Adhesion between an extruded coil and the oculomotor nerve: Unexpected interference due to coil extrusion
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INTRODUCTION

There have been numerous reports that additional coil embolization is safe and efficient treatment for recurrent aneurysms after coil embolization, and according to most of these reports, endovascular treatment should be the first-line method for retreatment.[2] However, endovascular treatment is not appropriate for all recurrent cerebral aneurysms and direct clipping is an alternative option.[3-5] It is known that when direct clipping for a recurrent cerebral aneurysm is performed as dissection surrounding the aneurysm, not only does a thick arachnoid scar make it difficult to reach the appropriate site, but coil extrusions from inside the aneurysm into the subarachnoid space and brain parenchyma are also often found to adhere to the surrounding tissue.[5]

However, as we can ascertain, there have only been few reports on extruded coils adhering to the cranial nerves,[1] preventing aneurysm dissection and clip application.

The present report describes a case of a coil extruded from the aneurysm into the subarachnoid space, which subsequently strongly adhered to or encircled the oculomotor nerve, and thus prevented aneurysm dissection.
CASE DESCRIPTION

A 36-year-old male patient with a history of cerebral contusion and hypertension was brought to an emergency room after waking up with a sudden severe headache. On arrival, the patient was lucid and had no neurological deficit. Head computed tomography showed a subarachnoid hemorrhage. Cerebral angiography (CAG) showed a 9 mm diameter cerebral aneurysm originating from the bifurcation of the left basilar artery and superior cerebellar artery. Coil embolization was performed on the same day. After embolization, although CAG showed a neck remnant in the aneurysm [Figure 1a], the patient was discharged, with a score of 0 on the modified Rankin scale. Subsequently, imaging was performed regularly at follow-ups on an outpatient clinic, and regrowth of the neck remnant was suspected; therefore, CAG was performed again 5 years after coil embolization. The remnant expansion was confirmed in connection with coil compaction [Figure 1b]. As an additional procedure, direct clipping was performed 6 years after embolization, at the age of 42 years. The left subtemporal approach was used to dissect the strong adhesion and approach the cerebral aneurysm. Observation of the aneurysm showed that contrary to the preoperative expectation, part of the coil strongly adhered to or encircled the oculomotor nerve, and it was considered that, even if the oculomotor nerve and aneurysm dome were to be dissected, it would be difficult to achieve sufficient mobility of the cerebral aneurysm due to the presence of the coil [Figure 1c]. Therefore, the clip had to be applied despite insufficient visualization of the origin of the left posterior cerebral artery (PCA). Postoperatively, CAG was performed while anesthesia was maintained, and it was found that the left PCA could not be visualized; thus, the patient was returned to the operating room for the clip to be applied correctly [Figures 1d and e]. After surgery, the patient developed mild left oculomotor nerve palsy, although there were no ischemic complications associated with the operation.

DISCUSSION

This case showed that a coil extruded from inside the aneurysm into the subarachnoid space after coil embolization of a cerebral aneurysm has the potential to adhere strongly to, or encircle around, the oculomotor nerve, preventing aneurysm dissection and restricting mobility, and thus markedly interfere with the clipping procedure. Four principal mechanisms have been put forward for coil extrusion:

![Figure 1](image-url): (a) Cerebral angiography soon after coil embolization (anteroposterior view). A neck remnant is present, although the rupture point is occluded by the coil. (b) Cerebral angiography before direct clipping (anteroposterior view). Expansion of the neck remnant in connection with coil compaction is confirmed. In particular, the part of the coil that formed the loop (black arrow) has undergone major morphological change. (c) Intraoperative photograph of direct clipping. The coil extruded from the aneurysm (white arrow) encircles or strongly adheres to the oculomotor nerve. (d) Intraoperative photograph of the pervious clip readjustment. The previous clip occluding the posterior cerebral artery was moved. Moreover, a mini-clip was added to the suspected remnant portion. (e) Cerebral angiography after the clip readjustment (anteroposterior view). The posterior cerebral artery (paired arrows), which has disappeared before the clip readjustment, is visualized. *Oculomotor nerve, †Posterior cerebral artery, ‡Superior cerebellar artery, §Aneurysm.
(i) aneurysm perforation with iatrogenic extrusion of the coil; (ii) initial coiling of a pseudoaneurysm; (iii) forcible coil compaction leading to extrusion; and (iv) degradation of the distal aneurysm wall.[5] The precise mechanism of coil extrusion with the present patient is unknown; however, the shape of the implanted coil was markedly deformed in comparison with immediately after coil embolization, that is, suggesting a major role for mechanism (iii).

To the best of our knowledge, there is only one previous report on an extruded coil adhering to the cranial nerve strongly sufficient to prevent direct clipping.[1] In the study, extruded coil from the posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA) aneurysm, which has been previously coiled twice, was described to be adherent to the glossopharyngeal nerve before dissection. The extruded coil was separated after dissection free from the nerve, and the PICA aneurysm neck was clipped.

Considering the past literature, including our case, coil extrusion may not be an unusual phenomenon in postcoiling recurrent cases. Moreover, adhesions with the cranial nerves also may not be an unusual phenomenon. The aneurysm occurring near cranial nerves, originating from the basilar and superior cerebral arteries bifurcation or from the vertebral artery and PICA bifurcation, may less likely to be retreated by direct clipping; therefore, this condition may be the reason for the limited number of reports.

More importantly, it is impossible to determine the presence or absence of adhesion to the oculomotor nerve by imaging before direct clipping. Neurosurgeons should recognize the potential for strong adhesion between the cranial nerve and extruded coil when performing direct clipping after coil embolization.

**CONCLUSION**

When performing direct clipping after coil embolization of an intracranial aneurysm near the cranial nerve, consideration should be given to the potential for part of the coil to be extruded from the aneurysm and to interfere markedly with the cranial nerve.
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