A Recognition Method for MIMO DUSTC Signals
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Abstract. For recognizing the MIMO DUSTC signals without a priori knowledge, a blind recognition method is presented. By estimating the number of transmitting antennas, separating the mixed signals, and recognizing modulation, the unitary matrices $S$ is estimated by statistics and DUSTC signals are recognized. The simulation results showed that the method was effectively to recognize DUSTC signals with the SNR no less than 4dB.

1 Introduction

The blind recognition of communication signals is an important research topic in the communication countermeasure fields. In the last decade, the Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) technology is widely used in the field of civilian and military communication [1-4]. The capacity and performance of system are enhanced by Space-Time Codes (STC). The composite signals are received by each receiving antenna because the MIMO signals are transmitted by multi-antenna array, so it increases the complexity and difficulty of recognition MIMO signals. So the blind recognition methods for MIMO signals are a key research issue in communication countermeasure fields.

At present, there are many algorithms for recognizing STC. The methods of recognizing STBC are proposed using the second-order and higher-order statistics in [5,6]. In [7], a blind recognition algorithm of orthogonal space-time block codes (OSTBC) is proposed based on a cross-correlation analysis over linear combinations of the transmitted signals. The most existing methods focused on recognition STBC. To our knowledge, no method exists in literature for the blind recognition of DUSTC signals.

In this paper, we propose a method to recognition differential unitary space-time code but without a priori knowledge about the number of antenna array, the modulation and so on. Section II of this paper presents the signal model and characterization of the DUSTC. In Section III, the blind recognition method for DUSTC is presented. Finally, the performances of our proposed method are simulated and analyzed in section IV.

2 Signal Model

DUSTC is an approach to differential modulation for multi-antennas, based on space-time block codes with a group structure [8-10]. Consider the MIMO system with $i$ transmit antennas and constellation $C$. Let $S$ be any group of $n\times n$ unitary matrices, where $S^H S = I$ for all $S \in S$. Let $D$ be a $t \times n$ matrix such that $DS \in C^{t \times n}$ for all $S \in S$. A matrix collection $M$ is defined as

$$M = \{ DS : S \in S \}$$

where $D$ is a fixed matrix that satisfies $DD^H = I$. $M$ is a group code of length $n$ over the constellation $C$.

At time $k=0$, the block $M_0 = D$ is sent to initialize the transmission. In successive blocks, the matrix block $M_k$ is differentially encoded which is given by

$$M_k = \begin{cases} D, & k = 0 \\ M_{k-1} S_k, & k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \end{cases}$$

where $S_k$ is the $n \times n$ unitary matrices which is determined by transmitted data.

At the receiver, the signal $Y$ which is received by antenna is given by

$$Y = HM + N$$

where $H$ is the channel transfer matrix, $M$ is the matrix block collection $\{M_0, M_1, M_2, \ldots \}$ and $N$ is zero-mean Gaussian noise.

It can be seen from the formula (3) that each receiving antenna received the multi composite signal which transmitted by multi-antennas. The multi-component signal can lead to signal that’s difficult to distinguish, so it increase the difficulty to recognize DUSTC signal.

3 DUSTC Recognizing Mechanism

3.1 Signals Separation

Table 1. The transmitted $M_k$

| $k$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | \ldots | $K$ |
|-----|---|---|---|--------|-----|
| $M_k$ | $D$ | $DS_1$ | $DS_1S_2$ | \ldots | $DS_1S_2\cdots S_K$ |
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According to the formula (2), the transmitted block $M_k$ is showed as in table 1 with $k$ increase from 0 to $K$.

At the transmitter side, when we multiply the $M_k$, by $S_k$, we get the matrix block $M_k$. The reason that the receiving antenna received multi composite signal is because the multi signals are transmitted in wireless channel. So if we can get the matrix block $M_k$, we can estimate the $S_k$ as

$$S_k = M_k^{-1}M_k = (DS_kS_1 \cdots S_{k-1})^{-1}DS_kS_1 \cdots S_{k-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

In order to get $M_k$, we use the blind source separation (BSS) [11,12]. BSS is the approach to estimate original source signals without any prior information. There are many algorithms to separate the multi composite signal, such as FAST ICA, JADE and so on. The process of blind source separation is showed as Fig.1.

**Fig. 1.** The process of blind source separation

In Fig.1, $m_i$ is the transmitted signal, $y_n$ is the received signal, and $m'_i$ is the signals after separating. The BSS algorithms need to meet that the number of receiver antennas is no lower than that of transmitter, and the number of signal sources is known. We can increase the number of receiver antennas to meet the first requirement. Based on Minimum Description Length (MDL) principle [13], the number of signal sources can be estimated.

The objective function of MDL principle is given by

$$MDL(k) = -\ln[(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_{i}^{2})^{(1/2)}] + \frac{1}{M-k} \sum_{i=k+1}^{M} \lambda_{i}^{2(M-k)}N$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $M$ is the number of receiver antennas for separating signals, $\lambda_{i}$ is the eigenvalue of covariance matrix of received signal $Y$, and $N$ is the number of data. As $k$ increase from 0 to $M-1$, we find the minimum value of $MDL(k)$ when $k$ is equal to $k'$, and then $k'$ is the number of source signals. It is given by

$$k' = \min\{MDL(k), \hspace{1cm} k = 0,1,2,\cdots,M-1\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

By using MDL principle and BSS, we can separate the multi composite signal, and get the signal that sent by each transmitting antenna.

**3.2 Separated Signal Demodulation**

Because the DUSTC signal is a digital modulation wave, so we need to demodulate the signal to get the transmitted constellation. Without a priori knowledge, the modulation and carrier frequency is unknown. For demodulating the separated signal, we need to estimate the carrier frequency and recognize the modulation.

For estimating the carrier frequency, we can use the Fast Fourier Transmit, power spectrum estimation, and so on [14,15]. For recognizing the modulation, these methods are divided into two categories [16-18]. One is the decision theoretic approaches, and the other is pattern recognition. One classical method for modulation recognizing is proposed in [16]. There are five parameters such as the maximum value of the spectral power density of the normalized-centered instantaneous amplitude $r_{max}$, the standard deviation of the absolute value of the non-linear component of the instantaneous phase $\sigma_{app}$, the standard deviation of the absolute value of the normalized-centered instantaneous amplitude $\sigma_{app}$, and the standard deviation of the absolute value of the normalized centered instantaneous frequency $\sigma_{fa}$. The 2FSK, 4FSK, 2ASK, 4ASK, BPSK and QPSK signals can be recognized by five parameters. The detailed pictorial representation for digital modulation identification is shown in Fig.2.

**Fig. 2.** Digital modulation identification

The separated signal is a pure signal by blind source separation, and the interference in identical, adjacent and multiple frequencies are eliminated. So it is suit for estimating the carrier frequency by power spectrum estimation and recognizing the modulation by using the method in [16].

**3.3 DUSTC Signals Recognition**

After demodulating each separated signal, we can get the constellation $M_k$ which sent by each transmitting antenna. According to the principle of DUSTC, the successive constellations $M_k$ is equal to $M_{k-1}$ multiply by $S_k$. If the separated signals are DUSTC signal, we will get $S_k$ by formula (4). If we get enough separate signals, we can estimate $S$. If the separated signals are not DUSTC signal, there isn’t $S_k$ but random matrix. According to whether there is DUSTC signal, it is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DUSTC Signal Recognition} & \quad \text{yes} \\
\text{DUSTC Signal Recognition} & \quad \text{no} \\
\text{2FSK, 4FSK, 2ASK, 4ASK, BPSK and QPSK signals} & \quad \text{no}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ H_1 : S_k = M^{-1}_k M_k, \quad S_k \in \mathcal{S} \]
\[ H_2 : \text{randommatrix} = M^{-1}_k M_k \]  
(7)

The unitary matrices \( \mathcal{S} \) can be estimated by statistical symbols, and the DUSTC is recognized. The process of recognition DUSTC is as shown in figure 3.

**4 Performance analysis**

In order to verify that the method for recognizing DUSTC signals is effective, it is simulated in this paper. The number of transmitting antennas is 2, and the number of receiving antennas for recognizing is 8. The modulation is QPSK, and the number of sampling data is 120000.

Table 2 shows the results of the objective function of MDL principle with CNR=15dB. It is evident that \( MDL(k) \) is the smallest when \( k = 3 \). Then, the estimated number of transmitting antennas is 2.

**Table 2.** The objective function of MDL principle

| \( k \) | 0  | 1  | 2  | 3  |
|--------|----|----|----|----|
| MDL(\( k \)) | 1397.44 | 799.17 | 100.36 | 139.62 |
| \( k \) | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |
| MDL(\( k \)) | 171.77 | 196.79 | 214.65 | 225.37 |

Figure 4 shows the correlation coefficients between original signals and separated signals.

- Figure 4 shows the correlation coefficients between original DUSTC signals and separated signals. From Fig. 6, we can see that the separated signals are similar to the original signals with a higher CNR. The correlation coefficients decrease as the CNR gradually decreases.

- Figure 5 shows the results of \( r_{max} \) and \( \sigma_{ap} \) with different CNR. In this paper, because the modulation of DUSTC signals is QPSK, so it can be recognized by \( r_{max} \) and \( \sigma_{ap} \) parameters. The thresholds are 39 and 0.5, for \( R_{max} \) and \( \sigma_{ap} \) respectively. From Fig.5 it is clear that for CNR > 6dB it is distinguished effectively FSK from other demodulations by \( R_{max} \), and for CNR<0dB it is distinguished effectively QPSK from BPSK and ASK by \( \sigma_{ap} \).

**Table 3.** The probability and constellation of unitary matrices

| NO. | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Constellation | 1 0   | -1 0  | j 0   | -j 0  |
| Probability   | 0.2367| 0.2317| 0.2683| 0.2617|

Figure 6 shows the recognition probability of DUSTC with different CNR. From Fig.6, we can see that
the DUSTC signals can be recognition effectively with CNR > 6dB. For CNR<4dB, the recognition probability decrease rapidly.

Fig.6. The recognition probability of DUSTC signals

Conclusion

The simulation results showed that the method of recognition DUSTC signals was effectively in this paper. However the recognition method is carried out with 2×2 antennas array. The further study will be needed to recognize DUSTC signals with more antennas.

This work is supported by The Science and Technology Foundation from Liaoning Education Department (No.L2015462, No.LYB201620), Shenyang Ligong University Liaoning Province Information Network and Countermeasure Technology Key Laboratory Open Foundation (2015), and Shenyang Ligong University Postdoctoral Science Foundation.

References

1. Lamare R C D. Massive MIMO systems: Signal processing challenges and future trends. *Ursi Radio Science Bulletin*, **86**(4), 8-20(2017)
2. Jindal N. MIMO Broadcast Channels With Finite-Rate Feedback. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **52**(11), 5045-5060(2006)
3. Gao X, Edfors O, Rusek F, et al. Massive MIMO Performance Evaluation Based on Measured Propagation Data. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, **14**(7), 3899-3911(2015)
4. Björnson E, Hoydis J, Kountouris M, et al. Massive MIMO Systems With Non-Ideal Hardware: Energy Efficiency, Estimation, and Capacity Limits. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **60**(11), 7112-7139(2015)
5. G Qian, L Li, M Luo, et al. Blind recognition of space-time block code in MISO system. *Eurasip Journal on Wireless Communications & Networking*, **1**, 164(2013)
6. W Yan. Blind Recognition of Orthogonal Space-time Block Coding (OSTBC) Using Higher-order Cumulants. *Journal of Information & Computational Science*, **12**(15), 5807-5819(2015)
7. A Mazeika, K Yao, L Collin, et al. Blind Recognition of Orthogonal Space-Time Block Codes Based on the Kurtosis of Cross-Correlations Lags. *Military Technical Academy Review*, 109-134 (2011)
8. P K M Pun, P K M Ho. Fano Multiple-Symbol Differential Detectors for Differential Unitary Space–Time Modulation. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, **55**(3), 540-550(2007)
9. Bertrand M. Hochwald, Wim Sweldens. Differential Unitary Space Time Modulation. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 48(12), 2041-2052(2000)
10. Yuen C, Guan Y L, Tjhung T T. Unitary Differential Space–Time Modulation With Joint Modulation. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, **56**(6), 3937-3944(2007)
11. Congedo M., Gouy-Pailler C., Jutten, C.. On the blind source separation of human electroencephalogram by approximate joint diagonalization of second order statistics. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, **119**(12), 2677 (2008)
12. Mitsui Y, Kitamura D, Takamichi S, et al. Blind source separation based on independent low-rank matrix analysis with sparse regularization for time-series activity. *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, 21-25(2017)
13. Wajid B, Serpedin E, Nounou M, et al. Optimal reference sequence selection for genome assembly using minimum description length principle. *Eurasip Journal on Bioinformatics & Systems Biology*, **2012**(1), 18(2012)
14. L Wang, W Zhang, Z P Zhang, et al. Spinning frequency estimation algorithm of MEMS gyro’s output signal based on FFT coefficient. *Microsystem Technologies*, 1-5(2017)
15. W T Sun, L V Jun, L I Tong. An Algorithm of Frequency Estimation for ESPRIT Frequency-Hopping Signal Based on FFT Rotational Invariance. *Measurement & Control Technology*, **23**(6), 347(2014)
16. Azzouz E E, Nandi A K. Procedure for automatic recognition of analogue and digital modulations. *IEEE Proceedings Communications*, **143**(5), 259-266(1996)
17. Zhao X, Guo C, Jingchun L. Mixed Recognition Algorithm for Signal Modulation Schemes by High-order Cumulants and Cyclic Spectrum. *Journal of Electronics & Information Technology*, **38**(3), 674-680(2016)
18. Zhu X, Lin Y, Dou Z. Automatic recognition of communication signal modulation based on neural network. *IEEE International Conference on Electronic Information and Communication Technology*, 223-226(2017)