Compact microwave kinetic inductance nanowire galvanometer for cryogenic detectors at 4.2 K

S Doerner, A Kuzmin, K Graf, I Charaev, S Wuensch and M Siegel
Institut für Mikro- und Nanoelektronische Systeme, KIT, Hertzstr. 16, 76187, Karlsruhe, Germany

Abstract
We present a compact current sensor based on a superconducting microwave lumped-element resonator with a nanowire kinetic inductor, operating at 4.2 K. The sensor is suitable for multiplexed readout in GHz range for large-format arrays of cryogenic detectors. The device consists of a lumped-element resonant circuit, fabricated from a single 4 nm-thick superconducting layer of niobium nitride. Thus, the fabrication and operation is significantly simplified in comparison to state-of-the-art current readout approaches. Because the resonant circuit is inductively coupled to the feed line the current to be measured can directly be injected without having the need of an impedance matching circuit, reducing the system complexity. With the proof-of-concept device we measured a current noise floor $\delta I_{\text{min}}$ of 10 pA/Hz$^{1/2}$ at 10 kHz. Furthermore, we demonstrate the ability of our sensor to amplify a pulsed response of a superconducting nanowire single-photon detector using a GHz-range carrier for effective frequency-division multiplexing.

Superconducting transition-edge sensors (TES) or superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors (SNSPD) are able to detect light on the single photon level over a wide spectral range. This makes them suitable for many research fields, e.g. the astronomy [1, 2], particle physics [3] or material science [4, 5]. Since their response after photon absorption is very weak, a sensitive preamplifier is essential.

In case of voltage biased TES very small current changes need to be carefully amplified, which is realized in state-of-the-art systems using superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs). SQUIDs allow the most sensitive readout possible, down to 4 fA Hz$^{-1/2}$ at 4.2 K [6]. However, an SQUID based amplifier increases the system complexity and costs significantly. For next generation multi-pixel TES arrays also an array-scalable readout technique is required. This technique should reduce the number of cables between the low-temperature stage and the ambient-temperature back-end electronics, without compromising the sensitivity of the detectors. This is best realized using frequency-division multiplexing (FDM) approaches. So far, frequency-based multiplexing of TES arrays is realized by coupling the SQUIDs with additional resonant circuits [7, 8] further increasing the complexity.

FDM is also very well suited for SNSPD arrays. However, to date there are very limited demonstrations of FDM in SNSPD arrays [9]. Most multiplexing approaches are based on single flux quantum (SFQ) logic schemes [10] or current splitting techniques [11] which as well increase the system complexity and reduce the filling factor of the sensing area.

An alternative approach to measure small currents or magnetic fields is based on nonlinear kinetic inductance $L_k$ in superconductors [12, 13]. The nonlinearity could be considered quadratic on current $L_k/L_k(0) \approx 1 + (I/I_0)^2$ for small current variations, where $I_0$ is the characteristic current, which depends on the critical current $I_c$ of the device [14]. Nowadays, this effect is being actively used for novel superconducting devices, e.g., tunable RF filters [15], low-noise wide-band parametric amplifiers [16]. Despite rather small current-driven changes of the kinetic inductance ($\leq 15\%$) it is relatively easy to employ the effect. In this case it is beneficial to use superconductors with high kinetic inductance and geometries with a large fraction of kinetic inductance, for example nanowires made of ultra-thin NbN. The effect of the nonlinear $L_k$ in NbN nanowires
was investigated previously in several works \[17, 18\]. Using superconducting resonators with high quality factors (high Q) the effect of the nonlinearity can be boosted proportionally to the Q-factor. Potential applications of such devices are array-scalable magnetometers and current sensors, which could be an alternative to a SQUID-based readout schemes. Luomahaara et al demonstrated a kinetic inductance magnetometer based on a lumped-element NbN resonator, with a rather big inductor and a resonance frequency $f_{\text{res}}$ in the 100 MHz range. The magnetometer showed sensitivities comparable to SQUIDs \[19\]. There were also demonstrated GHz-range superconducting resonators with tunable kinetic nanoinductor using TiN \[20\]. The sensitivity was shown to be in the range of SQUIDs. But, because of the used material the operation temperature needs to be far below the boiling temperature of liquid helium. Also, their approach requires a large impedance matching circuit to feed the signal to be measured into the resonator, which for array applications may not be optimal. Another group demonstrated a tunable resonator based on NbTiN operated at 1.4 K \[21\]. However, to use their approach to multiplex arrays of detectors, a further impedance matching network is required.

As was shown in \[22\], nanowire inductors made of ultra-thin NbN are suitable for the use in compact GHz-range lumped-element resonators. The implementation of DC-biased NbN-nanowire inductors along with microwave high-Q resonators, allows the fabrication of a sensitive current sensor which offers intrinsic FDM in array scalable applications. We call it the microwave kinetic inductance nanowire galvanometer (M-KING). Here, we present an experimental study of the device based on a microwave lumped-element superconducting resonator with a nanowire inductor working at 4.2 K. The M-KING is patterned in a single ultra-thin NbN layer, what makes the fabrication process significantly easier than for SQUIDs. The response of a DC-biased cryogenic detector can be directly injected into the resonator by a separate port and cause a change of its kinetic inductance, which is the dominant part of the total inductance ($L_{\text{p}} \approx L_{\text{kin}}$). A change of the injected current through the inductor consequently leads to a change of resonance frequency of the circuit. A small shift of the resonance frequency can be seen as a phase shift of a zero detuned microwave probe tone ($f_{\text{probe}} \approx f_{\text{res}}$) in the feed line. This approach allows for the FDM readout in GHz range of large arrays of cryogenic detectors.

**Design of M-KING**

The design of the resonance circuit (figure 1(a)), which allows the direct injection of a current into the nonlinear inductor, differs from the previously demonstrated concepts \[19–21\]. The nonlinear inductance $L_{\text{p}}$ in parallel with the interdigital capacitor $C_{\text{p}}$ defines the resonance frequency. The parallel circuit is coupled to a microwave feed line using a second lumped-element inductor $L_{\text{c}}$. This inductive coupling also represents a galvanic connection, which allows the injection of currents, in a wide range between DC up to several hundreds of MHz from the port P3 into the resonator. Thus, we do not need a further impedance matching circuit to couple the signal to be measured into the device. Everything is combined in the resonator design. The path in between P3 and the ground connection of the M-KING will hereinafter be referred to as DC path.

The layout was designed and simulated using the software Sonnet EM \[23\]. The nanowire $L_{\text{p}}$ is 19 μm long and 130 nm wide, which provides a kinetic inductance of 8.7 nH at zero bias. The capacitance $C_{\text{p}}$ is 237 fF and the coupling inductor $L_{\text{c}}$ has been set to 44.9 nH. The device is placed in the gap of a coplanar waveguide (CPW) shown in figure 1(b). On the opposite gap of the CPW the tee-joint connection (P3) is placed to couple signals into the M-KING circuit which is only depicted in figure 1(a). To separate the microwave path from the DC...
path, we used on the left and right side of M-KING two interdigital capacitors $C_{\text{IP}}$ which act just as a galvanic decoupling. These capacitors eliminate leakage of the signal from P3 to other M-KING devices connected in parallel to the same feed line in a multi-pixel application. The simulated values of the resonance frequency $f_{\text{res}}$ and the loaded Q factor using typical parameters for NbN are $f_{\text{res}} \approx 3.8 \text{ GHz}$ and $Q_{L,\text{sim}} \approx 500$ respectively.

**Fabrication and measurements**

The fabrication process starts with a reactive magnetron sputtering of 4 nm-thick NbN on single-side-polished sapphire substrate (R-plane) at a temperature of 850 °C. The coplanar feed line and the M-KING circuit is patterned using electron-beam lithography on PMMA resist and subsequent $Ar^+$-ion etching. The fabricated proof-of-concept device is shown in figure 1(b).

For measurements, the chip was mounted into a housing with three ports, two microwave ports to measure the transmittance of the feed line and one port for the injection of currents into the inductor. The scheme of the experimental setup is shown in figure 1(c). The probing microwave signal from the Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) is applied to the input P1 of M-KING through a 52-dB cold attenuation line to suppress thermal noise. The transmitted microwave signal at port P2 is amplified by 39 dB using a cryogenic broadband high-electron-mobility transistor low-noise amplifier (HEMT LNA) with a noise temperature $T_{\text{th}} \approx 6 \text{ K}$. The total gain prior VNA is 64 dB and the equivalent noise temperature of the whole setup is $T_n \approx 26 \text{ K}$ (due to low resolution ADC in VNA). A third port P3 of the housing enables the injections of currents into the DC path of the M-KING. The housing with the chip was mounted into a dip-stick and cooled down in liquid helium to a temperature of 4.2 K. The measured critical current of the inductor $I_p$ is $I_{C,\text{exp}} \approx 33 \mu\text{A}$. During the measurements the bias current was swept up to $30 \mu\text{A}$ using a battery-driven current source. The change of the resonance frequency due to the applied bias current demonstrates the nonlinear behavior of the nanowire inductor (figure 2(a)). At a bias level of $0.9I_{C,\text{exp}}$ we measured a resonance frequency shift $\approx 120 \text{ MHz}$ which is in good agreement with [21]. At zero bias the loaded quality factor is $Q_L \approx 600$, which is close to the simulated value.

The small-signal phase responsivity $R_\theta = d\theta/dI$ was estimated for the zero-detuned probe using the measured current dependencies of the resonance frequency $f_{\text{res}}(I_b)$, the loaded quality factor $Q_L(I_b)$ shown in figure 2(b) and the following expression:

$$R_\theta \approx (4Q_L/f_{\text{res}}) \times (df_{\text{res}}/dI)$$

Here, we used $d\theta/dI \approx 4Q_L/f_{\text{res}}$ near resonance. The result is shown in figure 2(c) and coincides with the value $R_{\theta2} \approx 2 \times 10^5 \text{ rad A}^{-1}$, which was measured directly using a small DC-bias variation ($\Delta I \approx 100 \text{ nA}$) and a fixed zero-detuned probe tone with the frequency $f_{\text{probe}} = f_{\text{res}}(15 \mu\text{A})$. The spectrum of the current noise $\delta I$ of M-KING, shown in figure 3 was recorded in the continuous-wave mode of the VNA as a time-trace of the phase signal at a bias level of $15 \mu\text{A}$. A strong interference with the 50 Hz signal and its harmonics is clearly visible and could be suppressed by careful shielding and proper grounding. The readout noise floor was measured out of resonance and then normalized to the power levels in resonance. The noise equivalent current $\delta I$ reaches a minimum value of $\delta I_{\text{min}} \approx 10 \text{ pA/Hz}^{1/2}$ at frequencies above $10 \text{ kHz}$, which are higher than the $1/f$-noise corner frequency $f_{C}$. The achieved value is comparable with the sensitivity of previously demonstrated current.
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*Figure 2.* Measured transmission over frequency of the M-KING circuit for different currents applied on the DC path at 4.2 K. The overall achieved shift of the resonance frequency is $119 \text{ MHz}$. 

**J. Phys. Commun.** 2 (2018) 025016
sensing devices based on a nonlinear kinetic inductance and is sufficient to readout signals from TES [5]. The excess 1/f-noise is due to a not optimized room-temperature DC-bias setup.

We also checked the response time of the M-KING directly using short pulses in MHz range generated from a SNSPD in photon counting regime. The single-photon detector was mounted in a separate housing inside the dipstick at T = 4.2 K and connected to the M-KING using a coaxial cable. Thus the bias current is flowing through the nonlinear inductor of the M-KING after passing the SNSPD and changes its inductance. The setup is depicted in figure 4. In case of a detection event, the SNSPD acts as a current switch. The rapid change of resistance causes a short current pulse, which is terminated by a capacitive coupled 50 Ohm resistor. Consequently, the DC current in the M-KING is lowered to almost zero as long as the resistive state persists in the SNSPD. Thus, the changed inductance of M-KING changes its resonance frequency. In figure 5, such a modulated transmission response is shown. To record the fast changes of the transmission we used a signal generator to inject to P1 the signal tone at fres of the M-KING in the biased state. The enveloped transmission was measured at P2 by a real-time oscilloscope. As long as the SNSPD is in the superconducting state we measure the injected signal of the generator damped by the resonant circuit (figure 2(a)). At t ∼ 0 the transmission is changed due to a detection event of the SNSPD which causes fres to change. The time to recover the initial state of the resonator after the detection event corresponds to the settling time of the resonant circuit, which is t ≈ Q/πfres. The reason for the faster rise time after the SNSPD switches into the normal state is due to the large change of DC current flowing through M-KING. Thus, a detuning of the resonant frequency in respect to the frequency of the probe tone (∆f > fres/Q) is caused and the still oscillating current of the probe-tone frequency leaks much faster into the feed line.

This setup we used to readout a SNSPD can also be used to readout any detector with a current response e.g. a TES detector with voltage bias. The M-KING could be placed at 4.2 K to save cooling power of the cold stage whereas the TES could be cooled well below 4.2 K. For an array approach only a single connection between each M-KING and the detector is required to feed in the signal. The M-KINGs can be connected in series to one common feed line, decoupled just by a capacitor. Therefore, only two coaxial cables between the room temperature readout electronics and the scalable detector array at the cold stage are required to readout the whole array.

**Discussion**

In order to analyze the obtained experimental results, we derived the small-signal low-frequency phase responsivity Rθ = dθ/dI and the noise for zero detuning, using the data of an electromagnetic simulation and the dependence of the kinetic inductance on current from Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BSC) or Ginsburg-Landau (GL) theories in the same way as in [17] and [18]. Assuming the inductance of the nanowire is dominated by the kinetic part and using (1) the responsivity can be expressed as Rθ ∼ (2Ql/Lk) × (dLk/dI). The theoretical current dependencies of the relative kinetic inductance Lk(I)/Lk(0) and its normalized derivative ε(I) = dLk/dI × Lc/Lk(0) are shown in figure 6. The dependencies, derived from BSC and GL theories, are fitted to the experimentally measured data of M-KING. The phase responsivity now can be expressed as:

![Figure 3. Measured spectrum of the current noise. The green curve was measured in resonance and the black curve was measured out of resonance. At a frequency above 10 kHz the current noise is about 10 pA/Hz1/2.](image)
For the range of bias currents $I/I_C \approx 0.5$ the derivative $\varepsilon$ is approximately 0.1. Thus, the responsivity can be estimated as $R_\theta \approx 2 \times 10^6$ rad A$^{-1}$ using the values of $Q_{\varepsilon, \text{sim}} \approx 500$ and the measured $I_{C, \text{exp}} \approx 33$ μA, which is in very good agreement with the measurement. The estimate, derived from GL-theory is almost coinciding with BSC result. It is possible to express the critical current and Q factor of the device by the superconducting and

$$R_\theta \approx \frac{2Q_{\varepsilon}(I) \times \varepsilon(I)}{I_C}$$ (2)
translated into phase noise of M-KING according to case the 1 analyze in case of known typical parameters of the NbN nanowire geometrical properties of the nanowire for arbitrary case, like in [24] but expression (2) is more convenient to analyze in case of known typical parameters of the NbN nanowire (dependence $\varepsilon(I)$ is universal).

There are several noise sources in the M-KING: thermal noise of the microwave probe, readout phase noise, noise of the DC-bias source, a generation-recombination noise in the inductor and from two-level systems in the capacitor (TLS noise). We do not consider thermal noise of the microwave probe, since the 52-dB cold attenuator suppresses it significantly. TLS noise could be also ignored at $T = 4.2$ K, as $f_{\text{read}} \ll k_B T / h$ ($k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $h$—Plank’s constant). The spectrum density of the phase noise due to microwave readout is $S_{\text{fl,read}} = k_B T_{\text{fl}} / P_{\text{out}}$, where $T_{\text{fl}}$ is the effective noise temperature of the readout and $P_{\text{out}}$ is the microwave power on the output port P2 of M-KING. The spectrum density of the current noise due to readout is then:

$$\delta I_{\text{read}} = \sqrt{k_B T_{\text{fl}} / P_{\text{out}}} \times R_0^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Using the responsivity of M-KING, the value of the microwave probe power at port P2 $P_{\text{out}} \approx 2$ pW and an effective noise temperature of the readout $T_{\text{fl}} \approx 26$ K one can estimate the noise floor to be $\delta I_{\text{read}} \approx 8$ pA/Hz$^{1/2}$, which is in very good agreement with the experimentally measured value (figure 3). With an optimized back-end we can reduce the effective noise temperature of the system at least four times (down to $T_{\text{fl}} \approx 6$ K). It will give a factor of two lower noise floor $\delta I_{\text{read}} \approx 4$ pA/Hz$^{1/2}$.

As mentioned above, the used current source has a significant $1/f$ noise due to a not optimized layout. In our case the $1/f$ noise in the DC path is the dominant contribution at frequencies below 1 kHz (figure 3). This noise contribution can be significantly reduced using an improved bias source.

At the finite operation temperature of the M-KING device a fluctuating number of thermally excited quasiparticles in the inductor can be considered as a random variation of the kinetic inductance. This will be translated into phase noise of M-KING according to $\delta \theta \approx (2q / I_k) \delta L_k$. Small changes of the kinetic inductance can be estimated as $\delta L_k / I_k(0) \approx \delta N / N_k(0)$, where $N_k(0)$ is the total number of paired electrons in the inductor at $T = 0$ and $\delta N$ is the fluctuation in number of quasiparticles $\delta N \approx \sqrt{4 N_{\text{qp}}(T) \tau_{\text{qp}}(T)}$ [25], where $N_{\text{qp}}(T), \tau_{\text{qp}}(T)$ are mean number of quasiparticles and their life time correspondingly. Thus, the spectrum density of the phase noise due to quasiparticle generation-recombination processes is $S_{\delta\theta,\text{g-r}} \approx 16 Q_k^2 N_{\text{qp}}(T) \tau_{\text{qp}}(T) / N_k(0)^2$. The corresponding current noise could be estimated using (2) as:

$$\delta I_{\text{g-r}} \approx \frac{2 I_k(T)}{N_k(0)} \varepsilon(T) \sqrt{N_{\text{qp}}(T) \tau_{\text{qp}}(T)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

For temperatures $T \ll T_c$, the product $N_{\text{qp}}(T) \tau_{\text{qp}}(T) = N_k(0) \tau_0 / 2 \beta_0$ is temperature independent [26], where $\tau_0$ is a material characteristic time constant ($\tau_0 \sim 50$ ps [27] and $\beta_0 = \Delta_0 / k_B T_c \approx 2.05$ for NbN). With this approximation and taking $\varepsilon \approx 0.1$, the volume of the inductor $V \approx 5 \times 10^{-21}$ m$^3$ and the density of the paired electrons in NbN $n_0(0) \sim 10^{29}$ m$^{-3}$ we can estimate $\delta I_{g-r} \sim 0.1$ pA/Hz$^{1/2}$, which is 2 orders of magnitude lower than the readout noise. It is worth to mention here that we neglect a contribution of the noise from superconducting fluctuations in form of vortex hoping, vortex-antivortex-pair unbinding or phase slips, which might dominate at bias currents $>0.9 I_c$ (i.e. dark counts as in SNSPDs [28, 29]).

Figure 6. Measured current dependence of the relative kinetic inductance (open squares) fitted with BSC and GL theory. On the right axis shown the normalized derivative $\varepsilon(I)$, calculated from these fits.
Conclusion

We designed, fabricated and characterized successfully a compact nanowire-based current sensor, M-KING, which demonstrated a current sensitivity of 10 pA/√Hz at 4.2 K. The achieved sensitivity is close to what is required for the applications with TES bolometers. Moreover, the possibility to readout cryogenic detectors by converting their current response into microwave-resonance frequency shifts allows for FDM in GHz range. Along with a relatively easy fabrication process as well as the compact and flexible design it seems possible to build a large number of M-KINGs, which are connected to a common feed line, to readout large-format arrays. In case of SNSPD arrays, a multichannel M-KING opens a possibility to separate the SNSPD array from the multiplexer. Thus, the array can be optimized for high filling factors.
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