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OpenSep: a generalizable open source pipeline for SOFA score calculation and Sepsis-3 classification
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ABSTRACT

EHR-based sepsis research often uses heterogeneous definitions of sepsis leading to poor generalizability and difficulty in comparing studies to each other. We have developed OpenSep, an open-source pipeline for sepsis phenotyping according to the Sepsis-3 definition, as well as determination of time of sepsis onset and SOFA scores. The Minimal Sepsis Data Model was developed alongside the pipeline to enable the execution of the pipeline to diverse sources of electronic health record data. The pipeline’s accuracy was validated by applying it to the MIMIC-IV version 1.0 data and comparing sepsis onset and SOFA scores to those produced by the pipeline developed by the curators of MIMIC. We demonstrated high reliability between both the sepsis onsets and SOFA scores, however the use of the Minimal Sepsis Data model developed for this work allows our pipeline to be applied to more broadly to data sources beyond MIMIC.
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LAY SUMMARY

Despite significant interest in the prediction, identification, and prompt treatment of sepsis, the definition of sepsis varies greatly between different studies. Here we present an open source pipeline for determining the presence and time of onset of sepsis. We have designed this pipeline to require the minimal possible information to make this determination to avoid the need for excessive data manipulation. The proposed pipeline shows good alignment with previously a previously published Sepsis pipeline that is much more difficult to apply to diverse datasets due to its complex data model. We hope that by making this work publically available we can reduce redundant effort and improve consistency of Sepsis definitions used in the literature.
INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is most often conceptualized as “life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection (RTI).” Unfortunately, as no “gold standard” diagnostic test exists, identification of sepsis has relied on surrogate markers that combine suspicion of infection (SOI) with clinical markers of pathological RTI. These approaches, while clinically useful, are not easily converted into a computable logic. Furthermore, reasonable clinicians may disagree about the nuances of the application of these definitions in specific cases. Due to these difficulties myriad definitions have evolved. Our group has previously systematically described various computational definitions of sepsis this work builds upon these efforts.

Sepsis-3 is the most recently proposed consensus definition. However, to rigorously apply this definition in a computational manner requires data to be integrated harmonized and codified to make them suitable for analysis.

The Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care (MIMIC), is a frequently used source of deidentified data for studying critical care outcomes. MIMIC-IV version 1.0, the latest iteration of this resource, has recently been released. Similar to MIMIC-III the curators of MIMIC-IV developed and released a Sepsis-3 identification algorithm in SQL, hereon called the MIMIC pipeline. While the MIMIC-IV sepsis identification code is valuable for standardizing and expediting sepsis research using MIMIC-IV data, the pipeline is specialized for the data model of MIMIC-IV which is complex and not generalizable to other EHR datasets.

To address this gap, we have created a novel, freely available, open-source data processing pipeline, hereon called the OpenSep pipeline, to define Sepsis-3. Our intent is to produce a pipeline that can easily accept data from multiple data models with minimal transformation and to disseminate this pipeline in such a way as to enable its reproducible and rigorous use.

To enable the facile transformation of data, we have developed the Minimal Sepsis Data Model (MSDM) along with the pipeline to structure the data (Figure 1). The MSDM was designed to minimize the amount of data needed to assess sepsis status and illness severity, limit omission of relevant labs or measurements, and provide a simplified approach to the calculation of SOFA and determination of SOI. For instance, all patient data were related to each other by patient identifiers to avoid making arbitrary distinctions based on encounters or locations.

To validate our pipeline, we leveraged the previously available MIMIC pipeline. We describe the degree of agreement between Sepsis-3 classification, time of onset, and calculated SOFA scores between the previously developed and well validated MIMIC pipeline and the OpenSep pipeline we developed, when used on the MIMIC-IV data. Finally, in cases where the 2 pipelines disagree the reasons for the disagreement were characterized.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study data
MIMIC-IV version 1.0 was published in March of 2021 an update to the widely used MIMIC-III database, incorporating a greater number and variety of more recent data sources. Data were acquired from patients admitted to Beth Israel Deaconess emergency departments and intensive care units. The MIMIC-IV SQL database was reproduced on a locally hosted SQL-server as described previously. Data were transformed using SQL queries of from the MIMIC-IV Data Model to create dataframes conforming to the MSDM (Figure 1).

Open-source pipeline development

Suspicions of infection

SOI was defined as either cultures followed by antimicrobials in the next 72 h, or antimicrobials followed by cultures within 24 h, as consistent with the Seymour interpretation of Sepsis-3. Encounters meeting these criteria were identified using the Admission-Discharge-Transfer (ADT), culture, and antimicrobial data. Only the first instance of SOI was considered for each encounter. The time of SOI was defined as the earlier of antimicrobial administration or culture collection.

Response to infection

Per the Sepsis-3 criteria, organ failure can be demonstrated by a SOFA score greater than or equal to 2 when in the ICU, or qSOFA score greater than or equal to 2 outside of the ICU. Given the sparsity of data outside of the ICU in the MIMIC-IV only SOFA scores were considered in the MIMIC-IV implementation. This was enforced in this pipeline to facilitate comparison, though the ability to determine Sepsis by qSOFA is also available.

For patients identified as meeting criteria for SOI, SOFA scores were calculated using the labs and vitals, ADT, mechanical ventilation, vasopressor, and urine output data (see Supplementary Table S1). Scores were calculated starting at the beginning of the encounter and then hourly, as well as at the times of ICU admission or discharge. Scores calculated outside of the ICU were discarded.

SOFA Scores were calculated as previously described. If no data was available for a given component or subscore at a specific time a SOFA subscore of 0 (normal) was assumed. Encounters were evaluated for whether a score greater than or equal to 2 occurred within 48 h before or 24 h after SOI. Patients who met this criterion were classified as having met Sepsis-3 criteria. Onset of sepsis was defined as the earlier of the cultures or antimicrobials.

Pipeline comparison

To ensure that scores and determinations made by each pipeline were similar, encounters were assigned an onset time and SOFA score based on both the MIMIC-IV SQL pipeline and the proposed pipeline. To account for their difference in handling the calculation interval, SOFA scores were matched by finding the nearest SOFA scores from the SQL and proposed pipelines. SOFA scores were then compared for all patients for each hour of their ICU admission.

Statistical analysis

Correlations between the SQL and proposed package sepsis labels and SOFA scores were compared using a coefficient of determination (Correlation Coefficient). All data analysis and figure generation were performed in Python 3.9.7 (Python Software Foundation, Beaverton, OR) using Jupyter Notebook (NumFOCUS, Austin, TX). The code is freely available at https://github.com/mhofford/I2_Sepsis.

RESULTS

SOI identification

In the MIMIC-IV dataset 69,211 hospitalizations were available for analysis. 40,543 were identified by the MIMIC-pipeline and 40,548...
were identified by the OpenSep-pipeline as meeting SOI. Both pipelines agreed on 40,489 of SOI determinations. Fifty-four were found to have SOI by the MIMIC-pipeline alone, and 59 were found to meet SOI by the OpenSep-pipeline alone. Three hundred eighty-nine were found to have SOI by the MIMIC-pipeline before the OpenSep-pipeline and 17 were found to have SOI by the OpenSep-pipeline before the MIMIC-pipeline (Figure 2).

Of the 519 patients that had discordant SOI assessments, 443 (85.4%) were due to the MIMIC-pipeline considering SOI that occurred greater than 24 h prior to the encounter which was not permitted in the OpenSep-pipeline as this cannot result in a classification of sepsis. Thirty-eight (7.3%) instances of discordant SOI assessments were due to an error in the MIMIC-pipeline that prevented SOI determination if antimicrobials were ordered and cultures collected at the exact same time. The final 38 (7.3%) differences in SOI emerged as the OpenSep-pipeline allows for culture collection and antimicrobial administration previous but closely timed inpatient encounters, while MIMIC-pipeline does not permit for observations that span multiple encounters (Table 1).

**SOFA score calculation**

SOFA scores were compared for the 40,548 encounters that met SOI in OpenSep-pipeline. The median (IQR) number of SOFA scores per hospitalization was 54 (28–117). After matching the nearest SOFA scores from the MIMIC and OpenSep pipelines, hourly SOFA and SOFA subscore results were found to be similar between the MIMIC and OpenSep pipelines, with coefficients of determination ($R^2$) 0.95 or greater for all SOFA subscores and 0.98 for the calculated Total SOFA score (Figure 3).

**Sepsis-3 identification**

Of the 69,211 included hospitalizations, sepsis was identified in 23,630 (34%) encounters by the MIMIC-pipeline and 27,738 (40%) by the OpenSep-pipeline. There was a high degree of overlap between the sepsis determinations with 23,578 (34.1%) hospitalizations being identified by both pipelines. The OpenSep-pipeline identified 4160 patients not identified by the MIMIC-pipeline, while the MIMIC-pipeline identified 52 patients not identified by the OpenSep-pipeline (Figure 2).

Of the 4160 patients classified as septic by the OpenSep-pipeline but not MIMIC-pipeline, 3335 (80.2%) were due to a missing or nonmatching ADT identifier (stay_id) between temporally close encounters where patients met either the SOI or RTI criteria. The remaining 541 (13.0%) were caused by a difference in SOFA score from the OpenSep-pipeline considering data from outside the ICU but within the specified timeframe. Of the 52 patients identified by MIMIC-pipeline, but not the proposed pipeline, 43 (82.7%) were due to differences in the timing of the hourly SOFA scores. The
MIMIC-pipeline set time zero as the hour of ICU admission and calculated a score hourly whereas the OpenSep pipeline began at the start of the encounter and at times of location change. In 7 (13.4%) cases, the MIMIC pipeline calculated SOFA scores after the patient was discharged from the ICU and in the remaining 2 cases, the difference in sepsis determination was caused by a difference in SOI determination (Table 2).

**Sepsis-onset time**

For the 23,578 patients that met sepsis criteria using both the MIMIC and the OpenSep pipelines, the sepsis onset times matched nearly perfectly, with a correlation coefficient \( R^2 \) close to 1.0, with only 5 (0.02%) patients having discordant times due to the proposed pipeline considering cultures obtained in prior encounters (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we describe the MSDM and OpenSep an open-source, highly generalizable approach to sepsis labeling and assessment of illness severity. The proposed pipeline identified septic patients with a high degree of correlation with the well-validated SQL approach of the MIMIC developers. Investigation into discordant cases revealed that the majority were the result of the proposed pipeline identifying patients as septic which the MIMIC/SQL method did not. This emerged due to the fact that the SQL-based code did not look for SOI or RTI criteria outside of the ICU and used encounter level criteria rather than time-based as proposed by the Sepsis-3 guidelines. The proposed pipeline, facilitated by the MSDM, ran agnostic to location and encounter-level identifiers and used the time-based criteria outlined in the Sepsis-3 consensus statement to look for RTI and SOI criteria, leading to a higher sensitivity.

Another source of discordance between the 2 methods arises from the interval at which they calculated SQL scores. In the SQL pipeline time is rounded down and then counted in whole hours from the time of ICU admission. The proposed pipeline considers the beginning and end of each ICU admission and each hour in a stepwise manner from admission without rounding. This was implemented this way to allow the proposed pipeline to consider qSOFA scores outside of the ICU in other datasets that might have more...
Figure 3. Distribution and correlation of SOFA scores and Sepsis onset. Distribution and correlations of SOFA sub scores, total SOFA score and Sepsis-3 onset time for SQL and python pipelines. Temporally nearest scores for all patients at each hour of the ICU admission are plotted for SOFA and SOFA sub scores. Heat maps were used to characterize the distribution for the SOFA scores as they are heavily overlapping. Maximum intensity in the heat-map was capped at the 95th percentile for each of the scores. Scatter plot was used for the onset time to display the patients that were identified earlier by the python pathway. Color bar does not relate to the scatter plot.
data from non-ICU stays. This accounted for only minor differences in SOFA scores and rarely changed the ultimate characterization of sepsis (Tables 1 and 2).

The pipeline described here is a useful tool however, several limitations remain. There is room for performance improvement throughout the codebase, which was traded for readability of the code in order to prioritize usability and ease of review. Additionally, there is no widely agreed upon consensus for what cultures and antimicrobial should be included in defining sepsis. The proposed pipeline currently allows for the user to determine which antimicrobials and cultures should be included. Finally, while the MSDM was designed to be facile when working with EHR derived data, heterogeneity in EHR data models and implementations necessitates that the user transformed their data to the MSDM for the pipeline to function. Additionally, some user manipulation of the data may be necessary if patients included in the cohort have multiple unique identifiers.

EHR-based research in Sepsis is currently limited by the nonuniform application of definitions across the field. The MIMIC team has provided a standard computational definition for Sepsis-3 however their work cannot easily be applied other datasets as the code used to identify sepsis in their cohort is complex and specific to their difficult to generalize data model. We have successfully created an open source pipeline to more easily apply the Sepsis-3 definition to a broad array of EHR derived data in a simplified data model and validated that pipeline by comparing results to those obtained using the MIMIC-pipeline on MIMIC data. We hope this tool can be used to expedite and harmonize clinical outcomes research across diverse datasets.

The pipeline described here is a useful tool however, several limitations remain. There is room for performance improvement throughout the codebase, which was traded for readability of the code in order to prioritize usability and ease of review. Additionally, there is no widely agreed upon consensus for what cultures and antimicrobial should be included in defining sepsis. The proposed pipeline currently allows for the user to determine which antimicrobials and cultures should be included. Finally, while the MSDM was designed to be facile when working with EHR derived data, heterogeneity in EHR data models and implementations necessitates that the user transformed their data to the MSDM for the pipeline to function. Additionally, some user manipulation of the data may be necessary if patients included in the cohort have multiple unique identifiers.
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