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Abstract

Infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has become a historic pandemic, and dealing with it is one of the most important aspects of infectious disease treatment today. SARS-CoV-2 has been found to have characteristic and powerful infectivity (ability to propagate) and lethality (severity). With influenza, primary influenza pneumonia from the virus itself is known to exist in addition to secondary bacterial pneumonia. With COVID-19, on the other hand, it is important to provide diagnosis and treatment while keeping acute respiratory distress syndrome and pulmonary edema (alveolar flood) from a similar cytokine storm, as well as severe angiopathy, in mind. The importance of complying with hand hygiene and masks in infection control remains the same as in previous general infection control measures and responses to influenza virus infections and others, but in the future, vaccination will likely be the key to infection control in the community.
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Core Tip: We are focusing the differences and similarity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Influenza, and review the characteristic pathophysiology and basic concepts of treatment and prevention for COVID-19. Primary influenza pneumonia is known to exist in addition to secondary bacterial pneumonia, however, pulmonary edema (alveolar flood) from a similar cytokine storm, as well as severe angiopathy should be considered in COVID-19.
INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which continues to spread around the world, has become the main focus of infectious disease treatment since the 2020 season. We have previously experienced acute epidemic viral infections, with influenza being typical, but a pandemic of this size has not been seen since the “Spanish flu” of 1918[1]. These two virus look similar, but we have found the critical differences between influenza and COVID-19. In this review, the epidemiological and clinical characteristics of COVID-19, compared with influenza, in addition to the trend of treatment and prevention, including anti-viral agents and vaccines, could be described.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The virulence of viral infections is defined mainly by infectivity (ability to propagate) and lethality (severity). Coronavirus infections experienced in recent years include severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), which spread globally from Guangdong Province in China in 2002, and Middle East respiratory syndrome, which spread in the Middle East in 2012. Although both SARS and Middle East respiratory syndrome showed a moderate to high level of lethality, their ability to propagate was not as strong, and they came mostly to an end while being fairly limited in duration and geography[2].

Compared with these two serious coronavirus infections, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has somewhat stronger infectivity, but not as high a level of lethality/severity. Initially, COVID-19 was viewed as a viral infection similar to seasonal influenza, but it soon became empirically clear that COVID-19 was much more serious.

While its infectivity on average is about the same as that for influenza, it has been found that under the condition of the “three Cs”—crowded places, close-contact settings, and confined and enclosed spaces—a “cluster” is generated with nearly all people present becoming infected at a speed comparable to that of the measles[3]. This situation may be affected by the fact that there is a subtle mechanism somewhat like the human immunodeficiency viruses in which propagation occurs while evading attack by the human immune system, during which time, people are asymptomatic for about a week after being infected.

Although the overall fatality rate in Japan is very low, it is still much higher than that for influenza, and there have been many reports of increased severity in the aged; therefore, we cannot let our guard down. While COVID-19 is relatively mild in most young people, chest computed tomography scans have shown pneumonia presenting with characteristic bilateral ground-glass opacity, even in nearly asymptomatic patients. On the other hand, among older people, especially those with preexisting conditions, COVID-19 can become serious and potentially fatal at a very high rate[2]. Consequently, age is one of the most important factors in determining the prognosis of patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 1). Therefore, COVID-19 may be viewed as a formidable infectious disease with two distinct manifestations.

CLINICAL CONDITION

Clinically, influenza and COVID-19 are both respiratory illnesses caused by viral infections, but show different symptoms and signs depending on the characteristics of influenza virus and SARS-CoV-2 (Table 1). Compared with influenza, COVID-19 seems to spread more easily and cause a more severe condition. Influenza and COVID-19 share many common signs and symptoms, but the loss of smell and taste is considered specific to COVID-19; therefore, diagnostic testing may be critical to
Table 1 Clinical differences between influenza and coronavirus disease 2019

| Characteristics          | Clinical differences                                                                 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Signs and symptoms       | Influenza: Mild to severe illness, including common signs and symptoms. COVID-19: More serious illnesses in some people. Change or loss of taste or smell may be included |
| Incubation period        | Flu: 1-4 d after infection. COVID-19: 5 d, but symptoms can appear as early as 2 d or as late as 14 d after infection |
| Duration of the symptoms | Flu: 3-7 d. COVID-19: 2-3 wk                                                            |
| Asymptomatic patients    | Flu: 10%. COVID-19: A few 60%                                                           |

COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; Flu: Influenza.

In addition, it is well known that complications from pneumonia in influenza, particularly a high rate of secondary bacterial pneumonia, are a common mechanism in terms of disease severity⁴. However, pulmonary edema-like primary viral pneumonia, which does not occur at a high rate in influenza, has been found in nearly all cases of COVID-19²,⁵.

Therefore, with influenza, strong inflammation is induced under relatively rare conditions in which an excessive immune response called a “cytokine storm” may occur, even with infection by the influenza virus alone. It has been shown that pulmonary edema (alveolar flooding) may occur from pneumonia and the breakdown of alveolar epithelial and pulmonary vascular endothelial cells⁶. By contrast, SARS-CoV-2 uses the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 distributed in the human vascular endothelium as a receptor, and thus has a strong affinity for vascular endothelial cells in particular, which facilitates vascular permeability and makes angiopathy more likely⁷. In fact, pulmonary edema is thought to be significantly more likely to occur than general pneumonia, and typical findings of chest radiographs in patients with COVID-19 are very similar to cases of victims who drowned in freshwater (Figure 2). An abundance of extravascular fluid is found because of changes in osmotic pressure and the junction between alveolar spaces and plasma membranes.

Therefore, it has been suggested that COVID-19 is essentially pulmonary edema and pulmonary microthrombosis due to cytokine disease from viral infection and subsequent vascular destruction. These conditions progress particularly rapidly in older people with comorbidities, ultimately leading to multi-organ failure⁸,⁹.
Figure 2 Coronavirus disease 2019 are very similar to cases of victims who drowned in freshwater. A and C: Representative chest X-rays; B and D: Computed tomography scans of a patient with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19); A and B: A patient with COVID-19; C and D: A drowning victim. The drowning victim had a stroke while in the bath. Both the patient with COVID-19 and the drowning victim have similar characteristic ground-glass opacity lesions close to the pleura in both lung fields.

TREATMENT

For the treatment of influenza, a large number of anti-influenza drugs have been developed that target the virus itself. In recent years, the appearance of baloxavir (trade name: Xofluza) has attracted much attention. Infectious disease treatment is based on elimination of the causative agent, and this has been a very effective anti-influenza strategy\[10]\.

Many drugs are currently being developed for the treatment of COVID-19\[11]\. As of this writing, remdesivir is the first drug to be used that acts against the virus itself, and has shown good efficacy. Therefore, remdesivir has been approved in insurance systems in Japan, and is currently used to treat many severe cases of COVID-19 that require oxygen management, including with artificial ventilation. We also look forward to the use of other antiviral drugs, such as favipiravir.

Favipiravir (T-705; 6-fluoro-3-hydroxy-2-pyrazinecarboxamide) is an antiviral agent that selectively inhibits the ribonucleic acid (RNA)-dependent RNA polymerase of RNA viruses, and has been stockpiled in Japan for the treatment of severe influenza\[12]\. Since the catalytic domain of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase is conserved among various types of RNA viruses, this mechanism of action suggests a broader virus spectrum, including SARS-CoV-2. In fact, favipiravir has shown significant efficacy for COVID-19 treatment compared with anti-human immunodeficiency viruses drugs in an open-label study\[13]\. However, its efficacy depends on the severity of the disease, its effects remain unclear, and its treatment strategy remains controversial.

Moreover, as mentioned above, the effects of cytokine storms and hyperimmunity on the clinical pathology of COVID-19 are confirmed to be greater than those in influenza. Sequences of anti-immune drugs and immunomodulators are effective, and these are characteristically proposed as powerful drug candidates. The recommendation of steroids as being efficacious against infectious disease in severe cases of respiratory failure is a first for acute respiratory infections\[14]\. We are currently waiting for the establishment of infectious disease treatment as an “antiviral + immunomodulator + anti-thrombus” treatment regimen/bundle, and this may provide clues for new treatments for infectious disease (Table 2).
Table 2 Combination/bundle of the candidate drugs for coronavirus disease 2019 treatment based on the pathophysiological characteristics

| Drugs               | Pathophysiological characteristics                                      |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Antiviral drugs      | Remdesivir for moderate to very severe patients                           |
|                     | Favipiravir for mild to severe patients                                   |
| Immnomodulators     | Corticosteroids for moderate to very severe patients                     |
|                     | Tocilizumab for hospitalized COVID-19 patients                            |
|                     | Jak/Stat signaling inhibitors for hospitalized COVID-19 patients          |
| Anticoagulant drugs  | Heparin                                                                   |
|                     | DOAC                                                                      |

COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; DOAC: Direct oral anticoagulant.

PREVENTION AND INFECTION CONTROL

To combat viral infections, prevention via vaccines or other measures, or infection control so that infections do not spread, are much more important than treatment. The appearance of an effective new SARS-CoV-2 vaccine that is equal or superior to influenza or pneumococcal vaccines, and practical vaccinations that steadily become a reality in general clinical practice, will be considered a breakthrough. Novel vaccines, including mRNA and viral vector-based types, have been in practical use for COVID-19 prevention. By contrast, inactivated vaccines have been available for influenza prevention (Table 3)[15-17]. COVID-19 vaccines to date have been shown to cause mild side effects in small numbers of individuals after the first or second dose, including pain, redness or swelling at the injection site, fever, and headache; however, vaccination might help prevent people from contracting COVID-19 or experiencing a severe case and developing serious complications. In fact, the novel COVID-19 vaccines have shown an efficacy of around 90%, compared with the standard influenza vaccine, which shows an efficacy of around 60%. The COVID-19 pandemic may be said to have in fact brought about dramatic advances in the treatment and management of infectious diseases.

More than previous anti-influenza measures, hand-washing and masks have been demonstrated to be extremely effective against the spread of respiratory viruses, which are mainly transmitted through droplets. Further responses should be advanced based on standard preventive measures and anti-droplet infection measures that have proven effective for other infectious diseases[18].

The term “social distancing” has become well known in dealing with COVID-19. Social distancing is an intervention that arose from measures to prevent “clusters” when the abovementioned special “3C” conditions are met[1]. If the 3Cs can be avoided, the spread of infections can be minimized in many cases. An age has come in which we can advance infectious disease responses that protect ourselves and our communities with a “new lifestyle” that implements these new techniques and ways of thinking.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we described the differences between influenza and COVID-19. SARS-CoV-2 has been found to have epidemiological and clinical characteristics with the pathophysiological conditions, including cytokine storm and severe angiopathy. Novel anti-viral agents and vaccines might be available soon. The responsibilities of doctors and health-care workers who support community medicine and infectious disease treatment are likely to continue to grow.
Table 3 Comparison of the novel coronavirus disease 2019 vaccines in clinical trials

| Vaccine                        | Clinical trials                                      |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Pfizer vaccine (Name: BNT 162b2) | Type: mRNA                                           |
|                                | Age: ≥ 16 years old                                  |
|                                | Dose: 30 μg (0.3 mL) twice (21 d interval)           |
|                                | Efficiency (95%CI): 95.0% (90.3-97.6)                |
| Moderna vaccine (Name: mRNA-1273)| Type: mRNA                                           |
|                                | Age: ≥ 18 years old                                  |
|                                | Dose: 100 μg (0.5 mL) twice (28 d interval)          |
|                                | Efficiency (95%CI): 94.5% (86.5-97.8)                |
| AstraZeneca vaccine (Name: ChAdOx1) | Type: Virus vector                                  |
|                                | Age: ≥ 18 years old                                  |
|                                | Dose: Low dose: 2.2 × 10^10 virus particle and Standard dose: 5 × 10^10 virus particle, twice (28 d interval) |
|                                | Efficiency (95%CI): 90.0% (67.4-97.0)                |

mRNA: Messenger ribonucleic acid; CI: Confidence interval.
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