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Abstract. We study a structure of the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a free associative algebra and a polynomial algebra and prove that this group is a semi direct product of abelian groups. Using this decomposition we describe a structure of the lower central series and the series of derived subgroups for the group of unitriangular automorphisms and prove that every element from the derived subgroup is a commutator. In addition we prove that the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rang more than 2 is not linear and describe some two-generated subgroups from these group. Also we give a more simple system of generators for the group of tame automorphisms than the system from Umirbaev’s paper.

Introduction

In this paper we consider a free associative algebra $A_n = K\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ and a polynomial algebra $P_n = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ over a field $K$ of characteristic zero. We assume that all algebras have unity. By symbol $C_n$ we will denote any from algebras: $A_n$ or $P_n$. It is evident that $A_1 = P_1$. The group of $K$-automorphisms of these algebras, i.e. automorphisms which fix elements from $K$ will denote $\text{Aut} C_n$.

Despite the great interest to these groups their algebraic structure isn’t well known. In particular, we do not know a non-trivial system of generators for these groups if $n \geq 3$, and a system of defining relations. From the results of Shestakov and Umirbaev [1]-[5] follows that $\text{Aut} C_3 \neq \text{TAut} C_3$, where $\text{TAut} C_n$ is the group of tame automorphisms of $C_n$.

In the Umirbaen’s paper [5] was written a system of generators for group of tame automorphisms $\text{TAut} C_n$ and some system of relations which is a system of defining relations if $n = 3$ (if $n > 3$ then we have an open question: is the written system is a system of defining relations).

Until now a description of elements of finite order in group $\text{TAut} C_n$, $n \geq 3$ is unknown. A corresponding question about the description of the set of involutions was formulated in [16, 14.68].

A question about linearity (i.e. about a faithful representation by finite dimensional matrixes over some field) of $\text{TAut} C_n$ was studied in the paper of Roman’kov, Chirkov,
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Shevelin [8], where was proved that for $n \geq 4$ these groups are not linear. Sosnovskii [10] proved that for $n \geq 3$ the group $\text{Aut} \ P_n$ is not linear. This result follows from a description of the hypercentral series for the subgroup of unitriangular automorphisms of $\text{Aut} \ P_n$.

In the paper of Gupta, Levchuk and Ushakov [11] were studied generators of the group of tame automorphisms for some nilpotent algebras. In addition they proved that any automorphism from $\text{Aut} \ C_n$ is a product of affine automorphism and automorphism which maps any generator $x_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ to an element $x_i + f_i$, where polynomials $f_i$ do not contain monomials of degree zero and one.

In the present paper we study a structure of the group of unitriangular automorphisms and prove that this group is a semi direct product of abelian groups. Using this decomposition we describe a structure of the lower central series and the series of derived subgroups for the group of unitriangular automorphisms and prove that every element from the derived subgroup is a commutator. In addition we prove that the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rang more than 2 is not linear and describe some two-generated subgroups from this group. Also we give a more simple system of generators for the group of tame automorphisms than the system from Umirbaev’s paper.

We thank the participants of the seminar “Evariste Galois” for fruitful discutions. Also we thank Alexey Belov who points on a connection between the question on conjugation of any involution from $\text{Aut} \ P_n$ to diagonal automorphism and Cancelation conjecture.

§ 1. Structure of the group of triangular automorphisms

Let us remember definitions of some automorphisms and subgroups from $\text{Aut} \ C_n$.

For any index $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, a constant $\alpha \in K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$ and a polynomial $f = f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_n) \in C_n$ (where the symbol $\hat{x}$ denotes that $x$ does not include in $f$) the elementary automorphism $\sigma(i, \alpha, f)$ is an automorphism from $\text{Aut} \ C_n$, which acts on the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ by the rule:

$$
\sigma(i, \alpha, f) : \begin{cases} 
 x_i \mapsto \alpha x_i + f, \\
 x_j \mapsto x_j, 
\end{cases} \quad j \neq i.
$$

The group of the tame automorphisms $\text{TAut} \ C_n$ is generated by all elementary automorphisms.

The group of affine automorphisms $\text{Aff} \ C_n$ is a subgroup of $\text{TAut} \ C_n$ that consists of automorphisms

$$
x_i \mapsto a_{i1}x_1 + \ldots + a_{in}x_n + b_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,
$$

where $a_{ij}, b_i \in K$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, and the matrix $(a_{ij})$ is nondegenerated. The group of affine automorphisms is the semi direct product $K^n \ltimes \text{GL}_n(K)$ and, in particular, embeds in the group of matrices $\text{GL}_{n+1}(K)$.

The group of triangular automorphisms $T_n = T(C_n)$ of algebra $C_n$ is generated by automorphisms

$$
x_i \mapsto \alpha x_i + f_i(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,
$$

where $\alpha \in K^*$.
where \( \alpha_i \in K^* \), \( f_i \in C_n \) and \( f_n \in K \). If all \( \alpha_i = 1 \) then this automorphism is called the unitriangular automorphism. The group of unitriangular automorphism is denoted by \( U_n = U(C_n) \). In addition, in \( T_n \) singles out the subgroup of diagonal automorphisms \( D_n \), which contains the following automorphisms

\[
x_i \mapsto \alpha_i x_i, \quad \alpha_i \in K^*, \ i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

It is easy to see that the following lemma holds

**Lemma 1.** The group \( U_n \) is normal in \( T_n \) and \( T_n = U_n \rtimes D_n \).

In the group \( U_n \) let us define subgroups

\[
G_i = (\sigma(i, 1, f) \mid f = f(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in C_n), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Note that the subgroup \( G_i \) is abelian and isomorphic to an additive group of algebra \( C_n \) that is generated by \( x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n, \ i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \) and the subgroup \( G_n \) is isomorphic to the additive group of the field \( K \).

The following theorem describes a structure of group of unitriangular automorphisms

**Theorem 1.** The group \( U_n \) is a semi direct product of abelian groups:

\[
U_n = (\ldots (G_1 \rtimes G_2) \rtimes \ldots) \rtimes G_n. 
\]

To prove this and the next theorems we will use

**Lemma 2.** For any non-zero element \( a \) of the field \( K \) and any polynomial \( g(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n) \) from \( C_n, n \geq 1 \), there exists a polynomial \( f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n) \) from \( C_n \) such that the following equality holds

\[
g(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_i + a, \ldots, x_n) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n).
\]

**Proof.** It is evident that sufficient to consider only the case when \( g(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n) \) is a monomial and \( i = 1 \). Let us define a partial order \( \prec \) on the set of monomials from \( C_n \). Every monomial has the form

\[
m = b_1 x_1^{k_1} b_2 x_1^{k_2} \ldots b_s x_1^{k_s} b_{s+1},
\]

where \( k_i \) are positive integers; monomials \( b_j \) do not contain the variable \( x_1 \). We will call the number \( s \) by syllable length of \( m \) and will denote the vector \( (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_s) \) by \( \mu(m) \). If we have other monomial:

\[
m' = c_1 x_1^{l_1} c_2 x_1^{l_2} \ldots c_r x_1^{l_r} c_{r+1}
\]

from \( C_n \) then we say that \( m \) is lower than \( m' \) and write \( m < m' \) if one from the following conditions holds:

1) \( \deg_{x_1} m < \deg_{x_1} m' \), where \( \deg_{x_1} m = \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i \);

2) \( \deg_{x_1} m = \deg_{x_1} m' \), but \( s < r \), i.e. the syllable length of \( m \) is less than the syllable length of \( m' \);

3) \( \deg_{x_1} m = \deg_{x_1} m', s = r \), but \( (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_s) \) is lower than \( (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_s) \) related to the lexicographical order.
Let \( g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = b_1 x_1^{k_1} b_2 x_2^{k_2} \ldots b_s x_s^{k_s} b_{s+1} \). By induction hypothesis we will assume that Lemma is true for all polynomials that are a sum of monomials which are lower \( g \). Let

\[
f_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{(k + 1)a} b_1 x_1^{k_1+1} b_2 x_2^{k_2} \ldots b_s x_s^{k_s} b_{s+1}.
\]

It is easy to see that

\[
f_1(x_1 + a, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - f_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = g + g_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n),
\]

where \( g_1 \) is a sum of monomials which are lower \( g \). By induction hypothesis there exists a polynomial \( f_2 \) such that

\[
g_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = f_2(x_1 + a, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - f_2(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n).
\]

Then

\[
g = f_1(x_1 + a, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - f_2(x_1 + a, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - f_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) + f_2(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n).
\]

If we define \( f = f_1 - f_2 \) then we get the required decomposition. Lemma is proved.

Let us start to prove Theorem 1.

Consider subgroups \( H_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \), of \( U_n \) which consist from automorphisms which fix variables \( x_i, \ldots, x_n \). In addition let \( H_{n+1} = U_n \). It is evident \( H_1 = 1 \), i.e. is a trivial subgroup.

Notice at first that \( H_i \) is a normal subgroup in \( U_n \). Indeed, if \( \varphi \in H_i \), \( \psi \in U_n \) then for variable \( x_j, j \geq i \), we have

\[
x_j^\varphi \psi^{-1} = (x_j + f_j(x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_n))^{\psi^{-1}} = (x_j + f_j(x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_n))^{\psi^{-1}} = x_j,
\]

where \( x_j^\varphi = x_j + f_j(x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_n) \).

At second check that

\[
H_{i+1} = H_i \cdot G_i, \; i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Since \( H_i \trianglelefteq H_{i+1} \) and \( H_i \cap G_i = 1 \), then sufficient to check that \( H_{i+1} = H_i \cdot G_i \). Let \( \varphi \in H_{i+1} \) and

\[
x_i^\varphi = x_i + g(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), \; x_j^\varphi = x_j, \; j > i.
\]

Then we can write

\[
\varphi = \varphi_1 \psi,
\]

where \( \varphi_1 \in G_i \) and \( x_i^{\varphi_1} = x_i + f(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \), but \( \psi = \varphi^{-1}_1 \varphi \). Since \( x_i^{\psi} = x_i \) then \( \psi \in H_i \).

Hence \( H_{i+1} = H_i \cdot G_i \), and then

\[
U_n = H_{n+1} = H_n \cdot G_n = (H_{n-1} \cdot G_{n-1}) \cdot G_n = \ldots = (\ldots (G_1 \cdot G_2) \cdot \ldots) \cdot G_n.
\]

Theorem 1 is proved.

Let’s recall definitions of the lower central series and the series of commutators of a group. The lower central series of group \( G \) is called a series

\[
G = \gamma_1 G \geq \gamma_2 G \geq \ldots,
\]
where $\gamma_{i+1}G = [\gamma_i G, G]$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. The series of commutators of group $G$ is called a series

$$G = G^{(0)} \geq G^{(1)} \geq G^{(2)} \geq \ldots,$$

where $G^{(i+1)} = [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}]$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$.

The following theorem gives a description of the lower central series and the series of commutators of $U_n$.

**Theorem 2.** 1) For $n \geq 2$ in $U_n$ the following equalities hold

$$\gamma_2 U_n = \gamma_3 U_n = \ldots.$$

In particular, for $n \geq 2$ the group $U_n$ is not nilpotent.

2) The group $U_n$ is solvable of degree $n$ and corresponding commutators subgroups have the form:

$$U_n = (\ldots (G_1 \times G_2) \times \ldots) \times G_n,$$

$$U'_n = (\ldots (G_1 \times G_2) \times \ldots) \times G_{n-1},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$U_{(n-1)} = G_1,$$

$$U_n^{(n)} = 1.$$

**Proof.** Let us check that for $i < j$ holds

$$[G_i, G_j] = G_i.$$

The commutator of the element $\varphi = \sigma(i, 1, f)$ from $G_i$ and the element $\psi = \sigma(j, 1, h)$ from $G_j$ has the form

$$[\varphi, \psi] : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i + f(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j + h, \ldots, x_n) - f(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_n), \\ x_k \mapsto x_k, \end{cases} \quad \text{if } k \neq i.$$

Hence for arbitrary $\sigma(i, 1, g)$ from $G_i$ take any $h$ from $K^*$ and find by Lemma 2 such polynomial $f = f(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ for which the following equality is true

$$g = f(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j + h, \ldots, x_n) - f(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_n).$$

Then $\sigma(i, 1, g) = [\varphi, \psi]$ and hence $G_i \leq [G_i, G_j]$. The inverse inclusion is evident.

Let us find the lower central series for $U_2$. By Theorem 1 and by proved equality we have

$$U'_2 = \gamma_2 U_2 = [G_1 \times G_2, G_1 \times G_2] = [G_1, G_2] = G_1.$$

Further

$$\gamma_3 U_2 = [\gamma_2 U_2, G_2] = [G_1, G_2] = G_1,$$

and analogously

$$\gamma_m U_2 = G_1$$

for all $m > 3$. For $n > 2$ the proof is similar.

The second part of Theorem follows from Theorem 1 and the description of the commutator subgroups $[G_i, G_j]$. Theorem 2 is proved.

Note that the solubility of $U_n$ was proved in [8].
The next theorem gives an answer to the question about the commutator width of $U_n$.

**Theorem 3.** Every element from the commutator subgroup $U'_n$ is a commutator.

**Proof.** From Theorem 2 follows that

$$U'_n = (\ldots (G_1 \rtimes G_2) \rtimes \ldots) \rtimes G_{n-1}.$$ 

Let $\omega \in U'_n$ and

$$x^\omega_i = x_i + g_i(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad x^\omega_n = x_n.$$ 

We will find automorphisms $\varphi_i$ from $G_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$\omega = \left[ \varphi_n, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{n-1} \right],$$

and

$$\varphi_i : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i + f_i(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), \\ x_j \mapsto x_j, \quad \text{if} \quad j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Let $f_n = 1$. Using the straight calculus we see that the automorphism $\varphi = [\varphi_n, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}]$ acts on the variables by the rule

$$x^\varphi_k = x_k + f_k(x_{k+1} + f_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} + f_{n-1}, x_n) - f_k(x_{k+1} + f_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} + f_{n-1}, x_n + 1), \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

Hence we have to solve the system of equations

$$g_k(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n) = f_k(x_{k+1} + f_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} + f_{n-1}, x_n) - f_k(x_{k+1} + f_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1} + f_{n-1}, x_n + 1),$$

relative to polynomials $f_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

The equation

$$g_{n-1}(x_n) = f_{n-1}(x_n) - f_{n-1}(x_n + 1)$$

has a solution $f_{n-1}(x_n)$ by Lemma 2. Let us assume that the polynomials $f_{n-1}, \ldots, f_{k+1}$ are found. Making the change of variables:

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} + f_{k+1}(x_{k+2}, \ldots, x_n) = y_{k+1}, \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} + f_{n-1}(x_n) = y_{n-1}, \\ x_n = y_n, \end{cases}$$

in the $k$-th equation of the system we get the equation

$$\tilde{g}_k(y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_n) = f_k(y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_n) - f_k(y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_n + 1).$$

By Lemma 2 there exists a polynomial $f_k$ which satisfy this equation. Hence there exist the automorphisms $\varphi_i \in G_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$\omega = [\varphi_n, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}].$$

Theorem is proved.

**Question 1.** Is there a finitely generated subgroup from $U_n$ that has the commutator width more than one?

**Question 2.** Is it true that the width of $\text{TAut} \, C_n$, $n \geq 3$, relatively the elementary automorphisms $\sigma(i, \alpha, f)$ is finite?
We have defined the group of triangular automorphisms
\[ T_n = \{ x_i \mapsto \alpha_i x_i + f_i(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \mid i = 1, \ldots, n, \alpha_i \in K \} . \]

We will call this group by group of upper triangular automorphisms. Analogously define a group of lower triangular automorphisms
\[ \tilde{T}_n = \{ x_i \mapsto \alpha_i x_i + g_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) \mid i = 1, \ldots, n, \alpha_i \in K \} . \]

**Question 3.** Is it true that \( \text{TAut} C_n \) is generated by group of upper triangular automorphisms \( T_n \) and group of lower triangular automorphisms \( \tilde{T}_n \)? If it is true, is there a natural number \( m = m(n) \) such that \( \text{TAut} (C_n) = T_n \tilde{T}_n \ldots T_n \tilde{T}_n \ldots \tilde{T}_n \ldots T_n \tilde{T}_n \overline{m} \), \( n > 2 \)?

\[ \|$ 2. \text{Examples of non-linear subgroups of the group of triangular automorphisms} \]

In this section we will prove that there exist a countable non-linear subgroups of \( U_3 \).

At first we prove the following statement.

**Proposition 1.** The group \( U_n, n \geq 2 \), does not contain proper subgroups of finite indexes and in particular is not residually finite.

**Proof.** If \( U_n \) contains a subgroup of finite index then it contains a normal subgroup of finite index. Suppose that \( H \triangleleft U_n \) and \( |U_n/H| = m > 1 \). Then for every generator \( \sigma(i, 1, f) \) of \( U_n \) holds \( (\sigma(i, 1, f))^m \in H \), but it is easy to see that elements \( (\sigma(i, 1, f))^m = \sigma(i, 1, mf) \) generate the whole group \( U_n \).

The next question is connected with this Proposition.

**Question 4.** Is it true that every finitely generated subgroup of \( U_n \):

a) is residually finite?

b) is linear?

Using Proposition 1 it is easy to prove that \( U_n, n \geq 3 \) is not linear. For this we will use the next result of Mal’cev [9]: every soluble linear group contains a subgroup of finite index the commutator subgroup of which is nilpotent. From Proposition 1 follows that every subgroup of finite index from \( U_3 \) is equal to \( U_3 \), and from Theorem 2 follows that its commutator subgroup is not nilpotent.

In fact a stronger assertion holds. To prove this assertion we will use some ideas from [8].

**Theorem 4.** In \( U_3 \) there exists a countable subgroup which is not linear.

**Proof.** Consider the following automorphisms from \( U_3 \):
\[ \varphi_p : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1 + x_2^p, \\ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \\ x_3 \mapsto x_3, \end{cases} \quad \chi : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1, \\ x_2 \mapsto x_2 + x_3, \\ x_3 \mapsto x_3, \end{cases} \quad \psi : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1, \\ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \\ x_3 \mapsto x_3 + 1, \end{cases} \]
where \( p = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let us show that a subgroup of \( G \), that is generated by elements \( \varphi_p, p = 1, 2, \ldots, \chi, \psi \) is not linear. By Mal’cev’s theorem which was formulated above, every soluble linear group is a finite extension of a normal subgroup commutator subgroup of which is nilpotent. If \( G \) is linear then for some natural \( l \) and \( r \) the following identity is true in \( G \):

\[
[\varphi^l_p, r[\chi^l, \psi^l]] = 1, \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

where \([a, 1b] = [a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab, [a, r] = [[a, r^{-1}b], b]\).

Using direct calculations we have

\[
[\chi^l, \psi^l] : x_2 \mapsto x_2 + l^2,
\]

\[
[\varphi^l_p, [\chi^l, \psi^l]] : x_1 \mapsto x_1 + l(x_2 + l^2)^p - lx_2^p,
\]

where on unrecorded generators this automorphism acts trivially (we will use this rule of action further). By induction for arbitrary natural \( m \) we get the formula

\[
[\varphi^l_p, m[\chi^l, \psi^l]] : x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k l(x_2 + l^2(m - k))^p,
\]

where \( C^k_m = \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} \).

We must show that for every natural numbers \( m \) an \( l \) there is a natural \( p \), such that the polynomial

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k l(x_2 + l^2(m - k))^p
\]

is not equal to zero. If we suppose in this polynomial \( x_2 = 0 \) then we get the number

\[
l^{2p+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k (m - k)^p
\]

and transforming the expression we have

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k (m - k)^p = \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k \sum_{r=0}^{p} C^r_p m^{p-r} (-1)^r k^r =
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{p} \left( C^r_p m^{p-r} (-1)^r \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k k^r \right).
\]

To find the sum

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k k^r
\]

consider the function

\[
f(\xi) = (1 - \xi)^m = \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k \xi^k
\]
with variable $\xi$. It is obvious that for arbitrary natural $r$ holds
\[
\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^r f(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k k^r \xi^k.
\]
Replace $\xi$ on $e^\zeta$, where $e$ is the base of natural logarithm and let $\zeta = 0$ we have
\[
\left(\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^r (1 - e^\zeta)^m \bigg|_{\zeta=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k k^r.
\]
Hence
\[
\sum_{r=0}^{p} \left( C^r_p m^{p-r} (-1)^r \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m (-1)^k k^r \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{p} \left( C^r_p m^{p-r} (1 - e^\zeta)^m \bigg|_{\zeta=0} = \left( m - \frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^p (1 - e^\zeta)^m \bigg|_{\zeta=0}. \right.
\]
If we take $p = m$ then
\[
\left( m - \frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^p (1 - e^\zeta)^m \bigg|_{\zeta=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} C^k_m m^{(m-k)} \left( -\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^k (1 - e^\zeta)^m \bigg|_{\zeta=0} = \left( -\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^m (1 - e^\zeta)^m \bigg|_{\zeta=0} = m!
\]
Hence for $p = m$ the automorphism $[\varphi^i_m, m[x^i, \psi^i]]$ acts on $x_1$ in nontrivial manner. Since the natural number $p$ can be arbitrary then the theorem is proved.

As was noted early the group of affine automorphisms is linear. From this in particular follows that $\text{Aut} A_1 = \text{Aut} P_1$ is linear. Thus remains opened the question about linearity of the group $\text{Aut} P_2$ which as well known is equal to $\text{Aut} A_2$.

§ 3. Generators and relations

Umirbaev \cite{5,6,6} defined some system of generators and relations for $\text{TAut} C_n$ and for group of automorphisms of algebra which is free in Shreier-Nilsen variety. In the present section we give some over system of generators and relations. At first, remember some facts from \cite{5,6,6}. The group $\text{TAut} C_n$ is generated by elementary automorphisms
\[
\varnothing(i, \alpha, f), \alpha \in K^*, f = f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x_i}, \ldots, x_n), i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
We will denote this set by $\mathcal{A}$. The generators from $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy the next relations which with correction on notation of automorphism $x^\varphi$ instead of $\varphi(x)$ have the form
\[
\varnothing(i, \alpha, f) \varnothing(i, \beta, g) = \varnothing(i, \alpha\beta, f + \alpha g), \quad (1)
\]
\[
\tau_{ks} \varnothing(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{ks} = \varnothing(i, \alpha, f^{	au_{ks}}), \quad k \neq i, \ s \neq i, \quad (2.1)
\]
\[
\tau_{is} \varnothing(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{is} = \varnothing(s, \alpha, f^{	au_{is}}), \quad (2.2)
\]
\[
\sigma(i, \alpha, f)^{-1} \sigma(j, \beta, g) \sigma(i, \alpha, f) = \sigma(j, \beta, g^{\sigma(i, \alpha, f)}), \quad f = f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, \hat{x}_j, \ldots, x_n),
\]

where \(\tau_{ks} = \sigma(s, 1, x_k) \sigma(k, 1, -x_s) \sigma(s, 1, x_k)\) is an automorphism of transposition which permutes the variables \(x_k\) and \(x_s\). For \(n = 3\) Umirbaev proved that the system of relations (1)–(3) is a system of defining relations. Using this assertion he proved that the kernel of the homomorphism \(TAut A_3 \rightarrow TAut P_3\) is generated as a normal subgroup by automorphisms \(\sigma(i, 1, f)\), where \(i = 1, \ldots, n\), and \(f\) is an arbitrary element from the commutator ideal of \(A_3\).

Define another set of generators of \(TAut C_n\) which similar to the set of generators introduced by Cohn \([12]\) for the group of automorphisms of free Lie algebra, and also Czerniakiewicz \([13]\) for \(Aut A_2\). Let \(\varphi(\alpha, f) = \sigma(1, \alpha, f)\), and by symbol \(\tau_{ks}, 1 \leq k \neq s \leq n\), we will denote an automorphism that permutes generator \(x_k\) and \(x_s\) and fixes other generators:

\[
\tau_{ks} : \begin{cases} 
  x_k \mapsto x_s, \\
  x_s \mapsto x_k, \\
  x_j \mapsto x_j, \quad \text{if} \quad j \neq k, s.
\end{cases}
\]

In these notations holds

**Theorem 5.** The group \(TAut C_n, n \geq 3\) is generated by the automorphisms \(\varphi(\alpha, f), \tau_{ks}, \alpha \in K^*, f = f(x_2, \ldots, x_n), 1 \leq k \neq s \leq n\), which satisfy the following relations:

\[
\tau_{ks}^2 = 1, \quad \tau_{ks} \tau_{lm} = \tau_{lm} \tau_{ks}, \quad \tau_{ks} \tau_{lk} \tau_{ks} = \tau_{ls}, \quad \{k, s\} \cap \{l, m\} = \emptyset,
\]

\*

(4)

\[
\varphi(\alpha, f) \varphi(\beta, g) = \varphi(\alpha \beta, \alpha g + f),
\]

\*

(5)

\[
\tau_{ks} \varphi(\alpha, g) \tau_{ks} = \varphi(\alpha, g^{\tau_{ks}}), \quad k \neq 1, s \neq 1,
\]

\*

(6)

\[
\tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, g)^{-1} \tau_{1i} \cdot \varphi(\beta, f) \cdot \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, g) \tau_{1i} = \varphi(\beta, f^{\tau_{1i}} \varphi(\alpha, g) \tau_{1i}), \quad g = (x_2, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_n).
\]

\*

(7)

For \(n = 3\) this system of relations is a system of defining relations for \(TAut C_n\).

**Proof.** Note that the generators \(\tau_{ks}\) and relations (4) define the symmetric group \(S_n\). Denote by \(B\) the system of generators from Theorem 4. Show that every generator from the set \(A\) express in terms of generators from \(B\). Consider \(\tau_{1i} \sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i}\). Acting by \(\tau_{1i} \sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i}\) on the generators we get

\[
x_1^{\tau_{1i} \sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i}} = x_i^{\sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i}} = (\alpha x_i + f)^{\tau_{1i}} = \alpha x_1 + f^{\tau_{1i}},
\]

\[
x_i^{\tau_{1i} \sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i}} = x_1^{\sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i}} = x_1^{\tau_{1i}} = x_i,
\]

\*

(8)

\[
x_j^{\tau_{1i} \sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i}} = x_j^{\sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i}} = x_j^{\tau_{1i}} = x_j, \quad \text{if} \quad j \neq 1, i.
\]

Hence

\[
\tau_{1i} \sigma(i, \alpha, f) \tau_{1i} = \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{1i}}).
\]

From this

\[
\sigma(i, \alpha, f) = \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{1i}}) \tau_{1i}.
\]

Therefore any element from \(A\) expresses in terms of generators from \(B\).
Rewrite the relations (1)–(3) in generators from $B$. Relation (1) takes the following form

$$
\tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} \cdot \tau_{1i} \varphi(\beta, g^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} = \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha \beta, f^{\tau_{i1}} + \alpha g^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i}.
$$

Conjugating both sides by automorphism $\tau_{1i}$ we get

$$
\varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \cdot \varphi(\beta, g^{\tau_{i1}}) = \varphi(\alpha \beta, f^{\tau_{i1}} + \alpha g^{\tau_{i1}}),
$$

but this is the relation (5).

Relation (2.1) for $i = 1$ has the form

$$
\tau_{ks} \sigma(1, \alpha, f) \tau_{ks} = \sigma(1, \alpha, f^{\tau_{ks}}), \ k \neq 1, s \neq 1
$$
or

$$
\tau_{ks} \varphi(\alpha, f) \tau_{ks} = \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{ks}}),
$$

but this is the relation (6).

Relation (2.2) in new generators has the form

$$
\tau_{is} \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} \tau_{is} = \tau_{is} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{is} \tau_{1i}}) \tau_{1is}.
$$

Conjugating both sides by automorphism $\tau_{1is}$ we get

$$
\tau_{1is} \tau_{is} \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} \tau_{1is} = \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{is} \tau_{1i}}).
$$

From (4) follows the equality

$$
\tau_{1is} \tau_{is} \tau_{1i} = \tau_{is},
$$

using which we have

$$
\tau_{is} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{is} = \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{is} \tau_{1i}}).
$$

Let

$$
f^{\tau_{i1}} = g(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_n)
$$

and from the equality $\tau_{is} \tau_{1is} = \tau_{1i} \tau_{is}$ we can write: $f^{\tau_{is} \tau_{1is}} = f^{\tau_{1is} \tau_{1is}} = g^{\tau_{is}}$ and this relation is reduced to

$$
\tau_{is} \varphi(\alpha, g) \tau_{is} = \varphi(\alpha, g^{\tau_{is}}),
$$

and this is (6).

Relation (3) in new generators has the form

$$
\tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}})^{-1} \tau_{1i} \cdot \tau_{1j} \varphi(\beta, g^{\tau_{j1}}) \tau_{1j} \cdot \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} = \tau_{1j} \varphi(\beta, g^{\tau_{i1} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} \tau_{1j}) \tau_{1j}.
$$

Conjugating both sides by automorphism $\tau_{1j}$ we get

$$
\tau_{1j} \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}})^{-1} \tau_{1i} \tau_{1j} \varphi(\beta, g^{\tau_{j1}}) \tau_{1j} \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} \tau_{1j} = \varphi(\beta, g^{\tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} \tau_{1j}) \tau_{1j}. \quad (8)
$$

Since $\tau_{1j} \tau_{1i} = \tau_{1i} \tau_{1j}$ then

$$
\tau_{1j} \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} \tau_{1j} = \tau_{1i} \tau_{1j} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1j} \tau_{1i} = \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, f^{\tau_{i1} \tau_{1j}}) \tau_{1i}, \quad (9)
$$

where we used the proven relation (6). Using (9) and note that the element $f^{\tau_{i1}} = h(x_2, \ldots, \hat{x}_j, \ldots, x_n)$ does not contain $x_1$ and $x_j$ we can rewrite (8) in the form

$$
\tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, h^{\tau_{i1}})^{-1} \tau_{1i} \cdot \varphi(\beta, g^{\tau_{j1}}) \cdot \tau_{1i} \varphi(\alpha, h^{\tau_{i1}}) \tau_{1i} = \varphi(\beta, g^{\tau_{i1} \varphi(\alpha, h) \tau_{1i} \tau_{1j})}. \quad (10)
$$
Let \( h^{\tau_i} = l(x_2, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_n), \ g^{\tau_j} = m(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) and take into account that \( l^{\tau_i} = h, \ m^{\tau_j} = g \) rewrite the equality (10) in the form
\[
\tau_{li} \varphi(\alpha, l)^{-1} \tau_{li} \cdot \varphi(\beta, m) \cdot \tau_{li} \varphi(\alpha, l) \tau_{li} = \varphi(\beta, m^{\tau_i} \varphi(\alpha, l^{\tau_j}) \tau_{li} \tau_{lj}).
\]
Using the equality \( \tau_{lj} \tau_{li} = \tau_{li} \tau_{lj} \) we can write
\[
\tau_{lj} \tau_{li} \varphi(\alpha, l^{\tau_j}) \tau_{li} \tau_{lj} = \tau_{li} \tau_{lj} \varphi(\alpha, l^{\tau_j}) \tau_{lj} \tau_{li} = \tau_{li} \varphi(\alpha, l) \tau_{li},
\]
and finally we have
\[
\tau_{li} \varphi(\alpha, l)^{-1} \tau_{li} \cdot \varphi(\beta, m) \cdot \tau_{li} \varphi(\alpha, l) \tau_{li} = \varphi(\beta, m^{\tau_i} \varphi(\alpha, l) \tau_{li})
\]
but this is the relation (7).

**Question 5.** What automorphisms we have to add to the set of the tame automorphisms in order that this set generates \( \text{Aut} C_5 \)?

**Question 6.** Is it possible to construct a normal form for words in \( \text{TAut} C_5 \)?

\[\text{§ 4. Some groups generated by two elements}\]

In this section we will consider subgroups which are generated by two elementary automorphisms. The full description of all these subgroups does not know even for two dimension linear groups (see the survey [14]). In particular, we do not known when two elementary transvections generat a free subgroup.

Consider 2-generated subgroups: \( \langle \sigma(i, \alpha, f), \sigma(j, \beta, g) \rangle \) of \( \text{Aut} C_n \). Depends weather index \( i \) equal to \( j \) or not, we will consider two cases, and without loss of generality we can assume that \( i = j = 1 \) or \( i = 1, \ j = 2 \). The following Theorem is valid.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \varphi = \sigma(1, \alpha, f), \ \psi = \sigma(2, \beta, g) \), \( \deg x_2 f = p, \ \deg x_1 g = q \). Then for \( p \cdot q \geq 2 \) the following isomorphism holds
\[
\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \simeq \langle \varphi \rangle \ast \langle \psi \rangle.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( w \) be a non-trivial word in the free product \( \langle \varphi \rangle \ast \langle \psi \rangle \). Let us show that \( w \) is representing a non-trivial element from \( \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \). We can assume that
\[
w \not\in \langle \varphi \rangle, \ w \not\in \langle \psi \rangle
\]
and using a conjugation if needed we can obtain that \( w \) has the form
\[
w = \varphi^{k_1} \psi^{l_1} \ldots \varphi^{k_m} \psi^{l_m}, \ k_i, l_i \in \mathbb{Z},
\]
where \( 0 < k_i < |\varphi| \) if the order \( |\varphi| \) of \( \varphi \) is finite and \( k_i \neq 0 \) if \( |\varphi| = \infty \); analogously \( 0 < l_i < |\psi| \) if the order \( |\psi| \) of \( \psi \) is finite and \( l_i \neq 0 \) if \( |\psi| = \infty \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).

Let a polynomial \( h \) from \( C_n \) have the form
\[
h = x_1^s A_0(x) + B_0(x),
\]
where \( s \geq 1 \), the polynomial \( A_0(x) \) does not contain the variables \( x_1, x_2 \), and total degree of the \( B_0(x) \) by the variables \( x_1, x_2 \) is strictly less than \( s \). Then
\[
h^{\varphi^{k_1} \psi^{l_1}} = \left( (\alpha^{k_1} x_1 + (1 + \alpha + \ldots + \alpha^{k_1-1}) \cdot f(\beta^{l_1} x_2 + (1 + \beta + \ldots + \beta^{l_1-1}) g, x_3, \ldots, x_n) \right)^s.
\]
\[ A_0(x) + B_0(\alpha^{k_1} x_1 + (1 + \alpha + \ldots + \alpha^{k_1-1}) f(\beta^{l_1} x_2 + (1 + \beta + \ldots + \beta^{l_1-1}) g, x_3, \ldots, x_n), \]
\[ \beta^{l_1} x_2 + (1 + \beta + \ldots + \beta^{l_1-1}) g, x_3, \ldots, x_n) . \]

From the conditions on \( A_0(x), B_0(x) \) and form of the left side we have
\[ h^{\phi \theta} = x_1^{spq} A_1(x) + B_1(x), \]
where \( A_1(x) \) does not contain \( x_1, x_2, \) and degree of \( B_1(x) \) by the variables \( x_1, x_2 \) is strictly less than \( spq \). By induction of the syllable length \( m \) of the word \( w \) we get that
\[ h^w = x_1^{s(pq)m} A_m(x) + B_m(x), \]
where \( A_m(x) \) does not contain \( x_1, x_2, \) and degree of the \( B_m(x) \) by the variables \( x_1, x_2 \) is strictly less than \( s(pq)m \). In particular, if \( h = x_1 \) then \( x_1^w \neq x_1 \). Hence \( w \) is a non-trivial element from the group \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \). For the free associative algebra \( A_n \) we must use the homomorphism \( A_n \rightarrow P_n \). Theorem is proved.

We do not know the structure of \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \) in the case of \( pq < 2 \). For example, if \( \phi = \sigma(1, 1, \mu x_2), \psi = \sigma(2, 1, \mu x_1), \mu \in \mathbb{C} \), then \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \) is isomorphic to the group which is generated by two transvections
\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mu \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mu & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \]
but for this group we do not know the conditions of \( \mu \) under which this group is free (see [14]).

We will describe some more cases when we can know the structure of \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \). Holds

**Proposition 2.** Let \( \phi = \sigma(1, \alpha, f), \psi = \sigma(1, \beta, g) \) where \( f \neq 0 \) and \( g \neq 0 \). Then
1) if \( \alpha \neq 1 \) or \( \beta \neq 1 \) then the group \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \) is metabelian;
2) if \( \alpha = \beta = 1 \) then
\[ \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if} \quad \frac{f}{g} \notin \mathbb{Q}, \\ \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if} \quad \frac{f}{g} \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** If \( \alpha \neq 1 \) or \( \beta \neq 1 \) then the commutator \([\phi, \psi]\) has the form
\[ [\phi, \psi] = \sigma(1, 1, \beta^{-1}(1 - \alpha^{-1})g - \alpha^{-1}(1 - \beta^{-1})f) \]
and lies in the abelian group \( G_1 \). Hence the group \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \) is metabelian.

If \( \alpha = \beta = 1 \) then \( a, b \in G_1 \) and
\[ x_1^{\phi \theta} = x_1 + k f + l g. \]
For \( f/g = p/q \) where \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \), the group \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \) is isomorphic to the subgroup \( \langle 1, p/q \rangle \) of the additive group of rational numbers. It is easy to see that this group is infinite cyclic group.

For \( f/g \notin \mathbb{Q} \) the inequality \( k f + l g \neq 0 \) is true for all integer numbers \( k, l \) which are not both equal to zero. Hence \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \). This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.** Let \( \phi = \sigma(1, \alpha, f), \psi = \sigma(2, \beta, g) \) where \( g \in K \). Then the group \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \) is a metabelian group.
Proof. Since the commutator $[\varphi, \psi]$ has the form

$$[\varphi, \psi] = \sigma(1, 1, \alpha^{-1} f(\beta x_2 + g, x_3, \ldots, x_n)) - \alpha^{-1} f(x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n),$$

then the derived subgroup of $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ lies in the abelian group $G_1$. Proposition is proved.

Question 7. Is it possible that a group, which is generated by two elementary automorphisms $\sigma(1, \alpha, f)$, $\sigma(2, \beta, g)$, is a solvable of degree more than 3?

The poisson group

$$G = \langle F_2 \times F_2, t \mid t(g, g)t^{-1} = (g, 1), \ g \in F_2 \rangle$$

was defined in [17]. This group is not linear. Also in [17] was proved that the group of automorphisms $\text{Aut} F_3$ of a free group $F_3$ contains the poisson group.

Question 8. Does the group $\text{Aut} C_n$ contain the poisson group?

Question 9. Does the Tits alternative hold in $\text{Aut} C_n$ or in $\text{TAut} C_n$?

§ 5. Elements of finite order in $\text{Aut} C_n$

Evidently that $\text{Aut} C_n$ contains as subgroup $\text{GL}_n(K)$ for $n \geq 2$ and hence contains elements of finite order. It is easy to describe elementary automorphisms which have finite orders.

Proposition 4. The automorphism $\sigma(i, \alpha, f)$ of $C_n$ has an order $m > 1$ if and only if the element $\alpha$ has the order $m$ in the multiplicative group of the field $K$.

Proof. If the automorphism $\sigma(i, \alpha, f)$ has the order $m_1 > 1$ then from the equality

$$x_i = x_i^{\sigma^{m_1}(i, \alpha, f)} = \alpha^{m_1} x_i + (1 + \alpha + \ldots + \alpha^{m_1 - 1}) f$$

follows that $\alpha \neq 1$, $\alpha^{m_1} = 1$, i. e. $\alpha$ is an element from $K^*$ of finite order $m_2$ and $1 < m_2 \leq m_1$. If $\alpha$ is an element from $K^*$ of the order $m_2$ and $m_2 > 1$ then $\alpha^{m_2} = 1$, $1 + \alpha + \ldots + \alpha^{m_2 - 1} = 0$. Hence $\sigma^{m_2}(i, \alpha, f) = 1$, i. e. the automorphism $\sigma(i, \alpha, f)$ has the finite order $m_1$ and $1 < m_1 \leq m_2$. Hence $m_1 = m_2$ and Proposition is proved.

We describe some subgroups of $\text{Aut} C_n$ that do not have a torsion. We will denote by $IA(C_n)$ a subgroup of $\text{Aut} C_n$ that is generated by automorphisms that are identical by module of an ideal $R^2$ where $R$ is an ideal that is generated by polynomials without free term. These subgroups are studied in the paper [11]. More generally, for any natural number $n \geq 1$ we define a subgroup $IA^{(k)}(C_n)$ that is generated by automorphisms that are identical by module of the ideal $R^{k+1}$. It is evident that

$$IA(C_n) = IA^{(1)}(C_n) \leq IA^{(2)}(C_n) \leq IA^{(3)}(C_n) \leq \ldots$$

Proposition 5. The group $IA(C_n)$ does not have a torsion.

Proof. Consider the case of $IA(P_n)$. Let $\varphi$ be an automorphism from $IA(P_n)$. Find the minimal natural number $k$ such that $\varphi$ is identical by the module $R^k$ but is not identical by the module $R^{k+1}$. Then by the modular $R^{k+1}$ the automorphism $\varphi$ has the form

$$\varphi: x_i \rightarrow x_i + f_i(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$$
where \( f_i(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( k \) or is equal to zero. Then by the module \( R^{k+1} \) we have

\[
\overline{\varphi}^m : x_i \mapsto x_i + mf_i(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

and it is evident that for every non-zero natural number \( m \) the automorphism \( \overline{\varphi}^m \) is not identical. Proposition is proved.

If we denote by \( TIA(C_n) \) the intersection of \( IA(C_n) \) and \( TA(C_n) \) then we can formulate

**Question 10.** Is it true that \( TIA(C_n) \) is generated by the automorphisms \( \sigma(i, 1, f), \ f = f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_n) \in R^2? \)

The following question is well known (see for example [15]).

**Question 11.** Is it true that any element of finite order from \( \text{Aut} \ C_n \) conjugates in \( \text{Aut} \ C_n \) to some element from \( \text{GL}_n(K) \)?

For involutions this question is formulated in [16, question 14.68].

For the elementary automorphisms hold

**Theorem 7.** Every non trivial automorphism \( \sigma(i, \alpha, f) \) of \( C_n \) conjugates to some diagonal automorphism if and only if \( \alpha \neq 1 \).

**Proof.** We will prove this theorem for the case \( i = 1 \). If \( \alpha \neq 1 \) then from the equality

\[
x_1^{\sigma^{-1}(1,1,-(\alpha-1)^{-1})f} = \alpha x_1^{\sigma(1,1,-(\alpha-1)^{-1})f}
\]

follows that \( \sigma(1, \alpha, f) \) conjugates to a diagonal automorphism by elementary automorphism \( \sigma(1,1,-(\alpha-1)^{-1})f \).

Let \( \alpha = 1 \). Assume that the following equality holds

\[
\varphi^{-1} \sigma(1, 1, f) \varphi = \delta,
\]

where \( \delta \) is a diagonal automorphism and \( \varphi \) is some automorphism from \( \text{Aut} \ C_n \). Denote by

\[
y_1 = x_1^\varphi, \quad y_2 = x_2^\varphi, \ldots, \quad y_n = x_n^\varphi.
\]

Evidently that the polynomials \( y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \) generate \( C_n \). Rewriting our equality in the form

\[
\sigma(1, 1, f) \varphi = \varphi \delta,
\]

and acting by the both sides on the generators \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) we get the equalities

\[
x_1^{\sigma(1,1,f)\varphi} = (x_1 + f(x_2, \ldots, x_n))^\varphi = y_1 + f(y_2, \ldots, y_n) = x_1^{\varphi \delta} = y_1^\delta,
\]

\[
x_2^{\sigma(1,1,f)\varphi} = x_2^\varphi = y_2 = x_2^{\varphi \delta} = y_2^\delta, \ldots, \quad y_n = y_n^\delta.
\]

Rewriting our equality in the form

\[
y_1^\delta - y_1 = f(y_2, \ldots, y_n),
\]

and acting on the both sides by automorphism \( \delta \) we have

\[
y_1^{\delta^2} - y_1^\delta = f(y_2^\delta, \ldots, y_n^\delta) = f(y_2, \ldots, y_n).
\]

Then

\[
(y_1^{\delta^2} - y_1^\delta) - (y_1^\delta - y_1) = y_1^{\delta^2} - 2y_1^\delta + y_1 = 0.
\]
Since $\delta$ acts on each monomial of the polynomial $y_1$ by dilatation then from the last equality follows that all coefficients of dilatation satisfy to the equation
\[ c^2 - 2c + 1 = 0, \]
i.e. all coefficients of dilation are equal to 1. Hence, $y_1^\delta = y_1$ and $\delta$ is the identical automorphism and then $\sigma(1, 1, f) = 1$ but it is contradiction. Theorem is proved.

It is readily seen that this theorem is true for the fields of non zero characteristic.

Let’s notice that if involution from $\text{Aut} \, C$ conjugates to a linear automorphism then it conjugate to some diagonal automorphism. Known that from the positive answer to the question about involutions follows the positive solution of Cancellation conjecture (see for example [18]). It is quite possible that this fact well known to specialists by polynomial maps but we did not find the proof in the literature. We will prove this fact following to idea of A. Ya. Belov.

**Cancellation conjecture.** Let $R$ be a finitely generated commutative algebra over a field $K$ and $R[z] \simeq K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y]$. Is it true that then $R$ is isomorphic to $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$?

The following statement holds.

**Theorem 8.** From the fact that any involution from $\text{Aut} \, P_n$ conjugates to some linear automorphism follows the positive solution of Cancellation conjecture.

**Proof.** Let the following isomorphism holds
\[ R[z] \simeq K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y]. \]
Without loss of generality we will assume that these algebras are not only isomorphic but equal. This allows us to use two different notations for elements. Denote the set of variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ by $x$. Consider an involution $\varphi$ of $R[z]$ that is defined by the rule:
\[ \varphi : \begin{cases} z \mapsto -z, \\ r \mapsto r, \quad r \in R. \end{cases} \]
By assumption the involution $\varphi$ of $K[x, y]$ conjugates to some linear automorphism. Then we can choose polynomials $f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x, y), g(x, y)$ from $K[x, y]$, on which $\varphi$ acts by the following manner:
\[ \varphi : \begin{cases} f_i \mapsto \varepsilon_i f_i, & \varepsilon_i = \pm 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \\ g \mapsto \varepsilon g, & \varepsilon = \pm 1, \end{cases} \]
and
\[ K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y] = K[f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g]. \]
Without loss of generality we can assume that
\[ \varphi : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto \varepsilon_i x_i, & \varepsilon_i = \pm 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \\ y \mapsto \varepsilon y, & \varepsilon = \pm 1. \end{cases} \]
Note that among the coefficients $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon$ only one is equal to $-1$. Indeed if we consider factor algebra of $K[x, y]$ by ideal $I$ that is generated by elements
\[ x_i x_j, x_i y, y^2, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \]
then we get an algebra which is isomorphic to the vector space $K^{n+1}$, and the automorphism $\varphi$ induces a linear operator with eigenvalues $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon, 1$. On the other hand the automorphism $\varphi$ induces on the factor algebra $R[z]/I$ a linear operator with the eigenvalues

$$-1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1,$$

since $z^\varphi = -z$, $r^\varphi = r$, $r \in R$.

Hence we can assume that $\varphi$ acts by the following manner:

$$x_1^\varphi = x_1, \ x_2^\varphi = x_2, \ldots, \ x_{n-1}^\varphi = x_{n-1}, \ y^\varphi = -y.$$

Write the element $z \in K[x, y]$ in the form

$$z = a_0(x) + a_1(x) y + \ldots + a_k(x) y^k,$$

where $a_i(x) \in K[x]$ and act by $\varphi$ we get the equality

$$z^\varphi = -z = a_0(x) - a_1(x) y + \ldots + (-1)^k a_k(x) y^k.$$

Hence $z$ contains only odd powers of $y$ and we can write

$$z = yp(x, y^2)$$

for some polynomial $p(x, y^2)$ which depends on $x, y^2$.

On the other hand we can decompose the element $y$ from $R[z]$ by the powers of $z$:

$$y = b_0(r) + b_1(r) z + \ldots + b_l(r) z^l,$$

where $b_l(r) \in R$. Taking into account the equality

$$y^\varphi = -y, \ z^\varphi = -z, \ r^\varphi = r, \ r \in R,$$

as well as above we get

$$y = z q(z^2, r),$$

for some polynomial $q(z^2, r)$ from $R[z]$. Hence

$$z = yp(x, y^2) = z q(z^2, r) p(x, y^2),$$

and from this

$$1 = q(z^2, r) p(x, y^2).$$

Last equality means that $p(x, y^2)$ is an invertible in $K[x, y]$ and $p(x, y^2) = p \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Then $z = yp$ and

$$R[z] = R[y] = K[x, y].$$

If we consider a factor algebra by an ideal $J$ that is generated by element $y$ and take into account that $R \cap J = 0$ we get $R = K[x]$. Theorem is proved.

Let us formulate a hypothesis from which follows the diagonalization of involutions. Let $\varphi$ be an involution from $\text{Aut} \ P_n$, i.e. $\varphi^2 = 1$. For an arbitrary polynomial $f$ from $P_n$ holds

$$(f + f^\varphi)^\varphi = f + f^\varphi, \ (f - f^\varphi)^\varphi = -(f - f^\varphi).$$

Hence we can write $f$ in the form

$$f = f_1 + f_2, \ f_1^\varphi = f_1, \ f_2^\varphi = -f_2.$$
where
\[ f_1 = \frac{f + f^\varphi}{2}, \quad f_2 = \frac{f - f^\varphi}{2}. \]

Therefore the space \( P_n \) is the direct sum
\[ P_n = \text{Fix} \varphi \oplus \text{IFix} \varphi, \]
where \( \text{Fix} \varphi \) is the set of polynomials that are fixed by the action of \( \varphi \), and \( \text{IFix} \varphi \) is the set of polynomials that reverse sign to the opposite by the action of \( \varphi \). Write the generator \( x_i \) in the form
\[ x_i = y_i + z_i, \quad y_i^\varphi = y_i, \quad z_i^\varphi = -z_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \]
and assume that holds

**Hypothesis.** From the set of polynomials \( y_i, z_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) it is possible to choose a basis of the algebra \( P_n \).

Then the automorphism \( \varphi \) has in this basis a diagonal matrix. Indeed, let us assume that
\[ K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = K[y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_{l+1}, \ldots, z_n]. \]
Consider the automorphism \( \psi \) that is defined by the following manner:
\[
\psi : \begin{cases} 
  x_i \mapsto y_i, & 1 \leq i \leq l, \\
  x_i \mapsto z_i, & l + 1 \leq i \leq n.
\end{cases}
\]
It is easy to check that
\[
x_i^{\psi \varphi \psi^{-1}} = x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq l, \\
x_j^{\psi \varphi \psi^{-1}} = -x_j, \quad l + 1 \leq j \leq n.
\]
Hence \( \varphi \) conjugates to a diagonal automorphism.

In the present article we considered the field \( K \) of zero characteristic.

**Question 12.** What from the results of the present article are true for fields of non-zero characteristic?

**References**

[1] I. P. Shestakov, U. U. Umirbaev, The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 17, N 1 (2004), 197–227.
[2] I. P. Shestakov, U. U. Umirbaev, Poisson brackets and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17, N 1 (2004), 181–196.
[3] I. P. Shestakov, U. U. Umirbaev, The Nagata automorphism is wild. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 100, N 22 (2003), 12561–12563.
[4] I. P. Shestakov, U. U. Umirbaev, Subalgebras and automorphisms of polynomial rings. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk, 386, N 6 (2002), 745–748.
[5] U. U. Umirbaev, Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of polynomial rings, and wild automorphisms of free associative algebras. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk, 407, N 3 (2006), 319–324.
[6] U. U. Umirbaev, Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of polynomial algebras in three variables, J. Reine Angew. Math. 600 (2006), 203–235.
[7] U. U. Unirbaev, Defining relations for automorphism groups of free algebras, J. Algebra 314, N 1 (2007), 209–225.
[8] V. A. Roman’kov, I. V. Chirkov, M. A. Shevelin, Nonlinearity of the automorphism groups of some free algebras Syberian Math. J, 45, N 5 (2004), 974–977.
[9] A. I. Mal’cev, On some classes of infinite soluble groups. (Russian) Mat. Sbornik N.S. 28(70), (1951), 567–588.
[10] Yu. V. Sosnovskii, The hypercentral structure of the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a polynomial algebra Syberian Math. J, 48, N 3 (2007), 555–558.
[11] C. K. Gupta, V. M. Levchuk, Yu. Yu. Ushakov, Hypercentral and monic automorphisms of classical algebras, rings and groups. J. of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics & Physics, 1, N 4 (2008), 380–390.
[12] P. M. Cohn, Superalgebras of free associative algebras. Pros. London. Math. Soc., 14, 1964, 618–632.
[13] A. J. Czerniakiewicz, Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2. Part I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 160, 1971, 393–401.
[14] Ju. I. Merzljakov, Linear groups. (Russian) Algebra, Topology, Geometry, Vol. 16 (Russian), 35–89, 247, VINITI, Moscow, 1978.
[15] H. Kraft, G. Schwarz, Finite automorphisms of affine N-space, Automorphisms of affine spaces, Proceedings of a Conference held in Curacao (Netherlands Antilles), July 4–8, 1994, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995, 55–66.
[16] The Kourovka notebook. Unsolved problems in group theory. Sixteenth edition. Including archive of solved problems. Edited by V. D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro. Russian Academy of Sciences Siberian Division, Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 2006. 178 pp.
[17] E. Formanek, C. Procesi, The automorphism groups of a free group is not linear, J. Algebra, 149 (1992), 494–499.
[18] A. A. Mikhalev, V. Shpilrain, Jie-Tai Yu, Combinatorial methods: free groups, polynomials, and free algebras, Springer-Verlag New-York Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
E-mail address: bardakov@math.nsc.ru

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
E-mail address: neshch@mail.ru

Novosibirsk State Pedagogical University, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630126, Russia
E-mail address: yury_sosnovsky@mail.ru