The influence of gravity and light on locomotion and orientation of *Heterocypris incongruens* and *Notodromas monacha* (Crustacea, Ostracoda)
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For future manned long-duration space missions, the supply of essentials, such as food, water, and oxygen with the least possible material resupply from Earth is vital. This need could be satisfied utilizing aquatic bioregenerative life support systems (BLSS), as they facilitate recycling and autochthonous production. However, few organisms can cope with the instable environmental conditions and organic pollution potentially prevailing in such BLSS. Ostracoda, however, occur in eu- and even hypertrophic waters, tolerate organic and chemical waste, varying temperatures, salinity, and pH ranges. Thus, according to their natural role, they can link oxygen liberating, autotrophic algae, and higher trophic levels (e.g., fish) probably also in such harsh BLSS. Yet, little is known about how microgravity (\(\mu g\)) affects Ostracoda. In this regard, we investigated locomotion and orientation, as they are involved in locating mating partners and suitable microhabitats, foraging, and escaping predators. Our study shows that Ostracoda exhibit altered activity patterns and locomotion behavior (looping) in \(\mu g\). The alterations are differentially marked between the studied species (i.e., 2% looping in *Notodromas monacha*, ~50% in *Heterocypris incongruens*) and also the thresholds of gravity perception are distinct, although the reasons for these differences remain speculative. Furthermore, neither species acclimates to \(\mu g\) nor orientates by light in \(\mu g\). However, Ostracoda are still promising candidates for BLSS due to the low looping rate of *N. monacha* and our findings that the so far analyzed vital functions and life-history parameters of *H. incongruens* remained similar as under normal gravity conditions despite of its high looping rate.

**INTRODUCTION**

For future manned long-duration missions as for example to the planet Mars, the sustained supply of essentials, such as food, water, and oxygen is vital. These needs could be satisfied utilizing bioregenerative life support systems (BLSS). Based on real ecosystems, they facilitate recycling and autochthonous production and are thus independent of the commonly practised (i.e., on the International Space Station), but uneconomic material resupply.1

A large part of the so far designed and tested BLSS is based on photoautotrophic unicellular algae, as they are used in photo-bioreactors to revitalize the atmosphere by recycling carbon dioxide while producing oxygen2 In this process also algae bioreactors to revitalize the atmosphere by recycling carbon dioxide while producing oxygen2 In this process also algae can link oxygen liberating, autotrophic algae, and higher trophic levels (e.g., fish) probably also in such harsh BLSS. Yet, little is known about how microgravity (\(\mu g\)) affects Ostracoda. In this regard, we investigated locomotion and orientation, as they are involved in locating mating partners and suitable microhabitats, foraging, and escaping predators. Our study shows that Ostracoda exhibit altered activity patterns and locomotion behavior (looping) in \(\mu g\). The alterations are differentially marked between the studied species (i.e., 2% looping in *Notodromas monacha*, ~50% in *Heterocypris incongruens*) and also the thresholds of gravity perception are distinct, although the reasons for these differences remain speculative. Furthermore, neither species acclimates to \(\mu g\) nor orientates by light in \(\mu g\). However, Ostracoda are still promising candidates for BLSS due to the low looping rate of *N. monacha* and our findings that the so far analyzed vital functions and life-history parameters of *H. incongruens* remained similar as under normal gravity conditions despite of its high looping rate.
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vector as reliable reference. However, only a single experiment, carried out on MIR space station, addressed locomotion and orientation of Ostracoda in μg subordinated within the investigation of a closed ecological system. During a four-months long sojourn in space, the animals performed looping behavior, an abnormal (kinetic) locomotion behavior. This behavior has already been observed in various aquatic organisms in μg (ranging from Protozoa to invertebrates to vertebrates) and is regarded to be a disorientation response. However, the observations were entirely made under white-light (WL) illumination. A distinction between the influence of light and gravity on orientation was therefore impossible. Besides looping behavior, all other types of locomotion and the impact of μg on these behaviors (e.g., drifting instead of descending along the gravitational vector when the animals passively stay within water column) were neglected in this study. Moreover, the ostracod species deployed on the MIR space station remained unidentified, neglecting a species specificity in the reaction to μg within this extremely diverse taxon. Although the MIR experiment indicates that Ostracoda may be well suited for the application in BLSS, as they survived and reproduced the whole space mission, there is still a considerable lack of knowledge on the behavior in μg and the varieties among different species.

For the present analysis of locomotion behavior and orientation, we thus deployed two ostracod species, Heterocypris incongruens and Notodromas monacha, in order to cover possible variation in the susceptibility to and the performance in μg within the taxon. Even though co-occurring in many waterbodies, they possess morphological, physiological, and behavioral differences due to a heterogeneous habitat use. H. incongruens lives in the benthic and pelagic zone, whereas N. monacha is partially neustonic and turns its body upside down to cling to the water surface membrane or crawls on plants. Utilizing parabolic flights (PFs), a sounding rocket flight (TEXUS—Technologische Experimente unter Schwerelosigkeit) and clinorotation (clino), we investigated possible behavioral changes of these species in μg, which could diminish their performance in BLSS. Furthermore, we analyzed, if such changes can be compensated or reduced. Therefore, we assessed the influence of illumination, which could provide a cue for spatial orientation in μg, as other species use the direction of incident light for orientation and a possible acclimation to μg over time. Moreover, we approached the threshold of gravity perception of both species under partial-gravity conditions.

**RESULTS**

Comparison of locomotion behavior between normal and microgravity

Exclusively in μg (real μg during PFs and simulated μg during clinorotation) both species looped (Fig. 1a) and drifted through the water column. However, unlike in real μg (PFs: $3.01 \pm 0.95\%$ of the time under infrared (IR) and $5.20 \pm 1.50\%$ under WL illumination; TEXUS: $7.78 \pm 3.19\%$), H. incongruens drifted at a high proportion under clino ($34.4 \pm 9.4\%$ of the time under IR and $22.92 \pm 4.89\%$ under WL illumination). Due to the rotation of the clinostat and the thus emerging forces, the animals were thereby forced on circular paths and passively aligned, so that the first antennae always pointed to the center of the circle around which they revolved (Fig. 1b). Clino thus not only altered the perceivable gravitational force, but also induced further forces affecting orientation and locomotion. During the PFs, however, no other force was altered between normal gravity (1g) and μg, except the gravitational force. Thus, only differences in looping and drifting behavior and in activity (Fig. 2) observed during these flights were analyzed statistically.

H. incongruens as well as N. monacha looped in μg significantly longer than in 1g ($H. incongruens$: $Z = 3.72, p < 0.001$; $N. monacha$: $Z = 2.37, p = 0.018$). H. incongruens also drifted significantly more
in μg (Z = 2.93, p = 0.003), in N. monacha the difference is not significant (Z = 1.34, p = 0.180). All other behaviors were displayed in 1g as well as in real and simulated μg, but with different frequencies. Comparing the overall activity patterns shown during the two flight phases reveals that H. incongruens showed significantly (Z = 2.11, p = 0.035) more active behavior (crawling, swimming, and looping) in μg, N. monacha was significantly (Z = 3.41, p = 0.001) more passive (resting and drifting).

Influence of microgravity and light on the orientation of different species

H. incongruens looped in IR as well as in WL significantly longer than N. monacha during the PFs (UIR = 7.0, p < 0.01; UWL = 0.0, p = < 0.001). Within each species, the looping durations did not significantly differ between the illumination modes (H. incongruens: Z = 0.63, p = 0.530; N. monacha: Z = 1.24, p = 0.214; Fig. 3a).

Since the ostracods were exposed to the identical clinorotation interferences (see section above and Fig. 1b), both, during the trials in IR and in WL (in contrast to the comparison between 1g und rotation), a reasonable comparison of looping behavior between the illumination modes was possible. Just like during the PFs, the relative looping durations did not significantly differ between IR and WL (U = 43.0, p = 0.48; Fig. 3b).

Acclimation to microgravity

For both species, all slopes of the trendlines, which were fitted through the looping durations of the consecutive μg intervals are almost horizontal with the numeric values close to zero.

However, the trendlines fitted through the data points measured under IR illumination during the PFs and those of the whole flights are contradicting (Fig. 4a). In H. incongruens the slope of the trendline through the IR data is negative (β = −0.014), whereas that of the whole PFs is positive (β = 0.051). In N. monacha, the opposite is the case (βIR = 0.009; βPF = −0.021).

Both trendlines fitted through the looping durations of the consecutive simulated μg intervals (clinorotation under IR (β = 0.007) as well as WL (β = 0.010) illumination are almost horizontal, but slightly ascending (Fig. 4b, c). The same is true for the TEXUS trendline, which is, however, ascending a little steeper than all others (β = 0.116, Fig. 4d).

Threshold of gravity perception

Both species neither looped in 1g nor at 0.38g. At a gravity level of 0.16g, the relative looping duration of H. incongruens increased significantly in comparison to 1g and 0.38g (χ²(1, n = 6) = 4.0, p = 0.046) and already four out of the six individuals looped. The maximum relative looping duration as well as the number of looping H. incongruens occurred in μg, as all six individuals looped. However, in comparison to 0.16g, this increase was not significant (χ²(1, n = 6) = 0.67, p = 0.414). N. monacha showed no looping at all during the consulted phases (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

Microgravity-specific locomotion behavior and orientation

Our study reveals that in μg the two ostracod species H. incongruens and N. monacha display looping behavior, which we never observed under 1g conditions (Fig. 1a). Since this behavior is a disorientation response, it can be concluded that both species perceive gravity and use it as cue for spatial orientation. The looping behavior displayed by H. incongruens under simulated μg furthermore signifies that exposure to clinorotation causes the same pattern of disorientation as real μg. Hence, this method seems suitable to simulate the absence of the gravitational force for this species, and potentially also for other Ostracoda and organisms with similar gravity perception organs.

Drifting is the second behavior both species exclusively showed in μg, but predominantly under simulated μg. Under real μg, the ostracods floated undirected and motionless through the water column with their fanned out first antennae protruding through a small gap of the ajar carapace. Under simulated μg, the behavioral pattern was the same, but the undirected nature of the drifting behavior was artificially altered, as clinorotation induced non-gravitational effects next to the time-averaged nullification of gravity. The ostracods were forced on circular tracks due to the higher density of their body in contrast to the surrounding medium. In addition, they were passively aligned, since drag acts as tethering force stronger on the feathered first antennae, than on the smooth, compact carapace. As a result, the antennae constantly pointed to the center of the circle around which the animals rotated (Fig. 1b).

Although not observed in our experiments (probably due to the dimensions of the cuvettes and the resulting very short descending paths), the posture the ostracods take on when drifting is the same they also show in 1g at times. But since gravity acts on the animals under this condition, they descent with their posterior directed downwards and their first antennae upturned acting like a parachute. Descending then either stops, when the animals start to swim or reach the bottom, where they often stay for minutes (min) without moving (equivalent to resting behavior). Descending and also such prolonged resting phases (characterized as “playing dead”) are assumed to be triggered by disturbance, stress, and adjustment to new conditions. Due to these reasons, we do not consider drifting as disorientation...
response in the absence of gravity although only shown in $\mu g$.
Hence, we exclusively deployed looping behavior for the analyses regarding orientation toward the gravitational vector.

Orientational differences between the species Compared to each other, N. monacha looped significantly less than H. incongruens in $\mu g$ (Fig. 3a). Two explanatory approaches may interpret this observation. The first says that the gravity perceiving mechanism of N. monacha is less sensitive than the one of H. incongruens. Orientation toward the gravitational vector could, as a consequence, be less important to N. monacha than to H. incongruens, explaining why the latter is more affected by its loss. Similar has been observed in fish (Oryzias latipes) with congenitally deficient vestibular organs. These fish are used to substitute orientation to gravity by relying on other senses (e.g., visual orientation), making them less dependent on gravity. Therefore, they spent far less time looping than conspecifics with intact vestibular organs when exposed to $\mu g$ (during PFs.19)

The second explanation again includes the unequal sensitivity of gravity perceiving mechanism of different ostracod species. But in contrast to the first one it says that N. monacha features the gravity perceiving mechanism with the higher sensitivity. This assumption is supported by the differing thresholds of gravity perception: $\sim$66% of the H. incongruens individuals looped in 0.16g, but none in 0.38g (Fig. 5), revealing a perception threshold in-between these two values. N. monacha, however, did not loop

Fig. 4 Duration of looping behavior (in s) of a, b, c, d H. incongruens and a N. monacha shown during 20 s long $\mu g$ periods achieved during a parabolic flights ($n = 12$, except for Ps 8–31 in H. incongruens: $n = 6$), b, c clinorotation ($n_b = 10, n_c = 7$), and d a TEXUS sounding rocket flight ($n = 10$). Dotted trendlines (…) are fitted through the data points of all $\mu g$ periods achieved per method, dashed trendlines (––) through the data points of the parabolic flight $\mu g$ intervals in functional darkness (IR illumination). Mean value ± SEM
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at all during the threshold experiment and only approximately 2% of the μg time during the other experiments in functional darkness (IR illumination). Hence, this species gravity perceiving mechanism might be sensitive enough to still perceive the ~10^-7g achieved during PFs most of the time. A reason for a possible higher sensitivity of the gravity perceiving organ of N. monacha in contrast to H. incongruens could be their differing annidation within their habitats. Both species are good swimmers and move with their ventral side directed downward through the water column. N. monacha spends furthermore considerable amounts of time upside down with the ventral side attached to the water surface membrane. Maintaining and switching between these diagnostically opposed body positions are more complex behavioral patterns than mere swimming behavior and demand a higher level of postural control, and possibly a more sophisticated or delicate gravity perception.

However, except from the fact that ostracods do not feature classical statocysts, nothing is known about their gravity perceiving organs or mechanisms. Therefore, the reasons for the unequal looping durations and the differing thresholds of gravity perception of the two species remain speculative.

Possible reduction of disorientation in microgravity—acclimation over time and light as orientational cue

The use of Ostracoda in BLSS for space exploration might be interfered by μg-caused behavioral changes that could prejudice their normal life cycle. Whereas this issue will probably not become important in N. monacha, as this species barely looped (Fig. 3a) and showed a generally decreased activity in μg (Fig. 2), the opposite might be the case in H. incongruens. Looping behavior made up one of the largest proportions of this species’ total behavior (~40% (Fig. 3a) to ~70% (Fig. 4d)) and was thus linked to a distinctly increased overall activity (Fig. 2). A reduction of these changes would thus be desirable, as it is so far not known, if for example the animals’ metabolic rate, energy consumption, or aging are influenced by the altered activity patterns.

Regarding acclimation to μg over time, i.e., a decrease of looping behavior, our study reveals a lack in both species. In H. incongruens neither during exposure to several min of uninverted real μg, nor during approximately 1 h of simulated μg (Fig. 4b, c, d), a reduction could be observed. During the PFs indeed, a slight decrease of the looping behavior was found, when only considering the flight time in functional darkness, but when considering the whole flight, looping slightly increased (Fig. 4a). In general, the slopes of all trendlines, regardless of which method was used, are close to zero, indicating constant looping of H. incongruens over time. Also N. monacha probably does not acclimate to μg, as the slopes of its’ looping trendlines are also close to zero (Fig. 4a). In this case, however, acclimation was only tested during PFs. However, all methods utilized to investigate acclimation of H. incongruens yield the same outcome and acclimation over PFs has been observed in other organisms, as well.

So far, it is thus probable that the results gained using PFs are reliable. These findings are in line with the observation that the unidentified ostracod species on Mir space station did not acclimate during a 4-months sojourn. Until the end of this long-term experiment, consistently around 50% of the animals showed looping behavior. Considering all results, we assume that Ostracoda do not habituate to μg in terms of a reduction of the shown kinetotic behavior.

Apart from acclimation over time, disorientation may also be reduced by substituting orientation along the gravitational vector with another orientational cue. Many animals, such as fish and also crustaceans (e.g., Daphnia) use the direction of light in addition to gravity for orientation and postural control. Since light has also been found to influence the behavior of Ostracoda, directed WL could provide an orientational cue in μg.

However, our experiments reveal that photo-orientation barely compensates μg-caused disorientation. Neither H. incongruens nor N. monacha showed differing looping durations between functional darkness and WL illumination (Fig. 3a, b). And also during the aforementioned Mir study, where light was permanently powered on during sojourn in space, looping was the most observed behavior. These results are not only important regarding orientation in μg, but also with respect to the necessity of the operation and period of illumination within a BLSS, as artificial illumination is an energy-consuming factor, which might be avoided.

CONCLUSION

At first sight, the absent reduction of looping behavior and thus disorientation in μg, whether through acclimation or utilization of light as orientational cue, renders Ostracoda, unqualified for BLSS. However, even the highly looping species H. incongruens shows a similar life-history parameters and foraging and feeding behavior as under 1g conditions and also the ostracods on Mir space station survived and reproduced successfully for several months in space, despite of the continuous looping behavior. Therefore, we assume that Ostracoda are still promising candidates for BLSS with an only slightly or unimpaired performance in μg on the long run. Thereby, it should be assessed in future experiments, if barely looping ostracod species, such as N. monacha, are better qualified for BLSS on the long run, since they may have a lower energy consumption and show a more natural locomotion and orientation in space.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animal culture

H. incongruens and N. monacha were captured from a small ephemeral pond near Munich, Germany (GPS-coordinates: 48°06’ 33.9”N 11°27’25.6”E) and cultured in a climate chamber (20 ± 0.5 °C under neon light at a constant photoperiod, 15 h day: 9 h night). The ostracods were kept in semi-artificial medium (for composition see ref. 44) with detritus from the pond of origin added as substrate and fed weekly with TetraPhyll fish food (Tetra GmbH, Germany). During the experiment campaigns, they were kept without substrate in Volvic mineral water (Danone Waters Deutschland GmbH, Germany) at room temperature (20 ± 2°C) and fed as usual.

The experiments were performed in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Act (TierSchG) and the EU-Guideline 86/
Table 1. Number of periods and respective total durations of the μg and 1g periods (partial gravity not included) for each ostracod species, which were considered for the analyses

|                     | H. incongruens |          | N. monacha |          |
|---------------------|----------------|----------|------------|----------|
|                     | IR             | WL       | IR         | WL       |
| Parabolic flights   |                |          |            |          |
| RFD I               | μg: 16 = 320 s | μg: 10 = 200 s | –          | –        |
|                     | 1g: 16 = 320 s | 1g: 10 = 200 s | –          | –        |
| RFD II              | –              | –        | μg: 16 = 320 s | μg: 10 = 200 s |
|                     |                |          | 1g: 16 = 320 s | 1g: 10 = 200 s |
| RFD III             | μg: 7 = 140 s | Hardware defect | –          | –        |
|                     | 1g: 7 = 140 s |          | –          | –        |
| RFD IV              | –              | –        | μg: 16 = 320 s | μg: 10 = 200 s |
|                     |                |          | 1g: 16 = 320 s | 1g: 10 = 200 s |
| AFD I               | μg: 5 = 100 s | μg: 8 = 160 s | –          | –        |
|                     | 1g: 5 = 100 s | 1g: 8 = 160 s | –          | –        |
| AFD II              | –              | –        | μg: 5 = 100 s | μg: 8 = 160 s |
|                     |                |          | 1g: 5 = 100 s | 1g: 8 = 160 s |
| Clinorotation       | μg: 177 = 3540 s | –        | –          | –        |
| Trials 1–10         | 1g: 60 = 1200 s | –        | –          | –        |
| Trials 11–17        | –              | μg: 177 = 3540 s | –          | –        |
|                     | 1g: 60 = 1200 s |          | –          | –        |
| TEXUS               | μg: 18 = 360 s | –        | –          | –        |
|                     | 1g: –          |          | –          | –        |

Furthermore, the utilized low-gravity platform (parabolic flights with number and mode of flight days [RFD I–IV and AFD I-II] and clinorotation with number of considered trials) and the illumination mode (IR or WL) are indicated.

609/EEC for the protection of animals in experimentation and approved by the Ethics Committee at the University of Bayreuth.

Low-gravity platforms

Parabolic flights. Periods of low gravity within an aircraft can be achieved by aviating parabolic trajectories. In the first phase of such a maneuver, the aircraft ascents steeply from horizontal flight at an angle of 47° whereby hypergravity (~1.8g) occurs. Engine thrust is reduced to the extend required to compensate for the air drag and the aircraft proceeds in free fall mode. During this period, the engine thrust is increased and the aircraft ascents steeply from horizontal flight (for ~32 s during a Mars-P). In order to escape the hypergravity period before returning to horizontal flight, the engine thrust is reduced and the aircraft is pulled up again, which causes another hypergravity period before returning to horizontal flight (for details, see refs. 45,46).

The experiments were carried on 6 flight days (FDs): four regular FDs (RFD I-IV) with 31 μg-Ps each, and two additional FDs (AFD I and II) with one Moon-P and one Mars-P prior to 13 μg-Ps each. H. incongruens was deployed on RFD I and III and on AFD I, N. monacha on RFD II and IV and on ADF II. On RFD III a hardware error occurred, resulting in an experiment abort after P 7 (Table 1). New naive, randomly selected individuals were used on each FD in order to exclude any habituation or conditioning effects. However, the animals could not be replaced during flight, thus same individuals were recorded during all flight phases and experimental conditions on a single FD.

Furthermore, different illumination modes were applied in the course of the flights. During the first 16 Ps on the RFDs and 7 Ps (including partial-gravity Ps) on the AFDs, IR spots (wavelength peak = 940 nm, TV6816, ABUS, Germany) were used, emitting light outside the visible spectrum (functional darkness) but within the sensitivity of the camera (1/3” A1 Pro b/w board camera, Conrad Electronic SE, Germany), which recorded the ostracods. After the IR mode, five Ps under low-light (single WL LED) followed on the RFDs (for a smooth transition between IR and bright WL, not utilized for any analysis). On the AFDs, no low-light was applied due to the low number of Ps on these FDs. During the last 10 Ps on the RFDs and the last 8 Ps on the AFDs, bright WL spots (2700 Kelvin, L1325 Dioder, IKEA GmbH & Co. KG, Germany), mounted above the cuvettes, were powered on.

Before each flight, two cuvettes (30 mm × 30 mm × 10 mm, reamed out of Makrolon, sealed with a Plexiglas cover plate) were filled air bubble-free with Volvic mineral water (to avoid interference of the μg quality by drifting bubbles) and three adult ostracods each were inserted. In order to assure a sufficient oxygen supply during the approximately 3 h of total flight time per FD, the cuvettes were piped allowing a regular medium replacement using a fuel gear pump (Modelcraft, Conrad Electronic SE, Germany).

The experimental hardware was built and integrated in the PF aircraft (Airbus A300 Zero-G) in accordance with the “Experiment Safety Data Package” of the operating company (Novespace, France) and approved by the Direction générale de l’armement Essais en Vol (DGA EV, France).

For the analyses, 20 s around the median of each μg period (or 25 or 32 s of the respective partial-gravity periods) per P (treatment) and of the subsequent horizontal flight phase (1g control) were used. During approximately 3% of this time single ostracods could, due to reflections within the cuvettes or low contrast of the recordings, not be detected on the videos. As these events were evenly distributed among the animals, they were not considered in the analyses.

TEXUS sounding rocket. During the flight of a TEXUS sounding rocket, a continuous, approximately 360 s long μg (10−9g) period occurred. Similar to the PFs, μg is achieved during the free fall phase of the parabolic trajectory, the rocket passes through (for details on conditions and flight maneuver, see ref. 47). However, a
1g control could not be recorded. Horizontal flight does not take place before or after the P and a control on ground was not deemed reasonable, as vibrations and other disturbance only occur in flight. Furthermore, no WL was applied in order to achieve the longest possible µg period under constant illumination.

The experimental hardware included four cylindrical cuvettes (depth: 55 mm, diameter: 35 mm, reamed out of Makrolon and sealed with a Plexiglas cover plate), into which three randomly selected adult *H. incongruens* each were inserted. They were illuminated using IR arrays (wavelength peak = 940 nm, IR67-21C/ TR8, Everlight Electronics Co Ltd., Taiwan) mounted underneath the cuvettes and filmed using a camera (XC-ST70CE, Sony Europe Limited, Great Britain, equipped with IR permeable objective Apo-Xenoplan 1.8/35, Jos. Schneider Optische Werke GmbH, Germany). As space was very limited within the rocket, the four cuvettes were recorded by one only camera. Thus, a blind angle and hence a masked area arose within each cuvette. Two of the in total 12 ostracods spent more than 3% of the µg period within such an area, thus they were excluded from the analysis.

**Clinorotation.** Clinostats rotate samples horizontally (uniaxial) at constant speed to guarantee a continuous reorientation. In doing so, gravity is neither eliminated nor altered, but it is assumed that biological systems do not perceive the gravitational stimulus, when reoriented fast enough.\(^{24,48}\)

In order to expose *H. incongruens* to simulated µg, single, randomly selected, adult animals were inserted into a cylindrical cuvette (depth: 5 mm, diameter: 22 mm, reamed out of Plexiglas, sealed with a glass cover plate) which was rotated with 60 revolutions per minute using a random positioning machine (Dutch Space, The Netherlands) in 2D mode (rotation of only one arm, residual gravity of -0.04g at the periphery of the cuvette, calculation, see ref. \(^{49}\) For the 10 experimental trials under IR (wavelength peak = 880 nm, 26 mm LED Lamp Cluster, Kingbright, Germany, mounted behind the cuvette) and the 7 under WL illumination (14,000 mCD white LED, Nichia, Japan, mounted on top of the cuvette and jointly rotated with it to achieve a directed illumination despite the rotation) always new, naïve ostracods were used. They were recorded using a board camera (CMOS-mini-camera-module, Conrad Electronics, Germany).

Each experimental trial included a 10-min long settling-in phase, an ensuing 20 min long 1g control phase and an 1-h long clino phase. For the analysis, the first min after start of the rotation was neglected as it took this long to reach the best possible alignment of the angular speed of the medium adjacent to the cuvette surface through to the rotation center.

**Encoding of locomotion behavior and statistical analysis**

In order to enable the analysis of locomotion and orientation behavioral categories, comprising every possible locomotion behavior of the two species, were defined:

- Crawling—animals are active on the inner surfaces of the cuvette
- Resting—animals are inactive on the inner surfaces of the cuvette
- Swimming—animals are active in the water column (but not in circular motion), and do not touch the cuvette surfaces
- Drifting—animals float inactive through water column, and do not touch the cuvette surfaces
- Looping—animals somersault/swim actively in a circular motion

Using the event logging software Observer XT 10 (Noldus, The Netherlands), the video recorded ostracods were individually tracked and displayed locomotion behavior was end-to-end classified into the defined categories (referred as to behaviors in the following). During this classification, the experimenter was blinded to the gravity conditions, as they could not be recognized in the video recordings.

For the analyses (except for acclimation to µg), non-transformed relative durations\(^{39,40}\) of the displayed behaviors were used, as the phases during which the different gravity levels occurred differed in duration between the used methods. Furthermore, not always the same number of Ps occurred on the six FDs of the PFs (due to hardware error or type of FD: RFD or AFD).

The subsequent statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS Statistics (version 22.0 for Windows, IBM Corporation, USA), except for linear regression (trendline analysis), which was conducted using Excel (version 2013 for Windows, Microsoft Corporation, USA). Normal distribution was tested by Shapiro–Wilk tests, homoscedasticity by Levene tests. As these assumptions for parametric testing are not met, non-parametric tests were utilized. The levels of statistical significance are designated in the respective graphs.

**Comparison of locomotion behavior between normal and microgravity**

The alterations of locomotion and orientation between µg and 1g could be revealed by comparing the relative durations of looping and drifting behavior recorded during the respective flight phases of the PFs (Wilcoxon-signed-rank tests). Furthermore, the overall activity during these flight phases was calculated from the summed relative durations of all active (crawling, swimming, and looping) and passive (resting and drifting) behaviors and compared using Wilcoxon-signed-rank tests. In order to investigate pure orientation by gravity without any influence of light, only behavior performed under IR illumination was utilized (total duration of a behavior in µg or 1g under IR-total duration of respective flight phase under IR, Table 1).

**Influence of microgravity and light on the orientation of different species**

In order to reveal differences between the orientation capacities of *H. incongruens* and *N. monacha*, the looping behavior shown in µg during the PFs (WL and IR illumination) was utilized (relative durations compared using Mann–Whitney U tests). The relative looping durations observed under the two illumination modes, during the PFs and also during clino, were furthermore used to disentangle the interaction of gravity and light in orientation (PFs: Wilcoxon-signed-rank tests, as the same individuals were recorded on the single FDs; clino: Mann–Whitney U tests, as different animals were used in each experimental trial, Table 1).

**Acclimation to microgravity**

Despite of the 1g and hypergravity periods between the µg periods, acclimation to µg has already been observed over PFs.\(^{39,40}\) Thus, we further utilized these flights (WL and IR illumination) to investigate possible acclimation of *N. monacha* and *H. incongruens*. In order to verify these results and especially to extend the data on *H. incongruens* (few data usable due to a hardware error on RFD III), these species were further exposed to longer and uninterrupted µg periods. During a TEXUS flight, the animals experienced approximately 360 s of µg and during clino 59 min of simulated µg, after assessing the suitability of the latter method by comparing the shown behaviors to those observed under real µg. In order to make these uninterrupted µg periods comparable to the PF data (consisting of always a ~20 s long µg interval per P), we split the clino and TEXUS µg periods into the respective number of 20 s long intervals (Table 1). After that, trendlines (least squares lines) were fitted through the duration of looping behavior of each of the resulting consecutive µg intervals and their slopes were calculated.
Threshold of gravity perception
The thresholds of gravity perception (onset of disorientation) of both species was approached by analyzing the relative loop durations shown during the reduction of the gravitational force from 1g over 0.38g and 0.16g to μg during the AFDs of the PFs (Friedman tests).

Data availability
The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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