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ABSTRACT
Purpose: To identify factors affecting length of stay (LOS) for adults participating in outpatient physical or occupational therapy programmes. Method: A scoping review of the literature was conducted using the Ovid MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, AMED, and Cochrane Library databases. Results: A total of 19 articles were retained from the search, and 2 additional articles were retrieved from grey literature (i.e., non-published sources). Personal factors affecting LOS are age and sex, both of which had inconsistent effects on LOS, and communication, language, physical, and cognitive difficulties, for which higher levels of function were generally associated with shorter LOS. Institutional factors affecting LOS were location, interdisciplinary communication, number of disciplines involved, and type of rehabilitation setting. Finally, two clinician-related factors—fewer treatment goals and a selection of evidence-informed treatment techniques—were associated with shorter LOS. Conclusions: Research on factors affecting adult outpatient rehabilitation LOS is limited and inconsistent. A preliminary list of LOS factors was produced, but this topic should be further explored with the collaboration of researchers and clinical institutions.
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Beyond the possible psychosocial and economic impacts for patients, length of stay (LOS) in outpatient rehabilitation is an important consideration in maintaining the cost effectiveness of rehabilitation services. A short LOS in rehabilitation is likely to result in substantial cost savings for the health care system,1 but these savings must not come at the expense of effective patient care. Longer LOS, though, is likely to contribute not only to greater per-patient costs but also to longer wait times before admission for other patients, a factor associated...
with poorer functional outcomes and an increased number of medical complications. It is important for patients and health care systems alike, therefore, to better understand what factors contribute to LOS in outpatient rehabilitation.

The National Rehabilitation Reporting Systems, a branch of the Canadian Institute for Health Information, has recorded annual statistics on LOS and wait times in Canadian inpatient rehabilitation facilities since 1995, but it does not provide information on outpatient services. The same lack of information characterizes the scientific literature, in which studies of factors affecting LOS have focused on the inpatient setting. To our knowledge, there is presently no summary study examining the factors that influence LOS in outpatient rehabilitation.

Our objective in this study, therefore, was to conduct a scoping review of the literature to determine whether information exists that describes factors affecting LOS for adults participating in outpatient physical rehabilitation. Our primary aims were to assess the breadth of the literature on this topic and to provide a comprehensive summary of factors identified through this review. Depending on the nature of the information gleaned from the literature, we also aimed to provide recommendations for future work on this topic. For the purposes of this review, we defined LOS as the number of days between admission to and discharge from the rehabilitation facility, excluding any service interruptions. We defined physical rehabilitation as services provided by a physical therapist or occupational therapist for a primary health condition that is physical in nature.

**METHODS**

The methodology for the scoping review was based on the framework described by Arksey and O’Malley and by Levac and colleagues. The general purpose of a scoping review is to assess, in broad terms, what research is available on a given topic of interest. The available information is summarized and charted via text, tables, and graphs, but no in-depth analysis or evaluation of the quality of the included research studies is conducted. Operationally, a scoping review’s findings will educate policymakers in their decisions, guide systematic review writers regarding the viability of a topic, and orient researchers to current gaps in knowledge.

We searched five electronic databases: Ovid MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, AMED, and the Cochrane Library. We also searched the reference lists of all review-type articles (but not articles of other types) identified through the database searches for potentially relevant articles. Finally, we retrieved references on the basis of our existing knowledge of the grey literature (i.e., non-published sources) and conducted a brief Google search using the terms length of stay and physical rehabilitation.

We used the following research question to guide the literature search: “For adults participating in an outpatient physical rehabilitation programme, including physical and/or occupational therapy, what are the factors affecting LOS?”

**Identifying relevant studies**

The search strategies used for the five electronic databases were developed with the assistance of a research librarian. (A detailed table of the entire search strategy, including the exact search terms and variations used for each electronic database, may be obtained from the authors on request.) The search strategy and terms were initially designed for Ovid MEDLINE and then modified for all other databases. Predetermined search terms were chosen to broadly represent the combined concepts of LOS and physical rehabilitation. All databases were searched from their earliest records; our final search strategy was executed on December 4, 2012. The results of the searches were organized using EndNote X6 (Thomson-Reuters, New York, NY).

**Study selection**

After removing duplicates, we divided all articles extracted from the five databases into three separate folders (alphabetically by first author) and assigned each to a team of two researchers for review. Figure 1 shows the three phases of article screening.

In phase 1 (screened by title), each researcher independently included or excluded articles on the basis of the study’s title; the two researchers then reconvened to compare their lists of included and excluded studies. In case of disagreement, a third researcher mediated discussions until a consensus was reached. A similar method of comparison was used in phases 2 (screened by abstract) and 3 (screened by article content), which involved, respectively, reviewing study abstracts and full-text articles. Full-text articles were retrieved through electronic databases, library holdings, and interlibrary loans.

Studies were excluded if they dealt exclusively with a pediatric population (aged <18 y) or with data from a rehabilitation setting other than physical rehabilitation (e.g., substance abuse, mental health, speech–language); inpatient settings exclusively; home-care services; treatment programmes that did not involve either occupational or physical therapy (e.g., surgical, pharmaceutical); or preoperative treatment only (i.e., having a preset end date of treatment). A detailed list of inclusion and exclusion criteria applied at each phase of study selection is available from the authors on request. Studies were also excluded if they did not investigate LOS or were in a language other than English or French or if the study design implied a level of evidence considered too low in quality (e.g., expert opinion paper, editorial, newsletter, single-case study).
After phase 3 of the study selection process (see Figure 1), we extracted and charted specific data from each of the retained studies. Within the pairs assigned to each of the three data folders, each researcher independently extracted the following information from each study: authors, title, year and country of publication, patient population (health condition, sex, mean age), number of study participants, study design, study objectives, and outcomes related to LOS. Each pair of researchers then compared their results for agreement, and a third researcher was included to reach consensus in the case of any discrepancies in the extracted data.

RESULTS

As illustrated in Figure 1, the combined search of five electronic databases yielded 11,808 articles. After removal of duplicates, the remaining 8,566 articles were divided between three pairs of researchers for study selection. Of these, 4,166 were excluded on the basis of title (phase 1), and a further 4,119 were excluded on the basis of abstract content (phase 2), leaving 281 full-text articles to be examined in phase 3. Of these, 73 could not be retrieved; however, in the absence of full text, any sufficiently detailed abstract was considered for data extraction. Although abstracts cannot be assumed to be completely representative of their full-text counterparts,6
they were nonetheless useful for the general purpose of this scoping review, in that they captured in broad terms any accessible relevant data that existed. A further 186 articles were excluded on the basis of our inclusion and exclusion criteria (see Figure 2 for details), leaving 19 articles retained from the initial search; of these, 3 were available only as abstracts.\(^8\text{-}^{10}\)

Only 1 systematic review article was examined in phase 3 of the study selection process.\(^4\) The information in this article did not match the inclusion criteria for the current review, and its reference list did not yield any articles that met our inclusion or exclusion criteria. We retrieved 2 additional references on the basis of our existing knowledge of the grey literature.\(^11,12\) Thus, at the end of the three-phase study selection process, 21 studies were retained. Data extracted from these 21 articles were organized in a condensed data charting form (available from the authors on request; see Appendix 1). Of the 21 articles, 18 were cohort studies, 1 was a cross-sectional study, 1 was a case-control study, and 1 was a quasi-experimental study. Eighteen studies addressed possible patient factors that influence LOS, 4 addressed institutional factors, and 3 investigated clinician-related factors. A descriptive, numerical summary of the retained studies is presented in Appendix 1; Appendix 2 gives a preliminary list of LOS factors.

**Factors affecting LOS**

On the basis of the literature, we identified 11 unique factors that affected LOS for adults participating in an outpatient physical rehabilitation programme, although for certain factors impact on LOS was inconsistent between studies. We classified these 11 factors as patient related, institutional, or clinician related; they are summarized in Box 1.

**Patient-related factors**

**Age:** Three studies identified age as a factor affecting LOS in outpatient physical rehabilitation, but their findings varied, which suggests that age may interact with other factors such as the condition targeted by the treatment or the number of treatment goals set by the treating clinician. Grimmer and May\(^13\) reported that people aged 75 years or older were likely to stay longer in outpatient rehabilitation than those aged between 17 and 74 years. Their study’s patient population was relatively large (360 patients), but no medical condition was specified, which suggests that these patients may have been undergoing physical rehabilitation for a variety of conditions. Conversely, Gandhi and colleagues\(^11\) found a negative correlation between age and LOS for people with stroke, and Dionne and colleagues\(^14\) found no significant relationship between age and LOS for people with chronic low back pain resulting from occupational injury.

**Sex:** Studies assessing sex and LOS also had mixed results, although most found no relationship. In a data extraction survey by De Feo and colleagues,\(^15\) women with cardiovascular disease underwent a longer rehabilitation period than men. Brennan and colleagues\(^8\) initially found a similar relationship after shoulder surgery, but a subsequent report by the same group found no impact of sex on LOS during physical therapy rehabilitation in this population.\(^16\) Other studies also found no effect of sex on LOS in people with stroke\(^11\) or in people with thoracic or low back pain.\(^9,14\)

**Language or communication difficulties:** Only one study addressed the effect of language and communication on LOS.\(^13\) This study found that people with speech or hearing impairments and those who did not speak the
native language of where the institution was located had longer LOS than people without these difficulties.

**Level of function:** Higher levels of function tended to be associated with shorter LOS in outpatient physical rehabilitation. The studies used a variety of measures, however, which made a direct comparison between studies difficult. Of the studies reviewed that investigated the association between patient function and LOS, only Hoenig and colleagues\(^\text{17}\) did not find a significant relationship. The patient populations involved in this study were people with multiple sclerosis and spinal cord injury. The Self-Reported Functional Measure (a tool derived from the FIM) was used as a measure of functional independence. The remainder of the retained studies were conducted with people with stroke and associated higher levels of function with shorter LOS. Mackenzie and colleagues\(^\text{18}\) found a positive correlation between LOS and the Sickness Impact Profile. Gandhi and colleagues\(^\text{11}\) found a positive correlation between the total number of functional problems on admission and LOS. Huang and colleagues\(^\text{19}\) found that Barthel Index scores (where a higher score indicates greater functional independence) at 1 month post-stroke were negatively correlated with LOS. Bates and Stineman used FIM scores to group people with stroke as severely, moderately, or mildly disabled.\(^\text{20}\) People with severe disability had the longest LOS; those with mild disability had the shortest.

**Physical diagnosis and comorbidities:** Two studies found that adults undergoing outpatient physical rehabilitation for neurological conditions, including stroke, tended to have longer LOS than those with orthopaedic or musculoskeletal conditions,\(^\text{12,21}\) and this was true for both single-service and inter-professional programmes. Galski and colleagues\(^\text{21}\) also found that people with stroke were prescribed significantly more weekly hours of outpatient therapy than those in an orthopaedic cohort.

Different neurological conditions, as well as associated impairments and comorbidities, are also likely to lead to different LOS in outpatient physical rehabilitation. Schwartz and colleagues\(^\text{22}\) observed a significantly longer LOS for people with a spinal cord injury than for people with a traumatic brain injury (TBI). Furthermore, those who had sustained their injury during a terrorist attack or who had post-traumatic stress disorder tended toward a longer LOS. Among people with TBI, agitation was also found to increase LOS.\(^\text{23}\) Fever after TBI, with or without additional non-neurological injuries (e.g., fractures, organ damage), may also prolong LOS, although these findings did not reach statistical significance.\(^\text{24}\) Finally, Galski and colleagues\(^\text{21}\) found that impairments in higher order cognitive functions after stroke, such as the ability for conceptualization, short-term memory, and constructional ability, led to increased outpatient referrals and hours of treatment. Grimmer and May\(^\text{13}\) also reported longer LOS in people with cognitive impairments.

In orthopaedic and musculoskeletal patient populations, specific diagnosis and severity of the condition were also found to affect LOS in outpatient rehabilitation. Among people with thoracic spine pain, the presence of a compression fracture was associated with longer LOS, although only by a small margin.\(^\text{9}\) For people with low back pain, those who had experienced at least two episodes severe enough to warrant 2 or more weeks’ sick leave from work also had longer LOS than those who did not meet this criterion.\(^\text{14}\) Different types of shoulder surgery were also found to warrant different LOS.\(^\text{8}\) In people with lower limb amputation, those with a trans-femoral amputation warranted a shorter LOS than those with a transtibial amputation.\(^\text{25}\) Hunter and colleagues\(^\text{10}\) reported a higher number of visits among people with knee and shoulder conditions than with thoracic, cervical, and lumbar conditions.

**Institutional factors**

**Location of the rehabilitation centre:** Grimmer and May\(^\text{13}\) found that people undergoing occupational therapy treatment in metropolitan hospitals were substantially more likely (odds ratio, 8.9; 95% confidence interval,
significantly shorter LOS. Fritz and colleagues administering physical exercises after total knee arthroplasty resulted in including mental practice along with the usually prescribed physical therapy activities for people with occupational low back pain. In this case, average physiotherapy LOS was reduced from 17 days to 12.

For those receiving neurological (including post-stroke) rehabilitation, the GTA Rehab Network report found a large variance in average LOS, likely because the sample included certain specialty clinics with inherently long LOS. Thus, average LOS was shortest in acute community hospitals (even shorter than for musculoskeletal conditions), longer in rehabilitation centres, and longest in acute teaching hospitals (with substantially longer LOS for the last two settings, well beyond the average LOS for musculoskeletal conditions in similar settings).

Number of disciplines involved in care: Gandhi and colleagues assessed the impact on LOS of having multiple disciplines involved in patient care, often including disciplines beyond physical or occupational therapy. They found a moderately positive correlation between the total number of disciplines involved with a patient and LOS.

Interdisciplinary communication programme: Becker- man and colleagues studied whether the Rehabilitation Activity Profile—a programme intended to improve interdisciplinary communication, including a structured method to document patient information—would produce better rehabilitation outcomes and shorter LOS. Although the programme was only partially implemented for the outpatients in this study, LOS for those in the programme was roughly half that of the group for whom the programme was not used at all.

Clinician-related factors

Choice of treatment technique: The two studies that specifically assessed how choice of treatment technique affected LOS found a strong effect. Fell found that including mental practice along with the usually prescribed physical exercises after total knee arthroplasty resulted in significantly shorter LOS. Fritz and colleagues found similar outcomes with the inclusion of spine manipulation in addition to usual orthopaedic treatment techniques for people with occupational low back pain. In this case, average physiotherapy LOS was reduced from 17 days to 12.

Number of treatment goals: Gandhi and colleagues found a moderate but significant correlation between the total number of treatment goals and a patient’s LOS, which suggests that LOS tends to increase along with an increase in the number of treatment goals.

DISCUSSION

The most telling finding of our scoping review is the scarcity of data on factors that affect LOS in outpatient physical rehabilitation. We found only 21 studies that examined this topic in any fashion, and most of these assessed LOS as a secondary variable, providing only limited analysis and discussion. In contrast, a wealth of literature and government-funded statistics (e.g., from the Canadian Institute for Health Information) examined similar factors for inpatient populations. Box 1 summarizes the factors identified as affecting LOS in adult outpatient physical rehabilitation.

Recommendations

Our findings make it clear that a better understanding and analysis is needed of factors that affect LOS in outpatient physical rehabilitation. This scoping review may provide researchers with a starting point for further study of this topic. Because little information could be gleaned from the literature, however, and because of the inconsistent objectives and methodologies of the studies retained in this scoping review, we suggest that future work should also use expert opinion and consultation with stakeholders (e.g., rehabilitation centres and networks) to help determine the direction of future primary research. We also believe that future research would be facilitated by access to more complete electronic medical records and by more standardized patient assessment within and between institutions. The lack of consistency among studies in how certain factors are measured (e.g., level of function) makes synthesizing findings across studies difficult. A variety of study designs may be appropriate for future primary research, although longitudinal prospective observational cohort studies seem likely to be most useful.

Our findings related to the effect of physical diagnosis on LOS also suggest that future studies should target more homogeneous patient populations, based on diagnosis (e.g., arthroplasty, stroke) or more general categorization (e.g., orthopaedic, neurological, cardiorespiratory). Factors that may be universal across these patient groups can then be examined post hoc.

Finally, our findings suggest that certain factors related to LOS (e.g., age, functional level, number of treatment goals) may interact. Future studies should therefore account for such interactions in their statistical analyses.

Limitations

The most evident limitation of this scoping review is that we were able to examine only a portion of the information that may be available globally: Only studies written in English and French were considered, and some studies (which may or may not have contained relevant information) could not be retrieved as full-text...
articles. Our review also excluded the numerous studies that have examined the relationship between factors such as specific treatment technique and LOS in in-patient populations. The extent to which such findings can be generalized to the outpatient population, however, remains to be determined. A complete assessment of the grey literature was also deemed unfeasible; therefore, the data presented in this article are limited to the results of a short exploratory effort and should not be regarded as fully representative of the grey literature. It is also important to note that scoping reviews have inherent limitations, such as lack of critical appraisal of the selected articles and lack of statistical analysis.6,7 In all likelihood, however, these limitations do not affect the overall conclusion of this review: that very little information is currently available on factors affecting LOS in outpatient physical rehabilitation.

CONCLUSION

The evidence of factors that influence outpatient rehabilitation is limited and inconsistent. A preliminary list of factors affecting LOS was produced. Further research on this topic is required, with the collaboration of researchers and institutions.

KEY MESSAGES

What is already known on this topic

No summary information is currently available in the literature on factors affecting outpatient physical rehabilitation length of stay (LOS), although this topic has been extensively tracked and studied in the inpatient setting.

What this study adds

This scoping review exposes the dearth of available evidence on factors affecting LOS in outpatient physical rehabilitation. The nature and content of the current available evidence is summarized, along with a preliminary list of 11 factors that affect LOS, categorized as patient-related, institutional, or clinician-related factors. This literature review serves as a starting point for future primary research in this field.
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| Grimmer & May (2001; Australia) | Health conditions not specified (360 outpatients) | Cohort study | - Cognitive abilities, such as the ability for conceptualization, short-term memory, and constructional ability, significantly contributed to the variance associated with the prediction of outpatient therapy hours. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network (2011; Canada) | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - Compared to the orthopaedic cohort, the CVA patients required significantly more weekly hours of outpatient therapy. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - A moderate correlation was found between total number of functional problems on admission and LOS ($r = 0.456$, $p < 0.001$). |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - Total number of disciplines was moderately correlated with LOS ($r = 0.471$, $p < 0.001$). |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - Total number of goals set for treatment was moderately correlated with LOS ($r = 0.519$, $p < 0.001$). |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - A significant moderate correlation ($r = 0.324$, $p = 0.002$) between age and LOS. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - LOS was not significantly different between men and women ($p = 0.343$). |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - The average LOS stay for patients with and without fever was 62.5 days and 49.8 days, respectively, and this difference was not significant. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - LOS stay was insignificantly a week longer in patients with both non-neurological injuries and fever than for patients without such findings. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - Average length of rehabilitation stay was only 2 days longer in patients with associated non-neurological injuries (i.e., fractures or organ damage) but without fever. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - Patients aged 75 years or more had significantly increased likelihood of requiring longer episodes of care than patients aged between 17 and 74. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - Patients judged by occupational therapists to have communication difficulties (such as speech and hearing impairment, non-English-speaking background, or cognitive impairment) were significantly more likely to have longer episodes of care than patients without such difficulties. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - Patients treated in a metropolitan hospital had longer episodes of care than patients treated in rural settings. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - There were no noteworthy non-significant results, nor were there identifiable trends. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - Average LOS of specialty clinics for patients who have MSK condition was 51 days and the average LOS of specialty for patients who have neuro-stroke condition was 352 days. LOS of single services and inter-professional programs for MSK was 69 days compared with 89 days for neuro-stroke. |
| Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network | MSK and neuro-stroke (143 participants from outpatient rehabilitation programs from the Greater Toronto Area Rehab Network membership as well as 1 non-member) | Cohort study (final annual report, grey literature) | - In comparison to MSK programs, neuro-stroke programs (including specialty clinics) had a longer LOS in rehabilitation hospitals and acute teaching hospitals, but a slightly shorter one in acute community hospitals; these variances were primarily accounted for by the presence of specialty clinics with a long average LOS. |
| Authors (year; country) | Health condition (no. of participants) | Study design | Outcomes related to length of stay |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Hoenig et al. (2001; United States) | MS and SCI (6,361 with SCI 1,789 with MS; outpatients) | Cohort study | • No statistically significant correlation between self-reported Functional Measure score and number of outpatient visits. |
| Huang et al. (2009; Taiwan) | Stroke (76 from multidisciplinary rehabilitation programs, including PT and occupational therapy in the in-patient department and continuous rehabilitation therapy in the outpatient department for at least 3 mo) | Cohort study | • Rehabilitation intervention time from onset, existence of aphasia, LOS, and having had craniotomy were negatively correlated with Barthel Index at 1 month ($p < 0.05$). |
| Huang et al. (2009; Taiwan) | Stroke (76 from multidisciplinary rehabilitation programs, including PT and occupational therapy in the in-patient department and continuous rehabilitation therapy in the outpatient department for at least 3 mo) | Cohort study | • Barthel Index scores at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year after stroke were negatively correlated with LOS ($r = -0.4$, $-0.19$, $-0.26$, and $-0.14$, respectively). |
| Hunter et al. (2010; United States) | Medicare beneficiaries (60+) receiving outpatient physical therapy for a 3-year period (1,905 outpatients) | Cohort study | • Barthel Index scores at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year after stroke were negatively correlated with LOS with the exception of at 1 month, which showed a weak negative $r = -0.03$. |
| Magel et al. (2011; United States) | Orthopaedic: TCF and TNoCF (total: 747 outpatients, of whom 694 were classified as having TNoCF and 53 were classified as having TCF) | Cohort study | • The following months showed $r = 0.27$, 0.21, and 0.13 respectively. |
| Nott et al. (2006; Australia) | TBI (80 patients; unclear if outpatient or in-patients) | Cohort study | • Patients with knee and shoulder conditions received the highest number of PT visits (mean 8.5 and 8.0). |
| Schwartz et al. (2007; Israel) | MT without CNS involvement, MT with TBI, and MT with SCI (144 participants; receiving in-patient and outpatient rehabilitation) | Cohort study | • No statistically significant correlation was found between demographic variables and LOS. |
| Schwartz et al. (2007; Israel) | MT without CNS involvement, MT with TBI, and MT with SCI (144 participants; receiving in-patient and outpatient rehabilitation) | Cohort study | • There was no difference in LOS between terror and non-terror patients. |
| Schwartz et al. (2007; Israel) | MT without CNS involvement, MT with TBI, and MT with SCI (144 participants; receiving in-patient and outpatient rehabilitation) | Cohort study | • LOS of the SCI subgroup was significantly longer than that of the TBI subgroup ($p = 0.03$) and the MT subgroup ($p = 0.003$), for both terror and non-terror patients. |
| Schwartz et al. (2007; Israel) | MT without CNS involvement, MT with TBI, and MT with SCI (144 participants; receiving in-patient and outpatient rehabilitation) | Cohort study | • No significant difference was found between LOS of the MT and TBI subgroup of terror or non-terror patients. |
| Schwartz et al. (2007; Israel) | MT without CNS involvement, MT with TBI, and MT with SCI (144 participants; receiving in-patient and outpatient rehabilitation) | Cohort study | • There was a correlation between the occurrence of PTSD and LOS in outpatients. |
| Schwartz et al. (2007; Israel) | MT without CNS involvement, MT with TBI, and MT with SCI (144 participants; receiving in-patient and outpatient rehabilitation) | Cohort study | • Patients with PTSD stayed 58 (SD 22) days longer than patients without PTSD symptoms ($p = 0.01$). |

LOT = length of treatment; FRG = function-related groups; ST = stroke; SCI = spinal cord injury; MS = multiple sclerosis; RAP = Rehabilitation Activity Profile; LOS = length of stay; PT = physical therapy; RCR = rotator cuff repair; LBP = low back pain; CVA = cerebrovascular accident; TBI = traumatic brain injury; MSK = musculoskeletal; QOL = quality of life; TCF = thoracic spine pain with compression fracture; TNoCF = thoracic spine pain with no compression fracture; MT = multiple trauma; CNS = central nervous system; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder.
APPENDIX 2: PRELIMINARY LIST OF LENGTH OF STAY FACTORS

**Patient Factors**
1. Age
2. Gender
3. Language and communication difficulties
4. Level of function and disease impact
5. Physical diagnosis and comorbidities

**Institutional Factors**
6. Location of rehabilitation centre
7. Institutional interdisciplinary communication program
8. Number of disciplines seen by patients
9. Rehabilitation setting

**Clinician Factors**
10. Choice of treatment technique
11. Total number of treatment goals