Genome Introgression of Híbrido de Timor and Its Potential to Develop High Cup Quality C. arabica Cultivars
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Abstract

Híbrido de Timor is the principal source for disease and pest resistance genes in C. arabica breeding program worldwide. The part of the chromosome responsible for resistance introgressed from C. canephora to Híbrido de Timor are claimed to affect the cup quality of the C. arabica cultivars derived from the crossing program of Híbrido de Timor. Therefore, this work was done to study the genome introgression of Híbrido de Timor and its impact on the cup quality on the C. arabica cultivars. For genome introgression and genetic relationship analysis, seventy-six accessions from C. arabica, C. canephora and Híbrido de Timor were analyzed using AFLP and SSR molecular markers. To understand the effect of genome introgressed from Híbrido de Timor on cup quality, three C. arabica, seven Híbrido de Timor and six cultivars derived from Híbrido de Timor × C. arabica were genotyped using SSR molecular markers and sensorial analysis was performed. The genetic diversity analysis among the tested genotypes showed high genetic similarity between Híbrido de Timor with C. arabica and clear differentiation among coffee species. The analysis of genome introgression of C. arabica and C. canephora var Robusta into Híbrido de Timor not reach 30% of C. canephora genome. The sensorial analysis of coffee genotypes demonstrated non-significant difference on cup quality parameters among C. arabica cv Bourbon and the C. arabica cultivars derived from Híbrido de Timor. Similarly, the SSR marker diversity showed high genetic similarity between the Bourbon and the C. arabica cultivars derived from Híbrido de Timor.
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1. Introduction

Coffee arabica L. (2n = 2x = 44) is a true allotetraploid species (Clarindo & Carvalho, 2008), native to Africa. C. arabica L. and C. canephora Pierre are the two most cultivated and commercialized coffee species in the world. Among them C. arabica L. has more than 70% contribution in world coffee market. It has originated in the southwestern Ethiopia and produce high cup quality. Even if the world coffee production and consumption depend on C. arabica, its production was greatly affected by diseases and pests which reduce its productivity, due to lack of resistance genes for the major diseases and pests. To control the diseases (Coffee leaf rust and coffee berry disease) and pests the producer use copper-based fungicides and herbicides, which cost annually US$ 2-2.5 billion without considering its potential environmental hazards (Van der Vossen, 2009).
An economically and environmentally friendly technique to control the disease and pests is the use of resistance cultivars. Especially, the use of coffee cultivars resistant to disease gives an economic advantage for the small-scale farmers in the developing world. Considering these advantages, the coffee researchers developed C. arabica cultivars resistant to coffee leaf rust and coffee berry diseases. The coffee leaf rust resistant cultivars derived from the progenies of Hibrido de Timor have planted in Latin America and East Africa (Van der Vossen, 2009; Pereira et al., 2008). These cultivars contribute for ecologically sustainable coffee production and considerable socio-economic benefit due to its high yield and reduced cost of production without affecting the cup quality (Van der Vossen, 2009).

Hibrido de Timor (“HT”) is the interspecific hybrid between C. arabica and C. canephora, first found in plantation of cultivar Typica in Timor Island in 1917 (Bettencourt, 1973). It is used as source of resistance gene for economically important diseases and pests of coffee such as coffee leaf rust (Hemileia vastatrix), coffee berry disease (Colletotrichum kahawae), root knot nematode (Meloidgyne exigua) and bacteriosis (Pseudomonas syringae pv Garçae) (Bertrand et al., 2003).

The small portion of the C. canephora genome introgressed into “HT” gave resistance to coffee leaf rust and other diseases. However, the concern in using this genotype in C. arabica breeding was the possibility of the C. canephora affect the cup quality. Bertrand et al. (2003) evaluated the effect of genome introgression of C. canephora on cup quality for lines derived from “HT” and showed the possibility of finding lines resistance to disease (coffee leaf rust) and nematodes combined with good quality as C. arabica cultivars. In the processes of developing resistance cultivars, breeders used the “HT” as a donor for the resistant gene and make several backcrossing with C. arabica that helps to maintain the desired cup quality of C. arabica within the new cultivars. Besides, “HT” has high natural genetic relationship with C. arabica (Lashermes et al., 1993, 1996, 1999). From this process, different cultivars of C. arabica were released in Brazil (Setotaw et al., 2013) and are being widely used in America countries.

The Brazilian germplasm has several collections of “HT”, which contain important sources of gene for disease and pest resistance. These accessions have been used in large extent in the breeding program of coffee in the world. So, understanding the genome introgression from their origin (C. arabica and C. canephora), its relation to other coffee species and its impact on coffee quality of C. arabica cultivars released for production is an important task. The potential of “HT” accessions in the development of high cup quality C. arabica cultivars was reported by Sobreira et al. (2015).

The genetic study and relationship of different coffee species can be assessed using molecular markers such as Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP) and Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) (Setotaw et al., 2010; Ferrão et al., 2013). AFLP is preferred to study the genetic diversity and genetic relationship among populations since it has a capacity of screening different regions of the genome distributed randomly throughout the chromosome (Mueller & Wolfenbarger, 1999). Another advantage of AFLP markers in relation to SSR is it does not require prior sequence information and relatively low start-up cost. In contrast SSR markers are multi-allelic, species and locus specific and relatively have high start up cost especially to develop the marker. SSR markers are used to study the genetic diversity and QTL identification in coffee by several authors (Setotaw et al., 2010; Missio et al., 2009; Ferrão et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2018).

Therefore, this work was done with the objectives: 1) to characterize accessions of “HT” using AFLP marker and assess its genetic relationship to other coffee species and its impact on cup quality. The details of the experiments were described in the following section.

2. Materials and Methods

Two separate experiments were conducted to assess the genetic relationship of “HT” with other coffee species and its impact on cup quality. The details of the experiments were described in the following section.

2.1 Genetic Materials

2.1.1 Experiment I

Seventy-six coffee accessions were used for the genome introgression analysis of “HT” and its genetic relationship study. These accessions comprise of five genotypes that belong to C. arabica species, 25 to C. canephora species (15 of the Robusta varietal group and 10 of the Conilon varietal group), 46 “HT” accessions and 1 Eugenoides (Table 1). For the genetic relationship and genome introgression analysis, AFLP and SSR markers were used.
Table 1. Coffee accessions used for genetic relationship study, genome introgression of Híbrido de Timor (HT) and sensorial analysis

| Code | Genotype Name | Description | Code | Genotype Name | Description | Code | Genotype Name | Description |
|------|---------------|-------------|------|---------------|-------------|------|---------------|-------------|
| 1    | Catuaí UFV2144 I | C. arabica | 31   | UFV 427-55 I  | HT | 61   | Conilon 3751 I | Conilon |
| 2    | Catuaí IAC44 I   | C. arabica | 32   | UFV 427-56 I  | HT | 62   | Conilon 3580 I | Conilon |
| 3    | Tipica UFV2945 I | C. arabica | 33   | UFV 427-65 I  | HT | 63   | Guarani 513 I  | Robusta |
| 4    | Bourbon UFV2952 I | C. arabica | 34   | UFV 427-90 I  | HT | 64   | Guarani 514 I  | Robusta |
| 5    | Bourbon UFV535-1 | C. arabica | 35   | UFV 438-52 I  | HT | 65   | Robusta C2258 I | Robusta |
| 6    | CIFIC 832/1 I    | HT          | 36   | UFV 439-02 I  | HT | 66   | Robusta 2257-2 | Robusta |
| 7    | CIFIC 832/2 I    | HT          | 37   | UFV 440-22 I  | HT | 67   | Robusta 2257-1 | Robusta |
| 8    | CIFIC 4106 I     | HT          | 38   | UFV 442-108 I | HT | 68   | Robusta 640-1 | Robusta |
| 9    | CIFIC 1343/269  | HT          | 39   | UFV 443-03 I  | HT | 69   | Robusta 640-2 | Robusta |
| 10   | UFV 376-01 I I    | HT | 40   | UFV 446-08 I  | HT | 70   | Robusta 640-2 | Robusta |
| 11   | UFV 376-04 I I    | HT | 41   | UFV 445-46 I | HT | 71   | Apoatã-1 | Robusta |
| 12   | UFV 376-05 I I    | HT | 42   | UFV 428-04 I | HT | 72   | Apoatã-2 | Robusta |
| 13   | UFV 376-35 I I    | HT | 43   | UFV 432-07 I | HT | 73   | Apoatã-3 | Robusta |
| 14   | UFV 376-37 I I    | HT | 44   | UFV 437-06 I | HT | 74   | Guarani-1 | Robusta |
| 15   | UFV 376-52 I I    | HT | 45   | UFV 441-03 I | HT | 75   | Guarani-2 | Robusta |
| 16   | UFV 376-57 I I    | HT | 46   | UFV 447-48 I | HT | 76   | C. eugenoides | Eugenoides |
| 17   | UFV 376-79 I I    | HT | 47   | UFV 448-69 I | HT | 77   | UFV 428-04 II | HT |
| 18   | UFV 377-01 I I    | HT | 48   | UFV 449-20 I | HT | 78   | Catiguá MG2 II | Cultivar |
| 19   | UFV 377-02 I I    | HT | 49   | UFV 450-61 I | HT | 79   | MGS Catiguá 3 II | Cultivar |
| 20   | UFV 377-23 I I    | HT | 50   | UFV 451-41 I | HT | 80   | Paraíso MG H 419-1 II | Cultivar |
| 21   | UFV 377-24 I I    | HT | 51   | Encapa 03 I  | Conilon | 81   | Pau Brasil MG1 II | Cultivar |
| 22   | UFV 377-34 I I    | HT | 52   | Encapa 04 I  | Conilon | 82   | Sacramento MG1 II | Cultivar |
| 23   | UFV 379-07 I I    | HT | 53   | Encapa 05 I  | Conilon | 83   | UFV 971-99-313 II | Cultivar |
| 24   | UFV 408-18 I I    | HT | 54   | Encapa 06 I  | Conilon | 84   | Catuai Amarello IAC62 II | Cultivar |
| 25   | UFV 408-26 I I    | HT | 55   | Encapa 07 I  | Conilon |
| 26   | UFV 408-28 I I    | HT | 56   | Encapa 08 I  | Conilon |
| 27   | UFV 427-01 I I    | HT | 57   | Encapa 09 I  | Conilon |
| 28   | UFV 427-09 I I    | HT | 58   | Conilon 66-1 I | Conilon |
| 29   | UFV 427-15 I I    | HT | 59   | Conilon 66-2 I | Conilon |
| 30   | UFV 427-22 I I    | HT | 60   | Conilon 66-3 I | Conilon |

**Note.** HT: Híbrido de Timor; I: genotypes used in experiment I (genome introgression and genetic relationship study), II: genotypes used in experiment II (for sensorial analysis).

2.1.2 Experiment II

To evaluate the effect of the genome introgression on the cup quality of Coffea arabica cultivars released in Brazil, we used pure C. arabica cultivars, C. arabica cultivars derived from the crossing program of C. arabica × “HT”, and “HT” accessions frequently used in the breeding programs. The “HT” accessions included in this experiment were also included in the first experiment. The list of the genotypes and the coffee cultivars used in this study were presented on Table 1. To study the genetic relationship among these genotypes, SSR molecular markers were used.

2.2 Genotyping of the Accessions Using AFLP and SSR Molecular Markers

2.2.1 Extraction of DNA

The DNA of the genotypes were extracted according to the method described by Diniz et al. (2005) from young green leaves. The DNA concentration was quantified using Spectrophotometer Smart Spec of BioRad (Hercules, California, United States). The extracted DNA was diluted in TE (Tri-HCL 10 mM, EDTA 1 mM, pH 8.0) to concentration of 50 ng/μl for AFLP analysis and 25 ng/μl for SSR marker analysis.

2.2.2 AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism) Analysis

The AFLP genotyping of coffee accessions were done according to the method described by Brito et al. (2010). The primer combinations MseI-AGC/EcoRI-CGT and MseI-AGC/EcoRI-CTC were used to genotype the coffee accessions in this study.
2.2.3 SSR (Simple Sequence Repeat) Marker
Eighteen microsatellite primers obtained from Combes et al. (2000) and Rovelli et al. (2000) were used to genotype the coffee in this experiment. Primers AJ250254, AJ250255, AJ250258, and AJ250260 were obtained from Combes et al. (2000). Primers AJ308752, AJ308754, AJ308755, AJ308769, AJ308776, AJ308792, AJ308796, AJ308814, AJ308819, AJ308821, AJ308825, AJ308833, AJ308819, BQ448809 were obtained from Rovelli et al. (2000). The PCR reaction was realized in a total volume of 20 μL containing 50 ng of DNA, 0.6 unit of Taq DNA polymerase, buffer 1x, 1 mM of MgCl₂, 150 μM of each dNTP and 0.1 μM of each primer. The amplification was done using the procedure of touchdown-PCR that consisted of denaturation at 94 °C for 2 minutes, followed by 13 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 seconds, primer annealing at 67 °C to 55 °C for 30 seconds, reducing 1 °C of each cycle and an extension of primer at 72 °C for 30 seconds. This step was followed by 30 more cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 seconds, primer annealing at 55 °C for 30 seconds and primer extension at 72 °C for 30 seconds. The final extension was done at 72 °C for 8 minutes. The PCR products were separated on a 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized by a silver staining solution.

2.3 Data Analysis

2.3.1 Genetic Relationship of “HT” With Other Coffee Accessions Using AFLP Molecular Markers
To study the genetic relationship between “HT” and other coffee species, the AFLP molecular marker were used to genotype 76 accessions (Table 1). The gels of AFLP were scored by visual inspection for presence (1) or absence (0) of specific AFLP-bands. Only distinct major bands were scored. To analyze the AFLP data using structure population genetic analysis software (Pritchard et al., 2000), the data matrix was coded according to Falush et al. (2007). The AFLP data statistical package (Ehrich, 2006) was used to manage the data conversion from txt to structure format.

The distance based and model-based clustering analysis was performed to study the genetic relationship of “HT” with other accessions. For the distance-based clustering analysis, the Jaccard similarity coefficient (Jaccard, 1908) was estimated using NTSYS-pc software (Version 2.10L; Rohlf, 2000). The clustering analysis and the dendrogram were generated from the similarity matrix by the UPGMA (Unweighted Pair-Group Method using Arithmetic Average) method.

The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was done among accessions based on genetic dissimilarity matrix (1-Jaccard similarity coefficient) using GenAlex 6.2 population genetic analysis software (Peakall & Smouse, 2006). Nei genetic diversity index (Nei, 1973), Shannon’s information and percent polymorphic bands (P%) with in populations were estimated using POPGENE statistical software version 1.3 (Yeh & Boyle, 1997). The pair-wise Fst analysis to understand the relationship between Híbrido de Timor with other coffee species was determined by AFLP surv (Vekemans et al., 2002). The analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) among the group of coffee species was used using the statistical software Arlequin ver. 3.1 (Excoffier et al., 2006).

The model-based Bayesian clustering analysis was done using Structure 2.3.1 population genetic analysis software (Pritchard et al., 2000) to group the accessions of coffee species into its respective groups applying admixture model. The number of populations (k) was varied from two to twelve with twenty replicate runs per each assumed k value. It was used a burning period length of 100,000 runs and a post-burning sampling by Markov Chain Monte Carlo of 100,000 runs to estimate the number of subpopulations for each of the k values. The appropriate number of cluster was determined according to Evanno et al. (2005) using Structure Harvester program (Earl & vonHoldt, 2012).

2.3.2 Genome Introgression Analysis of “HT” From C. arabica and C. canephora var Robusta
The accessions from C. arabica and C. canephora varietal group Robusta were used to estimate the shared percentage of bands into “HT”. As reported by Bettencourt (1973), the “HT” originated by the natural cross of these two species and from our first study we able to conclude the “HT” is originated from C. arabica and C. canephora var Robusta. The percentage of band shared among C. arabica and “HT” was calculated by counting the number of bands observed on both C. arabica and Híbrido de Timor. Those bands not observed in the genome of C. arabica were considered as obtained from Robusta varietal group (C. canephora). This analysis was done using the AFLP and SSR molecular marker data obtained as described in the materials and methods.

2.3.3 Study the Genetic Relationship and Cup Quality of the Different Groups of Coffee
To understand the impact of the “HT” on the cup quality of the C. arabica cultivars released in Brazil, a total of 16 genotypes, including three C. arabica (two of Bourbon and one Catuaí Vermelho), seven “HT”, and six cultivars derived from the cross between “HT” and C. arabica, were submitted for sensorial analysis. These genotypes were laid using Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD)—with three replications at
experimental site of EPAMIG (Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais), Patrocínio, Minas Gerais, Brazil. For the sensorial analysis, the coffee seed samples were collected in two growing seasons (2008 and 2009) and submitted for sensorial analysis by two qualified evaluator. Before the coffee bean submitted for sensorial analysis, the mature green cherry of each accession in each replication was harvested and prepared to be suitable for the sensorial analysis according to the coffee evaluation standard.

Cherry fruits collected from each cultivar were mechanically pulped. Seeds were prepared with and without mucilage before sun dried. After hulling, seeds were kept in a coded paper bag until three professional tasters accomplished sensorial analysis. Cup quality was analyzed according to criteria adopted for specialty coffees, with cumulative scores for aroma, uniformity, absence of defect, clean cup, flavor, acidity, body, aftertaste, balance, aspect and overall. The data obtained were subjected for analyses of variance using the following model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + G_i + yr_j + Pr_i + GYr + e_{ij} \quad (1)$$

Where, $Y_{ij}$ is the response, $G_i$ genotype effect, $yr_j$ year effect and $GYr$ genotype x year interaction effect and $e_{ij}$ residual effect.

The ANOVA was done using the PROC GLM procedure of SAS statistical analysis software (SAS inst. 2007).

3. Results

3.1 Genetic Relationship of “HT” With Other Coffee Accession

The accessions of coffee in this study categorized into five groups as C. arabica, C. canephora var Robusta, C. canephora var Conilon, “HT” and C. eugenoides before the clustering analysis. The individual population diversity measures were estimated for all the populations. The principal coordinate analysis (Figure 1) showed clear differentiation among different coffee groups and high similarity between “HT” and C. arabica accessions. In addition, the C. eugenoides, one of the parents for C. arabica, appeared in the graph near C. arabica and “HT” groups proved the possible origin of Arabica coffee. The high genetic diversity was observed within C. canephora var Robusta (Figures 1 and 2).

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 1. Principal coordinate analysis of AFLP diversity among coffee species. Where circle-red (C. arabica), square green (Híbrido de Timor), yellow circle (C. canephora var robusta), blue (C. canephora var conilon) and red circle with black (C. eugenoides)
The popu...hybridization between C. arabica and C. canephora var Robusta. This result also shows high level of similarity between “HT” and C. arabica.

The method of analysis presented in this study confirmed the origin of “HT”, which is the interspecific hybridization between C. arabica and C. canephora var Robusta in Timor Island. The clustering of “HT” with C. arabica with high membership coefficient (Figure 2) and presented in the same cluster in the PCoA (Figure 1) also confirmed this fact and shows more similarity with C. arabica.
3.2 Genome Introgression Analysis of Híbrido de Timor

To understand the genome introgression analysis of “HT” from their relative’s *C. canephora* var Robusta and *C. arabica*, the shared bands from its parent were estimated. The maximum percentage of bands shared only with *C. canephora* var Robusta were about 30% and 20% for AFLP and SSR marker, respectively (Table 2), when all the accessions of “HT” were considered together. The genome introgression analysis using two molecular markers and a large number of “HT” accessions showed that the “HT” has more percentage of genome from *C. arabica*, making it more genetically similar to species *C. arabica* than to *C. canephora*. The slight difference in the percentage of *C. canephora* genome within “HT” in AFLP marker than SSR marker may be attributed due to the high information obtained from this marker since it produced differences in all part of the genome than SSR marker.

Table 2. The number of bands shared by the *C. arabica* and *C. canephora* var Robusta with Híbrido de Timor based on AFLP and SSR molecular markers

|                        | AFLP | SSR |
|------------------------|------|-----|
|                        | N° bands | % | N° bands | % |
| Present in all *C. arabica* accessions | 45 | 62.5 | 25 | 56.82 |
| Polymorphism in *C. arabica* and *C. canephora* | 5 | 6.9 | 10 | 22.73 |
| Not detected in any *C. arabica* accession | 22 | 30.5 | 9 | 20.45 |
| Total | 72 | 100 | 44 | 100 |

3.3 Quality Analysis of *C. arabica* Cultivars Derived From Híbrido de Timor

To understand the genetic relationship and the cup quality attributes among the cultivars of coffee, “HT” and cultivars derived from the crossing of “HT” and *C. arabica*, some of these genotypes were analyzed using SSR molecular marker and subjected to sensorial analysis. The UPGMA clustering method was able to group 16 genotypes into three principal cluster using SSR markers (Figure 3): Cluster I (UFV 427-09, UFV 450-61, Catuai amarelo IAC62, Bourbon UFV 535-1, Bourbon UFV2952, UFV 449-20, Paraíso MG H 419-1, UFV 445-46), Cluster II (MGS Catiguá 3, Pau Brasil MG1, UFV 376-01), and Cluster III (UFV 376-52, Catiguá MG2, UFV 428-04, Sacramento MG1, UFV 971-99-313). The grouping pattern did not follow the cultivar groups since in each cluster we found *C. arabica* cultivars, “HT” and cultivar derived from *C. arabica × “HT”*. *C. arabica* cultivars var Bourbon known for its high quality were grouped with the cultivars derived from crossing of *C. arabica × “HT”* and other “HT” accessions (Figure 3). The cultivar Paraíso MG H 419-1 was grouped with UFV 449-20 (“HT”) with 100 percent similarity as shown in Figure 3. Similarly, cultivar Catiguá MG2 (*C. arabica × “HT”) also grouped with “HT” (UFV 376-52, UFV428-04) and Sacramento MG1 (*C. arabica*).

Figure 3. The dendrogram obtained by UPGMA clustering method based on the genetic distance produced from SSR molecular marker
The quality analysis among the tested genotypes showed significant difference among genotypes in over two years period (p = 0.05) on fragrance (aroma), uniformity, acidity, body, aftertaste, balance, and total quality. In general, most of the genotypes presented similar quality attributes, which revealed the little impact of the genome introgression on the quality attributes in the *C. arabica* cultivars developed from the crossing of “HT” × *C. arabica*. The bargraph on the quality attributes for the cluster groups formed using SSR marker diversity (Figure 3) showed high similarity among the genotypes (Figure 4) for all quality parameters. It proved the genome introgression from *C. canephora* via “HT” did not affect the quality even if it contributed for the resistance gene for disease and pests. The mean data of the quality parameter on different groups of coffee (*C. arabica*, “HT” and cultivars derived from the crossing of “HT” × *C. arabica*) showed non significant difference among them (Figure 4).

![Bargraph of quality attributes for cluster groups.](image)

**Figure 4.** The bargraph of quality attributes for each groups of coffee genotypes clustered using the UPGMA clustering method based on SSR marker and different groups of coffee (*C. arabica*, *Híbrido de Timor*, *C. arabica* × *Híbrido de Timor*)

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1 Genetic Relationship of “HT” With Other Coffee Accessions

The AMOVA analysis using AFLP molecular marker revealed that more variation was partitioned between coffee groups (Gst = 0.608) than within groups of coffee. Similar results were also reported using RAPD molecular marker in coffee species (Silvestrini et al., 2008). The pairwise Fst estimated between coffee groups also demonstrated that “HT” is more related to *C. arabica*, which is in accordance with result reported by Lashermes et al. (1993, 1996, 2000). This is the principal characteristics of autogamous plants where they maintain homozygosity at all loci that result low heterozygosity within the population. The multivariate statistical analysis (Principal coordinate analysis), clustering analysis and population genetic analysis clearly showed similar results and proved the existence of well-defined population structure among coffee species. In addition, it showed high genetic similarity between *C. arabica* and “HT”. The result also confirmed that the “HT” is the result of interspecific hybridization of *C. arabica* and *C. canephora* as reported by Lashermes et al. (2000).
The model based Bayesian cluster analysis using Structure program (Pritchard et al., 2000) was frequently used to study the population structure and genetic diversity in different crops (Holsinger & Wallac, 2004; Kwak et al., 2009; López-Gartner et al., 2009). In this study, the Bayesian model was also used and showed that C. arabica and “HT” accessions have similar genetic architecture and grouped in Cluster III (blue) with shared ancestral probability > 0.92 (Figures 1 and 2). This result proved the existence of high genetic similarity between them. The neighbor joining tree produced by Structure software (Table 3, supplement) also proved the proximity of C. arabica and C. canephora var Robusta to “HT” that affirmed that “HT” is the interspecific hybrid of C. arabica and C. canephora var Robusta.

Cluster I include the clones of Conilon with shared ancestral probability > 0.95, indicating high uniformity among these clones. The highest admixture probability was observed within accessions of C. canephora var Robusta that demonstrated the existence of high genetic diversity within this group. The clustering analysis based on Bayesian statistics proved to be an efficient method in assigning genotypes in its respective group. López-Gartner et al. (2009) used the same method and also grouped C. arabica in its respective geographic locations. Our study also showed the efficiency of the Bayesian model-based clustering method in grouping the population used in this study.

The individual population diversity measures estimated (Shanon Inofmation index, Nei genetic diversity and percent polymorphism) showed that C. canephora var Robusta has the highest genetic diversity followed by “HT” and C. canephora var Conilon. Similar results also reported by Lashermes et al. (1993, 2000), Orozco-Castillo (1994) and Silvestrini et al. (2008).

The high genetic similarity between C. arabica and “HT” demonstrated by different statistical analysis methods proved that the selection of “HT” accessions with similar architecture and agronomic characters with C. arabica in the breeding programs influenced the genetic composition of “HT”. In addition, high genetic similarity of “HT” and C. arabica can be interpreted from the origin of “HT”, where 2/3 of its genome came from C. arabica. The directional selection and backcrossing with C. arabica reduced the introgressed alien genetic material from C. canephora but maintained the genes responsible for resistance to disease and pests, which are important for the breeding programs. The low genetic differentiation between C. arabica and “HT” (Figure 2) indicated the high gene flow between these two groups of coffee.

In addition, our result showed the existence of considerable genetic diversity among “HT” accessions. The existence of this genetic diversity within “HT” has great importance in the improvement program of C. arabica. Since this coffee group is the most important coffee group extensively used in the breeding programs as a source of resistance gene for coffee leaf rust (Hemileia vastatrix), coffee berry disease (Colletotrichum kahawae), root knot nematode (Meloidgyne exigua) and bacteriosis (Pseudomonas syringae pv garçae) (Bettencourt, 1973; Charrrier and Eskes, 1997; Bertrand et al., 2003; Pereira et al., 2005; Sera et al., 2005). Besides, this group of coffee has been used to develop high quality cup cultivars resistant to pest and disease in Brazil (Setotaw et al., 2013).

4.2 Genome Introgression Analysis of “HT”

The genome introgression analysis demonstrated that the maximum percentage of shared band between C. canephora var Robusta with “HT” was 30% and 20% for AFLP and SSR marker, respectively, suggesting high similarity with C. arabica. The genome introgression analysis of individual “HT” accessions show very low genome introgression from C. canephora that showed the possibility of identifying “HT” accession to be used in the crossing programs of coffee Arabica in the breeding program from the Brazilian collections.

CIFC 4106 shared more bands with C. arabica and “HT” than C. canephora var Robusta, supported by high genetic similarity between these coffee groups. The “HT” accession showed only 18.9% of alien genetic material introgressed from C. canephora, this percentage was lower than the expected percentage for F1 triploid plant. According to Pereira et al. (2005), CIFC 4106 is considered the first plant, probably F1, obtained in Timor Island and has some features that suggest that it is an interspecific hybrid. CIFC 4106 shows high flowering and low fruiting capacity, produces fruit type Moca and shows high self-incompatibility under the Viçosa soil and climatic condition. In addition, it did not produce fruit when used as female parent (Personal Field observation).

These results showed the importance of “HT” in the future C. arabica breeding in Brazil and the world. The results obtained widen the information on “HT” and their predecessors, which have great importance in the breeding program of coffee. The low percentage of C. canephora introgression reported here suggest that the Hibrido de Timor accessions maintained their Arabica quality, which is good to develop cultivars with good cup quality. Bertrand et al. (2003) reported the possibility of developing new cultivars with good cup quality and disease resistance from C. canephora introgressed lines. The high genetic variability of “HT” with its resistance...
to disease and pests demonstrated the future potential of this coffee group in developing \textit{C. arabica} cultivars (Pereira et al., 2005; Bertrand et al., 2003). Sobreira et al. (2013) showed the potential of “HT” in developing high quality \textit{C. arabica} cultivars in Brazilian condition. In addition, “HT” will continue to be used to transfer resistance gene of coffee leaf rust and other diseases to \textit{C. arabica} since this coffee group is highly compatible with \textit{C. arabica} during crossing. Van der Vossen (2009) also showed the success of \textit{C. arabica} breeding in developing resistant cultivar with quality using accessions of “HT” as source of resistant gene without affecting the coffee quality.

The incorporation of four CIFC materials (CIFC 4106, CIFC 832/1, CIFC 832/2 and CIFC 1343/269) and 42 segregating accessions of “HT” in this study showed the better representation of “HT” derivatives in relation to past works. Therefore, the experiment was more informative in the genome introgression analysis of “HT” in relation to \textit{C. arabica} and \textit{C. canephora} and its relationship with other coffee accessions. The obtained results are useful to choose the best accessions, the ones with lower \textit{C. canephora} introgression, to be introduced in the \textit{C. arabica} breeding programs.

The high genetic similarity observed within accessions of “HT” in relation to \textit{C. arabica} and high genetic diversity within accessions of “HT” showed the importance of “HT” in the development of \textit{C. arabica} cultivars with resistance to disease and high coffee quality.

4.3 The Genetic Relationship and Sensorial Analysis of \textit{C. arabica} Cultivars Derived From “HT”

The quality analysis of three groups of coffee (\textit{C. arabica}, “HT” and Cultivars derived from cross of \textit{C. arabica} and “HT”) showed the absence of negative effect of \textit{C. canephora} genome introgression on the cup quality of coffee cultivars developed in Brazil. The result showed no significant difference among the coffee groups on sensorial analysis. The quality data obtained in this work (Figure 4) supported the conclusion made by the genome introgression analysis using AFLP marker and SSR markers. In addition, this result confirmed the possibility of developing \textit{C. arabica} cultivars using “HT” as a source of resistant gene, without affecting the quality in contrast to most people’s believe the genome introgressed from \textit{C. canephora} affect the quality of coffee cultivars. Sobreira et al. (2015) also showed the importance of “HT” in developing quality \textit{C. arabica} cultivars without influencing the cup quality.

Our result also showed the absence of significant difference in quality parameters presented (Figure 4) among the cultivars derived from the crossing program of “HT” × \textit{C. arabica} with Bourbon one of the principal arabica cultivar known for its quality. The diversity analysis using UPGMA clustering method grouped the Arabica cultivars and cultivar derived from the crossing of \textit{C. arabica} × “HT” in the same group confirmed these groups are highly similar genetically and also in quality (Figure 4). The absence significant difference among the different coffee groups (Figure 4) affirmed the possibility of developing \textit{C. arabica} cultivars with good cup quality as Bourbon using “HT” as a source of resistance gene. In addition, it also revert the conclusion made by some groups the use of “HT” affect the quality of \textit{C. arabica} cultivars developed using “HT” in the crossing programs.

The review about developing resistant coffee cultivars using “HT” as source of resistant gene that combine the good cup quality presented by Van der Vossen (2009) disclosed its impact on environment protection by reducing the quantity of fungicide applied and make the farmers more competitive. Especially the use of resistant varieties will help the farmers of the developing nations those did not have financial capacity to use fungicide where coffee is the only source of income. Therefore, our work showed the importance of “HT” in developing cultivars of \textit{C. arabica} resistant to diseases and pests without affecting the cup quality which is an important parameter for coffee. It also proved the importance of “HT” and the effort of the breeding programs using “HT” as source genes resistance to disease and pest by releasing more productive and quality coffee cultivars for the commercial production. The study also draw conclusion using molecular marker analysis (AFLP and SSR) and sensorial analysis in relation to the impact of “HT” in cup quality of \textit{C. arabica} cultivars released using “HT” as source of resistant gene for major diseases and pests.

5. Conclusion

The hypothesis that the varieties developed through introgression of “HT” genome as a source of resistance with the Arabic coffee was accepted. The recently released varieties from such crosses proved have similar cup quality as that of the well-known \textit{C. arabica} coffee variety Burbon. This shows the importance of future investigations including more genotypes and marker types.
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