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ABSTRACT
Prolonged sitting at work has become a new health hazard for office workers. The current PhD is thus dedicated to exploring the potential of Internet of Things (IoT) for supporting healthier office work and break routines. An “enchanted object” approach that utilizes the “glanceability” and “gesturability” of everyday artefacts is proposed as a potential solution to tackle the challenge of user disturbance and scarcity of cognitive resources in this persuasion context. The vision is to eventually have a collection of digitally “enchanted” office objects that harness ubiquitous sensing and context-aware algorithms to subtly prompt different types of breaks at opportune moments throughout workdays, as a mechanism to break up prolonged sitting; in addition, behavioural data captured from embedded and wearable sensors will be visualized to facilitate self-reflection and habit development. An initial qualitative study is being conducted to unpack challenges and opportunities in reducing prolonged sitting in office work through the lens of both behaviour change and Human Computer Interaction, which has led to preliminary insights to share and discuss with the audience.

CCS Concepts
• Human-centered computing→Ubiquitous and mobile computing→Ubiquitous and mobile computing theory, concepts and paradigms→Ambient intelligence.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Despite a plethora of digital gadgets promoting physical activities, only a few of them are specifically targeting at sedentary behaviours. Recent health research has shown that too much sitting, especially prolonged periods of sitting without breaks, is harmful for metabolic as well as musculoskeletal health [15], regardless of how much exercise one does [11]. A study with 83 office workers highlighted the prevalence of prolonged (>55 min) sitting episodes in office work and suggested that sitting reduction interventions should target this population and setting [13]. Whilst unrealistic to entirely eliminate sedentary work from modern society, it is possible to minimize the adverse consequences of occupational sitting, for example, by interspersing sedentary work with micro-breaks (3-5 minutes) that involve light activities as simple as walking to the kitchen and refilling a mug, which can alleviate the metabolic dysfunction caused by long periods of sitting [3].

Ubiquitous sensing and context-aware computing have shown much promise for just-in-time and point-of-decision interventions to motivate healthy living [4]. But when it comes to encouraging regular micro-breaks at work, there are two main challenges. Firstly, it is crucial yet difficult to balance the salience and subtlety of the signal sent by the technology, in a way that it delivers the necessary nudge without disturbing or annoying the user who should be engaged in the primary working task. The second challenge is presented by the scarcity of cognitive, especially self-regulatory resources [1] available to someone at work for processing information from persuasive technologies, activating health goals, monitoring own behaviours, and acting in favour of long-term goals.

To address the above two challenges, my PhD looks beyond existing digital devices and explores the potential of “Enchanted Objects” (EOs) for just-in-time health behaviour change interventions in settings like the office. The term “Enchanted Objects” was first coined by David Rose to describe ordinary everyday objects gaining the magical power from embedded sensors, actuators, wireless transmitters and processors, and interacting with human beings via screen-less “tangible interfaces” to satisfy some fundamental human needs [12]. According to Rose, EOs are characterised by the following three qualities.

Firstly, EOs are glanceable such that they present information at points of need in a readily accessible but nonintrusive manner [12]. In this regard, compared with a popup from the main workstation screen, a break reminder delivered by an enchanted physical object would be a better subtlety-salience compromise.

The second key feature of EOs is gesturability, in a sense that their physical forms suggest actions in line with existing human skills and experience with those everyday objects [12]. For instance, a mug is associated with extensive experiences of tea breaks in office settings; thus an illuminated mug would be naturally perceived as a suggestion for a tea break. This exploits affordances [8] of everyday objects to reduce the cognitive resources required for interpreting messages from persuasive technologies. Moreover, it speaks to the idea of goal activation in cognitive psychology. A goal is a mental representation of a desired end state, the accessibility of which can be enhanced via environmental priming
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framed or addressed the issue as a behaviour change challenge. So a qualitative study that unpacks the behavioural and psychological determinants to prolonged sitting will be very informative.

Ultimately, I would like to design, prototype and evaluate a digital intervention informed by theoretical analysis and empirical evidence in my PhD. To achieve that, I will hybridize the user-centric approach to designing socio-technical systems from HCI [5] with evidence-based practice for designing theory-based behaviour change interventions from behavioural medicine [7]. For the intervention design, I will draw on a set of tools to help me link the behavioural diagnosis to appropriate choice of intervention functions [7], behaviour change techniques (BCTs) [6] and mode of delivery (MoD) [16]. However, as EOs or even IoT in general is still a rather novel MoD for behaviour change, not even found in the most current technology coding scheme [16], presenting a dearth of high quality intervention studies with this MOD to back up its value. So a second potential contribution from my PhD will be an insight into the feasibility, utility and acceptability of using EOs for delivering behaviour change interventions, especially in the context of office work health.

Finally, as my user study sheds light on the importance of automatic, unconscious and habitual processes in determining work break behaviours, I have come to propose a framework for conceptualising potential roles EOs can play in nurturing health habits “in the wild”. Therefore, I am planning to incorporate some experimental paradigms from social cognition in my evaluation study. For instance, a lab-based procedure including priming, lexical decision and Stroop measures of goal accessibility, and/or implicit affective association tests [9] can be administered to participants before and after they use the mug-based break reminders for an extended period of time in real life. In this way, we may be able to measure underlying constructs changed by EOs (e.g. object-primed goal accessibility, affect associated with breaks) and trace the causal pathways mediating EOs’ effects on behaviours. This will consequently contribute to the “habit” literature with theories and evidence for the utility of IoT in studying and changing everyday habits in real-life settings.

4. ISSUES EXPERIENCED AND ADVICE SOUGHT

First, as the part relating to HCI research questions in my user study is not as structured as that for behavioural change, it is hard to extract useful insights from this dataset. Therefore, I am looking for analytic frameworks to query the data through the lens of system engineering. Another issue pertains to elicitation of user requirements and inspirations for futuristic digital designs like EOs. I asked participants to record in diaries “when…(context), I would like my…(object) to say to me…(message)” with the hope to gather some inspirations. However, responses to this question have been quite sporadic and of varying quality. So I am in need of advice on more fruitful methods for engaging potential users in design and research. Finally, I would like to solicit feedback from a cognitive engineering perspective on my overall PhD proposal of EOs as well as on specific design ideas, such as impactful ways of visualising sensor data to facilitate users’ self-reflection.
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