Solvothermal Synthesis of Cerium-Doped Titania Nanostructured Materials Modified with Acetylacetone for Solar-Driven Photocatalysis
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This study reports the preparation of nanostructured cerium-doped titanium dioxide photocatalysts containing different cerium concentrations by a modified sol-gel route involving the use of acetylacetone. The nanocrystalline powders had their structural, morphological, and optical properties characterized by X-ray diffraction, vibrational and Raman spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) surface area analysis, scanning electron microscopy combined with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, total reflection X-ray fluorescence, and diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. Cerium incorporation reduced the bandgap energy of the solids to values that allowed them to absorb visible light. Cerium presence improved the textural properties that are crucial for the catalytic process. The photocatalytic activities were evaluated through photodegradation experiments of methyl orange dye solution. The synthesis with acetylacetone as stabilizer provided nanostructured materials with higher Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺ ratio, which enhanced the photocatalytic activity. Smaller quantities of the doped photocatalysts afforded higher degradation rates than the rates reported in the literature.
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Introduction

The environmental effects of industrial waste have increased considerably in the last decades, and great attention has been devoted to water decontamination.¹² Organic dyes are commonly and widely employed in the textile industry,³ but they pollute water resources. The organic dyes that are used in the textile industry have high solubility in water, low degradation rates, and elevated toxicity, which makes them extremely hazardous.⁴ Effective industrial effluent treatment remains an environmental challenge.¹⁶ Concerning degradation processes, dyes are usually resistant to conventional methods and to UV-Vis light, and they are only partially biodegradable. In aquatic environments, the presence of dyes makes photosynthesis difficult.⁷ Therefore, highly efficient and economically viable treatments are necessary to guarantee low or no pollutant concentration in industrial effluents.

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are well known and have been extensively described in the literature. AOPs are commonly employed as fast and efficient methods to oxidize and to degrade different types of pollutants.⁸⁹ These processes comprise mostly the formation of hydroxyl radicals (•OH), a class of reactive species.⁹¹⁰ AOPs include chemical, photochemical, and hybrid or combined methods, which can occur in homogeneous or heterogeneous phases. These methods encompass the Fenton process, photocatalysis by semiconductors, ozonolysis, electrochemical oxidation, and sonolysis, among others.¹¹ Photochemical AOPs are very interesting because they can be driven by natural, renewable solar radiation.¹² Among the photochemical AOP methods, photo-Fenton and photocatalysis using semiconductors like TiO₂ are the most popular.⁹ Table 1 lists some important information about photochemical methods.

Semiconductors can be photostimulated to degrade various non-biodegradable pollutants, and mild conditions can be applied. An adequate photocatalyst must be stable, inert, and amenable to modification in size,
investigated how acetylacetone (acac), as stabilizer for solid lattices, preserved the Ce$^{3+}$ state.\(^\text{15}\) The Ce$^{3+}$-doped TiO$_2$ absorbed visible light probably due to the defects produced by cerium introduction into the TiO$_2$ crystal. We have extensively characterized the structural, morphological, and optical properties of the nanocrystalline powders and evaluated their photocatalytic activity by conducting dye photodegradation experiments under light irradiation.

### Experimental

#### Sample preparation

TiO$_2$:Cex\% materials were synthesized in a reflux system for 24 h. Titanium(IV) tetraisopropoxide (Aldrich, St. Louis, USA, 97\%) and cerium(III) nitrate (Aldrich, St. Louis, USA, 99\%) ethanolic solution were employed as precursors. Ethylene glycol and acetylacetone (Aldrich, St. Louis, USA, 99.5\%) were used as solvent and stabilizer, respectively. A stock titanium(IV) isopropoxide solution (TIP, 0.2 mol L$^{-1}$) was prepared in ethylene glycol. For each synthesis, 25 mL of TIP was added to a two-neck round-bottom flask, which was kept under reflux and vigorous stirring. Then, the desired quantity of the cerium(III) nitrate ethanolic solution (0.1 mol L$^{-1}$) was added to obtain the TiO$_2$:Cex\% materials, with x varying from 0 to 50 mol\%.

After homogenization, 400 \(\mu\)L of acetylacetone was added. The as-prepared samples were evaluated by Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy conducted on a Shimadzu IR Prestige-21 spectrophotometer (2 cm$^{-1}$ resolution); KBr pellets were used. Thermal behavior was assessed by thermogravimetry (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) on a TA Instruments SDT-Q600 Simultaneous TGA/DTA analyzer under air flow at a heating rate of 20 °C min$^{-1}$.

#### Characterization

The as-prepared samples were evaluated by Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy conducted on a Shimadzu IR Prestige-21 spectrophotometer (2 cm$^{-1}$ resolution); KBr pellets were used. Thermal behavior was assessed by thermogravimetry (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) on a TA Instruments SDT-Q600 Simultaneous TGA/DTA analyzer under air flow at a heating rate of 20 °C min$^{-1}$.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of the calcined samples were registered at room temperature.

### Table 1. Overview of photochemical advanced oxidative processes

| AOP                      | Condition                        | Observation                                             |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Photocatalysis           | semiconductor / light, semiconductors: TiO$_2$, CeO$_2$, ZnO, Nb$_2$O$_5$, etc. | heterogeneous nature, recyclable photocatalyst, wide pH range, possibility to apply solar energy as light source |
| Photo-Fenton             | Fe$^{3+}$/H$_2$O$_2$/UV           | less energy intensive, acidic pH (3-5) conditions, residual sludge, significant pH influence |
| Photo-ozonolysis         | O$_3$/UV, O$_3$/H$_2$O$_2$/UV     | Individual and direct oxidation method, pre-treatments, pH, ozone dose, and temperature affect the process |
| Photo-electrochemical oxidation | e$^-$/TiO$_2$/UV, e$^-$/Fe$^{3+}$/H$_2$O$_2$/UV | reactive species generated by electricity, unnecessary chemicals, no secondary waste, surface control efficiency |
| Photo-sonolysis          | O$_3$/UV/US$^a$                  | ultrasound power and frequency affect the process       |

\(^aUS: ultrasound;\ AOP: advanced oxidation processes.\)
on a Bruker D8 Advanced diffractometer operating with monochromatic Cu Kα radiation. Data were analyzed by Rietveld refinement with MAUD® software. Raman spectra were recorded at room temperature from powder samples; a Witec Alpha 300 R spectrophotometer operating with Nd:YAG laser (λ = 532 nm) at 7 mW cm⁻² was employed. Sample quantities were kept constant in all measurements. Stokes-shifted Raman spectra were collected in the static mode from ca. 100 to 1000 cm⁻¹.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed on a Zeiss EVO50 microscope. Cerium loading in the final nanoparticles was confirmed by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) on the SEM equipment coupled with EDS analysis by means of an IXRF System 500 Digital Processing accessory. Because EDS provides qualitative analyses, elementary analysis data were confirmed by total reflection X-ray fluorescence (TXRF) analysis on a Bruker S2 PICOFOX device. Many efforts were made to quantify the oxides by titration, but the usual acidic and basic conditions that are necessary to dissolve the oxides were not applicable due to their resistance to pH variation. Chemical states and surface composition of the samples were evaluated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on a Thermo Scientific Escalab 250Xi spectrometer operating with monochromatic AlKα X-rays. The flood gun was used to neutralize charge build-up on the sample surface.

Specific surface areas and pore volumes of the samples were measured by the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) method by considering N₂ adsorption isotherm data. The experiments were accomplished on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 analyzer. The samples were degassed at 200 °C for 10 h under vacuum to remove surface contamination and adsorbed species.

UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were recorded on an Ocean Optics HR2000+ spectrometer equipped with a UV-Vis-NIR DH-2000-BAL light source (deuterium/halogen lamps) and reflection/backscattering probe R400-7-UV-Vis optical fiber. DRS curves were also used to determine the optical bandwidth energy of all the samples. The Tauc Plot is commonly employed to determine the optical bandwidth energy.

Photocatalysis

The photocatalytic activity of the synthesized oxides was evaluated by methyl orange dye (MO, Merck) photodegradation under UV and visible lights, respectively simulated by a Hg vapor lamp (250 W) and a halogen lamp (200 W). For the experiments, 10 mg of oxide photocatalyst were dispersed in 3.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ MO solution (50 mL). The dye solution pH was adjusted to 2.0.

Before irradiation, the dispersion was kept under magnetic agitation for 30 min for adsorption-desorption equilibrium between the photocatalyst and the dye to be achieved.

Photocatalytic reactions were kept under light irradiation (UV or visible lamp). An external cooling jacket with recycled water was coupled to the system to maintain the dispersion temperature at ca. 25 °C. After exposure for 0, 10, 20, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min, 2 mL of the dispersion was collected and filtered through a membrane filter with 0.45 μm pores, to remove any solid interferences. The dye solutions were analyzed by UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy on a Hewlett Packard HP 8453 spectrophotometer.

MO was measured on the basis of its characteristic strong band with maximum absorption at 505 nm. The Lambert-Beer equation was applied to determine the dye concentration after photocatalysis and thus its degradation in the presence of cerium-titania oxides.

The percentage of decolorization (color removal) was calculated according to equation 1 (C: concentration and Co: initial concentration).

\[
\text{Decolorization (\%)} = \left( \frac{C - C_0}{C_0} \right) \times 100
\]

Results and Discussion

Structural characterization

Figure 1 displays the diffraction data of the calcined samples, which all crystallized in the anatase structure (tetragonal and I₄₁/aamd space group), with no evidence of other polymorphs. We indexed the TiO₂ nanoparticle diffraction peaks on the basis of JCPDS cards (PDF No. 2-406). A small quantity of cerium loading did not change the material phase, but we detected the CeO₂ phase upon increasing cerium concentration. At 5 mol% cerium, the presence of the ceria phase was confirmed through observation of broad and low-intensity peaks attributed to a cubic structure with Fm-3m space group according to the PDF 1-800 pattern. These peaks were considerably broad due to formation of very small CeO₂ particles together with the TiO₂ phase. Careful conduction of Rietveld refinement with MAUD® software confirmed the excellent relation with the anatase structure and CeO₂ emergence in a cubic structure (Figure S3, SI). When equivalent titanium and cerium species concentrations (TiO₂:Ce(50%)) were reached, we did not observe any phase regarding TiO₂ or CeO₂, but we verified that the material lost crystallinity, as indicated by a completely amorphous phase.

X-ray diffraction profiles correlate well with crystallite size and cell parameters, and these characteristics allowed...
us to analyze how the stabilizer (acetylacetone) and the cerium amount affected the anatase structure. We calculated the crystallite size through the Scherrer formula with regard to the most intense peak (101). Figure 2 shows how the crystallite size behaved with increasing cerium concentration: the relationship between crystallite size and Ce mol% was non-linear. Initially, the use of the stabilizer acetylacetone influenced the crystallite size—the unit cell expanded, and the crystallite size consequently increased. When cerium was added to the material, the crystallite size increased considerably; the largest size was reached for the sample TiO₂:Ce(1%). Thereafter, cerium addition promoted network contraction, as indicated by a decrease in the crystallite size, which was also attributed to the formation of two different oxides (TiO₂ and CeO₂ phases).

The Raman spectra (Figure 3) corroborated the previously discussed observations. The Raman profiles presented peaks corresponding to the vibrational modes Eₐ, B₁u, and A₁g, which are typical of the D₂d point group and represent the anatase phase. Other TiO₂ polymorphs or cubic CeO₂ phase were not evident in the studied samples. The peak intensity decreased drastically, and the Eₐ peak shifted slightly toward smaller wavenumbers, which suggested increased nanoparticle size. Any variation in the Eₐ peak width or position signals the presence of dopants and the formation of small particles.

We explored Pure TiO₂ and cerium-modified TiO₂ surface morphologies by SEM (Figure 4 and Figure S4, SI). Pure TiO₂ (Figure 4a) contained rods measuring about 2 µm as well as small agglomerates on the surface of these rods. The agglomerates were spherical and measured ca. 30-50 nm on average. Figure 4b revealed that the stabilizer provided a smoother surface and increased the rod particle size.

After cerium was added to the TiO₂ structure (Figures 4c-f and Figure S4, SI), the previously described homogeneous and regular rods lost their characteristics, and the new morphology was not well defined. Porous plates consisting of spherical nanoparticles (estimated to be less than 50 nm) arose. Cerium addition appeared to generate better-defined spherical particles. Cerium presence may significantly influence the surface area, as depicted in Table 2.

We confirmed the chemical compositions of the TiO₂:Ceₓ% materials by EDS and TXRF. Both techniques gave similar results and attested that the desired amount of cerium was well incorporated into the TiO₂ structure. Cerium addition to TiO₂ was effective, and the chemical composition was consistent with the nominal value used during sample preparation. There were only small deviations, which tended to be higher for extreme cerium concentrations. Table 2 and Figure S5 (SI) summarize the results.
The chemical state of cerium species is important to investigate and to propose a mechanism for photocatalysis. An ideal Ce\(^{3+}\)/Ce\(^{4+}\) ratio is crucial for the performance of the material studied here. We investigated the cerium chemical state and elemental composition on the material surface by XPS. The XPS survey spectrum (Figure 5 and Figure S6, SI) showed that the TiO\(_2\):Cex\% surface was composed of Ti, O, Ce, and C. The carbon peak was ascribed to residual carbon from organic species employed during the synthesis and to adventitious hydrocarbons from the laboratory environment or even from the process of experimental data acquisition. The Ti 2p\(_{1/2}\) and Ti 2p\(_{3/2}\) binding energies were around 458.6 and 464.3 eV, respectively, for all the samples (Figure 5b), so titanium was present as Ti\(^{4+}\). \(^{35}\)

We fit the characteristic Ce 3d\(_{5/2}\) and Ce 3d\(_{3/2}\) peaks and the Ce\(^{4+}/Ce^{3+}\) ratio according to references. \(^{36}\) Figure 5d shows the characteristic Ce 3d spectrum, which was associated with the Ce\(^{4+}\) and Ce\(^{3+}\) chemical states for TiO\(_2\):Ce(5\%). The Ce 3d spectrum of a pure Ce\(^{4+}\) sample has six visible components, whereas the Ce 3d spectrum of a pure Ce\(^{3+}\) sample has four components. These components overlapped except for the Ce\(^{4+}\) satellite peak located at 916 eV. Shyu et al. \(^{36}\) demonstrated that the Ce\(^{4+}\) ratio can be estimated by dividing the 916-eV Ce\(^{4+}\) satellite peak integral area by the total Ce 3d integral area according to equation 2:

\[
\text{Ce(IV)} = \frac{\text{Area}_{\text{Ce(IV)sat}}}{\text{Area}_{\text{Ce}\_3d}} \times \frac{100}{14}
\]  

Figure S6 (SI) presents the survey spectra for all the samples. Figure 6 compiles cerium percentages on the surface, titanium and cerium ratios, and Ce\(^{1+}\)/Ce\(^{4+}\) ratios. Figure 6a confirmed that cerium loading was efficient, and this figure corroborated the previous EDS and XRF data. Besides, cerium addition induced the presence of Ce\(^{4+}\) on the material surface (Figure 6b), but appreciable Ce\(^{3+}\) quantities remained in all the materials, which supported lower bandgap energy. BET adsorption of pure nitrogen revealed a porous structure, textural properties, and surface areas (Table 2). All the analyzed materials were porous and exhibited

### Table 2. Summarized chemical composition and textural and optical properties of TiO\(_2\)-derived nanostructured materials

| Sample          | Ti\(^{\%}\) | Ce\(^{\%}\) | Crystallite size / nm | Specific area / (m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) | Pore diameter / nm | Bandgap energy / eV |
|-----------------|------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| TiO\(_2\)       | 100        | 0          | 52.03                | 51                             | 11                | 2.93              |
| TiO\(_2\) + acac| 100        | 0          | 60.31                | 43                             | 5                 | 2.75              |
| TiO\(_2\):Ce(0.5\%) | 100        | 0          | 111.23               | 23                             | 12                | 2.17              |
| TiO\(_2\):Ce(1\%)   | 98.25     | 1.75       | 131.21               | 105                            | 3                 | 2.39              |
| TiO\(_2\):Ce(5\%)   | 94.95     | 5.05       | 118.48               | 20                             | 11                | 1.36              |
| TiO\(_2\):Ce(10\%)  | 92.95     | 7.05       | 95.71                | 57                             | 6                 | 1.45              |

Chemical composition determined by TXRF.
a typical type IV isotherm with hysteresis loops (H2 and H3 types). Initially, acetylacetone addition during the synthesis drastically decreased the specific surface area, which was also observed by SEM. However, the specific surface area gradually increased with cerium content and reached values comparable to the Pure TiO$_2$ specific surface area, which could enhance photocatalytic performance.

We measured the optical properties of Pure TiO$_2$ and the TiO$_2$:Cex% materials by diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) at room temperature. Figure 7 illustrates the UV-Vis absorption of the samples. Cerium addition increased visible radiation absorption and consequently decreased the bandgap energy of the materials. The results confirmed that the materials absorbed part of the solar radiation. Thus, solar energy can be applied in photocatalytic reactions.
performed in the presence of TiO$_2$:Cex%. Bandgap energy values are very important to describe semiconductor properties. The bandgap energy data indicate the energy difference between the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB). Using the relation of Tauc et al.\textsuperscript{28} (Figure S7, SI), we determined the bandgap energy values and related them to cerium addition (Figure 8). The bandgap energy decreased with increasing cerium concentration (Table 2). Pure TiO$_2$ had bandgap energy ca. 2.93 eV, which resembled the found in the literature for TiO$_2$ in the anatase phase.\textsuperscript{21,37} Pure TiO$_2$ synthesized with acetylacetone displayed considerably lower bandgap energy, which indicated that the stabilizer exerted a unique effect. Nevertheless, acetylacetone introduction had an undesirable influence on surface area. On the other hand, cerium addition to TiO$_2$ provided low bandgap energy covering the entire solar radiation spectrum; the minimum was near 1.25 eV. The XPS data showed that cerium was present in all the samples, which was beneficial because it shifted light absorption toward the visible region. Furthermore, cerium increased the specific surface area.

\textbf{Photocatalytic activity}

We evaluated the photocatalytic activities of TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$:Cex% powders by conducting methyl orange dye (MO) degradation experiments under irradiation with UV and visible light. The experiments lasted 120 min, which is adequate for practical photocatalytic process applications in water treatment.\textsuperscript{38} We also carried out MO photodegradation experiments without the photocatalyst (photolysis) in the same conditions and achieved ca. 0.2% degradation. Thus, the occurrence of photolysis can be dismissed. The initial MO concentration and pH were 10 ppm and ca. 2.0, respectively, so we analyzed MO in its protonated form. We monitored the decolorization process and overlay the UV-Vis spectra obtained at different times (examples in Supplementary Information section, Figure S8). We determined MO on the basis of the most intense band at $\lambda$ ca. 505 nm. For both irradiation lamps, the recorded spectra presented a less intense band in the UV region, which could indicate MO mineralization.\textsuperscript{38} Figure 9 described the photocatalytic performances of the nanostructured TiO$_2$:Cex% materials in MO degradation as plots of C/C$_0$ versus t (min). A balance in the oxide properties (cerium amount, cerium chemical state, bandgap energy, specific surface area, and pore size) seemed to influence the final photocatalysis. In this study, the best photocatalyst for MO degradation was the material containing higher cerium amount (TiO$_2$:Ce(10%)), which presented elevated specific surface area, lower bandgap energy, and higher Ce$^{4+}$ quantities. The increase in the constant degradation rates was attributed to efficient charge separation in the photoexcited semiconductors and to the degradation mechanism based on radical species formation, which are both well described in the literature.\textsuperscript{26,39} The XPS results showed that TiO$_2$:Ce(10%) had about 45% of Ce$^{4+}$ and 55% of Ce$^{3+}$ on its surface (Table S1, SI). The mechanism of charge separation on photocatalysts is usually based on electron transfer from the TiO$_2$ conductive band to the Ce$^{4+}$ electronic states, followed by Ce$^{4+}$ reduction to Ce$^{3+}$,\textsuperscript{25,26} as illustrated in Figure 10. This mechanism prolongs the photogenerated electron-hole ($e^-/h^+$) lifetime, thereby enhancing the photocatalytic activity. Therefore, Ce$^{4+}$/Ce$^{3+}$ acts as an electron trap and provides superoxide radicals, thus contributing both to organic pollutant degradation and to lower photo-induced $e^-/h^+$ pair recombination rate.\textsuperscript{40,41} It is noteworthy that we...
accomplished photocatalytic MO degradation in acidic medium, which could also have influenced the oxide surface. Figure 11 summarizes the total decolorization percentage after 120 min. A pronounced difference in the behavior of different photocatalysts under UV and visible light is evident. Nevertheless, by considering the total decolorization under UV-Vis radiation, we are able to confirm that the cerium content was essential for better photodegradation and expansion of the solar spectrum absorption.

**Conclusions**

We synthesized nanostructured TiO$_2$ and cerium-doped TiO$_2$ materials with ordered structure by a modified sol-gel method by employing acetylacetone, as stabilizer, and titanium isopropoxide and cerium nitrate, as precursors. We examined how the stabilizer and the loading of different cerium amounts affected the anatase TiO$_2$ optical and structural properties. Furthermore, we evaluated the photocatalytic efficiency of the prepared materials in methyl orange dye photodegradation experiments.

The set of data indicates that the addition of small amounts of cerium ions to the TiO$_2$ lattice increased the photosensitivity to visible light. The bandgap energy reached 1.45 eV, and the presence of Ce$^{3+}$ ions was fundamental for ideal bandgap energies to be achieved. High specific surface area and/or pore size associated with ideal bandgap energy seemed to be essential for better photocatalyst performance. In addition, balanced Ce$^{4+}$/Ce$^{3+}$ quantities allowed organic pollutant degradation through generation of reactive oxygen species and efficient e$^-$/h$^+$ pair separation.

We conducted photocatalytic tests for methyl orange dye degradation in the presence of the solids under UV radiation in mild conditions. Low photocatalyst concentration (10 mg) and fast exposure to light (120 min) afforded good dye degradation. Cerium content was crucial for better photodegradation. The TiO$_2$ : Cex% photocatalysts showed superior photocatalytic activity as compared to Pure TiO$_2$, and TiO$_2$ : Cex% were able to use solar radiation for a photodegradation process.
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