Unexpected findings during colon cancer surgery: A rare complication of ventriculoperitoneal shunt
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INTRODUCTION

Complications such as infections and obstructions of the ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt are common. However, VP shunt trapped on the serosal side of colon cancer is rare, and there is no clear treatment strategy.

CASE REPORT

A 72-year-old man presented with a 1-month history of constipation, diarrhea, and weight loss. His medical history included subarachnoid hemorrhage and hydrocephalus 13 years earlier, for which endovascular coiling and VP shunting were performed. Colonoscopy showed a sigmoid mass, the biopsy of which revealed tubular adenocarcinoma. Computed tomography demonstrated circumferential wall thickening of the sigmoid colon without evidence of metastasis, with the lesion surrounding the tip of the VP shunt. He underwent laparoscopic surgery for sigmoid colon cancer. Unexpectedly, the VP shunt was trapped in the serous side of the tumor, and both the shunt and tumor were excised. Microscopic examination revealed inflammation and fibrosis, but no cancer cells were found in the serosa surrounding the embedded VP shunt tip.

Conclusion: Bowel perforation due to a VP shunt is a rare but emergency condition. If the perforation occurs around cancerous tissue, it must be handled with extreme care; excising the shunt along with the tumor may be the safest treatment method. Clinicians need to pay attention to the end of the VP shunt when performing surgery for patients with cancer and VP shunt.
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Computed tomography demonstrated circumferential wall thickening of the sigmoid colon without evidence of metastasis, with the lesion surrounding the tip of the VP shunt [Figure 1b]. We opted for laparoscopic surgery, which unexpectedly revealed that the VP shunt was trapped in the tumor's serosal side [Figure 1c]; both the shunt and the tumor were, thus, excised [Figure 1d]. Spinal fluid was found draining from the remaining VP shunt slit valves. Macroscopic pathology showed that the VP shunt was stuck in a deeper layer in comparison to the tumor [Figure 2a], while microscopic examination of the tumor revealed subserosal invasion. Inflammation and fibrosis were also identified, but no cancer cells were found in the serosa surrounding the embedded VP shunt tip [Figure 2b]. The patient was discharged 16 days after surgery without any complications.

DISCUSSION

Hydrocephalus is the pathological accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in the ventricular system. The etiology of hydrocephalus can be congenital, acquired, or idiopathic. Hydrocephalus is primarily treated with a VP shunt implant that drains excess CSF from the cerebral ventricles into the peritoneal cavity. VP shunt complications are common, with most of them being obstructions and infections.[2]

Bowel perforation is a rare complication of VP shunt placement, occurring in only 0.1–0.7 % of cases.[3] It has been reported that friction, rather than shunt stiffness, is the leading cause of perforation.[3] Bowel perforation resulting from a silicone shunt allergy has also occurred.[1] In the present case, the catheter was caught in the sigmoid colon, and the cancer-induced inflammation and fibrosis may have prevented the shunt from perforating the sigmoid colon.

VP shunt-associated bowel perforation is a surgical emergency, and if the perforation is around cancerous tissue, it must be handled with great care. As the VP shunt in this case was trapped on the tumor's serosal side, complete removal increased the risk of cancer cell dissemination or peritonitis. Therefore, excision of the shunt was deemed the safest treatment option. VP shunt placement is a common neurosurgical procedure, and clinicians often see patients post-VP shunt placement. VP shunt-related complications remain a major concern. When treating patients with cancer following VP shunt placement, clinicians should pay particular attention to the position of the end of the VP shunt and provide appropriate intervention.

CONCLUSION

Bowel perforation due to a VP shunt is a rare but emergency condition. If the perforation occurs around cancerous tissues, it must be handled with extreme care; excising the shunt along with the tumour may be the safest treatment method. Therfore, clinicians need to pay attention to the position of the end of the VP shunt when performing surgery for patients with cancer and VP shunt.
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