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Abstract

An alternating sign matrix is a square matrix with entries 1, 0 and $-1$ such that the sum of the entries in each row and each column is equal to 1 and the nonzero entries alternate in sign along each row and each column. To some of the symmetry classes of alternating sign matrices and their variations, G. Kuperberg associate square ice models with appropriate boundary conditions, and give determinantal and Pfaffian formulae for the partition functions. In this paper, we utilize several determinant and Pfaffian identities to evaluate Kuperberg’s determinants and Pfaffians, and express the round partition functions in terms of irreducible characters of classical groups. In particular, we settle a conjecture on the number of vertically and horizontally symmetric alternating sign matrices (VHSASMs).

1 Introduction

An alternating sign matrix (or ASM for short) is a square matrix satisfying the following three conditions:

(a) All entries are 1, $-1$ or 0.
(b) Every row and column have sum 1.
(c) In every row and column, the nonzero entries alternate in sign.

Let $A_n$ be the set of $n \times n$ ASMs. This notion of alternating sign matrices was introduced by D. Robbins and H. Rumsey [13] in a study of Dodgson’s condensation formula for evaluating determinants. W. Mills, Robbins and Rumsey [9] conjectured a formula of the number of $n \times n$ alternating sign matrices. After more than 10 years, this conjecture was settled by D. Zeilberger [17] and G. Kuperberg [6] in completely different ways. (See [2] for the history of ASMs and related topics.)

Theorem 1.1. (Zeilberger [17], Kuperberg [6]) The number of $n \times n$ ASMs is given by

$$\#A_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(3k+1)!}{(n+k)!}.$$

Kuperberg’s proof is based on a bijection between ASMs and square-ice states in the 6-vertex model with domain wall boundary condition, and on the Izergin-Korepin determinant formula for the partition function of this model.

The dihedral group $D_8$ of order 8 acts on the set $A_n$ of all ASMs as symmetries of the square. Each subgroup of $D_8$ gives rise to symmetry classes of ASMs. There are 7 conjugacy classes of nontrivial subgroups of $D_8$ and it is enough to consider the following symmetry classes of ASMs.

(HTS) Half-turn symmetric ASMs (HTSASMs) that are invariant under a 180° rotation.

(QTS) Quarter-turn symmetric ASMs (QTSASMs) that are invariant under a 90° rotation.

(VS) Vertically symmetric ASMs (VSASMs) that are invariant under a flip around the vertical axis.

(VHS) Vertically and horizontally symmetric ASMs (VHSASMs) that are invariant under flips around both the vertical axis and the horizontal axis.

(DS) Diagonally symmetric ASMs (DSASMs) that are symmetric in the main diagonal.

*Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, e-mail: okada@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp
(DAS) Diagonally and antidiagonally symmetric ASMs (DASASMs) that are symmetric in both diagonals.

(TS) Totally symmetric ASMs (TSASMs) that are invariant under the full symmetry group $D_8$.

For each symmetry class $\otimes = \text{HTS}, \text{QTS}, \cdots$, let $\mathcal{A}_n^\otimes$ denote the set of $n \times n$ ASMs with symmetry $\otimes$.

Kuperberg [7] extends his argument in [6] to several classes of ASMs (or their variations) including even-order HTSASMs, even-order QTSASMSs, VSASMs and VHSASMs. He finds determinant and Pfaffian formulae for the partition functions of the square ice models corresponding to these classes of ASMs. Also, by $q$-specialization, he evaluates determinants and Pfaffians and proves closed product formulae for the number of ASMs in many of these classes. However, in the enumeration of VHSASMs, he only gives determinant formulae for the partition functions and do not succeed in proving the product formula conjectured by Mills.

In this article, we evaluate the Kuperberg’s determinants and Pfaffians by applying determinant and Pfaffian identities involving Vandermonde-type determinants (see Theorem 3.3 and 3.4 in Section 3), some of which appeared in [10] and were used for a study of rectangular-shaped determinants of classical groups. Then we can show that the partition functions corresponding to the round 1-, 2-, and 3-enumerations are expressed in terms of irreducible characters of classical groups up to simple factors. In particular, we obtain the following formulae for the number of some symmetry classes of ASMs.

**Theorem 1.2.**

1. The number of $n \times n$ ASMs is given by
   $$\# \mathcal{A}_n = 3^{-n(n-1)/2} \dim \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1)).$$

2. The number of $2n \times 2n$ HTSASMs is given by
   $$\# \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{\text{HTS}} = 3^{-n(n-1)/2} \dim \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1)) \cdot 3^{-n(n-1)/2} \dim \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta(n, n - 1)).$$

3. The number of $4n \times 4n$ QTSASMs is given by
   $$\# \mathcal{A}_{4n}^{\text{QTS}} = \left(3^{-n(n-1)/2} \dim \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1))\right)^3 \cdot 3^{-n(n-1)/2} \dim \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta(n, n - 1)).$$

4. The number of $(2n + 1) \times (2n + 1)$ VSASMs is given by
   $$\# \mathcal{A}_{2n+1}^{\text{VS}} = 3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \text{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1)).$$

5. The number of $(4n + 1) \times (4n + 1)$ VHSASMs is given by
   $$\# \mathcal{A}_{4n+1}^{\text{VHS}} = 3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \text{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1)) \cdot 2^{-2n}3^{-n^2} \dim \tilde{O}_{4n}(\delta(n + 1/2, n - 1/2)).$$

6. The number of $(4n + 3) \times (4n + 3)$ VHSASMs is given by
   $$\# \mathcal{A}_{4n+3}^{\text{VHS}} = 3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \text{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1)) \cdot 3^{-n^2} \dim \text{Sp}_{4n+2}(\delta(n, n - 1)).$$

Here $\dim \text{GL}_N(\lambda)$ (resp. $\dim \text{Sp}_N(\lambda)$, $\dim \tilde{O}_N(\lambda)$) denotes the dimension of the irreducible representation of $\text{GL}_N$ (resp. $\text{Sp}_N$, $\tilde{O}_N$) with “highest weight” $\lambda$ (see §2 for a precise definition) and

$$\delta(n - 1, n - 1) = (n - 1, n - 1, n - 2, n - 2, \cdots, 2, 2, 1, 1),$$
$$\delta(n, n - 1) = (n, n - 1, n - 1, n - 2, \cdots, 3, 2, 2, 1),$$
$$\delta(n + 1/2, n - 1/2) = (n + 1/2, n - 1/2, n - 1/2, n - 3/2, \cdots, 5/2, 3/2, 3/2, 1/2).$$

Each identity in this theorem, together with the Weyl’s dimension formula, gives a closed product formula for for the number of the symmetry class of ASMs. In particular, we settle the Mills’ conjecture on the number of VHSASMs [12] Section 4.2.

Also we obtain the following formulae for other classes of ASMs. (See Section 2 for a definition of each class.)
Theorem 1.3. (1) The number of $2n \times 2n$ OSASMs is given by
\[
\#\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{\text{OS}} = 3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \mathbf{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1)).
\]

(2) The number of $(8n + 1) \times (8n + 1)$ VOSASMs is given by
\[
\#\mathcal{A}_{8n+1}^{\text{VOS}} = \left(3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \mathbf{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1))\right)^3 \cdot 2^{-2n^2-n^2} \dim \hat{\mathbf{O}}_{4n}(\delta(n+1/2, n-1/2)).
\]

(3) The number of $(8n + 3) \times (8n + 3)$ VOSASMs is given by
\[
\#\mathcal{A}_{8n+3}^{\text{VOS}} = \left(3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \mathbf{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1))\right)^3 \cdot 3^{-n^2} \dim \mathbf{Sp}_{4n+2}(\delta(n, n-1)).
\]

(4) The number of UASMs of order $2n$ is given by
\[
\#\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{\text{U}} = 2^n3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \mathbf{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1)).
\]

(5) The number of UUASMs of order $4n$ is given by
\[
\#\mathcal{A}_{4n}^{\text{UU}} = 3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \mathbf{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1)) \cdot 3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \hat{\mathbf{O}}_{4n+1}(\delta(n, n-1)).
\]

(6) The number of VHPASMs of order $4n + 2$ is given by
\[
\#\mathcal{A}_{4n+2}^{\text{VHP}} = \left(3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \mathbf{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1))\right)^2.
\]

(7) The number of UOSASMs of order $8n$ is given by
\[
\#\mathcal{A}_{8n}^{\text{UOS}} = \left(3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \mathbf{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1))\right)^3 \cdot 3^{-n(n-1)} \dim \hat{\mathbf{O}}_{4n+1}(\delta(n, n-1)).
\]

This theorem leads us to closed product formulae for the numbers of these classes of ASMs. The product formulae in the VOSASM case are new, though the other case are studied in [7].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review results in [7] on the partition functions of square ice models associated to various classes of ASMs, and state our main results which relate these partition functions with characters of classical groups. As key tools in evaluating the determinants and Pfaffians appearing in the partition functions, we use determinant and Pfaffian identities involving the Vandermonde-type determinants, which are presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove the main results.

2 Partition functions and classical group characters

In this section, we review results on the partition functions in [7] and give formulæ which relate these partition functions to the classical group characters.

In addition to the symmetry classes of square ASMS, we consider the following classes of square ASMs.

- (OS) Off-diagonally symmetric ASMs (OSASMs), that are diagonally symmetric ASMs with zeros on the main diagonal.

- (OOS) Off-diagonally and off-antidiagonally symmetric ASMs (OOSASMs), that are diagonally and antidiagonally symmetric ASMs with zeros on the main diagonal and the antidiagonal.

- (VOS) Vertically and off-diagonally symmetric ASMs (VOSASMs), that are vertically symmetric and diagonally symmetric with zeros on the main diagonal except for the center. The last class (VOSASMs) is not considered in [7], but this arises naturally from UOSASMs defined below. It is clear that VOSASMs are TSASMs. And one can show that there are no VOSASMs of order $8n + 5$ or $8n + 7$.

A vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ consisting 1s, 0s and $-1$s is an alternating sign vector if the sum of the entries is equal to 1 and the nonzero entries alternate in sign. Kuperberg [7] introduces the following variations of ASMs.
We introduce the following classes, but here we concentrate on these 1-, 2- and 3-enumeration of the class $\tau$. We are interested in the integers $n$ where $M(A)$ is symmetric with $\text{HT}(A)$.

Let $A_{2n}$ be the set of UASMs of order $2n$.

Let $A_{4n}$ be the set of UUASMs of order $4n$.

Let $A_{8n}$ be the set of UOSASMs of order $8n$.

For each class $\tau = \text{HTS}$, $\text{QTS}$, $\text{VOS}$, $\text{UOS}$, we consider the generating function

$$A_n^\tau(x) = \sum_{A \in A_n^\tau} x^{n \circ (A)},$$

where $n \circ (A)$ is the number of the orbits of $-1$s under symmetry, excluding any $-1$s that are forced by symmetry. We are interested in the integers $A_n^\tau(0)$, $A_n^\tau(1)$, $A_n^\tau(2)$, $A_n^\tau(3)$, which are called 0-, 1-, 2- and 3-enumeration of the class $\tau$ respectively. In [7], more parameters are introduced for some classes, but here we concentrate on these $x$-enumerations.

Now we give formulae for the partition functions of the square ice models associated to various classes of ASMs. We use the following abbreviation:

$$\sigma(t) = t - \frac{1}{t}.$$

For two vectors of $n$ variables $\vec{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$, $\vec{y} = (y_1, \cdots, y_n)$ and parameters $a$, $b$, $c$, we introduce the following $n \times n$ matrices:

$$M(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a)_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\sigma(ax_i/y_j)/\sigma(ay_j/x_i)},$$

$$M_{\text{HT}}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a)_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\sigma(ax_i/y_j)} + \frac{1}{\sigma(ay_j/x_i)},$$

$$M_{\text{U}}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a)_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\sigma(ax_i/y_j)/\sigma(ay_j/x_i)} - \frac{1}{\sigma(ax_i/y_j)\sigma(1/x_iy_j)},$$

$$M_{\text{UU}}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a, b, c)_{i,j} = \frac{\sigma(b/y_j)\sigma(cx_i)}{\sigma(ax_i/y_j)} - \frac{\sigma(b/y_j)\sigma(c/x_i)}{\sigma(a/x_iy_j)} - \frac{\sigma(b/y_j)\sigma(c/x_i)}{\sigma(ax_i/y_j)} + \frac{\sigma(b/y_j)\sigma(c/x_i)}{\sigma(ay_j/x_i)}.$$

We put

$$F(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) = \frac{\prod_{i,j=1}^n \sigma(ax_i/y_j)\sigma(ay_j/x_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sigma(x_j/x_i)\sigma(y_i/y_j)},$$

$$F_V(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) = \frac{\prod_{i,j=1}^n \sigma(ax_i/y_j)\sigma(ay_j/x_i)\sigma(ax_iy_j)\sigma(ay_jx_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sigma(x_j/x_i)\sigma(y_i/y_j)\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sigma(1/x_iy_j)\sigma(y_iy_j)}.$$

(U) An alternating sign matrix with U-turn boundary (UASM) of order $2n$ is a $2n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. Each column vector is an alternating sign vector.
2. For each $k$, the vector $(a_{2k-1,1}, a_{2k-1,2}, \cdots, a_{2k-1,n}, a_{2k,n}, \cdots, a_{2k,2}, a_{2k,1})$ is an alternating sign vector.

(UU) A vertically and horizontally perverse alternating sign matrix (VHPASM) of order $4n + 2$ is a UASM $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}$ of order $4n$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{2n} a_{2k-1,j} = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_{i,2k-1} = 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $A_{4n+2}$ be the set of VHPASMs of order $4n + 2$.

(UOS) An off-diagonally symmetric alternating sign matrix with U-turn boundary (OSASM) of order $8n$ is a UASM $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4n}$ of order $4n$ such that $A$ is symmetric with zeros on the main diagonal. Let $A_{8n}$ be the set of UOSASMs of order $8n$.
and define the partition functions as follows:

\[ A(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-n^2+n} F(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) \det M(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a), \]
\[ A^{(2)}_{\text{HT}}(2n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-n^2} F(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) \det M_{\text{HT}}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a), \]
\[ A_{V}(2n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-2n^2+2n} F_V(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) \det M_V(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a), \]
\[ A^{(2)}_{UU}(4n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a, b, c) = \sigma(a)^{-2n^2-n} \sigma(b/a)^{-n} \sigma(c/a)^{-n} \sigma(a^2)^{2n} \]
\[ \times F_V(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) \det M_{UU}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a, b, c), \]
\[ A^{(2)}_{VU}(4n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-2n^2-n} F_V(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) \det M_{UU}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a, a, a), \]
\[ A^{(2)}_{VU}(4n+3; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-2n^2-n} F_V(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) \det M_{UU}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a, a^{-1}, a^{-1}), \]
\[ A^{(2)}_{VU}(4n+2; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-2n^2-n} F_V(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a)(-1)^n \det M_{UU}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; a, a, a^{-1}). \]

We call them the determinant partition functions.

**Remark 2.1.** The partition functions of the square-ice models associated to UASMs and UUSMs computed in [7] have extra factors

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma(a^2 x_i^2)}{\sigma(a^2)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma(a^2 y_i^2)}{\sigma(a^2)}, \]

which do not affect the x-enumeration. So we omit these factors in the definition of \( A_V \) and \( A^{(2)}_{UU} \).

For a vector of 2n variables \( \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) \) and parameters \( a, b, c \), we introduce the \( 2n \times 2n \) skew-symmetric matrices:

\[ M_{QT}(n; \vec{x}; a)_{ij} = \frac{\sigma(x_i^j / x_j^i)}{\sigma(ax_i/x_j) \sigma(ax_j/x_i)}, \]
\[ M_{O}(n; \vec{x}; a)_{ij} = \frac{\sigma(x_i/x_j)}{\sigma(ax_i,x_j) \sigma(a/x_i x_j)}, \]
\[ M_{OO}(n; \vec{x}; a, b, c)_{ij} = \sigma(x_j/x_i) \left( \frac{c^2}{\sigma(ax_i x_j)} + \frac{b^2}{\sigma(a/x_i x_j)} \right), \]
\[ M^{(1)}_{VO}(n; \vec{x}; a)_{i,j} = \sigma(x_j/x_i) \sigma(x_i/x_j) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma(ax_i x_j) \sigma(a/x_i x_j)} - \frac{1}{\sigma(ax_j/x_i) \sigma(ax_i/x_j)} \right), \]
\[ M^{(2)}_{VO}(n; \vec{x}; a, c)_{i,j} = \sigma(x_j/x_i) \sigma(x_i/x_j) \times \left( \frac{\sigma(cx_i) \sigma(c x_j)}{\sigma(ax_i x_j)} - \frac{\sigma(cx_i) \sigma(c x_j)}{\sigma(ax_i/x_j)} - \frac{\sigma(c x_i) \sigma(cx_j)}{\sigma(ax_j/x_j)} + \frac{\sigma(c x_i) \sigma(cx_j)}{\sigma(a/x_i x_j)} \right). \]

We put

\[ F_{QT}(n; \vec{x}; a) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \sigma(ax_i/x_j) \sigma(ax_j/x_i), \]
\[ F_{O}(n; \vec{x}; a) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \sigma(ax_i/x_j) \sigma(a/x_i x_j), \]
\[ F_{VO}(n; \vec{x}; a) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \sigma(ax_i/x_j) \sigma(ax_j/x_i) \sigma(ax_i x_j) \sigma(a/x_i x_j)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \sigma(x_j/x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \sigma(x_i x_j)}. \]
and define the Pfaffian partition functions as follows:

\[ A_{\text{QTS}}^{(k)}(4n; \vec{x}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-2n^2 + 2n} F_{\text{QTS}}(n; \vec{x}; a) \text{Pf } M_{\text{QTS}}^{(k)}(n; \vec{x}; a), \]

\[ A_{O}(2n; \vec{x}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-2n^2 + 2n} F_{O}(n; \vec{x}; a) \text{Pf } M_{O}(n; \vec{x}; a), \]

\[ A_{\text{OO}}^{(2)}(4n; \vec{x}; a, b, c) = \sigma(a)^{-2n^2 + n} F_{\text{OO}}(n; \vec{x}; a) \text{Pf } M_{\text{OO}}(n; \vec{x}; a, b, c), \]

\[ A_{\text{UO}}^{(1)}(8n; \vec{x}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-4n^2 + 4n} F_{\text{UO}}(n; \vec{x}; a) \text{Pf } M_{\text{UO}}^{(1)}(n; \vec{x}; a), \]

\[ A_{\text{UO}}^{(2)}(8n; \vec{x}; a, c) = \sigma(a)^{-4n^2 + n} \sigma(c/a)^{-2n} \sigma(a^2)^{2n} F_{\text{UO}}(n; \vec{x}; a) \text{Pf } M_{\text{UO}}^{(2)}(n; \vec{x}; a, c), \]

\[ A_{\text{VO}}^{(2)}(8n + 1; \vec{x}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-4n^2 + n} F_{\text{VO}}(n; \vec{x}; a) \text{Pf } M_{\text{VO}}^{(2)}(n; \vec{x}; a, a), \]

\[ A_{\text{VO}}^{(2)}(8n + 3; \vec{x}; a) = \sigma(a)^{-4n^2 + n} F_{\text{VO}}(n; \vec{x}; a) \text{Pf } M_{\text{VO}}^{(2)}(n; \vec{x}; a, a^{-1}). \]

We call them the Pfaffian partition functions.

Kuperberg \[ 7 \] proves that the \( x \)-enumerations are obtained from these partition functions by specializing all the spectral parameters \( x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \) (or \( x_1, \ldots, x_{2n} \)) to 1.

**Theorem 2.2.** (Kuperberg) Let \( \vec{\mathbf{1}} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \). If \( x = a^2 + 2 + a^{-2} \), then we have

\[ A_n(x) = A(n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{\text{HTS}}^{(2n)}(x) = A(2n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{\text{HT}}^{(2)}(2n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{2n+1}^{(2n)}(x) = A_V(2n + 1; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{4n+1}^{(4n)}(x) = A_V(2n + 1; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{VH}^{(2)}(4n + 1; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{4n+3}^{(4n)}(x) = A_V(2n + 1; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{VH}^{(2)}(4n + 3; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{2n}^{(2n)}(x) = A_V(2n + 1; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{4n}^{(4n)}(x) = A_V(2n + 1; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{VH}^{(2)}(4n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}), \]

\[ A_{4n+2}^{(4n)}(x) = A_V(2n + 1; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{VH}^{(2)}(4n + 2; \vec{\mathbf{1}}, \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

Also we have

\[ A_{4n}^{(4n)}(x) = A_{QTS}^{(4n)}(4n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{QTS}^{(4)}(4n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{2n}^{(2n)}(x) = A_O(2n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{4n}^{(4n)}(x) = A_O(2n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{OO}^{(2)}(4n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a, b, b), \]

\[ A_{2n}^{(2n)}(x) = A_{UO}^{(1)}(8n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{UO}^{(2)}(8n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a, \sqrt{-1}), \]

\[ A_{4n+1}^{(4n)}(x) = A_{UO}^{(1)}(8n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{UO}^{(2)}(8n + 1; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a), \]

\[ A_{4n+3}^{(4n)}(x) = A_{UO}^{(1)}(8n; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a) A_{UO}^{(2)}(8n + 3; \vec{\mathbf{1}}; a). \]

**Remark 2.3.** The last two identities for VOSASMs were not treated in \[ 7 \], but can be proved in a way similar to the proof for VHNASMs.

Let \( \zeta_n = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}/n) \) be the primitive \( n \)-th root of unity. Then the correspondence between \( a \) and \( x = a^2 + 2 + a^{-2} \) are given as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\hline
a & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline
x = a^2 + 2 + a^{-2} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

To state our results, we introduce the irreducible characters of classical groups. A partition (resp. half-partition) is a non-increasing sequence \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \) of non-negative integers \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \) (resp. non-negative half-integers \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2 \)). If \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are both partitions (resp. both half-partitions), then \( \lambda \cup \mu \) denote the partition (resp. half-partition) obtained by rearranging the entries of \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) in decreasing order. For a integer (or a half-integer) \( r \) and a positive integer \( k \), we define a partition (or a half-partition) \( \delta(r) \) and \( \delta^2(r) \) by putting

\[ \delta(r) = (r, r - 1, r - 2, r - 3, \ldots), \quad \delta^2(r) = (r, r - 2, r - 4, r - 6, \ldots). \]
Also we define
\[ \delta(r, s) = \delta(r) \cup \delta(s), \quad \delta^2(r, s) = \delta^2(r) \cup \delta^2(s). \]

For a sequence \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \) of integers or half integers, and a vector \( \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) of \( n \) indeterminates, we define \( n \times n \) matrices \( V(\alpha; \vec{x}) \) and \( W_\pm(\alpha; \vec{x}) \) by putting
\[
V(\alpha; \vec{x}) = (x_i^{\alpha_j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}, \quad W_\pm(\alpha; \vec{x}) = (x_i^{\alpha_j} \pm x_i^{-\alpha_j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.
\]

For a partition \( \lambda \) with length \( n \), we define
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GL}_n(\lambda; \vec{x}) &= \frac{\det V(\lambda + \delta(n-1); \vec{x})}{\det V(\delta(n-1); \vec{x})}, \\
\text{Sp}_2n(\lambda; \vec{x}) &= \frac{\det W^{-}(\lambda + \delta(n); \vec{x})}{\det W^{-}(\delta(n); \vec{x})}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( \text{GL}_n(\lambda; \vec{x}) \) (resp. \( \text{Sp}_2n(\lambda; \vec{x}) \)) is the character of the irreducible representation \( \text{GL}_n(\lambda) \) (resp. \( \text{Sp}_2n(\lambda) \)) of the general linear group \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \) (resp. the symplectic group \( \text{Sp}_2n(\mathbb{C}) \)). If \( \lambda \) is a partition with length \( \leq n \) or a half-partition of length \( n \), we define
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O}_{2n+1}(\lambda; \vec{x}) &= \frac{\det W^{-}(\lambda + \delta(n-1/2); \vec{x})}{\det W^{-}(\delta(n-1/2); \vec{x})}, \\
\text{O}_{2n}(\lambda; \vec{x}) &= \begin{cases} \\
\frac{\det W^{+}(\lambda + \delta(n-1); \vec{x})}{(1/2) \det W^{+}(\delta(n-1); \vec{x})} & \text{if } \lambda_n \neq 0, \\
\frac{\det W^{+}(\lambda + \delta(n-1); \vec{x})}{\det W^{+}(\delta(n-1); \vec{x})} & \text{if } \lambda_n = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( \text{O}_{N}(\lambda; \vec{x}) \) is the character of the irreducible representation \( \text{O}_{N}(\lambda) \) of the double cover \( \text{O}_{N} \) of the orthogonal group \( \text{O}_{N} \).

Now we are in position to state our main results. Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 in Introduction immediately follows from the following Theorem and Theorem 2.1. For a vector \( \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) and an integer \( k \), we put
\[ \vec{x}^k = (x_1^k, \ldots, x_n^k). \]

First we give formulae for the determinant partition functions.

**Theorem 2.4.** (1) For the partition function associated to ASMs, we have
\[
A(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_4) = 2^{-n^2+n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-n+1} y_i^{n+1} \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_j^2) \cdot \text{perm} \left( \frac{1}{x_i^2 + y_j^2} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n},
\]
\[
A(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) = 3^{-n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-n+1} y_i^{n+1} \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta(n-1, n-1); \vec{x}^2, \vec{y}^2),
\]
\[
A(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) = 2^{-n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-n+1} y_i^{n+1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i^2 + y_j^2)(y_i^2 + y_j^2),
\]
\[
A(n; \vec{x}, \vec{x}; \zeta_{12}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n+2} \text{GL}_n(\delta(p, p-1); \vec{x}^4) \text{GL}_n(\delta(q, q); \vec{x}^4),
\]
where \( \text{perm} \) denotes the permanent of a matrix (see [3]), and \( p \) and \( q \) are the largest integers not exceeding \( n/2 \) and \( (n - 1)/2 \) respectively.

(2) For the partition function associated to HTSASMs of order \( 2n \), we have
\[
A^{(2)}_{HT}(2n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_4) = 2^{-n^2+n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-n} y_i^{n} \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_j^2),
\]
\[
A^{(2)}_{HT}(2n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) = 3^{-n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-n} y_i^{n} \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta(n-1, n-1); \vec{x}^2, \vec{y}^2),
\]
\[
A^{(2)}_{HT}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{x}; \zeta_8) = 2^{-n(n-1)/2+n} \text{GL}_n(\delta^2(n, n-2); \vec{x}^2) \text{GL}_n(\delta^2(n-1, n-1); \vec{y}^2).
\]
For the partition function associated to VHPASMs, we have

\[
A\nu(2n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_4) = 2^{-2n^2+2n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n+2} y_i^{-2n+2} \times \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 y_j^2) \text{perm} \left( \frac{1}{(x_i^2 + y_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 y_j^2)} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n},
\]

\[
A\nu(2n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) = 3^{-n(n-1)} Sp_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1); \vec{x}^2, \vec{y}^2),
\]

\[
A\nu(2n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) = 2^{-n(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n+2} y_i^{-2n+2} \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i^2 + x_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 x_j^2)(y_i^2 + y_j^2)(1 + y_i^2 y_j^2),
\]

\[
A\nu(2n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_{12}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + x_i^{-2})} \times \tilde{O}_{2n+1}(\delta(n/2, n/2-1); \vec{x}^4) \tilde{O}_{2n}(\delta(n+1/2, n-3/2); \vec{x}^2, \vec{y}^2),
\]

For the partition function associated to VHSASMs of order 4n + 1, we have

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_4) = 2^{-2n^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n} y_i^{-2n} \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 y_j^2),
\]

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) = 3^{-n^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + x_i^{-1})(y_i + y_i^{-1}) \tilde{O}_{4n}(\delta(n+1/2, n-1/2); \vec{x}^2, \vec{y}^2),
\]

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n+1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) = 2^{-n(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + x_i^{-1})^2 \times \tilde{O}_{2n}(\delta^2(n+1/2, n-3/2); \vec{x}^2) \tilde{O}_{2n}(\delta^2(n-1/2, n-1/2); \vec{x}^2).
\]

For the partition function associated to VHSASMs of order 4n + 3, we have

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n+3; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_4) = 2^{-2n^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n} y_i^{-2n} \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 y_j^2),
\]

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n+3; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) = 3^{-n^2} Sp_{4n+2}(\delta(n, n-1); \vec{x}^2, \vec{y}^2, 1),
\]

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n+3; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) = 2^{-n^2+n} \tilde{O}_{2n}(\delta^2(n, n-2); \vec{x}^2) \tilde{O}_{2n}(\delta^2(n-1, n-1); \vec{x}^2).
\]

For the partition function associated to UUASMs of order 4n, we have

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{UU}}(4n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_4, \zeta_4) = 2^{-2n^2+2n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n} y_i^{-2n} \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 y_j^2),
\]

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{UU}}(4n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6, \zeta_4) = 3^{-n^2+n} \tilde{O}_{4n+1}(\delta(n, n-1); \vec{x}^2, \vec{y}^2),
\]

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{UU}}(4n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8, \zeta_4) = 2^{-n^2+2n} \tilde{O}_{2n+1}(\delta^2(n, n-2); \vec{x}^2) \tilde{O}_{2n+1}(\delta^2(n-1, n-1); \vec{x}^2).
\]

For the partition function associated to VHPASMs, we have

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VHP}}(4n+2; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_4) = 2^{-2n^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n} y_i^{-2n} \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (x_i^2 + y_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 y_j^2),
\]

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VHP}}(4n+2; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) = 3^{-n^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y_i^2 + 1 + y_i^{-2}) Sp_{4n}(\delta(n-1, n-1); \vec{x}^2, \vec{y}^2),
\]

\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VHP}}(4n+2; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) = 2^{-n^2+n} GL_{2n}(\delta^2(2n-2, 2n-2); \vec{x}^2, \vec{x}^{-2}).
\]

Next theorem gives formulae for the Pfaffian partition functions.
Theorem 2.5. (1) For the partition functions associated to QTASMs, we have

\[ A^{(1)}_{QT}(4n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_4}); \zeta_4) = 2^{-2n^2+2n} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^{-2n+2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (x_i^2 + x_j^2) \text{Hf} \left( \frac{1}{x_i^2 + x_j^2} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}, \]

\[ A^{(1)}_{QT}(n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_6}); \zeta_6) = 3^{-n^2+n} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^{-2n+2} \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1); \overrightarrow{\zeta_2}^2), \]

\[ A^{(1)}_{QT}(4n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_8}); \zeta_8) = 2^{-n^2-n} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^{-2n+2} \text{GL}_{2n}(\delta^2(2n - 2, 2n - 2); \overrightarrow{\zeta_2}^2), \]

\[ A^{(2)}_{QT}(4n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_6}); \zeta_6) = 2^{-n^2-n} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^{-2n+1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (x_i^2 + x_j^2), \]

where \( Hf \) denotes the Hafnian of a symmetric matrix (see \( \Box \)).

(2) For the partition function associated to OSASMs, we have

\[ A_{O}(n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_4}); \zeta_4) = 2^{-2n^2+2n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-2n+2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (1 + x_i^2 x_j^2) \text{Hf} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + x_i^2 x_j^2)^2} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}, \]

\[ A_{O}(2n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_6}); \zeta_6) = 3^{-n^2+n} \text{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1); \overrightarrow{\zeta_2}^2). \]

(3) For the partition function associated to OOSASMs, we have

\[ A^{(2)}_{OO}(4n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_4}, b, b) = 2^{-2n^2+2n} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^{-2n+1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (1 + x_i^2 x_j^2), \]

(4) For the partition functions associated to UOSASMs, we have

\[ A^{(1)}_{UO}(8n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_4}); \zeta_4) = 2^{-4n^2+4n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-4n+4} \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (x_i^2 + x_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 x_j^2) \text{Hf} \left( \frac{1}{(x_i^2 + x_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 x_j^2)} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}, \]

\[ A^{(1)}_{UO}(8n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_6}); \zeta_6) = 3^{-2n^2+2n} \text{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1); \overrightarrow{\zeta_2}^2), \]

\[ A^{(2)}_{UO}(8n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_4}, \zeta_4) = 2^{-4n^2+4n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-4n+2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (x_i^2 + x_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 x_j^2), \]

\[ A^{(2)}_{UO}(8n; \overrightarrow{\zeta_6}, \zeta_6) = 3^{-2n^2+2n} \text{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1); \overrightarrow{\zeta_2}^2) \tilde{O}_{4n}(\delta(n, n - 1); \overrightarrow{\zeta_2}^2). \]

(5) For the partition function associated to VOSASMs of order \( 8n + 1 \), we have

\[ A^{(2)}_{VO}(8n + 1; \overrightarrow{\zeta_4}); \zeta_4) = 2^{-4n^2+2n} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^{-4n+2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (x_i^2 + x_j^2)(1 + x_i^2 x_j^2), \]

\[ A^{(2)}_{VO}(8n + 1; \overrightarrow{\zeta_6}); \zeta_6) = 3^{-2(2n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (x_i + x_i^{-1}) \]

\[ \times \text{Sp}_{4n}(\delta(n - 1, n - 1); \overrightarrow{\zeta_2}^2) \tilde{O}_{4n}(\delta(n + 1/2, n - 1/2); \overrightarrow{\zeta_2}^2). \]
Lemma 3.1. \[
\sigma_A = \left( \begin{array}{c}
    \sigma_1 \vdots \\
    \end{array} \right)
\]

\[A_{\sigma} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
    x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    x_n & \cdots & x_1 \\
\end{array} \right)\]

\[A^2 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
    x_1^2 & \cdots & x_n^2 \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    x_n^2 & \cdots & x_1^2 \\
\end{array} \right)\]

\[A^2 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
    x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    x_n & \cdots & x_1 \\
\end{array} \right)\]

By combining Theorem 2.3 and 2.4 with Theorem 2.1, we obtain formulae for 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-enumerations in terms of the dimensions of irreducible representations of classical groups. In particular, we obtain Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 in Introduction.

Remark 2.6. By using different techniques, Y. Stroganov and A. Razumov [16], [11] obtained formulae of \(A(n; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_6)\), \(A_V(2n + 1; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_6)\) and \(A_{O}(2n; \overrightarrow{x}; \zeta_6)\) in terms of Vandermonde-type determinants, which immediately imply the corresponding formulae in Theorems 2.4 and 2.5.

3 Determinant and Pfaffian identities

In this section, we collect determinant and Pfaffian identities, which will be used in the evaluation of the determinants and Pfaffians in the partition functions introduced in Section 2.

The determinant and permanent of a square matrix \(A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\) are defined by

\[\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)},\]

\[\text{perm} A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)},\]

where \(S_n\) is the symmetric group of degree \(n\). And the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix \(A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}\) and the Hafnian of a symmetric matrix \(B = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}\) are given by

\[\text{Pf} A = \sum_{\sigma \in F_{2n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(3)} \cdots a_{\sigma(2n-1)} a_{\sigma(2n)},\]

\[\text{Hf} B = \sum_{\sigma \in F_{2n}} b_{\sigma(1)} b_{\sigma(3)} \cdots b_{\sigma(2n-1)} b_{\sigma(2n)},\]

where \(F_{2n}\) is the set of all permutations \(\sigma\) satisfying \(\sigma(1) < \sigma(3) < \cdots < \sigma(2n-1) < \sigma(2i)\) for 1 \(\leq i \leq n\).

First we recall the Cauchy’s determinant identity \([3]\), the Schur’s Pfaffian identity \([14]\) and its variant \([8], [15]\).

Lemma 3.1.

\[\det \left( \begin{array}{c}
    1 \\
    x_i + y_j \\
\end{array} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i) \prod_{i, j = 1}^{n} (x_i + y_j),\]

\[\text{Pf} \left( \begin{array}{c}
    x_j - x_i \\
    x_j + x_i \\
\end{array} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} \frac{x_j - x_i}{x_j + x_i},\]

\[\text{Pf} \left( \begin{array}{c}
    x_j - x_i \\
    1 - x_i x_j \\
\end{array} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} \frac{x_j - x_i}{1 - x_i x_j}.\]

The identities in the next lemma will be used to evaluate some of the determinants and Pfaffians appearing in the 0-enumerations. The first identity \([12]\) goes back to C. Borchardt \([1]\), and its Pfaffian-Hafnian analogues \([10]\) and \([14]\) are given in \([4]\).
Lemma 3.2.

\[
\det \left( \frac{1}{(x_i + y_j)^2} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i) \prod_{i, j = 1}^n (x_i + y_j), \quad \text{perm} \left( \frac{1}{x_i + y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \tag{12}
\]

\[
Pf \left( \frac{x_j - x_i}{(x_j + x_i)^2} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \frac{x_j - x_i}{x_j + x_i} \cdot \text{Hf} \left( \frac{1}{x_j + x_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}, \tag{13}
\]

\[
Pf \left( \frac{x_j - x_i}{(1 - x_i x_j)^2} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \frac{x_j - x_i}{1 - x_i x_j} \cdot \text{Hf} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x_i x_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}. \tag{14}
\]

The following two theorems are the key to evaluate the determinants and Pfaffians appearing in the round 1-, 2-, and 3-enumerations. The identities [10, 11, 12, 13] already appeared in [10] and their specializations are [11] Theorem 16, 17.

For \( \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) and \( \vec{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \), let \( V^{p,q}(\vec{x}; \vec{a}) \) \((p + q = n)\) and \( W^n(\vec{x}; \vec{a}) \) be the \( n \times n \) matrices with \( i \)-th row

\[
(1, x_i, x_i^2, \ldots, x_i^{p-1}, a_i, a_i x_i, \ldots, a_i x_i^{q-1}),
\]

\[
(1 + a_i x_i^{n-1}, x_i + a_i x_i^{n-2}, \ldots, x_i^{n-1} + a_i)
\]

respectively.

**Theorem 3.3.** For \( \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n), \vec{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n), \vec{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) and \( \vec{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \), we have

\[
\det \left( \frac{b_j - a_i}{y_j - x_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \frac{(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}}{\prod_{i, j = 1}^n (y_j - x_i)} \det V^{n,n}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; \vec{a}, \vec{b}), \tag{15}
\]

\[
\det \left( \frac{\text{det} W^2(x_i, y_j; a_i, b_j)}{(1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{i, j = 1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \det W^{2n}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; \vec{a}, \vec{b}), \tag{16}
\]

\[
\det \left( \frac{\text{det} W^3(x_i, y_j, z; a_i, b_j, c)}{(1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{i, j = 1}^n (1 + c)^{n-1} \det W^{2n+1}(\vec{x}; \vec{y}; \vec{z}; \vec{a}, \vec{b}, c). \tag{17}
\]

**Theorem 3.4.** For \( \vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}), \vec{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}) \), and \( \vec{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_{2n}) \), we have

\[
Pf \left( \frac{(a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i)}{x_j - x_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (x_j - x_i) \det V^{n,n}(\vec{x}; \vec{a}) \det V^{n,n}(\vec{x}; \vec{b}), \tag{18}
\]

\[
Pf \left( \frac{\text{det} W^2(x_i, x_j; a_i, a_j)}{(1 - x_i x_j)(x_j - x_i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (x_j - x_i)(1 - x_i x_j) \det W^{2n}(\vec{x}; \vec{a}) \det W^{2n}(\vec{x}; \vec{b}), \tag{19}
\]

\[
Pf \left( \frac{\text{det} W^3(x_i, x_j, z; a_i, a_j, c)}{(1 - x_i x_j)(x_j - x_i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} (1 + c)^{n-1} \det W^{2n+1}(\vec{x}; \vec{z}; \vec{a}, \vec{b}, c) \det W^{2n}(\vec{x}; \vec{b}). \tag{20}
\]

We note that the \((i, j)\) entries of the determinant in [10] and the Pfaffian in [19] can be written in the form

\[
\det W^2(x_i, y_j; a_i, b_j) = \frac{1 - a_i b_j}{1 - x_i y_j} + \frac{b_j - a_i}{y_j - x_i},
\]

\[
\det W^2(x_i, x_j; a_i, a_j) \det W^2(x_i, x_j; b_i, b_j) = (1 - x_i x_j)(x_j - x_i) \left( \frac{1 - a_i a_j}{1 - x_i x_j} + \frac{a_j - a_i}{x_j - x_i} \right) \left( \frac{1 - b_i b_j}{1 - x_i x_j} + \frac{b_j - b_i}{x_j - x_i} \right).
\]
so we omit its proof.) Here we use the following lemma. (This lemma easily follows from the definition of determinant,

\[
\det \left( \frac{\det V^{p+q}(x, y, z; a, b, c)}{y - x} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \frac{(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}}{\prod_{i, j=1}^n (y_j - x_i)} \det V^{p+q}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}; \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}).
\]

The identity \([18]\) is the special case where \(p = q = 0\). Also, we can prove

\[
\det \left( \frac{\det W^{p+2}(x, y, z; a, b, c)}{(1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i, j=1}^n (1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \det W^p(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}; \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}),
\]

which generalizes \([10]\) and \([17]\). We also have Pfaffian identities, which are generalizations of \([18]\), \([19]\), and \([20]\). See \([9]\) for generalized identities, proofs and applications.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** The identities \([15]\) and \([16]\) are proven in \([10]\) Theorem 4.2, 4.3. Here we give a proof of \([17]\).

Let \(I\) and \(J\) be subsets of \([n] = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\), and let \(L(I, J)\) (resp. \(R(I, J)\)) denote the coefficient of \(a^i b^j = \prod_{i \in I} a_i \prod_{j \in J} b_j\) on the left (resp. right) hand side of \([17]\). If we define the automorphisms \(\sigma_I^f\) and \(\sigma_J^g\) by setting

\[
\sigma_I^f(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i^{-1} & \text{if } i \in I, \\ x_i & \text{if } i \notin I, \end{cases} \quad \sigma_J^g(y_i) = \begin{cases} y_i^{-1} & \text{if } j \in J, \\ y_i & \text{if } j \notin J, \end{cases}
\]

then it follows from the definition of determinant that

\[
\sigma_I^f \sigma_J^g (L(I, J)) = \det \left( \frac{\det W^3(x, y, z; 0, 0, c)}{(1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},
\]

\[
\sigma_I^f \sigma_J^g (R(I, J)) = \frac{(1 + c)^{n-1}}{\prod_{i, j=1}^n (1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \det W^{2n+1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}; \bar{0}, \bar{0}, c),
\]

where \(\bar{0} = (0, \cdots, 0)\). Hence it is enough to show

\[
\det \left( \frac{\det W^3(x_i, y_j, z; 0, 0, c)}{(1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \frac{(1 + c)^{n-1}}{\prod_{i, j=1}^n (1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \det W^{2n+1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}; \bar{0}, \bar{0}, c). \quad (21)
\]

Regard the both sides of \((21)\) as polynomials in \(c\) and denote by \(f(c)\) and \(g(c)\) the left and right hand side of \((21)\) respectively. Since \(f(c)\) and \(g(c)\) have degree at most \(n\) in \(c\), it is enough to prove the following three claims:

**Claim 1.** \(f(c)\) is divisible by \((1 + c)^{n-1}\).

**Claim 2.** \(f(0) = g(0)\).

**Claim 3.** The coefficient of \(c^n\) in \(f(c)\) is equal to that in \(g(c)\).

First we prove Claim 1. Let \(A\) and \(B\) be the \(n \times n\) matrices with \((i, j)\) entry \(z^2 - 1\) and \((1 - x_i)(1 - z y_j)/(1 - x_i y_j)\) respectively. Then we have

\[
\left( \frac{\det W^3(x_i, y_j, z; 0, 0, c)}{(1 - x_i y_j)(y_j - x_i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = A + (c + 1)B.
\]

Here we use the following lemma. (This lemma easily follows from the definition of determinant, so we omit its proof.)
Lemma 3.6. For $n \times n$ matrices $X$ and $Y$, we have
\[ \det(X + Y) = \sum_{H, K} (-1)^{\Sigma(H) + \Sigma(K)} \det A_{H, K} \det B_{H^c, K^c}, \]
where the sum is taken over all pairs of subsets $H$ and $K \subset [n]$ with $\# H = \# K$. And $X_{H, K}$ (resp. $Y_{H, K}$) denotes the submatrix of $X$ (resp. $Y$) obtained by choosing entries with row indices in $H$ (resp. $H^c$) and column indices $K$ (resp. $K^c$), and $\Sigma(H) = \sum_{h \in H} \Sigma(K) = \sum_{k \in K} k$.

Applying this lemma and using the fact that rank $A = 1$, we see that
\[ f(c) = (c + 1)^n \det B + (c + 1)^{n-1} \sum_{h, k = 1}^n (-1)^{h+k} (z^2 - 1) \det B_{[n] \setminus \{h\}, [n] \setminus \{k\}}. \]
Therefore $f(c)$ is divisible by $(c + 1)^{n-1}$.

Next we prove Claim 2. It follows from the definition of determinant that
\[ f(0) = \det \left( \frac{(z - x_i)(z - y_j)}{1 - x_i y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \]
\[ g(0) = \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (1 - x_i y_j) (y_j - x_i) \det W^{2n+1}(x, y; 0, 0). \]

By using the Cauchy’s determinant identity (10) and the Vandermonde determinant, we see that
\[ f(0) = g(0) = \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (z - x_i)(z - y_j) \det W^{2n+1}(x, y; 0, 0). \]

Claim 3 can be proven similarly. \hfill \Box

Proof of Theorem 3.4. The identities (18) and (19) are verified in [10] Theorem 4.7, 4.4. Here we give a proof of (20).

Let $I$ and $J$ be subsets of $[n] = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, and let $L(I, J)$ (resp. $R(I, J)$) denote the coefficient of $a^I b^J = \prod_{i \in I} a_i \prod_{j \in J} b_j$ on the left (resp. right) hand side of (20). Let $\sigma_I$ be the automorphism defined by
\[ \sigma_I(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i^{-1} & \text{if } i \in I, \\ x_i & \text{if } i \not\in I. \end{cases} \]

Then, by the same argument as in the proof of [10] Theorem 4.4, we can compute $\sigma_I(L(I, J))$ and $\sigma_I(R(I, J))$. We put $K = (I \cap J^c) \cup (I^c \cap J)$ and define $Z(K)$ to be the $2n \times 2n$ skew-symmetric matrix with $(i, j)$ entry
\[ Z(K)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - x_i x_j} \det W^3(x_i, x_j, z; 0, 0, c) & \text{if } i \in K \text{ and } j \in K, \\ \frac{1}{x_j - x_i} \det W^3(x_i, x_j, z; 0, 0, c) & \text{if } i \in K \text{ and } j \in K^c, \\ \frac{1}{x_j - x_i} \det W^3(x_i, x_j, z; 0, 0, c) & \text{if } i \in K^c \text{ and } j \in K, \\ \frac{1}{1 - x_i x_j} \det W^3(x_i, x_j, z; 0, 0, c) & \text{if } i \in K^c \text{ and } j \in K^c. \end{cases} \]

Then we have
\[ \sigma_I(L(I, J)) = \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{-1} \cdot \text{Pf} Z(K), \]
and
\[ \sigma_I(R(I, J)) = (-1)^{s(K)} \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{-1} \cdot \frac{(c + 1)^{n-1}}{\prod_{(k,l) \in I} (1 - x_k x_l) \prod_{(k', l') \in I} (x_{k'} - x_{k'})} \det W^{2n+1}(x, z; 0, 0), \]
where
\[ s(K) = \# \{(i, j) \in K \times [2n] : i < j\}. \]
and the products are taken over all pairs \( k < l \) and \( k' < l' \) such that

\[
(k, l) \in (K \times K) \cup (K^c \times K^c), \quad (k', l') \in (K \times K^c) \cup (K^c \times K).
\]  

Now the proof is reduced to showing the following identity:

\[
Pf Z(K) = (-1)^{s(K)} \prod_{(k, l) \in (K \times K)} (1 - x_k x_l) \prod_{(k', l') \in (K^c \times K^c)} (x_{k'} - x_{l'}) \det W^{2n}(z; 0, c),
\]  

where the products are taken over all pairs \( k < l \) and \( k' < l' \) satisfying (22).

Since \( X \) symmetric submatrix obtained from \( H \) entry and the products are taken over all subsets \( H \subset \mathbb{N} \) with \#\( H \) even, and \( X_H \) (resp. \( Y_H^c \)) denotes the skew-symmetric submatrix obtained from \( X \) (resp. \( Y \)) by picking the entries with row-indices and column-indices in \( H \) (resp. \( H^c \)).

If \( H \) is a subset with \#\( H \) even, then we have

\[
Pf(x_j - x_i)_{i,j \in H} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \#H \geq 4,
\]

and, by applying the automorphism \( \sigma_{H \cap K} \), we see that

\[
Pf(A(K)_H) = 0. \quad \text{if} \quad \#H \geq 4.
\]

Hence, by using the above lemma, we have

\[
Pf Z(K) = (c + 1)^n Pf B(K) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq 2n} (-1)^{2n+1/2-k-l-1}(c + 1)^{n-1} Pf(A(K)_{k,l}) Pf(B(K)_{2n-(k,l)})
\]

Therefore \( Pf(Z(K)) \) is divisible by \((c + 1)^{n-1}\).
Hence, by using (24) and the Vandermonde determinant, we see that

\[
Y(K)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
-x_j - x_i & \text{if } i \in K \text{ and } j \in K, \\
1 & \text{if } i \in K \text{ and } j \notin K, \\
1 & \text{if } i \notin K \text{ and } j \in K, \\
-x_j - x_i & \text{if } i \notin K \text{ and } j \notin K.
\end{cases}
\]

Then we have (see [10 Lemma 4.5])

\[
Pf Y(K) = (-1)^s(K) \prod_{i<j} (1-x_i-x_j) \prod_{i<j} (1-x_i-x_j), \tag{24}
\]

The constant term and the leading coefficient of \(f(c) = Pf Z(K)\) are given by

\[
[e^0] Pf Z(K) = Pf ((z - x_i)(z - x_j)Y(K)_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n},
\]

\[
[e^n] Pf Z(K) = Pf ((1 - z x_i)(1 - z x_j)Y(K)_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}.
\]

On the other hand, the constant term and the leading coefficient of \(\det W^{2n}(\vec{x}, z; \vec{0}, c)\) are

\[
[e^0] \det W^{2n}(\vec{x}, z; \vec{0}, c) = \det W^{2n}(\vec{x}, z; \vec{0}, 0),
\]

\[
[e^1] \det W^{2n}(\vec{x}, z; \vec{0}, c) = z^2 \det W^{2n}(\vec{x}, z^{-1}; \vec{0}, 0).
\]

Hence, by using (24) and the Vandermonde determinant, we see that

\[
[e^0] f(c) = [e^0] g(c) = (-1)^s(K) \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (z - x_i) \prod_{i<j} (1-x_i) \prod_{i<j} (1-x_i),
\]

\[
[e^n] f(c) = [e^n] g(c) = (-1)^s(K) \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1-z x_i) \prod_{i<j} (1-x_i) \prod_{i<j} (1-x_i).
\]

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4. \(\square\)

The round determinants appearing the 2- and 3-enumerations can be evaluated by applying Theorem 3.3, but we need the substitution \(\vec{y} = \vec{x}\) in the resulting determinants to obtain simple expressions, except for the 2-enumeration of ASMs and VSASMs. The following lemma will be used in this second step. The proof is done by elementary transformations and left to the reader.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \(\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n})\) be a sequence of half-integers, and let \(\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)\) and \(\vec{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)\) be two vectors of \(n\) variables.

1. Let \(V'(\alpha; \vec{x}, \vec{y})\) be the \(2n \times 2n\) matrix with \((i, j)\) entry

\[
\begin{cases} 
x_i^\alpha & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\
(-1)^{i-1} y_{i-n}^\alpha & \text{if } n+1 \leq i \leq 2n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
(-1)^{i-n} y_{i-n}^\alpha & \text{if } n+1 \leq i \leq 2n \text{ and } n+1 \leq j \leq 2n.
\end{cases}
\]

Then we have

\[
\det V'(\alpha; \vec{x}, \vec{x}) = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} 2^n \det V(\beta; \vec{x}) \det V(\beta'; \vec{x}),
\]

where

\[
\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_3, \alpha_{n+4}, \ldots), \quad \beta' = (\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_2, \alpha_{n+3}, \alpha_4, \ldots).
\]
(2) Let $W'_a(\tau, \bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be the $2n \times 2n$ matrix with $(i, j)$ entry
\[
\begin{cases}
x_i^{\alpha_j} + x_i^{-\alpha_j} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\
(-1)^{j-1}(y_i^{\alpha_j} \pm y_i^{-\alpha_j}) & \text{if } n + 1 \leq i \leq 2n,
\end{cases}
\]
Then we have
\[
\det W'_a(\tau, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \det W(\gamma, \bar{x}) \det W(\gamma', \bar{x}),
\]
where
\[
\gamma = (\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \ldots, \alpha_{2n-1}), \quad \gamma' = (\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_6, \ldots, \alpha_{2n}).
\]

(3) Let $U(\alpha, \bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be the $2n \times 2n$ matrix with $(i, j)$ entry
\[
\begin{cases}
x_i^{\alpha_j} + x_i^{-\alpha_j} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\
(-1)^{j-1} \left(y_i^{\alpha_j} - y_i^{-\alpha_j}\right) & \text{if } n + 1 \leq i \leq 2n.
\end{cases}
\]
Then we have
\[
\det U(\alpha, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 2^n \det V(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{-1}),
\]
where
\[
\bar{\alpha} = (-\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \ldots, -\alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}).
\]

4 Proof

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.3 and 2.4 stated in Section 2. Since the arguments are the same, we illustrate how to compute the partition functions $A_{\text{H}}^{(2)}(4n + 1; \bar{x}, \bar{y}; \zeta_6)$ and $A_{\text{H}}^{(2)}(4n + 3; \bar{x}, \bar{y}; \zeta_6)$, which correspond to the 1-enumerations of VHSASMs. (For other cases, see the end of this section and the tables there.)

First we consider the case of VHSASMs of order $4n + 1$ and compute the partition function $A_{\text{H}}^{(2)}(4n + 1; \bar{x}, \bar{y}; \zeta_6)$. A simple computation shows
\[
M_{UU}(n; \bar{x}, \bar{y}; a, a, a)_{i,j} = \sigma(a)(1 - x_i^2)(1 - y_j^2) \left(\frac{x_i^2 + y_j^2}{x_i^4 + y_j^4 - \frac{a^4 + 1}{a^2} x_i^2 y_j^2} + \frac{1 + x_i^2 y_j^2}{x_i^4 y_j^4 + 1 - \frac{a^4 + 1}{a^2} x_i^2 y_j^2}\right).
\]
If $a = \zeta_6$, then we have
\[
M_{UU}(n; \bar{x}, \bar{y}; \zeta_6, \zeta_6, \zeta_6) = \sigma(\zeta_6)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i^2)(1 - y_i^2) \det \left(\frac{y_i^2 - x_i^2}{y_i^2 - y_i^4} + \frac{1 - x_i^2 y_i^4}{1 - x_i^2 y_i^4}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.
\]
Now, by applying the identity (10) in Theorem 3.3 with
\[
x_i \to x_i^6, \quad y_i \to y_i^6, \quad a_i \to x_i^4, \quad b_i \to y_i^4,
\]
we have
\[
\det \left(\frac{y_i^4 - x_i^4}{y_i^6 - x_i^4} + \frac{1 - x_i^4 y_i^4}{1 - x_i^4 y_i^4}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (y_i^6 - x_i^4)(y_i^2 - x_i^4)} \det W^{2n}(\bar{x}^6, \bar{y}^6, \bar{x}^4, \bar{y}^4).
\]
By applying elementary transformations and by using the definition of orthogonal characters (4), we have
\[
\det W^{2n}(\bar{x}^6, \bar{y}^6, \bar{x}^4, \bar{y}^4)
\]
\[
= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{2n+1} y_i^{2n+1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j^2 - x_i^2)(1 - x_j^2 x_i^2)(y_j^2 - y_i^2)(1 - y_j^2 y_i^2) \prod_{i,j=1}^n (y_j^2 - x_i^2)(1 - x_i^2 y_j^2)
\]
\[
\times \overline{O}_{2n}(\delta(n + 1/2, n - 1/2; \bar{x}^2, \bar{y}^2).
\]
Then, after some computation, we obtain
\[
A^{(2)}_{VH}(4n+1; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_0) = 3^{-n^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(x_i + x_i^{-1})(y_i + y_i^{-1})} \tilde{O}_{n}(\delta(n + 1/2, n - 1/2); \overrightarrow{x}^2, \overrightarrow{y}^2).
\]

Next we consider the cases of VHSASMs of order $4n + 3$. A simple computation shows that
\[
M_{UU}(n; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; a, a^{-1}, a^{-1})_{i,j}
= \sigma(a) \left( \frac{x_i^4 y_j^2 + y_j^2 + x_i^2 y_j^4 + x_i^2 - a^4 + a^2 + 1}{a^2} \left( x_i^4 + y_j^4 - \frac{a^4 + 1}{a^2} x_i^2 y_j^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} x_i^2 y_j^2 \right) \right) \cdot (x_i^4 y_j^2 + y_j^2 + x_i^2 y_j^4 + x_i^2 - a^4 + a^2 + 1)
\]

If $a = \zeta_0$, then $(a^4 + a^2 + 1)/a^2 = 0$ and we have
\[
det M_{UU}(n; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_0, \zeta_0^{-1}, \zeta_0^{-1})
= \sigma(\zeta_0)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i^4)(1 - y_i^4) \det \left( \frac{x_i^4 y_j^2 + y_j^2 + x_i^2 y_j^4 + x_i^2 + 2 x_i^2 y_j^2}{x_i^4 + y_j^4 + x_i^2 y_j^4 + x_i^2 + 2 x_i^2 y_j^2} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.
\]

Here we note that the numerator of the $(i, j)$ entry is equal to
\[
x_i^4 y_j^2 + x_i^2 y_j^4 + 2 x_i^2 y_j^2 + x_i^2 + y_j^2 = x_i^2 y_j^2 \cdot Sp_6((1, 0, 0); x_i^2, y_j^2, 1).
\]

Instead of evaluating directly the determinant on the right hand side of (25), we consider the determinant
\[
det \left( x_i^2 y_j^2 z^2 Sp_6((1, 0, 0); x_i^2, y_j^2, z^2)/(x_i^4 + y_j^4 + x_i^2 y_j^4 + x_i^2 + 2 x_i^2 y_j^2) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.
\]

Comparing the definitions of the symplectic character $Sp_6(1, 0, 0))$ and the matrix $W^3$, we have
\[
det W^3(x^6, y^6, z^6; -x^4, -y^4, -z^4) = x^8 y^8 z^8 \cdot Sp_6((1, 0, 0); x^2, y^2, z^2) \det W^{-1}(1, 2, 3; x^2, y^2, z^2).
\]

Hence we see that
\[
det \left( x_i^2 y_j^2 z^2 \cdot Sp_6((1, 0, 0); x_i^2, y_j^2, z^2)/(x_i^4 + y_j^4 + x_i^2 y_j^4 + x_i^2 + 2 x_i^2 y_j^2) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = (1 - z^4)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i^4)(1 - y_i^4)(1 - z^4)(1 - z^4)(2^2 - y_i^2)(z^2 - y_i^2)(z^2 - y_i^2)
\]
\[
\times \det \left( \frac{det W^3(x_i^6, y_i^6, z_i^6; -x_i^4, -y_i^4, -z_i^4)}{(1 - x_i^2 y_i^2)(y_i^6 - x_i^2)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.
\]

Now we can apply the identity (17) in Theorem 3.3 with
\[
x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, \quad y_i \rightarrow y_i^6, \quad z \rightarrow z^6, \quad a_i \rightarrow -x_i^4, \quad b_j \rightarrow -y_j^4, \quad c \rightarrow -z^4.
\]

By applying elementary transformations and by using the definition (2) of symplectic characters, we have
\[
det W^{2n+1}(\overrightarrow{x}^6, \overrightarrow{y}^6, z^6; -\overrightarrow{x}^4, -\overrightarrow{y}^4, -\overrightarrow{z}^4)
= z^{2n}(1 - z^4)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^{-1} y_i^{-1} (1 - x_i^{-4})(1 - y_i^{-4})(z^2 - x_i^{-2})(z^2 - y_i^{-2})(1 - x_i^{-2} z^2)(1 - y_i^{-2} z^2)
\]
\[
\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j^2 - x_i^2)(1 - x_i^2 x_j^2)(y_j^2 - y_i^2)(1 - y_i^2 y_j^2) \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (y_j^2 - x_i^2)(1 - x_i^2 y_j^2)
\times Sp_{4n+2}(\delta(n, n - 1); \overrightarrow{x}^2, \overrightarrow{y}^2, \overrightarrow{z}^2).
\]
After canceling the common factors, we can substitute $z = 1$ and obtain
\[
\det \left( \frac{x_i^4 y_j^2 + x_i^2 y_j^4 + 2x_i^2 y_j^2 + x_i^2 + y_j^2}{(1 + x_i^2 y_j^2 + x_i^4 y_j^2)(x_i^4 + x_i^2 y_j^2 + y_j^2)} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} = 1
\]
\[
= \prod_{i,j=1}^{n} (1 + x_i^2 y_j^2 + x_i^4 y_j^2)(x_i^4 + x_i^2 y_j^2 + y_j^2)
\]
\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{2n} y_i^{2n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j^2 - x_i^2)(1 - x_i^2 x_j^2)(y_j^2 - y_i^2)(1 - y_i^2 y_j^2) \cdot \text{Sp}_{4n+2}(\delta(n, n-1); \overrightarrow{x^2}, \overrightarrow{y^2}, 1).
\]

Then, by some computation, we have
\[
A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n + 3; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_6) = 3^{-n^2} \text{Sp}_{4n+2}(\delta(n, n-1); \overrightarrow{x^2}, \overrightarrow{y^2}, 1).
\]

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3 (4) and (5) at $a = \zeta_6$.

The determinants and Pfaffians in the 0-enumerations (or in the case of $a = \zeta_4$) are evaluated by families of the Cauchy’s identity and the Borchardt’s identity. The determinant/Pfaffian identities used in the evaluation are listed in Table 1.

| Table 1: 0-enumeration |
|-------------------------|
| Partition functions     | Identities | Specialization |
| $A(n; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_4)$ | [12] | $x_i \to x_i^2$, $y_i \to y_i^2$ |
| $A^{(2)}_{\text{HT}}(n; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_4)$ | [13] | $x_i \to x_i^2$, $y_i \to y_i^2$ |
| $A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n + 1; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_4)$ | [12] | $x_i \to x_i^2 + x_i^{-2}$, $y_i \to y_i^{-2}$ |
| $A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n + 3; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_4)$ | [13] | $x_i \to x_i^2 + x_i^{-2}$, $y_i \to y_i^{-2}$ |
| $A^{(2)}_{\text{VH}}(4n + 4; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_4)$ | [10] | $x_i \to x_i^2$ |
| $A^{(1)}_{\text{QT}}(4n; \overrightarrow{x}; \zeta_4)$ | [13] | $x_i \to x_i^2$ |
| $A^{(2)}_{\text{QX}}(4n; \overrightarrow{x}; \zeta_4)$ | [10] | $x_i \to x_i^2$ |
| $A^{(2)}_{\text{QX}}(8n; \overrightarrow{x}; \zeta_4)$ | [13] | $x_i \to x_i^2 + x_i^{-2}$ |
| $A^{(2)}_{\text{QX}}(8n + 1; \overrightarrow{x}; \zeta_4)$ | [10] | $x_i \to x_i^2 + x_i^{-2}$ |
| $A^{(2)}_{\text{QX}}(8n + 3; \overrightarrow{x}; \zeta_4)$ | [10] | $x_i \to x_i^2 + x_i^{-2}$ |

When we compute the partition functions in the 1-enumerations, we apply the identities in Theorem 3.3 and 3.4 to evaluate the determinants or Pfaffians, and compare the resulting determinants with definitions [11 - 13] of classical group characters. The variations of the arguments are summarized in Table 2.

For the 2-enumerations, the determinant appearing in $A(n; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_8)$ (resp. $A(2n+1; \overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y}; \zeta_8)$) can be evaluated by applying the Cauchy’s determinant identity with $x_i \to x_i^2$ and $y_i \to y_i^2$ (resp. $x_i$ by $x_i^2 + x_i^{-2}$ and $y_i \to y_i^2$). The other determinants in the determinant partition functions are computed by using the identities [15] and [16], and then by applying Lemma 3.8 to the resulting determinants, where we have to put $\overrightarrow{y} = \overrightarrow{x}$. Also the round Pfaffian partition functions for $a = \zeta_8$ are computed by using the identities [10] and [18]. Similarly, we can deal with the round 3-enumerations. See Table 3 and 4 for the details.

## Discussion

In this paper, we settled a conjecture on the number of VHSASMs. However, the enumeration problems of odd-order HTSASMs, odd-order QTSASMs, and odd-order DSASMs are still open. In
Table 2: 1-enumeration

| Partition functions | Identities | specialization |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|
| \( A(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) \) | 15 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, y_i \rightarrow y_i^6, b_i \rightarrow y_i^6 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{HT}}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, y_i \rightarrow y_i^6, b_i \rightarrow y_i^6 \) |
| \( A_V(2n + 1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^4, a_i \rightarrow -x_i^7, y_i \rightarrow y_i^4, b_i \rightarrow -y_i^4 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{VHS}}(4n + 1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow x_i^4, y_i \rightarrow y_i^6, b_i \rightarrow y_i^6 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{VHS}}(4n + 3; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) \) | 17 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow -x_i^4, y_i \rightarrow y_i^6, b_i \rightarrow -y_i^6, z \rightarrow z^6, c \rightarrow -z^4 \) |
| \( A_{\text{VHP}}(4n + 2; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_6) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow -x_i^4, y_i \rightarrow y_i^6, b_i \rightarrow -y_i^6 \) |
| \( A^{(1)}_{\text{QH}}(4n; \vec{x}; \zeta_6) \) | 15 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, b_i \rightarrow x_i^2 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{QH}}(4n; \vec{x}; \zeta_6) \) | 15 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, b_i \rightarrow x_i^2 \) |
| \( A_{\text{O}}(2n; \vec{x}; \zeta_6) \) | 19 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^4, a_i \rightarrow -x_i^2, b_i \rightarrow 0 \) |
| \( A^{(1)}_{\text{QH}}(8n; \vec{x}; \zeta_6, \zeta_4) \) | 19 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow -x_i^2, b_i \rightarrow -x_i^2 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{QH}}(8n + 1; \vec{x}; \zeta_6) \) | 19 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow -x_i^2, b_i \rightarrow x_i^4 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{QH}}(8n + 3; \vec{x}; \zeta_6) \) | 20 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow -x_i^2, b_i \rightarrow -x_i^2, z \rightarrow z^6, c \rightarrow -z^4 \) |

Table 3: 2-enumeration

| Partition functions | Identities | specialization | Lemma 3.8 |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| \( A(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) \) | 19 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^2, y_i \rightarrow y_i^2 \) | \( 1 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{HT}}(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^2, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, y_i \rightarrow -y_i^4, b_i \rightarrow -y_i^4 \) | \( 1 \) |
| \( A_V(2n + 1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^2, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, y_i \rightarrow -y_i^4, y_i \rightarrow y_i^2 \) | \( 2 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{VHS}}(4n + 1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^2, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, y_i \rightarrow -y_i^4, b_i \rightarrow y_i^2 \) | \( 2 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{VHS}}(4n + 3; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^2, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, y_i \rightarrow -y_i^4, b_i \rightarrow y_i^2 \) | \( 2 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{VHS}}(4n + 5; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_8) \) | 16 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^2, a_i \rightarrow x_i^2, y_i \rightarrow -y_i^4, b_i \rightarrow y_i^2 \) | \( 2 \) |
| \( A^{(2)}_{\text{VHP}}(4n; \vec{x}; \zeta_8) \) | 15 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^4, a_i \rightarrow x_i^4, b_i \rightarrow x_i^4 \) | \( 3 \) |

Table 4: 3-enumeration

| Partition functions | Identities | specialization | Lemma 3.8 |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| \( A(n; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_{12}) \) | 19 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow x_i^4, y_i \rightarrow -y_i^8, b_i \rightarrow -y_i^8 \) | \( 1 \) |
| \( A_V(2n + 1; \vec{x}, \vec{y}; \zeta_{12}) \) | 19 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^6, a_i \rightarrow -x_i^4, y_i \rightarrow -y_i^8, b_i \rightarrow y_i^8 \) | \( 2 \) |
| \( A^{(1)}_{\text{QH}}(4n; \vec{x}; \zeta_{12}) \) | 18 | \( x_i \rightarrow x_i^{12}, a_i \rightarrow x_i^4, b_i \rightarrow x_i^4 \) | \( 3 \) |
our point of view, the remaining conjectures (see [12]) on HTSASMs and DSASMs are reformulated as follows:

**Conjecture 5.1.** (1) The number of \((2n + 1) \times (2n + 1)\) HTSASMs is given by

\[
\#A_{2n+1}^{\text{HTS}} = 3^{-n^2} (\dim \text{GL}_{2n+1}(\delta(n, n-1)))^2.
\]

(2) The number of the \((2n + 1) \times (2n + 1)\) DSASMs is given by

\[
\#A_{2n+1}^{\text{DS}} = 3^{-n(n-1)/2} \dim \text{GL}_{2n+1}(\delta(n, n-1)).
\]

Our results (Theorem 1.2) says that, for example, there is a bijection between the set of \(n \times n\) ASMS and the set of all pairs \((T, M)\) of semistandard tableaux \(T\) of shape \(\delta(n-1, n-1)\) with entries \(1, 2, \cdots, 2n\), and triangular array \(M\) of 1s, 0s and \(-1\)s of order \(n\). It would be interesting to find bijections proving the formulae in Theorem 1.2. Also it is important to clarify the intrinsic reason why classical group characters appear in the enumeration of symmetry classes of ASMs.
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