Simultaneous H.E.S.S. and Chandra observations of Sgr A* during an X-ray flare
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Abstract: The rapidly varying non-thermal X-ray emission observed from Sgr A* points to particle acceleration taking place close to the supermassive black hole. The TeV $\gamma$-ray source HESS J1745−290 is coincident with Sgr A* and may be closely related to the X-ray emission. Simultaneous X-ray and TeV observations are required to elucidate the relationship between these two objects. Here we report on joint H.E.S.S./Chandra observations in July 2005, during which an X-ray flare was detected. Despite a factor $> 10$ increase in the X-ray flux of Sgr A*, no evidence is found for an increase in the TeV $\gamma$-ray flux. We find that an increase of the $\gamma$-ray flux of a factor 2 or greater can be excluded at a confidence level of 99%. This finding disfavours scenarios in which the bulk of the $\gamma$-ray emission observed is produced close to Sgr A*.

Introduction

The existence of a supermassive ($3.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^6$ solar mass) black hole at the centre of our galaxy has been inferred using measurements of stellar orbits in the central parsec (see e.g. [1]). The supermassive black hole (SMBH) is coincident with the faint radio source: Sgr A*. The compact nature of Sgr A* has been demonstrated both by direct VLBI measurements [2] and by the observation of X-ray and near IR flares with timescales as short as a few minutes (see for example [3, 4]). Variability on such short timescales limits the emission region (via causality arguments) to within $< 10$ Schwarzschild radii of the black hole. X-ray flares from Sgr A* have reached fluxes of $4 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$, two orders of magnitude brighter than the quiescent flux [4, 5], and exhibit a range of spectral shapes [4]. Several models exist for the origin of this variable emission, all of which invoke non-thermal processes close to the event horizon of the central black hole to produce a population of relativistic particles.

Model independent evidence for the existence of ultra-relativistic particles close to Sgr A* can be provided by the observation of TeV $\gamma$-rays from this source. Indeed, TeV $\gamma$-ray emission has been detected from the Sgr A region by several ground-based instruments [6, 7, 8, 9]. The most precise measurement of this source, HESS J1745−290, are those made using the H.E.S.S. telescope array. The centroid of the source is located $7'' \pm 14''$ stat $\pm 28''$ sys from Sgr A*, and has an rms extension of $< 1.2''$ [10].

TeV emission from Sgr A* is expected in several models of particle acceleration in the environment of the black hole. In some of these scenarios [11, 12] TeV emission is produced in the immediate vicinity of the SMBH and variability is expected. In alternative scenarios particles are accelerated at Sgr A* but radiate in within the central $\sim 10$ parsec region [13], or are accelerated at the termination shock of a wind driven by the SMBH [14]. However, several additional candidate objects exist for the origin of the observed $\gamma$-ray emission. The radio centroid of the supernova remnant (SNR) Sgr A East lies $\sim 1'$ from Sgr A*,
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only marginally inconsistent with the position of the TeV source given in [10]. Shell-type SNR are now well established TeV γ-ray sources [15, 16] and several authors have suggested Sgr A East as the origin of the TeV emission (see for example [17]). However, recent improvements in the statistical and systematic uncertainties of the centroid of HESS J1745−290 effectively exclude Sgr A East as the dominant γ-ray source in the region [18]. The recently discovered pulsar wind nebula candidate G 359.95-0.04 [19] lies only 9 arcseconds from Sgr A* and can plausibly explain the TeV emission [20]. Particle acceleration at stellar wind collision shocks within the central young stellar cluster has also been hypothesised to explain the γ-ray source [21]. Finally, an origin of this source in the annihilation of WIMPs in a central dark matter cusp has been extensively discussed [22, 23, 10].

Given the limited angular resolution of current VHE γ-ray telescopes, the most promising tool for identification of the TeV source is the detection of correlated variability between the γ-ray and X-ray and/or NIR regimes. A significant increase of the flux of HESS J1745−290 simultaneous with a flare in wavebands with sufficient angular resolution to isolate Sgr A* would provide an unambiguous identification of the γ-ray source. Therefore, whilst not all models for TeV emission from Sgr A* predict variability of the VHE source, coordinated IR/keV/TeV observations can be seen as a key aspect of the ongoing program to understand the nature of this enigmatic source.

Observations & Results

A coordinated multi-wavelength campaign on Sgr A* took place during July/August 2005. As part of this campaign observations with H.E.S.S. occurred for 4-5 hours each night from the 27th of July to the 1st of August (MJD 53578–53584). Four Chandra observations with IDs 5950-5954 took place between the 24th of July and the 2nd of August. A search for flaring events in the X-ray data yielded two significant events during the Chandra campaign, both during observation ID 5953 on the 30th of July. The second of these flares occurred during a period of H.E.S.S. coverage, at MJD 53581.94.

Figure 1: Nightly γ-ray flux light-curve of HESSJ1745−290 from the 27th of July to the 1st of August 2005. The H.E.S.S. data have typical thresholds of 150-300 GeV. The grey band shows the mean flux from 2004 observations as published in [10]. Statistical (dark grey) and systematic (light grey) errors are shown. The dashed line is a fit to the MJD 53578-53584 data.

The γ-ray data consist of 72 twenty-eight minute runs, 66 of which pass all the quality selection cuts described by [24]. All runs on the night of the X-ray flare pass these cuts and in addition we find no evidence for cloud cover in the simultaneous sky temperature (radiometer) measurements (see [24, 25]). These data were analysed using the H.E.S.S. standard Hillas parameter based method described in [24]. An independent analysis based on the Model Analysis method described in [26] produced consistent results. Figure 1 shows a night-by-night TeV flux light-curve for this period. There is no evidence for variations of the flux on day timescales and the mean > 1 TeV γ-ray flux for this week of observations was $2.03 \pm 0.09_{stat} \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, consistent with the average value for H.E.S.S. observations in 2004, $1.87 \pm 0.1_{stat} \pm 0.3_{sys} \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ [10].
Figure 2 shows the X-ray and γ-ray light curves for the night MJD 53581-2. There is a clear increase in the X-ray flux of Sgr A* with an excess of $10^3 \pm 10$ events with respect to the quiescent level. The time-profile of this excess is consistent with a Gaussian of rms $13.1 \pm 2.5$ minutes. The time window for the γ-ray analysis is defined as the region within $\pm 1.3\sigma$ of the X-ray flare (containing 80% of the signal). The lower panel of Figure 2 shows the mean TeV flux within this time window (grey shaded region) of $2.05 \pm 0.76 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ as a short dashed line. This flux level is almost identical to the mean flux level for this week of observations. There is therefore no evidence for a significant increase in the γ-ray flux of HESS J1745-290 during the flare and a limit on the relative flux increase of $< 2$ is derived at the 99% confidence level. In principle a (positive or negative) time lag might be expected between the X-ray and any associated γ-ray flare. The existence of a counterpart γ-flare with a flux increase $\gg 2$ requires a lag of at least 100 minutes.

**Summary**

For the first time simultaneous TeV γ-ray observations have been presented for a period of X-ray activity of Sgr A*. The non-detection of an increase in the TeV flux provides an important constraint on scenarios in which the source HESS J1745-290 is associated with the supermassive black hole.
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Figure 2: X-ray and $\gamma$-ray light curves for the Galactic Centre on MJD 53581. Top: Chandra 1-10 keV count rate in 400 second bins. The X-ray flare is well described by Gaussian (solid curve), the shaded region shows $\pm 1.3\sigma$ of the flare position. Bottom: Very High Energy $\gamma$-ray light curve from H.E.S.S. in 15 minute bins. The long dashed line shows the historical flux level [10]. The short dashed line indicates the mean TeV flux during the X-ray flare.