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The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer’s disease at 25 years

Dennis J Selkoe1,† & John Hardy2,*,†

Abstract

Despite continuing debate about the amyloid β-protein (or Aβ) hypothesis, new lines of evidence from laboratories and clinics worldwide support the concept that an imbalance between production and clearance of Aβ42 and related Aβ peptides is a very early, often initiating factor in Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Confirmation that presenilin is the catalytic site of γ-secretase has provided a linchpin: all dominant mutations causing early-onset AD occur either in the substrate (amyloid precursor protein, APP) or the protease (presenilin) of the reaction that generates Aβ. Duplication of the wild-type APP gene in Down’s syndrome leads to Aβ deposits in the teens, followed by microgliosis, astrocytosis, and neurofibrillary tangles typical of AD. Apolipoprotein E4, which predisposes to AD in >40% of cases, has been found to impair Aβ clearance from the brain. Soluble oligomers of Aβ42 isolated from AD patients’ brains can decrease synapse number, inhibit long-term potentiation, and enhance long-term synaptic depression in rodent hippocampus, and injecting them into healthy rats impairs memory. The human oligomers also induce hyperphosphorylation of tau at AD-relevant epitopes and cause neuritic dystrophy in cultured neurons. Crossing human APP with human tau transgenic mice enhances tau-positive neurotoxicity. In humans, new studies show that low cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Aβ42 and amyloid-PET positivity precede other AD manifestations by many years. Most importantly, recent trials of three different Aβ antibodies (solanezumab, crenezumab, and aducanumab) have suggested a slowing of cognitive decline in post hoc analyses of mild AD subjects. Although many factors contribute to AD pathogenesis, Aβ dyshomeostasis has emerged as the most extensively validated and compelling therapeutic target.
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Introduction

Few problems in modern biomedicine have garnered as much scientific interest and public concern as has Alzheimer’s disease. Virtually unknown to the general public four decades ago, AD has risen in prevalence to an estimated 40 million patients worldwide. The true number must be much higher, given the increasing recognition that the disease begins in the brain at least 2–3 decades before one first forgets the name of a grandchild or where one has parked one’s car. Since molecular studies of AD began in earnest in the early 1980s, thousands of scientists and healthcare professionals have delved into all aspects of this complex, multifactorial syndrome, hoping to help patients now and prevent others from developing it in the future.

Although the progressive buildup of amyloids of diverse protein composition in various systemic organs has been known to cause devastating diseases for more than a century, the idea put forward by George Glenner (Glenner & Wong, 1984) that the particular amyloidogenic protein accumulating in AD (Aβ) could be causative has met with considerable skepticism over the ensuing years. Precisely why this idea has been so controversial is not clear (Selkoe, 2011), but the steady accrual of data from many preclinical and clinical studies has increasingly supported it. The amyloid (or Aβ) hypothesis (Beyreuther & Masters, 1991; Hardy & Allsop, 1991; Selkoe, 1991; Hardy & Higgins, 1992) has become the dominant model of AD pathogenesis and is guiding the development of potential treatments.

We reviewed the evidence for this hypothesis (Fig 1) a dozen years ago (Hardy & Selkoe, 2002). Space precludes a full examination here of the enormous literature on Aβ since that review; a monograph on AD pathobiology contains many details (Selkoe et al., 2012). But in the context of continuing concern about the concept and yet the recent emergence of apparently positive clinical trial data, a critical analysis of the latest developments in laboratory and clinic is warranted and timely. We review here numerous new developments since our prior review of this hypothesis, on which ever-increasing scientific effort is being expended. We also summarize the salient findings over three decades that undergird the amyloid hypothesis (Box 1), and we discuss several alternative concepts or concerns that have been counterposed to it (Table 1).
New insights from AD genetics and APP homeostasis

The fact that AD-causing mutations in APP and in presenilins 1 and 2 alter APP proteolytic processing in a way that elevates the relative levels of the Aβ42 or Aβ43 peptides has long been known (Scheuner et al., 1996; NB: Those mutations in APP that lie within the Aβ sequence increase the self-aggregation of the resultant peptides, not their production). A key mechanistic explanation was the discovery that the presenilin genes encode the active site of the intramembrane-cleaving γ-secretase enzyme (De Strooper et al., 1998; Wolfe et al., 1999). Subsequent studies have begun to illuminate how presenilin mediates intramembrane proteolysis (Qi-Takahara et al., 2005; Takami et al., 2009; Chavez-Gutierrez et al., 2012; Okochi et al., 2013; Fernandez et al., 2014): an initial endopeptidase cleavage of APP near the transmembrane/cytoplasmic interface of APP (the e-cleavage) is followed by multiple carboxypeptidase cleavages that each sequentially removes 3 or 4 C-terminal amino acids (i.e., approximately one turn of the intramembrane helix) (Fig 2). This process yields two product lines that start with either the Aβ48/49 or the Aβ49/50 e-cleavage. Although the precise molecular effects of different presenilin mutations differ somewhat, in all cases the mutations appear to decrease this C- to N-terminal cleavage “processivity” and thus increase the relative production of longer (more hydrophobic and self-aggregating) Aβ peptides. This elegant model provides a biochemical explanation for earlier work showing that pathogenic presenilin mutations often increase the Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio in humans. γ-Secretase reactions conducted directly in presenilin-mutant AD brain tissue showed that all presenilin mutations studied decreased this carboxypeptidase-like activity, and assays in a few “sporadic” AD brains suggested that a similar decrease in processivity might occur in some non-presenilin-mutant cases (Szaruga et al., 2015). Aβ42, Aβ43, and longer Aβ peptides are highly self-aggregating, whereas Aβ40 may actually be anti-amyloidogenic (Kim et al., 2007).

One group has emphasized that the aforementioned mechanism represents a loss of function of presenilin and have proposed that the neural phenotype of AD patients is fundamentally due to a loss of presenilin function, independent of effects on Aβ production (Shen & Kelleher, 2007; Xia et al., 2015). They have studied presenilin-1 mutations that generally lower Aβ43 peptides. These hydrophobic species self-aggregate, leading to profound Aβ deposition in mid-life. APOE4 carriers were once included in typical late-onset AD. This allele was found to markedly increase AD risk and decrease brain clearance of Aβ, leading to excess Aβ aggregation and typical downstream AD neuropathology.

Aβ42 oligomers isolated from typical (late-onset) AD brains decrease synapse density, inhibit LTP, and enhance long-term synaptic depression in rodent hippocampus, and their intraventricular injection impairs memory in healthy adult rats. Human Aβ42 oligomers induce tau hyperphosphorylation at AD-relevant epitopes and cause neuritic dystrophy in cultured rat neurons; co-administering Aβ antibodies fully prevents this. Aβ oligomers occur in a penumbra around many neuritic plaques. Accordingly, synapse decreases occur in a centrifugal gradient: less abnormality at longer distances from the plaque edge. Based on many human biomarker studies, low CSF Aβ42 and positive amyloid-PET scans precede other AD-related changes (increased CSF tau, decreased cerebral glucose metabolism, brain atrophy, clinical dementia) by years. Trials of 3 different Aβ monoclonal antibodies (solanezumab, crenezumab, and aducanumab) have suggested slowing of cognitive decline in post hoc analyses of mild (but not moderate) AD patients. Other amyloidogenic proteins have been proven to cause progressive human organ failure, and therapeutic lowering of the amyloid or its precursor protein yields therapeutic benefits in patients.

**Box 1: Evidence supporting a key role for Aβ dyshomeostasis in initiating AD.**

All AD patients undergo progressive Aβ deposition followed by surrounding neuritic and glial cytopathology in brain regions serving memory and cognition. Mutations within and immediately flanking the Aβ region of APP cause aggressive forms of FAD. Humans with trisomy 21 (Down’s syndrome) harbor 3 copies of APP and invariably develop neuropathologically typical AD. Those who die in their early-to-mid teens (from other causes) show abundant diffuse Aβ plaques without neuritic dystrophy, microgliosis, astrocytosis, and tangle formation, all of which accrue gradually in such subjects in the late teens and beyond. Inheritance of a missense mutation in APP that decreases the production and aggregation of Aβ lifelong protects against AD and age-related cognitive decline. Missense mutations in presenilin 1 or 2 are the most common cause of early-onset AD, and presenilin is the catalytic subunit of γ-secretase. The mutations result in relative increases in the production of Aβ42/43 peptides. These hydrophobic species self-aggregate, leading to profound Aβ deposition in mid-life. ApoE4 carriers were once included in typical late-onset AD. This allele was found to markedly increase AD risk and decrease brain clearance of Aβ, leading to excess Aβ aggregation and typical downstream AD neuropathology.
**Table 1. Findings that appear to undercut the amyloid hypothesis of AD and counterarguments that could explain these discrepancies.**

| Findings | Counterarguments |
|----------|-----------------|
| Amyloid plaque burden correlates much less well with degree of cognitive impairment than do neurofibrillary tangle counts | Aβ deposits appear to be a very early and widespread event that is distant to the clinical dementia and can lead to many downstream cellular and molecular changes (e.g., microgliosis, neuritic dystrophy, tangles, etc.) that are more proximate to and causative of neuronal dysfunction |
| Many humans show sometimes abundant Aβ deposits at death but were not noticeably demented | Some or many of these deposits are diffuse plaques (not rich in abnormal neurites and glia); the patients were often not tested rigorously before death; and Aβ oligomer levels per plaque are much lower than in AD brains (Esparza et al, 2013), suggesting that plaques can effectively sequester oligomers in a non-diffusible, less neurotoxic state, at least up to a point |
| Some human neuropathological studies suggest tangles may preceed amyloid plaques | Such studies may not have searched systematically for diffuse plaques or soluble Aβ oligomers in the brain. Human genetics proves that Aβ-elevating APP mutations lead to downstream alteration and aggregation of wild-type tau, whereas tau mutations do not lead to Aβ deposition and amyloid-related dementia |
| A hypothesis that AD is fundamentally due to loss of presenilin function has been put forward | AD-causing presenilin mutations may indeed act through partial loss of function of this protease, but these heterozygous mutations do not produce clinically detectable loss of presenilin function (e.g., Notch phenotypes), and organismal development and function are normal until the carriers develop typical AD symptoms in mid-life, heralded by elevated Aβ42/43 to Aβ40 ratios. Moreover, 99.9% of all AD patients have wild-type presenilins |
| Numerous clinical trials of anti-amyloid agents have not met their pre-specified endpoints | Several of these agents had inadequate preclinical data, poor brain penetration, little human biomarker change, and/or low therapeutic indexes (e.g., tramiprosate; R-flurbiprofen; semagacestat). Most such failed trials enrolled many patients in the late-mild and moderate stages of AD, whereas other trials conducted in very mild or mild AD produced suggestive evidence of clinical benefit. AD trials done prior to obligatory amyloid-PET imaging turned out to have up to ~25% of subjects that were amyloid-negative (i.e., did not have AD) |

**Figure 1.** The sequence of major pathogenic events leading to AD proposed by the amyloid cascade hypothesis.

The curved blue arrow indicates that Aβ oligomers may directly injure the synapses and neurites of brain neurons, in addition to activating microglia and astrocytes.

Aβ43 accumulation that long precedes their AD-typical memory syndrome. Most importantly, > 99% of all AD patients (including all other forms of familial disease) express wild-type presenilin, so loss of presenilin function cannot be a general mechanism of AD pathogenesis.

The original formulation of the amyloid hypothesis was based in part on the discovery that the APP gene is on chromosome 21, implying that individuals with Down’s syndrome develop typical Alzheimer neuropathology because they produce too much Aβ lifelong. This supposition has been substantiated by the identification of humans with different segmental microduplications of sub-regions of chromosome 21. Rare individuals with translocation Down’s syndrome involving only the distal part of chromosome 21 telomeric to the APP gene have Down’s features but do not get AD (Prasher et al, 1998). Conversely, those rare individuals who have the APP gene micro-duplicated but not the rest of the chromosome do not have Down’s syndrome but get AD, typically in their mid-50s (Rovelet-Lecrux et al, 2006). These findings show conclusively that lifelong overexpression of wild-type APP causes AD. Even more remarkable has been the identification of an APP missense mutation (A673T) at the second amino acid of the Aβ region that results in a lifelong decrease in APP cleavage by β-secretase (Jonsson et al, 2012). Moreover, this benefit may be compounded, because the
Early APP mouse models (e.g. Games et al, 1995; Hsiao et al, 1996) suffered from reliance on high transgene expression to drive plaque deposition and from a lack of tangle cytopathology and neuronal death. Crossing FAD-mutant APP mice with mutant MAPT (tau) transgenic (tg) mice succeeded in augmenting tau pathology and suggested that tangle-like changes occur downstream of Aβ accumulation, but this involved transgene overexpression and multiple AD mutations (Lewis et al, 2001). Recently, mice with gradual Aβ plaque accrual have been developed by the judicious use of selective knockin of human mutations into endogenous mouse APP without overexpression (Saito et al, 2014). Moreover, stem cell-derived human neurons cultured from skin biopsies of FAD subjects have been used to show first Aβ accumulation and then tau alteration in the absence of overexpression (Shi et al, 2012; Choi et al, 2014; Muratore et al, 2014; Moore et al, 2015) suggesting that the lack of tangle formation in early mouse models was related to the absence of human tau. This progress means we are now able to model a substantial part of the amyloid cascade in culture. In both cellular and mouse models, extensive data now suggest that the neurotoxicity of Aβ is in considerable part dependent on expression of human tau (Rapoport et al, 2002; Jin et al, 2011; Roberson et al, 2011).

Cell biology of new AD risk genes

Although the importance of ApoE4 as the major risk factor for AD was discovered in 1993 (Corder et al, 1993), it is only since the advent of genomewide association studies and, more recently, exome and genome sequencing that other risk loci for late-onset disease have been discovered. Whereas the recently described loci are usually much weaker in effect (Lambert et al, 2013) or much rarer (Guerreiro et al, 2013; Jonsson et al, 2013) than ApoE4, they have helped delineate additional biological processes in AD pathogenesis. Three types of processes have emerged as especially important: cholesterol/sterol metabolism; inflammation and the brain’s

Table 2. Toward a more complete modeling of the pathogenesis of AD amyloid.

| Year | System | Achievement | Critique | References |
|------|--------|-------------|----------|------------|
| 1995 | APP transgenic mouse | Plaque Pathology | Overexpression, no downstream pathology | Games et al (1995) |
| 2000 | MAPT mutant transgenic mouse | Tangle Pathology | Overexpression: no plaque pathology | Lewis et al (2000) |
| 2001 | APP X MAPT transgenic mice | Plaque and tangle pathology | Overexpression of both transgenes: artificiality of two mutations | Lewis et al (2001) |
| 2012 | Down’s syndrome derived stem cell neurons | Diffuse plaque pathology: evidence for pre-tangles | Not full pathology | Shi et al (2012) |
| 2014 | Complex APP mutation knockin into mouse genome | Plaque pathology without overexpression | Artificiality of multiple mutations: no downstream pathology | Saito et al (2014) |
| 2014 | Overexpression of APP mutations in human neuronal lines in gel system | Convincing plaque pathology and also tangle pathology | Overexpression | Choi et al (2014) |
| 2015 | APP and PSEN mutant stem cell lines | Diffuse plaque pathology and tau pathology | | Moore et al (2015) |
innate immune system; and endosomal vesicle recycling (Jones et al., 2010).

Apolipoprotein E and other components of cholesterol/sterol metabolism

A role for cholesterol in AD has long been suspected, based on the genetic implication of ApoE in the disease as well as the contrasting effects of cholesterol loading or depletion on amyloid pathology in APP tg mice (Refolo et al., 2000, 2001). Work in APP mice expressing different human ApoE alleles has shown that a major pathogenic influence of ApoE involves differential isoform effects on the clearance of Aβ (Castellano et al. 2011: discussed below). The ABCA7 lipid transporter has also been identified as a genetic locus for the disease (Hollingworth et al., 2011), and loss-of-function mutations increase AD risk about threefold (Steinberg et al., 2015). ABCA7 is expressed in neurons, microglia, and peripheral macrophages, and it normally promotes the efflux of lipids from cells to apolipoproteins and also regulates phagocytosis. Crossing ABCA7 knockout mice to mutant hAPP mice caused a doubling of insoluble Aβ levels and amyloid plaques without changing APP processing, suggesting that like ApoE, ABCA7 is involved in Aβ clearance (Kim et al., 2013). However, the biochemical details through which both ApoE and ABCA7 influence the development of Aβ pathology need to be pinpointed.

The innate immune system in Alzheimer’s disease

Neuropathologists have long suggested that the brain’s innate immune system, including the microglial response to plaque formation, was an important factor in AD pathogenesis. For example, the early observation of multiple elements of the classical complement cascade in and around neuritic plaques (McGeer et al., 1989) was prescient. In the last few years, genetic variability in that system has emerged as a compelling determinant of AD risk, implicating many components of innate immunity and the complement cascade as risk factors in the disease (Jones et al., 2010). Three such risk genes have been investigated in some detail: Complement Receptor 1 (CR1; Lambert et al., 2009), CD33 (Bertram et al., 2008), and TREM2, and all three appear to be involved either directly or indirectly in the response of microglia to Aβ deposition. Blockade of CR1 inhibits microglial activation and potentiates microglial phagocytosis (Crehan et al., 2013). Inactivation of CD33 in primary microglia also potentiates microglial uptake of Aβ (Griciuc et al., 2013), and TREM2 is responsible for sustaining microglial phagocytosis of Aβ (Wang et al., 2015). Thus, all three genetically implicated microglial proteins may be involved in helping to maintain the AD microglial phenotype of phagocytosing Aβ deposits. Accordingly, these 3 genes undergo increased expression during plaque development (Griciuc et al. 2013, Wang et al., 2015; Matarin et al., 2015) and CSF TREM2 levels go up as plaque load increases, suggesting it may be a useful biomarker (Suárez-Calvet et al., 2016).

TREM2 is emerging as a key molecular determinant of the CNS response to Aβ accumulation (Forabosco et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Matarin et al., 2015). However, the biology of TREM2, a Type 1 single-transmembrane receptor which is principally but not exclusively expressed in microglia and undergoes ADAM/γ-secretase processing (Wunderlich et al., 2013; Kleinberger et al., 2014), is incompletely understood [reviewed in (Lue et al., 2015)]. The most studied mutation, R47H, may increase the risk of AD to the same extent that ApoE4 does although it is much rarer (Guerreiro et al., 2013; Jonsson et al., 2013). The upregulation of TREM2 in a subset of microglia in amyloid plaques of hAPP tg mice (e.g., Guerreiro et al., 2013) suggests that the known function of TREM2 in phagocytosis is compromised during plaque development. A current hypothesis is that R47H and other AD-associated TREM2 mutations confer loss of function in microglia. Deleting one TREM2 allele in hAPP tg mice significantly decreased the number of microglia associated with Aβ deposits (Ulrich et al., 2014). Conversely, TREM2 overexpression in hAPP tg mice decreased amyloid plaque burden, neuroinflammation, synapse loss, and spatial memory deficits (Jiang et al., 2014). And TREM2 mutations can alter its transport to the cell surface and shedding, associated with impaired phagocytic function (Kleinberger et al., 2014). The latter work has led to evidence that levels of the shed ectodomain in extracellular fluid and CSF are lower in AD cases associated with TREM2 mutations.

Endosomal vesicle recycling in Alzheimer’s disease

The final set of recently identified loci for late-onset AD map to processes regulating endosomal vesicle recycling (Jones et al., 2010). This category includes SORL1, BIN1, and PICALM (Rogaeva et al., 2007; Lambert et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015). SORL1 had previously been shown to be directly involved in the processing of APP (Andersen et al., 2005), and work in human stem cell-derived neurons carrying the SORL1 risk haplotype confirmed this association (Young et al., 2015). Likewise, PICALM appears to be involved directly in endosomal APP processing (Kanatsu et al., 2014). In addition, PICALM has been implicated in the transport of brain Aβ across the blood–brain barrier: induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived human endothelial cells carrying an AD-protective allele exhibited higher PICALM levels and enhanced Aβ clearance (Zhao et al., 2015).

In summary, mechanistic studies linking several of the recently identified risk genes for late-onset (previously “sporadic”) AD to aspects of Aβ homeostasis provide new support for the amyloid hypothesis as a driving factor in AD pathogenesis. They also suggest new avenues for therapeutic intervention, such as intervening in brain cholesterol metabolism and modulating the response of the innate immune system to amyloid deposition.

Recent findings help resolve controversies about the role of Aβ

Connecting plaques and tangles: Aβ can drive tau alteration

The temporal sequence of the two canonical lesions Alois Alzheimer noted in his 1906 index case has been debated ever since. An elegant histopathological staging system created by Braak and Braak (1991) is now widely used to establish the severity of AD neuropathology. This scale principally described the progression of AD-type cytoskeletal changes, that is, neurofibrillary tangles and dystrophic neurites, in unrelated humans of increasing age (it could not yet include assays for accrual of oligomeric forms of Aβ). The detection of modest amounts of neurofibrillary change in limbic regions of young or middle-aged individuals dying of other causes does not imply that such individuals would necessarily have developed AD had they lived longer. Instead, human genetic and
biodispensary studies have provided the answer to the sequence of Aβ and tau accumulation in AD. Inherited mutations in APP and presenilin (i.e., in the substrate and the protease for Aβ generation) cause early-onset Aβ deposition (Lemere et al, 1996a,b; Bateman et al, 2012) followed by accumulation of tangles/neurites containing filaments of wild-type tau, so amyloid can clearly precede tangles in humans. In contrast, mutations in the tau gene lead to a form of frontotemporal dementia without subsequent accrual of Aβ. Thus, Aβ accumulation can lead to progressive tau deposition, but the converse has not been clearly demonstrated in humans.

Laboratory studies support this sequence. Crossing hAPP tg mice with hTau tg mice significantly enhances tau deposition without changing Aβ deposition (Lewis et al, 2001). Crossing an APP tg mouse to a tau knockout mouse leads to substantially less behavioral deficits in the offspring than when tau is expressed (Roberson et al, 2011). Treating normal rat neurons in culture with soluble Aβ oligomers isolated from AD cortex causes neuritic dystrophy and AD-type tau hyperphosphorylation, but no dystrophy ensues if tau is first knocked down (Jin et al, 2008). Several similar studies suggest that Aβ—particularly soluble oligomers of Aβ42 (Shankar et al, 2008)—can trigger AD-type tau alterations, supporting the sequence that human genetics has indicated. The expression of human tau seems to be “permissive”, enabling certain downstream neuronal consequences of progressive Aβ accrual to occur (Maruyama et al, 2013).

How ApoE4 promotes AD: chronically decreased Aβ clearance
Humans expressing the ApoE4 protein develop more plaque and vascular β-amyloid deposits than those expressing only ApoE3 (Rebeck et al, 1993), and this has been confirmed in genetically engineered mice (Holtzman et al, 2000). A detailed quantitative study of Aβ homeostasis using in vivo microdialysis in hAPP × hApoE crossed mice has shown that Aβ clearance (but not Aβ production) is decreased by ApoE4 > E3 > E2, closely paralleling the degree of Aβ deposition in such mice (Castellano et al, 2011). The decrease in clearance of soluble Aβ was observed in young mice well before any amyloid deposition. The results strongly suggest that ApoE contributes to AD risk at least in part by differentially regulating soluble Aβ clearance, emphasizing Aβ clearance pathways as a major therapeutic target. In accord, one of the numerous risk genes for late-onset AD is PICALM, and AD-promoting alleles or knockdown of this gene has been shown to decrease Aβ clearance across the brain endothelium (Zhao et al 2015). As a potentially related effect on Aβ homeostasis, the three ApoE isoforms have also been shown to bind Aβ differentially and modulate its fibrillogenesis (Ma et al, 1994; Wisniewski et al, 1994; Evans et al, 1995). Other potential mechanisms have been suggested, including an adverse effect of ApoE4 on the processing of tau in neurons (Andrews-Zwilling et al, 2010; Huang & Mahley, 2014).

Synaptic loss, Aβ, and amyloid plaques
Decreased synapse number has long been recognized as perhaps the strongest quantitative neuropathological correlate of dementia in AD. Numerous laboratory studies in the past decade have shown that Aβ oligomers impair both synaptic function (e.g., long-term potentiation) and synaptic structure (e.g., dendritic spines). Of particular, disease relevance is evidence that soluble oligomers (but not monomers) of Aβ42 isolated directly from AD cortex can dose-dependently decrease synaptic function and number and can impair the memory of a learned behavior in healthy adult rats (Shankar et al, 2008). Amyloid plaque cores isolated from the same AD brains and washed extensively in vitro do not impair LTP, but the diffusible Aβ42 oligomers that can subsequently be released from them with harsh denaturants do so (Shankar et al, 2008). The latter findings fit nicely with evidence in hAPP tg mice that plaques in situ have a penumbra of soluble Aβ oligomers in which synaptic density is low; synapse number rises toward normal the farther one measures from the edge of the plaque core (Koffie et al, 2009).

The intimate association of diffusible oligomers with fibrillar plaques that such studies imply has been elegantly supported by quantifying Aβ oligomers with a selective ELISA in postmortem brain tissue of subjects who were either clinically normal (Clinical Dementia Rating of 0) or mildly demented (CDR of 1) shortly before death. These brains were selected to have similar plaque densities, but the oligomer-specific ELISA revealed that the non-demented plaque-rich subjects had much lower oligomer-to-plaque ratios than the mildly demented plaque-rich patients (Esparza et al, 2013). Indeed, this ratio completely distinguished (without overlap) the “high-pathology control” brains from the AD brains. This striking result addresses an oft-cited “Achilles heel” of the amyloid hypothesis: apparently normal people who have abundant plaques may actually have low plaque-associated oligomer levels (Table 1). We have hypothesized that plaques can sequester soluble oligomers until they slowly reach a physical limit, after which excess oligomers can diffuse onto surrounding synaptic membranes and other hydrophobic cell surfaces (Hong et al, 2014).

A heterogeneity of Aβ species in AD brain
While Aβ1–42 peptides appear to be the earliest form to accumulate in the brain and their free levels in CSF drop long before clinical symptoms [e.g., Bateman et al (2012)], this initial species can be modified over time into a complex array of truncated, isomerized, and/or phosphorylated peptides. One well-studied variant that is highly amyloidogenic (Nussbaum et al, 2012) is the “p3E” Aβ peptide that is truncated over time of Asp1 and Ala2 and then cyclized at Glu3 (Mori et al, 1992). DeMattos et al (2012) have shown in hAPP transgenic mice that this variant accumulates rather late and in small amounts, but targeting it with a specific antibody promotes a kind of “bystander clearance” by microglia of also earlier deposited Aβ species, making p3E an attractive target for Aβ immunotherapy despite its low abundance. At the opposite end of Aβ, the variant Aβ43 is highly prone to aggregation (Saito et al, 2011), and it is unclear how long soluble oligomers of this peptide can be present as such in the brain before they deposit as insoluble amyloid plaques. Given the complexity of Aβ species in humans, a worthy goal for future clinical research is to routinely quantify all Aβ peptides in plasma or CSF of pre-symptomatic and symptomatic AD subjects.

Recent studies have uncovered further heterogeneity of both Aβ and other proteolytic products of APP processing. One example is the detection in certain cell lines expressing pathogenic mutant APP, of Aβ monomers and dimers as well as N-terminally extended Aβ monomers that begin some ~35–40 residues before the Asp1 of Aβ (Welzel et al, 2014). Levels of these extended monomers rise dramatically when β-secretase inhibitors are applied to the cells, indicating that they arise from an alternative protease(s) which
cleaves APP upstream of the Met-Asp bond. The N-terminally extended monomers inhibit LTP, presumably because they contain the Aβ region but in a misfolded form that can bind to synaptic membranes (Welzel et al., 2014). A second and distinct set of APP fragments arises from a novel η (“eta”) -secretase processing pathway, involving cleavage by a matrix metalloproteinase 92 amino acids upstream of the Met-Asp bond (Willem et al., 2015). The resultant η-CTFs can then be processed by α- or β-secretase to generate Aη-α and Aη-β peptides. Again, β-secretase inhibitors elevate these alternative APP products. Single-cell calcium imaging shows that neuronal activity is attenuated by Aη-α, suggesting a physiological function of this new pathway but not yet implicating it in AD (no η-derived fragments containing the intact Aβ region were described; Willem et al., 2015).

Is AD in humans a prion-like disease of "pathogenic spread"?

In the last decade, the neurodegenerative field has become increasingly intrigued by the hypothesis that the progression of the cytopathological lesions in AD, Parkinson’s disease, Frontotemporal dementia, and other age-related diseases involves a physical spread of the specific offending proteins from neuron to neuron. Initially, this hypothesis was based in considerable part on three observations. First, the Braak staging of tangles (in AD) and Lewy lesions (in Parkinson’s disease) in postmortem brains from humans of increasing age was interpreted as a physical spread of the responsible protein aggregates (tau and α-synuclein, respectively) from one brain region to the next. Second, the development over many years of some Lewy bodies in fetal neurons implanted into the striata of a few advanced Parkinson patients was interpreted as a physical spread of a pathogenic form of α-synuclein from the diseased neurons of the host into the healthy neurons of the implant. Third, experimental studies in rodents suggested that the extracellular injection of fibrils of tau or α-synuclein could induce still-healthy neurons to form the respective intracellular lesions, suggesting a physical spread as well as a “prion-like” templating of the normal protein by the abnormal (misfolded) protein.

These findings provide circumstantial evidence for the spread of misfolded proteins from neuron to neuron, although the details of the cell biological mechanisms remain unclear. The extent to which the Braak staging in AD (as well as in Parkinson’s disease and other neurodegenerative diseases) represents a selective temporal vulnerability of neurons in different brain regions rather than an actual physical spread has not been elucidated. It remains a challenge to distinguish cell autonomous from non-cell autonomous mechanisms of protein aggregation in vivo, particularly in humans with pathogenic mutations in tau or α-synuclein, where 50% of the protein in all neurons is mutant and could aggregate without the need for inter-neuronal spread. Clearly, these are important unresolved issues: understanding the biological mechanisms for the spread of cytopathology could offer new therapeutic targets and may underlie the observed beneficial effects of administered antibodies on lesion clearance.

A separate question from that of neuron-to-neuron spread is whether the misfolded Aβ and tau aggregates in AD brain could be true “proteinaceous infectious particles” and thus transmissible between humans. Attempts by Gajdusek and colleagues more than 30 years ago to transmit AD to lower primates by inoculation of AD brain extracts were deemed unsuccessful (Brown et al., 1994). However, Ridley et al. (2006) reported that inoculation of marmosets with AD brain extracts induced modest cerebral β-amyloidosis: Aβ-immunoreactive deposits were detected in 16 of 18 animals aged < 10 years and 8 of 9 aged > 10 years, whereas spontaneous cerebral amyloid deposition was found in 0 of 11 non-injected marmosets < 10 years and 5 of 29 > 10 years (Ridley et al., 2006). Neurofibrillary tangles were not detected in any animals, so it seems that inoculation with AD brain extracts accelerated Aβ (but not tau) deposition in these primates. This acceleration is entirely consistent with the long-standing concept of the seeded polymerization of Aβ in kinetic models of β-amyloid formation (Jarrett & Lansbury, 1993).

Recently, four patients dying between ages 36 and 51 of iatrogenic Creutzfeld–Jakob disease after childhood treatment for short stature with prion-contaminated cadaveric pituitary extracts were reported to also have substantial Aβ-immunoreactive plaques and microvessels in their brains (Jaunmuktane et al., 2015). Since pituitary glands in AD subjects can have Aβ deposits, these cases were interpreted as providing evidence of human-to-human transmission of Aβ seeds. No tangles or AD-type neuritic/microgliia-rich plaques were described, so this was not transmission of AD per se, consistent with an earlier negative study (Irwin et al., 2013). Locating some of the original pituitary extracts used for inoculation and showing that they had abnormal Aβ forms will be necessary to prove that these cases represent definite human transmission of Aβ seeds. At present, there are no clear clinical concerns arising from this unusual iatrogenic event as regards AD risk in the general population.

Biomarkers: approaching the natural history of AD in human subjects

For many years, reaching a correct understanding of the pathogenic sequence in AD patients was hampered by the difficulty of detecting this sequence directly in living humans. The problem has been substantially lessened by three developments: (i) robust assays to quantify soluble Aβ monomers and tau in CSF (Vigo-Pelfrey et al., 1995); (ii) imaging fibrillar amyloid burden (but not yet soluble Aβ oligomers) by PET scanning, initially with the thioflavin T derivative, Pittsburgh compound B (Klunk et al., 2004); and (iii) the ability to analyze APP metabolism in healthy and diseased humans by quantifying heavy isotope-labeled Aβ peptides by mass spectrometry in fresh CSF collected continuously through a lumbar intrathecal catheter (Bateman et al., 2006; Mawuenyega et al., 2010).

In vivo APP labeling

The use of 13C (heavy) leucine infusions to label all newly synthesized proteins, including APP, in pre-symptomatic subjects with presenilin mutations and their non-carrier siblings confirmed the extensive data in cultures and mouse models that these AD-causing mutations increase relative Aβ42 production (Potter et al., 2013). Further, during the period of amyloid deposition, the Aβ42 monomer declines in CSF in a manner that suggests it becomes bound to developing plaques (Bliennow et al., 2015). And the use of the in vivo labeling approach in ApoE3 vs. E4 carriers showed that...
E4 subjects had lower rates of Aβ monomer clearance [see (Castellano et al., 2011)]. Together, these human data support the conclusions that presenilin mutation carriers produce relatively more Aβ42 and that E4 carriers clear it less efficiently.

**Amyloid imaging and CSF biomarkers**

Numerous families carrying APP, PSEN1, or PSEN2 mutations have been studied collectively to determine the time course of fluid biomarker, neuroimaging, and clinical changes prior to the expected onset of AD symptoms, which is based on the age of symptom onset in a parent with the same mutation. Initial analyses of a familial AD cohort [the Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network (DIAN)] suggest that Aβ42 levels in CSF may first be somewhat elevated (vs. normal) and then begin to decline as early as 25 years before expected symptom onset (Bateman et al., 2012). This is followed by the appearance of fibrillar amyloid deposits in the brain (as detected by PiB-PET), increased levels of tau in CSF, and progressive brain atrophy roughly 15 years before expected symptom onset (Bateman et al., 2012). Neuronal hypometabolism and subtly impaired episodic memory seem to begin some 10 years or so before expected symptoms (Bateman et al., 2012). If this time course is generally similar to that of “sporadic” AD, and the AIBL study (Villemagne et al., 2013) suggests that it is, then Aβ deposition may begin up to two decades or more before clinically noticeable cognitive decline. A key lesson which emerges from such dynamic analyses of presymptomatic AD is that therapeutic interventions directed only at the mild-to-moderate clinical stage may be too late to ameliorate progression.

Overall, brain imaging and CSF biomarker studies in humans suggest that the sequence of AD pathogenic steps currently measurable in vivo broadly follows the schema proposed by Jack and colleagues (Jack & Holtzman, 2013; Jack et al., 2013; Fig 3). These data are consistent with early studies of AD neuropathology in Down’s syndrome, which documented an initial accumulation of diffuse Aβ deposits that precedes microglial and astrocytic activation, tangle formation, and neurodegeneration (e.g., Lemere et al., 1996a; Mann et al., 1992). The recent development of imaging agents for tangles (Chien et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2014) will help define the time course of accrual of the two major lesions, although tangles are somewhat non-specific in that they occur increasingly with “normal” aging and in several neurodegenerative processes besides AD.

**Recent progress in AD clinical trials**

None of the Aβ-targeted phase 3 clinical trials in Alzheimer’s disease has shown statistically significant benefit on its pre-specified clinical endpoints. Several of these trials, however, were misdesigned in terms of patient selection, choice of agent, target engagement, and/or dose, or they had to be halted because of side effects that may not have been target-related (De Strooper, 2014; Karran & Hardy, 2014).

**Semagacestat was neither an effective nor safe γ-secretase inhibitor**

Inhibiting the β- or γ-secretases is an attractive goal, but the recognition that they have many substrates besides APP makes selectivity an enormous challenge. The discovery that Aβ is normally secreted by cells throughout life (Haass et al., 1992) led to widespread compound screening on cultured cells, and most Aβ-lowering “hits” that emerged inhibited γ-secretase. The only such compound to reach Phase 3 testing was semagacestat, but the trial was terminated after ~12 months of dosing due to adverse events (Doody et al., 2013) This may be explained by its low therapeutic index: the IC₅₀ for Notch cleavage was only twofold to threefold higher (or less) than that for APP cleavage. Direct proof that semagacestat was an effective Aβ-lowering agent in humans was not obtained, and this trial should not have led to the curtailment of research to develop safer inhibitors of γ-secretase with better substrate selectivity (De Strooper, 2014). Another agent, avagacestat, had a better therapeutic index but still not good enough to avoid certain side effects and be advanced to Phase 3 trials (Coric et al., 2012).

The fundamental catalytic mechanism of this first-in-class intramembrane aspartyl protease is incompletely understood, although substantial progress should ensue from biochemical studies that take advantage of the atomic structure of the whole γ-secretase complex that has recently been solved (Bai et al., 2015). While further research on selective inhibition of the protease is needed, the field now favors modulators of γ-secretase that shift the peptide bond cleavage 3–4 residues N-terminal to the Aβ42 site without blocking proteolysis. Different chemical classes of such γ-modulators are being developed; whether they can achieve brain
penetration and potency to levels needed to lower Aβ chronically remains untested.

**Solanezumab: a probable signal in mild AD**

Since active and passive immunotherapy to lower amyloid was first conceptualized (Schenk et al, 1999; Bard et al, 2000), antibody trials have taken the lead among putative disease-modifying therapeutics for AD. The antibody most advanced time-wise in current human testing is solanezumab, which targets the mid-region of Aβ and binds principally to soluble monomers and perhaps low-n oligomers but not to plaques. Two large Phase 3 trials in mild and moderate AD patients failed to achieve their clinical endpoints. Pre-specified, post hoc analyses of the combined mild subjects of the trials showed a statistically significant ~34% slowing of cognitive decline vs. placebo over 18 months [see tables 3 and 4 in Doody et al, 2014]. The moderate AD patients in the same trials showed no benefit, proving the widely held assumption that anti-Aβ agents should be started in mild AD or even earlier. The results in the mild subjects suggested a small but statistically significant cognitive benefit of this agent, leading to a third Phase 3 study in only mild subjects that is underway. It is of interest that another antibody, crenezumab, produced similar signs of modest slowing of cognitive decline in mild AD patients in a Phase 2 trial (Cummings et al, 2014).

**Aducanumab: a big signal in a small proof-of-concept trial**

The strongest hint to date of the potential clinical and biomarker benefits of an amyloid-targeting agent came recently in a Phase 1b trial of a human monoclonal antibody (BIBB-037 or aducanumab) that emerged from a large screen of B-cell clones obtained from healthy aged people. All 165 trial subjects underwent PET amyloid imaging at entry to confirm the clinical diagnosis; this had not been done in the completed trials reviewed above, where up to 30% of subjects were later found to lack amyloid. Another difference of the small aducanumab trial is that it was conducted in one country (United States), so all cognitive evaluations were performed in one common language, probably reducing inter-subject variability in scoring. Three IV doses (1, 3 or 10 mg/kg/mo) were initially compared to placebo after 6 and 12 months. The 3 and 10 mg dose reduced PET amyloid levels at 6 months and more so at 12 months, with the 10 mg dose causing a decline to near the level required for trial entry (Sevigny et al, 2015). This dose-dependent evidence of target engagement and biomarker movement was accompanied by significantly less decline (vs. placebo) in two tests, the Mini-Mental State Exam and the Clinical Dementia Rating—Sum of Boxes. In the 10 mg dose group, these scores were almost stable from 6 to 12 months. The only meaningful adverse event was transient ARIA-E (amyloid-related imaging abnormality—edema) in ~20% of the subjects receiving aducanumab. As in some prior Aβ antibody trials, ARIA-E occurred mostly in ApoE4 patients, was dose-dependent, and produced no symptoms in 65% of these cases. Both the careful design of this small study and the nature of the human antibody, which apparently binds plaques and oligomers but not monomers, may have contributed to the positive clinical and biomarker outcomes. Aducanumab entered the necessary Phase 3 studies in 2015.

The advent of “secondary prevention” trials

The failures of some anti-amyloid agents that appeared to engage their targets but did not achieve clinical endpoints in mild-to-moderate AD patients have moved the field to attempt pre-symptomatic or “secondary prevention” trials in subjects shown by PET amyloid imaging and/or CSF Aβ42/tau assays to be at high risk for developing AD dementia. Such prevention trials are now being conducted, respectively, in the world’s largest kindred carrying a presenilin-1 mutation (the API study in rural Colombia (Ayutyanont et al, 2014)) or in many smaller kindreds carrying presenilin-1 or prese-nilin-2 or APP mutations (the DIAN trials). Importantly, the first prevention trial in largely pre-symptomatic humans at risk of late-onset AD (ages 65–85) based on abnormal PET amyloid scans is now underway in > 60 centers in the United States, Canada and Australia [A4study.org] (Sperling et al, 2014). All three of these trials are initially administering Aβ antibodies, but other agents targeting Aβ or other factors (tau; neuroinflammation) are planned or underway.

**Active vaccines: no longer at the forefront but not forgotten**

AD immunotherapy in man began with an active vaccine trial (using AN-1792, a synthetic Aβ1–42 peptide) that was terminated after 2–3 doses due to the occurrence of a T-cell mediated meningeal inflammation in 6% of the Phase 2 patients (Gilman et al, 2005). But quantitative neuropathological analyses of a few brains from subjects who had died years after a Phase 1 trial of AN1792 revealed evidence of amyloid clearing and apparent lessening of neuritic dystrophy and synaptic deficits, compared to what would be expected in such advanced AD patients (Serrano-Pozo et al, 2010). Only 1–2 trials of active Aβ vaccines are underway at this writing, but this approach clearly deserves more study, as the polyclonal antibody response may prove beneficial, and the cost and logistics of distributing passively administered monoclonal antibodies several times per year to the world’s AD population are daunting.

**β-secretase 1 inhibition in Phase 3: much anticipated**

Inhibitors of β-secretase arrived later than those for γ-secretase, in part because of the pharmacological challenges of targeting the large active site of this aspartyl protease in intact neurons. But now, several companies have Phase 2 or 3 trials of chemically distinct inhibitors underway. No published data of efficacy and side effects in man are yet available. Nevertheless, the discovery of many new substrates of β-secretase, including some that are critical for signaling events in both the immature and mature nervous system (Willem et al, 2006; Hemming et al, 2009; Kuhn et al, 2012), raises the possibility of significant adverse events appearing over time in such trials. Speculation abound about whether lowering Aβ42 monomers with β- or γ-secretase inhibitors/modulators or binding and clearing plaques and diffusible Aβ with antibodies will turn out to be more efficacious. The combined testing of two anti-Aβ agents is desirable and may not lie too far ahead.

**The amyloid hypothesis at 25 years**

This review perforce mentions only a fraction of the many studies on the relationship of Aβ accumulation to the other features of the AD syndrome. But the examples we highlight underscore the compelling nature of the extensive preclinical and emerging clinical evidence that Aβ dyshomeostasis is upstream of alterations in other
proteins and diverse cell types that contribute to the AD cognitive phenotype (Box 1). We emphasized more than a dozen years ago (Hardy & Selkoe, 2002) that definitive proof of this once controversial concept could only come from clinical trials that selectively target Aβ and produce slowing and ultimately arrest of cognitive decline in typical AD patients. The recent aducanumab Phase 1b data are consistent with such evidence, although we obviously need large, multi-national trials that show significant amelioration of AD progression over 18–24 months.

Success breeds success, and it appears increasingly likely that exciting progress in the clinic, building upon a 3-decade record of advances in the laboratory, will provide this proof. The continued push toward a safe and efficacious amyloid therapeutic takes noth-
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