Adhesion of Salmonella to Pancreatic Secretory Granule Membrane Major Glycoprotein GP2 of Human and Porcine Origin Depends on FimH Sequence Variation
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Bacterial host tropism is a primary determinant of the range of host organisms they can infect. Salmonella serotypes are differentiated into host-restricted and host-adapted specialists, and host-unrestricted generalists. In order to elucidate the underlying molecular mechanisms of host specificity in Salmonella infection, we investigated the role of the intestinal host cell receptor zymogen granule membrane glycoprotein 2 (GP2), which is recognized by FimH adhesin of type 1 fimbriae found in Enterobacteriaceae. We compared four human and two porcine GP2 isoforms. Isoforms were expressed in Sf9 cells as well as in one human (Hep-2) and one porcine (IPEC-J2) cell line. FimH genes of 128 Salmonella isolates were sequenced and the 10 identified FimH variants were compared regarding adhesion (static adhesion assay) and infection (cell line assay) using an isogenic model. We expressed and characterized two functional porcine GP2 isoforms differing in their amino acid sequence to human isoforms by approximately 25%. By comparing all isoforms in the static adhesion assay, FimH variants were assigned to high, low or no-binding phenotypes. This FimH variant-dependent binding was neither specific for one GP2 isoform nor for GP2 in general. However, cell line infection assays revealed fundamental differences: using Hep-2 cells, infection was also FimH variant-specific but mainly independent of human GP2. In contrast, this FimH variant dependency was not obvious using IPEC-J2 cells. Here, we propose an alternative GP2 adhesion/infection mechanism whereby porcine GP2 is not a receptor that determined host-specificity of Salmonella. Salmonella specialists as well as generalists demonstrated similar binding to GP2. Future studies should focus on spatial distribution of GP2 isoforms in the human and porcine intestine, especially comparing health and disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Zymogen granule membrane glycoprotein 2 (GP2), a microbiota-sensing and immune modulating molecule, contains an N-terminal signal peptide, an EGF-like domain, a zona pellucida domain, and a C-terminal glycosyrophosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor (Jovine et al., 2005; Yu and Lowe, 2009; Werner et al., 2012). GP2 is the most abundant protein in the pancreatic secretory granule membrane (Ronzio et al., 1978). During pancreatic secretion, GP2 is cleaved from the secretory granule membrane and released into the intestine. GP2 sequence orthologs can be found among mammals, but there is no information about GP2 in other classes of vertebrates. Various numbers of alternative splice variants are expressed in different animals (Fukuoka, 2000). Four isoforms have been predicted for humans, two in cattle and pig and one in mouse (Maglott et al., 2005).

The role of GP2 in the pancreas is poorly elucidated. The proposed role in sorting of proteins to the zymogen granule or regulation of granule membrane recycling (Fritz et al., 2002) could not be confirmed by a murine GP2 knock-out model (Yu et al., 2004). Of note, GP2 was identified as intestinal M cell marker in mice and humans (Hase et al., 2009). Further, GP2 was found on cells in various mucous glands in the murine digestive, respiratory, and genital tracts (Kimura et al., 2015, 2016), on human cells vital to mucosal innate and adaptive immune responses and on enterocytes (Roggenbuck et al., 2009; Werner et al., 2012).

Salmonella uses M cells and enterocytes as major gateways for entry in the mucosal epithelium (Sansonetti, 2004). Interestingly, they also trigger the conversion of enterocyte cells into M cells (Tahoun et al., 2012). M cells are epithelial cells and specialized in the transport of luminal antigens and bacteria across the gut (Williams and Owen, 2015). Translocation of Salmonella through M cells is Type Three Secretion System-1 and -2 independent (Martinez-Argudo and Jepson, 2008). Thus, adhesion thereof should be possible by other factors. However, receptors on the cell surface remained largely unknown (Baumler et al., 1996). Studies have shown that type 1 fimbriae (T1F), expressed by Salmonella and Escherichia coli among others, bind to native GP2 on the apical pole of M cells, and to recombinant GP2 in vitro (Hase et al., 2009). Further, GP2 was confirmed as receptor for other T1F-expressing bacteria (Schierack et al., 2015).

Salmonella serotypes can be divided by host range and clinical signs into host-restricted and host-adapted specialists and host-unrestricted generalists (Stevens et al., 2009). Host-restricted serotypes like S. Gallinarum cause systemic infection in one host (called fowl typhoid/pullorum disease) and do not cause disease in any other host (Shivaprasad, 2000). Host-adapted specialists, e.g., S. Choleraesuis and S. Dublin cause mainly systemic disease in pigs and cattle, respectively, but can sporadically cause asymptomatic infections in other hosts (Nielsen, 2013). Most serovars belong to the host-unrestricted group. They are able to infect multiple hosts, resulting in gastroenteritis (Majowicz et al., 2010). T1F is one of the most common adhesins in the family of Enterobacteriaceae and important also in Salmonella pathogenicity (Yue et al., 2012). The FimH protein is located on top of the T1F shaft and directly interacts with glycoprotein-receptors (Kisiela et al., 2013). Several studies have shown that serotype-associated FimH variants of Salmonella specialists and generalists can differ significantly in receptor recognition or tropism to different tissue types. This can lead to changes in the course of infection (Grzymalho et al., 2010, 2013).

So far, comparative functional studies on the interaction of human or mouse GP2 and bacteria have used only one isoform for each organism. Moreover, there has been no study comparing different isoforms of the human GP2 protein with those of other host species. We showed that binding of E. coli to one human GP2 isoform correlated with FimH amino acid sequences (Schierack et al., 2015). We hypothesized that also Salmonella pathotypes with their host-specificity and with their conserved FimH sequences would differentially bind to GP2 isoforms. Thus, we (1) recombinantly expressed two pig GP2 isoforms on the basis of tissue mRNA, (2) expressed four human and two pig GP2 isoforms in epithelial cells, (3) characterized 128 Salmonella isolates for FimH sequences, and (4) analyzed a possible FimH variant – GP2 isoform-specific binding using an isogenic Salmonella model including all 10 defined FimH variants. For extensive automated screening, we developed a novel software module for fluorescent in situ Hybridization (FISH) for use with an already established automated fluorescence microscopy-based VideoScan technology. In general, the VideoScan technology enables automated imaging and analysis of fluorescent objects like microbeads or bacteria (Rödiger et al., 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains

Salmonella isolates were obtained from the National Salmonella Reference Laboratory, Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR) in Berlin, Germany and from Mydlak/Thorasch Diagnostic Laboratory in Cottbus, Germany. For cloning of FimH gene alleles, E. coli XL1Blue was used. For expression of porcine GP2, E. coli DH10Bac was used to generate bacmid DNA with subsequent expression of proteins in SF9 cells. The list of the strains is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Cloning and Expression of Porcine GP2 Isoforms

Pancreas was obtained from a freshly killed pig in the slaughterhouse. Small cuts were preserved in RNAlater solution (Qiagen). RNA was isolated with use of the RNasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The reverse transcription (RT) reaction was performed with Maxima First Strand Synthesis Kit for RT-qPCR (Thermo Scientific). Next, GP2 isoforms were cloned into pET1.2 plasmids (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and Sanger sequenced. In order to express the secretory form of GP2 in SF9 cells, GP1 modification site prediction was done using PredGPI (Pierleoni et al., 2008) and FragAnchor (Poisson et al., 2007) software and this part of the GP2 sequence was not cloned. Porcine GP2 isoforms were cloned with the Gateway system using pDONR221 and pDEST8 plasmids (Invitrogen). Primer sequences used
in this section are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Next, recombinant bacmids in DH10Bac bacteria were generated and isolated. Recombinant bacmid DNA was transfected into SF9 cells, and baculovirus was amplified two times in SF9 cells and titrated with BacPAK Baculovirus Rapid Titer Kit (Clontech). In the next step, recombinant GP2 was expressed in a larger volume (200 ml) in suspension culture of SF9 cells. Proteins were purified by His-tag affinity chromatography and protein purity was assessed by silver staining and Western blotting with anti-His-tag antibody. Purified porcine GP2 isoforms 1 and 2 (500 μg of each) were send to Pineda Antibody Service for rabbit immunization, antibody raising and antibody purification. Rabbits were re-immunized on days 20, 30, 40, and 61 after first immunization. After 90 days rabbits were bleed out and the total IgG fraction was purified from sera by protein A/G chromatography.

Porcine GP2 Deglycosylation

Protein deglycosylation in denaturing and non-denaturing conditions was performed with protein deglycosylation mix (NEB). Negative control for protein digestion contained everything but enzyme mix and was incubated under identical conditions. After digestion, proteins (2 μg for each aliquot) were resolved on SDS–PAGE and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue. For blotting 200 ng of each protein was resolved on SDS–PAGE, transferred to a PVDF membrane and checked with an anti-6-His antibody and Concanavalin A (ConA).

Sequencing, Sequence Alignment, and Comparison for FimH Genes of Salmonella

Genomic DNA was isolated from 128 Salmonella isolates with DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). FimH genes were amplified by PCR using the following reaction mix: 1 U of Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific), Phusion HF buffer (Thermo Scientific), MgCl₂ (at final concentration of 1.5 mM), DNA (10–50 ng), 0.5 μM of each primer and 0.2 mM dNTPs mix and water to final volume of 50 μl. PCR was started with initial denaturation (98°C, 30 s), followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (98°C, 5 s), annealing (53°C, 15 s), elongation (72°C, 45 s), and final elongation (72°C, 10 min). PCR product quality was checked on a 1% agarose gel. Subsequently, 100 μl of each PCR reaction solution was Sanger-sequenced by LGC Genomics GmbH (Berlin). Primer sequences used for amplification and sequencing are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Sequence reads were assembled into contigs with a CAP3 software implemented into the UGENE platform (Okonechnikov et al., 2012). Sequences were aligned and compared using ClustalX 2.1 (Larkin et al., 2007).

Generation of Salmonella Isogenic Strains

A FimH gene deletion mutant in Salmonella strain Tym5744 was generated according to Datsenko and Wanner (2000) with slight modifications. As Tym5744 was ampicillin resistant, we used pKD46-Gm and pCP20-Gm instead of original pKD46 and pCP20 plasmids (Doublet et al., 2008). The mutant was named Tym5744ΔfimH. All 10 fimH alleles found in 128 analyzed Salmonella isolates were cloned into a pACYC177 plasmid. Three plasmids (three variants) were already included in another study (Grzymajlo et al., 2017). Next, plasmids containing fimH variants were transformed into Tym5744ΔfimH. Primer sequences used in this section are shown in Supplementary Table 2. We carried out an extensive screening of 128 Salmonella isolates for their expression of T1F and binding propensities to anti-FimH antibodies, using a static adhesion assay (see the Section "Static Adhesion Assay"). Strain Tym5744 showed robust expression of T1F and binding to anti-FimH antibodies and thus a proper T1F expression machinery and was therefore used for further analysis.

Expression of FimH in FimH variants was quantified by the following protocol. Bacteria were grown in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes under static conditions for 48 h at 37°C in LB medium supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin. The following steps were performed in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. Bacteria were washed once by centrifugation for 5 min at 4000 × g with PBS. Bacterial cells were fixed in PBS-PFA (4%) solution for 1 h at 4°C. Subsequently, bacteria were washed three times with PBS and incubated with BSA (= blocking solution, 1% BSA in PBS) for blocking for 30 min at room temperature. Bacteria were washed once with blocking solution. Bacteria were incubated with the anti-FimH antibody (dilution 1:200) in blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature. Bacteria were washed with the blocking solution. Bacteria were incubated with the secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 647 Anti-Rabbit IgG (1:250, Dianova) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Bacteria were washed three times with PBS. The OD₆₀₀ was measured. Now bacterial suspensions in PBS were pipetted into wells of a 96-well plate. Fluorescence of the well was measured using VideoScan. Fluorescence intensity was the parameter for FimH expression. As negative control, the FimH deletion mutant was included. Background fluorescence of the FimH mutant was subtracted from FimH fluorescence of FimH variants.

Static Adhesion Assay

For static adhesion assays, proteins were coated on 96-well plates. Proteins were diluted in coating buffer (0.1 M Na₂CO₃, pH = 9.6), 50 μl of solution was pipetted to each well of a 96-well plate (Nunc MaxiSorp, flat-bottom) and incubated overnight in 4°C. On the next day, plates were washed once with PBS/BSA 1% and dried at room temperature for 30 min. The Anti-FimH antibody was coated at a dilution of 1:250, GP2 isoforms at concentrations of 2.5 μg/ml, horseradish peroxidase (HRP), and RNase B at concentrations of 5 μg/ml (Grzymajlo et al., 2010). In total, the following proteins were included: four human GP2 isoforms expressed in SF9 cells (GA Generic Assays), two porcine GP2 isoforms expressed in SF9 cells (this study), HRP purified from horseradish (Sigma, Cat No. P6278), RNase B isolated from bovine pancreas (Sigma, Cat No. R7884) and rabbit polyclonal anti-FimH antibody (Wroclaw University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Poland). Salmonella isogenic strains were grown in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes filled with 500 μl of LB broth with kanamycin (50 μg/ml) under static conditions at 37°C to induce T1F expression (Old and Duguid, 1970). After 48 h bacteria were
diluted to $5 \times 10^7$/ml in PBS/BSA 1% and 100 $\mu$l of bacteria were applied to each well of 96-well plates coated with proteins. Bacteria were incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Plates were washed three times with PBS. Attached bacteria were fixed with 50 $\mu$l of 4% PFA in PBS for 30 min at 4°C. Plates were washed three times with PBS. Attached bacteria were stained with 50 $\mu$l of propidium iodide (10 $\mu$g/ml in ddH2O) for 15 min at room temperature. Plates were washed three times with PBS. DAPI beads (PolyAn) served as positive control for our VideoScan analysis and 75 $\mu$l of a DAPI bead solution was pipetted into each well (Schierack et al., 2015). At least three independent experiments with a minimum of three replicates were performed. For each well, 40 images were taken per well and the bacteria were counted using our VideoScan technology. The median number of bacteria was calculated for these 40 images. This was followed by calculating the median value of all respective wells for replicate experiments. Finally, the median value was determined for all experiments.

**Generation of GP2-Expressing Cell Lines**

GP2-expressing cell lines were generated with the Lenti-X Lentiviral Expression System (Clontech). First, GP2 isoforms were cloned into a pLVX-IRES-puro plasmid. Next, puromycin resistance of cell lines was tested by measuring cell viability and proliferation with the MTT assay (Owczarek et al., 2013). Lentiviruses were generated according to the manufacturer’s protocol. HeP-2 cells were transduced with lentiviruses containing human GP2 isoforms and IPEC-J2 cells (Schierack et al., 2006) were transduced with lentiviruses containing porcine GP2 isoforms. Both cell lines were transduced with empty lentivirus as negative controls (empty lentivirus control). Confirmation of GP2 expression in transduced cell lines was done with RT-PCR. RNA from transduced cells was isolated with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Next, the reverse transcriptase reaction was performed as in the Section “Sequencing, Sequence Alignment, and Comparison for FimH Genes of Salmonella.” PCR was performed using standard conditions and primers. RPLP0 was used as a reference gene (George et al., 2016). Primer sequences used in this section for cloning and RT PCR are shown in **Supplementary Table 2**. To confirm GP2 expression at the protein level, indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) was used following a standard protocol. Cell lines were tested for GP2 expression with rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against human GP2 isoform 1 (GP2Ho#1; HeP-2) or porcine GP2 isoforms 1 and 2 (GP2Su#1 and GP2Su#2; IPEC-J2). Western Blot was performed with antibodies GP2Ho#1 and GP2Su#2. Flow cytometry was done with standard protocols using the same antibodies like for IIF.

**Cell Line Adhesion/Infection Assays**

Cell lines were seeded in 96-well plates and assays were performed when cells reached confluency. Starting an assay, cell lines were washed with PBS and D-MEM/Ham’s F12 medium (Millipore) supplemented with 5% bovine serum (Millipore) and 2 mM L-glutamine was added. *Salmonella* isogenic strains were grown as in the Section “Static Adhesion Assay.” After OD₅₀₀ measurement, $5 \times 10^6$ bacteria in 50 $\mu$l were pipetted into each well. For mannose blocking assays, bacteria were first pre-incubated for 30 min with 0.2 M mannose. Bacteria were incubated for 2 h on cells. Plates were washed three times with PBS. Cells and bound bacteria were fixed with 50 $\mu$l of 4% PFA in PBS for 1 h at 4°C. Plates were washed three times with ddH₂O. Cells were dehydrated with 50 $\mu$l of 95% ethanol for 5 min, dried and stored at 4°C until fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH). For FISH staining hybridization buffer (0.9 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl, 0.01% SDS, 15% formamide) and washing buffer (0.9 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl, 0.01% SDS) were freshly prepared and pre-warmed to 46°C. FISH probe EUB338 Atto647N was diluted in hybridization buffer to a final concentration of 5 ng/$\mu$l and 40 $\mu$l was pipetted into each well. Plates were incubated in a humid chamber for 1 h at 46°C and washed once with washing buffer. Plates were incubated for 10 min at 48°C with washing buffer. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (50 $\mu$g/ml in ddH₂O) and washed once with ddH₂O. Plates were dried at room temperature for VideoScan analysis. At least three independent experiments with a minimum of three replicates were performed.

**VideoScan**

VideoScan is a fluorescence microscopy-based technology (Rödiger et al., 2013) which automatically takes images from wells of cell culture plates and analyses fluorescent objects. To use this technology in counting bacteria, we first proceed to make them fluorescent by using the FISH technology (see the Section “Cell Line Adhesion/Infection Assays”). The VideoScan module works with a 20× magnification objective and first focuses in the well on DAPI stained nuclei or DAPI beads. After focusing, the equipment takes an image of the well, and fluorescent bacteria are then counted. A total of 40 images per well were taken during read-out. Three to five independent experiments with triplicates for each FimH variant and recombinant protein/cell line were prepared and measured.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the R software (R Development Core Team, 2013). The RStudio and RKWard v. 0.6.92 + 0.7.0 + devel1 with integrated development environments were used for the R script development. Central tendency and spread were calculated as median and median absolute deviation. Pairwise Mood’s median test from the RVAideMemoire package was used to perform pairwise comparisons between groups with a Benjamini and Hochberg’s (1995) correction to account for multiple testing. All figures were made using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2010).

**RESULTS**

Cloning and Expression of Porcine GP2 Isoforms

The mRNA isolation from porcine pancreatic tissue, cDNA amplification, and sequencing resulted in two GP2 sequences
Porcine GP2 Deglycosylation

FimH binds to carbohydrate residues of receptor proteins. We validated glycosylation of proteins by deglycosylation which results in a shift in band height in SDS–PAGE. Glycosylation was incomplete under native and complete under denaturing conditions (Figures 1B,C). Results were confirmed by blotting with ConA. ConA is a lectin isolated from Canavalia ensiformis which recognizes branched alpha-mannosidic structures in high mannose type, hybrid type, and biantennary complex type N-glycans. ConA only bound well to non- and incomplete digested proteins (Figures 2A,B). In conclusion, porcine GP2 was expressed as glycosylated protein.

To confirm, that glycosylation is necessary for Salmonella binding, static adhesion assays with glycosylated and deglycosylated porcine GP2 were performed. Bacteria did not bind to complete deglycosylated GP2 and binding was decreased by 59% (isoform 1) and 53% (isoform 2) using GP2 not bind to complete deglycosylated GP2 and binding was decreased by 59% (isoform 1) and 53% (isoform 2) using GP2 incompletely digested glycosylated which shows that decreased by 59% (isoform 1) and 53% (isoform 2) using GP2.

Generation of Salmonella Isogenic Strains and Static Adhesion Assays

In order to investigate the influence of FimH gene sequence variations on binding to GP2, we created isogenic strains. Tym5744ΔfimH was complemented with all FimH variants excluding the non-functional 79 amino acid short Tym variant. First we tested for FimH expression. As shown in Figure 4, though in an identical genetic background, FimH expression varied between FimH variants. Isogenic FimH variants were tested for binding to GP2 in a static adhesion assay. We observed three FimH binding phenotypes: the high binding phenotype included Tym1, Tym3, Chol3, and Du, the low binding phenotype Ent, Tym2, and Chol1 and the no-binding phenotype Chol2, Gall, and Pull. There was no difference in binding to the two porcine GP2 isoforms, since a variant that bound readily to isoform 1 also did so to isoform 2 (Figure 5A). Since FimH expression between the FimH variants was different, we have normalized the data for static adhesion assay (binding to glycoproteins) to FimH expression for each variant. This normalization, however, does not change the experimental outcome compared to non-normalized data (Supplementary Figure 2), since we still observe three FimH binding phenotypes: the high binding phenotype included Tym1, Tym3, Chol3, and Du; the low binding phenotype Ent, Tym2, and Chol1; and the no-binding phenotype Chol2, Gall, and Pull. Binding of FimH variants to GP2 thus depends on FimH sequences as well as FimH phenotype Chol2, Gall, and Pull.

Sequencing, Sequence Alignment, and Comparison for FimH Gene

FimH genes of 128 Salmonella isolates were sequenced. We detected 12 DNA sequence alleles and 40 variable sites. Translation resulted in 11 protein sequence variants (FimH variants) with 18 variable sites (Table 1). This included one new and unpublished FimH variant for S. Choleraesuis and one unpublished and new for S. Typhimurium. New variants were proofed by a second amplification and sequencing run. Among 40 S. Typhimurium isolates 4 FimH gene alleles were present which resulted in four protein variants (Tym1–4). One variant was shorter due to translation termination after 79 codons and present in only one isolate. Among 34 S. Enteritidis isolates two FimH gene alleles were present – with only one difference which was a silent mutation – which resulted in one single FimH variant (Ent). Nine S. Choleraesuis isolates did not possess the FimH gene and three FimH gene alleles resulted in three protein variants (Chol1–3). All 20 S. Dublin isolates had the identical FimH gene sequence and thus one protein variant (Du). In 19 S. Gallinarum isolates, two gene alleles and two variants were present. The first variant is typical for S. Gallinarum biovar Pullorum (Pull) and was present in 16 isolates. The second variant, typical for S. Gallinarum biovar Gallinarum (Gall), was found in three isolates.

 identical with GenBank sequences XM005662102 and XM003124571. Our two sequences were submitted to GenBank with accession numbers KU665994 and KU665995. Porcine and human GP2 isoforms had 76% sequence identity. Comparison of the two porcine and the four human isoforms are shown in Supplementary Figure 1. For expression in SF9 cells, the GPI anchor sequence was removed and only “secretory forms” of GP2 were cloned. Proteins were expressed and purified and purity was checked (Figure 1A).
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Generation of GP2-Expressing Cell Lines

Both porcine GP2 isoforms with appropriate GPI anchor were expressed in porcine epithelial cells (IPEC-J2) and human GP2 isoforms 1–4 were similarly expressed in human epithelial cells (HEp-2). Optimal selection of all transfected cells was found using 1 μg/ml puromycin. GP2 expression was confirmed on the mRNA level by RT-PCR (data not shown) and on protein level by IIF (Supplementary Figure 3), Western blotting (Figure 6), and flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure 4). IIF and flow cytometry always indicated GP2 expression in more than 90% of cells. Additionally, flow cytometry validation showed that all cell lines expressed similar amounts of surface GP2 (Supplementary Figure 4). As negative control (empty lentivirus control), cell lines transduced with “empty” lentiviruses not-containing GP2 sequences did not express GP2 (Supplementary Figure 4).

Cell Line Adhesion/Infection Assays

We finally explored the GP2 – FimH interaction by infection assays using the isogenic strains. For automated counting of bacteria during infection assays of a cell line, we adapted our automated fluorescence microscopy platform “VideoScan” for counting fluorescent bacteria stained by FISH (Supplementary Figure 5). This included detection and quantification of FISH-stained bacteria and enabled us to screen infectivity of all FimH variants to all cell lines expressing GP2 isoforms in a 96-well format including large amounts of repeats and negative controls.
Infection assays included – similar to the static adhesion assay – the *Salmonella* FimH variants as well as the FimH mutant which was transformed with the same vector like the FimH variants but without any FimH gene (= empty plasmid control). In general, all isogenic *Salmonella* strains were able to infect host cells not expressing GP2 as well as host cells expressing GP2. There were differences in the two cell line models. Using HEp-2 cells (expressing and not expressing GP2) an infection pattern similar to the static GP2 adhesion assay was visible. Three high binding FimH variants (Tym3, Chol3, and Du) adhered better

### TABLE 1 | Amino acid variation in Salmonella FimH sequence variants.

| Isolate | Position | 13 | 50 | 57 | 78 | 80 | 89 | 101 | 126 | 131 | 137 | 166 | 182 | 222 | 279 | 285 | 317 |
|----------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| LT2      |          | A  | P  | P  | V  | T  | R  | Q  | N  | L  | Y  | K  | T  | T  | A  | V  | S  | T  | I  |
| Tym1 (33)|          | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  |
| Tym2 (1) |          | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  |
| Tym3 (6) |          | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | A  | .  | .  | .  |
| Tym4 (1) |          | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | STOP |
| Ent1 (34)|          | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | R  | S  | M  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | N  |
| Chol1 (1)|          | .  | S  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | G  | N  |
| Chol2 (1)|          | .  | L  | G  | .  | R  | R  | S  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | N  |
| Chol3 (4)|          | .  | L  | .  | .  | R  | R  | S  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | N  |
| Du (20)  |          | .  | .  | .  | S  | R  | S  | M  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | I  | N  |
| Gall (16)|          | T  | .  | .  | I  | .  | R  | S  | M  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | .  | N  |
| Pull (3) |          | .  | .  | .  | R  | S  | M  | .  | S  | V  | .  | .  | .  | .  | N  |

FimH sequence variants of *S. Typhimurium* (Tym1–4), *S. Enteritidis* (Ent1–2), *S. Choleraesuis* (Chol1-3), *S. Dublin* (Du), *S. Gallinarum* biovar Pullorum (Pull), and *S. Gallinarum* biovar Gallinarum (Gall) discovered in this study were aligned with the FimH sequence of *S. Typhimurium* reference strain LT2 (LT2). Only polymorphic positions in the FimH protein are shown. Residues identical to the amino acid sequence of *S. Typhimurium* LT2 are indicated by dots and STOP means stop codon. Numbers in brackets stand for a number of isolates with the particular FimH variant.
Expression of FimH in FimH variants. After complementation of Tym5744ΔfimH with fimH variants in the identical plasmid, FimH expression was tested by staining bacteria in suspension with an anti-FimH antibody. This was followed by staining with a fluorescence-labeled secondary antibody. After pipetting stained bacteria into wells of a 96-well plate, fluorescence of the well was quantified by VideoScan. Background signal of Tym5744ΔfimH was subtracted from signals of FimH variants. Ent: FimH variant of S. Enteritidis, Tym1-3: FimH variants of S. Typhimurium, Chol1-3: FimH variants of S. Choleraesuis, Du: FimH variant of S. Dublin, Gall: FimH variant of S. Gallinarum, Pull: FimH variant of S. Pullorum. The data are shown as median values and median absolute deviation (MAD) of three separate experiments in triplicate wells.

FIGURE 4

than others ($p < 0.05$), underlining the importance of the FimH sequence for cell line infection (Figure 7). Adding mannose into cultures infected by Tym3, Chol3, and Du resulted in a decrease in infection, suggesting that these strains are mannose sensitive. However, FimH variant Tym1 which bound well in the static adhesion assay did not infect cells better than the empty plasmid control. In contrast, using IPEC-J2 cells, there was not such obvious FimH variant-dependent infection. All FimH variants and the empty plasmid control rather similarly infected cells (Figure 8).

Another difference in the two cell lines was revealed by comparing cells not expressing and cells expressing GP2. The empty plasmid control (absence of FimH) infected all five HEp-2 cell lines in similar quantity, indicating that all cell lines were infected in a similar FimH-GP2-independent way (Figure 7). In contrast, in IPEC-J2 cells the empty plasmid control infected better the GP2 expressing than the GP2 not expressing cells ($p < 0.05$). This points to an alternative adhesion mechanism which is induced by knocking out the FimH gene and which also uses porcine GP2 in IPEC-J2 cells (Figure 8).

Finally, we analyzed whether GP2 expression results in higher infection by Salmonella FimH variants. In HEp-2 cells, there was no general trend that GP2 expression supports infection indicating that the surface of HEp-2 cells already presents sufficient glycoproteins other than GP2 as receptors. However, some differences were seen like FimH variants Tym3 and Chol3 significantly better infected HEp-2 cells expressing human GP2 isoform 4. But same Tym3 and Chol3 also significantly worse infected HEp-2 cells expressing human GP2 isoform 2 compared to HEp-2 wild type cells and HEp-2 cells expressing the two other isoforms ($p < 0.05$), though – already mentioned above – all isoforms were similarly expressed on the host cell surface (Supplementary Figure 4). This indicates a complex interplay of glycoproteins on cells and that different GP2 isoforms can affect infection by different FimH variants.

In IPEC-J2 cells, all FimH variants which did not bind to GP2 in the static adhesion assay (Ent, Tym2, Chol1, Chol2, Gal, Pul) bound better to GP2-expressing cells compared to the original cells, which was almost always significant ($p < 0.05$). In contrast, all FimH variants which well bound to GP2 in the static adhesion assay (Tym1, Tym3, Chol3, Du) did not infect GP2-expressing cells better compared to cells not expressing GP2. This points to an alternative adhesion mechanism which is induced by lacking a FimH-mediated adhesion process and which also uses porcine GP2 as receptor in IPEC-J2 cells (Figure 8).

DISCUSSION

Due to the high homology of GP2 with the Tamm-Horsefall protein (THP, uromodulin) various possible functions of THP were implicated to GP2. According to current findings, GP2 secreted into the intestinal lumen may serve as an immunomodulator and compound sterically hindering binding of bacteria to host epithelial cells (Yu and Lowe, 2009; Werner et al., 2012). The discovery of GP2 expression on the surface...
of intestinal M cells added a new possible function of GP2 as receptor for T1F-positive bacteria (Roggenbuck et al., 2009; Ohno and Hase, 2010). We proposed that various FimH variants could have different affinities to GP2 isoforms of pigs and humans (Kolenda, 2018).

FimH of E. coli interacts with the glycan part of GP2 and several studies showed that the requirement for any protein to be considered as a receptor for T1F is glycosylation (Leusch et al., 1991; Kukkonen et al., 1993; Yu and Lowe, 2009; Grzymajlo et al., 2013). Our deglycosylation experiments confirmed glycosylation of recombinant GP2 used in this study and that Salmonella FimH binds to the glycan part of GP2 similarly to E. coli FimH. Of note, there is only one protein (plasminogen) that Salmonella T1F bind in a non-mannose-sensitive manner (Kukkonen et al., 1998).

FimH variation can have a considerable impact on adhesion to receptors. Out of a collection of 128 Salmonella isolates including...
FIGURE 6 | Detection of GP2 expression in epithelial cells by Western blotting. Proteins of cell lysates were separated by SDS–PAGE and GP2 and α tubulin (control) expression was confirmed with (A) an antibody against human GP2 isoform 1 (anti-GP2Ho#1 antibody) expressed in HEp-2 cells, (B,D) an antibody against α tubulin, and (C) an antibody against porcine GP2 isoform 2 (anti-GP2Su#2 antibody) expressed in IPEC-J2 cells. Lane L: protein ladder (kDa); lane 1: HEp-2 cells expressing human GP2 isoform 1 (HEp-2-GP2Ho#1); lane 2: HEp-2-GP2Ho#2; lane 3: HEp-2-GP2Ho#3; lane 4: HEp-2-GP2Ho#4; lane 5: HEp-2 cells transduced with an empty lentivirus (control, HEp-2-pLVX-Empty); lane 6: IPEC-J2-GP2Su#1; lane 7: IPEC-J2-GP2Su#2; lane 8: IPEC-J2-pLVX-Empty.

the clinically most important serotypes, we report two new FimH variants (Chol1 and Tym2) which were not reported so far in GenBank. Additionally, eight already described variants were confirmed. Most of S. Typhimurium isolates contained the Tym1 FimH variant. It has been demonstrated that Tym1 with Val at residue 245 can be more often found in human but Tym 3 with Ala more in animal isolates (Yue et al., 2015). Surprisingly, we identified five human isolates with Tym3 in this study, but found no porcine equivalents. It has to be mentioned that Chol1 was found in S. Choleraesuis var. Decatur and this strain was isolated from a reptile which might explain the different sequence since other isolates belonged to S. Choleraesuis sensu stricto isolated from pigs, wild boars, pork, or related products. In S. Dublin, we identified only one FimH variant which has the identical sequence of the only published FimH variant in this serotype, underlining a high conservation. Gall and Pull FimH variants found in our strain collection represent the most often found FimH sequences for these serotypes/biotypes (Kisiela et al., 2005, 2006).

We investigated the interaction between GP2 and FimH using a previously validated and published method, the static adhesion assay (Schierack et al., 2015). This method was used to compare the binding between FimH variants, expressed on cells with identical genetic background (isogenic model), to GP2 isoforms expressed in SF9 cells. We used SF9 cells to express the proteins as this allows for post synthetic modification of recombinantly expressed mammalian glycoproteins. In parallel, using anti-FimH antibodies we tested for FimH expression. FimH expression varied between FimH variants – though expressed in the isogenic background – and could not be improved by varying multiple passages. We have no plausible explanation or hypothesis for the variation in FimH expression levels between variants. However, similar variations have been reported before for Salmonella FimH (Kisiela et al., 2012). However, normalization per FimH expression did not change our observations and conclusions (Supplementary Figure 2). Non-binding of Gall and Pull to GP2 confirmed our experimental setting. This is in line with previous data identifying Gall and Pull non-binding to be mediated by a single amino acid substitution in the FimH sequence (Kisiela et al., 2005). Regarding the Chol FimH variants, we found one non-binding (Chol2), one low-binding (Chol1), and one high-binding (Chol3) phenotype. The presence of non-binding Chol2 and high-binding Chol3 was reported previously (Kisiela et al., 2012) and additionally added validity to our experimental setting. The presence of low-binding Chol1 was not reported in the literature so far. The only reported low-binding Chol1 isolate belonged to a different biotype of S. Choleraesuis (biotype Decatur) according to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme, and was isolated from a reptile (Issenhuth-Jeannian et al., 2014). Isolates from the S. Choleraesuis biotype Decatur were reassigned in the publication of Achtman et al. (2012) as S. Decatur. Therefore, multilocus sequence typing or next generation sequencing of strains bearing Chol FimH variants could help to establish
genetic relatedness between these strains, and explain whether isolates bearing a Chol1 variant should be considered as low binding FimH variant of *S. Choleraesuis* or *S. Decatur*. It has been proposed that T1F production in *S. Choleraesuis* is dependent on the presence of Gly at residue 63 (like Chol2), but our results showed that both Gly and Val at residue 63 resulted in fimbriated phenotypes (Lee and Yeh, 2016). The binding properties of Tym1, Du, and Ent in our study are in agreement with previous results (Grzymalj et al., 2010). Tym2 and Tym3 represented low and high binding phenotypes reported previously. However, they were associated with different amino acid substitutions at different loci. This suggests that evolutionary adaption in *S. Typhimurium* selects for mutations leading to these two binding phenotypes (Boddicker et al., 2002).

We could globally define binding patterns of different FimH *Salmonella* variants to all glycoproteins, ranging from those that did not bind to very high binders. Each variant that could bind to one glycoprotein, either as a low-binder or as a high-binder, bound similarly to all other glycoproteins. This shows that the FimH sequence and the FimH expression determines the binding characteristics rather than the glycoprotein which in our case were recombinantly expressed GP2, native HRP from horseradish, and native bovine pancreatic RNase B.

In a next step, we studied the FimH-GP2 interaction in a more natural but also more sophisticated environment. We expressed GPI-anchored GP2 isoforms in cell lines and established a new FISH analysis software module for bacteria quantification. The conventional reference assay to determine the number of bacteria infecting cell lines is the colony-forming unit (CFU) assay by plating serial dilutions of bacteria suspensions on LB agar (Lee and Falkow, 1990). Other methods include staining of bacteria (GFP, antibody) and manual bacteria counting with fluorescence microscopy or enumeration with flow cytometry (Santos et al., 2013; Knodler et al., 2014). The aforementioned methods are not suitable for cell line infection studies using larger amounts of bacterial strains and cell lines. Our VideoScan technology (Rödiger et al., 2013) enabled screening of 10 FimH variants on six cell lines expressing GP2 and additional controls.
In general, there were significant differences between HEp-2 and IPEC-J2 cells. HEp-2 cells: *Salmonella* FimH variants infected HEp-2 cells in a similar manner to adhesion in the static adhesion assay. FimH variants Tym3, Chol3, and Du infected cells better than other variants. This underlines the importance of the FimH sequence for determining *Salmonella* infectivity as already proposed by Dwyer et al. (2011) and Kisiela et al. (2012).

With few exceptions, FimH variants infected cells expressing GP2 as well as those not expressing GP2. This is in line with the observation of the static adhesion assay where FimH variants bound similarly to all GP2 isoforms and other glycoproteins. Furthermore, this shows that the HEp-2 cell surface is already saturated with glycoproteins other than GP2, and that *Salmonella* FimH can use these as alternative receptors. However, since intestinal GP2 expression levels can change (Roggenbuck et al., 2009), the glycoprotein or GP2 isoform pattern might also change and affect bacterial binding. In our study, expression of GP2 isoform 4 in HEp-2 cells resulted in increased *Salmonella* infection but expression of GP2 isoform 2 in HEp-2 cells resulted in decreased infection, though expression of both GP2 isoforms was similar as measured by flow cytometry. This indicates a sophisticated glycoprotein expression network in cells. Further work is necessary to study expression of GP2 isoforms in different intestinal parts and in different cell types as well as to compare healthy and diseased hosts to clarify roles of different GP2 isoforms in infection.

Regarding IPEC-J2 cells, we did not reveal a FimH variant-dependent infection. Likewise, in the static adhesion assay Tym3 bound more to glycoproteins than did Tym1 or Tym2. In contrast, in the cell infection assay Tym3 infected similarly to Tym1 or Tym2. This was seen for the cells expressing GP2 as well as for the cells not expressing GP2. The same was seen for the empty plasmid control lacking FimH which similarly infected IPEC-J2 cells compared to all FimH variants. Thus, an alternative adhesion/infection process presumably existed in IPEC-J2 cells and this alternative process (1) solely mediated infection or...
(2) overlayed the FimH-mediated adhesion process. That a more prominent adhesion mechanism can cover T1F adhesion was shown by Kleta et al. (2014). Adhesion of one E. coli strain to IPEC-J2 cells was prominently mediated by FIC fimbriae which covered adhesion mediated by T1F (Kleta et al., 2014).

GP2-expressing IPEC-J2 cells were infected better by Salmonella isogenic strains expressing no-binding and low-binding FimH variants or without FimH expression (the empty plasmid control), compared to the IPEC-J2 cells without GP2 expression. This was not the case for high-binding Tym1, Tym3, Chol3, and Du of the static adhesion assay. These variants similarly infected GP2-expressing and non-GP2-expressing cells. It seems that if a FimH variant was not able to recognize glycoproteins then this variant expressed an alternative adhesion process finally resulting in GP2 recognition. This supports rather the hypothesis, that an existing FimH-GP2 interaction was covered by an alternative adhesion mechanism instead of the full absence of a FimH-GP2 interaction. A mutual impact of one adhesin on the expression of another adhesin is a so-called “regulatory cross-talk between adhesins.” Such direct communication has been shown between the two adhesin gene clusters T1F and pyelonephritis-associated pili in uropathogenic E. coli (Xia et al., 2000) and for regulation of expression of flagellar, SPI1, and type 1 fimbrial genes in Salmonella (Saini et al., 2010). In other studies, an E. coli mutant that was incapable of expressing either T1F or FimP fimbriae compensated by synthesizing FIC fimbriae (Snyder et al., 2005) and E. coli and Salmonella regulators of S, P, and long polar fimbriae inhibited T1F expression (Holden et al., 2001). The alternative Salmonella adhesion process in our study and the alternative adhesin-specific binding to GP2 have to be defined in future studies.

One of the goals of this work was to demonstrate interactions between FimH and GP2, that could support a role of GP2 in host specificity. However, our data do not indicate such a role of any Salmonella FimH variant in host specificity, because there were no clear differences in binding to GP2 isoforms from different species in both assays. For example, Tym3 which is a FimH variant of host-unrestricted S. Typhimurium as well as Chol3 which is a FimH variant of host-restricted S. Choleraesuis preferentially infected human HEP-2 but not porcine IPEC-J2 cells. Thus, Salmonella serovars can use GP2 as receptor during pathogenesis, but it is not specific to the host with which they are associated. That there were both high and low GP2-binding FimH variants in host generalists (S. Enteritidis as well as S. Typhimurium) and that Salmonella isolates of both human and porcine origin did not contain any unique/host-related FimH variant underlines that GP2-FimH interaction is rather not a mechanism of host tropism.

CONCLUSION

In pigs, there are two functional GP2 isoforms expressed which differ in their amino acid sequence to human GP2 isoforms by roughly 25%. We defined out of 128 Salmonella isolates two new and 8 already published FimH variants each of them only found in one serotype. FimH variants could be assigned to a high-, low-, or no-binding phenotype. In a static adhesion assay, FimH interactions with glycoproteins was FimH variant-dependent but not specific for GP2 isoforms or host source. In infection assays using one human and one porcine cell line model, there were fundamental differences. Using HEP-2 cells, infection was FimH variant-specific but with few exceptions independent of GP2 expression in general or independent of one human GP2 isoform. Using IPEC-J2 cells, FimH variant-dependent infections were not visible. In FimH variants which were not able to recognize glycoproteins, an alternative adhesion/infection process was triggered which resulted in enhanced infection of GP2-expressing cells. Thus, Salmonella can express other adhesins than FimH which specifically uses GP2 as host cell receptor. Finally, GP2 was not a receptor determining host-specificity of Salmonella. Both Salmonella specialists and generalists can bind similarly to GP2.

Future studies should test whether GP2 is a relevant receptor for FimH in vivo and in different hosts systems. Studies also have to focus on the spatial distribution of GP2 isoforms in the human and porcine intestine and their different expression under health and disease. Changes in a cell surface glycoprotein pattern including GP2 isoform pattern could increase the importance of GP2 in the ensemble of glycoproteins. Finally, other studies should focus on other bacteria species since a pathotype-specific binding of E. coli to GP2 was already determined (Schierack et al., 2015).
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