Electronic structure of boron-doped diamond with B–H complex and B pair
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Abstract

The electronic structure of boron–hydrogen complex and boron pair in diamond are studied by first-principles density-functional calculations with supercell models. The electronic structure calculated for the B–H complexes with C$_{2v}$ or C$_{3v}$ symmetry and the nearest-neighbor B pair is used to interpret recent experimental results such as B 1$s$ x-ray photoemission spectroscopy, $^{11}$B nuclear quadruple resonance and B K-edge x-ray absorption spectroscopy, which cannot be explained solely by the isolated substitutional boron.
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1. Introduction

Previously, it has been shown by several first-principles calculations [1–5] that substituting a few per cent of carbon atoms in diamond by boron introduces hole carriers to the valence band maximum (VBM), which might be responsible for superconductivity observed in B-doped diamond. Calculated electronic structure of the B-doped diamond is quite consistent with experimental findings by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy [6], carbon 1$s$ x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPES) [6] and carbon K-edge x-ray absorption and emission spectroscopy [7]. However, in film samples, the growth-orientation dependence of $T_c$ has been found [8, 9], indicating certain kind of passivation of carriers and a close relation to the existence of uniaxial strain [10]. Furthermore, more than one B site in diamond has been observed by B K-edge x-ray absorption spectroscopy [7], B 1$s$ XPES [11] and $^{11}$B nuclear quadruple resonance (NQR) [12]. These results suggest possible existence of B–H complexes and/or B pairs in B-doped diamond. Calculated B K-edge x-ray absorption spectra (XAS) for different B concentrations doped at the substitutional sites in diamond show hole states at VBM but no in-gap states [13]. In the measured XAS, on the other hand, there are clear in-gap states in addition to the hole states [7]. Because the B K-edge XAS involve the electric dipole transitions from B 1$s$ to 2$p$ states, the in-gap states must be of B 2$p$ origin associated with a different environment from the substitutional site. The experimental B 1$s$ XPES reveals distinct features for different B concentrations [11]. Samples with low B concentration show single peak whereas samples with high B concentration have multi-peak structure at higher binding energy, indicating different boron sites. The $^{11}$B NQR reveals at least two B sites; at one site the electric field gradient has a finite value and at another it is exactly zero [12]. The former site is attributed to the B–H complex and the latter to the high-symmetry substitutional B. The NQR spectrum suggests more than one type of B–H complexes and/or B pairs.

The electronic structure and stability of B–H complex in diamond have been extensively studied by electronic structure calculations [14–21]. It has been found that the most stable position of the H atom around the substitutional B in diamond is a site slightly shifted from the bridging point between the two B–C bonds. The calculated total energy is 0.6 eV lower than that of H at the B–C bond-center position [16]. Theoretical studies of diamond doping, including B–H complex, have been concisely summarized in...
a recent review [22]. As for B pairs, some first-principles calculations have been carried out to understand their stability and electronic structure [17, 21, 23–25]. Boron pairs are definitely more stable than the isolated substitutional B. It has been shown that the formation energies of B pairs at the neighboring substitutional positions are between 0.3 and 0.8 eV [23]. Although the electronic structure and stability of B–H complexes and B pairs in diamond are well understood from those electronic-structure calculations, the x-ray absorption spectra, the electric field gradients observed by NQR and the core levels addressed by recent experiments [7, 11, 12] have not been studied in detail theoretically.

In this study, we investigate the electronic structure of some B–H complexes and B pair in diamond by calculating XAS at B K-edge, electric field gradients at the B site and core level of B in order to explain experimental results mentioned above. Our calculations are based on the first-principles density-functional theory within the local density approximation.

2. Method

One-electron Kohn–Sham equations are solved with the all-electron full-potential linear augmented-plane-wave method in a scalar relativistic manner. In order to simulate impurities in diamond, supercell models are adopted in the present calculations. Typical supercell is a 64-atom (2a × 2a × 2a) cubic cell of diamond structure. Relaxation of the internal coordinates by impurity doping is fully taken into account while the lattice constant is fixed at the calculated equilibrium value for pure diamond. Computational details in this study basically follow the previous work [13].

3. Results and discussion

The first B–H complex in diamond to be investigated is substitutional B and interstitial H at the bonding site; the complex has C2v symmetry. Optimized B–H bond length is 1.20 Å. Similar to the C3v back-bond situation, an in-gap state consists of H s states and neighboring C p states but not B states. Hole compensation and energy lowering of the B p states are also revealed for this configuration.

Let us discuss the energetics and stability of B–H complexes. Calculated total energy differences are:

\[ E_{\text{tot}}[\text{C}_6\text{BH}](\text{C}_6) = E_{\text{tot}}[\text{C}_6\text{BH}](\text{C}_3\text{BB}) - 0.63 \text{ eV}, \]  

\[ E_{\text{tot}}[\text{C}_6\text{BH}](\text{C}_6) = E_{\text{tot}}[\text{C}_6\text{BH}](\text{C}_3\text{BC}) - 0.71 \text{ eV}. \]

The B–H complex with C2v symmetry is the most stable among the three studied complexes, in agreement with the previous total-energy calculation [16].

In addition to the B–H complexes in diamond, the electronic structure of boron pairs is investigated from the first principles. Two B atoms are fixed at the nearest-neighbor substitutional sites, forming B dimers. Optimized bond length of B dimer is significantly longer (by 0.4 Å) than the C–C bond in diamond. The stability of the nearest-neighbor B pair relative to the isolated substitutional B in diamond is analyzed by a total-energy calculation. Calculated total energy shows that the B pair is more stable, by about 1 eV per pair, which is slightly larger compared with the previous first-principles calculation [23].

The most striking feature in the electronic structure of the nearest-neighbor B pair in diamond is an empty split-off state located just above VBM and composed mostly of the B p orbital (say p_x) pointing to the B–B bond direction with bonding character. The other B p components (p_y and p_z) perpendicular to the B–B bond with bonding character are mostly located inside the valence band. Because boron has three valence electrons, one of the four bonding states associated with the B s and p orbitals should be empty. The elongation of the B–B bond makes the p_x and p_y (p_z) bonding states lower (higher) in energy, resulting in the stability of the B dimer in diamond. The existence of this empty split-off state means that B doping in a dimer form introduces no hole carriers at VBM.

Let us compare the electronic structure of B–H complexes and B dimer in diamond with some recent experimental results. We consider first the C 1s core state spectra. In the previous study, the shoulder structure at lower binding energies was successfully explained by the 1s core shift of C surrounding the substitutional B site [13]. It is found in this study that the shoulder structure in the theoretical spectra for all B–H complexes and B dimer in diamond becomes slightly weaker than that in the isolated substitutional B case but is still present in the lower binding energy region. Experimental B 1s XPS shows multiple structure for heavily B-doped samples at higher binding energies [11]. Calculated B 1s core levels for the B–H complexes and nearest-neighbor B pair in diamond are lower by substitutional B doping are compensated by electrons from H (B − H pair formation).

The third B–H complex is substitutional B and interstitial H at the bridging site; the complex has C2v symmetry. Optimized B–H bond length is 1.20 Å. Similar to the C3v back-bond situation, an in-gap state consists of H s states and neighboring C p states but not B states. Hole compensation and energy lowering of the B p states are also revealed for this configuration.

Let us discuss the energetics and stability of B–H complexes. Calculated total energy differences are:

\[ E_{\text{tot}}[\text{C}_6\text{BH}](\text{C}_6) = E_{\text{tot}}[\text{C}_6\text{BH}](\text{C}_3\text{BB}) - 0.63 \text{ eV}, \]  

\[ E_{\text{tot}}[\text{C}_6\text{BH}](\text{C}_6) = E_{\text{tot}}[\text{C}_6\text{BH}](\text{C}_3\text{BC}) - 0.71 \text{ eV}. \]

The B–H complex with C2v symmetry is the most stable among the three studied complexes, in agreement with the previous total-energy calculation [16].

In addition to the B–H complexes in diamond, the electronic structure of boron pairs is investigated from the first principles. Two B atoms are fixed at the nearest-neighbor substitutional sites, forming B dimers. Optimized bond length of B dimer is significantly longer (by 0.4 Å) than the C–C bond in diamond. The stability of the nearest-neighbor B pair relative to the isolated substitutional B in diamond is analyzed by a total-energy calculation. Calculated total energy shows that the B pair is more stable, by about 1 eV per pair, which is slightly larger compared with the previous first-principles calculation [23].

The most striking feature in the electronic structure of the nearest-neighbor B pair in diamond is an empty split-off state located just above VBM and composed mostly of the B p orbital (say p_x) pointing to the B–B bond direction with bonding character. The other B p components (p_y and p_z) perpendicular to the B–B bond with bonding character are mostly located inside the valence band. Because boron has three valence electrons, one of the four bonding states associated with the B s and p orbitals should be empty. The elongation of the B–B bond makes the p_x and p_y (p_z) bonding states lower (higher) in energy, resulting in the stability of the B dimer in diamond. The existence of this empty split-off state means that B doping in a dimer form introduces no hole carriers at VBM.

Let us compare the electronic structure of B–H complexes and B dimer in diamond with some recent experimental results. We consider first the C 1s core state spectra. In the previous study, the shoulder structure at lower binding energies was successfully explained by the 1s core shift of C surrounding the substitutional B site [13]. It is found in this study that the shoulder structure in the theoretical spectra for all B–H complexes and B dimer in diamond becomes slightly weaker than that in the isolated substitutional B case but is still present in the lower binding energy region. Experimental B 1s XPS shows multiple structure for heavily B-doped samples at higher binding energies [11]. Calculated B 1s core levels for the B–H complexes and nearest-neighbor B pair in diamond are lower by substitutional B doping are compensated by electrons from H (B − H pair formation).
Table 1. Calculated principal axis Z, electric field gradient q, quadruple coupling frequency νQ and anisotropy parameter η for B–H complexes and B dimer in diamond.

|            | Z   | q (10^19 V m^-2) | νQ (MHz) | η   |
|------------|-----|------------------|----------|-----|
| B pair     | [111]| ±413             | 2.0      | 0   |
| BH(C2v)    | [111]| ±53.7            | 0.3      | 0   |
| BH(C3v-BC) | [111]| ±452             | 2.2      | 0   |
| BH(C3v-BB) | [111]| ±177             | 0.9      | 0.03|

by 1.4–1.8 eV than that of the isolated substitutional B, being consistent with the experimental findings. Broad structures observed experimentally at much higher binding energies may be of surface origin. These features of the C and B 1s spectra do not rule out any B–H complex or B pair in diamond.

The NQR quadruple coupling frequency provides information on electric field gradient at the studied nucleus. The electric field gradient tensor at a nuclear site is given as

\[ V_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial i \partial j}, \quad (i, j = x, y, z), \]  

where \( V \) is the crystal potential around the nuclear site. By diagonalizing the tensor, the principal axes \( X, Y, \) and \( Z, \) the electric field gradient \( q, \) and anisotropy parameter \( \eta \) can be evaluated as

\[ q = V_{ZZ}, \quad \eta = \frac{V_{XX} - V_{YY}}{V_{ZZ}}. \]  

The electric field gradient \( q \) is related to the quadruple coupling frequency in the NQR experiment

\[ \nu_Q = \frac{3eq Q}{2I(2I-1)\hbar}. \]  

Here \( e \) is the unit charge, \( Q \) is the electric quadrupole moment (\( Q = 0.04e \times 10^{-28} \text{ m}^2 \) for \(^{11}\text{B} \)), \( I \) is the nuclear magnetic moment (\( I = 3/2 \) for \(^{11}\text{B} \)) and \( \hbar \) is the Planck constant. Mukuda et al. have measured \(^{11}\text{B} \) NQR spectra for B-doped diamond and found a lower symmetry signal at \( \nu_Q = 1.8 \text{ MHz} \) in addition to the high symmetry one [12]. The electric field gradients are calculated for B–H complexes and B dimer in diamond and listed in Table 1. The observed frequency is consistent with B-H complex with bond-center configuration or with the B dimer. Because the experimental data may include smaller but finite frequency components, B–H complexes with \( C_{3v} \) and \( C_{2v} \) back-bond structures cannot be ruled out completely.

Finally, B K-edge XAS are discussed in detail. The experimental spectra have recently been reported by Nakamura et al. [7] for superconducting and non-superconducting B-doped diamond samples and have shown the existence of in-gap states. XAS are calculated with the Fermi’s golden rule within the electric dipole approximation. Some detailed methodological points for XAS calculation have been given elsewhere [13, 26]. Figure 1 depicts calculated B K-edge XAS for B–H complexes and B dimer in diamond in addition to the isolated substitutional B. (Note that the absolute value of the photon energy for the transition \( (1s \rightarrow 2p) \) is underestimated because of the one-electron approximation.) The spectra for the B–H complex with \( C_{3v} \) back-bond and the nearest-neighbor B pair are reasonably consistent with the experimental ones with significant in-gap states. The reason why the in-gap state appears so deep for the B dimer, despite the B pair impurity state located just above VBM, is mainly due to the deeper 1s core state of the nearest-neighbor B pair than that of the isolated substitutional B. Theoretical observation of the in-gap states and related hole compensation for the B–H complex and B pair in diamond may explain the experimental fact that the in-gap states are generally more prominent for heavier doped samples with relatively low \( T_c \) [7].

4. Concluding remarks

The electronic structure of the B–H complexes and the nearest-neighbor B pair in diamond are calculated from first principles and compared with recent B 1s XPES, \(^{11}\text{B} \) NQR and B K-edge XAS. It is shown that the B-H complex with
C$_3v$ bond-center structure and the nearest-neighbor B pair may possibly exist in heavily B-doped film samples. The most stable H position near the substitutional B in diamond is known as an intermediate site between the C$_3v$ bond-center and C$_2v$ [15, 16, 19]. A further investigation for the most stable B–H complex is important and is underway.
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