Protein–lipid interplay at the neuromuscular junction
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Abstract

Many new structures of membrane proteins have been determined over the last decade, yet the nature of protein–lipid interplay has received scant attention. The postsynaptic membrane of the neuromuscular junction and Torpedo electrocytes has a regular architecture, opening an opportunity to illuminate how proteins and lipids act together in a native membrane setting. Cryo electron microscopy (Cryo-EM) images show that cholesterol segregates preferentially around the constituent ion channel, the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, interacting with specific sites in both leaflets of the bilayer. In addition to maintaining the transmembrane α-helical architecture, cholesterol forms microdomains – bridges of rigid sterol groups that link one channel to the next. This article discusses the whole protein–lipid organization of the cholinergic postsynaptic membrane, its physiological implications and how the observed details relate to our current concept of the membrane structure. I suggest that cooperative interactions, facilitated by the regular protein–lipid arrangement, help to spread channel activation into regions distant from the sites of neurotransmitter release, thereby enhancing the postsynaptic response.
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Introduction

The 1972 ‘fluid mosaic model’ of membrane structure [1] envisioned membrane proteins as single molecules or complexes that were embedded within a fluid lipid bilayer but otherwise similar to their counterparts in solution. The protein chains integrated stably by folding with their polar and nonpolar parts partitioned according to whether they faced solvent or the hydrophobic portions of the lipids. Lipids in general were considered to interact rather non-specifically with the protein surfaces, providing stability like solvent in the water-exposed parts. While structural studies of a wide range of membrane proteins have since demonstrated the overall validity of this concept, the structural properties and molecular organization of the lipids have received less scrutiny.

Yet far from being passive partners, lipids are often found to play vital roles in enabling, optimizing and coordinating protein function. Some proteins incorporate sites for longer lived interactions and a higher degree of specificity in terms of preferred lipid species, which may act as a ‘co-factors’ essential to the biological mechanism. Depending on the local membrane composition, the lipids themselves may also create more ordered and less fluid regions (‘lipid rafts’) within the bilayer, which too can influence how a protein works. The fact that natural bilayers contain lipid hydrocarbon chains with great heterogeneity in terms of length, saturation and headgroup composition, adds a further layer of complexity. Clearly, for a proper understanding of any particular cell membrane, it is important not to rely on simplified model systems but to view the protein–lipid and lipid–lipid interplay as they exist in situ.

The cholinergic postsynaptic membrane of the neuromuscular junction and Torpedo electrocytes provides a unique opportunity to visualize and characterize how protein and lipid components act together in an intact physiological setting. This specialized region of the muscle (or electrocyte) cell membrane comprises a cholesterol-rich phospholipid bilayer, most densely populated by a single membrane-spanning protein, the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor: a transmitter-gated ion channel (for recent reviews, see Refs. [2,3]). The receptors pack tightly next to one another in the lipid matrix and form long dimer ribbons across the membrane surfaces ([4–6]; Fig. 1). Here I bring to centre stage the protein–lipid interplay underpinning these channel arrays and suggest how it may help to achieve the properties of the postsynaptic membrane as a finely tuned mediator of fast synaptic transmission.

The postsynaptic membrane

Electron microscopical technology has undergone remarkable advances since freeze-fracture and negative-staining techniques first revealed the specialized membrane organization shown in Fig. 1. It is now routine to apply cryo-methods, in which rapid freezing of the sample in solution, together with use of a cold stage, allows one to both capture and image a specimen as it would be in its normal physiological setting. Although the signal-to-noise ratio obtainable from direct imaging is limited by radiation damage, this deficiency is surmountable by combining and averaging different low-dose views of identical copies of the same molecules.

Molecular averaging can be performed readily on postsynaptic membranes from Torpedo by exploiting the fact that, when isolated, they form tubular vesicles having the receptors
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The TM helices and MX in ‘dots’ at their bases can be identified, respectively, with the headgroup densities. These patches lie next to each receptor subunit in equivalent regions (red bars). They are on their clockwise faces in the outer leaflet and anti-clockwise faces in the inner leaflet.

Although not yet resolved as discrete entities, the approximate locations of the cholesterol molecules with respect to the TM helices can be deduced by comparing the structure of the membrane-bound receptor with that of the detergent-solubilized receptor [9], where the cholesterol has been extracted. In the solubilized receptor, at the level of the outer phospholipid headgroups, the spacings between TM helices M4–M1 and M1–M3 are contracted, as if cholesterol wedges between them to hold them apart [7]. On the other hand, at the level of the inner phospholipid headgroups, the spacings between the TM helices are unaltered, implying that these cholesterols simply lie against the lipid-exposed surfaces, consistent with the cross-sectional views.

Figure 5a and b show the inferred cholesterol arrangements in the outer and inner leaflets. Although the precise locations of these cholesterols are not yet known, the distinct complexes they form with the receptor at the two levels hint at how this lipid integrates with the transmembrane architecture to achieve full ion channel function. The outer leaflet harbours the gate of the ion channel [7]. Hence, the cholesterol-stabilized splayed-apart arrangement of helices at this level may be needed to create space for the pore-lining M2 helices to move freely between closed and open configurations [10]. The inner leaflet harbours the narrowest portion of the open pore [7]. The stiffness imposed by an encircling ring of rigid sterol groups at this level would limit flexibility and so may help to make ion discrimination more precise.

**Cholesterol around the receptor**

In-plane views encompassing the phospholipid headgroups (Fig. 4) reveal how the cholesterol component is organized around the receptors. As in the cross-sections just described and because of its tiny headgroup, cholesterol creates gaps or small water-filled patches, among the phospholipid-headgroup densities. These patches lie next to each receptor subunit in equivalent regions (red bars). They are on their clockwise faces in the outer leaflet and anti-clockwise faces in the inner leaflet.

Although not yet resolved as discrete entities, the approximate locations of the cholesterol molecules with respect to the TM helices can be deduced by comparing the structure of the membrane-bound receptor with that of the detergent-solubilized receptor [9], where the cholesterol has been extracted. In the solubilized receptor, at the level of the outer phospholipid headgroups, the spacings between TM helices M4–M1 and M1–M3 are contracted, as if cholesterol wedges between them to hold them apart [7]. On the other hand, at the level of the inner phospholipid headgroups, the spacings between the TM helices are unaltered, implying that these cholesterols simply lie against the lipid-exposed surfaces, consistent with the cross-sectional views.

Figure 5a and b show the inferred cholesterol arrangements in the outer and inner leaflets. Although the precise locations of these cholesterols are not yet known, the distinct complexes they form with the receptor at the two levels hint at how this lipid integrates with the transmembrane architecture to achieve full ion channel function. The outer leaflet harbours the gate of the ion channel [7]. Hence, the cholesterol-stabilized splayed-apart arrangement of helices at this level may be needed to create space for the pore-lining M2 helices to move freely between closed and open configurations [10]. The inner leaflet harbours the narrowest portion of the open pore [7]. The stiffness imposed by an encircling ring of rigid sterol groups at this level would limit flexibility and so may help to make ion discrimination more precise.

**Cholesterol microdomains**

Most of the cholesterol-interacting regions on the TM helices are associated with only small patches of cholesterol. However, these patches become more extensive, forming microdomains that bridge neighbouring receptors, when brought into close proximity. The microdomains are apparently stabilized by properties of the protein surfaces and by the high cholesterol content of the postsynaptic membrane. The TM helix M4, which has an affinity for cholesterol [11] and tilts into the lipids away from the body of the receptor, is a stabilizing influence on the outer leaflet. The sub-membrane helix MX, which sterically excludes the large phospholipid headgroups from the vicinity of the TM helices, is a stabilizing influence on the inner leaflet. Two kinds of microdomain exist in the inner leaflet because there are two distinct places where neighbouring cholesterol-interacting sites (on apposed δ/δ subunits and apposed αγ subunits) both overlie the MX helices and come close to each other.

Interestingly, the central δ–δ dimer is bridged by microdomains in both leaflets of the bilayer, whereas the interface made by neighbouring αγ subunits is bridged by a microdomain only in the inner leaflet. Together the...
Structures of the acetylcholine receptor and a tubular vesicle from Torpedo postsynaptic membrane. (a) The receptor is a heteropentamer (stoichiometry: α, α, β, γ, δ), which includes four TM helices (M1–M4) in each subunit, and a transverse sub-membrane helix, MX. The structure shown is that of a recent model (PDB ID 6uwz), modified such that its transmembrane portion fits the densities of the (cholesterol-complexed) receptor in the tubes (Unwin, unpublished). The orange bars identify the levels of the phosphate moieties of the outer and inner phospholipid headgroups, which are 30 Å apart. Subunit colours: α γ, red; α δ, orange; β, green; γ, cyan; δ, blue. (b) The tubular vesicle consists of curved ribbons of δ subunit-linked receptor dimers packed closely together and embedded in a bilayer matrix, which is composed of the native lipids (one ribbon, grey; one dimer, pink).

Cross-sections through a helical reconstruction displaying the postsynaptic membrane in profile. Cholesterol in the outer leaflet gives rise to gaps next to the protein surfaces (red arrows) in the otherwise continuous (light grey) densities associated with the phospholipid headgroups. A similar weakening of density associated with cholesterol occurs in the inner leaflet, above the MX helices (blue arrows).

Organization of cholesterol next to acetylcholine receptors, viewed in tangential sections encompassing the phospholipid headgroups. (a) Outer leaflet and (b) inner leaflet: cholesterol-rich patches appear as small gaps (dark grey) among the headgroup densities (smooth light grey areas) next to the TM helices (red bars), and as more extended gaps—microdomains—bridging neighbouring proteins. The pair of receptors comprising the central δ–δ dimer is bridged by microdomains in both leaflets of the bilayer. The pairs of broken lines track a dimer ribbon (seen at lower magnification in Figs. 1 and 2b). The numbering 1–4 identifies TM helices M1–M4. Adapted from [7].

Microdomains create an extensive two-dimensional network, involving just δ and α, which connects receptors along lines running obliquely to the vesicle axis. As I discuss later, these lines of ion channels, linked together in alternating orientations, may play a role in communicating gating activity to regions distant from the sites where acetylcholine is released.

Membrane structure

The lipid bilayer component of the postsynaptic membrane has a fairly uniform thickness with, at most, minor modifications next to the protein surfaces. The 30 Å peak-to-peak separation of the phospholipid headgroups (corresponding to
the average separation of the phosphate moieties [12]) may seem unusually small compared with that of other cholesterol-containing membranes [12–16]. For example, X-ray diffraction of myelin, the best-studied cell membrane, yields peak-to-peak values of 43–48 Å [15,16]. However, the ∼15 Å discrepancy with myelin probably reflects the fact that about 25% of its hydrocarbon chains are four to five carbon atoms longer than the average chain length in other membranes [17].

Moreover, the headgroup separations of the cholinergic membrane and those mediating parallel functions at central synapses are similar, according to recent cryo-electron tomographic reconstructions [18,19]. A likely explanation, in line with other structural evidence [13,20], is that bilayer thickness is modulated primarily by the properties of the embedded protein, rather than by cholesterol, in regions where the protein is abundant.

The observed partial separation of cholesterol from the lipid matrix to form networks of bridging microdomains may be a common occurrence in cell membrane specializations, where both protein and cholesterol exist at high concentration. Little is yet known how the cholesterol is organized within a microdomain. The sterol assemblies may incorporate some degree of order, as depicted in Fig. 5c, with ring-to-ring packing conferring additional rigidity. They could thereby provide a way of stabilizing transient or long-term communication between proteins and hence function to fine-tune the activity of ion-channel clusters at central synapses. A single cholesterol forms a molecular cross-link between the AMPA receptor and its auxiliary subunit cornichon [21], but cross-links entailing multiple sterols are likely to be resolved eventually in other whole-membrane studies.

Are the cholesterol-bridge networks described here and the light microscope-identified regions, often referred as ‘lipid rafts’, essentially the same thing? The presence of the network is consistent with light-microscopical evidence from Torpedo membrane fragments [22] and cultured myotubes [23–25] demonstrating that acetylcholine receptors cluster in more ordered cholesterol-rich regions of the cell membrane. Other transmitter-gated ion channels of the central nervous system also reside in cholesterol-rich regions [26,27]. However, lipid rafts are normally considered to be thickened ‘liquid-ordered’ areas of membrane, enriched in both cholesterol and sphingolipids and spanning both leaflets of the bilayer [28].

The features of the cholinergic postsynaptic membrane, now seen at higher resolution, are not fully compatible with this view. Apparently, it is the protein surfaces, not sphingolipids (which are rare in purified Torpedo membrane [29]), that play the major part in stabilizing the cholesterol microdomains. Also, the microdomains are arranged differently in the two leaflets and do not overlap significantly, as required to span both leaflets. The network in Fig. 4 most likely would have arisen through a co-assembly mechanism, whereby the cholesterol aggregation is stabilized by the coming together of appropriate protein surfaces, rather than through a mechanism involving recruitment of proteins to pre-existing liquid-ordered regions.

**Fast synaptic transmission**

The postsynaptic membrane has a remarkably regular design, suggesting it might function more like a coordinated molecular assembly than simply a crowded grouping of ion channels behaving as independent units. The embedded protein and cholesterol together create a unique microdomain network, which stabilizes the channels in specific orientations and positions relative to one another. The δ subunits of neighbouring receptors make one set of microdomain contacts; the α, subunits of neighbouring receptors make the other. These two sets of contacts are contiguous, and they bring together structural elements that are important in initiating and terminating the gating movements, i.e. loop C framing the acetylcholine binding site in the α, subunits [10,30] and the transmembrane portion of the δ subunits [8,31].

The possibility that these microdomain-linked contacts facilitate coordinated activity is consistent with single-channel recordings made on clustered Torpedo receptors reconstituted in cholesterol-rich planar membranes [32]. Whereas the monomer channels exhibit the gating behaviour characteristic of single receptors, the observed conductance doubles under conditions where the channels aggregate, becoming equal to the conductance of the native δ–δ dimer. In other words, the monomers are able to function cooperatively and when paired, as in a dimer, give rise to synchronous gating activity. In addition, with even larger clusters, multiple synchronized gating (implicating at least three δ–δ dimers) occurs.

Hence, the structural findings and single-channel evidence concur in supporting the idea that the real ‘functional unit’ may be a small cluster, or linear array, of channels and that activation can propagate by conformational spread [33] within the cluster, without the need for acetylcholine binding to induce individual channel-opening events. Given the context in situ of a restricted active zone, where acetylcholine is released, opposite a curved junctional membrane, where
to enhance the postsynaptic response? While it may not be possible to exploit ordered arrays to evaluate their protein-lipid interplay, the technique of cryo-electron tomography is advancing rapidly and may soon begin to resolve similar or other relevant whole-membrane details. Complementing this information, additional insight will undoubtedly emerge from single-particle cryo-EM studies of isolated synaptic assemblies, if they can be retained in close-to-cellular synaptic settings.
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