Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) is associated with enhancement or weakening of the NMDA receptor activity and change of the cortical blood flow. Therefore, repeated tDCS of the brain with cerebrovascular injury will induce the functional and histologic changes. Sixty-one Sprague-Dawley rats with cerebrovascular injury were used. Twenty rats died during the experimental course. The 41 rats that survived were allocated to the exercise group, the anodal stimulation group, the cathodal stimulation group, or the control group according to the initial motor function. Two-week treatment schedules started from 2 days postoperatively. García modified foot fault, and rota-rod performance scores were checked at 2, 9, and 16 days postoperatively. After the experiments, rats were sacrificed for the evaluation of histologic changes (changes of the white matter axon and infarct volume). The anodal stimulation and exercise groups showed improvement of García’s and modified foot fault scores at 16 days postoperatively. No significant change of the infarct volume happened after exercise and tDCS. Neuronal axons at the internal capsule of infarct hemispheres showed better preserved axons in the anodal stimulation group. From these results, repeated tDCS might have a neuroprotective effect on neuronal axons in rat stroke model.
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INTRODUCTION

Many trials have been performed to find potential stroke treatments, such as, intravenous tissue plasminogen activator, neuroprotective agents, and stem cell transplantation (1, 2). Recently, noninvasive brain stimulation techniques, such as, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) have been introduced and studied in many clinical settings (3-5).

Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) is used to polarize local brain regions by the non-invasive application of weak direct currents. The procedure elicits focal reversible shifts in cortical excitability, modulates brain function (6), and can enhance motor function in stroke patients temporarily. Repeated tDCS has been associated with a significant motor function improvement in stroke patients and the effect lasted for 2 weeks after the treatment (7). However, the events made in the injured brain are unknown and the mechanism of the motor recovery is uncertain.

Recently, several mechanisms have been proposed. Ardolino et al. (8) suggested that after-effects of tDCS had a non-synaptic mechanism of action based upon changes in neural membrane function. Nitsche et al. (9) revealed that long-lasting after-effects of tDCS were associated with enhancement or weakening of the NMDA receptor activity depending on the polarity of the stimulation (modification of NMDA receptor efficacy). Direct current stimulation alters the resting membrane potential of receptors and therefore, repeated tDCS may affect the efficacy of the NMDA receptor agonist or antagonist. Recent studies (10, 11) have revealed that several channels including glutamate and NMDA receptors are related to the cell death and that NMDA receptor blockade attenuates white matter injury in rat stroke model. Considering that repeated tDCS may affect the efficacy of the NMDA receptor agonist or antagonist, tDCS may affect the white matter protection from the ischemic injury in the acute phase of stroke through the modulation of NMDA receptors.

Another mechanism of tDCS to modify brain function is the change of regional cerebral blood flow in many cortical and subcortical regions, which was proven by PET study (12). A recent study (13) also supported this change using the functional near-infrared spectroscopy. Therefore, repeated tDCS will affect the infarct size by changing blood flow in cortical and subcortical regions.

In view of the above, it appears that repeated tDCS may have an effect on functional and histologic changes from ischemic injury. However, no study has been conducted about these chang-
es. The purpose of this study is to reveal the histologic changes of the injured brain and the changes of the motor function after repeated tDCS in rat stroke model.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All experimental protocols were approved by the Seoul National University Animal Research Committee.

**Experimental design**

Sixty-one 5-week-old Sprague-Dawley rats were allowed to adapt for a week at our Clinical Research Institute. Permanent left ischemic middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO) rat models were produced using a Longa method (14). At postoperative day (POD) 2, Garcia’s motor behavioral test (15), the modified foot fault test (16), and the rota rod performance test (16) were performed to evaluate motor function. Animals were then divided into 4 groups (Garcia’s motor behavior scores were matched to within 2 points); the exercise group (n=15), the anodal stimulation group (n=15), the cathodal stimulation group (n=16), and the control group (n=15). Animals underwent tDCS, exercise, or no treatment daily for 2 weeks. We anesthetized rats with 1% ketamine (15 mL/kg) before the tDCS. The reason for the use of anesthetics was that the movement of an awakened rat would change the electrode position and this study focused on the neuroprotection and the change of the ischemic size after the repeated tDCS, not to investigate the movement during the tDCS. We also used the same dosage of anesthetics in the control and exercise groups to equalize anesthetic effect on motor recovery.

To examine the negative effect of repeated anesthesia on motor recovery, we included the exercise group, because exercise was found to improve motor function and reduce infarct volume in rat stroke model (17). Motor function tests, as described above, were repeated at POD 9 and 16. After completing the experiments, animals were euthanized, decapitated, and brains were extracted to determine the pathologic changes and infarct volumes.

**Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS)**

Cathodal and anodal tDCS were applied using a constant-current stimulator developed in cooperation with Cybermedic Corp. (Cybermedic Corp., Iksan, Korea) to deliver a current of 0.1 mA for 30 min. To simulate clinical studies in humans, the active electrode was attached transcranially to a rat and fixed with a molded plastic cup (Fig. 1). The active electrode was positioned 3 mm to the left and 2 mm in front of the interaural line. However, in contrast to human studies, the counter electrode was attached to the trunk and surrounded by gauze to avoid displacement. The active electrode was 1 cm diameter, cup-shaped and filled with gel. The contact area of active electrode was 0.785 cm².

**Evaluation of motor performance**

All animals were evaluated for motor performance. Three evaluation tools were used to assess motor function (as detailed above). Testing was performed by a single experienced researcher (LJE) unaware of group allocations to eliminate the bias. Garcia’s motor behavior test (15), composed of 6 items, was performed at 10 a.m. to account for diurnal variation. Allocated scores ranged from 3 to 18, and higher scores indicate better motor performance.

In the modified foot fault test (16), a rat was placed on the grid and encouraged to traverse the grid surface for 1 min. The foot placing apparatus was made as the same size (10×110 cm², with a square opening of 9 cm²) of that used in the previous study (18). When a rat traversed the grid, occasionally a forelimb was misplaced and fell through the grid. These mistakes were considered foot faults and their numbers per meter were counted and considered as the modified foot fault scores.

The rota rod performance test was performed using a 9 cm diameter rod. After 2 min of adaptation at 2 rpm, rotational speed was gradually increased by 1 rpm per minute. When a rat fell off the rod or rotated with the rod without moving, its performance score was determined to be the rotational speed set at the time. To reduce failures due to lack of familiarity, 3 trials were performed and the fastest rotational speed was taken.

**Change of white matter axon from ischemic injury**

Pathologic changes in ischemic models are visualized by loss of
white matter axonal stainability using Bielschowsky’s silver im-
pregnation. This technique demonstrates hypoxic injury, not at
the cellular level, but at the white matter axonal level (19).

Twenty rats (control 5; anodal 5; cathodal 5; exercise 5) were
anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital 100 mg intraperitone-
ally. Brains were removed, fixed in 10% neutral buffered formal-
in, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and embedded in
paraffin. Coronal blocks were taken from both sides of the sen-
sorimotor cortex in intact brains and from the cortex contralat-
eral to infarcts in infarcted brains. Brain tissue was sectioned
serially perpendicular to the pial surface using an Oxford vibra-
tome at 200 μm. The staining procedure was performed in the
same manner in the previous study (19).

To determine white matter axonal integrity, optical densities
were measured in bilateral internal capsules. Optical densities
were measured using images collected by a video-imaging mi-
croscope system running BIO-1D software (v. 11.05; Vilber Lour-
mat Imaging System). White matter axonal integrities were ex-
pressed as ratios of the density of ipsilesional areas to those of
their contralateral counterparts. A decreased optical density
value indirectly reflects destruction of white matter axon.

Infarct volume estimation
Twenty-one rats (control 6; anodal 4; cathodal 6; exercise 5) were
euthanized to determine infarct volumes at POD 16. Brains were
extracted and fixed by intracardiac perfusion with heparinized
0.9% saline followed by 10% formalin in a 0.1 M phosphate buf-
er (pH 7.4), removed and stored in fixative. They were then di-
vided into 5 coronal sections (2 mm each), and immersed in 2%
2, 3, 5–triphenyl-tetrazolium chloride (TTC) at 37°C for 30 min.
Infarct volumes were measured using a Scion image program
(Scion Corp., NIH, USA), and calculated as follows; infarct vol-
ume= (the sum of 5 unaffected hemispheric areas – the sum of 5
affected hemispheric areas)/the sum of 5 unaffected hemispher-
ic areas ×50 (%).

Statistical analysis
Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done to confirm motor per-
formance score homogeneities (Garcia’s, modified foot fault,
and rota-rod performance scores) before treatment in the four
groups. Improvement in motor performance scores were com-
pared between groups using repeated measures analysis of co-
variance (ANCOVA) using pre-treatment (POD 2) scores as
covariates. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to determine the
differences of the optical density ratios and of infarct volumes
between groups. SPSS ver. 12.0 was used for the statistical anal-
yses and P values of <0.05 were considered statistically signifi-
cant. Post-hoc Mann-Whitney U testing with Bonferroni cor-
rection was conducted to identify which group showed the dif-
fERENCE FROM THE OTHER GROUPS IF THE KRUSKAL-WALLIS TEST REVEALED THE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE.

RESULTS

Motor performance score
Motor performance scores before treatment were not signifi-
cantly different between groups. Garcia’s motor behavior scores
are presented in Fig. 2. Repeated measures analysis of covari-
ance revealed significant difference in terms of INTERVENTION
[F(3, 36)=14.460, P<0.001] but no difference in terms of TIME
[F(1, 36)=3.935, P=0.055] and TIME×INTERVENTION [F(3, 36)=
1.755, P=0.173]. Post-hoc test showed that cathodal stimulation
and control groups were significantly different from anodal stimu-
lation and exercise groups. However, there was no significant
difference between cathodal stimulation and control groups and
between anodal and exercise groups.

![Fig. 2. Garcia's motor behavior scores between control, anodal stimulation, cathodal stimulation, and exercise groups at postoperative 2, 9, and 16 days (white, gray, and black bars). The values in the Y-axis represent the Garcia's scores (3-18). Asterisks represent P values less than 0.05.](http://jkms.org)

![Fig. 3. Rota-rod performance scores between control, anodal stimulation, cathodal stimulation, and exercise groups at postoperative 2, 9, and 16 days (white, gray, and black bars). The values in the Y-axis represent the rotational speed (rpm) when a rat fell off the rod or rotated with the rod without moving.](http://jkms.org)
For rota-rod test results (Fig. 3), ANCOVARM revealed no significant effect in terms of INTERVENTION \([F(3, 36)=0.570, P=0.638]\), TIME \([F(1, 36)=0.769, P=0.386]\), and TIME×INTERVENTION \([F(3, 36)=2.659, P=0.063]\).

For modified foot fault test results (Fig. 4), a significant difference between groups was found \([F(3, 36)=13.665, P<0.001]\) without any difference in the time factor \([F(1, 376)=2.359, P=0.133]\). No interaction between time and group factors was observed \([F(1, 36)=4.108, P=0.050]\). Cathodal stimulation and control groups were significantly different from the anodal stimulation and exercise groups by post-hoc test (all the corrected \(P\) values <0.001). Paradoxical deterioration of Garcia’s and modified foot fault scores at POD 16 were exhibited by the cathodal stimulation group.

**Infarct size**

Mean infarct volume (±standard deviation) was 7.5±2.7% in the control group (n=6), 11.3±6.3% in the anodal stimulation group (n=4), 7.7±4.2% in the cathodal stimulation group (n=6), and

![Graph showing modified foot fault scores between control, anodal stimulation, cathodal stimulation, and exercise groups at postoperative 2, 9, and 16 days (white, gray, and black bars). The values in the Y-axis represent the number of the forelimb misplacements over 1 minute period when a rat traversed the grid. Asterisks represent \(P\) values less than 0.05.](http://jkms.org)

**Fig. 4.** Modified foot fault scores between control, anodal stimulation, cathodal stimulation, and exercise groups at postoperative 2, 9, and 16 days (white, gray, and black bars). The values in the Y-axis represent the number of the forelimb misplacements over 1 minute period when a rat traversed the grid. Asterisks represent \(P\) values less than 0.05.

![Photomicrographs showing neural axons staining with Bielschowsky’s method in rat stroke model (original magnification \(×40\) and \(×12.5\)). (A) and (B) represent infarct and intact hemispheres at the level of internal capsules. The arrows in figures (A) and (B) represent the left and right internal capsules. (C) is the dorsal side of a rat brain section. (D) is a graph to show the optical density ratios in all groups. Optical density ratios of the infarct hemisphere to the intact one are measured and compared between them. Infarct areas in the anodal stimulation group show less neuronal axon and stain intensity changes than those in the control group.](http://jkms.org)

**Fig. 5.** Representative photomicrographs from bilateral internal capsules showing neural axons staining with Bielschowsky’s method in rat stroke model (original magnification \(×40\) and \(×12.5\)). (A) and (B) represent infarct and intact hemispheres at the level of internal capsules. The arrows in figures (A) and (B) represent the left and right internal capsules. (C) is the dorsal side of a rat brain section. (D) is a graph to show the optical density ratios in all groups. Optical density ratios of the infarct hemisphere to the intact one are measured and compared between them. Infarct areas in the anodal stimulation group show less neuronal axon and stain intensity changes than those in the control group.
Integrity of white matter axon
Neuronal axons at the internal capsule of infarct hemispheres in the control group were stained poorly (Fig. 5). However, neuronal axons in the same region in the anodal stimulation and exercise groups contained axons that were better preserved. Optical density ratios of ischemic areas versus contralateral hemisphere were significantly different between groups ($\chi^2=8.211$, $P=0.042$). The anodal stimulation group had well-stained axons in the infarct areas when compared to the control group (Bonferroni corrected $P$ value=0.009).

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to reveal the functional and histological changes after tDCS using the rat stroke model. Repeated transcranial anodal stimulation improved motor function (according to Garcia’s test and the modified foot fault test) in rat stroke model. Histologically, this had no effect on infarct size, but reduced neuronal axon deterioration.

Transcranial direct current stimulation has not been widely studied in rats, although it has been examined with respect to anticonvulsant effects (20) and the propagation velocity of cortical spreading depression, which represents cortical excitability (21). In these previous studies, a plastic body jacket and a coating of glass ionomer cement were used to attach the electrodes. In the present study, we used a molded plastic cup and gauze to attach them firmly, which reduced skin resistance to several hundred kilo ohms. Although current modeling in rats during tDCS has not been developed, separation between anodal and cathodal electrodes is known to prevent current shunting effects (22). We did not use implanted subcutaneous electrodes because they might have caused infection and stress, and thus, affected the results. Since the stimulation method was noninvasive, we did not include a sham stimulation group and instead used a group that received no stimulation as a control.

Since there was no significant difference in the time factor, this suggests that anodal stimulation for 2 weeks did not show superiority over stimulation for a week in terms of the motor recovery. These results are contrary to the findings of Boggio’s study (7). On the other hand, cathodal stimulation for 2 weeks was associated with deterioration at POD 16, which is probably attributable to a cumulative effect caused by decreased excitability of the infarcted brain. Improvements in Garcia’s test and the modified foot fault test, but not in the rota-rod test might be explained by the results of the previous study (18) which stated that improvement of simple movement did not always accompany coordinated and balanced movement.

Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and exercise failed to reduce infarct size in our model, which contradicts the findings of previous studies (23-25). We attribute this discrepancy to the repeated anesthetic insults, different injury types, and exercise intensities. As mentioned in the Methods, we could not rule out false negative results caused by repeated anesthetic insults of ketamine (26). In addition, we used a permanent infarct model and not a temporary model, as was used in previous studies. Moreover, in the present study, the treadmill speed was set at 16 m/min lower than those used in previous studies, because our rats could not endure a speed over 20 m/min. It could be said that this reduced level of exercise was insufficient to reduce infarct size as compared with forced exercise at 30 m/min (23).

White matter axons in the infarcted brain were well preserved after transcranial anodal stimulation compared to those in the control group. The results obtained are of importance because they demonstrate that white matter axonal damage after ischemia can be reduced by transcranial anodal stimulation. The mechanism of the protective effect of repeated transcranial anodal stimulation may involve modulation of the activities of calcium channel and NMDA receptor, activations of which cause white matter injury due to excessive glutamate release. However, Nitsche’s study (27) showed that transcranial anodal stimulation might enhance NMDA receptor efficacy through the high-frequency presynaptic activity and postsynaptic subthreshold membrane depolarization. This is contradictory to our results. Some other factors might involve this phenomenon and further animal studies must be performed to clarify this.

We selected the stimulus intensity as 0.1 mA because this intensity and total charges would not injure the rat’s brain according to the Liebetanz’ study (28). The amounts of electrical charge delivered in this study could not be calculated because a mathematical model has not been developed in the rat brain. This makes it difficult to apply our results to clinical studies.

For the anesthesia, we used ketamine which was a non-competitive NMDA receptor antagonist and might affect the results in this experiment. However, ketamine is a weak glutamate antagonist and continuous infusion of ketamine (1.25 mg/kg/min during 55 min) failed to show the protective effect over the glutamate in the temporary middle cerebral artery occlusion rat model (29). Therefore, we do not think this induction dosage of ketamine would interfere with anodal and cathodal tDCS effects. Although ketamine has been found consistently to ameliorate neuronal death in vitro, results from in vivo studies have proved more conflicting (30).

During this experiment, many rats (20 rats) died. The causes of death could not be found but we hypothesize that they were due to the stressful condition after the ischemic insult. Although death rates were not different between the study groups by the Chi square test [Pearson $\chi^2=0.542$, $P=0.910$], they may have caused selection bias. As a consequence, type 2 error increased in this study because of small sample size. Further studies using
lager sample sizes must be done to prove the negative results found in this study.

From our results, repeated transcranial anodal stimulation may have a neuroprotective effect of white matter in the cerebrovasular injured brain. However, we only observed histopathologic changes in axons of white matter, not in myelins using the Luxol fast blue-periodic acid Schiff stains. The addition of other stains would reveal the protection of white matter injury more accurately.

Transcranial anodal stimulation was found to make a functional improvement and well-preserved white matter axons in our rat stroke model. These findings may be useful for studies about the therapeutic mechanism of tDCS.
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