Emergence and Clonal Spread of CTX-M-65-Producing *Escherichia coli* From Retail Meat in Portugal
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The emergence and dissemination of resistance to third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins among *Enterobacteriaceae* from different sources impose a global public health threat. Here, we characterized by whole-genome sequencing four *Escherichia coli* strains harboring the *bla* _CTX−M−65_ gene identified among 49 isolates from beef and pork collected at retail. The genomic content was determined using the Center for Genomic Epidemiology web tools. Additionally, the prediction and reconstruction of plasmids were conducted, the genetic platform of the *bla* _CTX−M−65_ genes was investigated, and phylogenetic analysis was carried out using 17 other genomes with the same sequence type and harboring the *bla* _CTX−M−65_ gene. All strains harbored *bla* _CTX−M−65_, *bla* _OXA−1_, and *bla* _TEM−1B_, and one also carried the *bla* _SHV−12_ gene. Other resistance genes, namely, *qnrS2*, *aac(6′)−Ib−c*, *dfrA14*, *sul2*, *tetA*, and *mphA*, were present in all the genomes; the *mcr−1.1* gene was identified in the colistin-resistant strains. They belong to sequence type 2179, phylogenetic group B1, and serotype O9:H9 and carried plasmids Incl, IncFIC(FII), and IncFIB. All strains share an identical genetic environment with IS903 and ISecp1 flanking the *bla* _CTX−M−65_ gene. It seems likely that the *bla* _CTX−M−65_ gene is located in the chromosome in all isolates based on deep in silico analysis. Our findings showed that the strains are clonally related and belong to two sub-lineages. This study reports the emergence of CTX-M-65-producing *E. coli* in Portugal in food products of animal origin. The chromosomal location of the *bla* _CTX−M−65_ gene may ensure a stable spread of resistance in the absence of selective pressure.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacteria harboring antimicrobial resistance genes can be spread to humans, constituting a global public health concern (O’Neill, 2016). Of particular importance is the resistance to β-lactam antibiotics, enzymatic inactivation being the most common mechanism of resistance through which β-lactamases cause the cleavage of the β-lactam ring (Blair et al., 2015). Extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) is a group of enzymes that hydrolyze oxymino-beta-lactam antibiotics,
conferring resistance to a wide variety of β-lactams, including penicillins, first-, second-, third-, and fourth-generation cephalosporins, and monobactams (Bush, 2018). These enzymes can be inhibited by β-lactam inhibitors such as clavulanic acid, sulbactam, and tazobactam through the covalent link to the serine residue active site (Tooke et al., 2019). Mobile genetic elements, particularly plasmids, are involved in the spread of ESBL genes, resulting in the rapid increase of ESBL-producing bacteria among different sources (Rozwandowicz et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2019). ESBLs are classified into several enzymatic groups, of which CTX-M, OXA, SHV, and TEM are frequently observed, CTX-M being the most prevalent (Silva et al., 2019; Palmeira and Ferreira, 2020). Currently, 230 CTX-M variants have been described according to GenBank records (last accessed on January 4, 2021). In Europe, the most frequently reported variants of Enterobacteriaceae species isolated from food-producing animals and food products are CTX-M-1, CTX-M-14, CTX-M-15, and CTX-M-2. CTX-M-15 being associated with outbreaks of severe extraintestinal infections in humans caused by the multidrug-resistant (MDR) Escherichia coli ST131 (Silva et al., 2019; Palmeira and Ferreira, 2020). In Portugal, the CTX-M-1, CTX-M-14, and CTX-M-32 variants were reported as the most prevalent in commensal and pathogenic E. coli isolated from food-producing animals and food products (Clemente et al., 2019; Silva et al., 2019).

In 2008, a new variant of the CTX-M family identified as CTX-M-65, belonging to the CTX-M-9 group and cluster 14, was described for the first time in E. coli isolated from a human urine sample in the United States (Doi et al., 2008). CTX-M-65 differs from CTX-M-14 by two amino acid substitutions, namely, alanine by valine at position 77 (A77V) and serine by arginine at position 274 (S274R). In 2017, Tate and colleagues reported the emergence of a CTX-M-65 Salmonella Infantis isolated from food animals, retail chickens, and humans in the United States that is highly resistant to most of the studied β-lactam antimicrobials (Tate et al., 2017). In Europe, the CTX-M-65 variant has also been reported from Italy in Salmonella Infantis isolated from broilers and humans (Franco et al., 2015) and from the Netherlands in E. coli from cattle (Palmeira and Ferreira, 2020). Globally, the enzyme is widely distributed, with reports from China, Korea, and South America in E. coli isolated from humans, food-producing animals, retail chickens, and in a giant anteater from a zoo (Zheng et al., 2012; Bartoloni et al., 2013; Rao et al., 2014; Tate et al., 2017; Furlan et al., 2019; Park et al., 2019; Vinueza-Burgos et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). It is noteworthy to mention that a multidrug carbapenemase strain of Klebsiella pneumoniae co-producing CTX-M-65 was the causative agent of a severe nosocomial outbreak in China (Zhan et al., 2017).

In the present study, four CTX-M-65-producing E. coli isolated from beef and pork samples collected at retail in 2017 were characterized by whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Here, we described the molecular epidemiology of the resistance genes, the identification of the plasmids, and the blaCTX-M-65 genetic environment. Moreover, we determined and described the genetic relatedness with other E. coli genomes for better insights into the public health impact of an ESBL producer rarely found in Europe.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Isolates and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

Two hundred and twenty beef and 220 pork samples were collected at retail stores across mainland Portugal in compliance with the European Commission Implementing Decision of November 12, 2013 to monitor and report antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria (Commission Decision 652/2013) in 2017. The isolation and identification of extended-spectrum β-lactamase/plasmid-mediated AmpC (ESBL/PMAβ) E. coli producers from meat samples were performed according to the laboratory protocols defined by the European Union Reference Laboratory for antimicrobial resistance (EURL-AR)1. Briefly, 25 g of each meat sample was mixed with 225 ml of buffered peptone water, followed by incubation at 37°C for 18–22 h. Enriched samples were plated onto MacConkey agar supplemented with 1 mg/L of cefotaxime (Glentham, Corsham, United Kingdom) and incubated at 44°C for 18–22 h. Presumptive E. coli colonies were selected for biochemical identification on ChromID® coli agar (bioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France), and after confirmation, the isolates were sub-cultured and stored at −80°C before further analyses.

The isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility through the determination of the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) using commercially available 96-well microplates, EUVSEC and EUVSEC2 (Sensititre®, Trek Diagnostic Systems, East Grinstead, United Kingdom) panels, and the results were interpreted according to EUCAST epidemiological breakpoints2.

**Molecular Characterization of β-Lactam Resistance**

Resistance mechanisms associated with ESBL/PMAβ enzymes were screened by PCR using primers targeting blaTEM, blashv, blaoxa, blaCTX-M, blaACC, blaqOX, blaqMOX, blaqDHA, blaqCTT, and blaqBC (Dallenèe et al., 2010). Amplified products were purified with ExoSAP-IT™ (Applied Biosystems™, Warrington, United Kingdom), followed by Sanger sequencing using the BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). The sequencing of fragments was performed in an automatic sequencer ABI3100 (Applied Biosystems), and the identification of resistance genes was determined using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) from the NCBI website (Altschul et al., 1990) and The Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) (Alcock et al., 2019).

**Whole-Genome Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analysis of the CTX-M-65 E. coli Producers**

Four isolates identified as positive for blaCTX-M-65 by PCR and Sanger sequencing were further characterized by whole-genome sequencing. The isolates were recovered from pork and beef

---

1. [https://www.eurl-ar.eu/protocols.aspx](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/protocols.aspx)
2. [https://mic.eucast.org/Eucast2/](https://mic.eucast.org/Eucast2/)
samples, collected on the same day from the same retail store in the north of Portugal (INIHAV_ECX027 and INIHAV_ECX036) and on different days from different retail stores in Lisbon and Tejo Valley (INIHAV_ECX016 and INIHAV_ECX035).

The genome of two isolates (INIHAV_016ECX and INIHAV_027ECX) was sequenced at EURL-AR, DTU, Lyngby, Denmark, under the scope of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) confirmatory testing. Genomic DNA was extracted using an Invitrogen Easy-DNA Kit™ (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) and the DNA concentrations determined using the Qubit dsDNA BR assay kit (Invitrogen).

Genomic DNA was prepared for Illumina pair-end sequencing using the Illumina (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, United States) and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq reagent cartridge using MiSeq Reagent Kit v3. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina).

The raw reads were de novo assembled using the assembler Hisat2 (version 2.0.4) with a minimum read length of 50 and with a minimum quality of Q20 using the Phred algorithm, with minor modifications. Briefly, the incubation period at 55°C was performed for 90 min and the DNA eluted with 50 µl of Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.5. The DNA quality and quantity were assessed using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop™ 2000, Thermo Scientific, emergency use authorization (EUA), Waltham, MA, United States) and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq sequencing technology (NovoSeq 6000 S2 PE150 XP sequencing mode, Eurofins Genomics Europe Sequencing GmbH, Ebersberg, Germany). The raw sequence data from these two isolates were submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under study accession numbers: ERS3535656 and ERS3535669.

DNA extraction of the remaining two isolates (INIHAV_035ECX and INIHAV_036ECX) was carried out at INIHAV, Oeiras, Portugal, using the PureLink® Genomic DNA kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with minor modifications. Briefly, the incubation period at 55°C was performed for 90 min and the DNA eluted with 50 µl of Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.5. The DNA quality and quantity were assessed using a spectrophotometer [NanoDrop® 2000, Thermo Scientific, emergency use authorization (EUA), Waltham, MA, United States] and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq reagent cartridge using MiSeq Reagent Kit v3. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina).

The raw reads were de novo assembled using the assembler pipeline (version 1.4) available from the Center for Genomic Epidemiology (CGE)³. Raw sequence data from these two isolates were submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA)³ under study accession numbers: ERS3535656 and ERS3535669.

A phylogenetic analysis based on the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) present in the genomes using CSI Phylogeny version 1.4 (10 reads of minimal depth at SNP positions, 10% minimal relative depth at SNP positions, 10 bp minimal distance between SNPs, minimal SNP quality of 30, minimal read mapping quality of 25, and a minimal Z-score of 1.96) (Kaas et al., 2014) from the CGE website was conducted with the E. coli isolates from this study and 17 E. coli genomes with the same sequence type (ST2179) and harboring the blaCTX−M−65 gene, retrieved from EnteroBase (Zhou et al., 2020). The tree was visualized using FigTree version v1.4.3.²

RESULTS

Characterization of Antimicrobial Resistance

From 49 isolates of E. coli phenotypically resistant to third-generation cephalosporins, a total of 10 different bla genes were identified in 42 ESBL and seven PMAβ producers: blaCTX−M−1 (n = 8), blaCTX−M−15 (n = 5), blaCTX−M−27 (n = 2), blaCTX−M−55 (n = 1), blaCTX−M−9 (n = 1), blaCTX−M−14 (n = 7), blaCTX−M−32 (n = 9), blaCTX−M−65 (n = 4), blashv−12 (n = 5), and blacmy−2 (n = 7). The blaCTX−M−65 gene was detected in four isolates (8.2%) recovered from beef (3/26) and pork (1/23) retail meat samples, and all exhibited a MDR phenotype, being resistant to ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, azithromycin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, trimethoprim, and sulfamethoxazole. Resistance to colistin was observed in all, except isolate INIHAV_ECX035 (Table 1).

³http://cge.dbs.dtu.dk/services/all.php
²http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena
¹http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc
⁴http://cge.dbs.dtu.dk/services/all.php
⁵http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/
TABLE 1 | Results of the minimum inhibitory concentrations (µg/ml) obtained by antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

| Antibiotic                      | Breakpoints | INIAV_ECX016 | INIAV_ECX027 | INIAV_ECX035 | INIAV_ECX036 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Ampicillin                      | 8           | ≤ 64         | > 64         | > 64         | > 64         |
| Cefepime                        | 0.125       | 4            | 2            | 4            | 8            |
| Cefotaxime                      | 0.25        | 64           | 64           | 64           | > 64         |
| Cefoxitin                       | 8           | 8            | 8            | 8            | 8            |
| Ceftazidime                     | 0.5         | 1            | 1            | 32           | 2            |
| Ciprofloxacin                   | 0.064       | > 8          | > 8          | > 8          | > 8          |
| Nalidixic acid                  | 16          | > 128        | > 128        | > 128        | > 128        |
| Colistin                        | 2           | 4            | 4            | ≤ 1          | 4            |
| Ertapenem                       | 0.064       | ≤ 0.015      | ≤ 0.015      | ≤ 0.015      | ≤ 0.015      |
| Imipenem                        | 0.5         | 0.25         | 0.25         | 0.25         | 0.5          |
| Meropenem                       | 0.125       | ≤ 0.03       | ≤ 0.03       | ≤ 0.03       | ≤ 0.03       |
| Tetracycline                    | 8           | 64           | > 64         | 64           | > 64         |
| Sulfamethoxazole                | 64          | > 1,024      | > 1,024      | > 1,024      | > 1,024      |
| Trimethoprim                    | 2           | > 32         | > 32         | > 32         | > 32         |
| Chloramphenicol                 | 16          | 128          | 128          | 128          | 128          |
| Gentamicin                      | 2           | 1            | 1            | 1            | 2            |
| Azithromycin                    | 16          | 32           | 32           | 64           | 64           |
| Tigecycline                     | 1           | 0.5          | 0.5          | 0.5          | 0.5          |
| Temocillin                      | 32          | 8            | 8            | 8            | 8            |
| Cefotaxime/clavulanic acid      | 0.25        | ≤ 0.06       | ≤ 0.06       | 0.12         | 0.12         |
| Ceftazidime/clavulanic acid     | 0.5         | ≤ 0.12       | 0.25         | ≤ 0.12       | 0.25         |

Genome Analysis of the CTX-M-65 E. coli Producers

The assemblies of the reads originated between 70 and 120 contigs and a genome size of about 5 Gb. The genotypic traits of the four isolates, their resistome and mobilome, are summarized in Table 2. According to the ResFinder tool, three β-lactam-encoding genes were found in all isolates, namely, blaCTX−M−65, blaOXA−1, and blatEM−1β. Moreover, one isolate (INIAV_ECX035) also carried blashv−12. The mcr−1.1 gene was identified in the three isolates resistant to colistin, and plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) genes, namely, qnrS2 and aac(6’)-Ib-cr, were detected in all isolates. Additional genes conferring resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (dfrA14 and sul2), tetracycline (tetA), and azithromycin (mphA) were also present. Point mutations were identified at the gyrA and parC subunits of DNA, namely, serine by lysine at position 83 (S83L) and serine by isoleucine at position 80 (S80I), respectively, conferring resistance to quinolones. Based on these results, the phenotype and genotype were in accordance.

According to the PlasmidFinder tool, IncFIC (FII) and IncFIB replicons were identified in all isolates, and p0111 was also predicted in one isolate (INIAV_ECX016). Isolate INIAV_ECX035 also harbored the IncI1 plasmid, while the remaining isolates carried the IncI2 plasmid. All strains have identical profiles regarding MLST (ST2179), fumC865/fimH32 alleles, serotype (O9:H9), and phylogroup (B1). The somatic antigen of the INIAV_ECX036 isolate was not typable. VirulenceFinder predicted the presence of five virulence factors (lpfA, iroN, iss, cma, and gad) in all isolates except one (INIAV_ECX016), which carries four as gad is absent.

Using PLACNETw, a new assembly was generated from the raw reads, and a network was produced representing the contigs defined as belonging to the chromosome and plasmids, according to the reference genomes from the software database. The contigs were identified as belonging to plasmids based on the recognition of relaxase and/or replicon protein sequences. A manual pruning of the original network (Figure 1A) was performed according to Lanza et al. (2014) to reconstruct the graphical representation of the genome (Figure 1B). With the FASTA files obtained from this assembly, a new analysis with PlasmidFinder and ResFinder was performed to identify in which contigs were the antimicrobial resistance genes and plasmids located. Hereafter, it was possible to pinpoint the exact position of the resistance genes in the genome’s graphical representation. Thus, based on the analysis of all isolates, the blaCTX−M−65 gene, along with floR and mdfA, was identified in contigs belonging to the chromosome, and the remaining resistance genes, including the other bla genes, were located in contigs belonging to plasmids (Figure 1B). In isolates INIAV_ECX016, INIAV_ECX027, and INIAV_ECX036, genes blachv−1−65 and floR were located in the same contig, and mdfA was in a different contig, while in isolate INIAV035, the three genes were in different contigs. Most resistance genes were located in IncFIC (FII) and IncFIB plasmids, except blashv−12 and mcr−1.1, which were carried in IncI-1 and IncI2, respectively.

The analysis of the genetic platform of the blaCTX−M−65 genes using the EasyFig tool revealed that all isolates have the IS903 (IS5 family) and ISEcpI (IS1380 family) flanking the blachv−65 gene, and all but one isolate also harbored the transposon TnAs3 (Tn3 family), IS1006 (IS6 family) and ISVsa3 (IS91 family). In this isolate (INIAV_ECX035), the contig starts...
TABLE 2 | Genomic characterization of the four CTX-M-65-producing *E. coli* isolates by whole-genome sequencing.

| Features | INIAV_ECX016 | INIAV_ECX027 | INIAV_ECX035 | INIAV_ECX036 |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Antibiotic determinants | bla<sub>CTX</sub>-M<sub>−</sub>-65, bla<sub>TEM</sub>-1B, bla<sub>OXA</sub>-1 | bla<sub>CTX</sub>-M<sub>−</sub>-65, bla<sub>TEM</sub>-1B, bla<sub>OXA</sub>-1 | bla<sub>CTX</sub>-M<sub>−</sub>-65, bla<sub>TEM</sub>-1B, bla<sub>OXA</sub>−1, bla<sub>BET</sub>−12 | bla<sub>CTX</sub>-M<sub>−</sub>-65, bla<sub>TEM</sub>-1B, bla<sub>OXA</sub>−1, bla<sub>BET</sub>−12 |
| Ciprofloxacin, Nalidixic acid | QnrS2, aac(6')-Ib-cr, gyrA S83L, parC S80I | QnrS2, aac(6')-Ib-cr, gyrA S83L, parC S80I | QnrS2, aac(6')-Ib-cr, gyrA S83L, parC S80I | QnrS2, aac(6')-Ib-cr, gyrA S83L, parC S80I |
| Colistin | mcr-1.1 | mcr-1.1 | mcr-1.1 | mcr-1.1 |
| Tetracycline | tet(A) | tet(A) | tet(A) | tet(A) |
| Sulphamethoxazole | sul2 | sul2 | sul2 | sul2 |
| Trimethoprim | dfrA14 | dfrA14 | dfrA17 | dfrA14 |
| Chloramphenicol | catB3, floR | catB3, floR | catB3, floR | catB3, floR |
| Azithromycin | mph(A) | mph(A) | mph(A) | mph(A) |
| Rifampicin | ARR-3 | ARR-3 | ARR-3 | ARR-3 |
| Aminoglycoside | aph(3’)-Ib, aph(6)-Ib | aph(3’)-Ib, aph(6)-Ib | aph(3’)-Ib, aph(6)-Ib | aph(3’)-Ib, aph(6)-Ib |
| Other | mdf(A) | mdf(A) | mdf(A) | mdf(A) |
| Plasmid replicons | IncI2, pincFIB, p10111, IncFIC | IncI2, pincFIB, IncFIC | IncI1-1, IncFIC, IncFIC(FII) | IncI2, IncFIC, IncFIC(FII) |
| MLST | ST2179 | ST2179 | ST2179 | ST2179 |
| Serotype | O9:H9 | O9:H9 | H9 | H9 |
| Virulence genes | iptA, iroN, iss, cma | iptA, iroN, iss, cma, gad | iptA, iroN, iss, cma, gad | iptA, iroN, iss, cma, gad |
| fumC/fimH type | fumC65/fimH32 | fumC65/fimH32 | fumC65/fimH32 | fumC65/fimH32 |
| Pathogenicity | Yes (93.6%) | Yes (93.6%) | Yes (93.3%) | Yes (93.6%) |
| Phylogenetic group | B1 | B1 | B1 | B1 |
| Sample source | Bovine | Swine | Bovine | Bovine |
| No. of contigs | 90 | 77 | 74 | 79 |
| Total length of genome (bp) | 5,115,923 | 5,012,767 | 5,015,983 | 5,058,472 |
| N50 (bp) | 140,276 | 142,205 | 282,032 | 232,144 |

FIGURE 1 | PLACNETw (Plasmid Constellation Network) representation of the INIAV_ECX036 genome. (A) The original network. Red arrows indicate the contig linkages that were pruned. (B) The pruned network, where contigs containing the antimicrobial resistance genes and plasmids are identified according to ResFinder and PlasmidFinder. Blue dots indicate contigs (the dot size is proportional to the size of the contig); orange dots are the reference genomes from the tool database; green dots are contigs with relaxase and replicon protein of the plasmid; blue broken lines indicate contigs identified as chromosome; and green broken lines are contigs identified as plasmids.

in a different position, and its genetic platform seems to be not fully represented (Figure 2A). Consequently, the genetic platform is split and the downstream elements (TnAs3, IS1006, and ISVsas) are not represented in this contig, being in a different one. Looking at a larger region of the contigs (Figure 2B), it is possible to realize that all four contigs have high homology between each other. The alignment of each contig using EasyFig with the plasmid sequences identified by PlasmidFinder revealed...
no homology between those sequences. Comparison of the sizes of the contigs containing the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-65}} \) gene (ranging from 227,681 to 340,574 bp, except for the INIAV_ECX035 isolate with 82,631 bp in length) with the sizes of the plasmids identified in this study (ranging from 64,015 to 99,159 bp) revealed that the contigs are mostly wider than the plasmids. Furthermore, no plasmids were also identified, using the “mash screen” option of the PLSDB tool, in the contig’s input sequence containing the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-65}} \) gene from each isolate.

An unrooted phylogenetic tree (radial cladogram option) using \( E. \ coli \) ATCC 25922 as the reference genome was constructed using 21 genomes from different geographic regions worldwide and isolated from multiple sources (Figure 3). Multiple phylogenetic groups can be distinguished in the tree: one group includes two strains, one from Nigeria and one from Colombia isolated from poultry and human samples; another phylogenetic group including European strains from the United Kingdom, Hungary, and Italy, isolated mainly...
FIGURE 3 | Phylogenetic tree of the 21 ST2179 *Escherichia coli* strains harboring the *bla*<sub>CTX-M-65</sub> gene generated by single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis using the CSIPhylogeny tool and visualized with FigTree (unrooted radial cladogram options). Numbers in red represent the number of SNPs between the strains from distinct geographic regions and sources. Portuguese strains are inside boxes.

DISCUSSION

In this study, four CTX-M-65-producing *E. coli* were identified among 49 ESBL/PAβ producers isolated from meat. Here, *bla*<sub>CTX-M-65</sub> is identified in Portugal for the first time in food of animal origin, with no reports linking to human infections; a complete characterization by WGS of the four MDR *E. coli* harboring this gene is described. *E. coli* harboring the *bla*<sub>CTX-M-65</sub> gene is commonly found in food-producing animals and meat from Southwest Asian and South American countries (Rao et al., 2014; Na et al., 2019; Vinueza-Burgos et al., 2019; Zurita et al., 2019). Although rarely occurring in Europe, previous studies have reported CTX-M-65-producing *E. coli* from Dutch beef calves (Ccecarelli et al., 2019) and wild birds from Switzerland (Zurfluh et al., 2019). In our study, three isolates were from beef and one from pork; therefore, we two strains were obtained from beef samples collected from distinct geographic regions in Portugal.
cannot confirm the source of the CTX-M-65 *E. coli* detected in meat. There are several potential sources of bacteria in meat, including the animals from which the meat was derived, cross-contamination from other products, equipment and the environment, and the workers who are producing and handling the meat (EFSA and ECDC, 2019).

Based on PLACNETw, the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 65 \) genes were located in contigs with homology to the chromosome. Moreover, the contigs containing this gene are wider than the plasmids identified in this study, and none of the four contigs showed homology to any sequence of the identified plasmids, reinforcing that the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 65 \) gene is in the chromosome. The chromosomal location of \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M \) genes has already been reported, namely, \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 2 \) (Zhao and Hu, 2013; Ferreira et al., 2014), \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 14 \) and \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 15 \) (Hamamoto and Hirai, 2019), \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 55 \) (Zhang et al., 2019), and \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 65 \) (He et al., 2017).

The successful spread of ESBL enzymes is based on their ability to disseminate their resistance genes on mobile genetic elements to other bacteria, the skill to expand their spectrum of activity, and the acquisition of point mutations (Bush, 2018; Galal et al., 2018; Tooke et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the chromosomal location of the resistance genes can benefit the stable propagation of resistance, regardless of the bacterial host’s habitat (Yoon et al., 2020). The genetic platform analysis revealed that all share an identical genetic environment with IS903 and \( \text{ISEcp1} \) flanking the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 65 \) gene downstream and upstream, respectively. \( \text{ISEcp1} \) is one of the most important insertion sequences associated with \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M \) genes (Canton et al., 2012; Zhao and Hu, 2013) and Tn3, a conjugative transposon. These mobile genetic elements found in the chromosome may promote excision and reintegration in a new chromosome or transference to other bacteria through a conjugative plasmid (Canton et al., 2012).

Particularly worrying is the co-occurrence of genes encoding resistance to other critically important antimicrobials, namely, fluoroquinolones, macrolides, and polymyxins, except for isolate INIAV_ECX035, which was susceptible to colistin. This isolate also carried the \( \text{aph}(3')\text{-Ib} \) and \( \text{aph}(6)\text{-Id} \) genes (ESVAC, 2020).

In all isolates, different replicon-typing plasmids were identified [IncFIC(FII) and IncFIB] carrying most of the resistance genes. IncF plasmids are frequently described from human and animal sources and are considered epidemic resistance plasmids, bearing the greatest variety of resistance genes in Enterobacteriaceae (Rozwandowicz et al., 2018). Although the precise gene location on plasmids was not determined in this study, based on PLACNETw, \( mcr-1.1 \) was located on IncI2 replicon-typing plasmid. IncI2 plasmids have been associated with the mobilization of \( mcr \) genes widely spread in Europe in *E. coli* isolates from animals and humans (Rozwandowicz et al., 2018; Migura-Garcia et al., 2020). The IncI-1 plasmid predominantly described in Europe was identified in the INIAV_ECX035 strain carrying \( \text{bla}_{\text{SHV}} - 12 \), in accordance with previous reports (Rozwandowicz et al., 2018).

WGS analysis also revealed that all isolates belong to ST2179, phylogroup B1, and \( \text{fumC65}/\text{fimH32} \) type, suggesting that all have a common clonal origin, with minor differences. Recently, *E. coli* ST2179 bearing the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 65 \) gene but belonging to phylogroup A was reported from ducks in South Korea (Na et al., 2019). Isolates belonging to phylogroup B1 are commonly associated with non-pathogenic commensal *E. coli* reported from humans, animals, and food products (Bailey et al., 2010; Coura et al., 2015; Scheinberg et al., 2017; Belaynehe et al., 2018; Zurita et al., 2019).

The phylogenetic analysis revealed three isolates (INIAV_ECX016, INIAV_ECX027, and INIAV_ECX036) grouped in the same cluster, showing high genetic homology between each other. The UK strain (RA3201_UK_2018) was closely related to the Portuguese strains with 37–45 SNPs. The *in silico* analysis of the UK strain revealed the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 65 \) gene to also be located in the chromosome. These findings suggest the clonal spread of CTX-M-65-producing *E. coli* isolates in Europe. INIAV_ECX035 is separated from INIAV_ECX027 by 101 SNPs and from the remaining two strains by 85 SNPs. Although from different animal species, the isolates from the same retail store (INIAV_ECX027 and INIAV_ECX036) are closely related, pointing out the hypothesis of cross-contamination. Moreover, the phylogenetic tree and the WGS analysis suggest that the four isolates belong to two sub-lineages, one composed of the three strains that grouped and the second with the fourth strain. INIAV_ECX035 showed some differences regarding the resistance genes and plasmids compared with the other three strains: the presence of the \( \text{bla}_{\text{SHV}} - 12 \) gene conferring a higher resistance to ceftazidime and the absence of resistance to colistin, also the presence of the IncI1-I plasmid instead of the IncI2 plasmid carrying the \( mcr-1.1 \) gene. These genetic differences may justify the higher number of SNPs found and the existence of two evolutionary sub-lineages suggesting that the CTX-M-65 variant is emerging in our country.

The emergence and clonal spread of *E. coli* harboring \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 65 \) can be a problem for the livestock industry and human health, given their multidrug resistance profile to critically important antimicrobials and their presence in the food supply chain. To our knowledge, this is the first time that CTX-M-65 is identified in Portugal in food products of animal origin. The chromosomal addition of the \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX}} - M - 65 \) gene may ensure the spread of resistance in the absence of selective pressure. A better understanding of the factors that contribute to the emergence and dissemination of ESBL genes rarely seen...
in Europe, but highly prevalent in Southwest Asian and South American countries, is strongly advisable and is worthy of close monitoring. Tourism and migration flow to Portugal and the trade treaties established between countries by importing meat and meat products may be sources of cross-contamination with uncommon MDR strains, facilitating their dissemination to the community.
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