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ABSTRACT: In a recent study, TiO₂ nanorod electrodes were prepared by the hydrothermal approach followed by calcination at various temperatures from 300 to 600 °C. The effects of calcination temperature on the morphological and structural properties were investigated. The novel analogue of aminonaphthoquinone(2R-(n-alkylamino)-1,4-naphthoquinone) photosensitizer, viz. BrA1, 2-bromo-3-(methylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione was synthesized from 2,3-dibromonaphthalene-1,4-dione. X-ray crystallographic data collection and refinement confirm that BrA1 crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1. After loading BrA1, the photosensitizer on the annealed TiO₂ nanorod (TiO₂NR) electrodes, the optical properties of the photoanodes showed broadbands in each of the UV and visible regions, which are attributed to the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ charge-transfer transitions, respectively. The dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC) system was formed by loading the BrA1 photosensitizer on TiO₂NR. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analyses confirm that calcination temperature improves the charge transportation by lowering the resistance path during the photovoltaic process in TiO₂NR (400 °C) photoanode-based DSSCs due to the sufficient photosensitizer adsorption and fast electron injection. Due to the effective light harvesting by the BrA1 photosensitizer and charge transport through the TiO₂ nanorod, the power conversion efficiencies (PCE) of the TiO₂NR (400 °C/BrA1-based) DSSCs were improved for 2-bromo-3-(methylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione.

1. INTRODUCTION
To meet the rising energy need, the search for new energy sources and easily fabricated photovoltaic devices has recently been of paramount importance. Solar light energy is the clean, most abundant, sustainable, and inexpensive source among the available renewable sources.¹⁻³ Photovoltaic devices have attracted great interest in achieving efficient solar energy utilization due to their effective solar energy to electrical energy conversion efficiency.⁴⁻⁷ Due to its specific properties such as nontoxicity and strong chemical stability, TiO₂ is considered one of the capable photocatalyst candidates.⁵⁻⁹ However, due to the wide band gap (3.0–3.2 eV), TiO₂ can absorb only ultraviolet light from the solar spectrum. Thus, out of the total light available from the solar spectrum, TiO₂ absorbs only 4–5% incident light, while nearly 43% of the visible light in the solar energy is wasted.¹⁹ Thus, under visible-light irradiation, the overall efficiency of light absorption over TiO₂ is limited.²⁰,²¹

However, in the case of the TiO₂ nanorod photoanodes-based DSSCs, TiO₂ acts as a framework to transport the electron photogenerated by light absorption and helps in adsorption of more dye molecules and regeneration.²² Till today, various attempts have been made to advance TiO₂ transport ability to increase DSSC solar energy conversion efficiencies.²³ TiO₂ semiconductors with different morphologies of nanorods, nanotubes, spherical, and squares have been synthesized by various sol–gel and hydrothermal approaches.²⁴⁻²⁶ This hydrothermal approach led to simple operation conditions to produce TiO₂ with different shapes and controlled surface area with porosity. This assists in modification of the surface area, crystal size, and band-gap energy of the TiO₂ semiconductor to improve DSSC efficiency.²⁷ Mathew et al. used the TiO₂ electrode, porphyrin photosensitizer (SM 351), with the cobalt (II/III) redox shuttle to form DSSCs that exhibited 13% PCE at 1 sun illumination.²⁸ Further, Kakiage et al. also reported using the silyl-anchor photosensitizer of ADEKA-1 and the carboxy-
anchor group organic photosensitizer LEG4 in DSSCs, and obtained 14.3% power conversion efficiency using TiO$_2$ photoelectrodes and graphene nanoplatelets (GNP) as a counter electrode with the cobalt(II/III) redox shuttle. However, the effects of various parameters such as the photosensitizer’s structure, electrolyte, photoanode morphology, and the counter electrode effect on the efficiency of DSSCs have been studied. Furthermore, in addition to the reported well-known photosensitizers, organic photosensitizers are expected to have various advantages compared to inorganic photosensitizers.

Generally, these organic photosensitizers are made up of a donor-$\pi$-acceptor (D-$\pi$-A) system. When used to photosensitize the photoanode materials, the acceptor parts are attached to the surface of semiconductors. Therefore, the Nb$_2$O$_5$ photoanode sensitized with N3 dye showed 2% efficiency, whereas ZnO sensitized with N719 dye exhibited 5% PCE. In this investigation, BrA1 was used as a donor-$\pi$-acceptor (D-$\pi$-A) photosensitizer in the DSSC structures. DSSCs fabricated using aminonaphthoquinone-based photosensitizers, viz. 2-bromo-3-propylamino-1,4-naphthoquinone and 2-bromo-3-butylamino-1,4-naphthoquinone, and mesoporous ZnO photoelectrodes were shown to have an impressive startup PCE of 0.13 and 0.20%, respectively. As reported in the literature, the modifications of photoanode in terms of morphology, doping, and film thickness have significant influences on the PV performance of DSSCs. On the one hand, the photosensitive dyes provide photoelectrons; on the other hand, the bulk of semiconductor materials is only used as a charge transporter. However, due to their nanorod structures, even though the TiO$_2$ nanorod-based electrodes exhibit effective charge transport pathways for the photo-generated electrons in DSSCs, the dye loading in the ZnO-based powder was higher in our previous work due to the nanograin structures, which gave sufficient surface area for light harvesting. However, to improve the dye loading and light absorption, in the near future, we will modify the surface of TiO$_2$NR-based photoanode materials, particularly by loading the TiO$_2$ grains on TiO$_2$ nanorods to improve the dye loading and light absorption simultaneously. Previous literature shows that excessive research has been done on DSSCs; however, in the 1970s, the ZnO-single crystals based on dye-sensitized solar cells showed very poor efficiency due to the monolayer of dye molecules being able to absorb only 1% incident light. Furthermore, progress has been made in improving the efficiency by optimizing various parameters such as the porosity of the electrode, surface area, and optical transparency of the photoelectrode, by designing the sensitizers with functional groups such as $\text{–COOH}$, $\text{–PO}_2\text{H}_2$ and $\text{–B(OH)}_2$. In DSSCs, the semiconductor photoanodes are only used as charge transporter and the photoelectrons are provided by photosensitive dyes. Therefore, over the years, many experiments have been carried out on DSSCs to improve their efficiency by developing and studying various organic dyes such as silyl-anchor and carboxy-anchor dyes ruthenium dye, panchromatic black dye, etc. Although organic sensitizers have become a good competitor due to their low-cost purification process and ability to tailor the absorption band in the solar spectrum, it is important to recognize the kinetics of photo-excited electrons during DSSC operation. Mainly, the D-$\pi$-A configuration showed better light-harvesting capacity between the visible and far-red regions of the solar spectrum. An organic dye’s electrochemical and optical properties are also influenced by intramolecular charge transfer. Therefore, many efforts have been made to engineer the sensitizer (dye) to prohibit charge recombination, reinforce dye regeneration, and cover a broader absorption band in the solar spectrum. As the performance of DSSCs has been strongly relying on the molecular structure of the photosensitizer, it is essential to engineer the structures of organic dyes to harvest solar light more efficiently. Consequently, inspired by previous literature, we have synthesized a novel analogue of the aminonaphthoquinone-based photosensitizer, viz. BrA1, 2-bromo-3-(methylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione (molecular structure of BrA1 and used as a photosensitizer for stable TiO$_2$ photoanodes). BrA1 was used as a donor-$\pi$-acceptor (D-$\pi$-A) photosensitizer in the DSSC structures. Thus, it is expected that further improvement of the power conversion efficiencies of TiO$_2$-based DSSCs can be achieved by forming state-of-the-art devices by combining the hydrothermal syntheses of TiO$_2$ nanorod-based electrode materials with a novel analogue of 2-bromo-3-(methylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione as the photosensitizer.

Therefore, inspired by our previous work, herein, we have synthesized a novel analogue of aminonaphthoquinone-based photosensitizer, viz. BrA1, 2-bromo-3-(methylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione (molecular structure of BrA1 shown in Figure 1a) for use as a photosensitizer for stable TiO$_2$ photoanodes. After the photosensitizer is adsorbed on the TiO$_2$NR photoanodes, the ligand to metal charge transfer occurs due to titanium (IV) chelation with the amine group. This further leads to the formation of the bidentate complex by adsorption of the amino group with titanium (IV). Additionally, the oxygen and nitrogen atoms of BrA1 are involved in the physical contact with the titanium (Ti) atom of TiO$_2$. Thus, the interface between BrA1 and TiO$_2$ is formed. The possible schematic interfacial contact structures of BrA1 and TiO$_2$ are shown in Figure 1b.

Furthermore, TiO$_2$ nanorod (TiO$_2$NR) photoanodes were synthesized by the hydrothermal technique and annealed at different temperatures of 300, 400, 500, and 600 °C for 2 h. Furthermore, the effect of calcination temperatures on the optical, structural, and morphological properties of TiO$_2$NR has been investigated. Then, a DSSC device was developed by loading the BrA1 photosensitizer solution as BrA1 on the TiO$_2$NR-based photoelectrodes in a separate set of experiments. Further, device characteristics in terms of the photocurrent, carrier recombination, and transport times of the fabricated photoanodes (TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1) were investigated in detail. This study would first use BrA1 as a photosensitizer for the TiO$_2$NR
photoanode. Thus, our work will soon open a new approach to using the novel BrA1 photosensitizer for various metal oxide photoanodes’ light-harvesting and DSSC photoelectric conversion efficiency.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials and Methods. The materials used, viz. 2-bromo-3-(methylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione photosensitizers, were synthesized and characterized using the literature-reported procedure and purified by column chromatography with toluene:methanol (9:1) as eluent. The modified hydrothermal process was used to synthesize the TiO$_2$ nanorods (TiO$_2$ NR) on FTO. In the typical synthesis process, 60 mL of 1:1 ratio of DDW and concentrated HCl were mixed under constant stirring for 5 min, adding 1 mL of titanium (IV) butoxide and stirring for 30 min. Finally, the resultant solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave with two FTOs kept in the autoclave. The autoclave was tightly closed and placed in an electric oven at 150 °C for 4 h. After deposition, the TiO$_2$ NR photoanodes were washed with DDW and dried at room temperature (27 °C). Further, these as-prepared TiO$_2$ NR films were annealed at different temperatures such as 300, 400, 500, and 600 °C, respectively, in a box furnace with a 5 °C/min ramp step. Moreover, the BrA1-photosensitized TiO$_2$ NR photoelectrodes were prepared via the chemical bath deposition method. Initially, a 0.01 M solution of photosensitizer (BrA1) in methanol was prepared in five separate beakers. Then, one hydrothermally prepared TiO$_2$ NR photoanode (300, 400, 500, and 600 °C) was dipped into the sensitizer solution for 72 h at 27 °C under the dark condition.

Figure 2. (a) ORTEP of BrA1 and (b, c) polymeric chains of asymmetric molecules of BrA1 down the a-axis.
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2.1. Materials and Methods. The materials used, viz. 2-bromo-3-(methylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione photosensitizers, were synthesized and characterized using the literature-reported procedure and purified by column chromatography with toluene:methanol (9:1) as eluent. 2,3-Dibromo-naphthalene-1,4-dione (DBrNQ) and fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) having a resistance of 16 Ω/□ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Methylamine (40%) was purchased from LOBA Chemicals India. Methanol (AR), ethanol (AR), dichloromethane (AR), and toluene (LR) were purchased from Merck Chemicals. Dimethyl sulfoxide (HPLC; Sigma-Aldrich), titanium (IV) butoxide (97%; Sigma-Aldrich), and hydrochloric acid (35−37%; Junsei, Japan) were used as received. Toluene was purified by standard reported methods, and dry methanol was prepared by the literature-reported procedure.

2.2. Preparation of Photoelectrodes (TiO$_2$ Nanorod Arrays). 2.2.1. Preparation of TiO$_2$ Nanorod Photoelectrodes and BrA1 Photosensitizer. Photoelectrodes of TiO$_2$ NR semiconductors were prepared on the surface of FTO. F-doped SnO$_2$ has a resistance of 16 Ω/□. Initially, the conducting substrate of the FTO was cleaned with a soap solution for 10-15 min, followed by ultrasonication for 15 min, and then cleaned using double-distilled water (DDW). The modified hydrothermal process was used to synthesize the TiO$_2$ nanorods (TiO$_2$ NR) on FTO. In the typical synthesis process, 60 mL of 1:1 ratio of DDW and concentrated HCl were mixed under constant stirring for 5 min, adding 1 mL of titanium (IV) butoxide and stirring for 30 min. Finally, the resultant solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave with two FTOs kept in the autoclave. The autoclave was tightly closed and placed in an electric oven at 150 °C for 4 h. After deposition, the TiO$_2$ NR photoanodes were washed with DDW and dried at room temperature (27 °C). Further, these as-prepared TiO$_2$ NR films were annealed at different temperatures such as 300, 400, 500, and 600 °C, respectively, in a box furnace with a 5 °C/min ramp step. Moreover, the BrA1-photosensitized TiO$_2$ NR photoelectrodes were prepared via the chemical bath deposition method. Initially, a 0.01 M solution of photosensitizer (BrA1) in methanol was prepared in five separate beakers. Then, one hydrothermally prepared TiO$_2$ NR photoanode (300, 400, 500, and 600 °C) was dipped into the sensitizer solution for 72 h at 27 °C under the dark condition.
After 72 h of adsorption of photosensitizers, the photoelectrodes were washed with ethanol for 10 s to remove the unloaded photosensitizer molecules. Finally, the BrA1-photosensitized TiO$_2$NR photoelectrodes were denoted as TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1.

2.3. Characterization of Photosensitizers and TiO$_2$NR Nanorod Array Photoelectrodes. The morphology of the photoanodes was further analyzed using the JEM-2010 field emission-scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) instrument. The structure and crystallite size of the prepared TiO$_2$NR-based photoelectrodes were calculated using a powder X-ray diffractometer, Bruker D8, with Cu Kα radiation source of wavelength (λ) = 0.154 nm in the angular range of 20−80°. The X-ray tube had a fixed current of 30 mA and a voltage of 40 kV. For X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), a Thermo Scientific XPS spectrometer equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source (hv = 1486.6 eV) was used to study the element composition and valence state of the photoanodes. The UV−visible absorption spectra of the photosensitizer and photoanodes were collected using the Jasco Ultraviolet-Visible spectrophotometer model (V-670).

The photosensitizer’s cyclic voltammetry (CV) studies were performed using a CH instrument with an electrochemical analyzer (CHI 6054E). Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) was performed using a BIORAD FT-IR spectrophotometer.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data for BrA1 were collected using a D8 Venture PHOTON 100 CMOS diffractometer using graphite-monochromatized Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.7107 Å) with exposure/frame = 10 s. The X-ray generator was operated at 50 kV and 30 mA for Mo Kα radiation, and at 50 kV and 1 mA for Cu Kα. An initial set of cell constants and an orientation matrix were calculated from 24 and 60 frames for the Mo and Cu sources. The optimized strategy for the data collection consisted of different ϕ and ω scans with 0.5° steps of ϕ/ω. The crystal to detector distance was 5.00 cm with 512 × 512 pixels/frame, oscillation/frame = −0.5°, maximum detector swing angle = −30.0°, beam center = (260.2, 252.5), and in-plane spot width = 1.24. Data integration was carried out with a Bruker SAINT program, and empirical absorption correction for intensity data was carried out subsequently by Bruker SADAB. The programs were integrated into the APEX II package. The data was corrected for Lorentz and polarization effect. The structures were solved by the Direct Method using SHELX-97. The final refinement of the structure was performed by full-matrix least-squares techniques with anisotropic thermal data for the non-hydrogen atoms on F2. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while hydrogen atoms were refined at the calculated positions as riding atoms with isotropic displacement parameters. Molecular diagrams were generated using the Mercury program. Geometrical calculations were performed using SHELXTL and PLATON.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Studies of the BrA1 Photosensitizer. BrA1 crystallizes in the centrosymmetric triclinic space group $P1$. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 2a. The crystal structure data are shown in Table S1 in ESI† and the hydrogen bonding parameters in Table S2 in ESI†. The asymmetric unit’s two BrA1 molecules are present in the asymmetric unit; these molecules differ by bond distances (Figure S1 in ESI†) and noncovalent interactions (Figure S2 in ESI†). Molecule A (Figure 2a, atom numbered as A) is in the vicinity of four similar molecules, while molecule B (Figure 2a, atom numbered as B) is connected to six nearest similar molecules. Only asymmetric molecule B shows C−H···π interaction (Figure S2 in ESI†). The quinonoid carbon−carbon distances of both the asymmetric molecules are similar to the oxidized form of naphthoquinone. Both the asymmetric molecules form a polymeric chain-like structure via “head to tail” N−H···O and C−H···O (benzenoid proton) interactions, and methyl of n-alkylamino also supports C−H···O interaction. The planes of the polymeric chain of asymmetric molecules make an angle of 88.71° (Figure 2b). Figure 2c shows the intermolecular interaction between the polymeric chains of A and B molecules via Br···O and C−H···O interactions; the C−H···π interaction of molecule B is visible. The crystallographic data for BrA1 are shown in Tables S1−S7 in ESI†.

3.2. Surface Morphology of TiO$_2$NR Photoanodes. Figure 3 shows the surface and cross-sectional FE-SEM of
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the porous structure of TiO$_2$NR, and thus, the maximum active surface area of TiO$_2$ can be utilized during the DSSC application. Figure 3e–h shows that the thicknesses of the TiO$_2$NR photoelectrodes (TiO$_2$NR (300 °C), TiO$_2$NR (400 °C), TiO$_2$NR (500 °C), and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)) are approximately 1.48, 1.53, 1.22, and 1.86 μm, respectively. Generally, a simple single-step chemistry approach involves hydrothermally synthesized TiO$_2$ nanorods, forming thin TiO$_2$ grains on FTO as seed particles for TiO$_2$ nanorod growth. This thin TiO$_2$NR nanograins layer helps to keep contact between the TiO$_2$NR and the FTO substrate. Also, the interface contact between the TiO$_2$NR and the substrate improves as the calcination temperature increases. After increasing the calcination temperature above 400 °C, a thicker compact optimum TiO$_2$ layer forms between the TiO$_2$NRs and substrate interface. This is due to the nanocrystals gradually crystallizing with the annealing temperature. Further, due to high-temperature annealing, some nanocrystals split and are formed with more compact contact between the TiO$_2$ and FTO interface. The SEM cross-sectional views of the interface between the TiO$_2$ nanorods array and the FTO substrate are shown in Figure 3e–h. Generally, it is expected that the hydrothermally synthesized TiO$_2$ nanorods would involve a simple single-step chemistry approach, which uses small TiO$_2$ grains as seed particles for nanorod growth, as shown in the FTO/TiO$_2$ interface. In this process, the hierarchical non-porous TiO$_2$ spheres serve as scaffolds that provide plenty of conductive tunnels for efficient charge transfer and the subsequent growth of TiO$_2$ nanorods. Further, as the annealing temperature increases to 400 °C, the amorphous nanocrystals gradually crystallize and act as cores, leading to the continuous growth of the TiO$_2$ nanorods. This facilitates the formation of a tapping contact on the surface of FTO, which helps reduce the resistance between FTO and the TiO$_2$ electrode and results in an average thickness of the interconnected nanograins layer of 50–75 nm. However, when the annealing temperature was extended to 500 °C when the nanocrystals gradually crystallized, some of the nanocrystals split and formed with more compact contact between the FTO interface, leading to the continuous transfer of photogenerated charge carriers across the interface. Interestingly, additional growth of the TiO$_2$ nanocrystals was observed at the 600 °C annealing temperature, which enhanced the grain boundary resistance between the grains and decreased the DSSC performance. This continuous growth of nanocrystals occurred at the expense of a thick portion of the nanocrystal with a higher grain boundary resistance, thus resulting in a lower photocurrent. Ghicov et al. indicated that TiO$_2$ nanotubes might collapse at specific heat-treatment conditions, such as high temperatures and long annealing times. Based on the observations from this study, the photocurrent decreased significantly for the TiO$_2$ nanotubes annealed at 600 °C.\textsuperscript{71}

3.3. X-ray Diffraction Analysis of TiO$_2$NR Photoanodes. Figure 4 shows the XRD peaks of the FTO substrate; hydrothermally deposited TiO$_2$NR’s photoanodes annealed at 300, 400, 500, and 600 °C exhibited the diffraction peaks at 2θ = 36.13, 41.2, and 54.31, which correspond to the tetragonal phase of (rutile) TiO$_2$NRs (reference code 98-002-4277). In contrast, the remaining peaks are assigned to the FTO. The results well agreed with the results obtained by Wu et al.\textsuperscript{72} Further, to elucidate the annealing effect on the favored growth orientation of rutile nanorods, the discussion is included based on the available literature.\textsuperscript{35,74} To study the influence of annealing temperature on the grain size, the crystallite size of the photoanodes annealed at different temperatures was measured. In the present case, it can be seen that among the diffraction reflection peaks (101), (112), and (200) of TiO$_2$NR, (101) is the most prevalent and is used for the crystal size measurements. In the present case, the average crystallite size (D) of TiO$_2$NR was calculated using the Debye–Scherer formula\textsuperscript{75}

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$

where λ is the X-ray wavelength in nanometers (nm), λ = 0.154 nm is the X-ray wavelength of Cu Kα, K is the Scherrer constant (0.9), β is the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the peak, and θ is the Bragg angle.\textsuperscript{76} The (101) peak of the annealed TiO$_2$NRs becomes stronger and sharper with increasing annealing temperature from 300 to 400 °C, indicating better crystallinity. The crystallite sizes of TiO$_2$NR (300 °C), TiO$_2$NR (400 °C), TiO$_2$NR (500 °C), and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C) are 37.69, 34.93, 39.69, and 41.57 nm, respectively. Furthermore, the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the (101) peaks of the TiO$_2$NR’s photoanodes (TiO$_2$NR (300 °C), TiO$_2$NR (400 °C), TiO$_2$NR (500 °C), and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)) are shown in Figure S3 in ESI.\textsuperscript{77} However, the crystallinity of TiO$_2$NRs increases at 500 to 600 °C annealing temperature, but the internal surface area of the TiO$_2$NRs might decrease on enhancing the annealing temperature. Similar observations have been reported by Pengn et al. and Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{77,78} Thus, in addition to the crystallinity at high-temperature annealing, the photoanodes are significantly affected by other superseding factors such as the internal surface area. Therefore, it can be summarized that the annealing process may not be heating all grains in the sample equally. This led to unequal growth of the grains (few grew in size, whereas others underwent the shrinking process). Thus, during the annealing process, the interface between the growing and shrinking grains undergoes ruptures and detaches the atoms from one another in the same materials. Therefore, the (112) peaks in the TiO$_2$NR’s photoanodes annealed at 300 and 600 °C have different intensities than the photoanodes annealed at 400 and 500 °C.\textsuperscript{79}

3.4. XPS of TiO$_2$NR Photoanodes. To analyze the Ti’s elemental composition and valence state in the TiO$_2$NR, TiO$_2$NR (400 °C) photoanodes’ and XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) analysis was carried out. The survey scan XPS spectra (Figure 5a) of TiO$_2$-based photoanodes indicate the binding energy peaks at 458.4, 565.4, 529.4, and 284.6 eV, respectively, showing that the Ti, O, and C elements
Figure 5. (a) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of hydrothermally deposited TiO$_2$NR (400 °C) photoanode; (b, c) high-resolution XPS spectra of Ti 2p and O 1s, respectively.

Figure 6. UV−visible spectra of (a) BrA1 photosensitizer, (b) unloaded TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1, and (c) excitation and emission spectra of the BrA1 photosensitizer.
are obtained in the film predominantly. The carbon element may be identified by the “C 1s” peak, the carbon element from the organic residue precursors, or the measured environment that was not removed entirely during the hydrothermal process. Figure 5b,c indicates the high-resolution XPS spectra of Ti 2p and O 1s, respectively, for TiO₂NR photoanodes. The two strong peaks at around 456.2 and 459.5 eV can be attributed to Ti 2p₁/₂ and Ti 2p₃/₂, respectively. The calculated binding energy difference between the Ti 2p doublet (Ti 2p₁/₂ and Ti 2p₃/₂) peaks was 5.7 eV, compatible with the binding energy separation observed for stoichiometric TiO₂. The broad O 1s peak, located at about 530.8 eV, is associated with the lattice oxygen of the TiO₂NR. This suggests the prepared photoanode is of pure TiO₂.

3.5. UV-Visible Absorption Spectra Photosensitizers (BrA1) and Photoanodes (TiO₂NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1). Figure 6a depicts the UV–visible spectra of a 1 μM concentrated solution of the photosensitizer (BrA1). As shown in Figure 6a, the naphthoquinone exhibits the bands in the UV region at 282 and 330 nm, assigned to the (π → π*) electronic transition. Additionally, the broadband in the visible region was observed at 471 nm due to the weak n → π* transition or the charge transfer transition. The electron-donating effect of the substituted amino group shows the charge transfer band in BrA1. The delocalization of the electron lone pair of nitrogen requires its orthogonality in the plane of naphthoquinone. The nature of amines affects their basicity, which affects the extent of the bathochromic shift. This absorption is a typical characteristic of amino-substituted quinone. Generally, it is seen that the charge transfer transition in the visible region is due to the electron-donating effect of the substituted amine in BrA1. The absorption spectra of BrA1 do not depend on the number of polymer-like chains. The absorbance spectra of one- and two-molecule chains were observed at nearly the same wavelength. The polymer-like chains are observed via hydrogen bonding of the BrA1 photosensitizer molecules in solid states. The absorption spectra will not affect even though this bonding exists in the solution. Further, Figure 6b indicates the UV–visible spectra of the unloaded TiO₂NR and photosensitizer-loaded TiO₂NR photoanodes (TiO₂NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1). The bathochromatic shifts in the photosensitizers loaded on photoanodes (TiO₂NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1) compared to the band of photosensitizer BrA1 are presented in Figure 6, and the corresponding values are shown in Table 1. There is negligible change in diameters are observed to TiO₂ nanorods with increase in temperature. Therefore, the absorbance is increased in the TiO₂NR 300 °C/BrA1, TiO₂NR 400 °C/BrA1, TiO₂NR 500 °C/BrA1, and TiO₂NR 600 °C/BrA1 photoanodes than the TiO₂ bare samples in 400–550 nm region. However, the decrease in the absorption of TiO₂ in annealed photoanodes might be due to disordered surfaces and the bulk of nanorods (defects in as-synthesized TiO₂ NR). The same effect has been reported in the literature. It seems that the absorption depends on the dye absorption and the packing density of the nanorods. Therefore, to quantify the TiO₂NR’s packing density, we calculated the area in each SEM image. We counted several nanorods at two different places, considering the 1 mM area of the SEM image of each sample, and averaged the values. Figure 3e–h shows the cross-sectional views of the nanorod films; the packing density of the array is different for TiO₂NR 300 °C/BrA1, TiO₂NR 400 °C/BrA1, TiO₂NR 500 °C/BrA1, and TiO₂NR 600 °C/BrA1 photoanodes. This causes the different absorption in the UV–visible absorption measurements; the TiO₂NR 400 °C/BrA1 and TiO₂NR 600 °C/BrA1 photoanodes exhibit a lower absorption, with the lowest packing density, whereas for TiO₂NR 300 °C/BrA1 and TiO₂NR 500 °C/BrA1, with an increase in the amount of packing density, the absorption becomes elevated. The increased packing density helps improve the interfacial contact with BrA1 and further increases the absorption. Further, as reported previously, in 2-(ethylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione (LH2) to 2-(hexylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione (LH6) and 2-(octylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione (LH8) series, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) electron density is distributed over the quinone ring and the adjacent nitrogen atom connected with the aliphatic chain and quinone ring. The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) electron density is distributed over the benzenoid ring (naphthalene-1,4-dione ring). Similarly, the electron density distribution occurs in the HOMO and LUMO of the BrA1 photosensitizer. Therefore, to study the HOMO and LUMO energy gap, the intersection of the excitation and emission spectra of the BrA1 photosensitizer are shown in Figure 6c. The HOMO-LUMO energy gap was calculated by using the following formula:

\[
E = h \times f = \frac{h \times c}{\lambda} = \frac{1240}{\lambda}
\]

where \(E\) is the optical band gap in eV, \(h\) represents the Planck’s constant, \(\lambda\) represents the intersection of the excitation and emission spectra in nm, and \(c\) is the speed of the light. The HOMO-LUMO energy difference is between the vibrationally relaxed ground state and excited state and is denoted by \(E_{0.0}\). The \(E_{0.0}\) of the BrA1 photosensitizer is calculated from the intersection of the absorbance and emission spectra of the BrA1 photosensitizer and is equal to 2.33 eV.

### 3.6. Electrochemical Investigation Using Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is one of the outstanding techniques for studying compounds. The cyclic voltammogram of the BrA1 photosensitizer is shown in Figure 7a. The HOMO-LUMO energy difference (\(E_{0.0}\), and HOMO and LUMO energy levels have been calculated using UV–visible, fluorescence spectroscopy, and CV, respectively. The HOMO-LUMO energy difference (\(E_{0.0}\) of the BrA1 photosensitizer is 2.33 eV (Figure 6c). The LUMO can be calculated from the first reduction potential (onset of the first reaction peak) obtained from Figure 7a. Further, the BrA1 photosensitizer reduction peak was specified using CV analysis, and the LUMO was calculated by the formula:

\[
E_{\text{LUMO}} = E_{\text{ox}} - E_{\text{red}}
\]

where \(E_{\text{ox}}\) represents the oxidation onset of the BrA1 photosensitizer and \(E_{\text{red}}\) represents the reduction onset of the BrA1 photosensitizer.

### Table 1. UV-Visible Data of the Photosensitizer and Photoanodes

| photosensitizers/photoanode | UV region (nm) \((\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)\) transition | visible region (nm) \((n \rightarrow \pi^*)\) transition |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| BrA1                        | 330                                           | 471                                           |
| TiO₂NR                      | 345                                           |                                               |
| TiO₂NR (300 °C)/BrA1        | 361                                           | 507                                           |
| TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1        | 348                                           | 507                                           |
| TiO₂NR (500 °C)/BrA1        | 353                                           | 507                                           |
| TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1        | 366                                           | 507                                           |
where $IP(FC)$ is the standard internal redox of the system and $FC/FC^+$ is the Ferrocene/Ferrocenium couple. The $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ of the BrA1 photosensitizer is $-3.64$ eV, and $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ is $-5.97$ eV. The $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ was calculated from $E_{\text{LUMO}}$ and $E_0$ values. Figure 7b shows the energy-level diagram of the conduction band edge of TiO$_2$ with HOMO-LUMO values of the BrA1 photosensitizer. The conduction band of TiO$_2$NR is below the LUMO level of the BrA1 photosensitizer. TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1 shows a higher $V_{oc}$, as shown in Table 3. The TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1 shows a slower recombination of higher $V_{oc}$. However, as the temperature increases above 400 °C, the $V_{oc}$ value of the TiO$_2$NR-based photoanodes is decreased again. Figure 7b shows that the maximum voltage is the difference between the redox potential of the electrolyte and the Fermi level of TiO$_2$NR. The latter is changed with change in temperature and forms a new Fermi level, i.e., quasi-Fermi level. Therefore, due to the change in the Fermi level of TiO$_2$NR at 400 °C, there is a change in $V_{oc}$.

### 3.7 FT-IR Analysis of Photosensitizers and Photoanodes

To evaluate the photosensitizer (BrA1)/TiO$_2$NR interaction, Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) measurements were performed on BrA1 and TiO$_2$NR-based photoanodes (TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1), respectively. Figure 8a,b shows the FT-IR spectra of BrA1, and Figure 8c,d presents the FT-IR of TiO$_2$NR photoanodes (TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/}
BrA1, and TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1) in the range 3500–2900 cm⁻¹ and 1750–1500 cm⁻¹, respectively. Furthermore, for comparison, the FT-IR band frequencies of photosensitizers and photoelectrodes are well summarized in Table 2. It is observed that the $\nu_{N-H}$ band of photosensitizer BrA1 (3305 cm⁻¹) was increased by 8, 11, 6, and 9 cm⁻¹ in their photoanodes (TiO₂NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1), respectively. On the other hand, the $\nu_{C=O}$ frequencies of photosensitizers observed at 1680 cm⁻¹ (BrA1) are shifted to higher wavenumbers (bathochromic shift) by 2, 5, 3, and 4 cm⁻¹, respectively, in TiO₂NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1 with the annealing temperature. The BrA1 photosensitizer anchoring site is attached to TiO₂NR through $\nu_{N-H}$ and $\nu_{C=O}$ bonding. The BrA1 photosensitizer shows $\nu_{N-H}$ and $\nu_{C=O}$ frequencies at 3305 and 1680 cm⁻¹, respectively, whereas the smaller crystallite size and defect-free TiO₂NR (400 °C) photoanode surface help form the attachment of photosensitizer through $\nu_{N-H}$ and $\nu_{C=O}$. The cause of this blue shift might be the presence and interaction of different surface-active sites of TiO₂ with a photosensitizer. Thus, as explained earlier, the crystallite size of the TiO₂NR (400 °C) photoanode is smaller than that of other photoanodes. The absorption spectra shifted from lower to higher frequencies as the particle size decreased. However, the $\nu_{C-N}$ frequency observed at 1596 cm⁻¹ (BrA1) is increased by 8, 12, 7, and 8 cm⁻¹, respectively, in TiO₂NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO₂NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1. Thus, the shift in the observed frequency in BrA1-loaded TiO₂NR suggests the strong interaction between the photosensitizer and base photoanodes, which helps provide a channel for the transfer of electrons in the DSSC system.

Table 2. FT-IR Band Frequencies of the Photosensitizer and Photoelectrodes

| photosensitizers/photoanode | $\nu_{N-H}$ band frequencies (cm⁻¹) | $\nu_{C=O}$ band frequencies (cm) | $\nu_{C-N}$ band frequencies (cm⁻¹) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| BrA1                        | 3305                              | 1680                             | 1596                              |
| TiO₂NR (300 °C)/BrA1        | 3313                              | 1682                             | 1604                              |
| TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1        | 3316                              | 1685                             | 1608                              |
| TiO₂NR (500 °C)/BrA1        | 3311                              | 1683                             | 1603                              |
| TiO₂NR (600 °C)/BrA1        | 3314                              | 1684                             | 1604                              |

Figure 9. (a) Experimental scheme of BrA1-photosensitized TiO₂NR-based DSSC and (b) schematic representation for photovoltaic conversion mechanism of TiO₂NR (400 °C)/BrA1-based DSSC.
3.8. Photovoltaic Performance. 3.8.1. TiO$_2$/NR/BrA1 for the Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell (DSSC). The DSSC was fabricated with crucial parameters such as working electrodes (BrA1-sensitized TiO$_2$/NR photoanode). A polyiodide solution (mixture of 0.5 M tetra-$n$-propylammonium iodide ([CH$_3$CH$_2$CH$_2$]$_4$NI) and 0.05 M iodine ($I_2$) in an ethylene carbonate:acetoniitrile mixture of solvents in 80:20 proportion) was used as the electrolyte and a Pt electrode was used as the counter electrode. A spacer made up of four layers of cello tape (thickness ~ 40 μm) was used between the working electrodes (0.25 cm$^2$ active cell area). The aminonaphthoquinone BrA1 photosensitizer-loaded photoanodes were sandwiched with the counter electrode (Pt electrode). The polyiodide electrolyte was inserted between the working and counter electrodes; simultaneously, care was taken to avoid air bubbles inside the solar cell assembly. This prepared device was further used for photovoltaic measurement. The DSSC components and the experimental scheme of the BrA1-photosensitized TiO$_2$/NR-based DSSC are shown in Figure 9a. The photocurrent density voltage ($J$−$V$) characteristic curves of the DSSCs were measured using a Keithley 2400 source meter and solar simulator (ENLITECH model SS-F5-3A) under the incident light intensity of 100 mW cm$^{-2}$. Generally, in DSSC, EIS measurements are performed under illumination at the voltage corresponding to open-circuit conditions.$^{97,98}$ Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured using a potentiostat (Vertex IVIU Technologies Netherlands). The measurements were carried out at an applied potential of −0.5 V with 0.01 V amplitude over a frequency range of 1 Hz−1000 kHz under illumination with an intensity of light of 100 mW cm$^{-2}$. All of the EIS spectra were fitted using ZView software.

The schematic picture of the charge transfer mechanism in a BrA1-sensitized TiO$_2$/NR dye-sensitized solar cell is shown in Figure 9b. BrA1 shows the lower energy absorption assigned in the visible region at 471 nm, the charge transfer transition, and a spacer made up of four layers of cello tape (thickness ~ 40 μm) was used between the working electrodes (0.25 cm$^2$ active cell area). The aminonaphthoquinone BrA1 photosensitizer-loaded photoanodes were sandwiched with the counter electrode (Pt electrode). The polyiodide electrolyte was inserted between the working and counter electrodes; simultaneously, care was taken to avoid air bubbles inside the solar cell assembly. This prepared device was further used for photovoltaic measurement. The DSSC components and the experimental scheme of the BrA1-photosensitized TiO$_2$/NR-based DSSC are shown in Figure 9a. The photocurrent density voltage ($J$−$V$) characteristic curves of the DSSCs were measured using a Keithley 2400 source meter and solar simulator (ENLITECH model SS-F5-3A) under the incident light intensity of 100 mW cm$^{-2}$. Generally, in DSSC, EIS measurements are performed under illumination at the voltage corresponding to open-circuit conditions.$^{97,98}$ Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured using a potentiostat (Vertex IVIU Technologies Netherlands). The measurements were carried out at an applied potential of −0.5 V with 0.01 V amplitude over a frequency range of 1 Hz−1000 kHz under illumination with an intensity of light of 100 mW cm$^{-2}$. All of the EIS spectra were fitted using ZView software.

The schematic picture of the charge transfer mechanism in a BrA1-sensitized TiO$_2$/NR dye-sensitized solar cell is shown in Figure 9b. BrA1 shows the lower energy absorption assigned in the visible region at 471 nm, the charge transfer transition, and the n → π* transition. The charge transfer band indicates the electron-donating effect of the amine group in BrA1.$^{52}$ Therefore, in the DSSC fabrication using the BrA1-loaded TiO$_2$/NR photoanodes, in addition to TiO$_2$/NR itself, the BrA1 absorbs the light and readily donates the electrons to the conduction band of the TiO$_2$/NR photoanode. Under light irradiation, the BrA1 dye in the TiO$_2$/NR (400 °C)/BrA1-based DSSC absorbs photons equal to or more than the HOMO-LUMO band-gap energy, and electrons are excited from HOMO to LUMO state (Dye*).$^{39}$ Further, the photogenerated electrons in the LUMO state of the photosensitizer are excited up into the conduction band of TiO$_2$/NR. Some photogenerated electrons revert to the ground state of the BrA1 photosensitizer, and some recombine with the $I_2^-$ in the electrolyte.$^{100}$ However, most of the photoelectrons reach the interface of TiO$_2$/NR and FTO via TiO$_2$/NR channels.

Further, due to the optimum TiO$_2$ compact layer, the photogenerated electrons are easily collected at the current collector and transferred to the platinum counter electrode and the electrolyte. A TiO$_2$ compact layer between TiO$_2$/NR and FTO reduces the photoelectrons recombination process in the bulk of TiO$_2$ and the FTO interface and prolongs the electron’s lifetime.$^{78}$ At the same time, the photogenerated electrons collected at the counter electrode help to prevent the oxidized dye from decomposing and speed up the circulation of the electrons in the DSSC. In addition, the absorption of dye molecules on the TiO$_2$/NR surface leads to improved light-harvesting capability. Hence, the significant improvement in the $J_{SC}$ of the BrA1-loaded TiO$_2$/NR DSSC (TiO$_2$/NR 400 °C)/BrA1 is the synergistic effect of light absorption by BrA1 and effective charge transfer by TiO$_2$/NR.

The $J$−$V$ curves of the TiO$_2$/NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$/NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$/NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$/NR (600 °C)/BrA1 photoanodes-based DSSC are shown in Figure 10. The $J$−$V$ curves of the DSSC device prepared using TiO$_2$/NR (400 °C) without the photosensitizer are shown in Figure S4 in ES1.$^{†}$ The $J_{SC}$ and $V_{OC}$ of the TiO$_2$/NR (400 °C) without the photosensitizer are nearly equal to zero. During the DSSC photovoltaic analyses, the device was illuminated with 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ (AM 1.5) light intensity. A polyiodide solution was used as the electrolyte. The DSSC parameters of TiO$_2$/NR/BrA1 photoanodes such as open-circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$), short-circuit photocurrent density ($J_{SC}$) mA/cm$^2$, fill factor (FF), and efficiency (η) % were calculated and are summarized in Table 3. It is observed that the $J_{SC}$ value for TiO$_2$/NR (500 °C)/BrA1 ($J_{SC} = 0.63$ mA/cm$^2$) is higher than those of other TiO$_2$/NR/BrA1-based photoanodes. The $J_{SC}$ values for TiO$_2$/NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$/NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$/NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$/NR (600 °C)/BrA1 are 0.48, 0.60, 0.63, and 0.55 mA/cm$^2$, respectively. On the other hand, the corresponding $V_{OC}$ values of the photoelectrodes are 0.50, 0.55, 0.52, and 0.51 V, respectively. The FF values of the photoelectrodes are 44, 48, 46, and 40, respectively, and the corresponding η values of the photoelectrodes are 0.10, 0.16, 0.15, and 0.11%, respectively. Among the studied DSSCs, the TiO$_2$/NR (400 °C)/BrA1 photoelectrode-based DSSC exhibited a higher photovoltaic performance than other photoelectrodes. This is due to effective light absorption and efficient charge generation and separation. Also, in this investigation, the calculated $V_{OC}$ and FF values for the TiO$_2$/NR (400 °C)/BrA1 photoelectrode are higher than those of other photo-
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electrodes (TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1); for this reason, the photovoltaic performance of TiO$_2$NR (400 °C) is higher than that of other photoelectrodes. Finally, the TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1 photoelectrodes exhibited a power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 0.16%.

3.9. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) Analysis of TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1 Photoanodes. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was used to investigate the charge transport properties and recombination of electrons in TiO$_2$NR/BrA1-based DSSCs. 

The EIS plots of the TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1-based DSSCs under dark conditions and fitted by ZView software are shown in Figure 11. The inset shows the equivalent circuit used to fit the Nyquist plot of the TiO$_2$NR/BrA1-based DSSCs. EIS analysis was carried out to determine the effect of annealing temperature on the charge transfer resistance of TiO$_2$. The equivalent circuit consists of three resistance values and two capacitances. $R_t$ denotes the resistance between the FTO and TiO$_2$NR interface. The $R_t$ values are summarized in Table 4; the TiO$_2$NR (400 °C) photoanode shows 51.5 $\Omega$ resistance. In contrast, $R_1$ and $R_2$ are the bulk charge transfer and charge transport resistance ($R_t$) between the TiO$_2$NR-based photoelectrodes and the electrolyte interface. CPE1 is the double-layer capacitance corresponding to $R_t$, and CPE2 is the double-layer capacitance between the TiO$_2$NR photoelectrodes and the electrolyte interface. $R_1$ and $R_2$ are the double-layer capacitance corresponding to $R_t$, and $R_2$ is the double-layer capacitance between the TiO$_2$NR photoelectrodes and the electrolyte interface. $R_2$ is the double-layer capacitance between the TiO$_2$NR photoelectrodes and the electrolyte interface. $R_1$ and $R_2$ are the double-layer capacitance corresponding to $R_t$, and $R_2$ is the double-layer capacitance between the TiO$_2$NR photoelectrodes and the electrolyte interface. $R_2$ is the double-layer capacitance between the TiO$_2$NR photoelectrodes and the electrolyte interface. $R_2$ is the double-layer capacitance between the TiO$_2$NR photoelectrodes and the electrolyte interface.

The charge transfer resistance $R_2$ at the FTO-TiO$_2$ and electrolyte interfaces is very high in the as-grown photoanode, suggesting that it contains many defects and impurities. Further, as shown in Table 4, at 400 °C annealing temperature, the $R_2$ value drastically decreases from 11 979 to 4445 $\Omega$, indicating the reduced grain boundary defects at the interface and enhancement of the charge transport efficiency in TiO$_2$ nanorods. However, FTO annealed at 500 and 600 °C showed high resistance; Sn diffusion starts at that annealing temperature, the increased resistance of the FTO, as well as the random nature of the TiO$_2$NRs in TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1 and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1 photoanodes introduce several boundary resistances at both the interfaces. This unexpected behavior might be explained using the packing density of the photoanodes, as shown in the FE-SEM cross-sectional view in Figure 3. The electron transport-recombination properties of the BrA1-sensitized TiO$_2$NR-based DSSC cells ((400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1) exhibited significantly increased electron lifetimes than TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1.

4. CONCLUSIONS

2-Bromo-3-(methylamino)naphthalene-1,4-dione (BrA1) has been successfully synthesized using 2,3-dichloronaphthalene-1,4-dione. The as-synthesized BrA1 presents the centrosymmetric triclinic space group P1, and the polymeric chains of asymmetric molecules of BrA1 have been systematically presented using the ORTEP diagram. Further, the synthesized dye was loaded on hydrothermally prepared TiO$_2$NR photoanodes annealed at different temperatures. The powder XRD confirms the rutile TiO$_2$NR’s tetragonal phase, and with an increase in calcination temperature of the photoanodes, the average crystallite size increases from 37.69 to 41.57 nm. The SEM micrographs confirm the improved interface contact between the TiO$_2$NR and substrate, increasing the calcination temperature. The presence of Ti 2p, Ti 2s, and O 1s XPS peaks at binding energies of 458.4, 565.4, and 529.4 confirms the formation of TiO$_2$NR. The red shift in the absorption edge is observed in the BrA1-loaded TiO$_2$NR as the calcination temperature advances. Optimum TiO$_2$ nanorods annealed at 400 °C temperature loaded with the optimum photosensitizer were used as photoanodes to fabricate the DSSCs. It was further observed that on increasing the calcination, TiO$_2$NR-based DSSC cells ((400 °C)/BrA1, TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1, and TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1) exhibited significantly increased electron lifetimes than TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1.

Table 4. Electron Transport Properties of the BrA1-sensitized TiO$_2$NR-based DSSCs

| samples/DSSC parameters | $R_t$ ($\Omega$) | $R_1$ ($\Omega$) | $R_2$ ($\Omega$) | $R_2$/$R_1$ | frequency (Hz) | $\tau_{de}$ (m s) | $L_q$ (m) | $L_{de}$ (m s$^{-1}$) | $L_{d0}$ (mm) |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-------------|
| TiO$_2$NR (300 °C)/BrA1| 51.5            | 47              | 11 979          | 249        | 2454           | 0.06            | 15      | 0.0002          | 8.49        | 0.7371 |
| TiO$_2$NR (400 °C)/BrA1| 51.7            | 161             | 4445            | 27         | 354            | 0.44            | 5       | 0.0159          | 2.538       | 0.9262 |
| TiO$_2$NR (500 °C)/BrA1| 51.5            | 17              | 10 290          | 577        | 602            | 0.26            | 24      | 0.0004          | 3.20        | 1.8402 |
| TiO$_2$NR (600 °C)/BrA1| 51.9            | 52              | 10 803          | 207        | 794            | 0.20            | 14      | 0.0096          | 3.53        | 0.8402 |

where $f$ is the maximum peak frequency of the semicircle; the calculated electron lifetime ($\tau_{de}$) in DSSC using the formula

$$\tau_{de} = \frac{1}{2\pi f}$$

Figure 11. Nyquist plots of different annealed photoanodes of the DSSCs; the inset shows the equivalent circuit diagram used for EIS fitting.
Accession Codes
The crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). They may be obtained on request by quoting the CCDC deposition number 2095627 from CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK (fax: +44 1223 336 033; E-mail address: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors
Habb M. Pathan — Advanced Physics Laboratory, Department of Physics, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune 411007, India; Email: pathan@physics.unipune.ac.in
Sunita Salunke-Gawali — Department of Chemistry, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune 411007, India; orcid.org/0000-0002-4460-3992; Email: sunita.salunke@unipune.ac.in

Authors
Sharad A. Mahadik — Department of Chemistry, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune 411007, India, Advanced Physics Laboratory, Department of Physics, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune 411007, India
Ray J. Butcher — Department of Chemistry, Howard University, Washington, District of Columbia 20059, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c03208

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
HMP and SSG are grateful to the Solar Energy Research and Development (SERD) Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, for financial support through the Major Research project vide Sanction order DST/TMD/CERI/RES/2020/47 (G). SAM is thankful to Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj University, Pune, India, for financial support through the Vide Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Research Fellowship-2020 (CSMNRF-2020).

REFERENCES
(1) Takata, T.; Jiang, J.; Sakata, Y.; Nakabayashi, M.; Shibata, N.; Nandal, V.; Seki, K.; Hisatomi, T.; Domen, K. Photovoltaic water splitting with a quantum efficiency of almost unity. Nature 2020, 581, 411–414.
(2) Chen, S.; Takata, T.; Domen, K. Particulate photocatalysts for overall water splitting. Nat. Rev. Mater. 2017, 2, 17050.
(3) Sayed, S. A.; Beedri, N. I.; Bhujbal, P. K.; Shaikh, S. F.; Pathan, H. M. Eosin-Y Sensitized Bi-layered ZnO Nanoflower-CeO2 Photocathode for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells Application. ES Mater. Manuf. 2020, 10, 45–51.
(4) Wu, W. Q.; Chen, D.; Caruso, R. A.; Cheng, Y. B. Recent progress in hybrid perovskite solar cells based on n-type materials. J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 10092–10109.
(5) Pawar, K. S.; Baviskar, P. K.; Inamuddin; Nadaf, A. B.; Salunke-Gawali, S.; Pathan, H. M. Layer-by-layer deposition of TiO2-ZrO2 electrode sensitized with Pandan leaves: natural dye-sensitized solar cell. Mater. Renewable Sustainable Energy 2019, 8, 12.
(6) Khadtare, S.; Bansode, A. S.; Mathe, V. L.; Shrestha, N. K.; Bathula, C.; Han, S. H.; Pathan, H. M. Effect of oxygen plasma treatment on performance of ZnO based dye sensitized solar cells. J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 724, 348–352.
(7) Rajendra Prasad, M. B.; Tamboli, P. S.; Bhalerak, V. P.; Kadam, V. S.; Abraham, J. T.; Rajesh, C.; Pathan, H. M. Impact of composition of polysulphide electrolyte on the photovoltaic performance in quantum dot sensitized solar cells. Mater. Res. Express 2018, 5, No. 066208.
(8) Wu, W. Q.; Xu, Y. F.; Liao, J. F.; Wang, L.; Kuang, D. B. Branched titania nanoarchitectures for efficient energy conversion and storage: A review on design strategies, structural merits and multifunctionalities. Nano Energy 2019, 62, 791–809.
(9) Beedri, N. I.; Sayed, SAAR.; Jadkar, S.; Pathan, H. M. Rose Bengal Sensitized Niobium Pentaoxide Photoanode for Dye Sensitized Solar Cell Application, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2017; p.40022.
(10) Low, J.; Yu, J.; Jaroniec, M.; Wakeh, S.; Al-Ghamdi, A. A. Heterojunction Photocatalysts. Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, No. 1601694.
(11) Xiao, M.; Wang, Z.; Lyu, M.; Luo, B.; Wang, S.; Liu, G.; Cheng, H.; Wang, L. Hollow Nanostructures for Photocatalysis: Advantages and Challenges. Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, No. 1801369.
(12) Kulkarni, A. N.; Rajendra Prasad, M. B.; Pathan, H. M.; Patil, R. S. TiO2 photoanode sensitized with nanocrystalline Bi2S3: the effect of sensitization time and annealing on its photovoltaic performance. Appl. Nanosci. 2016, 6, 567–574.
(13) Beedri, N. I.; Baviskar, P. K.; Supek, A. T.; Inamuddin; Jadkar, S. R.; Pathan, H. M. Bilayered ZnO/Nb2O5 photoanode for dye sensitized solar cell. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 2018, 32, No. 1840046.
(14) Parveen, F.; Sannakki, B.; Jagtap, C. V.; Kadam, V. S.; Pathan, H. M. Surface Plasmon Enhanced Performance of TiO2 Photoanode for Dye Sensitized Solar Cell Using Silver Nanoparticles, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2018; p 030015.
(15) Arote, S.; Rajendra, Prasad. M. B.; Tabhane, V.; Pathan, H. M. Influence of geometrical thickness of SnO2 based photoanode on the performance of Eosin-Y dye sensitized solar cell. Opt. Mater. 2015, 49, 213–217.
(16) Kulkarnia, A.; Grote, S.; Tabhane, V.; Pathan, H.; Patil, R. Photovoltaic Properties of Bi2Se3 Sensitized SnO2 Photoanode Based Semiconductor Sensitized Solar Cell. Adv. Sci. Lett. 2016, 22, 876–879.
(17) Meng, A.; Zhang, L.; Cheng, B.; Yu, J. Dual Cocatalysts in TiO2 Photocatalysis. Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, No. 1807660.
(18) Li, W.; Elzahy, A.; Alhdayan, D.; Zhao, D. Core-shell structured titanium dioxide nanomaterials for solar energy utilization. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 8203–8237.
(19) Zhang, W.; He, H.; Li, H.; Duan, L.; Zhu, L.; Zhai, Y.; Li, W.; Wang, L.; Fu, H.; Zhao, D. Visible-Light Responsive TiO2-Based Materials for Efficient Solar Energy Utilization. Adv. Energy Mater. 2021, 11, No. 2003303.
(20) Zhang, W.; Tian, Y.; He, H.; Xu, L.; Li, W.; Zhao, D. Recent Advances in Synthesis of Hierarchically Mesoporous TiO2 Materials for Energy and Environmental Applications. Natl. Sci. Rev. 2020, 7, 1702–1725.
(21) Wu, W.-Q.; Xu, Y.; Liao, J.; Wang, L.; Kuang, D. Branched titania nanostructures for efficient energy conversion and storage: A review on design strategies, structural merits and multifunctionalities. Nano Energy 2019, 62, 791−809.

(22) González-Verjan, V. A.; Trujillo-Navarrete, B.; Félix-Navarro, R. M.; Díaz de León, J. N.; Romo-Herrera, J. M.; Calva-Yáñez, J. C.; Hernández-Lizalde, J. M.; Reynoso-Soto, E. A. Effect of TiO$_2$ particle and pore size on DSSC efficiency. Mater. Renewable Sustainable Energy 2020, 9, 13.

(23) Zhao, D.; Peng, T.; Lu, L.; Cai, P.; Jiang, P.; Bian, Z. Effect of annealing temperature on the photoelectrochemical properties of dye-sensitized solar cells made with mesoporous TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. J. Phys. Chem. C. 2008, 112, 8486−8494.

(24) Liu, L.-K.; Wei-Wen, D. Process optimization of preparing spherical titania colloids with uniform distribution using artificial neural networks. Colloids Surf., A 2008, 320, 68−73.

(25) Calatayud, D.-G.; Rodríguez, M.; Jardel, T. Controlling the morphology of TiO$_2$ nanocrystals with different capping agents. Bol. Esp. Cor. Vid. 2015, 54, 159−163.

(26) Li, X.-L.; Peng, Q.; Yi, J.-X.; Wang, X.; Li, Y.-D. Near monodisperse TiO$_2$ nanoparticles and nanorods. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 2383.

(27) Zhang, H.; Zhang, H.; Zhu, P.; Huang, F. Morphological effect in photocatalytic degradation of direct blue over mesoporous TiO$_2$ catalysts. Chemistry Select 2017, 2, 3282−3288.

(28) Mathew, S.; Yella, A.; Gao, P.; Humphry-Baker, R.; Curchod, BFE.; Ashari-Astani, N.; Tavernelli, I.; Rothlisberger, U.; Nazeeruddin, M. K.; Grätzel, M. Dye-sensitized solar cells with 13% efficiency achieved through the molecular engineering of porphyrin sensitizers. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 242−247.

(29) Kakiage, K.; Aoyama, Y.; Yano, T.; Oya, K.; Fujisawa, J.; Hanaya, M. Highly-efficient dye-sensitized solar cells with collaborative sensitization by silyl-anchor and carboxy-anchor dyes. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 15894−15897.

(30) Chava, R. K.; Lee, W. M.; Oha, S. Y.; Jeong, K. U.; Yu, Y.-T. Improvement in light-harvesting and device performance of dye-sensitized solar cells using electrophoretic deposited hollow TiO$_2$ NPs scattering layer. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 2017, 161, 255−262.

(31) Wu, J.; Lan, Z.; Lin, J.; Huang, M.; Huang, Y.; Fan, L.; Luo, G. Electrolytes in dye sensitized solar cells. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 2136−2173.

(32) Thomas, S.; Deepak, T.; Anjusree, G.; Arun, T.; Nair, S. V.; Nair, A. S. A review on counter electrode materials in dye-sensitized solar cells. J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 4474−4490.

(33) Hart, A. S.; Chandra, BKC.; Subbajayan, N. K.; Karr, P. A.; D’Souza, F. Phenothiazine sensitized organic solar cells: effect of dye anchor group positionning on the cell performance. ACS. Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2012, 4, 5813−5820.

(34) Hagléd, A.; Boschloo, G.; Sun, L.; Klooo, L.; Pettersson, H. Dye-sensitized solar cells. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 6595−6663.

(35) Sayama, K.; Sugihara, H.; Arakawa, H. Photoelectrochemical Properties of a Porous Nb$_2$O$_5$ Electrode Sensitized by a Ruthenium Dye. Chem. Mater. 1998, 10, 3825−3832.

(36) Keis, K.; Magnusson, E.; Lindstrom, H.; Lindquist, S. E.; Hagfeldt, A. A 5% Efficient Photo Electrochemical Solar Cell Based on Nanostructured ZnO Electrodes. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 2002, 73, 51−58.

(37) Mahdik, S. A.; Pathan, H. M.; Salunke-Gawali, S.; Butcher, R. J. Aminonaphthoquinones as photosensitizers for mesoporous ZnO based dye-sensitized solar cells. J. Alloys. Compd. 2020, 845, No. 156279.

(38) Wu, W.; Xu, Y.; Fu, S.; Cui, Y.; Kuang, D. B. Ultra-long anatase TiO$_2$ nanowire arrays with multi-layered configuration on FTO glass for high-efficiency dye-sensitized solar cells. Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 644−649.

(39) Sharma, K.; Sharma, V.; Sharma, S. S. Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells: Fundamentals and Current Status. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 2018, 13, 381.
catalytic Hydrogen Generation. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2017, 5, 7537–7548.

(59) An, G. W.; Mahadik, M. A.; Chae, W. S.; Kim, H. G.; Cho, M.; Jang, J. S. Enhanced Solar Photoelectrochemical Conversion Efficiency of the Hydrothermally-deposited TiO2 Nanorod Arrays: Effects of the Light Trapping and Optimum Charge Transfer. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2018, 440, 688–699.

(60) Mahadik, M. A.; Shinde, P. S.; Cho, M.; Jang, J. S. Metal oxide top layer as an interfacial promoter on a ZnIn2S4/TiO2 heterostructure photoanode for enhanced photoelectrochemical performance. Appl. Catal. 2016, 184, 337–346.

(61) Aydil, E. S.; Liu, B. J. Growth of Oriented Single-Crystalline Rutile TiO2 Nanorods on Transparent Conducting Substrates for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3985–3990.

(62) Bruker, APEX2, SAINT; SADABS; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2007.

(63) Macræ, C. F.; Bruno, I. J.; Chisholm, J. A.; Edgington, P. R.; Mc Cabe, P.; Picdock, E.; Rodríguez-Monge, L.; Taylor, R.; van de Streek, J.; Wood, P. A. Mercury CSD 2.0 – new features for the visualization and investigation of crystal structures. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2008, 41, 466–470.

(64) Sheldrick, G. M. A short history of SHELX. Acta. Crystallogr. A 2008, 64, 112–122.

(65) Spek, A. L. Structure validation in chemical crystallography. Acta. Crystallogr. D 2009, 65, 148–155.

(66) Agarwal, G.; Lande, D. N.; Chakravarty, D.; Gejji, S. P.; Gosavi-Mirkute, P.; Patil, A.; Salunke-Gawali, S.; Bronime substituted aminophanthenoquinones: synthesis, characterization, DFT, and metal ion binding studies. RSC. Adv. 2016, 6, 88010–88029.

(67) Dar, U. A.; Bhand, S.; Lande, D. N.; Rao, S. S.; Patil, Y. P.; Gejji, S. P.; Nethaji, M.; Weyhämuller, T.; Salunke-Gawali, S. Molecular structures of 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone derivatives and their zinc(II) complexes: Combining experiment and density functional theory. Polyhedron 2016, 113, 61–72.

(68) Choudhari, D.; Chakravarty, D.; Lande, D. N.; Parveen, S.; Gejji, S. P.; Kodam, K. M.; Salunke-Gawali, S. Crystal structures and biological activity of homologated (N) -alkylammonium salts of 2-bromo-3-oxido-1,4-naphthoquinone. J. Struct. Chem. 2019, 30, 2257–2270.

(69) Salunke-Gawali, S.; Pereira, E. D.; Dar, U. A.; Bhand, S. Metal complexes of hydroxynaphthoquinones: Lawsson, bis-lawsone, lapachol, plumbagin and juglone. J. Mol. Struct. 2017, 1148, 435.

(70) Mahadik, S. A.; Patil, A.; Pathan, H. M.; Salunke-Gawali, S.; Butcher, R. J. Thionaphthoquinones as Photosensitizers for TiO2 Nanorods and ZnO Nanobased Dye-sensitized Solar Cells: Effect of Nanostructures on Charge Transfer and Photovoltaic Performance. Eng. Sci. 2021, 14, 46–58.

(71) Ghicov, A.; Tsuchiya, H.; Macák, J. M.; Schmuki, P. Annelling effects on the photoresponse of TiO2 nanotubes. Phys. Status Solidi A 2006, 203, R28–R30.

(72) Wu, J.; Lu, H.; Zhang, X.; Razig, F.; Qu, Y.; Jing, L. Enhanced charge separation of rutile TiO2 nanorods by trapping holes and transferring electrons for efficient cocatalyst-free photocatalytic conversion of CO2 to fuels. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 5027–5029.

(73) Xie, Z.; Shuang, S.; Ma, L.; Zhu, F.; Liu, X.; Zhang, Z. Annelling effect on the photoelectrochemical and photocatalytic performance of TiO2 nanorod arrays. RSC. Adv. 2017, 7, 51382–51390.

(74) Mathews, N. R.; Morales, E. R.; Cortés-Jacome, M. A.; Antonio, J. A. T. TiO2 thin films – Influence of annealing temperature on structural, optical and photocatalytic properties. Sol. Energy 2009, 83, 1499–1508.

(75) Baviskar, P. K.; Tan, W.; Zhang, J.; Sankapal, B. R. Wet chemical synthesis of ZnO thin films and sensitization to light with N3 dye for a solar cell application. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 2009, 42, No. 125108.

(76) Monshi, A.; Foroughi, M. R.; Monshi, M. R. Modified Scherrer Equation to Estimate More Accurately Nano-Crystallite Size Using XRD. World J. Nano Sci. Eng. 2012, 2, 154–160.
(94) Usami, A.; Seki, S.; Mita, Y.; Kobayashi, H.; Miyashiro, H.; Terada, N. Temperature dependence of open-circuit voltage in dye-sensitized solar cells. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* 2009, 93, 840–842.

(95) Wang, Y.; Muramatsu, A.; Sugimoto, T. FTIR analysis of well-defined α-Fe₂O₃ particles. *Colloids Surf., A* 1998, 134, 281–297.

(96) Baviskar, P. K.; Zhang, J. B.; Gupta, V.; Chand, S.; Sankapal, B. R. Nanobeads of zinc oxide with rhodamine B dye as a sensitizer for dye-sensitized solar cell application. *J. Alloys Compd.* 2012, 510, 33–37.

(97) Borbón, S.; Lugo, S.; Pourjafari, D.; Aguilar, N. P.; Oskam, G.; López, I. Open-Circuit Voltage (V_{OC}) Enhancement in TiO₂-Based DSSCs: Incorporation of ZnO Nanoflowers and Au Nanoparticles. *ACS Omega* 2020, 5, 10977–10986.

(98) Fabregat-Santiago, F.; Germa, G. B.; Ivan, M. S.; Juan, B. Characterization of nanostructured hybrid and organic solar cells by impedance spectroscopy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2011, 13, 9083–9118.

(99) Yan, H.; Wang, J.; Feng, B.; Duan, K.; Weng, J. Graphene and Ag nanowires co-modified photoanodes for high-efficiency dye-sensitized solar cells. *Sol. Energy* 2015, 122, 966–975.

(100) Jiang, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zhu, J.; Qiang, L.; Ye, T.; Li, L.; Sua, T.; Fan, R. Nickel silicotungstate-decorated Pt photocathode as an efficient catalyst for triiodide reduction in dye-sensitized solar cells. *Dalton Trans.* 2016, 45, 16859–16868.

(101) Beedri, N. I.; Baviskar, P. K.; Mahadik, M. A.; Jadkar, S. R.; Jang, J. S.; Pathan, H. M. Efficiency Enhancement for Cocktail Dye Sensitized Nb₂O₅ Photoanode Towards Dye Sensitized Solar Cell. *Eng. Sci.* 2019, 8, 76–82.

(102) Majumder, S.; Baviskar, P. K.; Sankapal, B. R. Light-induced electrochemical performance of 3D- CdS nanonetwork: Effect of annealing. *Electrochim. Acta* 2016, 222, 100–107.

(103) Jia, L.; Xie, J.; Guo, C.; Li, C. M. Modification of a thin layer of α-Fe₂O₃ onto a largely voided TiO₂ nanorod array as a photoanode to significantly improve the photoelectrochemical performance toward water oxidation. *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5, 62611–62618.

(104) Seo, K. D.; You, B. S.; Choi, I. T.; Ju, M. J.; You, M.; Kang, H. S.; Kim, H. K. Dual-channel anchorable organic dyes with well-defined structures for highly efficient dye-sensitized solar cells. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2013, 1, 9947–9953.