Multi-responsive nanofibers composite gel for local drug delivery to inhibit recurrence of glioma after operation
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Abstract

Background: The postoperative recurrence of malignant gliomas has presented a clinical conundrum currently. Worse, there is no standard treatment for these recurrent tumours. Therefore, novel promising methods of clinical treatment are urgently needed.

Methods: In this study, we synthesized reactive oxygen species (ROS)-triggered poly(propylene sulfide)60 (PPS60) mixed with matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)-responsive triglycerol monostearate (T) lipids and TMZ. The mixed solution could self-assemble at 50 °C to generate hydrogels with MMPs- and ROS-responsiveness. We explored whether the T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel could achieve the MMP- and ROS-responsive delivery of TMZ and exert anti-glioma regrowth effects in vitro and in vivo. These results demonstrated that the T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel significantly improved the curative effect of TMZ to inhibit postsurgical recurrent glioma.

Results: The results confirmed the responsive release of TMZ encapsulated in the T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel, and the hydrogel showed excellent performance against glioma in an incomplete glioma operation model, which indicated that the T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel effectively inhibited the growth of recurrent glioma.

Conclusion: In summary, we successfully developed injectable MMPs- and ROS-responsive hydrogels that could achieve the sustained release of TMZ in the surgical cavity to inhibit local recurrent glioma after surgery.
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Background

Glioma is the most common type of primary tumour in the brain and is derived from the nerve epithelium [1, 2]. Although therapies against malignant gliomas, including surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, have been widely used, the therapeutic effect remains poor [3–6]. The median survival of malignant glioma patients is less than 14.6 months [7, 8]. The hardest problem in treating glioma is postoperative recurrence. Complete resection is deemed impossible in high-grade gliomas, and residual glioma cells contribute to postoperative glioma recurrence [9]. Clinical studies found that 80–90% of recurrent gliomas occur within 2 cm of the original region [8, 10, 11]. Decreasing glioma recurrence caused by residual tumour cells has become an important topic in clinical research and practice.

Currently, there are no specific cures for recurrent gliomas. TMZ is still a first-line chemotherapeutic for the clinical treatment of recurrent gliomas [12–14]. However, the therapeutic efficacy of TMZ is often limited by several factors, including its short half-life in vivo, rapid
decomposition, blood–brain barrier (BBB) permeability, and chemoresistance induced by O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) [13, 15–17].

To overcome the above problems, scholars have attempted to deliver TMZ directly to tumor regions in situ. Local TMZ delivery can avoid the systemic circulation of drugs, reduce the toxicity to normal tissues, provide localized sustained release of drugs, and thereby increase the amounts of drugs in the tumor site [18–20]. Injectable drug-loaded hydrogels, as a local drug delivery method, have attracted much attention in glioma therapies because they could bypass the BBB and act directly on the tumor regions to increase local drug concentrations while minimizing the adverse effects of systemic exposure to the drug [19, 21–25].

Hydrogels are polymer network systems with water as the dispersion medium that can be fabricated by UV irradiation, introducing irreversible covalent bonds, or by self-assembly through chemical reactions [26, 27]. The mechanical properties of hydrogels can be continuously regulated by controlling the ratio of water. In addition, hydrogels possess the advantages of good biocompatibility, non-cytotoxicity, and low price, and they show broad prospects for application in the field of cancer treatment [28, 29]. Drug delivery systems based on hydrogels have been widely used in preclinical studies [25, 30–33]. However, due to the lack of balance among various considerations, this very promising strategy did not work as expected. To date, only Gliadel® has been approved by the FDA for clinical treatment, and has exhibited limited efficacy and many adverse effects compared to standard chemotherapy [34].

In this study, we developed a biodegradable, dual-responsive (ROS- and MMPs-) hydrogel to achieve local TMZ delivery (T/PPS+TMZ). T lipid-covered PPS60 hydrogels were prepared to load TMZ and implanted into the surgical cavity (Fig. 1). The T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel possessed the following features: (1) T lipids were

**Fig. 1** Structure and application of the MMPs- and ROS-responsive T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel for inhibiting recurrent glioma after surgery. A The structure of T and MMPs-responsive ability (a). The structure of PPS60 and ROS-responsive ability (b). B Schematic representation of the self-assembly of T, PPS60 and TMZ to form the T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel. C T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel injected into the surgical cavity (a). TMZ was released from the T/PPS+TMZ hydrogel in a postoperative environment (b). Released TMZ entered residual glioma cells to induce the apoptosis of glioma cells (c).
present in the outside layer of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel with MMP responsiveness, while ROS-responsive PPS60 was present in the inside layer; (2) the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel showed a semisolid nature with a degree of fluidity; and (3) released TMZ entered into gliomas to kill residual glioma cells. In vivo, the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel effectively reduced the proliferation of residual glioma cells and enhanced the efficiency of TMZ. In summary, our research presented a promising strategy to inhibit tumour recurrence, which enabled further exploration.

**Methods**

**Materials**

d-Luciferin potassium salt, TMZ, recombinant human MMP2 and MMP9 were obtained from Dalian Meilun Biotech Co., Ltd. (Dalian, China). The Live-Dead Assay Kit was purchased from Jiangsu Keygen Biotech Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China). Eater-terminated poly d,l-lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA), propylene sulfide, and 2-iodoethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Mice and cells**

Male mice (C57BL/6 and BALB/c nude, 6–8 weeks) were purchased from HFK Bioscience Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The animal research was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Xuzhou Medical University. The glioma cell lines (GL261, C6 and U87) came from the Shanghai Cell Bank, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). C6-GFP-Luci cells were transfected with the luciferase gene. All cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum with 1% penicillin sodium and streptomycin sulfate.

**Synthesis of PPS60 and characteristics of hydrogels**

PPS60-OH was synthesized according to the previous literature with a few modifications [35]. In a dried and nitrogen-flushed RB flask, 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) (258 mg, 1.5 mmol) in dry THF was degassed for 30 min, and the reaction mixture temperature was lowered to 0 °C. To this flask, a degassed solution of 1-butane thiol (45 mg, 0.5 mmol) was added dropwise and allowed to react for 30 min. Later, degassed propylene sulfide (2.96 g, 40 mmol) monomer was added to the reaction mixture, and the temperature was maintained at 0 °C for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 2-iodoethanol (172 mg, 1 mmol) and stirred overnight at room temperature. The next day, the polymer solution was filtered to remove precipitated salt and further purified by three precipitations into cold methanol before vacuum-drying to yield a colourless viscous polymer. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.48 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.20–2.74 (m, 116H), 2.70–2.58 (m, 54H), 2.54 (dd, J=8.3, 4.0 Hz, 3H), 1.58 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 5H), 1.49–1.10 (m, 176H), 0.92 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 3H). GPC was performed using a water system equipped with a refractive index and a photodiode array detector, with DMF as the eluent (0.5 mL min−1, 40 °C) and polystyrene standards used for calibration. Mn(GPC) = 4.10 × 103, Mw(GPC) = 4.95 × 103, Mw/Mn = 1.21.

Then, 100 mg T, 16 mg PPS and 4 mg TMZ were placed in a glass vial, and 200 µL DMSO and 800 µL water were added. The mixed solution was added to an MW = 3500 Da dialysis bag and dialyzed for 24 h to remove DMSO. Then, this glass vial was placed in a 50 °C water bath and heated until the mixture was completely dissolved. The vial was then removed and cooled to room temperature. When the inverted vial was observed to have no gravitational flow, the gelation of the T/PPS hydrogel was completed. T/PLGA/TMZ, T/PLGA/DiR and T/PPS/DiR were prepared by the same method. The concentration of DiR was 100 µg mL−1.

The hydrogel was imaged using a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit Bio TWIN, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The hydrogel was prepared as described in the previous section and diluted by a factor of 20 with dd water. The sample was dropped on a copper grid coated with carbon film, stained for 1 min, and air-dried at room temperature. Finally, it was observed by TEM and photographed.

The rheological properties of the hydrogel at 37 °C were evaluated with a dynamic rheometer (TA Instruments, USA). Data on the storage modulus (G′) and loss modulus (G″) were recorded with a dynamic strain frequency sweep (0.1–100 Hz, strain 5%) measurement frequency scanning range from 0.02 to 100 rad/s.

**Disassembly of DiR-loaded T/PPS and T/PLGA hydrogel in vitro**

Next, 50 µL T/PPS/DiR and T/PLGA/DiR hydrogels were added to a 24-well transwell plate and cultured in an oscillating incubator at 37 °C. PBS in wells was changed with fresh PBS with or without MMP2 (100 ng mL−1) and H2O2 (1 mM) on day 0. The hydrogels were incubated in an oscillating incubator at 37 °C again, and the fluorescence intensity was measured on days 0, 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7 by an imaging device (Caliper Life Sciences).

**In vitro MMPs- and ROS-responsive drug release**

100 µL T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel and T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel were placed in a centrifuge tube, containing PBS buffer without or with one of the following reagents: MMP2 (100 ng mL−1), MMP9 (100 ng mL−1) and H2O2 (1 mM). The drug concentration was determined as we previously reported [36]. In some tubes, MMP2 or MMP9 inhibitors were added. Fresh MMP2, MMP9
or MMP2, MMP9 + MMP2, and MMP9 inhibitors were added on days 1, 3 and 5. The tubes were incubated at 37 °C with shaking (70 rpm). A 100 µL PBS reservoir was taken at different time points, the concentration of TMZ was analysed by HPLC (Shimadzu, LC-10AT/SD-10a, column: Hyersil ODS C18 4.6 200 mm, particle size 5 m), and a release profile was drawn according to the protocol. The standard curve of TMZ was \( y = 0.1158x - 0.1756, R^2 = 0.9963 \).

In vitro biocompatibility
C6 or U87 cells (6 × 10^3) were placed in 96-well plates and cultured for 24 h. Then, the T/PLGA and T/PPS hydrogel soaking solutions were replaced with medium. After incubation for 24 h, the cytotoxicity of the hydrogel was tested by MTT assay. The absorbance at 570 nm was measured to obtain the results. Alternatively, C6 cells were cultured on 24-well plates containing 5 × 10^3 cells. After 24 h, PBS or hydrogel immersion solutions were added to the medium. Cells were stained with a live-dead assay kit after 72 h and observed by fluorescence microscopy (Olympus, Takachiho, Japan).

Disassembly of DiR-loaded T/PPS and T/PLGA hydrogel in vivo
A GL261 glioma surgical model was constructed, and the tumour-bearing mice were divided into two groups: Group 1: 10 µL T/PLGA + DiR hydrogel was injected into the resection cavity (n = 3); Group 2: 10 µL T/PPS + DiR hydrogel was injected into the resection cavity (n = 3). The fluorescence intensity was measured at days 0, 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7.

Determination of MMPs and ROS in the postoperative environment
The expression of MMPs (MMP2 and MMP9) in the postoperative environment was determined using ELISA kits purchased from Laitian (Nanjing, China). Incomplete operations on GL261 glioma-bearing mice were performed, and the residual tumour tissue was collected 1 h later. As a control, tumour tissues from mice that did not undergo surgery were collected. Then, ELISA kits were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The expression of ROS was detected by dihydroethidium (DHE) dye. Briefly, samples were collected by the same methods and sectioned. The sections were incubated with DHE for 30 min at 37 °C and then mounted using DAPI and coverslips. The sections were observed using a fluorescence microscope. Fluorescence intensities were quantified with ImageJ software.

GL261, C6-GFP-Luc and U87 glioblastoma orthotopic models and antitumour efficacy after peritumoural administration of the hydrogels in the resection cavity
Glioma resection was performed as described in our previous research with a few adjustments [37, 38]. Tumour-bearing mice were removed and divided into four groups (n = 9) on day 12 after tumour inoculation: Group 1: PBS (no treatment); Group 2: blank T/PPS hydrogel (10 µL) was injected into the surgical cavity; Group 3: T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel (10 µL) was injected into the surgical cavity; Group 4: T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel (10 µL) was injected into the surgical cavity. On the 40th day after glioma transplantation, mice in each group (n = 3) were euthanized and sacrificed, and sections of the tumours were stained with H&E and analysed by immunofluorescence histochemical analysis (TUNEL expression).

Animals with C6-GFP-Luc tumours were divided into four groups (n = 9) on day 8 after tumour inoculation: Group 1: PBS (no treatment); Group 2: blank T/PPS hydrogel (10 µL) was injected into the surgical cavity; Group 3: T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel (10 µL) was injected into the surgical cavity; Group 4: T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel (10 µL) was injected into the surgical cavity. Tumour growth was tested and quantified by bioluminescence intensity with the IVIS kinetic imaging system on the 7th, 14th and 21st days after glioma implantation.

Toxicity evaluation
Tumour-bearing mice in different groups (n = 3) were sacrificed. The blood and the main organs, including the heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney, were collected. The main organs were stained with H&E. Plasma was separated by centrifugation and analysed for hepatorenal toxicity by a cobas 8000 modular analyzer.

Statistical analysis
All experiments were performed at least 3 times, and the results are presented as the mean ± SD. Statistical analysis of the data was performed with Student’s t-tests or one-way ANOVAs, and P values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).

Results
Synthesis and characterization of T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel
ROS-responsive PPS_{60} was synthesized, and the structure of PPS_{60} was confirmed by ^1H NMR (Fig. 2A(a, b)). The molecular weight of PPS was 4.1 × 10^5, and the polydispersity (PDI) was 1.21, as determined by GPC (Fig. 2A(c)).

The MMP_2- and ROS-responsive hydrogel was formed through the self-assembly of T and PPS_{60} in DMSO/
water. TMZ was encapsulated into the hydrophobic PPS<sub>60</sub> layer during the process of T/PPS self-assembly (Fig. 2B). The gelation kinetics of the T/PPS hydrogel were investigated by rheological evaluation (Fig. 2C). Three different PPS and TMZ mass ratios (1: 1, 2: 1, 4: 1, w/w) of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel (10% w/v) were prepared and tested by rheological analysis. The values of G'<sup>'</sup> (storage modulus) and G'' (loss modulus) were markedly increased. The G'<sup>'</sup> value was approximately three times higher than the G'' value, which was solid evidence of T/PPS hydrogel formation. The PPS and TMZ mixing ratio of 4:1 had the best effect. Thus, the weight ratio of PPS:TMZ 4:1 was used for all subsequent experiments. Next, the surface of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel was observed by TEM, which showed higher-order fibrous assemblies (Fig. 2D).

**MMPs- and ROS-triggered disassembly and TMZ release of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel**

Postsurgery glioma models were successfully established as previously described [39]. After surgery for glioma, the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel was injected into a surgical cavity (Additional file 1: Figure S1). MMPs and ROS are
overproduced after tissue injury [40–42]. Acute tissue injury was unavoidable during the surgical procedure; therefore, MMPs and ROS were upregulated in the postoperative environment (Additional file 1: Figures S2 and S3). As previously reported, the T hydrogel could degrade in response to MMPs, while PPS showed ROS-responsive activity [35, 43, 44]. Thus, the ability of the T/PPS hydrogel to release the encapsulated TMZ in the presence of MMPs and H2O2 was evaluated. In vitro TMZ release experiments were conducted in the presence of MMPs, H2O2 or MMP2/MMP9 + H2O2, mimicking the MMPs and ROS environment in surgical cavity (Fig. 3A). Fresh enzyme was added on days 1, 3 and 5. The addition of MMP2 and MMP9 significantly increased the release of TMZ from the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel (50.3%, 46.3%) and T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel (45.0%, 43.0%), indicating that MMPs caused degradation of the T-rich exterior of the hydrogel to increase the biodegradation of PPS and PLGA polymer to release TMZ. As expected, fast TMZ release from the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel was observed when MMP2 + H2O2 (68.7%) and MMP9 + H2O2 (65.0%) were applied. Compared with the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel, the T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel without ROS responsiveness, which was immersed in MMP2 + H2O2 (47.3%) and MMP9 + H2O2 (46.7%), had almost the same release of TMZ in the presence of MMPs (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, neither the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel nor the T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel significantly improved the release of TMZ with H2O2 treatment alone. This may be due to the structure of hydrogel. The ROS-responsive PPS is located inside the hydrogel, and drug release was not significantly affected by PPS alone unless the T in the outer layer was degraded.

Next, MMP- and ROS-responsive T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel disassembly was assessed. Compared with the T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel, the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel soaked in PBS containing MMPs and H2O2 had little residual hydrogel after 5 days of immersion, indicating that more of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel was disassembled under the ROS and MMPs conditions (Fig. 3C).

To further monitor hydrogel degradation, T/PPS and T/PLGA hydrogels were loaded with DiR to form T/PPS + DiR and T/PLGA + DiR hydrogels. Compared with the T/PLGA + DiR hydrogel in the presence of MMP2 + H2O2, the T/PPS + DiR hydrogel had a high dose-dependent loss of DiR fluorescence (Fig. 3D, E). The above results indicate that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel had an obvious ROS-responsive ability.

To mimic the postsurgical microenvironment precisely, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) from postsurgical glioma patients (Department of Neurosurgery, Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Hospitalization Number: 1782969) was collected to test the release kinetics of TMZ (Fig. 4A). As shown in Fig. 4B, 89.3% TMZ was released from the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel under CSF conditions, while only 62.3% TMZ was released from the T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel under the same conditions. Furthermore, to monitor hydrogel disassembly in vivo, we investigated the ability of the T/PPS + DiR hydrogel to disassemble in vivo using a postsurgical glioma model. As shown in Fig. 4C, the animals were examined on days 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 using the IVIS kinetic imaging system in vivo. As expected, the fluorescence signal of the T/PPS + DiR hydrogel decayed more rapidly than that of the T/PLGA + DiR hydrogel in the postsurgical environment. These results demonstrate that the T/PPS + DiR hydrogel could respond to the postsurgical environment and release the encapsulated drug.

**Cytotoxicity of T/PPS hydrogel**

Safety is paramount in the clinical application of biomaterials. Next, we investigated the cytotoxicity of different hydrogels to C6 and U87 glioma cells by MTT assay. As shown in Fig. 5A, the survival of C6 cells was hardly affected by the T/PPS hydrogel, suggesting that the T/PPS hydrogel had little cytotoxicity. Similar results were obtained when U87 cells were treated in the same way (Fig. 5B). Live/dead staining also did not show a significant loss of cell viability or changes in cell morphology in C6 glioma cells incubated with hydrogels (Fig. 5C). However, in the T/PLGA + TMZ and T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel groups, the intensity of red fluorescence increased. These results showed that the release of TMZ from the T/PLGA + TMZ and T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel groups led to the death of C6 glioma cells.
Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)
Fig. 4  Responsive degradation of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel in a postoperative environment. A CSF was collected from glioma patients after the operation. B The release profile of the T/PPS + TMZ and T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogels. Data are presented as means ± SEM (n = 3). C Fluorescence intensity of the T/PPS + DiR hydrogel and T/PLGA + DiR hydrogel after injection into the surgical cavity of GL261-bearing mice at 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 days (a). Quantitative analysis of DiR fluorescence signals of mice at 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 days (b). Data are presented as the means ± SEM (n = 3).

Fig. 5  T/PPS hydrogels are biocompatible in vitro. A, B U87 and C6 glioma cells under different stimuli. Cell metabolic activity was determined by MTT assay after 24 h. Statistical values were calculated using one-way ANOVA. Data are presented as the means ± SEM. (n = 3). C Soaking solution of hydrogels was added to the medium of C6 glioma cells, and live/dead staining was performed after incubation for 72 h. Scale bar = 200 µm.
Anti-glioma effect of T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel in vivo
To further investigate whether the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel can effectively inhibit recurrent glioma, an incomplete resection model of U87 glioma was established in BALB/c nude mice. On the 12th day after tumour implantation, the operation was performed, and the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel was injected into the operation cavity. After treatment for 18 days, H&E staining was performed to confirm the therapeutic effects of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel (Fig. 6A). Compared with that in the PBS group, the size of the recurrent glioma T/PPS hydrogel group after surgery was almost the same volume because the T/PPS hydrogel had no side effects. Mice treated with T/PLGA + TMZ and the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel delayed tumour recurrence, in which the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel exhibited an anti-glioma efficacy superior to that of the T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel, indicating that MMPs and ROS responsiveness could enhance the drug release of TMZ (Fig. 6B). As expected, the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel performed best in extending the median survival (50.5 days), while the median survival of mice treated with PBS, T/PPS, and T/PLGA + TMZ was 28.5, 31.5, and 40.5 days, respectively (Fig. 6C). This variation was also reflected in the body weight changes of tumour-bearing mice. The body weights of mice in the T/PPS + TMZ group decreased slowly, while the other groups lost weight rapidly (Fig. 6D). To further evaluate the anti-glioma effect of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel, apoptosis detection (TUNEL) was used to detect the apoptosis of glioma cells. As shown in Fig. 6E, the highest proportion of apoptotic cells was observed in the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel group among the other treatment groups. These results suggest that MMPs- and ROS-responsive T/PPS + TMZ hydrogels could enhance TMZ therapy to induce apoptotic cell death in glioma.

Furthermore, a TMZ-resistant C6-Luci glioma orthotopic model was developed to further evaluate the inhibition glioma recurrence efficiency of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel. An incomplete tumour operation was performed 8 days after implantation, and the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogels were injected into the surgical cavity (Fig. 6F). The fluorescence intensity of C6-Luci gliomas was measured to evaluate recurrent glioma (Fig. 6G, H). Glioma recurred quickly after the operation, and glioma growth was faster in the PBS and T/PPS hydrogel groups. The T/PPS + TMZ and T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel groups showed better anti-glioma efficacy with a lower intensity of bioluminescence fluorescence than the PBS and T/PPS groups, demonstrating that in situ injection of TMZ-embedded hydrogel could inhibit residual glioma cell growth. Moreover, compared with the T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel group, the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel group had lower bioluminescence fluorescence intensity, suggesting that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel group effectively reduced tumour regrowth. In addition, we monitored weight changes and median survival time in mice. As shown in Fig. 6I, the median survival of mice treated with PBS, T/PPS hydrogel, T/PLGA + TMZ hydrogel and T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel was 19.5, 22.5, 32 and 50.5 days, respectively. The median survival of the mice treated with the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel was the longest, and the mouse body weight decreased the most slowly among all groups (Fig. 6I). Altogether, these results further demonstrate that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel with MMPs and ROS-responsiveness ability improved the inhibition efficacy of postoperative glioma recurrence.

Biocompatibility in vivo
For safety evaluation, the potential toxicity of the hydrogels was systematically investigated in vivo. After 10 days of treatment, the main organs, including the liver, spleen, kidney, heart, and lung, were collected and sliced into sections for H&E staining. As shown in Fig. 7A, no apparent histological abnormality or lesion was observed among the groups, indicating that the T/PPS hydrogel had no apparent toxicity in vivo. The results of the blood biochemical analysis were likewise similar, demonstrating that the T/PPS hydrogel did not induce significant damage to the liver (Fig. 7B, C) or kidneys (Fig. 7D, E). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel showed excellent biocompatibility in vivo.
Fig. 6 (See legend on previous page.)
Discussion

Glioma is associated with the deterioration and evolution of neuroepithelial cells and accounts for 45% of primary brain tumours [10, 45]. Due to the aggressive growth of glioma and peripheral brain tissue and because many tumours are located in important functional areas, such as the brainstem, thalamus and central sulcus, complete surgical removal of malignant glioma is impossible, and tumour recurrence frequently occurs [46, 47]. In fact, due to the existence of the BBB, limiting systemic toxicity and chemotherapy resistance, the efficacy of traditional cytotoxic drugs was severely blunted. In this case, local drug delivery offers a desirable alternative. However, no other topical treatments based on hydrogels have been approved by the FDA since Gliadel® entered the market in 1997. Considering the potential of hydrogels, this phenomenon was perplexing.

To obtain ideal drug-loaded hydrogels for treating recurrent glioma, many factors must be taken into account: (1) anticancer drugs with good performance. Many drugs have received attention in preclinical research, such as gemcitabine, trimethoprim, and 5-fluorouracil. However, TMZ is the treatment of choice for patients with glioma, so the local delivery of TMZ and prevention of chemoresistance should be the focus. (2) Excellent injectability and adhesive-ness should be considered. Due to the anatomy and structure of the resection cavity, semisolid hydrogels with a certain degree of fluidity would be preferable.

Fig. 7 Systemic toxicity analysis. A H&E staining of heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney from mice in different groups. The scale bar = 200 µm. B–E Detection of liver and kidney biochemical indices, including aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine (CREA). Statistical values were calculated using one-way ANOVA. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n = 3)
Degradability and biocompatibility. The hydrogel material should have good safety and be biodegradable to avoid repeated brain operations and use as a scaffold for tumour growth. Thus, natural or artificial materials with nontoxicity and good solubility were the primary targets.

For the reasons stated above, our study selected a Tm hydrogel to prepare a biocompatible, glioma microenvironment-responsive hydrogel. To explore the characteristics of the drug-loaded hydrogel, we analysed the morphological features, rheological behaviours and drug release. The results showed that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel had good biocompatibility and improved the release of TMZ in the glioma microenvironment.

Simultaneously, we explored the effect of inhibiting glioma cells in vitro. The MTT assay verified that the novel hydrogel T had no obvious cytotoxicity, indicating that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel had highly favourable safety. In addition, the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel demonstrated excellent performance in the live/dead assay, which indicated that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel has an excellent cell-killing effect against tumour cells.

Furthermore, we constructed in situ glioma models to investigate the antitumour effect of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel in vivo. For the subcutaneous U87 in situ glioma model, H&E sections of U87 cell glioma in situ were prepared to detect the antitumour effect, and survival and weight curves were drawn. The results showed that the T/PPS + TMZ group performed best among all groups, the median survival period of nude mice in the T/PPS + TMZ group was prolonged significantly, and their body weight decreased slowly. For the C6-GFP in situ glioma model, after the tumour was confirmed by the IVIS Spectrum In Vivo Imaging System on the 7th day after tumour implantation, the glioma was resected under the microscope, and different groups of hydrogels were injected into the cavity. The tumours were also assessed on days 14 and 21. The results showed that the fluorescence intensity of the T/PPS + TMZ group was significantly lower than that of the other groups, and the experimental group had a longer median survival. Thus, we verified that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel more effectively inhibits tumour proliferation and increases tumour apoptosis.

In summary, we successfully constructed a highly biocompatible, glioma microenvironment-responsive T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel. The T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel enhanced the potential efficacy of TMZ in vitro and in vivo and exhibited a robust effect against postoperative glioma recurrence. Even so, further clinical trials are warranted to support this method.

Conclusion
In summary, we successfully developed an injectable MMPs- and ROS-responsive hydrogel. These hydrogels exhibited postoperative environmental responsiveness and achieved sustained TMZ release in the surgical cavity. The in vitro drug release study confirmed this property of the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel. Using CSF from postsurgical glioma patients and a postsurgical glioma model further demonstrated that the T/PPS hydrogel had MMPs-/ROS-responsive ability in a postoperative environment. The antiglioma effects in the incomplete operation models of C6 and U87 glioma indicated that the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel effectively inhibited postsurgical glioma recurrence while minimizing systemic toxicity. Overall, the T/PPS + TMZ hydrogel significantly improved the effects of TMZ and may be utilized as a potential strategy to decrease the recurrence rate of glioma after surgery.
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Additional file 1: Figure S1. (A) Specification of the biopsy punch. (B) Expanding previous burr hole to expose brain and tumor tissue (a). Resection the tumor by a 2 mm biopsy punch (b). Resection cavity (c). Hydrogels were injected into the resection cavity (d). Figure S2. Expression of MMP2 and MMP9 in Sham/Resection group in vivo. Figure S3. (A) Representative images of ROS staining in Sham and Resection group in vivo using green fluorescent probe DCFH-DA. Scale bar = 300 µm. (B) The expressions of ROS were analyzed quantitatively using integral optical density (IOD) analysis.
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