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Abstract
Currently, studies exploring factors associated with the cognition at some time point and no study identifying the trajectories of cognitive changes and factors might associate with the trajectories of cognitive changes in people. This study was to identify factors associated with the trend of cognitive decline in middle-aged and older people. In this cohort study, the data of 6954 subjects were collected from China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Survey database. Group-based trajectory modeling was applied for identifying three different trajectories of cognitive function change [high initial level and slow decline group (n = 1024), moderate initial level and moderate decline group (n = 2673) and low initial level and rapid decline (LRD) group (n = 3277)]. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify variables influencing factors of the trajectories of cognitive function in middle-aged and older people. The follow-up interval was 2 years from 2011 to 2015, and 3 years from 2015 to 2018 via face-to-face interview. High initial level and slow decline group versus LRD group, age (OR = 2.591, 95% CI: 1.962–3.421), gender (OR = 1.398, 95% CI: 1.133–1.725), education (OR = 0.051, 95% CI: 0.039–0.068), place of residence (OR = 1.163–4.606), disabled (OR = 1.557, 95% CI: 1.189–2.039), family annual income (OR = 0.757, 95% CI: 0.618–0.929), sleep duration (OR = 1.266, 95% CI: 1.023–1.567), instrumental activity of daily living impairment (OR = 2.513, 95% CI: 1.247–3.145), community activities participation (OR = 0.611, 95% CI: 0.500–0.748), depression (OR = 1.471, 95% CI: 1.185–1.828), and systolic blood pressure (OR = 1.005, 95% CI: 1.001–1.009) were factors influencing the trajectories of cognitive function. Comparing moderate initial level and moderate decline group and LRD group, age (OR = 1.245, 95% CI: 1.052–1.474), gender (OR = 1.244, 95% CI: 1.062–1.458), education (OR = 0.244, 95% CI: 0.190–0.314), marital status (OR = 1.291, 95% CI: 1.079–1.546), place of residence (OR = 1.677, 95% CI: 1.358–2.071), disability (OR = 1.396, 95% CI: 1.180–1.652), smoking (OR = 1.249, 95% CI: 1.071–1.457), family annual income (OR = 0.863, 95% CI: 0.768–0.970), sleep duration (OR = 1.215, 95% CI: 0.973–1.541), instrumental activity of daily living impairment (OR = 1.309, 95% CI: 1.088–1.560), community activities participation (OR = 0.804, 95% CI: 0.718–0.900) and depression (OR = 1.383, 95% CI: 1.217–1.571) were factors associated with the trajectories of cognitive function changes. Middle-aged and older adults who had characteristics associated with increased risk of cognitive decline might be provided with timely interventions.

Abbreviations: BADL = basic activities of daily living, BIC = Bayes criterion, CHARLS = China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Survey, GBTM = group-based trajectory modeling, HSD = high initial level and slow decline, IADL = instrumental activity of daily living, LRD = low initial level and rapid decline, Mean ± SD = Mean ± standard deviation, MMD = moderate initial level and moderate decline, MMSE = mini-mental state examination, SBP = systolic blood pressure.
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1. Introduction
Cognitive function is essential for older people, and cognitive impairment decreases the quality of life and results in the inability to live independently of older people.[1] To identify factors leading to the trend of cognitive decline in middle-aged and older people is essential to provide early interventions to those with a high risk of cognitive decline and prevent cognitive decline in those people. Previously, growing numbers of studies have revealed that the change
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of cognitive function was influenced by various factors. Stern believed that more education, higher job attainment, and an active and engaging lifestyle were protective factors of cognitive function. Another study also indicated that environment, especially long- or short-term exposure to different ambient air pollutants might decrease the cognitive function. However, the results from these studies were cross-sectional studies and no follow-up data were analyzed. Follow-up data can provide regular and timely feedback to clinicians to obtain the changes of cognition, which was essential for the timely interventions for decreasing the cognitive decline in people.

Group-based trajectory modeling (GBTM) is a kind of finite mixture model which categorizes individuals into latent groups with similar patterns of a repeated longitudinal measure. GBTM can evaluate the outcomes of diseases over time, which is a method of longitudinal data analysis widely applied in the clinic. Currently, studies exploring factors associated with the cognition at some time point. The follow-up endpoint was only one. However, cognition changes with time goes on, and to explore factors associated with the trajectories of cognitive changes was of more significance. There was no study identifying the trajectories of cognitive changes and factors might associate with the trajectories of cognitive changes in people.

In this study, the cognitive function of the middle-aged and older people was followed up for four times based on the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Survey (CHARLS) database, and GBTM was used to identify different trajectories of cognitive decline in subjects according to the cognitive function score from the four follow-ups. The influencing factors of the trends of cognitive change in all participants were analyzed via comparing the data in different trajectories.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and population

In this cohort study, the clinical data of 8752 subjects were collected from CHARLS database, a nationally representative panel survey of Chinese middle-aged and older people involved in 10,257 households in 150 counties/districts and 450 villages or urban communities across 28 provinces. The CHARLS was conducted in 2011, and Wave 2 was conducted in 2013, wave 3 in 2015 and wave 4 in 2018, which collected high-quality nationally representative data. All participants received a face-to-face interview through a structured questionnaire, including the evaluation on social, economic, and health status of all subjects. The baseline data for CHARLS were collected in 2011. 1798 (20.5%) patients were lost follow-up and 6954 participants were followed up to 2018 and finally included in our study. The CHARLS was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Peking University, and all participants signed an informed consent form before the start of the investigation. According to the Ethics Review Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University, retrospective studies using samples from an open database have been exempted from an ethical review. And the Ethics Review Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University has waived the requirement of the informed consent for the study.

2.2. Variables

All the data of the participants were collected from CHARLS database. The baseline characteristics were collected in 2011 and cognitive function scores (draw a figure, words recall and telephone interview for cognitive status [TICS] score) were collected in the four follow-ups between 2011 to 2018. The variable coding and data missing rates were shown in Table 1. Variables were collected including age (years), gender (males or females), education levels (primary school, junior high school or above), marital status (married/cohabited

| Variable | ID | ba002_1 | 0.00 |
|----------|----|---------|------|
| Age      | gender | 0.00 |
| Gender   | b001 | 0.00 |
| Education level | be001 | 0.00 |
| Marital status | bb006 | 0.00 |
| Place of residence | da050 | 0.00 |
| Disability status | da067 | 0.00 |
| Physical activities | da049 | 0.00 |
| Smoking status | da040 | 0.00 |
| Drinking status | da047 | 0.00 |
| Family annual income | da07_1 | 0.00 |
| Sleep duration | da07_2 | 0.00 |
| Hypertension | da07_3 | 0.00 |
| Hyperlipidemia | da07_4 | 0.00 |
| Diabetes mellitus | da07_5 | 0.00 |
| Number of chronic diseases | da07_6 | 0.00 |
| BADL | da07_7 | 0.00 |
| Participation in community activities | da07_8 | 0.00 |
| Depression | da07_9 | 0.00 |
| SBP | da07_10 | 0.00 |
| DBP | da07_11 | 0.00 |
| Spatial-cognitive ability | da07_12 | 0.00 |
| TICS | da07_13 | 0.00 |

BADL = basic activities of daily living, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure (mm Hg), TICS = telephone interview for cognitive status.
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2.4. Outcome variable and measurement
Cognitive decline was the primary outcome in the present
study. The measurement of cognitive function of participants in
CHARLS were conducted based on the Chinese version of
the mini-mental state examination (MMSE), which involves in
orientation to time (today's date [3 points], day of the week [1
point], and current season [1 point]); recall (immediate recall of a
list of 10 words, and delay recall of a list of 10 words; 10
points), calculation (5 points), and construct drawing (1 point). [11]
In total, four aspects were included in the test and
the total scores ranged from 0 to 21. Lower scores suggested a
poorer cognitive function.
2.5. Group-based trajectory modeling
The GBTM was used to identify similar developmental trajecto-
ries of cognitive function in all the participants. The survey wave
was used as a timescale of trajectories to simulate the cognitive
scores in the sample in all waves. First, a base model without
covariates was constructed to determine the number of groups
and the order of the polynomial functions of the survey wave.
The best-fitting model was considered as the trajectory group
with the highest probability, which was based on goodness-of-fit
statistics via the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) (the one
whose absolute value of BIC is closest to zero is selected as the
appropriate model). [12] The results of scree test indicated that the
trajectories of cognitive function change should be divided
into three groups and three different trajectories of cognitive
function change were identified based on GBTM. Second, each
participant was assigned to the corresponding trajectory group
according to the maximum likelihood estimation to estimate
the probability of producing variance in cognitive scores. Third,
univariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression analy-
thesis were applied to explore the influencing factors of cognitive
scores at different points.
2.6. Statistical analysis
The measurement data of normal distribution were described
as Mean ± standard deviation (Mean ± SD), and analysis of
variance was used for comparisons between groups. Non-
normal data were described by [M (Q1, Q3)], and Kruskal–
Walsi H rank sum test was adopted for comparisons between
groups. The enumeration data were described by n (%). Chi-
square test or Fisher S exact probability method were used for
comparison between groups. GBTM was applied to identify
the trajectories of cognitive function change and the trajectory
group with the highest probability was selected as the best fit-
ting model through the goodness of fit statistics of BIC. The
results of scree test indicated that the trajectories of cognitive
function change should be divided into three groups and three
different trajectories of cognitive function change were iden-
tified based on GBTM. Univariate logistic regression analysis
was conducted to identify variables with statistical differences
among groups followed by the multivariate analysis to explore
the influencing factors of the trajectories of cognitive function
changes in middle-aged and older people. SAS 9.4 software
(SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used for all statistical analy-
theses in this study and P < .05 indicated statistical difference.
3. Results
3.1. The baseline characteristics of all participants
A total of 8752 subjects were included in this study, who were
enrolled in 2011 and followed up to 2018, with a loss of fol-
low-up rate of 20.5%. Those who were lost follow-up was
excluded (n = 1798). Finally, 6954 subjects were included in the
study. Group-based trajectory modeling (GBTM) was applied
for identifying three different trajectories of cognitive func-
tion change (high initial level and slow decline [HSD] group
[n = 1024], moderate initial level and moderate decline [MMD]
group [n = 2673] and low initial level and rapid decline [LRD]
group [n = 3277]). The detailed screen process was shown in
Figure 1.
In total, there were 2801 (40.28%) subjects aged 45 to 54
years, 2756 (39.63%) aged 55 to 64 years and 1397 (20.09%) aged ≥ 65 years. Among them, 3711 (53.36%) persons were
males and 3243 (46.64%) were females. In terms of education
levels, 1076 (15.47%) persons were illiterate, 3017 (43.39%) were
graduated from primary school, 1888 (27.15%) were gradu-
ated from middle school, and 973 (13.99%) were graduated
from junior high school or above. There were 873 (12.55%)
unmarried/separated subjects. 633 (9.10%) people live in rural
areas and 977 (14.34%) people were disabled. 4002 (57.55%)
persons had a history of smoking and 4773 (64.32%) people had
a history of drinking. 1526 (21.94%) people were complicated
with hypertension, 645 (9.28%) people had hyperlipidemia and
395 (5.68%) people had diabetes mellitus. 3656 (52.57%)
subjects participated in community activities, and 2247 (32.31%)
persons had depression. 823 (11.83%) participants had BADL
impairment and 1038 (14.93%) had IADL impairment. 4523
(65.04%) people exercised 2 hours a day, 3180 (45.73%) people slept
for 9 hours a day, 3180 (45.73%) people did not take a nap, and
2375 (34.15%) people did not have chronic diseases. According to
the trajectories of cognitive function, all subjects were divided
into three groups: HSD group (n = 1024, 14.75%), moderate
initial level and moderate decline (MMD) group (n = 2673,
38.44%) and LRD group (n = 3277, 46.83%) (Table 1).
3.2. Changes in cognitive function and trajectories group of
cognitive function
The change trends of cognitive function in middle-aged and
older people were displayed in Figure 2, showing that the
cognitive function scores decreased gradually with the increase of
years, indicating that the cognitive function in middle-aged and
older people decreased gradually with the increase of age.
Based on GBTM, three different trajectories were determined according to BIC (Fig. 3). As exhibited in Figure 4, In the trajectory 1, the initial cognitive score was high and declined slowly, so it was defined as “high initial level and slow decline group” (HSD group, n = 3257, 46.83%). The initial cognitive score in trajectory 2 was moderate and declined slower than HSD group, so it was defined as “medium initial level and moderate decline group” (MMD group, n = 2673, 38.44%). The initial cognitive score in trajectory 3 was low and decreased quickly, so it was defined as “low initial level and rapid decline group” (LRD group, n = 1024, 14.73%).

### 3.3. Univariate analysis of factors influencing the trajectories of cognitive function changes among different groups

Table 2 showed that the distributions of different age groups ($\chi^2 = 382.744, P < .001$), female population (63.28% vs 48.04% vs 40.25%, $\chi^2 = 169.449, P < .001$), education level ($\chi^2 = 1780.244, P < .001$), the proportion of participants in rural areas (2.54% vs 5.72% vs 13.94%, $\chi^2 = 182.278, P < .001$), the proportion of disabled subjects (20.41% vs 16.72% vs 10.47%, $\chi^2 = 82.802, P < .001$), the proportion of smokers (68.36% vs 56.23% vs 55.23%, $\chi^2 = 58.030, P < .001$), alcohol drinkers (73.73% vs 65.06% vs 60.76%, $\chi^2 = 58.122, P < .001$), the proportion of people with hyperlipidemia (5.18% vs 8.12% vs 11.51%, $\chi^2 = 44.096, P < .001$), the proportion of people with diabetes mellitus (4.69% vs 5.01% vs 6.54%, $\chi^2 = 8.596, P = .014$), the proportion of subjects participating community activities (41.31% vs 49.87% vs 58.24%, $\chi^2 = 103.331, P < .001$), the proportion of people with depression (45.41% vs 36.85% vs 24.47%, $\chi^2 = 197.061, P < .001$), the proportion of people with BADL impairment (20.02% vs 13.02% vs 8.29%, $\chi^2 = 108.562, P < .001$), the proportion of people with IADL impairment (28.91% vs 16.27% vs 9.43%, $\chi^2 = 239.021, P < .001$), the proportion of people with family annual income ≥ 20,000 (41.89% vs 53.31% vs 64.35%, $\chi^2 = 181.717, P < .001$), sleep duration ($\chi^2 = 65.661, P < .001$), nap time ($\chi^2 = 74.270, P < .001$) and the average SBP level (134.75 mm Hg vs 129.17 mm Hg vs 128.01 mm Hg, F = 33.53, P < .001) were statistically different among HSD group, MMD group and LRD group.

### 3.4. Multivariate analysis of factors leading to the trajectories of cognitive function changes

The factors with statistical significance in the univariate analysis and important co-variable including exercise duration were included in the multivariate logistic regression model. The data delineated that HSD group versus LRD group, the risk of being assigned in HSD group was 2.591 times higher in people aged ≥ 65 years old (OR = 2.591, 95% CI: 1.962–3.421). The risk of being assigned in HSD group in women were 1.398 times higher than men (OR = 1.398, 95% CI: 1.133–1.725). Compared to subjects who were illiterate, people with primary school education were associated with a reduced risk of being assigned to HSD group by 0.949 times (OR = 0.949, 95% CI: 0.890–0.908). People with middle school education were associated with a reduced risk of being assigned to HSD group by 0.987 times (OR = 0.987, 95% CI: 0.909–0.991) and subjects with high school or above education was associated with a decreased risk of being assigned into HSD group by 0.991 times compared with non-disabled subjects (OR = 0.991, 95% CI: 0.949–0.958, P < .05). People with IADL impairment were associated with a 2.513 times higher risk of being assigned in HSD group (OR = 2.513, 95% CI: 1.947–3.245). People who participated in community activities were linked with a decreased risk of being assigned in HSD group by 0.471 times (OR = 0.471, 95% CI: 0.118–0.470). The risk of depression subjects being assigned to Group1 was increased by 0.471 times (OR = 1.649, 95% CI: 1.19.1–2.43). Participants who slept 6 to 8 h/d, subjects slept <6 h/d were associated with an increased risk of being assigned to Group1 by 2.266 times (OR = 2.266, 95% CI: 1.123–1.567), and the risk of being assigned to Group1 in subjects who slept >9 h/d were increased by 0.649 times (OR = 1.649, 95% CI: 1.119–2.431). People with IADL impairment were associated with a 2.513 times higher risk of being assigned in HSD group (OR = 2.513, 95% CI: 1.947–3.245). People who participated in community activities were linked with a decreased risk of being assigned in HSD group by 0.389 times (OR = 0.611, 95% CI: 0.500–0.748). The risk of depression subjects being assigned to Group1 was increased by 0.471 times (OR = 1.471, 95% CI: 1.185–1.828).
areas had a 1.677 times higher risk of being assigned to MMD group than those living in urban/urban areas (OR = 1.677, 95% CI: 1.358–2.071). Disabled people increased the risk of being assigned to MMD group by 0.396 times (OR = 1.396, 95% CI: 1.180–1.652), and smoking increased the risk of being assigned to MMD group by 0.249 times (OR = 1.249, 95% CI: 1.071–1.457). The family annual income ≥20,000 yuan reduced the risk of being assigned to MMD group by 0.137 times (OR = 0.863, 95% CI: 0.768–0.970). Compared to those who sleep 6 to 8 h/d, people who slept <6 h had a 1.215 higher risk of being assigned to MMD group (OR = 1.215, 95% CI: 0.973–1.541). Subjects with IADL impairment had a 1.309 higher risk of being assigned to MMD group (OR = 1.309, 95% CI: 1.166–1.464).
CI: 1.098–1.560), and those who were involved in community activities reduced the risk of being assigned to MMD group by 0.196 times (OR = 0.804, 95% CI: 0.718–0.900). Depression increased the risk of being assigned to MMD group by 0.383 times (OR = 1.383, 95% CI: 1.217–1.571) (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

Our study assessed the factors influencing the trajectories of cognitive decline function, and the results delineated that based on the GBTM model, three different trajectories of cognitive function was found in all participants and age, female, living in rural areas, low education level, disability, low family annual income, smoking, sleeping duration <6 hours, IADL impairment, depression, no community activities participation and SBP level were risk factors affecting the trajectories of cognitive decline in middle-aged and older adults. The findings of the present study might help identify people with a high risk of cognitive decline and provide timely interventions to prevent or decrease the cognitive decline in those people.

Aging is a natural process associated with cognitive decline. Previous studies have reported that an increased age may be correlated with a decreased cognition.\(^{[11]}\) A recent longitudinal study indicated that age was one of the important variable associated with cognitive function.\(^{[14]}\) In this study, age was also identified to be a risk factor for cognitive function in middle-aged and older people. This may because increased oxidative stress and neuroinflammation, and reduced neurogenesis and...
synaptic plasticity influenced the hippocampus in old people and decreased the ability in learning and memory consolidation, which may lead to a decreased cognition. A study from Li et al. indicated that females had a higher risk of cognitive decline than men in older people in Taiwan. This supported the findings of our study, showing females were more likely to suffer cognitive decline than men. Education level was reported to have a positive association with cognition. Education can provide improved cognitive reserve and access to more socioeconomic resources to people, which may help reduce the decline of cognitive function. A study investigating the association of place of residence and cognitive function among the adult population in India revealed that people living in urban areas were associated with better cognitive function. Here in, participants from rural areas showed a higher risk of cognitive decline than those from urban areas. Disabled older people have reduced mobility, less physical exercise, decreased social engagement, and less brain activity, which may result in a lower cognitive function. This gave support to the results of our study, demonstrating that disabled middle aged and older people were linked with a higher risk of cognitive decline. A collaboration network in Latin America evaluated the socioeconomic status of 1175 participants, which uncovered that subjects with a lower income were more related to cognition decline. In the present paper, we also found that in middle aged and older people, a lower income may lead to a decreased cognitive function. People with improved sleep duration may have a better cognitive function, but a cohort study in the Doetinchem also revealed that middle-aged people who had too long sleep duration may also result in a lower cognitive function. This was allied with the data in this study, people slept <6 or >9 h/d were associated with a higher risk of cognitive decline compared with people slept 6 to 8 h/d. Sleep duration should be control for middle-aged and older adults to reduce the risk of cognition decline. For those who with sleep disorders, sleep monitoring should be performed and appropriate interventions or medicines helping sleep may be adopted.

Previously, Zhang et al. provided evidence that the total score of IADL was a predictor of cognitive function, and a higher IADL score predicted a better cognitive function. In the current study, IADL impairment was also a risk factor for cognitive function in middle age and older people. Middle aged and older adults are recommended to do more instrumental activity to prevent the cognitive decline. Additionally, people engaged in more leisure activities or social activities were reported to have a low risk of cognitive decline because of enhanced cognitive reserve capacity. Poor social networks were found to have longitudinal association with higher risk of cognitive decline.
According to the results in our study, middle-aged and older people with more community activity were less likely to suffer cognitive decline. Thus, middle aged and older people should be more active to take part in leisure or social activities. Here in, unmarried/separated middle aged and older people also have a higher risk of cognition decline, which was evidenced by previous studies, showing that widowed or divorced people were also correlated with a poor cognitive function. In a previous study, the data revealed that smoking history was associated with the cognitive function of patients with multiple sclerosis. In our study, smoking was reported to be a risk factor for cognition decline, and this reminded middle aged and older people to quit smoking. Higher SBP was also identified to decrease the cognitive function in middle aged and older people, which was allied with a previous systematic review and meta-analysis, delineating that lowering blood pressure was benefit for the prevention of cognitive impairments. Patients with hypertension should be cautious with the blood pressure and effective control of the blood pressure should be performed. Depressive symptoms were associated with worse cognitive function in US adults. This gave support to the results of our study, showing depression was a risk factor for cognition decline and patients with depressive symptoms should receive timely interventions to prevent the occurrence of cognition decline.

The present study evaluated the factors influencing the trajectories of cognitive function in middle aged and older population based on GBTM. Previously, studies were mainly focused on exploring the factors affecting cognitive function, but they neglected that cognitive function changes over time. GBTMs are widely applied for identifying the developmental course of symptoms and evaluate the heterogeneity in response to clinical interventions in clinical researches. In our study, GBTMs could clearly observe the trajectories of cognitive function at different time points. The factors associated with the trajectories of cognitive function were identified rather than the factors influencing the cognitive function at some point in time. The data delineated that participants in the trajectory 1 showed a higher initial cognitive score and the cognitive function declined slower. For people who already had these risk factors, early interventions...
such as taking part in more community activities especially in males should be provided and should pay attention on the cognitive function changes over time. For the government, organizing more various communities attracting more old adults to join is of great significance. Governments should also ensure the basic insurance for common people. Depression screening was also important, and ear identify those who depression and offer proper anti-depression treatment might help decrease the risk of cognitive decline. People especially females should get enough sleep, for those without 6 to 8h/d, a nap was recommended. There were several limitations in this study. Firstly, the lost follow-up rate was as high as 20.5%, which might influence the reliability of results in our study. Secondly, variables such as community activities participation and sleep duration were self-rating, which might have recall bias. In the future, prospective randomized controlled trial with large sample size were required to verify the findings of our study.

5. Conclusions
Age, gender, place of residence, education level, disability, family annual income, smoking, sleeping duration, IADL impairment, depression, community activities participation and SBP were factors influencing the trajectories of cognitive decline in middle-aged and older adults. The findings of our study might also provide a reference for the government to organize more community activities and ensure the coverage of basic insurance of comment people.
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