ERRγ is downregulated in injured motor neuron subpopulations following brachial plexus root avulsion
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Abstract. Estrogen-related receptor γ (ERRγ) is a member of a small group of orphan nuclear receptor transcription factors that have been implicated in several physiological and pathological processes, including placental development, regulation of metabolic genes or disease. The pattern of expression of ERRγ, its role in neuronal injury and its co-localization with other transcription factors in the spinal cord of rats with brachial plexus injury has not been determined. The expression profile of ERRγ and its co-localization with RNA binding protein fox-1 homolog 3 (NeuN) or cyclic AMP-dependent transcription factor 3 (ATF-3) in the motor neurons of rats that underwent brachial plexus root avulsion were assessed using western blot analysis, immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence. Fluorogold (FG) was used to mark neurons whose axons were severed. ATF-3 was expressed in the nuclei of motor neurons whose axons were severed by root avulsion. On day 3 post-avulsion, FG and ATF-3 were all co-localized in the injured motor neurons. The level of ERRγ protein in the ipsilateral half of injured spinal cords was significantly decreased compared with that in the contralateral half on days 3, 14 and 28 post-avulsion (all P<0.05). The numbers of ERRγ-positive motor neurons (ERRγ−positive) were also notably decreased in the ipsilateral side compared with that in the contralateral side on days 14 and 28 post-avulsion, implying that the expression occurred in α motor neurons that were progressively being lost, a phenomenon that was expected post-brachial plexus avulsion. Almost all large and small ERRγ-positive motor neurons were also NeuN-positive (NeuNpositive). However, a few of these were ERRγ−/NeuN− (no NeuN signal). Therefore, these results suggested that ERRγ is a non-specific marker of γ motor neurons in rats, and therefore, this specific transcriptional program cannot be used to define functionally distinct motor neuron sub-populations. However, its downregulation on the injured side suggests that it is an important component of the response to injury in motor neurons.

Introduction

Brachial plexus root avulsion (BPRA), as a primary injury, is one of the most serious axonal injuries that may lead to multiple pathophysiological processes in the spinal cord (1,2). These secondary processes often involve an altered expression of specific genes and proteins associated with inflammation, apoptosis, mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and oxidative stress, which, in turn, contribute to the apoptotic death of the affected motor neurons with subsequent permanent paralysis of the ipsilateral upper limb muscles (2).

The spinal cord ventral horn is where the somatic motor neuron subtypes, namely γ, β and α motor neurons, are located. These subtypes are responsible for functional movements that are lost following a brachial plexus/spinal cord injury (3). β motor neurons are the smallest and least abundant of the somatic motor neuron subtypes. The molecular and electrical properties of β motor neurons are poorly characterized and largely remain unidentified (3,4). γ motor neurons contribute ~30% to the motor neuron pool and have smaller cell bodies compared with the largest motor neurons, α motor neurons (1-4). Functionally, γ motor neurons innervate the intrafusal muscle fibers, which modulate the sensitivity of muscle spindles to stretch (3-5). α motor neurons are the predominant cell type in the motor neuron pool. They innervate force-generating extrafusal muscle fibers at neuromuscular junctions. Notably, in mice, the muscle fiber targets of γ and α motor neurons can be distinguished by estrogen-related receptor (ERR) transcription factor expression (5). It is unknown if the same is true for rats, which represent an important experimental animal model for brachial plexus injuries.

ERRs are a small group of orphan nuclear receptor transcription factors with 3 isoforms, namely ERRα (NR3B1), ERRβ (NR3B2) and ERRγ (NR3B3) (6-9). The 3 mammalian ERR genes have been implicated in diverse physiological processes, ranging from placental development to bone density...
Materials and methods

Animal model. A total of 35 adult female Sprague Dawley rats (weight, 180-250 g; age, 8-10 weeks) were purchased from the Laboratory Animal Centre of Sun Yat-sen University. The rats were housed under a 12-hour light/dark cycle, with ad libitum access to rat chow and water. All surgical procedures were conducted aseptically, in accordance with the Chinese National Health and Medical Research Council animal ethics guidelines. The experiments were approved by the Sun Yat-sen University Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee.

BPRA surgery. BPRA was performed as previously described (24,25) In brief, the rats were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine (80 mg/kg) and xylazine (8 mg/kg) administered intramuscularly (IM). While in the supine position, the right brachial plexus was exposed and identified, and its roots (C5-T1) were isolated under a dissecting microscope (magnification x10). Extra-vertebral avulsion of the ventral and dorsal roots was then performed. The ventral and dorsal roots, in addition to the dorsal root ganglia, were cut off at the distal ends of the avulsed spinal nerves and examined under the microscope to confirm the success of the surgery.

Western blot preparation and analysis. To analyze the ERRγ protein levels in the spinal cord segment that underwent root avulsion, 10 rats were sacrificed with a lethal dose of a mixture of ketamine (320 mg/kg) and xylazine (32 mg/kg) administered intramuscularly (IM). While in the supine position, the right brachial plexus was exposed and identified, and its roots (C5-T1) were isolated under a dissecting microscope (magnification x10). Extra-vertebral avulsion of the ventral and dorsal roots was then performed. The ventral and dorsal roots, in addition to the dorsal root ganglia, were cut off at the distal ends of the avulsed spinal nerves and examined under the microscope to confirm the success of the surgery.

RETROGRADE LABELLING OF THE INJURED SPINAL MOTOR NEURONS WITH FLUOROGLD (FG). A total of 3 days prior to BPRA surgery, FG retrograde labelling of the avulsion-injured motor neurons was performed on 5 adult SD rats; procedures were performed as previously described (26,27). Briefly, the rats were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine (80 mg/kg) and xylazine (8 mg/kg) (Fujian Gutian Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.) administered IM, and laid in supine position under the dissecting microscope for surgery. Following the identification of the right brachial plexus, the C7 and C8 spinal nerve roots were injected with 2% FG (2% w/v; Fluorochrome, LLC). A micropipette with the FG solution (2.0 μl for 60 sec) was slowly injected under the epineurium into the proximal stumps of the C7 and C8 nerve roots. The injection site was then clamped with micro forceps for an additional 10 sec to ensure all of the axons had been cut. Finally, the muscle, fascia and skin were sutured successively in layers. Then, 3 days later, all rats in this group were anesthetized again. In prone position under the dissecting microscope, the rats underwent laminectomy of the C6 to C7 vertebrae, cutting of the dura mater and opening of the subarachnoid space to expose the dorsal and ventral roots of the C7 and C8 spinal nerves. Following positive identification, all of the dorsal and ventral rootlets of C7 and C8 were pulled out using a micropipette hook. Muscles, fascia and skin were then sutured successively in layers and the rats were allowed to survive for 3 days until sacrifice. Tissues were processed for FG and cyclic AMP-dependent transcription factor 3 (ATF-3) immunofluorescence as previously described (27).
according to the manufacturer's protocol (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co., Ltd.). Protein concentration in each sample was determined using BCA protein assay kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol. The samples were diluted in an equal volume of 5X SDS loading buffer. The proteins in the samples (40 μg) were then separated using a 10% TGX™ FastCast™ Acrylamide kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.), transferred onto PVDF membranes and then blocked with 5% milk in TBST solution for 2 h at room temperature. Next, the PVDF membranes were probed with ERRγ (1:2,000; cat. no., sc66883, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc) and GAPDH (1:2,000; cat. no., SAB405848; Sigma-Aldrich: Merck KGaA) primary antibodies diluted in Western Blot Immune Booster solution 1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) overnight at 4°C. These membranes were then washed and probed with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:5,000; cat. no., AP127P; Merck KGaA) and anti-rabbit IgG (1:5,000; cat. no., AP107P; Merck KGaA) secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature, developed using enhanced chemiluminescence substrate and then exposed on BioMax film (Kodak). Exposed films were scanned, and protein bands quantified using Image-Pro Plus software version 6.0 (Media Cybernetics, Inc.).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and immunofluorescence (IF). The IHC and IF procedures were performed as previously described (27). Briefly, rats were deeply anesthetized with an intramuscular injection of a mixture of ketamine (80 mg/kg) and xylazine (8 mg/kg) at 2 (5 experimental rats and 5 control) and 4 weeks (5 experimental rats and 5 control) following BPRA surgery, and perfused transcardially with normal saline, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4). Following perfusion, the C7 and C8 spinal segments of each rat were carefully removed, immersed in fixative (4% paraformaldehyde for 4 h at 4°C) and then stored in 30% (v/v) sucrose solution in PBS overnight. For IHC staining, frozen transverse sections (35 μm) were washed 3 times with 0.01 M PBS for 10 min and incubated in 0.3% peroxide in methanol (100%) at room temperature for 15 min to eliminate endogenous peroxidase activity. Following washing in PBS, the sections were incubated in 3% BSA (NeoFroxx GmbH) and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 0.01 M PBS at room temperature for 30 min and then for 72 h at 4°C (with the following primary antibodies: Anti-ERRγ (1:500; cat. no., sc66883; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). Following washing in PBS, the sections were incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000; cat. no., F-2761; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), TRITC-conjugated anti-Rabbit IgG (1:1,000; cat. no., A18750; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at room temperature for 2 h in the dark. The sections were washed again in PBS, mounted on glass slides and examined under a fluorescence microscope at x10 and x20 magnification (Zeiss AG). The staining specificity was verified by the omission of primary antibodies.

The mean of a total number of ERRγ-positive motor neurons in 10 serial IHC sections of the ventral horns of C7 and C8 spinal segment was calculated in each rat. The positive motor neurons, the ones exhibiting visibly stained nuclei of the spinal cord, were counted under a microscope at a magnification, x20 (Carl Zeiss AG) as described previously (29,30). Enumeration of motor neurons, pooling of means and data analysis were performed by two independent persons blinded to the treatment/sidedness of the groups.

Statistical analysis. Enumeration of motor neurons, pooling of means and data analysis were performed by two independent persons blinded to the treatment sidedness of the groups. The data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean and were analyzed using SPSS v16.0 software (SPSS, Inc.). A one-way analysis of variance was used to analyze the differences among groups, followed by a post-hoc Bonferroni test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Avulsion-induced ATF-3 is a marker of injured motor neurons. At the end of the 6 days after the FG retrograde labelling, the C7 and C8 spinal cord sections from 5 rats were examined under a fluorescence microscope. The results indicated that motor neurons in the ipsilateral ventral horn were labelled by FG (Fig. 1). In addition, almost all motor neurons, including their cell bodies and their dendritic processes, were labelled by the FG in the ipsilateral C7 and C8 ventral horns (Fig. 1Aa). In the FG-labelled motor neurons, the FG dye was taken up by the cut end of the axons in the middle and lower trunks of the brachial plexus and then transported to the cytoplasm of the motor neuron cell bodies in the C7 and 8 ventral horn segments via retrograde transport (Fig. 1). Therefore, FG-labelled motor neurons represented the injured motor neurons, whose axons had been severed. Fig. 1Bb also indicated that, on day 3 following avulsion, ATF-3 was expressed in the nuclei of motor neurons whose axons had been severed by root avulsion. It was, therefore, only expressed in the ipsilateral ventral horn of the spinal cord corresponding to the level of the root avulsion. Fig. 1Cc additionally demonstrates the co-localization of FG with ATF-3, thereby providing evidence that the ATF-3 is a viable marker of injured motor neurons.

Avulsion decreases ERRγ protein expression in the ipsilateral half of injured spinal cords. The expression of the ERRγ protein in the spinal cord following root avulsion was assessed by western blot analysis using an anti-ERRγ antibody. At each time point [2 weeks (n=5) and 4 weeks (n=5)], the results of the
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A representative western blot analysis suggested that the ERRγ protein level in the ipsilateral half, the avulsed half of the spinal cord, was significantly decreased compared with that of the contralateral half (all P<0.05; Fig. 1D and E).

Figure 1. FG labeling and ATF-3/ERRγ in the injured spinal cord. FG retrograde labeling was performed in the representative axotomy side C7 and C8 spinal cord sections examined under the fluorescence microscope at day 3. (A) FG localization in the cell somata and cell processes of neurons whose axons were cut at the level of the brachial plexus roots. Scale bar=100 µm, magnification x10. (a) Magnification (x20) of the area marked in image A. Scale bar=100 µm. (B) ATF-3 nuclei staining on the axotomized side of the C7 and C8 spinal cord sections examined under the fluorescence microscope at day 3. No staining was observed on the contralateral side (not in view). Magnification x10 (b). Magnification (x20) of the area marked in image B. Scale bar=100 µm. (C) A merged image demonstrating co-localization of FG and ATF-3 in injured (axotomy) motor neurons at day 3. Magnification x10. (c) Magnification (x20) of the area marked in image C. Scale bar=100 µm. (D) A representative western blot analysis gel. The ERRγ/GAPDH ratio for the L (uninjured side) and R (injured side) halves of the spinal cord of each at 2 and 4 weeks post-avulsion. (E) Densitometry results are presented as means ± standard error of the mean. The level of ERRγ protein was significantly decreased on the right side (brachial plexus injured) of the spinal cord at both time points. *P<0.05. The black bars represent the protein level on the injured side, and the gray bars represent the expression levels on the contralateral, uninjured side. FG, Fluorogold; ATF-3, cyclic AMP-dependent transcription factor 3; ERRγ, estrogen-related receptor γ; L, left, contralateral side; R, right ipsilateral side.
Avulsion-induced death of the ERRγ and ATF-3 positive motor neurons. In the ERRγ-stained sections, the numbers of positive motor neurons were notably decreased in the ipsilateral side compared with those in the contralateral side (Fig. 2A-Cc). The data also demonstrated that the positive signal for ERRγ was present in both small and large motor neurons. These results, therefore, demonstrated that there was constitutive expression of ERRγ and that BPRA induced ERRγ downregulation in motor neurons in the injured segment of the spinal cord.

In addition, the expression of ATF-3 in the spinal cord following root avulsion was also assessed using IF. Adjacent sections were either stained with an antibody against ERRγ or ATF-3. At 3 days following avulsion, no ATF-3 positive neurons were observed in the contralateral ventral horns of the C7 and C8 (n=5). However, ATF-3 was detected on the ipsilateral side of corresponding segments (Fig. 2B-Dd) (n=5).

In Fig. 3, the representative IHC images 2 weeks post-avulsion indicate fewer ERRγ-positive motor neurons in the ipsilateral injured side (Fig. 3B) compared with in the contralateral side (Fig. 3A). This trend was also observed 4 weeks post-avulsion (Fig. 3C and D). The ERRγ-positive signal was present in both smaller γ (red arrow) and larger α (green arrow) motor neurons. The results of immunoreaction cell counting in
Figure 3. Representative micrographs used for the counting of the ERRγ positive motor neurons on both sides of the same C7 segment of the spinal cord. (A) The contralateral side at 2 weeks. (B) The ipsilateral side at 2 weeks. (C) The ipsilateral side at 4 weeks. (D) The contralateral side at 4 weeks. (E) The mean of the total number of ERRγ-positive motor neurons in 10 serial sections of both ventral horns of C7 spinal segments were computed at 2 and 4 weeks post-avulsion. The average number of ERRγ-positive motor cells on the injured side (black column, ipsilateral) were significantly decreased compared with that identified on the contralateral side (gray column, contralateral). *P<0.05. ERRγ, estrogen-related receptor γ.

The ventral horns demonstrated that the average number of the ERRγ-positive neurons in the ipsilateral ventral horn on day 14 was 9±1 (n=5) and on day 28 was 11±0.5 (n=5) (Fig. 3E). On the contralateral ventral horn, the number of ERRγ-positive neurons on day 14 was 18±1, while on day 28 it was 21±1.5 (Fig. 3E). Further observations and statistical analysis indicated that avulsion significantly decreased the number of ERRγ-positive motor neurons in the ipsilateral ventral horn, both on days 14 and 28 compared with the number in the contralateral ventral horn (all P<0.05; Fig. 3E).

ERRγ nonspecific expression in γ and α motor neurons. In mice, γ and α motor neurons were distinguished based on the expression of ERRγ (5). In the present study involving a rat model, the IF double-labeling reaction results indicated that the positive immunoreactions of the NeuN antibody ERRγ (red, Fig. 4A-D) and NeuN (green, Fig. 4E-H) were concentrated in the cytoplasm and nuclei of the spinal neurons in the contralateral ventral horns of the injured spinal segments, respectively. The area that was used for cell counting is marked in Fig. 4G. Almost all ERRγ-positive motor neurons were also NeuN-positive (Fig. 4I-L). However, a few motor neurons were ERRγα/NeuNβ. These results demonstrated that the ERRγα/NeuNβ status of motor neurons can be identified as γ motor neurons (Fig. 4M). In addition, the presence of ERRγα/NeuNβ implies that ERRγ was not specific to a single cell subtype, the γ motor neuron.

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to describe the post-brachial plexus avulsion expression profile of ERRγ and determine whether ERRγ and NeuN have complementary expression profiles in γ and α motor neurons.

In a previous study, ATF-3-positive immunoreaction was demonstrated to be a marker of neuronal survival and regenerative competency following experimental avulsion injury and, as such, the authors suggested the use of ATF-3 as a regenerative marker of the affected motor neurons (31). In the present study, ATF-3 was not expressed on the intact half of the spinal cord (the contralateral side), but was markedly induced in the avulsion site of the spinal motor neurons of the corresponding cord segments. The FG-labelled motor neurons represented the injured motor neurons, as these FG-labelled motor neuron somata received the FG tracer that had been taken up and retrogradely transported by the remaining stumps of the axons. These results were in concordance with our previous study (27). ATF-3 expression also occurred only in the nuclei of the FG-positive neurons, as previously demonstrated (27). In previous studies, ATF-3 was revealed to be induced in the liver upon intoxication or hepatectomy, in the heart upon myocardial ischemia or ischemia-reperfusion, and in the kidney upon renal ischemia-reperfusion (32,33). In each of these cases, including those in our studies, ATF-3 was notably and consistently induced in the corresponding tissues that are exposed to the stress signal. Therefore, ATF-3 may serve an important role in the general early response to stress. The present data suggested that ATF-3 may be a specific phenotypic marker of BPRA-injured motor neurons, as BPRA resulted in an early and sustained expression of ATF-3 in the injured spinal motor neurons. In the ipsilateral spinal cord horn, avulsion induced a sustained expression of ATF-3 in the nuclei.

In the present study, it was identified that there was a consistent decrease in the levels of ERRγ protein expression on the brachial plexus cord avulsion-injured side of the spinal cord. These results were consistent with the other results of the present study, indicating that the number of ERRγ-positive motor neurons was also decreased in the same injured side...
over the same observation period. In combination, these results indicated that the effects of brachial plexus injury may have led to the death of ERRγ-positive motor neurons. This result was not in agreement with earlier data that γ motor neurons are largely spared following secondary spinal cord injury (34,35). In a previous study (34), the authors postulated that the surviving γ motor neurons may have indirectly exacerbated the death of motor neurons through a regimen of excitotoxic proprioceptive afferent (IA) feedback on α motor neurons. Although the model in the present study was not able to molecularly distinguish γ from α motor neurons, and there may also be confounding caused by a decrease in the size of the dying α motor neurons; future studies should focus on the identification of these subtypes to determine whether this phenomenon may be involved in present neuronal cell loss. In addition, well-established causes of motor neuron loss following avulsion injuries, such as nitric oxide (25,28,36) or JNK-mediated (phosphorylated c-Jun) apoptosis (37) could not be ruled out. It could be suggested that, in this case, all axons of the motor neuron pool under study were severed, therefore, the interaction whereby γ causes α motor neuron degeneration could only happen at the level of the spinal cord ventral horn. This is an additional avenue for further study.

ERRs, the first orphan nuclear receptors, share sequence homology with members of the nuclear receptor superfamily (38,39). Studies have identified that the ERRs control vast metabolic gene networks and are key regulators of energy metabolism, particularly in response to various environmental challenges or biological stresses (13). However, results from multiple genome-wide binding site location analyses have suggested that ERRs may also be major orchestrators of other biosynthetic pathways and biological processes; furthermore, this regulation is likely to be cell- and tissue-specific. ERRγ was the first orphan receptor to be identified due to its interaction with transcriptional coactivators. In a number of respects, ERRγ functions like a classical nuclear receptor. Although it

Figure 4. Complementary expression of ERRγ and NeuN in γ and α motor neurons. Immunofluorescence double-labeling reaction results indicated that the positive immunoreactions at (A) 2 weeks in the contralateral side of the spine, (B) 2 weeks in the ipsilateral side of the spine, (C) 4 weeks in the ipsilateral side of the spine and (D) 4 weeks in the contralateral side of the spine. ERRγ (red) were located in the cytoplasm of the spinal neurons. The figures also show the apparent downregulation of the ERRγ signal on the injured ipsilateral sides at the time points after avulsion. NeuN (green) signals were concentrated in the nuclei of motor neurons as shown after (E) 2 weeks in the contralateral side of the spine, (F) 2 weeks in the ipsilateral side of the spine, (G) 4 weeks in the ipsilateral side of the spine and (H) 4 weeks in the contralateral side of the spine. Representative micrographs after (I) 2 weeks in the contralateral side of the spine, (J) 2 weeks in the ipsilateral side of the spine, (K) 4 weeks in the ipsilateral side of the spine and (L) 4 weeks in the contralateral side of the spine show that the bulk all of the ERRγ-positive motor neurons were also NeuN-positive motor cells (ERRγ+/NeuN+). However, the remainder of these ventral motor neurons were ERRγ+/NeuN-. (M) ERRγ was detected in both populations of motor neurons ERRγ+/NeuN+ status of motor neurons can be identified as γ motor neurons. ERRγ, estrogen-related receptor γ; NeuN, RNA binding protein fox-1 homolog 3.
has been demonstrated that ERRγ is a functional transcriptional activator, its true physiological role remains to be determined. The highest expression of ERRγ occurs around days 11-15 of mouse embryonic development, a period of very active organogenesis (15). ERRγ was also expressed in selected adult tissues such as the heart, kidney and muscle (40). ERRγ has roughly similar temporal patterns of expression in mouse embryos, and somewhat similar-although not identical- distributions in adult tissues (15, 27, 41). The transcription factor ERRγ is expressed at high levels in γ but not α motor neurons, whereas the neuronal DNA binding protein, NeuN, marks α but not γ motor neurons in mice (5). The present study aimed to determine whether γ and α motor neurons in the spinal cord of the rats are distinguishable on the basis of their profile of expression of transcription factors and other molecular markers, as previously reported in mice (5). In this previous study, both the distribution and frequency of small, ERR positive/RNA binding protein fox-1 homolog 3 (NeuN) negative (ERRγ/NeuNoff) motor neurons in this previous study matched the profile expected for γ motor neurons (5). Results from the present study demonstrated that ERRγ/NeuN off neurons could be assumed to be γ motor neurons; however, not all ERRγ on (positive) neurons were γ motor neurons. Even the largest neurons in the ventral horn (α motor neurons) also exhibited the ERRγ signal in the present study. In light of the above results, further studies are required to establish those transcription factors that may be used to mark and positively distinguish between motor neuron subtypes within the motor neuron pool. In the present study, it was apparent that ERRγ was promiscuous, and therefore did not qualify as a molecular marker, as it did in mice (5).

The data from the present study proposed the relevance of ERRγ in mediating motor neuron response to avulsion-associated stress. It was apparent that the ERRγ expression level was decreased on the injured side of the spinal cord, indicating that it may participate in certain response-to-injury signaling pathways or have a constitutive expression role in normal spinal cord cells. To the best of our knowledge, the present results provided the first insights into the role of ERRγ in the spinal cord, as a novel approach toward understanding specific motor neuron response to BPRR stress. We hypothesized that a decrease in the ERRγ expression, specifically in the injured motor neurons that eventually died, may imply a neuroprotective role should it have been present. However, further studies are required to verify the exact role of ERRγ. It is well established that the neuroendocrine pathways that regulate gonadotropin release in rodents are sexually dimorphic and profoundly affected by neonatal estrogens (42). It would be important and interesting for future studies to explore the differences in ERR expression following BPRRs and elucidate their co-localization a well as roles in neuroprotection and neurodegeneration, if any.

In conclusion, the data of the present study on ERRγ expression demonstrated that γ and α motor neurons cannot be distinguished molecularly, and, as a result, there are no complementary profiles of DNA binding protein and ERRγ expression. Therefore, contrary to previous data from mice (5), the principle that spinal motor neurons may be fractionated into functionally distinct subtypes on the basis of their profile of transcription factors (ERRγ and NeuN) does not appear to extend to neuronal subtypes within single motor neuron pools in Sprague Dawley rats. Instead, in rats, there is at least a tendency that ERRγ and NeuN are expressed in the same subpopulations of motor neurons in the rat spinal cord, due to the observed expression promiscuity. However, the down-regulation of ERRγ in the injured side of the spinal cord is an intriguing result that should be investigated further.
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