Grade 3 and 4 Toxicity Profiles During Therapy of Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia
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Abstract. Background/Aim: The risk factors, clinical features and non-hematological toxicity profiles during chemotherapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) patients treated in pediatric hematology centres were analysed. Materials and Methods: A total of 902/1872 children were reported as having grade 3 or 4 toxicity. Results: Among the analysed toxicities, infection and gastrointestinal and liver toxicities were the most common. The median follow-up was 6.8 years. Overall survival and event-free survival rates for the analysed group were lower than those reported for the group without grade ≥3 toxicity. In univariate analysis, we identified the number of toxic episodes, the risk group and remission status that had a significant impact on the outcome. Multivariate analysis demonstrated the risk group and the number of toxic episodes ≥3 to be statistically significant for the results. Conclusion: The toxic profiles investigated in our report should be used in future efforts to decrease the burden of side effects during chemotherapy.

Over the last years, there has been an improvement in the overall survival of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), which is now around 80-90%. This is due to contemporary multidrug therapy regimens but, on the other hand, patients are burdened with severe complications of treatment (1, 2). Chemotherapy has a range of side-effects, such as infection, organ damage, hematological and other (malnutrition, hair loss). Most agents causing immunosuppression and myelosuppression increase the risk of bacterial, viral and fungal infections. The infection is still a major cause of death in ALL children (3, 4). Every organ may be adversely affected by anti-leukemic treatment, the most
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common complication being gastrointestinal toxicity, central and/or peripheral neuropathy, hepatotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, cardiac dysfunction, skeletal toxicity (4).

Toxicities may lead to deviations in chemotherapy, such as treatment delays, dose reductions or omissions. Literature data reveal that toxicity-induced therapy interruptions could also compromise treatment outcome. Thus, it is important to reduce toxicities in children with ALL. An analysis and discussion of side effects of therapy are necessary to establish strategies to minimize or abrogate them (3, 5).

In literature, there are limited data on the frequency of these complications in children with ALL and their impact on treatment outcome.

In this study, the risk factors, clinical features and non-hematologic toxicity profiles (grade 3 and 4) during chemotherapy in ALL patients treated in pediatric hematology centres in Poland were reported and analysed.

Materials and Methods

From October 2002 to December 2012 a total of 1872 children (813 females and 1059 males) were diagnosed with ALL and treated in 14 pediatric hematology centres in Poland (Polish Pediatric Leukemia and Lymphoma Study Group Report). Of those, 902 (48%) were reported as having grade 3 or 4 toxicity. This study group included 383 females and 519 males, with a median age of 5.3 years (range=1-18 years).

The patients were treated according to ALL IC-BFM 2002 protocol, which was a randomized trial of the International Berlin-Frankfurt-Munster Study Group (I-BFM-SG) for the therapy of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia, excluding Burkitt’s leukemia. According to this protocol, the patients were classified into risk groups: standard (SRG), intermediate (IRG), and high-risk group (HRG). This depended on risk factors such as age, white blood cell count (WBC), response to steroids on day 8, results of bone marrow evaluation on the 15th or 33rd day of therapy, and presence of chromosomal abnormalities (fusion genes BCR/ABL1, KMT2A/AF4). Patients treated according to this protocol received induction of remission, consolidation, reinduction and maintenance therapy (6). The clinical and laboratory details of patients with ALL are presented in Table I.

The supportive therapy was administered according to the Polish Centers standards, although recommendations on supportive measures for the management and prevention of side effects of the cytostatic drugs were included in the treatment protocol. All patients during chemotherapy received anti-infective prophylaxis including oral co-trimoxazole (25/5mg/kg/day on 3 consecutive days/week) and oral fluconazole (6 mg/kg/day) in the neutropenic phase. Use of recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (rh-G-CSF, 5 mcg/kg/day subcutaneous injection) was mandatory for high - risk patients (after HR-blocks) and also posaconazole (weight <34 kg:18 mg/kg/day; weight >34 kg or age >13 years: 600 mg/day), if the patient was neutropenic. The prophylactic administration of oral acyclovir (80 mg/kg/day) was administered in children who were in contact with individuals sustaining varicella or zoster infection. Antiemetics were also standard. Organ function assessment was checked during chemotherapy (before start of each treatment phase). All patients in neutropenic phase received a low-bacterial diet and were treated with intensified hygiene measures (separate room). Toxicity profile during ALL IC-BFM 2002 was classified according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (NCI CTC) version 2.0 (7), modified by GPOH (the German Society of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology). Definitions of grade 3 and 4 toxicities are presented in Table II.

Statistical analysis was performed with STATISTICA 12.0 software. Non-parametric tests such as Mann–Whitney U and Kruskal–Wallis were applied to perform univariate analysis. Survival curves were estimated according to the Kaplan–Meier method and compared by the log-rank test. Multivariate analysis was performed with Cox Model (Hazard Ratio) and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 3,190 toxic episodes were reported. Complications occurred in the induction phase of therapy in 36.58% of cases, in the consolidation phase in 10.47%, in high-risk

Table I. Clinical and laboratory details of ALL patients – comparison of children with and without toxicities grade 3-4.

| Patients | Toxicity 3-4 | Toxicity <3 | p-Value |
|----------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Gender   |              |             |         |
| Female   | 383 (42.5%)  | 430 (44.3%) | 0.42    |
| Male     | 519 (57.5%)  | 540 (55.7%) |         |
| Median age/years (range) | 5.32 (1-18) | 5.27 (1-18) | 0.98    |
| Infiltration CNS | 53 (5.9%) | 61 (6.3%) | 0.08 |
| Testes     | 9 (1%)       | 11 (1.1%)   | 0.77    |
| Liver      | 578 (64.1%)  | 629 (64.8%) | 0.72    |
| Mediastinum| 73 (8.1%)    | 58 (6%)     | 0.62    |
| Spleen     | 481 (53.3%)  | 456 (47%)   | 0.11    |
| Risk group |             |             |         |
| SRG        | 292 (32.4%)  | 316 (32.6%) | 0.58    |
| IRG        | 430 (47.7%)  | 471 (48.6%) |         |
| HRG        | 180 (20%)    | 183 (18.9%) |         |
| Immunophenotype |         |             | 0.14    |
| Pre-B    |             |             |         |
| Common positive | 502 (55.7%) | 597 (61.5%) |         |
| Common negative | 295 (32.7%) | 283 (29.2%) |         |
| T-ALL     | 103 (11.4%)  | 107 (11%)   |         |
| AHL       | 2 (0.2%)     | 1 (0.1%)    |         |
| Genetic aberration |         |             | 0.12    |
| Karyotype with aberration BCR/ABL1 | 43 (4.8%) | 33 (3.4%) |         |
| Karyotype with rearrangement |         |             |         |
| KMT2A/AF4 (MLL) | 14 (1.6%) | 18 (1.9%) | 0.60 |
| Prednisone response |         |             | 0.06    |
| Good      | 807 (89.5%)  | 839 (86.5%) |         |
| Poor      | 95 (10.5%)   | 131 (13.5%) |         |
| Median % blast (BM) day 33 |         |             | 0.08    |
| M1        | 879 (97.5%)  | 931 (96%)   |         |
| M2        | 16 (1.8%)    | 24 (2.5%)   |         |
| M3        | 7 (0.8%)     | 15 (1.5%)   |         |

SRG: Standard-risk group; IRG: intermediate-risk group; HRG: high-risk group; CNS: central nervous system; BM: bone marrow; M1 – blasts <5%; M2 – blasts ≥5 to <25%; M3 – blasts ≥25%.
A relapse was diagnosed in 120 (13%) patients (SRG – 26 pts, IRG – 60, HRG – 34) and 66 of those children died. A total of 69/1872 patients died due to toxicity, so the non-relapse toxic mortality was 3.7%. The episodes of renal and skeletal toxicities ranged below 1.5%. Cardiac toxicities were observed in 50 patients (2.7% episodes) and neurologic in 69 (2.9%). The patients and 675 (20.4%) cases of gastrointestinal toxicities in 346 children. Cardiac toxicities were evaluated as grade 3 or 4 in 298 patients, which accounted for 12.2% of the incidents. Among the analysed toxicities in children with ALL, the most common included infection, gastrointestinal toxicity and hepatotoxicity. In our study 1,067 infective episodes (32.3%) occurred in 537 children, 934 (28.2%) events of hepatotoxicity were reported in 527 patients and 675 (20.4%) cases of gastrointestinal toxicities in 346 children. Cardiac toxicities were observed in 50 patients (2.7% episodes) and neurologic in 69 (2.9%). The episodes of renal and skeletal toxicities ranged below 1.5%. The number of events in the study group is presented in Figure 1.

In the study group, 135 (15%) patients died (SRG – 14 pts, IRG – 56, HRG – 65). A relapse was diagnosed in 120 (13%) patients (SRG – 26 pts, IRG – 60, HRG – 34) and 66 of those children died. A total of 69/1872 patients died due to toxicity, so the non-relapse toxic mortality was 3.7%. The median follow-up was 6.8 years (range=0.05-13 years). The 5-year overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS) rates of the analysed group were 0.85 and 0.79, respectively, and in the group without toxicity grade ≥3 were 0.87 and 0.8 (p=0.89; p=0.78), respectively. OS and EFS of ALL patients are presented in Figure 2.

No differences were found in terms of clinical features of patients with and without grade 3 and 4 toxicity at the time of diagnosis. Therefore, we decided to include into the univariate and multivariate analyses only factors related to treatment intensity (the number of toxic episodes, the risk group, remission status). The number of toxic episodes ranged from 1 to 24, with a median of 3. In univariate analysis, three factors were identified in patients with ALL who experienced grade 3 or 4 toxicity that had a significant impact on the outcome. They are presented in Table III. In multivariate analysis, two factors (the risk group, the number of toxic episodes) were found to be statistically significantly associated with the results of treatment (Table IV).
Discussion

Therapy-related complications reduce the cure rate of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia with 2% to 3% of deaths as a result of toxicity (6). In our study, the non-relapse toxic mortality was high. This may result from a long period of analysis and changing standards of supportive therapy. Nearly all children experience stomatitis and serious infections, and although various other severe, acute toxicities have a relatively low incidence individually, around 50% of all patients will be affected by at least one of these (4).

Stary et al. (6) reported on a large, intercontinental study of the incidence of common grade 3 and 4 non-hematologic toxicities for all risk groups, from 2002 to 2007. In that study, as in our analysis, there were more cases of infection, liver damage, gastrointestinal toxicity and a poor general condition of patients. These complications appeared predominantly in the HR group.

Lund et al. (8) investigated the pattern of treatment-related deaths (TRDs) and possible risk factors in the NOPHO ALL-92 and ALL-2000 protocols. Infections were the major cause of death comprising 72% of all cases. Other causes of death included bleeding or thrombosis, tumour burden related toxicities and organ toxicity. Female gender, white blood cell count at diagnosis, T-cell disease, Down syndrome, and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in CR1 were identified as independent risk factors for TRD.

Table III. The univariate analysis of factors influencing survival in patients with ALL who experienced grade 3 or 4 toxicities.

| Remission status               | 5-year OS | p-Value | 5-year EFS | p-Value |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|
| Remission day 33, n=879        | 0.86      | <0.0001 | 0.80       | 0.0002  |
| Non-remission day 33, n=23     | 0.52      | 0.48    |            |         |
| Number of toxic episodes       |           |         |            |         |
| 1-2 toxic episodes, n=437      | 0.89      | 0.0001  | 0.83       | 0.0006  |
| ≥3 toxic episodes, n=465       | 0.80      | 0.75    |            |         |
| Risk group                     |           |         |            |         |
| SR, n=292                      | 0.95      | <0.0001 | 0.89       | <0.0001 |
| IR, n=430                      | 0.87      | 0.80    |            |         |
| HR, n=180                      | 0.63      | 0.59    |            |         |

OS: Overall survival; EFS: event-free survival; SR: standard-risk; IR: intermediate-risk; HR: high-risk.
Li et al. (9) retrospectively reviewed fever events during chemotherapy in 252 patients. Fever occurred in 219 patients (86.9%) with a mean of 2.74 episodes per person. The fever events comprised 64% of febrile neutropenia, 39% clinically documented infections, and 44% of microbiologically documented infections. Infections developed primarily during the induction phase, where the risk factors included a younger age and a higher risk posed by the treatment regimen.

In our study group 32.3% of infections were reported in 537 (59.5%) ALL children, which occurred mainly during the induction and reinduction of leukemia therapy. In our research, we found factors, such as remission status, number of toxic events grade 3 or 4, and risk groups, which influenced the results of treatment. A worse outcome may not only result from the risk group status, but may also be the effect of the type and number of toxicities.

Hepatotoxicity is a common treatment-related toxicity (TRT) during contemporary treatment regimens for ALL. Denton et al. (10) identified 262 patients, of whom 71 (27%) developed hepatotoxicity. Approximately 25% of hepatotoxocities (18/71) occurred during induction alone. Canbolat et al. (11) documented 279 acute complications other than febrile neutropenia episodes occurring between 2009-2014. Of those, therapy-related hepatitis was observed in 15.6% of children. Side effects occurred in 32.62% of cases during the induction phase, in 19.35% during the consolidation phase, in 18.28% in HR blocks, and in 29.75% during treatment reinduction. In our study, 934 episodes (20.4%) of hepatotoxicity were reported mainly during the induction and reinduction phases.

Gastrointestinal mucositis disrupts the intestinal immunological barrier and is a risk factor for systemic infections (4). Inaba et al. (12) evaluated infection-related complications that were grade ≥3 in 409 children. Of the 2420 infection episodes, gastrointestinal tract infections were seen in 145 patients. Those episodes were more common during intensified therapy phases, such as remission, induction and reinduction. Our results present 675 (20.4%) episodes of grade 3 and 4 gastrointestinal toxicity in 346 patients with ALL, which occurred during the reinduction phase and HR blocks.

Table IV. The multivariate analysis of factors influencing OS and EFS rates in patients with ALL who experienced grade 3 and 4 toxicities.

| Factor                                      | HRG                          | p-Value | HRG                        | p-Value |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
| ≥3 toxic episodes vs. less than 3           | 1.1 (1.08-1.2)               | 0.02    | 1.06 (1.02-1.1)            | 0.03    |
| HRG vs. SRG or IRG                          | 2.2 (1.7-2.7)                | 0.0001  | 1.8 (1.5-2.3)              | 0.0001  |

OS: Overall survival; EFS: event-free survival; SRG: standard-risk group; IRG: intermediate-risk group; HRG: high-risk group.
Literature data show that central nervous system (CNS) toxicities during chemotherapy are infrequent adverse events and occur in 10-15% of patients with childhood ALL (4). Millan et al. (1) published a retrospective analysis of 1379 ALL cases. Neurotoxicity was diagnosed in 49 patients (3.6%) and occurred during the induction phase in 55% of cases.

Baytan et al. (13) retrospectively analysed the hospital reports of 323 children with de novo acute lymphoblastic leukemia from a 13-year period of acute neurological complications. Twenty-three of 323 children (7.1%) suffered from CNS complications during acute lymphoblastic leukemia treatment. The majority of these complications developed during the induction period.

Peripheral (motor, sensory) neuropathies are common, usually caused by vincristine, and are basically completely reversible, but may require many months to improve (4). The study published by Nazir (14) reported that 19 out of 103 pediatric patients developed VCR-related neuropathy. Clinical symptoms started after 2-11 doses of VCR.

Our study demonstrated that neurotoxic events (peripheral and CNS) were not frequent (95 episodes/69 children) and observed during induction and subsequent reinduction phases of chemotherapy.

The most severe skeletal complication is symptomatic ON, caused by bone death resulting from poor blood supply. Biddeci et al. (15) evaluated 495 children and young adolescents with a diagnosis of ALL between 2000 and 2017. A total of 23 (4.6%)/495 patients presented ON. Osteonecrosis was associated with an older age (p<0.0001) and a higher steroid dose (p=0.0013).

In our study, the 5-year OS and EFS rates in the group of children with toxic events >grade 2 and <grade 3 were compared. Both OS and EFS in patients with grade 3 and 4 toxic episodes were shorter, but the differences were not statistically significant.

In conclusion, the toxic profiles presented in our report should be used in future efforts to decrease the burden of side effects during chemotherapy. The main emphasis should be placed on supportive care and monitoring of toxic events. Our study, similarly to literature data, indicated that complications occurred more often in the induction and reinduction phases of therapy. The toxic episodes mainly related to infections, hepatotoxicity and gastrointestinal toxicity.

By analysing literature data, certain genetic factors could be important regarding the reduction of toxicity incidence in children in a similar way as the identification of a subset of patients with a higher risk for toxicities. New strategies as well as personalizing therapies in the case of toxicity could help improve survival, especially after many toxic episodes. The progress, which has been made in recent years in genetic diagnostics, has caused a genetic division among patients with the diagnosis of ALL. Currently, FCM-MRD (flow cytometry minimal residual disease) and PCR-MRD (polymerase chain reaction minimal residual disease) have become the most important tools in the treatment protocol. Additionally, gene rearrangements will be studied for ALL patients, such as ETV6-RUNX1, which have not been analyzed to date but will directly reduce the toxicity risk (16). All authors underline the importance of the cooperation of many specialists in the care of children with acute toxicities.
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