Biofilm Formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* under Food Heat Processing Conditions: First Report on CML Production within Biofilm
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This study aimed to evaluate the *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation and Nε-carboxymethyl-lysine generation ability under food heat processing conditions including pH (5.0–9.0), temperature (25 °C, 31 °C, 37 °C, 42 °C and 65 °C), NaCl concentration (10%, 15% and 20%, w/v) and glucose concentration (0.5%, 1%, 2%, 3%, 5%, 10%, w/v). *S. aureus* biofilm genetic character was obtained by PCR detecting *atl*, *ica* operon, *sasG* and *agr*. Biofilm biomass and metabolic activity were quantified with crystal violet and methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium staining methods. *S. aureus* biofilm was sensitive to food heat processing conditions with 37 °C, pH 7.0, 2% glucose concentration (w/v) and 10% NaCl concentration (w/v) were favorable conditions. Besides, free and bound Nε-carboxymethyl-lysine level in weak, moderate and strong biofilm were detected by optimized high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Nε-carboxymethyl-lysine level in *S. aureus* biofilm possessed a significant gap between strong, moderate and weak biofilm strains. This investigation revealed the biological and chemical hazard of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm to food processing environment.

To date, thermal processes have been extensively employed in food technology. Due to the aggregation of glucose, protein and lipid, Maillard reaction and lipid oxidation are promoted during heat treatment, which leads to browning, unpleasant flavors, even chemical hazard. According to World Health Organization (WHO), defined as poisonous and harmful substances in food to human body, food hazardous substances have been concerned over the sustainability. As a pillar industry in China, the output value of food industry was 11.1 billion Yuan in 2016. It’s recognized that over 80% microbial contamination was confirmed to be trigger by microbial biofilm formed on food processing equipment or raw material. Among all bacteria retaining biofilm formation ability, *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) is a notorious foodborne pathogen capable of causing a spectrum acute food poisoning affairs and food processing equipment contamination. Considerable foodborne outbreaks caused by *S. aureus* were frequently reported over the years. From 1998 to 2008, 87% vomiting cases were led by *S. aureus* outbreaks in USA. In China mainland, according to a recent investigation from 2006–2015, microbiological hazard have been considered as main food safety issues in China, with the proportion of 61.3%. Similarly, another investigation revealed that *S. aureus* related food poisoning entailed a total morbidity of 2,431 people.
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Biofilm is a common strategy employed by bacteria to survive in varied adverse environment conditions to develop into a community of cells encased by extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). For \textit{S. aureus}, its biofilm development is conducted in four stages: attachment, microcolony formation, maturation and detachment. EPS is one of the most vital substances that essential for cellular encasement and community function in different stages. In \textit{Staphylococcus} species, the primary matrix components consists of polysaccharide, proteins and extracellular DNA (eDNA). The first extensively studied matrix component is the exopolysaccharide termed PIA (Polysaccharide Intercellular Adhesion), which is produced and secreted by the proteins encoded in the \textit{ica} (intercellular adhesion) operon \textit{icaADBC}, which include a N-acetylg glucosamine transferase (IcaA and IcaB), a predicted exporter (IcaC), and a deacetylase (IcaD). The \textit{ica} operon is important for biofilm formation in \textit{S. aureus} with expression induced by environmental conditions including low oxygen, glucose, osmotic pressure and temperature. Besides, some surface components were recognized contributing to adhesion in \textit{S. aureus} biofilm including \textit{Staphylococcus} protein A (Spa) and fibroectin binding protein (FnBP). Encoded by \textit{atl}, \textit{Atl} is a wall-anchored bifunctional peptidoglycan hydrolase responsible for cell separation following cell division, which is required for biofilm development during early adhesion stage. In extracellular matrix, \textit{S. aureus} surface protein Q (SasG) contributes to the maturation phase of biofilm. The eDNA is considered as an important structure component in bacterial biofilm. The contribution could be retrospective in structural integrity maintenance, horizontal gene transfer and antagonism from antibiotics and the host immune system. It has been proved that eDNA could bridge the energy barrier that separates the cell from the substratum, thereby facilitating irreversible adhesion. Besides, eDNA also influences the hydrophobicity of bacterial cell surface and boost the tendency of a bacterium attachment. Thus, in \textit{S. aureus}, autolytic activity from a subpopulation of cells results in the release of eDNA that contributes to cell adhesion during biofilm maturation. Quorum sensing (QS) system contributes greatly to cell density in cell communities encased in biofilm. Accessory gene regulator (agr) system is one of typical QS systems responsible for increased expression of various toxins and degradative exoenzymes, and decreased expression of several colonization factors, which resulted in degrading protein components of the \textit{S. aureus} biofilm matrix and cell dispersion. The agr-mediated dispersion of \textit{S. aureus} biofilm provides capacity of transfer in ideal environment such as food processing pipeline, which increased the difficulty in biofilm elimination.

The biological and chemical hazard of biofilm has been regarded as a public concern. Biofilm is easily formed under food processing conditions, especially heat processing with eutrophic condition, as well as chemical hazard within biofilm. Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) form in food during heating (especially in dry heat) and cause oxidative stress and chronic inflammation leading to increased risk for metabolic and cardiovascular events. AGEs are products of the Maillard reaction, where sugar moieties react with proteins resulting in protein cross linking and product browning, together with formation of flavor and aroma compounds. Since EPS consists of a matrix of polysaccharide and proteins with biological activity, AGEs could be accumulated within biofilm. Thus biofilm maintain the ability of both biological and chemical contamination during food processing. 3-E-carboxymethyl-lysine (CML) is one of the most widely studied AGEs, and is frequently used as a marker for AGEs formation in food, which is stable and exists both in free and bound form. Free AGEs (glycated amino acids) and protein-bound AGEs (protein glycation adducts) may have different bioavailability and physiological effects. CML in free AGEs (free CML) are much more bioavailable and harmful than CML in protein or peptide-bound AGEs (bound CML), since they are more easily released from a food system and absorbed into serum. Studies have shown statistical association between accumulation of CML in the human body and diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, sarcopenia, and renal diseases. Therefore, accurate quantification of free and bound CML is of great importance to reduce the intake of dietary CML and lower the risk of AGEs-related diseases.

Food processing environments are easily continuously contaminated by microbes and harmful substances. Contamination of \textit{S. aureus} is a vital public concern in consumers, to our knowledge, biofilm could generate CML.
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Table 1. Genetic character and biofilm formation ability of S. aureus. *USA300 strain was employed as positive control in S. aureus detection. NT = not tested.

| Primer | Target | Sequence (5’-3’) | Tm (°C) | Amplicon (bp) |
|--------|--------|-----------------|---------|---------------|
| icDA-F | icDA   | TCTCTTGGAGAGCAGCAATCAA               | 66      | 188           |
| icDA-R | icDA   | TCAGGCACCTAACCTCAGCA                |         |               |
| icDBC-F | icDBC  | ATGGCTAGCCAGCAATGAAGAGCAGAG        | 52      | 1188          |
| icDBC-R | icDBC  | GGAAGGAAATATAGGAGTTA               |         |               |
| icD-AF | icD-A   | ATGGCTAGCCAGCAATGAAGAGCAGAG        | 56      | 198           |
| icD-AF | icD-R   | CGGTATTCTCACATGTTACAGAA           |         |               |
| all-F  | all     | ACACGACGATTAGCAGA                   | 53      | 432           |
| all-R  | all     | AGCCTCGCAAGTAGTAC                 |         |               |
| sasG-F | sasG    | CGGTGATCGAGATAAGAAGAGCCG          | 50      | 1000          |
| sasG-R | sasG    | CGGTGATCGAGATAAGAAGAGCCG         |         |               |
| agr-F  | agr     | GTGCCATGGGAAATACCTCCTTCC          | 52      | 976           |
| agr-R  | agr     | TGGTACCTCAAATCTCCATCCATTAG        |         |               |
| 16S rRNA-F | 16S rRNA | GGACTGTTATATGCGCTTIT        | 50      | 542           |
| 16S rRNA-R | 16S rRNA | GAGGCGTCTCTATGACGCCCT         |         |               |
| femA-F | femA    | AAAGCTTCTGAGAAATGGTTATG         | 55      | 823           |
| femA-R | femA    | TACCTCTTGTAGAGGTATAC           |         |               |

Table 2. Primers for S. aureus identification and biofilm-related genes. 16S rRNA and femA were specifically designed for the screening of Staphylococci and S. aureus while 4 gene clusters including icA, all, sasG and agr responsible for biofilm adhesion, maturation and dispersal were submitted to identification (Table 2). PCR reaction was conducted under program 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 94 °C 1 min, Tm 1 min, 72 °C 2 min for 30 cycles, 72 °C 7 min. Amplicons were stored under –20 °C.

under food heat processing while no study focused on it. This is the first report that biofilm formation character and CML level within biofilm under various food processing condition were investigated.

Results

S. aureus biofilm formation ability. As the result shown in Table 1, all three strains were identified as S. aureus and 4506, 120184 possessed the potential of strong biofilm formation ability (icaA, allA, sasG and agrA). For biofilm phenotype, all three strains were submitted to CV staining and MTT staining assay. According to the PCR and staining results, strain 4506, 120184 and 10071 were considered maintaining strong, moderate and weak biofilm formation ability respectively. Though 120184 lacked icaA, which was directly responsible for PIA synthesis in S. aureus biofilm, biofilm biomass and metabolic activity were still observed.

CML level in biofilm. For S. aureus strain 4506, free and bound CML level were quantified by HPLC-MS. Besides, CV and MTT staining assay as well as CFU counting were employed for quantification of biomass, metabolic activity and live cells in biofilm accordingly. In general, free CML level experienced a sharp increase since 24 h inoculation comparing to the stable bound CML level. For S. aureus biofilm, three stages were observed in this study accordingly (Fig. 2D). The number of live cells and biofilm metabolic activity reached peak at 16 h, with sharp decrease until 2 d. From 8 h to 1 d, free CML level increased with a slow rate while increased fast during 1 d-3 d when S. aureus biofilm turned to maturation. For bound CML level, as expected, the correlation between bound CML level and biofilm incubation time was observed, especially after biofilm adhesion stage (>24 h). Although the bound CML level was witnessed a steady increase, the concentration was far less than that of free CML (Fig. 2). Compare the results above, free and bound CML generation were significantly increased since maturation stage (>24 h). However, after the processing at 100 °C for 15 min, the bound CML was released from biofilm and was significantly higher than free CML (Fig. 2). It should be noticed that free CML showed the coordination with biomass of biofilm (Fig. 2B), instead of the number of live cells (Fig. 2A) and biofilm metabolic activity (Fig. 2C), which verified the assumption that CML was generated from the reaction of sugar moieties and proteins instead of direct cell synthesis and secretion.

According to several studies, the CML level in breast milk was lower than 0.150 μg/mL, which was at same magnitude of free CML level in biofilm supernatant by strong biofilm formation ability strain 4506. However,
there was a significant disparity of CML level in serum of 4.754 μg/mL, which was at same magnitude of CML level since biofilm maturation started. Since the planktonic and supernatant were easy to remove and clearance while biofilm not, the CML in biofilm was accumulating until the heating process began. Thus, the bound CML was selected as a marker in the following study. As the results indicated (Fig. 3), a significant gap of bound CML level between strong, moderate and weak biofilm strains was observed. The bound CML level was significantly positive correlated with biofilm formation ability, in other words, biofilm biomass and metabolic activity.

**Influence of food processing conditions to *S. aureus* biofilm.** Four typical food processing condition were simulated including pH, eutrophy (glucose concentration), high-salinity (NaCl concentration) and temperature. *S. aureus* 4506 was selected in this part for its strong CML formation ability. As shown in result (Fig. 4), the suitable incubation temperature and pH were 37 °C and pH 7.0, with highest biomass and metabolic activity. Alkaline condition showed superior influence to the metabolic activity than acidic condition. Specifically, biofilm metabolic activity nearly lost under 65 °C and pH 9.0 incubation, which led a significant loss of biofilm biomass. For eutrophy condition, the biofilm metabolic activity showed a highly similar trend and peak except 5% and 10% glucose
concentration. With the increase of glucose concentration, the peak of metabolic activity decreased sharply to 0.57 (5%, 16 h) and 0.55 (10%, 8 h). To 10% glucose concentration, the peak of metabolic activity occurred at 8 h, which was ahead of other concentration, and continuous declined. For biomass, biofilm showed an up-regulation with the increase of the glucose concentration at 8 h. A steady increase of biomass under 0.5%-3.0% glucose concentration was observed while a stable trend occurred to 5% and 10% glucose concentration and was less than 0% glucose concentration since 24 h. A hypotheses was conducted that *S. aureus* biofilm suffered earlier growth due to the increase of glucose concentration, but still need further study. A similar trend of metabolic activity in high-salinity condition was observed while 15% and 20% NaCl concentration showed a sharp decrease of biomass compare with control sample. The phenomenon was assumed to the osmotic pressure but still need further study.

**Discussion**

To date, evidences of ica-independent pathways for biofilm forming have been confirmed<sup>63</sup>, and this phenomenon was observed frequently under various conditions<sup>64,65</sup>. In ica-independent pattern, extracellular matrix such as Spa and Bap act as compensatory substances for PIA in *S. aureus* biofilm<sup>25</sup>. Besides, FnBP, which was verified as a matrix regulated by autolysin and sigB in biofilm formation<sup>26</sup> also contributes in ica-independent *S. aureus*
biofilm. In this study, although strain 120184 was able to develop biofilm and maintain metabolic activity in biofilm, successfully without icaA, whether it was an ica-independent strain still need further investigation.

For CML level in S. aureus biofilm, according to our previous study, active metabolism was conducted inside the biofilm for colony proliferation, eDNA and EPS synthesis, as well as their secretion in maturation stage \(^6^6\), which may lead a dramatic increasement of CML level. However, there's no evidences indicating that the accumulation of CML was accompanied by the metabolic activity of S. aureus biofilm. In fact, the number of live cells and biofilm metabolic activity decrease sharply while biomass of biofilm experienced a great increase since both free and bound CML came to accumulate in a rapid velocity \((1 \text{ d}, \text{ see Fig. } 2)\). S. aureus biofilm turned to form a three-dimensional space structure containing eDNA, skeleton proteins, surface proteins and differentiated cells from maturation stage to maintain the stability, antagonize exogenous disturbances and prepare for the detachment and dispersal. The shield structure maintained integrality of biofilm, in which the bioactive proteins and polysaccharides were in close proximity to each other. With the heat processing of 100 °C for 15 min, CML accumulated inside the biofilm duo to protein and polysaccharide interactions while planktonic cells not, which illustrates a significant gap between free and bound CML level.

In food industry, most of the foodborne pathogens possess the ability of escaping from routine sterilization (such as high temperature and pressure, mechanical flushing) by forming biofilm, especially matured biofilm. Moreover, food components provides a eutrophic environment for biofilm formation, as well as the metabolism inside the biofilm, which leads an accumulation of metabolite such as CML. The most active stage in biofilm formation was 16 h, at which biofilm was at adhesion stage with the rapid proliferation and metabolism inside. The optimal environment for S. aureus biofilm formation was 37 °C, pH 7.0, with the glucose and NaCl concentration of 2% and 10% respectively. Although biofilm maintained resistance to adverse environment \(^6^6\), our study illustrated that S. aureus biofilm was sensitive to various temperature, pH and osmotic pressure conditions, which is commonly exist in food processing industry. Specifically, processing conditions of some typical staple food such as noodle, rice cake and preserved fruits \(^6^7\), are under thermal, eutrophic and hyper osmosis processing conditions, which may accumulate S. aureus biofilm formation and CML level. Though daily cleansing is supposed to be conducted with regular mechanical cleansing methods (brush and jet cleaning with high temperature and high pressure), evidence has indicated the low efficiency in biofilm removal \(^6\), especially matured biofilm. The remnant nidus leads continuous formation and dispersion of biofilm, thus triggers sustained contamination in processing equipments, which caused the potential safety hazard.

During food heat processing, salt and glucose addition and pH adjustment are commonly conducted \(^7^2\) as well as the CML generation. Studies have been conducted to quantify CML level in food samples. But to our knowledge, there's no study has been carried out for CML level quantification and influence of food processing conditions in foodborne pathogen S. aureus biofilm. Overall, current results indicated that S. aureus biofilm was sensitive to various temperature, pH and osmotic pressure conditions, which is commonly exist in food processing industry. Specifically, processing conditions of some typical staple food such as noodle, rice cake and preserved fruit, are under thermal, eutrophic and hyper osmosis processing conditions, which may accumulate S. aureus biofilm formation and CML level. Though daily cleansing is supposed to be conducted with regular mechanical cleansing methods (brush and jet cleaning with high temperature and high pressure), evidence has indicated the low efficiency in biofilm removal \(^6\), especially matured biofilm. The remnant nidus leads continuous formation and dispersion of biofilm, thus triggers sustained contamination in processing equipments, which caused the potential safety hazard.

In this study, although strain 120184 was able to develop biofilm and maintain metabolic activity in biofilm, successfully without icaA, whether it was an ica-independent strain still need further investigation.

For CML level in S. aureus biofilm, according to our previous study, active metabolism was conducted inside the biofilm for colony proliferation, eDNA and EPS synthesis, as well as their secretion in maturation stage \(^6^6\), which may lead a dramatic increasement of CML level. However, there's no evidences indicating that the accumulation of CML was accompanied by the metabolic activity of S. aureus biofilm. In fact, the number of live cells and biofilm metabolic activity decrease sharply while biomass of biofilm experienced a great increase since both free and bound CML came to accumulate in a rapid velocity \((1 \text{ d}, \text{ see Fig. } 2)\). S. aureus biofilm turned to form a three-dimensional space structure containing eDNA, skeleton proteins, surface proteins and differentiated cells from maturation stage to maintain the stability, antagonize exogenous disturbances and prepare for the detachment and dispersal. The shield structure maintained integrality of biofilm, in which the bioactive proteins and polysaccharides were in close proximity to each other. With the heat processing of 100 °C for 15 min, CML accumulated inside the biofilm duo to protein and polysaccharide interactions while planktonic cells not, which illustrates a significant gap between free and bound CML level.

In food industry, most of the foodborne pathogens possess the ability of escaping from routine sterilization (such as high temperature and pressure, mechanical flushing) by forming biofilm, especially matured biofilm. Moreover, food components provides a eutrophic environment for biofilm formation, as well as the metabolism inside the biofilm, which leads an accumulation of metabolite such as CML. The most active stage in biofilm formation was 16 h, at which biofilm was at adhesion stage with the rapid proliferation and metabolism inside. The optimal environment for S. aureus biofilm formation was 37 °C, pH 7.0, with the glucose and NaCl concentration of 2% and 10% respectively. Although biofilm maintained resistance to adverse environment \(^6^6\), our study illustrated that S. aureus biofilm was sensitive to various temperature, pH and osmotic pressure conditions, which is commonly exist in food processing industry. Specifically, processing conditions of some typical staple food such as noodle, rice cake and preserved fruit, are under thermal, eutrophic and hyper osmosis processing conditions, which may accumulate S. aureus biofilm formation and CML level. Though daily cleansing is supposed to be conducted with regular mechanical cleansing methods (brush and jet cleaning with high temperature and high pressure), evidence has indicated the low efficiency in biofilm removal \(^6\), especially matured biofilm. The remnant nidus leads continuous formation and dispersion of biofilm, thus triggers sustained contamination in processing equipments, which caused the potential safety hazard.

Identifying icaA and subsequent study of biofilm formation and quantification. The overnight culture of S. aureus was diluted 1:100 into pre-warmed TSB and incubated at 37 °C until exponential growth began. The 200 μL exponential-phase culture was then inoculated into 6-well microtiter polystyrene plates (Corning, USA) for biofilm formation. After incubation for 48 h under 37 °C, the supernatants were removed and washed the plates three times with 200 μL sterile normal saline. Cristal violet (CV) staining and A\(_{540\text{ nm}}\) Assay (Promega, USA) were employed for quantification of biofilm biomass and metabolic activity. Targeting to both viable and non-viable cells, CV is a basic dye that binds to negatively charged molecules present on both the surface of bacteria and the extracellular matrix of biofilm by measuring absorbance at 540 nm. MTT is a yellowish aqueous solution and yields a water insoluble violet-blue formazan if suffer reduction by dehydrogenases and reducing agents present in metabolically active cells. According to several investigations, MTT is mainly reduced with NAD(P)H-dependent cellular oxidoreductase activity (in cytoplasm) and dehydrogenases in active organelles \(^7^3\). Thus, biofilm metabolic activity has been shown to be proportional to production of formazan by measuring absorbance at 490 nm. Besides, CFU counting was employed for free and bound CML quantification of 4506 strain as assistance. For biofilm biomass, 100 μL of 99% methanol was added to each well for a 15 min incubation. After CV staining (150 μL, 0.01%) for 15 min, washing twice with 200 μL sterile normal saline before re-eluting the CV dye with 100% ethanol and shake gently until all crystal violet is dissolved. For biofilm metabolic activity, staining the biofilm with MTT working solution (150 μL, 1:10 diluted) in dark for 2 h after removing loosely attached biofilm cells. Optical density (OD) represented biomass and metabolic activity under OD\(_{540\text{ nm}}\) and OD\(_{490\text{ nm}}\). All the
operations above were proceeded under room temperature unless otherwise noted. The absorbance of an uninoculated well as a negative control (OD₄₅₀) should be subtracted from the value of the inoculated wells. The following classification was applied for biofilm biomass and metabolic activity determination: no biofilm production (OD ≤ OD₄₅₀), weak biofilm production (OD₄₅₀ < OD ≤ 2OD₄₅₀), moderate biofilm production (2OD₄₅₀ < OD ≤ 4OD₄₅₀) and strong biofilm production (4OD₄₅₀ < OD)². 

Preparation and pretreatment of *S. aureus* biofilm. *S. aureus* biofilm was incubated under 37 °C for certain time (8 h, 16 h, 1 d, 2 d, 3 d, 7 d and 14 d) before submitting to HPLC-MS analysis. Six-well polystyrene plate was slightly tilted to remove the planktonic bacteria and liquids. Biofilm was collected into ultrapure water by scraping with pipette tips after washed twice with ultrapure water. The solution of biofilm samples were sucked out and stored in sterile PE tube under ~4 °C for the subsequent test.

For free CML analysis, the biofilm sample was dissolved by heating process (100 °C, 15 min) which is common employed as food processing condition. The solution was then loaded into the conditioned 13C SPE column (2,000 mg/12 mL, Agela Technologies, China), which was then eluted with ultrapure water. The eluent was collected and filtered with nylon 0.22μm membrane before submitting to HPLC-MS analysis. For bound CML analysis, modified pretreatment procedures was employed in this study based on Assar’s protocol. Biofilm sample was dissolved by heating process (100 °C, 15 min), which was mixed with sodium borate buffer (0.5 M, pH 9.2) to a final concentration of 0.2 M. Sodium borohydride (2 M in 0.1 M NaOH) was added to reach a final concentration of 0.1 M, followed by vortexing for 30 s and stand for 4 h. Adding trichloroacetic acid (TCA, 60%, v/v) to a final concentration for 20%. After a 30 min standing, solution was vortexing for 15 min under 4 °C, 7000 rpm for protein extraction and repeated twice for purification. Extracted proteins and peptides were hydrolyzed in 6 M HCl at 110 °C for 24 h before blowing by Nitrogen Evaporators (N-EV, Organomation, USA) and re-dissolved by ultrapure water. HCl was then removed under vacuum and hydrolysate was purified by 18C SPE column, dried under vacuum and dissolved in 300 μL methanol-distilled water (1:9, v/v) prior to analysis by HPLC-MS. All the operations above were proceeded under room temperature unless otherwise noted.

Quantification of CML by HPLC-MS. The HPLC-MS method for CML analysis has been well described in several studies. In this study, HPLC (Waters 1525, Waters, USA) tandem single quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Micromass ZQ, Waters, USA) was employed for free and bound CML quantification. Atlantis 18C column (150 × 4.6mm, 5 μm particle size, Waters, USA) was selected as separation chromatographic column for HPLC with a mixture of methanol-water-formic acid (10:90:0.1, v/v/v) was selected as mobile phase of 0.5 mL/min flow rate. Separated analytes were detected by the MS operated in electrospays ionization (ESI) positive mode with single ion recording (SIR). Significant MS operating parameters were as follows: capillary voltage 3.0 kV, cone voltage 20 V, source temperature 100 °C and desolvation temperature 300 °C. The mass charge ratio of CML was 205 (M₊). The matrix effects in biofilm samples (mixing four representative commercial brand at equal amounts) prepared by the pretreatment methods for free CML analysis and the optimal pretreatment conditions for bound CML analysis were investigated under the above HPLC-MS conditions. All of these prepared biofilm samples had no significantly matrix effects (P > 0.05, data not shown). The injection volume was 10 μL with a retention time of CML 3.3 min. The mass spectrum was calibrated with CML standard substance at levels of 0.5 μg/mL, 1 μg/mL, 10 μg/mL, 50 μg/mL and 100 μg/mL to obtain standard curve. Analytes were quantified by reference to an external standard calibration curve.

Influence of food processing conditions to *S. aureus* biofilm. To investigate the influence of food processing environment to *S. aureus* biofilm, strain 4506 was submitted to biofilm incubation under simulated typical food processing environment including pH (5.0, 6.0, 7.0 and 8.0), glucose (0.5%, 1.0%, 2.0%, 3.0%, 5.0% and 10.0%, m/v), NaCl (10.0%, 15.0% and 20.0%, m/v) and temperature (25 °C, 31 °C, 37 °C, 42 °C and 65 °C) for 8 h, 16 h, 1 d, 2 d, 3 d, 7 d and 14 d respectively. Biofilm incubation condition and quantification of biomass and metabolic activity with CV and MTT staining assays were described above.

Statistical Analysis. All experiments were performed in triplicate and reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical treatments and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were carried out by Microsoft Excel (v2013), Origin Pro (v8.0) and SPSS (v19.0). Significant differences were defined as p < 0.05 and were based on one-way ANOVA.
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