the metal coordination sphere, which is confirmed by the values of their chemical shifts, corresponding to free solvents in DMSO.

### 3.2. Structural Features of Ag(I) Fluorinated β-Diketonates and Their Adducts

According to single-crystal XRD data, the compounds under study (1, 2, 1a, 2a, 1t, 2t) reveal chain-like structures of different spatial geometry that varies depending on the diketonate (tfac vs. pfpac) and the presence of toluene or acetonitrile ligands. The coordination number (CN) of Ag atoms equals four in the case of non-solvent-containing compounds 1 and 2, while it equals five for the adducts. Similar to related Ag coordination polymers [17], the coordination polyhedron is highly distorted. For most of the central atoms of 1 and 2, it can be best described as a vacant trigonal bipyramid (C3v) according to the continuous shape measure analysis (Table S2, Supplementary Materials) [34]. For the Ag atoms of 1a, 2a, 1t, and 2t, the polyhedron is best described as a spherical square pyramid (C4v) (Table S3, Supplementary Materials). Selected parameters of the silver coordination environment and chelate metallocycles are listed in Table 1 for the solvent-free coordination polymers (1, 2) and in Table 2 for the adducts (1a, 2a, 1t, 2t). The fragments of the structures are presented in Figures 1–3.

The β-diketonate ligands in compounds 1, 2, and 1a have a μ3-κ1:κ1:κ2 chelate-bridging function via one C and two O atoms. Compounds 1t, 2t, and 2a comprise two types of the β-diketonates: one of them is the same as in the former cases, while another is μ2-κ1:κ2 chelate-bridging only via O atoms. The toluene ligands coordinate in a 2l manner; however, in 1t, it binds via C2 and C3 atoms, while in 2t via C3 and C4 atoms. Such contacts at a relatively large distance (Table 2) lead to a low stability of these adducts (Par. 3.1). The difference in the coordination mode is likely caused by steric reasons. Note that the Ag–N (acetonitrile) bond is noticeably shorter in 2a when compared to 1a, which is probably also a consequence of steric effects and the presence of the stronger electron-withdrawing group (CF3 vs. CF3).

The bond lengths Ag–O vary over a wide range of 2.26 (1)–2.732 (3) Å, generally being shorter for the oxygens belonging to the chelate mode (Tables 1 and 2). In the adduct structure of one-equivalent stoichiometry 1a (Figure 3), the chain appears less tense, and is characterized by the largest minimum distances between the silver atoms (Table 2). The range of Ag–O bond lengths here corresponds to solvent-free Ag (I) β-diketonates (Table 1). For the adducts of half-stoichiometry 1t, 2t, and 2a, there are elongated Ag–O distances exhibited by the Ag atom that connected with a solvent molecule (Table 2). In the case of
toluene adducts 1t and 2t, these distances are realized with $\mu_3$-O of $\beta$-diketonate-ligand (≈2.72 Å), while for the acetonitrile adduct 2a the distance is noticeably shorter (≈2.60 Å) and realized with $\mu_2$-O.

Table 1. Selected distances and angles in the structures [Ag(tfac)]$_\infty$ 1 and [Ag(pfpac)]$_\infty$ 2.

| Coordination Polymer | [Ag (tfac)]$_\infty$ | [Ag (pfpac)]$_\infty$ |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Identification code  | 1                    | 2                    |
| Distance, Å          |                      |                      |
| Ag–O$_\beta$-dik (chelate, non-bridging) | 2.328 (6), 2.374 (6) | 2.37 (1)–2.46 (2) |
| Ag–O$_\beta$-dik (chelate, bridging) | 2.374 (6) | 2.37 (1)–2.43 (1) |
| Ag–O$_\beta$-dik (non-chelate, bridging) | 2.466 (6) | 2.26 (1)–2.37 (1) |
| Ag–C$_\alpha$ | 2.336 (8) | 2.25 (2)–2.32 (2) |
| Ag···Ag (min., in the chain) | 3.666 (2) | 3.43 (1)–4.25 (1) |
| Ag···Ag (min., between the chains) | 2.861 (2) | 7.80(1) |
| Angle, °             |                      |                      |
| O–Ag–O$_\beta$-dik (chelate) | 77.0 (3) | 71.9 (5)–76.2 (5) |
| Deformation of chelate metallocycle AgO$_2$C$_3$: angle between the planes, ° | | |
| (Ag, O, O$_\beta$) & (O, C, C$_\beta$, O$_\beta$) | 162.2 | 156.4–159.8 |
| (C, C$_\alpha$, C$_\beta$) & (O, C, C$_\beta$, O$_\beta$) | 170.1 | 168.5–172.2 |

Table 2. Selected distances and angles in the structures of the adducts 1a, 2a, 1t, and 2t. Elongated Ag–O bonds are underlined. If noted, the additional designation of silver atoms means: Ag(NS) = non-solvent-connected Ag atom, Ag(S) = solvent-connected Ag atom.

| General Formula | [Ag$_2$ (L$_2$ Q)$_\infty$] |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| L             | tfac                     | pfpac                   |
| Q             | CH$_3$CN, X = N          | C$_2$H$_4$, X = C       |
| Identification Code | 1a                      | 1t                      | 2a                      | 2t                      |
| Distance, Å   |                         |                         |                         |
| Ag(S)–X       | 2.650 (2), 2.548 (2)     | 2.180 (3)               | 2.481 (5)               |
| Ag (NS)–O$_\beta$-dik (chelate, non-bridging) | 2.344 (2) | 2.370 (2) | 2.346 (3) |
| Ag (S)–O$_\beta$-dik (chelate, non-bridging) | 2.395 (2) | –         | –         |
| Ag (NS)–O$_\beta$-dik (chelate, bridging) | 2.412 (2)–2.455 (2) | 2.588 (2)–2.472 (2) | 2.365 (3)–2.515 (4) |
| Ag (S)–O$_\beta$-dik (chelate, bridging) | 2.388 (2), 2.714 (2) | 2.474 (2), 2.596 (2) | 2.484 (4), 2.732 (3) |
| Ag (NS)–O$_\beta$-dik (non-chelate, bridging) | 2.459 (2) | 2.397 (2), 2.494 (2) | 2.326 (2), 2.488 (2) |
| Ag (S)–O$_\beta$-dik (non-chelate, bridging) | 2.369 (2) | 2.390 (3) | 2.487 (5) |
| Ag (NS)–C$_\alpha$ | –          | –         | –         |
| Ag (S)–C$_\alpha$ | 2.468 (2) | –         | –         |
| Ag···Ag (min., in the chain) | 3.820 (2) | 3.1235 (4) | 3.2379 (3) | 3.1087 (6) |
| Angle, °       |                         |                         |                         |
| O–Ag–O$_\beta$-dik (chelate) | 75.40 (6) | 67.53 (5)–76.67 (6) | 66.79 (7)–75.82 (8) |
| Ag···Ag···Ag (in the chain) | 71.9, 108.1 | 69.8, 110.2 | 64.0, 116.0 | 72.4, 107.6 |
| Deformation of chelate metallocycle AgO$_2$C$_3$: angle between the planes, ° | | | |
| (Ag, O, O$_\beta$) & (O, C, C$_\beta$, O$_\beta$) | 160.8 | 130.3–147.3 | 132.0, 148.4 |
| (C, C$_\alpha$, C$_\beta$) & (O, C, C$_\beta$, O$_\beta$) | 173.2 | 169.4, 178.3 | 172.6, 179.0 |

It should be noted that the structures of 2 and all the adducts belong to the chain polymeric motif. In compound 1, each chain is connected via short contacts Ag···Ag of 2.82 Å with the neighboring ones, spreading in the perpendicular direction (Figure 1). As a result, compound 1 features a 3D coordination framework.
Ag (NS)–O

\( \text{Ag (S)–O} \)

\( \text{Ag (S)–O} \)

\( \text{Ag (NS)–O} \)

\( \text{Ag (S)–O} \)

Identification Code 1a 1t 2a 2t

General Formula \([\text{Ag}^{\beta}\text{dik}27, \text{C}27], 677\]

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{C} \) (non-chelate, bridging) 2.459 (2) 2.397 (2), 2.404 (2) 2.326 (2), 2.488 (2) 2.292 (3), 2.432 (4)

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{C} \) (chelate, non-bridging) 2.395 (2) – – –

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{C} \) (chelate, bridging) 2.439 (2) 2.388 (2), 2.714 (2)

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{X} \) – 2.650 (2), 2.548 (2) 2.180 (3) 2.481 (5)

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{X} \) (chelate, non-bridging) – 2.412 (2)–2.455 (2) 2.358 (2)–2.472 (2) 2.365 (3)–2.515 (4)

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{C} \) (chelate) 75.40 (6) 67.53 (5)–76.67 (6) 66.79 (7)–75.82 (8) 69.7 (1)–77.1 (1)

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{C} \) – 2.369 (2) 2.390 (3) 2.487 (5)

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{C} \) – 2.344 (2) 2.370 (2) 2.346 (3)

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{C} \) – 2.348 (3) 2.370 (4) 2.346 (3)

\( \text{Ag} \)–\( \text{C} \) – 2.348 (3) 2.370 (4) 2.346 (3)

Deformation of chelate metallocycle AgO

To construe the observed structural diversity, we analyzed in the same manner the structures presented in this work and the earlier published toluene and acetonitrile adducts:

1. \([\text{Ag}2\text{(hfac)}2\text{(C}7\text{H}6\text{)}] \text{3t} [20] \text{(hfac = 1,1,1,5,5,5-hexafluoro-3,5-pentanedionate, } R1 = R2 = \text{CF}3\text{)}

2. \([\text{Ag}2\text{(btfac)}2\text{(CH}3\text{CN)}] \text{4a} [21] \text{(btfac = 4,4,4-trifluoro-1-phenyl-1,3-butanedionate, } R1 = \text{CF}3, R2 = \text{C}6\text{H}3\text{)}

3. \([\text{Ag}2\text{(nphtfac)}2\text{(CH}3\text{CN)}] \text{5a} [21] \text{(nphtfac = 4,4,4-trifluoro-1-(2-naphthyl)-1,3-butanedione, } R1 = \text{CF}3, R2 = \text{C}10\text{H}7\text{)}

Figure 1. Fragments of the structure of compound \([\text{Ag} (\text{tfac})]_\infty \text{1} \) in two projections. C and F atoms are shown in a sticks model, H atoms are omitted. Dashed lines indicate Ag····O and Ag···Ag contacts longer than 2.60 Å. Centered circles indicate perpendicular to the figure direction of chain propagation, arrows indicate a vertical or horizontal direction. Disorder of the CF\textsubscript{3} group is not shown. Atoms’ coloring scheme: orange—Ag, red—O, gray—C, green—F.

Figure 2. A fragment of the structure of compound \([\text{Ag} (\text{pfpac})]_\infty \text{2} \). C and F atoms are shown in a sticks model, H atoms are omitted. Atoms’ coloring scheme: orange—Ag, red—O, gray—C, green—F.
Figure 2. A fragment of the structure of compound [Ag (pfpac)]\(\infty\)\(\text{2}\). C and F atoms are shown in a sticks model, H atoms are omitted. Dashed lines indicate Ag···O contacts longer than 2.60 Å. Atoms’ coloring scheme: orange—Ag, red—O, gray—C, green—F.

Figure 3. A fragment of the structure of the compounds \(1\text{t}, 2\text{t}, 1\text{a}\) and \(2\text{a}\). C and F atoms are shown in a sticks model, H atoms are omitted. Dashed lines indicate Ag···O contacts longer than 2.60 Å. Atoms’ coloring scheme: orange—Ag, red—O, gray—C, green—F, blue—N.

We distinguished several types of secondary building units (SBUs) in these structures. The first SBU type is the \{Ag (\(\beta\)-dik)\(\text{2}\}\) block with two chelating anions. This type was revealed in the structures \(1\), two semi-equivalent toluene adducts (\(1\text{t}\) and \(2\text{t}\)), and all the semi-equivalent acetonitrile adducts (\(2\text{a}, 4\text{a}, 5\text{a}\)), and is connected in chains via Ag atoms (Figure 4). In the structure of solvent-free coordination polymers, \([\text{Ag (tfac)}]_\infty 1\), the \{Ag (\(\beta\)-dik)\(\text{2}\}\) SBUs are separated from each other and alternate with Ag atoms coordinating two O and two C atoms (Figure 4a). In all the solvent-containing structures, the \{Ag (\(\beta\)-dik)\(\text{2}\}\) SBUs are pairwise connected via Ag–C\(\alpha\) bonds. However, Ag in \{Ag (solvent)\} SBUs coordinates either four O atoms (two oxygens from one ligand of the first \{Ag (\(\beta\)-dik)\(\text{2}\}\) and two oxygens from two ligands of the second \{Ag (\(\beta\)-dik)\(\text{2}\}\}) in the case of \(2\text{a}, 1\text{t}, 2\text{t}\) (Figure 4b), or two O and one C atom in the case of \(4\text{a}\) and \(5\text{a}\) (Figure 4c). The different motifs of the chains for the adducts likely arise from different substituents in the \(\beta\)-diketonate backbone: the latter two structures comprise bulky aryl groups, while our structures comprise small CH\text{3} groups.

The second SBU type is the \{Ag (\(\beta\)-dik)\} block with one chelating anion that connects with each other in chains via Ag–C\(\alpha\) bonds (Figure 5). These SBUs are presented in \([\text{Ag (pfpac)}]_\infty 2\) and \([\text{Ag (tfac)} (\text{CH}\text{3}CN)] 1\text{a}\). In both structures, each chain connects with the neighboring one via Ag–O bonds; however, in the adduct \(1\text{a}\), the two bonds are formed within the pair of \{Ag (\(\beta\)-dik)\} SBUs (Figure 5a), while in solvent-free coordination polymer \(2\), each \{Ag (\(\beta\)-dik)\} forms Ag–O bonds with two different SBUs (Figure 5b). Interestingly, the latter structure manifests itself as a double stranded one, akin to a DNA strand (Figure 6).
Finally, it is interesting to note that, despite the same stoichiometry, the structure of the toluene adduct 4t with the hfac-ligand is fundamentally different from the adducts 1t and 2t obtained in this work. The coordination polymer 4t exhibits not a chain, but a layered motif, where the \{Ag (β-dik)} SBUs are connected via Ag–O bonds into tetranuclear fragments, which are further connected by bridging toluene ligands (Figure 7). In contrast to the compounds discussed above, the structure 4t features no Ag–Cα bonds with the β-diketonate.

3.3. Thermal Analysis

Thermal properties of the compounds were studied in an inter-atmosphere (helium flow). For a new family of Ag (I) pfpac-compounds we compared the behavior of pristine β-diketonate 2 with its water 2w and acetonitrile 2a adducts (Figure 8a).
Figure 5. Schematic representation of (a) [Ag (tfac) (CH$_3$CN)]$_1$; (b) [Ag (pfpac)]$_\infty$.$^2$ The substituents in β-ligands are omitted for clarity. {Ag (β-dik)} SBUs are marked green and pink.

Figure 6. Schematic representation of [Ag (pfpac)]$_\infty$ stranded structure. Only Ag and bridging O and C atoms are shown. The positions of the ligands are depicted as dashed lines, translucent ribbons show the twist of the chains.

The mass loss of the adducts starts from the solvent elimination: for 2w: calcd. $\omega$ ($\frac{1}{2}$H$_2$O) = 2.9%, exp. the first mass loss step = 2.6%; for 2a: calcd. $\omega$ ($\frac{1}{2}$CH$_3$CN) = 6.2%, exp. the first mass loss step = 6.6%. It should be noted that water is lost at 30–60 °C, while acetonitrile is lost at higher temperatures (50–90 °C). This may indicate a weaker binding of water molecules. Moreover, according to differential TG curves (DTG), water eliminates at one step, while CH$_3$CN leaves the coordination sphere in two steps (Figure 8a). According to c-DTA, solvent removal is endothermic.

The solvent-free coordination polymer 2 is stable up to roughly 115 °C (for all samples), and the major mass loss proceeds in one step and is completed before 200 °C. Then, a slow small mass loss occurs, which can be attributed to the annealing of the carbon products of the compounds’ decomposition. XRPD shows a single crystalline decomposition product, namely, metallic silver (PDF 010–89 3722 [35]). However, the calculations of the mass loss curves allow the suggesting of the presence of an amorphous component: for 2w calcd. $\omega$ (Ag) = 33.7%, exp. $\omega$ (residue) = 36.4%; for 2a calcd. $\omega$ (Ag) = 32.5%, exp. $\omega$ (residue) = 36.0%; for 2 calcd. $\omega$ (Ag) = 34.7%, exp. $\omega$ (residue) = 37.5%). This component is likely an unannealed amorphous carbon.
with the data obtained under similar conditions for the related solvent-free fluorinated α-diketonate adducts. The decomposition of solvent-free coordination polymer architectures, despite the presence of a stronger electroacceptor substituent in adducts (Figure 8a).

Within a family of tfac-based compounds, aqua-β-diketonate 1w was studied (Figure 8b). Similar to 2w, the first mass loss step corresponds to endothermic water elimination: calcld. ω (½H2O) = 3.3%, exp. mass loss value = 3.7%). However, this process occurs at higher temperatures (55–90 °C). Apparently, in adduct 1w, water is connected by stronger interactions than in 2w, despite the presence of a stronger electroacceptor substituent in 2w (C2F5 versus CF3). This may indicate completely different structures of the considered aqueous adducts. The decomposition of solvent-free coordination polymer 1 starts at 100 °C.

The thermal behavior of the Ag(I) β-diketonates considered here was compared with the data obtained under similar conditions for the related solvent-free fluorinated [Ag (R1C(O)CαHC(O)R2)]∞ compounds containing a bulky non-fluorinated substituent:
R¹ = CF₃, R² = C(CH₃)₃ (ptac), C(OCH₃) (CH₃)₂ (zif) (Figure 8b). All these complexes were decomposed to metallic silver (XRPD). Herewith, Ag (tfac) 1, which alone contains argentophilic interactions in the structure, is the least terminally stable, while Ag (zis) where the silver coordination is supplemented by an Ag–OCH₃ bond, is the most stable. The other two β-diketonates are comparable in thermal stability.

4. Conclusions

Silver (I) β-diketonate complexes exhibit great potential for structural design and the formation of various building blocks, both due to the various modes of ligand coordination (by oxygen atoms and/or methine carbon) and via the inclusion of solvents. Herein, we have investigated the effect of a fluorinated substituent (CF₃/C₂F₅) and N-donor (CH₃CN) and aromatic (toluene) solvents on the structural and thermal properties of this class of the silver compounds. The results obtained were analyzed in comparison with a series of related, fluorinated Ag (I) β-diketonates and their acetonitrile and toluene adducts. Thus, we have identified characteristic secondary building units and several new types of structural organization of these coordination polymers.

In particular, for fluorinated Ag (I) β-diketonates, the coordination polymer with a small-size methyl substituent (tfac) possesses a 3D framework structure based on argentophilic interactions, and therefore is the least thermally stable. An increase of bulkiness in both fluorinated (CF₃ → C₂F₅) and non-fluorinated (CH₃ → C(CH₃)₃/C(OCH₃) (CH₃)₂/C₆H₅) substituents leads to the expected decrease in the structural dimension to 2D (double chains). The mutual repulsion of large-size fluorinated substituents (C₂F₅) leads to structural reorganization and helical twisting of two chains.

For Ag (I) β-diketonate adducts, the stoichiometry is not the main structure-determining parameter. In fact, three motifs of structural organization were found for [Ag₂ (L)₂ (Q)]∞ polymers (Q = CH₃CN, toluene). At the same time, these adducts with different β-diketonate-ligand and solvents can form the same structure motif. This includes the chain structures of both CH₃CN and toluene adducts with asymmetric fluorinated β-diketonates (R¹ = CH₃, R² = CF₃/C₂F₅). The introduction of an aromatic non-fluorinated substituent into the anion leaves the main structure motif (1D, double chains), changing the chain organization. However, the latter was shown only for acetonitrile adducts. Finally, the presence of two CF₃-groups in the β-diketonate-anion allows for the bridging function of toluene in the adduct structure. Thus, the combination of solvent and β-diketonate is important here, but more structural data is needed to understand the general trends. However, the adducts with acetonitrile are evidently more stable when compared to that with toluene owing due to better binding.

The obtained compounds broaden a family of silver (I) coordination polymers and might be employed to obtain Ag-containing functional materials. The exploration of their biological properties can also be a subject of further research.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at. Figure S1: Typical IR spectra of the samples; Table S1: Crystal data and structure refinement for the compounds; Table S2: Geometry analysis of the complexes showing coordination number of Ag of 4 by SHAPE software; Table S3: Geometry analysis of the complexes showing coordination number of Ag of 5 by SHAPE software.
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