POLYMORPHIC SITE INDEX CURVES FOR BEECH 
(*FAGUS SYLVATICA* L.) IN CENTRAL AND EASTERN SERBIA

This study was mainly aimed at constructing polymorphic site index curves for beech in the central (Rudnik mountain – RU, about 15,000 ha) and eastern (Žagubica – ŽA, about 7,000 ha) part of its distribution in Serbia. To obtain suitable height-age data and evaluate the best-fit growth model we used 107 felled dominant beech trees. The Korf, Korsun and Chapman-Richards growth functions per site class were first parameterized and then mutually compared with respect to residual statistics and the significance of their parameters. They were additionally parameterized in line with empirical data on the value and age of the culmination of current annual height increment (CAIh). The obtained results indicated that the Chapman-Richards growth function showed the best results both by statistical (residuals standard error, significance of the parameters, distribution of residuals, and homoscedasticity) and by empirical criteria (the CAIh culmination time, the maximal values of the CAIh, and the attained height of trees at a certain age) of the height-age beech modelling in the analyzed regions. The obtained polymorphic site index curves which classify sites with regard to their productivity can be very helpful in planning appropriate silvicultural treatments, and for decision-making in forest management planning, forest policy and ecology and, consequently, in the sustainable management of beech forests in Serbia and some neighbouring countries with a similar forestry sector development.
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INTRODUCTION

As a major driver of forest resource availability, forest productivity remains a fundamental concern in forestry (Bontemps and Bouriaud 2014). From this reason, efficient silviculture, yield and growth forecasting, forest management planning and decision-making on different levels require a reliable measure of site productivity (Wanclay and Henry 1988, Palahi et al. 2004, Pretzsch 2009 etc.). The most important indicator of potential forest site productivity is the dominant height of a stand at a reference age – the site index (Monserud 1984, Skovsgaard and Vanclay 2008, Zlatanov et al. 2012, Stajić et al. 2016 etc.). This is due fact that the height (and age) of dominant trees correlates closely with the total stand volume production and is less dependent on the stand density and thinning intensity (Davis and Johnson 1987, Stamenković and Vučković 1988, Pretzsch 2009 etc.). Determination of site index model parameters and site productivity assessment largely depends on the quality of avail-
able data. In fact, three distinct sources of height–age data for site index curves constructions have been widely used in literature (Clutter et al. 1983): temporary sample plots, stem analyses data, and permanent sample plots. The data on the height of trees at different ages (temporary sample plots) is the least usable. It does not provide completely valid information on the real height growth of individual stands, but in the absence of other data, it is still often used (Nanang and Nunifu 1999). Nonetheless, height observations (and sometimes age) are subject to the sampling and measurement error (García 2011) and the so-called “age trend” (Socha et al. 2016), which can cause a bias in the data from temporary sample plots. Another shortage of this data is the need to make the assumption that all sites are equally sampled at all ages (Monserud 1984). This type of height–age data was used to construct the existing anamorphic site index curves for beech in Serbia (Stajić et al. 2016). In the procedure of their construction, asymptote coefficients of growth curve models are only changed resulting in site index curves with the same shape, causing the main drawback of the site index investigations from other countries, it is necessary to establish site index curves for the main tree species. It is especially important for European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.), which represents the most important and one of the most productive tree species in Serbia (Vučković and Stajić 2005). Its productivity largely depends on the silviculture method employed in its forests. Generally, for high-quality beech wood production forestry practices and especially thinnings have a special importance (Usta et al. 2019). In addition, the distance between the trees and their optimal number, and consequently the optimal size of tree growth space are also of importance for the achievement of the optimal site and stand productivity in beech forests (Lukić 1988, Vučković and Stajić 2003, Zelić 2005). Nevertheless, the wide vertical and horizontal distribution of beech on different bedrocks and in various soil evolution stages has caused large differences in the productivity of beech forests (Vučković and Stajić 2005). Previous studies of pure and mixed stands of beech don’t provide enough data for a complete overview and classification of sites and stands according to the actual and potential level of production (Stajić et al. 2016). Accordingly, aim of this study was (1) modelling the beech dominant height–age relationships, (2) constructing polymorphic site index curves for beech in the central and eastern areas of its distribution in Serbia and (3) making some comparisons with beech height–age data from surrounding countries.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The research was conducted in beech stands in the Žagubica – ŽA, eastern Serbia (latitude: 44°10’3” N, longitude: 21°51’43” E) and Rudnik mountain – RU, central Serbia (latitude: 44°8’25” N, longitude: 20°29’33”E) regions, with about 15,000 ha and 7,000 ha of total forest area, respectively. The stands belong to the complex of a montane beech forest. The altitude ranges from 650 to 1,250 m (ŽA) and from 690 to 1,080 m (RU). The parent rock of the management unit consists of limestone and amphibolic and clay shales. Soil types include shallow, medium and deep soils on different limestone and brown acid soils. The average annual temperatures for ŽA and RU are 9.8°C and 7.7°C, respectively. The annual precipitation for ŽA and RU are of 682 mm and 742 mm, respectively.

A set of 62 (ŽA) and 64 (RU) dominant beech trees were used to obtain suitable height–age data. Trees were selected to cover a wide range of ages and site conditions throughout the analyzed beech forest complexes. In fact, we were selectively looking for stands or parts of stands of different age and with different site conditions over the regions, in which we felled the tallest trees. In order to get a deeper insight into the height increment relationships as precisely as possible, especially in youth, each tree was felled and cross-sectioned at every 1 m in the first 10 m of the trunk and, thereafter, at 2 m intervals up to the terminal peak of a tree. To estimate the height from the stem analysis data, using Carman’s procedure (Newberry 1991), annual rings on each disc were precisely measured and counted.

The first step in producing polymorphic fixed base–age site index curves was to develop a guide curve fitting tree height data depending on age. According to the fitted height values at the age of 100 from the guide curves obtained (≈25 m) and the calculated standard deviations of heights at the age
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Table 1. Statistics of the sampled dominant beech trees (Min, Max, Mean and SD represent minimal, maximal, mean and standard deviation of the trees height, respectively).

Tablica 1. Statističke značajke uzorkovanih dominantskih bukovih stabala (Min, Max, Mean and SD predstavljaju minimalnu, maksimalnu, prosječnu i standardnu devijaciju visine stabala).

| Height-age classes (site classes) | ZA | RU |
|----------------------------------|----|----|
| Class 1 (>30-34 m)               | 10 | 11 |
| Class 2 (>26-30 m)               | 11 | 10 |
| Class 3 (>22-26 m)               | 12 | 12 |
| Class 4 (>18-22 m)               | 10 | 11 |
| Class 5 (<18 m)                  | 10 | 10 |
| Total                            | 53 | 54 |

The Chapman-Richards function:

$$\ln(b_{SI}) = \ln(b_0) + \frac{b}{H_{100}}, c_{SI} = c_0 + \frac{c_1}{H_{100}}$$

where: $b_{SI}$ and $c_{SI}$ are non-asymptotic parameters of the site class curves; $b_0$, $b_1$, $b_2$, $c_0$, $c_1$, and $c_2$ are parameters to be estimated, and $H_{100}$ is the fitted value from the parameterized curve of the age of 100 for each site class.

By applying the Korsun function, the value of parameter $a_{SI}$ for each site index was calculated in the following form (for the Korsun function - eq. 4 and for the CHR function-eq. 5):

$$a_{SI} = SI \cdot \frac{1}{e^{b_{SI} \ln 100 + c_{SI} \ln^2 100}}$$

$$a_{SI} = SI \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-b_{SI} 100})^{c_{SI}}}$$

where: SI is the site index value for the base age of 100.

Construction of the site index curve for a particular site class using the Korsun, the Korf and the CHR growth functions was performed by the following equations (eq. 6, eq. 7, eq. 8), respectively:

Korsun: $H = a_{SI} \cdot e^{b_{SI} \ln t + c_{SI} \ln^2 t}$

Korf: $H = SI \cdot e^{\frac{b_{0} + a_{SI} t}{\ln 100 + \frac{c_{0} + c_{SI}}{SI} \ln 100 - 100}}$

Chapman-Richards: $H = a_{SI} \cdot (1 - e^{-b_{SI} t})^{c_{SI}}$

where: $a_{0}$, $b_{0}$, and $c_{0}$ are model parameters, and SI is the site index value at the age of 100 ($SI_{10}$, $SI_{20}$, $SI_{24}$, $SI_{28}$, and $SI_{32}$). For the selection of a growth function to be used, various criteria have been applied. The first ones are coefficient of determination (R²) and residuals standard error (RSE).
Another criterion for the selection of the best fit model was the characteristics of the residual homogeneity. In addition, the significance and predicting accuracy of the model parameters and some biological presumptions (presence of a typical S growth shape, polymorphism and asymptote) were also used to examine the best site index prediction model.

To verify the model, we used the predicted residual error sum of squares (PRESS). The procedure consisted of model fitting, refitting with one omitted observation and calculation of the predicted value of the omitted observation that was not used in the model estimation. The predicted value was calculated for each omitted observation, and PRESS statistics was calculated as follows (Eq. 9):

$$PRESS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_{i-1})^2$$  (9)

where: $n$ is the number of observations, $y_i$ is $i_{th}$ observation, $\hat{y}_{i-1}$ is the predicted omitted observation.

As a rule, this method is used on an independent data set. In the absence of an independent data set, the basic data set was used for model verification. This may be partially justified by the fact that the parameters of the presented model (eq.1-3) were not fitted directly but indirectly through the SI value at the age of 100. So, in the PRESS procedure, the SI value was parameterized and with it, the whole model was reparametrized with the omitted observations (eq.1-3).

The CHR model has the best overall features (the highest $R^2$, parameters of all models are statistically significant), except for slightly higher values of RSE compared to the other models). The values of the asymptote parameters are highest for the Korf, followed by the Korsun and the CHR model.

The obtained statistics of the residuals of growth functions (not presented) showed that the arithmetic mean of the residuals is very close to zero, and the standard deviation of the residuals does not exceed a value greater than half the site class width of 4 m. The values of the skewness coefficients for the CHR function are in a range between -0.207 and 0.636, and they are the smallest compared to the values for the remaining two applied functions (from -1.234 to 0.593, on average). The values of the kurtosis coefficients are from 2.011 to 3.423 (the CHR), from 2.017 to 5.065 (The Korsun) and from 2.037 to 5.182 (the Korf model).

**RESULTS**

The first results obtained showed that due to the existence of one or more shorter or longer periods of highly suppressed tree growth and the growth rates that deviated significantly from the expected rates (the shapes of their height growth curve were qualitatively different from the remaining trees), some felled dominant trees should be rejected.

After this procedure, the definitive sample was compiled from 53 (ZA) and 54 (RU) trees to be analyzed, and their height growth per location is shown in Figure 1.

The next step in the development of polymorphic site index curves represents a parameterization of the growth functions per site class. The obtained model parameters and the statistics for the site classes are presented in Table 2.
Since the fitted data for each site class could produce inappropriate culmination ages and values of the maximum current height increment (CAIh) that do not correspond to the biological growth characteristics of the site classes (the more productive the site the earlier the culmination of the CAIh and the higher its value at the time of culmination), a parameter prediction procedure was conducted. This procedure involved a new fitting of the model parameters of the growth functions representing all five site classes depending on their height at the reference age. For this purpose, \( b \) and \( c \) parameters of the tested growth functions were related to the fitted height of the dominant trees at the age of 100 for each site class and the parameters \( b_{SI} \) and \( c_{SI} \), and, consequently, parameter \( a_{SI} \) per site class were calculated according to the aforementioned biological precondition. When balancing these coefficients, the intension was to obtain the final models in line with the empirical data on the value and age of the CAIh culmination obtained by the stem analysis procedure (Table 4) as possible.

Table 3 shows the prediction of the model parameters used for fitting the shape and the slope coefficients of growth functions per site class.

Generally speaking, the prediction of model parameters from ŽA performed better than the prediction for RU for all three functions used. It can also be seen that the slope and shape coefficients are best predicted by the CHR function for both areas. The parameters of the Korf and the Korsun models are well predicted for ŽA, while for RU the value of the adjusted coefficient of determination is negative, which calls into question the validity of these models.

### Table 2. The parameters and statistics of Korf’s, Korsun’s and CHR growth functions for five site classes (Significance codes: *** 0.001, ** 0.01, *0.05)

| Model | SC | Parameters | RSE |
|-------|----|------------|-----|
|       |    | a          | b   | c   |     |
| Chapman-Richards | ŽA | I 44.303441*** | 0.017173*** | 1.620422*** | 1.302 |
|       |    | II 37.855017*** | 0.016882*** | 1.457009*** | 1.468 |
|       |    | III 33.713403*** | 0.017577*** | 1.745977*** | 1.557 |
|       |    | IV 29.166184*** | 0.018702*** | 2.241681*** | 1.779 |
|       |    | V 25.418282*** | 0.017677*** | 2.584143*** | 1.425 |
|       |    | I 0.003282* | 3.317125*** | -0.2875*** | 1.269 |
|       |    | II 0.002497*** | 3.421395 | -0.304148*** | 1.426 |
| Korsun | ŽA | I 0.0010249 | 3.6748129*** | -0.3237163*** | 1.508 |
|       |    | IV 0.000556*** | 3.8417747 | -0.3385774*** | 1.737 |
|       |    | V 0.0009028 | 4.374*** | -0.3852*** | 1.382 |
|       |    | I 137.36526*** | 7.41503*** | 1.50255*** | 1.296 |
|       |    | II 73.6801*** | 18.5231*** | 1.7069*** | 1.431 |
|       |    | III 68.7844*** | 21.28592** | 1.7181*** | 1.509 |
|       |    | IV 50.172*** | 40.653* | 1.851*** | 1.736 |
|       |    | V 29.79094*** | 93.03773** | 2.0391*** | 1.343 |
|       |    | a           | b   | c   |     |
| Chapman-Richards | RU | I 45.597709*** | 0.015392*** | 1.458412*** | 2.061 |
|       |    | II 37.911205*** | 0.015214*** | 1.540638*** | 1.875 |
|       |    | III 35.060807*** | 0.015655*** | 2.137368*** | 1.453 |
|       |    | IV 32.206492*** | 0.015963*** | 2.257907*** | 1.274 |
|       |    | V 22.984882*** | 0.016905*** | -0.17522*** | 0.991 |
|       |    | I 0.03441* | 2.8445*** | -0.3516584*** | 1.733 |
|       |    | II 0.0008873*** | 3.8557999 | 0.3156584*** | 1.409 |
| Korsun | RU | III 0.0001173 | 4.556*** | -0.4143*** | 1.493 |
|       |    | IV 0.002249*** | 2.939891 | -0.212899*** | 1.340 |
|       |    | V 1.56-05 | 5.056*** | -0.4507*** | 1.340 |
|       |    | I 235.99991* | 3.54944*** | 1.34994*** | 1.996 |
|       |    | II 72.75063*** | 14.51739*** | 1.66624*** | 1.724 |
|       |    | III 65.02911*** | 23.21507*** | 1.74009*** | 1.398 |
| Korf   | RU | IV 250.92869 | 5.92828* | 1.38459*** | 1.531 |
|       |    | V 56.4992* | 21.1556 | 1.6771*** | 1.342 |
Taking into account the above mentioned facts, it can be noted that the prediction of the CHR model parameters proved to be the most reliable, given the positive and relatively high value of the coefficient of determination. In addition, the CHR models for both ŽA and RU have parameters ($b_0, b_1, c_0,$ and $c_1$) of the same sign, indicating the same shape of the model curves. This is also the case with the Korf function, but not with the second-order polynomials that fitted the parameters of the Korsun function ($b_0, b_1, b_2, c_0, c_1,$ and $c_2$).

Nevertheless, the site index curves obtained by the CHR function are best fitted into the data obtained by the stem analysis procedure (table 4). The empirical data of the CAIh culmination ages per site class and regions indicated that the beech in the area of RU, on average, reached the culmination of the CAIh earlier than in the area of ŽA. For example, the culmination age of the CAIh of beech trees in the RU area in the first site class (22 year) took place 5 years earlier than on the territory of ŽA (27 year).

Table 5 show results of PRESS and RSS statistics for each SC in both research regions. It can be noticed that PRESS values are in all cases higher than RSS values. Differences between the mentioned statistics (PRESS vs. RSS) are higher in the region of RU in comparison to region of ŽA, especially for the first two SCs (I, II). In generally, no model satisfies the statistics completely. However, if we observe the first two lowest values of the PRESS (gray cells in the table) for each site class (SC), we can notice that considering all site classes in both regions, the CHR model has the first two lowest values in 8 cases, and the Korsun and Korf models in 6 cases each. So, it can be concluded that, on average, the CHR model proved to be satisfactory despite the fact that in some cases it slightly underestimates or overestimates the data, especially in the first two SCs in the RU region.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

The analyzed Korf, Korsun and CHR growth functions to be used for the purpose of site index curve construction are often found to be reliable and superior to many other functions used to model height–age relationships. Generally, the CHR function has been regarded as probably the most comp-

| Models | Modeli | Empirical data | Empirisjki podaci |
|--------|--------|----------------|-------------------|
| SI Indeks staništa | Korf | Korsun | CHR Richards | M | Min.-Max | S | SD |
| ŽA | | | | 24-31 | 0.86 | 2.7 |
| | 28-36 | 0.85 | 2.8 |
| | 33-42 | 0.87 | 3.0 |
| | 37-46 | 1.02 | 3.2 |
| | 41-57 | 1.65 | 5.2 |
| RU | 22-26 | 0.51 | 1.7 |
| | 24-33 | 0.82 | 2.7 |
| | 29-37 | 0.69 | 2.4 |
| | 35-44 | 0.83 | 2.8 |
| | 40-53 | 1.20 | 3.8 |
monly used three-parameter function in forest growth studies (Pretzsch 2001). Given its flexibility and suitability for describing various height growth patterns, many researches (Mamo and Sterba 2006, Batho and García 2014, Pyo 2017 etc.) have tested and employed only this growth function to develop site index curves. The results obtained in our study confirmed that the CHR model performed best, both concerning the significance and prediction accuracy of the model parameters and the biological justification of the models.

Regarding the accuracy of the model parameters of the mean height growth curve (guide curve) for each site class, it is first evident that although the values of RSE for the CHR model are slightly higher than for the others, all the parameters of the CHR model are more significant compared to the Korsun and the Korf models. Nonetheless, the Korf model produces unrealistic estimates of the parameters. Namely, the estimated value for the asymptote parameter of the Korf model (137 m – ŽA and 236 m – RU) for the first site class was extremely large. Conversely, a more realistic prediction of the asymptote parameters (≈44 m) was detected for the model of CHR, taking into account that the maximum beech tree heights recorded in the studied regions were about 40 m. To be more precise, by applying the Korf (for both regions) and the Korsun (for RU) models, the calculated tree height values at the age of 150 were overestimated, indicating values over 40 m at site class I, which do not correspond to the values in reality. On the other hand, the CHR model, in both research sites, shows a height below 40 m at the age of 150 at the same site class (Figure 2).

Another criterion for the selection of the appropriate model to be used in our study was the residual statistics of the tested height growth curves (guide curves) for each site class in relation to their homogeneity. According to the obtained arithmetic mean of residuals (close to zero), the standard deviation values (within a value limit between -2 m and 2 m corresponding to the width of site class of 4 m) and the variation range of standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis coefficients (the smallest for the CHR model) for all five site classes, it can be concluded that the distribution of residuals for the CHR model showed the smallest deviations from normality, while the remaining two models expressed a degree of heteroscedasticity in some site classes.

The established site index curves point to some more and less important differences in beech height growth between regions (Figure 3). These disparities are primarily reflected in the attained tree height values at a certain age and in the
ages of the CAIₙ culmination. The differences are even greater in younger stands (more intensive height growth of RU beech compared to this from ŽA), while they decrease gradually with increasing age and the heights of dominant trees from the RU and Ža become almost equalized in the later stages of growth.

Another desirable criterion for the site index model evaluation could be its “behaviour” in terms of the similarity between the results obtained by the model application and the empirical results, in this case with the empirical data of culmination ages and values of maximal CAIₙ per site class. The obtained results suggest that the site index curves obtained by the CHR function were best fitted into the data obtained by the stem analysis procedure. The models of Korf and Korsun produced relatively accurate results for the best two site classes, but generated unrealistic estimates for the site classes of the lower production capacitates. Therefore, it can be concluded that the CHR model also proved to be the best according to this biological criterion. Bear in mind all of the above-mentioned, although verification statistics showed that none of the models was completely satisfactory, the CHR function was finally selected for the construction of polymorphic site index curves.

The mentioned biological criterion is very important because site index models may serve as a useful indicator in the quantification of the beginning and the cycle/frequency of thinning. Taking into account that cleaning felling of young stands is not generally performed, the silviculture of beech on sites of high productivity in Serbia traditionally promotes the execution of the first thinning as early as possible, usually in the third decade (Stojanović and Krstić 2005), i.e. at the time of the CAIₙ culmination (Stajić 2010). The execution of the first thinning in the phase of high increment can be considered a favourable framework for achieving the biological and production optimum in beech stands (Matić et al. 2003, Bobinac 2004) and could be commonly considered more important than frequent intervention (Assman 1970). Additionally, on sites with higher SI values (sites with more vigorous growth) thinning cycle/frequency will be more intensive than on sites with lower SI values, i.e. sites less vigorous growth (Pretzsch 2009). Therefore, the height growth of dominant trees contains two valuable components, the knowledge of which is of great importance for the planning of silvicultural activities: (1) growth of species depending on age and (2) the relationship of tree species to site conditions.

Regarding the values of the CAIₙ at the culmination age no significant differences were identified among the models (Figure 4). According to this model, the CAIₙ has approximately the same value for each site index in both areas. Namely, the value of the CAIₙ at the culmination age ranges from 0.42 m (SI₁₆) to 0.20 m (SI₃₂) for RU and from 0.42 m (SI₁₆) to 0.22 m (SI₃₂) for ŽA.

The modelled data of the CAIₙ culmination age per site class indicated that beech in the area of RU and ŽA (Table 4), for a given site class, reached the CAIₙ culmination age later than in Austria, where revealed at the age of 12, 13, 15, 17, and 21 (Kinderman 2004). To compare site index curves of the RU and ŽA with site index curves (site class II, III and IV) from Croatia (Špiranec 1975), based on the Loray's
mean height \( h_L \), some corrections had to be made. For this purpose, we transformed the Špiranec’s Loray’s mean height data to the dominant height (\( H \)) according to the correction factor \( H/h_L \) derived from the yield tables from Austria (Eckmüllner 2011).

The culmination age of the CAIh from Croatia yield tables is close to the age of 20 years for all site classes, which is earlier than the CAIh culmination age for the RU and ŽA. Compared to Serbia, the results highlight a tendency of faster (under the age of 100) and slower (beyond this age) beech height growth in Croatia (Figure 4). The research results of Lukić et al. (2003) also confirmed that the height growth of beech in undisturbed stand conditions in Croatia can be relatively fast, with a large initial increment. Based on the analyzed 30 beech trees, these authors determined a much earlier culmination of the CAIh (at the age of 17, in average).

The culmination ages of the CAIh, determined here are in accordance with the time frames defined in the beech growth research in Slovenia (Kadunc 2003) and Serbia (Stajić 2010). Namely, the mentioned authors defined that the CAIh of beech trees culminated in the range of 24 to 39 years (according to a unique model based on all used trees at the age of 29) and at the age of 23 and 27 (at the sites of medium productivity), respectively.

As noted, site index studies have not been intensively performed in Serbia. Ratknić (1998) presented beech site indices for the area of western Serbia. According to these results, the culmination of the current height increment on poor sites occurs earlier compared to more productive sites that can be considered inadequate according to the present-day knowledge. As mentioned earlier, it is well known that the better the site, the earlier the current height increment in even-aged stands culminates and the amount at the age of culmination is greater. By summarizing the available information about the Ratknić’s method of site index construction provided, Stajić et al. (2016) concluded that it remains unclear whether the author has developed anamorphic or polymorphic site index curves.

Finally, the obtained site index curves should be primarily used to evaluate the production potential of beech on medium and low productive sites in our country. Further research of beech site productivity in Serbia needs to be performed for the most productive sites and in the direction of applying some of the base-age invariant modelling approaches, such as the algebraic difference approach – ADA (Bailey and Clutter 1974) and the generalised algebraic difference approach – GADA (Cieszewski and Bailey 2000).
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SAŽETAK

Moderno uzgajanje šuma, predviđanje rasta i prirasta, uređivanje šuma i donošenje odluka na različitim rizinama, zahtijevaju pouzdanе pokazatelje proizvodnosti staništa. Najvažniji pokazatelj potencijalne proizvodnosti šumskih staništa je dominanta visina sastojina u referentnoj dobi – stanišni indeks. Uspkos velikom značenju evaluacije proizvodnog potencijala staništa za gospodarenje šumama, istraživanja proizvodnosti staništa u vidu stanišnih indeksa nisu intenzivno provođena u Srbiji, ali i u cijeloj regiji zemalja s prostora bivše države Jugoslavije (s izuzetkom Slovenije). Stoga je cilj istraživanja bio (1) modeliranje odnosa visine i starosti dominantnih stabala bukve i (2) konstrukcija polimorfnih krivulja stanišnih indeksa za bukvu u središnjoj i istočnoj Srbiji te (3) usporedba dobivenih rezultata iz Srbije s rezultatima o visinskom rastu i prirastu bukve iz zemalja u neposrednoj blizini.
Istraživanje je provedeno u bukovim jednodobnim sastojinama u području Žagubice – ŽA, u istočnoj Srbiji (oko 15.000 ha ukupne površine pod šumom) i planine Rudnik – RU, u središnjoj Srbiji (oko 7.000 ha ukupne površine pod šumom). Uzorak od 53 (ŽA) i 53 (RU) dominantnih stabala bukve korišten je za dobivanje odgovarajućih podataka o visini stabala u različitim dobima. Stabla su odabrana tako da pokrivaju širok raspon raspona dobi i uvjeta staništa unutar područja istraživanih kompleksa bukovih šuma. Za utvrđivanje polimorfnih krivulja staništa testirane su funkcije Korf-a, Korsuna i Chap- man-Richardsa (CHR), čiji su parametri utvrđeni metodom predviđanja vrijednosti parametara.

Rezultati dobiveni u našoj studiji ukazali su da se CHR model pokazao najboljim i kada su u pitanju značajnost i preciznost predviđanja parametara modela, ali i biološke značajke modela koje se odnose na uklapanje modela u mjerene podatke o visinskom rastu i prirastu bukve. Također, raspodjela reziduala kod CHR modela pokazala je najmanja odstupanja od normalne, dok su ostala dva modela imala određeni stupanj heteroscedastičnosti.

Drugi poželjan kriterij za ocjenu modela indeksa staništa može biti njegovo „ponašanje“ u pogledu sličnosti rezultata dobivenih primjenom modela i empirijskih rezultata, u ovome slučaju s empirijskim podacima o dobi kulminacije i maksimalnih vrijednosti tečajnog visinskog prirasta (CAIh) po klasi staništa. Dobiveni rezultati sugeriraju da su se krivulje indeksa staništa generirane funkcijom CHR najbolje uklopile u podatke dobivene postupkom analize stabla. Modeli Korf i Korsun dali su relativno točne rezultate za najbolje dvije klase staništa (bonitete), ali generirali su nerealne procjene za slabije produktivna staništa. Stoga se može zaključiti da se CHR model pokazao najboljim i prema ovom biološkom kriteriju. Modelirani podaci o dobi kulminacije CAIh pokazali su da bukva na području RU i ŽA dostiže dob kulminacije godišnjeg tečajnog visinskog prirasta (CAIh) kasnije nego u Austriji i Hrvatskoj, dok je slična dobi utvrđena u Slovini i Srbiji (sa drugog područja).

Dobivene krivulje indeksa staništa ponajprije se trebaju koristiti za procjenu proizvodnog potencijala bukve na srednjim i nisko produktivnim staništima u Srbiji. Daljnje istraživanje u Srbiji treba provesti na najproizvodnijim staništima i u smjeru primjene nekih "od bazične dobi nezavisnih" pristupa, kao što su algebrelni diferencijalni pristup - ADA i generalizirani algebrelni diferencijalni pristup – GADA. Determinirane polimorfnije krivulje stanišnih indeksa bukve su vrlo korisne u određivanju odgovarajućih uzgojnih tretmama, općoj klasifikaciji staništa s obzirom na njihovu kvalitetu i za primjenu načela potrajanog gospodarenja šumama.