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ABSTRACT: Deep eutectic solvents (DESs) based on terpenes are identified and characterized. 507 combinations of solid components are tested, which results in the identification of 17 new hydrophobic DESs. Four criteria are introduced to assess the sustainability of these hydrophobic DESs from a chemical engineering point of view. These criteria include a viscosity smaller than 100 mPa s, a density difference between DES and water of at least 50 kg m⁻³ upon mixing of the DES and water, low transfer of the DES to the water phase and minor to no pH change. The results show that five new hydrophobic DESs based on natural components satisfy these criteria; thymol and coumarin (2:1), thymol and menthol (1:1), thymol and coumarin (1:1), thymol and menthol (1:2) and 1-tetradecanol and menthol (1:2), and thus are promising DESs. These new DESs can be considered as natural deep eutectic solvents, which have the potential to be environmentally friendly. A selected group of the hydrophobic DESs were used for the extraction of riboflavin from water. They all show higher removal of riboflavin in comparison to decanoic acid: tetraoctylammonium bromide (2:1). The highest extraction efficiency of riboflavin from water, 81.1%, was achieved with the hydrophobic DES DecA:Lid (2:1).
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INTRODUCTION

In the near future, conventional solvents should be replaced by designer solvents to obey the 12 principles of Green Chemistry, introduced by Anastas and Warner. In 2003 a class of designer solvents, called deep eutectic solvents (DESs), were reported that could obey these principles of Green Chemistry. The first DESs reported in the literature were composed of combinations of amides and choline chloride. DESs consist of two or more components that liquify upon contact, which most likely is caused by entropy of mixing, hydrogen bonding and van der Waals interactions. These physical interactions are supposed to induce a dramatic decrease in the melting temperature of the mixture, as opposed to the melting temperature of the pure components, by stabilizing the liquid configuration, inducing a liquid phase at room temperature.

DES research initially focused on hydrophilic DESs. In 2015 hydrophobic DESs were reported in the literature for the first time. These were tested for the extraction of volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and biomolecules, such as caffeine and vanillin, from an aquatic environment. Although the field of hydrophobic DESs is new, already quite some papers about their use were published. These include the removal of metal ions, furfural and hydroxymethylfurfural by the use of membrane technology, and pesticides from H₂O. Furthermore, hydrophobic DESs showed their potential for the capture of gases (CO₂), and their use for microextractions was investigated. Moreover, the extraction of components from leaves using hydrophobic DESs was studied.

The hydrophobic DESs currently presented in the literature are promising, especially application-wise, but several improvements are needed. The first improvement is the use of more natural components. In our first investigation on hydrophobic DESs quaternary ammonium salts were used, that from an environmental point of view are not the best. It is the idea to overcome this by the use of natural components, terpenes. However, in the future also more detailed investigations on their sustainability and toxicity should be addressed with specific methods as stated in the literature, even as these
DESs based on natural components are generally accepted as environmentally friendly.21,22

Another improvement that we would like to introduce is testing the sustainability of these solvents from a chemical engineering point of view. If a hydrophobic solvent is too viscous or the density difference with water is too small phase separation will be difficult, which results in high energy demands. For ease of processability, the viscosity should be as low as possible, while the difference of the density between the DES and water should be as large as possible because a density difference enhances the macroscopic phase separation process to a large degree. The values were set to a viscosity smaller than 100 mPa·s and a density difference between DES and water of at least 50 kg·m⁻³ upon mixing of the DES and water. Furthermore, for extractions from the water phase that we aim at are a low cross contamination of the DES and water phases when used for extraction and minor to no change in the pH. These criteria were determined via measuring the pH, the total organic carbon (TOC) in the water phase and performing NMR analysis on the water phase. Next to the main criteria discussed before mixing, water contents are measured and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) of the DES is performed to investigate whether the components of the DES did not react chemically.

Here, an investigation on the search for and characterization of new hydrophobic DESs based on natural components is presented. A well classified class of natural compounds, terpenes, were selected for this research to investigate their ability to form eutectic liquid mixtures. Recently, terpenes showed great promise for the formation of eutectics.27 The combination of these components can be considered as natural deep eutectic solvents (NADES), which are generally accepted as environmentally friendly.21,22 despite further investigation into their toxicity and ecotoxicity should be performed. The following components were used as DES constituents in this work: decanoic acid (DecA), dodecanoic acid (DodE), menthol (Men), thymol (Thy), 1-tetradecanol (1-tdc), 1,2-decanediol (1,2-dcd), 1,10-decanediol (1,10-dcd), cholesterol (Chol), trans-1,2-cyclohexanediol (1,2-chd), 1-napthol (1-Nap), atropine (Atr), tyramine (Tyr), tryptamine (tryp), lidocaine (Lid), cyclohexanecarboxyaldehyde (Chcd), caffeine (Caf) and coumarin (Cou). Some components were used as hydrogen bond donors (HBDs), while others were used as hydrogen bond acceptors (HBAs). A few of these components can both donate and accept hydrogen bonds. In the literature some of the combinations with lidocaine were previously presented in the literature as eutectic mixtures.23 More recently a debate has started on the definition of DESs, specifically on the deepness in melting point depression, and models were developed for predicting their phase diagram.26–32 Because there are still debates on the definition of DESs in the literature, for now we consider all the presented combinations as DESs.

To investigate the application potential of these hydrophobic DESs their capability to extract compounds from the water phase is studied. Riboflavin (vitamin B2) was chosen because it is an essential vitamin and it is not easy to extract from aqueous solutions. The results of the novel hydrophobic DESs presented in this study are compared to the hydrophobic DES composed of decanoic acid and tetracyclammonium bromide in a 2:1 molar ratio, which is one of the first hydrophobic DESs presented in the literature.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The tested combinations for this search are presented in Table S1, where the molecules expected to behave as hydrogen bond donors (HBDs) are depicted on the left side (first column) and the hydrogen bond acceptors (HBAs) on top (first row). Ratios of 2:1, 1:1 and 1:2 between the HBD and HBA were chosen and all ratios were tested on a 2 g scale. Components that formed no DESs are excluded. Of the 507 initial experiments, 29 mixtures liquefied upon mixing and leaving the samples at room temperature for 24 h, which at that point were assumed to be hydrophobic DESs (green cells in Table S1).

From these expected hydrophobic DESs a batch of 50 g was produced, of which some of them showed some minor to

| DES            | Water content [ppm] | ρ [kg·m⁻³] | η [mPa·s] |
|----------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| DecA:Lid (2:1) | 253.8 ± 3.6         | 961.30 ± 0.05 | 360.60 ± 0.10 |
| DecA:Attr (2:1) | 596.3 ± 14.2       | 1026.50 ± 0.00 | 5985.0 ± 7.00 |
| DecA:Men (1:1) | 223.3 ± 7.1        | 899.77 ± 0.030 | 20.03 ± 0.04 |
| DecA:Men (1:2) | 278.6 ± 2.3        | 899.51 ± 0.010 | 27.67 ± 0.01 |
| DodDE:Lid (2:1) | 151.7 ± 2.1        | 949.50 ± 0.005 | 370.55 ± 0.15 |
| DodDE:Attr (2:1) | 478.3 ± 7.4       | 1008.75 ± 0.005 | 5599.5 ± 116.50 |
| Men:Lid (2:1) | 265.7 ± 2.6        | 939.18 ± 0.005 | 68.05 ± 0.08 |
| Thy:Lid (2:1)  | 255.1 ± 3.2        | 989.08 ± 0.005 | 122.05 ± 0.05 |
| Thy:Cou (2:1) | 233.0 ± 0.9        | 1050.47 ± 0.005 | 31.35 ± 0.01 |
| Thy:Men (1:1) | 306.8 ± 4.8        | 936.56 ± 0.005 | 53.14 ± 0.00 |
| Thy:Lid (1:1) | 296.0 ± 2.5        | 993.12 ± 0.005 | 177.15 ± 0.15 |
| Thy:Cou (1:1) | 217.3 ± 6.3        | 1091.80 ± 0.005 | 29.16 ± 0.03 |
| Thy:Men (1:2) | 313.6 ± 4.4        | 923.84 ± 0.005 | 67.85 ± 0.03 |
| 1-tdc:Men (1:2) | 257.9 ± 2.9       | 872.06 ± 0.005 | 43.86 ± 0.01 |
| 1,2-dcd:Thy (1:2) | 350.9 ± 5.5       | 952.33 ± 0.005 | 64.25 ± 0.06 |
| 1-Nap:Men (1:2) | 200.0 ± 8.2       | 971.10 ± 0.005 | 120.90 ± 0.20 |
| Atr:Thy (1:2) | 1105.6 ± 13.2     | 1062.29 ± 0.005 | 86800 ±0 |
major crystal formation on the bottom of the glass after storage for some time, up to 30 days (orange cells in Table S1). These were excluded from the screening, which resulted in a final amount of 17 hydrophobic DESs that were further investigated. These are DecA:Lid (2:1), DecA:Attr (2:1), DecA:Men (1:1), DecA:Men (1:2), Dode:Li:Li (2:1), Dode:Li:Li (2:1), Men:Lid (2:1), Thy:Lid (2:1), Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (2:1), 1-tdec:Men (1:2), 1,2-dcd:Thy (1:2), 1-Nap:Men (1:2), Attr:Thy (1:2).

**Densities and Viscosities.** Of the 17 hydrophobic DESs selected, the water contents, densities and viscosities were measured after preparation, which are presented in Table 1.

The water contents of the DESs after preparation vary between approximately 200 to 1100 ppm, which are all low amounts of water, especially compared to the hydrophobic DESs based on quaternary ammonium salts described. These had water contents between 920 to 8140 ppm. Presumably, these low amounts of water in the hydrophobic DESs will only have small effects on the densities and viscosities. Of the 17 hydrophobic DESs tested, the densities of Dec:Attr (2:1), Dode:Li:Li (2:1), Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), and Attr:Thy (2:1) are higher than that of water. The other 12 hydrophobic DESs have densities lower than water.

As mentioned before, a liquid viscosity that is high will lead to larger energy costs upon use in the industry. Previously, van Osch et al. presented DES viscosities in the range of 173 to 783 mPa·s, while Ribeiro et al. presented viscosities in the range of 10 to 220 mPa·s. The 17 hydrophobic DESs presented here have viscosities ranging from 20 to 86800 mPa·s. Preferably, the viscosity should be as low as possible and comparable to water (1 mPa·s). It is our modest opinion that viscosities up to 100 mPa·s are still acceptable for industrial applications. From Table 1 it follows that the following DESs satisfy this criterion: DecA:Men (1:1), DecA:Men (1:2), Men:Lid (2:1), Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Men (1:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (1:2), 1-tdec:Men (1:2) and 1,2-dcd:Thy (1:2).

**TGA.** It is useful to quantify the degradation of these hydrophobic DESs. Hence, thermograms were measured to determine the degradation temperatures of the hydrophobic DESs. They represent the weight loss of a DES as a function of temperature. Thermograms selected with the criterion of a DES viscosity lower than 100 mPa·s are presented in Figure 1 and Figure 2. All spectra are presented in ESI 3.

The thermograms of the selected hydrophobic DESs all show a single-step decay of weight loss, except for Men:Lid (2:1). For this DES also a second plateau is observed. Most likely this second plateau is caused by significantly different degradation temperatures of the two components that form the DES. Moreover, it is possible that the two components only have minor interactions between them, causing two different degradation temperatures. From Figure 1 it can be seen that the difference between the hydrophobic DESs DecA:Men (1:2) and DecA:Men (1:1) is large. Despite the fact that the same components are used for these two hydrophobic DESs, the thermogram for DecA:Men (1:1) has a much more delayed decay in weight loss than DecA:Men (1:2), which is quite remarkable. It is anticipated that this effect is due to a combination of a higher volatility of Men and a more preferred liquid structure in a DecA + Men mixture at the 1:1 ratio. For the hydrophobic DESs Thy:Men (1:1) and Thy:Men (1:2) and for Thy:Cou (1:1) and Thy:Cou (2:1) the differences in thermograms are only small.

Table 2 gives an overview of the degradation temperatures ($T_{\text{deg}}$) from the thermograms of the hydrophobic DESs. In comparison with previously reported hydrophobic DESs based on decanoic acid and quaternary ammonium salts the $T_{\text{deg}}$ values are lower. It is assumed that these lower $T_{\text{deg}}$ values do not present degradation, but reflect sublimation/evaporation of the components of the DESs. Components such as menthol, thymol, and coumarin have a high odor and it is known that they have the ability to sublime.

Men:Lid (2:1) has a rather low $T_{\text{deg}}$ of 363.6 K, although it should be mentioned that this temperature is based only on the first decay and not on the second one. All hydrophobic DESs based on thymol, menthol, and coumarin have a $T_{\text{deg}}$ lower than 429.6 K. The combination of these 3 components have degradation temperatures between 378.7 and 390.8 K. Thus, it
can be observed that the combinations of the three most volatile components, thymol, menthol and coumarin, give lower \( T_{\text{deg}} \) than one of these components is mixed with a less volatile component such as decanoic acid, dodecanoic acid, lidocaine or atropine, ranging from 443.1 to 477.0 K.

These results suggest that the degradation temperature and volatility highly depend on the components that are chosen. It is an important aspect one should account for when designing a DES for a certain application and holds for both hydrophilic and hydrophobic DESs. For aprotic ionic liquids it is generally known that they all have a moderate to high degradation temperature and a low volatility, less dependent on the types of components and composition.\(^{33,34}\) For DESs, both the volatility as well as the degradation temperature should be properly investigated to quantify the boundary conditions when applying such solvents. Currently, in house methods are devised to obtain more knowledge regarding the volatility of DESs.

**NMR on the DESs.** NMR analysis was performed on the developed hydrophobic DESs. An example of an analyzed \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR is shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 for Thy:Cou in a molar ratio that we prepared as a 2:1 molar ratio. \(^1\)H NMR was used to verify the experimental molar ratio of the DESs and to check whether a reaction occurred between the components. The ratio of the DESs was determined by taking a specific peak of Thy and Cou and divide the integrals of these peaks. The peak of Thy at 3.25 ppm, labeled with number 19, has an integral of value 2.00, while at 6.4 ppm, labeled with number 4 the peak of Cou has an integral value of 1.00. Thus, the molar ratio of the Thy:Cou DES is indeed 2:1. Similar calculations were performed for the components of the other DESs and it is shown that they are all in the expected molar ratio as calculated before preparation of the DES, which is also an indication that no reaction occurred between the components.

\(^{13}\)C NMR was also performed to investigate whether a chemical reaction occurred between the two components of the DES, which would lead to extra peaks in the NMR spectrum. As can be seen in Figure 4 for the DES Thy:Cou (2:1), the peaks attributed to the original components are clearly identified and no further additional peaks were found. Similar analyses performed in all the other DESs showed that the calculations of the experimental molar ratios match the theoretical expectations, a molar ratio of 2:1, 1:1 and 1:2, which confirmed that no reactions took place between the components.

**Density and Viscosity after Mixing with Water.** The developed hydrophobic DESs are interesting solvents for the removal or extraction of components from water, so it is useful to investigate how their properties change after mixing with water. Ideally, a fully separated system, with cross contamination as low as possible, should be achieved with a pure DES phase in contact with a pure water phase, separated by a sharp interface. First, the water contents, densities and viscosities of the DESs after mixing with water are investigated. For the hydrophobic DESs composed of DodE:Lid (2:1) and DodE:Atr (2:1), no proper phase separation could be achieved after mixing the DESs with water, which is probably due to a combination of the density that is too close to that of water, a relatively high viscosity of the DES and a DES that is less hydrophobic. The results for the other hydrophobic DESs are depicted in Table 3. Since there is a finite solubility in water, the water contents of all DESs increase after mixing with water. Typically, DESs have a low to moderate water content after mixing with water, which varies from 1.64 to 5.14 wt %. The DES composed of 1-Nap:Men (1:2) has a rather low water content.
content, of only 0.13 wt %. Two of the developed DESs have a high water content. DecA:Lid (2:1) has a water content of 20.6 wt %, while this is 33.9 wt % for DecA:Atr (2:1). It is hypothesized that this is attributed to the their less hydrophobicity and the fact that they easily form complexes with water.

The densities change slightly due to the uptake of water. The largest changes occur for DecA:Lid (2:1) and 1-Nap:Men (1:2). When these hydrophobic DESs are used in combination with water, complete phase separation is a necessity. In theory, phase separation gets more difficult (slower) when the density of the hydrophobic DES is closer to the density of water. The densities of DecA:Lid (2:1), DecA:Atr (2:1), Thy:Lid (2:1) and Thy:Lid (1:1) are very close to the density of water, which can delay phase separation and enhance emulsification. Preferably, the density between the DES and water is as large as possible, at least 50 kg · m⁻³ and larger, such as for the DESs DecA:Men (1:1), DecA:Men (1:2), Men:Lid (2:1), Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Men (1:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (1:2) and 1-tdc:Men (1:2). Regarding the fact if the density is higher or lower than water can in our opinion no influence on its use. Most likely, a density lower or higher than water can even be used in it benefit.

The addition of water to a hydrophobic DES normally leads to a decrease in viscosity, due to a small amount of water uptake combined with the fact that the viscosity of pure water is smaller. For most of the hydrophobic DESs tested here this applies. A small increase in viscosity is however observed for the DES DecA:Men (1:1). A thorough explanation for this behavior lacks, but one of the possibilities is that water is to some degree emulsified. For most DESs there is only a tiny decrease of the viscosity. Apparently, the increase of water content from ppm levels to approximately 1.64 to 5.14 wt % induces only minor changes. For example, DecA:Lid (2:1) has only a minor change in viscosity, while the increase in water content is large. The large decrease in viscosity for DecA:Atr (2:1) however does correspond to the increase of its water content. Moreover, the Atr:Thy (1:2) DES has a considerable decrease in the viscosity when water is added. To summarize, the DESs that satisfy the viscosity of 100 mPa · s or lower after mixing with water are Deca:Atr (2:1), Deca:Men (1:1), Deca:Men (1:2), Thy:Men (1:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (1:2), 1-tdc:Men (1:2) and 1-Nap:Men (1:2).

NMR after Mixing with Water. NMR analysis is also performed on the hydrophobic DESs after mixing with H₂O. For the DES Dode:Lid (2:1) and DodE:Atr (2:1) no suitable NMR analysis after mixing with water could be achieved due to difficulties with separating the two phases. As an example, for the DES composed of Thy and Cou the integrals in the ¹H NMR, Figure 5, of the peaks at 3.25 and 6.4 ppm give a molar ratio of 2:1 Thy:Cou, showing that the ratio of the DES is still the same. Moreover, all the other integrals are also in the expected ratio. A small peak around 1.8 ppm is present, which is not related to the pure DES components, hence it could be attributed to a small contamination, in this case by water, that is present either in the DES phase or the solvent CDCl₃.

In the ¹³C NMR, Figure 6, no extra peaks were observed, a sign that no reaction occurred between the two components of the DESs. All other NMRs of the DESs after mixing with water were analyzed in the same manner and showed molar ratios as...
expected. Thus, no reactions occurred between the components. The spectra are presented in ESI 5.

**Contamination of the Water Phase by the Hydrophobic DES.** An important aspect that should be investigated is the contamination of the water phase with hydrophobic DES. Ideally, the amount of DES that transfers to the water phase should be as low as possible. This contamination was investigated by measuring the pH, the total organic carbon (TOC) content in the water phase and by performing NMR on the water phase, with a suppression of the water peak.

**pH of the Water Phase.** When applying hydrophobic DESs for extraction purposes it is useful to verify whether the pH of the water phase upon mixing of the two phases is affected, since the change of pH of the water phase can be an indication that DES transferred to the water phase. A pH change can have a negative result on an extraction and if microorganisms are present in the water phase, it can even lead to their destruction. Furthermore, acidification or basification of water can have an undesirable effect on equipment. Thus, as a boundary condition the pH of the water phase after mixing with the hydrophobic DES should be between 6 and 8. It can be expected that if one of the two components is acidic or basic, the water phase will also become more acidic or basic. From Table 4 it can be observed that eight hydrophobic DESs satisfy this criterion, namely DecA:Lid (2:1), DecA:Atr (2:1), Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Men (1:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (1:2), 1-tdc:Men (1:2), Atr:Thy (1:2). Surprisingly, DecA:Lid (2:1) has a neutral pH, while all water phases mixed with a DES composed of decanoic acid are acidic and all water phases mixed with a DES containing lidocaine become basic. Most likely the acidic and basic effect cancel each other. The same also applies for DecA:Atr (2:1), where decanoic acid contains a carboxylic group and atropine contains an amine group. Moreover, it is remarkable that Atr:Thy (1:2) has a pH of 7.53.

**Amount of Hydrophobic DES Transferred to the Water Phase upon Mixing.** One of the techniques used for the determination of the amount of hydrophobic DES present in the water phase is TOC. The TOC content was measured to quantify the amount of dissolved organic molecules in the water phase (Table 4). The TOC value of DecA:Lid (2:1) is considerably higher than the amounts measured previously. This can be explained by the difficult sampling of the water phase after centrifugation. All DESs prepared with atropine led to high TOCs in the coexisting water phase. The water phases in contact with hydrophobic DESs prepared with menthol had TOC values lower than 0.1 wt %, except for Men:Lid (1:2). It is hypothesized that this is caused by a complexation of menthol with lidocaine, leading to a complex that becomes slightly more hydrophilic in comparison with its pure component. Remarkably, also the DESs composed of thymol and coumarin have slightly higher TOC values. Overall, it can be concluded that the amount of organics that transfer to the water phase is rather low in comparison to the previously reported hydrophobic DESs.

The amount of the organic phase that transfers to the water phase should be as low as possible. Previous research on the quaternary ammonium salt based hydrophobic DESs showed that the lowest amount of organics in the water phase was 1.9%, which was caused by the quaternary ammonium salt tetaoctylammonium bromide. Other research performed NMR on the water phase, without suppression of the water peak, and showed that components such as acetic acid and tetrabutylammonium chloride leach to the water phase.

\[ ^{13}C \text{ NMR of the DES Thy:Cou in a 2:1 molar ratio after mixing with H}_2\text{O.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 6.} \]

| Table 4. Amount of Hydrophobic DES Transferred to the Water Phase upon Mixing |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------------------|
| DES                              | pH [-] | TOC value [ppm]  |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------------------|
| DecA:Lid (2:1)                    | 6.96   | 58489            |
| DecA:Atr (2:1)                    | 6.54   | 6475             |
| DecA:Men (1:1)                    | 4.16   | 276              |
| DecA:Men (1:2)                    | 4.29   | 390              |
| Men:Lid (2:1)                     | 10.04  | 2108             |
| Thy:Lid (2:1)                     | 9.15   | 777              |
| Thy:cou (2:1)                     | 7.64   | 1162             |
| Thy-Men (1:1)                     | 7.24   | 583              |
| Thy:lid (1:1)                     | 9.29   | 1175             |
| Thy:cou (1:1)                     | 6.97   | 1596             |
| Thy-Men (1:2)                     | 7.34   | 474              |
| 1-tdc:Men (1:2)                   | 7.14   | 273              |
| 1,2-dcd:Thy (1:2)                 | 4.64   | 898              |
| 1-Nap:Men (1:2)                   | 5.95   | 795              |
| Atr:Thy (1:2)                     | 7.53   | 4917             |
| DecA:N_{max}−Br (2:1)             | 1.631  |                  |

\[ ^{13}C \text{ NMR of the DES Thy:Cou in a 2:1 molar ratio after mixing with H}_2\text{O.} \]

Table 4. Amount of Hydrophobic DES Transferred to the Water Phase upon Mixing.

\[ ^{13}C \text{ NMR of the DES Thy:Cou in a 2:1 molar ratio after mixing with H}_2\text{O.} \]
between 6.6 and 7.2 ppm. This could be caused by impurities present in the original components of the DESs that at these low concentrations may still be visible.

Despite the fact that this method gives no precise ratios of which component transfers to the water phase, it gives a good indication of the different molar ratios of the components in the water phase. The estimated ratios in water are given in Table 4. It is clear that the portioning of the two DES components over the DES-rich and water-rich phases is rather asymmetric and random. Further detailed analyses or interpretation of the current data is not viable, neither within the scope of the current work, but certainly worth exploring in future works. All spectra are presented in ESI 6.

Application: Extraction of Riboflavin from Water. To verify whether the selected hydrophobic DESs are capable of extracting compounds from water, the removal of riboflavin (vitamin B2) from the aqueous solutions is investigated. Riboflavin was chosen because it is one of the most necessary vitamins for humans and challenging to extract from aqueous solutions. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was used to measure the concentration of riboflavin before and after extraction. From the concentrations the extraction efficiencies (EE) can be calculated:

$$EE \, [%] = \frac{C_{\text{ini}} - C_{\text{fin}}}{C_{\text{ini}}} \times 100\%$$

In eq 1, \(C_{\text{ini}}\) is the initial concentration of the vitamin B in \(H_2O\) in wt % and \(C_{\text{fin}}\) is the final concentration of vitamin B in \(H_2O\) in wt % after mixing with the DES. This implies that complete extraction corresponds to \(EE = 100\%\) and no extraction implies \(EE = 0\%. EE\) values between 30 and 70% can be seen a moderate, while >70% can be seen a good EE. The results are presented in Table 5.

The results of the selected hydrophobic DESs as presented in Table 5 were compared with one of the first developed ones, the DES composed of decanoic acid and tetraoctylammonium bromide in a 2:1 ratio. This DES had an EE of 19.0%, while DecA:Men (1:1) extracted the lowest amount of the new hydrophobic DESs with approximately a EE of 20.5%. Thus, all new hydrophobic DESs had a higher extraction efficiency than the one composed of decanoic acid and tetraoctylammonium bromide. The highest extraction efficiency was achieved with the hydrophobic DES DecA:Lid (2:1), a DES which is also very capable of extracting metal ions from water.7 Varying the ratios of the DES had no influence on the distribution coefficients for the DES consisting of thymol and menthol, while difference in extraction efficiency of approximately 23.1% was observed for the DES that was prepared from thymol and camom. In general, all newly developed hydrophobic DESs showed moderate to good distribution coefficients. The precise reasons for this is not clear, but it is hypothesized that different factors play a role in this, e.g., pH change of the water phase due to certain solubilities of the pure components, the hydrophobicity of the DES and interaction between the DES and riboflavin.

Conclusions and Outlook. In this work a series of new, hydrophobic DESs based on natural components were reported. From 507 combinations of two solid components, 17 became a liquid at room temperature, which were further assessed for their sustainability via four criteria. These criteria are based on the use of the hydrophobic DESs as extractants and include a viscosity below 100 mPa-s, a density that should be rather different than the density of the water phase (50 kg m\(^{-3}\)) a limited pH change of the water phase upon mixing with water and a low amount of DES that transfers to the water phase.

More than 10 DESs follow the viscosity criterion below 100 mPa-s. Regarding the density, the criterion was set at a density difference between the DES and water as large as possible (\(\rho \geq 50 \, kg \, m^{-3}\)).

The hydrophobic DESs Deca:Men (1:1), Deca:Men (1:2), Men:Lid (2:1), Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Men (1:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (1:2) and 1-tdc:Men (1:2) satisfy this criterion.

Furthermore, the criterion of a limited pH change (between 6 and 8) of the water phase coexisting with the DES showed that the hydrophobic DESs Deca:Lid (2:1), Deca:Atr (2:1), Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Men (1:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (1:2), 1-tdc:Men (1:2) and Atr:Thy (1:2) have a negligible pH change. The amount of organics that transfers to the water phase was comparable for all developed hydrophobic DESs, except for Deca:Lid (2:1), Deca:Atr (2:1) and Atr:Thy (1:2), which had considerably higher TOC values.

In general, the newly developed DESs Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Men (1:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (1:2) and 1-tdc:Men (1:2) satisfied all four criteria. Therefore, these hydrophobic DESs may be considered as relatively sustainable, hydrophobic designer solvents. These DESs were used for the removal of riboflavin from an aqueous environment. All new hydrophobic DESs showed moderate to high extraction yields. The highest extraction efficiency of riboflavin, 81.1%, was achieved with the hydrophobic DES Deca:Lid (2:1).

![Figure 7. 1H NMR of the water phase after mixing with Thy:Men (1:1) with a suppression of the water peak.](image-url)
EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Preparation. Materials. All chemicals were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich, except for riboflavin which was purchased from TCI Chemicals. Their purities (as stated by the supplier) and CAS numbers are depicted in Table 6.

Table 6. Components Used in This Investigation and Their Purities.

| component       | CAS     | purity [wt %] |
|-----------------|---------|---------------|
| decanoic acid (DecA) | 334-48-5 | ≥98           |
| dodecanoic acid (DodE) | 143-07-7 | ≥99           |
| menthol (Men)    | 89-78-1 | ≥99           |
| thymol (Thy)     | 89-83-8 | ≥99           |
| 1-tetradecanol (1-tdc) | 112-72-1 | ≥97           |
| 1,2-decanediol (1,2-dcd) | 112-47-0 | ≥98           |
| cholesterol (choI) | 57-88-5 | ≥92.5         |
| trans-1,2-cyclohexanediol (1,2-chd) | 460-57-7 | ≥98           |
| 1-napthol (1-Nap) | 90-15-3 | ≥99           |
| atropine (Atr)   | 51-55-8 | ≥99           |
| tyramine (Try)   | 51-67-2 | ≥98           |
| tryptamine (Tryp) | 61-54-1 | ≥98           |
| lidocaine (Lid)  | 137-58-6 | N.A.         |
| cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (Chcd) | 2043-61-0 | ≥97           |
| caffeine (Caf)   | 58-08-2 | ≥98           |
| coumarin (Cou)   | 91-64-6 | ≥99           |
| riboflavin       | 83-88-5 | N.A.          |

“*As stated by the supplier.

Preparation of the Hydrophobic DESs. The formation of hydrophobic DESs was tested by mixing two solid components at three different molar ratios, e.g., 2:1, 1:1 and 1:2, with a total weight of 2 g. The different molar ratios were chosen to verify whether DES liquids can be prepared over a wide or small compositional range. A Mettler AX205 balance with an uncertainty of 20 μg was used for weighing the chemicals. The first component was weighed directly in the flask, in which a magnetic stirrer was already present. The second component was first weighed on a weighing boat, after which the correct amount was transferred into the flask. The components in the flask were premixed on a VWR Analog Vortex Mixer and heated in a metal heating block. An IKA RCT basic was used for monitoring the stirring speed and temperature. An IKA ETS-D5 temperature controller with an uncertainty of ±0.1 K was used for temperature control (Table 7).

The formation of the hydrophobic DESs was investigated via a standard procedure. After preparation and premixing, the flasks were heated and stirred for 2 h at a temperature of approximately 313 K. In case a homogeneous liquid sample was produced, the flask was kept at room temperature for 24 h to check its stability. The samples were considered a DES if no crystals were visually present in the liquid after 24 h. The samples that formed no liquid were further heated to 333.15 K. The same procedure as explained before was used. If no liquid was formed at 333.15 K the temperature was heated to 353.15 K, after which the same procedure was used.

The combinations of components that formed a DES on the 2-g scale, were scaled up to form 50 g of DES. For the 50-g scale it was investigated whether the DESs were also formed at room temperature. Only the DESs that were also stable at a 50-g scale were further analyzed. During the analyses, crystals appeared in some DESs within the time frame of a month. Those DESs were discarded from the investigation and not further analyzed.

After the preparation the DES was stored in a closed vial until all measurements were performed simultaneously.

Mixing with Water. 18.0 g of Milli-Q water was weighed in a 50 mL centrifuge tube (CELLSTAR), after which 18.0 g of hydrophobic DES was added. Proper mixing was induced via an IKA KS 4000 I incubating shaker (500 rpm, RT). After shaking, the DES and water phase were separated via centrifugation with a Sigma 2-16 KL centrifuge. The DESs DecA:Men (1:1), DecA:Men (1:2), Men:Lid (2:1), Thy:Lid (2:1), Thy:Cou (2:1), Thy:Men (1:1), Thy:Lid (1:1), Thy:Cou (1:1), Thy:Men (1:2), 1-tdc:M (2:1) were centrifuged for 60 min at 6000 rpm, while the DESs DecA:Lid (2:1), DecA:Atr (2:1), DodE:Lid (2:1), DodE:Atr (2:1) and Atr:Thy (1:2) were centrifuged for 40 min at 12,000 rpm. After centrifugation, the DES and water phase were taken with a needle syringe. For the DESs DodE:Lid (2:1) and DodE:Atr (2:1) no proper phase separation could be achieved. Thus, these were excluded from analysis after mixing with H2O.

Analytical Methodologies. Water Content. The water contents of the DESs were measured with a Mettler Toledo D39 Karl Fischer titration apparatus (coulometer). The DESs DecA:Lid (2:1) and DecA:Atr (2:1) had a high water content (>10 wt %) and could not be properly measured on the Toledo equipment. Those samples were measured on a Metrohm type 899 coulometer. The coulometers were filled with 20 mL chloroform and 80 mL Hydralan Coulomat AG. The DES 1-Nap:Men (1:2) could not be measured on a coulometric Karl Fischer, so it was measured on a volumetric Karl Fischer apparatus. A Metrohm type 705 KF volumetric Karl Fischer was used. Before use of the Karl Fischer apparatuses, they were checked with water standards of 0.01, 0.1 and 1.0 wt % of water. All measurements were conducted at room temperature (295.15 ± 1 K) and atmospheric pressure (1.01 ± 0.03 bar).

Viscosity and Density. The measured physicochemical properties of the hydrophobic DESs are the density and the viscosity. The density was determined with an Anton-Paar DMA 4500 M with a deviation of the density of ±0.05 kg·m⁻³ and a temperature variation of ±0.05 K. The discrepancy of the density from the several reference oils that were measured was not more than ±0.01 kg·m⁻³. The viscosity was measured with an Anton Paar Lovis 2000 ME rolling ball viscometer. All hydrophobic DESs, except for Atr:Thy (1:2), were measured in a glass capillary with an inner diameter of 1.8 mm equipped with a gold-coated ball. The coefficient of variation was below 0.2%, while the forward/backward deviation was at its most 1.0%. The capillary was calibrated with a N26 synthetic base oil, which was supplied by Paragon scientific ltd. For the DES Atr:Thy (1:2) the viscosity measurement before mixing was performed on an Anton Paar Physica MCR 301 rheometer, because of its high viscosity. A concentric cylinder system (CC27) was used. The inner diameter was 26.66 mm, while the outer diameter was 28.92 mm. Measurements and calibration were performed as presented elsewhere. After mixing with H2O, the capillary of 2.5 mm was used for
The integral of a specific sample was used for measuring the density and viscosity. The total material two syringes with samples were taken, after which one sample was used for measuring the water content while the other sample was used for measuring the density and viscosity.

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA). The decomposition temperatures of the DESs were measured with a TGA Q500 from TA Instruments. The weight accuracy is 0.1%, while the temperature accuracy is 1 K. A heating rate of 10 K min$^{-1}$ was used from 298.15 to 873.15 K. The degradation temperature is the weight loss onset temperature determined via the step tangent method. By determining the point of contact of the tangent of the x-axis and the y-axis the temperature at which weight loss starts can be determined. The thermograms were analyzed with the TA Instruments Universal Analysis 2000 software (version 4.5A, Build 4.5.0.5).

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR). The goal of the NMR analyses was investigating whether reactions occur between the components of the DES. Both proton ($^1$H) and carbon ($^{13}$C) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMRR) were performed. A Bruker 400 automatic NMR was used with 128 scans and a relaxation time of 3 s for the $^1$H and 1000 scans with a relaxation time of 3 s for the $^{13}$C. 8” Wilmad NMR tubes with an outside diameter of 5 mm were used for the measurements, in which DES diluted with chloroform was added. Analysis of the spectra was conducted with MestreNova (version v11.0.4—18998) and ChemBiodraw (version 348-208690-1653).

For the analysis of the $^1$H NMRs it was checked whether all peaks of the protons were in the theoretical molar ratio to investigate whether no reactions between the components of the DES occurred. Therefore, the integral of a specific peak of the molecule used as HBD was compared to the integral of a specific peak for the molecule used as HBA. For the $^{13}$C NMRs it was checked whether extra peaks were present, which is an indication of reactions between the two components of the DES.

If the molar ratios are correct in the $^1$H NMR spectrum and no extra peaks appear in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum it can be concluded that there are no reactions between the two components of the DES occurred.

pH of the Water Whase after Mixing the DESs with Water. The pH of the water phase was measured with a Mettler Toledo seven compact pH/ion meter (S220). A 5 point calibration was performed in the range of a pH of 2 to 7. Standards with higher pH, up to a pH of 10, were tested for calibration and also gave adequate results. The pH measurement range of the meter is from -2 to +20. The pH accuracy is ±0.002, while the accuracy of the temperature is ±0.5 K. The probe connected to the pH meter is an InLab Micro 51343160.

Total Organic Content (TOC). The total organic carbon (TOC) of the water phase was determined by a Shimadzu TOC-L CPH/CPN with auto sampler ASI-L (24 mL vials). The amount of TOC was calculated by two calibrations curves (0—10 ppm and 0—100 ppm). The vial was filled with a 100 times diluted sample and 0.5 wt % 1 mol·L$^{-1}$ hydrochloric acid was automatically added before injection. The injection volume was set to 50 mL. The TOC amount was measured in duplicate with an uncertainty ±1.5%.

NMR of the Water Phase. The molar ratio between the two components of the hydrophobic DES that transferred to the water phase was investigated with $^1$H NMR. After mixing with water the DES, a sample of the water phase was taken and added to a Wilmad-LabGlass 528-pp-7 tube containing a coaxial insert (Wilmad-LabGlass WGS-SBL) with D$_2$O. The measurements were performed with a Bruker 400 automatic NMR and the water peak was suppressed, by using the software program Bruker NMR. A relaxation time of 3 s was used and 100 scans were performed. This adjustment allowed analyzing the spectra of the low concentrated DESs in the water phase, as follows. The $^1$H NMR spectra showed the protons of the components of the hydrophobic DES present in the water phase. Well-defined peaks of the HBD and HBA were integrated. By dividing the integral of specific peaks obtained for the HBD and HBA component, the molar ratio at which the two components of the DES were present in the water phase could be estimated. The localization of the DES components peaks in the water phase was based on the NMRS of the pure components in CDCl$_3$. The results showed a standard deviation within the same molecule of approximately 10%.

**Introduction**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.b803520.

TGAs of all prepared hydrophobic DESs and NMRS of the developed DESs before and after mixing with water and the water phases after mixing with water (PDF)
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