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OBJECTIVE
Premixed insulin is a commonly prescribed formulation for the outpatient management of patients with type 2 diabetes. The safety and efficacy of premixed insulin formulations in the hospital setting is not known.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
In a prospective, open-label trial, we randomized general medicine and surgery patients to receive a basal-bolus regimen with glargine once daily and glulisine before meals (n = 33) or premixed human insulin (30% regular insulin and 70% NPH insulin) twice daily (n = 39). Major outcomes included differences in daily blood glucose (BG) levels and frequency of hypoglycemic events (<70 mg/dL) between treatment groups.

RESULTS
At the first prespecified interim analysis, the study was stopped early because of an increased frequency of hypoglycemia >50% in patients treated with premixed human insulin. A total of 64% of patients treated with premixed insulin experienced one or more episodes of hypoglycemia compared with 24% in the basal-bolus group (P < 0.001). There were no differences in mean daily BG level after the first day of insulin treatment (175 ± 32 vs. 179 ± 43 mg/dL, P = 0.64) between groups. A BG target between 80 and 180 mg/dL before meals was achieved in 55.9% of BG readings in the basal-bolus group and 54.3% of BG readings in the premixed insulin group (P = 0.23). There was no difference in the length of hospital stay or mortality between treatment groups.

CONCLUSIONS
Inpatient treatment with premixed human insulin resulted in similar glycemic control but in significantly higher frequency of hypoglycemia compared with treatment with basal-bolus insulin regimen in hospitalized patients with diabetes.

Several observational studies (1–4) have shown that hyperglycemia, in patients with and without a history of diabetes, is associated with significant adverse effects, including increased mortality, infection rates, and hospital stay. Randomized clinical trials in critically ill and non–critically ill patients have reported that improved glycemic control can reduce the number of hospital complications and systemic infections, the length of hospital stay, and hospitalization cost (5–8). Clinical guidelines (9,10) recommend the use of basal-bolus insulin regimen for the management of diabetes control.
of hyperglycemia in non-intensive care unit (ICU) settings. A basal-bolus regimen with once-daily long-acting insulin and rapid-acting insulin analogs before meals is effective in improving glycemic control and in reducing the rate of hospital complications in non-ICU patients with type 2 diabetes (5,11). Despite the benefits of the basal-bolus regimen, many health care professionals consider this approach to be difficult to implement and inconvenient due to the high number of injections and the risk of hypoglycemia (12,13).

In many countries in Europe, Asia, and Latin America, premixed insulin is among the most frequently prescribed treatment formulations in patients with type 2 diabetes (14–16). Premixed insulin formulations include conventional (e.g., biphasic human insulin 30% regular insulin and 70% NPH insulin) and newer premixed human analogs (e.g., biphasic insulin aspart 30/70 or insulin lispro mix 25/75). Premixed insulin formulations are prescribed for many patients with type 2 diabetes because of their proven efficacy in improving glycemic control (17–20), fewer daily injections, and better postprandial glucose control compared with basal insulin regimens (20,21). In the “Schema survey” (16), a cross-sectional survey among 1,263 patients treated by 450 diabetes experts in France, it was reported that premixed insulin formulations were prescribed in 45.5% of type 2 patients. Some ambulatory studies, however, have reported a higher risk of hypoglycemia with the use of premixed insulin formulations compared with basal insulin analogs (21). In the hospital setting, the use of premixed insulin has been reported to be effective in improving hyperglycemia in patients receiving enteral nutrition support (22).

It is not known whether patients with type 2 diabetes treated with premixed insulin prior to hospital admission should be switched to a basal-bolus insulin regimen or whether it is safe to continue with the same formulation during the hospital stay. Because of the large number of patients receiving premixed insulin treatment at hospital admission, we conducted this study to compare the efficacy and safety of a premixed insulin regimen (30% regular insulin and 70% NPH insulin) twice daily with a basal-bolus insulin regimen (glargine once daily and glulisine before meals) in general medicine and surgery patients with type 2 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

In this randomized, prospective, open-label study, we recruited patients, ≥18 years of age, who had been admitted to general medicine and surgery services in two academic medical centers in Spain. We enrolled patients with a previous diagnosis of type 2 diabetes who had been treated with diet, any combination of oral antidiabetic agents, and/or insulin therapy. We excluded patients with type 1 diabetes, hyperglycemia without a previous diagnosis of diabetes, acute hyperglycemic emergencies, or severe hyperglycemia treated with intravenous insulin infusion on hospital admission, acute or chronic kidney disease (serum creatinine level >2 mg/dL), corticosteroid therapy, a history of severe or repeated hypoglycemic episodes, and pregnancy, and patients expected to require ICU or a hospital stay of <3 days. In addition, we excluded patients who expected to receive NPO for a significant period of time (i.e., after abdominal surgery). The ethics committee of each participating institution approved the study protocol. Informed consent was obtained from all enrolled patients who were informed about the nature, objectives, and potential risks of the study. A research coordinator at each institution following a computer-generated randomization table conducted randomization and treatment assignment.

Outcome Measures

The primary outcome of the study was to determine the differences in glycemic control between treatment groups as measured by the mean capillary daily blood glucose (BG) concentration during each day of hospital stay. Secondary objectives were to determine differences in the percentage of glucose measures between 80 and 180 mg/dL, the frequency and severity of hypoglycemic events, total daily insulin use, length of hospital stay, and glycemic variability between groups. Hypoglycemia was defined as a capillary BG level of <70 mg/dL, and was classified as severe if it involved loss of consciousness and/or seizures.

Insulin Treatment

Patients were randomized to receive a premixed human insulin formulation with 30% regular insulin and 70% NPH insulin (Mixtard 30; Novo Nordisk) or a basal-bolus regimen with glargine (Lantus; Sanofi) once daily and glulisine (Apidra; Sanofi) before meals. All oral antidiabetic agents were discontinued on admission to the hospital. Subjects treated with diet or oral agents prior to hospital admission were started at a total daily dose of 0.3 units/kg if their admission BG level was <150 mg/dL or at 0.4 units/kg if their hospital admission BG level was >150 mg/dL. Subjects treated with insulin prior to hospital admission were continued on their previous total daily dose.

Patients in the basal-bolus group received 50% of the total daily dose as glargine and 50% as glulisine. Glargine was administered as a single daily dose, and glulisine was divided into three equal doses that were administered before breakfast, lunch, and dinner. In the premixed insulin group, patients received two doses of premixed insulin, with 60% of the total daily dose administered before breakfast and 40% before dinner. Premixed human insulin was injected 30 min before meals. Patients in the premixed insulin group received a 2,000 calorie/day dietary regimen divided into five meals given at breakfast, midmorning snack, lunch, afternoon snack, and dinner. Patients in the basal-bolus group were provided with a dietary regimen with the same total number of calories but given in three meals per day. In addition, patients in both treatment groups with a BG level 2 h after dinner of <140 mg/dL were provided with a bedtime snack.

In both groups, the insulin dose was adjusted daily based on capillary BG levels to target glucose before meals between 80 and 140 mg/dL (Supplementary Fig. 1). The capillary BG level was measured 2 h before and after breakfast, lunch, and dinner. In addition, capillary BG level was measured at any time if symptoms of hypoglycemia were noted. Laboratory tests, including basic biochemistry studies, lipid profiles, and HbA1c levels, were performed in all patients on the first day of hospital admission.

Glycemic variability was calculated by the following three methods: 1) determination of the mean daily SD of BG values, a measure of dispersion of glucose values about a measure of central tendency, which represents the overall
glycemic variability during the entire stay (23); 2) determination of the coefficient of variation of glucose measures, which represents the average daily glycemic excursion (24); and 3) determination of the mean amplitude of glycemic excursions, which is the arithmetic mean of the absolute value of BG level excursions from glucose nadirs to peaks or vice versa (25,26).

Statistical Analysis
This was a noninferiority study design based on the hypothesis that the difference in mean daily BG levels between a basal bolus with insulin analogs and premixed human insulin would not be >18 mg/dL (1 mmol/L). A BG level difference of such a magnitude has been reported (5,11) as non–clinically significant and is typically smaller than significant treatment effects detected in other superiority trials. Given the data from the RABBIT medicine and surgery trials (5,11), it is reasonable to assume that the SD of the mean daily BG level is bounded by 40 mg/dL. Based on two-sample t tests or Wilcoxon tests, one-sided, α = 0.05, we estimated that 65 subjects per group (130 total) will be needed to achieve 80% power. The protocol included as stopping rule a rate of hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dL) in >50% of patients in either group. Following the first interim analysis, the study was stopped early because the frequency of hypoglycemia exceeded 50% in the premixed insulin group.

We report continuous variables as the mean ± SD in the case of normal distribution. Qualitative variables are described using absolute and relative frequencies (percentages). The hypoglycemia rate was calculated as the number of hypoglycemic events divided by the total number of subject-days of exposure. To study the association between qualitative variables, the χ² test was used with Yates correction and Fisher exact test when required by the conditions. In the case of quantitative variables, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine the normality of the distributions. To study the differences between independent means, the parametric or nonparametric tests required by the application were used (Student t test or Mann-Whitney U test in case of two categories; ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test or Kruskal-Wallis H test for comparisons with more than two categories). The significance level was set conventionally at P ≤ 0.05. Using the Bonferroni post hoc test, the significance level was set at P = 0.004. The data were processed using the SPSS version 17.0 statistical package.

RESULTS
A total of 109 patients with type 2 diabetes were enrolled in this trial. Of them, 94 patients were randomized to receive a basal-bolus regimen with glargine and glulisine (n = 46) or to receive premixed insulin twice daily (n = 48). A total of 33 patients in the basal-bolus group and 39 patients in the premixed group completed the study (Supplementary Table 1). The clinical and demographic characteristics of both groups were similar, except for mean age, which was higher in the premixed group (Table 1). The mean ± SD duration of hospitalization was 17.4 ± 17 days in the basal-bolus group vs. 24.1 ± 18 in the premixed group (P = 0.13). There were no differences in the type of diabetes treatment prior to hospital admission between groups, with approximately half of the patients treated with insulin alone or in combination with oral agents. There were more patients in the medicine service randomized to receive a basal bolus than to receive premixed insulin (67% vs. 42.1%, P = 0.038).

The mean capillary BG level on hospital admission was similar in both groups (203.1 ± 105 mg/dL in the basal-bolus group vs. 221.3 ± 105 mg/dL in the premixed group; P = 0.47). Both treatment regimens resulted in prompt and sustained improvement in the mean daily BG concentration during the hospital stay. There were no differences between groups in daily BG concentration, mean fasting, premeal, or after-meal BG levels (Fig. 1A and B). In addition, we observed no differences in the percentage of subjects with glucose values between 80 and 180 mg/dL during treatment.

Hypoglycemia and Trial Suspension
Following a planned interim safety analysis after the enrollment of half of the patients, the trial was terminated early owing to an increased frequency of

| Table 1—Baseline clinical characteristics of the patients who completed the study | Basal-bolus group | Premixed insulin group | P value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Patients, n | 33 | 39 | 0.96 |
| Sex | | | 0.47 |
| Male | 10 (30.3) | 12 (30.8) | |
| Female | 23 (69.7) | 27 (69.2) | |
| Age, years | 67.5 ± 11.0 | 75.3 ± 9.5 | 0.002 |
| BMI, kg/m² | 29.5 ± 6.9 | 27.3 ± 4.6 | 0.15 |
| Body weight, kg | 80.9 ± 22.3 | 74.0 ± 12.4 | 0.11 |
| Duration of diabetes, years | 13.4 ± 10.3 | 17.2 ± 11.3 | 0.19 |
| Hospital admission service | | | 0.038 |
| Medicine | 22 (66.7) | 16 (42.1) | |
| Surgery | 11 (33.3) | 22 (57.9) | |
| Hospital LOS, days | 17.4 ± 17.3 | 24.1 ± 18.4 | 0.13 |
| Hospital admission diabetes therapy | | | 0.63 |
| Diet alone | 4 (12.1) | 7 (17.9) | |
| Oral agents | 13 (40.6) | 11 (28.2) | |
| Insulin alone | 8 (25.0) | 15 (38.5) | |
| Insulin and oral agents | 8 (25.0) | 6 (15.4) | |
| Primary diagnosis | | | 0.15 |
| Cardiovascular | 16 (48.5) | 13 (33.3) | |
| Pulmonary | 3 (9.1) | 1 (2.6) | |
| Soft-tissue and foot infection | 11 (33.3) | 23 (59) | |
| Other | 3 (9.1) | 2 (5.1) | |
| Glycemic control | | | 0.78 |
| HbA₁c | 8.5 ± 1.8 | 8.6 ± 2.0 | |
| mmol/mol | 69.7 ± 19.9 | 71.1 ± 22.4 | |
| Hospital admission BG, mg/dL | 203.1 ± 105 | 221.3 ± 104.6 | 0.47 |
| Randomization BG, mg/dL | 221.2 ± 76 | 210.5 ± 57 | 0.52 |

Data are n (%) or mean ± SD, unless otherwise indicated. LOS, length of stay.
hypoglycemic events, which was >50% (prespecified stopping rule) in the premixed insulin therapy group compared with basal-bolus insulin therapy group. Hypoglycemia occurred in 8 patients (24.2%) treated with glargine/glulisine insulin and in 25 patients (64.1%) treated with premixed insulin during the hospital stay ($P = 0.001$) (Table 2). In the glargine/glulisine group, five patients (15.2%) had a BG level between 60 and 69 mg/dL, five patients (15.2%) had a BG level between 40 and 59 mg/dL, and only two patients (6.1%) had a BG level <40 mg/dL. In the premixed insulin group, 17 patients (43.6%) had BG levels between 60 and 69 mg/dL ($P = 0.009$ vs. basal-bolus group), 18 patients (46.2%) had BG levels between 40 and 59 mg/dL ($P = 0.005$ vs. basal-bolus group), and only 1 patient had BG levels <40 mg/dL ($P = 0.45$ vs. basal-bolus group). Patients treated with premixed insulin had a greater number of hypoglycemic events throughout the day. Differences in hypoglycemia were significantly greater before lunch and during the night between midnight and 6:00 A.M. between patients treated with premixed insulin compared with those treated with a basal-bolus regimen (Table 2).

The frequency of hypoglycemia was higher in patients who were treated with insulin prior to hospital admission. Among patients receiving insulin therapy prior to hospital admission, 23 patients (62.9%) had at least one episode of hypoglycemia, whereas only 10 patients (28.6%) who were not receiving home insulin therapy had at least one episode of hypoglycemia ($P = 0.04$). None of the episodes of hypoglycemia was associated with loss of consciousness or seizures. In multivariable analyses, we did not find an association between the presence of hypoglycemic events and age, gender, duration of diabetes, HbA1c level, hospital admission service (medicine or surgery), and length of hospital stay.

The mean starting insulin dose was similar in both groups (0.46 ± 0.2 units/kg in the basal-bolus group vs. 0.46 ± 0.1 units/kg in the premixed group; $P = NS$), whereas at the end of treatment the mean daily insulin dose was significantly higher in the premixed group (0.72 ± 0.27 units/kg) compared with the basal-bolus group (0.55 ± 0.24 units/kg, $P = 0.014$). The difference in daily insulin requirements was based on the amount of basal component with a mean glargine dose of 25.5 ± 15 units/day and an NPH insulin dose of 38.8 ± 16 units/day ($P = 0.001$). There were no differences in the amount of short-acting insulin per day (glulisine 19.8 ± 8 units/day, regular insulin 16.6 ± 7 units/day, $P = 0.63$).

Treatment with a basal-bolus regimen was associated with lower glycemic variability compared with treatment with premixed insulin regimen (mean ± SD 51.19 ± 15.3 vs. 58.76 ± 14.8 mg/dL, $P = 0.037$; coefficient of variation 28.91 ± 7.77 vs. 32.73 ± 6.52 mg/dL, $P = 0.026$). There were no differences in the mean amplitude of glycemic excursions between the groups (90.58 ± 28.53 vs. 95.72 ± 21.36 mg/dL, $P = 0.386$) in patients receiving the basal-bolus and premixed insulin regimens, respectively.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This is the first randomized prospective trial comparing the efficacy and safety of a basal-bolus regimen with insulin glargine once daily and premeal insulin glulisine with a premixed human insulin regimen (30% regular insulin/70% NPH insulin) in hospitalized patients with type 2 diabetes. Both treatment regimens resulted in a rapid and significant improvement in the mean daily BG concentration and in the percentage of glucose readings within the target range of 80 and 180 mg/dL before meals. The study was stopped early because the frequency of hypoglycemia was >50% in patients treated with premixed human insulin.
The association between inpatient hyperglycemia, in patients with and without diabetes, and increased risk of hospital complications is well established (1–4). Recent clinical trials (9,10) have also shown that treatment with a basal-bolus regimen is effective in improving glycemic control in medicine and surgical patients with acceptable rates of hypoglycemia. The basal-bolus approach, however, requires subcutaneous administration of basal insulin given once or twice daily in combination with prandial and corrective doses of rapid-acting insulin given before meals. The complexity of this approach has limited its acceptance among physicians (12,13). Premixed insulin formulations are commonly prescribed because of their proven efficacy in improving glucose control (17–20) and the need for fewer daily injections (20,21). In agreement with previous studies in ambulatory settings, we found that treatment with premixed insulin resulted in equivalent glucose control but a higher frequency of hypoglycemic events compared with the basal-bolus regimen.

A major finding in our study is that treatment with premixed human insulin resulted in a threefold higher rate of hypoglycemic events compared with treatment with a basal bolus of insulin analogs. Randomized trials (12,13,27) have reported a prevalence of hypoglycemia in 10–32% of non-ICU patients treated with the basal-bolus regimen. The higher rate of hypoglycemia in this study is likely the result of the fixed ratio of the premixed formulation in patients with altered oral intake or with changing insulin requirements. Minimizing the rate of hypoglycemia events is of major importance in hospitalized patients because it has been shown to represent an independent risk factor of poor outcome and mortality (28–30).

We acknowledge several limitations in our study, including a relatively small number of patients and the fact that we used only two doses of premixed insulin daily without a third dose or fixed insulin coverage at lunch time. There was a difference in age between the groups despite randomization, which could have influenced the frequency of hypoglycemia; however, in the multivariable analysis there was no relationship between the presence of hypoglycemia and age. In addition, we included patients with a hospital admission glucose concentration of >180 mg/dL, which may have reduced the number of hypoglycemic events with the use of premixed insulin.

We also excluded patients with acute or chronic kidney failure, patients receiving corticosteroid therapy, and patients with a history of severe or repeated hypoglycemia. Patients meeting these exclusion criteria make up a substantial percentage of hospitalized patients. Another limitation is the lack of information on nutritional intake and missed meals, which represents a significant risk factor for hypoglycemia in the hospital setting. In this study, however, we excluded patients who were expected to receive NPO for a significant period of time. Finally, in our institutions, patients treated with NPH insulin and regular insulin regimens receive three main meals and snacks at midmorning and afternoon; this meal pattern was different from that provided to patients in the basal-bolus regimen group, who received only three main meals without snacks during the day. The higher number of meals (snacks) may have resulted in a lower frequency of hypoglycemia in the premixed group. In addition, we used premixed human insulin and did not use premixed insulin analog formulations, which may be associated with lower rates of hypoglycemia compared with human insulin formulations. Future studies should determine the safety and clinical outcomes of premixed insulin regimens in comparison with basal-bolus formulations.

### Table 2—Efficacy and safety variables in patients treated with basal-bolus and premixed insulin regimens

|                          | Basal-bolus regimen | Premixed regimen | P value |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| **Glycemic control**     |                     |                  |         |
| Mean BG concentration, first day of therapy, mg/dL | 173.4 ± 54 | 210.2 ± 56 | 0.007   |
| Mean BG concentration, after first day of therapy, mg/dL | 175.1 ± 32 | 179 ± 43  | 0.64    |
| BG readings 80–180 mg/dL, % | 55.9    | 54.3        | 0.23    |
| **Insulin therapy**      |                     |                  |         |
| Total, units/kg/day      | 0.5 ± 0.3           | 0.7 ± 0.3        | 0.014   |
| Basal insulin, units/day |                     |                  |         |
| Glargine in basal-bolus group and NPH insulin in premixed group | 25.5 ± 15.4 | 38.8 ± 16.6 | 0.001   |
| Rapid insulin, units/day |                     |                  |         |
| Glulisine in basal-bolus and regular insulin in premixed group | 19.8 ± 8.4 | 16.6 ± 7.1 | 0.63    |
| **Hypoglycemic events (BG concentration <70 mg/dL)** |                     |                  |         |
| BG tests per patient/day | 5.7 ± 0.8           | 5.6 ± 0.8        | 0.716   |
| Overall, patients with hypoglycemia | 8 (24.2) | 25 (64.1) | 0.001   |
| Events, n                | 20                  | 65               |         |
| BG readings, %           | 1.4                 | 3.2              |         |
| Fasting or prebreakfast hypoglycemia | 1 (3.0) | 5 (12.8) | 0.134   |
| Events, n                | 1                   | 5                |         |
| Morning or prelunch      | 1 (3.0)             | 12 (30.8)        | 0.002   |
| Events, n                | 5                   | 19               |         |
| Afternoon or predinner   | 5 (15.2)            | 8 (20.5)         | 0.556   |
| Events, n                | 7                   | 12               |         |
| Evening, after dinner to midnight | 4 (12.1) | 8 (20.5) | 0.341   |
| Events, n                | 6                   | 13               |         |
| Night, midnight to 6:00 A.M. | 1 (3.0) | 9 (23.1) | 0.014   |
| Events, n                | 1                   | 16               |         |

Data are mean ± SD or n (%), unless otherwise indicated.
efficacy of premixed insulin analogs in the hospital setting.

In summary, basal-bolus insulin with glargine once daily and rapid-acting insulins analogs before meals represents a safer regimen than premixed human insulin for glucose control in non-critically ill patients with type 2 diabetes, while both treatments result in similar glycemic control. Despite the simplicity, premixed human insulin regimen is associated with greatly elevated rates of hypoglycemia and should be used with caution in the management of general medicine and surgery patients with type 2 diabetes.
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