Influenza A (H1N1) transmission by road traffic between cities and towns
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Influenza A (H1N1) was spread widely between cities and towns by road traffic and had a major impact on public health in China in 2009. Understanding regulation of its transmission is of great significance with urbanization ongoing and for mitigation of damage by the epidemic. We analyzed influenza A (H1N1) spatiotemporal transmission and risk factors along roads in Changsha, and combined diffusion velocity and floating population size to construct an epidemic diffusion model to simulate its transmission between cities and towns. The results showed that areas along the highways and road intersections had a higher incidence rate than other areas. Expressways and county roads played an important role in the rapid development stage and the epidemic peak, respectively, and intercity bus stations showed a high risk of disease transmission. The model simulates the intensity and center of disease outbreaks in cities and towns, and provides a more complete simulation of the disease spatiotemporal process than other models.
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The pandemic (H1N1) 2009 represented one of the most serious public health challenges to China and elsewhere in the world. Although outbreaks of influenza A (H1N1) have subsided, many researchers have studied pandemic (H1N1) 2009 from a wide array of perspectives [1–4]. However, based on our literature review, only a handful of studies have touched upon influenza A (H1N1) transmission between cities and towns, and the diffusion effect of the road traffic system.

Influenza A (H1N1) is a human-to-human transmission disease, which infects people by direct body contact or respiratory droplets. Therefore, the virus is easily transmitted through large-scale population movements. The initial stage of the pandemic in China involved the spread of imported cases and then, mainly through secondary cases, the pandemic spread from metropolitan areas to small cities and from eastern to western areas [5]. With the acceleration of urbanization, close contact is more frequent in cities and towns, population movement between urban and rural areas has increased gradually in frequency and speed, and medical and health services are weak in the villages and towns, which indicated that human-to-human transmission through the road traffic system could result in a pandemic in China. Since the 2003 global Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
SARS crisis, many scientists around the world gradually became aware of the important role of transportation systems in infectious disease transmission. Olsen et al. [6] found that transmission of SARS may occur on an aircraft when infected individuals fly during the symptomatic phase of illness. Fang et al. [7] studied the spatial and temporal characteristics of the SARS epidemic in mainland China and confirmed that SARS had benefited from national highways and interprovincial freeways for its spread from epicenters to neighboring areas. In addition, Fang and Cao conducted a epidemiological analysis of the highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) virus of the H5N1 subtype and indicated that national highways are one of the most important predictive environmental variables for risk of HPAI infection [8,9]. To estimate ongoing H1N1 transmission via global airline transportation, Khan et al. [10] and Chang et al. [11] compared spatial diffusion of known H1N1 cases and air flight patterns to analyze risks related to air travel accessibility and confirmed that the worldwide airline network is the main vehicle for transmission.

The areas along traffic routes and urban sites are likely to be high risk and cause large-scale spread of disease, as China is a county with a huge overall population, large migratory population and high-risk groups [12], and road traffic is the main form of passenger transport between cities and towns. In 2008, 26821.14 million passengers were conveyed by road traffic [13], which is 100 times more than air passengers. Furthermore, our study focused on influenza A (H1N1) transmission by road traffic between cities and towns because of both data availability as well as its impact and importance. The data used in this paper were extracted from an epidemiological survey of H1N1 patients in Changsha, covering the period from May 22, 2009 to December 31, 2009. The questionnaire-based survey recorded each patient’s personal information, such as age, gender, home address, work address, and onset of symptoms. Among the 5439 cases of infection, 3215 (59%) were male patients and 2224 (41%) were female; the difference in male and female patient numbers was statistically significant ($\chi^2=129.07, P<0.01$). The average patient age was 14.0±7.7 years, the eldest patient was 85 years old and youngest was less than 1 year old, with ages mainly in the range from 0 to 20 years (92.8%). Figure 1 shows daily counts of new influenza A (H1N1) cases during the pandemic (H1N1) 2009 in Changsha. The plot shows four clear stages: sporadic occurrences in Changsha from May 22 to September 2, slowly increasing during the early stage of the outbreak from September 2 to October 24, sharply increasing from October 25 and rapidly declining from November 26. The epidemic reached its peak during mid-November.

1.2 Spatiotemporal data elements

The data set included a digital map of Changsha (1:50000), case information, geocoding, population demographic information, and road traffic infrastructure. The survey data did not support spatiotemporal analysis because they presented time and space information in a text format. Thus, we converted the responses to spatiotemporal data in a geographic information system (GIS) format. To analyze the spatial patterns and understand the complexity of spatial transmission risks, we adopted home addresses as the spatial locations of cases using ArcGIS 9.2 software (ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA, USA). The data set included 5392 cases, accounting for 99% of the total number of all H1N1 cases reported during the Changsha influenza A (H1N1) pandemic. The remaining 47 cases were abandoned because of incomplete, incorrect or unavailable spatial information.

2 Influenza A (H1N1) transmission by road traffic

In 2008, the Changsha traffic system conveyed a total of 134.88 million passengers, of which 111.34 million (84% of the total) were conveyed by road traffic [14], which is the main form of population movement between cities and towns. This study analyzed the role of national highways, provincial roads, county roads, expressways and intercity bus stations in disease transmission, and explored the patterns
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**Figure 1** Daily reports of new cases during the Changsha 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic.
of its spread along the road system between cities and towns. Our methods to analyze the rate of incidence along roadways, spatiotemporal distribution and risk factors of the epidemic are outlined below.

2.1 Spatial analysis of incidence rate
The incidence rate refers to the frequency of new infected cases during a given time interval, which equals the number of new cases occurring during a given time interval divided by the population in the same period. Figure 2(a) shows the population density and infection distribution connected to the Changsha influenza A (H1N1) pandemic with the spatial data analysis unit set to the street and township levels. The population data for each unit was obtained from a 9‰ population sample survey conducted in 2005 and the Changsha
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Figure 2  Spatial distribution of all Changsha influenza A (H1N1) cases. (a) All cases based on home address and population density; (b) spatial incidence density; (c) cumulative incidence.
Since the first H1N1 case on 22 May 2009 in Changsha municipal districts, cases occurred in 46 streets, 30 villages and 80 towns in just 7 months, which represented a serious public health challenge to the weak health system in villages and towns in China. Figure 3 shows the spatiotemporal distribution of H1N1 cases, which spread in the densely populated Changsha municipal districts during the initial stage, then among school students during the school term in August and September. The survey data indicated that cases in September mainly consisted of students in the vicinity of schools. The October 1 National Day holiday increased population movement, including visits to relatives and friends and long-distance travel, which dispersed the virus further afield. Wintertime influenza epidemics during low-temperature periods increased the H1N1 pandemic, which spread widely across the whole city during winter.

The characteristics of the virus spread were as follows. Transmission began along the main roads and from intercity bus stations, and then further spread along county roads. Transmission always occurred from the vicinity of roads to further afield. Following the outbreak of cases in Changsha municipal districts, there were differences among outbreak areas with different distances to Changsha municipal districts. Changsha county, for example, experienced earlier outbreaks than Liuyang county, which is further from Changsha municipal districts. Furthermore, the incidence peak in Changsha municipal districts was 1 month earlier than that in Liuyang county (Figure 4).

### 2.3 Risk factors in road traffic

Influenza A (H1N1) is thought to be transmitted primarily by close person-to-person contact. Transmission occurs through respiratory droplets produced when an infected person sneezes and/or by touching a surface or object contaminated with infectious droplets within 2 m [17]. Vehicles are an ideal environment for close contact and increase cases exponentially. As a result of the short incubation period, influenza A (H1N1) is easily spread through the road traffic system.

Figure 1 shows the four stages of the pandemic: initial period (May 22 to September 2), rapid development period (September 24 to October 24), peak period (October 25 to November 25) and declining period (November 26 to December 30). The five districts, 30 villages and 84 towns in the Changsha area were chosen as spatial analysis units. We extracted the following five factors for each of the units from the data set using spatial analysis techniques at different stages of the pandemic by logistic regression: intersection by a national highway, provincial road, county road, expressway or intercity bus station. The results indicated that the virus benefited from the opportunities for rapid spread along expressways and at intercity bus stations in the rapid development period. During the peak period, county roads played a key role in further spread of the disease towards the villages and towns. In addition, the intercity bus
station was a risk factor that had a significant effect on disease diffusion over the whole pandemic period, as a result of its role as a transport hub.

3 Epidemic diffusion model

3.1 Diffusion velocity and floating population

The diffusion characteristics of infectious diseases may differ, and the velocity of an epidemic wave through a population can be measured in different ways [18–20]. Based on the above-mentioned results and the research of Cliff et al. [21], here we propose a method that uses: (i) the binary (presence/absence) of a reported case in a unit rather than the actual number of reported cases; (ii) the road distance denotes the distance between units; and (iii) the sequence of epidemic diffusion from the epicenter to further afield. The first month of the influenza is coded as $t = 1$, the subsequent months are then coded serially as $t = 2, 3, \ldots, t = T$, where $T$ is the number of monthly periods from the beginning to the end. The average velocity of diffusion is calculated as:

$$
\bar{V} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} d_t,
$$

where $N$ is the total number of districts, and $d_t$ is the distance between districts of cases occurring in month $t$ (Table 1).

The population migration unit was set to the street and township level, and destinations were Changsha municipal districts, Liuyang county, Ningxiang county, Wangcheng county and Changsha county, respectively. Because of the difficulties in recording the actual daily passenger flow, we used data on the annual immigrant and emigrant populations in the cities and rural areas; we simulated the robust immigrant and emigrant populations in 2009 based on the Statistical Yearbook, and then calculated the daily immigrant and emigrant populations.

3.2 Dynamic diffusion of epidemic

The SIR model simplifies the process of contagious disease analysis by classifying the target population of the disease into three specific groups, namely the susceptible, infective
and removed [20], and formulating the dynamic process with differentiation equations [22,23]. Velocity is used to forecast possible areas of the (H1N1) pandemic spread during different stages. Furthermore, the SIR model is used to show variation in the case number. The dynamic equation is:

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta(t) \frac{S(t)I(t)}{N}, \tag{5}
\]

\[
\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta(t) \frac{S(t)I(t)}{N} - \gamma I(t), \tag{6}
\]

\[
\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I(t), \tag{7}
\]

where \( t \) is time, \( S(t) \) is the number of susceptible people, \( I(t) \) is the number of people infected, \( R(t) \) is the number of people who have recovered and developed immunity to the infection, \( \beta(t) \) is the infection rate, \( \gamma \) is the recovery rate, and \( 1/\gamma \) is the infectious period. We assumed proportion \( \alpha \) of the total infections traveling from the epicenter, \( P_A \) is the total number of passengers from the epicenter and \( P_B \) is the total number of passengers traveling to district B.

The SIR model assumed the control measures remain unchanged, which cannot reflect the change of infection rate with the epidemic process and the different control measures. Considering the research of Wallinga et al. [24], we propose the method to simulate infection rate based on effective reproduction number by \( R_t \) which is calculated by likelihood-based estimation (Figure 5).

\[
\beta(t) = R_t \frac{N \gamma}{S(t)}, \tag{9}
\]

where \( R_t \) is the average number of infections from onset of infection symptoms to the onset of other infected cases in control conditions. When \( R_t < 1 \), each person who contracts the disease will infect fewer than one person before dying or recovering, so the outbreak will die out. When \( R_t > 1 \), each person who contracts the disease will infect more than one person, so the epidemic will spread. Furthermore, when \( R_t = 1 \), the epidemic will remain at the status quo.

Based on the survey data and related research [1,11,25], we estimated the infectious period to be about 3 d, and the rate of total infections traveling from the epicenter is 0.15. The model output fits the model well (Table 2), which simulates the diffusion trend in Changsha municipal districts and diffusion velocity well. The error of the first case date in
Table 1  Relationship between presence of H1N1 cases and travel-related risk factors in Changsha

| Epidemic stage and risk factors | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                | Crude OR (95% CI)   | P-value               | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value   |
| Initial period                |                     |                       |                       |           |
| Intersected by National highway | 4.56 (1.42–14.61)  | <0.01                 | 2.74 (0.55–13.58)    | 0.22      |
| Intersected by Provincial road | 1.13 (0.36–3.49)    | 0.84                  | 3.21 (0.52–19.69)    | 0.21      |
| Intersected by County road    | 0.19 (0.05–0.76)    | 0.02                  | 0.62 (0.07–5.70)     | 0.68      |
| Intersected by Expressway     | 5.68 (1.76–18.32)   | <0.01                 | 12.36 (2.27–67.34)   | <0.01     |
| Intersected by Intercity bus station | 22.50 (5.95–85.15) | <0.01                 | 27.02 (5.06–144.39)  | <0.01     |
| Rapid development period       |                     |                       |                       |           |
| Intersected by National highway | 2.65 (1.06–6.63)   | 0.04                  | 1.69 (0.57–4.97)     | 0.34      |
| Intersected by Provincial road | 0.58 (0.25–1.33)    | 0.20                  | 0.71 (0.25–2.03)     | 0.53      |
| Intersected by County road    | 0.33 (0.09–1.15)    | 0.08                  | 0.37 (0.08–1.82)     | 0.22      |
| Intersected by Expressway     | 5.21 (2.07–13.07)   | <0.01                 | 4.96 (1.82–13.51)    | <0.01     |
| Intersected by Intercity bus station | 4.63 (1.69–12.72) | <0.01                 | 3.17 (1.02–9.78)     | 0.05      |
| Peak period                   |                     |                       |                       |           |
| Intersected by National highway | 2.33 (0.50–10.96)  | 0.28                  | 4.10 (0.65–25.85)    | 0.13      |
| Intersected by Provincial road | 1.29 (0.44–3.76)   | 0.65                  | 2.35 (0.67–8.24)     | 0.18      |
| Intersected by County road    | 2.38 (0.56–10.08)   | 0.24                  | 7.89 (1.15–53.98)    | 0.04      |
| Intersected by Expressway     | 1.53 (0.41–5.80)    | 0.53                  | 1.55 (0.38–6.24)     | 0.54      |
| Intersected by Intercity bus station | 1.71 (0.36–8.15)  | 0.50                  | 1.94 (0.35–10.67)    | 0.45      |
| Declining period              |                     |                       |                       |           |
| Intersected by National highway | 0.99 (0.41–2.40)   | 0.98                  | 0.63 (0.22–1.82)     | 0.39      |
| Intersected by Provincial road | 0.84 (0.40–1.78)   | 0.65                  | 0.89 (0.38–2.08)     | 0.79      |
| Intersected by County road    | 0.76 (0.22–2.63)    | 0.66                  | 1.04 (0.22–4.84)     | 0.79      |
| Intersected by Expressway     | 0.99 (0.42–2.36)    | 0.99                  | 0.80 (0.31–2.11)     | 0.66      |
| Intersected by Intercity bus station | 5.64 (1.75–18.17) | 0.04                  | 6.71 (1.89–23.80)    | <0.01     |

Table 2  Accuracies of the simulation according to the observed cases

| Geographic area             | Simulated cases | Observed cases |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                             | Cumulative cases | First report   | Cumulative cases | First report |
| Changsha municipal districts | 1940            | 1913           |
| Wangcheng county            | 599             | June           | 115             | May          |
| Linyang county              | 1141            | October        | 3023            | August       |
| Ningxiang county            | 1118            | September      | 155             | October      |
| Changsha county             | 424             | June           | 241             | June         |

different rural areas is less than 2 months, and accuracy increases with decreasing distance from the epicenter.

4 Concluding remarks

This paper studied the mechanism of influenza A (H1N1) spread through the road traffic system between cities and towns. Our analyses yielded the following findings. Intercity bus stations were important in epidemic diffusion. Areas of road intersections had a high risk of infection, and the disease spread along roads and outwards away from roads. In addition, roads had different effects on epidemic diffusion in different stages. Because of the huge population, explosion of rural-to-urban migration, and the weak rural health and medical institutions, control of the outbreak of an unexpected infectious disease between cities and towns in a short time is difficult in China. The results indicate that the
priority to reduce the impact of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 or similar diseases should be to control intercity bus stations and, furthermore, to prevent further spread of the disease in the areas intersected by national highways, provincial roads or county roads.

Using GIS, we not only analyzed the spatiotemporal features of the epidemic, but also evaluated the risk factors in epidemic diffusion, to supplement and improve the descriptive epidemiology of the epidemic. We proposed a SIR model combining diffusion velocity and floating population size to determine the spatiotemporal synchronization and integrate forecasts of epidemic intensity and trends. Furthermore, it is of importance to explore the risk factors in H1N1 transmission through road traffic and its diffusion velocity.

There are errors in the simulated results compared with the actual data reported for Ningxiang county and Liuyang county. We assume the reasons are: (i) most patients were students in schools, which are densely populated and hubs of intensive activity. The infection rate was higher in schools, but schools differ from the research units used, which therefore reduced the accuracy of the simulated results; (ii) the monthly spatial distribution map shows intuitively that the risk of H1N1 infection in eastern areas was higher than that in western areas, and the epicenter moved eastward from the epicenter. Thus the transmission showed spatial trends and directivity; and (iii) as a result of host-pathogen-environment interactions, infectious diseases usually spread in a particular sequence according to specific factors. For instance, an epidemic usually spreads from a developed region to an under-developed region, from a densely populated area to a sparsely populated area, from an outbreak in a school to the surrounding settlement, or reemerges in a hospital. Thus, in a future analysis, we will focus on occupations among the susceptible and infective groups, and incorporate the direction and trends of diffusion into the model, as well as other social and natural factors that affect transmission.
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