Efficient photon coupling from a diamond nitrogen vacancy center by integration with silica fiber
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A central goal in quantum information science is to efficiently interface photons with single optical modes for quantum networking and distributed quantum computing. Here, we introduce and experimentally demonstrate a compact and efficient method for the low-loss coupling of a solid-state qubit, the nitrogen vacancy (NV) center in diamond, with a single-mode optical fiber. In this approach, single-mode tapered diamond waveguides containing exactly one high quality NV memory are selected and integrated on tapered silica fibers. Numerical optimization of an adiabatic coupler indicates that near-unity-efficiency photon transfer is possible between the two modes. Experimentally, we find an overall collection efficiency between 16% and 37% and estimate a single photon count rate at saturation above 700 kHz. This integrated system enables robust, alignment-free, and efficient interfacing of single-mode optical fibers with single photon emitters and quantum memories in solids.
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INTRODUCTION

Efficient coupling of stationary quantum memories to a single spatial mode in silica fiber is of central importance in a range of quantum information processing applications, including long-distance entanglement of stationary qubits and quantum networks1–5. Recently, the efficient fiber coupling of atomic quantum memories also enabled large atom-cavity coupling6–8 and strong single-atom nonlinearities9,10. Among solid-state qubits, the nitrogen vacancy (NV) center in diamond has emerged as an attractive quantum memory due to its optically addressable and long coherence electronic and nuclear spin states11,12. These properties have enabled recent demonstrations of heralded quantum entanglement13 and teleportation14 between two separated NV centers. To improve the entanglement probability in such schemes, an open experimental challenge is to improve the efficiency with which single photons from an NV center can be channeled into a single-guided optical mode. This has motivated a variety of light collection approaches, including diamond micro-posts15, solid immersion lenses16–18, grating structures19,20, and inverse tapered coupling to photonic integrated circuits21. Several research efforts have also sought to integrate quantum emitters directly with optical fibers, as was recently demonstrated for quantum dots31. Here we introduce an approach that uses adiabatic power transfer between a tapered silica fiber and a single-mode diamond micro-waveguide fabricated from high-quality CVD-grown diamond. This integrated diamond–silica waveguide system enables efficient optical collection from high-quality NV centers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Device description

We consider a tapered single-mode diamond micro-waveguide in direct contact with the tapered section of a single-mode optical fiber, as illustrated in Figure 1. The diamond micro-waveguide is positioned by van der Waals forces directly on the tapered fiber resulting in coupling between the optical modes of the two structures.

For a slowly varying diamond width, corresponding to a slowly varying effective refractive index, light in the diamond micro-waveguide remains in the fundamental mode of the combined diamond–silica structure. We analyze this problem using coupled mode analysis, following a similar analysis for coupling between a silicon waveguide to a tapered fiber32. Figure 2a plots the effective indices of the diamond and fiber modes as a function of the diamond waveguide cross-section, for a fixed fiber diameter of 500 nm. A clear anti-crossing is observed as the matching group indices vary for a fixed fiber diameter of 500 nm. Near a diamond cross-section of 140 nm, a clear anti-crossing is observed as the matching group indices of the waveguides result in strong mode-coupling between them. The corresponding mode fields are shown in Figure 2b. If the diamond...
taper is swept slowly such that the optical mode remains in the ground state through the transition from the diamond into the silica waveguide, nearly 100% power transfer is possible. Specifically, the diamond taper width \( W_d(z) \) must satisfy:

\[
\frac{dW_d(z)}{dz} \ll \left( \frac{dn_d}{dW_d(z)} \right)^{-1} 2\pi (\Delta n_{eff})^2
\]

where \( \frac{dn_d}{dW_d(z)} \) is the rate of change of the fundamental diamond mode effective index versus diamond width, can be computed from the derivative of the uncoupled diamond band plot shown in Figure 2a. The parameters on the right-hand side of Equation (1) can be estimated from the simulation shown in Figure 2a. Using \( \lambda_0 = 637 \text{ nm}, \Delta n_{eff} = 0.13, \) and \( \frac{dn_d}{dW_d(z)} = 0.008, \) we thus obtained a bound \( \frac{dW_d(z)}{dz} < 0.02. \) For \( W_d(0) = 200 \text{ nm}, \) this corresponds to a taper length \( L_t = 10 \text{ mm}. \) This is consistent with the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) calculation shown in Figure 2c, confirming that the coupling efficiency increases with the length of the diamond waveguide, as expected.

Next, we consider how the orientation of the NV, which is assumed to be located at the center of the diamond micro-waveguide (see Figure 1), affects the coupling efficiency. In our simulation, we consider three orthogonal dipole polarizations along the axes shown in the inset of Figure 1a. For an \( x \)-polarized dipole, a theoretical overall

Figure 2  System simulation. (a) Plot of the effective mode index of the fundamental mode of the fiber and diamond micro-waveguide versus diamond width. The blue dotted lines show the uncoupled fundamental modes of each structure. The horizontal line is the effective index of the lowest-order fiber mode. The red lines are the coupled supermodes, which show an anti-crossing. (b) The field profile of the norm of the electric field is shown for the fundamental supermode of the combined structure. From left to right, the diamond width ranges from 200 nm, 150 nm, 100 nm, and 50 nm. (c) FDTD calculation showing the fiber collection efficiency, given that only the fundamental supermode of the diamond–fiber structure is excited. (d) FDTD calculation using a dipole source of three different polarizations. Blue, green, and red are oriented along the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions, respectively. See Figure 1 for a coordinate inset. (e) Detailed view of the FDTD results versus wavelength for a 15 um long diamond micro-waveguide.
collection efficiency of over 70% can be achieved, as can be seen from the collection efficiency plot for the three dipole orientations in Figure 2d. We also note that the adiabatic coupling approach has the advantage of being highly broadband, as is apparent from the FDTD calculations plotted versus excitation wavelength (Figure 2e).

Device fabrication

The system fabrication involves three main stages. First, tapered fibers were fabricated from single-mode optical fibers (Thorlabs 630HP) using a standard heat-and-pull technique\(^3\) while secured in a tapered fiber mount that is sealed to maintain a clean-air environment and to block air flow. Our setup uses a fixed hydrogen flame and two motorized stages. To prepare the fiber for tapering, we strip a 1 cm region of the outer jacket and preheat the region for 120 s. We then pull the stages in opposite directions at a speed of 30 \(\mu\)m s\(^{-1}\). Laser transmission at 630 nm is monitored throughout the pulling process, and the stages are stopped manually when the transmission begins to fall. We confirmed with scanning electron microscope and optical images that this diameter is on the order of 500 nm.

The fiber tapering results in an adiabatic fiber mode conversion to maintain a high (\(\approx\)90%) transmission of 633 nm laser light. Although especially long tapers can provide efficiencies around 97%\(^4\), we used here shorter tapers as they are easier to manipulate. Second, diamond micro-waveguides were fabricated from a 200 nm thin film of [1 0 0] electronic grade synthetic diamond using transferred hard mask lithography\(^5\). They are 12 \(\mu\)m long, with a 5 \(\mu\)m triangular taper on either side of a 2 \(\mu\)m section of constant width (Figure 3a). Third, we characterized and selected individual diamond micro-waveguides containing exactly one NV center before placing them onto the waist of the tapered fiber, where they adhere readily by van der Waals forces. This detachment and placement is done using a tungsten micro-manipulator tip (Ted Pella). We use a rotation stage for the fiber to align it parallel to the micro-waveguide, while the tungsten probe is controlled by a separate three-axis piezo stage. Figure 3b and 3c shows a completed device under a scanning electron microscope and optical confocal microscope, respectively.

Confocal excitation and autocorrelation measurements

A home-built confocal microscope is used to excite the NV center. We use a 532 nm pump laser and focus it onto our sample using a Zeiss NA = 0.75 cover-glass corrected objective. We couple the signal from each fiber end to free-space in order to filter out the pump with two 550 nm long-pass filters. The resulting signal is coupled back into

Figure 3  Device fabrication. (a) Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of diamond micro-waveguides. (b) SEM image of a functionalized tapered fiber, in contact with a diamond micro-waveguide. (c) Optical image of assembled device. (d) Confocal scans of fluorescence detected from two fiber ends (shown in top and bottom panel). The micro-waveguide is optically pumped with a green (532 nm) laser excitation source. Light originating from a single NV center is shown in the dotted circles.
single-mode (SMF-28, Corning) fiber before being directed to two avalanche photo-diodes (APDs; Perkin-Elmer). We perform cross-correlation measurements with a histogram of start-stop time intervals using a counting module with a bin width of 0.256 ns. In a confocal scan, the NV can be identified as a bright fluorescence spot to the left of the center of the micro-waveguide (Figure 3d). Here, we excited the NV from the top while collecting photons through the left and right fiber ends, as seen in the top and bottom panels, respectively. The ends of the diamond micro-waveguides are also apparent as bright fluorescence spots on the scan. At these positions, more laser power is scattered into the fiber, causing increased fluorescence background. The bright extended spot at the right of the top panel is due to additional asymmetric scattering from the tip of the diamond waveguide. This scattering signal does not originate from NV center fluorescence, as determined from the photon statistics and spectra from these points (see Supplementary Fig. S4).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optical characterization

Optical measurements reveal the efficient collection of NV fluorescence directly coupled into a single-guided mode. We detected NV fluorescence through both the left and right fiber ends, as evidenced by the typical NV spectrum with a pronounced zero phonon line around 637 nm (Figure 4a).

By varying the pump power, we observe a saturation behavior in the NV signal (Figure 4b and 4c). All fits are to a model of the form:

\[ I(P) = \frac{P_{sat}}{P_{sat} + P} + C \]

where \( I \) is the measured intensity in counts per second (cps), \( P \) is the pump power, \( P_{sat} \) the saturation intensity, \( P_{sat} \) the saturation pump power, and \( C \) is a fitting parameter that characterizes the linear background contribution. The fits estimate an overall \( P_{sat} \) of \((712 \pm 24) \cdot 10^3\) cps from both fiber ends combined versus \((16 \pm 2) \cdot 10^3\) cps from the objective. The corresponding saturation powers are approximately 380 and 485 \(\mu\)W, respectively. We measured the normalized second-order autocorrelation function of the fiber-coupled light with a Hanbury Brown–Twiss setup, using the fiber itself as the intrinsic beamsplitter. At an incident pump power of 114 \(\mu\)W, the anti-bunching with \(g^{(2)}(0) = (0.15 \pm 0.02) < 0.5 \) indicates the presence of a single NV center; no background was subtracted from this measurement (Figure 4d). This autocorrelation data is fit to a three-level model:

\[ g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + P1^2 \left( c e^{-|\tau|/\tau_1} - (1 + c) e^{-|\tau|/\tau_2} \right) \]

where \( P1 \) is the single photon emission probability, \( \tau_1 \) is a time constant for the central anti-bunching dip, \( \tau_2 \) is the time constant for correlation function decay at intermediate times, and \( c \) is a parameter describing the amplitude of the bunching shoulders. We then estimated the background by plotting the parameter \( g^{(2)}(0) = 1 - P1^2 \) for different values of the excitation pump power (Figure 4e). Here we identify a maximum incident pump power of 2.25 mW focused to a
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**Figure 4** Optical characterization of tapered fiber-coupled diamond micro-waveguides. (a) Spectra in blue (red) show NV fluorescence collected through left (right) ends. The zero-phonon line and sideband are clearly detected. We observe stronger signal from the right side, as both spectra are taken under the same integration time. (b) Saturation curves showing photon count rates from NV center versus pump power collected through the fiber. The blue (red) shows collection from the left (right) without background correction. The collection from the right fiber end exhibits more background due to increased scattering into this direction of the fiber (see Figure 3d). The green curve is the sum of the left and right collection curves, with the linear background subtracted. (c) Saturation curve using objective collection with an NA = 0.75 objective. (d) Second order autocorrelation function taken using both fiber ends in a Hanbury Brown–Twiss setup. Strong photon anti-bunching with \( g^{(2)}(0) < 0.2 \) is observed with an incident pump power on the objective of 114 \(\mu\)W. (e) Strong photon anti-bunching is detected with \( g^{(2)}(0) < 0.5 \) over a range of powers below about 2.3 mW. The blue line is a guide to the eye, while the red dotted line denotes 0.5. (f) Measurements of the \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \) time constant (describing the central dip at low pump power) fitted to a linear model yield a lifetime of 15.7 ns. Error bars denote one standard deviation error on fit parameters. (Inset) Electron-spin-resonance fluorescence dip in zero external DC magnetic field.
spot size of approximately 0.6 μm, beyond which the background emission causes $g^{(2)}(0) > 0.5$. At this pump power, we use the green curve in Figure 4b to show that over 600,000 single photons per second are detected. Fitting Equation (3) for a number of different pump powers, allows us to extract the inverse time constant, $1/\tau_{NV}$ (Figure 4f) . We see that $1/\tau_{NV}$ varies linearly for small pump power and extrapolation to zero power yields a lifetime, $\tau_{NV}$, of 15.7 ± 1.1 ns.

System efficiency

We use two different metrics to characterize our observed system efficiency. The first, relating the number of guided photons to the total possible number of photons emitted with a unity quantum efficiency, is given by:

$$\beta = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{guided}}}{\Gamma_{\text{decay}}}$$

where $\Gamma_{\text{guided}}$ is the total excited state decay rate into the guided modes (into the fiber), and $\Gamma_{\text{decay}}$ is the total decay rate of the NV center (including both non-radiative and radiative pathways). $\beta$ is a lower bound on the observed system efficiency if one assumes an NV quantum efficiency of unity. We estimate the parameter $\Gamma_{\text{guided}}$ from our measurements of the observed count rate:

$$\Gamma_{\text{guided}} = \eta_{\text{apt}} \times k_g \times k_{\text{taper}} \times \Gamma_{\text{guided}}$$

where $\eta_{\text{apt}}$ is the quantum efficiency of our APD detectors, $k_{\text{taper}}$ the transmission efficiency of our tapered fiber, and $k_g$ the transmission efficiency of our filtering stages. In our experiment, $\eta_{\text{apt}}$=65%, $k_{\text{taper}}$=95%, and $k_g$=43%. $\Gamma_{\text{guided}}$ is obtained from transmission measurements of the fiber during fabrication, and represents an upper bound on the taper transmission. It is defined as the single-sided transmission, from the center of the taper to either of the fiber ends.

$k_g$ is obtained from measuring the transmission of a 635 nm laser signal through both left and right filtering ports, providing an upper bound for the filter stage transmission. We take a weighted average of these two measurements for our estimated $k_{\text{taper}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{guided}}$=(712 ± 24)×10^3 cps directly from the parameter $I_{\text{sat}}$ above. Dividing out the transmission factors gives a total single photon count rate of about $\Gamma_{\text{guided}}$=(2.7 ± 0.1)×10^6 cps. We determine $\Gamma_{\text{decay}}$ as the inverse of the lifetime, 15.7 ± 1.1 ns. Finally, this gives a value of $\beta$ ≈ 4.2%. This lower bound of $\beta$ does not take into account the NV’s charge instability or the non-unity quantum efficiency.

In a second method of estimating the collection efficiency, we use the ratio of the guided photons to the total number of radiated photons:

$$\eta_c = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Gamma_{\text{free}}}{\Gamma_{\text{guided}}}}$$

where $\Gamma_{\text{free}}$ is the decay rate into free space modes. $\eta_c$ differs from the first metric because it requires an estimation of the total number of radiated photons as opposed to the total decay rate, which includes both non-radiative and radiative terms. That is, we can write $\eta_c = \beta / k_{\text{taper}}$, where $k_{\text{taper}}$ is the transmission efficiency of the NV. We estimate the free space decay rate from:

$$\Gamma_{\text{free}} = \eta_{\text{apt}} \times k_{\text{taper}} \times k_{\text{NA}} \times \Gamma_{\text{free}}$$

where $k_{\text{taper}}$ is the transmission efficiency of our confocal microscope setup and $k_{\text{NA}}$ gives the fraction of photons collected into the acceptance angle of our microscope objective (NA = 0.75, cover glass-corrected Zeiss). This fraction requires an estimate of the NV orientation, which in our case can be obtained because we work with a [1 0 0] oriented diamond thin film and the facet of this sample, to a good approximation, faces the objective (see Supplementary Information for additional details). With FDTD simulations, we then obtained $k_{\text{NA}}$. We also estimated a range for $k_{\text{taper}}$ based on transmission measurements of our confocal setup, and taking into account losses from the cover glass enclosing our sample. From saturation measurements acquired via the objective, we have $\Gamma_{\text{free}}$=(16 ± 2)×10^3 cps. Using the factors $k_{\text{taper}}$=1%, $k_{\text{NA}}$=3%, and $k_{\text{NA}}$=16%, we estimate an efficiency of $\eta_{\text{lower}}$=16.4% and $\eta_{\text{upper}}$=37.0%. The large range of $k_{\text{taper}}$ is caused by our collection optics, as the fiber coupling efficiency is strongly dependent on the position of the sealing cover slip in the focus, and the setting of the correction ring of the objective. By comparison, our FDTD-based calculation yields a lower and upper bound on the collection efficiency of 0.36 and 0.61, respectively. We attribute the discrepancy primarily to the assumption in our simulation of a trianguarly shaped diamond micro-waveguide tapered with ends going to zero width, an assumption that is not valid in the experimental system. In addition, the NV is not located exactly in the center of the adiabatic coupler, and may have different coupling efficiencies to either side. In practice, we can achieve micro-waveguide tips of about 50 nm which creates a sudden index step at which scattering losses can occur. Using the above estimates for the collection efficiency, we obtain quantum efficiency bounds of $\eta_{\text{lower}}$=11.3% and upper $\eta_{\text{upper}}$=25.6%.

The inset of Figure 4f shows continuous wave optically detected magnetic resonance of our NV electron spin. Separate Hahn-echo measurements on waveguides produced in the same way indicate long phase coherence times in excess of 100 μs (see Supplementary Fig. S2).

Discussion

For our system to be useful in larger photonic networks, multiple working devices must be fabricated. In our study, we assembled four devices similar to the one discussed in detail. In three of these systems, we measured anti-bunching with $g^{(2)}(0) < 0.5$, and in one $g^{(2)}(0) = 0.5$ indicating the presence of a single emitter and two closely spaced emitters, respectively. The count rates for these devices were on the order of several hundred thousand counts, similar to the device discussed above. In addition, we note that the yield of the diamond waveguides we fabricated was between 5% and 10%. This is defined as the fraction of waveguides that contain a single NV near the center.

It is important to cover several limitations of our system, and to highlight some aspects for future work. We observed that the tapered fiber transmission degrades over time because of the deposition of large scatterers, such as dust. In future experiments, this can be averted by mounting the tapered section in a sealed container. Furthermore, presently our method is limited by diamond fabrication capabilities to make slowly tapering structures, as we currently attach the waveguides on the ends to a diamond substrate. With improved fabrication techniques, diamond waveguides could be made with longer taper lengths and thinner tips, improving adiabatic power transfer up to the theoretical limit close to unity. Regarding our optical pumping scheme, we currently use confocal excitation to excite individual NV centers in our devices. For a completely fiber-integrated approach, it would be interesting to use a fiber-integrated Bragg filter to allow excitation from one fiber end and collection through the second fiber end. Such a system could be cooled by simple immersion in a cryogenic fluid, eliminating the need for a confocal microscope and in principle allowing for lifetime-limited ZPL emission, an important requirement for a number of quantum information experiments.
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CONCLUSION
We have demonstrated an approach for the efficient integration of a high-quality quantum memory directly with a silica fiber. With a raw single photon detection rate exceeding $6 \times 10^5$ cps and $g^{(2)}(0)<0.5$, we show a roughly fourfold improvement over previous fiber-coupled approaches that used diamond point emitters instead of wave-guiding structures\cite{13}. In addition, the fiber background is strongly suppressed allowing exceptionally low $g^{(2)}(0)=0.15$ (without background subtraction) for cross-correlation measurements in a fiber-integrated system. This geometry can be used for coherent spin manipulation of a fiber-coupled spin qubit, thereby providing efficient optical access to a long-lived quantum memory. The deterministic pick and place method presented here is amenable to larger scale integration and can be extended to the evanescent integration of diamond-based nano-cavities\cite{38}. Furthermore, a transmission configuration could open up possibilities for long-distance quantum communication experiments with completely fiber-integrated components.
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