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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the impact of physician led life style modifications (diet and daily step count by using pedometer) on glycemic control of type II diabetic patients

Study Design: Quasi experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi, Aug 2018 to Feb 2019.

Methodology: The sample population comprised of 200 diabetic patients reporting for the routine follow-up at a tertiary care hospital in Rawalpindi. Patients were divided into two groups by random method. Group A had the patients with continuation of the routine anti-diabetic medication while group received the physician led life style modifications in addition to the routine anti diabetic medication. Values of HBA1c among the groups were compared three months after the start of study.

Results: Mean age of the patients was 42.19 ± 6.175 years. Mean duration of DM in the study participants was 4.52 ± 4.166 years. Out of 115 patients were male while 85 were female. HBA1c in the intervention group was 7.96% ± 0.39 while in the control group was 7.04% ± 0.81. Difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p-value<0.01).

Conclusion: This study showed a significant difference in glycemic control of patients who received physician led life style modification in addition to conventional biological treatment than those who only received the routine anti-diabetic medication. Physicians should be trained to impart this sort of education to the diabetic patients in routine diabetic clinics.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is one of the commonest metabolic disorders. Patients from both developed and developing countries have been affected in number of ways by this multisystem disease1-2.

Poor glycemic control has been linked with a lot of complications including immediate, short term and long term problems3. Glycosylated hemoglobin (HBA1c) has been used as a laboratory tool to assess the glycemic control among the diabetic patients all over the world with high sensitivity and specificity4.

Various methods have been used to control diabetics for a long time. Biological treatment mainly revolves around various classes of oral hypoglycemic and insulin5. It is usually the main stay of treatment for this chronic illness. In addition to this hardcore biological treatment, life style modifications involving dietary changes and increase in physical activity has been nominated as first line of treatment after the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus type II has been established6.

Various types of life style modifications have been studied extensively in order to find an ideal combination to offer to the diabetic patients. Comparison of structured and unstructured exercise program revealed that structured exercise program has been statistically better in reducing the HBA1c levels among the diabetic patients7. A large meta-analysis was conducted to look for the efficacy of pedometer based invention among various diabetic patients of different ethnicities. Pedometer used emerged as an effective tool for physical activity among these patients and was linked with good glycemic control8. A large randomized control trial conducted in US.

Involving the modification in food and exercise patterns among the diabetics revealed that glycemic control in the intervention group was better than that of control group who only received the routine medication for diabetes9. Better glycemic control in this chronic illness means less chance of complications and more survival with better quality of life10.

Non pharmacological interventions in the diabetic patients work through a lot of ways. Increased utilization of calories, decrease in insulin resistance, more production of insulin, less intake of carbohydrates, neuroendocrine modifications etc have been some of the mechanisms by which it can improve the glycemic control among diabetic patients. These measures are of extreme benefit during different illnesses and thus...
augment the routine biological treatment.

Some work has been done to see the effect of diet and physical activity for the control of diabetes in Pakistani population but little data has so far been undertaken on type II diabetic patients in Pakistan to determine the effect of this very important non-pharmacological intervention. This study aims to determine the impact of physician led life style modifications (diet and daily step count by using pedometer) on glycemic control of type II Diabetic patients reporting at a tertiary care teaching hospital of Pakistan.

**METHODOLOGY**

This quasi experimental study was conducted at a tertiary care hospital of Rawalpindi between Aug 2018 to Feb 2019. Sample size was calculated by using statistics of study of Shanghai et al. Conducted in 2013. WHO sample size calculator was used for this purpose. Non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used. Patients of type II diabetes having HbA1c >6.5% were included in the study. Patients between age of 25-60 years with both the genders were included in this trial. Diagnosis of type II diabetes was made by a consultant medical specialist or diabetes expert on the basis of laboratory investigation results. Patients stable on oral hypoglycemic agent with no change in past three months were included in the study. Exclusion criteria included the patients with type I diabetes or those using insulin or those who had suffered from diabetic coma in past three months. Patients with uncontrolled DM or those with comorbid HTN, IHD, RA, autoimmune illnesses, bleeding disorders, and hematological or solid malignancies were also not included in this study. Patients on corticosteroids or those with severe infection or signs of any end organ damage were also not included in the study. Patients who were pregnant or had some limb injury or orthopedic procedure in past 1 year or those using any illicit substance or suffering from a chronic psychiatric condition were also part of the exclusion criteria.

Five milliliter of venous blood was drawn from each subject and secured in two separate K2-EDTA filled plastic vacutainer tubes by venipuncture under aseptic precautions for the measurement of HBA1c. HbA1c was measured using high performance liquid chromatography technique.

Physician led life style modifications included the planning of meals and adjusting all the nutrients according to WHO guidelines. Restriction of alcohol and smoking was also the part of dietary strategy briefed to the patients by the physician. A routine pedometer was used to count the steps and minimum 7000 steps per day were advised to the patients by the physician. This combination of dietary modification and use of pedometer was explained in detail by the physician to the participants of the control group.

Patients were provided with a detailed description of the study and were inducted into the study after written informed consent. Patients of type II DM were randomly divided into two equal groups via lottery method. Subjects in group A were prescribed oral hypoglycemic agents while those in group B were advised oral hypoglycemic agents plus physician led life modification including dietary modification and physical activity. Difference in the glycemic control of both the group was assessed with the help of glycosylated hemoglobin level. Socio-demographic variables were collected and entered in proforma especially designed for this randomized control trial.

All statistical analysis was performed using statistics package for social sciences version 24.0. Frequency and percentage was calculated for the gender of the patients participating in the study. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for age of the patients, duration of diabetes mellitus and HBA1c levels. Difference in mean HBA1c levels of both the groups was compared by using the student t-test. A p-values were considered significant if less than or equal to 0.05.

**RESULTS**

A total of 230 patients were approached to participate in the study. Five refused participation and 17 were ineligible due to exclusion criteria (2 gave history of psychoactive substance use, 3 had valvular heart disease, 2 had RA, 2 were pregnant and 8 had type 1 diabetes). Eight patients gave history of hyperosmolar non osmotic coma. Therefore 200 patients participated in this study and were divided into two equal groups via lottery method. Mean age of the patients was 42.19 years

| Table-I: Demographic details of the patients participating in study (n=200). |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Age (years)**          | Mean ± SD       | Range (min-max) |
|                          | 42.19 ± 6.175   | 25-59 years     |
| **Gender**               |                 |                 |
| Male                     | 115 (57.5%)     |                 |
| Female                   | 85 (42.5%)      |                 |
| **Duration of Diabetes** | 4.52 ± 4.166    | 12 months - 15 years |
| **Body Mass Index**      |                 |                 |
| Normal                   | 111 (55.5%)     |                 |
| Obese and over weight    | 89 (44.5%)      |                 |
Impact of Physician Led Life Style Modifications

Our study showed positive results regarding this phenomenon and those patients who completed 7000 steps per day had better glycemic control than the control group. Past literature has also shown favorable results and pedometer has emerged as an effective intervention that exerts positive effect on the glycemic control of individuals. Pedometer is an interesting device which helps in keeping the check of the daily physical activity of the patients. When the treating physician advise this intervention to the patient and make it part of the treatment, the patient use it religiously and complete the required number of steps. This physical activity when continued for the desired period of time shows its effect on the glycemic control of the patients.

Reduction in BMI could be achieved by the life style modifications already mentioned in our study so indirect effect on BMI could also result in the good glycemic control and produce desirable effects in the target population regarding their disease control. Previous researches have also supported these findings. BMI of most of the patients participating in our study was lying in the range of obese or over weight clearly highlighting the problems related with obesity in these patients. Diabetes and obesity sometimes exist in a positive feedback cycle augmenting each other further.

Despite strict inclusion/exclusion criteria and other strengths of this trial, there were few limitations associated with study design as well. Follow up was not long to see the long term actual effects of the intervention. Only healthy individuals who were able to complete 7000 steps per day were included in this study so results could not be generalized. Sample was drawn from a military hospital which allows increased inclusion of retired or serving soldiers which have a distinct pattern and life style which again decreases the generalizability of this analysis. Number and type of oral hypoglycemic drugs which each individual was taking was not made part of the analysis therefore cause effect relationship of physician led non pharmacological interventions could not be very clear. Future studies with more sophisticated study design and control of confounding factors involving public sector hospital may generate more generalizable results.

CONCLUSION

This study showed a statistically significant difference in glycemic control of those patients who received physician led life style modification in addition to conventional biological treatment than those who only
received the routine anti-diabetic medication. Physicians should be trained to impart this sort of education to the diabetic patients in routine diabetic clinics.
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