Dynamic friction force in a carbon peapod oscillator
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Abstract

We investigate a new generation of fullerene nano-oscillators: a single-walled carbon nanotube with one buckyball inside with an operating frequency in the tens-of-gigahertz range. A quantitative characterization of energy dissipation channels in the peapod pair has been performed via molecular dynamics simulation. Edge effects are found to be the dominant cause of dynamic friction in the carbon-peapod oscillators. A comparative study on the energy dissipation also reveals the significant impact of temperature and impulse velocity on the frictional force.

Nanoscale fabrication technologies have had a pervasive impact over the past 20 years [1]. One of the manifestations has been in the area of nano-electro-mechanical-systems (NEMS) [2], which broadly refers to the application of nano-fabrication technologies to construct sensors, actuators, and nano-scale integrated systems for a variety of applications. Very recently, Zettl’s group reported that frictional forces are very small, of the magnitude of about \(10^{-22}\) N Å\(^{-2}\), during the controlled and reversible telescopic extension of multi-wall carbon nanotubes [3]. Furthermore, it has been proposed that the transit time for complete nanotube core retraction (on the order of 1–10 ns) implies the possibility of exceptionally fast electromechanical switches [4]. In fact, oscillating crystals have a long history, dating back to 1880 when the piezoelectric effect was discovered by the Curie brothers [5]. Quartz crystal oscillators are widely used to provide regular pulses to synchronize various parts of an electronic system. But a typical crystal is millimetres in size, which could not be integrated directly into a computer chip. Motivated by the observation of Zettl’s group, Zheng and Jiang [4] proposed a new type of nano-oscillator operating completely differently from conventional quartz oscillators. Since then, designing this type of nano-oscillator has been carried out actively. Legoas and collaborators [6] first simulated a 38 GHz nano-oscillator consisting of a (9, 0) carbon nanotube (CNT) inside an (18, 0) CNT. Zhao et al [7] found that off-axial rocking motion of the inner nanotube and wavy deformation of the outer nanotube are responsible for energy dissipation in a double-walled nanotube oscillator.

So far, no successful experimental realization of the bi-tube oscillators has been reported. This is probably due to the considerable amount of energy dissipation, and the difficulty of preparing a bi-tube type oscillator unit from multi-wall carbon nanotubes with high quality. Fortunately, we have effective ways to place buckyballs inside nanotubes. For instance, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) can be synthesized by the pulsed-laser vaporization route, whereby the sublimation of solid C\(_{60}\) in the presence of open SWNTs causes the fullerenes to enter the SWNTs and self-assemble into one-dimensional (1D) chains [8]. Among many proposed interesting applications of peapod structures, one recent nanomechanical resonance study has been performed on...
C60-filled carbon nanotube bundles towards developing next-generation resonating systems [13]. The topic of this paper is another feasible application of the carbon-peapod system. Comparing with bi-tube structure models, here we propose that it is much more practical to replace the inner tube with a buckyball, since the dynamic friction force between two objects is indeed proportional to the area of the overlapping sections from the perspective of modern tribology. Similar carbon-peapod osillators were investigated previously [14, 15], focusing on elastic properties [14] and length-dependent oscillating frequencies [15]. It was also found that the frictional behaviour of the carbon peapod depends on the diameter and chirality of the nanotube [15]. However, little attention has been paid so far to detailed energy dissipation mechanisms in the carbon-peapod oscillators. It is the aim of this paper to investigate energy dissipation channels and the effects of temperature and impulse velocity in the peapod oscillators via molecular dynamics simulation.

The structure model consists of one (10, 10) SWNT of length 50.05 Å with one C60 molecule inside. The edges of the SWNT are passivated with hydrogen atoms. The diameter of the C60 is 6.83 Å, which fits nicely into a (10, 10) tube with a diameter 13.56 Å (see figure 1). The force field used here for sp2 carbon centres was developed by fitting experimental lattice parameters, elastic constants and phonon frequencies for graphite [16]. This force field uses Lennard-Jones 12-6 van der Waals interactions \((\phi = 3.8050, D_0 = 0.0692)\), Morse bond stretches \((R_b = 1.4114, k_b = 720, D_b = 133.0)\), cosine angle bends \((\theta_b = 120, k_{\theta b} = 196.13, k_{\phi b} = -72.41, k_{\phi b} = 68)\), and a twofold torsion \((V_t = 21.28)\), where all distances are in Å, angles are in degrees, and energies and force constants are in kcal mol\(^{-1}\). This force field correctly predicts that the energetically favourable packing for C60 is face-centred cubic and for C70 is hexagonal close-packed (hcp). A more interesting result relevant to this work is the good agreement of the sublimation energy between calculation (40.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 739 K) and measurement (40.1 ±1.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) at 739 K) [16]. This force field is employed here to study the binding energy \(E_b\):

\[
E_b = E_{c60} + \theta_r(10, 10) - E_{(10, 10)} - E_{c60}.
\]  

It is expected that buckyball molecules are more attracted to a SWNT than to each other due to a larger contact area with the SWNTs and, therefore, more carbon–carbon van der Waals interactions. Once a fullerene enters a nanotube, the van der Waals attraction keeps it inside. From our force-field parameters, the binding energy is \(-81.4\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for putting one C60 inside a (10, 10) SWNT, which is consistent with previous results reported by the Girifalco group [17] and Ulbricht et al [11]. However, the reported binding energies by Okada et al [10] (about \(-11.8\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) and the Louie group [12] (about \(-23.1\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) are different from the above results, which is not surprising as it is well known that long-range attractive (London dispersion) interactions are not adequately described by density functional theory (DFT) methods [18] based on the local density approximation and the generalized gradient approximation.

As a preparation, our system is first equilibrated at 120, 180, 240, 300, and 360 K with the NVT dynamics for 30 ps. After an impulse velocity is added to a buckyball, dynamics simulation is carried out with the thermostat only attached to the tube. It is clear that the total energy of the C60@(10, 10) system is not conserved. It is desirable to study the energy dissipation channels that allow energy flow from the buckyball to the tube, and then to the thermostat.

The tube serves as a potential well to confine the motion of the ball. It is easy to estimate the maximally allowed impulse velocity, which is 960 m s\(^{-1}\), using the relation \(v_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{2E_b/m_{\text{c60}}}\). In our simulation, the C60 molecule is given an initial translational velocity of 480 m s\(^{-1}\). Various impulse velocities between 100 and 700 m s\(^{-1}\) have also been applied for \(T = 300\) K in order to study the relation between the dynamic friction force and the initial velocity. Kinetic energy data of the buckyball from the molecular dynamics simulation are smoothed by averaging over every five time periods (see figures 2(a)). As the buckyball moves to the opening end of the tube, it is slowed down until the translational velocity vanishes thanks to the van der Waals attraction between the tube and the ball. At this moment, the potential energy reaches its local maximum, after which the ball returns back to the tube (see figures 2(b) and (c)). The period is 20 ps, corresponding to a frequency of 50 GHz, which is quite encouraging for its potential applications to the nano-fabrication field.

In this system, there are two important channels for energy dissipation: off-axial wavy motion and the edge effect. The former means that the ball moves off-axially inside the tube; the latter refers to the case when the ball moves to the edges of the tube. Macroscopic models of friction between solids dictate that friction is proportional to the normal force, independent of contact area. This is the so-called Amontons’s law. For dynamic friction force, Coulomb’s law states that it is independent of velocity. It is interesting to note that recent experiments [19–21] by atomic force microscopy (AFM) [22] suggest that microscopic friction does not always behave according to the traditional Amontons’s law and Coulomb’s law, thereby suggesting the need for new laws that account for atomic scale phenomena [23, 24]. So far, both area and velocity dependences have been demonstrated. It should be emphasized that edge effects should be paid more attention at nanoscale. This will be illustrated further in this paper.

The dynamic friction force can be readily computed from the energy data,

\[
f = -\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta t},
\]

where \(\Delta t\) is the distance the buckyball travels while its kinetic energy is decreased by an amount \(\Delta E\). Comparing with previous studies on double-walled oscillators, here only the tube is attached to the thermostat, a setting that is arguably more convenient for studying energy dissipation in nanotube oscillators. Under this circumstance, the simulation yields...
Figure 2. Evolution of energy data from molecular dynamics simulations for impulse velocity $v = 480 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at 300 K. (a) Evolution of buckyball kinetic energy in the whole time domain of the simulation. The solid circles represent energy data by averaging every five periods. (b) Evolution of buckyball kinetic energy between 120 and 170 ps. (c) Evolution of potential energy of buckyball between 120 and 170 ps.

Figure 3. Effects of temperature and velocity on dynamic friction force, and two channels for energy dissipation. (a) The impulse velocity is fixed at 480 m s$^{-1}$. (b) The temperature is fixed at 300 K. (c) Off-axial motion of C$_{60}$ relative to (10, 10) SWNT, where $\mathbf{r}_1$ is the vector from the origin to the centre of the ball, $\mathbf{r}_2$ is the axial vector from the origin to the right edge of the tube, and the off-axial angle, defined in equation (2) in the text, is exaggerated for better visualization. (d) The motion of C$_{60}$ near the edges of the SWNT. Please see the text for a detailed discussion.

the upper bound of dynamic friction force. It is interesting to compare the dynamic friction force at 300 K evaluated in this study with those from the literature. In Zettl’s paper, the dynamic friction force per area is estimated to be less than $4.3 \times 10^{-15} \text{ N } \text{Å}^{-2}$. In our study, this dynamic friction force is 0.17 pN, which is far less than that in bi-tube-like system (usually of the magnitude of nanonewtons). Note that the contact area between the ball and the tube is 97.9 Å$^2$. This means that the dynamic friction force per unit area of our system is $1.8 \times 10^{-15} \text{ N Å}^{-2}$, which contains contributions from both the off-axial motion and the edge effects.

We now address the effect of temperature on dynamic friction. The results, plotted in figures 3(a) and (b), show that the dynamic friction force increases as the temperature rises. The source of this dynamic friction is the energy flow from the ball to the tube. This is caused by both the off-axial motion between the ball and the tube, and the edge effects. We define the off-axial angle, $\theta$, of the buckyball with respect to the tube
have examined the relation between the dynamic friction force and energy dissipation due to the edge effect. To do this, we considered their contribution from two dissipation channels are mixed together. However, as shown in figure 3(b), it follows that this velocity dependence is mainly due to energy dissipation at the edges of the tube (not due to off-axial motion), and the larger impulse velocity, the greater the energy dissipation at the edges of the tube. More importantly, we can extract the dynamic friction force due to off-axial wavy motion at 300 K by simply extrapolating the data to zero impulse velocity. It yields a friction force of about 12 fN due to the off-axial wavy motion. For comparison, the contribution from the edge effects (158 fN) with an impulse velocity of 480 m s\(^{-1}\) is about one order of magnitude larger than wavy motion at 300 K with the same impulse velocity. This is supported by a recent independent study by Tangney et al [25].

To conclude, we have carried out a quantitative characterization of the dominant energy dissipation mechanisms for a carbon peapod nano-oscillator that can be realized in the lab. Our molecular dynamics simulations reveal that the temperature and the impulse velocity on friction. In particular, it has been shown that the edge effects are the main cause of the dynamic friction force. This novel nano-oscillator design proposed here holds great promise for applications in NEMS owing to its extremely low operating friction and easy adaptation for impulse generation.
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