Paeonol induces antitumor effects in hepatocellular carcinoma cells through survivin via the cyclooxygenase-2/prostaglandin E2 signaling pathway
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Background: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most life-threatening malignancies worldwide due to the lack of significant improvement in therapeutic methods. This study aimed to unravel the effects of paeonol, the main active component of Paeonia suffruticosa, on survivin, a key molecule in tumorigenesis, and elucidate the mechanisms by which paeonol exerts antineoplastic effects in human HCC cells.

Methods: Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to study the expression levels of survivin and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in 57 human HCC tissue samples. Human HCC cell lines (HepG2 and SMMC-7721) were treated with prostaglandin E2 (PGE2). Subsequently, the cells were treated with paeonol and NS-398, and the expression levels of survivin, COX-2, and PGE2 were evaluated by Western blotting and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), respectively. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining were performed to analyze cell proliferation and apoptosis.

Results: Survivin was expressed in 47 of 57 human HCC tissue samples, as observed by IHC, and its expression was correlated with COX-2 activity. Furthermore, Western blotting showed that the expression of survivin was increased in HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells treated with PGE2, the biosynthesis of which is mainly regulated by COX-2. Interestingly, FACS and TUNEL staining demonstrated that paeonol significantly inhibited the proliferation of HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells and induced apoptosis, concomitant with the downregulation of survivin. The levels of COX-2 and PGE2 were also reduced by paeonol, as confirmed by Western blotting and ELISA, respectively. To determine the mechanism by which paeonol inhibited survivin in HCC cells the effects of COX-2 expression on survivin were studied. Treatment with the COX-2 selective inhibitor NS398 effectively decreased the levels of PGE2 and survivin, inducing apoptosis in a manner similar to that of paeonol. Survivin expression was increased by PGE2 treatment but was blocked by paeonol, which suggests that paeonol inhibits survivin by inhibiting the COX-2/PGE2 signaling pathway.

Conclusions: To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate that paeonol can exert antitumor effects on HCC cells by targeting survivin via the COX-2/PGE2 signaling pathway. Paeonol could therefore be considered as a potential therapeutic candidate for HCC.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for more than 80% of primary liver cancer cases and is the fourth leading global cause of cancer-related mortality (1). It is estimated that about 85% of HCC cases occur in eastern Asia and sub-Saharan Africa (2). The treatment for late-stage patients who are no longer eligible for local treatment such as curative hepatic resection, tumor ablation, or transarterial therapy, is systematic therapy, including molecular targeted therapy and immune checkpoint therapy (3). Previous studies have focused on angiogenesis as a therapeutic target; the multikinase inhibitors sorafenib and lenvatinib have been approved as first-line targeted therapy, while the multitarget tyrosine inhibitors regorafenib and cabozantinib have been approved as second-line therapy. Meanwhile, immune checkpoint therapy is still under investigation (4). However, survival is still limited for HCC patients, with the 5-year survival rate currently standing at a relatively low 18% (5). Therefore, identifying new therapeutic targets for HCC is vital.

Paeonol (2’-hydroxy-4’-methoxyacetophenone) (Figure 1), which is derived from the root cortex of Paeonia suffruticosa, exhibits various pharmacological properties and has been approved for clinical use by the National Medical Products Administration of China. Because of its anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory effects, it is mostly used in the treatment of inflammatory diseases (6). Moreover, paeonol has been reported to exert antitumor activities in ovarian cancer cells (7), prostate cancer cells (8), breast cancer cells (9), and gastric cancer cells (10). Previous studies have shown that paeonol induces apoptosis of hepatoma cells via the nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-κB) pathway (11). Paeonol has also been shown to decrease oxidative injury and improve immunity in rats with HCC (12). In our previous study, paeonol reversed chemoresistance to doxorubicin in HCC cells (13); however, further investigation to fully understand the mechanism of action of paeonol in HCC is needed.

Survivin, which is encoded by the BIRC5 gene, is a member of the inhibitor-of-apoptosis protein family and is constitutively expressed in embryonic and fetal tissues but not in adult tissues. Further, survivin is overexpressed in multiple cancer types, including colorectal carcinoma (14), breast cancer (15), and lung cancer (16). Previous studies have revealed that survivin promotes tumorigenesis by interfering with mitosis, apoptosis, and autophagy, as well as with antitumor immune responses (17). Despite the attention that survivin has received as a possible therapeutic target in malignant diseases, the correlation of survivin with disease prognosis and the mechanisms by which it drives tumorigenesis are not fully understood (18). Elucidation of the mechanisms of survivin regulation could, therefore, facilitate the identification of new potent anticancer drugs for HCC patients.

The antitumor effects exerted by paeonol via survivin regulation in HCC have yet to be determined. A previous study reported that another Chinese traditional medicine, sinomenine, repressed COX-2, which is an inducible enzyme of prostaglandin synthesis with prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) as a downstream product (19), and survivin expression while inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis in esophageal carcinoma (20). Our previous study showed that paeonol could decrease the levels of COX-2 induced by tunicamycin in HCC cells (13). Thus, we hypothesized a correlation between survivin, COX-2, and paeonol, and the present study aimed to investigate the effects of paeonol on survivin via the COX-2/PGE2 pathway in HCC cells. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to study the expression of survivin and COX-2. The expression of survivin and COX-2 and that of PGE2 in human HCC cells (HepG2 and SMMC-7721) treated with PGE2, and subsequently, with paeonol and NS-398 were evaluated by Western blotting and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), respectively. Cell proliferation and apoptosis were studied using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling staining (TUNEL) assay.

The findings of this study potentially prove the candidature of paeonol as an alternate therapeutic option for HCC patients.
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Methods

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Anhui Medical University (approval no. Quick-PJ 2019-14-21), and informed consent was given by all patients enrolled.

HCC specimens

Paraffin-embedded cancerous and noncancerous adjacent tissues were collected from 57 HCC patients [49 male, 8 female; median age, 50.7 (range, 18–84) years] who underwent surgery in the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University between 2001 and 2007. Patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation, or transcatheter arterial chemoembolization before surgery were excluded. All specimens were pathologically confirmed by two expert pathologists according to the World Health Organization classification (21).

Immunohistochemical analysis

Paraffin-embedded tissue sections, 5-µm thick were deparaffinized in xylene, followed by rehydration in graded alcohol and 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol. Then, 0.01 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) was added and the sections were heated in a microwave oven at 360–600 W for 10 min for antigen retrieval. The sections were then incubated with primary goat survivin and COX-2 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), both diluted 1:100 for 1 hour, followed by overnight incubation with biotinylated anti-goat secondary antibody diluted 1:100 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Next, peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin was added to the samples, followed by diaminobenzidine solution and hematoxylin. Finally, a drop of mounting medium (Thermo Fisher) was added to the slides and the slides were observed under a microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Samples showing staining in >10% of the tumor cells were considered positive.

Cell culture and treatment

The HepG2 and SMMC-7721 human HCC cell lines (Shanghai Cell Bank, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) were used in this study. HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco BRL Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. The cells were treated as previously reported with 10 µM PGE2 (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 6, 12, and 24 hours (22), with paeonol (10 mg/mL, First Pharmaceutical Factory of Shanghai, Shanghai, China) (47, 94, 188, and 376 µM) for 24 and 48 hours (23), and with the COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (50 µM) (Sigma Chemical) for 24 hours (24).

MTT assay

HepG2 cells and SMMC-7721 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 1x10⁴ cells/well. Different concentrations of paeonol (47, 94, 188, and 376 µM) were added to the cells, and after 24 and 48 hours, cell viability was assessed using a previously described method for MTT assay (13). The cells were then incubated with 20 µL MTT reagent at a concentration of 5.0 mg/mL in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma Chemical) for 4 hours at 37 °C, at which point the supernatant was removed and dimethyl sulfoxide was added. Optical density was determined using an automatic microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments Inc., Winoski, VT, USA) at a wavelength of 490 nm. The MTT assay was carried out in triplicate to ensure reproducibility.

Flow cytometry

Cells were detached using 0.2% trypsin, harvested, and washed with PBS. The cells were then centrifuged at 500 g...
for 10 min, before the supernatant was discarded. The cells were resuspended in 70% ethanol for at least 12 hours at −20 °C with 10 µg/mL RNase A (Sigma Chemical). Then, the cells were incubated with 1 mL propidium iodide (Sigma Chemical) for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, 1×10⁶ cells/mL were analyzed using the EPICS XL-MCL model counter (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA).

**TUNEL assay**

Cells were seeded at a density of 1×10⁵/mL per coverslip, and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 1 hour. After washing with PBS, the cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.1% sodium citrate for 2 min at 4 °C. TUNEL staining was performed using the in situ Cell Death Detection Kit Fluorescein (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The manufacturer’s protocol was followed, and a fluorescence microscope (ECLIPSE 80i), digital camera (DXM 1200F) and ACT-1 software (version 2.63, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) were used to assess cell death.

**PGE2 ELISA**

An ELISA was performed to determine the concentration of PGE2 using a PGE2 Parameter Assay Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The supernatants collected after treatment of the cells with survivin and paeonol were added to wells coated with anti-PGE2 antibody. After incubation for 1 hour at 37 °C, the wells were washed 4 times with PBS-Tween 20 (pH 7.4) and further incubated for 1 hour with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-PGE2 antibody. The wells were then washed 4 times with PBS-Tween 20 and incubated with substrate solution for 1 hour. The relative absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher) and the concentration was calculated using a standard curve.

**Western blotting**

Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer and the protein concentration was determined using the Lowry protein assay. The protein (40 µg) was loaded onto 10% polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (MilliPore, Bedford, MA, USA). Tris-buffered saline Tween-20 containing 5% nonfat dry milk was used to block the membranes overnight. The membranes were incubated overnight with primary antibodies against survivin (1:2,000; sc-8807, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and COX-2 (1:2,000, sc-1746, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4 °C and washed 3 times with PBS. Then, the membranes were incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (diluted 1:2,000) for 2 hours at room temperature. The Enhanced Chemiluminescence Western Blotting Detection Kit (ECL, Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) was used for detection. ImageJ was used to calculate the band intensity.

**Statistical analysis**

Each assay was repeated at least three times. Pearson’s chi-square test and Student’s t-test were used to compare differences between groups. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. P<0.05 was considered to be significant.

**Results**

**Survivin and COX-2 expression in HCC tissue**

Immunohistochemical examination of paraffin-embedded HCC specimens (n=57) detected survivin in the nucleus and/or cytoplasm in 47 of 57 specimens (82.5%) (Figure 2A). This suggested that survivin was overexpressed in HCC compared with adjacent normal tissues (Figure 2B) and might be a key target for anti-HCC treatment strategies. The association between survivin and COX-2 expression was also examined. The expression of COX-2 was analyzed by IHC in the same HCC specimens and adjacent normal tissues (Figure 2C,D). The results showed that survivin was expressed in 90.2% (37/41) of COX2-positive specimens, while 37.5% (6/16) of the COX-2-negative specimens were survivin-negative (Table 1), suggesting an association between survivin and COX-2.

**Regulation of survivin expression in HCC cells by the COX-2/PGE2 pathway**

The possible association between survivin and the COX-2/PGE2 synthase pathway was assessed by treating the HCC cells with PGE2 to determine whether it stimulated survivin expression. HepG2 cells and SMMC-7721 cells were treated with PGE2 (10 µM) for 0, 6, 12, and 24 hours and Western blotting was performed. After treatment with PGE2, survivin levels were found to be significantly increased in HepG2 cells (Figure 2E) and SMMC-7721 cells (Figure 2F) (P<0.01 for both). A graphic presentation...
of the results is shown in Figure 2G. The optical density values of survivin versus β-actin are presented as fold-change of the control values (*, P<0.05; **, P<0.01). These findings suggested that the COX-2/PGE2 pathway might be critical for upregulation of survivin in HCC.

**Inhibition of cell growth and induction of apoptosis in HCC cells by paeonol**

The effect of paeonol in HCC cells was determined by MTT assay. As the dose of paeonol increased, the viability of treated cells decreased compared with that of untreated cells (HepG2 cells: Figure 3A; SMMC-7721 cells: Figure 3B).

The induction of apoptosis by paeonol was assessed by TUNEL assay using both cell lines. Apoptosis was increased in paeonol-treated cells as compared with untreated cells (Figure 4A: untreated HepG2 cells; Figure 4B: HepG2 cells treated with 94 µM paeonol; Figure 4C: HepG2 cells treated with 188 µM paeonol; Figure 4D: HepG2 cells treated with 376 µM paeonol; Figure 4E: untreated SMMC-7721 cells; Figure 4F: SMMC-7721 cells treated with 94 µM paeonol; Figure 4G: SMMC-7721 cells treated with 188 µM paeonol; Figure 4H: SMMC-7721 cells treated with 376 µM paeonol). Figure 4I (HepG2 cells) and Figure 4J (SMMC-7721 cells) show the percentage of apoptotic cells treated with different concentrations of paeonol. The percentage of apoptotic cells increased as paeonol concentration increased, with

**Table 1 Expression of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) and survivin in human hepatocellular carcinoma tissues**

| COX-2 | Survivin | r  | P value |
|-------|----------|----|---------|
| -     | -        | 0.328 | 0.013*  |
| +     | 6        | 10  |         |
| Positive | 4    | 37  |         |

*, P<0.05.
the highest number of apoptotic cells being observed at the maximum concentration of paeonol (376 µM) (Figure 4D,H).

Furthermore, flow cytometric analysis showed that paeonol increased the sub-G1 population of HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 5A: untreated HepG2 cells; Figure 5B: HepG2 cells treated with 94 µM paeonol; Figure 5C: HepG2 cells treated with 188 µM paeonol; Figure 5D: HepG2 cells treated with 376 µM paeonol; Figure 5E: untreated SMMC-7721 cells; Figure 5F: SMMC-7721 cells treated with 94 µM paeonol; Figure 5G: SMMC-7721 cells treated with 188 µM paeonol; Figure 5H: SMMC-7721 cells treated with 376 µM paeonol).

Effect of paeonol on the expression of survivin

The effect of paeonol treatment on survivin expression was evaluated by Western blotting. HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells treated with paeonol for 24 hours showed a decrease in the level of survivin as compared with cells without paeonol treatment (Figure 6A,B,C,D). The expression of both COX-2 and survivin decreased gradually as the concentration of paeonol increased. The maximum reduction in the protein levels was seen at the maximum concentration of paeonol (376 µM) (Figure 6E,F). Therefore, the paeonol-induced alteration in the level of survivin might contribute to the susceptibility of HCC cells to paeonol-induced apoptosis.

Effect of paeonol on the COX-2/PGE2 pathway and survivin in HCC cells

The role played by the COX-2/PGE2 pathway in paeonol-mediated survivin degradation in HCC cells was elucidated by assessing the expression levels of COX-2 (Figure 6A,B,C,D) and PGE-2 (Figure 6E,F) in paeonol-treated cells using Western blotting and ELISA, respectively. Paeonol significantly inhibited COX-2 expression and decreased PGE2 levels in HCC cells (Figure 6). Further, PGE2 treatment alone resulted in increased levels of survivin, but combined treatment with PGE2 and paeonol decreased survivin expression (Figure 7A: HepG2 cells; Figure 7B: SMMC-7721 cells). The relative expression levels of both treatment with PGE2 alone and combined treatment with PGE2 and paeonol are shown in Figure 7C. Moreover, Western blotting showed that treatment with the COX-2 specific inhibitor, NS-398 downregulated survivin and COX-2 expression (Figure 8A,B,C,D; Figure 8A,C show HepG2 cells, Figure 8B,D show SMMC-7721 cells), and downregulated PGE2 levels were demonstrated by ELISA (Figure 8E). Compare with untreated HepG2 cells (Figure 9A), the sub-G1 fraction of HepG2 cells was found to be increased by treatment with NS-398 (Figure 9B) and by paeonol treatment (Figure 9C) by flow cytometry, which was also observed in SMMC-7721 cells (Figure 9D,E,F). This was further confirmed by TUNEL staining. Compared with untreated HepG2 cells (Figure 9G), the proportion of TUNEL-positive cells treated with NS-398 (Figure 9H) and paeonol (Figure 9I) was increased, which was also observed in SMMC-7721 cells (Figure 9J,K,L). The percentage of apoptotic cells in both cell lines compared with untreated cells was shown in Figure 9M. Taken together, these findings suggested that the inhibition of survivin by paeonol was regulated by the COX-2/PGE2 pathway.
Figure 4 Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay showing paonol-induced apoptosis in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Morphology and percentage of apoptotic cells assessed by TUNEL staining. Cells with brown nuclei were defined as apoptotic. Hepatocellular carcinoma cells treated with various concentrations of paonol (Pae: 94, 188, or 376 μM) [left (A,B,C,D,I): HepG2 cells; right (E,F,G,H,J): SMMC-7721 cells] for 24 hours. (A) Untreated HepG2 cells; (B) HepG2 cells treated with 94 μM Pae; (C) HepG2 cells treated with 188 μM Pae; (D) HepG2 cells treated with 376 μM Pae; (E) untreated SMMC-7721 cells; (F) SMMC-7721 cells treated with 94 μM Pae; (G) SMMC-7721 cells treated with 188 μM Pae; (H) SMMC-7721 cells treated with 376 μM Pae. (IJ) Percentage of apoptotic cells treated with different concentrations of paonol: (I) HepG2 cells and (J) SMMC-7721 cells. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. **, P<0.01.

Discussion

Identification of the signaling pathways involved in HCC pathogenesis and elucidation of their effects, functions, and interactions would help to improve HCC treatment. We found that 82.5% of the human HCC specimens collected in the present study were positive for survivin. Furthermore, the expression of COX-2 was positively related to that of survivin, consistent with the findings of a previous study (25). Moreover, PGE2, the main product of COX-2, promoted survivin expression in HepG2 cells and SMMC-7721 cells, indicating that the COX-2/PGE2 pathway plays a critical role in survivin regulation in HCC, which has not been reported before.

The results of the present study showed that paonol significantly enhanced apoptosis and increased the sub-G1
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fraction of HCC cells, a finding consistent with that of previous studies (11,26). The proliferation of HCC cells was also suppressed by paeonol, which confirmed a previous report that paeonol might induce HCC cell apoptosis via the NF-κB pathway (11). However, the effect of paeonol on survivin in HCC has not been previously examined. Survivin is thought to play an essential role in tumorigenesis, and the possibility of exploiting it as a therapeutic target has been suggested (18). Therefore, to facilitate the identification of new, potent antitumor drugs for HCC patients, it is crucial that the mechanisms of survivin regulation are elucidated. We showed that paeonol has a role in regulating survivin in HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells; survivin levels were significantly reduced after the cells were treated with paeonol, a phenomenon that has been previously observed in ovarian cancer cells (7). Our results, therefore, suggested that paeonol might suppress the tumorigenicity of human HCC cells by inhibiting survivin expression, and based on this, the expression of COX-2 after treatment with paeonol was assessed. We found that paeonol downregulated the levels of COX-2 in HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells, thereby decreasing the levels of PGE2. Paeonol has previously been reported to reduce the expression levels of survivin and COX-2 in lung adenocarcinoma cells (27), as well as the expression of survivin in ovarian cancer cells (7). A previous study revealed that meloxicam, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, targeted survivin, via a COX-2-dependent pathway, to induce apoptosis in HCC cells (28). The findings of the present study suggest that survivin might be inhibited by paeonol via a reduction in the level of COX-2. As previously reported in HUH-7 cells, another HCC cell line, treatment with PGE2 increased survivin expression significantly in both cell lines (22). Interestingly, this effect was reversed by a combination treatment of paeonol and PGE2, suggesting that paeonol might regulate survivin via PGE2. This supposition was further confirmed when HepG2 and SMMC-7721 cells were treated with a potent COX-2 inhibitor, NS-398, and the expression levels of COX-2 and PGE2 as well as those of survivin were reduced, leading to induction of apoptosis in HepG2 and

Figure 5 Flow cytometric analysis of paeonol-induced apoptosis in human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells. HCC cells were treated with various concentrations of paeonol (Pae: 94, 188, or 376 µM) [left (A,B,C,D): HepG2 cells; right (E,F,G,H): SMMC-7721 cells] for 24 hours. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis indicated the sub-G1 proportion: (A) untreated HepG2 cells; (B) HepG2 cells treated with 94 µM Pae; (C) HepG2 cells treated with 188 µM Pae; (D) HepG2 cells treated with 376 µM Pae; (E) untreated SMMC-7721 cells; (F) SMMC-7721 cells treated with 94 µM Pae; (G) SMMC-7721 cells treated with 188 µM Pae; (H) SMMC-7721 cells treated with 376 µM Pae. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. **, P<0.01.
Figure 6 Effects of paeonol (Pae) on cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), survivin, and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Cells were exposed to Pae at various concentrations (94, 188, and 376 µM) for 24 hours. Western blots and graphical representations show the expression levels of survivin and COX-2 in the cell lysates: (A,C) HepG2 cells; (B,D) SMMC-7721 cells, with β-actin as internal reference. Optical density (OD) values of survivin and COX-2 versus β-actin are represented as fold change of the control values (*, P<0.05; **, P<0.01). (E,F) Graphical representation of ELISA results obtained at various Pae concentrations in HepG2 cells (E), and SMMC-7721 cells (F). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (bars = SD) **, P<0.01. ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 7 Effect of combined treatment with paeonol (Pae) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) on survivin in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. (A,B) Western blots obtained after exposure of cells to PGE2 (10 µM) in the absence or presence of Pae (376 µM) for 24 hours with β-actin as internal reference: (A) HepG2 cells; (B) SMMC-7721 cells. (C) Optical density (OD) values versus β-actin presented as fold change of the control values (*, P<0.05; **, P<0.01, compared with untreated cells).
SMMC-7721 cells. In contrast, treatment with PGE2 alone significantly increased COX-2 expression; however, this was decreased by the treatment of cells with paeonol. These findings, therefore, suggest that the suppression of survivin expression by paeonol is regulated by the COX-2/PGE2 pathway.

The limitations of this study include the lack of in vivo results and the modest sample size. Further mechanism-based in vivo studies and larger patient cohorts are needed to establish the importance of paeonol and to facilitate its development as a pharmacologically safe, non-toxic agent for the treatment of HCC, to be used either alone or in combination with other phytochemical drugs.

Conclusions

This is the first study, to the best of our knowledge, to demonstrate that paeonol can exert antitumor effects by targeting survivin via the COX-2/PGE2 signaling pathway in HCC. Further in vivo studies are needed to establish the importance of paeonol in cancer treatment. Through inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis, paeonol could serve as a promising therapeutic agent in HCC. Elucidation of this novel mechanism may help in the development of new alternate therapeutic options that are safe and applicable for clinical use in the management and treatment of HCC.
Figure 9 Determination of the effect of NS-398 on cell apoptosis and expression levels of cyclooxygenase-2, survivin, and prostaglandin E2 in human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells by flow cytometry and TUNEL assay. NS-398 (50 µM) and paeonol (Pae: 376 µM) were used to treat HCC cells for 24 hours [left (A,B,C,G,H,I): HepG2 cells; right (D,E,F,J,K,L): SMMC-7721 cells] separately. (A,B,C,D,E,F) Sub-G1 analysis by FACS. (G,H,I,J,K,L,M) Morphology and percentage of apoptotic cells by TUNEL staining. Cells with brown-stained nuclei were defined as apoptotic. [A,D,G: untreated cells; B,E,H,K: NS-398 (50 µM) treated cells; C,F,L: Pae (376 µM) treated cells]. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) (**, P<0.01). FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; TUNEL, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling.
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