INTRODUCTION

Neuromuscular stimulators can produce electrical pulses, which can cause excitation of peripheral nerves and, consequently contraction of musculature tissue. Therewith, the use of electrical stimulation by physical therapists to increase or maintain muscle performance has gained popularity. Some factors such as well-being of the patient, location of electrodes, frequency and current intensity, encouraged researchers to investigate medium and low frequency currents in an attempt to minimize the discomforting as a result of the use of neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES). Recruitment of motor units during voluntary contraction follows the principles of that the cell body size of motor neurons determines the order in which motor units are activated. Typically, the type I (slow-twitch) fibers are recruited before the type II (fast-twitch) fibers according to the increasing in muscular contraction. Additionally, the order
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of recruitment of type II fibers occurs in the following order: First type IIa fibers and after type IIb fibers.

Studies involving electrical stimulation have suggested a selective recruitment and preferred the fast-twitch fibers (type II). This hypothesis, however, is based on the results of fatigue studies in humans, demonstrating limited scientific evidence.

The force of contraction produced by NMES has been reported, in some studies, as being higher than the maximum voluntary contraction. However, some studies report that use of NMES produces a significantly lower contraction force or equal force to the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC). Therefore, in untrained individuals can generate greater force than the MVC by electrical stimulation.

The low-frequency electric current is that less than 1000Hz and are generally used for muscle contraction below 100Hz. The stimulation frequency of 50Hz is fast enough to cause muscular contraction even in motor units of rapidly contraction. Nevertheless, some studies using lower frequencies (30-35Hz) achieved positive results. In other investigated the influence of NMES in producing muscular force using low-frequency current (70Hz, 250µs). The torque generated by the electrical current was 45.05% of the maximum voluntary contraction and superposition of electrical stimulation to the MVC showed no significant increase in the knee extensor torque in the individuals analyzed.

The medium-frequency alternating current is defined as current frequency between 1 and 10,000Hz. Interferential current (4,000Hz) and Russian current (2,500 Hz) are the most popular NMES utilized. The reason to use higher frequency than 1000Hz is that the skin works as a barrier to capacitive current flow. As the current frequency applied increases, the skin impedance decreases. Above 1,000 Hz skin impedance is low, less energy is dissipated to the surface of epidermis and a larger proportion of energy is intended to stimulate deeper tissues. Thus, it is expected that the devices provide medium frequency current (1-10,000Hz) may be able to produce higher levels of muscle contraction with less discomfort than the low frequency stimulators.

It is important to considered well-being of patient during training with electrical stimulation because the discomfort is considered one of the limiting factors in the use of NMES in promoting increased muscle strength. An ideal electrical stimulator should be able to produce muscle strength with the least possible discomfort during stimulation. A Russian physiologist indicated that using frequency of 2,500Hz; he was able to increase the strength of the subjects by 30-40% in 20 stimulation sessions. Each session is consisted of 10 contractions in 10 seconds each with 50 seconds rest.

Taking into account the physical and physiological differences of electric current in low and medium frequency and the lack of studies, this research aimed to verify the effect of neuromuscular electrical stimulation of medium and low frequency in the generation of torque in quadriceps femoral muscle in healthy subjects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a cross-sectional randomized controlled blind study. Thirty healthy individuals of both sexes (12 male, 18 female) where selected, average age of 24.67 year (SD 3.70), weight 65.62Kg (SD 15.21) and height 1.69m (SD 0.11). Potential participants were excluded if they presented muscular recent lesion, knee injury, sensory changes, neuromuscular disease, morbid obesity and pregnancy. The project was cleared by the Institution’s Ethics Committee (CEP 2.178.833) and all patients signed an Informed Consent Form. The choice of dominant lower limb was randomized with sealed envelopes. Thus, 16 right lower limbs and 14 left were selected (53.3% and 46.7%, respectively). Before being submitted to isokinetic evaluation, the volunteers performed the following protocol: 10 minutes by bicycle without load for warming.
RESULTS

The measures of the variables of interest (MVIC) were obtained from the same person in three evaluations. This fact highlights the association between data collected in different frequencies. In view of this, to study the behavior of the MVIC variable in each situation considered, we apply analysis of variance (ANOVA).

It was found that there is difference between three measurements performed (Table 1). Statistically significant difference was found when compared MVIC-MF measures with MVIC-LF (p=0.02) measures. When compare MVIC-MF with MVIC, the values for the average frequency was higher (p=0.03), resulting statistically significant difference. Finally, comparing MVIC-LF with MVIC, there were no statistically significant difference (p=0.52).

DISCUSSION

The use of NMES to gain muscle strength or performance in high-level athletes has been employed with frequencies by physiotherapists who work in sports. It was found that the medium frequency current is more comfortable and is able to generate a peak torque significantly higher than the low-frequency current.

Our findings may be based on the explanation that the higher the frequency, the lower the impedance to current flow and therefore more comfortable perception thereof. Thus, a larger current magnitude is permitted using the medium frequency current.

Another hypothesis for the increasing of peak torque with the medium frequency current is that current has been generated by a clinical device, as portable devices (battery) are not displayed when the goal is the strength of recovery femoral quadriceps muscle in patients undergoing reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament.14 Difficulties were found when comparing the results of this study to another works in literature because of differences in isokinetic evaluation protocols. In this study we choose the angle 60° of knee flexion, because in this angulation the isometric muscle contraction reaches its maximum peak torque.

The sequence of NMES application was randomized to minimize the bias of muscle fatigue when generated by the medium current, low frequency or MVIC.

The electrodes positioning was based on the direction of quadriceps muscle fibers, with the focus on the rectus femoral and vastus medialis oblique. The placement of the electrodes can interfere with the extensor torque of the quadriceps muscle and that this type of placement is effective in producing a significant peak torque.15 Moreover, this parameter must be considered when using electrical stimulation or during interpretation studies.

The fact of the medium frequency current generate a peak torque average greater than the low frequency does not necessarily imply its effectiveness when introduced into a strengthening muscular program. In other study evaluated the isokinetic strength of the quadriceps muscle in healthy subjects after 3 weeks of training with medium and low-frequency current, finding a significant increase after training in both groups, but there was no difference between them.16 However, medium frequency current can lead to increasing muscle fatigue when compared with the low frequency current.17 This study was conducted in healthy and young adults. Thus, we cannot extrapolate our results to individuals who have a muscular deficit due to some involvement of the lower limb, as well as in patients undergoing knee surgery. A systematic review concluded that in healthy volunteers, exercises can be equally or more effective than electrical stimulation and the realization of an associated contraction appears to be relevant to the effectiveness of neuromuscular electrical stimulation. However, reports that more research is needed to quantify and establish the optimal parameters of electric current.

Authors investigated muscle biopsy before and immediately after medium frequency electrical stimulation (2500Hz, 50Hz to 80% of maximum isometric torque).18 A decrease in glycogen of type II fibers was found, which according to the authors support the use of medium frequency electrical stimulation as a viable technique to be used in patients with impairment of type II fibers.

In the other study, author reports that electrical stimulation of 2500Hz was applied to the triceps and biceps, with a 10-second contraction time and standby time of 50 seconds.19 This study evaluated strength, endurance, perimeter and fat percentage. These findings suggest that electrical stimulation alone is not an appropriate form of strength training in healthy subjects. In addition, to gain strength voluntary exercise should be worked with.

In other study, authors evaluated that electrical stimulation (2500Hz) is able to increase strength compared to an isometric exercise protocol.20 After 25 sessions, there was no significant difference in increasing strength in the group of isometric exercises and the group stimulated electrically.

Although the present study did not have done any training to gain muscle strength, it may be suggested that the electrical stimulation with medium frequency promotes higher muscle fiber recruitment to gain muscle strength, because of the significant peak torque measurements increase.

CONCLUSION

Individuals when submitted to MVIC-MF showed higher peak torque than when subjected to MVIC-BF and MVIC alone. Thus it can be concluded that maximal voluntary isometric contraction associated with medium frequency NMES was more effectiveness than other situations of MVIC.
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