Reduced off-target effect of NG-BE4max by using NG-HiFi system
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Recently, a rationally engineered SpCas9 variant (SpCas9-NG) that can recognize a minimal NG protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) was reported to expand the targeting scope in genome editing. However, increased genome-wide off-target mutations with this variant compared with SpCas9 were reported in previous studies. In addition, lower base editing frequencies and higher unintended off-target mutations were also found in Hoxc13-ablated rabbits generated by NG-BE4max in our study. Here, a high-fidelity base editor, NG-HiFi, in comparison to NG-BE4max, showed retention of on-target activity while exhibiting significantly decreased off-target activity in Hoxc13-ablated rabbits. Collectively, the improved specificity and reduced off-target effect of SpCas9-NG assisted in cytidine base editing with the NG-HiFi system, providing a promising tool to precisely model human diseases in rabbits.

RESULTS

Significantly increased off-target effects in Hoxc13 (Q87Stop) rabbits generated by the NG-BE4max system

First, the more relaxed NG PAM in the NG-BE4max vector was constructed in our previous study7 (Figure 1A). An sgRNA targeting the second exon of rabbit Hoxc13 was designed (Figure 1B). Then, the base editing frequencies were evaluated by Sanger sequencing using EditR, a robust base editing quantification software.8 The results showed that four (#2, #3, #4, and #5) of five pups (80%) carried a desired nonsense mutation (Q87Stop) at the target site. However, there was no obvious phenotype (hairlessness) (Figure 1C) because of the low base editing frequencies for C-to-T conversion, ranging from 14.3% to 47% in these rabbits (Figures 1D and 1E; Table S1).

In this study, significantly reduced off-target events and similar on-target effects in Hoxc13 mutant rabbits were generated by NG-HiFi, which greatly improved the base editing specificity and expanded the genome targeting scope of base editing in human disease modeling and gene therapy in the future.

INTRODUCTION

CRISPR/Cas9-based cytosine base editors (CBEs) can mediate the direct conversion of C to T (or G to A), which does not induce double-stranded DNA breaks (DSBs) or require a donor template.3 CBEs enable C-to-T conversion at a target genomic locus with the requirement of a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM), such as NGG, possessing limited activity at noncanonical NGH (H = A, C, and T) PAM sites, which restricts the targetable genomic loci in applications.3

To address the PAM limitation, a rationally engineered SpCas9 variant (SpCas9-NG) that can recognize the more relaxed NG PAM, which broadens PAM compatibility and significantly expands the target scope, has been used in Arabidopsis4 and rice.5 In addition, the NG-BE4max and NG-ABEmax systems are highly efficient tools for targeted base editing and have been used to precisely mimic human pathogenic mutations in rabbits.6 However, a previous study showed that SpCas9-NG not only targeted the genome but also potentially increased off-target risk by generating new single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs).7 In addition, a higher frequency of off-target editing events was also observed in Hoxc13 mutant rabbits generated by the NG-ABEmax system in our previous study.8

To confirm the increased off-target effect of SpCas9-NG, the sites of EMX1, DNMT1, HEK293 site4, FANCF site2, and VEGFA site2 were predicted according to Cas-OFinder.10 The results showed significantly increased off-target effects in SpCas9-NG compared with wild-type SpCas9 (Figure S2). Consistent results were found in Hoxc13 (Q87Stop) rabbits, which showed 8.35%–47.68% off-target effects (Figure 1G; Figure S1). It was reported that OT1 (GALK1, p.Q259Stop) is a major enzyme for galactose metabolism11, defects
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in which are known to cause cataracts in infants and galactosemia type 2 (Table S2), while OT2 (SPTB, p.Q727Stop) plays an important role in the stability of the erythrocyte membrane, which is associated with hereditary spherocytosis (HS). Thus, we hypothesized that the high death rate of Hoxc13 (Q87Stop) rabbits may have been caused by the significantly increased off-target effect generated by the NG-BE4max system.

Improved specificity of NG-BE4max obtained by using NG-HiFi CRISPR/Cas9 enables highly efficient genome editing in a variety of organisms but can also cause unwanted mutations at off-target sites that resemble the on-target sequence. To date, Cas9 variants have been used to reduce genome-wide off-target mutations, such as eSpCas9(1.1), SpCas9-HF1, Sniper-Cas9, HiFi-Cas9, and Opti-Cas9. Thus, to reduce the off-target base editing effect of the NG-BE4max system, five high-fidelity base editors were used to reduce off-target editing events in this study (Figure 2A).

Then, five endogenous genomic loci were chosen to test the on-target (Figure S4) and off-target (Figure S5) effects of the high-fidelity base editors in 293T cells. The results showed significantly reduced off-target editing by using high-fidelity base editors compared with NG-BE4max, and the base-editing specificity of NG-Sniper and NG-HiFi was comparable to that of NG-BE4max (Figure S5). To test whether NG-Sniper and NG-HiFi could be used to generate Hoxc13 (Q87Stop) with reduced off-target effects, injected rabbit blastocysts were collected and subjected to analysis of the on-target effects and the off-target effects (on OT2 and OT3). The results showed that there was no significant difference in on-target effects and the off-target effects of NG-Sniper and NG-HiFi decreased significantly compared with those of NG-BE4max (Figure 2B). Moreover, NG-HiFi maintained on-target base editing while eliminating detectable off-target editing. Therefore, NG-HiFi was used to generate Hoxc13 (Q87Stop) in the following study.

As shown in Figures 2D and 2E and Table S1, four (+2, +3, +4, and +5) of five pups (80%) carried a desired nonsense mutation at the target site of Hoxc13 (Q87Stop), generated by using NG-HiFi. The base editing frequency was significantly increased compared with that of the NG-BE4max system (58.73% versus 25.55%) (Figure 2G). In addition, the desired hairless phenotype was detected in pups +4 and +5 (Figure 2C). Moreover, the normal survival rate and lack of off-target effects were observed in Hoxc13 (Q87Stop) rabbits generated by the NG-HiFi system (Figures 2F and 2G), and Hoxc13 (Q87Stop) could also be stably transmitted to the F1 offspring (Figure S6).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we successfully generated a high-fidelity base editor, NG-HiFi, and demonstrated the significantly reduced off-target effect of NG-BE4max by using the NG-HiFi system. The desired hairless phenotype was obtained in Hoxc13 (Q87Stop) rabbits by using the NG-HiFi base editor, which greatly expanded the genome targeting
scope and reduced the risk of off-target effects, providing a promising tool to precisely model human diseases in rabbits.

The possibility of creating off-target mutations with unknown consequences is a major concern associated with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. To date, numerous Cas9 homologs and variants have been used for cytidine base editing, such as SaCas9, ScCas9, Spy-macCas9, Nme2Cas9, St1Cas9, and Cas12a. In addition to CBEs, additional base editing tools, such as adenine base editors (ABEs), glycosylase base editors (GBEs), and prime editors (PEs), have been developed to increase versatility. Combining these tools with high-fidelity mutations may further improve the DNA specificity of these genome editors, as shown in this study.

In addition to Cas9-dependent DNA off-target mutations, it has been shown that CBEs may cause Cas9-independent off-target DNA and RNA mutations. These unexpected off-target DNA and RNA mutations are mainly caused by deaminase domains rather than Cas9 domains. Additionally, off-target DNA and RNA editing could be eliminated by rational mutagenesis of the deaminase domain. Therefore, by further rationally engineering both Cas9 and deaminase domains, it is possible to produce a perfect base editor without off-target mutations in the future.

In summary, this study demonstrates the great value of a highly specific base editor in efficient C-to-T conversion at sites containing the broadened NG PAM, which greatly expands the genome targeting scope of base editing in human disease modeling and future gene therapy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics statement**

New Zealand white rabbits were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of Jilin University (Changchun, China). All animal studies were conducted according to experimental practices and standards approved by the Animal Welfare and Research Ethics Committee of Jilin University.

**Plasmid construction**

The BE4max plasmid was obtained from Addgene (#112093). Seven mutations (R1335A/L1111R/D1135V/G1218R/E1219F/A1322R/T1337R) in CRISPR/Cas9 were introduced into BE4max to obtain NG-BE4max. Five high-fidelity CRISPR/Cas9 systems were introduced into NG-BE4max to obtain NG-eSp (K848A/K1003A/R1060A), NG-HF1 (N497A/R661A/Q695A/Q926A), NG-Sniper (F539S/M761I/K890N), NG-HiFi (R691A), and NG-Opti (R661A/K1003H). Site-directed mutagenesis of the plasmid was performed with the Fast Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All primers used for site-directed mutation are listed in Table S6.
mRNA and gRNA preparation
All plasmids were linearized with NotI and transcribed in vitro with the HiScribe T7 ARCA mRNA Kit (NEB). The RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) was used for mRNA purification according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The sgRNA oligos were annealed into pUC57-sgRNA expression vectors containing a T7 promoter, transcribed in vitro with the MAXIscript T7 Kit (Ambion), and purified with the miRNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Microinjection of rabbit zygotes and embryo transfer
The protocol used for microinjection of pronuclear-stage embryos has been described in detail in our previously published study. Briefly, a mixture of mRNA (200 ng/µL) and sgRNA (50 ng/µL) was co-injected into the cytoplasm of pronuclear-stage zygotes. The injected embryos were transferred into Earle’s Balanced Salt Solution (EBSS) medium for short-term culture at 38.5°C, under 5% carbon dioxide and 100% humidity. Then, ~30–50 injected zygotes were transferred into the oviducts of recipient rabbits.

Single-embryo PCR amplification and rabbit genotyping
Single-embryo PCR amplification and rabbit genotyping were performed according to our previous study. The base editing frequencies were evaluated by EditR (baseEditR.com/). All primers used for genotyping are listed in Table S4.

Off-target detection
The potential off-target sites (POTs) were predicted according to an online design tool (http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder/). Selected POTs (Table S3 and Table S5) were amplified by PCR and Sanger sequencing. All primers used for the off-target assay are listed in Table S3 and Table S5. Mutations were detected with deep sequencing and Hi-TOM analysis according to a previous study.

Cell culture and transfection
HEK293T cells were maintained in DMEM plus GlutaMax (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum at 37°C with 5% CO₂. HEK293T cells were seeded on 6-well collagen-coated Bio-Coat plates (Corning) in an antibiotic-free medium and transfected at ~70% confluence. Then, BE and sgRNA plasmids were transfected with Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Statistical analysis
All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM, with at least three individual determinations in all experiments. The data were analyzed with t-tests using GraphPad Prism software 6.0. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Data availability
High-throughput sequencing reads were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under PRJNA725675.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2021.05.012.
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