Remarkably Durable High Temperature Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cell Based on Poly(vinylphosphonic acid)-doped Polybenzimidazole
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Low durability of polymer electrolyte fuel cell (PEFC) is a major drawback that should be solved. Recent studies have revealed that leaching of liquid phosphoric acid (PA) from both polymer electrolyte membrane and catalyst layers causes inhomogeneous PA distribution that results in deterioration of PEFC performance during long-term operation. Here we describe the finding that a novel PEFC free from acid leaching shows remarkable high durability (single cell test: >400,000 cycling) together with a high power density at 120°C under a non-humidified condition. This is achieved by using a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) with Pt on poly(vinylphosphonic acid)-doped polybenzimidazole wrapped on carbon nanotube and poly(vinylphosphonic acid)-doped polybenzimidazole for the electrocatalyst and electrolyte membrane, respectively. Such a high performance PEFC opens the door for the next-generation PEFC for “real world” use.

The polymer electrolyte fuel cell (PEFC) is one of the most promising power sources for cars and houses due to its high-energy conversion efficiency1,2. Recently, increasing attention has been received for higher temperature PEFC systems due to their several advantages3; namely, i) higher power efficiency can be realized, ii) the cooling device and water management system become unnecessary4, iii) reduction of CO poisoning of the platinum (Pt) enables an elimination of a precise fuel gas purification5,6 and iv) the high reactivity allows the use of a non-precious metal catalyst7,8. All of these factors also contribute to the high cost reduction.

In the current PEFC systems, water-assisted proton conduction in the conventional polyelectrolytes limit the operation temperature below 100°C. The limitation of operating temperature originated from the poor proton conductivity of the conventional polyelectrolytes such as Nafion at temperatures above 100°C9, thus the development of a new polyelectrolyte with a high proton conductivity at high temperature has been strongly desired. To overcome this temperature limitation problem, the use of polymer membrane doped with a non-volatile liquid acid as a polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) has been proposed10, in which the mobile acid such as phosphoric acid (PA) is responsible for the proton conduction through the vehicle mechanism. Especially, phosphoric acid-doped polybenzimidazoles (denoted PA-doped PBIs) have been considered as the most promising substitutive polyelectrolytes11,12 and the PEFC employing PA-doped PBIs not only in PEM but also in the catalyst layers (Cat-L) have been developed for high temperature operation13,14,15. However, recent studies have revealed that leaching of liquid PA from PEM16 and Cat-L17 causes inhomogeneous PA distribution that results in deterioration of PEFC performance during long-term operation.

In this study, in order to prevent acid leaching from the high temperature PEFC system, we used poly(vinylphosphonic acid) (PVPA) in place of PA because PVPA is a polymeric acid and is stably bound to the PBIs via multipoint acid-base reactions18. We then carried out single cell durability test for a membrane-electrode assembly (MEA) fabricated from our materials. The PVPA is known to form a hydrogen-bonding network with the neighboring phosphonic acid groups19,20; consequently, it forms an effective proton pathway after blending with the PBIs, and the proton conductivity of PVPA-doped PBIs films has been reported to be ~10^-3 S cm^-1 at 50%
doping by leached PA from the PEM15. This time, the obtained MEA using PA-doped PBI film as PEM and showed high activity immobilized onto the PyPBI layer (Fig. 1). Previously, the obtained interaction, then Pt particles with a diameter of 3.15 nm multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) through a strong physical mechanism. The doping stability of PVPA in addition to the PEFC activity and durability were studied. This is the first report to employ PVPA-doped PBI not only for PEM but also for the electrocatalyst in Cat-L to fabricate the MEA and to operate the MEA under non-humidified condition at above 100°C.

Results

The electrocatalyst without the PVPA doping was prepared according to our previous report23,24. Briefly, poly[2,2-(2,6-pyridine)-5,5′-bibenzimidazole] (PyPBI) co-covalently wrapped the surfaces of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) through a strong physical interaction, then Pt particles with a diameter of 3.15 ± 0.62 nm were immobilized onto the PyPBI layer (Fig. 1). Previously, the obtained electrocatalyst composite (MWNT/PyPBI/Pt) was employed in the MEA using PA-doped PEM as Pt catalyst and showed high activity even without the doping in MWNT/PyPBI/Pt probably due to the doping by leached PA from the PEM25. This time, the obtained composite (MWNT/PyPBI/Pt) was doped with PVPA through a simple mixing of the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt with PVPA in an aqueous solution, and the PVPA doped composite (MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt) was obtained after removing the unbound PVPA by vigorous water rinsing (Fig. 2a). Fig. 2b shows photographs of the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt (left) and MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt (right) dispersed in water after a 5-min sonicating. The MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt was found then to be well-dispersed in the solvent.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) diagram of the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt was measured and the result is shown in Fig. 2c (red lines). The XPS result of the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt is also shown for comparison (black lines in Fig. 2c). The N1s peak at 400 eV25 and Pt4f doublet peaks at 71.1 and 74.4 eV attributable to Pt4f7/2 and Pt0 4f5/2, respectively26, were observed for both the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt and MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt. The N1s peak at 393 eV was attributed to Pt4f7/2 and Pt0 4f5/2, respectively26, were observed for both the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt and MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt, while the P2p peak at 132 eV was detected only for the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt.

Based on a thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), a weight-reduction of ca. 4 wt% in the range of 200 to 300 °C attributed to the dehydration of the phosphonic acid group of PVPA (loss of water molecules from the adjacent phosphonic acids) as well as hydrated water from PVPA/PBI membrane (Fig. 2d) is observed27. In addition, due to the PVPA doping, the apparent weight ratio of the Pt determined from the weight residue at 900°C decreased from 47.8 to 43.7 wt%. Considering the total amount of the Pt in the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt remains even after the PVPA doping, the 4.1-wt% decrease corresponds to the 8.6-wt% addition of the PVPA in the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt (Fig. 2e). From the TGA of the PVPA, it was roughly estimated that one water molecule is hydrated per one PVPA unit (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S1), and thus, an 8.6-wt% addition of PVPA is calculated to be 6.1-wt% dehydrated PVPA in the composite. Since the weight ratio of the PyPBI in the MWNT/PyPBI is 8 wt%25, the weight ratio of the PyPBI in the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt was calculated to be 3.8 wt%. Therefore, the total polymer content in the electrocatalyst is 9.9 wt% (6.1 + 3.8 wt%), which is much lower than that (>20 wt%) of the other systems28,29,30.

Morphological insights of the composite after the PVPA doping were obtained from the direct observation by using a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Figs. 2f and 2g show typical SEM images of the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt and MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt, respectively. Bright spots from the Pt nanoparticles observed for the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt were hardly seen in the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt; instead, a homogeneous polymer coating due to the PVPA was clearly recognized. We confirmed the presence of highly dispersed Pt nanoparticles inside the PVPA coating by scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) measurements (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S2). For more details, the SEM and STEM images of the isolated MWNT/PyPBI/Pt and MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt are also provided in the Supplementary Information (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S3).

Cyclic voltammogram (CV) measurements on the PVPA-wrapped and non-wrapped composites were carried out in 0.1 M HClO4 aqueous solutions31,32. The electrochemical surface area (ECSA) of the Pt nanoparticles of the MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt was evaluated to be 40.2 m2/g, which is lower than that 51.6 m2/g of the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt23 (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S4).

As a PEM, the PVPA/PBI film was chosen in place of a PA-doped PBI membrane (which is typically used for PBI-based PEFC) in order to avoid the PA leaching to the Cat-L25. The impedance profile of the PVPA/PBI membrane at 120°C is displayed in Fig. S5a. The proton conductivity was calculated to be 0.0172 S cm−1, which is comparable to that of Nafion at 80°C under humidified conditions33 and that of PA-doped PBI at 120°C under non-humidified conditions34. The temperature dependence of the conductivity at dry H2 conditions is presented in Fig. S5b. The conductivity is highly increased above 80°C. To indicate the mechanism of the proton conduction, Fig. S5b is replotted to the Arrhenius plot (Fig. 3a), from which the activation energy of the proton conduction is calculated to be 53.3 kJ/mol. The mechanical toughness of the PVPA/PBI film was measured (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S6) and the obtained tensile strength was found to be 91.1 MPa. The addition of PVPA to PBI slightly decreased the tensile strength of PBI24.

A fuel cell test was performed using a MEA prepared by assembling the electrocatalyst (MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt), and the PEM (PVPA/PBI film) (denoted PVPA-doped MEA). To examine the effect of the PVPA-doping in the electrocatalyst, two MEAs were fabricated as controls. One is composed of MWNT/PyPBI/Pt and PA-doped PEM as electrocatalyst and PEM, respectively (denoted PA-non-doped MEA), and the other is composed of MWNT/PyPBI/Pt and PVPA/PBI as electrocatalyst and PEM, respectively (denoted PVPA-non-doped MEA) (Table 1).

The PA-non-doped MEA possessed a similar composition to the state-of-the-art MEA in PBI-based PEFC, and it showed a power density of 180 mW/cm2 (see Supplementary Information, Fig. S7).

The polarization and the power density curves of the PVPA-doped MEA and PVPA-non-doped MEA measured at 120°C without external humidification are shown in Fig. 3a. The open circuit voltages (OCV) of both MEAs were ~0.9 V, and the difference in the

Figure 1 | Schematic illustration of the preparation technique of MWNT/PyPBI/Pt. Chemical structure of PyPBI is presented in the dotted frame.
cell voltage became obvious upon increasing the applied current density. We found that the PVPA-doped MEA showed a higher cell voltage at all applied current densities. The maximum power density of the PVPA-doped MEA was 252 mW/cm², while that of the PVPA-non-doped MEA was as low as 20 mW/cm². Notably, the PVPA-doped MEA achieved a power density of around 75% of the maximum power even at 1600 mA/cm². This power value is remarkably high compared to other PBI-based PEFC systems measured at similar conditions.

Figure 2 | Characterizations of PVPA coating for MWNT/PyPBI/Pt. (a), Schematic illustration of the preparation technique of the MWNT/PyPBI-PVPA/Pt. (b), Photographs of aqueous dispersion of the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt (left) and MWNT/PyPBI-PVPA/Pt (right) after sonication for 5 min. (c), XPS narrow scans of N₁s, P₂p, and Pt₄f regions for the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt (black line) and MWNT/PyPBI-PVPA/Pt (red line). (d), TGA curves for the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt (black line) and MWNT/PyPBI-PVPA/Pt (red line). (e), Composition analysis for the MWNT/PyPBI-PyPBI/Pt calculated based on the TGA data. (f) SEM image of the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt. (g) SEM image of the MWNT/PyPBI-PVPA/Pt.

The polarization curves of the PVPA-doped MEA (Fig. 5a) together with the PA-non-doped MEA (Fig. 5b) measured after every 40,000 cycles of the potential sweeps between 1.0 and 1.5 V were selected for display. The corresponded power density curves are presented in the supporting information (Fig. S8). The cell voltage at 200 mA/cm² for the polarization curves shown in Figs. 5a and 5b is plotted as a function of the cycle numbers (Fig. 5c). While the cell voltage of the PA-non-doped MEA (black circles in Fig. 5c) drastically decreased upon repeated cycling, that of the PVPA-doped MEA (red circles in Fig. 5c) exhibited a gradual decrease; namely, the high cell voltage is still remained even after 400,000 cycles. Fig. 5d displayed the power density curves of PVPA-doped MEA at different operating temperatures. As observed, the increase of the operating temperature increased the output power density.
Discussion

The photographs of Fig. 2b indicated a successful coating of the hydrophobic PyPBI layer by the PVPA through an acid-base reaction between the PVPA and PyPBI\(^{18,37}\). In addition, the XPS results of Fig. 2c confirmed the PVPA doping of the MWNT/PyPBI/Pt.

Generally, a higher loading of the polyelectrolyte leads to a higher proton conductivity, while thick coverage of the catalyst surfaces by the polyelectrolyte results in poor access of the fuel gases to the surfaces\(^{38}\). For this reason, a polyelectrolyte weight-reduction without reducing the proton conductivity is a key design strategy for a Cat-L with a high performance. Accordingly, the observed low polyelectrolyte content determined from TGA result (9.9 wt%) in our electrocatalyst has a significant advantage in such a high performance fuel cell catalyst design. The CV measurements showed a decrease in the ECSA after PVPA coating and this decrease might lead to a decrease in the accessible area for hydrogen. However, the amount of the polymer in our catalyst is lower than those of the other reported systems as already described. Therefore, the effect of the mass transfer hindrance is expected to be significantly low.

In fuel cell measurements, the higher performance of the PA-non-doped MEA (180 mW/cm\(^2\)) compared to the PVPA-non-doped MEA (20 mW/cm\(^2\)) can be explained by an in-situ doping of the electrocatalyst (MWNT/PyPBI/Pt) due to mobile PA molecules leached from the PEMs\(^{16,17}\). In other words, the observed very low power density (20 mW/cm\(^2\)) of the PVPA-non-doped MEA clearly shows the absence of acid-leaching in the PVPA-doped PEM system. Indeed, the absence of P\(_{2p}\) peaks in the XPS analysis of the Cat-L delaminated from the PVPA-non-doped MEA (Fig. 4) confirmed the free acid-leaching system.

In PBI, based on an acid-base reaction, only two PA molecules interact (react) with an imidazole unit per repeating unit of PBI; however, the PBI-based PEMs are typically doped with 4 ~ 10 PA molecules\(^{39}\) because the unbound excess PA are responsible for the high proton conductivity\(^{39}\). Thus, PA-doped PEMs always involve the risk of PA leaching from the PEMs\(^{39}\).

By considering the absence of the acid-leaching of PVPA-doped PEM together with the high proton conductivity of the PVPA/PBI membrane, the high power density (252 mW/cm\(^2\)) of the PVPA-doped MEA clearly manifested the presence of proton conductivity in the Cat-L MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt. Judging from the activation energy of the proton conduction for the PVPA/PBI membrane (53.3 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), Fig. 3a), protons move through a hydrogen-bonding network of the PVPA bound to the PBI via the Grotthuss mechanism\(^{40}\). Hence, we assume that the hydrogen-bonding network of the PVPA in the Cat-L formed along the PyPBI-wrapped MWNTs participates in the smooth proton conduction to assure the reaction. Notably, the power density of 252 mW/cm\(^2\) is comparable to those reported for PA-doped PBI MEAs measured under similar conditions\(^{38,41}\). This result suggests the successful replacement of the PA-doping system by a PVPA-doping system without reducing the activity of the MEA.

One may expect a high durability in such a leaching-free PBI-based MEA. Thus, we tested the durability of the PVPA-doped MEA by following the protocol proposed by the Fuel Cell Commercialization Conference of Japan (FCCJ)\(^{42}\) (for details, see Supplementary Information, Fig. S9). As a comparison, the durability of a PA-non-doped MEA (MWNT/PyPBI/Pt electrocatalyst doped with leached PA) was tested. An extremely high durability was achieved by the PVPA doping of PBIs in both the PEM and the Cat-L, which are free from acid leaching (Fig. 5). Such high durability of PVPA-doped MEA shows an extended stability of PEM against degradation, and also shows a continuous connection of the proton path in the Cat-L that usually cause MEA deterioration\(^{16,17}\).

![Figure 3](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports)

**Figure 3** | Performance of MEAs. Polarization (line with open circles) and power density (bold line) curves of the PVPA-doped MEA (red line) and PVPA-non-doped MEA (black line) (a). Arrhenius plot of the proton conductivity of PVPA/PBI membrane (b).

| Table 1 | The compositions of the MEAs in this study. MEA naming is based on the dopant used for PEM and the presence of PVPA-doping in the electrocatalyst |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MEA name | PEM | Cat-L |
| PVPA-doped MEA | PVPA/PBI blend film | MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt |
| PVPA-non-doped MEA | PA-doped PBI film | MWNT/PyPBI/Pt |
| PA-non-doped MEA | PA-doped PBI film | MWNT/PyPBI/Pt |

![Figure 4](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports)

**Figure 4** | Monitoring of the acid leaching using delaminated MEA. XPS spectra of the electrocatalyst collected from the PVPA-non-doped MEA (red line) and PA-non-doped MEA (black line).
Such a highly durable system is attractive for the use at high temperatures because higher temperatures always provide higher power efficiency than the conventional systems, and also is advantageous as a power source. As shown in Fig. 5d, the power density of our MEA increased when increasing the measurement temperature up to 120 °C. The result is in good agreement with the increase of the proton conductivity at higher temperatures via the Grotthuss mechanism. Hence, a higher power density is expected above 120 °C. This study is underway now by using a new apparatus capable of 120–200 °C measurements.

In conclusion, we succeeded in the fabrication of a PBI-based MEA with a high activity and high durability using a polymeric acid dopant, PVPA, in place of a conventional monomeric acid dopant, PA, in which the PVPA-blended PBI (PVPA/PBI) and PVPA-doped MWNT/PyPBI/Pt composite (MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt) were prepared based on our previous report23. The MWNT wrapped with PyPBI (MWNT/PyPBI) and their Pt composite (MWNT/PyPBI/Pt) were prepared based on our previous report44.

Preparation of MWNT/PVPA-PyPBI/Pt electrocatalysts. The MWNT/PyPBI/Pt composite (10 mg) was added to an aqueous solution of EG (10 mL). The mixture was sonicated using a bath-type sonicator (BRANSON 5510) for 5 min, and then stirred for 1 h, to which 1 mL of a PVPA solution (30%) was added. The resultant mixture was stirred for 30 h at room temperature followed by filtration, rinsing with water, and then vacuum drying.

Preparation of PVPA/PBI blend membrane. The PVPA/PBI membrane was prepared in the blend ratio of 1 : 1 (mol/mol) with respect to the monomer repeating unit of PVPA and PBI. This ratio was chosen because it provided an evident structural resonance from the hydrogen-bonded protons that exhibits the lowest activation energy for proton mobility45. In a 50 mL glass bottle, LiBr (100 mg) was dissolved in DMAC (10 mL) to which PBI (200 mg) and PVPA (70.1 mg) were dissolved in DMAC (10 mL) to which PBI (200 mg) and PVPA (70.1 mg) were added, then the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The resultant mixture was cast on a glass plate using a film applicator (Elcometer 3600, 50 mm strip width). The solvent was then gradually evaporated on heating to 120 °C, and maintained at that temperature for 3 h to completely remove the solvent. The film was peeled off the substrate, and then immersed in hot Milli-Q water to remove the LiBr. The membrane thickness was ~30 μm.

Materials characterization. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were measured using an AXIS-ULTRA DLD (Shimadzu). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements were conducted with a TGA-50 (Shimadzu) at the heating rate of 10 °C/min under 20 mL/min flowing air. The SEM and STEM images were taken by SU8000 (Hitachi High-Tech) and SU9000 (Hitachi High-Tech, acceleration voltage of 30 kV) electron microscopes, respectively. A copper grid with a carbon support
Proton conductivity measurements. The Proton conductivity of PVPA/PBI membrane was measured under dry H2 using a four-probe conductivity cell attached to an electrochemical impedance spectrometer (Solartron 1287/1260 potentiostat/frequency response analyzer) equipped with Zplot software.

Electrochemical measurements. Electrochemical measurements were performed using a rotating disk electrode (RRDE-3; Bioanalytical Systems, Inc.) with a conventional three-electrode single cell at room temperature. A glassy carbon electrode (GC) with a geometric surface area of 0.282 cm² was used as the working electrode. A Pt wire and an Ag/AgCl were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The Ag/AgCl reference electrode was calibrated against the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) potential in 0.1 M HClO₄. The potential of the sample electrode was controlled by a potentiostat (Model DY2323; ALS). Typically, the catalyst suspension was prepared as follows. A powder sample of the catalyst (1 mg) was ultrasonically dispersed in a 60% EG aqueous solution (2.0 mL) to form a homogeneous suspension. The suspensions of the MWNT/Pt/PBI (Pt 1.7 μL) and MWNT/PVPA/Pt/PBI/Pt (20.8 μL) were then cast onto GC electrodes, then dried. The cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the electrocatalysts were measured in an N₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ to determine the electrochemical surface areas (ECAS).

Fuel cell testing. The MEA was fabricated as follows. The composite of the MWNT/ PVPA/Pt/PBI was ultrasonically dispersed in an EG/water mixture, and then deposited on a gas diffusion layer (GDL) (SIGRACET gas diffusion media, GDL 25 BC, SGL Carbon Group) by vacuum filtration. The GDL was used as a filter to obtain a gas diffusion electrode (GDE). The PVPA/PBI blend membrane (molar ratio 1:1) was laminated between the two MWNT/PVPA/Pt/PBI/Pt GDEs to fabricate the PVPA/PBI fuel cell. The PVPA/PBI blend membrane was then hot-pressed at 120 °C and 5 MPa for 30 s. In a similar way, a control MEA (PVPA-nondoped MEA), in which the MWNT/Pt/PBI/Pt was used as an electrocatalyst was fabricated. The performance of the assembled MEAs was measured at 120 °C using a computer-controlled fuel cell test system (Model 980e, Scribner Associate, Inc.). The polarization curves were recorded at atmospheric pressure under flowing dry hydrogen (flow rate: 100 mL/min) and dry air (flow rate: 200 mL/min) at the anode and cathode, respectively.

Durability test. The assembled MEAs were subject to accelerating durability tests following the protocol provided by the Fuel Cell Commercialization of Japan (FCCJ). Typically, the potential sweeps were cycled between 1.0 and 1.5 V at 120 °C under non-humidified conditions in order to accelerate the oxidation process. The scanning rate was 0.5 V/s. H₂ and N₂ were fed to the anode and the cathode, respectively. The Ag/AgCl reference electrode was calibrated against the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) potential in 0.1 M HClO₄. The potential of the sample electrode was controlled by a potentiostat (Model DY2323; ALS). Typically, the catalyst suspension was prepared as follows. A powder sample of the catalyst (1 mg) was ultrasonically dispersed in a 60% EG aqueous solution (2.0 mL) to form a homogeneous suspension. The suspensions of the MWNT/Pt/PBI (Pt 1.7 μL) and MWNT/PVPA/Pt/PBI/Pt (20.8 μL) were then cast onto GC electrodes, then dried. The cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the electrocatalysts were measured in an N₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ to determine the electrochemical surface areas (ECAS).
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