Effects of peroxidase and superoxide dismutase on physicochemical stability of fish oil-in-water emulsion
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INTRODUCTION

Fish oil is rich in ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, which are so susceptible to environmental conditions and easily decomposed or oxidized1. It is reported that emulsion can improve bioavailability of lipid products2. Thus, how to maintain the stability of emulsion has drawn increasing attention.

The effect of enzymes on the stability of emulsion models of lipid foods has been widely studied. Hydrolyses such as lipase, chymotrypsin, and trypsin are the most extensively studied enzymes in emulsions3. For example, emulsion stabilized by trypsin hydrolysates can delay the lipids oxidation and lengthen shelf life4. Phospholipase and protease can break the emulsion formed by aqueous extraction processing to extract edible oil5.

The influences of oxidoreductase on food emulsions have been reported, too. The copper-zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD) exhibited antioxidant effects in Fe3+ accelerated oxidation of milk fat system and improved the stability of milks rich in linoleic acid. The superoxide dismutase is more effective in conjunction with catalase, but could not inhibit the hemin-catalyzed oxidation of emulsions containing 23% (w/w) soybean oil6. In contrast to SOD, the sole use of heme containing catalase caused a fast demulsification of the fish oil-polyorbate 80 emulsion7. To elucidate and compare the effects of metal ion-containing oxidoreductases on the fish oil emulsion, horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and copper/zinc-SOD were investigated in this study. HRP was chosen because it contains heme, alike CAT. The SOD was selected as it may possess antioxidant and stabilizing effects on the fish oil emulsion.

Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) is a kind of peroxidases, which catalyzes the hydrogen peroxide induced oxidation of organic substrates, and one of the most popular heme proteins in plants. It has already been used as a model enzyme due to its commercial production in large scale8. It is stable over a wide pH and temperature range and versatile to be used in various media other than the aqueous solution9. As the most heat-stable enzymes in the plants, the active HRP could be expected to exist in many raw and processed foods. It has been used as an index of blanching fruits and vegetables, as well as sterilization10.

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is another important oxidoreductase commonly found in all kinds of living aerobic organisms. SOD catalyzes the dismutation of superoxide radicals (O2−) to molecular oxygen (O2) and hydrogen peroxide11, which could be used by HRP for oxidizing the substrates. It is often employed as an antioxidative agent in food to retard the overall oxidation12. Different from HRP, SOD has three isoforms containing different metal ions, i.e. copper, zinc and manganese.

Being the common composition of raw and processed foods, these two metalloenzymes often encounter oil emulsions containing significant amount of unsaturated fats, may subsequently affect the physical and chemical characteristics of emulsions via non-covalent or covalent interactions. Such influences are still largely unknown. Therefore, it is worth of elucidating the influences of HRP and Cu,Zn-SOD on the physicochemical stability of emulsions, especially when the demulsifying effects of catalase have been reported recently7.

In this study, fish oil-in-water submicron emulsion, using polysorbate 80 as emulsifier, was prepared by high-speed homogenization. The interactions between horseradish peroxidase, superoxide dismutase and oil-emulsifier droplets were studied, using the emulsion as a model system, to illustrate the implicit factors that may project influence on the quality and stability of food products rich in unsaturated lipids.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average hydrodynamic diameter ($D_h$) of fish oil-polysorbate 80 emulsion droplets (FOE, 5%) was 130.6 nm and $\zeta$-potential of which was around $-20$ mV. The major granular parameters were consistent with the previous work.12

Visual examination, turbidity and enzymatic activities of emulsions

As shown in the Fig. 1a, the emulsion aliquots in the presence of HRP did not show any visible changes in FOE during the first three days of incubation at 37°C. Phase separation and precipitation were observed four days later (on Day 7). The emulsion’s cloudiness gradually faded as its transparency increased along with the increasing HRP concentrations. It is in good agreement with the turbidity measurement, as shown in Fig. 1c. The fact that precipitates increased as demulsification progressed in the emulsion indicates an interaction between HRP and the FOE droplets. The turbidity dropping was attenuated when the enzyme’s concentration was higher than 0.8 $\mu$M. The growing number of HRP molecules accumulated on the oil droplets’ surface would increase the visible light scattering and result in a higher turbidity.

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) was added to the emulsions at the same molar concentration as HRP. As shown in the Fig. 1b, there was neither demulsification nor precipitation in the presence of SOD during the 14-day incubation at 37°C, which was consistently with their turbidity measurements (Fig. 1d). It confirmed the explicit differences between the effects of HRP and SOD on the emulsion.

The almost spontaneous occurrence of enzyme deactivation, demulsification and protein precipitation was found in the interaction between catalase and the fish oil emulsion. To verify whether the similar pattern of interaction could be applicable to the HRP/SOD in the same type of emulsion, the enzymatic activities of emulsions and their pure enzyme aliquots (at 1.6 $\mu$M) were determined as function of incubation time. As shown in Fig. 1e, the HRP activity of the pure enzyme aliquots decreased slightly after the first day and then remained the same. In contrast, the HRP activity of FOE added with the enzyme dropped rapidly in the first two days and eventually diminished on Day 3. The HRP activity loss in FOE occurred earlier than the visible demulsification or protein precipitation, which is different from the profile of catalase-emulsion system, suggesting the inactive HRP may still interact with oil droplets and induce the flocculation later.

The enzymatic activity of SOD solution gradually and slightly declined during the first three days and then stay unchanged during the rest four days. The SOD activities of FOE decreased slightly during the first five days, followed by a sudden drop losing more than 90% of activity on Day 7 (Fig. 1f), which could be attributed to the inactivation caused by lipid hydroperoxides in emulsions. However, unlike HRP, the inactivation of SOD did not result in the compromised turbidity of FOE.

Proteins have been demonstrated to stabilize oil-in-water emulsions. For instance, the whey protein isolates stabilized microemulsion and nano-emulsion of corn oil13, and $\beta$-lactoglobulin kept n-hexadecane emulsions stable14. Such stabilizing effects were attributed to the distribution of proteins on the water/oil interface of oil droplets. However, SOD may act differently compared to those protein emulsifiers, as SOD might not attach to the oil/water interface. Otherwise, the $\zeta$-potential of emulsion would have changed right after the addition of SOD, as described below.

Impacts of HRP and SOD on emulsion particle size and $\zeta$-potential

The impacts of HRP and SOD on the granular properties and surface charges of droplets in the emulsion were examined. As shown in Fig. 3a, the FOE droplets exhibited a single size distribution from 100 nm to 300 nm. After seven days of incubation, the particle size distribution of FOE did not change by HRP at its low concentrations, e.g., 0.16 $\mu$M and 0.32 $\mu$M (Supplementary Table 1). The scattering light gradually intensified as the enzyme concentration increased, till the major droplet size distribution shifted to micrometers at the HRP concentration higher than 1.6 $\mu$M. The emulsion demulsified in due course.

Meanwhile, there was no obvious changes in FOE’s $\zeta$-potential at the low and medium HRP concentrations on Day 0 (Fig. 3c), except mild decrease at the high HRP concentrations, and the significant increase on Day 3 in presence of HRP. As its isoelectric point is close to 9, the HRP carries positive charge in the slightly acidic FOE emulsion (pH ≈ 6.0). The mildly decreased $\zeta$-potential is in good agreement with HRP’s positive charge. In contrast, the $\zeta$-potential of such emulsion decreased on Day 7, particularly at 2.4 $\mu$M of HRP, echoing the destructive effects of HRP on FOE. Notably, this $\zeta$-potential is unlikely reporting the static charge of fish oil lipid droplets, which has been demulsified by now, but more likely that of the polysorbate 80 colloidal particles. Furthermore, the increased $\zeta$-potential on Day 3 is most likely attributed to the lipid peroxidation catalyzed by HRP and the subsequent higher level of carboxyl. The higher $\zeta$-potential of control emulsion on Day 3 and Day 7 is probably caused by the same reason.

SOD neither significantly alter the droplet size of FOE (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Table 2), nor the $\zeta$-potential on Day 0. However, it did increase the $\zeta$-potential at all concentrations on Day 3 and Day 7 (Fig. 3d). The elevated $\zeta$-potential is consistent with the emulsion stabilizing effects of SOD, but lack of the clear causes. The SOD molecules neither bind to the emulsion droplets, otherwise the $\zeta$-potential on Day 0 would have changed, nor promoted the lipid peroxidation. The low peroxidation products level rules out the possibility of increased carboxyl level. It would acquire follow-up study to illuminate whether the enzyme’s dismutation activity contributes to the higher static charge of lipid droplets.

TEM observation of emulsion micromorphology

The TEM observation confirmed the demulsifying effects of HRP and subsequent enlargement of oil-polysorbate droplets, which were agreed with the turbidity and dynamic light scattering measurements. After three days of incubation, the size of droplets ranged from a few dozen to one hundred nanometers in the absence of HRP, as shown in Fig. 4a. In the presence of HRP, the nanodroplets began to aggregate and emerged into submicron droplets (Fig. 4b). After 7 days of incubation, the FOE droplet stayed almost the same (Fig. 4d), while in the HRP aliquots, micron-sized droplets were observed to be surrounded by layers of HRP (Fig. 4e, HRP appeared to be in darker color). The precipitates with irregular chain-like shape were found in the FOE + HRP emulsion (Fig. 4g). They are quite similar to the precipitates we observed previously in the catalase added FOE, only less...
Fig. 1  Visual observation and turbidity of FOE in the presence of HRP and SOD. (a) FOE + HRP; (b) FOE + SOD; (c) turbidity of FOE + HRP; **: differences were highly significant before and after incubation, $p < 0.01$; (d) turbidity of FOE + SOD; (e) enzyme activity of HRP in FOE and solution, **: differences were highly significant between HRP aqueous solution and HRP dissolved in the FOE, $p < 0.01$; (f) enzyme activity of SOD in FOE and solution, **: differences were highly significant between SOD aqueous solution and SOD dissolved in the FOE, $p < 0.01$. The error bars represent standard deviation.
condensed and smaller. Unlike HRP, in the presence of SOD, the droplets remained in nano- and submicro-sized, possibly surrounding by the enzyme (Figs. 4c, f). Despite the mild increase in the droplet size on Day 7, no protein precipitates were observed.

**Impacts of HRP and SOD on lipid oxidation**

As the lipid oxidation initiates and progresses, hydroperoxides are produced by the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, triggered by superoxide radicals in surrounding microenvironment and...
catalyzed by the exerted ferrous iron. The hydroperoxide content could be determined by Fenton reaction. As shown in Fig. 5a, hydroperoxide was found to be rather low (<200 µM) in the FOE on Day 0 in the absence of HRP, and declined to below 30 µM after 2 d, followed by the dramatical increase on Day 3 and stayed at the same level on Day 7. The content of hydroperoxide in the aliquot of HRP-added emulsion increased significantly on day 0 by nearly three times. Even at its low concentration (0.32 µM), HRP catalyzed the formation of hydroperoxide (>500 µM). The HRP-added aliquots exhibited similar trends as the emulsion control, except the significant drops in the hydroperoxide content in the presence of 0.64 µM and 1.6 µM HRP on Day 7. On the contrary, the presence of SOD (1.6 µM) immediately reduced hydroperoxide on Day 0, efficiently inhibited the formation of hydroperoxide up to 80% during the first three days and retained hydroperoxide level to half of the control's on Day 7. The inhibitory effects of SOD weakened on Day 7, which is because the enzyme had lost most of its activity.

Antioxidant proteins and peptides were reported to mitigate the peroxidation of fats and oils, particularly those rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids. The lipid peroxidation level of FOE was determined by measuring the content of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS). Theoretically horseradish peroxidase should promote lipid peroxidation as it catalyzes oxidation of substrates triggered by hydrogen peroxide or other organic peroxide, such as hydroperoxide in the FOE. The addition of HRP promoted the peroxidation of FOE on Day 0 and Day 3 (Fig. 5b), but decreased the TBARS level on Day 7 at the enzyme concentrations higher than 0.32 µM. On Day 3, TBARS level reached the maximum at the HRP concentration of 0.32 µM, then started to decline at the concentrations of 0.64 µM and higher. This is quite different from the effects of adding CAT, an antioxidant enzyme, to the same type of FOE, reflecting the opposite role the two enzymes play in FOE peroxidation. The effects of HRP on the TBARS level were synchronized with those on the hydroperoxide content.

In comparison, SOD effectively inhibited the lipid peroxidation at all the assayed concentrations, although the higher SOD concentration did not exhibit stronger inhibitory effects (Fig. 5c). In fact, the high concentrations of SOD (e.g. 1.6 and 2.4 µM) resulted in slightly higher TBARS level than the aliquots at the lower concentrations (e.g. 0.16–0.8 µM). While the exact reason behind this warrant further studies, one possible cause may be...
that more SOD could produce more hydrogen peroxide which in turn accelerate the lipid peroxidation6.

General discussion

It is known that HRP promotes oxidation of linoleic acid by the cleavage of hydroperoxide17. In this study, however, the initiate level of hydroperoxide in FOE was low, which was then increased by HRP almost instantly (as shown in Fig. 5a, Day 0). This unexpected increase in the hydroperoxide could be attributed to the ferrous ions in heme of HRP, which catalyzed alkoxyl radicals’ generation. The alkoxyl radicals react rapidly with the fish lipids and produce hydroperoxides in a chain reaction18,19. The newly generated hydroperoxide was then used to promote oxidation of unsaturated fats by HRP and therefore gradually decreased on Day 1 and Day 2. Such trend ended on Day 3 when HRP deactivated, and hydroperoxide level raised again. On the other hand, the dramatical increase in hydroperoxide of pure FOE on Day 3, in absence or at the low concentration of HRP, remained physically stable although its lipid peroxidation is like that of HRP aliquots or even more severe. It is consistent with the earlier report that lipid peroxidation was not the sufficient condition for the catalase-induced demulsification of fish oil emulsions7. Therefore, HRP may share a similar mechanism of destabilizing oil emulsions with catalase. The longer time HRP acquired to demulsify the emulsion (seven days), compared to the catalase (1 day), could be correlated to two factors: (1) one fourth of heme number at the same protein molar concentration as catalase21,22; (2) HRP is smaller and less hydrophobic than catalase.

![Figure 5](image_url)

Fig. 5 Lipid oxidation and peroxidation levels in FOE. a primary lipid oxidation indicated by hydroperoxide content of FOE in the presence or absence of HRP, **: differences were highly significant between control (FOE alone) and HRP/SOD added emulsions on Day 0, p < 0.01; (b) lipid peroxidation in FOE + HRP on Day 0, Day 3 and Day7, #: differences were significant between different HRP concentration, p < 0.05; **: differences were highly significant between different HRP concentration, p < 0.01; (c) lipid peroxidation in FOE + SOD on Day 0, Day 3 and Day7, #: differences were signifi-
cant between different SOD concentration, p < 0.05. The error bars represent standard deviation.
It has been known that heme proteins, such as hemoglobin and myoglobin, can initiate peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) by triggering a chain reaction of free radicals. Horse-radish peroxidase and catalase are both peroxidases and heme proteins, containing ferric iron (Fe(III)) in the resting state. The two enzymes caused similar demulsification effects and increased lipid peroxide level, although at different speed. Electrons from hydrogen peroxide or organic substrate, i.e. PUFAs in the FOE, oxidize the peroxidases to a powerful oxidizing agent namely compound I containing oxyferryl heme (Fe(IV)═O). Compound I will be reduced back to the native heme-(Fe(III)) state via either one-electron or two-electron transfer reaction. Therefore, the peroxidase can promote peroxidation in fish oil emulsion and electron transfer between alkyl, alkoxy, carboxyl groups of the lipids and oxygen and free radicals in the lipid/water interfacial space. Polysorbate 80 was added to stabilize the oil/water interface of fish oil emulsion. As we argued previously, the competitive binding of polysorbate by protein is unlikely the reason of HRP-induced demulsification as well, because HRP would otherwise have remained soluble in the aqueous phase. The direct contact of HRP with lipids may offer a reasonable explanation for its faster deactivation and precipitation in the emulsion than in their aqueous solution, possibly driven by the conformational changes of proteins on the water/lipid boundary. Apart from illuminating HRP's vital influences on the physical and chemical stability of the emulsions rich in PUFAs, this study also elucidated the potential of superoxide dismutase as an efficient stabilizing agent in such emulsion. In addition, the species and evolution of radicals involved in the heme-catalyzed demulsification and lipid peroxidation would be of particular interest to food emulsion studies. Although the exact mechanism of this enzyme triggered demulsification remains unclear, we argue that it may involve interplays between the hydrocarbon chains of lipids and the hydrophobic domains of enzymes. The hydroperoxyl radicals or hydroperoxide-like molecules on the oil/water interface are more likely the ‘substrates’ of the enzyme. It has been hypothesized that the large surface potential of microdroplets may promote the oxidation of hydroxide ion to form hydroxyl radicals. A similar mechanism may be proposed for the oxidoreductase-triggered demulsification in the submicron emulsion of fish oil and polysorbates. The catalase was the first case, and now the horseradish peroxidase. Some other factors, i.e., types of surfactants, ratio of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids, ion and pH, would significantly affect the enzymatic activities and electron transfer at the oil/water boundary and subsequently regulate the physicochemical stability of fish oil emulsion, therefore ought to be studied in future. In conclusion, we demonstrated horseradish peroxidase but not superoxide dismutase initiated and accelerated the demulsification of polysorbate 80 stabilized oil-in-water submicron fish oil emulsion. HRP deactivated and precipitated spontaneously. The peroxidase’s heme/iron, and the electrostatic and hydrophobic interaction between lipids and proteins, are believed to play the corresponding roles in the demulsification. The redox interplay between HRP and hydroperoxide or hydroperoxyl radicals on the oil/water interface promoted lipid peroxidation, shorten the hydrocarbon chain of PUFAs, and subsequently make the lipid/polysorbate assembly more vulnerable to the disturbance of HRP. SOD, in contrary, inhibited the lipid peroxidation and maintained the physical stability of emulsion. This study highlights the influences of the two oxidoreductases commonly found in foods on the physicochemical stability of an unsaturated fats-rich emulsions, proposes an exciting subject of elucidating the underlying mechanism.

METHODS

Materials
Fish oil (EPA 18.3%, DHA 12.3%), provided by Sinomega Co., Ltd., China; horseradish peroxidase (HRP, Sigma China); polysorbate 80 (Tween-80) and copper/zine superoxide dismutate (Cu/Zn)-SOD were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., China. All the solutions were prepared with deionized water (Milli-Q, Millipore, U.S.).

Preparation of submicron emulsions
Polysorbate 80 was dissolved in deionized water (v/v, 1%). Fish oil (v/v, 5%) was added into the polysorbate 80 solution drop by drop and homogenized at 18,000 rpm for 5 min (high-speed homogenizer T18DS25, IKA, Germany) at 40°C in water bath. The fish oil submicron emulsions (FOE) (pH = 6.3) were obtained by filtered with 0.22 μm PES membrane at 40°C. The concentration of HRP or SOD in the emulsion was 0 μM, 0.16 μM, 0.32 μM, 0.48 μM, 0.64 μM, 0.8 μM, 1.6 μM, 2.4 μM. All the emulsion samples were incubated at 37°C for seven days or 14 days. The influences of HRP and (Cu/Zn)-SOD on the physical and chemical stability of FOE were evaluated by visual examination, microscopic observation and determination of turbidity, stability, particle size, ζ-potential and lipid oxidation.

Turbidity and stability
The emulsion turbidity is correlated to the droplets size and concentration. It was determined by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm (UV-5100, HITACHI, Japan) at room temperature (≈25°C) after the emulsion was diluted with deionized water by 20 times. The turbidity was presented as the average value of triple measurements.

To evaluate the emulsion stability by centrifugation test, all samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature. The turbidity of emulsion and the supernatant were measured to obtain Aa and Ab, respectively. The Equation 1 below was used to calculate the emulsion stability.

\[
\text{Stability} = \frac{Ab}{Aa} \times 100\%
\]

Droplet size and ζ-potential
One milliliter emulsion sample was added into light scattering cuvette to determine the droplet size and ζ-potential at 25°C using dynamic light scattering (ZetaSizer Nano-ZS, Malvern Instruments Co. Ltd., Worcester-shire, UK). Each measurement was performed three times after 60 s of equilibrium. The emulsions were diluted if the light scattering intensity was too high to obtain reliable data.

Transmission electronic microscopy (TEM) observation
The emulsion sample was supplied to the 300-mesh copper grid covered with Formvar film, using filter paper to absorb excess liquid from the edge of copper mesh. The negative staining was carried out with 1% (w/v) of phosphotungstic acid. Then the air-dried copper grid supplied for observing the surface morphology and size of the emulsion droplets on the transmission electron microscope (TEM, Joel JEM-1230, Japan) at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV.

Measurements of horseradish peroxidase and superoxide dismutase activity
The activity of HRP were measured by spectrophotometer at room temperature (≈25°C). The HRP catalyzes 2,2'-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazolone-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) in the presence of hydrogen peroxide to form an oxidized ABTS with increased absorbance at visible light wavelength. For determination of HRP activity, 3 mL of 1 mM ABTS solution and 0.1 mL of 2 μM H2O2 were mixed with 0.1 mL of the HRP solution or emulsion samples containing HRP. The sample’s absorbance was measured at 414 nm for 1 min. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the absorbance at 414 nm changed 0.01 unit per minute.

The SOD activity determination was based on the enzyme’s ability to inhibit the oxidation of hydroxylamine by the O2− produced from the xanthine-xanthine oxidase system (the amount of SOD corresponding to one SOD activity unit (U) when the SOD inhibition rate reaches 50% per milliliter of reaction solution), 50 μL of each sample was mixed with 1.0 mL of 0.06 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 0.1 mL of 10 mM hydroxylamine hydrochloride, 0.2 mL of 7.5 mM xanthine, 0.2 mL of 0.2 mg/mL xanthine oxidase and 0.49 mL of double-distilled water in the tube. After mixing, the tubes were incubated in 37°C water bath for 30 min. Afterward, samples
were cooled naturally to room temperature and allowed to mix with 2.0 mL 0.33% p-aminobenzenesulfonic acid. Then the absorbances were measured at 532 nm. The SOD activity was computed by the following formula:

\[
\text{SOD activity} = \frac{(A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}})}{A_{\text{blank}}} \times 50\% \times B \times C. \tag{2}
\]

B is the dilution ratio of reaction system and C is the dilution ratio of the sample before measurement.

Lipid Peroxidation (TBARS) Assay

One milliliter emulsion sample was mixed with 2.0 mL TBA reagent [17% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid and 0.8% (w/v) thioarbituric acid dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH], and then incubated in boiling water bath for 15 min. All samples were centrifuged at 1000 × g for 10 min after a rapid cooling using ice-water bath. The supernatant of samples was collected to determine the absorbance at 532 nm. The concentration of TBARS was determined by the standard curve of 1,1,3,3-tetraethoxypropane.

Determination of hydroperoxide concentration

Lipid hydroperoxides content was determined by a simple and sensitive spectrophotometric method (FOX method). Peroxides oxidize Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} in the acid solution, Fe^{3+}-xylenol orange complex was formed in the presence of xylenol orange, which has a characteristic absorption at 560 nm. Samples were mixed with 100 μL methanol in the test tubes with a vortex mixer for 3–6 s, then 900 μL FOX reaction mixtures (25 mM H_2SO_4, 100 μM xylenol orange, 250 μM FeSO_4, and 4 mM BHT in 90% (v/v) methanol) was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The absorbance was determined at 560 nm.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as the average of triplicate measurements or experiments. One-way ANOVA or t-test were used to determine significant differences. Significance was determined at p < 0.05 while highly significance was determined at p < 0.01.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are presented in the article. The raw data are available on request.

Received: 22 February 2021; Accepted: 5 May 2022; Published online: 23 June 2022

REFERENCES

1. Fomuso, L. B., Corredig, M. & Akoh, C. C. Effect of emulsifier on oxidation prop-erties of fish oil-based structured lipid emulsions. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50, 2957–2961 (2002).
2. McClements, D. J. & Rao, J. Food-Grade Nanoemulsions: Formulation, Fabrication, Properties, Performance, Biological Fate, and Potential Toxicity. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 51, 285–330 (2011).
3. Roy, S., Dasgupta, A., Das, P. K. & Jada, V. Tailoring of Horseradish peroxidase activity in cationic water-in-oil microemulsions. Langmuir 2, 4567–4573 (2006).
4. Ling, Z. et al. Food Hydrocolloids Fabrication egg white hydrolysates-assisted aqueous extraction of extruded soybean flakes. Biosens. & Bioelectron. 100, 527–533 (2009).
5. Wu, J., Johnson, L. A. & Jung, S. Demulsification of oil-rich emulsion from enzyme-assisted aqueous extraction of extruded soybean flakes. Biosens. & Bioelectron. 100, 527–533 (2009).
6. Meyer, A. S., Rabba, K. & Adler-Nissen, J. Critical assessment of the applicability of superoxide dismutase as an antioxidant in lipid foods. Food Chem. 51, 171–175 (1994).
7. Ke, L. et al. Catalase to demulsify oil-in-water fish oil-polyaspartate emulsion and affect lipid oxidation. Food Res. Int. 133, 1–8 (2020).
8. Kabeya, L. M. et al. Inhibition of horseradish peroxidase catalytic activity by new 3-phenylcoumarin derivatives: synthesis and structure-activity relationships. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 15, 1516–1524 (2007).
9. Compton, D. L. & Lasliz, J. A. Direct electrochemical reduction of hemin in imidazolium-based ionic liquids. J. Electroanal. Chem. 520, 71–78 (2002).
10. Das, P. K., Caeveiro, J. M. M., Luque, S. & Klabinov, A. M. Binding of hydrophobic hydroxycamic acid enhances peroxidase’s stereoselectivity in nonaqueous sul-fadoxidations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 782–787 (2002).
11. Santiago-morales, I. S. & Trujillo-valle, L. Tocopherols, phycocyanin and super-oxide dismutase from microalgae: as potential food antioxidants. Appl. Food Biotechnol. 5, 19–27 (2018).
12. Zeinali, F., Homaei, A. B. & Kamrani, E. Sources of marine superoxide dismutases: characteristics and applications. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 79, 627–637 (2015).
13. Heath, L. Z., Zeinali, A. & States, U. Protein-stabilized nanoemulsions and emul-sions: comparison of physicochemical stability, lipid oxidation, and lipase digestibility. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59, 415–427 (2011).
14. McClements, D. J. Protein-stabilized emulsions. Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 9, 305–313 (2004).
15. Pateiro, M., Gagauoa, M., Barba, F. J., Zhang, W. & Lorenzo, J. M. A comprehensive review on lipid oxidation in meat and meat products. Antioxidants 8, 1–31 (2019).
16. Okubanjo, S. S., Loveyad, S. M., Ye, A., Wilde, P. J. & Singh, H. Droplet-stabilized oil-in-water emulsions protect unsaturated fats from oxidation. J. Agric. Food Chem. 67, 2626–2636 (2019).
17. Garner, W. Peroxidation of free and esterified fatty acids by horseradish peroxi-dase. Lipids 19, 863–868 (1984).
18. Kawakatsu, M., Terao, J. & Matsushita, S. Phospholipid oxidation catalyzed by ferrous ion and ascorbic acid. Agric. Biol. Chem. 48, 1275–1279 (1984).
19. Wolff, S. P. Ferrous ion oxidation in presence of ferric ion indicator xylenol orange for measurement of hydroperoxides. Methods Enzymol. 233, 182–189 (1994).
20. Santo, H., Hashizume, T. & Yokayama, T. Influence of oxidized fats on the microcatalysermination of residue hydroperoxide in foods by 4-amaionaptyrione colorimetry and the removal of such fats. J. Food Hyg. Soc. Jun. 23, 325 (1982).
21. Veitch, N. C. Horseradish peroxidase: a modern view of a classic enzyme. Phytochemistry 65, 249–259 (2004).
22. Sepasi Tehrani, H. & Moosavi-Movahedi, A. A. Catalase and its mysteries. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 140, 5–12 (2018).
23. Ahn, D. U. & Kim, S. M. Prooxidant effects of ferrous iron, hemoglobin, and ferritin in oil emulsion and cooked-meat homogenates are different from those in raw- meat homogenates. Poult. Sci. 77, 348–355 (1998).
24. Hu, S., Lu, Q. & Xu, Y. Chapter-17-Biosensors-based-on-direct-electron-transfer-of-protein, 2008, Electrochemical-Sensors-Biosensors-and-their-Biomedical-Applications.pdf. Electrochem. Sensors, Biosens. their Biomed. Appl. 532–581 (2008).
25. Lee, J. K., Samanta, D., Nam, H. G. & Zare, R. N. microsized-water drops induce spontaneous reduction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 10585–10589 (2019).
26. Allende, D., Cambiella, A., Benito, J. M., Pazos, C. & Coca, J. Destabilization-enhanced centrifugation of metalworking oil-in-water emulsions: effect of demulsifying agents. Chem. Eng. Technol. 31, 1007–1014 (2008).
27. Park, B., Ko, K., Yoon, D. & Kim, D. Enzyme and Microbial Technology Enzyme activity assay for horseradish peroxidase encapsulated in peptide nanotubes. Enzym. Microb. Technol. 51, 81–85 (2012).
28. Hao, X., Zhang, L., Zheng, X., Zong, W. & Liu, C. Molecular mechanism of com-posite nanoparticles TiO2/WO3/GO-induced activity changes of catalase and superoxide dismutase. Chem. Biol. Interact. 292, 30–36 (2018).
29. Player, T. J. & Hultin, H. O. Some characteristics of the Nad(P)H-dependent lipid peroxidation system in the microsomal fraction of chicken breast muscle. J. Food Biochem. 1, 153–171 (1977).
30. Jiang, Z. Y., Woollard, A. C. S. & Wolff, S. P. Lipid hydroperoxide measurement by oxidation of Fe2+ in the presence of xylenol orange. Comparison with the TBA assay and an isometric method. Lipids 26, 853–856 (1991).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0400202).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L.K. participated investigation, data curation, writing, review, and editing. Y.T. participated preparation, validation and writing original draft. Y.X. and G.G. participated data curation. H.W. and S.L. participated investigation, software and visualization. J.Z. participated formal analysis, funding acquisition, supervision. Q.W. participated project administration, funding acquisition.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The Authors declare no Competing Financial or Non-Financial Interests. L.K. is an Associate Editor for npj Science of Food. L.K. was not involved in the journal’s review of, or decisions related to, this manuscript.

Published in partnership with Beijing Technology and Business University.
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information  The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41538-022-00146-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Jianwu Zhou.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.