Beneficial effects of increased lysozyme levels in Alzheimer’s disease modelled in Drosophila melanogaster
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Genetic polymorphisms of immune genes that associate with higher risk to develop Alzheimer’s disease (AD) have led to an increased research interest on the involvement of the immune system in AD pathogenesis. A link between amyloid pathology and immune gene expression was suggested in a genome-wide gene expression study of transgenic amyloid mouse models. In this study, the gene expression of lysozyme, a major player in the innate immune system, was found to be increased in a comparable pattern as the amyloid pathology developed in transgenic mouse models of AD. A similar pattern was seen at protein levels of lysozyme in human AD brain and CSF, but this lysozyme pattern was not seen in a tau transgenic mouse model. Lysozyme was demonstrated to be beneficial for different Drosophila melanogaster models of AD. In flies that expressed Aβ₁-42 or AβPP together with BACE1 in the eyes, the rough eye phenotype indicative of toxicity was completely rescued by coexpression of lysozyme. In Drosophila flies bearing the Aβ₁-42 variant with the Arctic gene mutation, lysozyme increased the fly survival and decreased locomotor dysfunction dose dependently. An interaction between lysozyme and Aβ₁-42 in the Drosophila eye was discovered. We propose that the increased levels of lysozyme, seen in mouse models of AD and in human AD cases, were triggered by Aβ₁-42 and caused a beneficial effect by binding of lysozyme to toxic species of Aβ₁-42, which prevented these from exerting their toxic effects. These results emphasize the possibility of lysozyme as biomarker and therapeutic target for AD.

Abbreviations
AD, Alzheimer’s disease; Aβ, amyloid-β; AβPP, amyloid-β precursor protein; BACE1, β-site AβPP-cleaving enzyme 1; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; PSEN1, presenilin 1; WT, wild-type.
Introduction

Two of the main pathological hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease (AD) are extracellular accumulation of amyloid plaques, which consists of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides, and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles composed of hyperphosphorylated tau [1]. The amyloid plaques were previously considered the foremost neurotoxic agents in AD, but increasing evidence suggests that small diffusible Aβ aggregates (referred to as oligomers) are the principal cytotoxic species as these correlate better with synaptic loss and cognitive impairment than the plaques [2]. Aβ peptides are produced from sequential cleavage of the amyloid-β precursor protein (AβPP) by β-secretase activity of the β-site AβPP-cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1) and the γ-secretase complex, where presenilin 1 (PSEN1) is the catalytic subunit [3]. Although Aβ1–40 is the predominant secreted form, the longer Aβ1–42 has a more prominent part in AD as it is more aggregation prone and forms toxic oligomers more easily [4]. Besides Aβ and tau, it is well established that neuroinflammation is involved in AD. Activated astrocytes and microglia cells surround Aβ plaques together with various inflammatory mediators [5,6]. In addition, genetic studies show upregulation of several genes involved in inflammation, especially complement activation and prostaglandin synthesis, during incipient AD [7] and there is data which demonstrate inflammatory processes before tangles and neurodegeneration are apparent [8]. However, microglial activation also demonstrates a protective function of a triggered immune response in AD, as microglial activation mediates phagocytosis and clearance of Aβ [9].

Lysozyme, which belongs to the innate immune system, is upregulated in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) from AD patients and inhibits the formation of toxic Aβ oligomers [10–12]. Lysozyme overexpression in Aβ transgenic Drosophila melanogaster rescues both the survival and the activity of the Aβ flies [10]. Lysozyme is a glucoside hydrolase able to hydrolyse peptidoglycans found in the cell walls of bacteria [13]. It is secreted from macrophages and microglia, and it is abundant in various secretions such as tears, saliva, milk and CSF [14]. The aim of this study was to further investigate the implication of lysozyme in AD. Lysozyme gene expression was investigated using a database of a genome-wide gene expression study of wild-type (WT) and five mouse models of AD (mutant human AβPP, mutant human PSEN1, homozygous and heterozygous expressed AβPP–PSEN1 and mutant human TAU) [15], and a database of AD patient brain tissue [16]. The levels of lysozyme protein were investigated in brain tissue from transgenic AD mice and AD patients. An increased lysozyme expression was found both at mRNA and protein level in AD brain tissue of both mice and humans. In order to investigate the impact of lysozyme expression during AD, three different Drosophila models of AD were used. Beneficial effects of lysozyme in these different Drosophila models were discovered; in flies that expressed Aβ1–42 individually or AβPP together with BACE1 (AβPP–BACE1) in the fly eyes, the AD phenotype was completely rescued by lysozyme. In flies carrying the highly toxic Aβ peptide with the Arctic mutation (E22G), lysozyme increased the fly survival and improved the locomotor behaviour in a dose-dependent manner. These results imply that lysozyme has a protective effect on Aβ toxicity and could function as a new therapeutic strategy for AD.

Results

Lysozyme is increased in brains of transgenic AD mice

To investigate whether the mRNA expression of lysozyme is changed during AD progression, we used data from the publicly available database www.mouseac.com on five different amyloid or tau mouse dementia models. The mouse models were analysed at the ages 2, 4, 8 and 18 months [15]. Homozygous and heterozygous expression of human AβPP, with the Swedish mutation in combination with mutant human PSEN1 (AβPP–PSEN1), leads to plaque formation at 4 and 8 months, respectively, mutant AβPP expressed separately leads to plaques first at 18 months and mutant PSEN1 expressed separately has no plaque pathology. The mutant human heterozygous TAU mice demonstrate tangles at 8 months. The gene expression of lysozyme in the homozygous AβPP–PSEN1 mice was found to be significantly increased at 4 months in cortex (Fig. 1A) and hippocampus (Fig. 1B) and in heterozygous AβPP–PSEN1 mice at 8 months compared to WT mice (Fig. 1A,B). Lysozyme levels were unchanged in cerebellum of both homozygous and heterozygous AβPP–PSEN1 mice (Fig. 1C). In AβPP mice, there was a trend of increased lysozyme gene expression in cortex at 18 months, but not in hippocampus and no change was detected in PSEN1 mice (Fig. 1A–C). We next investigated the correlation between lysozyme gene expression and Aβ pathology in the cortex (Fig. 1G) and hippocampus (Fig. 1H) of these mice. Both heterozygous and homozygous AβPP–PSEN1 mice showed a strong and significant linear correlation in the cortex ($r = 0.91$ and 0.94 respectively) and in...
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Lysozyme is increased in the human AD brain

To study if the increased lysozyme mRNA expression levels in AD mice also applied to human AD patients, a database with data from autopsied tissues from visual cortex, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and cerebellum was used [16]. The mRNA expression of lysozyme was significantly increased in visual cortex and prefrontal cortex of AD patients compared to control, but no difference was seen in cerebellum (Fig. 3A). To study if the protein expression levels of lysozyme were affected in AD, human post-mortem tissue from temporal cortex was used. The level of lysozyme was significantly increased in the AD group (Braak stages V-VI) compared to controls (Braak stages 0-IV) (Fig. 3B), which corresponds with the lysozyme mRNA expression analysis. In addition, lysozyme levels were investigated in an AD validated cohort of CSF and the lysozyme levels were significantly higher in CSF samples from AD patients compared to controls (Fig. 3C).

Lysozyme protects from Aβ-induced toxicity in transgenic AD flies

In order to study if lysozyme has any effect on Aβ toxicity, we used one Drosophila model where human lysozyme was coexpressed with Aβ1-42 and one, recently characterized, novel Drosophila model that coexpressed AβPP and BACE1 (AβPP-BACE1) [17] with or without lysozyme in the eyes of the flies using the retina-specific gmr-Gal4 driver. The eye morphology was examined at the day of eclosion using SEM. Aβ1-42 flies had a disturbed pattern of ommatidia compared to control flies (only expressing Gal4) and lysozyme flies (Fig. 4A,B). This disturbed ommatidia phenotype was completely rescued when Aβ1-42 was coexpressed with lysozyme (Fig. 4A,B). AβPP–BACE1 flies showed a heavily disturbed pattern of ommatidia with fused and irregular-shaped ommatidia (Fig. 4A, B), while flies that expressed AβPP demonstrated a regular and symmetric pattern of ommatidia and BACE1 flies exhibited a small disruption of the eye phenotype (Fig. 4A,B). Coexpression of lysozyme with the AβPP–BACE1 flies significantly rescued the disturbed ommatidia phenotype (Fig. 4A,B).

Lysozyme does not change the level of Aβ but binds to Aβ in the Drosophila eye

Having established that lysozyme has a protective effect in the AD transgenic flies, we next investigated if coexpression of lysozyme in these flies could change the level

**Fig. 1.** Lysozyme mRNA expression is increased in brains of transgenic AD mice. (A–C) Lysozyme mRNA expression (LYZ) in the cortex, hippocampus and cerebellum of wild-type (WT) mice and amyloid transgenic mice expressing human AβPP or human PSEN1 or both genes homo- or heterozygously (HOM_AβPP–PSEN1 and HET_AβPP–PSEN1) at 2, 4, 8 and 18 months of age. Significant increases of lysozyme in HOM_AβPP–PSEN1 and HET_AβPP–PSEN1 compared with WT mice are denoted with asterisk (*). Significant differences were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test, *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001. Mean and SD are presented at the different ages, n = 4. (D–F) Lysozyme mRNA expression in the cortex, hippocampus and cerebellum in tau transgenic mice at 2, 4, 8 and 18 months of age. Significant increases of lysozyme in TAU mice compared with WT mice are denoted with asterix (*). Significant differences were determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test. Mean and SD are presented at the different ages, n = 4. (G,H) Correlation analysis of lysozyme mRNA expression and Aβ pathology in the cortex and hippocampus of homozygous or heterozygous AβPP–PSEN1 mice and AβPP mice using the Pearson correlation coefficient. (I) Correlation analysis of lysozyme mRNA expression and tau pathology in cortex and hippocampus of tau transgenic mice using nonlinear regression.
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Lysozyme protein expression is increased in brains of transgenic AD mice. (A) Representative western blot of brain homogenates from 12-month-old WT and AβPP transgenic mice analysed for lysozyme, Aβ and β-actin. (B) Densitometric quantification of the western blot. Significant differences were determined by Student’s t-test. Error bars represent mean ± SD, n = 4. (C) Immunohistochemistry of brain tissue prepared from 15-month-old transgenic AβPP<sub>Arctic</sub> mice, stained with 6E10 antibody (brown) and anti-lysozyme (green). The white box shows one single amyloid plaque in magnification. Lysozyme is present inside the plaque (white arrow). Green circular structures (black arrow) are cells stained with intracellular lysozyme.

of soluble and insoluble Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>. The level of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> in the heads of the flies was measured by the Meso Scale Discovery technique. There was a significant increase in both soluble and insoluble levels of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> in flies that expressed Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> or AβPP and BACE1 compared to their controls (only expressing Gal4 or AβPP respectively) (Fig. 4D,E). The proportion of insoluble and soluble Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> were compared in the flies and the level of insoluble Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> was eight times higher than soluble Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> in the Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> flies and the level of insoluble Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> was 40 times higher than soluble Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> in the AβPP–BACE1-expressing flies. Coexpression of lysozyme in the flies that expressed Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> or AβPP–BACE1 did not change the soluble or insoluble level of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> in the two fly models (Fig. 4D,E). In order to investigate a potential interaction between Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> and lysozyme, an immunoprecipitation assay was performed. Extract from AβPP–BACE1 flies with and without coexpression of lysozyme was run on a column with lysozyme antibodies bound to the resin. The urea-eluted samples were analysed for Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> using the Meso Scale Discovery technique. The AβPP–BACE1–lysozyme extract had a significantly higher Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> signal compared to the Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> signal in the AβPP–BACE1 extract (Fig. 4C), which indicates an interaction between Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> and lysozyme in the AβPP–BACE1–lysozyme flies. Taken together, these results demonstrate that the protective effect of lysozyme overexpression in the AD transgenic flies was not due to changed levels of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>, but might instead depend on interactions of Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> and lysozyme in the AD fly eye.

Lysozyme rescues Aβ<sub>Arctic</sub> flies without changing the level of Aβ<sub>Arctic</sub>

To further investigate the beneficial effects of lysozyme on Aβ-induced toxicity, a longevity assay was performed using a Drosophila model expressing Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> with the Arctic mutation (Aβ<sub>Arctic</sub>) with or without coexpressing human lysozyme in the fly CNS using the elav–Gal4 driver. As seen in Fig. 5A, there was a dose-dependent rescue mediated by lysozyme where the median survival for Aβ<sub>Arctic</sub> flies was prolonged from 10 to 11 days for Aβ<sub>Arctic</sub> flies carrying one copy of lysozyme and from 10 to 12 days for Aβ<sub>Arctic</sub> flies carrying two copies of lysozyme. Flies expressing both lysozyme lines reduced the median survival for the control Gal4 expressing flies from 44 days to 41 and 39 days, line A and line B respectively. Next, the velocity and angle of movement were examined using the iFly technique [18]. To study the effect of lysozyme on toxicity induced by the Aβ<sub>Arctic</sub> peptide in adult flies, crosses were set up using the lower temperature 18 °C, which has been demonstrated to significantly lower protein expression [19]. The flies were then moved to 29 °C after eclosion to induce expression of Aβ<sub>Arctic</sub> as well as lysozyme. The velocity of the flies will decrease as they age or get sick. Shortly before the flies die, they become immobile and their velocity cannot be recorded; thus, a cut-off value of 4 mm·s<sup>-1</sup> was set as
an indication of dysfunctional locomotor behaviour [10]. The AB
Arc flies reached below this value after 9 days and after 10 days, no movement could be
recorded. For ABArc flies coexpressing one or two copies of lysozyme, the cut-off value was reached after 12 and 15 days respectively, revealing a dose-depen-
dent rescue effect of lysozyme on ABArc toxicity where the dysfunctional locomotor behaviour of the ABArc flies was postponed 3 and 6 days (Fig. 5B). For con-
trol flies only expressing Gal4 and for flies expressing one or two copies of lysozyme, the cut-off value was reached after 33, 27 and 28 days, respectively, reveal-
ing a detrimental effect of lysozyme on the locomotor performance of the Gal4-expressing flies (Fig. 5B). The ABArc flies coexpressing one or two copies of lysozyme had a significantly higher velocity compared with the ABArc flies at day 9 (Fig. 5C).

The angle of movement increases as the flies age or get sick and a cut-off value of 80° was set in order to indicate dysfunction of the flies' locomotor behaviour [10]. In the angle of movement analysis, ABArc flies reached above 80° after 6 days, while ABArc flies with one or two copies of lysozyme both reached above this value after 10 days (Fig. 5D). Control Gal 4 flies and flies coexpressing one or two copies of lysozyme did not display this dysfunction, all genotypes reached above the cut-off value after 18 days. The ABArc flies coexpressing one or two copies of lysozyme had a sig-
nificantly lower angle of movement compared with the ABArc flies at day 6 (Fig. 5E).

Fig. 3. Lysozyme is increased in brains from AD patients. (A) Lysozyme mRNA expression (LYZ; log-values of the mean intensities, normalized to the average intensities of all samples) in the visual cortex, prefrontal cortex and cerebellum of healthy controls (C) (n = 173) and AD patients (n = 376). Significant differences were determined by Student’s t-test; **P ≤ 0.001. Bars represent mean ± SEM. (B) Representative western blot of human temporal cortex tissue from healthy controls (Braak 0-IV, n = 24) and AD patients (Braak V-VI, n = 10). Shown are densitometric quantifications of the western blots, normalized to GAPDH levels and to a standard sample loaded on each gel. Significant differences were determined by Student’s t-test. *P ≤ 0.05. Bars represent the mean ± SD. (C) Lysozyme concentrations in CSF from controls (n = 25) and biochemical and clinical diagnosed AD patients (n = 25) measured using the Meso Scale Discovery technique. Significant differences was determined by Student’s t-test, *P ≤ 0.05. Bars represent the mean ± SD.
In order to investigate whether coexpression of one or two copies of lysozyme in the AβArc flies had any effect on the levels of soluble and insoluble AβArc, the level of AβArc was measured in the head of the flies using the Meso Scale Discovery technique after ageing the flies for 10 days at 29 °C after eclosion. The
soluble levels of \( \text{A}\beta_{\text{Arc}} \) were low and no significant differences were detected between the different fly variants (Fig. 5F). When analysing the insoluble levels of \( \text{A}\beta_{\text{Arc}} \), significant levels were detected in all \( \text{A}\beta_{\text{Arc}} \) expressing flies compared to control Gal4 flies coexpressing two copies of lysozyme (Fig. 5F). No significant differences were detected between \( \text{A}\beta_{\text{Arc}} \) flies and flies coexpressing the \( \text{A}\beta_{\text{Arc}} \) peptide with one or two copies of lysozyme (Fig. 5F). Taken together, these data show that lysozyme had a dose-dependent rescue effect on the \( \text{A}\beta_{\text{Arc}} \) toxicity when lysozyme expression was directed to the CNS, lysozyme increased the median survival and decreased dysfunctional locomotor behaviour observed for the \( \text{A}\beta_{\text{Arc}} \) flies, without changing the levels of soluble or insoluble \( \text{A}\beta_{\text{Arc}} \).

Discussion

Previously, it was demonstrated that lysozyme is associated with \( \text{A}\beta \)-plaques in human brain tissue [10]. This study demonstrates that both mRNA and protein levels of lysozyme were upregulated in AD mouse models and in human AD cases and that lysozyme associated with \( \text{A}\beta \)-plaques in mice brain tissue. In the AD transgenic mouse models, hippocampus and cortex, but not cerebellum, displayed increased mRNA expression of lysozyme compared to WT mice. A similar lysozyme mRNA profile was detected in humans, with increased lysozyme expression in visual cortex and prefrontal cortex in AD patients, and again, no apparent change in cerebellum. The spread of \( \text{A}\beta \) pathology starts in the areas of cortex and hippocampus and does not affect the cerebellum until late in the disease in both AD mouse models and AD patients [20–22]. In this study, a similar pattern of lysozyme expression was revealed both in the AD mouse models and AD patients. The expression of lysozyme in the cortex and hippocampus of AD transgenic mice correlated well with \( \text{A}\beta \) pathology and the \( \text{A}\beta \) pathology had a spatial similarity with the increase of lysozyme expression. It was recently shown that exposure of neuroglia cells to oligomeric \( \text{A}\beta \) causes an intracellular upregulation of lysozyme as well as an increased secretion of lysozyme [10]. Increased lysozyme protein levels were found in the cortex of PS1 and \( \text{A}\beta \text{PP}_{\text{Swe}} \) transgenic mice and in the temporal cortex of human AD patients. An increased level of lysozyme was also detected in CSF from AD patients. This was previously seen in two cohorts of CSF of biochemical diagnosed AD patients [10]. The CSF cohort used in this study was from both clinical and biochemical diagnosed AD patients. It is likely that the increased mRNA expression in affected brain regions gave rise to increased protein expression and was responsible for the increased protein level of lysozyme seen in CSF from AD patients. Thus, these \textit{in vivo} and cellular results suggest that \( \text{A}\beta \) triggers an increase of lysozyme expression and secretion. The expression of lysozyme in tau transgenic mice did not correlate with the age-related increase in tangle load, as demonstrated by the sigmoidal relationship between levels of \( \text{A}\beta \) and lysozyme. Tau pathology was increased at 8 months in the tau transgenic mice, both in cortex and hippocampus, but the increase in lysozyme did not appear until after 18 months. These results agree with the previous findings in the AD transgenic mouse models that amyloid plaque pathology correlates with overexpression of several immune genes, whereas this correlation was not found for the tau transgenic mouse model [15].

It was previously shown that lysozyme is able to rescue a \textit{Drosophila} AD model where \( \text{A}\beta_{1-42} \) and lysozyme were expressed and secreted, using a secretion tag, in the CNS of the flies [10]. In this study, the \( \text{A}\beta_{1-42} \) production was targeted to the retina of the flies by use of the \( \text{gmr} \)-Gal4 driver. The benefit of this driver is that the eye is a nonessential organ for fly survival, and products from transgenes that interfere with ommatidia development can easily be visualized in the fly eye as a rough eye phenotype. Moreover, in this study, we further investigated the effect of lysozyme on \( \text{A}\beta \) toxicity by introducing a new AD model where the \( \text{A}\beta \) peptide was generated by the processing of \( \text{A}\beta \text{PP} \) with \( \beta \)-secretase followed by \( \gamma \)-secretase. This new AD fly model is more
Lysozyme prevents Aβ-toxicity

L. Sandin et al.
physiological relevant and produces Aβ of various lengths [17]. Flies that expressed Aβ1-42 and flies that coexpressed AβPP and BACE1 had defects in the ommatidia structure. This phenotype was completely abolished when lysozyme was coexpressed, resulting in fly eyes with well-ordered ommatidia similar to control flies. Thus, in both AD models, the eye phenotype was rescued by coexpression of lysozyme which demonstrates that lysozyme was able to exert its antitoxic effect, both when the Aβ peptide was expressed directly from the transgene and when it was generated by AβPP processing. In addition, the rescue effect of lysozyme on the AβPP–BACE1 flies reveals possible interactions of lysozyme with several Aβ species generated in these flies.

In addition, we report that lysozyme also had a dose-dependent protective effect on the Arctic mutation of Aβ1-42, when expressed in the CNS of the flies. The Arctic mutation of Aβ1-42 leads to an increased propensity of Aβ to form oligomeric species and at a faster rate [23]. One copy of lysozyme increased the median survival of flies expressing AβArc with 1 day, and two copies extended the median survival with 2 days. To achieve a more complete picture of the health of the flies, a locomotor assay was used to study the velocity and the angle of movement of flies expressing the AβArc peptide alone or together with one or two copies of lysozyme. Coexpression of both one and two copies of lysozyme demonstrated beneficial effects on both the velocity and angle of movement of the AβArc flies, which proves that lysozyme has the ability to decrease locomotor disability caused by the AβArc peptide.

The rescue effect of lysozyme on AβArc toxicity in the longevity and locomotor assays cannot be attributed to a general beneficial effect of the fly health by expressing lysozyme in the fly CNS as flies only expressing lysozyme showed a reduction in the median survival time compared to Gal4 control flies (by approximately 4 days). A detrimental effect was also detected in the velocity experiment for lysozyme expressing flies compared to the Gal4 flies, while no general effect of lysozyme was detected in the angle of movement analysis of Gal4 flies. Altogether, these results demonstrate that lysozyme has a protective effect on Aβ-induced toxicity.

One possible explanation for the protective action of lysozyme could be that it increases the degradation of the Aβ peptide in the flies. This was shown in a study where coexpression of lysozyme in Aβ1-42 transgenic Drosophila increased the survival of the flies and reduced the levels of Aβ1-42 [10]. However, in this study, there was no difference in the amount of either soluble or insoluble Aβ1-42 between flies that expressed Aβ1-42 or Aβ1-42 coexpressed with lysozyme, or AβPP–BACE1 flies and flies with AβPP-BACE1 and lysozyme. The discrepancies between these studies are that in the previous study, Aβ1-42 and lysozyme were expressed in the CNS but in this study, the expression was targeted to the eyes of the flies. The degradative capacity of Aβ1-42 in the brain and eye can be different and lysozyme can interact with Aβ1-42 in another fashion in the brain compared with the eye, which might explain the discrepancies between the results.

We have previously shown in vitro that lysozyme binds to monomeric Aβ1-42 and prevents the formation of toxic Aβ1-42 species by reducing the aggregation propensity of Aβ1-42 [10]. Other studies have shown that lysozyme also inhibits the aggregation of Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 by binding during the early stages of fibrillation, thereby reducing toxic species and cytotoxicity [11,12,24]. We have also reported that lysozyme colocalizes with Aβ plaques in human AD brain and with Aβ aggregates in Aβ-overexpressing Drosophila flies [10]. In this study, we show that lysozyme stains plaques in AβPP mice which indicate that fibrillar Aβ and lysozyme are in close association. To investigate if lysozyme was able to bind Aβ in the gntr-Gal4-derived flies, immunoprecipitation capturing lysozyme was performed on fly head homogenates followed by Meso Scale Discovery, where Aβ was detected with the 6E10 antibody. Indeed, there was a significant increase of Aβ1-42 in the homogenates from AβPP–BACE1–lysozyme flies compared with the AβPP–BACE1 flies. This demonstrates that also in this Drosophila model lysozyme was able to interact...
with Aβ and thus likely rescues the degeneration of the ommatidia in the fly eye by preventing the formation of cytotoxic Aβ species.

When quantifying the soluble and insoluble levels of AβArc in the fly CNS, only insoluble Aβ species were detected and no significant differences could be observed between the insoluble levels in flies, solely expressing AβArc, and in flies where AβArc was coexpressed with one or two copies of lysozyme. Hence, the protective properties of lysozyme in the longevity and locomotor analyses of the AβArc flies must be unrelated to degradation of AβArc. A possible explanation for the antitoxic effect of lysozyme in the AβArc flies could be due to interactions between the insoluble AβArc species and lysozyme reducing the toxicity of these species. The Arctic mutation causes the Aβ1-42 peptide to be more aggregation prone and therefore less prone to be degraded. This might explain why lysozyme overexpression in the CNS of AβArc flies was unable to cause an increased degradation of Aβ, which earlier was suggested for lysozyme overexpression in the CNS of Aβ1-42 flies [10].

In conclusion, we show that the expression of lysozyme was increased in the brains of both transgenic AD mice and humans with AD. Furthermore, we demonstrate favourable effects of lysozyme when expressed in different Drosophila models of AD. In flies that expressed Aβ1-42 or AβPP together with BACE1 in the eyes, the rough eye phenotype indicative of toxicity was completely rescued by coexpression of lysozyme. In Drosophila flies that express the toxic AβArc, lysozyme both increased the fly survival dose dependently and decreased dysfunction in locomotor behaviour. We propose that this is due to binding of lysozyme to toxic species of Aβ which prevent these from exerting their toxic effect. Thus, the upregulated lysozyme expression in AD patients and transgenic AD mice might be a rescue response towards Aβ toxicity. These results highlight the possibility of lysozyme as a potential therapeutic target for AD.

Materials and methods

Gene expression studies

The database (www.mouseac.org) which includes microarray data from amyloid transgenic mice carrying the AβPPsw mutation and/or PSEN1 (PSEN1: M146V) either heterozygous or homozygous, and tau transgenic mice (TAU: P301L) [15], was used to study lysozyme mRNA expression and pathology during ageing (GEO accession number GSE64398). In addition, a microarray data set containing information about human mRNA expression was used to study the lysozyme expression in 101 nondemented healthy controls and 129 AD patients (GEO accession number GSE44772) [16].

Protein extraction from brain tissue

Human brain tissue were obtained from the Sydney Brain Bank at Neuroscience Research Australia and the New South Wales Tissue Resource Centre at the University of Sydney and characterized according to established neuropathological criteria [25]. Informed consent for the collection of material was obtained prior to death and tissue use was approved by the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee. Brain biopsies from 34 cases (Braak stages 0-VI) from temporal cortex were frozen with a post-mortem delay of maximum 64 h. The tissues were homogenized in 4 μL·mg⁻¹ sample of cold TBS [50 mM TRIS, 125 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, protease inhibitor (Roche, Basel, Switzerland)] using a motorized pestle prior to centrifugation at 20,000 g at 4 °C for 1.5 h.

The APP-PS1 AD mouse model expressing chimeric mouse/human APP695swe/Swedish mutations (K595N/M596L) and mutant human PS1 (PS1/ΔE9) was obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA; Strain name, B6.Cg-Tg AβPPswePSEN1ΔE9) 85Dbo/J; Stock #005864). Animal ethics approval was from the University of Wollongong Animal Ethics Committee (AE11/03). The mice were aged for 12 months without any intervention; food and water were available ad libitum. In brief, 12-month-old APP-PS1 and age-matched wild-type mice were euthanized by CO2 asphyxiation to ensure that the mice did not suffer unnecessarily, and transcardially perfused with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The right hemisphere from the APP-PS1 and age-matched wild-type mice were snap frozen and stored at −80 °C. Cortex was dissected and homogenized in 10 volumes of 140 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 25 mM Tris (pH 7.4), containing 1% Nonidet P-40 and Roche complete protease inhibitors using a Precellys 24 homogenizer (2 × 30 s, 6000 g). The supernatants were saved and the protein concentration was determined using a DC protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hemstead, UK).

CSF cohort

Deidentified and archived CSF samples, that were both biochemically and clinically diagnosed with AD (n = 25), were age- and gender-matched with controls with normal levels of the CSF AD biomarkers P-tau181P, T-tau and Aβ1-42 (n = 25). The samples were provided by the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory, Sahlgrenska University Hospital/Mölndal, Sweden. The study was approved by the Ethical committee at the University of Gothenburg. For more information regarding CSF handling and P-tau181P, T-tau and Aβ1-42 analysis, see previously described methods [26].
Western blotting

Protein separation was performed as described previously [26]. Forty microgram of total protein was mixed with loading buffer and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane using an iBlot Dry Blotting System (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) and incubated with polyclonal rabbit anti-human lysozyme antibody (1 : 2000) (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) overnight in 4 °C, followed by horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-linked goat anti-rabbit antibody (Dako) for 1 h at room temperature. Mouse anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; 1 : 20 000; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA) was used as loading control. To correct for differing protein levels between blots, all samples were normalized to a reference sample, which was loaded onto each gel.

Immunohistochemistry

C57Bl/6 transgenic AβPPSwc mice (kindly provided by Professor Lars Nilsson at Oslo University) were housed in a pathogen-free environment on a 12-h light/dark cycle. The mice were aged for 15 months without any intervention; food and water were available ad libitum. The experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the Animal Care and Use Ethical Committee at the Linköping University (ethical registration number 84-12) which follow the directives 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. The mice were euthanized by CO2 asphyxiation to ensure that the mice did not suffer unnecessarily, and transcardially perfused with saline solution (NaCl, 0.9%) before dissection of the brain. The brain tissue were stored in formalin, dehydrated in sucrose, sectioned and frozen. Immunohistochemistry was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Biocare Medical, Concord, CA, USA). Aβ plaques were immunostained using the 6E10 antibody (1 : 100; Signet Laboratories/Covance, Dedham, MA, USA), then colour was developed using diaminobenzidine (DAB; Biocare Medical) and lysozyme antibody (1 : 100; Dako) and colour was developed with Vina GreenTM Chromogen Kit (Biocare Medical). To stop cross-reaction, the brain slices were incubated with denaturing solution (Biocare Medical) for 5 min before double staining with lysozyme antibody.

Drosophila stocks

To direct tissue-specific protein expression in UAS transgenic Drosophila melanogaster, the Gal4/UAS system was used [27]. In the SEM analysis, the tissue-specific gmr-Gal4 driver strain was used to direct protein expression to the photoreceptors present in the retina of the flies [28]. Control w1118 flies (only expressing Gal4), AβPP1-42 (AβPP) and BACE1-expressing flies were purchased from Bloomington stock centre. Signal-peptide-Aβ1-42 flies and signal-peptide-AβArc (E22G) were kindly provided by Professor Damian Crowther [29]. Lysozyme-expressing flies were constructed as described previously [30]. To generate flies coexpressing Aβ1-42 or AβPP–BACE1 together with lysozyme or flies coexpressing AβArc with either one or two copies of WT lysozyme, cross-breeds were set up in multiple steps with 12:12 h light/dark cycles and 60% humidity. In the longevity and locomotor analyses, the elav-Gal4 driver line was used to direct protein expression to the fly CNS. To generate Xelav/Y: AβArc/Ife;TM6b/+; a cross was set up using Xelav/Y; CyO/Ife; TM6b/mkrs and X/Y; AβArc/AβArc;+. In order to achieve coexpression of AβArc with one or two copies of lysozyme, males generated in the cross previously described were crossed with X/Y; LySineb/LySineb;+ or X/ X; LySineb/LySineb; LySineA/TM6b. All gene constructs of lysozyme and AβArc where cloned into the Gal4-responsive pUAST expression vector to generate UAS-lysozyme or UAS-arctic transgene. These constructs were injected into the same background W1118 flies [29,30].

SEM of eye phenotype

Cross-breeds were set up at 25 °C. At the day of eclosion, flies were euthanized with ether before they were mounted onto 12-mm aluminium specimen stubs with an adhesive tape and air-dried for 24 h. The samples were sputter coated with 15 nm of platinum and stored in a vacuum desiccator prior to analysis using SEM (JEOL JSM-6320F). The eyes were scanned at 150× magnification at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. Images were recorded using the SemA for 5.21 digitizer system (Insinooritoimisto Rimppi Oy). In a blinded set-up, the images were printed and assigned a square of approximately 100 ommatidia in the centre of the eye. All abnormal ommatidia were quantified within this square and further related to all ommatidia.

Sample preparation for Aβ1-42 quantification

Cross-breeds were set up at 25 °C. Flies were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen at the day of eclosion. Approximately, 20 heads per genotype were homogenized in 120-μL soluble extraction buffer [50 mm Heps, 5 mm EDTA, protease inhibitor (Complete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets, Roche Diagnostic)] for the soluble fraction of Aβ1-42. After homogenization, the samples were centrifuged for 5 min, 16 000 g. The supernatant was then collected in an Eppendorf tube. The pellet was rehomogenized in 25-μL insoluble extraction buffer (50 mm Heps, 5 mm EDTA, 5 mm guanidinium chloride, protease inhibitor) for the insoluble fraction of Aβ1-42. After homogenization, the samples were incubated at RT for 10 min, followed by sonication in a water bath for 4 min. The samples were then centrifuged for 10 min, the supernatant of the insoluble fraction was collected and diluted 1 : 10 in Diluent 35 (R50AE-2, Meso
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Scale Discovery, Rockville, ML, USA). The samples were stored at −80 °C. To account for differences in the protein extraction step, the total amount of protein extracted was quantified using the Bio-Rad DC Protein Assay Kit II (500–0112, Bio-Rad).

Quantification of Aβ_{1-42} by Meso Scale Discovery analysis

The wells in a multi-spot 96-well V-PLEX human Aβ_{1-42} (K151LBE-1, Meso Scale Discovery) were blocked using 150-μL Diluent 35 for 1 h at RT with gentle agitation. After blocking, 50 μL of each prepared protein sample was added to the wells in duplicates (incubated 1 h, RT, gentle agitation). After sample incubation, the wells were washed in 3 × 150 μL PBS-T before adding 25 μL of the detection antibody (50× Sulfo tag 6E10, Meso Scale Discovery) (1 h, RT, gentle agitation). After sample incubation, the wells were washed in 3 × 150 μL PBS-T before adding 150 μL 2× read buffer (10 min incubation, RT, no agitation). The plate was analysed using a SECTOR Imager 2400 instrument (Meso Scale Discovery).

Sample preparation and quantification of Aβ_{Arc} by Meso Scale Discovery

Fly crosses were set up at 18 °C and at the day of eclosion moved to 29 °C where they were aged for 10 days. After ageing, 10 fly heads of each genotype were placed in Eppendorf tubes and stored at −80 °C until use. The Meso Scale Discovery analysis was performed as previously described by Caesar et al. [31]. To account for any differences in the protein extraction step, the total amount of protein extracted for each sample was quantified using the Bio-Rad DC Protein Assay Kit II (500–0112, Bio-Rad).

Longevity assay

Fly crosses using the elav-Gal4 driver were set up at 29 °C and maintained at 29 °C (60% humidity, 12:12 h dark:light cycle) after eclosion. A set of 100 female offspring for each genotype was collected at the day of eclosion. The flies were then divided into groups of approximately 10 flies and placed in vials containing agar food (20 g agar, 20 g sugar-L⁻¹ dH₂O) and yeast paste (dry baker’s yeast mixed with water). Every 2–3 day, the flies were transferred to vials containing fresh food and the number of living flies was counted. This was repeated until all flies had died. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were generated for lifespan assessment.

Locomotor assay

Fly crosses were set up at 18 °C and at the day of eclosion, moved to 29 °C. Sets of 30 female flies of each genotype were collected and divided into groups of 10 and placed in vials containing fly food. To analyse the flies’ locomotor behaviour, the flies were filmed during 90 s and tapped to the bottom of the vial every 30 s to reactivate locomotor behaviour. This was carried out every day until all Aβ_{Arc}-expressing flies had stopped to move; the Gal4- and lysozyme-expressing flies were analysed every other/third day until no locomotor behaviour could be detected for these fly variants. The videos were processed and analysed using the IFLY software [18], which calculated the velocities and angles of movement generated by the flies in each recorded video clip. The locomotor measurements were carried out as described previously [17].

Immunoprecipitation

Lysozyme-specific capture resin was prepared as described earlier [30] with CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B resin (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and 0.5 mg·mL⁻¹ polyclonal rabbit anti-human lysozyme (Dako; A0099). One hundred microlitre of the anti-lysozyme conjugated medium slurry was placed in a Micro Bio-Spin Chromatography Column (Bio-Rad) and the column was equilibrated with SuperBlock TBS Blocking Buffer (Thermo Scientific Pierce, Rockville, IL, USA) supplemented with a protease inhibitor (TBS-PI). Heads from AβPP flies, AβPP–BACE flies and AβPP–BACE flies with lysozyme were homogenized with a pestle in 200 μL TBS-PI and centrifuged for 1 min at 16 000 g. One hundred flies of each genotype were used. The supernatant was centrifuged a second time before incubation in the column for 5 min and centrifuged 160 g for 1 min. The resin was then washed four times with TBS-PI before lysozyme was eluted with 6 m urea. The concentration of Aβ_{1-42} was measured using the Meso Scale Discovery technique, as described above.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses and graphs were performed and drawn using GRAPHPAD PRISM software v. 7 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test was used to test for significant differences between multiple groups of mice. Correlation analysis was performed using the Pearson correlation coefficient. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test was used for quantification of abnormal ommatidia and to test for differences in protein levels analysed with the Meso Scale Discovery technique. Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney U test was used to test for significant differences between two groups. For the lifespan assay, Kaplan–Meier survival curves were generated and log-rank statistical analysis (Mantel–Cox) was performed. Statistical significance was defined for P-values of < 0.05 (*), < 0.01 (**) and < 0.001 (***)
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