Modeling the process of optical characteristics variation for a solar sail surface during heliocentric flights
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Abstract. In this paper authors consider changing of optical characteristics of the solar sail surface during the heliocentric flight. The sail surface is a multilayer epitaxial structure, which is physically a polyamide film covered with different metals on the front and back sides. We calculate the optical characteristics of the multilayer film using the transition matrix method adapted for quantum-sized layers and taking into account the solar radiation spectrum. In order to analyse the influence of these characteristics variation on the spacecraft motion due to sail degradation we simulate the heliocentric Earth-Mercury flight.

1. Introduction

Currently one of the most promising ways of outer space exploration is by means of a solar sail, which is a spacecraft with a thin mirror film of a large area. Since the solar radiation puts pressure on the film, the solar sail can move in space without wasting any propellant unlike a spacecraft with jet engines. Possible areas of the solar sail application are quite diverse: from geocentric maneuvers to interplanetary and even interstellar flights [1–9].

The development of new technologies makes possible the solar sail implication and evokes practical interest on researchers from different countries. In particular, the problems associated with the optimal control of the geo and heliocentric motion of spacecraft with a perfectly reflective solar sail, the calculation of the corresponding motion paths and sail orientation angles relative to the sun's rays were investigated [10–14]. At the moment, several solar sails projects have been successfully implemented, such as Russian Znamya-2 (1993) [15], Japanese IKAROS (2010) [8], American NanoSail-D2 (2010) [4] and LightSail (2015) [14].

However, in practice the surface of the sail is not perfectly reflective. The flux of solar electromagnetic radiation that falling on the sail surface is partially reflected, dissipated and absorbed by the sail material. In optics this ratio is described by the reflection, dissipation, and absorption coefficients. The magnitude of these coefficients depends on optical properties and thickness of the sail materials, the wavelength of the incident rays and the surface temperature. Thus, the value and direction of an acceleration produced by a practically possible solar sail substantially depends on optical characteristics and temperature of its surface, as well as on the position of the spacecraft relative to the Sun. The paper is devoted to study those dependencies.
2. Mathematical model

2.1. Direction and value of an acceleration produced by the plane solar sail with non-ideal reflectivity considering the temperature variation and sail’s degradation

The acceleration produced by the spacecraft with a flat non-ideal reflective sail due to the effect of solar radiation pressure is defined as the sum of two components: directed along the normal to the surface of the sail \(a_\perp\) and parallel to it in the plane passing through the radius vector \(a_\parallel\):

\[
a_\perp = 2 \frac{S_r}{cm} S \cdot \cos \vartheta \cdot (a_i \cos \vartheta + a_z)
\]

\[
a_\parallel = -2 \frac{S_r}{cm} S \cdot \cos \vartheta \cdot a_i \sin \vartheta
\]

\[
a_i = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \varrho)
\]

\[
a_z = \frac{1}{2} \left( B_f (1 - \zeta) \rho + (1 - \rho) \frac{\varepsilon_f B_f - \varepsilon_b B_b}{\varepsilon_f + \varepsilon_b} \right),
\]

\[
a_3 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \varrho)
\]

where \(S_r\) is a solar electromagnetic radiation flux density per unit area of a sail located at a heliocentric distance \(r\); \(c\) is the speed of light; \(m\) is the mass of the spacecraft; \(S\) is the sail surface area; \(\vartheta\) is the angle between the direction toward the Sun and the normal to the sail surface (installation angle); \(\varrho\) is the reflection coefficient; \(\varsigma\) is the specular reflection factor of sail surface that describes the amount of mirror-like reflection; \(\varepsilon_f\), \(\varepsilon_b\) are emission coefficients of the front and rear sail surfaces; \(B_f\), \(B_b\) are the non-Lambertian coefficients of the front and rear sail surfaces, which describe the angular distribution of the emitted and diffusely reflected photons. For the reflective front solar sail surface a well-reflecting aluminum or beryllium is usually chosen. On the contrary, for the rear surface a well-emitting chrome is usually chosen (to maintain a moderate sail temperature). For example, the paper [10] describes a solar sail project for a flight near the Sun with an aluminized face and chrome-plated back surface of the film. Such solar sail has next optical coefficients:

\[
\varrho = 0.88, \ \varsigma = 0.94, \ \varepsilon_f = 0.05, \ \varepsilon_b = 0.55, \ B_f = 0.79, \ B_b = 0.55.
\]

Non-ideal reflection off the sail surface leads to several negative effects:
- reducing a value of acceleration produced by the solar radiation pressure;
- narrowing a range of available acceleration angles relative to the direction of light flux;
- increasing an amount of light flux absorbed energy that leads to an increase in the surface temperature and speed-up the degradation process.

If we assume that optical characteristics of sail surface are constant throughout the whole spacecraft operation time, then the steady-state temperature of solar sail can be calculated according to the Stefan-Boltzmann law as [9]

\[
T = \left( \frac{S_r}{\sigma_{SB} \varepsilon_f + \varepsilon_b} \cos \vartheta \right)^{1/4} \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{3/2},
\]

where \(\sigma_{SB} = 5.67 \cdot 10^{-8}\) W·m\(^{-2}\)·K\(^{-4}\) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and \(r_0 = 1\text{AU} = 1.496 \cdot 10^{11}\) m corresponds to the distance from Earth to the Sun.

However, it was shown in [12] that when a sail is heated, its emissivity increases and equation (5) can be written as

\[
T = \left( \frac{S_r}{\sigma_{SB} \varepsilon_f + \varepsilon_b} \cos \vartheta \right)^{1/4} \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{2/5},
\]
At the same time, the surface of sail degrades due to influence of various space factors. In particular, the reflection coefficient worsens and the proportion of absorbed radiation increases accordingly. If we take into account only the solar radiation, then the change in optical characteristics can be calculated from the parametric dependencies proposed in [10–13]:

\[
\rho(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1 + de^{-\lambda(t)}}{1 + d} & \text{if } p \in \{\rho, \varsigma\}, \\
1 + d(1 - e^{-\lambda(t)}) & \text{if } p = \varepsilon, \\
1 & \text{if } p \in \{\varepsilon_{\rho}, B, B_s\},
\end{cases}
\]

(7)

where \( \Sigma(t) \) is the dimensionless total dose of solar radiation received during the flight; \( \lambda \) is the coefficient of degradation; \( d \) is the degradation factor. The dimensionless total dose of solar radiation is calculated as the ratio of the total radiation power received by the sail during the flight to the solar radiation power received by the 1 m\(^2\) area at a distance of 1 AU during one year \( \bar{\Sigma}_0 = 15.768 \times 10^{15} \) J

\[
\bar{\Sigma}(t) = \frac{\Sigma(t)}{\Sigma_0} = \frac{1}{1 \, \text{year}} \int_0^t \frac{\cos \vartheta(t)}{r^2} \, dt.
\]

(8)

The degradation coefficient \( \lambda \) is determined with accordance to half the life time of sail under the influence of solar radiation.

\[
\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{\Sigma},
\]

(9)

where \( \hat{\Sigma} \) is the dose of solar radiation, which leads to deterioration of optical characteristics by half, i.e. corresponds to the value of the optical characteristic \( \hat{\rho} = \frac{p_0 + p_{\infty}}{2} \).

The degradation factor \( d \) determines the value of optical characteristic \( p_{\infty} \) at which the sail should cease to function. Wherein

\[
\rho_{\infty} = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + d}, \quad \varsigma_{\infty} = \frac{\varsigma_0}{1 + d}, \quad \varepsilon_{p_{\infty}} = \varepsilon_{\rho_0} (1 + d).
\]

(10)

Even a preliminary analysis of the equations (1-10) shows that the acceleration from the solar sail and, consequently, sail control laws and corresponding trajectories of motion depend on optical characteristics of surface. In turn, optical characteristics depend on control laws and flight trajectories. Therefore, a comprehensive analysis of possible interplanetary missions of the spacecraft with a solar sail requires consideration of all listed interrelated parameters.

2.2. Calculation of optical characteristics for the solar sail made of a reflective film with given design parameters

Another problem is to determine optical characteristics of a film from which the solar sail will be manufactured and to choose suitable surface materials. The film from which solar sails are made has a thickness in the order of several microns and it is covered by metallic reflective and radiant surfaces with the thickness of several nanometers. Thus, the solar sail is a multilayer epitaxial structure containing quantum-dimensional layers. Ground testing of film samples is difficult, since the solar spectrum is significantly different on the Earth’s surface from the spectrum in interplanetary space. Under the protection of the Earth’s atmosphere, high-energy zones of the spectrum are lost, which in space can significantly influence the solar sail behavior.
To calculate optical characteristics of a multilayer solar sail film in case of a given wavelength radiation, we use the transition matrix method [16] based on the description of electromagnetic field by two linearly independent components, which are the electric and magnetic fields connected by the transition matrix. The transition matrix through the entire layered structure $M_{M}$ is equal to the product of transition matrices through separate layers $M_{i}$, starting with the illuminated (front) surface of the solar sail. In a non-magnetic environment (in the case of an S-polarized wave) the transition matrix is determined by the expression

$$
M^{S}_{M}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos f_{i} & -\frac{i}{n_{i} \cos \theta_{i}} \sin f_{i} \\
-i n_{i} \cos \theta_{i} \sin f_{i} & \cos f_{i}
\end{pmatrix} \quad (11)
$$

where $f_{i}$ is the phase accumulated by the wave when moving from one layer boundary to another, $k_{0}$ is the wave vector of light, $\omega$ is the cyclic frequency of light, $d_{i}$ is the layer thickness, $n_{i}$ is the complex refractive index, $\theta_{i}$ is the angle of light propagation in the layer, which is related to the incident on the structure angle $\theta_{0}$ by the Snell’s law.

In the case of a P-polarized wave, equation (7) takes the form

$$
M^{P}_{M} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos f_{i} & -\frac{i}{n_{i} \sin \theta_{i}} \sin f_{i} \\
-i \cos \theta_{i} \sin f_{i} & \cos f_{i}
\end{pmatrix} \quad (13)
$$

The reflection and transmission energy coefficients of all layers are calculated by the equations

$$
\rho(\omega) = \left| r(\omega) \right|^{2}, \quad r(\omega) = \frac{(M^{S}_{11} + M^{S}_{12} p_{1}) p_{0} - (M^{S}_{21} + M^{S}_{22} p_{1})}{(M^{S}_{11} + M^{S}_{12} p_{1}) p_{0} + (M^{S}_{21} + M^{S}_{22} p_{1})}, \quad (14)
$$

$$
\tau(\omega) = \frac{p_{1}}{p_{0}} \left| t(\omega) \right|^{2}, \quad t(\omega) = \frac{2 p_{0}}{(M^{S}_{11} + M^{S}_{12} p_{1}) p_{0} + (M^{S}_{21} + M^{S}_{22} p_{1})}, \quad (15)
$$

where for the S-polarized light $p_{0} = n_{0} \cos \theta_{0}$ and $p_{1} = n_{i} \cos \theta_{i}$. Meanwhile, for the P-polarized light instead of $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ in equations (14-15) it is necessary to substitute $q_{0} = \cos \theta_{0}/n_{0}$ and $q_{i} = \cos \theta_{i}/n_{i}$ respectively.

The complex refractive indices of the material in equations (11–14) depend on the frequency of the incident light. Experimental data published in the form of a public database on the website [17] were used as initial data for calculations. To calculate optical characteristics of the sail surface, which is under the action of the solar radiation entire spectrum, the following equation, which actually average the reflection and transmission coefficients with taking into account the spectral intensity of solar radiation, is used:

$$
\hat{\rho} = \frac{\int_{\omega} S(\omega) \rho(\omega) d\omega}{S}, \quad \hat{\tau} = \frac{\int_{\omega} S(\omega) \tau(\omega) d\omega}{S}, \quad (16)
$$

where $S(\omega)$ is the intensity of given solar radiation wave, which is considered as a constant for a given distance from the Sun and calculated according to the data of work [18].
3. Results

We consider the scenario where direction of the sun's rays coincide with the direction of the abscissa axis and a spacecraft weighing 100 kg uses a sail with an area of 10000 m² and flights at a distance of 1 AU from the Sun.

Figure 1 shows the tip of the acceleration vector produced by the solar radiation pressure \( a = (a_\perp, a_\parallel)^T \) in the boundary coordinate system when the sail angle changes. Acceleration from a perfect reflecting sail with a zero installation angle (perpendicular to the direction of solar radiation), which is in dimensional form equal to \( a_0 = 2 S_{\text{cm}} = 0.9107 \text{ mm/s}^2 \), is taken as a unit. The outer line corresponds to the acceleration from the perfectly-reflecting sail \( (\rho = 1) \). Internal lines correspond to surfaces with different reflection coefficients (0.95, 0.9, 0.85 and 0.8). The distance from each point of line to the origin of coordinates corresponds to the relative magnitude of acceleration compared to the acceleration of ideally reflecting sail with the same area. Figure 2 shows how the angle between the directions of acceleration varies from the forces of light pressure and the heliocentric radius vector of spacecraft depending on the installation angle of sail for the same values of reflection coefficient.

![Figure 1. The hodograph of the spacecraft acceleration from the forces of light pressure for different coefficients of reflection.](image1)

![Figure 2. The dependence of the angle between the acceleration direction and the radius-vector from the angle of solar sail.](image2)

Figure 3 shows the variation of the steady-state surface temperature of a solar sail with optical characteristics, which are described by the equation (4). Figure 3 depicts the dependence of the sail temperature (in ° K) from the heliocentric distance toward the spacecraft and the angle of sail, which calculation is shown in the equation (6).

Figure 4 demonstrates the change in the reflection coefficient of the solar sail due to degradation. We assumed that spacecraft performs periodic passive movement in a heliocentric orbit with a pericenter equal to the radius of the Mercury orbit and an aphelion equal to the average radius of the Earth’s orbit. The dependence of the reflection coefficient over 10 years from various factors of degradation is shown. The upper line corresponds to the degradation factor \( d = 0.05 \) for the sail with the original optical characteristics, as it is described by the equation (4). The bottom lines correspond to degradation factors \( d = 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25 \).

For the calculation of the optical coefficients, a kapton film with a thickness of 3 μm was considered, from the front and back sides of which a layer of aluminum and chromium 60 nm thick was deposited. Figures 5 shows the dependences of the reflection and absorption coefficients from the angle of light incidence on the sail surface for S-polarized (dashed line), P-polarized (dotted line) and non-polarized (solid line) electromagnetic radiation with a wavelength of 550 nm. Usually installation angle of solar sail for optimum control law varies from -40 to 40 degrees, therefore, according to figure 5, we can assume that reflectivity does not depend on installation angle in our flight simulations.
We performed a calculation of the reflection coefficient for whole solar spectrum and for chosen type of sail with fixed installation angle equals 36 degrees, which is the most common value for optimal control laws. Figure 6 shows the results of calculation. The range of spectrum is set from 0.2 to 2.4 μm, because this interval represents 96% of overall solar irradiance. According to equation (16) the averaged reflection coefficient of chosen solar sail is about $\rho = 0.92$.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 3.** The established surface temperature (K) plotted against the heliocentric distance and the installation angle.

**Figure 4.** Change of the sail reflection coefficient during the flight (in years).

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 5.** The reflection coefficient of the solar sail film depending on the angle of incidence of sunlight.

**Figure 6.** The absorption coefficient of the solar sail film, depending on the angle of incidence of sunlight.

As an example of the proposed mathematical model application, we consider the flight of a spacecraft to Mercury using the locally optimal sail control laws described in [14]. The start date of the heliocentric movement is January 25, 2022 and the duration of the heliocentric flight is 315.25 days; the date of reaching Mercury is 5 December, 2022. Motion modeling was carried out in two stages: the stage 1 is the shortest reduction of the major semiaxis as fast as possible (284.75 days); the stage 2 is the most rapid increase in eccentricity (30.5 days). The date of the heliocentric movement beginning was chosen from the condition of the spacecraft entering the Mercury sphere of action. The main results of the simulation are shown in Table 1 and in Figure 7.

Figure 7 shows the calculated trajectories of the terrestrial planets and the spacecraft controlled motion. Figure 8 shows the change in the steady-state surface temperature of the spacecraft. The lower curve in Figure 8 corresponds to the simulation without taking into account the sail degradation and the upper one is calculated taking into account the change in optical characteristics due to degradation.
Table 1. Initial data and results of calculation of the heliocentric flight to Mercury.

| Mission parameter                                      | Value   |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| The initial mass of the spacecraft, kg                  | 100     |
| The Sail area, m²                                      | 10000   |
| The coefficient of the front sail surface (Al) mirror reflection | 0.92    |
| The coefficient of the front sail surface (Al) secondary radiation | 0.05    |
| The coefficient of the rear sail surface (Cr) secondary radiation | 0.55    |
| Escape from Earth                                      | 25.01.2022 |
| The duration of stage 1 – reduction of the major semiaxis, days | 284.75 |
| Start date of the stage 2                              | 5.11.2022  |
| The duration of stage 2 – reduction of the orbit eccentricity, days | 30.5    |
| Date of reaching the target orbit                      | 5.12.2022  |
| Maximum established surface temperature of the sail Al/Cr, °K (excluding degradation / taking into account degradation of the sail) | 378 / 403 |

Figure 7. The flight trajectory of the spacecraft with a solar sail, which has given characteristics, to Mercury.

Figure 8. Comparison of the steady-state surface temperature of the solar sail with and without consideration of the sail degradation. The change of the curve color corresponds to a transition from the first to the second stage of flight.

4. Conclusion
The article describes the method developed by the authors for calculating the initial optical properties of the sail surface. We also consider optical characteristics variation as a result of sail degradation, changes in the surface temperature and overall effect on dynamic parameters of the spacecraft motion. Suggested mathematical model allows for a refined design and ballistic analysis of interplanetary missions powered by a solar sail. As an example, the calculation of the flight to Mercury were made.

The proposed transition matrix method for calculation optical characteristics of thin multilayered films allows to perform deep analyses of different solar sails structures efficiency depending on used materials and layers thickness. This method allows computation not only reflection and absorption coefficient, but also polarization indexes of multilayered nanostructures such as an interference polarizers [19]. Applying transition matrix method one can perform an accurate and quick analysis of optical characteristics for different multilayered thin structures with different purposes [20].
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