We are concerned with the following nonlinear second-order three-point boundary value problem on time scales

\[-x^{ΔΔ}(t) = f(t,x(t)), \quad t \in [a,b]_T, \quad x(a) = 0, \quad x(σ^2(b)) = δx(η),\]

where \(a, b \in T\) with \(a < b\), \(η \in (a,b)_T\) and \(0 < δ < (σ^2(b) - a)/(η - a)\). A new representation of Green’s function for the corresponding linear boundary value problem is obtained and some existence criteria of at least one positive solution for the above nonlinear boundary value problem are established by using the iterative method.
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1. Introduction

Let \(T\) be a time scale, that is, \(T\) is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of \(\mathbb{R}\). For each interval \(I\) of \(\mathbb{R}\), we define \(I_T = I \cap T\). For more details on time scales, one can refer to [1–5]. Recently, three-point boundary value problems (BVPs for short) for second-order dynamic equations on time scales have received much attention. For example, in 2002, Anderson [6] studied the following second-order three-point BVP on time scales:

\[u^{ΔΔ}(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0,T)_T,\]

\[u(0) = 0, \quad u(T) = au(η),\]

where \(0, T \in T, η \in (0,ρ(T))_T\) and \(0 < a < T/η\). Some existence results of at least one positive solution and of at least three positive solutions were established by using the well-known Krasnoselskii and Leggett-Williams fixed point theorems. In 2003, Kaufmann [7] applied the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem to obtain the existence of multiple positive solutions to the BVP (1.1). For some other related results, one can refer to [8–10] and references therein.
In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of at least one positive solution for the following second-order three-point BVP on time scales:

\[-x^\Delta(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b]_\mathbb{T},\]
\[x(a) = 0, \quad x(\sigma^2(b)) = \delta x(\eta).\]  \hfill (1.2)

Throughout this paper, we always assume that \(a, b \in \mathbb{T}\) with \(a < b\), \(\eta \in (a, b)_\mathbb{T}\), and \(0 < \delta < (\sigma^2(b) - a)/(\eta - a)\).

It is interesting that the method used in this paper is completely different from that in [6, 7, 9, 10], that is, a new representation of Green’s function for the corresponding linear BVP is obtained and some existence criteria of at least one positive solution to the BVP (1.2) are established by using the iterative method.

For the function \(f\), we impose the following hypotheses:

(H1) \(f : [a, b]_\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+\) is continuous;
(H2) for fixed \(t \in [a, b]_\mathbb{T}\), \(f(t, u)\) is monotone increasing on \(u\);
(H3) there exists \(q \in (0, 1)\) such that

\[f(t, ru) \geq r^q f(t, u) \quad \text{for} \quad r \in (0, 1), \quad (t, u) \in [a, b]_\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+.\]  \hfill (1.3)

Remark 1.1. If (H3) is satisfied, then

\[f(t, \lambda u) \leq \lambda^q f(t, u) \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \in (1, +\infty), \quad (t, u) \in [a, b]_\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+.\]  \hfill (1.4)

\section{Main Results}

\textbf{Lemma 2.1.} The BVP (1.2) is equivalent to the integral equation

\[x(t) = \int_a^{\sigma^2(b)} K(t, s) f(s, x(s)) \Delta s, \quad t \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_\mathbb{T}.\]  \hfill (2.1)

where

\[K(t, s) = G(t, s) + \frac{\delta G(\eta, s)}{\sigma^2(b) - a - \delta(\eta - a)} (t - a)\]  \hfill (2.2)

is called the Green’s function for the corresponding linear BVP, here

\[G(t, s) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\sigma^2(b) - a} \left( (t - a)(\sigma^2(b) - \sigma(s)), & t \leq s, \\
(\sigma(s) - a)(\sigma^2(b) - t), & t \geq \sigma(s) 
\end{cases}\]  \hfill (2.3)
is the Green’s function for the BVP:

\[-x^{\Delta \Delta}(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b]_T,\]

\[x(a) = x(\sigma^2(b)) = 0.\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

**Proof.** Let \(x^*\) be a solution of the BVP:

\[-x^{\Delta \Delta}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b]_T,\]

\[x(a) = x(\sigma^2(b)) = 0.\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

Then, it is easy to know that

\[x^*(t) = \int_a^{\sigma(b)} G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) \Delta s, \quad t \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T,\]

\[x^*(a) = x^*(\sigma^2(b)) = 0.\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

Now, if \(x\) is a solution of the BVP (1.2), then it can be expressed by

\[x(t) = C_1 + C_2t + x^*(t),\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

which together with the boundary conditions in (1.2) and (2.6) implies that

\[x(t) = \frac{\delta x^*(\eta)}{\sigma^2(b) - a - \delta(\eta - a)} (t - a) + x^*(t)\]

\[= \int_a^{\sigma(b)} K(t, s) f(s, x(s)) \Delta s, \quad t \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T.\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

On the other hand, if \(x\) satisfies (2.1), then it is easy to verify that \(x\) is a solution of the BVP (1.2).  \hspace{1cm} \Box

**Lemma 2.2.** For any \((t, s) \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T \times [a, \sigma(b)]_T\), one has

\[\frac{\delta G(\eta, s)}{\sigma^2(b) - a - \delta(\eta - a)} (t - a) \leq K(t, s) \leq \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta G(\eta, s)}{\sigma^2(b) - a - \delta(\eta - a)} \right] (t - a).\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

**Proof.** Since it is obvious from the expression of \(G(t, s)\) that

\[0 \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2(b) - a} (t - a) \left( \sigma^2(b) - t \right), \quad (t, s) \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T \times [a, \sigma(b)]_T,\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

we know that (2.9) is fulfilled.  \hspace{1cm} \Box
Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume that (H1)–(H3) are satisfied. Then, the BVP (1.2) has at least one positive solution \( w \). Furthermore, there exist \( M \geq m > 0 \) such that

\[
m(t - a) \leq w(t) \leq M(t - a), \quad t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right],
\]

\hspace{1cm} (2.11)

**Proof.** Let

\[
E = \left\{ x \mid x : [a, \sigma^2(b)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous} \right\},
\]

\[
D = \left\{ x \in E \mid \text{there exist } M_x \geq m_x > 0 \text{ such that } m_x(t - a) \leq x(t) \leq M_x(t - a) \text{ for } t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right] \right\},
\]

\[
P = \left\{ x \in E \mid x(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right] \right\}.
\]

Define an operator \( F : D \rightarrow P \):

\[
(Fx)(t) = \int_a^t K(t, s)f(s, x(s))\Delta s, \quad t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right],
\]

\hspace{1cm} (2.12)

Then it is obvious that fixed points of the operator \( F \) in \( D \) are positive solutions of the BVP (1.2).

First, in view of (H2), it is easy to know that \( F : D \rightarrow P \) is increasing.

Next, we may assert that \( F : D \rightarrow D \), which implies that for any \( x \in D \), there exist positive constants \( l \) and \( L \) such that

\[
(Fx)(t) \leq Lx(t), \quad (Fx)(t) \geq lx(t) \quad \text{for } x \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right].
\]

\hspace{1cm} (2.13)

In fact, for any \( x \in D \), there exist \( 0 < m_x < 1 < M_x \) such that

\[
m_x(t - a) \leq x(t) \leq M_x(t - a) \quad \text{for } t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right],
\]

\hspace{1cm} (2.14)

which together with (H2), (H3), and Remark 1.1 implies that

\[
(m_x)^q f(t, t - a) \leq f(t, x(t)) \leq (M_x)^q f(t, t - a) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b].
\]

\hspace{1cm} (2.15)

By Lemma 2.2 and (2.16), for any \( t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right] \), we have

\[
(Fx)(t) \leq (M_x)^q \int_a^t \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta G(\eta, s)}{\sigma^2(b) - a - \delta(\eta - a)} \right] f(s, s - a)\Delta s(t - a),
\]

\hspace{1cm} (2.16)

\[
(Fx)(t) \geq (m_x)^q \int_a^t \frac{\delta G(\eta, s)}{\sigma^2(b) - a - \delta(\eta - a)} f(s, s - a)\Delta s(t - a).
\]
If we let

\[ M_0 = (M_x)^q \int_a^{(a(b)} \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta G(\eta, s)}{\sigma^2(b) - a - \delta(\eta - a)} \right] f(s, s - a) \Delta s, \]

then it follows from (2.17) and (2.18) that

\[ m_0(t - a) \leq (Fx)(t) \leq M_0(t - a) \quad \text{for} \ t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right] \tag{2.19} \]

which shows that \( Fx \in D \).

Now, for any fixed \( h_0 \in D \), we denote

\[ l_{h_0} = \sup \left\{ 1 > 0 \mid (Fx)(t) \geq l_{h_0}(t), \ t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right] \right\} \tag{2.20} \]

\[ L_{h_0} = \inf \left\{ L > 0 \mid (Fx)(t) \leq L_{h_0}(t), \ t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right] \right\} \tag{2.21} \]

\[ m = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, (l_{h_0})^{1/(1-q)} \right\}, \quad M = \max \left\{ 2, (L_{h_0})^{1/(1-q)} \right\} \tag{2.22} \]

and let

\[ u_n(t) = (Fu_{n-1})(t), \quad v_n(t) = (Fv_{n-1})(t), \quad t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right], \ n = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{2.23} \]

where

\[ u_0(t) = mh_0(t), \quad v_0(t) = Mh_0(t), \quad t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right]. \tag{2.24} \]

Then, it is easy to know from (2.20), (2.21), (2.22), (2.23), (2.24), (H3), and Remark 1.1 that

\[ u_0(t) \leq u_1(t) \leq \cdots \leq u_n(t) \leq \cdots \leq v_n(t) \leq \cdots \leq v_1(t) \leq v_0(t), \quad t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right]. \tag{2.25} \]

Moreover, if we let \( t_0 = m/M \), then it follows from (2.22), (2.23), (2.24), and (H3) by induction that

\[ u_n(t) \geq (t_0)^q v_n(t), \quad t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right], \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{2.26} \]

which together with (2.25) implies that for any positive integers \( n \) and \( p \),

\[ 0 \leq u_{n+p}(t) - u_n(t) \leq \left[ 1 - (t_0)^q \right] Mh_0(t), \quad t \in \left[a, \sigma^2(b)\right]. \tag{2.27} \]
Therefore, there exists a \( w \in D \) such that \( \{ u_n(t) \}_{n=0}^\infty \) and \( \{ v_n(t) \}_{n=0}^\infty \) converge uniformly to \( w \) on \( [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T \) and

\[
    u_n(t) \leq w(t) \leq v_n(t), \quad t \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.28}
\]

Since \( F \) is increasing, in view of (2.28), we have

\[
    u_{n+1}(t) = (F u_n)(t) \leq (F w)(t) \leq (F v_n)(t) = v_{n+1}(t), \quad t \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.29}
\]

So,

\[
    (F w)(t) = w(t), \quad t \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T \tag{2.30}
\]

which shows that \( w \) is a positive solution of the BVP (1.2). Furthermore, since \( w \in D \), there exist \( M \geq m > 0 \) such that

\[
    m(t-a) \leq w(t) \leq M(t-a), \quad t \in [a, \sigma^2(b)]_T. \tag{2.31}
\]
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