Short- and Long-Term Effects of UVA on Arabidopsis Are Mediated by a Novel cGMP Phosphodiesterase
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- cnPDE1 is ancient and has been lost from animal lineages
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In Brief
Isner et al. show that UVA radiation inhibits stomatal opening in Arabidopsis. This requires a reduction in cytosolic cGMP, mediated by a cGMP-activated phosphodiesterase (AtCN-PDE1) that is conserved across the tree of life, except in metazoans, where it has been lost. They also show that UVA increases growth and decreases water use efficiency.
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SUMMARY

Although UVA radiation (315–400 nm) represents 95% of the UV radiation reaching the earth’s surface, surprisingly little is known about its effects on plants [1]. We show that in Arabidopsis, short-term exposure to UVA inhibits the opening of stomata, and this requires a reduction in the cytosolic level of cGMP. This process is independent of UVR8, the UVB receptor. A cGMP-activated phosphodiesterase (AtCN-PDE1) was responsible for the UVA-induced decrease in cGMP in Arabidopsis. AtCN-PDE1-like proteins form a clade within the large HD-domain/PDEase-like protein superfamily, but no eukaryotic members of this subfamily have been functionally characterized. These genes have been lost from the genomes of metazoans but are otherwise conserved as single-copy genes across the tree of life. In longer-term experiments, UVA radiation increased growth and decreased water-use efficiency. These experiments revealed that PDE1 is also a negative regulator of growth. As the PDE1 gene is ancient and not represented in animal lineages, it is likely that at least one element of cGMP signaling in plants has evolved differently to the system present in metazoans.

RESULTS

In contrast to the well-known effects of visible and UVB radiation [2], much less is known about the effects of UVA radiation (315–400 nm) on plants. UVA has been reported to affect root and shoot biomass, the accumulation of phenolics, and photosynthetic rates. However, the effects are variable, ranging from positive through no effect to negative [1–3]. To help define the effects of UVA on plants, stomata were selected for investigation [4]. Because stomata, in the natural environment, would not experience UVA irradiation in isolation, the effect of UVA in combination with blue and red light on stomatal aperture was investigated. Exposure to 10 μmol m−2 sec−1 UVA radiation resulted in a reduction in blue- and red-light-induced stomatal opening (Figure 1A). The inhibitory effect was greater after 3 h exposure to UVA than at 6 h, suggesting that with time, the extent of the negative effect on blue- and red-light-induced opening decreased. In contrast, UVA failed to induce closure in stomata that had previously been opened by exposure to red and blue light (Figure S1A). These results show that short-term (3–6 h) exposure to UVA inhibits blue- and red-light-induced opening, but the effect decreases with time. However, UVA does not promote stomatal closure.

To learn more about the signaling pathway underlying this response, the intracellular second messenger, cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP), was selected for investigation. This was because cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels are expressed in guard cells [5–7], and cGMP and its nitrated relative, 8-nitro-cGMP, are implicated in the control of stomatal aperture [8–10]. Illumination of either mesophyll protoplasts (Figure 1B) or guard cells in isolated epidermes (Figure 1C) expressing the cGMP fluorescent reporter, δ-FlincG, resulted in a reduction in the UVA-induced change in δ-FlincG fluorescence. Figure 1B also shows that when UVA illumination was withdrawn, δ-FlincG fluorescence rapidly returned to pre-UVA illumination levels. Unlike UVA, neither red nor far-red light induced reductions in δ-FlincG fluorescence (Figure 1D). As an independent confirmation that UVA induces a reduction in cGMP, ELISA was used (Figure S1B). Together, these results show that UVA induces a reversible reduction in cytosolic cGMP levels in guard and mesophyll cells.

To test whether UVA acts on cGMP biosynthesis or degradation, mesophyll protoplasts were incubated in the presence of the guanylyl cyclase inhibitor LY-83583 (50 μM) [12] for 10 min prior to exposure to pulses of UVA (10 μmol m−2 s−1). UVA-induced changes in fluorescence (Figure 2A) ranged from 15% reduction in the absence of the inhibitor to a 5% reduction in the presence of LY-83583. The addition of IBMX (50 μM), a
Identification of 26 putative candidate cGMP-PDE sequences. This strategy resulted in the bespoke hidden Markov models, large-scale cross-species alignment of Arabidopsis thaliana or any other vascular plant, prompted the use of each of the putative A. thaliana cGMP-PDEs coupled with the lack of cGMP-PDE-encoding genes [5, 15] in mesophyll protoplasts when illuminated with UVA (Figures 3A and S1C). Henceforth, At1G17330 is referred to as CN-PDE1, for cyclic nucleotide-dependent phosphodiesterase 1. CN-PDE1 transcripts were not detectable in either cn-pde1-1 or cn-pde1-2, indicating that they are knock-out mutants (Figure S1C).

To test whether CN-PDE1 encodes a protein with cGMP-PDE activity, the gene was cloned, the recombinant GST::CN-PDE1 fusion protein was expressed (Figure S1D), and its cGMP phosphodiesterase activity was assayed. This revealed that CN-PDE1 exhibited cGMP-stimulated PDE activity (Vmax and Km were 58.22 pmole min\(^{-1}\) mg\(^{-1}\) and 0.259 \(\mu\)M, respectively), and it was inhibited by 50 \(\mu\)M IBMX (Figures 3B and 3C).

This enzyme activity is about 1,000-fold higher than cGMP PDE activity reported in crude preparations from purified mammalian CN-PDE enzymes [16]. This enzyme activity is about 1,000-fold higher than cGMP PDE activity reported in crude preparations from purified mammalian CN-PDE enzymes [16].

Figure 1. Effects of UVA Radiation on Stomatal Opening and \(\delta\)-FlincG Fluorescence
(A) UVA radiation inhibits blue- and red-light-induced stomatal opening. Isolated Arabidopsis epidermis were illuminated with a combination of 10 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) blue (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 470\) nm) and 50 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) red (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 660\) nm) light in the presence or absence of 10 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) UVA (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 380\) nm) in 10 mM MES/KOH, 50 mM KCl, pH 6.15. Stomatal apertures were measured after 3 h and 6 h illumination. Data represent means (+/− SEM) of 90 stomatal aperture measurements from three replicates. Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc analysis, and letters show significant differences at p < 0.05.

(B) A representative graph (n = 50) of the reversible decrease of \(\delta\)-FlincG fluorescence observed in mesophyll protoplasts when illuminated with 10 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) UVA (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 380\) nm). In the presence of UVA, the fluorescence decreases within seconds and reaches a plateau. In the absence of UVA, the fluorescence rapidly returns to its initial level. The lines labeled 380 nm represent the length of time the protoplasts were illuminated with UVA.

(C) A representative measurement (n = 5) of \(\delta\)-FlincG fluorescence from a guard cell on an isolated epidermal strip illuminated with UVA radiation (10 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 380\) nm]). The line labeled 380 nm represents the length of time the epidermal strip was illuminated with UVA.

(D) Effect of light quality on \(\delta\)-FlincG fluorescence. Fluorescence of mesophyll protoplasts from plant expressing \(\delta\)-FlincG was measured when illuminated with UVA (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 380\) nm), blue (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 470\) nm, 20 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)), red (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 680\) nm, 15 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) or far-red (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 730\) nm, 5 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) light. Far-red and red light did not have a significant effect on the fluorescence levels. UVA decreases the fluorescence by 15%, and blue increases it by 2.5% (n = 3, p < 0.05). The lines represent the length of time the protoplasts were illuminated with UVA, far-red (FR), red (R), UVA, and blue (B) together or blue alone. The graph shown is representative of 3 independent experiments. See also Figures S1 and S3.
**Figure 2. Effect of Cyclic Nucleotide Metabolism Inhibitors on UVA-Induced Responses**

(A) The guanylyl cyclase inhibitor LY-83583 partially interferes with UVA-induced reductions in δ-FlinC fluorescence. Mesophyll protoplasts were treated with 50 μM LY-83583 for 10 min, followed by pulses of UVA (10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ [λmax = 380 nm]), and fluorescence was recorded (representative graph of 3 experiments).

(B) The phosphodiesterase inhibitor IBMX inhibits UVA-induced reductions in fluorescence (representative graph of 3 experiments). Mesophyll protoplasts were incubated with 50 μM IBMX for 10 min and δ-FlinC fluorescence was subsequently recorded in the presence of UVA (10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ [λmax = 380 nm]).

(C) IBMX prevents UVA-induced inhibition of blue- and red-light-induced stomatal opening. Arabidopsis epidermis was incubated in 10 mM MES/KOH, 50 mM KCl, pH 6.15 and illuminated with 10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue (λmax = 470 nm) and 50 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ red (λmax = 660 nm) in the presence or absence of 10 μmol m⁻¹ s⁻¹ UVA (λmax = 380 nm) and in the presence or absence of 50 μM IBMX. Stomatal apertures were measured after 3 h. Data represent means (+/- SEM) of 90 stomatal aperture measurements from three replicates. Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc analysis, and letters show significant differences at p < 0.05.

See also Figures S1 and S3.

**Arabidopsis genome apparently does not contain a gene homologous to protein kinase G [18, 19], suggesting that there are likely to be differences between the way that cGMP signaling operates in plant and mammalian cells [20]. To gain insights into the evolution of cGMP signaling in plants, a phylogenetic investigation into the AtCN-PDE1 gene was conducted. Examination of the protein structure of AtCN-PDE1 reveals that it is a member of the HD-domain/PDEase-like protein superfamily. Orthologs of AtCN-PDE are conserved across the tree of life. It is widespread in bacteria and archaea, and it is present in all the major eukaryotic lineages (Excavata, SAR, Archaeplastida, and Amorpheae) but has been lost in animals after the divergence from their closest unicellular relatives (Figures 4A and S2). The Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli orthologs, YpgG and YedJ, have been structurally and biochemically characterized as Mn²⁺-dependent (d)NTP pyrophosphohydrolases [21]. This (d)NTP pyrophosphohydrolase activity appears to be conserved among orthologs from a diverse range of Bacteria [21], although substrate specificity varies. The cellular role of the protein in Bacteria is unclear, but gene expression data and gene neighborhood analysis suggest that it may be involved in DNA repair.**
or the maintenance of DNA replication fidelity, perhaps via the hydrolysis of excess or damaged (d)NTPs [21]. AtCN-PDE orthologs are highly conserved as single-copy genes in all plant genomes examined (the only exception was the genome of Pinus taeda, although AtCN-PDE orthologs were identified in transcriptomes of other pine species [22], so the absence in the genome may be due to incomplete sampling or species-specific loss). This high conservation as a single-copy gene suggests that the protein product of this gene is important to the land plant lineage. However, AtCN-PDE1-like proteins are notably absent from the genomes of metazoans, suggesting first that they have been lost in animals and second that at least one plant PDE protein has evolved independently in plants and animals.

To investigate whether the UVA-mediated reduction in δ-FlincG fluorescence is also evolutionarily conserved, the investigation was expanded to include the moss Physcomitrella patens as a representative of the bryophytes, which may be the most distantly related lineage of land plants compared to Arabidopsis [23]. P. patens protoplasts were extracted and transformed with δ-FlincG, and the effect of UVA on the δ-FlincG fluorescence was investigated. The data in Figure S3A show that in Physcomitrella patens, just as in Arabidopsis, UVA induces a decrease in cGMP. These data suggest that at least some aspects of the UVA response are evolutionarily conserved.

DISCUSSION

The data presented here reveal an apparently evolutionarily conserved response to UVA radiation that is likely mediated, at least in part, by a cGMP-PDE, not present in metazoans. This raises four immediate questions: first, is the UVA effect on cGMP levels present in evolutionarily more basal plant lineages? As UVA induces a decrease in δ-FlincG fluorescence in the moss Physcomitella patens, it is possible to conclude that the ability to respond to UVA is likely conserved over the approximately 500 million years of land plant evolution [24]. Second, is the UVB photoreceptor, UVR8, which is known to be involved in UVB-promoted stomatal closure in Arabidopsis [25], involved in the UVA response? Because the uvr8 mutant exhibits wild-type UVA-induced reductions in δ-FlincG fluorescence (Figures S3B and S3C), it is apparent that UVA signaling does not require the UVB receptor. Additional questions are, what are the likely downstream targets of cGMP in plants, and second, how significant are the effects of UVA radiation on plant growth and water relations? While higher plants apparently lack a key downstream mediator of cGMP signaling in animals, protein kinase G [19], they do possess cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels that are sensitive to changes in cGMP and are known to be important than presence or absence of UVA were identical (PAR = 120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, temperature 22/20°C [day/night], relative humidity 70%, 12 h photoperiod). Final plant dry weight was measured at bolting (B). Individual pots were sealed to stop water evaporation from the soil, and each pot was weighed daily from germination until bolting to compute cumulated plant water loss through transpiration. Whole plant water-use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as the ratio between final dry weight and cumulated water loss (C). Data represent means (+/− SE) of 8 plants per genotype and light scenario. Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc analysis, and letters show significant differences at p < 0.05. See also Figure S2 and Data S1 for more information. See also Figure S4.
in guard cell turgor regulation [5, 7]. To gain an insight into the physiological significance of the results reported here, we searched our database of solar UV spectra measured in southeast England for cloudless days, and then we examined changes in the ratio of UVA to blue light on such days (Figure S4). The highest ratios of UVA to blue radiation are encountered when the sun is at its midday zenith, or on the horizon, although radiation at all wavelengths is minimal at this time. Because the data in Figure 1A show that UVA radiation inhibits blue-light-induced stomatal opening, it is possible to conclude that short-term exposure to UVA radiation acts as a “brake” on blue-light-induced stomatal opening (assuming that the LED-based 3max 380 nm radiation used here is representative of the response to the full UVA wave-band, 315–400 nm). In order to investigate the physiological significance of UVA radiation to the plant over the longer term, growth and water use efficiency (WUE) were measured on plants grown with or without UVA. Cumulated plant water use and daily increase in rosette area were measured over a period of 22 days (from 16 days after sowing until bolting), and final plant biomass was measured at harvest. Growth (final dry weight and leaf area, Figures 4B, 4C, and 4D) was significantly enhanced, irrespective of genotype, in the presence of UVA. In the two mutant alleles of pde1, growth was significantly greater than in WT (Figure 4B). These data show that UVA is a positive regulator of growth, while the PDE1 gene is a negative regulator. The data in Figure 4C show that WUE significantly decreases in all genotypes when grown in the presence of UVA, and this reflects the increase in cumulative water loss seen in all genotypes (Figure S4D). Together, our data show that in the short term, measured in hours, UVA radiation acts as a brake on blue- and red-light-simulated stomatal opening. However, in the longer term, measured over days and weeks, these effects are superseded, because UVA induces increased transpirational water loss, increased growth, and an overall decrease in WUE. Whether an alteration in stomatal density, induced by growth in the presence of UVA, contributes to the decrease in WUE remains to be examined. Under these long-term growth conditions the PDE1 gene acts as negative regulator of plant growth.

In conclusion, we report that the short- and long-term effects of UVA radiation on Arabidopsis are mediated, at least in part, by a signaling system based on cGMP and the novel cGMP-phosphodiesterase encoded by the PDE1 gene. As this gene is ancient and not represented in animal lineages, it is likely that at least one element of cGMP signaling in plants has evolved differently to the system present in metazoans.

STAR METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:
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### STAR METHODS

**KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Bacterial and Virus Strains** | | |
| Top10 | Fischer scientific (UK) | C404010 |
| BL21(DE3) | Sigma-Aldrich, Merck | CMCO014 |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** | | |
| IBMX | Fischer scientific (UK) | PHZ1124 |
| LY-83,583 | Enzo Life Sciences, CAS: 91300-60-6 | ALX-550-002-M005 |
| Glutathione Sepharose 4B | (GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK) | GE17-0756-01 |
| Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR qPCR mastermix | Agilent | 600882 |
| Pectolyase Y23 | Fischer scientific (UK) | ICN20951 |
| Cellulase RS | SERVA Electrophoresis GmbH, Germany | 16420 |
| Cellulysin | Calbiochem, Merck, Feltham, UK | 219466 |
| BSA | Sigma-Aldrich, Merck | A2153 |
| MES sodium salt | Fischer scientific (UK) | 71119-23-8 |
| IPTG | Fischer scientific (UK) | 50-121-7428 |
| **Critical Commercial Assays** | | |
| ELISA cGMP immunodetection | Enzo Life Sciences LTD., Exeter, UK | ADI-900-013 |
| Cyclic Nucleotide Phosphodiesterase assay kit | Enzo Life Sciences LTD., Exeter, UK | BML-AK800-0001 |
| **Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains** | | |
| Arabidopsis seeds pde1-1 | http://arabidopsis.info/ | SALK_009905 |
| Arabidopsis seeds pde1-2 | http://arabidopsis.info/ | SALK_104566 |
| Arabidopsis seeds Columbia-0 | Alistair Hetherington lab | N/A |
| Physcomitrella patens | Jill Harrison’s lab [26] | N/A |
| uvr8-6 | Favory et al. (2009) [25] | N/A |
| **Oligonucleotides** | | |
| Pde1f | This paper | TGGAAATTGTGGAGCTTGCAG |
| Pde1r | This paper | TGGTTAGTACCTCGTTCTTGTG |
| act2qrF | This paper | TTTCCACAGATGTTGATCTC |
| act2qrR | This paper | TCTGTGAACGATTCCTGGAC |
| pde1GstBamHI_F | This paper | AAAGGATCCATGGCGGCGAAGAGCATG |
| pde1GstXhoI_R | This paper | AAACTCGAGTCAGTTGACCATCCACTCATC |
| EF1alpha_F | This paper | TGTCCTGTCTTTATCCAGTGA |
| EF1alpha_R | This paper | TGCCATCCATCTTTTACACAAG |
| **Recombinant DNA** | | |
| GST::CN-PDE1 | EF1alpha_R | This paper |
| pGEX6P-1 vector | (GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK) | 27-1542-01 |
| **Software and Algorithms** | | |
| SIMPLE PCI 6.1.2 | https://www.hamamatsu.com/ | N/A |
| MXPro 3005 real time PCR system | Agilent | N/A |
| Prism 6 | GraphPad Software | N/A |
| HMMER3 suite | [27] | N/A |
| **Other** | | |
| LEDs 385nm | Ushio Epitex inc., Kyoto, Japan | L385R |
| LEDs 470nm | Farnell | 2414443 |
| LEDs 660nm | Farnell | 1142564 |
| LEDs 735nm | Ushio Epitex inc., Kyoto, Japan | L735 |
LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Alistair Hetherington (alistair.hetherington@bristol.ac.uk). Plasmids, pde1-1 and pde1-2 knock-outs used in this study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Short-term response to UVA: experimental model and subject details

**Plant materials and growth conditions**

The ecotype of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. used in this study was Columbia-0 (Col-0). The *uvr8-6* mutant is described in Favory et al. (2009) [28]. Plants were grown under short days (10 h light:14 h dark, 22°C:20°C), 100 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ photon flux density (PFD), and 70% relative humidity in controlled environment chambers (Snijders, Tilburg, the Netherlands) on a 4:1 mixture of compost and horticultural silver sand. *pde1-1* (SALK_009905) and *pde1-2* (SALK_104566) were obtained from Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (NASC, http://arabidopsis.info/) and knock-out status confirmed by RT-PCR. *Physcomitrella patens* was grown 7-10 days at 24°C with a 16 h: 8 h, light at an irradiance of 100 μmoles.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$ on BCDAT plates containing 250mg/L MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O, 250mg/L KH$_2$PO$_4$ (pH6.5), 1010mg/L KNO$_3$, 12.5mg/L FeSO$_4$.7H$_2$O, 0.001% Trace Element Solution (TES – 0.614mg/L H$_3$BO$_3$, 0.055mg/L AIK(SO$_4$)$_2$.12H$_2$O, 0.055mg/L CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O, 0.028mg/L KBr, 0.028mg/L LiCl, 0.389mg/L MnCl$_2$.4H$_2$O, 0.055mg/L CoCl$_2$.6H$_2$O, 0.055mg/L ZnSO$_4$.7H$_2$O, 0.028mg/L KI and 0.028mg/L SnCl$_2$.2H2O) and 8g/L agar, supplemented with 1 mM CaCl$_2$ and 1 mM ammonium tartrate) overlaid with a cellophane disk [29]. Protoplasts were isolated from protonemal tissue and transformed as described [26] and transformed with δ-FlincG plasmid using PEG method and the fluorescence was monitored 12 h later. Briefly, 7-day old protonemata was added to 1% Driselase and incubated, with gentle agitation for 40 min. Cells were centrifuged and resuspended in MEM medium (0.5 M mannitol, 0.15 M MgCl$_2$, 0.1% MES pH5.6) to achieve a final cell density of 1.5x10$^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$. 10 μg of δ-FlincG plasmid was added to a round bottomed tube. 300 μL of protoplast suspension and 300 μL PEG solution (prepared from 2g polyethylene glycol 6000 dissolved in 5mL of 0.8% mannitol, 0.1M Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$, 10 mM Tris pH8.0) . The protoplasts were the place for 5 min at 45°C and cooled to 28 degrees for 5 min before being resuspended in 8% mannitol.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Stomatal aperture measurement**

Stomatal movement was studied using epidermal bioassays as described [27]. Abaxial epidermal strips of fully expanded rosette leaves from 5-week-old plants were peeled and floated on 10 mM MES/KOH buffer (pH 6.2) for 30 min to close stomata. The peels were then transferred to opening buffer (10 mM MES/KOH, 50 mM KCl, pH 6.15) and incubated under blue and red (respectively 470 nm and 660 nm, 20nm full width at half maximum) LED panels supplemented with or without UVA LED light (380 nm, FWHM 470 nm and 660 nm, 20nm full width at half maximum) LED panels supplemented with or without UVA LED light (380 nm, FWHM 20nm). The protoplasts were then transferred to opening buffer (10 mM MES/KOH, 50 mM KCl, pH 6.15) and incubated under blue and red (respectively 470 nm and 660 nm, 20nm full width at half maximum) LED panels supplemented with or without UVA LED light (380 nm, FWHM 20nm). Protoplasts were isolated from fully developed leaves, transformed with δ-FlincG plasmid and visualized using an epifluorescence microscope (Diaphot-TMD; Nikon, https://www.nikon.com/) with a 60x oil immersion objective. Cyclic Nucleotide Phosphodiesterase assay kit (Enzo Life Sciences LTD., Exeter, UK). Briefly, purified GST::PDE1 and GST were desalted using the column provided and the effective removal of phosphate and nucleotides from the extract was tested qualitatively using the Biomol Green reagent provided. All of the enzyme assays were conducted at 25°C for 60 min.
qPCR
Guard cell protoplasts were isolated according to Isner et al. [27]. Briefly, 40 fully expanded leaves were blended in water for 1 min using a waring S5S15 Blender (Cole-Parmer UK) and poured onto a 200 μM mesh to collect epidermes. Epidermes were incubated in 45% H2O, 55% basic solution (0.5 mM CaCl2, 0.5mM MgCl2, 0.01 mM KH2PO4, 0.5 mM ascorbic acid, 550 mM sorbitol, 0.2% BSA, 0.7% cellulysin, 5 mM MES/Tris pH 5.5) for 1.5 h at 30°C. The epidermes were then incubated in basic solution supplemented with cellulase Onozuka RS 0.01% and pectolyase Y23 for 1 h at 30°C. Guard cell protoplasts were collected after passing the solution through a 20 μm mesh. RNA was extracted using the Machery-Nagel Nucleospin II plant RNA extraction kit incorporating DNase I treatment (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesized using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit with RNase Inhibitor (Applied Biosystems), according to the manufacturer’s instructions with hexamers. cDNA was analyzed using an MXPro 3005 real time PCR system (Agilent) with Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR qPCR mastermix (Agilent) using the primer Pde1f+r, and act2qrtf+r primers designed such that one of the primer pair sequence spans an intron (Table S1). The amplified products were then loaded on an agarose gel and imaged under UV light. At least 3 technical repeats were performed for each qRT-PCR reaction. Data were analyzed using the ΔΔCt method, with ACT2 as a reference transcript.

Long-term response to UVA experiment
Growth conditions
Col-0, pde1-1 and pde1-2 plants were grown in a growth chamber in individual 225 mL culture pots containing a 30:70 (v/v) mixture of a loamy soil and organic compost (Klasmann). Plants were cultivated with a daily cycle of 12 h light supplied from a bank of fluorescent light tubes (5 FHO Luxline Plus, Sylvania) complemented with a set of blue and red LEDs as described in the short-term experiment (respectively λmax = 470 nm and λmax = 660 nm), with or without UVA (370 nm, FWHM 10 nm). The overall photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) at plant height was 120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and the pots were moved daily to avoid boundary effects. Temperature and relative humidity were constantly monitored and maintained identical for both -UVA and +UVA scenarios (22/20°C day/night, 70% air relative humidity). Pots were sealed to prevent water evaporation from the soil. From germination to bolting, each pot was weighed daily and watered at a target weight to maintain soil water content at 1.5 g H₂O g⁻¹ dry soil, previously determined as a well-watered level non-limiting for growth [30].

Growth, transpiration and water-use efficiency measurements
Daily estimates of projected rosette area were calculated for each plant using top images taken every two days in the cabinet and analyzed with liastik software, version 1.21.7 [31]. Briefly, total pixels corresponding to plants were extracted from background using a random forest classification method based on color and texture and later converted to mm. Plants were harvested at bolting (corresponding to ca. 6 weeks after sowing for all 3 genotypes), their dry weight was measured and they were dissected to measure their final, total leaf area. From germination to bolting, cumulated water loss through transpiration was determined by daily weighing each sealed pot. Whole plant water-use efficiency (WUE) was then computed as the ratio between plant final dry weight and the total amount of transpired water over the experiment duration. Transpiration rate during the daytime was determined 5 days before harvest. Each pot was weighed with 10⁻³ g accuracy (Precisa balances XB, Precisa) at the beginning and end of the day (light) period. Weight losses over 12 h light period were used to calculate average daytime transpiration rate on a leaf area basis (E), using the projected rosette area estimated the same day for each plant. Final dry weight, final total leaf area, WUE and E were measured on 8 plants per genotype in each scenario (-UVA or +UVA).

qPCR analysis of PDE1 transcript abundance in response to UVA
To assess whether PDE1 transcript abundance is affected by UVA, RNA was extracted from the rosette leaves of 5 week old Col-0 plants 6h post-dawn (midday) using the Nucleospin® RNA extraction kit (Machery-Nagel). RNA was extracted from plants grown without UVA, and with UVA, or plants that had been grown under no UVA light and transferred to UVA light 3 hours before sampling. RNA was converted to cDNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). QPCR was carried out using the Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR® Green QPCR kit on a Stratagene Mx3005P real time PCR system (Agilent Technologies). Three biological replicates were performed for each treatment and three technical replicates were performed for each QPCR reaction. Transcript abundance was calculated with the ΔΔCt method using EF1α as a reference transcript. Data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA.

Bioinformatic analysis
Five sets of reference sequences were produced from proteins with potentially relevant molecular function, expressed in other organisms (Data S1). Jackhmmer from the HMMER3 suite [32] was used to build profile hidden Markov model (HMM) libraries against uniref90 [33] for all amino acid sequences of the human PDEs that hydrolyse cGMP (families 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 11). The libraries were searched using hmmsearch from HMMER3, against all plant proteins in the SUPERFAMILY database [34]. The catalytic domains of the 2 best scoring search hits and their human seeds were truncated and used to create the first set of reference sequences. The hits were also filtered for proteins expressed in Arabidopsis thaliana and examined for cnPDE candidates. The SUPERFAMILY database was also used to identify plant proteins containing a domain with a high confidence (e-value < 0.0001) PDEase family assignment and closest in structure to a SCOP domain with cGMP hydrolysing function. Only results from the following 3 genomes were retained, due to being sequenced at a higher quality than the rest: Micromonas pusilla CCMP1545 v3.0, Volvox carteri f. nagariensis and Chlamydomonas reinhardtii 4.0. The 3 proteins with the most confident PDEase family assignment were used as the second set of reference sequences. A third set of domain-only sequences was created from truncating the PDEase domain of each
of the 3 proteins. Finally, the SUPERFAMILY database was queried for plant proteins with a domain assigned to the superfamily HD classification with high confidence (e-value < 0.0001) and with low confidence (e-value > 0.0001) to a non-PDEase family, or which had no family classification. 100 results were retained which had the most confident classification at the superfamily level. Of those, the 10 sequences with the least confident classification at the family level and which were at least 200 amino acids long were used to create a fourth set of reference sequences. As before, the domains were truncated to create a fifth and final reference set.

Using jackhmmer, profile HMMs were then built against uniref90 for every reference sequence in the 5 sets. The profile HMM libraries were searched using hmmsearch, against the 6 frames of translation in Arabidopsis thaliana (constructed by running sixpack from EMBOSS [35] on the nucleic acid sequence assembled by NCBI from TAIR10). Profile HMMs from reference set 1 were also searched against all proteins expressed in Arabidopsis thaliana, retrieved from SUPERFAMILY. To ascertain the significance of search results, high-scoring matches and their corresponding seed sequences were manually examined, to identify whether the catalytic domain was hit, how well the two sequences aligned, using MUSCLE [36], and where each result mapped on the Arabidopsis thaliana genome.

**Phylogenomic analysis**
Orthologs of AtPDE1 from across the tree of life were identified from the OMA database [37]. This ortholog set was supplemented with AtPDE1 homologs identified using BLASTP searches against an additional set of genomes chosen to help clarify the distribution of the gene family in non-model eukaryotes, and to determine the origin of the eukaryotic gene (whether from the eukaryotic nuclear lineage, or from the mitochondrial or plastid endosymbionts). Sequences were aligned using the most accurate l-INS-i mode in mafft [38], poorly aligning positions were identified and filtered out using the “gappyout” mode in trimAl [39], and a bootstrapped maximum likelihood phylogeny was inferred using IQ-Tree 1.6.5 [40] under the LG+C60+F model, which was the best-fitting substitution model according to the both BIC and AIC model selection criteria.

**UVA spectrum**
The UV-visible solar spectrum (total (direct+diffuse) radiation on a horizontal surface) is monitored on a routine basis at a site in Reading, UK (51.44N, 0.94W) using a Bentham DM150 spectroradiometer with calibration traceable to NIST, USA. Details of the site, calibration and quality assurance are given in [41] and the site is a Global Atmospheric Watch Regional station for ozone and UV radiation. Spectra are measured every 30 min from 290 – 500 nm at 0.5 nm resolution. A number of cloudless days were identified from ancillary data and each spectrum for the day divided into UVB (290 – 315 nm) UVA (315 – 400 nm) and Blue (400-500 nm) wavebands. The spectral irradiance was integrated across each waveband to give UVB, UVA and Blue irradiance. The ratios UVB/UVA, UVA/Blue and UVB/Blue were computed for each time point to illustrate the diurnal variation in each waveband ratio.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES**

**Statistical tests**
Unless stated otherwise, unpaired Student’s t test was used to compare 2 samples and ANOVA was used when with Tukey post hoc analysis if more than 2 samples were compared. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normality and the homoscedasticity of the residuals was assessed by calculating the variance of the different samples. Letters denotes a significance with a p < 0.05. Other details are to be found in the legends.

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

Bioinformatics data are available in Data S1.