Assessment of Pivka II as Tumour Marker in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Anuj Parkash1*, Parul Singla2 and Ashok Kumar Ahirwar3

1Department of Laboratory Medicine, Rajiv Gandhi Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, New Delhi
2Department of Biochemistry, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, New Delhi
3Department of Biochemistry, University college of Medical Science, Delhi

*Corresponding author: Dr. Anuj Parkash, Department of Biochemistry, Rajiv Gandhi Cancer Institute & Research Centre, Delhi, Tel: +919643001625

Abstract

Rationale: The most commonly used tumor marker in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP). It has been routinely used for monitoring the prognosis of disease, but not for its detection. There is always a quest of new biomarkers for detection of HCC. The present study has been designed to determine the level of prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence-II (PIVKA II) in sera of patients suffering from HCC.

Objectives: The aim of this study is to evaluate the PIVKA II as a biomarker for HCC independently or along with AFP to predict better sensitivity or specificity for diagnosing HCC.

Results: Using the best cut-off value of PIVKA II (37.5 mAU/ml) showed 94% sensitivity and 100% specificity for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma. While cut-off value of AFP (6.5 ng/ml), showed a sensitivity of (79%) and specificity of (100%) for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma.

Conclusions: In conclusion PIVKA II combined with AFP provided better results in terms of diagnostic accuracy and had a better sensitivity compared to either biomarker alone.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is considered to be the most common primary cancer of the liver. Liver cancer is the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer death worldwide in 2018 [1]. Age-standardised mortality rates (ASMR) from liver cancer in 2018 in both genders were highest in Eastern Asia (16.0) and lowest in South Central Asia (2.3). However by 2040 irrespective of sex and age group the estimated number of deaths from liver cancer increases by 64.3% [2]. The preventable common risk factors for HCC are Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, liver cirrhosis and environmental toxins like aflatoxin, arecanut and contamination of ground water by industrial waste and use of various carcinogenic agricultural pesticide. Non alcoholic fatty liver, metabolic diseases, life style changes, and genetic factors are also known risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma. Given its high incidence, with high mortality, an early diagnosis is an important imperative while countering this cancer [3]. The diagnosis of HCC includes serum markers, different imaging techniques and histological analysis.

The most commonly used tumor marker in HCC is AFP. Serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) has been routinely used as a tumor biomarker for monitoring the prognosis of disease [4]. Therefore, there is need of new biomarkers for detection of HCC. Various authors are studying PIVKA as an emerging tumor marker used independently or in conjunction with AFP for evaluating their role in HCC. This is a Protein induced by vitamin K absence (PIVKA-II) [5]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the PIVKA-II as a new biomarker for HCC independently or along with AFP both to predict a better sensitivity for diagnosing HCC.

Material and Method

This study was conducted in department of Labora-
tory medicine of specialized cancer hospital. A total of 35 patients of HCC and 5 healthy controls were enrolled over a period of 1 year. Demographic and clinical details of the patients were recorded. Consent was taken from all the patients as per declaration of Helsinki. In control group history of any cancer or inflammatory disease were excluded.

Patients with any history of haemocoagulatory disorders, vitamin K uptake disorders, intake of vitamin K blocking agents, and cancer other than liver, acute inflammatory disease, renal and liver failure were excluded from the study. All the cases who were clinically suspected cases of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and undergoing the diagnostic evaluation for HCC were enrolled in the study.

Blood sample was collected as per CLSI guidelines for measuring Liver function test, AFP and PIVKA. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 1,300 x g for 10 min.

An assay of PIVKA was measured using a commercially available chemiluminescent assay of the Abbott Architect 1000i System (Abbott, Libertyville, IL, USA) and AFP was measured using a commercially available electro-chemiluminescent immunoassay (ECLIA) test kits from Ortho Clinical diagnostics through the VITROS 5600 equipment (Ortho Clinical diagnostics, US) . Other laboratory tests of Liver function tests (LFT), Renal function tests (RFT) were performed using commercial available test kits of Beckman Coulter on Beckman Coulter AU 480 equipment (Beckman Coulter, US) to rule out the presence of clinical conditions that may affect the serum level of AFP and PIVKA.

**Statistical analysis**

The data was analysed by appropriate statistical methods using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 20th Edition, IBM, NY, USA and Graph pad, USA. All the data were expressed as mean ± SD and median and interquartile range if appropriate. Chi square test was used to analyse categorical variables. The student’s t-test was used to compare the mean in two groups in parametric distribution of data. Mann Whitney U test was used to compare the mean in two groups for nonparametric data. The Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed to find strength and direction of relation among study variables. ROC curve was used to compare test performance and establishing cut off of serum PIVKA II and AFP levels in diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma. The p value of < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Demographic and Biochemical characteristics of the subjects in study population**

The demographical and biochemical characteristics of study population are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. The present study was age and sex matched with control group.

**Serum PIVKA II and AFP in study population**

As shown in Table 3 the mean level of Serum PIVKA II and AFP levels were significantly higher in study group compared to control group.

| Parameters | Cases (n = 35) Median (Interquartile range) | Controls (n = 5) Median (Interquartile range) | P value |
|------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|
| PIVKA-II   | 1787 (96 -5137)                           | 23 (20- 31)                               | 0.000*  |
| AFP        | 19 (7- 152)                               | 4 (4- 6)                                  | 0.004*  |

*The p value ≤ 0.05 is considered statistically significant.*
area under ROC. This denotes that serum PIVKA II is better test than serum AFP in predicting hepatocellular carcinoma. According to this ROC curve, that at cut-off of 37.5, the serum PIVKA II has 94% sensitivity and 100% specificity for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma and at cut-off of 6.5, the serum AFP has 79% sensitivity and 100% specificity for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma.

Table 4: Correlation analysis of serum PIVKA with study variables.

| S. No. | Parameters | PIVKA II | AFP |
|--------|------------|----------|-----|
| 1      | PIVKA II   | 1        | 0.428 |
| 2      | AFP        | 0.007    | 1   |
| 3      | Total Bilirubin | 0.386   | 0.015 |
| 4      | Direct Bilirubin | 0.392   | 0.014 |
| 5      | ALT        | -0.094   | 0.569 |
| 6      | AST        | 0.068    | 0.680 |
| 7      | ALP        | 0.346    | 0.031 |
| 8      | GGT        | 0.151    | 0.360 |

*p value ≤ 0.05 is considered statistically significant. r = Pearson’s correlation coefficient

FBG: Fasting Blood Glucose; ALT: Alanine Transaminase; AST: Aspartate Transaminase; ALP: Alkaline Phosphatase; GGT: Gamma Glutamyl Transferase

Table 5: Area under ROC curve of PIVKA II and AFP in study population.

| S. No | Parameters | Area Under ROC curve | P Value | 95% Confidence Interval |
|-------|------------|----------------------|---------|------------------------|
| 1     | PIVKA II   | 0.98                 | 0.000   | 0.95-1                 |
| 2     | AFP        | 0.87                 | 0.007   | 0.768-0.991            |

Figure 1: ROC curve for estimating cut-off of serum PIVKA II and AFP levels for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma. According to this graph that at cut-off of 37.5, the serum PIVKA II has 94% sensitivity and 100% specificity for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma and at cut-off of 6.5, the serum AFP has 79% sensitivity and 100% specificity for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma.

II and AFP was significantly higher in cases as compared to controls. Table 4 shows correlation analysis of Serum PIVKA II and AFP with biochemical parameters.

ROC curve was used to compare tests by area under curve (AUC)

As shown in Figure 1, Table 5, PIVKA II covers 98% area under ROC as compared to AFP, which covers 87% area under ROC. This denotes that serum PIVKA II is better test than serum AFP in predicting hepatocellular carcinoma. According to this ROC curve, that at cut-off of 37.5, the serum PIVKA II has 94% sensitivity and 100% specificity for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma and at cut-off of 6.5, the serum AFP has 79% sensitivity and 100% specificity for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma as shown in Table 6.
Table 6: Sensitivity and specificity of PIVKA II and AFP in predicting hepatocellular carcinoma.

| Diagnostic Parameters | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| PIVKA (cut-off 37.5)   | 94%         | 100%        |
| AFP (cut-off 6.5)      | 79%         | 100%        |

Discussion

In India hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the 6th most common cause of death in both males and females [6]. In our study males are found to be affected more than females but 50% cases are in age group of 50-70 years. Our results are synonymous with other study which states HCC is commonly present in middle age man. The most probable independent risk factor associated with HCC in males is heavy drinking and smoking [7].

Tumour markers are used in routine to assess the prognosis of cancer treatment. The tumour marker used in clinical practice to support diagnosis of HCC is AFP. In the present study sensitivity of AFP at cut off 6.5 was observed 79%. Hu, et al. in their study observed at cut off 20 ng/mL the sensitivity of AFP is 60% [8]. In another observational study by Nguyen-Dinh, et al. on 24000 cases of HCC seen over a 7-year period at a tertiary referral hospital of Vietnam the tumor marker AFP was raised only in approximately half of the cases which question marks the use of this biomarker alone in screening of their patients [9]. AFP is an oncofetal antigen, were found to be increased in various other malignant conditions other than HCC and chronic liver diseases [10]. So there is always a quest to find new marker of HCC. Protein induced by vitamin K absence-II (PIVKA-II) – also known as des-γ-carboxy-prothrombin has been identified as a serum biomarker linked to HCC. PIVKA or DCP is an abnormal form of coagulation protein prothrombin. It is induced by the absence of vitamin K [5]. In the present study the median levels of PIVKA are more than median levels of AFP. The AUROC for PIVKA is 0.98 and for AFP is 0.87. The sensitivity observed of PIVKA at cut off 37.5 mAU/mL in case group is 94%. Seo, et al. studied during differentiation of HCC from non-malignant CHB at cutoff values of 40 mAU/mL for PIVKA-II, AUROC 0.854 sensitivity 73.9% [11]. Wang, et al. in their study on diagnostic utility of PIVKA-II in early stage HBV related HCC found ROC analysis for serum PIVKA-II level was depicting better sensitivity and specificity as compared to Non HCC subjects. The cause for high PIVKA-II could be deranged liver function which causes hyperbilirubinemia and intuition lead to malfunction of vitamin K absorbance and induce PIVKA production. Further they study higher PIVKA-II level in poorly differentiated-un differentiated group, microvascular invasion group. It could be due to proliferation and migration of human umbilical vein endothelial cells by PIVKA [12]. This promotes production of vascular endothelial growth factor in hepatoma cell lines and enhances angiogenesis. Baek, et al. demonstrated in their study that irrespective of the diameter of HCC the diagnostic accuracy of PIVKA was higher than that of AFP [13]. Several studies from Asian countries have shown that serum PIVKA-II levels correlate with the HCC stage, as well as with survival of HCC patients [14-16]. The level of PIVKA is closely associated with a larger tumor, vascular invasion and it serves as a more accurate tumor marker compared with AFP [17,18]. It has been observed if AFP was combined with PIVKA the sensitivity to diagnose HCC will improve and also predict the recurrence of HCC within 6 months after surgery [19]. In Japan the recommended Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis and surveillance of HCC uses combination of PIVKA-II and AFP [20].

This study has some limitations. The sample size is small in study. Also the study groups in material and method did not differentiate HCC patients on the basis of cirrhosis or histopathological grading. The changes in PIVKA-II during treatment of patient may provide more information on prognostic value of the biomarker. So, follow-up study with relatively large sample size is required.

In conclusion PIVKA-II combined with AFP provided better results in terms of diagnostic accuracy and had a better sensitivity and specificity compared to either biomarker alone.
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