Inhibitor of DNA binding 1 as a secreted angiogenic transcription factor in rheumatoid arthritis
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Abstract

Introduction: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is characterized by enhanced blood vessel development in joint synovium. This involves the recruitment of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), allowing for de novo vessel formation and pro-inflammatory cell infiltration. Inhibitor of DNA Binding 1 (Id1) is a transcription factor characteristic of EPCs that influences cell maturation.

Method: Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were used to examine Id1 levels in synovial fluid (SF) and endothelial cells (ECs), respectively. Immunohistology was used to determine the expression of Id1 in synovial tissue (ST). Human dermal microvascular EC (HMVEC) migration and tube forming assays were used to determine if recombinant human Id1 (rhuId1) and/or RA SF immunodepleted Id1 showed angiogenic activity. We also utilized the RA ST severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mouse chimera to examine if Id1 recruits EPCs to RA synovium.

Results: ST samples immunostained for Id1 showed heightened expression in RA compared to osteoarthritis (OA) and normal (NL) ST. By immunofluorescence staining, we found significantly more Id1 in RA compared to OA and NL vasculature, showing that Id1 expressing cells, and therefore EPCs, are most active in vascular remodeling in the RA synovium. We also detected significantly more Id1 in RA compared to OA and other arthritis SFs by ELISA, which correlates highly with Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 16 (CXCL16) levels. In vitro chemotaxis assays showed that Id1 is highly chemotactic for HMVECs and can be attenuated by inhibition of Nuclear Factor κB and phosphoinositide 3-kinase. Using in vitro Matrigel assays, we found that HMVECs form tubes in response to rhuId1 and that Id1 immunodepleted from RA SF profoundly decreases tube formation in Matrigel in vitro. PCR showed that Id1 mRNA could be up-regulated in EPCs compared to HMVECs in response to CXCL16. Finally, using the K/BxN serum induced arthritis model, we found that EC CXCR6 correlated with Id1 expression by immunohistochemistry.

Conclusions: We conclude that Id1 correlates highly with CXCL16 expression, EPC recruitment, and blood vessel formation in the RA joint, and that Id1 is potently angiogenic and can be up-regulated in EPCs by CXCL16.
Introduction
RA is a debilitating inflammatory joint disease in which microvascular expansion in the joint lining is a characteristic finding. Synovial neovascularization occurs presymptomatically and is critical for disease progression [1]. Expansion of the microcirculation requires either the proliferation of existing vascular endothelial cells (ECs) (angiogenesis), or the recruitment from the bone marrow (BM) of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) (vasculogenesis) [2]. Recruitment is orchestrated by vessel lumen expression of adhesion molecules that capture circulating EPCs [3], and of chemokines that direct EPC migration into surrounding tissues [4]. Over the past decade, EPCs have emerged as important regulators of cardiovascular integrity [5]. However, the specific molecular mechanisms that mediate EPC recruitment remain poorly understood. Additionally, little information exists regarding the relative contribution of EPCs to the synovial neovascularization that occurs in RA.

EPCs have been identified both in the human mononuclear leukocyte fraction of peripheral blood (PB) [6], and in their site of origin, the BM [7]. EPCs can be detected in the PB, expressing a variety of cell surface markers, which identify them as vascular and BM derived. Key EPC markers include vascular endothelial (VE) cadherin, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2), CD31low, c-kit and prominin 1/AC133 (VE) cadherin, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2), and CD31low, c-kit and prominin 1/AC133 are markers of EPCs. To this end, the need exists to clearly identify EPCs in RA tissues, and to better characterize what exactly governs their recruitment. CXCL16 is a chemokine known to be very highly expressed in RA tissues [14-16]. Interestingly, human and murine EPCs have also been shown to express the CXCL16 receptor CXCR6, suggesting that this ligand-receptor pair might be a principal factor for EPC recruitment into the RA joint [17].

We have evidence that EPCs utilize the CXCL16-CXCR6 ligand-receptor pair for recruitment purposes, and are associated with Id1 expression in RA. Considering the known function of the CXCR6 receptor in relation to recruitment and homing of immune cells in RA [14], it is reasonable to expect that CXCR6 may also be involved in the recruitment and homing of Id1 expressing mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) to RA synovium, likely for the purposes of tissue regeneration and/or vasculogenesis [17]. We show that Id1 is intrinsic to this process and together with the CXCL16-CXCR6 ligand-receptor pair, work to bring EPCs from the BM to the RA joint.

Methods
Rodents
Animal care at the Unit for Laboratory Animal Medicine (ULAM) at the University of Michigan is supervised by a veterinarian and operates in accordance with federal regulations. Severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) and C57BL/6 mice were obtained from the National Cancer Institute (NCI). All efforts were made to reduce stress or discomfort to all animals. All rodents were given food and water ad libitum throughout the entire study and were housed in sterile rodent micro-isolator caging with filtered cage tops in a specific pathogen-free environment to prevent infection. Approval to use animals for all parts of this study was obtained from the ethics committee at the University of Michigan Committee on the Use and Care of Animals (UCUCA).

K/BxN serum-induced arthritis model
K/BxN breeder mice were provided by Drs. Mathis and Benoit. To generate arthritic K/BxN mice, K/B positive mice were crossed with NOD/Ltj mice as previously described [18]. Naïve wild type (Wt) and CXCR6 gene knockout (CXCR6−/−) mice at the age of five to seven weeks were injected with 150 μl of K/BxN serum i.p., and this was considered to be Day 0 of arthritis. Another injection of 150 μl of K/BxN serum followed on Day 2. Robust arthritis with severe swelling of the joints typically developed on Day 5. Mouse ankles were harvested for histology typically by Day 12.

Isolation of EPC CD34+ cells from cord blood
Human EPCs were isolated from cord blood from granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) mobilized leukopheresis samples on the basis of CD133 expression, using an antibody coupled magnetic bead cell isolation system (Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada). Human umbilical cord blood was collected by the method of Moore et al. [19] as previously described [20]. To confirm purity of the EPCs, isolated cell populations were subjected to flow cytometry analysis as described previously [21,22]. EPCs with appropriate cell markers (CD34+, CD133+, CD14−) were used in chimeras and related in vitro studies.

Neutralization of Id1 in RA SFs
RA SFs were pre-incubated either with mouse anti-human Id1 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) or with an equivalent amount of a corresponding control antibody (non-specific mouse IgG) for two hours at 4°C.
Samples were mixed with Protein A/G agarose (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), and rotated overnight at 4°C. Samples were centrifuged briefly to pellet the Id1/antibody/Protein A/G complex and the Id1 depleted SFs were collected.

**ELISA for Id1 and CXCL16**

Rheumatoid factor (RF) was depleted from human SFs using anti-human IgM (μ-chain specific) agarose antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Levels of Id1 were measured using 96-well plates. RA, OA and other disease SFs, and Id1 as a standard were coated in duplicate for one hour. The plates were washed with wash buffer and coated with blocking buffer. Mouse anti-human Id1 antibody (Abcam) in blocking buffer was added for one hour. Subsequently, biotinylated goat anti-mouse antibody (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, USA) and streptavidin-HRP (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) were added, and the concentration in samples was measured at 450 nm after developing the reaction with tetramethylbenzidine substrate (TMB, Sigma-Aldrich). For the CXCL16 ELISA, 96-well plates were coated with rabbit anti-human CXCL16 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA). SFs and rhuCXCL16 (PeproTech) as a standard were added. Biotinylated rabbit anti-human CXCL16 antibody (PeproTech) was used to detect CXCL16 using a streptavidin-HRP, with TMB. The concentration in each sample was measured at 450 nm.

**Immunohistologic analysis**

Tissue slides were fixed in cold acetone for 20 minutes. Following incubation with 3% H2O2 for five minutes to block endogenous peroxidase, STs were blocked with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5% goat serum in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 37°C for one hour, and then incubated with mouse anti-human Id1 antibody (Abcam, 10 μg/ml), rabbit anti-mouse Id1 antibody (Cal Bioreagents, San Mateo, CA, USA) or purified nonspecific IgG for one hour at 37°C in blocking buffer. The ST samples were washed with PBS, and a 1:200 dilution in blocking buffer of fluorescent conjugated donkey anti-mouse and donkey anti-rabbit antibody was added and incubated for an additional one hour at 37°C.

**Immunofluorescence (IF)**

The slides were fixed in cold acetone for 30 minutes. The STs were blocked with 5% donkey serum and 20% FBS in PBS at 37°C for one hour, and then incubated with mouse anti-human Id1 antibody (Abcam, 10 μg/ml) and rabbit anti-human von Willebrand factor (vWF) antibody (DAKO, Carpenteria, CA, USA), or purified nonspecific mouse and rabbit IgG for one hour at 37°C in blocking buffer. The ST samples were washed with PBS, and a 1:200 dilution in blocking buffer of fluorescent conjugated donkey anti-mouse and donkey anti-rabbit antibody was added and incubated for an additional one hour at 37°C.

**RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from HMVECs and EPCs using RNAeasy mini RNA isolation kits in conjunction with QIAshredders (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Following isolation, RNA was quantified and checked for purity using a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). cDNA was then prepared using a Verso cDNA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The primer pairs used were based on published sequences. Diluted cDNA was mixed with Platinum SYBR green qPCR SuperMix-UDG, forward and reverse primers specific for each gene (10 μM final concentrations), and incubated at the following cycles; 50°C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 2 minutes and 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 30 sec and 68°C for 30 sec using an ABI Prism 7500 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). The primers for human Id1 [23], are forward: AGAACCGCAAGGTAGCCAA and reverse: CCAACTGAGGTCCTGTAGTAG. The primers used for β-actin were the same as we used previously [24], and are forward: GTAGGGCAGCTCAGCTCTT and reverse: GCCATGTACGTTGCTATCCA. All samples were run in duplicate.

**HMVEC chemotaxis to Id1**

HMVECs (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, MD, USA) were maintained in growth factor complete endothelial basal media (EBM) supplemented with 5% FBS. Cells were between passages 7 and 10, and did not display discernable phenotypic changes when observed before each assay. Cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2. HMVEC migration in vitro was tested using a modified 48-well Boyden chemotaxis chamber (Neuroprobe, Cabin John, MD, USA). HMVECs (1 × 10⁶ cells/ml EBM + 0.1% FBS) were plated in the bottom wells of the chambers with a polyvinylpyrolidone-free polycarbonate filter (8-μm pore
size; Nucleopore, Pleasant, CA, USA). The chambers were inverted and incubated in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2/95% air at 37°C for two hours, allowing HMVECs to attach to the membrane. The chambers were inverted again and Id1 was added at different concentrations, with PBS, or basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, 60 nM) used as negative and positive controls, respectively. After incubation for two hours at 37°C, the membranes were removed, fixed in methanol for one minute, and stained with Diff-Quick (VWR International, West Chester, PA, USA). Cell migration was determined in quadruplicate and analyzed in three high-power 40X fields per well. The experiment was performed four times. Data are expressed as the number of cells migrating per well.

**Signal inhibited chemotaxis assay**

To determine which kinases were required for Id1 mediated HMVEC chemotaxis, cells were incubated with chemical signaling inhibitors. HMVECs were pre-incubated with chemical signaling inhibitors for one hour prior to the assay, and the inhibitors were present in the lower chamber with the HMVECs during the assay. The following inhibitors were purchased from and used at concentrations recommended by Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA, USA): PD98059 (10 μM Erk1/2 inhibitor), PDTC (100 μM nuclear factor kappa B (NFkB) inhibitor), LY294002 (10 μM PI3K inhibitor), SB203580 (10 μM p38 MAPK inhibitor) and PP2 (1 μM Src inhibitor).

**Matrigel tube formation assay**

Matrigel tube formation assay using growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences) was performed. HMVECs were seeded in Labtek chamber slides on growth factor reduced Matrigel (Becton Dickinson, Bedford, MA, USA) at a density of 1.6 × 10^4 cells per chamber. The test substances used were rhuId1 (1 and 10 nM), bFGF (60 nM, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA; positive control) and PBS (negative control). The treated HMVECs (1.8 × 10^4 cells/400 μl) were plated on Matrigel in the presence of Id1, bFGF or PBS for six hours at 37°C. Photographs (100×) were taken and tubes were counted by a blinded observer. Tubes were defined as elongated connecting branches between two identifiable HMVECs. SFs were diluted 1:100 with PBS. Matrigel tube formation assay was performed using SFs and PBS. Photographs (100×) were taken and tubes were counted by a blinded observer.

**RA ST SCID mouse chimera**

The backs of six- to eight-week-old SCID mice (NIH/NCr) were shaved and graft beds prepared (1 × 1 × 0.05 cm). A single graft was implanted per animal (approximately 0.5 cc). Human RA STs were implanted and transplants sutured while mice were anesthetized. Grafts were allowed to “take” and used at approximately four weeks after surgery when animals did not experience gross evidence of inflammation other than the anticipated neovascularization. Once grafts took, 2.5 × 10^5 fluorescently dye-tagged (PKH26 dye, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) EPCs were injected i.v. into mice while they were receiving simultaneous intragraft injections of RA SF (100 μl) that was either “sham” immunoneutralized with non-specific IgG or immunoneutralized with the specific antibody to human Id1. For some experiments, RA ST SCID mouse chimeras were injected with 2.5 × 10^5 fluorescently dye-tagged human EPCs while receiving simultaneous injections of either human Id1 (10 nM) or PBS (100 μl). EPCs were allowed to circulate for 72 hours. Grafts were then harvested, cryosectioned and examined using a fluorescence microscope. Human RA ST grafts as well as murine organs, such as lymph nodes (LNs), spleen, kidney, heart, lung, liver and brain, were harvested at the time of sacrifice. These tissues were examined for non-specific EPC recruitment to non-targeted tissues to ensure that adoptively transferred EPCs were recruited only to the engrafted synovium. All engrafted STs, as well as various organs, were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until further processing.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Data were analyzed using a Student’s t-test. P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

**ELISA for Id1 and CXCL16 on SFs**

Id1 is expressed and secreted in SFs, and can be measured in RA, OA and other disease SFs (two gout, one seronegative spondylitis, and one lupus SF). As shown, Id1 is elevated in RA compared to OA and other disease SFs (Figure 1A), taken from a patient population around the same point in time to ensure that we controlled for any possible effects on Id1 and CXCL16 concentration measurements from the storage conditions. Similarly for CXCL16, 96-well plates were coated with rabbit anti-human CXCL16 (PeproTech). The identical RA SFs were used for Id1 and CXCL16 measurements for the correlation studies. We found that soluble Id1 highly correlates with CXCL16 in RA SF (Figure 1B), indicating that CXCL16 and EPC migration are linked in RA SF (Pearson’s correlation coefficient r = 0.75; n = 20).

**mRNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from stimulated (tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) or CXCL16) or non-stimulated HMVECs and EPCs. The data are presented as fold
increases compared to non-stimulated (NS) ECs that serve as the control. TNF-α did not affect Id1 mRNA in EPCs, but significantly reduced the number of Id1 transcripts in HMVECs compared to NS HMVECs (Figure 1C). In addition, there was a significant reduction of Id1 transcripts between HMVECs and EPCs stimulated with TNF-α. We also found significantly elevated Id1 mRNA expression in EPCs compared to HMVECs when cells were stimulated with CXCL16, and that CXCL16 up-regulates Id1 expression in EPCs, but not HMVECs, indicating that CXCL16 and Id1 are associated at the level of transcription in EPCs (Figure 1D).

**Figure 1** Id1 and CXCL16 are linked in RA SF and at the level of transcription in EPCs. An ELISA was developed to detect Id1 in synovial fluids (SFs) taken from rheumatoid arthritis (RA), osteoarthritis (OA) and patients with other arthritic disorders, including gout, seronegative spondyloarthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. **A** The ELISA showed that Id1 was found in SFs of other diseases (n = the number of patients = 4, 13.8 (mean) ± 0.9 (standard error of mean (SEM)) ng/ml) and was significantly higher in RA SFs (n = 13, 19.4 ± 1.3 ng/ml), and OA SF (n = 9, 14.9 ± 1.4 ng/ml), all of which were P < 0.05 (n = number of patients). **B** CXCL16 was measured in the same samples as Id1, and correlated with Id1 expression in RA SF (r = 0.75 Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient (P < 0.05, n = number of patients). **C** Total RNA was isolated from human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (HMVECs) and endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs). Following isolation, RNA was quantified and checked for purity using a spectrophotometer and made into cDNA and amplified in a thermocycler (40 cycles). As shown, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) did not affect Id1 mRNA levels in EPCs, and reduced the number of Id1 transcripts in HMVECs. **D** CXCL16 stimulation elevated Id1 mRNA expression in EPCs but not HMVECs, indicating that CXCL16 and Id1 are associated at the level of transcription in EPCs but not mature ECs (n = number of independent experimental replicates).

**Histology for Id1 was performed on RA, OA and NL ST sections**
Id1 is highly expressed in the vasculature of RA ST, but less so in OA or NL ST, suggesting that the microenvironment of the RA joint either facilitates Id1 expression or is favorable to EPC migration (Figure 2A). The results are graphed and show that Id1 is clearly present on a higher percentage of ECs in RA compared to OA and
NL ST (Figure 2B). Id1 and vWF can be seen in all tissues, but the highest amounts of both vasculature and Id1 expression can be seen in RA compared to OA and NL ST (shown embedded in yellow; see arrow; Figure 2C). Images were taken at 400× and merged. The percentage of Id1 positive tubes was calculated and expressed in the graph. Significantly higher percentages of Id1 expressing tubes were identified in RA compared to OA and NL ST, indicating that vasculogenesis due to EPC migration to synovium is elevated in RA synovium (Figure 2D).

Figure 2 IF was performed on RA, OA, and NL ST sections: A) For IF histology, samples were incubated with mouse anti-human Id1 antibody then followed with a fluorescent conjugated secondary antibody. Samples were stained with DAPI (blue) and images merged. Id1 expression is clearly shown in green (images were taken at 400×). IHC was also performed on RA, OA, and NL ST sections as described. As shown, Id1 could be seen up-regulated in RA vascular tissue by IF staining and IHC. B) The percentage of cells expressing Id1 were analyzed on ECs with a significantly higher percentage of Id1 expressing ECs in RA compared to NL and OA ST (n = number of patients). C) For merged IF histology, samples were incubated with mouse anti-human Id1 and rabbit anti-human vWF antibodies. This was followed by fluorescent conjugated secondary antibodies and images were merged. Id1 expression is clearly shown in red and vessels as defined by vWF expression are shown in green (images taken at 400×). Merged vessel regions shown in yellow are due to Id1 expressing EPCs. D) The percentages of Id1 positive tubes in each of the tissues were calculated and graphed. Id1 containing vasculature was significantly higher in RA compared to NL and OA ST (400×, n = number of patients).
HMVEC chemotaxis assay
HMVEC chemotaxis assays to rhuId1 (1 to 100 nM) were performed. Readings represent the number of cells migrating through the membrane (the sum of three high-power fields (400×)/well, averaged for each quadruplicate well). Id1 displayed potent chemotactic activity for HMVECs at the three doses tested (1, 10 and 100 nM), but was most active at 10 nM (Figure 3A). We examined HMVEC signaling pathways in response to Id1 using signaling inhibitors and performed HMVEC chemotaxis assays at the peak concentration of Id1 chemotactic activity (10 nM). We found that PDTC (NFκB inhibitor) and Ly (PI3K inhibitor) significantly reduced HMVEC migration towards Id1. The other inhibitors used (PD, MAPK inhibitor; SB, p38 inhibitor; PP2, Src inhibitor) had no effect upon Id1 HMVEC chemotaxis (Figure 3B).

Capillary morphogenesis assay shows that Id1 is angiogenic
HMVECs formed tubes to Id1 at 10 nM, which was the peak concentration for HMVEC chemotactic activity (Figure 4A). We then measured Id1 in the SFs pre- and post-Id1 neutralization, and as shown, anti-Id1 antibody effectively neutralized Id1 activity in the SFs. RA SF depleted of Id1 showed less HMVEC tube forming activity compared to “sham”, IgG depleted SFs. Photographs (100×) were taken and tubes were counted by a blinded observer (Figure 4B).

EPCs migrate to Id1 in the RA ST SCID mouse chimera
Fluorescently dye-tagged EPCs were administered i.v. into mice receiving simultaneous intragraft injections of RA SF that was either “sham” immunoneutralized with non-specific IgG or immunoneutralized with specific antibody to human Id1. Approximately 50% fewer EPCs migrated to engrafted RA ST injected with RA SF depleted of Id1 compared to sham depleted injected RA SF (Figures 5A, B). RA ST SCID chimeric mice injected intragraft with Id1 (10 nM) compared to PBS had significantly elevated EPC migration to the engrafted RA ST, showing less than 50% fewer EPCs migrating to engrafted RA ST injected with PBS alone (Figure 5C). Also shown is a picture of engrafted RA ST in the SCID mouse chimera showing a viable RA ST graph (Figure 5D).

Id1 expression is elevated in Wt, but not CXCR6−/− K/BxN serum-induced mice
Wt and CXCR6−/− mice were induced with K/BxN serum, joints harvested and tissue sections immunostained for Id1. Day 12 Wt mice show clear expression of Id1 positive ECs, whereas CXCR6−/− mice do not (400×, Figure 6A).

The results are graphed and show that day 0 and 12 Wt mice have Id1 expressing EPCs in joint tissue, but Id1 positive cells were not detected in Day 12 K/BxN serum-induced CXCR6−/− mice (Figure 6B).
Figure 4 (See legend on next page.)
Figure 4 In vitro Matrigel tube formation assay. A) Matrigel tube formation assay using growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences) was performed. The test substances used were recombinant human Id1 (rhuId1) (1 and 10 nM), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) (60 nM, R&D Systems, positive control) and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (negative control). The treated human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (hHMVECs) (1.8 × 10^4 cells/400 μl) were plated on Matrigel in the presence of Id1, bFGF or PBS for six hours at 37°C. Photographs (100×) were taken and tubes were counted by a blinded observer. Tubes were defined as elongated connecting branches between two identifiable HMVECs. A) HMVECs formed tubes to Id1 at 10 nM (the concentration for peak HMVEC chemotactic activity for HMVECs, n = number of independent experimental replicates). B) Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) synovial fluid (SF) was pre-incubated with mouse anti-human Id1 antibody (Abcam) for two hours at 4°C. RA SFs were added in the Protein A agarose beads and were rotated at 4°C overnight. The next day, the samples were spun down and SFs collected. SFs were diluted 1:100 with PBS. We then measured Id1 in the SFs pre- and post-Id1 neutralization, and as shown, effectively eliminated Id1 from the SFs. RA SF depleted of Id1 showed less HMVEC tube forming activity compared to “sham”, IgG depleted SFs. Photographs (100×) were taken and tubes were counted by a blinded observer (n = number of independent experimental replicates).

Figure 5 RA ST SCID mouse chimera. After a four-week engraftment period, mice were injected i.v. with 2.5 × 10^5 fluorescently dye-tagged human endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) while receiving simultaneous intragraft injections of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) synovial fluid (SF) (100 μl) that was either “sham” immunoneutralized with non-specific IgG or immunoneutralized with specific antibody to human Id1. In some experiments, RA synovial tissue (ST) SCID chimeric mice received dye-tagged EPCs while receiving simultaneous intragraft injections of human Id1 (10 nM) or PBS. A) Section from a SCID mouse injected with Id1 or sham immunodepleted RA SF showing significantly elevated EPC migration to the engrafted RA ST injected with “sham” immunodepleted RA SF. B) Approximately 50% fewer EPCs migrated to engrafted RA ST injected with Id1 neutralized from RA SF compared to sham injected RA SF (n = 10 sections from five mice (that is, two sections/mouse)). C) Less than 50% fewer EPCs migrated to engrafted RA ST in chimeric mice when injected intragraft with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) compared to Id1 (n = 10 sections from five mice (that is, two sections/mouse)). D) Engrafted RA ST in the SCID mouse chimera.
Discussion

Neovascularization occurs by one of two mechanisms: angiogenesis, the replication and reorganization of pre-existing microvascular ECs [25], or by vasculogenesis, the recruitment of EPCs that subsequently incorporate into the existent tissues and differentiate into mature functional ECs [26]. However, the lack of a single marker to unambiguously track EPCs has led to several recent studies failing to identify these cells in specific mouse tumor models [10,11,27,28]. As a result, it is argued that EPCs may not be a viable target for RA therapy as these cells have not been found in appreciable numbers in inflamed synovium. However, these same findings raised significant concerns as to whether the same EPC population is being truly monitored in vivo, and has imposed tremendous limitations on the assessment of the biological function of EPCs, as well as their potential use as a therapeutic strategy targeting neovascularure in RA tissues [29].

Notably, RA patients show increased numbers of circulating EPCs that correlate with Disease Activity Scores using 28 joint counts (DAS28), signifying that EPCs are likely elevated and recruited to inflamed tissues for the purposes of synovial vasculogenesis [30]. Additionally, growing evidence has suggested that EPCs contribute to the homeostasis of the physiologic vascular network [5], as well as contribute to vascular remodeling of RA synovium by recruiting BM derived circulating EPCs [20]. We believe that analysis of EPC mediated migration using Id1 as a selective and unique EPC marker may be an intriguing strategy for identifying and targeting EPC vascular integration during the course of active arthritis. Histologic analysis of ST revealed that Id1 is highly expressed in the vasculature of RA ST, but less so in OA or NL ST, suggesting that the micro-environment of the RA joint either facilitates Id1 expression and/or is favorable for EPC migration. We used fluorescence histology to examine the percentage of blood vessels containing
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Figure 6 Id1 expression is elevated in Wt, but not CXCR6−/− K/BxN serum-induced mice. Wild type (Wt) and CXCR6−/− mice were induced with K/BxN serum and joint tissue sections were immunostained for Id1. A) Day 12 Wt mice show clear expression of Id1 positive endothelial cells (ECs), whereas CXCR6−/− mice do not. B) The results are graphed and show that day 0 and 12 Wt mice have Id1 expressing endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) in joint tissue, but Id1 positive cells were not detected in Day 12 K/BxN serum-induced CXCR6−/− mice (n = 10 sections from 10 mice for the Wt groups, and n = 10 sections from four mice in the CXCR6−/− group (400x)).
EPCs by staining Id1, and found an elevated percentage of Id1 containing blood vessels in RA compared to OA and NL STs. These findings are in complete agreement with those of Sakurai et al., who showed substantial expression of Id1 and Id3 in RA compared to OA synovium at the protein and transcriptional levels [31].

One of the many interesting features of Id1 is its ability to not only inhibit genes related to cell maturity and growth, but to equally repress inhibitors of angiogenesis. Previous studies showed that Id1 regulates angiogenesis through transcriptional repression of thrombospondin-1 [32]. It was subsequently shown that Id1 can also repress p21 expression to control EPC growth and maturation in the BM. Because of the ability of Id1 to down-regulate expression of these potent repressors, it was reported that Id1 can function as an effective pro-angiogenic mediator produced by EPCs and pluripotent stem cells [33]. This idea was reinforced by reports identifying Id1 and Id3 as negative regulators of pluripotent stem cell maturation [34], and supported the notion that Id1 is uniquely expressed in progenitor cells. These findings also pointed to Id1 as a selective marker for progenitor cells that could be used to identify EPCs in tissues characterized by extensive vascular remodeling. Gao et al. subsequently showed that it was possible to identify, track and target BM-derived EPCs in vivo using a mouse model of pulmonary metastasis by way of Id1 expression. The authors went on to show that targeting EPCs in this way blocked EPC mobilization, caused angiogenesis inhibition, impaired the spread of metastasis, and increased the survival of tumor bearing mice [9,29].

We surmised that Id1 could also be used to identify EPCs in RA tissues, and examined if Id1 could be expressed and secreted as well as exhibit angiogenic activity after exiting the cell. We show that Id1 can be secreted, is highly expressed in RA SF, and can be correlated with CXCL16 expression. Indeed, approximately 56% of the variability of CXCL16 in RA SFs can be accounted for by Id1, which is relatively large considering the numerous angiogenic factors in the RA joint [35]. This indicates that CXCL16 (therefore, CXCR6 expressing cells) is linked with Id1 expression (therefore, EPCs) in RA tissues. We measured Id1 in RA SFs and compared this to the levels found in OA SFs as well as SFs from patients with other diseases. The OA SFs serve as non-inflammatory, non-autoimmune controls for the RA SFs. Although not ideal, we do not have access to NL SFs as these are not available. For this reason, we have used OA SFs for comparison of soluble pro-inflammatory mediators (for example, cytokines and chemokines) in many previous studies [14]. It should also be noted that the heterogeneity of the SFs from the “other disease” group was intended to show that the Id1 levels in OA SFs and SFs from a diverse patient population can be used together to verify that Id1 is uniquely elevated in RA SF, and can be correlated to RA SF CXCL16 expression.

Ling et al. previously reported that Id1 protein can be regulated by TNF-α in prostate cancer cell lines. They found that exposure to TNF-α in two different cell lines (DU145 and PC-3) resulted in a rapid and significant down-regulation of Id1 protein [36]. We show that Id1 mRNA transcripts can be detected in HMVECs and EPCs, and that CXCL16, but not TNF-α, can up-regulate Id1 expression in EPCs. It is important to point out that although we found Id1 mRNA in both HMVECs and EPCs, it was only actively transcribed in EPCs upon CXCL16 stimulation. Id1 mRNA expression in mature cells, such as HMVECs, is likely due to the remarkable stability of Id1 mRNA, over eight-fold higher than comparable mRNAs in induced pluripotent stem cells [37]. We also found that TNF-α destabilized Id1 mRNA in HMVECs, but not EPCs, consistent with previous reports [36]. This raises the possibility that TNF-α and CXCL16 activate specific mRNA binding proteins in ECs and EPCs, that may bind 3’ untranslated regions (UTRs) effecting Id1 mRNA stability, in a similar way to that showed previously with granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and ionophore in 3D10 cells [38]. It is tempting to speculate that as a progenitor cell begins to mature in the RA synovium, locally expressed cytokines, such as TNF-α and CXCL16, may affect Id1 stability and expression, thereby permitting the EPC to mature and incorporate into the existing vasculature in the RA joint.

We next examined the possibility that secreted Id1 could recruit HMVECs in vitro. Id1 effectively recruits HMVECs in a dose-dependent manner that can be inhibited by NFκB and PI3K signaling inhibitors. This demonstrates that mature ECs actively bind Id1 (indicating a receptor), and induce signaling pathways. HMVECs also respond to Id1 in a Matrigel tube forming assay. At the same concentrations, we observed chemotaxis (1 and 10 nM), HMVECs made extensive networks of tubes in response to Id1. In addition, diluted RA SF also had a similar effect on HMVECs in Matrigel, but was reversed with removal of Id1 in four out of five RA SFs examined. To further explore the possibility that Id1 was a potent mediator of vasculogenesis, we looked at its ability to recruit EPCs to RA ST in the SCID mouse chimera system. We show that Id1 is a potent recruitment factor for EPCs, and that RA SF depleted of Id1 lost approximately 50% of its EPC recruitment activity in vivo.

To date, stromal derived factor-1α (SDF-1α)/CXCL12 and its receptor CXCR4 have been acknowledged to be
the primary ligand-receptor pair for EPC chemotactic activity. However, the expression of SDF-1/CXCL12 is comparatively much lower in RA SF to that of CXCL16 [14,39]. Interestingly, a Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorter (FACS/flow cytometry) study reported high percentages (96 ± 2%; mean ± SEM) of primary BM-derived murine MSCs expressing CXCR6, but not CXCR4, on their cell surface. Notably, CXCR6 and CXCR4 were equally expressed on a high proportion (95 ± 1%) of human BM derived MSCs [17]. With this in mind, we stained the joint tissues of Wt and CXCR6−/− K/BxN serum-induced mice for Id1. We initially found that Day 0 Wt mice show low levels of EC staining for Id1, which was elevated by Day 12. However, Day 12 CXCR6−/− mice had significantly reduced arthritis and vasculature (data not shown) and completely lacked EC staining for Id1, showing that Id1 and the CXCL16-CXCR6 ligand-receptor pathway are linked and work together to recruit EPCs from the BM to the synovium.

Before use in the K/BxN serum transfer arthritis studies, the CXCR6−/− mice were backcrossed onto the C57BL/6 background for more than 10 generations, making the C57BL/6 mouse the natural control for these experiments. We found that healthy mice bred on the C57BL/6 background do not express appreciable amounts of Id1. We should also note that the expression of Id1 is generally very low in joint tissues in the K/BxN serum transfer arthritis model, apart from peak arthritis times (days 5 to 12). This is likely because it takes significant time for vascular to develop in joint tissues of arthritic mice, even when using a potent acute model of arthritis like K/BxN. This is due in part because BM derived EPCs are required to migrate to the joints and become embedded into the developing microvasculature. This is the reasoning behind looking at Day 12 of arthritis induction (that is, close enough to peak arthritis but before the joint swelling/inflammation resolves).

We recently showed a correlation among CXCR6 expression, arthritis development and angiogenesis in mice using the K/BxN serum transfer model. We showed that deletion of CXCR6 (the only known receptor for CXCL16) prevents arthritis development, severity and joint tissue vascularity in mice in response to K/BxN serum [40]. Using the same mice and model, we now show that Id1 is markedly down-regulated in the joints of mice lacking CXCR6. In our previously published study, we demonstrated that the CXCR6-CXCL16 receptor-ligand pair is actively involved in recruiting EPCs to RA ST [40]. Because EPCs express CXCR6 and respond to CXCL16 in vivo, we hypothesized that Id1 expressing EPCs could be found in the neovasculature of inflamed joint tissue, and that this process could be inhibited by disruption of the CXCR6-CXCL16 receptor-ligand pair.

We believe that studies such as this will lead to a better understanding of the mechanisms that regulate EPC recruitment and differentiation into mature ECs. EPC recruitment processes that regulate neovascularization are relevant to diverse clinical scenarios, from inhibiting RA and tumor progression to replenishing the blood supply of ischemic hearts. In some of these disease states, such as myocardial damage, the favorable end goal is to find means to enhance the contribution of EPCs to new blood vessel formation, with the desired result of revitalizing the blood supply to damaged and imperfectly functioning tissues. In the case of tumorigenesis and RA, the opposite effect (that is, inhibiting or preventing EPC dependent vascular expansion), in an effort to starve the affected tissues and thus delay or reverse expansion of invasive tissues, is the preferred effect. With a clearer understanding of the biological underpinnings that guide EPCs to the microcirculatory beds of inflamed or angiogenic tissues, we might be able to take advantage of EPC homing in RA by targeting EPC chemokine receptors, such as CXCR6, or by using such cells as vehicles for the delivery of biotoxins or of gene therapy agents that have anti-inflammatory activity, cause neovessel obliteration, and/or suppress synovial proliferation. We show herein that CXCL16 and Id1 are linked and central to EPC recruitment in RA. We also show that Id1 can be secreted and induce angiogenic activity in mature ECs (HMVEC). This indicates that Id1 is not only self-regulatory in EPCs, but after secretion, can induce potent angiogenic responses.

**Conclusion**

Our data indicate that Id1 can be secreted into the RA SF and correlate with CXCL16 expression. In addition, CXCR6−/− arthritic mice have markedly reduced Id1 expression in the K/BxN serum transfer model of arthritis. We also found that Id1 is potently angiogenic, and can be up-regulated in HMVEC and EPCs by TNF-α and, especially, CXCL16. These results indicate that CXCL16 can be highly correlated with Id1 expression, and that Id1 is active in EPC recruitment and blood vessel formation in the RA joint.
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