DNA Damage Response in Neonatal and Adult Stromal Cells Compared With Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells
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ABSTRACT

Comprehensive analyses comparing individual DNA damage response (DDR) of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) with neonatal stromal cells with respect to their developmental age are limited. The imperative necessity of providing developmental age-matched cell sources for meaningful toxicological drug safety assessments in replacement of animal-based testing strategies is evident. Here, DDR after radiation or treatment with N-methyl-N-nitrosurea (MNU) was determined in iPSCs compared with neonatal and bone marrow stromal cells. Neonatal and adult stromal cells showed no significant morphologically detectable cytotoxicity following treatment with 1 Gy or 1 mM MNU, whereas iPSCs revealed a much higher sensitivity. Foci analyses revealed an effective DNA repair in stromal cell types and iPSCs, as reflected by a rapid formation and disappearance of phosphorylated ATM and γH2AX foci. Furthermore, quantitative polymerase chain reaction analyses revealed the highest basic expression level of DDR and repair-associated genes in iPSCs, followed by neonatal stromal cells and adult stromal cells with the lowest expression levels. In addition, the influence of genotoxic stress prior to and during osteogenic differentiation of neonatal and adult stromal cells was analyzed applying common differentiation procedures. Experiments presented here suggest a developmental age-dependent basic expression level of genes involved in the processing of DNA damage. In addition a differentiation-dependent downregulation of repair genes was observed during osteogenesis. These results strongly support the requirement to provide adequate cell sources for toxicological in vitro drug testing strategies that match to the developmental age and differentiation status of the presumptive target cell of interest.

SIGNIFICANCE

The results obtained in this study advance the understanding of DNA damage processing in human neonatal stromal cells as compared with adult stromal cells and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). The data suggest developmental age-dependent differences in DNA damage repair capacity. In iPSCs (closest to embryonic stem cells), the highest expression level of DNA damage response and repair genes was found, followed by neonatal stromal cells and adult stromal cells with the lowest overall expression. In addition, a differentiation-dependent downregulation of repair capacity was observed during osteogenic differentiation in neonatal stromal cells. Notably, the impact of genotoxic stress on osteogenic differentiation depended on the time the genotoxic insult took place and, moreover, was agent-specific. These results strongly support the necessity of offering and establishing adequate cell sources for informative toxicological testing matching to the developmental age and differentiation status of the respective cell of interest.

INTRODUCTION

Cells generally respond to genotoxic stress by activating the DNA damage response (DDR). An orchestrated network of signaling pathways with ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated gene) as the central sensor protein leads to phosphorylation of the DDR mediator H2AX (histone family member X) [1]. Phosphorylated γH2AX then triggers a cascade of events leading to the recruitment of various factors, including BRCA1 (breast cancer 1 gene) and TP53 (tumor protein p53) [2, 3]. Finally, other downstream transducer proteins mediate complex responses eventually affecting DNA repair, cell cycle progression, and cell death [2, 4].
Cells can cope with damaged DNA by activating different repair pathways. DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), which can be induced by radiation and other types of genotoxic noxae or during replication are mainly repaired by homologous recombination (HR) or nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) [5, 6]. HR is an error-free repair mechanism using sister chromatids as a template for accurate repair of DSBs in somatic mammalian cells [7]. However, NHEJ is an error-prone mechanism involved in the recognition and processing of DNA ends by the Ku70/ Ku80 heterodimer complex [8, 9]. Alternative repair of DNA damage, which can arise, for instance, from exposure to alkylating agents, is covered by the base excision repair (BER) [10, 11], nucleotide excision repair (NER) [12, 13] and the mismatch repair (MMR) pathway [14]. It was recently described that cells can evade cellular damage by inducing cellular differentiation programs [15].

Cellular responses to ionizing radiation (IR) have been analyzed in many cell types including induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) [16–20]. For human adult mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), radioresistance was described, showing that long-term proliferation is comparable with that of known radio-resistant cell types, such as the A594 lung cancer cell line [21–23].

Moreover, a variable anatomic site-dependent response and recovery from irradiation exists, uncovering a skeletal site-specific higher radioresistance in human bone marrow multipotent stromal cell (BM MSC)-derived from orofacial bone [24]. Furthermore, a close link of repair capacity and stem cell differentiation was reviewed for many stem cell types [15]. In general, a highly efficient DNA repair network that becomes less efficient upon differentiation was hypothesized for human stem cells including iPSCs [25]. It is assumed that a high repair capacity of stem cells favors the maintenance of their genomic integrity, which is essential for their function [26].

Most experiments available in the literature analyzing the DNA damage response after radiation were performed with adult BM MSCs [22]. So far, no comprehensive data are available comparing neonatal stromal cells versus neonatal stromal cell-derived iPSCs. iPSCs generated from neonatal unrestricted somatic stromal cells (USSCs) were established and offer an attractive source with multipotent differentiation capacity of neonatal stromal cells and adult BM MSCs [22]. Hence, it is evident that well-characterized in vitro model systems are required for an efficient and meaningful toxicological drug testing—in particular of drugs potentially affecting developmental processes—that circumvents the use of animal testing, thereby promoting the global intended 3R concept (reduction, refinement, and replacement of animal experiments).

The study presented here aims to spot differences in DDR responses of neonatal stromal cells, adult stromal cells, and USSC-derived iPSCs following genotoxic treatment. In order to additionally unravel genotoxic-specific responses, IR was chosen as a prototypical inducer of DNA DSBs and the chemical mutagen N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (MNU) evoking DNA base damage by alkylation [13]. Moreover, the influence of both types of genotoxins on the osteogenic differentiation capacity of neonatal stromal cells and adult BM MSCs was determined. The results presented in this study highlight the need for more relevant alternative in vitro systems for toxicological testing [39], which take into account the different susceptibility of neonatal and adult stromal cells compared with iPSC.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Generation and Expansion of USSCs and BM MSCs

USSCs were generated as described previously [30, 35]. In brief, CB was collected from the umbilical cord vein with informed consent of the mother. Mononuclear cells (MNCs) were obtained by Ficoll (Biochrom AG, Berlin, Germany, http://www.biochrom.de; density 1.077 g/cm³) gradient separation followed by ammonium chloride lysis of RBCs. 5–7 × 10⁶ CB MNC/ml were cultured in low glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, http://www.lanza.com) with 30% fetal calf serum (Perbio, Cramlington, U.K., http://www.piercenet.com), 10⁻⁷ M dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com), and penicillin/streptomycin/l-glutamine (PSG; Lonza).

Cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ until reaching 80% confluence. USSCs and BM MSCs were detached with 0.25% trypsin. To evaluate the cumulative population doublings (CPD), the following formula was applied: PD = [log (n1/n0)]/log2 CPD = ΣPD, where n1 is the number harvested cells, and n0 is the number plated cells.

#### Generation and Expansion of iPSCs

Induced pluripotent stem cells were generated from USSC line (SAβ2/253(passage(p3)) by retroviral expression of the transcription factors OCT4, KLF4, SOX2, and cMYC as described in the publication by Zaehres et al. [27]. Reprogramming was performed with the vectors described in the original paper by Takahashi et al. [40].

iPSCs were expanded on murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) in serum-free medium consisting of knockout (KO) DMEM supplemented with 20% serum replacement (both Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, http://www.invitrogen.com), 1% nonessential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich), 40 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (100 μg/ml stock solution; Prepotech, Rocky Hill, NJ, https://www.peprotech.com), 2-mercaptoethanol (0.2 μl/ml; Gibco, Grand Island, NY, http://www.invitrogen.com), and 2% PSG (Lonza). Prior to conduction of the experiments, colonies were harvested and cultivated feeder-free for at least two passages on Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, http://www.bdbiosciences.com) using MEF-conditioned medium (serum-free medium as described above conditioned on MEFs for 48 hours and then mixed 1:1 with fresh medium).

For both serum-free and feeder-free cultivation, culture conditions were 37°C with 5% CO₂ in humidified atmosphere. Respective medium was changed on a daily basis, and harvest was
performed by removal of medium, incubation with collagenase IV (1 mg/ml in KO DMEM; both Invitrogen) for 5 minutes, and gentle aspiration of detached colonies/cells.

**In Vitro Differentiation**

USSCs were differentiated as described previously [35]. In brief, for osteogenic differentiation induction medium containing sodium l-ascorbate, β-glycerophosphate disodium salt hydrate, and dexamethasone was applied and changed twice a week over a total runtime of 14 days. To detect mineralization, the differentiated cells were fixed with cold ethanol (70%, 10 min) and stained with alizarin red S (Sigma-Aldrich), as well as Von Kossa (5% silver nitrate; Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany, http://www.carlroth.de) according to standard protocols. For quantification of alizarin red, 800 µl of 10% acetic acid were added and incubated for 30 minutes while shaking. The cells were detached with a cell scraper and transferred to a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube. Samples were vortexed for 30 seconds, then heated at 85°C for 10 minutes, and finally cooled down on ice for 5 minutes. After a centrifugation step at 24,500 g for 15 minutes, 500 µl of the supernatant were mixed with 200 µl of 10% ammonium hydroxide and measured photometrically in a plate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, http://www.biotek.com) at 405 nm. The values of the respective negative control were subtracted from differentiated cells.

**Irradiation Treatment**

Exponentially growing cells were irradiated at 37°C with the x-ray device RS225 from Gulmay (Byfleet, U.K., http://www.gulmay.com) with doses of 1–5 Gy, and analyses were performed 1, 6, and 24 hours later. Formation and decline of IR-induced DNA DSBs was monitored by immunocytochemical detection of nuclear p-ATM and γH2AX foci as described under Immunocytochemistry below.

**Treatment With MNU**

Prior to treatment of cultivated cells with MNU (Sigma), cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated for 7 minutes at 37°C. After removal of PBS different concentrations of MNU (stock solution: 1 M in dimethyl sulfoxide [DMSO]) were added to the cells. After treatment for different periods of time, the alkylating agent was removed, and cells were further cultivated as mentioned in the corresponding legends to figures.

**Total RNA Extraction and Reverse Transcription**

Total RNA was extracted from cell samples at day 0 in a 40-µl volume applying the InviTrap RNA mini kit (Stratec, Birkenfeld, Germany, http://www.stratec.com) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA from differentiated (calcified) cells was isolated using the TRI reagent RNA isolation reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) following a standard protocol of a phenol-chloroform extraction. Determination of RNA concentrations and purity was carried out by applying a Nanodrop device (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, http://www.nanodrop.com). After TRIzol isolation, DNAase digest was performed with RNA samples prior to cDNA synthesis to avoid DNA contamination applying DNase 1 amplification grade (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription was performed for 50 minutes at 55°C using a first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen) and the enclosed oligo(dT)20 primer. Up to 1,000 ng of total RNA were converted into first-strand cDNA in a 20-µl reaction. All control reactions provided with this system were carried out to monitor the efficiency of cDNA synthesis. Prior to quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), the completed first-strand reaction was heat-inactivated at 85°C for at least 10 minutes. Finally, cDNA was treated with RNaseH according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**qPCR**

PCR was carried out with intron-spanning primers specific for each gene (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The sequences for primers (supplemental online Table 1) were carefully examined and checked for their specificity by applying BLASTn (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). RPL13A was used as reference gene for normalization because it stays stable during differentiation. qPCR was carried out with SYBR Green PCR Mastermix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, http://www.appliedbiosystems.com) using 10–50 ng of template cDNA. All reactions were run in triplicate, respectively, on a Step One Plus (Applied Biosystems). PCRs were run in a total volume of 25 µl containing 12.5 µl of Power SYBR Green PCR, 9.5 µl of distilled H2O, 1 µl of template, and 1 µl (0.2 µM) of each primer. The PCR parameters were as follows: 10 minutes at 95°C for initial denaturation and Taq polymerase activation followed by 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute at 60°C for 35 cycles. Specificity of the PCR product was confirmed by analyzing the melting curves. To run and analyze the comparative Ct experiments, StepOne software (version 2.1; Applied Biosystems) was used. The threshold was kept at 0.2 for all experiments. Relative changes in gene expression were calculated following the ∆∆Ct method with RPL13A as internal standard and normalized to native untreated samples. Differential gene expression was calculated by the equation 2^−∆∆Ct, and the untreated control was set to 1. The results are illustrated as mean values (n = 3) with standard deviations.

**Immunocytochemistry**

Immunocytochemical staining was performed using an antibody against human anti-phosphohistone H2AX (Ser139) clone JBW301 (1:250; Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, http://www.millipore.com) and an antibody against ATM (pSer1981) (10H11.E12) (1:1,000; Novus Biologicals, San Diego, CA, http://www.novusbio.com). Secondary antibody (rhodamine red X-conjugated AffiniPure goat anti-mouse IgG; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, http://www.jacksonimmuno.com) was applied in a 1:2,000 dilution. All photographs were taken with an Axioscam HRC camera (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany, http://www.zeiss.com) under the same parameters carefully defined for each antibody at the Axioscan 2 imaging microscope (Carl Zeiss) with Axiosvision software, release 4.8.2 (Zeiss).

The repair kinetics of DNA DSBs were monitored by the formation and removal of γH2AX and p-ATM foci (red) in cells counterstained with the nuclear dye 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (blue). Immunocytochemical analysis of foci were performed after irradiation with 1 Gy and examined 1, 6, and 24 hours later, as well as for MNU treatment with 1 mM examined after 1, 6, and 24 hours for the respective cell type. As negative controls, native untreated samples were applied to exclude inherent DNA damage of other origin. As a further control, a second antibody staining was performed (data not shown). For quantification of single foci per cell, fluorescent signals were counted, and the resulting data are presented as arithmetic means of at least 25 nuclei from at least 2 independent experiments.
Statistical Analysis

The data are presented as arithmetic means with a standard deviation of at least three independent experiments. Two-tailed paired t tests were conducted with GraphPad Prism (version 5.01) to determine significance. p values lower than .05 were considered as significant (*, p = .01–.05; **, p = .001–.01; *** p < .001).

Western Blot Analysis

Total cell extracts were prepared by lysis of an equal number of cells in Roti-Load buffer (Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). After heating (95°C, 5 min), 20–30 μg of protein was separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12% gel) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. After blocking (5% nonfat milk in TBS with 0.1% Tween 20; 1 hour at room temperature [RT]), incubation with primary antibodies (1:200–1:1,000) was performed overnight at 4°C. The activation status of the DDR was analyzed on the levels of phosphorylated kinase ATM (p-ATM, Ser1981), histone H2AX (γH2AX, Ser139), checkpoint kinases Chk1 (p-Chk1, Ser345) and Chk2 (p-Chk2, Thr68), p53 (p-p53, Ser15), replication protein RPA32 (p-RPA, Ser4/Ser8), and the heterochromatin associated factor Kap1 (p-Kap1, Ser824). Phospho-specific antibodies used were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology Inc. (Beverly, MA, http://www.cellsignal.com). After washing with TBS with 0.1% Tween 20, incubation with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:2,000; Rockland Immunochemicals Inc., Gilbertsville, PA, http://www.rockland-inc.com) was performed (2 hours at RT). For visualization, the Fusion FX7 imaging system (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany, http://www.peqlab.com) was used.

RESULTS

Morphology and Dose-Dependent Growth Kinetics in Neonatal and Adult Stromal Cells After Radiation and MNU Treatment

First, the impact of genotoxic treatment was examined by testing several doses ranging from 1 to 15 Gy (for radiation) and from 0.1 to 5 mM (for MNU treatment) for n = 5 individual neonatal stromal cell lines and a BM MSC line as an adult counterpart for comparison. Cell counts were determined for at least three passages, and cumulative population doublings were calculated (supplemental online Table 2). Figures in the following section depict representative data from all experiments. Morphology changes were evaluated by assessing the amount of cells revealing apoptotic vesicles and/or detachment of cells.

Radiation

Experiments performed with 1 Gy in neonatal (Fig. 1A) and adult stromal cells (Fig. 1C) revealed a first visible morphological effect after 1 hour in both stromal cell types. With increasing the dose of radiation up to 3 Gy, more substantial morphological alterations revealing more apoptotic vesicles were observed, but no substantial loss of adherence occurred in either cell type.

Neonatal Stromal Cell Growth Kinetics. Cell counts for this exemplary cell line were determined from passage 5 (P5) to P10, and cumulative population doubling times (CPDs) were calculated. USSCs treated with 1 Gy reached passage 10 after 16 days (CPD 7.36) compared with the untreated control (CPD 10.59). At 2 Gy, passage 9 was reached after 18 days (CPD 5.69), and at 3 Gy it was reached after 17 days (CPD 4.37) (Fig. 1B).

Adult Stromal Cell Growth Kinetics. Cell counts were determined from P6 to P10 for adult stromal cells. Adult BM MSCs revealed a CPD of 6.39 after 13 days in passage 10 (untreated control, 13 days; CPD, 5.82). Treatment with 2 Gy led to a CPD of 2.30 after 13 days in passage 9, and that with 3 Gy led to a CPD of 3.52 (Fig. 1D).

Untreated neonatal stromal cells (n = 6 cell lines) revealed a mean CPD per day of 0.59, whereas irradiated cells showed a dose-dependent decrease of CPDs (supplemental online Table 2A). Untreated adult BM MSC controls (n = 2) had a lower mean CPD per day (0.35) as compared with neonatal stromal cells because of a lower proliferation rate. Upon irradiation, BM MSCs also revealed a decrease of proliferation rate. However, the proliferation rate according to the 3-Gy treatment was slightly higher as compared with the 2-Gy treatment (Fig. 1D). Both stromal cell types survive the treatment of 1 Gy for more than 14 days, and cell proliferation is hardly affected as compared with the untreated control.

MNU Treatment

First visible morphological effects in both stromal cell types were observed at a concentration of 1 mM MNU (Fig. 1E, 1G). Treatment with MNU promoted more distinct detachment of cells as compared with the untreated control and compared with irradiation. In DMSO controls (solvent of MNU), cells revealed no significant impact either on the adherence of cells or on the morphology.

Neonatal Stromal Cell Growth Kinetics. Cell counts for this exemplary cell line were determined from P6 to P14. Regarding the cumulative population doublings, 1 mM MNU caused a reduction of proliferation in neonatal stromal cells (passage 14; day 38; CPD, 21.17) versus untreated control (passage 14; day 27; CPD, 18.58). At 3 mM MNU, a growth stop occurred in neonatal stromal cells after 13 days in passage 8 (CPD, 1.8) (Fig. 1F).

Adult Stromal Cell Growth Kinetics. Cell counts were determined from P7 to P14 for adult stromal cells. Proliferation of BM MSCs was strongly inhibited after treatment with 1 mM MNU (passage 14; day 34; CPD, 5.95) compared with the untreated control (passage 14; day 45; CPD, 10.33). Growth arrest in BM MSCs was reached with 3 mM MNU after 17 days (passage 11; CPD, 2.37) (Fig. 1H).

Untreated neonatal stromal cells (n = 4 cell lines) had a mean CPD per day of 0.56 and showed a dose-dependent decrease upon MNU treatment (supplemental online Table 2B). Neonatal and adult stromal cells revealed a strong impact on proliferation rate after treatment with 3 mM MNU (supplemental online Table 2B). Taken together, neonatal and adult stromal cell types display similar morphological outcomes after genotoxic stress characterized by no substantial loss of cells, and proliferation capacity was hardly affected at a radiation dose of 1 Gy and a MNU concentration of 1 mM.

iPSCs Reveal Strong Morphological Effects After Radiation and MNU Treatment

iPSCs were generated from a neonatal USSC line [27] were performed. Effects
of radiation and treatment with MNU were analyzed 1, 6, and 24 hours after respective treatment.

Radiation
Untreated iPSCs revealed a typical colony-like morphology with a smooth but irregular contour (Fig. 2A). A dose of 1 Gy caused a visible dissolution of the typical iPSC colony already after 1 hour. This dissolution appeared stronger after 6 hours and after 24 hours, whereas smaller compact colonies were detectable. However, at 3 and 5 Gy, more cells lost their adherence, and the iPSC colony changed its typical morphology, indicating dose-dependent cytotoxicity having occurred.

MNU Treatment
iPSCs treated with a concentration of 1 mM MNU had more apoptotic cells as compared with the untreated control, but colony structure was mostly unaffected. After 6 hours, 1 mM of MNU caused a visible dissolution of colonies that was comparable to the samples

©AlphaMed Press 2015
fixed after 24 hours. Obvious dissolution could be observed in samples treated with 3 and 5 mM MNU, and much less compact colonies were observable. The DMSO controls in iPSCs after 1 and 6 hours were comparable to the untreated control. Of note, treatment with DMSO alone caused loss of colony structure and appearance of apoptotic cells after 24 hours (data not shown) (Fig. 2B).

These results presented here clearly show that iPSCs revealed a much higher sensitivity against MNU, resulting in a loss of colony structure and detachment at lower doses as compared with stromal cell types. Already 0.3 mM MNU caused a first visible detachment of cells and massive loss of colony structure (supplemental online Fig. 2), whereas both stromal cell types showed no substantial loss of adherence. To summarize, iPSCs revealed more pronounced cytotoxicity following genotoxic stress as indicated by substantially stronger morphological alterations after irradiation and MNU treatment as compared with neonatal and adult stromal cells.

**Foci Analyses of p-ATM and γH2AX Revealed Efficient Damage Induction and DNA Repair in iPSCs and Neonatal, and Adult Stromal Cells**

In order to detect the direct DNA damage response, the so-called foci analysis was performed. ATM belonging to the superfamily of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-related kinases and γH2AX (H2A histone family, member X) are prominent sensors for damage repair. Both phosphorylated proteins can be detected at the DNA location of active damage repair building fluorescent foci [41]. Immunocytochemical staining revealed that the number of γH2AX and p-ATM foci per cell was strongly upregulated upon irradiation in iPSCs, neonatal USSCs, and adult BM MSC (Fig. 3A–3D; supplemental online Fig. 3A–3C).

**Radiation**

Compared with the untreated control, in which single fluorescent foci were rarely detectable, accounting for basal endogenous DNA damage, treatment with 1 Gy lead to the appearance of 29 ± 6 γH2AX foci per cell (n = 46) 1 hour after irradiation of neonatal stromal cells (Fig. 3B, 3D) versus 23 ± 8 (n = 85) in iPSC (Fig. 3A, 3D). A significant p value of 0.0051 (**) was determined for USSCs versus iPSCs 1 hour after treatment. BM MSCs had 26 ± 8 γH2AX foci per cell (n = 51) 1 hour after treatment without significant differences to USSCs or iPSCs (Fig. 3C, 3D). At 6 hours after irradiation, most of the cells already had a much lower amount of actively repaired DNA locations (USSCs, 9 ± 5 γH2AX foci per cell; n = 28 versus iPSC, 7 ± 7 γH2AX foci per cell; n = 104 versus BM MSCs, 8 ± 7 γH2AX foci per cell; n = 58), which was not significantly different between all three cell types. After 24 hours, nearly all cells fully repaired all DNA double-strand breaks (USSCs, 2 ± 3 γH2AX foci per cell; n = 40 versus iPSC, 1 ± 1 γH2AX foci per cell; n = 45 versus BM MSCs, 1 ± 2 γH2AX foci per cell; n = 58), confirming a successful and effective DNA damage repair finished after 24 hours. Irradiation with 3 and 5 Gy was performed as well after 1 hour (data not shown), revealing a dose-dependent increase of foci, but these foci were hardly countable accurately because of strong overlapping of single foci.

For p-ATM, similar amounts of foci were observed in all cell types tested: USSC, 1 Gy 1 hour 27 ± 5 (n = 36); 1 Gy 6 hours 6 ± 5 (n = 32); 1 Gy 24 hours 2 ± 4 (n = 61) and BM MSCs, 1 Gy
1 hour 26 ± 7 (n = 47); 1 Gy 6 hours 7 ± 6 (n = 51); 1 Gy 24 hours 1 ± 3 (n = 53), revealing similar amounts of foci without any significant differences. After radiation of iPSCs (Fig. 3A, 3D), fewer foci were counted as compared with USSCs (Fig. 3B, 3D): 1 Gy 1 hour 22 ± 5 (n = 73); 1 Gy 6 hours 6 ± 5 (n = 69); 1 Gy 24 hours 1 ± 1 (n = 41). However, the only significant difference of p-ATM foci was observed for USSCs versus iPSCs 24 hours after treatment with a p value of .0017 (**).

MNU Treatment
In general, an increase of foci was observed after treatment in iPSCs and both stromal cell types in individual cells (supplemental

Figure 3. Repair of double-strand breaks in irradiated iPSCs, neonatal stromal cells, and adult BM MSCs. (A–C): Representative micrographs for phosphorylated ATM and γH2AX foci in iPSCs (A), neonatal stromal cells (B), and adult BM MSCs (C) irradiated with 1 Gy and fixed after 1, 6, and 24 hours of treatment. (D): Quantification of single foci representing the mean amount of foci per cell with SD. Two-tailed paired t tests were conducted to test significance. Abbreviations: BM MSC, bone marrow multipotent stromal cell; h, hour(s); iPSC or IPSC, induced pluripotent stem cell; USSC, unrestricted somatic stromal cell.
However, the number of foci was much more heterogeneous as compared with cells treated with irradiation. Not all cells revealed clear foci, but some of them also showed a strong pan staining. Therefore, a sophisticated quantification of foci was not applicable here. The unequal distribution of foci between single cells and the presence of pan-stained cells indicate that MNU-induced damage might vary in a cell cycle-dependent manner. Independent of the time after MNU treatment cells without foci, a high number of foci and pan-stained cells were found (supplemental online Fig. 4A; 1 mM MNU 1 hour iPSCs) in all cell types tested, making an accurate calculation of the repair of alkylation damage impossible.

The Basic Expression Level of DNA Damage Repair Genes Is Higher in iPSCs Compared with Neonatal and Adult Stromal Cell Types

Basic expression level of DNA damage repair genes was analyzed in untreated stromal cell types compared with iPSC by qPCR (Fig. 4). As a typical candidate reflecting DNA damage signaling, the expression of ATM was analyzed. BRCA1 (breast cancer 1 gene), RAD51 (RAD51 homolog Saccharomyces cerevisiae), and FANCA (FANCA gene) were chosen as representatives of HR. NHEJ1 (nonhomologous end-joining factor 1) and XRCC4, XRCC5, and XRCC6 (x-ray repair cross-complementing factors 4, 5, and 6) are involved in the NHEJ pathway. Exonuclease 1 (EXO1) is from the MMR pathway. XPC (xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group C), proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), and XRCC1 are candidates from the NER and BER pathway.

All damage repair genes tested were upregulated in iPSCs compared with both stromal cell types. iPSCs revealed in comparison with adult BM MSCs a significant upregulation of genes (respective p values are presented in Fig. 4).

In addition, adult BM MSC revealed a downregulation in 8 of 12 DDR genes compared with neonatal stromal cells significantly downregulated with a negative fold change less than $-2$: RAD51 ($-11.81$-fold; p value $< .0001$, **), BRCA1 ($-5.02$-fold; p value $= .0007$, ***), ATM ($-4.70$-fold; p value $= .0006$, ***),
**Influence of Developmental Age on Mechanisms of the DDR Following Treatment With Ionizing Radiation or the Alkylation Agent MNU**

In order to comparatively analyze the DDR of iPSCs, neonatal USSCs, and adult BM MSCs, the cells were irradiated (5 Gy) or treated with the methylating carcinogen MNU (1 mM). After postincubation periods of 1 and 6 hours, the phosphorylation status of key factors of the DDR was determined by Western blot analysis. Following irradiation, a clear increase in the protein level of auto-phosphorylated ATM was observed in USSCs and BM MSCs (Fig. 5A). This was not observed in iPSC. Moreover, IR stimulated a transient activation of Chk1 (p-Chk1) in iPSCs, whereas neonatal and adult stromal cells revealed a prolonged increase in p-Chk1 level (Fig. 5A). Activation of Chk2 (p-Chk2) occurred in iPSCs, USSCs, and BM MSCs and showed the strongest response in iPSCs. Stimulation of p53 (p-p53) was also most prominent in iPSCs after both treatments (Fig. 5A, 5B). As opposed to both stromal cell types, iPSCs revealed a clear activation of replication protein A (p-RPA) (Fig. 5A, 5B). Activation of chromatin regulatory factor Kap1 (p-Kap1) was observed in USSCs and BM MSCs only after irradiation (Fig. 5A). Taken together, iPSCs showed the most comprehensive activation of DDR mechanisms following treatment with both noxae.

**Effect of Irradiation and Treatment With MNU on Osteogenesis Capacity of Neonatal and Adult Stromal Cells**

Expression of DDR and Repair Genes During Osteogenesis

The basic expression level of 12 DDR and DNA repair-related genes was analyzed in untreated neonatal stromal cells and adult stromal cells by qPCR during osteogenic differentiation at day 0 (d0), d7, and d14 in n = 3 independent experiments. All genes tested (except XPC) revealed a significant downregulation (p values, ** and ****) at d7 and d14 compared with d0 in USSCs and BM MSCs (Fig. 6). A detailed table of individual fold change differences between d0 versus d7 and d0 versus d14 with respective p values is available in supplemental online Table 3. In contrast, XPC was upregulated 1.4-fold (p value,.1522, ns) in BM MSCs at d0 versus d14. As a result, it can be claimed that the expression of genes that are related to DDR and DNA repair are significantly downregulated during osteogenic differentiation.

**Impact of Genotoxic Treatment on Osteogenesis**

To determine whether treatment with low doses of genotoxins affects the inherent osteogenic potential, neonatal stromal cells and adult stromal cells were damaged either at d0 and afterward cultivated for further 14 days or damaged at d7 of differentiation and afterward cultivated for further 7 days until final readout at day 14. The influence on the osteogenic differentiation potential of neonatal stromal cells in comparison with adult BM MSC was assessed by common alizarin stain and von Kossa staining, displaying the degree of calcification. Independent from the genotoxic agent used in this experiment, a strong effect was visible in the cells treated at d0 prior to osteogenic differentiation. Alizarin staining after irradiation (USSCs in Fig. 7A, 7C; BM MSCs in
Fig. 7E, 7G) revealed a highly significant (p value < .0001, *** lower grade of calcification in neonatal and adult stromal cells damaged at d0 compared with d7. After treatment with MNU, the most significant difference was observed in samples treated at d0 versus the untreated control (USSCs in Fig. 7D: p value = .0007, ***; BM MSCs in Fig. 7H: p value = .0090, **). The quantitative analysis of alizarin dye manifests the prior results (USSCs in Fig. 7C, 7D; BM MSCs in Fig. 7G, 7H). For irradiation experiments (Fig. 7C), nonsignificant differences in calcification were found in USSCs irradiated with 1 Gy at d0 (mean relative extinction, 1.4; SD, 0.7) compared with the untreated control (relative extinction, 1.4; SD, 0.1). USSCs treated at d7 (relative extinction, 2.0; SD, 0.8) revealed a significant impact (p value = .0256, *) compared with the untreated control. For BM MSCs, no significant influence was detected by alizarin quantification comparing treatment at d7 versus the untreated control for both genotoxic agents. However, treatment of BM MSCs with MNU at d7 revealed a lower visual alizarin staining, which was not reflected by quantification.

Time kinetic analysis (1, 6, and 24 hours) revealed no time-dependent effect on calcification grade of USSCs (supplemental online Fig. 5). Taken together, exposure of cells at d0 turned out to be particularly sensitive to genotoxic stress. In addition, a dose-dependent decrease of calcification was determined in neonatal stromal cells treated with 3 and 5 mM MNU (supplemental online Fig. 6).

Taken together, damage at d0 diminishes the grade of calcification in neonatal and adult stromal cells in a similar way. Interestingly, irradiation at d7 caused an even higher calcification as compared with the untreated control in both stromal cell types, whereas MNU treatment at d7 compared with the control was mainly unaffected.

**DISCUSSION**

Adequate responses to endogenous or exogenous genotoxic insults are essential for maintenance of genomic integrity and are of particular physiological relevance in the context of development and differentiation. All cells have to cope with damaged DNA, but the sensitivity between distinct cell types at distinct developmental stages is likely variable. Understanding the mechanisms by which stem cells and progenitor cells activate...
an effective DDR is also very important if these cells have to realize their full potential in regenerative processes. Therefore, the DNA damage response and repair capacity of neonatal stromal cells was analyzed in comparison with adult stromal cells and USSC-derived iPSC [27].

Genotoxic treatment with either radiation or the alkylating carcinogen MNU revealed a stronger morphological impact on iPSC as compared with stromal cells, pointing to a higher susceptibility of iPSCs to genotoxic stress. This result is in agreement with recently published data of Momcilovic et al. [19], who observed a hypersensitivity to γ-irradiation, followed by substantial detachment of cells after 24 hours, resulting in rapid induction of apoptosis in human iPSCs. Neonatal stromal cells as analyzed here were much more resistant and kept their adherence and morphology at identical doses, suggesting a radioresistance that is comparable to BM MSCs as their adult counterpart [22].

In addition, the basic expression level of DNA damage repair genes was already higher in untreated iPSCs. The lowest basic expression level was determined in adult stromal cells, with a down-regulation in 8 of 12 genes tested as compared with their neonatal

Figure 7. Osteogenic differentiation and alizarin quantification measurement. (A, B, E, F): Osteogenic differentiation of neonatal and adult stromal cells after irradiation (A, E) and MNU treatment (B, F). Cells were either treated at day 0 or treated at day 7 until final readout at day 14. Alizarin red staining of differentiated cells (upper row) and control cells without induction medium (lower row) is shown. Scale bars = 200 μm. (C, D, G, H): Respective alizarin quantification measurement defining the total amount of dye correlating to the grade of calcification. Alizarin quantification was performed n = 3 in triplicate for each treatment. The data presented are the mean values of three independent experiments with the same cell line given with standard deviation. Abbreviations: BM MSC, bone marrow multipotent stromal cell; MNU, N-methyl-N-nitrosurea; ns, not significant; USSC, unrestricted somatic stromal cell.
counterpart. Therefore, it can be assumed that the expression level of DDR genes is lowest in adult stromal cells, followed by neonatal stromal cells, with iPSCs revealing the highest basic expression level.

In general, stem cells are believed to possess a higher radiosensitivity as compared with more adult cells. However, examples exist of less sensitive murine iPSCs compared with hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells [42]. In the recent work of Nicolay et al. [43], the stem cell characteristics of human BM MSCs after radiation were compared with fibroblast cells. Their study revealed that BM MSCs were not more radiosensitive than human primary fibroblasts and retained their typical stem cell characteristics.

The results described here support the hypothesis that neonatal stromal cells can be regarded as the neonatal counterpart of adult BM MSC, because both stromal cell types revealed a comparable sensitivity to both types of genotoxic stressors. One of the first cellular responses to DNA DSBs is the rapid phosphorylation of histone H2AX by phosphorylated ATM. When DSBs are repaired, H2AX phosphorylation declines with time [44].

In order to define how neonatal stromal cells, adult stromal cells, and iPSCs respond to the induction of DNA damage, foci formation of p-ATM and γH2AX was investigated by immunochemistry at different time points to characterize their repair efficiency. Neonatal stromal cells, adult BM MSCs, and iPSCs revealed the strongest increase of nuclear foci 1 hour after irradiation, whereas foci disappeared after 24 hours because of an effective repair reaching the level of the untreated control. In the work of Momcilovic et al. [19], iPSCs reached the steady-state level already after 6 hours postirradiation, but this slight difference might be due to interlaboratory variations and different irradiation procedure. Based on the data, it can be concluded that neonatal stromal cells and iPSCs harbor similar effective DDR mechanisms. iPSCs showed the most comprehensive activation of DDR mechanisms following radiation treatment in Western blot analyses.

Because activation of ATM was not observed in stem cells, we speculate that ATR, which is most important for replicative stress responses [45–47] and has been reported to monitor genomic integrity during neurogenesis [48], is mainly responsible for the regulation of IR-induced DDR mechanisms in proliferating iPSCs. Similar results were obtained following treatment with the methylyating agent MNU. Although MNU caused a substantial increase in p-ATM levels in USSCs and BM MSCs only, iPSCs revealed a stronger activation of Chk1, Chk2, p53, and RPA as compared with the neonatal and adult cell types. Summarizing, the data disclose substantial differences in the activation of mechanisms of the DDR in human iPSC cells in comparison with neonatal and adult stromal cell types.

Upon osteogenic differentiation, a significant downregulation for all 12 DDR and DNA repair-related genes tested in untreated neonatal stromal cells was observed, which is in agreement with other published data in human embryonic stem cells [49]. Adult BM MSCs revealed a similar pattern as compared with neonatal stromal cells. Of the 12 tested genes, 11 were significantly downregulated upon osteogenic differentiation, supporting the hypothesis that osteogenic differentiation commonly leads to a downregulation of DDR and DNA repair-related genes.

Interestingly, in BM MSCs only the NER-associated factor XPC was not downregulated by osteogenic differentiation at d14, suggesting that BM MSCs might consolidate DNA damage processing via the NER pathway upon osteogenesis. However, in most cases of differentiating cells, a decrease in NER activity was found underlining the strong diversity of DNA repair mechanisms within different cell types [50, 51]. This is further supported by recent publications focusing on different DDR capacities depending on the anatomical origin of cells as shown for human BM MSCs [24] and inherent heterogeneity of mouse bulk cultures executing a heterogeneous DDR [52].

Nicolay et al. [43] were able to confirm for human adult MSCs that the inherent osteogenic differentiation potential was not affected after exposure to ionizing radiation. However, an impact on the osteogenic differentiation was observed in our experiments for neonatal stromal cells and adult stromal cells damaged prior to differentiation with 1-Gy irradiation and 1 mM MNU, whereas calcification remained mostly unaffected if damage was induced at d7 of differentiation. Furthermore, treatment with MNU at d0 revealed a dose-dependent reduction of calcification during osteogenesis, suggesting that the response of neonatal stromal cells and adult BM MSCs upon irradiation and MNU treatment is agent-specific. Moreover, our results are strongly supported by the work of Oliver 2013 [53], who revealed a high DNA double-strand break repair activity in undifferentiated human BM MSCs as compared with differentiating osteoblasts revealing apoptosis after irradiation.

It is also well established that fetal bone is exquisitely sensitive to genotoxic stresses during growth of appendicular skeleton, leading to loss of bone mass in adults [54]. This is in line with the data presented in the study at hand showing a reduced osteogenic capacity in human neonatal stromal cells and adult stromal cells.

**CONCLUSION**

The results obtained in this study advance the understanding of DNA damage processing in human neonatal stromal cells as compared with adult stromal cells and iPSCs. The data suggest developmental age-dependent differences in DNA damage repair capacity. In iPSCs (closest to embryonic stem cells), the highest expression levels of DDR and repair genes were found, followed by neonatal stromal cells, with adult stromal cells having with the lowest overall expression. In addition, a differentiation-dependent downregulation of repair capacity was observed during osteogenic differentiation in neonatal stromal cells and adult stromal cells. Notably, the impact of genotoxic stress on osteogenic differentiation depends on the time the genotoxic insult takes place and, moreover, is agent-specific. These results strongly support the necessity of offering and establishing adequate cell sources for informative toxicological testing matching to the developmental age and differentiation status of the respective cell of interest.
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