Structural snapshots of the SCR reaction mechanism on Cu-SSZ-13†
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The structure of copper sites in Cu-SSZ-13 during NH3-SCR was unravelled by a combination of novel operando X-ray spectroscopic techniques. Strong adsorption of NH3 on Cu, its reaction with weakly adsorbed NO from the gas phase, and slow re-oxidation of Cu(i) were proven. Thereby the SCR reaction mechanism is significantly different to that observed for Fe-ZSM-5.

Selective catalytic reduction of NOx by ammonia (NH3-SCR) over iron and copper based catalysts is presently the predominant way to remove hazardous NOx from automotive exhaust gases. In particular the chabazite-based catalysts Cu-SSZ-13 and Cu-SAPO-34 have recently attracted strong interest due to their outstanding performance and hydrothermal stability. Although Cu-SSZ-13 has already been commercialized, the reaction mechanism and the structure of the active sites are still strongly debated. Typically, single Cu2+ sites are located close to a six- or eight-member ring (6MR or 8MR). They are mobile, dynamically change their structure, and less active Cu dimers can be formed at intermediate temperatures. This structural variation inevitably requires operando studies.

In situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) has uncovered high redox dynamics of Cu sites in the chabazite framework, particularly promoted during the standard SCR process. This redox behavior, which is similar to Fe-zeolites, originates from the reaction of NO and NH3. The reduction of Cu2+ sites was observed also in an NH3-containing stream. Nevertheless, the nature of adsorbed species and the sequence of reaction steps are still controversial. For large-pore zeolites the reaction between gaseous or oxidatively adsorbed NO and adsorbed NH3 has been proposed. Oxidative adsorption of NOx together with stronger non-dissociative adsorption of NH3 on Cu sites has been reported on both Cu-SAPO-34 and Cu-SSZ-13. Furthermore, formation of nitrosyl groups NO+ has been found by IR spectroscopy. The interaction of Cu2+ sites in Cu-SAPO-34 with both NO and NH3 was further evidenced by in situ EPR. With respect to the influence of other gaseous species, a positive effect of water for the SCR reaction has been reported, although it strongly inhibits NO oxidation. However, most of the studies that can evidence the interaction between the adsorbates and copper were not performed under operating conditions, which appears particularly important as previously demonstrated by Ribeiro et al. Recent investigations have shown that combined synchrotron-based hard-X-ray photon-in/photon-out techniques allow probing in situ not only the oxidation state and the coordination sphere but also the nature of the ligands.

Here we report on the use of High Energy Resolution Fluorescence Detected XAS (HERFD-XAS) and Valence-to-Core X-ray Emission Spectroscopy (V2C XES) at the Cu K-edge under NH3-SCR operating conditions to shed light on the standard SCR mechanism over Cu-SSZ-13. For this purpose, we prepared a well-defined Cu-SSZ-13 catalyst and studied the structure at relevant SCR conditions and systematically under 12 defined reference conditions to understand the influence of each species and their interactions. The spectra were further interpreted using reference compounds and density-functional theory (DFT) calculations. In addition, we compare the results to our recent HERFD-XAS/XES study of Fe-ZSM-5.

The 1.2 wt% Cu-SSZ-13 catalyst was prepared by ion-exchange with copper acetate (ESI†) resulting in a Si:Al-ratio of 16:1 and Cu:Al = 0.2:1. EXAFS data analysis shows mostly isolated 4-fold coordinated Cu2+ sites with a Cu–O bond distance around 1.96 Å (ESI† Fig. S3). This is in line with literature, where isolated sites were observed for the used ion exchange level, possibly close to 8 membered rings under the applied reaction conditions. This catalyst sample demonstrated typical SCR activity with a seagull shaped light-off curve (ESI† Fig. S2). Next, the catalyst was tested in an operando fixed-bed quartz capillary microreactor cell. These experiments were performed with a sieve fraction of 100–200 µm.
(ESI†) to avoid mass transfer limitations, 17 which can strongly influence SCR activity over Cu-zeolites. 18 The suitability of the approach is underlined by the results in Fig. 1 as the conversion of NO in the operando cell was close to that in the laboratory fixed-bed reactor and water enhanced the performance as known for Cu-SCR catalysts. 13,19

The results obtained with XAS/XES are first described separately and afterwards correlated to obtain a coherent picture. The numbering of the conditions was chosen to present spectral differences and is not according to the experimental order. Before each experiment, the sample was treated to reach its initial state.

HERFD-XANES spectra were collected during NO and NH3 oxidation, and under standard SCR with or without water (Fig. 2). At first glance, major changes of the XANES profiles are visible for conditions involving NH3. However, important variations could be observed for all investigated conditions. The HERFD-XANES spectrum of the untreated sample in synthetic air at room temperature (condition 1) contains features typical for Cu-SSZ-13: a pre-edge feature A at 8977.4 eV (1s → 3d transition in Cu2+), 20 a small shoulder B at 8982.7 eV (1s → 4p transition of two-coordinated Cu+ sites) 6 and a second shoulder C at 8986.5 eV (Cu2+ 1s → 4p transition with ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT, shakedown)). 8,21 A similar profile was recorded at 200 °C in the presence of water (cond. 4) which corresponds to hydrated Cu2+ sites. Dehydration by pretreatment at 550 °C (cond. 2) led to a slightly higher intensity of the pre-edge and of the features B and C. The white-line showed a sharper main feature E around 8997 eV accompanied by a shoulder D at 8993 eV, indicating a slight difference in the coordination sphere. 22 Analysis of the pre-edge region indicates a majority of Cu2+ sites present under these conditions. The removal of O2 and H2O (cond. 3) led to a decrease of the pre-edge feature A suggesting autoreduction (about 60% Cu+ as estimated by its integration, Fig. 2, Table).

With respect to the reactant gases, NO addition mainly affected features B and C. Thus dosing only NO + He (cond. 7) yielded the highest intensity of feature C but a diminishment of feature B in comparison to cond. 2 (O2/He). Both features B and C decrease when NO and O2 are dosed over the catalyst bed, indicating the complete absence of Cu+ species. This could be caused by oxidation of the remaining Cu+ sites by traces of NO2. Moreover, shoulder C almost vanishes upon addition of H2O. These results preclude the reduction of the Cu sites by NO at 200 °C. As reduction of the Cu2+ sites is expected during the SCR-reaction, the adsorption of NO on Cu+ species was verified after reduction at 550 °C in 5% H2/He (cond. 13). Note that the corresponding HERFD-XANES shows a shoulder around 8981 eV probably due to overreduction to Cu0.22,23

The second group of spectra, which involve NH3 (cond. 8–12), exhibit an intense feature B suggested to originate from linear coordination to the Cu sites. 8,21,24 The highest intensity of feature B was observed in NH3-He (cond. 9) combined with an almost

---

Fig. 1 Catalytic activity of 1.2 wt% Cu-SSZ-13 measured in the lab fixed-bed reactor and the operando cell at the beamline using 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm NH3, 10% O2 and 0–1.5% H2O (GHSV 200 000 h–1, further details in the ESI†).

Fig. 2 Cu K-edge HERFD-XANES (middle) and pre-edge region (left) of Cu-SSZ-13 during NH3-SCR and under model gas mixtures at 200 °C (exact gas composition and temperature given in the ESI† Table S2). Estimation of Cu2+ amount (+10%) relative to all Cu in the sample based on the integral of the background-subtracted pre-edge peak (at 8977.4 eV) taking the spectra of conditions 1, 2, 4–7 as Cu2+ reference (details, cf. ESI†).
complete disappearance of the pre-edge feature A, which strongly suggests reduction of Cu\(^{2+}\) species. The addition of NH\(_3\) (cond. 8, 10) to the oxidizing atmosphere also led to reduced intensity in the 1s → 3d pre-edge region, combined with a shift of this feature by 0.2 eV to higher energies, which is possibly due to structural distortion or higher ligand field splitting.\(^\text{25}\) The presence of H\(_2\)O and O\(_2\) in the gas mixture led to a stepwise decrease of feature B (higher coordination, more oxidized). As no NH\(_3\) oxidation is observed at this temperature, a reaction can be excluded, suggesting a competitive (or three-fold) adsorption of NH\(_3\) and H\(_2\)O at the Cu-sites as also observed by NH\(_3\)-TPD (ESI,† Fig. S16) and shown for other Cu-systems.\(^\text{26}\)

Dosing the standard SCR gas mixture NO + NH\(_3\) + O\(_2\) (cond. 12, 18% NO\(_2\) conversion) resulted in a spectrum similar to NH\(_3\) + O\(_2\) (cond. 8), only a little decrease of the main feature B was observed. The more realistic gas mixture with water (cond. 11) led to a spectrum similar to NH\(_3\) + O\(_2\) + H\(_2\)O (cond. 10).

Next, we focused on the X-ray emission spectra (Fig. 3). Similarly to the HERFD-XANES results, the spectra can be divided into two groups showing similar features, i.e. catalyst without NH\(_3\) in the feed (cond. 1–7, 13) and catalyst with NH\(_3\) in the feed (cond. 8–12, including SCR). The differences between those groups are the following: (a) appearance of a second peak upon NH\(_3\) addition in the K\(\beta\)\(^{\text{IV}}\) region at 8958.3 eV which is seen either as broadening of peak 8956.9 eV (both peaks have similar intensity in cond. 9–11) or a shift of this peak (dominating over the peak at 8956.9 eV in cond. 8 and 12). These features are similar to those reported during in situ adsorption of NH\(_3\) on Cu-SSZ-13 by Giordanino et al.\(^\text{4}\) and can be attributed to an N atom in the coordination sphere of Cu, i.e. direct adsorption of NH\(_3\). DFT-calculations on the influence of the ligand environment on the XES spectra support such an assignment (ESI,† Fig. S10). No feature stemming from ammonia adsorbed via hydroxyl groups was detected for copper. This is in contrast to the Fe–O\(^{\text{III}}\)-NH\(_3\) moiety observed for Fe-ZSM-5.\(^\text{14b}\) (b) A peak at 8972.3 eV in the K\(\beta\)\(^{\text{II}}\) region which is shifted to 8973.4 eV and becomes more intense upon removal of reactants with only NH\(_3\)/He remaining, (c) increased intensity of features at 8981.6 and 8990.0 eV after adsorption of NH\(_3\) probably due to a change in geometry of the Cu complex. The changes named above stem only from interaction with ammonia but not from the change of Cu oxidation state which is verified by exp. 13 (vs. exp. 7) where no significant changes are observed after NO-adsorption on pre-reduced Cu.

Mainly minor changes in relative intensity of the K\(\beta\)\(^{\text{II}}\) peaks were observed for the group of spectra recorded in NH\(_3\)-free atmosphere. The addition of NO (in He) caused broadening or diminishment of the K\(\beta\)\(^{\text{II}}\) features compared to the dehydrated catalyst in He. However, no clear peak at 8958.3 eV from an N atom was noted upon adsorption of NO (cond. 7). In the presence of O\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O, the peak at 8956.9 eV showed basically no change upon NO addition. This indicates a strong inhibition effect particularly due to H\(_2\)O (ESI,† Fig. S12, ref. 13) and points to weak adsorption of NO probably via the O atom as isonitrosyl, accompanied by small changes in the coordination environment of Cu sites.

Strikingly different from the case of NO and NH\(_3\) adsorption over Fe-ZSM-5 is the absence of a K\(\beta\)\(^{\text{IV}}\) peak at low energy which we ascribed to a positively polarized/triple coordinated oxygen atom with NO bound via Fe–O.\(^\text{14b}\) This difference in adsorption of NO on Fe- and Cu-zeolites is substantial and may explain the debated differences in SCR performance and also in NO oxidation activity of those zeolites.\(^\text{27}\) Indeed, NO adsorbs via an additional O atom as a nitrite-like intermediate on an Fe site and then can be readily desorbed as NO\(_2\), whereas in the case of Cu NO seems to be adsorbed as a nitroso-group and, hence, can desorb easily again as NO or react with adsorbed NH\(_3\). DRIFTS has recently evidenced NO\(^+\) on Cu–CH\(_2\) catalysts.\(^\text{41,13}\)

In summary, we observed strong NH\(_3\) adsorption on Cu sites under all conditions involving ammonia, the intensity of the NH\(_3\)-related XANES features was lower for the feeds containing water. The positive influence of H\(_2\)O evidenced also earlier\(^\text{5,19,29}\) can be caused either by inhibition of NH\(_3\) adsorption or by an increased Cu\(^{2+}\) reoxidation rate.\(^\text{28}\) Concerning NO\(_2\), its adsorption is supported by the change of shape of the K\(\beta\)\(^{\text{II}}\) peak and also of the K\(\beta\)\(^{\text{IV}}\) peak and/or H\(_2\)O (cond. 5 and 6) only the relative intensities of peaks in the K\(\beta\)\(^{\text{II}}\) region changed and the NO adsorption must be weak. Those small changes are completely indiscernible behind the features arising from NH\(_3\) and therefore in the current state of work we assume that either NO is weakly adsorbed, or rapidly reacts from the gas phase with adsorbed ammonia/amino groups.

The proposed mechanism depicted in Scheme 1 only partially supports the mechanism suggested by Gao et al.\(^\text{3}\) since the formation of NH\(_3\)-Cu\(^{2+}\)-NO\(^+\) could not be unambiguously demonstrated. Thus, the presented data indicate that during standard SCR, NH\(_3\) adsorbs on a Cu\(^{2+}\) site via direct coordination. Next, NO may adsorb on the same site via O and react with NH\(_3\) or directly react from the gas phase as suggested by several previous studies.\(^\text{6a,5,30}\) Both paths could circumvent the blockage of the Cu sites at low temperatures by NH\(_3\), which is in contrast to the Fe-ZSM-5 case, where the strong NH\(_3\)-adsorption (ESI,† Fig. S15) additionally
inhibits the reoxidation. NO might be activated by direct interaction with NH$_3$ or weakly adsorbed via Cu–O. The decomposition of the formed nitrosoamide$^3$ intermediate may be facilitated by the presence of water (Fig. 1) via an ammonium nitrite formation and subsequent thermal decomposition (not shown) or because it promotes the mobility and stabilizes the resulting undercoordinated Cu$^+$. As a result of SCR and its electron transfer processes, a reduced Cu$^+$ site with low coordination number is found, in accordance with the spatially-resolved XAS study of Cu-SAPO-34,$^7$ which is then oxidized by O$_2$ (no proof of NO$_2$ participation was found) to Cu$^{3+}$ as a slow and rate-limiting step and stabilized by H$_2$O as Cu$^{3+}$-OH.$^k$ In contrast, for Fe–ZSM-5 coordination of NO and NH$_3$ via Cu–O has been suggested which could not be found for Cu in Cu–SSZ-13.

By combining operando HERFD-XAS and V2C XES we could provide important new insight into the structure of copper and its interaction with NH$_3$ and NO during standard SCR. A significant difference in the intermediate species during SCR over Fe–ZSM-5 and Cu–SSZ-13 was found. This difference could explain the higher activity of Cu–zeolites at low temperatures and the absence of an intermediate species during SCR over Fe–ZSM-5 catalysts and exploit the same approach of combining operando HERFD-XANES, XES and DFT calculations.
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