Crystall Structure of the Second LNS/LG Domain from Neurexin 1α
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Neurexins mediate protein interactions at the synapse, playing an essential role in synaptic function. Extracellular domains of neurexins, and their fragments, bind a distinct profile of different proteins regulated by alternative splicing and Ca<sup>2+</sup>. The crystal structure of n1α-LNS#2 (the second LNS/LG domain of bovine neurexin 1α) reveals large structural differences compared with n1α-LNS#6 (or n1β-LNS), the only other LNS/LG domain for which a structure has been determined. The differences overlap the so-called hyper-variable surface, the putative protein interaction surface that is reshaped as a result of alternative splicing. A Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding site is revealed at the center of the hyper-variable surface next to splice insertion sites. Isothermal titration calorimetry indicates that the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding site in n1α-LNS#2 has low affinity (K<sub>d</sub> ~ 400 μM). Ca<sup>2+</sup> binding ceases to be measurable when an 8- or 15-residue splice insert is present at the splice site SS#2 indicating that alternative splicing can affect Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding sites of neurexin LNS/LG domains. Our studies initiate a framework for the putative protein interaction sites of neurexin LNS/LG domains. This framework is essential to understand how incorporation of alternative splice inserts expands the information from a limited set of neurexin genes to produce a large array of synaptic adhesion molecules with potentially very different synaptic function.

Neurexins are multidomain cell surface proteins found in the brain at the synapse (recently reviewed in Refs. 1–4). In mammals, the neurexin family consists of three genes that each encode an α- and a β-neurexin (5–8). Protruding into the synaptic cleft, the extracellular domain of α-neurexins consists of six LNS/LG<sup>2</sup> domains, whereas β-neurexins contain only a single LNS/LG domain preceded by a short β-neurexin-specific sequence (nomenclature and abbreviations defined below and in Fig. 1). There is increased similarity between the first, third, and fifth LNS/LG domains as well as between the second, fourth, and sixth LNS/LG domains. Nevertheless the sequence identity is low between neurexin LNS/LG domains (20–25%).

Neurexins facilitate synapse functioning and neurotransmitter release through protein-protein interactions (9–18). Neurexins interact inside the neuron with the cytomatrix of the active zone and outside the neuron with proteins at the synaptic cleft. Single LNS/LG domains of neurexins are sufficient to bind ligands (though not necessarily optimal), binding is Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent, and individual LNS/LG domains display distinct ligand-binding specificities (14, 17, 19–22). The second LNS/LG domain of neurexin 1α, neurexin 1α_LNS#2 (n1α_LNS#2), interacts with the extracellular matrix protein α-dystroglycan (22) and neurexophilin, a small neuropeptide-like protein (20, 23). LNS#6 in neurexin 1α (n1α_LNS#6) and the identical LNS domain in neurexin 1β (n1β_LNS) interact with neuroligins, a family of post-synaptic cell surface proteins (9, 14, 17, 24, 25), α-latrotoxin, a spider neurotoxin triggering massive neurotransmitter release (21), and α-dystroglycan as well (22). Neurexins may play an important adhesive role by forming trans-synaptic bridges with proteins on the post-synaptic membrane that align pre- and post-synaptic machineries to promote efficient neurotransmission (1, 3, 17, 26–29).

Neurexin gene transcripts in vertebrates undergo extensive alternative splicing to generate potentially over 2000 isoforms, encoding variant extracellular domains (Fig. 1) (7, 8, 30). Three splice insert sites localize to the LNS/LG domains: SS#2, which accommodates 0, 8, or 15 aa, SS#3, which accommodates a Gly residue or a 10-aa insert, and SS#4, which accommodates a splice insert of 0 or 30 aa (7, 8, 30). Relevant to the studies presented here, splice isoforms of bovine neurexin 1α_LNS#2 can contain no extra residues, a 8-aa splice insert HSGIGHAMVNKLHCS at SS#2, or a 15-aa splice insert HSGIGHAMVNKLHCS at SS#2. The splice insert sites are conserved between neurexin genes and between species, and the inserted polypeptide sequences display high sequence homology as well.

The abbreviations used are: LNS, laminins, neurexins, and sex hormone-binding globulin; LG, laminin G domains; aa, amino acid(s); r.m.s.d., root mean square deviation; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; NCS, non-crystallographic symmetry.
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Splice isoforms are regulated developmentally and spatially, and they display distinct protein-binding characteristics. Alternative splicing at SS#2 in n1αLNS#2 appears important; splice isoforms switch in a controlled fashion during developmental stages in vertebrate embryos (31, 32), and incorporation of an 8-or 15-aa splice insert at SS#2 prevents avid binding to α-dystroglycan (22). The physiological significance of the neurexin-α-dystroglycan interaction is not immediately apparent (12, 14), although it has been implicated in brain development (33). Alternative splicing of neurexins at SS#4 in n1αLNS#6/n1βLNS modulates interactions with neurexin isoforms (17, 24, 25), α-latrotoxin (21), and α-dystroglycan as well (22). Thus a growing body of work demonstrates, at least *in vitro*, that alternative splicing of neurexin LNS/LG domains regulates protein-binding specificities. On an atomic level, however, it is completely unknown what the effects of incorporating alternative splice inserts into the neurexin extracellular domain are and how molecular properties are altered to regulate function.

The crystal structure of neurexin 1βLNS (n1βLNS) has revealed an immunoglobulin-like β-sandwich (34). Splice insert sites SS#2, SS#3, and SS#4 map to loops close together in space at one edge of the β-sandwich designated as the “hyper-variable” surface. Two proteins that are structurally but not functionally related to neurexin LNS/LG domains use surfaces analogous to the hyper-variable region to bind ligands: the laminin α2LG4 domain, which binds α-dystroglycan, and the sex hormone-binding globulin, which binds steroids (35–37). It is therefore thought that neurexin LNS/LG domains contain special protein interaction surfaces that coincide with the hyper-variable surface that is regulated by alternative splicing (37).

Neurexins are crucial molecules at the synapse and bind key molecules involved in exocytosis of synaptic vesicles and adhesion of synaptic membranes. The neurexin family appears in a strategic position to influence synapse formation, functioning, and/or plasticity by incorporating isoforms with very distinct molecular properties into the vast protein network at the synapse (2, 38). Our studies aim to understand how alternative splicing creates and controls functional diversity within the neurexin family, one of the largest families of synaptic adhesion molecules found in higher vertebrates. To put the enormous array of neurexins isoforms into a framework, we are characterizing the molecular properties of neurexin LNS/LG domains and their isoforms. We have undertaken a structural and biophysical approach to examine the molecular properties of n1αLNS#2. The crystal structure of bovine n1αLNS#2 determined to a resolution of 2.1 Å reveals the presence of a Ca²⁺-binding site located exactly at the hyper-variable surface. The Ca²⁺-binding site is surrounded by a molecular surface that is highly specific to different neurexin LNS/LG domains in terms of sequence and structure. Calorimetric studies demonstrate that the Ca²⁺-binding site has low metal-binding affinity. The presence of splice inserts at splice site SS#2 abolishes measurable affinity for Ca²⁺, indicating that alternative splice inserts may control ligand binding not only directly but also indirectly through the regulation of Ca²⁺-binding sites. Our studies establish a paradigm for the hyper-variable surface of neurexin LNS/LG domains, the putative protein partner binding surface responsible for binding different protein partners in different LNS/LG domains.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Protein Overexpression and Purification—The cDNAs encoding bovine n1αLNS#2 (residues 279–475, accession code nm_174404) with 0, 8, or 15 aa inserted at splice insertion site SS#2 (Fig. 1) were fused to glutathione S-transferase with standard molecular biology techniques using the pGEX-KG overexpression vector (39). The residue numbering scheme used here for bovine neurexin 1α starts with Met¹ of the signal peptide and takes into account the presence of 20 residues in SS#1, 15 residues in SS#2, 10 residues in SS#3, and 30 residues in SS#4. The three native isoforms (with 0-, 8-, and 15-aa splice inserts) were expressed as thrombin-cleavable glutathione S-transferase fusion proteins in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3). Proteins were purified using glutathione-agarose beads, ion-exchange, and gel filtration using the procedure described in a previous study (34). Although n1αLNS#2 isoforms did not bind either Source Q or Source S columns, contaminants were very efficiently removed. In addition, for structure determination purposes the selenomethionyl variant of n1αLNS#2 with no splice insert present was overexpressed in *E. coli* B834(DE3) cells (Novagen) using specialized medium containing 25 mg/liter l-selenomethionine and a protocol obtained from Molecular Dimensions Ltd. (Athena Enzymes Systems). The selenomethionyl protein was purified with the same protocol as the wild-type proteins, except that 1 mM dithiothreitol was added to the buffers. Mass spectrometry confirmed that all four methionine residues per molecule were replaced efficiently with selenomethionine.

Crystal Structure and X-ray Data Collection—Crystals of selenomethionyl n1αLNS#2 with no splice insert were grown by hanging drop vapor diffusion at 21 °C. Hanging drops contained 1 μl of protein (10 mg/ml in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol) and 1 μl of the reservoir solution (10% polyethylene glycol 8000, 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.0, 5 mM CaCl₂, 1% glycerol). Single crystals grew as thin plates with average dimensions of 0.5 × 0.3 × 0.04 mm. The crystals...
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have the symmetry of space group P1 with cell dimensions a = 60.0 Å, b = 61.6 Å, c = 66.6 Å, α = 78.5°, β = 78.7°, γ = 84.7°. Although the diffraction patterns could also be indexed in C2, merging the integrated intensities in C2 resulted in 64% of the reflections being rejected and an R_{merge} of >50% for those remaining, indicating that the correct space group was in fact P1. The Matthews coefficient (40) indicated 4 molecules per asymmetric unit and 58% solvent content. Prior to data collection, crystals were cryoprotected with the reservoir solution containing 30% glycerol (v/v) and flash-cooled in liquid propane. Although crystals of native or selenomethionyl n1α_LNS#2 could be grown, splice isoforms of n1α_LNS#2 containing an 8- or 15-aa insert have so far proven resistant to crystallization.

A multiple wavelength anomalous dispersion experiment was carried out at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source beam-line F2 on an ADSC Q210 detector. Three data sets were collected: one at the selenium absorption peak (data set FI, 12.665 keV, and 0.978952 Å), one at the inflection point (data set PI, 12.660 keV, and 0.979338 Å), and a third data set at a low energy remote wavelength (data set LR, 12.650 keV, and 0.980111 Å). Diffraction data were integrated and reduced with HKL2000 (41). Data were further scaled with programs from the CCP4 suite (42). Data collection statistics are summarized in Table 1.

Structure Determination—Sixteen selenium sites were identified with SOLVE (43) using the peak wavelength data set (FI) from the multiple wavelength anomalous dispersion experiment; however, the automated density modification and model building follow-up program RESOLVE (43) failed to generate interpretable density or a model for all four monomers in the asymmetric unit. By regarding our multiple wavelength anomalous dispersion experiment as a special case of multiple isomorphous replacement (44), anomalous and “isomorphous” contributions between the peak (FI), inflection point (FI), and low energy remote (LR) data sets were used to refine the selenium parameters and to calculate phases with MLPHARE (42). The phases were further improved through density modification, including 4-fold non-crystallographic symmetry (NCS) averaging with DM (45). In principle it should have been straightforward to derive NCS relationships between the four monomers by determining four sets of four selenium sites, with each set representing a single monomer. Because of a fortuitous arrangement of molecules in the asymmetric unit and their internal arrangement of selenium atoms, it was possible to group the 16 selenium sites into groups of 4 in numerous ways, each yielding a different set of NCS operators. None of the derived NCS relationships were able to improve the density of all four molecules simultaneously by 4-fold NCS averaging. We then derived new NCS relationships using the assumption that only three of four selenomethionyl residues per monomer were in the identical conformation in all four monomers and could be used to derive the NCS relationships. We systematically identified sets containing four groups of three selenium atoms (using FINDNCS, PROFESS (42), and SITE2RT (46)) and tested the derived NCS operators for their ability to improve the electron density. Only one arrangement yielded operators able to improve the electron density for all four monomers simultaneously, through 4-fold averaging with DM. After completion of the atomic model for n1α_LNS#2, it became clear that, although Met321 and Met370 are highly ordered, the side chain of Met114 adopts somewhat different conformations in the four monomers (<2 Å between the most deviant selenium atoms); nevertheless these three methionine residues can be used to derive NCS operators. However, the side chain of Met442 adopts two very different conformations for two monomers, placing the selenium atoms apart by 2.7 Å. When Met442 was used together with the other three methionine residues, the operators derived from all four methionine positions were too inaccurate for density modification procedures.

Model Building, Refinement, and Validation—The initial model of n1α_LNS#2 was built with O (47) in 4-fold averaged electron density maps. Using all data from the low energy remote data set to 2.4 Å, the model was refined using CNS (48) with a protocol combining simulated annealing and conjugate gradient minimization, the combined maximum-likelihood and experimental phase target (MLHLS), 4-fold NCS restraints, and a bulk solvent correction. The last two cycles of model building and refinement were carried out with REFMAC (49) using data to 2.1 Å, weak NCS restraints, TLS refinement with the four monomers as rigid bodies, and refinement of individual B-factors. The final model of four monomers in the asymmetric unit contains 727 residues and 443 well ordered solvent molecules, 4 Ca²⁺ ions, and 4 glycerol molecules (Table 1). The only residue in the disallowed region of the Ramachandran plot is Leu276. The figures were generated using Molscript (50) and Raster3D (51). The atomic model and x-ray data for bovine n1α_LNS#2 have been submitted to the Protein Data Bank (accession code 2H0B).

Superposition of n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS#2—The four molecules of n1α_LNS#2 in the asymmetric unit of the crystal were superimposed using LSQMAN (52) (0.435-Å r.m.s.d. for 181 Cα atoms); the molecule that deviates the least among the four monomers was identified as the representative structure for n1α_LNS#2. The representative n1α_LNS#2 monomer (mol2) deviates only slightly from the other three monomers, at most in stretches of one or two residues containing Cα atoms deviating <1.5 Å. The eight molecules of n1β_LNS found in the asymmetric unit (pdb access code 1C4R) were superimposed (0.545-Å r.m.s.d. for 175 Cα atoms) and the representative n1β_LNS molecule selected as well. The largest deviations between the representative n1β_LNS structure and the seven other monomers confine to a three-residue stretch in loop β6–β7 containing Cα atoms that deviate at most by 2 Å, and to a five-residue stretch flanking SS#4 that contains Cα atoms deviating by no more than 3 Å (coincident with the arrow and label “loop B10–B11” in Fig. 3). The representative molecules of n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS were subsequently superimposed with LSQMAN. Analysis of the superposition indicates that differences between n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS are significant and not because of stretches structurally heterogeneous polypeptide or crystal packing artifacts affecting specific molecules.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry—N1α_LNS#2 splice isoforms with 0, 8, or 15 amino acids at SS#2 were purified as described above and then rendered Ca²⁺-free by treating the
protein in 20 mM HEPES, pH 8.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA for 1 h at 4 °C. The protein samples were then buffer-exchanged using Amicon-10 concentrators (Millipore) five times with Ca2+-free buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 8.5, 50 mM NaCl treated with CHELEX-100 resin) to remove the EDTA. For each protein sample, the flow-through from the final concentration step was collected and used as the experimental ITC “buffer” to prepare the 11.518 mM CaCl2 titrant solution and to determine the heat of dilution of titrant into buffer alone (i.e., in the absence of protein). ITC experiments were carried out with a VP-ITC MicroCal calorimeter. The experimental set-up was rendered Ca2+-free by treating the sample cell, automatic pipettor, and other reagent-handling aids like syringes, tubing, tubes, and stir bars with 1 mM EDTA for 2 min, followed by extensive washing with CHELEX-treated Millipore water, and finally with CHELEX-100 treated buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 8.5, 50 mM NaCl). Calorimetric titrations were carried out by placing a protein solution (ranging from 0.35 to 0.5 mM) into the MicroCal sample cell, placing water in the reference cell, and titrating the protein sample, the flow-through from the final concentration step with an 11.518 mM CaCl2 solution at 25 °C. CaCl2 was added to the sample cell in a series of 29 injections of 5 μl each, separated by 180 or 300 s. The raw ITC data were deconvoluted using the ORIGIN software provided by MicroCal to obtain least-square estimates of N (number of sites), ΔH° (heat change in calories/mol), and K (binding constant M−1). Before and after ITC runs with neuraxins, a control ITC titration was performed with hen egg white lysozyme (Sigma) and N,N′,N″-triacetylchitotriose (Sigma) (Kd 2.6 μM (53)), to monitor the ITC machine performance. After each ITC run, the protein sample was removed from the sample cell and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm at 4 °C to monitor possible protein precipitation. All three n1α_LNS#2 splice isoforms exhibited a small amount of precipitation (estimated at <5%) after being subjected to an ITC run. In addition to performing calorimetric experiments on the isolated n1α_LNS#2 domains, calorimetric titration of n1α_LNS#2 with no insert at SS#2 and n1α_LNS#2 containing the eight-residue insert at SS#2 was also carried out on the N-terminally fused glutathione S-transferase fusion proteins, yielding similar binding isotherms.

RESULTS

Overall Structure of the Neurexin 1α LNS#2 Domain—The structure of neurexin 1α LNS#2 (n1α_LNS#2) was solved using crystals with space group P1 (cell dimensions a = 60.0 Å, b = 61.6 Å, c = 66.6 Å, α = 78.5°, β = 78.7°, γ = 84.7°) in a multi-anomalous dispersion experiment exploiting the anomalous signal of four selenium atoms per monomer (Table 1). Four independent monomers are found in the asymmetric unit. The structure of n1α_LNS#2 is composed of 13 β-strands and 1 α-helix (Fig. 2). N1α_LNS#2 forms a β-sandwich with the dimensions 40 Å high, 36 Å wide, and 30 Å deep (Fig. 2, left-hand view). The β-sheet on the concave side of the molecule is formed by seven strands; the β-sheet on the convex side is formed by six β-strands. Loop β11–β12 protrudes extensively out in space filling the depression formed by the arching β-strands of the concave β-sheet.

Comparison of n1α_LNS#2 with n1β_LNS/n1α_LNS#6—The overall fold of n1α_LNS#2 is similar to n1β_LNS/n1α_LNS#6, even though the sequence identity between n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS is only 20.4% for the 167 alignable Cα atoms residues out of 182 (Fig. 3, a and b). The regions of variation are located on one side of the molecule running along two edges of the β-sheet opposite to the N and C termini and in the polypeptide loop filling the concave side of the β-sandwich (Fig. 3c). The highly variable regions (>3 Å r.m.s.d. in Cα atom positions) involve predominantly loops: loop β2–β3, loop β4–β5, loop β7–β8, loop β10–β11, loop β11–β12, and loop β12–α1. The β-strand β10 is the only variable secondary structural element. The two biggest regions of contiguous variability

### Table 1

Summary of the data collection phasing, and refinement statistics for selenomethionyl n1α_LNS#2

| Data set | Resolution | Reflections (total/unique) | Completeness | Rmerge | 1/σ | Phasing power | Rcute | (iso/ano) |
|----------|------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|-----|---------------|-------|----------|
| FI       | 2.1 (2.18–2.1) | 190,980/52,304            | 98.1 (96.6)  | 8.9 (32.4) | 16.4 (2.7) | 2.13 | 0.77/0.76 |
| FI       | 2.1 (2.18–2.1) | 191,448/52,276            | 98.2 (96.9)  | 9.0 (29.1) | 18.0 (3.1) | 1.74 | 0.83/0.86 |
| LR       | 2.1 (2.18–2.1) | 187,261/51,547            | 96.6 (85.9)  | 7.2 (26.5) | 16.6 (2.9) |        |          |
| Figure of merit 10 to 3 Å: 0.619 for 16 selenium sites |

*a* FI (peak, 0.97985 Å), FI (infection, 0.97934 Å), and LR (low energy remote 0.98011 Å).
*a* Outer shell statistics are in parentheses.
*a* Rmerge = Σ(|Ii|−〈Ii〉)/Σ(|Ii|).
*a* Phasing power = (〈Fobs〉/〈Fcalc〉) and Rcute = e/Δe, where e is the lack of closure. The phasing power and Rcute are calculated for data between 10 and 3 Å.
*a* After TLS refinement by REFMAC.
are seen firstly along the edge of the β-sandwich encompassing part of loop β10–β11, the strand β10, and loop β7–β8, and secondly to the polypeptide loop filling the concave face of the molecule (loop β11–β12).

**Calcium Binding Site in n1α_LNS#2**—N1α_LNS#2 was crystallized in the presence of 5 mM CaCl₂. Its x-ray structure reveals strong positive electron density peaks in three monomers and a weaker site in the fourth monomer (between 6.8 and 8.5 Å in composite simulated annealed omit maps calculated with SigmaA-weighted 2mFo − DFo coefficients and phases from a metal-free protein model). The density and the surrounding ligands are consistent with a Ca²⁺ ion bound to a Ca²⁺-binding site (Fig. 4 and Table 2). A side-chain carboxyl (Asp³²⁹) and two main-chain carbonyl oxygens (Met⁴¹⁴ and Leu³⁴⁶) provide protein ligands to the Ca²⁺ ion. The Ca²⁺ coordination (n = 6) is completed by three water molecules and adopts an approximate octahedral geometry.

The Ca²⁺ to oxygen atom distances range from 2.2 to 2.5 Å, well within the range of 2.1–2.8 Å typically found for carboxylate and carbonyl ligands in proteins, and 2.3–2.9 Å for coordinating waters (54). N1α_LNS#2 contributes thus only three direct protein ligands to the Ca²⁺-ion. The Ca²⁺-binding site is located in the middle of the hyper-variable surface (Fig. 5, a and b). The protein region directly surrounding the Ca²⁺-binding site is conserved between n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS/n1α_LNS#6 both in terms of amino acid sequence (Fig. 5c) and three-dimensional structure (Fig. 5d). However, the loops encircling the Ca²⁺-binding site display very little sequence conservation and great structural variation (Fig. 5, c and d). In other words there is a virtually complete breakdown of amino acid sequence conservation and structural conservation outside the immediate surroundings of the Ca²⁺-binding site, indicating that the hyper-variable surfaces of different neurexin LNS/LG domains display highly specific surfaces able to provide domain specific protein partner recognition.

**The Hyper-variable Surface: Modulation by Alternative Splicing**—The three sites in LNS/LG domains that accommodate short polypeptide inserts as a result of alternative splicing were mapped onto the structure of n1α_LNS#2 (Fig. 5, a and b). The alternative splice insertion site SS#2 is found between the adjacent residues Gln³⁷⁸ and Val³⁹⁴ (using a residue numbering scheme accommodating a 15-residue splice insert). Alternative splicing at SS#2 inserts resi-
Ca$^{2+}$-binding site in n1α_LNS#2

|       | Mol1 | Mol2 | Mol3 | Mol4 |
|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Met114 (C=O) | 2.2  | 2.3  | 2.3  | 2.3  |
| Leu346 (C=O) | 2.3  | 2.3  | 2.4  | 2.2  |
| Asp329 (O)  | 2.4  | 2.4  | 2.4  | 2.4  |
| Wat1  | 2.3  | 2.5  | 2.3  | 2.3  |
| Wat2  | 2.3  | 2.4  | 2.2  | 2.4  |
| Wat5  | 2.5  | 2.5  | n.p. | n.p. |
| Sym Glu370 (Oε1) | n.p. | n.p. | 2.3  | 2.4  |
| Sym Val379 (COO−) | p.  | p.  | n.p. | n.p. |

*p. = present; n.p. = not present.

The presence of additional residues at SS#2 drastically alters the Ca$^{2+}$-binding affinity, we performed ITC experiments with the two splice isoforms as well. We used ITC to measure the affinity of n1α_LNS#2 for Ca$^{2+}$, and prompted by their proximity, we investigated whether the presence of alternative splice inserts would modulate Ca$^{2+}$ binding. Titration of Ca$^{2+}$ into a solution of n1α_LNS#2 with no insert present at SS#2 generates an exothermic reaction, releasing heat upon Ca$^{2+}$ binding to protein. The raw ITC data were processed and fitted using a model for a single binding site. The Ca$^{2+}$-binding site displays a low binding affinity with a $K_d$ of ~400 μM (standard deviation, 48.1 μM) and a stoichiometry (n) of one Ca$^{2+}$ per n1α_LNS#2 monomer. The values were derived from three independent experiments yielding $K_d = 346 \pm 42 \mu M$ with $n = 1.15$, $144 \pm 2 \mu M$ with $n = 0.94$, and $400 \mu M$ with $n = 1.1$ (Fig. 6a). To test if the presence of an 8- or 15-aa insert at SS#2 affects Ca$^{2+}$-binding affinity, we performed ITC experiments with the two splice isoforms as well. The presence of additional residues at SS#2 drastically alters the binding isotherm produced in the ITC reaction (Fig. 6, b and c, respectively). Titrating Ca$^{2+}$ into a protein solution of the splice isoform n1α_LNS#2 + 8 aa or n1α_LNS#2 + 15 aa generates a very weak endothermic reaction from which no

FIGURE 5. The hyper-variable surface in n1α_LNS#2. a, splice insert sites SS#2 (yellow), SS#3 (green), and SS#4 (magenta) visualized on the n1α_LNS#2 polypeptide backbone trace; b, the splice insert sites SS#2, SS#3, and SS#4 localize in a semicircle around the Ca$^{2+}$-binding site (blue sphere); c, amino acid sequence conservation between n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS/n1α_LNS#6 (accession code nm_174404); identical residues are shown in white, semi-conserved residues are in light cyan, and non-conserved residues are in dark aquamarine. Insertions or deletions of residues are indicated by (K/R/H), (P), (C), (F/Y/W/L), (D/E/Q/N), (V/I/M/A/L), (S/T/A), and (G/A); note that L and A are present in multiple groups; d, structural conservation between n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS at the hyper-variable surface, coloring scheme as in Fig. 3c.
detectable Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding affinity can be derived. Surprisingly, the presence of the 8-aa insert or the 15-aa insert at SS#2 has approximately the same effect on the enthalpy of the reaction.

Our results establish a paradigm for hyper-variable surfaces in different neurexin LNS/LG domains as the putative protein interaction sites. We show that the hyper-variable surface contains 1) a conserved Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site, 2) specialized residues and three-dimensional structure surrounding the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site that are poised to interact with the different protein partners recognized by the different domains, and 3) alternative splice insert sites that surround the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site in close proximity (Fig. 5, b–d). The hyper-variable surface paradigm is extended with the finding that at least some alternative splice inserts may control protein partner binding indirectly by altering the affinities of the different neurexin LNS/LG domains for Ca\(^{2+}\).

**DISCUSSION**

Neurexin LNS/LG Domains as a Scaffold for Protein-Protein Interactions—To understand how different neurexin splice isoforms can impact synapse functioning on an atomic level, we are characterizing the molecular properties of different neurexin LNS/LG domains and their splice isoforms using structural, biochemical, and biophysical techniques. Previous studies have revealed that the structure of n1\(\beta\) LNS/n1\(\alpha\) LNS#6 (solved in a metal-free form) contains a β-sandwich and that the three splice insertion sites found in neurexin LNS/LG domains map close together in space to form a hyper-variable surface (34). Our current studies reveal that, although n1\(\alpha\) LNS#2 adopts a similar overall fold to n1\(\beta\) L/n1\(\alpha\) LNS#6, large differences in backbone conformation and sequence are observed at two edges of the β-sandwich (including the hyper-variable surface) and to the loop β11–β12 filling the depression formed by the concave curvature of the β-sandwich. The variable regions along the rim of the β-sandwich are found opposite the N and C termini, which tether LNS/LG domains in place in the full-length proteins. In plant lectins with a related fold, an analogous loop to loop β11–β12 is often involved in forming a carbohydrate-binding site (55), although in neurexins the functional significance for this loop has so far not been revealed. Hence, an increasing body of research points toward neurexin LNS/LG domains containing localized regions of variability that coincide with regions of functional significance; in the context of the full-length extracellular domains, these areas will be proffered to the solvent as interaction surfaces.

Neurexin LNS/LG Domains as Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding Domains—The structure of n1\(\alpha\) LNS#2 crystallized in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) reveals a single metal-binding site located at the hyper-variable

**Figure 6. ITC of n1\(\alpha\) LNS#2 splice isoforms.** The titrations were carried out as described under “Experimental Procedures.” For each splice isoform: the top figure shows the calorimetric titration of 5-μl injections of a 11.518 mM CaCl\(_2\) solution into protein; the bottom figure shows the normalized binding isotherm displaying heat absorbed/released per kilocalorie/mol of CaCl\(_2\) injected as a function of molar ratio metal:protein (squares), with the best least-squares fit to a binding model for one site (solid line) superimposed. For each run the heat of dilution of the CaCl\(_2\) solution has been subtracted (measured with a titration of CaCl\(_2\) into flow-through buffer alone). a, n1\(\alpha\) LNS#2 with no splice insert; b, n1\(\alpha\) LNS#2 with the 8-aa insert at SS#2; and c, n1\(\alpha\) LNS#2 with the 15-aa insert at SS#2.
surface providing direct evidence that neurexin LNS/LG domains contain Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding sites. The Ca\(^{2+}\) ion makes only three direct contacts to the neurexin polypeptide chain, using water molecules to complete an octahedral coordination. Our ITC studies indicate that the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site in n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) has only low affinity for Ca\(^{2+}\). Sugita and co-workers have shown that n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) strictly requires Ca\(^{2+}\) to bind \(\alpha\)-dystroglycan, and they were able to abolish \(\alpha\)-dystroglycan binding by mutating Asp\(^{340}\) in n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) (equivalent to Asp\(^{329}\) in our structure)\(^3\) that they suspected might be part of a Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site (22). The Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent nature of ligand binding can be explained if Ca\(^{2+}\) triggers conformational changes to neurexin LNS/LG domains that enable ligand binding. However, given the small number of residues in n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) that directly coordinate the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion, it is more conceivable that protein partners interact directly with the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion as they bind n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\), providing additional ligands to displace the water molecules observed in the crystal structure (Fig. 4). It will be important to determine if the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding properties of the other neurexin LNS/LG domains differ, and if properties characterized for the isolated n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) are recapitulated in the context of the full-length protein.

Communication between Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding Sites and Alternative Splice Insert Sites—The most striking observation from our studies is the close proximity of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site to SS#2, SS#3, and SS#4, strongly suggesting that incorporation of splice inserts can affect Ca\(^{2+}\) binding. In particular, extra residues inserted at SS#3 as a result of alternative splicing must be directly incorporated at the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site and in a position to profoundly affect the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding environment (Fig. 5b).

Using ITC, we demonstrate that the presence of splice inserts at SS#2 in n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\), though farther away from the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site than SS#3, do indeed appear to alter properties of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site (Fig. 6). Because the outcome of a calorimetric reaction is the sum of the total heat released or absorbed in the sample cell, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the protein as well as any other changes triggered by Ca\(^{2+}\) addition contribute to the observed isotherm. For this reason there are two likely interpretations of the ITC data presented for n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) (Fig. 6). The first interpretation is that the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site in n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) is obstructed if the 8- or 15-aa splice insert is present (or has very poor affinity), and Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding is no longer measurable. The second interpretation is that Ca\(^{2+}\) still binds to splice isoforms containing the 8- or 15-aa splice insert but that Ca\(^{2+}\) triggers a conformational change in the protein or an alternative reaction that overshadows the exothermic energy produced upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the protein. The effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) could be indirect; for example a conformational change to the protein might change the chemical environments of histidine residues present in both the 8- and 15-aa splice inserts (Fig. 1), shifting their pK\(_a\) and altering their exchange of protons with the buffer. To evaluate what a binding isotherm would look like for a protein known not to bind Ca\(^{2+}\) even at molar concentrations (56), we titrated lysozyme with Ca\(^{2+}\) under conditions similar to those used to assay the n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) isoforms, albeit using a different buffer and pH more suited to lysozyme. The calorimetric reaction resulted in a very small exothermic reaction on the same scale as the heat of dilution of the titrant, i.e. the baseline generated upon titrating Ca\(^{2+}\) into buffer alone (result not shown). Hence, the endothermic reaction observed upon titrating splice isoforms of n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) with Ca\(^{2+}\), though small, may indicate that multiple events take place when Ca\(^{2+}\) is added to LNS/LG domains in solution (Fig. 6, b and c).

Significance of a Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding Site in n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\)—The low affinity of n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) for Ca\(^{2+}\) (\(K_D \sim 0.4 \text{ mM}\)) comes as a surprise. Although no accurate measurements exist for the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the synaptic cleft, it is estimated to be \(\sim 1 \text{ mM}\) and likely depletes more than 30–60% as pre-synaptic and post-synaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) channels open (57). The weak Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site found in n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) could thus be subject to varying Ca\(^{2+}\) occupancies and hence varying abilities to interact with protein partners at the synapse. Incorporation of the 8- and 15-aa splice inserts appears to further decrease the affinity of Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site to the point where it likely would not be occupied even at a basal Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration. \(\alpha\)-Dystroglycan, which binds n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) only when Ca\(^{2+}\) is present (22), appears to selectively localize to a subset of inhibitory synapses (58). Our studies raise the question whether the fluctuating Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations at the synaptic cleft of these specialized synapses could regulate \(\alpha\)-dystroglycan-neurexin interaction in vivo, influenced by the presence of alternative splice inserts at site SS#2.

Relation between LNS/LG Domains from Neurexins, Agrin, and Laminin—At first glance there are significant parallels between neurexin LNS/LG domains and the structurally related “G-domains” found in the large multidomain proteins agrin and laminin. These domains are all now known to bind Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 4) (59, 60) at the rim of the \(\beta\)-sandwich, all three proteins use LNS/LG domains to bind \(\alpha\)-dystroglycan in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent way (22, 61–64), and LNS/LG domains in agrin undergo alternative splicing (regulating their ability in neural cells to promote postsynaptic development at neuromuscular junctions, reviewed recently in Ref. 65). However, closer examination suggests differences on an atomic level that dictate how these domains employ structure to gain function.

N1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) and the agrin G3 domain implement splice inserts and a Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site in very different ways. The agrin G3 B-site (also called site Z) incorporates splice inserts in a loop equivalent to loop \(\beta_2–\beta_3\) in n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\) (a loop that is not modified by splicing in neurexin LNS/LG domains but does localize close to the hyper-variable surface) (34, 60). Splice inserts at the agrin G3 B-site do not provide ligands to the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion and are flexible in the presence or absence of Ca\(^{2+}\), indicating little communication between the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion and the splice inserts (60). Strikingly, unlike apparently n1\(_{\alpha}\_LNS\#2\), the agrin G3 affinity for Ca\(^{2+}\) is not radically affected by the presence of splice inserts (\(K_D \sim 0.6 \text{ mM}\) for G3-B0 with no insert, versus \(K_D \sim 1\) mM for G3-B8 with an 8-aa insert) (60). Furthermore, in the case of neurexin LNS/LG domains, all three splice insertion sites arrange around the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site, with loop \(\beta_6–\beta_7\) and loop \(\beta_{10}–\beta_{11}\) housing not only a splice insert but also providing a ligand to the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site as well. Hence, neurexin LNS/LG domains appear to require specific inter-

\(^3\) S. Sugita, personal communication.
Crystal Structure of Neurexin 1α LNS#2

play between Ca\(^{2+}\) -binding and splice insert sites not seen in agrin G3.

No structural information is available yet for neurexin LNS/LG domains carrying splice inserts. Structural studies of the agrin G3 domain reveal that no significant rearrangements take place to accommodate splice inserts (0, 8, or 11 aa) at the B-site, extending splice inserts as flexible loops from the edge of the \(\beta\)-sandwich (60). Because two of three splice insert sites in neurexin LNS/LG domains map to regions that are highly structurally conserved between n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS#2/n1α_LNS#6 (namely SS#2 and SS#3), it is possible that like agrin G3 the neurexin LNS/LG structural scaffold does not require major rearrangement to accommodate extra residues at these sites. However, the region encompassing splice site SS#4 (also found in agrin G2) is highly variable in structure between n1α_LNS#2 and n1β_LNS/n1α_LNS#6; this may indicate that the n1α_LNS#6/n1β_LNS domain has evolved a special scaffold able to accommodate the large 30-residue splice insert specific to SS#4.

Although laminin α2 G4 and neurexin n1α_LNS#2 are sufficient to bind α-dystroglycan, both in a Ca\(^{2+}\) -dependent fashion, their crystal structures indicate that the binding surfaces are not conserved. Structure-based mutagenesis for the laminin α2 G4_G5 tandem has revealed the primary requirement of Arg\(^{2803}\) and the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion in G4; the structure shows that Arg\(^{2803}\) points toward the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion at very close distance (5.8 Å) (36). The hyper-variable surface of n1α_LNS#2 does not contain an analogue to laminin α2 G4 Arg\(^{2803}\). While a lysine (Lys\(^{326}\)) is present at a similar place in the n1α_LNS#2 amino acid sequence, its side chain points away from the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion and the hyper-variable surface because loop β4−β5 (on which it resides) is two residues shorter, rearranging the loop conformation compared with laminin α2 G4. A possible alternative binding epitope for n1α_LNS#2 would be Arg\(^{277}\), which is 14 Å from the Ca\(^{2+}\) ion but directly precedes the splice insert site SS#3 (between Gln\(^{278}\) and Val\(^{294}\)) incorporating the splice insert sites known to regulate binding to α-dystroglycan. It is less clear for agrin whether single LNS/LG domains are sufficient to bind α-dystroglycan and which domains these might be, although alternative splicing of these domains does appear to regulate binding to α-dystroglycan (62–64). The emerging picture is that LNS/LG domains in neurexins, agrins, and laminins share a common protein fold, and even a common protein partner, that LNS/LG domains in neurexins, agrins, and laminins share a common protein fold, and even a common protein partner, although it is less clear for agrin whether single LNS/LG domains are sufficient to bind α-dystroglycan and which domains these might be, although alternative splicing of these domains does appear to regulate binding to α-dystroglycan (62–64). The emerging picture is that LNS/LG domains in neurexins, agrins, and laminins share a common protein fold, and even a common protein partner, although it is less clear for agrin whether single LNS/LG domains are sufficient to bind α-dystroglycan and which domains these might be, although alternative splicing of these domains does appear to regulate binding to α-dystroglycan (62–64).

CONCLUSIONS

The neurexin family appears strategically placed to form an expansive set of synaptic building blocks. Alternative splicing, estimated to affect >74% of all multiexon genes in humans (66), is suspected to play a crucial role in the nervous system of higher organisms as a mechanism to derive higher complexity with a limited number of genes (67). Because of their potential to generate thousands of splice isoforms distributed in distinct spatial and temporal ways, neurexins are ideally suited to integrate into the vast protein network at synapses generating distinct and specialized functions. Systematic molecular characterization of different neurexin LNS/LG domains and their isoforms is a prerequisite to understanding how alternative splicing modulates the molecular properties of neurexin LNS/LG domains. The current studies indicate that molecular switches regulating protein partner binding (i.e. alternative splice inserts and Ca\(^{2+}\)) locate next to each other on the molecular surface and work on each other. Our data provide the first evidence that alternative splice inserts in neurexin LNS/LG domains may regulate protein partner binding at the synapse not only directly but also indirectly as well by altering Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding affinity at the hyper-variable surface. Future work will focus on further characterizing the effect of alternative splice inserts on neurexin structure and function.
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