Neutrophils deposit antimicrobial proteins, such as myeloperoxidase and proteases on chromatin, which they release as neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). Neutrophils also carry key components of the complement alternative pathway (AP) such as properdin or complement factor P (CFP), complement factor B (CFB), and C3. However, the contribution of these complement components and complement activation during NET formation in the presence and absence of bacteria is poorly understood. We studied complement activation on NETs and a Gram-negative opportunistic bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA01, PAKwt, and PAKgfp). Here, we show that anaphylatoxin C5a, formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP) and phorbol myristate acetate (PMA), which activates NADPH oxidase, induce the release of CFP, deposit it on NETs and bacteria, and induce the formation of terminal complement complexes (C5b–9). A blocking anti-CFP antibody inhibited AP-mediated but not non-AP-mediated complement activation on NETs and P. aeruginosa. Therefore, NET-mediated complement activation occurs via both AP- and non AP-based mechanisms, and AP-mediated complement activation during NETosis is dependent on CFP. These findings suggest that neutrophils could use their “AP tool kit” to readily activate complement on NETs and Gram-negative bacteria, such as P. aeruginosa, whereas additional components present in the serum help to fix non-AP-mediated complement both on NETs and bacteria. This unique mechanism may play important roles in host defense and help to explain specific roles of complement activation in NET-related diseases.
INTRODUCTION

Neutrophils play a central role in the innate immune system and function in inflammation and immune surveillance. At sites of inflammation, neutrophils kill pathogens via phagocytosis and release of proteolytic enzymes (1, 2). Recently, the ability of neutrophils to form web-like neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) has been identified as an additional strategy for antimicrobial defense. The process of NET formation (i.e., NETosis) is a specific form of cell death, in which nuclear DNA undergoes decondensation with subsequent expulsion of chromatin that is coated with cytoplasmic proteins as NETs. In the presence of C5a, GM-CSF-primed neutrophils undergo a vital NETosis, in which cells do not die, but release mitochondrial DNA. This type of NETosis is regulated by mitochondrial ROS production (10).

Once formed, NETs ensnare pathogens and expose them to high localized concentrations of antimicrobial proteins (11). NETs can also be cytotoxic and have been shown to contribute to thrombosis, sepsis, cystic fibrosis, asthma, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) (12–24). Complement and infections have been implicated in the pathogenesis and exacerbation of many of these diseases. Although it has recently been described that NETs can activate and deposit complement alternative pathway (AP) components (25), the involvement of the different complement pathways and their components in the context of NETosis and bacterial infection has not been fully understood. This fundamental knowledge is essential for understanding molecular mechanisms involved in NET-related pathobiology.

The complement system consists of more than 30 proteins distributed in the circulation and on endothelial cells, and functions primarily in microbial defense and clearance of immune complexes and injured cells (26). Complement can be constantly active (via the complement AP) or become activated by immune complexes and dying cells (via the C1q-mediated classical pathway (CP) or carbohydrate ligands on microorganisms (via the lectin pathway (LP)) (26). Complement factor P (CFP), the only positive complement regulator, acts as stabilizer of the AP convertase (C3bBbP) and selective pattern recognition molecule of certain microorganisms and host cells (i.e., apoptotic/necrotic cells) by serving as a platform for the assembly of the AP C3 convertase (27). Complement progression includes the activation of complement proteins C3 and C5 (to form the potent anaphylatoxins C3a and C5a and the opsonins C3b and C5b) and the subsequent activation of the terminal pathway with the formation of the potentially lytic membrane attack complex (MAC), C5b–9. AP activation is critically enhanced by the C3 convertase C3bBbP, and requires tight regulation to maintain the balance between necessary activation and harmful over-activation (26). Bacteria are capable of inducing Nox-dependent NETosis (9, 28–30), and we aimed to identify possible links between NETosis, bacteria, and the complement system, in particular, the possibility that neutrophils mount a targeted complement response to infectious agents via the formation of NETs and deposition of complement components on NETs and microbial pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics
Informed written consent was obtained from all donors. The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Board at The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada.

Reagents
All buffer salts and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless stated otherwise.

Bacterial Culture
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (mPA01, PAKwt, and PAKgfp) cultures were grown overnight in LB-broth. PAKgfp was maintained in 30 μg/ml gentamicin. The concentration of bacteria was calculated using [CFU] × 10^n = (OD_{600}) 30.88 – 99,607. For NETosis assays, P. aeruginosa sub-cultured for 3 h was used at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 or 100.

Neutrophil Isolation and Preparation of Neutrophil Lysates
Human peripheral neutrophils were purified from whole blood (20 ml) collected in BD EDTA-vacutainers from healthy donors using Polymorphprep™ (Axis-shield, Oslo, Norway). After lysing erythrocytes with hypotonic buffer, neutrophils were resuspended in RPMI 1640 (Wisent Bioproducts, Montreal, QC, Canada) (31). To obtain neutrophil lysate, cell pellets were resuspended in a lysis buffer [1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 10 mM KCl containing 25 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF], sonicated (VWR Sonics model 25D), and incubated for 15 min at 4°C. Neutrophil lysates were centrifuged at 25,000×g for 30 min at 4°C and stored at −80°C for future analysis.

Neutrophil Activation, Oxidative Burst, and Secretion
Neutrophils (2 × 10^6 cells/ml) were resuspended in RPMI 1640 with 10 mM Heps, pH 7.4, and activated with C5a (CompTech, Tyler, TX, USA) (1 μM), formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLF) (1 μM) or PMA (20 nM), and incubated [37°C, 5% (v/v) CO₂] for 30 min. Stimulation was terminated by incubating these cells at 4°C for 5 min. Neutrophils were pelleted (1000×g for 10 min) and the supernatant was collected and further
centrifuged at 25,000× g for 10 min at 4°C, immediately placed in 2× neutrophil Laemmli sample buffer, heated at 95°C for 5 min and stored at −80°C for future analysis. Neutrophil lysates were prepared from the remaining cell pellet as described in the Section “Neutrophil Isolation and Preparation of Neutrophil Lysates.” To determine respiratory burst, neutrophils (1 × 10⁶ cells/ml) were pre-loaded with dihydrorhodamine (DHR) 123 (10 μM), treated with the agonists as above and analyzed by flow cytometry (Gallios, Beckman Coulter, Mississauga, ON, Canada). Cells were first gated with forward and side scatters, and further gated for Hoechst (1 μg/ml) using 405/450 BP 40 filter channel. ROS was detected using 488/429 BP 28.25 filter channel.

**Sytox Green Plate Reader Assay**

Neutrophils (3 × 10⁶ cells) were seeded onto 96-well plates in the presence of cell-impermeable Sytox Green DNA-binding dye (5 μM) and were activated with agonists or three stains of *P. aeruginosa* (mPAO1, PAKwt, and PAKgfp) at MOIs of 10 and 100. For inhibition studies, neutrophils were preincubated with 2 μM of Nox inhibitor, diphenyleleidionium (DPI) for 1 h before activation. Fluorescence intensity was measured by the POLARStar Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany) with excitation/emission (485/520), every 30 min. NET formation was normalized to total neutrophils DNA content determined by permeabilizing the cells with 0.5% (w/v) Triton X-100.

**Western Blot**

Neutrophil lysates (50 μg) were size-fractionated in 10% (w/v) SDS-polyacrylamide gels, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, blocked with 5% (w/v) skim-milk + 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20 (TBST), probed with goat polyclonal antibody to complement proteins (1:1000 dilution; Complement Technology, Tyler, TX, USA) or mouse monoclonal antibody to β-actin (BA3R, 1:10,000 dilution; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) in 5% (w/v) skim-milk in TBST, washed, and incubated with secondary antibody in 5% (w/v) skim-milk in TBST. Proteins were detected using Western Lighting™ Plus-ECL, Enhanced (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) and developed on radiographic film on a Kodak X-Omat 2000a processor.

**Detection of Complement Proteins in NETs**

Phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (20 nM) activated neutrophils at 240 min were fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Fort Washington, PA, USA), blocked with 3% (v/v) cold water fish skin gelatin and incubated with anti-complement antibodies: rabbit polyclonal antibody to CFP (SC-68366, 1:50 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), rabbit polyclonal antibody to complement factor B (CFB) (SC-67151, 1:50 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), and rabbit polyclonal antibody to complement C3 (ab97462, 1:100 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Neutrophils activated with PMA were co-stained with mouse monoclonal antibody to MPO (ab25989, 1:500 dilution; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Complexes were detected with donkey anti-primary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor® 555 (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA). Specimens were mounted with Dako Fluorescence Mounting Media (Dako Canada, Burlington, ON, Canada) for analysis with spinning-disk confocal microscopy.

**C5b–9 Formation on NETs**

After 240 min of neutrophil activation, culture plates were centrifuged at 200× g for 5 min at 4°C. The media was replaced with 500 μl of 20% (v/v) Refludan® (Bayer Healthcare, Wayne, NJ, USA) fresh frozen PPP prepared in RPMI 1640 media or AP buffer (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 144 mM NaCl, 7 mM MgCl₂, and 10 mM EGTA). For experiments with AP buffer, a buffer exchange was performed prior to the addition of plasma (Bayer Healthcare, Wayne, NJ, USA). After 15 min [37°C, 5% (v/v) CO₂], specimens were fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Fort Washington, PA, USA). In some experiments, DNase I (50 μg/ml) was added to digest DNA. After blocking with 3% (v/v) gelatin, mouse monoclonal antibody to C5b–9 (DIA 011-01, 1:200 dilution; Antibody Shop, Gentofte, Denmark) was incubated, washed, and further incubated with donkey anti-mouse secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor® 555 (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA). PAKgfp signal was enhanced with Alexa Fluor® 488 conjugated rabbit polyclonal antibody to GFP (A21311, 1:400 dilution; Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA). For CFP inhibitor assays, optimal concentration of a mouse monoclonal anti-CFP antibody (Anti Factor P#1, A233; Quidel Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA) was determined by rabbit red blood cell lysis assay (32). An antibody concentration of 4 μg/ml was used in the final assays. Presence of C5b–9 was detected as described above. Wheat germ agglutinin was used for labeling neutrophil membrane. Specimens were mounted and analyzed with spinning-disk confocal microscopy.

**Spinning-Disk Confocal Microscopy and Colocalization Analysis**

Images were taken on an Olympus IX81 inverted fluorescence microscope using a 60×/1.35 oil immersion objective equipped with a Hamamatsu C9100-13 back-thinned EM-CCD camera and Yokogawa CSU XI spinning-disk confocal scan head (with Spectral Aurora Borealis upgrade). The unit is equipped with four separate diode-pumped solid state laser lines (Spectral Applied Research, 405, 491, 561, and 642 nm) with emission filters: 447 ± 60, 525 ± 50, 593 ± 40, 620 ± 60, 676 ± 29, and 700 nm ± 75, and 1.5x magnification lens (Spectral Applied Research). Confocal images were taken with an Improvision Piezo Focus Drive. Z-stacks were taken at 0.25 μm. Images taken using the spinning-disk confocal microscope were deconvolved by iterative restoration using Volocity Software (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) with confidence limit set to 95% and iteration limit set to 20.

**Statistical Analysis**

Student’s t-test, or one-way or two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used for statistical comparison as needed. A P-value was set at 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001 for statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) statistical analysis software (Version 6.0).
RESULTS

PMA, but not fMLP and C5a, Induces NETosis

Reactive oxygen species is considered to be important for NETosis. However, different agonists induce ROS to different degrees. Therefore, to determine whether ROS was sufficient to induce NETosis, ROS production was measured 30 min post neutrophil stimulation using DHR 123 and flow cytometry. C5a (1–2 μM) did not generate ROS above baseline levels (Figure S1A in Supplementary Material); however, similar concentrations of fMLP led to a 1.5-fold increase in ROS compared to baseline values (p < 0.05; Figure S1B in Supplementary Material). The use of 20 nM PMA produced a ninefold increase of ROS in comparison to non-treated control neutrophils (p < 0.05; Figure S1C in Supplementary Material). The overall ability of these agonists to induce ROS production was PMA >> fMLP > C5a.

Although both PMA and fMLP induced ROS production, whether fMLP can induce NETosis is uncertain. Therefore, to identify the ability of PMA, fMLP, and C5a to independently elicit NETosis, we treated neutrophils with varying concentrations of these reagents. NETosis was monitored using a plate reader assay by measuring the production of extracellular DNA. This assay monitors the fluorescence generated by the binding of cell-impermeable DNA-binding Sytox Green fluorescent dye to NET DNA. Neither C5a nor fMLP (up to concentration of 2 μM) induced NET formation within the observed 300-min time period (Figure 1). However, stimulation of neutrophils with PMA resulted in NET generation after approximately 120 min, as determined by Sytox Green plate reader assay (Figure 1) and nuclear morphology changes (Figure S2 in Supplementary Material). As expected, the use of the NADPH inhibitor DPI abrogated PMA-induced NET formation with levels remaining near baseline. This confirms that PMA induces NETosis via the Nox-dependent pathway, and that C5a and fMLP on their own do not induce NETosis.

Induction of NETosis Causes Release of Complement Factors

To identify whether stimulation of neutrophils results in the release of complement factors, Western blot analysis was performed on the cell pellets and cell-free supernatant (Figure 2). Protein levels of the complement proteins CFP, C3, and CFB were compared for neutrophils induced for 30 min either with C5a, fMLP, and PMA or with buffer. Complement proteins were not detected in the supernatant of non-activated neutrophils, with proteins being identified exclusively in cell pellet samples. The release of all three complement proteins was observed for all induction
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- **A** shows the protein levels of properdin and β-actin in the pellet and supernatant. Properdin was detected in the pellet but not in the supernatant, while β-actin was detected in both.
- **B** displays the protein levels of C3 α-chain and β-chain. C3 α-chain was detected in the supernatant, while C3 β-chain was detected in the pellet.
- **C** illustrates the protein levels of CFB, CFB Bb, and β-actin. CFB and β-actin were detected in the pellet, while CFB Bb was detected in the supernatant.

**FIGURE 2 | Neutrophils secrete complement proteins upon activation with PMA, fMLP, and C5a.** Neutrophils were activated with C5a (1 μM), fMLP (1 μM), and PMA (20 nM) for 30 min. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, and the supernatant was collected to investigate for secreted proteins. Western blot analysis of the pellet and the supernatant reveals that neutrophils contain (A) CFP, (B) C3, and (C) complement factor B and secrete them upon activation. β-actin served as loading control.
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- **Figure 1 | PMA, but not C5a and fMLP, induce NET formation.** Sytox Green fluorescence plate reader assay for neutrophils activated with C5a (1 or 2 μM), fMLP (1 or 2 μM), and PMA (20 nM) reveals NET formation only after stimulation with PMA. Diphenyleneiodonium (DPI), a NADPH oxidase inhibitor, blocks PMA-induced NET formation. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three to seven individual experiments. Fluorescence emission of Sytox Green was normalized to total DNA of resting neutrophils permeabilized with 0.5% (v/v) triton X-100. Statistical significance was obtained by comparing to SYTOX fluorescence from resting neutrophils. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test, *p < 0.0001.
methods; however, the use of PMA elicited the greatest release of both CFP and CFB within the supernatant (Figures 2A–C). Pelleted samples for activated cells also contained a large amount of complement proteins: primarily CFP and CFB (and its activation product Bb). Furthermore, CFB-Bb was only identified in PMA-induced neutrophils (Figure 2C). These results indicate the capability of PMA to not only induce NETosis but also cause the greatest release of complement proteins in comparison to C5a and fMLP.

**PMA-Induced NETosis Deposits CFP on NETs**

As the next step, we sought to identify whether CFP was capable of adhering to NETosing neutrophils and NETs. Immunofluorescence analysis performed on PMA-induced NETs showed the deposition of both CFP and MPO (another known NET-associated protein) on the surface of extracellular NETs (Figure 3). CFP could also be detected on the neutrophil membranes after NET formation. Deposition pattern of both MPO and CFP shows substantial overlap throughout the extracellular DNA lattice structure. Therefore, neutrophils deposit CFP on NETs.

**PMA-Induced NETs Activate Complement**

In order to analyze whether complement activation occurs on NETs, neutrophils were stimulated with PMA, washed and incubated with 20% complement active plasma for 15 min in complement competent buffers. Under these conditions, both AP- and non-AP-mediated complement fixation can occur. Immunofluorescence microscopy was performed on these NETs to identify the deposition of terminal complement complex C5b–9. Images show that C5b–9 deposits on NETs (Figures 4 and 5 – middle column; Figures 4H and 5H with 2× magnified insets of representative areas). The use of DNAse I, which removes pre-formed NETs, causes a large decrease in the detection of C5b–9 deposition. These data suggest that the presence of NETs is necessary to activate complement cascade and deposit terminal complement complex.
PMAL-induced NETosis activates complement to form C5b–9. Neutrophils were left untreated (A,D,G), activated with 20 nM PMA (B,E,H), and treated with DNase I after PMA activation to disseminate the formation of NETs (C,F,I). After induction of NETosis in RPMI buffer, 20% (v/v) autologous plasma was added. (A–C) NETs were visualized with DAPI. (D–F) C5b–9 was detected using mouse monoclonal antibody to C5b–9. Image was taken with 60×/1.35 oil immersion objective. (H) with 2× magnified inset of representative area. Scale bar, 9.00 μm.

FIGURE 4 | PMAL-induced NETs activate complement to form C5b–9. Neutrophils were left untreated (A,D,G), activated with 20 nM PMA (B,E,H), and treated with DNase I after PMA activation to disseminate the formation of NETs (C,F,I). After induction of NETosis in RPMI buffer, 20% (v/v) autologous plasma was added. (A–C) NETs were visualized with DAPI. (D–F) C5b–9 was detected using mouse monoclonal antibody to C5b–9. Image was taken with 60×/1.35 oil immersion objective. (H) with 2× magnified inset of representative area. Scale bar, 9.00 μm.

PMA-Induced NETosis Activates Complement Also via Alternative Pathway
To further identify the contribution of AP to NETosis, this experiment was repeated except that the plasma incubation step was performed in the presence of AP buffer allowing for AP activation only. Immunofluorescence microscopy images show that C5b–9 could be deposited via AP (Figure 6; Figures 6I–K with 2× magnified insets of representative areas). To determine whether blocking CFP is sufficient to prevent complement activation, 4 μg/ml anti-CFP antibody was added during complement activation. This antibody concentration was chosen because AP-dependent rabbit erythrocyte hemolysis was inhibited in the presence of >4 μg/ml anti-CFP antibody (Figure S3 in Supplementary Material). Immunofluorescence microscopy reveals that blocking CFP fully prevents C5b–9 deposition in AP buffer conditions, but reduced C5b–9 deposition only slightly in complete buffer conditions (Figure 6). Therefore, both AP- and non-AP-mediated complement depositions occur on NETs induced by PMA.

P. aeruginosa-Induced NETosis Deposits CFP on NETs and Bacteria
To test the ability of complement fixation on pathogens during NETosis, neutrophils were exposed to various strains of P. aeruginosa (mPA01, PAKwt, and PAKgfp). Similar to the PMA experiments described above, NETosis was monitored using Sytox Green plate reader assays. All three strains of these bacteria induced NETosis, and followed similar kinetics in terms of post-infection time response (Figure 7). NETosis began at
approximately 120 min and continued to increase throughout the 300-min experimental time period. Furthermore, this induction is bacterial load dependent with both a faster response and a larger response observed when the MOI was increased from 10 to 100. To determine whether this NETosis induction was dependent on Nox, DPI was included in the media. Nox inhibitor DPI fully abrogated *P. aeruginosa*-induced NETosis for all three stains at both MOIs (*p* < 0.05). This finding indicates that similar to PMA, bacteria-induced NETosis occurs in a Nox-dependent manner.

To determine CFP deposition during NETosis, specimens were immunostained. In the absence of serum, CFP was detected on both bacteria and NETs, and DNase I treatment abolished CFP deposition (*Figure 8; Figure 8K* with 2× magnified inset of a representative area). These results indicate that during *P. aeruginosa*-induced NETosis CFP is released from the neutrophils and deposits on NETs and bacteria.

**Figure 5** | PMA-induced NETs activate complement alternative pathway to form C5b–9. Neutrophils were left untreated (A,D,G), activated with 20 nM PMA (B,E,H), and treated with DNase I to disperse the formation of NETs (C,F,I). A buffer exchange was performed with three washes of PBS and one wash of AP buffer, followed by addition of 20% (v/v) autologous plasma in AP buffer. (A–C) NETs were visualized with DAPI. (D–F) C5b–9 was detected using mouse monoclonal antibody to C5b–9. Image was taken with 60×/1.35 oil immersion objective. (H) with 2× magnified inset of representative area. Scale bar, 9.00 μm.

**P. aeruginosa*-Induced NETosis Activates Complement on NETs**

To determine whether NET induction mediated by *P. aeruginosa* results in complement activation, C5b–9 deposition was determined by immunofluorescence microscopy. Images show that C5b–9 was deposited on NETs (*Figure 9; Figure 9K* with a 2× magnified inset of a representative area). This effect was abolished when the NET DNA lattice was removed by DNase treatment. Thus, complement deposits on NETs during NETosis.

**P. aeruginosa*-Induced NETosis Also Activates Complement via Alternative Pathway**

To determine whether the AP is involved in *P. aeruginosa*-induced NETosis and C5b–9 formation, we first examined C5b–9 formation. Approximately 120 min and continued to increase throughout the 300-min experimental time period. Furthermore, this induction is bacterial load dependent with both a faster response and a larger response observed when the MOI was increased from 10 to 100. To determine whether this NETosis induction was dependent on Nox, DPI was included in the media. Nox inhibitor DPI fully abrogated *P. aeruginosa*-induced NETosis for all three stains at both MOIs (*p* < 0.05). This finding indicates that similar to PMA, bacteria-induced NETosis occurs in a Nox-dependent manner.

To determine CFP deposition during NETosis, specimens were immunostained. In the absence of serum, CFP was detected on both bacteria and NETs, and DNase I treatment abolished CFP deposition (*Figure 8; Figure 8K* with 2× magnified inset of a representative area). These results indicate that during *P. aeruginosa*-induced NETosis CFP is released from the neutrophils and deposits on NETs and bacteria.

**P. aeruginosa*-Induced NETosis Activates Complement on NETs**

To determine whether NET induction mediated by *P. aeruginosa* results in complement activation, C5b–9 deposition was determined by immunofluorescence microscopy. Images show that C5b–9 was deposited on NETs (*Figure 9; Figure 9K* with a 2× magnified inset of a representative area). This effect was abolished when the NET DNA lattice was removed by DNase treatment. Thus, complement deposits on NETs during NETosis.

**P. aeruginosa*-Induced NETosis Also Activates Complement via Alternative Pathway**

To determine whether the AP is involved in *P. aeruginosa*-induced NETosis and C5b–9 formation, we first examined C5b–9 formation.
**FIGURE 6** | Anti-CFP antibody blocks activation of complement AP to form C5b–9 on PMA-induced NETs. Neutrophils were activated with PMA (20 nM) to induce NET formation. Plasma with RPMI buffer was used to activate all complement pathways, AP buffer to only activate the alternative pathway. A monoclonal anti-properdin antibody was used to inhibit AP activation. A buffer exchange was performed followed by addition of 20% (v/v) plasma:RPMI1640 + 10 mM Hepes [left column (A,E,I)], 20% (v/v) plasma:RPMI1640 + 10 mM Hepes + mAb properdin [left column (B,F,J)], 20% (v/v) plasma:AP buffer [right column (C,G,K)], 20% (v/v) plasma:AP buffer + mAb properdin [right column (D,H,L)]. (A–D) show DAPI, (E–H) C5b–9 deposition, and (I–L) merged images. Images were taken with 60x/1.35 oil immersion objective. (I–K) with 2x magnified insets of representative areas. Scale bar, 9.00 μm.

**FIGURE 7** | *P. aeruginosa* induces Nox-dependent NETosis. Sytox Green plate reader assay showing the NETosis ability of different strains of *P. aeruginosa* (mPAO1, PAKwt, and PAKgfp). Fluorescence emission of Sytox green was normalized to total DNA of resting neutrophils permeabilized with 0.5% triton X-100. Nox inhibitor DPI suppresses the NETosis of all three strains. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from four to seven individual experiments. Statistical significance compared to Sytox fluorescence from resting neutrophils. † denotes statistical significance between different multiplicities of infection. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test, †p < 0.05, ††p < 0.01, †††p < 0.001, ††††p < 0.0001.
deposition under AP activation conditions. Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis shows that C5b–9 is deposited on NETs (Figure 10; Figure 10K with a 2× magnified inset of a representative area).

Next, we used anti-CFP antibody to test the importance of CFP under AP activation conditions (Figure S3 in Supplementary Material). Induction of NETosis was performed using PAKgfp at an MOI of 100. After NET induction with PAKgfp, samples
were maintained in complement competent RPMI media with the addition of 20% (v/v) autologous plasma (Figure 11 – first column; Figure 11M with a 2x magnified inset of a representative area). The addition of anti-CFP antibody to these samples did not change the formation and deposition of C5b–9 (Figure 11 – second column; Figure 11N with a 2x magnified inset of a representative area). Next, we incubated neutrophils with 20% (v/v) plasma in AP buffer. C5b–9 deposition was detected on NETs (Figure 11 – third column; Figure 11O with a 2x magnified inset of a representative area). Adding 4 μg/ml anti-CFP antibody...
before incubating bacteria-induced NETs with plasma inhibited C5b–9 deposition on NETs (Figure 11 – fourth column). Taken together, these data show that complement activation and progression to C5b–9 formation on NETs occurs via AP and non-AP pathways, and that AP activation depends on CFP.

**DISCUSSION**

Over the past decade, the ability of neutrophils to generate NETs has led to studies attempting to determine their function and involvement in disease. Although extensive studies have been...
performed, the exact functions of NETs, and their mechanism of action, remain to be completely elicited. NETs have been identified in several diseases that are associated with complement activation (1, 13, 14, 17, 33). In this study, we sought to understand the involvement of the complement system in the context of bacteria and NETs.

The use of varying reagents to induce NETosis has been established in many studies (4, 5). Applying these conditions, we found that PMA, but not C5a and fMLP, induces NETosis via the production of ROS. In order to obtain a more physiological impression of the mechanism of NETosis and the interplay with components of the complement system, we incorporated the use of *P. aeruginosa*...
in further studies. As previously seen (28–30), bacteria are capable of inducing Nox-dependent NETosis in a load-dependent manner.

Neutrophils recruited to sites of inflammation are a major determinant of AP activation (1). Neutrophil stimulation with PMA, C5a, and fMLP resulted in a quick release of the complement proteins CFP, C3, and CFB. These proteins are critical for the assembly of the AP convertase C3bBb, where CFP functions as a stabilizer (26). After demonstrating neutrophil release of complement proteins during NETosis, we confirmed that complement proteins became entangled within the NET structures. C5b–9 was deposited on the NETs, independent of the stimulus (PMA and PAKgfp). Deposition was abrogated by the use of DNAse, signifying the requirement of the NET lattices for the generation of C5b–9. This finding supports a role for NETs in inducing and/or enhancing complement activation, which is in keeping with the recently published observation that NETs can activate and deposit complement AP components (25). CFP also binds to DNA exposed by necrotic or apoptotic cells, and neutrophil-secreted CFP has been linked to a positive feedback loop between neutrophil and complement activation (1, 34–37). We also found CFP deposition on NETs, and the use of an anti-CFP antibody blocking the AP allowed us to identify the complement pathways involved in NET-mediated C5b–9 activation (38).

Complement factor P blockade was efficient in preventing terminal pathway activation when experimental conditions limited complement activation (i.e., C5b–9) on NETs to the AP. When the classical and lectin pathways were also allowed to be activated, this AP-specific blocking effect was lost. Fluorescence microscopy data suggest the possibility of CFP-mediated C5b–9 formation on NETs – data consistent with recent studies, indicating that both AP- and non-AP-mediated complement activation can occur on NETs (1, 5, 25, 37, 39, 40). CFP binding to targets via C3 fragments (alone or in context of the C3-/C5-convertases) is also possible.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that the “AP tool kit” present in the neutrophils are released upon stimulus, and deposits on targets, such as NETs. In the presence of plasma, NET formation results in terminal pathway activation via both CFP-dependent and -independent mechanisms. In NET-mediated diseases, the formation of NETs might trigger complement activation and exert secondary effects, such as cell injury and death. This is of great importance, as therapeutic complement inhibitors (e.g., eculizumab) are now available for clinical use (41, 42).
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**FIGURE S1** | PMA and fMLP, but not C5a, induce ROS production in neutrophils. Neutrophils were activated with (A) C5a (1 or 2 μM), (B) fMLP (1 or 2 μM), and (C) PMA (20 nM) and analyzed by flow cytometry for oxidative burst using dihydrorhodamine (DHR) 123. A significant ROS production was only observed for fMLP (1 μM) and PMA (20 nM). Results are given as median fluorescence intensity (MFI) from three independent experiments. Student’s t-test, *p* < 0.01.

**FIGURE S2** | Confocal images showing PMA-mediated kinetics of NETosis. Neutrophils were activated with PMA (20 nM) to induce NET formation. Samples were fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and stained with DAPI for microscopy. (A) Four distinct nuclear morphologies (lobulated, delobulated, decondensed nuclei; NETs) can be identified during NETosis. (B) Percentage difference for nuclear morphologies was identified through manual counting of at least 118–220 cells from five different focal planes at 40x magnification. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. Statistical significance is shown only if percentage of nuclear morphology is significantly different compared to all other morphologies at the same time point. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test, *p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.001, *p* < 0.0001.

**FIGURE S3** | Anti-CFP antibody concentrations of >4 μg/ml block AP-mediated complement activation. Serial dilutions of a mouse monoclonal anti-properdin antibody were performed to determine the antibody concentration required to completely inhibit complement AP as determined by rabbit erythrocyte lysis. This was achieved using concentrations >4 μg/ml. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. Student’s t-test, *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.01.
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