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Summary

Passive delivery of antibodies to mucosal sites might be a valuable adjunct to COVID-19 vaccination to prevent infection, treat viral carriage, or block transmission. However, monoclonal IgG antibody therapies, currently used for treatment of severe infections, are unlikely to prove useful in mucosal sites where SARS-CoV-2 resides and replicates in early infection. Here, we investigated the feasibility of producing neutralising monoclonal IgA antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. We identified two class-switched mAbs that express well as monomeric and secretory IgA variants with retained antigen binding affinities and increased stability in mucosal secretions compared to their IgG counterparts. SIgAs had stronger virus neutralisation activities than IgG mAbs and were able to reduce SARS-CoV-2 infection in an in vivo murine model. Our findings provide a persuasive case for developing recombinant SIgAs for mucosal application as a new tool in the fight against COVID-19.
Introduction

COVID-19 is a mucosal infection caused by SARS-CoV-2. The virus replicates in the respiratory tract and is transmitted through respiratory droplets produced when an infected person coughs, sneezes, or talks. The most prominent symptoms of COVID-19 affect the respiratory system (continuous cough, shortness of breath), but in some cases sensory tissues in the upper respiratory tract are involved causing anosmia and loss of taste. Additionally, gastro-intestinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting and diarrhea) are reported in 6% of adults and up to 20% in children. Virus can be detected at all these sites as well as in urine.

Infection with SARS-CoV-2 elicits systemic and mucosal immune responses. Whilst attention has been focused on serum antibody responses which are dominated by IgG, at mucosal sites such as the respiratory, gastrointestinal, and genitourinary tracts, immunoglobulin A (IgA) in the external secretions that bathe mucosal surfaces is the predominant antibody class. Mucosal IgA in SARS-CoV-2 can be neutralising and long-lasting.

Various co-morbidities have been associated with diminished immune responses to SARS-CoV-2, including immunosuppressive drugs to prevent transplant failure and diabetes. Seroconversion following COVID-19 vaccination can also be compromised in these and similar patients. In such circumstances, passive delivery of antibodies might be a valuable adjunct to COVID-19 vaccination, in which neutralising antibodies could be delivered directly to mucosal sites either to prevent infection, treat viral carriage or block transmission. Furthermore, topical delivery of antibodies could be useful to prevent carriage of virus in asymptomatic individuals.

Neutralising monoclonal IgG antibodies are already approved for systemic use in early SARS-CoV-2 treatment, but are unlikely to prove useful in mucosal fluids which are non-sterile and rich in endogenous and exogenous proteases. For mucosal sites, IgA in the form of secretory
IgA (SIgA), would be preferred, as the polymeric complex is adapted for the harsh, unstable external mucosal environment. The potential for this approach has previously been demonstrated in other model mucosal infections.

However, monoclonal SIgA antibodies are technically challenging to produce. The first recombinant approach to expressing secretory antibodies was in genetically modified plants. Other approaches have been described, but still seem impractical or unaffordable for commercial development. Some improvements to SIgA expression have been reported in plants which still seems the most promising approach.

In this study, we investigated the feasibility of producing neutralising monoclonal IgA antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. Starting with IgG class mAbs, we expressed monomeric and secretory forms of IgA and compared these for their functionality and stability. Finally, we assessed the potential use of SIgA to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection in an in vivo model.
Experimental procedures

Construct design and cloning

The plant codon-optimized sequences of the heavy and light chain variable regions of COVA2-15 (QKQ15273.1, QKQ15189.1), COVA1-22 (QKQ15169.1, QKQ15253.1), 2-15 (PDB: 7L57_H, 7L57_L) and 2E8 (manuscript submitted) IgG mAbs flanked with BsaI type II restriction sites were synthesized by GeneArt (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA)\textsuperscript{23, 24}. Using Golden Gate assembly, the variable heavy chain sequences were cloned into pDONR based plasmids between a human Ig heavy chain leader sequence (‘MELGLSWIFLLAILKGVQC’) and either human gamma-1 (AAA02914.1), alpha-1 (AAT74070.1) or alpha-2m(1) (AAT74071.1) constant regions. Variable light chain fragments were inserted between the human light chain leader sequence (‘MDMRVPAQLLGLLLLWLPGARC’) and either kappa constant regions for COVA2-15 variants (AAA58989.1) or lambda constants regions for COVA1-22, 2-15 and 2E8 variants (CAA40940.1)\textsuperscript{25}. Full length heavy and light chain genes were separately subcloned into the binary high expression vector pEAQ-HT-DEST3 using Gateway cloning\textsuperscript{26}. Human secretory component (SC) and joining chain (JC) constructs cloned separately into pEAQ-HT have been described previously\textsuperscript{14}. The pEAQ-HT plant expression vectors containing the gamma and alpha heavy chains as well as the kappa and lambda light chains were transformed into \textit{Agrobacterium tumefaciens} strain GV3101 (Leibniz Institut DSMZ-Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH, DSM 12364) by electroporation.

The construct for expression of the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-COV-2 spike (PDB-id: 6VYB, R319-F541) with a C-terminal 6xHis-tag cloned into pCAGGS mammalian expression vector was provided by Mark Dürkop from BOKU, Vienna.

Transient expression of IgG and IgA variants in \textit{N.benthamiana}
Agrobacteria containing the appropriate constructs were grown overnight at 28°C in Lysogeny-Broth (LB) containing 25 µg/mL rifampicin and 50 µg/mL kanamycin. For expression of IgG or monomeric IgA1 and IgA2 variants, the overnight cultures containing the respective constructs for the heavy and light chain were diluted in infiltration buffer (10 mM MES, 10 mM MgSO₄, and 0.1 mM acetosyringone) to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. For secretory IgA variants, heavy and light chain constructs were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05 and mixed with the Joining chain construct at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2 and the secretory component construct at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. *Agrobacteria* solutions were then introduced into 6- to 8-week-old glycoengineered *Nicotiana benthamiana* ΔXT/FT plants by vacuum infiltration. Twenty-seven, Twenty-eight. Plants were grown in a controlled environment room at 25°C with an 16/8-hour light/dark cycle. After 5 days, infiltrated leaf material was harvested, and crude leaf extract was prepared by adding 3 volumes of ice-cold phosphate-buffer saline (PBS) pH 7.4 containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween in a blender. Homogenized leaf material was passed through a Miracloth filter (Merck Millipore, Germany) and centrifuged at 20 000 x g for 1h, followed by filtration through 0.45 µm pore size filters (Durapore membrane filter, Merck Millipore, Germany).

**Purification of IgG and IgA variants from crude leaf extract**

Clarified leaf extracts were subjected to columns packed with either Pierce™ Protein A resin for purification of IgG and COVA2-15 IgA variants or CaptureSelect™ IgA affinity matrix (both Thermo Fisher, US) for purification of 2E8 IgA variants equilibrated with PBS. Proteins were eluted with 0.1 M glycine pH 2.7, followed by immediate addition of 10% (v/v) 1M Tris-HCl pH 9.0 to neutralize the acidic pH. Fractions containing the protein of interest were pooled and dialyzed against PBS at 4°C overnight using a dialyzing cassette with 10 kDa molecular-weight cut off (Slide-A-Lyzer, Thermo Scientific, US). Pooled and dialyzed protein fractions were concentrated using Amicon centrifugal filters with a MWCO of 100 kDa (Merck Millipore, Germany) and subjected to size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) on a HiLoad
16/600 Superdex 200 pg column (GE Healthcare, USA) equilibrated with PBS pH 7.4 connected to an ÄKTA pure (GE Healthcare, USA) FPLC system.

Expression and purification of RBDHis

For production of the recombinant receptor binding domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, Expi293F™ cells were maintained and transfected according to the manufacturer's manual in FreeStyle™ expression medium (all Thermo Fisher, US). High-quality plasmid preparations were obtained using a Plasmid Midi kit (QUIAGEN, US). For the transfection of 200 mL culture with a cell density of 3.0x10⁶ cells/ml, a total of 200 µg plasmid DNA were mixed in 4 mL OptiPro™ SFM medium and combined with another 4 mL OptiPro™ containing 640 µL ExpiFectamin (all Thermo Fisher, US). The mixture was incubated for 15 minutes before gradual introduction to the cells. The culture was incubated for 7 days at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 8% CO₂ on an orbital shaker rotating at 125 rpm. The supernatant containing the secreted soluble protein was harvested by centrifugation at 20 000 x g for 30 minutes at 4°C and additionally filtrated through a 0.45 um Durapore membrane filter (Merck Millipore, Germany). Clarified cell supernatant was diluted 1:2 in loading buffer (20 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole). The solution was loaded onto a 5 mL HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare, US) equilibrated with 5 column volumes of loading buffer, and bound protein was eluted by applying buffer containing 20 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl and 300 mM imidazole. Fractions containing the protein of interest were pooled and dialyzed against PBS at 4°C overnight using a dialyzing cassette with 10 kDa molecular-weight cut off (Slide-A-Lyzer, Thermo Scientific, United States). Pooled and dialyzed protein fractions were concentrated using Amicon centrifugal filters with a MWCO of 100 kDa (Merck Millipore, Germany).

SDS-PAGE
5 µg of purified mAbs were resolved on a NuPage 4–12% Bis/Tris gel (Life Technologies, UK) and stained with InstantBlue (Expedeon, UK).

**ELISA**

For quantification of IgG and IgA mAbs in clarified crude extract of infiltrated *N. benthamiana* plants ELISA plates were coated with 250 ng/well anti-human gamma chain antiserum (AU004, Binding Site, UK) and goat pAb to human anti-alpha chain (ab97211, Abcam, UK) in PBS pH 7.4 at 4°C overnight, respectively. After blocking with PBS containing 2% (w/v) BSA and 0.1% Tween 20 (v/v) clarified crude plant extracts were added to the wells in normalized concentrations and incubated for 1.5 h at 37°C. As standards, IgG1/kappa or IgG1/lambda isolated from human myeloma plasma (15154, I5029, Sigma, US), purified human IgA (P80-102, Bethyl, US) and IgA from human colostrum (I2363, Sigma, US) were used. Detection of secretory IgA variants was with mouse anti-secretory component (IgA) antibody (SAB4200787, Sigma, US), followed by HRP-labeled anti-mouse antibody (SAB5300168, Sigma, US). For IgG and monomeric IgA variants, HRP-labeled anti-kappa (A18853, Invitrogen, US) or lambda light chain (ab200966, Abcam, UK) antisera were used. After incubation for 1h at 37°C plates were developed using TMB (Thermo Fisher, US) substrate, the reaction was stopped with 2 M H$_2$SO$_4$ and read-out was an Infinite F200 Pro plate reader (Tecan, CH) at 450 nm wavelength.

For determination of the ratio of functional and fully assembled SIgA to total IgA in each size-exclusion fraction, similar ELISA assays were performed. Capture was with 150 ng/well purified recombinant RBDHis or anti-alpha HC antibody (ab97211, Abcam, UK). Purified mAbs were diluted to 2 µg/mL in blocking solution and added to RBD and anti-IgA coated plates in normalized concentrations, and incubated for 1.5 h at 37°C. Detection of secretory component or antibody kappa or lambda chains was as described above.
To determine the binding of the purified recombinant mAbs to SARS-CoV-2 RBD the ELISA plates were coated with 150 ng/well purified recombinant RBD-His and purified mAbs were added to the wells in normalized concentration as above. For detection, HRP-labeled anti-human kappa or lambda light chain antibody were used as above. Half-maximal concentration (EC\textsubscript{50}) was calculated in GraphPad Prism 9.0.

**Competitive ELISA**

To determine the capability of purified mAbs to inhibit binding of RBD-His to the Ace2 receptor a competitive binding ELISA was performed. Purified Ace2-Fc was kindly provided by Elisabeth Lobner (BOKU Vienna) and 500 ng/well were coated on an ELISA plate at 4°C overnight, followed by blocking with PBS containing 2% (w/v) BSA and 0.1% Tween 20 (v/v). RBD-His was incubated with varying molar ratios of the different mAbs starting with 2:1 [mAbs:RBD-His] reducing to 0.007:1 for 1h at 37°C before addition to the wells. Binding of RBDHis to Ace2-Fc was detected using an HRP-labeled anti-His antibody (71840, Sigma, US) and plates were developed as described above.

**Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy**

The binding kinetics of plant-produced IgG and IgA mAbs to SARS-CoV-2 RBD-His were determined on a BIAcore X-100 instrument (GE healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, UK) at 25 °C with buffer HBS-EP+ (10 mm HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA and 0.05% surfactant P-20). The monoclonal mouse anti-His antibody (SAB2702220, Sigma, US) was immobilized onto a CM5 chip with standard amine coupling. Purified RBD-His was diluted in HBS-EP+ buffer and injected at a concentration of 1 μg/mL for 30 sec at the flow rate of 30 μL/min, followed by injection of 5 different concentration of each mAb with a flow rate of 30 μL/min. The second lowest concentration was repeated to ensure reproducibility, and allowed to dissociate before regeneration with 10 mM Glycine pH 1.7 for 1 min at the flow rate
of 10 μL/min. Referenced and blanked sensorgrams were fitted with BIAcore Evaluation software using a 1:1 Langmuir model of binding. Each assay was performed in duplicate.

**Mass spectrometry**

A total of 20 μg purified protein was reduced, S-alkylated and digested with trypsin (Promega, USA). Glycopeptides were then analysed by capillary reversed-phase chromatography and electron-spray mass spectrometry using an Agilent Series 6560 LC-IMS-QTOFMS instrument as reported previously 29.

**MAb stability assays in human saliva**

Saliva was donated by two healthy volunteers and processed immediately after collection. Neither donor had a previous natural infection with SARS-CoV-2 but both had received a two-doses vaccination regime and their salivas had been shown to contained low levels of RBD specific IgG but not SIgA antibodies (Ma, personal communication). The saliva was clarified by centrifugation at 3 000 x g for 15 minutes. Supernatants were collected and aliquoted into 100 μL aliquots before being mixed with 10 μg of each IgG and SIgA mAb variant in a volume less than 5 μL. Following the immediate collection of a 15 μL sample (0 minutes time-point), antibody/saliva solutions were incubated at 37°C and sampled at 5, 60, 150, 240 and 1440 minutes. Samples were analyzed using a sandwich ELISA assay as described above, using plates coated with 150 ng/well purified recombinant RBD-His in PBS pH 7.4. The mAbs/saliva solutions were diluted in blocking buffer 1:1000, added to the wells in normalized concentrations and incubated for 1.5 h at 37°C. The corresponding purified mAb in PBS buffer with known concentration was used as control. IgG and SIgA mAbs were detected using HRP-labelled mouse anti-IgG Fc (AP113P, Merck, Germany) and mouse anti-secretory component (IgA) antibody (SAB4200787, Sigma, US), followed by HRP-labelled anti-mouse antibody (SAB5300168, Sigma, US), respectively.
Virus neutralization assay

Vero E6 cells stably expressing ACE-2 and TMPRSS-2 were obtained by NIBSC, UK and grown in Dulbecco-MEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated foetal calf serum (FCS, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, US), penicillin (100 U/ml, Sigma), streptomycin (100 µg/ml, Sigma), Hygromycin B (250 µg/ml, Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) and G418 (250 µg/ml, Thermo Fisher). For plaque reduction assays, cells were seeded to obtain confluent monolayers (10^5 cells/well in 12-well plates) and allowed to settle overnight. Monolayers were visually inspected before use.

SARS-CoV-2 (England/2/2020) was obtained from Public Health England, UK and passaged in Vero E6 stably expressing ACE-2 and TMPRSS-2. Virus stocks were quantified with a standard plaque assay and expressed as plaque forming units per ml (pfu/ml).

Purified mAbs were serially diluted ten-fold starting at 15-20 µg/ml in Dulbecco-MEM with 2% FCS and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with 30-40 plaque forming units (pfu) of SARS-CoV-2 (England/2/2020). After incubation, the virus-antibody mixture was transferred onto a confluent monolayer of Vero-E6 cells expressing ACE-2 and TMPRSS-2 (NIBSC, UK). After 60 min adsorption at 37 °C, the inoculum was removed and replaced with an overlay containing growth medium (D-MEM with 10% FCS) and 0.8% Avicel (Sigma). The monolayers were incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO2 for 48 h and then fixed and stained with paraformaldehyde 10% (Sigma) and crystal violet (1x, Sigma), respectively. Plaques were counted and expressed as % of a neutralising positive control (WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin, 20/136, NIBSC, UK). Percentage neutralisation (inhibition) was calculated in MS Excel and GraphPad Prism 9.0.

Protective efficacy of COVA2-15 IgG and SIgA in infected hACE2 transgenic mice

29 8-week-old male hACE2 transgenic mice (C57BL/6J) (T037630, GemPharmatech Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China) were challenged with 1×10^3 PFU SARS-CoV-2 (IVCAS 6.7512). The
mice were split into seven groups (n=3-6) for prophylactic evaluation, as described in Figure 5A. Mice without any challenge and treatment served as blank control (blank, n=3). Mice challenged with SARS-CoV-2 but only PBS buffer as treatment were taken as infection control (PBS, n=4). 250 μg human serum IgG (I4506, Merck, Germany, IgG isotype control, n=4) and human colostrum IgA (I2636, Sigma, US, IgA isotype control, n=4) were administered intranasally 24 h prior to infection and served as isotype treated controls. For the prophylactic group, IgG, SIgA1 or SIgA2 at a dose of 250 μg/mouse (average of 10 mg/kg) was administered intranasally 24 h before infection (COVA2-15 IgG, SIgA1 and SIgA2, -24 h, n=4 or 6). Body weight of each mouse was measured daily. The mice were sacrificed 6 days post infection (dpi) or at the humane endpoint. Lungs were collected for viral load determination and tissue sections for histopathology. Haematoxylin and eosin staining (H&E) and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining were performed, respectively.

Viral load measurement by quantitative RT-PCR

Viral load was detected by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) as described previously. Briefly, lung homogenates were prepared by homogenizing perfused whole lung using an electric homogenizer. The supernatant was collected, and total RNA was extracted. Each RNA sample was reverse transcribed to cDNA with RT-PCR Prime Script Kit (Takara, Japan). The cDNA was used in a qRT-PCR reaction with the TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies, US), a TaqMan probe (5′-FAM--CAGGTGGAACCTCATCAGGAGATGC-MGB-3′), and primers designed to target the orf1ab gene of SARS-CoV-2 (5′-GTGARATGGTCATGTGTGGCGG-3′ and 5′-CARATGTTAAASACACTATTAGCATA-3′). The samples were run in triplicate on an ABI 7900 Real-Time System (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The following cycling conditions were used: 1 cycle of 50 °C for 2 min, 1 cycle of 95 °C for 10 min, and 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 sec and 58 °C for 1 min. The virus titer was determined by comparison with a standard curve generated using
RNA extracted from a serially diluted reference viral stock. All experiments were performed in a Biosafety Level 3 facility.

Immunohistochemical staining of SARS-CoV-2-infected cells in tissues

Lung tissues were immersed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (Z2902, Sigma, US) for 24 h. After the formalin fixation, the tissues were placed in 70% ethanol and subsequently embedded with paraffin. Tissue sections (4-μm thick) were used for immunohistochemical staining for SARS-CoV-2 detection using the Coronavirus nucleocapsid antibody (40143-MM05, Sino Biological, China). Images were obtained by OLYMPUS IX73 using HCImage Live (×64) software and analyzed by ImageJ (NIH).

Study approval

All animals infected by SARS-CoV-2 were handled in Biosafety Level 3 animal facilities in accordance with the recommendations for care and use of the Institutional Review Board of Wuhan Institute of Virology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Ethics Number: WIVA11202003). All the authors declare their compliance with publishing ethics.
Results

Recombinant production of anti-SARS-CoV-2 mucosal antibodies in plants

We generated a panel of four anti-SARS-CoV-2 monomeric IgA and secretory IgA antibodies targeting different epitopes on the spike protein. The variable regions of the well-characterized, neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG antibodies COVA2-15, COVA1-22, 2-15 and 2E8 were cloned onto IgA1 and IgA2 constant domains for transient expression in glyco-engineered N. benthamiana ΔXT/FT plants that are almost completely deficient in β1,2-xylosylation and core α1,3-fucosylation. Light and heavy chain pairs were co-expressed in the presence and absence of the joining chain (JC) and secretory component (SC) to either obtain monomeric or secretory IgA. Immunoblot analysis and ELISA showed highest accumulation of recombinant protein after 5 to 6 days post infiltration. Expression levels of all monomeric IgA1 and IgA2 variants were high and approached those of their IgG counterparts, and all were functional in terms of binding to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (Figure 1A, Figure S1). However, assembly into multimeric secretory IgA when the JC and SC were co-expressed differed significantly and was highest for COVA2-15, followed by 2E8 and was reduced for COVA1-22 and 2-15 (Figure 1A). COVA2-15 and 2E8 variants were therefore selected for further analysis and characterization.

After affinity purification all IgG and IgA isotypes of COVA2-15 and 2E8 were subjected to size-exclusion chromatography. Both COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG variants display single monodisperse peaks at the expected retention time for proteins with a mass of ~ 150 kDa (Figure 1B, dark grey shaded area). COVA2-15 and 2E8 monomeric IgA variants also display a major peak corresponding to the monomeric structural unit with additional minor peaks at lower retention times representing high molecular weight aggregates (Figure S2). Co-infiltration of IgA with the JC and SC result in a major peak with minor shoulders at earlier retention times (Figure 1B, green/blue shaded area) as well as a second peak representing non-
assembled monomeric IgA (Figure 1B, light shaded area). Each of the eluted fraction was analyzed by ELISA to determine the ratio of fully functional and assembled secretory IgA. Recombinant IgAs were captured with RBD and detected with anti-SC antibody and compared to total IgA by using an anti-IgA heavy chain antibody for capture and an anti-kappa or lambda light chain antibody for detection (Figure 1B, grey bars). Thereby it was shown that the major peak and its shoulder at higher retention time (green/blue shaded area) of all variants contains fully assembled and functional SIgA, while the peak shoulder observed for COVA2-15 SIgA1 and SIgA2 at an earlier retention time likely contains non-functional high molecular weight aggregates (HMWA). In general, formation of multimeric COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgA variants was very efficient compared to COVA1-22 and 2-15 (Figure S3) and previous reports of other multimeric IgA variants in plants 19, 21, 22, whereas COVA2-15 SIgA1 and SIgA2 displayed better assembly than their 2E8 counterparts.

Size-exclusion chromatography fractions containing either the secretory and monomeric IgA species were pooled and were further analyzed using non-reducing SDS-PAGE (Figure 1C). Under non-reducing conditions both COVA2-15 and 2E8 mIgA1 and mIgA2 show a predominant band at a molecular mass around 160 kDa representing the fully assembled monomer. Secretory IgA1 and IgA2 variants display a broad band at the expected size of 360 to 400 kDa. Monomeric IgA2 variants displayed additional bands at around 100 kDa and 45 kDa, which likely represent heavy and light chain dimers as the IgA2m(1) isotype used here does not have disulfide bridges linking the heavy and light chains, which are only associated through non-covalent intermolecular interactions 29. The additional bands observed for monomeric IgA2 were not observed to the same extent for secretory IgA2.

Glycosylation of plant-produced mucosal antibodies
IgA is a heavily glycosylated protein with two predicted N-glycosylation sites in the IgA1 heavy chain, four in the IgA2m(1) heavy chain, one in the joining chain and six in the secretory component. In addition, IgA1 has nine potential O-glycosylation sites in the proline-rich hinge region, all of which are important post-translational modifications which confer many specific properties of IgA. To assess the glycosylation status of plant-produced IgG, monomeric IgA and secretory IgA isotypes, the purified antibody variants were digested with trypsin and subjected to LC-ESI-MS for analysis of site-specific N-glycosylation and the presence of modifications within the IgA1 hinge region (Figure 1D, Figure S4, Table S1). The single N-glycosylation site in the heavy-chain of COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG was about 90% occupied and displays a very homogeneous glycosylation profile with the fully processed biantennary complex-type GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2 (GnGn) as major glycoform and lesser amounts of GlcNAc1Man3GlcNAc2 (MGn/GnM).

All N-glycosylation sites in monomeric and secretory IgA1 and IgA2 heavy chains were fully occupied except the C-terminal N-site present in the tailpiece of IgA (NVS) which was only 30 to 70% glycosylated as previously reported for plant-produced IgA 29. Besides bi-antennary complex type structures (GnGn, MGn) the IgA heavy chains also contained high amounts of oligomannosidic (Man5-9) and paucimannosidic (MM) structures as well as small amounts of complex N-glycans carrying the plant-specific core α1,3-fucose resulting from the incomplete silencing of α1,3-fucosyltransferase in the N. benthamiana ΔXT/FT line. Furthermore, some site-specific processing can be observed for the NLT site in the CH2 domain of IgA1 and IgA2 which completely lacks α1,3-fucose and displays high amounts of oligomannosidic structures which indicate insufficient secretion or inaccessibility for the respective glycosyltransferases, which is even more pronounced when the secretory component is incorporated.

We were able to detect the single glycopeptide corresponding to the JC of the secretory IgA variants (Figure 1D, Table S2). The single N-glycan site in the JC of all variants was almost
fully occupied and consisted of oligomannosidic structures, which differs from the very
heterogeneously glycosylated JC of mammalian-produced SIgA containing complex-type
glycans with high levels of branching and incomplete sialylation. The presence of
oligomannosidic N-glycans suggests incomplete processing of the JC N-glycans in the Golgi
of plants.

Furthermore, we were able to identify four individual tryptic glyco-peptides of the secretory
component which were all fully occupied and displayed site-specific glycan processing (Figure
1D, Table S2). There was little difference between SC glycosylation of SIgA1 and SIgA2, or
between COVA2-15 and 2E8 SIgAs. Site NGT exclusively contained oligomannosidic N-
glycans indicating reduced accessibility for processing at this site. Sites NDT, NYT and NVT
mostly consisted of complex-type bi-antennary and paucimannosidic structures (MM > GnGn,
M Gn), which are likely generated in a post-Golgi compartment by β-hexosaminidases, and
completely lacked plant-specific α1,3-fucose. On the hinge region of the plant produced
monomeric and secretory IgA1 we detected the conversion of up to six proline residues to
hydroxyproline and the addition of variable amounts of arabinoses in 30 to 50% of the
converted hinge-regions (Figure S4, Table S3).

Stability of anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs in human saliva

Due to its unique structural features SIgA is expected to be better suited to survive and function
on mucosal surfaces than IgG. To evaluate the stability of plant-produced anti-SARS-
CoV-2 IgG and secretory IgA variants in human secretions, an in vitro experiment with
COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG, SIgA1 and SIgA2 was performed using saliva from two donors
(Figure 2). Each mAb variant was incubated with saliva supernatant, incubated at 37°C and
sampled at the times indicated. Time-point samples were analyzed for structural integrity and
retained antigen binding capacity by sandwich ELISA capturing using RBD and detection with
HRP-conjugated anti IgG-Fc or anti-secretory component. Although the rates of degradation for both IgG and IgA variants based on COVA2-15 and 2E8 varied between experiments when different saliva samples were used, intact IgG was lost at a consistently faster rate over the experimental time-course than secretory IgA variants. The half-life of the SARS-CoV-2 IgG mAbs were calculated using a one phase decay non-linear regression model. Half-lives of COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG variants were up to 30 minutes and were increased 5 to 10-fold for COVA2-15 SIgAs and 2E8 SIgA2, but were difficult to determine for 2E8 SIgA1 as they did not decline to a plateau in the tested time-frame.

**Binding characteristics of different antibody formats to SARS-CoV-2 RBD**

Binding of the monomeric and secretory IgA formats to the SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD) was tested using ELISA and the half-maximal effective concentrations (EC\(_{50}\)) was determined ([Figure 3A, Table S4](#)). The binding behavior of monomeric and secretory IgA1 and IgA2 was comparable to their IgG counterpart, whereas COVA2-15 variants generally display stronger binding than 2E8 variants. In a competitive ELISA assay COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG and IgA mAbs were further analyzed for their capability to inhibit RBD binding to the ACE2-receptor ([Figure 3B](#)). Plant-produced IgG and IgA antibodies were able to inhibit RBD binding to ACE2-Fc using this assay, although 2E8 variants needed to be administered in higher molar ratios. Generally, secretory IgAs performed better, compared with monomeric IgA and IgG as expected due to their multivalency.

The binding kinetics of IgG, monomeric and secretory IgA variants of COVA2-15 and 2E8 to RBD were further investigated using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy. RBD was captured with a CM5 chip with immobilized anti-His antibody and different concentrations of each mAb were injected in multi-cycle kinetic experiments and curves were fitted in a 1:1 binding model ([Figure 3C](#)). A rapid association (\(k_a\)) and very low dissociation rate (\(k_d\)) were
characteristic for all COVA2-15 mAb variants, whereas a moderate association and faster
dissociation rate was observed for 2E8 IgG. Secretory IgA versions, particularly in the case of
2E8, displayed a more rapid association and a much-reduced dissociation rate with an up to 10-
fold increase in affinity ($K_D$) compared to IgG (Table 1). This avidity effect was not observed
so clearly for the COVA2-15 variants, likely due to the already near-irreversible nature of the
interaction of these monomeric formats with RBD.

Neutralization activity of different antibody formats

The neutralization ability of COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG and IgA antibodies was investigated
using a live virus neutralization assay with a clinical isolate of SARS-COV-2 (England/2/2020)
propagated in Vero E6 cells stably expressing ACE2 and TMPRSS-2. Plaques were counted
and expressed as % for non-neutralising control (Figure 4). All COVA2-15 mAb variants
showed high neutralization potential with 50% inhibitory dose (ID$_{50}$) values ranging from 2
ng/mL to 10 ng/mL, which are in accordance to previously reported data of COVA2-15 IgG
variants produced in a mammalian expression system $^{23}$. The RBD-targeting 2E8 mAbs showed reduced capability to block RBD binding to ACE2 in
the competition ELISA (Figure 3B) suggesting a reduced virus neutralization potency. Indeed,
the IgG version of 2E8 exhibited no inhibition at the tested concentrations, monomeric IgA1
and IgA2 were weak neutralizers (ID$_{50}$ ~ 1-2 µg/mL) and only the secretory IgA variants (2E8
SIgA1 ID$_{50}$ ~ 5 ng/mL, (2E8 SIgA2 ID$_{50}$ ~ 20 ng/mL) had strong neutralizing activities (Figure
4B).

Efficacy of intranasally administered anti-SARS-CoV-2 mucosal antibodies in ACE2 mice

To compare the prophylactic efficacy of COVA2-15 IgG, SIgA1 and SIgA2 in vivo, mAbs
were administrated intranasally to hACE2 transgenic mice 24 hours prior to challenge with
SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 5A). High levels of viral RNA ($3.4 \times 10^6$ copies/mg) were detected in the lungs of control and isotype treated control mice, which were significantly reduced in the prophylactic groups, particularly those treated with 250 μg (average of 10 mg/kg) COVA2-15 IgG, as evidenced by real-time PCR (Figure 5B). Significant reduction in viral RNA was also observed in mice treated with 250 μg COVA2-25 SIgA1 or SIgA2. The results correlate with clinical protection, with partial protection afforded by SIgA antibodies and full protection by IgG (Figure 5C). Mice receiving COVA2-15 mAbs (IgG and SIgAs) treatment showed less weight loss than the controls (Figure 5D). Histopathological analysis of lung tissues demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 induced lung lesions, focal infiltration of inflammatory cells around bronchi and blood vessels (blue arrows) and alveolar septal thickening (green arrows) in the control mice. There was also narrowing and collapse of the alveolar wall with creation of larger cystic cavities. In the COVA2-15 IgG treated groups, there was little pathology but the appearance of lungs in the SIgA treated groups resembled the PBS treated control group more closely (Figure 5E).
Discussion

In this study we investigated the feasibility of producing neutralizing mucosal IgA antibodies against SARS-CoV-2, performed a detailed biochemical and functional analysis of the recombinant antibodies, and explored their potential use to prevent infection in an *in vivo* model. We used the plant-based *Nicotiana benthamiana* ΔXT/FT expression platform for transient production of different monomeric and secretory IgA variants based on several different published IgG antibodies recognizing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. All of the monomeric IgG and IgA antibody variants expressed moderately to well and were functional in terms of antigen binding. However, the capacity for assembly into multimeric secretory IgA differed greatly between antibodies with different variable regions. COVA2-15 SIgAs displayed almost full assembly (80-90%) and 2E8 variants demonstrated up to 70% assembly into multimers, thereby exceeding yields and ratios of recombinantly produced SIgA to monomeric IgA in previous reports using plant- and mammalian-based expression. SIgA antibodies based on 2-15 and COVA1-22 on the other hand showed very poor assembly into multimers even though they only differ from COVA2-15 and 2E8 in the variable domain sequences.

In previous studies it was shown that the JC incorporation is the limiting factor for secretory IgA formation. This is consistent with our finding, where we also did not observe an increase of dimeric IgA when the amount of infiltrated JC was varied. Other factors that were reported to contribute to dimer formation were the involvement of certain human chaperones including ERp44 or MZB.1, certain structural features of the CH3 domains of IgA1 and IgA2 and tailpiece glycosylation. Our data indicate that there are also additional factors contributing to Joining chain incorporation and IgA dimerization which need to be investigated further.
Mucosal antibody variants COVA2-15 and 2E8 which displayed highest yield and assembly capacity were selected for detailed characterization. Class-switching of COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG to monomeric and secretory IgA variants did not significantly influence EC$_{50}$ for binding to the SARS-CoV-2 RBD despite higher valency of SIgAs. However, avidity effects were apparent in SPR kinetic experiments in which the dissociation rate and consequently the dissociation constant ($K_D$) of 2E8 SIgA compared to the IgG counterpart displaying a moderate affinity, were much enhanced.

Strong binding to RBD and competition with the ACE2 receptor binding translated into potent virus neutralization capacities of all COVA2-15 mAb variants, although no significant increase from monomeric to multimeric antibody formats could be observed despite higher valency of antigen binding sites. This could be due to the already extremely high affinity of monomeric Ig variants. The contrary was observed for 2E8 based antibodies, which showed moderate binding to RBD and reduced competition with ACE2 binding compared with COVA2-15. The monomeric Ig formats of 2E8 displayed little or moderate virus neutralisation, but the multimeric SIgA formats showed strong inhibition. The increased activity of monomeric IgA over IgG might result from the extended hinge region in IgA1 or other structural differences of the antibody classes. Furthermore, IgA and multimeric antibody formats could enhance inhibition of virus entry through other mechanisms such as steric hindrance or increased avidity, potentially offering a means of rescuing or re-purposing relatively poorly performing IgG antibodies.

Whilst increased valency might confer increased neutralization capacity for some antibody candidates, SIgA is also believed to have a longer half-life in mucosal secretions due to its unique structural features making it less susceptible to proteolysis. SIgA also has unique interactions with structural and functional components of the mucosa and displays non-inflammatory properties. Some of these characteristics are conferred by the extensive N-
glycosylation of heavy chains and secretory components of IgA \(^{39}\), which in humans carries mostly branched complex N-glycans with high levels of sialic acid and with seven putative sites occupied in varying degrees \(^ {40}\). This study confirmed that plants are capable of performing these complex post-translational modifications with relatively high homogeneity compared to mammalian production systems and mostly absent N-glycan modifications such as \(\beta 1,2\) xylose and \(\alpha 1,3\) fucose, which are commonly found in plants that have not been glycoengineered \(^{41}\). \(^ {42}\). The elongated hinge-region of plant-produced IgA1 on the other hand, exhibits plant-specific conversion of prolines to hydroxyprolines followed by addition of unbranched arabinose chains. Hydroxyproline residues are not found on human proteins such as IgA1 and concerns have been raised that the presence of arabinose chains may bear a risk of an unwanted immune response. Consistent with previous observations of increased half-life for IgA in the mucosa, plant-produced COVA2-15 and 2E8 SIgA variants were significantly more stable in saliva compared to their IgG counterparts \(^ {19}\). Interestingly, SIgA1 and SIgA2 showed similar rates of degradation, although in humans SIgA1 is more prone to degradation by proteases produced by oral bacteria selectively cleaving sites in the extended hinge-region of IgA1, leaving SIgA2 as the predominant isotype in mucosal secretions \(^ {43}, 44\). Here, the activity of bacterial proteases on the extended IgA1 hinge-region might be reduced due to the conversion of prolines to hydroxyprolines, which lie within the recognition sequence of many bacterial proteases and are partially extended with arabinose chains, thereby potentially masking the cleavage site \(^ {45}, 46\). To date there is only limited knowledge about safety and efficacy of the repeated application of recombinant mAbs let alone plant-produced IgAs to mucosal surfaces or influences of plant-specific modifications. However, repeated application of a plant-made SIgA to the oral cavity did not cause any side effects \(^ {12}\). Neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 are increasingly used in early treatment of severe COVID-19, but only administered by the systemic rout. SIgAs applied topically to mucosal
sites might provide a different, much earlier intervention to tackle viral carriage and transmission. SARS-CoV-2 is mainly present in the nasopharynx and lungs, so direct administration to the upper respiratory tract might provide faster and more robust antiviral activity in the sites, where the virus resides and replicates. Here, the *in vivo* study addressed protection against SARS-CoV-2 challenge in a hACE2 mouse model. This model has the advantage of being strongly informative while being technically straightforward compared to carriage and transmission blocking studies in other animal models. We demonstrated partial protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection in mice treated with plant-produced SIgA1 and SIgA2, but also that the plant-produced IgG COVA2-15 provided complete protection in this model. The apparent superiority of IgG might be due to limitations inherent with the study, specifically the inability to directly compare antibody concentrations for IgG and SIgAs due to different ELISA formats used to quantify concentrations. Additionally, although the murine model is an invaluable system, the upper respiratory murine mucosal microbiota differs to that of humans and can result in an antibody class being favored over another.

In summary, we demonstrated that neutralising monoclonal IgA antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 can be produced as monomeric and secretory formats in a plant-based expression system. We showed that these antibodies are able to maintain their structure and binding affinities when incubated in the harsh environment of human saliva. Importantly, we showed that these plant-generated antibodies have strong virus neutralisation activity and can reduce SARS-CoV-2 infection in an *in vivo* murine model. Therefore, our preliminary data provide strong evidence of the value of secretory IgA in clinical management and/or prevention of COVID-19.
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Figure 1: Expression, assembly and purification of monoclonal IgG and different IgA antibodies from *N. benthamiana* plants. (A) IgG, monomeric and secretory IgA1 and IgA2 versions of 4 different mAbs recognizing the SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins were transiently expressed in plants. Expression levels were quantified by sandwich ELISA in crude leaf
extracts. Detection of monomeric IgA and IgG variants was with either HRP-labeled anti-kappa (COVA2-15) or anti-lambda light chain (2E8, COVA1-22, 2-15) antibodies. SIgA antibodies were detected using anti-secretory component antibodies for all SIgA variants. Quantification data represent the mean of two technical repeats of three independent biological repeats ± SD. (B) Normalized size-exclusion chromatograms of affinity-purified IgG, secretory IgA1 and secretory IgA2 of the COVA2-15 and 2E8 variants from infiltrated N. benthamiana ΔXF leaves. Values were normalized based on the highest signal of each chromatogram. The ratio of secretory IgA to total IgA in each chromatography fraction was determined by ELISA and the relative amount of SIgA in each fraction is indicated by grey bars. Green, blue and grey boxes indicated pooled fractions. (C) SDS-PAGE under non-reducing conditions of affinity and size-exclusion purified plant-produced IgG, monomeric and secretory IgA1/IgA2 of COVA2-15 and 2E8 visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining. (D) Site-specific N-glycosylation of purified mAbs. Bars represent the relative abundance (%) of glycoforms present at each glycosite of the heavy chains (HC; IgA1: NLT and NVS, IgA2: NVT, NLT, NIT and NVS, IgG1: NST), the secretory component (SC; NDT, NYT, NGT, NVT) and the Joining chain (JC; NIS). N-glycans are abbreviated according to the ProGlycAn system (www.proglycan.com). The symbols for the monosaccharides are drawn according to the nomenclature from the Consortium for Functional Glycomics.
Figure 2: Stability of COVA2-15 IgG and IgA variants in human saliva. Saliva from two donors (A and B) was mixed with COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG and SIgA mAb variants and incubated at 37°C for the indicated time. Samples were analyzed for binding to RBD and assembly through detection with Fc-specific and SC-specific antibodies. The mean ± SD of duplicates is shown. Gray dotted lines indicate half-lives of COVA2-15 and 2E8 variants calculated using a one phase decay non-linear regression model (Graphpad Prism).
Figure 3: Interaction of CoVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG and IgA antibodies with the SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD). (A) Determination of EC$_{50}$ values of IgA and IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2 variants to the receptor binding domain (RBD) by ELISA. Each value is the mean ± SD from three independent measurements. (B) Inhibition of RBD binding to the ACE2 receptor by COVA2-15 and 2E8 mAb variants was determined by a competitive ELISA assay. Data shown is one representative out of two independent experiments with similar results. (C) Binding kinetics of COVA2-15 and 2E8 mAb variants to RBD were obtained by SPR spectroscopy in multi-cycle kinetic experiments. An anti-His antibody was immobilized on an CM5 chip, RBDHis was captured (50 RU for COVA2-15 IgG, SIgA1 and SIgA2; 100 RU for 2E8 SIgA1, SIgA2; 300 RU for 2E8 IgG), and 5 or 6 different concentrations of the respective
mAb were injected. The obtained curves were fitted with a 1:1 binding model. Data shown are from one experiment representative of at least two technical repeats.
Figure 4: Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 (England 02/2020) by COVA2-15 (A) and 2E8 (B) mAbs variants. Neutralization capacity was measured using a PRNT assay on Vero E6 cells. MAbs were added in serial 1:10 dilutions starting with 15 µg/mL. A positive control (Pos; WHO International Standard of anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin, 20/136, NIBSC, UK) was included. The mean of duplicates of one representative out of two experiments with similar results is shown.
Figure 5: Efficacy of intranasally administered COVA2-15 IgG, SIgA1 and SIgA2 in hACE2 mice. (A) Experimental schedule of COVA2-15 Abs in the prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The below table summary of groups (n=3-6 mice) with different treatment. (B) Viral loads in lung among 7 groups were measured by qRT-PCR. The name of each group in X axis was indicated according to table in A. Each dot represents one mouse. The limit of detection was $2.3 \times 10^4$ copies/mg referenced to blank control which was not

| Group   | Name                        | Reagent                | (n) | Antibody infusion -24 h i.n | SARS-CoV-2 challenge 0 h i.n |
|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Group 1 | No SARS-COV-2               | Blank                  | 3   | /                           | /                             |
| Group 2 | SARS-COV-2                  | PBS                    | 4   | ✓                           | ✓                             |
| Group 3 | IgA Isotype                 | Human colostrum IgA    | 4   | ✓                           | ✓                             |
| Group 4 | IgG Isotype                 | Human serum IgG        | 4   | ✓                           | ✓                             |
| Group 5 | COVA2-15 IgG                | COVA2-15 lgG           | 6   | ✓                           | ✓                             |
| Group 6 | COVA2-15 SIgA1              | COVA2-15 SIgA1         | 4   | ✓                           | ✓                             |
| Group 7 | COVA2-15 SIgA2              | COVA2-15 SIgA2         | 4   | ✓                           | ✓                             |
infected with SARS-COV-2 (Blank group). Data represent mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA was performed to compare treatment group with the PBS control group. ns, no significance; **, P < 0.01, ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001. (C) Survival rate of all 7 groups were recorded and calculated. (D) Body weight of mice among the above 7 groups were recorded. Each line represents data from one group. (E) Representative sections of lung were visualized under the × 20 objective. H&E staining was conducted to analyse the lung inflammation and observed at 64-fold magnification.
Table 1: Kinetic parameters of COVA2-15 and 2E8 IgG/IgA mAbs to RBD. Rate constants were determined at 5 different concentrations using a 1:1 binding model. Values are shown as mean ± SD of two technical repeats.

|                  | ka (1/Ms)    | kd (1/s)     | $K_D$ (nM) |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| COVA2-15 IgG     | 332930.6 ± 45451.2 | 0.00024 ± 0.00001 | 0.74 ± 0.08 |
| COVA2-15 SIgA1   | 422888.0 ± 66027.9 | 0.00016 ± 0.00001 | 0.38 ± 0.02 |
| COVA2-15 SIgA2   | 326233.2 ± 91502.2 | 0.00024 ± 0.00003 | 0.76 ± 0.12 |
| 2E8 IgG          | 70974.3 ± 12795.4 | 0.00543 ± 0.00080 | 76.93 ± 2.57 |
| 2E8 SIgA1        | 111255.2 ± 137.2 | 0.00147 ± 0.00000 | 13.23 ± 0.02 |
| 2E8 SIgA2        | 155903.3 ± 39703.3 | 0.00155 ± 0.00003 | 10.56 ± 2.79 |
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