Further investigation of the characteristics and biological function of *Eimeria tenella* apical membrane antigen 1
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**Abstract** – Apical membrane antigen 1 (AMA1) is a type I integral membrane protein that is highly conserved in apicomplexan parasites. Previous studies have shown that *Eimeria tenella* AMA1 (EtAMA1) is critical for sporozoite invasion of host cells. Here, we show that EtAMA1 is a microneme protein secreted by sporozoites, confirming previous results. Individual and combined treatment with antibodies of EtAMA1 and its interacting proteins, *E. tenella* rhoptry neck protein 2 (EtRON2) and *Eimeria*-specific protein (EtESP), elicited significant anti-invasion effects on the parasite in a concentration-dependent manner. The overexpression of EtAMA1 in DF-1 cells showed a significant increase of sporozoite invasion. Isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) coupled with LC-MS/MS were used to screen differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in DF-1 cells transiently transfected with EtAMA1. In total, 3953 distinct nonredundant proteins were identified and 163 of these were found to be differentially expressed, including 91 upregulated proteins and 72 downregulated proteins. The DEPs were mainly localized within the cytoplasm and were involved in protein binding and poly(A)-RNA binding. KEEG analyses suggested that the key pathways that the DEPs belonged to included melanogenesis, spliceosomes, tight junctions, and the FoxO and MAPK signaling pathways. The data in this study not only provide a comprehensive dataset for the overall protein changes caused by EtAMA1 expression, but also shed light on EtAMA1’s potential molecular mechanisms during *Eimeria* infections.
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**Résumé** – Étude approfondie des caractéristiques et de la fonction biologique de l’antigène 1 de la membrane apicale d’*Eimeria tenella*. L’antigène 1 de la membrane apicale (AMA1) est une protéine membranaire intégrale de type I hautement conservée chez les parasites Apicomplexa. Des études antérieures ont montré que l’AMA1 d’*Eimeria tenella* (EtAMA1) était importante pour l’invasion des cellules hôtes par les sporozoites. Nous montrons ici qu’EtAMA1 est une protéine des micronèmes sécrétée par les sporozoites, confirmant les résultats précédents. Un traitement individuel et combiné avec des anticorps d’EtAMA1 et de ses protéines en interaction, la protéine 2 du cou des rhoptries d’*E. tenella* (EtRON2) et la protéine spécifique d’*Eimeria* (EtESP), a provoqué des effets anti-invasion significatifs et dépendants de la concentration sur le parasite. La surexpression d’EtAMA1 dans les cellules DF-1 a montré une augmentation significative de l’invasion par les sporozoites. Des marqueurs isobares pour la quantification relative et absolue (iTRAQ) couplés à LC-MS/MS ont été utilisés pour cibler des protéines exprimées différemmentiellement (PED) dans des cellules DF-1 transfectées de manière transitoire avec EtAMA1. Au total, 3953 protéines non redondantes distinctes ont été identifiées et 163 d’entre elles se sont révélées exprimées de manière différentielle, dont 91 régulées à la hausse et 72 régulées à la baisse. Les PED étaient principalement localisées dans le cytoplasme et étaient impliquées dans la liaison aux protéines et la liaison au poly (A)-ARN. Les analyses de KEEG ont suggéré que les voies clés auxquelles appartaient les PED comprenaient la mélanogenèse, les épissosomes, les jonctions étroites et les voies de signalisation FoxO et MAPK. Les données de cette étude fournissent non seulement un ensemble de données complet pour les modifications globales des protéines causées par l’expression d’EtAMA1, mais mettent également en lumière les mécanismes moléculaires potentiels d’EtAMA1 pendant les infections par *Eimeria*.
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Introduction

Parasites of the phylum Apicomplexa are responsible for some of the most devastating and burdensome diseases to humans and animals worldwide, including malaria, cryptosporidiosis, toxoplasmosis, and coccidiosis [5]. Host-cell invasion is an essential step in the life cycle and pathogenesis of apicomplexan parasites. A conserved feature of host cell invasion by these protozoan pathogens is the formation of an intimate and circumferential contact area with the host cell, called the moving junction (MJ) [1]. Initially formed at the apical tip of the invading parasite, the MJ is important for successful invasion as it represents a ring-like region of contact between the surfaces of the invading parasite and the host cell as the invaginated host plasma membrane is forced inward by the penetrating parasite [38].

Previous reports on Toxoplasma and Plasmodium detailed the composition of the MJ complex, which mainly includes rhoptry neck proteins (RONs) and apical membrane antigen1 (AMA1) [25]. More precisely, the parasite exports the microtubule-associated protein, AMA1, to its own surface and the rhoptry neck RON2 protein is the receptor inserted into the host cell with other RON partners [28]. Of all the MJ components, AMA1 is the best characterized. Initially identified in P. knowlesi nearly 40 years ago [13], AMA1 is a type I integral membrane protein that is highly conserved in apicomplexan parasites [6]. Numerous lines of evidence have found that AMA1 mediates invasion or attachment of Toxoplasma tachyzoites [24], Plasmodium merozoites [25], Neospora tachyzoites [45], and Babesia merozoites [33] to their respective host cells.

Eimeria tenella is widely considered to be the most economically relevant and well-known of the seven Eimeria species that cause coccidiosis in chickens [10]. Eimeria tenella has a complex life cycle that includes two major asexual developmental stages, including sporozoites and the merozoites [21]. The expressed sequence tags (ESTs) of the sporozoites and the merozoites were analyzed, and some ESTs exhibited homology with AMA1 [27]. Proteomic comparisons of four E. tenella life-cycle stages found that EtAMA1 was detected only in sporozoites [20]. Jiang et al. characterized EtAMA1 and found that it was expressed at higher levels in sporozoites than in other developmental stages [18]. Specific EtAMA1 antibodies, recombinant proteins, or binding peptides can significantly inhibit sporozoite invasion of host cells [18, 23, 29].

EtAMA1 can interact with E. tenella rhoptry neck protein 2 (EtRON2), microneme protein 2 (EtMIC2), and an Eimeria-specific protein (EtESP) (GenBank accession No. XM_013373193) [41, 44]. Other putative EtAMA1-interacting proteins include E. tenella putative cystathionine beta-synthase, four conserved hypothetical proteins (one in the serine/threonine protein kinase family), and seven unknown proteins, but other putative proteins must be further identified [16]. Thus, this study further characterized the function and mechanism of EtAMA1 during Eimeria host cell invasion.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All animal procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Shanghai Veterinary Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science. Experiments were conducted in accordance with animal ethics guidelines and approved protocols.

Parasites, birds, and cells

The Shanghai strain of E. tenella was maintained and propagated by regular in vivo passage through 2-week-old Yellow chickens reared under specific pathogen-free conditions [22]. Unsporulated oocysts, sporulated oocysts, sporozoites, and second-generation merozoites were collected and purified, as described previously [34]. The chicken embryo fibroblast cell line, DF-1, was cultured in complete medium (CM) (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (Gibco BRL, Paisley, UK) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Gibco), and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco).

EtAMA1 secretion assays

To test the secretion of EtAMA1, E. tenella microneme 2 protein (EtMIC2) was used as the experimental control [35, 43]. A total of 4 x 10^6 fresh sporozoites were resuspended in 100 µL PBS or complete medium and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C or at 41 °C. Then 5 µM, 10 µM, or 20 µM of staurosporine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), a protein kinase inhibitor known to specifically inhibit microneme secretion [9, 19], dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or solvent control (DMSO), was added, as described previously [19]. Sporozoites were then pelleted by centrifugation for 5 min at 6000 xg (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5810R). Supernatants containing excretory-secretory antigens (ESAs) were harvested and EtAMA1 and EtMIC2 secretion was analyzed by western blotting with anti-rEtAMA1 or anti-rEtMIC2 antibodies, respectively [18, 43].

Immunofluorescence analysis

To identify co-localization of EtAMA1 and its interaction proteins, EtRON2 and EtESP, in E. tenella, the purified sporozoites were transferred to glass slides and air-dried. Sporozoites were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS, air-dried, and permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min. The slides were blocked with 2% (w/v) bovine serum albumin in PBS for 2 h at 37 °C and incubated with polyclonal anti-rEtAMA1 mouse serum, and anti-rEtESP or anti-rEtRON2 rabbit serum [43] diluted in PBS (1:100) at 37 °C for 2 h. Slides were then incubated with Alexa Fluor™ 488 chicken anti-rabbit IgG or Alexa Fluor™ 647 chicken anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies (1:500 dilution) for 1 h at 37 °C. Nuclei were stained with 2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-indolecarbamidine dihydrochloride (Beyotime) (10 mg/mL) for 20 min at room temperature. After each step, the slides were washed three times for 10 min each with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20. Slides were then mounted using Fluoromount Aqueous Mounting Medium (Sigma-Aldrich) and observed under a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss, Germany).
Inhibition assays

A neutralization assay with antibodies was used to assess the potential involvement of EtAMA1 and its interaction proteins, EiRON2 and EiESP, in host cell invasion by E. tenella sporozoites. The anti-EiAMA1, anti-EiRON2, and anti-EiESP antibodies were purified using protein A + G agarose (Beyotime), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DF-1 cells (2 × 10^5 cells per well) were cultivated in 24-well plates (Corning, NY, USA) in CM for 12 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Freshly purified sporozoites were marked using carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE; Beyotime Biotechnology), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The labelled sporozoites were preincubated at 37 °C for 2 h with the purified anti-EiAMA1, rEiESP or rEiRON2 IgG, or combinations of anti-EiAMA1 and rEiESP IgGs (with a ratio of 1:1), or anti-EiAMA1 and rEiRON2 IgGs (with a ratio of 1:1) at concentrations of 100, 200, 400, or 600 μg/mL [26]. The same amount of IgG from naive rabbit serum (Sigma-Aldrich) and IgG-untreated sporozoites were used as controls. Sporozoites were added to DF-1 cells for 8 h at 41 °C and 5% CO₂ with a sporozoite/cell ratio of 3:1. The cells were washed, trypsinized, harvested, and analyzed using a flow cytometer (model Cytomix FC500; Beckman Coulter, USA). The infected cells, non-infected cells and free sporozoites were gated using the software RXP for subsequent delineation and counting of the infected (containing the labeled sporozoites) and non-infected (fluorescence-free) cells. Three replicates were performed for all the tests, and a total of three tests were conducted. The percentage of infected cells in the presence or absence of inhibitory antibodies was calculated as previously described [17].

In vitro sporozoite invasion of transiently EtAMA1-transfected DF-1 cells

The extracellular domain I of EtAMA1 (GenBank accession No. LN610018.1) was amplified using the primers: 5’-GC-GAATTCATGGGACCCCATCTTTGCGAAAAAC-3’ and 5’-GCAGA TCTGCACTTTG TCTCCAGTGC-3’ containing EcoRI and BgIII restriction sites (underlined). The PCR products were ligated into the pcDNA3.1(+) vector and designated as pcDNA3.1(+-)EtAMA1. The constructed plasmid was sequenced and purified using a Qiagen Plasmid Giga Kit (Qiagen). The plasmid concentration was determined by spectrophotometry at 260 nm.

A monolayer of 80–90% confluent DF-1 cells in six-well plates was transfected with pcDNA3.1(+-)EtAMA1 or pcDNA3.1(+) using Lipofectamine® (Invitrogen). Six hours later, the DNA-transfection reagent mixture was replaced by opti-MEM. At 48 h post-transfection, the expression of EtAMA1 in transfected DF-1 cells was confirmed by indirect fluorescent antibody testing (IFA) (Dong et al. [15]). The proliferation of transfected cells was determined using Cell Counting Kit-8 (Beyotime), according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

The pcDNA3.1(+-)EtAMA1 or pcDNA3.1(+) transfected DF-1 cells were invaded by fluorescently-labeled E. tenella sporozoites with CFSE at a ratio of three sporozoites per cell for 8 h at 41 °C, and then subjected to flow cytometry to determine the invasion rate. The sporozoite-infected un-treated DF-1 cells were the control group.

iTRAQ-based comparative proteomic analysis of transiently EtAMA1-transfected DF-1 cells

The pcDNA3.1(+-)EtAMA1-transfected and empty vector pcDNA3.1(+-) transfected DF-1 cells were seeded into T25 culture flasks and cultured in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37 °C for 48 h. Each group was treated with three independent biological replicates. The transfected cells were washed three times with chilled PBS, collected with cell scrapers, and homogenized in lysis buffer (4% SDS, 1 mM DTT, 150 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, protease inhibitor), then incubated for 3 min in boiling water and sonicated twice on ice, and clarified by centrifugation at 16,000 × g at 25 °C for 10 min. Protein content was determined using the BCA protein assay reagent (Beyotime). Protein digestion, iTRAQ labeling, peptide fractionation using strong cation exchange (SCX) chromatography, and liquid chromatography (LC)-electrospray ionization tandem MS (MS/MS) analyses with Q Exactive were conducted as previously described [4, 40, 42].

MS/MS spectra were searched using the Mascot 2.2 engine against the UniProt Gallus gallus database (36,539 sequences, downloaded on June 9, 2018) and the decoy database. For identification, the following options were used. Peptide mass tolerance = 20 ppm, MS/MS tolerance = 0.1 Da, enzyme = trypsin. Missed cleavage = 2, fixed modification: carbamidomethyl (C), iTRAQ8plex (K), iTRAQ8plex(N-term), variable modification: oxidation (M), and FDR ≤ 0.01. To define up- and downregulated proteins, the levels for significantly differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) were set at a fold change > 1.2 and < 0.83, respectively, with a p-value < 0.05 [32, 47].

The Gene Ontology (GO) program, Blast2GO (https://www.blast2go.com/), was used to annotate DEPs to create histograms of GO annotations. For pathway analysis, the DEPs were mapped to the terms in the KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) database using the KAAS program (http://www.genome.jp/kaas-bin/kaas_main).

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS version 20.0 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA).

The significance of differences in sporozoite invasion rates or inhibitory percentages between the groups was evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the mean values were compared using Duncan’s multiple range test. Differences were considered significant and extremely significant if p < 0.05 and < 0.01, respectively.

Results

EtAMA1 is secreted by micronemes

To determine whether EtAMA1 is a secreted protein, sporozoites were incubated in PBS and CM at 4 °C or 41 °C,
respectively. Western blotting showed that EtAMA1 was secreted when sporozoites were incubated at 41 °C in CM, and EtMIC2 was secreted in PBS and CM at 4 °C or 41 °C (Fig. 1a). Therefore, EtAMA1 secretion was stimulated by FCS and is temperature-dependent.

To demonstrate that EtAMA1 secretion was dependent on the micronemal pathway, staurosporine was used as this protein kinase inhibitor specifically inhibits micronemal secretion [9, 19]. In sporozoites treated with staurosporine, secretion of EtAMA1 or EtMIC2 in the supernatants decreased in a concentration-dependent manner with increases in inhibitor concentrations (Fig. 1b).

Co-localization of EtAMA1, and EtESP and EtRON2 in parasites

The locations of EtAMA1 and its interacting proteins, EtESP and EtRON2, were detected in sporozoites incubated in culture medium. IFAs showed that EtAMA1, EtESP and EtRON2 were distributed to the apical end of sporozoites (Figs. 2a and 2b), indicating that the three proteins function in the same location.

In vitro invasion inhibition assay

To study the ability of antibodies to block sporozoite invasion in DF-1 cells, anti-rEtAMA1, anti-rEtESP and anti-rEtRON2 IgGs with different concentrations were added to sporozoites and incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. All three antibodies demonstrated high concentration-dependent inhibitory effects on sporozoite invasion into DF-1 cells compared to non-immunized IgGs where minimal changes in invasion were observed. When the antibody concentration was 600 g/mL, the inhibition of invasion rates in the EtAMA1, EtESP, and EtRON2 antibody-treatment groups were 40.9%, 33.1%, and 41.1%, respectively, which were significantly higher than that of non-immunized IgGs (p < 0.001) (Fig. 3a). When combinations of anti-rEtAMA1 and anti-rEtESP, or anti-rEtAMA1 and anti-rEtRON2 were used, an inhibitory effect was also observed, but the level of inhibition was lower than that of the individual antibodies at the same concentration. When the antibody concentration was 600 μg/mL, the inhibition of invasion rates of the anti-rEtAMA1 and anti-rEtESP, or anti-rEtAMA1 and anti-rEtRON2-treatment groups were 21% and 21.3%, respectively, which were higher than those of non-immunized IgGs (p < 0.05) (Fig. 3b).

In vitro sporozoite invasion of DF-1 cells transiently transfected with EtAMA1

To evaluate the effect of EtAMA1 up-regulation on sporozoite invasion, the recombinant plasmid, pcDNA3.1(+) (Fig. 5a). Additionally, some of the proteins were predicted
to be cytoplasmic (64%) or intracellular (14%) (Fig. 5b).
Moreover, proteins were assigned to protein binding (34%),
binding (20%), and poly(A)-RNA binding (15%) categories
(Fig. 5c). KEGG pathway analyses of the DEPs showed that
they were involved in melanogenesis, the spliceosome, tight
junctions, and the FoxO and MAPK signaling pathways
(Fig. 6).

Discussion
The full-length cDNA of EtAMA1 was 2349 bp, with a
1608 bp open reading frame encoding a protein of 535 amino
acids. Analysis of the amino acid sequences revealed that
EtAMA1 is a type I integral membrane protein with a transmem-
brane domain, a signal peptide, an ectodomain, a cytoplasmic C
terminal domain, and an AMA1 superfamily domain [18].
EtAMA1 also contained the 16 invariant Cys residues that are
encoded in the ectoplasmic region of all characterized apicom-
plexan AMA1 proteins [11, 30] and contribute to disulﬁde bind-
ing. The pattern of these 16 Cys residues prompted the
suggestion that the mature ectodomain folds as an N-terminal
pro-sequence and three domains (DI, DII, and DIII) [30]. In
the present study, secretion assays showed that EtAMA1 was
secreted when sporozoites were incubated at 41 °C in the
presence of FCS. Additionally, secretion was inhibited by
staurosporine, a protein kinase inhibitor known to speciﬁcally
inhibit microneme secretion in Toxoplasma gondii and the
secretion of EtMIC3 [9, 19]. These results indicate that EtAMA1
is a microneme protein secreted by sporozoites, and its secretion
is regulated by FCS and temperature. Our ﬁndings are also
consistent with a prior study [29].
Comparison of RNAseq datasets from unsporulated oocysts, sporulated oocysts, sporozoites, 2nd-generation merozoites, and the gametocyte lifecycle stages of *E. tenella* had shown that *EtAMA1* transcription was stage-specific [31, 39]. The polyclonal mouse serum raised against rEtAMA1 detected native EtAMA1 in sporulated oocysts and sporozoites, but not in merozoites, thus indicating that EtAMA1 is a sporozoite-specific protein [29]. Immunofluorescent antibody staining of sporozoites with mouse anti-rEtAMA1 showed an apical localization within sporozoites [18, 29]. Blocking EtAMA1 by antibodies, recombinant proteins, or small peptides can significantly inhibit *E. tenella* sporozoite invasion in host cells [18, 23, 29]. To examine whether *E. tenella* sporozoite invasion was also regulated by the overexpression of *EtAMA1*, invasion experiments were performed with EtAMA1-transfected DF-1 cells. The results showed that the sporozoite invasion of EtAMA1-transfected DF-1 cells was significantly higher than that of the control group, thus indicating that the overexpression of EtAMA1 could promote sporozoite invasion.

Previous studies showed that in *T. gondii* and *Plasmodium*, AMA1 interacts directly with rhoptry neck protein 2 (RON2), which is secreted from the parasite rhoptries and specifically localizes to the MJ. The RON2-AMA1 interaction is a critical step in MJ-dependent invasion of host cells by apicomplexan parasites [8, 37]. To understand the precise functions of EtAMA1 during host-cell invasion, Han et al. screened EtAMA1-interacting proteins in *E. tenella* sporozoites, and a total of 14 putative interaction proteins were obtained [16]. Some putative EtAMA1-interacting proteins were selected to confirm their interaction with EtAMA1 using BiFC and GST pull-down assays, and it was found that *E. tenella* rhoptry neck protein 2 (EtRON2), microneme protein 2 (EtMIC2), and *Eimeria*-specific protein (EtESP) interacted with EtAMA1 [41, 44]. In the present study, IFAs showed that the distribution of EtAMA1, EtESP, and EtRON2 in sporozoites was similar (at the apical end of sporozoites), further verifying their interaction. When anti-rEtAMA1, anti-rEtESP or anti-rEtRON2 IgGs were incubated with sporozoites, their invasion decreased significantly, indicating that these three proteins were involved in sporozoite invasion. However, combinations of anti-rEtAMA1 with anti-rEtESP, anti-rEtAMA1 and anti-rEtRON2 at a ratio of 1:1 exhibited less inhibition than that of the individual antibodies at the same concentration. These data suggested that the combination of different functional antibodies could not provide a more potent reduction in invasion than single antibodies. Our findings are inconsistent with the previous study [26].

EtAMA1 plays an important role in sporozoite invasion, but its molecular mechanism remains unknown. To investigate the effect of EtAMA1 on host cells, the proteomic changes of transfected DF-1 cells were investigated using iTRAQ. iTRAQ has become a powerful quantitative proteomic method with advantages over traditional proteomic techniques. Such advantages include higher throughput, increased sensitivity, and greater accuracy. iTRAQ has been used successfully to explore host-pathogen interactions for viruses [15, 40], bacteria [48], and parasites [46]. The results of proteomic analyses showed that...
Figure 5. Gene ontology analysis of 163 proteins differentially expressed in DF-1 cells transiently transfected with EtAMA1. Proteins were annotated based on biological process, cellular component, and molecular function.
A total of 163 proteins were significantly differentially expressed in DF-1 cells after being transiently transfected with EtAMA1. GO analyses showed that 73% of DEPs were involved in binding, including protein binding, RNA binding, and poly (A)-RNA binding. Thus, the data indicated that host binding was strongly affected by transient transfection with EtAMA1.

Previous reports showed that transiently-expressed heterologous proteins could significantly change cell proteomes, and in particular, proteins involved in different pathways [12, 36]. In the present study, KEGG analyses showed that multiple DEPs were involved in MAPK, FoxO, Wnt, Adipocytokine, ErbB GnRH, and AGE-RAGE signaling pathways. These networks included eight DEPs, including four up- and four downregulated proteins. Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain (TPM1), heat shock protein 70 (HSP70), dual specificity protein phosphatase 4 (DUSP4), and Wnt-11 (WNT11) were upregulated in DF-1 cells transfected with EtAMA1. Such upregulations may impact actin cytoskeleton organization, cellular responses, and dephosphorylation. Signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT2), calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase II alpha (CAMK2A), muscle RAS oncogene homolog (MRAS), and TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) were downregulated. Such downregulations may impact cell signal transducer activity, regulation of metabolic processes, cytoskeletal reorganization, and cell survival. Hence, we predicted that the transfection of EtAMA1 could activate specific signaling pathways in host cells, which is critical for parasite invasion and development.

The cytoskeleton is a cellular scaffolding contained within the cytoplasm. It maintains cell shape, provides mechanical strength, directs locomotion, regulates chromosome separation during mitosis and meiosis, and regulates the intracellular transport of organelles in cells [14]. In the context of *Eimeria* infections, changes in the cytoskeleton of the host cells affect cell adhesion and migration, and may play an important role in the invasion and development of *Eimeria* spp. In the present study, several cytoskeleton-related proteins, including TPM1, alpha-actinin-1 (ACTN1), myosin regulatory light chain interacting protein, septin-2, and keratin were significantly upregulated by the transfection of EtAMA1. Such upregulations may impact cytoskeletal organization, and cell migration and movement tendency. These observations clearly indicated that changes in the cytoskeleton of cells affect cell adhesion and migration, which may play an important role in the invasion and development of *Eimeria* spp.

In conclusion, the present study revealed that EtAMA1 was secreted by micronemes. Both rEtAMA1-specific antibodies and its interacting proteins, EtESP, EtRON2, inhibited parasite invasion, and the overexpression of EtAMA1 in cells promoted sporozoite invasion, thus suggesting that EtAMA1 was involved in host-cell sporozoite invasion. The proteomic changes in EtAMA1-tranfected DF-1 cells were analyzed using an iTRAQ-based proteomic method. Our analyses of the DEPs were comprehensive, but further studies are necessary to understand the functions of the identified proteins regulated by EtAMA1 in DF-1 cells. Such studies will be important to understand the precise functions and molecular mechanisms of EtAMA1 during *Eimeria* host-cell invasion.
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