Efficacy of clindamycin compared with amoxicillin-metronidazole after a 7-day regimen in the treatment of periodontitis in patients with diabetes: a randomized clinical trial
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ABSTRACT

Objective To determine the efficacy of clindamycin compared with amoxicillin-metronidazole after a 7-day regimen during nonsurgical treatment of periodontitis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Research design and methods In this double-blind, randomized clinical trial, a total of 42 patients with chronic periodontitis and type 2 diabetes were included. Patients were randomly assigned to treatment with either clindamycin or amoxicillin-metronidazole three times a day during 7 days. Clinical determinations (probing depth, bleeding on probe, and plaque index) were performed to determine the extent and severity of periodontitis before and after the pharmacological treatment.

Results After 7 days of administration of clindamycin or amoxicillin-metronidazole, no differences were observed between the clinical determinations, probing depth (0.44 vs 0.50 mm, p=0.624), plaque index (17.62 vs 15.88%, p=0.910), and bleeding on probing (16.12 vs 22.17%, p=0.163), respectively. There were no adverse events in either group.

Conclusion The administration during 7 days of clindamycin or amoxicillin-metronidazole showed the same efficacy for the reduction of probing depth, plaque index, and bleeding on probing in patients with periodontitis and type 2 diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a risk factor for gingivitis and periodontitis. Diabetic adults with poorly controlled glycaemia had a 2.9-fold increased risk of having periodontitis compared with nondiabetic adult subjects.1 2 It is estimated that periodontitis affects 740 million people worldwide.23 The link between both pathologies is an altered immunoinflammatory response and changes in gingival microflora as a consequence of chronic hyperglycaemia. This pathogenic flora has a relationship with worsened periodontitis. The complications of chronic periodontitis are the progressive destruction of the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone.4–7 Standard periodontal treatment includes mechanical debridement to remove biofilm and calculus from the affected root surfaces.8 9 Because this procedure has some limitations, the use of antimicrobial agents is an option to eradicate pathogenic bacteria in deep pockets, root furcations, and concavities. In this sense, the objective of periodontal antibiotic therapy is to reduce the number of periodontal pathogens and produce a healthy periodontium in a short time.10 11 The most used treatment is the combination of amoxicillin with metronidazole (AMX-MET) due their synergistic effect.
and their wide spectrum of activity. However, there are reports of higher resistance in some pathogens compared with other antibiotics. Rams et al., mentioned a resistance of 55% vs 30.3% with the use of AMX-MET compared with clindamycin, respectively. Clindamycin is a semi-synthetic derivative of lincomycin, used in the treatment of dental, bone or joint infections. Moreover, it has been used in the treatment of foot infections in patients with diabetes and in the prevention of endocarditis.

In spite of both antibiotic regimens being effective against anaerobic microorganisms, there are no studies that compare both therapies in the treatment of periodontitis in patients with diabetes.

The purpose of the present study was to determine the efficacy of systemic clindamycin compared with amoxicillin/metronidazole in the treatment of periodontitis during nonsurgical periodontal treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Study design**

A parallel, randomized, double-blind, single-center clinical trial was designed to compare the efficacy of two antibiotic therapies. The study was carried out on patients at the Integral Dentistry Clinics of the University Center of Health Sciences of the University of Guadalajara in Jalisco, Mexico. The participants attended for dental care from August 2015 to December 2016. The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the University Center of Health Sciences of University of Guadalajara and conducted according to Good Clinical Practice and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent before the initiation of study procedures. The protocol was registered in Clinicaltrials.gov NCT 03374176.

The sample size was calculated with a 95% confidence level and statistical power of 80%. A SD of 1.0mm with an expected difference of 1.0mm probing depth reduction between treatments. This resulted in a total of 14 patients per arm; however, considering an attrition of about 20%, it was established that least 21 subjects should be included in each treatment group.

**Patient population**

**Criteria for selection**

Patients of both sexes (aged >18–70 years) with a diagnosis of chronic periodontitis moderately localized according to the 1999 International World Workshop for a Classification of Periodontal Diseases and Conditions and a history of type 2 diabetes with a HbA1C <8% and a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) <180mg/dL, were chosen. Diabetes should not have been diagnosed more than 10 years previously. Patients with aggressive periodontitis, pregnant or lactating females, persons who required antibiotic premedication for the performance of periodontal examination and treatment or who had received antibiotic treatment in the previous 3 months were excluded. A history of anti-inflammatory therapy during the preceding 6 months or who had received a course of periodontal treatment within the previous 6 months, who were allergic to penicillin, metronidazole or clindamycin, who smoked or were not able to provide consent to participate in the study were also excluded. All the included participants received the appropriate treatment for glucose control as determined by their specialist doctor.

**Intervention**

Participants were randomly allocated 1:1 to receive 300mg clindamycin plus placebo or 500mg amoxicillin plus 250mg metronidazole and allocated using a random numbers generator. AMX and MET are distinctive pills, so we decided to use placebo pills plus clindamycin with the objective of eliminating possible bias. These drugs were blinded in bottles with the same characteristics and neither the patient nor the researcher knew what treatment was being applied. Subjects in both groups were instructed to take the treatment three times a day for 7 days. The procedure was explained and managed by a previously trained nurse.

**Assessments**

Participants were evaluated twice. A complete periodontal examination was conducted, including a full medical and dental history, an introral examination and full-mouth periodontal probing. A radiographic examination was undertaken using either periapical films or a pantomogram. Periodontal therapy was initiated within 1 month of the baseline screening examination. A standard cycle of periodontal therapy consisting of oral hygiene instruction, supragingival and subgingival mechanical instrumentation scaling and root planing (SRP) was performed by two experienced therapists.

**Clinical parameters**

Clinical parameters were assessed using a North Caroline Periodontal Probe by the calibrated examiner at six sites. Full-mouth plaque scores were recorded by assigning a binary score to each surface (1 for plaque present, 0 for absent) and by calculating the proportion of all tooth surfaces on which plaque was detected using a disclosing tablet. Similarly, a full-mouth proportion of bleeding sites was calculated after dichotomously assessing bleeding on probing from the bottom of the pocket with a manual probe with a force of 0.3N. Full-mouth probing pockets depth (PPD) and recession of the gingival margin were recorded at the same time with measurements rounded to the nearest millimeter.

**Statistical analysis**

Age, FPG, HbA1C tooth, and sounding depth were presented as means and SD. The intragroup differences were calculated with Wilcoxon rank test. The intergroup differences were calculated with Mann-Whitney test. Sex, bacterial plaque, and bleeding to the sounding were summarized using proportions and analyzed with χ². In all analyses, a p<0.05 (two tailed) was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS
A total of 42 patients were enrolled in this study; figure 1 shows a flow diagram. The comparative analysis of the baseline measurements showed no differences between study groups (table 1). After antimicrobial therapy, no significant intragroup differences in probing depth, plaque index, and bleeding on probing were observed. There were no statistically significant differences between the groups when the clinical variables were evaluated (figures 2 and 3).

No loss of teeth between groups compared with baseline was reported. No serious adverse events occurred during the study.

DISCUSSION
Complications due to diabetes have negative effects on different aspects of patients’ health: economics, quality of life, and self-esteem. Periodontitis is a common complication in patients with diabetes, not frequently mentioned. Poor glycemic control associated with periodontitis can modify the connective tissues response and the progressive destruction of periodontal structures. Periodontal treatment may have a positive effect on hyperglycemia, independently of diabetic treatment.17–19

Recent evidence has shown that the use of adjunct systemic antibiotics with SRP in patients with diabetes is inconclusive.10–12 Santos et al, in a systematic review, evaluated the effect of different antibiotics on periodontal parameters in patients with diabetes. The results showed a positive significant effect with the use of SRP plus antibiotics, reducing the probing depth and bleeding in probing.20 Our results showed a similar reduction in both parameters with the use of clindamycin or amoxicillin-metronidazole. In addition, these findings are in concordance to other randomized clinical trials that had used systemic antibiotics in similar populations.21–25

Figure 1  Flow chart of the study design

Table 1  Basal demographics characteristics and clinical parameters of study groups

| AMX+MET | Clindamycin | P value |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| **Sex, F/M (%)** | 10/11 (48/53) | 14/7 (67/33) | 0.212 |
| **Age, years** | 52.5 (8.0) | 52.0 (10.6) | 0.950 |
| **FPG, mg/dL** | 134.1 (23.3) | 136.3 (25.7) | 0.950 |
| **HbA1C, %** | 7.1 (0.4) | 7.3 (0.4) | 0.120 |
| **Tooth, n** | 25 (3) | 24 (5) | 0.650 |
| **Sites, n** | 147 (25) | 144 (29) | 0.705 |
| **Probing depth, mm** | 2.4 (0.6) | 2.6 (0.6) | 0.180 |
| **Plaque index, (%)** | 40.4 (22.9) | 40.7 (21.9) | 0.850 |
| **Bleeding on probing (%)** | 42.4 (24.2) | 55.6 (25.8) | 0.070 |

*Pearson χ² test. †U-Mann-Whitney test.
| Values in mean (SD).
| AMX-MET, amoxicillin with metronidazole; F, female; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; M, male.
Several authors refer to SRP plus amoxicillin-metronidazole as the most effective therapy for periodontitis in patients with diabetes when compared with several antibiotics. However, no studies compare AMX-MET with clindamycin. Clindamycin is a broad-spectrum antibiotic, active against aerobic and anaerobic bacteria including coverage against beta-lactamase producing pathogens. It could be considered an option in the treatment of diabetic infections in different sites.

Unlike other studies, the present trial shows that clinical benefit can be achieved with 7 days of antibiotic treatment vs the 14 days prescribed by other authors. According to a rational use of antibiotics, the duration of treatment should be the shortest possible and most acute oral infections are resolved in less than 7 days. Moreover, the use of short courses have some advantages: fewer adverse events, more adhesion, lower costs, and so on. There is a tendency to use higher doses for a shorter time, but the evidence about this practice is not conclusive. We consider a better option is to use standard doses for a shorter time as complement to periodontitis treatment.

The intragroup significant differences between baseline and the final visit were observed in both groups. The efficacy of amoxicillin and metronidazole in the treatment of periodontitis is established evidence. However, the use of clindamycin is a new option in oral infections.

In addition, in the present study, no teeth were reported to have been lost in either group. The principal limitation of the present study was the absence of a group with SRP plus placebo. This study design, using a placebo group, could help us to evaluate the efficacy of clindamycin versus SRP alone. However, this study shows that clindamycin is a new option similar to AMX-MET for periodontitis treatment in patients with diabetes.

**CONCLUSION**

The administration during 7 days of clindamycin or amoxicillin/metronidazole showed the same efficacy for
reducing probing depth, plaque index, and bleeding on probing in patients with periodontitis and type 2 diabetes.
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