Effects of the initial axial strain state on the response to transverse stress of high-performance RRP Nb$_3$Sn wires
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Abstract
High-performance Nb$_3$Sn superconducting wires have become one of the key technologies for the development of next generation accelerator magnets. While their large critical current densities enable the design of compact accelerator-quality magnets for their operation above 10 T, the noticeable reduction of the conductor performance due to mechanical strain appears as a new essential characteristic in magnet design.

In this work, we extensively investigate the effect of transverse loads, up to 250 MPa, in state-of-the-art Nb$_3$Sn Restacked-Rod-Process round superconducting wires. The tests are performed using a compressive Walters spring device, where the force is applied to the resin-impregnated wire, and the critical current is measured under magnetic fields ranging from 16 to 19 T. As a complement, critical current measurements under axial strain are also performed using a standard Walters spring. Interestingly, the study shows that the wire’s electro-mechanical response under transverse stress depends on the initial axial strain condition. Nonetheless, when the main direction load becomes predominant, all tested wires converge to a common behavior. This observation allowed us to combine the results from critical current measurements under the loads exerted in both directions (axial and transverse), shedding some new light on the mechanisms behind critical current degradation.
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1. Introduction
The search for high magnetic fields in superconducting accelerator magnets has contributed over the last decades to several important technological breakthroughs. One of the keys for this evolution has been the development of practical superconductors able to operate beyond the limits of the well-known Nb-Ti technology. Due to their larger upper critical field, Nb$_3$Sn (Niobium-Tin) conductors have become in the present days the preferred solution for the winding of the new generation superconducting coils.

High performance Nb$_3$Sn wires are primarily defined by large critical current densities, an essential parameter that permits the design of compact-size magnet units. Thanks to it, and accompanied by comprehensive programs for conductor development, the use of this new technology has unlocked the
previous magnetic field frontiers and has allowed the design of accelerator magnets for their operation above 10 T [1, 2].

The first milestone for Nb$_3$Sn applications in High Energy Physics (HEP) research programs will be achieved with the installation of the Niobium-Tin dipole and quadrupole magnets for the High-Luminosity upgrade of the LHC (HL-LHC) at CERN [3]. These will be the first Nb$_3$Sn magnets ever operating in a particle accelerator and will pave the way towards potential future hadron colliders seeking for larger collision energies, as it is the case for instance, of the Future Circular Collider (FCC) [4].

The HL-LHC conductors constitute the present state-of-the-art for Nb$_3$Sn accelerator technology. They have their origin in the research programs started in the mid-1990s, and extended over the following decades [5]. During the first part of that period, the critical current density within the non-copper wire area ($J_c$) was substantially pushed from nearly 2000 A mm$^{-2}$ to above 3000 A mm$^{-2}$ at 12 T and 4.2 K. In the course of the next years after this important achievement, further efforts consolidated the conductor performance and made possible the attainment of long production lengths. As a result, nowadays the wires have demonstrated, with large margin, the capability of surpassing the target of $J_c > 1000$ A mm$^{-2}$ at 16 T and 4.2 K while maintaining the necessary properties for stable operation and magnet protection [6–9].

An equally important aspect of Nb$_3$Sn superconductors is, however, their reduced mechanical strain tolerance. The critical current density of Nb$_3$Sn wires strongly depends on the mechanical strain applied to the superconducting phase [10–27], this last being, in addition, a brittle intermetallic compound with a noteworthy propensity to fracture. For the most relevant cases in magnet design, the action of mechanical loads translates consequently into a reduction of the conductor performance, i.e. a reduction of the wire’s $J_c$.

The mentioned decrease of the available critical current density happens in two well-distinguished regimes. On one side, there is a reversible component of the reduction, which is fully recovered when the external load is removed, and is associated to the decrease of the upper critical field ($B_{c2}$) under mechanical strain [19]. On the other side, there exists an irreversible and thus permanent component, which occurs when the loads exceed a critical threshold, and that can be ascribed to plastic deformation in the wire’s copper matrix and to superconducting filament breakage [28]. In a scenario where the design of present and next generation accelerator magnets entails peak stresses in the coils that are in the level of 150–200 MPa [29], the degradation of the conductor performance due to mechanical strain appears to be a parameter of the highest importance for new Nb$_3$Sn magnets [30].

Establishing the mechanical limits at which Nb$_3$Sn superconductors can operate safely, and understanding the mechanisms behind critical current degradation is hence crucial, and requires the development of dedicated experiments able to reproduce the conditions experienced by the conductor inside superconducting magnets. Performing such a task is nonetheless a very involving activity due to the complexity of the system. As far as Nb$_3$Sn accelerator magnet’s coils are concerned, they are in a large extent wound using insulated Rutherford cables composed of many single wires transposed together. These cables are ultimately resin-impregnated, conforming a solid winding pack intended for an homogeneous distribution of the mechanical loads inside the coils. In what regards the loads, the cables and by extent the wires, are subjected to different types of them, appearing both during magnet construction and operation. In general, restricting ourselves to the operation regime, the most severe loads are the ones acting in the cables’ transverse direction (orthogonal to the cables’ broad face) and usually located in the coils’ mid-planes of new high field designs. The study of their effects in conductor performance is, by implication, of special interest for the magnet community.

The first designs for experimental setups aimed at testing the conductor response to mechanical loads date from the early 1980s by Ekin, who performed novel critical current measurements under tensile axial strain for short wire sections [11]. Since then, different strategies have been developed for evaluating the impact of mechanics in the critical current ($J_c$) of Nb$_3$Sn superconductors [31]. Related to accelerator magnet applications, they range from critical current measurements in full cables (or sub-scale cables) down to single wires, on which the conductor is subjected to the action of a certain type of load [32–50].

Employing the latter type of these experiments, we have launched at University of Geneva a complete test campaign for the investigation of the response of Nb$_3$Sn wires to transverse loads [30]. The scope of the study is to analyze the strain dependence and the irreversible reduction of the critical current of single impregnated wires, when tested in conditions that reproduce their state inside accelerator coil windings. To do so, we make use of a test setup based on a modified Walters spring, as will be explained in detail in section 2. Interestingly, from the first results obtained, we have found that the electro-mechanical response of Nb$_3$Sn impregnated wires to transverse strain is determined by a combination of different factors, which are intrinsic and extrinsic to the wires. They include: (i) the way mechanical loads are applied to the wire and the wire’s geometry (round, or 15% rolled reproducing the geometry of the wires present in superconducting cables), (ii) the wire technology and its filament layout, and (iii) the stiffness of the resin system used for impregnation [28, 51].

In this manuscript, we continue the work initiated for Powder-In-Tube (PIT) conductors in [30], and we extend it to the electro-mechanical characterization of state-of-the-art Nb$_3$Sn Restacked-Rod-Process (RRP) wires. We report on the first results from tests performed in a set of round samples of the same wire. For them, critical current measurements under loads applied in transverse direction were performed in presence of magnetic fields ranging from 16T to 19T. We have identified an important role of the axial strain component in the response of the wires to transverse loads. In view of it, the transverse measurements have been combined with critical current measurements under axial strain, obtaining a complete description of the phenomenology. Our work covers as well two other fundamental aspects: (1) the identification of the total reduction of critical current experienced under transverse stresses up to 250 MPa and (2) the determination of the stress...
of the test setup, the sample holder is composed of two parts: a movable lower part, able to translate axially, and a top part, which is fixed to the measuring probe. The transverse force is applied by means of a pulling rod that acts on the lower part of the sample holder, displacing it towards the top fixed anvil and applying the desired compression. The anvil extends over a length of 126 mm, defining the U-shaped cavity where the impregnated wire sits. The rest of the cavity’s dimensions can be adjusted for different wires as function of their diameter. For the presented case, the cavity remains 1.15 mm in width and 2 mm in height. Regarding the sample impregnation, it has been performed using a de-gassed mixture of epoxy resin (type L + hardener L provided by R&G Faserverbundenwerkstoffe) and filler (thixotropic agent provided by SCS-Füllstoffe) in a 100:40:2 weight ratio. The choice for this impregnation system relies on its favorable characteristics for manipulation and on its similar measured elastic modulus, when compared to the one of CDT-101 K resin used for the impregnation of HL-LHC Nb₃Sn coils [56, 57]. To ensure a proper current transfer, the wire is soldered over 250 mm to the sample holder extremities. Finally, the superconducting to normal transition is measured using voltage taps, which are placed at the entrance and exit of the groove. They are separated by the distance of a complete wire turn, 126 mm. This large gauge length allows us to use the stringent criterion of 0.1 μV cm⁻¹ for critical current determination.

2. Experimental campaign description

A set of identical RRP Nb₃Sn samples, extracted from one of the production billets to be used for the new HL-LHC Nb₃Sn interaction region quadrupole magnets (known as MQXF) [52], were investigated during this experimental campaign. With a diameter of 0.85 mm, the wires are composed of 108 sub-elements embedded in a high-purity Cu matrix following the 108/127 hexagonal restack [53]. The nominal resultting copper to superconductor ratio (Cu/SC) is specified to be 1.2. Reproducing what is done for MQXF superconducting coils, the samples have been reacted following the reaction heat treatment indicated in table 1. A picture of the wire cross-section before reaction is shown in figure 1.

Concerning the measurements, three types of tests at cryogenic temperature (4.2 K) have been performed as part of the characterization campaign: (1) critical current measurements under compressive transverse loads, (2) critical current measurements under axial loads, and (3) stress–strain measurements for the study of the wires’ mechanical properties.

2.1. Compressive WASP (C-WASP) measurements

The transverse compressive tests were carried out using a measurement device with a geometry similar to a Walters spring (referred as C-WASP) [28, 54, 55], where the force is applied to the resin-impregnated wire and the critical current is measured under magnetic fields ranging from 16 T to 19 T. As it can be seen in figure 2, which shows a three dimensional model

| Temperature plateau | Ramp rate |
|---------------------|-----------|
| 210 °C/48 h         | 25 °C/h   |
| 400 °C/48 h         | 50 °C/h   |
| 665 °C/50 h         | 50 °C/h   |
| Cool down           | 100 °C/h  |

Table 1. RRP 0.85 mm 108/127 reaction heat treatment.

2.2. Axial WASP (WASP) measurements

Critical current measurements under axial strain were performed using a Walters spring (WASP) device. In this case, a one-piece sample holder with the geometry of a thick spring hosts the wire as shown in figure 3. The desired axial strain is applied to the sample as a result of the spring deformation, induced by a controlled rotation of one of its extremities. The rotation angle determines the strain level, while its direction specifies the compressive or tensile behavior. A more detailed explanation on how the device works can be found in [58]. For the tests reported in this manuscript, the axial WASP measurements were done at 15 T < B < 19 T with two different objectives: (1) to investigate the wire’s critical current as function of the applied axial strain and (2) to determine the value of critical current at the wires’ zero applied axial strain state (Ic0 0 stain). Precisely, the zero applied strain state corresponds to a test condition that ensures that no external loads are exerted to the wire, and therefore, the superconducting phase is just subjected to the mechanical strain arising from the thermal contraction mismatch between the different materials of the composite wire. While the first type of measurement follows a standard procedure, the second one presents some particularities that are carefully explained in [59].

In all cases, and like in the transverse experiment, the criterion for critical current determination is set to 0.1 μV cm⁻¹, where four voltage tap pairs are placed along the spring path. Each of them separated by a distance equal to the length of a full turn (126 mm).
2.3. Mechanical stress–strain measurements

At last, the mechanical stress–strain measurements were performed at 4.2 K, using straight wire samples subjected to uni-axial tensile loads. The displacement and the force readings were done using extensometers and load cells respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The role of axial strain in the response of the wires to transverse loads

Three round samples were tested under transverse applied loads. Figure 4 reports the results from the critical current measurements performed in the compressive WASP configuration at 4.2 K and 19 T. The plot displays the evolution of the $I_c$ with applied stress using two types of markers: full markers correspond to the value of critical current when the wire is loaded, and empty markers correspond to the value when the load has been removed. The measurement’s sequence accounts for the removal of the load each two stress steps, with the objective of analyzing in detail the onset of permanent damage in the wires. The compressive stress value is computed using a purely geometrical relationship,

$$\sigma = \frac{\text{Force}_{\text{measured}}}{\text{Width}_{\text{anvil}} \times \text{Length}_{\text{anvil}}},$$

where the applied force is gradually increased up to a maximum of 35 kN, and the area of contact is calculated using $\text{width}_{\text{anvil}} = 1.15 \text{ mm}$ and $\text{length}_{\text{anvil}} = 126 \text{ mm}$.

Even though all samples are identical and they were prepared following the same process, the figure shows that they present a different initial critical current ($I_{c0}$—defined as the critical current at 0 MPa) and a different electro-mechanical response to transverse stress. The earliest measured sample, called Sample 1, shows an $I_{c0} = 124 \text{ A}$, the second sample...
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Table 2. $B_{c2}$ values extracted from the C-WASP experiment.

| Transverse stress (MPa) | $B_{c2}^{\text{load}}$ (T) | $B_{c2}^{\text{unload}}$ (T) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sample 1               | 21                      | 25.7                     |
|                        | 145                     | 24.7                     |
|                        | 214                     | 23.3                     |
| Sample 2               | 35                      | 24.89                    |
|                        | 35                      | 24.87                    |
| Sample 3               | 186                     | 23.55                    |
|                        | 242                     | 22                       |

(Sample 2) presents an $I_{c0} = 110$ A, and Sample 3 shows the
lowest value among the three, with $I_{c0} = 107$ A.

With increasing stress levels, all the wires converge to a
common behavior under the presence of the transverse load. This phenomenon is clearly identified in figure 4 by the over-
lap of the three curves represented with solid markers. The
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loads ($\sigma_{\text{applied}} > 160$ MPa, in this experiment). Interestingly,
the same effect is not perceived once the load is removed.
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differences. The understanding of this behavior, not seen in
previous experimental campaigns [30], constitutes the novelty
of this work and sheds new light on the mechanisms behind
critical current degradation.
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In the presented case, since no transverse loads are applied, the
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In a similar way to the compressive tests, we also studied
the field dependence of the critical current in the WASP tests.
Critical current measurements at different fields were done for
selected axial strain levels. The obtained $B_{c2}$ values are shown
in table 3. A very similar upper critical field to that of samples
2 and 3 has been found for an axial strain level close to zero

Table 3. $B_{c2}$ values extracted from the WASP experiment.

| Wire’s axial strain (%) | $B_{c2}$ (T) |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| −0.15                   | 22.95       |
| 0.03                    | 24.7        |
| 0.12                    | 25.7        |
| 0.22                    | 26.2        |
| 0.31                    | 26.1        |

Figure 5. (a) Critical current evolution as function of the applied axial strain for a reference wire measured in the WASP device. Only the measurements at 19T are shown for simplicity. The dashed rectangle delimits the zoomed region shown in (b). (b) Detailed zoom of the WASP measurement. Markers display the interpolated values of strain for the three C-WASP samples.

On the other hand, a $B_{c2}$ value close to the one of Sample 1 has been identified at an axial strain level of 0.12%. The results thus confirm the presence of an initial axial tensile component in the strain state of Sample 1, while Samples 2 and 3 are close to a zero level of strain in that direction.

The difference in the axial strain between wires, calculated to be in the range of 0.05%–0.09% in the last two paragraphs, is thought to have its origin in the delicate sample mounting activities as mentioned before. The wires may be pulled differently during the preparation process, introducing an elastic axial strain component that is kept by the soldering of the wire extremities. Figure 6 shows the result from two stress–strain measurements performed to support the explanation. As it can be seen from the test outcome, an axial force as small as 20–30N is enough to reach the required level of strain of 0.05%–0.09%.

Our investigation on the novel measured behavior for the three samples is finally completed by reviewing the evolution of the wires’ response at increasing stress levels. As already introduced, the elastic axial pre-strain component seems to be released at large applied transverse stress levels when the load is present. This phenomenon could be partially explained by a strain release mechanism resulting from the Poisson effect of the applied transverse load, together with the geometry and particularities of the test setup. Finite Element simulations performed in [62] for wires enclosed in a cavity and subjected to transverse forces, confirm the relevant effects seen in axial direction. A permanent contribution to the release of the axial strain may come as well from the plastic deformation of the stabilizing matrix and from filament cracks. In this regard, several works are available in the community dealing with the plastic release of residual strain for Nb$_3$Sn wires [63, 64]. Although in our case, the lack of convergence after force removal points out that permanent effects are not the main factor present. The identification of the exact strain release mechanism acting in our test setup is left open for further research and will be tackled in future works.

3.2. The effect of transverse loads on conductor performance

Having shown that the axial strain component is behind the observed differences between the wires, the last part of the measurement in figure 4 provides the second piece of essential
Figure 7. Critical current evolution as function of the applied transverse stress using normalized values. In plot (a), the value for normalization has been chosen to be the $I_c^0$ of each wire as explained in the text. Plot (b), instead, shows the results when the common $I_c^0$ for all wires is considered. In both cases, the horizontal dashed line shows the 95% threshold, while the red box contains the range at which this line intersects the three wires.

Figure 8. $B_{c2}$ evolution after force removal for the investigated wires and a PIT sample measured in [30]. The fact that the decrease in $B_{c2}$ for the RRP wires with zero initial applied strain (Samples 2 and 3) is approximately 50% of the one reported for PIT, may indicate a different degradation mechanism.

information from the study. At high level of stress, $\sigma_{applied} > 160$ MPa, all samples show the same critical current under load and a certain decrease of $I_c$ when the load is removed. From the figure, we can identify the start of the $I_c$ decrease after force removal at around 110MPa for sample 1 and at 130 MPa for samples 2 and 3. A permanent reduction in critical current upon force unloading indicates the onset of critical current degradation in the wire. The determination of the experimental value of stress at which this occurs ($\sigma_{irr}$) is an exercise of the highest impact for magnet design. Its value can be conventionally obtained by defining a critical threshold for the fraction of $I_c$ recovered after force unloading ($\frac{I_c^{unload}}{I_c^0}$). Following our standard convention, we propose a threshold value of 5% at 19T. Nevertheless, the variation in $I_c^0$ for the measured identical wires makes the analysis delicate. Using each wire’s initial critical current for normalization, we obtained the following $\sigma_{irr}$ stress values (figure 7(a)): $\sigma_{irr}$ Sample 1 = 145 MPa, $\sigma_{irr}$ Sample 2 = 175 MPa and $\sigma_{irr}$ Sample 3 = 175 MPa. As an alternative, in order to remove from the analysis the influence of axial strain, we propose a second criterion for the computation of a meaningful $\sigma_{irr}$. It consists in normalizing the response of the three wires with the value of $I_c^0$ at zero applied axial strain, which is common for all samples ($\frac{I_c^{unload}}{I_c^0 \ \sigma_{strain}}$). Using this expedient we can compare the high stress response of the wires, irrespective of the initial state of the sample. In this case, the computed $\sigma_{irr} \ \sigma_{strain}$ lays in the range of 170–200MPa as seen in figure 7(b). Note that this is a conventional assumption that we make to compare the results, not necessarily pointing to a physical explanation of the $I_c$ reduction.

Lastly, by studying the wires’ behavior under load, we found that a transverse stress level of 150 MPa (in line with the stress values expected during operation of new high-field magnet designs) induces an $I_c$ reduction at 19T of about 16%–28% with respect to each wire’s initial critical current ($\frac{I_c^{load}}{I_c^0}$). Though the reduction at lower fields would be smaller, the plot highlights the essential role of mechanics in the performance of these wires, and motivates its impact in magnet design. A complete summary of the results is shown in table 4.

3.3. An insight into the degradation mechanisms of RRP Nb$_3$Sn wires

To conclude the analysis, we investigated the full evolution of the upper critical field along the transverse loading history. This study adds very valuable information on the mechanisms causing the critical current degradation. The most relevant data can be extracted from the permanent effects in $B_{c2}$ remaining after load removal. As already mentioned in the introduction, the irreversible decrease of the critical current under transverse
loads results from the combination of two effects: the plastic deformation of the Cu matrix, and the breakage of the Nb$_3$Sn filaments. The effects of these two phenomena on the critical current are different. On one side, the effect of residual strain decreases the wire’s $B_{c2}$ due to a deformation of the Nb$_3$Sn crystal structure. On the other side, filament cracks just reduce the available area of superconductor leaving $B_{c2}$ almost unaltered. Figure 8 summarizes the results obtained for the $B_{c2}$ evolution of the investigated samples, and adds for comparison the example of a PIT wire published in [30]. The behavior of Sample 1 is understood in terms of its initial tensile axial strain component and the mechanical strain release mechanism described before. The cyclic loading seems to release the initial strain, slowly converging to the $B_{c2}$ values of the two other samples. Therefore, for the sake of a fair comparison, only the measurements of the wires with an initial zero applied axial strain state, which include the PIT sample, are considered in the analysis. Under the same range of pressure, the decrease in $B_{c2}$ is considerably smaller for the two RRP samples with zero initial applied strain (Samples 2 and 3) than for their PIT counterpart, approximately 50%. The reduced impact in $B_{c2}$ upon force unloading for these specific samples may point to a small effect of residual plastic strain in the copper matrix. Unlike in the PIT wire, the permanent reduction of $I_c$ for the two RRP samples seems to be driven by the breakage of superconducting filaments. We plan to investigate further this result, which could have important implications for magnet design.

4. Conclusions

This manuscript presents the results from an experimental campaign aimed at characterizing the electro-mechanical response of state-of-the-art RRP Nb$_3$Sn wires to mechanical loads. With the objective of obtaining relevant information on magnet performance from a single wire experiment, we investigated the effect of loads applied independently in transverse and axial directions. Special emphasis was put in the understanding of the effect of the initial axial strain in the wire’s response to transverse loads. The main outcome from the work is enumerated next:

(a) We identified a remarkable effect of the initial axial strain state in the wire’s response to transverse loads. The axial component determines the initial critical current and its evolution at low transverse stress levels.

(b) At high stress levels, and while the force is being applied ($\sigma_{\text{applied}} > 160$ MPa in this experiment), the effect of the axial strain component is overcome and the transverse load becomes dominant.

In this regime all wires show the same critical current.

(c) Critical current tests under axial loads served to provide the needed complimentary information for the study. The results shown in this manuscript suggest that it is always essential to consider the complete strain tensor in the analysis of the electro-mechanical limits of Nb$_3$Sn superconductors.

(d) The transverse stress value leading to a conventional permanent reduction in $I_c$, of 5%, has been found to be in the range of 145–175 MPa. The different initial axial strain state is behind the range obtained for the three samples of the same wire.

(e) By studying the wires’ response at different magnetic fields, we found a very limited permanent decrease in the upper critical field ($B_{c2}$) resulting from transverse stresses up to 250 MPa. This fact suggests that the dominating mechanism behind critical current degradation, for the investigated wires, is the breakage of superconducting filaments.
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