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**Abstract**

In the initial step of sugar metabolism, sugar-specific transporters play a decisive role in the passage of sugars through plasma membranes into cytoplasm. The SecY complex (SecYEG) in bacteria forms a membrane channel responsible for protein translocation. The present work shows that permeabilized SecY channels can be used as nonspecific sugar transporters in *Escherichia coli*. SecY with the plug domain deleted allowed the passage of glucose, fructose, mannose, xylose, and arabinose, and, with additional pore-ring mutations, facilitated lactose transport, indicating that sugar passage via permeabilized SecY was independent of sugar stereospecificity. The engineered *E. coli* showed rapid growth on a wide spectrum of monosaccharides and benefited from the elimination of transport saturation, improvement in sugar tolerance, reduction in competitive inhibition, and prevention of carbon catabolite repression, which are usually encountered with native sugar uptake systems. The SecY channel is widespread in prokaryotes, so other bacteria may also be engineered to utilize this system for sugar uptake. The SecY channel thus provides a unique sugar passageway for future development of robust cell factories for biotechnological applications.
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**1 | INTRODUCTION**

Sugars are the major carbon and energy sources for living organisms. Thus, transport of sugars across the plasma membrane into the cytoplasm is an essential cell biochemical function that relies on numerous sugar-specific transport systems (L. Q. Chen, Cheung, Feng, Tanner, & Frommer, 2015; Cirillo, 1961; Reinhold & Kaplan, 1984). *Escherichia coli* is a gram-negative, facultatively anaerobic bacterium, which is one of the most important organisms for metabolic engineering because of its rapid growth, the hereditary information, and the well-developed genetic tools available (Pontrelli et al., 2018). Given the widespread interests in biotechnological applications, sugar uptake routes in *E. coli* have been intensively investigated (Luo, Zhang, & Wu, 2014). However, the sugar transporters with broad sugar profiles are still unavailable.

Sugar transport involves active and passive mechanisms. Active transport requires metabolic energy for passage of molecules across the plasma membrane against a concentration gradient, whereas passive transport moves molecules from a higher to lower concentrations without the need for energy input. Passive transport can also be classified as facilitated diffusion and free diffusion. Active transport and facilitated diffusion are dependent on specific molecular binding between cargo and transporter, exhibiting substrate stereospecificity, nonlinear kinetics, and competitive inhibition (Cirillo, 1961). Moreover, many sugars are taken up with concomitant phosphorylation via the phosphoenolpyruvate:carbohydrate phosphotransferase system (PTS), which may cause carbon catabolite repression (CCR) and lead to diauxic cell growth on sugar mixtures (Stülke & Hillen, 1999). In contrast, free diffusion is non-mediated.
transport with a rate linear and in proportion to the difference in concentrations of the cargo. However, only small non-polar molecules such as carbon dioxide and oxygen are believed to freely diffuse through plasma membranes (Zhao et al., 2011). Although many sugars are transported passively, no sugars are known to enter the cells via free diffusion.

Protein translocation channels are structurally conserved protein-conducting systems that allow polypeptides to be transferred across or integrated into cell membranes (Mandon, Trueman, & Gilmore, 2009; Osborne, Rapoport, & Van den Berg, 2005; Rapoport, 2007). In bacteria, these channels are formed by the SecY complex (SecYEG) and located in the plasma membrane (Akimaru, Matsuyama, Tokuda, & Mizushima, 1991; Breyton, Haase, Rapoport, Kühlbrandt, & Collinson, 2002; Brundage, Hendrick, Schiebel, Driessen, & Wickner, 1990). X-ray crystallography revealed that the SecY channel has an hourglass shape with a narrow central constriction consisting of six hydrophobic amino acid residues termed the pore ring, and the exofacial side of the channel is occluded by a short α-helical segment called the plug domain (Figure 1a; Van den Berg et al., 2004). The SecY plug domain serves as a physical barrier that ensures that the channel is sealed in its resting state (W. Li et al., 2007). During translocation, the plug is displaced by the incoming polypeptide from the center of the channel to an open position (L. Li et al., 2016; Tam, Maillard, Chan, & Duong, 2005), and the pore ring forms a “gasket-like” seal around the polypeptide chain (Park & Rapoport, 2011), preventing permeation by other molecules.

Interestingly, although deletion of the plug in E. coli leads to membrane leakage (Park & Rapoport, 2011), it only causes a relatively minor growth defect (W. Li et al., 2007; Park & Rapoport, 2011), which may be because the hydrophobic nature of the pore ring can still provide a barrier for certain molecules (Park & Rapoport, 2011). These findings prompted us to hypothesize that SecY may be permeabilized and used as a free diffusion channel for sugars, offering a new paradigm for sugar uptake and addressing problems encountered with native sugar-specific transporters.

In the present work, the SecY channel in E. coli was permeabilized through plug deletion and pore-ring mutation. Using these SecY mutants, the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus envelope protein (SCVE), and appropriate sugar kinases (Figure 1b), we demonstrated nonspecific transport of mono- and di-saccharides across the plasma membrane without requiring that E. coli possess a large number of sugar-specific transporters for survival, a desirable attribute for biotechnological applications.

### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Strains, vectors, and media

*E. coli* Top10 (F− mcrA Δ[mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC]φ80 lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 araΔ139 Δ[ara-leu]7697 galU galK rpsL[Str−] endA1 nupG), and *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (F− ompT hsdS[φ80 lacZΔM15 ΔlacX74 recA1 araΔ139 Δ[ara-leu]7697 galU galK rpsL[Str−] endA1 nupG]) were used in
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this study. *E. coli* Top10 was used for plasmid construction. The λ Red recombination system (pKD13, pKD46, and pCP20) was provided by Prof. Ping-Fang Tian (Beijing University of Chemical Technology). The plasmids pETDuet-1 (ampicillin resistance), pRSFDuet-1 (kanamycin resistance), and pACYCDuet-1 (chloramphenicol resistance) were purchased from Novagen (Merck Millipore) and used with *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) for co-expression of proteins under T7 promoters. The Luria-Bertani (LB) cell culture medium contained 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 10 g/L NaCl. The M9 mineral cell culture medium (without sugars) contained 7.52 g/L Na2HPO4·2H2O, 3 g/L KH2PO4, 0.5 g/L NaCl, 0.5 g/L NH4Cl, 0.25 g/L MgSO4·7H2O, 44.1 mg/L CaCl2·2H2O, 1 mg/L MgCl2·6H2O, 1 mg/L biotin, 1 mg/L thiamin, 50 mg/L EDTA, 8.3 mg/L FeCl3·6H2O, 0.84 mg/L ZnCl2, 0.13 mg/L CuCl2·2H2O, 0.1 mg/L CoCl2·2H2O, 0.1 mg/L H3BO3, and 0.016 mg/L MnCl2·4H2O.

### 2.2 Gene knockout

Markerless inactivation of ptsG (GenBank ACT42992.1), fruA (GenBank ACT43919.1), manXYZ (GenBank ACT43641.1, ACT43642.1, ACT43643.2), xyIFGH (GenBank ACT45218.1, CP001509.3, ACT45219.1), araFGH (GenBank ACT43723.1, ACT43722.1, ACT43721.2), araE (GenBank ACT4405.1), and lacY (GenBank ACT42196.1) in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) was performed using the λ Red recombination system (Dansenko & Wanner, 2000). In brief, PCR products were generated using primers (Table S1) with sequences homologous to those of regions adjacent to the gene to be inactivated and template pKD13 carrying a kanamycin resistance gene that is flanked by FLP recognition target sites. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) harboring pKD46 was grown in LB containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 4 g/L arabinose at 37°C for 1 hr. After that, PCR products were transformed (MicroPulser, Bio-Rad) into cells to disrupt the chromosomal gene on LB agar plates containing 50 μg/ml kanamycin at 37°C. The kanamycin resistance gene in the gene-knockout mutant was eliminated using pCP20, which encodes the λ-Red recombination system (Dansenko & Wanner, 2000).

### 2.3 Cloning, mutation, and plasmid construction

sCVE (GenBank AAP73416.1) was codon optimized and synthesized by Innovogen Tech. Co. (Beijing, China). The sequence is listed below (5′ → 3′):

\[
\text{ATGTAGCTTCGTTGATCTCGAAGAACCCGATATCTCGATCCTG}
\]

\[
\text{AATTCCGCCTGCTGTTCTCGGACGTTATATTGCTTCGTCTGCTCGTCTCCTCGTGTGCT}
\]

\[
\text{ACTCGTGGATCTGTGACCGCGCTGCTGTGCGATAGTGTGCTG}
\]

\[
\text{AACATCGTAAACGGTCTCTGGTTAATCCCGAGCGTTATACGTATAC}
\]

\[
\text{TCTCGCGTCAACCACTCTGCAAGGTGCCTCCGCGcxxACCT}
\]

\[
\text{GTGCTGTG}
\]

secY (GenBank ACT44955) was cloned via PCR from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) using primers of secY-F and secY-R. Residues 60-74 of SecY were replaced with a short linker (GlySerGlySer) by overlap PCR, resulting in SecY (ΔP). In brief, by use of secY as a template, a 199-bp DNA fragment was amplified via primers of secY1-F and secY1-R, and a 1133-bp fragment was amplified by using secY2-F and secY2-R. These two fragments were then mixed and used as templates to obtain the full length gene for secY (ΔP) using secY1-F and secY2-R. SecY (ΔP, IIIIGII) was obtained by changing Ile 408 to Gly based on SecY (ΔP). This mutation was also carried out by overlap PCR. The primers used were secY1-F, secY2-R, Ring-191-F, Ring-191-R. Then, the Ile 408 of SecY (ΔP, IIIIGII) was replaced with Gly by use of secY1-F, secY2-R, Ring-408-F, Ring-408-R, resulting in SecY (ΔP, IIIIGIG).

Protein expression in this study was carried out by use of plasmids. All genes, recombinant plasmids, recombinant strains, and other primers used are summarized in Tables S2–S5.

### 2.4 Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis

The *E. coli* cells with appropriate plasmids were grown in 100 ml of LB medium containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 50 μg/ml kanamycin at 37°C and 200 rpm. After 3 hr, the protein expression was induced by addition of 0.4 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) at 16°C for 12 hr. The cells were then harvested by centrifugation at 6,000 g. They were re-suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) buffer (pH 7.4) and disrupted by sonication on ice. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation at 6,000 g for 10 min. The His-tagged SCVE in supernatant was purified using HisTrap FF crude Column (GE). The analysis was carried out on 12% SDS-PAGE gel.

### 2.5 [14C]-labeled glucose transport assay

[14C]-labeled glucose (5.5 mCi/mmol) was purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc. *E. coli* strains were induced with 0.4 mM IPTG at 25°C for 12 hr in LB supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 50 μg/ml kanamycin. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 g and washed twice with PBS. After that, the strains were re-suspended in the same buffer at an A600nm of 1 (EU-2600 UV-visible spectrophotometer, Oinlab, China). The reaction was initiated by adding 60 μl of [14C]-labeled glucose (1 mM) to 540 μl of cell suspension at room temperature. The suspension was sampled at 5 min and centrifuged at 5,000 g to remove the cells. Then, 500 μl of supernatant was mixed with 5 ml of scintillation cocktail. Radioactivity was measured using a Hidex 300 SL liquid scintillation counter (Hidek, Finland).

### 2.6 Analysis of promoter PBAD activation

The expression kinetics of green fluorescent protein (GFP) controlled by a P_{BAD} promoter on arabinose were analyzed. In brief, *E. coli* strains with the GFP expression cassette were precultured in LB containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin, 50 μg/ml kanamycin, and 30 μg/ml...
chloramphenicol at 37°C overnight. The cells were then sub-
inoculated into M9 medium supplemented with the same antibiotics, 
0.4 mM IPTG, and 4 g/L arabinose. After growth at 37°C, the cells 
were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000g at different times and 
then washed twice with PBS. They were then re-suspended in PBS, 
and their fluorescence intensity was measured by using FACSaria II (Becton Dickinson).

2.7 2-Deoxy-2-([7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl]amino)-d-glucose (2-NBDG) transport assay

2-NBDG was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. E. coli strains were 
inoculated in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 100 μg/ml ampi-
cillin and 50 μg/ml kanamycin, and then induced with 0.4 mM IPTG at 
25°C for 12 hr. After harvest by centrifugation at 5,000g and two 
washes with PBS, the cells were re-suspended in PBS. A reaction was 
initiated by adding 2-NBDG to 10 μM at room temperature. The 
suspension samples were obtained at 5 min and centrifuged at 5,000g 
to remove the cells. The fluorescence of the supernatant was mea-
sured using a F-320 fluorescence spectrometer (Guangdong, China) 
at a λ_{ex} of 475 nm and λ_{em} of 550 nm (Yoshioka et al., 1996). The 2-NBDG uptake rate by E. coli (ΔPtsG) was considered as the background.

2.8 Fermentation

E. coli strains were precultured in LB medium containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 50 μg/ml kanamycin at 37°C overnight, and then the cells were subinoculated into M9 medium supplemented with the same antibiotics, 0.4 mM IPTG, and sole sugar as the carbon source. In mixed-sugar fermentation, the carbon sources were glucose and xylose. The cell density (A_{600, nm}) in sole-sugar fermentation was determined using a Multiskan Spectrum spectrophotometer (Thermo 
Fisher Scientific), while in mixed-sugar fermentation it was de-
termined using a EU-2600 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Onlab, 
China). Sugars were measured by a high-performance liquid chro-
matography system (Dionex U300 HPLC; Dionex) equipped with an 
Aminex HPX-87H Column (Bio-Rad), with 5 mmol/L H₂SO₄ used as the mobile phase.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Functional transport of PTS-dependent hexoses via the SecY (ΔP) channel

When we expressed SecY with the plug deleted (SecY [ΔP]) in E. coli 
(ΔPtsG) lacking the glucose PTS (P. T. Chen, Chiang, Wang, Lee, & 
Chao, 2011; Joung, Kurumbang, Sang, & Oh, 2011; Figure 2a), the cell 
growth defects were not observed (Figure 2b). In contrast, the lim-
itation of growth on glucose caused by insufficient import of the sole 
carbon source was partially rescued. We reasoned that the SecY 
(ΔP) channel might allow glucose to diffuse through the plasma 
membrane due to its small V_{A} (=0.178 m²/kmol) and large diffusion 
coefficient (D_{AB} = 1.009 × 10⁻³ m²/s; Table 1; Wilke & Chang, 1955), 
and we confirmed diffusion by [¹⁴C]-labeled glucose transport assay (Figure 3). To further enhance glucose uptake, SCVE was co-
expressed in the E. coli outer membrane (Figure 2a), which can per-
meabilize outer membranes of E. coli cells by forming transmembrane 
pores (Liao, Lescar, Tam, & Liu, 2004). This reduced permeation 
barrier of the outer membrane might increase the glucose con-
centration in the periplasm, thus increasing the glucose gradient 
across the SecY (ΔP) channel, accelerating glucose diffusion into the 
cytoplasm (Figure 3), and increasing E. coli (ΔPtsG) growth on glucose 
(Figure 2b). The glucose PTS catalyzes transport with concomitant 
phosphorylation of glucose to glucose-6-phosphate. Therefore, once 
glucose is inside the mutant E. coli cells, phosphorylation might 
become the factor limiting glycolytic flux (Hernández-Montalvo 
et al., 2003), explaining the full recovery of E. coli (ΔPtsG) growth 
on glucose with overexpression of an E. coli glucokinase (Glk; Fukuda, 
Yamaguchi, Shimosaka, Murata, & Kimura, 1983) together with SecY 
(ΔP) and SCVE (Figure 2b). We compared the Monod growth kinetic 
parameters of E. coli (ΔPtsG) co-expressing SecY (ΔP), SCVE, and Glk 
with those of the wild-type strain (Figure 2c). Both strains had a 
magnitude specific growth rate (μ_{max}) of 0.347 hr⁻¹, but the half-
saturation constant (K_s) of the mutant strain (= 4.803 mM) was 0.49-
fold higher than that of the wild-type E. coli (= 3.234 mM), indicating
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that the SecY (ΔP) channel had a lower affinity for glucose than the glucose PTS.

Then, we selected fructose and mannose as two other PTS-dependent hexoses for examination. *E. coli* with damaged fructose PTS (ΔFruA; Ferenci & Kornberg, 1974) or mannose PTS (ΔManXYZ; Erni & Zanolari, 1985) did not grow on fructose (Figure 4a) or mannose (Figure 4c), respectively. In contrast, SecY (ΔP) and SCVE were found to help both mutant strains, especially those on mannose, recover growth. Co-expression of an *E. coli* fructo(manno)kinase (Mak) that catalyzes phosphorylation of fructose or mannose in the cytoplasm (Sproul, Lambourne, Jean-Jacques, & Kornberg, 2001) allowed the FruA-lacking strain to grow on fructose at a similar rate as that of wild-type *E. coli* (Figure 4a). Growth of the strain without ManXYZ on mannose was even faster than that of the control (Figure 4c), suggesting that fructose or mannose imported by the SecY (ΔP) channel satisfied demands of the cells. Here, it should be noted that fructose taken up via the fructose PTS is phosphorylated to fructose-1-phosphate before phosphorylation to fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (Kornberg, 2001). However, in the route established in this study, the phosphorylated fructose first appeared inside the cell as fructose-6-phosphate (Figure 1b), which accords with use of the PtsG-F transporter (Kornberg, Lambourne, & Sproul, 2000). The growth kinetics in Figure 4b,d show that co-expression of SecY (ΔP), SCVE, and Mak conferred almost the same μmax values (0.248 hr⁻¹ on fructose and 0.398 hr⁻¹ on mannose) on the mutant *E. coli* as those of the wild-type strain. Also, as in the case of glucose, the SecY (ΔP) channel exhibited a lower affinity for fructose (K₅ = 1.579 mM) and mannose (K₅ = 4.719 mM) than for the fructose PTS (K₅ = 0.843 mM) and mannose PTS (K₅ = 3.181 mM).

### 3.2 Functional transport of the non-PTS-dependent pentoses via the SecY (ΔP) channel

Next, we tested xylose and arabinose, which are non-PTS-dependent pentoses. The main transporters for xylose (XylFGH; Sumiya, Davis, Packman, McDonald, & Henderson, 1995) and arabinose (AraFGH, AraE; Schleif, 2000) in *E. coli* were deleted independently; thus, the obtained strains either did not grow on xylose (Figure 5a) or arabinose (Figure 5c) as the sole carbon source. As expected, co-expression of SecY (ΔP) and SCVE fully supported the growth of mutants. The lag phases of both mutant strains were shortened when compared with wild-type *E. coli*, as was the case with mannose (Figure 4c). Interestingly, previous work showed that a mutant glucose facilitator protein (2-RDS) from *Zymomonas mobilis* in *E. coli* allowed rapid transport of xylose

### Table 1

| Solute A | Glucose | Fructose | Mannose | Xylose | Arabinose | Lactose | 2-NBDG |
|----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Molecular formula | C₆H₁₂O₆ | C₆H₁₀O₅ | C₁₂H₂₀O₁₁ | C₁₂H₁₄N₄O₈ |
| Vₘ (m³/kgmol) | 0.178 | 0.148 | 0.340 | 0.347 |
| Dₘₐₜ (m²/s) | 1.009 × 10⁻⁹ | 1.127 × 10⁻⁹ | 0.684 × 10⁻⁹ | 0.676 × 10⁻⁹ |

**FIGURE 3** Uptake of [C¹⁴]glucose by various *Escherichia coli* strains. SecY indicates cells overexpressing the wild-type SecY channel. Error bars, SD, n = 3. SCVE, SARS coronavirus envelope protein

**FIGURE 4** Uptake of fructose and mannose via SecY (ΔP). (a,b) Growth of *Escherichia coli* in M9 medium with fructose. Wild-type *E. coli* (black), *E. coli* (ΔFruA) (blue), *E. coli* (ΔFruA) with SecY (ΔP) and SCVE (green), *E. coli* (ΔFruA) with SecY (ΔP), SCVE and Mak (red). (c,d) Growth of *E. coli* in M9 medium with mannose. Wild-type *E. coli* (black), *E. coli* (ΔManXYZ) (blue), *E. coli* (ΔManXYZ) with SecY (ΔP) and SCVE (green), *E. coli* (ΔManXYZ) with SecY (ΔP), SCVE and Mak (red). Error bars, SD, n = 3. SCVE, SARS coronavirus envelope protein [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
but also caused an extensive growth delay (Ren, Chen, Zhang, Liang, & Lin, 2009). A prolonged lag phase (= 25 hr) on arabinose was also observed when we re-expressed AraFGH together with SCVE in E. coli (ΔAraFGH, ΔAraE; Figure 5e), even though AraFGH is regarded as an efficient system for arabinose uptake (Luo et al., 2014). Use of the SecY (ΔP) channel did not seem to facilitate the initiation of the arabinose-inducible promoter P_{BAD} (Desai & Rao, 2010; Figure 5f), which controls synthesis of key enzymes (AraBAD) in the arabinose metabolism pathway in E. coli (Schleif, 2000). Therefore, we suspect that the SecY (ΔP) channel transports other small nutritional molecules, allowing the cells to adapt to the growth conditions more quickly than the wild-type strain at the beginning of fermentation. The mutant strain expressing SecY (ΔP) with SCVE showed a μ_{max} of 0.258 hr^{-1} on xylose (Figure 5b) and 0.388 hr^{-1} on arabinose (Figure 5d), which were almost identical to those of the wild-type E. coli. The K_{S} values for the mutant strains were 3.449 and 2.066 mM on xylose and arabinose, respectively, whereas, for wild-type E. coli, the values were 1.489 and 1.831 mM.

### 3.3 Functional transport of disaccharide via the SecY (ΔP, IIIGIG) channel

Next, we investigated the transport of lactose as a model disaccharide via the SecY (ΔP) channel. The LacY transporter (Abranson et al., 2003) was deleted from E. coli and thus the mutant strain could not grow on lactose as the sole carbon source (Figure 6a). However, the growth of E. coli (ΔLacY) was slow after co-expression of SecY (ΔP) and SCVE, probably because the larger molecular volume of lactose (V_{A} = 0.340 m^{3}/kmol) led to a lower diffusion coefficient (D_{AB} = 0.684 \times 10^{-9} m^{2}/s) than the coefficient for monosaccharides (V_{A} ≤ 0.178 m^{3}/kmol, D_{AB} ≥ 1.099 \times 10^{-9} m^{2}/s) (Table 1), resulting in reduced sugar flux through the pore ring of SecY (ΔP). We then replaced the isoleucine (molecular weight Mr = 131.18 g/mol) in the pore ring with glycines (Mr = 75.07 g/mol) to enlarge the channel opening (Figure 6b). As illustrated in Figure 6c, glycine substitutions at Ile 191 and Ile 408 (SecY (ΔP, IIIGIG)) provoked a 1.27-fold increase in permeation rate of 2-NBDG, which has almost the same V_{A} and D_{AB} as those of lactose (Table 1). With co-expression of SecY (ΔP, IIIGIG) and SCVE, E. coli (ΔLacY) exhibited moderate growth on lactose, 50 hr after

---

**FIGURE 5** Uptake of xylose and arabinose via SecY (ΔP). (a,b) Growth of Escherichia coli in M9 medium with xylose. Wild-type E. coli (black), E. coli (ΔXylFGH) (blue), E. coli (ΔXylFGH) with SecY (ΔP) and SCVE (red). (c,d) Growth of E. coli in M9 medium with arabinose. Wild-type E. coli (black), E. coli (ΔAraFGH, ΔAraE) (blue), E. coli (ΔAraFGH, ΔAraE) with SecY (ΔP) and SCVE (red). (e) Growth of E. coli (ΔAraFGH, ΔAraE) re-expressing AraFGH with SCVE in M9 medium containing 4 g/L of arabinose. The araFGH genes were expressed with sCVE via plasmids in E. coli BL21 (DE3) with genomic araFGH and araE deleted. (f) Expression kinetics of GFP under control of the promoter P_{BAD}. Wild-type E. coli (red), E. coli (ΔAraFGH, ΔAraE) with SecY (ΔP) and SCVE (black). Error bars, SD, n = 3. GFP, green fluorescent protein; SCVE, SARS coronavirus envelope protein [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

**FIGURE 6** Uptake of lactose via SecY (ΔP, IIIGIG). (a) Growth of Escherichia coli in M9 medium with 4 g/L of lactose. Wild-type E. coli (black), E. coli (ΔLacY) (blue), E. coli (ΔLacY) with SecY (ΔP) and SCVE (purple). E. coli (ΔLacY) with SecY (ΔP, IIIGIG) and SCVE (green), E. coli (ΔLacY) with SecY (ΔP, IIIGIG) and SCVE (red). (b) Bottom view of three-dimensional SecY (ΔP, IIIGIG) and SecY (ΔP, IIIGIII) structures, with PDBid 3J46 used as a template in homology modeling (Modeller v9.18). Pore-ring residues of SecY (ΔP, IIIGIG): Ile 82, Ile 86, Ile 187, Gly 191, Ile 278, Ile 408. Pore-ring residues of SecY (ΔP, IIIGIII): Ile 82, Ile 86, Ile 187, Gly 191, Ile 278, Gly 408. (c) Rates of 2-NBDG transport through SecY mutants in E. coli (ΔP), SCVE. Error bars, SD, n = 3. 2-NBDG, 2-deoxy-2-[(7-nitro-2-1.3-benzoxadiazol-4-yliamino)]-glucose; SCVE, SARS coronavirus envelope protein [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
3.4 Benefits of using the mutant SecY channel for sugar transport

Sugar passage via specific transporters exhibits nonlinear kinetics as the external sugar content increases, demonstrating transport saturation (Cirillo, 1961). Large sugar gradients across a cell membrane can even inhibit growth. As shown in Figure 7a–c, the specific growth rates of E. coli at 50, 100, and 150 g/L of glucose were 0.137, 0.127, and 0.036 hr⁻¹, whereas the rates of E. coli (ΔPtsG) with SecY (ΔP), SCVE, and Glk were 0.175, 0.228, and 0.103 hr⁻¹, respectively. This indicates that the use of SecY (ΔP) channel can probably reduce the effects of transport saturation and increase the tolerance of E. coli for glucose, thereby improving cell growth at high sugar levels. Moreover, Figure 7d and e suggest that the modified SecY (ΔP) channel reduced competitive inhibition of glucose transport in E. coli by glucose analogs methyl-α-D-glucoside (α-MG) and 2-deoxy-α-D-glucose (2-DG). When simultaneous utilization of mixed mono-saccharides by the channel-engineered E. coli was tested, we found that wild-type E. coli exhibited CCR when grown in the presence of glucose and xylose, as expected (Figure 7f). Previous efforts have revealed that the PTS for the preferred carbon source plays a major role in CCR regulation (Deutscher, Francke, & Postma, 2006; Gorke & Stülke, 2008). However, deletion of the glucose PTS can lead to reduced glucose uptake (Kim et al., 2015; Figure 7g). Our results show that replacing the glucose PTS with SecY (ΔP), SCVE, and Glk enabled E. coli cells to simultaneously utilize glucose and xylose (Figure 7h) to overcome CCR. In addition, the consumption of both sugars accelerated compared with that of non-channel-engineered E. coli (ΔPtsG), with a specific growth rate that increased from 0.174 to 0.309 hr⁻¹.
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