Solvent-mediated preparation of a heterometallic [2 × 2] grid via a 1D metal–organic template with extraordinary acid/base-resistance†
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A new 1D coordination polymer, [Fe3L(H2O)2]·2H2O (1) [H2L = 2,6-bis[3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazolyl]pyridine] with extraordinary acid/base-resistance was synthesized. It was found that the 1D metal–organic chain can serve as a template to prepare a heterometallic [2 × 2] grid [Fe32Na3L3(H2O)4]·8H2O (2) through a solvent-mediated strategy by immersing the fresh crystals of 1 in a saturated MeOH solution of NaN3. The transformation was accompanied by the complete dissolution of the original crystals, and the cleavage/regeneration of coordination bonds. The final structure of 2 was unambiguously characterized by means of a single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) study. Notably, L2– in 1 exhibits an “acute triangle” configuration, while an “obtuse triangle” configuration is observed in 2. In addition, treatments of 1 with saturated MeOH solutions of NaF, NaCl, NaBr, NaI, and NaN3 in DMF, EtOH, and H2O were also respectively checked. The results did not show any appreciable indication, probably implying that the transformation kinetics is influenced not only by a sodium source but also by a solvent-exclusive effect. Significantly, the resulting grid of 2 could not be accessed by a direct one-pot self-assembly synthetic approach.

Introduction

In the past decades, coordination polymers (CPs) composed of metal-containing nodes and organic ligands through coordination bonds have experienced a rapid development.1 Due to the nature of the coordination bond, namely “labile and reversible”, fruitful reports about single-crystal to single-crystal (SC–SC) transformation-mediated modifications of MOFs have been documented, accompanied by the cleavage and regeneration of coordination bonds, resulting in changes in terms of coordination environment, framework dimensionality and structural interpenetration, etc.2 In this context, the parent crystals can be regarded as a template to fabricate new crystals, which are usually difficult to access by a direct one-pot self-assembly synthetic approach. Among the transformations, solvent-mediated process involving dissolution of the initial MOFs, followed by the reassembly of new phase in solution is relative rare.3 Furthermore, the final structures encounter difficulty in resolution by means of single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) studies, due to the tiny sizes of newly formed crystals. Consequently, it remains a great challenge to determine the exact structures of the transformed products.

Supramolecular coordination complexes (SCCs), particularly [n × n] grids, that are composed of homo- or heterogeneous transition metal ions with ordered arrangements, have been found to possess significant degree of predictability and have the promising candidates for structure-dependent behavior and data storage.4 The most popular approach to the grid-type arrays is the “self-assembly” strategy. This involves using an organic ligand encoded with suitable coordination information to match the transition metal ions with stereochemical and bonding preferences. For example, if appropriate transition metal ions and a symmetric tritopic bidentate–tritendate–bidentate segmental ligand, 2,6-bis[3-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1,2,4-triazolyl]pyridine (H2L) or its analogues were selected, [3 × 3] grids were prone to access.5–7 In other words, given the information recognition between metal ion and ligand, it is difficult to anticipate the preservation of potential coordination sites, thereby achieving a mononuclear complex or [2 × 2] grid from the symmetric tritopic ligand (H2L).

Recently, the exploration of interconversion between SCCs or metal–organic polyhedra (MOPs) and metal–organic...
frameworks are well-documented and emerging one of the most promising fields. In 2013, we demonstrated that grid could also be employed as a metal-containing node to obtain extended networks. However, no attempts to study the conversion of a CP into a grid have been described previously. Herein, we present an unusual solvent-mediated pathway for the preparation of a heterometallic [2 × 2] grid [FeIII2Na2L4(H2O)4]:8H2O (denoted as 2) via a 1D metal–organic chain [FeII(L(H2O))2]2H2O (denoted as 1) as the template for the first time. Significantly, the resulting grid could not be access by a direct one-pot self-assembly synthetic approach. Furthermore, the crystal structure of the grid was unambiguously characterized by SCXRD, and the conversion was monitored by both powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and optical microscopy.

Experimental section

Materials and physical measurements

All chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used as received without purification. IR spectra were recorded from KBr pellets in the range 4000–400 cm⁻¹ on a Shimadzu IR435 spectrometer. A FLASH EA 1112 analyzer was performed for the elemental analysis. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was recorded on a Netzsch STA 449C thermal analyzer between 30 and 800 °C and a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in atmosphere. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were measured on the PANalytical X’Pert PRO diffractometer using Cu-Kz radiation (λ = 1.541874 Å). Energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) was performed on a Bruker ISMNM 761 scanning electron microscope.

Synthesis of [FeII(L(H2O))]2H2O (1)

A mixture of FeSO4·7H2O (14 mg, 0.05 mmol), H2L (18.4 mg, 0.05 mmol), KSCN (9.7 mg, 0.1 mmol) and water (10 mL) was heated at 160 °C for 96 h. After cooling the mixture to RT, yellow block crystals of 1 were harvested (10.5 mg, 57% yield based on H2L). Elemental analysis (%) for 1 C29H34FeN44O12; calcd C 42.88, H 2.96, N 32.35; found C 43.05, H 3.39, N 32.03.

Synthesis of [FeIII3Na2L4(H2O)4]8H2O (2)

Freshly as-prepared samples (10 mg) of 1 were immersed in saturated MeOH solution (3 mL) of NaN3. About 5 days later, black block crystals were isolated (8 mg, 80% yield). Elemental analysis (%) for 2 C68H58Fe2N44Na2O12; calcd C 44.31, H 3.28, N 11.43; found C 44.05, H 3.01, N 32.88. The bulk product can be obtained by a vigorous stirring a freshly as-prepared 1 immersed in saturated MeOH solution of NaN3.

Crystal data collection and refinement

Data of 1 (CCDC-1512713) was collected on a Rigaku Saturn 724 CCD diffractometer (Mo Kz, λ = 0.71073 Å) at room temperature. Absorption corrections were applied by using multiscan program. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined with a full-matrix least-squares technique based on F² with the SHELXL-97 crystallographic software package. The hydrogen atoms were assigned with common isotropic displacement factors and included in the final refinement by using geometrical restraints. Data collection for 2 (CCDC-1512712) was carried on an Agilent Technologies SuperNova Single Crystal Diffractometer using Cu Kz radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å) at 100 K. The structure was solved using SHELXS-97 and was refined with SHELXL-97. The hydrogen atoms were included in the structure-factor calculations at idealized positions by using a riding model and were refined isotropically. Crystal data and the selected bond lengths and angles for 1 and 2 are summarized in Tables S1 and S2.

Results and discussion

Synthesis, general characterization, and crystal structure of 1

Yellow crystals of 1 were obtained by hydrothermal reaction of FeSO4·7H2O with H2L in the presence of KSCN at 160 °C for 96 h, and the phase purity of 1 is confirmed by PXRD analysis. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) reveals 1 could be stable up to 400 °C after a weight loss of guest and coordinated H2O molecules in the region 30–198 °C (observed, 11.29%; calculated, 11.43%) (Fig. 3), and its intact framework was further confirmed by PXRD (Fig. S3†). SCXRD reveals that 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2 and has a one-dimensional (1D) chain structure (Fig. 1a). There exist two independent FeII ions in the asymmetric unit with both occupancies of 0.5. Notably, both of the two FeII ions are located on the special positions towards twofold axis. The octahedral coordination sphere around Fe1 is completed by six N atoms from two of the L2– ligands, affording a FeN6 environment. The Fe1–N bond lengths are ranging from 2.145(4) to 2.240(4) Å. While Fe2 is octahedrally coordinated by two L2– ligands through the pyrazinyl and triazole N atoms and two axial aqua ligands. The average Fe2–N and Fe2–O bond lengths are 2.230(4) and 2.044(7) Å, respectively. The coordination mode adopted by L2– ligand is shown in Scheme 1d. Notably, rotations of the C–C axis were observed in triazole-pyrazinyl moieties, leading to the distortion of L2– ligand with an “acute triangle” configuration (Fig. 1b and c). In addition, as-synthesized 1 was determined to

![Fig. 1](image-url)
be stable in H₂O and common organic solvents. Significantly, after being soaked in aqueous solutions with pH = 1 and 13 (prepared with HCl and NaOH solution respectively) for 24 h, the unaltered PXRD patterns of 1 indicate the robustness of the framework under harsh chemical conditions (Fig. 4a and S5†).

To the best of our knowledge, the nature of extraordinary acid/base-resistance observed in 1 is uncommon in the field of metal–organic materials.⁸

Solvent-mediated preparation of the heterometallic [2 × 2] grid of 2 from 1, and general characterization, crystal structure of 2

In some cases, the coordination bonds between metal ions and coordinated solvent molecules are slightly labile so that coordinated solvent molecules can be easily removed or replaced by inorganic/organic ligands.⁹ Given the characteristics of the Fe(II)–H₂O moieties in 1, we considered that the terminal H₂O ligand may be substituted by halide anions in terms of F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, and N₃⁻ to further realize the potential structure tailoring. Inspired by this, fresh crystals of 1 were respectively immersed in saturated MeOH solutions of NaF, NaCl, NaBr, NaI, and NaN₃ at room temperature. It was found that the exclusive color change from colorless to deep brown was observed in the presence of N₃⁻, accompanied by the complete dissolution of the original crystals (Fig. S6†). Furthermore, homogenous black crystalline solids appeared in a couple of days. PXRD analysis confirmed that the samples of 1 in saturated MeOH solutions of NaF, NaCl, NaBr and NaI still keep the framework structure intact (Fig. 3a), while a distinct pattern from that of 1 was achieved from the newly generated solid, suggesting a new phase was present (Fig. 3b). This observed result may arise from the different pH values of the above saturated MeOH solutions of the corresponding sodium sources, that is, the dissociation accompanied by the cleavage of Fe–N and Fe–O coordination bonds and further dissolution of 1 should require a relative high pH value.

Fig. 2 Single crystal X-ray structures of the [2 × 2] grid for 2 (a), the ‘obtuse triangle’ configuration of H₂L in 2 (b), the side view of H₂L in 2 (c). Color scheme: Fe⁴⁺ brown, Na pink, N blue, C black, O red.

Fig. 3 TGA curves of as-synthesized 1 and 2.

Scheme 1 Coordination modes of H₂L in 1 (d) and 2 (e and f). Types of a–c can be seen in the ref. 5a.
SCXRD demonstrates that the new black crystalline phase has the chemical formula of \([\text{Fe}^{III}]_{2}\text{Na}_{2}[\text{H}_{2}\text{O}]_{4}\)·8\text{H}_{2}\text{O}\). In 2, a weight loss of 12.17% (calculated, 11.26%) in the range 30–105 °C could be assigned to the loss of guest and coordinated \text{H}_{2}\text{O} molecules; above 400 °C, a series of decomposition steps commence (Fig. 3). 2 crystallizes in the triclinic space group \(P\bar{1}\) and displays a rare heterometallic \(\text{Fe}^{III}\)/\(\text{Na}\)-based \([2 \times 2]\) grid (Fig. 2a). The crystallographically asymmetric unit of 2 contains one \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) ion, one \(\text{Na}\) ion and two deprotonated \(\text{L}^{2−}\) ligands. Significantly, \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) ion should be generated from the oxidation of \(\text{Fe}^{II}\) in alkaline condition during the self-assembly of 2. The \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) ion displays a distorted octahedral geometry, binding with six \(\text{N}\) atoms from two \(\text{L}^{2−}\) ligands, and \(\text{Na}\) ion is octahedrally coordinated by four \(\text{N}\) atoms from two independent \(\text{L}^{2−}\) ligands and two \(\text{O}\) atoms from terminal aqua ligands. The \(\text{Fe}−\text{N}\) bond lengths are ranging from 1.924(4) to 1.942(4) \(\text{Å}\), indicative of characteristic \(\text{Fe}^{III}\)-\(\text{N}\) bond lengths observed in the reported \(\text{Fe}^{III}\)-based coordination polymers.19 And further confirmation of oxidation state of Fe ions could also be provided by the charge balance. In 2, \(\text{L}^{2−}\) ligands do not show obvious distortion and adopt two kinds of coordination modes, to connect one \(\text{Fe}^{III}\) and one \(\text{Na}\) ions (Fig. 2c, Scheme 1e and f), resulting in an “obtuse triangle” configuration (Fig. 2h). Compared with the previously reported heterometallic grids,11 which are usually constructed by “one-pot synthesis” or stepwise synthetic procedures by introducing metal ions sequentially in the order of increasing coordination ability, 2 is the first grid by a solvent-mediated preparation and the first heterometallic grid-type example including main metal ion. In addition, fresh single crystals of 2 can be insoluble in \text{MeOH}, \text{EtOH}, \text{MeCN}, but readily dissolve in \text{DMF}, \text{DMA} and \text{DMSO} (Fig. S7†). Notably, attempts to direct a one-pot self-assembly synthesis of 2 failed.

Furthermore, treatments of 1 with \(\text{NaNO}_{3}\) in \text{DMF}, \text{EtOH} and \text{H}_{2}\text{O} were also checked, respectively. The results did not show any appreciable indication (Fig. S8†), probably due to the extremely slow dissociation or dissolution kinetics of the reaction,12 even though the corresponding saturated \text{DMF}, \text{EtOH} or \text{H}_{2}\text{O} solvents of \(\text{NaNO}_{3}\) possess relative high pH values.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that a metal–organic chain can serve as a template to prepare a heterometallic \([2 \times 2]\) grid through a solvent-mediated strategy. The transformation kinetics is influenced not only by a sodium source- but also by a solvent-exclusive effect. This system exhibits a number of features which are remarkable in a 1D coordination polymer, including outstanding resistance to acid/base and conversion into a heterometallic \([2 \times 2]\) grid under a special condition. The realizations in this work will enable the extensive exploration of new aspects and potential applications towards low-dimensional coordination polymers, and further a new method for the access to grid-type arrays.
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