Orthogonal polynomials induced by discrete-time quantum walks in one dimension
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Abstract. In this paper we obtain some properties of orthogonal polynomials given by a weight function which is a limit density of a rescaled discrete-time quantum walk on the line.

1 Introduction

A quantum walk is the quantum analog of a classical random walk. Quantum walks are expected to play an important role in the field of quantum algorithms. A number of benefits for such walks are already known. Reviews and books on quantum walks are Kempe [6], Kendon [7], Konno [10, 11], Venegas-Andraca [15], for examples. There are two types of quantum walks. One is the discrete-time walk and the other is the continuous-time one. Here we focus on the case of a discrete-time walk on \( \mathbb{Z} \), where \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the set of integers. Ambainis et al. [3] investigated the quantum walk intensively. In the present paper, we consider some properties of orthogonal polynomials given by a weight function which is a limit density of a rescaled discrete-time quantum walk on \( \mathbb{Z} \). Recently Cantero et al. [4] showed that the theory of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials associated with a certain kind of unitary matrices, i.e., the CMV matrices, is a natural tool to study the discrete-time quantum walk on the line. It would be interesting to know a relation between their approach and our results.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we define the quantum walk and explain the weak limit theorem. Section 3 treats a symmetric quantum walk. In Sect. 4, we give a result for an asymmetric case. Section 5 is devoted to a general case.
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2 Quantum walk

First we give a definition of one-dimensional discrete-time quantum walk. The time evolution of the quantum walk is defined by the following matrix:

\[ U = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in U(2), \]

where \( a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( U(2) \) is the set of \( 2 \times 2 \) unitary matrices. Here \( \mathbb{C} \) is the set of complex numbers. The unitarity of \( U \) gives

\[ |a|^2 + |b|^2 = |c|^2 + |d|^2 = 1, \quad \bar{a}b + \bar{c}d = 0, \quad c = -\triangle \bar{b}, \quad d = \triangle \bar{a}, \]

where \( \bar{z} \) is the complex conjugate of \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \triangle = ad - bc \). The quantum walk is a quantum version of the classical random walk with additional degree of freedom called chirality. The chirality takes values left and right, and it means the direction of the motion of the particle. At each time step, if the particle has the left chirality, it moves one step to the left, and if it has the right chirality, it moves one step to the right. Let define

\[ |L\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |R\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \]

so \( U \) acts on two chiralities as follows:

\[ U|L\rangle = a|L\rangle + c|R\rangle, \quad U|R\rangle = b|L\rangle + d|R\rangle, \]

where \( L \) and \( R \) refer to the right and left chirality state respectively. Here the set of initial qubit states is defined by

\[ \Phi = \{ \varphi = ^T[\alpha, \beta] \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \}, \]

where \( T \) is the transposed operator. Let \( X_n(=X_n^\varphi) \) be the quantum walk at time \( n \) starting from the origin with the initial state \( \varphi \in \Phi \). To explain \( X_n \) more precisely, we introduce \( P \) and \( Q \) given by

\[ P = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \]

with \( U = P + Q \). The important point is that \( P \) (resp. \( Q \)) represents that the particle moves to the left (resp. right). Let \( \Xi_n(l, m) \) denote the sum of all paths in the trajectory consisting of \( l \) steps left and \( m \) steps right. In fact, for time \( n = l + m \) and position \( x = -l + m \), we have

\[ \Xi_n(l, m) = \sum_{l_j, m_j} P^{l_1} Q^{m_1} P^{l_2} Q^{m_2} \ldots P^{l_n} Q^{m_n}, \]

summed over all non-negative integers \( l_j \) and \( m_j \) satisfying \( l_1 + \ldots + l_n = l \) and \( m_1 + \ldots + m_n = m \) with \( l_j + m_j = 1 \). The definition gives

\[ \Xi_{n+1}(l, m) = P \Xi_n(l - 1, m) + Q \Xi_n(l, m - 1). \]
The probability that \( X_n = x \) is defined by

\[
P(X_n = x) = ||\Xi_n(l, m)\varphi||^2.
\]

We should remark that there is a strong structural similarity between quantum walks and correlated random walks, see Konno \[12\]. A typical example of the quantum walk is the Hadamard walk defined by the Hadamard gate \( U = H \):

\[
H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

The walk has been extensively investigated in the study of the quantum walk.

Quantum walks behave quite differently from classical random walks. For example, in the classical case, the probability distribution is a binomial one. On the other hand, the probability distribution of the quantum walk has a complicated and oscillatory form. For the classical case, the well-known central limit theorem holds. For the quantum case, a corresponding weak limit theorem was shown by Konno \[8, 9\] in the following way:

**Theorem 2.1** If \( abcd \neq 0 \), then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(u \leq X_n/n \leq v) = \int_{u}^{v} \{1 - c(a, b, \varphi)x\} k(x : |a|) \, dx,
\]

where

\[
k(x : r) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - r^2}}{\pi(1 - x^2)^{1/2}} I(-r, r)(x), \quad c(a, b : \varphi) = |a|^2 - |\beta|^2 + \frac{a\alpha b\beta + a\overline{\alpha} b\overline{\beta}}{|a|^2},
\]

and \( \varphi = T[a, \beta] \). Here \( I_A(x) = 1 (x \in A), = 0 (x \notin A) \). In other words, the limit density of \( X_n/n \) is \( f_\infty(x) \equiv \{1 - c(a, b, \varphi)x\} k(x : |a|) \).

### 3 Symmetric case

In this section we consider the symmetric case of the limit density \( f_\infty(x) \), i.e., \( c(a, b : \varphi) = 0 \). Let \( \mu \) be the probability measure on the real line \( \mathbb{R} \), with the density \( k(x : r) \), where \( 0 < r < 1 \).

**Theorem 3.1** Let \( G_\mu(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(x)}{z-x} \) be the Stieltjes transform of \( \mu \). Then

\[
G_\mu(z) = \frac{z(z^2 - r^2) - \sqrt{1 - r^2}\sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - r^2)}.
\]

Moreover, \( G_\mu \) admits the following expansion as continued fraction:

\[
G_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{z - \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}}{z - \frac{1 + r^2}{z - \frac{1 - r^2}{z - \frac{1 + r^2}{z - \cdots}}}}},
\]
Before the proof we will derive some consequences.

**Corollary 3.2**  The monic orthogonal polynomials for $\mu$ are given by: $P_0(x) = 1$,

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + \gamma_{n-1}P_{n-1}(x),$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = 1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{\sqrt{1 - r^2}(1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})}{2}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{r^2}{4} \text{ for } n \geq 2,$$

under convention that $P_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $\gamma_{-1} = 0$.

In particular, for the Hadamard walk case ($r = 1/\sqrt{2}$) we have

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{2}, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{4}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{1}{8} \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Then we can compute a few first orthogonal polynomials:

- $P_0(x) = 1$,  
- $P_1(x) = x$,  
- $P_2(x) = x^2 + \frac{-2 + \sqrt{2}}{2}$,  
- $P_3(x) = x^3 + \frac{-3 + \sqrt{2}}{2^2}x$,  
- $P_4(x) = x^4 + \frac{-7 + 2\sqrt{2}}{2^3}x^2 + \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{2^4}$,  
- $P_5(x) = x^5 + \frac{-4 + \sqrt{2}}{2^2}x^3 + \frac{7 - 3\sqrt{2}}{2^5}x$,  
- $P_6(x) = x^6 + \frac{-9 + 2\sqrt{2}}{2^3}x^4 + \frac{21 - 8\sqrt{2}}{2^6}x^2 + \frac{-2 + \sqrt{2}}{2^7}$.

From Corollary 3.2 we can easily compute the following generating function:

$$Q(x, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x)z^n.$$

**Corollary 3.3**

$$(4 - 4xz + r^2z^2)Q(x, z) = 4 + \left(r^2 - 4 + 4\sqrt{1 - r^2}\right)z^2 + \left(2 - 2\sqrt{1 - r^2} - r^2\right)xz^3.$$

In particular, for the Hadamard walk:

$$\left(4 - 4xz + \frac{1}{2}z^2\right)Q(x, z) = 4 + \left(-\frac{7}{2} + 2\sqrt{2}\right)z^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)xz^3.$$

The following fact is useful for computing $G_\mu(z)$. 

4
Lemma 3.4 Define

\[ A(z) = \frac{1}{z - \frac{r^2/4}{z - \frac{r^2/4}{\ddots}}}. \]

Then we have

\[ A(z) = \frac{2z}{r^2} - \frac{2\sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}{r^2}. \]

Proof. The definition of \( A(z) \) yields

\[ A(z) = \frac{1}{z - r^2A(z)/4}, \]

so we have

\[ r^2A(z)^2 - 4zA(z) + 4 = 0. \]

Therefore

\[ A(z) = \frac{2z}{r^2} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}{r^2}, \]

where the sign is chosen in such a way that \( A \) maps the upper half plane into the lower half plane, see [2, 5].

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Denoting the continued fraction by \( G(z) \) we have

\[
G(z) = \frac{1}{z - \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}}{z - \frac{\left(\sqrt{1 - r^2} - 1 + r^2\right)/2}{z - \frac{A(z)r^2/4}{\ddots}}}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{z - \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}}{z - \frac{\sqrt{1 - r^2} - 1 + r^2}{z + \sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{z - \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}}{z - \left(\sqrt{1 - r^2} - 1 + r^2\right) \left(z - \sqrt{z^2 - r^2}\right)/r^2}}
\]

\[
= \frac{z^2 - r^2 + z\sqrt{1 - r^2}\sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}{z^2 - r^2 + z\sqrt{1 - r^2}\sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}
\]

\[
= \frac{z(z^2 - r^2) - \sqrt{1 - r^2}\sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - r^2)},
\]

5
which is equal to the right hand side of the first formula. Now, using the standard technique (see [2, 5]) one can verify that $G(z)$ is Stieltjes transform for $\mu$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Next we consider the $m$th moment of the measure $\mu$:

$$s_m(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m k(x;r) \, dx = \int_{-r}^{r} \frac{x^m \sqrt{1 - r^2}}{\pi(1 - x^2) \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}} \, dx.$$ 

**Theorem 3.5** For $m \geq 0$ we have $s_{2m+1}(\mu) = 0$ and

$$s_{2m}(\mu) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{2k}{k} \left( \frac{r^2}{4} \right)^k.$$

The moment generating function is equal to

$$M_\mu(z) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s_m(\mu) z^m = \frac{1 - r^2 z^2 - z^2 \sqrt{1 - r^2} \sqrt{1 - r^2 z^2}}{(1 - z^2)(1 - r^2 z^2)}.$$

We note that this result for the Hadamard walk case appeared in Konno et al. [13].

**Proof.** First we note that

$$M_\mu(z) = G_\mu(1/z)/z = \frac{1 - r^2 z^2 - z^2 \sqrt{1 - r^2} \sqrt{1 - r^2 z^2}}{(1 - z^2)(1 - r^2 z^2)}.$$ 

Now denoting the sequence given in theorem by $s_m$, and its generating function by $M(z)$, we have

$$M(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{2k}{k} \left( \frac{r^2}{4} \right)^k \right\} z^{2n} = \frac{1}{1 - z^2} - \sqrt{1 - r^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \binom{2k}{k} \left( \frac{r^2}{4} \right)^k z^{2n} = \frac{1}{1 - z^2} - \sqrt{1 - r^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k}{k} \left( \frac{r^2}{4} \right)^k z^{2k+2} = \frac{1}{1 - z^2} - \sqrt{1 - r^2} \frac{z^2 \sqrt{1 - r^2}}{(1 - z^2) \sqrt{1 - 4z^2}} \frac{1}{1 - z^2}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{z^2 \sqrt{1 - r^2}}{(1 - z^2) \sqrt{1 - 4z^2}} \frac{1}{1 - z^2} = \frac{1 - r^2 z^2 - z^2 \sqrt{1 - r^2} \sqrt{1 - r^2 z^2}}{(1 - z^2)(1 - r^2 z^2)},$$

which is equal to $M_\mu(z)$. We used the well known formula:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k}{k} x^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 4x}}.$$
4 Asymmetric case

In this section, we consider an asymmetric case of the limit density \( f_\infty(x) \). Denote by \( \mu(r, c) \) the probability measure on \( \mathbb{R} \) which has density \((1 + cx)k(x : r)\), where \( r \in (0, 1) \) and \( c \in [-1/r, 1/r] \). We note that \( c = 0 \) leads to the symmetric case.

\textbf{Theorem 4.1} For the moment generating function and the Stieltjes transform of \( \mu(r, c) \) we have

\[
M_{\mu(r,c)}(z) = \frac{(1 - r^2 z^2)(1 + cz) - (z + c)z\sqrt{1 - r^2}\sqrt{1 - r^2 z^2}}{(1 - z^2)(1 - r^2 z^2)},
\]

\[
G_{\mu(r,c)}(z) = \frac{(z^2 - r^2)(z + c) - (1 + cz)\sqrt{1 - r^2}z^2 - r^2}{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - r^2)}.
\]

\textit{Proof.} First we note that for the moments of \( \mu(r, c) \) we have

\[
s_n(\mu(r, c)) = \int_{-r}^{r} x^n \sqrt{1 - r^2}(1 + cx) dx
\]

\[
= \int_{-r}^{r} x^n \sqrt{1 - r^2} \frac{1}{\pi(1 - x^2)} dx + c \int_{-r}^{r} x^n \frac{1}{\pi(1 - x^2)} dx
\]

\[
= s_n(\mu(r, 0)) + c \cdot s_{n+1}(\mu(r, 0)).
\]

Hence we can use Theorem 3.3 to get

\[
M_{\mu(r,c)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n(\mu(r, c)) z^n = M_{\mu(r,0)}(z) + \frac{c}{z} \left( M_{\mu(r,0)}(z) - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(1 - r^2 z^2)(1 + cz) - (z + c)z\sqrt{1 - r^2}\sqrt{1 - r^2 z^2}}{(1 - z^2)(1 - r^2 z^2)}.
\]

From this we obtain the Stieltjes transform \( G_{\mu(r,c)}(z) = M_{\mu(r,c)}(1/z)/z \) of \( \mu(r, c) \).

Denote by \( \beta_n(r, c), \gamma_n(r, c) \) the Jacobi coefficients of \( \mu(r, c) \), so that

\[
G_{\mu(r,c)}(z) = \frac{1}{z - \beta_0(r, c) - \frac{\gamma_0(r, c)}{z - \beta_1(r, c) - \frac{\gamma_1(r, c)}{z - \beta_2(r, c) - \frac{\gamma_2(r, c)}{\ddots}}}}.
\]

Since \( \mu(r, -c) \) is the reflection of \( \mu(r, c) \) we have \( \beta_n(r, -c) = -\beta_n(r, c) \) and \( \gamma_n(r, -c) = \gamma_n(r, c) \), so we can assume that \( c \geq 0 \). Using combinatorial relations between moments and
Jacobi coefficients (see [1, 16]) one can check that

\[ \begin{align*}
\beta_0(r, c) &= c(1 - s), \\
\gamma_0(r, c) &= (1 - s)(1 - c^2 + c^2 s), \\
\beta_1(r, c) &= \frac{-c(1 - s)(2 - 2c^2 - s + 2c^2 s)}{2(1 - c^2 + c^2 s)}, \\
\gamma_1(r, c) &= \frac{s(1 - s)(2 - 2c^2 + c^2 s + c^2 s^2)}{4(1 - c^2 + c^2 s)^2},
\end{align*} \]

where \( s := \sqrt{1 - r^2} \), and these coefficients are getting more and more complicated. It is possible however to find them in particular cases.

**Proposition 4.2**

\[ \begin{align*}
\beta_n(r, 1) &= \begin{cases} 
1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}, & n = 0, \\
-(1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})/2, & n = 1, \\
0, & n \geq 2,
\end{cases} \\
\gamma_n(r, 1) &= \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{1 - r^2}(1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}), & n = 0, \\
r^2/4, & n \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** We have

\[ z - (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}) - \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2} (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})}{z + (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})/2 - \frac{r^2/4}{z - \frac{r^2/4}{z - \frac{r^2/4}{\ddots}}}} = \frac{1}{z - (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}) - \frac{2\sqrt{1 - r^2} (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})}{z + (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}) + \sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}} = \frac{1}{z^2 - r^2 + \frac{\sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}{\sqrt{z^2 - r^2}}} = \frac{2 (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}) \left( (z^2 - r^2) - \sqrt{1 - r^2} \sqrt{z^2 - r^2} \right)}{2 (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}) (z^2 - r^2)(z - 1)} = G_{\mu(r, 1)}(z). \]

In a similar way one can prove
Proposition 4.3

\[ \beta_n(r, 1/r) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})/r}{2r}, & n = 0, \\ -(1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})^2/(2r), & n = 1, \\ 0, & n \geq 2, \end{cases} \]

\[ \gamma_n(r, 1/r) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - r^2}(1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})^2, & n = 0, \\ r^2/4, & n \geq 1. \end{cases} \]

5 General case

We consider a general case with the Jacobi coefficients \( \{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \) which are given by

\[ p_0 = \gamma_0, \quad p_1 = \gamma_1, \ldots, \quad p_{n-1} = \gamma_{n-1}, \quad p = \gamma_n = \gamma_{n+1} = \cdots. \]

The corresponding Stieltjes transform is denoted by \( G^{(n)}(z) \). In this paper, we call the case \( (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}, p) \)-case. As we showed in Sect. 2, the symmetric case induced by the quantum walk is a special case for \( n = 2 \), i.e., \( (1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2}, \sqrt{1 - r^2}(1 - \sqrt{1 - r^2})/2, r^2/2) \)-case. In a similar fashion, we can obtain an explicit form of \( G^{(n)}(z) \) as follows.

Theorem 5.1

\[ G^{(n)}(z) = \frac{\Pi_{n-2}(z)}{\Pi_{n-1}(z)}, \]

where \( \Pi_k(z) = z\Pi_{k-1}(z) - p_{n-(k-1)}\Pi_{k-2}(z) \) with \( \Pi_0(z) = z - p_{n-1}A(z), \Pi_{-1}(z) = 1. \) Here

\[ A(z) = \frac{z - \sqrt{z^2 - 4p}}{2p}. \]

In particular,

\[ G^{(1)}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(2p - p_0)z - p_0\sqrt{z^2 - 4p}}{(p - p_0)z^2 + p_0^2}, \]

\[ G^{(2)}(z) = \frac{(2p - p_1)z + p_1\sqrt{z^2 - 4p}}{(2p - p_1)z^2 - 2p_0p + p_1z\sqrt{z^2 - 4p}}, \]

\[ G^{(3)}(z) = \frac{(2p - p_2)z^3 - 2p_1p + p_2z\sqrt{z^2 - 4p}}{(2p - p_2)z^3 + (p_0p_2 - 2p_0p - 2p_1p)z + p_2(z^2 - p_0)\sqrt{z^2 - 4p}}. \]

Applying the Stieltjes inverse formula, we have the following absolutely continuous part of the corresponding probability measure.

Corollary 5.2

\[ \rho^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{p_0\sqrt{4p - x^2}}{(p - p_0)x^2 + p_0^2} I_{(-2\sqrt{p}, 2\sqrt{p})}(x), \]

\[ \rho^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{p_0p_1\sqrt{4p - x^2}}{(p - p_1)x^4 + \{p_0(p_1 - 2p) + p_1^2\}x^2 + p_0^2p_1} I_{(-2\sqrt{p}, 2\sqrt{p})}(x), \]

\[ \rho^{(3)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{p_0p_1p_2\sqrt{4p - x^2}}{(p - p_2)x^6 + c_1x^4 + c_2x^2 + p_0^2p_1^2} I_{(-2\sqrt{p}, 2\sqrt{p})}(x), \]
where
\[
c_1 = (p_0 + p_1)(p_2 - 2p) + (p_0 + p_2)p_2, \quad c_2 = (p_0 + p_1)^2 p - p_0p_2(p_0 + p_1 + 2p_2).
\]
For \(n = 1\) case, see Obata [14]. In particular, when \(p_0 = 2N\) and \(p = 2N - 1\), \(\rho^{(1)}(x)\) is a constant multiple of the density function of a Kesten distribution.

Finally we consider the following asymmetric case:
\[
p_0 = \gamma_0, \ p_1 = \gamma_1, \ p = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \cdots, \quad q_0 = \beta_0, \ q = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots.
\]
In a similar way, we obtain

**Proposition 5.3**

\[
G^{(2,\text{asym})}(z) = \frac{(2p - p_1)z - q(2p - p_1) + p_1\sqrt{(z - q)^2 - 4p}}{(2p - p_1)(z - q_0)(z - q) - 2p_0p + p_1(z - q_0)\sqrt{(z - q)^2 - 4p}}.
\]
\[
\rho^{(2,\text{asym})}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{p_0p_1\sqrt{4p - (x - q)^2}}{(p - p_1)(x - q_0)^2(x - q)^2 + \{p_0(p_1 - 2p)(x - q) + p^2_0(x - q_0)\}(x - q_0) + p^2_0p}
\times I_{q-2\sqrt{p}, q+2\sqrt{p}}(x).
\]

We note that if \(q_0 = q = 0\), then \(G^{(2,\text{asym})}(z)\) (resp. \(\rho^{(2,\text{asym})}(x)\)) becomes \(G^{(2)}(z)\) (resp. \(\rho^{(2)}(x)\)).

**Acknowledgment.** Wojciech Młotkowski is supported by MNiSW: 1P03A 01330, by ToK: MTKD-CT-2004-013389, by 7010 POLONIUM project: “Non-Commutative Harmonic Analysis with Applications to Operator Spaces, Operator Algebras and Probability”, and by joint PAN-JSPS project: “Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis on Discrete Structures with Applications to Quantum Probability”.

**References**

[1] Accardi, L., Bożejko, M., “Interacting Fock spaces and Gaussianization of probability measures,” Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 1:663–670 (1998).

[2] Akhiezer, N., I., The Classical Moment Problem, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh and London, (1965).

[3] Ambainis, A., Bach, E., Nayak, A., Vishwanath, A., and Watrous, J., “One-dimensional quantum walks,” In: Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 37–49 (2001).

[4] Cantero, M. J., Grünbaum, F. A., Moral, L., and Velázquez, L., “Matrix valued Szegő polynomials and quantum random walks,” arXiv:0901.2244 (2009).

[5] Donoghue, W., F., “Monotone Matrix Functions and Analytic Continuation,” Springer–Verlag, (1974).
[6] Kempe, J., “Quantum random walks - an introductory overview,” Contemporary Physics, 44: 307–327 (2003).

[7] Kendon, V., “Decoherence in quantum walks - a review,” Math. Struct. in Comp. Sci., 17: 1169–1220 (2007).

[8] Konno, N., “Quantum random walks in one dimension,” Quantum Inf. Process., 1: 345–354 (2002).

[9] Konno, N., “A new type of limit theorems for the one-dimensional quantum random walk,” J. Math. Soc. Jpn., 57: 1179–1195 (2005).

[10] Konno, N., Quantum Walks, Sangyo Tosho, Tokyo (2008) (in Japanese).

[11] Konno, N., “Quantum Walks,” in: Quantum Potential Theory, Franz, U., and Schürmann, M., Eds., Lecture Notes in Mathematics: Vol. 1954, pp. 309–452, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (2008).

[12] Konno, N., “Limit theorems and absorption problems for correlated random walks in one dimension,” Stochastic Models, 25: 28–49 (2009).

[13] Konno, N., Namiki, T., and Soshi, T., “Symmetry of distribution for the one-dimensional Hadamard walk,” Interdisciplinary Information Sciences, 10: 11–22 (2004).

[14] Obata, N., “Quantum probabilistic approach to spectral analysis of star graphs,” Interdisciplinary Information Sciences, 10: 41–52 (2004).

[15] Venegas-Andraca, S. E., (2008). Quantum Walks for Computer Scientists, Morgan and Claypool.

[16] Viennot, G., “Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux,” Lecture Notes, UQAM, (1983).