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Defect induced “Super mop” like behaviour of Eu\(^{3+}\)-doped hierarchical Bi\(_2\)SiO\(_5\) nanoparticles for improved catalytic and adsorptive behaviour

Debashrita Sarkar, Sagar Ganguli, Athma E. Praveen and Venkataramanan Mahalingam*

Development of “super-mops”, i.e., materials that are able to rapidly adsorb and degrade pollutants from water bodies is a necessity for sustaining the marine ecosystem. But, most materials can perform either adsorption or degradation of pollutants leading to sluggish pollutant removal process. With the aim of developing “super-mop”, we have synthesized Eu\(^{3+}\) incorporated Bi\(_2\)SiO\(_5\) with high surface area. Eu\(^{3+}\) incorporation led to rapid formation and stabilization of orthorhombic/monoclinic phase Bi\(_2\)SiO\(_5\) by inducing asymmetry in the structure at significantly lower temperature compared to earlier reports. Further, partial reduction of Eu\(^{3+}\) to Eu\(^{2+}\) due to reaction conditions resulted in defects in the system by creation of oxygen deficient regions on the surface. These promoted self-assembly of the initially formed nanoflakes into hierarchical microflowers. The as-synthesized materials, particularly after 1.5 percent Eu\(^{3+}\) incorporation showed high efficiency in removal of a wide range of pollutants from aqueous medium through adsorption process with initial rates as high as 3.25 mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\). To illustrate, the material was able to adsorb >60 percent of pollutants within first five minutes of contact with aqueous solution. Eu\(^{3+}\) incorporation also significantly improved (~5 times enhancement in rate constant) the photocatalytic activity of Bi\(_2\)SiO\(_5\) by suppressing the degree of recombination as Eu\(^{3+}\) present in the material can effectively trap the photo-excited electrons to produce Eu\(^{2+}\), which thereafter rapidly de-traps to efficiently produce reactive radicals, and the presence of higher number of oxygen defects acted as excellent sites for temporary trapping of electrons to generate the reactive radical species responsible for photocatalytic degradation.

Introduction

Direct discharge of effluents containing hazardous organic materials, such as dyes, pesticides, etc. by industries and farming communities is a major concern for the sustainability of marine ecosystem. In recent years, a lot of research is being pursued around the world for removing these pollutants.\(^1\) One of the research directions for this purpose is the development of porous materials that can adsorb the pollutants from water.\(^2\) For example, Mao et al. have reported a one-step synthesis of a cationic poly(epichlorohydrin)–ethylenediamine hydrogel that has shown remarkable activity towards dye removal from aqueous solutions.\(^3\) Ayad et al. have evaluated the dye adsorption capability of polyaniline nanotubes towards methylene blue adsorption and studied the corresponding physical processes in detail.\(^4\) Unfortunately, majority of the research on the development of adsorbents focus only on improving the maximum adsorption capacity of materials. The other aspect that is often ignored but is of pivotal importance is the rate of removal, which must be very high for practical application purpose.\(^5\) Another major limitation of the adsorbent materials is the chances of subsequent leaching of the dye molecules.\(^6\) This demands the development of a “super-mop” material that would be able to rapidly adsorb as well as degrade the pollutants.
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In this context, inorganic semiconductor nanomaterials may be potential candidates due to their controllable morphology, high surface area, tuneable bandgap, dimensionality, etc. Through prudent choice of reaction conditions, it is possible to prepare inorganic materials with superior microstructural properties that are capable of removing pollutants from aqueous solutions through adsorption process.\(^7\) Further, due to the semiconducting nature of these materials, they can also participate in photocatalysis reactions. In presence of sunlight, excitons get produced in these materials and they subsequently produce reactive radical species having the capability of degrading the organic pollutants.\(^8\) The conversion of toxic dyes or pollutant molecules into relatively non-toxic intermediates and by-products via photocatalysis has been studied to validate the effectiveness of photocatalytic degradation pathway.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\) Doping of metal centres into inorganic nanomaterials, particularly in oxide-based nanostructures has recently gained significant attention as the resulting materials often shows improved photocatalytic performance due to modulation of band structure, band position, stabilization of metastable phase, etc.\(^12\)\(^–\)\(^14\) The structure of the photocatalyst also plays a key role in facilitating the course of the photocatalytic reaction.\(^15\) Among the diverse forms of structural variations reported so far, hierarchical nanostructures have shown efficacious activity over conventional structures. The improved photocatalytic behaviour is attributed to acceleration of reaction kinetics due to enhanced light absorption, high surface area and improved diffusion of the generated reactive ions.\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^17\) Among inorganic nanomaterials, bismuth silicate based semiconductor materials are particularly promising for dye removal through both adsorption and photocatalysis processes due to their high surface area. Bi\(_2\)SiO\(_5\) (BSO) is one of the emerging lead free substitute of ferroelectric luminescent...
strategy to produce monoclinic or orthorhombic BSO with results in enhanced photocatalytic performance, synthesis of protocols. long reaction times (~48 hours), and tedious post-synthesis and the available reports have employed high temperature, of pure monoclinic or orthorhombic phase BSO is challenging researchers. However, due to metastable character, synthesis of monoclinic and orthorhombic phases would be able to efficiently separate monoclinic, and orthorhombic. BSO is known to exist in different polymorphs, tetragonal, cubic, monoclinc, and orthomorhic. Among them, the monoclinic and orthorhombic phases are typically used for improving the photocatalytic performance of pure monoclinic or orthorhombic phase BSO is challenging and the available reports have employed high temperature, long reaction times (~48 hours), and tedious post-synthesis protocols. This has motivated us to develop a low-cost strategy to produce monoclinic or orthorhombic BSO with superior structural properties at lower reaction temperatures and time. Moreover, the as-synthesized BSO must be a “super-mop”, i.e. it should be able to remove pollutants rapidly from water via adsorption and subsequently degrade them effectively through photocatalysis process.

In this article, we have developed pure phase monoclinic BSO, which is otherwise difficult to stabilise, through asymmetry generation by Eu³⁺-doping. Further, the Eu³⁺-doped BSO (Eu-BSO) nanoparticles are able to demonstrate “super-mop” behaviour, i.e., they possess excellent adsorption as well as photocatalytic behaviour. Briefly, the slight doping of Eu³⁺ into the BSO matrix led to the rise of a range of interesting changes. This encompasses asymmetric phase stabilization, formation of hierarchical self-assembled microstructures, higher surface area-pore size and pore volume, oxygen deficiency sites, redox couple, etc. Time dependent crystallisation of Eu-BSO was studied to understand the growth mechanism. Partial reduction of Eu³⁺ to Eu²⁺ took place due to the reaction conditions resulting into generation of defect sites. Oxygen deficient regions, as defect sites at the surface lead to self-assembly of the initially formed nanoplates into hierarchical microflowers with improved surface area as compared to BSO. The as-synthesized materials, particularly after 1.5 percent Eu³⁺ incorporation showed high efficiency in removal of a wide range of pollutants via adsorption and photocatalysis. The effectiveness of Eu³⁺-incorporation to enhance the rapid removal of a wide range of organic pollutants from aqueous solutions through adsorption and photocatalytic degradation processes compared to the available reports on BSO material validate it to be a promising strategy to develop “super-mop” materials for practical application purposes.

Results and discussion

Phase Analysis and Growth Mechanism: The as-obtained BSO materials synthesized via solvothermal method at different time intervals were subjected to PXRD analysis to obtain information regarding their phases as well as the growth mechanism. The material was found to first crystallize into tetragonal phase in 2 hours (as shown in Fig. S1c). With increase in reaction time (6h), a peak at 20 ~ 12° was found to appear. The exact origin of this peak is debatable as this peak is reported to appear for tetragonal BSO with I4/mmm symmetry only upon heterometal doping. Moreover, this is also present in the standard pattern of both monoclinic and orthorhombic phases of BSO. As in our case, this peak appeared for undoped BSO, we believe this suggests the formation of monoclinic/orthorhombic phases. Recently, the exact phase identification between monoclinic and orthorhombic BSO, particularly at room temperature, has received great attention. Taniguchi et al. have reported that the orthorhombic BSO is stable only at temperatures higher than 500 °C and with lowering temperature, the SiO2 units twist making 172.2° angle leading to stabilization of monoclinic crystal structure. Girard and co-workers have however suggested that it is difficult to ascertain the exact crystal phase as the monoclinic distortion is very small and is less than the uncertainties of both diffraction measurements and theoretical calculations.

In this context, Eu³⁺ at various concentrations (0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2 percent with respect to Bi²⁺) was introduced into the reaction medium and the solvothermal reactions were carried out for different time intervals. Eu³⁺ was chosen because its identical charge (III) but slightly smaller ionic radii (108.7 pm) with that of Bi³⁺ (117 pm) make it compatible for occupying the lattice positions of BSO and induce the desired asymmetry in the crystal structure. As shown in Fig. S1a, all four characteristic peaks belonging to (200), (020), (002), and (022) of monoclinic BSO became prominent after 8h and pure monoclinic BSO was obtained after 10h reaction for the material synthesized using 1.5% of Eu³⁺ w.r.t Bi³⁺ (Eu³⁺-BSO). A closer inspection of the PXRD patterns recorded for materials synthesized at lower reaction times (2h, 6h, etc.) indicate that the formation of the final monoclinic phase may be proceeding through the generation of some Bi₂O₃ polymorphs (probably cubic delta or tetragonal beta phases) and a small amount of silicate. Such observation was earlier reported by Back et al. The peaks in the PXRD patterns for the other three materials (Eu₂O₃-BSO, Eu₁₋ₓBSO, and Euₓ⁺-BSO) obtained after 10h also correspond to pure monoclinic BSO without the presence of any impurity phase (Fig. 1).
Fig. 1. The PXRD pattern of Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ (BSO) and Eu$^{3+}$-doped Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ (Eu-BSO).

Thereafter to further confirm the phase, Rietveld refinement process was performed using GSASII software to determine the crystallographic information of Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO nanoparticles. The monoclinic phase BSO (ICDD 04-039-9380) was used as the model for refinement. As shown in Fig. S2, the experimental, calculated, difference and Bragg’s position indicates that the Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO belongs to the monoclinic phase of BSO class of materials. The crystal structure parameters and fitting reliability of GOF 2.4% and R$_{wp}$ 10.2% further confirm that doping Eu$^{3+}$ into BSO stabilises the BSO structure in pure phase monoclinic system as summarised in Table S1. We believe that such Eu$^{3+}$ doping-induced faster phase transformation to stabilize the asymmetric crystal structure clearly indicate that Eu$^{3+}$ doping have lowered the energy barrier for the transition from tetragonal crystal system to a pure phase monoclinic system. To our knowledge, this is the first report where pure monoclinic BSO has been prepared within such short duration and at such low temperature.

FTIR Analysis: To further understand the role of Eu$^{3+}$ in stabilizing the monoclinic phase, FT-IR analysis of all materials synthesized after 10 h of solvothermal reaction were carried out (Fig. 2a). The obtained bands with corresponding bond vibrations are tabulated in the supporting information (SI) (Table S2). The most distinct feature observed after Eu$^{3+}$ incorporation is the intensification of the peak around 945 cm$^{-1}$. Zhu et al. have assigned this band to the stretching vibration mode of isolated (SiO$_2$)$_6^-$ groups forming distorted tetrahedron. Thus, the intensification of this band in Eu-BSO compared to BSO strongly validates our claim that the incorporation of Eu$^{3+}$ has induced asymmetry in the crystal structure leading to the faster generation and stabilization of the monoclinic BSO phase.

Elemental composition Analysis: To quantify the exact amount of incorporated Eu$^{3+}$ and its relative ratio to the amount of Bi$^{3+}$ in the as-synthesized materials, ICP-AES and EDS analyses were performed and the obtained results are presented in Table S3, Table S4 and Fig. S4. Both data suggest that the relative percentage of incorporated Eu$^{3+}$ w.r.t. Bi$^{3+}$ has been in accordance to that of corresponding reactant concentration. For example, the Eu$^{3+}$ present in Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO was found to be 1.4 percent w.r.t. Bi$^{3+}$ from ICP-AES respectively.

Chemical State Analysis: To understand the chemical nature of different elements in as-synthesized materials, XPS analysis was performed for BSO and Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO and the results are shown in Fig. S3 (calibrated to C1s peak at 284.6 eV). For BSO, the peaks at 159.1 eV and 164.3 eV corresponding to the binding energies of Bi 4f$_{7/2}$ and Bi 4f$_{5/2}$ core levels, respectively, is attributed to Bi$^{3+}$ in the short Bi-O bonds of Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ (Fig. S3a). Moreover, the two smaller peaks at 163.0 and 157.9 eV can be ascribed to complex formed between the free Bi$^{3+}$ present on the surface with the ethylene glycol used as solvent during synthesis. The absence of any significant change in the observed binding energy of Bi$^{3+}$ in Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO suggests that the chemical nature around the Bi$^{3+}$ ions remains same even after Eu$^{3+}$ doping (Fig. S3b).
Fig. 2. (a) FT-IR spectra of BSO and Eu-BSO, XPS of (b) O1s for BSO (c) Eu3d for Eu_{1.5}-BSO and (d) O1s for BSO.

The basis of peak separation of 5.2 eV is in agreement with works of Yu and co-workers where they observed a similar difference in binding energy for Bi 4f_{7/2} and Bi 4f_{5/2} levels. The peak at 101.2 eV in both materials corresponds to the presence of Si^{4+} and this stems from Si-O bond of the silicate tetrahedral (Fig. S3c and S3d). Interestingly, though Eu was found to primarily exist in its (+III) oxidation state as confirmed from the strong peaks at 1163.6 eV and 1133.96 eV, the presence of small amount of Eu^{2+} was also evident from the appearance of its characteristic peaks at 1155.2 eV and 1125.8 eV (Fig. 2b). In one of our previous articles, we have observed that ethylene glycol is capable of reducing Eu^{3+} into Eu^{2+} at high temperature. Therefore, we believe the usage of ethylene glycol during synthesis is responsible for the partial reduction of Eu^{3+} into Eu^{2+} in the as-prepared Eu-BSO materials. The effect of the formation of Eu^{2+} also got reflected in the O 1s spectrum as shown in Fig 2c and 2d. For BSO, the peak at the binding energy of 529.2 eV is arising. Interestingly, for Eu_{1.5}-BSO, while the lattice O peak appeared at 529.8 eV, a significant contribution in the overall spectrum was observed at 531.77 eV. In recent past, several research groups have attributed this to the O atoms present at oxygen deficient regions in a material. The relative percentage of the oxygen vacancies was determined following the protocol developed by Wang et al. where the ratio of the area under the curves, was found to be ~10.3% w.r.t. lattice oxygen present in Eu_{1.5}-BSO. Therefore, the presence of Eu^{2+} in the Eu_{1.5}-BSO results in the formation of higher number of oxygen deficiencies, thus causing defects sites in semiconducting BSO. We believe that this may be due to the necessity of overall charge neutralization in the final material.

**Morphology Analysis:** The as-synthesized materials (BSO and Eu-BSO obtained after 10 h reaction) were subjected to electron microscopy (FE-SEM and TEM) analyses to understand their morphologies. Both SEM and TEM images for BSO suggest the formation of nanoplate like structures with an average length of 200 nm and an average width of 20-30 nm (Fig. 3 and Fig. S5). The appearance of 0.263 nm lattice spacing in the HR-TEM image reveals the presence of (002) plane associated with the monoclinic phase of BSO and this is further supported by corresponding FFT pattern. Interestingly, for all Eu-BSO, a self-assembly of such nanoplates via interweaving into hierarchical microspheres was observed.

**Nanoplate to microflower formation:** To better understand the evolution of respective morphologies, a time dependent microscopy analysis was carried out. For the precursor of BSO as well as BSO (30 min), spherical particles with diameter of ~7-8 nm were found to assemble into irregular structures with roughened surface (Fig. 4b). Interestingly, after 2h, these rough and irregular structures were transformed into nanoflakes and were found to grow radially into relatively larger flakes. A similar observation of irregular assembly of small spherical particles was observed for the precursor of Eu_{1.5}-BSO and Eu_{1.5}-BSO (30 min). But, for the Eu_{1.5}-BSO obtained from reactions carried out for longer times, self-assembly of nanoplates into...
hierarchical microspheres resembling that of corresponding final product was observed (Fig. 4i-l).

We believe that the tendency of the nanoplates to self-assemble only in Eu-doped materials is arising from the relatively higher population of oxygen deficiencies due to partial reduction of Eu$^{3+}$ into Eu$^{2+}$. To confirm, a Sm$^{3+}$-doped BSO was prepared through solvothermal method and was characterized to be phase pure monoclinic by PXRD analysis (Fig. S6a). Sm$^{3+}$ was chosen because it is known to strongly resist reduction into Sm$^{2+}$ state and therefore the generation of oxygen deficiencies at the surface would be minimum. FE-SEM analysis confirmed that while the material is plate-like in morphology, no self-assembly has taken place despite the presence of a lanthanide dopant (Fig. S6b). Therefore, we propose strongly that oxygen-deficiency was the primary driving force for the self-assembly process. The oxygen-deficiency resulted in localized charges at the surface resulting in high surface energy and in order to lower the overall surface energy of the system, the nanoflakes self-assembled into hierarchical structures. Thereafter, all materials (BSO and Eu-BSO) were subjected to EDS mapping analysis and as shown in Fig. S7, Eu was found to be evenly distributed in the materials.

Surface Area Analysis: To quantitatively understand the porosity features of the as-synthesized materials, nitrogen adsorption-desorption analysis was performed. For BSO, the isotherm was type IV in nature with a H3 hysteresis loop characterizing the formation of slit-like pores from plate-like particles (Fig. S8). Interestingly, the hysteresis in the isotherm for Eu$_{0.5}$-BSO and Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO corresponded to a combination of H3 and H4, which is typically symbolic for narrow slit-like pores having an irregular shape and broad size distribution. The surface area, average pore size and pore volume for all materials are presented in Table S5. While the BET surface area increased from 27 m$^2$/g for BSO to 70 m$^2$/g for Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO, a sudden decrease to 18 m$^2$/g was observed for Eu$_{2}$-BSO. We believe that the initial improvement is a result of the self-assembly of the nanoplates into microflowers as the latter consisted of higher number of pores due to its hierarchical structure. Despite similar hierarchy, the steep decrease of surface area in Eu$_{2}$-BSO probably arose due to the substantial lowering of pore volume for this material compared to others. We believe that such decreased pore volume in presence of higher dopant concentration was a result of “doping induced pore-collapse” phenomenon.

![Fig. 3. FE-SEM images of (a1-a2), BSO nanoparticles (b1-b2) Eu$_{0.5}$-BSO, (c1-c2) Eu$_{1}$-BSO (d1-d2) Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO and (e) Eu$_{2}$-BSO.](image-url)
Fig. 4. Time dependent evolution of (a-f) BSO nanoparticles depicting plate like structures (g-l) Eu1.5-BSO depicting assembled structures

**Optical Property Analysis:** Upon 312 nm excitation, a broad emission peak centred at 470 nm was observed for all materials. This broad emission may be attributed to the bandgap emission from bismuth silicate.\(^\text{49}\) According to reports, the valence band of Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ was comprised of Bi 6s and O 2p orbitals, whereas the conduction was comprised of Bi 6p orbitals. From [Fig. S9a], it is conduction that the emission intensity of the Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO nanoparticles is the least among the materials signifying lower degree of radiative recombination. We believe that such lowering of recombination is primarily due to trapping of the excitons in the defects evolved after Eu-doping. This also suggests the applicability of this material in applications that require charge extraction, such as photocatalysis.

The presence of Eu$^{3+}$ ion in Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ is advantageous as it is a suitable optical probe in understanding the crystallinity of the local environment. To confirm the presence of Eu$^{3+}$-doping, photoluminescence spectra were recorded in solid-state by 396 nm excitation. The emission spectra of Eu-doped BSO nanoparticles display five bands around 580 nm, 595 nm, 616 nm, 653 nm and 703 nm wavelength region corresponding to $\text{S}_0\rightarrow\text{T}_{\text{F1,2,3,4}}$, respectively ([Fig. S9b]). The higher intensity of the hypersensitive $\text{S}_0\rightarrow\text{F}_1$ (616 nm) electric-dipole transition as compared to $\text{S}_0\rightarrow\text{F}_1$ (595 nm) magnetic dipole transition indicates that the metal sites are of low symmetry and possess no inversion centre.\(^\text{50}\) It is interesting to note that while the emission bands corresponding to all the transitions from $\text{S}_0\rightarrow\text{F}_{1,2,3,4}$ increase in intensity upon Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO, a decrease was observed for the Eu$_{2}$-BSO. The observed decrease in intensity with increase in Eu$^{3+}$ is attributed to increased cross-relaxation and concentration quenching.\(^\text{51}\) To probe the presence of Eu$^{2+}$ in BSO nanoparticles, the solid state materials were excited at wavelength of 465 nm,\(^\text{52}\) and the corresponding photoluminescence spectra is depicted in [Fig. S10]. A broad peak centred at 496 nm and 514 nm corresponding to the transition $4f^{5}5d^{1}\rightarrow4f^{7}$ has been observed corresponding to Eu$^{2+}$.\(^\text{53–55}\) As the amount of Eu doping was very miniscule, the doping amount was increased to 2.5 % to obtain a relatively higher emission of intensity. Further, relatively weaker peaks at 595 nm, 616 nm, 653 nm and 703 nm characteristic of Eu$^{3+}$ were also observed in the photoluminescence spectra of the materials. The high intensity of peaks arising from Eu$^{2+}$ compared to those arising from Eu$^{3+}$ may be ascribed to the allowed inter-configuration nature of the transitions. In fact, the intensity ratio of 496 nm peak belonging to Eu$^{2+}$ and 714 nm belonging to Eu$^{3+}$ was found to be highest in case of Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO implying that the proportion of conversion of Eu$^{3+}$ into Eu$^{2+}$ is maximum in this material.

**Band Gap Analysis:** The band gap of all materials was determined using diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. [Fig. S11a] shows the absorption spectrum of the different Eu-BSO nanomaterials. The Eu-BSO materials showed blue shift with increase in the dopant amount. This may be either due to an upliftment in Fermi energy of the conduction edge leading to band gap enhancement or due to reduction of particle size with an increase in Eu$^{3+}$ doping.\(^\text{56}\) In general, band gaps of semiconductors are determined using Tauc plot according to the following formula

\[
\alpha h\nu = A(h\nu-E_g)^{2/n}
\]  
(Eqn. 1)

where, the value of n is dependent on the type of semiconductor, i.e., n=1 for direct bandgap semiconductor and n=4 for indirect bandgap semiconductor. However, for solid state materials, the band gap calculated using Kubelka-Munk plot according to the following formula is known to provide more appropriate results.

\[
F(R) = \frac{(1-R)^2}{2R}
\]  
(Eqn. 2)

where, F(R) is the Kubelka-Munk function, (1-R) signifies the molar absorption coefficient, 2R signifies the scattering factor, and R is the reflectance of the solid material. The band gap of a semiconductor is obtained by plotting hv as the abscissa and (F(R)hv)$^{1/2}$ in the ordinate. As BSO is known to be a direct bandgap semiconductor, the bandgap was calculated from the intercept of the slope at x-axis for the plot between (F(R)hv)$^{1/2}$ and hv at F(R) =0.\(^\text{58}\) The calculated bandgap of BSO nanoparticles is 3.62 eV as shown in [Fig. S11b]. The bandgaps for Eu$_{0.5}$-BSO, Eu$_{1.0}$-BSO, Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO, and Eu$_{2}$-BSO were calculated to be 3.63 eV, 3.64 eV, 3.83 eV, and 3.80 eV, respectively. This slight increase in the bandgap with increase in Eu$^{3+}$ doping concentration is in accordance with the blue shift of the absorption spectra towards lower wavelength.

**Band Position Analysis:** The band positions of the undoped and doped BSO nanoparticles were determined using Mott Schottky plot obtained at different frequencies as shown in [Fig. S12]. The analysis was performed thrice and the average potential as well as the standard deviation were calculated ([Table S6]). The positive slope obtained for BSO, as well as Eu-BSO suggests that
the synthesized nanoparticles retain their n-type character even after doping.\(^5\) As shown in Fig. S12, the negative flat band potential at -0.97V, -1.02 V, -1.01 V, -0.61 V, -0.61 V (vs. NHE) corresponding to BSO, Eu\(_{0.5}\)-BSO, Eu\(_{1}\)-BSO, Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO, and Eu\(_2\)-BSO, were directly used as conduction band (CB) potential and the band gap value calculated using Kubelka-Munk plot was subsequently used to determine the valence band (VB) position of the nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. S13, the valence band potential of Eu\(_{0.5}\)-BSO, Eu\(_{1}\)-BSO, Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO, and Eu\(_2\)-BSO were calculated to be 2.65 V, 2.61 V, 2.63 V, 3.22 V, and 3.19 V for BSO, respectively using the formula:

\[
E_{\text{VB}} = E_{\text{CB}} - E_{\text{BG}}
\]

(Eqn. 3)

**Water Decontamination:** The improved BET surface area, stabilized monoclinic phase, and lower degree of radiative recombination in Eu-BSO compared to BSO motivated us to employ the as-synthesized materials for water decontamination. First, the adsorption ability of the materials was explored.

(a) **Adsorption Analysis:** To understand the adsorption capability of the as-synthesized materials, an aqueous solution containing methylene blue as the model pollutant was chosen. The removal of methylene blue was calculated by observing the change in its absorption spectra at \(\lambda = 640\) nm. Among Eu-BSO, the adsorption studies were conducted with Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO due to its highest microstructural properties. The equilibrium adsorption capacity of BSO and Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO at different dye concentration was computed using Eqn. 4.

\[
q_{e} = \frac{(C_{0} - C_{e})V}{m}
\]

(Eqn. 4)

where, \(q_e\) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(C_0\) and \(C_e\) are the initial and equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate in the solution phase (mg L\(^{-1}\)), respectively, \(V\) is the solution volume (L), and \(m\) is the adsorbent mass (g). As shown in Fig. S5a and S5b, the adsorption capacity of Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO was found to be ~3.75 times higher at low dye concentration (5 and 10 \(\mu\)M) and ~2.5 times higher at relatively higher dye concentration (20 and 50 \(\mu\)M), compared to BSO thereby suggesting that the dye adsorption capacity of Eu-BSO has significantly improved upon Eu\(_{1.5}\)-incorporation. To better understand the nature of interactions responsible as well as the timescale for the adsorption processes, time-dependent dye adsorption analysis was performed with 10 \(\mu\)M and 50 \(\mu\)M methylene blue solutions. The obtained data (Fig. S14-S15) were then fitted to pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order and intraparticle diffusion models according to their linear expressions and the validity of the models were determined from corresponding determination coefficient (R\(^2\)) values (Eqn. 5, 6 and 7, respectively).

\[
\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - (k_1t/2.303)
\]

(Eqn. 5)

\[
t/q_t = (1/(k_2q_e^2)) + (t/q_e)
\]

(Eqn. 6)

\[
q_t = k_3t^{0.5} + C
\]

(Eqn. 7)

where, \(q_0\) and \(q_t\) are the amount of adsorbed dye (mg g\(^{-1}\)) at equilibrium and at time \(t\) (min), and \(k_1\) is the adsorption rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)). \(k_2\) (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) is the pseudo-second order rate constant. \(k_3\) is the intraparticle diffusion rate (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-0.5}\)) and \(c\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) is a constant related to the thickness of the boundary layer.

It is clearly evident from Fig. 5c, 5d, S14, and S15 that the R\(^2\) of the pseudo-second order kinetic model is much higher than the other two models and therefore, we may conclude that the dye adsorption processes for both BSO and Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO at different dye concentrations is primarily proceeding through chemisorption process.\(^{15,58-60}\)

As mentioned in the introduction, the adsorption process must be fast for practical application purpose. In this context, the as-synthesized materials were found to be excellent candidates as majority of the pollutant removal took place within the first five minutes of contact period for both BSO and Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO (Fig. S14). This also gets reflected in the \(k_2\) values (Table S7), which represent the time required to attain an equilibrium state. A higher \(k_2\) value indicates faster attainment of the equilibrium state.\(^{61}\) While majority of recent articles report this value to be in the order of 10\(^{-3}\) or 10\(^{-4}\), the values shown by BSO and Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO were found to be almost 2-3 orders higher in magnitude (\(\sim10^{-2}\)), thereby suggesting the ability of the as-synthesized materials to adsorb the pollutants fast.

Moreover, the decrease in \(k_2\) values with increase in the concentration of dye suggest a dependence of attaining the equilibrium on the initial dye concentration and this is in accordance with the theoretical interpretations of this parameter.\(^{62,63}\) To our knowledge, the \(k_2\) values alone are not able to present the true capability of the materials in terms of rate of dye removal. This is because, while in the first five minutes of contact in an aqueous solution of 10 \(\mu\)M methylene blue, BSO showing \(k_2\) of 39.3x10\(^{-2}\) g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) removed ~18 percent of the dye, and Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO showing \(k_2\) of 6.5x10\(^{-2}\) g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) removed ~66 percent.

Thus, despite lower \(k_2\), Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO was able to remove much higher amount of pollutant from aqueous solution in the initial five minutes. In this context, the initial sorption rate (\(h = k_2q_e\)) is a more appropriate parameter to represent the true potential of materials in faster removal of pollutants and was calculated for BSO and Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO.\(^{64}\) As shown in Table S7, the h of Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO was ~2.46 and ~2.41 times higher than that of BSO for 10 and 50 \(\mu\)M dye solutions, respectively. Upon comparing the values with literature reports (Table S8), it is quite evident that Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO with an h value of 3.25 mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) is a promising material for faster dye removal from aqueous solutions. Thereafter, to understand the maximum adsorption capacity (\(q_m\)) of BSO and Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO as well as to garner further information regarding the interaction between the sorbate and the adsorbent, the obtained data were fitted into linear expressions of the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. The validity of the models was determined from corresponding coefficient of determination (R\(^2\)) values. As shown in Fig. S16, BSO and Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO were found to follow the Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms, respectively. Moreover, the maximum adsorption capacity (\(q_m\)) of Eu\(_{1.5}\)-BSO was found to be ~9.53 mg g\(^{-1}\) from corresponding Langmuir isotherm analysis (Table S9).
Fig. 5. (a) Absorption spectra of methylene blue after attaining equilibrium adsorption by BSO and Eu\textsubscript{1.5}-BSO, respectively (b) relative adsorption rate for methylene blue. The pseudo-second order fitting for determining kinetic rate of methylene blue adsorption by (c) BSO and (d) Eu\textsubscript{1.5}-BSO.

(b) Photocatalytic Dye Degradation: While the adsorption capacity was found to significantly improve upon Eu\textsuperscript{3+} incorporation, the major objective of this work was to synthesize a material with both fast dye removal and degradation properties. Therefore, the photocatalytic dye degradation activities of BSO and all Eu-BSO were analysed following the standard protocol. Methylene blue was found to self-degrade up to ~15 percent under simulated solar radiation within 180 minutes (Fig. 6a). In contrast, addition of BSO in the dye solution resulted in degradation of ~40 percent of the dye, thereby signifying that the materials are able to degrade the pollutants in presence of light. A significant improvement of the photocatalytic activity was observed when Eu-BSO materials were added to the dye solutions. For example, the dye degradation was found to be highest (~98%) using Eu\textsubscript{1.5}-BSO as photocatalyst for 180 minutes. For Eu\textsubscript{0.5}-BSO, Eu\textsubscript{1}-BSO, and Eu\textsubscript{2}-BSO, the degree of degradation were 90, 94 and 96 percent, respectively. The increase in the photocatalytic activity in Eu-BSO over BSO is further supported from the kinetics of dye degradation as well.

Upon calculating the rate of dye degradation of methylene blue using first order kinetics, the rate constant of Eu-BSO materials improved by ~5 times compared to BSO (as shown in Fig. 6b). The above data clearly suggest that upon Eu\textsuperscript{3+} incorporation, not only the dye adsorption but the dye degradation property of the materials also got significantly enhanced. Different amount of methylene blue dye solution was studied to evaluate the effectiveness of the Eu\textsubscript{1.5}-BSO in degradation of contaminants. As depicted in Fig. S17, the relative adsorption is nearly 40% for 75 μM dye solution and upon light irradiation, the catalyst was able to remove ~99% of the dye. The removal of pollutants and subsequent degradation efficiency of Eu\textsubscript{1.5}-BSO was further evaluated using aqueous solutions containing other common organic pollutants such as, rhodamine B (RhB), methyl orange (MO), Chicago sky blue (CSB), rose Bengal (RBX) dye (Fig. S18, S21a). These dyes were chosen as they are considered as model cationic, anionic dye, neutral azo dye and neutral dye with attached halogen atoms. It was observed that amount of dye degradation was 60, 55 and 80 percent for MO, CSB and RBX, respectively. The practical use of Eu\textsubscript{1.5}-BSO was further evaluated by studying its ability to degrade industrial and pharmaceutical wastes such as phenol and antibiotics. It was found to be able to remove ~80% of phenol, ~65% of...
tetracycline hydrochloride and ~60% of chloramphenicol (as shown in Fig. S21b), which are considered as leading cause of superbug problems associated with dumping of pharmaceutical waste in river bodies.

The stability of the Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO as a photocatalyst was determined by carrying recyclability studies and it was found to be able to retain ~95 percent of its initial dye removal activity after five consecutive cycles (Fig. 6c). The slight reduction in photocatalytic activity of Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO can be attributed to the pore collapse phenomenon which is known to occur for oxide based semiconductors after annealing them at higher temperatures that was employed in this work between consecutive cycles for complete removal of dye molecules from the materials.56

To understand the reactive species that are primarily responsible for dye degradation, the photocatalytic experiments were performed in presence Na$_2$EDTA, AgNO$_3$, isopropanol, and Ar as hole scavenger, electron scavenger, hydroxide ion scavenger, and superoxide ion scavenger, respectively. The impeded degradation in presence of EDTA and Ar suggests that holes and superoxides ions are the active radicals involved (Fig. 6d). To further confirm the photocatalytic reactions were performed in presence of a different set of scavenging ions. Ammonium oxalate, p-benzoquinone, potassium persulphate, and tertiary butyl alcohol were employed as hole, superoxide, electron, and hydroxide radical scavengers, respectively (as shown in Fig S22). This also complements the earlier inference that holes are the primary radical species responsible for the degradation of dye during photocatalysis closely followed by superoxide ions. This is because scavenger species such as Na$_2$EDTA or (NH$_4$)$_2$C$_2$O$_4$ have a tendency to quickly form intermediates with holes to generate glyoxylic acid, iminodiacetic acid, etc., which does not allow the holes to take part in the degradation mechanism any further.67 Superoxide radicals are not able to carry out oxidation in presence of benzoquinones, as the radical involves itself in a cyclic process of redox reaction. Similarly, the constant purging of Ar hinders the formation of superoxide ions which hampers the degradation rate.68

Fig. 6. (a) The photodegradation of methylene blue dye (50µM) in presence of BSO under solar simulator. (b) Rate of degradation of methylene blue assuming first order kinetics (c) Recyclability study of the photocatalytic process with Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO, and (d) photocatalytic experiments performed in presence of active species scavengers.
Degradation Mechanism:
To understand the reaction mechanism, the rhodamine B solution at different time intervals (60 min, 120 min and 180 min) was collected during photocatalysis and subjected to ESI-MS analysis to identify the various intermediates. As shown in Fig. S19a, the initial m/z denoted as -60 is referred to the initial rhodamine solution without any catalyst. The peak at 443 is referred to as rhodamine B solution. After 60 min of light irradiation, the peaks observed at m/z value of 413, 387, 359 are identified with de-ethylated fragments of rhodamine B. The peaks at m/z value of 274, 244, 230 are various decarboxylated intermediates as observed at 120 min and 180 min (Fig. S19c and S19d). It is interesting to note that with increase in light irradiation, the intensity of the N-de-ethylated products further decreased. Based on the above observation, a probable fragmentation mechanism of rhodamine B is shown in Fig. S20.

The intermediates identified at different time intervals are similar to previously reported literature.69-72

Rationale behind improvement of photocatalytic activity upon Eu incorporation: Upon photo-irradiation, an electron-hole pair gets generated with the electron occupying the conduction band (CB) and the hole occupying the valence band (VB) in a material. The excited electrons and the holes are known to react with dissolved oxygen and water to produce superoxide (O$_2^-$) and peroxide (OH) radicals, respectively. These radicals are thermodynamically unstable and rapidly decompose organic materials. For efficient photocatalytic process, the recombination of electrons and holes is undesirable and therefore, the reasons behind the improvement of photocatalytic activity of Eu-BSO over BSO may be ascribed to efficient charge separation and extraction.73,74 To our understanding, the following factors are primarily responsible for inhibiting the electron-hole recombination: (i) Eu$^{3+}$ present in the material can effectively trap the photo-excited electrons to produce Eu$^{2+}$. Thereafter, due to the higher reduction potential of O$_2$/O$_2^-$ (0.046 V) compared to Eu$^{3+}$/Eu$^{2+}$ (-0.35 V), Eu$^{2+}$ rapidly de-traps and transfers the electrons to O$_2$ adsorbed on catalyst surface leading to the efficient production of reactive O$_2^-$. Such beneficial effect of Eu$^{3+}$-doping in Bi-based photocatalysts has also been reported by few other research groups in the recent past.75 However, such improvement in surface area followed by high adsorption has not been observed. The effect of Eu$^{3+}$ incorporation on photocatalysis is further validated by the improved photocatalytic activity of Eu$_2$-BSO over BSO. Despite inferior microstructural properties, especially the lower surface area and pore volume of the former, its significantly enhanced photocatalytic activity can only be described by considering the reduced recombination of excitons by trapping of electrons in Eu$^{3+}$ and subsequent higher generation of reactive species. (ii) As has been suggested by XPS analysis, the Eu-BSO materials possess higher number of oxygen deficient regions. Several research groups have reported that these oxygen deficient defects are excellent sites for temporary trapping of electrons leading to reduction in exciton recombination.76-78 Therefore, the defect sites play a pivotal role behind the promotion of the photocatalytic process. 

Role of O deficiency sites: We believe that the oxygen vacancy sites are playing a pivotal role behind the dye removal processes. The presence of localised excess electrons and unsaturated sites allow the small molecules to firmly bind and take part in further reaction. The oxygen vacancies serve as the initial binding sites for the model pollutants.79,80 After successful binding of the molecules to the Eu-BSO surface, the reactive oxygen species generated reacts with them leading to degradation. Therefore, the O vacancy sites play a key role in accelerating the adsorption as well as photocatalytic processes on the Eu-BSO nanoparticles.

The effectiveness of the Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO material towards the rapid removal and photocatalytic degradation of such wide range of organic pollutants from aqueous solutions compared to the already available reports (Table S10) clearly validates it to be a potent material for practical application purposes.

Conclusions
In conclusion, incorporation of Eu$^{3+}$ aided in the rapid formation and stabilization of Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ in its monoclinic phase by inducing asymmetry in the structure during material growth. Partial reduction of Eu$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{2+}$ due to reaction conditions resulted in generation of defect sites with oxygen deficient regions on the surface. To lower the surface energy, the initially formed nanoplates self-assembled into hierarchical microflowers with substantial improvement in surface area as compared to Bi$_2$SiO$_5$. The as-synthesized materials, particularly after Eu$^{3+}$ incorporation showed high efficiency in adsorbing a wide range of pollutants from aqueous medium through chemisorption process with initial adsorption rates as high as 3.25 mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$. Further, Eu$^{3+}$ incorporation was found to significantly improve the photocatalytic activity of Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ (~5 times enhancement in rate constant). This was primarily due to the lower degree of recombination as (i) Eu$^{3+}$ present in the material can effectively trap the photo-excited electrons to produce Eu$^{2+}$, which thereafter rapidly de-traps and transfers the electrons to O$_2$ adsorbed on catalyst surface leading to the efficient production of reactive O$_2^-$. radicals, and (ii) the presence of higher oxygen deficient defects acted as excellent sites for temporary trapping of electrons to generate the reactive radical species responsible for photocatalytic degradation. The effectiveness of Eu$^{3+}$-incorporation to enhance the rapid removal of such wide range of organic pollutants from aqueous solutions through adsorption and photocatalytic degradation processes compared to the available reports obviously validate it to be a promising strategy to develop “super-mop” materials for practical application purposes.

Experimental
Material
Bismuth nitrate pentahydrate, ethylene glycol, concentrated monomethyl ether, concentrated nitric acid, sodium hydroxide pellets were purchased from Merck. Sodium metasilicate, methylene blue, rhodamine B, Chicago sky blue, rose Bengal dye were purchased Loba chemicals. Europium oxide was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Crystalline phenol was purchased from SRL chemicals. All chemicals were used without further purification.

Synthesis of Bi$_2$SiO$_5$ (BSO) nanoparticles
Bismuth silicate nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesised via solvothermal method. Initially, for the undoped samples, Bi(NO$_3$)$_3$.5H$_2$O (2 mmol = 970.14 mg) was taken in 100 ml glass beaker with 20 ml ethylene glycol to dissolve the nitrate salts. After stirring the beaker on a magnetic stirrer for 20 min at
After 30 min stirring 2M NaOH solution was added till the pH reaches 11. The white opaque basic solution was stirred for 30 min before transferring it to Teflon based autoclave and heated at 200 °C for 10 h. After cooling, the resulting product was centrifuged 3-4 times with deionised water and ethanol, and dried overnight at 60 °C in oven.

**Synthesis of Eu(x%) doped-Bi$_5$SiO$_5$(Eu$_{x}$- BSO) nanoparticles**

Eu$^{3+}$ doped samples were prepared in the same manner. Typically for 0.5% of Eu$^{3+}$ doping, 0.005 mmol of Eu(NO$_3$)$_3$ was converted to nitrates by stirring and heating in HNO$_3$. After that 1.99 mmol of Bi(NO$_3$)$_3$•5H$_2$O was dissolved in ethyleneglycol. The europium nitrate solution dissolved in 20 ml water was added to the bismuth nitrate solution. After stirring for 30 min, 1 mL of sodium metasilicate dissolved in 20 ml water was added drop wise to the solution containing nitrate solution of Bi$^{3+}$ and Eu$^{3+}$. After 30 min stirring 2M NaOH solution was added till the pH reaches 11. The whole dispersion was transferred to autoclave and dried overnight at 60 °C in oven. Similarly, 1.0%, 1.5%, and 2.0% Eu$^{3+}$-doped Bi$_5$SiO$_5$ were prepared. The samples were abbreviated as BSO for Bi$_5$SiO$_5$ nanoparticles and Eu$_{x}$-BSO for x = 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2 % Eu$^{3+}$ doped Bi$_5$SiO$_5$ nanoparticles.

**Characterization**

The crystallinity and phase analysis of nanoparticles was determined out using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements using a Rigaku-Smartlab diffractometer with Cu-Kα 1.54059 radiation operating at 70 kV and 35 mA at a scanning rate of 1° min$^{-1}$ in the range of 10-80°. The samples were completely well powdered and spread evenly on a quartz slide. The morphology of the nanoparticles was characterized by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM). FESEM imaging were taken using JEOL (JSM 6390 LV) instrument and for these samples were coated with a thin film of gold in order to avoid charging effects prior to loading of the samples into the chamber. EDS was acquired on a SUPRA 55-VP instrument with patented GEMINI column technology. The surface area measurement was carried out with a Micromeritics Gemini VII surface area analyser. The nitrogen adsorption desorption isotherms were reported by BJH (Barret-Joyner-Halenda) surface/volume mesopore analysis. Each sample was degassed at 150 °C for 12 h. The Fourier transform infrared studies were performed with Perkin Elmer Spectrum RX1 with KBr pellets. Diffuse reflectance UV−vis spectra (DRS) of the samples were taken with a Varian Cary 100 spectrophotometer equipped with a diffuse reflectance accessory in the region 250–500 nm, with barium sulphate as reference. The reflectance spectra were converted into Kubelka–Munk function [F(R)] which is proportional to the absorption coefficient for low values of F(R). The PL spectra was measured on a Horiba Fluoromax 4 spectrometer equipped with tungsten xenon lamp. The excitation and emission light was dispersed using a Czerny Turner monochromator with an optical resolution on 1 nm. The emitted photons were detected using Hamamatsu R928 detector. The output signal was recorded using a computer. Room temperature optical UV− visible absorption spectra of all samples were recorded on Lab India spectrophotometer. TEM images were collected on a JEM 2100F field emission transmission electron microscope operating at 200 kV. ICP-AES analysis was carried out in ACROS. Simultaneous ICP Spectrometer manufactured by SPECTRO Analytical Instruments GmbH, Germany. XPS analysis was performed in PHI 5000 Versa Probe II, FEI Inc. XPS system. Mott Schottky plots were obtained at different frequencies using Biologic 300 electrochemical station with a three electrode system.

**Adsorption**

Adsorption was performed with mixing 1 mg/1 ml solution of catalyst (BSO and Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO) in methylene blue dye taken at different concentrations (5 μM, 10 μM, 20 μM, and 50 μM). Initially, 3 mg of adsorbent was taken in a cuvette with 3 ml of solution. The solution was magnetically stirred for 1 min to allow mixing of the adsorbate and adsorbent using a rice pellet. Then the cuvette was kept inside the spectrophotometer and absorbance was measured at different time intervals to determine the kinetic rate of removal of dye. The measurement was done until no change in the absorption spectrum of dye was recorded to establish the equilibrium adsorption.

**Photocatalysis**

Photocatalysis was performed by taking the catalyst to the dye solution as 1 mg/1 ml. Typically, 20 mg of catalyst was dissolved in 20 ml of solution (50 μM unless mentioned separately) taken in a 100 ml beaker. Before adding the catalyst, 1 ml of the dye solution was separated to be used for determining the initial concentration of the dye. The catalyst dispersed in the solution was stirred for 1 h in dark conditions by covering the beaker in aluminium foil. This was done to establish the adsorption-desorption equilibrium between the adsorbent and solution. After this, 1ml of aliquot was taken to determine the concentration of the solution after the equilibrium has been established to see if any solution is adsorbed by the catalyst. Then the solution was kept under simulated solar Xe lamp (Newport, Standford) with 140 W power (70 mA). 1 ml of the aliquots were taken after a time interval of 30 min. The aliquots taken at different time intervals were diluted by adding 3 ml of deionised water and the catalyst present in the dispersion was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant of the solution was taken for analysis by UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. The rate of degradation was calculated by the following equation assuming pseudo first order kinetics.

$$\ln(C/C_0) = kt$$

(Eqn. 8)

where, $C_0$ is the initial dye concentration, C is the dye concentration at different time interval, k is the rate constant, and t is the time. The recyclability measurement were done by collecting the Eu$_{1.5}$-BSO catalyst after the photocatalytic degradation of the rhodamine dye solution and then using centrifugation with continuous washing by water and acetone to remove the adsorbed dye. The catalyst was dried in an oven overnight at 60 °C and then calcined at 400 °C for 1 h with 10 °C/min heating rate. The used catalyst was also examined using PXRD to check the phase integrity of the material prior to further usage for the degradation of rhodamine dye.

**Electrochemical measurement**

The flat band potential was determined using Mott Schottky plot using a three electrode system with material coated glassy carbon as the working electrode, platinum as the counter...
electrode, and Ag/AgCl (satd. KCl) as the reference electrode. 5 mg of catalyst was mixed with 50 μL of nafion as a binder and 500 μL of ethanol to prepare the ink, which was subsequently drop cast on the working electrode. 1M Na$_2$SO$_4$ solution was used as an electrolyte. The potential measured were calibrated to Normal Hydrogen Electrode (NHE) using the equation:

$$E_{NHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.0591 \cdot pH + 0.22V$$  
(Eqn. 9)
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