Aspirin inhibits LPS-induced macrophage activation via the NF-κB pathway
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Aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid, ASA) has been shown to improve bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-based calvarial bone regeneration by promoting osteogenesis and inhibiting osteoclastogenesis. However, it remains unknown whether aspirin influences other immune cells during bone formation. In the present study, we investigated whether ASA treatment influenced macrophage activation during the LPS inducement. We found that ASA could downregulate the expressions of iNOS and TNF-α both in mouse peritoneum macrophages and RAW264.7 cells induced by LPS via the IkB/NF-κB pathway and a COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB feedback loop, without affecting the expressions of FIZZ/YM-1/ARG1 induced by IL-4. Furthermore, we created a rat mandibular bone defect model and showed that ASA treatment improved bone regeneration by inhibiting LPS-induced macrophage activation in the early stages of inflammation. Taken together, our results indicated that ASA treatment was a feasible strategy for improving bone regeneration, particularly in inflammatory conditions.

Mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-based therapy has significantly improved tissue regeneration in pre-clinical models and clinical trials. Despite the recent progress in MSC-based tissue regeneration over the last few decades, the remaining major challenge we face is how to restore defective bone with high quality bone of sufficient volume to meet the needs of the body\(^1\). During disease or injury, bone resorption and bone formation processes are complicated. Bone regeneration quality is influenced by damaged MSC functions, an inflammatory microenvironment, and the activated host immune system. We have recently shown that the host immune system has fundamental effects on the fate of transplanted MSCs during bone remodeling. Proinflammatory T cells produce tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and interferon gamma (IFN-γ), which play critical roles\(^3\). Intriguingly, some studies have shown that topical administration of aspirin, or alternatively, a systemic infusion of regulatory T cells, could inhibit TNF-α and IFN-γ production, and thus, improved calvarial bone repair in rodents and minipigs\(^3,4\).

Aspirin (ASA) is a commonly used non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), capable of inhibiting cyclooxygenases (COX), including COX\(_1\) and COX\(_2\). Recent studies showed that ASA could improve bone mineral density in ovariectomized-induced osteoporotic conditions by regulating the balance between bone resorption and bone formation\(^5\). Compared to systemic administration of medicines, the topical administration of aspirin provided safety advantages. Our previous studies revealed that ASA treatment benefited bone healing and promoted MSC-based bone regeneration by reducing local IFN-γ and TNF-α levels\(^5,7\). Furthermore, ASA treatment inhibited osteoclastogenesis in receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL)-induced RAW264.7 cells, and decreased bone absorption by downregulating prostaglandin E\(_2\) (PGE\(_2\)), both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}\(^5\). It is well-known that macrophages also play an important role in local inflammation and bone formation. However, whether ASA treatment affects macrophages remains unknown.
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Macrophages have been identified as a key factor in the progression of tissue inflammation. Accumulating evidence has shown that macrophages with distinct phenotypes exert diverse effects during inflammation and tissue repair\textsuperscript{20, 21}. For decades, we have the consensus that at least two different phenotypes of macrophages can be distinguished: the classically activated macrophages (M1) and the alternatively activated macrophages (M2). M1 macrophages can be induced by IFN-γ and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) through a classic nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-κB) signaling pathway. M2 macrophages are generated when macrophages are cultured with interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 in vitro. It is well accepted that LPS activates macrophages; LPS induces the phosphorylation of the inhibitor of kappa B (IkB) and IkB kinase (IkK), which increases P65 nuclear translocation to activate M1 macrophages. M1 macrophages are capable of producing pro-inflammatory factors, like IL-1, TNF-α, IL-6, IL-23, reactive oxygen species (ROS), nitric oxide (NO), and inducible NO-synthase (iNOS). Thus, M1 macrophages. M1 macrophages are capable of producing pro-inflammatory factors, like IL-1, TNF-α, IL-6, IL-23, reactive oxygen species (ROS), nitric oxide (NO), and inducible NO-synthase (iNOS). Thus, M1 macrophages lead to inflammation and are predominant in the early stage of inflammation\textsuperscript{22}. In contrast, M2 macrophages release an abundance of IL-10, anti-inflammatory cytokines, and trophic factors, such as transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), Arginase-1 (ARG1), Retinla (FIZZ), chitinase-like 3 (Chil3, YM-1), which block the activation of T cells and macrophages; thus, M2 macrophages suppress inflammation and lead to tissue recovery processes\textsuperscript{22, 23}. Recent years many scientists suggested macrophage polarization should not be simply described as M1/M2 macrophage due to the complication of macrophage activation\textsuperscript{24-26}. Because macrophage could be induced to different situation by varieties of activators, we can define the macrophage polarization base on the activators such as LPS-induced macrophages or M(LPS).

This study aimed to examine the influence of ASA on macrophages under inflammatory conditions and to explore the potential molecular mechanisms underlying ASA effects. Our results showed that ASA inhibited the activation of LPS-induced macrophages through the COX/PE2/EP2/NF-κB cascade and the NF-κB pathway. Our data indicated that ASA treatment is a feasible strategy for improving bone regeneration, particularly in inflammatory conditions.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and antibodies. Aspirin (over 99% purity) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Ultrapure lipopolysaccharide from Porphyromonas gingivalis (LPS) was purchased from Invivogen (San Diego, CA, USA). Antibodies specific for COX2, iNOS, p65 NF-κB, IkB, phosphorylated IkB (p-IkB), and p-IκB were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Boston, MA, USA). An anti-β-actin antibody, anti-Heat shock protein 90 (H90) antibody and anti-histone-H3 antibody were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). A prostaglandin E2 receptor (EP2) antagonist (C23H20FNO5) was purchased from TOCRIS (Bristol, C Cnty, UK). The thioglycollate was purchased from Aobox Biotechnology (Beijing, China).

Kits. The Mouse Peritoneal Macrophage Isolation Kit was purchased from Miltenyi Biotec (Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). An Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) Kit for evaluating PGE2 was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The Mouse TNF-alpha ELISA Kit was purchased from ebioscience (San Diego, CA, USA). The Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Protein Extraction Kit was purchased from TransGen Biotech (Beijing, China).

Animals. Female C57BL/6J mice and rats were obtained from the Institute of Animal Science of the Vital River Co., Ltd., Beijing, China. All rats were fully barrier-reared with free access to water and a regular supply of food. This study was conducted according to the approved guidelines set by the Animal Ethics Committee of the School of Stomatology, Capital Medical University (Beijing, China). All animal experiments were performed under the institutionally approved protocols for the use of animal research (Capital Medical University#2012-x-53).

Isolation of mouse peritoneal macrophages. Six-to-eight-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were given an injection of 2 ml thioglycollate medium (4%). Five days after injection we collected all the cells obtained by peritoneal lavage. Using the Macrophage Isolation Kit (Peritomeum), macrophages were isolated by depletion of non-target cells. The magnetically labeled non-target cells were depleted by retaining them within a MACS column in the magnetic field of a MACS Separator, while the unlabeled macrophages were passed through the column. The separated macrophages were determined by flow cytometric analysis using anti-F4/80-FITC antibody and anti-MHC ClassII(I-ab)-PE antibody, which suggested that >90% of the cells were macrophages. The macrophage cells were cultured in the presence of RPMI medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 15% heat-inactitated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Equitech-Bio, Kerrville, TX), 5% pen/Strep (Biofluids, Inc.) and 5% glutamine (Invitrogen). Cultures were incubated at 37°C, with 5% CO2, in a humidified atmosphere. The cell medium was changed every 2–3 days. All cells were induced within one week after isolation.

RAW264.7 cells culture. RAW264.7 cells (China Infrastructure of Cell Line Resources, Beijing, China) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Equitech-Bio, Kerrville, TX), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Biofluids, Inc.). Cultures were incubated at 37°C, with 5% CO2, in a humidified atmosphere. The cell medium was changed every 2–3 days. Cells were passaged when they became 70% to 80% confluent.

Induction of macrophage cells by LPS. We treated both mouse peritoneal macrophages and RAW264.7 cells with 1 μg/ml LPS for 24 hrs, and the iNOS expression levels were determined with quantitative real time PCR, Western blot, and ELISA analyses. TNF-α expression levels were evaluated with ELISA. The ratios of iNOS positive cell number in total cells were calculated.
ASA pre-treatment inhibited LPS-induced activation of macrophages. Peritoneal macrophage cells were seeded at a density of 2 × 10^6 cells/well in a 6-well plate after isolation, and cultured in a 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. After treating with ASA (0, 50, 100, 150, or 200 µg/ml) for 12 hrs, the cells were induced to become LPS-induced macrophages by adding 1 µg/ml LPS, and incubating for another 24 hrs. The negative control was macrophage cells challenged with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and DMSO. The iNOS expression levels were determined with quantitative real-time PCR, Western blot. TNF-α expression levels were determined with ELISA.

Prostaglandin receptor antagonism. We investigated the mechanism by which aspirin inhibited macrophage cells polarization to LPS-induced macrophages in RAW264.7. 2.7 nM EP₂ antagonist, 23H20FNO5, was added at the same time as 200 µg/ml ASA. After 24 hrs, we extracted the total proteins and performed a Western blot to detect the levels of iNOS, COX₂, p-IκB, and p–IκB proteins. The nuclear proteins were extracted separately to detect the migration of the p65 component of NF-κB into the nucleus.

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis. After the cell treatments, total RNA was extracted from each group with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). We synthesized cDNA from 2 µg aliquots of total RNA, oligo(dT) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and RNaseOUT™ Recombinant Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Real-time PCR reactions were performed with the Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies, Warrington, UK) and primers that targeted iNOS, COX₂, TNF-α, YM-1, FIZZ and ARG1 (Suppl Table 1).

Western blot analysis. Total protein was extracted with NE-PER nuclear and cytoplasmatic extraction reagents (Thermo). Nuclear proteins were isolated with a mammalian nuclear and cytoplasmic protein extraction kit (TransGen Biotech). Next, 50–100 µg aliquots of protein were separated on 10% polyacrylamide-SDS gels (Plygen) and transferred to Immobilon-MP membranes (Millipore). After blocking with TBS/5% nonfat dry milk (Plygen) for 1 h, the membrane was incubated with antibodies against mouse PPARγ (Cell Signaling Technology), histone H3 (Biorbwl), and β-actin (Sigma) overnight at 4 °C. Next, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Pierce, Malibu, CA, USA) for 1 h at room temperature. Antibody binding was visualized with an enhanced chemiluminescence kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Pierce).

ELISA analysis. Cell culture supernatants were collected to detect the expression of TNF-α with corresponding ELISA kits. The cell lysate was extracted to detect the expression of PGE₂ with the PGE₂ ELISA kit. All assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunofluorescence analysis. RAW264.7 cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/well) were seeded into 12-well plates that contained glass coverslips. Control groups were pretreated with DMSO, and the ASA groups were pretreated with 200 µg/ml ASA for 12 hrs. Then, 1 µg/ml LPS was added for another 24 hrs. Next, the glass coverslips were harvested, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), and blocked with 5% normal serum. Next, the cells were incubated with antibodies specific for p65 NF-κB or an isotype-matched control overnight at 4 °C. Samples were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology). Next, samples were counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Vector) and mounted onto slides with glycerin. Slides were observed under a fluorescence microscope (OLYMPUS, Tokyo, Japan). Semi-quantification was performed by counting the positive signals in at least five random high-power fields. Results are expressed as percentages of the total number of DAPI-positive cells.

Flow cytometric analysis. Peritoneal macrophage cells after isolation were determined by flow cytometric analysis. We fixed the cells in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), and blocked with 5% normal serum. Then the cells were incubated with anti-F4/80-FITC antibody and anti-MHC ClassII(I-ab)-PE antibody for 30 min in the dark. Cell preparations were immediately analyzed with flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, BD Bioscience).

Establishing the rat mandibular bone defect model. Left sides of 24 rats were used for in vivo studies. We established mandibular bone defects (5 mm long, 2 mm wide, and 1 mm high) between the incisors and molars. All 24 rats with mandibular bone defects were randomly assigned to either the control or the aspirin group. We mixed 500 µl hydrogel with (aspirin group) or without (control group) 200 µg/ml aspirin, and 20 mg Hydroxyapatite/Tricalcium phosphate (HA/TCP) was added as a scaffold. This mixture was used to fill the mandibular bone defects. Then, the mucoperiosteum and skin incisions were closed with 4–0 absorbable sutures. At the 3rd, 5th, 14th, and 60th day after surgery, three rats in each group were sacrificed.

Immunohistochemistry. In vitro experiments macrophage cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/well) were seeded into 12-well plates that contained glass coverslips. Control groups were pretreated with DMSO, and the ASA groups were pretreated with 200 µg/ml ASA for 12 hrs. Then, 1 µg/ml LPS was added for another 24 hrs. Next, the glass coverslips were harvested, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). To reduce non-specific staining, all sections were incubated with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature and blocked with 10% serum for 60 min at 37 °C. Then, the pretreated sections were incubated with primary antibodies (50 µg/ml) at 4 °C overnight. Biotinylated secondary antibodies (1 µg/ml) were added, and specimens were incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Finally, the horseradish peroxidase complex was added with the diaminobenzidine substrate for visualization. After cells were counterstained, slides were observed under a light microscope (OLYMPUS, Tokyo, Japan). Semi-quantification was performed by counting the number of positively stained cells in at least five random fields.
In vivo we euthanized 12 rats at 3rd and 5th day after surgery to perform immunohistochemical examinations. The mandibular bone defects were fixed in 4% PFA. These specimens were decalcified and embedded in paraffin. Sections (6-μm thick) were cut and mounted onto slides. Unstained sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated, and antigens were retrieved with sodium citrate (pH 6.0). To reduce non-specific staining, all sections were incubated with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature and blocked with 10% serum for 60 min at 37 °C. Then, the pretreated sections were incubated with primary antibodies (50 μg/ml) at 4 °C overnight. Biotinylated secondary antibodies (1 μg/ml) were added, and specimens were incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Finally, the horseradish peroxidase complex was added with the diaminobenzidine substrate for visualization. After samples were counterstained, slides were observed under a light microscope (OLYMPUS, Tokyo, Japan). Semi-quantification was performed by counting the number of positively stained cells in at least five random fields.

**Figure 1.** ASA pre-treatment inhibited the activation of LPS-induced in mouse peritoneal macrophage cells. (A) The result of flow cytometric analysis showed that >90% of the cells were macrophages after separation. (B) Schematic representation showed the timing of ASA and LPS treatment in our experiments. (C) The results of western blot showed that before the LPS treatment (1 μg/ml, 24 hrs), the addition of ASA pre-treatment at 200 μg/ml decreased the protein expression of total iNOS. Full-length gels are presented in Supplementary Figure 6. (D) The result of PCR showed that 200 μg/ml ASA could significantly decrease the LPS-induced expression of iNOS. We also found ASA below 200 μg/ml concentration could downregulate the expression levels of iNOS induced by LPS. However, 200 μg/ml aspirin downregulated the expression level of iNOS more stably when compared to the other concentrations. (E) The result of ELISA showed the downregulation of TNF-α after ASA pre-treatment (200 μg/ml). (F) Immunocytochemical staining assays showed the LPS-induced iNOS positive cells ratio significantly increased after LPS inducement (77.79% ± 3.22%) compared to the control group (11.26% ± 1.39%), and 200 μg/ml ASA pre-treatment made the LPS-induced iNOS positive cells ratio decrease (12.29% ± 2.36%). Scale bar = 50 μm. All results are representative of at least three independent experiments. Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and statistical significance was shown as N P > 0.05, *P < 0.05 or **P < 0.01.

Quantitative and histological evaluations of regenerated bone. Six rats were euthanized 2 weeks after the surgery to detect the rate of healing. Another six rats were euthanized at 2 months after surgery to detect the quantity of regenerated bone with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. Briefly, bone specimens were fixed in 4% PFA. The specimens were decalcified and embedded in paraffin. Sections of the embedded specimens (5–6-μm thick) were stained with H&E. The volume of newly-formed bone within each section was analyzed semi-quantitatively with histomorphometric techniques.

Statistics. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS13.0 software. Data points were reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). The student’s t-test was used to compare two sets of data that were normally distributed, based on normality plots and tests. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was applied to skewed data distributions. Multiple-variable comparisons were performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), after the data were checked for equal variance; two-by-two comparisons between the means were performed with the
Results

ASA pre-treatment inhibited LPS-induced activation of macrophages. To determine a suitable concentration of ASA, we pretreated the isolated peritoneal macrophages cells (Fig. 1A) for 12 hrs with different doses of ASA (50, 100, 150, and 200 µg/ml). These doses were the most commonly used concentration during bone regeneration. Following the ASA treatment, we induced the cells with LPS (Fig. 1B). We found that all these doses of ASA (50, 100, 150, and 200 µg/ml) could significantly decrease the LPS-induced expression of iNOS (Fig. 1C,D; P < 0.05). However, the downregulation effect of iNOS was the most significant and stable in 200 µg/ml aspirin. We also investigated the expression of TNF-α (another product of LPS-induced macrophages) with ELISA analysis. The ELISA results confirmed that 200 µg/ml ASA was the best concentration to inhibited the LPS-induced macrophages (Fig. 1E; P < 0.01). In subsequent assays for this study, we used 200 µg/ml ASA to treat macrophage cells and RAW264.7 cells.

Results from Western blot analyses confirmed that pre-treating with 200 µg/ml ASA could effectively block LPS-induced iNOS expression (Fig. 1C). Immunocytochemical staining showed that the percentage of LPS-induced iNOS positive macrophages significantly increased after LPS stimulation (77.79% ± 3.22%).
**Figure 3.** ASA at 200 µg/ml inhibited the activation of LPS-induced macrophages via NF-κB pathway. (A) LPS treatment increased the protein expression of p-IκK and p-Iκb. In contrast, ASA pre-treatment inhibited the upregulation of p-IκK and p-Iκb induced by LPS. Full-length gels are presented in Supplementary Figure 8. (B) For the immunofluorescence assay. From left to right represent the P65 protein, nucleus and the merged images with P65 protein and the nucleus. Results showed LPS promoted P65 nuclear translocation whereas ASA pre-treatment inhibited this process. Scale bar = 20 µm. (C) Based on all results as described above, ASA inhibited the activation of LPS-induced macrophages by both COX2-PGE2-EP2-NF-κB pathway and NF-κB pathway. All results are representative of at least three independent experiments.

**Figure 4.** ASA at 200 µg/ml showed favorable therapeutic effects in promoting alveolar bone defect healing. (A) Schematic representation showed the process of establishment of alveolar bone defect model in a rat. Scale bar = 1 mm. (B) Two weeks after the surgery, the healing speed of defects in ASA group were much faster compared to the control group. Scale bar = 1 mm. (C) Two months after the surgery, ASA group showed a great advantage in defect healing ratio compared to the control group. Scale bar = 1 mm. The dotted boxes in (B) and (C) are the defect area we made in the surgery at the beginning. All results are representative of at least three independent experiments. Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and statistical significance was shown as *P < 0.05 or **P < 0.01.
compared to the control group (11.26% ± 1.39%). However, ASA pre-treatment reduced the percentage of LPS-induced iNOS positive macrophages (12.29% ± 2.36%) (Fig. 1F; P < 0.01). These data suggested that 200 µg/ml ASA inhibited LPS-induced activation of macrophages.

ASA pre-treatment did not affect expression level of iNOS, ARG1, YM-1, FIZZ in macrophages. We then investigated the expression of ARG1, YM-1 and FIZZ, classic markers of IL-4-induced macrophages. We treated the macrophage cells with different doses of ASA (50, 100, 150, and 200 µg/ml), and the results showed that ASA treatment had no effect on the total RNA and protein expression of FIZZ, YM-1 or ARG1 (Suppl Fig. 2B,C,D,E). In RAW264.7 cells, different doses of ASA (50, 100, 150, and 200 µg/ml) treatment also showed no effect on YM-1, FIZZ expression (Suppl Fig. 3A; P > 0.05). To investigate if ASA treatment would influence macrophages without LPS inducement, we treated cells with 200 µg/ml ASA for 36 hrs. The results of flow cytometric analysis showed that ASA at 200 µg/ml had no effects on the apoptosis in RAW264.7 cells (Suppl Fig. 4A, P > 0.05). Moreover, Western blot and PCR also showed that 200 µg/ml ASA treatment had no effect on the expression of iNOS, YM-1, FIZZ or TNF-α in macrophage cells.

ASA inhibited LPS-induced macrophage via the COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB cascade. Next, we explored the mechanisms underlying ASA inhibition of LPS-induced macrophage activation in RAW264.7 cells. Because ASA was a well-known COX2 inhibitor, we first sought a relationship between COX2 and the NF-κB pathway. We found that, as one of the products of the NF-κB pathway, COX2 could enhance the expression of NF-κB via a COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB positive feedback loop. We found that ASA pre-treatment reduced the LPS induction of COX2, as indicated from Western blot (Fig. 2A) and quantitative real time PCR analyses (Fig. 2B; P < 0.05). As a downstream product of COX2, PGE2 expression also decreased with ASA pre-treatment (Fig. 2C; P < 0.05). Next, we investigated whether this feedback loop was involved in the LPS-induced activation of macrophages. We blocked the feedback loop with an EP2 antagonist before inducing the cells with LPS. The results showed that LPS-induced upregulation of iNOS could be inhibited by blocking EP2 receptor (Fig. 2D). Moreover, the EP2 antagonist also blocked P65 nuclear translocation (Fig. 2F). To make sure the stabilization of the result that COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB pathway was involved, we investigated the expression of TNF-α with an ELISA. The results showed that the EP2 antagonist also reduced the TNF-α levels (Fig. 2E; P < 0.05). These data suggested that the COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB pathway was involved in the LPS-induced activation of macrophages.
Thus, ASA inhibited LPS-induced macrophage by downregulating the expression of COX2 (Fig. 2J). However, we found that the downregulation of both iNOS expression and P65 nuclear translocation caused by ASA could not be completely reproduced with the EP2 antagonist (Fig. 2G–I; $P < 0.05$). These results implied that ASA inhibited LPS-induced macrophage activation through more than one pathway.

ASA inhibited LPS-induced macrophage via directly inhibiting IκK/IκB/NF-κB pathway. Next, we investigated another pathway that might have contributed to the ASA inhibition of LPS-induced macrophage activation. Previous studies reported that ASA could inhibit the activity of IκB kinase (IκK)–β28, 29, the key kinases in the NF-κB pathway. Therefore, we investigated the expression levels of IκK, p-IκK, IκB, and p-IκB proteins. We found that LPS treatment increased the expression of p-IκK and p-IκB proteins, and that ASA inhibited this upregulation (Fig. 3A). We also found that LPS promoted P65 nuclear translocation, and this effect was inhibited with ASA treatment (Fig. 3A, B).

Taken together, these data indicated that both the IκK/IκB/NF-κB pathway and the COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB positive feedback loop were involved in the ASA inhibition of LPS-induced macrophage activation (Fig. 3C).

ASA treatment improved bone regeneration in the rat mandibular defect model. We then investigated the immunomodulatory functions of ASA-pretreated macrophages in vivo in a rat mandibular defect model. First, we established a 5 mm × 2 mm × 1 mm defect in the rat alveolar bone, between the incisors and molars. Then, we used hydrogel as a carrier for administering 200 µg/ml aspirin. This mixture was used to fill the defects in the aspirin group; the hydrogel mixed with DMSO was used in control groups. We covered the mixture with HA/TCP in both groups as a scaffold. Finally, we closed the skin incisions with 4-0 absorbable sutures (Fig. 4A). Two weeks after surgery, we found that healing occurred much more rapidly in the aspirin group than in the control group (Fig. 4B; $P < 0.05$). In addition, two months after surgery, aspirin administration provided a great advantage by increasing the rate of defect healing compared to the control group (Fig. 4C; $P < 0.01$). These findings showed that aspirin treatment benefited bone formation in the repair of bone defects.

ASA inhibited LPS-induced iNOS positive macrophage in the rat mandibular bone defect model. We next examined whether aspirin treatment could reduce the number of local LPS-induced iNOS positive macrophages in vivo. On the 3rd day after surgery, the percentage of LPS-induced iNOS positive macrophages increased in both groups (Fig. 5A–D). However, compared to the control group, the aspirin group
had a lower percentage of iNOS-expressing cells (Fig. 5I; P < 0.05). By the 5th day after surgery, the percentage of LPS-induced iNOS positive macrophages had decreased with time passed by (Fig. 5E–H). The percentage of iNOS-expressing cells remained lower in the aspirin group than in the control group (Fig. 5J; P < 0.05).

ASA increased newly regenerated bone volume in the rat mandibular bone defect model. For long-term observations, mandibular bone specimens were prepared, and H&E stained sections were assayed at two months after surgery. Images were captured at a lower magnification to examine the entire defect area (Figs 6 and 7). The control group with HA/TCP-treatment alone exhibited new bone formation (Fig. 6A). At higher magnification, despite the moderate amount of new bone formation in the control group (Fig. 6B), a large number of HA/TCP particles remained among the connective tissues (Fig. 6C). In contrast, the aspirin group displayed nearly full restorations of the defect (Fig. 7A), and the newly-formed bone was significantly improved compared to that observed in the control group. A semi-quantitative analysis showed that a significantly higher percentage of new bone formation occurred in the regenerated defect site in the aspirin group (78.73% ± 4.43%) compared to the control group (61.31% ± 6.36%) (Fig. 7B; P < 0.05). At higher magnification, a large volume of newly formed bone and blood vessels was observed (Fig. 7C). We also observed osteoblasts around the surfaces of HA/TCP particles (green arrows, Fig. 7D), which indicated that active bone formation had continued (yellow arrows, Fig. 7D).

Discussion
As a classic NSAID, aspirin has been widely used for clinical applications to reduce inflammation, relieve pain, prevent heart attacks, and prevent blood clot formation. Aspirin’s mechanism of action is to inhibit COX, which is the rate-limiting enzymatic step in the conversion of arachidonic acid to pro-inflammatory prostaglandins. Based on its involvement in multiple biological pathways, over the last decades, studies have focused on the roles of aspirin in the process of bone metabolism.

Currently, the effects of NSAID on bone healing remain highly debated. Epidemiologic studies have revealed that, to date, individuals who had regularly used aspirin had significantly higher bone mineral density compared to non-users, based on quantitative computed tomography data 30. Moreover, a recent study highlighted the positive effect of aspirin in the treatment of ovariectomy-induced osteoporosis. Aspirin was shown to activate osteoblasts by increasing telomerase activity, and simultaneously, it inhibited osteoclasts 31. On the other hand, many animal studies have shown that traditional NSAIDs (nonspecific) such as aspirin, indomethacin, and ibuprofen impeded bone healing 32. Those controversial results may be due to differences in the specific drug used, the drug preparations, the modes of administration, and the degrees of COX2 inhibition. Recently, Wada K et al. used a unique local drug delivery system (SA-PAEs) that released ASA in a localized, controlled, sustained manner.
SA-PAEs locally resolved inflammation and increased bone formation in vivo in diabetic and normoglycemic animals. In the present study, we aimed to confirm that locally delivered aspirin was a suitable method for promoting bone repairation and regeneration.

Our previous studies lent support to the notion that aspirin treatment could promote osteogenesis, both in vitro and in vivo. These benefits were attributed to the involvement of aspirin in multiple biological pathways, including its inhibition of COX2 and PGE2, its impact on osteoclasts activity, and its reduction of the abundances of local inflammatory factors and T cells. However, the influence of aspirin on macrophages during inflammation remained unknown.

Macrophages, first described by Metchnikoff over 100 years ago, comprise a major component of the immune cell population. Macrophages are professional phagocytes that are highly specialized in removing dying or dead cells and cellular debris. They play a critical role in innate immunity, and they contribute to the initiation of adaptive immunity by recruiting other immune cells. Recent studies have confirmed that macrophages were involved in anti-inflammatory processes and bone remodeling. In this study, we found that ASA treatment inhibited macrophage polarization to the LPS-induced macrophages. It is well known that LPS can provoke macrophages to differentiate into the inflammatory phenotype via the NF-κB pathway. We found that, when the NF-κB pathway was activated, COX2 secretion increased. As a result, PGE2 was upregulated, and its binding to the EP2 receptor promoted the activation of nuclear p65 (NF-κB). This led to the NF-κB/COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB positive feed-back loop, which increased the number of LPS-induced macrophages. We confirmed the existence of the positive feed-back loop with an EP2 antagonist. As a classic COX2 inhibitor, ASA can inhibit LPS-induced macrophages by the downregulation of COX2. When we added the EP2 receptor antagonist, it could not completely reproduce the aspirin-mediated reduction of LPS-induced macrophages. These data suggested that another pathway was involved in the process. We then demonstrated that aspirin could also inhibit the NF-κB pathway directly, by inhibiting the activity of IκB-α. Collectively, these results suggested that aspirin inhibited the activation of macrophages induced by LPS via both the IκB-K/IκB/NF-κB pathway and the COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB feedback loop. Moreover, we confirmed that iNOS expression was inhibited by pre-treating with ASA.

Conclusion

In summary, the present study showed that ASA treatment significantly promoted the healing of rat alveolar bone defects by reducing the abundance of LPS-induced macrophages in the early stages of inflammation, without affecting the expression of ARG1/FIZZ/YM-1 in macrophage. ASA inhibited the activation of LPS-induced macrophages via the IκB-K/IκB/NF-κB pathway and the COX2/PGE2/EP2/NF-κB positive feedback loop. These findings suggested that ASA treatment might be a promising therapeutic strategy for treating inflammation and promoting bone remodeling and repair in future clinical applications. However, it has become increasingly clear that COX2 function is critical for bone regeneration. Therefore, future research is needed to define the role of COX2 in bone regeneration and the feasibility and efficiency of administering ASA in the clinic.
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