Gnawing Between Cells and Cells in the Immune System: Friend or Foe?
A Review of Trogocytosis
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Trogocytosis occurs when one cell contacts and quickly nibbles another cell and is characterized by contact between living cells and rapid transfer of membrane fragments with functional integrity. Many immune cells are involved in this process, such as T cells, B cells, NK cells, APCs. The transferred membrane molecules including MHC molecules, costimulatory molecules, receptors, antigens, etc. An increasing number of studies have shown that trogocytosis plays a vital role in the immune system, including antigen presentation, T cell differentiation, immune regulation, and anti-infection and anti-tumor immunity (3–19). Many types of proteins are transferred between cells by trogocytosis, including MHC (major histocompatibility complex) molecules, costimulatory molecules, adhesion molecule receptors, tumor antigens, and the antigens of pathogens (9, 18, 20–27). The involved cell types include T cells (γδ T cells, and CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ B T cells), B cells, NK cells, dendritic cells, monocytes/macrophages, neutrophils, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, eosinophils, basophils), tumor cells, and pathogen cells (e.g., viruses, bacteria, and parasites) (9, 18, 20–28). Trogocytosis is strictly contact-dependent between living cells. Previous studies have shown that MHC I or HLA-C molecules on the surface of target cells of mice or humans are bidirectionally exchanged with the inhibitory receptor KIR (NK cell Ig-like receptor) of NK cells within a few minutes after coculture by immunological synapses (29, 30). In addition, Ralston et al. showed that amoebae only gnawed live-cell targets and directly
engulfed dead cell corpses, which is one of the characteristics of trogocytosis (31). Interestingly, the membrane molecules obtained by trogocytosis, such as human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-C, peptide-MHC complexes and inhibitory natural killer cell receptors, can be recolonized on the surface of trogocytosis-positive cells without proteolytic cleavage and perform corresponding functions (29, 30, 32).

The outcomes of trogocytosis, which vary with recipient cells, have changed our cognition of some classical theories. Trogocytosis does not always lead to the death of target cells. The interaction is relatively mild and tends to take up material and exchange information under physiological conditions, while it is often strong and usually ends in the death of target cells under pathological conditions (14, 20, 33). The existence of trogocytosis has shaken the traditional notion that cells can only perform their inherent functions or that a gene must be transcribed to use its protein product. With trogocytosis, even mature cells can perform a variety of unconventional functions, and these functions strictly depend on the cellular environment; that is, trogocytosis leads to very different functional consequences with similar processes in different states (34). However, is its function ultimately good or bad? From different perspectives, the role of trogocytosis also shifts between friend and foe in different environments. The reported physiological processes and related diseases involved in trogocytosis are summarized, divide into two aspects (i.e., friend and foe) and shown in Figure 1. Specifically, “Friend” refers to the biological events that trogocytosis is beneficial to appropriately enhancing human immunity and improving the ability to resist diseases, whereas “Foe” means the biological events that trogocytosis promotes the occurrence and development of diseases and harms human health.

**TROGOCYTOSIS IN THE IMMUNE SYSTEM**

**The Immune System Transmits Signals Among Cells by Trogocytosis**

The communication of immune cells involves various mechanisms, including immune synapses, nanotubes, trogocytosis, and exosomes. The transfer of membrane proteins
of cells mediated by trogocytosis, including MHC molecules, CD3, costimulatory molecules, endothelial cell molecules, NK receptors, chemokine receptors, and glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins, widely occurs in T cells, B cells, macrophages, DC, NK cells. The interaction has modified the functions of immune cells involving in antigen presentation, information transfer, and regulation and homeostasis (3, 22, 25, 35–42).

In general, the typical manner for antigen presentation is that professional APCs (such as DCs) process the protein antigens into pMHCs (antigenic peptide-MHC complexes) and then present them to T cells. Some researches revealed a new antigen presentation pathway in which APCs and non-APCs directly obtained preformed pMHCs on the surface of target cells by trogocytosis and activated T cells without further processing (7, 10, 14, 19). Subsequently, the trogocytosis of MHCs occurs not only in T cell-APCs but also in T cell-endothelial cells, APC-APCs, APC-NK cells, tumor cell-T cells, and NK cells (43–47). For example, the transfer of pMHCs and CD80 from APCs to T cells could regulate T cell proliferative signals and sustain their activation in the absence of APCs (32, 48–50). At later time points, the number of activated CD4+ T cells tropocytosing and capturing MHC-peptide complexes increases and outnumbers the APCs, there is increasing probability that newly arriving T cells (and any T cells that have just been activated and need a second hit to continue to divide) would encounter them before encountering a proper professional APC. This T-T interaction leads to the inhibition of the newly arriving T cells and the Ag-experienced T cells, whereas naive T cells encountering antigen-presenting T cells are not inactivated, rather they are activated (51). In addition, Miyake et al. found that basophils gnawed DCs to obtain membrane fragments containing pMHC II and stimulated the proliferation of peptide-specific T cells, which indicated that trogocytosis could enhance the antigen presentation ability of basophils (52).

CD4+ T cells can obtain not only MHCs or pMHCs from APCs by trogocytosis but also costimulatory molecules (CD28, CD54, CD80) from APCs to deliver costimulatory signals to activate CD4+ T cells (48, 53, 54). Zhou et al. showed that MHC II and CD80 in CD4+ T cells maintained the activation of T cells in the absence of APC, which was an important factor in maintaining the homeostasis of memory T cells (50). Interestingly, the trogocytosed molecules might be unmodified in the recipient cell to perform their corresponding function. For example, Reed et al. reported that trogocytosis-mediated signaling has the potential to uniquely modulate the effector cytokine production and differentiation of trog7 CD4+ T cell after separation from APC. To be more specific, trogocytosis-mediated intracellular signaling in CD4+ T cells drove Th2-associated effector cytokine production and differentiation (15). They found that trogocytosed molecules (pMHC complexes and costimulatory molecules) on trog7 CD4+ T cells engaged their cognate receptors and drove the expression of IL-4 and GATA-3 in sequence, which was consistent with the differentiation of helper T cells type 2 (Th2) (6, 15, 16). Furthermore, extended trogocytosis-mediated signaling in CD4+ T cells resulted in the expression of Bcl-6, programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), CXCR5, and IL-21, which was consistent with the differentiation of follicular helper T cells (Thfs) (5, 16). At the same time, IL-21 promoted the activation and survival of T cells and the generation of memory cells (55–59). On the other hand, trogocytosis might also affect the function of donor cell by removing some molecules from the donor cells. Qureshi et al. show that CTLA-4-expressing cells captured and removed CD80/CD86 from opposing cells to result in impaired costimulation via CD28, which revealed a mechanism of immune regulation whereby CTLA-4 acts as an effector molecule to inhibit CD28 costimulation by the cell-extrinsic depletion of ligands (60).

In addition to the transmission of costimulatory signals, trogocytosis also mediates other signals to be transmitted between different immune cells, which maintains immune homeostasis by balancing the activation and suppression of immune responses. It was recently reported that antigen-specific Treg cells form strong interactions with DCs to acquire DC-derived membranes by a process of trogocytosis, resulting in selective depletion of the complex of cognate pMHC II from the DC surface, reducing the capacity of DCs to present antigens (3). The strong binding of Tregs and their capacity to debilitate DC function in an antigen-specific manner, represents a novel pathway involving trogocytosis for Treg-mediated suppression and may be a mechanism by which Treg cells maintain immune homeostasis (3, 61). Trogocytosis also participates in the immunosuppressive effect mediated by Tregs in other ways. Tekguc et al. showed that Treg-expressed CTLA-4 depleted CD80/CD86 by trogocytosis and released free PD-L1 on APCs, which led to dual suppressive effects on T cell immune responses by limiting CD80/CD86 costimulatory naïve T cells and by increasing free PD-L1 available for the inhibition of effector T cells expressing PD-1 (17). Moreover, T cell microvilli-derived particles (TMPs) carrying T cell receptors (TCR) at all stages of T cell activation were separated from T cells by trogocytosis or membrane budding, which were deposited at the surface of cognate APCs to be a potentially effective pathway to transmit information on T cells to APCs (9).

It is reported that human CD8+ T cells played a regulatory role in the immune response by obtaining inhibitory molecules from APCs (62). Studies have shown that human CD8+ T cells obtained functional programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) from APCs in an antigen-specific manner, which led to the apoptosis of neighboring T cells with the expression of the receptor of PD-1 (62). Gary et al. reported that the inhibitory molecule PD-L1 and the receptor PD-1 expressed on various human cells were transferred between immune cells by trogocytosis to regulate the immune response and recycle the molecule (62).

In addition to T cells, the antigen presentation and information transfer by trogocytosis also occurs in B cells. Soluble antigens can be bound to the B cell antigen receptor (BCR), and then internalized and presented to T cells by B cells, which initiates the humoral immune response. However, antigens are often insoluble or tethered to the cell surface. It has been reported that B cells can extract and present antigens that is tethered tightly
to a noninternalizable surface and the evidence points to the major role being played by BCR-mediated wrenching of the antigen from its tether (63). Notably, a weak BCR can apparently wrench a tightly tethered antigen from the plate through continuous accumulation of effect in cases where the affinity difference is of several orders of magnitude (63). The nonstatic BCR antigen tether interaction and the motile nature of the cell may cause distortion of the antigen (and diminution of antigen tether affinity) (63). In addition, Xu et al. found that the BCR interacted with antigen-antibody complexes to remove epitopes from red blood cells by trogocytosis so that IgG could mediate the inhibitory effect on the immune response to antigens (27).

Furthermore, the trogocytosis also affects the functions of macrophages, DC, and NK cells. The trogocytosis by T cells is usually a process of the acquisition of antigens and signal transmission. In addition to the above functions, the trogocytosis by monocytes also involves a process of removing antigens, which show that the acquirer cell may control the functional outcome of trogocytosis (38). It was reported that the trogocytosis by macrophages mediated by FcR affects the function of target cells, such as T cells and NK cells, but does not obtain new proteins or new functions (41). It is reported that KIR+ NK cells generally did not express CCR7; however, they were able to extract CCR7 from CCR7+ cells by trogocytosis to migrate to the site of killing mature DCs and T lymphoblasts with the help of chemokines CCL19/CCL21 (39, 40, 42).

Thus, the results showed that trogocytosis was widely involved in antigen presentation, information transfer, and regulation (activation, suppression, and even killing) of immune cells in the immune process, which was of great significance to the performance and homeostasis of immune function. Regrettably, the research on whether trogocytosed molecules undergoes degradation, modification and other processes before performing subsequent functions is so lacking that we know very little about this important issue. In addition, how cell membrane integrity is restored post trogocytosis is still a meaningful and not fully recognized process. In summary, our understanding of trogocytosis remains at a relatively superficial level and there are still many unknown fields waiting for us to explore, which also points out the direction for future research.

Immune defense in Tumorigenesis and Pathogenic Microorganism Infection by Trogocytosis

According to the available literature, the trogocytosis by many immune cells, such as neutrophils, macrophages, and NK cells, played an essential role in immune defense in tumorigenesis and pathogen infection by destroying the membrane integrity of pathogens and tumor cells, which led to the loss or disability of organelles and the death of target cells (4, 11–13, 64).

Neutrophils Kill Trichomonas vaginalis by Trogocytosis

Previous studies have reported that the mechanisms of neutrophil clearance of Trichomonas vaginalis (T. vaginalis) included phagocytosis, toxic particles, and NETosis. Interestingly, Mercer et al. recently revealed that human neutrophils could successfully trogocytose T. vaginalis to achieve pathogen killing (12, 13). 3D and 4D live imaging showed that neutrophils rapidly surrounded and trogocytosed T. vaginalis before parasite death after coculture in vivo. T. vaginalis could only survive for approximately 8 minutes after trogocytosis started. During this process, the parasite experienced approximately 3 to 8 “bites” by an average of 3 to 6 neutrophils before parasite death. They also found that the trogocytosis by neutrophils and parasite killing depended on the presence of neutrophil serine protease and human serum factors, which reflected the synergistic effect of trogocytosis and toxic particles on parasite killing (12, 13). Furthermore, Leka et al. reported that complement receptor (CR) 3, which is known to bind iC3b, leading to phagocytosis, plays a role in mediating this trogocytosis of T. vaginalis (4). T. vaginalis is a unicellular parasite. When the membrane fragments removed from the parasite by trogocytosis are sufficient, or the membrane loss exceeds the repair capacity of T. vaginalis, the parasite will rupture and die. At this time, trogocytosis helps host to eliminate pathogens, which can be regarded as “Friend”. However, whether the trogocytosis by neutrophils or other immune cells can kill other multicellular pathogens, much larger than immune cells, merits future discussion.

Immune Cells Kill Tumor Cells by Trogocytosis

Recently, Matlung et al. reported that antibody-mediated neutrophil trogocytosis killed tumor cells in a contact-dependent manner, which did not release toxic particles and produced reactive oxygen species but only nibbled the plasma membrane of cancer cells, leading to a lytic type of cancer cell death. This mode of destruction of antibody-opsonized cancer cells by neutrophils, called trogoptosis, could be improved by inhibiting the CD47-SIRPα checkpoint (11). Similarly, Steele et al. showed that the continuous trogocytosis by macrophages could kill HER2-overexpressing breast cancer cells, which revealed that the trogocytosis mediated by macrophages led to the death of antibody-opsonized tumor cells (18). In addition, it was reported that human NK cells and T cells obtained the inner membrane protein H-RASG12V from tumor cells by trogocytosis, which induced the phosphorylation of extracellular regulated protein kinase ERK and promoted the secretion of INF-γ and TNF-α, the proliferation lymphocyte, and the efficiency of NK cells killing tumor cells (64). Moreover, Joshua et al. reported that when T cells acquire the surface molecules HLA-G and CD86 by trogocytosis, they differentiate into newly acquired Tregs to inhibit the escape of myeloma cells (8). The above example revealed that trogocytosis as a friend of host to suppress tumors.

In short, the emergence of trogocytosis by immune cells has deepened our understanding of antigen presentation, information transmission, and immune regulation and broadened the understanding of immune defense in the immune system. Trogocytosis, evidence of plasticity of the immune system, seems to have impacted traditional immunological theories, which suggests that immune cells can obtain functional molecules beyond their protein
expression profile to perform functions independent of their main characteristics. For example, CD8+ T cells can obtain pMHC II, while CD4+ T cells can obtain pMHC I (48, 65). To some extent, the molecular expression profile on the surface of trogocytosis-positive cells has undergone subtle changes to temporarily display unconventional molecules. However, LeMaoult et al. suggested that APC-like T cells that arose via trogocytosis might not functionally compete with the professional APCs from which they took pMHCs and thus might not contribute to the immune response. Similarly, regulation of T-cell proliferative signals by acquired B7 molecules might be of more significant because it provides added value to an immune response by directly affecting the biology of the cell that has acquired CD80 (34). It was reported earlier that although trogocytosis might be an immune response booster from a quantitative standpoint, it should not induce qualitative changes (i.e., changes in the repertoire or function of the antigen-selected cells) and should not have a dramatic impact on immune responses (34). However, according to the previous studies, we can find that trogocytosis has a significantly wide influence on the immune system, improving or reshaping people’s cognition of antigen presentation, information transmission, immune regulation, and immune defense.

**TROGOCYTOSIS IN DISEASES**

**Immune Escape**

Trogocytosis plays a bidirectional role in the immune system. On the one hand, the immune system uses it to defend against tumors and kill pathogens. On the other hand, abnormal cells and pathogens also escape immune system surveillance with the help of trogocytosis. Therefore, trogocytosis plays the dual role of “foe” or “friend” to maintain the homeostasis of the host and pathogens through a long-term balance in immune defense and immune escape.

It is reported that trogocytosis was significantly associated with spread of intracellular pathogens in mice, suggesting that direct bacterial transfer frequently occurs by this process in vivo (26). Intracellular pathogens need to enter host cells to replicate. Pathogens must continue invading new target cells to survive and reproduce. During this process, pathogens may be exposed to the extracellular environment containing antibodies, complements, and other inhibitory factors, limiting pathogen spread. However, many reports have revealed that trogocytosis accelerates the spread of intracellular bacteria. Steele et al. found live Francisella tularensis (F. tularensis) and Salmonella enterica (S. enterica) were transferred from infected macrophages to uninfected macrophages using trogocytosis with the donor and recipient cells remaining intact, and then F. tularensis acquired from infected cells were found within double-membrane vesicles partially composed from the donor cell plasma membrane (26, 66). Trogocytosis also plays an important role in promoting virus infection. During H5N1 influenza virus infection, B cells obtained the avian flu receptor of α2,3 sialic acids from monocytes using trogocytosis to increase their susceptibility to H5N1 virus infection (67). From another perspective, H5N1 influenza virus might utilize trogocytosis to expand its cell tropism and spread to immune cells with the lack of avian flu receptor (67). During HIV infection, monocytes can remove well-exposed antibody-gp120 complexes from the surface of infected cells through antibody-mediated trogocytosis, thereby evading surveillance of the immune system (21). In addition, Richardson et al. found that the transfer of envelope proteins of HIV-infected cells might promote antigen presentation more efficiently and extensively, strengthening antiviral immunity (24). Furthermore, it was reported that the cellular prion protein [PrP(C)], a GPI-anchored protein, is transferred between cells by trogocytosis, which may play an important role in the pathogenesis of prion disease (37). Moreover, Wu et al. showed that the T cell costimulatory molecule CD137 expressed in activated T cells and NK cells, which was induced by the Imp-1 gene of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) and the gene tax of human T cell virus (HTLV-1), was transferred to APCs by trogocytosis to form the CD137-CD137L complex. Subsequently, the complex was internalized and degraded, which weakened the function of T cell costimulation mediated by CD137 and promoted the immune escape of the virus (68).

The trogocytosis by parasites was reported in unicellular parasites, such as amoebae and Trypanosoma, which was beneficial to avoiding attack by host immune defenses and promoting their invasion and killing of host cells (33, 69, 70). Ralston et al. found that Entamoeba histolytica (E. histolytica) trophozoites utilize trogocytosis to achieve immune escape and kill host cells by destroying and ingesting host cell membrane fragments to obtain host antigens, which is called amoebic trogocytosis (33). Interestingly, unlike the trogocytosis observed between immune cell-mediated information transmission, amoebic trogocytosis was lethal, which resulted in the loss of cell membrane integrity, degradation of nuclear DNA, and loss of mitochondrial potential of target cells as shown in Figure 2 (31, 70). In addition, Mukherjee et al. reported that trogocytosis occurred between the epimastigotes of Trypanosoma cruzi (69).

Similarly, trogocytosis-mediated immune escape was also observed in tumor progression. Zeng et al. found that the ectopic CD137 expression on Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg (HRS) cells of Hodgkin’s lymphoma (HL) induced by EB virus suppressed immune responses via trogocytosis. The ectopically expressed CD137 on HRS cell could bind CD137L and cause an internalization of CD137L on the HRS cells themselves as well as on surrounding APCs, resulting in decreased PBMC proliferation and IFNγ secretion (68, 71). In conclusion, ectopic CD137 expression on HRS cells not only dampened immune activation by reducing CD137L levels on APCs, but also transduced an activation signal into HRS cells leading to the secretion of IL-13 weakening a cellular anti-HL immune responses by deviating immunity toward a Th2 response (71). In addition, CD30 (TNFRSF8) on HRS cells (72), PD-1 (73), and CTLA-4 on T cells were transferred to immune cells or tumor
cells by trogocytosis, giving HRS cells a growth advantage and promoting the immune escape of HL (71).

Attenuating Therapeutic Efficacy in Tumor Therapies

Trogocytosis is also involved in attenuating therapeutic efficacy in tumor therapies. Some studies have revealed that FcγR-mediated trogocytosis by immune cells reduces the effects of a variety of monoclonal antibody (mAb)-based therapies (74–78). Daratumumab, a monoclonal antibody against CD38, was applied to treat multiple myeloma (MM) by inhibiting the growth of tumor cells expressing CD38. Krejcik et al. discovered that monocytes’ and granulocytes’ gnawing of myeloma cells resulted in the loss of cell membrane fragments containing the daratumumab-CD38 complex, which led to the occurrence of daratumumab resistance during the treatment of MM (78). Similarly, the occurrence of rituximab (RTX) resistance, an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody widely used in the treatment of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (77), mantle cell lymphoma (75), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (76), was attributed to trogocytosis of CD20 on the surface of malignant B cells, which affected the therapeutic effect. Moreover, it was reported that the transfer of P-glycoprotein (functioning as an efflux pump of chemotherapeutics) between cells by trogocytosis led to the multidrug resistance of tumors (74). In addition, Chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) are artificially synthesized receptors that specifically reprogram the functions of T cells and are an effective new treatment for hematologic malignancies (79). However, researchers have found that some tumor cells were antigen-negative or antigen-low condition with unknown mechanism different from complete and permanent antigen loss, which could not be killed by CAR T cells and become a hidden danger that leads to tumor recurrence (80–82). Interestingly, Hamieh et al. revealed that CARs provoked reversible antigen loss through trogocytosis, an active process in which the target antigen is transferred to T cells, thereby decreasing the target density on tumor cells and abating T cell activity by promoting fratricide T cell killing and T cell exhaustion (82).

Hypersensitivity and Autoimmune Diseases

Trogocytosis breaks the immune balance of the body, leading to hypersensitivity reactions and autoimmune diseases, which undoubtedly reflects as a “foe” of trogocytosis endangering human health. Miyake et al. reported that the transfer of large amounts of pMHC II from DCs to basophils by trogocytosis played an essential role in mouse atopic dermatitis by improving antigen presentation and promoting hypersensitivity reactions (52). In addition, Rossi et al. showed that epratuzumab (humanized anti-CD22 antibody), currently in clinical trials for B-cell lymphomas and autoimmune diseases, induced the reduction of multiple B-cell antigen receptor-modulating proteins (CD19, CD21, and CD79b) on the surface of B cells via their trogocytosis to effector cells. The reduction of CD19 levels was implicative for the efficacy of epratuzumab in autoimmune diseases because elevated CD19 had been correlated with susceptibility to SLE in animal models as well as in patients. The results suggest that B cells’ modulation of key regulatory proteins by trogocytosis may be an important mechanism for immunotherapy with autoimmune diseases (83).

Transplant Rejection and Anti-Rejection

Trogocytosis plays both friend and foe roles in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Previous studies reported that the
transfer of intact MHC-I molecules from the surface of the recipient cell to the donor cell by trogocytosis, on the one hand, was beneficial to transplants to avoid surveillance of host immune cells, which reduced rejection in the recipient to achieve successful transplantation (84, 85). On the other hand, allograft rejection is initiated by recipient T cells recognizing donor MHC molecules displayed on graft leukocytes migrating to the host’s lymphoid organs (86). Extensive and bidirectional transfer of MHC II molecules between donor and recipient cells in vivo was a continual process that through the life of the donor graft, which might involve trogocytosis (87, 88). In utero hematopoietic cellular transplantation (IUTCT) has great promise for treating congenital diseases of cellular dysfunction, such as sickle cell disease and immunodeficiency disorders. However, repeated failures in clinical cases of IUTCT in immunodeficiency disease force the establishment of the fetal immune system and prenatal tolerance. It was reported that the surface of tolerant host NK cells during development displayed a low level of donor MHC, and the trogocytosis-mediated transfer of donor MHCs to the recipient was an intrinsic mechanism for the regulation of the development and maintenance of NK cell tolerance in prenatal chimeras (89). The existing studies on trogocytosis involved in transplantation rejection mainly focus on blood system transplantation, while it remains to be explored whether trogocytosis participates in transplantation rejection of parenchymal organs, such as blood vessels, the heart, and kidneys.

In conclusion, trogocytosis is widely involved in various physiological processes and plays a vital role in the immune system and relevant diseases. The results show that trogocytosis is both a friend and a foe of the immune system in different immune environments (Figure 1). Trogocytosis-mediated material exchange and signal transmission between immune cells participated in killing T. vaginalis and tumor cells, assisted the immune escape of grafts, and promoted resistance to antibody-mediated autoimmune disease. As a foe, trogocytosis accelerated F. Tularensis spread among macrophages, took part in E. histolytica acceleration to antibody-mediated autoimmune disease. As a foe, trogocytosis assisted immune escape of virus and tumor cells, and aggravated transplant rejection.

REGULATORY MECHANISM OF TROGOCYTOSIS

The characteristic of the mechanism underlying trogocytosis is a key question, although the mechanism of trogocytosis has not been fully demonstrated. Related studies have shown that it is similar to phagocytosis. Trogocytosis was generally a biological process between cells mediated by the formation of the immunological synapse or by the contact of ligands (adhesion molecules, chemokines, antibodies, complements, etc.) and receptors (14, 22, 90–93) with involvement of actin and PI3K (Phosphoinositide 3-kinase) (22, 52, 94). In specific cell types, TC21, RhoG, Src, Syk intracellular calcium and myosin light-chain kinase also played an important role (22, 52, 94). All of these proteins above are also involved in phagocytosis except TC21 (94). When the receptors of trogocytosis-associated cells recognize the corresponding ligands on the target cells, the trogocytosis-associated cells undergo an energy-consuming process, including actin cytoskeletal remodeling and signal transmission and then membrane scission and cell engulfment occur (92, 95, 96). The membrane fragments containing ligands of target cells are captured by trogocytosis-associated cells and then either presented on the surface of trogocytosis-associated cells or internalized, processed, and degraded. Trogocytosis by T, B and NK cells occurs with formation of the immunological synapse (97, 98). CTL acquire membrane fragments with antigenic peptides from target cells through engagement of the T cell receptor (99). Harshyne et al. showed that DC trogocytosis obtained MHC molecules through phagocytic receptors (e.g., scavenger receptors) (7). In addition, FcγR- and complement receptor-mediated trogocytosis by monocytes, macrophages, and neutrophils was mainly involved in killing pathogens and eliminating autoantigens and autoantibodies (39, 100, 101). Sjöström et al. showed that murine NK cells acquired MHC I from surrounding cells by the inhibitory receptor Ly49 family and displayed them at the cell surface. At the same time, the uptake of the inhibitory ligand H-2Dd by NK cells was also dependent on the Ly49A receptor, and blocking the H-2Dd/Ly49A interaction would inhibit the uptake of H-2Dd (102).

Another potential mechanism for trogocytosis is the transfer of APC molecules to the T cell via microclusters. Some researchers have observed small clusters of MHC being transferred from APC to T cells during the immune synapse (32, 97). Further support for microclusters as a mechanism for trogocytosis, is that microcluster formation is found to be resistant to treatment with PP2 (103).

The results of Aucer et al. showed that the trogocytosis by CD8+ and CD4+ T cells was partially or completely inhibited by inhibitors of cell activation, such as actin polymerization, kinase (such as Src-kinase, Syk-kinase, and PI3K), and low temperature (4°C), while B cells were not, which indicated that the trogocytosis by B cells might not depend on the process of cell activation. This difference was not attributed to the affinity of the B-cell receptor for its cognate antigen being higher than the affinity of the T-cell receptor for its antigen. Rather, it was related to the ability of trogocytosis-associated cells to conjugate with target cells in the presence of inhibitors (92). In addition, it was reported that amoebic trogocytosis could be inhibited by treatment with cytochalasin D, Gal/GalNAc (D-galactose/N-acetyl-D-galactosamine) lectin inhibitor, PI3K inhibitor, amoebic AGC kinase 1 (EhAGCK1) inhibitor or the mutation (96), amoebic cysteine protease (EhCP) inhibitor (104), amoebic C2 domain protein kinase (EhC2PK) inhibitor, or mutation at low temperature (4°C), which led to a reduction in the killing rate of host cells (31). Among them, the AGC kinase family affects actin dynamics by manipulating the downstream PI3K, which influences the action of trogocytosis (Figure 2) (33, 105, 106). EhAGCK1 specifically participated in trogocytosis but not phagocytosis of dead cells, while EhAGCK2 participated in all actin-dependent endocytosis processes (96). Inhibition of EhCP
could only block amoebic phagocytosis and could not block amoebic phagocytosis (104). The process of trogocytosis requires the participation of physiological temperature, actin rearrangement, Gal/GalNAc lectins, related enzymes (such as Src-kinase, Syk-kinase, and PI3K), and PI3K signals.

Pham et al. showed that the interruption of actin polymerization and lack of energy would block the process of trogocytosis, and blocking PI3K activity delayed the process of trogocytosis. Alternatively, the inhibition of Src kinases activity slowed the process and reduced the degree of trogocytosis (41). Previous studies have shown that inhibitors of trogocytosis mainly include ATPase inhibitors, actin skeleton blockers, Src, Syk, PI3K pathway kinase inhibitors, and acidification inhibitors (62, 92, 107). Concanamycin A (an ATPase inhibitor) abrogated Ag-specific trogocytosis (62). Cytochalasin D (actin skeleton blocker) promoted actin depolymerization (41). Wortmannin (PI3K inhibitor) and PP2 (tyrosine-protein kinase Src inhibitor) significantly inhibited the trogocytosis by CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (41, 92). Picetanannol (a tyrosine-protein kinase Syk inhibitor) inhibited the trogocytosis by T cells and neutrophils (4). Ammonium chloride (an acidification inhibitor) reduced amoebic trogocytosis and cell killing but did not weaken initiation while inhibiting the process of receptor dependence (107).

Although the difference of mechanism between trogocytosis and phagocytosis is not yet clear, we have listed some aspects. Trogocytosis requires proteins involved in membrane bending and scission (95, 108) and a small GTPase (94), none of which normally has the role in engulfment and internalization of target cells during phagocytosis. There are many cells being capable of both trogocytosis and phagocytosis, such as neutrophils, macrophages, E. histolytica. How does a cell decide to initiate trogocytosis or phagocytosis? Receptor-ligand interactions may play certain roles. TCR, BCR, KIR, Ly49A receptor, phagocytic receptors, CR3, FcγR, EphA2, EphB and Gal/GalNAc Lectin receptor have been reported to be involved in the trogocytosis of different types of cells (3, 11–13, 15, 21, 27, 32, 40, 48, 62, 66–68, 95, 101, 108). However, whether the receptor is necessary for the initiation of gnawing remains unclear. Further, trogocytosis is regulated by Src and Syk protein kinases and is dependent on ATP, PKC, Ca2+, and actin cytoskeleton. GTPases (TC21, RhoG) and PI3K are also regarded as key factors in trogocytosis and are necessary for the trogocytosis by T cells to obtain MHC molecules from APCs mediated by TCR (Table 1) (44, 90, 92, 94). In other words, changes in the cytoskeleton initiate trogocytosis; therefore, the factors that affect the movement of the cytoskeleton can regulate trogocytosis. Finally, the ending of the cell that has been trogocytosed is an almost unexplored field. Why does trogocytosis cause cell death only under certain circumstances? Are additional factors, such as toxins, needed to kill cells through trogocytosis? Since trogocytosis requires direct contact, toxins would need to be highly specific to the cell that is being trogocytosed. How does the trogocytosed cell die post trogocytosis? Is the death of the trogocytosed cell attributed to the activation of the cell death pathway, or the accumulation of physical damage? Conversely, when trogocytosed cells are not killed by trogocytosis, how do they retain cellular integrity? Are cellular repair pathways activated in trogocytosed cells? All the above questions are worthy of our in-depth discussion.

APPLICATIONS OF TROGOCYTOSIS

As a profound demonstration of the function and characteristics of trogocytosis, potential applications of trogocytosis have been proposed. On the one hand, considering the adverse role of trogocytosis in the immune system, such as accelerating F. Tularensis spread among macrophages, participating in E. histolytica killing human cells, promoting allergic responses by basophils, assisting the immune escape of virus and tumor cells, and aggravating transplant rejection, the inhibition or disturbance of the process of trogocytosis is a potential treatment for some diseases. For example, as trogocytosis is strictly dependent on cell-to-cell contact, disturbing or destroying the process of contact may regulate or block subsequent events, which can provide new ideas for the treatment of diseases. Rossi et al. reported that the anti-CD22/CD20 bispecific antibody could be applied to treat lupus and other autoimmune diseases by reducing the depletion of B cells through enhancing trogocytosis (109). On the other hand, the characteristics and mechanism of trogocytosis can also be applied to many technical fields. Firstly, trogocytosis can be used in the auxiliary diagnosis. For example, due to the critical role of myelin-autoreactive T cells in peripheral blood in multiple sclerosis (MS), the detection of the recognition of T cells on their own APCs by protein transfer analysis can be used to assist in the diagnosis of MS, which is based on the ability of T cells to gnaw membrane proteins from autologous APCs (35). Secondly, trogocytosis can also be applied to find new TCR ligands. Generally, the discovery of TCR ligands has greatly facilitated the identification of disease-specific T cells. However, in many cases, specific ligands have not been defined because of the lack of an applicable method to detect new ligands. As a deep study of trogocytosis, Wang and colleagues used the phenomenon of trogocytosis to develop a cell-based selection platform to discover TCR ligands combined with a peptide-MHC library, which would help in studying the immune mechanisms of diseases and identify new targets for immunotherapies (110). In addition, Daubeuf and Puaux et al. proposed a method based on trogocytosis to capture APC membrane fragments combined with flow cytometry to detect, quantify, characterize and purify antigen-specific lymphocytes. The main advantage of this method is the compatible detection of the phenotypes and functional markers of lymphocytes, which were sorted and used in subsequent experiments or even treatment procedures (111, 112). Furthermore, it would be an exciting concept if trogocytosis could be used to engineer cell behavior, trafficking, and function. For example, CCR7 might be introduced on NK cells to alter their tissue recruitment based on the studies of Marcenaro and Somanchi (39, 42). In conclusion, the potential application of trogocytosis may involve other events and fields based on a deep understanding of the mechanism of trogocytosis in the future.
| Cell type | Involved Biological Aspects | Involved Receptors | Mechanisms (involved molecules) | Transferred Membrane Molecules | Outcomes | References |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|
| **Immune T cell** | To capture APC molecules (pMHC, costimulatory molecules); To obtain the inner membrane protein H-RAS<sup>G12V</sup> from tumor cells | TCR | Actin, PI3K, Small GTPase (TC21, RhoG), Src, Syk, intracellular calcium, myosin light-chain kinase... | pMHC, costimulatory molecules (CD80, CD86), RAS<sup>G12V</sup> | Regulating T cell proliferative signals and sustaining their activation; Inhibition of the newly arriving T cells and the Ag-experienced T cells; Modulating the effector-cytokine production, differentiation of trog<sup>T</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell and immune response; Promoting the efficiency of T and NK cells killing tumor cells | (5, 15, 16, 22, 32, 48–52, 55–60, 64, 94) |
| **Treg cell** | To deplete pMHCII and CD80/CD86 from APCs; | TCR | pMHC II, CD80/ CD86 | | Reducing the capacity of DCs to present antigen and maintain immune homeostasis; Suppressing T cell immune responses | (3, 17, 61) |
| **CTL** | To acquire membrane fragments with antigenic peptides from target cells; To obtain inhibitory molecules (PD-L1) from APCs | TCR | PI3K, Small GTPase (TC21, RhoG), Src, Syk, intracellular calcium, myosin light-chain kinase... | PD-L1 | Regulating the immune response and recycle the molecule | (62, 99) |
| **Immune B cell** | To extract and present antigen tethered tightly to a non-internalizable surface; To remove epitopes from red blood cells; To obtain the avian flu receptor of α2,3 sialic acids from monocytes | BCR | Actin, PI3K, Small GTPase (TC21, RhoG), Src, Syk, intracellular calcium, myosin light-chain kinase... | Antigen tethered tightly to a cell surface, Epitopes of red blood cells, Avian flu receptor (α2,3 sialic acids) | Initiating the humoral immune response; Mediating the inhibitory effect on the immune response; to increase their susceptibility to H5N1 | (27, 63, 67) |
| **NK** | To extract CCR7 from CCR7<sup>+</sup> cells; To obtain the inner membrane protein H-RAS<sup>G12V</sup> from tumor cells; To obtain and display MHC I | KIR, The inhibitory receptor Ly49 family (Ly49A receptor) | CCR7, H-RAS<sup>G12V</sup>, MHCI, H-2D<sup>d</sup> | Migrating to the site of killing mature DCs and T lymphoblasts with the help of chemokines CCL19/CCL21; Promoting the secretion of INF-γ and TNF-α, the proliferation lymphocyte, and the efficiency of NK cells killing tumor cells; Preventing the NK cell-mediated killing of normal cells | (39, 40, 42, 64, 102) |
| **DC** | To obtain MHC molecules | Phagocytic receptors (e.g., scavenger receptors) | MHC molecules, CD137 | | Antigen presentation | (7, 68) |
| **Immune Macrophage** | To remove antigens from target cells, such as T cells and NK cells; To participate in the death of antibody-opsonized tumor cells; Transfer of F. tularensis and S. enterica among macrophages | CR3 | Actin, PI3K, Small GTPase (TC21, RhoG), Src, Syk, intracellular calcium, myosin light-chain kinase... | Antigens, Intracellular pathogens (F. tularensis and S. enterica), CD137 | Affecting the function of target cells but not obtain new proteins and new functions; Killing tumor cells; Accelerating the spread of intracellular bacteria | (18, 26, 38, 41, 66, 68) |
| **Neutrophil** | To nibble and remove membrane fragments from Tu; To participate in the destruction of antibody-opsonized cancer cells | CR3 | Membrane fragments of Tu or cancer cell | | Killing pathogens and tumor cells | (4, 11–13) |
| **Monocyte** | To remove well-exposed antibody-gp120 complexes from the surface of infected cells | CR3 | Antibody-gp120 complexes | | Resulting in immune escape of HIV; Killing pathogens | (21, 39, 100, 101) |
| **E. histolytica** | To destroy and ingest host cell membrane fragments to obtain host antigens | Gal/GalNAc Lectin receptor | Actin, PI3K, Src, Syk, Gal/GalNAc lectin, EhAGCK1, EhAGCK2, EhCP, EhC2PK | Host antigens | Achieving immune escape and killing host cells | (31, 70) |

T, T lymphocyte; B, B lymphocyte; APC, antigen presenting cell; Treg, regulatory T cell; CTL, cytotoxic lymphocyte; NK, natural killer cell; DC, dendritic cell; Ma, macrophage; pMHC, antigenic peptide-MHC complex; TCR, T cell receptor; BCR, B cell receptor; Tu, Trichomonas vaginalis; KIR, killer Ig-like receptors; CR3, complement receptor 3; FcγR, Fc gamma receptors; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; Src, Src kinase family; Syk, Syk kinase family; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; PGC, primordial germ cells; EphA2, Eph receptor A2; EphB, Eph receptor B; Gulp1, PTB domain-containing engulfment adapter protein 1; RacGEF, Rac-specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor; Tiam2, Tiam Rac1 Associated GEF 2; Gal/GalNAc, D-galactose/N-acetyl-D-galactosamine; EhAGCK1, amoebic AGC kinase 1; EhAGCK2, amoebic AGC kinase 2; EhCP, amoebic cysteine protease; EhC2PK, amoebic C2 domain protein kinase.
CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

In summary, trogocytosis plays a beneficial or adverse role in the immune system in different environments. On the one hand, trogocytosis strengthens immune defenses (antigen presentation and immune cell activation) and is accompanied by an increase in the probability of pathological immune damage. On the other hand, pathogens and abnormal cells also use trogocytosis to evade human immune surveillance. Based on existing research, it is impossible to generalize whether trogocytosis in the immune system is a foe or a friend because immunity is a double-edged sword. Trogocytosis can be applied to find therapeutic targets in infectious diseases, tumors and other diseases. However, given the negative role of trogocytosis in the immune system, disrupting its process of trogocytosis may be applied to treat the immune escape of tumors and pathogens, hypersensitivity, autoimmune diseases and graft-versus-host disease. At present, research on trogocytosis has not fully elucidated its mechanism and is mainly limited to the cytoskeleton’s role. Trogocytosis needs to be studied and clarified in depth.

There are several questions worth considering in future research. First, what are the different mechanisms by which trogocytosis regulates similar processes under different conditions, leading to different functional consequences? Second, because many cells are capable of trogocytosis and phagocytosis, such as E. histolytica, neutrophils, and macrophages, how does the cell decide to initiate trogocytosis or phagocytosis? Is it receptor-ligand binding or other unknown and more direct ways? Third, the proteins on the cell surface rely on hydrophobic interactions to exist stably on the cell membrane, so the transfer of proteins between cells needs to destroy or overcome this hydrophobic effect. The force required to pull out the intact membrane protein from the hydrophobic lipid bilayer as proven to be similar to the force required to break high-affinity protein interactions (e.g., antigen-antibody interactions) as early as 1978 (113), so how do trogocytosis-positive cells produce such a huge pulling force to “tear” membrane fragments and membrane proteins from the target cell? Finally, the problem of cell membrane repair post trogocytosis is also crucial. Are cellular repair pathways activated in trogocytosed cells? Specifically, since calcium influx is a trigger for cell membrane damage repair (114), does calcium influx occur in trogocytosed cells? As far as the result of trogocytosis is concerned, the rearrangement and combination of functions of cells through trogocytosis makes cells functions more diverse. Of course, trogocytosis somewhat improves the efficiency of organisms and reduces costs.
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