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ABSTRACT

Background: Molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH) has been recognized as a global dental disorder and concern about this pathology is growing among clinicians around the world.

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the knowledge and perception of Yemeni dental practitioners in the city of Sana’a in relation to diagnosis, etiological factors and management of MIH.

Materials and Methods: A questionnaire modified from similar previous studies was distributed to 311 Yemeni dentists providing oral health care in Sana’a city. The survey consists of two parts, the first is the demographic-occupational variables that was collected for the participants and the second part included questions related to perception of the diagnosis, possible etiological factors, severity of the condition and management, and the participants’ willingness for advance training in relation to MIH.

Results: The overall response rate is (90%). The majority of respondents came across MIH in their practices (GDPs=83.2%, SDPs=90%). Yellow/brown demarcation is feasible as a common clinical symptom. The composite resin was the most used restorative material. The genetic factor was the most specific etiological factor. Most GDPs were significantly uncertain in the diagnosis of MIH compared to SDPs (P=0.003). Most of the respondents (72.5%) had a low level of knowledge regarding MIH. Participants support the need to assess MIH occurrence and conduct clinical training.

Conclusion: Molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH) is a common dental problem faced by dental practitioners in Sana’a City who have required clinical training regarding diagnosis, etiological factors and MIH management.
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INTRODUCTION

Dental research in Yemen has been increasing in recent years despite the poor economic and humanitarian conditions, as the United Nations considered the situation in Yemen the worst humanitarian crisis in the world due to the Saudi-Emirati aggression on Yemen. The most recent research conducted which discussed the prevalence of malocclusion, the causes of permanent tooth extraction in general dental practices, the spread of the canal behind the teeth, the prevalence and causes of dental injuries and finally MIH study, this, in turn, will lead to improvement in the provision of dental services in Yemen. The expression molar – incisor hypomineralization (MIH) is described as a specific developmental defect in the enamel, affecting one or more of the first permanent molars, with or without the involvement of the incisor teeth, as an individual with permanent incisors is not designated as having MIH unless associated with a well-defined lesion in at least one of the permanent molars. The condition was identified as non-fluoride enamel
opacities, internal enamel hypoplasia, non- endemic molting of enamel, opaque spots, and idiopathic enamel hypomineralization. Clinically, the involved teeth show well-defined, white, cream colored, yellow or brown opacities on the enamel, with variant in its extent and severity. The ultra- structural features of the surface of the teeth with MIH was evaluated by Bozal et al. found a loss of prismatic pattern, a porous ultra-structure with cracks, lowered of calcium and phosphate content in the affected surfaces, and change in ionic composition. Enamel alteration may interfere with reconstructive dental procedures. A typical feature of the hypomineralized molar and incisor is the asymmetrical appearance. That is, the enamel of one molar can be severely affected while the enamel on the other side is clinically unaffected or has only minor superficial defects. The etiology is not clear. However; this condition has been associated with a variety of potential etiological factors, such as prematurity, long breastfeeding and infection. MIH is also associated to respiratory infection such as otitis, bronchitis, pneumonia and asthma. In a recent systematic review, a possible relationship between MIH lesions and systemic and environmental factors that may play a role during enamel maturation has been suggested. The unesthetic appearance of anterior teeth, pain, sensitivity and difficulties to achieve local anesthesia and provide suitable restoration are the main complication of MIH. In addition, the altered and porous enamel structure makes bonding risky, which lead to defective filling and frequent re-treatment. Early detection, intervention and appropriate treatment can prevent severe complications and improve masticatory and aesthetic function. Previously, the prognosis of teeth with MIH was complicated by the presence of a carious lesion, whereas MIH is currently becoming more evident with reduced caries experience in many populations and increased awareness of clinicians. Early diagnosis of this condition and immediate management is essential for successful long-term outcomes in affected children. Many epidemiological studies from several countries declared that MIH is a common clinical problem. Globally, MIH has a high incidence especially among children<10 years old. Mineral deficiencies in the molar incisors can affect the general health and quality of life of children, and their treatment may have a significant financial impact on patients, their parents and society. Clinicians’ perception of MIH can facilitate the detection and appropriate treatment of this pathological condition. It is recognized that MIH is of an increasing concern to clinicians worldwide. Information regarding whether Yemeni dental practitioners encounter molar incisor hypomineralization in their fields of work and whether they experience the condition as a clinical problem is lacking. Up to the researcher knowledge there is no single scientific study or data available in Yemen, so this study was carried out to investigate the knowledge and perception of Yemeni dental practitioners in Sana’a city regarding the diagnosis, etiological factors and management of MIH.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS
Study design: This study was a descriptive cross-sectional study. The investigated population were dentists who are providing oral health care in Sana’a city to assess their knowledge and awareness regarding MIH.

Inclusion criteria: Yemeni dental practitioners who are providing oral health care in the government hospitals and centers in Sana’a city.

Exclusion criteria: Dental practitioners who are providing oral health care in the in Sana’a city but they are not Yemeni citizens. Practicing dentists who are working in Sana’a University, faculty of dentistry and were involved in pilot study.

Sample size determination: The present study sample size was (311) which was calculated using OpenEpi® software after knowing the number of dentists(1622) who have approbation from Yemeni Dental Association to practice dentistry in Sana’a city until February,2017, considering a significant alpha 0.05 at confidence interval level 95%, power 80% and anticipated proportion= 50%.

Sampling method: Simple random sampling technique was used utilizing software and a computer.

Data collection: A questionnaire survey modified from previous similar studies. It was used as an instrument for data collection and the participants were assured that all information is confidential and protected.

Pilot study: The questionnaire was tasted by a pilot study performed amongst 20 of practicing dentists working in Sana’a University, Faculty of Dentistry and they were not included in the study. The feedback from these dentists was used to improve the wording and structures of the questionnaire.

Questionnaire: Participation in this study was voluntary and anonymous. Brief information about the MIH condition and aim of the study were included in the cover page with some clinical photographs which were used by ANZ and Iraqi survey and others were taken at the Faculty of Dentistry, Sana’a University. The survey was involved five sections, the first section collected the background, demographic and practice information including questions on (age, gender, years of practice and type of qualification). The second section included questions regarding perception and recognition of MIH in Sana’a city. The third and fourth sections investigated the participant's knowledge of possible etiological factors and period of occurrence. The last part of questionnaire collected information about management of MIH and participant's willing to receive education and clinical training regarding MIH.

Ethical consideration: Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee of the medical research at Sana’a University. Approval was obtained from all participants before recruiting them to the study and after explaining for them the aim of the study.

Statistical analysis: Data of completed questionnaire obtained, and were manipulated using Statistical Package for the Social Science version 21.0 software (SPSS version 21.0). Descriptive analysis based on the distribution of selected biographical, educational and
work experience variable using Pearson's chi-square test (x²) were taken. The results considered significant an alpha 0.05 at confidence interval level 95%.

Table 1: Demographic and professional characteristics of the Yemeni dentists who participated in the study.

| Characteristic         | N   | %    |
|------------------------|-----|------|
| Gender                 |     |      |
| Male                   | 56  | 20.0 |
| Female                 | 224 | 80.0 |
| Age (years)            |     |      |
| ≤ 30                   | 202 | 72.1 |
| 31-40                  | 73  | 26.1 |
| 41-50                  | 5   | 1.8  |
| Years of experience    |     |      |
| ≤ 5                    | 196 | 70.0 |
| 6-10                   | 38  | 13.6 |
| 11-20                  | 38  | 13.6 |
| 21-30                  | 8   | 2.9  |
| Qualification          |     |      |
| BDS                    | 250 | 89.3 |
| MSC                    | 23  | 8.2  |
| PhD                    | 7   | 2.5  |
| Total                  | 280 | 100.0|

RESULTS

Of a total of 311 questionnaires distributed, 289 responses were received, and 9 respondents were excluded because they were kept blank or with incomplete answers. The completed questionnaires were 280, general dental practitioners (GDP = 250) and specialist dental practitioners (SDPs=30). The overall response rate was 90%. The majority of the respondents are female (80%) and the ages (72.1%) of the participants were equal or less than 30 years old, while (1.8%) dentists were between (41-50) years old. Approximately, thirty-four of dentists (70%) had less than or equal of 5 years of experience while only (2.9%) of participants had more than 20 years of experience. In addition, the dentists' qualifications were bachelor (89.3%) and (10.7%) were dental specialists MSC/PhD. Perception of the MIH status among general dental practitioners (GDPs) and dental specialists (SDPs) are shown in Table 2. The vast majority of GDPs (83.2%) and SDPs (90%) encountered MIH in their practices. Most of the participants agreed that MIH is a public dental health problem (GDPs = 83.3%, SDPs=74.0%). Almost two third of the GDPs (67.2%) reported that the condition in Sana'a city was moderate while half of the SDPs (50.0%) declared that the condition was mild with a significant difference between the two results (P < 0.001, P<0.00, respectively). In response to the question on clinical presentation of MIH half of GDPs and SDPs indicated that yellow, brown demarcated was the most frequent noticed (55.5%,46.7%, respectively), while post eruptive enamel breakdown defect was the least reported (16.4%, 6.7%, respectively). Significantly almost half of SDPs had noticed white demarcation in their practices (46%) (p=0.05). The majority of SDPs participants (70.0%) significantly observed MIH lesion at a low frequency in the second primary molar (P=0.01). Approximately half of general practitioners (52.4%) were significantly unconfident in diagnosing MIH compared to dental specialists (23.3%) (P=0.003). The vast majority of SDPs and over half of GDPs agreed that it would be worthwhile to assess the prevalence of MIH in our country and there was a significant difference between them (90.0%, 61.2%, respectively) (p=0.003) (Table 2).

Table 2: Perception and recognition of MIH of the Yemeni dentists who participated in the study.

| Perception and recognition of MIH                      | GDPs  | SDPs  | P value |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Do you encounter hypomineralization teeth in your practice? | 208   | 27    | 90.0    |
| Do you think MIH is a dental public health problem?    | 185   | 25    | 83.3    |
| How severe is this condition do you think in Sana’a?   |       |       |         |
| Mild                                                  | 52    | 15    | 50.0%   | <0.001 |
| Moderate                                              | 168   | 7     | 23.3%   | <0.00  |
| Severe                                                | 30    | 8     | 26.7%   |         |
| What do you most frequently notice in your practice?   |       |       |         |
| White demarcated                                      | 70    | 14    | 46.7%   | 0.05   |
| Yellow, brown demarcated                               | 139   | 14    | 46.7%   | 0.01   |
| Post eruptive enamel breakdown                         | 41    | 2     | 6.7%    |         |
| How do you think the frequency of this defect in the second primary molar compare to first permanent molar (FPM)? |       |       |         |
| More frequently                                       | 91    | 4     | 13.3%   |         |
| Less frequently                                       | 112   | 21    | 70.0%   | 0.01   |
| Same as FPM                                           | 47    | 5     | 16.7%   |         |
| How confident do you feel when diagnose MIH?           |       |       |         |
| Un confident                                          | 131   | 7     | 23.3%   | 0.003  |
| Confident                                             | 119   | 23    | 76.7%   |         |
| Do you think it would be worthwhile to assess the prevalence of MIH in our country | 153   | 27    | 90.0%   | <0.001 |
Table 3: Knowledge of possible etiological factors for MIH of the Yemeni dentists who participated in the study.

| Knowledge | GDPs | SDPs | P value |
|-----------|------|------|---------|
| N         | %    | N    | %       |
| From your broad systemic background, which factor(s) do you think are involved the etiology of MIH? | | | |
| Genetic factors | 191 | 76.4 | 21 | 70.0 | 0.49 |
| Environment contamination | 129 | 51.6 | 13 | 43.3 | 0.44 |
| Chronic medical conditions affecting mother or child | 120 | 48.0 | 17 | 56.7 | 0.44 |
| Acute medical conditions affecting mother or child | 56 | 22.4 | 13 | 43.3 | 0.02 |
| Antibiotic and medication | 83 | 33.2 | 15 | 50.0 | 0.10 |
| Fluoride application | 85 | 34.0 | 18 | 60.0 | <0.001* |

*Statistically significant (p < 0.05) Pearson's chi-square

Table 4: Knowledge of possible period for MIH of the Yemeni dentists who participated in the study.

| Possible period for MIH | GDPs | SDPs | P value |
|------------------------|------|------|---------|
| N         | %    | N    | %       |
| In your clinical work how often do you notice hypomineralization teeth? | | | |
| Daily | 15 | 6.0 | 3 | 10.0 | |
| Weakly | 69 | 27.6 | 6 | 20.0 | |
| Monthly | 118 | 47.2 | 12 | 40.0 | |
| Yearly | 48 | 19.2 | 9 | 30.0 | |
| What age do you think is ideal to assess MIH | | | |
| 5-7 years | 138 | 55.2 | 21 | 70.0 | |
| 7-9 years | 112 | 44.8 | 9 | 30.0 | |

Table 3 presents the knowledge of possible etiological factors. The most common identified etiological factor was a genetic factor (76.4% of GDPs and 70.0% of SDPs). Followed by environmental contamination and chronic medical condition affecting mother and child (51.6%, 43.3% and 48.0,56.7%, respectively). Fluoride exposure and acute medical condition were significantly considered by almost half of dental specialists as relevant factors (60.0%,50.0% respectively) (p<0.00, p=0.02, respectively). Period of occurrence is showed in Table 4; around half of respondents (GDPs=47.2%, SDPs=40.0%) had noticed hypomineralized teeth on a monthly basis, while nearly third of GDPs (27.6%) had noticed such teeth on a weekly basis and (30.0%) of SDPs on a yearly basis during their practices. Participants in the current study were unable to identify the correct age to assess MIH. The response about management of MIH, education and clinical training demand amongst the participants are illustrated in Table 5. Resin composite was the most frequently used restorative material for MIH affected teeth treatment amongst participants (GDPs=47.6%, SDPs=70.0%), followed by glass ionomer cement (38.4%,26.7%, respectively). While performed crown was the least used by clinicians (GDPs=10.0%, SDPs=3.3%). Dental specialists (70%) used resin composite material significantly more than general dental practitioners (p=0.03). On the other hand, amalgam material was used significantly by general dental practitioners compared to dental specialists (p = 0.05). Regarding the reported barriers for performing treatment to the children with MIH, half of the participants considered uncooperative and anxiety child as an important barrier for provide proper treatment (GDPs=50.4%, SDPs=53.3%). No significant difference was observed between the two groups in the term of barrier to perform MIH management. Almost two third of GDPs and SDPs stated they were received information about MIH (57.6%, 66.7%, respectively). However, all of the general practitioners willing to receive clinical training regarding tooth hypomineralization and also it has been strongly agreed by (90.0%) of dental specialists with a significant difference between them (p < 0.00). Table 6 showed the level of knowledge and awareness of the dentists regarding MIH. About of (72.5%) of the participants in the current study had a low level of knowledge and awareness level than general dental practitioners and the difference was statistically significant ($X^2$=6.51; $p=0.038$) (Table 7, Figure 2). Furthermore, female in the current study likely have a good knowledge and awareness than male with a significant difference ($X^2$=4.07; $p=0.04$) (Table 8, Figure 1). No significant difference was found between age and years of practices variables and level of knowledge ($p =0.43, 0.28$, respectively).
DISCUSSION

This is the first study to be conducted to explore knowledge, perception and clinical experiences about MIH among general dental practitioners (GDPs) and specialist dental practitioners (SDPs) providing oral health care in Sana’a City. The majority of participants encountered the presence of MIH in their clinical practices and this finding coincides with the results from previous studies.18,25,31 Yellow/brown opacities were noted by participants as being the most common enamel defect and those found agreed with previous reports in the region.18,27,28 It is likely that this type of enamel lesion is the least easily misdiagnosed with alternative lesions such as fluorosis and white spot lesions.31,27,28 A post eruptive breakdown lesion was less observed by the participants in this study, this was consistent with the previous findings.31,32 This may be due to MIH that are usually seen as a typical caries lesion later in life, and the participants in this study may have been mistaken for Diagnosed as dental caries rather than enamel collapse after secondary eruption of enamel hypomineralization.33

Table 6: Level of knowledge and awareness of the dentists towards MIH for Yemeni dentists who participated in the study

| Level of knowledge and awareness | GDPs | SDPs | P-value |
|----------------------------------|------|------|---------|
| Low                              | 203  | 72.5 |         |
| Moderate                         | 70   | 25.0 |         |
| High                             | 7    | 2.5  |         |
| Total                            | 280  | 100.0|         |

In this study, most respondents observed a lower-frequency MIH lesion in the second primary molar compared to the first permanent molar (FPM). As discussed in the literature review, this condition is described as "HSPM".

A patient with HSPM needs frequent follow-up as this condition can be considered as an indicator of MIH.31,34 However, lack of HSPM does not exclude the possibility of further development of MIH. Several general practitioners in this survey were significantly less confident in the diagnosis of MIH compared to dental specialists (p=0.003). This result clarifies their request for further clinical training. Participants in previous surveys reported a similar request for clinical training regarding MIH.31,28 The vast majority of dental specialists (90%) and general practitioners (61.2%) recommended that investigating the prevalence of MIH in our country would be helpful. This finding reflects the fact that MIH will emerge as an issue of concern to
the dental community\textsuperscript{26,30}. The response of the participants in the current study regarding the etiological factors of MIH reflected the suspected multifactorial nature of MIH. Most of them were identified by genetic factors as the most common factor which is consistent with similar studies conducted internationally\textsuperscript{25,18,30}. Acute medical condition during pregnancy and during early childhood has been reported as a presumptive etiological factor of MIH (\(p = 0.02\))\textsuperscript{25,30}. Fluoride was also significantly implicated by more than half of the dental specialists in this study (\(p < 0.001\)), which may indicate that there is still confusion in the dental community regarding the distinction between fluorosis and other developmental defects. This result reflects the results of the Iraqi studies and the studies of ANZ\textsuperscript{27,30}. In the current study, less than half of general practitioners and a third of dental specialists identified the appropriate age for assessing MIH (7-9 years) correctly. This finding was unexpected and indicates that more work is needed to restore this lack of understanding of the condition and prevent further misdiagnosis. Statistical analysis in this study showed that the most preferred dental material that the respondents used was composite resin, which is in line with the reports of the other studies\textsuperscript{18,25,31}. The second preferred material that the participants used was GIC, and this was in agreement with the results of Crombie et al.,\textsuperscript{30} and Gambetta-Tessini et al.,\textsuperscript{20}. These results may be controversial and thus the use of GIC in teeth with MIH is considered an interim therapy by many to reduce sensitivity and prevent PEB in an effort to stabilize the teeth so that they can be restored at a later date. Medium and long-term treatment options for MIH-affected teeth should include direct resins compound restoration, cast restoration, and extraction and placement of PMCs\textsuperscript{30}.

However, the crown performed was the least reported material that the participants in this study used (GDP = 10.0%, SDP=3.3%). This finding is in agreement with Crombie et al.,\textsuperscript{30}, but does not agree with the results of Alanzi et al.,\textsuperscript{31}.

Table 7: Association between level of participants' knowledge and their qualification for Yemeni dentists who participated in the study.

| Independent Variable | Level         | Low | Moderate | High | Total |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|----------|------|-------|
|                      | N             | 750 | 186      | 57   | 250   |
| qualification GDPs   | %             | 74.4| 22.8     | 2.8  | 100.0 |
|                      | N             | 17  | 13       | 0    | 30    |
|                      | %             | 56.7| 43.3     | 0.0  | 100.0 |

*significant

Table 8: Association between level of participants' knowledge and their sex for Yemeni dentists who participated in the study.

| Independent variable | Level | Low | Moderate | High | Total | X\(^2\) | P-value |
|----------------------|-------|-----|----------|------|-------|---------|---------|
|                      |       | N   | %        |      |       |         |         |
| gender               |       |     |          |      |       |         |         |
| male                 |       | 46  | 82.1%    | 17.9%| 0.0%  | 56      | 4.07    | 0.04*  |
| female               |       | 157 | 70.1%    | 26.8%| 3.1%  | 224     |         |        |

Participants in the current study reported that “uncooperative and anxious child” was a common barrier to MIH management, reflecting insufficient training of dentists in child management. This finding was consistent with the findings of Alanzi et al.,\textsuperscript{31} and disagreed with that of Hussain et al.,\textsuperscript{18} who found “inadequate training” as a common barrier among dentists in Malaysia.

Half of the respondents in this study reported that they had received information regarding MIH, but more than nearly two-thirds (72.5%) had a low level of knowledge regarding MIH and only (25.0%) had a moderate level of knowledge. Thus MIH diagnostic criteria were introduced only in 2001\textsuperscript{7}. In addition, the information available regarding MIH is also very scarce because dental education programs in our country are concentrated in some practical areas of dentistry such as orthodontics and implantology which causes restriction of access to knowledge in MIH after graduation. A significant difference in the level of knowledge between GDPs and SDPs was reported in this study (\(p=0.03\)), and the results of the study showed that (43.3%) SDPs have a moderate level of knowledge compared to only GDPs (22.8%). This can be explained by the results presented in Table 5 where (66.3%) of service delivery points reported receiving some information related to MIH. Another result in this study found a significant difference in the level of knowledge between male and female dentists with
good level of knowledge among females compared to males (p=0.04). The report showed that (26.8%) of females had a moderate level of knowledge and (3.1%) had a high level of knowledge while (17.9%) only males had a moderate level of knowledge. This may be due to female dentists’ interest in engaging with children more than males and more active in self-training programs. Thus, the level of knowledge increases with more information on MIH and child management and this was noted in this study.

CONCLUSION

MIH is a widespread oral health condition commonly faced by GDPs and SDPs in Sana’a city. Yellow / brown opacity was identified by the respondents as the most clinical symptom of MIH and most of the GDP faced by GDPs and SDPs in current study had a low level of knowledge and most requested clinical training regarding MIH.

AUTHOR’S CONTRIBUTION

This research work is part of a Master’s thesis. The candidate is Amal Abdulrahman lutl Sharaf Al- deen to conduct field works and thesis. Corresponding author (HAA), third author (AMA), and the rest of the authors supervised the work, revised and edited the thesis draft and the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors acknowledge the financial support of Sana’a University, Sana’a City, Yemen.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest associated with this work.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Awadi TAM, Al-Haddad KA, Al-labani MA, Al-Shamahy HA. Prevalence of malocclusion among Yemeni children of primary schools. Universal J Pharm Res 2019; 5(1): 1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i1.329
2. Ultrahman MAASA, Yahya A, Al-Shamahy HA, Abbas AKMA. Occurrence of retromolar canal among a sample of Yemeni adults obtained from cone-beam computed tomography. Int Res J Med Sci 2020; 8(2): 35-41.
3. Alhadi Y, Rassem AH, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-Ghaffari KM. Causes for extraction of permanent teeth in general dental practices in Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2019; 4(2): 1-5. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v4i2.231
4. Mutaher NJA, Al-Haddad KA, Al-Akwa AAY, Al-labani MA, Al-Shamahy HA, Zabara AQMQ, Al- deen HMS. Prevalence and causes of traumatic dental injuries to anterior teeth among primary school children in Sana’a city, Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(3): 38-43. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i3.329
5. Al-Shahrani N, Al-Amri A, Hegazi F, Al-Rowis K, Al-Madani A, Hassan KS. The prevalence of premature loss of primary teeth and its impact on malocclusion in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica 2015; 73(7): 544–549. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016577.2014.939709
6. Ghanim A, Elfrink M, Weerheim K, Marino R, Manton D. A practical method for use in epidemiological studies on enamel hypomineralisation. European Archives Paed Dent 2015; 16: 235-246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-015-0178-8
7. Weerheim K, Jälevik B, Alalussua S. Molar−incisor hypomineralisation. Caries Res 2001; 35, 390-391.
8. Alazzam SM, Alaki SM, El Meligy OAS. Molar incisor hypomineralization, prevalence, and etiology. Int J Dent 2014; 2014:1-8. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/0436815.0178-8
9. Garg N, Jain AK, Saha S, Singh J. Essentiality of early diagnosis of molar incisor hypomineralization in children and review of its clinical presentation, etiology and management. Int J Clin Ped Dent 2012; 5 (3):190-196. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-015-0178-8
10. Tourino LGP, Gheera-Farti P, Furlerica RC, et al. Association between molar incisor hypomineralization in schoolchildren and both prenatal and postnatal factors: a population-based study. PLoS One, 2016; 11:e0156332. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-015-0178-8
11. Bozal CB, Kaplan A, Ortolani A, Cortese SG, Biondi AM. Ultrastructure of the surface of dental enamel with molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH) with and without acid etching. Acta Odontológica Latinoamericana 2015; 28, 192-198. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-015-0178-8
12. Giuca MR, Cappê M, Carli E, Lardani L, Pasini M. Investigation of Clinical Characteristics and Etiological Factors in Children with Molar Incisor Hypomineralization. Int J Dent 2018; 4(9):1-5. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7584736
13. Weerheim KL, Mejâre I. Molar incisor hypomineralization: a questionnaire inventory of its occurrence in member countries of the European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry (EAPD). Int J Paed Dent 2003; 13: 411-416. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-263x.2003.00498.x
14. Salem K, Daryoush A, Asadi M. Prevalence and predictors of Molar Incisor Hypomineralization (MIH) among rural children in Northern Iran. Iranian J Public Health 2016; 45, 1528-1530. PMID: 28032070
15. Babajko S, Jedeon K, Houari S, Loidiceo S, Berdal A. Disruption of steroid axis, a new paradigm for molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH). Frontiers Physiol 2017; 8:343. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00343
16. Vieira AR, Kup E. On the etiology of molar-incisor hypomineralization. Caries research 2016; 50: 166-169. https://doi.org/10.1159/000445128
17. Kühnisch J, Mach D, Thiering E, et al. Respiratory diseases are associated with molar-incisor hypomineralization. Swiss Dental J 2014; 124, 286-293. PMID: 24671727
18. Hussein A, Ghanim A, Abu-Hassan M, Manton D. Knowledge, management and perceived barriers to treatment of molar-incisor hypomineralisation in general dental practitioners and dental nurses in Malaysia. European Arch Paed Dent 2014; 15, 301-307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-014-0115-2
19. Ahmad R, Ramazani N, Nourinasab R. Molar incisor hypomineralization: a study of prevalence and etiology in a group of Iranian children. Iranian J Pediat 2012; 22, 245.
20. Ghanim A, Elfrink M. Complexities of untreated molar-incisor hypomineralization in a 12-year-old boy. Clinics Practice 2012; 2(4): e88. https://doi.org/10.4081/cp.2012.e88
21. Wuollet E, Laitsi S, Salmela E, Ass A, Alalussua S. Molar-incisor hypomineralisation and the association with
childhood illnesses and antibiotics in a group of Finnish children'. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica 2016; 74, 416-422. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016357.2016.1172342

23. Zhao D, Dong B, Yu D, Re, Q, Sun Y. The prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralization: evidence from 70 studies'. Int J Paediatric Dent 2018; 28: 170-179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-015-0209-5

24. Ghanim A, Silva M, Elfrink M, et al. Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) training manual for clinical field surveys and practice. European Arch Paediat Dent 2017; 18, 225-242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-017-0293-9

25. Bagheri R, Ghanim A, Azar MR, Manton DJ. Molar incisor hypomineralization: Discernment of a group of Iranian dental academics. J Oral Health Oral Epidemiol 2015; 42 (1): 40-48.

26. Americano GCA, Jacobsen PE, Soviero VM, Haubek D. A systematic review on the association between molar incisor hypomineralization and dental caries'. Int J Paed Dent 2017; 27: 11-21. https://doi.org/10.1111/jipd.12233

27. Ghanim A, Morgan M, Marino R, Manton D, Bailey D. Perception of Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) by Iraqi Dental Academics. Int J Paed Dent 2011; 21: 261-270. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-263X.2011.01118.x

28. Silva M, Alhowaish L, Ghanim A, Manton D. Knowledge and attitudes regarding molar incisor hypomineralisation amongst Saudi Arabian dental practitioners and dental students. European Arch Paed Dent 2016; 17: 215-222. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-016-0220-3

29. Kalkani M, Balmer R, Homer R, Day P, Duggal M. Molar incisor hypomineralisation: experience and perceived challenges among dentists specialising in paediatric dentistry and a group of general dental practitioners in the UK. European Arch Paed Dent 2016; 17: 81-88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-015-0209-5

30. Crombie F, Manton D, Weerheijm K, Kilpatrick N. Molar incisor hypomineralization: a survey of members of the Australian and New Zealand Society of Paediatric Dentistry. Australian Dent J 2008; 53, 160-166. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1834-7819.2008.00026.x

31. Alanzí A, Faridoun A, Kavvadia K, Ghanim A. Dentists perception, knowledge, and clinical management of molar-incisor-hypomineralisation in Kuwait: a cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health 2018; 18, 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-018-0498-2

32. Lygidakis N, Wong F, Jälevik B, Vierrou A, Alaluusua S, Espelid I. Best Clinical Practice Guidance for clinicians dealing with children presenting with Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralisation (MIH). European Arch Paed Dent 2010; 11: 75-81. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03262716

33. Gamboa GCS, Lee GHM, Ekambaram M, Yiu CKY. Knowledge, perceptions, and clinical experiences on molar incisor hypomineralization among dental care providers in Hong Kong. BMC Oral Health 2018; 18(1): 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-018-0678-0

34. Elfrink M, Ghanim A, Manton D, Weerheijm K. Standardised studies on Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) and hypomineralised second primary molars (HSPM): a need. European Arch Paed Dent 2015; 16, 247-255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40368-015-0179-7