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Abstract
In the present paper we consider an inverse source problem for time-fractional mixed parabolic-hyperbolic equation with Caputo derivative. When hyperbolic part of the considered mixed equation is wave type equation, the uniqueness of source and solution are strongly influenced by initial time and generally is ill-posed. However, when the hyperbolic part is time fractional, the problem is well posed if end time is large. Our method relies on the orthonormal system of eigenfunctions of the operator with respect to space variable, we proved the uniqueness and stability of certain weak solution for considered problems.

1 Introduction

Theory of boundary problems for fractional order differential equations is one of the rapidly developing branches of the Fractional Calculus. Since many mathematical models of real-life processes are directly connected with the investigations on aforementioned theory, it becomes very popular among the specialists on differential equations.

Omitting many papers on direct boundary problems for PDEs involving fractional differential operators we note some works [1-3], where time-fractional parabolic-hyperbolic type equations were investigated.

We as well would like to note growing interest of specialists to the inverse problems for fractional order PDEs. Especially, inverse problems related to the finding source, knowing information with respect to time, become interesting. Here we discuss this kind of inverse problems.

Results of the present paper is related to work by K.B. Sabitov [4] for fractional case (See, as well works [5-6]). Obtained results could be useful for investigations on parabolic-hyperbolic equations, using numerical methods, for example, see [7].

Regarding the inverse problems for time-fractional diffusion-wave equations, considering uniformly elliptic operator in the space variables, we refer readers to the works [8-9].

We would like to note that solvability of boundary problems for mixed type equations directly depends from so-called “gluing conditions”. On the line of type changing we need to glue value of seeking function and value of its derivative in order to get solution in a whole domain. There exist many types of gluing conditions such as continuous,
discontinuous, integral form and etc. For instance in the works [2,3] gluing conditions of integral form were in use, but in the works [4-6] authors consider boundary problems with continuous gluing conditions, i.e values of seeking function and its derivative from the both parabolic and hyperbolic parts of mixed domain are equal on the line of type changing. Depending on which gluing conditions are in use, solvability conditions to given data vary. Some physical meaning of gluing conditions for parabolic-hyperbolic type equations one can find in the monograph [10].

In the present work, due to time-fractional parabolic equation, we used special gluing condition, which depends from the fractional order $\alpha$. In particular integer case, i.e. $\alpha = 1$, we will get continuous gluing condition.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Definition of the Caputo fractional differential operator and some properties of two parameter Mittag-Leffler function

Below we give a definition of the Caputo fractional differential operator (see [11, p.14])

The expression

$$cD_{st}^\alpha \varphi (t) = \text{sign}^n(t - s)D_{st}^{\alpha-n} \varphi^{(n)}(t), \quad n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

we call as the Caputo fractional differential operator of the order $\alpha$. For a function $\varphi(y)$, the Riemann-Liouville integral-differential operator of the order $\alpha$ with initial point $s \in \mathbb{R}$, can be defined as follows:

$$D_{st}^\alpha \varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{sign}(t-s)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_s^t \frac{\varphi(z)dz}{|t-z|^\alpha+1}, & \alpha < 0, \\ \varphi(t), & \alpha = 0, \\ \text{sign}^n(t - s) \frac{d^n}{dt^n}D_{st}^{\alpha-n} \varphi(t), & n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

The Mittag-Leffler function of two parameter is defined as [12, p.17]

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \beta > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

We use the formula for the derivative of this function [12, p.21]:

$$D_{0t}^\gamma \left( t^{\alpha k+\beta-1}E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda t^\alpha) \right) = t^{\alpha k+\beta-\gamma-1}E_{\alpha,\beta-\gamma}^{(k)}(\lambda t^\alpha), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\gamma$ is any arbitrary real number, $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}(y) = \frac{d^k}{dy^k}E_{\alpha,\beta}(y)$.

We as well will use the following property of this function [13, p.45]:

$$E_{\alpha,\mu}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} + zE_{\alpha,\mu+\alpha}(z). \quad (2.3)$$

We need two asymptotic expansions of the Mittag-Leffler function, given below as theorems [12, p.35].
Theorem 2.1-1. If $\alpha < 2$, $\beta$ is arbitrary real number, $\mu$ is such that $\pi\alpha/2 < \mu < \min\{\pi, \pi\alpha\}$ and $C_1$ and $C_2$ are real constants, then

$$|E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)| \leq C_1(1 + |z|)^{(1-\beta)/\alpha} \exp\left(\Re\left(z^{1/\alpha}\right)\right) + \frac{C_2}{1 + |z|}, \ (|\arg(z)| \leq \mu), \ |z| \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.1-2. If $\alpha < 2$, $\beta$ is arbitrary real number, $\mu$ is such that $\pi\alpha/2 < \mu < \min\{\pi, \pi\alpha\}$ and $C$ is real constant, then

$$|E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |z|}, \ (\mu \leq |\arg(z)| \leq \pi), \ |z| \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.2. If $0 < \alpha < 2$, $\beta$ is arbitrary real number, $\mu$ is such that $\pi\alpha/2 < \mu < \min\{\pi, \pi\alpha\}$ then for any arbitrary integer $p \geq 1$, the following expansion holds:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{z^{-k}}{\gamma(\beta - \alpha k)} + O(|z|^{-p}), \ (\mu \leq |\arg(z)| \leq \pi), \ |z| \to \infty.$$

2.2 Solutions of fractional order differential equations with Caputo time fractional derivative.

For the reader’s convenience we rewrite the following fact taken from the monograph [11, p.17]:

The following Cauchy problem:

$$(cD_{at}^\alpha y)(x) - \lambda y(x) = g(x), \ (s \in \mathbb{R}, \ n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, \ n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

has the unique solution represented as

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k |x-s|^{n-k}E_{\alpha,n-k+1}(\lambda|x-s|^\alpha) + \text{sign}(s-x) \int_{s}^{x} |x-t|^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}[\lambda|x-t|^\alpha] g(t)dt.$$

Afore-mentioned solution can be found as well in the work [14].

3 Case, when hyperbolic part of the mixed type equation is integer order wave equation

3.1 Formulation of a problem

In a domain $\Omega = \{(x,t) : a < x < b, -p < t < q\}$ we consider an equation

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \ cD_{at}^\alpha u - Lu, & t > 0 \\ \ u_t - Lu, & t < 0, \end{cases}$$

(3.1)
Let us consider equation \( L \) in which

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{z} & = (r(x))^1/4, \quad z(x) = \frac{1}{K} \int_a^x \frac{1}{r(s)} ds, \quad K = \int_a^b \frac{1}{r(s)} ds, \quad s \in [a, b],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( L \) is operator in \( L^2(a, b) \) defined as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}v(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \left( r(x) \frac{dv}{dx} \right) - e(x)v, \quad a < x < b, \\
\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) &= \{ v \in H^2(a, b); v(a) = v(b) = 0 \},
\end{align*}
\]

0 < \( \alpha \leq 1 \), \( p, q > 0 \), \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \), \( \psi(x) \), \( \varphi(x) \), \( r(x) \), \( e(x) \) are given functions such that \( r(x) \in C^2[a, b] \), \( r(x) > 0 \), \( e(x) \in C[a, b] \).

3.2 Reformulation of a problem and formal construction of the solution

Let us consider equation \( \mathcal{L}v = -\mu v \). Using the Liouville transformation we have

\[ \bar{v} = l(x(z))v(x(z)), \quad z \in [0, 1], \]

where

\[ l(x) = (r(x))^{1/4}, \quad z(x) = \frac{1}{K} \int_a^x \frac{1}{r(s)} ds, \quad K = \int_a^b \frac{1}{r(s)} ds, \quad s \in [a, b], \]

and further we get

\[ \mathcal{L}^\ast \bar{v} = -\lambda \bar{v}, \]

in which

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^\ast \bar{v} &= \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \bar{v}(z) - g(z)\bar{v}(z), \quad 0 < x < 1, \\
\mathcal{D}^\ast (\mathcal{L}^\ast) &= \{ \bar{v} \in H^2(0, 1); \bar{v}(0) = \bar{v}(1) = 0 \},
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \lambda = K^2\mu, \quad g(z) = K^2 \left[ e(x(z)) + l(x(z)) \left( \frac{d(x(z))l'(x(z))}{l^2(x(z))} \right) \right]. \]

Here \( z(x) \) is monotone about \( x \), and \( x(z) \) is inverse function of \( z(x) \). Note that \( f(x), \varphi(x), \psi(x) \) as well were transformed to \( \bar{f}(z), \bar{\varphi}(z), \bar{\psi}(z) \) respectively. Due to the properties of Liouville transformation, the uniqueness of \( f(x) \) corresponds to the uniqueness of the \( \bar{f}(z) \).

Further, for convenience we denote \( \bar{v}(z), \bar{f}(z), \bar{\varphi}(z), \bar{\psi}(z) \) as \( u(x), f(x), \varphi(x), \psi(x) \) respectively.

According to this transformation, the domain \( \Omega \) transferred to the domain \( \Omega^\ast = \{(x, t) : 0 < x < 1, \quad -p < t < q\} \) and the problem (3.1)-(3.4) equivalently reduced to the following problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
f(x) &= \begin{cases} 
-cD^\ast_{0+} u - \mathcal{L}^\ast u, & t > 0 \\
u_{tt} - \mathcal{L}^\ast u, & t < 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
    u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, \quad -p \leq t \leq q, \\
    u(x, -p) = \psi(x), u(x, q) = \varphi(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
    u(x, +0) = u(x, -0), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
    \lim_{t \to +0} cD_{0t}^\alpha u(x, t) = u_t(x, -0), \quad 0 < x < 1.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\] 

(3.9)

First we give the definition of the weak solution.

**Definition 3.1.** We call \( u(x, t) \) a weak solution to (3.8)-(3.9), if \( f(x) \in L^2(0, 1) \) and \( u(\cdot, t) \in D^*(\mathcal{L}^*) = H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1) \) for \( t \in [-p, q] \) and

\[
\begin{aligned}
    u &\in C([-p, q]; D^*(\mathcal{L}^*)), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in C([-p, 0] \cup (0, q]; L^2(0, 1)), \\
    cD_{0t}^\alpha u &\in C([0, q]; L^2(0, 1)), \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \in C((-p, 0); L^2(0, 1)), \\
    \lim_{t \to -p} \|u(\cdot, t) - \psi\|_{D^*(\mathcal{L}^*)} & = 0, \\
    \lim_{t \to q} \|u(\cdot, t) - \varphi\|_{D^*(\mathcal{L}^*)} & = 0, \\
    \lim_{|t| \to 0} \|cD_{0t}^\alpha u(\cdot, t) - u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} & = 0, \\
    (cD_{0t}^\alpha u, \eta) + (\mathcal{L}^* u, \eta) & = (f, \eta), \quad t \in [0, q], \quad \forall \eta \in D^*(\mathcal{L}^*), \\
    (\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}, \eta) + (\mathcal{L}^* u, \eta) & = (f, \eta), \quad t \in (-p, 0), \quad \forall \eta \in D^*(\mathcal{L}^*). 
\end{aligned}
\]

(3.10) 

(3.11) 

(3.12) 

(3.13) 

(3.14) 

(3.15)

**Problem 1.** To determine uniquely a pare \( \{u(x, t), f(x)\} \) in the domain \( \Omega^* \), satisfying (3.8), (3.10)-(3.15).

Eigenvalues of the symmetric operator \( \mathcal{L}^* \) are defined as \( \lambda_k \) and corresponding complete systems of eigenfunctions as \( \omega_k \). Moreover, since \( g \in L^\infty[0, 1] \) and is real-valued, \( \lambda_k (k = 1, 2, ...) \) of the operator \( \mathcal{L}^* \) are real-valued, simple and

\[ \{\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < ... < \lambda_l \leq 0 < \lambda_{l+1} < ... < \lambda_k < ... \rightarrow +\infty\} \]

for simplicity, we assume \( g \geq 0 \) in its domain, thus we have \( l = 0 \) and all the eigenvalues are positive. Asymptotic behavior is [15, p.135]

\[ \lambda_k = k^2 \pi^2 + \int_0^1 g(x) dx - \int_0^1 g(x) \cos(2k\pi x) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \]

as \( k \to \infty \) uniformly for \( g \in L^\infty(0, 1) \subset L^2(0, 1) \). Second integral of (3.26) is the \( k \)th Fourier coefficient of \( g \) with respect to \( \{\cos(2k\pi x) : k = 0, 1, ...\} \). Since \( g(x) \in L^2(0, 1) \), then this integral tends to 0 at \( k \to +\infty \). Furthermore, the corresponding eigenfunctions \( \omega_k \), normalized to \( \|\omega_k\|_{L^2} = 1 \), have the following asymptotic behavior:

\[ \omega_k(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(k\pi x) + O(1/n), \quad \omega'_k(x) = \sqrt{2}k\pi \cos(k\pi x) + O(1) \]

as \( k \to \infty \) uniformly for \( x \in [0, 1] \) and \( g \in L^\infty(0, 1) \subset L^2(0, 1) \).

Solution of the problem 1 we search as follows

\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k(t) \omega_k(x), \quad t > 0, \]

(3.16)
and right-hand side as
\[ f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \omega_k(x), \quad (3.18) \]
where
\[ \varphi_k = (\varphi, \omega_k), \quad \psi_k = (\psi, \omega_k), \quad (3.19) \]
\((\cdot, \cdot)\) is a scalar product in \(L^2(0, 1)\).

By standard calculation, it easily reduce that:
\[ V_k(t) = V_k(0)E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k t^\alpha) + f_k t^\alpha E_{\alpha,a+1}(-\lambda_k t^\alpha), \quad (3.20) \]
\[ W_k(t) = A_k \sin \sqrt{\lambda_k} t + B_k \cos \sqrt{\lambda_k} t + \frac{f_k}{\lambda_k}, \quad (3.21) \]

Besides by the conditions (3.9) we obtain,
\[ V_k(0) = B_k + \frac{f_k}{\lambda_k}, \quad \psi_k = A_k \sin (-\sqrt{\lambda_k} p) + B_k \cos (-\sqrt{\lambda_k} p) + \frac{f_k}{\lambda_k}, \]
\[ \varphi_k = V_k(0)E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha) + f_k q^\alpha E_{\alpha,a+1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha), \quad f_k - \lambda_k V_k(0) = \sqrt{\lambda_k} A_k. \]

By all these conditions above, we actually get:
\[ A_k = -\sqrt{\lambda_k} \frac{\varphi_k - \psi_k}{\Delta_k}, \quad B_k = \frac{\varphi_k - \psi_k}{\Delta_k}, \]
\[ V_k(0) = \frac{(\varphi_k - \psi_k)(1 - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha))}{\Delta_k} + \varphi_k, \]
\[ f_k = -\frac{\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha)}{\Delta_k} + \lambda_k \varphi_k, \]

where we denote
\[ \Delta_k = E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha) - \sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_k} p - \cos \sqrt{\lambda_k} p. \quad (3.22) \]

Then considering (3.16)-(3.18), (3.20), (3.21) the formal solution of the problem can be represented as:
\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\varphi_k - \psi_k}{\Delta_k} [E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,a+1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha)] + \varphi_k \right\} \omega_k(x), \quad t \in [0, q], \quad (3.23) \]
\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\varphi_k - \psi_k}{\Delta_k} [-\sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_k} t + \cos \sqrt{\lambda_k} t - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha)] + \varphi_k \right\} \omega_k(x), \quad t \in [-p, 0], \quad (3.24) \]
and
\[ f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{-\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} E_{\alpha,a+1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha) + \lambda_k \varphi_k \right\} \omega_k(x), \quad (3.25) \]
where \(\varphi_k, \psi_k\) are defined by (3.19) and \(\Delta_k\) by (3.22).
3.3 Conditional uniqueness of the solution and ill-posedness.

**Theorem 3.1.** If $\Delta_k \neq 0$, then formal solution of the problem 1 is unique.

*Proof.* The following lemma is valid.

**Lemma 3.1.** For the weak solution $u$ the following equalities

\[
(cD^\alpha_0 u(\cdot, t), \omega_k(x)) = cD^\alpha_0 (u(\cdot, t), \omega_k(x)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq q,
\]

\[
(cD^\beta_0 u(\cdot, t), \omega_k(x)) = cD^\beta_0 (u(\cdot, t), \omega_k(x)), \quad -p < t < 0,
\]

are valid.

See the proof of the lemma in Appendix section or refer to the work [9, Lemma A.1].

Let $u(x, t)$ and $f(x)$ be a solution to problem 1 with $\psi(x) = \varphi(x) = 0$, denote $u_k(t) = (u(x, t), \omega_k(x))$.

Applying fractional operator $cD^\alpha_0$ to both sides of the equality above, at $t \in [0, q]$, considering Lemma 3.1, and taking into account the boundary condition (3.12) together with $\varphi(x) = 0$, we have

\[
cD^\alpha_0 u_k(t) + \lambda_k u_k(t) = f_k, \quad t \in [0, q].
\]

Similarly, considering (3.11) at $\psi(x) = 0$ for $t \in [-p, 0)$, we obtain

\[
u_k''(t) + \lambda_k u_k(t) = f_k, \quad t \in [-p, 0),
\]

here $u_k(-p) = u_k(q) = 0$ and $f_k = (f(x), \omega_k(x))$.

Solving them, we deduce

\[
u_k(t) = C_k E_{\alpha,1} (-\lambda_k t^\alpha) + f_k t^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} (-\lambda_k t^\alpha), \quad t \in [0, q],
\]

\[
u_k(t) = C_1 \sin \sqrt{\lambda_k} t + C_2 \cos \sqrt{\lambda_k} t + \frac{f_k}{\lambda_k}, \quad t \in [-p, 0).
\]

Considering gluing condition in (3.10) and (3.13), we deduce

\[
\begin{cases}
C_2 = C_k - \frac{f_k}{\lambda_k}, \\
C_1 = -\sqrt{\lambda_k} C_k + \frac{f_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}.
\end{cases}
\]

Getting all these, it has

\[
\begin{cases}
C_k E_{\alpha,1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha) + f_k q^\alpha E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha) = 0, \\
C_k \lambda_k (\cos \sqrt{\lambda_k} p + \sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_k} p) + f_k (1 - \sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_k} p - \cos \sqrt{\lambda_k} p) = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

the determination of this equation is exactly (3.22).

Since we suppose that $\Delta_k \neq 0$, then we have $C_k = f_k = 0$ for any $k$, which shows $u_k \equiv 0$, because of the completeness of the base $\{\omega_k(x), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we can state that $u \equiv 0$ and $f \equiv 0$.

**Remark 3.1.** For infinite many $p \in R^+$, it easily shows $\Delta_k = 0$, then the problem 1 is
ill-posed as the following example shows.

**Example:** $\Delta_k = 0$ if and only if

$$p = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \left[ \arcsin \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha)}{\sqrt{\lambda_k + 1}} - \gamma_k \right] + \frac{2n\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \frac{1}{(2n + 1)\pi}, \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \left[ \arcsin \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha)}{\sqrt{\lambda_k + 1}} + \gamma_k \right] \end{cases},$$

where $\gamma_k = \arcsin \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k + 1}}$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote such $k = l$, when $\psi(x) = \varphi(x) = 0$, there exists nontrivial solution

$$u(x,t) = \begin{cases} \left[ E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_l t^\alpha) + f_l t^\alpha E_{\alpha,a+1}(-\lambda_l t^\alpha) \right] \omega_l(x), t \geq 0, \\ \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_k} - \sqrt{\alpha_l} \right) \sin l\pi t + \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_k} \right) \cos l\pi t + \frac{f_l}{\lambda_l} \right] \omega_l(x), t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$f_l = -\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_l q^\alpha)}{q^\alpha E_{\alpha,a+1}(-\lambda_l q^\alpha)}.$$  

For large $k$ we have $p \approx \frac{2n\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$, or $p \approx \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and when $k \to \infty$ irregular points $p$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^+$. Generally, since $g$ is any positive bounded function, the irregular points can be set containing both infinite irrational and rational points, but we have the following lemma which shows as $k$ is large, those irregular points may concentrate on irrational points.

**Lemma 3.2.** For all sufficient large $k$, then for all $p \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that $|\Delta_k| \geq \delta > 0$.

**Proof.** We can rewrite (3.22) as follows:

$$\Delta_k = E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha) - \sqrt{\lambda_k + 1} \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_k}p + \gamma_k), \quad (3.26)$$

where $\gamma_k = \arcsin \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k + 1}}$

For large $k$, as $\lambda_k \to \infty$,

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha) = \frac{C}{\lambda_k q^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha)} + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} \right) \to 0, \quad q > 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Considering asymptotic behavior of $\lambda_k$, we have

$$l_k = \sqrt{\lambda_k + 1} \sin \left( \sqrt{\lambda_k}p + \gamma_k \right) = \sqrt{\lambda_k + 1} \sin \left[ pk\pi + \frac{p(c + c_k)}{2k\pi} + \gamma_k \right].$$

Here $c = \int_0^1 g(x)dx$ and $c_k$ is a constant depends on $k, \pi$, which tends to zero, when $k \to +\infty$.

If $p = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, $(m,n) = 1$,
together with condition

\[ l_k = \sqrt{\lambda_k + 1} \sin \left( pk\pi + \frac{p(c + c_k)}{2k\pi} + \gamma_k \right) \sim \sqrt{\lambda_k + 1} \left( \frac{pc}{2k\pi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k + 1}} \right) \sim \frac{pc}{2} + 1 > 0. \]

(ii) if \( km \neq ln \) for any \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \), e.g. \( km = ln + s, 1 \leq s \leq n - 1. \) Since

\[ \left( \frac{p(c + c_k)}{2\pi k} + \gamma_k \right) \to 0, \]

there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( \sin \left[ pk\pi + \frac{p(c + c_k)}{2k\pi} + \gamma_k \right] \geq \varepsilon > 0. \) So \( |l_k| \to +\infty. \)

Hence, according to (3.26) and (3.27) we deduce the fact that \( \Delta_k \) is bounded below by some positive constant for \( p \in Q^+. \)

**Remark 3.2.** If we replace first condition of (3.9) with \( u(0, t) = u_x(1, t) = 0, \) we have asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues [15, p.140]

\[ \lambda_n = (n + 1/2)^2 \pi^2 + \frac{1}{0} g(x)dx - \frac{1}{0} g(x)\cos(2n + 1)\pi x dx + O \left( \frac{1}{n} \right), \]

problem is again ill-posed and the proof is the same.

**Remark 3.3.** In the case \( \alpha = 1 \), they are classical equations with no term \( cD_0^\alpha u \) and the result is similar.

**Remark 3.4.** We note that result of this section generalize the work [4] in particular case \( (\alpha = 1, r(x) = 1, e(x) = 0). \)

4 Case, when hyperbolic part of the mixed equation is purely time-fractional wave equation

4.1 Formulation of a problem

Consider equation

\[ f(x) = \begin{cases} 
  cD_0^\alpha u - \mathcal{L}^* u, & t > 0, \\
  cD_0^\alpha u - \mathcal{L}^* u, & t < 0,
\end{cases} \tag{4.1} \]

together with condition

\[ \begin{cases} 
  u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, & -p \leq t \leq q, \\
  u(x, -p) = \psi(x), & u(x, q) = \varphi(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
  \lim_{t \to +0} cD_0^\alpha u(x, t) = u_t(x, -0), & 0 < x < 1,
\end{cases} \tag{4.2} \]

where \( 0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < \beta < 2. \)

First we define a weak solution as follows:

**Definition 4.1.** We call \( u(x, t) \) a weak solution to (4.1)-(4.2), if \( f(x) \in L^2(0, 1) \) and \( u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{D}^* (\mathcal{L}^*) \equiv H^2(0, 1) \cap H^1_0(0, 1) \) for \( t \in [-p, q] \) and

\[ \begin{align*}
  u &\in C \left( [-p, q]; \mathcal{D}^* (\mathcal{L}^*) \right), \\
  c\partial_u &\in C \left( [-p, 0] \cup (0, q]; L^2(0, 1) \right), \\
  cD_0^\alpha u &\in C \left( [0, q]; L^2(0, 1) \right), \\
  cD_0^\beta u &\in C \left( (-p, 0); L^2(0, 1) \right),
\end{align*} \tag{4.3} \]
\[
\lim_{t \to -p} \|u(\cdot, t) - \psi\|_{D^*(L^*)} = 0, \quad (4.4)
\]
\[
\lim_{t \to q} \|u(\cdot, t) - \varphi\|_{D^*(L^*)} = 0, \quad (4.5)
\]
\[
\lim_{|t| \to 0} \|cD^\alpha_{0t} u(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} = 0, \quad (4.6)
\]
\[
(cD^\alpha_{0t} u, \eta) + (L^* u, \eta) = (f, \eta), \quad t \in [0, q], \forall \eta \in D^*(L^*), \quad (4.7)
\]
\[
(cD^\beta_{0t} u, \eta) + (L^* u, \eta) = (f, \eta), \quad t \in [-p, 0], \forall \eta \in D^*(L^*). \quad (4.8)
\]

**Problem 2.** To find a weak solution \(u\) for (4.1), (4.2) and as well function \(f(x) \in L^2(0, 1)\) in the domain \(\Omega^*\), satisfying (4.3)-(4.8).

By similar algorithm as in the problem 1, i.e. representing solution \(u(x, t)\) and \(f(x)\) by

\[
u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k(t) \omega_k(x), \quad t \geq 0,
\]
\[
u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} W_k(t) \omega_k(x), \quad t \leq 0,
\]
\[
f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(t) \omega_k(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,
\]
we have

\[V_k(t) = V_k(0) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_k t^\alpha) + f_k t^\alpha E_{\alpha, \alpha+1}(-\lambda_k t^\alpha),\]
at \(t > 0\) and

\[W_k(t) = W_k E_{\beta, 1}(-\lambda_k (-t)^\beta) + tW_k(0) E_{\beta, 2}(-\lambda_k (-t)^\beta) + f_k (-t)^\beta E_{\beta, \beta+1}(-\lambda_k (-t)^\beta).\]

Further, instead of (3.22) we obtain

\[\tilde{\Delta}_k = E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha) - E_{\beta, 1}(-\lambda_k p^\beta) + \lambda_k p E_{\beta, 2}(-\lambda_k p^\beta).\]

**Lemma 4.1.** For any fixed \(p \in R^+\), if \(q\) is sufficient large, then there exists some \(\delta > 0\), such that \(|\tilde{\Delta}_k| > \delta > 0\).

**Proof.** Fixed \(p \in R^+\), noting that \(\lambda_k\) has a uniform positive lower bound and the asymptotic properties of Mittag-Leffler functions (Theorem 2.2), when \(q\) is sufficient large, then \(|E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_k q^\alpha)|\) is small enough uniformly for any \(k\).

Since \(|E_{\beta, 1}(-\lambda_1 p^\beta) + \lambda_k p E_{\beta, 2}(-\lambda_1 p^\beta)| = C_1 > 0\) and for any \(k\),

\[|E_{\beta, 1}(-\lambda_k p^\beta) + \lambda_k p E_{\beta, 2}(-\lambda_k p^\beta)| \neq 0,\]
when \(k\) is large, \(|E_{\beta, 1}(-\lambda_k p^\beta) + \lambda_k p E_{\beta, 2}(-\lambda_k p^\beta)| \approx \frac{1}{p^\beta - 1} > 0\),
it easily sees that there always exists some constant \(c > 0\) depending on \(p\) such that \(|E_{\beta, 1}(-\lambda_k p^\beta) + \lambda_k p E_{\beta, 2}(-\lambda_k p^\beta)| \geq c > 0\), which proves the theorem.
Formal solution of the problem 2 has a form

\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \varphi_k - \psi_k \right\} \Delta_k \left[ E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha) \right] \omega_k(x), \ t \in [0, q], \]

\[ u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \varphi_k - \psi_k \right\} \Delta_k \left[ E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha) - E_{\beta, 1} (-\lambda_k (-q)^\beta) - \lambda_k t E_{\beta, 2} (-\lambda_k (-t)^\beta) \right] \omega_k(x), \ t \in [-p, 0], \]

and

\[ f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{-\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha) + \lambda_k \varphi_k \right\} \omega_k(x), \]

where \( \varphi_k = (\varphi, \omega_k), \psi_k = (\psi, \omega_k) \) and \( \Delta_k \) by (4.9).

### 4.2 Uniqueness and stability of the solution

In the following, assume \( g(x) \in L^\infty(0, 1) \) is positive, \( \varphi(x), \psi(x) \in H_0^4(0, 1) \). By regularity theorem, \( \omega_k(x) \in D^*(L^*) \).

The following equation

\[ \omega_k'' - g \omega_k = -\lambda_k \omega_k, \ \forall k \geq 1, \ \omega_k \in D^*(L^*) \] (4.10)

is valid in \( L^2 \) sense.

(i) Proof of \( f(x) \in L^2(0, 1) \).

Introduce the following functions:

\[ f_1(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \varphi_k \omega_k(x), \] (4.11)

\[ f_2(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{-\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha) \right\} \omega_k(x). \] (4.12)

Taking (3.19), (4.10) into account from (4.11) we deduce

\[ f_1(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varphi g - \varphi'', \omega_k) \omega_k(x). \]

Since \( g \in L^\infty \), we have \( \varphi g \in L^2 \) and \( \| \varphi'' \|_{L^2} \leq \| \varphi'' \|_{H^4_0} < +\infty \). Hence \( (\varphi g - \varphi'') \in L^2 \), which yields

\[ \| f_1(x) \|_{L^2} = \| \varphi g - \varphi'' \|_{L^2}. \] (4.13)

Later on we will designate by \( C \) any constant, since we are not interested in exact values of them.

Considering Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.1, from (4.12) we obtain

\[ \| f_2(x) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} (\| \varphi(x) \|_{L^2} + \| \psi(x) \|_{L^2}). \] (4.14)
Taking (3.25), (4.11)-(4.14) and triangle inequality into account, we deduce
\[ \|f(x)\|_{L^2} \leq \|f_1(x)\|_{L^2} + \|f_2(x)\|_{L^2} \leq C \left(1 + \|g(x)\|_{L^\infty} \right) \left(\|\varphi(x)\|_{H_0^\alpha} + \|\psi(x)\|_{H_0^\alpha} \right). \]

(ii) Some uniform estimation on the right hand of \(u(x, t)\) in (3.23).

We have by embedding theorem \(\|\omega_k(x)\|_{C[0, 1]} \leq C \|\omega_k(x)\|_{H_0^\alpha(0, 1)},\) Thus
\[ \|\omega_k(x)\|_{C[0, 1]} \leq C \|\omega_k(x)\|_{H_0^\alpha(0, 1)} \leq C \left(\|\omega_k'(x)\|_{L^2[0, 1]} + \|\omega_k(x)\|_{L^2[0, 1]} \right). \]

According to asymptotic behaviors of \(\lambda_k\) and \(\omega_k\), we have
\[ \|\omega_k(x)\|_{C[0, 1]} \leq C \left(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + 1\right). \]

Introduce
\[ A \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} \left| \frac{\varphi_k - \psi_k}{\Delta_k} (E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha)) + \varphi_k \right| C \left(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + 1\right). \]

Easy to deduce that
\[ \varphi_k = (\varphi, \omega_k) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} \left( g^2 \varphi - 2g' \varphi' - 2g \varphi'' + g'' \varphi + \varphi''' \right) \omega_k. \]

Since \(g \in L^\infty(0, 1)\) and considering
\[ \|g^2 \varphi\|_{L^2} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|_{H_0^\alpha}, \quad \|g' \varphi'\|_{L^2} \leq \|g\|_{H^{-1}} \|\varphi'\|_{H^1} \leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|_{H_0^\alpha}, \]
\[ \|g \varphi''\|_{L^2} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|_{H_0^\alpha}, \quad \|g' \varphi''\|_{L^2} \leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi'\|_{H_0^\alpha}, \quad \|\varphi'''\|_{L^2} \leq \|\varphi\|_{H_0^\alpha} \]
and designating \(G(\varphi) \equiv g^2 - 2g' \varphi' - 2g \varphi'' + g'' \varphi + \varphi'''\), we obtain
\[ \|G(\varphi)\|_{L^2} \leq C \left(1 + \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|g\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \|\varphi\|_{H_0^\alpha}. \]

Similarly, bearing in mind \(\varphi_k - \psi_k = -\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left( G(\varphi - \psi), \omega_k \right)\), where
\[ G(\varphi - \psi) \equiv g^2(\varphi - \psi) - 2g'(\varphi - \psi)' - 2g(\varphi - \psi)'' + g''(\varphi - \psi) + (\varphi - \psi)''', \]
we have \(G(\varphi - \psi) \in L^2\). Taking all these and Theorem 2.2 into account, we obtain
\[ A \leq C \left(\|G(\varphi - \psi)\|_{L^2} + \|G(\varphi)\|_{L^2} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + 1)^2}{\lambda_k^2}}. \]

Since \(\lambda_k \sim k^2 \pi^2 + O(1)\), we can state that \(A < +\infty\).

Introducing
\[ B \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} \left| \frac{\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k t^\alpha)}{\Delta_k} \right| C \left(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + 1\right) \]
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and by similar evaluations as above, we get

\[ B \leq C \left( \|G(\varphi - \psi)\|_{L^2} \right)^{1/2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + 1)^2}{\lambda_k^2} < +\infty. \]

The series in (3.23) converge uniformly in \( x \in [0, 1] \) and \( t \in [0, q] \) and could be differentiated part by part with respect to \( t \). One can similarly prove the same fact for \( t \in [-p, 0] \).

**Remark 4.1.** In the above, \( \varphi(x), \psi(x) \in H_0^1(0, 1) \) are actually enough, if we make a slight different proof, the real problem will happen in a similar proof in (3.24), omitted. There we must need \( \varphi(x), \psi(x) \in H_0^1(0, 1) \), which reflects the bad regularity in the hyperbolic part.

(iii) Proof of \( u(x, t) \in C([-p, q]; L^2(0, 1)) \) and \( \frac{\partial u(\cdot, t)}{\partial t} \in C([-p, 0] \cup (0, q]; L^2(0, 1)) \).

It is easy to verify that

\[ \|u(x, t)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} (\|\varphi\|_{L^2} + \|\psi\|_{L^2} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2}) , \quad t \geq 0. \]

and furthermore, we have \( u(x, t) \in C([-p, q]; L^2(0, 1)) \).

Because of (ii), \( \frac{\partial u(\cdot, t)}{\partial t} \) exists and is equal to \( U(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, 1) \), where

\[ U(\cdot, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\lambda_k)t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_k t^\alpha) \omega_k(x). \]

Since, \( B < +\infty \) and according to the Theorem 2.2,

\[ |(t + h)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_k(t + h)^\alpha) - t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_k t^\alpha)| \]

is bounded for fixed \( t > 0 \), \( \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \). By using the Lebesgue convergence theorem, one can easily verify \( \frac{\partial u(\cdot, t)}{\partial t} \in C((0, q]; L^2(0, 1)) \) Similarly we can prove that

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \in C([-p, 0]; L^2(0, 1)). \]

**Remark 4.2.** In the above proof, it is natural that \( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \) is not continuous at \( t = 0 \), since we let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), which is the fractional case, if \( \alpha = 1 \), the equation is just classical parabolic type, and there is no singularity in (4.15), then \( \frac{\partial u(\cdot, t)}{\partial t} \in C([-p, q]; L^2(0, 1)) \) and the below proof is not needed. In the below, we only consider that \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \).

(iv) Proof of \( \mathcal{C}D_0^\alpha u(\cdot, t) \in C([0, q]; L^2(0, 1)) \).

Using the definition of the Caputo derivative,

\[ \|\mathcal{C}D_0^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} = \left\| t \frac{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^t \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_k(\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_k s^\alpha) \right\} \omega_k(s) \right] ds \right\|_{L^2}. \]
According to (ii), we have
\[
\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} E_{\alpha,\alpha} (-\lambda_k s^\alpha) \right\} \omega_k \right\|_{L^\infty} < \infty,
\]
which yields \( \| cD_0^\alpha u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2} < \infty \), since
\[
\int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} (t-s)^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} ds < \infty.
\]

Let \( t \geq 0 \) be fixed, \( t, t+h \in [0, q] \),
\[
\| cD_0^\alpha u(\cdot, t+h) - cD_0^\alpha u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2} = \left\| \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} (t-s)^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} N_k \right\} \omega_k(\cdot) \right] ds \right\|_{L^2},
\]
where
\[
N_k = \left( 1 + \frac{h}{s} \right)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} (-\lambda_k (s+h)^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,\alpha} (-\lambda_k s^\alpha).
\]

Since \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), so for all \( t \in [0, q] \), there exists some \( C > 0 \) such that \( |(1 + \frac{h}{s})^{\alpha-1}| \leq C \) uniformly, together with the properties of Mittag-Leffler function, in terms of asymptotic behavior of \( \lambda_k \), we have \( N_k \leq C' \); With the similar definition of \( A \), using the Lebesgue convergence theorem, we have
\[
\lim_{h \to 0} \| cD_0^\alpha u(\cdot, t+h) - cD_0^\alpha u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2} \to 0.
\]

(v) Proof of \( u(\cdot, t) \in C([0, q]; D^*(L^*)) \).

We will consider the following series
\[
U_m(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} d_k \omega_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left\{ \frac{\varphi_k - \psi_k}{\Delta_k} [E_{\alpha,1} (-\lambda_k t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha)] + \varphi \right\} \omega_k(x),
\]
\[
F_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left\{ \frac{-\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} E_{\alpha,1} (-\lambda_k q^\alpha) + \lambda_k \varphi_k \right\} \omega_k(x), \quad t \in [0, q]
\]
considering that \( (F_m, \omega_k) = (f, \omega_k) \), we have
\[
(cD_0^\alpha U_m, \omega_k) + T[U_m, \omega_k; t] = (f, \omega_k), \quad (0 \leq t \leq q, k = 1, 2, ...m), \tag{4.16}
\]
where
\[
T[U_m, \omega_k; t] = \int_0^t (U_{m,x} \omega_k, x + g U_m \omega_k) dx.
\]
Multiplying both side of (4.16) with \( d_k \) and sum from 1 to \( m \), we get
\[
(cD_0^\alpha U_m, U_m) + T[U_m, U_m; t] = (f, U_m), \quad (0 \leq t \leq q, k = 1, 2, ...m).
\]
Taking
\[ |(C D_{0t}^\alpha U_m, U_m)| \leq \| C D_{0t}^\alpha U_m \|_{L^2} \| U_m \|_{L^2}, \quad |(f, U_m)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| f \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| U_m \|_{L^2}^2, \]

Garding’s inequality [16, p.292], i.e.
\[ T [U_m, \omega_k; t] \geq \beta \| U_m \|_{H_0^2(0,1)}^2 - \gamma \| U_m \|_{L^2(0,1)}^2, \quad \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 0, \]

and as well \( \| U_m \|_{L^2} \leq \| u \|_{L^2} \), into account, we obtain
\[ \beta \| U_m \|_{H_0^2(0,1)}^2 \leq C \| f \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| U_m \|_{L^2}^2 + \| C D_{0t}^\alpha U_m \|_{L^2} \| U_m \|_{L^2}. \]
Thus
\[ \| U_m \|_{H_0^2(0,1)} \leq C (1 + \| g \|_{L^\infty}) \left( \| \varphi \|_{H_0^2} + \| \psi \|_{H_0^2} \right). \]

\( U_m \) is uniformly bounded in \( H_0^2(0,1) \) and as well
\[ \| U_m \|_{L^2([0,q]; H_0^2(0,1))} \leq C, \quad \| U_m \|_{L^\infty([0,q]; H_0^2(0,1))} \leq C. \]

There exists a subsequence \( \{ U_{m_i} \}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \{ U_m \}_{m=1}^\infty \), and \( u \in L^2 ([0,q]; H_0^1(0,1)) \) such that \( U_{m_i} \to u \) weakly.

By standard approximation arguments we see
\[ (C D_{0t}^\alpha u, v) + T [u, v; t] = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1. \]

Above \( u \) is unique for all \( t \in [0,q] \) and by definition it is the same as (3.23).

We rewrite it as
\[ T [u, v] = (\bar{h}, v), \]
where \( \bar{h} = f - C D_{0t}^\alpha u \in L^2(0,1) \) for all \( t \in [0,q] \).

From elliptic regularity theorem [17, p.317], we know \( u \in H^2(0,1) \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq q \) and
\[ \| u \|_{H^2(0,1)}^2 \leq C \left( \| \bar{h} \|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \| u \|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right) \leq C \left( \| f \|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \| C D_{0t}^\alpha u \|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \| u \|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right). \]

Since \( u'' = C D_{0t}^\alpha u - q(x)u - f(x) \), and \( C D_{0t}^\alpha u, u \) are continuous with respect to \( t \) in \( L^2 \), so
\[ \lim_{t \to 0} \| u(t + \bar{h}, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot) \|_{H^2(0,1)} \to 0. \]
Thus, \( u(x, t) \in C ([0,q]; D^\ast(L^\ast)) \).

Furthermore, by taking into consideration hyperbolic part, we get
\[ u(x, t) \in C([-p,q]; D^\ast(L^\ast)) \]
and \( C D_{0t}^\alpha u(\cdot,t) \in C([-p,0); L^2(0,1)) \). Some verifications of (3.11)-(3.15) can be found in Appendix.

In case \( \varphi(x) = \psi(x) \in H_0^2(0,1) \) we will get the same result.

**Theorem 4.1** Let \( g(x) \in L^\infty \) is positive, assume \( 0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < \beta < 2 \).

1. For any \( \varphi(x), \psi(x) \in H_0^2(0,1) \) and fixed \( p \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) if \( q \) is sufficient large; then the Problem 2 has unique weak solution and the following inequality is valid:
\[ \| u \|_{C([-p,q]; H^\alpha; H_0^1)} + \| C D_{0t}^\alpha u \|_{C([0,q]; L^2)} + \| C D_{0t}^\alpha u \|_{C([-p,0); L^2)} + \| f \|_{L^2} \leq \]
\[ \leq C \left( 1 + \| g \|_{L^\infty} + \| g \|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \left( \| \varphi \|_{H_0^1} + \| \psi \|_{H_0^1} \right). \]
2. If $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) \in H^2_0(0, 1)$;

$$
\|u\|_{C([-p,q];H^2 \cap H^0_0)} + \|CD^\alpha_0 u\|_{C([0,q];L^2)} + \left\|CD^\beta_0 u\right\|_{C([-p,0];L^2)} + \|f\|_{L^2} \leq C \left(1 + \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|g\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \left(\|\varphi\|_{H^3_0} + \|\psi\|_{H^3_0}\right),
$$

**Remark 4.3.** If $g \in H^1$, according to elliptic regularity theorem $\omega_k(x) \in H^3 \cap H^1_0$.
The embedding theorem deduce that

$$
\|\omega_k(x)\|_{C^2[0,1]} \leq C \|\omega_k(x)\|_{H^3 \cap H^1_0}.
$$

In this case, we actually can discuss the solution in classical case and get $u \in \mathcal{C}([-p,q];C^2(0,1))$.

**Remark 4.4.** Assume, $\varphi, \psi$ uniquely determine $(u, g_1, f_1)$ and $(v, g_2, f_2)$. Then we have the following relation between $g_i$ and $f_i$ ($i=1,2$):

$$
f_1(x) - g_1(x)v = f_2(x) - g_2(x)v, \ u \equiv v,
$$

which shows, we cannot uniquely determine $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ at the same time. But $u(t,x)$ does not depend on $g(x)$. Moreover, if $g_1 \equiv 1$, we get $\varphi, \psi$ from $f_1, u$, then if we know a prior $f_2$, we can recover $g_2$.

**Conclusion.**

1. Hyperbolic part of mixed equation has strong influence to the uniqueness and stability. Precisely, in case $\beta = 2$ (Problem 1), there are certain conditions to the $p$, but in purely fractional case of $\beta$, i.e. $1 < \beta < 2$ (Problem 2), we have uniqueness and stability without any restriction to $p$ as in the Lemma 3.2.

2. If we consider instead of $f(x)$ some function in form of $f(x)h(x,t)$ with known $h(x,t) \in \mathcal{C}^2$, which satisfies $|h| \geq \delta > 0$, then the problem can be studied similarly.

3. For general $n$-dimensional case with $\mathcal{L}^*$ is symmetric elliptic operator, the following properties hold,

$$
\|\omega_k\|_{H^\sigma} \leq C \lambda_k^{\sigma/2} \|\omega_k\|_{L^2}, \ \sigma = 0, 1, 2, \ C^{-1}k^{2/n} \leq \lambda_k \leq Ck^{2/n}
$$

for some $C$, we will have similar results.

**5 Appendix**

Let us verify first

$$(CD^\alpha_0 u(\cdot, t), \omega_k(x)) = CD^\alpha_0 (u(\cdot, t), \omega_k(x)), \ 0 \leq t \leq q. \quad (A.1)$$

Since $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in \mathcal{C}((0, q]; L^2(0,1))$, introducing

$$
J_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}u(\cdot, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\epsilon_1}^{t-\epsilon_2} (t-s)^{-\alpha} \frac{\partial u(\cdot, s)}{\partial s} ds,
$$
then \(J_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, 1), \epsilon_1 \leq t \leq q - \epsilon_2\) as proved in (iv). Further we have
\[
(J_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}u(\cdot, t), \omega_k) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_{\epsilon_1}^{t-\epsilon_2} (t-s)^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial u(\cdot, s)}{\partial s}, \omega_k \right) ds.
\]

For \(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \to 0\) we deduce
\[
(cD^\alpha_0 u(\cdot, t), \omega_k) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial u(\cdot, s)}{\partial s}, \omega_k \right) ds.
\]

Bearing in mind \(\left( \frac{\partial u(\cdot, s)}{\partial s}, \omega_k \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (u(\cdot, s), \omega_k)\), we obtain (A.1).

Verification of (3.13):
Using formula (2.2) from (3.23) one can easily get
\[
cD^\alpha_0 u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k t^\alpha) \right\} \omega_k(x), t \geq 0
\]
and differentiating (3.24) with respect to \(t\), we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} \left[ \cos \sqrt{\lambda_k} t - \sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_k} t \right] \right\} \omega_k(x), t \leq 0.
\]

According to (iii), we have
\[
\|cD^\alpha_0 u(\cdot, t) - u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L_2}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k (\varphi_k - \psi_k)}{\Delta_k} \left| E_{\alpha, 1} (-\lambda_k t^\alpha) - \cos \sqrt{\lambda_k} t - \sqrt{\lambda_k} \sin \sqrt{\lambda_k} t \right|^2.
\]

Taking \(|t| \to 0\), by the Theorem 2.2, the Lebesgue convergence theorem and under certain regularity conditions to the given functions \(\varphi, \psi\), we verify (3.13).

Verifications of (3.11), (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15) can be done by similar arguments.
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