Antagonistic actions of two human Pan3 isoforms on global mRNA turnover
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ABSTRACT
Deadenylation is a fundamental process that regulates eukaryotic gene expression. Mammalian deadenylation exhibits biphasic kinetics, with the Pan2–Pan3 and Ccr4–Caf1 deadenylase complexes mediating the first and second phase, respectively; however, the significance of the biphasic nature of deadenylation in mRNA turnover remains unclear. In this study, we discovered that two distinct isoforms of human Pan3 display opposing properties necessary for coordinating the two phases of deadenylation. The shorter isoform (Pan3S) interacts more strongly with PABP than the longer isoform (Pan3L) does. Pan2 deadenylase activity is enhanced by Pan3S but suppressed by Pan3L. Knocking down individual Pan3 isoforms has opposing effects on the global poly(A) tail length profile, P-body formation, and different mRNA decay pathways. Transcriptome-wide analysis of Pan3 knockdown effects on mRNA turnover shows that depleting either Pan3 isoform causes profound and extensive changes in mRNA stability globally. These results reveal a new fundamental step governing mammalian mRNA metabolism. We propose that the first phase of deadenylation, coordinated through the interplay among the two Pan3 isoforms, Pan2, and PABP, represents a cytoplasmic mRNA maturation step important for proper mRNA turnover.
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INTRODUCTION
Deadenylation, the removal of poly(A) tails from the 3′ end of mRNAs, is the step that triggers mRNA degradation in eukaryotes (for review, see Chen and Shyu 2011; Wahle and Winkler 2013). One consequence of deadenylation is formation of nontranslatable mRNA–protein complexes (mRNPs), which may aggregate in the cytoplasm to form RNA-processing bodies (P-bodies) in which the mRNAs are sequestered or degraded (for review, see Parker and Sheth 2007; Chen and Shyu 2013). Thus, deadenylation and its regulation play a critical role in controlling gene expression.

Mammalian deadenylation exhibits biphasic kinetics (Yamashita et al. 2005). In the first phase, the 3′ poly(A) tails are shortened to ~110–150 nt by the Pan2–Pan3 complex, where Pan2 is the catalytic subunit and Pan3 is the regulatory factor. In the second phase, a complex containing the Ccr4 and Caf1 poly(A) nucleases further shortens the poly(A) tails to ~10–60 nt (Yamashita et al. 2005). The observations that the miRNA–RISC complex accelerates deadenylation by recruiting both the Pan2–Pan3 and Ccr4–Caf1 deadenylase complexes (Chen et al. 2009; Fabian et al. 2009; Braun et al. 2011; Chekulaeva et al. 2011) further underscore the importance of the two-pronged deadenylation in mRNA metabolism. Nevertheless, relatively little is known about the biological significance of the biphasic nature of deadenylation involving two deadenylase complexes or about the regulation of the overall process in mammalian cells. Previous studies using individual reporter mRNAs showed that compromising Pan2–Pan3 deadenylase activity only modestly affects deadenylation (Boeck et al. 1996; Brown et al. 1996; Tucker et al. 2001; Mangus et al. 2004; Yamashita et al. 2005), suggesting that Ccr4–Caf1–Not complex may play a major role in eukaryotic mRNA deadenylation (Chen and Shyu 2011; Wahle and Winkler 2013). This raised a critical issue concerning the functional significance of the first-phase deadenylation and the involvement of the Pan2–Pan3 complex in mRNA metabolism.

Through its interaction with the cytoplasmic poly(A)-binding protein C1 (PABP) (Brown et al. 1996; Mangus et al. 2004; Uchida et al. 2004), Pan3 helps determine the poly(A) substrate specificity of Pan2 (Brown et al. 1996; Mangus et al. 2004; Uchida et al. 2004; Huang et al. 2013). Pan3 also modulates Pan2 enzymatic activity (Jonas et al. 2017). This article is distributed exclusively by the RNA Society for the first 12 months after the full-issue publication date (see http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml). After 12 months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.
RESULTS

Two human Pan3 isoforms exhibit different PABP-interacting ability

In the process of cloning human Pan3 cDNA, we identified two distinct Pan3 isoforms (Fig. 1A). The longer Pan3 isoform (Pan3L) contains a 55-amino acid segment that is
absent in the shorter Pan3 isoform (Pan3S). This Pan3L-specific segment is located immediately upstream of the PABP-interacting motif PAM2 in the N-terminal domain. RT-PCR analysis confirmed the presence of two major Pan3 transcripts in various human cell lines (Fig. 1B). Multiple sequence alignment analysis using ClustalX (Larkin et al. 2007) reveals that these two isoforms are highly conserved among warm-blooded vertebrates (Supplemental Fig. S1).

As Pan3 is a PABP-interacting protein (Brown et al. 1996; Uchida et al. 2004; Huang et al. 2013) that regulates Pan2 activity (Brown et al. 1996; Mangus et al. 2004; Uchida et al. 2004; Huang et al. 2013; Jonas et al. 2014; Schäfer et al. 2014; Wolf and Passmore 2014; Wolf et al. 2014), we conducted co-IP experiments to analyze the two Pan3 isoforms’ ability to interact with PABP or Pan2. The results show that HA-Pan3S pulls down much more endogenous PABP than HA-Pan3L does in both mouse NIH3T3 cells and human BEAS-2B cells (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. S2A). Consistently, when HA-Pan3S and HA-Pan3L were coexpressed with myc-PABP, myc-PABP exhibited stronger interaction with Pan3S than with Pan3L (Fig. 1D). On the other hand, both Pan3 isoforms have a similar ability to pull down endogenous or V5-tagged Pan2 (Fig. 1C, E,F; Supplemental Fig. S2B) or other Pan3 molecules (Fig. 1G). Together, we conclude that these two Pan3 isoforms exhibit different PABP-interacting ability, with Pan3S-PABP interaction being stronger than the Pan3L-PABP interaction.

**N-terminal domain is critical for the interaction of Pan3 with PABP**

To study the contribution of each Pan3 domain to the interactions of Pan3 isoforms with PABP and Pan2, we created a series of domain truncation mutants of both Pan3 isoforms (Fig. 2A) for co-IP experiments using both NIH3T3 and BEAS-2B cells. The data show that Pan3 mutant lacking the N-terminal domain (ΔN) hardly pulls down any endogenous PABP (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. S3).

**FIGURE 2.** Effects of Pan3 domain truncations on Pan3–PABP and Pan3–Pan2 interactions. (A) Schematic diagram showing various domain truncations of Pan3S and Pan3L. (B,C) Coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP) and Western blot analyses showing the effects of Pan3 domain truncations on HA–Pan3 isoforms’ abilities to pull down endogenous PABP and Pan2. NIH3T3 cells were transfected with plasmids coding for the indicated epitope-tagged proteins. GAPDH served as the negative control for co-IP. The amount of each “Input” sample loaded onto the gel was 5% of the amount of the corresponding lysate used for IP.
Truncating either one of the pseudokinase or C-terminal domains (ΔK or ΔC) appreciably compromised the PABP-binding ability of Pan3 (Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. S3), although not as much as the effect caused by Pan3 ΔN mutant (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. S3). These results indicate that the three domains are all involved in the interaction between Pan3 and PABP. Intriguingly, the N-terminal domain alone (L N- or S N-domain) pulls down a similar amount of PABP as the respective WT Pan3 isoform does (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. S3), whereas the pseudokinase domain and C-terminal domain (either alone or together) could barely interact with PABP (Fig. 2B,C; Supplemental Fig. S3; K-domain, C-domain, or ΔN). Thus, among the three domains, the N-terminal domain is the only one that is sufficient to fully support the PABP-binding activity of Pan3. Together, these results indicate that the N-terminal domain is critical for Pan3 to interact with PABP, and that crosstalk among the three Pan3 domains can influence the Pan3–PABP interaction.

The Pan3 mutant lacking the N-terminal domain remained capable of pulling down Pan2 (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. S3; ΔN). In contrast, truncating either the C-terminal domain or the pseudokinase domain abolished the interaction of Pan3 with Pan2 (Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. S3; ΔC or ΔK). None of the individual Pan3 domains alone can pull down Pan2 (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S3; L N-, S N-, K-, or C-domain). These results demonstrate that both pseudokinase and C-terminal domains are necessary for Pan2–Pan3 interaction and that these two Pan3 domains together are sufficient to interact with Pan2. Moreover, the observation that the Pan3 ΔN mutant pulls down less Pan2 than the WT Pan3 does in BEAS-2B cells (Supplemental Fig. S3) indicates that the N-terminal domain of Pan3, albeit not required for Pan2-binding, can also influence the Pan3–Pan2 interaction.

**Pan3L and Pan3S have opposite effects on Pan2 deadenylase activity in vivo**

Because of the intrinsically disordered nature of the Pan3 N-terminal domain, purification of full-length recombinant Pan3 proteins for in vitro studies has not been successful (Uchida et al. 2004; Siddiqui et al. 2007; Christie et al. 2013; Jonas et al. 2014; Schäfer et al. 2014; Wolf et al. 2014; CYA Chen and AB Shyu unpubl.). In light of our finding that Pan3L and Pan3S display different PABP-binding activities (Fig. 1C,D; Supplemental Fig. S2), we set up a cell-based deadenylation assay (Supplemental Fig. S4) to test the effects of the two Pan3 isoforms on Pan2 deadenylase activity in vivo. β-Globin mRNA constitutively expressed from transfected plasmid DNA in the cells was used as a reporter transcript because it exhibits default slow deadenylation in NIH3T3 cells, which makes any change in its deadenylation kinetics readily discerned (Shyu et al. 1991; Xu et al. 1998). Northern blot analysis showed that Pan2 overexpression reduced the population of β-globin mRNA with a longer poly(A) tail and concomitantly increased the amount of β-globin mRNA with a shorter 3′ poly(A) tail (Fig. 3A, cf. lanes 1 and 2), consistent with our previous observation that overexpression of Pan2 promotes rapid poly(A) shortening (Yamashita et al. 2005). When Pan2 and Pan3S were coexpressed, the accumulation of β-globin mRNA with a shorter poly(A) tail was further enhanced (Fig. 3A, cf. lanes 2 and 4), indicating that Pan3S enhances the deadenylase activity of Pan2. Intriguingly, when Pan2 and Pan3L were coexpressed, there was no accumulation of β-globin mRNA with either very long or very short poly(A) tails (Fig. 3A, lane 3); instead, mRNAs with an intermediate size of ~150 nt were detected. These results suggest that Pan3L prevents Pan2 from working into the second phase of deadenylation. We obtained similar results using either mouse NIH3T3 or human BEAS-2B cells (Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. S5).

To further investigate the effects of overexpressing Pan3L or Pan3S on the two phases of deadenylation, we used a transcription pulsing approach (Xu et al. 1998; Chen et al. 2008) to monitor deadenylation of newly synthesized mRNAs that are fairly homogenous in their poly(A) tail length (Fig. 3B). β-Globin mRNA transcription driven by the Tet-off promoter was transiently induced for 0.5 h in the cells. The results show that overexpression of Pan2 shortens newly synthesized β-globin mRNAs (Fig. 3B, cf. lanes 1 and 2). Coexpressing Pan3S and Pan2 resulted in an accumulation of mRNAs with a shorter poly(A) tail (Fig. 3B, lane 4). When Pan3L and Pan2 were coexpressed, β-globin mRNAs carrying poly(A) tails with intermediate length accumulated (Fig. 3B, lane 3). These results further substantiate that Pan3L and Pan3S exhibit different effects on Pan2 deadenylase activity in cells, with Pan3S enhancing the nuclease activity of Pan2 and Pan3L preventing Pan2 from working into the second phase of deadenylation, which is normally catalyzed by the Caf1–Ccr4 complex (Yamashita et al. 2005).

Using the transcriptional pulsing approach, we then tested the effects of the N-terminal domain alone or deletion of either one of the three domains, which compromised the binding ability of Pan3 with Pan2 and/or PABP, on Pan2 deadenylase activity (Fig. 3C,D). The results showed that these mutations not only compromise the ability of Pan3S to enhance Pan2 deadenylase activity (Fig. 3C, cf. lanes 4–7 and lane 3) but appear to exhibit a dominant-negative effect on Pan2-mediated deadenylation as well (Fig. 3C, cf. lanes 4–7 and lane 2). On the other hand, the Pan3L mutants remain having negative effects on Pan2 deadenylase activity (Fig. 3D, cf. lanes 4–7 and lane 2). Given that the Pan2-mediated deadenylation enhancing function of Pan3S was compromised when the interaction of Pan3S with PABP or Pan2 was diminished or abolished (Figs. 2, 3C), the Pan3L mutants may cause the negative effects on Pan2 deadenylase activity similarly to the Pan3S mutants (i.e., through routes different from that used by the WT Pan3L to impact Pan2 activity).
Together, we conclude that Pan3L and Pan3S have distinct effects on Pan2 deadenylase activity and that both Pan3–PABP and Pan3–Pan2 interactions are critical for regulating the Pan2-mediated deadenylation in vivo.

Pan3L and Pan3S have different impacts on global mRNA deadenylation

As Pan3L and Pan3S differentially modulated the deadenylase activity of Pan2 (Fig. 3; Supplemental Figs. S4, S5), we used a poly(A) length-distribution profile analysis (Fig. 4A) to check the impact of Pan3 isoforms on poly(A) shortening of the entire cellular mRNA population (Fig. 4B). The results showed that at the steady state the poly(A) length profile of mRNAs extracted from the control cells exhibited two major groups (Fig. 4B, lane 1; peak 1 and peak 2), resulting from the first and second phases of deadenylation, respectively. Pan2 overexpression led to an appreciable decrease of peak 1 with a concomitant increase in peak 2 (Fig. 4B, lane 2), consistent with the observation that excess Pan2 promotes rapid poly(A) shortening (also see Fig. 3; Yamashita et al. 2005). When Pan3L and Pan2 were coexpressed, there was an appreciable increase in peak 1 and a decrease in peak 2 (Fig. 4B, lane 3). In contrast, coexpressing Pan3S and Pan2 resulted in the loss of peak 1 (Fig. 4B, lane 4). These observations support that Pan3S acts as a positive regulator, globally enhancing Pan2-mediated poly(A) shortening, whereas Pan3L acts as a global negative regulator, not allowing Pan2 deadenylase to take mRNAs through the second phase of deadenylation.

We then took a complementary approach to investigate the role of Pan3 isoforms in regulating global mRNA deadenylation by knocking down individual endogenous Pan3 isoforms (Fig. 4C,D) and examining the effects on the poly(A) length-distribution profile of the entire mRNA population (Fig. 4E). The results showed that depleting Pan3S or both Pan3 isoforms not only increased the accumulation and poly(A) length of peak 1, but also reduced the intensity of peak 2 (Fig. 4E, cf. lane 2 or 3 and lane 1), indicating a general impairment of initiating deadenylation in the absence of Pan3S isoform. On the other hand, knocking down Pan3L reduced the overall intensity of both peaks 1 and 2 (Fig. 4E, cf. lanes 2 or 3 and lane 1), indicating a general impairment of initiating deadenylation in the absence of Pan3S isoform. On the other hand, knocking down Pan3L reduced the overall intensity of both peaks 1 and 2 (Fig. 4E, cf. lanes 2 or 3 and lane 1), indicating a general impairment of initiating deadenylation in the absence of Pan3S isoform.

FIGURE 3. Effects of Pan3 isoforms and their domain truncations on Pan2 deadenylase activity in vivo. NIH3T3 cells were transfected with a plasmid coding for HA-Pan2 alone or together with a plasmid coding for one of the HA-tagged WT or truncated Pan3 isoforms. β-Globin mRNA constitutively expressed (A) or transiently induced (B–D) in the same cells served as a reporter transcript. Total cytoplasmic RNA was extracted for Northern blot analysis to check poly(A) size distribution of the β-globin reporter mRNA (upper panels of A,B; upper left panels of C,D). Poly(A)+ RNA samples were prepared with oligo(dT) and RNase H treatment. Levels of the indicated HA-tagged proteins were visualized by Western blot analysis (lower panels of A,B; lower left panels of C,D). The poly(A) size distribution profiles (middle panels of A,B; right panels of C,D) were obtained by scanning each lane of the Northern blots using ImageJ software. Red arrows (A,B) highlight changes of the poly(A) size distribution profile. Red and blue dashed lines (C,D) serve as a reference to compare the effects caused by different Pan3 domain truncations on the poly(A) size distribution.
Pan3L and Pan3S have different roles in relation to P-bodies

The dynamics of P-body formation indicate that mRNP remodeling or a change in its constituents is a constant event (Eulalio et al. 2007; Parker and Sheth 2007; Zheng et al. 2011). Previously, we showed that deadenylation is required for P-body formation and that knocking down endogenous Pan3 decreased the number of P-bodies in NIH3T3 cells (Zheng et al. 2008). Thus, it is possible that when the functions of the two human Pan3 isoforms in mRNA deadenylation and decay (see below) are disrupted, it may compromise proper cytoplasmic mRNP remodeling as manifested by changes in P-body dynamics and constituents. Indeed, a recent study using human U2OS cells identified a distinct subclass of P-bodies (GW/P-bodies) containing a unique GW protein splice variant GW220 (Castilla-Llorente et al. 2012). This raised an interesting question as to whether the individual Pan3 isoforms have distinct roles in GW/P-body formation. To address these questions, we performed indirect immunofluorescence microscopy using human U2OS cells with knockdown of each or both Pan3 isoforms by corresponding siRNAs (Fig. 5).

The results show that in control knockdown cells, P-bodies can be detected either by an antiserum targeting general TNRC6 family proteins or by an antibody recognizing another P-body marker, Rck/p54 (Fig. 5A; control KD). Anti-GW220 antibody only detects a subset of the P-bodies containing TNRC6 family proteins (Fig. 5B, control KD), consistent with the observation that not all P-bodies contain GW220 protein (Castilla-Llorente et al. 2012). It was striking that P-bodies (with and without GW220) were barely detected when Pan3S was depleted (Fig. 5; Pan3S KD or Pan3S+L KD), indicating that Pan3S is required for overall P-body formation. Knocking down Pan3L alone slightly reduced the number of TNRC6-positive P-bodies (Fig. 5A and upper panel of Fig. 5B; cf. Pan3L KD and control KD) but greatly increased the number of GW220-positive P-bodies (Fig. 5B; compare the middle panels of Pan3L KD and control KD). Nearly all of the P-bodies in the Pan3L-depleted cells were GW220-positive (Fig. 5B; Pan3L KD; compare upper and middle panels). Similar results were obtained using BEAS-2B cells (Supplemental Fig. S6). Collectively, the data indicate that the two Pan3 isoforms play distinct roles in P-body formation and dynamics. Pan3S is required for formation of P-bodies in general. On the other hand, Pan3L differentially regulates the formation of GW220-containing P-bodies and general P-bodies with a negative and positive effect, respectively. The results are
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The results show that in control knockdown cells, P-bodies can be detected either by an antiserum targeting general TNRC6 family proteins or by an antibody recognizing another P-body marker, Rck/p54 (Fig. 5A; control KD). Anti-GW220 antibody only detects a subset of the P-bodies containing TNRC6 family proteins (Fig. 5B, control KD), consistent with the observation that not all P-bodies contain GW220 protein (Castilla-Llorente et al. 2012). It was striking that P-bodies (with and without GW220) were barely detected when Pan3S was depleted (Fig. 5; Pan3S KD or Pan3S+L KD), indicating that Pan3S is required for overall P-body formation. Knocking down Pan3L alone slightly reduced the number of TNRC6-positive P-bodies (Fig. 5A and upper panel of Fig. 5B; cf. Pan3L KD and control KD) but greatly increased the number of GW220-positive P-bodies (Fig. 5B; compare the middle panels of Pan3L KD and control KD). Nearly all of the P-bodies in the Pan3L-depleted cells were GW220-positive (Fig. 5B; Pan3L KD; compare upper and middle panels). Similar results were obtained using BEAS-2B cells (Supplemental Fig. S6). Collectively, the data indicate that the two Pan3 isoforms play distinct roles in P-body formation and dynamics. Pan3S is required for formation of P-bodies in general. On the other hand, Pan3L differentially regulates the formation of GW220-containing P-bodies and general P-bodies with a negative and positive effect, respectively. The results are
also consistent with the notion that compromising either Pan3 isoform functions greatly impacts cytoplasmic mRNP remodeling and thus likely subsequent decay of mRNA following deadenylation.

Pan3 isoforms have distinct effects on ARE- and miRNA-mediated mRNA decay pathways

To investigate the roles of Pan3 isoforms in regulating mRNA decay, we performed time-course experiments using the Tet-off driven transcription pulse-chase approach and Northern blot analysis (Chen et al. 2008). We monitored mRNA decay kinetics of the β-globin mRNA carrying an AU-rich element (BBB+ARE) (Chen and Shyu 1995) or three consecutive copies of miRNA let-7 binding sites (BBB+3xlet7) in human BEAS-2B cells (Chen et al. 2007) transfected with siRNAs targeting either or both Pan3 isoforms; the stable β-globin (BBB) mRNA served as a control (Fig. 6A). As expected, the BBB+ARE and BBB+3xlet7 transcripts decayed much faster than BBB mRNA (Fig. 6A–C, cf. upper left panels). Pan3L knockdown greatly accelerated the already rapid decay of the BBB+ARE transcript (Fig. 6B). On the other hand, Pan3S knockdown slightly stabilized the BBB+ARE mRNA (Fig. 6B). When both Pan3 isoforms were knocked down, a combination of the effects caused by knocking down individual Pan3 isoforms was observed (Fig. 6B). Together, these results indicate that the two Pan3 isoforms have different, and complex, roles in regulating the ARE-mediated mRNA decay pathway.

The effects of knocking down Pan3 isoforms on miRNA-mediated mRNA decay (Fig. 6C) are different from those found with ARE-mediated decay (Fig. 6B). The decay of BBB+3xlet7 mRNA was slowed down when either Pan3L or Pan3S was knocked down, with Pan3S knockdown having a more dramatic stabilizing effect (Fig. 6C). Knocking down both Pan3 isoforms had an additive stabilizing effect (Fig. 6C). Thus, the two Pan3 isoforms have independent positive effects on miRNA-mediated mRNA decay, with Pan3S being more potent than Pan3L. Dual luciferase assay using renilla luciferase mRNA carrying three let-7 binding sites in the 3′UTR (RL+3xlet7) as a reporter showed that the effects of Pan3 isoform knockdowns on the levels of renilla luciferase activities (Fig. 6D) are consistent with that caused by the corresponding knockdowns on miRNA-mediated mRNA decay (Fig. 6C). Taken together, our data demonstrate that knocking down Pan3L or Pan3S can have differential effects on different mRNA decay pathways.

Transcriptome-wide effects of knocking down Pan3 isoforms on mRNA stability

To further extend the above findings, we examined the roles of the Pan3 isoforms on global mRNA turnover by performing mRNA half-life profiling analysis across the transcriptome of human U2OS cells with and without depleting
individual Pan3 isoforms (Fig. 7A). High-depth RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was carried out on cell samples collected at various times after transcription was inhibited by actinomycin D (Supplemental Table S1). As a subset of the transcripts was markedly enriched over time, particularly when Pan3S was knocked down, we focused on analyzing transcripts whose decay followed first-order kinetics and thus were amenable to half-life evaluation (Supplemental Table S2).

A heat map illustrating mRNA stability profiles across the transcriptome (Supplemental Table S3) shows that extensive changes in mRNA turnover were observed upon knocking down either one or both Pan3 isoforms (Fig. 7B, compare each knockdown with Ctrl KD). Pan3L knockdown (Fig. 7B, L KD) stabilizes a number of transcripts but destabilizes others. In contrast, knocking down Pan3S, either alone (Fig. 7B, S KD) or together with Pan3L (Fig. 7B, S+L KD), leads predominantly to stabilization for a large number of transcripts. Combined scatter and density plots (Fig. 7C) derived from the transcriptome data (Supplemental Table S2) show that both stabilization and destabilization effects are apparent for Pan3L knockdown (Fig. 7C, left), with slightly more transcripts being destabilized (Fig. 7C, left, compare the colored areas below and above the dashed red line). On the other hand, stabilized mRNAs greatly outnumber destabilized transcripts as long as Pan3S is knocked down (Fig. 7C, middle and right). Further, the magnitude of the stabilizing effect on individual transcripts is much larger with Pan3S...
knockdown than with Pan3L knockdown (Fig. 7C; compare S KD t½ or S+L KD t½ with L KD t½).

To gain further insight into the differential effects of Pan3 isoform knockdowns on global mRNA turnover, we also examined the transcriptome data (Supplemental Table S2) using circular plots generated with CIRCOS software (Hu et al. 2014). The results (Fig. 7D) show that most of the transcripts with a half-life of <4 h under control knockdown conditions are stabilized upon Pan3L knockdown (Fig. 7D, left, blue lines in the area of ctrl t½ = 0–4 h). For transcripts with a half-life of 4–8 h under control conditions, both stabilization and destabilization effects of knocking down Pan3L are readily detected (Fig. 7D, left, blue or red lines in the area of ctrl t½ = 4–8 h). Most transcripts with a half-life over 8 h under control conditions are destabilized upon Pan3L knockdown (Fig. 7D, left, red lines in the area of ctrl t½ = 8–12 h). On the other hand, as long as Pan3S is knocked down (Fig. 7D, middle and right), transcripts with a half-life of <6 h under control conditions are largely stabilized (see the blue bundles across the circles in Fig. 7D, middle and right, ctrl t½ = 0–6 h), whereas very modest stabilization or destabilization effect was observed for mRNAs with a half-life over 6 h under control conditions (Fig. 7D, middle and right, ctrl t½ = 6–12 h). Taken together, our data show that loss of function of Pan3L or Pan3S exhibits different molecular phenotypes. A profound global mRNA stabilization effect is caused as long as Pan3S is knocked down, whereas Pan3L knockdown results in stabilization of relatively unstable transcripts but destabilization of relatively stable transcripts across the transcriptome.

Transcriptome-wide effects of knocking down Pan3 isoforms on different mRNA decay pathways

In light of the finding that knocking down Pan3 isoforms differentially influences decay of mRNAs carrying an ARE- or miRNA-binding sites (Fig. 6), we performed further bioinformatics analysis using the RNA-seq data sets (Supplemental Table S2) to study the effects of Pan3 isoform depletions across the transcriptome on three mRNA decay pathways: ARE-mediated decay, miRNA-mediated decay, and nonsense-mediated decay (NMD). We applied the AREScore algorithm (Spasic et al. 2012), which identifies ARE-containing mRNAs and provides a numerical assessment of the strength of individual AREs, to check the average ARE richness and strength of the transcripts whose decay was affected by knocking down the Pan3 isoforms (Fig. 7A). The results show that the transcripts that are destabilized by at least twofold (t½ fold ≤0.5) upon Pan3L depletion had a mean ARE score of 6.1, whereas stabilized
transcripts \( (t_{1/2} \text{ fold} > 1.0) \) had a mean ARE score of 2.8 (Fig. 8A). This difference is highly significant \( (P = 2.21 \times 10^{-16}) \), considering that the mean ARE score for human mRNA transcriptome is 3.8 (Spasic et al. 2012). These observations indicate that Pan3L knockdown selectively boosts the decay of ARE-containing mRNAs, which is consistent with our Northern blot analysis showing that rapid decay of BBB + ARE mRNA is enhanced upon Pan3L knockdown (Fig. 6B).

The transcripts that are stabilized \( (t_{1/2} \text{ fold} > 1.0) \) upon knocking down Pan3S or both isoforms also have a mean ARE score higher than that of destabilized transcripts \( (t_{1/2} \text{ fold} \leq 0.5) \) (Fig. 8B,C), although the differences were not as dramatic as with Pan3L knockdown (Fig. 8A). These results indicate that depletion of Pan3S or both isoforms does not particularly impede the ARE-mediated decay pathway. In other words, the data suggest that knocking down Pan3S or both isoforms has a stabilizing effect on global mRNA in general. This is consistent with the observation that depletion of Pan3S or both isoforms also has a stabilization effect on BBB+3xlet7 (Fig. 6C). Moreover, bioinformatics analysis of miRNA-binding site enrichment across the transcriptome shows that the mRNAs stabilized by Pan3 knockdown are not particularly enriched in miRNA-binding sites (Supplemental Fig. S7), indicating that knocking down Pan3S or both isoforms does not particularly impede the miRNA-mediated decay pathway either. Together, these results support that knocking down Pan3S or both isoforms has a general stabilization effect on global mRNA decay.

Previously, we have shown that compromising the Pan2–Pan3 deadenylase activity impedes the rapid decay of a nonsense-codon containing mRNA (Yamashita et al. 2005; Zheng et al. 2008). To evaluate the effects of Pan3 isoform depletions on the NMD pathway across the transcriptome, we first identified 121 genes appearing in both a high-confidence NMD substrates list (Lou et al. 2016) and the list of genes whose transcripts followed first-order decay kinetics under each knockdown condition (Supplemental Table S2). Our bioinformatics analysis shows that the average half-life of the 121 transcripts is significantly increased as long as Pan3S is depleted, indicative of a compromise of the NMD pathway (Fig. 8D). In contrast, little effect on the stability of these NMD substrates was observed when Pan3L was knocked down (Fig. 8D).

Together, the results of both Northern blot (Fig. 6) and the transcriptome-wide bioinformatics analyses (Figs. 7, 8A–D; Supplemental Fig. S7) demonstrate that knocking down either Pan3 isoforms differentially impacts different mRNA decay pathways across the transcriptome. We conclude that both Pan3 isoforms play critical roles in controlling global mRNA turnover in mammalian cells.

**Effects of Pan3 isoform knockdowns on cell proliferation**

To examine the functional categories of genes affected by Pan3 isoform depletions, we used GATHER (Chang and Nevins 2006) to look for enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms for the genes whose transcripts are stabilized by at least threefold under each knockdown condition (Supplemental Table S2). We identified 592 or 779 transcripts that meet the criteria when knocking down Pan3S or both isoforms, respectively, and only 43 genes whose transcripts are stabilized by at least threefold upon Pan3L knockdown. GATHER analysis of the 43 transcripts stabilized by Pan3L knockdown does not yield any significant results in GO term enrichment analysis. However, in the case of knocking down Pan3S or both isoforms, among the top three to six functional categories, most associated terms are all related to cell cycle and
cell proliferation (Supplemental Fig. S8, Gene Ontology). We then used GATHER to identify the most common transcription factor-binding sites among these genes. It is striking that the most significant hits are matrices for the E2F-1 transcription factor (Supplemental Fig. S8, TRANSFAC), which is known to control gene expression involved in cell cycle progression (DeGregori and Johnson 2006). Finally, we used GATHER for pathway analysis and found that the top three to four hits are all related to cell cycle and cell proliferation (Supplemental Fig. S8, KEGG Pathway).

In light of the above findings (Supplemental Fig. S8), we performed a cell proliferation assay to check the effects of depleting Pan3 isoforms on cell growth (Fig. 8E). The results show that depletion of Pan3S or both isoforms enhances the cell growth of U2OS cells, whereas knocking down Pan3L has little effect (Fig. 8E). Collectively, unbiased bioinformatics analyses together with cell proliferation assay reveal a new link between the effects of depleting Pan3 isoforms on the stability of cell proliferation-related mRNAs and the changes in cell growth under the corresponding Pan3 knockdown conditions.

DISCUSSION

Two decades have passed since Pan3 was first discovered in yeast (Brown et al. 1996), but our understanding of this highly conserved deadenylation factor, particularly its role in mRNA turnover, remains rudimentary. Mammalian deadenylation exhibits biphasic kinetics with Pan2–Pan3 and Ccr4–Caf1 deadenylase complexes mediating the first and second phases, respectively (Yamashita et al. 2005). Previous studies using individual reporter mRNAs showed that compromising Pan2–Pan3 deadenylase activity only modestly affects deadenylation (Boeck et al. 1996; Brown et al. 1996; Tucker et al. 2001; Mangus et al. 2004; Yamashita et al. 2005), raising the critical issues concerning the functional significance of the first-phase deadenylation and the involvement of the Pan2–Pan3 complex in mRNA metabolism. The findings of our present study shed new light on these important issues. In this study, we have discovered and functionally characterized two distinct Pan3 isoforms (Table 1), both of which are evolutionarily conserved among warm-blooded vertebrates (Supplemental Fig. S1). Our results reveal a previously unsuspected principle that governs mRNA turnover in eukaryotes.

Our transcriptome-based approach and bioinformatics analysis reveal that knocking down either one or both Pan3 isoforms has a profound effect on global mRNA stability in mammalian cells (Fig. 7). These findings are of particular significance as they reveal that when Pan3 is depleted, even though deadenylation may still proceed through Ccr4–Caf1 action (Tucker et al. 2001; Yamashita et al. 2005; Chen and Shyu 2011; Wahle and Winkler 2013), subsequent decay of mRNA across the transcriptome is drastically impacted. One important implication is that the first phase of deadenylation may represent a critical mRNP remodeling step that sets an intrinsic degradation rate for mRNAs. Therefore, disrupting the first phase of deadenylation may cause appreciable changes in mRNP composition, thereby compromising proper functions of several mRNA decay pathways, including ARE-mediated decay, miRNA-mediated decay, and NMD (Figs. 6, 8A–D). This interpretation is consistent with our findings that knockdown of Pan3 isoforms greatly affects P-body and GW/P-body dynamics (Fig. 5), indicative of changes in the structures and/or constituents of mRNPs (Sheth and Parker 2006; Franks and Lykke-Andersen 2008; Zheng et al. 2008; Aizer et al. 2014). One possibility is that depending on the involvement of Pan3 isoforms, mRNPs undergoing decay through individual turnover pathways may be remodeled in different manners. When the first phase of deadenylation is disrupted, mRNPs may be fundamentally altered; as a result, formation of aberrant mRNPs leads to changes in both P-body dynamics and mRNA decay (Figs. 5 and 6).

Based on the generally opposing characteristics of Pan3S and Pan3L (Table 1), we envisage the following scenario as a possibility concerning how the biphasic deadenylation and subsequent decay of mRNA may be coordinated through the interplay among Pan2, PABP, and the two Pan3 isoforms in mammalian cells (Supplemental Fig. S9). Given that Pan2

| Characteristic | S-isoform (75 kDa) | L-isoform (80 kDa) |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Inclusion of the 55-amino acid segment | No | Yes |
| Interaction with cytoplasmic PABP | Strong | Weak |
| Effect on Pan2 deadenylase activity | Enhances | Decreases |
| Effect on global mRNA deadenylation | Enhances | Decreases |
| Subcellular distribution after Leptomycin B treatment | Remains in cytoplasm | Relocalizes to nucleus |
| Effect of knockdown on P-bodies | Loss of total and GW-220 containing P-bodies | Decrease of total P-bodies but increase in GW-220 containing P-bodies |
| Effect of knockdown on global mRNA decay | Extensive and profound stabilization across the transcriptome | Extensively altered mRNA stability across the transcriptome |
and Pan3L are both shuttling proteins (Yamashita et al. 2005) but Pan3S is not (Supplemental Fig. S10), we propose that Pan3L may associate with Pan2 in the nucleus and inhibit Pan2 deadenylase activity. As several recent structural and in vitro studies (Christie et al. 2013; Jonas et al. 2014; Schäfer et al. 2014; Wolf et al. 2014) showed that Pan3 is dimeric when complexed with Pan2, Pan3S may associate with Pan2–Pan3L complex via dimerization with Pan3L in the cytoplasm. Through interaction between Pan3S and PABP, Pan2–Pan3 forms a complex with PABP associated with the mRNA 3′ poly(A) tail. One could also imagine that Pan3 isoforms may form hetero- or homodimers, leading to changes in the conformation of the Pan2–Pan3–Pan3–PABP complex and affecting the levels of Pan2 deadenylase activity. When a conformation of the complex allows Pan3S to activate Pan2, deadenylation of the mRNA is enhanced (Supplemental Fig. S9). After the poly(A) tail is shortened to 110–150 nt by Pan2, a conformational change of the complex may occur to allow Pan3L to inactivate Pan2 and conclude the first phase of deadenylation (Supplemental Fig. S9). By the end of the first phase of deadenylation, critical mRNP remodeling occurs to ensure a proper engagement of subsequent steps in the cytoplasm (Supplemental Fig. S9). It should be noted that one caveat to the above scenario involving Pan3 dimerization based on the structural studies is that a large portion of the Pan3 N-terminal domain, including the PAM2 motif and the 55-residue segment present in the Pan3L, was deleted from the Pan3 constructs used for the structural studies (Christie et al. 2013; Jonas et al. 2014; Schäfer et al. 2014; Wolf et al. 2014). Our data (Figs. 2, 3C, D) clearly indicate an indispensable role of the Pan3 N-terminal domain in modulating Pan3–PABP interaction and Pan2 deadenylase function. Future cocrys tallization of the complex consisting of Pan2, PABP, and full-length Pan3S and/or Pan3L should provide important insight into the mechanism by which Pan3 acts to regulate Pan2 deadenylase activity.

In summary, the present results provide new mechanistic insights into the biphasic deadenylation process and further elaborate the functional impacts of the Pan2–Pan3 complex in mRNA deadenylation and degradation in mammalian cells. We propose that the first phase of deadenylation, involving differential actions of Pan2–Pan3S and Pan2–Pan3L, represents a previously unsuspected step of mRNA “maturation” in the cytoplasm, with the potential to modulate critical mRNP remodeling and influence the cytoplasmic fate of mRNA. It will be important for future study to address which downstream step(s)—for example, the second phase of deadenylation (Tucker et al. 2001; Yamashita et al. 2005), uridylation (Risssland and Norbury 2009; Lim et al. 2014), and decapping (Tucker and Parker 2000; Coller and Parker 2004; Liu and Kiledjian 2006)—are affected when the first phase of deadenylation is altered. Other future challenges are to address how Pan3S and Pan3L can differentially influence mRNP remodeling and different decay pathways and to elucidate how the presence or absence of the Pan3L-specific 55-amino acid segment in the N-terminal domain can lead to changes in Pan3 functions for the regulation of global mRNA turnover in mammalian cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmid constructs**

The plasmids HA-Pan3L (Zheng et al. 2008), HA-Pan3S (Huang et al. 2013), HA-Pan2 (Chang et al. 2004), pTet-BBB+3xlet7 (Chen et al. 2009), pTet-BBB, pTet-BBB+ARE (Xu et al. 1998), and pSv40-globin/GAPDH (Chen and Shyu 1994) were created as described previously. The plasmids carrying domain truncations of either HA-Pan3L or Pan3S were created by a PCR-based mutagenesis to specifically delete each region as shown in Figure 2A.

**Cell culture, transfection, dual luciferase assay, and cell proliferation assay**

NIH3T3 cells were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen) medium containing 10% calf serum. For U2OS cells, 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Invitrogen) was used in DMEM medium. On the day of transfection, 4.5 × 10⁶ suspended cells were seeded onto a 10-cm dish immediately before adding the DNA–lipid (1:3) mixture, which was prepared by blending DNA-OptiMEM solution with Lipofectamine2000-OptiMEM (Invitrogen) solution as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. Each 10-cm dish of cells was transfected with 6 µg of each plasmid tested (total 18 µg carrier DNA was added when necessary). BEAS-2B cells were cultured in F12-Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (5%). Tetracycline (500 ng/mL) was included in the medium for culturing BEAS-2B-19, a stable cell line harboring the tetracycline-responsive transcription factor rTA (Zhai et al. 2008). Cells were seeded and incubated for 1 d before transfection. Each 10-cm dish of cells was transfected with 18 µg of total DNA using X-treme Gene9 (Roche) following the manufacturer’s protocol. To knock down Pan3 isoforms, transfections of siRNAs (Sigma-Aldrich) were carried out using lipofectamine-RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Pan3S-specific siRNA sequences are CAGAGACGAAAGACACCAA (sense) and UUGGGUGCUUUGCGUCUCUG (anti-sense). Pan3L-specific siRNA sequences are CUGCCCUUGCAGACGGGUU (sense) and ACACCGUGACGACGGCAC (anti-sense). The Pan3L-specific siRNA targets the exon sequence coding for the 55-amino acid segment that is absent in Pan3S, and the Pan3S-specific siRNA recognizes the exon–exon junction sequence resulted from removal of the Pan3L-specific exon (Fig. 1A). When transfecting cells with both siRNA and plasmid DNA, cells were seeded 1 d before the first transfection with siRNAs and then were transfected with plasmid DNA the next day. The transfected cells were harvested 42–48 h after transfection for RNA extraction and/or cell lysate preparation. For indirect immunofluorescence microscopy, transfected cells were reseeded on culture slides 24 h later and incubated for another 24 h before being stained. For dual luciferase assays, firefly luciferase activity was used as an internal control. The renilla and firefly luciferase activities in cell lysates were analyzed using a Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Luminescence was scanned and recorded with a Tecan Infinite200
Microplate Reader (Tecan Trading AG). Cell proliferation assay was performed using the CyQUANT Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Invitrogen). Briefly, following control or Pan3-specific siRNA treatment, 1 × 10^4 cells per well were seeded onto a 96-well plate with a total of eight replicates per siRNA treatment. Five 96-well plates were prepared for the time-course experiment (one plate for each day). Cells were cultured following the manufacturer’s protocol. Medium was removed from each well at the desired time, and the cells were frozen and stored at −70°C. When all the samples were collected, cell proliferation analysis was performed following the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Northern blot analysis and RT-PCR**

Time-course experiments using the tTA-expressing BEAS-2B-19 stable cell line were performed as described previously (Chen et al. 2008). Briefly, BEAS-2B-19 cells were transfected with control or Pan3-specific siRNAs for one day followed by another transfection with a control plasmid, pSVat1/GAPDH, along with the pTet-BBE, pTet-BBB+AIRE, or pTet-BBB+AIRE reporter plasmid. Transfected cells were cultured in medium containing tetracycline (30 ng/mL) for 48–48 h followed by a 2-h incubation in fresh medium without tetracycline to allow transient expression of the reporter mRNAs driven by the Tet-off promoter. Tetracycline was added back to the medium at a final concentration of 500 ng/mL. To stop transcription from the Tet-off promoter, and cells were harvested at different time points for RNA extraction. Northern blot analysis was performed as described previously (Chen et al. 2008). All the time-course experiments were repeated at least once with reproducible results.

RT-PCR was performed to monitor the expression of Pan3, and GAPDH mRNA was amplified in parallel as a control. The sequences of Pan3-specific primers were GCACCAGCTTTATTTGGAGTC and CAACTCCTGTAGGAACCTGCTG. The sequences of GAPDH-specific primers were GAAGGTGAAGTCGGAGTCAC and CAGAGTAAAGCGACCCCTG. Amplified DNA fragments were subject to gel electrophoresis on 1% or 1.2% agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

Cells cultured in slide chambers were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with methanol and 0.5% Triton X-100 as described previously (Zheng et al. 2008). Endogenous Rck/p54 was detected using rabbit anti-Rck/p54 (1/2000; Bethyl Laboratories) and Alexa Flour 555 (red) conjugated to goat anti-rabbit IgG. Endogenous TNRC6 proteins were detected using human anti-TNRC6 antiserum (1/250; a gift from M.J. Fritzler, University of Calgary) and Alexa Flour 488 (green) conjugated to goat anti-human IgG. Endogenous GW220 was detected using rabbit anti-GW220 antibody (Roche) and Alexa Flour 555 (red) conjugated to goat anti-rabbit IgG. The secondary antibodies were diluted to 1/1000 using PBS containing 1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin. Fluorescent mounting medium containing DAPI was applied to the slides before the placement of coverslips. Digital images were obtained at room temperature using a Leica DMI 400B inverted light microscope system.

**RNA-sequencing and decay rate calculation**

We used whole transcriptome RNA-seq to investigate changes of global mRNA stability in response to knockdown of Pan3 isoforms. Time-course experiments were performed using actinomycin D (act D; 5 µg/mL) to block transcription followed by harvesting cells at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 6 h. RNA samples prepared from the harvested cells were sequenced by HiSeq 2000 (Axeq Technologies) (Supplemental Table S1). The resulting 100 nt paired-end RNA-seq reads were aligned to the human genome (hg19) and their abundance was estimated using TopHat (v1.3.3). For decay rate calculations, RSEM 1.2.18 was used for aligning sequence reads to the hg38 genome, assembling transcripts, and estimating abundance. We only considered the genes whose transcript expression levels were at least 10 TPM (transcripts per kilobase million) from high-depth RNA-seq. The expression of each transcript was normalized to

tibody (1/1000, custom antibody service from Bethyl Laboratories) (Yamashita et al. 2005) or rabbit anti-PABPC1 antibody (1/1000; a gift from R.E. Lloyd, Baylor College of Medicine) followed by secondary HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibodies (1/4,000; Bethyl Laboratories) for detection with chemiluminescence reagent (peroxide/luminol enhancer; Pierce). Images were acquired using GeneGnome (Syngene) and analyzed using GeneSnap software.

**Poly(A) tail distribution profiling assay**

Total RNA was isolated from the cells using the RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN). Poly(A) tail size distribution profile analysis (Lingner and Keller 1993) was performed as described previously (Huang et al. 2013). Briefly, total RNA was end-labeled with [α-32P]3′-cystidic acid using yeast poly(A) polymerase (Affymetrix). Labeled RNA was then digested with RNase T1 (Sigma-Aldrich) and RNase A (Sigma-Aldrich). Radioactivity was measured after precipitation with trichloroacetic acid, and 20,000 CPM of each sample was used for electrophoresis on 8% denaturing polyacrylamide–urea gels. Autoradiograms were scanned and analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH) to obtain poly(A) length-distribution profiles. All the poly(A) tail distribution profiling assays were repeated at least twice.

**RNA-sequencing and decay rate calculation**

We used whole transcriptome RNA-seq to investigate changes of global mRNA stability in response to knockdown of Pan3 isoforms. Time-course experiments were performed using actinomycin D (act D; 5 µg/mL) to block transcription followed by harvesting cells at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 6 h. RNA samples prepared from the harvested cells were sequenced by HiSeq 2000 (Axseq Technologies) (Supplemental Table S1). The resulting 100 nt paired-end RNA-seq reads were aligned to the human genome (hg19) and their abundance was estimated using TopHat (v1.3.3). For decay rate calculations, RSEM 1.2.18 was used for aligning sequence reads to the hg38 genome, assembling transcripts, and estimating abundance. We only considered the genes whose transcript expression levels were at least 10 TPM (transcripts per kilobase million) from high-depth RNA-seq. The expression of each transcript was normalized to
the expression of the GAPDH (NM_002046) transcript. The normalized values at each time point were used to estimate the transcript half-life ($t_{1/2}$) based on a first-order exponential decay model (Imamachi et al. 2014). Transcripts whose half-life estimates could not be accurately determined due to a high residual value (>0.5; column G in Supplemental Table S2) in linear regression analysis were further filtered out. Calculated half-lives of the final group of transcripts under each of the four knockdown conditions including control (6587 transcripts), knocking down Pan3L (7161 transcripts), Pan3S (1550 transcripts), or both Pan3 isoforms (2315 transcripts) for bioinformatics analysis are listed in Supplemental Table S2. Raw RNA-sequencing data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE86549.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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