The pathogenesis of rotator cuff tears is not clearly understood and controversial. Several studies proposed the extrinsic and intrinsic factors that contribute to rotator cuff tears. Neer\cite{ref1} described impingement of an acromion bone spur and the coracoacromial ligament on the rotator cuff tendon can lead to a tear. According to Codman,\cite{ref3} degenerative changes of the tendon were responsible for development of a tear. Regarding the extrinsic factors, Bigliani et al.\cite{ref4} made a classification of the acromion shape seen on a lateral radiograph into 3 types. There was a higher prevalence of rotator cuff tears with a hooked type acromion than with a flat or a curved type acromion.

Nyffeler et al.\cite{ref5} assessed the morphology of the acromion and compared the lateral extension of the acromion using the acromion index (AI) between the patients with a rotator cuff tear and those with an intact rotator cuff. The difference in AI between the groups was statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$, 95% confidence interval). The AI was not related to tear size.

### Background

Among the many causes of rotator cuff tears, scapular morphology is associated with the accelerating degenerative process of the rotator cuff. Acromion index (AI) was previously introduced and compared in two populations.

### Methods

We enrolled 100 Korean patients diagnosed with full-thickness rotator cuff tears by magnetic resonance imaging and intraoperative arthroscopic findings between January and December 2013. Another 100 Korean patients with an intact rotator cuff tendon identified on magnetic resonance imaging and other shoulder diseases, such as frozen shoulder and instability, were enrolled as controls. We retrospectively compared these 100 rotator cuff tear patients (mean age, 63 years) and 100 controls (mean age, 51 years) in this study. Two independent orthopedic surgeons assessed the AI on radiographs. We performed an interobserver reliability test of the AI assessment, and then compared the AI between two groups.

### Results

The measurement of the AI showed excellent reliability (intraclass correlation coefficient, 0.82). The mean AI in the rotator cuff tear group was 0.68 and it was significantly different between groups ($p < 0.001$, 95% confidence interval). The AI was not related to tear size.

### Conclusions

Our study showed that the AI was an effective predictive factor for rotator cuff tears in a Korean population.
Korean population to determine whether any particular changes in the acromial structure are significantly associated with the presence of rotator cuff tears. Our hypothesis was that in the Korean population, morphologic differences in the acromion are associated with the etiology of rotator cuff tears.

**METHODS**

**Patient Selection and Demographics**

From January to December of 2013, 200 shoulders were retrospectively reviewed for this study and divided into 2 groups. One group included full thickness rotator cuff tear patients diagnosed by magnetic resonance imaging and intraoperative arthroscopic findings. The other group (control group) included patients with an intact rotator cuff tendon identified on magnetic resonance imaging and other shoulder diseases, such as frozen shoulder, instability, and calcific tendinitis. Exclusion criteria were partial thickness rotator cuff tears diagnosed on magnetic resonance imaging, previous surgery, fractures around the shoulder joint, arthritis, isolated subscapularis tears, osteonecrosis, and infection. The studied population was all Korean and exclusively Asian. There were 100 adults (mean age, 63 years; range, 42 to 87 years) in the rotator cuff tear group and 100 adults (mean age, 51 years; range, 17 to 84 years) in the intact rotator cuff group (control group). The rotator cuff tear group was composed of 57 men and 43 women and the control group consisted of 62 men and 38 women (Table 1). According to the classification of the cuff tear size suggested by DeOrio and Cofield the rotator cuff tears were categorized into small (< 1 cm) in 9 patients, medium (≥ 1 and < 3 cm) in 57 patients, large (≥ 3 and < 5 cm) in 23 patients, and massive (> 5 cm) in 11 patients for subgroup analysis. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Samsung Medical Center (No. 2015-02-088).

**Radiologic Assessment and Definition of AI**

Two independent orthopedic surgeons with an 1-year experience in shoulder fellowship in our hospital assessed all radiographs and they were blinded to the diagnosis. Standard plain radiographs with a true anteroposterior (AP) view were obtained from all patients and assessed on picture archiving and communication system (Centricity Enterprise Web V3.0; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA).

The true AP radiographs taken with the arm in neutral or internal rotation were used to assess the acromion as described in the study by Nyffeler et al. The observer drew three parallel lines on the AP radiograph and measured the distances between those lines. The first line connected the upper and lower tips of the glenoid rim. The second line was drawn on the lateral margin of the acromion parallel to the first line. The third line was drawn tangential to the most lateral part of the humerus head around the greater tuberosity. The AI was defined as the ratio of the distance from the glenoid to the lateral margin of the acromion (GA) divided by the distance from the glenoid rim to the lateral aspect of the humeral head (GH) (Fig. 1). A higher AI indicates a larger lateral extension of the acromion.

**Statistical Analysis**

Student t-tests were used to analyze the data and statistical significance was defined as a p < 0.05. For subgroup analysis, Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated to test the association between the AI and tear size. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis and Youden’s index method were used to determine the cut-off value of AI for rotator cuff tears. To evaluate the inter-rater reliability of the data, the intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) of the different parameters were calculated. We considered an ICC of 0.8 or higher represents good or excellent reliability. Statistical analysis was performed with the IBM SPSS ver. 22.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) and SAS ver. 9.3 (SAS Ins., Cary, NC, USA).

**RESULTS**

Demographic data of the 200 patients are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was higher in the rotator cuff tear group than in the control group. In our opinion, the characteristics of degenerative disease appeared to have an impact on the difference. Sex and
involvement of the dominant side were not significantly different between two groups. The ICC for AI was 0.82 showing good or excellent reliability. The mean AI was 0.68 in the rotator cuff tear group and 0.63 in the control group, showing a statistically significant intergroup difference ($p < 0.001$) (Fig. 2). The mean AI was 0.68 in males and 0.69 in females, showing no statistically significant difference ($p = 0.236$). Multivariate analysis was also performed to adjust for differences in age and sex distribution between groups, and no statistically significant difference was noted ($p < 0.001$).

Subgroup analysis of the AI was done among the 4 subgroups that had different tear sizes. Spearman correlation coefficient was 0.03 and showed no statistically significant difference in the AI among the groups ($p = 0.74$). The ROC analysis was performed to elucidate the optimal cut-off value of the AI for rotator cuff tears: the cut-off value was determined as 0.66 and the area under the ROC curve (AUC), sensitivity, and specificity were 0.72, 0.75, and 0.65, respectively (Fig. 3). According to the results, patients with an AI of 0.66 and above have a greater chance to have a rotator cuff tear and the accuracy of the test is fair (AUC, 0.72).
DISCUSSION

This study compared the AI in a Korean population and the results support those found by Nyffeler et al.\(^5\) in 2006. The difference in mean AI between the rotator cuff tear group and the intact rotator cuff group was statistically significant (0.68, \(p < 0.001\)). Thus, the AI can be considered as a predictive factor for a rotator cuff tear. In the literature, many authors\(^3,9-12\) also suggested the AI can be a possible cause of rotator cuff tears, but the relationship between the acromion morphology and rotator cuff tears is still controversial. In contrast, some authors demonstrated there was no significant association between the lateral coverage of the humeral head and the rotator cuff tear.\(^15\)

In particular, Miyazaki et al.\(^6\) reported contrasting results obtained from two different races: the AI was a possible cause of rotator cuff tears only in a Brazilian population, not in a Japanese population. However, Moor et al.\(^10\) and Balke et al.\(^11\) recently described that distinct scapular morphologies including the AI accurately predicted the degenerative rotator cuff tear as radiologic parameters. Ames et al.\(^15\) reported there was an association between the AI and the outcomes of arthroscopic rotator cuff repairs in 120 shoulders. In the study, the authors demonstrated that the patients with a larger AI (> 0.682) had a greater chance to have a tear involving two or more rotator cuff tendons (\(p = 0.017\)) and required more anchors for repair (\(p = 0.007\)). Kim et al.\(^9\) also suggested the AI can be a predictor for progression to large-to-massive rotator cuff tears. Specifically, the highest differences in the AI were noted between the partial-thickness articular-side tears and large-to-massive rotator cuff tears (\(p < 0.01\)).

Fujisawa et al.\(^16\) reported results of three-dimensional analysis of the acromion shape. Rates of bony projection at the anterior (> 2 mm) and lateral (> 3 mm) edges of the acromion were significantly greater in patients with rotator cuff tears than those without (\(p < 0.01\)). Acromial shape was not correlated with tear size (\(p = 0.73\)). These findings are consistent with those in our study: the AI showed no statistically significant difference among the groups subdivided according to tear size (\(p = 0.74\)).

In conclusion, contrary to a previous study suggesting the presence of racial difference with regard to the relationship between the AI and rotator cuff tears, our study showed that the AI was an effective predictive factor for rotator cuff tears in a Korean population.
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