Improved measurement of the “head-tail” effect in nuclear recoils
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Abstract. We present new results with a prototype detector that is being developed by the DMTPC collaboration for the measurement of the direction tag (“head-tail”) of dark matter wind. We use neutrons from a $^{252}$Cf source to create low-momentum nuclear recoils in elastic scattering with the residual gas nuclei. The recoil track is imaged in low-pressure time-projection chamber with optical readout. We measure the ionization rate along the recoil trajectory, which allows us to determine the direction tag of the incoming neutrons.

1. Introduction
The non-baryonic dark matter in the form of weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) still eludes detection despite recent achievements in the detection technology [1]. Aside from scaling up the size of existing detectors, the improvement in the detection sensitivity is possible by detecting the direction of the incoming dark matter particles. As the Earth moves in the galactic halo, the dark matter particles appear to come from Cygnus constellation. The direction tag of the of the incoming particle, often referred to as the “head-tail” effect, increases the sensitivity of a directional detector by one order of magnitude [2].

In this paper we present improved results for tagging the direction of low-energy nuclear recoils created by neutrons from a $^{252}$Cf source by using a time-projection chamber with optical readout. The neutrons are used in lieu of the dark matter particles because they create similar distributions of recoil energies and angles. The measurement of directionality tag relies on the fact that the ionization rate of recoiling nuclei depends on their residual energy, and therefore the direction of the recoil can be tagged from the light distribution along the track.

2. Experiment and Results
The detector is in more details described in [3]. The chamber utilizes $10 \times 10$ cm$^2$ wire frames. The drift region between the cathode mesh and the ground wire plane is 2.6 cm with average electric field of 580 V/cm, while the amplification region between the ground and the anode wire plane (+2.2 kV) is about 3 mm. The pitch of the wires for the ground (anode) plane is 2 mm (5 mm) and the wire diameter is $15 \mu$m ($50 \mu$m). The chamber is filled with CF$_4$ at 200 Torr. The scintillation light is recorded with a cooled CCD camera equipped with a photographic lens.
An image of a nuclear recoil in Figure 1 shows noticeable asymmetry of the light yield along the wire. In order to quantify this effect, we define the skewness $\gamma$ as the dimensionless ratio between the third and second moments of the light yield along the wire coordinate ($x$): $\gamma = \frac{\langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^3 \rangle}{\langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^2 \rangle^{3/2}}$. The sign indicates the slope of the light intensity along the track: recoils that travel in the direction of the incoming neutrons have a negative skewness.

A plot of the measured skewness as a function of the segment length is shown in the top plot of Figure 2. The data in this plot corresponds to 3.6 h of live time using 5 mg of CF$_4$ gas. The head-tail asymmetry is easier to observe for longer tracks that are better aligned with the anode wires and create more scintillation light. The bottom plot in Figure 2 shows the fraction of events with negative skewness as a function of the track length.

**3. Discussion and Conclusion**

Since the measured light yield is proportional to the energy of the recoil segment and the length is proportional to the track range projected to the wire, these two quantities should be correlated. Figure 3 shows clear correlation between the light yield versus length of the recoil segments.

We collect 1 day of live-time of data without sources and find two events that pass our standard selection cuts. We verify good rejection of gammas by collecting 1/3 day of live-time of data with $^{137}$Cs source (8 $\mu$Ci) placed near the sensitive area of our detector and find zero...
events passing the cuts.

We assign a conservative error of 10% to the density of the CF$_4$ gas. The statistical uncertainty on the energy measurements is about 10%. The systematic error on the energy comes from non-uniformity in wire gain, stability of the gain over time, the pressure measurement and the calibration method that assumes the energy-independent proportionality of the stopping power with the ionization rate. The error on the recoil range comes from the analysis technique that overestimates the range for low-energy recoils with the range close to the diffusion width.

We have presented improved results for tagging the direction of low-momentum nuclear recoils generated in the elastic scattering of low-energy neutrons with CF$_4$ gas. We have shown that in our current experimental setup the tag of incoming particle can be determined for recoil energies above 200 keV. This threshold can be further reduced with expected improvements in the detector preformance. This study has profound implications for the development of dark matter detectors, as the directionality will be essential to produce convincing evidence for dark matter particles in the presence of backgrounds.
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\caption{Left: skewness as a function of the track length of the recoil segments. Right: fraction of events with negative skewness as a function of the track length.}
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\caption{Correlation between the energy and the projected range of the recoil segments in data.}
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