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The role of multiple wildlife hosts in the persistence and spread of bovine tuberculosis in New Zealand

MC Barron*, DM Tompkins†, DSL Ramsey‡ and MAJ Bosson§

Abstract

AIM: To explore how the inclusion of multi-host dynamics affects the predicted prevalence of bovine tuberculosis (TB) in possums and other host species following the current best practice for control of TB in large difficult and remote areas, to identify which host species are responsible for changes in predicted prevalence, and whether TB can persist in possum-free host communities.

METHODS: Multi-host TB models were constructed, comprising three host species with density-dependent population growth, density-dependent disease transmission and susceptible and infected classes. Models were parameterised for two case studies of current concern in New Zealand, namely chronic TB persistence in a possum-deer-pig complex in extensive forest, and in a possum-pig-ferret complex in unforested semi-arid shrub and grasslands. Persistence of TB in the face of best practice possum control was evaluated from model simulations, and the contribution of different hosts to persistence of TB was assessed by removing each host species in turn from the simulations. A sensitivity test explored how different parameter values affected modelled persistence of TB.

RESULTS: The forest multi-host model-predicted amplification of TB prevalence due to the presence of pigs. The presence of pigs and/or deer did not jeopardise the success of best practice possum control in eradicating TB from the system, as pigs and deer are effectively end-hosts for TB. Sensitivity analyses indicated these interpretations were robust to uncertainty in model parameter values. The grassland system model predicted that the multi-host species complex could potentially lead to failure of eradication of TB under possum-only control, due to TB persisting in ferret and pig populations in the absence of possum hosts through reciprocal scavenging, resulting in spillback transmission to possums once their populations had started to recover from control.

CONCLUSIONS: With respect to management of TB, for modelled forest habitats, 15 years of effective possum control was predicted to eradicate TB from the possum-deer-pig host community, indicating the current focus on possum-only control is appropriate for such areas. For grassland model systems, TB was predicted to persist in the ferret-pig-host complex in the absence of possums, potentially jeopardising the effectiveness of possum-only control programmes. However this outcome depended on the occurrence and rate of pigs acquiring TB from ferrets, which is unknown. Thus some estimation of this transmission parameter is required to enable managers to assess if multi-host disease dynamics are important for their TB control programmes.
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Introduction

When sympatric host species share the same infectious disease, multiple transmission pathways are possible (Woolhouse et al. 2001). Under such circumstances, the host community functions as a large heterogeneous host population, with implications for pathogen persistence and spread (Dobson 2004). The resulting complex dynamics may lead to both on-going pathogen presence in, and impact on, host populations in which they would not otherwise persist (Tompkins et al. 2003), and amplification of disease outbreaks when they occur (Craft et al. 2008). For management actions to control or eradicate infectious diseases in target host populations (be they culling, vaccination, or other steps to reduce transmission), such dynamics can increase the effort required in two key ways. First, in the face of intra- and inter-species disease transmission the magnitude of control effort applied to the primary host population to achieve the desired goal may need to be increased (e.g. Fitzpatrick et al. 2012). Second, in addition to primary hosts, control of secondary host populations may be necessary, for example, if the disease could persist in secondary host species and eradication was the goal (e.g. Olmstead and...
Mycobacterium bovis, the causative agent of bovine tuberculosis (TB), has a very broad host range, which in New Zealand includes brushtail possums (Trichosurus vulpecula), red deer (Cervus elaphus), ferrets (Mustela furo), feral pigs (Sus scrofa), and hedgehogs (Erinaceus europaeus) (Nugent 2011). The brushtail possum has long been considered the primary wildlife maintenance host in which the disease can persist independently (Pfeiffer et al. 1995), although at very high densities ferrets can also potentially act as maintenance hosts (Caley and Hone 2005). Feral pigs and deer are considered to be spillover hosts as evidenced by the decline in prevalence of TB in pigs and deer that occurs when possums but not pigs or deer are intensively controlled (Nugent et al. 2015). In light of this, the wildlife management programme aimed at reducing TB transmission to domestic cattle and deer in New Zealand has focussed on lethal control of possums alone (Ryan et al. 2006).

The national programme has now progressed to the stage where large areas are being declared “free” of TB in possums (Livingstone et al. 2015) but there are some areas where TB is still occasionally being found in deer, pigs or ferrets long after the initial imposition of intensive possum control. Examples include reports of M. bovis-infected pigs and deer in the eastern Hauhungaroa Ranges, in the Waikato region of New Zealand, in the 2008–2012 period, 14–18 years after initial possum control, and the continued detection of TB in ferrets in the northern Pisa Ranges, in the Otago region, despite a high likelihood TB has been locally eradicated from possums (Anonymous 2013). These events have prompted speculation about the combined role of wildlife species in maintaining M. bovis transmission and thus disease across landscapes (Nugent 2011). In the face of inter-specific transmission, a higher level of possum control may be required for disease eradication, and control may be needed for longer periods of time to safeguard against spillback transmission to possums from individuals of the other host species prior to it fading out in their populations. Worse, even if these spillover hosts cannot maintain TB in isolation at their natural abundances, there may be sufficient inter-species transmission for TB to persist within the collective host community.

These possibilities create a need to consider the disease dynamics of TB in wildlife in New Zealand in a multi-host context. However, models of the wildlife component have to-date focussed largely on the possum (Roberts 1996; Barlow 2000; Ramsey and Efford 2010), mostly aimed at exploring the question of how much possum population control is required (and for how long) to eliminate TB from this species. Therefore we developed multi-host models for two case studies of current concern for TB management: (i) chronic TB persistence in a possum-deer-pig complex in extensive forest (such as the Hauhungaroa Ranges) and (ii) in a possum-pig-ferret complex in unforested semi-arid shrub and grasslands, as on Molesworth Station, in the Marlborough region (Byrom et al. 2008; Nugent et al. 2011a). The aims of this modelling exercise were firstly, to explore how the inclusion of multi-host dynamics affects the predicted prevalence of TB in possums and other host species following the current best practice for control of TB in large, difficult-to-access and remote areas (i.e. three aerially delivered poison baiting operations spaced 5 years apart, each killing 95% of the possum population present), and secondly, to identify which host species are responsible for changes in predicted prevalence, and whether TB can persist in possum-free host communities.

Materials and methods

Our models were based on wildlife species interactions only. Farmed cattle and deer were not included as hosts in these models as they are not sympatric with the wildlife host complex in extensive forest. While beef cattle are sympatric with wildlife hosts in some grassland areas, we considered they did not contribute to TB dynamics as the number of infected animals is extremely low, these infected animals would have to escape detection by annual herd testing, die on the farm and their carcasses left accessible to scavengers for any chance of infection to occur. Anecdotal evidence that cattle to possum infection is a very rare event, is the absence of TB in possums before the 1960s, despite well-established possum populations and heavily infected cattle co-occurring since the early 1900s (Nugent 2011).

Model construction

The same three-host TB model was constructed for each case study, but parameterised according to the wildlife host community present. Model structure was based on the possum-TB model of Barlow (2000), comprising a susceptible and infectious host class with density-dependent population growth and density-dependent disease transmission:

\[ \frac{dN_j}{dt} = N_j(B(N_j) - D(N_j)) - \alpha_j I_j \]

\[ \frac{dI_j}{dt} = p_j B(N_j) I_j + \frac{C(N_j)}{N_j} F(S_j, I_j) + \sum_{i=1,i \neq j}^3 \beta_{ij} I_i - (\alpha_j + D(N_j)) I_j \]

where, for each host species \( j \), \( N_j \) is the total host density, \( S_j \) is the density of susceptible hosts, \( I_j \) is the density of infectious hosts, \( \alpha_j \) is the additional host mortality rate due to infection and \( p_j \) is the pseudo-vertical disease transmission rate. Density-dependence in birth and death rates was modelled using a theta-logistic function:

\[ B(N_j) = b_j - \delta_r r_j \frac{N_j^\theta}{K_j} \]

\[ D(N_j) = d_j + (1 - \delta_r) r_j \frac{N_j^\theta}{K_j} \]

where \( b_j \) and \( d_j \) are the maximum birth and minimum death rates respectively, \( \delta_r \) is the proportion of density-dependence in birth rates and \( \theta \) is a shape parameter for the population growth rate. As per Barlow (2000), intra-specific disease transmission among possums (common to both case studies) was modelled using non-linear contact rates \( C(N) \) and heterogeneous-mixing \( F(S_j, I_j) \):

\[ C(N_j) = \frac{N_j}{1 - \epsilon_j + \epsilon_j N_j} \]

\[ F(S_j, I_j) = k_j S_j \ln(1 + \beta_j I_i / k) \]

where \( \epsilon_j \) is a shape parameter describing the relationship with density, and \( k_i \) is an over-dispersion parameter describing the mixing between susceptible and infectious individuals (where
small values of $k$ give more heterogeneity). For all other disease transmission events, both intra-specific within other species and inter-specific among species, contact rates were simply assumed to be linear with host density ($c = 0$), and mixing homogeneous ($k = 100$), because we lacked data to parameterise these terms (resulting in the conventional density-dependent transmission term $BS$).

Model parameterisation
In the forested Hauhungaroa Ranges (175.576198E, 38.649730S), red deer and feral pigs are the known TB hosts sympatric at moderate population densities with possums (Nugent et al. 1997). On the unforested semi-arid shrub and grasslands on Molesworth Station (173.06353E, 42.237301S), ferrets and feral pigs are the known hosts occurring sympatrically, at low-to-moderate densities in some parts of the area, with possums (Byrom et al. 2008; Nugent et al. 2011a). Demographic parameter values for the different host species at the two sites were obtained from the literature and are summarised in Table 1. Demographic stochasticity in the numbers of births was incorporated by drawing these quantities from a Poisson distribution. Demographic stochasticity in the numbers of hosts surviving (from natural hazards and/or disease) or the number of hosts escaping $M. bovis$ infection was simulated by drawing those numbers from a binomial distribution at each time step.

Transmission of infectious $M. bovis$ bacilli within the two host communities modelled most probably occurs through some form of direct contact, either via some respiratory mechanism, orally via ingestion of infected material as a result of scavenging, or via the skin as a result of bite or scratch wounds (Nugent 2011). Corresponding disease transmission rates ($\beta$) were obtained from the literature, or estimated from published incidence rates or from published contact/scavenging rates, when available. In the absence of any such information, unknown rates were rescaled from known rates using the relative ratios of infected species home range sizes (assumed to approximate contact rates). For example, the deer to pig transmission rate was estimated by multiplying the possum to pig rate $\beta = 2.4$ by 0.025/3.5 where the numerator is the size of a possum home range and the denominator the size of a deer home range (both in km$^2$). For possum to possum transmission rates we adopted the approach of Barlow (1991, 1993, 2000) and assumed that the maximum contact rate per infectious possum $\beta K$ was constant. While Barlow (2000) assumed $\beta K = 2.5$, to generate equilibrium disease prevalence of approximately 5% prevalence, we used a lower value of $\beta K = 1.56$ to more realistically simulate the known landscape-scale infection levels in possums at our two case study sites (approximately 2% prevalence).

Transmission rates and their sources are detailed in Table 2. Where there was little or no evidence of pseudo-vertical disease transmission (e.g. as in ferrets, pigs and deer), then the rate ($\rho$) was set to a nominal value of 0.01 (Table 3). If mortality due to disease was noted to be low, and infected individuals appeared to have the same mortality rates as non-infected individuals (e.g. pigs; Nugent et al. 2015) then the disease mortality rate ($\alpha_t$) was set to 0.05. The transmission rates estimated from scavenging rates (i.e. the percentage of carcasses consumed) are likely to be overestimates as (1) they do not try to estimate the probability of infection given scavenging (it is assumed to be 1.0), and (2) it is assumed that carcasses are contacted or scavenged within the period that $M. bovis$ bacteria remain viable, as has been found for free-ranging possums encountering dead possums, and for free-ranging possums and ferrets visiting pig remains (Yockney and Nugent 2003; Barron et al. 2011).

For disease transmission simulated as occurring peri- or post-mortem (e.g. infection occurring via scavenging of carcasses), the number of infected individuals was multiplied by the combined (natural plus disease) mortality rate to approximate the supply of carcasses.

Model simulation
Simulations for the forest and grassland systems were run over areas of 916 km$^2$ and 1800 km$^2$ respectively, nominally representing the areas of the Hauhungaroa Ranges and Molesworth Station case studies. The model time-step was monthly. Each model simulation covered a period of 60 years, comprising a burn-in period of 20 years, at which time steady-state prevalence of TB (equilibrium) was assessed, followed by simulated possum control imposed in years 21, 26 and 31 to mimic the current best practice control regime. One thousand replicated simulations were run for each potential host community, i.e. all combinations of one, two or three host species, for each case study.

| Table 1. Estimated annual birth and mortality rates, rate of increase, carrying capacity and other parameters, derived from the literature for brushtail possums ($Trichosurus vulpecula$), feral ferrets ($Mustela furo$), feral pigs ($Sus scrofa$) and red deer ($Cervus elaphus$) in forest and grassland habitats, used in multi-host models to predict long-term persistence of tuberculosis. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Max birth rate ($b$) | Min natural mortality rate ($d$) | Max rate of increase ($\beta = b-d$) | Carrying capacity ($K$ per km$^2$) | Proportion density-dependence in breeding ($\alpha$) | Shape parameter for logistic growth curve ($\delta$) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Possum | 0.3 * | 0.1 b | 0.2 b | 400 (21% TCI) b | 0.5 a | 3 a |
| Ferret | 1.6 | 1 litter per year, 8 kits per litter | 0.4 | Mean longevity 2.5 years | 1.2 b | 2.9 b | 0.5 c | 1 |
| Pig | 1.39 | 1 litter per year, 6 piglets per litter | 0.7 | Mean longevity 1.4 years | 0.69 b | >12 b | 4 b | 0.5 | 1 |
| Red deer | 0.4 | 1 fawn per year | 0.1 | Mean longevity 10 years | 0.3 b | 10 b | 0.5 | 1 |

References: * Barlow (2000); b Nugent (2005); c Byrom et al. (2008); c Clapperton and Byrom (2005); d Barlow and Norbury (2001); e McIlroy (2005); f McIlroy (1989); g Nugent et al. (2011b); h Nugent and Fraser (2003); i Forsythe et al. (2010).

k Calculated as $b-r$.

1 Range 0.25–0.78, from Australian studies (Choquenot et al. 1996).

m 5–15 deer/km$^2$ in North Island (from Nugent and Fraser 2005).
Table 2. Main intra- and inter-specific transmission routes and estimated transmission rates (β in km² per individual per annum) of Mycobacterium bovis, derived from the literature, for brushtail possums (Trichosurus vulpecula), ferrets (Mustela furo), pigs (Sus scrofa) and red deer (Cervus elaphus), used in multi-host models to predict long-term persistence of tuberculosis.

| Source | Possums | Ferrets | Pigs | Deer |
|--------|---------|---------|------|------|
| β      | Route   | β       | Route | β    | Route |
| Possums | 0.0039 (forest) | Respiratory and wounding | 0.085b | Oral (scavenging) | 2.4b | Oral (scavenging) |
|        | 0.012 (grassland) | (βK<1.56 for 2% prevalence) | Oral (scavenging) | 0.48 | Oral (scavenging). |
|        |         | Derived from scavenging ratesa | Derived by rescaling possum rate | NA | |
| Ferrets | 0.00105 Oral (scavenging) | 0.13 | Oral (scavenging) | 0.000095 | Oral (scavenging). |
|        | Derived from scavenging ratesa | Derived from scavenging ratesa | Derived from incidence and scavenging ratesd | Derived from incidence ratesf | Derived by rescaling possum to pig rate |
|        |         | NA | Oral (scavenging). | 0.017 | Oral (scavenging). |
| Pigs | 0.00091 Oral (scavenging) | 0.19 | Oral (scavenging). | 0.000095 | Oral (licking). |
|        | Derived from scavenging ratesa | Derived from scavenging ratesa | Derived from incidence ratesf | Derived by rescaling possum to deer rate | Derived by assuming R>1 at deer density of 16/km² |
| Deer | 0.00047 Oral (scavenging) | NA | Oral (scavenging). | 0.017 | Respiratory/Oral. |
|        | Derived from scavenging ratesa | NA | Derived by rescaling possum to pig rate | NA | Derived by assuming R>1 at deer density |

References: a Barlow (2000); b Nugent et al. (2006); c Ragg et al. (2000); d Caley and Hone (2005); e Yockney and Nugent (2003); f Nugent et al. (2011a); g Nugent (2005).

Table 3. Estimated disease mortality and pseudo-vertical transmission rates (per annum), derived from the literature, for brushtail possums (Trichosurus vulpecula), ferrets (Mustela furo), pigs (Sus scrofa) and red deer (Cervus elaphus), used in multi-host models to predict long-term persistence of tuberculosis.

| Disease mortality rate (α) | Pseudo-vertical transmission rate (p) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Possums                   | 1a                                  |
| Ferrets                   | 0.8b                                |
| Pigs                      | 0.05c                               |
| Deer                      | 0.05d                               |

Results for each host community simulated were summarised as the median and 95th percentiles for the density of each host species present each year, the prevalence of TB in host species present each year, and the proportion of replicates where TB persisted within the host community. TB eradication within a host species was defined as the point in the simulation where the number of infected hosts/prevalence of TB in that species declined to zero. For each case study, these predictions were compared (1) to available data on post-control TB dynamics to assess the biological realism of predictions, (2) between the three-species community and the possum only community, to assess the impact of including multi-host dynamics on predicted control success, (3) between the three-species community and the two-species communities with different non-possum hosts dropped out, to assess which species was responsible for the differences observed, and (4) among all possum-free host community combinations to assess whether TB was predicted to persist in any of them. Because of the high uncertainty in the disease-related parameter values (intra and inter-disease transmission, disease mortality and pseudo-vertical transmission rates) a global sensitivity analysis on this parameter set was conducted for each case study. We used the Fourier Amplitude Sensitivity Test (FAST) using the statistical software R (R Development Core Team, R foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). FAST is a variance decomposition method which samples the different parameters at different frequencies across the parameter space. Fourier analysis then estimates the strength of each parameter’s frequency in the model output giving a partial variance for each parameter $S_i$, defined as the proportion of the total output model variance explained by variation in input parameter $i$. For the disease transmission and mortality rates we defined 0.5 and 2 times the default rate as the minimum and maximum rates respectively. For the proportion of offspring pseudo-vertically infected we subtracted and added 0.25 to the default value, truncating the range to 0 or 1 where necessary. For the 15 disease-related parameters, FAST sampling selected 1,019 different combinations of parameter values. The effects of varying parameter values were assessed against prevalence of TB and persistence for each host species.

Results

Forest case study: Hauhungaroa Ranges

Comparison of model predictions with field data

Modelled prevalence of TB in possums (Figure 1a) post-control was consistent with empirical evidence from the eastern Hauhungaroa Ranges, where use of sodium fluoroacetate (1080) in 1994 resulted in a decline in prevalence of TB in possums from 2/232 (0.86%) to undetectable levels (0/7 and 0/16) 1–2 years post-control (Nugent
Figure 1. Predicted animal population density (blue lines) and proportional prevalence of tuberculosis (TB; red lines) from a three-host model in a forest habitat, with simulated possum control imposed at 21, 26 and 31 years for a) possum, b) deer, and c) pig populations. Solid lines are the median values and dotted lines are the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles of model simulations. Arrows indicate the timing of possum-control operations.

et al. 1997). Similarly in the central-western part of the range prevalence of TB fell from 6% in the 1997–2000 period to zero in more than 200 possums necropsied after 2010 (Nugent et al. 2015). Confirmed M bovis infection was last observed among possums in the central-western Haungungaroa Ranges in 2005, 5 years after that part of the area was first controlled (de Lisle et al. 2009).

Modelled prevalence of TB in deer (Figure 1b) showed good agreement with data from the eastern parts of the Haungungaroa Ranges, where prevalence declined from >30% in 1993 to near zero in 2003, 10 years after control of possums began in 1994 (Nugent 2005). Likewise, in the central western area, prevalence in deer declined from 45%, (n=44) in 1999 to 0% (n=37) in 2011 (Nugent et al. 2012a). However, a TB-positive deer was reported by a hunter in 2008 in the eastern part of the range, 14 years after the initial control there (Nugent et al. 2014).

Modelled prevalence of TB in pigs was predicted to fall rapidly after possum control (Figure 1c). In reality, a decline in prevalence of TB did occur, but in the eastern parts of the Haungungaroa Ranges (where initial possum control was instigated in 1994) the decline was not as rapid as predicted, remaining at around 54–78% during the 1995–2000 period. But after a second control operation in 2000 prevalence of TB in pigs fell to 33% during 2000–2005 (n=107), and following another operation in 2005 prevalence of TB in pigs declined to zero in 2007 (n=26; Nugent et al. 2012a). Since then, however, two TB-positive pigs have been shot between 2009 and 2013 (Nugent et al. 2014).

Impact of multi-host dynamics on control success
Predicted dynamics of TB in possums were similar in both the possum-only and three-host (possum/pig/deer) models (Figure 2). Simulated possum control after 21, 26 and 31 years consistently eradicated TB from possum populations in both models, with possum-only simulations taking 7 years after the first possum control event for >95% of populations to be free of TB, compared with 10 years for the three-host model.

Specific influences of non-possum hosts on TB dynamics
Steady-state prevalence of TB in the possum and pig two-host model was much the same as in the three-host model (Figure 1): 2.7% for possums and 91% for pigs, and decreased similarly in response to control, taking 10 years post-control for 95% of possum populations to be free of TB.

In contrast, in the possum and deer two-host model, steady-state prevalence of TB was lower in both species, namely 1.7% in possums (compared to 2.7% in the three-host model) and 27% in deer (compared to 37% in the three-host model). Hence, the influence of multi-host dynamics was driven mainly by the presence of pigs, as opposed to deer. There was no TB persistence in any model system that did not include possums.

Forest model sensitivity analysis
Steady-state prevalence of TB in possums was largely explained by the possum disease mortality rate (67% of the variance in model output), followed by the possum-to-possum transmission rate (18% of model variance), and was relatively insensitive to all the other parameters which collectively explained <1.5% of the variance in model output.

Variation in TB persistence in all three host species was mostly explained by the deer disease parameters, specifically the deer-to-deer transmission rate, disease mortality rate and the pseudo-vertical transmission rate which collectively explained 31, 67, and 51% of the variation in persistence in possum, deer and pig populations, respectively (Figure 3a). The possum disease mortality rate also had some influence on persistence with lower mortality rates giving greater persistence. Overall model predictions were relatively robust to changes in parameter values with 95% of the sensitivity analysis parameter combinations predicting TB eradication (<5% persistence) from the possum populations following best practice possum control.

Tussock grassland case study: Molesworth Station
Comparison of model predictions with field data
The control programme for TB in possums on Molesworth Station is far less advanced than that for the Haungungaroa

Figure 2. Predicted proportional prevalence of tuberculosis (TB) in possums from possum-only (dashed line) and three-host (solid line) model simulations in a forest habitat, with simulated possum control imposed at 21, 26 and 31 years. Arrows indicate the timing of possum control operations.
Ranges, consequently there were no long-term empirical data to compare model predictions against. The simulation data for prevalence of TB in possums are presented in Figure 4a. Modelled prevalence of TB among ferrets was higher (35% at equilibrium, Figure 4b) compared with field data from Molesworth Station of 21% (n=407 ferrets, TB identified by cultured samples: Byrom et al. 2008). No data for prevalence of TB in ferrets after possum control were available for Molesworth Station, but in a similar semi-arid grassland habitat (Scargill Hills, Canterbury region) reducing possum abundance resulted in a reduction in the prevalence of macroscopic TB lesions in ferrets from 11% pre-possum-control (n=93) to 3% 1–2 years post-control (n=120) (Caley et al. 2001).

Modelled prevalence of TB in pigs (Figure 4c) showed general agreement with the observed rapid decline of TB in pigs following possum control. The response of prevalence of TB in pigs after possum control were available for Molesworth Station, but in a similar semi-arid grassland habitat (Scargill Hills, Canterbury region) reducing possum abundance resulted in a reduction in the prevalence of macroscopic TB lesions in ferrets from 11% pre-possum-control (n=93) to 3% 1–2 years post-control (n=120) (Caley et al. 2001).

Figure 3. Proportional contribution to variance (sensitivity; $S_i$) in the predicted persistence of tuberculosis (TB) for three-host simulation models, for a) forest and b) grassland habitats, contributed by variation in disease parameter values. TB persistence is the proportion of simulated populations of possums (P; black bars), deer (D), ferret (F) (both dark grey bars), or pigs (H; light grey bars) with infected individuals still present 20 years after three consecutive possum control operations. $\beta$ is the disease transmission rate, $\alpha$ is the disease mortality rate and $p$ is the pseudo-vertical transmission rate.

Figure 4. Predicted animal population density (blue lines) and proportional prevalence of tuberculosis (TB; red lines) from a three-host model in a grasslands habitat, with simulated possum control imposed at 21, 26 and 31 years for a) possum, b) ferret, and c) pig populations. Solid lines are the median values and dotted lines are the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles of model simulations. Arrows indicate the timing of possum control operations.

Impact of multi-host dynamics on control success

In the possum-only model for the grassland system, simulated possum control in years 21, 26 and 31 resulted in >95% of populations being free of TB 6 years after the first possum control event. In contrast, when multi-host dynamics were included, 7% of the simulated possum populations still carried TB in year 31, climbing to 100% of the populations with TB by year 60, albeit at a very low average prevalence of <0.1% (Figure 5). This disease recovery in the model was due to the persistence of TB in both the ferret and pig populations followed by spillback transmission of $M. bovis$ from these populations to the recovering possum population.

Because of this persistence we also explored whether additional control of ferret and pig populations could lead to TB eradication. High annual culling rates (>60%) of either ferrets or pigs during the period of possum control, or lower annual culling rates (~30%) sustained for a longer term (during and for 20 years following the possum control), were sufficient to achieve TB eradication from the three-host system. Annual culling of ferrets or pigs was needed to suppress their abundance because of their high potential rates of annual population increase (1.2 and 0.69, respectively).

Specific influences of non-possum hosts on TB dynamics

Tuberculosis was predicted to fade out of pig or ferret populations when they were modelled as single host systems. So when either species was combined with possums into a two-host model, TB was only maintained through spill-over transmission from possums. This meant that simulated best practice control of possums eliminated TB from both the possum and the secondary species (Nugent et al. 2011b). The modelled prevalence of TB in pigs never fell below 5% on average, despite possum control (Figure 4c).
The simultaneous presence of moderate density ferret and pig populations in the grasslands case study predicted continued TB persistence even when the density of possums was zero or extremely low. This could result in spillback transmission to previously controlled possum populations after they had recovered from control, and, ultimately, TB eradication failure. In contrast, deer and pig populations in the forest case study acted as true spillover hosts and did not affect the predicted success of possum control in eradicating TB from the possum population.

The grassland case study demonstrates potential for both postulated multi-host effects, namely inter-specific transmission influencing TB dynamics in possums, and sufficient inter-species transmission for TB to potentially persist within the collective non-possum host community. The ability of ferrets and pigs to maintain TB within their collective populations was contingent upon sufficient pig-to-ferret and ferret-to-pig transmission, with long-term persistence of TB in the face of simulated possum control being most sensitive to these inter-specific transmission rates. Pigs and ferrets have some similar characteristics as TB hosts as they both have high population turnover, are scavengers of carcasses and are highly susceptible to oral-route infection with *M. bovis*. In addition, although ferrets are much more likely to succumb to the disease, there is some indication that "natural" mortality compensates for disease mortality (Caley *et al.* 2002), so the lifespan of infected and susceptible ferrets may be quite similar, as it is in pigs. The parameter value for pig-to-ferret transmission was derived from a scavenging estimate and may be too high as it assumed all scavenging of an infected pig carcass resulted in disease transmission. There were no estimates available for ferret-to-pig transmission rates and instead these were derived by rescaling encounter rates of possum carcasses by pigs using relative home range sizes. Again, this estimate does not take into account the chances of an individual becoming infected given they have ingested *M. bovis*-infected material, and it also assumes pigs are just as likely to scaveng a ferret as a possum carcass. There is anecdotal evidence that pigs may avoid feeding on ferret carcasses whereas they readily consume possum carcasses (Byrom 2004). If this is the case then the ferret-to-pig transmission rates were likely set too high and the sensitivity analysis indicated that a 50% reduction in this rate would result in TB not persisting in the ferret-pig complex alone.

Despite these uncertainties around parameter values, the modelling identified the grassland system host combination as the one most likely to require control of non-possum hosts in addition to possums to achieve TB eradication. Because ferrets and pigs were predicted to act as host complexes (via reciprocal scavenging) and because transmission was assumed to be density-dependent, moderately intensive control of either species was able to reduce their combined abundance below the threshold for disease persistence (<5 per km\(^2\) for the simulated populations). In conjunction with best practice possum control, the additional control enabled eradication of TB from the area. The only constraint was that control had to be annual to overcome the high population rates of increase of both ferrets and pigs. If multi-host persistence is suspected, we suggest that it would be more effective to target ferrets in preference to pigs for additional host control because of their potential to act as maintenance hosts at high densities.

**Discussion**

The multi-host TB models presented here, based on realistic population vital rates and plausible intra- and inter-specific transmission rates, predict that multi-host species complexes could potentially pose a risk to TB eradication strategies based on single-species (possum only) dynamics and control, and that level of risk depends on the combination of host species involved. Specifically, the forest case study showed evidence for the first postulated multi-host effect, namely that inter-specific transmission could influence TB dynamics in possums, but this was predicted to have little effect on the success of best practice TB control. The presence of deer had very little influence on TB dynamics in possums. This
supports their long-inferred status as a largely inconsequential spill-over hosts for the disease (Lugton et al. 1998), and that the long-term consequences of spillback transmission to possums is minimal, other than extending the duration of the possum control required somewhat (Barron et al. 2013). Pigs were predicted to have the most influence on prevalence of TB in possums, largely as a consequence of their disease amplification role; but because they are relatively short-lived, they did not have any great effect in extending the spillback risk to possums. Sensitivity analyses indicated these interpretations are robust to uncertainty in model parameter values. The use of pigs as disease sentinels is discussed elsewhere in this issue (Anderson et al. 2015; Nugent et al. 2015) but is well illustrated here by the amplification effects they had on TB prevalence in both case study habitats.

It is difficult to compare our model predictions of multi-species TB dynamics under possum control with those observed in the field because the possum control operations were not as consistent in reality as those modelled. For example, reduction of possum populations to very low density was not achieved in the western central part of the Haungaroa Ranges until 2005 when the third aerial control operation was done. The observations of M. bovis-infected deer and pigs shot in the Haungaroa Ranges 15–20 years after the initial possum control operation are consistent with inadequate initial control coverage and efficacy resulting in a longer tail of residual infection, particularly in a long-lived species such as deer. Taking into account the fact that 11 years was required before intensive possum control was achieved over the whole area, the model captured the responsiveness of TB in pigs to possum control, with TB in pigs declining to about 50% before the second control operation in both the modelled and measured population, and the modelled decline of prevalence of TB in deer closely mirrored that observed in the eastern and western parts of the area (Nugent et al. 2015).

The modelling approach taken here was a first attempt to characterise multi-host dynamics of TB for New Zealand wildlife using point estimates of disease-related rates derived from the literature, then assessing model predictions against field data where available. Sensitivity analysis identified ferret and pig intra- and inter-specific transmission rates for M. bovis as having the most influence on persistence of TB. Ideally future work should focus on empirical estimation of these transmission rates to determine if multi-host dynamics could be jeopardising TB eradication programmes. However, field-based estimation of disease transmission rates is notoriously difficult and an alternative or complimentary approach could involve calibrating model predictions with observed disease prevalence patterns using approximate Bayesian computation (Beaumont 2010). These methods have been used to make inference on parameters for complex multi-parameter models such as ours (Tonini et al. 2009; Rasmussen and Hamilton 2012).

With respect to management of TB, for modelled forest habitats, 15 years of effective possum control was predicted to eradicate TB from the possum-deer-pig host community, indicating the current focus on possum-only control is appropriate for such areas. For grassland model systems, TB was predicted to persist in the ferret-pig host complex in the absence of possums potentially jeopardising the effectiveness of possum-only control programmes. However this outcome depended on the occurrence and rate of pigs acquiring TB from ferrets, which is unknown. Thus some estimation of this transmission parameter is required to enable managers to assess if multi-host disease dynamics are important for their TB control programmes. In the interim, if TB is still being detected in ferrets and pigs following the implementation of effective possum control, managers should consider reducing the average annual density of these other hosts, particularly ferrets.
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