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Cryopreservation and transplantation of common carp spermatogonia
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Abstract
Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) is one of the most cultured fish species over the world with many different breeds and plenty of published protocols for sperm cryopreservation. However, data regarding preservation of gonadal tissue and surrogate production is still missing. A protocol for freezing common carp spermatogonia was developed through varying different factors along a set of serial subsequent experiments. Among the six cryoprotectants tested, the best survival was achieved with dimethyl sulfoxide (Me₂SO). In the next experiment, a wide range of cooling rates (0.5–10˚C/min) and different concentrations of Me₂SO were tested resulting in the highest survival achieved using 2 M Me₂SO and cooling rate of -1˚C/min. When testing different tissue sizes and incubation times in the cryomedia, the highest viability was observed when incubating 100 mg tissue fragments for 30 min. Finally, sugar supplementation did not yield significant differences. When testing different equilibration (ES) and vitrification solutions (VS) used for needle-immersed vitrification, no significant differences were observed between the tested groups. Additionally, varied exposure time to VS did not improve the vitrification outcome where the viability was 4-fold lower than that of freezing. The functionality of cryopreserved cells was tested by interspecific transplantation into sterilized goldfish recipients. The exogenous origin of the germ cells in gonads of goldfish recipient was confirmed by molecular markers and incorporation rate was over 40% at 3 months post-transplantation. Results of this study can serve for long-term preservation of germplasm in carp which can be recovered in a surrogate recipient.

Introduction
Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) is one of the oldest domesticated fish species in the world and is mainly cultured in Europe and Asia. Nowadays, the common carp expanded to all continents with exception of Antarctica. Overall carp production from aquaculture in 2016 was more than 4 million tons—9.6% of the total freshwater finfish aquaculture production in that year [1]. Fruitful history and lasting popularity of this species gave to rise many different
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strains and lines which became important for breed management and production of hybrids in Central Europe [2–4]. Due to this fact, significant efforts have been committed to preservation of carp genetic resources. Long-term cultivation of pure-breed livestock [5], methods for genetic diversity identification [6–9] as well as methods for creating and keeping gene banks through sperm cryopreservation [10–14] have been developed. However, ex situ preservation of valuable genetic material is still based only on sperm cryopreservation.

Recent progress in biotechnology revealed a very efficient alternative for preservation and restoration of a valuable genetic material using cryopreservation of germline stem cells and surrogate offspring production. Germline stem cells (including spermatogonial stem cells—SSCs, oogonial stem cells—OSC and primordial germ cells—PGCs) have the ability to incorporate into gonads of suitable recipients after transplantation, and to initiate gametogenesis and to give rise to functional gametes and viable offspring subsequently. They exhibit a high level of sexual plasticity as transplanted spermatogonia and oogonia can develop into both eggs and sperm, thus both sexes can be easily restored even from a single donor using host individuals of different sex [15–19]. Additionally, several studies have displayed that fish germ cells can be cryopreserved, stored for virtually indefinite periods of time, and can be transplanted as they retain their colonization and proliferation capabilities after thawing [20–22].

Most of the cryopreservation studies until now focused on optimizing protocols by freezing germ cells slowly (usually at ~1˚C/min) to a temperature that is optimal for plunging into liquid nitrogen. These studies demonstrated that certain differences and peculiarities can be found in optimal cryopreservation protocols between species, thus, it is necessary to optimize the cryopreservation procedure for each fish species [23–25]. Performed studies focused on optimizing cryoprotectants, their concentrations or additional sugar or protein supplementation, however, little attention was paid to the size of the cryopreserved tissue pieces, incubation time in cryomedium prior freezing and especially the rate of freezing.

Vitrification as an ultra-fast cryopreservation method has been largely overlooked until recently. The studies of Lujić et al. [26], Seki et al. [27], Higaki et al. [28], Marinović et al. [29] pioneered in developing vitrification methods for fish germ cells as this method has several advantages over the traditional slow rate freezing: (1) cost efficiency as it does not require special and costly equipment; (2) time-effectiveness as the sample preparation is quicker; (3) low volumes of liquid nitrogen are needed. As high cryoprotectant concentrations are needed to reach the amorphous glassy state of the tissue during vitrification, optimization of the tradeoff between the cryoprotectant combinations, their concentrations and attaining the highest possible cooling rates is of utmost importance.

In the present study, we optimized the freezing and vitrification protocols for the common carp spermatogonia and showed possible gaps for improvement which can be generally adopted for cryopreservation of other fish species. Additionally, we demonstrated the functionality of the cryopreserved cells through interspecific spermatogonia transplantation using sterilized goldfish host.

Material and methods

Animal ethics

The study was partly conducted at the Department of Aquaculture, Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary, and partly at the aquaculture facility of the Genetic Fisheries Center and Laboratory of Germ Cells at the Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Vodňany, Czech Republic. Experiments conducted in Hungary were approved under the Hungarian Animal Welfare Law (Act XVIII/1998 of the Hungarian Parliament on the protection and humane treatment of animals) by the
Government Office of Pest County (approval number: PE/EA/188-6/2016). Experiments conducted in Czech Republic were approved Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic (reference number: 53100/2013-MZE-17214). The methodological protocol of the current study was approved by the expert committee of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters in Vodňany according to the law on the protection of animals against cruelty (Act no. 246/1992 Coll., ref. number 16OZ19179/2016-17214). The study did not involve endangered or protected species. Martin Pšenička (CZ 00673) and Vojtěch Kaspar (CZ01652) own the certificate giving capacity to conduct and manage experiments involving animals according to section 15d paragraph 3 of Act no. 246/1992 Coll.

Cryopreservation experiment

Testicular tissue preparation. Common carp males (age 1+ year, BW: 128 ± 34 g) used for development and optimization of the cryopreservation protocol were held in a recirculation system at the Department of Aquaculture, Szent István University, Hungary. Fish were kept at a constant temperature of 24 ± 1°C, fed twice per day with a low-fat diet (fat content 10%, SUPREME-10, Alltech Coppens, The Netherlands). Fish were euthanized by an overdose of 2-phenoxyethanol and decapitated, testes were aseptically excised, washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and cleaned of large blood vessels and adjacent connective tissue. Testes were then cut into small fragments, approximately weighing 50 mg, 100 mg or 150 mg (depending on the experiment). Three fragments were used as a fresh control in total for each trial.

Dissociation procedure. Each tissue fragment was weighed before dissociation, and subsequently transferred into the dissociation medium containing L-15 (L5520-100ML, Lot: SLBU2824, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 2 mg/ml collagenase (17100–017, Lot: 1371008, Gibco, Life Technologies Czech Republic s.r.o., Czech Republic), 1.5 mg/ml trypsin (T4799-25G, Lot: SLBN0947V, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO) and 40 μg/ml of DNase I (10104159001, Lot: 22067800, Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany), minced into small pieces and incubated for 1.5 h at room temperature (RT; 22°C) on a shaking plate. Digestion was terminated after addition of 1ml L-15 medium with 10% Fetal bovine serum (FBS) (v/v) (F9665, Lot: BCBS4908V, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO). In order to obtain a single cell suspension, samples were filtered through 30 μm CellTrics filters (04-0042-2316, Sysmex, Germany) and centrifuged for 10 min at 200 × g. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended by a gentle pipetting with addition of an appropriate volume of L-15 medium.

Viability assessment. Cell viability was determined by trypan blue differential staining where the dead cells were stained blue while live cells remained unstained. The number of live early-stage germ cells was counted in 20 fields of a Bürker-Tül Francis counting chamber for each sample under a light microscope with phase contrast (Nikon Eclipse E600) at 40× magnification. Final cell survival rate was assessed as the percentage of live cells isolated from cryopreserved tissue compared to the number of live cells isolated from the fresh tissue while correcting for the tissue size according to Luijić et al. [26]: Viability (%) = (Ncryopreserved/Nfresh) × CF × 100 where CF = Weightfresh tissue/Weightcryopreserved tissue.

Freezing of testicular fragments. Cryomedia used in the study were composed of cryoprotectants (type and concentration depending on the experiment) Dimethyl sulfoxide—Me2SO (472301-500ML, Lot: SZBE0310V, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO), Methanol—MeOH (21190–11000, Lot: 2102260216, PENTA s.r.o., Czech Republic), Ethylene glycol—EG (324558-1L, Lot:19796CPV, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO), Glycerol—Gly (G7893-
500ML, Lot: SHBF0091V, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO) Propylene glycol—PG (134368, Lot:S44324-507, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO) 1.5% Bovine serum albumin (BSA) (A3733-50G, Lot: 020M1505, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO), 25 mM HEPES (H4034-500G, Lot: 100M54181V and sugar supplementation (type and concentration depending on the experiment)—Glucose (G8270-100G, Lot: SZBE0360V, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO), Trehalose (90208, Lot: 1235301, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO), Sucrose (220900010, Lot: A016212501, Fisher Scientific UK Ltd), Fructose (F0127-100G, Lot: SLB0815V, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO) diluted in PBS. Tissue fragments were loaded into 1.8 ml cryotubes (Nunc) filled with 1 ml of cryomedium. Samples were equilibrated for 15 min or 30 min (depending on the experiment) on ice, and subsequently placed into CoolCell (Biovision) freezer boxes and into a deep freezer (- 80˚C) which enabled cooling rates of ~ 1˚C/min or were frozen using a Controlled rate freezer (IceCube 14S programmable freezer; IceCube Series v. 2.24, Sy-Lab, Neupurkersdorf, Austria) set to different cooling rates depending on the test group (see below). Samples frozen in freezer box were after 4 h plunged into liquid nitrogen for at least 1 day of storage. Samples frozen in controlled rate freezer were plunged into liquid nitrogen right after completing the freezing program. Samples were thawed in a 26˚C water bath and tissue fragments were washed three times in L-15 where they remained until further work (not longer than 15 min). Digestion and counting procedures were conducted as mentioned above.

Optimization of the freezing protocol was conducted in four sequential experiments where one cryopreservation parameter was changed in each experiment, and the best outcome was used in the subsequent experiment. Initially, 0.1 M glucose was used as sugar supplementation and 100mg tissue fragments were frozen. Firstly, the effects of Me₂SO, EG, Gly, 1:1 combination of Me₂SO and (Me₂SO + PG) MeOH at a concentration of 1.5 M were assessed. In the second experiment, five different Me₂SO concentrations (1 M, 1.5 M, 2 M, 2.5 M and 3 M) and six different cooling rates (0.5˚C/min, 1˚C/min, 2.5˚C/min, 5˚C/min, 7.5˚C/min and 10˚C/min) were tested. Cryopreservation in this experiment was conducted in a controlled-rate freezer. In the third experiment, the tissue size (50, 100 and 150 mg) as well as incubation time (15 or 30 min) in the cryoprotectants were tested. Lastly, the effects of sugar supplementation of cell viability was assessed by supplementing the cryomedia with either glucose, fructose, trehalose or sucrose at 0.1 or 0.3 M. Tissue pieces were 100 mg and an equilibration time of 30 min was used in this trial.

**Vitrification of testicular pieces.** Vitrification was conducted by utilizing needle immersed vitrification (NIV) methodology as described by Marinović et al. [29]. In short, 50 mg testicular fragments were pinned to an acupuncture needle and immersed into two media prior to cryopreservation: the equilibration solution (ES) and the vitrification solution (VS). After 15 min immersion in ES and 1, 1.5 or 2 min immersion in VS (depending on the experiment), tissue fragments on the needles were briefly put on paper wipes to remove excess of ES and VS and the needles were plunged into liquid nitrogen. After at least 1 day of storage, tissue pieces were warmed in three subsequent warming solutions at RT containing L-15 supplemented with 10% FBS and various concentrations of sucrose (WS1 – 3M; WS2 – 1 M; WS3 did not contain sucrose). Digestion and counting procedures were conducted as mentioned above.

Firstly, optimization of the vitrification protocol was conducted by testing the effects of three different equilibration solutions and three different vitrification solutions on spermatogonia viability similarly to brown trout oogonia [26]. Equilibration (ES1 – ES3) and vitrification (VS1 – VS3) solutions contained different combinations and concentrations of Me₂SO, MeOH and PG (ES1: 1.5 M MeOH + 1.5 M PG; ES2: 1.5 M MeOH + 1.5 M Me₂SO ES3: 1.5 M PG + 1.5 M Me₂SO; VS1: 1.5 M MeOH + 4.5 M PG; VS2: 1.5 M MeOH + 5.5 M Me₂SO; VS3: 3 M PG + 3 M Me₂SO). The extender consisted of L-15 supplemented with 10% FBS, 25
mM HEPES and 0.5 M trehalose, while incubation time in VS was 1.5 min. In the second trial, the exposure of testicular fragments for 1, 1.5 or 2 min to two vitrification solutions (VS1: 1.5 M MeOH + 5.5 M Me2SO; VS2: 3 M PG + 3 M Me2SO) was tested. The ES consisted of 1.5 M PG + 1.5 M Me2SO while the extender composition was the same as in the previous trial.

Spermatogonia cryopreservation and transplantation

Preparation of recipients for transplantation. Goldfish (Carassius auratus) spawners obtained from a local breeder were injected intraperitoneally with minced acetone dried carp pituitary dissolved in physiological saline (0.9% NaCl). Females were injected with first dose of carp pituitary (0.5 mg/kg), and second dose (2.5 mg/kg) after 12 h before collection of eggs. Eggs were collected 12 h after the injection of the second dose. Males were injected with single dose of carp pituitary (1.5 mg/kg) and sperm was collected 24 h after. Gametes were collected by abdominal massage and stored at 15˚C until fertilization (no longer than 15 min). Eggs from five females were mixed together and fertilized with pooled milt from 10 males. Embryos were allowed to stick on a Petri dish and then transferred into an incubator at 23˚C. Embryos were injected under the blastodisc at the 2-cell stage without dechorionation with 100 mM solution of antisense dead end morpholino (dnd-MO) oligonucleotide purchased from Gene Tools LLC (Philomath, OR, USA) diluted in 0.2 M KCl according to Goto et al. [30] (GenBank accession no. JN578697, target sequence: 5’ CATCACAGGTGGACAGCGGCATGGA 3’) using a micromanipulator (M-152, Narishige, Japan) and FemtoJet 4x microinjector (Eppendorf, Germany). Injection pressure and pressure duration were set to inject dnd-MO in approximate volume of 10% of the total yolk volume. Part of embryos injected with dnd-MO was co-injected with GFP-nos1 3’UTR mRNA [31] to confirm successful depletion of primordial germ cells. Water was changed daily until hatching. Swim up embryos were fed with Artemia nauplii ad libitum.

Transplantation. Transplantation was conducted into 11 dpf dnd-MO treated recipient larvae. Two different test groups were defined: (1) a recipient group in which fresh cells were injected and (2) a recipient group into which cryopreserved/thawed cells were injected. Due to the low vitrification effectiveness in common carp, only cells frozen by optimized protocol (2 M Me2SO, 0.3 M glucose, 1.5% BSA and 25 mM HEPES, 100-mg fragments, equilibrated for 30min) using freezer box were transplanted. In both cases, spermatogonia were enriched using 30% Percoll gradient (P1644-100ML, Lot: SLBS3410V, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO) according to Špenička et al. [32]. Recipient larvae were anesthetized in a 0.05% tricaine solution (A40-25G, Lot: MKBV1603V, Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO) and approximately 5000 cells were injected into the peritoneal cavity of the recipients. Injected larvae (100 larvae per group) were transferred into fresh water and left to recover for two weeks and fed with Artemia nauplii. Germine chimeras were then transferred into aquaria and fed with an artificial food (SCARLET, Alltech Coppens, The Netherlands). Water temperature was constantly held at 23 ± 1˚C after the transplantation in order to prevent sex bias [33]. Control groups of intact control fish and morphants were exposed to the same rearing conditions as the experimental individuals however, no operations were conducted on them.

Germine chimera identification. From each test group, 40 fish were euthanized by a tricaine overdose, decapitated and dissected 3 months post-transplantation (BW: 5.6 ± 2.3 g). Firstly, gonads were visually inspected for signs of development under a light microscope. Subsequently, gonads were excised and stored separately at -80˚C until RNA isolation. RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent according to manufacturer instruction (Invitrogen). Isolated RNA was transcribed into cDNA using Transcriptor High Fidelity cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche). Primers for RT-PCR were designed for carp and goldfish ddx4 gene (vasa) and dnd1
gene, tested for specificity and to find suitable annealing temperature (Table 1). Primers were diluted according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The reaction mixture for PCR contained 1 μl template cDNA, 0.5 μl forward and 0.5 μl reverse primer, 5 μl PPP Master Mix (Top-Bio) and 3 μl PCR H2O (Top-Bio). Reaction conditions were 35 cycles of 94˚C for 30 s, 58˚C (for dnd1 primers) or 60˚C (for vasa primers) for 30 s and 72˚C for 30 s. Products were analyzed on gel electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel on a UV illuminator.

Statistical evaluation. All trials were tested in triplicates and three fresh testicular pieces were used as a control for each trial. Data is presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). All percentage data was arcsine transformed prior to statistical analyses. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s honest significant difference (HSD) test was applied in the trial with different cryoprotectants. Other trials were evaluated by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s HSD. Significance level was set for all trial at \( p < 0.05 \), F-values, P-values and \( d.f. \) for each trial are available in S1–S6 Tables. Statistical analysis was performed using STATISTICA v13.1 software (TIBCO Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA).

Results

Freezing of carp testicular tissue

The highest viability in the first trial was observed using Me2SO (8.4%) since the use of other cryoprotectants resulted in significantly lower viability (Tukey’s HSD, \( p < 0.05 \); Fig 1A). Combination of different Me2SO concentrations (1 to 3 M) and freezing rates (-0.5 to -10˚C/min) resulted in a wide range of viability among different combinations. Viability over 20% was recorded only when combining a -1˚C/min freezing rate with 2 M and 2.5 M Me2SO (Fig 1B). Generally, slower cooling rates (-0.5 to -2.5˚C/min) resulted in higher viability in comparison to the faster cooling rates, while the resistance to the fastest cooling rate increased with higher Me2SO concentration. Additionally, the use of higher Me2SO concentrations and faster cooling rates resulted in higher amount of viable spermatozoa in cell suspensions indicating that optimal conditions for spermatozoa and spermatogonia are different.

Exposure of tissue pieces of different sizes (50–150 mg) to cryoprotectants for variable periods of time (15 or 30 min) did not result in high viability. The highest viability was achieved when equilibrating 100-mg tissue pieces for 30 min, however, statistical differences were not significant in comparison to other combinations (Tukey’s HSD, \( p > 0.05 \); Fig 1C). Lastly, the supplementation of cryomedia with various sugars (glucose, fructose, trehalose and sucrose) in different concentrations (0.1 or 0.3 M) did not result in significant differences (Tukey’s HSD, \( p > 0.05 \); Fig 1D). The highest viability of ~ 40% was obtained when equilibrating 100-mg tissue pieces for 30 min in a cryomedia containing 2 M Me2SO, 0.3 M glucose, 1.5% BSA and 25 mM HEPES.

Table 1. Primers used for confirmation of donor-derived origin of transplanted cells in recipients.

| Gene       | GenBank Accession ID | Forward primer 5´-3´ | Reverse primer 5´-3´ | Expected amplicon size |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| dnd1 Carp  | XM_01910334.1        | F: CGGCCCGCCGGAGAGATGAG | R: GATCTGAGAACCAGCACA  | 209 bp                 |
| vasa Carp  | AF479820.2           | F: CGGTGCTTGAAGTATCGCTCT | R: ATCAGAGCTCGTCTCCCCC | 214 bp                 |
| dnd1 Goldfish | JN578697.1         | F: CGGCTAGCTGAGAGATGAG  | R: GATCTGAGAACCAGCACA  | 209 bp                 |
| vasa Goldfish | XM_026273070.1      | F: GCATCCATGCTGATCGGGAG | R: GATCTGAGAACCAGCACA  | 166 bp                 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205481.t001
Vitrification of carp testicular tissue

In the first vitrification trial, only the vitrification solutions displayed a significant effect on the viability of spermatogonia after warming (two-factor ANOVA, \( p < 0.05 \)). Even though the average viability was higher when combining ES3 (containing 1.5 M PG and 1.5 M Me\(_2\)SO).
with either VS2 (containing 1.5 M MeOH and 5.5 M Me₂SO) or VS3 (containing 3 M PG and 3 M Me₂SO), clear statistical differences could not be observed (Fig 1E; Tukey’s HSD, \( p > 0.05 \)). Therefore, VS2 and VS3 were used in the subsequent experiment. In the second trial, only the exposure times to the vitrification solutions had a significant effect on spermato- gonia viability (two-factor ANOVA, \( p < 0.01 \)). Only exposure for 1 min to VS2 (containing 3 M PG and 3 M Me₂SO) yielded significantly lower viability rates compared to other groups (Fig 1F; Tukey’s HSD, \( p < 0.05 \)).

Transplantation of cryopreserved spermatogonia

Due to the higher overall viability obtained by freezing (40.7 ± 9.2%) compared to vitrification (11.4 ± 4.9%), only spermatogonia frozen with the optimized protocol based on 2M Me₂SO and 0.3 M Glucose using Cool Cell Box were transplanted alongside freshly isolated cells into the recipient goldfish larvae. As indicated above, recipient embryos were sterilized by injecting \textit{dnd-MO}, and the success of sterilization was confirmed by fluorescent microscopy after co-injection with GFP-nos1 3’ UTR mRNA. All of the co-injected larvae displayed a successful depletion of recipient’s endogenous PGCs. \textit{dnd-MO} injection affected the survival rates until the hatching stage compared to the untreated control during, however, survival after transplantation procedure and during ongrowing was comparable across experimental groups (Table 2).

Success of transplantation was assessed three months after transplantation when the recipients were visually inspected for developing gonads after dissection and for RT-PCR amplification of carp-specific \textit{vasa} and \textit{dnd1} amplicons (Table 3, Fig 2E and 2F). Firstly, during the visual inspection, none of the \textit{dnd-MO} treated control individuals showed any signs of developing gonads (Fig 2B and 2B’) compared to the developing gonads observed in the non-treated controls (Fig 2A and 2A’). The RT-PCR amplification of goldfish \textit{vasa} and \textit{dnd1} additionally

Table 2. Recipient goldfish survival during the experiment.

| Treatment   | No. of eggs fertilized | Survival 24 h (%) | Survival hatching (%) | Survival swim up (%) | Survival at transplantation (%) | Survival 24 h pt | Survival 1 month pt | Survival 3 months pt |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Control     | 235                    | 199 (84.6)        | 167 (71)              | 151 (64.2)           | 149\(^{a}\) (63.4)            | 149             | 143                 | 142                 |
| Morphants   | 1060                   | 719 (67.8)        | 602 (56.8)            | 554 (52.2)           | 531\(^{a}\) (50)             | 100\(^{a}\) (MO) | 92                  | 92                  |

\(^{a}\) Control individuals were exposed to the same rearing conditions as the transplanted groups; however, no operations were conducted on them

\(^{b}\) MO treated goldfish larvae were divided for the transplantation into 3 groups, 100 larvae per group: MO–only \textit{dnd-MO} treated fish, FC–fresh cells transplanted, CC–cryopreserved cells transplanted.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205481.t002

Table 3. Summarized results of transplantation success and carp \textit{vasa} and \textit{dnd1} RNA expression in germline chimeras evaluated 3 months post-transplantation.

| Treatment                      | Developed gonads/fish assessed | Testis/Ovary | Both gonads developed/one undeveloped | Carp \textit{vasa} positive | Goldfish \textit{vasa} positive | Carp \textit{dnd1} positive | Goldfish \textit{dnd1} positive |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Control                        | 40/40                          | 17/23        | 40/0                                  | 0                           | 40                            | 0                          | 40                        |
| Cryopreserved cells transplanted| 17/40                          | 10/7         | 8/9                                   | 17                          | 0                             | 17                        | 0                         |
| Fresh cells transplanted        | 21/40                          | 14/7         | 9/12                                  | 21                          | 0                             | 21                        | 0                         |
| \textit{dnd MO} treated        | 0/40                           | -            | -                                     | 0                           | 0                             | 0                         | 0                         |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205481.t003
Fig 2. Detection of common carp spermatogonia incorporation and proliferation after interspecific transplantation into sterilized goldfish recipients. (A–D) Ventral view of dissected goldfish recipients. (A’–D’) Stereomicroscopic observation of the dissected gonads. (A, A’) Control fish displaying both gonads fully developed. (B, B’) dnd-MO treated goldfish displaying a lack of gonadal development. Development of testis (C, C’) and ovary (D, D’) after transplantation of common carp spermatogonia into dnd-MO sterilized goldfish recipients. Developed gonads are outlined with black dashed lines, undeveloped gonads are pointed out by white arrowheads. Scale bars: A, A’, B, C, C’, D – 2 mm, B’ – 1 mm, D’ – 500 μm. (E,F) Gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR amplicons of common carp vasa (E) dnd1 (F) from gonads of goldfish germline chimeras transplanted with cryopreserved/thawed cells or fresh cells, control common carp ovary (♀), testes (♂) and fin tissue (fin), goldfish control ovary (♀), testes (♂), and gonads of dnd-MO injected fish (dnd-MO).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205481.g002
corroborated these findings as all assessed MO-treated controls and fish transplanted with cells were negative for goldfish *dnd1* and *vasa* (Table 3).

Approximately 40% of the recipients injected with frozen/thawed carp spermatogonia displayed developing gonads (Table 3). Similarly, ~50% of recipients injected with fresh spermatogonia displayed developing gonads. Developing gonads were either testes characterized by their white colour (Fig 2C and 2C') or ovaries distinguishable by the presence of oocytes observable under higher magnification (Fig 2D and 2D'); no intersex or individuals of indistinguishable sex were observed. Ratio between male and female germ line chimeras was in favor of males, however, at least one-third of positive germ line chimeras developed into females. Donor-derived origin of the germ cells within the developing recipient gonads was determined by RT-PCR amplification of the carp *vasa* and *dnd* amplicon (Table 3; Fig 2E and 2F). These results indicated that both fresh and frozen/thawed carp spermatogonia successfully migrated and incorporated into the goldfish gonads, as well as proliferated within the recipient gonads and produced later-stage germ cells of both sexes.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we have developed for the first time a cryopreservation methodology for common carp spermatogonia through freezing and vitrification of testicular tissue. Transplanted spermatogonia were physiologically active since they were able to colonize the genital ridge and renew testicular fate as well switch and develop as female germ cells in recipient’s ovary. This finding suggest that reproduction is from a single germ cell donor is self-sustaining for future reproduction of germline chimeras. Results of this study can serve as an alternative way for long-term preservation of common carp germplasm which can be recovered through inter-specific transplantation into *dnd*-MO sterilized goldfish recipients.

**Cryopreservation of testicular tissue**

Me2SO was the most suitable cryoprotectant for the freezing of common carp spermatogonia. Similar results were observed for salmonids [20,23,34] and cyprinids [25,35]. On the other hand, ethylene glycol which was optimal for freezing Siberian sturgeon (*Acipenser baerii*) spermatogonia [24] or propylene glycol suitable for freezing rainbow trout spermatogonia [20] were less effective in freezing of carp spermatogonia. Species-specific requirements and sensitivity to different cryoprotectants are therefore obvious and tailored optimized protocols are crucial. Cryoprotectant concentrations and cooling rates can be of crucial importance for freezing protocol optimization. These two parameters balance the rate of water efflux from the cell and its substitution for the cryoprotectant which will lower the freezing point and prevent the detrimental creation of intracellular ice [36]. Highest survival was recorded with 2 and 2.5 M Me2SO. Similar was reported in tench (*Tinca tinca*) and goldfish [25] where increased concentrations of cryoprotectants yielded higher spermatogonia viability. As for the cooling rates, lower cooling rates of -0.5 and -1˚C/min were more appropriate than the higher ones. Similar results were observed by Lee and Yoshizaki (2016) for the Manchurian trout (Brachymystax lenok) [23]. The cause to this is most likely related to cell size: larger cells generally require lower cooling rates and thus more time during cooling to enable water efflux out of the cell [37].

With regard to freezing of isolated cells or whole tissues, studies of Pšenička et al. [24] and Marinović et al. [25] indicated slightly better results when freezing whole tissues. Cryopreservation of whole tissue is a more reasonable approach since gonadal tissue can be dissected, incubated in cryomedia, frozen to –80˚C and then stored in liquid nitrogen within a time-frame of 2–3 hours (in case of slow cooling rate 1˚C/min). Cryopreservation procedure of
isolated cells inherently takes longer since the tissue needs to be dissociated (and/or enriched for spermatogonia) which takes more time. Moreover, the use of this approach for germ cell transplantation could compromise its efficiency due to a high number of dead cells in the suspension and/or would optionally call for further purification of the suspension. However, when freezing whole tissues, attention needs to be paid to the size of the frozen tissue. In immature individuals or fish of small size, testicular tissue is generally small, therefore further fragmentation would not have any benefits [20,23,26]. On the other hand, when presented with large mature testes such as ones of common carp, its fragmentation is necessary. Trials of the present study did not display any effect of tissue size nor equilibration time on spermatogonia viability, however, we recommend that tissue pieces should be of reasonable size and should not surpass 100 mg (approximately 600,000 spermatogonia may be isolated from 100 mg of frozen/thawed testicular tissue).

During the recent decades, vitrification as a form of cryopreservation distinct to freezing where the formation of ice crystals is circumvented by using ultra-rapid cooling rates (up to 10^10°C/s) [38] has started to gain significant scientific attention. Several studies conducted fish, bird and mammalian testicular and ovarian tissue [26,29,39,40] indicated that vitrification offers advantages when compared to freezing with regard to cost- and time-effectiveness, low volumes of LN_2 needed and other. Even though studies conducted on zebrafish (Danio rerio) [29], medaka (Oryzias latipes) [27] and honmoroko (Gnathopogon caerulescens) [28] testicular tissue display vitrification viability comparable to freezing viability, the present study demonstrated that viability of vitrified spermatogonia was approximately four-fold lower than the viability obtained through freezing. Similar was observed in goldfish and Wels catfish (Silurus glanis) (unpublished data). The main difference between the low and high vitrification viability species is the presence of spermatozoa. The proportion of spermatozoa to other testicular cells in mature common carp, goldfish and catfish testis is much higher than in zebrafish and medaka, while the vitrified honmoroko testicular tissue was immature and contained only early-stage germ cells. As vitrification of spermatozoa regularly displays lower quality compared to sperm freezing [41], high proportion of spermatozoa within the testicular tissue might form a selective barrier for the application of vitrification protocols in certain cases.

**Transplantation of cryopreserved tissue**

In the present study, we demonstrated successful inter-specific transplantation of carp spermatogonia into goldfish recipients and the onset of the surrogate production technology between these two species. Inter-specific surrogate production offers several distinct advantages such as shortening of the reproduction time of long-term maturing species [17,32,42,43] or overcoming problems connected with poor reproduction performance [27]. The reasons for choosing goldfish in surrogate reproduction of carp are: (1) its small body size [44], (2) relatively fast maturation [45], (3) similar reproduction characteristics and management to carp [46], (4) short phylogenetic distance between carp and goldfish when even crossbreeds are viable [47], (5) available technology for recipient sterilization [30], and (6) proven resistance to diseases which represent a serious threat to carp such as Koi herpes virus [48].

Sterility of recipients is one of the main factors in successful application of surrogacy. Results of the MO-treatment in the present study correspond to previous reports of dnd-MO sterilization in goldfish [30], rainbow trout [49], sterlet [50] or zebrafish [51]. The lack fluorescent primordial germ cells after the co-injection of GFP-nos1 3'UTR mRNA and the absence of goldfish-specific vasa and dnd1 amplicons in recipient gonads in all tested individuals indicate that the sterilization was successful. Similarly, gene editing techniques using knock-out approaches to target the dnd gene have successfully induced sterilization in Atlantic salmon.
(Salmo salar) [52]. However, Škugor et al. [53] reported severe metabolic impairments in morphants, primarily in the sex hormone metabolism. Consequences of a lifetime absence of dnd induced by gene editing techniques need to be assessed, and different species might react differently to such circumstances. For example, after intra-specific transplantation of zebrafish spermatogonia, only 5% of recipients sterilized through dnd-KO demonstrated donor cell incorporation [54]; on the other hand, incorporation rates were significantly higher when dnd-MO-KD recipients were used (unpublished results). Other sterilization techniques such as triploidization or hybridization usually applied in salmonids can be used for production of convenient recipients [17,34]. However, partial development of indigenous gonads can occur and alter production of donor-derived gametes [43]. Therefore, sterility achieved through PGCs migration disruption via temporal RNA knockdown seems to be most convenient sterilization approach in case of goldfish [30,50,55], even when immersion in vivo MO can be applied instead of microinjection [56].

Few individuals died in both groups transplanted by spermatogonia after transplantation procedure. Sham transplantation using only cell medium (L-15) was not performed on morphants and control groups. Preliminary results on zebrafish showed high mortality rate when swim-up larvae were intraperitoneally injected with L-15 medium without cells, probably due to excessive water ingestion through opening made by capillary (unpublished results). We suggest that penetration made by capillary after regular transplantation is clogged by introduced cells which subsequently helps to prevent water ingestion into the body. Later survival of all experimental goldfish in this study was comparable across all groups. Post-transplantation survival rates of goldfish recipients (86% ≥ at 3 mpt) are higher in comparison with rates reported on zebrafish germ cell transplantation into pearl danio x zebrafish hybrid (75.5% at 5 dpt) [57] or interspecific transplantation into rainbow trout recipients (59.5% at 2 mpt) [58]. Therefore goldfish larvae can be regarded as very sturdy recipients ensuring satisfactory post-transplantation survival.

Transplantation of both frozen/thawed and fresh spermatogonia into goldfish recipient larvae resulted in successful colonization and proliferation of carp germ cells within the recipient gonads. Incorporation rates were similar, thus demonstrating that frozen/thawed germ cells retain their physiological capabilities and can be used in surrogate production technology. Additionally, observed incorporation rates (40–50%) are within the range reported for various other species such as brown trout (Salmo trutta) (27%) and European grayling (Thymallus thymallus) (23–28%) germ cells transplanted into rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) [58], allogenic transplantation in rainbow trout (60–70%) [49]; rainbow trout germ cells into masu salmon (Oncorhynchus masou) (68.5%) [22]. In many observed germline chimeras in our study, only one gonad was developed, while the other remained undeveloped, similarly to the dnd-MO treated controls. This phenomenon can be most likely attributed to the transplantation procedure, where germ cells are injected only from one side of the recipient’s body cavity. Therefore, cells can either stay near the place of injection or colonize only one gonad, or they can spread and migrate throughout the body cavity and colonize both gonads.

In the present study, both testes and ovaries were observed in the germline chimeras after transplantation of both cryopreserved and fresh spermatogonia. This offers the possibility for production of gametes of both sexes, and subsequently production of viable offspring originating even from a single donor. Sexual plasticity of germ cells after transplantation has been already described in several species when transplanted spermatogonia developed into both male and female gametes [20,43]. Sexual plasticity has a great importance when germ cells from extraordinary specimens are preserved. However, in goldfish, temperature can significantly affect sex differentiation and the final sex ratio. Thus, goldfish were constantly held at $23 \pm 1^\circ C$ during the first month because temperature above 25°C is known to trigger
masculinization [33]. Observed sex ratio in goldfish chimeras was slightly biased in favour of testicular development. This can be attributed to the fact that transplanted germ cells will rather tend to respect their original sex. Temperature sensitivity gives a possible advantage to goldfish as a recipient, because sex can be modified very easily without hormonal treatment. However, further studies are necessary to elucidate biological pathways causing the switch from spermatogonia to oogonia and vice versa as well as the effects of the surrounding environment on exogenous cells. Future studies will focus on optimization of surrogate reproduction, reproductive characteristics of goldfish recipients as well cryopreservation of female germ cells which is crucial because it is currently the optimal way of preserving maternal genome.

Conclusion
This study developed an optimal protocol for cryopreservation of carp male germ cells by freezing with subsequent restoration in goldfish as a surrogate host. Post-thaw viability of cryopreserved spermatogonia was improved over 40% through optimizing factors such as cryoprotectants, their concentrations, cooling rate, tissue size, incubation time and lastly sugar supplementation and their concentration. Importantly, our study showed that cryopreservation can be successfully performed without advanced cooling equipment when a commercially available cooling box placed in a -80°C deep freezer can be used. Incorporation rates of fresh and cryopreserved spermatogonia were similar after inter-specific transplantation into surrogate goldfish and transplanted spermatogonia developed within both ovaries and testes. The donor-derived origin was further confirmed by *vasa* and *dnd1* gene expression in germline chimera gonads. The results could serve as an alternative strategy in breeding programs for male germplasm cryopreservation with subsequent recovery in goldfish hosts. Additionally, cryopreservation gives a possibility to synchronize and carry out transplantation according to the availability of hosts. The results of the present study can be used in combination with the hypothermic storage described by Lujić et al. [59] where hypothermic storage is optimal for short-term storage of up to two weeks, while the freezing methodology developed in this study is optimal for long-term storage. Further steps will be taken to develop a protocol for female germ cell cryopreservation as well to improve transplantation success using younger recipients or developing germ-less carp hosts for allogenic germ cell transplantation.
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S1 Table. Results of the one factor ANOVA conducted to test the effects of different cryoprotectants (Me₂SO, EG, Gly, Me₂SO + PG, MeOH, ME), on common carp spermatogonia post-thaw viability. Statistically significant factors are bolded.

S2 Table. Results of the two factor ANOVA conducted to test the effects of Me₂SO cryoprotectant concentrations (1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3M) and different cooling rates (0.5, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10°C) on common carp spermatogonia post-thaw viability. Statistically significant factors are bolded.

S3 Table. Results of the two factor ANOVA conducted to test the effects of tissue size (50, 100, 150 mg) and incubation time (15, 30 min) on common carp spermatogonia post-thaw viability. Statistically significant factors are bolded.

S4 Table. Results of the two factor ANOVA conducted to test the effects of sugar supplementation (glucose, fructose, trehalose, sucrose) and its concentration (0.1, 0.3M) on
common carp spermatogonia post-thaw viability. Statistically significant factors are bolded.

S5 Table. Results of the two factor ANOVA conducted to test the effects of different equilibration (ES) and vitrification (VS) solutions on common carp spermatogonia post-thaw viability. Statistically significant factors are bolded.

S6 Table. Results of the two factor ANOVA conducted to test the effects of exposures (1–2 min) to different VS on common carp spermatogonia post-thaw viability. Statistically significant factors are bolded.

Acknowledgments

We would like thank to staff at the Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters as well staff at Department of Aquaculture at Szent István University.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Martin Pšenička, Ákos Horváth.

Formal analysis: Zoran Marinović, Jelena Lujić.

Funding acquisition: Béla Urbányi, Vojtěch Kašpar, Martin Pšenička, Ákos Horváth.

Investigation: Roman Franěk, Zoran Marinović, Jelena Lujić, Michaela Fučíková, Vojtěch Kašpar.

Methodology: Zoran Marinović, Jelena Lujić, Martin Pšenička, Ákos Horváth.

Project administration: Béla Urbányi, Vojtěch Kašpar, Martin Pšenička, Ákos Horváth.

Resources: Martin Pšenička, Ákos Horváth.

Supervision: Martin Pšenička, Ákos Horváth.

Writing – original draft: Roman Franěk, Zoran Marinović, Jelena Lujić.

Writing – review & editing: Roman Franěk, Zoran Marinović, Jelena Lujić, Béla Urbányi, Michaela Fučíková, Vojtěch Kašpar, Martin Pšenička, Ákos Horváth.

References

1. FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018—Meeting the sustainable development goals. Rome; 2018.

2. Balon EK. Origin and domestication of the wild carp, Cyprinus carpio: from Roman gourmets to the swimming flowers. Aquaculture. 1995; 129: 3–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(94)00227-F

3. Gorda S, Bakos J, Liska J, Kakuk C. Live gene bank of common carp strains at the Fish Culture Research Institute, Szarvas. Aquaculture. 1995; 129: 199–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(94)00248-M

4. Bakos J, Gorda S. Genetic resources of common carp at the Fish Culture Research Institute, Szarvas, Hungary. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No. 417. Rome; 2001.

5. Flajšhans M, Linhart O, Šechta V. Genetic resources of commercially important fish species in the Czech Republic: present state and future strategy. Aquaculture. 1999; 173: 471–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00477-3

6. Hulák M, Kaspar V, Kohlmann K, Coward K, Tešitel J, Rodina M, et al. Microsatellite-based genetic diversity and differentiation of foreign common carp (Cyprinus carpio) strains farmed in the Czech Republic. Aquaculture. 2010; 298: 194–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.10.021
7. Kohlmann K, Kersten P, Flajšhans M. Microsatellite-based genetic variability and differentiation of domesticated, wild and feral common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) populations. Aquaculture. 2005; 247: 253–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.024

8. Crooijmans RPMA Bierbooms VAF, Komen J Poel JJ Van Der. Microsatellite markers in common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.). Anim. Genet. 2005; 21: 129–134. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.1997.00097.x

9. Xu P, Zhang X, Wang X, Li J, Liu G, Kuang Y, et al. Genome sequence and genetic diversity of the common carp, Cyprinus carpio. Nat. Genet. 2014; 46: 1212–1219. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3098 PMID: 25240282

10. Horváth A, Miskolczi E, Miháltffy S, Osz K, Szabó K, Urbányi B. Cryopreservation of common carp (Cyprinus carpio) sperm in 1.2 and 5 ml straws and occurrence of haploids among larvae produced with cryopreserved sperm. Cryobiology. 2007; 54: 251–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2007.02.003 PMID: 17400204

11. Kurokura H, Hirano R, Tomita M, Iwashisi M. Cryopreservation of carp sperm. Aquaculture. 1984; 37: 267–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(84)90159-5

12. Linhart O, Rodina M, Cosson J. Cryopreservation of sperm in common carp Cyprinus carpio: sperm motility and hatching success of embryos. Cryobiology. 2000; 41: 241–250. https://doi.org/10.1006/cryo.2000.2284 PMID: 11161556

13. Lubzens E, Daube N, Pekarsky I, Magnus Y, Cohen A, Yusefovich F, et al. Carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) spermatozoa cryobanks—Strategies in research and application. Aquaculture. 1997; 155: 13–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(97)00106-3

14. Warnecke D, Pluta H.J. Motility and fertilizing capacity of frozen/thawed common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) sperm using dimethyl-acetamide as the main cryoprotectant. Aquaculture. 2003; 215: 167–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(02)00371-X

15. Okutsu T, Shikina S, Sakamoto T, Mochizuki M, Yoshizaki G. Successful production of functional Y eggs derived from spermatogonia transplanted into female recipients and subsequent production of YY supermales in rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Aquaculture. 2015; 446: 298–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.05.020

16. Okutsu T, Suzuki K, Takeuchi Y, Takeuchi T, Yoshizaki G. Testicular germ cells can colonize sexually undifferentiated embryonic gonad and produce functional eggs in fish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 2006; 103: 2725–2729. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0509218103 PMID: 16473947

17. Okutsu T, Shikina S, Kanno M, Takeuchi Y, Yoshizaki G. Production of Trout Offspring from Triploid Salmon Parents. Science. 2007; 317: 1517. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1145626 PMID: 17872437

18. Takeuchi Y, Yoshizaki G, Takeuchi T, Biotechnology: surrogate broodstock produces salmonids. Nature. 2004; 430: 629–630. https://doi.org/10.1038/430629a PMID: 15295587

19. Yoshizaki G, Ichikawa M, Hayashi M, Iwasaki Y, Miwa M, Shikina S, et al. Sexual plasticity of ovarian germ cells in rainbow trout. Development. 2010; 137: 1227–30. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.044982 PMID: 20223765

20. Lee S, Iwasaki Y, Shikina S, Yoshizaki G. Generation of functional eggs and sperm from cryopreserved whole testes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 2013; 110: 1640–1645. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218468110 PMID: 23319620

21. Lee S, Katayama N, Yoshizaki G. Generation of juvenile rainbow trout derived from cryopreserved whole ovaries by intraperitoneal transplantation of ovarian germ cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2016; 478: 6–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.08.156 PMID: 27581197

22. Lee S, Iwasaki Y, Yoshizaki G. Long-term (5 years) cryopreserved spermatogonia have high capacity to generate functional gametes via interspecies transplantation in salmonids. Cryobiology. 2016; 73: 5–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.08.001 PMID: 27496280

23. Lee S, Yoshizaki G. Successful cryopreservation of spermatogonia in critically endangered Manchurian trout (Brachymystax lenok). Cryobiology. 2016; 72: 165–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.01.004 PMID: 26827783

24. Pšenička M, Saito T, Rodina M, Dzyuba B. Cryopreservation of early stage Siberian sturgeon Acipenser baerii germ cells, comparison of whole tissue and dissociated cells. Cryobiology. 2016; 72:119–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.02.005 PMID: 26920821

25. Marinović Z, Lujić J, Kása E, Bernáth G, Urbányi B, Horváth Á. Cryosurvival of isolated testicular cells and testicular tissue of tench Tinca tinca and goldfish Carassius auratus following slow-rate freezing. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 2016; 245: 77–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygen.2016.07.005 PMID: 27401260

26. Lujić J, Marinović Z, Sušnik Bajec S, Djurdjević I, Kása E, Urbányi B, et al. First successful vitrification of salmonid ovarian tissue. Cryobiology. 2017; 76: 154–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2017.04.005 PMID: 28438562
27. Seki S, Kusano K, Lee S, Iwasaki Y, Yagisawa M, Ishida M, et al. Production of the medaka derived from vitrified whole testes by germ cell transplantation. Sci. Rep. 2017; 7: 43185. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43185 PMID: 28256523

28. Higaki S, Todo T, Teshima R, Tooyama I, Fujioke Y, Sakai N, et al. Cryopreservation of male and female gonial cells by vitrification in the critically endangered cyprinid honmoroko Gnathopogon caerulescens. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 2018; 44: 503–513. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-017-0449-x PMID: 29192358

29. Marinović Z, Lukić J, Kása E, Csenki Z, Urbányi B, Horváth Á. Cryopreservation of zebrafish spermatogonia by whole testes needle immersed ultra-rapid cooling. J Vis. Exp. 2018; 133. https://doi.org/10.3791/29553568

30. Goto R, Saito T, Takeda T, Fujimoto T, Takagi M, Arai K, et al. Germ cells are not the primary factor for sexual fate determination in goldfish. Dev. Biol. 2012; 370: 98–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.07.010 PMID: 22824426

31. Saito T, Fujimoto T, Maegawa S, Inoue K, Tanaka M, Arai K, et al. Visualization of primordial germ cells in vivo using GFP-nos1 3’UTR mRNA. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 2006; 50: 691–700. https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.062143ts PMID: 17051479

32. Pšenička M, Saito T, Linhartová Z, Gazo I. Isolation and transplantation of sturgeon early-stage germ cells. Theriogenology. 2015; 83: 1085–1092. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2014.12.010 PMID: 25559841

33. Goto-Kazeto R, Abe Y, Masai K, Yamada E, Adachi S, Yamauchi K. Temperature-dependent sex differentiation in goldfish: Establishing the temperature-sensitive period and effect of constant and fluctuating water temperatures. Aquaculture. 2006; 254: 617–624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.10.009

34. Lee S, Katayama N, Yoshizaki G. Generation of juvenile rainbow trout derived from cryopreserved whole ovaries by intraperitoneal transplantation of ovarian germ cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2016; 478: 1478–1483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.08.156 PMID: 27581197

35. Linhartová Z, Saito T, Pšenička M. Embryogenesis, visualization and migration of primordial germ cells in tENCH (Tinca tinca). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 2014; 30: 29–39. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12429

36. Mazur P. Kinetics of Water Loss from Cells at Subzero Temperatures and the Likelihood of Intracellular Freezing. J. Gen. Physiol. 1963; 47: 347–369. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.47.2.347 PMID: 14085017

37. Gao D, Critser JK. Mechanisms of cryoinjury in living cells. ILAR J. 2000; 41: 187–196. https://doi.org/10.1093/ilar.41.4.187 PMID: 11123179

38. Franks F. The Properties of Aqueous Solutions at Subzero Temperatures. In: Franks S, editor. Water and Aqueous Solutions at Subzero Temperatures. Boston, MA: Springer US; 1982. pp. 215–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-6952-4_3

39. Wang Y, Xiao Z, Li L, Fan W, Li SW. Novel needle immersed vitrification: A practical and convenient method with potential advantages in mouse and human ovarian tissue cryopreservation. Hum. Reprod. 2008; 23: 2256–2265. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/den255 PMID: 18614614

40. Liu J, Cheng KM, Silversides FG. Production of live offspring from testicular tissue cryopreserved by vitrification procedures in Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica). Biol. Reprod. 2013; 88: 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.105957

41. Kása E, Lukić J, Marinović Z, Kollár T, Bernáth G, Bokor Z, et al. Development of sperm vitrification protocols for two endangered salmonid species: the Adriatic grayling, Thymallus thymallus, and the marble trout, Salmo marmoratus. Fish. Physiol. Biochem. 2018; 44: 1499–1507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-017-0096-x PMID: 29779063

42. Ye H, Li C-J, Yue H-M, Du H, Yang X-G, Yoshino T, et al. Establishment of intraperitoneal germ cell transplantation for critically endangered Chinese sturgeon Acipenser sinensis. Theriogenology. 2017; 94: 37–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2017.02.009 PMID: 28407859

43. Hamsaki M, Takeuchi Y, Yazawa R, Yoshikawa S, Kadomura K, Yamada T, et al. Production of tiger puffer Takifugu rubripes offspring from triploid grass puffer Takifugu niphobles parents. Mar Biotechnol. Springer US; 2017; 1: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10227-016-9777-1 PMID: 28942506

44. Lorenzoni M, Corboli M, Ghetti L, Pedicillo G, Carosi A. Growth and reproduction of the goldfish Carassius auratus: a case study from Italy. In: Gherardi F, editor. Biological invaders in inland waters: Profiles, distribution, and threats. Invading Nature—Springer Series In Invasion Ecology, vol 2. Dordrecht: Springer; 2007. pp. 259–273.

45. Ortega-Salas AA, Reyes-Bustamante H. Initial sexual maturity and fecundity of the goldfish Carassius auratus (Perciformes: Cyprinidae) under semi-controlled conditions. Rev. Biol. Trop. 2006; 54: 1113–1116. PMID: 18457147
46. Brzuška E. Characteristics of the reproduction effectiveness of four Hungarian breeding lines of carp Cyprinus carpio (L.). Aquac. Int. 2014; 22: 149–158. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-013-9675-0

47. Taylor J, Mahon R. Hybridization of Cyprinus carpio and Carassius auratus, the first two exotic species in the lower Laurentian Great Lakes. Environ. Biol. Fishes. 1977; 1: 205–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00000412

48. Yuasa K, Sano M, Oseko N. Goldfish is not a susceptible host of koi herpevirus (KHV) disease. Fish Pathol. 2013; 48: 52–55. https://doi.org/10.3147/jfp.48.52

49. Yoshizaki G, Takashiba K, Shimamori S, Fujimura K, Shikina S, Okutsu T, et al. Production of germ cell-deficient salmonids by dead end gene knockdown, and their use as recipients for germ cell transplantation. Mol Reprod Dev. 2016; 83: 298–311. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22625 PMID: 26860442

50. Linhartová Z, Saito T, Kašpar V, Rodina M, Prášková E, Hagihara S, et al. Sterilization of sterlet Acipenser ruthenus by using knockdown agent, antisense morpholino oligonucleotide, against dead end gene. Theriogenology. 2015; 84: 1246–1255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.07.003 PMID: 26248520

51. Ciruna B, Weidinger G, Knaut H, Thiose B, Thiss C, Raz E, et al. Production of maternal-zygotic mutant zebrafish by germ-line replacement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 2002; 99: 14919–14924. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.222459999 PMID: 12397179

52. Warnelius A, Leningher S, Skaftnesmo KO, Klepe L, Andersson E, Taranger GL, et al. Dnd knockout ablates germ cells and demonstrates germ cell independent sex differentiation in Atlantic salmon. Sci. Rep. 2016; 6: 21284. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21284 PMID: 26888627

53. Škugor A, Tveiten H, Krasnov A, Andersen Ø. Knockdown of the germ cell factor Dead end induces multiple transcriptional changes in Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) hatchlings. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 2014; 144: 129–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2013.12.010 PMID: 24439024

54. Li Q, Fuji W, Naito K, Yoshizaki G. Application of dead end-knockout zebrafish as recipients of germ cell transplantation. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 2017; 83: 238–311. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22870 PMID: 28731265

55. Saito T, Goto-Kazeto R, Araki K, Yamane E. Xenogenesis in teleost fish through generation of germ-line chimeras by single primordial germ cell transplantation. Biol. Reprod. 2008; 78: 159–166. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.107.060038 PMID: 17901077

56. Wong T-T, Zohar Y. Production of reproductively sterile fish by a non-transgenic gene silencing technology. Sci. Rep. 2015; 5: 15822. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15822 PMID: 26510515

57. Wong T-T, Saito T, Crodian J, Collodi P. Zebrafish germ line chimeras produced by transplantation of ovarian germ cells into sterile host larvae. Biol. Reprod. 2011; 84: 1190–1197. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.110.088427 PMID: 21248287

58. Lujić J, Marinović Z, Bajec SS, Djurdjević I, Urbáňy B, Horváth Č. Interspecific germ cell transplantation: a new light in the conservation of valuable Balkan trout genetic resources? Fish Physiology and Biochemistry. 2018; 44: 1487–1498. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-018-0510-4 PMID: 29756177

59. Lujić J, Marinović Z, Kása E, Ščekić I, Urbáňy B, Horváth Č. Preservation of common carp germ cells under hypothermic conditions: Whole tissue vs isolated cells. Reprod Domest Anim. 2018; 53: 1253–1258. https://doi.org/10.1111/rda.13220 PMID: 29938837