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Effects of Increasing Levels of Copper from Either CuSO₄ or Combinations of CuSO₄ and a Cu-Amino Acid Complex on Growth Performance, Carcass Characteristics, and Economics of Finishing Pigs

Abstract
A total of 1,089 pigs (PIC 280 ×1050; initially 82.2 lb) were used in a 105-d experiment to determine the effects of increasing added Cu from either CuSO₄ alone or a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA (Availa®, Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN) on growth performance, carcass characteristics, and economics of finishing pigs. All 6 dietary treatments contained 17 ppm Cu from CuSO₄ from the trace mineral premix. Additional treatment diets contained added CuSO₄ to provide 70 and 130 ppm total Cu or a 50/50 blend of added Cu from CuSO₄ and Cu-AA to provide 70, 100, and 130 ppm total Cu. There were 25 or 26 pigs per pen and 7 replicate pens per treatment. Overall, added Cu above 17 ppm did not influence ADG; however, pigs fed 70 and 130 ppm added Cu from the 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA had decreased (P = 0.045) ADFI and improved feed efficiency (P = 0.048) compared with those fed 70 and 130 ppm of added Cu from CuSO₄ only. Similar to the F/G response, pigs fed diets that contained CuSO₄ alone had poorer (P = 0.030) carcass F/G than those fed added Cu from the 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA. Neither Cu source nor level influenced economics. In conclusion, these data suggest pigs fed diets that contained added Cu from CuSO₄ alone consume more feed but have poorer feed efficiency which translates into poorer carcass F/G compared to those fed a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA. Copper level did not impact growth performance. Based on our study, it appears that the 50/50 blend of CuSO₄/Cu-AA optimized feed efficiency and carcass feed efficiency of pigs marketed on a constant time basis.
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Effects of Increasing Levels of Copper from Either CuSO$_4$ or Combinations of CuSO$_4$ and a Cu-Amino Acid Complex on Growth Performance, Carcass Characteristics, and Economics of Finishing Pigs

C.B. Carpenter, J.C. Woodworth, J.M. DeRouchey, M.D. Tokach, R.D. Goodband, S.S. Dritz, and Z.J. Rambo

Summary

A total of 1,089 pigs (PIC 280 × 1050; initially 82.2 lb) were used in a 105-d experiment to determine the effects of increasing added Cu from either CuSO$_4$ alone or a 50/50 blend of CuSO$_4$ and Cu-AA (Availa-Cu, Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN) on growth performance, carcass characteristics, and economics of finishing pigs. All 6 dietary treatments contained 17 ppm Cu from CuSO$_4$ from the trace mineral premix. Additional treatment diets contained added CuSO$_4$ to provide 70 and 130 ppm total Cu or a 50/50 blend of added Cu from CuSO$_4$ and Cu-AA to provide 70, 100, and 130 ppm total Cu. There were 25 or 26 pigs per pen and 7 replicate pens per treatment.

Overall, added Cu above 17 ppm did not influence ADG; however, pigs fed 70 and 130 ppm added Cu from the 50/50 blend of CuSO$_4$ and Cu-AA had decreased (P = 0.045) ADFI and improved feed efficiency (P = 0.048) compared with those fed 70 and 130 ppm of added Cu from CuSO$_4$ only. Similar to the F/G response, pigs fed diets that contained CuSO$_4$ alone had poorer (P = 0.030) carcass F/G than those fed added Cu from the 50/50 blend of CuSO$_4$ and Cu-AA. Neither Cu source nor level influenced economics.

In conclusion, these data suggest pigs fed diets that contained added Cu from CuSO$_4$ alone consume more feed but have poorer feed efficiency which translates into poorer carcass F/G compared to those fed a 50/50 blend of CuSO$_4$ and Cu-AA. Copper level did not impact growth performance. Based on our study, it appears that the 50/50

---
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blend of CuSO₄/Cu-AA optimized feed efficiency and carcass feed efficiency of pigs marketed on a constant time basis.
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Introduction
Feeding high concentrations of Cu from CuSO₄ has been associated with improved growth performance of growing pigs. However, the responses observed in different trials are variable and may depend on feeding period or concentration. Coble et al. (2015)⁴ reported ADG tended to increase when pigs were fed added Cu from tri-basic copper chloride during the early finishing period. However, Feldpausch et al. (2015)⁵ reported no growth promoting benefit of 150 ppm added Cu from CuSO₄ during either the early or late finishing periods. Further investigation is warranted to better understand how high levels of Cu will impact growing and finishing pig performance. Furthermore, it is not well understood if the specific source of Cu will lead to differences in pig performance. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effects of increasing Cu provided from either CuSO₄ alone or a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA on growth performance, carcass characteristics, and economics of finishing pigs housed in a commercial environment.

Procedures
The Kansas State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved the protocol used in this experiment. The experiment was conducted in a commercial research facility in southwestern Minnesota. The facility was double-curtain-sided with completely slatted concrete flooring. The barn contained 42 pens with 25 or 26 pigs (mixed gender) in each, equipped with a 4-hole conventional dry self-feeder (Thorp Equipment, Thorp, WI) and 1 cup-waterer, providing ad libitum access to feed and water. A computerized feeding system (FeedPro; Feedlogic Corp., Willmar, MN) delivered and recorded daily feed additions of each diet to the respective pen.

A total of 1,089 pigs (PIC 280 ×1050; initially 82.2 lb) were used in a 105-d experiment to determine the effects of increasing Cu provided from either CuSO₄ alone or a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA (Availa-Cu® , Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN) on growth performance, carcass characteristics, and economics of finishing pigs. On d 0, pens of pigs were weighed, blocked by average pig BW, and randomly allotted to 1 of 6 dietary treatments. There were 7 replicate pens per treatment. The 6 dietary treatments consisted of a control diet which contained 17 ppm Cu from CuSO₄ from the trace mineral premix, or the control diet with either added CuSO₄ to provide 70 ppm Cu...
and 130 ppm total Cu, or a 50/50 blend of Cu from CuSO$_4$ and Cu-AA to provide 70, 100, and 130 ppm total Cu.

Experimental diets were fed in 5 phases (approximately 80 to 100, 100 to 135, 135 to 170, 170 to 230, and 230 to 280 lb). For diets that contained added Cu above that provided from the trace mineral premix, Cu was added at the expense of corn. Nutrient values for the ingredients were based on the NRC (2012)$^6$. Diets were fed in meal form and were manufactured at the New Horizon Feed Mill (Pipestone, MN).

Complete diet samples were collected from a minimum of 6 feeders per phase and combined to make 1 composite sample per treatment within phase. Each sample was then split, ground and then sent to Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratories (New Ulm, MN) for analysis of DM, CP, ash, Ca, P, and Cu concentrations (Table 2, 3 and 4).

Pigs were weighed and feed disappearance was measured approximately every 2 weeks to calculate ADG, ADFI, and F/G. On d 79 of the trial, pens were weighed and the 3 heaviest pigs from each pen were removed and transported 59 miles to JBS USA (Worthington, MN) for harvest. These pigs were used in calculation of pen growth performance, but not carcass characteristics.

On d 105, final pen weights were recorded and feed disappearance was measured. The remaining pigs in the barn were individually tattooed with a pen identification number to allow individual carcass measurements to be recorded, and transported to the same aforementioned harvest facility for carcass data collection. Carcass yield was calculated using HCW at the plant divided by average individual live weight at the farm. Standard carcass measurements of backfat (BF), loin depth (LD), and percentage lean (Lean, %) were measured, with pen as experimental unit and carcass as the observational unit. Fat depth and loin depth were measured with an optical probe [Fat-O-Meter (SFK, Herlev, Denmark)] inserted between the third and fourth last rib (counting from the ham end of the carcass) at a distance approximately 2.76 in. from the dorsal midline.

Economic comparisons were made based on both a constant ending weight and a constant day basis. Total feed cost per pig, cost per pound of gain, carcass ADG, F/G, carcass gain value, and income over feed cost (IOFC) were calculated. An assumed carcass yield of 75% was used to calculate initial HCW at the beginning of the experiment. Hot carcass weight ADG was calculated by subtracting initial HCW from the final HCW obtained at the plant, then divided by 105 d on test. Hot carcass weight F/G was calculated by dividing the pen total feed intake by pen total carcass weight gain. Feed cost was calculated by multiplying total feed intake per pig by a weighted mean diet cost on a per pen basis. Prices used for corn, soybean-meal, and DDGS at the time of the experiment were $0.06, 0.14, and 0.05/lb, respectively. Prices used for the Cu-AA and CuSO$_4$ were $2.14 and $1.00/lb, respectively. Carcass price at time of slaughter was calculated at $0.74 per pound. Cost per pound of gain was calculated by dividing the total feed cost per pig by the total carcass pounds gained overall. The value of the carcass weight gained during the experiment (gain value) was calculated by multiplying the carcass value by the pen final carcass weight. Income over feed cost was calculated by subtracting total feed cost from gain value. The income over feed and facilities cost

$^6$ NRC. 2012. Nutrient requirements of swine. 11th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, D.C.
(IOFFC) was calculated for the constant market weight evaluation because pigs with faster growth rates will reach a 210 lb carcass sooner, therefore decreasing housing costs. Facility cost was calculated by multiplying the number of overall days the pigs need to reach a 210 lb carcass based on their respective growth rate by $0.11 per head per day facility cost.

Data were analyzed as a randomized complete block design using PROC GLIMMIX (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) with pen as the experimental unit. Hot carcass weight was used as a covariate for carcass characteristics including percentage lean, loin depth, and backfat. Effects of Cu source and linear and quadratic effects of Cu level were analyzed with significance defined as $P \leq 0.05$ and marginally significant as $P > 0.05$ and $\leq 0.10$.

**Results and Discussion**

The chemical analyses of the complete diets were similar to the intended formulation (Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4). Total Ca and P levels were similar among diets across each dietary phase. The total analyzed Cu concentrations for diets formulated to 17, 70, and 130 ppm total Cu from CuSO$_4$ ranged from 27 to 58, 62 to 94, and 46 to 133 ppm, respectively. Total analyzed Cu concentrations for diets formulated to 70, 100, and 130 ppm total Cu from CuSO$_4$ /Cu-AA ranged from 69 to 130, 80 to 119, and 98 to 142 ppm, respectively.

Of the 30 experimental diets, 6 diets were outside the analytical variation limits for Cu (25%, AAFCO, 2014). In Phase 1, the diet formulated to contain 70 ppm Cu from CuSO$_4$ was slightly lower and the diet formulated to contain 130 ppm Cu from the 50/50 blend was lower in analyzed Cu concentration than expected. In Phase 2, the control diet was slightly higher in analyzed Cu than expected. In Phase 3, the control diet and the diet formulated to contain 70 ppm Cu from the 50/50 blend were higher in analyzed Cu than expected and the diet formulated to contain 130 ppm Cu from CuSO$_4$ alone was much lower in analyzed Cu than expected.

All other total Cu values for each diet were within the acceptable analytical limits described by the AAFCO (2014) given that 17 ppm of Cu from CuSO$_4$ was provided by the trace mineral premix and accounting for the Cu provided by ingredients used in formulation. Corn, soybean meal, and corn DDGS can contain on average 15, 50, and 52 ppm Cu, respectively (NRC, 2012). Based on these Cu concentrations, corn, soybean meal and corn DDGS may have contributed up to 14 ppm Cu to the complete diet in our study. Thus, some of the variation observed in the Cu analysis may partially be explained by the Cu concentrations provided by major ingredients used in formulation.

From d 0 to 43, neither Cu source nor level influenced growth performance (Table 5).

From d 43 to 105, ADFI was lower ($P = 0.037$) for pigs fed the 50/50 blend of added Cu from CuSO$_4$ and Cu-AA compared to those fed added Cu from CuSO$_4$ alone. Feed efficiency tended to be improved (linear, $P = 0.057$) as level of Cu increased.
Overall, d 0 to 105, neither Cu level nor source influenced ADG. Pigs fed 70 and 130 ppm added Cu from the 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA had lower ($P = 0.045$) ADFI and improved feed efficiency ($P = 0.048$) compared with those fed the same amount of added Cu from only CuSO₄. Due to the decreased ADFI and improved F/G of pigs fed the 50/50 blend of added Cu from CuSO₄ and Cu-AA, carcass F/G also improved ($P = 0.030$; Table 6) compared with those fed added Cu from CuSO₄ alone.

Regarding economics, neither Cu source nor level influenced economics when reported on a constant time or constant weight basis (Table 7).

Although there are limited data available describing the effects of Cu blends, a variety of experiments have demonstrated conflicting results on the growth-promoting benefits of added Cu above that provided by the trace mineral premix. Hastad et al. (2001)⁸ reported there were no growth benefits above 135 lb of BW for pigs fed diets that contained 50, 100, or 200 ppm added Cu from CuSO₄. However, much of our data agree with similar experiments that have compared the effects of inorganic and organic sources of Cu. Previously, Coble et al. (2014)⁹ used CuSO₄ and an organic Cu chelate (Mintrex Cu) and reported no differences in ADG. In their study, pigs fed diets that contained either 50 or 125 ppm of added Cu from CuSO₄ throughout the entire experiment had greater ADFI but poorer feed efficiency than the control. This resulted in poorer F/G for pigs fed CuSO₄ throughout the experiment. These results were similar to our study in that although pigs fed added CuSO₄ consumed more feed, they had poorer F/G due to the lack of a gain response. In addition, Coble et al. (2014) also reported pigs fed diets that contained CuSO₄ had poorer carcass F/G which supports the current study’s findings. Although the study herein and Coble et al. (2014) demonstrated intake was higher for pigs fed Cu from CuSO₄, Feldpausch et al. (2015)¹⁰ added 125 ppm of Cu from CuSO₄ and did not observe any differences in growth or carcass characteristics.

In summary, our study suggests differences exist between feeding added Cu as either a blend or single source on growth performance, carcass characteristics or economics. These data suggest pigs fed diets that contain added Cu from CuSO₄ had greater ADFI but are less efficient. Furthermore, carcass F/G worsened when diets contained CuSO₄ compared to those fed a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA, which is likely explained by the poorer F/G of pigs fed CuSO₄ alone. Our data suggest a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA has the potential to improve F/G as a result of reduced feed intake but no difference in overall gain or ending BW. Based on our study, it appears a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA optimizes feed efficiency and carcass feed efficiency for pigs marketed on a constant time basis.

---

⁸ Hastad et al., Swine Day 2001. Report of Progress 880, pp. 111–117. Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station. Manhattan, KS.
⁹ Coble et al., Swine Day 2014. Report of Progress 1110, pp. 155-163. Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station. Manhattan, KS.
¹⁰ Feldpausch, J. A.; Amachawadi, R. G.; Scott, H. M.; Tokach, M. D.; Dritz, S. S.; Woodworth, J. C.; Nagaraja, T. G.; Goodband, R. D. and DeRouchey, J. M. (2015) “Effects of Added Copper and Zinc on Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Finishing Pigs Fed Diets with or without Ractopamine HCl,” Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports: Vol. 1: Iss. 7.
Table 1. Diet composition (as-fed basis)

| Ingredient, % | Phase 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|---------|---|---|---|---|
| Corn          | 56.04   | 61.33 | 65.87 | 69.32 | 79.48 |
| Soybean meal (46.0 % CP) | 21.61 | 16.52 | 11.97 | 8.52 | 8.39 |
| DDGS          | 20.00   | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 |
| Calcium carbonate | 1.25 | 1.20 | 1.18 | 1.15 | 1.13 |
| Monocalcium P (21.5% P) | 0.15 | --- | --- | --- | 0.09 |
| Salt          | 0.35    | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| L-Lys-HCl     | 0.36    | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.32 |
| DL-Met        | 0.01    | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| L-Thr         | 0.05    | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 |
| L-Trp         | ---     | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Optiphos 2000³ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Trace mineral premix⁴ | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Vitamin premix⁴ | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| Cu Source⁵    | ---     | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total         | 100.00  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

continued
Table 1. Diet composition (as-fed basis)

| Item                                      | Phase | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Calculated analysis                       |       |    |    |    |    |    |
| Standardized ileal digestible (SID) AA, % |       |    |    |    |    |    |
| Lys                                       |       | 1.02 | 0.91 | 0.82 | 0.74 | 0.65 |
| Ile:Lys                                   |       | 63  | 62  | 60  | 59  | 59  |
| Leu:Lys                                   |       | 152 | 159 | 164 | 171 | 166 |
| Met:Lys                                   |       | 29  | 29  | 30  | 31  | 30  |
| Met + Cys:Lys                             |       | 55  | 56  | 57  | 59  | 59  |
| Thr:Lys                                   |       | 61  | 61  | 61  | 63  | 65  |
| Trp:Lys                                   |       | 18.4| 18.5| 18.5| 18.5| 18.5|
| Val:Lys                                   |       | 70  | 70  | 70  | 70  | 70  |
| Total Lys, %                              |       | 1.18| 1.06| 0.96| 0.87| 0.76|
| ME, kcal/lb                               |       | 1,502| 1,508| 1,510| 1,512| 1,511|
| NE, kcal/lb                               |       | 1,103| 1,119| 1,131| 1,141| 1,155|
| SID Lys:ME, g/Mcal                        |       | 5.28| 4.62| 4.10| 3.66| 2.84|
| CP, %                                     |       | 20.02| 18.08| 16.36| 15.05| 12.94|
| Ca, %                                     |       | 0.61| 0.55| 0.52| 0.50| 0.50|
| P, %                                      |       | 0.45| 0.40| 0.38| 0.36| 0.34|
| Available P, %                            |       | 0.29| 0.26| 0.25| 0.25| 0.22|

1Phases 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 were fed from d 0 to 9, 9 to 28, 28 to 43, 43 to 72, and 72 to 105, respectively.
2The basal diet contained 17 ppm Cu from CuSO₄.
3Optiphos 2000 (Huvepharma, Peach Tree, GA) provided 568 phytase units (FTU)/lb with a release of 0.10% available P.
4The vitamin premix supplied vitamin A 3,200,000 I.U., vitamin D₃ 500,000 I.U., vitamin E 16,000 I.U., vitamin B₁₂ 12 mg, riboflavin (B₂) 2,800 mg, niacin 18,000 mg, d-pantothenic acid 10,000 mg, menadione 1600 mg. The trace mineral premix supplied Zn 110 ppm, Fe 110 ppm, Mn 33 ppm, Cu 17 ppm, I 0.33 ppm, and Se 0.30 ppm. Vitamin concentrations are expressed on a per lb of product basis whereas minerals are expressed on a ppm basis.
5Copper sulfate (CuSO₄; Prince Agri. Products Inc., Quincy, IL) or Availa Cu (Cu-AA; Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN). All experimental diets contained the basal diet and added Cu from either CuSO₄ only or a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA. For diets containing CuSO₄ only, either 0, 53 or 113 ppm of additional Cu from CuSO₄ was added at the expense of corn. For diets containing a 50/50 blend of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA, each diet was formed by adding additional Cu at either 18 and 35, 33 and 50, or 48 and 65 ppm from CuSO₄ and Cu-AA, respectively, at the expense of corn.
Table 2. Chemical analysis of diets (as-fed basis)

| Item    | Phase 1 |                |                | Phase 2 |                |                |
|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
|         | Control | CuSO₄\(^3\), ppm | CuSO₄/Cu-AAP\(^4\), ppm | Control | CuSO₄\(^3\), ppm | CuSO₄/Cu-AAP\(^4\), ppm |
| DM, %   | 86.35   | 86.29          | 85.27          | 86.31   | 86.32          | 86.29          |
| CP, %   | 20.70   | 20.50          | 20.50          | 20.10   | 20.20          | 20.30          |
| Ash, %  | 4.38    | 4.50           | 4.44           | 5.06    | 3.78           | 4.22           |
| Ca, %   | 0.61    | 0.58           | 0.42           | 0.85    | 0.62           | 0.57           |
| P, %    | 0.51    | 0.50           | 0.55           | 0.50    | 0.51           | 0.51           |
| Cu, ppm | 27      | 62             | 131            | 100     | 99             | 98             |

1 Multiple samples of each diet were collected, blended and sub-sampled before being analyzed at Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratory (New Ulm, MN).
2 The trace mineral premix was formulated to contribute 17 ppm of Cu from CuSO₄ to the complete basal diet.
3 Copper sulfate (CuSO₄; Prince Agri. Products, Quincy, IL).
4 Availa Cu (Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN).
5 Copper concentration was achieved by a 50/50 inclusion of each copper source.
6 Copper values represent means from 2 individual samples analyzed 1 or 2 times at Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratories (New Ulm, MN).
Table 3. Chemical analysis of diets (as-fed basis)

| Item      | Phase 3          | Phase 4          |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|
|           | Added Cu, ppm    | Added Cu, ppm    |
|           | Control^{2}      | Control^{2}      |
| DM, %     | 0                | 0                |
| CP, %     | 16.50            | 16.30            |
| Ash, %    | 3.52             | 3.38             |
| Ca, %     | 0.45             | 0.55             |
| P, %      | 0.41             | 0.36             |
| Cu, ppm^{6} | 58              | 31               |

*Multiple samples of each diet were collected, blended and sub-sampled before being analyzed at Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratory (New Ulm, MN).

*The trace mineral premix was formulated to contribute 17 ppm of Cu from CuSO\textsubscript{4} to the complete basal diet.

*Copper sulfate (CuSO\textsubscript{4}; Prince Agri. Products, Quincy, IL).

*Availa\textsuperscript{®} Cu (Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN).

*Copper concentration was achieved by a 50/50 inclusion of each copper source.

*Copper values represent means from 2 individual samples analyzed 1 or 2 times at Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratories (New Ulm, MN).
### Table 4. Chemical analysis of diets (as-fed basis)

| Item   | Control\(^2\) | CuSO\(_4\)\(^3,\) ppm | CuSO\(_4\)/Cu-AA\(^4,\) ppm\(^5\) |
|--------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| DM, %  | 85.93         | 85.86                   | 85.98                             |
| CP, %  | 13.70         | 13.50                   | 13.00                             |
| Ash, % | 3.40          | 3.43                    | 3.29                              |
| Ca, %  | 0.63          | 0.62                    | 0.55                              |
| P, %   | 0.35          | 0.38                    | 0.37                              |
| Cu, ppm\(^6\) | 31          | 94                     | 110                               |

\(^1\) Multiple samples of each diet were collected, blended and sub-sampled before being analyzed at Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratory (New Ulm, MN).

\(^2\) The trace mineral premix was formulated to contribute 17 ppm of Cu from CuSO\(_4\) to the complete basal diet.

\(^3\) Copper sulfate (CuSO\(_4\); Prince Agri. Products, Quincy, IL).

\(^4\) Availa Cu (Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN).

\(^5\) Copper concentration was achieved by a 50/50 inclusion of each copper source.

\(^6\) Copper values represent means from 2 individual samples analyzed 1 or 2 times at Minnesota Valley Testing Laboratories (New Ulm, MN).
| Item          | Control\(^1\) | CuSO\(_4\), ppm | CuSO\(_4\)/Cu-AA\(^4\), ppm | Probability, \(P < \) | Cu level |
|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
|              | 17            | 70              | 130                          | SEM                    | Cu Source\(^5\) |
|              |               |                 |                               |                        | Linear   | Quadratic |
| BW, lb       |               |                 |                               |                        |          |           |
| d 0          | 82.0          | 82.0            | 82.3                         | 82.0                   | 70       | 0.848     | 0.748     | 0.867     |
| d 43         | 169.4         | 170.1           | 171.7                        | 171.0                  | 100      | 0.880     | 0.292     | 0.559     |
| d 105        | 281.6         | 285.3           | 285.9                        | 284.3                  | 130      | 0.467     | 0.247     | 0.235     |
| d 0 to 43    |               |                 |                               |                        |          |           |
| ADG, lb      | 2.03          | 2.05            | 2.07                         | 2.07                   | 70       | 0.035     | 0.936     | 0.264     | 0.408     |
| ADFI, lb     | 4.71          | 4.77            | 4.83                         | 4.78                   | 100      | 0.086     | 0.321     | 0.186     | 0.142     |
| F/G          | 2.32          | 2.33            | 2.33                         | 2.31                   | 130      | 0.022     | 0.169     | 0.945     | 0.505     |
| d 43 to 105  |               |                 |                               |                        |          |           |
| ADG, lb      | 1.83          | 1.87            | 1.88                         | 1.86                   | 70       | 0.028     | 0.400     | 0.455     | 0.334     |
| ADFI, lb     | 5.82          | 5.90            | 5.89                         | 5.82                   | 100      | 0.075     | 0.037     | 0.603     | 0.349     |
| F/G          | 3.18          | 3.16            | 3.14                         | 3.12                   | 130      | 0.030     | 0.110     | 0.057     | 0.807     |
| d 0 to 105   |               |                 |                               |                        |          |           |
| ADG, lb      | 1.92          | 1.95            | 1.96                         | 1.95                   | 70       | 0.022     | 0.573     | 0.249     | 0.264     |
| ADFI, lb     | 5.35          | 5.42            | 5.44                         | 5.38                   | 100      | 0.064     | 0.045     | 0.916     | 0.208     |
| F/G          | 2.79          | 2.79            | 2.78                         | 2.76                   | 130      | 0.022     | 0.048     | 0.124     | 0.925     |

\(^1\) A total of 1,089 pigs (PIC 280 × 1050; initially 82.2 lb) were used with 25 or 26 pigs per pen and 7 pens per treatment in a 105-d growth study.

\(^2\) The trace mineral premix was formulated to contribute 17 ppm of Cu from CuSO\(_4\) to the complete basal diet.

\(^3\) Copper sulfate (CuSO\(_4\); Prince Agri. Products, Quincy, IL).

\(^4\) Availa Cu (Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN).

\(^5\) Main effect of Cu source (70 and 130 ppm, within source).
Table 6. Effects of increasing Cu from either CuSO₄ or combinations of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA on finishing pig carcass characteristics¹

| Item                  | Control²            | CuSO₄³, ppm | CuSO₄/Cu-AA⁴, ppm | SEM  | Cu Source⁵ | Cu level | Probability, P < |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|------|------------|----------|------------------|
|                      | 17                  | 70          | 130               |      |            |          |                  |
| Yield, %              | 72.36               | 72.57       | 71.91             |      | 0.333      | 0.329    | 0.796 0.179      |
| HCW, lb               | 205.1               | 206.9       | 207.0             |      | 2.98       | 0.547    | 0.493 0.247      |
| Backfat⁶, in.         | 0.68                | 0.69        | 0.68              |      | 0.014      | 0.836    | 0.687 0.770      |
| Loin depth⁶, in.      | 2.51                | 2.50        | 2.49              |      | 0.042      | 0.201    | 0.790 0.617      |
| Lean⁶, %              | 55.91               | 55.84       | 55.82             |      | 0.264      | 0.363    | 0.605 0.581      |
| HCW ADG, lb           | 1.37                | 1.38        | 1.38              |      | 0.017      | 0.552    | 0.519 0.229      |
| Carcass F/G⁷          | 3.86                | 3.84        | 3.86              |      | 0.037      | 0.030    | 0.221 0.543      |
| Adj. Carcass F/G⁸     | 3.98                | 3.92        | 3.98              |      | 0.059      | 0.143    | 0.285 0.233      |

¹A total of 1,089 pigs (PIC 280 × 1050; initially 82.2 lb) were used with 25 or 26 pigs per pen and 7 pens per treatment in a 105-d growth study.
²The trace mineral premix was formulated to contribute 17 ppm of Cu from CuSO₄ to the complete basal diet.
³Copper sulfate (CuSO₄; Prince Agri. Products, Quincy, IL).
⁴Availa Cu (Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN).
⁵Main effect of Cu source (70 and 130 ppm, within source).
⁶Hot carcass weight was used as a covariate.
⁷Constant time basis
⁸Adjusted to constant final carcass weight of 210 lb. Adjusted using a factor of 0.005 for 1 lb change in carcass weight.
Table 7. Effects of increasing Cu from either CuSO₄ or combinations of CuSO₄ and Cu-AA on finishing pig economics¹

| Item                                         | Control² | CuSO₄₃, ppm | CuSO₄/Cu-AA⁴, ppm | SEM  | Cu Source⁵ | Cu level |
|----------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|------|------------|----------|
| Constant day, $/pig                         |          |             |                   |      |            |          |
| Feed cost                                   | 44.91    | 45.80       | 45.83             |      | 0.238      | 0.239    | 0.110    |
| Cost/lb gain carcass wt.                    | 0.316    | 0.315       | 0.319             |      | 0.274      | 0.896    | 0.604    |
| Carcass gain value⁷                         | 151.78   | 154.13      | 153.04            |      | 0.814      | 0.382    | 0.122    |
| IOFC⁸                                        | 106.87   | 108.33      | 107.22            |      | 0.849      | 0.529    | 0.186    |
| Constant carcass wt, $/pig⁸                  |          |             |                   |      |            |          |
| Feed cost                                   | 47.65    | 47.08       | 47.79             |      | 1.009      | 0.525    | 0.694    | 0.295    |
| Cost/lb gain carcass wt.                    | 0.321    | 0.317       | 0.323             |      | 0.471      | 0.824    | 0.334    |
| Carcass gain value                          | 156.35   | 156.35      | 156.35            |      | 0.0048     | 0.471    | 0.824    | 0.334    |
| IOFC                                         | 108.69   | 109.26      | 108.56            |      | 1.009      | 0.525    | 0.694    | 0.295    |
| Facility cost¹⁰                             | 12.06    | 11.79       | 11.91             |      | 0.250      | 0.775    | 0.342    | 0.116    |
| IOFFC¹¹                                      | 96.63    | 97.48       | 96.65             |      | 1.245      | 0.648    | 0.601    | 0.235    |

¹A total of 1,089 pigs (PIC 280 × 1050; initially 82.2 lb) were used with 25 or 26 pigs per pen and 7 pens per treatment in a 105-d growth study. All economics were calculated based on a carcass price of 0.74 $/lb.

²The trace mineral premix was formulated to contribute 17 ppm of Cu from CuSO₄ to the complete basal diet.

³Copper sulfate (CuSO₄) (Prince Agri. Products., Quincy, IL).

⁴Availa® Cu (Zinpro Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN).

⁵Main effect of Cu source (70 and 130 ppm, within source).

⁶Corn, soybean-meal and DDGS were calculated at 0.06, 0.17 and 0.05 $/lb, respectively. Test ingredients used were Cu-AA (Availa® Cu) and CuSO₄ and calculated at 2.14 and 1.00 $/lb, respectively.

⁷Carcass gain value calculated using (total carcass gain × carcass price).

⁸Income over feed cost = carcass gain value – feed cost.

⁹Adjusted to constant final carcass weight of 210 lb.

¹⁰Facility cost at 0.11 $/hd/day.

¹¹Income over feed and facility cost = IOFC – facility cost.