Dezocine regulates the malignant potential and aerobic glycolysis of liver cancer targeting Akt1/GSK-3β pathway
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Background: Due to the “ceiling effect” of respiratory depression and the non-addictiveness, the consumption of dezocine is increasing quickly in the cancer surgery perioperative period for security and comfort reasons in China. Former studies find dezocine inhibits the norepinephrine transporters (NET) and serotonin transporters (SERT) and sigma-1 opioid receptors. Given the complexity of the molecular mechanism, the effect of dezocine on tumor cells need to be studied. In this study, we investigated the effect of dezocine on HepG2 and Hep 3B liver cancer cell lines growth and glycolysis, and the molecular mechanisms behind.

Methods: HepG2 and Hep 3B cells viability and migration were measured by CCK8, Wound healing and transwell assay, Extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) was used to index the aerobic glycolysis of liver cancer cells and western blot analysis showed protein expression levels in the cells. SC79, an agonist of Akt, and the siRNA silence of Akt1 aimed to regulate Akt1 activity and expression in the reverse experiments.

Results: Dezocine played opposite roles in HepG2 and Hep 3B cells viability and migration in a concentration-dependent manner (P<0.01). Dezocine has diverse effects on aerobic glycolysis and adjusts the serine/threonine kinase 1 (Akt1)-glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β) pathway. The effects of SC79 and the siRNA silence of Akt1 could reverse the effects of dezocine on HepG2 and Hep 3B cells.

Conclusions: As an analgesic drug widely used in clinical practice, dezocine play reversed roles on HepG2 and Hep 3B cells viability and migration targeting Akt1/GSK-3β pathway then the glycolysis in a concentration-dependent manner.
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Introduction

Dezocine is an opioid receptor partial agonist/antagonist developed by the American Home Products Corporation in 1970’s (1), which is increasingly popular in China as an alternative medication for perioperative pain management (2). As it exhibiting a “ceiling effect” for respiratory depression (3,4) and alleviating morphine-induced dependence without addiction (5), dezocine is used...
widely including cancer surgery.

As necessary analgesic drugs in the perioperative period, the influence of opioids on tumors has attracted the attention of researchers (6). Opioids can affect tumors by activating a series of pathways in the cell via opioid receptors or other receptors (7,8). Dezocine, a mixed partial MOR agonist and KOR antagonist, is not categorized as a controlled substance and has been used for postoperative analgesia for more than a decade. Dezocine is also discovered as an inhibitor of the norepinephrine (NET) and serotonin transporters (SERT) and sigma-1 receptor (9). Dezocine acts on a variety of receptors with different affinities in cells. Moreover, these receptors have different effects on tumor cells, we wonder what effects of dezocine exactly has on tumor cells in different concentrations.

The long-term prognosis of cancer patients is affected by many aspects, and the perioperative period is recognized as an important window affecting tumor outcomes increasingly (6,10). Perioperative stress and perioperative intervention are considered as two mutually balanced factors (11). For Anesthesiologists, only a detailed understanding of the effects of perioperative drugs on the tumor can make the tumor disease tend in a good direction. While widely used in the perioperative period, the effect of dezocine on tumors is shorted of study. The study aims to find the effect of dezocine on liver cancer.

**Methods**

**Cell cultures and reagents**

Human liver cancer cells HepG2 (ATCC® HB-8065™) and Hep 3B (ATCC® HB-8064™) were cultured in DMEM cell culture medium (Hyclone, Australia) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibico, Australia). Cells were cultured in an incubator containing 5% CO$_2$ at 37 ℃. Cell medium was changed every other day, and cells were passaged when reaching 90% confluence. Cells at the passage of 4−10 were used in the present study.

SC79, an Akt activator, was purchased from MCE, USA (Cat. No. HY-18749). Dezocine was obtained from Young’s River pharmaceutical group (Taizhou, Jiangsu, China) with 99.9% purity. The concentrations of dezocine range from 1 to 8 μg/mL according to the former studies (5,9). The reagents used in the present study were all dissolved in DMSO (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA, SKU: PHR1309). The final concentration of DMSO was adjusted to 0.01% to avoid potential nonspecific effects. After being treated by dezocine or SC79 for 6 hours in a concentration of 10 μg/mL, the experiment acted as follows.

**Cell viability analysis**

Cell suspensions (4×10$^4$ cells/mL) were added in wells of the 96-multiwell culture plate and cultured in the incubator. After corresponding treatments, 10 μL CCK-8 was added in wells and the cells were further cultured for 24 or 48 h. Finally, the optical density at 450 nm was detected using an immunoplate reader. The cell viability curve was determined by accounting mean ± SD of optical density for every six wells.

**Wound healing assay**

Cells were seeded onto six-well culture plates (2×10$^6$ cells/well) in DMEM containing 10% FBS and cultured overnight till an 80% confluency. For Anesthesiologists, only a detailed understanding of the effects of perioperative drugs on the tumor can make the tumor disease tend in a good direction. While widely used in the perioperative period, the effect of dezocine on tumors is shorted of study. The study aims to find the effect of dezocine on liver cancer.

**Cell transwell assay**

Modified Boyden chamber assays were conducted using 24-well Transwell polyester membrane filter inserts with 8 μm pores and 0.33 cm$^2$ surface area (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) at a density of 500,000 cells/ml per transwell (upper chamber). DMEM medium without FBS in the upper chamber and total DMEM medium with 10% FBS in the bottom chambers of the transwells. After culturing for 24 h, the cells from the upper chambers were removed, and the migrated cells on the undersides of the membranes were stained with crystal violet (Beyotime, Haimen, China). Migratory cells were imaged and counted in high power microscope micro-photographs (field area: 0.98 mm$^2$) taken under bright light (Olympus Tokyo, Japan) using Image Pro Plus 6.0 software (Rockville, MD).

**Measurement of extracellular acidification rate of cells**

The extracellular flux changes of oxygen and protons in the media caused by cells were measured by the XF96
Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Seahorse Bioscience, North Billerica, MA, USA). Real-time measurements of cellular bioenergetics including oxygen consumption rates, glycolysis, ATP production and respiratory capacity performed in a noninvasive way (12). HepG2 and Hep 3B cells were seeded in the microplate at a density of \(8 \times 10^4\) cells/well in each 80 μL of DMEM. After 24 hours of incubation at 37 °C in 5% CO\(_2\), baseline cellular respiration was measured. This device measures extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) in 96-well plates after sequentially adding to each well 20 μL of Glucose, 22 μL of an oligomycin, 25 μL of 2DG to reach working concentrations of 1.26, 1, 0.5, and 0.5 μM, respectively.

**Western Blotting**

The target proteins in cells were detected by western blot analysis. Equal amounts of proteins achieved from cells were separated by 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and immobilized on polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA) using a full-wet electroblotting system. The membranes were blocked with 5% fat-free milk in PBST for 1 hour, then hybridized with 1,000\(^{-1}\) dilution of specific primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The membranes were washed with PBST, and then incubated with a 1,500\(^{-1}\) dilution of Goat anti-rabbit IgG, HRP conjugate (Proteintech, Wuhan, China) for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing, the signals were visible after development with a chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent (Millipore Corporation, USA) and detected using a LAS-4000 mini CCD camera (GE Healthcare).

**siRNA treatment of Akt1**

Human AKT1-specific siRNA (siAkt1) targeting the AKT gene (NM-001014431.2) and control siRNA (siNC) were supplied by Shanghai GenePharma Co, Ltd (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) as follows:

- **Akt1 No. 1 sense**, 5’-UGCCCUUUCUACAACCCAGATT-3’;
- antisense, 5’-UCCUGGGUUGUAGAAGGGCAT-3’;
- **Akt1 No. 2 sense**, 5’-GGCCACGATGACTTCCTTC-3’;
- antisense, 5’-GAGGGAGTCGTCGTGGCC-3’;
- **Control sense**, 5’-UCCGUUUCGGUCAACUUCC-3’;
- and antisense, 5’-GAAUGUGGACCGAACCAGATT-3’.

Cells were seeded at 5×10\(^5\) cells per well in 6-well plates in DMEM containing 10% FBS without penicillin and streptomycin overnight. Transfection experiments were performed with OPTI-MEM serum-free medium and Lipofectamine 3000 reagent with a final siRNA concentration of 50 or 100 nM. The cells were collected for CCK8 assay and protein extraction after 24 h of siRNA transfection.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were obtained from at least 3 independent experiments and expressed as the mean ± SD. Groups were compared using a one-way analysis of variance followed by pairwise comparisons between groups with Dunnett’s \(t\)-test. SPSS software version 25 (IBM), Image J and GraphPad Prism software version 7.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) were used for statistical analyses, and differences were considered significant at \(P<0.05\).

**Results**

**Dezocine played different roles on cell viability and migration in HepG2 and Hep 3B cells concentration-related**

CCK8 assay was used to value the effect of dezocine (0, 1, 2, 4, 8 μg/mL) on cell viability in HepG2 and Hep 3B cells. As shown in **Figure 1A,B**, cell viability was decreased in the concentration of 2 μg/mL, while increased in 8 μg/mL. To evaluate the effects of dezocine on liver cancer cell migration wound healing assays and transwell experiments were performed. As shown in **Figure 1C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J**, dezocine treatment effectively decreased wound closure rate and the number of cells in transwell experiments 2 μg/mL compared with the control group while increased in 8 μg/mL in HepG2 and Hep 3B cells. The above results revealed that dezocine informed different effects on cell growth and migration in liver cancer. Thus, 2 and 8 μg/mL dezocine were selected in the following *in vitro* experiments to found the mechanism behind it.

**Dezocine has diverse effects on aerobic glycolysis of liver cancer cells at different concentrations**

Having learned that glycolysis is the main energy provider for tumor metastasis, we then set to explore whether dezocine effected on cell mobility by modulating glycolysis. As shown in **Figure 2A,B,C,D**, dezocine treatment effectively modified Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR) (**Figure 2A**), cells glycolysis (**Figure 2B**), glycolysis capacity (**Figure 2C**) and glycolysis reserve of HepG2 cells.
**Figure 1** Dezocine played concentration-related opposite roles on cell viability and migration in HepG2 and Hep 3B cells. Liver cancer Cells were incubated with Dezocine (0, 1, 2, 4, 8 μg/mL) for 24 h. The cell viability was measured by CCK8 assay, cell migrate ability were measured by Wound healing and transwell assay. (A,B) Cell viability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells in different concentrations of dezocine. (C,D,E,F) Cell migrate ability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells in different concentrations of dezocine indicated by wound healing assay (scale bar: 200 μm). (G,H,I,J) Cell migrate ability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells in different concentrations of dezocine indicated by transwell assay (cells were stained with crystal violet, scale bar: 200 μm). Data are represented as means ± SEM, n=3. *, P<0.05 vs. cells treated without dezocine; #P>0.1 vs. cells treated without dezocine.
Further investigation exhibited that the expression of crucial glycolytic enzymes HK2 and LDHA in HepG2 cells harmony with the same trends as cell glycolysis which also regulated by dezocine (Figure 2E). Meanwhile, dezocine showed the same effects on Hep 3B cells exactly (Figure 2F, G,H,I,J). There is above results suggested that dezocine may effect on cell mobility by modifying glycolysis in liver cancer cells.

**Akt1/GSK 3β pathway share the same trend of cell mobility and glycolysis while dezonecine-treated**

According to previous studies, Akt1, HIF-1α, C-myc proteins associate with induced glycolysis and reduced gluconeogenesis in cancer cells, so we detected which of above proteins involved in the effect of dezonecine on liver cancer cells. The activation of Akt1 was changed while exposed by dezonecine while HIF-1α and C-myc show no difference (Figure 3). Serine/threonine kinase 1 (Akt1)-glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β) pathway regulates the hepatic gene transcription for glucose metabolism, such as GLUT1 and HK2 (13,14). The Akt1/GSK-3β pathway activation has been associated with induced glycolysis. We examined the procession and activation of Akt1/GSK-3β and found the activation of Akt1/GSK-3β changed in the same way as liver cancer glycolysis. The phosphorylation of Akt1 and GSK-3β inhibited in 2 μg/mL and activated in 8 μg/mL (Figure 3).

**Dezonecine regulated HepG2 and Hep 3B cells glycolysis targeting Akt1/GSK 3β pathway**

We altered the activity of the Akt1/GSK-3β signaling pathway to investigate whether it involves dezonecine modulation of the activity of liver tumor cells glycolysis. SC79, Agonist of Akt, reversed the inhibition of dezonecine on GSK-3β phosphorylation also the HK2 and LDHA expression in HepG2 and Hep 3B cells (Figure 4). Moreover, SC79 reversed the downgraded glycolysis of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells induced by dezonecine (Figure 4B, C,D,E,G,H,I,J). On the other hand, the activated GSK and overexpression of HK2, LDHA did no longer reappear in the concentration of 8 μg/mL dezonecine, when Akt1 was silenced by siRNA (Figure 4K,P). There was also no intense glycolysis in the concentration of 8 μg/mL dezonecine as Akt1 was silenced (Figure 4L,M,N,O,Q,R,S,T).

**Dezonecine regulated HepG2 and Hep 3B cells viability and migration targeting Akt1/GSK 3β pathway**

Not only glycolysis, but cancer cell biological characteristics were also reversed by raise or silence Akt1 protein. Cancer cell activity could not be suppressed by dezonecine in the concentration of 2 μg/mL (Figure 5A,B), as well as the wound closure rate and trans cell numbers showing a consistent trend (Figure 5C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J). The AKT silence made dezonecine in the concentration of 8 μg/mL can not to upregulate cell activity (Figure 5K,L) or promote the migration of tumor cells (Figure 5M,N,O,P,Q,R,S,T).

**Conclusions**

In the present study, we found that dezonecine Shows opposed roles on cell viability and migration in liver cancer cell line HepG2 and Hep 3B in a concentration-dependent manner. The contrary effects of dezonecine may be achieved by targeting the Akt1/GSK-3β pathway, then modifying the glycolysis.

Cancer cell metabolism is performed as an incremental uptake and transform of glucose to lactate via glycolysis. Changes in metabolism play an important role in cancer cell proliferation and growth (15,16). It was reported that GSK-3β was involved in aerobic glycolysis (17,18). Moreover, GSK-3β activity is modulated by the phosphorylation status of Ser9. Akt1 is a key protein kinase that regulates GSK-3β phosphorylation at Ser9. Akt1 attenuates GSK-3β activity via the upregulation of GSK-3β phosphorylation at Ser9. The present study indicated that dezonecine could regulate the Akt1/GSK-3β pathway, modify the expressions of glycolytic enzymes such as HK2 and LDHA (19,20), change HepG2 and Hep 3B cells glycolysis, thus adjust HepG2 and Hep 3B cells viability and migration.

Due to the “ceiling effect” of respiratory depression and the non-addictiveness (3,4,21), the consumption of dezonecine is increasing quickly in the perioperative period in China for security and comfort reasons (2). It is recognized that dezonecine has the same analgesic effect as morphine, while less effect on inhibiting respiration (9,21). So dezonecine is safer in the perioperative period, especially intraoperative analgesia. Clinical studies found that dezonecine can prevent etomidate-induced myoclonus (22), prevention of postoperative catheter-related bladder discomfort (3,23). More and more new features of dezonecine have been discovered in clinical. Recent studies have found that...
Figure 2 Dezocine has diverse effects on aerobic glycolysis of liver cancer cells at different concentrations. (A) Dezocine treatment modified extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) of HepG2. (B) Cells glycolysis of HepG2 cells treated by dezocine. (C) Glycolysis capacity of HepG2 cells treated by dezocine. (D) Glycolysis reserve of HepG2 cells treated by dezocine. (E) Expression of HK2 and LDHA in HepG2 cells treated by dezocine. (F) Dezocine treatment modified ECAR of Hep 3B cells. (G) Cells glycolysis of Hep 3B cell treated by dezocine. (H) Glycolysis capacity of Hep 3B cell treated by dezocine. (I) Glycolysis reserve of Hep 3B cells treated by dezocine. (J) Expression of HK2 and LDHA in Hep 3B cells treated by dezocine. Data are represented as means ± SEM, n=3. * P<0.05 vs. cells treated without dezocine; # P>0.1 vs. cells treated without dezocine.
Figure 3 Changes of Akt1/GSK-3β pathway treated by dezocine at different concentrations in protein level. (A,B) GSK-3β and Akt1 phosphorylation in HepG2 cells shared diverse changes after being treated by dezocine in 2 and 8 μg/mL, while HIF-1α and C-myc didn’t have statistically significant difference. (C,D) GSK-3β and Akt1 phosphorylation in Hep 3B cells shared diverse changes after being treated by dezocine in 2 and 8 μg/mL, while HIF-1α and C-myc didn’t have statistically significant difference. Data are represented as means ± SEM, n=3. * P<0.05 vs. cells treated without dezocine; #P>0.1 vs. cells treated without dezocine.

dezocine inhibits the norepinephrine (NET) and serotonin transporters (SERT) and opioid sigma-1receptor. Given the complexity of the molecular mechanism, the effect of dezocine on tumor cells need to be studied.

However, some limitations should be noted in this study. First, the present study was carried out only in vitro, and the effect of dezocine should be further confirmed by studies using in vivo systems. Second, we only used a single Human liver cancer cell line, more kinds of cancer cells should be employed in future studies. Third, the exact mechanism by which dezocine playing different roles on Akt1/GSK-3β single pathway should be further explored.
Dezocine regulated HepG2 and Hep 3B cells glycolysis targeting Akt1/GSK-3β pathway. (A) The activation of GSK-3β and expression of HK2, LDHA in HepG2 cells were inhibited in 2 μg/mL, and be reversed by Akt agonist SC79. (B) The ECAR of HepG2 cells was reduced in 2 μg/mL, then recovered after treated by SC79. (C) Cells glycolysis of HepG2 cells treated by dezocine in 2 μg/mL and by SC79. (D) Glycolysis capacity of HepG2 cells treated by dezocine in 2 μg/mL and by SC79. (E) Glycolysis capacity of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells treated by dezocine in 2 μg/mL and by SC79. (F,G,H,I) The activation of GSK-3β and expression of HK2, LDHA, ECAR, cells glycolysis, glycolysis capacity and glycolysis reserve in Hep 3B cells were inhibited in 2 μg/mL, and be reversed by Akt agonist SC79. (K) The Akt1/GSK-3β pathway and the expression of HK2 and LDHA in HepG2 cells was upregulated in 8 μg/mL and was attenuated after SiRNA treating. (L) The ECAR of HepG2 cells was increased in 8 μg/mL, then recovered after treated by SiRNA. (M) Cells glycolysis of HepG2 cells treated by dezocine in 8 μg/mL and by SiRNA. (N) Glycolysis capacity of HepG2 cells treated by dezocine in 8 μg/mL and by SiRNA. (O) Glycolysis capacity of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells treated by dezocine in 8 μg/mL and by SiRNA. (P,Q,R,S,T) The activation of GSK-3β and expression of HK2, LDHA, ECAR, cells glycolysis, glycolysis capacity and glycolysis reserve in Hep 3B cells treated by dezocine in 8 μg/mL and by SiRNA. Data are represented as means ± SEM, n=3. *, P<0.05 vs. cells treated without dezocine; †, P>0.1 vs. cells treated without dezocine; ‡, P<0.05 vs. cells treated by SC79.
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Figure 5 Dezocine regulated HepG2 and Hep 3B cells viability and migration targeting Akt1/GSK 3β pathway. (A,B) Cell viability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells in medium containing dezocine of 2 μg/mL and Akt agonist SC79. (C,D,E,F) Cell migrate ability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells treated by dezocine of 2 μg/mL and Akt agonist SC79 by wound healing assay (scale bar: 200 μm). (G,H,I,J) Cell migrate ability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells treated by dezocine of 2 μg/mL and Akt agonist SC79 by transwell (cells were stained with crystal violet, scale bar: 200 μm). (K,L) Cell viability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells treated with dezocine of 8 μg/mL and SiRNA. (M,N,O,P) Cell migrate ability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells treated with dezocine of 8 μg/mL and SiRNA by wound healing assay (scale bar: 200 μm). (Q,R,S,T) Cell migrate ability of HepG2 and Hep 3B cells treated with dezocine of 8 μg/mL and SiRNA by transwell (cells were stained with crystal violet, scale bar: 200 μm). Data are represented as means ± SEM, n=3; *, P<0.05 vs. cells treated without dezocine; †, P>0.1 vs. cells treated without dezocine; ‡, P<0.05 vs. cells treated by SC79.
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