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Abstract

Studies addressing brain correlates of emotional personality have remained sparse, despite the involvement of emotional personality in health and well-being. This study investigates structural and functional brain correlates of psychological and physiological measures related to emotional personality. Psychological measures included neuroticism, extraversion, and agreeableness scores, as assessed using a standard personality questionnaire. As a physiological measure we used a cardiac amplitude signature, the so-called $E_k$ value (computed from the electrocardiogram) which has previously been related to tenderness. Questionnaire scores and $E_k$ values were related to both functional (eigenvector centrality mapping, ECM) and structural (voxel-based morphometry, VBM) neuroimaging data. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) data were obtained from 22 individuals (12 females) while listening to music (joy, fear, or neutral music). ECM results showed that agreeableness scores correlated with centrality values in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, the anterior cingulate cortex, and the ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens). Individuals with higher $E_k$ values (indexing higher tenderness) showed higher centrality values in the subiculum of the right hippocampal formation. Structural MRI data from an independent sample of 59 individuals (34 females) showed that neuroticism scores correlated with volume of the left amygdaloid complex. In addition, individuals with higher $E_k$ showed larger gray matter volume in the same portion of the subiculum in which individuals with higher $E_k$ showed higher centrality values. Our results highlight a role of the amygdala in neuroticism. Moreover, they indicate that a cardiac signature related to emotionality ($E_k$) correlates with both function (increased network centrality) and structure (grey matter volume) of the subiculum of the hippocampal formation, suggesting a role of the hippocampal formation for emotional personality. Results are the first to show personality-related differences using eigenvector centrality mapping, and the first to show structural brain differences for a physiological measure associated with personality.

Introduction

Personality is a construct with substantial emotional components. For example, neuroticism relates to tendencies for the experience of negative emotions such as depression and anxiety, extraversion relates to behaviour of social approach and avoidance, and agreeableness relates to tender-mindedness on the one side, and to emotional coldness on the other. Correspondingly, differences in personality (such as differences in neuroticism, extraversion and agreeableness) have been reported to be related to functional differences in brain structures implicated in emotion, e.g. orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), amygdala, cingulate cortex, insula, and hippocampal formation: Functional neuroimaging studies have suggested associations between neuroticism and neural activity in anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) [1–4], insula [5,6], anterior fronto-median cortex [4,7], and amygdala (during fear learning) [8]. Extraversion has been reported to be related to neural activity in the striatum [9–11], ACC [12–14], OFC [5,6], and amygdala (in response to positive stimuli) [10,15,16]. Consistent findings for other personality dimensions (agreeableness, openness, and conscientiousness) are rather sparse, except that agreeableness is perhaps linked to activity in the right lateral PFC (taken to be related to emotion regulation) [17], and that openness has been linked to executive functions of the prefrontal cortex [18] as well as to serotonergic activity within the striatum [19] (for an overview see Table 1A).

Correspondingly, anatomical differences in these brain structures were reported to be associated with personality (see also Table 1B): Extraversion has been associated with volume [20,21] and cortical thickness of the OFC [22]; other studies have associated extraversion with volume of the right amygdala [21] or gray matter concentration of the left amygdala [23], and with cortical thickness of the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) [24]. Moreover, extraversion and reward-dependence (which is conceptually related to extraversion) have been reported to be negatively correlated with volume of the caudate nucleus [25,26]. Neuroticism and harm avoidance (which is conceptually related to neuroticism) have also been associated with volume [26] and cortical thickness [27] of the OFC. In addition, neuroticism has been associated with volume of the left amygdala (in females) [28] and the right amygdala [23]. Similarly, a study by Barros-Loscertales et al. [29] reported increased right amygdalar volume (as well as increased left entorhinal volume) in individuals with increased sensitivity to punishment (as measured with the...
Table 1. Overview of functional (A) and structural (B) brain differences reported in studies using subjective measures of personality factors.

| Study                        | n  | OFC | amyg | CC  | hipp | insula | striatum | aFMC | PFC | cer |
|------------------------------|----|-----|------|-----|------|--------|----------|------|-----|-----|
| **A: functional studies**    |    |     |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Eisenberger et al. [1]       | 17 | N   | E, N | N   |      | E      |          |      |     |     |
| Tauscher et al. [2]          | 19 | N   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Frokjaer et al. [3]          | 83 | N   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Brück et al. [4]             | 24 | N   | N    | N   |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Paulus et al. [5]            | 17 | N   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Deckersbach et al. [6]       | 20 | E   | N    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Britton et al. [7]           | 12 | N   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Hooker et al. [8]            | 12 | E, N| N    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Fischer et al. [9]           | 30 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Canli et al. [10]            | 14 | E   | E    | E   |      | E      |          |      |     |     |
| Cohen et al. [11]            | 17 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Kumari et al. [12]           | 11 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Canli et al. [13]            | 12 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Haas et al. [14]             | 26 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Canli et al. [15]            | 15 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Vaidya et al. [16]           | 12 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Haas et al. [17]             | 48 | A   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Kalbitzer et al. [19]        | 50 | O   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Chan et al. [120]            | 25 | N   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Cunningham et al. [121] [9]  | 21 | N   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Brühl et al. [122] [7]       | 16 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Simon et al. [123]           | 24 | E   | E    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| **B: structural studies**    |    |     |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| DeYoung et al. [20]          | 116| E   | N, A | N   | N    | N      | N        | N, A | C  |     |
| Cremers et al. [21]          | 65 | E   | E    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Rauch et al. [22]            | 14 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Omura et al. [23]            | 41 | E   | N    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Wright et al. [24]           | 29 | E   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Forsman et al. [25]          | 34 | E   | E    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Gardini et al. [26]          | 85 | N, E| E    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Wright et al. [27]           | 28 | N   | E    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Iidaka et al. [28]           | 56 | N   | E    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Barros-Loscertales et al. [29] | 63 | N   | N    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Yamasue et al. [30]          | 183| N   | N    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Schutter et al. [31]         | 38 | N   |      |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |
| Hu et al. [32]               | 62 | O, A| O    |     |      |        |          |      |     |     |

The studies listed in the outermost left column used five factor personality inventories (neuroticism, extraversion, openness, agreeableness, conscientiousness), or similar constructs (see table footnotes). The second left column indicates the sample size. Only brain structures reported by at least two studies are listed. Note the relatively low replicability of findings. Abbreviations: OFC: orbitofrontal cortex, amygdala; CC: cingulate cortex; hippocampal formation; aFMC: anterior fronto-median cortex; PFC: prefrontal cortex; cer: cerebellum.

1. Only neuroticism, extraversion, and self-consciousness were assessed.
2. Only neuroticism and extraversion were assessed.
3. Only neuroticism and extraversion were evaluated.
4. Only neuroticism was assessed.
5. A five-factor model of Cattell's 16 Personality Factor questionnaire was used.
6. Cloninger's Three-dimensional Personality Questionnaire was used, including harm avoidance (which is conceptually related to neuroticism) and reward-dependence (which is conceptually related to extraversion).
7. Only the Sensitivity to Punishment scale (which is conceptually related to neuroticism) of the Behavioral Inhibition System was used.
8. Only neuroticism-subscales (anxiety, depression) were assessed.
9. Only neuroticism was assessed.
10. Behavioral Inhibition/Behavioral Approach Activation Scales were used (which is conceptually related to extraversion).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077196.001
Behavioural Inhibition System). Furthermore, a study by DeYoung et al. [20] found an association between neuroticism and mid-cingulate volume, a study by Yamasue et al. [30] reported that grey matter volume in the (right) hippocampal formation was negatively related to harm avoidance, and Schutter et al. [31] observed that neuroticism correlated negatively with cerebellar volume. Only few studies have reported relations between brain structure and agreeableness, openness and conscientiousness. Agreeableness was observed to be related to DLPCF volume (taken to be related to the processing of intentions and mental states of others) [20] and cerebellar volume [32]. Openness has been linked to orbitofrontal and (dorsal) ACC volume [32], and conscientiousness has also been linked to DLPCF volume (taken to be related to processes of executive control) [20].

The mentioned studies reporting relations between personality and both activity and morphology of limbic/paralimbic brain structures appear to support the notion of a tight association between personality and emotionality. Note, however, that the replicability of the findings of the mentioned studies is relatively low (for an illustration see Table 1). One reason for such relatively low replicability might be that the mentioned studies assessed personality using subjective measures (questionnaires). Such psychological measures have the advantage of a direct semantic relation between the questionnaire items and the construct of a personality factor. The subjectivity of these measures, however, also bears the problem of potential biases such as socially desirable responding, inaccuracies in self-perception, self-favouring tendencies, self-deception, and moralistic bias [33–37]. Moreover, it cannot be guaranteed that the inner states described by emotion-words in questionnaires (such as “very happy”) refer to the same subjective experience in different individuals, or even in the same individual at different points in time (see also Wittgenstein’s arguments against the idea of a “private language”) [38].

**Physiological measures of personality**

In addition to subjective measures of personality (obtained with a standard personality questionnaire), the present study also used a physiological measure taken to reflect emotional personality. A substantial amount of research associating personality with biological parameters such as levels of neurotransmitters, hormones, and autonomic activity [39–45] opens the interesting possibility of using identified biomarkers as indices of personality. Such physiological markers have the advantage that they are not dependent on subjective ratings. However, a disadvantage is that physiological measures can be biased by factors unrelated to personality (such as general health status, inflammation, current mood, or circadian rhythm). Moreover, they have the disadvantage that there is no direct semantic link between a physiological measure and a personality construct. Hence, it is arguable to which degree a physiological personality measure is actually indicative of a specific personality trait.

As a physiological index of emotional personality, we used a cardiac amplitude signature. Amplitudes of the electrocardiogram (ECG, see also Figure 1) reflect regional cardiac activity, which is modulated by a number of psychological factors (summarized in Box 1). Therefore, it has been proposed that cardiac amplitude signatures can be used as biomarker for personality [46]. The cardiac amplitude signature used in the present study is characterized by the relation of four amplitude values of the ECG. The computation of these amplitude values according to the equation shown in Figure 1c results in a value for each individual, referred to as $E_k$ value. This $E_k$ measure has previously [46] been obtained using discriminant function analysis on ECG amplitude data to differentiate groups of individuals with higher and lower scores of tender emotionality (as indicated by interviews and self-reports). The construct of tender emotionality refers to the tendency to experience positive emotions (including feelings of joy and happiness), attachment-related emotions (such as love), compassion and empathy. Persistent lack of tender emotion (also referred to as emotional coldness, or flattened affect) is a clinically relevant symptom in schizophrenia disorder and schizoaffective disorder. In that study [46] (data from two functional neuroimaging experiments showed stronger BOLD responses to emotional stimuli in amygdala and hippocampal formation in individuals with high $E_k$ (compared to individuals with low $E_k$).

The notion that $E_k$ reflects aspects of the emotional personality of an individual was supported by a subsequent study [47] in which individuals with higher $E_k$ (assumed to reflect higher tender emotionality) had lower neuroticism scores, higher scores of positive emotion, and tended to have higher extraversion scores (personality scores were measured using the NEO-FFI and NEO-PI-R inventories [48]). Note that, because there is no direct semantic link between tender emotionality and $E_k$ it would be problematic to simply equate the two (as outlined above). Nevertheless, in the present study we tested whether $E_k$ as a physiological index of emotional personality would show relations to brain function and brain structure.

**The present study**

In the present study, we used both psychological and physiological measures related to emotional personality as independent variables to investigate neural correlates of emotional personality in both functional and structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data (Figure 1). Psychological measures included the neuroticism, extraversion and agreeableness scales of the Neuroticism-Extraversion-Openness Five Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) [48], the extraversion-facets positive emotion and warmth, as well as the agreeableness-facet tender-mindedness (as obtained with the revised version of the NEO Personality Inventory; NEO-PI-R) [33]. As physiological measure we used the (cardiac) $E_k$ index. In Experiment 1, subjects performed a functional neuroimaging session, and functional data were then correlated with both psychological and physiological personality measures. In Experiment 2, structural brain scans were obtained from an independent sample of subjects, and brain-volumetric data were then correlated with both psychological and physiological personality measures. This enabled us (a) to compare consistency between functional and structural findings, (b) to determine the replicability of previous results with regard to psychological and physiological measures, (c) to relate the physiological data to the psychological data, and (d) to compare neurological results obtained with psychological or physiological data as independent variables.

**Experiment 1: Functional MRI Using Eigenvector Centrality Mapping (ECM)**

In Experiment 1, fMRI data were analysed using Eigenvector Centrality Mapping (ECM) [65] to identify voxels that show high eigenvector centrality within neuro-functional small-world networks [66]. ECM attributes a centrality value to each voxel in the brain such that a voxel receives a large value if it is strongly correlated with many other nodes that are themselves central within the network (Google’s Page-Rank algorithm is a variant of eigenvector centrality). ECM thus indicates “computational hubs” of neural small-world networks [67]. For example, a previous study using a within-subjects design compared data of resting state scans of subjects when they were in states of hunger or satiety [65] (that study reported that centrality values were higher during the
hungry state in the posterior cingulate cortex and the precuneus). ECM is reminiscent of resting-state fMRI [68], with the important difference that ECM can be computed on any functional neuroimaging data (i.e., not necessarily resting state data).

Figure 1. Illustration of procedure and data analysis. (a) From each of \( n = 81 \) participants (22 in Experiment 1, and 59 in Experiment 2), NEO scores as well as a 12-lead rest electrocardiogram (ECG) were obtained. (b) From each ECG, absolute values of mean R-, RS-, and T-wave amplitudes were measured electronically (separately for the leads aVL, III, and all chest leads), and then computed according to the equation shown in (c), resulting in a single \( E_k \) value for each participant (\( E_k \) is taken to reflect tender emotionality). \( E_k \) values were computed using the absolute amplitude values of the T-wave of aVL (\( T_{aVL} \)), the RS-wave of aVL (\( RS_{aVL} \)), the R-wave of III (\( R_{III} \)) and the maximal RS-wave measured at any of the chest leads (\( RS_{Vmax} \)). For better readability, \( E_k \) values were scaled with a factor of \( \alpha = 10 \). (d) Functional magnetic resonance (MR) images were obtained from the participants of Experiment 1, and structural MR images were acquired from the participants of Experiment 2. In Experiment 1, Eigenvector Centrality Maps (ECMs) were computed for each participant. ECMs were then correlated with NEO scores of participants (upper left panel of (d)), and compared between groups of individuals with higher and lower \( E_k \) values (upper right panel of (d)). Likewise, structural data obtained in Experiment 2 were correlated with NEO scores (lower left panel of (d)), and compared between groups of individuals with higher and lower \( E_k \) values (lower right panel of (d)). The bottom panel illustrates standard ECG leads: The six extremity leads (I, II, III, aVL, aVR, aVF) record voltage differences by means of electrodes placed on the limbs (e). The triangle shows the spatial relationships of the extremity leads, which record electrical voltages onto the frontal plane of the body. The six chest leads (V1–V6) record voltage differences by means of electrodes placed on the chest wall (f). The oval indicates spatial relationships of the six chest leads, which record electrical voltages transmitted onto the horizontal plane.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077196.g001

With regard to correlations between NEO scores and functional neuroimaging data, we hypothesized (based on the most consistent findings, as reviewed above) that extraversion scores would correlate with neural activity in the striatum [9–11] and the
Moreover, we tested whether neuroticism would also correlate with activity in the ACC [1–4]. Based on our previous study [46], in which individuals with low $E_k$ (taken to reflect low tender emotionality) showed reduced neural activity in amygdala and hippocampal formation (in response to emotion-evoking music stimuli), we aimed to test in the present study whether individuals with lower $E_k$ would show lower centrality values in hippocampal formation and amygdala. With regard to associations between NEO scores and $E_k$ values, we expected that individuals with higher $E_k$ values (reflecting higher tender emotionality) would have lower neuroticism scores, higher extraversion scores, and higher scores of positive emotion than individuals with lower $E_k$ values (as in our previous study [47]). Because warmth and tender-mindedness are conceptually related to the construct of tender emotion, we hypothesized that scores of these facets, as well as of agreeableness, would be higher in individuals with higher $E_k$ values than in individuals with lower $E_k$ values.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement. All subjects gave written informed consent. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee of the School of Life Sciences and the Psychology Department of the University of Sussex.

Participants. Data were obtained from 22 individuals (aged 19–31 years, $M = 23.30$, $SD = 3.36$, 12 females). Exclusion criteria were left-handedness, consumption of alcohol or caffeine exceeding one litre during the 24 hours prior to testing, poor sleep during the previous night, past diagnosis of a neurological, audiological or psychiatric disorder (according to self-report), and abnormal brain anatomy (as diagnosed by a radiologist).
Experimental procedure. Psychological (NEO) measures. From each participant, we obtained scores of the personality scales Neuroticism, Extraversion, and Agreeableness (each with 12 Items) from the German translation of the NEO Five Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) [48,68]. Moreover, scores of the personality facets warmth, positive emotion and tender-mindedness (each with 8 Items) from the German translation of the revised version of the NEO Personality Inventory (NEO-PI-R) [48,69] were obtained. Warmth and positive emotion are facets of the extraversion scale, and tender-mindedness is a facet of the agreeableness scale. These facets were used because they are more closely related to the construct of tender emotional relatedness than other NEO-PI-R facets: positive emotion is related to a tendency to experience positive emotions, tender-mindedness is related to an attitude of sympathy for others, and warmth is related to interest in and friendliness towards others [48]. The number of items amounted to 54 Items (note that because the NEO-FFI is a short version of the NEO-PI-R, twelve items of the used NEO-FFI scales are identical to those of the used NEO-PI-R facets).

Physiological (cardiac) measures. A 12-leads resting ECG (2–3 min duration) was acquired from each participant in supine position under standard conditions [70]. ECGs were recorded (with disposable electrodes) with high resolution (sampling rate: 1000 Hz, resolution: 22 bit, without on-line filtering) using a cardio 100 system (custo med GmbH, Ottobrunn, Germany). ECGs and NEO data were obtained directly before the functional neuroimaging experiment.

Functional neuroimaging experiment. For the functional neuroimaging session we employed an experiment on music and emotion using joy, fear and neutral musical stimuli (joy and fear stimuli are listed in Table S1) [71]. We chose an emotion experiment for data acquisition to specify in which brain structures personality-related functional differences were dependent on the emotional state of subjects. Joy and fear were chosen because they represent basic emotion categories motivating approach and avoidance behaviours.

Each experimental stimulus had a duration of 30 s, and there were 8 stimuli of each emotion category (joy, fear, neutral; for details see Supporting Methods). Prior to the fMRI session, participants were presented with short (12 s) versions of each stimulus to obtain familiarity ratings: Participants rated their familiarity with each piece on a four-point scale (ranging from “To my knowledge I have never heard this piece before”, to “I know this piece, and I know who composed, or performed it”). Participants were then trained on the emotion-rating procedure using 12 s long excerpts of musical pieces that did not belong to the stimulus set used in the fMRI scanning session: At the end of each excerpt, participants indicated how they felt with regard to valence (pleasant/unpleasant), arousal (calm/excited), joy and fear. That is, participants provided ratings about how they felt, and not about which emotion they thought each song was supposed to express [72]. Ratings were obtained with 6-point Likert scales (ranging from “not at all” to “very much”), and the time interval for each rating was 3 s (amounting to a duration of the entire rating procedure of 12 s).

In the fMRI experiment, stimuli were pseudorandomly intermixed so that no more than two stimuli of each stimulus category (joyful, fearful, neutral) followed each other. Moreover, the entire stimulus set was presented twice (i.e., 16 stimuli per category were presented). Participants were asked to listen to the musical stimuli with their eyes closed. Each musical stimulus was followed by an interval of 2 s in which a beep tone signalled participants to open their eyes and to commence the emotion-rating procedure (as described above). Each rating period was followed by a 4 s rest period, amounting to a total length of 48 s per trial, and a duration of the functional neuroimaging experiment of about 39 min.

Musical stimuli were presented using Presentation (version 13.0, Neurobehavioral systems, Albany, CA, USA) via MRI compatible headphones (under which participants wore earplugs). Instructions and rating screens were delivered through MRI compatible liquid crystal display goggles with an integrated eyetracker (Resonance Technology Inc., Northridge, CA, USA). The eyetracker was used by the experimenters to control that participants followed the instructions regarding opening and closing their eyes.

MR scanning. Scanning was performed with a 3T Siemens Magnetom Trio scanner (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). Continuous Echo Planar Imaging (EPI) was used with a TE of 30 ms and a TR of 2,000 ms. Slice-acquisition was interleaved within the TR interval. The matrix acquired was 64 x 64 voxels with a Field Of View (FOV) of 192 mm, resulting in an in-plane resolution of 3 mm. Slice thickness was 3 mm with an interslice gap of 0.6 mm (37 slices, whole brain coverage). The acquisition window was tilted at an angle of 30 degrees relative to the AC-PC line in order to minimize susceptibility artifacts in the orbitofrontal cortex [73–75].

ECG data analysis. ECG-wave detection and measurement of ECG amplitude values was performed electronically using the in-house software package Kardionoon [46] (publicly available at http://sourceforge.net/projects/kardionoon) and visually inspected by the first author. The amplitude measurements are described in detail elsewhere [46]; in short, for each lead of each participant, all P-, R-, S- and T-wave peaks were identified in the raw ECG, and artifact-free waves were then averaged separately for each lead to obtain ECG waves representative for each participant (i.e., for a 2-min artifact-free ECG of a subject with a heart rate of 60 beats per minute, 120 P-, 120 R-, 120 RS-, and 120 T-waves were averaged, separately for each wave, and for each of the twelve ECG leads). From these averaged ECG waves, absolute amplitude values of P-, R-, RS-, and T-waves were measured electronically; R-wave amplitudes were measured with respect to the baseline of the averaged ECG cycle (see also Figure 1b for illustration), T-wave amplitudes were measured with respect to the first plateau-like wave shape preceding the T-wave peak [46]. Moreover, to reduce potential bias introduced by slightly different placement of chest electrodes, the maximal value of each of the four ECG waves (P-, R-, RS-, and T-wave) measured at any of the chest leads were also computed. Then, the ES value was computed for each subject according to the equation shown in Figure 1c. All ECG analyses were carried out blinded, that is, without knowledge about the NEO scores nor fMRI images of any participant.

Functional MRI data analysis. Functional MRI data were processed using LIPSIA 2.1 [76]. Data were corrected for slicetime acquisition and normalized into MNI-space registered images with isotropic voxels of 3 cubic millimetres. A temporal highpass filter with a cutoff frequency of 1/90 Hz was applied to remove low frequency drifts in the fMRI time series, and a spatial smoothing was performed using a 3D Gaussian kernel and a filter size of 6 mm FWHM.

Eigenvector Centrality Maps (ECMs) were first computed on each participant’s entire fMRI dataset, treating the entire functional session as one time period of interest (that is, the entire experiment was calculated as one single trial for the ECM analysis, and only one ECM image was calculated for each participant). Neuroticism, extraversion, and agreeableness scores were then used as regressors of interest (with age and gender as covariates of no interest) in a second level design matrix that served for regressions with the NEO variables. Regressions were used because NEO scores did not deviate from a standard normal
The values above and below the median) according to the directed hypotheses (stated in the Introduction and Methods). Individuals with higher $E_c$ values were expected to show lower neuroticism, higher extraversion, higher agreeableness, as well as higher positive emotion, tender-mindedness and warmth (see also Introduction). Thus, the significance level for Bonferroni-corrected one-sided tests was $0.05/6 = 0.0083$.

Results

**Relations between cardiac (ECG) and psychological (NEO) data.** NEO scores were correlated with behavioral ratings. Results indicated that individuals with higher $E_c$ values were more in accordance with the stimulus categories (e.g., they gave higher joy ratings for joy stimuli, and lower joy ratings for fear stimuli, compared to individuals with low agreeableness scores).

**Psychological (NEO) measures and ECM data.** Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data. Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data. Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data. Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data. Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data. Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data. Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data. Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data. Results indicated significant correlations between the NEO scores and ECM data.
Group differences were first investigated using the ECMs computed for the entire fMRI session. The results of this comparison are listed in Table 3 and shown in Figure 2b (data were controlled for age and gender, and results were corrected for multiple comparisons, \(p<0.05\)). A difference between groups was found in the subiculum of the right hippocampal formation (MNI coordinate: 23, −27, −15, 90% probability for subiculum according to the cytoarchitectonic maps provided by Amunts et al., [78]), in which centrality values were significantly higher in the group with higher agreeableness scores, resulting in increased reward-related neural activity in the ventral striatum [84], and such music-evoked movements [81,82]. Engagement in such functions has been proposed to lead to feelings of reward [83], involving dopaminergic activity in the ventral striatum [84], and such music-evoked social functions are possibly activated more strongly in individuals with higher agreeableness scores, resulting in increased reward-related brain activity.

No correlations between extraversion and ECMs were observed, perhaps because potential results have been missed due to
the relatively small sample size of \( n = 22 \) [85]. Also note that, due to the sample size, the significant effects we observed might not be as selective as it appears [85].

**Functional MR data and physiological (cardiac) measures.** The group with higher \( E_x \) values (taken to reflect higher tender emotionality) showed higher centrality values in the subiculum of the right hippocampal formation (the implications of this finding are discussed in the General Discussion). This observation is consistent with results of two previous fMRI experiments, in which fMRI data also showed differences within

the hippocampal formation between individuals with high and low \( E_x \) [46]. In that study [46], which used the traditional general linear model approach (GLM), the main group difference was located in the cornu ammonis. Note that the present study used ECM, thus calculating computational hubs that have high centrality in networks consisting of different brain structures. In contrast to the cornu ammonis, which primarily receives projections from the dentate gyrus and projects to the subiculum [86], the subiculum is interconnected with a large array of cortical and subcortical structures: In addition to converging input from the cornu ammonis, it has bidirectional projections with entorhinal, perirhinal and prefrontal cortices, as well as with many subcortical structures (in particular numerous hypothalamic nuclei) [87]. Thus, it is likely that GLM contrasts are better suited to reveal functional differences in the cornu ammonis, whereas ECM is better suited to reveal such differences in the subiculum.

The group with higher \( E_x \) also showed higher centrality values in the ACC. Due to the association of \( E_x \) with NEO-scores of extraversion, this finding is consistent with studies showing correlations with extraversion and ACC activity [12–14]. The anterior and middle cingulate cortex is involved in emotional processes, in particular with regard to autonomic regulation and the production of subjective feelings [88,89]. In addition, the cingulate cortex has been implicated in the coordination, and synchronization of autonomic activity, behaviour, motor expression, as well as cognitive processes in response to emotionally salient stimuli [89,90]. In the component process model of emotion by Scherer [91], an emotion is defined as synchronization of these emotion components, and the observation that centrality values in the ACC are higher in individuals with high \( E_x \) is thus likely to reflect stronger, or more frequent, synchronization processes in the ACC due to more dynamic emotion-related activity in the “biological subsystems of emotion” [91]. Interestingly, the cluster observed in the ACC also extended into the anterior fronto-median cortex (in which individuals with higher \( E_x \) values also showed higher centrality values). This possibly reflects that individuals with higher tender emotionality engage more strongly in social information processing, including understanding and evaluating emotions of other individuals.

Another interesting result is that the group with lower \( E_x \) values showed higher centrality values in the lateral geniculate body (LGB) bilaterally as well as in the primary visual cortex (V1). Note that both groups had their eyes closed during stimulation (this was controlled via an eyetracking device, see Methods), and that activation of V1 and LGB with closed eyes is consistent with activation patterns during visual imagery [92]. Although not included in our hypotheses, this pattern thus indicates increased visual imagery in individuals with low \( E_x \) (compared to individuals with high \( E_x \)). As mentioned in the Introduction, reduced tender emotionality, i.e. emotional coldness and reduced affect, is taken to be reflected in low \( E_x \). In a clinically relevant form, emotional coldness and reduced affect are the prime symptoms of schizoid personality disorder according to the International Classification of Diseases (F60.1 of the ICD-10), which lists as further characteristics “preoccupation with fantasy and introspection”. Because fantasy involves visual imagery, our results support the notion that, in healthy individuals, low \( E_x \) may reflect subclinical symptoms of schizoid personality disorder, including reduced tender emotion (i.e., increased emotional coldness) and increased tendency for fantasy and introversion. Notably, this is also reflected in the lower extraversion scores of individuals with low \( E_x \).
In addition to ECMs vs. low previous studies, see Table 1B)

Table 3. Results of the ECM constrasts high $E_v$ vs. low $E_v$.

| Anatomical structure | MNI coord. | cluster size (mm$^3$) | $z$-value: max (mean) | joy | fear | neutral |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----|------|---------|
| **High $E_v$** > low $E_v$ | | | | | | |
| r parahipp. g. | 28 – 34 – 15 | 1755 | 3.36 (2.73) | 2.49 | 3.09 | 2.72 |
| r parahipp. g. (SUB, 100%)$^1$ | 25 – 25 – 16 | – | 3.23 | 3.63 | 2.95 | 1.59 |
| l ACC | – 32 27 25 | 297 | 3.64 (2.76) | 2.09 | 2.58 | 2.99 |
| r ACC | 3 42 1 | 3618 | 3.06 (2.55) | 2.78 | 2.89 | 3.13 |
| r afMC$^2$ | 3 60 5 | – | 2.75 | 2.61 | 2.78 | 2.96 |
| r post. cingulate g. | 12 – 42 46 | 14040 | 4.12 (2.76) | 3.40 | 4.20 | 2.27 |
| r insula | 45 0 – 2 | 1026 | 3.23 (2.65) | 3.33 | 3.57 | 2.54 |
| l IFG, pars orbitalis | – 36 27 – 20 | 1863 | 3.26 (2.59) | 1.94 | 3.80 | 2.70 |
| l STG (TE 1.0, 30%) | – 54 – 27 10 | 14472 | 3.99 (2.82) | 3.70 | 3.57 | 2.85 |
| l Rol. operc. (OP 4, 50%)$^3$ | – 60 0 7 | – | 3.87 | 3.12 | 2.22 | 3.50 |
| l angular g/post. MTG | – 48 – 66 34 | 999 | 3.07 (2.61) | 2.43 | 2.44 | 2.96 |
| r planum temporale | 42 – 36 16 | 11475 | 4.60 (2.99) | 3.74 | 4.40 | 3.90 |
| r MTG | 66 – 24 – 5 | 1053 | 3.44 (2.71) | 3.81 | 3.19 | 2.85 |
| r middle occipital gyrus | 36 – 84 31 | 4050 | 4.17 (2.88) | 2.56 | 3.29 | 2.93 |
| **Low $E_v$** > high $E_v$ | | | | | | |
| r V1 (area 17, 100%) | 15 – 99 – 10 | 30915 | 4.24 (2.70) | 3.54 | 3.19 | 3.13 |
| r cerebellum$^4$ | 27 – 60 – 44 | – | 4.23 | 3.76 | 4.15 | 1.33 |
| WM | – 18 9 34 | 14472 | 4.82 (2.73) | 3.07 | 3.18 | 2.64 |
| l LGB (Th-visual, 47%)$^5$ | – 27 – 27 – 3 | – | 3.34 | 3.05 | 3.26 | 3.58 |
| r (dorsal) striatum | 23 14 9 | 14850 | 4.57 (2.80) | 3.33 | 2.54 | 2.79 |
| r LGB (Th-visual, 43%)$^6$ | 27 – 25 – 3 | – | 3.87 | 3.36 | 3.41 | 4.15 |
| WM | 21 – 24 46 | 1215 | 3.53 (2.79) | 2.76 | 3.71 | 2.61 |

In the left column, percentages in brackets following anatomical structures refer to anatomical probabilities according to the SPM Anatomy Toolbox [102]. The next columns provide MNI-coordinates, cluster sizes, and $z$-values of maxima indicated by the analysis in which one single ECM per participant (calculated for the entire fMRI session) entered the second-level analysis. Results were corrected for multiple comparisons ($p < .05$). The three remaining columns on the right indicate maximal $z$-values in the respective structures when ECMs were computed separately for each emotion condition (joy, fear, and neutral, see Methods). Abbreviations: afMC: anterior fronto-median cortex; g.: gyrus; l: left; parahipp.: parahippocampal; post.: posterior; r: right; ACC: anterior cingulate cortex; ECM: Eigenvector Centrality Mapping; fFG: inferior frontal gyrus; LGB: lateral geniculate body of the thalamus; MTG: middle temporal gyrus; Rol.operc.: Rolandic operculum; STG: superior temporal gyrus; SUB: subiculum of the hippocampal formation; Th-visual: visual thalamic nuclei; V1: primary visual cortex; WM: white matter.

1. The cluster with the peak voxel in the r parahipp. g. had another local maximum in the SUB.
2. The cluster with the peak voxel in the r ACC had another local maximum in the afMC.
3. The cluster with the peak voxel in the l STG had another local maximum in the Rol. operc.
4. The cluster with the peak voxel in V1 had another local maximum in the cerebellum.
5. The cluster with the peak voxel in the WM had another local maximum in the l LGB.
6. The cluster with the peak voxel in the r striatum had another local maximum in the r LGB.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077196.t003

**Emotion-specificity of ECM results.** In addition to ECMs computed for the entire fMRI session, we also computed ECMs for each stimulus condition separately (joy, fear, neutral) to investigate to which degree personality-characteristic brain activity might have been dependent on the emotional states of subjects. Results showed that effects observed in the analysis of the entire fMRI session (pooling the data of all music stimuli and emotion ratings) were also observed in each of the stimulus conditions (joy, fear, neutral). This indicates that the reported ECM findings were largely independent of the emotional states of subjects and that the ECM results on emotional personality are not specific to any particular emotion. It is also unlikely that the observed associations between personality and brain activity are limited only to emotional states involving musical stimulation. Future studies might investigate whether the differences observed in Experiment 1 can also be observed in emotionally neutral experimental paradigms.

**Experiment 2: Structural MRI Using Voxel Based Morphometry (VBM)**

Experiment 1 investigated personality-characteristic differences in brain function. In Experiment 2, we aimed at investigating whether such differences correspond to differences in brain structure. For this purpose, the same psychological (NEO) and physiological (cardiac) measures were acquired from an independent sample of subjects and used as independent variables to explain variance in structural neuroimaging data. In addition, we hypothesized (based on the most consistent findings reported in previous studies, see Table 1B) that positive correlations between neuroticism and OFC volume [26,27] as well as between neuroticism and amygdalar volume [23,28,29]. Likewise, we aimed to test for positive correlations between extraversion and OFC volume [20–22] as well as amygdalar volume [21,23], and to test for a negative relation...
between extraversion and volume of the caudate nucleus [25,26].

Materials and methods

**Ethics statement.** All subjects gave written informed consent. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee of the School of Life Sciences and the Psychology Department of the University of Sussex.

**Participants.** Data were acquired from 59 individuals (aged 20–31 years, $M = 24.15$, $SD = 2.40$, 34 females). None of the subjects participated in Experiment 1. Exclusion criteria were left-handedness, past diagnosis of a neurological or psychiatric disorder, and abnormal brain anatomy.

**Experimental procedure.** Acquisition and analysis of psychological (NEO) measures and physiological (cardiac) measures were identical to Experiment 1, except that ECG and NEO data were obtained within three weeks before or after the structural imaging session, and that ECG data were acquired using a Refa-system (Twente Medical Systems, Enschede, NL).

**MR scanning.** Scanning was performed with a 3T Siemens Magnetom Trio scanner (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). Whole-brain structural scans were acquired using a 3D Fast Low Angle Shot (FLASH) sequence using a 1-channel birdcage head coil. For each subject, 176 sagittal partitions with an image matrix of $240 \times 256$ and an isotropic spatial resolution of 1 mm, a TE $= 4$ ms, $\alpha = 10^\circ$ and total scan time of about 8 min were acquired.

**Structural MRI data analysis.** Processing of structural MRI scans was carried out using SPM8 [93] running on Matlab 2009a (The Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). All images were checked for artefacts and manually aligned so that the origin of the coordinate system was located at the anterior commissure. Using the New Segmentation procedure of SPM [94], which is an extension of the default unified segmentation procedure [95], the images were segmented into grey matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). For each subject, this resulted in two sets of 5 images: One set was in the same space as the original T1-weighted image in which each voxel was assigned a probability of it being GM, WM and CSF; another set contained the segmented images rigid-body-aligned into MNI space. Spatial normalization employed DARTEL, an algorithm for diffeomorphic image registration [96] that provides an improved anatomical precision compared to previously used methods [95,100]. DARTEL was used to optimally warp the rigid-body aligned GM, WM and segment sets into a new reference space representing an average of all the participants. The method iteratively creates an increasingly fine-grained set of group-specific templates and the deformations fields required to warp the data from each subject. Each subject’s specific deformation field is used to warp the corresponding GM and WM segments into the new reference space, with re-sampling at 1.5 mm isotropic voxels using trilinear interpolation. Then, the warped GM and WM segments were affine transformed into MNI space. The probability values were scaled by the Jacobian determinants of the deformations to account for the local compression and stretching that occurs as a consequence of the warping and affine transformation (a process referred to as modulation) [101]. Finally, the GM probability values were smoothed using a 4 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel.

The GM volumetric data were then correlated voxel-wise with each of the (standardized) NEO scores (extraversion, neuroticism, agreeableness) with an FWE-correction for multiple comparisons ($p<.05$; NEO-scores did not deviate from a standard normal distribution as indicated by a Shapiro-Wilk-Test, see Table S2). As in the functional experiment, $E_k$ values deviated from a normal distribution ($p = 0.001$ according to the Shapiro-Wilk Test of Normality). Therefore, $E_k$ values were dichotomized using a median-split, and GM volumetric data were compared between the resulting two groups (33 individuals with higher, and 34 individuals with lower $E_k$) using voxel-wise $t$-tests and an FWE-correction for multiple comparisons ($p<.05$). In cases where we had directed hypotheses (see Introduction of Experiment 2), we also computed uncorrected SPMs (thresholded at $p = .001$ and a voxel extent of 10 voxels). In all analyses, we controlled for differences in age, gender and total brain volume (sum of GM and WM volume) by including these variables as covariates of no interest.

![Figure 3. Experiment 2: Structural neuroimaging data (voxel-based morphometry). Results were controlled for total brain volume, age and gender, images are shown in neurological convention. The upper panel (a) shows results of the correlations of grey matter volume with neuroticism scores (uncorrected data with a threshold of $p<.001$ and a minimum cluster size of 10 voxels). The crosshair indicates a positive correlation in the left amygdaloid complex (significant in the region of interest analysis, $p<.05$, FWE-corrected). The left image shows a coronal section, the right image shows a sagittal section. The middle panel (b) shows the comparison of structural data between groups of participants with higher and lower $E_k$ values (uncorrected data with a threshold of $p<.001$ and a minimum cluster size of 10 voxels). A difference between groups was found in the subiculum of the right hippocampal formation (significant in the region of interest analysis, $p<.05$, FWE-corrected). Gray matter volume was larger in the group of participants with higher $E_k$ values (taken to reflect higher tender emotionality). Note the consistency with the group difference observed in the left subiculum in the functional data (Figure 2b). The left image shows a coronal section, the right image an axial section. The bottom panel (c) shows the same results as (b), projected on the cytoarchitectonic probability map of the hippocampal formation provided by Amunts et al. [78] using the Anatomy Toolbox provided by Eickhoff et al. [102]. The group difference is located with 90% probability in the subiculum.](Image 58x461 to 296x730)
Results

**Relations between ECG and NEO data.** As in the functional experiment, NEO scores were compared between groups with high and low $E_k$ values (i.e., $E_k$ values above and $E_k$ values below the median) according to the directed hypotheses stated in the Introduction. There was a trend for individuals with higher $E_k$ showing higher extraversion scores compared to those with lower $E_k$ ($z = 1.7, p = .047$, one-tailed). Contrary to the functional experiment, individuals with higher $E_k$ did not show significantly higher scores of positive emotion (for details and complete data see Table S3).

**Psychological (NEO) measures and VBM data.** In a whole-brain-analysis, voxel-wise correlations between the structural brain data (controlled for total brain volume, age and gender) and each of the NEO scales did not indicate any significant correlation in the FWE-corrected statistical parametric maps (SPMs). Inspecting the uncorrected SPMs (based on our directed hypotheses) we observed a positive correlation between neuroticism and tissue density in the left amygdala (Figure 3a). When applying a small volume correction, using the amygdala volume as region of interest (extracted from the Anatomy Toolbox for SPM) [102] this correlation was significant at the FWE-corrected threshold ($p < .05$ on both cluster and peak level). The voxel with the maximum $z$-value (3.61) was located at MNI coordinate $-18, 1, -17$.

**Physiological (cardiac) measures and VBM data.** In another whole-brain-analysis, we computed a voxel-wise comparison of structural brain data (controlled for total brain volume, age and gender) between the two $E_k$ groups ($E_k$ values above and $E_k$ values below the median). The FWE-corrected SPM of this comparison did not indicate any significant group difference. Again, inspecting the uncorrected SPMs (driven by our directed hypothesis) to investigate possible group differences in amygdala and hippocampal formation, we observed that the largest cluster in the comparison high>$l$ow $E_k$ was located in the subiculum of the right hippocampal formation (Figure 3b), in part overlapping with the cluster that was observed to differ between groups in the functional data. When using the volume of the subiculum as region of interest (subiculum volume was extracted from the Anatomy Toolbox for SPM) [102], this volume difference between groups was significant after FWE-correction ($p < .05$ on the cluster level, and $p < .006$ on the peak level). When using the entire hippocampal volume (bilaterally) as region of interest, the difference between groups was significant on the peak level ($p < .05$, FWE-corrected). The voxel with the maximum $z$-value (3.75) was located at MNI-coordinate $18, -26, -12$ (90% probability for subiculum of the hippocampal formation according to the cytoarchitectonic maps provided by Amunts et al., [78], see also Figure 3c). The opposite uncorrected contrast (low>$h$igh $E_k$ values) did not yield any significant volume differences between groups.

Discussion

**Structural MR data and psychological (NEO) measures.** The positive correlation between neuroticism and volume of the left amygdala corroborates findings of a previous study showing a correlation between neuroticism and amygdalar volume (in females) [28]. Another previous finding of an association between neuroticism and right amygdalar volume [23] was not supported by our study. It is well established that the amygdala is involved in fear responses [103], and the correlation between neuroticism and amygdalar volume might thus reflect structural changes in the amygdala due to the increased tendency to experience anxiety. Corroboratingly, the amygdaloid complex (and related orbitofrontal cortical areas) is centrally involved in mood disorders such as major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, and pathological anxiety [104,105]. However, substantial evidence indicates that the amygdala also plays a role for positive emotions [106]. Therefore, while amygdalar nuclei involved in negative emotion might have greater volume in individuals with high neuroticism, one could also expect greater volume in nuclei involved in positive emotion in individuals with low neuroticism, or high agreeableness. Perhaps negative emotions lead to more pronounced structural changes in the amygdala than positive emotions, but this remains to be specified. No correspondence between functional (Experiment 1) and structural MRI results (Experiment 2) was observed with regard to the analyses involving NEO measures, substantiating that consistency of neuroimaging results using self-report (questionnaire) measures or personality as independent variables is rather low [107] (see also Table 1).

**Structural MR data and physiological (cardiac) measures.** The group with higher $E_k$ (compared to the group with lower $E_k$) showed larger volume in the subiculum of the right hippocampal formation. These are the first results showing a relation between a cardiac amplitude signature and brain morphometry. Both structural and functional results correspond remarkably well with each other: Effects were partly overlapping, and the maximal effects were located in close vicinity across Experiments 1 and 2. This provides strong evidence for an association between the hippocampal formation and cardiac amplitude signatures in humans. Because the associations between NEO scores and $E_k$ corroborate a relation between $E_k$ and emotional personality, these structural data are also the first to show that emotionality-related differences as assessed with a peripheral physiological measure correlate with brain morphology.

**General Discussion**

**Summary of results**

The group with higher $E_k$ values (taken to reflect higher tender emotionality) had higher extraversion scores in both the functional and the structural experiment. In the functional experiment, scores of positive emotion were higher in the group with higher $E_k$ values. The functional data indicated a significant positive correlation between centrality values and agreeableness in the right ventral striatum (probably nucleus accumbens, NAc), ACC, the rostral cingulate zone extending into the pre-SMA, and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) bilaterally. Significant negative correlations were found in the central sulcus. The comparison of the functional data between the two $E_k$ groups showed that, within the subiculum of the right hippocampal formation, centrality values were higher for the group with higher $E_k$ values. Moreover, significantly higher centrality values in the group with higher $E_k$ values were predicted in the auditory cortex bilaterally, in both anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, the anterior fronto-median cortex, and the Rolandic operculum. The group with lower $E_k$ values showed higher centrality values in the lateral geniculate body bilaterally as well as in the visual cortex (V1). The analysis of the structural brain data showed a positive correlation between neuroticism and volume of the left amygdala in a ROI analysis of the amygdala. A comparison of the structural data between individuals with high and low $E_k$ values revealed a group difference in the right subiculum of the hippocampal formation in a ROI analysis (individuals with high $E_k$ values had larger grey matter volume).

**Relations between cardiac and NEO measures**

In each experiment the group with higher $E_k$ values had higher extraversion scores. This replicates results of a previous experi-
ment [47], thus up to now data from three independent samples show higher extraversion scores in individuals with higher $E_x$ values. In addition, as in our previous study, scores of positive emotion (a facet of extraversion) were higher in the group with higher $E_x$ values in Experiment 1 (although not in Experiment 2). These findings support the notion that $E_x$ reflects aspects of the emotional personality of an individual. This notion is also corroborated by the behavioral ratings about the emotional states of participants (obtained after each stimulus in Experiment 1). These emotion ratings showed that $E_x$ was related to felt arousal and fear. However, as a note of caution, the relation between $E_x$ and neuroticism observed in our previous study [47] was not replicated by the present results, and contrary to our hypotheses, our results did not show relations between $E_x$ and tender-mindedness, nor between $E_x$ values and warmth (although these two NEO facets are conceptually related to tender emotionality). Hence, $E_x$ does not reflect “friendliness towards others” and “sympathy for others” as measured with the NEO-PI-R. Moreover, the present results call the relation between $E_x$ and neuroticism (as previously observed [47]) into question. To further investigate to which degree $E_x$ reflects tender emotionality, future studies might thus better use questionnaires that are more specifically designed to assess positive emotion, attachment-related emotions, compassion and empathy.

As outlined in Box 1, personality-specific modulation of regional cardiac activity is likely due to the influence of psychological factors on activity of neurons within the cardiac nerve plexus. Such influence includes (a) autonomic activity and sympathovagal balance (a major reaction component of emotion, and thus of emotional personality), (b) limbic-paralimbic modulation of efferent autonomic outflow to the heart, (c) hemispheric weighting of emotional activity and corresponding asymmetric autonomic outflow, as well as (d) central nervous system processes that regulate circulating hormones [108]. The exact mechanisms involved in psychological modulation of regional heart activity are yet unknown.

Notably, compared to personality questionnaires, the cardiac measure used in the present study does not face the problem of potential subjective bias such as socially desirable responding, inaccuracies in self-perception, self-favouring tendencies, self-deception, and moralistic bias [33–37] (although, on the other hand, this cardiac measure may well be influenced by biological factors unrelated to personality, as mentioned in the Introduction). Therefore, combinations of biomarkers such as the cardiac measure used in the present study, or similar cardiac indices [47], with standardized personality questionnaires might lead to a substantial improvement in the assessment of personality and in the investigation of neural correlates of emotional personality. To investigate a possible gain from combining questionnaire and ECG measures of personality, future studies could obtain data from healthy controls and from patients (e.g., from individuals with depression, or with a personality disorder such as schizoid personality disorder) and investigate whether the combination of NEO and ECG scores (as compared to using either only ECG or only NEO scores) significantly increases the discrimination of the two groups.

The functional significance of the hippocampal formation for emotional personality

The present results reveal a link between regional cardiac activity on the one hand, and both function as well as structure of the subiculum of the hippocampal formation on the other. Regional cardiac activity was reflected in the $E_x$ values, i.e., in the relations of ECG amplitudes recorded at different ECG leads (cf. Figure 1). It is unlikely that the relations of amplitudes as captured in $E_x$ are simply due to different levels of cardiovascular stress: body mass index (BMI) was in both groups within the normal range (mean BMI, averaged across all participants of Experiments 1 and 2, was 21.8 [SD = 2.9] in the group with higher, and 23.0 [SD = 3.0] in the group with lower $E_x$ values, with no significant difference between groups), and both groups consisted of young adults without cardiovascular disease. This also renders it likely that blood pressure did not differ between groups (similar to our previous study [46]), although blood pressure was not obtained in the present study. In addition, our previous investigations [46] indicated that $E_x$ values do not correlate with serum concentrations of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (and are thus not related to left-ventricular hypertrophy). Hence, the association between $E_x$ values and hippocampal activity does not appear to originate simply from different levels of cardiovascular stress. Instead, in light of the associations between $E_x$ values and personality measures observed in the present as well as in previous studies, the present data corroborate the notion that the hippocampal formation plays a role for emotional personality.

In cognitive neuroscience, the hippocampus is best known for its role for learning and memory [109], as well as for novelty and expectedness [110]. In addition, however, a plethora of evidence indicates that the hippocampus also plays an important role for emotional processes: For example, the hippocampus is substantially involved in the regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis stress response [111], and hippocampal neurons are uniquely vulnerable to emotional stressors [112]. Particularly the subiculum is substantially involved in the regulation of HPA axis activity, and profoundly affected by emotional stress [87]. The fact that the subiculum has dense connections to numerous hypothalamic nuclei (in addition to connections with many other subcortical and cortical structures) [87] makes it highly likely that the subiculum has modulatory influence on cardiac activity via endocrine and autonomic neurons located in the hypothalamus. Therefore, physiology lends plausibility to the notion that, in humans, emotional personality is at least in part associated with hippocampal activity, and that such activity modulates regional heart activity. The notion that the hippocampal formation is a neural substrate involved in tender emotion is consistent with the view that the hippocampal formation generates attachment-related affects that are perceived by humans as tender feelings [81]. Attachment-related behaviour includes kissing, caressing, hugging, softly touching, softly vocalizing, and in animals behaviours such as licking, grooming, nest-building, and pup retrieval. Several lines of evidence point to the involvement of the hippocampus in attachment-related emotions: (1) Lesions of the hippocampus lead to impairment of maternal behaviour in rats as indexed by less frequent and less efficient nursing, poorer nest building, increased maternal cannibalism, poorer pup retrieving, and fewer pups surviving to weaning [113]. On the other hand, increased pup licking, grooming and nursing by rat mothers leads to increased hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor messenger RNA expression in the offspring [114], influences hippocampal synaptic development in the offspring [115], and alters the offspring epigenome at a glucocorticoid receptor gene promoter in the hippocampus [116]. (2) The hippocampus is damaged by chronic emotional stressors, particularly by helplessness and despair [112]. The hippocampus is unique in its vulnerability to emotional stressors, and presumably the only brain structure in which severe emotional stress can lead to the loss of neurons (in addition to neuronal death, the volume reduction of the hippocampus in response to severe chronic emotional stress is due to reduced neurogenesis in the dentate
gyrus of the hippocampal formation). (3) Dysfunction and structural damage of this structure has been observed in individuals suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) such as Vietnam veterans who witnessed extreme violence or committed extremely violent acts [117]; similar findings have been reported for individuals that were sexually abused during childhood [118]. Moreover, both dysfunction and volume reduction of the hippocampal formation has been observed in depressive patients [119]. Our results show that even in healthy, non-clinical individuals, hippocampal volume and hippocampal activity is related to regional heart activity as reflected in $E_k$ values (and, thus, presumably to tender emotionality). Whether the group differences in hippocampal volume are due to (subclinical) trauma in individuals with low $E_k$, or due to use-dependent hippocampal plasticity in individuals with higher $E_k$, remains to be specified. (4) Numerous functional neuroimaging studies with healthy adults indicate signal changes in the hippocampal formation in response to pleasurable, joyful music [80]. This association between hippocampal activity and music-evoked feelings of joy has been linked in part to the social functions of music, such as communication, cooperation, and social cohesion, thus to functions that serve the formation of interindividual attachments.

Group differences in the hippocampal formation were observed in the right hemisphere, in both functional and structural experiments of the present study, as well as in two previous functional neuroimaging experiments [46]. As mentioned in the Introduction, the left and right hemispheres of the human forebrain have different associations with particular emotions and affective traits [57], probably due to an asymmetrical representation of homeostatic activity that originates from asymmetries in the peripheral autonomic nervous system [52,58,59]. Lesion studies indicate a right-hemispheric dominance for sympathetic efferent neuronal effects, and stimulation of the right anterior insular cortex elicits increases in heart rate and blood pressure (whereas left anterior insular stimulation decreases heart rate) [52,58,59]. Thus, the right-lateralization of hippocampal activity possibly originates from a more dynamic emotional activity involving increased sympathetic tone in individuals with high $E_k$. This notion is supported by two previous experiments showing higher heart-rate variability in individuals with high $E_k$ (compared to individuals with low $E_k$) [46,47], indicating a stronger tone of the sympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system in individuals with high $E_k$.

Limitations

Although the present results corroborate relations between $E_k$ and psychological (NEO) measures of personality (in both experiments, individuals with higher $E_k$ had higher extraversion scores, as in previous studies), it remains arguable to which degree $E_k$ is a valid measure of tender emotionality. This could, for example, be investigated further in studies that perform diagnostic interviews, conducted by independent raters, to test whether a classification based on $E_k$ corresponds to the interview-based classification of tender emotionality. Moreover, it has previously been noted [85] that in experiments with relatively small sample sizes (such as n = 22, as in our functional experiment), only a fraction of the effects that really exist might be detected, and that results might promote the illusion of highly selective activations. Thus, as noted above, the effects we observed in the functional experiment might not be as selective as observed, and there might be more potential results that have been missed due to the relatively small sample size.

Conclusions

This study has three main conclusions: First, our data show personality-characteristic computational hubs in the brain (identified using Eigenvector Centrality Mapping). Results substantiate previous studies reporting associations between agreeableness and function of the DLPFC, as well as between tender (positive) emotionality and (right) hippocampal function. In addition, they reveal an association between agreeableness and function of the ventral striatum (including the nucleus accumbens), possibly related to the rewarding nature of altruism and cooperation. Second, the structural data substantiate associations between neuroticism and amygdalar volume. Moreover, the structural data show an association between volume of the hippocampal formation and regional cardiac activity taken to reflect emotional personality. The latter association was revealed in virtually the same aspect of the right subiculum as in the functional data. Thus, along with previous reports on this issue, there is substantial convergence and replicability of functional and structural associations between a cardiac measure related to emotional personality and the hippocampal formation. This indicates a new role of the hippocampal formation in human emotional personality (in addition to its well-known role for cognition). Previous studies showed associations between structure and function of the hippocampal formation in clinical populations (such as patients with depression, PTSD, or borderline personality disorder). Our results indicate that in healthy individuals function and structure of the hippocampal formation is associated with human emotional personality. Third, results demonstrate that based on a cardiac measure, consistent and replicable results can be obtained in the analysis of functional as well as structural neuroimaging data, in addition to replicable associations between this cardiac measure and extraversion (and, in part, positive emotion) as obtained with the NEO inventory. This substantiates that ECG amplitude relations are useful biomarkers for effects of emotional personality on regional heart activity. The replicability and consistency of results obtained with the cardiac index used in the present study (as compared with results obtained using personality questionnaires) motivates further investigation of the cardiac indices of personality, and the combination of psychological and physiological measures in the assessment of emotional personality.
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