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Abstract: In 2016, the WHO introduced new guidelines for the diagnosis of brain gliomas based on new genomic markers. The addition of these new markers to the pre-existing diagnostic methods provided a new level of precision for the diagnosis of glioma and the prediction of treatment effectiveness. Yet, despite this new classification tool, glioblastoma (GBM), a grade IV glioma, continues to have one of the highest mortality rates among central nervous system tumors. Metabolomics is a particularly promising tool for the analysis of GBM tumors and potential methods of treating them, as it is the only “omics” approach that is capable of providing a metabolic signature of a tumor’s phenotype. With careful experimental design, cell cultures can be a useful matrix in GBM metabolomics, as they ensure stable conditions and, under proper conditions, are capable of capturing different tumor phenotypes. This paper reviews in vitro metabolomic profiling studies of high-grade gliomas, with a particular focus on sample-preparation techniques, crucial metabolites identified, cell culture conditions, in vitro-in vivo extrapolation, and pharmacometabolomics. Ultimately, this review aims to elucidate potential future directions for in vitro GBM metabolomics.
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1. Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is one of the most aggressive and difficult-to-treat central nervous system (CNS) brain tumors. Since 2007, the World Health Organization (WHO) has classified gliomas based on their cell type and aggressiveness, with Class I consisting of benign tumors, and Class IV comprising the most aggressive types of tumors. GBM is a Class IV brain tumor [1]. While this classification system allows clinicians to determine appropriate treatments and prognoses, years of studies have indicated that this approach should be supplemented with genetic testing, as it lacks adequate specificity on its own. As a result, in 2016 the WHO introduced a novel CNS grading system that provided a level of precision surpassing all known CNS diagnostic and classification methods. This novel grading system incorporated new genetic markers—for example, IDH1/IDH2, O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), and epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR)—thereby allowing clinicians to differentiate tumors not only by their cell type and aggressiveness, as was possible with pre-existing methods, but also by the genetic phenotype of the neoplastic cells, thus providing better correlation with the tumor prognosis [2]. Despite this new, improved diagnostic system, GBM continues to be the most lethal primary malignant CNS tumor. Indeed, in the USA, patients diagnosed with GBM have an average life-expectancy of eight months, with only 7.2% surviving beyond five years of diagnosis [3].

The treatment of GBM remains a challenge, as newly proposed drugs must meet specific requirements, such as being able to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and efficiently infiltrating the tumor. GBM tumors are known for their complex structure, which is the result of a demanding growth environment. Other features of GBM tumors...
that make them so challenging to treat include high proliferation indices, angiogenesis, and pseudopalisading necrosis [4]. Intratumoral hypoxia is caused by rapid cell proliferation and vascular collapse, and it induces the expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1), which is responsible for regulating many key processes involved in tumor progression and invasion. Among these processes, metabolic reprogramming appears to be critical in understanding the resistance of GBM tumors to chemotherapy and radiation therapy [5]. The most commonly used method of treating GBM is tumor resection followed by radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy with temozolomide (TMZ) [6], an alkylating agent that targets cells undergoing intense proliferation. TMZ works by inducing DNA methylation, which in turn arrests the cell cycle and, consequently, induces apoptosis, autophagy and senescence [7]. Since the methylation of the O\(^{\text{6}}\) position of guanine caused by TMZ can only be repaired by the enzyme, MGMT [8], tumors expressing MGMT may exhibit a natural resistance to TMZ. However, resistance to TMZ can still develop over time, even in tumors that responded positively to treatment with it. Studies examining the role of hypoxia in TMZ resistance have found that, while hypoxia mediates some important processes that facilitate TMZ resistance in GBMs, the tumors can be resensitized via hyperoxia [9–11]. Similarly, anti-angiogenesis-based therapies such as targeted therapy using the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor, bevacizumab are also susceptible to the same problem of resistance due to hypoxia. As with TMZ, bevacizumab resistance has also been linked to hypoxia [12,13]. Moreover, GBM tumors are difficult to treat due to their heterogeneous nature. In particular, their concentration of glioma stem-like cells (GSCs) can pose a distinct challenge, as these cells possess properties that allow them to change their cellular phenotypes in response to existing microenvironment conditions. This plasticity has also been linked to hypoxia [14,15]. The key role played by hypoxia in regulating the microenvironments of many different types of tumors has led researchers to focus greater amounts of attention on the potential of therapies targeting hypoxic regions [16].

The metabolomic reprogramming of cancer cells is a well-known phenomenon. The stressful environment created by hypoxia generally impairs oxidative phosphorylation and TCA cycle activity in the intensely proliferating tumor cells and enhances glycolysis and lactic acid production. This phenomenon, also known as the Warburg effect, is indirectly strengthened by HIF-1 expression in hypoxic environments. However, it remains unclear how exactly hypoxia influences the metabolomic reprogramming of tumor cells. As such, the development of models that more accurately represent tumor microenvironment metabolomics is required [17–19].

Metabolomics, along with genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics, comprise the group of sciences known as “Omics.” Metabolomics focuses on the analysis of small molecules (<1.5kDa) produced as a result of metabolism [20]. It is possible to obtain a relatively full picture of the state of a given cell or tissue by analyzing its endogenous and exogenous metabolites [21]. The great advantage of metabolomics is that the metabolome accurately mirrors the phenotype and influence of factors external to the analyzed cell, which cannot be captured as precisely with genomics or proteomics [22].

Recently, in vitro studies using both established GBM cell lines and primary GBM cells have been gaining in popularity due to rapid developments in 3D in vitro culture techniques. One reason for this surge in popularity is that 3D culture systems provide a more accurate microenvironment, as they capture important cell-matrix and cell-cell interactions that are absent from cells cultured as a two-dimensional monolayer (2D) [23,24]. However, there are many challenges that must be overcome in order to efficiently conduct metabolomic research using in vitro cell cultures, both 2D and 3D. For example, metabolomics requires careful experimental design with regards to cell culture normalization, cell disruption, metabolism quenching, and metabolome extraction [25]. 2D cell culture is a well-known model for in vitro studies that is easier to normalize, opposed to 3D cell cultures, where each cell spheroid can have different cell number, size, and shape. Standard monolayer culture is also easy to conduct, as protocols for culturing
and testing 2D cell cultures were well established through the years. In turn, 3D cell culture reflects in vivo tumor complexity better, yet it is a relatively new culture method and standard culturing and testing protocols are yet to be established. Nevertheless, with appropriate experimental design, metabolomics of GBM cell cultures can deliver information about alternate metabolic pathways, potential biomarkers, and with proper in vitro-in vivo extrapolation (IVIVE), drug development and repurposing.

This review provides an overview of the major sample-preparation methods for metabolomics analysis, and analyzes promising metabolomics studies with GBM cell lines within the context of the potential biomarkers, therapeutic targets, and IVIVE.

2. Sample Preparation for In Vitro Studies

Investigations of the metabolomes of various GBM cell lines consist of two parts: extracellular and intracellular. The extracellular investigation is performed using a cell-culture medium that is simply pulled after cell growth, followed by an optional centrifugation step and the addition of an organic solvent for LC-MS and GC analysis (e.g., methanol, acetonitrile) [26–28]. An additional derivatization step is required for GC analysis [27,29], while medium filtration with either deuterated water [30], deuterated water with sodium 3-trimethylsilyl [2,2,3,3-2H4] propionate (TMS), sodium 3-(trimethylsilyl)propionate-2,2,3,3-d4 (TSP), or sodium (2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonate) (DSS) is required for nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis [31]. The extracts are subjected to ultracentrifugation prior to LC and GC analysis in order to remove proteins and debris (e.g., from serum used in medium or cell debris). In one case, extracellular amino acid profiling was performed via protein precipitation with sulfosalicylic acid, followed by labelling with aTRAQ™ agent [32].

The first step in most documented intracellular analysis protocols entails washing the sample in cold PBS solution in order to quench the metabolism of cells, which prevents alterations to metabolomics patterns from further manipulation. After this initial metabolism-quenching step one of two major approaches can be employed: examining cell detachment, or directly applying cold organic solvent to the surface of the growing cells. Cell detachment is assessed via trypsinization or manual cell scraping, followed by the addition of a solvent. These two steps are sometimes combined by adding the organic solvent directly onto the cell culture plate/Petri dish, followed by cell scraping. Next, the sample is transferred into tubes and vortexed/shaken, followed by ultracentrifugation in order to remove any debris. After ultracentrifugation, the samples are evaporated and either (1) reconstituted with a solvent that is compatible with liquid chromatography, (2) derivatized and injected on gas chromatography, or (3) reconstituted with deuterated water spiked with TSP [33–35], TMS [36,37], DSS [38], and propionic-2,2,3,3,4 acid [38] or TMS [39] for nuclear magnetic resonance analysis. Aside from the above-described simple liquid-liquid extraction approach, researchers have also employed a dual-phase extraction approach. Briefly, this protocol entails the sequential addition of methanol, chloroform, and water (adding order varied) to a final ratio of 1:1:1 v/v/v, followed by sample mixing and centrifugation to separate the upper phase, which contains water-soluble polar metabolites, from the lower chloroform phase, which contains non-polar/lipid compounds. After separation, one or both phases are transferred into separate vials, evaporated, and reconstituted. The methanol:water phase can be further cleaned using divalent ions from Chelex-100 resin [40]. Another unique approach was developed by Izquierdo-Garcia et al. [33], wherein U87 and Normal Human Astrocytes (NHA) cells were incubated in a medium containing 1-13C-glucose or L 3-13C-glutamine (Gln) in order to allow these isotopes to be incorporated into low-molecular-mass compounds, which were further determined via 13C-MRS. In addition, Izquierdo-Garcia et al. [32] also used 2-13C-pyruvic acid for their hyperpolarized 13C-MRS experiments. They performed their MRS experiments using a perfusion system, which enabled the medium to circulate from the cells immobilized on the bead and into a 10-mm MR tube [33,41]. Summarizing, sample preparation among described articles is not sophisticated as the extraction is
driven by the partitioning of compounds from sample into an organic solvent. Next, a clean-up is performed, in most cases by centrifugation, followed by manipulation needed for particular instrumental platform, e.g., evaporation and resuspension in deuterated water for NMR or derivatization for GC, etc. Despite the simplicity, a high number of compounds were found and described by authors. An updated list of the sample preparation methods for an in vitro extra- and intracellular metabolome are described in Tables 1 and 2.
### Table 1. Metabolites detected in in vitro GBM by metabolomics.

| Project Goal       | Sample Prep                                                                 | Instrumental Analysis                                                                 | Cell Culture Model | Cell Source | Compounds Found                                                                 | IVIVE | Reference |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Cells differentiation | Intracellular metabolome: PBS wash, MeOH addition, snap freeze in liquid nitrogen, thaw, vortex, centrifugation, supernatant collection, resuspension of cell pellet with water, combining of supernatant and pellet, centrifugation, supernatant transfer and evaporation, reconstitution in 80% MeOH | LC-MS/MS Q-Exactive Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) ACQUITY UPLC CSH C18 column (2.1 mm x 100 mm, 1.7 mm, Waters); QTRAP 5500 (AB Sciex, Milford, MA, USA) Synergi Hydro-RP column (4.6 mm 250 mm, 4 mm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) | 2D U87MG U87MG GSCs | 2D U87MG U87MG GSCs | Kynurenine; L-Formylkynurenine; Stearoylcarnitine; Propionylcarnitine; Gamma-Glu-Leu; Acetylcarnitine; Carnitine; Tetradecanoylcarnitine; NAD; LPC (18:0); Pantothenic acid; LPE (18:0); Glutathione; Hypoxanthine Xanthosine; XMP; LPC (15:0); Oxidized glutathione; trans-2-Hexadecenoyl-carnitine; Spermidine; ADP; N-Oleylethanolamine; LPC (14:0); trans-Cinnamic acid; LPC (20:1); Proline; Valine; 2-Hydroxycinnamic; Leucine; IMP; D-Glucose 6-phosphate; LPC (22:6); Pentanoylcarnitine; Palmitoylcarnitine; Oleoylcarnitine; Guanosine; Methionine sulfoxide; Guanine; Pyrrolidonecarboxylic acid; Creatine; GMP; UMP; N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine; Choline; Tryptophan; Indoleacrylic acid; Glycerophosphocholine; | ND | [42] |
### Biomarker discovery

**Quenching:**
- Ice-cold PBS wash, MeOH add, mechanical scraping chloroform and water add, vortex, orbital shake, centrifugation, transfer of polar phase (methanol:water) into separate vial, evaporation, reconstitution with deuterated water (with 1.5 M KH2PO4 and 0.1% TSP), vortex, centrifugation, supernatant analysis.

| Metabolites | Methodology | Instrument | 2D | ND |
|-------------|-------------|------------|----|----|
| 5′-Methylthioadenosine; Phenylalanine; UDP-N-acetyl-glucosamine; Pantothenic acid; LPE (18:1); UDP-glucose; Tyrosine; N1-Acetylspermine; N1-Acetylspermidine | 1H NMR Bruker Avance III600 MHz spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA) | CHG5 SHG44 U87 U118 U251 | | [34] |

### Drug treatment

**Extracellular metabolome:**
- Cell culture medium collection, centrifugation, store (−80 °C), addition of Na2HPO4:deuterated water and TMSP, pH adjustment with HCL.

**Intracellular metabolome:**
- Cell pellet ice-cold PBS wash x4, trypsinization, centrifugation, reconstitution with buffer, sonication, centrifugation, freeze.

| Metabolites | Methodology | Instrument | 2D | ND |
|-------------|-------------|------------|----|----|
| Valine; Leucine; Isoleucine; Lysine; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glutathione; Threonine; Tyrosine; Phenylalanine; Taurine; Creatine; Lactate; Glycerophosphocholine; Myo-inositol; Formate; Acetate | 1H NMR Bruker 900-MHz spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA) | GL261 | | [31] |
| Biomarker discovery | Extracellular metabolome: Frozen supernatant (−80 °C) thaw, MeOH:water (9:1) add, | GC-TOFMS | 2D | LN229 SNB19 GAMG | Pyrophosphate; Erythrose-4-Phosphate; | ND | [29] |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Targeted approach   | Untargeted approach CE-MS Agilent 7100 coupled with 624 TOF-LC/MS (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) |        |   | 2D U251 U87    | Cysteine; Hypotaurine; Taurine; Cystine; Cysteinesulfonic acid |        | [43] |
| Targeted intracellular metabolome: cold PBS wash, cold MeOH:water add, mechanical scraping, transfer into tube, chloroform add, sonication, centrifugation, lyophilization, dissolving with MeOH:water, derivatization with AccQTag kit (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) | | | | | | | |

**Biomarker discovery**

deuterated water with H2O containing 10mM TMSP add
glycine; l-sistidine; l-isoleucine; lactate; l-leucine; l-lysine; malate; l-methionine; methylxovalerate; myo-inositol; niacinamide; Purvivate; Succinate; l-phenylalanine; Phosphocreatine; l-threonine; l-tyrosine; l-tryptophan; l-valine;

**Extracellular metabolome:**

Frozen supernatant (−80 °C) thaw, MeOH:water (9:1) add,
| Negative vs. ASS | Positive GBM | Agilent 6980 GC (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) | TOFMS (Leco Corp, St Joseph, MI, USA) | DB5-MS Column (10 m x 0.18 mm x 0.18 µm, J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) | Glucaric Acid; 1,4 Lactone; Ribofuranose; Ribose; Ribose-5-Phosphate; Putrescine; Spermidine; Adenine; Hypoxanthine; Uracil; Uridine; Erythritol; Taurine; Tryptophan; Tyrosine; Arginine; Ammonia; Proline; Arginine; Asymetrical-N,N-Dimethylarginine; Citrulline; Ornithine; Citrulline; N-Acetylornithine; Ornithine; 2-Oxoisocaproic Acid; Isoleucine; Leucine; Valine; 1,2-Ethanidine; 1,3,5-Trioxepane; 1-Monostearoylglycerol; 2-Pyrrolidine-5-Carboxylic Acid; Aminomalonic Acid; Cadaverine; Cellotriose; Dihydroxyacetonephosphate; Elaidic Acid; Glucopyranos; N-Acetyl Glutamyl Phosphate; Nonanoic Acid; Phosphoric Acid; Pyrazine; Stearic Acid; Xylitol |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Subtype         | determination | Intracellular metabolome:                   | ¹H NMR Bruker Avance III 400 MHz spectrometer, | 2D LN229 VLN319 | Taurine; Glutamine; UDP; Glutamate; Choline; Citric acid; Phosphocholine; Aspartate; ND [30] |
| Normal Human    | Astrocytes   | Cell harvest by scraping, PBS wash x2, centrifugation, incubation on ice, suspension | 2D GC-TOFMS Pegasus 4D (Leco Corp, St Joseph, MI, USA) coupled with Agilent 6890 GC (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, GA, USA) Column BPX-50 (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm, SGE) Column VF-1MS (1.5 m x 0.15 mm x 0.15 µm; J&W Scientific Inc, Folsom, CA, USA) | Leco Pegasus III TOFMS (Leco Corp, St Joseph, MI, USA) | Normal Human Astrocytes (NHA) |
| Drug treatment          | Intracellular metabolome: Ice-cold PBS wash, cell scraping, centrifugation, cold PBS wash, snap freeze in liquid N2, deuterated water add | Glycerophosphocholine; Asparagine; Glycine; Methionine; myo-Inositol | Glycine; Methionine; myo-Inositol |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                        | in ice-cold acetonitrile (50%), incubation on ice, centrifugation, evaporation, dissolve in deuterium oxide (Billerica, MA, USA) | Valine; Glutamate; Leucine; Citric acid; Isoleucine; Aspartate; Alanine; Asparagine; Lactate; Methionine | Valine; Glutamate; Leucine; Citric acid; Isoleucine; Aspartate; Alanine; Asparagine; Lactate; Methionine |
|                        | HG683; LN405                                                                                                      |                                                                      | HG683; LN405                     |
|                        | A172; U343; LN18                                                                                                   |                                                                      | A172; U343; LN18                 |
| Culture conditions evaluation | Intracellular metabolome: PBS wash, cold MeOH add, cell scraping, transfer into tube, chloroform add, vortex, water add, vortex, transfer of water:MeOH phase, Chelex-100 add, centrifugation, lyophilization, resolving in 1 H NMR Bruker Avance III HD 600 spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA) | Succinic acid; Glycerol 3-phosphate; Serine; Glucose; Adenine; cis-Aconitic acid; Taurine; GABA; Lysine; Proline; Tyrosine | Succinic acid; Glycerol 3-phosphate; Serine; Glucose; Adenine; cis-Aconitic acid; Taurine; GABA; Lysine; Proline; Tyrosine |
|                        | 2D and 3D U87                                                                                                      |                                                                      | 2D and 3D U87                   |
|                        | 1 H NMR Varian 600MHz (14.1 T) spectrometer, (Oxford, UK)                                                        |                                                                      | 1 H NMR Varian 600MHz (14.1 T) spectrometer, (Oxford, UK) |
|                        | 2D BT4C (rat)                                                                                                      |                                                                      | 2D BT4C (rat)                   |
|                        | 2D and 3D U87                                                                                                      |                                                                      | 2D and 3D U87                   |
|                        | 1 H NMR Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA)                                                      |                                                                      | 1 H NMR Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA) |
|                        | Acetate; Alanine; Aspartate; Choline; Creatine; Glutathione; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glycerophosphocholine; Glycine; Lactate; myo-Inositol; PC; Peth; Scyillo-Inositol; Succinate; Taurine; Hypotaurine; Guanosine | Acetate; Alanine; Aspartate; Choline; Creatine; Glutathione; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glycerophosphocholine; Glycine; Lactate; myo-Inositol; PC; Peth; Scyillo-Inositol; Succinate; Taurine; Hypotaurine; Guanosine | Acetate; Alanine; Aspartate; Choline; Creatine; Glutathione; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glycerophosphocholine; Glycine; Lactate; myo-Inositol; PC; Peth; Scyillo-Inositol; Succinate; Taurine; Hypotaurine; Guanosine |
|                        | 2D and 3D U87                                                                                                      |                                                                      | 2D and 3D U87                   |
|                        | Adenine; myo-inositol; Glycine; PC; Glycerophosphocholine; Free choline; Total choline; Total creatine; Glutathione; Glutamine; Glutamate; N-acetylaspartylglutamate; Alanine; Lactate; Threonine; Valine/isoleucine; | Adenine; myo-inositol; Glycine; PC; Glycerophosphocholine; Free choline; Total choline; Total creatine; Glutathione; Glutamine; Glutamate; N-acetylaspartylglutamate; Alanine; Lactate; Threonine; Valine/isoleucine; | Adenine; myo-inositol; Glycine; PC; Glycerophosphocholine; Free choline; Total choline; Total creatine; Glutathione; Glutamine; Glutamate; N-acetylaspartylglutamate; Alanine; Lactate; Threonine; Valine/isoleucine; |

[44]
| Biomarker discovery — IDH1 wildtype | 2D and 3D | U87 | NHA BT54 BT142 | Glutamate; 2-Hydroxyglutarate | ND | [45] |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----|----------------|-----------------------------|----|-----|
| Live cells metabolomic: 1-13C-glucose and L-3-13C-glutamine or 2-13C-pyruvic acid add to cell culture medium | 13C-MRS | 500 MHz INOVA spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) | 1H MRS | 13C-MRS spectra | 500 MHz Avance spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Billerica, MA, USA) |
| Intracellular metabolome: 1-13C-glucose or 3-13C-glutamine add to cell culture medium, cell trypsinization, centrifugation, cold MeOH addition, vortex, cold chloroform add, cold water add, transfer of MeOH:water phase, lyophilization, reconstitution with deuterated water with TSP | | | | | |

| Biomarker discovery | 2D | U87 | Citric acid; Cis-aconitic acid; Succinate; Fumarate; Malate; Glucose-6-phosphate; Phosphoenolpyruvic acid; Pyruvate; Lactate; Isoleucine; Leucine; Lysine; Methionine; Phenylalanine; Threonine; Tryptophan; Valine; Cysteine; Tyrosine; Histidine; Alanine; Asparagine; Aspartate; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glycine; Proline; Serine; Ornithine; | ND | [46] |
|---------------------|----|-----|----------------|-----------------------------|----|-----|
| Intracellular metabolome: saline wash, cell scraping, transfer into tube, saline wash, centrifugation, cold MeOH:chloroform:water add, centrifugation, resuspension, sonication, centrifugation, supernatant transfer for derivatization and analysis | | | | | |
| Drug treatment | Hexadecanoic acid; Octadecanoic acid; Octodecenoic acid; Phosphatidyl-l-serine; Ethanolamine; Cholesterol; Glycerol; Glycerol-3-phosphate |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Drug treatment | Phosphorylcholine; Glycerol-3-phosphate; Serine; Choline; Histidine; Succinate; Taurine; Tryptophan; Glycine; Glutathione—reduced; Citric acid; Glutamine; Phosphorylcholine; Leucine; Choline; Lysine; Isoleucine; Alanine; Proline; Glycerol-3-phosphate; Phosphorylcholine; Aconitate; Taurine; Tryptophan; Alanine; Threonine; Valine; Acetone; Aconitate; Adenine; Adenosine; Alanine; Arginine; Asparagine; Choline; Citric; Creatine; Ethanol; Glucose; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glutathione—oxidized; Glutathione—reduced; Glycerol-3-phosphate; Glycerophosphocholine; Glycine; Histidine; Isocitrate; Isoleucine; Lactate; Leucine; Lysine; Methionine; myo-Inositol; Oxoglutarate; Phenylalanine; Phosphorylcholine; Proline; Serine; Succinic Acid; Taurine; Threonine; Tryptophan; Valine |
| Drug treatment | Most compounds were found in primary GBM tissue [47] |

**Table:**

| Drug treatment | 1H NMR Bruker Avance III 400 MHz spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA) | 2D |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|----|
| Drug treatment | A172, LN18, LN71, LN229, LN319, LN405, U373, U373R* |    |
| Drug treatment | Metabolite | Instrumentation | 2D | 3D | ND | Reference |
|----------------|------------|-----------------|----|----|----|-----------|
| *Scrapping with cold PBS in deuterated water, 2x wash, filling 50 μL inserts with cells, snap-freezing* | HR-MAS Bruker 500 MHz spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA) | 2D | U87 | myo-Inositol; Glycerophosphocholine; Lipids; CH = CH; CH = CHCH2CH = CH | ND | [48] |
| *Intracellular metabolome:* PBS wash, cold MeOH:water (4:1), ultracentrifugation, transfer into vial | LC-MS Agilent 1290, Agilent 6520 TOF (Santa Clara, CA, USA) column: Waters Acquity UPLC BEH (bridged ethyl hybrid) Amide 1.7 μm 2.1 x 100 mm HILIC, (Milford, MA, USA) | 2D | Res259 Res186 BT66 JHH-NF1-PA1 | Glutamine; Glutamate; Glutathione | Achieved — similar pathways were found in vivo in patient derived xenograft in mice | [49] |
| *Intracellular phosphometabolome:* Cold saline wash, trypsinization, centrifugation, perchloric acid add, sonication, neutralization with KOH, ultracentrifugation, Chelex-100 add, filtration, pH adjustment, lyophilization, dissolving in deuterated water | 31P MRS Varian Inova500, (Oxford, UK) | 2D, 3D and cocultures | C6 | Phosphatidic acid; Cardiolipin; Plasmenyl phosphatidylethanolamine; phosphatidylethanolamine; Phosphatidylserine; Sphingomyelin; Phosphatidylcholine; Phosphatidylcholine | ND | [50] |
| *Intracellular phospholipidome:* Cold saline wash, cell scrapping, transfer to tube | | | | | | |
| **Drug treatment** | **Biomarker discovery** |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| prefilled with cold MeOH, chloroform add, shake, separation funnel filter, KCL wash, overnight separation, chloroform phase collection, evaporation, dissolving in chloroform, MeOH:EDTA add | Intracellular metabolome: Cell dissociation, PBS wash, centrifugation, freeze, upon analysis deuterated water add |
| cell centrifugation, pellet resuspension in water, MeOH:chloroform with BHT add, periodical vortex, chloroform and KCL add, vortex, centrifugation, chloroform phase collection, evaporation, reconstitution in MeOH:chloroform (1:1) | NMR Bruker Avance III spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, 2D, 3D and mixed 2D/3D) Primary glioblastoma |
| LTQ-Orbitrap Elite instrument 538 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a robotic 539 nanoflow ion source TriVersa NanoMate (Advion BioSciences, Ithaca, NY, USA quantification with GC-MS GCMS-QP2010, Shimadzu, (Japan), column: 10m×0.1mmID, 0.2 μm film thickness | 2D, 3D U87 Acetate; Alanine; Choline; Creatine; GABA; beta-Glucose; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glycerophosphocholine; Glycine; lactate; myo-Inositol; N- | Cholesteryl ester; Cardiolipin; Glucosylceramide; Lysophosphatidylcholine; Lysophosphatidylethanolamine; Phosphatidic acid; Phosphatidylcholine (diacyl); Phosphatidylcholine (alkyl–acyl); Phosphatidylethanolamine (diacyl); Phosphatidylethanolamine plasmalogen (alkenyl–acyl); Phosphatidylglycerol; Phosphatidylinositol; Phosphatidylserine; Sphingomyelin; Triacylglycerol | ND [51] | Achieved—some pathways altered in 3D and 2D/3D [52] |
| Drug treatment | Intracellular metabolome: PBS wash, cold MeOH add, cell scraping, transfer into tube, chloroform add, vortex, water add, vortex, separation of water:MeOH phase, Chelex-100 add, centrifugation, lyophilization, resolving in deuterated water with TSP | 1H NMR Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA) | Self-derived cell lines: GBM1040922, GBM1016, GBM1417 commercial cell lines: LN229, U87 | Valine/Isoleucine; Threonine; Lactate; Alanine N-acetylaspartylglutamate; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glutathione; Total Creatine; Free Choline; PC; Glycerophosphocholine; Glycine; myo-Inositol | ND | [35] |
| Drug treatment | Targeted intracellular metabolome: ice-cold PBS add, cell scraping centrifugation, pellet resuspension with MeOH:water (7:3), agitation, incubation in −20°C, IS load, agitation, ultracentrifugation, supernatant collection, solvent evaporation, reconstitution with 2 mM ammonium acetate and 3 mM hexylamine solution. | LC-MS/MS MDS SCIEX 4000QTRAP hybrid triple quadrupole/linear ion trap mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) Waters Acquity BEH C18 column (2.1 x 50 mm, 1.7 μ) (Milford, MA, USA) | U87MG | dATP; dCTP; TTP | ND | [53] |
| Biomarker discovery                          | Acquity HSS T3 column (2.1 Å~100 mm, 1.8 μ). | Carbamoyl aspartate; Orotic acid |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| PBS wash, centrifugation,                  | 1H NMR                                      | myo-Inositol; UDP-hex; N-         |
| pellet resuspension with deuterated water   | Advance spectrometer                        | Acetylaspartate; O-2A; Glycine;  |
| and TMSP, centrifugation                   | (Bruker, AG, Darmstadt, Germany)            | Aspartate; O-2A; Total Creatine;|
|                                            | T98G                                        | Glycine; Lip; Glutamine; GSH;    |
|                                            | primary glioma cells and neural stem/        | Glutamate; GABA; GalNAc;         |
|                                            | progenitor cells                            |                                  |
| Therapeutic targets/drug treatment         | 1H NMR                                      |                                 |
| Intracellular metabolome:                  | Bruker AVANCE III HD 700 spectrometer       |                                 |
| Cell harvest, PBS wash, ice-cold NaCl      | 2D and 3D Tissue samples                    |                                 |
| (0.9 mM) wash x2, suspension in ice-cold   |                                             |                                 |
| H2O, of ice-cold MeOH add, vortex,         |                                             |                                 |
| incubation, ice-cold chloroform add,       |                                             |                                 |
| vortex, incubation, ice-cold H2O add,      |                                             |                                 |
| vortex, incubation, centrifugation,         |                                             |                                 |
| water-methanol phase collection,           |                                             |                                 |
| Chelex-100 add, filtration,                |                                             |                                 |
| evaporation, freezing (−80 °C)             |                                             |                                 |
| lyophilization                             |                                             |                                 |
| Therapeutic targets assessment             |                                             |                                 |
| Intracellular metabolome:                  | GC-MS using an Agilent 7890A                |                                 |
| GC-MS                                      | (Santa Clara, CA, USA)                      |                                 |
| HOG, NHA: ice-cold saline wash, culture    | 5500 QTRAP hybrid triple quadrupole mass    |                                 |
| plate snap freeze with liquid N2, cold MeOH| spectrometer                                |                                 |
| MeOH:water (7:3) add, chloroform add,      | (AB/SCIEX,                                  |                                 |
| vortex, centrifugation, MeOH:water phase   |                                             |                                 |
| separation, evaporation                    |                                             |                                 |
|                                             | NHA                                         |                                 |
|                                             | HT1080                                       |                                 |
|                                             | HOG                                         |                                 |
|                                             | IDH1 R132H mutant                           |                                 |
|                                             | IDH2 R172K mutant                           |                                 |
|                                             | HCT116                                       |                                 |
|                                             | NCI-H82                                      |                                 |
|                                             | HEK293T                                      |                                 |
|                                             | Glutamate; 2-Hydroxyglutarate; alpha-Ketoi  | Achieved—increased BCAT activity   |
|                                             | osocaproate; Valine; Leucine; Isoleucine;   | in vitro and in vivo in xenograft  |
|                                             | alpha-Keto-beta-methylvalerate              | mice                            |
|                                             |                                              |                                 |

References: [27, 37, 54]
| Drug treatment | Intracellular metabolome: 3 x freeze/thaw cycles of water based cell suspension, cold MeOH add, agitation, chloroform add, agitation, ultracentrifugation, collection of chloroform phase, evaporation, reconstitution with TMS:deuterated MeOH | 1H NMR Bruker Avance III 600 MHz spectrometer (Structural Biophysics Laboratory, NCI, Frederick, MD, USA) | Lipidomics: 1-O-eicosanoyl-Cer d18-1,16-0; 1-O-tricosanoyl-Cer d18-1,18-0; 5-methyldeoxycytidine; Acetylcysteine; Cholesteryl Ester—CE 31-0; Cer d45-1; Cer d50-2; Cer d51-1; PhytoCer t48-1; PhytoCer t53-1; Achieved—decrease in lipids observed via Raman imaging microscopy both in vitro and in vivo | GSC lines: Framingham, MA, USA), Amide HILIC chromatography (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) | GSC lines: TS603, TS16, TS676, MGG152 BT054 BT260 |
Intracellular lipidome:
- Cold PBS wash, cell scraping on dry ice, freeze, sonication, centrifugation, pellets resuspend in water, centrifugation, pellet snap freeze on dry ice, storage (~80 °C), extraction: resuspension in water, probe sonication, bath sonication, MeOH:water spiked with IS add, vortex, ice bath incubation, cold chloroform add, incubation 1h, ultracentrifugation, separation of MeOH:water and chloroform phases, ACN:W (1:1) add, centrifugation, evaporation, snap freezing with dry ice, ~80°C storage, combining of both phases in MeOH:ACN:water buffer live cell culture imaging.

LC-TOF Q-TOF SYNAPT G2 Si (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) Acquity UPLC CSH 1.7 m, 2.1 x 100 mm column (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) Raman spectroscopy DXR2xi Raman microscope (ThermoFisher Scientific, Madison, WI, USA).

Diacylglycerol: 46-5, 56-9, 57-0, 60-0, after drug treatment 61-1, 64-0, 64-1, 66-1, 67-0, P-56-3, P-39-0, P-43-0, P-44-4, P-48-0, P-48-4, P-49-0, P-50-0, 60-0, P-51-0
- Dopamine; Dopamine quinone; pinephrine sulfate; GluCer d39-0; Glutaminyl-arginine; Glutaminylcysteine; Glyceraldehyde; Isovaleric acid amine; Isovalerylglutamic acid; LacPhytoCer t50-0; L-histidine; Methyldeoxycytidine; N2,N2-dimethylguanosine; N-acetyldopamine; N-succinyl-2-amino-6-ketopimelate; O-tricosanoyl-N-hexadecanoyl PA: 43-2, 49-4, 52-4, O-41-0; PC: 22-4, 21-0, 39-6, 40-3; PE: 40-2, 49-4; Phosphoglycolic acid; PI P-36-4; PS 43-2; Pyroglutamic acid; Pyrrolidonecarboxylic acid; S-Succinyl-3-phosphoethanolamine; S-Succinylidihydroliopoamide; Succinyl acetoacetate; TG 15-0,18-1,14-1

Biomarker discovery
- Intracellular metabolome:
  - Cell harvest, PBS wash, centrifugation, incubation on ice, cold acetonitrile:water (1:1) resuspension, centrifugation, freeze drying, D2O add.
  - 1H NMR Bruker 600 MHz spectrometer, (Billerica, MA, USA)

| Biomarker discovery | U118 | LN-18 | A172 | NHA | Formate; Asparagine; Taurocholic acid; Glycerol; Malate; Niacinamide; Lactate; Acetone; 5-oxoproline; Citrate; Proline; Succinate; Ethanol; |
|---------------------|------|------|------|-----|-------------------------------------------------|
| ND                  |      |      |      |      | [55]                                             |
| Extracellular metabolome: |
|--------------------------|
| Medium supernatant filtration, storage (−80 °C), mixing with D$_2$O |
| Exosomal metabolome: |
| ultracentrifugation, PBS wash, centrifugation, incubation on-ice, cold acetonitrile:water (1:1) resuspension, centrifugation, freeze drying, D$_2$O add |

| Exosomal metabolome: GSH; GABA; G6P; Isoleucine; Glucose; Taurocholate; Homoserine; Glycine; Carnitine; GSSG |

| Drug treatment |
|----------------|
| culture plates place on ice, cold PBS wash, cell scraping into PBS, transfer into tube, cold MeOH add, sonication, centrifugation, supernatant transfer evaporation, reconstitution in deuterated water with TMSP |

| Drug treatment 1H–NMR AVANCE III 600M NMR Bruker (Germany) |
|-------------------|

| Drug treatment 2D U87 |
|----------------------|

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| intracellular metabolome: cold MeOH add, water add, grinder homogenization, sonication, ultracentrifugation, lyophilization, resuspension with deuterated water |

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation 1H-NMR Bruker Avance III HDX 600-MHz FT-NMR Spectrometer, Billerica, MA, USA) |
|-----------------------------------------------|

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary |
|---------------------------------------------------------|

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary 1H-NMR Bruker Avance III HDX 600-MHz FT-NMR Spectrometer, Billerica, MA, USA) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary 2D U87 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary GSH; GABA; G6P; Isoleucine; Glucose; Taurocholate; Homoserine; Glycine; Carnitine; GSSG |

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary Leucine; Alanine; Creatine; Glutamate; Glycine; Lactate; myo-Inositol; Glycerophosphocholine; Isoleucine; Taurine; Glutathione; Lysine; NAD+; UDP–NAG |

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary | ND |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----|

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary | [36] |

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary | [56] |

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary | Achieved—similar spatial differences of the metabolic environment |

| Biomarker discovery/Culture conditions evaluation primary | Alpha-ketoglutarate; Succinic acid; Glutathione; Fumarate; Dodecanoic acid; Caproic acid; N-Acetylserotonin; Stachyose; Glyceraldehyde; Serine; Fructose; Lysine; Arginine; Glucose-6-phosphate, Selenomethionine; Glycine; Choline; Guanidinoacetic acid; Guaiacol; Oxoglutaric acid; Gamma-Aminobutyric acid |
| Metabolites 2021, 11, 315 | |
| --- | --- |
| **Drug Treatment** |  
extracellular amino acid profiling: medium transfer, sulfosalicylic acid add, buffer add, labeling with aTRAQ™(Sciex, Milford, MA, USA), incubation, evaporation, resuspension  
C-MS/MS C18 Column Reverse Phase (5 μm, 4.6mm × 150mm)  
2D primary U87-MG  
Serine; Methionine; Glycine; Tyrosine; Aspartic acid; Isoleucine; Alanine; Leucine; Threonine; Norleucine; Glutamate; Phenylalanine; Histidine; Proline; Arginine; Methionine sulfoxide; Cystine; Lysine; Valine; Norvaline | ND |  
[32] |
| **Biomarker Discovery/Culture Conditions Evaluation** |  
extracellular metabolome: medium collection, ACN add, −80°C store until analysis, dilution  
intracellular metabolome: cold PBS wash, cold ACN add, −80°C short incubation (3 min), cell scrapping, transfer into tube, cold water add, freeze/thaw lysis with vortex (3x times), ultracentrifugation, supernatant store at −80°C  
LC-QTOF 6520 Accurate Mass Q-TOF LC/MS (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) biomarker validation 6430 Triple Quad LC/MS (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), doczytac czy do metabo był tez ten MS reverse-phase C18 stable bond column (2.1 mm x 50 mm x 1.8 μ) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).  
primary U118 U87 LN18 LN229 NHA | primary Kynurenine; Tryptophan; Methionine; 5′-methylthioadenosine; S-adenosylmethionine; S-adenosylhomocysteine | Achieved — methionine was found in ex vivo in fresh glioblastoma biopsy tissue |  
[28] |
| **Therapeutic Targets Assessment** |  
Intracellular metabolome: UHPLC/MS  
2D in hypoxia U87 Aldolase; Enolase 2; Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase; Hexokinase | ND |  
[57] |
| Procedure/Instrument | Metabolites Implicated |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Ice-cold PBS wash, cell lysis with dry ice/methanol – 80°C, (80% methanol), scraping, centrifugation, supernatant collection | Lactate dehydrogenase A; Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 3; Phosphofructokinase; Phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase; Phosphoglycerate mutase; Phosphoglycerate kinase 1; Pyruvate kinase isoenzyme type-M2 |
| Waters Acquity UHPLC (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) LTQ mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. Madison, WI, USA) | GC/MS Thermo-Finnigan Trace DSQ MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. Madison, WI, USA) |
| Intracellular metabolome: Ice-cold PBS wash x3, H2O:MeOH:acetonitrile (2:5:3) add, centrifugation, supernatant collection | MOG-G-VW 2D 2D 2D |
| Extracellular metabolome: Culture media dilution with H2O:MeOH:acetonitrile (2:5:3), centrifugation, supernatant collection | LN-18 LN-229 SF-188 U-251 MG U-87 MG 2D 2D |
| Gln deprivation influence | Glutamine; Leucine; Isoleucine; Serine; Valine; Alanine; Lysine; Cysteine S-S; Threonine; Arginine; Proline; Methionine; Asparagine; Ornithine; Taurine; Phenylalanine; Tyrosine; Citrulline; Histidine; Tryptophan; Aspartate; Glycine; Glutamate; Pyruvate; Lactate |

[26]
| Nanoparticles toxicity | Metabolites intracellular metabolome: ACN:MeOH (1:1) with α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic add onto cells | MALDI-MS/MS MALDI LTQ-XL instrument (Thermo Scientific, Madison, WI, USA) | 2D | NG97 | 2-hydroxy-eicosanoic acid; Docosapentaenoic acid/octadecanoic acid (stearic acid); N-oleyl-alanine; N-stearoyl-alanine; ND | [58] |
| Stem-like cells metabolome evaluation | Intracellular metabolome 2D culture: Cold ammonium acetate wash, snap-freezing in liquid nitrogen, ice-cold MeOH:H2O (4:1) add, scrapping, mix, centrifugation, supernatant collection | LC-MS DIONEXUltimate 3000 UPLC HILIC column (AcclaimMixed-Mode HILIC-1, 3 μm, 2.1 x 150 mm) Q Exactive mass spectrometer (QE-LC-MS(Thermo Scientific, Madison, WI, USA) | 2D and 3D | U87 NCH644—patient derived stem-like cells | Carbomyloaspartate; Citruline; Proline; Arginine; Aspartate; Ornithine | ND | [59] |
| IDH1-mutant glioma metabolic reprogramming | Intracellular metabolome: cell trypsinization, centrifugation, cold MeOH add, vortex, cold chloroform add, cold water add, separation of MeOH:water phase, lyophilization, reconstitution with deuterated water with TSP | 1H–MRS 600 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometer (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany) | 2D | U87 NHA with or without IDH1 mutation | Aspartate; Glutamate; Glutamine; Glutathione; Lactate; myo-Inositol; PC; Glycerophosphocholine; 2-Hydroxyglutarate; alfa-Butyrate; Creatine; Hydroxybutyrate; Valine | ND | [33] |
Table 2. Sample preparation techniques used for in vitro GBM cell lines.

| Sample Prep Technique | Instrumentation | Simplicity (Number of Steps) | Derivatization Step Included | Advantages | Disadvantages | Reference |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| dual-phase extraction | 1H NMR          | complicated (10-19)          | -                           | broad metabolome coverage: polar metabolites and lipids | time consuming, phase separation required, lyophilisation: additional lab equipment needed | [32–34,37,38,44,53] |
|                       | 1H MRS          |                              |                             |            |               |           |
|                       | LC-MS           |                              | +                           |             |               | [26,38,50] |
|                       | GC-MS           |                              |                             |             |               |           |
| liquid-liquid extraction | 1H NMR          |                              | -                           | no sample prep required | low sensitivity | [29,30,35,36,43,46,47,51,54,55] |
|                       | LC-MS           | easy (1-11)                  | +                           | quantification included |             | [31,42] |
|                       | MALDI-MS        |                              | -                           | High sensitivity, broad metabolome coverage |             | [25,27,41,48,52,56,58] |
|                       | GC-MS           |                              |                             |             | low metabolite coverage | [57] |
|                       | 31P MRS         | complicated (12)             | -                           | broader metabolome coverage: phosphometabolites and phospholipids | lyophilisation: additional lab equipment needed | [49] |
| none (live imaging)   | 13C-MRS         | easy (1)                     | -                           | live imaging, possibility of time-course cell culture monitoring | targeted approach, low metabolite coverage | [44] |
|                       | Raman spectroscopy | easy (0)                 | -                           | possible application to tissue analysis suitable for imaging | direct annotation of individual compounds not possible | [38] |
| liquid-liquid extraction | 31P MRS         | complicated (12)             | -                           | broader metabolome coverage: phosphometabolites and phospholipids | lyophilisation: additional lab equipment needed | [49] |
3. Metabolomics of GBM In Vitro

Many recent studies on the development of tumor malignancy and resistance to treatment have focused on the metabolic reprogramming of cancer cells. Investigations into the metabolomic phenotype of various tumors, including brain tumors, have revealed interesting correlations between a tumor’s mutations, metabolic footprint, and microenvironment [60,61]. Given these correlations, metabolomics and lipidomics may be effective tools in drug development and brain tumor diagnostics, grading, and prognosis [61,62]. Prior studies have successfully detected numerous metabolic alterations, particularly in relation to the metabolism of fatty acids and amino acids, such as Glu, choline (Cho), and cysteine (Cys) [63–66]. However, these findings represent only a small fraction of the work that has been done in GBM metabolomics and lipidomics—a body of work that is constantly growing, as researchers continue to work to identify important metabolites in GBM development. Generally, studies examining the metabolic reprogramming of cancer have utilized matrices such as blood and serum, urine, tissue samples, and established cell lines and primary cells [60,61]. While all of these matrices have been successfully employed, in vitro studies using both established cell lines and primary cells ensure replicable and strictly controlled conditions between each replicate sample. Furthermore, the analysis of culture media and disintegrated cells, along with careful sample preparation, can provide useful information about both the endo- and exo-metabolome. However, cells growing in vitro as a monolayer do not adequately recreate the tumor microenvironment. As such, researchers have increasingly been exploring the use of three-dimensional (3D) in vitro culture models, as they reflect the actual tumor phenotype more adequately than standard 2D cell cultures [67]. For these reasons, in vitro cell cultures remain of great interest in explorations of metabolic reprogramming in GBM tumors. For the sake of clarity, from now on when discussing metabolic studies on in vitro cell cultures it will refer to the 2D culture model, as it is still considered the standard in in vitro studies, unless specified otherwise.

Metabolic alterations in cancer cells have long been explored for their usefulness in profiling of the phenotypes of many different types of tumors [68]. Prior to the development of the WHO glioma tumor classification method, researchers obtained information about different patterns in the metabolic pathways between normal and malignant cells through simple in vitro studies using established GBM cell lines (U87) and human mesenchymal stem cell lines (hMSC) [46]. In their work on intracellular metabolomes, Juergchott et al. observed alterations in the TCA cycle, with amplified concentrations of fumarate and succinate, and lower concentrations of citrate [46]. In addition, Juergchott et al. also observed that some glycolysis metabolites, such as glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), were upregulated. Many of the metabolites detected in their study would appear in later studies, not only for grading GBMs and determining prognosis, but also for determining drug treatment efficiency.

Findings have also revealed good correlation between mutations found in GBM, e.g., PDGFRA, IDH1, EGFR, and NF1—and the tumor’s metabolic fingerprint. Cuperlovic-Culf et al. conducted metabolite profiling on nine established GBM cell lines and categorized them into four subtypes based on the alterations to their metabolites [30]. Their findings proved that it is necessary to monitor alterations in metabolic pathways instead of focusing on DNA mutations alone. For instance, alterations to Cho—which is known to be present in cancer cells at different concentrations than in normal cells—and its derivatives (phosphocholine (PC) and glycerophosphocholine (GPC)) were only observed in the first group of cell lines [30]. The cells in this group had a genetic profile of PDGFRA+ and EGFR+, as well as significantly higher concentrations of Cho, PC, and GPC. Izquierdo-Garcia et al.’s examination of IDH1-mutated U87 GBM cells found decreased concentrations of PC and increased concentrations of GPC [33]. Since IDH1 mutations are generally more common in low-grade gliomas, the general ratio of PC to GPC could serve as a prediction factor, such that elevated levels of PC and decreased levels of GPC would
indicate high-level gliomas, such as GBM [69,70]. Moreover, a low lipids-to-GPC ratio was found to connect patient-derived cell lines and neural progenitor cells; as such, this ratio can be used to characterize the neural phenotype of the tumor, and thus discern a better prognosis [37]. Another study revealed a correlation between the upregulation of GPCs and Cho and the differentiated state of the cells. This finding implies that impaired glycolipid metabolism is correlated with the tumor self-renewal and, thus, a worse prognosis [42]. Furthermore, a comparison of PC and GPC levels in pediatric GBM tumors and tumor-derived cells showed a decrease in the levels of both metabolites in both late passage cell lines and the tumor at relapse, indicating that both the tumor and derived cells had transitioned from stem-like cells into differentiated cells [52]. Nonetheless, it remains an open question whether a low PC-to-GPC ratio is a clear indicator of low malignancy grade in gliomas, with research still ongoing to determine the efficacy of these two metabolic markers. However, the ratio of total Cho to total creatine is indeed an indicator of the worse prognosis [38,71].

Inositol and myo-inositol are two additional metabolites that could potentially be useful in GBM diagnostics and prognostics, as they are known to play roles in osmoregulation and phosphatidylinositol lipids synthesis [72]. In a study conducted by Cuperlovic-Culf et al. a correlation was observed between the upregulation of myo-inositol and the PDGFRA+ and EGFR+ genotypes in one of these subtypes [30]. Conversely, findings have shown that IDH mutant cells have decreased myo-inositol levels compared to an IDH wild-type cell line [33]. Kuhlert et al. reported a high myo-inositol-to-glycine ratio for a U87 cell line grown in neurospheres, which could be a marker for GBM [38]. Moreover, since myo-inositol plays a role in the metabolism of glycerophospholipids, its high concentration could be explained by the self-renewing properties of GBM tumors [42,73]. On the basis of the research discussed, it can be concluded that elevated levels of myo-inositol could be markers indicating high grade glioma.

Gln, glutamate (Glu), and γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) each play an extremely important role in brain development. Changes in the metabolism of Gln can cause disturbances in Glu, GABA, and aspartate (Asp), as it is the precursor of these neurotransmitters [74]. Furthermore, Gln can be converted into α-ketoglutarate (α-KG), which subsequently takes part in the TCA cycle [75]. Tartido et al. highlighted GBM’s dependency on Gln. Their findings indicated that synthesized Gln can be used to synthesize AMP [26]. In their study, Cuperlovic-Culf et al. determined that differences in the upregulation of Gln, Glu, Asp, and citrate were dependent on the subtype of the studied cell lines [30]. They found that the levels of these metabolites in each subtype correlated with the expression of genes for some transporters such as SLC38A1, SLC7A8, and SLC1A5. Specifically, they found that the overexpression of certain cellular or mitochondrial transporters influenced the levels of these metabolites. In turn, decreases in Gln were associated with IDH1mut status [33], and enforced glutaminolysis was connected to the ASS negative cell lines [29] and the accelerated growth rate of Gln-dependent GBM cells [32]. Glutaminolysis tends to be also overexpressed in relapse tumors and cells grown in neurospheres [52]. A study on IDH wild-type primary GBM cell cultures yielded similar results, with two clear subtypes emerging: one with increased Gln uptake, and another with low Gln uptake. The findings showed that this high Gln dependency was correlated with a mesenchymal-type tumor and the worst prognosis [32]. In another study, Guidoni et al. compared patient-derived cells to GBM cell line T98G and neural stem/progenitor cells. They observed that the levels of GABA in one of the patient-derived cell lines increased while Glu simultaneously decreased, which could be used to determine the neuronal phenotype, as GABA synthesis mainly takes place in the neurons [37,74]. Moreover, the presence of neuronal metabolic markers is correlated with better prognoses [37].

Glutathione (GSH) is a tripeptide that is composed of Glu, Cys, and glycine (Gly). GSH can take on two forms, namely reduced GSH and oxidized GSSG, which allows it to
play an important role in redox regulation and protecting cells from ROS [76]. The up-regulation of GSH has been associated with groups of cell lines from WHO grade IV gliomas, which connects it to the malignant transformation of the tumor [34]. A comparison of stem-like U87MG cells to U87 malignant glioma cells and stem-like cells after induced differentiation revealed a drop in GSSG levels and a high GSH-to-GSSG ratio. Therefore, low levels of ROS metabolites could be associated with worse prognoses, while increased levels of these metabolites could induce the differentiation of stem-like cells in tumors [42]. Similarly, decrease in GSH has been associated with the IDH1mut genotype of the U87 cell line [33]. Low GSH levels have also been observed in cells grown in neurospheres, which show more astrocyte/glioma-like metabolism. This finding indicates that decreased GSH is connected to hypoxia, and thus a worse prognosis, as was confirmed by the study’s patient results [37]. However, one needs to remember that GSH easily undergoes auto-oxidation during the sample preparation step, what makes it easy to get false results [77]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no GBM study which highlights this problem, the solutions proposed based on other cell cultures, i.e., adding N-ethylmaleimid and acetonitrile directly after removing the culture medium form the culture flask, can be considered in the in vitro GBM studies [78].

Studies performed on glioma cell models have successfully connected the widely known glioma marker, 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) with the IDH1 mutation, as IDH-mutated cells gained a new, unique ability to convert α-KG into 2-HG, that IDH-wildtype glioma cells do not possess [30]. Live cell monitoring with 13C-MRS revealed elevated concentrations of 2-HG in the IDH1mut cells, along with a simultaneous drop in Glu concentrations [33]. This correlation was further explored in another study, where it was confirmed that 2-HG requires glucose in addition to Glu [45]. 2-HG is a good oncotarget for use in differentiating low-grade gliomas from GBMs, with Gln and glucose deprivation serving as useful therapeutic targets for such analyses.

Finally, a few other metabolites and altered pathways, such as N-acetyl aspartate (NAA), have been suggested as important for GBM metabolomic diagnostics, prognosis, and drug testing [37,52]. The full scope of important in vitro GBM metabolites analysed is presented in Table 1. Moreover, key metabolites that have been discussed in this paragraph, i.e., α-KG, 2-HG, Gln, Glu, GABA, GSH, and Asp, were analysed with the MetaboAnalyst 5.0 online. The most prevalent metabolic pathways are shown in the Figure 1, where Glu and Gln appear most often, suggesting them as metabolites important for the disease in question, while arginine metabolism and biosynthesis, Asp, D-Gln, and D-Glu metabolism are the most dominant pathways. To summarize metabolites such as Co, PC, GPC, myo-inositol, Gln, Glu, GABA, Asp, α-KG, GSH and 2-HG could be all used for GBM grading. Elevated myo-inositol, high Gln and Glu dependency and decrease in GSH could all indicate high grade glioma, while high 2-HG concentration could be associated with IDH1 mutation and therefore better prognosis. However, the most optimal solution would be to create a panel of key metabolites and analyze not only changes in levels of those, but also ratios between them.
4. Importance of GBM Microenvironment Reconstruction for In Vitro Metabolomics

GBM is a tumor that is known to have a highly complicated microenvironment, largely due to its heterogeneous nature, intratumor hypoxia, and angiogenesis [14,15]. Therefore, to carry out metabolomic in vitro studies that will translate to an in vivo environment, it is extremely important to consider culture conditions and cell source in metabolomic testing. For patient-derived GBM cells, special culture conditions, such as the use of an FBS-free culture medium supplemented with growth factors, as well as the use of 3D culturing in neurospheres, are recommended in order to acquire cells that actually feature all tumor characteristics [24,81,82]. 3D culture was more favorable for stem-like cells (CD133+). Furthermore, the cells in the 3D culture were also characterized by higher tCho-to-tCre, Gly-to-myoinositol, and Gly-to-tCho ratios, which are all indicators of high-grade gliomas [38]. In a similar, more recent study, Pexito et al. extended this investigation. They observed significant alterations in arginine metabolism in the cell lines that were cultured in the neurospheres [59]. Moreover, a comparison of patient-derived cells cultured in neurospheres actually reflected the metabolic fingerprint of relapsed tumors [52]. Notably, neurospheres were used to culture glioma stem-like cells in many of the studies discussed in the current review (Table 1) [27,32,35,37,45,52,54].

Hypoxia is a common phenomenon in cancers, but it remains difficult to replicate hypoxic environments in vitro. Spheroid formation is one method that can be used to create low-oxygen conditions in cultures, as the core of the spheroids is naturally hypoxic. However, this approach does not ensure the replicable conditions that are required in certain types of studies. These conditions can be achieved by lowering the O₂ content in the culture environment using equipment such as a CO₂ incubator. The profiling of U87MG cells grown in both hypoxic and normoxic environments revealed that hypoxia induces the non-glycolytic metabolism of glucose, which suggests that glycoproteins and glycolipids can be used as markers for hypoxia in GBM tumors. Moreover, the authors of
the study further observed alterations to the TCA cycle, 2-HG accumulation, the altered metabolism of lipids, and increased catabolism of amino acids in hypoxic GBM cells [57]. A separate analysis of primary cell culture in hypoxic conditions revealed that oxygen deprivation induces changes in the α-KG-to-succinate ratio, as well as the Gly content [56]. Finally, Blandin et al. showed that cells cultured in hypoxic conditions more closely resembled the actual metabolomic profile of a tumor [52]. Therefore, in order to pursue a truly accurate metabolomic analysis of GBM in vitro, it should be taken into account that standard culture conditions established over the years, e.g., 2D cell culture, culture medium supplemented with FBS, and normoxic conditions, do not accurately reflect the complexity of the tumor. When planning the experiment, it is advisable to conduct simultaneous experiment with the use of 3D cell culture, FBS-free medium and under hypoxic conditions.

5. In Vitro-In Vivo Extrapolation of Oncometabolites

To date, several low-molecular-weight compounds have been identified as possible biomarkers of GBM. In particular, the dysregulation of the oncometabolites, 2-HG [83–85], NAA [66], Glu [64], and α-KG [64] has been shown to be connected to the altered enzymatic pathways that occur within cancerous cells. Thus, these low-molecular-mass compounds are potential targets for in vitro-in vivo extrapolation. All of the above-mentioned compounds were identified through a literature search. As mentioned above, 2-HG and Glu were found via live cell monitoring using 13C-MRS, wherein cell culture medium was supplemented with 3-13C-glutamine. This enabled the determination of 13C-Glu and 13C-2-HG in U87IDHmut, and the determination of 13C-Glu only in U87IDHwt cells [45]. Consequently, in terms of IVIVE, Glu and 2-HG can serve not only as GBM biomarkers, but also as markers of IDH1 mutation, which plays key role in chemotherapy treatment optimization. In another study, researchers determined 2-HG through the extraction of intracellular components, followed by NMR analysis [33]. NAA and Glu were successfully found via NMR as the effect of intracellular metabolome investigation within cell cultures established from tissue of pediatric origin derived by NMR cell culture model [52], primary glioblastoma stem-like cells (GSC) [37] and GL261 cell line. Guidoni et al. observed that NAA was not present in the GBM T98G cell line, which suggests that primary GSC is closer to the in vivo state [37]. Glu was also identified in pediatric low-grade glioma using an LC-MS approach, wherein everolimus treatment resulted in glutaminase inhibition, which in turn led to reduced Glu levels [49], as well as result of extracellular metabolome study of U87-MG cell line [32]. Researchers have also utilized LC-MS/MS to analyse and compare Glu secretion and consumption in a medium-based extracellular metabolome and a cell-lysate-based intracellular metabolome [26]. The dual-phase extraction of intracellular components of U87 followed by GC-MS also revealed presence of Glu, which was observed at higher levels compared to normal hMSCs within the U87 cell line [46]. TMZ treatment caused difference in Glu levels between drug resistant and drug sensitive primary GBM cells with increased Glu levels in TMZ-resistant cells [47]. Glu was also detected in both 2D and 3D cell cultures of established U87 and LN-229 cell lines [38], as well as various self-derived GBM models [35]. Furthermore, researchers have successfully identified Glu in GSC following treatment with a glutaminase inhibitor; as expected glutaminase levels were lower after the administration of the agent [54]. Moreover, an NMR approach has been successfully employed to detect Glu among the intrametabolome of U87 following treatment with TMZ or C. barometz polysaccharides [36], and it has also been detected using astrocytoma cell lines derived from glioma tissue [34] and established cell lines [30,55]. The analysis of rat glioma BT4C cells revealed the presence of Glu and lactic acid within the intracellular metabolome, which suggests that these compounds can be used as a target for relatively easy (compared to human trials) investigations with in vivo rat models [44]. Except for α-KG, all of the well-established oncometabolites related with GBM were found within in vitro cell based studies (Table 3) proving the applicability of
such approaches for diagnosis purposes, as well as a convenient and easy way for searching for further biomarkers.

**Table 3. In vivo-in vitro extrapolation of oncometabolites in the reviewed literature.**

| Compound | In Vitro Model | In Vivo/Ex Vivo Investigation |
|----------|----------------|------------------------------|
| NAA      | Primary glioblastoma [52] | [87–90] |
|          | T98G and primary [37] |  |
| 2HG      | U87, NHA [45] | [88–92] |
|          | U87, NHA, BT34, BT142 [45] |  |

Table continues...

6. Pharmaco-Metabolomics as a Tool for Glioma Drug Testing In Vitro

Thanks to the extensive work that was conducted to identify potential metabolites for glioma diagnostics and prognostics, cell cultures have emerged as a truly promising model for drug testing and the exploration of tumor resistance to therapy. Knowledge regarding significant pathways and alterations to their metabolism could be used to predict the effectiveness of different therapeutics depending on the phenotype of the cells. For instance, St-Coeur et al. compared TMZ-sensitive and TMZ-resistant U373 cell lines after combined treatment with either TMZ and lomeguatrib (MGMT inhibitor) or TMZ
alone and discovered a panel of distinct metabolites that differed among the cell lines. Specifically, they found increased levels of glucose, citrate, and isocitrate in the TMZ-resistant line, and overconcentrations of creatine, PC, Cho and alanine in the TMZ-sensitive GBM cells [47].

Since Gln and glutaminolysis targeting have been previously suggested, Koch et al. examined the influence of glutaminase (GLS) inhibitors on GSC [32,54]. Their pharmaco-metabolomic approach to in vitro studies of the aforementioned inhibitors—in this case, evaluating their effectiveness—allowed for exceptional target specificity. Interestingly, even though both tested inhibitors were found to have a toxic influence on cultured cells, only one of them resulted in actual glutaminolysis suppression [54]. The use of a GLS inhibitor, which can inhibit Glu synthesis in in vitro studies, has also been shown to sensitize gliomas with the IDH1 mutation to oxidative stress by McBrayer et al. [27]. Metabolomics analysis was also successfully used in the study conducted by Shi et al. to evaluate the ability of *Cibotium barometz* polysaccharides (CBPs) to resensitize TMZ-resistant cells. The findings showed changes in the metabolites involved in GSH metabolism (e.g., Glu, Gly, or taurine) and significant accumulation of ROS, thus proving the effectiveness of used compounds [36]. A similar pharmaco-metabolomic approach was used by D’Alessandro et al. to analyze how the Gli1 inhibitor affected murine glioma cells that overexpressed Gli1. This method was able to provide good target specify for the studied drug and its anti-tumor influence, both in vitro and in vivo [31]. This knowledge regarding alterations to the metabolism of Glu, Cho, and Gly in different types of GBM enabled further study of the Notch inhibitor mode of action and the determination of the Notch blockade as a promising target for GBM therapy [35]. In vitro metabolomics have also been successfully used to monitor the potential effect of various drugs on lipid synthesis for compounds such as FK866 inhibitor, phospholipase D (PLD) inhibitor, or gamma-linoleic acid [39,51,53]. It should be noted that some of the studies reviewed earlier also fit within the pharmacometabolomics approach. The full scope of analyzed literature is reported in Table 1. Moreover, metabolomics can also be used to assess cytotoxicity in in vitro applications, for example, particles for gene transfection [58]. The knowledge gained from the basic research discussed in metabolomic paragraph has been successfully used to select markers to determine the efficiency and target specificity of targeted drugs, making metabolomics in vitro an interesting tool for novel targeted therapies development. Gln, Glu, and GSH metabolism being especially useful in determining the effectiveness of analyzed drugs.

7. Conclusions

The studies reviewed in this paper highlight the importance of careful test planning for the accurate metabolomic profiling of GBM cells. Factors such as culture model, medium composition, established or patient-derived cell lines, and oxygen levels should all be chosen based on desired aspects of a tumor’s particular microenvironment. Moreover, sample preparation should use only the most effective metabolism quenching or extraction methods. In vitro studies face a problem at the level of in vitro-in vivo extrapolation, as metabolic reactions in a living organism are much more complex than in vitro environments are able to capture. However, with careful design, e.g., the use of 3D culture models, hypoxic conditions when conducting a study, or usage of more efficient sample preparation methods, in vitro studies on GBM metabolomics can be extremely useful for the diagnosis and prognosis of brain tumors, as well as for studying new drugs or mechanisms of drug resistance.
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