Molecular identification of Betacoronavirus in bats from Sardinia (Italy): first detection and phylogeny
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Abstract
Bats may be natural reservoirs for a large variety of emerging viruses, including mammalian coronaviruses (CoV). The recent emergence of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in humans, with evidence that these viruses may have their ancestry in bats, highlights the importance of virus surveillance in bat populations. Here, we report the identification and molecular characterization of a bat β-Coronavirus, detected during a viral survey carried out on different bat species in the island of Sardinia (Italy). Cutaneous, oral swabs, and faecal samples were collected from 46 bats, belonging to 15 different species, and tested for viral presence. Coronavirus RNA was detected in faecal samples from three different species: the greater horseshoe bat (Rhinolophus ferrumequinum), the brown long-eared bat (Plecotus auritus), and the European free-tailed bat (Tadarida teniotis). Phylogenetic analyses based on RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) sequences assigned the detected CoV to clade 2b within betacoronaviruses, clustering with SARS-like bat CoVs previously reported. These findings point to the need for continued surveillance of bat CoV circulating in Sardinian bats, and extend the current knowledge on CoV ecology with novel sequences detected in bat species not previously described as β-Coronavirus hosts.
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Introduction
Bats (order Chiroptera) are one of the most diverse and widely distributed group of mammals, comprising more than 1300 species across 21 families [1], and play a key role in terrestrial ecosystems [2]. In the last few decades, they have been associated with several emergent zoonotic agents and recognized as potential reservoir of zoonotic viruses belonging to different families, such as Hendra, Nipah, Ebola, Lyssa, and SARS Coronavirus [3]. Bats represent ideal hosts and reservoirs of viruses, due to their high diversity and ecological characteristics that potentially facilitate virus transmission, such as the roosting together behavior and their ability to fly over distance [4, 5]. Therefore, it is essential to identify and characterize the currently unrecognized viruses circulating in bat populations.

Coronaviruses (CoV, family Coronaviridae) are enveloped viruses with large single-stranded RNA genomes ranging between 27 and 32 kb [6, 7]. Based on genome-scale phylogenies, they are classified into four genera: Alphacoronavirus (α-CoV) and Betacoronavirus (β-CoV), pathogenic for mammals, Gammacoronavirus (γ-CoV) and Deltacoronavirus (δ-CoV), primarily (but not exclusively) circulating in birds [8, 9]. Most CoVs are disease-causing agents, associated with a range of respiratory, gastrointestinal, hepatic and neurological diseases both in humans and animals. They are also potentially transmitted among species, causing epidemics after host transition [10–12]. Due to mutation and recombination events, new strains constantly originate and spread [4, 13].

Different bat species have been identified as natural reservoirs of most mammalian α-CoV and β-CoV, including the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome SARS-CoV, and the
Middle East Respiratory Syndrome MERS-CoV [14, 15], which recently caused outbreaks in the human population [4]. SARS-related CoV was first identified in *Rhinolophus* bats from China [16], and subsequently many mammalian CoVs were detected in Chinese bats [17, 18] and globally [19]. Further investigations identified α-CoV and β-CoV in several European countries from bats of various species [20–22].

In Italy, few studies have been conducted on bat CoV ecology: viral detection and prevalence was investigated in greater horseshoe bats [23], alpha- and betacoronaviruses were identified in different species, such as Kuhl’s pipistrelle, lesser horseshoe bats and serotine bats [10, 24], and recently two MERS related beta CoV detected in Italian Kuhl’s pipistrelle and Savi’s bat were fully sequenced [25]. A total of 21 bat species are currently recognized as living on the island of Sardinia (Italy), lying geographically at the interface between Europe and Africa, and recently indicated as a major Mediterranean hotspot for bat biodiversity [26]. Nothing is known about CoV presence and distribution among bat populations on the island, and this is the first study aiming to fill this gap. Herein we report the molecular identification and typing of a SARS-like Coronavirus detected in three species of Sardinian bats belonging to *Rhinolophus*, *Plecotus* and *Tadarida* genera, during a viral survey conducted on non-invasive bat samples collected from different localities on the island. We also inferred the phylogenetic characteristics of the identified β-CoV, and its evolutionary relationships with other bat CoVs identified in Europe and globally.

### Materials and methods

#### Bat sample collection

A total of 46 bats, belonging to 15 different species, were captured using mist nets or hand nets in 8 different localities distributed in northern and central Sardinia: Grotta sa Rocca Ularí (Borutta), Galleria Casteldoria (Santa Maria Coghinas), Diga Santa Chiara (Ula-Tirso), Monte Coral-linu (Dorgali), Tiliperu (Bonorva), Mularza Noa (Bolotana), Belvi, and Grotta Verde (Alghero), listed in Table 1. Sampling locations included caves, buildings, tunnels, woods, abandoned bridges, and other type of natural roosts. Captures were performed by expert and trained biologists within a regular census program of Sardinian bat populations, between November 2015 and November 2016. Bats were placed individually into cotton bags before investigation. Species, sex, age category, and forearm length were determined; oral and cutaneous swabs were collected using sterile micro-swabs (Aptaca), and then bats were released at their capture site. Faecal samples were readily collected from cotton bags or during bat handling and suspended in 1 ml RNA later RNA stabilization solution (Qiagen) and then stored at − 20 °C before processing.

#### DNA and RNA extraction, reverse transcription

DNA was extracted from oral and skin swabs tips using the QiAmp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen), following the
manufacturer’s protocol specific for swabs. DNA was stored at −20 °C for subsequent amplification analysis. After suspending faecal samples in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), RNA was extracted from faeces by using the QiAmp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen), according to the instructions suggested by the manufacturer. Extracted RNA was stored at −80 °C. First-strand cDNA was synthesized utilizing the Affinity Script Multi Temperature cDNA Synthesis Kit (Agilent Technologies), following the random primers strategy protocol, and then stored at −20 °C.

**PCR and RT-PCR, screening and sequencing**

DNA extracted from bat oral swabs was tested for the presence of Herpesvirus using a universal nested PCR [27]. DNA extracted from skin swabs was screened for Poxvirus and Papillomavirus presence, by PCR based on pan-pox primers [28] and on primers targeting a conserved region of PPV L1 gene [29].

RT-PCR was performed to detect Coronavirus in bat faecal samples, by using two pairs of primers targeting a conserved fragment of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene (RdRp): 11FW and 13RV [23], and Corona 1 forward and Corona 2 reverse [10, 17, 30], targeting 170 bp and 440 bp respectively. In a typical PCR, 2 µl of cDNA was amplified in a 50 µl reaction mixture containing 0.2 mM of each dNTPs, 0.2 µM each of Forward and Reverse primers, 1X TaqBuffer and GoTaq (Promega, Italy) DNA polymerase. The cycling conditions were as follows: 5 min at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 50 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C, followed by a final extension time of 5 min at 72 °C. From the first amplified sequence of approximately 170 bp, in order to further amplify the RdRp gene, 4 sets of primers were newly designed, after aligning the sequence detected in this study with the most similar sequences obtained by BLAST analysis, and choosing conserved regions to design consensus walking primers. The first 170 bp amplicon was extended by designing and using downstream primers within the fragment and upstream primers based on alignments of phylogenetically related CoV from GenBank. Table 2 lists the primers designed in this study (BatCV1F/R, BatCV2F/R, BatCV3F/R, and BatCV4F/R). PCR products were purified using DNA Clean & Concentrator purification kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA), and directly sequenced in both forward and reverse directions by BMR Genomics Sequencing Service (Padova, Italy). Forward and reverse sequences were edited and aligned with MUSCLE Multiple Sequence Alignment tool (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/), alignments were edited with BioEdit software [31]. Sequences were checked against the GenBank database using nucleotide BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

**Phylogenetic analysis**

Phylogenetic trees were estimated using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Maximum Parsimony (MP) methods implemented in MEGA 6 [32], after testing the best fitting evolutionary model to the data. Bootstrap support values and reliability of internal branches were calculated from 1000 replicate trees. Models with the lowest BIC scores (Bayesian Information Criterion) are considered to describe the substitution pattern the best. Non-uniformity of evolutionary rates among sites was modeled by using a discrete Gamma distribution (+G) with 5 rate categories and by assuming that a certain fraction of sites are evolutionary invariable (+I). After generating a gap-free nucleotide alignment of 1090 bp containing the novel virus as well as of Coronavirus RdRp reference strains, the most likely nucleotide substitution model selected to analyze these data was TN93+G (Tamura-Nei, Gamma distributed), while analyzing an alignment of a higher number of CoV RdRp sequences of 396 bp, the model T92+G was selected (Tamura 3-parameter, Gamma distributed). The CoV sequence obtained in this study was submitted to the GenBank database under accession number MG975784.

### Table 2 Primer sequences used and designed in this study for bat Coronavirus detection

| Name      | Target sequence | Primer sequence | Source               |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 11FW      | RdRp            | 5′-TGAATGATGCCTCAGTGTGCTACAA-3′ | Balboni et al. [23] |
| 13RV      | RdRp            | 5′-TGTGAGGAAATTCGAGGTGTC-3′ |                      |
| BatCV1F   | RdRp            | 5′-TACAGTGTAGTATAAGACTCC-3′ | This study           |
| BatCV1R   | RdRp            | 5′-AAGGCTGCTCTCGACTCCAGCA-3′ |                      |
| BatCV2F   | RdRp            | 5′-TGCTGGACTGACAGACCTT-3′ | This study           |
| BatCV2R   | RdRp            | 5′-GTGTATCAGTTAGTTAGCATT-3′ |                      |
| BatCV3F   | RdRp            | 5′-CTAAGCAGGAAATGCCACCTCC-3′ | This study           |
| BatCV3R   | RdRp            | 5′-GCATGTAGGCAGGCAGGTCT-3′ |                      |
| BatCV4F   | RdRp            | 5′-GCATGAGGAAATTAAAGCAG-3′ | This study           |
| BatCV4R   | RdRp            | 5′-GAATACATGCTCAACATGTC-3′ |                      |
Results

Coronavirus RNA was detected in three out of 27 faecal samples: 11%, close to previously reported frequency [10], less than that observed in other studies [17, 30], unlike results obtained in central-southern Italy [33]. Positive samples were collected in different locations of northern and central Sardinia from three different bat species: the greater horseshoe bat (*Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*), the brown long-eared bat (*Plecotus auritus*), and the European free-tailed bat (*Tadarida teniotis*). Table 3 lists samples positive for CoV detected in this study, including the GenBank accession number assigned to the novel sequence. No Herpesvirus, Poxvirus and Papillomavirus were detected in the oral and/or cutaneous samples collected within this Sardinian bats viral survey.

After detecting CoV in faecal samples, a total of 1110 bp of RdRp gene were successfully amplified and directly sequenced. The same betacoronavirus sequence was detected in all three positive bat species. Upon BLASTN analysis, the viral sequence identified was found highly similar to Bat coronavirus BM48-31/BGR/2008 (GU190215), detected in a Blasius’s horseshoe bat (*Rhinolophus blasii*) in Bulgaria [14], with 100% query coverage and 96% identity. The obtained sequence also showed high nucleotide similarity (89%) with Bat SARS CoV Rs672/2006 (FJ588686) identified in a Chinese rufous horseshoe bat (*Rhinolophus sinicus*) [34], and with Bat SARS-like coronavirus RsSHCO14 (KC881005) from the same bat species [35]. A first phylogenetic analysis was performed based on 1090 bp amplified fragment of the RdRp gene including the CoV identified in Sardinian bats and other 16 sequences representatives of CoV genetic variability. The phylogram (TN93+G, Fig. 1) clearly showed that Sardinian bat CoV belong to the β-CoV genus, and clustered together with the Bulgarian bat CoV identified in a *Rhinolophus blasii* (GU190215). To have a deeper look into clade structuring within genera and further characterize the phylogenetic relationships of the detected CoV, especially with other European bat coronaviruses, another phylogenetic analysis was performed based on 396 bp of the RdRp gene, and including a total of 30 sequences. Figure 2 shows the (T92+G) tree obtained, clearly assigning the Sardinian bat CoV to clade 2b within the β-CoV genus. The branching also showed that the detected sequence was closely related to a betacoronavirus previously identified in a greater horseshoe bat (*Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*) in Italy (BtCoV/Rhi_fer/It17/ITA/2009, GenBank number KC633199), as well as other bat CoVs detected in *Rhinolophus* spp. from Slovenia (GQ409747) [36], and again from Italy (i.e., KF500954) [10]. All other clade groupings were consistent with previous studies [14].

Discussion

At least 21 bat species are currently recognized as living on the island of Sardinia, Italy [37]. Some species are common and adaptable, inhabiting both wild and urban habitats, while others are rare and restricted to limited areas of the island. Recently an island endemic species was characterized (*Plecotus sardus*) and an endemic lineage of *Plecotus auritus* was also identified [38]. The existence of endemic bat taxa on Sardinia highlights the island importance in the conservation of the European bat community. Some Sardinian bat populations appear genetically differentiated and isolated from any continental gene pool and should therefore be considered as an evolutionarily significant unit (ESU) [39].

This is the first report of Coronavirus detection in faeces from bat species in Sardinia. A SARS-like betacoronavirus was detected in three species (Table 3): the greater horseshoe bat (*Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*), common cave species distributed all over the island; the brown long-eared bat (*Plecotus auritus*), rare species inhabiting few localities (especially mountain woods) in central Sardinia; and the European free-tailed bat (*Tadarida teniotis*), common species usually colonizing rocky slopes and Mediterranean bushes as well as buildings and bridges in urban areas.

The detected virus (SarBatCoV1) belonged to clade 2b, including most of the SARS-like CoVs found in bats, as well as human SARS Coronavirus (Figs. 1, 2). SarBatCoV1 appeared related to another betacoronavirus previously identified in the Italian greater horseshoe bat (BtCoV/Rhi_fer/
It17/ITA/2009, GenBank number KC633199), and also clustered with a β-CoV isolated from a Blasius’s horseshoe bat in Bulgaria (BM48-31/BGR/2008, GenBank number GU190215). As shown in Fig. 2, the two clades within β-CoVs are strongly supported in the phylogram, and clade 2c clustered many other bat CoVs identified in Europe (Italy and Spain) from different species. These findings confirm a correlation between SARS-like CoVs and bats belonging to *Rhinolophus* spp., consistently with previous studies [14, 40], although the same RdRp sequence, in this study, was also detected in two other species belonging to the genera *Plecotus* and *Tadarida*. As previously discussed, phylogenetic analyses providing insights into viral transmission among bat species have revealed a general clustering by geographic location rather than by bat species [4]. However, our results also show that similar coronaviruses (with nucleotide identity up to 96%) are found in bats distributed in geographically distant regions, such as the island of Sardinia, mainland Italy and Bulgaria.

Since the identification of SARSr-Rh-BatCoV in bats of the *Rhinolophus* genus [16], an intense effort was dedicated to investigate CoVs in bats, and many SARS-like viruses were detected and characterized in various species worldwide [4, 19]. Recent studies also provided evidence that bats may be the original ancestor hosts for SARS and MERS viruses, which recently caused pandemic and heavy consequences in humans [41–43]. Therefore, it is paramount to investigate and characterize CoVs distribution and diversity in bat species, before their potential emergence in humans.

Full-length genome analysis of the detected CoV should be attempted to thoroughly understand phylogenetic relationships between SarBatCoV1 and betacoronaviruses previously described in European bats. Further studies including larger number of samples as well as continued surveillance on viruses circulating in Sardinian species are also needed to understand the role played by bats in the maintenance and transmission of beta CoVs. Monitoring and research on potential zoonoses are essential, and the prevalence and distribution of CoVs in Sardinian species are also needed to understand the role played by bats in the maintenance and transmission of beta CoVs. Monitoring and research on potential zoonoses are essential, and the prevalence and distribution of CoVs in Sardinian species should be further investigated in urban and rural environment. However, without specific evidence, bats should not be considered as a major concern for public health, especially given the key ecosystem services they perform [44]. Bats play essential ecological roles in mosquito and pest control, as pollinators, and are keystone species in natural ecosystems. On top of this, bat species are often protected by national and international laws, as their conservation status may be vulnerable or threatened, and Sardinia was recently indicated as a major Mediterranean hotspot for bat biodiversity [26].
Fig. 2 Phylogenetic analysis based on 396 bp of the RdRp gene. Phylogenetic tree of the partial RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene (396 bp) of coronavirus strains found in bats and humans, showing the assignment of the sequence detected in this study (SarBatCoV1) to clade 2b, within the β-CoV genus. Percentage values from 1000 replicates of bootstrapping are located at nodes of the tree.
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