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Abstract
Image processing, the heart of machine vision, has proven itself to be an essential part of the industries today. Its application has opened new doorways, making more concepts in manufacturing processes viable. This paper presents an application of machine vision in designing a module with the ability to extract drills and route coordinates from an un-mounted or mounted printed circuit board (PCB). The algorithm comprises pre-capturing processes, image segmentation and filtering, edge and contour detection, coordinate extraction, and G-code creation. OpenCV libraries and Qt IDE are the main tools used. Throughout some testing and experiments, it is concluded that the algorithm is able to deliver acceptable results. The drilling and routing coordinate extraction algorithm can extract in average 90% and 82% of the whole drills and routes available on the scanned PCB in a total processing time of less than 3 seconds. This is achievable through proper lighting condition, good PCB surface condition and good webcam quality.
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I. INTRODUCTION
This paper mainly focuses on the application of vision in manufacturing processes. The concept was born from the reverse engineering concept suggested by Koivunen [1], in which he stated that integrating reverse engineering and computer vision will benefit manufacturing processes in many ways. In this case, vision is used to generate design data of an existing printed circuit board (PCB). The data is then further processed to form a computer aided design (CAD) model and other manufacturing information, before finally a prototype of the scanned component is built in a manufacturing cell. G-code acts as the CAD model mentioned previously. The concept will greatly decrease design time and at the end could save much manufacturing cost.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Creating Proper Lighting Condition
Martin [2] uttered that “the quality and appropriateness of lighting are critical aspects for creating a robust vision inspection”. Two lighting modules were constructed: back light to support drilling coordinate extraction (un-mounted PCB case) and front light to support routing coordinate extraction. Based on Figure 1 which is shows a comparison of common machine vision lighting, sources LED arrays was chosen as the lighting source of these lighting modules due to its
superiority to other light sources: stability, flexibility, lifetime, and cost effectiveness (low power consumption).

Lighting techniques can be classified as bright field (on-axis lighting), partial bright field (directional lighting) and dark field (off-axis lighting). Each has its own application field and further categorized as direct and diffuse lighting. Figure 2 groups lighting techniques according to their application fields.

The application focuses on scanning PCBs, a surface with relatively uneven topology and mixed surface reflectivity, and thus bright and dark field lighting can be applied in the front light. However, bright field is chosen because of its better capability in generating contrast and enhancing topographic details [2]. When dealing with metals, however, bright field may create glares on the metal surface. This could result in incorrect contour extraction and at the end, false coordinate extraction. This problem can be reduced by applying a diffuser in our front light module [3].

Back lighting provides excellent image contrast as it produces silhouettes of the image against the bright background [2]. This is used to expose PCB edges and drill holes. The back light design can be observed in the figure 3.

### B. Image Preparation

Image preparation will consist three operations. Those operations are color conversion, smoothing and edge detection described as follow.

1) **Color conversion**

Each frame grabbed by the webcam is stored as an red, green and blue (RGB) image. This is of course useful if it is used for visual inspection by humans, but not for image processing done by computers [4]. RGB image stores the value of each pixel in 3 channels and in many cases computers are only able to process operation in 1 channel at a time. Processing 3 channel images could take too much time and consume too much memory. Therefore RGB image has to be converted to grayscale image, which represents major features of the RGB image in a single channel.

2) **Smoothing**

Smoothing (or blurring) is done to remove unwanted camera artifact or noises [5]. The simplest blurring technique is applied by replacing a pixel value with the average of its surrounding neighbor-pixels.

3) **Edge detection**

Edge detection is the base of contour extraction operation, which is the main algorithm of drilling and routing coordinate extraction. A method developed by J. Canny is applied. This technique takes the first and second derivatives of intensity of an image pixel, as shown in figure 4. An edge is defined if the second derivative result is zero and the first derivative result is above the defined threshold.

### C. Routing Coordinate Extraction

The objective of developing this algorithm is the ability to extract lines from a complex image (PCB image). To do so, contour extraction and polygon approximation techniques are applied.
A contour is a list of points that represent a curve in an image [5]. The contour extraction algorithm comprises component labeling and assembling. Component labeling differentiates holes from contours. Then these found contours are assembled according to the defined method. This module will assemble the contours as trees.

Polygons are the approximated from these contours. This is done to ensure that the extracted points form a close-loop and to filter the results from excessive points caused by image noises. First, a line is drawn from two extreme points of the contours. A farthest point of the contour, measured from that line, is then defined and lines are drawn to connect the newly-defined point with the existing points. This continues until the length of each line exceeds the defined precision parameter. Figure 5 illustrates the polygon approximation.

D. Drilling Coordinate Extraction

The challenge of developing the drilling coordinate extraction is to be able to detect small and imperfect circles. To meet this requirement, two main algorithms were applied: Hough [6] and thresholding-based circle detection. Hough circle is based on edge detection and first-order Sobel derivative. It provides more practical way of detecting the drill holes. The center coordinate of the circles is also directly returned. However, the algorithm is very prone to noises.

The thresholding-based circle detection integrates tophat image morphology, basic thresholding, contour extraction and polygon approximation. Tophat algorithm is applied according to Equation (1). The input image is subtracted by the opened image. The opened image itself is done by first eroding and then dilating the image. Figure 6 illustrates the tophat image morphology. The result of the tophat image morphology is then thresholded and dilated once again; this will reveal the drill holes. Finally, contour extraction and polygon approximation are applied and the drilling coordinates can be extracted. The advantage of this algorithm is the ability to preserve edges even on glare area or in case uneven reflection exists. However, the time needed to complete the operation highly depends on the number of circles to be detected.

\[
tophat(src) = src - open(src) \tag{1}
\]

E. Generating G-Code

The communication between systems should use standardized data formats [1]. For this reason, G-code file creation is chosen as the final step of the main program. After the coordinates are extracted, they are masked to form standardized G-code based on RS274D and DIN 66025 [7]. To be manufacturable, the G-code should include the drilling and routing coordinates and the drilling and engraving parameters, including spindle speed, feed rate and depth of cut. The G-code creation utilizes Qplain Text Edit class provided by Qt.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Routing Coordinate Extraction

The routing coordinate extraction was tested using a simple “S curve” image and 4 PCB types. The result shows that it is able to detect in average more than 80% of all routes. As mentioned in the previous section, the algorithm highly depends on edge detection. However, the edge detection failed in some cases. This is due to the uneven contrast and reflection caused by the front light module. If the input is an “ideal” image, the algorithm will perform perfectly, this can be seen as it was tested using a simple binary image. Evaluating the processing time, the algorithm managed to detect and process 1698 contours in 828ms time. The test conclusion can be observed in Table 1 and the routing coordinate extraction result can be seen in figure 7.

B. Drilling Coordinate Extraction

The detections using hough circle and thresholding-based circle are discussed as follow.

1) Hough circle

Hough circle offers easy and practical way of detecting drill holes. However, this algorithm delivers poor performance as it is applied in this case. The algorithm is based on Sobel operation, which is rather sensitive to noises. Setting the threshold values too high would eliminate most drill holes, but setting it too low would result in so much noises and false circle detection. This algorithm was only able to detect 13 out of 842 correct holes

2) Thresholding-based circle detection

This algorithm performs much better compared to the Hough circle. The holes in the glare area can also be really preserved due to the tophat algorithm. Though there are some problems around the glared and shadowed area, the result is still acceptable. The algorithm managed to detect 817 out of 842 holes on the matrix PCB. The test conclusion can be observed in Table 2 and the drilling coordinate extraction result can be seen in the Figure 8.

C. G-code Generation

After doing some observations and testing of the generated G-code, it can be seen that the algorithm delivers precise result. The test was done by manufacturing a simple PCB from a generated G-code. The result showed that every line can be executed correctly. The unit used in the G-code is mm as a result of applying G71 in the code. An example of generated G-code that shows the drilling operation can be observed in the Figure 9.
### Table 1.
Routing coordinate extraction performance.

|          | SBI        | SPCBA      | SPCB B     | DPCB       | MPCB       |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Processed image size (pixels) | 242 x 336 | 341 x 296  | 339 x 249  | 162 x 172  | 561 x 271  |
| Detected raw contours         | 1          | 248        | 475        | 78         | 1698       |
| Detected routes                | 1          | 23         | 34         | 47         | 227        |
| Detected routes – approx.      | 100%       | 70%        | 95%        | 100%       | 45%        |
| Processing time (ms)           | 78         | 265        | 438        | 156        | 828        |

Note: SBI: Simple Binary Image; SPCB-A: Single layer PCB A; SPCB-B: Single layer PCB B; DPCB: Double layer PCB; MPCB: Matrix PCB.

### Table 2.
Overall drilling coordinate extraction performance.

|                  | Hough circle | Basic thresholding | Tophat + thresholding |
|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Detected circles | 15           | 757                | 817                   |
| Correct holes    | 13           | 627                | 757                   |
| Error            | 98.46%       | 25.53%             | 10.10%                |
| Processing time (ms) | 438         | 16                 | 140                   |

![Figure 9. Generated G-code example.](image)
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