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Abstract

The elliptic Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) system is a multi-component generalization of the lattice potential KdV equation, whose soliton solutions are associated with an elliptic Cauchy kernel (i.e., a Cauchy kernel on the torus). In this paper we generalize the class of solutions by using a Sylvester type matrix equation and rederiving the system from the associated Cauchy matrix. Our starting point is the Sylvester equation in the form of $kM + Mk = rc^T - gK^{-1}rc^T K^{-1}$ where $k$ and $K$ are commutative matrices and obey the matrix relation $k^2 = K + 3e_1I + gK^{-1}$. The obtained elliptic equations, both discrete and continuous, are formulated by the scalar function $S(i,j)$ which is defined using $(k, K, M, r, c)$ and constitute an infinite size symmetric matrix. Lax pairs for both the discrete and continuous system are derived. The explicit solution $M$ of the Sylvester equation and generalized solutions of the obtained elliptic equations are presented according to the canonical forms of matrix $k$.
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1 Introduction

The following elliptic lattice potential Korteweg-de Vries KdV (elpKdV) system

\begin{align}
(a + b + u - \hat{u})(a - b + \hat{u} - \bar{u}) &= a^2 - b^2 + g(\hat{s} - \bar{s})(\hat{s} - s), \\
(\hat{s} - s)(\hat{w} - \bar{w}) &= [(a + u)s - (b + u)\bar{s}]\hat{s} - [(a - \hat{u})\bar{s} - (b - \hat{u})s]\bar{s}, \\
(\bar{s} - \hat{s})(\bar{w} - w) &= [(a - \bar{u})s + (b + \bar{u})\hat{s}]\bar{s} - [(a + \hat{u})\hat{s} + (b - \hat{u})s]\hat{s}, \\
(a + u - \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{s}})(a - \bar{u} + \frac{w}{s}) &= a^2 - R(s\bar{s}), \\
(b + u - \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{s}})(b - \bar{u} + \frac{w}{s}) &= b^2 - R(s\bar{s})
\end{align}

and the continuous elliptic potential Korteweg-de Vries KdV (epKdV) system

\begin{align}
s_t &= 4s_{xxx} + 6s_x[R(s^2) - A^2 - \frac{2As_x}{s} - \frac{2s_{xx}}{s}], \\
A_t &= 4A_{xxx} - 6A^2 A_x + 6A_x R(s^2) - \frac{6s_x}{s} (R(s^2))_x,
\end{align}
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with $A = -u + \frac{w}{s}$ were first derived in [1] through the direct linearisation approach. Here $R(x)$ is associated with the elliptic curve
\begin{equation}
y^2 = R(x) = \frac{1}{x} + 3e_1 + gx,
\end{equation}
where $e_1, g \in \mathbb{C}$ are moduli of the elliptic curve. In equation (1.1) we use the conventional tilde-hat notations to express shifts w.r.t. discrete variables, e.g.,
\begin{equation}
u \doteq u(n, m) \doteq u_{n,m}, \quad \tilde{u} \doteq u_{n+1,m}, \quad \hat{u} \doteq u_{n,m+1}, \quad \tilde{\hat{u}} \doteq u_{n+1,m+1}.
\end{equation}
The direct linearisation approach starts from an integral over certain set of contours, from which an infinite order matrix $U$ is introduced. Then the closed forms of the elements of $U$ yield nonlinear lattice equations (as examples, see [1–4]).

In this paper, we will rederive the above two elliptic systems using the Cauchy matrix approach, which was successfully applied in [5, 6] to derive integrable lattice equations and to analyse their underlying structures. The direct linearisation approach and the Cauchy matrix approach look different w.r.t. their individual procedures, but in fact they are deeply related together. The former needs a Cauchy kernel as a key auxiliary role and both approaches make use of a same infinite order matrix $U$ of which elements generate scaler nonlinear equations. We use the notation $S$ for this infinite order matrix in our paper.

The Cauchy matrix approach is a pure algebraic procedure and it enables us to obtain equations, their explicit soliton solutions and Lax pairs. In the Cauchy matrix approach, the Sylvester equation
\begin{equation}
AM - MB = C
\end{equation}
can be viewed as a starting point [7, 8]. The matrix $M$ is a dressed Cauchy matrix (see the factorization (3.17)) and is used to introduce $\tau$-function [5–7].

In the present paper we start from the following Sylvester equation:
\begin{equation}
kM + Mk = rc^T - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1},
\end{equation}
where $r = (r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_N)^T$, $c = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_N)^T$ and $k, K \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ obey the matrix relation
\begin{equation}
k^2 = K + 3e_1I + gK^{-1}, \quad kK = Kk,
\end{equation}
in which $I$ is the $N$th-order unit matrix. Based on the above Sylvester equation, the dispersion relations for the elpKdV system is defined by
\begin{equation}
(aI - k)\tilde{r} = (aI + k)r, \quad (bI - k)\tilde{r} = (bI + k)r,
\end{equation}
and for the epKdV system by
\begin{equation}
r_x = kr, \quad r_t = 4k^3r, \quad c_x^T = c^Tk, \quad c_t^T = 4c^Tk^3.
\end{equation}

In next section, we will focus on the matrix system (1.7). We will see that the matrix system (1.7) is actually governed by the points on the elliptic curve (1.3). Sec.3 will focus on the Sylvester equation (1.6) and the scalar function $S^{(i,j)}$ defined in (3.28). Explicit solution $M$ of (1.6) will be given for the cases of $k$ being diagonal and Jordan block form and their combination. Solutions of the elliptic elpKdV and epKdV equations are consequently obtained.
As a generic element, $S^{(i,j)}$ composes an infinite order matrix $S$ (same as the matrix $U$ in the direct linearisation approach in [1]). $\{S^{(i,j)}\}$ satisfy some recurrence relations which can be viewed as discrete equations of $S^{(i,j)}$ defined on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and will play crucial roles in deriving the continuous epKdV system.

Apart from the Sec.2 and 3 above mentioned, in Sec.4 and Sec.5 we respectively derive the elpKdV system and epKdV system together with their Lax pairs. In Sec.6 we discuss continuum limits of the elpKdV system. Sec. 7 is for conclusions. Besides, in Appendix we list properties of lower triangular Toeplitz matrices which play important roles in our paper.

2 Points on the elliptic curve: parametrization and selection

2.1 Scalar case

Consider the elliptic curve

$$k^2 = R\left(\frac{1}{K}\right) = K + 3e_1 + \frac{g}{K}. \quad (2.1)$$

The discrete plane wave factor is defined as

$$\rho_i = \frac{a + k_i}{a - k_i} \left(\frac{b + k_i}{b - k_i}\right)^m \rho^0_i \quad (2.2)$$

with a phase factor $\rho^0_i$, where we require that $k_i$ together with $K_i$ obeys the elliptic curve (2.1), i.e.

$$k^2_i = K_i + 3e_1 + \frac{g}{K_i}. \quad (2.3)$$

In the case of classical soliton solutions (see [6, 9]), $\{k_i\}$ play the role of wave numbers, which should be distinct so that they can represent different solitons. Since $k_i$ and $K_i$ are coupled through the elliptic curve (2.3), (say, both $k_i$ and $-k_i$ correspond to a same $K_i$,) we consequently require that they can identify each other, i.e.

$$k_i \neq k_j \Leftrightarrow K_i \neq K_j. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that for the arbitrary two points $(k_i, K_i)$ and $(k_j, K_j)$ on the elliptic curve (2.1) we always have the relation

$$(k_i + k_j)(k_i - k_j) = (K_i - K_j) \frac{K_i K_j - g}{K_i K_j}. \quad (2.5)$$

This means that if we take

$$(k_i + k_j)(K_i K_j - g) \neq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

then (2.4) is guaranteed. Equation (2.6) is the criteria that we select points from the elliptic curve (2.1). As a consequence of (2.6), $k_i \neq 0$.

The elliptic curve (2.1) can be parameterized using Weierstrass’s elliptic function $\wp(\kappa)$ as the following (cf. [1]):

$$K = \wp(\kappa) - e_1, \quad k = \frac{\wp'(\kappa)}{2(\wp(\kappa) - e_1)}, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$e_1 = \wp(\omega), \quad g = (e_1 - e_2)(e_1 - e_3), \quad (2.7b)$$
where \( e_2 = \varphi(\omega + \omega') \), \( e_3 = \varphi(\omega') \) and \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) are respectively the half periods of \( \varphi(\kappa) \).

An elliptic function is a meromorphic function with double (complex) periods. Suppose that \( f(\kappa) \) is an elliptic function and its double periods are \( 2\omega \) and \( 2\omega' \), respectively. Let \( \mathbb{D} \) denote a fundamental period parallelogram \( ABCD \) as described in Fig.1. The border segments \( AB \) and \( BC \) together with points \( \{A, B, C\} \) are not included in \( \mathbb{D} \) due to the double periodicity. The elliptic function \( f(\kappa) \) obeys the Liouville’s theorems (cf. [10]) that is, for the elliptic function \( f(\kappa) \), in the period parallelogram \( \mathbb{D} \) the times that it takes value \( a \) equals to the order of \( f(\kappa) \).

Under the parametrization (2.7), for the points on the curve (2.1) we have

\[
K_i = \varphi(\kappa_i) - e_1, \quad k_i = \frac{\varphi'(\kappa_i)}{2(\varphi(\kappa_i) - e_1)}. \tag{2.8}
\]

Then the criteria (2.6) can alternatively be described through the following requirement on \( \kappa_i \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa_i &\in \mathbb{D}' = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0, \omega, \omega', \omega + \omega'\}, \tag{2.9a} \\
(\varphi(\kappa_i) - e_1)(\varphi(\kappa_j) - e_1) &\neq g, \tag{2.9b} \\
\kappa_i + \kappa_j &\neq 0, \tag{2.9c}
\end{align*}
\]

for \( i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, N \). We note that here and after when we talk about \( \kappa_i + \kappa_j \) we always mean \( \kappa_i + \kappa_j \mod (2\omega, 2\omega') \). In fact, 0 is the pole of \( \varphi(\kappa) \) and thus we require \( \kappa_i \neq 0 \) to avoid singularities. Besides, \( k_i \) can not be zero as a consequence of \( k_i + k_j \neq 0 \). In the light of the Liouville’s theorems (cf. [10]) since \( \varphi'(\kappa) \) is a third-order elliptic function in the period parallelogram \( \mathbb{D} \) it only has 3 zeros which are \( \omega, \omega' \) and \( \omega + \omega' \). To avoid breaking the one-to-one correspondence of \( k_i \) and \( K_i \), we require \( \kappa_i \notin \{\omega, \omega', \omega + \omega'\} \). Thus we have (2.9a). (2.9b) is from the requirement \( K_i K_j \neq g \). For the third one in (2.9), we can prove that under (2.9a) and (2.9b) we have

\[
\kappa_i + \kappa_j = 0 \iff k_i + k_j = 0. \tag{2.10}
\]

In fact, since \( \varphi(\kappa) \) is even and \( \varphi'(\kappa) \) is odd, from the parametrization (2.8) we immediately find that if \( \kappa_i + \kappa_j = 0 \) then \( k_i + k_j = 0 \). On the other hand, under (2.9b), from the factorization (2.5), if \( k_i + k_j = 0 \) and (2.9b) holds, there must be \( K_i = K_j \), which means \( \kappa_i = \pm \kappa_j \) in \( \mathbb{D} \) in the light of Liouville’s theorems (cf. [10]). The case \( \kappa_i = \kappa_j \) is impossible because this case yields \( k_i = k_j = 0 \) due to \( k_i + k_j = 0 \). \( k_i = 0 \) requires \( \varphi'(\kappa_i) = 0 \) which is impossible in \( \mathbb{D}' \). Thus, \( \kappa_i = -\kappa_j \) is the only choice, i.e. \( \kappa_i + \kappa_j = 0 \).
2.2 Matrix system (1.7)

Let us come to the matrix relation (1.7). Although at first glance (1.7) suggests an interpretation of this matrix relation as a matrix version of an elliptic curve, it just represents the coordinatization of a collection of points on the given elliptic curve (2.1).

To understand this, let us consider a similarity transformation

\[ k_1 = T k T^{-1}, \quad K_1 = T K T^{-1}, \quad (2.11) \]

where \( T \) serves as the transform matrix. Obviously, under the above similarity transformation, (1.7) is formally invariant:

\[ k_1^2 = K_1 + 3 e_1 I + g K_1^{-1}, \quad k_1 K_1 = K_1 k_1. \quad (2.12) \]

Thus, in the following we only need to consider the relation

\[ \Gamma^2 = K + 3 e_1 I + g K^{-1}, \quad \Gamma K = K \Gamma \quad (2.13) \]

where \( \Gamma \) is the canonical form of \( k \).

When

\[ \Gamma = \text{Diag}(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_N), \quad (2.14a) \]

\( K \) is taken as

\[ K = \text{Diag}(K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_N), \quad (2.14b) \]

where \( (k_i, K_i) \) are the points on (2.1), i.e. satisfying (2.3). \( \{k_i\} \) is the eigenvalue set of \( k \). Here we request that each \( k_i \neq 0 \) and \( k_i^2 \neq k_j^2 \) for \( i \neq j \). Under such a requirement one can see that the criteria (2.6) is satisfied in the light of the factorization (2.5).

It is interesting to consider the case that \( \Gamma \) is a \( N \)-th order Jordan block

\[ \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & k_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & k_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & k_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k_1 \neq 0. \quad (2.15) \]

In this case, \( k_1 \) is the only eigenvalue of \( k \) with algebraic multiplicity \( N \) (and geometric multiplicity 1). In terms of the parametrization (2.7) we need \( \kappa_1 \) satisfies

\[ \kappa_1 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0, \omega, \omega', \omega \omega'\}, \quad \varphi(\kappa_1) \neq \sqrt{g} + e_1. \quad (2.16) \]

To find \( K \) that corresponds to the Jordan block (2.15), we will make use of properties of Toeplitz matrices. Let us shortly introduce such matrices. For more details please see Appendix A. A matrix of the following form

\[ T^{[N]}(\{a_j\}_1^N) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_N & a_{N-1} & a_{N-2} & \cdots & a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.17) \]
is called a lower triangular Toeplitz (LTT) matrix. All the $N$-th order LTT matrices compose a commutative set $T[N]$. The complex value matrix (2.17) can be generated by an analytic function $f(k)$ at certain point $k = k_0$ through

$$a_j = \frac{\partial_j^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} f(k)|_{k=k_0}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, N). \quad (2.18)$$

With this correspondence we denote (2.17) by $T[N][f(k_0)]$, and we have properties (See proposition A.2)

$$(T[N][f(k_0)])^2 = T[N][f^2(k_0)], \quad (T[N][f(k_0)])^{-1} = T[N][1/f(k_0)].$$

We also note that the Jordan block (2.15) is a LLT matrix generated by $f(k) = k$ at $k = k_1$.

Now we can look for a LTT matrix $K$ which satisfies (2.13) with $\Gamma$ (2.15). In fact, according to proposition A.1, for the Jordan block (2.15), when $\Gamma K = KT$ there must be $K \in T[N]$. By taking derivatives with respect to $k$ of the elliptic curve (2.1) at the point $(k_1, K_1)$ where $K_1 = K(k_1)$ and $K(k)$ is viewed as an implicit function of $k$ determined by the curve (2.1), we find (for $i \geq j$)

$$1/j! \partial_k^j k^2 = 1/j! \partial_k^j K(k) + 3e_1 \delta_{j,0} + g/j! \partial_k^j \frac{1}{K(k)}, \quad (at \quad k = k_1). \quad (2.19)$$

The l.h.s. and the first term and third term on the r.h.s. respectively correspond to the elements of the LTT matrices generated by $f(k) = k^2$, $K(k)$ and $1/K(k)$ at $k = k_1$. This means for the Jordan block case (2.15) if we take $K = T[N][K(k_1)]$ then the relation (2.13) holds.

In Sec.3.2.3 we will list solutions of (2.13) using unified notations.

3 The Sylvester equation and infinite matrix structure

In this section we will first investigate solutions of the Sylvester equation (1.6) and derive explicit expression of $M$. Then, with the help of some special matrices we will investigate recurrence relations of scalar function $S^{(i,j)}$ (defined in (3.28)) and properties of the infinite matrix $S$ composed by $S^{(i,j)}$.

3.1 Solvability of (1.6)

For the solution of the Sylvester equation (1.5), there is the following well known result [11].

**Proposition 3.1.** Denote the eigenvalue sets of $A$ and $B$ by $\mathcal{E}(A)$ and $\mathcal{E}(B)$, respectively. For the known $A, B$ and $C$, the Sylvester equation (1.5) has a unique solution $M$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}(A) \cap \mathcal{E}(B) = \emptyset$.

Based on this proposition, we find the following.

**Proposition 3.2.** Consider the Sylvester equation (1.6), i.e.

$$kM + Mk = rc^T - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the matrices $k$ and $K$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{E}(k) \cap \mathcal{E}(-k) = \emptyset, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(gK^{-1}) \cap \mathcal{E}(K) = \emptyset, \quad (3.2b)$$
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and the matrix relation (1.7), i.e.

\[ k^2 = K + 3e_1I + gK^{-1}, \quad kK = Kk. \]  

(3.3)

Then, the ‘dual’ matrix equation

\[ KM - MK = kr\bar{c}^T - \bar{r}c^Tk \]  

(3.4)

holds.

Proof. Here we note that the condition (3.2a) is necessary to guarantee the solvability of equation (3.1) in light of proposition 3.1. Then, left multiplying \( k \) to (3.1) yields

\[ k^2M + kMk = k(\bar{r}c^T - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1}). \]

Next, using the Sylvester equation (3.1) and replacing the term \( kM \) with \( -MK + rc^T - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1} \), one has

\[ k^2M - Mk^2 = -rc^Tk + kr\bar{c}^T + gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1}k - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1}. \]  

(3.5)

Making use of the relation (3.3) to replace \( k^2 \) we find

\[ gK^{-1}(KM - MK - kr\bar{c}^T + rc^Tk)K^{-1} = KM - MK - kr\bar{c}^T + rc^Tk. \]  

(3.6)

This can be rewritten as a Sylvester equation

\[ gK^{-1}W - WK = 0, \quad W = KM - MK - kr\bar{c}^T + rc^Tk. \]  

(3.7)

Based on proposition 3.1 and noting that \( \mathcal{E}(gK^{-1}) \cap \mathcal{E}(K) = \emptyset \) in (3.2b), the equation (3.7) has a unique solution \( W = 0 \), which means (3.4) holds. \( \Box \)

Here we note that the condition (3.2) is natural because it is actually the criteria (2.6) for selecting points from the elliptic curve (2.1). We also note that we cannot derive (3.1) from (3.4). In fact, we start from (3.4), replace \( K \) using (3.3) and we get

\[ k^2M - Mk^2 - g(K^{-1}M - MK^{-1}) = kr\bar{c}^T - \bar{r}c^Tk. \]

At meantime, from (3.4) we also have

\[ K^{-1}M - MK^{-1} = -K^{-1}kr\bar{c}^TK^{-1} + K^{-1}rc^TK^{-1}. \]

Combining them together and using the commutative relation \( kK = Kk \) we reach

\[ kY - Yk = 0, \quad Y = kM + Mk - rc^T + gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1}. \]

Obviously, \( Y = 0 \) is a solution to the above equation but it is not unique. This means (3.1) and (3.4) are not equivalent. (3.1) is more general and (3.4) is a by-product of the former. In the following discussion it is sufficient that we only consider (3.1).
3.2 Solution to the Sylvester equation (3.1)

3.2.1 Canonical form of (3.1)

Using the similarity transformation (2.11) and denoting
\[ M_1 = TMT^{-1}, \quad r_1 = Tr, \quad c_1^T = c^T T^{-1}, \] (3.8)

it follows from (3.1) that
\[ M_1 k_1 + k_1 M_1 = r_1 c_1^T - gK_1^{-1} r_1 c_1^T K_1^{-1}, \]
which is the same form as (3.1). This means when we solve the Sylvester equation (3.1) we only need to consider the following canonical form
\[ MT + \Gamma M = rc^T - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1}, \] (3.9a)

together with (2.13), i.e.
\[ \Gamma^2 = K + 3e_1 I + gK^{-1}, \quad \Gamma K = K \Gamma, \] (3.9b)

where
\[ r = (r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_N)^T, \quad c = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_N)^T \] (3.9c)
and we suppose \( \Gamma \) is the canonical form of \( k \).

3.2.2 List of notations

Based on Sec.3.2.1 we only need to consider three basic cases of \( \Gamma \):
\[
\Gamma_D^{[N]}(\{k_j\}_1^N) = \text{Diag}(k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_N), \quad (k_i^2 \neq k_j^2, \quad k_i \neq 0), \tag{3.10a}
\]
\[
\Gamma_J^{[N]}(k_1) = \begin{pmatrix}
  k_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
  1 & k_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 1 & k_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & k_1 \\
\end{pmatrix} = T^{[N]}[k_1], \tag{3.10b}
\]
\[
\Gamma_G^{[N]} = \text{Diag}(\Gamma_D^{[N]}(\{k_j\}_1^{N_1}), \Gamma_J^{[N_2]}(k_{N_1+1}), \Gamma_J^{[N_3]}(k_{N_1+2}), \cdots, \Gamma_J^{[N_s]}(k_{N_1+(s-1)})), \tag{3.10c}
\]
where \( \sum_{j=1}^s N_j = N \). The subscripts \( D, J \) and \( G \) correspond to the cases of \( \Gamma \) being diagonal, being of Jordan block and generic canonical form, respectively. For convenience of the later
discussions, let us collect some notations below.

\[ e^{[N]} = (1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)^T, \]
\[ e_1^{[N]} = (1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)^T, \]
\[ g^{[N]}(a) = \left( \frac{1}{a}, \frac{-1}{a^2}, \frac{1}{a^3}, \ldots, \frac{(-1)^{N-1}}{a^N} \right)^T, \]
\[ \Gamma = \text{Diag}(k_1, \ldots, k_N), \]
\[ K = \text{Diag}(K_{11}, \ldots, K_{NN}). \]

Proposition 3.3. The matrix system (2.13) admits the following three cases of solutions:

1. Diagonal case:
\[ \Gamma = \Gamma_D^{\{k_j\}}(\{k_j\}_1^N), \quad K = \Gamma_K^{\{k_j\}}(\{k_j\}_1^N), \]

where
\[ k_j^2 = K_j + 3c_1 + gK_j^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \]

2. Jordan block case:
\[ \Gamma = \Gamma_j^{\{k_j\}}(k_1), \quad K = T^{[N]}[K(k_1)]. \]

3. Generic case:
\[ \Gamma = \Gamma_j^{\{k_j\}}, \]
\[ K = \text{Diag}(\Gamma_D^{\{k_j\}}(\{k_j\}_1^N), T^{[N]}[K(k_{N_1}+1)], \ldots, T^{[N]}[K(k_{N_1+(s-1)})]). \]

3.2.4 Solutions to (3.9a)

Now let us come to the solutions to the Sylvester equation (3.9a).

Case 1. \( \Gamma = \Gamma_D^{\{k_j\}}(\{k_j\}_1^N) \).

Solution to (3.9a) is given by
\[ M = FG_D^{\{k_j\}}(\{k_j\}_1^N)H = \left( \frac{1 - g/(K_iK_j)}{k + k_j} r_i r_j \right)_{N \times N}, \]
where
\[ F = \text{Diag}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N), \quad H = \text{Diag}(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N). \]
Case 2. $\Gamma = \Gamma_{j}^{[N]}(k_1)$.

This is also referred to as the Jordan block case. In this case, $\Gamma$ and $K$ take (3.14). We suppose

$$M = FGH,$$

where

$$F = T^{[N]}(\{r_j\}_1^{N}), \quad H = H^{[N]}(\{c_j\}_1^{N}),$$

and $G$ is a $N \times N$ unknown matrix. $r$ and $c$ can be expressed through $F$ and $H$ as

$$r = Fe_1, \quad c = He_1,$$

where $e_1 = e_{1}^{[N]}$ is defined in (3.11b). Then, the equation (3.9a) is written as

$$FGHT + \Gamma FGH = Fe_1 e_1^T H - gK^{-1}Fe_1 e_1^T HK^{-1}.$$  \tag{3.20}

Further, thanks to proposition A.4, one has

$$FGT^TH + F\Gamma G = Fe_1 e_1^T H - gFK^{-1}e_1 e_1^T K^{-1T}H,$$

and then

$$\Gamma T^T + \Gamma G = e_1 e_1^T - gK^{-1} e_1 e_1^T K^{-1T}.$$ \tag{3.22}

To solve it, we set

$$G = (G_1, G_2, \cdots, G_N)$$ \tag{3.23}

with column vectors $\{G_j\}$. (3.22) is then written into the following equation set,

$$(k_1I + \Gamma)G_1 = e_1 - \frac{g}{K_1} A_1,$$ \tag{3.24a}

$$(k_1I + \Gamma)G_{j+1} + G_j = \frac{g}{j!} (\frac{1}{k_1}) A_1, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N - 1),$$ \tag{3.24b}

where $K_1 = K(k_1)$ and

$$A_1 = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_N)^T, \quad a_j = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} \frac{1}{K_1}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N).$$ \tag{3.24c}

The above is solved by

$$G_j = \frac{\partial^{j-1} g^{[N]}(a)|_{a=2k_1}}{(j-1)!} + g \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^i}{(j-i)!} (\frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} \frac{1}{K_1}) \Gamma^{[N]}(2k_1)^{-i} A_1, \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N).$$ \tag{3.25}

Case 3. $\Gamma = \Gamma_{c}^{[N]}$.

In this case, we still suppose the factorization (3.17), where

$$F = \text{Diag}(T^{[N]}_D(\{k_j\}_1^{N_1}), T^{[N_2]}(\{r_j\}_{N_1+1}^{N_1+N_2}), \cdots, T^{[N_s]}(\{r_j\}_{N_1+N_2+\cdots+N_{s-1}+1}^{N_1+N_2+\cdots+N_s})),$$ \tag{3.26a}

$$H = \text{Diag}(H^{[N_1]}_D(\{c_j\}_1^{N_1}), H^{[N_2]}_j(\{c_j\}_{N_1+1}^{N_1+N_2}), \cdots, H^{[N_s]}_j(\{c_j\}_{N_1+N_2+\cdots+N_{s-1}+1}^{N_1+N_2+\cdots+N_s})),$$ \tag{3.26b}

$G$ is a symmetric matrix with block structure

$$G = G^T = (G_{i,j})_{s \times s}$$ \tag{3.26c}
and each \( G_{i,j} \) is a \( N_i \times N_j \) matrix. It is not difficult to find \( G_{i,j} = (G^{T}_{j,i}) \) are given by

\[
G_{1,1} = G_{[2]}^{[N]}(\{k_j\}_1^N),
\]

\[
G_{i,j} = (G_{i1}, G_{i2}, \ldots, G_{iN_j}), \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq s),
\]

with

\[
G_{il} = (-1)^{l-1} \left[ I - g \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{(-1)^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} \left( \partial_k^{l-i} \frac{1}{K(k)} \right) \right]_{k=k_{N_i+1}} \Gamma_D^{[N_i]}(\{K_j\}_1^{N_i})^{-1} \Gamma_D^{[N_i]}(\{\alpha_j\}) e_i^{[N_i]},
\]

\[
G_{il} = \frac{\partial_k^{l-1} g^{[N_i]}(\beta_{ij})}{(l-1)!} + g \sum_{m=1}^{l} \frac{(-1)^m}{(l-m)!} \left( \partial_k^{l-m} \frac{1}{K(k)} \right) \left( \Gamma_D^{[N_i]}(\beta_{ij}) \right)^{-m} \Gamma_D^{[N_i]}(\frac{1}{K(k)}) e_i^{[N_i]} \right|_{k=k_{N_i+(j-1)}}
\]

for \( 1 < i \leq j \leq s \), where \( \alpha_j = \frac{k_{j-1}}{k_{j-1}+k_{j-1+(j-1)}}, \beta_{ij} = k_{N_i+j-i+1}+k_{N_i+j-1} \) and \( k \) and \( K(k) \) satisfy the elliptic curve (2.1), i.e., \( k^2 = K^2(k) + 3e_1 + g/K(k) \).

### 3.3 Infinite matrix \( S \)
#### 3.3.1 Recurrence relation of \( S^{(i,j)} \)

Let us go back to the Sylvester equation (3.1) and the matrix relation (3.3). Using the elements \( \{M, k, K, r, c\} \) in (3.1) and (3.3), we introduce an \( \infty \times \infty \) matrix \( S = (S^{(i,j)})_{\infty \times \infty}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \), where the elements \( S^{(i,j)} \) are defined as(cf. [1])

\[
S^{(2i,2j)} = c^r K^j (I + M)^{-1} K^i r,
\]

\[
S^{(2i+1,2j)} = c^r K^j (I + M)^{-1} k K^i r,
\]

\[
S^{(2i,2j+1)} = c^r K^j k (I + M)^{-1} K^i r,
\]

\[
S^{(2i+1,2j+1)} = c^r K^j k (I + M)^{-1} k K^i r.
\]

For these elements we have the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** For the scalar functions \( S^{(i,j)} \) defined in (3.28) with \( \{M, K, k, r, c\} \) satisfying the Sylvester equation (3.1) and the matrix relation (3.3), we have the following relations,

\[
S^{(i,j+2s)} = S^{(i+2s,j)} - \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} (S^{(2s-2l-1,j)} S^{(i,2l)} - S^{(2s-2l-2,j)} S^{(i,2l+1)}),
\]

\[
S^{(i,j-2s)} = S^{(i-2s,j)} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} (S^{(-2s+2l-1,j)} S^{(i,-2l)} - S^{(-2s-2,j)} S^{(i,-2l+1)}),
\]

where \( s = 1, 2, \ldots \). In particular, when \( s = 1 \), one has

\[
S^{(i,j+2)} = S^{(i+2,j)} - S^{(i,1)} S^{(i,0)} + S^{(0,j)} S^{(i,1)},
\]

\[
S^{(i,j-2)} = S^{(i-2,j)} + S^{(-1,j)} S^{(i,-2)} - S^{(-2,j)} S^{(i,-1)}.
\]
Proof. First, from the Sylvester equation (3.1) we have the following relation

\[ k^s M - (-1)^s M k^s = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^j k^{s-j-1} (r c^T - g K^{-1} r c^T K^{-1}) k^j, \quad (s = 1, 2, \cdots). \]  

(3.31)

In fact, the Sylvester equation (3.1) itself is the case of \( s = 1 \) of (3.31), while (3.5) is the case of \( s = 2 \). Making use of mathematical inductive approach we can reach (3.31). Similarly, from (3.4) one has a parallel result

\[ K^s M - M K^s = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} K^{s-j-1} (k r c^T - r c^T k) K^j, \]  

(3.32a)

or

\[ M K^{-s} - K^{-s} M = \sum_{j=1}^{s} K^{-(s-j+1)} (k r c^T - r c^T k) K^{-j}, \quad (s = 1, 2, \cdots). \]  

(3.32b)

Now let us prove the relation (3.29a). We introduce the auxiliary vectors

\[ u^{(2i)} = (I + M)^{-1} K^i r, \quad u^{(2i+1)} = (I + M)^{-1} k K^i r, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}. \]  

(3.33)

From this we immediately have

\[ K^s u^{(2i)} + K^s M u^{(2i)} = K^{s+i} r, \]  

(3.34a)

\[ K^s u^{(2i+1)} + K^s M u^{(2i+1)} = k K^{s+i} r. \]  

(3.34b)

Replacing \( K^s M \) using the relation (3.32a), one finds

\[ (I + M) K^s u^{(2i)} = K^{s+i} r - \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} K^{s-l-1} (k r c^T - r c^T k) K^l u^{(2i)}, \]  

(3.35a)

\[ (I + M) K^s u^{(2i+1)} = k K^{s+i} r - \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} K^{s-l-1} (k r c^T - r c^T k) K^l u^{(2i+1)}. \]  

(3.35b)

These relations, left-multiplied by \( c^T K^j (I + M)^{-1} \), yield

\[ S^{(2i+2j+2s)} = S^{(2i+2s,2j)} - \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} (S^{(2s-2l+2j)} S^{(2j,2l)} - S^{(2s-2l+2j+1)} S^{(2j,2l+1)}), \]

and left-multiplied by \( c^T K^j k (I + M)^{-1} \), yield

\[ S^{(2i+2j+2s+1)} = S^{(2i+2s,2j+1)} - \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} (S^{(2s-2l+2j+1)} S^{(2j,2l)} - S^{(2s-2l+2j+2)} S^{(2j,2l+1)}), \]
They are merged to the relation (3.29a).

The relation (3.29b) can be proved in a similar procedure, in which we use the following counterpart of (3.35):

\[
(I + M)K^{-s}u^{(2i)} = K^{-s+i}r + \sum_{l=1}^{s} K^{-(s-l+1)}(kr^r - rc^r k)K^{-l}u^{(2i)},
\]

\[I + M)K^{-s}u^{(2i+1)} = kK^{-s+i}r + \sum_{l=1}^{s} K^{-(s-l+1)}(kr^r - rc^r k)K^{-l}u^{(2i+1)},
\]

with \(s = 1, 2, \cdots\). We note that (3.30) are corresponding to the algebraic relations (2.13) in Ref. [1].

### 3.3.2 Invariance and symmetry property of \(S^{(i,j)}\)

The matrix \(S\) (or the element \(S^{(i,j)}\)) keeps invariant under the similarity transformation (2.11).

We have shown that the matrix relation (1.7) and the Sylvester equation (3.1) keep invariant formally in terms of the similarity transformation (2.11) and notations (3.8). Using (2.11) and (3.8) one can rewrite (3.28) and find

\[
S^{(2i,2j)} = c_1^r K_1^j (I + M_1)^{-1} K_1^i r_1,
\]

\[
S^{(2i+1,2j)} = c_1^r K_1^j (I + M_1)^{-1} k_1 K_1^i r_1,
\]

\[
S^{(2i,2j+1)} = c_1^r K_1^j k_1 (I + M_1)^{-1} K_1^i r_1,
\]

\[
S^{(2i+1,2j+1)} = c_1^r K_1^j k_1 (I + M_1)^{-1} k_1 K_1^i r_1.
\]

In addition, we have the following symmetry property.

**Proposition 3.5.** Suppose that \(M, K, k, r, s\) satisfy the Sylvester equation (3.1) together with the matrix system (3.3) in which \(\mathcal{E}(k) \cap \mathcal{E}(-k) = \varnothing\) and \(\mathcal{E}(gK^{-1}) \cap \mathcal{E}(K) = \varnothing\). Then the scalar elements \(S^{(i,j)}\) defined by (3.28) satisfy the symmetry property

\[
S^{(i,j)} = S^{(j,i)},
\]

i.e. the infinite matrix \(S\) is symmetric.

This can be proved using the property given in proposition A.4 and following the procedure described in Appendix C in Ref. [7]. Here we skip the proof.

Hereafter we always require that \(\{M, K, k, r, s\}\) satisfy the assumption of proposition 3.5, under which we proceed further discussions.

### 4 The elliptic lattice potential KdV system

#### 4.1 Discrete dispersion relation and recurrence relations

Now let us insert discrete dispersion relation on \(r\) as follows

\[
(aI - k)\tilde{r} = (aI + k)r, \quad (bI - k)\tilde{r} = (bI + k)r, \quad a, b \notin \mathcal{E}(\pm k),
\]

while we take \(c\) to be a constant vector.
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Employing a similar procedure as done in [8], from the Sylvester equation (3.1), matrix system (3.3) and the dispersion relation (4.1), one can derive the following shift relation of \(M\),

\[(aI - k)\tilde{M} = (aI + k)M,\]  
(4.2a)

\[(bI - k)\tilde{M} = (bI + k)M,\]  
(4.2b)

and

\[\tilde{M}(aI + k) - (aI + k)M = \tilde{r}c^T - gK^{-1}\tilde{r}c^TK^{-1},\]  
(4.3a)

\[(aI - k)\tilde{M} - M(aI - k) = rc^T - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1},\]  
(4.3b)

\[\tilde{M}(bI + k) - (bI + k)M = \tilde{r}c^T - gK^{-1}\tilde{r}c^TK^{-1},\]  
(4.3c)

\[(bI - k)\tilde{M} - M(bI - k) = rc^T - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1}.\]  
(4.3d)

These relations lead to the following results.

**Proposition 4.1.** Under the assumption of proposition 3.5 and the dispersion relation (4.1), the scalar functions \(S^{(i,j)}\) defined by (3.28) enjoy the following recurrence relations:

\[a\tilde{S}^{(2i,2j)} - \tilde{S}^{(2i,2j+1)} = aS^{(2i,2j)} - S^{(2i+1,2j)} - S^{(2i,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + gS^{(2i,-2)}S^{(-2j)} - 3e_1S^{(2i,2j)},\]  
(4.4a)

\[b\tilde{S}^{(2i,2j)} + S^{(2i,2j+1)} = bS^{(2i,2j)} + S^{(2i+1,2j)} - S^{(2i,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + 3e_1S^{(2i,2j)},\]  
(4.4b)

\[b\tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j)} - \tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j+1)} = bS^{(2i+1,2j)} - S^{(2i+2,2j)} + S^{(2i+1,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + gS^{(2i,-2)}S^{(-2j)} - 3e_1S^{(2i,2j)},\]  
(4.4c)

\[b\tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j)} + S^{(2i+1,2j+1)} = bS^{(2i+1,2j)} + S^{(2i+2,2j)} - S^{(2i+1,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + gS^{(2i,-2)}S^{(-2j)} - 3e_1S^{(2i,2j)},\]  
(4.4d)

\[a\tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j)} - \tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j+1)} = aS^{(2i+1,2j)} - S^{(2i+2,2j)} + S^{(2i+1,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + gS^{(2i,-2)}S^{(-2j)} - 3e_1S^{(2i,2j)},\]  
(4.4e)

\[a\tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j)} - S^{(2i,2j+1)} = aS^{(2i+1,2j)} - S^{(2i+2,2j)} + S^{(2i+1,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + gS^{(2i+1,2j)} - 3e_1S^{(2i,2j)},\]  
(4.4f)

\[b\tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j)} - \tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j+1)} = bS^{(2i+1,2j)} - S^{(2i+2,2j)} + S^{(2i+1,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + gS^{(2i+1,2j)} - 3e_1S^{(2i,2j)},\]  
(4.4g)

\[b\tilde{S}^{(2i+1,2j)} + S^{(2i,2j+1)} = bS^{(2i+1,2j)} - S^{(2i+2,2j)} + S^{(2i+1,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + gS^{(2i+1,2j)} - 3e_1S^{(2i,2j)}.\]  
(4.4h)

The proof of this proposition is similar to the one for theorem 2 in Ref. [8]. Here we skip the details. We also note that these relations are corresponding to the discrete matrix Riccati type of relations (2.12) in Ref. [1].

### 4.2 Elliptic lattice equations

To obtain elliptic lattice equations, we introduce scalar functions (cf. [1])

\[u = S^{(0,0)}, \quad s = S^{(-2,0)}, \quad h = S^{(-2,-2)}, \quad v = 1 - S^{(-1,0)}, \quad w = 1 + S^{(-2,1)}.\]  
(4.5)
It then follows from (4.4) that
\[ a(u - \tilde{u}) = -(\tilde{S}^{(0,1)} + S^{(0,1)}) - g\tilde{s}s + u\tilde{u}, \]  
\[ a(h - \tilde{h}) = -(\tilde{S}^{(-1,-2)} + S^{(-1,-2)}) - gh\tilde{h} + s\tilde{s}, \]
\[ a(s - \tilde{s}) = g\tilde{h}s + \tilde{w} - v - u\tilde{s}, \]
\[ a(s - \tilde{s}) = gh\tilde{s} + w - \tilde{v} - \tilde{u}s, \]
\[ a(v - \tilde{v}) = \tilde{S}^{(-1,1)} + 3c_1s + \tilde{w} + g\tilde{v}h + g\tilde{s}(S^{(-2,-1)} + \tilde{S}^{(-2,-1)}), \]
\[ a(w - \tilde{w}) = \tilde{S}^{(-1,1)} + 3c_1s + u\tilde{w} + gh\tilde{w} - s(S^{(0,1)} + \tilde{S}^{(0,1)}), \]
\[ a(w - \tilde{w}) = \tilde{S}^{(-1,1)} + 3c_1s + \tilde{u}\tilde{w} + g\tilde{h}w - \tilde{s}(S^{(0,1)} + \tilde{S}^{(0,1)}), \]
where we have made use of the symmetric property \( S^{(i,j)} = S^{(j,i)} \) and the relation (3.30b) with \((i,j) = (0,-2)\), i.e.
\[ S^{(0,-4)} = S^{(-2,-2)} + S^{(-1,-2)}S^{(0,-2)} - S^{(-2,-2)}S^{(0,-1)} = hv + sS^{(-2,-1)}. \]

One more relation coming from (3.30b) is
\[ sS^{(-1,1)} = 1 - vw, \]  
when taking \((i,j) = (0,1)\). The shift relations w.r.t. \{\(b,\tilde{v}\}\} can be obtained by interchanging the relations \{\(a,\tilde{u}\}\} with \{\(b,\tilde{v}\}\} in (4.6).

With these relations in hand, we can combine them, eliminate \(S^{(0,1)}, S^{(-1,-2)}, h\) and \(v\) and reach the closed form of elliptic lattice equations of the variables \(u, s\) and \(w\), (cf. [1])
\[ (a + b + u - \tilde{u})(a - b - \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}) = a^2 - b^2 + g(\tilde{s} - \tilde{s})(\tilde{s} - s), \]
\[ (\tilde{s} - s)(\tilde{w} - \tilde{w}) = [(a + u)s - (b + u)s]\tilde{s} - [(a - \tilde{u})s - (b - \tilde{u})s]\tilde{s}, \]
\[ (\tilde{s} - s)(\tilde{w} - w) = [(a - \tilde{u})s + (b + u)s]\tilde{s} - [(a + \tilde{u})s + (b - \tilde{u})s]\tilde{s}, \]
\[ (a + u - \frac{\tilde{w}}{s})(a - \tilde{u} + \frac{w}{s}) = a^2 - R(s\tilde{s}), \]
\[ (b + u - \frac{\tilde{w}}{s})(b - \tilde{u} + \frac{w}{s}) = b^2 - R(s\tilde{s}), \]

where
\[ y^2 = R(x) = \frac{1}{x} + 3c + gx. \]

This is an elliptic generalization of the lpKdV equation, namely that if \(g = 0\) the first equation (4.7a) decouples and becomes the standard lattice potential KdV equation.

Furthermore, solutions to the elpKdV system (4.7) can be expressed in the explicit structure:

\[ u = S^{(0,0)} = c^{\tau}(I + M)^{-1}r, \]
\[ s = S^{(-2,0)} = c^{\tau}(I + M)^{-1}K^{-1}r, \]
\[ h = S^{(-2,-2)} = c^{\tau}K^{-1}(I + M)^{-1}K^{-1}r, \]
\[ v = 1 - S^{(-1,0)} = 1 - c^{\tau}(I + M)^{-1}kK^{-1}r, \]
\[ w = 1 + S^{(-2,1)} = c^{\tau}k(I + M)K^{-1}r. \]
We only need to solve out $r$ from (4.1) with $k$ taking three cases in (3.10). We will list out explicit forms of $r$ in Appendix B.

### 4.3 Lax pair for the elpKdV system (4.9)

Rewriting (3.33) as

\[
K^i r = (I + M) u^{(2i)}, \quad kK^i r = (I + M) u^{(2i+1)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

we can perform a tilde shift to (4.10a) and multiply the result by $(aI - k)$ to give:

\[
K^i (aI + k) u^{(2i)} = (I + M) (aI - k) \tilde{u}^{(2i)} + (rc - gK^{-1}rcK^{-1}) \tilde{u}^{(2i)}.
\]

where we have also made use of the fact $kK = Kk$, the dispersion relation (4.1), shift relation (4.2a) and the Sylvester equation (3.1). This further brings

\[
(aI - k) \tilde{u}^{(2i)} = au^{(2i)} + u^{(2i+1)} \tilde{S}^{(2i,0)} u^{(0)} + g\tilde{S}^{(2i,-2)} u^{(-2)}.
\]

Taking $i = 0$ it reads

\[
(aI - k) \tilde{u}^{(0)} = (a - \tilde{u}) u^{(0)} + u^{(1)} + g\tilde{S}^{-1} (wu^{(0)} - su^{(1)}),
\]

where we have replaced $u^{(-2)}$ by

\[
u^{(-2)} = K^{-1} (wu^{(0)} - su^{(1)})
\]

coming from the relation (3.35a) with $s = 1$, $i = -1$.

In a similar way, from (4.10b) we have

\[
(aI - k) \tilde{u}^{(1)} = (K + g\tilde{w}K^{-1}) u^{(0)} + (3c_1 + g\tilde{s} + a(u - \tilde{u}) - u\tilde{u}) u^{(0)} + (a + u - g\tilde{w}sK^{-1}) u^{(1)},
\]

where

\[
u^{(2)} = Ku^{(0)} - S^{(0,1)} u^{(0)} + u^{(1)}
\]

is used.

It is easy to check that under the similarity transformation (2.11), equations (4.13) and (4.15) are formally invariant if we define $u_1^{(0)} = Tu^{(0)}$, $u_1^{(1)} = Tu^{(1)}$. That means we can directly consider that $k$ is in its canonical form and $K$ is consequently defined by (3.3). Thus, the first row of $k$ will be $(k, 0, 0, \cdots, 0)$ and the first rows of $K$ and $K^{-1}$ have to be $(K, 0, 0, \cdots, 0)$ and $(1/K, 0, 0, \cdots, 0)$ respectively, where $(k, K)$ obey the elliptic curve (2.1).

Let us denote the first element of $u^{(0)}$ by $(u^{(0)})_1$ and the first element of $u^{(1)}$ by $(u^{(1)})_1$, and introduce the vector

\[
\phi = \left( \begin{array}{c} (u^{(0)})_1 \\ (u^{(1)})_1 \end{array} \right).
\]

Then, from (4.13) and (4.15) and their $(b, \tilde{)}$ version we obtain the following discrete linear system:

\[
\begin{align*}
(a - k) \tilde{\phi} &= L(K) \phi, \\
(b - k) \tilde{\phi} &= M(K) \phi,
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[ L(K) = \begin{pmatrix} a - \tilde{u} + \frac{k}{K} \tilde{w} \\ K + 3e + g\tilde{s} + a(u - \tilde{u}) - \tilde{uu} + \frac{a}{K} \tilde{w} \quad 1 - \frac{g}{K} \tilde{s} \end{pmatrix} \] (4.19)
which is as same as in [1], and \( M(K) \) is the \((b, \tilde{\phi})\) counterpart of \( L(K) \). The point \((k, K)\) obeys the elliptic curve (2.1) and here they play the roles of spectral parameters. The compatibility condition
\[ \tilde{L}M = \tilde{M}L \] (4.20)
yields the whole ellpKdV system (4.7) with the exception of eq.(4.7c). Here we note that there can be mis-matched between a discrete system and the system derived from its Lax pair, for example, the discrete Boussinesq-type equations [4].

5 The elliptic potential KdV system

In this section we try to derive a continuous elliptic potential KdV (eqKdV) system. The procedure will be similar to the one for the KdV system in [7], which can be viewed as a continuous version of Cauchy matrix approach, where the recurrence relation of \( S^{(i,j)} \) (see (2.3) in [7] and (3.30) in this paper) will play key roles.

5.1 Evolution of \( \mathbf{M} \)

We suppose that \( \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c} \) are functions of \((x, t)\) while \( k \) is still a non-trivial constant matrix. The dispersion relation is now defined through the evolution of \( \mathbf{r} \) and \( \mathbf{c} \) as follows,
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{r}_x &= kr, \\
\mathbf{c}_x &= k^\top \mathbf{c}, \\
\mathbf{r}_t &= 4k^3 \mathbf{r}, \\
\mathbf{c}_t &= 4(k^\top)^3 \mathbf{c}.
\end{align*}
\] (5.1a)
(5.1b)

Taking the derivative of the Sylvester equation (3.1) w.r.t. \( x \) and making using of (5.1a) we have
\[
k \mathbf{M}_x + \mathbf{M}_x k = \mathbf{r}_x e^\top + \mathbf{r} e^\top - gK^{-1} \mathbf{r}_x c^\top K^{-1} - gK^{-1} r^\top c^\top K^{-1}
= krc^\top + r^\top k - gK^{-1} krc^\top K^{-1} - gK^{-1} r^\top c^\top K^{-1},
\]
i.e.
\[
k(M_x - re^\top + gK^{-1} rc^\top K^{-1}) + (M_x - re^\top + gK^{-1} rc^\top K^{-1})k = 0,
\]
where we have made use of the relation \( kK = Kk \). Using proposition 3.1 this yields
\[ M_x = re^\top - gK^{-1} rc^\top K^{-1}, \] (5.2)
i.e.
\[ M_x = kM + Mk, \] (5.3)
if we use the Sylvester equation (3.1). In a similar way, for the time evolution of \( \mathbf{M} \), we have
\[ M_t = 4(k^3M + Mk^3). \] (5.4)
5.2 Evolution of $S^{(i,j)}$

With the evolution formulas (5.1)-(5.4), we can derive the evolution of $S^{(i,j)}$. We make use of the auxiliary vectors $u^{(i)}$ defined in (3.33), i.e.

\[
(I + M)u^{(2i)} = K^i r, 
(I + M)u^{(2i+1)} = kK^i r.
\]

(5.5a)  (5.5b)

By them $S^{(i,j)}$ are expressed as

\[
S^{(2i,2j)} = c^j K^j u^{(2i)},
S^{(2i+1,2j)} = c^j K^j u^{(2i+1)},
S^{(2i,2j+1)} = c^j K^j ku^{(2i)},
S^{(2i+1,2j+1)} = c^j K^j ku^{(2i+1)}.
\]

(5.6a)  (5.6b)  (5.6c)  (5.6d)

Taking $x$-derivative on (5.5a) we have

\[
M_x u^{(2i)} + (I + M)u_x^{(2i)} = K^i r_x = K^i kr,
\]

(5.7)

and further, by substitution of (5.2), we have

\[
(I + M)u_x^{(2i)} = K^i kr - (rc^T - gK^{-1}rc^TK^{-1})u^{(2i)},
\]

(5.8)

which indicates the evolution of $u^{(2i)}$ in $x$-direction:

\[
u_x^{(2i)} = u^{(2i+1)} - S^{(2i,0)}u^{(0)} + gS^{(2i,2)}u^{(-2)}.
\]

(5.9a)

Similarly we can derive out the evolution of $u^{(2i+1)}$ in $x$-direction

\[u_x^{(2i+1)} = u^{(2i+2)} - S^{(2i+1,0)}u^{(0)} + gS^{(2i+1,-2)}u^{(-2)} + 3\epsilon_1 u^{(2i)} + gu^{(2i-2)},
\]

(5.9b)

and the evolution of $u^{(i)}$ in $t$-direction:

\[
u_i^{(2i)} = 4[gu^{(-2)}(S^{(2i,0)} + 3\epsilon_1 S^{(2i,-2)} + gS^{(2i,-4)}) + gS^{(2i,-2)}u^{(-2)} + 3\epsilon_1 u^{(-2)} + gu^{(-4)})
- u^{(0)}(S^{(2i,2)} + 3\epsilon_1 S^{(2i,0)} + gS^{(2i,-2)} + S^{(2i,1)}u^{(1)} - gu^{(-1)}S^{(2i,-1)} + u^{(2i+3)}
+ 3\epsilon_1 u^{(2i+1)} + gu^{(2i-1)} - S^{(2i,0)}u^{(2i+1)} + gS^{(2i,0)}u^{(0) + gu^{(-2)})],
\]

(5.10a)

\[
u_i^{(2i+1)} = 4[gu^{(-2)}(S^{(2i+1,0)} + 3\epsilon_1 S^{(2i+1,-2)} + gS^{(2i+1,-4)}) - gu^{(-1)}S^{(2i+1,-1)} + u^{(2i+4)}
- u^{(0)}(S^{(2i+1,2)} + 3\epsilon_1 S^{(2i+1,0)} + gS^{(2i+1,-2)} + (9\epsilon_1^2 + 2g)u^{(2i)} + gu^{(2i-4)})
+ 6\epsilon_1 gu^{(2i-2)} + 6\epsilon_1 u^{(2i+2)} - S^{(2i+1,0)}u^{(2i+1)} + 3\epsilon_1 u^{(0) + gu^{(-2)})}
+ S^{(2i+1,1)}u^{(1)} + gS^{(2i+1,-2)}u^{(0) + 3\epsilon_1 u^{(-2)} + gu^{(-4)}].
\]

(5.10b)

These evolution of $u^{(i)}$ can be turned into the evolution of $S^{(i,j)}$:

\[
S_x^{(2i,2j)} = S^{(2i+1,2j)} + S^{(2i,2j+1)} - S^{(2i,0)}S^{(0,2j)} + gS^{(2i,-2)}S^{(2i,-2j)},
\]

(5.11a)

\[
S_x^{(2i,2j+1)} = S^{(2i,2j+2)} + 3\epsilon_1 S^{(2i,2j)} + gS^{(2i,2j-2)} - S^{(2i,0)}S^{(0,2j+1)} + S^{(2i+1,2j+1)}
+ gS^{(2i,-2)}S^{(2i,-2j+1)},
\]

(5.11b)

\[
S_x^{(2i+1,2j+1)} = S^{(2i+1,2j+2)} + 3\epsilon_1 S^{(2i+1,2j)} + gS^{(2i+1,2j-2)} - S^{(2i+1,0)}S^{(0,2j+1)} + S^{(2i+2,2j+1)}
+ gS^{(2i+1,-2)}S^{(2i,-2j+1)} + 3\epsilon_1 S^{(2i+1,2j+1)} + gS^{(2i-2,2j+1)},
\]

(5.11c)
It is not necessary to write out the $u, v, s, w, h$ which are from (5.11a).

\[
t_i = 4 [g(s^{(-2,2)}_0) (s^{(2i,0)} - 3e_1 s^{(2i,-2)} + g(s^{(2i,-4)} - g(s^{(2i,-2)} + 3e_1 s^{(-2,2)}) + g(s^{(-4,2)}) - S^{(0,2j)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+3,2j)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+1,2j)} + g(s^{(2i+1,2j)}) - S^{(2i,0)} + s^{(2i,2j)} + 3e_1 s^{(0,2j)} + g(s^{(-2,2j)}) + s^{(2i,2j+3)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i,2j+1)} + g(s^{(2i,2j-1)})], \tag{5.12a}
\]

\[
t_{i+1} = 4 [g(s^{(-2,2j+1)}_0) (s^{(2i+1,0)} - 3e_1 s^{(2i+1,0,-2)} + g(s^{(2i+1,-4)} - g(s^{(2i+1,-2)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+1,0)} + g(s^{(2i+1,-2)}) + s^{(2i+1,1)} s^{(-2,2j+1)} + g(s^{(-4,2j+1)}) - S^{(0,2j+1)} + s^{(2i+1,2j)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+1,2j+1)} + g(s^{(2i+1,2j+1)}) - S^{(2i,0)} + s^{(2i+1,2j+1)} + 3e_1 s^{(0,2j+1)} + g(s^{(-2,2j+1)}) + s^{(2i+1,2j-4)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+1,2j+3)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+1,2j+1)} + g(s^{(2i+1,2j-1)}) + s^{(2i+1,2j+4)} + 6e_1 s^{(2i+2,2j+2)} + (9e_1^2 + 2g) s^{(2i+2,2j+2)} + 6e_1 g s^{(2i+2,2j-2)} + g^2 s^{(2i+2,2j-4)}], \tag{5.12b}
\]

\[
t_{i+2} = 4 [g(s^{(-2,2j+2)}_0) (s^{(2i+2,0)} - 3e_1 s^{(2i+2,0,-2)} + g(s^{(2i+2,-4)} - g(s^{(2i+2,-2)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+2,0)} + g(s^{(2i+2,-2)}) + s^{(2i+2,1)} s^{(-2,2j+2)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+2,0)} + g(s^{(-4,2j+2)}) - S^{(0,2j+2)} + s^{(2i+2,2j)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+2,2j+1)} + g(s^{(2i+2,2j+1)}) - S^{(2i,0)} + s^{(2i+2,2j+1)} + 3e_1 s^{(0,2j+2)} + g(s^{(-2,2j+2)}) + s^{(2i+2,2j-4)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+2,2j+3)} + 3e_1 s^{(2i+2,2j+1)} + g(s^{(2i+2,2j-1)}) + s^{(2i+2,2j+4)} + 6e_1 s^{(2i+2,2j+2)} + (9e_1^2 + 2g) s^{(2i+2,2j+2)} + 6e_1 g s^{(2i+2,2j-2)} + g^2 s^{(2i+2,2j-4)}], \tag{5.12c}
\]

It is not necessary to write out the $S_x^{(2i+1,2j)}$ and $S_t^{(2i+1,2j)}$ due to the symmetry property $S_x^{(i,j)} = S_t^{(j,i)}$. One can repeatedly use (5.11) and easily get higher-order $x$-derivatives of $S^{(i,j)}$ by means of computer algebra, e.g. Mathematica.

These derivatives of $S^{(i,j)}$ bring the following epKdV system [1]

\[
u_t = u_{xxx} + 6u_x^2 - 6gs_x^2, \tag{5.13a}
\]

\[
 s_t = s_{xxx} + 6u_x s_x - 6gs_x h_x, \tag{5.13b}
\]

\[
 h_t = h_{xxx} + 6s_x^2 - 6gh_x^2, \tag{5.13c}
\]

\[
 v_t = v_{xxx} + 6u_x u_x + 6gs_x s_x^{(-1,2)} \tag{5.13d}
\]

\[
 w_t = w_{xxx} + 6s_x s_x^{(0,1)} - 6gw_x h_x, \tag{5.13e}
\]

where $u, v, s, w, h$ are defined as in (4.5), and

\[
 S^{(-1,2)} = \frac{1}{2} (h_x + s^2 - gh_x), \tag{5.14a}
\]

\[
 S^{(0,1)} = \frac{1}{2} (u_x + u^2 - gs^2), \tag{5.14b}
\]

which are from (5.11a).

To finish the derivation of (5.13), one needs long and tedious verification in which the recurrence relations (3.30) are successively used. For example, for the first equation (5.13a),
after substitute the expressions of \( u_t, u_{xxx}, u_x, s_x \) one has
\[
\frac{1}{6}(u_t - u_{xxx} - 6u_x^2 + 6gs_x^2) = g^2S^{(0,-2)}[S^{(0,-4)} - S^{(-1,-2)}S^{(0,-2)} + S^{(-2,-2)}(-1 + S^{(0,-1)})] \\
+ g[-S^{(0,-2)}(-S^{(0,0)}(1 + S^{(1,-2)}) + S^{(2,-2)} + S^{(0,0)}S^{(1,0)}) \\
- S^{(1,-2)} + S^{(0,-1)}(1 + S^{(1,-2)}) - S^{(1,-1)}S^{(0,-2)}] \\
- S^{(1,0)^2} + S^{(0,0)}S^{(1,1)} - S^{(2,1)} + S^{(3,0)}.
\]

It vanishes in light of the relations (3.30) with \((i,j) = (0,-2)\) and \((0,1)\). Equations (5.13b)-(5.13e) can rigorously be derived in a similar way but the procedures are long. Here we skip them.

In [1]
\[
A = -u + \frac{w}{s}
\]

is introduced, by which the epKdV system (5.13) yielded the following coupled equation, (i.e. (1.2))
\[
s_t = 4s_{xxx} + 6s_x[R(s^2) - A^2 - \frac{2As_x}{s} - \frac{2s_{xx}}{s}], \quad (5.16a)
\]
\[
A_t = 4A_{xxx} - 6A^2A_x + 6A_xR(s^2) - \frac{6s_x}{s}(R(s^2))_x, \quad (5.16b)
\]

with the elliptic curve \( R(x) \) given in (1.3). This coupled system is integrable in the sense of admitting a continuous Lax pair [1] (also see Sec.5.3).

### 5.3 Lax pair

Let us consider (5.9) with \( i = 0 \), which reads
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_x^{(0)} &= \mathbf{u}^{(1)} - uu^{(0)} + gs\mathbf{u}^{(-2)}, \\
\mathbf{u}_x^{(1)} &= \mathbf{u}^{(2)} - S^{(1,0)}\mathbf{u}^{(0)} + gw\mathbf{u}^{(-2)} + 3e_1\mathbf{u}^{(0)}.
\end{align*}
\]

(5.17a) \hspace{1cm} (5.17b)

After replacing \( \mathbf{u}^{(-2)} \), \( \mathbf{u}^{(2)} \) and \( S^{(1,0)} \) with (4.14), (4.16) and (5.14b), respectively, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_x^{(0)} &= \mathbf{u}^{(1)} - uu^{(0)} + gsK^{-1}(w\mathbf{u}^{(0)} - su^{(1)}), \\
\mathbf{u}_x^{(1)} &= (K + gw^2K^{-1})\mathbf{u}^{(0)} + (3e_1 - u_x - u^2 + gs^2)\mathbf{u}^{(0)} + (uI - gsK^{-1})\mathbf{u}^{(1)}.
\end{align*}
\]

(5.18a) \hspace{1cm} (5.18b)

As in the discrete case, we can consider \( k \) to be its canonical form and then from the first rows of (5.18a) and (5.18b) we find the linear form
\[
\phi_x = \begin{pmatrix}
-u + \frac{\varphi}{K}sw \\
K + 3e + gs^2 - u_x + \frac{\varphi}{K}w^2 \\
1 - \frac{\varphi}{K}sw
\end{pmatrix}
\phi,
\]

(5.19a)

where \( \phi \) is defined by (4.17). In a similar way from (5.10) we can find the time evolution of \( \phi \), which is formulated by
\[
\phi_t = -\begin{pmatrix}
S^{(0,1)}_x \\
S^{(1,1)}_x \\
S^{(0,1)}_x
\end{pmatrix}
\phi - \frac{\varphi}{K}
\begin{pmatrix}
(1 - vw)\frac{uw}{s} + v_xw \\
(1 - vw)\frac{uw}{s} - w(1 - vw)\frac{s_x}{s} \\
(1 - vw)\frac{s_x}{s} - v_xw
\end{pmatrix}
\phi,
\]

(5.19b)
where
\[ S_x^{(1,1)} = \frac{1}{2} S_{xx}^{(0,1)} + u S_x^{(0,1)} - gsw_x, \] (5.20)
and \( S^{(0,1)} \) is given by (5.14b).

(5.19) can be viewed as a Lax pair of the system (5.13), which can also be derived from the direct linearization approach [1]. The compatibility gives equations (5.13a), (5.13b) and (5.13e).

6 Straight continuum limits

The skew continuum of the elpKdV system (4.7) was considered in [1] when they studied initial value problems of (4.7). Such a limit is performed by introducing the skew-change of variables \((n, m) \mapsto (N = n + m, m)\).

Let us consider the straight continuum limit, where we first take \(m \to \infty, \ b \to \infty, \) while \(m/b = \tau_0 \sim O(1)\), (6.1)
with \(\tau_0\) being a constant. Define
\[ u = u_{n,m} =: u_n(\tau), \ s = s_{n,m} =: s_n(\tau), \ w = w_{n,m} =: w_n(\tau). \] (6.2)

Then, applying the Taylor expansions into (4.7) at \(\tau\), the leading term (in terms of \(1/b\)) of each equation yields the following semi-discrete equations
\[ \partial_\tau(\tilde{u} + u) = 2a(\tilde{u} - u) - (\tilde{u} - u)^2 + g(\tilde{s} - s)^2, \] (6.3a)
\[ \partial_\tau(\tilde{s}s) = (\tilde{s} - s)(a\tilde{s} + as - \tilde{w} + w) + us^2 + \tilde{u}s^2 - s\tilde{s}(u + \tilde{u}), \] (6.3b)
\[ (a + u - \frac{\tilde{w}}{s})(a - \tilde{u} + \frac{w}{s}) = a^2 - R(\tilde{s}s), \] (6.3c)
\[ \partial_\tau(\tilde{u} + \frac{w}{s}) + (u - \frac{w}{s})^2 = R(s^2), \] (6.3d)
and we note both (4.7b) and (4.7c) yield (6.3b) in the continuum limit.

For the full limit of (6.3), first we take
\[ n \to \infty, \ a \to \infty, \) while \(n/a = \xi \sim O(a^2) \] (6.4)
and then introduce continuous variables \(x\) and \(t\) by
\[ x = \tau + \xi, \ t = \frac{\xi}{12a^2}, \] (6.5)
with \(\xi\) as an auxiliary variable. Then, under the coordinates \((x, t)\) both (6.3c) and (6.3d) yield
\[ \left( u + \frac{w}{s} \right)_x + \left( u - \frac{w}{s} \right)^2 = R(s^2); \] (6.6)
(6.3a) yields (5.13a); (6.3b) gives (5.13b) and for that we need to make use of a relation \(s_{xx} = 2(gsh_x - us_x + w_x)\), which is obtained as a continuous limit of the summation of (4.6c) and (4.6d).
If we employ the transformation $A = -u + \frac{w}{s}$ in the equations (6.3b) and (6.3c), it turns out to be

$$\partial_t(s\tilde{s}) = (s - \tilde{s})(a\tilde{s} + as - \tilde{A}s + As) + u\tilde{s}^2 + \tilde{u}s^2 - s\tilde{s}(u + \tilde{u}), \quad (6.7a)$$

$$(a + u - \tilde{A} - \tilde{u})(a - \tilde{u} + A + u) = a^2 - R(s\tilde{s}). \quad (6.7b)$$

Their continuum limit gives the coupled system (5.16), where we need to use the relation $u_x = \frac{1}{2}(R(s^2) - A^2 - A_x)$, which is (6.6) in terms of $A$ and $u$, derived from (6.3d).

7 Conclusions

In this paper a new class of solutions of the elliptic KdV systems (both the discrete (1.1) and the continuous (1.2)) has been uncovered. We made use of Sylvester-type equation with elliptic ingredient. Solutions can be classified by the canonical form of $k$, which are much richer than pure solitons.

A Cauchy matrix dressed by dispersion relations usually satisfies a Sylvester equation. Starting from the Sylvester equation and dispersion relations, not only integrable equations can be derived but also their solutions and Lax pairs can be constructed. Such the Cauchy matrix approach is particularly powerful in the study of discrete integrable systems (see [6, 8]), as well as continuous systems [7]. Dressed Cauchy matrices also play key roles in the so-called operator method [14–19], trace method [20], etc.

Here we specially mention the connection with Inverse Scattering Transform (IST), which is to express solutions of the Gelfand-Levitan-Marchenko equation via a triplet $(A; b; c)$ where matrix $A$ and vectors $b$ and $c$ satisfy some Sylvester equations [21–23]. Solutions are classified by the canonical form of $A$. The obtained breather-like solutions can describe potentials on half-line and with non-zero reflection coefficients [21]. We believe such connections can be found for the fully discrete systems which were solved via IST recently [24, 25]. Besides, since there is deep connection between Cauchy matrix approach and direct linearization scheme, it is possible to develop a more general direct linearization scheme.

Adding elliptic information to integrable systems, either to equations or to solutions, is an interesting topic, which brings the study of the integrable systems into a larger area and more insight [26–33]. In this paper, we have shown that the Cauchy matrix approach works for the study of some elliptic integrable systems, i.e. some terms of these systems are formulated with an elliptic curve. The Sylvester equation (1.6) is our starting point. We derived the discrete as well as continuous elliptic KdV systems. Apart from solutions, we also obtained Lax representations. As for solutions, the discrete plane wave factor (B.2) and continuous one (B.6) are defined with the wave numbers $k_i$ which together with $K_i$ obeys the elliptic curve (1.3). For the Lax pairs (4.18) and (5.19), $(k, K)$ plays the role of spectral parameters which also obeys the elliptic curve.
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A Lower triangular Toeplitz matrices

Here we collect some properties of Lower triangular Toeplitz (LTT) matrices.

A $N$-th order LTT matrix is a matrix of the following form

\[
T^{[N]}(\{a_j\}_1^N) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
a_2 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_N & a_{N-1} & a_{N-2} & \cdots & a_2 & a_1
\end{pmatrix}_{N \times N}.
\]  

(A.1)

Let

\[
\mathcal{T}^{[N]} = \{T^{[N]}(\{a_j\}_1^N)\},
\]

(A.2)

then we have $AB = BA$, $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{T}^{[N]}$, i.e. $\mathcal{T}^{[N]}$ is a commutative set w.r.t. matrix multiplication. Particularly, the subset

\[
\mathcal{T}_1^{[N]} = \{F \in \mathcal{T}^{[N]} \mid \det(F) \neq 0\}
\]

is an Abelian group.

Obviously, the Jordan block matrix

\[
\Gamma_j^{[N]}(a) = \begin{pmatrix}
a & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(A.4)

is a LTT matrix, and one can verify the following.

**Proposition A.1.** If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{N \times N}$ and $\Gamma_j^{[N]}(a)A = A\Gamma_j^{[N]}(a)$, then there must be $A \in \mathcal{T}^{[N]}$.

If $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$, then the LTT matrix (A.1) can be generated by certain function. Suppose that $f(k)$ is an analytic function. Using Taylor coefficients

\[
a_j = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \partial_k^{j-1} f(k)_{k=k_0}, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, N
\]

(A.5)

we can generate a LTT matrix. The matrix (A.1) with (A.5) is called a LTT matrix generated by $f(k)$ at $k = k_0$, denoted by $T^{[N]}[f(k_0)]$, and $f(k)$ is called generating function. In this sense, the Jordan block (A.4) is generated by $f(k) = k$ at $k = a$, and the unit matrix $I$ is generated by $f(k) \equiv 1$. On the other hand, for any LTT matrix (A.1) with $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$, it can be generated by the polynomial

\[
\alpha(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j (k - k_0)^{j-1}
\]

(A.6)

with $\{a_j\}$ as coefficients. Next, by $[f(k)]_{k_0}^{[N]}$ we denote a set of functions (equivalence class) in which all the functions have the same $(N-1)$-th order Taylor polynomial at $k = k_0$ as $f(k)$ has. Say, $f(k) \sim g(k)$ if they have same $(N-1)$-th order Taylor polynomial at $k = k_0$. Thus, the LTT matrix (A.1) can be generated by any $f(k) \in [\alpha(k)]_{k_0}^{[N]}$. With such correspondence, we have the following.
Proposition A.2. If $A = T^{[N]}[f(k_0)]$ and $B = T^{[N]}[g(k_0)]$, then
\[ C = AB = T^{[N]}[f(k_0)g(k_0)]. \] (A.7)
i.e., $AB$ is a LTT matrix generated by $f(k)g(k)$ at $k_0$. As a result, we have
\[ \prod_{j=1}^{N} T^{[N]}[f_j(k_0)] = T^{[N]}[\prod_{j=1}^{N} f_j(k_0)], \]
and
\[ (T^{[N]}[f(k_0)])^{-1} = T^{[N]}[1/f(k_0)] \]
if $f(k_0) \neq 0$.

Proof. We only need to prove (A.7). Suppose
\[ A = (a_{ij})_{N \times N}, \quad B = (b_{ij})_{N \times N}, \quad C = (c_{ij})_{N \times N}. \]
Then we have (with $k = k_0$)
\[ a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(i-j)!} \partial_k^{i-j} f(k), & i \geq j, \\ 0, & i < j, \end{cases} \]
and (with $k = k_0$)
\[ b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(i-j)!} \partial_k^{i-j} g(k), & i \geq j, \\ 0, & i < j, \end{cases} \]
and $c_{ij} = 0$ when $i < j$. Thus, (A.7) is proved. 

In addition to the LTT matrices, we define the following skew triangular Toeplitz (STT) matrix:
\[ H^{[N]}(\{b_j\})_N = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & b_{N-2} & b_{N-1} & b_N \\
 b_2 & \cdots & b_{N-1} & b_N & 0 \\
 b_3 & \cdots & b_N & 0 & 0 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 b_N & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{N \times N}, \] (A.8)
The following property holds [7].
Proposition A.3. Let
\[ \mathcal{T}^{[N]} = \{ H^{[N]}(\{b_j\}_1^N) \}. \]  
(A.9)

Then we have
(1). \( H = H^T, \forall H \in \mathcal{T}^{[N]} \);
(2). \( HA = (HA)^T = A^T H, \forall A \in \mathcal{T}^{[N]}, \forall H \in \mathcal{T}^{[N]} \).

It can be extended to the following generic case.

Proposition A.4. Let
\[ \mathcal{G}^{[N]} = \{ \text{Diag}(G^{[N]}_1(\{a_{1,j}\}_1^{N_1})), T^{[N]}(\{a_{2,j}\}_1^{N_2}), \cdots, T^{[N]}(\{a_{s,j}\}_1^{N_s}) \}, \]  
(A.10a)
\[ \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{[N]} = \{ \text{Diag}(\Gamma^{[N]}_1(\{b_{1,j}\}_1^{N_1}), H^{[N]}(\{b_{2,j}\}_1^{N_2}), H^{[N]}(\{b_{3,j}\}_1^{N_3}), \cdots, H^{[N]}(\{b_{s,j}\}_1^{N_s}) \}, \]  
(A.10b)

where \( 0 \leq N_j \leq N \) for \( j = 0, 1, \cdots, N \) and \( \sum_{j=1}^s N_j = N \). Then we have
(1). \( AB = BA, \forall A, B \in \mathcal{G}^{[N]} \);
(2). \( H = H^T, \forall H \in \mathcal{G}^{[N]} \);
(3). \( HA = (HA)^T = A^T H, \forall A \in \mathcal{G}^{[N]}, \forall H \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{[N]} \).

B  Explicit forms of \( r \) and \( c \)

Here we list out the explicit forms of \( r \) and \( c \) satisfying (4.1) and (5.1), respectively.

B.1  Solution to (4.1)

(1). When \( \Gamma = \Gamma^{[N]}_D(\{k_j\}_1^N) \) we have
\[ r = r^{[N]}_D(\{k_j\}_1^N) = \{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_N\}^T, \text{ with } r_i = \rho_i, \]  
(B.1)
where
\[ \rho_i = \left( \frac{a + k_i}{a - k_i} \right)^n \left( \frac{b + k_i}{b - k_i} \right)^m \rho_i^0, \]  
(B.2)
and \( \rho_i^0 \) is a constant.

(2). When \( \Gamma = \Gamma^{[N]}_j(k_1) \), we have
\[ r = r^{[N]}_j(k_1) = \{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_N\}^T, \text{ with } r_i = \frac{j^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \rho_1, \]  
(B.3)
where \( \rho_1 \) is defined in (B.2).

(3). When \( \Gamma = \Gamma^{[N]}_G \) we have
\[ r = \begin{pmatrix} r_{D}^{[N_1]}(\{k_j\}_1^{N_1}) \\ r_{N_1+1}^{[N_1]}(k_{N_1+1}) \\ r_{N_1+2}^{[N_1]}(k_{N_1+2}) \\ \vdots \\ r_{N_1+(s-1)}^{[N_1]}(k_{N_1+(s-1)}) \end{pmatrix}, \]  
(B.4)
where \( r_{D}^{[N_1]}(\{k_j\}_1^{N_1}) \) and \( r_j^{[N_1]}(k_j) \) are defined as in (B.1) and (B.3), respectively.
B.2 Solution to (5.1)

(1). When $\Gamma = \Gamma^{|N|}_{D}(\{k_j\}_{1}^{N})$ we have $r = r^{|N|}_{D}(\{k_j\}_{1}^{N})$ is given in the form (B.1) and

$$c = c^{|N|}_{D}(\{k_j\}_{1}^{N}) = (c_1, c_2, \cdots , c_N)^T, \text{ with } c_i = r_i,$$

but here

$$\rho_i = e^{\xi_i}, \quad \xi_i = k_i x + 4k_i^3 t + \xi_i^{(0)}, \text{ with constant } \xi_i^{(0)}.$$

(2). When $\Gamma = \Gamma^{|N|}_J(k_1)$, we have $r = r^{|N|}_J(k_1)$ is given in the form (B.1) and

$$c = c^{|N|}_J(k_1) = (c_1, c_2, \cdots , c_N)^T, \text{ with } c_i = r_{N-i},$$

where $\rho_1$ is defined in (B.6).

(3). When $\Gamma = \Gamma^{|N|}_G$ we have $r$ is given in the form of (B.4) and

$$c = \begin{pmatrix}
    c^{|N|}_D(\{k_j\}_{1}^{N_1}) \\
    c^{|N_2|}_J(k_{N_1+1}) \\
    c^{|N_3|}_J(k_{N_1+2}) \\
    \vdots \\
    c^{|N_s|}_J(k_{N_1+(s-1)})
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $c^{|N_1|}_D(\{k_j\}_{1}^{N_1})$ and $c^{|N_1|}_J(k_j)$ are defined as in (B.5) and (B.7), respectively.
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