Normal adult survival but reduced *Bemisia tabaci* oviposition rate on tomato lines carrying an introgression from *S. habrochaites*
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Abstract

**Background:** Host plant resistance has been proposed as one of the most promising approaches in whitefly management. Already in 1995 two quantitative trait loci (*Tv-1* and *Tv-2*) originating from *S. habrochaites* CGN1.1561 were identified that reduced the oviposition rate of the greenhouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes vaporariorum*). After this first study, several others identified QTLs affecting whitefly biology as well. Generally, the QTLs affecting oviposition were highly correlated with a reduction in whitefly survival and the presence of high densities of glandular trichomes type IV. The aim of our study was to further characterize *Tv-1* and *Tv-2*, and to determine their role in resistance against *Bemisia tabaci*.

**Results:** We selected F$_2$ plants homozygous for the *Tv-1* and *Tv-2* QTL regions and did three successive backcrosses without phenotypic selection. Twenty-three F$_2$ BC$_3$ plants were phenotyped for whitefly resistance and differences were found in oviposition rate of *B. tabaci*. The F$_2$ BC$_3$ plants with the lowest oviposition rate had an introgression on Chromosome 5 in common. Further F$_2$BC$_4$, F$_2$BC$_3$S$_1$, and F$_2$BC$_3$S$_2$ families were developed, genotyped and phenotyped for adult survival, oviposition rate and trichome type and density. It was possible to confirm that an introgression on top of Chr. 5 (OR-5), between the markers rs-2009 and rs-7551, was responsible for reducing whitefly oviposition rate.

**Conclusion:** We found a region of 3.06 Mbp at the top of Chr. 5 (OR-5) associated with a reduction in the oviposition rate of *B. tabaci*. This reduction was independent of the presence of the QTLs *Tv-1* and *Tv-2* as well as of the presence of trichomes type IV. The OR-5 locus will provide new opportunities for resistance breeding against whiteflies, which is especially relevant in greenhouse cultivation.
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Background

Tomato is one of the most important vegetables worldwide. It is host for a broad range of pathogens and pests. Among the pests affecting tomato production whiteflies are the most important in terms of costs and distribution. There are more than 1500 species of whiteflies [1], of which *Bemisia tabaci* Group Mediterranean-Middle East-Asia Minor I and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Westwood) are the biggest threats in commercial tomato production. *Bemisia tabaci* affects tomato production directly (i.e. phloem consumption, irregular ripening of the fruits) and indirectly (virus transmission) causing yield losses that can range from 50% to 100% of the potential production [2,3]. Among the possible control methods, host plant resistance has been proposed as one of the most promising for insect pest management [4,5]. Resistance to whiteflies was found in several wild relatives of tomato (*Solanum pennellii*, *S. habrochaites*, *S. lycopersicum var. cerasiforme*, *S. pimpinellifolium*, *S. galapagense*) [6-14]. In these species, whitefly resistance is associated with the presence of high densities of glandular trichomes (type I, IV and VI) and with the presence of specific secondary metabolites (a.o. 7-epizingiberene, 2-tridecanone, and acyl sugars) [14-17]. The species *S. habrochaites* contains accessions
(formerly known as *Lycopersicon hirsutum fr. glabratum*) that accumulate methyl ketones, of which the synthesis is located in the glandular head of type Vlc trichomes [18-20]. *Solanum habrochaites* also contains accessions (formerly known as *Lycopersicon hirsutum fr. typicum*) that accumulate sesquiterpenes which are synthesised in type IV trichomes [17]. In *S. pennellii*, *S. pimpinellifolium* and *S. galapagense* the synthesis of acyl sugars is associated with the presence in high densities of trichomes type IV [12,15,16,21]. Although also some accessions of *S. cheesmamiae* accumulate high levels of acyl sugars, they lack type IV trichomes [14]. The *Mli-2* gene, which confers resistance to several species of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) [22], plays a role in the resistance against insects, e.g. some isolates of potato aphid (*Macrosiphum euphorbiae* Thomas) [23,24], the sweet potato whitefly (*B. tabaci*) [25] and the tomato psyllid (*Bactericera cockerelli*) [26]. This resistance is independent of the presence of glandular trichomes and acyl sugar concentration [27].

QTL mapping studies have been carried out to identify genomic regions involved in whitefly resistance. Maliepaard *et al.* [28] focused on resistance against the greenhouse whitefly *T. vaporariorum* (Westwood) from *S. habrochaites* (CGN1.1561) and identified two QTLs reducing whitefly oviposition rate (*Tv-1* on Chr. 1 and *Tv-2* on Chr. 12) together with two QTLs related to trichome type IV density (*TriIV-1* on Chr. 5 and *TriIV-2* on Chr. 9) and one QTL for trichome type VI density (*TriVI-1* on Chr. 1). After this first study, others have explored different resistance sources and more QTLs were identified. A summary of the QTLs related to whitefly resistance in tomato is given in Table 1. The use of backcross introgression lines (ILs) was also proposed as a method to identify genomic regions important for whitefly resistance. These ILs helped to identify regions and genes involved in traits related to insect resistance, like the production of monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes and acyl sugars [29-32]. However, they failed to identify regions associated to whitefly resistance in terms of adult survival or oviposition rate [33], supporting the observations from the QTL mapping studies that whitefly resistance is polygenic inherited and possibly epistatic interactions play a role as well. Except the QTLs described by Maliepaard *et al.* [28], all other QTLs affecting whitefly oviposition were highly correlated with a reduction in whitefly survival and/or to high densities of trichomes type IV, suggesting that the low oviposition rate is the consequence of a low survival rate [16,33,34]. To study resistance mechanisms affecting whitefly oviposition rate exclusively, we focused on the further characterization of the QTLs identified by Maliepaard *et al.* [28], and determined their role in resistance against *Bemisia tabaci.*

### Methods

#### Plant materials and growing conditions

The study was based on the F2 offspring population that was created by Maliepaard *et al.* [28], it was obtained by self-pollination of a single F1 plant that was derived from a cross between *S. lycopersicum* (cv. Moneymaker) and *S. habrochaites* (CGN1.1561). We have sown again individuals of this F2 population and selected plants that were homozygous for either one or both QTLs associated to a reduction in oviposition rate using Cleaved Amplified Polymorphisms (CAPs) markers (Table 2). The selected F2, BC1 and BC2 plants were backcrossed with *S. lycopersicum* (cv. Moneymaker) for three generations. Plants were chosen containing at least one of the markers flanking the QTLs. The obtained F2BC3 and F2BC4 families were genotyped and phenotyped for adult survival and oviposition rate. Selected F2BC3 plants were selfed to obtain F3BC3S1 plants and F3BC3S2, which were also genotyped and phenotyped. An overview of the material development is shown in Figure 1.

The tomato plants were grown in a greenhouse in Wageningen, The Netherlands. (20 ± 2°C, 70% RH, 16/8 h day/night) used during the infestation. The tomato plants were grown in a greenhouse in Wageningen, The Netherlands. (20 ± 2°C, 70% RH, 16/8 h day/night) used during the infestation that took place one week after transfer.

#### Insect rearing

A non-viruliferous whitefly rearing (*Bemisia tabaci* Group Mediterranean-Middle East-Asia Minor I) was maintained on the susceptible tomato cultivar Moneymaker at Wageningen UR Plant Breeding, Wageningen, The Netherlands. The initial inoculum was obtained from a rearing at the Laboratory of Entomology, Wageningen UR, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

#### No-choice experiment

Whiteflies (four days old) were anesthetized using CO2. Five females were selected under a binocular and put in a clip-on cage (2.5 cm diameter and 1.0 cm high). Three cages per plant were attached to the first to third fully expanded leaf counting from the top. Five days after inoculation, the number of living and dead whiteflies was recorded and living whiteflies were removed. The number of eggs was counted, and the Oviposition rate (OR) and Adult survival (AS) were calculated according to Bas *et al.* [36]. In these calculations mortality is assumed constant over time [37]. For the analysis of AS in the F2BC3 population, a Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance
was used [38]. A square root transformation was applied to oviposition rate (OR) prior to the data analysis and analysed by one-way ANOVA followed by a least significant difference (LSD) test.

DNA isolation and genotyping
Genomic DNA was extracted from young leaflets using the micro-prep DNA extraction protocol [39]. The DNA concentration was adjusted to 50 ng/ul. For molecular marker analysis, three types of marker assays were used: CAPs, a custom made Infinium bead array and KASPar (KBiosciences Competitive Allele-Specific PCR).

For CAPs the PCR reactions were carried out in a final volume of 20 μl, containing 50 ng of genomic DNA, 0.04 μl of DreamTaq polymerase (Fermentas), 2 μl 10X DreamTaq buffer (Fermentas), 0.4 μl of dNTP (5 mM) and 1 μM of each primer (20 pmol). The cycling profile was: 94°C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. Aliquots (5 μl) of the

| Trait                  | QTL | Chr. | Resistance donor | % Explained | References |
|------------------------|-----|------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| Adult survival (B. tabaci) |  Wf-1 | 2    | S. galapagense (PRI95004) | 54.1 | [16] |
|                        | Wf-2 | 9    |                  | 14.8 |            |
|                        | Wf-I | 1    | S. pennelli (LA3791) | 12.1 | [34] |
|                        | Wf-II | 3   |                  | 15.6 |            |
|                        | Wf-IV | 4   |                  | 12.3-30.7 |            |
|                        | Wf-VI | 6   |                  | 10.1 |            |

Oviposition rate (B. tabaci)
| QTL | Chr. | Resistance donor | % Explained | References |
|-----|------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| Wf-1 | 2    | S. galapagense (PRI95004) | 41.7 | [16] |
| Wf-2 | 9    |                  | 11.1 |            |
| R2/9 | 9    | S. habrochaites (LA1777) | 55.2 | [33] |
| R1/10 | 10  |                  | 15 |            |
| R3/11a | 11  |                  | 52.9 |            |
| R4/11b | 11  |                  | 43.3 |            |
| Wf-IV | 4    | S. pennelli (LA3791) | 10.3-29.6 | [34] |
| Wf-VI | 6    |                  | 13.9 |            |
| Wf-X | 10   |                  | 10 |            |

Oviposition rate (T. vaporariorum)
| QTL | Chr. | Resistance donor | % Explained | References |
|-----|------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| Tv-1 | 1    | S. habrochaites (CGN1.1561) | 6.4 | [28] |
| Tv-2 | 12   |                  | 8 |            |

Pre-adult survival (B. tabaci)
| QTL | Chr. | Resistance donor | % Explained | References |
|-----|------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| Wf-1 | 2    | S. galapagense (PRI95004) | 13.3 | [16] |
| Wf-2 | 9    |                  | 8.7 |            |
| TrIV-1 | 5   | S. habrochaites (CGN1.1561) | n.d. | [28] |
| TrIV-2 | 9   |                  | n.d. |            |
| R2/9 | 9    | S. habrochaites (LA1777) | 69.7 | [33] |
| R1/10 | 10  |                  | 22.5 |            |
| R3/11a | 11  |                  | 69 |            |
| R4/11b | 11  |                  | n.d. |            |
| TA2A | 2    | S. pennelli (LA0716) | 2.6 | [35] |
| 3A | 3    |                  | 5.1 |            |
| TA4 | 4    |                  | 5.2 |            |
| 6A | 6    |                  | 4.7 |            |
| 7B | 7    |                  | 2.8 |            |
| 10A | 10   |                  | 4.6 |            |
| 11A | 11   |                  | 8.1 |            |

Density of trichome type VI
| QTL | Chr. | Resistance donor | % Explained | References |
|-----|------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| TrIV-1 | 1   | S. habrochaites (CGN1.1561) | n.d. | [28] |

% Explained = percentage of variance explained by the QTL.
amplified products were digested for at least one hour at 37°C in a final volume of 15 μl with 0.5 μl of the appropriate restriction enzyme, using the buffer recommended by the supplier. Amplification and digestion products were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5% TBE, agarose) and visualized by GelRed® staining. In Table 2 the primer sequences and the restriction enzymes used are shown.

For genome wide SNP marker analysis, an Infinium bead array was used [40]. On this array, 5528 tomato SNPs were present. Marker analysis was carried out by Service XS Leiden, The Netherlands, according to the Illumina® Infinium HD Ultra Assay protocol (www.illumina.com). After removing missing data and monomorphic markers, 1166 SNP markers were used in the analysis. For fine mapping of the target regions, we developed KASPar assays based on SNP markers that were on the array. The chromosomal positions are according to International Tomato Annotation Group (ITAG) Release 2, official annotations on the SL2.31 version of the tomato genome [41] (www.solgenomics.net). The sequences flanking the SNPs can be found on http://www.plantbreeding.wur.nl/Publications/SNP/4072SNP-Sequences.xlsx [39]. The KASPar assays were run by the van Haeringen lab (VHL), Wageningen, the Netherlands.

**Trichome description**

Trichomes present on the abaxial side of the leaf were classified according to type [42]. For an estimation of trichome density, the abaxial part of three leaflets was observed under a binocular microscope and a visual scale was used to describe it. The scale used was adapted from Simmons and Gurr [43] and consisted of four categories: 3, Abundant (>5 per mm²); 2, sparse (5–1 per mm²); 1, very sparse (<1 per mm²), and 0, absent.

---

**Table 2 Primers for CAPs analysis**

| Marker name | Chr | Primer sequence | Restriction enzyme |
|-------------|-----|-----------------|--------------------|
| TG59        | 1   | AACTCTAGCTGCACTGCTG | Hpa II            |
|             |     | CTGAAGCTCACCCTGGAGGTG |                |
| TG17        | 1   | GGTCTTCCCTCGTCAT | HpyCH4 IV         |
|             |     | GTATCTGTCCTCGCTTACCG |                |
| CD2         | 12  | CAGCCTGAACCTCCACTACCA | Mwo I          |
|             |     | GGGCTTGAAGAACTGCACTC |                |
| TG68        | 12  | TGGTATACACCTGCCCTTACATA | Dale I        |
|             |     | CATGTCAGGGGATTTGAACA |                |
GC-MS analysis

The F$_2$BC$_3$$_S_2$ plant were analysed for the presence and concentration of methylketones. From each plant one complete leaf (second fully expanded leaf from the top of the plant) was cut, placed immediately into an aluminium envelope and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Each sample was ground to a freeze-dried powder and stored at −80°C until processing. Tree biological replicas were used for the analysis. Each replica consisted on the mix of five plants per recombinant class. Per replica, 400 mg of leaf powder was put into a reaction tube with 3 ml of anhydrous dichloromethane (>99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich) as solvent and 0.75 µg per ml heptadecanoic acid methyl ester was added as internal standard. The samples were homogenized using a vortex and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was filtered through a soft glass column (Pasteur capillary pipette), which contained 1 cm of silanized glass wool fibres and 2 cm sodium sulphate (Na$_2$SO$_4$) powder as filter. Samples were injected using a 7683 series B injector (Agilent Technologies) into a 7890 A GC (Agilent Technologies) coupled to a 5975 C MSD (Agilent Technologies). Chromatography was performed using a Zb-5MS column (Phenomenex, 30 m, 0.25 mm inner diameter, and 0.25 µm film thickness) with 5 m retention gap. Injection temperature was 250°C, and temperature of column was programmed at 45°C for 1 min, increased by 10°C min$^{-1}$ to 300°C, and kept at 300°C for 7 min. Column flow was set at 1 ml min$^{-1}$, using Helium as carrier. The column effluent was ionised and mass spectra was obtained from 35–400 m/z. MetAlign metabolomics software package (www.metalign.nl) was used to perform peak alignment and noise reduction, and MSClust software package (www.metalign.nl) was used for data reduction by clustering several peaks into putative metabolites. Putative metabolites were identified corresponding the obtained mass spectra to the NIST library (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), the Wiley online library, and the Wageningen Natural compounds spectral library. Prior to statistical analysis, the metabolites were Log transformed and auto scaled to the mean. To select metabolite compounds putatively related to whitefly preference a t-test, followed by False Discovery Rate correction [44].

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical procedures were performed using the statistical software package GenStat 16th edition. A T-test followed by a False discovery Rate [44] was done per marker to define the region associated to the reduced oviposition rate.

**Results**

Plant material development started from F$_2$ plants containing Tv-1, Tv-2 or both using the markers shown in Table 2. Three successive marker assisted backcrosses were carried out with selection for the presence of at least one of the markers linked to the QTL (Figure 1). Twenty-three F$_2$BC$_3$ plants were randomly selected for phenotyping and genotyping to confirm the presence of Tv-1 and Tv-2. As reference lines, we included S. habrochaites (CGN1.1561) and S. lycopersicum cv. Moneymaker. Accession CGN1.1561 showed low values for adult survival (AS, 0.1 ± 0.21 females/day) and oviposition rate (OR, 0.2 ± 0.30 eggs/female/day), and cv. Moneymaker showed high values for adult survival (AS, 1.0 ± 0.01 females/day) and oviposition rate (OR, 5.5 ± 0.72 eggs/female/day). Among the twenty-three F$_2$BC$_3$, variation was found for both parameters. For AS, only three F$_2$BC$_3$ plants were significantly different from cv. Moneymaker (Figure 2). Whereas, for OR a gradient was observed, with fourteen F$_2$BC$_3$ plants showing statistically significant lower values than cv. Moneymaker (Figure 2). To determine the position and size of the introgressions, the twenty-three F$_2$BC$_3$ plants were genotyped using an Infinium bead array [40]. Several plants had an introgression of Tt-1, Tt-2 or parts thereof. None of the plants had the complete Tt-1 and Tt-2 region as defined by Maliepaard et al. [28] (Figure 2). The four F$_2$BC$_3$ plants with the lowest OR (PV101092-2, PV101088-2, PV101087-3 and PV101088-5) shared an introgression on Chr. 5, but had differences in the presence of the regions Tt-1 and/or Tt-2. One plant (PV101088-8) had the same introgression on Chr. 5, but the OR was not significantly different from cv. Moneymaker (Figure 2).

To further investigate the effect of the introgression on Chr. 5, five F$_2$BC$_3$ plants (PV101092-2, PV101088-2, PV101087-3, PV101093-1, PV101087-2) were selected based on OR, the presence/absence of Tt-1, Tt-2 and the presence of the introgression on Chr. 5. The plants PV101092-2, PV101088-2, PV101087-3, contained the Chr. 5 (61.27 Mbp) introgression, whereas it was smaller in PV101087-2 and not present in PV101093-1. The five plants have varying parts of Tt-1 and Tt-2 or lack these completely (PV101088-2, Figure 2). The plants were backcrossed with cv. Moneymaker to generate five F$_3$BC$_4$ families. All F$_3$BC$_4$ plants plus parental plants, CGN1.1561 and cv. Moneymaker were genotyped for Tt-1, Tt-2 and the introgression on Chr. 5, and phenotyped for adult survival, oviposition rate, trichome type and trichome density. Figure 3 shows the distribution for AS and OR and the link to the respective F$_2$BC$_3$ line. Clear differences were seen between cv. Moneymaker and CGN1.1561 for AS (P < 0.01) and OR (P < 0.01). In the studied F$_3$BC$_4$ plants, there was mainly segregation for OR with the parents on the extremes of the distribution. Genotyping showed that from the offspring of PV101088-2 (renamed to PV101392) four of the five sibling plants were heterozygous for the region on Chr. 5.
These plants had an OR level comparable to CGN1.1561, the remaining plant of the five (PV101392-2), lacked the CGN1.1561 allele and had a high OR (Figure 4). To investigate a possible relation between the reduction in OR and the presence of glandular trichomes, the presence/density was determined on the parental lines and the F$_2$BC$_4$ plants. Accession CGN1.1561 was the only one with trichomes type IV and VIc, whereas the F$_2$BC$_4$ plants and cv. Moneymaker had mainly trichomes type V and VIa. No differences were seen in the density of trichome type VIa among the F$_2$BC$_4$ plants and cv. Moneymaker.

As the offspring of PV101392 showed a low OR and lacked the $\text{Tv-1}$ and $\text{Tv-2}$ region (Figure 4), we focussed on the introgression on Chr. 5. To find offspring plants with a smaller introgression on Chr. 5, PV101392-1, PV101392-3, PV101392-4 and PV101392-5 were selfed. Of the 275 F$_2$BC$_4$S$_1$ offspring plants, 33 plants out of 61 recombinants were selected based on length differences of the introgressed region, as judged from marker analysis. The genotyping results (grouped by introgression length) and phenotyping results (OR) are shown in Figure 5. With the F$_2$BC$_4$S$_1$ we could narrow down this introgression to a 3.06 Mbp region between the markers rs2009 (4.76 Mbp) and rs2071 (7.83 Mbp).

To further fine map and confirm the effect of the introgression on Chr. 5, eight F$_2$BC$_4$S$_2$ plants (PV121430-4, PV121430-11, PV121433-30, PV121430-89, PV121432-26, PV121433-29, PV121433-53 and PV121434-57) with a low OR and heterozygous for parts of this region in Chr. 5 were selfed. Of the 295 F$_2$BC$_4$S$_2$, 77 plants out of 154 recombinants were phenotyped based on length differences of the introgressed region, as judged from marker analysis. The results grouped by introgression length are shown in Figure 6. The F$_2$BC$_4$S$_2$ plants with a $\text{S. habrochaites}$ (CGN 1.1561) introgression on Chr. 5, between the markers rs2009 (4.76 Mbp) and rs2093 (11.8 Mbp), had an OR similar to the low levels of CGN1.1561 and in the case of plants with the cv. Moneymaker allele homozygous present, the OR was higher (Figure 6). Some of the F$_2$BC$_4$S$_2$ had a reduced adult survival; however, AS and OR were not strongly correlated ($R = 0.43$) having plants with the introgression on Chr. 5 and with AS levels comparable to those found on cv. Moneymaker and with a significant lower OR. In the F$_2$BC$_4$S$_2$, no plants were found with a further smaller introgression.
Because CGN1.1561 is member of the group of *S. habrochaites* accessions that accumulate methyl ketones, the $F_2 BC_4$ families were analysed for the presence of those compounds (2-Tridecanone, 2-Undecanone, 2-Pentadecanone and 2-Dodecanone). The accession CGN1.1561 had all these methyl ketones in higher relative abundance compared to cv. Moneymaker and to the $F_2 BC_4 S_2$ families (Additional file 1: Table S1). In addition, there were no differences on the relative abundance of these methyl ketones among the $F_2 BC_4 S_2$ families and cv. Moneymaker.

**Discussion**

**An introgression on Chromosome 5 (OR-5) reduces whitefly oviposition rate**

Using $F_2 BC_3$ plants, we identified a *S. habrochaites* (CGN1.1561) introgression on the short arm of Chr. 5 (hereafter called OR-5), which confers a reduction in *B. tabaci* oviposition rate. By analysing $F_2 BC_4$, $F_2 BC_4 S_1$ and $F_2 BC_4 S_2$ populations, we could confirm that this introgression of 3.06 Mbp is causing the reduced whitefly oviposition rate. The reduction in oviposition caused by the
Presence of OR-5 is independent of adult survival and the presence of trichome type IV. Plants were found on which all whiteflies were alive but a reduction in oviposition was observed (Figure 3) and none of the plants had the sticky trichomes type IV. The plants homozygous for the *S. habrochaites* (CGN1.1561) allele in the F$_2$BC$_4$S$_2$ had a higher OR compared to plants heterozygous for this allele. This effect of over dominance might indicate an interaction between the *S. habrochaites* (CGN1.1561) and the *S. lycopersicum* allele. It would also implicate that the high level of resistance in terms of low AS and OR found in CGN1.1561 is the result of epistatic interaction between different genes. In the F$_2$BC$_3$ population only one plant had the OR-5 region but with OR levels similar to cv. Moneymaker. This result may be explained in several ways. First, there is the chance of a double recombination in the OR-5 region. However, no double recombination event was detected in this plant with the Infinium bead array. Secondly, there is the possibility of an epistatic effect between OR-5 and a locus different from *Tv-1* or *Tv-2*. Finally, there is always the possibility of a phenotyping artefact.

### Selection of the chromosome 5 region

For the selection of the F$_2$BC$_3$ plants, we used markers that are linked to the loci *Tv-1* and *Tv-2* loci, which are located on Chr. 1 and 12 respectively. It is therefore remarkable that we ended up with an introgression on Chr. 5, which had never actively been selected for. This may be explained by starting with F$_2$ plants containing the introgression on Chr. 5 either homozygous or heterozygous (3 out of 4 plants have the introgression). The chance that plants in the F$_2$BC$_3$ still possess the introgression is 1 out of 4 or 8, which is more or less the

| Chr. Position (bp) | Marker | CGN1.1561 | PV101392-5 | PV101392-2 | PV101392-3 | PV101392-4 | cv. Moneymaker |
|-------------------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| 1                 | rs1675 | b         | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
|                   | 3676920| b         | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
|                   | 81791824| b        | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
|                   | 85528368| b        | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
|                   | 89401856| b        | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
| 5                 | rs7392 | b         | h          | h          | h          | h          | a             |
|                   | 2861323| b         | h          | h          | h          | h          | a             |
|                   | 5788106| b         | h          | h          | h          | h          | a             |
|                   | 22506652| b        | h          | h          | h          | h          | a             |
|                   | 41608296| b        | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
|                   | 60657760| b        | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
| 12                | rs7045 | b         | a          | a          | a          | n.d.       | a             |
|                   | 1441274| b         | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
|                   | 2735450| b         | n.d.       | n.d.       | a          | n.d.       | a             |
|                   | 14640635| b        | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |
|                   | 62447828| b        | a          | a          | a          | a          | a             |

Figure 4 Genotype and Phenotype of F$_2$BC$_3$ PV101088-2 siblings. Oviposition rate (mean ± standard error) and marker data for 5 F$_2$BC$_3$ siblings from the F$_2$BC$_3$ PV101088-2 line are given. Asterisks indicate statistical significance according LSD (P = 0.05). Marker score nomenclature: a = homozygous cv. Moneymaker allele; b = homozygous *S. habrochaites* (CGN 1.1561) allele; h = heterozygous; n.d. = no data. Chromosomal positions are according to International Tomato Annotation Group (ITAG) Release 2, official annotations on the SL2.31 can be found in [41], marker sequences in [40].
number of Chromosome 5 containing F$_2$BC$_3$ plants that we found. The fact that Maliepaard et al. [28] did not detect the QTL for OR could be caused by the different whitefly species used (T. vaporariorum vs. B. tabaci). Different insect species or biotypes may react differently to the same host plant or odour blend, resulting in different behaviour. For example, glucosinolates can confer resistance to some insects, whereas they can be used as host and strong oviposition cues for others [45]. In the case of whiteflies, differences were seen when compared the feeding behaviour of the Q and the B-biotype on the same host plant [46]. Also, tomato plants carrying the Mi1-2 gene were in general more resistance to the Q-biotype than to the B-biotype [47].

Nature of the resistance provided by OR-5

Several QTLs related to whitefly resistance have been identified on Chr. 5 (Table 1). Maliepaard et al. [28] found in the region of OR-5 a QTL (TriIV-1) that increases the density of trichomes type IV. However, we did not detect any type IV trichomes on the F$_2$BC$_4$ plants containing the OR-5 introgression. In a backcross population of potato ((S. tuberosum × S. berthaultii) × S. berthaultii) a region on Chr. 5 was associated with a reduction in the oviposition rate and leaf consumption by the Colorado potato beetle (Leptinotarsa decemlineata) [48]. This region also had a large effect on the density of the glandular secretory type B trichome (LOD: 19.17, explaining 35.6% of the variance), furthermore differences in the sucrose ester levels and in the presence of droplet (exudate) on the tip of the trichomes were associated with this region on Chr. 5 [48]. For S. pennellii, two QTLs were described on Chr. 5 that are involved in acyl sugar metabolism, one (TA5) related to the total accumulation of acyl sugars and another (5) related to the proportion of 7-methyloctanonate and 9-methyleneoctanolate fatty acids that are incorporated into acyl sugars [49,50]. To check if acyl sugars were related to the reduction in oviposition rate an LC-MS chromatography analysis was done on the F$_2$BC$_3$S$_2$ plants [14]. No differences were found among the F$_2$BC$_3$S$_2$ plants on the levels of acyls sugars, pointing to a different mechanism of resistance in this plant material specifically affecting whitefly oviposition rate (data not shown). As the parental accession CGN1.1561 accumulates methyl ketones, we also analysed the offspring for the presence of 2-Tridecanone, 2-Undecanone, 2-Pentadecanone and 2-Dodecanone. None of these compounds was detected at elevated levels in the offspring, excluding the option that these methyl ketones may explain the observed reduction in oviposition rate. On the 3.06
Mb introgression of OR-5 are 258 annotated genes including R-genes, transcription factors, genes involved in acyl sugar and terpenoid metabolism which can be considered as candidate genes for reduced oviposition. To reduce the list of candidate genes and find the gene(s) responsible for the lower OR further fine mapping and functional analysis, including more detailed metabolomics is needed. However, considering the lack of recombinants found in the F\textsubscript{2}BC\textsubscript{4}S\textsubscript{2} populations between the markers rs-7543 (5.79 Mbp) and rs-7551 (6.15 Mbp), it might be difficult to reduce the size of the introgression.

**Perspectives of OR-5 for breeding whitefly resistant varieties**

Since the late nineties of the 20th century, the efforts to get whitefly resistant tomatoes have increased considerably, but so far they have been unsuccessful [4]. The screening of genetic resources for novel whitefly resistance mechanisms has increased, going from distant wild relatives of tomato (i.e. *S. pennellii*, *S. habrochaites*) to in depth studies of several accessions of closely related species (i.e. *S. galapagensis*, *S. pimpinellifolium*) [7-9,11,13,14]. These efforts have led to the identification of specific secondary metabolites conferring resistance to whiteflies (methyl ketones, sesquiterpenes, and acyl sugars) [12,15,51], the identification of QTLs related to resistance [16,28,33], and in some cases to the genes involved in the synthesis of resistance related metabolites [17,19,20,30,31]. The identification of OR-5, affecting specifically whitefly oviposition rate and independent of the presence of trichome type IV, opens new opportunities for breeding. The OR-5 region is expected to reduce population development of *B. tabaci* strongly. As the reduction in oviposition is not linked to the sticky trichomes type I and IV, and the known negative effect of this type of resistance on parasitoids and predators [43,52-54], it can be expected that this resistance will be very suitable in combination with biological control. On varieties containing the OR-5 region the *B. tabaci* population development will be slowed down giving the natural enemies ample opportunity to keep the population below threshold levels or even to remove developing whiteflies. Therefore, the gene will in particular be useful in protected tomato production.

**Figure 6 Corroboration of role of OR-5.** Oviposition rate (mean ± standard error) and marker data of F\textsubscript{2}BC\textsubscript{4}S\textsubscript{2} plants grouped by introgressed fragment, based on marker scores. Different letters in oviposition rate graph indicate statistical significance according LSD (P = 0.05). The number of plants per specific introgression fragment is shown in brackets. Marker score nomenclature: a = homozygous cv. Moneymaker allele; b = homozygous *S. habrochaites* (CGN 1.1561) allele; h = heterozygous. Chromosomal positions are according to International Tomato Annotation Group (ITAG) Release 2, official annotations on the SL2.31 can be found in [41], marker sequences in [40].
conditions (greenhouse cultivation). For open field production, the resistances based on trichomes type I and IV will be more suitable [16].

Conclusions
We identified a region at the top of Chr. 5 (OR-5), which is associated with a reduction in the oviposition rate of B. tabaci. This reduction was independent of the presence of the QTLs Tvl-1 and Tvl-2 that were identified previously [28], as well as of the presence of trichomes type IV. The OR-5 locus will provide new opportunities for resistance breeding against whiteflies, which is especially relevant in greenhouse cultivation.
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