A key feature of many neurodegenerative diseases is the accumulation and subsequent aggregation of misfolded proteins. Recent studies have highlighted the transcellular propagation of protein aggregates in several major neurodegenerative diseases, although the precise mechanisms underlying this spreading and how it relates to disease pathology remain unclear. Here we use a polyglutamine-expanded form of human huntingtin (Htt) with a fluorescent tag to monitor the spreading of aggregates in the Drosophila brain in a model of Huntington’s disease. Upon expression of this construct in a defined subset of neurons, we demonstrate that protein aggregates accumulate at synaptic terminals and progressively spread throughout the brain. These aggregates are internalized and accumulate within other neurons. We show that Htt aggregates cause non-cell-autonomous pathology, including loss of vulnerable neurons that can be prevented by inhibiting endocytosis in these neurons. Finally, we show that the release of aggregates requires N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein 1, demonstrating that active release and uptake of Htt aggregates are important elements of spreading and disease progression.

A key feature of many neurodegenerative diseases is the accumulation of protein aggregates. Lesions in each of these diseases are initially limited to defined regions of selectivity vulnerable neurons, but staging of pathology in Alzheimer’s disease (1), Parkinson’s disease (2, 3), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (4, 5), and Huntington’s disease (HD) (6) reveal broader deposition of pathological aggregates at more advanced stages of disease progression. The observation that the pathology appeared to progress into regions that were synaptically connected to the idea that pathology was spreading through neuronal circuits (7, 8). Converging lines of evidence demonstrated that aggregates of disease-associated misfolded proteins, including α-synuclein, tau, and superoxide dismutase 1, are in fact transmissible from cell to cell and that this transmission propagates throughout the brain (9, 10). More recently, mutant huntingtin (Htt) aggregates were also shown to spread between neurons in vivo (11).

Although the cell-to-cell spreading of pathogenic proteins has been demonstrated in several neurodegenerative diseases, the mechanism by which this spreading occurs, and how it contributes to pathology and later stages of disease progression, remain unclear. To gain a better understanding of how this protein spreading contributes to disease pathology, we sought to study this phenomenon in Drosophila. Drosophila has been used to create useful models of many neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson’s disease (12) and the polyglutamine (polyQ) expansion diseases spinocerebellar ataxia type 1 (13) and type 3 (14) as well as Huntington’s disease (15–18). These models have proven to reproduce many of the key structural and functional deficits associated with disease pathology and provide insight into the underlying mechanisms. For example, a recent study demonstrated a “prion-like” spread of huntingtin aggregates into phagocytic glia, cells which carry out a protective clearance function but also potentially contribute to spreading itself (19). One advantage to studying protein aggregate spreading in Drosophila is the ability to independently label and manipulate separate populations of neurons simultaneously by using the yeast Gal4/Upstream Activating Sequence (UAS) (20) and bacterial LexA/LexA operator (LexAop) (21) binary expression systems. Additionally, the ability to rapidly identify and characterize genetic and chemical modifiers of this spreading phenomenon should help unravel mechanisms responsible for spreading.

In this study, we demonstrate that mutant huntingtin aggregates accumulate at synaptic terminals in the antennal lobe of the Drosophila central brain when expressed in olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs). Over time, these aggregates begin to spread to various regions of the brain, where they are internalized by other populations of neurons, resulting in some instances in loss of these neurons. This neuronal loss is prevented by blocking endocytosis, suggesting that spreading requires active internalization of the pathogenic protein. We observe unique spreading patterns when huntingtin is expressed in different populations of neurons, supporting the idea that nearby cells and neuronal circuits are likely targets of spreading. However, rapid accumulation of aggregates far from the original source also suggests that transmission is not limited to these circuits. The release of aggregates depends on N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein 1 (NSF1), suggesting that soluble NSF attachment protein receptor (SNARE)-mediated fusion events are required for aggregate spreading. The extensive and efficient spread of huntingtin aggregates in the Drosophila brain that we report here provides a powerful experimental system for detailed genetic, molecular, and cellular analyses to dissect the underlying mechanisms and consequences.

**Results**

**Transmission of Mutant Htt Throughout the Brain.** To visualize Htt aggregates in the brain, we expressed a S88-aa N-terminal fragment of the human Htt gene containing exons 1–12 with an expanded triplet repeat.
polyQ tract of 138 repeats fused to monomorphic red fluorescent protein (mRFP) (UAS-mRFP.Htt.13Q) that was previously used to examine Htt aggregation kinetics in Drosophila (22). This fragment is a cleavage product formed by caspase-6 in HD (23) and is thus a biologically relevant fragment for use in a disease model. We expressed this construct with the Or83b-Gal4 driver to target expression in ORNs that project axons into the antennal lobe of the central brain (Fig. 1A). To prevent Htt aggregates from forming before adult flies emerged, we also used a temperature-sensitive Gal80 (tubulin-Gal80(TS)) (24) to repress Gal4 in flies raised at 18 °C. At the adult stage, the flies were shifted to 29 °C to repress Gal80 and allow Gal4 activation. We found that Htt aggregates initially accumulated at ORN terminals within the antennal lobe (Fig. 1B). However, as flies aged these aggregates spread throughout the brain within 25 d (Fig. 1C and D). To verify that Htt aggregates were spreading beyond the neurons in which mutant Htt was expressed, we simultaneously labeled ORN synaptic terminals with synaptotagmin-GFP (UAS-syt.eGFP). Although the GFP signal remained within the antennal lobe in 30-d-old flies, Htt.RFP aggregates were apparent throughout various brain regions (Fig. 1E–G). Brain areas accumulating Htt aggregates include the optic lobe (Fig. 1H, arrows) as well as a pair of large neurons on the posterior side of the brain (Fig. 1H, arrowheads). To test whether this spreading phenomenon is unique to the expanded polyQ form of Htt, we used a construct with a much shorter nonpathogenic polyQ tract, (UAS-mRFP.Htt.15Q) (22), which does not form aggregates, as a control. In these flies, the Htt.RFP remained within the antennal lobe (Fig. 1I–K), demonstrating that spreading of Htt aggregates is specific to the expanded polyQ form.

Internalization of Htt Aggregates by Large Posterior Neurons. One prominent area of accumulation of Htt aggregates following expression in ORNs is a pair of large posterior neurons (LPNs) with cell bodies located in the posterior protocerebrum (Fig. 2A and Movie S1). These neurons appear identical to the large cells labeled by the monoclonal antibody nb169 from the Würzburg hybridoma library (25, 26) (Fig. 2B). To confirm the nonautonomous accumulation of Htt.RFP aggregates in these neurons, we expressed Htt.RFP and mCD8-GFP in ORNs and stained with nb169. Once again, we used tubulin-Gal80(TS) to repress Gal4 in flies raised at 18 °C to prevent Htt aggregates from forming before adult flies emerged. Adult flies were shifted to 29 °C to repress Gal80 and allow Gal4 activation. Although initially there was no RFP staining in these cells (Fig. 2C–E), aggregates are seen within these cells by day 10 (Fig. 2F–I) and continue to increase in number as the flies age (Fig. 2J–L). The lack of GFP in the large posterior cells at both time points demonstrates that Or83b-Gal4 is not inappropriately driving expression of Htt.RFP in these neurons (Fig. 2E and H). These results confirm that the Htt aggregates are spreading from ORNs and internalized by the large posterior neurons.

Spreading of Htt Aggregates Causes Non–Cell-Autonomous Damage. Aggregates clearly spread beyond the original expression pattern and accumulate in other neurons. However, it remained unclear whether this spreading resulted in non–cell-autonomous damage, which has been demonstrated in neurodegenerative diseases. Recently, non–cell-autonomous neurodegeneration was demonstrated in a Drosophila model of Parkinson’s disease, where expression of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2-G2019S) in dopaminergic neurons caused cell death in photoreceptors (27). Additionally, human neurons integrated in Huntington’s disease mouse model brain slices developed abnormal morphology, including shorter and fewer primary and secondary neurites when bearing mutant huntingtin aggregates (11).

To examine occurrence of non–cell-autonomous pathology in our spreading model and to determine if blocking the uptake of aggregates would serve a neuroprotective function, we first searched through the Janelia Gal4 collection (28) to find GFP expression patterns that labeled the pair of large posterior cells so that they could be genetically manipulated independently of Gal4. We found one line (R44H11) that labeled a pair of large posterior neurons and also had an existing LexA line available. R44H11-LexA driving LexAop::GFP clearly labeled a pair of large neurons in the posterior protocerebrum (Fig. 3A). However, when we cotained with GFP and nb169, we found that neurons labeled with R44H11-LexA > LexAop::GFP and those positive for nb169 were separate pairs of neurons (Fig. 3B–D). When we drove expression of of Htt.RFP in ORNs using tubulin-Gal80(TS) to prevent Htt.RFP expression before eclosion and costained with nb169 and GFP, we observed a surprising result: Although we again saw aggregates of Htt.RFP in nb169-positive neurons, the large GFP-expressing cells were no longer detectable (Fig. 3E–L). These neurons were not lost when using the nonpathogenic

Fig. 1. Htt aggregates spread throughout the Drosophila brain. (A) Expression pattern of or83b-Gal4 in the Drosophila brain labeling the antennal lobe. Neuropil is labeled by anti-Brd (blue) (B–D). Aggregates of Htt.RFP.13Q expressed in ORNs become more widely distributed throughout the brain as a function of age. (E–G) PolyQ-expanded Htt aggregates (red) spread far beyond ORN terminals marked by syt.eGFP (green). (H) Expanded view of G to illustrate Htt aggregates within large posterior neurons (arrowheads) and in the optic lobe (arrows). (I–K) Nonpathogenic Htt.RFP is confined to synaptic terminals in the antennal lobe. (Scale bar in D, 50 μm, also applies to A–C; scale bar in H, 50 μm; and scale bar in K, 50 μm, also applies to E–G, I, and J)
Htt.RFP.15Q controls (Fig. 3 M–P). These results suggest that expression of Htt.RFP in ORNs results in the loss of large posterior cells labeled by R4H11-LexA > LexAop::GFP within 10 d.

If this loss of neurons was due to the uptake of mutant huntingtin, we hypothesized that blocking endocytosis in these neurons could be neuroprotective. To test this hypothesis, we expressed a temperature-sensitive form of dynamin, encoded by shibire (LexAop-shi<sup>ts1</sup>) (29), which acts in a dominant negative manner at restrictive temperatures. Flies were raised at 18 °C until eclosion to repress Gal4 expression and then shifted to 32 °C, the restrictive temperature for shibire<sup>ts1</sup>. This same temperature also relieves Gal80<sup>pd1</sup>-induced repression of Gal4 to ensure that Htt aggregation would not begin before impairing endocytosis in the target cells. When endocytosis was blocked in the R4H11-LexA-expressing cells by coexpressing shibire<sup>ts1</sup>, we found that these neurons were no longer lost (Fig. 3 Q–Y). These data demonstrate non–cell-autonomous neurodegeneration in our model and further indicate that blocking endocytosis is protective in otherwise vulnerable neurons.

Aggregate Spreading Patterns Vary Using Different Gal4 Drivers. Recent studies have shown that the transmission of pathogenic proteins often spreads through neuronal circuits (7, 8). If propagation in our model were primarily through circuits, we would expect to see very different patterns of aggregate accumulation when mutant huntingtin is expressed in different regions of the brain. When Htt.RFP was expressed in ORNs, we saw widespread accumulation throughout the brain, with certain areas of high concentration such as the nb169-positive cells.

To test whether a similar type of spreading occurs in different populations of neurons, we expressed UAS-htt.RFP.138Q together with UAS-mCD8-GFP in various subsets of neurons in the Drosophila brain. First, we used Gr32a-Gal4 to drive expression in a subset of gustatory receptor neurons that send axonal projections to the subesophageal ganglion (30) (Fig. 4 A–C). In 10-d-old adults, Htt aggregates can be seen spreading far beyond the subesophageal ganglion (Fig. 4 D–F). In particular, aggregates were seen in prominent projections to dorsal areas of the central brain (Fig. 4E, arrow). By day 24, the aggregation pattern was much more diffuse. At this point, individual projections do not stand out as much, but rather the entire area of the central brain was covered (Fig. 4 G–I). Note that there was not much accumulation in the optic lobes as observed with Or83b-Gal4.

Next, we used GMR-Gal4 to drive expression in photoreceptors in the optic lobe (31) (Fig. 4 J–L). By day 6 after eclosion, we found Htt aggregates beyond the GFP expression pattern within the optic lobe, as well as within neurons located in the central brain (Fig. 4 M–O and S–U, arrows in T and U). By day 25 the spreading was again more diffuse, with aggregates present in regions throughout the entire brain (Fig. 4 P–R). These results reveal the capacity of protein aggregates to spread from various neurons in the Drosophila brain, with both local neurons and synaptic partners contributing to some degree to the unique patterns of accumulation depending on the initial expression pattern.

Spreading of Htt Aggregates Requires NSF1 and Dynamin. Previous work has shown that pharmacological application of tetanus toxins to inhibit the SNARE machinery prevents the spread of mutant huntingtin aggregates in cultured cells (11). To test the requirement of SNARE-mediated fusion events in the spread of Htt aggregates throughout the Drosophila brain, we knocked down expression of NSF1, encoded by comatose (comt). NSF1 is required for the disassembly and recycling of SNARE complexes involved in synaptic transmission (32–34) and is also required for fusion events involving lysosomal trafficking and autophagy (35). To inhibit NSF1 function in neurons expressing UAS-mRFP,
Htt\textsuperscript{138Q}, we coexpressed UAS-com\textsuperscript{RNAi} (36). Although spreading of Htt aggregates from photoreceptors into the central brain is evident by day 10 in controls (Fig. 5\textsuperscript{A}), the amount of spreading beyond photoreceptors is significantly diminished upon coexpression of UAS-com\textsuperscript{RNAi} (Fig. 5\textsuperscript{B}). We found similar results when examining spreading from ORNs, where coexpression of UAS-com\textsuperscript{RNAi} resulted in less abundant spreading beyond the antennal lobe in anterior regions of the brain by day 10 (Fig. 5\textsuperscript{C} and D) as well as a lack of spreading to a pair of large cells on the posterior side of the brain (Fig. 5\textsuperscript{E}, \textsuperscript{F}, and \textsuperscript{K}). These results demonstrate that NSF1 and SNARE-mediated fusion events are required for the spread of HTT aggregates between neurons in the Drosophila brain. We found similar results by interfering with dynamin function in ORNs, where coexpression of UAS-shi\textsuperscript{ts1} resulted in a significant decrease in the number of aggregates present in large posterior neurons by day 10 (Fig. 5\textsuperscript{G}–\textsuperscript{J} and \textsuperscript{L}), further demonstrating that spreading of Htt aggregates requires the exocytotic machinery.

Transmission Is Not Observed in All PolyQ-Expanded Aggregate-Prone Proteins. Is the pathogenic spreading observed by expression of mutant huntingtin common to all polyQ-expanded proteins? We
first tested whether a different polyQ-expanded Htt construct would spread similarly to the 588-aa N-terminal fragment. Interestingly, we found that expression of a polyQ-expanded Htt exon 1 fragment (UAS-Htt.96Q-GFP exon 1) (22) resulted in accumulation of Htt.GFP aggregates in ORN that failed to spread beyond the antennal lobe by day 10 (Fig. S1A). This result suggests that aggregation of the polyQ-expanded exon 1 fragment is not sufficient to induce spreading. One possible reason for this difference in spreading behavior from the 588-aa N-terminal fragment is the presence of additional protein interaction sites included in the latter fragment (37).

To test whether other pathogenic proteins with polyQ expansions show similar spreading behavior as the 588-aa Htt fragment, we expressed a truncated ataxin-3 construct with a pathogenic polyQ expansion (UAS-MJDtr-Q78) (14) with a hemagglutinin (HA) tag used to model spinocerebellar ataxia type 3 in Drosophila. Similar to Htt, we find that aggregates can be seen at ORN terminals in young flies (Fig. S1B). Although the number of aggregates increases by day 30, we do not observe widespread deposition of aggregates elsewhere in the brain as we did with the 588-aa Htt fragment (Fig. S1C). These results suggest that propagation of protein aggregates is not a feature of all polyglutamine-expanded proteins. It will be interesting to compare the properties of pathogenic proteins that spread versus those that do not to identify key mechanisms responsible for transmission.

**Discussion**

The ability of misfolded proteins to aggregate and spread throughout the brain has major implications for neurodegenerative diseases. However, there are still many unanswered questions regarding how spreading occurs and its consequences for disease progression. Here we demonstrate that mutant huntingtin aggregates spread throughout the Drosophila brain. Although aggregates initially accumulate at ORN synaptic terminals in the antennal lobe, over time these aggregates are distributed more broadly to the far posterior and lateral regions of the brain. After release from ORN terminals, we found that Htt aggregates become internalized in other populations of neurons. The most prominent accumulation we noticed was in a pair of large, possibly peptidergic neurons in the posterior protocerebrum.

Selective vulnerability of particular neurons is a common feature of many neurodegenerative diseases, including HD (38, 39). In HD there is a lack of correlation between neurons in which aggregates accumulate and neuronal loss. For example, striatal spiny projection neurons are particularly vulnerable in HD, yet these neurons accumulate far fewer aggregates than striatal interneurons (40). We observed a similar outcome in our model: neurons labeled with the nb169 monoclonal antibody accumulate Htt aggregates but they do not seem vulnerable to cell death. In contrast, neighboring neurons that express the R44H11-LexA driver are lost within 10 d after eclosion. One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the most vulnerable neurons simply are not viable long enough to accumulate a quantity of Htt aggregates. Therefore, the only neurons where accumulation of aggregates can be seen in abundance are those that are most resistant to the toxic effects of the aggregates. Whereas the underlying cause of this selective vulnerability remains unknown, some leading ideas include differences in the microenvironment, metabolic activity, and translational machinery between neuronal populations (41, 42).

One striking result was that loss of the R44H11-LexA–expressing GFP* neurons was prevented by blocking endocytosis in these cells. This result suggests that Htt.RFP protein is actively internalized by target neurons. Transmission of α-synuclein between cells in culture also depends on endocytosis (43), demonstrating that there may be some similarities between various pathogenic proteins in mechanism of transfer. Although we did not observe large aggregates in R44H11-LexA–expressing cells before loss of these neurons, it is possible that monomers or
Fig. 5. Spreading of Htt aggregates requires exocytosis. (A) Spreading pattern of Htt aggregates (red) into the central brain by day 10 when expressed in photoreceptors using GMR-Gal4. (B) Spreading pattern of Htt aggregates when UAS-comtRNAi is coexpressed to inhibit SNARE-mediated fusion. UAS-LacZ is coexpressed in A to standardize the number of transgenes expressed. (C and D) Anterior view of spreading pattern of Htt aggregates when expressed in ORNs using Or83b-Gal4 along with UAS-LacZ (C) or UAS-comtRNAi (D). (E and F) Posterior view of spreading pattern from C and D, respectively. Arrowheads in E mark large posterior cells with accumulated Htt aggregates in control, but not UAS-comtRNAi brains. (G and H) Anterior view of spreading pattern of Htt aggregates when expressed in ORNs using Or83b-Gal4 along with UAS-LacZ (G) or UAS-ShiRNAi (H). (I and J) Posterior view of spreading pattern from G and H, respectively. Arrowheads in I mark large posterior cells with accumulated Htt aggregates in control, but not UAS-ShiRNAi brains. Neurorip is labeled by anti-Brp (blue). (K) Bar graph showing the number of RFP puncta per cell in control and UAS-comtRNAi brains. Neurorip is labeled by anti-Brp (blue). (Scale bar in B, 50 μm, also applies to A; scale bar in D, 50 μm, also applies to C and E–J) (K) The number of HttRFP aggregates found within large posterior neurons at day 10 in controls compared with UAS-comtRNAi (K) or UAS-ShiRNAi (L) brains. ***P < 0.001 using Student’s t test. Black bars represent mean values for each condition.
in which they are first expressed. By taking advantage of Drosophila to characterize spreading of other aggregate-prone proteins, it should now be possible to define the precise cellular and molecular mechanisms that are responsible and to determine why some proteins are more likely to undergo spreading.

Materials and Methods

Fly Strains. Flies were raised on standard Drosophila medium at 25 °C unless otherwise noted. UAS-mRFP.Htt.138Q, UAS-mRFP.Htt.15Q, and UAS-Htt.SQ-GFP exon 1 (22) were obtained from Troy Little (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA). 13kLexAop2p-IVS-Syn21-Shibire36 (pIR104) and UAS-TTS-Shibire36 (pIR100) (29) were provided by Gerald Rubin (Janelia Farm Research Campus, Ashburn, VA). The following lines were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center at Indiana University: UAS-G2a-Gal4 (no. 23392) (46), UAS-syt.eGFP (47), GMR-Gal4 (31), Gr23a-Gal4 (30), R44H11-LeXa (28, 48), UAS-mCD8-GFP, UAS-LacZ, LexAop-mCD8-GFP, Tubulin.Gal80T14 (24), UAS-MDIn7Q-(14) UAS-mCD8-mCherry. UAS-comtRNAi (no. 105552) was obtained from the Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center.

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described (85). Brains were dissected in PBS and fixed in 4% (vol/vol) formaldehyde at room temperature for 20 min. Brains were then incubated in blocking buffer (PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100 and 0.1% normal goat serum) for 1 h. Samples were then placed in primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. After five washes in PBS, samples were incubated in secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature.
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