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Abstract: The paper presents the preliminary results on the development of an integrated stripline-based microwave-microfluidic module. The measurements were performed in a frequency range from 300 MHz up to 12 GHz, with the microchannel filled with three different test fluids—deionized water, the ethanol-water solution and pure ethanol. Due to the higher-than-expected losses in transmittance, the selected module was examined with use of the cross-sections taken along its length. The possible causes were highlighted and described. Likewise, the proposed areas of further investigations have been clearly described.
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1. Introduction

This paper presents the preliminary studies of the development process of an LTCC-based microfluidic-microwave device. The growing interest in microfluidic devices is driven by several factors. These include the low volume of liquids and reagents, small dimensions and the possibility of combining the microfluidic components with a wide range of systems. Most of these systems are called lab-on-chip (LOC) microsystems or micro-total-analysis-systems (µTAS) [1–5]. The application areas include micromixers, microreactors and more [6–14]. Such systems can be manufactured using various technologies, which are strongly connected with the desired application. Many sensing applications are using microfluidic components. The method of detection involved can vary. The common solutions are based on optical [15–17], electrochemical [18], acoustical [19] and electromagnetic [20–24] components. Moreover, the microreactors designed for continuous, as well as for digital microfluidics can be found in the literature [25–27]. The last area typically includes circuits that are characteristic of the microwave technique. The trend of a growing number of publications describing microwave sensing devices is shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1. The growing number of publications in the area of microwave sensors—based on the Scopus database [28].

Low temperature co-fired ceramics (LTCC) seems to be a suitable substrate for the systems that incorporate microwave and microfluidic components. Firstly, it is possible to manufacture various conductive paths which can act as a microwave circuit. Moreover, these circuits can be manufactured directly on top of the structure, as well as inside the substrate. Therefore, it is possible to create both the microstrip- and stripline-based microwave circuits [29,30]. Besides, many examples of the three-dimensional structures placed inside the LTCC structure can be found in the literature, i.e., microchannels, microchambers, micromixers, etc. [31–33]. In opposite to various polymer-based microfluidic-microwave structures, due to the multilayer character of the mentioned technology, the LTCC allows the fabrication of monolithic microfluidic-microwave devices [34]. Furthermore—the unit cost of manufacturing the LTCC device is much lower in comparison to the technology based on the glass/silicon substrates. Recently, some applications of microfluidic-microwave devices have appeared, such as fluidic sensors, plasma density measurement sensor or microwave spectroscopy sensors [34–36].

The most common microfluidic-microwave devices are based on solutions with the use of microstrip or coplanar waveguides (CPW) lines. Among other things, this is dictated by the type of substrate. Based on the literature reports, it may be concluded that the stripline-based circuits integrated with the microfluidic components are rarely present. Nevertheless, the use of such systems would extend the area of microfluidics, especially in the presence of harsh chemical reagents. Moreover, the risk of the influence of the background noises can be reduced with the use of a stripline instead of the microstrip line. The advantages of using integrated striplines with microfluidic components are as follows:

- The LTCC is suitable for fabrication of the monolithic microfluidic-microwave devices—both from the perspective of fabricating the conductive paths, as well as the three-dimensional structures inside the structure.
- The LTCC is stable in harsh environmental conditions, while conductive paths are much more vulnerable. The possibility of burying them inside the structure significantly improves the sensor lifetime in these conditions.

However, during the preceding design and fabrication of such structures, numerous challenges have been encountered, which are mainly related to the process of delivering the microwave signal directly to the stripline. The fact of being placed inside the structure, with the presence of ground planes over the whole structure, strongly limits how the microwave connectors can be fed. For this reason, the main novelty applied in the proposed device are connectors soldered directly to the stripline.
2. Materials and Methods

The appearance of the microchannel inside the structure strongly affected the designing process of microwave modules. In conventional applications, the microwave circuits are fabricated using a homogeneous substrate. Subsequently, the characteristic geometry (width and length) is developed using analytical methods. However, the microchannels presence requires using more sophisticated calculation methods, such as the finite element method (FEM). This method is based on the calculation of the approximate solutions of partial differential equations. The geometry of the proposed module has been developed using COMSOL Multiphysics (Radio Frequency Module), which is based on the aforementioned computational method. The selection of numerical modelling was driven by the appearance of the microfluidic channel near the stripline circuit. The simulations were carried out in the frequency domain in the range of 0.1–10 GHz with 100 MHz steps. Both the stripline as well as the ground planes were modelled as perfect conductors. The excitations were performed using the coaxial lumped port mode with the characteristic impedance of 50 Ω. Such excitations were chosen due to the distance between the stripline and the ground planes being shorter than the wavelength of the signal. The simulations were carried out in several steps. First, the device with a stripline without a microchannel was modelled. Subsequently, the microchannels with different parameters were added and modelled. Then the connectors were integrated into the model. The deionized (DI) water has been selected as the test liquid. The microchannel was designed to be in close distance (144 μm—one layer of the LTCC) above and below the stripline. In the described module, the stripline was placed in the middle of the substrate and the microchannel was divided into two parts that were directly above and below the stripline with a height equal to 213 μm. The thickness of the whole module and the height of the microchannel were imposed by the layered nature of the LTCC modules. The geometry model of the designed structure is shown in Figure 2.
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**Figure 2.** The model of the stripline device designed and simulated with use of the COMSOL Multiphysics software (a) and the geometry scheme with highlighted the parts which are buried inside the structure (b).

The width and length of the modelled stripline were 0.9 and 50 mm, respectively. The obtained width, length and thickness of the LTCC substrate were 10, 50 and 1.57 mm. The length of the microchannel placed directly above and below the stripline was 45 mm. After modelling, the next stage was fabrication of the actual device. DuPont 951 ceramic foil (DuPont (UK) Electronic Materials Ltd., London Rd, Stevenage, United Kingdom) was chosen as the substrate material, with a layer thickness of approximately 144 μm (layers 4 and 5) and 213 μm (layers 1–3 and 6–8) after co-firing. The geometry of the individual layer is shown in Figure 3.
Thereafter, all layers were stacked together and laminated in the isostatic press with reduced pressure (compared to the 20 MPa recommended by the manufacturer), for 10 min at a temperature of 70 °C. The laminated layers were then formed into the desired shape with the aforementioned laser system. Afterwards, the modules were co-fired in the Nabertherm 03/16 chamber furnace (Nabertherm GmbH, Lilienthal, Germany) with the maximum (peak) temperature of 880 °C. Subsequently, the ground planes were deposited with the mentioned screen printing method using the ESL903D conductive paste with the sheet resistance $\leq 2 \, \text{m}\Omega/\square$. The metallization was post-fired using the BTU QA 41-6-54 belt furnace with the maximum temperature of 850 °C. The final step of the fabrication process was the soldering of the SMA connectors and assembling the steel needles, which act as the inlet and the outlet of the microchannel. After fabrication, the module was examined for the possible presence of undesired electrical connections between the ground and the stripline with the use of the multimeter and the X-ray imaging method. Pictures of the manufactured module along with the X-ray images are shown in Figures 4 and 5.

The X-ray images indicate that the stripline of the LTCC module was placed directly in the middle of the substrate (Figure 5a,b) and no damages were observed. The darker areas are the microchannel that surrounds the stripline (Figure 5a) and the lighter parts are conductive materials, such as the previously mentioned stripline (Figure 5b). The measurements of the scattering parameters were carried out after verifying the correct geometry of the module.
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Laser & Ηλεκτρονικσ, Garbsen, Germany). Thereafter, all layers were stacked together and assembling the steel needles, which act as the inlet and the outlet of the microchannel.

The results of the conducted experiment are presented in Figure 6a,b with solid lines for the first module and dashed lines for the second one. The liquid used during the modelling of the designed module was deionized water with a relative permittivity of 81. This is the reason why the reflectance of the microwave circuit is the lowest for the mentioned liquid. For each of the measured LTCC modules, the transmittance of the DI water is higher than −3 dB in different frequency ranges. The cut-off frequency of the

Figure 4. The picture of the developed LTCC microfluidic-microwave modules with steel needles as inlet and outlet and soldered SMA connectors.

(a) (b)

Figure 5. The X-ray images of the fabricated module—the top view (a) and the side view (b). The lighter places show the conductive materials (soldered connectors on Figure 5a,b and stripline placed directly inside the structure) and the darker ones shows lower substrate material density (which can be observed on the Figure 5a).

3. Results

The stripline-based microfluidic-microwave module was evaluated using an N5230A vector network analyzer (VNA, Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA). The measurements were preceded by short-open-load-through (SOLT) calibration and the investigated frequency range was from 300 MHz to 12 GHz. As test liquids, deionized water, the ethanol-water solution (with the volume concentration of ethanol equal to 50%) and the ethanol were selected. Such an approach was chosen to check the possibility of extending further research in the area of sensors.

The results of the conducted experiment are presented in Figure 6a,b with solid lines for the first module and dashed lines for the second one. The liquid used during the modelling of the designed module was deionized water with a relative permittivity of 81. This is the reason why the reflectance of the microwave circuit is the lowest for the mentioned liquid. For each of the measured LTCC modules, the transmittance of the DI water is higher than −3 dB in different frequency ranges. The cut-off frequency of the
first module was around 9 GHz (Figure 6), while the second one was around 8 GHz. The phenomena associated with such behaviour of the LTCC microwave planar circuits were described by Szostak et al. [37].

Figure 6. The reflectance S11 (a) and transmittance S21 (b) of the first (module 1, m1) and second (module 2, m2) exemplary module. The solid line shows the results of first module, when the dashed lines describe the second one.

The observed increase of the losses (Figure 6b) for higher frequencies are typical for the water solutions. Moreover, the deformations of the stripline could have additionally strongly increased losses. Such deformations are present due to the nature of the manufacturing process of the LTCC modules and the use of screen-printing method. Therefore, the described area of the LTCC devices requires further research. However, as it can be seen, the tested structures are characterized by only a slight matching difference, due to the similar scattering characteristics. The possible reasons behind the variation of the scattering parameters of each module are discussed in the next section.

4. Discussion

The obtained results highlighted the mismatch between modules, which can be observed mostly in transmittance and reflectance at frequencies higher than 8 GHz for each module. Therefore, the authors decided to perform the cross-section across the module (in 10 mm increments along the microchannel) in order to find possible inaccuracies in the structure geometry. Pictures of the cross-sections are shown in Figure 7a–f.
Figure 7. Pictures of the cross-section of the proposed module in 10 mm increments along the microchannel (a-f). The images were obtained using the Leica DM4000 M optical microscope. The black parts are the microchannel sections and the thin line in the middle of the picture is the stripline (co-fired silver paste).

The cross-sections demonstrate that there were several issues. Firstly, a slight displacement between the microchannel and the stripline can be observed (Figure 7c,d,f). Secondly, the delamination of the ceramic layers (Figure 7c,e,f) can be seen—small darker areas below the microchannel. Moreover, another small delamination is present in Figure 7a,b—on the left side of the stripline. Furthermore, the stripline is partially deformed (Figure 7d). The aforementioned facts probably strongly affected the electrical parameters of the substrate,
which could influence the circuit behaviour by filtering the signal at higher frequencies. Probably, the main cause of the delamination was the lower lamination pressure, which had been chosen instead of the recommended one in order to minimize the risk of deformation of the microchannel geometry. The obtained results and the cross-sections of the modules indicate that there is a requirement for further research to achieve the optimal conditions throughout the LTCC fabrication process.

Nevertheless, it was observed that the scattering parameters of the tested module were not prone to changes in the arrangement of cables or the position of the module itself. According to the measurements of modules based on a microstrip line (and with combined stripline and microstrip line parts), the improvement of the repeatability and stability of measurements is evident.

The comparison between the results of various liquids indicates the potential of using the presented module in the sensing area of microfluidic systems in the future. Visible changes of S11 and S21 parameters in a wide range of frequency with the fluid change in the microchannel can be observed.

5. Conclusions

The LTCC stripline-based microfluidic module was developed, manufactured and measured. In contrary to the solutions found in the literature, a waveguide in the form of a stripline (buried inside a ceramic structure) with the presence of a microchannel was chosen. The fabrication process was preceded by the numerical simulations utilising the COMSOL Multiphysics software. The modelled and tested frequency range included the most commonly used frequencies in the telecommunication industry—2.4 GHz and 5.1 GHz. Therefore, the components for the further development of the proposed solution are available and cost-effective.

The module was examined in the presence of several liquids—deionized water, the ethanol-water solution with the ethanol volume concentration of 50% and the pure anhydrous ethanol. The changes of the scattering parameters were observed for every single liquid. Thus, it shows the potential of further project development towards dielectric sensing. However, the proposed module requires additional investigations due to the appearance of geometry deviations.

The selection of the LTCC is determined by the characteristics of the aforementioned material. Among them, the appropriate dielectric properties, high-temperature stability, possibility of simple micromechanical processing can be mentioned. Therefore, the LTCC technology appears as an attractive alternative to other technologies that are chosen to fabricate the microwave-microfluidic structures.
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