Recent advances in carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies: a review
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Abstract

Human activities have led to a massive increase in CO2 emissions as a primary greenhouse gas that is contributing to climate change with higher than 1 °C global warming than that of the pre-industrial level. We evaluate the three major technologies that are utilised for carbon capture: pre-combustion, post-combustion and oxyfuel combustion. We review the advances in carbon capture, storage and utilisation. We compare carbon uptake technologies with techniques of carbon dioxide separation. Monoethanolamine is the most common carbon sorbent; yet it requires a high regeneration energy of 3.5 GJ per tonne of CO2. Alternatively, recent advances in sorbent technology reveal novel solvents such as a modulated amine blend with lower regeneration energy of 2.17 GJ per tonne of CO2. Graphene-type materials show CO2 adsorption capacity of 0.07 mol/g, which is 10 times higher than that of specific types of activated carbon, zeolites and metal–organic frameworks. CO2 geosequestration provides an efficient and long-term strategy for storing the captured CO2 in geological formations with a global storage capacity factor at a Gt-scale within operational timescales. Regarding the utilisation route, currently, the gross global utilisation of CO2 is lower than 200 million tonnes per year, which is roughly negligible compared with the extent of global anthropogenic CO2 emissions, which is higher than 32,000 million tonnes per year. Herein, we review different CO2 utilisation methods such as direct routes, i.e. beverage carbonation, food packaging and oil recovery, chemical industries and fuels. Moreover, we investigated additional CO2 utilisation for base-load power generation, seasonal energy storage, and district cooling and cryogenic direct air CO2 capture using geothermal energy. Through bibliometric mapping, we identified the research gap in the literature within this field which requires future investigations, for instance, designing new and stable ionic liquids, pore size and selectivity of metal–organic frameworks and enhancing the adsorption capacity of novel solvents. Moreover, areas such as techno-economic evaluation of novel solvents, process design and dynamic simulation require further effort as well as research and development before pilot- and commercial-scale trials.
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Introduction

Renewable energy technologies have been dramatically progressing over the past decade. The levelised cost of electricity for wind and solar energy technologies has been reduced by 66 and 85%, respectively. This means that the levelised cost of energy for solar was approximately six times higher only a decade ago (Lazard 2018). Despite this speed of maturity in renewable technologies, we still rely on fossil-based fuels to generate the global energy demand. The energy demand globally is expected to be nearly met by from fossil-based fuel (coal, natural gas and oil), which constitutes 78% by 2040 (Cao et al. 2020). While waiting for renewable energy technologies to fully mature enough and replace fossil-based fuel, carbon capture storage and utilisation of fossil-based emissions are crucial as a transition state (Zhang et al. 2016, 2020a). For instance, integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) is a common approach coupled with carbon capture and storage in clean coal power plants.

In a country such as India, transportation and electricity generation contribute to 45% of the country’s total greenhouse gases emissions (Ashkanani et al. 2020).

Furthermore, coal is considered the current and the future fuel in India, where there are total reserves of approximately 150 gigatons. Thus, the IGCC process along with carbon capture looks crucial. In terms of coal reserves, India comes third globally after the USA and Russia as first and second (Ashkanani et al. 2020). Globally, coal is the largest energy source for electricity generation and the second-largest feedstock source of primary energy (Wei et al. 2020). However, with the current rate of CO₂ emissions globally and with a CO₂ level in the atmosphere higher than 409 ppm, anthropogenic activities have caused more than 1 °C global warming than that of the pre-industrial level, of which higher than 0.3 °C was due to coal-burning (Wei et al. 2020; Osman et al. 2020a). In 2015 the Paris agreement was developed which aims to limit global warming to 2 °C by 2100, while attempting to limit the increase to 1.5 °C (Fawzy et al. 2020).

Thus, investigating carbon capture technologies is of great importance as it is considered the only solution to mitigate CO₂ emissions from industrial-scale power generation plants, which could lower those emissions by 50% by 2050 (Wei et al. 2020; Wienchol et al. 2020; International Energy Agency 2008). It is worth noting that the cost of reducing CO₂ emissions will dramatically increase by 140% if carbon capture and storage technologies are not considered (GCCSI 2017).

Three main technologies are being utilised in carbon capture: pre-combustion, post-combustion and oxyfuel combustion routes. Here, the first two routes represent 96.6% of the literature work until 2018, while oxy-reforming technology showed only 3.4% of the total publications (Omoregbe et al. 2020). The utilisation of liquid solvents in pre- and post-combustion technologies is usually done in an absorber packed-bed in a counter-current directions, where the fuel gas (pre-combustion) or the exhausted flue gas (post-combustion) is pumped from the bottom of the reactor to the top, while simultaneously, the flow of the chemical or physical solvent flows from top to bottom. Temperature or pressure swing is then applied to release the majority of absorbed CO₂ from the CO₂-rich physical or chemical solvent, while the CO₂ lean chemical or physical solvent is sent back to the absorber reactor. Finally, the captured CO₂ is compressed and utilised in gas recovery, oil recovery, agriculture, soda ash manufacturing, food industry and production of value-added chemicals and fuels or stored in geological reservoirs or saline aquifers (Ashkanani et al. 2020; Miranda-Barbosa et al. 2017; Tarkowski and Uliasz-Misiak 2019).

Globally, there are 22 demo projects for carbon capture and storage based on power generation with the majority share of pre- and post-combustion projects, nearly equalling 10 and 9, respectively. There are only three demo projects based on oxyfuel combustion projects (Vega et al. 2020). In terms of countries that invest in carbon capture and storage, the USA is leading the world with seven projects, and China comes second with five demo projects. For carbon capture technologies to become economic feasible, having adequate carbon pricing is crucial either in carbon tax or carbon allowances. By 2019, carbon tax significantly varied from one country to another, with values ranging from a few dollars to one hundred $/tonne of CO₂. At the same time, pricing for carbon allowances was approximately $35.4 per tonne of CO₂ equivalent within the European Union Emission Trading Scheme by July 2019 (Kárászová et al. 2020).

This value of carbon allowance started at $5.17/tonne CO₂ equivalent in May 2017 and is expected to reach $47.25/tonne CO₂ equivalent by 2023 (Kárászová et al. 2020). Comparing the net present value of various types of power plants integrated with carbon capture technology, pulverised coal was the cheaper option under low carbon prices. Simultaneously, the IGCC power plants were desirable only when the carbon price was high (Huang et al. 2020; Bohn et al. 2007). Thus, the carbon pricing is considered as one of the most effective ways to encourage the deployment of carbon capture and storage technologies.

This review offers the most up-to-date advancements in carbon capture, storage and utilisation technologies to help mitigate climate change. It outlines the advantages and disadvantages of each route with its readiness for commercialisation to decarbonise the industrial sector. Moreover, the review suggests steps and future guidelines from gaps
in the literature using bibliometric analysis. Overall, this critical review aims to benefit the academics working in the decarbonisation field alongside the policies of carbon capture, storage and utilisation technologies and will focus on themes that face the development and potentially face the commercialisation of capture, storage and utilisation technologies and their future.

### CO₂ Capture Technologies

In carbon capture storage and utilisation, there are mainly three technologies that are being utilised: pre-combustion, oxyfuel combustion and post-combustion technologies.

#### Pre-combustion

In this decarbonisation route, traditional fuels (coal or natural gas) are reacting with air or O₂ and with or without steam to produce mainly synthesis gas, which is a mixture of carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrogen (H₂), also known as fuel gas or syngas as shown in Fig. 1. The main two processes for producing syngas are shown in Eqs. (1) and (2) for partial oxidation and steam reforming reactions, respectively (Jansen et al. 2015).

\[
\begin{align*}
C_nH_m + \frac{n}{2}O_2 & \rightarrow nCO + \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)H_2 \quad \Delta H_{CH4} = -36 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\
C_nH_m + nH_2O & \rightarrow nCO + \left(\frac{n+m}{2}\right)H_2 \quad \Delta H_{CH4} = 206 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

In the case of using steam reforming, the typical reformer products are 43% H₂, 11% CO, 21% H₂O and 6% CO₂ (Osman et al. 2018a). When the partial oxidation and steam reforming are deployed in pre-combustion simultaneously, the process is called auto-thermal reforming, where the heat released from the exothermic nature of the partial oxidation can drive the endothermic steam reforming reaction. The syngas mixture is then cooled down and cleaned up from impurities such as hydrogen sulphide, hydrochloric acid, mercury and carbonyl sulphide (Cao et al. 2020). The purified syngas is then subjected to the water-gas shift reaction (WGSR) by reacting the CO with steam (H₂O) as shown in Eq. (3), to increase the % CO₂ and facilitate the CO₂ separation in later stages along with the production of H₂ fuel as decarbonised fuel, which only produces H₂O when combusted.

\[\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad \Delta H = -41 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}\] (3)

Finally, CO₂ is separated through various physical and chemical absorption processes for either storage or utilisation (Kumar et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019a). In the chemical industry, the pre-combustion approach is mature and has been utilised for CO₂ capture for nearly a century (higher than 95 years). For power generation purposes, the H₂-rich fuel can be used in a Rankine + Brayton combine cycle plant. Although CO₂ separation herein is much easier and requires lower energy than other techniques such as post-combustion, it still needs energy for reforming, air separation and improvements in the efficiency of energy recovery within the process. Additionally, further purification stages can be used to fuel electric cars or to produce electricity through a gas turbine, while the flue gas is sent to the heat recovery and steam generation unit for electricity production. Finally, the CO₂ is compressed and dehydrated for transport and storage purposes.

---

*Fig. 1 Pre-combustion technology consists of an air separation unit for oxygen separation (not mandatory). Then the fuel is reacting with air or O₂ to produce mainly synthesis gas, which is then sent to the shift reactor unit to produce hydrogen and CO₂. The produced hydrogen can be used to fuel electric cars or to produce electricity through a gas turbine, while the flue gas is sent to the heat recovery and steam generation unit for electricity production. Finally, the CO₂ is compressed and dehydrated for transport and storage purposes.*
are required when oil or coal is utilised to eliminate impurities, ash and sulphur-containing compounds. In the first generation of the integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC), the main cause for efficiency loss was the WGSR step, which was responsible for 44% of the total efficiency loss. This was due to the energy required for steam generation along with the heat released within the WGSR process as it is an equilibrium limited and exothermic process.

On the other hand, CO₂ produced through the pre-combustion process is characterised by high pressure. CO₂ is then undergoing compression and liquefaction for storage or transportation purposes at low power requirements. Moreover, it promotes the production of H₂ as a fuel that can be used in fuel cells (after further purification), transportation or as a building block in the production of value-added chemicals (Osman et al. 2020a). Another big benefit of the pre-combustion route is the flexibility of the outputs where H₂ production or power generation can easily be switched according to the demand.

The separation of the H₂ and CO₂ mixture in the pre-combustion route can be done using physical or chemical absorption techniques via syngas scrubbing using a liquid solvent selective to carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulphide as acid compounds (Jansen et al. 2015). The main common chemical solvent is amine-based, and its absorption capacity is higher at lower partial pressure than that of physical solvents that require higher partial pressure. On the other hand, the physical solvents’ loading relies on the partial pressure of the CO₂, according to Henry’s law. Generally, at low temperatures and high partial pressures, the physical solvents’ performance is high as those conditions provide better sorption capacity. Physical solvents suffer from drawbacks such as low CO₂-H₂ selectivity, high solvent viscosity, thermal stability, corrosivity, toxicity and flammability (Ashkanani et al. 2020). Regarding low-temperature CO₂ separation, many techniques are being deployed, such as cooling, compression, condensation, flashing along with cryogenic distillation that is commercially used in the food industry. However, it is mainly used for highly concentrated CO₂ streams (higher than 90%) and not adequate for dilute CO₂ streams.

The purity of the produced hydrogen in the pre-combustion approach is not a priority, while the CO₂ separation is. Thus, for high-purity H₂ and CO₂, advancement in separation technologies is crucial. Adsorptive reactors and membrane reactors are promising where the integration of reaction and separation occurs in a single unit to lower the energy requirement, as well as the formation of by-products, while increasing the overall efficiency of the process. In adsorptive reactor technology, a selective solid CO₂ adsorbent is utilised to facilitate the removal of CO₂ from the stream and hence, shift the equilibrium reaction towards H₂ production. The characteristics for those adsorbents are high CO₂ adsorption capacity, mechanically robust, fast sorption, selective and stable during multiple CO₂ adsorption and regeneration cycles. For instance, due to the deteriorating CO₂ adsorption capacity at elevated temperatures, adsorbents such as zeolites, metal–organic frameworks and activated carbons are not suitable. Various designed adsorbent systems have been utilised, such as promoted calcium carbonate, hydro-talcite and others in that approach. For membrane reactors, the palladium membrane or its alloy is the most commonly used. However, palladium is prone to sulphur poisoning and deactivation even at a lower reaction temperature (Osman et al. 2016), while the silica-based membrane is not, thus, superior in this perspective.

Nevertheless, silica membranes are not stable at high temperatures and pressures. Dense polymeric membranes are cheap materials; however, they are thermally unstable and not selective to hydrogen. In this perspective, the carbon molecular sieve membranes (CMSMs) showed good performance as they are resistant to sulphur poisoning and robust materials. Recently, Cao et al. (2020) integrated both adsorptive reactors and membrane reactors in multiple cycles for the pre-combustion route and showed good performance for 750 hours of syngas exposure and a temperature of 250 °C and pressure of 25 bar, with CMSMs as adsorptive reactors.

Overall, the pre-combustion technology is promising in carbon capture storage and utilisation, while there are many challenges to improving its overall efficiency. For instance, the solvent regeneration temperature needs to be conducted at a lower temperature than currently used to avoid any reduction in the solvent. Thus, ionic liquids are being utilised to overcome this issue, as they are characterised with negligible volatility (Zhou et al. 2021; Krishnan et al. 2020; McDonald et al. 2014). On the other hand, selecting the appropriate ionic liquid is not an easy task due to the existence of possible structures from various anion and cation combinations which requires trial and error to find the best separation performance (Lu et al. 2019). For that purpose, computer-aided molecular design (CAMD) is recently being used to find out the best combinations to design ionic liquids structurally. (Zhou et al. 2021) have investigated 10116 solubility data along with 463 hydrogen solubility data from the literature of ionic liquids with modelling to find out the best ionic liquids for pre-combustion technology. They found out that the most promising ionic liquid solvents are hydroxyl (OH)-ammonium (NH₃) and hydroxyl-imidazolium ([Tf₂N]) bis (trifluoromethyl sulphonil) amide at 40 °C and 30 bars according to industrial pre-combustion conditions.

In theory, the pre-combustion route could offer a cheaper cost than that of post-combustion and oxyfuel combustion routes by 38–45 and 21–24%, respectively (Portillo et al. 2019). However, due to the retrofitting of current facilities, this added costing and complexity to the set-up process have limited its commercialisation.
**Oxyfuel combustion**

In the oxyfuel combustion route, the carbon-based fuel is combusted in re-circulated flue gas and pure oxygen ($O_2$) stream, rather than air, hence limiting its commercialisation potential due to the high cost of $O_2$ separation and production as shown in Fig. 2. However, the $CO_2$ capture and separation are easy, and the oxyfuel combustion method is considered as the most promising energy-efficient route among the main three methods (pre-, post- and oxyfuel), with a low-efficiency penalty of 4% compared with 8–12% for the post-combustion route (Wienchol et al. 2020). The reduction in the volume of exhausted flue gas and nitrogen gas emissions (NOx) along with the increasing boiler efficiency can be achieved by applying the oxyfuel combustion route in power systems. One big challenge in such a route is the supply of pure oxygen as its separation is an energy-intensive and costly process in the air separation unit. For example, cryogenic distillation is the only proven technology for producing a large amount of $O_2$ with high purity for large-scale utilisation (Chen et al. 2019). Thus, investigating new novel routes of air separation is quite important herein, such as ion-transport and oxygen-transport membranes along with chemical looping methods (Shin and Kang 2018; Martinez and Hesse 2016; Chen et al. 2018a; Shi et al. 2018). To resolve the problem associated with the energy needed for cryogenic air separation, oxygen-transport membranes were introduced, known as the mixed ionic–electronic conducting membrane (MIEC) (Portillo et al. 2019; Kotowicz and Balicki 2014). Carbo et al. reported that the inclusion of oxygen-transport membranes in oxyfuel combustion could reach an economic saving in the range of 19–50%, compared to that of post-combustion technology (Carbo et al. 2009). There is recently a drastic increase in publications concerned with oxygen-transport membranes, where an average publications in 1985 were 30 publications compared to 200 in 2012 (Portillo et al. 2019).

Interestingly, the utilisation of the chemical looping method can enhance the net power plant efficiency by 3% when employed in oxyfired along with IGCC and instead of the air separation unit. Furthermore, capital costing of the power plant and electricity costing will decrease by 10–18 and 7–12%, respectively (Wienchol et al. 2020; Cormos 2020). One such advantage of using the oxyfuel combustion route is that it can be employed in current or new power plants along with utilisation of various types of fuels such as municipal solid waste or lignocellulosic biomass.

The integration between bioenergy and carbon capture and storage is called BioCCS or BECCS, leading to a negative carbon approach for climate change mitigation. It was reported that in oxyfuel combustion of lignocellulosic biomass, the accumulative emissions of $CO_2$ of net electricity production was $-0.27 \text{ kgCO}_2 \text{MJ}_{\text{el}}^{-1}$ (Gładysz and Zieliński 2016). While the integration of carbon capture along with municipal solid waste incineration has led to emissions of $-0.70 \text{ kgCO}_2 \text{eqkg}^{-1}$ of wet waste feedstock (Pour et al. 2018). This, in turn, showed that BECCS could be an effective way of achieving decarbonisation and the negative carbon technology for climate change abatement along with oxyfuel combustion.

![Fig. 2 Oxyfuel combustion technology consists of an air separation unit for oxygen separation (mandatory). Then the carbon-based fuel is combusted in the re-circulated flue gas and pure oxygen ($O_2$) stream in a boiler. Then the flue gas is sent to the particle removal unit, followed by the cooler and condenser unit to remove water and then to the sulphur removal unit before sending it again to the cooler and condenser unit. Finally, the $CO_2$ is compressed and dehydrated for transport and storage purposes](#)
Interestingly, there are twenty BECCS projects globally that include waste-to-energy, ethanol production, combustion of biomass and co-firing, biomass gasification and biogas plants (Pour et al. 2017; Bui et al. 2018c). Nevertheless, still, there are challenges in the BECCS approach, such as the higher cost of biomass compared to fossil-based fuel, such as coal along with high levelised cost of electricity and lower efficiency. When including air separation and CO₂ purification and compression units in the oxyfuel combustion system, the cycle efficiency decreases by 9–13% points as those are energy-intensive units. Thus, to make the overall process attractive for commercialisation, process and heat integrations are inevitable herein. For instance, the utilisation of heat generated from the compressor cooling systems in the units, as mentioned above, along with the steam cycle, showed that it is an effective method in this case (Chen et al. 2019). Moreover, the pressurised oxyfuel combustion cycle showed better performance than that of the traditional atmospheric cycle and could increase the efficiency by 3% points (Hong et al. 2010).

There is a growing global interest to prove the feasibility of the oxyfuel combustion technology with different demonstration projects and pilot-scale plants being deployed since the last decade; however, capacities are all lower than 100 MWth (Strömberg et al. 2009; Cook 2009). Wei et al. (2020) reported that the utilisation of biomass in oxyfuel combustion using the supercritical CO₂ cycle showed a reduction of ~3.7 megatonnes of CO₂ per annum. Furthermore, BECCS technology will be more economically feasible than fossil-based fuel if the carbon tax is higher than $28.3 per tonne of CO₂.

### Post-combustion

The capture and separation of dilute CO₂ in an oxidant environment from the flue gas of a combustion system is called the post-combustion route (Zhang et al. 2020a, b). Before CO₂ capture, the exhaust flue gas emissions go through denitrification and desulphurisation along with dust removal and cooling to prevent solvent degradation (Wu et al. 2020). Then the flue gas containing mainly CO₂, H₂O and N₂, is then fed counter-currently to the absorber that contains the solvent, as shown in Fig. 3. The scrubbed gas is then washed with water, followed by CO₂ regeneration. Usually, the captured CO₂ is then compressed into supercritical fluid and then transported for storage in geological reservoirs or saline aquifers. As the flow rate of CO₂ is high, and its concentration is low in flue gas streams, along with its inherently stable nature, an energy-intensive process is required for solvent regeneration.

Monoethanolamine absorption is considered as the most common and only commercialised method in the post-combustion approach, while other absorbents are used as well, such as 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol and N-methylidethanolamine and others (Karnwiboon et al. 2019; Ochedi et al. 2020). The adsorption route is also used in post-combustion in the form of either temperature swing or pressure swing adsorption processes along with calcium looping (Bui et al. 2018b). Amine solutions are the most common solvents due to their high CO₂ absorption capacity and good selectivity towards acidic gases (Rochelle 2009). Nevertheless, they suffer from drawbacks such as the corrosivity of amines, high energy footprint during regeneration, degradation and hence, solvent loss and evaporation. Although the monoethanolamine chemisorption, as mentioned, is the only commercially available method, the capital along with the operating costing herein is expensive; thus, some projects based on that technology have been shut down (Schlissel 2018).

To decrease the capital costing associated with the post-combustion technology, membrane separation could be a suitable technology as it requires a low energy need, low carbon footprint, low operational cost and easy retrofitting and scaling up with the current power plants (Vakharia et al. 2018). At the same time, there are many challenges associated with membrane separation, such as water condensation on the membrane, rapid diminution of selectivity and permeance after operation along with emissions (NOx and SOx) that pass through the membrane. Some membranes also suffer from difficult temperature adjustment and fluctuation in humidity that causes a drastic change in the transport characteristics of the membrane (Pfister et al. 2017).

For the adsorption route, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) possess some interesting characteristics such as the functionalised pore morphology and tailored structures that could work properly in CO₂ carbon capture. MOFs materials can exist in higher than 75,000 different structures, which help facilitate specific pore-structure materials for the carbon sequestration approach. Despite that, none of the MOFs materials has been deployed at the industrial scale due to the intense energy required for regeneration and their rapid structure instability (Qazvini and Telfer 2020). MOFs modification could be done through the functionalisation with polar groups or the loading of exposed metal sites within the MOFs structure (Zhou et al. 2019; Ding et al. 2019; Jiang et al. 2019). Furthermore, computational screening modelling strategies are a powerful tool for finding optimum performing materials among thousands of adsorbents, such as MOFs materials. Regarding the vacuum swing adsorption, there is a common relationship between pellet porosity and pellet size for all materials at the optimal adsorbent performance (Farmahini et al. 2020). Furthermore, computational simulations could be used for designing new photo-reactive MOFs materials with high adsorption and desorption capacities. One major drawback of using adsorbents such as MOFs in carbon capture and storage is the energy-intensive nature associated with the desorption process in the form of a large
amount of pressure or temperature swing. Sunlight as an external stimulus can facilitate the desorption process with lower energy demand over photoresponsive MOFs materials such as diarylethene and azobenzene. Park et al. (2020), with the aid of computational modelling, synthesised Mg-IRMOF-74-III (with azopyrdine attached to its unsaturated metal sites) material that showed a CO$_2$ adsorption capacity of 89.6 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$, that is the highest value within photoresponsive MOFs reported in the literature.

Although the pre-combustion technology offers higher efficiency than that of post-combustion technology, it is more expensive. To reduce the cost associated with the pre-combustion route, finding a superior absorption solvent is crucial. Currently, post-combustion technology is the most mature and widely used route among the three main routes of carbon capture and storage (Wienchol et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2011a). However, due to the dilution of CO$_2$ comes from the flue gas by N$_2$ from the air, this reduces the partial pressure of CO$_2$ and increases the additional cost in the electricity generation by approximately 60–70% for the new infrastructure or 220–250% for the retrofiting (Portillo et al. 2019).

As mentioned earlier, chemisorption using amine-based solvents is a ready technology for retrofitting of current power plants. Based on that technology, pilot-scale power plants that have been implemented showed a CO$_2$ absorption capacity of 80 tonnes per day (Vega et al. 2020). It is projected that the first integrated commercial carbon capture and storage along with coal-fired power plants will be open by 2020–2025. Consequently, it will be utilised in the rest of the carbon-intensive commercial-scale processes afterward. Vega et al. (2020) compared traditional and novel technologies that are used in carbon capture and storage areas such as post-combustion (traditional) and partial oxy-combustion (novel). At the pilot-scale of the absorption route, novel along with blend solvents have been deployed to reduce the energy footprint of the overall process before demonstration-scale trials. There are desirable properties in novel solvents such as the high cyclic capacities, low production cost, low corrosiveness, lower degradation and thus lower by-products along with the environmental impact. Over the currently deployed pilot power plants, CO$_2$ capacity was in the range of 0.1 to 1 tonne per day at a low capacity level, while the high capacity level showed values in the range of 10–80 tonnes per day (Vega et al. 2020). Shell company
developed a new CO₂ capture method (Shell Cansolv™) based on amine solvent technology (Stéphenne 2014). The proposed technology is appropriate for various industries such as refineries, energy production, mining and chemical industry processes. One such advantage of the Cansolv™ solvent is that the required regeneration energy for capturing one tonne of CO₂ is in the range of 2.5–2.9 GJ per tonne of CO₂. Which is lower than the most common solvent, monoethanolamine, as it showed regeneration energy of 3.5 GJ per tonne of CO₂ (Yun et al. 2020; Krishnamurthy 2017; James et al. 2019). Yun et al. investigated the techno-economic feasibility of monoethanolamine as a traditional absorption solvent and modulated amine blend (MAB) as a novel solvent in the carbon capture and storage technology. The novel solvent has an advantage over the common solvent in the regeneration energy required for capturing one tonne of CO₂, which was 2.17 GJ per tonne of CO₂, where monoethanolamine common solvent as mentioned earlier showed a value of 3.50 GJ per tonne of CO₂. The technological evaluation revealed that the cost for CO₂ capture in the Republic of Korea for monoethanolamine and Modulated Amine Blend solvents were 35.50 and 25.70 per tonnes of CO₂, respectively (Yun et al. 2020).

The decarbonisation of the industrial sector will require an assessment of the technology readiness level (TRL) of different carbon capture, storage and utilisation techniques. Pre-combustion (natural gas processing) is the only capture technology that has reached commercial scale (TRL9) (Bui et al. 2018a). Other capture technologies such as adsorption post-combustion, oxyfuel combustion (coal power plants), pre-combustion (IGCC), membrane polymeric (natural gas), BECCS technology and direct air capture are in the demonstration scale (TRL7), while, in pilot-scale (TRL6), there are membrane polymeric (power plants), post-combustion (biphasic solvents), chemical looping combustion as well as calcium carbonate looping technologies. The remaining capture technologies are ranging from laboratory-scale plant (TRL5) to proof of concept (TRL3) such as membrane dense inorganic, oxyfuel combustion (gas turbine), ionic liquid post-combustion and low-temperature separation pre-combustion technologies.

Regarding carbon storage technology, post-combustion (amine) in power plants, saline formations and CO₂-enhanced oil recovery are the only three technologies that have reached commercial scale (TRL9) (Campbell 2014; Singh and Stéphenne 2014). While other technologies such as CO₂-enhanced gas recovery and depleted oil and gas fields are still in the demonstration level (TRL7), other storage technologies such as ocean storage and mineral storage are in infant stages of formulation (TRL2) and proof of concept in laboratory tests (TRL3), respectively. On the other hand, the transport technologies either onshore and offshore pipelines along with transport ships are both mature (TRL9) (Bui et al. 2018a). An important aspect during the early stages of CCUS deployment is the development of appropriate infrastructure, whereby the consolidation of multiple CO₂ sources can provide an opportunity to take advantage of economies of scale in carbon capture.

### CO₂ separation methods from flue gas in combustion capture process

Numerous exceptional separation techniques are utilised through the combustion method for the CO₂ separation of flue gas. These techniques are absorption, microalgae, membrane separation, adsorption and cryogenics (Fig. 4) (Osman et al. 2020a; Li et al. 2012a).

Absorption is an entrenched CO₂ separation procedure utilised in the synthetic and petroleum area up to date. Absorption divides into two classifications: (1) physical, where it relies on both temperature and pressure (absorption happens at extraordinary pressures and low value of temperatures), and (2) chemical, where absorption of CO₂ relies upon neutralising acid-base response (Li et al. 2011c). Remarkable of the favoured solvents are amines (for example, monoethanolamine), solutions of ammonia, Selexol, Rectisol and fluorinated solvents. The common current addition is ionic liquids, which have shown incredible potential in the absorption of CO₂ and are likewise eco-friendly (Hasib-ur Rahman et al. 2010).

Microalgae bio fixation is a suitable procedure for the expulsion of CO₂ of flue gases. This procedure demands the utilisation of photosynthetic organisms (microalgae) for anthropogenic carbon capture and storage. Marine microalgae have been proposed to be of more prominent potential because they have more distinguished carbon stabilisation rates than land plants (Ben-Mansour et al. 2016). Microalgae culturing is very costly; however, the technique creates different composites of high worth that can be utilised for income production. Microalgal photosynthesis further commands to precipitation of calcium carbonate that can aid as an enduring sink for carbon (Nakamura and Senior 2005).

The separation based on membranes substances depends on the variances in the interactions that occurred within gases and the materials of the membrane, that adjusted to permit several pieces to transfer discriminatory into the membrane (Li et al. 2011c). Membranes have extraordinary merit in CO₂ separation in pre-combustion capture and post-combustion CO₂ separation. A broad category of diverse membrane materials and methods are obtainable, some of which now on the industrial field, and potentially related to CO₂ separation. The enforcement and related cost of technologies based on membrane separation in extensive range CO₂ capture principally rely totally on the membrane materials.
Adsorptive separation is a hybrid separating technique which operates on the principle of varieties in adsorption and desorption properties of the component of the hybrid (Li et al. 2012a). The cryogenic CO\textsubscript{2} separation procedure utilises the basis of liquid case temperature and pressure variation in component gases of flue gas. In this procedure, cooling and condensation of CO\textsubscript{2} occur, then extracted from the flue gases (Song et al. 2012).

Absorbtions and their performance

Absorption is a technique of transporting the matters from their vapour state to the fluid phase as long as that the vapour is dissolvable in that fluid (Abdeen et al. 2016). In the state of CO\textsubscript{2}, the solubility of the gas is conditioned on the solvent’s physical and chemical features. Contingent upon the solvent utilised, the gas parts can be easily dissolved physically or are bound chemically to the solvent designated as physical or chemical absorption or a hybrid of both processes (Koytsoumpa et al. 2018). If the particles of vaporous of CO\textsubscript{2} are combined with liquid particles with inadequate intermolecular forces, the absorption is defined as physical absorption.

Thermal energy demands through chemical solvents are extremely more necessary than those for physical solvents that are attributed to the energy augmented through the reboiler of the stripper column (Koytsoumpa et al. 2018; Jansen et al. 2015). In the case of physical solvents, the loading limit of the solvents is in a practical direct reliance within the partial pressure of the parts to be separated and the solvent loading as indicated by Henry’s law, deducting its recovery through pressure throttling. The destruction of CO\textsubscript{2} in the physical fluid solution is ascribed to the Van der Waals or interactivity electrostatic and is typical at tremendous pressure and lowered value of temperature (Koytsoumpa et al. 2018; Theo et al. 2016).

The chemical or reactive absorbents comprise amines, blends, ionic liquids, aqueous solvents, ammonia, etc. The blends were then created to merge the positive features of diverse absorbents, and concurrently overcoming their negative features. The physical absorbents comprise solvents like Rectisol, Selexol, etc. To be applied as an absorbent, a solvent should possess the required features such as exceptional reactivity and absorptivity with CO\textsubscript{2}, great stability below elevated thermal and fixed chemical exposure, moderate vapour pressure, suitable renewability, low environmental impact and cost-effective to apply (Sreedhar et al. 2017a, b). Amines such as monoethanolamine and diethanolamine were the newest and the most usually applied absorbents attributed to their economical cost, excellent reactivity and a remarkable rate of absorption. Nevertheless, they undergo several obstacles like diminishing in the oxidative atmosphere, intense renewal energy demand, restricted CO\textsubscript{2} storing potential and corrosive features by foaming and fouling components (van der Zwaan and Smekens 2009).

Gao et al. (2016) have revealed a trial of a 30 wt% monoethanolamine-methanol compared to aqueous 30 wt% monoethanolamine solvent in a pilot-plant testbed, which involves the whole absorption and desorption. The outcomes showed that monoethanolamine-methanol solvent possessed a more accelerated CO\textsubscript{2} absorption rate and lowered regenerating energy-consuming compared to aqueous
monoethanolamine solvent (Fig. 5). Recovery heat duty of monoethanolamine-methanol solvent at best-operating statuses was lower than that of aqueous monoethanolamine solvent which revealed that monoethanolamine-methanol possessed a potential to displace aqueous monoethanolamine solvent in manufacturing CO₂ pilot plant.

Cyclic amine piperazine was applied as a promoter attributed to its prompt production of carbamates with carbon dioxide. Ma et al. (2016) have studied the influences of different additives of piperazine and Ni(II) (were utilised as an absorbent in the bubbling reactor) on CO₂ absorption performance and ammonia escape rate. Also, they compared the efficiency of the mixed additive in the extraction technique with that of pure ammonia solution (Fig. 6). The obtained performance for the absorption of CO₂ was higher by 72% at the addition of 2 wt% NH₃ solution with piperazine (25 mmol/L) and Ni(II) (50 mmol/L), as compared to that performed by 3 wt% NH₃ solution without any addition. Furthermore, the loss in of the NH₃ amount was approximately 1/3 compared in the case of using a 3 wt% of NH₃ solution without any addition.

2-Amino-2-methyl-1-propanol was further reviewed in the literature due to its excellent absorption potential, special resistance for degradation and corrosion and more extraordinary selectivity (Sreedhar et al. 2017a; Kim et al. 2013). The blend of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol and piperazine is beneficial where it managed to lessen in regeneration energy with a 20% and reducing in the rate of circulation absorbent by (45%), away from a notable increment in thermal and oxidative decay resistances (Sreedhar et al. 2017a). Khan et al. (2016) have investigated reviews a post-combustion procedure of capture of CO₂ of flue gas by utilising aqueous amine blend of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol and piperazine. The specific rate of absorption for the blends ranged between 14.6 × 10⁻⁶ and 26.8 × 10⁻⁶ kmol/m² s. The measured highest rate of CO₂ absorbed was (99.63%) at the greatest content of piperazine (10 wt%) in the blend. The most chief CO₂ loading potential was (0.978) to the greatest content of piperazine. The regeneration performance was
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**Fig. 5** a Regeneration heat duty for monoethanolamine (MEA) and monoethanolamine-methanol (MEA-methanol) solvent, b regeneration heat duty for monoethanolamine-methanol solvent c for monoethanolamine solvent. Adapted with permission from Gao et al. (2016). Copyright 2020, Elsevier
detected within the range of 90.93–98.93% and the found best performance was (98.93%) at using the greatest content of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (28 wt%).

Diethylenetriamine possesses three amino groups (two principal and one secondary). In contrast to monoethanolamine, diethylenetriamine displayed more special reactivity (Salvi et al. 2014), lower heat of reaction although with sufficient CO$_2$ absorbing potential (Kim et al. 2014), more elevated rate of mass transference (Fu et al. 2012) and smaller heat capacity for regeneration (Zhang et al. 2014). Sulpholane behaves like a physical additive within the chemical absorption system, attributed to its extraordinary stability and special resistance towards corrosion. The diethylenetriamine–pentamethyldiethylenetriamine mixed-amine solvent was affirmed as a biphasic solvent. The pentamethyldiethylenetriamine duties as the proton acceptor, i.e. extracted the zwitterion and improved the CO$_2$ absorption in diethylenetriamine, securing great CO$_2$ capacity (Wang et al. 2020a). Wang et al. (2020a) have found that the sulpholane enhanced the rate of CO$_2$ absorption via diethylenetriamine–pentamethyldiethylenetriamine–sulpholane solvent (1.3 times) compared to that diethylenetriamine–pentamethyldiethylenetriamine solvent. Figure 7 demonstrates the chemical structures and carbon atom label of the species in the diethylenetriamine–pentamethyldiethylenetriamine–sulpholane biphasic solvent. The CO$_2$ was captured over diethylenetriamine corresponding to the zwitterionic mechanism and provided carbamate to the solvent. If more CO$_2$ was absorbed in the solvent, the quantities of liberating diethylenetriamine and pentamethyldiethylenetriamine at the solution reduced, and a higher amount of diethylenetriamine and pentamethyldiethylenetriamine have owned a proton. Contrasted with untreated pentamethyldiethylenetriamine and sulpholane, the formed diethylenetriamine–carbamate,

Fig. 6 Using Ni(II) and piperazine to decrease NH$_3$ escape during CO$_2$ capture by a NH$_3$ solution. This can be utilised in post-combustion technology
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\[
Ni^2+(aq) + XNH_3(aq) \leftrightarrow Ni(NH_3)_X^{2+}(aq)
\]

\[
CO_2 + R - NH_2 \leftrightarrow R - NH_2 + COO^- \\
R - NH_2COO^- + B \leftrightarrow R - NHCOO^- + BH^+
\]

Fig. 7 The chemical structures and carbon atom label of the diethylenetriamine–pentamethyldiethylenetriamine–sulpholane biphasic solvent. Adapted with permission from Wang et al. (2020a), Copyright 2020, Elsevier
protonated diethylenetriamine and pentamethyldiethylenetriamine, bicarbonate was further hydrophilic and possessed large polarity, causing a more durable ability to merge with water than untreated pentamethyldiethylenetriamine and sulphonate.

Hence, throughout CO$_2$ absorption within the solvent, the uniform solution was split to hydrophilic and hydrophobic phases. Also, it is denoted that the hydrophobic sulphonate and untreated pentamethyldiethylenetriamine were dispersed off the higher layer, whereas the hydrophilic parts were dispersed off the below layer due to the density variation. Therefore, the hydrophobic sulphonate developed the hydrophilic–hydrophobic division within the CO$_2$ stored solution, which improves the phase division, as presented in Fig. 8.

The influence of the addition of enzyme carbonic anhydrase was examined on monoethanolamine, methyldiethanolamine, 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol and potassium carbonate (K$_2$CO$_3$) (Gladis et al. 2017). The K$_2$CO$_3$ was tried as an absorbent due to its economic value, the moderate value of enthalpy demands, lowering toxicity, small solvent losses and extraordinary resistance for decomposition. To counterpoise the lowering rate of mass transfer, promoters, biological enzymes, organics and alkaline amino acids were stated to be utilised (Endo et al. 2011; Russo et al. 2013).

Wang et al. (2019) have synthesised spherical pellets of K$_2$CO$_3$ comprising varying amounts of Al$_2$O$_3$ for CO$_2$ capture (Fig. 9). The activated alumina promoted sorbent pellets arranged with 50 wt% of K$_2$CO$_3$ hold the most chief CO$_2$ adsorption potential (0.0023 mol/g). Besides, the urea additive (15 wt%) can also improve CO$_2$ separation (~0.0031 mol CO$_2$/g) of the pellets filled with 50 wt% of K$_2$CO$_3$. The enriched CO$_2$ capture is attributed to the notably improved sorbent pellets’ porosity as a sequence of urea decay. Furthermore, the urea sorbent pellets keep the exceptional compressive strength (18.96 MPa) and excellent resistance towards corrosion (retain about 99.41% of its original weight after 4000 rotations).
 Adsorption

The concept of adsorption is interpreted as the emerging adhesion between atoms, ions or molecules, whether in a liquid or gaseous or solid state, and the surface. The ions, atoms or particles that adhere to create a film on the substance’s surface in which they are bound and are termed as an adsorbate, while the substance on which they appended is named adsorbent. Adsorption is diverse from absorption due to, in absorption, the absorbate (fluid) is dissolved via an absorbent, whether solid or liquid. Adsorption forms on the outside surface, while absorption entails the whole material volume. Sorption is correlated to the couple manners, while desorption is considered as counter-reaction or reversed the adsorption process (Ben-Mansour et al. 2016; Abd et al. 2020).

Adsorption may begin physically; this requires ineffective Van der Waals forces (physisorption). Likewise, it might happen chemically, which demands covalent bonding (chemisorption), and it may happen attributed to the electrostatic attraction. The most prominent chemical adsorption and absorption systems, in CO₂ capture techniques, include the interaction within chemicals that leads to the creation of molecular structures based on CO₂, following which recovery of the uptake CO₂ is achieved over an adequate rise in temperature via heat treatment. The regeneration method spends the greatest of the potential demand in CO₂ capture. So, there is a necessity to promote energetic substances and methods for CO₂ uptake that can considerably lessen operation expenditure via the decline in expenditure of regeneration (Ben-Mansour et al. 2016).

The physical adsorbents which used in CO₂ adsorption whether carbonaceous and non-carbonaceous substances, as shown in Table 1, demands lowering value energy in the contrasting to that required in the case of using the chemical adsorbents. This can be explained that in the physical adsorbents, not new bonds are created between the carbon dioxide and the surface of the used adsorbent; hence, this ultimately results in reducing the energy demanded regeneration process (Abd et al. 2020).

Carbonaceous materials adsorbents

Carbonaceous materials typically were composited of carbon and additional linked material that can consider unique features like environmentally benign, extraordinary stability feature whether the thermal and chemical behaviour, exceptional conduction mechanism (heat and electrical characteristics) or surpassing strength. Besides, these materials have numerous merits such as low-cost, effective, simple composition from materials settled in nature, extraordinary distinct surface area, unique pore volume, and they are fine weight substances (Abd et al. 2020; Lozano-Castelló et al. 2002).

Activated carbon materials Over the ages, the porous carbon adsorbents have emerged as proper substances for CO₂ uptake ascribed to the physical adsorption of CO₂ on their surface, signifies the energy that demands the regeneration process was declined. Besides, the excellent CO₂ adsorption will be performed ascribed to their porous feature. Also, these materials can be efficiently qualified to combine exceptional surface features and necessary beneficial groups that can assist in enhancing the resulting interaction between the adsorbent substances and CO₂ which are crucial for providing an extraordinary CO₂ adsorption potential (Li et al. 2019b; Singh et al. 2019). The activated carbons were fabricated of carbonaceous substances through pyrolysis at high temperatures and special pressure in the activation furnace (Kosheleva et al. 2019). The resulting from this process is extraordinary surface area and heterogeneous pore structure. Besides, an inert gas (nitrogen or argon) was applied in the carbonisation step to eliminate any volatile parts to fabricate enriched carbon specimens. After that, the fabricated specimen was activated in the existence of the oxidising agent (oxygen, steam or carbon dioxide) at a wide range of elevated temperatures (Mahapatra et al. 2012).

The activation stage among the carbonaceous substances and the oxidising agents is an endothermic reaction, as explained in the following:

\[
C + \mathrm{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\mathrm{CO}, \quad \Delta H = +173 \times 10^3 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \tag{4}
\]

\[
C + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO} + \mathrm{H}_2, \quad \Delta H = +132 \times 10^3 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \tag{5}
\]

The carbon dioxide was preferably utilised as an activation agent than steam ascribing to its capacity to produce particles that have tight micropores nature that satisfies the characteristics of molecules of carbon dioxide, while steam is beneficial to compose particles with mesopores feature (González et al. 2009; Román et al. 2008).

The influence of nitrogen incorporating with the activated carbon was estimated to behave that the carbon dioxide uptake performance is managed via porosity character and nitrogen ratio. Recently, He et al. (2021) have synthesised activated carbons via carbonisation and potassium hydroxide KOH activation employing rice husk as a raw material. The studied samples show remarkably surface area about \( \approx 1496 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \), and micropore volume of \( 44.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1} \). Also, compared with the biochar to KOH as (1:5) ratio sample, chitosan modified (biochar/KOH as 1:5) sample displays remarkable CO₂ uptake achievement 0.00583 mol g⁻¹, which can be ascribed to the creation of the CO₂-philic active sites on activated carbons surface via nitrogen species. The isosteric heat of CO₂ uptake for chitosan modified (biochar/KOH as 1:5) sample is extremely higher than that of the non-modified sample. The adsorption performance of the modified sample with chitosan can be suitably represented via the
Freundlich model (Fig. 10). The large ideal adsorption solution theory (IAST) selectivity factor to the modified sample with chitosan designates their unique adsorption selectivity for CO$_2$ over doping with nitrogen.

Activated carbons were prepared using two stages of activation steps from different types of waste and low-value lignocellulosic biomass such as potato peel waste, barley waste and miscanthus with surface areas (m$^2$/g) of 833 (Osman et al. 2019), 692 (Osman et al. 2020c) and 1368 (Osman et al. 2020b), respectively. Singh et al. (2019) have presented the manufacture of activated porous carbon spheres for D-glucose carbonisation with a unique potassium acetate for carbon dioxide uptake. The obtained spheres shape activated porous carbon possesses a specific surface area of $\approx 1920$ m$^2$/g, spherical shape for surface morphology and special pore volume of $\approx 0.9$ cm$^3$/g. The activated porous carbon spheres display outstanding achievement, and manifest carbon dioxide uptakes ranged between 0.00196 to 0.00662 mol/g at different operating conditions. Furthermore, the samples exhibit efficient carbon dioxide uptake achieved 0.02008 mol/g at a temperature of 0°C and pressure of 30 bar and achieved 0.01408 mol/g in the case the temperature raised to 25°C and pressure 30 bars (Fig. 11). This achievement could be ascribed to the extremely revealed porous construction of the studied materials.

To sum up, the activated carbon adsorbents exhibit remarkable merits such as low value for regeneration energy, simple to restore, low regeneration temperature, an abundance of raw materials and extraordinary thermal stability; mainly the uptake achievement improves if the applied pressure of carbon dioxide rises.

Carbon nanotube materials Carbon nanotube materials are being examined in CO$_2$ uptake area ascribed to their attractive physical and chemical features such as great conduction behaviour whether thermal or electrical, besides the feasibility to develop their surfaces through attaching a chemical duty group, the exceptional yield for uptake storage potential. Further, the carbon nanotubes were achieved as a proper adsorbent for CO$_2$ uptake (Abuilaiwi et al. 2010; Srivastava and et al. 2003). Recently, Ghosh and Ramaprabhu (2019) have studied transition metal (iron, cobalt and nickel) salt-encapsulated nitrogen-doped bamboo-like carbon nanotubes for CO$_2$ uptake across a broad range of temperature and pressure (Fig. 12). The observed results reveal that the CO$_2$ adsorption potential completely improves for all transition metals covered the nitrogen-doped bamboo-like carbon nanotubes in both the pressure range. Further, the adsorption potentials lessen with the increment in temperature to all the studied samples inferring that physical uptake is the principal adsorption mechanism. Also, the sample used the Fe as an encapsulating metal shows the most chief adsorption potential, whereas the sample used Ni as an encapsulating metal uptake was the least between the studied samples. Furthermore, the adsorption potentials of the Fe encapsulated...
the nitrogen-doped bamboo-like carbon nanotubes is reached 0.0015 mol/g, whereas the Co covered the nitrogen-doped bamboo-like carbon nanotubes uptakes 0.00115 mol/g, and the Ni coated the nitrogen-doped bamboo-like carbon nanotubes uptakes 0.00098 mol/g at 298 K which increment with the reduction in temperature.

Also, Su et al. (2011) have prepared multiwalled carbon nanotubes were functionalised with a large weight load of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane to examine their performances in the CO₂ uptake. The adsorption potential of multiwalled carbon nanotubes@3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane was significantly impacted through the existence of vapour of water. Whereas raising the water amount, the uptake potential increased, which revealed that the uptake process is an exothermic reaction. Also, they observed that the uptake potential declined with increasing temperature. The CO₂ uptake potential reached 0.0026 mol/g at 293 K for multiwalled carbon nanotubes@3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane. The outcome implies that the solid multiwalled carbon nanotubes were functionalised with a large weight load of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane.
nanotubes@3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane are a promising system for CO₂ uptake. Jena et al. (2019) have presented the synthesis of the nanohybrid (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane@zinc oxide@multiwalled carbon nanotubes. The nanohybrid displays mesoporous features possessing a unique surface area (∼27 m²/g) with a pore size of about 3.8 nm. The multiwalled carbon nanotubes surface that is adjusted with the zinc oxide considerably enhances the CO₂ uptake potential (0.00132 mol/g). Furthermore, the increase in the ZnO density that is attached at the surface of multiwalled carbon nanotubes produced a tremendous affinity for the sake of CO₂ uptake at low pressure.

Graphene is a unique category of carbonaceous substances with superior adsorption potential and lately got extensive consideration (Abdel Maksoud et al. 2020). Various investigations applied different strategies to qualify the surface of graphene and introduce an extraordinary surface area and acceptable pore volume (Kumar and Xavier 2014). Recently, Varghese et al. (2020) have progressed the graphene oxide foam via the ultraviolet irradiation and investigated for CO₂ uptake potential (Fig. 13). They found that CO₂ recover potential increased as the ultraviolet exposure increase. The CO₂ recover potential reached about 90% for the graphene oxide foam exposed to 5 hours for ultraviolet irradiation and reached 91% as the exposed time for ultraviolet irradiation increased to ten hours in contrast to the untreated graphene oxide foam were recovered 65% of CO₂. Furthermore, with boosting the regeneration temperature, the CO₂ recovery improved.

Hsan et al. (2019) have confirmed chitosan grafted graphene oxide aerogels for CO₂ uptake. The result of the uptake potential of CO₂ via the prepared grafted sample is around 0.26 mmol/g at the pressure 1 bar, which is notably greater in contrast to the uptake potential of pure chitosan sample. The outcomes affirm that this examination assists to decrease the cost-effectiveness of adsorbents where chitosan is abundant with a large amount in marine waste, and therefore, this research intends to decrease the cost of CO₂ uptake with suitable temperature and pressure.

Wang et al. (2020c) have combined unique hierarchical porous C acquired from poly(p-phenylenediamine) with reduced graphene oxide for CO₂ uptake technology. The obtained reduced graphene oxide on poly(p-phenylenediamine) sample has a surface area around 860 m²/g besides it displays superior CO₂ uptake potential (0.00465 mol/g at a temperature of 298 K and pressure of 5 bar).

Meng and Park (2012) have declared that exfoliated nanoplate of graphene was a highly proper adsorbent for CO₂ uptake. The graphene nanoplate was synthesised from graphene oxide through a low-temperature approach. The treated adsorbents performed an extraordinary CO₂ removal of about 0.056 mol/g. Further, the remarkable adsorption potential of graphene nanoplates was ascribed to the larger inter-layer spacing and essential interior volume. The treated graphene nanoplates showed excellent capture uptake selectivity of untreated graphene oxide foam (GOF) and treated graphene oxide foam via ultraviolet irradiation (UV-GOF) adsorbents at different pressures. Adapted with permission from Varghese et al. (2020), Copyright 2020, Elsevier
(248 wt%) at the operating conditions. Also, Hong et al. (2013) have proposed progressing the basicity via improving the surface of graphite using 3-aminopropyl-triethoxysilane, which can increase the CO₂ removal. The outcomes stated that amine adjustment enhances the CO₂ uptake; hence, the increment of the basicity is the principal factor in advancing CO₂ uptake which is agreeable with the adherent molecules of amine that attached into the graphite surface.

Non-carbonaceous dry adsorbents

Zeolites Zeolites are another category of physical adsorbents found in nature and can be manufactured in the investigation laboratory also it comprises a microporous crystalline framework composting of aluminosilicates. Zeolites were broadly applied in the carbon dioxide elimination in the concern of their molecular sieving influence, and the electrostatic interactions occurred among carbon dioxide and alkali cations inside the zeolite frameworks (Singh et al. 2020). The gas uptake features of the zeolites are notably reliant upon the size, the density of charges and the distribution of the commutable cations in the pored framework (Zhang et al. 2008).

The replacement of aluminium ions with silicon ions produces a negative charge, that can be rebalanced via the exchangeable cation into the construction of alkalis such as sodium and potassium cations or alkaline earth metal calcium and magnesium ions. Zeolites possess many traditional kinds such as zeolite A, X and Y or natural zeolites such as chabazites, clinoptiloses, ferriers and mordenites (Dong et al. 1999). The zeolites 13X and 5A that possess reasonable pores size exhibit more proper for CO₂ uptake than their rival that have pores with little sizes such as Chabazite and Na-A in the low applied pressures (Song et al. 2018). Mason et al. (2015) have reported that the zeolite 5A (Na0.28Ca0.36AlSi04) including Linde Type A composition and zeolite 13X(NaAlSi1.18O4.36) with Faujasite composition comprising calcium and sodium cations exhibited amazing CO₂ uptake potential 0.0031 mol/g at pressure 0.15 bar.

Wang et al. (2020b) have prepared X zeolite via waste rice hull ash and qualified via rare-earth metals (La and Ce) ion-exchange into the zeolite (Fig. 14). The NaX exhibited high CO₂ uptake (0.0061 mol g⁻¹), whereas LaLiX shows 0.0043 mol g⁻¹ for CO₂ uptake. Also, the selectivity of carbon dioxide and nitrogen for LaNaX was improved more than three times. Further, the qualified zeolite samples lost about 3.5% of its original adsorption over 20 adsorption-desorption cycles. Liu et al. (2020) have prepared (3-Aminopropyl)triethoxysilane and alkyl-functionalised (3-Aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane and grafted it on zeolite beta by a reflux reaction. The results showed that the alkyl-functionalised- (3-Aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane @ zeolite displayed an uptake potential of about 0.00144 mol/g. Also, the studied absorbent sample displayed an extraordinary uptake rate of about ∼ 0.7 min (after 90% of the whole uptake potential in five min), and great stability after 20 cycles. Furthermore, alkyl-functionalised- (3-Aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane @ zeolite beta provided more chief uptake potential and stability than (3-Aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane @ zeolite at CO₂ mixture uptake and CO₂ flow regeneration.

The affected metal ions incorporated in the zeolitic framework could likewise promote the CO₂ uptake potentials. Theoretical and practical examination of nontreated zeolite (13X), lithium comprising zeolite (LiX) and polymetallic zeolite (LiPdAgX) with Faujasite composition proved that the LiPdAgX system is a more efficient candidate not alone for CO₂ uptake but likewise for the selectivity of carbon dioxide and nitrogen as compared to 13X and LiX samples. Further, the LiPdAgX system presented ∼ 25% greater CO₂ uptake and ∼ 180% more chief selectivity (Chen et al. 2018b).

Notwithstanding the superior merits of affected metal qualified zeolite, the progress remarked in the isosteric heat of uptake was not notably great. A related statement decided that the thermal conductivity was improved through the incorporation of palladium and silver ions within the zeolite framework could efficiently consume the heat of uptake, appearing in the enrichment of the CO₂ uptake potential at post-combustion uptake conditions (Chen et al. 2017).

Silica materials The materials based on silica are different types of adsorbed non-carbonaceous substances for carbon dioxide uptake, which distinguish with an extraordinary surface area, pore size and excellent mechanical stability. Silica is commonly applied as a support on which different substances are combined for CO₂ elimination. Consequently, most of the investigation goes on adsorbents based on silica are principally induced in adjusting several natures of silica and utilising proper amines types since numerous investigations noted the performance of silica materials-based adsorbents for CO₂ (Qin et al. 2014; Sanz-Pérez et al. 2018).

Henao et al. (2020) have estimated the CO₂ uptake performance of a range of amine-functionalised silicas with distinct pore compositions: SBA-15 (2D hexagonal), SBA-11 (3D cubic) and disordered silica. The rice husk ash was utilised as a silica source. Afterwards, the silica is functionalised by polyethyleneimine through wet impregnation. The CO₂ uptake achievement is considered sensitive to the pore characteristics of the silica supports and the impregnated value of polyethyleneimine. Between the developed samples, the polyethyleneimine on SBA-15 presented the most superior amine employ and CO₂ uptake potential (0.0616 g for every 1g of CO₂) under moderate conditions.

Minju et al. (2017) have prepared sorbents and used three amines (tetaethylpentamine, tetraethylpentamine
acrylonitrile and a hybrid of aminopropyltrimethoxysilane coupled with the two amines individually) for the surface qualification intention. The CO$_2$ uptake isotherms of the modified samples revealed that the sorbents coupled with aminopropyltrimethoxysilane presented excellent uptake achievement than the other samples. The specimen, Fig. 14 Isotherms of uptake of CO$_2$ via a NaX zeolite, b LaNaX zeolite, c CeNaX zeolite, d LaLiX zeolite and e CeLiX zeolite Adapted with permission from Wang et al. (2020b), Copyright 2020, Elsevier
including a hybrid of aminopropyltrimethoxysilane and tetraethylenepentamine, exhibited the most remarkable achievement between the other samples for a CO₂ uptake potential about of 0.00326 mol/g. The tetraethylenepentamine acrylonitrile immobilised sorbents displayed more accelerated kinetics at all applied temperatures.

Lashaki and Sayari (2018) have examined the influence of the provider pore composition on the CO₂ uptake achievement of triamine-tethered SBA-15 silica. The SBA-15 silica compounds assistance by varying pore extents and pore volumes have been manufactured, accompanied via triamine functionalisation by grafting process. The results of CO₂ uptake estimations confirmed the certain influence of support large pore size and extraordinary pore capacity on uptake features, with the former signifying predominant. Also, the exceptional pore promotes showing the principal surface density about amine groups, and exceptional CO₂ elimination (\( \sim 0.0019 \text{ mol g}^{-1} \)). Further, if the pore capacity declined to 47% of its original value of samples including likewise pore sizes, the CO₂ adsorption declined to \( \sim 63\% \) and more delayed adsorption kinetics has been seen.

Fayaz and Sayari (2017) have examined the hydrothermal durability of triamine-grafted commercial-grade silica for CO₂ adsorption. The results of uptake showed extraordinary CO₂ uptake of 0.0019 mol/g at best grafting statuses (1.5 cm³ of amino silane per each gram of silica with a small volume of water). Also, the increase of the duration exposure time for steam lessened CO₂ capture to 44% of its original value. Nonetheless, the CO₂ uptake decreased (21–4%) with increasing the adsorption temperatures by 25 °C.

Metal–organic frameworks materials Metal–organic frameworks materials are a unique type of adsorbed substances that have fabricated via the incorporation of metal cations combined with the coordination bonds (Li et al. 2012a, b). The metal-organic frameworks materials had classified as organic-inorganic mixtures, superporous solid materials. Among the identified substances to time, metal–organic frameworks possess an exceptional uptake surface area for every gram. They hold an outstanding potential about of 0.00326/uni00A0 mol/g. The tetraethylene-pentamine acrylonitrile immobilised sorbents displayed the most remarkable agent for their metal–organic frameworks is a remarkably critical agent for their CO₂ uptake potential at low pressures, which allow them to perform more reliable CO₂ uptake than other substances such as zeolites. Moreover, the metal–organic frameworks had well utilised for the selective uptake of CO₂ by utilising the force of polarisable for the CO₂ molecule and quadrupole moment.

Liu et al. (2012) have revealed that the metal–organic frameworks possess numerous merits such as tunable three-dimensional construction, exceptional values of the surface area, managed pore configurations and tunable porosity of surface characteristics. The cations and a broad array of organic varieties can work to compose metal–organic frameworks. A couple of relevant principles pointers for choosing a suite metal–organic framework for CO₂ uptake are that the porosity of the studied adsorbent must be proper with the CO₂ molecules’ radius. Moreover, the studied adsorbent should originate with polar, where the porosity of the surface possesses a more considerable CO₂ storing ascribing to that the carbon dioxide particles possess electric quadrupole moments. Consequently, examining these criteria in the form of the metal–organic frameworks adsorbents can turn in a tremendous enhancement of the CO₂ uptake.

Li et al. (2011a) have divided the metal–organic frameworks into two classes: rigid and dynamic. The rigid type of metal–organic frameworks should possess tunable frameworks that produce more pores alike to zeolite substances. In contrast, the dynamic kind possesses simple frameworks whose constructions alternate via outer influences alike pressure, temperature and the incorporated molecules. The numerous current procedures are to perform an untreated metal position overlying the porous via the release of the molecule of the coordinating solvent.

The enrichment in the potential of metal–organic frameworks to uptake CO₂ of the mix of the various gases is reliant on the fundamental features of the metal–organic frameworks. Further, the enrichment is dependent on the characteristics of the gases or mix that uptake in the metal–organic frameworks. These features comprise the construction and configuration of the metal–organic frameworks, fabrication and porous of metal–organic frameworks (Li et al. 2011a).

For example, Millward and Yaghi (2005), Futon (2010) and Li et al. (1999) has developed four separated uptake materials of metal–organic frameworks, viz. metal–organic framework-180, metal–organic framework-200, metal–organic framework-2015 and metal–organic framework-210. The metal–organic framework-210 uptake material displayed outstanding porous of the surface and extraordinary carbon dioxide uptake achievement. Metal–organic framework-210 uptake material presented carbon dioxide removal of about 2.87 g/g of CO₂.
fabricated adsorbent possesses a density of the bulk around 0.25 g per unit volume, the volume of porous of 3.6 cm$^3$ per gram and a more exceptional surface area of 6240 m$^2$ per gram that is the greatest recorded for all crystalline substances. Further, they observed that metal–organic framework-2, metal–organic framework-505, Cu$_3$(BTC)$_2$ (BTC = 1,3,5-benzene tricarboxylate), isoreticular metal–organic frameworks-11, isoreticular metal–organic frameworks-3 and isoreticular metal–organic frameworks-6 are considerably suitable adsorbents for carbon dioxide elimination. Additionally, they suggested metal–organic framework-177 that possesses a particularly exceptional surface area (4.5 × 10$^3$m$^2$/g) with CO$_2$ removal of ∼0.014 mol g$^{-1}$ at 35 bars.

The uptake achievement of metal–organic frameworks materials has further enhanced via using a suitable linker, which can alter the surface of adsorbents whether the porous and exceptional surface area for carbon dioxide particles. Zheng et al. (2013) have developed an expanded 4,4-paddlewheel combined metal–organic framework-505 analog of a nanostructured rectangular disosphthalate associated by alkyne associations. The produced adsorbent exhibited extraordinary CO$_2$ uptake of 0.024 mol g$^{-1}$ at room temperature and unique selectivity.

The CO$_2$ uptake into remarkable metal–organic frameworks can improve via the incorporation of heterocyclic ligands. It is obvious that these metal–organic frameworks composite of a heterocyclic ligand that is propitious for improving the CO$_2$ uptake potential of the metal–organic frameworks. Their pristine samples metal–organic frameworks, UiO-67 (the UiO-67 composites of a cubic framework of cationic Zr$_6$O$_4$(OH)$_4$ nodes and biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylate (BPDC) linkers), displayed depressed value of CO$_2$ uptake abilities than of those qualified metal–organic frameworks holding heterocyclic ligands in their constructions (Fig. 15) (Hu et al. 2018).

Membranes separation

Among the substitutional technologies obtainable, membrane technology deems the most suitable. Also, it offers many merits in terms of energy lost and cost-effective. Membrane technology categorised into three classes based on the technique operated such as non-dispersive contact through microporous membranes, gas penetration into high-density membranes, and supported (Sreedhar et al. 2017b).

The non-dispersive contact via microporous membranes that utilised concerning post-combustion carbon separation. It possesses merits additionally, traditional uptake columns, viz. elasticity in working conditions and classes of membrane contactors that could be applied (Xu and Hedin 2014). The CO$_2$ uptake by gas permeation results ascribed to selectivity and permeability of a high-density membrane towards an appropriate gas coupled in a mixture. The membrane
has comprised of polymer in which the highest layer is a particular high-density layer posted on a cost-effective non-selective membrane (Lee et al. 2013). In supported liquid membranes, the liquid has loaded into the porous of the surface. The principal–agent that manages the selectivity in supported liquid membranes is the attraction towards \( \text{CO}_2 \). The backing does not influence the membrane permeability, restricts the stability of the complete construction (Krull et al. 2008).

Guo et al. (2020) have reported amino-decorated organosilica membranes that utilise bis(triethoxysilyl)acetylene (BTESA) and (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) raw materials. The studied membranes exhibit high \( \text{CO}_2 \) permeance in the range 2550 gas permeance unit to 3230 gas permeance unit, while the selectivity for carbon dioxide and nitrogen reached values ranged between 31 to 42 during the carbon dioxide and nitrogen separation (Fig. 16).

The metal–organic frameworks have further examined for membrane synthesis. Usually, there are two techniques to utilise metal–organic frameworks into a membrane: the establishment of metal–organic frameworks into a polymer matrix to produce a combined form membrane and the deposition of a thin film of the metal–organic framework on a spongy substrate (Prasetya et al. 2019). Habib et al. (2020) have addressed simultaneous improvement in \( \text{CO}_2 \) permeability and selectivity using unique metal–organic frameworks \([	ext{Al}_2(\text{OH})_2(L)] (L = \text{biphenyl-3,3’,5,5’-tetracarboxylate})\) NOTT-300 and polyether-block-amide (Pebax®1657) as a polymer matrix. In contrast to the unadulterated polyether-block-amide membrane, the incorporation of the framework \([	ext{Al}_2(\text{OH})_2(L)] (L = \text{biphenyl-3,3’,5,5’-tetracarboxylate})\) with filler ratio 40% improved the permeability of \( \text{CO}_2 \) with 380%, and selectivity to 68% for \( \text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2 \) selectivity 26%. The outcomes confirmed the possibility of NOTT-300 as filler material for commixed matrix membranes endeavour at \( \text{CO}_2 \) uptake ascribed to their extraordinary porosity and \( \text{CO}_2 \) specific properties.

Also, Jiamjirangkul et al. (2020) have studies on gas sorption suggested that the immersion of chitosan nanofibres in Cu-BTC (copper benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate) metal–organic frameworks. The chitosan nanofibres on (copper benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate) metal–organic frameworks presented great specific surface area (104.6 m\(^2\)/g), with uptake potential of \( \text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2 \) above 14 times possesses an exceptional potential for uptake and filtration of \( \text{CO}_2 \).

Magnesium oxide MgO is a suitable filler substance in commixed matrix membranes ascribed to its exceptional carbon dioxide uptake potential and cost-effective in contrast with metal–organic frameworks. Lee et al. (2020) have synthesised bimodal-porous, hollow magnesium oxide MgO spheres by spray pyrolysis and precipitation technique. The synthesised bimodal- magnesium oxide spheres were injected into poly (vinyl chloride)-graft-poly(oxyethylene methacrylate), forming commixed matrix membranes for carbon dioxide to nitrogen separation. Furthermore, particular interactions that occurred within the bimodal-magnesium oxide and carbon dioxide surface molecules improved the solubility carbon dioxide and accelerated the carbon dioxide molecules compared to those for the nitrogen molecules. The bifunctional bimodal-magnesium oxide improved the carbon dioxide permeability within physical and chemical mechanisms, together. The most suitable gas separation

Fig. 16 Structure of bis(triethoxysilyl)acetylene (BTESA) and (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) raw materials and the produced materials. Adapted with permission from Guo et al. (2020), Copyright 2020, Elsevier
achievement was achieved in the commixed matrix membranes with bimodal-magnesium oxide fillers (10 wt%), which confirmed a carbon dioxide permeability of 179.2 gas permeance unit and about of 42.6 of carbon dioxide to nitrogen selectivity.

Hydrophobic membranes with anti-moistening surfaces assist as the interface separating the aqueous amine absorbents and the CO₂ combined gases. The CO₂ gases go along into the first frontage of a hydrophobic membrane and are uptake via the amine solvent that streams on the opposite frontage of the hydrophobic membrane. If the membranes possess weak porosity and are moisten over the amine solution, the resistance of the transportation for the CO₂ gases, will be improved, pointing to a reduction in CO₂ uptake fluxes (Tuteja et al. 2007; Kobaku et al. 2012). Lin et al. (2018) have successfully synthesised eco-friendly, fluorine-free and watertight breathable polydimethylsiloxane on polystyrene membranes with extraordinary porosity reached about 89% via an electrospinning technique. Contrasted among pure polystyrene nanofibrous membranes, polydimethylsiloxane incorporating in polystyrene nanofibrous membranes succeeded inhibits liquid droplets from agglutinating on their surfaces, appearing in the prosperous synthesis of a membrane possess anti-moistening surface. The CO₂ uptake flux of the studied polydimethylsiloxane on polystyrene membranes is around 0.0019 mol/m² s.

Absorption-microalgae

Microalgae CO₂ fixation possesses the benefit of extraordinary photosynthetic performance, quick growth rate, excellent environment ductility, great lipid richness and the capacity to isolate carbon and therefore has been considered as a suitable approach for post-combustion CO₂ uptake and utilisation (Cheah et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2017). Normally, dissolved inorganic carbon presences in culture solution water cover carbon dioxide, bicarbonate, carbonate and carbonic acid during the dynamic ionisation equilibrium are given, unless, particularly carbon dioxide and bicarbonate are fundamental dissolved inorganic carbon patterns which can be applied by microalgae cells in several approaches (Zhao and Su 2014). The bicarbonate has proved to be practised not exclusively through a straight approach, viz. active transportation and cation exchange, but additionally through an indirect approach which catalyses bicarbonate as carbon dioxide and hydroxyl ions with periplasmic carbonic anhydrase. It gave the feasibility of incorporating microalgae agriculture with carbon dioxide uptake methods through utilising bicarbonate as a carbon origin rather of carbon dioxide (Zhao and Su 2014; Song et al. 2019b).

Yang et al. (2020) have applied purified terephthalic acid wastewater was as the growing medium of chlorella pyrenoidosa microalgae for CO₂ biauptake (Fig. 17). The alga was incapable of originating in the unmodified wastewater ascribed to low pH value, while it favoured bearing and

![Fig. 17](image-url) The two stages of the untreated (Type A) and treated (Type B) purified terephthalic acid wastewater for CO₂ uptake. Adapted with permission from Yang et al. (2020), Copyright 2020, Elsevier
acclimation in the pH (pH = 7.40) conform wastewater and the modified wastewater. The obtained outcomes confirmed that the rate of CO₂ uptake and the photosynthetic rate of the algae if the growing medium is treated by wastewater were greater than these with the untreated using wastewater. The most chief algal CO₂ capture rate was obtained around ∼ 82.2% for the growing medium unmodified with wastewater and ∼ 91.6% for growing medium modified with wastewater. Azhand et al. (2020) have conducted the hydrodynamic comparison of inner and outer spargers in an airlift bioreactor and carbon dioxide biofixation investigation below various gas speeds. Also, they reviewed the input gas speed influence on the fixation of carbon dioxide through chlorella vulgaris microalgae in an airlift reactor with an outer sparger. The investigation reveals that the hydrodynamic outcome of inner and outer spargers considerably relies on the cross-sectional area. Besides, the outcomes designate that chlorella vulgaris can increase to ∼ 2.695 × 10⁷ cell/mL and eliminate the carbon dioxide with 94% performance in the smallest outer gas speed of ∼ 1.9 × 10⁻³ m s⁻¹.

As an example of the numerous considered carbon uptake techniques, thermal regeneration of intense CO₂ uptake solvent is a significant challenge due to its rising energy exhaustion. Song et al. (2019a) have offered a concept of bioregeneration via microalgae for bicarbonate transform to amount-attached biomass. Also, various intense solutions (including ammonium bicarbonate, potassium bicarbonate and sodium bicarbonate) were examined to estimate the achievement of bioregeneration. The outcomes showed that ammonium bicarbonate could be a suitable bicarbonate carrier for the aimed uptake-microalgae mixture method, which possessed more extraordinary biomass productivity opposed to potassium bicarbonate and sodium bicarbonate and carbon sequestration potential reached up to ∼ 0.16 g/L per day. At the same time, pH modification was an efficient procedure to additional enhance the achievement of the hybrid method.

**Geological CO₂ storage**

**Global CO₂ storage**

In order to limit the global warming to 1.5 °C above the pre-industrial level, IPCC (2014) estimated that the amount of CO₂ that must be captured and permanently stored by the middle of this century are around 5000–10,000 million tonnes per year. Carbon Capture and Geological Storage is a process whereby CO₂ is captured from flue gases, transported, compressed and finally injected in supercritical or liquefied form into suitable subsurface formations, either in a saline aquifer (Bradshaw et al. 2007; Michael et al. 2010) or, potentially, used for enhanced oil recovery (Godec et al. 2013). Ideally, the storage formation, which needs to be at a depth greater than 1 km to ensure that CO₂ remains in the supercritical phase, is characterised by numerous intercalations of tight aquitard rocks, e.g. shales, within the reservoir rock units, e.g. sandstone or carbonate. Such multiple confinements ensure the retention security necessary to impede the upward migration and leakage of the injected CO₂ (Benson and Cole 2008).

The petrophysical properties of shale, where the porosity’s range (−) is 0.01–0.10, the mean pore size’s range nm is 5–100, a high capillary pressure’s range (MPa) up to ∼ 400 and the permeability’s range (m²) is 10⁻²¹ to 10⁻¹⁹, make the favourable conditions for the aquitard in limiting the potential CO₂ leakage to be minimal (Armitage et al. 2010) (Fig. 18a). Compared to the basement complex,
Sedimentary rocks, e.g. sandstone, fall into the category of a porous medium where the injected fluids can freely move through, or be stored in the intrinsic void space without requiring hydraulic stimulation. Figure 18b shows a 2D grey-level slice of a high-resolution Synchrotron Radiation X-ray Tomographic Microscopy for the Nubian Sandstone, a typical reservoir rock type found in the Gulf of Suez Basin (Egypt) with a porosity (−) up to 0.3, a mean pore size (nm) of 44 × 10^4, capillary pressure (MPa) 25.3 and permeability (m²) of 2.56 × 10⁻¹² (Hefny et al. 2020).

Sedimentary basins are the subsidence areas of the earth’s crust that is underlain by a thick sequence of such sedimentary rocks (Selley and Sonnenberg 2015). Over 800 sedimentary basins worldwide based on basement outcrop, structure, total sediment isopachs, subsidence regime, basin evolution and petroleum systems and other public data are defined and shown in Fig. 19 (IPCC 2005). Mostly, the sedimentary rocks are inherently heterogeneous assemblages of depositional lithofacies, each with characteristic mineralogical content and bedding architectures (i.e. foliation, shear and compaction banding). These geological variations are resulting directly from the formation of the rock, from the stress fields applied to it later (Zoback and Byerlee 1976) or from diagenetic changes (Aplin et al. 2006). Moreover, the orientation of both the mineral grains and the pores (Wright et al. 2009) or crack (Guéguen and Schubnel 2003) along a preferential direction can also constitute barriers to flow, or at least reduce it (Clavaud et al. 2008), and resulting in

Table 1 Adsorbents for carbon dioxide capture

| Adsorbent                                      | BET Surface area (m²/g) | Pore size (nm) | CO₂ adsorption capacity (mol g⁻¹) | References                  |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Activated carbon/coconut shell                 | 370.72                  | 1.63           | 0.0018                            | Rashidi et al. (2014)        |
| Activated carbon/sustainable palm              | –                       | –              | 0.00732                           | Nasri et al. (2014)          |
| Activated carbon/cellulose                    | 2370                    | 1.2            | 0.0058                            | Sevilla and Fuertes (2011)   |
| Activated carbon/starch                        | 2850                    | 1.2            | 0.0055                            | Sevilla and Fuertes (2011)   |
| Activated carbon/olive stone                   | 1215                    | –              | 0.0031                            | González et al. (2013)       |
| Activated carbon/algae                         | 2390                    | 1.8            | 0.0038                            | Sevilla et al. (2012)        |
| Activated carbon/baggase                       | 923                     | –              | 0.0017                            | Boonpook et al. (2012)       |
| Activated carbon/bamboo                        | 1846                    | –              | 0.007                             | Wei et al. (2012)            |
| Activated carbon/rice husk                     | 927                      | –              | 0.0013                            | Boonpook et al. (2011)       |
| Activated carbon/coffee ground                 | 831                      | –              | 0.0049                            | Plaza et al. (2012)          |
| Activated carbon/nut shell                     | 573                      | –              | 0.00348                           | Bae and Su (2013)            |
| Three-dimensional graphene                     | 477                      | –              | 0.0007                            |                             |
| N-doped porous carbon@polypyrrole/reduced graphene oxide | 1588                    | 14.7           | 0.0043                            | Chandra et al. (2012)        |
| Polyaniline @ graphene                         | –                       | –              | 0.075                             | Mishra and Ramaprabhu (2012) |
| Graphene–manganese oxide                       | 541                     | 4.3            | 0.00259                           | Zhou et al. (2012)           |
| Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks-8@ graphene oxide | 1120                    | –              | 0.01636                           | Kumar et al. (2013)          |
| Fe₃O₄-graphene                                 | 98.2                    | 3.8            | 0.06                               | Mishra and Ramaprabhu (2014) |
| ZnO-based N-doped reduced graphene oxide       | 1122                    | 0.71           | 0.0355                            | Li et al. (2016)             |
| Montmorillonite clay/reduced graphene oxide    | 50.77                   | –              | 0.00049                           | Stanly et al. (2019)         |
| Zeolite SSZ-13                                 | –                       | –              | 0.00398                           | Hudson et al. (2012)         |
| Zeolite NaX                                     | 672.09                  | –              | 0.00553                           | Xu et al. (2019)             |
| Zeolite 5A@meta–organic framework-74          | –                       | –              | 0.0138                            | Al-Naddaf et al. (2020)      |
| Silica @ amine-like motifs                     | 199                     | 67             | 0.0014                            | Zhao et al. (2010)           |
| Sodium metasilicate                            | 908                     | –              | 0.00292                           | Lin and Bai (2010)           |
| Amines immobilised double-walled silica nanotubes | 348                   | –              | 0.0023                            | Ko et al. (2013)             |
| Amino-modified silica fume                     | 271.2                   | –              | 0.0013                            | Liu and Lin (2013)           |
| HMS (wormhole)                                 | 1181                    | –              | 0.0056                            | Sanz-Pérez et al. (2015)     |
| 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane@ SBA-15 silica    | 572                     | –              | 0.0041                            | Ribeiro et al. (2019)        |
| [Co₃(OH)₆(p-CDC)₃] DMFₙ                                 | 1080                    | –              | 0.0037                            | Farha et al. (2009)          |
| Amine-chromium terephthalate metal–organic framework | 2297                   | –              | 0.002                              | Yan et al. (2013)            |
| Metal–organic framework MIL-53(Al)/graphene nanoplates | 1281                 | –              | 0.001295                          | Pourrebrahimi et al. (2015)  |

BET Brunauer–Emmett–Teller
different elastic responses (Helbig and Thomsen 2005). Therefore, the deployment challenges of large-scale CO₂ storage in the geological formations will be affected by quantification of the geological heterogeneity which influences both the microscopic fluid displacement processes, thermo-hydro-mechanical (THM) processes, caprock integrity, induced seismicity and well’s (CO₂) injectivity. These challenges will be discussed in details as follows.

Given the fact that CCUS entails cyclic fluid(s) injection into (and possibly retrieval from) these geological formations, unintended changes in dynamic reservoir properties (e.g. saturation, pressure) will be often induced and needs to be quantified using the inversion of the geophysical field data (such as time-lapse seismic data, gravity data). However, the time-lapse seismic inversion will be quite problematic, if not impossible, without proper rock physics models which can capture these geological features at small scale and find the relationship of that complexity to the fluids flow (and seismic waves propagation) through it. The regional heterogeneities at field scale include lithofacies geometries and continuity, thickness variability, preferential alignment of the faults network and bulk reservoir properties (Fig. 19). On the other hand, the heterogeneities of wellbore scale can be extended down to the microscopic pore network, grain size and mineral contents and orientation. Therefore, the impact of these geological features (such as heterogeneity scale, anisotropic behaviour, the topology of a porous medium and mineralogical contents) on rock physics model (including seismic-waves velocity, permeability tensor, two-phase constitutive relationships) needs to be considered for the geophysical data inversion.

Moreover, the geological heterogeneity contributes towards the quantification of the basin-scale CO₂ storage capacity of the reservoir. For a consistency with methods used in previous studies to assess the prospective geologic storage of buoyant fluids in subsurface formations (van der Meer 1995; Doughty et al. 2001; Kopp et al. 2009; Goodman et al. 2011; NETL 2015; Hefny et al. 2020), Eq. (6) is used to estimate the theoretical (in a conservative approach) reservoir storage capacity.

\[ M_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{eff}} = \zeta_{\text{eff}} \rho_{\text{CO}_2}(T, p) V_{\text{bulk}} \rho_{\text{res}}(T, p) \]

where, \( M_{\text{CO}_2}^{\text{eff}} \) is the effective storage capacity (kg), \( V_{\text{bulk}} \) is the bulk reservoir volume (m³) and \( \rho_{\text{CO}_2} \) is the CO₂ density (kg m⁻³) as a function of the corresponding reservoir temperature and pressure. The dimensionless CO₂-storage efficiency factor, \( \zeta_{\text{eff}}(\cdot) \), represents the fraction of the total pore volume that can be occupied by the injected CO₂. \( \zeta_{\text{eff}} \) can be estimated based on a combination of coefficients for the geometric capacity, the geological heterogeneity capacity and reservoir porosity.

An additional parameter for the safekeeping of underground stored CO₂ is the sealing capacity of the caprock, despite faults and fractures, which may occur in it. The CO₂ injection pressure at the bottom-hole must remain below the fracture stress gradient to avoid caprock integrity, while being larger the in situ fluid pressure to displace the resident formation fluid (brine) by CO₂. As a continuous CO₂ injection, excess fluid pressure will be built up in the reservoir—a condition that develops high permeability pathways within the caprock unless water-extraction wells operate concurrently with CO₂ injection (Bergmo et al. 2011). Ideally and according to Espinoza and Santamarina (2017), a leak rate of 3 kg/m²/year corresponds to ∼ 2 cm of the CO₂ pool height is enough to saturate the pore water in a shallow 100 m sediment column in 100 years.

Moreover, the potential physicochemical interactions between the dry supercritical CO₂, the resident formation fluid and rocks may cause formation dry-out, whereby minerals (mainly salts) precipitate due to continuous evaporation of water into the scCO₂ stream. Depending on the spatial distribution of the salt precipitate within the pore system, the intrinsic permeability can be significantly impaired, leading to a considerable decrease in the well’s (CO₂) injectivity index (Muller et al. 2009; Grimm Lima et al. 2020).

Of the previously mentioned technologies, Carbon Capture and Geologically Storage has been implemented in practice, albeit thus far only at relatively small scales, with the Norwegian Sleipner site in the North Sea being the longest-running and largest-scale carbon capture and storage project in the world (Furre and Eiken 2014; Eiken et al. 2011; Eiken 2019). Roughly 0.85 million tonnes of CO₂ are injected annually for a cumulative total of over 16.5 million tonnes as of January 2017. In Sleipner site, 2D, 3D and 4D geophysical data have been acquired to ensure that there is no CO₂ leakage.

The time-lapse high-quality seismic field datasets have been acquired covering roughly the same 4 × 7 km² area. The seismic data consist of (1) the benchmark (base) model and (2) twelve (a huge number, given the complexity of acquiring 3D seismic data in the field, in this case even off-shore in the North Sea) time-lapse seismic surveys as a function of CO₂ injection. All surveys and differences have high signal-to-noise ratios due to the large contrast in acoustic properties between the in situ saline aquifer and the injected CO₂ and have been valuable for understanding the CO₂-plume development (Fig. 20).

**Thermophysical fluid properties**

The subsurface CO₂-plume migration at a representative geological scale depends on: (1) rock properties at the pore scale, such as relative permeability and capillary pressure curves in addition to their intrinsic characteristic features, and (2) fluid pairs (CO₂-brine) properties such as density and viscosity differences, mobility ratios, interfacial tensions...
and CO₂ solubilities. Two NaCl brine molalities have been chosen to replicate the salinity at (I) the Gulf of Suez in Egypt (0.66 mol/kg) and (II) the Aquistore Carbon Capture and Storage site in Canada (4.63 mol/kg). Moreover, regions with large geothermal gradients exhibit different thermophysical properties than those regions that exhibit smaller geothermal gradients.

The thermophysical properties of the fluid pairs (CO₂ and brine) describe the multiphase flow behaviour and define the functionality of a CPG system. The thermophysical properties of fluids were chosen to represent those found in a deep geological formation, typical for depleted oil and gas reservoirs. Above these conditions ($T_{\text{crit}} = 31.1 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $P_{\text{crit}} = 7.38 \, \text{MPa}$), CO₂ acts as a super-critical fluid with a gas-like viscosity but a liquid-like density.

**Density and dynamic viscosity**

For a given pressure and temperature, the density and dynamic viscosity of supercritical CO₂ are iteratively calculated using the Span and Wagner equation of state Span and Wagner (1996) and Fenghour et al. (1998)’s correlation, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 21. Primarily, the densities (kg/m³) and dynamic viscosities ($\mu$Pa.s) for both CO₂ and brine increase with increasing pressure and decreasing temperature.
The density can then be used to calculate the other fluid properties, such as internal energy, directly. In fact, the CO$_2$ dynamic viscosity is one of the most crucial parameters for successful implementation and forecasting of numerous applications including CO$_2$-based geothermal system and CO$_2$-Enhanced Oil Recovery. In CO$_2$-based geothermal system, such as CPG, dividing the density of CO$_2$ by its dynamic viscosity results in high mobility (i.e. the inverse of kinematic viscosity) compared to brine. The mobility will be described in details in “CO2 mobility ratio” section. Moreover, the dynamic viscosity can be indirectly related through the Reynolds number with the pressure drop during flow in pipelines, which in turn affects the power consumption of pumps. It was reported that a viscosity underestimation of 30%, will lead to a 30% underestimation of the pump-compressor power consumption (Li et al. 2011b).

**Interfacial tension in CO$_2$-brine systems**

We used the empirical relationships derived from the most comprehensive dataset after Li et al. (2012c) and Bachu and Bennion (2009) in order to calculate the interfacial tension between supercritical CO$_2$ and aqueous solutions with different salt molalities (mol/kg). The interfacial tension is developed as a function of pressure, temperature and brine salinity and primarily decreases with increasing CO$_2$ solubility. At conditions relevant to the CPG subsurface reservoir, the interfacial tension ranges from 24 mN/m at high temperature, low salinity (0.66 mol/kg) and high-pressure conditions.

![Fig. 20 Relative changes in seismic p-wave velocity (solid and dashed black lines) and density assuming CO$_2$ density of 675 kg/m$^3$ (dashed blue line) and density assuming CO$_2$ density of 425 kg/m$^3$ (solid blue line) versus CO$_2$ saturation.](image1)

![Fig. 21 Thermophysical properties of the fluids, brine and supercritical CO$_2$, used for fluid flow simulation.](image2)
to 52 mN/m at low-temperature, high-salinity (4.63 mol/kg) and high-pressure conditions (Fig. 22).

**CO₂ solubility in aqueous solution**

Given that the dissolution of CO₂ in aqueous solution is extremely slow, it can minimally affect the CO₂ circulation during the time frames considered in CPG systems. Alternatively and during carbon capture and storage, convective dissolution, driven by a small increase in brine density with CO₂ saturation, is considered to be the primary mechanism of CO₂ dissolution trapping, critical for the long-term fate of CO₂ and storage security (Martinez and Hesse 2016; Kong and Saar 2013).

The most commonly used thermodynamic models to describe the mole fraction (solubility) of CO₂ for a CO₂-brine system are provided by Duan and Sun (2003) and Duan et al. (2006). Generally, CO₂ solubility in brine increases with increasing pressure and temperature and decreasing brine salinity, but at the pressures relevant to geologic CO₂ storage, the CO₂ solubility decreases with increasing temperature (Fig. 22).

**CO₂ mobility ratio**

In the continuity equation, we assume that fluid flow obeys Darcy’s law and that heat is both advected by the fluids and conducted through the rock-fluid system.

\[
Q = \Delta P \frac{k A}{L} \frac{\rho}{\mu} \frac{c_p}{\Delta T},
\]

where \( P \) is the pressure (Pa), \( L \) is the reservoir thickness (m), \( k \) is the reservoir permeability (m²), \( A \) a cross-sectional area (m²), \( c_p \) is specific heat capacity at constant pressure [kJ/(kg·°C)], \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity (µPa.s), \( \rho \) is the density (kg/m³) and \( T \) is the temperature (°C). The fluid mass flowrates for any given driving force is proportional to the ratio of density to dynamic viscosity, also known as Mobility, \( M = \rho/\mu \) (i.e. the inverse of kinematic viscosity), given all else parameters in Eq. (7) being equal. In the case of water, \( M \) is mostly a function of temperature and much less pressure that reflects the primary dependence of both water’s density and viscosity on temperature as previously introduced. In the case of CO₂, density and viscosity have significant dependence on both temperature and pressure. For conditions relevant for fluid injection (i.e. \( T \) lower than 50 °C), CO₂ mobility is larger than for water by factors ranging from 4 to 10. For temperatures near 100 °C, CO₂ is larger by a factor of approximately 4 than that of water. Additionally, the mobility ratio between CO₂ and brine depends on salinity. Figure 22 shows that the mobility ratio is large for a more saline aqueous solution than those with less salinity.

### Specific heat capacity

The specific heat capacity is the ratio of the heat transfer to a body to the associated temperature change and its weight. It describes the ability of a material to store heat and is temperature-dependent. The volumetric heat capacity is the product of specific heat capacity and density and is used to calculate the thermal capacity of geothermal projects. The constant-pressure (isobaric) specific heat capacity, \( c_p \) [kJ/(kg·°C)], of the working fluid, as it flows through the reservoir, is calculated by Eq. (8).

\[
c_p = \left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial T} \right|_{p},
\]

where \( \partial h \) is the fluid’s heat changes for a given fluid’s temperature changes, \( \partial T \). A comparison of the specific heat capacity for water and supercritical CO₂ is shown in Fig. 23. At high pressure of more than 30 MPa, the increase in specific heat capacity with constant temperature for CO₂ is less than half of the increase of water, indicating that more than twice the CO₂ mass flowrate would be needed to achieve the same rate of sensible heat transport.

**CO₂ utilisation pathways**

Various CO₂ utilisation routes were successfully researched in terms of technical and economic feasibility. Currently, the gross global utilisation of CO₂ is lower than 200 million tonnes per year which is roughly negligible compared with the extent of global anthropogenic CO₂ emissions (higher than 32,000 million tonnes per year) (Rafiee et al. 2018). Applicability of waste CO₂ in different fields such as direct routes (i.e. beverage carbonation, food packaging and oil or gas recovery), material and chemical industries (i.e. acrylates, carbamates, carbonates, polyurethanes, polycarbonates, formaldehyde and urea) and fuels (i.e. biofuels, dimethyl ether, tertiary butyl methyl ether and methanol) are currently operated (Srivastava et al. 2020). Poliakov et al. (2015) stated 12 principles to assess CO₂ utilisation approaches. In another comprehensive study articulated by Otto et al. (2015), they evaluated 123 reaction pathways to divert into chemicals (i.e. 100 for fine chemicals and 23 for bulk chemicals). Lee (2016) investigated CO₂ capture and utilisation based on industrial waste-desulphurisation gypsum (CaSO₄) and waste concrete (Ca(OH)₂) through biobutanol and green polymer that utilises nearly 5.55 million tonnes per year of CO₂. Masel et al. (2016) claimed the successful conversion (98%) of CO₂ to CO with an overall energy efficiency of 80%. Besides, they announced the economic feasibility of acrylic acid, carbon monoxide, formaldehyde and formic acid of CO₂ separation costs of $60
Fig. 22 Thermophysical properties of the CO₂-brine system calculated for brine with molality (mol/kg) of 0.66 (left column) reflecting the salinity conditions in the Gulf of Suez and 4.63 (right column) reflecting the salinity conditions in Aquistore (Canada). (Top): Interfacial tension, (Middle): CO₂ Solubility in aqueous solution and (bottom): Mobility ratio between CO₂ and brine (inverse of kinematic viscosity).
per tonne and without a tax on emissions. Lifecycle and techno-economic analyses were performed for CO₂ (waste gas) recovery from power plant into algal biomass production system (annual CO₂ production rate of 30.3 million kg per year). The algal process captured 70% of the flue-gas CO₂ and produced 42,400 ton of dry algal biomass per year.

**Production of fuel, biofuel and chemicals from CO₂**

Because of the growing reliance on fossil fuels and dwindling resources, seeking alternatives to them is considered a high priority worldwide. Generally speaking, the sustainable alternative of converting CO₂ from harmful greenhouse gas, causing global warming into a renewable carbon source has become a critical issue. CO₂ can be converted directly into a number of valuable chemicals via either exergonic or endergonic reactions (Rafiee et al. 2018). During the reforming process, converting non-value-materials into valuable fuels and chemicals is associated with the release of syngas (intermediate product). Often, it consists of major fractions of hydrogen and carbon monoxide accompanied by small fractions of water and carbon dioxide (Ayodele et al. 2015). Reforming can take place in a solid state and with or without gaseous state into syngas throughout pyrolysis or gasification of biomass or natural gas conversion, respectively. Significant quantities of CO₂ emitted from different industrial installations (i.e. fossil fuel-fired power plants) can be used as feedstocks in various CO₂ recycling routes. The availability of source feedstocks (i.e. CO₂ and H₂) is the main factor controlling large-scale applications of biofuel developed. Numerous biofuel products such as methanol (CH₃OH) and dimethyl ether (CH₃OCH₃) may be produced from CO₂ utilisation. This direction opens up the possibility of developing a wide variety of fuels for both stationary and mobile applications.

**Production of methanol (CH₃OH) based on CO₂**

Generally, methanol is one of the most appropriate alternative fuels due to its relatively high energy content of 726.3 kJ/mol (Din et al. 2019). Its productivity is the third in the world after ethylene and propylene. It is exploited in the manufacturing of different industrial chemicals (i.e. formaldehyde and methyl tertiary butyl ether) in addition to be a good hydrogen carrier. Despite its lower energy content (~ 57,250 Btu/gal) compared with gasoline (~ 116,090 Btu/gal), it is suitable for vehicles powered by internal combustion engines due to its perfect combustion features. The price rate of a gallon for methanol is $3.23 per gallon, which is a little bit lower than that of a gallon of gasoline $3.80 (Olah et al. 2009). Despite, its cetane number value is low, it can operate in the diesel engines; nevertheless, it cannot be considered the best alternate for diesel fuel. The self-ignition propensity of the fuel under environmental conditions of high temperature and pressure defines the fuel’s cetane number. Higher cetane number is required for providing feasible operation of the engine. Chemists have studied the reaction of CO₂ conversion into methanol for more than 80 years. In fact, in the 1920s and 1930s, the emitted CO₂ (waste gases) produced from other process was subjected into methanol production in the first methanol operating plant located in USA (Dinca et al. 2018). Commonly, the catalytic conversion of CO₂ in the presence of hydrogen is the most studied scenario to produce methanol-based CO₂ as given by Eq. (9):

$$\text{CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (9)$$

The use of captured CO₂ can be considered as an acceptable alternative over the traditional synthesis method. From the technical, financial and environmental aspects, production of methanol using CO₂ and H₂ has been commercially developed (Quadrelli et al. 2011). Numerous plants in Iceland and Japan have already been developed via integrating CO₂ with renewable H₂ plants (González-Aparicio et al. 2017). In 2011, Carbon Recycling International opened the first plant in Iceland with a productivity of 5 Mt/year of
methanol production in order to boost the plant economy for larger scales. Besides, Carbon Research International is interested in the Horizon 2020 project, which aims to subject overabundant and intermediate sources of renewable energy for the development of CO₂ chemicals and fuels obtained from coal-fired power plants (An et al. 2007). Besides, for this conversion, an effective catalyst (i.e., metals and their oxides) was proposed, for instance combining zinc and copper oxides. In order to promote the synthesis of methanol, carbon monoxide (CO) found in the syngas can be diverted into CO₂ employing the water gas shift reaction (WGSR) to produce excessive H₂ and CO₂ forms. After that, methanol is produced based on the reaction of CO₂ with hydrogen (Jadhav et al. 2014):

The overall reaction for the synthesis of methanol is given by Eq. (10):

\[
\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH}
\]  

(10) 

Iaquaniello et al. (2017) defined a methodology to exploit untapped municipal solid wastes (carbon source) for producing methanol via gasification pathway. The estimated economic analysis reported that running plant generates methanol at 110 €/t with manipulating of 300 t/d of wastes in term of waste to methanol. Efficacy of waste to methanol plant operates with a capacity of 40% under 30–35% decrement in greenhouse gas emissions. Other study established by Rezaei and Catalan (2020) aimed to investigate the operability of a plant to afford 2000 tonnes/day of methanol using CH₄ tri-reforming for syngas production. The optimised operational parameters in terms of feed composition (CO₂ : H₂O : O₂) were 0.20, 0.35 and 0.48, respectively, for each mole of CH₄. This has led to a successful CO₂ conversion of 50% and a stoichiometric number of 1.57. The net current value of the facility was evaluated to be $161 million for a 15-year economic life considering the advertised selling price of $390 for tonne methanol. Economically, Monte Carlo studies affirmed the applicability of 84% for the plant, simultaneously considered the uncertainties of the global economy. Environmentally, the net CO₂ emissions of the plant are 0.91 kg CO₂/Kg methanol, which is 50% and 35% lower than the traditional running methanol plants based on methane steam reforming and other running plants based on CH₄ tri-reforming, respectively.

**Production of dimethyl ether (DME) based on CO₂**

Dimethyl ether (methoxymethane) is a colourless, environmentally benign and clean gas, widely provided as an additive in diesel engines referring to its autoignition character (Semelsberger et al. 2006). Its high oxygen content improved the combustion, which is evident by a fewer of CO, NOx, SOx and particulate matter (Cai et al. 2016). Besides, attributing to the similarity of its own properties with the properties of liquid petroleum gas, dimethyl ether can be produced via infrastructure with minor adjustment. Besides, it is proven as a higher quality propellant utilised to produce healthcare commodities safer than other prepared via traditional petroleum-based scenarios. Also, it is believed to be a substitute for various chemicals (i.e., chlorofluoro-carbons, ethylene and propylene (Saravanan et al. 2017)). Dimethyl ether is usually produced via two pathways; indirect synthesis (dual-step) and direct synthesis (single-step). The indirect route comprises two consecutive steps. Firstly, the feedstock is converted into syngas, followed by the promotion of methanol synthesis process and finally methanol dehydration as given by Eqs. (11) and (12), respectively (Vafajoo et al. 2009). Mitsubishi Gas Company, Toyo Udhe and Lurgi companies are producers of dimethyl ether via the indirect strategy.

**Methanol synthesis:**

\[
\text{CO} + 2\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH}
\]  

(11) 

**Methanol dehydration:**

\[
2\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

(12) 

However, direct synthesis of dimethyl ether is applied in the hydrogenation process of CO₂ via various catalysts (i.e., ZnO–Al₂O₃). Zhang et al. (2014) stated that 15% of the obtainable dimethyl ether with a CO₂ conversion rate of 30.6% was achieved under the optimum concentration of used Cu/ZnO/Zeolite catalyst. Economically, it is expected that the total worth of dimethyl ether facility to be roughly $9.7 billion by the end of 2020 including its main categories; (1) liquid petroleum gas blend, (2) diesel, (3) gas turbine fuel and (4) precursor for various chemicals (i.e. acetic acid and ethers oxygenates). China is the biggest dimethyl ether producer employing 90% of its productivity in liquid petroleum gas blending (Mondal and Yadav 2019).

**Production of methane (CH₄) based on CO₂ (methanation)**

Methane (natural gas) is a prevalent energy carrier globally. It is the major contributor of natural gas supplies, being the most heat supplier to in Germany. Given the strong dynamic characteristics, natural gas power plants have gained a growing share of Germany’s power generation compared with the current coal-fired power plants (Billig et al. 2019). Furthermore, its utilisation in vehicles instead of gasoline minimises CO₂ emissions compared with the traditional counterpart due to its higher H:C ratio. The following are the reactions occurring within the methanation reactor (Bailera et al. 2017):
Production of biofuel (green fuel) using CO₂

In contrast to traditional fuels, biofuels derived from renewable sources are ultimately the best appropriate choice given its environment and economic benefits (Santamaría and Azqueta 2015). Algae are a promising green energy source due to their high protein and oil content. Conversion of algal biomass into biofuel was successfully implemented as shown in Fig. 24. Atmospheric carbon, either inorganic or organic origin, can be fixed using different algal species (Singh and Olsen 2011). Successful absorption of CO₂ (i.e. 1.83 kg CO₂/kg biomass) using algal biomass in non-mild water condition was efficiently recorded (Wu et al. 2018).

The generated waste (flue) gases released from industrial activities as well as power stations containing a high CO₂ concentration, which, in turn, enhances the algal photosynthetic activity (Faried et al. 2017). For instance, the flue gas emitted from ammonia production units (reforming phase) with highly concentrated CO₂, can be directly delivered to the vicinity algal production sites. Direct injection of these waste streams (carbon source) into the algal production ponds provides a clean and green opportunity to cultivate the microalgal biomass and hence mitigate the negative impacts on the biosphere as well as their high operational costs (Colotta et al. 2018). Numerous studies have been registered for microalgal cultivation through flue gas pathway. The substitute utilisation of biofuel effectively declined the net carbon emissions (78%), comparing with the non-renewable petroleum-based fuels (Ali et al. 2017). One of the largest biofuel production centres in the world is located in Western Australia. It was located 50 km away from the power plant and biocrude oil refinery sites. The anticipation of environmental, economic and sustainable benefits was elucidated regarding the input and output analyses derived from algal biocrude producing plant and conventional crude oil-producing plant using life cycle assessment (LCA) tool. The obtained results revealed the applicability of algal biocrude operating plant over the traditional crude oil-operating plant. The rate of carbon capturing/biocrude output/carbon emissions was (1.5:1:0.5 tons), respectively. From an economic point of view, the analysis approximately evidences that one million tons of the biocrude production would generate roughly 13,200 new jobs employment along with a $4 billion economic stimulus (Malik et al. 2015).

Bioalcohols

Alcohol-based fuels (bioalcohols) are other strategic products based on carbon dioxide emissions feedstock. Normally, they are derived from biological sources rather than petroleum sources. Commonly, four bioalcohols; methanol, ethanol, propanol and butanol are employed as motor fuels. In particular, the economic and technical features characterised

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(13)} \\
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(14)} \\
\text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(15)} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The inertness of CO₂ hinders its transformation into value-added chemicals and causes difficulty in its implementation. However, this issue can be overcome with the help of certain catalysts (Wannakao et al. 2015). Park et al. (2015) reported a twofold increase in the yield of CH₄ formation from CO₂ through photocatalytic conversion using TiO₂/Cu–TiO₂ (double layer) catalyst compared with traditional TiO₂ (film catalyst). Besides, hydrogenation of carbon oxides to methane was carried out to purify syngas in ammonia plants. This could also produce carbon-neutral (methane) fuel (Rafiee et al. 2018). Biological processes such as the use of methanogens may also transform CO₂ into methane. An anoxic enrichment of waste activated sludge generates methane-producing organisms (methanogens). The utilisation of the organism’s activated cultures caused roughly 70 folds enhancement in the efficacy of methane production (Mohd Yasin et al. 2015).

Production of liquid hydrocarbons based on CO₂ (Fischer–Tropsch)

Liquid hydrocarbons are a suitable alternative for the storage of renewable energy. They are the primary source of energy for transportation and aviation purposes Pietzcker et al. (2014). Among several technologies subjected to upcycling of waste CO₂, Fischer–Tropsch is a notable scenario for liquid fuels production. It is hydrogenation of CO (heterogeneous catalysis) with a polymerisation character. At most, liquid hydrocarbons (i.e. kerosene) can be produced through this process. As a consequence of the catalytic process, the synthesis products are sulphur-free and contain less soot during combustion (König et al. 2015). For Fischer–Tropsch process, syngas may be generated from variable feedstock; (1) steam reforming and (2) gasification in term of gas-to-liquid and biomass-to-liquid, respectively. Typically, two stages integrating reverse WGSR and Fischer–Tropsch are involved, as shown in Eqs. (16) and (17).

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^\circ_{298K} = 415 \text{kJ/mol} \quad \text{(16)}
\]

\[
n\text{CO} + 2n\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow (\text{CH}_2)n + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^\circ_{298K} = -n \times 152 \text{kJ/mol} \quad \text{(17)}
\]

The produced hydrocarbons are segregated from non-reacted feed and gaseous hydrocarbons, and after that, they can be upgraded via undergoing of hydrocracking and isomerisation (Piermartini et al. 2017).

Springer
to methanol and ethanol, allowing them to be suitable as fuels for the internal combustion engines (Demirbas 2008). Despite, the lower energy density of methanol compared with gasoline, its higher-octane rating enhances its compression character before the initiation of the ignition process. Whereas ethanol can be used as a petrol additive through mixing (combining) it with gasoline (Niven 2005), the developed gasohol with the chemical composition of ethanol/gasoline (10:90%), respectively, can be further applied in the internal combustion engines of most modern automobiles (Larson 2006).

Production of urea from CO$_2$

Urea is another non-toxic commodity derived from carbon dioxide. Being a rich with nitrogen qualifies it to be excessively used in fertilisers facilities. Furthermore, it can be used as feedstock (backbone) in various chemicals industries (i.e. adhesives, plastics and synthetic resins) (Ishaq et al. 2020). Other derivatives-based urea such as urea (nitrate, formaldehyde and melamine–formaldehyde) are prepared. About 180 Mt/year of urea were estimated to be produced globally. Mathematically, to achieve this aimed amount of urea, 132 Mt/year of CO$_2$ is needed (Koohestanian et al. 2018). The most prevalent way for its synthesis is reforming of natural gas which results in the formation of ammonia and carbon dioxide. Urea synthesis equation is given, as shown in Eq. (18):

$$2\text{NH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{CONH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{18}$$

The above reaction comprises two subsequent stages. Firstly, the heterogeneous reaction between ammonia and carbon dioxide results in the formation of ammonium carbamate (NH$_2$OCONH$_4$), as shown in Eq. (19). After that, ammonium carbamate (liquid form) dehydration results in the formation of urea as given by Eq. (20):

$$2\text{NH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{OCONH}_4 \tag{19}$$

$$\text{NH}_2\text{OCONH}_4 \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_2\text{CONH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{20}$$

Moreover, CO$_2$ usage in the manufacture of urea has great economic feasibility taking into account the growing global demand on it. Globally, more than 50% of the produced CO$_2$ has subjected to the urea synthesis process. Barzagli et al. (2016) studied the potential of CO$_2$ capture via aqueous and gaseous ammonia under ambient conditions. Based on the ammonia concentrations, they emphasised that capturing amounts achieved up to 99%. Also, urea synthesis process from the produced ammonium carbamate was experimentally performed at 120–140 °C. Apak presented research on investigating the role of ammonia to mitigate the emissions of CO$_2$. Indeed, he discussed the possibility of urea formation via a reaction between the emitted CO$_2$ and ammonia (Apak 2007).
Utilisation of CO₂ in different thermochemical processes

CO₂ as a gasifying agent in biomass gasification

Gasification is a critical thermochemical process that transforms biomass into gaseous products. As natural sequencing of incomplete combustion, combustible gases emitted. Biomass gasification operates at a lower temperature (\(\sim 900^\circ C\)) compared with conventional coal gasification atmosphere (\(\sim 1500^\circ C\)) and volatile organic carbons (gas phase reactions as a gasifying agent has numerous benefits compared over the conventional gasification atmospheres. Large quantities of CO₂ caused by different industrial processes can be recycled as feedstock for post-consumers. Theoretically, the water gas shift unit needed for syngas amendment can be averted (Ye et al. 2020). Additionally, syngas with controllable H₂/CO ratio can be obtained. Parvez et al. 2016 explored an Aspen Plus™ estimation on CO₂ assisted gasification, clarifying the impacts of CO₂ on the performance of biomass gasification. The susceptibility of dimethyl ether produced from biomass gasification to improve the biomass gasification was successfully researched. CO₂ contributes to controlling the syngas ratio and hence offers flexibility for the whole process adjustment, which ensures the less effect of the presented biomass on the gasification process (Parvez et al. 2016).

CO₂ as an activating medium in biomass pyrolysis

Biochar is a product (solid form) resulted from biomass pyrolysis in the absence of oxygen content (oxygen-free atmosphere) (Dhyani and Bhaskar 2018; Balajii and Niju 2019). It is beneficial as an energy supplier because of its remarkable merits (i.e. high energy density) (Weber and Quicker 2018). Besides, it has been used in different applications (i.e. wastewater treatment and soil amendment). The physicochemical features of the produced char differ depending on the operational pyrolysis parameters (i.e. feedstock, heating rate and residence time) (Cha et al. 2016). Physicochemical features of biochar (i.e. surface area, porosity and constituent functional groups) were optimised in CO₂ atmosphere rather than pure N₂ atmosphere. The presence of CO₂ has led to inhibition of polymerisation reaction; cracking of tar compounds into light gases and consequently reducing the secondary char formation and an enhancement in the yield of the produced gas (Guizani et al. 2015).

Moreover, the chemical reaction between CO₂ fraction and hydrogenated or oxygenated groups spontaneously occurs and thus enhances the yield of high carbon content-char. Decrement of the secondary char amount associates with an improvement in its microporosity as well as carbon content. Notably, CO₂ had a crucial role in the mitigation of toxic chemicals generated during the pyrolysis process of benzene derivatives and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Lee et al. 2017b). The profile of as-designed temperature-programmed oxidation confirmed that CO₂-char gasification and N₂-char gasification was portrayed by a single reaction pathway and multiple reaction pathways, respectively. An increase in the secondary char formation may occur by the action of one of these pathways. Deposition of great amounts of impurities (i.e. hydrogenated and oxygenated groups) on the engineered CO₂ char has probably led to blocking off of its pores and hence decreases its surface area.

Impact of CO₂ on the produced chars

Surface area and porosity

Numerous studies investigated the impact of CO₂ as a gasifying agent on the textural properties (i.e. surface area, morphology and porosity) on the produced char (Lee et al. 2017a, b, c, d, e). Lee et al. (2017d) used a tubular reactor to study the influence of atmospheric CO₂ on the textural properties of the as-formed char. The outlined results confirmed that CO₂ promotes the formation of new pores on the produced char. The higher surface area (93 m²/g) under CO₂ atmosphere compared with the other measured under N₂ atmosphere (85 m²/g), may be referred to the heterogeneous reaction between char surface and CO₂. Another study established by Lee et al. (2017c) aimed to compare the physiochemical properties characterised to the pyrolysis products prepared from red pepper stalk under a different atmospheric medium (CO₂ and N₂). This greatly confirms the role of CO₂ as an expediting agent towards the improvement of the char properties through the thermal cracking of different volatile organic carbons.

Tar reduction

Numerous studies investigated the impact of CO₂ as a gasifying agent on the tar reduction (Wang et al. 2018; Luo et al. 2016; Jeremiáš et al. 2018). For this purpose, various biomasses such as seaweed (Cho et al. 2016), rice (Pinto et al. 2016) and swine manure (Lee et al. 2019) were tested as feedstock for these studies. Results showed that CO₂ has multiple effects on the tar reduction as well as an enhancement in the syngas production throughout the pyrolysis process. Briefly, it accelerates the thermal cracking rate of volatile organic carbons and consequently increases the formation of benzene derivatives via carbonisation and dehydrogenation, (2) less formation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and (3) homogeneous reaction directly occurs between CO₂ and volatile organic carbons (gas phase reaction). As stated by (Luo et al. 2016), the operating pressure of the gasification process directly affects tar reduction.
At pressure lower than 5 atm, fewer char was produced in N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere compared with the formed one in CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere, whereas, at higher pressure higher than 5 atm, fewer tar amounts were produced at atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2}. Even though, the magnitude of CO\textsubscript{2} sensitivity on the gasification process and CO emissions mainly depends on other key factors (i.e. feedstock type, temperature and pressure) which directly influence on the gasification products. For example, tar reduction was observed to be 23% (Lee et al. 2017a), 45% (Pinto et al. 2016) and 70% (Cho et al. 2016). In the same way, CO generation often differs with feedstock type in the CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere.

**Syngas production**

Numerous studies evaluated the effect of CO\textsubscript{2} addition on the production of syngas from the pyrolysis process (Kim and Lee 2020). An increase in the production rate of CO from the pyrolysis process was announced by several researchers (Lee et al. 2017a–Jung et al. 2016). This attributes to the chemical composition of CO\textsubscript{2} (C and O source), which raise the CO emissions resulting from the conversion of volatile organic carbons. An increment in the CH\textsubscript{4} and H\textsubscript{2} production rates was successfully investigated to be associated with the existence of CO\textsubscript{2}, attributing to its expedition ability towards thermal cracking of volatile organic carbons species (Kim et al. 2017).

**Desalination of seawater by CO\textsubscript{2}**

Currently, water scarcity has become one of the most critical challenges facing our world due to different reasons implicated in this global problem such as climate change, environmental contamination and uncontrollable population growth. An urgent necessity of clean water for different biota cannot be ignored (Dadson et al. 2017). Recently, World Bank states that about 450 million people around the world in about 29 countries do not have the accessibility for clean freshwater supply. Roughly, 71% of the world’s population suffers from water shortage for a minimum one month per year, which leads to sociopolitical instability (Hanjra and Qureshi 2010). Mostly, surface water and seawater have a salinity content of 10,000 ppm and (35,000 and 45,000 ppm), respectively (Zhou and Tol 2005). World Health Organization reports that the acceptable limits of salinity content in water to be 500 ppm (Tavakkoli et al. 2017). Desalination scenario was adapted by different countries to face the global issue of water scarcity. The global quota of desalination (i.e. services and products) was expected to be $13.4 billion in 2015. More than 11,000 water desalination treatment plants located in 150 countries supply fresh water to 300 million people with an annual enhancement of 8% (Morad et al. 2017). Commonly, desalination is operated in two ways: distillation and reverse osmosis (RO). Distillation is a heat-based treatment process at which a large volume of warm seawater was predominantly treated. Contrarily, reverse osmosis is a membrane-based treatment process at which brackish water was manipulated.

**Seawater desalination working mechanism using CO\textsubscript{2}**

Naturally, the reaction between CO\textsubscript{2} and water in a specific depth of ocean (low temperature and high pressure) produces crystalline CO\textsubscript{2} hydrates in the form of crystalline aggregates, as shown in Fig. 25. They characterise by possessing a three-dimensional, hydrogen bounded and CO\textsubscript{2} molecules can be entrapped inside them. An induced of pressure transition between orthorhombic and cubic hexagonal forms has dependently brought by the crystalline nature of CO\textsubscript{2} hydrates. They are denser than water and so that they sink to the seafloor and stay on it for a longer period without returning to the atmosphere. Due to of their negative charge, they are suitable for CO\textsubscript{2} sequestration. However, a positively charged hydrates are approached for seawater desalination purpose. Therefore, it can be achieved by injecting the dense CO\textsubscript{2} (liquid form) to an ocean depth (below 1000 m) where the surrounding temperature of the medium is slightly above 0°C. Moreover, injection of CO\textsubscript{2} (liquid form) will positively mitigate the harmful threats associated with injection of CO\textsubscript{2} (gas form). CO\textsubscript{2} injection at the stability zone of the formed hydrates, especially at these conditions of low temperature and high pressure retained formation of hydrant shells (∼4–10 μm thick) on the water surface. These shells rise and are collected before the unstable hydrate zone. The rounded shape crystals (solid form) can be easily removed from saline water. By sudden shifting the temperature and pressure to ambient conditions, purified water can be delivered. Recycling of CO\textsubscript{2} is suggested to continue in the next cycle, and because of its nature as a chemicals-free technique, membrane separation is not required.

**Utilisation of CO\textsubscript{2} in construction and building materials**

Globally, the prolonged usage of cement and concrete based materials in construction materials are attributed to their remarkable merits (i.e. high strength and durability). The cement industry is one of the most intensive CO\textsubscript{2} emitters, accounting for 5–8% of global anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} emissions (Scrivener and Kirkpatrick 2008). Incorporation of CO\textsubscript{2} into cement-based materials involves a chemical reaction between CO\textsubscript{2} and cement hydrates which can be summarised in terms of the carbonation process of (calcium hydroxide, calcium silicate hydrates, calcium sulphaolunate hydrates, cement clinker minerals, magnesium-derived hydrates and supplementary cementitious materials).
Carbonation of calcium hydroxide

During the carbonation reaction, cement paste hardening was expressed, as shown in Eqs. (21) and (22):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ca(OH)}_2(s \rightarrow \text{aq}) + \text{CO}_2(g \rightarrow \text{aq}) & \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3(\text{aq} \rightarrow s) + \text{H}_2\text{O(}\text{aq\}) \\
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \\
\text{Ca(OH)}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 & \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]

(21) (22)

\(\text{CO}_2\) is proceeded to react with calcium hydroxide and upon continuing the reaction, decrement in the content of calcium hydroxide, an opposite increment of calcium carbonate content and reduction in the pH value of the hardened paste (Jang et al. 2015).

Carbonation of calcium silicate hydrates

The proportion of each hydration product, calcium silicate hydrate (C–S–H), calcium hydroxide Ca(OH)\(_2\) and calcium sulphaaluminate hydrates, varies considering the cement composition (Jang and Lee 2016). Once most of calcium hydroxide amount is consumed, carbonation of (C–S–H) is suggested to be initiated as shown in Eq. (23):

\[
x\text{CaO} \cdot y\text{SiO}_2 \cdot z\text{H}_2\text{O} + x\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow x\text{CaCO}_3 + y\left(\text{SiO}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}\right) + (z - y)\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

(23)

Carboxination of cement clinker minerals

As time proceeds, hydration of cement clinker minerals is carried out. As conducted by Papadakis, within the curing period of 28 days, hydration degrees of 67%, 79%, 91% and 96% were recorded for \(\text{C}_2\text{S}, \text{C}_4\text{AF}, \text{C}_3\text{S}\) and \(\text{C}_3\text{A}\), respectively (Jang and Lee 2016). Once, the hydration reaction ends, carbonation is suggested to be initiated. The unreacted \(\text{C}_3\text{S}\) and \(\text{C}_2\text{S}\) through carbonation in the first stage can form calcite and C–S–H, respectively. Finally, calcite and silica gel are produced in the last stage, as expressed in Eqs. (24) and (25).

\[
\begin{align*}
3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 + 3\text{CO}_2 + n\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{CaCO}_3 \\
2\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 + 2\text{CO}_2 + n\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{SiO}_2 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{CaCO}_3
\end{align*}
\]

(24) (25)

\(\text{CO}_2\)-curing of cement-based materials

The utilisation of \(\text{CO}_2\) in cement industries (carbonation) has been proposed during the product-curing stage (Jang and Lee 2016). Numerous studies have shown the role of \(\text{CO}_2\)
in improving the characteristics of cement-based materials (i.e. microstructure densification, mechanical stability and durability). Additionally, CO₂-curing is preferred over the conventional methods of curing using (i.e. heat, water and steam) (Zhan et al. 2016). Shao and Morshed (2013) concluded that CO₂ significantly decreased the duration of the curing stage and increased the strength compared with the heat curing technique. Incorporation of different admixtures during CO₂ curing of cement-based products was retained as a pursuit of environmental-friendly. For instance, fly ash concrete cured with CO₂ for less than 12 h had higher achievable strength and better durability, accompanied by a reduction in carbon emissions (~ 36%). Furthermore, the strength of fly ash was effectively enhanced by inoculation of magnesium oxide (Mo et al. 2015). Tu et al. (2016) stated that CO₂ pressure strongly impacted on the calcium carbonate form; poorly crystalline calcium carbonate and highly crystalline calcium carbonate polymorphs are formed under lower and higher CO₂ pressure, respectively.

**Utilisation of CO₂ for co-polymers and polymer blends**

The development of engineered polymers based on sustainable feedstocks has become necessary to face the growing utilisation of polymers based on finite fossil resources (i.e. plastics) (Mekonnen et al. 2014; Chaterjee and Krupadam 2019). For instance, the extraordinary growth of the plastic synthesising reached about 407 million tons in 2017. Presently, 70% of the overall commodity plastics production process includes polypropylene, polyvinyl chloride, polystyrene, polyethylene terephthalate, low-density polyethylene, linear low-density polyethylene and high-density polyethylene. Economically, employing CO₂ for synthesising different biodegradable polymers is considered a cost-effective approach. The action of microorganisms can degrade these biopolymers under specific optimised conditions. One of the direct ways for CO₂ utilisation is the production of polysters (polyhydroxyalkanoates) via a biological process (Trosch et al. 2018). For example, purple sulphur bacteria have been reported to generate polyhydroxyalkanoates (intracellular energy and carbon storage compound) under anaerobic conditions, by taking advantage of the fact that CO₂ and sunlight are sources for carbon and energy, respectively. Despite the nature of CO₂ to be thermo-dynamically stable, some reactions are not required to be supplied with external energy because it can be available through co-reactants (i.e. amines and hydroxides). Moderate energy can be provided to other reaction types by appending the entire CO₂ moiety to the other reactant in order to produce polycarbonates based on CO₂ and epoxides. Due to the stable chemical nature of CO₂, some active catalysts have been added to promote the activation of inherently inactive CO₂ and smoothly stimulate the copolymerisation process. On the contrary of aromatic polycarbonates, aliphatic polycarbonates are thermoplastic polycarbonates with repeating carbonate backbone linkages with no aromatic groups between these linkages. Alternating aliphatic polycarbonate co-polymers are produced by copolymerising of CO₂ with some cyclic ethers (i.e. aziridines and cyclohexene). Other aliphatic polycarbonates such as poly (ethylene, propylene, butylene, hexane, styrene, cyclohexene, cyclopentene and cyclohexadiene) carbonates are synthesised through copolymerisation of CO₂ with epoxides (Darenbourg et al. 2013; Honda et al. 2014). Among them, poly (propylene, ethylene, butylene and cyclohexene) carbonates are the master of industrial CO₂ applications (Klaus et al. 2011). Significantly, fixation of waste CO₂ into polypropylene carbonate is an exceptional accomplishment referring to its versatility in different polypropylene carbonate-related products (i.e. foaming, electrolyte, etc.). In 2006, a polypropylene carbonate production facility with a design capacity of 5000 t/annum (t/a) was established in Tian-Guan Enterprise (Group) Co. Ltd, Henan, China. With the tremendous scientific progress, the capacity has raised to 25,000 t/annum (t/a) in 2012 (Murcia Valderrama et al. 2019). Annually, the company produces nearly 550 (kt/a) of ethanol using corn via the alcoholic fermentation process. The importance of waste CO₂ recycling instead of releasing to the atmosphere has been realised in recent years. Copolymerisation of propylene oxide with the recycled CO₂ facilities the production of biodegradable polypropylene carbonates (43% wt. CO₂). Eventually, zero pollution scope was accomplished by converting waste CO₂ emissions into biodegradable plastic (Murcia Valderrama et al. 2019).

**Utilisation of CO₂ in food processing**

In general, CO₂ is usually advantageous in food processing as it can be used as a food preserving as well as antimicrobial agents (dual benefits) (Puligundla et al. 2012). Frequently, it is employed as a flushing gas in modified atmosphere packaging. Presence of CO₂ in the package’s atmosphere may minimise the package’s pressure or volume attributing to its high solubility character in food matrices and thus balancing (managing) the pressure between the inside of headspace and the outside of the package. This is sometimes helpful for good products marketing in the environment of low pressure and temperature (Chaix et al. 2014). The CO₂-based modified atmosphere packaging strategy should be applied with high professionalism in line with food properties and operational conditions to avoid high CO₂ dissolution into foods. A high concentration of dissolved CO₂ negatively results in package collapse associated with very poor quality (i.e. bad texture and flavour). Besides, CO₂ is used to prevent food oxidation. N₂ gas is widely used to inhibit oxidation;
however, a combination of CO$_2$ with N$_2$ is desirable for antioxidative food packaging (Lee 2016).

On the other hand, the antimicrobial behaviour of CO$_2$ was documented in different literature. This helps enormously in the preservation of food freshness and hence, enhances its shelf life. The antimicrobial activity is closely related to the solubility rate as well as the dissolved amount of CO$_2$ in the food product. Readily, it is soluble in aqueous and fatty food with observable high solubility rate at a lower temperature. Besides, its solubility differs considering food properties (i.e. pH, surface area and composition) in addition to the partial pressure of the as-used gas. Numerous published papers largely focused on high-pressure carbon dioxide (HPCD) as a novel methodology for the food facilities, as shown in Fig. 26. Briefly, it is nonthermal pasteurisation, operates pressurised CO$_2$ (1–500 bar) at most microbes can be inhibited (inactivation process). Different operational factors directly affect the whole process (i.e. microorganism species, cell concentration, pH, water content, the physical state of CO$_2$, operational time, pressure and temperature) (Corbo et al. 2009). Briefly, the subjected CO$_2$ can damage and disturb cell surface and intracellular organisation, respectively. There is an alteration in the microbial cell morphology and intracellular organisation, respectively. An alteration in the microbial cell morphology after HPCD treatment was clarified by scanning and transmission electron microscopes (SEM and TEM). A great number of bulges appeared on the extracellular surface of HPCD-treated cells, intracellular organisation, respectively. An alteration in the microbial cell morphology after HPCD treatment was clarified by

![Fig. 26 High-pressure carbon dioxide (HPCD) inactivation mechanisms on vegetative microbial cells.](image)

(1) subjecting of bacterial cells to high pressurised CO$_2$ (HPCD), (2) higher clumping of bacterial cells because of severe shear force effect resulting from HPCD technique, (3) disruption of the intracellular organisation (cell surface damage) associated with numerous bulges presence on the extracellular surface of HPCD-treated cells, (4) enhancement in the CO$_2$ diffusion rate as well as the conversion of CO$_2$ into HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$, (5) an increase in the membrane permeabilisation and fluidity, (6) destroying the charge balance of membrane surface attributing to decrement in the pH and HCO$_3^-$ generated from CO$_2$, (7) loss of activity characterised to some proteins and enzymes due to HPCD treatment, (8) inducing of intracellular precipitation by the internal ribosomes and CO$_3^{2-}$ produced from CO$_2$, and (9) stimulation and inhibition of metabolic pathways that require and produce CO$_2$, respectively.
scanning and transmission electron microscopes (Del Pozo-Insfran et al. 2006).

CO₂ utilisation: turning CO₂ into a power resource

Carbon capture and permanent geologic storage of CO₂ can be utilised (U) threefold to U1: CO₂-based geothermal energy extraction and conversion to electricity at about twice the efficiency of standard water-based geothermal power plants, U2) provide grid-scale subsurface energy storage that can operate over a range of duration from a diurnal to biannual (seasonal) energy storage cycle and U3) operate as a heat sink that provides cold for district cooling and cryogenic direct air CO₂ capture. All above-mentioned technologies are constituting a CCU³S system (Fig. 27), which entails cyclic fluid(s) injection into (and possibly retrieval from) the subsurface geological formations. Therefore, unintended changes in dynamic reservoir properties (e.g. saturation, pressure) will be often induced and need to be quantified and properly monitored by the inversion of the geophysical field data (such as time-lapse seismic data). The CCU³S system will be documented in details upon what follows.

CO₂-based geothermal system: U1

The base CCU³S system is a so-called CO₂-plume geothermal power system (CPGs), where the captured CO₂ is circulated underground in deep saline aquifers or hydrocarbon reservoirs (e.g. during enhanced oil recovery) (Randolph and Saar 2011; Adams et al. 2015; Garapati et al. 2015; Ezekiel et al. 2020). In these reservoirs, the CO₂ is naturally geothermally heated and produced to the surface, where it is expanded in a turbine to generate electricity. At the surface power plant, CO₂ is subsequently cooled using wet cooling towers to increase its density, compressed and then combined with any CO₂ stream, from a CO₂ emitter, before it is reinjected into the subsurface reservoir (Fig. 27). The reinjection of cold and dense CO₂ results in the continued growth of the subsurface CO₂ plume and ensures that 100% of the subsurface-injected CO₂ is eventually permanently stored underground. This combined cycle couples CO₂ sequestration with geothermal energy utilisation in low-to-medium enthalpy systems; the conditions that are widely distributed across global sedimentary basins and correspond to a depth range of 2.0–5.0 km (Fig. 19).

Fig. 27 A conceptual model on how carbon capture, threefold utilisation and geological storage (CCU³S) system operates using the three different modes: [U1] generate geothermal power that roughly doubles the electricity output, compared to using groundwater to extract the geothermal heat, all else being equal, [U2] Energy storage where the system consumes electrical power to cool, compress the CO₂, and injected into a shallow (temporal storage) reservoir. Power is produced by extracting CO₂ from the shallow reservoir to the surface, expanded in a turbine to produce power, partially cooled and injected into a shallow, storage reservoir and [U3] district cooling and cryogenic direct air CO₂ capture. The figure is a perspective drawing from Fleming et al. (2018)’s results.
Alternatively, when geologic CO₂ storage is uneconomic, CPGs could be operated with a limited, finite amount of CO₂, initially stored underground and thereafter run with little or no additional makeup CO₂ (Garapati et al. 2015). Compared to brine, the favourable properties of CO₂ (Brown 2000; Adams et al. 2014) are:

1. The density of CO₂ changes substantially between the geothermal reservoir and surface plant, resulting in a buoyancy-driven convective current—a strong CO₂ thermosiphon phenomenon—that increases the mass flow-rate, compared to water, while reducing or eliminating parasitic pumping power required for fluid circulation through the injection and production boreholes (Fig. 21).
2. Given the fact that the fluid flow in porous media obeys Darcy’s law and that heat is both advected by the fluids and conducted through the rock-fluid system, an effective heat advection using CPG system can be secured because the kinematic viscosity of supercritical CO₂ is low (i.e. high mobility).
3. CO₂-based geothermal energy utilisation can result in diminished mineral dissolution-precipitation — a major problem often encountered during water-based geothermal energy extraction and utilisation.

**Underground grid-scale energy storage: U2**

There will be an urgent need to diversify the portfolio of grid-connected storage technologies to ensure inter-seasonal energy security from a system that generates power at higher than 80% from intermittent renewables. For underground (solar and wind) energy storage, the CPGs cycle is separated into two operations (energy discharge and energy storage) by temporarily storing the CO₂, after expansion in the turbine and subsequent cooling in a shallow (~ 1 km deep) reservoir during the energy discharge mode (Fig. 27). For energy storage, the CO₂ is released from the shallow reservoir and reinjected into the deep (~ 2.5 km deep) and thus warm “geothermal” reservoir. Fleming et al. (2018) found that the seasonal energy storage cycle has power ratios (i.e. the total generation energies to the total storage energies) of 1.55 and 1.05, for the 200 kg/s and 300 kg/s mass flowrate cases, respectively. However, these ratios increase to 2.93 and 1.95, because of the increase in the storage energy consumption, the decrease in the generation energy output and variation in the duty cycle. This type of subsurface (solar and wind) energy storage in the deep and warm reservoir is highly efficient, as geothermal energy is added during pressurised CO₂ (energy) storage underground and at the power-grid scale (i.e. in the several GWh ranges).

**Gasometer-based CO₂-plume geothermal energy storage system: U3**

In the above-described subsurface-CO₂-based energy storage system, the “shallow” reservoir may be replaced by a gasometer, which results in a heat sink (cold source), enabling district cooling and cryogenic direct air CO₂ capture (cryo-DACC), powered by geothermal energy (Fig. 27). Thus, if desired, the system can, after initial priming with sufficient CO₂ (to begin operation), capture its own CO₂ from the air and thus grow in size as more CO₂ is captured and permanently stored in the deep geologic reservoir.

**Bibliometric analysis**

To acquire the appropriate data from the web of science core collection database and the exported data files, some Boolean operator logic was implemented in the search methodology to find suitable publications and identify evidence gaps in the knowledge and research surrounding carbon capture storage and utilisation. The raw data of the bibliometric mappings in Fig. 28a, b were collected from the Web of Science then plotted with the VOSviewer software showing the co-occurrence of keywords in the literature between 2010 and 2020. The research methodology is shown below where 1748 results were collected from the Web of Science Core Collection

You searched for: Title: (“CO₂-capture and utilization” OR “pre-combustion” OR “pre combustion” OR “oxyfuel combustion” OR “oxy-fuel combustion” OR “post-combustion” OR “post combustion” OR “carbon capture and storage utilization” AND “chemical looping” AND “monoethanolamine” AND “membrane separation” AND “chemical absorption” AND “physical adsorption”)

Refined by: DOCUMENT TYPES: (ARTICLE OR PROCEEDINGS PAPER OR REVIEW)

Timespan: 2010–2020.

The bibliometric mapping over the last ten years (2010–2020) shows that the post-combustion route is dominating the keywords in the literature, with significant keywords such as absorption, amines, optimisation of post-combustion and flue gas. Interestingly, the oxyfuel combustion approach has attracted the attention of scientists and engineers over the last decade with keywords such as oxy-fuel combustion,oxy-combustion and oxygen. The oxyfuel combustion route is linked through the literature with biomass and pulverised coal. The pre-combustion technology is represented with major keywords such as gasification, hydrogen production and gasification combined cycle, as shown in Fig. 28a.

The density visualisation mapping, as shown in Fig. 28b, shows that the literature during the last decade focused on
the area of post-combustion, especially the absorption route. Furthermore, keywords such as oxyfuel combustion, flue gas, kinetics, coal and separation showed frequent utilisation in carbon capture and storage during the last ten years. The less dense (darker) areas in the bibliometric mapping of Fig. 28b show the research gap in the literature in this field that need intensive investigation in the near future. For instance, the area of designing new and stable ionic liquids, pore size and selectivity of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) and enhancing the adsorption capacity of novel solvents needs further examination. Moreover, areas such as the techno-economic evaluation of novel solvents, process design and dynamic simulation need further effort in the laboratory-scale and research & development before pilot- and commercial-scale trials.

**A promising approach for carbon capture and conversion into recycled fuel**

One of the most promising approaches in CCUS route is CO$_2$ capture using physical adsorption where the sorbent is in the form of a metal oxide (MeO, where Me denotes the metal species), such as calcium oxide (CaO), as shown in Fig. 29. After CO$_2$ adsorption, the metal adsorbent becomes a metal carbonate in the form of MeCO$_3$, where the later reacts with renewable hydrogen derived from water electrolysis, and the source of electricity is renewable; either from solar or wind energies. The interaction between the metal carbonate and the renewable hydrogen will lead to the formation of methane (Fig. 29), which is the main constituent in natural gas, that consequently can be compressed and used as
a recycled fuel in power plants (Sun et al. 2021; Lux et al. 2018). When combusting natural gas (methane), it releases a large amount of heat along with lower emissions compared to other hydrocarbons (Osman et al. 2018b). Thus, this CCUS approach, when integrated with biomass utilisation as a solid fuel, could eventually lead to a negative carbon emission system if the CO$_2$ is stored or utilised in applications such as construction, where the possibility of CO$_2$ entering the atmosphere once more is eliminated.

**Conclusion**

Despite the speed of maturity in renewable technologies, we still rely on fossil-based fuels to generate the energy demand needed globally. While waiting for renewable energy technologies to mature enough and replace fossil-based fuel, carbon capture storage and utilisation of fossil-based emissions are crucial as a transition state. Herein, we reviewed the three main routes of carbon capture, storage and utilisation: pre-combustion, post-combustion and oxy-fuel combustion routes along with the carbon storage and utilisation technologies.

Pre-combustion technology is promising in carbon capture, while there are many challenges to improving its overall efficiency. For instance, the solvent regeneration temperature needs to be conducted at a lower temperature than currently used to avoid any reduction in the solvent. In the oxy-fuel combustion route, investigating new novel routes of air separation is quite important herein, such as ion-transport and oxygen-transport membranes along with chemical looping methods. Traditional and novel technologies that are used in carbon capture have been evaluated such as post-combustion (traditional) and partial oxy-combustion (novel). In the post-combustion technology, there are desirable properties in novel solvents such as the high cyclic capacities, low production cost, low corrosiveness, lower degradation and thus lower by-products along with the environmental impact. At the same time, there are many challenges associated with membrane separation, such as water condensation on the membrane, rapid diminution of selectivity and permeance after operation along with emissions (NOx and SOx) that pass through the membrane. Although the pre-combustion technology offers higher efficiency than that of post-combustion technology, it is more expensive. To reduce the cost associated with the pre-combustion route, finding a superior absorption solvent is crucial. Currently, post-combustion technology is the most mature and widely used route among the three main routes of carbon capture and storage.

Valorisation of the captured CO$_2$ was divided into two main categories; (1) conversion into fuels or chemicals and (2) physical utilisation of CO$_2$. It may be used directly in other uses, in addition to carbonated beverages (i.e. fire extinguisher, refrigerant and welding medium). Direct applications of CO$_2$ are limited in scope and have a minor impact on the overall reduction of CO$_2$ emissions. Additionally,
indirect utilisation of CO₂ in large-scale industries is conceived to improve the performance of different processes. Such geologically stored and geothermally heated CO₂ can be utilised for a base-load power generation with double of the electricity output, compared to using groundwater to extract the geothermal heat, all else being equal.
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