WISP3 is highly expressed in a subset of colorectal carcinomas with a better prognosis

Abstract: Outlier genes with marked overexpression in subsets of cancers like ERBB2 have potential for the identification of gene classifiers and therapeutic targets for the appropriate subpopulation. In this study, using the cancer outlier profile analysis strategy, we identified WNT1-inducible-signaling pathway protein 3 (WISP3) as an outlier gene that is highly expressed in a subset of colorectal cancers (CRCs) from The Cancer Genome Atlas dataset. A meta-cancer outlier profile analysis and immunohistochemistry experiment to validate the outlier expression model of WISP3 in CRC was then performed. Our immunohistochemical results indicated that WISP3 was more frequently seen in the small tumors, and there was a significant association between its overexpression with a good prognosis. Furthermore, in the multivariable model, WISP3 outlier expression retained significance for overall survival. In summary, in this study, we identified an outlier gene WISP3 overexpressed in a subset of CRC having less aggressive characteristics and a better prognosis. We suggest WISP3 may provide more accurate and precise information regarding CRC population classification.
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Introduction
Identification of gene aberrations is of important biological and clinical significance for cancer research. The most common strategy was to compare gene expression profiles between cancerous and normal samples to identify consistently aberrantly expressed genes in tumor samples. However, the limitation with such an approach is that it ignores the tumor heterogeneity, and fails to find outlier genes, which is overexpressed only in a subgroup of tumors, but not at the whole level.1 Meanwhile, many clinically validated tumor biomarkers belong to outlier oncogenes, for example, ERBB2 is overexpressed only in 15%-20% of breast tumors while it shows a modest elevation within breast cancers compared with normal breast tissue.2 Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a typically heterogeneous disease, and demonstrated a relatively high incidence and poor clinical outcome.3 Although several biomarkers, such as microsatellite instability, carcinoembryonic antigen, and k-ras mutation testing, have been clinically used for the management of CRC, their benefits are only limited to a small number of patients. Therefore, there is a need to develop novel biomarkers in clinical practice for early diagnosis, disease monitoring, and predicting prognosis and therapy response.

Identification of outlier genes overexpressed in a subset of samples has been proven to be successful in finding critical gene classifiers and prognostic factors.4 Until now, only a few studies have examined the heterogeneity that exists between individual CRC cancers. For example, using high throughput RNA sequencing and outlier expression analysis, a recent study identified a novel transcript of VNN1-AB gene expressed in a subset of CRC samples.5
In this study, we performed a cancer outlier profile analysis (COPA) to identify novel outlier genes specific for a subset of CRC tumors from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) gene expression data. Our analysis nominates WNT1-inducible-signaling pathway protein 3 (WISP3) as an outlier gene that is highly expressed in a subset of CRC tumors across independent cohorts. We also experimentally confirmed that WISP3 expression in CRC was associated with a better prognosis.

Materials and methods
Gene outlier expression analyses from TCGA CRC mRNA dataset
COPA was performed on TCGA CRC mRNA expression dataset from the Oncomine database as described previously.6 COPA function has been implemented in the Oncomine database (https://www.oncomine.org). TCGA CRC mRNA expression dataset in the Oncomine database included 215 colorectal adenocarcinoma and 22 paired normal colorectal tissue samples. TCGA mRNA expression data were produced on Agilent 244K Custom Gene Expression microarray platform (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and Illumina RNA-Seq platform (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Samples from the TCGA CRC mRNA dataset are scored based on rescaled median absolute deviation, and COPA scores are calculated at 90th and 75th percentiles. Then genes are rank-ordered based on 90th and 75th percentile scores.

Meta-COPA analysis of WISP3 in CRC datasets
We selected the top outlier gene WISP3 identified from TCGA CRC mRNA dataset for further meta-COPA analysis in other three independent CRC microarray cohorts (Vilar Colorectal 2, Vilar Colorectal, and Smith Colorectal) as described previously.7,8 All these three validation datasets were performed on Affymetrix Human Genome Array platforms. Vilar Colorectal 2, Vilar Colorectal, and Smith Colorectal datasets included 176, 155, and 177 CRC samples; no normal control tissue was included in these three cohorts.

CRC patients and specimens
A total of 185 CRC patients who underwent surgical resection were included in this study and provided written informed consent. All the patients have adequate volume of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor specimens. The patients received treatment according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines of Chinese version. The follow-up, which is defined as the time between surgical resection and death, ranged from 1 to 97 months. The procedures of this study were approved by the research ethics committee of The First People’s Hospital of Huzhou.

Immunohistochemistry
Immunohistochemistry was performed using the streptavidin–biotin–peroxidase system. Briefly, 4 μm of sections were deparaffinized, and endogenous peroxidases were quenched with 3% H2O2. After microwave-citrate antigen retrieval in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 1 hour, sections were incubated with rabbit antibodies antihuman WISP3 (1:100; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) overnight at 4°C. Staining was subsequently localized by using diaminobenzidine tetra-hydrochloride as a chromogen, and was then counterstained with hematoxylin. For negative controls, WISP3 antibody was replaced by nonspecific rabbit immunoglobulin G.

The results of WISP3 immunostaining was semiquantified using H score system by multiplying the percentage of staining tumor cells (1, <10%; 2, 10%–30%; 3, >30%) and staining intensity (0, none or weak staining; 1, moderate staining; 2, strong staining).9 The 90th and 75th percentile scores were used as cutoff values to classify CRC samples into high- and low-expressed subgroups for WISP3 expression.

Statistical analysis
The difference of clinicopathological characteristics between high- and low-expressed subgroups was evaluated by chi-square test. Difference in survival between high- and low-risk subgroups was compared using the Kaplan–Meier curve method and evaluated by log-rank test. Cox proportional hazards regression was used in multivariate model analysis. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant. All the statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Results
Here, we used a previously established COPA strategy to identify genes that display substantial expression changes in subpopulations of tumors from TCGA mRNA expression dataset. Top 20 outlier genes of 90th and 75th percentile were listed in Figure 1A. Among them WISP3 displays the highest COPA score (5.548), demonstrating a typical outlier model. As seen in Figure 1B, 21 and 58 cases were classified as WISP3 highly expressed based on the 90th and 75th percentile cutoffs, respectively; while no WISP3 outlier overexpression was seen in normal colorectal tissues (Figure 1B). We then performed meta-COPA on the expression model of WISP3 in other three independent CRC cohorts, as seen in Figure 1C–E,
WISP3 ranked the top 1% of the outlier genes in all the three CRC microarray datasets. Together with our finding in TCGA CRC dataset, these findings further confirmed that WISP3 demonstrated an outlier expression model in CRC tumors.

We next analyzed the relationship between WISP3 outlier expression with clinical characteristics and survival. As seen in Table 1, WISP3 outlier expression was significantly associated with less aggressive phenotype such as small tumor size (90th outlier, P=0.0029), negative distant metastasis (90th outlier, P=0.0054), and early stage (75th outlier, P=0.0412) except that WISP2 75th outlier expression was significantly associated with positive lymph node metastasis (P=0.0365). Survival data analysis revealed that CRC patients without WISP3 outlier expression displayed a shorter overall survival time compared with those with WISP3 expression, but failed to reach a statistical significance (Figure 2).

We further experimentally evaluated the clinical and prognostic significance of WISP3 in CRC patients by

---

**Figure 1** Outlier gene analysis from TCGA dataset and three independent CRC microarray cohorts. 
**Notes:** Top 20 genes ranked in 90th and 75th outlier genes from TCGA dataset (A); No WISP3 positive cases defined by 90th and 75th cutoff was observed in normal colorectal tissues (B); WISP3 demonstrated significant outlier expression model in three independent CRC cohorts, Vilar Colorectal 2 (C); Vilar Colorectal (D); Smith Colorectal (E). 
**Abbreviations:** COPA, cancer outlier profile analysis; CRC, colorectal cancer; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; WISP3, WNT1-inducible-signaling pathway protein 3.
immunohistochemistry. Our immunohistochemical results showed that WISP3 was positively stained in the cytoplasm of cancer cells, occasionally positive in the stroma (Figure 3). If using moderate to strong staining in 10% of cancer cells as a cutoff, 55 cases (29.7%) were classified as positive staining, while no positive staining was seen in normal colorectal tissues. We then classified CRC patients according to 90th and 75th H score cutoff, and confirmed that positive WISP3 was more frequently observed in small tumors (T1–T2) than in large tumors (T2–T3) (Table 2). Furthermore, the survival data analysis found that 75th WISP3 outlier expression predicts better prognosis, and retained to be significant in

| Characteristics                      | WISP3 75th outlier | WISP3 90th outlier |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                      | Highly expressed   | Lowly expressed    | P-value        | Highly expressed | Lowly expressed | P-value |
| Cancer type                          |                    |                    |                |                |                |        |
| Colon                                | 24                 | 99                 | 0.1631         | 11              | 112             | 0.7751  |
| Rectal                               | 34                 | 92                 |                | 10              | 116             |        |
| History of colon polyps              |                    |                    |                |                |                |        |
| Yes                                  | 36                 | 69                 | 0.0021         | 11              | 119             | 0.5981  |
| No                                   | 22                 | 108                |                | 11              | 94              |        |
| Tumor size                           |                    |                    |                |                |                |        |
| T1–T2                                | 14                 | 39                 | 0.7753         | 14              | 39              | 0.0029  |
| T3–T4                                | 41                 | 103                |                | 14              | 130             |        |
| Lymph node metastasis                |                    |                    |                |                |                |        |
| Negative                             | 31                 | 100                | 0.0365         | 14              | 117             | 0.1259  |
| Positive                             | 3                  | 53                 |                | 4               | 80              |        |
| Distant metastasis                   |                    |                    |                |                |                |        |
| Negative                             | 46                 | 131                | 0.3144         | 33              | 144             | 0.0054  |
| Positive                             | 12                 | 23                 |                | 0               | 35              |        |
| Stage                                |                    |                    |                |                |                |        |
| Early                                | 30                 | 122                | 0.0412         | 18              | 134             | 0.1190  |
| Late                                 | 24                 | 51                 |                | 4               | 71              |        |
| Vascular invasion present            |                    |                    |                |                |                |        |
| No                                   | 43                 | 122                | 0.9844         | 15              | 150             | 0.9703  |
| Yes                                  | 14                 | 40                 |                | 5               | 49              |        |
| Lymphatic invasion present           |                    |                    |                |                |                |        |
| No                                   | 24                 | 87                 | 0.3334         | 12              | 99              | 0.4041  |
| Yes                                  | 32                 | 86                 |                | 9               | 109             |        |

**Abbreviations:** TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; WISP3, Wnt1-inducible-signaling pathway protein 3.

**Figure 2** Kaplan–Meier curves of overall survival in terms of WISP3 outlier expression in TCGA dataset.

**Notes:** CRC patients with WISP3 outlier expression had a shorter overall survival time compared with those without WISP3 expression, but failed to reach a statistical significance. WISP3 expression defined by 90th (A) and 75th outlier cutoff (B).

**Abbreviations:** CRC, colorectal cancer; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; WISP3, Wnt1-inducible-signaling pathway protein 3.
multivariate analysis independent of other clinical prognostic factors such as grade, tumor size, and local and distant metastasis (Figure 4, Table 3).

**Discussion**

The strategy to compare the different expressed genes between cancerous and normal samples can identify general tumor biomarkers, but will not work for finding those genes where only expressed in a subset of cancer samples. The established outlier gene analysis methods have correctly prioritized oncogene and drug target ERBB2 in the breast cancer subsets. In this study, using COPA strategy, for the first time, we identified WISP3, displaying an outlier expression model across several large CRC cohorts, which has been

**Table 2** Association between WISP3 outlier expression with clinical and pathological characteristics in 185 CRC samples detected by immunohistochemistry

| Characteristics       | WISP3 75th outlier | WISP3 90th outlier |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                       | Highly expressed   | Low expressed      | P-value   | Highly expressed | Low expressed | P-value |
| Age (years)           |                    |                    |           |                |               |         |
| <60                   | 16                 | 37                 | 0.3824    | 8               | 45             | 0.2706  |
| ≥60                   | 30                 | 102                |           | 11              | 121            |         |
| Sex                   |                    |                    |           |                |               |         |
| Male                  | 22                 | 82                 | 0.2494    | 7               | 91             | 0.1204  |
| Female                | 24                 | 57                 |           | 12              | 69             |         |
| Cancer type           |                    |                    |           |                |               |         |
| Colon                 | 24                 | 71                 | 0.9670    | 10              | 85             | 0.9010  |
| Rectal                | 22                 | 68                 |           | 9               | 81             |         |
| Grade                 |                    |                    |           |                |               |         |
| 1                     | 4                  | 15                 |           | 1               | 18             |         |
| 2                     | 28                 | 83                 | 0.9205    | 14              | 97             | 0.4244  |
| 3                     | 14                 | 41                 |           | 4               | 51             |         |
| Tumor size            |                    |                    |           |                |               |         |
| T1                    | 2                  | 0                  |           | 1               | 1              |         |
| T2                    | 11                 | 16                 | 0.0108    | 6               | 21             | 0.0175  |
| T3                    | 30                 | 107                |           | 12              | 125            |         |
| T4                    | 3                  | 16                 |           | 0               | 19             |         |
| Lymph node metastasis |                    |                    |           |                |               |         |
| N0                    | 33                 | 83                 |           | 14              | 102            |         |
| N1                    | 7                  | 40                 | 0.1857    | 3               | 44             | 0.5458  |
| N2                    | 6                  | 16                 |           | 2               | 20             |         |
| Distant metastasis    |                    |                    |           |                |               |         |
| M0                    | 46                 | 135                | 0.5630    | 19              | 162            | 0.8819  |
| M1                    | 0                  | 4                  |           | 0               | 4              |         |
| Stage                 |                    |                    |           |                |               |         |
| 1                     | 11                 | 15                 |           | 5               | 21             |         |
| 2                     | 21                 | 66                 | 0.1001    | 9               | 78             | 0.3405  |
| 3                     | 14                 | 54                 |           | 5               | 63             |         |
| 4                     | 0                  | 4                  |           | 0               | 4              |         |

**Abbreviations:** CRC, colorectal cancer; WISP3, Wnt1-inducible-signaling pathway protein 3.
Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analysis for overall survival in relation to WisP3 by immunohistochemistry in 185 CRC patients

| Prognostic factor       | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                         | HR (95% CI)         | P-value               | HR (95% CI)         | P-value               |
| Grade                   | 1.5545 (1.1015–2.1938) | 0.0125               | 1.6123 (1.1245–2.3119) | 0.0307               |
| Tumor size              | 0.9994 (0.7014–1.4241) | 0.9973               | 0.8433 (0.5575–1.2759) | 0.4222               |
| Lymph node metastasis   | 1.3828 (1.0525–1.8168) | 0.0206               | 1.3787 (1.0319–1.8422) | 0.0097               |
| Distant metastasis      | 0.3789 (0.0533–2.6911) | 0.3344               | 0.1705 (0.0233–1.2469) | 0.0830               |
| WisP3 90th outlier      | 0.8735 (0.4549–1.6774) | 0.6862               | 1.7367 (0.7031–4.2898) | 0.2339               |
| WisP3 75th outlier      | 0.3969 (0.3615–0.9856) | 0.0448               | 0.4205 (0.2103–0.8409) | 0.0148               |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CRC, colorectal cancer; HR, hazard ratio; WISP3, WNT1-inducible-signaling pathway protein 3.
1-mediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition and invasion in breast cancer by attenuation of insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor signaling. Therefore, the relationship with better prognosis identified in this study also suggests that WISP3 may also act as a candidate tumor suppressor gene for CRC, however, the exact mechanism remains to be clarified.

Recently, WISP3 has been regarded as a more selective, rational therapeutic target for cancers. Considering the close relationship between WISP3 knockdown and TAK1 activation, WISP3 deficient cancers may represent TAK1-dependent cancer subtypes. TAK1 inhibition has been shown to suppress tumor progression in preclinical models. Therefore, we proposed that CRC tumors with loss or downregulation of WISP3 expression may benefit from TSL-kinase interacting protein 1 inhibitors.

Conclusion
This study identified WISP3 as an outlier gene overexpressed in a subset of CRC. We demonstrated that WISP3-positive subgroups have a relatively less aggressive phenotype, thus enabling the identification of the patient population with a better prognosis. And we also suggest the molecular mechanism and therapeutic significance of WISP3 in CRC deserve further investigation.
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