A Case of Pedicle Screw Loosening Treated by Modified Transpedicular Screw Augmentation with Polymethylmethacrylate
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We report a case of pedicle screw loosening treated by modified transpedicular screw augmentation technique using polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), which used the anchoring effect of hardened PMMA. A 56-year-old man who had an L3/4/5 fusion operation 3 years ago complained of continuous low back pain after this operation. The computerized tomography showed a radiolucent halo around the pedicle screw at L5. We augmented the L5 pedicle screw with modified pedicle screw augmentation technique using PMMA and performed an L3/4/5 pedicle screw fixation without hook or operation field extension. This modified technique is a kind of transpedicular stiffness augmentation using PMMA for the dead space around the loosened screw. After filling the dead space with 1-2 cc of PMMA, we inserted a small screw. Once the PMMA hardened, we removed the small screw and inserted a thicker screw along the existing screw threading to improve the pedicle screws' pullout strength. At 10 months' follow-up, x-ray showed strong fusion of L3/4/5. The visual analogue scale (VAS) of his back pain was improved from 9 to 5. This modified transpedicular screw augmentation with PMMA using anchoring effect is a simple and effective surgical technique for pedicle screw loosening. However, clinical analyses of long-term follow-up and biomechanical studies are needed.
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INTRODUCTION

Pedicle screw fixation is very useful surgical technique used in spinal fusions and fixations. However, according to reports, pedicle screw loosening is associated with a relatively high rate of complications. There is a distinct lack of surgical techniques that can overcome the pedicle screw loosening. The pedicle screw offers no advantage once the screw has loosened, often resulting in the need to consider further surgery, such as the potentially adverse operations of extension and/or hook insertion. Recently, the authors treated one patient of pseudoarthrosis due to pedicle screw loosening with a modified pedicle screw augmentation technique using polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA). In this report, we present the surgical technique in detail.

CASE REPORT

A 56-year-old man who had an L3/4/5 fusion operation at other hospital 3 years ago complained of continuous low back pain after this operation. He received non-surgical treatment, including pain intervention, for more than 6 consecutive months with no improvement. The result of bone mineral density (BMD) Tscore was -3.1. The computerized tomography (CT) of his spine showed a radiolucent halo around the pedicle screw at L5 (Fig. 1). We augmented the L5 pedicle screw with modified pedicle screw augmentation technique using PMMA and performed an L3/4/5 pedicle screw fixation without hook or operation field extension. This modified technique is a kind of transpedicular stiffness augmentation using PMMA for the dead space around the loosened screw. After filling the dead space with 1-2 cc of PMMA, we inserted a small screw. Once the PMMA hardened, we removed the small screw and inserted a thicker screw along the existing screw threading to improve the pedicle screws' pullout strength. At 10 months' follow-up, x-ray showed strong fusion of L3/4/5. The visual analogue scale (VAS) of his back pain was improved from 9 to 5. This modified transpedicular screw augmentation with PMMA using anchoring effect is a simple and effective surgical technique for pedicle screw loosening. However, clinical analyses of long-term follow-up and biomechanical studies are needed.

OPERATION TECHNIQUE

First of all, after removing the loose screw (Fig. 2A), we confirmed the empty hole's venous drain pattern using a water-soluble contrast. When the PMMA's viscosity became like toothpaste, we filled the dead space with about 1 cc of PMMA (Fig. 2B). Then, we packed PMMA in the hole, and inserted a small pilot screw, 5.5 mm in diameter (smaller than we usually use). Repeating this process 2-3 times filled the hole with PMMA, whereupon we left the small pilot screw in place (Fig. 2C). After
the PMMA was hardened, the smaller pilot screw could be screwed out easily without PMMA adhesion. Then, we replaced the small pilot screw with a thicker permanent screw, usually one 6.5 mm in diameter, which we inserted into the existing internal thread (Fig. 2D-F). This process impacted the PMMA broken into the cancellous bone (anchoring effect) (Fig. 2G, H). The PMMA filled the preexisting pedicle gap, and hardened PMMA encircled the most part of the thicker permanent screw, giving the pedicle screw pronounced expansile force. Thus, the PMMA anchor strengthened holding power throughout the thicker permanent screw.

**DISCUSSION**

Pedicle screw fixation (PSF) is an essential technique for spinal operations. From degenerative spinal diseases to deformity...
surgery, PSF’s usefulness is wide-ranging, because it gives immediate, strong fixation after surgery. PSF often causes complications. Reportedly, 17% of revision surgeries are associated with pedicle screw failure.

Pedicle screw loosening is one of these complications, radiologically; it presents the characteristic findings of radiolucent zones around the screw. Such loosened screws are associated with decreased pullout strength and extraction torque. A loosened pedicle screw can be regarded as a kind of instrument failure and is associated with pseudoarthrosis and back pain.

In literatures, pedicle screw loosening has been recorded as being caused mainly by cyclic caudocephalad toggling at the bone-screw interface. Although there is contrary opinion, BMD is assumed that related with the pedicle insertion torque and screw loosening.

Therefore, to prevent pedicle screw loosening, we recommend meticulous screw insertion technique to prohibit toggling effect which could be occurring during screw insertion. Adding this, preoperative augmentation plan for the osteoporotic spine should be prepared. We believe that to avoid the possible cause is the best way to prevent pedicle screw loosening.

Surgeons can add a laminar hook or sub-laminar wiring to a pedicle screw insertion to prevent or treat these complications. Sometimes, recovering the situation from the instrument failure requires executing an extension of the operational level. If strong insertion torques reduces the amount of screw loosening, a surgical technique that could create increased insertion torque in a loosened pedicle screw would rescue such screw loosening.

The clinical analyses and biomechanic study about this modified transpedicular screw augmentation technique is underway. Mechanically, this modified transpedicular screw augmentation is different from conventional transpedicular screw augmentation. This technique uses the anchoring effect of harden PMMA, which reinforces the screw insertion torque by playing just like relatively-healthy cancellous bone containing high BMD. The PMMA fills the preexisting pedicle hole, and the hardened PMMA encircles the thicker screw, which adds expansile force throughout the pedicle screw. Osteoporotic cancellous bone of pedicle can be strengthened by inserting a bigger screw into the internal thread of the PMMA anchor bolt, which surrounds the inserted screw from insertion point to screw tip. The thicker screw impacts this PMMA into the cancellous bone. Solid pedicle cortical bone and PMMA make more holding power and increasing the screw’s pullout strength. A limitation of this technique is that it is indicated only for intact pedicles, because PMMA can possibly leak through a broken pedicle.

There are some similarities between this transpedicular screw augmentation technique and Sugimoto’s surgical technique, which uses a novel, metallic screw and bolt, with PMMA, to strengthen the pedicle screw. However, this new transpedicular screw augmentation technique seems to be more simple and cost-effective. Furthermore, this surgical technique could be dedicated not only for pedicle screws, but also for rescue screws subsequent to loosening in all similar screws, such as cervical plate screws, iliac screws, and occipito-cervical fixation screws.

Although it is not indicated for pedicle screw loosening, intra-operative vertebroplasty (VP) is another technique that, used with pedicle screw fixation, can increase pedicle screw strength and prevent pedicle screw loosening through strong fixation. PMMA VP, combined with a variable screw can achieve increased screw pullout strength. This kind of pedicle screw can be used in deformity correction. For intra-operative VP, calcium phosphate (CP) can replace the PMMA. However, CP tends to be absorbed more quickly than PMMA. Furthermore, the insertion torque of CP pedicle screw results in a weaker screw than with PMMA. However, such a process has some weak points; chemical toxicities, thermal injury, and PMMA leakage can all occur. Although one report found no association between the amount of inserted PMMA and PMMA leakage, PMMA leakage followed intra-operative VP in 26.2% of cases. In addition, 39% of cases experienced asymptomatic anterior cement leakage. In its severe form, PMMA can cause pulmonary embolism during the operation. Unfortunately, larger amounts of PMMA are required for strong insertion torques. Additionally, there is always the possibility of infection and/or bone necrosis.

Finally, there is a report comparing the pull-out strength between conventional transpedicular augmentation and kyphoplasty augmentation. Both surgical technique improved screw fixation strength. Regardless the result of comparison, adequate surgical indication is essential for the technique, and we thought that for the pedicle screw loosening, this modified transpedicular screw augmentation would be better.

**CONCLUSION**

This modified transpedicular screw augmentation with PMMA using anchoring effect is a simple and effective surgical technique for pedicle screw loosening. However, clinical analyses of long-term follow-up and biomechanical studies are needed.
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