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Abstract

Background: Online “e-modules” integrated into medical education may enhance traditional learning. Medical students use e-modules during clinical rotations, but these often lack histopathology correlates of diseases and minimal time is devoted to pathology teaching. To address this gap, we created pediatric pathology case-based e-modules to complement the clinical pediatric curriculum and enhance students’ understanding of pediatric diseases. Methods: Philips Tutor is an interactive web-based program in which pediatric pathology e-modules were created with pre-/post-test questions. Each e-module contains a clinical vignette, virtual microscopy, and links to additional resources. Topics were selected based on established learning objectives for pediatric clinical rotations. Pre- and post-tests were administered at the beginning/end of each rotation. Test group had access to the e-modules, but control group did not. Both groups completed the pre/post-tests. Posttest was followed by a feedback survey. Results: Overall, 7% (9/123) in the control group and 8% (13/164) in the test group completed both tests and were included in the analysis. Test group improved their posttest scores by about one point on a 5-point scale (P = 0.01); control group did not (P = 1.00). Students responded that test questions were helpful in assessing their knowledge of pediatric pathology (90%) and experienced relative ease of use with the technology (80%). Conclusions: Students responded favorably to the new technology, but cited time constraints as a significant barrier to study participation. Access to the e-modules suggested an improved posttest score compared to the control group, but pilot data were limited by the small sample size. Incorporating pediatric case-based e-modules with anatomic and clinical pathology topics into the clinical medical education curriculum may heighten students’ understanding of important diseases. Our model may serve as a pilot for other medical education platforms.
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Introduction

Online modules covering a range of topics have been increasingly integrated into medical education to supplement lectures and optimize learning. They are efficient and effective because they support self-directed learning and present information in a different modality.[1] Online modules that are case-based are particularly effective for medical students because they are engaging, promote active learning, apply information to clinical scenarios, and reinforce important concepts.[2] Multiple studies have demonstrated that online modules are effective at teaching various clinical topics to medical students and residents and are associated with improved knowledge.[3–7] However, there are limited studies evaluating the use of online modules to teach pathology to medical students and residents and are associated with improved knowledge.[3–7]
medical students and none, that we are aware of, specifically address pediatric pathology during clinical rotations.

At the University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine (UPSOM), medical students have access to interactive virtual patient cases that reinforce the Council on Medical Student Education in Pediatrics (COMSEP) learning objectives about core pediatric topics during their inpatient and outpatient pediatric clerkships. However, they often lack information about the histopathology of diseases even though the understanding of disease rests on a thorough awareness of the underlying pathology. In addition, there is minimal time devoted to pathology during the clinical clerkship rotations, further contributing to a knowledge gap in this area. To address this gap, we used Tutor, an online virtual pathology program, to create online histopathology case-based modules based on the COMSEP learning objectives. Our goal was to assess if exposure to interactive virtual histopathology case-based modules would increase medical students’ knowledge of key pediatric pathology concepts through gross images and whole slide digital images (WSI), as compared to a control group without access to these e-modules. The goal of this pilot study was to evaluate whether the online histopathology case-based interactive modules improved medical students’ knowledge of key pediatric pathology topics using pretest and posttest assessments. Our hypothesis was that medical students who had access to the Tutor modules would have higher posttest scores than those without access to them.

Methods
This nonrandomized prospective study was conducted at the UPSOM during the pediatric clerkships from 2017 to 2018. It was approved by the UPSOM Research on Medical Students Review Committee and University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board (IRB number PRO16100447).

Participants
UPSOM medical students have two pediatric clerkships: A 4-week pediatric inpatient medicine clerkship and an 8-week combined ambulatory medicine and pediatrics clerkship. Third-year and fourth-year medical students were asked to voluntarily participate in this study after they received a live introduction of the study during their orientations for the pediatric clinical rotations/clerkships. However, students did not have real-time access to the technology during the live introduction. An E-mail with information about the study and instructions on how to participate was sent to medical students after the live introduction. A University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) administrator (BC, MO) sent a second E-mail with unique deidentified usernames and passwords and a link to access the tests and modules. Medical students were informed that their participation was voluntary and test results were confidential and would not affect their pediatric clerkship grades. It was estimated to take 15–30 min to complete all parts of the study. Medical students were divided into two groups: a control group and a test group. The control group did not have access to the Tutor modules, whereas the test group had access to the Tutor modules after completing the pretest. Both groups were required to complete the pretest and posttest for inclusion into the study. To ensure academic equity and prevent one medical school class (i.e., same academic year) from having an academic advantage over another class, the control and test groups were not randomized but rather included medical students from different academic years: the control group was medical students from January to April 2017 and May to August 2018 and the test group was medical students from May 2017 to August 2018. In addition, both groups consisted of medical students at different points in their clinical years to limit the effect of the timing of the pediatric clerkships on test scores.

Technology
Tutor (Philips Pathology, Amsterdam, Netherlands), formerly PathXL, is an internet-based virtual microscopy program, licensed through the UPSOM Department of Pathology, Division of Informatics. It is a user-friendly and secure password-protected program behind the UPMC firewall. A username and password are required to log into the website and access the program at https://pathxl.upmc.com. It is free for medical students to use, and there are no programs to download. The Tutor is accessible on both personal computers and Macintosh desktops, laptops, and tablets but is not enabled for smartphone devices.

Module design
Five online pediatric pathology case-based modules were created in Tutor. High-resolution WSIs were scanned and uploaded to Tutor at ×20 magnification. Each module that was created (JP, CC) contained a clinical vignette with de-identified histories, macroscopic (gross) images, and digital histopathology slides. There were detailed descriptions of the macroscopic pathology images and digital histopathology slides stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H and E), explanations of differential diagnoses and links to relevant articles for additional reading. Certain cases also had additional pertinent stains provided to enhance insight into the diagnosis of the disease, each with slide-guided annotations. These included WSI of histochemical stains (i.e., elastic trichrome and periodic acid-Schiff [PAS] stains) as well as uploaded jpeg images of immunofluorescence and electron microscopy (EM) images for certain cases. By virtue of its general case-based approach, many of the cases also contained elements of laboratory testing; highlighting aspects of clinical pathology, integrated with anatomic pathology [Supplemental Text]. Users navigated the WSI with the ability to magnify images up to ×20 magnification by scrolling, as if they were using a virtual microscope. There were thumbnail images to maintain the orientation of the slides and slide-specific annotations created to direct users to areas of interest. Figures 1 and 2 show WSI and macroscopic (gross) images for one of the cases (lungs of cystic fibrosis case with bronchiectasis removed at the time of transplantation).

Module topics
The module topics were selected based on an established list of pediatric topics and learning objectives set by the
COMSEP[9] and UPSOM pediatric clerkship directors. The topics complemented the online case-based modules on Aquifer Pediatrics (Lebanon, New Hampshire, USA)[10] that UPSOM medical students are required to complete during the pediatric clerkships. Aquifer Pediatrics has several interactive virtual patient cases that correlate with the COMSEP clerkship curriculum learning objectives to develop clinical reasoning skills.[9,10] The selected topics and their differential diagnoses were created in five Tutor modules by a board-certified pediatric pathologist (JP) and UPSOM medical student (CC). Medical students were expected to know these topics and learning objectives after finishing their pediatric clerkships; therefore, the selected cases were ones that students may not have had direct clinical exposure to during their clinical rotations.

Module case design
The cases presented in the modules were based on five deidentified patient cases evaluated by the Department of Pathology at UPMC Children’s Hospital of Pittsburgh. They included the following: lung foreign body (diagnosis: aspiration with endobronchial lung biopsy), vasculitis (diagnosis: Henoch–Schönlein purpura with skin biopsy with IgA immunofluorescent [IF] image and ancillary renal biopsy), toxic ingestion (diagnosis: acetaminophen overdose with liver transplant), failure to thrive with lung disease (diagnosis: cystic fibrosis with lung transplant), and glomerulopathies (diagnosis: minimal change disease with renal biopsy and EM image). Additional details of the cases are provided herein:

Case 1 was a pediatric patient with delayed gastric emptying who aspirated a vegetable bezoar, with a gross picture provided in the module. The WSI of an endobronchial lung biopsy demonstrating foreign body giant cell reaction, along with WSI and descriptions of PAS, elastic trichrome, and cytokeratin 7 stains were also provided in the module.

Case 2 was a pediatric patient who presented with purpura, proteinuria (urine protein to creatinine ratio of 1.9), and microscopic hematuria and was diagnosed with Henoch–Schönlein purpura with a skin biopsy WSI and accompanying IgA IF image provided. Clinical pictures of the purpura localized to the finger and ankle were provided in the module, along with ancillary WSI of PAS, Jones (silver), and Masson trichrome stains and EM of the kidney with descriptions of each to highlight the nephropathy changes in such a case.

Case 3 was a pediatric patient who presented with abdominal pain and vomiting, who was found to have significantly elevated liver enzymes including AST/ALT and bilirubin and alkaline phosphatase after acetaminophen overdose/toxicity and developed fulminant liver failure requiring a liver transplant. A macroscopic image (liver at transplant), WSI of the native necrotic liver, and WSI with Periodic acid–Schiff–diastase (PAS-D) and reticulin stains were also provided in the module.

Case 4 was a pediatric patient who presented with chronic cough, recurrent pulmonary infections, and nasal polyps and was clinically diagnosed with cystic fibrosis as an infant with meconium ileus and positive sweat test. Native lung resection at the time of transplantation and WSI demonstrating bronchiectasis with mucus plugs were provided in the module.

Case 5 was a pediatric patient who presented with periorbital edema, proteinuria (>3.5 g/24 h), low albumin, and hyperlipidemia and was diagnosed with minimal change disease on renal biopsy. The WSI of kidney biopsy with PAS and Jones (silver) stains showed no significant changes, while the EM image revealed the diffuse podocyte...
foot processes effacement. A normal renal EM image was also provided for comparison. The e-modules’ discussion sections covered the pathology-specific differential diagnoses associated with each of these cases. Ancillary reading materials were also provided for the cases.

**Pretest/posttest**
A multiple-choice examination with five questions was created and administered using Tutor. The questions were based on the five online histopathology case-based modules and their differential diagnoses. The questions included a clinical presentation associated with a WSI. The digital slides also included annotations to direct students to areas of interest. Selected cases also had an ancillary image (i.e., IF, EM). The tests were used to evaluate medical students’ pediatric pathology knowledge before and after their pediatric clinical rotations (with at least a 3–4-week “washout” period between tests) for both the test group (i.e., with exposure to the Tutor e-modules) and control group (i.e., no exposure to the Tutor e-modules). The pretest was open at the beginning of the clerkship for 1 week and the posttest was open at the end of the clerkship for 1 week. The pretest and posttest questions were identical. Correct answers were not provided after the pretest. After completing the posttest, the incorrect answer explanation and correct answer explanation were both given (i.e., if the incorrect answer was selected) or only the correct answer explanation (i.e., if correct answer was selected). In addition, a more detailed overview of the disease entity, including relevant pathophysiology with clinical and anatomic pathology details, differential diagnosis, and references for further study was also provided [Supplemental Text].

**Medical student surveys**
An exit-interview survey with seven questions was created and administered using SurveyMonkey (San Mateo, CA, USA) to further examine the participation rate. The final exit survey helped capture medical students who had not completed the posttest because the exit-interview survey was linked to completion of the posttest, which could have caused questionnaire fatigue. Both surveys were anonymous and voluntary.

**Statistical analysis**
Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8 (San Diego, CA, USA). Results were presented as mean and median test scores (0–5 total point score) and mean percentages of correct answers (0%–100%). Paired t-test was used to compare the pretest and posttest scores within each group and unpaired t-test was used to compare the pretest and posttest scores between groups. Fisher’s exact test was used to analyze the number of medical students who performed worse or the same/better on the posttest compared to the pretest. Exit-interview survey data were presented as mean, standard deviation, and median. Unpaired t-test was used to compare the mean survey ratings between groups. The frequency of ratings on the survey was combined into two categories: strongly disagree/disagree and neutral/agree/strongly agree. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the frequencies of the two categories of ratings between groups.

**Results**

**Pretest/posttest results**
There were 123 eligible medical students in the control group and 164 eligible medical students in the test group. Twenty-six (21%) medical students in the control group and 35 (21%) medical students in the test group completed the pretest. Of those completing the pretest, 9 (35%) in the control group and 13 (37%) in the test group also completed the posttest [Figure 3]. Overall, 7% (9/123) in the control group and 8% (13/164) in the test group completed both tests and were included in the analysis.

The pilot results showed that test group had a slightly higher posttest scores (mean: 4.31/5) as compared to the control (mean: 3.38/5) and the test group improved their pre- to post-test score by about one more correct answer \( P = 0.01, \) Table 1 and Figure 4, while no significant difference was seen in the control group \( P = 1.00, \) Table 1 and Figure 4. Furthermore, 33% of the control group performed worse on the posttest as compared to the pretest, while only 8% of the test group performed worse on the posttest \( P = 0.26, \) Table 2.

**Survey results**
Of those enrolled in the study, eight out of nine (89%) in the control group and 12 out of 13 (92%) in the test group completed the exit-interview survey. One medical student in the control group skipped the second question. There were no significant differences in the mean survey ratings on a 5-point Likert scale between groups [Supplemental Table 1].

---

**Figure 3:** Study flow diagram of the participants
The survey ratings were combined into two categories: opposed/negative (i.e., strongly disagree/disagree) and neutral/positive (i.e., neutral/agree/strongly agree) [Table 3]. The majority responded neutral/positively that the test questions improved their understanding of pediatric diseases (75%) and test questions were helpful in assessing their knowledge of the pediatric pathology (90%), and relative ease of use for the Tutor program (80%). The majority (74%) felt that the quizzes complemented some of the pediatric diseases covered in the required online clinical modules and that the quizzes were/will be helpful when studying for the pediatric shelf examination (70%). However, there were no statistically significant differences between the test and control groups in how they rated these questions [Supplemental Tables 1 and 2]. One exception was the slightly more favorable response given by the test group for how they rated whether the pre-/post-tests improved their understanding of pediatric diseases \( (P = 0.05, \text{Supplemental Table 1}) \). Medical students reported that they mostly used review books (65%), question banks (100%), and websites (50%) to study for the pediatric shelf examination. In contrast, fewer medical students reported using textbooks (10%), syllabi (20%), the Tutor tests (5%), and other online modules (20%) for their supplemental study aids.

Table 1: Comparison of mean pretest and posttest scores for control and test groups

|                | Pretest mean | Posttest mean | Differences | P²  |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----|
| Control group  | 3.78         | 3.78          | 0.00        | 1.00 |
| Test group     | 3.38         | 4.31          | 0.92        | 0.01 |

*Total mean scores were out of 5, \(^2P\) values determined by using paired \( t \)-test, \(^2P\) values determined by using unpaired \( t \)-test

Table 2: Number of medical students who performed worse or same/better on the posttest as compared to the pretest for control and test groups

|                | Worse, n (%) | Same/better, n (%) | P²  |
|----------------|--------------|--------------------|-----|
| Control group  | 3 (33)       | 6 (67)             | 0.26 |
| Test group     | 1 (8)        | 12 (92)            |      |

\(^2P\) value determined by using Fisher’s exact test

Table 3: Survey ratings presented as frequency of ratings, mean, standard deviation, and median of 5-point Likert scale

| Question                                                                 | Strongly disagree/ disagree, n (%) | Neutral/agree/ strongly agree, n (%) | Mean (SD)   | Median |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Tutor pre/posttests improved my understanding of pediatric diseases       | 5 (25)                              | 15 (75)                              | 3.05 (1.05) | 3      |
| Cases in the quizzes complemented some of the pediatric diseases covered in the required online clinical modules | 5* (26)                             | 14 (74)                              | 3.37 (1.26) | 3      |
| Test questions were helpful in assessing my knowledge of the pediatric pathology | 2 (10)                              | 18 (90)                              | 3.80 (0.83) | 4      |
| Quizzes were/will be helpful when studying for the pediatric shelf exam  | 6 (30)                              | 14 (70)                              | 3.00 (0.97) | 3      |
| The tutor program was easy to use                                       | 4 (20)                              | 16 (80)                              | 3.60 (1.10) | 4      |

*One medical student did not respond to this question. SD: Standard deviation

Of the eight medical students who completed the final exit survey, four had participated in the study and four did not participate. Of the four who did not participate in the study, three reported that they did not participate because they perceived it was going to take too much time, and there were too many required assignments during the pediatric clerkships. In addition, three reported that they would have participated in the study if they were provided dedicated time during the clerkship.

DISCUSSION

This pilot study demonstrates that the tailor-made online “e-modules” with digital pediatric pathology slides, macroscopic images, and related clinical case-based design can serve as a useful ancillary educational tool for exposing pediatric pathology to medical students during their clinical rotations. However, during a busy clinical rotation, either dedicated time or mandatory requirement must be set in place in order for students to engage with such technology. Our pilot data with a control group may suggest that such online learning could help improve medical students’ understanding of key pediatric diseases with relative ease of use. However, while these pilot data with the implementation of a control group are encouraging, we caution the strength of the data given the very low participation rate (<10%) and high attrition rate among those students starting the pretest, but not finishing the posttest. Thus, while the data would suggest that access to the e-modules may enhance specific pathology-centered knowledge, the primary outcome was based on test scores in Tutor, and we did not have access to medical students’ pediatric National Board of Medical Examiners shelf examination scores, making it difficult to infer whether improved pathology knowledge affected the end of rotation examination scores.

A majority of medical students (≥70%) reported neutral or favorable ratings on ease of use for Tutor and its ability to help improve their understanding and to assess their knowledge in pediatric disease and pathology, while also complementing their clinical teaching and other study aids for pediatric diseases. The test group rating for whether the pre-/post-tests improved their understanding of pediatric diseases was slightly higher than the control group rating for whether the pre-/post-tests improved their understanding of pediatric diseases was slightly higher than the control group and was approaching a statistically significant difference, suggesting that their exposure to the e-modules may enhance specific pathology-centered knowledge among those students starting the pretest, but not finishing the posttest. Thus, while the data would suggest that access to the e-modules may enhance specific pathology-centered knowledge, the primary outcome was based on test scores in Tutor, and we did not have access to medical students’ pediatric National Board of Medical Examiners shelf examination scores, making it difficult to infer whether improved pathology knowledge affected the end of rotation examination scores.
posttest (76%) scores were not statistically significant (ns was significantly higher than pretest (68%) score (*). Test group mean percentage of correct answers posttest (86%) score

Figure 4: Comparison of pretest and posttest scores for control and test groups as mean percentage of correct answers with standard deviation.

- Test group mean percentage of correct answers posttest (86%) score was significantly higher than pretest (68%) score (P = 0.01, t-test).
- Control group mean percentage of correct answers pretest (76%) and posttest (76%) scores were not statistically significant (P = 1.00, t-test)

The posttest questions. Of note, 70% of medical students thought that the Tutor tests would be helpful when studying for the pediatric shelf examination, but only 5% actually reported using them. Although the sample size was small due to a low participation rate, these data suggest that the Tutor modules could be an effective educational tool as a supplemental activity during the pediatric clinical rotation, if given dedicated time to engage with the new technology is provided to students. Furthermore, the results would also suggest that students have favorable feelings toward the new pediatric pathology educational technology, but engagement requires a supportive environment with dedicated time investment and established expectations set forth by educational leadership in order for student to regularly engage in such technology.

The strengths of our study are the inclusions of a pretest to establish baseline knowledge and a control group to compare the effect of exposure to the Tutor modules in the test group. Of note, the baseline pretest scores were not significantly different between groups, suggesting that both groups had a similar level of baseline knowledge. While the improved posttest scores in the test group are mainly attributed to the exposure of the Tutor modules, we recognize that a multitude of other factors could be confounding, especially given the low number of participants and few overall questions asked in the study. Nevertheless, the small, but significant, finding between the pre-/post-tests of the control and test groups is encouraging. We assumed that the test group reviewed all five e-modules; however, we were unable to track students' actual progress through and completion of all five e-modules given the voluntary status and inability to track this more granular detail on a case-by-case process (other than posttest completion), which is a limitation in our study design and technology. Other programs[12] have the ability to track user date and time of access, which can help gauge student interactions with the technology. Since the tests were online and not proctored, we cannot be certain if the test group may have been more inclined than the control group to use external resources to help answer the questions even though they were advised not to. The timing of the pediatric clinical rotations could have affected test scores because medical students may learn or be exposed to the diseases covered in the modules during other clerkships or electives. To circumvent this, we tried to closely align control and test groups to include medical students both at the beginning and end of their clinical years in both groups. Finally, there was the risk of pretest sensitization because the pretest and posttest had identical questions, but recall bias was reduced by only providing answers after the posttest, along with an at least 3–4 weeks washout period between pre-/post-tests.

The main limitation of our study was the small sample size due to a low participation rate with fewer than 10% of eligible medical students completing both tests. Given that there were limited data available, the results may have been skewed, making it difficult to draw definitive conclusions about the efficacy of the e-modules as a learning tool. In addition to the overall low participation in both the control and test groups, there was a high attrition rate, as only about one-third of those who started the study (i.e., completed the pretest) also completed the posttest (35% control group; 37% test group). Low participation rates due to time constraints have been reported in a previous study on pediatric emergency medicine online modules for residents[13] and is similar to what we experienced in our series. The barriers to participation included voluntary participation, Tutor only being accessible through a desktop computer, laptop, or tablet (not smartphones), no dedicated time allotted during the clinical clerkship orientation (i.e., for pretest completion) or during the rotation (i.e., for modules and posttest completion). The lack of familiarity with the program when first engaging it may have also been a barrier to initial participation. To help circumvent this, a live demonstration was provided by a pediatric pathologist (JP) during orientation, but limited time prevented formal instruction with medical students logged into their individual profiles. Based on the final exit survey, 75% of medical students reported that they did not participate due to lack of time, which supported what we had anticipated and heard through anecdotal grievances. During the pediatric clerkships, medical students are currently required to complete several online modules in addition to their clinical responsibilities and studying for shelf examinations, making them less inclined to voluntarily participate in an additional activity, especially a voluntary activity without proven impact on their learning. Student self-suggestions during the survey to overcome the barriers for participation included: mandatory participation, incorporating it into the curriculum with dedicated time during the clerkship, and including it in the clerkship grade or offering extracredit. There was also an overall favorable response in the ease of use with the Tutor technology. Furthermore, while there was an overall low participation rate in the study (8%) for both groups, there
was higher initial engagement (21%) with the technology in both groups during the pretest. The overall high attrition rate in completing the study could have been reduced with any of these student self-suggestions. Thus, taken together, these findings may indicate that engagement and failure to finish the study may have been more related to lack of dedicated time in the rotation rather than a lack of interest in the new technology. To address the low participation rate for the future, we recommend incorporating the online histopathology case-based modules into the pediatric clerkship curriculum with at least 15–20 min of dedicated time during orientation and at the end of the rotation devoted to pretest and posttest completion. We also recommend 1 h dedicated to proctored engagement, with the modules in which medical students can all access, review, and ask questions with a heightened awareness and familiarity to further engage with the modules on their own time as a structured study aid.

Our pilot study expands on similar studies that demonstrated the benefits of online modules and virtual microscopy for medical education.[7,8,12,14] The advantages of online resources include convenience, accessibility, interactivity, and individualized learning. A meta-analysis showed that internet-based learning is associated with positive outcomes compared to no intervention and is as effective as traditional teaching methods.[14] Virtual microscopy has been used to teach histology, pathology, and cytology in medical education and pathology training.[15] In addition, virtual microscopy has been shown to be as effective as conventional microscopy for learning pathology.[12] A study conducted at UPSOM evaluated the Virtual Pathology Instructor, a patient simulation software using vpSim (UP SOM Laboratory for Educational Technology, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), to teach pathology to medical students during the hematology course in the 2nd-year medical student curriculum.[7] Medical students had significant improvement in test scores for two of the three cases, indicating that patient cases with virtual pathology images are an effective tool for teaching pathology in medical education.[7] One study that assessed multiple pathology teaching methods found that online modules integrated with virtual microscopy and clinical cases were very useful for learning and positively received by medical students.[8] However, neither study had a concurrent control group as in our study.

There are limited studies on the integration of virtual microscopy with online histopathology case-based modules to teach pathology to medical students during clinical clerkships. Furthermore, few studies included a control group with no educational intervention, and none focusing on pediatric-based disease concepts, which are heavily nonneoplastic in nature. Our study contributes additional data to support the use of online modules and virtual microscopy as a supplemental pathology resource in the clinical clerkship curriculum. We also provide a case-based question example for future educational material to the online community [Supplemental Text].

In summary, Tutor is an educational tool for teaching pathology by incorporating novel, tailor-made questions, macroscopic images, and WSI (“virtual microscopy”) into interactive, self-directed, and structured e-modules. In addition, integrating clinical vignettes and laboratory data into these modules may help reinforce medical student’s understanding of the role of “pathologists” in patient care extending from anatomic to clinical pathology. Tutor and similar programs can be used to efficiently teach pathology to medical students as minimal time is dedicated to pathology education, especially during clinical clerkships; however, a small time investment allotted to medical students for the proctored exploration of these new “study tools” as a group would be beneficial in reducing barriers to engagement.

Conclusions

Using innovative online technology with WSI and tailored pediatric pathology modules (i.e., Philips Tutor), we have demonstrated that medical students may improve their knowledge of pediatric pathology through the use of control and test groups. Medical students responded favorably to the new technology in both content and ease of use and also recommended strategies that would help boost their engagement with the technology in the future. Therefore, incorporating histopathology case-based virtual microscopy e-modules into the medical school curriculum as a supplemental resource may enhance medical students’ knowledge of important, selected diseases. However, engagement requires both a supportive environment, with dedicated time investment and established expectations, set forth by educational leadership, in order for students to actively engage in such technology. Our pediatric pathology model in the pediatric clinical rotations may serve as a pilot for its use in other clinical clerkships, medical school courses, and graduate medical education.
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### Supplemental Table 1: Comparison of mean survey ratings for control and test groups on 5-point Likert scale

| Question                                                                 | Control group | Test group | P \( ^b \) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| Tutor pre-/post-tests improved my understanding of pediatric diseases   | 2.50          | 3.41       | 0.05       |
| Cases in the quizzes complemented some of the pediatric diseases covered in the required online clinical modules | 3.00          | 3.58       | 0.34       |
| Test questions were helpful in assessing my knowledge of the pediatric pathology | 4.00          | 3.67       | 0.40       |
| Quizzes were/will be helpful when studying for the pediatric shelf exam | 2.63          | 3.25       | 0.17       |
| The tutor program was easy to use                                       | 3.13          | 3.92       | 0.12       |

\( ^a \)Questions answered on a scale of 1-5: 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neither agree nor disagree), 4 (agree), 5 (strongly agree). \( ^b \)P values were not statistically significant by unpaired t-test.

### Supplemental Table 2: Survey ratings presented as frequency of ratings for control and test groups

| Question                                                                 | Group     | Strongly disagree/ strongly agree, \( n \) (%) | Neutral/agree/ strongly agree, \( n \) (%) | P \( ^a \) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|
| Tutor pre-/post-tests improved my understanding of pediatric diseases   | Control   | 3/8 (37.5)                                    | 5/8 (62.5)                                 | 0.35       |
|                                                                         | Test      | 2/12 (17)                                     | 10/12 (83)                                 |            |
| Cases in the quizzes complemented some of the pediatric diseases covered in the required online clinical modules | Control   | 2/7 (29)                                      | 6/7 (71)                                   | 0.99       |
|                                                                         | Test      | 3/12 (25)                                     | 9/12 (75)                                  |            |
| Test questions were helpful in assessing my knowledge of the pediatric pathology | Control   | 0/8 (0)                                       | 8/8 (100)                                  | 0.24       |
|                                                                         | Test      | 3/12 (25)                                     | 9/12 (75)                                  |            |
| Quizzes were/will be helpful when studying for the pediatric shelf exam | Control   | 4/8 (50)                                      | 4/8 (50)                                   | 0.16       |
|                                                                         | Test      | 2/12 (17)                                     | 10/12 (83)                                 |            |
| The tutor program was easy to use                                       | Control   | 3/8 (37.5)                                    | 5/8 (62.5)                                 | 0.26       |
|                                                                         | Test      | 1/12 (8)                                      | 11/12 (92)                                 |            |

\( ^a \)P values were not statistically significant by Fisher’s exact test.
**Supplemental Text**

A sample of one test question and module content is given below. In brief, after the posttest is completed, the incorrect answer explanation along with the correct answer explanation are both given (i.e., if the student selected the wrong answer) or just the correct answer explanation (i.e., if the student selected the correct answer). In addition, each student is also provided a more detailed overview of the disease entity, including relevant pathophysiology with clinical and anatomic pathology details, differential diagnosis, and references for further study. Each module design consists of a clinical vignette, hyperlinks to macroscopic (gross) images with description, detailed explanation of the whole slide image included in the pretest, along with additional descriptions of ancillary slides and tests, as well as description of the disease entity with differential diagnosis. Finally, additional reading materials are also provided by hyperlinks at the end of the module. Thumbnails for the whole slide images are provided on the same online page as the written module description, in order that students can access slides, case descriptions, and additional resources, all from one site launch.

**Question Stem**

A 17-year-old male presented to an outside hospital with abdominal pain and vomiting. He was found to have very high AST and ALT levels along with elevated bilirubin and alkaline phosphatase levels. The patient quickly developed fulminant hepatic failure and subsequently underwent liver transplantation at a children’s hospital.

A section of the native liver explant is provided (H and E stain). What is the most likely cause of this patient’s hepatic failure with this type of injury around the central veins?

**Answers along with Individual Answer Explanation for Correct and Incorrect Answer Choices**

A. Acetaminophen ingestion overdose/toxicity
   a. Correct. Acetaminophen (Tylenol) is detoxified in hepatocytes through sulfation and glucuronidation with a small amount converted to a highly toxic metabolite by the p450 cytochrome oxidation pathway. This toxic metabolite is detoxified by glutathione (GSH) interaction. During times of large dose administration, GSH is depleted and the toxic metabolite accumulates in the hepatocyte destroying macromolecules while covalently binding to proteins and nucleic acids. This combination of effects results in massive liver necrosis in the form of coagulative type necrosis (preservation of basic outline of cell with pink/eosinophilic cell outlines—presumably hypoxic type cell death) for which we see happening in this case extending out around the central vein or “zone 3.” Zone 3 is the first area preferentially affected in acetaminophen toxicity as it coincides with the highest concentration of enzymes responsible for detoxifying the drug. While N-acetylcysteine treats acute exposure toxicity, it needs to be administered in the first 16 h after exposure. After 36 h, the damage becomes irreversible with necrosis of the hepatocytes, as we see in this case. Of note, high levels of ferrous sulfate cause a similar pattern of coagulative necrosis, but the periportal hepatocytes are preferentially affected in Zone 1 first.

B. Aspirin ingestion overdose/toxicity
   a. Incorrect. Aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) is also hepatotoxic in large doses. Biopsy in such cases will show focal necrosis with mild inflammation of the portal tracts and typically a diffuse microvesicular steatosis (Reye syndrome). Other drugs that can cause microvesicular steatosis include tetracycline and valproic acid. The metabolites of aspirin are acyl and phenolic glucuronide conjugates, but under larger dose ingestion, these pathways are saturated leading to accumulation of otherwise minor nontoxic metabolites that may be responsible for resultant hepatic injury. However, the exact mechanism leading to lipid peroxidation, mitochondrial damage, and hydroxyl radical scavenging of the hepatocyte.

C. Ethanol ingestion overdose/toxicity
   a. Incorrect. Alcohol in the form of ethanol is detoxified in the liver via the alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) pathway in which the major rate limiting factor is the re-oxidation of NADH. The increased NADH to NAD ratio results in lactic acidosis, hypoglycemia, impaired fat metabolism, impaired metabolism of serotonin, and alterations in steroid metabolism. Macrovesicular steatosis is the earliest histologic manifestation of alcoholic liver injury. Over time with continued hepatocellular injury, fibrosis ensures with wide bands of fibrosis encircling regenerating hepatocytes without a recognizable central vein (e.g., alcoholic cirrhosis). In pediatric patients, macrovesicular steatosis is more often associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NASH) which can lead to steatohepatitis.

D. Hormonal steroid use
   a. Incorrect. Chronic exposure to hormonal steroids can cause various vascular changes in the liver including sinusoidal dilation and large blood-filled cavities (peliosis hepatis) most notable in anabolic steroids and contraceptive steroids. They can also cause hepatocellular adenomas. Rarely, hepatic vein thrombosis and zone 3 necrosis can occur from the thrombogenic effects of contraceptive steroids. This is a rare complication and many of these cases seem to be associated with an overt or latent myeloproliferative disease. In this case there is no evidence of thrombosis in the central veins to explain the zone 3 (perivenular) necrosis.
E. Wilson’s disease

a. Incorrect. Wilson disease is caused by an autosomal recessive mutation (chromosome 13q14.3) encoding a P-type ATPase which transports copper. There are over 280 mutations making it difficult to diagnose solely by genetic testing. It results in copper overload with low serum ceruloplasmin levels (below 5 mg/dL). While most cases present with a more subacute phase with a recurrent chronic hepatitis leading to end-stage fibrosis (cirrhosis), rare cases may present with acute liver failure. Thus, Wilson disease should always be on the differential diagnosis of fulminant hepatic failure in the pediatric population. In these cases, there can be massive to submassive necrosis with hepatocellular dropout and lobular collapse with associated microvesicular steatosis with swollen/enlarged (ballooning) hepatocytes, and pigment-laden Kupffer cells. A copper stain will show increased staining in Kupffer cells (sinusoidal resident macrophages) in addition to periportal hepatocytes. Depending on the extent and time frame, massive cell necrosis may show replacement with a prominent bile ductular reaction. Other laboratory findings that are also helpful for diagnosis including a low serum ceruloplasmin, elevated 24-h urine copper expression (usually >100 µg), and elevated liver copper concentration from the tissue (over 250 µg/g dry weight); however, remember for the latter, a fresh liver core must be sent to the pathologist in a metal-free container!

Detailed Overview of the Disease Entity with Relevant Pathophysiology along with Pathologic Explanation

Acetaminophen ingestion overdose/toxicity is the correct answer. Acetaminophen (Tylenol) is detoxified in hepatocytes through sulfation and glucuronidation with a small amount converted to a highly toxic metabolite by the p450 cytochrome oxidation pathway. This toxic metabolite is detoxified by GSH interaction. During times of large dose administration, GSH is depleted, and the toxic metabolite accumulates in the hepatocyte destroying macromolecules while covalently binding to proteins and nucleic acids. This combination of effects results in massive liver necrosis in the form of coagulative type necrosis (preservation of basic outline of cell with pink/eosinophilic cell outlines—presumably hypoxic type cell death) for which we see happening in this case. The coagulative type necrosis of the hepatocytes extends out around the central vein or “zone 3.” Zone 3 is the first area preferentially affected in acetaminophen toxicity as it coincides with the highest concentration of enzymes responsible for detoxifying the drug. The perportal hepatocytes are swollen with injury also but not yet necrotic (although on their way!). While N-acetylcysteine treats acute exposure toxicity, it needs to be administered in the first 16 h after exposure. After 36 h, the damage becomes irreversible with necrosis of the hepatocytes, as we see in this case. Of note, high levels of ferrous sulfate cause a similar pattern of coagulative necrosis, but the perportal hepatocytes are preferentially affected in Zone 1 first. Aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) ingestion overdose/toxicity is also hepatotoxic in large doses. Biopsy in such cases will show focal necrosis of hepatocytes with mild inflammation of the portal tracts and a diffuse microvesicular steatosis (Reye syndrome). Other drugs that can cause microvesicular steatosis include tetracycline and valproic acid. The metabolites of aspirin are acyl and phenolic glucuronide conjugates, but under larger dose ingestion, these pathways are saturated leading to accumulation of otherwise minor nontoxic metabolites that may be responsible for resultant hepatic injury. However, the exact mechanism leading to lipid peroxidation, mitochondrial damage, and hydroxyl radical scavenging of the hepatocyte membranes is not fully elucidated. Alcohol in the form of ethanol is detoxified in the liver via the ADH pathway in which the major rate-limiting factor is the reoxidation of NADH. The increased NADH to NAD ratio results in lactic acidosis, hypoglycemia, impaired fat metabolism, impaired metabolism of serotonin, and alterations in steroid metabolism. Macrovesicular steatosis is the earliest histologic manifestation of alcoholic liver injury. Over time with continued hepatocellular injury, fibrosis ensures with wide bands of fibrosis encircling regenerating hepatocytes without a recognizable central vein (e.g., alcoholic cirrhosis). In pediatric patients, macrovesicular steatosis is more often associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NASH) which can lead to steatohepatitis. Chronic exposure to hormonal steroids can cause various vascular changes in the liver, including sinusoidal dilation and large blood-filled cavities (peliosis hepatis) most notable in anabolic steroids and contraceptive steroids. They can also cause hepatocellular adenomas. Rarely, hepatic vein thrombosis and zone 3 necrosis can occur from the thrombogenic effects of contraceptive steroids. This is a rare complication, and many of these cases seem to be associated with an overt or latent myeloproliferative disease. In this case, there is no evidence of thrombosis in the central veins to explain the zone 3 (perivenular) necrosis in this case. Wilson disease is caused by an autosomal recessive mutation (chromosome 13q14.3) encoding a P-type ATPase which transports copper. There are over 280 mutations making it difficult to diagnose solely by genetic testing. It results in copper overload with low serum ceruloplasmin levels (below 5 mg/dL). While most cases present with a more subacute phase with a recurrent chronic hepatitis leading to end-stage fibrosis (cirrhosis), rare cases may present with acute liver failure. Thus, Wilson disease should always be on the differential diagnosis of fulminant hepatic failure in the pediatric population. In these cases, there can be massive to submassive necrosis with hepatocellular dropout and lobular collapse with associated microvesicular steatosis with swollen/enlarged (ballooning) hepatocytes, and pigment-laden Kupffer cells. A copper stain will show increased staining in Kupffer cells (sinusoidal resident macrophages) in addition to periportal hepatocytes. Depending on the extent and time frame, massive cell necrosis may show replacement with a prominent
bile ductular reaction. Other laboratory findings that are also helpful for diagnosis including a low serum ceruloplasmin, elevated 24-h urine copper expression (usually >100 µg), and elevated liver copper concentration from the tissue (over 250 µg/g dry weight); however, remember for the latter, a fresh liver core must be sent to the pathologist in a metal-free container!

**Sample Module Design and Description, Related to Question Above**

A 17-year-old male presented to an outside hospital with abdominal pain and vomiting. He was found to have very high AST and ALT levels along with elevated bilirubin and alkaline phosphatase levels. The patient quickly developed fulminant hepatic failure and subsequently underwent liver transplantation at Children’s Hospital. A section of the native liver explant is provided (click here) for gross image.

**Explanation of the slide**

Acetaminophen ingestion overdose/toxicity results in massive liver necrosis in the form of coagulative type necrosis (preservation of basic outline of cell with pink/eosinophilic cell outlines – presumably hypoxic type cell death), for which we see happening in this case. The coagulative type necrosis of the hepatocytes extends out around the central vein or “zone 3.” Zone 3 is the first area preferentially affected in acetaminophen toxicity as it coincides with the highest concentration of enzymes responsible for detoxifying the drug (see mechanism of action below). The periportal hepatocytes are swollen with injury also but not yet necrotic (although on their way!).

While N-acetylcysteine treats acute exposure toxicity, it needs to be administered in the first 16 h after exposure. After 36 h, the damage becomes irreversible with necrosis of the hepatocytes, as we see in this case. Of note, high levels of ferrous sulfate cause a similar pattern of coagulative necrosis, but the periportal hepatocytes are preferentially affected in Zone 1 first. Aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) ingestion overdose/toxicity is also hepatotoxic in large doses. Biopsy in such cases will show focal necrosis of hepatocytes with mild inflammation of the portal tracts and a diffuse microvesicular steatosis (Reye syndrome). Other drugs that can cause microvesicular steatosis include tetracycline and valproic acid.

**Mechanism of action:** Acetaminophen (Tylenol) is detoxified in hepatocytes through sulfation and glucuronidation with a small amount converted to a highly toxic metabolite by the p450 cytochrome oxidation pathway. This toxic metabolite is detoxified by GSH interaction. During times of large dose administration, GSH is depleted and the toxic metabolite accumulates in the hepatocyte destroying macromolecules while covalently binding to proteins and nucleic acids.

**View all resources-thumbnails (additional slides explanation)**

The PASD stain better highlights the outlines of the ballooned hepatocytes and basement membranes and also highlights macrophages within the areas of necrosis. The reticulin stain highlights the fragmentation of the lobular reticulin network (black staining fragmentation) which is disrupted in the areas of hepatocellular necrosis. The trichrome does not show significant (blue) portal or bridging fibrosis.

**More resources**

(Fontana RJ. Acute Liver Failure Including Acetaminophen Overdose. Medical Clinics of North America 2008;92(4):761-94).