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Abstract

Floods are one of the frequent natural hazards occurring in Kerala because of the remarkably high annual rate of rainfall. The objective of this study is to prepare the flood susceptibility maps of the Ernakulam district by integrating remote sensing data, GIS, and analytical hierarchy process (AHP), and fuzzy-analytical hierarchy process methods. Factors such as slope angle, soil types (texture), land use/land cover, stream density, water ratio index, normalized difference built-up index, topographic wetness index, stream power index, aspect, sediment transport index have been selected. The area of the final maps is grouped into five flood susceptible zones, ranging from very low to very high. The major reasons for flood occurrence in Ernakulam district are the combined effect of multiple factors such as excess silting, reduction of stream width due to human intervention, and changes in land cover and land use pattern, lower slope, higher soil moisture content, lower stream capacity, and poor infiltration capacity of soils. The prepared map was validated using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve method. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) values of 0.75 and 0.81 estimated by the ROC curve method for the AHP and F-AHP methods is considered acceptable and excellent, which confirms the prediction capability of the prepared maps. The very high susceptible zone constitutes around 19% of the district. This map is useful for land-use planners and policymakers to adopt strategies which will reduce the impact of flood hazard and damage in the future.
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1. Introduction

Flooding is one of the natural hazards that often cause significant damage to property and loss of life (Merkuryeva et al. 2015). This condition can arise from diverse hydrological processes, such as high tide levels, precipitation, high groundwater levels, and high river flows (Acreman and Holden 2013). Fluvial floods can be defined as the overflowing of streams or other water bodies of accumulated water over areas that are not normally inundated with water (Pratomo et al. 2016). The frequency of flooding is expected to increase due to unscientific modifications of drainage channels and unplanned development in the drainage basin because of urbanization, deforestation, and prolonged rainfall (Tehrany et al. 2015). Fluvial floods can occur due to the clogging of river channels because of sedimentation (Martin-Vide et al. 2014), snowmelt, or, in rare cases, dam collapse (Acreman and Holden 2013). Multiple factors, including heavy rainfall, poor infiltration capability of the soil, climate change, changes in land-use patterns, can lead to flooding (Ali et al. 2020). Floods can cause infrastructure losses (transportation networks, communication networks, etc.), residential losses, public facilities losses, and agricultural losses (damages to land, productivity, crop loss), and impact on water quality, accessibility, and availability (Petersen 2001; Smiley and Hambati 2019). Drowning, electrical injuries, and hypothermia are the direct health consequences, while indirect health effects include displacement of populations, intermittent disruption of public health services, lower income, and insufficient temporary living conditions (Allaire 2018).
Flooding can cause mortality, injuries, mental disorder, and transmission of fecal-oral diseases (Cholera, Cryptosporidiosis, Diarrhea, Poliomyelitis, Rotavirus, Typhoid, Paratyphoid, etc.), rodent-borne diseases (Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome, Leptospirosis, etc.), vector-borne diseases (Malaria, Lymphatic filariasis, and Arbovirus disease) (Ahern et al. 2005).

Flooding is one of the frequently occurring natural hazards in India. India is one of the worst-affected countries in the world after Bangladesh (Panda and Sahoo 2015). About 40 million hectares (almost 12% of the area) of India are susceptible to floods (Husain 2012). India receives 75% of the rain during the S-W monsoon season (June–September) (Agnihotri and Mohapatra 2012). Most of the rivers overflow during this period, resulting in intense recurring floods. The devastating floods of 2018 and 2019 caused significant damage to infrastructure, property and resulted in hundreds of deaths in Kerala (Mishra and Shah 2018; Government of Kerala 2019; Hunt and Menon 2020). The flood-hit Kerala faced diseases such as Chikungunya, Dengue, Cholera, Typhoid, Hepatitis, and Leptospirosis (Jobin and Prakash 2020). Leptospirosis has long been a major threat to Kerala with more than 1000 cases reported annually (James et al. 2018). By providing the public with accurate information on flood risk through flood susceptibility maps, the damages and losses can be minimized.

Remote sensing (RS) and GIS have made significant contributions in disaster management studies such as those related to floods (Brivio et al. 2002; Dewan et al. 2006; Fernández and Lutz 2010; Paquette and Lowry 2012; Ajin et al. 2013; Tiryaki and Karaca 2018; Ajin et al. 2019; Ullah and Zhang 2020; Msabi and Makonyo 2021), droughts (Krishna et al. 2009; Muthumanickam et al. 2011; Belal et al. 2014; Legesse and Suryabhagavan 2014; Dutta et al. 2015; Orimoloye et al. 2019), forest fires (Adab et al. 2013; Ajin et al. 2018; Jaiswal et al. 2002; Abedi Gheshlaghi 2019; Bentekhici et al. 2020; Parajuli et al. 2020), landslides (Shahabi and Hashim 2015; Ajin et al. 2016; Anis et al. 2019; Berhane et al. 2020). The AHP method has been effectively used by many researchers (Rahman et al. 2019; Vojtek and Vojteková 2019; Das 2020; Domakinis et al. 2020; Souissi et al. 2020; Swain et al. 2020) to demarcate flood susceptible zones. While researchers like Bouamrane et al. (2020) and Kumi-Boateng et al. (2020) used both AHP and F-AHP methods to delineate flood susceptible zones. The objectives of this study are to delineate the flood susceptible zones in the Ernakulam district by integrating RS data and GIS, to compare the prediction capability of both AHP and F-AHP methods, to understand and analyze the recent induced reasons for the occurrence of floods. Ten causative factors, namely slope angle, soil types (texture), land use/land cover (LULC), stream density, water ratio index (WRI), normalized difference built-up index (NDBI), topographic wetness index (TWI), stream power index (SPI), slope aspect, and sediment transport index (STI) have been selected for the mapping process.

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Study area

The study area, Ernakulam district is situated almost in the middle of Kerala State and on the coast of the Arabian Sea. The district lies between the longitude of 76° 16' 48.00” and latitude of 9° 58' 48.00” N and spans an area of about 3068 Sq.Km (Figure 1). The district is bounded on the North by Thrissur District, on the south by Kottayam and Alappuzha Districts, and on the east by Idukki district and the Arabian Sea lies all along the western boundary of the District. The climate in the area is tropical humid, with a long hot season and plenty of seasonal rainfall. A part of the Western Ghats forms the hilly tract along the eastern portion. Figure 1 shows the location of the study area.
The district receives an average 3450 mm of annual rainfall. South-west monsoon contributes about 67.4% to annual rainfall. About 30 percent of the area is urban where about 49 percent of the district’s population live (Census, 2011).

3.2. Data source

The Ernakulam district is covered by topographic maps numbered 58 B/4, 58 B/7, 58 B/8, 58 B/11, 58 B/12, 58 B/15, 58 B/16, 58 C/1, 58 C/5, 58 C/9, 58 F/3, 58 F/4 at a scale of 1:50,000. The data used in this study include Soil topographic maps, Landsat 8 OLI (Operational land imager) satellite images of 30 m spatial resolution, SRTM (Shuttle radar topography mission) DEM (Digital elevation model) of 30 m spatial resolution, and soil data collected from the Kerala State Land Use Board (KSLUB) at 1:250,000 scale. After projecting the data to Universal Transverse Mercator (WGS 84; 43N), the thematic map layers of the factors such as slope, soil, land use/land cover, stream density, WRI, NDBI, TWI, SPI, aspect, and STI were generated using ESRI ArcGIS 10.8 and ERDAS Imagine 8.4 software tools. The map layers of factors such as slope, stream density, WRI, NDBI, TWI, SPI, aspect, and STI were classified using the natural breaks (Jenks) classification method (Mersha and Meten 2020; Ahmadlou et al. 2021). The thematic map layers were resampled to 30 m×30 m pixel size and the flood susceptibility maps were created using ArcGIS (Map algebra) tools after assigning the AHP and F-AHP weights. The AHP weights were computed using Microsoft Excel and the F-AHP weights were determined using FisPro 3.7. The flood susceptibility maps were validated using the flood inundation data of the years 2013, 2018 and 2019 collected from the records of the National Remote Sensing Centre (NRSC), Hyderabad, India. The ROC curves were plotted using the RStudio software to validate the prepared susceptibility maps.

3.3. Causative factors

Slope angle

The rate of infiltration and volume of water retention decreases with an increase in slope (Tehrany et al. 2017). The slope of the study area was derived from the SRTM DEM using ArcGIS spatial analyst tools. The slope angle of the Ernakulam district has been classified into five classes including, 0 – 5.00, 5.00 – 11.88, 11.88 – 21.26, 21.26 – 33.46, and 33.46 – 79.75 (Figure 2). In this district, areas most seriously affected by floods have lower slope values (0 – 5.00°).

Soil types

Soil types are important as far as the vulnerability to flooding of an area is concerned. Soils with lower porosity have less pore spaces and hence a lower infiltration rate, and the decrease in infiltration leads to higher runoff and greater flooding potential (Gregory et al. 2016). The steady-state infiltration rate of sand, loam, and clay are > 0.8 in/hr, 0.2-0.4in/hr, and 0.04-0.2 in/hr respectively (Hillel 1982). The infiltration during rainfall events is controlled by hydraulic conductivity, and because of larger pores, sandy soil has higher hydraulic conductivity than fine-textured soils (Prachansri 2017). As a result, areas with clayey soil are more prone to flooding. The soil layer was digitized from the soil map published by KSLUB in ESRI ArcGIS 10.6. In general, five different types of soil are observed (Figure 3) as clay, gravelly clay, loam, gravelly loam, and sand.
The land cover of a region is usually classified based on the amount and type of vegetation, which reflects its use, environment, cultivation, and seasonal phenology (El Morjani et al. 2017). The urban and industrial areas have been subjected to topographic modification due to human intervention. These areas are mainly made of impervious surfaces such as buildings and roads, which reduce the volume of water naturally available for infiltration and hence increase the volume of overland flow causing floods (Gigović et al. 2017). Built-up areas obstruct natural drainage, leading to flooding. The land use and land cover types were derived from the Landsat 8 OLI satellite image acquired in the year 2020 using ERDAS Imagine software. The maximum likelihood classifier (Ayele et al. 2018; Alam et al. 2020) was used to classify the different land use/land cover types of the district. The land use/land cover types of the district are deciduous forest, evergreen forest, scrubland, barren land, built-up area, agricultural land, wetland, mixed vegetation, and water body (Figure 4). In the present study, the flood-affected areas are intensely cultivated terrains, especially the paddy fields, and hence, the loss of agricultural land and related economic losses will be remarkably high.

Stream density
Stream densities are closely linked to various hydrological processes such as infiltration, saturation of the soil, sheet erosion, overland flows, and the interactions between them to regulate sediment and runoff (Moglen et al. 1998). However, higher stream density need not imply a higher rate of runoff. This is because the stream capacity depends on the width, depth, and length of drainage channels. In the study area, the distributaries of the mainstream are narrow, tortuous, and shallow. Therefore, water retention leads to flooding. In addition to this, the existing narrow stream channels in the lower parts of the study area are partially blocked due to heavy silting. This explains the frequent flooding of the lower stretches of the Ernakulam district. The stream networks were digitized from the SoI topographic maps and the stream density layer was prepared using ArcGIS spatial analyst tools. The stream density of the study area is grouped into five classes (Figure 5). They are 0–1.47 km/km², 1.47–3.17 km/km², 3.17–6.02 km/km², 6.02–12.78 km/km², and 12.78–26.94 km/km².

WRI
WRI of the Ernakulam district was derived from the Landsat 8 OLI images using Equation 1 (Shen and Li 2010) and ArcGIS tools.

\[
WRI = \frac{(\text{Green} + \text{Red})}{(\text{NIR} + \text{SWIR})}
\]  

The WRI value above 1 represents water (Shen and Li 2010). The WRI of the study area ranges between 0.26 and 1.42 (Figure 6) and is categorized into five classes (0.26–0.46, 0.46–0.56, 0.56–0.70, 0.70–0.98, and 0.98–1.42). The chance of flooding is high in areas with higher WRI.

NDBI
NDBI is a satellite-derived index that represents urban built-up areas (Bhatti and Tripathi 2014). The NDBI value close to 0 represents woodland, the NDBI value less than 0 represents a body of water, and the NDBI value greater than 0 represents built-up areas (Zha et al. 2003). NDBI was extracted using Equation 2 (Shahfahad et al. 2020) and ArcGIS spatial analyst tools.
$$NDBI = \frac{SWIR-\text{NIR}}{SWIR+\text{NIR}}$$ (2)

NDBI of Ernakulam district is grouped into five classes: -0.43 - -0.21, -0.21 – -0.14, -0.14 – -0.07, -0.07 – 0.00, and 0.00 – 0.43 (Figure 7). The chance of flooding is high in areas with higher NDBI.

**TWI**

TWI represents the soil moisture content and surface saturation (Yong et al. 2012). Higher soil moisture content and soil saturation favour flooding (Ho-Hagemann et al. 2015). When the saturation level increases, the local groundwater table rises. Eventually, the zone of aeration becomes fully saturated, setting the condition for flooding. Therefore, the areas with higher TWI are more prone to flooding. The TWI of the study area was derived from the SRTM DEM. TWI was computed using Equation 3 (Beven and Kirkby 1979) and spatial analyst tools with ArcGIS software.

$$\text{TWI} = \ln(\alpha/\tan\beta)$$ (3)

Where $\alpha$ is the specific catchment area ($A = A/L$, catchment area ($A$) divided by contour length ($L$)) and $\beta$ is the local slope.

The present study has classified the district into 5 classes (0.61 – 6.82, 6.82 – 19.38, 19.38 – 41.61, 41.61 – 80.26, and 80.26 – 246.45) based on TWI as shown in Figure 8. This study confirms the fact that the areas affected by frequent floods in the study area are characterized by the highest TWI (80.26-246.45).

**SPI**

SPI is the water flow power in terms of erosion (Altin and Gökkaya 2015). It determines the capacity of a river to carry sediment (Bizzi and Lerner 2015). In the upstream segment, because of higher stream power, the streams can erode and transport a significant volume of debris. The channels become shallow and meandering when the stream power is declined, resulting in overbank deposition of sediment (Graf 1983). This is a major reason for flooding on the lower plains. The SPI was derived from the SRTM-DEM. SPI was calculated using Equation 4 (Moore et al. 1991).

$$\text{SPI} = \alpha \tan \beta$$ (4)

Where $\alpha$ is the specific catchment area ($A = A/L$, catchment area ($A$) divided by contour length ($L$)) and $\beta$ is the local slope.

The present study has classified the Ernakulam district into 5 classes (-38.15 – -5.19, -5.19 – -2.08, -2.08 – -0.65, -0.65 – 0.29, and 0.29 – 22.75) based on the stream power index as shown in the map (Figure 9). It was found that most of the flood-affected areas have the lowest SPI (-38.15 – -5.19).

**Slope aspect**

The slope aspect (Figure 10) of the study area was prepared from the SRTM DEM using ArcGIS spatial analyst tools and has been grouped into nine classes (Flat, North, Northeast, East, Southeast, South, Southwest, West, and Northwest). Because of the rapid accumulation of water, flooding is more likely in areas with flat aspects. The
southern and western aspects will be drier and less prone to flooding, as there are greater solar and wind influences in the southern aspects and a higher heating intensity in the western aspects (Setiawan et al. 2004).

**STI**

STI refers to sediment movement caused by water flow (Tehrany et al. 2019). STI characterizes erosion and deposition processes (Kalantari et al. 2014; Kumar and Gupta 2016). The high STI reflects the erosion process, whereas the low STI reflects the deposition process. STI was derived from the SRTM DEM using Eq. 5 (Moore et al. 1993) and ArcGIS spatial analyst tools.

\[
STI = \left( \frac{\alpha}{22.13} \right)^{0.6} \left( \frac{\sin \beta}{0.0896} \right)^{1.3}
\]  

Where \( \alpha \) is the area of the catchment (m\(^2\)) and \( \beta \) (radians) is the slope gradient.

The STI of the study area ranges from 0 to 247.77 and is grouped into 5 classes (Figure 11). The chance of flooding will be high in areas with low SPI values, as these are depositional zones. The carrying capacity of stream channels in these zones will be much reduced due to the deposition of sediments.

### 3.4. The AHP modelling

AHP is the most used decision-making method developed by Saaty (1980) to solve complex decision problems. By reducing complicated decisions to a number of pairwise comparisons, AHP helps to make the right decision and calculates the results (Dekrita et al. 2019). For constructing judgement matrices, a 1-9 scale is used. The important steps involved in AHP are the development of a pairwise comparison matrix, calculation of Eigen value, Eigen vector and weighting coefficient (Table 1), and finally, calculation of consistency ratio to check the consistency (Table 2).

\[
V_p = \sqrt{W_1 \times \ldots \times W_k}
\]  

(6)

Where \( k \) = number of factors and \( W \) = ratings of the factors

\[
C_p = \frac{V_p}{V_{p1} + \ldots + V_{pk}}
\]  

(7)

The eigen value (\( \lambda \) max), consistency index (CI), and consistency ratio (CR) were computed using Equations 8, 9, and 10 (Danumah et al. 2016)

\[
\lambda \text{ max} = \frac{E}{k}
\]  

(8)
CI = (λ max − k)/(k − 1) \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[
\text{CR} = \frac{CI}{RI}
\]

(10)

Where RI is the random index

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
\text{Number of criteria} & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline
RI & 0.00 & 0.58 & 0.90 & 1.12 & 1.24 & 1.32 & 1.41 & 1.45 & 1.49 & 1.51 \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 3 Random index (Saaty 1980)

The CR should be less than 0.1 (i.e., 10%) (Saaty 1980), otherwise, the judgements are untrustworthy and need to revise the subjective judgements. In this study, the CR is 0.041 (which is less than 0.1), hence the judgements are acceptable.

The final weights obtained through the AHP model are shown in Equation 11.

FSZ = (0.291 × Slp.) + (0.216 × Soil) + (0.155 × LULC) + (0.110 × SD) + (0.077 × WRI) + (0.054 × NDBI) + (0.038 × TWI) + (0.027 × SPI) + (0.019 × Asp.) + (0.014 × STI)

(11)

3.5. The Fuzzy-AHP modelling

F-AHP is an AHP approach developed utilizing the theory of fuzzy logic (Putra et al. 2018). The fuzzy approach that represents uncertainty in human judgements together with the AHP method can be used to provide more precise, specific, and realistic outcomes (Kaya et al. 2020; Lin 2020). In this study, the Buckley (1985) technique was followed by comparing the fuzzy ratios described as triangular membership functions. The major processes involved are the construction of pair-wise comparison of factors (Table 4), calculation of the geometric means of fuzzy comparison values (Table 5), relative fuzzy weights of each factor (Table 6), and averaged and normalized relative weights of factor (Table 7). The various steps involved with the F-AHP modelling are as follows:

Step 1: Comparison of the factors or alternatives by decision-makers

For example: if the decision-maker states that factor 1 (P1) is weakly significant than factor 2 (P2), then the fuzzy triangular scale will be (2, 3, 4). In the pair-wise contribution matrix of the factor for comparison of P2 to P1, the fuzzy triangular scale will be (1/4, 1/3, 1/2) (Ayhan 2013).

The pair-wise contribution matrix is depicted in Eq. 12.

\[
\hat{A}^k = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{d}^k_{11} & \hat{d}^k_{12} & \ldots & \hat{d}^k_{1n} \\
\hat{d}^k_{21} & \ldots & \ldots & \hat{d}^k_{2n} \\
\vdots & \ldots & \ldots & \vdots \\
\hat{d}^k_{n1} & \hat{d}^k_{n2} & \ldots & \hat{d}^k_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(12)

Where \(\hat{d}^k_{ij}\) indicates the k\textsuperscript{th} decision maker’s preference of i\textsuperscript{th} factor over j\textsuperscript{th} factor (Ayhan 2013).
Step 2: When there is more than one decision-maker, the preferences ($d_{ij}^k$) are averaged, and ($\tilde{d}_{ij}$) is determined using Eq. 13.

$$\tilde{d}_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{ij}^k}{K} \quad (13)$$

Step 3: The pair-wise comparison matrix is modified based on the averaged preferences using Eq. 14.

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{d}_{11} & \cdots & \tilde{d}_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{d}_{n1} & \cdots & \tilde{d}_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Step 4: The geometric average of fuzzy comparative values for each factor was determined using Eq. 15 (Buckley 1985).

$$f_i^\beta = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{d}_{ij}^\beta \right)^{1/n}, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad (15)$$

Where $f_i^\beta$ still depicts the triangular values.

Step 5: From the next 3 sub-steps, the fuzzy weight of each factor was computed.

Step 5a: The vector summation of each $f_i^\beta$ was determined.

Step 5b: The (-1) power of the summation vector was computed, and the fuzzy triangular number was replaced, to convert it into increasing order.

Step 5c: To compute the fuzzy weight of factors ($f_i^\beta$), each $f_i^\beta$ was multiplied with the reverse vector as in Eq. 16.

$$\tilde{f}_i = f_i^\beta \otimes (f_1^\beta \oplus f_2^\beta \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n^\beta)^{-1}$$

$$= (lw_i, mw_i, uw_i) \quad (16)$$

Step 6: The fuzzy weights were de-fuzzified using Eq. 17 (Chou and Chang 2008).

$$M_i = \frac{lw_i + mw_i + uw_i}{3} \quad (17)$$

Step 7: The $M_i$ was standardized using Eq. 18.
The final weights obtained from the F-AHP modelling are shown in Equation 19.

\[
FSZ = (0.282 \times Slp.) + (0.215 \times Soil) + (0.158 \times LULC) + (0.112 \times SD) + (0.078 \times WRI) + (0.055 \times NDBI) + (0.038 \times TWI) + (0.027 \times SPI) + (0.019 \times Asp.) + (0.014 \times STI)
\]  

3.6. Validation of the flood susceptibility maps

Finally, the flood susceptible zone map was validated using the flood inundation data provided by NRSC for the years 2013, 2018, and 2019. For the validation of the result, 570 locations within the flood inundated area were randomly selected (Figure 12). The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed using RStudio software to assess the prediction accuracy of the susceptibility map. When the area under ROC (AUC) equals 0.5, it indicates random chance and indicates perfect accuracy when AUC equals 1.0 (Zou et al. 2007). According to Hosmer and Lemeshow (2000), the AUC values are considered outstanding, excellent, and acceptable for values between 0.9-1.0, 0.8-0.9, and 0.7-0.8.

4. Results and discussion

The map layers of slope angle, soil, LULC, stream density, WRI, NDBI, TWI, SPI, aspect, and STI were combined using ArcGIS tools to prepare the flood susceptibility maps of the study area. The flood susceptibility maps were prepared using the weights derived by the AHP and F-AHP methods. The area of the flood susceptibility maps has been grouped into five classes, namely very low, low, moderate, high, and very high (Figure 13 and 14). The high and very high susceptible zones are located in the western parts of the study area. This area has a low slope, poor or very poorly drained soil, higher built-up developments, lower stream density, and high soil moisture content (WRI and TWI). The studies by Sarkar and Mondal (2020), Ullah and Zhang (2020) also found that high flood-prone areas are situated in areas with lower slopes and higher TWI. Like the findings of this study, Samanta et al. (2018) also found that the high and very flood susceptible zones are characterized by soils with poor to very poor drainage capacity. Ghosh and Mistri (2015) found that the reduced carrying capacity of stream channels due to excess siltation and drainage congestion is the major reason for flooding in the Damodar river basin. In their study, Erena and Worku (2018) also found that changes in the land use/land cover and encroachments of settlements (development activities) on the riverbank are the major reasons for flood risk. Bohorquez and del Moral-Erencia (2017) found vegetation encroachment as one of the major reasons for reduced stream channel capacity. The present study confirms that the flood occurring in this basin is due to the combined effect of natural factors, human intervention, and negligence in taking preventive measures. The area and percentage of each flood susceptible zone are shown in Table 8. The AUC values of 0.75 and 0.81 estimated by the ROC curve method confirm that the result is acceptable and excellent for the AHP and F-AHP modelling, respectively (Figure 15). This finding confirms that the F-AHP method is more effective in predicting flood-prone zones and was thus chosen as the best model. According to the F-AHP model, the very high susceptible zone covers 19.37% of the study area.
Table 8 Area and percentage of flood susceptible zones

| Susceptible Zones | AHP method | F-AHP method |
|-------------------|------------|--------------|
|                   | Area of susceptible zones (Sq. km) | Percentage of the area of the flood susceptible zones | Area of susceptible zones (Sq. km) | Percentage of the area of the flood susceptible zones |
| Very low          | 267.18     | 11.10        | 267.66     | 11.12        |
| Low               | 395.23     | 16.42        | 401.25     | 16.67        |
| Moderate          | 643.87     | 26.75        | 662.16     | 27.51        |
| High              | 623.41     | 25.90        | 609.69     | 25.33        |
| Very high         | 477.31     | 19.83        | 466.24     | 19.37        |
| Total             | 2407       | 100          | 2407       | 100          |

5. Conclusions

Floods are one of the most common natural hazards occurring in the Ernakulam district and result in serious damage to agriculture, infrastructure, and human and animal habitats. This study applied GIS techniques, and AHP and F-AHP methods to prepare the flood susceptibility maps of the Ernakulam district. Floods occurring in this district are the result of natural factors such as low slope gradient, lower capacity of stream channels, higher soil moisture content, and poor infiltration capacity of soils, together with anthropogenic activities like blocking the natural stream channels for construction purposes. An excellent AUC value of 0.81 obtained by the ROC curve analysis for F-AHP proves the efficiency of the F-AHP method over the AHP method. The study confirms that around 19.37% of the basin falls under a very high susceptible zone. The present study demonstrated an effective model that can be used to delineate the flood susceptible zones, and this will help the land-use planners and policymakers to implement policies that can help mitigate flood risk and damage in the future.
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