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Abstract

Given plans to revisit the lunar surface by the late 2020s and to take a crewed mission to Mars by the late 2030s, critical technologies must mature. In missions of extended duration, in situ resource utilization is necessary to both maximize scientific returns and minimize costs. While this presents a significantly more complex challenge in the resource-starved environment of Mars, it is similar to the increasing need to develop resource-efficient and zero-waste ecosystems on Earth. Here, we make use of recent advances in the field of bioinspired chitinous manufacturing to develop a manufacturing technology to be used within the context of a minimal, artificial ecosystem that supports humans in a Martian environment.

Introduction

With plans to revisit the lunar surface and eventually send a crewed mission to Mars, future space exploration missions are likely to involve an extended surface stay [1]. For such missions, or perhaps even settlements, survival requires meeting basic human needs while Earth-independent. With an emphasis on in situ resource utilization [2], a sustainable extraterrestrial settlement must be a resource-efficient, closed ecological system [3].

To minimize energy cost, Martian manufacturing strategies capitalize on the abundant inorganic components readily available in the regolith of the planet’s surface. However, these manufacturing methods are based on technologies developed for the bountiful paradigm of Earth and are commonly characterized by processes involving elevated temperature and pressure [4, 5], polymers with complex and dedicated biosynthesis [6], limited reclamation [7], and niche uses [8]. Since any resource obtained on Mars comes at an opportunity cost, the sustainable production of these materials must be contextualized in a Martian ecosystem. Towards this objective, nature presents successful strategies of life adapting to harsh environments. In biological organisms, rigid structures are formed by integrating inorganic filler procured from the environment at a low energy cost (e.g., calcium carbonate) and incorporated into an organic matrix (e.g., chitin) produced at a relatively high metabolic cost [9, 10]. Chitin
is a paradigmatic example of an organic matrix of mineralized composites; it is the second most abundant organic polymer on Earth (after cellulose) and biology’s recurrent solution to forming structural components. Chitin is produced and metabolized by organisms across most biological kingdoms, including most heterotrophs used as bio-converters of organic matter [11].

For food production and other life support systems on Mars, early explorers will rely on other biological life [12, 13] and, due to its ubiquity, chitin will likely be part of any artificial ecosystem (example in S1 Fig) [14]. Insects specifically have been identified as a key part of a potential Martian settlement as a high-yield protein complement to fulfil the caloric requirements of a human crew [15] and to process agricultural and biological waste [16]. Still, despite the almost unavoidable presence of large amounts of chitinous polymers in human-centered cycles and their potential to feed engineered bacteria populations [17], these biopolymers have limited nutritional value in vertebrates [18]. Therefore, in contrast to all biomolecules used to produce bioplastics, the extraction of chitin does not hamper or compete with the food supply; rather, it is a byproduct of it.

Chitin in its most acetylated form (e.g., taken from arthropods and mollusks) is a mostly inert molecule with the same manufacturability issues as cellulose. Highly deacetylated chitin chains, usually referred to as chitosan (e.g., those taken from fungi), can be easily protonated in a weak acid, inducing intermolecular repulsion forces and enabling dissolution in aqueous solvents. Working with simple chemistry suitable for early Martian settlement, we produced Martian biolith using chitosan derived from arthropod cuticle (shrimp, 75–85% Degree of Deacetylation) via treatment with sodium hydroxide, a component obtainable on Mars through electrolytic hydrolysis [19]. Chitosan was dissolved in a low concentration of acetic acid (i.e., 1% v/v), the simplest carboxylic acid and a common byproduct in both aerobic and anaerobic fermentations, which is a vital process in a biotechnological strategy suited for Mars [20, 21]. The pH-based, simplified chemistry used here for the extraction and manufacture of chitosan requiring only water (available in the form of subsurface ice), sodium hydroxide, and acetic acid, can be further simplified if the polymer is obtained from an ecosystem involving fungi and, therefore, not requiring deacetylation/NaOH, or can be avoided completely by the use of enzymatic fractionation [11].

Here, we approach the problem of staying on Mars from a bioinspired perspective by replicating chitinous bioinspired manufacturing [22] developed for the production of sustainable manufacturing on Earth [11]. The resulting Martian biolith and its associated chemistry involve Martian regolith simulant, ubiquitous biomolecules, and water-based solvents that are easily integrated into any ecological cycle(s) and avoids the need for complex polymer synthesis, shipping of specialized equipment, or dedicated feedstock. We demonstrate how this material, produced and used with minimal energy requirements, retains the versatility of its biological counterparts, enabling the rapid manufacturing of objects ranging from basic tools to perhaps even rigid shelters.

Results and discussions

Chitosan forms transparent objects similar in appearance and mechanical characteristics to commodity plastics [23], a property lacking in the current materials deployable in early stage Mars settlements [7]. While chitosan on its own can be useful in specific applications, the composition of biolith (Fig 1A) has the minimum amount of metabolically expensive chitosan needed to produce a material with sound mechanical properties and general application. Three-percent chitosan in an acidic aqueous solution was used as external phase to form colloidal dispersions of Martian regolith simulant (Fig 1B) with weight ratios varying from 1:25 to
1:125, producing agglomerations of different bulk behaviors ranging from the inability to retain shape due to an excessive dispersive phase to the inability to form cohesive structures due to an excessive inorganic phase. Same trend was also observed and quantified in the mechanical testing of the material. Molecular and thermal analyses showed a lack of chemical interaction between the inorganic phase and the chitinous polymer (Fig 1C and 1D), suggesting that the composite is aggregated and internally compacted by strong intermolecular forces produced by the chitinous polymer during crystallization. After the aqueous solvent evaporated, the range of 1:75 to 1:100 led to the crystallization of the composite into solid structures with maximum flexural strength and stiffness (Fig 2A and 2B). These binder concentrations are between one-fifth and one-half of the amount of binder previously reported for “ultra-low-binder-content” composites (e.g., polystyrene (PS) or polylactic acid (PLA)) [24, 25].

The versatility of biolith in applications without elevated temperature or pressure (Fig 2C and 2D) is demonstrated in an unprecedented range of manufacturing methods, such as casting, using it as mortar and in additive manufacturing (Fig 2E and 2F). The initial products of biolith could be consumable tools and equipment mass fabricated by hand or casting. A pragmatic test for the casting potential of biolith was performed by producing a functional wrench (Fig 3A), which was tested by tightening a hexagonal bolt (Fig 3B). While biolith could not replace metallic tools, the simple wrench was able to sustain a torque of 2.83±0.92 Nm before breaking, matching the torque specified for M5 bolts used in non-critical space applications (Fig 3C) [26]. This demonstrates the practical utility of a tool made with biolith and its usefulness on Mars, as requirements would likely be different due to the lower gravity and
atmospheric pressure. We also tested the ability of biolith to reproduce geometries via molding, from a simple cylinder to a more angular companion cube and, ultimately, a geometry
involved both rounded and angular shapes (Fig 3D). The fidelity of these replicas was measured via comparative surface analysis using a high-resolution 3D scanner. While the average volumetric shrinkage among the three geometries was 9.9 ± 3.6% due to the evaporation of water, the average surface deviation for the individual artifacts was small (-0.61 ± 0.69 mm for the cylinder; -0.34 ± 0.81 mm for the cube; -0.32 ± 1.04 mm for the astronaut-like geometry).

Biolith could also be used as mortar for general maintenance purposes. Fig 3E shows biolith being used to fix a 12.5 mm diameter circular hole in a pipe. The elastic behavior of biolith enables a buckled internal plug to form and anchor the dried patch, producing a sealing effect based on mechanical principles rather than chemical bonding (i.e., like a rivet). No leakage was observed even after several weeks. The strength of the material in sustaining hydraulic pressure was measured using a modified burst test for biomaterials (ASTM F2392) under both negative and positive pressures (Fig 3F). In the more demanding operating condition that off-seats biolith sealing on precut biolith discs, the sealing was able to sustain pressures in the range of 117.70 ± 49.67 MPa before the biolith sealing dislodged. For comparison, the atmospheric pressures on Earth and Mars are about 101.325 kPa and 0.61 kPa, respectively, while the Martian lander cabin pressure is likely to be around 56.53 kPa [27]. The hydraulic pressure sustained by the biolithic repairs of an aluminum disc (1.09 ± 0.52 MPa) was significantly lower than that on the repairs of a synthetic polymers disc (PLA, 163.32 ± 29.01 MPa) and the biolith.
The difference was initially attributed to the different surface interactions between biolith and aluminum or PLA; however, this hypothesis was discarded due to the lack of a significant difference in bonding strengths between those materials (Fig 3G). This suggests that the low hydraulic pressure borne by biolithic repair on aluminum might be related to mechanical effects, such as a stiffness mismatch between the repaired part (aluminum) and the repair material (biolith).

The self-adhesion of biolith is indicative of its suitability for additive manufacturing. For this purpose, a scaled ovoid replica inspired by the design of a NASA 3D printed habitat winner (MARSHA) was extruded in three segments and assembled using biolith (Fig 4A, 4C and 4D). The resulting structure was printed in 1.84 hours (Fig 4E). One of the advantages of the printing setup reported here (Fig 4B) is the ability to tune the printing process so as to balance speed and definition, as well as the ability to scale printed artifacts to several orders of magnitude using the same material and manufacturing technology. For example, using this technology, we printed a 5 m structure in 48h with a 5 mm definition, and its replica at 40 cm in 12 h with a 0.5 mm definition [11, 28].

Conclusion

While scarce resources in an extraterrestrial environment pose extreme challenges to the establishment of a closed ecological cycle that supports human activities, it is conceptually similar to the problem of sustainable development on Earth. With past development based on the false
premise of unlimited resources, we are facing the effects of a development model that is dis-
connected from Earth’s ecological cycles, resulting in both a shortage of primary resources and
an accumulation of waste. Here, we applied the principles of bioinspired chitinous materials
and manufacturing, initially developed for production within circular regional economies on
Earth, to develop a composite with low manufacturing requirements, ecological integration,
and versatile utility in a Martian environment. The results presented here demonstrate that the
development of a closed-loop, zero-waste solutions to tackle unsustainable development on
Earth may also be the key to our development as an interplanetary species.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Chitosan (medium molecular weight, 75–85% deacetylated) used in the manufacturing process
was purchased from i-CHESS chemicals Pvt Ltd., India. Glacial acetic acid was purchased
from Sigma-Aldrich, Singapore. All chemicals were used as received. Mars Global Simulant,
MGS-1, was purchased from The Exolith Lab, University of Central Florida and used as
received except for additive manufacturing where regolith was sieved to limit regolith particles
size to a maximum of 0.5mm.

Chitosan solution (3% w/w) was first prepared by dissolving chitosan in 1%(v/v) acetic
acid. Next, biolith was prepared by manually mixing chitosan solution and regolith (MGS-1)
in varying ratio (dry w/w) of 1:25, 1:50, 1:75, 1:100, 1:125.

**Methods**

**Additive manufacturing system.** MGS-1 was sieved to limit regolith particles size to a
maximum of 0.5mm in order to reduce the effects of phase and size separation during extru-
sion. Biolith used for additive manufacturing was prepared by mixing chitosan solution and
sieved regolith (MGS-1) in 1:75 (dry w/w) ratio.

The core outline and robotic tool path of extrusion was generated in Rhino 3D modeler
and translated into KUKA Robotic Language (KRL) through an algorithmic modeling plugin,
Grasshopper. A movement command file would then be generated and uploaded onto KUKA
KRC4 controller to control KUKA KR60HA. An endplate was machined to fit onto the end
flange of an already attached electrospindle, ES929. A pneumatic tank, fitted with an 8mm
nozzle, was mounted onto the endplate and pressurized by a pneumatic supply originally
designed for changing tools on electrospindle. The rate of extrusion was directly controlled by
a pressure regulator and set to be between 2 to 3 bars, above which would risk regolith com-
pactions and phase separation. Phase and size separation during extrusion could be mitigated
by exploring a different method of material delivery and possibly subject material to substan-
tial shear forces only at point of extrusion. With extrusion of material at approximately con-
stant flow rate, translational speed of robotic arm can be directly adjusted on the KRC4
pendant.

A hot air gun was used to direct warm air to accelerate biolith drying, slightly increase
underlayer stiffness as extrusion continues and enhance buildability. Once extrusion is
complete, the artefact is left for drying at room condition.

**Casting of wrench and other geometries.** In casting the wrench and geometries men-
tioned, silicone negative molds were made using positive 3D printed replicas. Biolith were pre-
pared in 1:75 (dry w/w) ratios, manually fed into the molds and left to dry in room condition.
They were further dried in a 60°C oven for 24 hours to remove excess moisture.
**Pressure test and repair test.** Repair test: Biolith were prepared in 1:75 (dry w/w) ratios and directly applied onto a 12.5mm hole drilled into an acrylic tube. The repaired pipe was left to dry in room condition and filled with water to observe leakage.

Pressure test. Biolith (1:75 ratio), aluminum and PLA discs of 55mm diameter and an internal hole diameter of 10mm was prepared. Wet biolith was applied onto individual discs to cap the internal hole and dried in ambient condition. The repaired discs were placed onto the base of test rigs with reusable putty at the bottom and top to surround and seal any leakages between the disk and rig interface. The test rig top was placed and secured with wing nuts. The peristaltic pump was switch on at a constant flow rate and burst pressure for each sample, whether physical dislodgement of biolith sealing or the inability to contain increasing pressure, was recorded.

**Molecular characterization and imaging.** Scanning electron microscopy: The surface morphology of dried biolith cross sections and as received regolith particles were examined using a Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM, JEOL, JSM-7600F). Samples were mounted onto a carbon tape on an aluminum stub and sputter coated with gold for 30 s.

Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC): For both experiments, biolith samples of 1:75 ratio (dry w/w) were dried and crushed. In addition, to better differentiate the observation of chitosan in biolith, 1%(v/v) acetic acid was added to both MGS-1 and dry chitosan powder in equivalent amounts as the biolith samples. This would make chitosan the differentiating factor in all three samples.

FTIR spectra were obtained using VERTEX 70 FTIR (Bruker optik GmbH), with a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$ and accumulation of 32 scans between 4000 to 400 cm$^{-1}$ on ATR mode. Heat flow measurements between temperatures of -60˚C to 500˚C were made using DSC Q20 (TA Instruments). Temperatures were ramped up at a rate of 10˚C/min to 500˚C, maintained at isothermal state for 2 mins and finally ramped down to -60˚C at the same rate.

Rheological measurements: The flow behaviors of biolith were determined by conducting a frequency sweep from 0.1 to 100 rad on a Rheometer (HR-2 Discovery Hybrid Rheometer, TA instruments equipped with Environmental Test Chamber), using parallel plate geometry (40 mm diameter). Biolith with ratio 1:25, 1:50, 1:75, 1:100, 1:125 were prepared and immediately used for measurements. The experiments were conducted with a strain of 0.02 for all ratios except for 1:100 and 1:125 where a strain rate of 0.05 and 0.1 were used respectively.

**Mechanical testing.** Flexural Strength: Biolith with chitosan to regolith (dry w/w) ratio 1:25, 1:50, 1:75, 1:100, 1:125 were casted in a mold of 120mm by 6mm by 8mm for tensile test. The casted samples were dried in ambient conditions and further dried in an oven at 60˚C for 24 h before testing using a UTM (Universal Testing Machine- Instron 5943) equipped with a 3-point flexural test fixtures. A support span of 99 mm and a strain rate of 0.01mm/mm/min was used. This test was a adapted from ASTM D790 (standard test method for Flexural Properties Of Unreinforced And Reinforced Plastics And Electrical Insulating Materials) procedure A for materials that breaks at relatively low deflection.

Compressive Strength: Biolith with chitosan to regolith (dry w/w) ratio 1:50, 1:75, 1:100, 1:125 were casted in a mold to form cubes of 15mm by 15mm by 15mm for compression test. The casted samples first dried in ambient conditions and further dried in an oven at 60˚C for 24 h before testing till failure using a UTM (Universal Testing Machine- Instron 5943) equipped with parallel compression fixtures and at a speed of 1mm/min. No existing standard was used as finite regolith simulant limits small sample dimensions. Reference was made to a previous article where cubic samples of approximately 1 cm length was used.

Bulk adhesive Strength: A single lap shear test was conducted to evaluate biolith’s ability to adhere to Aluminum, PLA and biolith surfaces. The samples were prepared by first cutting a 3mm acrylic panel into 16mm by 100mm long strip and attaching square adherents of biolith,
aluminum and PLA material by adhesives. With the individual adherents ready, wet biolith
was applied over the 16mm by 16mm square adherents and another adherent was placed on
top, secured with a bulldog clip and left for drying in ambient conditions. The samples were
tested to on UTM following ASTM D3528 tension loading testing for double lap shear adhesive
joints specifications, using 1 kN load cell at ambient condition and at a speed of 1.27mm/min.

Wrench failure test: An adjustable jig was made to accommodate a M10 hexagonal nut on
which the wrench is secured. The initially free screw was gradually tightened using biolith
wrench using hand until nut is sufficiently tighten and the tool was switched to a metallic
wrench to fully tightened nut. The tool was switch back to the biolith wrench and used to pro-
duce further tightening torque to the already tightened nut to simulate the torque encountered
by biolith wrench at a fully tightened nut. A downward displacement was created using a
UTM (Universal Testing Machine- Instron 5943) equipped with a 3-point bending crosshead
at a speed of 5mm/min. No standards and reference to other articles were used.

Statistical analysis. Experimental data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation,
with at least triplicate measurements. Hypothesis testing was done using Welch’s t-test with a
two-tailed p value of 0.05.

Supporting information
S1 Fig. Illustration of a closed loop food production and waste management system on
Mars and the use of chitin for in-situ manufacturing.
(PDF)

S1 Table. Dimensions of flexural samples.
(PDF)

S2 Table. Dimensions of compression samples (cubic).
(PDF)

S1 Movie. 3D printing of a segment of scaled model. Video is a time lapse of a 18 mins print-
ing.
(MP4)

S2 Movie. Casting of a wrench, wrench testing and pressure burst test.
(MP4)

Acknowledgments
We would like to thank the Digital Manufacturing and Design Centre (DmanD) at the Singa-
pore University of Technology and Design, Dr. Naresh D. Sanandiya, and Tan Kai Lee for
their help and guidance on conducting the relevant experiments used in this study. We want
to thank MatterHackers for the STL file of astronaut Phil.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Ng Shiwei, Javier G. Fernandez.

Data curation: Ng Shiwei.

Formal analysis: Ng Shiwei, Javier G. Fernandez.

Investigation: Ng Shiwei.

Methodology: Ng Shiwei, Stylianos Dritsas, Javier G. Fernandez.
Project administration: Javier G. Fernandez.

Resources: Javier G. Fernandez.

Supervision: Stylianos Dritsas, Javier G. Fernandez.

Validation: Javier G. Fernandez.

Visualization: Ng Shiwei, Javier G. Fernandez.

Writing – original draft: Ng Shiwei.

Writing – review & editing: Javier G. Fernandez.

References
1. Human Exploration of Mars Design Reference Architecture 5.0. (2009).
2. Sanders G, Paz A, Oryshchyn L, Araghi K, Muscatello A, Linne D, et al. Mars ISRU for Production of Mission Critical Consumables—Options, Recent Studies, and Current State of the Art. 2015.
3. Nelson M, Pechurkin N, Allen J, Somova L, Gitelson J. Closed Ecological Systems, Space Life Support and Biospherics. 2010.
4. Wan L, Wendner R, Cusatis G. A novel material for in situ construction on Mars: experiments and numerical simulations. Construction and Building Materials. 2016; 120:222–31.
5. Chow BJ, Chen T, Zhong Y, Qiao Y. Direct Formation of Structural Components Using a Martian Soil Simulant. Scientific Reports. 2017; 7:1151. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01157-w PMID: 28450723
6. Rothschild Lynn J. Synthetic biology meets bioprinting: enabling technologies for humans on Mars (and Earth). Biochemical Society Transactions. 2016; 44(4):1158–64. https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20160067 PMID: 27528764
7. Nangle SN, Wollson MY, Hartsough L, Ma NJ, Mason CE, Merighi M, et al. The case for biotech on Mars. Nature Biotechnology. 2020; 38(4):401–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0485-4 PMID: 32265561
8. Jakus AE, Koube KD, Geisendorfer NR, Shah RN. Robust and Elastic Lunar and Martian Structures from 3D-Printed Regolith Inks. Scientific Reports. 2017; 7(1):44931.
9. Welinder BS. The crustacean cuticle—I. Studies on the composition of the cuticle. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology. 1974; 47:779–87.
10. Wharton DA, Jenkins T. Structure and Chemistry of the Egg-Shell of a Nematode (Trichuris Suis). Tissue and Cell. 1978; 10:427–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0040-8166(16)30338-x PMID: 275910
11. Sanandiya ND, Ottenheim C, Phua JW, Caligiani A, Dritsas S, Fernandez JG. Circular manufacturing of chitinous bio-composites via bioconversion of urban refuse. Scientific Reports. 2020; 10(1):4632. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61664-1 PMID: 32170094
12. Menezes AA, Cumbers J, Hogan JA, Arkin AP. Towards synthetic biological approaches to resource utilization on space missions. Journal of the Royal Society, Interface. 2015; 12:20140715. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.0715 PMID: 25376875
13. Snyder JE, Walsh D, Carr PA, Rothschild LJ. A Makerspace for Life Support Systems in Space. Trends in Biotechnology. 2019; 37(11):1164–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2019.05.003 PMID: 31303341
14. Cannon KM, Britt DT. Feeding One Million People on Mars. New Space. 2019; 7(4):245–54.
15. Cannon K, Britt D. Feeding One Million People on Mars. New Space. 2019.
16. Banks IJ, Gibson WT, Cameron MM. Growth rates of black soldier fly larvae fed on fresh human faeces and their implication for improving sanitation. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2014; 19:14–22.
17. Menezes AA, Montague MG, Cumbers J, Hogan JA, Arkin AP. Grand challenges in space synthetic biology. Journal of The Royal Society Interface. 2015; 12(113):20150803.
18. Paoletti MG, Norberto L, Damiri R, Musumecci S. Human Gastric Juice Contains Chitinase That Can Degrade Chitin. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism. 2007; 51(3):244–51. https://doi.org/10.1159/000104144 PMID: 17587796
19. Fikes J, Johnston M, Fox E, Edmunson J. In Situ Production of Cementitious Material from Martian Resources. Marshall Space Flight Center; 2019.
20. Vidra A, Nemeth A. Bio-produced Acetic Acid: A Review. Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering. 2017; 62.

21. Nangle SN, Wolfson MY, Hartsough L, Ma NJ, Mason CE, Merighi M, et al. The case for biotech on Mars. Nature Biotechnology. 2020.

22. Fratzl P, Weinkamer R. Nature's hierarchical materials. Progress in Materials Science. 2007; 52 (8):1263–334.

23. Fernandez JG, Ingber DE. Manufacturing of Large-Scale Functional Objects Using Biodegradable Chitosan Bioplastic. Macromolecular Materials and Engineering. 2014; 299(8):932–8.

24. Chen T, Chow BJ, Zhong Y, Wang M, Kou R, Qiao Y. Formation of polymer micro-agglomerations in ultralow-binder-content composite based on lunar soil simulant. Advances in Space Research. 2018; 61:830–6.

25. Jakus A, D. Koube K, Geisendorfer N, Shah R. Robust and Elastic Lunar and Martian Structures from 3D-Printed Regolith Inks2017. 44931 p.

26. Rivera-Rosario HT, Powell JS. Installation Torque Tables for Noncritical Applications. NASA Glenn Research Center; Cleveland, OH United States; 2017. Contract No.: NASA/TM-2017-219475, E-19347, GRC-E-DAA-TN37585.

27. Jason Norcross, Peter Norsk, Jennifer Law, Arias D, Conkin J, Michele Perchonok, et al. Effects of the 8 psia / 32% O2 Atmosphere on the Human in the Spaceflight Environment. NASA Center for Aerospace Information; 2013. Report No.: NASA/TM-2013-217377 Contract No.: NASA/TM-2013-217377.

28. Sanandiya ND, Vijay Y, Dimopoulou M, Dritsas S, Fernandez JG. Large-scale additive manufacturing with bioinspired cellulosic materials. Scientific Reports. 2018; 8(1):8642. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26985-2 PMID: 29872156