Possible roles for polycomb repressive complex 2 in cereal endosperm
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The polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) is an evolutionarily conserved multimeric protein complex in both plants and animals. In contrast to animals, plants have evolved a range of different components of PRC2 and form diverse complexes that act in the control of key regulatory genes at many stages of development during the life cycle. A number of studies, particularly in the model species Arabidopsis thaliana, have highlighted the role of PRC2 and of epigenetic controls via parent-of-origin specific gene expression for endosperm development. However, recent research in cereal plants has revealed that although some components of PRC2 show evolutionary conservation with respect to parent-of-origin specific gene expression patterns, the identity of the imprinted genes encoding PRC2 components is not conserved. This disparity may reflect the facts that cereal plant genomes have undergone different patterns of duplication during evolution compared to A. thaliana and that the endosperm development program is not identical in monocots and eudicots. In this context, we focus this review on the expression of imprinted PRC2 genes and their roles in endosperm development in cereals.
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Introduction

The endosperm of plant seeds is the most important tissue in plants with regard to human life, because of its importance as a major source of dietary calories. Recent studies have highlighted the role played by polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) as one of the controlling mechanisms of normal endosperm development (Kohler and Makarevich, 2006; Pien and Grossniklaus, 2007; Holec and Berger, 2012). PRC2 is an evolutionarily conserved, high molecular weight complex that was originally identified in Drosophila mutants because of its regulation of body-segmentation during embryogenesis (Pirrotta, 1995). Subsequently, PRC2 was shown to have methyltransferase activity for Lys27 of histone H3 (H3K27; Simon and Kingston, 2009). In Arabidopsis thaliana, the complex represses expression of target genes through epigenetic modification of the chromatin, and also controls parent-of-origin specific expression of downstream target genes and of the PRC2 component itself in the endosperm (Gehring, 2013). While most of our understanding of the role of PRC2 comes from studies in the model species A. thaliana, recent studies in cereal plants, such as maize, barley and rice, have also provided important insights.

In contrast to animal species, such as Drosophila, the components of the PRC2 complexes of plant species show considerable variation. Genome evolution in plants involved the generation of multi-gene families and also whole genome duplications, such as in A. thaliana, maize and
rice (Spillane et al., 2007; Dickinson et al., 2012). It has been hypothesized that whole genome-duplication may reduce evolutionary forces on duplicated genes, resulting in the accumulation of nucleotide substitutions in genes or gain-of-function changes in expression patterns (Ohno, 1970). Additionally, the relaxation of evolutionary constraints might allow transposon insertion at various sites in genes, leading to their silencing (Lynch and Conery, 2000; Rodin and Riggs, 2003). The latter has been postulated to act as a novel epigenetic control through the process of neofunctionalization (Dickinson et al., 2012; Yoshiida and Kawabe, 2013). In this intriguing scenario, genes that show specific expression patterns in the endosperm may be associated with targeted genome-wide DNA demethylation in the central cell of the female gametophyte (Dickinson et al., 2012). Mechanisms for imprinted gene expression have been described in many reports (Gehring, 2013); however, questions regarding the biological relevance of genomic imprinting still remain to be answered. The increased understanding of the role of PRC2 in different plant species should be of value to addressing many of the unanswered questions.

**PRC2 in Cereal Plants**

The PRC2 complex of animals has four major components: WD40 protein p55 (p55); Suppressor of Zeste 12 [Su(z)12]; Enhancer of Zeste [E(z)]; and extra sex combs (ESC; Schwartz and Pirrotta, 2013). These four components are conserved in *A. thaliana* and in cereal plants (Table 1). Although different combinations of the various subunits of PRC2 play distinct roles during development in *A. thaliana*, here we focus on the complex that determines endosperm fate. This complex has been termed FIS-class PRC2, and is encoded by the genes Multicopy Suppressors of IRA 1 (MSI1), Fertilization Independent Seed 2 (FIS2), MEDEA (MEA), and Fertilization Independent Endosperm (FIE), in *A. thaliana* (Kohler and Makarevich, 2006; Pien and Grossniklaus, 2007; Holec and Berger, 2012). To date, the characteristics of this complex have not been fully elucidated in cereal plants.

**p55**

The *Drosophila* p55 homolog in *A. thaliana*, MSI1, has been identified as a component of FIS-class PRC2 (Kohler et al., 2003; Guitton et al., 2004). MSI1 is a WD40 repeat protein; a loss-of-function mutant of MSI1 has been shown to display similar defects in cellularization and over-proliferation of endosperm as FIS-class PRC2 mutants. The MSI1 homologs of maize (*Zea mays*) and rice (*Oryza sativa*) have been identified (Table 1) but have yet to be studied in detail (Hennig et al., 2005).

**Su(z)12**

Three Su(z)12 homologs have been identified in the barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) genome, and are termed *HvSu(z)12a*, *HvSu(z)12b*, and *HvSu(z)12c* (Kapazoglou et al., 2010). All three genes are included in the Embryonic Flower 2 (EMF2) clade by phylogenetic analysis (Kapazoglou et al., 2010). *HvSu(z)12b* transcripts have been detected in all tested tissues and found to increase during seed development. Expression of *HvSu(z)12c* is limited to the young shoots and the developing seed; *HvSu(z)12a* has not been detected in any tested tissue (Kapazoglou et al., 2010). The rice genome has two homologs of *Su(z)12*, named *OsEMF2a* and *OsEMF2b*, that are expressed in a wide range of tissues (Luo et al., 2009). Interestingly, eudicots such as *A. thaliana* have a single copy of *EMF2*, while monocots have two or three EMF2-like genes. This suggests that the EMF2 gene family in the Poaceae (Gramineae) may have arisen from a recent duplication. No orthologs of VRN2 or FIS2 of *A. thaliana* have been identified in cereals (Luo et al., 2009).

**E(z)**

Analyses of the barley genome have identified one E(z) homolog, termed *HvE(z)*, which is within the SWINGER (SWN) clade (Kapazoglou et al., 2010). Expression of *HvE(z)* occurs in both vegetative and reproductive tissues, and increases during seed development. The highest levels of *HvE(z)* expression have been found in young shoots (Kapazoglou et al., 2010). In maize, three E(z) homologs have been identified, namely, Mez1, Mez2, and Mez3 (Springer et al., 2002; Haun et al., 2007). The Mez1 sequence is similar to that of CLF, while Mez2 and Mez3 are more closely related to SWN. The Mez2 and Mez3 genes have high sequence identity, suggesting that they are duplicate genes formed during the paleotetraploid origin of maize (Springer et al., 2002). The three genes are widely expressed throughout the maize life cycle. Mez1 shows maternal-specific gene expression (imprinted) in the endosperm, but shows bi-allelic (non-imprinted) expression patterns in the embryo (Haun et al., 2007). Three splicing variants are transcribed from the Mez2 locus and show variations in their transcription among tissues (Springer et al., 2002). Analyses of sequence similarities indicate that the rice genome contains two homologs of *E(z)*, namely, *OsEZ1/OsSET1* and *OsCLF* (Thakur et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2009). These two rice genes are widely expressed in a wide range of tissues (Luo et al.,

---

**TABLE 1 | Components of Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2).**

| Species | SET domain | Zinc finger | WD40 | WD40 |
|---------|------------|-------------|------|------|
| Drosophila | E(z) | Su(z)12 | Esc | p55 |
| Arabidopsis | MEA* | EMF2 | FIE | MSI1 |
| CLF | VFN2 | | |
| SWN | FIS2* | | |
| Barley | HvSWN | HvEMF2a | HvFIE | |
| | ? | HvEMF2b | | |
| Maize | Mez1* | ZmEMF2_1 | ZmFIE1* | ZmRBAP3 |
| | Mez2 | ZmEMF2_2 | ZmFIE2 | |
| | Mez3 | | |
| Rice | OsCLF | OsEMF2a | OsFIE1* | OsRBAP3 |
| | OsiEZ1 (OsiSET1) | OsEMF2b | OsFIE2 | |

*Maternally expressed imprinted gene.
Homologs of E(z) in cereal plants fall into the CLF and SWN clades. The SWN clade is specific to flowering plants, while the CLF clade also contains homologs from spikemosses (Selaginella spp.; Luo et al., 2009). The maize homologs of E(z) are more diverse than those of other cereal plants; it seems that the multiplication of homologous genes provided diversity of PRC2 functions in maize. The MEA protein is a core component of FIS-class PRC2, which is related to seed development in A. thaliana. However, no MEA-like gene has been identified in cereals (Luo et al., 2009).

ESC

Barley genome sequencing identified a single homolog of ESC (Kapazoglou et al., 2010); however, two duplicated genes for FIE-like proteins are present in both maize and rice genomes (Springer et al., 2002; Luo et al., 2009). In barley, HvFIE is widely expressed in vegetative and reproductive tissues. Similarly, ZmFIE2 is expressed in a range of tissues in maize (Springer et al., 2002; Danilevskaya et al., 2003). These various genes are therefore the likely functional orthologs in cereals of FIE in A. thaliana. ZmFIE1 in maize and OsFIE1 in rice are predominantly expressed in the endosperm, and both display maternal-specific expression patterns (Danilevskaya et al., 2003; Gutierrez-Marcos et al., 2006). In maize, analysis using methylation sensitive restriction enzymes and PCR has shown that genome-wide DNA hypomethylation of the maternally derived genome occurs in the endosperm (Lauria et al., 2004). Related to this finding, differentially methylated regions (DMRs) have been identified that involve hypomethylation of the maternal allele of the ZmFIE1 and ZmFIE2 genes (Gutierrez-Marcos et al., 2006). The promoter region of ZmFIE1 is demethylated in the central cell but not in the sperm cells; this asymmetric pattern of DNA methylation is inherited to the endosperm, where the maternally derived ZmFIE1 is expressed while the paternally derived allele is silenced. The 5′ region of ZmFIE2 is hypomethylated in many tissues, but subjected to de novo DNA methylation only on the paternally derived allele in the endosperm after fertilization. These DMRs may be a mechanism for maternal specific gene expression during early endosperm development (Gutierrez-Marcos et al., 2006). Similarly, transcription of the paternal OsFIE1 allele during early endosperm development is likely silenced by DNA methylation (Luo et al., 2009; Ishikawa et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012). The sequences and expression patterns of maize ZmFIE1 and rice OsFIE1 are very similar suggesting an orthologous relationship between these genes. In maize, ZmFIE1 and ZmFIE2 are located on different chromosomes (Springer et al., 2002), whereas rice OsFIE1 and OsFIE2 are located in the same genomic region on chromosome 8. Phylogenetic analysis of these maize and rice genes suggests that the two maize genomic regions arose from reciprocal deletion of one of the ancestral paralogs during maize genome evolution (Swigonova et al., 2004). The fact that rice OsFIE1 and OsFIE2 are closely positioned on the same chromosome suggests they arose through an intraspecies gene duplication event (Luo et al., 2009).

Roles for PRC2 Complexes in Cereal Endosperm

In a comparison of gene expression patterns in two barley cultivars that have seeds of different sizes, differential expression of HvFIE and HvE(z) was shown to occur during seed development (Kapazoglou et al., 2010). HvFIE expression was found to increase immediately after fertilization in both cultivars, and then to decline in the cultivar producing larger seeds, but to increase in the cultivar with smaller seeds. The expression patterns of HvFIE are consistent with the predicted role of PRC2 in cereal plants, namely, the repression of endosperm development. HvFIE and HvE(z) expression can also be induced by the plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA), which is known to be involved in seed maturation, dormancy, and germination (Kapazoglou et al., 2010). These findings suggest that genes for PRC2 components can act at both earlier and later stages of endosperm development in barley; this may reflect the developmental program of endosperm of cereal species. Although the syncytial phase during early endosperm development is conserved in A. thaliana and cereal species, embryonic growth in A. thaliana later results in the consumption of the endosperm; by contrast, the endosperm persists in cereals (Sabelli and Larkins, 2009; Dante et al., 2014).

In A. thaliana, the imprinted genes MEA and FIS2 encode PRC2 components and are involved in endosperm development through repression of the AGL62 gene expression that controls the timing of cellularization (Kang et al., 2008; Hehenberger et al., 2012). In contrast to A. thaliana, MEA and WHS are located on different chromosomes (Springer et al., 2002), whereas rice OsFIE1 and OsFIE2 are located in the same genomic region on chromosome 8. Phylogenetic analysis of these maize and rice genes suggests that the two maize genomic regions arose from reciprocal deletion of one of the ancestral paralogs during maize genome evolution (Swigonova et al., 2004). The fact that rice OsFIE1 and OsFIE2 are closely positioned on the same chromosome suggests they arose through an intraspecies gene duplication event (Luo et al., 2009).
FIS2 orthologs have not been identified in barley, maize, or rice genomes. In rice, with the exception of OsFIE1, genes encoding PRC2 components are widely expressed in a range of tissues. OsFIE1 shows specific expression in the endosperm and is the only imprinted PRC2 gene in rice endosperm (Luo et al., 2009); the gene is expected to be involved in multiple processes during endosperm development including cellularization. Plants homozygous for the Osfie1 mutation do not display an obvious endosperm phenotype compared to wild type plants (Luo et al., 2009); by contrast, RNAi transgenic plant lines showed autonomous endosperm development (Li et al., 2014). This outcome may be due to off-target effects of the OsFIE2 RNAi construct which silenced both OsFIE1 and OsFIE2 in the endosperm of the transgenic rice (Li et al., 2014). By contrast, the specific down-regulation of OsFIE2 by RNAi results in the production of small seeds, which contain shrunken and defective endosperm and a relatively large embryo (Nallamilli et al., 2013). Although a sporophytic effect of the knock-down mutation, due to the dominant nature of RNAi construct, cannot be discounted in the latter experiment, this result suggests OsFIE2 has a positive regulatory role in either early or late development of rice endosperm, in contrast to the role of FIS-class PRC2 in the endosperm of A. thaliana. It should be possible to more clearly determine the role of OsFIE2 through use of the appropriate mutant alleles in combination with TALLEN or CRISPER/Cas technology (Kim and Kim, 2014). Such analyses would elucidate the role of OsFIE2 in endosperm development, especially in relation to the timing of cellularization. There is evidence from interspecific and interlopycrosses in rice that the timing of cellularization and the eventual size of the endosperm are related (Ishikawa et al., 2011; Sekine et al., 2013). Therefore, investigation of cellularization in PRC2 mutants will be an essential approach to understanding the action of PRC2 in cereal endosperm.

Recently, an epigenetic allele of Epi-df was identified; this allele is a gain-of-function variant that likely resulted from hypomethylation of the 5′ region of OsFIE1 without any change in nucleotide sequence (Zhang et al., 2012). On the Epi-df mutant background, OsFIE1 is ectopically expressed in vegetative tissues and the normally silent paternally derived allele is active in the endosperm (Zhang et al., 2012). The Epi-df plants show dwarfism and floral organ defects in a dominant fashion; the latter prevented investigation of the endosperm phenotype. By contrast, a recent study showed that expression of OsFIE1 is correlated with the timing of cellularization (Folsom et al., 2014). Under moderately high temperature conditions, OsFIE1 expression increases, and this elevated level of expression is correlated with precocious endosperm cellularization (Folsom et al., 2014). Similarly, overexpression of OsFIE1 causes decreased seed sizes and weights (Folsom et al., 2014). This is in contrast with the outcome of OsFIE2 overexpression, which does not result in phenotypic changes in plants (Nallamilli et al., 2013). Overall, these findings suggest the possibility that OsFIE1 and OsFIE2 may have non-equivalent roles in endosperm development (Figure 1). Further analyses will be required to clarify precisely the roles of PRC2 in the cereal endosperm development.

**Conclusion**

The data generated by cereal genome sequencing initiatives have enabled the identification of PRC2 genes in crop plant species. Detailed analyses of the expression of these genes have revealed remarkable differences in their behavior compared to orthologs in A. thaliana. Endosperm specific variants of the Su(Z)12 homolog and E(z) homolog have been found, namely, MEA and FIS2; however, no variants of the ESC homolog are known in A. thaliana. By contrast, two ESC homologs FIE1 and FIE2 are present in maize and rice genomes. Although FIE is not consistently imprinted in A. thaliana (Yadegari et al., 2000), its homologs in maize and rice show maternal specific expression (Gutierrez-Marcos et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2009). In general, ESC and its homologs are WD40 repeat scaffolding proteins and do not seem to have any enzymatic activity. However, their animal counterparts have been shown to have binding activity for the N-terminal histone tail of H3 and to cause allosteric effects on the histone methyltransferase activity of EZH2; binding to chromatin residues associated with a repressive state of gene expression, such as H3K9me3, induces histone methyltransferase activity, while binding to chromatin residues associated with active transcription reduces its activity. Therefore, the protein–protein interactions of each PRC2 component are important determinants of the activity of the PRC2 complex. Further study of cereal PRC2 complexes will undoubtedly provide greater insights into their roles in endosperm development.
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