Performance and Microbial Community Analysis of an Electrobiofilm Reactor Enhanced by Ferrous-EDTA
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ABSTRACT: The biological reduction of ferrous ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII) is an important process in the integrated electrobiofilm reduction method, and it has been regarded as a promising alternative method for removing NOx from industrial boiler flue gas. EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII are crucial substrates that should be biologically reduced at a high rate. However, they inhibit the reduction processes of one another when these two substrates are presented together, which might limit further promotion of the integrated method. In this study, an integrated electrobiofilm reduction system with high reduction rates of EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII was developed. The dynamic changes of microbial communities in the electrobiofilms were mainly investigated to analyze the changes during the reduction of these two substrates under different conditions. The results showed that compared to the conventional chemical absorption-biological reduction system, the reduction system exhibited better performance in terms of resistance to substrate shock loading and high microbial diversities. High-throughput sequencing analysis showed that Alicycliphilus, Enterobacteriaceae, and Raoultella were the dominant genera (>25% each) during the process of EDTA-FeII-NO reduction. Chryseobacterium had the ability to endure the shock loading of EDTA-FeIII, and the relative abundance of Chryseobacterium under abnormal operation conditions was up to 30.82%. Ochrobactrum was the main bacteria for reducing nitrate by electrons and the relative abundance still exhibited 16.11% under shock loading. Furthermore, higher microbial diversity and stable reactor operation were achieved when the concentrations of EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII approached the same value (9 mmol·L−1).

1. INTRODUCTION

As primary pollutants, nitrogen oxides (NOx) not only directly affect human health but also combine with ozone and hydrocarbons to form photochemical smog in the troposphere. In 2017, a total of 1258.8 × 10⁴ t of NOx were emitted in China, according to the official data from the China National Bureau of Statistics. However, during the outbreak of coronavirus (COVID-19) in February 2020, NASA and the European Space Agency (ESA) detected a significant decrease in airborne nitrogen dioxide (NO2) over China. NOx emissions are related to industrialization in China, and it is crucial to limit the release of NOx into the atmosphere.

For decades, the main anthropogenic source of NOx has been emissions from industrial boilers (kilns). Some technologies have been introduced for controlling flue gas from boilers (kilns) and reducing the release of NOx, such as selective catalytic reduction (SCR), low-NOx burners, absorption, adsorption, and selective noncatalytic reduction (SNCR). However, these methods can have a high cost, low removal efficiency, and cause secondary pollution. The
biological treatment of industrial flue gas for NO\textsubscript{x} removal was proposed in the 1980s as a low-cost and environmentally sustainable approach, and related studies have focused mainly on isolating denitrifying bacteria and improving biological reactors.\textsuperscript{10,21} Wang et al.\textsuperscript{12} studied denitrifying bacteria in bioreactors for landfill leachate treatment and found that the main bacteria in the bioreactor varied with changes in the hydraulic loading. Sposob et al.\textsuperscript{13} analyzed the microbial communities involved in autotrophic sulfide denitrification with changes in temperature and found that Thauera sp. and Alicyclobacillus sp. were predominant at 25 °C. Xing et al.\textsuperscript{14} studied the microorganisms involved in the micro-electrolysis and autotrophic denitrification processes by high-throughput sequencing and found that β,-, γ- and α-Proteobacteria were the dominant genera. However, biological approaches have been limited by their low efficiency, which is caused by the low solubility of NO in liquid and the higher proportion of NO in NO\textsubscript{x} from flue gas.\textsuperscript{15,16} Therefore, a new integrated technology has been developed that combines complex absorption processes with biological reduction.\textsuperscript{6,17} Ferrous ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}) had been reported to rapidly form complexes with NO, which resolves the issues associated with the low gas–liquid mass transfer efficiency of NO.\textsuperscript{18} An electrobiomfilm has been subsequently introduced and has been demonstrated to further strengthen the regeneration rate of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}, as it not only forms complexes with NO to generate EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO but also oxidizes into EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III} by oxygen in the flue gas (approximately 9% content in the flue gas in industrial boilers).

Therefore, the biological regeneration of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II} was believed to be a key step to allow the greater application of this method.\textsuperscript{21} This is depicted in Figure 1, which describes the principle of the electrobiomfilm-integrated method for NO\textsubscript{x} removal. The electrobiomfilm method integrates the advantages of both electrochemical and complex absorption-bioreduction (CABR) processes. This method offers bacteria with two categories of electron donors, carbon sources and currents, thereby enhancing the diversity and activity of the microorganisms.\textsuperscript{22}

Several microorganisms have been screened for L-Fe\textsuperscript{III} and L-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO reduction with high efficiency, where L represents complexes of citrate or EDTA.\textsuperscript{23,24} Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{25} studied the microbial communities in CABR-integrated systems by the polymerase chain reaction-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) method and found that Pseudomonas sp. was the dominant microorganism related to the NO\textsubscript{x} removal in the biofilm. Li et al.\textsuperscript{26} also analyzed the microbial communities in CABR-integrated systems by high-throughput sequencing and found that the dominant denitrifying bacteria varied from anaerobic to facultative anaerobic and aerobic denitrifying bacteria with an increase in the inlet oxygen loading. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{27} analyzed the microbial community structure of the BTF-ABR-integrated system by the real-time polymerase chain reaction and high-throughput sequencing method. The results showed that the cooperation of denitrifying bacteria and iron-reducing bacteria in the system was the key to the stable and efficient removal of NO\textsubscript{x} and the regeneration of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III} simultaneously. High-throughput sequencing has a more rapid response, higher accuracy, and larger reaction scale than the previously widely used applications, such as PCR-DGGE, and has become an efficient research method in the field of molecular biology.\textsuperscript{30} However, electrobiomfilm-integrated systems are typical multiphasic complexes, and their microbial communities have not yet been studied. Illuminating the microbial communities of such systems could allow for a better understanding of the EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II} regeneration mechanism. Additionally, the stability and capacity for long-term operations are crucial indicators for evaluating a bioreactor.\textsuperscript{21} The sensitivity of the microbial system is an important factor affecting the stable operation of a bioreactor.\textsuperscript{20} Microorganisms are sensitive to changes in environmental factors, such as temperature, process conditions, and load changes.\textsuperscript{31} However, promoting the biofilm diversity in an electrobiomfilm system can improve resistance to shock loading of NO\textsubscript{x} and EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III}.

The objective of this study is to describe the key factors affecting the activities of an electrobiomfilm and evaluate the changes in microbial communities of electrobiomfilm-integrated systems under shock loadings of the main absorption product EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III}-NO and the oxidation product EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II} by the molecular biotechnology of high-throughput sequencing. Furthermore, the changes of dominant strains under different conditions and the regeneration of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II} under different conditions and the regeneration of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II} under different
2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Biofilm Formation in the Reactor. The formation of an electrobiofilm is vital in achieving the efficient reduction of EDTA-Fe^{III}-NO and EDTA-Fe^{III} in the reactor. Batch experiments were conducted with a solution containing up to 2 g L^{-1} glucose and 18 mmol total iron at the startup of the electrobiofilm reactor. The biofilm started becoming visible on the surface of the cathodes from the tenth day. EDTA-Fe^{II}-NO was gradually added after 22 days, followed by repeated batch reduction until its concentration was equal to the initial EDTA-Fe^{II} concentration. As shown in Figure 2, when the reduction efficiency of EDTA-Fe^{III} became stable at around 80%, it is considered that the reactor has adapted to a certain ratio of substrate combinations. The current increased gradually as an electron donor after the 38th day (Table 1). That is, part of glucose (carbon source) was replaced by the current. The composition of the electron donor was changed to adapt the microorganisms to a carbon source (glucose) concentration of 1 g L^{-1}. At the end of the eighth week, the biofilm on the cathode was highly dense, according to field emission scanning electron microscopic (FESEM) images, as shown in Figure 3. The efficiency of EDTA-Fe^{II} regeneration increased from 12 to 94% after 55 days. During the stable operation of the reactor, the CO₂ produced at the anode dissolved in the liquid phase and formed a CO₂-HCO₃⁻ system that had a buffering effect on the pH value, such that the pH value in the reactor generally remained between 6.7 and 6.9. By contrast, the EDTA-Fe^{III} regeneration efficiency reported by Gao et al.²² was 76–85% at the end of the 90-day domestication period. Overall, the sequential biofilm formation method could accelerate the domestication of microorganisms and biofilm formation due to the negative effects of EDTA-Fe^{II}-NO on the activities of microorganisms in electrobiofilm systems²² and difficulties in the cultivation of microbial systems that relied on an electrical current as an electron donor.

2.2. Optimization of Electron Donor Combination. Electrical currents and carbon sources (glucose) are the crucial electron donors in electrobiofilm treatment and primarily impact the EDTA-Fe^{II} regeneration rate. In our earlier studies, the respective influence of each electron donor on the EDTA-Fe^{III} regeneration rate was discussed. The carbon sources were more important donors for EDTA-Fe^{III} regeneration than the electrical current. To investigate the interactions between current and glucose and their influences on the EDTA-Fe^{III} regeneration rate, a factorial analysis of the effects under different electron donor combinations was also performed. When both variables influence the experimental results, these can be used as a function to evaluate the interactive effects of cathode electrons and glucose during EDTA-Fe^{III} regeneration.

The results obtained under different currents and carbon sources were used to develop a prediction model equation using Design-Expert software, which is as follows

\[
V = +0.80 - 0.014I + 0.28G + 7.42 \times 10^{-3}IG + 0.024I^2 + 0.020G^2
\]

where \(V\) is the regeneration rate of EDTA-Fe^{II} (mmol L^{-1} h^{-1}), \(I\) is the applied current (mA), and \(G\) is the glucose concentration (g L^{-1}). The \(P\)-value of the model obtained by factorial analysis was 0.0063 (\(P \leq 0.05\)), indicating that the obtained model was reliable and statistically significant.

The coefficient of \(G\) was positive, suggesting that the effect of glucose is positive, and could promote the regeneration of EDTA-Fe^{II}. Meanwhile, the coefficient of \(I\) was negative, suggesting that the regeneration of EDTA-Fe^{II} was reduced with an increased current. The \(I\cdot G\) coefficient was positive, indicating that the interaction between the two electron donors could promote EDTA-Fe^{II} regeneration (either or both of the EDTA-Fe^{II}-NO and EDTA-Fe^{II} reduction). Glucose acted as an essential organic carbon source for the growth of microorganisms and was an electron donor during the EDTA-Fe^{III} and EDTA-Fe^{II}-NO reduction. The hydrogen produced by the cathode electrons could be used by microorganisms in situ. Thus, these two processes promoted the regeneration of EDTA-Fe^{II}.³²,³³,³³,³³ It had been speculated that the microbial activity contributed more to the regeneration of EDTA-Fe^{II}, while the current promoted other aspects of the electrobiofilm system. Therefore, the biofilm mechanism in this system needs to be better understood.

By comparing the actual value obtained from the experiment with the predicted value obtained from the prediction equation under the operating conditions of a 20 mA current and glucose concentration of 1 g L^{-1}, the efficiency of EDTA-Fe^{II} regeneration was 85% (the actual value obtained from the experiment was 84%). The model obtained by factorial analysis was 0.0063 (\(P \leq 0.05\)), indicating that the obtained model was reliable and statistically significant.

Figure 2. Reduction efficiency of different EDTA-Fe^{III}-NO and EDTA-Fe^{III} concentrations (total Fe = 18 mmol, \(I = 20\) mA, \(U = 12\) V, initial glucose = 0.2 g L^{-1}, liquid flow rate = 1.2 L min^{-1}, pH = 6.7–6.9) (solid black box: EDTA-Fe^{II}-NO concentrations; solid red circle: EDTA-Fe^{III} concentrations; and blue star: glucose concentrations).

Table 1. Conditions of Electron Donors in Different Stages of Biofilm Formation

| stages | current (mA) | glucose (mg L^{-1}) |
|--------|--------------|---------------------|
| 7-1    | 10           | 2000                |
| 7-2    | 15           | 1500                |
| 7-3    | 20           | 1000                |
content of 1000 mg·L⁻¹, it was found that the actual and predicted values were well correlated (i.e., coefficient of correlation ($R^2$) of 0.84; Figure 4). Additionally, according to Table 2, when the current was 20 mA and the glucose content was 1000 mg·L⁻¹, the prediction equation gave the smallest deviation with the experimental EDTA-FeII regeneration rates. Moreover, when the concentration of the electron donor exceeded a certain value, the EDTA-FeII regeneration rate generally stayed stable. Therefore, considering the reduction efficiency and long-term stability of the reactor, this kind of electron donor combination was thought to be optimal and more beneficial for maintaining stable operation of the system.

2.3. Reduction of EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII under Different Substrate Concentration Ratios. It has been confirmed that EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII can inhibit one another during the reduction of either substrate. Therefore, to ensure the stable, long-term operation of the electrobiofilm reactor, the EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII reduction efficiencies were studied under operating conditions that covered a range of different concentrations. The reactor was operated for no less than 14 days under each concentration ratio.

As shown in Figure 5, the reduction efficiencies of both EDTA-FeIII-NO and EDTA-FeIII became optimal under a concentration ratio of 1:1. Moreover, the reactor remained steady during the operating conditions presented in Figure 5. There was no decrease in the reduction efficiencies of EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII at high EDTA-FeII-NO concentrations (i.e., the concentration ratio of 3:1). Both EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII were fully reduced after 10 h of daily operation. However, the reduction efficiencies of EDTA-FeII-NO appeared to be lower (i.e., 70%), under a concentration ratio of 1:5. A high concentration of EDTA-FeIII can inhibit the activities of microorganisms in the electrobiofilm, as the actual reduction of EDTA-FeII-NO at an initial EDTA-FeII-NO concentration of approximately 3–4 mmol·L⁻¹ was much lower than that under other substrate concentration ratios. However, the EDTA-FeII-NO reduction efficiency improved as the EDTA-FeIII concentration decreased. Therefore, microbial activity is considered critical during the reduction of EDTA-FeII-NO, in that EDTA-FeIII can inhibit the activity of the EDTA-FeII-NO-reducing bacteria. This indicates that high concentration of chelated NO would have toxic effects on substrate-reducing bacteria, thus inhibiting the reduction of EDTA-FeIII. Previous studies by our research team found that EDTA-FeII-NO was easier to be reduced than EDTA-FeIII in an electrode biofilm reactor under the same experimental conditions, and the two substrates have a competitive
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| batch | electron donor glucose (mg·L⁻¹) | current (mA) | EDTA-FeII-NO regeneration rate (mmol·h⁻¹) | experimental value | predictive value |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1     | 200                             | 10           | 0.96                                    | 1.02              |
| 2     | 200                             | 20           | 1.02                                    | 0.98              |
| 3     | 200                             | 60           | 1.11                                    | 0.96              |
| 4     | 300                             | 10           | 1.07                                    | 1.09              |
| 5     | 300                             | 20           | 1.11                                    | 1.06              |
| 6     | 300                             | 60           | 1.10                                    | 1.25              |
| 7     | 500                             | 10           | 1.10                                    | 1.04              |
| 8     | 500                             | 20           | 1.31                                    | 1.22              |
| 9     | 500                             | 60           | 1.28                                    | 1.21              |
| 10    | 1000                            | 10           | 1.71                                    | 1.67              |
| 11    | 1000                            | 20           | 1.71                                    | 1.70              |
| 12    | 1000                            | 60           | 1.67                                    | 1.65              |
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Figure 3. FESEM images of (a) the electrode before biofilm formation and (b) the electrobiofilm after full growth (×5000).
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Figure 4. Prediction curve of the EDTA-FeII regeneration rate ([EDTA-FeII-NO] = 9 mmol, [EDTA-FeIII] = 9 mmol, U = 12 V, G = 1 g·L⁻¹, liquid rate = 1.2 L·min⁻¹, pH = 6.7–6.9).
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As shown in Figure 5, the reduction efficiencies of both EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII became optimal under a concentration ratio of 1:1. Moreover, the reactor remained steady during the operating conditions presented in Figure 5. There was no decrease in the reduction efficiencies of EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII at high EDTA-FeII-NO concentrations (i.e., the concentration ratio of 3:1). Both EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII were fully reduced after 10 h of daily operation. However, the reduction efficiencies of EDTA-FeII-NO appeared to be lower (i.e., 70%), under a concentration ratio of 1:5. A high concentration of EDTA-FeIII can inhibit the activities of microorganisms in the electrobiofilm, as the actual reduction of EDTA-FeII-NO at an initial EDTA-FeII-NO concentration of approximately 3–4 mmol·L⁻¹ was much lower than that under other substrate concentration ratios. However, the EDTA-FeII-NO reduction efficiency improved as the EDTA-FeIII concentration decreased. Therefore, microbial activity is considered critical during the reduction of EDTA-FeII-NO, in that EDTA-FeIII can inhibit the activity of the EDTA-FeII-NO-reducing bacteria. This indicates that high concentration of chelated NO would have toxic effects on substrate-reducing bacteria, thus inhibiting the reduction of EDTA-FeIII. Previous studies by our research team found that EDTA-FeII-NO was easier to be reduced than EDTA-FeIII in an electrode biofilm reactor under the same experimental conditions, and the two substrates have a competitive
relationship during the reduction process. In the presence of EDTA-FeII(NO), the reduction rate of EDTA-FeIII was initially inhibited, especially when the concentration of EDTA-FeII(NO) was 6 mmol·L⁻¹, and the reduction of EDTA-FeIII was almost quitted in the first 3 h.

2.4. Microbial Community Analysis. The α-diversity can reflect the number of species in microbial communities, while the species abundance and diversity of communities can be evaluated through a series of statistical analysis of molecular biological indices.⁴³ The coverage index indicates the extent to which the coverage of various sample libraries reflects the reliability of the sequencing results.³⁴ As shown in Table 3, the coverage value of the samples under all experimental conditions is 1, implying that the α-diversity index is reliable for sequencing and the samples were all well tested.

Table 3. Statistics of the α-Diversity Index

| sample                  | Shannon index | ACE index | chao1 index | coverage |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| biofilm formation       | 3.341         | 35.243    | 34.5        | 1        |
| 1:5                     | 3.623         | 35        | 35          | 1        |
| 1:3                     | 3.459         | 19        | 19          | 1        |
| 1:1                     | 3.5           | 44        | 44          | 1        |
| 3:1                     | 2.769         | 48.601    | 47.333      | 1        |
| abnormal operation      | 3.208         | 42        | 42          | 1        |

2.4.1. Microbial Community during Biofilm Formation. As mentioned above, the biofilm growth on the cathode was observed to be dense. Moreover, higher amounts of cocci than bacilli grew, and this was captured in FESEM images using a magnification of 5000X. To further investigate the distribution of bacteria, the samples from the electrobiofilm were analyzed by 16S rDNA high-throughput sequencing.

Ten of the most abundant microbial species after electrobiofilm formation are shown in Figure 6. Raoultella was predominant bacteria for EDTA-FeII(NO) reduction. Additionally, a new genus of denitrifying bacteria, i.e., Raoultella, was observed in the electrobiofilm and accounted for approximately 30% of the community.³⁵ In summary, high microbial FeIII-reducing bacteria,³⁶ while Ochrobactrum can catalyze nitrate reduction by electrons.³⁷ Chryseobacterium occurred at relatively low abundances but may also be involved in EDTA-FeIII reduction.³² Raineyella and Enterobacteriaceae are also denitrifying bacterial genera.³³ The mature microbial community in the electrobiofilm changed greatly from that of the inoculated sludge from the wastewater treatment plant under an anaerobic environment in which the growth of autotrophic or heterotrophic anaerobic denitrifying bacteria was promoted. However, further studies are required to clarify whether the bacteria mentioned above can reduce chelated NO (EDTA-FeII(NO)). Nonetheless, microbial species diversity greatly benefits the long-term stable operation of the reactor.²⁴

Figure 5. Reduction efficiencies of EDTA-FeII(NO) and EDTA-FeIII under different substrate ratios (EDTA-FeII(NO) = 9 mmol·L⁻¹, EDTA-FeIII) = 9 mmol·L⁻¹, U = 12 V, liquid rate = 1.2 L·min⁻¹, pH = 6.7–6.9) (solid blue box: EDTA-FeII(NO); solid red box: EDTA-FeIII; solid green circle: EDTA-FeII(NO) under 1:1; and solid black box: EDTA-FeIII under 1:1).

Figure 6. Microbial community under the conditions of biofilm formation.
diversity and stable reactor operation could be achieved, when the concentrations of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO and EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III} were similar. The microbial communities cultivated in the electrobiofilm reactor studied here differed significantly from those of the enhanced CABR system studied by Li et al.\textsuperscript{24} and no autotrophic bacteria were observed without current in the CABR system as a carbon source. This is mainly because the hydrogen produced by cathode electrons could be utilized by microorganisms in situ and promoted the growth of autotrophic reducing bacteria in the presence of an external current (Figure 8).

2.4.3. Evaluation of the Microbial Community under Abnormal Operation Conditions. To study the shock-loading resistance of the reactor and its corresponding microbial diversity, the initial concentration of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO was increased to 6 mmol, while the EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III} concentration remained at 12 mmol. After 21 days of operation, the EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO and EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III} reduction efficiencies decreased, as shown in Figure 9. The reduction of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO decreased by approximately 15%, while that of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III} decreased slightly by approximately 8%. At this time, the biofilm on the cathode differed significantly from that of the reactor during stable operation, as is apparent from the FESEM images shown in Figure 10a,b, respectively. By comparing the microbial distribution at the same magnification, it can be seen that the cocci were reduced while the agglomeration phenomenon intensified under low reactor efficiency. The microbial community is further illuminated in Figure 8.

The abundance of Chryseobacterium was significantly higher than that in Figure 6, indicating that the EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III}-reducing bacteria on the electrobiofilm could resist the shock loading.

However, the abundance of Dysgonomonas decreased to 11%, indicating that autotrophic EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III}-reducing bacteria were sensitive to variation in shock loading. Moreover, according to Figure 7, the presence of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO may have inhibited the growth of Dysgonomonas. The proportion of Ochrobactrum in the microbial community exhibited better stability under shock loading.\textsuperscript{37} According to Figure 7, the reduction of EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III}-NO exceeded 80% under the EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO and EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III} ratios of 1:3, 1:1, and 3:1, while Alicyciphilus, Enterobacteriaceae, and Raoultella were presumed to be the dominant genera involved in EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III}-NO reduction (>25% each). However, their abundance apparently decreased to 3–9% each, as shown in Figure 8, thereby inhibiting the ability of the electrobiofilm reactor to reduce EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO.

By comparing the microbial communities under all of the conditions in this study, the ACE and Chao1 indices were found to be suitable at describing the amount of microbial growth\textsuperscript{34} and gave values that were higher at substrate concentration ratios of 1:1 and 3:1. This indicates that denitrifying bacteria genera were generally more abundant than EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{III}-reducing bacteria in the electrobiofilm reactor. The distribution of species on the electrobiofilm under ratios of 1:1 and 1:5 was well balanced, while it was not under a ratio.
of EDTA-FeII-NO, and a rich microbial diversity in an electrobiofilm reactor consisting of a cylindrical reactor (internal diameter/height: 0.12/0.2 m) with an effective working volume of 1.5 L. An anode rod was placed in the center of the reactor, surrounded by four evenly spaced cathode rods. All of the graphite electrodes were 6 × 10 mm in size. Approximately half of the reactor’s volume was filled with graphite particles, which provided proliferation of the attachment area for the biofilm, and were loaded in an orderly manner into the reactor. The total mass of graphite particles was 1380 g, while the total specific surface area was 533.33 m²·g⁻¹. The internal space of the reactor was then sealed to create an anaerobic environment. Power was supplied to the reactor using a stabilized direct current system (FPS-32SDU, ZUUC Co., China).

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. Apparatus Setup. All experiments were conducted in an electrode biofilm reactor consisting of a cylindrical reactor (internal diameter/height: 0.12/0.2 m) with an effective working volume of 1.5 L. An anode rod was placed in the center of the reactor, surrounded by four evenly spaced cathode rods. All of the graphite electrodes were 6 × 10 mm in size. Approximately half of the reactor’s volume was filled with graphite particles, which provided proliferation of the attachment area for the biofilm, and were loaded in an orderly manner into the reactor. The total mass of graphite particles was 1380 g, while the total specific surface area was 533.33 m²·g⁻¹. The internal space of the reactor was then sealed to create an anaerobic environment. Power was supplied to the reactor using a stabilized direct current system (FPS-32SDU, ZUUC Co., China).

4.2. Medium and Microorganisms. The basal medium was prepared with the following composition (per liter): 2000 mg of d-glucose, 600 mg of KH₂PO₄, 140 mg of Na₂SO₃, 200 mg of MgCl₂, and 10 800 mg of NaHCO₃. The trace elements (per liter) were as follows: 40 mg of CaCl₂, 9.6 mg of CoCl₂, 39.6 mg of MnCl₂·4H₂O, 10 mg of CuSO₄·5H₂O, 8.8 mg of Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, 7.6 mg of NiCl₂·6H₂O, 0.56 mg of H₃BO₄, and 4 mg of ZnCl₂. All of the gases used in this study (NO (5% in N₂, v/v) and N₂ (99.999%)) were purchased from Zhengzhou Yuanzheng Gas Products Co., China, while all reagents were supplied by Zhengzhou Yi-Zhi-Duo Reagent Chemistry Co., China, all of which were of analytical reagent grade.

The microorganisms used to inoculate the reactor were obtained from the sludge of a facultative anaerobic reactor in a local sewage treatment plant of Zhengzhou, China. The sludge—sewage mixture was collected and the supernatant was discarded. The reactor was then inoculated with 50 mL of the concentrated sludge to conduct the experiments.

4.3. Preparation of EDTA-FeII, EDTA-FeII-NO, and EDTA-FeIII. Chelated EDTA-FeIII solutions were prepared by mixing equimolar proportions of FeCl₃·6H₂O and Na₂EDTA·2H₂O with deionized water. Chelated EDTA-FeII solutions were prepared under anoxic conditions by mixing equimolar proportions of FeSO₄·7H₂O and Na₂EDTA·2H₂O (15). EDTA-FeII-NO was then prepared by introducing NO (g) into EDTA-FeII solution, while the pH was adjusted to 5 using a NaOH solution. The pH was adjusted during the experiment with 2 mmol·L⁻¹ NaOH or HCl solution.

4.4. Determining Electron Donors for Optimizing EDTA-FeII Regeneration under Different Substrate Concentration Ratios. The biofilm was domesticated following the sequential batch method, while the culture medium in the reactor was changed daily. The culturing of EDTA-FeII-NO-reducing bacteria had been completed. The concentrations of EDTA-FeII-NO-reducing bacteria were almost equal.

3. CONCLUSIONS

This study revealed the key influencing factors and the structure of the microbial community in the reduction of EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII by an electrobiofilm system. Microbial activity was considered to be critical in the reduction of EDTA-FeII-NO, and a rich microbial diversity in an electrobiofilm reactor is important in resisting shock loading and ensuring long-term stable operation. As an EDTA-FeIII-reducing bacteria, Chryseobacterium can endure shock loading well. Dysgonomonas is a type of autotrophic EDTA-FeIII-reducing bacteria that is sensitive to variation in shock loading. Ochrobactrum, which can reduce nitrate using electrons, is more stable under shock loading. Alcicyphilus, Enterobacteriaceae, and Raoultella, accounting for approximately 80% of the electrobiofilm community, are likely to be the dominant genera involved in EDTA-FeIII-NO reduction, suggesting that the chelated NO-reducing bacteria were predominant in this system. Therefore, higher microbial diversity and stable reactor operation could be achieved when the concentrations of EDTA-FeII-NO and EDTA-FeIII were almost equal.

Figure 10. FESEM images of different operation conditions of the reactor (×3000). (a) FESEM image of reduction efficiencies of EDTA-FeIII-NO and EDTA-FeII-NO declined. (b) FESEM image of stable operation of the reactor.
ities. Regarding the biofilm, the carbon source (glucose) and current were analyzed as electron donors for EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II} regeneration under different conditions. The general operating conditions during this study were maintained as follows: total iron content of 9–18 mmol, glucose of 1000–2000 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}, current of 10–20 mA, and voltage of 12 V. The pH varied between 6.7 and 6.9 in the presence of a CO\textsubscript{2} buffering reagent. The volume of the solution was 1.5 L. Samples were collected at regular intervals to measure the EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II} content, pH value, and EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II}-NO content. The operation of the bioreactor was described in terms of the EDTA-Fe\textsuperscript{II} regeneration efficiency (\(\eta\)) and elimination capacity (\(q_e\)), which were evaluated using the following equations:\textsuperscript{20}

\[
\eta = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100\%
\]

\[
q_e = \frac{V(C_0 - C_e)}{m}
\]

where \(C_0\) and \(C_e\) denote the inlet and outlet EDTA-Fe concentrations (mmol L\textsuperscript{-1}) in the absorbent, respectively; \(V\) is the absorbent volume (L); and \(m\) is the absorbent weight (g).

4.5. Biological Community Analysis. Biofilm samples were obtained via ultrasonic vibration, purified to allow the microscopic analysis of the surface of the fillers, and then observed using a field environmental scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Philips Model XL30). Microbial samples were collected from the biofilm during biofilm formation under normal and abnormal reactor operations. To conduct metagenomics analysis, 16S rDNA gene high-throughput sequencing was performed on the amplified V\textsubscript{3}–V\textsubscript{4} region.\textsuperscript{15} The process was as follows: sample preparation → DNA extraction and detection → PCR amplification → product purification → gene library preparation and detection → MiSeq sequencing.\textsuperscript{44} A Sangon Biotech DNA isolation kit was used to extract DNA from each sample. The PCR amplification conditions were as follows: TransStart Buffer (2.5 \(\mu\)L), TransStart Taq DNA (0.5 \(\mu\)L), dNTPs (2 \(\mu\)L), the primers (2 \(\times\) 1 \(\mu\)L), template DNA (20 ng), and ddH\textsubscript{2}O (25 \(\mu\)L). The sequencing data were processed after being filtered by the low-quality original data, followed by obtaining a valid sequence for cluster analysis after the removal of the chimeric sequence, which then followed the taxonomic analysis of the representative sequence of each cluster to determine the species distribution of each sample.\textsuperscript{45} Alpha diversity index (ADI) analysis was conducted to determine the species richness based on the results of the ACE, Chao1, and Shannon index analysis, and the community structure was analyzed at each classification level based on taxonomic information.\textsuperscript{46}

4.6. Analytical Methods. The concentrations of ferrous ions and chelated NO in the solution were determined by 1,10-phenanthroline colorimetry at 510 and 438 nm, respectively, using a spectrophotometer (752N, Shanghai, China).\textsuperscript{47} The pH was measured using a Mettler Toledo pH electrode (LE438-2M IP 67, Shanghai, China). The samples were treated with bacterial fillers prior to measurement.

All of the data reported in this study are the mean values of duplicate or triplicate experiments and were analyzed using Origin 8.0 and Design-Expert 8.0. The confidence level used in this study is 95%, while the probability of achieving different results was determined based on the t-distribution.
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