Validly web-based dietary assessment questionnaire adapted to evaluate eating patterns in American elementary school children
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Abstract

Web-Based Dietary Assessment Questionnaire was developed as a surveillance instrument to measure dietary and physical activity behaviors in children and adolescents. This study was developed to evaluate the validity of food eating pattern from the elementary school version of the Web-Based Dietary Assessment Questionnaire. Validity was assessed by comparing food items selected on the questionnaire with food items reported from a single 24-hour recall covering the same reference period. To identify and describe the major dietary eating patterns in the WT Cheney Elementary School and South Wood Elementary of the 4th grade students in Pine Bluff Arkansas. Participants: Fourth-grade student volunteers (N = 87). Agreement between responses to Web-Based Dietary Assessment questionnaire items and reference values obtained through 24-hour dietary recall. Analysis the agreement between the questionnaire and the 24-hour recall was measured using Spearman correlation, percentage agreement, and kappa statistic. Correlation between Web-Based Dietary Assessment Questionnaire item responses and recall data ranged from .25 (bread and related products) to .67 (gravy). The percentage agreement ranged from 26% (bread and related products) to 90% (gravy). The kappa statistic varied from .06 (chocolate candy) to .60 (beans). Results from this study indicate that the Web-Based Dietary Assessment questionnaire can be administered in the classroom quickly and easily to measure many previous day dietary behaviors of fourth graders. However, questions addressing consumption of “vegetables,” “candy,” and “snacks” need further investigation.

Introduction

The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) reports a third of American children are overweight, 70 percent of these children will become adults with body weight problems. Several studies have shown overweight children tend to be obese adults [1-3]; presently in the USA, studies show that a greater number of children are overweight and obese than any other race. In recent years, findings have presented important insights into issues surrounding overweight and obesity in African-American children in the United States. The latest data reveals that overweight and obesity issues among African-American children have consistently remained neglected and underresearched [4]. The research have been specifically focus on eating patterns in populations of African-American children located in Pine Bluff, Arkansas.

What are the factors that make children at risk of becoming overweight or obese in adulthood? There are many factors in a child’s life that can cause overweight and obesity. Current studies show that 20.5% of African-American children between the ages of 6 to 19 years old are overweight. Studies also show that 70.7% of African-Americans over the age of 20 years old are overweight and 39.4% are obese. The risk of obesity in children may be increased with an unhealthy dietary pattern [5]. Dietary patterns that are developed in childhood are most often carried into adolescence and adulthood [6]. Several studies have shown that school nutrition and physical education programs are factors that can address the risks of becoming overweight or obese [7-13].

What is the best prevention for becoming overweight or obese? Nutrition education and dietary behavior interventions in any combination of educational strategies, accompanied by environmental supports designed to facilitate voluntary adoption of food choices and other food- and nutrition-related behaviors conducive to health and well-being are effective in the prevention of overweight and obesity. Standardization of dietary assessment tools is needed for the collection of comprehensive dietary data that can help targeted dietary behavior interventions and provide additional endpoints by which to assess the efficiency of nutrition education and dietary behavior intervention. Further, a dietary monitoring tool needs to be adopted by a community-based or school organization to become part of the standard practice of nutrition education in order to reach wider audience of individuals.

There are currently several dietary assessment tools available. Dietary behavior questionnaires are the most common dietary monitoring tool, as they are cost effective method and ideal for large sample sizes. Most researchers use questionnaires for gathering data and analysis. Researchers often use paper-based questionnaires which may delay response times and reduce response rates. Additionally, building questionnaires and analyzing the gathered paper based data can be difficult tasks for researchers. Web-based questionnaires are
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becoming more popular and can provide a variety of options for researchers to create a fast and easy instrument to increase response times and rates.

Approach and research procedures

Commonly used dietary assessment tools include dietary history, seven-day recall, seven-day record, and food frequency questionnaires for assessing habitual food intake [14-20]. These tools are lengthy, difficult, and expensive to analyze. This project proposes to focus on a new method that will yield a precise and accurate measurement of dietary behavior that can simply and quickly identify food intake patterns of elementary school children.

This research was developed as a dietary behavior questionnaire system, with the support of UAPB’s technical services that enables the rapid creation of web-based questionnaire web application. Development of the questionnaire was included web application development issues of data consistency, design security, performance and stability. A well-structured system was produced for online implementation. A comprehensive system for validating data testing strategy was be applied in the system testing and evaluation process.

We established a unique questionnaire to measure previous daily dietary behaviors that are to be useful as a monitoring tool based on standard dietary guidelines. This tool given to the child several times over a period of time will furthermore provide the potential to help in the assessment of eating habits elementary-school children. The questionnaire can be used in nutrition education and behavior adjustment programs. The questionnaire design was documented and evaluated to provide data for future nutrition education and dietary behavior intervention programs.

Participants and setting

To identify and describe the major dietary eating patterns in the WT Cheney Elementary School and South Wood Elementary of the 4th grade students in Pine Bluff Arkansas. Participants: Fourth-grade student volunteers (N=87).

Method

At each school, the students completed the web-based questionnaire in the morning, followed by a 24-hour dietary recall interview at least 2 hours later. The 24-hour dietary recalled and web-based questionnaire were administered by research staff to the students during school day and was coordinated for the convenience of the classroom teacher. Data collection during the school day is followed because dietary habits tend to vary on weekends more than weekdays. In addition, data not be collected on the day following a holiday. A typically, two research assistants went to the school to start the web-based questionnaires for foods consumed the previous day, and then begin individual 24-hour recalls with face-to-face interviews. Five students were participate in the study per day. A 24-hour recall tool was also include the use of disposable cameras to photograph the eating patterns of the participants. The team members were coordinates with the school officials and select participant students.

Students were given a disposable camera with specific simple instructions: to take a picture of what they ate or drank, including snacks. Team member’s developed protocols to ensure the accuracy of the food intake photographed during the 24-hour period. Accuracy may include taking “before and after” photographs of a meal. The disposable cameras was collected the next day and taken for processing. The photos were processed onto a compact disc to be viewed on a computer during the face-to-face interview. The photographs assist the child in recall of the meals and assist the team member with the interview. The photographs and the interviews was used to validate calories consumed, food combinations, and portion sizes, and amount of fat, carbohydrates, and proteins.

Data analysis

The web-based questionnaires were examined for multiple marking or other discrepancies that could invalidate the data for the purpose of the study. The project was used the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) format for data analysis.

The 24-hour dietary recall reports were edited after the recall. The previous day’s school lunch and breakfast menus and portion size was obtained from the school food service to facilitate editing of the data. For example, if the student does not know the type of milk they consumed for lunch, the menu was providing those specifics. The 24-hour dietary recall data entered was obtained for each student and grouped into food categories to match the food items in the web-based questionnaire survey. For example, all the vegetables reported as consumed are matched to the web-based questionnaire survey vegetable consumption question. Food items that were not an exact match with the web-based questionnaire food categories was coded, after review, by a panel of two trained nutrition professionals. Most of these food items include mixed dishes containing meat, pasta, and vegetables that can be matched with questions pertaining to consumption of meat, pasta, and vegetables, respectively.

Analyses of the data conducted to test for agreement between the responses to food questions in the web-based survey and the food items recorded and photographed during the 24-hour recall. For each food-related, web-based questionnaire evaluated, three separate analyses will be conducted; to assess data validity; correlations; percentage agreement; and weighted kappa statistic. The kappa statistic (Unweight) was used for the yes/no response items. Example: “Yesterday, did you eat breakfast?” and “yesterday, did you take a vitamin pill?” Data was analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 12.0 (SPSS, Inc. Chicago, IL, 2003) and Statistical Analysis System 8.0 for Windows (SAS) Institute, Cary, NC, 1999).

Results

The study sample consisted of 87 students, 47% boys and 53% girls, with ages ranging from 9 to 11 years and a mean of 10.31 years (SD ± 0.5). Ethnicity, as reported by the students, was primarily “Black or African American” (99%), Students took approximately 25-35 minutes to complete the survey and 20-30 minutes to complete the 24-hour dietary recall interview.

Spearman correlation

Correlation between food items recorded on the 24-hour recall and Web-Based Dietary Assessment Questionnaire responses and their confidence intervals are reported in the Table. Correlation coefficients ranged from 0.12 for chocolate candy to 0.68 for gravy. Correlation coefficients for questions on consumption of French fries or any chips and consumption of gravy were different between girls and boys. In both cases, the correlation coefficient was higher for girls (r = 0.84 for consumption of gravy, r = 0.77 for consumption of French fries or any chips) than boys (r = 0.49 for consumption of gravy, r = 0.32 for consumption of French fries or any chips) (Table 1).
Kappa statistics

The kappa statistic values were shown in the Table 1. The kappa statistic values also covered a wide range, from 0.06 for chocolate candy to 0.60 for beans. Items addressing consumption of gravy, hot or cold cereal, and beans showed agreement above .5. Confidence intervals of the kappa statistic indicated that there was no significant difference between boys and girls for all the food items except gravy and french fries or any chips. Girls showed higher agreement (kappa = .85 for consumption of gravy, kappa = .63 for consumption of french fries or any chips) compared to boys (kappa = .36 for consumption of gravy, kappa = .20 for consumption of french fries or any chips). All 3 tests—percentage agreement, Spearman correlation, and kappa statistics—showed similar results.

Discussion

This study examined the validity and reliability of a revised web-based food questionnaire measure. It was important given the need for valid and easy to elementary school students measures of beliefs and attitudes towards food.

The validity of a web-based questionnaire is the degree to which the instrument measures the dietary intake of the subjects it was designed to study. Studies of the validity of a web-based food questionnaire are often difficult to carry out owing to the problems in obtaining a sufficiently large and representative sample of the population to which web-based questionnaire may be applied. The web-bases questionnaire uses a no quantified food frequency approach to assess the previous day’s food consumption in which the frequency of consumption of food items is noted without the portion sizes. Hence, students should know the food items that they ate and the number of times they ate each food item during that day.

To our knowledge, there is no agreement in the research as to whether the validity of dietary assessment tools by the best statistical method [21], though it is essential to use more than one statistical method to provide credence to the results [22,23]. The frequently used method of assessing agreement in ranking between a web-bases food frequency questionnaire and the 24-hour dietary recall is Spearman rank correlation for data with non-normal distribution. Other indicators of agreement include percentage agreement and kappa statistic.

Criterion measures, used for validation, should be precise, and errors resulting from one method should be independent of the other method [24]. The study population was fourth-grade students (aged 9-11 years); hence, a 24-hour recall was selected as the criterion method to overcome the difficulties associated with the literacy and motivational levels. Although the intra-individual variability in diet excludes the use of a single recall as an accurate representation of individual dietary intake, the recalls provide a valid assessment of group level mean intake [25]. Several studies support the validity of this method in school-aged children [26,27].

Individual food-related question responses were compared with the responses obtained from a 24-hour recall where both the testing and the criterion methods covered the same dietary intake period. Values of kappa ≥ .75 indicate almost perfect agreement, .45 to < .75 indicate substantial agreement, .20 to < .45 moderate agreement, .00 to < .20 fair agreement, and < .00 poor agreement [28]. Well-defined food items and food items consumed less frequently had higher validation scores of kappa ≥ .75 indicate almost perfect agreement, .45 to < .75 indicate substantial agreement, .20 to < .45 moderate agreement, .00 to < .20 fair agreement, and < .00 poor agreement [28]. Well-defined food items and food items consumed less frequently had higher validation scores. Studies of the validity of a web-based food questionnaire were often difficult to carry out owing to the problems in obtaining a sufficiently large and representative sample of the population to which web-based questionnaire may be applied. The web-bases questionnaire uses a no quantified food frequency approach to assess the previous day’s food consumption in which the frequency of consumption of food items is noted without the portion sizes. Hence, students should know the food items that they ate and the number of times they ate each food item during that day.

To our knowledge, there is no agreement in the research as to whether the validity of dietary assessment tools by the best statistical method [21], though it is essential to use more than one statistical method to provide credence to the results [22,23]. The frequently used method of assessing agreement in ranking between a web-bases food frequency questionnaire and the 24-hour dietary recall is Spearman rank correlation for data with non-normal distribution. Other indicators of agreement include percentage agreement and kappa statistic.

Criterion measures, used for validation, should be precise, and errors resulting from one method should be independent of the other method [24]. The study population was fourth-grade students (aged 9-11 years); hence, a 24-hour recall was selected as the criterion method to overcome the difficulties associated with the literacy and motivational levels. Although the intra-individual variability in diet excludes the use of a single recall as an accurate representation of individual dietary intake, the recalls provide a valid assessment of group level mean intake [25]. Several studies support the validity of this method in school-aged children [26,27].

Individual food-related question responses were compared with the responses obtained from a 24-hour recall where both the testing and the criterion methods covered the same dietary intake period. Values of kappa ≥ .75 indicate almost perfect agreement, .45 to < .75 indicate substantial agreement, .20 to < .45 moderate agreement, .00 to < .20 fair agreement, and < .00 poor agreement [28]. Well-defined food items and food items consumed less frequently had higher validation scores compared to food in mixed dishes using all 3 methods of validation. For example, gravy, a single food item, had 90% agreement, a kappa = .56, and r = 0.67. Less frequently consumed food, such as beans, had 88% agreement, kappa = .60, and r = 0.60.

The poor agreement found for vegetables, assessed as a food group

---

Table 1. Association between Questionnaire Responses and Items Recorded from Recall∗.

| Food Items                          | Total r (95% CI) | Total kappa (95% CI) | Total Agreement (%) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Gravy on food or by itself (Q9)     | 0.68 (0.55, 0.77)| .57 (0.34, 0.78)    | 91                 |
| Beans (all except green beans) (Q19)| 0.67 (0.54, 0.77)| .61 (0.43, 0.79)    | 88                 |
| Yogurt or cottage cheese or a yogurt drink (Q13) | 0.32 (0.17, 0.49) | 12.08 (29.29)  | 83                 |
| Peanuts or peanut butter (Q10)     | 0.43 (0.27, 0.57)| .34 (0.14, 0.49)    | 79                 |
| Hot or cold cereal (Q16)           | 0.68 (0.55, 0.75)| .55.943, 0.67)     | 76                 |
| Frozen desserts (Q24)              | 0.48 (0.32, 0.61)| .32 (0.17, 0.48)    | 75                 |
| Fried meats: chicken, beef, pork, fish (Q8) | 0.44 (0.27, 0.58)| .39 (0.24, 0.55)    | 72                 |
| 100% fruit juice (Q21)             | 0.46(0.30, 0.59)| .41 (0.28, 0.55)    | 65                 |
| Rice, macaroni, spaghetti, or pasta noodles (Q14) | 0.65 (0.51, 0.75)| .46 (0.34, 0.59)     | 64                 |
| Milk, all flavors, & with other food/drinks (Q12)| 0.55 (0.42, 0.67)| .49 (0.35, 0.62)    | 56                 |
| French fries or any chips (Q17)    | 0.57 (0.43, 0.68)| .45 (0.32, 0.56)    | 60                 |
| Soda or soft drinks (Q23)          | 0.53 (0.38, 0.64)| .37 (0.24, 0.51)   | 53                 |
| Cheese alone, on pizza, or in dishes (Q11) | 0.47 (0.35, 0.65)| .31 (0.19, 0.44)    | 46                 |
| Fruit flavored drinks & sports drinks (Q22)| 0.42 (0.25, 0.56)| .28 (0.16, 0.39)    | 53                 |
| Fruit (Q20)                        | 0.41 (0.24, 0.56)| .28 (0.16, 0.39)    | 51                 |
| Sweet, high-fat baked products (Q25) | 0.38 (0.21, 0.53)| .25 (0.12, 0.38)    | 57                 |
| Red meats (Q7)                     | 0.38 (0.25, 0.52)| .25 (0.13, 0.39)    | 40                 |
| Vegetables, including salads and potatoes (Q18) | 0.35 (0.17, 0.54)| .18 (0.055, 0.29) | 27                 |
| Bread, bun, bagel, tortilla, or roll (Q15) | 0.29 (0.11, 0.52)| .14 (0.09, 0.28)    | 25                 |
| Chocolate candy (Q26)              | 0.12 (−0.08, 0.300)| .06 (−0.18, 0.19)  | 48                 |
| Other behaviors                    |                  |                      |                   |
| Ate breakfast (Q27)                | 0.75 (0.64, 0.89)| .72 (0.53, 0.91)    | 94                 |
| Number of meals (Q28)              | 0.66 (0.55, 0.78)| .48 (0.31, 0.65)    | 85                 |
| Number of snacks (Q29)             | 0.16 (−0.03, 0.34)| .09 (−0.07, 0.21)  | 38                 |
| Vitamin pill (Q30)                 | 0.53 (0.34, 0.59)| .52 (0.35, 0.69)    | 80                 |

*Food items and other behaviors are listed in order of decreasing correlation (r). Titles for food items are condensed from the wording of questionnaire items. For example, data reported in “Red meats” are from “Yesterday, did you eat hamburger meats, hot dogs, sausage (chorizo), steak, ribs?”
or as individual foods, was also reported by studies in the United States [29], Guatemala [30], China [31] (miners), and France [32] (nursing staff), but not by others, i.e., Mali [33], Japan [34], Sweden [35] (population based), and Finland [36] (pregnant women). Nevertheless, Cade et al. [37] noted in their review of validation studies for food questionnaire and reference methods are usually lowest for vegetables, explaining that misreporting of vegetables can occur for a number of reasons including double counting of items and social desirability bias. For the other foods with poor agreement, the results from other studies are more varied.

For questions addressing intake of vegetables, milk, and cheese (percentage agreement 27% to 46%). These lower scores may be because these food items were consumed most of the time as a part of a mixed dish, such as cheese on pizza or milk on cereal. For example, 90% of the students responded in both the questionnaire and the recall that they consumed milk. But discrepancies were still observed in the frequency of milk consumption between the 2 assessment methods. This finding may be because milk served as a beverage was easier for the child to remember. But the child may have selected cereal on the questionnaire but then forgot to count the milk on cereal as another serving of milk, thus this item produced low validity. Further, pizza is such a commonly consumed food in schools that it may be useful to add a question on pizza intake to the questionnaire to prevent the child from having to break down this mixed food into its components. Also, these food behavior questions showed a low reliability compared to other food behavior questions [38].

Fruit and fruit juice consumption showed a similar result in eighth-grade students compared to the fourth-grade students, but validation measures for vegetables were lower. These lower scores may be because the younger students have difficulty in reporting vegetables in mixed food items. Also, the intake of fruit and fruit juice was quite low (number of times per day), with a median intake of “none” for yesterday and a mean of 1.32 times for yesterday, respectively. A similar pattern was observed in inner-city fourth- to seventh-grade children [39].

Questions related to meal pattern, such as “Yesterday, did you have breakfast?” or “Yesterday, how many meals did you eat?” tended to have acceptable validity, but the question, “Yesterday, did you have a snack?” had a poor validity. In the criterion measure (24-hour dietary recall), anything other than water that was consumed between meals was coded as a snack, but the child may have difficulty in differentiating a snack from a meal or may not remember consuming a snack without prompts when they are filling out the questionnaire. The question, “Yesterday, did you take a vitamin pill?” showed acceptable validity.

Most of the food questions showed no gender difference in validity. But for the questions regarding the consumption of gravy and french fries or any chips, girls showed a significantly higher validity than boys. This finding may be because boys over reported their frequency of intake in the survey. In contrast, Caution is necessary when comparing the kappa statistic with other studies because it is influenced by the number of categories involved [40].

Agreement in the estimation of absolute intake was assessed in the limits of agreement analysis. Key findings were that on average the web-based questionnaire overestimated the intake of most foods, which was also reported in other validation studies [30,36,37], with large overestimations for intake of the fruit and vegetable groups. This is reflected also at the individual level, with 4 of the 37 food groups having 95% lower limits ≤0.05, and 12 having upper limits >20; there were very broad ranges for many foods, but a general trend for overestimation.

The differences between the web-based questionnaire and 24 hours recall intake estimates varied significantly with magnitude of intake estimates for 14 food groups, with 9 showing a negative association and 5 showing a positive association. There was no clear pattern concerning which food groups had a negative, positive, or no association with magnitude of intake.

The poor performance of vegetables across measures of agreement is a matter of particular concern because of our interest in examining their potential role in overweight and obesity by. For all vegetable groups, intakes were estimated by web-based questionnaire. Correlations were modest, ranging from 0.08 to 0.40, and were generally stronger for fruits. This is consistent with other studies in which levels of agreement between the web-based questionnaire and other dietary assessment methods were generally found to be poor for vegetables and fruits [41]. Reasons for this are not well established.

The multivariable modeling in the limits of agreement analysis shows that the models for fats and oils, poultry, seafood, various vegetable groups, rice, pasta and noodles, and all cereals and products explained ≥25% of the variation in difference between web-bases questionnaires and 24 hours recall. Of the other food groups with particularly poor performance, the model explained ~10% of the variation in difference.

These findings have important implications for modeling diet-obesity relations. The significant association between personal characteristics and difference for most food groups raises the possibility of differential bias and misclassification. Adjusting intake estimates for these characteristics will improve the validity of the model. One might expect this to be particularly appropriate for the vegetable groups, for which the performance of the web-bases questionnaire is otherwise poor, and a reasonably large proportion of variation in difference is explained by the models. This would also suggest that different subgroups of the study population may need different FFQ to accurately measure dietary intake; this remains to be confirmed by further investigation.

This is the first study we know of that directly assessed the association between personal characteristics and measurement errors in web-bases food intake estimates. It is widely acknowledged that a number of factors such as gender, age, and socioeconomic factors may be associated with the validity of dietary estimates [42]. Of all the personal characteristics studied, sex was most commonly associated with intake estimate errors for food groups; the presence of a medical condition and dietary supplement intake were also associated for some food groups. The findings highlight the need to assess web-bases questionnaire validity in a sample that is representative of the overall population in which the web-bases questionnaires will be used, with a sample size that is large enough to assess differences among subgroups.
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