Case Report: Simultaneously diagnosed gastric adenocarcinoma and pernicious anemia – a classic association
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Abstract
Primary gastric cancer remains one of the most prevalent malignancies worldwide. Often patients remain asymptomatic until it is detected at an advanced stage with a poor prognosis. Thus, it's characteristically difficult to initially diagnose until it becomes late stage, at which point prognosis becomes poor. Pernicious anemia is a classic risk factor for the development of primary gastric cancer, but is uncommonly seen in clinical practice. Over time, patients who produce the autoantibodies to intrinsic factor that cause pernicious anemia typically will present initially with clinically significant megaloblastic anemia and peripheral neuropathy. However, patients can also present with more nonspecific signs and symptoms. Thus, clinicians should remain vigilant as circulating anti-intrinsic factor antibodies only worsen the disease over time and increase the risk of developing primary gastric cancer. This report not only presents the rare concurrent diagnosis of pernicious anemia and gastric cancer, but also aims to increase clinical awareness of these two conditions’ classic association because early diagnosis and treatment significantly impacts morbidity and mortality.
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Introduction

Despite the decline in gastric cancer incidence rates over the past several decades, it remains one of the most common and fatal malignancies worldwide. Yearly, over one million cases are diagnosed with an estimated 780,000 annual mortality incidence. The high mortality of gastric cancer is in part attributed to late initial diagnosis. The diagnosis of gastric carcinoma often is delayed because up to 80% of patients are asymptomatic during the early stages of stomach cancer. Weight loss, abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting, early satiety, and esophageal reflux-like symptoms are often late signs of tumor progression. By the time many symptoms develop, the disease is almost invariably too far advanced for curative procedures. Classic physical exam findings, such as organomegaly (e.g. stomach, liver) or regional lymphadenopathy (e.g. Virchow’s node, Sister Mary Joseph’s nodule) should raise suspicions for a gastric malignancy. More common risk factors for development of gastric cancer include Helicobacter pylori infection, tobacco smoking, heavy alcohol use, age, diet, and non-Caucasian ethnicity.

Although less common, pernicious anemia (PA) remains a classic risk factor for primary gastric cancer. The condition is defined as autoimmune destruction of the intrinsic factor (IF) glycoprotein, or destruction of the gastric body and fundal parietal cells that produce IF. Since IF plays a crucial role in the transportation and absorption of vitamin B12, the product of this deleterious autoimmune process is the characteristic megaloblastic anemia. Importantly, PA represents 20% – 50% of the adult cases of vitamin B12 deficiency worldwide.

PA often has an insidious onset, which can make early diagnosis difficult. Clinically, patients commonly present initially with nonspecific symptoms such as fatigue, weakness, and mild paresthesias. However, unintentional weight loss can occur in 50% of patients. Chronically severe vitamin B12 deficiency can lead not only to worse neurologic dysfunctions, but also glossitis and gastrointestinal issues. Clinically significant vitamin B12 deficiency manifests as neurologic dysfunction in 74% of patients. The classic late-stage neurologic complication of vitamin B12 deficiency is subacute combined degeneration of the posterior and lateral columns of the spinal cord due to demyelination. However, peripheral neuropathy and non-neurologic manifestations are more common.

Individuals with PA have long been suggested to be at increased risk for gastric cancer. The pathogenesis of gastric cancer arising from PA is thought to be due chronic inflammation with extensive atrophy of the gastric mucosa, leading to increased risk of progression to gastric neoplastic lesions. This report presents the uncommon, but classic association, of PA with primary gastric cancer. When patients present with abdominal symptoms, unintentional weight loss, megaloblastic anemia, and low vitamin B12 levels, we should consider the presence of PA and its associated complication of primary gastric cancer.

Case report

A 61-year-old Hispanic female retail worker, for whom the only pertinent past medical history was intermittent social alcohol consumption, presented to the emergency department with epigastric pain. Symptom onset began three weeks prior to her initial presentation and had been progressively worsening. Her chief complaints were associated with heartburn, decreased appetite, weakness, and 60-pound unintentional weight loss over the past six months. The patient attributed all of the symptoms to her physically and mentally demanding occupation. She denied a personal history of nausea, vomiting, dysphagia, hematemeses, hematochezia, melena, or screening colonoscopy. Her family history was negative for any gastrointestinal diseases or malignancies.

Vital signs and measurements were unremarkable. A detailed physical examination was nonrevealing. Serum laboratory analysis was notable for a significant anemia, as well as a low vitamin B12 and iron deficiency (Table 1). She was urgently managed with a restrictive transfusion strategy by one unit of leukoreduced packed red blood cells, which improved her symptoms and hemoglobin. Abdominopelvic imaging was obtained by computerized tomography (CT) scans with contrast, which were primarily equivocal (Figure 1). Subsequently, she underwent

Table 1. Biochemical analysis reveals anemia. Corrected reticulocyte count and reticulocyte index for her age and gender suggests an inappropriate marrow response (reticulocyte index < 2) likely relating to the patient’s nutritional deficiencies, instead of bone marrow abnormalities. The low vitamin B12 level supports the lack of sufficient vitamin B12 in the blood. Serum IF-blocking antibody testing was not obtained on admission, but after EGD, which was positive and confirmed the diagnosis PA.

| Laboratory findings                  | Result | Reference range  |
|--------------------------------------|--------|------------------|
| White blood cells                    | 10.7   | 4.8 – 10.8 µL/mL |
| Hemoglobin                           | 6.8    | 12 – 16 g/dL     |
| Hematocrit                           | 22.3   | 37 – 47 %        |
| Mean corpuscular volume              | 81.1   | 82 – 99 fl       |
| Red blood cell distribution width    | 15.7   | 11.5 – 14.5 %    |
| Platelets                            | 340    | 150 – 400 µL/mL  |
| Reticulocyte count                   | 1.9    | 0.6 – 2.5 %      |
| Total iron concentration             | 8      | 50 – 17 µg/dL    |
| Total iron binding capacity          | 299    | 286 – 569 µg/dL  |
| Iron saturation                      | 3      | 11 – 46 %        |
| Transferrin                          | 201    | 192 – 382 µg/dL  |
| Ferritin                             | 11     | 11 – 307 ng/mL   |
| Vitamin B12                          | 141    | 213 – 816 µg/mL  |
Figure 1. Abdominopelvic CT scan with contrast is primarily nonrevealing for a malignant process. Nonspecific gastric fold thickening in the fundus is observed (arrow). An incidental finding in the liver was noted by a small focal hypoattenuation in the middle segment of the left lobe of the liver adjacent to the fissure for ligamentum teres (arrowhead). This nodule was confirmed as PET-negative on later PET/CT studies.

Figure 2. EGD demonstrates esophagitis and an extensive ulcer involving the entire lesser curvature of the stomach. (From proximal to distal.) (A) Esophagitis. (B) Gastro-esophageal junction. (C) More esophagitis, and a tongue of columnar mucosa. (D) Normal gastric cardia. (E) Normal gastric fundus. (F) Cavernous ulcer along the incisura (lesser curvature) with debris, food particles, and some central exudates. (G) Continued ulcer description. At the 12 o’clock position the scope is originating from the gastric cardia and fundus region. At 3 o’clock is the expected location of the pylorus. However, due to size and extent of the ulcer typical anatomy and landmarks were considerably distorted making visualization of the fundus from that particular EGD position not possible. At 6 o’clock the ulcer is shown to extend along the incisura. At 9 o’clock food debris is seen along the greater curvature.

Laboratory findings

| Laboratory finding                  | Result | Reference range   |
|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Folate                             | 8.6    | 7 - 31.4 ng/mL    |
| Hemoglobin A1c                     | 5.6    | 4.1 – 5.6 %       |
| Total protein                      | 6.4    | 6.1 – 7.9 g/dL    |
| Lactate dehydrogenase              | 99     | 98 – 192 U/L      |
| Thyroid stimulating hormone        | 2.78   | 0.35 – 5.5 µIU/mL |
| Ethanol level                      | -      | -                 |
| Fecal occult blood test            | +      | -                 |
| IF-blocking antibody               | +      | -                 |
| Parietal cell IgG                  | -      | -                 |

Microscopically, antral mucosa demonstrated mild chronic gastritis. Histopathology revealed a diffuse-type, invasive poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma. IHC staining was only positive for pancytokeratin. The malignant-appearing cells lacked immunoreactivity for synaptophysin, CD45, and HER2 (Figure 3). Together with histopathology and this IHC profile gastric adenocarcinoma was confirmed. She underwent a positron emission tomography (PET) scan for staging. The PET scan showed a localized but advanced gastric neoplasm associated with regional PET-avid lymphadenopathy. (Figure 4). Thus, she was diagnosed with Stage II gastric adenocarcinoma (Figure 5).
She was started on intravenous vitamin B12 and iron replacement (dose given in Figure 5). Her remaining symptoms improved slowly while she observed in post-operative period with twice-daily oral 40 mg pantoprazole and daily polyethylene glycol. She continued to improve following intravenous vitamin B12 and iron replacement and transitioned to a scheduled oral cyanocobalamin 1000 µg daily regimen. Oral iron supplementation was discouraged due to the propensity of oral iron medication to be significantly irritative to the gastric mucosal lining. She was dismissed from the hospital and established care with a local oncologist. Due to the nature of her disease, chemotherapy was recommended. The patient initiated treatment with the FLOT regimen (oxaliplatin, leucovorin, docetaxel, fluorouracil) for her advanced, invasive poorly differentiated gastric adenocarcinoma (Figure 5). However, the patient did not tolerate chemotherapy well and only was able to endure one cycle. She wished to stop all therapies and was transitioned to hospice care. Two weeks after stopping chemotherapy, the patient expired.

**Discussion**

The prevalence of PA is 0.1% in the general population, and approximately 2% in patients older than 60 years of age. The highest prevalence is seen in Northern Europeans, specifically those in Scandinavia and the United Kingdom. Several autoimmune diseases, such as type 1 diabetes mellitus, vitiligo, and autoimmune thyroid disease, have all been reported to have an increased association with the development of PA. The true prevalence of PA remains controversial. Assays to assess the vitamin B12 status of patients have historically been unreliable. Consequently, attempts to estimate the prevalence of vitamin B12 deficiency among the general population remains difficult. In addition, controversy remains regarding what level of vitamin B12 constitutes a deficiency. Even more problematic for patients and healthcare providers is a sub-clinical vitamin B12 deficiency. This can lead to patients returning frequently to the office over many years, presenting with a myriad of symptoms, and increasing their healthcare costs. Thirty percent of patients with PA the estimated time between symptom onset and diagnosis is 2–5 years.
Fourteen percent of patients wait over ten years for a diagnosis. Thus, for those with equivocal, sub-clinically low vitamin B12 levels, or who present with symptoms discordant with their serum vitamin B12 levels, holotranscobalamin, the active form of vitamin B12, and methylmalonic acid testing can be considered.

A diagnosis of PA is made when patients have a low vitamin B12 level and are positive for anti-IF antibody or anti-parietal cell antibody, or low vitamin B12 level in the presence of atrophic gastric mucosa seen on histopathology. PA is often clinically diagnosed prior to any subsequent development of cancer. Concurrent diagnosis of PA and gastric cancer, as with our patient, is
exceedingly rare and often observed in patients who present late in the course of their disease.

Having a clinical awareness to the presence of a vitamin B12 deficiency is often sufficient to begin the workup. However, reliance on biochemical evidence alone to suggest low vitamin B12 is not recommended. Various occult malignancies can falsely elevate vitamin B12. Thus, a vitamin B12 deficiency can be masked. Given the underlying pathophysiology of PA, patients with a known history of autoimmune conditions are at an increased risk to develop anti-IF autoantibodies. Anti-parietal cell antibodies are present in around 90% of patients with PA, but have low specificity. Conversely, anti-IF antibodies are found in around 60% of patients with PA, but are considered much more specific for the disease. Similar to most serologic tests, autoantibody testing for IF has a low sensitivity. In contrast, specificity remains high and the presence of anti-IF autoantibodies is diagnostic for PA.

Based on the American Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, following the diagnosis of PA endoscopy, evaluation by EGD is recommended, especially if gastrointestinal symptoms are present. However, only about 25% of patients diagnosed with PA patients undergo subsequent upper endoscopic screening. If endoscopic findings are suspicious for a neoplastic process, tissue sampling can aid in the diagnosis of a gastric malignancy. Commonly, gastric specimens are immunoreactive to markers for carcinoma, such as pancytokeratin. However, the diagnosis can be challenging since primary gastric adenocarcinoma cells commonly exhibit partial synaptophysin immunoreactivity.

The mainstay treatment for PA involves parenteral replenishment. Due to its autoimmune nature, treatment is typically needed indefinitely. High-dose oral or sublingual vitamin B12 therapy can also be used, provided a good adherence to treatment and a positive response is seen. Intranasal formulations are not recommended due to the higher cost, side effects, and inconsistent absorption. Following definitive surgical management by gastrectomy, indefinite treatment with parenteral vitamin B12 is still appropriate.

Research into anti-IF autoantibodies has been ongoing as a possible immunotherapy target. While no immunotherapeutic agent currently exists, experts have proposed a specific clone of CD4+ T-cells to be involved in the destruction of the gastric cells in PA and autoimmune gastritis. The finding of these T-cells could lay the groundwork for developing new immunotherapies against the T-cells in future studies, especially in PA patients in whom the anti-IF autoantibodies are not present.

The relative risk for gastric adenocarcinoma in PA patients is as high as 6.8 (95% CI: 2.6–18.1). After the initial diagnosis of PA, the risk of developing gastric cancer increases. The association between PA and gastric cancer becomes strongest 6 years after the first record of PA (OR: 2.53). In contrast, if PA is discovered and treated early, gastric cancer is less likely to develop (OR: 1.77). Thus, clinicians should remain vigilant as unnoted circulating anti-IF autoantibodies worsen PA and increase the risk of patients developing gastric cancer over time.

Once gastric cancer develops and is ultimately diagnosed following histopathologic investigation, the prognosis often varies based on several factors. Overall, proximal tumors near the gastroesophageal junction and cardia have a poorer prognosis. Gastric cancer has a male predominance and a higher mortality rate in men. Compared to surgical intervention alone or concomitantly with chemotherapy, chemotherapy and radiation increases the chances of achieving complete remission. If the patient is willing and able to undergo surgical management, upper GI endoscopic resection or gastrectomy is recommended. Following surgical intervention there are currently no randomized trials to help guide posttreatment surveillance strategies. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network suggests a risk-stratified surveillance strategy that is tailored to individual patients. No specific guidance is provided, but factoring tumor staging and if a patient underwent endoscopy or gastrectomy is recommended. Vitamin B12 and iron must be closely monitored along with bone health, especially in female patients who have undergone a total gastrectomy. Follow-up PET/CT scans can be considered as they are indicated clinically.

Conclusion

Primary gastric cancer remains one of the most prevalent malignancies worldwide and is associated with poor outcomes. This is likely due to patient’s remaining asymptomatic until late-stage progression. Thus, early detection is paramount. PA is uncommonly seen in clinical practice, but remains a classic risk factor for the development of primary gastric cancer.

Identifying pertinent physical exam features and pairing them with lab findings of a vitamin B12 deficiency can be crucial steps in uncovering the gastric cancer early. In severe cases such as this patient, it is important to obtain proper radiographic imaging and an EGD. Unfortunately, only a minority of patients diagnosed with PA undergo subsequent gastric cancer screening with upper endoscopy. Thus, there is a need for increased clinical awareness of these two conditions’ classic association.

Data availability

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.
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Written informed consent for publication of their clinical details and clinical images was obtained from the patient.
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Martyn Hooper MBE
The Pernicious Anaemia Society, Bridgend, UK

The Pernicious Anaemia Society's helpline often receives calls from newly diagnosed patients who want to know how likely they are to develop stomach cancer. It is the third most common subject for calls.

This paper is to be commended for addressing the issues surrounding Pernicious Anaemia and Stomach Cancer, however, the numbers and percentages given in relation to PA are disputable simply because the assays used to assess the B12 status of patients is so unreliable. Consequently any attempt to estimate how prevalent B12 Deficiency is amongst the general population is difficult to say the least. Then there's the problem of what levels constitutes a deficiency or, even more problematic, a sub-clinical deficiency.

This problem often leads to patients spending many years making return visits to primary care doctors and presenting with a wide range of symptoms - 30% of the PA Society waited between two and five years before being given an explanation of their worsening symptoms, with 14% waiting over ten years. Perhaps the 'Active B12 ' trust (holotranscobalamain) along with Homocysteine and Methylmalonic Acid might be considered if the patient's clinical picture is discordant with the serum B12 results.

There is another problem worth mentioning - only around 40-50% of patients presenting with the symptoms of Pernicious Anaemia will have any enlarged red blood cells and many won't have any anaemia.

If the patient is assessed as being deficient in B12 the assay to determine whether the deficiency is due to autoimmune pernicious anaemia is also seriously flawed - something addressed by the Guideline from the British Committee for Standards in Haematology referenced by the authors. With there being little chance of the return of the Schilling Test anytime soon clinicians should be aware of the failings of the competitive binding luminescence assay to diagnose PA.

It's interesting to note that members of the Pernicious Anaemia Society report that 82% of members have some gastrointestinal problems. The most common is sudden unaccountable bouts of diarrhoea which patients treat using strong probiotics although it can take up to a month
for the patient to find any relief from their symptoms. The Pernicious Anaemia Society is campaigning to have all newly diagnosed patients to automatically be referred for endoscopy and colonoscopy to detect any neuro-endocrine tumours which patients are particularly susceptible to.
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Based on my assessment of this report, one could easily argue that this could be someone with history of GERD due to hiatal hernia leading to Barrett’s esophagus leading to GE junction adenocarcinoma. The authors should focus on differential diagnosis of conditions leading to GE junction/gastric adenocarcinoma.

- Comment acknowledged. This request is out of the scope for this article, and does not align with the article’s purpose.

Prior history of GERD? (Especially with barret esophagus findings, hiatal hernia), eating habits? Occupational and family history? All to be known in patients with gastric cancer to look for possible etiology for cancer diagnosis.

- All pertinent and/or available information has been presented and detailed in the case description section.

Could it be a GE junction tumor, extending to stomach?

- All pertinent and/or available information has been presented and detailed in the case description section. Also, this is unlikely based on the EGD images and summary of the report detailed in the article.

What is sensitivity and specificity of the IF antibody assay?

- Please see Description section, paragraph 3, sentence 6.

Why was FLOT chemotherapy used, why not upfront Sx for Stage 1b disease and adjuvant therapy based on surgical pathology? This should be explained in more detail.

- All pertinent and/or available information has been presented and detailed in the case.

Figure 5 is good, I suggest to mention the timeline in weeks/days/months from presentation --> diagnosis --> treatment --> transition to hospice.

- Comment acknowledged. The authors decline to make the suggested change based on the differences in figure style presentation not providing additional value and clarity to the overall figure and article.

Introduction, paragraph 3, the third sentence needs better structuring.

- Comment acknowledged. The authors decline to make the suggested change. This sentence is adequate and its current structure is justified.

The references need to be better formatted, especially 5, 13 and 19.

- Comment acknowledged. The authors decline to make the suggested change because the current format of this reference was made by the Editors.
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The manuscript entitled "Case Report: Simultaneously diagnosed gastric adenocarcinoma and pernicious anemia – a classic association" presented a case about a 61-year-old woman who suffered both pernicious anemia and gastric cancer, and even after various treatments later succumb due to advancement of the disease. The manuscript was well-written with all the essential details regarding the appropriate tests leading to the patient’s diagnosis and treatment. However, I have the following concerns:

1. Title:
   ○ Please keep it simple. My suggestion: “Simultaneous diagnosis of gastric adenocarcinoma and pernicious anemia – a classic association.”

2. Abstract:
   ○ It would be great to include the disease prevalence or mortality ranking since an Abstract is what readers would glance first and must represent the text. Once prevalence is mentioned, statistics must be indicated. There is GLOBOCAN 2018 statistics about the global ranking of prevalence and mortality to check and include.

3. Introduction:
   ○ What is the median overall survival (OS) of gastric cancer since the disease is largely asymptomatic? Please check and add.

4. Discussion:

I know the authors made mention of autoimmune destruction of the intrinsic factor (IF) glycoprotein leading to the perturbation of Vitamin D absorption. Is this the only pathogenesis of gastric cancer, or are there other possibilities? Please check and include so that readers would have the full picture of the etiology of the disease.

Since the authors said part of their aims of the study is to increase clinical awareness of the classic association of PA and gastric cancer, due to the asymptomatic nature of gastric cancer, early diagnosis is quite challenging. Create one more paragraph in the Discussion to suggest remedies that would curtail identification of the disease in advance stages. Examples:

1. Cut-off age to perform an annual screening of individuals, as it is commonly done for colorectal cancer.
2. Family history of PA and gastric cancer should aid in identifying high-risk individuals and be screened for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) overexpression, a potent biomarker for poor prognosis, and expressions of both vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA), and mutations of the P53 tumor suppressor gene (TP53).
3. Screening high-risk individuals for the pathogen, H. pylori infections.
Lastly, it will be excellent for the authors to use their experience to come up with feasible and preferred treatment options like surgical resection performed as total or subtotal gastrectomy; if chemotherapy is to be used, what drugs? 5-FU/leucovorin? Targeted therapy: Ramucirumab, a monoclonal antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 2, anti-EGFR antibody, nimotuzumab, together with vitamin B12 therapy to resolve the anemia.
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