Photobiomodulation Improves Memory in Mild Cognitive Impairment: Three Case Reports
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Abstract

Objective: To examine the effect of photobiomodulation (PBM) on cognitive performance in older adults with mild cognitive impairment (MCI).

Background: While some positive effects of PBM stimulation to improve cognitive function in patients with traumatic brain injury and dementia has been reported, the PBM effects on amnestic mild cognitive impairment are relatively unexplored.

Materials and methods: Three patients (mean age = 62) received 18-sessions of PBM stimulation twice per week for nine weeks. Each patient was assessed with Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (Rey-O testing visual memory) and Hong Kong List Learning Test (HKLTT testing verbal memory), Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR), Functional Activities Questionnaire (FAQ), Geriatric Anxiety Scale-10 Item Version (GAS-10) and Chinese Geriatric Depression Scale (CGDS) before and after PBM.

Results: All subjects demonstrated memory impairment at baseline, which was improved within the normal range after the intervention. One subject's verbal memory improved from 1st percentile (moderately impaired) to 67th percentile (average), another patient improved from 4th percentile to 26th percentile, and the third from 11th percentile to 54th percentile.

Conclusions: PBM treatment may be a promising noninvasive intervention for patients with MCI or other memory disorder types.
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Introduction

Photobiomodulation (PBM) is a non-invasive technique that utilizes light energy with wavelengths in the visible (400-700 nm) and/or near-infrared (750-1100 nm) range to activate cellular activity [1]. It has been proposed that the effect of light energy on enhancing microcirculation and central hemodynamics of the brain constitutes the fundamental mechanism in improving cognitive abilities [2,3]. There has been a growing interest in applying PBM as a possible intervention for cognitive disorders, including traumatic brain injury (TBI) [4-8] and dementia related to Alzheimer’s disease or Parkinson’s disease [8-13]. A case report showed significantly improved executive functions and verbal learning and memory in two TBI patients after PBM [5]. Hipskind, et al. [4] also reported promising PBM effects on 12 chronic TBI patients, who showed significant improvement in neuropsychological tests after 6-weeks of intervention. PBM studies on dementia patients have also show encouraging results. Saltmarche, et al. [9] reported significant improvement in general cognitive function, better sleep, and less anxiety after 12-weeks of PBM treatment in five demented patients. Chao’s pilot trial reported significantly improved cognitive functions in four patients with dementia after 12-weeks of PBM [10]. Another study on 11 patients with dementia also improved executive functions after 28 consecutive daily, 6-minute PBM sessions [11].
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Despite the encouraging findings on TBI and dementia, PBM effects on amnestic mild cognitive impairment (MCI) are relatively less studied. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is defined as a transitional stage between the loss of brain function caused by normal aging and the onset of dementia. It has been found that about 19%-50% of patients with an MCI diagnosis progress to dementia over three years. Our recent study showed that older adults with MCI after a single stimulation demonstrated improved memory function, and similar improvement was also observed in normal older adults [14]. In specific, only those who received the stimulation but not those who received sham stimulation showed improved inhibition ability and mental flexibility. The functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) results further showed that older adults who received PBM also reduced hemodynamic response when performing a difficult task, suggesting that the difficult task may become less effortful for the older adults [15].

For the past few years, our center has tested PBM on patients with cognitive disorders. The present study reported the improvements in three patients with the amnestic type of MCI after 9 weeks of intervention. The treatment results revealed in this case report may shed light on the potential efficacy and applicability of PBM as a possible intervention for patients with MCI.

Methods

Participants

The subjects were classified with Amnestic MCI according to the criteria proposed by the National Institute of Aging-Alzheimer’s Association workgroups [16]. At the baseline, they had a negative history of dementia or other neurological disorders, diabetes, atherosclerosis, hypothyroidism, and cardiac disease. They were administered the Chinese version of Mattis Dementia Rating Scale [17] (CDRS), which is a validated screening for dementia, and all patients scored above the clinical cutoff in CDRS, which suggests no evidence for dementia. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient. Ethics approval was obtained from the Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong-New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee.

Before and after the intervention, each patient was tested individually with standardized neuropsychological tests on cognitive functions, psychological measures on mood state and anxiety levels, and standardized questionnaires on daily functioning abilities by well-trained research assistants.

Measures

The assessment tools adopted are standardized and sensitive measures of general cognitive abilities, adaptive living abilities, verbal and visual memory functions, mood status, and anxiety level. Each patient’s test performance was compared with the normative samples of their similar age and educational levels. The tests are as follows:

- **Clinical Dementia Rating Scale** [18] (CDR): CDR is a well-established semi-structured interview that evaluates six cognitive and functional performance domains applicable to MCI and dementia.

- **Geriatric Anxiety Scale-10 Item Version** [22] (GAS-10): GAS-10 is a brief self-report questionnaire measuring the degree of anxiety or stress-related symptoms, e.g., irritability, tiredness, muscle tension, restlessness.

- **Chinese Geriatric Depression Scale** [23] (CGDS): CGDS is a standardized self-report questionnaire measuring the degree of depressive symptoms, e.g., life satisfaction, sense of uselessness, helplessness, sadness. We adopted the 15-item short-form.

PBM Stimulation

PBM was applied using a Wisefori 5-3800 model (Wisefor Ltd. Hong Kong). The device contained up to nine individual LED nodes, which can be placed at various points according to the international 10/10 system, and it emitted 810 nm light at an irradiance of 20 mW/cm². Using a patented design, the protocol could be adjusted using a smart phone. This device was granted a CE certificate and is registered by the FDA as a Class 1 device. The duration of stimulation was 350 seconds, which generated a fluence of 7 J/cm². There were altogether 18 sessions of PBM stimulation, twice per week for nine weeks. Each session lasted for around 20 minutes with three stimulation sessions and a one-minute break in between.

Case Presentation

**Case P1**: P1 was a 48 years old female housewife with 11 years of education, and she had a negative history of neurological disorders. At the baseline, her age- and the education-adjusted total score (146.2) in the CDRS were above the clinical cutoff for dementia (Table 1). She scored 0.5/3 in the standard global score of CDR and scored 6/30 in the FAQ (a measure of daily living activities), suggesting that she had some difficulty and may require assistance in performing some daily living chores. Besides, she showed a mild level of anxiety problems (total score = 9) as measured by the GAS-10 and a below the clinical cutoff level of depressive symptoms (total score = 3) as measured by CGDS at the baseline (Table 1).

Her memory has improved significantly after the intervention, as indicated by her performance on the pre- and post-neuropsychological memory tests. Her baseline 30-minute delayed recall performance in the HKLLT (a verbal memory test) was at the 1st percentile rank (-2.17SD;
clinically classified in the moderately impaired range), and her performance on the Rey-O (a visual memory test) was at the 10th percentile (-1.27SD; clinically classified as a borderline range). After the intervention, P1’s memory function had improved significantly. Her verbal memory improved by 2.62SD (from 1st percentile to 67th percentile), her performance after the course of PBM stimulation was classified as average, while the baseline level was classified as moderately impaired. Her visual memory was also improved by 0.36SD (from 10th percentile to 18th percentile) (Figure 1). Before PBM stimulation, P1 commented that she would always be forgetful, but since the second month of PBM, she reported that she could recall more events than before.

Besides, her CDR global score dropped from 0.5 to 0 (Table 2). At baseline, she had reported severe memory loss and slight impairment in judgment and problem solving; however, she reported that these functions had improved at the post-intervention assessment. Her FAQ total score dropped from 6 to 1, and these results suggested that her daily functioning had improved from some to very minimal difficulty. She indicated mild anxiety at the baseline, which had improved to

![Figure 1: Performance (percentile rank) of visual and verbal memory measured by 30-minute delayed recall of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (Rey-O) and the Hong Kong List Learning Test (HKLLT) respectively at the baseline and after PBM.](image)

Table 1: Demographics and baseline characteristics of each participant.

| Participant | Age | Gender | Work Status | Years of Education | CDRS Score | CDR Score | FAQ Score |
|-------------|-----|--------|-------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| P1          | 48  | F      | Housewife   | 11                | 146.20     | 0.5       | 6         |
| P2          | 73  | F      | Retired     | 13                | 156.79     | 0.5       | 5         |
| P3          | 66  | F      | Retired     | 11                | 158.29     | 0.5       | 2         |
| Mean        | 62.33 |       |             | 11.67             | 153.76     | 0.5       | 4.33      |

CDRS Score = Total score of Cantonese version of Mattis Dementia Rating Scale adjusted by the participant’s age and education level; CDR Score = Standard global score of the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale; FAQ Score = Total score of the Functional Activities Questionnaire.

Table 2: Scores of each patient on cognitive, emotional and functional activities measures before and after PBM stimulation.

| Measures       | Case P1 | Case P2 | Case P3 |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                | Before  | After   | Before  | After   | Before  | After   |
| CDR            | 0.5     | 0       | 0.5     | 0.5     | 0.5     | 0       |
| FAQ            | 6       | 1       | 5       | 1       | 2       | 0       |
| HKLLT (z)*     | -2.17   | +0.45   | +0.40   | +0.71   | +1.26   | +0.97   |
| Rey-O (z)*     | -1.27   | -0.91   | -1.80   | -0.65   | -1.23   | +0.09   |
| CGDS           | 3       | 3       | 6       | 4       | 3       | 2       |
| GAS            | 9       | 4       | 10      | 6       | 6       | 3       |

CDR = Standard global score of the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale; FAQ = Total score of the Functional Activities Questionnaire; HKLLT (z) = 30-minute delayed recall performance in the Hong Kong List Learning Test in terms of z-score; Rey-O (z) = 30-minute delayed recall performance in the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test in terms of z-score; CGDS = Total score of the Chinese Geriatric Depression Scale; GAS = Total score of the Geriatric Anxiety Scale.

* Higher scores indicate greater problems / difficulties
* Positive values indicate better memory performance compared to normative samples
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a normal level after the intervention, as demonstrated by her total score on GAS-10 (reducing from 9 to 4).

**Case P2:** P2 was a 73-years old female with 13 years of education with a negative history of neurological disorders. At the baseline, she had an above-cut-off adjusted CDRS total score (156.79), suggesting that she did not show signs of dementia, and she scored 0.5 in CDR and 5 in FAQ (Table 1). Besides, her GAS-10 score of 10 suggested a moderate level of anxiety.

Similar to case P1, P2 demonstrated improvement in memory function after the intervention. At the baseline, her performance on the visual memory test was at the 4th percentile rank (-1.85D; mildly impaired range), and that of the verbal memory was at the 66th percentile rank (0.45D; average range) (Figure 1). After the intervention, P2's visual memory had improved by 1.15SD from the 4th percentile to 26th percentile. The clinical classification had improved from mildly impaired to the low average range. Her verbal memory also improved from 66th percentile to 76th percentile. Her performance was classified in the average range at the baseline and had improved to the high average range after PBM (Figure 1). P2 reported that she experienced some improvement in memory after PBM stimulation. She could recall things after putting some effort towards recalling, while she had failed to do so in the past.

According to the CDR result, she reported moderate difficulty in judgment and problem solving and mild impairment in managing difficult chores and hobbies at the baseline. These functions were reported as normal at the post-intervention assessment. Besides, her FAQ score had reduced from 5 to 1 (Table 2), suggesting that her difficulty experienced in daily functioning became only very minimal. P2 also reported that after PBM she could keep track of current events and manage her financial affairs, for which she had previously required assistance. Besides cognitive improvement, P2 reported being overall happier and having better control of her emotions. Her reduced anxiety was also reflected by a reduction in the GAS-10 score (from 10 to 6), which improved from a moderate level of anxiety to within the normal range (Table 2).

**Case P3:** P3 was a 66-years old female with 11-years of education and had a negative history of neurological disorders. At the baseline, she had an above-cut-off adjusted CDRS total score (158.29) and scored 0.5 in CDR and 2 in FAQ (Table 1). She did not show any significant anxiety or depressive symptoms in GAS-10 (6) and CGDS (3).

Her performance on the visual memory test (i.e., Rey-O) was at the 11th percentile, clinically classified in the borderline range. Her verbal memory test performance (HKLLT) was at the 90th percentile (high average level). After the intervention, P3's visual memory improved by 1.32SD from the 11th percentile to 54th percentile (from borderline to average range), and her verbal memory remained at a high average range (83rd percentile) (Figure 1). She reported that after the intervention, she found it easier to recall her memories; for example, she could remember how to write a Chinese word after thinking for a short period, whereas before PBM stimulation, she could hardly do so.

P3's CDR global score (Table 2) dropped from 0.5 to 0, and her FAQ score declined from 2 to 0. Her CDR-Memory rating improved by 0.56 SD. Their mean change in Rey-O and HKLLT scores was +0.88SD. At baseline, all patients were within the borderline to the moderately impaired range for memory function and had improved up to the normal range after PBM treatment.

There are three MCI patients of similar ages in our clinic who did not receive PBM, and they did not show such robust improvements after nine weeks without treatment. One patient dropped by 2.3 SD (from average to moderately impaired level) while another remained at the moderately impaired level in Rey-O, and the third remained at the mildly impaired range. In the HKLLT, two patients dropped by 0.62 SD (from borderline to mildly impaired level), and one improved by 0.56 SD. Their mean change in Rey-O and HKLLT scores was +0.23 SD, which is deterioration. Thus, the improvement observed in the older adults who have received the PBM was not observed in other older adults without receiving the stimulation in our clinic. Therefore, the degree of improvement was probably not due to a practice effect or normal recovery. Besides, natural recovery of memory function improvement within three months for an individual with MCI is quite unlikely.

It is well known that the amnestic MCI subtype has a higher conversion rate to dementia [24]. If the PBM treatment could improve the memory function in amnestic MCI, it could prevent MCI patients from further progression into dementia. However, it should be cautious that our current findings were based on a very small sample size; the actual treatment effect of PBM for MCI cases remains largely inconclusive. Therefore, further clinical investigations with larger sample sizes and randomized placebo-controlled trials are warranted to verify our pilot findings.

The reduction in depressive and anxiety symptoms of our patients is also noteworthy. Cases P1 and P2 had a history of mood/anxiety disorders; both became happier and less anxious after a few PBM sessions based on their subjective reports and our clinical observations. Such a rapid treatment effect is consistent with previous studies. Schiffer, et al. [25] found significantly reduced depressive and anxiety symptoms in 10 patients with major depression and anxiety disorders after a single four 4-minute PBM treatment. The treatment
effect was sustained after four weeks. Cassano, et al. [26] also reported that 2 out of 4 depressed patients achieved remission after six PBM treatment sessions. Maiello, et al. [27] found a significant reduction in anxiety symptoms with a large effect size among 12 patients with generalized anxiety disorder after 8-weeks of 20-minutes-per-day self-administered PBM treatment. Nevertheless, the treatment effect of PBM on mood and anxiety problems remains inconclusive and needs further investigation.

Conclusions

These case reports have provided evidence that 18-sessions of PBM stimulation over nine weeks could significantly improve memory function of individuals with mild cognitive impairment. Besides, their emotional and functional independence levels were also enhanced. The patients well tolerated PBM treatment, and no side effects were noted. These findings suggest that more extensive controlled trials are warranted.
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