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Abstract Magnetospheric Multiscale observations are used to probe the structure and temperature profile of a guide field reconnection exhaust ~100 ion inertial lengths downstream from the X-line in the Earth's magnetosheath. Asymmetric Hall electric and magnetic field signatures were detected, together with a density cavity confined near 1 edge of the exhaust and containing electron flow toward the X-line. Electron holes were also detected both on the cavity edge and at the Hall magnetic field reversal. Predominantly parallel ion and electron heating was observed in the main exhaust, but within the cavity, electron cooling and enhanced parallel ion heating were found. This is explained in terms of the parallel electric field, which inhibits electron mixing within the cavity on newly reconnected field lines but accelerates ions. Consequently, guide field reconnection causes inhomogeneous changes in ion and electron temperature across the exhaust.

Plain Language Summary Plasma heating and energization by magnetic reconnection is a fundamental process in space, solar, astrophysical, and planetary plasmas. Most reconnecting current sheets do not exhibit perfectly antialigned magnetic fields and a so-called guide field is often present. Using new experimental data from NASA's Magnetospheric Multiscale mission, this article shows that far from the X-line during guide field reconnection, the heating is substantially modified from the typically studied antiparallel case. More specifically, the new multipoint, high time resolution Magnetospheric Multiscale measurements of a guide field reconnection exhaust in the Earth’s magnetosheath reveal inhomogenous ion and electron heating and cooling. This uncovers in new detail the structure of the exhaust, including predicted density cavity structure and electron holes, and indicates the importance of the parallel electric field. The results are important for the general understanding of reconnection heating and energization. The results will be of immediate and timely interest to the Geophysical Research Letters (GRL) community and beyond.

1. Introduction

Magnetic reconnection releases stored magnetic energy in the form of hot jets of plasma confined to the reconnecting current sheet (e.g., Fuselier & Lewis, 2011; Paschmann et al., 2013). In general, the reconnecting magnetic fields may not be antiparallel, and the addition of a guide field $B_g$ changes the structure of the reconnection exhaust (e.g., Eastwood et al., 2013; Øieroset et al., 2016). The introduction of a parallel electric field, $E_{||}$, causes electrons to move along the magnetic field leading to the formation of two cavities (Figures 1a and 1b), with a thickness of the order of $\rho_S = (1/\Omega_i)(T_e/m_i)^{0.5}$ (the ion gyroradius based on the electron temperature); the ions undergo polarization drift across the field (Kleva et al., 1995; Pritchett & Coroniti, 2004). These cavities are predicted to play an important role in electron acceleration and are potentially a site for instabilities leading to electron hole formation (Cattell et al., 2005; Drake et al.,...
The Hall field structure is also distorted in the presence of $B_G$ due to the $\mathbf{J} \times B_G$ force on the electron outflow (Eastwood et al., 2010; Horiuchi & Sato, 1997; Huba, 2005). Although the magnetic field topology changes in the diffusion region, much of the energy release takes place in the exhaust, where the majority of the reconnecting plasma is processed through the exhaust edges. Reconnection naturally leads to the formation of counterstreaming populations in the exhaust, and so parallel heating is to be expected; for example, at the magnetopause it is found that $\Delta T_{i,\text{par}} \approx 2 \Delta T_{i,\text{perp}}$ (Phan et al., 2014). It has been proposed that $B_G$ will reduce $\Delta T_{i,\text{par}}$ and that strong perpendicular ion heating will only “switch on” when the thickness of the exhaust boundary is sufficiently small, such that the particle gyroradius is larger than the boundary thickness and pick-up behavior occurs. It can be shown that this occurs when the plasma beta is below some limiting value (Drake et al., 2009; Drake & Swisdak, 2014).

Observations of $T_e$ in magnetopause reconnection also find that the guide field may affect the temperature anisotropy in the exhaust with $\Delta T_{e,\text{perp}}$ essentially suppressed when $B_G > B_R$, the reconnecting field component (Phan et al., 2013). No clear dependence of $\Delta T_{e,\text{par}}$ on $B_G$ was found.

Novel high time resolution data from the Fast Plasma Instrument (FPI; Pollock et al., 2016) on Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS; Burch et al., 2016) is now enabling the physics of guide field reconnection to be probed in new detail. In a symmetric guide field reconnection exhaust encounter ~12.5 ion inertial lengths ($d_i$) from the X-line ($B_G/B_R = 2$), MMS resolved an asymmetric density profile, with a depletion filling one half of the exhaust followed by a density enhancement in the other half (Øieroset et al., 2016). An increase in $T_{i,\text{par}}$ was found in conjunction with the density depletion, whereas $T_{i,\text{perp}}$ was enhanced on the opposite side of the current sheet. In contrast, $T_{e,\text{par}}$ only increased with the density enhancement.

Reconnecting current sheets in the solar wind provide an excellent opportunity to further study the structure of essentially symmetric reconnection exhausts with a variety of guide fields at a range of distances from the X-line (Gosling, 2012; Gosling et al., 2005; Gosling & Phan, 2013; Mistry et al., 2015, 2016, 2017; Phan et al., 2010), and these larger-scale current sheets are also observed in the magnetosheath (Øieroset et al., 2017; Phan et al., 2007; Wilder et al., 2017). There are also indications that magnetosheath reconnection occurs in the turbulent current sheets downstream of quasi-parallel shocks (Retinó et al., 2007; Vörös et al., 2017; Yordanova et al., 2016). However, both the solar wind and the magnetosheath flow rapidly convect reconnecting current sheets over the observing spacecraft, and exhaust crossings may only last a few seconds, meaning that high time resolution MMS data are necessary to fully resolve their structure.

For example, MMS has encountered a symmetric guide field reconnection exhaust passing near the electron dissipation region in the magnetosheath, resolving asymmetric Hall fields, a strong region of parallel electric field, parallel electron heating and electron phase space holes (Wilder et al., 2017). Here we present new observations of guide field reconnection using MMS. The reconnecting solar wind current sheet was observed in the Earth’s magnetosheath with a guide field $B_G/B_R = 0.7$, and the spacecraft crossed the current sheet ~100 $d_i$ from the X-line, resolving the fine structure of the exhaust far from the X-line. We examine both the exhaust structure and the ion and electron heating. The MMS data reveal that the heating is highly inhomogeneous and that in the edge cavity there is simultaneously electron cooling and enhanced parallel ion heating. This inhomogeneity is linked to the action of $E_{||}$.
2. Data and Overview

The magnetosheath reconnection exhaust was observed on 21 January 2016 01:06:41.10 – 01:06:52.04 UT, at [8.2, 8.7, 1.1] Re (Earth radii) GSE (geocentric solar ecliptic). The ambient plasma was characterized by a magnetic field strength $|B| \sim 64$ nT, a relatively high number density $n \sim 84$ cm$^{-3}$, ion temperature $T_i \sim 160$ eV, electron temperature $T_e \sim 40$ eV, and a total plasma beta $\beta = 1.7$. The inflow conditions on either side of the exhaust were stable for tens of seconds and largely symmetric. The maximum spacecraft separation of the four tetrahedrally arranged spacecraft was 14.7 km, less than the ion inertial length $d_i = 24.9$ km.

Figure 2 shows MMS3 magnetic field data at 128 vectors/s (Russell et al., 2016), electron and ion moments at 30 and 150 ms, respectively (Pollock et al., 2016), and electric field data in the rest frame of the reconnection.
Turning to the magnetic Alfvén speed (Pritchett & Coroniti, 2004). The reconnection at a distance of ~12 \( R_E \) (Figure 1b; Kleva et al., 1995; Pritchett & Coroniti, 2004). Compared to a previous observation of guide field observations, in the exhaust, \( B_M \) initially decreases from an average value of \( 40.5 \) nT (thus, \( B_0 / B_R = 0.7 \); magnetic shear = 110°) to 29 nT, before increasing to 55 nT (\( |\Delta B_M| \approx 15 \) nT; Figure 2a). This negative/positive perturbation to \( B_M \) with the reversal located at \( B_L = B_G \) (marked by the vertical dashed line), is the expected signature of the Hall magnetic field \( B_{Hall} \) (Figure 1b). \( B_M \) then remains enhanced through the reversal in \( B_L \) with some oscillatory structure at the end of the encounter where the density cavity was observed at the end of the exhaust encounter. Its duration, 01:06:50.4 UT, corresponds to the X-line in the cavity. The density depletion in that region has \( \approx 7 \) \( R_E \) downstream of the X-line. Overall, a canonical reconnection rate of 0.1 therefore implies that the spacecraft were \( \approx 100 \) \( R_E \) downstream of the X-line.

3. Exhaust Structure

Although the plasma density is enhanced in the exhaust relative to the surrounding inflow (Figure 2e), a cavity is observed at the end of the exhaust encounter. Its duration, 01:06:50.4–01:06:51.9 UT, corresponds to a width of \( 63.9 \) km = 2.6 \( d_i \), and its location is consistent with theoretical expectations, being confined in a thin layer close to the separatrix where the parallel electric field points away from the X-line (Figure 1b; Kleva et al., 1995; Pritchett & Coroniti, 2004). Compared to a previous observation of guide field reconnection at a distance of \( \approx 12 \) \( R_E \) from the X-line (Øieroset et al., 2016), the density depletion in that event is on the same side of the exhaust as the present case but fills approximately half of the exhaust. This may suggest that the density cavity is limited in size; closer to the X-line, it occupies more of the exhaust outflow.

Previous observations found no evidence for an electron flow toward the X-line in the cavity at \( \approx 12 \) \( d_i \) from the X-line (Øieroset et al., 2016). Here however, there is fast electron flow toward the X-line within the cavity with \( v_{EL} \) reaching \( \approx 110 \) km/s, opposite to the overall bulk exhaust flow and the ion flow in the cavity. The flow is predominantly field aligned. This provides the first direct confirmation of the expected return electron flow in the cavity but reveals that it is considerably slower than the predicted maximum speed of the electron Alfvén speed (Pritchett & Coroniti, 2004).

Turning to the magnetic field observations, in the exhaust, \( B_M \) initially decreases from an average value of 40.5 nT (thus, \( B_0 / B_R = 0.7 \); magnetic shear = 110°) to 29 nT, before increasing to 55 nT (\( |\Delta B_M| \approx 15 \) nT; Figure 2a). This negative/positive perturbation to \( B_M \) with the reversal located at \( B_L = B_G \) (marked by the vertical dashed line), is the expected signature of the Hall magnetic field \( B_{Hall} \) (Figure 1b). \( B_M \) then remains enhanced through the reversal in \( B_L \) with some oscillatory structure at the end of the encounter where the density cavity was observed. Note that \( B_G \) perturbs the Hall field reversal away from the cavity (Figure 1b). \( B_{Hall} \) is accompanied by a normal electric field (\( E_{N} \), initially slightly positive but then negative
throughout the majority of the exhaust, reaching −7 mV/m. This is consistent with the Hall electric field, predicted in simulations and illustrated in Figure 1b (Pritchett & Coroniti, 2004).

The variation in the out-of-plane magnetic field across the exhaust, ∂BM/∂N is associated with JL where JL = −∂B∥/∂N + ∂B∥/∂M. Figure 2i shows JCurl, the current derived from the four spacecraft magnetic field measurements using the curlometer technique (Robert et al., 1998). The negative gradient in BM at the start of the exhaust encounter corresponds to a positive JCurl,L. JCurl,L is then negative but filamented. This filamentation reflects the fact that the reversal in B Hall is not in fact smooth. The curlometer calculation therefore shows that the Hall current density is structured and filamented on ion scales. Subsequently, JCurl,L is large just prior to the cavity encounter and then within the cavity itself.

The current density can also be calculated using the FPI data directly where JFPI, L = ne(vi − ve), and the ion data are interpolated to the electron time resolution (Figure 2j). This reveals there are positive JFPI,L spikes separating the negative JFPI,L regions during the Hall field reversal. This filamentation and reversing of JL is in fact seen at all four spacecraft with significant differences between the four spacecraft on occasion. This implies that in addition to distinct ion-scale filamentary structure that is resolved by the curlometer, even smaller-scale filamentation may also exist that is resolved by significant differences in the FPI measurements from individual satellites. This has been reported in other MMS observations at the magnetopause (Phan et al., 2016). In contrast, within the density cavity at the trailing edge of the exhaust, JFPI,L is largely similar between the satellites and similar to JCurl,L. This implies that the cavity is not as filamented or structured below the ion scale.

4. Plasma Temperature Changes

The high time resolution MMS data allow exploration of the heating in much more detail. The ions undergo predominantly parallel heating, which is enhanced in the density cavity on the trailing edge of the exhaust (Figure 2f). The electrons also undergo predominantly parallel heating in the main exhaust, but there is a noticeable cooling in the cavity where both T,par and T,perp are reduced below the inflow temperature.

To make contact with previous analysis, we first consider the average change in the total ion temperature Ti. Relative to the inflow region, ΔTi = 16 eV in the exhaust and ΔTi = 32 eV in the cavity. Observations both in the solar wind and at the magnetopause show that typically, ΔTi = 0.13 mCA2 (Drake et al., 2009; Phan et al., 2014). Here 0.13 mCA2 = 20.9 eV, and so the bulk ion heating is comparable to previous studies. We next consider the anisotropic change in temperature, as discussed by Drake and Swisdak (2014). It is predicted that DT,par = mCA2Blin2/(Blin2 + BM,lin2) = 107 eV for this event. The total plasma beta β = 1.7, larger than the predicted βcrit = 0.2, and so no perpendicular heating is predicted. Observationally, DT,par = 46 eV in the exhaust, DT,par = 94 eV in the cavity, and there is no clear evidence for perpendicular ion heating. Physically, to cause significant perpendicular ion heating, the change in EN should occur on short-length scales comparable to the ion motion at the edge of the exhaust. This is not observed; the strongest EN is found deeper in the exhaust away from the cavity region where EN is relatively weak and uniform.

Figures 3e–3q show examples of the ion distribution in the inflow region before the exhaust, in the exhaust itself, and in the trailing inflow region. Distributions are shown as cuts in the v-b plane. Within the exhaust, counterstreaming beams are present (Figure 3f). Figures 3h–3k show that within the cavity, there is a very sharply confined ion beam moving antiparallel to the magnetic field. Referring to Figure 1b, these ions are moving away from the X-line, parallel to E∥. We conclude that the increase in Ti,par is due to the addition of this enhanced antiparallel streaming population and is presumably linked to acceleration by the parallel electric field associated with the cavity.

The change in total electron temperature ΔTe can be similarly examined. In the Phan et al. (2013) observational study of magnetopause bulk electron heating, it was found that ΔTe = 0.017 mC,asym2 where C,asym is the asymmetric inflow Alfvén speed. Relative to the inflow region, in this event ΔTe,par = 5.6 eV, ΔTe,perp = 0.5 eV, and ΔTe = 2.2 eV averaged across the exhaust (but not including the cavity). Here 0.017mC,asym2 = 2.7 eV and so the bulk electron heating is comparable to previous experimental observations. MMS shows that this heating is almost entirely parallel, which is again consistent with previous observations.
suggesting that perpendicular electron heating is suppressed when \( \frac{B_y}{B_z} = 1 \) (Phan et al., 2013). In the cavity, \( \Delta T_{e,\text{par}} = -6.5 \) eV, \( \Delta T_{e,\text{perp}} = -6.0 \) eV, and \( \Delta T_e = -6.1 \) eV. Thus, the cooling is approximately isotropic.

The electron heating and cooling can be explored by considering the fact that the electrons have a high thermal velocity and move rapidly along the magnetic field. This is illustrated by Figure 1c. The electron distributions above and below the reconnection exhaust are shown in red and blue, respectively. When the field line above the exhaust reconnects, the red antiparallel population is lost down the exhaust and is replaced by the blue population moving along the reconnected field line from below the current sheet. This passing population moves antiparallel to \( \mathbf{B} \) and parallel to \( \mathbf{E} \parallel \) and is decelerated. Furthermore, the lowest-energy fraction of the blue population moving antiparallel to the field will be unable to cross the midplane. The consequence of this is both a decrease in \( n_e \) and \( T_{e,\text{par}} \). In contrast, when the field...
line below the current sheet reconnects, the blue parallel population is lost down the exhaust and is replaced by the red population from above the current sheet. This passing population is accelerated by \( E_{||} \). Since there is not a confining cavity, this contributes to the effective increase in \( T_{e,\text{par}} \) in the exhaust. MMS3 measurements of the electron differential energy flux before, during, and after the cavity encounter (Figures 4g–4i) show that in the cavity there is a depletion in the electron population moving antiparallel to \( B \), in a manner consistent with this scenario and summarized in Figure 1c.
This implies that the change in electron temperature across the exhaust contains the signature of $E_\parallel$. In particular, the changes in the parallel temperature are of the order of 10 eV, from which a potential drop along the field line is $\sim$10 V. If this were to be confined to a region of size comparable to 1 $d_i$ (e.g., in the vicinity of the X-line), then $<E_\parallel>$ $\sim$0.4 mV/m. However, simulations indicate that $E_\parallel$ may also be strongly temporally and spatially structured in the cavity, with waves and instabilities (Drake et al., 2005). Figure 4d shows measurements of $E_\parallel$ at burst mode resolution. The strongest $E_\parallel$ signature is observed at 01:06:43.5 UT, the midpoint of the reversal in $B_y$ when there is also a local maximum in $T_e$ (Figure 4c). Figure 4e shows $E_\parallel$ in more detail at this time, revealing multiple isolated positive/negative bipolar signatures. These correspond to electron holes with diverging electric field structure moving in the +L direction along the magnetic field away from the X-line. Hole signatures were not observed at all four spacecraft, placing limits on their size at the electron scale (electron inertial length $d_e = 0.583$ km). Figure 4d shows the cavity itself is notable for exhibiting very weak electric field signatures. Some confined $E_\parallel$ fluctuations are seen prior to the cavity encounter: Figure 4f shows that these also correspond to electron holes. We note that in simulations, holes have been similarly observed in the wall of the cavity, on the side adjacent to the exhaust (Markidis et al., 2012). This represents the first such observations in spacecraft data.

5. Summary

MMS observations show that during guide field reconnection, a pronounced density cavity confined to one edge of the exhaust exists $\sim$100 $d_i$ downstream from the X-line, and also strong asymmetries in the Hall fields across the exhaust form. In the cavity, electron flow returning towards the X-line is resolved for the first time. Furthermore, within the cavity electron cooling and enhanced ion parallel heating is resolved, thanks to the unprecedented resolution of the MMS measurements. This can be related to $E_\parallel$ and the fact that the electron thermal velocity is very high. When a field line reconnects, $E_\parallel$ slows down passing electrons moving into the cavity from the opposite side of the current sheet, reducing $n_e$ and $T_e$ and also resulting in a net electron flow towards the X-line within the cavity. On the other hand, $E_\parallel$ appears to cause the acceleration of an ion beam in the cavity away from the X-line. FPI electron and ion distributions support this interpretation.

The change in $T_e$ gives an estimate of the potential drop and therefore $E_\parallel$. If averaged over the distance to the X-line, $E_\parallel$ is below the limit of measurement. Alternatively, it could be the integrated effect of fluctuations, waves, and turbulence, but within the cavity, large fluctuations in $E_\parallel$ were not observed (although holes were present on the cavity edge). $E_\parallel$ could also be simply confined to the electron diffusion region at the X-line, and observations made close to the X-line (Wilder et al., 2017) suggest that $E_\parallel$ is sufficiently large to cause the observed temperature changes seen here 100 $d_i$ downstream.
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