Ano1 is a Prognostic Biomarker That is Correlated with Immune Infiltration in Colorectal Cancer
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Background: Anoctamin 1 (ANO1) has been observed to be overexpressed in gastrointestinal and pulmonary epithelial cells, as well as in a number of cancers. Although Ano1 is involved in the prognosis of colorectal cancer (CRC), its mechanism of action in metastatic CRC has not been fully elucidated.

Methods: The expression of Ano1 was assessed in samples obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Then, we used Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis, Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), Gene set variation analysis (GSVA), and Weighted Correlation Network Analysis (WGCNA) to determine the functions of Ano1. Additionally, random survival forest, Cox multivariate analysis, Kaplan Meier analysis, and ROC were used to determine the predictive value of Ano1 on clinical outcomes in CRC patients. Finally, HE staining, immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis and qRT-PCR were used to explore the expression of the Ano1 gene in CRC tissue.

Results: The expression level of Ano1 in CRC was significantly elevated, and the prognosis was poor. The modules with a higher proportion of upregulated genes tended to be positively correlated with Ano1-high. KNG1, GNG4, F2, POSTN, THBS2, SPP1 and FGA were identified as hub proteins of the PPI network. The heatmap showed that the expression level of Ano1-high group was significantly negatively correlated with immune infiltrate. The overexpression of the Ano1 gene in CRC tissue samples was also confirmed by HE staining, immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis and qRT-PCR.

Conclusion: High expression of Ano1 is closely related to a poor prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer. Ano1 may participate in the metastasis and progression, as well as the immune regulation of CRC. In summary, Ano1 can act as a potential prognostic biomarker and a novel target for CRC therapy.
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Introduction

At present, the incidence rate of colorectal cancer is the third highest among all cancers worldwide. CRC is followed by lung cancer, which is the second most common cause of cancer-related deaths.¹ In recent years, the incidence rate of colorectal cancer in China has shown an increase, with 387,600 CRC new patients being diagnosed during the year 2015.² The effective methods of treatment for CRC include radiotherapy, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and surgery. The high cost of therapy for CRC is a heavy social and economic burden. The tumor stage affects the prognosis of CRC. Although multiple methods of treatment have been used, the prognosis of patients diagnosed with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) remains very poor. When CRC patients are at the metastatic phase, the 5-year overall survival decreases to about 13%.³,⁴ Methods of therapy for patients with unresectable or mCRC are increasingly chosen using specific
Therefore, there is a necessity to identify reliable biomarkers to predict prognosis and identify novel immunotherapy targets in patients with mCRC.

Ano1, also known as TMEM16A, TAOS2, ORAOV2, and FLJ10261 (DOG1, discovered on GIST-1), encodes a hypothetical protein and belongs to the calcium-activated chloride channels family. Studies have found Ano1 is expressed in exocrine glands, nervous system, smooth muscles, and other tissues. As a transmembrane protein, Ano1 is involved in a variety of biological functions, such as cell proliferation, attachment, and movement. Since Ano1 forms the molecular base of CaCCs in multiple cell types, it is regarded as a novel strategy to treat many diseases, including tumors. Recent studies have shown that a high expression of Ano1 is associated with breast cancer, prostate carcinoma, squamous cell carcinomas, and gastrointestinal stromal tumors. However, in human malignancies, especially in mCRC, the mechanism of action followed by ANO1 remains to be elucidated.

We aimed to outline the correlation between Ano1 and CRC using TCGA. We performed a correlation analysis between the expression of the Ano1 gene and immune cell infiltration using CIBERSORT. Furthermore, we used GSEA and GSVA to perform the enrichment analyses. WGCNA was also used to perform the co-expression analyses. A PPI network was established to screen for hub proteins. Moreover, we developed prognostic models to predict patient prognosis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Data Source and Preprocessing**

Gene expression data (644 tumor samples and 51 para-cancerous control samples) and relevant clinical data were downloaded from TCGA database using R software via the TCGA Biolinks package from GDC. Overall, the HTSeq-Counts data of 617 CRC patients with complete overall survival information were retained and further analyzed. Ethical approval and informed consent were not required because our data were provided by TCGA.

**Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of Ano1**

We used HE staining and immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis to explore the expression of the Ano1 gene in CRC tissue samples collected from The Second Hospital of Dalian Medical University (Dalian, Liaoning province, China). Our study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the hospital (License number: 2021-079).

Human CRC tissues were fixed in 10% formalin overnight and then embedded in paraffin. Next, the tissue section (3μm in thickness) was dewaxed, rehydrated, and subjected to antigen retrieval in EDTA citrate buffer. The sections were covered with 3% hydrogen peroxide and then incubated overnight at 4℃ with anti-Ano1 (1:200, TA805184, Zhongshan Jinqiao Biological, Beijing, China). The bound antibodies were detected using Secondary antibody binding to horseradish peroxidase and developed with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine using a two-step test kit (PV-9000, Zhongshan Jinqiao Biological, Beijing, China).

**Differential Expression Analysis and Prognostic Analysis of Ano1 in TCGA-CRC**

The loading, post refinement, filtering, and normalization of RNA-Seq Count data were performed using the edgeR package. Count per million read (CPM) values were calculated and were used to extract the expression values of the Ano1 gene. Differential expression analysis of the tumor/normal tissue was conducted using Student’s t-test in R software. P < 0.05 was defined as a significant result.

Based on the results of all clinical prognostic analyses including overall survival (OS) and OS status, survival analyses were performed on the Ano1 gene. Ano1 expression was stratified into two groups, Ano1-high and Ano1-low groups, at the median value of tumor samples. The Log rank test was used for the statistical analysis of survival using the survival R package. A log-rank p value < 0.05 was defined as the threshold of significance.

**Pan Cancer Differential Gene Expression Analyses of Ano1**

To further study the expression of Ano1 in multiple tumors, Pan-cancer RNA-seq data and clinical data were downloaded from the UCSC Xena database. The processed data were used to extract the expression values of the
Ano1 gene, and the last three tumor samples were preserved as the control group. In detail, differential gene expression analyses of Ano1 in each cancer type was also performed using the Student’s t-test. A P value < 0.05 was regarded as the threshold of significance. ROC curves were plotted to evaluate the efficacy of Ano1 to differentiate tumors from controls.

**Differential Gene Expression Analysis Based on the Expression Profiles of Ano1**

To further explore the underlying mechanism of Ano1 in tumors, we classified TCGA-CRC tumor samples into two groups (high- and low-Ano1), based on the median value of the Ano1 gene, and performed a differential analysis. We loaded, collected, filtered, and normalize the raw count data using the edgeR statistical analysis package. Then, voom, linear models and empirical Bayes of the limma package indicated that we should perform a differential analysis between the two groups.\(^{19}\)

The adj. p value was obtained via BH corrections. A P value < 0.05 and an absolute value of log2 (fold change) of more than 1 were the thresholds of significant differences in differential gene expression. We have demonstrated this differential expression and clustering by drawing a volcano plot, PCA and heat map of the differential gene, which were produced using the R packages: ggplot2, ggfortify, and pheatmap, separately.

**Functional Enrichment and Analysis**

The clusterProfiler R package\(^3\) was used to test the statistical enrichment of upregulated and downregulated differentially expressed genes in the GO enrichment and KEGG pathways. The cancer hallmark gene set\(^{20}\) “h.all.v7.4.entrez.gmt” within the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) was selected as references for GSEA. The Cancer hallmark was also used as reference when performing the GSVA using the R package.\(^{21}\) The threshold of significance for enrichment was set at adj.p value < 0.05.

**WGCNA Analysis**

Then, we extracted the Ano1 grouped correlated gene modules from the differential gene expression values matrix via WGCNA performed using the “WGCNA”package in R.

We first estimated the best Soft Thresholding Power β to obtain the adjacency matrix and topological matrix. The topological matrix clustered the genes based on the dissimilarity between genes, from which module assignments were made using a dynamic tree cutting method. The feature vector gene of each module was calculated and was regarded as the first principal component (eigengene) of a module that producing the gene expression levels in the module. Finally, a statistical analysis was performed to analyze the gene and the module.

**Key Nodes in the Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) Network**

In this study, we choose protein-protein interactions downloaded from the human STRING database as the background and assigned the differential genes of the WGCNA module to the background network. Then, we constructed the PPI network of the differential genes with a threshold of (combined score > 0.4 (Medium)). We used Cytoscape software to construct the network plots after the PPI relationship was obtained. The Cytoscape\(^{22}\) plugin was used for topological analysis of the nodes in the network. The results included Betweenness centrality (BC), Degree Centrality (DC), and Closeness centrality (CC). The ranking of nodes based on their topology properties was used to identify the hub proteins\(^{23}\) involved in the PPI network.

**Correlation Between Ano1 and Immune Cell Infiltrates**

CIBERSORT is a tool used for the deconvolution of the transcriptome expression matrix based on the principle of linear support vector regression. We identified the composition and abundance\(^{24}\) of immune cells in a mixed cell sample. Gene expression data were uploaded onto the CIBERSORT tool and the output with a P value less than 0.05 was filtered. Then, immune cells with the degree of infiltration at 0 were deleted. Thereafter, we derived the matrix of infiltrating immune cells. The R package, pheatmap, was used to draw the heatmaps. The images illustrate the distribution of immune cell infiltrates in each sample.
In addition, we performed a correlation analysis between the expression of the Ano1 gene and immune cell infiltration, which was visualized using scatter plots.

Construction and Evaluation of the Prognostic Models

Based on the gene expression values in tumors, the DEGs obtained in method 2.3 were used to construct prognosis-related DEG models using the random survival forest (rsf) method. Random survival forest is obtained by adding survival analysis to random forest analysis, using the bootstrap resampling method to randomly extract N samples from the original dataset. Then, we built a survival trees model to obtain the variable importance measure (VIMP) of each variable. The larger the VIMP value, the stronger the prediction ability. The cumulative hazard function (CHF) was obtained from the mean of each survival tree, which reflects the cumulative probability. The data of the DEGs and clinical variables were randomly divided into test and validation groups using the R package, random Forest SRC, to perform the RSF analysis.

We calculated the risk score of CHF based on test set and used the median risk score as the threshold for the high- and low-risk groups. The prognosis-related differential gene set was used as the validation set. The risk score of each sample was obtained by fitting it onto the same model parameters, and the samples were stratified into high- and low-risk groups using the Risk score threshold. We plotted the Kaplan-Meier curve using the “survminer” R package and performed a Log rank test. Time-dependent ROC analyses were conducted using the timeROC package in R. Time-dependent ROC analysis was used to predict the classification efficiency of the RiskScore. Subsequently, we analyzed the classification efficiency of the 1, 3, and 5-year overall survival. A multivariate Cox regression analysis using clinical data was conducted. We used the R package, caret, to conduct the probabilistic calibration analysis and plotted a calibration curve.

qRT-PCR for CRC and Normal Tissues

The fresh tissue was grinded, then total RNA from fresh tissues was extracted using RNAiso plus (Takara, Dalian, China). Reverse transcription was performed using PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) assay was performed using SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara, Dalian, China) on a qPCR system (Mx3000P, Agilent Technologies). The gene-specific qPCR primers are listed below: Ano1, forward 5’-GATCCCATCCAGCCAAAGTG-3’, reverse 5’-CGGGTTTTGCTGTCGAAAAAGGA-3’; 18S, forward 5’-CAGCCACCCGAGATTGAGCA-3’, reverse 5’-TAGTAGCGACGGGCGGTGT-3’.

Statistical analysis was performed by Two-way-ANOVA and Student’s t test using GraphPad Prism 7.0 or Microsoft Excel. Relative quantification was also determined using the 2^(-∆∆Ct) method. Ribosomal RNA 18S was used as the reference gene. Data were presented as mean±standard deviation (SD). Differences were considered statistically significant if P<0.05.

Results

The Differential Expression and Prognosis Analysis of Ano1 in CRC

The results of the differential expression analysis demonstrated that the expression of the Ano1 gene was significantly elevated in the CRC samples (p value = 2.98e-05). The average value for the tumor group (n=644) was 4.558 and that for the paracancerous control group (n=51) was 4.110 (Figure 1A).

Survival analysis showed that patients with high Ano1 gene expression had poorer survival rates than those in the low-expression group. That is that higher the value, the worse the prognosis (Figure 1B).

IHC Results of the Ano1 Gene

The Ano1 gene was validated using HE staining and IHC (Figure 2). Using HE staining, we found that the glands in the normal colonic mucosa were polarly arranged (Figure 2A and B). The tumor tissue in the colorectal adenocarcinoma was irregularly nested (Figure 2C). High-level magnification showed local necrosis of the colon adenocarcinoma tissue, increase in tumor cell volume, large nucleus that was highly stained, increase in nucleoplasm ratio, and mitotic images.
were visible (Figure 2D). We observed the expression of ANO1 protein was negative in normal colon mucosal glandular epithelial cells (Figure 2E and F). The expression of ANO1 protein was strongly positive in the cytoplasm of colorectal adenocarcinoma cells, but negative in the surrounding stroma and lymphocytes (Figure 2G and H).

**Pan Cancer Differential Expression Analysis of Ano1 in TCGA**

After the sample was screened as described in 2.2, 19 tumor types were finally enrolled. A total of 7833 samples were obtained, including 7126 tumor and 707 adjacent tissue samples. The least number of samples were CHOL (n = 45) and...
the most were BRCA (n = 1204). The differential expression analysis showed that the Ano1 gene had significant gene expression differences across the 14 tumor types (Figure 3A). The results of the ROC-AUC further revealed that its classification effect on the nine tumors was quite good (AUC > 0.6). The difference was more pronounced in tumor types (such as PCPG, KIRC, and HNSC) with better classification efficacy (AUC >0.75) (Figure 3B). In addition, Ano1 showed a better classification efficacy for CRC (AUC = 0.642) (Figure 3C).
Differential Analysis of the Ano1 Expression Profiles

The TCGA-CRC dataset was pre-processed by filtering the dataset as described in 2.3, and a total of 15,057 genes remained. Thereafter, we obtained 358 differential genes through differential gene screening (Ano1-high VS Ano1-low), which included 150 upregulated genes and 208 downregulated genes (Figure 4A). We analyzed the differential gene expression values using Principal component analysis (PCA). The results showed that samples from the same category tended to aggregate. Therefore, we can use the differential gene expression value to discriminate between the Ano1-high and Ano1-low groups (Figure 4B). Based on the different expression levels of Ano1 gene, the differential genes showed a convergent or opposite trend in the heatmaps (Figure 4C).

Functional Enrichment and Analyses of Ano1

The GO enrichment analysis showed that the upregulated genes were enriched in a variety of biological processes, such as extracellular matrix tissue, regionalization, embryonic skeletal, and system development. The downregulated genes were enriched in the protein activation cascade, coagulation, fibrin clot formation, fibrinolysis, and hemostatic negative regulation (Figure 5A and B, Schedule 1). The KEGG analysis indicated that the upregulated genes were mainly involved in the salivary secretion pathway, while the downregulated genes are mainly concentrated in fat digestion and absorption, neuroactive ligand receptor interaction, complement and the coagulation cascade pathway (Figure 5C and D, Schedule 2).

The MsigDB Hallmark GSEA analysis showed that the differentially expressed genes were positively correlated with Cancer Hallmarks. The pathways involved included allotransplantation rejection, epithelial mesenchymal transition, and inflammatory response. The DEGs were negatively correlated with pathways including myc targets v1 and oxidative phosphorylation (Figure 6A–I, Schedule 3). The MsigDB Hallmark GSVA analysis also showed the degree of variation of each tumor sample at the level of partial Cancer Hallmark gene sets (Figure 7A). We found that the 5 gene sets labeled with the cancer markers included interferon gamma response, allotransplantation rejection, TNF-α signaling via NF-κB, inflammatory
response, and KRAS signaling, which were clustered. The other 5 gene sets included ultraviolet response downregulation, apical junction, epithelial mesenchymal transition, MYC targets v1, and oxidative phosphorylation, which were also clustered. Details of the Cancer Hallmark gene sets are provided on the website. In addition, we performed a correlation analysis between the ANO1 expression level and the variation of all Hallmark gene sets. We observed a weak correlation between the level of Ano1 expression and variation in the Estrogen Response Early/Late pathway (Figure 7B and C).

**WGCNA Analysis**

The \( \hat{a} \) value (Soft Thresholding Power) of the set of 5 was chosen as previously described. Then, we obtained 7 modules (besides the grey module), which contained a total of 341 genes (gold: 145; steel blue: 58; turquoise: 56; slate blue: 23; salmon: 21; tan: 20; light green:18) (Figure 8A). The module-sample trait correlation analysis showed that there was a high-level of correlation between the Ano1 group and each module (\(|r > 0.3|\)). Five of these modules were negatively correlated with Ano1-high (gold, turquoise, slate blue, salmon, and light green), and the other two were positively correlated with Ano1-high (steel blue, tan) (Figure 8B). Then, we counted the categories of genes (including upregulated and downregulated genes) in each module. The results corresponded with the results of the correlation analysis. The module with a higher proportion of upregulated genes tended to be positively correlated with Ano1-high (Figure 8C).

**PPI Network Construction and Key Node Analysis**

Based on the description provided in the methods section, we constructed the PPI network of the top three modules (gold, steel blue, and turquoise) in terms of the number of genes (Figure 9A–C). PPI-gold contains 94 nodes (42 upregulated...
and 52 downregulated) with 205 relationships; PPI-steel blue contains 33 nodes (31 upregulated and 2 downregulated) with 42 relationships; while PPI-turquoise contains 26 nodes (1 upregulated and 25 downregulated) with 43 relationships. The Hub nodes with higher level rankings were PPI-gold: KNG1 (21), GNG4 (19), F2 (15); PPI-steel blue: POSTN (8), THBS2 (7), SPP1 (6); and PPI-turquoise: FGA (7). These genes frequently interact with other proteins in each module and are important nodes in the network.

**Analysis of Immune Cell Infiltration and Its Association with a Related Diagnostic Markers**

The heatmap demonstrates significant differences in partial immune cell infiltration between the Ano1-high and Ano1-low samples. Plasma cells, activated memory CD4(+) T cells, and activated dendritic cells were found in Ano1-high. The degree of infiltration in the group was significantly lower. The infiltration of cells such as activated mast cells and resting memory CD4(+) T cell was significantly higher in the Ano1-high group (Figure 10A). This result indicates that in Ano1-low tumors, cells such as plasma cells, activated CD4(+) T cells, and activated dendritic cells show a high level of infiltration, while in Ano1-high tumors, the degree of infiltration of cells such as activated mast cells and resting CD4(+) T cells is higher in Ano1-low tumors.

Further analysis of the correlation between immune cell types in the heatmap and ANO1 gene expression showed that plasma cells, activated memory CD4(+) T cells and ANO1 gene expression were significantly negatively correlated (Figure 10B and C), which is consistent with the heatmap results, implying that ANO1 may be involved in the function inhibition of helper T cells.
Construction and Evaluation of a Prognostic Model

The patients were randomly divided into an 80% training set (n=493) and a 20% test set (n=124). To determine the contribution of each gene in the model (VIMP), the RSF module was constructed based on differential gene expression values. In general, the larger the VIMP, the better the predictive ability; whereas a value close to zero or a negative value indicated a lack of predictive ability. The top 20 ranked genes were used to reconstruct the RSF module (Figure 11A and B). The risk score (Range: 0.012–0.691) for each patient was computed by screening the CHF of important differential genes. The samples were divided into two groups based on their Ano1 expression level, using the median value. The high risk group (n=246) was defined as those with a value >0.163 and the low risk group (n=267) as those with a value ≤0.163. There was a statistical significance in the survival rate between the two groups (log-rank \( P<0.0001 \)). Compared with the low-risk group, a lower survival trend was observed in the high-risk group (Figure 11C). In the validation set, we used the same module and parameters and used the risk score (0.163) as the threshold. Based on a similar method, the samples were divided into a high-risk group (n=48) and a low-risk group (n=76). Statistically significant differences in the survival rate were also observed between the two groups (log-rank \( P<0.0001 \)). The survival rate of the high-risk group was significantly lower compared with the low-risk group (Figure 11D).

Figure 7 Gene set variance analysis. (A) The heat map exhibits partial Cancer Hallmark Set (Hallmark is consistent with Figure 5). (B) Correlation analysis between Ano1 and Estrogen Response Early. The red straight line represents the regression line, with shading to convey 95% confidence intervals. (C) Correlation analysis between Ano1 and Estrogen Response Late.
We integrated other known clinical variants (such as Age, Tumor Stage, Pathology TNM Stage, Gender, Race and BMI) with risk score described above and constructed a multivariate COX model. After adjusting for other factors, the risk score remained as an independent prognostic risk factor for CRC patients (HR= 59.3, p value= 7.87E-05). Moreover, the effect of Age, Gender and Pathological M loaded on prognosis was significant (Figure 12A). The calibration curve of the Cox model showed that the predicted 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year values deviated very little from real values. This indicated a reasonably good predictive accuracy (Figure 12B). A ROC curve is constructed using the risk score and the

Figure 8 WGCNA analysis. (A) WGCNA modules with different colors representing different modules. (B) The correlation heat map demonstrates a correlation between module and Ano1-group. The rows represent modules and different colors represent different modules. The columns represent Ano1-group. In the square boxes, the values present correlation and p-value. (C) The statistics for the different categories of genes within modules. The sector area represents the number of genes. The different transparency represents different categories. The dark colors correspond to up-regulated genes and the light colors correspond to down-regulated genes.
AUC was determined. The AUC value of 1-year, 3-year and 5-year survival were all above 0.95, which indicates that the model possessed a good discrimination ability (Figure 12C).

**External Validation in Expression**
qRT-PCR further revealed significant differential mRNA expression for ANO1 between 6 pairs of CRC cancer and paired paracancer tissues. (Supporting Information Figure S1A and B). In general, the relative expression of Ano1 mRNA was relatively significantly overexpressed in CRC cancer compared to the paired paracancer tissues.
Discussion

As a pathogenetically heterogeneous disease, CRC mainly occurs in elderly patients.\textsuperscript{26} The prognosis of patients is extremely poor, especially in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. CRC ranks the second highest for deaths caused by cancer.\textsuperscript{1} Distant metastasis typically triggers death and the liver is the most common site of metastasis. For metastatic patients, the 5-year overall survival is approximately 13%.\textsuperscript{4,27} Comprehensive treatment strategies for CRC include surgical procedure, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy.\textsuperscript{28,29} Immunotherapy is a promising field for the further development of treatment methods for CRC.\textsuperscript{30} However, there are few targeted or immunotherapy drugs that can serve as first-line drugs for CRC.\textsuperscript{31} Recent studies have shown that anti-EGFR antibodies and anti-VEGF antibodies can be used for the treatment of metastatic CRC.\textsuperscript{32,33} In this study, we aimed to identify approaches that could be used to target VEGF and EGFR for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer.\textsuperscript{34,35}
ANO1, which encodes a 960-amino acid protein with 8 transmembrane domains, is highly deregulated in different tumors. As an oncogenic factor, Ano1 can promote cell movement, adhesion, and invasion. Previous studies have found that ANO1 can inhibit the growth and invasion of ovarian cancer by blocking the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, which is useful in prognosis analysis. The upregulation of ANO1 expression can be used as a risk index for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). One study showed that ANO1 plays a crucial role in CRC progression through miR-132. As a calcium-activated chloride channel, Ano1 may be a potential novel target for cancer therapy. However, the mechanism of action of Ano1 in distant metastatic CRC needs to be explored further.

In this paper, Ano1 was found to be associated with several biological processes through a gene co-expression analysis. We know that Ano1 can control a variety of cell functions, including cancer cell proliferation and migration, smooth muscle contraction, epithelial cell secretion and neuronal excitation. To study the potential function of Ano1 in CRC, we performed a GO enrichment analysis, while KEGG, GSEA, and GSVA analyses were performed on the co-expressed genes. The GSEA analysis showed that the differentially expressed genes were positively correlated with epithelial mesenchymal transition. Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) plays an important role in promoting tumor invasion and metastasis. Studies have shown that the upregulation of EMT can promote the invasion and metastasis of colon cancer.
Figure 12 The results and evaluation of multivariable Cox model. (A) Forest plots of multivariable Cox model. The point represents HR, and the line represents 95% CI. (B) The calibration curve of the model. The x-axis is the predicted survival rate, and the y-axis is the actual survival rate. The diagonal line represents that the predicted probability is equal to the actual probability. The farther deviated from the diagonal line, the larger the prediction error. 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates are colored in green, blue, and purple, respectively. (C) The ROC curve for classification efficacy of survival rate based on Risk Score. 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year AUC curves are colored in green, blue, and purple, respectively.

Note: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
Thereafter, we used WGCNA to establish seven modules to study the relationship between Ano1 and its co-expressed genes. The results showed that Ano1 was highly expressed and the proportion of upregulated genes was high. Through screening, KNG1, GNG4, F2, POSTN, THBS2, and SPP1FGA, were mined as the main nodes in the PPI network. This provides a basis for studying the mechanism of action of Ano1. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), which include macrophages, T cells and NK cells, are closely related to the progression and prognosis of CRC. These cells can secrete various factors that affect the microenvironment outside the tumor to regulate tumor behavior.\(^1\) We found that there were significant differences in the infiltration levels of certain types of immune cells between the two groups, which demonstrated that the expression of Ano1 can affect immune cell infiltration. Further studies found that the expression level of Ano1 was negatively correlated with the infiltration degree of plasma cells and memory activated CD4\(^+\) T cells. This indicates that Ano1 can affect the prognosis of CRC by affecting the level of immune infiltration. In addition, we used the random survival forest (RSF) method to construct a model of the DEGs related to prognosis. The ROC curves of the model for 1-year, 3-year and 5-year OS show that the model has a good prediction ability.

However, this study has certain limitations. First, the samples were obtained from TCGA database and not from the Second Hospital of Dalian Medical University. Therefore, we need to validate our hypothesis using animal models. We will perform in vivo and in vitro tests to further confirm our conclusions.

In conclusion, our study verified the value of Ano1 for the diagnosis and for predicting the prognosis of CRC. Ano1 may not only participate in metastasis and progression but may also perform an immune regulation function in CRC. Higher expression levels of Ano1 were correlated with a poorer prognosis. Thus, Ano1 can act as a potential prognostic biomarker and a novel target for CRC therapy. To explore the mechanism of action of Ano1 for the pathogenesis of CRC and immunotherapy for CRC seems to be promising. Therefore, we need to carry out further in-depth research before our results can be applied in a clinical setting.

**Conclusion**

In summary, an integrated bioinformatics analysis was performed in the current study to explore the relationship between Ano1 and metastatic colorectal cancer. We confirmed that the high expression of Ano1 is closely related to the poor prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer. Ano1 can act as a potential prognostic biomarker and a novel target for CRC therapy. However, additional molecular experiments are required to further validate the findings of the present study.
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