The molecular biology of the olive fly comes of age

Efthimia Sagri1, Martin Reczko2, Konstantina T Tsoumani1, Maria-Eleni Gregoriou1, Vaggelis Harokopos2, Anna-Maria Mavridou1, Spyros Tastsoglou1, Konstantinos Athanasiadis1, Jiannis Ragoussis2†, Kostas D Mathiopoulos1*

Abstract

Background: Olive cultivation blends with the history of the Mediterranean countries since ancient times. Even today, activities around the olive tree constitute major engagements of several people in the countryside of both sides of the Mediterranean basin. The olive fly is, beyond doubt, the most destructive pest of cultivated olives. The female fly leaves its eggs in the olive fruit. Upon emergence, the larvae feed on the olive sap, thus destroying the fruit. If untreated, practically all olives get infected. The use of chemical insecticides constitutes the principal olive fly control approach. The Sterile Insect Technique (SIT), an environmentally friendly alternative control method, had been tried in pilot field applications in the 1970's, albeit with no practical success. This was mainly attributed to the low, non-antagonistic quality of the mixed-sex released insects. Many years of experience from successful SIT applications in related species, primarily the Mediterranean fruit fly, Ceratitis capitata, demonstrated that efficient SIT protocols require the availability of fundamental genetic and molecular information.

Results: Among the primary systems whose understanding can contribute towards novel SIT approaches (or its recently developed alternative RIDL: Release of Insects carrying a Dominant Lethal) is the reproductive, since the ability to manipulate the reproductive system would directly affect the insect’s fertility. In addition, the analysis of early embryonic promoters and apoptotic genes would provide tools that confer dominant early-embryonic lethality during mass-rearing. Here we report the identification of several genes involved in these systems through whole transcriptome analysis of female accessory glands (FAGs) and spermathecae, as well as male testes. Indeed, analysis of differentially expressed genes in these tissues revealed higher metabolic activity in testes than in FAGs/ spermathecae. Furthermore, at least five olfactory-related genes were shown to be differentially expressed in the female and male reproductive systems analyzed. Finally, the expression profile of the embryonic serendipity-α locus and the pre-apoptotic head involution defective gene were analyzed during embryonic developmental stages.

Conclusions: Several years of molecular studies on the olive fly can now be combined with new information from whole transcriptome analyses and lead to a deep understanding of the biology of this notorious insect pest. This is a prerequisite for the development of novel embryonic lethality female sexing strains for successful SIT efforts which, combined with improved mass-reared conditions, give new hope for efficient SIT applications for the olive fly.

Background

When Athena, the goddess of peace and wisdom, offered an olive tree to the people of Attica to sway them into choosing her name for their city - and not that of her brother’s Poseidon - neither she nor the people of Attica were aware of the ‘worm’ that could destroy the precious fruit of that tree. That was described much later in the 3rd century AD, by the botanist Theophrastus who, in his works “Enquiry into Plants” and “ Causes of Plants” [1], talked about the ‘worm underneath the skin of the olive that destroys the fruit’. Indeed, the female olive fly (Bactrocera oleae, Rossi) lays her eggs in an olive fruit and the resulting larva feeds on the olive sap, opening channels inside it, thus destroying it. In this way, a female fly can damage more than 300 olives in her lifetime. Given the...
fact that during the summer and fall months about five generations of these flies are born, one can imagine the cumulative damage that can take place in an olive orchard. If untreated, practically every single olive will get infested. It is estimated that due to olive fly infestation olive oil production is reduced by more than 30% annually [2].

Control of these flies is traditionally based on cover or bait sprays with chemical insecticides. During the last 40-50 years, organophosphate insecticides have been extensively used against the olive fly, mainly dimethoate and fenothion. More recently, pyrethroids as well as the naturalyte spinosad have been added in the arsenal against the olive fly. The use of chemical pesticides, however, entails many known hazards. Among these are ecological disturbances, the development and spread of insecticide resistance, harmful toxicological effects on human health [3]. Many of these risks are apparent not only to scientists but also to growers and consumers who require a cleaner and safer environment as well as products of high quality. Alternative, environmentally friendly control methods against insect pests, such as the Sterile Insect Technique (SIT) have been experimented in the past with considerable success [4]. The SIT involves the mass production, sterilization and subsequent release of the sterilized insects [5]. The sterilized males will mate with wild females, whose unfertilized eggs will never hatch, thus reducing the numbers of the following generation. In theory, if continued releases are performed over several consecutive generations, the population will progressively be reduced and, eventually, a total eradication could occur.

Given the substantial economic burden of the olive fly in olive producing countries and the concerns raised about the heavy use of insecticides to control the flies, the SIT was proposed [6] and implemented in two pilot efforts. In the early 1970s, about 150,000 laboratory-reared male and female flies were sterilized by gamma-irradiation and subsequently released in the environment [7]. Although initially the releases seemed to contribute to low infestation levels, by the end of the season olives were as highly infested as in the two nearby control plantations. The sterilized flies were proven ineffective to reduce infestation. Similar results were obtained in a second pilot SIT effort that took place in the late ’70s in a small Greek island. These unsuccessful pilot experiments led to funding suspension and the eventual abandonment of the program [8-10]. Apart from the high cost and labor-intensive rearing of the olive fly, extensive research that followed these first pilot efforts revealed several key issues of olive fly biology that should have been sorted out before a successful SIT could be implemented. The first issue regarded assortative mating of the released and wild populations. Laboratory-reared flies mated several hours before scotophase whereas wild flies mated at the end of the photophase [11]. Apparently, mass-laboratory rearing caused substantial alterations in the genetic makeup of the flies due to selective pressures in the artificial laboratory environment [12,13]. The second issue regarded the quality of the radiation-sterilized mass-reared flies. Radiation did not leave the vigor of the flies unaffected [14]. Another factor that probably exacerbated the low fitness of the laboratory reared flies was the use of antibiotics in the flies’ diet that destroyed the endosymbiotic bacteria that are now known to play a very important role in the organism’s fitness [15-19]. Finally, but equally importantly, extensive stinging of the olive fruits from the released females led to further fungal infestation [7].

Since those early years, several molecular and genetic studies have changed B. oleae’s research landscape. First, the development of microsatellite markers [20] and the analysis of the mitochondrial genome [21] have offered tools for a fairly detailed analysis of population structure and dynamics in the Mediterranean basin [22-26]. Second, cytogenetic analysis, including in situ hybridization of several molecular markers, established the details of the chromosomal complement [27-31]. Third, isolation and characterization of various genes has shed light on important processes such as insecticide resistance [32-35], female germline differentiation and morphogenesis of epidermal cells [36], enzyme catalytic mechanisms [37], sex-determining cascades [38,39]. Fourth, an initial assessment of the genome of the olive fly was gained by an accurate estimate of its size [40] and the characterization and analysis of centromeric repeats [41] and several EST loci [42]. This was followed by a whole transcriptome analysis with 454 pyrosequencing [43]. Fifth, B. oleae was successfully transformed with the use of a Minos-based transposon [44]. Transformation efforts recently led to the development of piggyBac-based conditional female-lethal olive fly strains that provide highly penetrant female specific lethality, dominant fluorescent marking and genetic sterility [45]. Sixth, B. oleae was recently trans-infected with a cherry fly Wolbachia strain and shown to induce complete cytoplasmic incompatibility in the fly [46]. Finally, the experience gained during the first two pilot SIT efforts and the relevant research that followed, underlined a few key requirements for the maintenance of high quality and well-fit mass-reared olive flies (reviewed in [47]). Among them were changes in larval and adult diets (eg removal of antibiotics) that would preserve the endosymbiotic flora (that is now known to improve fitness) and occasional enrichments of the long-term laboratory colonies with wild individuals (that provide natural vigor). These achievements have renewed the interest in using SIT for olive fly control. In fact, there is a large international effort led by the Joint Division of the Food and Agricultural Organization and the International Atomic Energy Agency.
Further scientific and technological developments, in addition to successful SIT applications in other insects, point to the direction olive fly research could go. Indeed, SIT has proven particularly effective in the medfly, the prototype Tephritid species where most genetic and molecular tools have been developed. One of the most active medfly research areas in recent years has been the development of the RIDL technology. RIDL (Release of Insects carrying a Dominant Lethal; [48,49]) is a variant of the conventional SIT, in which sterilization of the released insects is induced not by irradiation but by homozygocity for a dominant lethal gene. Mating with wild individuals results in offspring that are heterozygous for the lethal gene leading to the death of all progeny [50,51]. This dominant lethal gene can be placed under the control of an inducible early embryonic female promoter [51,52] that could achieve genetic sexing at a very early developmental stage. In this way, both genetic sexing and sterilization can be accomplished by the same construct. One other active research area regards the analysis of biological systems with relevance to SIT. Of particular interest are those that regard reproduction and olfaction. The first one is the case). Such flies would be safer and more efficient to be released in control programs in an SIT context.

The falling prices of next generation sequencing make it now possible to sequence the entire transcriptome of non-model organisms under different settings and identify differentially expressed genes relevant to the chosen conditions. Subsequently, these genes can be manipulated in vitro and re-introduced into the genome of the organism through well-established transgenic technologies. In a first attempt to explore the relevant-to-SIT transcriptome of the olive fly, we present differences observed in female and male reproductive systems and we examine the differential expression of olfactory genes in the same tissues. Finally, we assess the developmental expression of two of the most commonly used early embryonic genes.

Results and discussion
1. Sequencing and annotation
1.1. Solid ABI sequencing and reads assembly
In order to explore differentially expressed genes in the transcriptome of reproductive organs of the olive fly that could be useful in SIT development, the entire transcriptomes from female accessory glands and spermathecae were compared to male testes. For transcriptome assembly, the sequences from these two libraries (FEMALE and MALE) were combined with two more obtained from heads of spinosad-sensitive (LAB) and spinosad-resistant (SPIN) olive flies [53]. Paired-end sequencing with 35nt and 50nt read sizes was performed for each library and a total of 122,623,894 read pairs was obtained. All reads of the libraries were pooled to obtain a reference transcriptome assembly using SOAPdenovo assembler [54].

2. Female vs male differential expression
The Cuffdiff [56] tool was used in order to reveal the differentially expressed genes between the reproductive systems of female and male flies, a stringent cutoff (p value adjusted for multiple testing, called q value <0.05) was used. This resulted in 1568 differentially expressed transcripts in the FEMALE vs. MALE comparison. Three hundred and thirty of these transcripts were up-regulated in FEMALE, while 1238 were up-regulated in MALE flies. The top 40 up-regulated genes in each category are listed in Table S1. The entire lists of all significantly (q<0.05) up-regulated genes in FEMALE and MALE are given in Tables S3 and S4, respectively.

An M-A plot was constructed for comparison of the genes for FEMALE vs MALE flies with q value < 0.05. In Figure 1 the de-regulated genes are depicted in red.

Functional annotation was made for the assembled sequences of the significantly differentially expressed female- and male- specific genes mentioned in Table S1, based on gene ontology (GO) categorization obtained using BLAST2GO. The FEMALE and MALE GO analysis performed for biological process of the top 40 female and male expressed genes is shown in Figure 2. In general, more GO terms appear in female tissues than in male (16 vs 12), a point that holds even in deeper GO-term analysis. This can be attributed to the fact that the FEMALE library was comprised of both FAGs and spermathecae, while the MALE from testes only. Furthermore, there were more male- than female-specific genes involved in metabolism and development, a fact that can be attributed to sperm activity in the MALE tissue. Finally, the presence of three immune system process genes in the female list should be noted. In fact, increased
levels of immune response genes have been found in transcriptome analyses of insect female reproductive systems, particularly after mating [57,58]. Upregulation of these genes may assist females to combat pathogens introduced during copulation. Alternatively, it could be a result of female’s perception of sperm as non-self molecules.

A more direct comparison between FEMALE-only and MALE-only GO-term distribution is shown in Figure 3. Interestingly, numbers of GO-terms for biological process appear different in the two datasets, suggesting a different complexity of the studied female and male reproductive tissues. In most terms, there are more male- than female-specific transcripts that are differentially expressed. Many of these terms (cell cycle, intracellular organelle part, primary metabolic process, organic substance metabolic process, macromolecule metabolic process, cellular metabolic process, multicellular organismal development) refer to higher metabolic processes. This could be attributed to higher metabolic and cellular activity that takes place in the testes before mating.

3. Genes that might be implicated in sexual differentiation in B. oleae

In order to validate the differential expression of various genes observed after the RNAseq analysis of reproductive tissues of female and male olive flies, further functional analysis was performed for twelve genes that were differentially expressed in female accessory glands and spermathecae, on one hand, and male testes, on the other (Figure 4). These genes were selected on the basis of known involvement in sexual differentiation in other insects. Seven of them were selected from the 1238 significantly up-regulated in MALE (Table S4): kl2 (male fertility factor kl2), kl3 (male fertility factor kl3), kl5...
(male fertility factor kl5), ory (occludin-related Y protein), fem-I (sex-determining protein fem-I), gas8 (growth arrest specific protein 8) and lobo (lost boys). Three more genes that were up-regulated in MALE [ix (intersex), pbl (pebble) and hcf (host cell factor C1)] and two that were up-regulated in FEMALE [sox and pcp (pupal cuticle protein 78E)], albeit with lower statistical power (i.e., q>0.05) were also selected for further validation.

3.1. Drosophila Y-linked genes kl3, kl5 and ory
Quantitative RT-PCR confirmed the elevated expression of kl2, kl3, kl5 and ory in male testes of the olive fly (Figure 5). In Drosophila melanogaster, kl3 and kl5 (along with kl2) are known Y-linked fertility factors. The lack of kl3 or kl5 causes the loss of the outer arm of the sperm tail axoneme [59], a structure known to contain the molecular motor protein dynein in other organisms [60]. Indeed, Goldstein et al. showed in 1982 that sperm from mutant kl3- and kl5- males lack three discrete high molecular weight proteins with mobility similar to dynein heavy chains of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and proposed that these fertility factors are the structural genes of three different dynein heavy chain proteins [61]. In 1993, Gepner and Hays sequenced part of kl5 and showed that it encodes an axonemal β-dynein heavy chain that is expressed in the testes [62].

ory is also Y-linked in D. melanogaster, although details on this gene are scarce. kl3, kl5 and ory are Y-linked in 12 different sequenced Drosophila genomes [63]. In Drosophila, the closest paralogs of kl2, kl3, and kl5 are autosomal and not X-linked, suggesting that the evolution of the Drosophila Y chromosome has been driven by an accumulation of male-related genes arising de novo from the autosomes [64]. While the most likely function of the
three genes in the olive fly might be similar to that of Drosophila, we have no indication with regard to their chromosomal localization in the olive fly. Such information could shed some light to the evolutionary origin of the olive fly’s Y chromosome.

3.2. Spermatogenesis and sperm motility genes

One spermatogenesis and two sperm motility genes were shown to be differentially over-expressed in male olive fly tissues both in the transcriptome analysis and after q-RT PCR (Figure 4 and 5). The first locus, sex-determining protein fem-1 (fem-1), encodes an essential spermatogenesis product in Caenorhabditis elegans. Three fem genes, fem-1, fem-2, and fem-3, have been shown to be essential for male development [65]. Loss-of-function mutations in any one of the fem genes prevent all aspects of male development and transform the animals that are genetically males into females [66, 67]. The predicted product of the fem-1 gene is an intracellular protein that contains ankyrin repeats, which in many other proteins mediate specific protein–protein interaction [67]. In D. melanogaster, a fem-1 homolog with similar structure has been found [68]. The second locus, growth arrest-specific protein 8 (Gas8) is a microtubule-binding protein localized to regions of dynein regulation in mammalian cells. In mouse, Gas8 is predominantly a testicular protein, whose expression is developmentally regulated during puberty and spermatogenesis. In humans, it is absent in infertile males who lack the ability to generate gametes [69]. Gas8 has not been studied in insects. Finally, lost boys (lobo), has been shown to affect sperm entry movement into the female seminal receptacle and does not affect sperm exit movement from the seminal vesicle of D. melanogaster [70]. Given a similar function of these two loci in the olive fly, over-expression in male testes is expected.

3.3. Sex determination genes

In D. melanogaster, intersex (ix) controls somatic sexual differentiation only in females, acting near the end of the sex determination hierarchy. Its product does not have a known DNA-binding domain and, therefore, it is thought to act as a transcriptional co-factor for the female variant of Doublesex protein (DSX), a key gene of the sexual determination cascade in D. melanogaster [71]. Minimal differences were observed in ix expression between the two sexes of the olive flies.

Transcriptome analysis also showed a four-fold over-expression of sox in female tissues, a result that was not confirmed after validation. The sox gene family is a group of related transcription factors that play critical roles in embryonic development. This family was originally identified in mammals based on sequence similarity to SRY, the sex-determining region Y chromosome [72]. In the honeybee, as SOX proteins play key roles in gonad differentiation, the SoxE group orthologues were up-regulated in the drone testes [73]. In Drosophila SoxN is a new group B Sox gene expressed in the developing CNS and is one of the earliest transcription factors to be expressed in a paraneuroectodermal manner [74].

3.4. Other genes

The Pebble (pbl) gene belongs to a family of GTP exchange factors that are essential for the construction of a contractile ring and the initiation of cytokinesis during the embryonic division cycles of the somatic cells in D. melanogaster [75, 76]. Its role in spermatogenesis has not been elucidated yet. Expression of pbl in D. melanogaster testes is low [68]. On the other hand, expression in olive fly testes was found elevated in comparison to female accessory glands/spermathecae. This result was confirmed after qRT-PCR in the same tissues, where higher levels of expression in testes were observed in comparison with female accessory glands/spermathecae (Figure 4 and 5).

Host cell factor C1 (Hcf) is involved in a wide variety of cellular functions, including regulation of transcription, cytokinesis, cell cycle progression and chromatin remodeling [77]. The protein is essential for cellular viability and demonstrates similar activity among a broad range of species. A single hcf homolog is also present in Drosophila (called dHCF) and is expressed in all tissues, although at relatively low levels [68]. The transcriptome analysis in the olive fly tissues showed a ~0.2-fold higher expression in the male tissues. This result was confirmed after qRT-PCR in the same tissues, where higher levels of expression in testes were observed in comparison with female accessory glands/spermathecae (Figure 4 and 5).

Quantitation by RT-PCR confirmed the over-expression of pupal cuticle protein (pcp) in female accessory glands/spermathecae as compared to male testes. Cuticle proteins, along with chitin, are the two components of insect cuticle. The cuticular proteins seem to be specific
to the type of cuticle that occurs at stages of the insect development. Flexible proteins are found in the flexible cuticle of larva and pupa, but can also be found in the soft endocuticle of adult insects [78].

Female insects require the steroid hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E) in order to activate vitellogenesis, a process required for egg development. In *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes, large amounts of 20E are produced and stored in male accessory glands and subsequently delivered to female mosquitoes during mating [79]. Pupal cuticle proteins, on the other hand, are known to accumulate in response to a pulse of 20E [80]. However, given that FAGs/spermathecae collected were from unmated females, we cannot offer a plausible explanation for the over-expression of *pcps*.

### 4. Validation of olfactory gene differential expression

Insects possess very sensitive chemosensory systems that can detect and discriminate among a diverse array of odors. These systems play a crucial role in insect survival and reproductive success, mediating responses to food detection, mating and oviposition. Odor recognition is a coordinated process requiring the combined specificities contributed by odorant-binding proteins (OBPs) and chemosensory proteins (CSPs) as well as odorant receptors (ORs) (Reviewed in [81]). Insect odorant-binding proteins (OBPs) are soluble proteins surrounding the extracellular lymph of olfactory neurons [82]. OBPs are capable of binding and solubilizing small hydrophobic molecules from the environment and therefore transport them to the underlying ORs, which are expressed on peripheral olfactory receptor neurons. Insect ORs are either ionotropic receptors (IRs) or seven-transmembrane proteins (ORs) with an inverse topology compared to GPCRs, that form heterodimers of a ligand-binding OR and an ubiquitous highly conserved co-receptor named Orco [83]. These complexes are suggested to constitute ligand-gated nonselective cation channels triggering the olfactory signaling [81].

While OR expression in olfactory tissues is obvious and well-established, the distribution of ORs beyond the olfactory system has also been documented in different mammalian species [84-86], suggesting that ORs may play an important role in the ectopic expression of nonchemosensory tissues. Interestingly, OR expression has been documented in human and mouse germ cells [87-91] and recently in mosquitoes [92]. Similarly, other non-olfactory functions have been reported for OBP-like proteins including the B proteins of *Tenebrio molitor* accessory glands [93], the male specific serum proteins of *Ceratitis capitata* [94], and the heme-binding protein of *Rhodnius prolixus* [95]. These demonstrate that OBPs are not restricted to olfaction and are likely to be involved in broader physiological functions, suggesting that their roles may be restricted to general carrier capabilities with broad specificity for lipophilic compounds [96].

With that in mind, we opted to explore the expression of various olfactory-related genes in the reproductive systems under investigation. Twelve olfactory-related genes were present in the annotated list that resulted from the transcriptome assembly of the FEMALE and MALE olive fly tissues (Figure 6), nine of which presented various levels of over-expression in MALE, whereas the remaining three in FEMALE. In order to get a deeper insight, the relative expression of five of these genes was further analyzed in female FAGs/spermathecae, male testes and male accessory glands (MAGs), before and after mating. *obp83a, obp8a* and *obp19a* genes are over-expressed in MALE tissue (Figure 6). qRT-PCR revealed that these genes share the same expression pattern in MAGs. *obp83a* and *obp8a* are over-expressed before mating in testes while *obp83a* and *obp19a* are over-expressed after mating in FAGs/spermathecae (Figure 7). All three genes are characterized by a GOBP (general odorant binding protein) domain that is also found in their orthologues in *Drosophila melanogaster*. This structural domain is found in pheromone binding proteins, which exist in extracellular fluid surrounding odorant receptors [97]. The presence of these OBPs in the reproductive tissues implicates their interaction with other substrates except the olfactory system as transporters in the post-mating events in the male reproductive system. In fact, *D. melanogaster's obp8a* shows the highest levels of expression in male accessory glands [98,99] and has been associated with non-olfactory functions such as RNA transcription [100].

*os-d* is over-expressed in MALE tissue (Figure 6) while qRT-PCR showed similar expression patterns in mature FAGs/spermathecae, MAGs and testes, but no expression in MAGs before mating (Figure 7). Os-D is a chemosensory protein (CSP) that encodes the antennal protein 10 in *D. melanogaster*. CSPs are secreted in the sensillum lymph of insect chemosensory sensilla and some OS-D-like proteins bind short to medium chain length fatty acid derivatives with low specificity [101,102]. Their specific function remains uncertain [103], suggesting a more general physiological function relating to the transport/solubility of hydrophobic ligands in various tissues.

*or10* showed expression in male tissues (Figure 6) while qRT-PCR detected same transcriptional profiles in all three tissues before and after mating (Figure 7). *or10* encodes an olfactory receptor protein and has a G-protein coupled receptor activity. The expression of ORs in testes has been reported for a number of species [90,104]. ORs' function in mammalian sperm is thought to regulate motility in response to exogenous signals derived from the existence of sperm-egg chemotaxis in invertebrates. The small peptides, speract and resact, are secreted by sea urchin eggs and attract spermatozoa in a species-specific
manner by stimulating sperm motility and respiration [105, 106]. The presence of a similar chemoreceptor may be essential in female spermatheca in order to establish a concentration gradient of a putative chemo-attractant. Since female accessory glands and spermatheca were dissected together, we are not able at this point to establish which exact tissue is the source of the observed expression of or10.

5. Early embryonic gene expression in the olive fly

As mentioned in the Background, promoters of early embryonic genes in combination with pro-apoptotic cell death genes are very important tools in inducing dominant early-embryonic lethality during insect transgenesis [107]. In that regard, the serendipity-α (sry-α) and head involution defective (hid) genes were selected for expression evaluation during embryonic development in the olive fly.

The embryonic developmental progress begins with the egg maturation and formation of the zygote, then enters the stage of blastoderm formation and gastrulation and ultimately ends with the organogenesis. Accordingly, three stages of embryogenesis have been also designated in B. oleae, whose average duration is 65-70h at 25 ± 1°C under standard laboratory conditions [108]. Microscopy morphological observations in living embryos report that cellularization of the blastoderm begins 6h after oviposition and lasts until 10h. During the third stage of organogenesis, the ventral furrow formation starts by 22h and the head and abdominal lobe masses become visible by 46h. Gut and mouth hook formation can be identified by 52h, whereas the development of other systems are distinct by 60h.

In Drosophila melanogaster, sry-α gene is specifically transcribed at the blastoderm stage in all somatic nuclei, from nuclear cycle 11 to the onset of gastrulation [109]. The gene product is required for the complete reorganization of the microfilaments at the onset of membrane invagination [110]. sry-α is fast evolving even within the Drosophilidae [111] and extensive divergence of many developmental genes within dipterans has also been reported [112-114]. This was most likely the reason for the unsuccessful efforts in C. capitata to obtain sry-α by degenerate PCR on the basis of sequence similarity with the homologous D. melanogaster [115]. Given the availability of both D. melanogaster and C. capitata sry-α sequences in the NCBI database, a homology search in the B. oleae transcriptome identified the relevant B. oleae sry-α gene homologue.

Based on this sequence, B. oleae-specific primers were designed and the expression profiles of sry-α mRNA were studied by qRT-PCR analysis at different stages of B. oleae embryonic development. Eggs were collected throughout embryogenesis from the time of egg laying to larval hatching. The selected time points represented embryos at 0h, 4h, 8h, 9h, 10h, 11h, 12h, 15h and 18h after oviposition (Figure 8, panel A). This analysis revealed that sry-α mRNA is developmentally regulated during the second major event in the first stage of embryogenesis. It is initially present in large amounts just after oviposition (0h embryos), following a reduction in 4h embryos. The larger
amounts of the transcripts among all time points examined were detected in 8h embryos. This suggests the presence of maternal mature transcripts which in turn are eliminated probably in the first event of maternal-to-zygotic transition (MZT). The subsequent wave of ‘zygotic’ activity requires zygotically synthesized transcripts [116].

In *D. melanogaster* as well as in *C. capitata*, *sry-α* is expressed only in the zygote [117]. However the retrieved *B. oleae* transcript shared greater amino acid similarity to the *D. melanogaster* CG8247 gene than to *sry-α*, as was also reported for the *Csry-α like* gene [118]. The orthologous CG8247 in *D. melanogaster* is characterized as a *sry-α*-like gene being also involved in cellular blastoderm formation. However, it is maternally inherited in contrast to *sry-α*, demonstrating a different mechanism of molecular control of transcription. In our case *Bosry-α like* gene seems to be maternally supplied in the embryos as mature transcripts. Previous studies have designated that the cellular blastoderm formation in *C. capitata* occurs within 9 h and 11 h after oviposition [115]. In accordance with *C. capitata*, a relative Tephritid species, we suggest that the cellularization process in *B. oleae* during embryogenesis also occurs at 8h, since the *sry-α* transcripts were detected at higher levels during this time.

6. Apoptotic gene expression
At the same time, *head involution defective* (*hid*), known to have a central role in apoptosis pathway, was also selected for further study. Apoptosis is a genetically controlled mechanism of cytological events that results in programmed cell death. During development, programmed cell death plays a key role by eliminating unwanted cells from a variety of tissues, such as, for example, larval tissues during insect metamorphosis (Reviewed in [119]). A series of caspases, a family of cysteine proteases, play a central role during apoptosis. Once activated, caspases can cleave more than 100 different cell target proteins, bringing about ultimately the cell death [120]. Regulators of caspase activation may either promote apoptosis (pro-apoptotic) or inhibit...
apoptosis (anti-apoptotic). Drosophila Hid belongs to a family of pro-apoptotic proteins which act as antagonists of IAPs (Inhibitor of Apoptosis Proteins), thus resulting in caspase activation and apoptosis [119,121,122]. Such pro-apoptotic genes have been used in transgenic control systems for pest insects. In tetracycline-suppressible systems for female-specific lethality and conditional embryonic expression of a Drosophila hid-containing transgene, for example, 100% lethality was observed in Drosophila [123], as well as in the Tephritid flies Ceratitis capitata [117] and Anastrepha suspensa [124].

The developmental regulation of Bohid was explored by determining the transcript levels during embryogenesis. A qRT-PCR approach with species-specific primers was used to evaluate the expression pattern of hid in embryos at 0 h, 4 h, 8 h, 9 h, 10 h, 11 h, 12 h, 15 h, 18 h after oviposition. Based on D. melanogaster hid expression pattern, no expression was expected in embryos prior to formation of the syncytial blastoderm [125]. Indeed, until 8h no transcripts were detected. hid expression was first detected at 12h and peaked during 15h (Figure 8, panel B).

It is noteworthy that most developmental programmed cell death occurs during the gastrulation process of D. melanogaster embryonic development [126], suggesting that the onset of this period in B. oleae could be defined approximately at 12h, occurring mainly within 15-18h.

However, further examination of the pro-apoptotic function of hid gene is required in order to explore its ability of inducing apoptosis in B. oleae cells. Specific lethal embryonic phenotypes need to be obtained to characterize its role in the cell-death pathway. Ongoing analysis for the isolation of the complete gene will provide the essential tools for the generation of an endogenous effective lethal effector system.

Conclusions
In serious agricultural pests (like the olive fly) which are not model experimental organisms (unlike the medfly), the major focus of most scientific research is, in the end, directed towards control of the pest. Old and new environmental concerns and sensibilities, that regard mostly insecticide use, drive science to the quest of alternative, environmentally friendlier methods of pest control. Time and again it has been shown that such methods go through thorough understanding of the biology and ecology of the target organism. Since the initial unsuccessful SIT efforts, molecular and genetic studies in the olive fly have focused on genetic analyses of natural populations, cytogenetics, isolation and characterization of genes that control important biological processes, as well as the identification and mapping of several microsatellite loci. Just a few years ago, B. oleae was successfully transformed, an achievement that gave new perspective towards the efficient use of the SIT. Lately, this is being coupled with genomics studies and transcriptomics analyses of various important systems, as well as efforts in advancing olive fly mass-rearing, that are setting the ground for the application of modern control approaches through the genetic manipulation of the insect.

Methods
Ethics statement
The study was carried out on laboratory reared olive flies. No specific permissions are required for these experiments, since these studies did not involve endangered or protected species.

Fly culture and stocks
Laboratory strain
The laboratory strain of the olive fly (LAB) is part from the original stock from the Department of Biology, ‘Demokritos’ Nuclear Research Centre, Athens, Greece, and has been reared in our laboratory for over 15 years. The flies are reared at 25°C with a 12h light/12h dark photoperiod in 30x30x30cm³ cages, as described by [127-129].

Egg collection
For embryo analysis, eggs were collected from 10-day old mated females maintained in our laboratory, which were fed with artificial adult diet to ensure high oviposition rates and embryo viability. Adults were exposed to paraffin oviposition domes for 10 minutes and the eggs were obtained with a 0.3% propionic acid solution, assigning this as the start time point. Eggs were maintained in an incubator according to the standard rearing conditions.

RNA isolation for library preparation and functional analysis
Total RNA was isolated from female accessory glands (FAGs) and spermathecae of ~300 female flies and from testes of ~150 male flies. Four-day old sexually immature unmated insects were used. For RNA isolation, the TRIzol® Reagent (Ambion-Invitrogen) was used, following the instructions of the manufacturer with minor modifications. RNA extraction was followed by an additional DNA removal using the TURBO DNA-free Kit (Ambion-Invitrogen), according to manufacturer’s instructions. The integrity of RNA was assessed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and the purity of all RNA samples was evaluated at Fleming Institute (Greece) with the use of (Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer) and NanoDrop (2000).

Whole transcriptome library preparation for next-generation sequencing with the SOLiD 4 Sequencing System
RNA transcripts from olive fly FAGs/spermathecae (FEMALE) and testes (MALE) were used to construct
For the validation of the early embryonic genes, eggs were removed from the incubator at different time intervals throughout embryonic development and total RNA was extracted from each egg using TriZol reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Two individual eggs (two biological replicates) from the various time points during the embryonic developmental stages were used for the extractions.

Following extraction, the RNA was treated with 1.0 unit of DNase I (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. In all of the above cases, the total amount of DNA-free RNA obtained from each tissue (between 400 to 700 ng) was converted into cDNA using 300ng Random hexamer primers (equimolar mix of N2A, N2G, N2C and N2T), 200 units MMLV Reverse Transcriptase (Geneon), 5X reaction buffer, 40mM dNTP mix and 40 units RNase Inhibitor (GeneOn) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription was conducted at 42°C for 50 min and 70°C for 15 min. The resulting cDNA was used in the subsequent qPCR reactions.

Specific primers for the amplification of selected differentially expressed genes revealed by the transcriptome analysis were designed by Primer-BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast) (Table S2). To identify sequences with homology to the genes sry-α and hid, the orthologous genes of *C. capitata* and *An. suspensa* were used as queries to search for *B. oleae* transcripts using tBLASTx in the TSA Database. Species-specific Blast hits for each of the query sequences were retrieved (Genbank: GAKB01005111.1, GAKB01003654.1) and used to design primers (Table S2) for the subsequent amplification of gene-specific sequences by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR).

Relative quantitation was used to analyze changes in expression levels of the selected genes using a Real-time PCR approach. Expression values were calculated relatively to the housekeeping *rpl19* gene. *Rpl19* and *14-3-3z* genes were used as reference in MAGs and testes respectively to the housekeeping gene. *actin3* and *a-tubulin* in FAGs/spermathecae. The qRT-PCR conditions were: polymerase activation and DNA denaturation step at 95 °C for 4 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing/extension and plate read at 56 °C for 30 s and finally, a step of melting curve analysis at a gradual increase of temperature over the range 55 °C → 95 °C. In this step, the detection of one gene specific peak and the absence of primer dimer peaks was assured. Each reaction was performed in a total volume of 15 μl, containing 5 μl from a dilution 1:10 of the cDNA template, 1X iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Biorad, Gaithesburg, MD) and 400nM of each primer. The reactions were carried out on Bio-Rad Real-Time thermal cycler CFX96 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and data analysed using the CFX Manager™ software. All qRT-PCRs were performed in triplicate (i.e., three technical replicates).
Bioinformatics analysis
All paired and unpaired reads of the libraries were assembled to construct the reference transcriptome using the SOAPdenovo assembler [54] with a word size of 25 nt. Annotation of the assembled sequences was obtained by comparing to the NCBI non-redundant (Nr) protein database (May 7th, 2014 version) using blastx [130] and collecting the annotations with the BLAST2GO tool [55]. TopHat [131] was used to generate a spliced alignment to the reference transcriptome. Transcripts were assembled using Cufflinks and differentially expressed genes were identified using Cuffdiff [56]. GO-term enrichment between male and female transcriptomes was analyzed using the using the GOSSIP [132] application embedded in BLAST2GO.
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