Interstellar extinction and interstellar polarization: old and new models
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Abstract

The review contains an analysis of the observed and model curves of the interstellar extinction and polarization. The observations mainly give information on dust in diffuse and translucent interstellar clouds. The features of various dust grain models including spherical/non-spherical, homogeneous/inhomogeneous particles are discussed. A special attention is devoted to the analysis of the grain size distributions, alignment mechanisms and magnetic field structure in interstellar clouds. It is concluded that the interpretation of interstellar extinction and polarization is not yet complete.
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1. Introduction

The properties of cosmic dust grains in various objects from comets to distant galaxies are derived from observations of interstellar extinction, interstellar polarization, scattered radiation, infrared (IR) continuum emission and IR features. Modelling of these observations is aimed at estimates of the grain size, chemical composition, shape, structure, and alignment. The observed wavelength dependencies of interstellar extinction and polarization (interstellar extinction $A(\lambda)$ and polarization $P(\lambda)$ curves) still remain the main sources of information on dust in diffuse and translucent interstellar clouds.
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In this review, we discuss observations and modelling of the interstellar extinction and polarization curves. Special attention is paid to various dust grain models. For an extended consideration of the properties of dust in different astronomical objects see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Excellent historical reviews on dust astrophysics are given by Dorschner [6] and Li [7].

2. Extinction

2.1. Extinction curve: production and fitting

The normalized extinction curves \( A^{(n)}(\lambda^{-1}) \) commonly studied can be calculated as the ratio of the colour excess of a star behind the dusty cloud \( E(\lambda - V) \) to the colour excess \( E(B - V) \)

\[
A^{(n)}(\lambda^{-1}) \equiv \frac{E(\lambda - V)}{E(B - V)} = \frac{A(\lambda) - A_V}{A_B - A_V}. \tag{1}
\]

Here, \( A_B \) and \( A_V \) is the extinction in the B and V bands, respectively. Sometimes, the normalization of the extinction curve on \( A_V \) or extinction in another band is used.

In such a manner we can determine only the “selective” extinction (reddening), i.e. the difference of extinction at two wavelengths. The absolute value of extinction can be found as

\[
A_V = R_V E(B - V), \tag{2}
\]

where the coefficient \( R_V \) is often evaluated from observations in the visible and IR taking into account that \( A_\lambda \to 0 \) when \( \lambda \to \infty \). From Eq. (1), it follows that

\[
R_V = \frac{A_V}{E(B - V)} = -\frac{E(\infty - V)}{E(B - V)}. \tag{3}
\]

In the diffuse medium on average \( R_V = 2.4 - 3.6 \) [8].

In general, the interstellar extinction curve has a power law-like rise from the IR to the visible, a prominent feature (bump) near \( \lambda \approx 2175 \text{ Å} \), and a steep rise in the far-UV. This rise is a manifestation of the very strong feature with a maximum near \( \lambda \approx 700 \text{ Å} \) [9]. Figure 1 shows the extinction curve averaged over 243 Galactic B and late–O stars [8]. In the near IR-visible part of the spectrum, the distinction between the extinction curves of different stars is rather small. The IR extinction at wavelengths \( \lambda = 0.7-5 \mu\text{m} \) was approximated by the power-law dependence: \( A(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{-\beta} \) with \( \beta = -1.84 \).
Figure 1: The average extinction curve for 243 Galactic stars with $2.4 < R_V < 3.6$ and the extinction curves in the direction of six stars in Sco-Oph [8]. The values of the coefficient $R_V$ and the UV fitting coefficients $c_4$ and $c_5$ (Eq. (4)) are indicated in the legend. The effect of variations of coefficients $c_4$ (left panel) and $c_5$ (right panel) is illustrated. Adapted from [8].

Fitzpatrick and Massa [11] found that the index $\beta$ was $R_V$-dependent: $\beta > 2$ if $R_V < 3$ and $\beta < 1.5$ if $R_V > 3$. The mid-IR extinction at wavelengths $\lambda > 3 \mu m$ measured in the Galactic plane [12] and toward the Galactic centre [13] becomes grayer than the near-IR extinction.

In the UV region, the extinction curves differ strongly [8, 14, 15] (see also Fig. 1) demonstrating that the mean curve in the UV obviously has little meaning. The position of the UV bump center varies a little from star to star and occurs at $\lambda_0 = 2174 \pm 17 \AA$ or $\lambda_0^{-1} = 4.599 \pm 0.012 \mu m^{-1}$. The total half-width of the bump is $W = 0.992 \pm 0.058 \mu m^{-1}$ that corresponds to $470 \pm 27 \AA$ [16].

Dorschner [17] was the first who suggested to approximate the shape of the bump profile by the classical (Lorentzian) dispersion profile. After examination of the IUE extinction curves for many lines of sight, Fitzpatrick and Massa [8, 16, 18] deduced a single analytical expression with a small number of parameters describing extinction in the region $1150 \AA \leq \lambda <
2700 Å \((x \equiv \lambda^{-1})\)

\[
A^{(n)}(x) = \frac{E(\lambda - V)}{E(B - V)} = \begin{cases} 
  c_1 + c_2 x + c_3 D(x, W, x_0), & x \leq c_5, \\
  c_1 + c_2 x + c_3 D(x, W, x_0) + c_4 (x - c_5)^2, & x > c_5,
\end{cases}
\]

where

\[
D(x, W, x_0) = \frac{x^2}{(x^2 - x_0^2)^2 + x^2 W^2}.
\]

Originally, the value of \(c_5\) was fixed at 5.9 \(\mu m^{-1}\) [18]. Equation (4) consists of:

\textit{i}) a Lorentzian-like bump term (requiring three parameters, corresponding to the bump width \(W\), position \(x_0\), and strength \(c_3\)), \textit{ii}) a far-UV curvature term (two parameters \(c_4\) and \(c_5\); see Fig. 1 for illustration), and \textit{iii}) a linear term underlying the bump and the far-UV (two parameters \(c_1\) and \(c_2\)).

The parameters of the average extinction curve presented in Fig. 1 are: \(R_V = 3.001, \ x_0 = 4.592 \mu m^{-1}, \ W = 0.922 \mu m^{-1}, \ c_1 = -0.175, \ c_2 = 0.807, \ c_3 = 2.991, \ c_4 = 0.319, \ c_5 = 6.097 [8]\).

Fitzpatrick and Massa [8] note that there is no correlation between the UV and IR portions of the Galactic extinction curves. This fact is illustrated by Fig. 2 where the dependence of the coefficient \(R_V\) on the quantity describing the strength of the far-UV extinction curvature \(\Delta 1250 = c_4 (8.0 - c_5)^2\) is shown. Absence of the correlation between \(R_V\) and \(\Delta 1250\) contradicts the often used representation of the extinction curves from the UV to IR as a one-parameter family dependent on \(R_V\) (so called CCM model introduced by Cardelli, Clayton and Mathis [19, 20]). As mentioned in [8], the relations between \(R_V\) and UV extinction found in [19, 20] can arise from sample selection and methodology. Evidently, some bias relates to the number of clouds available in the line of sight, i.e. to the distance to the star. There exists a wide scatter of the data for nearby stars in comparison with the distant stars (see Fig. 2). A large difference in extinction for the nearby stars observed through single clouds was first noted by Krelo\'\'ski and Wegner [21]. It should be mentioned that the major part of anomalous or peculiar extinction sight-lines studied so far are related to not very distant stars [22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

2.2. Interpretation: homogeneous spheres

The extinction of stellar radiation at the wavelength \(\lambda\) after passing a dust cloud is equal to

\[
A(\lambda) = -2.5 \log I(\lambda)/I_0(\lambda) \approx 1.086 \tau(\lambda),
\]
Figure 2: The coefficient $R_V$ in dependence on the quantity $\Delta 1250 = c_4 (8.0 - c_5)^2$ showing the strength of the far-UV extinction curvature in the direction of 321 stars with known distances from [8]. Filled and open circles show data for stars with the distances $D \leq 1$ kpc and $D > 1$ kpc, respectively. Cross corresponds to the average Galactic extinction curve.

where $I_0(\lambda)$ is the source (star) intensity, $\tau(\lambda)$ the optical thickness which can be found as the total extinction cross-section of all particles types along the line of sight in a given direction. Interpretation of the interstellar extinction is often performed using homogeneous spherical particles of various size distributions. Then, the wavelength dependence of extinction can be calculated as

$$A(\lambda) = 1.086 \sum_j \int_0^D \int_{r_{s,\min,j}}^{r_{s,\max,j}} C_{\text{ext},j}(m, r_s, \lambda) n_j(r_s) \, dr_s \, dl. \quad (7)$$

Here, $n_j(r_s)$ is the size distribution of spherical dust grains of the type $j$ and radius $r_s$ with the lower cut-off $r_{s,\min}$ and the upper cut-off $r_{s,\max}$, $C_{\text{ext}}(\ldots) = \pi r_s^2 Q_{\text{ext}}(\ldots)$ the extinction cross-section, $Q_{\text{ext}}$ the extinction effi-
ciency factor, $m$ the refractive index, $D$ the distance to a star. From Eq. (7), an important conclusion follows: the wavelength dependence of interstellar extinction is completely determined by the wavelength dependence of the extinction efficiency $Q_{\text{ext}}$.

\[ Q_{\text{ext}} \propto \lambda^{-1.33} \]

\[ \lambda^{-1.33} \]

Figure 3: Wavelength dependence of the extinction efficiency factors for homogeneous spherical particles of different sizes consisting of astronomical silicate and amorphous carbon. The dashed segment shows the approximate wavelength dependence of the mean Galactic extinction curve at optical wavelengths. Adapted from [2].

The average interstellar extinction curve in the visible-near UV can be approximated by the power law $A(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{-1.3}$ [8]. Such wavelength dependence can be produced by submicron-sized particles of the typical radius $\langle r \rangle \approx 0.05 - 0.1 \mu m$. In this case, for more absorbing materials like amorphous carbon or iron we have smaller particles and for less absorbing materials like silicate or ice we need larger particles (see Fig. 3). So, from the
wavelength dependence of extinction only the product of the typical particle size and the refractive index $\langle r \rangle |m - 1| \approx \text{const.}$ can be determined, but not the size or chemical composition of dust grains separately. In order to solve this problem, the dust-phase abundances of the main elements forming dust (C, O, Mg, Si and Fe) need to be taken into account and to reproduce the absolute extinction. Unfortunately, despite numerous observations of the interstellar absorption lines (see a compilation of Gudennavar et al. [27]) abundances with good accuracy are known just for a restricted number of diffuse and translucent clouds [28, 29, 30].

Another problem having many solutions is identification of the UV bump near $\lambda 2175 \text{Å}$. Various materials with isotropic and anisotropic properties such as silicate (enstatite), irradiated quartz, oxides (MgO, CaO), organic molecules have been considered as carrier candidates (see discussion in [1, 2]). However, the position and width of the bump are strongly suggestive of $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions in graphitic or aromatic carbonaceous species dominating by sp$^2$ bonding. Therefore, small graphite particles and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH molecules) are considered as the favourite materials [31, 32, 33]. Unfortunately, the reliable identification of the carrier remains unknown. The attempts to find the UV or visual bands of PAHs have failed [34, 35]. We cannot even determine the size of graphite spheres responsible for the $\lambda 2175 \text{Å}$ feature (see Fig. 4). The profiles with the central position near $\lambda_0^{-1} = 4.6 \mu m^{-1}$ can be obtained if we take particles with the radius $r_s \approx 0.015 \mu m$ (Fig. 4 left panel). Although for single-size particles the width of the calculated profiles is smaller than the observed one, a simple bi-modal size distribution allows a fit to both the position and the width of the mean Galactic profile (Fig. 4 right panel).

The far-UV extinction can be explained by tiny particles of the typical radius $\langle r \rangle \approx 0.01 - 0.03 \mu m$ (see Fig. 5). The number density of such grains is $\sim 1000$ times larger than the submicron particles producing the visual-near-IR extinction [36]. Because of temperature fluctuations, such particles are protected from growth by accretion in the interstellar clouds. The far-UV rise of extinction may be also fitted as the low-energy side of $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transitions in PAHs (see [37] for discussion).

By using particles of different chemical composition and applying Eq. (7) it is possible to interpret the interstellar extinction and to reconstruct the dust size distribution. In the pioneer work of Oort and van de Hulst [38] the size distribution of icy grains was found in the tabular form. Later, Greenberg [39] fitted it with an exponential function. By using minimiza-
Figure 4: Normalized extinction efficiencies for graphite spheres. The curve marked as "observations" corresponds to the wavelength dependence of the UV bump given by the mean Galactic extinction curve. The central position of the observed UV bump and its range of variations are marked. The left panel shows extinction of single size graphite spheres. The right panel shows the summary extinction of two graphite spheres with radii $r_s = 0.005 \mu m$ and $r_s = 0.03 \mu m$ (from left panel) taken in equal proportions. All calculations were made in the "2/3–1/3" approximation for the averaged extinction factors $Q_{\text{ext}} = 2/3 Q_{\text{ext}}(\varepsilon_\perp) + 1/3 Q_{\text{ext}}(\varepsilon_\parallel)$, where $\varepsilon_\perp$ and $\varepsilon_\parallel$ are the dielectric functions for two cases of orientation of the electric field relative to the basal plane of graphite. Adapted from [2].
Table 1: Dust size distributions used for interpretation of interstellar extinction.

| Author(s) (year) reference; size distribution function | $N_{\text{parameters}}$ |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Greenberg (1968) [39]; exponential $n(r_s) \propto \exp[-5 (r_s/r_{s0})^3]$ | 1 |
| Isobe (1973) [40]; exponential $n(r_s) \propto \exp[-(r_s/r_{s0})]$ | 1 |
| Mathis et al. (1977) [41]; power-law; MRN mixture $n(r_s) \propto r_s^{-q}$ | 1 |
| Wickramasinghe and Guillaume (1965) [42]; normal $n(r_s) \propto \exp[-(r_s - \bar{r}_s)^2/(2\sigma^2)]$ | 2 |
| Wickramasinghe and Nandy (1971) [43]; lognormal $n(r_s) \propto r_s^{-\gamma} \exp[-1/2 (r_s/r_2)^3]$ | 2 |
| Kim et al. (1994) [45]; power-law with exponential decay $n(r_s) \propto r_s^{-\gamma} \exp(-r_s/r_{sb})$ | 2 |
| Mathis (1996) [46]; power-law with exponential decay $n(r_s) \propto r_s^{-\gamma_0} \exp[-(\gamma_1 r_s + \gamma_2/r_s + \gamma_3/r_s^2)]$ | 4 |
| Weingartner and Draine (2001) [31]; two lognormal $n(r_s) \propto C_{\text{C}}(r_s) + \frac{C_{\text{Si}}}{r_s} (\frac{r_s}{r_{t;C,\text{Si}}})^{\alpha_{C,\text{Si}}} \times \begin{cases} 1 + \beta_{C,\text{Si}} r_s/r_{t;C,\text{Si}}, & \beta \geq 0 \\ (1 - \beta_{C,\text{Si}} r_s/r_{t;C,\text{Si}})^{-1}, & \beta < 0 \end{cases} \times \begin{cases} 1, & 3.5 \text{ Å} < r_s < r_{t;C,\text{Si}} \\ \exp\{-[(r_s - r_{t;C,\text{Si}})/r_{t;C,\text{Si}}]^3\}, & r_s > r_{t;C,\text{Si}} \end{cases}$ | 11 |
| Zubko et al. (2004) [47]; $\log n(r_s) = c_0 + b_0 \log(r_s) - b_1 |\log(r_s/a_1)|^{m_1} - b_2 |\log(r_s/a_2)|^{m_2} - b_3 |r_s - a_3|^{m_3} - b_4 |r_s - a_4|^{m_4}$ | 14 |
2.3. Interpretation: inhomogeneous and composite particles

Progress in observations, the light scattering and grain growth theories gave rise to new dust models with grains more complicated than homogeneous spheres.

Wickramasinghe [50, 51] was the first who studied the optical properties of core-mantle grains which could grow in interstellar clouds due to accretion of volatile elements on refractory particles. He calculated extinction produced by graphite core–ice mantle and silicate core–ice mantle spheres. Extensive calculations of extinction for graphite core–ice mantle particles were also made by Greenberg [39] who later proposed the existence of particles with silicate cores coated by a layer of organic material in diffuse clouds and silicate-organic-ice grains in molecular clouds [36]. Such grains were a component of the dust mixture reproducing interstellar extinction [52].

The growth of interstellar grains due to their coagulation in dense molecular cloud cores may result in formation of grain aggregates with large voids [53]. The internal structure of such composite grains can be very complicated, and their optical properties cannot be described by the model of core–mantle spheres. Exact calculations are possible for complex aggregates of rather small sizes [54, 55, 56]. Therefore, very complicated particles are replaced by more simple “optically equivalent” ones. A very popular approach is to make calculations using the Mie theory for homogeneous spheres with an average refractive index derived from one of the mixing rules of the effective medium theory (EMT; see, e.g., [46, 47, 57] and Table 2). Another possibility to treat composite aggregate grains is to consider multi-layered particles. As shown by Voshchinnikov and Mathis [60] for spheres and by Farafonov and Voshchinnikov [63] for spheroids, the scattering characteristics of layered particles slightly depend on the order of materials and become close to some “average” ones, when the number of layers exceeds 15 – 20. According to estimates made in [64], the optical properties of layered particles resemble those of heterogeneous particles having inclusions of various sizes while the EMT-Mie approach can be used if the particles have small (in comparison with the wavelength of the incident radiation) “Rayleigh” inclusions.

Inhomogeneous and composite particles have an advantage over homogeneous ones as there exists the possibility of including vacuum as one of the materials. The new dust models with fluffy, porous particles are able to produce the same extinction with a smaller amount of solid material than dust models with compact particles. The amount of vacuum in a particle
Table 2: Models of inhomogeneous spherical grains used for interpretation of interstellar extinction.

| Author(s) (year) reference | Model |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Wickramasinghe (1963) [50]; Greenberg (1968) [39] | graphite core–ice mantle |
| Wickramasinghe (1970) [51] | silicate core–ice mantle |
| Greenberg, Li (1996) [52] | silicate core–organic mantle |
| Mathis and Whiffen (1989) [57]; Mathis (1996) [46] | EMT-Mie: silicate + amorphous carbon + iron + voids |
| Zubko et al. (1998, 2004) [47, 49] | EMT-Mie: silicate + organic refractory + water ice + voids |
| Vaidya et al. (2001) [58] | silicates with graphite inclusions |
| Voshchinnikov and Mathis (1999) [60]; Voshchinnikov et al. (2006) [61] | multi-layered: vacuum/silicate/amorphous carbon |
| Iati et al. (2008) [62]; Cecchi-Pestellini et al. (2010) [37]; Zonca et al. (2011) [24] | four-layered: vacuum–silicate–sp$^2$-carbon–sp$^3$-carbon |
| Rai and Rastogi (2012) [26] | nanodiamonds coated by amorphous carbon or graphite |

can be characterized by its porosity $\mathcal{P}$ ($0 \leq \mathcal{P} < 1$)

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{V_{\text{vac}}}{V_{\text{total}}} = 1 - \frac{V_{\text{solid}}}{V_{\text{total}}}. \quad (8)$$

The role of porosity in extinction is seen from Fig. 5 that gives the wavelength dependence of the normalized cross section

$$C_{\text{ext}}^{(\text{n})} = \frac{C_{\text{ext}}(\text{porous grain})}{C_{\text{ext}}(\text{compact grain of same mass})} = (1 - \mathcal{P})^{-2/3} \frac{Q_{\text{ext}}(\text{porous grain})}{Q_{\text{ext}}(\text{compact grain of same mass})}. \quad (9)$$

This quantity shows how porosity influences the extinction cross section. As follows from Fig. 5, as $\mathcal{P}$ increases the model predicts a growth of extinction of porous particles in the far-UV and a decrease in the visual–near-UV. In
comparison with compact grains, layered particles can also produce rather large extinction in the near-IR. This is especially important for the explanation of the flat extinction across the $3 - 8 \, \mu m$ wavelength range measured for several lines of sight (see [13, 61] for discussion). It is also seen from Fig. 5 that an addition of vacuum into particles does not lead to a growth of extinction at all wavelengths and material saving. Evidently, the final conclusion can be made after detailed comparison of the observations with theoretical calculations at many wavelengths.

Table 2 contains information about models of inhomogeneous spherical grains used for the interpretation of interstellar extinction. Inhomogeneous non-spherical particles of the simplest shapes (cylinders, spheroids) are also used for simultaneous interpretation of interstellar extinction and polarization (see Table 4). In this case major attention has been paid to the modelling

---

1 This supports a conclusion of Li [65] that an interpretation of the observed interstellar extinction curve using only very porous grains should not give any gain in dust-phase abundances.
of polarization because extinction usually has only a slight dependence on the particle shape and orientation [2, 66].

The models discussed in this Section were first applied to interpreting the average Galactic extinction curve. Modelling of extinction in several sightlines has been also performed [22, 23, 26, 31, 48, 49, 61, 67, 68, 69, 70]. The models include multi-component mixtures of bare particles with a rather complicated size distribution function or/and inhomogeneous particles and PAHs and often took into account abundance restrictions. Especially popular is the direction to the halo star HD 210121 with very high UV extinction. The extinction for this star has been modeled by Li and Greenberg [69] with a mixture of silicate core–organic mantle spheres, bare spheres and PAHs, by Larson et al. [70] and by Clayton et al. [71] with two-component (silicate, graphite) and three-component (silicate, graphite, amorphous carbon) models, by Weingartner and Draine [31] who considered the mixture of carbonaceous and silicate grains with the size distribution given in Table 1, and by Rai and Rastogi [26] who used a silicate-graphite mixture and nanodiamonds coated by carbon. This list illustrates the non-uniqueness of parameters of dust grains obtained from modelling.

A similar conclusion about the ambiguity of the modelling follows from several attempts to interpret the peculiar extinction curves characterized by a broad $\lambda 2175$ Å bump and a steep far-UV rise or a sharp $\lambda 2175$ Å bump and flat far-UV extinction (see Fig. 1). Using the model of Weingartner and Draine [31] (see Table 1), Mazzei and Barbaro [23] derived the parameters of the size distribution for 64 stars. Variations of the parameters were attributed to the selective grain destruction in both shocks and grain-grain collisions. Zonca et al. [24] found an excellent fit for different extinction curves for 15 sightlines with the mixture of layered porous grains for reproduction of the near-IR-visual extinction and PAHs to account for the bump and far-UV extinction. Large grains consisting of silicates coated by layers from graphitic and polymeric amorphous carbons (see Table 2) were suggested in the model of Jones et al. [72] (see [73] for more details). Rai and Rastogi [26] analyzed anomalous extinction curves in the direction of 10 stars and showed that a very good match with the far-UV rise of extinction was obtained if to include nanodiamonds coated by graphite or amorphous carbon as a component of the silicate-graphite mixture.

Summarizing this discussion of the interstellar extinction, it should be noted that there is a wide diversity in the models and the non-uniqueness in the results. In spite of numerous attempts to use very complicated inhomoge-
geneous particles, the Mie theory for homogeneous spheres keeps its leading position as a main tool for the interpretation of interstellar extinction. Further progress in the investigations should include a clear role for PAHs and modelling of the extinction on the basis of interstellar abundances in selected directions. A sophisticated understanding of the origin of UV extinction, the available solid-state material and the grain growth process would stimulate going from simple Mie theory to justified models of complex particles.

3. Polarization

3.1. Observations: Serkowski curve and polarizing efficiency

Interstellar linear polarization is caused by the linear dichroism of the interstellar medium due to the presence of non-spherical aligned grains. Dust grains must have sizes close to the wavelength of the incident radiation and specific magnetic properties to efficiently interact with the interstellar magnetic field. The direction of alignment must not coincide with the line of sight and there must be no cancellation of polarization during the propagation of radiation through the interstellar medium.

Interstellar polarization was discovered in 1949 by Hiltner [74], Hall [75] and Dombrovskii [76] in the course of the search for the Sobolev-Chandrasekhar effect\(^2\). The wavelength dependence of polarization \(P(\lambda)\) in the visible part of spectrum is described by an empirical formula suggested by Serkowski [77]

\[
\frac{P(\lambda)}{P_{\text{max}}} = \exp[-K \ln^2(\lambda_{\text{max}}/\lambda)].
\]

(10)

This formula has three parameters: the maximum degree of polarization \(P_{\text{max}}\), the wavelength corresponding to it \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) and the coefficient \(K\) characterizing the width of the Serkowski curve. Initially, the coefficient \(K\) was chosen by Serkowski [77] [78] to be equal to 1.15\(^3\).

\(^2\)Sobolev and Chandrasekhar have shown that the polarization of radiation at the limb of a star due to the electronic (Thomson) scattering should reach \(\sim 12\%\). Eclipsing binaries with extended atmospheres have been suggested in order to observe the effect.

\(^3\)The Serkowski curve is just one of possible approximations of the observed dependence \(P(\lambda)\). For example, Wolstencroft and Smith [79] have suggested the representation

\[
P(\lambda)/P_{\text{max}} = 2^K(\lambda/\lambda_{\text{max}} + \lambda_{\text{max}}/\lambda)^{-K}.
\]

When \(K = 2.25\) this curve lies within 1\% of the Serkowski curve with \(K = 1.15\) in the wavelength interval 0.22 – 1.40 \(\mu\)m.
The values of $P_{\text{max}}$ in the diffuse interstellar medium usually do not exceed 10%. The ratios $P_{\text{max}}/E(B-V)$ and $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$ determine the polarizing efficiency of the interstellar medium in a selected direction. There exist empirically found upper limits on these ratios \cite{78}

$$P_{\text{max}}/E(B-V) \lesssim 9\%/\text{mag} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\text{max}}/A_V \lesssim 3\%/\text{mag}. \quad (11)$$

The mean value of $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is 0.55 $\mu$m although there are directions for which $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is smaller than 0.4 $\mu$m or larger than 0.8 $\mu$m \cite{80} (see also Fig. 6).

Using observations of about 50 southern stars Whittet and van Breda \cite{81} established a relation between the parameters of the extinction and polarization curves $R_V = (5.6 \pm 0.3) \lambda_{\text{max}}$, where $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is in $\mu$m. However, further investigations of separate clouds questioned this correlation (e.g., \cite{67, 82, 83}).

The connection between the coefficient $K$ and the width of the normalized curve of interstellar linear polarization $W$ is given by the relation

$$W = \exp\left[(\ln 2/K)^{1/2}\right] - \exp\left[-(\ln 2/K)^{1/2}\right].$$

Treating $K$ as a third free parameter of the Serkowski curve, Whittet et al. \cite{84} evaluated the dependence between $K$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ on the basis of observations for 109 stars

$$K = (1.66 \pm 0.09)\lambda_{\text{max}} + (0.01 \pm 0.05). \quad (12)$$

The coefficients of the linear function \cite{12} for different regions may strongly deviate from the average values (see Fig. 6 where the data for the Taurus dark cloud and the $\rho$ Oph cloud are plotted).

In parallel with the positive correlation between $K$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$, the negative correlation between the polarization efficiency $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ for stars in separate interstellar clouds and associations is observed \cite{82, 88, 89} (see also discussion in Sect. 3.3).

The IR continuum polarization for $\lambda > 2.5 \mu$m cannot be represented by the Serkowski curve with three parameters. The polarization seems to have a

\[ P\lambda/P_{\text{max}} = [(\lambda/\lambda_{\text{max}}) \exp(1 - \lambda/\lambda_{\text{max}})]^{-\beta}, \]

where the index $\beta$ is proportional to $K$.
common, universal functional form independent of the value of $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ and its wavelength dependence is given by a power law $P(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{-(1.6-2.0)}$ \cite{10,85}. The UV polarization for 28 lines of sight in the Galaxy has been analyzed by Martin et al. \cite{90} and fitted by a Serkowski-like curve.

As interstellar extinction and interstellar polarization have different wavelength dependencies, the polarizing efficiency $P(\lambda)/A(\lambda)$ has a maximum in the near-IR. Note that the polarizing efficiency generally increases with wavelength for $\lambda \lesssim 1\ \mu m$. It may be approximated by the power-law dependence $P/A \propto \lambda^\epsilon$. For stars presented in Fig. 7 the values of $\epsilon$ vary from 1.41 for HD 197770 to 2.06 for HD 99264.

Variations of the polarizing efficiency in cold dark clouds and star-forming regions are of special interest. It was found that in several dark clouds the

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6}
\caption{The coefficients $K$ of the Serkowski curve \cite{10} in dependence on the wavelength of the maximum polarization $\lambda_{\text{max}}$. The data were taken from \cite{80,82} for the Taurus dark cloud and from \cite{83} for $\rho$ Oph cloud. The HD numbers of stars are marked. Taurus cloud 1 contains 14 stars with similar positional angles of polarization $\theta_{\text{gal}} = 145^\circ - 175^\circ$ (see \cite{83} for details). HD 150193 is Herbig Ae/Be star with intrinsic polarization \cite{87}. The linear fits for $\rho$ Oph cloud are shown for cases with and without HD 150193.}
\end{figure}
rise of polarization with growing extinction was stopped at some value of $A_V$ \cite{93, 94} and that the polarizing efficiency $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$ or $P_K/A_K$ declines rapidly with increasing extinction \cite{82, 83, 95, 96, 97}. This fact is usually considered as the evidence for the lower efficiency of grain alignment in dark clouds in comparison with diffuse clouds \cite{98}. But it is possible to propound many other factors influencing the polarization degree. They are discussed qualitatively by Goodman et al. \cite{93} (see their Table 4). Nevertheless, there exists the direct evidence for grain alignment in cold dense environments. For example, Hough et al. \cite{99, 100}, using spectropolarimetry of the 3.1 $\mu$m and 4.67 $\mu$m solid H$_2$O and CO features along the line of sight to Elias 16, a field star background to the Taurus dark cloud, found that the features were polarized. This indicates the presence of multi-layered nonspherical grains in molecular clouds because solid CO survive at $T < 20$ K and solid H$_2$O at higher temperatures.

An error often made is ignoring the foreground polarization. This can be well illustrated by the observational data of Messenger et al. \cite{101} who analysed the interstellar polarization in the Taurus dark cloud. The authors
applied a two-component model and excluded the foreground polarization for star HD 29647. Using the data from Tables 1 and 3 of Messenger et al.

Table 3: Polarization efficiency for stars in Taurus dark cloud∗.

| Star      | $P_{\text{max}}$, % | $A_V$   | $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$, % | Comment               |
|-----------|---------------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| HD 283812 | 6.30                | 1.91    | 3.30                     | cloud 1 (foreground)  |
| HD 29647  | 2.30                | 3.32    | 0.69                     | clouds 1 + 2          |
| HD 29647  | 6.17                | 1.41    | 4.38                     | only cloud 2 (background) |

* data from Messenger et al. [101]

[101] it is possible to estimate the polarizing efficiency in the foreground and background clouds. As follows from Table 3 the polarization efficiency in cloud 2 (background) is very high (cf. (Eq. (11))). Therefore, interpretation of the observed polarization instead of the true polarization in the background cloud is a large mistake for HD 29647.

3.2. Interpretation: particles and alignment

The interpretation of polarimetric observations includes computations of the polarization cross sections and their averaging over given particles size and orientation distributions. The linear polarization of non-polarized stellar radiation passing through a cloud with a homogeneous magnetic field and rotating particles can be found as (cf. Eq. (7))

$$P(\lambda) = \sum_j \int_0^{D_{rV,\text{max},j}} \int_{r_{V,\text{min},j}}^{D_{rV,\text{max},j}} \mathcal{C}_{\text{pol},j}(m, r_V, \lambda) n_j(r_V) \, dr_{V,j} \, dl \cdot 100 \%,$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{pol},j}(\lambda) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{2} (C_{\text{TM,ext},j} - C_{\text{TE,ext},j}) f_j(\xi, \beta) \cos 2\psi \, d\varphi \, d\omega \, d\beta,$$

where $n_j(r_V)$ is the size distribution of non-spherical dust grains of the type $j$, $r_V$ radius of equivolume sphere (for infinite circular cylinders the particle radius $r_{cyl}$ is used). The superscripts TM and TE denote two cases of orientation of the electric vector of the incident radiation relative to the particle axis [102]. The average polarization cross sections $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{pol},j}$ depend on the alignment function $f(\xi, \beta)$ with the alignment parameter $\xi$. Here, $\beta$ is the
precession-cone angle for the angular momentum $\vec{J}$ which spins around the direction of the magnetic field $\vec{B}$, $\varphi$ the spin angle, $\omega$ the precession angle (see Fig. 8). In general, the particles are assumed to be partially aligned: the major axis of the particle rotates in the spinning plane which is perpendicular to the angular momentum which spins (processes) around the direction of the magnetic field. The angle between the line of sight and the magnetic field is $\Omega$ ($0^\circ \leq \Omega \leq 90^\circ$).

![Figure 8: Geometrical configuration of a spinning and wobbling prolate spheroidal grain. The major (symmetry) axis of the particle $O_1O_2$ is situated in the spinning plane $NO_1O_2$ which is perpendicular to the angular momentum $\vec{J}$. The direction of light propagation $\vec{k}$ is parallel to the $Z$-axis and makes the angle $\alpha$ with the particle symmetry axis. The angle between the line of sight and the magnetic field is $\Omega$. After [103].](image)

The cross sections $C_{ext}^{TM,TE} = \pi r^2 V Q_{ext}^{TM,TE}$ in Eq. (14) can be calculated by using the light scattering theory for non-spherical particles. To facilitate the calculations, particles of simple shapes are usually considered (see Table 4).
Table 4: Models used for interpretation of interstellar polarization.

| Author(s) (year) reference | Dust grains | Alignment (angle) |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Wilson (1960) [104]       | infinite cylinders* | PDG (Ω = 30°, 90°) |
| Greenberg et al. (1963-8) [39, 105, 106] | infinite cylinders, homogeneous spheroids** | PF, PDG |
| Rogers and Martin (1979) [107] | homogeneous spheroids | PF (α = 90°) |
| Hong and Greenberg (1980) [108] | silicate core–ice mantle | IDG, PDG |
| Mathis (1979, 1986) [109, 110] | infinite cylinders*** (spheroids) | PDG⁺ (Ω = 90°) |
| Onaka (1980) [111]        | core–mantle spheroids | PF (α = 90°) |
| Vaidya et al. (1984) [112] | homogeneous spheroids**** | PF (α = 45°, 90°) |
| Voshchinnikov et al. (1986, 1989) [113, 114] | silicate core–ice mantle | IDG, PDG |
| Mishchenko (1991) [115]   | infinite cylinders | PDG⁺ (Ω = 90°) |
| Wolff et al. (1993) [116] | homogeneous spheroids | IDG, PDG |
| Matsumura and Seki (1996) [119] | homogeneous spheroids and ellipsoids | PF (α = 90°) |
| Li and Greenberg (1997) [120] | silicate core–organic mantle finite cylinders | PDG (Ω = 90°) |
| Vaidya et al. (2007) [121] | silicate spheroids with graphite inclusions | PF (α = 45°, 60°, 90°) |
| Wurm and Schnaiter (2002)  | dust aggregates | PF |
Table 4: (Continued.)

| Author(s) (year) reference | Dust grains | Alignment (angle) |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| [122]                     | consisting of 4–64 monomers | $(\alpha = 90^\circ)$ |
| Voshchinnikov et al. (1990-2010) [66, 123, 124, 103] | homogeneous spheroids | IDG, PDG |
| Draine and Fraisse (2009) | oblate spheroids | PDG++ $(\Omega = 90^\circ)$ |

PF — picket fence orientation  
PDG — perfect Davis–Greenstein (2D) orientation  
IDG — imperfect Davis–Greenstein orientation  
$\alpha$, $(\Omega)$ — angle between the line of sight and the direction of grain alignment in the case of PF (PDG) orientation  
* in Rayleigh-Gans approximation;  
** in Rayleigh approximation;  
*** efficiency factors tabulated by Wickramasinghe [126] were taken; polarization for prolate spheroids was computed as for cylinders;  
**** the figures of Asano [127] were used;  
+ large silicate grains are assumed to be perfectly aligned (see Eq. (18));  
++ only grains with sizes $r > r_{cut}$ are assumed to be perfectly aligned (see Eq. (19)).

Early models dealt with homogeneous infinitely long circular cylinders [105, 106, 109, 110]. This is the simplest model of non-spherical particles. Solution to the light scattering problem for infinite cylinders was obtained by the separation of variables method in the cylindrical coordinate system [128]. Later, more advanced models with silicate core-ice mantle cylindrical particles based on the solution from [129] were developed [108, 113, 114]. The progress in the light scattering theory allowed one to apply the model of homogeneous prolate and oblate spheroids of different size and shape for calculations of the polarizing efficiency, visual and UV polarization (see, e.g., [107, 118, 103, 125]). Spheroidal particles are characterized by the aspect ratio $a/b$ where $a$ and $b$ are the major and minor semiaxes. The optical

---

4Another simplification was the use of the wavelength-independent refractive index.
properties of spheroids can be found by using different technique. The most popular methods widely applied in astronomical modelling are the separation of variables method \cite{124, 130}, the T-matrix method \cite{115} and the discrete dipole approximation \cite{131}. Comparison of the methods and benchmark results are given in \cite{132, 133}. More complicated non-spherical particles (coated spheroids, ellipsoidal particles, composite spheroids) were considered so far for illustrative calculations \cite{111, 119, 121}.

The polarization cross sections must be averaged over rotations taking into account an alignment mechanism. According to standard concepts \cite{1, 134}, the alignment of interstellar grains may be magnetic or radiative. A very popular alignment mechanism is the magnetic alignment (Davis–Greenstein (DG) type orientation \cite{135}) based on the paramagnetic relaxation of grain material containing about one percent of iron impurities. For the imperfect Davis–Greenstein (IDG) orientation, the alignment function $f(\xi, \beta)$ can be written as \cite{108, 114}

$$f(\xi, \beta) = \frac{\xi \sin \beta}{(\xi^2 \cos^2 \beta + \sin^2 \beta)^{3/2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The parameter $\xi$ depends on the particle size $r_V$, the imaginary part of the grain magnetic susceptibility $\chi'' (= \kappa_\omega d/T_d$, where $\omega_d$ is the angular velocity of grain), gas density $n_g$, the strength of magnetic field $B$ and dust $(T_d)$ and gas $(T_g)$ temperatures

$$\xi^2 = \frac{r_V + \delta_0(T_d/T_g)}{r_V + \delta_0}, \text{ where } \delta_0^{\text{IDG}} = 8.23 \times 10^{23} \frac{B^2}{n_g T_g^{1/2} T_d} \mu\text{m}. \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

If the grains are not aligned $\xi = 1$ and $f(\xi, \beta) = \sin \beta$; in the case of the perfect rotational orientation $\xi = 0$. Unfortunately, only a limited number of models including the combined particle size/shape/orientation analysis have been developed (see Table 4).

For simplicity, many investigators assumed that the direction of the magnetic field (direction of grain alignment) was perpendicular to the line of sight, i.e., $\alpha, \Omega = 90^\circ$ (e.g., \cite{110, 118, 125}). Frequently, non-rotating particles of the same orientation are considered (see Table 4). In this case, called the “picket fence” (PF) orientation, there are no integrals over the angles.

\footnote{see also Database of Optical Properties (DOP): \url{http://www.astro.spbu.ru/DOP}}
The polarization degree is proportional to the polarization cross-section $P \propto C_{\text{pol}} = 1/2[C_{\text{TM}}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega) - C_{\text{TE}}^{\text{ext}}(\Omega)]$, where $\Omega = \alpha$ and $f(\xi, \beta) = \delta(\alpha)$. The dichroic polarization efficiency is defined by the ratio of the polarization cross-section (factor) to the extinction one

$$\left(\frac{P}{\tau}\right)_{\text{PF}} = \frac{C_{\text{pol}}}{C_{\text{ext}}} = \frac{C_{\text{TM}}^{\text{ext}} - C_{\text{TE}}^{\text{ext}}}{C_{\text{TM}}^{\text{ext}} + C_{\text{TE}}^{\text{ext}}} \cdot 100\% = \frac{Q_{\text{TM}}^{\text{ext}} - Q_{\text{TE}}^{\text{ext}}}{Q_{\text{TM}}^{\text{ext}} + Q_{\text{TE}}^{\text{ext}}} \cdot 100\%. \quad (17)$$

A more complicated case is the perfect rotational (2D) orientation (or perfect Davis–Greenstein orientation, PDG) when the major axis of a non-spherical particle always lies in the same plane. For the 2D orientation, integration is performed over the spin angle $\varphi$ only and $f(\xi, \beta) = \delta(\beta)$.

Polarization produced by perfectly aligned particles is much larger than that observed (cf. Figs. 9 and 10 with Eq. (11)). Nevertheless, the models with the PF or PDG orientation are useful for investigations of the normalized polarization (the Serkowski curves) as the wavelength dependence of polarization is only slightly influenced by the particle refractive index, size or shape (cf. left panels in Figs. 9 and 10). As a crude approximation of the

$$\delta(\varphi)$$ is the Dirac delta function.

Figure 9: Wavelength dependence of the polarization efficiency for homogeneous spheroids consisting of astrosil for the PF orientation (see Eq. (17)). The effect of variations of the particle size (left panel), type and orientation (right panel) is illustrated. Adapted from [2].

The polarization efficiency for particles with the IDG orientation, the following relation is used (see, e.g., [107])

$$\left(\frac{P}{\tau}\right)_{\text{IDG}} = \mathcal{R} \sin^2 \Omega \left(\frac{P}{\tau}\right)_{\text{PF}},$$

 alternatively:
Figure 10: Wavelength dependence of the polarization efficiency for homogeneous rotating spheroidal particles of the astronomical silicate. The effect of variations of particle size (left panel), and degree of alignment (right panel) is illustrated. The open circles and squares show the observational data for stars HD 24263 and HD 99264, respectively. Adapted from [66].

where $\mathcal{R} = 1/2(3\langle \cos^2 \beta \rangle - 1)$ is the Rayleigh reduction factor [39, 136] and $\langle \rangle$ denotes the ensemble average. It should be emphasized that application of this approximation as well as the Rayleigh reduction factor can lead to misinterpretation of observational curves $P(\lambda)$.

In the case of the IDG mechanism, smaller grains are aligned better than larger grains (see Eq. (16)). However, the models with an opposite type of orientation of small and large particles have been also suggested. Mathis [110] assumed that rotating silicate grains were perfectly aligned if they contain at least one super-paramagnetic inclusion. Carbonaceous grains and silicate grains without inclusions are randomly oriented in space (3D orientation). The probability of perfect alignment is

$$f(r_V, r'_V) = 1 - \exp(-r_V/r'_V)^3.$$  

Draine and Fraisse [125] considered the model of silicate and amorphous carbon spheroids with randomly oriented small particles and perfectly aligned large particles. In this case the alignment function is size dependent

$$f(\beta, r_V) = \begin{cases} \sin \beta & \text{for } r_V \leq r_{V,\text{cut}}, \\ \delta(\beta) & \text{for } r_V > r_{V,\text{cut}}, \end{cases}$$  

where $r_{V,\text{cut}}$ is a cut-off parameter.

Computations made by Das et al. [103] (see their Fig. 2) demonstrate that the observational data can be fitted by using the models with different
alignment functions (e.g., given by Eqs. (15), (18) or (19)), especially if a more complex size distribution function as discussed in Sect. 2.2 is chosen. However, this complicates the model. To avoid the models with many parameters new ideas about the nature of polarizing grains and physics of grain alignment should be included.

The DG mechanism of the paramagnetic relaxation requires a stronger magnetic field than average Galactic magnetic field ($\sim 3 \sim 5 \mu G$; [137]). Because of this problem, it has been suggested that the polarizing grains contain small clusters of iron, iron sulfides, or iron oxides with super-paramagnetic or ferromagnetic properties [138]. This leads to an enhancement of the imaginary part of the magnetic susceptibility of grain material $\chi''$ by a factor 10 – 100 and alignment can occur through the DG mechanism. This scenario is supported by laboratory experiments [139, 140]. A significant enhancement of $\chi''$ is also possible in mixed MgO/FeO/SiO grains [141] or in H$_2$O ice mantle grains containing magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) precipitates [142, 143].

Another possibility to align interstellar grains is the radiative torque alignment (RAT alignment). It arises from an azimuthal asymmetry of the light scattering by non-spherical particles. Magnetic inclusions can enhance RAT alignment [144]. The theory of RAT alignment is well developed [145]. Recent observations of interstellar polarization in the vicinity of luminous stars [146, 147, 148] have been used for confirmation of the RAT alignment mechanism. However, the discussed models are phenomenological, they are not based on correct light scattering calculations of interstellar polarization. One of the reasons is that the alignment function for the RAT mechanism is unknown. Another reason is a requirement of advanced light scattering methods because fast rotation can only occur for grains of very specific (helical) shape [145, 149]. This is highly improbable from the point of view of grain growth in the interstellar medium.

Since both magnetic alignment and radiative alignment depend on iron inclusions, we can expect that polarization and/or polarization efficiency should increase with the growth of iron fraction in dust grains. This idea was investigated by Voshchinnikov et al. [150] by using available data on interstellar polarization and element abundances previously compiled in [29]. It was suggested that the interstellar polarization was probably related to the amount of iron in dust grains. Assuming that all silicon and all magnesium are embedded into amorphous silicates of olivine composition ($Mg_{2x}Fe_{2-2x}SiO_4$, where $x = [Mg/H]_{d}/(2[Si/H]_{d})$) as is a part of iron. The remaining part of Fe
can be found as

$$[\text{Fe} \text{(rest)} / \text{H}]_d = [\text{Fe} / \text{H}]_d - (2 \ [\text{Si} / \text{H}]_d - [\text{Mg} / \text{H}]_d).$$  \hspace{0.5cm} \text{(20)}$

As indicated in Fig. 11 (left panel), there is a negative correlation between

![Graph showing the relationship between Fe abundance and polarization degree](image)

the polarization degree $P$ and the amount of remaining iron. This is inconsistent with the common suggestion about the great role of iron-rich grains in the production of polarization. Since $P$ is proportional to the column density of polarizing grains, we can conclude that the increase of the iron content in non-silicate grains does not enhance polarization.

Because in calculating $[\text{Fe} \text{(rest)} / \text{H}]_d$ we removed all Si and Mg and a part of Fe from the dust phase, we expect a positive correlation between the polarization and the abundances of the eliminated elements. There is only a weak correlation between $P$ and $[\text{Fe} / \text{H}]_d$ or $[\text{Mg} / \text{H}]_d$ (see Fig. 11 for more discussion) and a strong correlation between $P$ and $[\text{Si} / \text{H}]_d$ (Fig. 11, right panel). Therefore, it can be established that polarization is more likely produced by silicates. These findings are evidence in favour of the assumption of Mathis [110] that only the silicate grains are aligned and contribute to the
observed polarization, while the carbonaceous grains are either spherical or randomly aligned. Another verification of this suggestion is the absence of any correlation between the polarization efficiency $P/E(B-V)$ or $P/A_V$ and dust phase abundances of elements (see [150]). This is because dust grains of all types (silicate, carbonaceous, iron-rich, etc.) contribute to the observed extinction, while only the silicates seems to be responsible for the observed polarization. Thus, the absence of correlation between $R_V$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (see discussion in Sect. [3.1]) can be easily understood. These discoveries can be explained if the silicate grains aligned by the radiative mechanism are mainly responsible for the observed interstellar linear polarization.

Analysing models presented in Table [4], it is possible to say that the major part of models includes perfect grain alignment and one angle of alignment and merely a few of them with the IDG orientation can be used for interpretation of observations of individual stars. Indeed, the previous modelling of interstellar polarization was mainly focused on the explanation of the average wavelength dependence (Serkowski curve) [109, 110, 117, 118]. Only Li and Greenberg [69] applied their model of coated cylinders to explain the normalized polarization curve in the direction of HD 210121 and Das et al. [103] interpreted interstellar extinction and polarization observations of seven stars using a mixture of carbonaceous and silicate spheroids. This fact causes deep dissatisfaction because a great amount of observations of interstellar polarization in different areas exists and continuously grows.

### 3.3. Interpretation: dust grains and magnetic field in the Taurus dark cloud

In this section we present the quantitative interpretation of observations of interstellar polarization for a group of stars[8]. Our model of spheroidal grains with imperfect alignment [66, 103] is supplemented by the subroutine calculating three parameters of the Serkowski curve. We also assume that polarization is mainly produced by silicate grains and the degree of alignment of carbonaceous grains is small (see discussion in previous section).

As an example we refer to the Taurus dark cloud (TDC) — the complex of interstellar clouds where active star formation is in progress. This complex

---

*Polarization in IR features also supports the idea of separate populations of polarizing (silicate) and non-polarizing (carbonaceous) grains. This follows from the observed polarization of silicate features at 10 $\mu$m and 18 $\mu$m and the lack of polarization in the 3.4 $\mu$m hydrocarbon feature (see [151, 152] and references therein).

*More detailed discussion can be found in [86].
lies sufficiently far from the Galactic plane \((b \approx -15^\circ)\) at a distance of \(\sim 140\) pc and comprise several dozens dark nebulae, clouds and clumps \[153, 154\]. It suffers negligible foreground and background extinction \[155, 156\] and is the subject of numerous investigations of molecular gas \[157, 158, 159, 160, 161\].

The polarimetric observations of several hundreds stars in the region around the Taurus dark cloud complex have been performed at visual and red wavelengths \[160, 162, 163\] and in the H and K bands \[95, 164, 165, 166\]. The obtained polarization maps give an insight into the structure of the plane-of-the-sky component of interstellar magnetic field but are of little use for studies of grain properties and alignment. To find these latter, the wavelength dependence of polarization must be involved. Whittet et al. \[82\] presented the polarimetric and photometric observations of 27 stars in a wide spectral range in the TDC \((l \approx 170^\circ \div 176^\circ, b \approx -10^\circ \div -17^\circ)\) and calculated the fit parameters of the Serkowski curve \(P_{\text{max}}, \lambda_{\text{max}}\text{, and } K\) as well as the values of \(R_V\). Our initial analysis of these data is based on the two-component model of Messenger et al. \[101\] and Whittet et al. \[167\] (see also discussion of Table 3 in Sect. 3.1). It made possible to form two groups of stars with relatively uniform distribution of positional angles of polarization: cloud 1 (14 stars, \(\theta_{\text{gal}} = 145^\circ - 175^\circ\)) and cloud 2 (13 stars, \(\theta_{\text{gal}} = 2^\circ - 40^\circ\)).

Firstly, we should concentrate on stars in cloud 1 located inside or behind the “diffuse–screen” component of the TDC. These stars are distributed around Heiles Cloud 2 \((l \approx 174^\circ 4, b \approx -13^\circ 4, \text{area } 15.8\) pc\(^2\) \[161\]) — a dense condensation containing TMC-1 (Taurus molecular cloud). Observational data for stars in the cloud 1 plotted in Figs. 12, 13 indicate positive correlation between \(K\) and \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) (the width of the polarization curve \(W\) decreases with increasing \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\)) and negative correlation between the polarization efficiency \(P_{\text{max}}/A_V\text{ and } \lambda_{\text{max}}\). The first dependence is well known (see Fig. 6 and discussion in \[84\]). Its qualitative explanation is a systematic reduction in the relative number of small, aligned grains in regions of high \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) \[82, 90\].

The sole quantitative modelling was attempted by Aannestad and Greenberg \[168\]. However, to reduce computational efforts they ruled out the integration over the angle \(\omega\) in Eqs. (14) which led to wrong results for \(W\) \[114\].

A systematic trend toward smaller polarizing efficiency for larger \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) was detected for nearby stars closely located on the sky \[82, 88, 89\]. It has been interpreted as a result of the decrease of the angle \(\Omega\) between the direction of the magnetic field and the line of sight \[114\].

The results of theoretical modelling are shown in Figs. 12, 13 by open cir-
Figure 12: The coefficients $K$ of the Serkowski curve (10) in dependence on the wavelength of maximum polarization $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ for 14 stars in cloud 1 in Taurus with the similar positional angles of polarization. The numbers of stars correspond to the increasing HD numbers of stars in Fig. 6, i.e. 1 = HD 28225, . . . , 14 = HD 283879. The Pearson correlation coefficient between $K$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is given. Open circles with line show model calculations with different alignment angles $\Omega = 15^\circ(15^\circ)90^\circ$. The values of $\Omega$ increase from right to left as marked for the top model. Left panel illustrates the effect of variations of the power index $q$ in the power-law size distribution ($q$ varies from $-0.5$ to $-3$). Right panel illustrates the effect of variations of the lower cut-off $r_{V, \text{min}}$ in the power-law size distribution ($r_{V, \text{min}}$ varies from $0.03 \mu m$ to $0.12 \mu m$). Other model parameters are: prolate spheroids, $a/b = 3$, $r_{V, \text{max}} = 0.35 \mu m$, $r_{V, \text{min}} = 0.07 \mu m$ (left panel), $q = -2$ (right panel).

icles connected with solid line. The particle shape was fixed: prolate spheroids with the aspect ratio $a/b = 3$. Under assumptions made the main parameters of our model influencing the polarization are: the lower and upper cut-offs $r_{V, \text{min}}$ and $r_{V, \text{max}}$ and the power index $q$ in the power-law size distribution for silicate particles and the degree (parameter $\delta_0^{\text{DG}}$, see Eq. (16)) and direction (angle $\Omega$) of alignment. Left and right panels in Fig. 12 illustrate the variations of the index $q$ and lower cut-off $r_{V, \text{min}}$, respectively. The rise of these parameters may be associated with the growth of dust grains by coagulation ($q$) or accretion ($r_{V, \text{min}}$). In both cases the mean size of grains is bigger at the right upper corner of Fig. 12 in comparison with its left bottom corner. It is interesting that the stars NN 1, 5, 6, 8, 11, 14 located at the right upper corner apparently are embedded in Heiles Cloud 2 or situated at its boundary.
Figure 13: The polarizing efficiency $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$ in dependence on the wavelength of maximum polarization $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ for 14 stars in cloud 1 in Taurus. The Pearson correlation coefficient between $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is given. Open circles with line show model calculations with different alignment angles $\Omega = 15^\circ - 90^\circ$. The values of $\Omega$ increase from bottom to top as marked for the right model. Left panel illustrates the effect of variations of the power index $q$ in the power-law size distribution ($q$ varies from –0.5 to –3). Right panel illustrates the effect of variations of the lower cut-off $r_{V,\text{min}}$ in the power-law size distribution ($r_{V,\text{min}}$ varies from 0.03 $\mu$m to 0.12 $\mu$m). Other model parameters are: prolate spheroids, $a/b = 3$, $r_{V,\text{max}} = 0.35 \mu$m, $r_{V,\text{min}} = 0.07 \mu$m (left panel), $q = -2$ (right panel).

(see Fig. [14]). Note also that variations of alignment parameters $\delta_0^{\text{DG}}$ and $\Omega$ only do not allow explaining the observed correlation between $K$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$.

The opposite situation occurs with the correlation between the polarization efficiency $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (Fig. [13]). In this case to explain both high and low values of $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$ it is not sufficient to change the grain size only, variations of parameters $\delta_0^{\text{DG}}$ and $\Omega$ must be taken into account. The theoretical points plotted in Fig. [13] were obtained for $\delta_0^{\text{DG}} = 3 \mu$m. Smaller values of $\delta_0^{\text{DG}}$ do not reproduce the data for directions with high polarization efficiency (stars NN 2, 3, 10). It is evident that the obtained grain parameters are model-dependent (e.g., it is possible to use particles of another shape). However, with our model of interstellar dust the trends in variations of the grain size, shape, alignment can be determined.

We attribute the variations of $P_{\text{max}}/A_V$ in Fig. [13] to the changes in the direction of magnetic field (direction of grain alignment). Stars can be di-
Figure 14: Linear polarization map of the cloud 1 in Taurus containing 14 stars with similar positional angles. The lengths of the lines are proportional to the percent polarization. The sizes of the circles are proportional to the alignment angle $\Omega$. The dashed contour represents the regions with different visual extinction: $10^m < A_V < 19^m$ inside contour and $0^m < A_V < 10^m$ outside contour [156, 169]. The cross corresponds to the clump in TMC-1 where Turner and Heiles [170] have searched the C$_4$H Zeeman effect. The open circles show the positions of dark clouds where the OH Zeeman effect has been observed [171].

These groups of stars are shown in Fig. 14 by filled circles of different sizes. This Figure gives the positions and polarization of stars in cloud 1 and approximate contours of Heiles Cloud 2. It is intriguing that all six stars with the larger values of $\Omega$ (the magnetic field is mainly perpendicular to the line of sight) are closely located on the sky outside of Heiles Cloud 2. Other eight stars where the magnetic field is significantly tilted to the line of sight are situated near the boundary of the cloud. An indirect support to our interpretation is provided by Zeeman observations. Turner and Heiles [170] obtained an upper limit for magnetic field toward the cold dense TMC-1 cyanopolyne peak core $B_{||} = B \cos \Omega = 14.5 \pm 14 \mu G$. A possible reason for this result is...
that the magnetic field is directed close to the plane of the sky. Crutcher et al. [17] compiled the Zeeman data for many dark clouds. Several of them located near or inside Heiles Cloud 2 are marked as large open circles in Fig. 14. In almost all cases the data are within 1σ error. Only for B217-2 (close to the positions of stars NN 5, 6) the component of the magnetic field parallel to the line of sight has been detected \( B_\parallel = 13.5 \pm 3.7 \mu G \).

The structure and evolution of magnetic field in Heiles Cloud 2 have been discussed by Heyer et al. [160] and Tamura et al. [164]. It was suggested that the contraction and formation of the cloud from the placental Taurus dark cloud with homogeneous magnetic structure occurred along the magnetic field. The gas motions in Heiles Cloud 2 can be described in terms of a rotating ring with the rotation axis coinciding with the magnetic field [157]. Our findings infer that the magnetic field is tilted with respect to the line of sight at the boundaries of Heiles Cloud 2, i.e., magnetic field has a spindel-like structure. Apparently, the area disturbed by contraction of Heiles Cloud 2 terminates at the place where the magnetic field is almost perpendicular to the line of sight (stars NN 2, 3, 9, 10, 12, 13).

4. Conclusions

All modern investigations of cosmic dust in protoplanetary disks, dense clouds, distant galaxies are based on the modelling of “classical” observations of the interstellar extinction and polarization. Our examination shows that the interpretation of these basic observations still remains incomplete. New generation models should include a consideration of interstellar abundances in given directions and accurate treatment of light scattering by physically feasible particles with realistic alignment.
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cloud 1 (stars with $\theta_{gal} = 145^\circ - 175^\circ$)

$r_{corr} = -0.735$

$P_{max}/A_V, \%$

$\lambda_{max}, \mu m$