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Abstract
The mean radiant temperature (MRT) and the Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI) are widely used as human biometeorology parameters to assess the linkages between outdoor environment and human well-being. Historically computed from meteorological station measurements, we here present ERA5-HEAT (Human thErmal comforT), the first historical dataset of MRT and UTCI as spatially gridded records at the global scale. Derived using climate variables from ERA5, a quality-controlled reanalysis produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) within the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S), ERA5-HEAT consists of hourly gridded maps of MRT and UTCI at 0.25° × 0.25° spatial resolution. It currently spans from 1979 to present, and it will be extended in time as updates of ERA5 are made available. ERA5-HEAT provides two streams, a consolidated and an intermediate one, that are released at 2 or 3 months and 5 days behind real time, respectively. Data are publicly and freely available for download at the Climate Data Store which has been developed as part of C3S. Being the only existing global historical gridded time series of MRT and UTCI to date, ERA5-HEAT is aimed at a wide range of end users, from scientists to policymakers, with an interest in environment–health applications at any spatial and temporal scale.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the occurrence of extreme meteorological events with detrimental impacts on public health has uncovered the close linkages between human well-being and the environment. Heatwaves and cold spells, for instance, are nowadays well-known hazards associated with increased mortality and morbidity, especially in vulnerable population groups (Seltenrich, 2015). Heat- and cold-related fatalities occur as ultimate consequences of human body exposure to extreme thermal conditions. Every day, a range of environmental factors such as air temperature, humidity, wind and radiation (thermal environment) challenges the ability of the human body to maintain its core temperature within the range required for optimal comfort, performance and health (McGregor and Vanos, 2018). Exposure to extreme thermal environments can lead to the failure of this thermoregulatory mechanism. If it is too hot, for instance, the human body may produce or absorb more heat than it dissipates thus increasing its core temperature to values that can potentially cause discomfort, heat-related illnesses and ultimately death (Koppe et al., 2004).

In 2009, the Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI) was created as a multivariate parameter describing the synergistic heat exchanges between the thermal environment and the human body, namely its energy budget, physiology and clothing (Jendritzky et al., 2012). The UTCI is based on the UTCI-Fiala model, which combines an advanced dynamic multi-node thermoregulation model of the human body with a state-of-the-art temperature-adaptive clothing insulation model for outdoor climates (Fiala et al., 2012; Havenith et al., 2012). For any combination of air temperature, wind, radiation and humidity, the UTCI is defined as the air temperature of a reference condition that would cause in the human body the same UTCI-Fiala model response as the real condition (Jendritzky et al., 2012). The UTCI is classified into 10 thermal stress categories. Each stress category, defined by a specific range of UTCI values, corresponds to a specific set of human physiological responses to the thermal environment (Błażejczyk et al., 2013).

The UTCI is valid at all spatial and temporal scales from the micro to the macro and suitable for a variety of applications (Jendritzky et al., 2012; Staiser et al., 2019). It has been extensively used, for instance, to define the thermal environment and its variability under current and future climates (Błażejczyk et al., 2010; Bröde, Krüger, et al., 2012b; Coccolo et al., 2016; Kjellstrom et al., 2017; Di Napoli et al., 2018). It has been applied to investigate the negative impacts of heat and cold exposure on human comfort and health, also within vulnerable groups (Urban and Kyselý, 2014; Burkart et al., 2016; Krzyżewska et al., 2017; Romaszko et al., 2017, 2019; Błażejczyk et al., 2018; Di Napoli et al., 2018, 2019; Skutecki et al., 2019). It has also been implemented as a forecasting tool for weather conditions associated to thermal stress, such as heatwaves (Novák, 2013; Pappenberger et al., 2015; Heusinkveld et al., 2017; Leroyer et al., 2018; Vitolo et al., 2019). Further applications include tourism industry, outdoor recreational activities and occupational health (Ge et al., 2017; Nassiri et al., 2017; Honjo et al., 2018).

Despite the potential of the UTCI as a human biometeorology index for the assessment of human health–environment linkages, its calculation has mostly relied on data recorded at ground-based meteorological stations. There are four variables required for the computation of the UTCI: 2 m air temperature, 2 m dew point temperature (or relative humidity), wind speed at 10 m above ground level and mean radiant temperature (MRT). MRT is a critical physical quantity representing how human beings experience radiation and is being addressed in a variety of disciplines, from urban planning to public health and climate change, as a major component of thermal-related comfort (Lindberg et al., 2014; Thorsson et al., 2014; Thorsson et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2020). Its estimation, however, is generally considered problematic (Kántor and Unger, 2011). In the past years, the development of specific modelling software (see e.g. Lindberg et al., 2008; Matzarakis et al., 2010) has eased the calculation of MRT from environmental variables, such as solar/thermal radiation and cloud cover, observed at meteorological stations or over limited areas. Recently, however, the computation of MRT as a spatially gridded quantity over the globe has been demonstrated by means of climate reanalyses (Di Napoli et al., 2020). A climate reanalysis combines model data with observations from across the world to provide a globally complete and consistent description of the Earth’s climate and its evolution in recent decades. Differently from station data that are location specific, may suffer from temporal gaps and may provide a limited set of environmental variables, a climate reanalysis has the advantage of temporal and spatial completeness for multiple atmospheric and land-surface parameters (Parker, 2016). The importance of computing MRT from a climate reanalysis is twofold. First, it confirms that climate information can be used as an input data source to derive thermal stress-related parameters at the global scale and across historical periods (see e.g. Buzan et al., 2015). Second, because of its definition, it includes the effects of radiation which have been often ignored in the assessment of heat and cold stress (Buzan and Huber, 2020).

This paper presents the first reanalysis dataset ever produced for two biometeorology-relevant indices: the UTCI and MRT. The dataset, called ERA5-HEAT (Human thErmal comfort), uses environmental data from ERA5, the latest global climate reanalysis created by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), to generate worldwide historical gridded maps of UTCI and MRT spanning the most recent decades. The automated routine implemented to generate ERA5-HEAT is described in detail in Section 2. The two reanalyses are compared and discussed
against data from ground-based meteorological stations in Section 3. Details on the data file format and how to access ERA5-HEAT from the Climate Data Store (CDS, developed as part of the Copernicus Climate Change Service C3S implemented by ECMWF, https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/) are reported in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 illustrates potential applications and limitations of the dataset.

2  |  DATA PRODUCTION METHODS

The workflow of the automated routine implemented to create the dataset from climate reanalysis data is illustrated in Figure 1.

2.1  |  Input file preparation

ERA5-HEAT is derived using climate variables from ECMWF ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020). ERA5 incorporates vast amounts of quality-controlled historical observations into global estimates by means of advanced modelling and data assimilation systems. The result is a comprehensive record of the climate observed in recent decades. ERA5 provides consistent time series of multiple climate variables. Each time series is a sequence of spatial grids at 0.25° resolution (approximately 31 km at the equator) and hourly intervals. The following ERA5 climate variables are used in the computation of the dataset: 2 m air temperature ($T_a$), 2 m dew point temperature ($T_d$), wind speed at 10 m above ground level ($v_a$), solar radiation and thermal radiation at the Earth’s surface. For each variable, an automated routine retrieves 12 hourly data grids at every model’s initialization time (06:00 and 18:00 Coordinated Universal Time, UTC) and merge them into a stack. Stacks are in GRIB (GRidded Binary) format, a WMO standard for the storage and distribution of gridded data. Their spatial extent covers all the globe (land and sea) north of 60°S latitude. The same routine also performs two additional tasks. One task consists in calculating relative humidity ($RH$) from $T_a$ and $T_d$ via the Clausius–Clapeyron relation (Alduchov and Eskridge, 1996). The second task computes the cosine of solar zenith angle, that is, the angle between the zenith and the centre of the Sun’s disc. The cosine of solar zenith angle influences the amount of solar radiation reaching a standing person. Its calculation is performed as described by Di Napoli et al. (2020). These two tasks generate two gridded stacks—one for $RH$ and one for the cosine of solar zenith angle. These, together with the stacks of $T_a$, $v_a$, solar radiation and thermal radiation, are the input files to the calculation of the dataset.

2.2  |  Calculation of indices

When all necessary input files are generated, the automatic routine triggers the calculation of MRT and UTCI reanalyses.

2.2.1  |  MRT

MRT reanalysis is computed via a three-step algorithm. In the first step the surface projection factor $f_p$ is calculated. The surface projection factor represents the portion of body surface exposed to direct solar radiation. For a rotationally symmetric standing or walking person it is computed from the solar elevation angle $\gamma$ as (Jendritzky et al. 1990).

$$ f_p = 0.308 \cos \left( \gamma \left(0.998 - \gamma^2 / 50000 \right) \right) \tag{1} $$

where $\gamma$ is the complementary angle to the solar zenith angle ($\gamma$ in degrees). The second step computes the direct, diffuse and reflected part of both thermal and solar radiation, each composed of two components. For the thermal radiation: the downwelling thermal component from the atmosphere ($L_{\text{surf}}^{dn}$) and the upwelling thermal component from the ground ($L_{\text{surf}}^{up}$). For the solar radiation: a direct component from the sun ($F$) and a diffuse component, with the latter equal to the sum of the isotropic diffuse solar radiation flux ($S_{\text{surf}}^{\text{diffuse}}$) and the surface-reflected solar radiation flux ($S_{\text{surf}}^{\text{up}}$). These five radiation components are computed from the solar and thermal data stored in ERA5. The details of this computation and of radiation sources are described in Di Napoli et al. (2020). In the third step, the surface projection factor and the five radiation components are input into the following formula to calculate MRT (Staiger and Matzarakis, 2010).

$$ \text{MRT} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ f_p L_{\text{surf}}^{dn} + f_p L_{\text{surf}}^{up} + \frac{\sigma_T}{\varepsilon_p} (f_p S_{\text{surf}}^{\text{diffuse}} + f_p S_{\text{surf}}^{\text{up}} + f_p F) \right] \right\} \tag{2} $$

FIGURE 1  A schematic of the production process for ERA5-HEAT
where $\sigma$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant ($5.67 \times 10^{-8}$ W/m$^2$K$^4$), $\alpha_p$ is the absorption coefficient of the body surface area irradiated by solar radiation (standard value 0.7), $\varepsilon_p$ is the emissivity of the clothed human body (standard value 0.97) and $f_a$ is an angle factor. The factor $f_a$ is set to 0.5 which corresponds to considering the surroundings of a human body as made of a lower hemisphere (ground) and an upper hemisphere (sky) only. This assumption is valid for most applications at the macro-scale, that is beyond urban level (Kántor and Unger, 2011), and it is consistent with the ERA5 spatial resolution of 31 km. The formula (2) generates a gridded stack for MRT where the layers correspond in number and time step to the layers from input files.

**FIGURE 2** Climatology of average daily mean MRT and UTCI (in °C) for the month of July as calculated from ECMWF ERA5 reanalysis outputs, 1-hr time step, period 1981–2010
2.2.2 | UTCI

Once the MRT stack is ready, the computation of the UTCI starts. This is performed by an algorithm that reads in the gridded stacks retrieved for $T_a$ and $va$, and those computed for $RH$ and MRT, and inputs them into an operational procedure which generates a UTCI gridded stack as output (Bröde, Fiala, et al., 2012a). The operational procedure computes the offset between the UTCI and $T_a$ via a six-order polynomial equation in the four parameters $T_a$, MRT, $va$ and $RH$. From the computational point of view, running the operational procedure is faster than solving the original Fiala multi-node model, thus providing an optimal tool for operational numerical weather forecasts and climate reanalysis applications. The procedure approximates the UTCI-Fiala model within an average root mean squared error of 1.1°C (Bröde, Fiala, et al., 2012a). The approximation is valid for input parameters values within specific ranges, namely:

- 2 m air temperature: $-50^\circ C \leq T_a \leq +50^\circ C$
- Mean radiant temperature: $-30^\circ C \leq \text{MRT} - T_a \leq +70^\circ C$
- Relative humidity: $RH \leq 100\%$ equivalent to $pa \leq 5$ kPa
  where $pa$ is water vapour pressure in kPa.

These ranges are applied in the algorithm. Furthermore, the wind speed is capped at 17 m/s as higher speeds have been observed to generate extremely low UTCI values (Novák, 2013; Pappenberger et al., 2015). Should input parameters not satisfy the ranges above, such as in hurricane-like conditions (which are usually characterized by wind speeds above the 17 m/s threshold), UTCI values are considered undefined and set to NaN.

2.3 | Output file preparation

The automated routine generates two output files: a gridded MRT stack and a gridded UTCI stack. Each stack is composed by 12 hourly layers with time steps starting at each model’s initialization time (06:00 and 18:00 UTC). Stacks are routinely archived on an internal ECMWF database in GRIB. They are then transformed into NetCDF (Network Common Data Form) in compliance with the format standard adopted by CDS. To ease transmission and retrieval from the database stacks are packaged in files of 24 hourly layers covering the full day period (00-23 UTC). Layers are defined on a regular grid in the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS 84). Each grid is made of 601 rows and 1,440 columns. MRT and UTCI data are stored in Kelvin (K). They can be converted into degree Celsius (°C) by subtracting 273.15.

The MRT and UTCI reanalyses so generated currently contain over 40 years of data starting 1 January 1979. Two reanalysis streams are produced. One stream (consolidated) uses ERA5 data as inputs, and it is updated monthly on CDS as ERA5 data become available, that is, 2–3 months behind real time. Another stream (intermediate) uses ERA5T, a preliminary no-quality-assured dataset for ERA5. MRT and UTCI reanalyses from ERA5T are updated on the CDS on a daily basis within five days from real time.

The climatology of MRT and UTCI for the period 1981–2010 from ERA5-HEAT (consolidated stream) is shown in Figure 2 as daily mean value over the climatological period for a month, here July. Highest MRT and UTCI values are found in almost all the Northern Hemisphere and the tropical regions. Regional variations, mainly related to land-surface type and topography, can be recognized. For instance, MRT values are generally higher in desert areas, where the low cloudiness and high albedo increase the portion of the solar radiation incident on the ground and reflected by it. Differences can also be observed for the UTCI with values in mountainous areas such as the Andes and the Tibetan plateau are generally lower than their surroundings.

3 | COMPARISON AGAINST OBSERVATIONS

ERA5-HEAT was compared against observations from 177 meteorological stations of the surface synoptic observations (SYNOP) network. SYNOP stations were selected outside forest areas and on the condition that their elevation is similar to the elevation represented in the model (<5 m difference). Observations of 2 m air temperature, 2 m dew point temperature, wind speed at 10 m and total cloud cover were retrieved for 2018 and input to RayMan Pro software (Version 3.0 Beta; Matzarakis et al., 2010) to calculate observed MRT and UTCI at each SYNOP station. Observed data $(o_i)$ were compared against reanalysis data $(m_i)$ previously extracted at the grid cells where stations are located. Two metrics were used: the coefficient of determination $[R^2 = \text{cov}(m, o)/\text{var}(m)/\text{var}(o)]$ and the root mean square error $[\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\sum(m_i - o_i)^2/n}]$ where $n$ is the number of data].

Metrics were calculated at each station and mapped out as shown in Figure 3. $R$-squared is above 0.6 at the majority of stations with a mean value equal to $0.80 \pm 0.13$, which indicates a general correlation between reanalysis data and corresponding observed data (Figure 3a). RMSE distribution shows that MRT and UTCI reanalysis data deviate, on average, from observed values by $8.6 \pm 2.5°C$ and $5.2 \pm 2.5°C$, respectively (Figure 3b). This is in agreement with the uncertainty found in previous studies for the same indices (Weihs et al., 2012; Schreier et al., 2013). It is worth noting that metrics are better for the UTCI than they are for MRT. The
The accuracy of MRT is related to the correct representation of radiation in numerical weather prediction models, which is usually challenging and prone to errors (Di Napoli et al., 2020). When the operational procedure is applied, the contribution of MRT to the UTCI is weighted by numerical factors (see Equation 2) so is its uncertainty.
4 | DATASET LOCATION AND FORMAT

ERA5-HEAT is hosted at the Copernicus Climate Data Store (CDS). The CDS has been implemented by ECMWF as part of C3S to provide a wide range of quality-assured climate products. MRT and UTCI reanalyses can be freely accessed and downloaded from CDS (https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.553b7518) after registration under an open-source licence. The landing page is shown in Figure 4 and reports a description of the dataset and its metadata. Users can navigate through the dataset in a dynamic and easy-to-use interface. Whenever a parameter—variable (i.e. index), year or month—is selected, the interface is automatically updated to reflect data availability. Once all selections are made, users can submit their request to download the data. They can also visualize the corresponding Python script and use the CDS-API (Climate Data Store Application Program Interface) service to download data in a more programmatic way.

To facilitate transfer across the network UTCI and MRT reanalyses data are provided as compressed files. Each file contains as many stacks as the number of days selected. Each stack contains 24 time steps at 0.25 resolution of the requested human biometeorology index. It is in NetCDF format and can be displayed, manipulated and analysed with many tools, that is Panoply, R and Python. Files follow the naming convention ‘ECMWF_index_YYYMMDD_version_dataset.nc’ where index is the acronym of the selected biometeorology index (utci or mrt), YYYMMDD is the selected date in a year-month-date format, version is the current version of the dataset (v1.0) and dataset is to distinguish between the consolidated (con) and the intermediate (int) stream.

5 | DATASET USE AND REUSE

ERA5-HEAT is meant for studies in climate research, climate adaptation and other services, including the monitoring and comparison of current thermal conditions with those of the past, or the association of heat and cold extremes to weather patterns. The human-based definition of the UTCI and MRT makes the dataset relevant also for public health applications ranging from epidemiology, with the identification of health impacts (i.e. mortality) in relationship to thermally hazardous events, to tourism. The possibility of aggregating grid cells to regional, national, continental or user-defined boundaries can support policymakers in the assessment, for instance, of advisory thresholds and corresponding precautionary planning for heat and cold extremes (see e.g. Di Napoli et al., 2019; Vitolo et al., 2019).

As described in Section 3, the dataset shows biases due to inherent uncertainties in the numerical weather prediction model used to generate the reanalysis. Even at the smallest grid cell, a reanalysis is a collection of values averaged over an area whereas station measurements are values collected at one specific point which leads to a representative error. The difference between reanalysis and measurements increases as the grid cell size increases, the terrain becomes complex (i.e. mountains) and its surface changes roughness and type. Uncertainties are also related to the ability of the model to represent parameters such as wind speed and radiation (Pappenberger et al., 2015; Di Napoli et al., 2020). Another aspect worth considering is the use of standard values in the definition of ERA5-HEAT biometeorology indices, that is, the emissivity of the clothed human body is set to 0.97 in the MRT calculation (formula 2). Users are recommended to consider these caveats when utilizing the dataset.

ERA5-HEAT was released in January 2020 as version 1.0, and it is automatically updated as input data from ERA5 and ERA5T become available. The dataset will be upgraded to a new version in case new biometeorology indices are added or ERA5 undergoes major updates. Registered users will be promptly notified in either case.

6 | SUMMARY

This paper describes the first-ever global historical dataset of two human biometeorology indices, namely MRT and the UTCI. The dataset, called ERA5-HEAT (Human thErmAl comforT), is computed using multiple climate variables from ERA5, a comprehensive quality-controlled climate reanalysis from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts. ERA5-HEAT provides hourly MRT and UTCI records on regular latitude–longitude grids at 0.25°× 0.25° resolution. It currently spans from 1979 to present, and it will be extended in time as updates of ERA5 are made available. It consists of two streams, a consolidated and an intermediate one, that are released at 2/3 months and 5 days behind real time, respectively. Data are released under open-source licence and are free to download. They are aimed at a wide range of end users, from scientists to policymakers, interested in the linkages between environment and human health at any spatial and temporal scale.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the ANYWHERE project (Enhancing Emergency Management and response to extreme Weather and climate Events, Project ID: 700099) which is funded by the European Commission’s HORIZON2020 programme.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.
ORCID
Claudia Di Napoli https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4901-3641
Christopher Barnard https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0558-0142
Christel Prudhomme https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1722-2497
Hannah L. Cloke https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1472-868X
Florian Pappenberger https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1766-2898

REFERENCES
Alduchov, O.A. and Eskridge, R.E. (1996) Improved Magnus’ form approximation of saturation vapor pressure. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 35, 601–609. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1996)035%3C0601:IMFAOS%3E2.0.CO;2
Blążejczyk, K., Bröde, P., Fiala, D., Havenith, G., Holmér, I., Jendritzky, G. et al. (2010) Principles of the new universal thermal climate index (UTCI) and its application to bioclimatic research in European scale. *Miscellanea Geographica*, 14, 91–102. https://doi.org/10.2478/mgrsd-2010-0009
Blążejczyk, K., Jendritzky, G., Bröde, P., Fiala, D., Havenith, G., Epstein, Y. et al. (2013) An introduction to the Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI). *Geographia Polonica*, 86(1), 5–10. https://doi.org/10.7163/GPol.2013.1
Blążejczyk, A., Blążejczyk, K., Baranowski, J. and Kuchcik, M. (2018) Heat stress mortality and desired adaptation responses of healthcare system in Poland. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 62, 307–318. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-017-1423-0
Bröde, P., Fiala, D., Blążejczyk, K., Holmér, I., Jendritzky, G., Kampmann, B. et al. (2012a) Deriving the operational procedure for the universal thermal climate index (UTCI). *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 56(3), 481–494. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-011-0454-1
Bröde, P., Krüger, E.L., Rossi, F.A. and Fiala, D. (2012b) Predicting urban outdoor thermal comfort by the Universal Thermal Climate Index UTCI—a case study in Southern Brazil. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 56, 471–480. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-011-0452-3
Burkart, K., Meier, F., Schneider, A., Breitner, S., Canário, P., Alcoforado, M.J. et al. (2016) Modification of heat-related mortality in an elderly urban population by vegetation (urban green) and proximity to water (urban blue): evidence from Lisbon. *Portugal. Environmental Health Perspectives*, 124(7), 927–934. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409529
Buzan, J.R. and Huber, M. (2020) Moist heat stress on a hotter earth. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 48(1), 623–655. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060100
Buzan, J.R., Olesen, K. and Huber, M. (2015) Implementation and comparison of a suite of heat stress metrics within the Community Land Model version 4.5. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 8, 151–170. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-151-2015
Coccolo, S., Kämpf, J., Scartezzini, J.L. and Pearlmutter, D. (2016) Outdoor human comfort and thermal stress: a comprehensive review on models and standards. *Urban Climate*, 18, 33–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2016.08.004

Di Napoli, C., Pappenberger, F. and Cloke, H.L. (2018) Assessing heat-related health risk in Europe via the universal thermal climate index (UTCI). *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 62(7), 1155–1165. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-018-1518-2
Di Napoli, C., Pappenberger, F. and Cloke, H.L. (2019) Verification of heat stress thresholds for a health-based heatwave definition. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 58(6), 1177–1194. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-18-0246.1
Di Napoli, C., Hogan, R. and Pappenberger, F. (2020) Mean radiant temperature from global-scale numerical weather prediction models. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 64, 1233–1245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-020-01900-5
Fiala, D., Havenith, G., Bröde, P., Kampmann, B. and Jendritzky, G. (2012) UTCI-Fiala multi-node model of human heat transfer and temperature regulation. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 56(3), 429–441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-011-0424-7
Ge, Q., Kong, X., Qi, J. and Zheng, J. (2017) Application of UTCI in China from tourism perspective. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 128, 551–561. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1731-z
Guo, H., Aviv, D., Loyola, M., Teitelbaum, E., Houchois, N. and Meggers, F. (2020) On the understanding of the mean radiant temperature within both the indoor and outdoor environment, a critical review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 117, 109207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.06.014
Havenith, G., Fiala, D., Blążejczyk, K., Richards, M., Bröde, P., Holmér, I. et al. (2012) The UTCI-clothing model. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 56, 461–470. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-011-0451-4
Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berriesford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J. et al. (2020) The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803
Heusinkveld, B., Sterenborg, G., Steeneveld, G.J., Attema, J.J., Ronda, R.J. and Holtslag, A.A.M. (2017) Smartphone app brings human thermal comfort forecast in your hands. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 98, 2533–2541. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0082.1
Honjo, T., Seo, Y., Yamasaki, Y., Tsunematsu, N., Yokoyama, H., Yamato, H. et al. (2018) Thermal comfort along the marathon course of the 2020 Tokyo Olympics. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 62(8), 1407–1419. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-018-1539-x
Jendritzky, G., de Dear, R. and Havenith, G. (2012) UTCI—Why another thermal index? *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 56, 421–428. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-011-0513-7
Jendritzky, G., Menz, H., Schirmer, H., and Schmidt-K Kessen, W. (1990). Methodik zur raumbezogenen Bewertung der thermischen Komponente im Bioklima des Menschen (Fortgeschriebenes KlimaMichel-Modell) [Methodology for the spatial evaluation of the thermal component in the bioclimate of humans (updated KlimaMichel model)]. *Beitr Akad Raumforsch Landesplan*, 114.
Kántor, N. and Unger, J. (2011) The most problematic variable in the course of human-biometeorological comfort assessment—the mean radiant temperature. *Open Geosciences*, 3, 90–100. https://doi.org/10.2478/sg-2011-0010-x
Kjellstrom, T., Lemke, B., Otto, M., Briggs, D., Zander, K., Goodman, J. et al. (2017) *Extreme Heat and Migration*. Geneva: IOM Migration, Environment and Climate Change Division. Available at https://
publication.iom.int/system/files/pdf/mecc_infosheet_heat_and_migration.pdf
Koppe, C., Kovats, R., Jendritzky, G. and Menne, B. (2004) Heat-waves: risks and responses. In: Health and Global Environmental Change Series, Vol. 2. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, p. 123, ISBN 92 890 1094 0.
Krzyżewska, A., Dobek, M., Domżal-Drezwicka, R. and Rząca, M. (2017) Emergency interventions due to weather-related hypothermia. Weather, 72, 8–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.2926
Leroyr, S., Bélair, S., Spacek, L. and Gultepe, I. (2018) Modelling of radiation-based thermal stress indicators for urban numerical weather prediction. Urban Climate, 25, 64–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2018.05.003
Lindberg, F., Holmer, B. and Thorsson, S. (2008) SOLWEIG 1.0 – modeling spatial variations of 3D radiant fluxes and mean radiant temperature in complex urban settings. International Journal of Biometeorology, 52(7), 697–713. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-008-0162-7
Lindberg, F., Holmer, B., Thorsson, S. and Rayner, D. (2014) Characteristics of the mean radiant temperature in high latitude cities—implications for sensitive climate planning applications. International Journal of Biometeorology, 58(5), 613–627. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-013-0638-y
Matzarakis, A., Rutz, F. and Mayer, H. (2010) Modelling radiation fluxes in simple and complex environments: basics of the RayMan model. International Journal of Biometeorology, 54, 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-009-0261-0
McGregor, G.R. and Vanos, J.K. (2018) Heat: a primer for public health researchers. Public Health, 161, 138–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2017.11.005
Nassiri, P., Monazzam, M.R., Golbabaei, F., Dehghan, S.F., Rafieepour, A., Mortezapour, A.R. et al. (2017) Application of Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI) for assessment of occupational heat stress in open-pit mines. Industrial Health, 55(5), 437–443. https://doi.org/10.1080/09673517.2017.10010018
Novák, M. (2013) Use of the UTCI in the Czech Republic. Geographia Polonica, 86(1), 21–28. https://doi.org/10.7163/GPol.2013.3
Pappenberger, F., Jendritzky, G., Staiger, H., Dutra, E., Di Giuseppe, F., Richardson, D. S. et al. (2015) Global forecasting of thermal health hazards: The skill of probabilistic predictions of the Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI). International Journal of Biometeorology, 59(3), 311–323. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00484-014-0843-3
Parker, W.S. (2016) Reanalyses and observations: What's the difference? Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 97, 1565–1572. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00226.1
Romaszko, J., Cymes, I., Dragańska, E., Kuchta, R. and Glińska-Lewczuk, K. (2017) Mortality among the homeless: causes and meteorological relationships. PLoS One, 12(12), e0189938. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189938
Romaszko, J., Skutecki, R., Bocheński, M., Cymes, I., Dragańska, E., Jastrzębski, P. et al. (2019) Applicability of the universal thermal climate index for predicting the outbreaks of respiratory tract infections: a mathematical modeling approach. International Journal of Biometeorology, 63(9), 1231–1241. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-019-01740-y
Schreier, S.F., Suomi, I., Bröde, P., Formayer, H., Rieder, H.E., Nadeem, I. et al. (2013) The uncertainty of UTCI due to uncertainties in the determination of radiation fluxes derived from numerical weather prediction and regional climate model simulations. International Journal of Biometeorology, 57, 207–223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-012-0525-y
Seltenrich, N. (2015) Between extremes: health effects of heat and cold. Environmental health perspectives, 123(11), A275–A280. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.123-A275
Skutecki, R., Jalali, R., Dragańska, E., Cymes, I., Romaszko, J. and Glińska-Lewczuk, K. (2019) UTCI as a bio-meteorological tool in the assessment of cold-induced stress as a risk factor for hypertension. Science of the Total Environment, 688, 970–975. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.280
Staiger, H. and Matzarakis, A. (2010) Estimating down-and up-welling thermal radiation for use in mean radiant temperature. In Matzarakis, A., Mayer, H. and Chmielewski, F.M. (Eds.) Proceedings of the 7th Conference on Biometeorology. Freiburg: Albert-Ludwigs-University of Freiburg, pp. 213–218.
Staiger, H., Laschewski, G. and Matzarakis, A. (2019) Selection of appropriate thermal indices for applications in human biometeorological studies. Atmosphere, 10(1), 18. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10010018
Thorsson, S., Rocklöv, J., Konarska, J., Lindberg, F., Holmer, B., Dousset, B. et al. (2014) Mean radiant temperature – A predictor of heat related mortality. Urban Climate, 10, 332–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2014.01.004
Thorsson, S., Rayner, D., Lindberg, F., Monteiro, A., Katschner, L., Ka-Lun Lau, K. et al. (2017) Present and projected future mean radiant temperature for three European cities. International Journal of Biometeorology, 61, 1531–1543. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-017-1332-2
Urban, A. and Kyselý, J. (2014) Comparison of UTCI with other thermal indices in the assessment of heat and cold effects on cardiovascular mortality in the Czech Republic. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 11(1), 952–967. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110100952
Vitolo, C., Di Napoli, C., Di Giuseppe, F., Cloke, H.L. and Pappenberger, F. (2019) Mapping combined wildfire and heat stress hazards to improve evidence-based decision making. Environment International, 127, 21–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.03.008
Wehri, S., Staiger, H., Tinz, B., Batchvarova, E., Rieder, H., Vuilleumier, L. et al. (2012) The uncertainty of UTCI due to uncertainties in the determination of radiation fluxes derived from measured and observed meteorological data. International Journal of Biometeorology, 56, 537–555. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-011-0416-7

How to cite this article: Di Napoli C, Barnard C, Prudhomme C, Cloke HL, Pappenberger F. ERA5-HEAT: A global gridded historical dataset of human thermal comfort indices from climate reanalysis. Geosci. Data J.. 2020;00:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/gdj3.102