OBJECTIVE — Diabetes is a predictor of both coronary artery disease (CAD) and heart failure. It is unknown to what extent the association between diabetes and heart failure is influenced by other risk factors for heart failure.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — We evaluated the association of diabetes and A1C with incident heart failure in outpatients with stable CAD and no history of heart failure (average follow-up 4.1 years).

RESULTS — Of 839 participants, 200 had diabetes (23.8%). Compared with patients who did not have diabetes, those with diabetes had an increased risk of heart failure (hazard ratio [HR] 2.17 [95% CI 1.37–3.44]). Adjustment for risk factors for CAD (age, sex, race, smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, blood pressure, and LDL cholesterol), interim myocardial infarction, and myocardial ischemia did not alter the strength of the association between diabetes and heart failure. After inclusion also of other risk factors for heart failure (left ventricular ejection fraction, diastolic dysfunction, and C-reactive protein) and medication use, diabetes remained an independent predictor of heart failure (HR 3.34 [95% CI 1.65–6.76]; P = 0.001). Each 1% increase in A1C concentration was associated with a 36% increased HR of heart failure hospitalization (HR 1.36 [95% CI 1.17–1.58]).

CONCLUSIONS — In patients with stable CAD who are free from heart failure at baseline, diabetes and glycemic control are independent risk factors for new-onset heart failure. The mechanisms by which diabetes and hyperglycemia lead to heart failure deserve further study, as the association is independent of baseline functional assessment of ischemia, systolic and diastolic function, and interim myocardial infarction.
In summary, patients were recruited from outpatient clinics of 12 different centers in the San Francisco Bay area if they met one or more of the following inclusion criteria: prior myocardial infarction, angiographic evidence of ≥50% stenosis in one of the coronary arteries, prior coronary revascularization, and exercise-induced ischemia (treadmill or nuclear scintigraphy). Exclusion criteria were acute coronary syndrome within the past 6 months, the inability to walk one block, and plans to move out of the area within 3 years.

Between September 2000 and December 2002, 1,024 patients were enrolled in the study. For the current investigation, 185 patients (18.1%) were excluded because they had a history of heart failure (n = 179) or heart failure status was unknown (n = 6).

**Baseline study variables**

All patients completed a daylong baseline study visit that included a medical history interview, physical examination, questionnaire, laboratory analysis, exercise test, and echocardiogram. Diabetes was defined as self-reported diabetes or the use of antidiabetes medication. Alcohol use was determined by questionnaire. Participants rated their physical activity during the previous month using a 6-point Likert scale. Those responding “not at all active” or “a little active” were classified as physically inactive. An estimate of chronic glycemia was provided by serum A1C measurement. Serum glucose level, A1C, LDL cholesterol, and C-reactive protein (CRP) were assessed by standard routine biochemistry analysis after an overnight fast (except for taking their regularly prescribed medication with water) using a venous blood sample, drawn via a 21-gauge butterfly needle. Subjects were considered to have metabolic syndrome if they met the criteria of the National Cholesterol Education Program (9). Echocardiography was performed with an Acuson Sequoia Ultrasound System (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Malvern, PA), with a 3.5-MHz transducer. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated using the modified Simpson rule as recommended by the American Society of Echocardiography (10). In addition, a full description of diastolic function was performed according to predefined established criteria (normal, impaired, pseudonormal, or restrictive diastolic function). An exercise treadmill test (standard Bruce protocol) was performed. Immediately after exercise, echocardiographic analysis was performed to investigate exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities, which served as an indicator of myocardial ischemia (11). Details pertaining to acquisition and analyses of echocardiographic data were reported elsewhere (12). The institutional review board at each of the sites approved the study protocol, and all participants provided written informed consent.

**End points**

The main study outcome was time to hospitalization for heart failure, as was previously reported for the whole cohort in detail (13). Heart failure was diagnosed according to established criteria (1,2) using clinical and radiological evaluation. Potential events were recorded annually by telephonic interviews. Additional information (e.g., medical records and death certificates) was collected and reviewed by two independent and blinded adjudicators. Discrepancies were discussed, and decisions were made by unanimity. In case of disagreement, a third blinded adjudicator was consulted. Follow-up was completed for all patients.

**Statistical analysis**

The study sample comprised 839 patients. Baseline differences between participants with diabetes and without were compared using t tests for continuous variables and χ² tests for dichotomous variables.

In addition to the association of diabetes per se with hospitalization for heart failure, we investigated the role of glycemic control in the development of heart failure. A1C was used as a proxy measure for glycemic control (both dichotomized and continuous, per 1% change). For the former categorization, a cutoff of ≥6.5% and <6.5% was used because this cutoff was recently used to redefine the diagnosis of diabetes (14). The following analyses were conducted with diabetes and A1C as independent variables.

First, Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to estimate the time from baseline to heart failure hospitalization in patients with or without diabetes and in patients with low or high A1C. The log-rank test was used for bivariate significance testing. In addition, given the influence of antidiabetes medication on A1C levels, we compared the effect of glycohemoglobin on heart failure in patients taking antidiabetes medication.

Second, Cox proportional hazard regression analyses were performed to investigate the impact of diabetes and A1C level, respectively, on the time to first hospitalization for heart failure. To study the impact of diabetes and A1C on heart failure in the context of several potential confounders, we made a selection of the most important risk factors for heart failure based on recent guidelines (1,2). We then applied the following series of a priori determined Cox regression models in which we sequentially controlled for the following groups of confounders: model 1: age, sex, and race; model 2: smoking, physical inactivity, BMI, LDL cholesterol, and systolic blood pressure; model 3: myocardial infarction during follow-up; model 4: LVEF; model 5: exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities (i.e., ischemia); model 6: diastolic dysfunction; model 7: logCRP; and model 8: ACE inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) and β-blocker-use. All models included age, sex, and race. In the final model (model 9) we include all the variables that were used in models 1–8. Finally, in sensitivity analyses, the relationship between several other definitions of diabetes and time to onset of heart failure were tested. These definitions were 1) self-reported diagnosis of diabetes (irrespective of antidiabetes medication use), 2) fasting blood glucose >126 mg/dl, and 3) fasting blood glucose >126 mg/dl or use of antidiabetes medication. Moreover, the presence of metabolic syndrome was tested in sensitivity analyses. P < 0.05 was used for all tests to indicate statistical significance. Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% CIs are reported. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 17.0 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, IL).

**RESULTS** — Baseline characteristics of the study population (n = 839) are outlined in Table 1. Mean age was 67 years, and 200 patients (23.8%) had diabetes.

**Diabetes as a predictor of heart failure hospitalizations**

During a mean ± SD follow-up of 4.1 ± 2 years, 30 (15.0%) patients with diabetes and 47 (7.4%) patients without diabetes developed heart failure. Between baseline and end of follow-up (either heart failure event or end of study), 52 patients (6.2%) had a myocardial infarction. In Fig. 1, Kaplan-Meier analysis shows the proportion of patients with hospitalizations for heart failure divided into patients with and without diabetes. In Table 2, results of the Cox regression...
Diabetes and incident heart failure

Table 1—Baseline characteristics

| Variable                      | No diabetes | Diabetes | P value |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| n                             | 639         | 200      |         |
| Age (years)                   | 67.4 ± 11.0 | 65.3 ± 10.3 | 0.02  |
| Sex, male (%)                 | 528 (82.6)  | 161 (80.5) | 0.49   |
| Race, white (%)               | 416 (65.2)  | 88 (44.0)  | <0.0001|
| BMI (kg/m²)                   | 27.9 ± 4.7  | 29.9 ± 6.0 | <0.0001|
| Smoking (%)                   | 124 (19.4)  | 34 (17.0)  | 0.44   |
| Heavy alcohol use (%)         | 215 (33.8)  | 38 (19.0)  | <0.0001|
| Physical inactivity (%)       | 221 (33.1)  | 85 (42.5)  | 0.02   |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)       | 106 (34)    | 100 (32)   | 0.03   |
| A1C (%)                       | 5.5 ± 0.5   | 7.1 ± 1.4  | <0.0001|
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)| 132 ± 20    | 137 ± 23   | 0.003  |
| Medical history               |             |           |        |
| Myocardial infarction (%)     | 313 (49.1)  | 106 (53.8) | 0.25   |
| Revascularization (%)         | 367 (57.4)  | 104 (52.3) | 0.20   |
| Medication use                |             |           |        |
| ACE inhibitor/ARB (%)         | 261 (40.8)  | 136 (68.0) | <0.0001|
| β-Blocker (%)                 | 343 (53.7)  | 129 (64.5) | 0.007  |
| Baseline LVEF (%)             | 62.7 ± 8.6  | 63.1 ± 8.8 | 0.59   |
| Diastolic function (%)        |             |           | 0.22   |
| Normal                        | 361 (63.2)  | 116 (63.7) |        |
| Impaired                      | 151 (26.4)  | 40 (22.0)   |       |
| Pseudo/restricted             | 59 (10.3)   | 26 (14.3)   |       |
| Exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities (%) | 128 (21.5) | 44 (24.4) | 0.40 |
| Creatinine clearance (ml/min) | 82.4 ± 26.9 | 82.0 ± 31.2 | 0.87 |
| CRP (mg/l)                    | 4.0 ± 6.8   | 4.8 ± 7.0  | 0.11   |

Data are means ± SD unless otherwise indicated. CRP was log-transformed for statistical analysis.

Glycemic control

Diabetes was correlated with the level of A1C (correlation coefficient r = 0.635, P < 0.001). Patients who were hospitalized for heart failure had significantly higher A1C levels than those who remained free from hospitalization (6.4 ± 1.4 vs. 5.9 ± 1.0%; P = 0.003). Patients who developed heart failure had borderline significantly more often a high level of A1C (>6.5%), compared with patients who remained free of heart failure (24.7 vs. 16.6%; P = 0.07). In Fig. 2, Kaplan-Meier analysis shows the proportion of patients with hospitalization for heart failure divided into patients with high and low A1C levels (log-rank test P = 0.07). Subgroup analysis revealed that, in patients who used antidiabetes medications (n = 156), the level of A1C was not related to new-onset heart failure (P = 0.21).

In Cox regression analysis, A1C >6.5% was borderline significantly related to incidence of new onset of heart failure (HR 1.61 [95% CI 0.96–2.71]; P = 0.07) (Table 2). With adjustment for the predefined predictors, on average, A1C remained a borderline significant predictor for heart failure.

When A1C was entered into Cox regression analysis as a continuous variable, A1C was strongly related to heart failure outcome. Each percent increase in A1C was associated with a HR of 1.36 ([95% CI 1.17–1.58]; P < 0.001) for the incidence of heart failure hospitalization. Model-like adjustments did not decrease HRs significantly (Table 2). As shown also in Table 2, diabetes was associated with a higher HR for heart failure hospitalization than A1C (dichotomized with a cutoff of 6.5%).

Figure 1—Proportions of patients free of hospitalization for heart failure divided into patients with diabetes (⋯⋯) and patients without diabetes (——).
exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities, diastolic dysfunction, logCRP, and ACE inhibitor/ARB and

wall motion abnormalities. Model 6: age, sex, race, and diastolic dysfunction. Model 7: age, sex, race, and logCRP. Model 8: age, sex, race, ACE inhibitor/ARB and blood pressure. Model 3: age, sex, race, and myocardial infarction during follow-up. Model 4: age, sex, race, and LVEF. Model 5: age, sex, race, and exercise-induced

metabolic syndrome was borderline statistically significant related to heart failure (1.56 [95% CI 0.99–2.45]; P = 0.054).

CONCLUSIONS—In the present study, we established that diabetes and glycemic control are independent risk factors for the development of heart failure in patients with stable CAD.

Diabetes as a risk factor for heart failure

The first observation that diabetes was linked to the development of heart failure was demonstrated 30 years ago (15). Nevertheless, only recently has heart failure been acknowledged as a serious and often fatal complication for patients with diabetes (16). Other studies (3,17) reported that, in the general population, diabetes increases the risk for heart failure approximately twofold. Studies in elderly individuals (18), patients with high-risk vascular disease (19), patients with myocardial infarctions (6) or patients with chronic heart failure (20) had comparable observations, although some of them failed to adjust for accepted confounding factors such as diastolic dysfunction (6,18–20) or LVEF (19). Our data extend this knowledge as we performed several important assessments.

First, we assessed the strength of the association between diabetes and heart failure in a population with stable CAD. In this patient group, we found a more than twofold elevated risk for heart failure, attributable to diabetes (HR 2.17). This result is in line with recent outcomes from a placebo-controlled ACE inhibitor trial (21). The association persisted after adjustment for age, sex, race, smoking, physical inactivity, BMI, LDL cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, myocardial infarction during follow-up, LVEF, exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities, diastolic dysfunction, logCRP, and ACE inhibitor/ARB and β-blocker use.

Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analyses using different diabetes definitions showed similar statistically significant outcomes with respect to heart failure development (data not shown). All HRs were between 1.95 and 2.23 for univariable analyses and 1.87 and 3.81 for multivariable analyses. Metabolic syndrome was not significantly related to heart failure in unadjusted analyses (HR 1.95 [95% CI 0.99–3.92]; P = 0.054).

However, after adjustment for age and sex, metabolic syndrome was borderline statistically significant related to heart failure (1.56 [95% CI 0.99–2.45]; P = 0.054).

CONCLUSIONS—In the present study, we established that diabetes and glycemic control are independent risk factors for the development of heart failure in patients with stable CAD.

Diabetes as a risk factor for heart failure

The first observation that diabetes was linked to the development of heart failure was demonstrated 30 years ago (15). Nevertheless, only recently has heart failure been acknowledged as a serious and often fatal complication for patients with diabetes (16). Other studies (3,17) reported that, in the general population, diabetes increases the risk for heart failure approximately twofold. Studies in elderly individuals (18), patients with high-risk vascular disease (19), patients with myocardial infarctions (6) or patients with chronic heart failure (20) had comparable observations, although some of them failed to adjust for accepted confounding factors such as diastolic dysfunction (6,18–20) or LVEF (19). Our data extend this knowledge as we performed several important assessments.

First, we assessed the strength of the association between diabetes and heart failure in a population with stable CAD. In this patient group, we found a more than twofold elevated risk for heart failure, attributable to diabetes (HR 2.17). This result is in line with recent outcomes from a placebo-controlled ACE inhibitor trial (21). The association persisted after adjustment for age, sex, race, smoking, physical inactivity, BMI, LDL cholesterol, systolic blood pressure. Because in-terim myocardial infarction (as a surro-gate measure for progression of CAD) and exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities also did not attenuate the strength of the association between diabetes and heart failure, our data suggest that diabetes is a risk factor for heart failure, inde-pendent of CAD risk factors, CAD
progression, and the baseline presence of myocardial ischemia.

Adequate adjustment is important because diabetes is a disorder that frequently is clustered with other risk factors for CAD and heart failure. Without this adjustment, an estimation of the real strength of the association between diabetes and heart failure remains a subject of discussion, making the causality issue a matter of speculation. Yet, as far as we know, no previous studies took into account the severity of the underlying cardiovascular disease as extensively as we did herein. This strategy confirmed not only that diabetes is an independent risk factor for heart failure but it also showed also that diabetes, compared with other established risk factors (e.g., age, smoking status, physical inactivity, LVEF, exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities, diastolic dysfunction, and CRP), is among the key players in heart failure development.

Second, because diabetes emerged as a risk factor for heart failure, we investigated whether the level of glycemic control is related to the incidence of heart failure hospitalizations. Previous studies have been inconsistent on this point. Although the majority of studies point to a relation between glucose levels and heart failure (4,19,22), a report of the Cardiovascular Health Study (18) showed no association after adjustment for demographic data and baseline disease. Importantly, these studies measured glycaemia on a single occasion. However, the widely used clinical test, A1C, which reflects mean blood glucose over the previous 8–12 weeks, may be more informative in terms of a patient’s glucose control. In our study, A1C was strongly associated with new heart failure episodes as a continuous variable, whereas it was borderline significant as a dichotomized variable. This finding is in line with a study by Nichols et al. (23) and remained robust in analyses incorporating other important covariates of heart failure development. The HR of heart failure hospitalization was larger for diabetes than for A1C, suggesting that the level of glycemic control may not be the crucial factor when one is unraveling causality between diabetes and heart failure. However, it should be emphasized that this result was based on a single measurement of A1C at baseline and did not necessarily reflect glycemic control during the follow-up period. Nevertheless, this idea is supported by our observation that in patients who used antidiabetes medications (n = 156), those with adequate glucose control did not differ from those with poorly regulated diabetes in terms of heart failure outcome. Another study (24) also failed to find a relationship between effective antidiabetes treatment (in terms of glycemic control) and improvement in heart failure outcome.

The reason that diabetes (or the lack of glycemic control) is related to heart failure has been intensively investigated. Both preclinical and clinical studies resulted in the identification of potential pathways leading to “diabetic cardiomyopathy” (25,26): facilitation of atherogenesis, autonomic dysfunction, interstitial fibrosis, glycation of interstitial proteins, impaired calcium homeostasis, upregulation of the renin-angiotensin system, increased oxidative stress, altered substrate metabolism, and mitochondrial dysfunction. In our study, the strength of the association between diabetes and heart failure did not attenuate after adjustment for established risk factors for heart failure, suggesting that traditional risk factors are not responsible for the detrimental association, giving fuel to the diabetic cardiomyopathy hypothesis.

Study limitations
Our results must be interpreted in the light of several limitations. First, no catheterization was performed at baseline to ascertain the extent of CAD. However, we think that in the context of the development of heart failure, a functional assessment (i.e., inducible myocardial ischemia) is even more important. In our study, a method with high accuracy was used to detect myocardial ischemia (i.e., exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities) (11). Second, because our study population consisted only of patients with stable CAD, conclusions cannot be extrapolated to populations with unstable coronary syndromes.

In summary, our study endorses once more the detrimental influence of diabetes on heart failure prognosis. In the context of heart failure development, the level of A1C, as a measure of cumulative glycemic burden, is an important marker of increased risk. Because prevention of heart failure is an important public health goal and no clear improvement in event rates was noted during the past few years, future studies should focus on the mechanism by which diabetes leads to heart failure and how these effects can be prevented.
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