Molecular Evidence of *Rickettsia felis* in *Phereoeca* sp.
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Abstract

*Rickettsia felis* is an obligate intracellular bacterium capable of infecting ticks, fleas, lice, and other arthropods. This bacterium is classified as a member of the Transitional Group (TRG) *Rickettsia*. It is known the evidence of *R. felis* mutualistic and obligatory relationship with some eukaryote organisms. However, there aren't scientific accounts of *R. felis* and moths of the order Lepidoptera association. The current work reports the first identification of the bacteria *R. felis in Phereoeca* sp. For that, a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay using *gltA*, *ompA*, and *ompB* genes was used. The nucleotide sequences showed 100% of identity with other *Rickettsia felis* sequences. The genus-level identification of the moth larvae was performed by morphological taxonomic keys and PCR analysis of the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene. The nucleotide sequenced showed 94.94% similarity with the species *Phereoeca praecox*. However, with the low number of sequences deposited in the databases, the species was classified as *Phereoeca* sp. The results suggest that *R. felis* may develop in an organism without blood-feeding behavior (Lepidoptera), as it has been demonstrated for booklice (*Psocoptera*). Further investigation is necessary in order to confirm pathogenic or mutualistic association with moths.
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Resumo

*Rickettsia felis* é uma bactéria intracelular obrigatória capaz de infectar carrapatos, pulgas, piolhos e outros artrópodes. Essa bactéria é classificada como um membro do Grupo de Transição (TRG) *Rickettsia*. Há evidência de que *R. felis* está relacionada a alguns organismos eucariotos em um relacionamento mutualístico e obrigatório. No entanto, nenhum relato científico mostra alguma relação entre *R. felis* e traças da ordem Lepidoptera. O presente trabalho relata a primeira identificação da bactéria *R. felis* em *Phereoeca* sp. Para isso, empregou-se um ensaio de reação em cadeia da polimerase (PCR) utilizando-se os genes *gltA*, *ompA* e *ompB*. As sequências nucleotídicas mostraram 100% de identidade com outras sequências de *Rickettsia felis*. Utilizando-se chaves taxonômicas morfológicas e análise por PCR do gene da citocromo oxidase I (COI) foi feita a identificação em nível de espécie da forma jovem das traças. O nucleotídeo sequenciado mostrou 94,94% de similaridade com a espécie *Phereoeca praecox*. Entretanto, com o baixo número de sequências depositadas nos bancos de dados, a espécie foi classificada como *Phereoeca* sp. Os resultados sugerem que *R. felis* pode se desenvolver em um organismo sem alimentação de sangue (Lepidoptera), assim como tem sido demonstrado para a espécie *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (*Psocoptera*). Mais investigações são necessárias para confirmar uma possível associação patogênica ou mutualística com traças.
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**Introduction**

*Rickettsia felis* (Azad et al., 1992) is an obligate intracellular bacterium capable of infecting ticks, fleas, lice, and other arthropods. This bacterium is an emerging pathogen with worldwide occurrence, classified as a Transitional Group (TRG) *Rickettsia* (Gillespie et al., 2007, 2018; Shpynov et al., 2018), although this classification is not completely accepted (Merhej & Raoult, 2011).

*R. felis* is known as the causative agent of flea-borne spotted fever (Bouyer et al., 2001), which bacterial life cycle includes transovarial transmission, through fleas of the species *Ctenocephalides felis* (Labruna, 2009; Parola et al., 2009), infecting vertebrates hosts, mainly cats and dogs, showing cases of acute febrile illnesses for humans (Richards et al., 2010). In Africa, some studies have associated *R. felis* with patients that presented fever of unknown origin, being the infection diagnosed by PCR amplification of target genes for *R. felis* (Blanton & Walker, 2017; Parola, 2011). However, there is an intense debate about its pathogenicity for humans (Labruna & Walker, 2014).

Currently, there is evidence that some non-hematophagous organisms have associations with *R. felis*, for example, the booklouse *Liposcelis bostrychophila* (Insecta: Psocoptera), whose relationship with the bacterium is mutualistic and obligatory (Behar et al., 2010; Thepparit et al., 2011; Yusuf & Turner, 2004). Genetic diversity was found between three different strains of *R. felis* isolates: *R. felis* str. LSU-Lb, present within *L. bostrychophila* colony (Thepparit et al., 2011); *R. felis* str. LSU, in *C. felis* colony (Pornwiroon et al., 2006); and *R. felis* str. URRWXCaI2, also isolated from *C. felis* (Ogata et al., 2005). Based on data obtained from Gillespie et al. (2014), the strains associated with fleas (*C. felis*) have a genetically highly divergent evolutionary history, despite sharing a familiar ancestral relative with the strain associated with booklouse (*L. bostrychophila*). Moreover, the transmission (vertical or horizontal) of rickettsial species has an important role whether the bacteria will be involved in a mutualistic or parasitic interaction. Another feature found in the study is that all three *R. felis* genomes have the pRF plasmid, however, the strain associated with booklouse exhibit an additional unique plasmid, pLbaR (association between *L. bostrychophila* and *Rickettsia* bacteria), not found in other Rickettsiales genomes. These analyses suggested that potential host specialization resulted from a genetic divergence, including evidence of host-specific strain variation (Gillespie et al., 2014; Brown & Macaluso, 2016). However, no scientific accounts reported a relationship between *R. felis* and moths of the order Lepidoptera.

The moths belong to the order Lepidoptera, Tineidae family, household casebearer moths, feed on wool, cotton, silk, and other fabrics. The young form of the moths are larvae with a case built by themselves that serves for the pupal cocoon. The larvae can feed on remains animals in humid and dark places.

The current work reports, by molecular approach, the presence of the bacteria *R. felis* in *Phereoeca* sp. (Gozmany &Vari, 1973) with the genus-level identification of the larvae, suggesting that the bacteria may be found in a non-blood-feeding organism.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample collection**

A total of 50 household casebearer moths were collected in the larval stage in the city Ponte Nova/Minas Gerais, Brazil (20.4155 S 42.9026 W), in a residential environment. They were washed with water, maintained in 70% ethanol, and frozen at -20°C until molecular biology analysis.

**DNA extraction, quantification, and quality**

Household casebearer moths were removed from 70% ethanol and separated into ten 1.5 mL tubes, each containing five individuals in the larval stage. For extraction of DNA, the phenol-chloroform method (Billings et al., 1998) was used, modified as described. First, moths were washed with hypochlorite solution (1%) mixed by vortexing, discarding the hypochlorite after this step. Posteriorly, they were washed with 70% ethanol, mixed by vortexing, and discarded the solution. The samples were washed three times with ultrapure water, mixing and discarding the water after each wash. A 200 µL lysis buffer (NaCl 0.1M; TRIS-HCl 0.21M pH 8; EDTA 0.05M and SDS 0.5%) were added and the samples was triturated with a microtube plastic pestle. The mixture was placed in a water bath for 30 min at 37°C. After this step, 20 µL of proteinase K (20 mg/mL) was added, incubating the mixture overnight at 55°C. After incubation, 200 µL phenol was added and the tubes mixed by inversion for 5 min before centrifugation at 14,000xg for 2 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and 100 µL phenol and 100 µL chloroform/
isoamyl alcohol (4:1) were added to each tube, mixed by inversion and centrifuging at 14,000xg for 2 min, transferring the supernatant to a new tube. This step was repeated eight times to ensure maximum deproteinization due to the cocoon and other impurities. After deproteinization, 200 μL chloroform/isoamyl alcohol was added, and the sample was centrifuged at 14,000xg for 2 min transferring the supernatant to a new tube. The DNA was precipitated with half of the volume of sodium acetate 7.5M and two volumes of 100% ethanol, incubating overnight at -20°C. After this step, the samples were centrifuged at 14,000xg for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was washed with 400 μL 70% ethanol by inversion before centrifugation at 14,000xg for 10 min. The ethanol was discarded and evaporated, while the remaining pellet was resuspended in 50 μL ultrapure water.

The quality of DNA extraction was verified in 1% agarose gel using 5 μL DNA of each sample extracted. The results were visualized under UV light (L·PIX photodocumentary system – Loccus Biotechnology, Brazil). The quantification was performed using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) at 230, 260, and 280 nm, and the ratio results A260/230, A260/280, and concentration were annotated. The samples were maintained frozen at -20°C to preserve the biological material for the next analysis.

**Genus *Rickettsia* detection by PCR and sequencing**

For genus *Rickettsia* screening in household casebearer moths, PCRs were performed with the extracted DNA samples. For the traditional PCR analysis, the target citrate sintase (*gltA*) gene was chosen using the primers CS-78 (5’ – GCAAGTATCGGAGGATGTAAT – 3’) (forward) and CS-323 (5’ – GCTTCCTTTAAATGTCAATGAGC – 3’) (reverse) that amplify a 401 bp fragment for the all species of the genus *Rickettsia* (Labruna et al., 2004). In addition, for each sample was done a set of PCR using the primers 120-M59 (5’ – CCGAGGGTTGTAATGTC – 3’) (forward) and 120-807 (5’ – CTTTTTAGATTACCGCCTAA – 3’) (reverse) targeting fragments of the genes *ompB* that amplify a 862 bp fragment (Roux & Raoult, 2000); the primers Rr190.70p (5’ – ATGCGGAATTTCTCTCAAAA – 3’) (forward) and Rr190.602n (5’ – AGTGCAGACCTGCTCCCCCT – 3’) (reverse) targeting fragments of the genes *ompA* that amplify a 532 bp fragment (Regnery et al., 1991).

The PCR was performed in a 25 μL reaction mixture containing 12.6 μL ultra-pure water, 2.5 μL Taq 10x buffer, 2.5 μl of 2mM dNTP, 1.5 μl of 1.25 mM MgCl₂, 1.5 μl of each primer (10 μM), 0.4 μL DNA polymerase and 2.5 μL of the DNA sample. For positive control, a sample with *R. rickettsii* and for the negative control was used ultra-pure water. The amplification was performed in a DNA thermocycler (Biosystems - Biocycler MJ25+, Brazil) under conditions described in Table 1.

PCR products were analyzed on 1.0% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/L), using five μL of each product and the molecular weight of 100 bp. Positive samples were purified and concentrated using the Purelink™ PCR purification kit (Invitrogen Corp., USA), according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Sequencing was performed in Macrogen Inc. (South Korea) according to the recommended protocol. The sequences generated were viewed and analyzed with the software Chromas Lite v2.01 (Huang & Madan, 1999). After removal of low-quality sequences, consensus sequences were obtained using the CAP3 sequence assembly program using the files analyzed from software Chromas Lite v2.01. The new sequence was deposited in the GenBank database and compared with others using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST).

**Table 1. Amplification program to the PCR.**

| Gene | *gltA* | *ompA* | *ompB* |
|------|--------|--------|--------|
| Denaturation | 5' | 95°C | 5' | 95°C | 3' | 95°C |
| Cycles | 40 | 35 | 40 |
| Melting | 30” | 95°C | 20” | 95°C | 30” | 95°C |
| Annealing | 30” | 48°C | 30” | 72°C | 30” | 50°C |
| Extension | 30” | 72°C | 2 min | 72°C | 1’ 30” | 72°C |
| Final extension | 7” | 72°C | 7” | 72°C | 7” | 72°C |
| Expected Fragment | 401 bp | 532 bp | 862 bp |
| Reference | Labruna et al. (2004) | Regnery et al. (1991) | Roux & Raoult (2000) |
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Phylogenetic analysis

Multiple sequence alignment was performed using MEGA version 7 (Kumar et al., 2016), which sequence obtained was analyzed by Clustal W (gap opening = 15, extension = 6.66, delay divergent = 30%, transition weight = 0.5 and DNA weight matrix = IUB) with other sequences of *Rickettsia* species deposited in the NCBI. After alignment, trees were constructed using two algorithms: Maximum Likelihood (phylogeny reconstruction statistical method) and Neighbor-Joining (distance method) (Saitou & Nei, 1987). Maximum Likelihood method was based on the following parameters: Tamura-Nei substitution model (Tamura & Nei, 1993); substitutions type = nucleotide; rates among sites = uniform rates; gaps/missing data treatment = complete deletion; ML heuristic method = Nearest-Neighbor-Interchange (NNI); initial tree for ML = initial tree automatically (Default – Nj/BioNJ); and branch swap filter = none. The Neighbor-Joining tree was constructed using the following parameters: Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura & Nei, 1993); substitutions to include = d: Transitions + Transversions; rates among sites = uniform rates; pattern among lineages = same (homogeneous); and gaps/missing data treatment = complete deletion. In both phylogeny test, the bootstrap method was used with 1,000 replicates.

Morphological identification of moths by using published taxonomic keys

Partial identification and classification were made by running the taxonomic keys (Hinton, 1956; Carter, 1984; Gilligan & Passoa, 2014).

Moths’ PCR identification and sequencing

PCR was done with the DNA samples extracted from moths. For the traditional PCR analysis, the target cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene was chosen using the primer pair LCO1490 (5’ – GGTCAACAATCATATAAGATATTGG – 3’) and HCO2198 (5’ – TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA – 3’) that amplify a 658 bp fragment (Folmer et al., 1994).

PCR was performed in a 25 μL reaction mixture containing 12.6 μL ultra-pure water, 2.5 μl Taq 10x buffer, 2.5 μl of 2mM dNTP, 1.5 μL of 1.25 mM MgCl$_2$, 1.5 μl of each primer (10 μM), 0.4 μL DNA polymerase, and 2.5 μL of the DNA sample. For negative control, ultra-pure water was used.

Amplification was performed in a DNA thermocycler (Biosystems - Biocycler MJ25+) following an initial cycle of 1’ at 94°C; five cycles on 1’ at 94°C, 1.5’ at 50°C and 1.5’ at 72°C; 35 cycles of 1’ at 94°C, 1.5’ at 50°C and 1’ at 72°C; finishing with a final cycle of 5’ at 72°C (Hebert et al., 2003).

The PCR products were analyzed on 1.0% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/L), using five μL of each product and the molecular weight of 100 bp. Positive samples were purified and concentrated using the Purelink™ PCR purification kit (Invitrogen Corp., USA), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Sequencing was performed by Myleus Biotechnology (Brazil) according to the recommended protocol. The sequences generated were viewed and analyzed with the software Chromas Lite 2.01 (Huang & Madan, 1999). After removal of low-quality sequences, consensus sequences were obtained using the CAP3 sequence assembly program using the files analyzed from software Chromas Lite v2.01. The new sequence was deposited in the GenBank database and compared with others using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST).

Phylogenetic analysis of household casebearer moths

Multiple sequence alignment was performed using MEGA version 7 (Kumar et al., 2016), which sequence obtained was analyzed by Clustal W (gap opening = 15, extension = 6.66, delay divergent = 30%, transition weight = 0.5 and DNA weight matrix = IUB) with other sequences of *Phereoeca* species deposited in the NCBI. After alignment, trees were constructed using two algorithms: Maximum Likelihood (phylogeny reconstruction statistical method) and Neighbor-Joining (distance method) (Saitou & Nei, 1987). Maximum Likelihood method was based on the following parameters: Tamura-Nei substitution model (Tamura & Nei, 1993); substitutions type = nucleotide; rates among sites = uniform rates; gaps/missing data treatment = complete deletion; ML heuristic method = Nearest-Neighbor-Interchange (NNI); initial tree for ML = initial tree automatically (Default – Nj/BioNJ); and branch swap filter = none. The Neighbor-Joining tree was constructed using the following parameters: Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Tamura & Nei, 1993); substitutions to include = d: Transitions + Transversions; rates among sites = uniform rates; pattern among lineages = same (homogeneous); and gaps/missing data treatment = complete deletion. In both phylogeny test, the bootstrap method was used with 1,000 replicates.
Results

A total of five pools of ten household casebearer mouths (n=50) were analyzed. One pool sample showed PCR product of the expected size for gltA using the primers CS-78 and CS-323, indicating the genus *Rickettsia*. For the primers 120-M59, 120-807, Rr190.70p, and Rr190.602n, no PCR products were obtained. Nucleotide sequence was analyzed by BLAST, showing 100% of identity with other *Rickettsia felis* sequences (MG952933.1; KY172878.1; KY172869.1, KY172875.1). This sequence was deposited in the GenBank with accession number KF015600.1, named *Rickettsia felis* isolate UFV1 citrate synthase (gltA) gene, partial CDS.

A phylogenetic tree was constructed based on other species as *R. akari*, *R. amblyommatis* (new classification is *R. amblyommatis*), *R. rickettsii*, *R. typhi* and *R. prowazekii*. The obtained sequence was grouped within other sequences of *R. felis* obtained from NCBI, which showed 99% bootstrap support into the same clade to the Maximum Likelihood tree (Figure 1A) and 87% bootstrap support in the same clade to the Neighbor-Joining tree (Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Phylogeny tree of *R. felis* found in household casebearer moths. Twenty-five sequences of different genus *Rickettsia* bacteria were used to compare the relation between our sequence and other deposited in GenBank. The names of *Rickettsia* species sequences are described in each strain with the bootstrap number. (A) Maximum Likelihood and (B) Neighbor-Joining.
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The morphological identification of moths through taxonomic keys showed that the moths of the order Lepidoptera are inserted into the Tineidae family (Carter, 1984; Gilligan & Passoa, 2014). The larvae phase has a case made of silk, loose or fixed, open at both ends, dragging them as they move. The household casebearer moths without secondary bristles and dotted bristle warts; showing three thoracic segments and ten abdominal segments (Figure 2A-1), without abdominal glands; prothorax with prespiracular spire (L) separate from ribcage or undeveloped (Figure 2B-1); with three pairs of short abdominal prolegs (extra legs) with hooks arranged in a circle or penelipse and legs in the thoracic region (Figure 2A-2); a prothorax with prespiracular bristle (L) about twice as far from spiracles (Figure 2B-1, 2); and head with none, six or two stemmata on each side (simple or ocelli eyes) (Figure 2A-4).

*Phereoeca* genus presents a flat and fusiform case that opens at both ends. It consists of silk, sand, insect droppings, and arthropod remnants added to the outside of the structure (Hinton, 1956). The larval presents dark-colored head, prothorax, mesothorax, and metathorax; with white-colored abdominal segments and proleg in the tenth segment is attached inside the case to facilitate the locomotion and weak body bristles.

The molecular identification of moths showed PCR product of the expected size for primers LCO1490 and HCO2198, targeting cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene (Folmer et al., 1994). This sample was sequenced and obtained by the nucleotide sequence analyzed by BLAST, showing 94.94% of similarity with *Phereoeca praecox* (KY575118.1). Due to the low number of sequences deposited in the databases, the species was classified and deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MH540351.1, named *Phereoeca* sp. isolate the UFV1 cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene, partial CDs; mitochondrial.

The phylogenetic tree was based on other *Phereoeca praecox* and *Phereoeca uterella*. The sequence was grouped within other sequences of *Phereoeca praecox* obtained from NCBI, showing 99% bootstrap support into the same clade to the Maximum Likelihood tree and Neighbor-Joining tree (Figure 3).

![Figure 2](image-url) Morphological identification of larval stage. (A) schematic drawing of the larvae structures of the Tineidae family with thoracic and abdominal segments (1), abdominal and thoracic legs (2), prothorax (3) and the head with ocelli eyes (4) (Carter, 1984); (B) the prespiracular pinaculum (1) and the prothoracic spiracle (2) (Gilligan & Passoa, 2014).
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**Discussion**

The present study reports for the first time the *R. felis* in the household casebearer moths. Interestingly, the species identified, *Phereoeca* sp., does not have a hematophagous behavior. This suggests that during the larval stage, the household casebearer moths may feed on animal remains or infected fleas’ feces. Such a feature may have approximated the moths of remains that were contaminated with the bacteria, whose recent studies have related *R. felis* to several hosts that do not feed on blood (Behar et al., 2010; Thepparit et al., 2011; Legendre & Macaluso, 2017).

Moths of the Lepidoptera order (Linnaeus, 1735) were found in a home environment with dogs and other hosts of the flea *Ctenocephalides canis*, one of the main vectors of *R. felis*. The propagation of this bacteria occurs by transovarial transmission through fleas (Parola et al., 2009) which predominantly parasite cats and dogs, being widespread to vertebrate hosts through blood-feeding or fleas’ feces (Azad et al., 1997). However, there is a need of laboratory experiments ensuring a controlled environment of infection with *R. felis* to elucidate two attractive hypotheses: 1) if the presence of the bacterium in moths is maintained naturally, indicating a possible mutualistic interaction, or; 2) only presents an accidental infection with moths feeding on dead fleas or feces infected. Although *R. felis* strain LSU-Lb has mutualist and obligatory relationship with *L. bostrychophila*, its potential transmission to vertebrates needs to be evaluated (Gillespie et al., 2014).

Molecular identification of *R. felis* after sequencing showed high similarity with other sequences in the GenBank grouped in the same clade, showing 99% bootstrap to the Maximum Likelihood tree and 87% bootstrap support in the same clade to the Neighbor-Joining tree. The morphological identification of the moth using keys was not enough for species-level identification. The family Tineidae and the *Phereoeca* genus were identified using taxonomic keys (Carter, 1984; Gilligan & Passoa, 2014) and the literature (Hinton, 1956). PCR was used to improve the identification, whose species found after sequencing had 94% of identity with *P. praecox*. However, with the low number of sequences deposited in the databases, the species was classified as *Phereoeca* sp. The molecular identification of *Phereoeca* sp. after sequencing showed high similarity with other sequences in the GenBank grouped in the same clade, showing 99% bootstrap to the Maximum Likelihood tree and the Neighbor-Joining tree.

**Conclusion**

The current work showed, in first hand, moths containing *R. felis*, an unusual invertebrate host. Due to the lack of reports of this interaction, it is necessary to study the mechanism that spreads this bacterium to a non-hematophagous host, to clarify if the contact is accidental or; *R. felis* is a mutualistic bacterium in moths or; *R. felis* is potential pathogenic to vertebrates, sharing the same habitat with moths like demonstrated herein.
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