**INTRODUCTION**

Narrow-gap semiconductors exhibit a breadth of striking functionalities ranging from thermoelectricity to dark matter detection. More recently, the concept of topological insulating phases has renewed interest in this class of materials. Independent of the target application, a primary goal from the experimental point of view is the synthesis of genuine insulators free of self doping. Materials design is usually guided by simple electron count (e.g. tetradymite Bi2Te3), correlated gaps (e.g. Kondo insulators SmB6) or the Zintl concept (e.g. Sr2Pb9 and BaCaPb10). Zintl phases are valence precise intermetallic phases formed by cations (alkaline, alkaline-earth and rare-earth elements) and covalently bonded (poly)anionic structures containing post-transition metals. The electron transfer between these two entities gives rise to an insulating state, whereas the inclusion of rare-earth elements allows for magnetism, which breaks time-reversal symmetry and may promote new surface states, which provide an additional organizing principle between these two entities gives rise to an insulating state, whereas the inclusion of rare-earth elements allows for magnetism, which breaks time-reversal symmetry and may promote new surface states.

Here we experimentally investigate antiferromagnetic Eu5In2Sb6, a nonsymmorphic Zintl phase. Our electrical transport data show that Eu5In2Sb6 is remarkably insulating and exhibits an exceptionally large negative magnetoresistance, which is consistent with the presence of magnetic polarons. From ab initio calculations, the paramagnetic state of Eu5In2Sb6 is a topologically nontrivial semimetal within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), whereas an insulating state with trivial topological indices is obtained using a modified Becke–Johnson potential. Notably, GGA + U calculations suggest that the antiferromagnetic phase of Eu5In2Sb6 may host an axion insulating state. Our results provide important feedback for theories of topological classification and highlight the potential of realizing clean magnetic narrow-gap semiconductors in Zintl materials.

**RESULTS**

Magnetic susceptibility measurements

We first discuss the thermodynamic properties of Eu5In2Sb6 single crystals. Figure 1a highlights the complex anisotropy in the
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low-temperature magnetic susceptibility of Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$. Two magnetic transitions can be identified at $T_{N1} = 14$ K and $T_{N2} = 7$ K, in agreement with previous measurements on polycrystalline samples. One can also infer that the c-axis is the magnetization hard-axis and that the moments lie in the ab-plane. No hysteresis is observed between zero-field-cooled and field-cooled measurements at 0.1 T, which rules out hard ferromagnetic order or spin-glass behavior; however, a small in-plane ferromagnetic component (0.06 $\mu_B$) is observed at very low fields ($B \leq 0.1$ T), indicative of a complex magnetic structure with canted moments (see Supplementary Fig. 1).

The inset of Fig. 1a shows the product of magnetic susceptibility and temperature as a function of temperature. At high temperatures ($T > 225$ K), a Curie–Weiss (CW) fit yields a ferromagnetic (FM) Weiss temperature of $\theta = 30$ K despite the antiferromagnetic (AFM) order at low temperatures, which further corroborates the presence of a complex magnetic configuration with multiple exchange interactions. The inverse of the magnetic susceptibility is shown in Supplementary Fig. 3. The CW fit also yields an effective moment of $8 \mu_B$ Eu$^{2+}$, in good agreement with the Hund’s rule moment of $7.94 \mu_B$ Eu$^{2+}$ for Eu$^{2+}$. In fact, our X-ray absorption spectra at the Eu L edges confirm that all three Eu sites are divalent (see Supplementary Fig. S). Previous X-ray absorption studies observed a finite Eu$^{3+}$ component, which could be due to an impurity phase present in polycrystalline samples. The fully divalent character of europium in Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ has been recently confirmed by Mossbauer measurements.

Notably, our magnetic susceptibility data deviate from the CW fit at temperatures well above the ordering temperature (inset of Fig. 1a). In purely divalent compounds such as Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$, Eu$^{2+}$ is a localized $S$-only ion ($J = S = 7/2$), which implies crystal-field and Kondo effects to be negligible to first order. As a result, the deviation from a CW fit indicates the presence of short-range magnetic interactions as observed previously in the manganites RE$_{1-x}$A$_x$MnO$_3$ ($RE =$ rare-earth, $A =$ divalent cation). Based on small-angle neutron scattering measurements, this deviation was argued to be due to the formation of magnetic polarons. As temperature decreases, magnetic polarons are expected to grow in size and eventually overlap when $n^{3/2}$ = 1, where $n$ is the carrier density and $\xi$ is the magnetic correlation length. The inset of Fig. 1a shows a sharp decrease in $\chi(T)/T$ at $T^* \approx 40$ K, which reflects the onset of short antiferromagnetic correlations between polarons. Figure 1b shows the low-temperature anisotropic magnetization of Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$. The hard c-axis magnetization increases linearly with field, whereas a field-induced transition is observed within the basal plane before saturation is reached at about 10 T (inset of Fig. 1b). Figure 1c shows the temperature dependence of the specific heat, $C$, at zero field. In agreement with magnetic susceptibility data, $C/T$ exhibits two phase transitions at $T_{N1}$ and $T_{N2}$ as well as a magnon contribution below $T_{N2}$, typical of Eu$^{2+}$ compounds. The entropy recovered at $T_{N1}$ is about 90% of $R \ln 8$ (not shown), the expected entropy from the Eu$^{2+}$ ($J = 7/2$) ground state. The extrapolation of the zero-field $C/T$ to $T = 0$ gives a Sommerfeld coefficient of zero within the experimental error, indicating that Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ is an insulator with very small amounts of impurities. A Schottky-like anomaly at about 35 K indicates the presence of short-range correlations, in agreement with magnetic susceptibility data at $T^*$. The inset of Fig. 1c displays the field dependence of the low-temperature transitions when field is applied along the b-axis. The transitions are mostly suppressed by 9 T, in agreement with the saturation in magnetization.

Electrical transport measurements
We now turn our attention to electrical transport data. Figure 2a shows the temperature-dependent electrical resistivity, $\rho(T)$, of Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ measured with current along the c-axis. Remarkably, $\rho(T)$ rises by almost six orders of magnitude in the paramagnetic state, in agreement with the clean insulating response observed in $C/T$ but in stark contrast to $\rho(T)$ measurements in polycrystals. Below $T_{N1}$, $\rho(T)$ decreases by three orders of magnitude, pointing to the overlap of magnetic polarons within the antiferromagnetic state. Finally, at lower temperatures $\rho(T)$ rises again, and a small kink is observed at $T_{N2}$.

The high-temperature electrical resistivity can be fit to an activated behavior given by $\rho_0 T^\alpha \exp(E_a/k_B T)$ (inset of Fig. 2a),
where $T$ is the reduced temperature. For $n = 0$, the Arrhenius plot yields a narrow gap of 40 meV whereas a slightly larger energy is extracted when $n = 1$ for adiabatic small-polaron hopping conduction. From these data alone, it is not possible to differentiate between the two mechanisms. Nevertheless, the activated behavior breaks down at about $T^* \approx 40$ K, indicating that another mechanism is present. This energy scale is more pronounced in a log plot shown in Fig. 2b.

The evolution of the CMR in Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ is summarized in Fig. 2d. Though the negative magnetoresistance is small at room temperature, it rapidly increases below about 150 K. At liquid nitrogen temperatures ($T \sim 75$ K), for instance, the MR reaches $-50\%$ at only 3 T and $-94\%$ at 9 T. Ultimately, the MR peaks at $-99.999\%$ at 9 T and 15 K. This, to our knowledge, is the largest CMR observed in a stoichiometric antiferromagnetic compound.

Hall measurements provide valuable information on the type of carriers and the scattering mechanisms in a material. Figure 3 shows the Hall resistivity, $R_H \equiv \rho_{xy}$, for fields applied along the $b$-axis of Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$. At room temperature, $R_H$ is linear, as expected from a nonmagnetic single-band material (inset of Fig. 3a). The positive slope, $R_H$ implies positive (hole) carriers and a carrier density of $n_C = 1/R_H e = 10^{17}$/cm$^3$, typical of narrow-gap semiconductors.

As the temperature is lowered, however, a nonlinear $R_H$ component sets in at about 150 K, the same temperature at which CMR emerges. As the band structure of this band insulator is not expected to change dramatically in this temperature range, our result may indicate that the formation of magnetic polarons is responsible for the anomalous Hall effect (AHE). We note, however, that the presence of multiple carriers cannot be ruled out at this time. Though the ferromagnetic nature of the magnetic polaron cluster is a natural explanation for the anomalous contribution, a quantitative analysis of the various intrinsic and extrinsic contributions to the AHE will require determining the anisotropic conductivity tensor using micro-fabricated devices, including the region below 50 K.

Electron spin resonance measurements

We complete our experimental investigation with microscopic electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements. Electron spin resonance is a site-specific spectroscopic technique, and Eu$^{2+}$ ions are particularly suitable paramagnetic probes because of their $S$-only state. The Eu$^{2+}$ ESR spectra of Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ in the paramagnetic state, shown in Fig. 4, consists of a single unresolved resonance (i.e., no fine or hyperfine structure). The ESR linewidth, $\Delta H$, provides information on the interactions of the spins with their environment and their motion. In the case of semimetallic Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$, the Eu$^{2+}$ $\Delta H$ was claimed to be dominated by spin–flip scattering due to the exchange between $4f$ and conduction electrons. As a result, $\Delta H$ narrows at higher fields due to a reduction in the spin–flip scattering, consistent with the presence of magnetic polarons. The linewidth of Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ also narrows at higher fields (Q-band) when compared to low fields ($X$-band), though not as strongly as in EuB$_6$. This narrowing further indicates that the resonance is homogeneous in the paramagnetic state. In the case of a small-gap insulator as Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$, the Eu$^{2+}$ ESR linewidth is dominated by spin–spin interactions. The resulting relaxation mechanism is set by $T_\perp$, the spin–spin relaxation time, which in turn is affected by the distribution of Eu--Eu exchange interactions and internal fields. An applied magnetic field causes an increase in $T_\perp$ as the size of the ferromagnetic polaron grows, which results in the observed ESR line narrowing. At the same time, the $g$-value decreases as a function of magnetic field, which indicates an antiferromagnetic inter-polaron coupling. Therefore, our ESR results are also consistent with the presence of magnetic polarons in Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$.

More detailed ESR measurements will be the focus of a separate study.
Along the potential can be dependent chemical potential, we find that the topological indices of the bands below the inversion at $\Gamma$ point yields a strong topological insulator with ($1; 0; 0$), where $z_2$ is strong index and $z_{2w}$ is weak index, as shown in Fig. 5a. Compared with our experimental results, however, the ab initio calculation with the GGA functional incorrectly predicts Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ to be semimetallic. Considering the possible underestimation of the band gap in semiconductors by the GGA functional, we have also performed band structure calculations using the mBJ potential with a coefficient $c_{mBJ} = 1.18$, which was obtained self-consistently. As shown in Fig. 5b, the band inversion near the $\Gamma$ point disappears, and a small gap opens along the $\Gamma - Y$ path. The topological indices $(z_2; z_{2w1}, z_{2w2}, z_{2w3})$ are computed to be $(0;0;0)$. In fact, surface states are not detected by our electrical transport measurements. Scanning tunneling microscopy and angle-resolved photoemission measurements will be valuable to confirm the absence of in-gap states.

We now investigate the topology of Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ in the magnetically ordered state. Because the magnetic structure of Eu$_5$In$_2$Sb$_6$ has not been solved yet, we investigate theoretically, using the GGA + U + SOC approach, three A-type AFM phases with the easy axis along different directions. All of the antiferromagnetic phases are theoretically characterized by the so-called Type-IV magnetic space groups (MSGs) with inversion symmetry. The magnetic topological quantum chemistry theory therefore describes the topology of these MSGs by an index group $(Z_4 \times Z_2^2)$, as proposed recently. From the calculations detailed in Supplementary Fig. 5, the magnetic moment is about 7 $\mu_B$/Eu, and the energy difference between the different phases is within 3 meV per unit cell. From the results tabulated in Supplementary Table 1, all three AFM phases are axion insulators with strong indices $(z_4, z_2, z_2, z_2)$ = (2, 0, 0, 0). By comparing the band structures for three different AFM phases, the polarized 4f states do not change the band inversion characteristics of the paramagnetic state but induce a small exchange splitting near the Fermi level. Though the AFM structure at low temperatures has yet to be determined experimentally, we proposed that this phase is an axion insulator candidate that preserves inversion symmetry.

**DISCUSSION**

The magnetic polaron picture is fully consistent with our data. At high temperatures ($-15T_N$ = 210 K), the formation of isolated magnetic polarons is manifested in magnetic susceptibility measurements via a deviation from the Curie–Weiss law (inset of Fig. 1a) and in electrical resistivity measurements via the onset of negative magnetoresistance (Fig. 2c). As the temperature is further lowered, these polarons increase in size until they start to interact at $T^*$ giving rise to a sharp decrease in the $\gamma/T$ plot, a Shottky anomaly in the specific heat data (Fig. 1c), and an anomaly in electrical resistivity measurements (Fig. 2b). At $T_N$, the polarons coalesce and become delocalized, which gives way to a drastic increase in conductivity. Though the delocalization temperature virtually coincides with $T_N$, at zero field, delocalization is expected to occur at higher temperatures as the size of the polarons increase in field. Antiferromagnetic-driven $T^*$, however, is suppressed in field. This opposite field dependence causes the delocalization temperature and $T^*$ to merge into one at about 3 T, which gives rise to a resistivity maximum above $T_N$ that moves to higher temperatures in field (see Supplementary Fig. 7). Importantly, the increase in size of magnetic polarons in applied fields also promotes large negative (termed colossal) magnetoresistance in the paramagnetic state. In fact, CMR sets in at about 200 K and peaks just above $T_N$, as shown in Fig. 2c.

Another characteristic of CMR materials is the scaling of the low-field MR with the square of the reduced magnetization, $\Delta \rho_0 = C(M/M_{sat})^2$ where $M_{sat}$ is the saturation magnetization. Just above $T_N$, this scaling is valid and yields $C$ = 50 (inset of Fig. 2c). When electron scattering is dominated by magnetic fluctuations, the scaling constant $C$ is proportional to $n^{-2/3}$, $n$ being the carrier density. The scaling constant calculated this way ($n \sim 10^{27}/cm^3$ at 15 K) is four orders of magnitude higher than the experimentally determined constant, which is an indication of a distinct mechanism. Another notable exception is EuB$_6$, for which the field-dependent resistivity was argued to be...
dominated by the increase in polaron size with field rather than by the suppression of critical scattering\textsuperscript{17,46}. In fact, recent scanning tunneling microscopy measurements have directly imaged the formation of magnetic polaron in EuB\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{20}.

In summary, we investigate the thermodynamic and electrical transport properties of single crystalline Eu\textsubscript{5}In\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{6}, a non-symmetric Zintl antiferromagnetic insulator. Colossal magnetoresistance sets in at temperatures one order of magnitude higher than in non-symmetric Zintl antiferromagnetic insulator. Colossal magnetoresistance material EuB\textsubscript{6}.

**METHODS**

**Experimental details**

Single crystalline samples of Eu\textsubscript{5}In\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{6} were grown using a combined In-Sb flux technique. The crystallographic structure was verified at room temperature by both single-crystal diffraction using Mo radiation in a commercial diffractometer (see Supplementary Fig. 6) and powder diffraction using Cu radiation in a commercial diffractometer. Eu\textsubscript{5}In\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{6} crystallizes in an orthorhombic space group with lattice parameters \( a = 12.553(5) \) Å, \( b = 14.682(2) \) Å, and \( c = 4.635(1) \) Å, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 6, the observed mosaicity of the Bragg reflections is limited by the resolution of the diffractometer. The crystals have a rod-like shape, the \( a \)-axis is the long axis, and typical sizes are 0.5 mm × 0.5 mm × 12.553(5) Å. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 6, the observed mosaicity of the Bragg reflections is limited by the resolution of the diffractometer. The crystals have a rod-like shape, the \( a \)-axis is the long axis, and typical sizes are 0.5 mm × 0.5 mm × 12.553(5) Å.

In addition, the stoichiometry of crystals was checked by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). Magnetization measurements were performed in a commercial SQUID-based magnetometer. Specific heat measurements were made using the thermal relaxation technique in a commercial measurement system. Because of the difficulties in the synthesis of phase pure Ba\textsubscript{2}Eu\textsubscript{2}As\textsubscript{2}, no phonon background was subtracted from the data. A four-probe configuration was used in the electrical resistivity experiments performed using a low-frequency AC bridge. High-field magnetization measurements were performed in the 65 T pulse field magnet at 4 K at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory at Los Alamos National Laboratory. Details of the magnetometer design are described in \textsuperscript{30}. The sample was mounted in a plastic cup oriented with \( b \)-axis parallel to the magnetic field. The data were normalized by the low-field data obtained from a commercial SQUID magnetometer.

ESR measurements were performed on single crystals in \( X \)-band (\( f = 9.5 \) GHz) and \( Q \)-band (\( f = 34 \) GHz) spectrometers equipped with a goniometer and a He-flow cryostat in the temperature range of 4 K < \( T < 300 \) K.

**Theoretical details**

First-principle calculations were performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP), and the GGA with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) type exchange correlation potential was adopted. The Brillouin zone (BZ) sampling was performed by using \( k \) grids with an \( 7 \times 7 \times 9 \) mesh in self-consistent calculations. In the paramagnetic state, we employed a europium pseudopotential with seven \( f \) electrons treated as core electrons. In the antiferromagnetic state, we performed the LSDA+U calculations with \( U = 5 \) eV for the three distinct magnetic structures.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

Data presented in this study are available from authors upon request.
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