Concomitant Double Tumors of Myxopapillary Ependymoma Presented at Cauda Equina-Filum Terminale in Adult Patient
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A 32-year-old man presented with gradually increasing bilateral buttock pain. He had intermittent claudication. Multiple, homogeneously enhanced intradural extramedullary lesions at L2-L3 and L5-S1 levels were observed on magnetic resonance imaging. The tumors were debulked and were removed in piecemeal pattern until they had completely been resected. Histopathological examination of the surgical specimens confirmed that both tumors were myxopapillary ependymomas (MPE). MPE presenting as concomitant double tumor at conus-cauda-filum level are very rare. This kind of presentation could not be directly considered as dissemination, since both tumors were in the site of classical origin of MPE. Ten cases of double spinal MPEs have been reported to date. Including the present case, analysis of the 11 patients revealed some facts. There is a male predominance, which is opposite to the ependymomas that are commonly observed in females. Median age at presentation is 15 years. Most pronounced symptom is low back pain that sometimes radiates to lower extremities. Surgical approach was aimed in all tumors, which could be succeeded in all tumors except one. Adjacent radiation therapy was applied in 5 patients. No recurrences have been reported after surgery or surgery + radiotherapy regimens.
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INTRODUCTION

Glial cells are composed of astrocytes and ependymal cells. Astrocytes supply structural support of the central nervous system whereas ependymal cells line the ventricular system. Tumors arising from both cell lines compose most of the spinal intramedullary tumors. Myxopapillary ependymoma (MPE) is a subtype of ependymoma, which is exclusively observed at conus medullaris, cauda equina, and filum terminale.¹⁷

Concomitant double tumor presentation of MPE at conus-cauda-filum level is very rare. To date, 10 cases have been presented¹⁷-¹⁰,¹⁶,¹⁷,²¹. Herein, we report a new case of MPE presented as double tumor in noncontiguous segments of lumbar-sacral spinal column. We discuss clinical, radiological, pathological aspects and treatment modalities of these tumors.
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CASE REPORT

A 32-year-old man presented with gradually increasing bilateral buttock pain for the last 3 months. He had intermittent claudication. At examination, neither motor nor sensory disturbances were observed. Deep tendon reflexes were normal. No history of infection or trauma was present. The plain radiographs did not reveal any abnormalities. Magnetic resonance imaging showed multiple intradural extramedullary lesions with homogenous enhancement at L2-L3 and L5-S1 levels (Fig. 1). Whole cranium and spine were searched for any other lesions, which could be observed except the ones we have already detected at the lumbosacral region. L2 and L5 laminectomy were performed at the same session. Both lesions were observed as rounded, soft and reddish-gray colored. The lesions were completely encapsulated by thin fibrous capsules with a well-delimitated cleavage plane. The tumors were removed in piecemeal pattern until they were completely resected under the surgical microscope. Following resection and hemostasis, the dura was repaired in watertight fashion. Postoperative imaging confirmed total resection of both lesions (Fig. 2). Histopathological examination of the surgical specimens confirmed that both lesions were MPEs (Fig. 3). No adjuvant therapies were performed. At 1-year follow-up his neurological status remained stable.
Fig. 1. One lesion at L2–L3 level and other lesion at L5–S1 level is observed through the tight filum terminale on T2-weighed magnetic resonance imaging scan (A). (B) Homogenous gadolinium enhancement is observed in both pathologies.

DISCUSSION

MPEs are World Health Organization grade I (low-grade) ependymomas originating mostly from the filum terminale or the terminal ventricle. For this reason MPEs are detected as solitary tumors more commonly at conus-cauda-filum levels. Intracranial, cervical and thoracic region lesions are considered as CSF dissemination of MPEs, yet reverse situations have also been reported. Tumor dissemination is basically caused by violation of tumor capsule of MPEs caused by piecemeal tumor removal, traumatic spinal tap, spinal trauma, spinal instrumentation, and tumor hemorrhage.

MPE is iso-intense on T1- and hyper-intense on T2-weighted MRI with heterogenous imaging properties on T2-weighted scans. These heterogeneities correspond to hemorrhages and calcifications present in the tumor.

MPE is composed of cellular areas harboring rosettes and pseudorosettes intermingled with papillary regions, which have vascular cores in mucoid matrix. MPEs sometimes coexist with spinal dysraphisms.

MPEs presenting as concomitant double tumors at conus-cauda-filum level are very rare. This kind of presentation could not be directly considered as dissemination, since both tumors are in the site of classical origin of MPEs. Including the present case, analyses of the 11 patients have revealed some facts. There is a male predominance (male:female = 6:1; sex was not mentioned for 4 patients), which is opposite to the ependymomas which are commonly observed in females.

Median age at presentation is 15 years (range, 7–39 years; age was not mentioned for 4 patients). This depicts that concomitant double presentation of MPE is mostly observed in children and young adults. Most common symptom is low back pain, sometimes radiating to lower extremity(ies). Surgical approach was aimed in all tumors, which was successful in all tumors, except one. Adjuvant radiation therapy was performed in 5 patients. In the first patient, both tumors had been resected en bloc, yet MIB-1 index was high (9.1%). Whole craniospinal radiotherapy was given to the patient.
Table 1. Double presentation of myxopapillary ependymomas of conus medullaris, cauda equine, and filum terminale

| Source                          | Age/sex | Presentation                              | Location               | Treatment                                                                 | Follow-up (yr) | Outcome       |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Gelabert-González et al. 8) (2001) | 15/F    | Low back and left lower extremity pain    | L2-L3, S3             | Total resection of both lesions                                          | 0.5            | No recurrence |
| Hallacq et al. 9) (2003)        | 13/M    | Low back and bilateral lower extremity pain | L2-L3, S2             | Total resection of both lesions                                          | 5              | N/A*         |
| Nakama et al. 17) (2005)        | 7/M     | Low back pain, dysuria                    | L1-L2, S2             | Total resection of both lesions                                          | 1              | No recurrence |
| Andoh et al. 2) (2011)          | 39/M    | Bilateral buttock pain, intermittent claudication | L2-L3, L5-S1          | Total resection of both lesions + adjuvant radiotherapy                   | 3.5            | No recurrence |
| McLaughlin et al. 19) (2011)    | 28/M    | Low back pain                             | L3-L4, L5-S2          | Total resection of one lesion, subtotal resection of the other lesion + adjuvant radiotherapy for the residual tumor | 0.9            | No recurrence |
| Salomão et al. 21) (2014)       | 14/M    | Low back and bilateral lower extremity pain | T12-L3, S1-S2         | Total resection of both lesions                                          | 2              | No recurrence |
| Khalatbari et al. 10) (2015)    | 4 Patients (age and sex, N/A) | Low back pain (all patients), lower extremity radiculopathy (3 patients), urinary incontinence (1 patient) | N/A (3 patients had 2 lesions, 1 patient had 3 lesions) | 6 Tumors removed en bloc; 3 lesions removed gross totally in piecemeal fashion, radiotherapy was applied to 3 patients | 6†            | No recurrence |
| This case                      | 32/M    | Bilateral buttock pain, neurologic claudication | L2-L3, L5-S1          | Total resection of both lesions                                          | 1              | N/A*         |

N/A, not available.
*Family or patient declined postoperative follow-up magnetic resonance imaging. †Average value.

In the second patient, the second tumor could be excised partially, and local radiotherapy was given to the residual tumor bed. Khalatbari et al. 10) operated 4 patients (6 tumors were removed en bloc; 3 tumors were removed gross totally in piecemeal fashion) and gave adjuvant radiotherapy to 3 patients. Average follow-up time was 3.4 years without any recurrence in any case.

MPEs have 3.6 times shorter time to metastasis compared to other type of low-grade ependymomas. Dissemination is more commonly seen in young patients with ependymomas. So, it is not clear yet if concomitant double tumor presentation is due to different primary tumors or dissemination one from the other one. The second lesions have mainly been observed at the lumbosacral junction, which might be due to drop metastasis from the rostral lesion.

MPEs histologically have low malignancy level. However, tumor dissemination is observed in 33% of patients with MPE at 5-year follow-up. So, long-term follow-up should be conveyed in every patient with a diagnosis of MPE, even in cases with aggressive therapy. Good prognosis is expected in 70% of the patients with appropriate treatment without considering total resection as a must.

CONCLUSION

Concomitant double presentation of myxopapillary ependymoma is a rare entity with a good prognosis; however long term follow-up is necessary to observe tumor progression in a timely fashion.
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