Determination of Nutrient Contents and In vitro Gas Production Values of Some Legume Forages Grown in the Harran Plain Saline Soils
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ABSTRACT: The aim of this study was to determine the nutritive value of some legume species in salt-affected soils of South-East Anatolian region using chemical composition and in vitro gas production kinetics. In this study, Lotus corniculatus, Trifolium alexandrinum, Medicago sativa were sown and tested in four different locations. A 3 by 4 factorial design with 3 legume species and 4 salt levels (non salty electrical conductivity (EC)<4 dS/m; low salt: 4 dS/m>EC<8 dS/m, medium saline: 8 dS/m>EC<16 dS/m and high salt: 16 dS/m>EC) was used in the study. Results indicated that salinity and plants had no significant effect on ash and ether extract. Dry matter (DM), acid detergent fiber, digestible dry matter, dry matter intake (DMI) were affected by plant, salinity and plant×salinity interaction. On the other hand neutral detergent fiber, relative feed value (RFV), and DMI were affected by salinity and plant×salinity interaction. Mineral contents were affected by plant species, salinity and salinity×plants interactions. In vitro gas production, their kinetics and estimated parameters such as were not affected by salinity whereas the gas production up to 48 h, organic matter digestibility, metabolizable energy (ME), and net energy lactation (NEL) were affected by plant and plant×salt interaction. Generally RFVs of all species ranged from 120 to 210 and were quite satisfactory in salty conditions. Current results show that the feed value of Medicago sativa is higher compared to Lotus corniculatus and Trifolium alexandrinum. (Key Words: In vitro Gas Production, Glycophyte Forages, Legume sp., Relative Feed Value, Salt Stress)

INTRODUCTION

Salinity and sodicity are major challenges for South Anatolian Region of Turkey, especially the Şanlıurfa Harran plain. Crop production has increased in the area by rising water tables with the start of the Atatürk Dam irrigation. As water tables rise they bring salts from deep in the soil profile to the surface. It is predicted that increasing salt levels in soils will lead to marked reductions in yields of forage plants grown in the region (Taban et al., 1999). In Harran Plain dryland salinity has already reached 132,000 ha of irrigated agricultural land from total 225,000 ha of Harran area (Aydemir et al., 2005). Although Legumes as fodder crop are more sensitive to salinity than Poaceae, use of salt resistant plants such as some perennial and annual legumes (for example Medicago sativa, Lotus corniculatus, Trifolium alexandrinum) offer an opportunity to reduce the feed shortages associated with soil salinity and to improve the productivity of salt-affected land.

In vitro gas production has been developed as a predictive tool of nutrient content and in vitro DM digestibility has been widely used (Menke and Steingass, 1988; Getachew et al., 2004) to assess the nutritional quality of feeds due to its high correlation with in vivo digestibility. Although the mechanisms of salt injury and salt tolerance of whole plants have been studied extensively, the effects of salt stress on nutrient content, in vitro gas...
production, organic matter degradability, metabolic and net energy lactation levels of these legume plants hay have not been determined.

The objective of this study was to determine the feed values of different legume hays (Medicago sativa, Lotus corniculatus, Trifolium alexandrinum) grown in salt-affected soils of South-east Anatolian region as assessed by gas production parameters, intake, and digestibility and nutrient composition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted over the period from autumn 2006 to autumn 2009 at University of Harran (Sanliurfa province) and University of Cukurova (Adana province) of the Republic of Turkey. Cultivation area was between Urfa mountains in north and Turkey-Syria border in south. All of the samples were grown at Harran plain and Akçakale from Sanliurfa province and the salt levels in these regions were identified in four different locations and then they were grouped according to their level of salt. Harran University in the northern region was not salty but Akçakale was very heterogeneous in terms zone salinity and salinity levels ranged between 10 and 21 electrical conductivity (EC) dS/m. The university district represented unsalted soils (2-6 EC dS/m) but the salt level was detected as low, medium, high in Akçakale plain. The experiments began in autumn 2006 and lasted for three years. This study was aimed to test the nutrient content of different of glycophyte legume species growing in different salt-affected soils by in vitro gas production. So we chose three leguminous crops to study the effect of salinity on plant nutrient use and in vitro parameters. Trial was transformed into salinity plant species interactions (3×4). A 3 by 4 factorial design with 3 legume species and 4 salt levels as main effects was used. Soil salinity was expressed as EC. Three species of legumes were tested in two different locations having four saline levels (non salty EC<4 dS/m; low salt: 4 dS/m>EC<8 dS/m; medium saline: 8 dS/m>EC<16 dS/m and high salt: 16 dS/m>EC). Studies were conducted in natural areas and plants were sown in these lands. Soil salinity was measured twice a year. Ground water height or water table did not change significantly during the experiments. There was no problem associated with excessive irrigation and drainage. Therefore, no change occurred in the level of salinity (EC) in the experiments.

The study experimental design was randomized 3 blocks according to topographical characteristics to determine the effects on the legume species after three years by taking account some necessary nutrient aspects such as metabolic and net energy content via in vitro gas production technique. Three legume species were harvested in the beginning of blooming by cutting at ground level and then were field-dried. After that the samples were ground in a laboratory mill to pass through a 1 mm screen for chemical analyses and for incubation in in vitro gas production assays. Dry matter, crude protein, ash and ether extract of feeds were analyzed according to AOAC (1998) procedure. Crude protein was calculated as N×6.25. Acid detergent fiber (ADF) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) analysis were based on the method of Van Soest et al. (1991) using ANKOM fiber analyzer. All chemical analyses were carried out in triplicate for each replication of plants.

Ca, Na, Mg, and K analyses in plants

Representative 500 g moist plant samples taken from each plot were dried at 70°C until constant weight. After drying, solutions of ground plants with a mixture of perchloric acid were subjected to the process of wet burning. Mineral substances from the plant solution after the burning process were determined by reading with ICP-OES (Chapman and Prat, 1982).

Calculation of relative feed value, digestible dry matter, and dry matter intake

Relative feed value (RFV) is a widely accepted forage quality index in the marketing of hays in the United States of America. The RFV combines the estimates for forage digestibility and intake into a single number and it is calculated from estimation of ADF and NDF (Ward, 2008). The RFV index was estimated the digestible dry matter (DDM) of the samples from ADF values, and was calculated the dry matter intake (DMI) potential (as a percent of body weight, BW) from NDF values. The index was then calculated as DDM multiplied by DMI as a % of BW and divided by 1.29 (Jerenyama and Garcia, 2004).

\[
\text{DDM} = 88.9 - (0.779\times\% \text{ ADF})
\]

\[
\text{DMI (\% of BW)} = \frac{120}{(\% \text{ NDF})}
\]

\[
\text{RFV} = \frac{(\text{DDM}\times\text{DMI})}{1.29}
\]

In vitro gas production technique

Three Holstein cows aged 5 years with ruminal cannulas (average live weight 650 kg) were used to provide rumen fluid for the in vitro gas production technique. Rumen fluid was obtained from the fistulated cows fed twice daily (08.30 to 16.30) with a diet containing corn silage (60%) and concentrates (40%) (Table 3).

Approximately 200 mg dry weight of samples was weighed in triplicate into 100 mL calibrated glass syringes following the procedures of Menke and Steingass (1988). The syringes were pre-warmed at 39°C before the injection of 30 mL rumen fluid-buffer mixture (1:2) into each syringe and incubated in a water bath at 39°C. Gas volumes were
were fitted to the model of Ørskov and McDonald (1979) by NEWAY computer package program.

\[ y = a + b(1 - e^{-ct}) \]

Where, \( a \), the gas production from the immediately soluble fraction (mL); \( b \), the gas production from the insoluble fraction (mL); \( c \), the gas production rate constant for the insoluble fraction (mL/h); \( a + b \), potential gas production (mL); \( t \), incubation time (h); \( y \), gas produced at time \( t \). Organic matter digestibility (OMD), metabolizable energy (ME) (Menke et al., 1979) and net energy lactation (NE\(_L\)) (Menke and Steinigass, 1988) contents of forages were estimated using equations given below:

- OMD (%) = 14.88 + 0.889 GP + 0.45 CP + 0.651 A
- ME (MJ/kg DM) = 2.20 + 0.136 GP + 0.0574 CP
- NE\(_L\) (MJ/kg DM) = 0.101 GP + 0.051 CP + 0.112 EE

Where; GP, 24 h net gas production (mL/200 mg DM);

CP, crude protein (%); A, Ash content (%); EE, Ether extracts (%).

**Statistical analysis**

Study was repeated 3 years and each year was taken as a block. But plant samples by combining three years were analyzed to compare nutrient contents, gas production parameters, energy, DDM and OMD values using General Linear Model (GLM) of Minitab (Version 16.0, 2006) package programs. All parameters from 3 Legume species with 4 salinity levels were analyzed using 3x4 factorial designs. Multiple comparisons among individual means were made with Minitab 16.0 in factorial designs.

**RESULTS**

**Nutrient contents of legume species grown in soils with four salt levels**

Chemical compositions of all forages are presented in Table 2. The dry matter content of each legume plant significantly changed among species and showed significant change with the salt levels, and the interaction of species by salinity. *Trifolium alexandrinum* had highest DM content.

**Table 1. Chemical compositions of forage and concentrate fed to animals**

| Feeds                  | DM (g/kg) | CP  | EE  | CF  | Ash | NDF | ADF |
|------------------------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Forage (Corn silage)   | 318.3     | 105.7 | 35.1 | 236.1 | 88.8 | 453.6 | 296.1 |
| Concentrates           | 907.9     | 281.4 | 44.3 | 96.2 | 60.7 | 266.9 | 93.4 |

DM, dry matter; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extracts; NDF, neutral detergent fiber, ADF, acid detergent fiber.

**Table 2. Chemical compositions, RFVs, DDMs and DMI s of samples used in the study**

| Plants                  | Salinity | DM    | NDF   | ADF   | Ash (%) | CP (%) | EE (%) | RFV   | DDM   | DMI   |
|-------------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| *Lotus curniculatus*    | Non salt | 92.5e  | 44.5c | 40.5e | 10.8e   | 14.9e  | 2.4b   | 120.1e | 57.3a | 2.7b  |
|                         | Low salt  | 92.9d | 44.2c | 37.2d | 11.4b   | 17.6b  | 2.9b   | 126.7a | 59.9d  | 2.7b  |
|                         | Medium salt | 93.2c | 39.3c | 34.4bd | 12.9bc | 18.2b  | 3.2b   | 128.4bc | 62.1bcd | 2.6c  |
|                         | High salt | 92.0b | 29.9a | 27.5a | 13.3c   | 18.9b  | 2.5b   | 201.2c | 67.5e  | 4.1c  |
| *Trifolium alexandrinum*| Non salt | 94.4cde | 42.4bc | 32.7bc | 11.7abc | 17.6c  | 2.0e   | 139.2ab | 63.5bcd | 2.8ab |
|                         | Low salt | 94.4def | 42.4bc | 32.7bc | 11.7abc | 17.6c  | 2.0e   | 139.2ab | 63.5bcd | 2.8ab |
|                         | Medium salt | 94.7bc | 40.8abc | 37.6bc | 11.3abc | 17.6c  | 3.2b   | 132.9c | 59.6bc | 2.9b  |
|                         | High salt | 94.2de | 43.6bc | 36.7cd | 13.1c   | 20.3b  | 3.9b   | 128.6a | 60.3abc | 2.8ab |
| *Medicago sativa*       | Non salt | 94.6cde | 39.7bc | 32.6bc | 11.7abc | 22.4cd | 2.0b   | 153.2ab | 63.2abcd | 3.1ab |
|                         | Low salt | 93.4bcd | 39.9bc | 32.1ab | 10.9a   | 22.8d  | 2.6b   | 149.8ab | 69.3cde | 3.0ab |
|                         | Medium salt | 94.6c | 36.2ab | 30.9ab | 12.2abc | 23.3e  | 2.2b   | 170.3b | 64.6c  | 3.4b  |
|                         | High salt | 92.4ab | 36.2ab | 30.6ab | 11.6abc | 22.6cd | 2.7b   | 169.1b | 65.1bc | 3.3b  |
| SEM                     | 0.1       | 0.6   | 0.5   | 0.2   | 0.3     | 0.1    | 3.3    | 0.5   | 0.05  |
| Plants                  | **NS      | **NS  | **NS  | **NS  | **NS    | **NS   | **NS   | **NS  | **NS  |
| Salinity                | **NS      | **NS  | **NS  | **NS  | **NS    | **NS   | **NS   | **NS  | **NS  |

RFV, relative feed value; DDM, digestible dry matter (% of body weight); DMI, dry matter intake (% of body weight); DM, dry matter; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extracts; SEM, standard error of means; NS, no significant differences.

* p<0.05; ** p<0.01.

**Significance between individual means was identified using the Duncan’s multiple comparative tests.**
Results indicated that salinity and plants had no significant effect on ash and ether extract. Dry matter (DM), ADF, DDM, DMI were affected by plant, salinity and plant × salinity interaction. On the other hand NDF, RFV, and DMI were affected by salinity and plant × salinity interaction. Generally RFVs of all species ranged from 120 to 210 and were quite satisfactory in salty conditions. Mineral contents also were affected by plant species, salinity and salinity × plants interactions.

In vitro gas production kinetics and estimated parameters such as were not affected by salinity whereas the gas production up to 48 h, OMD, ME, and NEL was affected by plant and plant × salt interaction.

**DISCUSSION**

The nutrient components of all plants were relatively similar for four salinity levels except for *Lotus corniculatus* (Table 2). This may be attributed to its characteristic structure. *Lotus corniculatus* is more resistant compared to *Medicago sativa*. According to Bakir (1985); *Lotus corniculatus* has been reported to be resistant to soil salinity of 12 to 16 dS/m. For this reason, *Lotus corniculatus* is recommended in hot, arid regions such as the Harran plain. All components, especially CP is enough to cover ruminant nutrient requirements. According to El Shaer (2010), most of salt tolerant fodders attain reasonable CP, although they have high ash content. Furthermore, such plants grown in saline soils are usually fairly poor in energy and high in CP (Le Houérou et al., 1982). These studies showed that salt stress tended to enhance CP content of *Lotus corniculatus* compared other legume species. The increase in CP content of this forage is likely attributed to its structural properties. Leaf loss of *Lotus corniculatus* was the greatest during drying (Aydemir et al., 2011). But it was not main subject of this study and fresh and dry matter yield were not provided. Leaf loss caused an increase in NDF. NDF is inversely proportional to HP in plants. Lower CP in *Lotus corniculatus* can be attributed to the high NDF content and leaf loss (Table 2). On the other hand, Fougere et al. (1991) suggested that salt stress induced a large increase in the amino acid and carbohydrate contents in some legume plants. Salt tolerant plant species contain high crude protein and salt and low metabolic energy (Norman et al., 2002). But, it is known that salt stress generally decreases productivity in all plants. The CP content averaged 19% in legume plants used in the present study which was enough to cover the protein requirements of small ruminants.

The ADF content of *Lotus corniculatus* decreased with increasing soil salinity levels. The specific effects of ADF on feed intake are already known. The DMI of *Trifolium alexandrinum* showed low values due to the increased salt levels in soil. Soil salinity has been reported to negatively influence feed intake and digestibility because of the reduction in nitrogen uptake (Mashhady et al., 1982). Absence of significant changes in nutrient content of alfalfa indicated that these alfalfa varieties growing on saline soils can produce high to moderate consumable biomass for livestock feeding at four salt levels (4dS/m<EC<16 dS/m) of soil series. The specific mechanisms of tolerance to salinity that are used by alfalfa are unknown (Smith, 1998). Alfalfa plants utilize salt exclusion as a mechanism to cope with salinity issues and they do exclude Na⁺ but do not exclude Cl⁻ (Brown and Hayward, 1956; Lauchb, 1984).

| Table 3. Mineral components of legume plants cultivated from different salt-affected fields |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Mineral components (ppm)                | Salinity level     | Non-salty         | Low salty         | Medium salty      | High salty        |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| *Lotus corniculatus*                     | K                  | 18.6              | 19.3              | 13.8              | 11.5              |
|                                          | Na                 | 144.6             | 138.4             | 172.7             | 150.5             |
|                                          | Ca                 | 94.9              | 103.5             | 98.1              | 100.3             |
|                                          | Mg                 | 16.3              | 32.5              | 30.8              | 29.1              |
| *Trifolium alexandrinum*                | K                  | 26.0              | 36.6              | 28.5              | 32.8              |
|                                          | Na                 | 145.3             | 70.9              | 155.2             | 137.3             |
|                                          | Ca                 | 105.9             | 134.5             | 81.3              | 102.2             |
|                                          | Mg                 | 13.3              | 26.1              | 20.3              | 24.3              |
| *Medicago sativa*                       | K                  | 9.0               | 12.7              | 19.5              | 17.8              |
|                                          | Na                 | 140.0             | 126.7             | 168.1             | 171.4             |
|                                          | Ca                 | 115.0             | 93.6              | 93.1              | 93.6              |
|                                          | Mg                 | 15.7              | 23.3              | 20.9              | 21.1              |
| SEM                                      | 0.41               | 1.34              | 1.10              | 0.58              |
| Legume species                           | **                 | **                | *                 | **                |
| Salinity level                           | **                 | **                | **                | **                |
| Legume species × salinity level           | **                 | **                | **                | **                |

SEM, standart error mean. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01.
Alfalfa is more salt tolerant because it is able to regulate the uptake and translocation of Na+ and Cl− to prevent excessive accumulation of these ions in leaves (Mumus, 2005).

Salinity resulted in a significant accumulation of some minerals (except Ca) especially in Medicago sativa. Data on mineral content revealed that K retention was significantly affected by the levels of soil salinity in Lotus corniculatus. Salinity caused a cubic accumulation of Na mineral especially in Lotus corniculatus and Trifolium alexandrinum. Jia et al. (2008) suggested that high salt levels impose both ionic and osmotic stresses on plants, resulting in an excessive accumulation of sodium (Na) in plant tissues. Because the mentioned minerals were higher in the Akçakale region than the Harran region (Ikizce soil series), some of the mineral contents of plants such as Mg, Na, K, and Ca were higher in this area. Suyama et al. (2007) reported more Na accumulation for ‘Salado’ alfalfa grown in the Akçakale region than the Harran region (Ikizce soil plant tissues. Because the mentioned minerals were higher resulting in an excessive salinity caused a cubic accumulation of Na mineral content revealed that K retention was significantly different, especially in Salinity, and OMD values of legume species in current study and they were quite satisfactory levels in due to reduced K in Lotus corniculatus. However, we do not know whether these forages growing under salty conditions are consumed less than in current conditions because this trial was not an in vivo study. Therefore more in vivo studies are required to determine the effect on the feed intake of ruminants. There were no significant differences among groups with salinity levels in terms of the in vitro gas production, the immediately soluble fraction a, the gas production from the insoluble fraction b and the rate of gas production constant from the insoluble fraction c (p<0.05) (Table 4). This can be attributed to the similar ash, CP and ether extract values in salinity conditions for each plant. In this study, the nutrient content of all species was at a similar level for microbial fermentation. It is well documented that the nutrient contents of feeds affect their potential production of gas quantity and level of gas produced tends to decrease or increase with changing chemical content of feeds (Doane et al., 1997; Abdulrazak et al., 2000). Poor energy of plants in saline soils is frequently seen (Abdulrazak et al., 2000). All varieties had approximately ME of 7.2 MJ/kg DM. In general, ME values below 7 MJ/kg DM are considered to be unacceptable for beef cattle and goats (NRC, 1981;1984). Varieties with ME values between 7 and 9 MJ/kg DM are suitable for low production or maintenance level of beef cattle but not acceptable for dairy cattle and rapidly growing calves.

The Hay Marketing Task Force of the American Forage and Grassland Council (AFCG) endorses the use of RFV as a measure of forage quality (Linn and Martin, 2008). According to the Quality Grading Standard assigned by the Hay Market Task Force of AFCG, the RFV values were founded as “Premium” 2 or “Prime” for all legume species in current study and they were quite satisfactory levels in

---

### Table 4. In vitro gas production (mL) parameters and ME, NE₃, and OMD values of Legume species

| Plants               | Salinity | 3     | 6     | 9     | 12    | 24    | 48    | 72    | 96    | a     | b     | c     | OMD   | ME    | NE₃   |
|----------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| **Lotus corniculatus** |          |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Non salt             | 2.9⁰      | 5.9⁰  | 10.3³ | 14.7⁰ | 26.9⁰ | 35.8⁰ | 40.1⁰ | 41.8³ | 3.7³  | 46.0  | 0.04  | 46.2  | 6.7⁰  | 3.7³  |
| Low salt             | 2.0⁰      | 5.4⁰  | 10.5⁰ | 15.3⁰ | 27.7⁰ | 38.5⁰ | 41.6⁰ | 43.1³ | 5.2³  | 49.1  | 0.05  | 48.2  | 7.0³  | 4.0³  |
| Medium salt          | 5.5⁰      | 8.9⁰  | 13.9⁰ | 18.8⁰ | 31.2⁰ | 40.3³ | 44.3⁰ | 45.9³ | 1.5³  | 47.1  | 0.05  | 51.6  | 7.5³  | 4.4³  |
| High salt            | 2.5⁰      | 5.8⁰  | 10.0⁰ | 14.2⁰ | 25.4³ | 30.7³ | 38.1³ | 39.9³ | 2.2³  | 42.6  | 0.05  | 46.9  | 6.8³  | 3.8³  |
| **Trifolium alexandrinum** |        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Non salt             | 1.9⁰      | 5.2³  | 10.0³ | 14.7³ | 26.9³ | 37.0³ | 40.0³ | 41.5³ | 5.0³  | 47.2  | 0.05  | 48.3  | 7.0³  | 3.9³  |
| Low salt             | 6.0⁰      | 8.7³  | 13.7³ | 18.5³ | 30.5³ | 39.4³ | 43.7³ | 45.3³ | 0.8³  | 46.6  | 0.04  | 51.7  | 7.6³  | 4.3³  |
| **Medicago sativa**  |          |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Non salt             | 2.4⁰      | 5.7³  | 9.8³  | 13.8³ | 27.7³ | 29.8³ | 37.1³ | 38.8³ | 2.1³  | 41.5  | 0.04  | 45.5  | 6.6³  | 3.7³  |
| Low salt             | 2.1⁰      | 5.5³  | 10.8³ | 15.5³ | 28.2³ | 38.8³ | 42.1³ | 43.6³ | 5.3³  | 49.5  | 0.05  | 49.5  | 7.2³  | 4.2³  |
| **SEM**              | 0.2       | 0.4   | 0.08  | 0.1   | 0.2   | 0.4   | 0.3   | 0.4   | 0.1   | 0.5   | 0.01  | 0.2   | 0.03  | 0.02  |
| Plants               |          |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Salinity             | NS       | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    |
| Plants×salinity      | NS       | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    |

**Note:** ME, metabolizable energy; NE₃, net energy lactation; OMD, organic matter digestibility; a, the gas production from the immediately soluble fraction (mL); b, the gas production from the insoluble fraction (mL); c, the gas production rate constant for the insoluble fraction (mL/h); SEM, standard error of means; NS, no significant differences. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01.

**Note:** Significances between individual means were identified.
salty soil conditions (all plants ranged from 120.1 to 210.2; Table 2). In conclusion, this study showed that these legume species can be used as salt tolerant forages for ruminant diets in areas with soil salinity. These glycophyte species (especially Medicago sativa) can meet forage quality standards and they yield highly edible biomass on saline lands where non-salt tolerant species cannot grow. In these areas, a shortage of feed resources is a common problem and is considered as a major constraint to increasing livestock productivity. The DMI and RFV did not show a negative trend under current salinity conditions except Trifolium alexandrinum (Table 2). Where animal production systems are based on forage from soils with higher salt concentrations more attention should be given to Trifolium alexandrinum if salt free water is in limited supply. On the other hand, salinity does not have a significant impact on the nutritional value of these plants under all salinity conditions. Provided sufficient water consumption, accumulation of mineral elements in Medicago sativa and Lotus corniculatus plants does not limit the DM consumption.
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