Alteration of cortical functional networks in mood disorders with resting-state electroencephalography
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Studies comparing bipolar disorder (BD) and major depressive disorder (MDD) are scarce, and the neuropathology of these disorders is poorly understood. This study investigated source-level cortical functional networks using resting-state electroencephalography (EEG) in patients with BD and MDD. EEG was recorded in 35 patients with BD, 39 patients with MDD, and 42 healthy controls (HCs). Graph theory-based source-level weighted functional networks were assessed via strength, clustering coefficient (CC), and path length (PL) in six frequency bands. At the global level, patients with BD and MDD showed higher strength and CC, and lower PL in the high beta band, compared to HCs. At the nodal level, compared to HCs, patients with BD showed higher high beta band nodal CCs in the right precuneus, left isthmus cingulate, bilateral paracentral, and left superior frontal; however, patients with MDD showed higher nodal CC only in the right precuneus compared to HCs. Although both MDD and BD patients had similar global level network changes, they had different nodal level network changes compared to HCs. Our findings might suggest more altered cortical functional network in patients with BD than in those with MDD.

Bipolar disorder (BD) and major depressive disorder (MDD) are considered representative mood disorders. MDD is a debilitating disease that includes depressed mood, diminished interests, impaired cognition, vegetative symptoms, and changed psychomotor activity. When MDD occurs with an individual who has also had a history of manic episode, this is called BD. BD is a chronic and complex disorder of mood that is characterized by a combination of manic, hypomanic, and depressive episodes with subsyndromal symptoms extant between the mood episodes. These two mood disorders were the leading causes of worldwide disability and morbidity. These two psychiatric conditions exhibit similar severe depressive symptoms and show no difference in the duration of affective episodes during the course of illness. These two mood disorders are very different, but they have similarities. Therefore, the similarities and differences of the two mood conditions have been of interest to clinicians.

Previous neuroimaging studies demonstrated widespread brain structural and functional alterations in both BD and MDD, such as the prefrontal cortex, limbic system, ventral striatum, and thalamus. A study investigating the volume of gray matter in affective disorders found decreased gray matter volume in the medial frontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex, specifically in MDD. Strakowski et al. observed a significant difference between patients with BD and healthy volunteers in the volumes of amygdala, thalamus, pallidum, and striatum belonging to the structural network that putatively modulate human mood. For functional neuroimaging studies, one previous study showed that BD and MDD patients displayed significant decreased activity in the paracentral lobule, precuneus, and paracingulate gyrus within bilateral hemisphere, and the postcentral gyrus and precentral gyrus within right hemisphere. However, studies on direct comparisons between BD and MDD, i.e. including and handling both diseases in one study, are sparse, with inconclusive results.

To date, most of the previous studies have been performed using fMRI, which is a suitable imaging tool to investigate regional brain information owing to its excellent spatial resolution. However, fMRI lacks temporal resolution to elucidate neural processes occurring over the course of milliseconds. Electroencephalography (EEG) is an appropriate tool to address the limitations of fMRI with high temporal resolution. In addition, EEG is
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sensitive to alterations in neurotransmission secondary to pharmacological manipulations or brain dysfunction. Resting-state brain activity reflects the baseline status of the brain and has been proposed for a means of exploring the underlying pathophysiology of mental disorders. Thus, resting-state EEG analysis, which has been validated for utilization as a tool, could help to better understand the pathophysiology of mental disorders.

Recently, an increasing number of researchers have studied cortical functional networks based on graph theory. Graph theory-based brain network analysis could assist to comprehend the brain mechanisms of psychiatric disorders, such as mood disorders. However, to date, only a few studies have examined the resting-state cortical functional network in patients with BD or MDD using graph theory. Furthermore, studies have included either BD or MDD and compared their networks. One of the studies comparing BD and MDD demonstrated that both disorders showed similar network changes with decreased CC and efficiency, and increased PL within the default-mode network (DMN) and limbic system network. Particularly, no study has investigated and compared their networks using EEG. Although BD and MDD are distinct mood disorders, they exhibit similar severe depressive symptoms and have similar depressive courses. Despite the clinical characteristics, the similarities and differences in brain functional networks between BD and MDD have been poorly understood. Therefore, further studies are needed to explore and compare their networks.

EEG has been known to lack spatial resolution coming from volume conduction and poor signal-to-noise ratio. However, source imaging methods, advantageous alternatives to prevent the issue, can considerably enhance the spatial resolution of EEG. The objective of the current study was to investigate and compare cortical functional networks from resting-state EEG in patients with BD and MDD via source-level weighted network analysis. We also explored the associations between cortical network properties and psychological measures in patients with BD and MDD. We hypothesized that patients with BD and MDD would display altered cortical functional networks compared to healthy controls (HCs) and that two patient groups would show different degrees of alteration in their networks.

**Results**

**Demographic and psychological characteristics.** Table 1 presents the comparisons of demographic and psychological characteristics among the patients with BD and MDD and HCs. There was a significant difference in education years ($p < 0.001$). HCs showed significantly higher education years than patients with BD and MDD. In addition, there were significant differences in STAI state, STAI trait, and BDI. BD was significantly higher than HCs ($p < 0.001$; STAI state: $p < 0.001$; STAI trait: $p < 0.001$; BDI: $p < 0.001$). HC presented significantly lower STAI state, STAI trait, and BDI scores than patients with BD and MDD.

**Global level differences in cortical functional networks.** Table 2 presents the comparisons of global level indices among the BD, MDD, and HC groups. There were significant differences in the three global level indices of the high beta band. The strength ($p = 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.112$) and CC ($p = 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.114$) of the high beta band were significantly higher in patients with BD and MDD than in HCs. In contrast, the PL of the high beta band was significantly lower in patients with BD and MDD than in HCs ($p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.129$). There was no significant difference between the patient groups for the three network indices of the high beta band. Furthermore, there was no significant difference among the three groups in the other frequency bands. Mean weighted matrices from phase-locking values (PLVs) for the high beta band in each group and pair-wise comparisons were presented in the supplementary results.

**Nodal level differences in cortical functional networks.** On the basis of the significant difference in the global high beta band CC among the three groups, we decided to explore possible differences at the nodal level in the high beta band. Figure 1 shows the violin plots of the significant nodal CCs in five regions among the three groups. The nodal CCs of the BD and MDD groups were significantly higher than that of HCs in one region (right precuneus, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.126$). The nodal CCs of the BD were significantly higher than those of HCs in four regions (left isthmus cingulate: $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.144$; left paracentral: $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.131$; right paracentral: $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.125$; left superior frontal: $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.132$).
The correlations between the network indices and psychological measures were evaluated in the high beta band. Following the 5000 bootstrap resampling technique, the two patient groups only showed a significantly different association between the nodal CC in the left superior frontal region and the STAI state. A post-hoc analysis of the significant interaction term ($R^2 = 0.134$, $F = 2.660$, $df = 69$, $p = 0.040$) showed an association between the nodal CC in the left superior frontal region and the STAI state in patients with BD ($\beta = 0.394$, $p = 0.022$) but not in patients with MDD ($\beta = -0.150$, $p = 0.363$), a pattern observed after considering duration of illness (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

This study investigated cortical functional networks during resting-state EEG in patients with BD and MDD and HCs. We observed significant differences among these groups in the high beta band. First, at the global level, strength and CC were significantly higher, while PL was lower in both patient groups compared with HCs. Second, at the nodal level, the CC in the right precuneus was significantly higher in both patient groups than that in HCs. Additionally, patients with BD showed higher CCs in the left isthmus cingulate, bilateral paracentral, and left superior frontal, compared with HCs. Third, the nodal level high beta band CCs of the left superior frontal region correlated with the STAI state in patients with BD.

Although only few studies have explored graph theory-based network properties in BD and MDD, they have been documented to have abnormal brain connectivity at the network-level. Structural brain networks from diffusion tensor imaging exhibited lower CC and efficiency, and longer PL in BD, while PL was lower in both patient groups compared with HCs. Second, at the nodal level, the CC in the right precuneus was significantly higher in both patient groups than that in HCs. Additionally, patients with BD showed higher CC in the left isthmus cingulate, bilateral paracentral, and left superior frontal, compared with HCs. Third, the nodal level high beta band CCs of the left superior frontal region correlated with the STAI state in patients with BD.

|   | BD (N = 35) | MDD (N = 39) | HC (N = 42) | Effect size ($\eta^2$) | $P^*$ | Post-hoc (Bonferroni) |
|---|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| **Delta band** |            |             |             |                        |       |                      |
| Strength | 28.02 ± 1.26 | 27.99 ± 1.12 | 27.67 ± 0.89 | 0.006 | 0.702 |
| CC | 0.41 ± 0.02 | 0.41 ± 0.02 | 0.41 ± 0.01 | 0.006 | 0.728 |
| PL | 2.57 ± 0.10 | 2.57 ± 0.09 | 2.59 ± 0.07 | 0.004 | 0.802 |
| **Theta band** |            |             |             |                        |       |                      |
| Strength | 24.51 ± 1.40 | 24.28 ± 1.09 | 23.91 ± 0.87 | 0.023 | 0.274 |
| CC | 0.36 ± 0.02 | 0.35 ± 0.02 | 0.35 ± 0.01 | 0.022 | 0.282 |
| PL | 3.02 ± 0.15 | 3.04 ± 0.12 | 3.07 ± 0.10 | 0.019 | 0.344 |
| **Alpha band** |            |             |             |                        |       |                      |
| Strength | 26.02 ± 2.27 | 26.97 ± 2.99 | 25.06 ± 2.00 | 0.037 | 0.127 |
| CC | 0.38 ± 0.03 | 0.39 ± 0.04 | 0.36 ± 0.03 | 0.036 | 0.127 |
| PL | 2.84 ± 0.24 | 2.75 ± 0.29 | 2.94 ± 0.21 | 0.031 | 0.173 |
| **Low beta band** |            |             |             |                        |       |                      |
| Strength | 21.22 ± 1.08 | 21.03 ± 0.91 | 20.40 ± 0.93 | 0.077 | 0.012 |
| CC | 0.30 ± 0.02 | 0.30 ± 0.01 | 0.29 ± 0.01 | 0.077 | 0.011 |
| PL | 3.55 ± 0.17 | 3.59 ± 0.14 | 3.68 ± 0.15 | 0.080 | 0.009 |
| **High beta band** |            |             |             |                        |       |                      |
| Strength | 17.54 ± 1.14 | 17.31 ± 1.02 | 16.54 ± 0.85 | 0.112 | 0.001 |
| CC | 0.25 ± 0.02 | 0.24 ± 0.01 | 0.23 ± 0.01 | 0.114 | 0.001 |
| PL | 4.38 ± 0.30 | 4.44 ± 0.25 | 4.67 ± 0.24 | 0.129 | < 0.001 |
| **Gamma band** |            |             |             |                        |       |                      |
| Strength | 14.18 ± 1.34 | 14.29 ± 1.28 | 13.96 ± 1.02 | 0.017 | 0.383 |
| CC | 0.19 ± 0.02 | 0.19 ± 0.02 | 0.19 ± 0.01 | 0.017 | 0.386 |
| PL | 5.29 ± 0.55 | 5.24 ± 0.46 | 5.38 ± 0.43 | 0.015 | 0.429 |

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation values of global network indices including strength, clustering coefficient (CC), and path length (PL) in each frequency band among the bipolar disorder, major depressive disorder, and healthy control groups. *The $p$ value was adjusted via Bonferroni correction with 0.05/18 = 0.002778. BD, bipolar disorder; MDD, major depressive disorder; HC, healthy control.
Figure. 1. Violin plots of significant nodal clustering coefficients in high beta band for bipolar disorder, major depressive disorder, and healthy controls. The $p$ value was adjusted via Bonferroni correction. CC, clustering coefficient; BD, bipolar disorder; MDD, major depressive disorder; HC, healthy control. *$p < 0.05$ (corrected), **$p < 0.01$ (corrected).

Figure. 2. Correlations between nodal clustering coefficients (CC) and psychological measures in high beta band for patient groups. BD, bipolar disorder; MDD, major depressive disorder; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory.
and limbic system network. Although the previous results tend to be inconsistent regarding the direction of altered network properties in the disorders, these findings support our results that patients with BD and MDD displayed similar abnormal cortical functional networks at the global level.

Although previous studies did not show a consensus of abnormality in a specific frequency band in patients with BD and MDD, various studies have reported that the beta band is closely related to the patients. Several EEG studies have concluded that beta power positively correlates with the DMN activity in BD. A recent magnetoencephalographic (MEG) study revealed that the limbic network connectivity in the beta band could be a good objective biomarker for BD. In terms of MDD, one EEG study revealed that patients with MDD showed greater beta band functional connectivity within the DMN. In addition, MEG studies have reported the association of altered connectivity in the beta band with MDD. Excessive beta band synchronization, which is associated with maintaining the brain’s status quo, might be a mechanism driving brain inflexibility in BD and MDD patients. Previous studies back up our findings that excessive neural processing in the beta band might be associated with the pathophysiology in depressive states in mood disorders.

At the nodal level, our results showed that compared to HCs, patients with BD showed higher nodal CCs of the high beta band in the right precuneus, left isthmus cingulate, bilateral paracentral, and left superior frontal. In contrast, compared to HCs, patients with MDD showed higher nodal CC only in the right precuneus. These findings might imply that patients with BD could be associated with a more severely altered network. Our results are in line with some previous studies where MDD patients showed altered global network properties, while they presented almost the same nodal level network as that of HCs. However, these studies only included patients with MDD. To verify our findings, further studies with both patient groups are necessary.

Previous studies have repeatedly shown an abnormality in the precuneus in both BD and MDD patients, which is in line with our results. BD patients showed a lack of precuneus activation during emotion related paradigms. A resting-state fMRI study found increased neural synchronization in the right precuneus, left superior frontal gyrus, and right paracentral lobule, indicating that these areas might play an important role in the pathophysiology of BD. In terms of MDD, lower activity in the right precuneus was observed relative to the HCs. Zhu et al. found decreased connectivity of the precuneus in patients with MDD compared to HCs. In addition, a recent fMRI study found that reduced strength of the right precuneus was associated with higher maladaptive rumination levels in patients with MDD. Among the few studies directly comparing both BD and MDD patients, Liang et al. found that the regional homogeneity value of the precuneus was increased in BD and MDD patients. Another fMRI study found similar network changes between BD and MDD, but abnormal nodal efficiency in the right precuneus was specific to BD patients.

Moreover, evidence of abnormalities in other regions besides the precuneus has been accumulated in BD. For example, a diffusion-weighted MRI study revealed abnormalities of nodal network measures in the bilateral isthmus cingulate in BD. Another structural brain network study identified alterations in community structure containing the paracentral gyrus and posterior cingulate in BD. They also found that the left isthmus cingulate alteration was associated with the number of depressive episodes in patients with BD. With regard to the superior frontal region, cortical thinning or volume reduction in the superior frontal cortex, a key emotional processing region, has been observed in BD patients. A diffusion tensor imaging study reported reduced fractional anisotropy in the superior frontal white matter in BD patients. Regarding the paracentral region, patients with BD showed increased gray matter volume in the bilateral paracentral lobule. An fMRI study reported reduced nodal degree and increased participation coefficient in the paracentral lobule in BD. These studies support our findings that the regions could play a crucial role in comprehending the pathophysiology of BD patients. Furthermore, our findings might imply that the network of BD patients could be more functionally altered than that of patients with MDD.

Our findings showed the significant differences of nodal CCs in five regions among the three groups: right precuneus, left isthmus cingulate, left paracentral, right paracentral, and left superior frontal. For nodal CCs of the contralateral regions including left precuneus, right isthmus cingulate, and right superior frontal, they showed the similar trend with p values lower than 0.01. However, after applying Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons, they were not considered significant with an adjusted p value. Our findings are warranted to be further replicated from a larger number of patients considering various characteristics to verify if there are hemispheric differences in the changes of the nodal CCs.

Interestingly, our findings revealed intermediate network measures of patients with MDD, between those in patients with BD and those in HCs, although the two patient groups did not show significantly different network measures. Our BD patient population was composed of more BD Type II patients than BD Type I patients (25 patients with BD Type II and 10 patients with BD Type I). BD II is distinguished from BD I mainly by the absence of full-blown manic episodes, and a growing body of evidence suggests that there could be neurobiological differences between BD I and BD II patients. Therefore, the higher proportion of patients with BD II in the present study might have affected our results that there were no significant differences in network measures between the two patient groups. Furthermore, the difference between BD and MDD patients might not be detected because the current study population did not include patients with psychotic symptoms. The comorbid psychotic features are usually related to low functioning and disability and worse cognitive performance in BD. Further research needs to be conducted with a large sample size of both BD subtypes and various symptom dimensions, such as psychotic features.

Moreover, our significant correlation result was found only in BD patients where the nodal level high beta band CC of the left superior frontal region was positively correlated with state anxiety. One possible explanation for the result could be the more altered network in BD than MDD. In other words, although both patient groups did not show differences in phenotypic anxiety levels through self-reports, more altered network in the left superior frontal region in the patients with BD could have been more affected by anxiety. Superior frontal region has been regarded to be related to the attention network and DMN. Attention network is thought to mediate...
goal directed top down processing which is associated with task control function. Brain regions belonging to attention network could play a role in emotional regulation and in the higher state of vigilance and awareness. Considering the cognitive models of anxiety disorders, which emphasized the role of emotional hyperactivity, a failure of emotional regulation is thought to be an essential cause of anxiety symptoms in mood disorder. In addition, increased connectivity in the DMN is associated with ruminative thoughts and excessive worries during self-referential processing. Thus, the link between nodal CC in the DMN-related region and state anxiety suggests more negative internalized attention in patients with BD. Furthermore, the high beta band frequency domain has been shown to be related to anxiety. The altered attention network and DMN activity in the beta frequency band was repeatedly observed in patients with BD. Taken together, the disrupted beta band network in superior frontal region in BD patients might contribute to affective functioning underlying clinical symptoms such as anxiety.

This study has a few limitations. First, some of the patients were taking medications at the time of testing. However, less than 30% of the patients were taking medications in each group (9 patients with BD and 11 patients with MDD). Further studies controlling for the medication effects would be needed. Second, the current study enrolled patients with depression but without psychotic symptoms. Thus, our findings may not be generalized to the entire population of individuals with BD and MDD. Third, we did not use individual head models for EEG source imaging. Source analysis of scalp-derived EEG might be inherently limited because of the precision of spatial localization. Despite these limitations, this study was the first attempt to investigate and compare source-level cortical functional networks in patients with BD and MDD during resting-state EEG. Our results suggest that both patients have similar network changes at the global level, but they have different network changes at the nodal level. Also, the higher nodal CCs in the high beta band might indicate the regions became more connected with their neighbors in accordance with the severity of depressive and anxious states. We also found a significant correlation between cortical network state and anxiety levels in BD patients. Our source-level cortical network indices might contribute to the understanding of the neuropathological mechanisms in these two disorders. Further studies with larger sample sizes are necessary to replicate and extend the generalizability of our findings.

Materials and methods

Participants. Participants were recruited from the Department of Psychiatry at Soonchunhyang University Cheonan Hospital in Korea. The patients included 35 patients with BD (14 men and 21 women; mean age: 32.57 ± 11.61 years; range: 19–56 years) and 39 patients with MDD (20 men and 19 women; mean age: 31.54 ± 12.32 years; range: 19–59 years). Patients with BD and MDD were diagnosed according to the Structured Clinical Interview for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV) Axis I Psychiatric Disorders by a board-certified psychiatrist. In addition, the board-certified psychiatrist confirmed that the patients with BD had one or more hypomanic/manic episodes in their lifetime mood disorders. Patients with any history of neurological or other severe medical diseases were excluded in the initial screening interviews. None of the patients had mental retardation, alcohol abuse, were undergoing electroconvulsive therapy, or had any head injuries. Among the 35 patients with BD, 10 patients were diagnosed with BD Type I and 25 patients with BD Type II. Nine of the 35 patients with BD were taking mood-stabilizing agents (lithium and valproate) with or without atypical antipsychotics (quetiapine, aripiprazole, and olanzapine). Eleven of the 39 patients with MDD were taking medications, such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (fluoxetine and escitalopram), serotonin, norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (duloxetine), or others (mirtazapine). Forty-two HCs (23 men and 19 women; mean age: 29.43 ± 5.95 years; range: 21–41 years) were recruited through posters in the hospital. None of the patients had mental retardation, alcohol abuse, were undergoing electroconvulsive therapy, or had any head injuries. Among the 35 patients with BD, 10 patients were diagnosed with BD Type I and 25 patients with BD Type II. Nine of the 35 patients with BD were taking mood-stabilizing agents (lithium and valproate) with or without atypical antipsychotics (quetiapine, aripiprazole, and olanzapine). Eleven of the 39 patients with MDD were taking medications, such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (fluoxetine and escitalopram), serotonin, norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (duloxetine), or others (mirtazapine). Forty-two HCs (23 men and 19 women; mean age: 29.43 ± 5.95 years; range: 21–41 years) were recruited through posters in the hospital.

Psychological measures. The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) and Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) were evaluated for anxiety and depression. The STAI is a self-rating scale for state and trait anxiety. It consists of a state anxiety inventory and trait anxiety inventory; each inventory has 20 items. The BDI is a self-rating scale composed of 21 items to measure the severity of depression symptoms.

Recording and preprocessing of electroencephalography (EEG). Resting-state EEG data were recorded in a sound-attenuated room, while the participants opened their eyes for five minutes. EEG was recorded with a NeuroScan SynAmps2 amplifier (Compumedics USA, Charlotte, NC, USA) based on an extended 10–20 placement scheme via 62 Ag–AgCl electrodes mounted on a Quik-Cap. The reference electrode was Cz and the ground electrode was placed on the forehead. Horizontal electrooculogram (EOG) electrodes were placed at the outer canthus of each eye while vertical EOG electrodes were located above and below the left eye. The impedance was kept below 5 kΩ. EEG signals were bandpass filtered from 0.1 to 100 Hz with a 1000-Hz sampling rate. The procedure for the EEG recording followed our previous study.

All preprocessing procedures were performed using CURRY 8 (Compumedics USA, Charlotte, NC, USA) and MATLAB R2018b (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). The EEG data were re-referenced to an average reference. In order to remove DC components, a high pass filter with 1 Hz was applied to the EEG data. Visual inspection for movement artifacts was carried out by a skilled researcher without prior information regarding the data origin. Eye-movement related artifacts were corrected via a covariance- and regression-based mathematical procedure in
Source localization. The depth-weighted minimum L2 norm estimator from the Brainstorm toolbox (http://neuroimage.usc.edu/brainstorm) was used to approximate the time series of source activities. A three-layer boundary element model from the MNI/Colin27 anatomy template was used to compute the lead-field matrix. Cortical current density of 15,002 cortical vertices was calculated at every time point of each epoch. Noise covariance was measured by the whole 30 epochs of each participant. The weight of each individual sensor was computed by the diagonal components of the noise covariance for the source reconstruction. After approximating the cortical current density at every time point, 68 nodes were extracted based on the Desikan–Killiany atlas containing 34 cortical regions in each hemisphere. The Brainstorm toolbox provided the information about which cortical vertex belonged to which region for 15,002 cortical vertices based on the atlas. The representative value in each region was assessed by the cortical source of the seed point in each region based on the Desikan–Killiany atlas, which information was provided in the Brainstorm toolbox. Then, the time series of the cortical sources at each of the 68 seed points were bandpass filtered and divided into six frequency bands: delta (1–4 Hz), theta (4–8 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), low beta (12–18 Hz), high beta (18–30 Hz), and gamma (30–55 Hz).

Connectivity and network analysis. Functional connectivity between each pair of nodes was assessed via PLVs. PLVs provide normalized synchronization values ranging from 0 to 1. Thus, no further modification was needed to apply them to the weighted network analysis. A higher PLV denotes stronger connection between two nodes than that between the other pairs. PLV showed fine performance with weighted minimum norm estimation and has been widely employed in network analysis. We performed a graph theory-based weighted network analysis. The weighted network preserves the unique traits of the original network without distortion. A network is comprised of several nodes connecting to each other using edges. Widely used three representative global level weighted network measures were evaluated in this study. First, “strength” denotes the degree of connection strength in the network. A higher strength value indicates the strong connection in the whole brain. Second, “CC” refers to the degree to which a node clusters with its neighboring nodes. An increased CC denotes a well-segregated network between the relevant brain regions. Third, “PL” denotes the sum of lengths between two nodes within the network. It is associated with the information processing speed. The shortened PL refers to a well-integrated network. Furthermore, weighted nodal CC was assessed at each node. Network analyses were carried out via MATLAB R2018b.

Statistical analysis. Chi-squared tests and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to explore differences in demographic characteristics and psychological measures among the three groups. A multivariate ANOVA was conducted to compare the cortical network characteristics at the global level of each frequency band among the three groups, with education as a covariate. P values were adjusted by Bonferroni corrections (an adjusted p value of 0.05/18 = 0.002778; three global network measures with six frequency bands) to control multiple comparisons. The same analysis was conducted at the nodal level, followed by Bonferroni corrections with an adjusted p value of 0.05/68 = 0.000735 (nodal CCs at 68 nodes). When the variables presented significant differences among the three groups, the post-hoc pair-wise comparisons with Bonferroni corrections were performed. Effect sizes were computed based on the partial eta squared ($\eta^2$). The procedure for the statistical analysis followed our previous study.

The relationship between network indices and psychological measures was analyzed using hierarchical regression analyses with a 5000 bootstrap resampling technique to correct for multiple comparisons. For the patient groups, the duration of illness was considered as a covariate. Although the bootstrap test is weaker than the Bonferroni test to resolve the multiple-comparison issue, the robustness and stability of the bootstrap test have been verified. Furthermore, the bootstrap test has been widely used in EEG analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21 with the significant level at $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed) (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Received: 2 December 2020; Accepted: 24 March 2022
Published online: 08 April 2022

References
1. Otte, C. et al. Major depressive disorder. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers. 2, 16066. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2016.65 (2016).
2. Fava, M. & Kendler, K. S. Major depressive disorder. Neuron 28, 335–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00112-4 (2000).
3. Jain, A. & Mitra, P. Bipolar affective disorder. StatPearls [Internet] (2021).
4. Fung, G. et al. Distinguishing bipolar and major depressive disorders by brain structural morphometry: A pilot study. BMC Psychiatry 15, 298 (2015).
5. Kempton, M. J. et al. Structural neuroimaging studies in major depressive disorder: Meta-analysis and comparison with bipolar disorder. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 68, 675–690 (2011).
6. Delvecchio, G. et al. Common and distinct neural correlates of emotional processing in bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: A voxel-based meta-analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **22**, 100–113 (2012).

7. Vargas, C., López-Jaramillo, C. & Vieta, E. A systematic literature review of resting state network—functional MRI in bipolar disorder. *J. Affect. Disord.* **150**, 725–735 (2013).

8. Gong, Q. & He, Y. Depression, neuroimaging and connectomics: A selective overview. *Biol. Psychiat.* **77**, 223–235 (2015).

9. Kandilova, S., Stoyanov, D., Sirakov, N., Maes, M. & Spelt, K. Reduced grey matter volume in frontal and temporal areas in depression: Contributions from voxel-based morphometry study. *Acta Neuropsychiatr.* **31**, 252–257. [https://doi.org/10.1017/neu.2019.20](https://doi.org/10.1017/neu.2019.20).

10. Strakowski, S. M. et al. Brain magnetic resonance imaging of structural abnormalities in bipolar disorder. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **56**, 254–260. [https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.56.3.254](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.56.3.254).

11. Jiang, X. et al. Common and distinct neural activities in frontoparietal network in first-episode bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: Preliminary findings from a follow-up resting state fMRI study. *J Affect Disord.* **260**, 653–659. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.09.063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.09.063).

12. Kim, S. G., Richter, W. & Uguribil, K. Limitations of temporal resolution in functional MRI. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **37**, 631–636 (1997).

13. Burle, B. et al. Spatial and temporal resolutions of EEG: Is it really black and white? A scalp current density view. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* **97**, 210–220 (2015).

14. Luck, S. J. et al. A roadmap for the development and validation of event-related potential biomarkers in schizophrenia research. *Biol. Psychiatry* **70**, 28–34 (2011).

15. Liu, C.-H. et al. Abnormal baseline brain activity in bipolar depression: A resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging* **203**, 175–179 (2012).

16. Duan, W. et al. Reproducibility of power spectrum, functional connectivity and network construction in resting-state EEG. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **348**, 108985 (2021).

17. Bullmore, E. & Sporns, O. Complex brain networks: Graph theoretical analysis of structural and functional systems. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **10**, 186 (2009).

18. Rubinov, M. & Sporns, O. Complex network measures of brain connectivity: Uses and interpretations. *Neuroimage* **52**, 1059–1069 (2010).

19. Kim, D.-J. et al. Disturbed resting state EEG synchronization in bipolar disorder: a graph-theoretic analysis. *Neuroimage Clin.* **2**, 414–423 (2013).

20. Kim, S. et al. Altered cortical functional networks in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: A resting-state electroencephalographic study. *Front. Psych.* **11**, 661 (2020).

21. Li, H., Zhou, H., Yang, Y., Wang, H. & Zhong, N. More randomized and resilient in the topological properties of functional brain networks in patients with major depressive disorder. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* **44**, 274–278 (2017).

22. Shim, M., Im, C.-H., Kim, Y.-W. & Lee, S.-H. Altered cortical functional network in major depressive disorder: A resting-state electroencephalogram study. *Neuroimage Clin.* **19**, 1000–1007 (2018).

23. Hasanzadeh, F., Mohebbi, M. & Rostami, R. Graph theory analysis of directed functional brain networks in major depressive disorder based on EEG signal. *J. Neural Eng.* **17**, 026010 (2020).

24. Wang, Y. et al. Topologically convergent and divergent functional connectivity patterns in unmedicated unipolar depression and bipolar disorder. *Transl. Psychiatry* **7**, e1165–e1165 (2017).

25. van den Broek, S. P., Reinders, F., Donderwinkel, M. & Peters, M. Volume conduction effects in EEG and MEG. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* **106**, 522–534 (1998).

26. Nolte, G. et al. Identifying true brain interaction from EEG data using the imaginary part of coherency. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* **115**, 2292–2307 (2004).

27. Lange, D. H. & Inbar, G. F. A robust parametric estimator for single-trial movement related brain potentials. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* **43**, 341–347 (1996).

28. Lennm, S., Curio, G., Hlushchuk, Y. & Muller, K.-R. Enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio of ICA-based extracted ERPs. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* **53**, 601–607 (2006).

29. Leow, A. et al. Impaired inter-hemispheric integration in bipolar disorder revealed with brain network analyses. *Biological Psychiatry* **73**, 183–193 (2013).

30. Collin, G. et al. Brain network analysis reveals affected connectome structure in bipolar I disorder. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **37**, 122–134 (2016).

31. Zhang, J. et al. Disrupted brain connectivity networks in drug-naive, first-episode major depressive disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* **70**, 334–342 (2011).

32. Bohr, I. J. et al. Resting-state functional connectivity in late-life depression: Higher global connectivity and more long distance connections. *Front. Psychiatry* **3**, 116 (2013).

33. Guo, H. et al. Resting-state functional connectivity abnormalities in first-onset unmedicated depression. *Neural Regen. Res.* **9**, 153 (2014).

34. Laufs, H. et al. Electroencephalographic signatures of attentional and cognitive default modes in spontaneous brain activity fluctuations at rest. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**, 11053–11058 (2003).

35. Martini, D., Perrucci, M. G., Del Gratta, C., Romani, G. L. & Corbetta, M. Electrophysiological signatures of resting state networks in the human brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 13170–13175 (2007).

36. Hiunka, J., Alexakis, C., Diukova, A., Liddle, P. F. & Auer, D. P. Slow EEG pattern predicts reduced intrinsic functional connectivity in the default mode network: An inter-subject analysis. *Neuroimage* **53**, 239–246 (2010).

37. Sunaga, M. et al. Frequency-specific resting connectivity in bipolar disorder: An MEG study. *Front. Psych.* **11**, 597 (2020).

38. Whinton, A. E. et al. Electroencephalography source functional connectivity reveals abnormal high-frequency communication among large-scale functional networks in depression. *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging* **3**, 50–58 (2018).

39. Salvador, G. et al. Anterior cingulate desynchronization and functional connectivity with the amygdala during a working memory task predict rapid antidepressant response to ketamine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **34**, 1415–1422 (2010).

40. Nugent, A. C., Robinson, S. E., Coppola, R., Furey, M. L. & Zarate, C. A. Jr. Group differences in MEG-ICA derived resting state networks: Application to major depressive disorder. *Neuroimage* **118**, 1–12 (2015).

41. Malhi, G. S., Lagopoulos, J., Sachdev, P. S., Ivanovski, B. & Shnier, R. An emotional Stroop functional MRI study of euthymic bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* **7**, 58–69 (2005).

42. Tedi, M. et al. Altered brain activation during emotional face processing in relation to both diagnosis and polygenic risk of bipolar disorder. *PloS One* **10**, e0134202 (2015).

43. Achalia, R. M. et al. Investigating spontaneous brain activity in bipolar disorder: A resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Indian J. Psychiatry* **61**, 630 (2019).

44. Jing, B. et al. Difference in amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation between currently depressed and remitted females with major depressive disorder. *Brain Res.* **1540**, 74–83 (2013).

45. Li, G., Rosbach, K., Zhang, A., Liu, P. & Zhang, K. Resting-state functional changes in the precuneus within first-first episode drug-naive patients with MDD. *Neuropsychiatric. Dis. Treat.* **14**, 1991 (2018).
46. Zhu, X. et al. Evidence of a dissociation pattern in resting-state default mode network connectivity in first-episode, treatment-naïve major depression patients. *Biol. Psychiatry* 71, 611–617 (2012).
47. Jacob, Y. et al. Neural correlates of rumination in major depressive disorder: A brain network analysis. *NeuroImage Clin.* 25, 102142 (2020).
48. Liang, M.-J. et al. Identify changes of brain regional homogeneity in bipolar disorder and unipolar depression using resting-state fMRI. *PloS One* 8, e79999 (2013).
49. GadElkarim, J. J. et al. Investigating brain community structure abnormalities in bipolar disorder using path length associated community estimation. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 35, 2253–2264 (2014).
50. Hanford, L. C., Nazarov, A., Hall, G. B. & Sassi, R. B. Cortical thickness in bipolar disorder: A systematic review. *Bipolar Disord.* 18, 4–18 (2016).
51. Wang, X. et al. Brain grey-matter volume alteration in adult patients with bipolar disorder under different conditions: A voxel-based meta-analysis. *J. Psychiatry Neurosci.* 44, 49–59 (2019).
52. Benedetti, F. et al. Durruption of white matter integrity in bipolar depression as a possible structural marker of illness. *Biol. Psychiatry* 69, 309–317 (2011).
53. Adler, C. M. et al. Voxel-based structural changes in the first-episode patients with bipolar disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* 61, 776–781 (2007).
54. Doneet, G. E., Basset, D. S., Yao, N., Glahn, D. C. & Frangou, S. The role of intrinsic brain functional connectivity in vulnerability and resilience to bipolar disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 174, 1214–1222 (2017).
55. Abé, C. et al. Cortical thickness, volume and surface area in patients with bipolar disorder types I and II. *J. Psychiatry Neurosci.* 41, 240 (2016).
56. Goldberg, J. F. & Harrow, M. Poor-outcome bipolar disorders. *Bipolar Disorders. Clinical Course and Outcome* 1–19 (1999).
57. Glahn, D. C. et al. The neurocognitive signature of psychotic bipolar disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* 62, 910–916 (2007).
58. Liao, W. et al. Selective aberrant functional connectivity of resting state networks in social anxiety disorder. *NeuroImage* 52, 1549–1558. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.05.010 (2010).
59. Clark, D. M. & McManus, F. Information processing in social phobia. *Biol. Psychiatry* 51, 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8916(01)01996-3 (2002).
60. Hofmann, S. G. Cognitive mediation of treatment change in social phobia. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 72, 392–399. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006x.72.3.392 (2004).
61. Lemogne, C. et al. In search of the depressive self: Extended medial prefrontal network during self-referential processing in major depression. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 4, 305–312 (2009).
62. Hamilton, J. P., Farmer, M., Fogelman, P. & Gotlib, I. H. Depressive rumination, the default-mode network, and the dark matter of clinical neuroscience. *Biol. Psychiatry* 78, 224–230 (2015).
63. Ribas, V. R. et al. Pattern of anxiety, insecurity, fear, panic and/or phobia observed by quantitative electroencephalography (QEEG). *Dement. Neuropsychol.* 12, 264–271 (2018).
64. Diaz, H. et al. EEG Beta band frequency domain evaluation for assessing stress and anxiety in resting, eyes closed, basal conditions. *Procedia Comput. Sci.* 162, 974–981 (2019).
65. First, M. B., Gibbon, M., Spitzer, R. L. & Benjamin, L. S. *User's Guide for the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Personality Disorders: SCID-II* (American Psychiatric Publishing, 1997).
66. Kim, J. & Shin, D. A study based on the standardization of the STAI for Korea. *New Med. J.* 24, 201–209 (2011).
67. Hassan, M., Dufor, O., Merlet, I., Berrou, C. & Wendling, F. EEG source connectivity analysis: From dense array recordings to EEG based model evaluation. *Biomed. Signal Process. Control* 16, 32–40 (2016).
68. Hamilton, J. P., Farmer, M., Fogelman, P. & Gotlib, I. H. Depressive rumination, the default-mode network, and the dark matter of clinical neuroscience. *Biol. Psychiatry* 78, 224–230 (2015).
69. Liao, W. et al. Selective aberrant functional connectivity of resting state networks in social anxiety disorder. *NeuroImage* 52, 1549–1558. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.05.010 (2010).
70. Clark, D. M. & McManus, F. Information processing in social phobia. *Biol. Psychiatry* 51, 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8916(01)01996-3 (2002).
71. Hofmann, S. G. Cognitive mediation of treatment change in social phobia. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 72, 392–399. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006x.72.3.392 (2004).
72. Lemogne, C. et al. In search of the depressive self: Extended medial prefrontal network during self-referential processing in major depression. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 4, 305–312 (2009).
73. Hamilton, J. P., Farmer, M., Fogelman, P. & Gotlib, I. H. Depressive rumination, the default-mode network, and the dark matter of clinical neuroscience. *Biol. Psychiatry* 78, 224–230 (2015).
74. Ribas, V. R. et al. Pattern of anxiety, insecurity, fear, panic and/or phobia observed by quantitative electroencephalography (QEEG). *Dement. Neuropsychol.* 12, 264–271 (2018).
75. Diaz, H. et al. EEG Beta band frequency domain evaluation for assessing stress and anxiety in resting, eyes closed, basal conditions. *Procedia Comput. Sci.* 162, 974–981 (2019).
76. First, M. B., Gibbon, M., Spitzer, R. L. & Benjamin, L. S. *User's Guide for the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Personality Disorders: SCID-II* (American Psychiatric Publishing, 1997).
77. Kim, J. & Shin, D. A study based on the standardization of the STAI for Korea. *New Med. J.* 24, 201–209 (2011).
78. Hassan, M., Dufor, O., Merlet, I., Berrou, C. & Wendling, F. EEG source connectivity analysis: From dense array recordings to brain networks. *PLoS ONE* 9(8), e105041. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105041 (2014).
79. Gong, A. et al. Characteristic differences between the brain networks of high-level shooting athletes and non-athletes calculated using the phase-locking value algorithm. *Biomed. Signal Process. Control* 51, 128–137 (2019).
80. Li, P. et al. EEG based emotion recognition by combining functional connectivity network and local activations. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 66, 2869–2881 (2019).
81. Ruscio, J. Constructing confidence intervals for Spearman's rank correlation with ordinal data: A simulation study comparing analytic and bootstrap methods. *J. Mod. Appl. Stat. Methods* 7, 7 (2008).
82. Pernet, C. R., Wilcox, R. R. & Rousselet, G. A. Robust correlation analyses: False positive and power validation using a new open source Matlab toolbox. *Front. Psychol.* 3, 606 (2012).
83. Pernet, C. R., Chauveau, N., Gaspar, C. & Rousselet, G. A. LIMO EEG: A toolbox for hierarchical Linear MODElizing of ElectroEncephaloGraphic data. *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.* 2011, 3 (2011).
84. Kim, J. S., Kim, S., Jung, W., Im, C.-H. & Lee, S.-H. Auditory evoked potential could reflect emotional sensitivity and impulsivity. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 37683 (2016).
