Clinical anatomy of the lumbar sinuvertebral nerve with regard to discogenic low back pain and review of literature
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Abstract

Purpose Lumbar discogenic diffuse pain is still not understood. Authors describe the sinuvertebral nerve (SVN) as one possible cause. Body-donor studies are rare and controversial. Therefore, the aim was to revisit the origin, course and distribution in a body-donor study.

Methods Six lumbar blocks (3 female, 3 male) aged between 59 and 94 years were dissected. After removal of the back muscles, lamina, dura mater and cauda equina, the anterior vertebral venous plexus, spinal artery and SVN were exposed and evaluated.

Results 43 nerves out of 48 levels could be evaluated. The origin of the SVN was constituted by two roots: a somatic and a sympathetic branch arising from the rami communicantes. In 4/48 intervertebral canals studied (8.3%), we found two SVN at the same level. In 35/48 cases, one SVN was found. In 9/48 cases, no SVN was found. The SVN had a recurrent course below the inferior vertebral notch; in the vertebral canal it showed different patterns: ascending branch (31/43, 72.1%), common branch diverging into two branches (10/43, 23.3%), double ascending branch (1/43, 2.3%) finalizing two levels above and a descending branch (1/43, 2.3%). In 12/43 cases (27.9%) the SVN had ipsilateral connections with another SVN. The distribution ended in the middle of the vertebral body supplying adjacent structures.

Conclusion A thorough understanding of the anatomy of the SVN might lead to significant benefits in therapy of discogenic low back pain. We suggest blocking the SVN at the level of the inferior vertebral notch of two adjacent segments.
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Introduction

The sinuvertebral nerve (SVN) was first described in the nineteenth century by von Luschka as a nerve that enters in a recurrent course inside the vertebral foramen supplying the bone, disc, ligament, dura mater and veins [1].

Many authors described the SVN as one of the main contributing structures for lumbar discogenic pain [2–11]. Currently, chronic low back pain represents the second leading cause of disability worldwide, being a major welfare and economic problem [12, 13]. Even to date, the pathomechanism of this pain is still not precisely defined and understood neither anatomically [14–16] nor psychosocially [17].

Recently, blocking the SVN has proved successful in reducing the intensity and frequency of the lumbar diffuse pain. Various methods have been used such as analgesics, intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty (IDET), transforminal epiduroscopic laser ablation (TELA) or radiofrequency ablation of the SVN [10, 11, 18, 19]. Therefore, several diagnostic and treatment procedures have been developed in relation to the pathological effects of the SVN without having clear anatomical references to this nerve.

A review of the literature showed considerable variability regarding the specimens used in previous studies: human body-donors to science [2–4, 6–8, 20–26], foetuses and embryos [8, 20, 27–29], rats [30–32], mixed species
Different techniques have also been used: dissections [3, 6, 20, 26, 33], histological examination [4, 5, 27], dissection combined with histology [2, 7, 8], immunohistochemistry [21, 23, 24, 29–32, 34] and dissection combined with immunohistochemistry [22, 25].

The SVN has been studied in the lumbar region [2, 6, 8, 25, 26], cervical [22], and cervicothoracic [3, 33] regions and at unspecified levels [7]. Considering only the lumbar dissection studies on human body-donors, the sample size is limited [6, 8].

The origin, course and distribution of the SVN have been discussed recently but they are still not consistent in the literature. Most authors define the origin as a neural branch emerging from the spinal nerve and a sympathetic postganglionic branch developing from the rami communicantes [2, 3, 7–9, 22, 27, 33]. In contrast, several studies define a single origin either as a spinal [3, 8] or a sympathetic branch [22, 25, 29, 34].

Different patterns of its course have been proposed: an ascending course [3, 6–9, 22, 25], a descending course [3, 7–9], a horizontal oblique course [2, 9] and a mixed course including an ascending and descending branch [7, 8, 27, 29–31]. A plexiform pattern has also been described [29–31, 34].

Multiple SVN exiting at the same segment have been reported [6, 7, 26, 27] although the literature is still not consistent [22, 34].

SVN branches tend to produce ipsilateral [7, 8, 29] or contralateral anastomoses [7, 8, 29–31], but non-anastomosing SVN branches have also been described [3, 6, 22, 25–27, 33].

Because the existing literature is inconsistent regarding the topography of SVN and in view of its increasing relevance to the treatment of chronic low back pain, the aim of our study was to clarify the different morphological aspects of the SVN in comparison to those in the literature.

Materials and methods

Six spine blocks (including vertebral bodies L3–S1), corresponding to six embalmed human body-donors belonging to the Body Donation Center and Dissection Rooms, Complutense University of Madrid, were dissected (three female and three male between 59 and 94 years of age).

The anterolateral and posterior lumbar region was dissected to identify the sympathetic trunk, the rami communicantes and the lumbar spinal nerves (Fig. 1a and b). The psoas major and erector spinae muscles were removed (Fig. 1b) to reveal the external origin of the SVN with its spinal and sympathetic root (Fig. 1).

Once the anterolateral approach had been performed, the posterior lumbar region was dissected (Fig. 2). After the erector spinae muscles were removed, the laminae, the spinous and the articular processes were exposed (Fig. 2a). The vertebral arches were then removed using a saw and the vertebral canal was exposed to visualize the dura mater and the origin of the lumbar nerves (Fig. 2b). Consecutively, opening the dura mater revealed the pia mater enveloping the cauda equina (Fig. 2b).

Subsequently, the posterior surface of the intervertebral disc and the vertebral body covered by the anterior vertebral venous plexus could be observed. Dissection was continued
from the lateral to medial side to visualize the entire origin and course of the SVN in the intervertebral canal (Fig. 2c).

A surgical microscope (Zeiss-OMP1 0.6X–2.5X) was used to help the dissection.

**Results**

Forty-eight levels were dissected and forty-three SVN in 39 levels were observed (89.6%). Major factors limiting the dissection of all SVN included disruption by surrounding structures such as the venous plexus and microdissection per se.

The origin of the SVN was always formed by two roots: a somatic root arising from the spinal nerve and a sympathetic branch from the rami communicantes (Fig. 3).

In 4/48 intervertebral canals (8.3%), we found two SVN at the same level (Fig. 4a). In 35/48 intervertebral canals (72.9%), we found just one recognizable SVN (Fig. 4a). In 9/48 (18.75%), we found no SVN.

After the two branches united, the nerve entered the intervertebral canal near the inferior vertebral notch in a recurrent course (Figs. 3 and 4).

In 31 cases (72%), the SVN followed an ascending course that ended in the middle of the vertebral body covered by the posterior longitudinal ligament, intervertebral discs and vertebral bodies. On the right side, the sinuvertebral nerves can be observed after declining the lumbar nerves (L3, L4, L5, S1) (SVN marked by black arrows). cr: cranial, ca: caudal

In every case, these nerves supplied the dura mater, the posterior longitudinal ligament, blood vessels of the epidural space, the annuli fibrosi, the vertebral bodies and the superior or inferior intervertebral disc, depending on the course. The SVN was closely related to the spinal artery (Fig. 4a) and surrounded by the anterior vertebral venous plexus (Fig. 4b).

We have found that the SVN connected ipsilaterally in 12/43 cases (27.9%) (Figs. 6 and 7).

**Discussion**

**Previous methods**

To best of our knowledge, 17/23 anatomical studies (73.9%) were based on human body-donors [2, 4, 6–9, 20–29, 33]. Four were based on a histological studies of human foetuses and embryos [8, 20, 27, 29]. The remaining articles used rats (4/23 articles [17.3%] [30–32, 34], human foetuses and rats (1/23 articles (4, 3%) [5]) or undefined specimen [3].

The above-mentioned studies focused on the cervical, thoracic or cervicothoracic level in 5/23 articles (21.7%)
The segment investigated remained unspecified in 3/23 articles (13%) [3, 7, 29]. Fifteen of the 23 articles (65.2%) investigated the lumbar region [2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 20, 21, 23–27, 31, 32, 34]. Although the lumbar level represents the most frequently used spinal segment for investigating the course of the SVN, only 5/23 cases (21.7%) used human lumbar regions and a dissection technique to identify it [2, 6, 8, 25, 26].

The SVN double-origin hypothesis was supported by nine of the 23 articles identified in the literature review [2, 3, 7–9, 22, 26, 27, 33]. A single spinal branch was observed in 2/23 (8.7%) [3, 8] and an exclusively sympathetic branch in 5/23 (21.7%) articles [3, 22, 25, 29, 34] (Table 1).
The works of Kimmel et al. and Groen et al., evaluating lumbar blocks, do not describe the origin in detail using immunohistochemistry [27, 29]. A double origin, spinal and sympathetic, was found in 100% of the nerves in our sample (89.58% of the analysed levels), a higher incidence than in previous reports. The present results are consistent with most of the previously published literature using human body-donors to science and gross dissection for identifying the course of the SVN [2, 7, 8, 22, 26, 33].

None of the nerves examined in the present study had a single spinal origin. This result is not consistent with the study by Pedersen et al. using the same methods for following the course of the SVN (1/8, 12.5%) [8]. Nor could we find an exclusively sympathetic origin for the nerve in any of our specimen. Only two previous studies (2/8, 25%) reported a sympathetic origin of the SVN [22, 25].

**Multiple SVN**

In 5/23 (21.7%) cases, more than one SVN was described in the same segment [6, 7, 26, 27, 33]. In our sample, two SVN (8/43 SVN, 18.6%) could be described on the same level in only 4/48 intervertebral canals (8.33%). In 35/48 (72.9%), just one recognizable SVN. However, in 9/48 (18.75%) we found no SVN. (Fig. 8).

**SVN diversity**

Zhao et al. describe two types of a SVN [26]: Type I directly innervates the posterior lateral edge of the intervertebral disc or vertebral body. This SVN has been defined as a recurrent nerve entering the intervertebral canal. In contrast to the authors’ conclusion, we would rather classify type I SVN as small ramifications of the lateral lumbar region or a result of a dissection error. We found no type I SVN in our samples. There is no consistent evidence that the type I is really a nerve; it would be advisable to perform immunohistochemistry for confirmation.

In our sample, the SVN was always closely related to the spinal artery, coinciding with the type II SVN of Zhao et al. [26]. In contrast to the results of Zhao et al., the SVN was also surrounded by the anterior vertebral venous plexus and had different course patterns. These course patterns have been described by others: ascending in 7/23 articles (30.43%) [3, 6–9, 22, 25]; descending in 4/23 (17.4%) [3, 7–9]; a mixed pattern in 6/23 articles (26%) [7, 8, 27, 29–31]; an oblique trajectory in 2/23 cases (8.7%) [9, 15]; and a plexiform pattern in 4/23 (17.4%) [29–31, 34] (Table 2).
Compared to the previous literature, our sample had more ascending courses (31/43, 72%), if the nerve was present, and the course was ascending in 31 of the 39 (79.49%) levels in which we could identify a SVN. There was a double ascending trajectory in one body-donor in L4 on the left side, representing one of 43 (2.32%) cases and one of 39 (2.56%) levels in which we could identify a SVN. Other authors found an ascending course in 6/8 cases (75%) [6, 8, 9, 22, 25]. A mixed pattern was found in 10/43 cases (23.25%) and in 10/39 (25.64%) levels with SVN’s identified. Two other groups also found a mixed course (2/8 articles, 25%) consistent with our results [7, 8]. Many mixed patterns have probably been considered ascending courses owing to difficulties in microdissections and because the ascending branch is easier to dissect as its limits are clearly marked by the upper pedicle. It should also be borne in mind that dissections of the SVN have proved very difficult owing to its size and its relationship to the anterior vertebral venous plexus.

Only in one case was a descending trajectory found, in L3 on the left side, representing 1/43 (2.32%) cases and 1/48 (2%) levels examined. These values are lower than reported by other authors. Among other human dissection studies, two groups have also found this descending course (2/8 articles, 25%) [7, 8].

No oblique trajectory was found in any of the nerves examined.

**Anastomosis**

Previous studies described anastomosis with the contralateral SVN in 5/23 (21.7%) cases [7, 8, 29–31] and ipsilateral anastomosis in 4/23 (17.4%) cases [7, 8, 29, 31]. We found ipsilateral anastomosis in 12/43 of our body-donors (27.9%), but no contralateral anastomosis. It should be noted that if we only consider the dissection studies on humans, two groups (2/8 cases, 25%) found anastomosis with contralateral and ipsilateral SVN [7, 8].

**Clinical relevance**

In healthy individuals, the intervertebral disc is only very weakly supplied at the posterior annulus fibrosus by primary sensory and sympathetic postganglionic fibres via the SVN. Particularly in the case of degenerative disc disease, the increased load leads to an inflammatory reaction and consequently the release of growth factors, resulting in increased sprouting of nerve fibres in the deeper layers of the disc [35–37]. Previous experimental studies have established a correlation between hyperinnervation of the annulus fibrosus by additional sprouting of the SVN and increased discogenic pain [38, 39].

Blockade of nerve conduction at this site is associated with an increased risk of complications owing to several relevant surrounding structures, which is why the definition of anatomical landmarks is essential [40]. The initial recurrent course of the SVN entering the intervertebral canal has not been described. However, in all the segments studied in our sample, the nerve was close to the inferior notch of the vertebral pedicle. Therefore, this location could be an elective landmark for infiltrating the nerve. SVN blockade can be effective in both diagnostic and therapeutic settings.
Reports of significant improvements in low back pain with low complication rates are well known [41]. Especially when combined with a clear indication and imaging techniques and orientation to anatomical landmarks, this minimally invasive procedure can provide an effective alternative for treating chronic low back pain. Likewise, interim bridging of pain in elderly patients who cannot receive open surgery with lumbar instrumentation owing to their general condition is possible.

**Conclusions**

One possible cause of lumbar discogenic diffuse pain is the lumbar sinuvertebral nerve. Our results suggest a general pattern of the SVN with two different roots, spinal and sympathetic, and an initial recurrent course entering into the vertebral canal, just close to the inferior vertebral notch of the pedicle. The SVN can then follow different courses: an ascending course, a mixed course dividing into two branches (ascending and descending) or an exclusively descending course. These branches ended deep to the posterior longitudinal ligament after spreading among the adjacent structures. The terminal branches of the SVN had ipsilateral connections but they did not cross the midline; no contralateral connections were found. A thorough understanding of the anatomy of the SVN might lead to significant benefits in therapy of discogenic low back pain. We suggest blocking the SVN at the level of the inferior vertebral notch of two adjacent segments.

**Table 1** Different origins considering the human studies in the references reviewed and in comparison to our own sample. No incidences of these origins were reported in the literature cited. Three different origins can be identified: double origin with a somatic root arising from the spinal nerve and a sympathetic branch from the rami communicantes; exclusively from the spinal nerve; and exclusively from the rami communicantes

| Author               | Double origin | Exclusively spinal origin | Exclusively sympathetic origin |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Hovelacque (1927)    | Yes           | No                         | No                             |
| Wiberg (1949) [6]    | Yes           | No                         | No                             |
| Pedersen (1956) [7]  | Yes           | Yes                        | No                             |
| Kimmel (1961) [27]   | Yes           | No                         | No                             |
| Bogduk (1981) [20]   | Yes           | No                         | No                             |
| Bogduk (1983) [8]    | Yes           | No                         | No                             |
| Chen (1988) [22]     | Yes (C1, C6)  | No                         | Yes (C7, C8)                   |
| Groen (1990) [29]    | No            | No                         | Yes                            |
| Raoul (2003) [25]    | No            | No                         | Yes                            |
| Zhao (2020) [26]     | Yes           | No                         | No                             |
| Our results (2020)   | Yes (100%)    | No                         | No                             |
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Table 2 Variability of the SVN course in human studies. No examples of these courses were reported in the literature cited

| Author            | Ascending | Descending | Mixed | Oblique trajectory | Network |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|-------|--------------------|---------|
| Lazorthes (1947) [5] | Yes (100%) | No         | No    | No                 | No      |
| Wiberg (1949) [6]  | Yes       | Yes        | Yes   | No                 | No      |
| Pedersen (1956) [7]| Yes       | Yes        | Yes   | No                 | No      |
| Kimmel (1961) [27]| No        | No         | Yes   | No                 | No      |
| Bogduk (1983) [8]  | Yes       | Yes        | n.s   | Yes                | No      |
| Chen XQ (1988) [22]| Yes       | No         | No    | No                 | No      |
| Groen (1990) [29]  | No        | No         | Yes   | No                 | Yes     |
| Raoul (2003) [25]  | Yes       | No         | No    | No                 | No      |
| Zhao (2020) [26]   | Yes       | Yes        | n.s   | n.s                | n.s     |
| Our results (2020) | Yes (74.3%) | Yes (2.3%) | Yes (23.25%) | No | No |

Fig. 8 Number of SVN described for each intervertebral canal
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