Surface $\mu$ Heavy Chain Signals Down-Regulation of the V(D)J-Recombinase Machinery in the Absence of Surrogate Light Chain Components
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Abstract

Early B cell development is characterized by stepwise, ordered rearrangement of the immunoglobulin (Ig) heavy (HC) and light (LC) chain genes. Only one of the two alleles of these genes is used to produce a receptor, a phenomenon referred to as allelic exclusion. It has been suggested that pre–B cell receptor (pre-BCR) signals are responsible for down-regulation of the VDJ$_H$-recombinase machinery (Rag1, Rag2, and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase [TdT]), thereby preventing further rearrangement on the second HC allele. Using a mouse model, we show that expression of an inducible $\mu$HC transgene in Rag2$^{-/-}$ pro–B cells induces down-regulation of the following: (a) TdT protein, (b) a transgenic green fluorescent protein reporter reflecting endogenous Rag2 expression, and (c) Rag1 primary transcripts. Similar effects were also observed in the absence of surrogate LC (SLC) components, but not in the absence of the signaling subunit Ig-$.5, VpreB1/2$, or the entire SLC, the TdT protein is down-regulated in $\mu$HC$^{+}$LC$^{-}$ pre–B cells. Surprisingly, $\mu$HC without LC is expressed on the surface of pro–/pre–B cells from $\lambda5^{-/-}$, VpreB1/2$^{-/-}$VpreB2$^{-/-}$, and SLC$^{-/-}$ mice. Thus, SLC or LC is not required for $\mu$HC cell surface expression and signaling in these cells. Therefore, these findings offer an explanation for the occurrence of HC allelic exclusion in mice lacking SLC components.
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Introduction

B lymphocytes develop from hematopoietic progenitors, a process that follows a sequence of cellular stages during which Ig variable regions of the heavy chain (HC) and light chain (LC) loci are rearranged from a pool of DNA segments (1). Checkpoints along this developmental line ensure that only cells expressing functionally rearranged Ig genes proceed in differentiation (2). At the transition from the pro–B to the pre–B stage, cells are screened and selected for surface expression of a signaling-competent pre–B cell receptor (pre-BCR). The pre-BCR consists of a $\mu$HC pairing with the surrogate LC (SLC) components $\lambda5$ and VpreB1/2 in association with the signaling module Ig-$/\beta$ (3). Cell surface expression of the pre-BCR induces clonal expansion (4). However, this is abolished in gene-targeted mice with either mutated or lacking pre-BCR components (for review see reference 3).

Furthermore, signals transduced through the pre-BCR are also implicated in maintaining allelic exclusion at the Ig HC locus by preventing further rearrangement of the second, DJ$_H$-rearranged allele. This is presumably achieved by shutting down the recombination machinery (e.g., the Rag genes; reference 5) and closing of the remaining Ig HC allele during subsequent LC rearrangement. Interestingly, Ig HC allelic inclusion is observed in mice in which the transmembrane region of $\mu$HC has been mutated ($\mu$mT), demonstrating that the membrane-form of the Ig HC is crucial for allelic exclusion (4).
exclusion to occur (6). In contrast, all mouse models in which components of the SLC have been deleted still display allelic exclusion at the level of surface Ig expression (7–9).

Several hypotheses have been put forward to explain this inconsistency. It was suggested that premature rearrangement and expression of κLC in a small number of cells could "rescue" B cell development and Ig HC allelic exclusion (10). The observation that some μHCs can associate with VpreB alone (11) led to the hypothesis that this pre-BCR–like complex could signal allelic exclusion in the absence of VpreB. However, the observation that allelic exclusion is still maintained in VpreB1/−/VpreB2/− double-deficient and VpreB1−/−/VpreB2−/−λ5−/− mouse pairs with other, yet undefined partners resulting in a signaling complex could substitute for some, but not all, pre-BCR–specific functions.

To achieve a greater understanding of the mechanisms contributing to allelic exclusion, especially in SLC-deficient mice, we asked whether de novo synthesis of a tetracycline-controlled transgenic mouse would affect the expression of the cellular recombination machinery (Rag1/2 and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase [TdT]) in the presence or absence of either λ5 or VpreB1/2. The same question was also investigated in mice lacking the entire SLC (SLC−/−). In addition, we have analyzed the cellular location and composition of putative signaling complexes in these same mice.

Materials and Methods

**Animals.** Double transgenic Ig-tTA/tet-μ mice on a Rag2−/− (tet-μHC), Rag2−/−λ5−/− (tet-μHC λ5−/−), or Rag2−/− VpreB1−/−/VpreB2−/− (tet-μHC VpreB1−/−/VpreB2−/−) genetic background, as well as VpreB1−/−/VpreB2−/− and VpreB1−/−/VpreB2−/−λ5−/− (SLC−/−) mouse lines have been described previously (4, 8, 9), tet-μHC, Rag2−/−/λ5−/− mice were bred with mice deficient for Ig-α (12) to create mice deficient for all these genes. NG-BAC transgenic mice were provided by M. Nussenzweig (The Rockefeller University, New York, NY; reference 13). All mice were bred and maintained under pathogen-free conditions in the animal facility of the Nikolaus-Fiebiger-Center or the Babraham Institute. Genotypes were confirmed by PCR and/or flow cytometric analysis (NG-BAC). Transgenic mice were treated with 100 µg/ml tetracycline hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in drinking water for a minimum of 7 d before BM preparation.

**Sorting and Culturing of BM-derived B Lineage Cells.** Pro–B cells were isolated from BM single cell suspension using anti-CD19–coated magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec) following the manufacturer’s instructions or by sorting green fluorescent protein* (GFP) cells (NG-BAC) using a MoFlo™ high-speed sorter (DakoCytomation). Pro–B cells were cultured on γ-irradiated stromal cells in the presence of IL-7. For SLC−/− mice, MACS-sorted CD19+ BM cells were cultured for 2 d in the presence of Tet, split, and recultured for an additional 18 h in the presence or absence of Tet on γ-irradiated stromal cells in the presence of IL-7. For SLC−/− mice, MACS-sorted CD19+ BM cells were cultured for 4 d on γ-irradiated stromal cells in the presence of IL-7 in RPMI 1640 and supplemented with 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol, antibiotics, and 10% FCS.

**Antibodies and Flow Cytometric Analysis.** Antibodies for surface stainings include: PE-conjugated anti-CD19 mAb, FITC- or PerCP™-conjugated anti-B220 mAbs, PE-conjugated anti–c-kit, and anti–CD25 mAbs (BD Biosciences).

For intracellular stainings, cells were fixed and permeabilized using the FIX & PERM® kit (Caltag Laboratories) and the following antisera: FITC- or CyTMS-conjugated polyclonal goat anti–mouse IgM (µH chain specific) and FITC- or CyTMS-conjugated polyclonal donkey anti–rabbit IgG (Dianova); FITC-conjugated anti–κL-chain and anti–λL-chain mAbs (BD Biosciences); polyclonal rabbit anti–TdT (Superfects); and polyclonal rabbit anti–κU70 (DPC Biemann).

**RNA Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH).** The Rag1 cDNA fragment was amplified by PCR using the following primers: 5′-AGTGGGCTCTGGATCATGCCTA-3′; and 5′-ATGGATTTCCTGAGAACCTCTGG-3′. The PCR product was cloned into the pCR2.1 vector (GIBCO™; Invitrogen). To prepare probes for RNA FISH, the plasmid was first linearized by restriction enzyme digest, either 5′ or 3′ of the insert for preparation of antisense and sense probes. Thereafter, RNA was synthesized using T7 or T3 RNA polymerase as instructed using the MEGAscript™ High Yield Transcription Kit (Ambion), followed by RT-PCR amplification using p(dN)7 random primers and digoxigenin-dUTP.

RNA FISH was performed as described by Gribnau et al. (15) with the exception that the hybridization mix contained 50% formamide. Before application to the slides, the hybridization mix containing the probes was denatured at 85°C. Antibody detection of labeled probe was preceded by a 45-min incubation of the slides with TSB (0.1 M Tris, 0.15 M NaCl, and blocking reagent; Roche Diagnostics Ltd.) at room temperature. Probe was detected using the following antibodies that were diluted in TSB and each incubated with the slides for 45 min at room temperature: sheep anti-DIG (Roche Diagnostics Ltd.), FITC-labeled rabbit anti–sheep, and goat anti–rabbit (Calbiochem-Novabiochem). Images were examined by microscope under oil with a 100× objective.

**Enzymatic Amplification Staining.** Membrane pre-BCR components were detected by enzyme amplification staining (EAS) using the Flow-Amp™ kit for cell surface molecules stained by biotinylated primary antibodies (Flow-Amp Systems, Ltd.). EAS staining achieves signal enhancement with enzymes that catalyze the deposition of labeled molecules onto the cell surface. Although the label binds covalently to any cell surface protein, the deposition is specific because it is proximity controlled by specific antibody–dependent binding to a targeted cell surface molecule. Abs used for this method include: biotin-conjugated polyclonal goat anti–mouse IgM (µH chain specific; Sigma-Aldrich), biotin-conjugated rat mAbs R6-60.2 (anti–mouse IgM), SL156 (anti–mouse pre–B cell receptor), and LM34 (anti–mouse A5; BD Biosciences). Hybridomas producing rat mAbs VP245 and R5 (anti–mouse VpreB) were provided by F. Melchers (University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; reference 16) and M.D. Cooper (University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL; reference 17). These two mAbs were purified from hybridoma supernatants on protein G–Sepharose, biotinylated using standard procedures, and tested.
by flow cytometry on the pre–B cell line NFS5. Biotin was revealed using PE-streptavidin purchased from BD Biosciences. After EAS surface staining, cells were incubated with propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) to exclude dead cells from analysis. Fluorescence of cells in the lymphocyte gate was determined on a four-color FACSCalibur™ instrument (BD Biosciences), and data files were analyzed using FlowJo™ software (Tree Star, Inc.) or CellQuest™ (BD Biosciences).

Results

TdT Is Down-regulated in Pre–B Cells after De Novo Expression of μHC in the Absence of either λ5 or VpreB1/2.

During V(D)J recombination, nontemplate-derived nucleotides (N regions) are added at the segment joints through the activity of the enzyme TdT (18). It is highly expressed in pro–B cells where Ig HC genes are rearranged, but is sharply down-regulated at the pre–B cell stage when cytoplasmic μHC protein is expressed (19). Thus, although N regions are found in the majority of Ig HC rearrangements, they are uncommon in Ig LC joints of adult murine B cells (20). Expression of transgenic μHCs in Rag1−/− pro–B cells and μHC constructs transfected into pro–B cell lines both resulted in a similar decrease in TdT expression, suggesting that its down-regulation is induced by the pre-BCR (21).

To confirm a causal connection, we took advantage of a tetracycline-controlled μHC transgene that can be expressed in the absence of endogenous V(D)J rearrangements, called tet-μHC (4). In these mice, μHC expression is completely suppressed, and B cell development is blocked at the pro–B cell stage after treatment with tetracycline in the drinking water for 7 d (4). CD19+ BM pro–B cells from these mice were cultured on stromal cells in the presence of IL-7. Expression of the transgenic μHC was induced by omitting tetracycline. After 24 h, the cells were harvested, stained for intracellular μHC and TDT expression, and analyzed by FACS®. Fig. 1 A demonstrates that μHC positive cells (−Tet) showed a marked decrease in TdT protein level compared with μHC negative cells (−Tet). Surprisingly, after μHC induction (−Tet), a similar, though less pronounced down-regulation of TdT was observed in cells that lack the SLC components λ5 or VpreB1/2, and, hence, cannot express a complete pre-BCR complex. Parallel staining for Ku70, an ubiquitously expressed protein involved in V(D)J recombination, as well as general DNA repair (22), revealed no decrease in μHC

Figure 1. TdT is down-regulated in pre–B cells after de novo synthesis of transgenic μHC in the absence of λ5 or VpreB1/2. (A) CD19+ BM cells were isolated by MACS from tet-μHC, tet-μHC λ5−/−, and tet-μHC VpreB1−/−VpreB2−/− mice that had received Tet in the drinking water for 7 d and cultured on stromal cells in medium containing IL-7 in the presence (top) or absence (bottom) of Tet. After 24 h, cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained for cytoplasmatic μHC expression in combination with TdT, Ku70, or βGal (isotype control). Fluorescence was determined by flow cytometry. Numbers within the gates represent mean fluorescence intensities for βGal, TdT, and Ku70, respectively. (B) CD19+ BM cells were isolated by MACS from tet-μHC λ5−/− Ig-α−− mice that had received Tet in the drinking water for 7 d and cultured on stromal cells in medium containing IL-7 in the absence of Tet. After 48 h, cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained for cytoplasmatic μHC expression in combination with TdT or βGal (isotype control). Numbers within the gates represent mean fluorescence intensities for βGal and TdT, respectively.
positive cells, confirming the specificity of μHC-mediated TdT down-regulation in the absence of λ5 or VpreB1/2. Comparable results were obtained with cells cultured in the absence of IL-7 (unpublished data). Thus, de novo expression of μHC is causally involved in the down-regulation of TdT and, unexpectedly, de novo expression of a μHC can signal the down-regulation of TdT in the absence of the pre-BCR.

To further analyze whether the signal transduction molecule Ig-α is involved in the unexpected down-regulation of TdT after expression of a μHC transgene in the absence of λ5, tet-μHCA5−/−Ig-α−/− mice were generated. Comparable levels of TdT were found in μHC+ and μHC− cells 11, 24, and 48 h after transgene induction (Fig. 1 B and not depicted). We conclude that the signaling subunit Ig-α is necessary for the μHC-induced down-regulation of TdT in the absence of a functional pre-BCR.

**TdT Is Down-regulated in μHC-expressing BM Pre–B Cells in the Absence of SLC Components and Conventional LC.** To further investigate the unexpected down-regulation of TdT expression in the absence of the pre-BCR, we analyzed wild-type mice that express a polyclonal μHC repertoire as well as mice deficient in all SL chain components. We compared TdT levels of pro–B and pre–B cells in recombination competent wild-type, VpreB1−/−VpreB2−/−, and SLC−/− mice. For this purpose, four-color flow cytometric analyses were performed on CD19+ BM cells. B lineage cells were stained for surface c-kit or CD25, followed by fixation and permeabilization to detect cytoplasmic μHC and nuclear TdT. Antibodies specific for κ and λLC were also included to exclude cells expressing surface or intracellular LC.

In wild-type mice, as expected, most c-kit+μHC− pro–B cells showed high levels of TdT expression, whereas
most c-kit<sup>+</sup>μHC<sup>+</sup> cells had down-regulated TdT (Fig. 2 A, top), presumably because they already express the pre-BCR (23). As expected, in VpreB<sup>1−/−</sup>VpreB<sup>2−/−</sup> and SLC−/− mice, most c-kit<sup>+</sup>μHC<sup>+</sup> cells were positive for TdT (Fig. 2 A, middle and bottom). However, in contrast with wild-type cells, TdT levels stay elevated in most c-kit<sup>+</sup>μHC<sup>+</sup> cells from both VpreB<sup>1−/−</sup>VpreB<sup>2−/−</sup> and SLC−/− mice.

In mouse BM, CD25 is a marker for pre-B cells (24) and, in wild-type mice, it has been shown that these cells express μHC, but are negative for TdT mRNA (5). The data shown in Fig. 2 B (top) confirm these findings at the protein level. As described previously (8, 9), a small but distinct population of CD25<sup>+</sup> BM cells is detected in both VpreB<sup>1−/−</sup>VpreB<sup>2−/−</sup> and SLC<sup>−/−</sup> mice. As shown in Fig. 2 B (middle and bottom), these cells are μHC positive and, most importantly, negative for TdT. This population of μHC<sup>−</sup>LC−TdT<sup>−</sup> cells can also be detected in λ<sup>5−/−</sup> mice (unpublished data). Together, these data show that μHC expression mediates down-regulation of TdT also in the absence of λ<sub>5</sub>, VpreB<sub>1</sub>/2, or the entire SLC and conventional LC.

**Induction of μHC in Pre-B Cells Mediates Down-Regulation of Rag Expression in the Absence of λ<sub>5</sub>.** Although TdT is dispensable for Ig rearrangements, Rag1/2 complexes are crucial for execution of the initial steps of V(D)J recombination. Rag1/2 gene expression is down-regulated in large pro-B cells that display the pre-BCR on the cell surface (5). Surface expression of the μHC in complex with SLC (pre-BCR) is believed to be mandatory for this down-regulation and, thereby, might be implicated in allelic exclusion by preventing further rearrangement on the DJ-rearranged allele. Because allelic exclusion is still maintained in all SLC knockout mice (7–9), we analyzed the effect of transgenic μHC induction on Rag1/2 expression in the presence or absence of λ<sub>5</sub>.

For this purpose, we used tet-μHC and tet-μHCA<sub>5−/−</sub> mice carrying a bacterial artificial chromosome that encodes the Rag2 gene regulatory sequences, but encodes a GFP reporter instead of Rag2 (NG-BAC). The GFP reporter was shown to reflect the documented patterns of Rag expression (13). GFP<sup>+</sup> BM cells from tetracycline-treated tet-μHC mice (pre–B cells) were isolated by flow cytometry cell sorting and cultured on stromal cells in medium containing IL-7 without Tet. At 24, 48, and 72 h, cells were fixed and stained for cytoplasmic μHC expression. Fluorescence intensities for μHC versus GFP were analyzed by FACS<sup>®</sup>. Numbers printed within the gates indicate mean fluorescence intensity for GFP.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3.** Expression of μHC in pre–B cells mediates down-regulation of a transgenic GFP reporter reflecting endogenous Rag2 expression in the absence of λ<sub>5</sub>. GFP<sup>+</sup> BM cells were isolated from tet-μHC and tet-μHC λ<sub>5−/−</sub> mice carrying a bacterial artificial chromosome that encodes a green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter instead of Rag2 (NG-BAC) and cultured on stromal cells in medium containing IL-7 without Tet. At 24, 48, and 72 h, cells were fixed and stained for cytoplasmic μHC expression. Fluorescence intensities for μHC versus GFP were analyzed by FACS<sup>®</sup>. Numbers printed within the gates indicate mean fluorescence intensity for GFP.

Interestingly, comparison of GFP levels in μHC positive and μHC negative cells 48 and 72 h after the conditional expression of the μHC in cells lacking λ<sub>5</sub> shows that Rag2 reporter gene expression is down-regulated to a greater extent in μHC positive cells (Fig. 3, right). This result indicates that Rag2 down-regulation might be mediated by μHC, but does not depend on formation of a complete pre-BCR.

Transgenic μHC expression is already detectable 12 h after withdrawal of Tet (4), but Rag-driven GFP down-regulation was not observed until 48 h. Therefore, to determine directly whether μHC mediates termination of Rag transcription and whether this has already taken place after 12 h, RNA FISH was performed (15). The advantage is that this technique allows the detection of RNA produced at the site of transcription (i.e., at individual alleles, in single cells [nuclei]). For this, we made a probe that specifically detects Rag1 primary transcripts (Fig. 4 and not depicted). As a control, we used a probe that detects CD45, which is expressed on both pro–B and pre–B cells and, hence, is independent of μHC. The CD45 gene is biallelically transcribed (27). Numbers of nuclei showing 0, 1, or 2 foci of transcription were counted (>150 nuclei per slide). Nuclei with one CD45 probe signal may reflect an oscillating transcriptional status of this gene (28, 29). We assume that this is also the case for the Rag gene because a
comparable number of nuclei (5–10%) were observed with only one detectable CD45 or Rag1 signal. Therefore, percentages of nuclei with one or two signals were combined.

As shown in Fig. 4, in tet-μHC cell cultures in the absence of μHC expression (+Tet), 54% of pro–B cells contained signals for Rag1 primary transcripts. In contrast, 12 h after induction of μHC (−Tet), this percentage was reduced to 28%. This decrease of 48% corresponded well with the proportion of cytoplasmic μHC+ cells present in the culture (43%). In tet-μHCA5−/− cell cultures, a similar decrease of cells with Rag1 signals (from 48 to 27%) was observed after μHC induction (−Tet). As with the tet-μHC cell cultures, the 44% decrease in the absence of A5 was similar to the proportion of cytoplasmic μHC+ cells (36%).

As expected, the expression of μHC had no effect on the percentage of nuclei with CD45 primary transcripts, independent of genotype (unpublished data). Thus, the percentage of cells that express μHC is similar to the percentage of nuclei in which Rag1 transcription is terminated. Therefore, we conclude that μHC expression causes down-regulation of Rag1 transcription. Furthermore, this is independent of A5 and, hence, a complete pre-BCR.

Transgenic μHC Detected on the Surface of Pre–B Cells in the Absence of LC and A5 or VpreB1/VpreB2. Our findings that μHC mediates down-regulation of TdT and Rag1/2 in the absence of SL components raised the question of how the responsible signals are generated. It is thought that incomplete pre-BCRs are retained in the ER through binding to the Ig HC binding protein BIP (30). Because the various SLC-deficient mice still show HC allelic exclusion (7–9), it has been suggested that an ER-resident μHC complex may still be able to signal. However, a pre-BCR, apparently has to be released from the ER to efficiently bind Src-related protein tyrosine kinases and gain signaling capacity (31). Therefore, we favor the hypothesis that a signaling competent pre-BCR-like complex is expressed on the cell surface in the absence of A5 and/or VpreB1/2.

To allow detection of low levels of surface μHC complexes, we used biotinylated Abs in combination with EAS. We analyzed surface expression of transgenic μHC and SLC on CD19+ BM cells from tet-μHC and tet-μHC A5−/−, and tet-μHC VpreB1−/−VpreB2−/− mice. Cells were isolated and expanded on stromal cells in medium containing IL-7 and Tet for 48 h, washed, and recultured for 18 h in the presence (Fig. 5 A, shaded) or absence (Fig. 5 A, unshaded) of Tet. Expression of the transgenic μHC in the absence of Tet was confirmed by parallel cytoplasmic staining for μHC (unpublished data).

As expected, A5 and VpreB was detectable on cells from tet-μHC mice cultured in the presence of Tet (μHC+; reference 16), whereas surface μHC and pre-BCR was only detected on cells cultured in the absence of tetracycline (Fig. 5 A, top, unshaded). Remarkably, after induction of its expression, μHC was also detected on the surface of cells lacking either A5 or VpreB1/2 (Fig. 5 A, middle and bottom, unshaded). Comparable results were obtained with a different mAb (R6–60.2)-recognizing μH chain (unpublished data). It has been suggested that VpreB can associate with μHC in the absence of A5 (11). However, using two different mAbs (17), we were unable to detect VpreB on the surface of μHC+ cells from A5−/− mice. Interestingly, cells from VpreB1−/−VpreB2−/− mice express low levels of A5 on the surface of μHC− cells, which increased after μHC induction (Fig. 5 A, bottom). Therefore, we assume that A5 can be transported to the cell surface in the absence of VpreB1/2. Although association of A5 with μHC is not essential, it apparently facilitates surface expression. The possibility that a μHC-A5 complex might be formed in the absence of VpreB1/2 is supported by the detection of a complex on the cell surface using the mAb SL156 only after μHC expression. This observation
also indicates that the epitope recognized by the mAb SL156 is formed by \( \mu \)H chain and \( \lambda 5 \) and is not dependent on VpreB1/2. Together, our data show that \( \mu \)H chain can be transported to the cell surface in the absence of \( \lambda 5 \) or VpreB1/2. In cells lacking \( \lambda 5 \), \( \mu \)H chain does not associate with VpreB but in the absence of VpreB1/2, it possibly forms a complex with \( \lambda 5 \).

**\( \mu \)H chain Detected on the Surface of Pre–B Cells from SLC–/– Mice.** To exclude the possibility that only the \( \mu \)H chain transgene used in these experiments can give rise to surface expression and to exclude the possibility that both \( \lambda 5 \) and VpreB are able to support surface \( \mu \)H chain expression independently, we analyzed BM pre–B cells from SLC–/– mice for cell surface expression of \( \mu \)H chain using EAS. BM pro–B cells from Rag–/– mice were used as negative control. As shown in Fig. 5 B, after culturing sorted CD19+ cells for 4 d in vitro, a distinct \( \mu \)H chain positive population was detected in cultures from SLC–/– but not Rag–/– mice. As expected, the SLC–/–-cultured cells did not express \( \lambda 5 \). Furthermore, the \( \mu \)H positive cells did not express either \( \kappa \) or ALCs. Thus, a variety of \( \mu \)HCs can be transported to the cell surface in the absence of the entire SLC.

**Discussion**

The pre-BCR is the central signaling complex during early B cell development. It grants survival, induces clonal expansion, and permits further differentiation of precursor cells (3, 4). However, the most important function attributed to \( \mu \)H chain is in complex with the SLC is to repress further rearrangement, thereby preventing the production of a second functional \( \mu \)H chain within a developing B cell. In the first instance, this is probably accomplished by shutting off the V(D)J recombination machinery, primarily Rag1/2 (5). Simultaneously, TdT is down-regulated, which results in the absence of N-nucleotide additions in LC genes of peripheral B cells (21). Here, we show that not only a complete pre-BCR but also \( \mu \)H chain in the absence of SLC can mediate down-regulation of TdT and Rag1, providing an explanation as to why allelic exclusion is still operating in SLC-deficient mice (7–9), but not in \( \mu \)mT mice (6).

It has been suggested that premature rearrangement and expression of \( \kappa \)LC in a small number of cells can “rescue” B cell development and HC allelic exclusion in the absence of SLC (10, 32). However, it is possible that NCNT in SLC–/– mice will not occur (5). Herein, our results clearly demonstrate that the down-regulation of TdT and Rag1 does not depend on LC expression. First, our experiments using a tetracycline-inducible \( \mu \)H chain transgene were performed in Rag2-deficient mice, providing an explanation as to why allelic exclusion is still operating in SLC-deficient mice (7–9). However, this mechanism would predict N-nucleotide additions in LC genes of peripheral B cells in the absence of SLC–/– and VpreB1/2–/– mice, which is not the case (33). Herein, our results clearly demonstrate that down-regulation of TdT and Rag1 does not depend on LC expression. Second, FACS® analyses of BM cells from SLC-deficient mice revealed a readily detectable population of cells that expresses...
μHC and has down-regulated TdT; these cells were negative for cytoplasmic or surface conventional LC. Therefore, we conclude that at least some μHCs are able to deliver a signal for down-regulation of TdT and Rag1 gene expression in the absence of SLC and conventional LC.

TdT protein was found to be down-regulated in the majority of c-kit+/μHC+ cells from wild-type mice. In contrast, TdT was not down-regulated in these cells in SLC-deficient mice. In wild-type mice, a significant proportion of cells expressing the pre-BCR are still c-kit+ and presumably already cycling (5, 23). Therefore, down-regulation of TdT mRNA levels (5) might rapidly lead to loss of TdT. Therefore already cycling (5, 23). Therefore, the down-regulation of TdT protein during proliferative expansion. However, the μHC does not induce proliferative expansion in SLC-deficient mice, as detected in SLC-deficient mice. In wild-type mice, a significant proportion of cells expressing the pre-BCR are still c-kit+ whereas TdT was not down-regulated in these cells in SLC-deficient mice (36). In SLP-65−/− mice, there is increased μHC expression on the surface of pre-B cells and a novel pre-BCR complex was detected in the absence of A5. Importantly, our results provide evidence for the presence of this incomplete pre-BCR on SLP-65-containing cells as well as on cells that lack all SLC components. We propose that this receptor, as has been shown for the receptor in SLP-65−/− cells, is signaling competent and responsible for the observed down-regulation of the recombination machinery. The absence of a μHC-mediated down-regulation of TdT in A5/Ig-α−/− mice provides further evidence for signaling competence.

It can be speculated that the incomplete pre-BCR−like receptor represents an alternative, possibly rudimentary mechanism to select functional μHCs and facilitate surface expression. Reports of human μHCs that do not require association with SLC for surface transport support this scenario (37). In the absence of SLC, μHCs are believed to be retained in the ER, through binding to the Ig HC binding protein BIP (30). Consequently, there is a requirement for a partner to facilitate exit from the ER. A possible candidate is VpreB3, a protein resident in the ER and able to associate with μHC (38). Alternatively, other hitherto unknown proteins may be essential for this process.

It remains unclear at present whether the incomplete pre-BCR described here has a physiological role in normal pre-B cell development. The SLC genes are highly expressed in pro-B cells and rapidly down-regulated in pre-B cells during clonal expansion (5, 39, 40). Therefore, the degree of proliferation might be controlled, not only by the dilution of SLC with successive cell divisions but also by the half-life of SLC proteins. It is conceivable that an incomplete pre-BCR has a physiological function in normal pre-B cell development. Possibly, this takes place at the stage when conventional pre-BCR is down-regulated, which is observed during clonal expansion of pre-B cells and before LC gene recombination (i.e., at the transition from large to small pre-B cells). This is supported by the observation that the tetracycline-inducible μHC transgene is stably expressed in pre-B cell cultures for a period of at least 4 d, whereas A5, VpreB, and the conformational epitope, recognized by the pre-BCR−specific antibody SL156, were undetectable even by surface EAS staining after only 2−3 d (unpublished data). Interestingly, it has been observed that prolonged survival of pre-B cells in μHC-transgenic, Bcl-2-transgenic Rag2−/− mice allows accumulation of novel precursor B cells in the spleen that showed considerable μHC expression on the cell surface (41).
of these cells are expected to have down-regulated SLCs (42) and, therefore, the μHC might form an incomplete pre-BCR similar to the one described in our studies.

In summary, we provide evidence that in the absence of SLC, μHC can induce down-regulation of the recombinase machinery, and that this effect is dependent on Ig-α. Induction of a μHC transgene in Rag2−/− pro-B cells can mediate down-regulation of TdT, Rag1, and probably Rag2, providing a model that could explain how the mechanisms involved in the establishment of allelic exclusion are still functional in the absence of SLC and, therefore, a conventional pre-BCR.
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