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Abstract: To substitute fossil resources, it is necessary to investigate the conversion of biomass into 1,2-propanediol (1,2-PDO) as a high-value-added chemical. The Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)\(_2\) catalytic system is designed to obtain a higher 1,2-PDO production yield. The optimal yield of 1,2-PDO is 34.1%. The unique shell-core structure of the catalyst demonstrates stability, with a catalytic yield of over 30% after three times of use. The primary process path from glucose to 1,2-PDO, glucose-hexitol-1,2-PDO, is speculated by the experiments of intermediate product selectivity. The alkaline catalytic mechanism of the reaction process is elucidated by studying catalyst characterization and analyzing different time courses of products. The introduction of Mg(OH)\(_2\) improves the target yield by promoting the isomerization from glucose to fructose and retro-aldol condensation (RAC) conversion, with pseudo-yield increases of 76.1% and 42.1%, respectively. By studying the processes of producing lactic acid and 1,2-PDO from glucose, the glucose hydrogenolysis flow chart is improved, which is of great significance for accurately controlling 1,2-PDO production in industrial applications. The metal, acid, and alkali synergistic catalytic system constructed in this paper can provide a theoretical basis and route reference for applying biomass conversion technology in practice.
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1. Introduction

1,2-PDO is an environmentally friendly intermediate chemical synthesis with many applications [1,2]. As glucose is an extremely important biomass model compound, studying its conversion into 1,2-PDO will help develop and utilize essential biomass resources. The hydrogenolysis of glucose is complicated and accompanied by many by-products, e.g., furan by-products from dehydration and other by-products from hydrogenation [3,4]. In order to improve the production yield of 1,2-PDO, the construction of a catalyst system that can enhance the conversion efficiency of glucose isomerization and RAC has been the focus of recent studies [5,6].

As a solid catalyst for heterogeneous catalytic systems, beta zeolite has been widely used in biomass catalysis, adsorption, and other fields [7]. Sn-Beta zeolites prepared by hydrothermal synthesis are used to catalyze the conversion of sugars to lactic acid or lactic acid esters in aqueous and organic phases [8]. Modified beta zeolite immediately triggered its research boom in biomass catalytic utilization due to its excellent catalytic performance and structural stability as a heterogeneous catalyst. In the preparation of 1,2-PDO, the 6C bond cleavage of glucose and fructose is based on the retro-aldol reaction (RAC). Zhang designed a Pb-Sn/\(\beta\) zeolites catalytic system and studied the effects on glucose conversion. The results suggest that the introduction of Sn promotes the hydrolysis of glucose by enhancing the RAC of glucose and the isomerization from glucose to fructose [9].
Among the modifications of beta zeolites, dealumination and metal modifications are the most widely used methods. The beta zeolites modified by dealumination can obtain the appropriate Brønsted acid site and Lewis acid site and increase the service life of the catalysts. Furthermore, the metal modification is more dependent on the dispersion ability and acidity of the loading metals. Pt is a commonly used metal for beta zeolite modification, which affects the selectivity and yield of the product by acidity site distribution and pore volume (applications shown in Table S3). Lactic acid (3C sugar), one of the essential platform compounds, can be converted into many valuable chemicals, such as acetaldehyde, 1,2-PDO, and propionic acid. In our previous study, the catalytic system of Zn-Sn/β zeolites was prepared to realize a one-pot selective conversion of glucose for producing lactic acid [10]. The introduction of Zn makes the catalyst possess alkaline properties. During the reaction, the catalyst neutralizes the acid, reducing the effect of the acid on fructose dehydration, which confirms that the alkali modification of the beta zeolite is necessary for converting glucose. The 1,2-PDO can be quickly produced from lactic acid after hydrogenation. Therefore, for the large-scale industrial production of 1,2-PDO and further exploration to improve the yield, it is necessary to study the differences between the reaction mechanisms of producing lactic acid and 1,2-PDO catalyzed by the beta zeolites.

In this paper, we designed a Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)$_2$ alkaline core–shell structure catalytic system for producing 1,2-PDO. The factors, including dealumination time, metal loading, alkali loading, reaction time, reaction temperature, and catalyst dosage, were optimized, and the mechanism of alkali modification was explored by the results of catalyst characterization. Based on the acid–base analysis, the effect of different catalyst modifications for the reaction routes is discussed for controlling the selectivity of 1,2-PDO preparation. According to the above explorations, the catalytic reaction system for improving the production of 1,2-PDO will be constructed, and the possible reaction routes and mechanism of catalytic reaction will be proposed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

The glucose (99.5%), fructose (99%), ethylene glycol (EG, 99%), MgO (98.5%), and chloroplatinic acid (H$_2$PtCl$_6$·6H$_2$O, 1000 mg/L) were purchased by Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The 1,2-PDO (99.5%), 1,3-dihydroxyacetone (1,3-DHA, 99%), 1,2-butanediol (1,2-BDO, 99%), hexitol (sorbitol and mannitol, 98%), 1,2-hexanediol (1,2-HDO, 98%), and acetol (99.5%) were supplied by Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All chemicals were at least analytical grade.

2.2. Catalyst Preparation

The alkaline core–shell structure with different Mg(OH)$_2$ nominal weight loadings in the range of 0–100% (x wt%) was prepared by three steps, including (1) preparing the dealuminated Beta (deAl-Beta), (2) modifying the deAl-Beta with Pt and (3) hydrothermal treatment for the concurrent accomplishment of Mg(OH)$_2$ assembly onto Pt/deAl-Beta.

2.2.1. deAl-Beta Preparation

The beta zeolite and concentrated nitric acid were added to a three-necked round-bottom flask in 1 g:20 mL. Then the flask was placed in an oil bath at a controlled temperature of 80 °C, and the alumina was removed by condensation reflux at a stirring rate of 200 rpm for a specific time (0, 10, 20 h). The solid–liquid mixture was separated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 20 min in a high-speed centrifuge. The nitric acid residue on the beta zeolite was then washed with deionized water until the supernatant was pH neutral. The cleaned solid fraction was dried in an oven at 150 °C. The solid powder was called dealuminated beta zeolite, denoted as deAl-Beta [11].
2.2.2. Pt/deAl-Beta Preparation

Pt (1–5 wt%) was introduced into the structure of deAl-Beta by isovolumetric impregnation using H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O as the precursor. H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O was dissolved in a certain (10 mL) amount of deionized water to prepare a uniform solution. The solid carrier was added into the above H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O solution and stirred evenly before ultrasonic treatment for 15 min. Then, the sample was placed in room temperature air for 6 h and dried at 105 °C for 6 h. Finally, the sample was roasted in a tube furnace for 4 h at 450 °C with stagnant air and a temperature rise rate of 2 °C/min. The metal-modified deAl-Beta was prepared as Pt/deAl-Beta.

2.2.3. Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)₂ Preparation

A certain amount of catalyst (50–300 mg, details shown in 3.4), 10 mL deionized, and MgO (0–75 wt%, gradient sampling shown in 3.3) precursor was added to the reaction kettle. The MgO was hydrothermally treated at 5 M Pa hydrogen pressure and 200 °C for 4 h. Then, the solid–liquid mixture was separated by a high-speed centrifuge (4000 rpm, 4 min). The solid obtained by centrifugation was dried overnight in an oven at 150 °C and then placed in an agate mortar. After uniform mixing and grinding for 30 min, the fully ground solid powder was transferred to a crucible and placed in a tube furnace at 550 °C. The solid powder was calcined in flowing air for 6 h to remove impurities, and finally, the Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)₂ catalyst was obtained.

2.3. Catalyst Characterization

The catalyst was analyzed by the following methods: nitrogen adsorption−desorption curve determination, X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8), temperature programmed desorption of carbon dioxide (CO₂-TPD, Micromeritics AutochemII 2920), temperature programmed desorption of ammonia (NH₃-TPD), scanning electron microscopy/transmission electron microscopy (SEM/TEM) and pyridine adsorption infrared spectroscopy (Py-IR). The catalyst was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, Agilent 7820A) and liquid chromatography (LC, Agilent 1200).

The specific surface area and pore size distribution of catalysts were analyzed by Nitrogen adsorption−desorption (Micromeritics ASAP 2020M) [12]. Each sample was purged in a vacuum at 300 °C for 3 h prior to measurement. The specific surface area of the catalysts was calculated using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET, Perkin Elmer Optima 2100 DV) method, and the pore volume was calculated using the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) model [13]. The crystal structure of the catalysts was characterized by X-ray diffraction using a Bruker D8 radiometer (40 kV, 40 mA, CuK radiation of = 1.54 Å) at room temperature with a scan speed of 2°/min, a scan step of 0.02°, and a 2θ angle range of 5–90°. The acidity and basicity of the catalysts were determined by NH₃-TPD and CO₂-TPD, respectively. The catalyst was dried in an argon atmosphere to remove surface gas components and water, and then saturated NH₃ and CO₂ were adsorbed at room temperature, followed by a programmed temperature rise at 10 °C/min at an argon flow rate of 30 cm³/min and a TCD detector to record the carrier gas desorption from 45 °C to 800 °C. The catalyst was observed by SEM (Hitachi S4800), and TEM (JEOLJEM-1230) images of the catalyst were recorded to observe the morphological characteristics of the catalyst. The acidic species and the number of acidic sites of the catalysts were determined using a Fourier infrared spectrometer (Perkin Elmer Frontier, FT-IR). The samples were dried under vacuum at 450 °C for 2 h before testing and then cooled to room temperature. The pyridine vapor was introduced into the sample chamber and fully adsorbed pyridine for 0.5 h. The sample chamber was then controlled to warm up to 150 °C, 250 °C, 350 °C, and 450 °C for 1 h at each temperature. The sample chamber was stabilized for 1 h, and the amount of pyridine was quantified in IR [14].

After each reaction, the solid–liquid mixture in the reactor was taken out and centrifuged. The solid was washed with anhydrous ethanol and then calcined in a 550 °C tube furnace for 6 h for regeneration. The prepared catalyst was recycled in this way.
2.4. Catalytic Reaction

The catalytic experiments were carried out in a 50 mL stainless steel autoclave. The catalyst reduction and Mg(OH)$_2$ in situ hydrothermal encapsulation reactions were first performed. Then, 200 mg of catalyst, a certain amount of MgO precursor, 10 mL of water, and a magnetic stirrer were added to the reactor. The reactor was vented three times using 5 M Pa of hydrogen [15]. After hydrothermal treatment at 200 °C and 600 r/min for 4 h, the reactor was naturally cooled to room temperature. A certain amount of glucose was added to the reaction system, and the reactor was resealed. The reaction was carried out at a certain temperature. The liquid phase product and the solid phase catalyst were collected separately. The liquid phase products were quantified using gas chromatography (Agilent 7820A) with an FID detector on a DB-WAXetr (30.0 m × 530 μm × 1 μm) column. The solid catalyst was characterized, cleaned, and stored for next use. The GC and HPLC operating conditions are as follows.

2.4.1. GC Operating Condition

Chromatographic column: DB-WAXetr
Sample volume: 0.4 μL
Injector temperature: 240 °C
Detector temperature: 250 °C
Column temperature: from 60 °C to 85 °C (5 °C/min), to 210 °C (15 °C/min) and then to 230 °C (8 °C/min), hold for 3 min.
Carrier gas flow rate: 20 mL/min

2.4.2. HPLC Operating Condition

Chromatographic column: Shodex SUGAR SH1011
Sample volume: 10 μL
Mobile phase: 0.005 M H$_2$SO$_4$
Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min
Column temperature: 55 °C

2.5. Calculation

The glucose conversion (%) was defined as

\[
\text{Conversion} (\%) = \frac{\text{moles of glucose after reaction}}{\text{moles of glucose before reaction}} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

The yield of products (%) was defined as

\[
Yield (\%) = \frac{\text{the number of carbon atoms in the product molecule} \times \text{moles of the product}}{6 \times \text{moles of glucose before reaction}} \times 100\% \quad (2)
\]

The selectivity of products (%) was defined as

\[
\text{Selectivity} (\%) = \frac{\text{The yield of the product}}{\text{Conversion}} \times 100\% \quad (3)
\]

The pseudo-yield of isomerization and RAC reaction (%) was defined as [16]

\[
Pseudo-\text{yield of isomerization} (\%) = \frac{\text{Yield of } 1,2-\text{PDO from glucose}}{\text{Yield of } 1,2-\text{PDO from fructose}} \times 100\% \quad (4)
\]

\[
Pseudo-\text{yield of RAC} (\%) = \frac{\text{Yield of } 1,2-\text{PDO from fructose}}{\text{Yield of } 1,2-\text{PDO from DHA}} \times 100\% \quad (5)
\]
3. Results

3.1. Effect of Dealumination Time

In order to investigate the effect of the dealumination time of beta zeolite on the hydrogenolysis of glucose, the catalytic effects of dealumination for 10 h and 20 h and the control group (without dealumination) on glucose are explored. The conversion of EG and 1,2-PDO with Pt/deAl-Beta(20)@Mg(OH)₂ is better than the other two catalysts (Figure 1a). The highest 1,2-PDO yield of 34.1% is obtained after 3 h catalytic reaction, which proves that dealumination can effectively improve the selectivity of glucose hydrogenolysis to 1,2-PDO (Figure 1b). Thus, the Pt/deAl-Beta(20)@Mg(OH)₂ catalytic system is selected for optimization. If there is no particular explanation, it is referred to as Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)₂ below.

Figure 1. The effect of beta zeolite dealumination time on glucose hydrogenolysis into (a) 1,2-PDO and EG; (b) 1,2-PDO. Reaction condition: catalyst (200 mg), Pt loading (3.0 wt%), Mg(OH)₂ loading (7.5 wt%), glucose (11.25 mg/mL, 10 mL) and H₂ (initial 6 MPa) at 200 °C for 3 h.

3.2. Effect of Pt Loading

In the previous study of Pt modification on beta zeolite for promoting catalytic efficiency, the Pt loading was less than 1.0 wt% [17–21]. However, without Mg(OH)₂ loading, the induction of Pt inhibits the production of 1,2-PDO in the designed catalysis system. The catalytic performance of the catalysts with different Pt loadings of Mg(OH)₂ (7.5 wt%) and without Mg(OH)₂ was explored using glucose as the substrate (Figure 2) [22]. It can be inferred that the loading of Mg(OH)₂ dramatically improves the yield of 1,2-PDO. The yield accelerates from 4.5% to 34.1% with Pt loading of 3.0 wt%, increasing approximately eight times. As for Pt/deAl-Beta, there is almost no effect of the loading amount of Pt on the yield of 1,2-PDO, maintaining at approximately 5.0%. With loading the Mg(OH)₂, the optimal loading of Pt was reduced by 2%, and the production yield increased by 29.31%, confirming that the Pt usage was reduced. The yield of 1,2-PDO gradually rises with the improvement of Pt load and reaches the maximum with the Pt load of 3.0 wt%. When the load exceeds 3.0 wt%, the catalytic ability gradually decreases. Thus, the optimal Pt load concentration is 3.0 wt%.

3.3. Effect of Mg(OH)₂ Loading

The effect of alkali modification by loading Mg(OH)₂ on the catalyst system was studied after dealumination modification and metal modification. When the loading amount of Mg(OH)₂ is 2.5 wt%, the yield of 1,2-PDO promotes rapidly (Figure 3a). The increase is approximately six times that without Mg(OH)₂ loading, suggesting that the loading of Mg(OH)₂ indeed improves the formation of 1,2-PDO. Then, the yield of 1,2-PDO advances gradually with the enhancement of the Mg(OH)₂ loading until the yield of Mg(OH)₂ loading of 7.5 wt% reaches the maximum value, and the yield of 1,2-PDO is approximately 34.1% (Figure 3a). The loading of Mg(OH)₂ begins to decrease the
production of 1,2-PDO at around 12.5 wt%, where the yield of 1,2-PDO is at a high level of 32.7%. With the improvement in alkali loading, the selectivity of 1,2-HDO decreases significantly, which confirms that the loading of Mg(OH)$_2$ could effectively inhibit the formation of 1,2-HDO and promote the selectivity of 1,2-PDO conversion (Figure 3b). However, the yield reduces after a large amount of loading. The excessive loading of Mg(OH)$_2$ may not be conducive to converting DHA to 1,2-PDO. In summary, the optimal loading of the Mg(OH)$_2$ catalyst is 7.5 wt%.
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**Figure 2.** The effect of Mg(OH)$_2$ and Pt loading on glucose hydrogenolysis into 1,2-PDO. Reaction condition: catalyst (200 mg), glucose (11.25 mg/mL, 10 mL) and H$_2$ (initial 6 MPa) at 200 °C for 3 h.
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**(a)** The effect of Mg(OH)$_2$ loading on hydrogenolysis of glucose into 1,2-PDO. (b) The selectivity of products. Reaction condition: catalyst (200 mg), glucose (11.25 mg/mL, 10 mL) and H$_2$ (initial 6 MPa) at 200 °C for 3 h.

**3.4. Effect of Catalyst Dosage**

When the dosage of the catalyst rises from 50 mg to 200 mg, the yield of 1,2-PDO advances significantly from 18.3% to 34.1% (Figure 4). After that, the yield of 1,2-PDO decreases with the continued improvement in catalyst dosage. On the one hand, if the catalyst dosage is insufficient, it cannot provide enough acidic sites. On the other hand, if the catalyst dosage is too much, the excessive Mg(OH)$_2$ will affect the balance of the reaction, e.g., not conducive to the conversion of DHA to 1,2-PDO or not conducive to the formation of 1,2-PDO [22]. From the perspective of economic feasibility, the optimal catalyst dosage is 200 mg under reaction conditions.
3.4. Effect of Catalyst Dosage

When the dosage of the catalyst increases from 50 mg to 200 mg, the yield of 1,2-PDO decreases significantly from 18.3% to 34.1% (Figure 4). After that, the yield of 1,2-PDO remains between 5.0% and 8.0% (Figure 5a). The promotion effect of improving the temperature on the formation of NPA is the strongest (Figure 5c). It is because, at high-temperature conditions, 1,2-PDO continuously decomposes into large amounts of EG and NPA, which reduces the yield of 1,2-PDO. Therefore, the optimal reaction conditions in the catalytic system are a temperature of 200 °C and a time of 3 h.

3.5. Effect of Catalytic Reaction Conditions

When the temperature rises from 180 °C to 200 °C, the yield of 1,2-PDO improves to a certain extent, and the maximum yield reaches 34.1% at 200 °C after 3 h of reaction. When the temperature increases from 220 °C, the peak yield of 1,2-PDO decreases significantly (Figure 5a). With the improvement of the reaction process and the temperature, the yield of EG advances tardily, remaining between 5.0% and 8.0% (Figure 5b). The promotion effect of improving the temperature on the formation of NPA is the strongest (Figure 5c). It is because, at high-temperature conditions, 1,2-PDO continues to decompose into large amounts of EG and NPA, which reduces the yield of 1,2-PDO. Therefore, the optimal reaction conditions in the catalytic system are a temperature of 200 °C and a time of 3 h.

3.6. Catalyst Reusability

In the second and third catalytic reactions, the yield of 1,2-PDO reduces slightly from 34.1% to 33.5% and 32.5% (Figure 6). The unique core–shell structure can effectively prevent the loss of supported metals and promote the structural stability of the catalyst. The Pt content of the catalyst decreases slightly from 3.0 wt% to 2.8 wt% and 2.7 wt% in three reuses, respectively. Thus, the encapsulation of the Mg(OH)2 shell effectively prevents the loss of Pt and makes the structure more stable in the catalytic reaction. The catalyst shows good recycling performance. Moreover, a similar effect of a solid base on catalytic stability was also reported by Sun [23].
After alkali modification, the hysteresis loop of Pt/deAl-Beta becomes more significant (Table S1). In the research of the Ni/ZSM-5 molecular sieve catalyst, the reduction in pore peaks of 2θ positively correlated with the Mg(OH)₂ volume and specific surface area can improve the selectivity of the molecular sieve and loaded onto the catalyst. After loading Mg(OH)₂ in the JCPDS No. 04-0802 library, indicating that the platinum nanoparticles are successfully ing, and alkali modification do not undergo severe skeleton collapse [26]. The characteristic concentrated nitric acid dealumination modification, high temperature roasting metal load-

**Figure 6.** Reusability and metal leaching of Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)₂.

4. Discussion

4.1. Characterization of Catalysts

4.1.1. TEM Analysis

The Pt/deAl-Beta catalyst prepared by the equal volume impregnation method has an excellent loading effect (Figure 7b). Pt particles are uniformly distributed on the deAl-Beta support, with sizes of about 5 nm. Compared with Pt/deAl-Beta, the Pt particles in the Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)₂ catalyst prepared by in situ hydrothermal synthesis has a higher dispersion and smaller particle size (Figure 7c). After the hydrothermal reaction, the magnesium species is mainly wrapped on the surface of Pt/deAl-Beta in the form of a shell with a thickness between 10 and 40 nm. Due to the existence of a large number of free hydroxyl groups under hydrothermal conditions, the MgO precursor could be transformed into Mg(OH)₂ in large quantities, which attaches to the catalyst surface in the form of a shell (Figure 7d).

4.1.2. BET Analysis

There are two hysteresis loops at the relative pressure of P/P₀ = 0.01 and 0.4 < P/P₀ < 0.9 of the Pt/deAl-Beta and Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)₂ (Figure S1). Corresponding to type I and type IV isotherms, mesoporous pores and mesoporous channels exist in the catalysts. After alkali modification, the hysteresis loop of Pt/deAl-Beta becomes more significant and positively correlated with the Mg(OH)₂ loading. Moreover, the number of mesoporous channels or pore volume increases significantly [24]. This confirmed that the Mg(OH)₂ contributes to the formation of mesoporous channels and the dispersion of Pt particles (Table S1). In the research of the Ni/ZSM-5 molecular sieve catalyst, the reduction in pore volume and specific surface area can improve the selectivity of the molecular sieve and decrease the formation of by-products. The promotion of strong acidic sites also advances the selectivity of target products [25]. The alkali modification increases the selectivity of catalytic reaction in the structure base.

4.1.3. XRD Analysis

The characteristic peak at about 2θ = 22.5° suggests that the beta zeolite treated by concentrated nitric acid dealumination modification, high temperature roasting metal loading, and alkali modification do not undergo severe skeleton collapse [26]. The characteristic peaks of 2θ = 39.8°, 46.2°, and 67.5° correspond to the (111), (200), and (220) crystal planes in the JCPDS No. 04-0802 library, indicating that the platinum nanoparticles are successfully loaded onto the catalyst. After loading Mg(OH)₂, the half-peak width of Pt (111) and (200)
in the catalyst increases, which confirms that the Mg(OH)$_2$ shell can effectively prevent the agglomeration of Pt nanoparticles under hydrothermal conditions and promote the dispersion of Pt nanoparticles [27]. There is no peak of Mg(OH)$_2$ in the XRD analysis, which suggests that Mg(OH)$_2$ covers the surface of the molecular sieve in an amorphous manner (Figure S2). The Mg(OH)$_2$ shell structure does not entirely block the reaction sites of Pt nanoparticles, which explains why the Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)$_2$ catalytic system has both acid and alkali catalytic ability.

4.1.2. BET Analysis

There are two hysteresis loops at the relative pressure of $P/P_0 < 0.01$ and $0.4 < P/P_0 < 0.9$ of the Pt/deAl-Beta and Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)$_2$ (Figure S1). Corresponding to type I and type IV isotherms, mesoporous pores and mesoporous channels exist in the catalysts. After alkali modification, the hysteresis loop of Pt/deAl-Beta becomes more significant and positively correlated with the Mg(OH)$_2$ loading. Moreover, the number of mesoporous channels or pore volume increases significantly [24]. This confirmed that the Mg(OH)$_2$ contributes to the formation of mesoporous channels and the dispersion of Pt particles (Table S1). In the research of the Ni/ZSM-5 molecular sieve catalyst, the reduction in pore volume and specific surface area can improve the selectivity of the molecular sieve and decrease the formation of by-products. The promotion of strong acidic sites also advances the selectivity of target products [25]. The alkali modification increases the selectivity of catalytic reaction in the structure base.

4.1.4. Acid–Base Analysis

The Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)$_2$ catalyst has a unique shell–core structure, which combines the characteristics of Pt/deAl-Beta and Mg(OH)$_2$. So, it has rich acidic and alkaline sites. There are almost no alkaline sites on the beta zeolite after dealuminization. Loading Pt makes no effect on the alkalinity of the catalyst (Figure S3a). Generally, the weakly acidic
and alkaline sites occur at adsorption temperatures below 200 °C, the medium acidity and medium alkaline sites occur at temperatures between 200 and 400 °C, and the strong acidity and alkaline sites occur at temperatures over 400 °C [28]. The weak and strong alkaline sites of Pt/deAl-Beta are significantly increased after alkali modification, confirming that the Mg(OH)\(_2\) has been successfully loaded onto the surface of the catalyst (Figure S4a,b).

The loading of Mg(OH)\(_2\) changes the distribution of acidity sites in Pt/deAl-Beta, resulting in a shift from weak acidity sites to medium and strong acidity sites (Figure S3b). The acidity sites of Pt/deAl-Beta and Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)\(_2\) are mainly Lewis acids (Table 1). Only if the desorption temperatures are 150 °C and 250 °C will a small amount of Brønsted acidity sites appear. After loading Mg(OH)\(_2\), the number of strong acidity sites increases, but the amount of weak acidity and the total amount of acidity decreases. Overall, Mg(OH)\(_2\) does not affect the total amount of acid sites too much, but the distribution of acidity sites changes from weak to strong (Figure S4c,d).

**Table 1.** The acid sites of Pt/deAl-Beta and Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)\(_2\) catalysts.

| Catalyst               | Temperature °C | B Acid (m mol/g) | L Acid (m mol/g) | Total Acid (m mol/g) | L Acid Rate L/Total % |
|------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Pt/deAl-Beta           | 150            | 0.02             | 0.43             | 0.45                 | 95.56                 |
|                        | 250            | 0.01             | 0.28             | 0.28                 | 100.00                |
|                        | 350            | 0.00             | 0.04             | 0.04                 | 100.00                |
|                        | 450            | 0.00             | 0.02             | 0.02                 | 100.00                |
| Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)\(_2\) * | 150            | 0.02             | 0.38             | 0.38                 | 95.00                 |
|                        | 250            | 0.01             | 0.21             | 0.21                 | 95.45                 |
|                        | 350            | 0.00             | 0.08             | 0.08                 | 100.00                |
|                        | 450            | 0.00             | 0.02             | 0.02                 | 100.00                |

* The Mg(OH)\(_2\) loading is 7.5 wt%.

Combined with the results of Pt loading, the Pt/deAl-Beta catalyst inhibits the isomerization of glucose to fructose due to the lack of the essential sites required for catalyzing the reaction, resulting in a low overall catalytic capacity. At the same time, the Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)\(_2\) catalytic system has sufficient acid and alkaline sites. The hydrogen spillover effect of Pt effectively improves the hydrogenation ability of the catalyst, strengthening the catalytic ability [29]. However, too much Pt loading can easily enhance the agglomeration, and too much Lewis acidity will lead to RAC of non-isomerized glucose to produce other products, which is not conducive to the formation of 1,2-PDO (shown in the second paragraph of Section 4.3).

**4.2. Analysis of Alkaline Catalytic Reaction Mechanism**

The catalytic selectivity of possible intermediate products and reaction routes were analyzed (Figure 8). In order to further determine the mechanism whereby alkali modification promotes the yield of 1,2-PDO under the optimal conditions of the system, glucose, fructose, and DHA were used as substrates for catalytic reactions (Table S2). The glucose conversion process of the catalytic system without Mg(OH)\(_2\) is relatively slow, and the reaction completes in approximately 30 min. After loading Mg(OH)\(_2\), the early conversion process of glucose is very rapid, and the reaction completes at approximately 0 min. When the Mg(OH)\(_2\) is not loaded, hexitol is generated in large quantities before 120 min of reaction and then decreases sharply, while 1,2-HDO is generated. This suggests that 1,2-HDO is obtained by the hydrogenation of glucose and fructose. With the Mg(OH)\(_2\) loaded, the concentration of 1,2-HDO remains at a low level, confirming that Mg(OH)\(_2\) can inhibit the side reaction of the conversion of hexitol to 1,2-HDO (Figure 9. Route 2). Furthermore, the selectivity of DHA to 1,2-PDO is decreased by over 40%, but with the glucose as a substrate, the yield of 1,2-PDO is increased by 29.8%, proving that Route 3 is the primary path for producing 1,2-PDO (Figure 9. Route 3). The concentration of fructose increases first and then decreases, and the final concentration decreases to 0, con-
firming that fructose is steeply converted to DHA by RAC after glucose isomerization. In the catalytic system loaded with Mg(OH)$_2$, the peak yield of fructose is 5.2-times higher than that without Mg(OH)$_2$, suggesting that the loading of the Mg(OH)$_2$ system promotes the efficiency of the glucose isomerization process. The rate of fructose formation and conversion per unit time is 4.7-times and 5.2-times higher than without loading Mg(OH)$_2$. The peak yield of DHA is 3.73-times higher than that without loading, indicating that Mg(OH)$_2$ can improve the RAC of fructose. When fructose is used as the substrate, the conversion rate of fructose to hexitol is increased after loading Mg(OH)$_2$. The isomerization pseudo-yield of glucose to fructose increases from 12.2% to 88.3%, which suggests that the Mg(OH)$_2$ significantly promotes the isomerization process. With fructose as the substrate, the selectivity conversion of 1,2-PDO advances from 35.4 wt% to 38.6 wt% after loading Mg(OH)$_2$, which proves that the Mg(OH)$_2$ not only improves the isomerization of glucose to fructose but also further increases the fructose RAC. Further comparing the yield of 1,2-PDO with DHA and fructose as substrates, the pseudo-yield of RAC rises from 40.3% to 82.4% after loading Mg(OH)$_2$, confirming that Mg(OH)$_2$ accelerates the RAC and the directional bond breaking of fructose [8]. With sorbitol and mannitol as substrates, the selectivity of 1,2-PDO conversion yield increases from 0.0% to 68.7% and 58.6%, respectively. In summary, the Mg(OH)$_2$ increases the yield of 1,2-PDO by promoting isomerization, RAC, and the conversion of hexitol. The temperature changes in the heating process before time 0 were shown in Figure S5.
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Figure 8. The time course of glucose hydrogenolysis over (a) Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)$_2$; and (b) Pt/deAl-Beta catalysts.
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Figure 9. The possible reaction routes of glucose into other products.
4.3. Comparison of Two Catalytic Mechanisms

The conversion of lactic acid to 1,2-PDO is facilitated after hydrogenation. For completing the catalytic reaction system for producing 1,2-PDO, the differences between the preparation routes and reaction mechanisms of lactic acid and 1,2-PDO were further studied. According to the lactic acid production system, the proposed reaction routes for the formation of different products from glucose were added to Figure 9 [30].

As for lactic acid, glucose is isomerized to fructose under the action of Lewis acid (both Zn and Sn can provide Lewis acid sites). Then fructose was converted to DHA or glyceraldehyde by RAC through promoting the C3-C3 directional bond breaking of fructose [31]. These two substances continued to produce methylglyoxal by dehydration and then isomerization to lactic acid (Figure 9, Route 5). Sn plays a crucial role in these two steps by providing Lewis acid sites. Fructose is prone to dehydration to produce 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF) under acidic substances. Nevertheless, introducing Zn into beta zeolites increases the alkalinity, weakening the dehydration of fructose. In addition, 5-HMF is an active chemical that can continue to be hydrated in acidic solutions to produce formic acid, levulinic acid, or other products. The presence of Zn can effectively reduce the decomposition and conversion of 5-HMF by providing an alkaline environment.

As for 1,2-PDO, the acid site distribution of beta zeolite is optimized by three methods: dealumination, metal, and alkali modification. Through in situ hydrothermal synthesis, Mg(OH)2 forms a porous shell structure on the surface of the beta zeolite and provides an alkaline environment. In particular, the effect of alkali modification on the production yield is much more significant than that of the other two modification methods. The yield of 1,2-PDO on the catalyst loaded with Mg(OH)2 is approximately eight times that of the catalyst without loading. According to the product analysis, almost no lactic acid is detected. It is because the lactic acid is easily hydrogenated to produce 1,2-PDO in a hydrogen atmosphere. The catalytic mechanism of alkali modification was discussed. On the one hand, the loading of Mg(OH)2 inhibits the dehydration reaction of fructose to produce 5-HMF (Figure 9. Route 6) by neutralizing the acidity. The selectivity conversion of DHA to 1,2-PDO through hydrogenation is reduced by 40.5% (Figure 9, Route 4). On the other hand, Mg(OH)2 promotes the hydrogenation reaction and improves the yield of 1,2-PDO through the conversion of hexitol (Figure 9, Route 3), with the selectivity conversion increase of 58.6% of sorbitol and 68.7% of mannitol. In addition, the loading of Mg(OH)2 improves the isomerization of glucose to fructose and the fructose RAC, increasing the pseudo-yield by 76.1% and 42.1%, respectively. Route 3, considered the main reaction path, dramatically increases the target production yield. It provides a new idea for the efficient preparation of 1,2-PDO. The determination of the reaction path also provides a reference for the subsequent expansion of the system, preparing other high-value-added compounds with glucose.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a Pt/deAl-Beta@Mg(OH)2 catalytic system with a core–shell structure was constructed to obtain a higher yield of 1,2-PDO, and its catalytic performance for the conversion of glucose to 1,2-PDO was explored. The optimal conditions for 1,2-PDO production are determined as follows: Pt loading 3.0 wt%, Mg(OH)2 loading 7.5 wt%, catalyst dosage 200 mg, glucose solution 10 mL (11.25 mg/mL), reaction temperature 200 °C, and reaction time 3 h. The yield of 1,2-PDO is 34.1%. After three-time reactions, the catalyst demonstrates stability, with a production yield of at least 30%. The dealumination and metal modification treatments of the catalysts provide many Lewis acid sites. However, among the modification methods prepared in the catalytic system, the effect of the loading of Mg(OH)2 is the most significant, with the catalytic efficiency of loaded Mg(OH)2 being approximately eight times that of unloaded Mg(OH)2. Therefore, the reinforcement of the catalytic reaction mechanism of alkali modification was analyzed with products of different time courses of glucose hydrogenolysis. Mg(OH)2 contributes to facilitating the production of 1,2-PDO through isomerization and RAC of glucose, increasing the pseudo-
yield by 76.1% and 42.1%, respectively. Furthermore, the major process path of glucose to 1,2-PDO, glucose-hexitol-1,2-PDO is speculated by the experiments of intermediate product selectivity. In the beta zeolite catalytic system, the preparation routes of lactic acid are similar to 1,2-PDO, and the latter can be easily obtained by lactic acid hydrogenation. By studying the processes of producing lactic acid and 1,2-PDO from glucose, the flow chart of glucose conversion in beta zeolite is improved. It will facilitate an alternative to the traditional technological route of 1,2-PDO based on fossil fuels and help mitigate the current looming climate warming and energy crisis.
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