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Introduction: Unplanned pregnancy and its complications are a global problem that affects women, families, and the community.

Objective: This study aimed to assess the relationship between pregnancy intention, pregnancy outcomes, postpartum depression, and maternal role adaptation in pregnant women referred to healthcare centers of Robat Karim City, Iran.

Materials and Methods: This cohort study was conducted on 240 pregnant women referred to the healthcare centers of Robat Karim in 2019. They were selected by the convenience sampling method. After completing the London questionnaire in the 26th week of gestation, the participants were equally divided into planned and unplanned pregnancy groups. Edinburgh postnatal depression scale and parenting sense of competency scale were completed 10 days and 30 days after delivery. The obtained data were presented by descriptive statistics and analyzed by the Chi-square and t test for comparison between two groups and structural equation model for assessing the relationship between variables.

Results: The Mean±SD values of age, marital duration time, and gravidity number of participants were 30±7.1, 1.5±0.02, and 5, respectively. The results revealed a significant difference between the two groups concerning the Mean score of postpartum depression and maternal competency, 10 and 30 days after delivery (P=0.001). The results of path analysis showed that pregnancy intention had a negative and significant effect on postpartum depression (B=−0.58, t=−2.5), but a positive and significant impact on maternal competency (B=0.39, t=2). According to the determined amount of variance, the variable of intention to pregnancy predicts 33% of postpartum depression (R²=0.33). Also, two variables of pregnancy intention and postpartum depression predict 55% of maternal competency (R²=0.55).

Conclusion: Pregnancy intention had a significant effect on maternal depression and competency. It is recommended that pregnancy intention be screened during pregnancy, and also appropriate training and social support be provided for mothers with unplanned pregnancies.
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Introduction

Unplanned pregnancy and its complications comprise a global problem that affects women, families, and communities [1, 2]. The rate of unplanned pregnancy varies depending on place and time. In a systematic review study in 6 South Asian countries in 2021, it was shown that the mean rate of unplanned pregnancy was 19.1% in the world, and its prevalence varied in different areas [3]. In a meta-analysis in Iran, the prevalence of an unplanned pregnancy was 27.9% (95% CI: 24%-32.1%) [4].

Besides unsafe abortion and maternal death, the evidence showed other outcomes of unplanned pregnancy complications like negative emotions during pregnancy and after delivery [5-7]. Moreover, mothers with unplanned pregnancies pay less attention to maintaining healthy lifestyles during and after pregnancy [8]. Unplanned pregnancy may also jeopardize and delay mother-infant attachment and maternal role adaptation [9]. Maternal competency leads to better mother-infant attachment, increases the women's self-efficacy to take care of their infants, and has a simple transition to motherhood [10]. Maternal competency depends on the mother's cognition of maternal role adaptation. Unplanned pregnancy could cause a paradoxical sense about the infant and its rejection, increasing the probability of maternal depression [11].

In recent years, the possibility of unplanned pregnancies has increased due to encouraging policies to increase fertility, the aging population, and the lack of public and free access to contraceptives [12, 13]. Unplanned pregnancies are accompanied by severe outcomes, causing considerable problems for the mother, her husband, and the child [14].

Based on the conceptual model, Figure 1 shows the relationship between pregnancy intention, depression, and pregnancy competence. This study aimed to assess the relationship between pregnancy intention, pregnancy outcomes, postpartum depression, and maternal role adaptation.
Materials and Methods

This cohort study was conducted on the pregnant women referred to 10 healthcare centers and 12 sub-centers in Robat Karim City in the southwest of Tehran Province in Iran. The follow-up was conducted between January and November 2019. A total of 4500 pregnant women are referred to these centers monthly.

Based on the Zanganeh et al. study [15], the prevalence rates of depression were 38.2% and 56% among the mothers with planned and unplanned pregnancies, respectively. Considering the confidence level of 95%, power of 80%, and 20% dropped out, 120 mothers were estimated for each study group (planned and unplanned pregnancy).

The inclusion criteria were women in the 26th week of gestation, living with husband, being over 18 years old, lacking a history of infertility, being in their first pregnancy, lacking the history of physical and psychosocial diseases, not having pregnancy resulting from sexual assault, not having experienced acute and severe stress during the recent eight weeks, lacking drug abuse (based on their medical records) and obtaining a score between 0 to 3 and 10 to 12 in the London questionnaire. The exclusion criterion was losing access to the mother in the follow-up of the study.

Sampling was done via a multi-stage sampling method. First, three healthcare centers and three sub-centers were selected based on geographical locations. Afterward, the samples were selected via convenience sampling method using the medical records of the mothers in their 26 weeks of gestation. The eligible mothers were contacted and questioned about their willingness to participate in the study; they were requested to complete the pregnancy intention questionnaire. Then, they were divided into planned and unplanned pregnancy groups based on the questionnaire score.

Exposure to pregnancy was the intention measured by the London questionnaire. The outcomes were complications during pregnancy (preeclampsia, hypertension, diabetes, preterm labor and postpartum hemorrhage), type of delivery, 1- and 5-minute Apgar scores, breastfeeding, and neonatal weight. Also, postpartum depression is measured 10 and 30 days after delivery in the two groups.

London Measure of Unplanned Pregnancy (LMUP) total score, which was used to determine unplanned pregnancy, could range from 0 to 12. LMUP scores are classified into three categories: a score of 0–3 as “unplanned pregnancy”, 4–9 as “ambivalent”, and ≥10 as “planned pregnancy” [16]. Roshanaei investigated the psychometric properties of this questionnaire in Iran [17]. In the present study, the Cronbach α coefficient for the total score was 0.74 among 30 participants collected from sampling.

PSOC consisted of two subscales: efficacy and satisfaction. The instrument contains 17 items, which are scored on a 6-point rating scale (1=strongly disagree to 6=strongly agree). Thus, the total score could range from 17-102. A higher score shows the most competencies in mothers. Johnston and Mash [18] determined the reliability and validity of the scale. In Iran, the psychometric properties of the scale were determined by Saeieh et al. [19]. In the present study, the Cronbach α coefficient for total score was 0.78 among 30 participants collected from sampling.

Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) questionnaire was used to measure postpartum depression 10 days after delivery. The total score could range from 0 to 30. Scores higher than 12 reflect depression. In this study, the Persian version of this questionnaire was used, which was conducted in Persian by Montazeri et al. in Iran [20].

Demographic characteristics, pregnancy outcomes (type of delivery, neonatal weight, breastfeeding, 1 and 5 minute Apgar score), and complications (preeclampsia, hypertension, diabetes, preterm labor, postpartum hemorrhage, NICU hospitalized, mothers ICU hospitalized) were assessed using researcher-made questionnaires that completed based on the statements of the samples and the contents of the patients’ medical records.

Mothers completed the LMUP questionnaire in their 26 weeks of pregnancy. Pregnancy outcomes and complications were obtained from patient hospital records. EPDS and PSOC were completed 10 days after delivery because of postpartum blues. Then again, PSOC and EPDS questionnaires were completed 30 days after delivery because of the motherhood transition. Mothers were followed from 26 weeks of pregnancy until 30 days after delivery by phone and social media. Also, mothers visited during prenatal care and postnatal care to complete questionnaires.
The obtained data were analyzed using the SPSS software, v. 13 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Amos 24 (30-day trial version). The t test and Chi-square test were used to compare the two study groups for demographic variables, maternal competency, and postpartum depression to compare the two groups (group mothers with planned pregnancy and mothers with unplanned). Model fit and the relationships between the independent and dependent variables were determined by structural equations modeling in AMOS v. 24.

Results

The Mean±SD age of women recruited in the study was 30±7.1 years; their mean marriage duration was 5 years. Their Mean±SD number of children was 1.5±0.2. In the unplanned group, 50% and in the planned group, 41.6% had under diploma education. In the unplanned group, 79.2% and in the planned group, 86.7% were household. Also, 10% of the two groups had a history of the disease. In the unplanned group, 58.3% and in the planned group, 60% had sufficient income. The results of t test and Chi-square test showed no significant difference between the two groups regarding the demographic characteristics.

The results revealed that maternal competency 10 and 30 days after delivery was significantly higher in the planned group (P=0.001), but postpartum depression 10 and 30 days after delivery was significantly higher in the unplanned pregnancy group (P=0.001) (Table 1).

Breastfeeding immediately after delivery and neonates’ mean weight were significantly lower in the unplanned pregnancy group compared to the planned pregnancy group (P=0.001). However, the frequency of preeclampsia and preterm labor was significantly higher in the unplanned pregnancy group than in the planned pregnancy group (P=0.002, P=0.03, respectively) (Tables 2 and 3).

The standardized path coefficients of the structural model are presented in Figure 2. After performing confirmatory factor analysis, the conceptual model fit was determined. Modified model fit indices based on the Hair model [21] are presented in Table 4. The modified measurement model showed an acceptable fit. According to the results of the structural model, the pregnancy intention had a negative and significant effect on postpartum depression (B=-0.58, t=-2.51). This result means that if the pregnancy intention changes 1 unit, the postpartum depression variable will decrease by 0.58 units. Also, pregnancy intention had a positive and significant effect on maternal competency (B=0.39, t=-2.31). This result means that if the pregnancy intention changes 1 unit, the maternal competency variable will increase by 0.39 units. Postpartum depression had a negative and significant effect on maternal competency (B=-0.45, t=-3.16). This finding means that if postpartum depression changes 1 unit, the maternal competency variable will decrease by 0.44 units. The analysis of the postpartum depression mediator showed that the model was without a postpartum depression mediator. Also, the existence of postpartum depression was significant. The path coefficients of the direct and indirect effect of the pregnancy intention on parenting competency were significant. This analysis based on Baron and Kenny’s method [22] showed that in this model, postpartum depression was a complete mediator (Table 5).

According to the determined amount of variance, the variable of intention to pregnancy predicts 33% of postpartum depression (R²=0.33). Also, the two variables of intention to pregnancy and postpartum depression together predict 55% of maternal competency (R²=0.55).

Discussion

The current study findings indicated that the pregnancy intention had a direct effect on maternal competency score. Morin stated that unplanned pregnancy is associated with poor maternal mental health outcomes and behaviors. Indeed, the mothers with unplanned pregnancies had poor relationships with their babies [23]. Mothers with early adaptation to their maternal role were almost securely attached to their infants, so their infants had lower psychological and behavioral problems [24]. The research findings by Ekrami et al. indicated weaker maternal-fetal attachment levels in unplanned pregnancies compared to planned ones. The fetus was at greater behavioral risk in case of low attachment levels [25]. Our findings showed that mothers, based on their pregnancy intention feeling are prepared for motherhood transition and capability of being a parent. Also, the mothers’ intention about pregnancy can affect their feelings about their babies, that impacts mother and baby bonding and motherhood competency. It seems that pregnancy intention evaluation in pregnant women may be helpful for better maternal competency.

The present study results showed that the postpartum depression in the unplanned pregnancy was higher than that in the planned pregnancy group. Kourta et al. demonstrated that unplanned pregnancy and hospitalization during pregnancy were significantly related to postpartum depression [26]. Similarly, Faisal-Cury showed that the risk of depression during pregnancy...
and after labor was 2.5 times higher among women with unplanned pregnancies than those with planned pregnancies [27]. The study showed that postpartum depression had an inverse effect on maternal competency. Furthermore, the results of another study indicated that postpartum depression was inversely related to maternal self-efficacy and maternal role functioning [28]. Screening the women with unintended pregnancy during the prenatal period could support them during and after pregnancy [29]. The results of our study were consistent with these results. Pregnancy intention may help tolerate more stress and anxiety of pregnancy and the postpartum period and create more accessibility of parenting transition and parenting competency.

The present study results revealed no significant difference between the two groups regarding the type of labor and 1 and 5 minute Apgar scores. However, the incidence of preterm delivery was significantly higher in the unplanned pregnancy group than in the planned pregnancy group. Additionally, the neonates’ weight and breastfeeding were lower in the unplanned pregnancy group than in the planned pregnancy group. Moreover, the incidence of preeclampsia was significantly higher in the unplanned pregnancy group than in the planned pregnancy group. In the Karaçam study, mothers in the unplanned pregnancy group showed more complications during pregnancy and labor [30]. Studies showed that unplanned pregnancies are associated with induced abortion, delayed initiation of antenatal care, fewer antenatal care visits, unhealthy behavior during pregnancy, increased risk of adverse birth outcomes and neonatal health, development delay, and attributed maternal psychosocial health [31, 32].

Our structural model showed an inverse relationship between depression and maternal competency for women who reported unplanned pregnancies. This finding suggests that a woman who experiences unintended pregnancy and depression may find it challenging to engage in bonding behavior and accepting maternal competency. This finding is an essential consideration during the antenatal period as women with unintended pregnancies appear to be at increased risk of depression and decreased maternal competency. McNamara et al. with a structural equation model study, showed the relationship between psychological distress and antenatal bonding for women who reported low intention to their pregnancy and revealed that women that had a negative feeling about her pregnancy had psychological symptoms (anxiety, depression, and stress) and also found it more challenging to engage in behaviors that cause more interaction and bonding with her baby. Our result was consistent with their results [33].

The strengths of this study were its prospective design and investigation of all mothers during pregnancy and after labor. Yet, studies with larger sample sizes and

| Variables                        | Time         | Mean±SD          | Sig.*         |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|
|                                  | Planned Group (n=120) | Unplanned Group (n=120) |               |
| Depression                       | 10 days after delivery | 10.1±3          | 13.1±2.5      | 0.001 |
|                                  | 30 days after delivery | 9.4±3.2         | 13.4±2.5      | 0.001 |
| Maternal competency             | 10 days after delivery | 59.6±10.6       | 50.2±11.3     | 0.001 |
|                                  | 30 days after delivery | 63.7±5.1        | 57±16.1       | 0.001 |

* The t-test.

Table 1. Comparing postpartum depression and maternal competency between the two study groups 10 and 30 days after labor
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Table 2. Comparing pregnancy outcomes between the two study groups (Planned and Unplanned pregnancy group)

| Outcomes                  | No.(%)/Mean±SD | P      |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------|
|                           | Unplanned (n=120) | Planned (n=120) | |
| Type of delivery          | Natural delivery  | 54(45) | 47(39.2) | 0.361* |
|                           | Section          | 66(55) | 73(60.8) |        |
| Neonatal gender           | Girl             | 60(50) | 59(49.2) | 0.892* |
|                           | Boy              | 60(50) | 61(50.8) |        |
| Neonatal feeding          | Breastfeeding    | 58(48.3) | 86(71.7) |        |
|                           | Formula          | 40(33.3) | 18(15) | 0.001* |
|                           | Both of them     | 22(18.3) | 16(13.3) |        |
| Apgar score               | 8                | 20(16.7) | 7(5.8) | 0.008** |
|                           | 9                | 100(83.3) | 113(94.2) |        |
| Neonatal weight (g)       | 3001±209.7       | 3135.3±220 |        | 0.001** |

*Chi-square tes; * t-test.

Table 3. Comparing pregnancy complications between the two study groups

| Maternal Morbidity         | No.(%) | Sig.* |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|
|                            | Unplanned (n=120) | Planned (n=120) | |
| Preeclampsia               | Yes     | 9(7.5) | 2(1.7) | 0.031 |
|                            | No      | 11(92.5) | 118(98.3) |        |
| Hypertension               | Yes     | 1(0.8) | 1(0.8) | 0.99 |
|                            | No      | 119(99.2) | 119(99.2) |        |
| Preterm labor              | Yes     | 21(17.5) | 6(5) | 0.002 |
|                            | No      | 99(82.5) | 114(95) |        |
| Diabetes                   | Yes     | 1(0.8) | 1(0.8) | 0.99 |
|                            | No      | 119(99.2) | 119(99.2) |        |
| Postpartum bleeding        | Yes     | 3(2.5) | 1(0.8) | 0.312 |
|                            | No      | 117(97.5) | 119(99.2) |        |
| NICU Hospitalized          | Yes     | 1(0.8) | 1(0.8) | 0.99 |
|                            | NO      | 119(99.2) | 119(99.2) |        |
| Mothers ICU Hospitalized   | Yes     | 1(0.8) | 0 | 0.99 |
|                            | No      | 119(99.2) | 120(100) |        |

* Chi-square test.
Table 4. Modified model fit indices of mediating role of depression on the relationship between intentions to pregnancy and parenting competency

| Fit Indices                      | Allowable Amounts | Obtained Values |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Chi-square/Degrees of Freedom (df) | <3                | 2.45            |
| RMSEA                            | <0.8              | 0.07            |
| GFI                              | >0.8              | 0.84            |
| AGFI                             | >0.8              | 0.82            |
| NFI                              | >0.9              | 0.91            |
| NNFI                             | >0.9              | 0.93            |
| CFI                              | >0.9              | 0.94            |
| IFI                              | >0.9              | 0.91            |

RMSEA: Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; GFI: the Goodness of Fit Index; AGFI: Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index; NFI: Normed Fit Index; NNFI: Non-Normed Fit Index; CFI: Comparative Fit Index; IFI: Incremental Fit Index

Table 5. Path coefficients of mediating role of depression on the relationship between intentions to pregnancy and parenting competency

| Latent Variables                  | SE   | t     | β    | P    |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|------|------|
| Pregnancy intention ----> depression(a) | 0.02 | -2.5  | -0.58 | 0.001 |
| Depression ----> Parenting competency(b) | 0.155 | 3.16  | -0.45 | 0.005 |
| Pregnancy intention ----> Parenting competency(c/) | 0.19 | 2.31  | 0.39  | 0.01  |
| Parenting competence ----> depression(c)(without mediator) | 0.02 | 3.2   | 0.38  | 0.001 |

Total effect=a×b+c/ - 0.5 -

Figure 2. Structural model of study
longer durations are recommended to investigate unplanned pregnancy complications regarding children’s growth and development. Also, pregnancy intention and postpartum depression predict 55% of maternal competency; we suggested more studies to find a structural model to recognize other variables that predict maternal competency in mothers.

One of the limitations of the present study was gathering the data about pregnancy intention using a questionnaire at 26 weeks of gestation, while unplanned pregnancies are more likely to be terminated earlier through abortion. The complications of abortion were not determined, as well. Therefore, future studies are recommended to assess the complications of unintended pregnancy from the beginning of the process.

Conclusion

Our findings showed the relevance of the pregnancy intention with pregnancy outcome, postnatal depression, and maternal in the southwest region of Tehran settings and can be used in the same context of reproductive, prenatal, childbearing, and maternal and child health policy. The study shows support the predictive effect of intention to pregnancy and depression on maternal competency. Also, there is a higher incidence of preterm labor, non-breastfeeding, and preeclampsia in the unplanned pregnancy group. Therefore, pregnancy intention is recommended to be screened during pregnancy to offer proper training and social support in unplanned pregnancies.
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