Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) includes a group of clinically, genetically, and pathologically heterogeneous neurodegenerative disorders, affecting the fronto-insular-temporal regions of the brain. Clinically, FTD is characterized by progressive deficits in behavior, executive function, and language and its diagnosis relies mainly on the clinical expertise of the physician/consensus group and the use of neuropsychological tests and/or structural/functional neuroimaging, depending on local availability. The modest correlation between clinical findings and FTD neuropathology makes the diagnosis difficult using clinical criteria and often leads to underdiagnosis or misdiagnosis, primarily due to lack of recognition or awareness of FTD as a disease and symptom overlap with psychiatric disorders. Despite advances in understanding the underlying neuropathology of FTD, accurate and sensitive diagnosis for this disease is still lacking. One of the major challenges is to improve diagnosis in FTD patients as early as possible. In this context, biomarkers have emerged as useful methods to provide and/or complement clinical diagnosis for this complex syndrome, although more evidence is needed to incorporate most of them into clinical practice. However, most biomarker studies have been performed using North American or European populations, with little representation of the Latin American and the Caribbean (LAC) region. In the LAC region, there are additional challenges,
INTRODUCTION

Dementia in Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) countries has become a major challenge (1–3). The World Neurology Congress has highlighted that dementia in LAC is a major public health issue with a predicted four-fold increase of its prevalence by 2050 (4, 5). This predicted growth, which is partially due to the increase in life expectancy (6), calls for better diagnostic procedures. The underdiagnosis of dementia in LAC remains a challenge (2). Barriers to diagnosis in the region include inadequate training (7, 8), especially among primary care physicians (2, 9), together with insufficient access to both healthcare and specialized services such as neuropsychological assessment (2, 6).

Epidemiological studies from the LAC region are scarce and existing evidence is limited, nevertheless making only modest contributions to global prevalence figures (10). Most of the literature available on the epidemiology of dementia comes from North American and European cohorts. The most extensive studies on the prevalence of dementia in LAC countries identified frequency rates similar to those reported by western and eastern countries (11–13). Among neurodegenerative dementia, Alzheimer’s disease dementia (ADD) and Lewy body dementia are the leading cause of dementia, following by Frontotemporal dementia (FTD), the third most common form of dementia across all age groups, after, and is a leading cause of early-onset dementia (14, 15), with a prevalence ranging from 3 to 26% described in North America and European populations (16, 17).

FTD is an insidious neurodegenerative clinical syndrome characterized by progressive deficits in behavior, executive function, and language (16, 18, 19). FTD is often underdiagnosed, due primarily to the lack of awareness as well as clinical overlap with psychiatric disorders (15, 20). Although the impact of FTD on LAC countries seems to mirror that of developing countries, barriers to the diagnosis of and post-diagnostic support for this type of dementia differ across such countries (2, 3).

Regarding clinical diagnosis, as mentioned, FTD is often underdiagnosed (15, 20, 21). FTD symptoms typically start between the ages of 40 and 65 in the majority of cases, but it can also occur in younger and older individuals (16, 22). In LAC, the most common approach is to rely solely on clinical criteria for diagnosis. Unfortunately, for many clinical subtypes of FTD, there is only a modest correlation between the clinical features and the underlying neuropathology of the disease. Other diagnostic support such as specialized neuropsychological services and/or structural and functional neuroimaging studies are less readily available in the region (2). These well-known limitations have traditionally led to a higher rate of missed diagnosis and when is posed to significant delay in FTD diagnosis, which increases the subsequent burden on caregivers (23, 24). Pathologically, post mortem brains of people who had FTD are characterized with frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) and intracellular depositions of three main proteins: RNA-binding protein TDP-43 (~50%), microtubule-associated protein Tau (~40%), and, in rare cases, RNA-binding protein (FUS, 5%) (25). Importantly, FTD has a strong genetic component, with up to 40% of cases having a family history of dementia, psychiatric disease, or motor symptoms, and 20–30% of cases having an autosomal dominant pattern (26, 27). Mutations in three major genes have been described: C9orf72 (chromosome 9 open reading frame 72), MAPT (microtubule-associated protein tau), and GRN (progranulin) discussed below in this review.

Abbreviations: Aβ, amyloid-beta peptides; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; AnxA1, Annexin A1; bvFTD, behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; C9orf72, chromosome 9 open reading frame 72; COEP-UFMG, Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Minas Gerais; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; FAST, Functional Assessment Staging; GRN, progranulin; hsCRP, high sensitivity C reactive protein; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; IATI, INNOTEST amyloid tau index; IL, interleukin; LXA4, lipoxin A4; MAPT, microtubule-associated protein tau; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; NF, nuclear factor; NFTs, neurofibrillary tangles; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; SPM, specialized pro-resolving mediator; TDP-43, TAR DNA-binding protein 43; TGF, transforming growth factor; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
Biomarkers, defined as a characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes (28), have emerged as promissory methods to provide and/or complement clinical diagnosis for this complex syndrome, although most evidence is needed to incorporate most of them in the routine clinical practice (29–31). Biomarkers have been currently classified in three main topics including genetic, neuroimaging, and fluid biomarkers (28, 32). In FTD, diagnostic biomarkers could help discriminate between individuals with FTD, control individuals, and individuals with other neurodegenerative diseases including ADD, as has been described in LAC cases (3). Biomarkers could also help differentiate between clinical, genetic, or pathological subtypes. Other biomarkers could also be used to tailor pharmacological treatment or determinate prognosis (30).

The study of biomarkers in FTD requires sophisticated procedures that only a few research centers have access to in LAC. Moreover, biomarker measurements or research are not funded by public health (2, 3). In this scenario, new peripheral biomarkers constitute a promising possibility to implement, for e.g., fluid biomarkers because of their accessibility, reduced cost, and easy management in our LAC region. Nevertheless, the use of biomarkers from fluids is also scarce, currently assessed only for research purposes (3). In addition, neuroimaging techniques are the most expensive and least available, only accessible in specialized medical centers in large cities in LAC. Furthermore, the reliability of available biomarkers, not only in LAC, is limited to centers specialized in dementia, such as memory clinics, where there is more experience in the accurate diagnosis of dementia. Another barrier present in LAC countries is that their validity has not been studied in native populations of each country, given the existing ancestry and genetic mix that represent each LAC country (1, 2). For example, genetic studies in Latino, mixed, or indigenous populations represent only 3% of studies of polygenic risk (3).

Considering the impact of FTD on LAC and the barriers to diagnosis of this progressive neurodegenerative disease, the advent of promising biomarkers (genetic, neuroimaging, and fluid-based) that can enhance diagnostic accuracy and help overcome outstanding needs could have a significant impact on this region. This review aims to update the knowledge base on biomarker development for FTD, with an emphasis on published studies from LAC and highlights the need for further development of FTD biomarkers that can be generalized to broader settings and diverse populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Database Search

A systematic search of the online literature was carried out targeting journals indexed by PubMed Central, Redalyc, Scopus, and SciElo databases. Pub-Med Central corresponds to the digital archive of the United States National Institutes of Health and it was selected for its scope and importance in the biomedical and life sciences; this database allows access to free material but the use of the material is subject to copyright and/or license terms. Redalyc is an academic project promoted by the Autonomous University of Mexico, in collaboration with other institutions, for the dissemination in Open Access of the scientific publishing activity that occurs in and on Ibero-America; and it was selected for its reach in regional populations. Scopus is a bibliographic database of abstracts and citations of scientific journal articles, which are peer-reviewed. This database was selected for its antiquity (1966) and scope since it is sponsored by Elsevier. SciElo is a Brazilian project that promotes the development and operation of Latin American collections for all areas of knowledge, with publications preferably in English, but also in other languages. It was elected for indexing many national and Latin American journals.

To identify potentially eligible studies related to FTD cognitive dysfunction biomarkers, the PRISMA Checklist and PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses was followed, to have a validated and consensual research methodology (33). Two of the authors (MFA and PO) independently searched for articles associated with the following keywords in English: [(Biomarkers) AND (dementia)] OR [(Biomarkers) AND (frontotemporal dementia)] OR [(Biomarkers) AND (frontotemporal dementia behavioral variant)], and then, the procedure was reproduced with the same keywords, translated to Spanish and Portuguese. Those languages were selected because they correspond to the main languages used in Latin America, therefore ensuring to include all the Latin American research. Other languages such as French or German were not included, since those papers, despite having Latin American authors, were most probably not based on Latin American population. Initially, the search keys used considered the other clinical patterns of FTD, however in previously exploratory search, only the behavioral variant showed results based on the systematic review formula, for which it was decided to limit the search to the behavioral variant.

Eligibility Criteria and Study Selection

Studies were considered eligible for data extraction if they meet the following inclusion criteria: original peer-reviewed articles (empirical, quantitative, longitudinal studies, follow-up studies, neuroimaging studies, randomized controlled trials, quasi-randomized controlled trials, cross-sectional studies, longitudinal studies) written in Spanish, English or Portuguese; published between January 2000 until November 2020, based on human Latin American populations, considering samples with FTD pathological characteristics, which their contents were about genetic, neuroimaging and fluid biomarkers. If some of the results found were still in press and could be checked by title and abstract, they were included too for the full-text review, by contacting the authors. No particular diagnostic criteria were required for the samples to be included because the main objectives of some potential results might be comparing them.

The exclusion criteria considered were: studies with no LA population samples, studies conducted with non-human animals, and studies written in a language other than those previously referred. We considered excluded from our investigation model: prospective studies, interview studies, retrospective studies, clinical and treatment trials, qualitative studies, mathematical modeling, experimental replications, scientific simulations, field
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**Process of Selection**
In the first stage, MFA and PO searched for the selected keywords using boolean operators. With these first results, in a second stage, the articles were reviewed and selected according to their titles; from here, those who met the search words and/or the eligibility inclusion criteria were considered for the next stage. In the third stage, the abstracts and the full texts were read by PO and MFA to ensure papers met the criteria for sample descriptors, language, type of study, and contents. When the third stage was completed, the exhaustive review of each paper for the final selection was made by three authors (MA-P, PO, and TL). Disagreements were resolved by discussion between the three authors and, in case of disagreement, a fourth opinion was sought from the other authors for a final decision. Finally, the resulting sample of papers was divided between the other authors for the data analysis and synthesis. The flowchart in Figure 1 illustrates the sequence of actions and outcomes.

**Data Synthesis**
MFA recorded specific data for each study (Table 1) including first all relevant citation information [author name(s), year of publication, Digital Object Identifier (DOI), and the data-based site where the article can be found] to facilitate the individual search for readers. Secondly, the country from which the study sample was recruited is reported with the purpose of highlighting research status in different localities. Third, each paper was reviewed and classified by the general biomarker technique used in its methods (category in the table): biomarkers (fluid-based), neuroimaging, and genetics. This was done to help readers categorize the amount of information available for each modality. The specific technique used in each category was presented in the specification column, highlighting the methodological approaches most often used in Latin American and Caribbean research. Finally, if the selected article provided information about the connection of said biomarkers to a particular cognitive domain, this was reported in the cognitive column. This data summary provides a high-level overview of the research occurring in LAC and allows reflection on the utility of biomarker information and translational research to the biomedical field.

**RESULTS**
After performing the PRISMA analysis, our search identified 21 studies on FTD and biomarkers in the LAC region. The selection process is depicted in the flowchart in Figure 1.

**Biomarkers**
A biomarker is defined as an objectively measurable indicator of a biological state or pathological condition. A biomarker must be reproducible, stable, available to a large part of the population and reflect relevant disease processes (28). Biomarkers have the potential to be useful in dementia in several ways, including distinguishing different aspects of underlying pathology, detection of pre-symptomatic pathological changes, predicting decline or conversion between clinical disease states, and monitoring disease progression and response to treatment (32). As mentioned, the diagnosis of FTD is particularly challenging because the relationship between clinical symptoms, pathology, and genetic causes are complex (31, 55, 56). In this scenario, biomarkers represent a potentially informative diagnostic tool for this condition. However, almost all biomarker studies in FTD have been performed in North American and European.
## TABLE 1 | Papers resume table.

| Authors                      | Year   | DOI                                      | Country                               | Category         | Specifications                                      | Cognitive-domain associated |
|------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Baez et al. (34)             | 2016   | 10.1016/j.cortex.2015.11.007            | Colombia, Argentina, Chile             | Neuroimaging     | MRI-VBM                                           | Social cognition            |
| Baez et al. (35)             | 2016   | 10.1158/000441918                       | Colombia, Argentina, Chile             | Neuroimaging     | MRI-VBM                                           | Social cognition            |
| Bachli et al. (36)           | 2020   | 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116456       | Colombia, Argentina, Australia         | Neuroimaging     | Machine learning                                  | Executive functions         |
| B Aldeiras et al. (37)       | 2015   | 10.1016/j.nis.2015.09.022              | Brazil                                 | Fluid Biomarkers | Aβ42/Tau ratio                                    | Unspecified                 |
| Bertoux et al. (38)          | 2018   | 10.3233/JAD-170771                      | France, Chile                         | Neuroimaging     | Visual atrophy ratings and VBM                    | Episodic memory             |
| Cintra et al. (39)           | 2018   | 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.01.007   | Brazil                                 | Genetics         | C9orf72                                           | Syntomatic ALS, FTD and MND presentation |
| de Souza et al. (40)         | 2019   | 10.1590/1980-57642018/dn13-030015       | Brazil                                 | Neuroimaging     | PET-FDG, Aβ42, Tau, P-Tau in CSF                  | Executive functions         |
| Dottori et al. (41)          | 2017   | 10.1038/s41598-017-04204-8              | Argentina, Colombia                   | Neuroimaging     | Resting-State: weighted symbolic dependence metric | Unspecified                 |
| Fernandez Suarez et al. (42) | 2016   | 10.1080/13554794.2016.1186700          | Argentina                             | Genetics         | C9orf72                                           | Unspecified                 |
| Fraga et al. (43)            | 2019   | 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2019.09.008     | Brazil                                 | Fluid biomarkers | hsCRP, IL-1β, IL-6, TNF, TGF-β1, AnxA1 and LXA4 in blood and CSF | Unspecified                 |
| Gatto et al. (44)            | 2017   | 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.02.002   | Argentina                             | Genetics         | MAPT                                              | Executive functions         |
| Itzcovich et al. (45)        | 2016   | 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.02.001   | Argentina                             | Genetics         | C9orf72                                           | Unspecified                 |
| Miranda et al. (46)          | 2017   | 10.4067/s0034-98872017000700896         | Chile                                 | Genetics         | C9orf72                                           | Language and motor          |
| Moguiler et al. (47)         | 2018   | 10.1038/s41598-018-29538-9              | Argentina, Colombia                   | Neuroimaging     | Resting-State: weighted symbolic dependence metric | Unspecified                 |
| Nikado et al. (48)           | 2019   | 10.1093/gerona/gly179                   | Argentina                             | Neuroimaging     | MRI, cortical thickness, NFL in CSF                | Unspecified                 |
| Riudavets et al. (49)        | 2013   | 10.1111/bpa.12051                       | Argentina                             | Genetics         | PS-1                                              | Unspecified                 |
| Santamaria-Garcia et al. (50)| 2016   | 10.3233/JAD-160501                      | Colombia, Argentina, Chile            | Neuroimaging     | VBM                                               | Neuropsychiatric symptoms   |
| Santos et al. (51)           | 2014   | 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2013.06.019             | Brazil                                | Fluid biomarkers | PBMC                                              | Unspecified                 |
| Santos et al. (52)           | 2020   | 10.1016/j.poba.2020.113424             | Brazil                                | Fluid biomarkers | Plasma metabolite profile with GC-MS              | Unspecified                 |
| Sedeño et al. (53)           | 2017   | 10.1002/hbm.23627                       | Colombia, Argentina, Australia         | Neuroimaging     | fMRI and graph-theory                             | Unspecified                 |
| Takada et al. (54)           | 2016   | 10.1097/WAD.00000000000000153           | Brazil                                | Genetics         | MAPT and GNR                                      | Unspecified                 |

Articles used for the data analysis, showing an organization following an order by authors, year, digital object identifier (DOI), country, category, specification, and cognitive-domain associated.

Genetics Biomarkers for FTD in LAC
A strong genetic component has been observed in FTD, where 20–30% of cases have an autosomal dominant inheritance (26, 27, 58). This inheritance is mainly due to mutations in the genes populations (57), neglecting LAC countries (3). Here, we provide a brief update and the current state of knowledge on genetic, neuroimaging, and fluid biomarkers for FTD in the LAC region.
C9orf72, GRN, and MAPT (59). Mutations in MAPT and GRN each account for 5–11% of total FTD cases (26). In 2011, a novel pathogenic expansion intrinsic to the gene C9orf72 was identified, which has subsequently been found to be the most common genetic cause of FTD in Northern Europe and North America (60–62). In addition, mutations have been identified in other genes such as VCP, CHMP2B, TARDBP, FUS, EXT2, SQSTM1, CHCHD10, TBK1, OPTN, CCNE, TIA1 in rare cases of FTD (63).

C9orf72
A hexanucleotide repeat (GGGGGCC, G4C2) expansion in chromosome 9 open reading frame 72 (C9orf72) [GenBank: JN681271] was discovered to likely be the most frequent genetic cause of bvFTD, FTD with motor neuron disease (FTD-MND), and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) in some populations (60, 62). In Europe and North America, the C9orf72 expansion accounted for nearly 40% of familial and 8% of sporadic ALS, as well as 25% of familial and 6% of sporadic FTD cases (64). In contrast, the frequency was extremely rare in Asian (65, 66) and Middle Eastern countries (67).

Regarding the genetic situation in the LAC, some studies of C9orf72 have been described in Chile, Argentina, and Brazil. In Chile, a report of a family carrier of C9orf72 mutation affected by non-fluent aphasia leading to mutism and mild parkinsonism was described (46). In Argentina, the first case with FTD and C9orf72 mutation was reported in 2016 (42). A Brazilian kindred with FTD and FTD-ALS was reported in 2012, in which significant heterogeneity across different family members was seen and subtle behavioral changes were observed decades before a diagnosis of bvFTD was made (68). Later, the first characterization of C9orf72 expansion in a group of patients was carried out in Latin America (45). Thirty-three patients with FTD and 50 patients with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. Hexanucleotide expansion was identified at a frequency of 18.2% in the FTD group while expansion explains 37.5% of the familial cases. In the group with ALS, the expansion was identified in 1 patient with a family history of the 3 cases studied, while in sporadic ALS the expansion was identified in 2.1% of the patients (45). In Brazil, a group of 404 patients with ALS and 67 with FTD were assessed for C9orf72 pathogenic expansion. Pathogenic repeat expansions were found in 11.8% of familial ALS and 3.6% of sporadic ALS. In the cases of FTD, the pathogenic expansion was identified in 7.1% of the familial cases and was not detected in sporadic cases. Among the 35 cases of ALS with the C9orf72 mutation, 25.7% also presented clinically with FTD; and among the 15 FTD mutation carriers, 20% also had ALS (39).

MAPT
Microtubule Associated Protein Tau (MAPT) encodes tau proteins involved in microtubule stabilization and assembly. Mutations in this gene cause tau splicing alterations, promote tau cytoplasmic aggregation, or cause tau hyperphosphorylation, which generates microtubule instability (18, 69). Mutations in MAPT in FTD have been reported at 17.9% in a British study and 4.7% in a French study (70, 71). Interesting, MAPT mutations were absent in Korean and Indian cohorts (72, 73). Regarding LAC status, in Argentina, a missense mutation p.P301L in exon 10 of the MAPT gene has been described in a large family with a behavioral variant of FTD (44). In Brazil, 55 patients with behavioral variant FTD, 11 with semantic variant PPA, and 10 with non-fluent variant PPA were studied. In that study, MAPT mutations were found in 7.1% of the entire cohort and in 10.5% of the familial cases (54).

GRN
Progranulin protein is encoded by the GRN gene and is expressed in a wide variety of cell types both in the periphery and in the central nervous system (74). This protein has several functions including activation of signaling cascades for neuronal growth, inflammation, and wound repair (18, 19, 74). The frequency of GRN mutations in FTD has been reported to be 3–15% in studies in North America and Europe cohorts (60, 70, 71, 75–78), while in Asia, the frequency was 0–1.6% (72, 73, 79). Among family cases, frequencies of 24.8% have been described in northern Italy, 20% in the UK, and 14% in France (70, 71, 75). In Brazil, the same cohort described above also assessed GRN and identified mutations in 9.6% of the total cases, including 31.5% of the familial cases, making GRN mutations the most common form of monogenic FTD in that sample (54).

TARDBP
Gene codified for a protein called transactive response DNA binding protein 43 kDa (TDP-43). This protein has functions such as RNA transcription, splicing, transport, and stability (80–82). Mutations in TARDBP are not common. Mutations in TARDBP are identified mostly in familial ALS patients, but also in sporadic FTD, AD, and PD cases (83–87). In Brazil, Machado-Costa identified a TARDBP mutation in a 54-year-old patient diagnosed with semantic dementia. This mutation was identified in the exon 6 of TARDBP corresponding to a p.I1383V mutation (88).

Presenilin-1
PSN-1 gene is frequently mutated in familial AD (89, 90), however, some mutations in this gene can be associated with an FTD phenotype (91). PSN-1 mutations may be associated with FTD phenotype in a minority of cases (91, 92). An Argentine family with FTD history was studied and was identified with the M146V mutation in PSN-1. This family showed histopathological changes of both Pick's disease and AD (49).

TREM2
Homozygous or compound heterozygous mutations of TREM2 have been associated to Nasu-Hakola disease which is characterized by bone involvement with an early-onset FTD phenotype (93, 94). These mutations of TREM2 have also been associated with FTD-like presentations without bone involvement (95, 96). Patients with FTD-like syndromes have been identified harboring homozygous or compound heterozygous mutations in TREM2 including p.Q33X, p.Y38C, p.R47C, p.R62C, p.T66M, p.D86V, p.D87N, p.D134G, among others (93, 95–100). Also, for heterozygous mutations in TREM2, association studies have been performed to determine the conferred risk of each variant. Two meta-analyses of rare variants in TREM2 found that the p.R47H and p.T96K...
variants confer a 2- to 3-fold increased risk of FTD in European populations (101, 102). In a Colombian family that presented the bvFTD phenotype (and no bone phenotype) was identified TREM2 p.W198X mutation in homozygosity. The clinical phenotype identified in the Colombian family with homozygous TREM2 mutations suggests that the genetic basis of monogenic bvFTD in LAC may be more heterogeneous than the families observed in northern European populations (103).

**Neuroimaging and Neurocognitive Studies in FTD**

Classically, FTD cases show frontotemporal and insular atrophy in structural neuroimaging, with Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) (104). In functional neuroimaging including positron emission tomography (PET) or single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), hypometabolism and hypoperfusion have been described (105), suggesting the involvement of either structural and/or functional impairment of the frontal lobe in the pathogenesis of FTD (19). Recent advances in the study of neuroimages have incorporated new modalities such as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), resting-state functional MRI, arterial spin labeling (ASL), and tau PET imaging, allowing investigation of connectivity and molecular changes in different clinical populations (105). Aiming to understand the application of neuroimaging in FTD, several LAC teams have described novel techniques to further understand the underlying pathology of FTD and to help in the differential diagnosis. Here, we describe how neuroimaging has allowed us to study the neural bases of cognitive deficits in FTD using different techniques.

**MRI Studies**

**Structural**

The neuroanatomical correlates of different cognitive tasks were used to evaluate specific symptoms of FTD, to look for the pathogenic substrate of that clinical manifestation. A multinational team of researchers, including participants from Chile and France, aimed to identify and discriminate the structural anatomical markers of episodic memory impairment in bvFTD, comparing those patients with AD patients and healthy controls, finding that impairment of medial/lateral temporal atrophy is associated with memory deficits (38).

Social cognition deficits seem to be a critical marker of the disease. Reports from LAC in this domain have shown neurocognitive deficits in FTD related tofacial emotion recognition (106–109), empathy (34, 110–112), theory of mind (106, 107, 112), moral judgment (35, 113), moral emotions (114), and interoception (115).

A multinational team of researchers from Argentina, Chile, and Colombia looked for a structural correlate of the moral judgment impairment often seen in bvFTD, finding that in bvFTD patients, judge harm permissible had an inverted relationship with the gray matter volume in the precuneus, thus implying that processing intentions and outcomes for moral judgments rely on regions beyond the Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex (35). The same group also described that in bvFTD patients, impairment in intentionality comprehension was associated with atrophy on limbic structures like the amygdala and anterior paracingulate cortex, while impairment in empathic concern was associated with atrophy of the orbitofrontal cortex. This is one of the first LAC studies to provide a structural base for the core neurocognitive deficit in FTD (34). The aim of the previous study was mainly to find a structural correlate of symptoms. No description of the accuracy of these methods was described, to use it, for example, as a diagnostic biomarker. However, the authors propose further research is needed and could eventually have other uses, such as diagnosis clarification (34).

The contextual fluctuation different social abilities seems to be a hallmark of FTD (116–120), reported impaired in FTD populations from LAC (121, 122). Many of these contributions from LAC have evidenced a multi-feature framework of social cognition in FTD, connecting behavior, electrophysiology, and multimodal neuroimaging (50, 53, 115, 123–126).

Research that used machine-learning algorithms (computational-decision methods) to identify bvFTD and AD, was carried out by a team from Argentina and Colombia, in collaboration with one team from Australia (36). This team was the first one to validate the importance of cognitive-behavioral assessment and neuroanatomical measures combined to identify bvFTD and AD from controls (36). In addition, the combined methods showed high rates of classification (>91%) and prediction (>91%) of AD and bvFTD in new cohorts. These results demonstrate the importance of the application of computer methods combined with cognitive screening assessment (global cognition and executive function) and brain atrophy volume (voxel-based morphometry from fronto-temporo-insular regions in bvFTD) (36).

**Functional Connectivity**

In the field of neuroimaging, functional connectivity is a very sensitive tool that is becoming increasingly popular. Functional connectivity is defined by Friston “as the temporal coincidence of spatially distant neurophysiological events” (127). In LAC, this technique had no gold standard reported until a group from Argentina conducted a multicenter analysis of functional imaging in bvFTD (53). Their multidimensional approach involved fMRI and Graph theory to yield a gold-standard that can aid in the distinction between bvFTD and healthy controls. To evaluate Functional connectivity several analyses were performed: seed analysis, inter-regional connectivity, and graph-theory approaches. They found interesting results indicating that frontal and temporal areas showed less integrated and interconnected areas in FTD as described by Freeman “indicate the number of shortest paths that pass through a node and link the other node pairs across the network” (128). In addition, the authors showed in 148 patients that graph-theory based on weighted matrices could distinguish between bvFTD and other neurodegenerative diseases across centers, highlighting this technique as a potential gold standard to analyze brain networks in bvFTD. Moreover, betweenness centrality and graph theory are both methods able to detect brain connectivity abnormalities and discriminate bvFTD from healthy controls (53).
Summary of Neuroimaging and Neurocognitive Studies

The research for new and early biomarkers for neurodegenerative diseases, such as FTD, is one of the main goals of many research groups. All the presented research related to neuroimaging has very high relevance. Search for biomarkers for early diagnosis of neurodegenerative disease is pivotal and neuroimaging methods are potential sensitive biomarkers for being used in the population of the LAC region. The majority of the LAC research described in our review is based on structural neuroimaging, and functional imaging. Those biomarkers appear to be more affordable in the LAC context and further research is needed to expand these biomarkers across LAC, allowing a better diagnosis in a limited budget context. Nevertheless, other biomarkers are being used around the world, including functional imaging allowing in vivo imaging of proteins, DTI allowing to evaluate the connection between lobes, among others (105). They have provided important insights into FTD pathology, especially in HIC (104, 129), therefore an effort should also be done to increase the access to those resources for special cases.

Electroencephalographic Studies

A multicenter study, conducted by a team from Argentina and Colombia, developed a novel non-linear association method to evaluate the ability to identify patients with bvFTD and healthy controls based on resting-state functional connectivity. This method called weighted Symbolic Dependence Metric (wSDM) inspired by EEG studies and based on machine learning, proved to be superior to linear measurements (R Pearson) widely used in the identification of functional connectivity in patients with bvFTD (41, 47). Another similar non-linear connectivity method has been proven robust to classify FTD patients based on the dynamical fluctuation assessed with machine learning (130). This study also provided evidence of generalization of classification to both LAC and High-Income Countries (HIC) datasets. Although few studies with EEG were founded, EEG is a cheap and accessible method of research, especially useful for LMIC like in Latin America.

FTD-Related Fluid Biomarkers

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and blood are the most frequent fluids which have been described or studied as a diagnostic tool in dementia (131). Here, we will provide the most recent knowledge of the use of fluids biomarkers in LAC cohorts suffering from FTD.

Neurofilament Light Chain

NfL is a component of the neuronal cytoskeleton, which is involved in structural support, transport, and neurotransmission in neurons (132). NfL is released into the CSF and blood when neurodegeneration occurs (132). Increased levels of NfL have been reported in the CSF of patients with ALS and FTD (133, 134). NfL has been suggested as a marker of FTD severity, as high concentrations in CSF are associated with shorter survival (135). A strong correlation has been observed between plasma NfL concentrations and CSF (136, 137), and it has been shown that serum or plasma NfL levels are increased in FTD, reflecting disease severity and predicting clinical deterioration and brain volume loss (138–141). NfL concentration only increases during the symptomatic phase, while pre-symptomatic levels are usually similar to controls (142). NfL is also a promising blood biomarker in genetic frontotemporal dementia (GRN, C9orf72, and MAPT) (143). In a longitudinal study across people from Canada and Europe with pre-symptomatic and symptomatic genetic frontotemporal dementia, NfL levels showed changes over time and correlated with longitudinal imaging and clinical parameters. During the study, NfL levels were increased in persons who converted from pre-symptomatic, highlighting serum NfL as an easily accessible biomarker in genetic FTD dementia (143). Another study using a meta-analysis approach of fluid biomarkers to differentiate DFT from AD described that NfL were useful in distinguishing both diseases (144–146). The only report about FTD and NfL in the LAC region was done in Argentina, where 13 patients with bvFTD, 6 with lvPPA, 2 with svPPA, and 4 subjects with nfvPPA were studied. NfL levels in CSF in patients with bvFTD are higher than in MCI, AD, and controls (48), which has been described in other studies (145, 147, 148).

Progranulin

Progranulin is a pleiotropic growth factor that is expressed in multiple tissues and cell types throughout the human body, serving important roles in normal tissue development, proliferation, regeneration, inflammation, and tumorigenesis (149, 150). In the brain, progranulin is involved in both neuronal survival and neurodegenerative disease (74, 151). Mutations in GRN cause disease through haploinsufficiency and CSF and plasma progranulin concentrations are reduced in GRN mutation carriers (152). Central nervous system progranulin levels are regulated differently from peripheral progranulin levels in neurodegenerative diseases (134, 153–157). This has also been observed in healthy elderly subjects (155). Peripheral levels may not adequately represent progranulin levels in the central nervous system (155, 156). Very low plasma progranulin levels have been observed in FTD patients with GRN mutations compared with sporadic FTD (152, 158, 159), suggesting that this analysis is useful for detecting carriers of GRN mutations that cause haploinsufficiency (160). The only study using GRN in LAC was done in Brazil (54). Plasma progranulin were evaluated in 7 GRN mutation carriers, 55 non-carriers mutation and 60 healthy controls. Levels of plasma progranulin were significantly lower in the FTD group carriers of GRN mutations than in the FTD group without GRN mutations or in the control group. Plasma progranulin levels were also lower in the FTD without GRN mutations group, in comparison to the control group (54).

TDP-43

TDP-43 is a protein involved in alternative splicing and transcriptional regulation (161). In ALS and FTD, TDP-43 protein suffers ubiquitination, hyperphosphorylation, and also truncation of C-Terminal, increasing its aggregation profile leading to neurotoxicity and further cell death (19, 25). Elevated levels of TDP-43 have been observed in CSF in patients with
ALS and FTD, with higher concentrations in ALS than in FTD, suggesting that TDP-43 is a biomarker in this disease (162). This could be explained by the higher percentage of TDP-43-related pathology in ALS (∼97%), while in FTD a significant percentage is due to other (mainly tau deposits) pathologies (∼45%) (162). Majumder et al. conducted the first meta-analysis showing that TDP-43 in CSF is significantly increased in patients with FTD-ALS and ALS (163). However, this difference is not observed in patients with FTD alone. These data suggest the use of CSF TDP-43 as a biomarker for ALS (163). Plasma TDP-43 has been useful in differentiating FTD patients with TDP-43-based pathology from those with tau-based pathology (164). However, no differences in TDP-43 concentrations have been identified between patients with FTD and AD (165). By analyzing the phosphorylated form of TDP-43 (pTDP-43) which is added in the brain, they have shown a good correlation between plasma protein levels and pTDP-43 depositions in the brain (165). High concentrations of pTDP-43 in plasma were observed in C9orf72 and GRN mutation carriers, while total pTDP-43 levels were observed to be decreased (166).

All together suggest that TDP-43 may be used as a biomarker in FTD. However, at present, using our PRISMA methods we did not find studies in LAC countries and research of TDP-43 as a biomarker is still missing.

**Aβ-Amyloid, Tau, and P-Tau**

In a recent study, CSF amyloid-beta (Aβ)1−42, total tau (T-Tau), and phosphorylated tau (p-Tau) ratios, showed their clinical utility for differentiating AD from non-AD neurodegenerative dementias, distinguishing AD from both bvFTD and semantic dementias, distinguishing AD from both bvFTD and semantic dementias, suggesting that TDP-43 is a biomarker in this disease (162). This could be explained by the higher percentage of TDP-43-related pathology in ALS (∼97%), while in FTD a significant percentage is due to other (mainly tau deposits) pathologies (∼45%) (162). Majumder et al. conducted the first meta-analysis showing that TDP-43 in CSF is significantly increased in patients with FTD-ALS and ALS (163). However, this difference is not observed in patients with FTD alone. These data suggest the use of CSF TDP-43 as a biomarker for ALS (163). Plasma TDP-43 has been useful in differentiating FTD patients with TDP-43-based pathology from those with tau-based pathology (164). However, no differences in TDP-43 concentrations have been identified between patients with FTD and AD (165). By analyzing the phosphorylated form of TDP-43 (pTDP-43) which is added in the brain, they have shown a good correlation between plasma protein levels and pTDP-43 depositions in the brain (165). High concentrations of pTDP-43 in plasma were observed in C9orf72 and GRN mutation carriers, while total pTDP-43 levels were observed to be decreased (166).

All together suggest that TDP-43 may be used as a biomarker in FTD. However, at present, using our PRISMA methods we did not find studies in LAC countries and research of TDP-43 as a biomarker is still missing.

**GFAP**

Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) is a protein widely expressed by numerous cell types of CNS, including astrocytes (174, 175). GFAP, an established marker of astrogliosis in neurodegeneration (174, 175), have been recently described as a possible biomarker for FTD (176–179). Increased levels of GFAP have been reported in AD and ALS patients in both CSF and serum (57, 177). Previous studies of GFAP in FTD showed increased CSF levels in symptomatic patients, however, changes in this protein's levels in the blood have not been identified (177–179). In a recent study, GFAP concentration was analyzed in FTD patients carrying mutations in C9orf72, GRN and, MAPT in both symptomatic and pre-symptomatic subjects (176). Increased plasma levels of GFAP were only observed in GRN mutation carriers. In pre-symptomatic stages of the disease, elevated GFAP concentrations were correlated with lower cognitive test scores and lower brain volumes, suggesting that GFAP increases in late pre-symptomatic stages. In symptomatic stages, higher GFAP concentrations were associated with faster rates of atrophy, suggesting that GFAP could be associated with disease intensity, progression, and survival (176). In our LAC regions, no studies in GFAP levels have been performed.

**Inflammatory Biomarkers**

It has been suggested that immune activation may be an early cause of neurodegeneration (180) or that the addition or accumulation of tau or TDP-43 induces an increased cytotoxic response leading to chronic neuroinflammation (181–183). In FTD, the immune response is likely to be triggered by the accumulation of poorly folded tau proteins or TDP-43, or the deregulation caused by signals released by damaged neurons or the deregulation of mechanisms to remove poorly folded or damaged neuronal proteins. These processes lead to neurodegeneration (180, 184–186). Changes in inflammatory markers in blood, serum, and CSF have been reported in different FTD subtypes, suggesting that inflammatory factors play an important role in the pathogenesis of the disease (187). Biomarkers include some of the pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and secondary messengers that coordinate the immune response through regulation of innate and adaptive responses in the periphery (188, 189).

Patients with genetic and sporadic FTD share similar patterns of inflammation at CSF (179). Patients with FTD show overexpression of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and transforming growth factor (TGF-b1) in CSF, as well as microglia activation in atrophic areas of the brain (190, 191). One study of sporadic DFT reported elevated CSF levels of TNF-α (192), while another study reported decreased CSF levels of IL-12 (193). Smaller studies reported elevated CXCL8 and IL-15 levels in the CSF (194, 195). However, all of these findings were not reproduced in a subsequent study (196). Reports of elevated CSF levels from TGF-β and IL-11 (192, 197) have not yet been reproduced or denied, while two studies have identified elevated CSF levels from CCL2 in sporadic FTD (194, 196). Progranulin appears to be involved in neuroinflammation and microglia activation (198–200). In a small cohort of GRN mutation carriers, an apparent CSF profile of elevated CXCL10 and decreased levels of TNF-α, IL-15, and CCL5 have been described (196). Another recently identified marker of neuroinflammation is soluble TREM2. TREM2 encodes a receptor expressed on immune cells
that regulate phagocytosis. In the brain, TREM2 is expressed exclusively by microglia (201), and it has been suggested that TREM2 levels are a marker of microglia and neuroinflammation activity (202). In carriers of TREM2 mutations, sTREM2 CSF levels are decreased, suggesting a loss of function as a pathological mechanism (203). One study also found that CSF sTREM2 levels decreased in a larger cohort of patients with FTD, including carriers with C9orf72 and GRN mutations (204).

Studies of circulating inflammatory biomarkers in patients with FTD are scarce even though blood samples are easier to obtain than CSF and also, the results have been inconsistent. One study shows that IL-6 levels are increased in FTD patients carrying GRN mutations when compared to pre-symptomatic carriers, suggesting an inflammatory response when FTD symptoms appear (205). In a cross-sectional study of patients with a mutation in the gene CHMP2B associated frontotemporal dementia, levels of inflammatory markers such as CCL4, IL-15, CXCL10, CCL22, and TNF-α were found increased and significantly associated with cognitive decline, suggesting a peripheral inflammatory response to neurodegeneration (206).

In Brazil, Fraga et al. for the first time evaluated different proteins involved in the immune response in patients with FTD (43). The proteins evaluated in plasma were high sensitivity C reactive protein (hsCRP), TNF, IL-β1, IL-6, TGF-β1, LXA4, and AnxA1, and investigated changes in LXA4 e AnxA1 levels in CSF bvFTD patients. For AnxA1 alone, a reduction in plasma levels was demonstrated in bvFTD patients compared to AD and controls. However, no difference was observed between AD and bvFTD in CSF (43). Another study performed in Brazil analyzed a B7-CD28/CTLA-4 pathway that is an important immunological signaling pathway involved in the modulation of T cell activation. Forty-six patients were included in this study divided into three groups: 27 AD, 10 FTD, and 9 control patients. The FTD group was composed of 7 patients with bvFTD, 2 patients with progressive non-fluent aphasia, and 1 patient with semantic dementia. CTLA-4 expression showed a reduction in FTD patients compared to AD or control groups (R. R. 205).

Proteomics and Metabolomics

Unbiased mass spectrometry (MS) was performed and identified 20 differentially abundant proteins between symptomatic GRN mutation carriers and 24 non-carriers and 9 between 19 symptomatic and 9 pre-symptomatic mutation carriers. These results were validated in subjects symptomatic and pre-symptomatic mutation carriers of C9orf72 and MAPT, in addition to GRN carriers (143). A validation study performed by targeted mass spectrometry showed significantly lower levels of NPTXR, CHGA, VSTM2B, PTPRN2, and VGF in symptomatic GRN mutation carriers compared to pre-symptomatic and non-carriers. Four of the 5 protein decreases (NPTXR, VSTM2B, CHGA, and PTPRN2) were observed in symptomatic GRN carriers as well as symptomatic C9orf72 carriers, suggesting that these changes are not specific for GRN associated FTD. In MAPT mutation carriers, significant differences in protein concentrations were only found for NPTXR and CHGA. This suggests that may there be differences in pathophysiology in MAPT mutation carriers or it may be due to the smaller sample size (143). The results show that synaptic, secretory vesicle, and inflammatory proteins are dysregulated in the symptomatic stage in mutation carriers and may provide new insights into the pathophysiology of genetic FTD (143). One study performed in Brazil using gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) included nine patients with bvFTD, 17 with AD and 15 cognitively healthy controls in the training set, whose data were validated on a testing set of 8 bvFTD, 14 AD, and 10 controls (52). Differences were identified when compared to the bvFTD and control groups, but not between bvFTD and AD groups. The bvFTD group showed decreased levels of plasma of metabolites related to glycine/serine/threonine, alanine/aspartate/glutamate pathways, and aminoacetyl-tRNA biosynthesis when compared to controls. These results suggest that impairment of amino acid metabolism and the translation process may be present in bvFTD patients (52).

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

FTD, like the rest of dementias, is a public health problem, often underdiagnosed, undertreated, and not fully understood. This situation is especially relevant in LAC, presenting several barriers to diagnosis, treatment, and further research on FTD. In this review, we showed local efforts to make research on biomarkers in the LAC region. Until today most of the knowledge about FTD comes from North America and Europe cohorts, providing guidelines and descriptions that do not necessarily capture the local reality in terms of psychopathology, genetics, or diagnostic tools. Aligned with that, our current analysis in this systematic review revealed only 21 articles published between January 2000 until November 2020 in LAC, considering FTD participants and genetic, neuroimaging, or fluid biomarkers studies (Table 1). Interestingly, most of the researchers are coming from Argentina, and Brazil, representing more than 55% of all of the manuscripts (Table 2). Most of the literature comes from genetics and neuroimaging studies, representing ~70% of the articles. As we showed in Figure 2, the C9orf72 gene is widely represented in familial and sporadic cases from Chile, Brazil and Argentina, followed by MAPT and GRN genes, as described in HIC. Several neuroimaging techniques are being used, however, most of the LAC research described in our review is based on structural neuroimaging, functional imaging, and EEG. In this context, further research is needed to expand these biomarkers across LAC, allowing a better and accurate diagnosis.

Important to emphasize, studies on fluid biomarkers also proceeded exclusively from Brazil and Argentina (37, 40, 43, 48, 51, 52). Nfl, PGNR, and TDP-43 proteins appear to be the best molecules for FTD diagnosis in most of the studies. However, no studies of TDP-43 in the LAC region have been performed to distinguish controls from dementia patients, making it clear that it is imperative to develop and study fluid biomarkers in our regions. Despite the contribution of LAC studies, our review suggests that biomarkers research is still needed to increase the comprehension knowledge about FTD pathology in LAC and
Knowledge of the clinical manifestation of FTD has progressed exponentially over the past 20 years (19). However, the heterogeneity of the clinical outcome of FTD together with the potential overlapping with other conditions leads to considerable misdiagnosis by clinicians (19). In context, clinicians, biomedical, and basic researchers have increased their contribution to clinical diagnosis. Biomarkers research is yet limited in number with a small sample size or simply case reports. In a recent study, plasma p-tau181 distinguished AD of DFT with an AUC of 100% (172), suggesting that this protein could be used as a potential diagnosis tool, however no studies of this protein has been developed in the LAC region.

### TABLE 2 | Quantity distribution of papers.

| Papers | Country | | Category |
|--------|---------|---|---------|
|         | Argentina | Brazil | Colombia | Chile | Australia | France | Genetics | Neuroimaging | Fluid biomarkers |
| Quantity/Percentage (%) | 12/35.3 | 7/20.6 | 7/20.6 | 5/14.7 | 2/5.9 | 1/2.9 | 7/30.4 | 10/43.5 | 6/26.1 |

Quantity and percentage of papers by country and category for the data analysis.

![FIGURE 2 | Genetics biomarkers of FTD in LAC. The presence and frequency of FTD genetic biomarkers (TREM2, C9orf72, MAPT, GRN, TARDBP, and PSN-1) in LAC.](image-url)
awareness about this disabling neurodegenerative condition, especially in vulnerable regions such as LAC. Moreover, considering the mixed genetic heritage of LAC and the high prevalence of cardiovascular risk (207), among others, we highlight the need to develop strategies to increase research in the region to study the contribution of biomarkers, mainly fluid biomarkers, to understand the pathology of FTD and improve diagnosis.

Recent years have seen a rapid development of biomarkers for FTD and other dementias (29–31). LAC region is experiencing increased demand for harmonized, innovative, and cross-regional studies on dementias, including FTD. Across the LAC countries, the case of FTD is even more challenging than AD. LAC region may be driven by unique genetic factors which could influence the prevalence and presentation of dementia (1–3, 208–213). However, region-specific determinants remain unknown and the region is still underrepresented in international publications/journals including studies in prevalence, social determinants, and local research of genetics and biomarkers (2, 3). Thus, specific knowledge on the regional reality of LAC is still scarce and limited (2, 3, 214). It is important to mention that the most frequent limitations raised by researchers are the lack of infrastructure, technology, availability of samples from native populations specific to each LAC country, and the high costs associated with biomarker analysis (3).

Recently, multiple regional research efforts have been developed in LAC countries focused on the use of machine learning for the combination of neuroimaging modalities as well as behavioral/cognitive assessment to a better understanding of different dementias in our region (36, 130, 215–219). A multi-feature framework, targeting no one single potential biomarker, but a multilevel combination of measures, tuned by machine learning algorithms robust to assess simultaneously multiple features, supporting redundancy of information, and extracting the main components via progressive feature elimination process, would represent a new-generation promissory approach to target the complex multimodal nature of FTD. Dementia research in the region is certainly reduced in comparison with HIC, highlighting an urgent need to integrate different areas of dementia knowledge with a more global perspective (6, 209). Thus, the development of a more extended regional network establishing multi-center LAC initiatives is critical for global discovery and research standardization of dementia in these underrepresented cohorts.
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