Anomalous Hall effect and current spin polarization in Co$_2$FeX (X = Al, Ga, In, Si, Ge, and Sn) Heusler compounds: A systematic ab initio study
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Co-based Heusler compounds are ferromagnetic with a high Curie temperature and a large magnetization density, and thus are promising for spintronic applications. In this paper, we perform a systematic ab initio study of two principal spin-related phenomena, namely, anomalous Hall effect and current spin polarization, in Co$_2$Fe-based Heusler compounds Co$_2$FeX (X = Al, Ga, In, Si, Ge, Sn) in the cubic L2$_1$ structure within the density functional theory with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). The accurate all-electron full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave method is used. First, we find that the spin-polarization of the longitudinal current ($P^L$) in Co$_2$FeX (X = Al, Ga, In, Al$_{0.5}$Sb$_{0.5}$ and Sn) is $\sim$100 % even though that of the electronic states at the Fermi level ($B^D$) is not. Further, the other compounds also have a high current spin polarization with $P^L > 85 \%$. This indicates that all the Co$_2$FeX compounds are considered promising for spin-transport devices. Interestingly, $P^L$ is negative in Co$_2$FeX (X = Si, Ge and Sn), differing in sign from the $P^L$ as well as that from the transport experiments. Second, the calculated anomalous Hall conductivities (AHCs) are moderate, being within 200 S/cm, and agree well with the available experiments on highly L2$_1$ ordered Co$_2$FeSi specimen although they differ significantly from the reported experiments on other compounds where the B2 antiseite disorders were present. Surprisingly, the AHC in Co$_2$FeSi decreases and then changes sign when Si is replaced by Ge and finally by Sn. Third, the calculated total magnetic moments agree well with the corresponding experimental ones in all the studied compounds except Co$_2$FeSi where a difference of 0.3 $\mu_B$/f.u. exists. We also perform the GGA plus on-site Coulomb interaction $U$ calculations in the GGA+$U$ scheme. We find that including the $U$ affects the calculated total magnetic moment, spin polarization and AHC significantly, and in most cases, unfortunately, results in a disagreement with the available experimental results. All these interesting findings are discussed in terms of the underlying band structures.

PACS numbers: 71.20.Be, 72.25.Ba, 75.47.Np, 75.70.Tj

I. INTRODUCTION

Most Co-based Heusler compounds in the cubic L2$_1$ structure are ferromagnetic with a high Curie temperature and a large saturation magnetization.¹ Furthermore, many of them were predicted to be half-metallic²–⁵ and hence are of particular interest for spintronics. Therefore, the electronic band structure and magnetic properties of the Co-based Heusler compounds have been intensively investigated both theoretically and experimentally in recent years¹–⁵. For example, the total magnetic moments of these materials were found to follow the Slater-Pauling type behavior and the mechanism was explained in terms of the calculated electronic structures². The Curie temperatures of Co-based Heusler compounds were also determined from ab initio theoretical calculations and the trends were related to the electronic structures³.

Half-metallic ferromagnets are characterized by the coexistence of metallic behavior for one spin channel and insulating behavior for the other, and their electronic density of states at the Fermi level is completely spin polarized. Thus, they could in principle offer a fully spin-polarized current and are useful for spin electronic devices. The possible half-metallicity of the Co-based Heusler compounds has been intensively investigated experimentally⁶–¹⁵ and theoretically²–⁵,⁷,¹⁰. In particular, many point-contact Andreev reflection (PCAR) experiments⁶–¹⁰ have been carried out on Co$_2$FeSi and its current spin polarization ($P^L$) was found to vary from 45 % to 60 %, depending on the substrate and the quality of the contact. A higher $P^L$ of $\sim$80 % was reported in a nonlocal spin valve (NLSV) experiment¹¹ on Co$_2$FeSi. The spin Hall effect experiment⁸ showed that the $P^L$ of Co$_2$FeSi is positive. However, the measured positive current spin polarization is at odds with the predictions of the negative spin polarization of the electronic states at the Fermi level (static spin polarization) ($P^D$) from the ab initio calculations⁷,¹⁶ with the local density approximation (LDA) or generalized gradient approximation (GGA)¹⁷. Furthermore, the calculated spin magnetic moment ($m^{tot}$) was found to differ by nearly 10 % from the measured total magnetic moment ($m^{tot}$) of $\sim$6 $\mu_B$/f.u.¹⁶ It was argued that the Co-based Heusler compounds are strongly correlated systems and hence should be better described by, e.g., the LDA/GGA plus onsite Coulomb Repulsion ($U$) (LDA/GGA+$U$) approach¹⁸. The GGA+$U$ calculations¹⁶ indeed would give rise to a $m^{tot}$ of 6 $\mu_B$/f.u. and a positive $P^D$ of 100 % (i.e., being half-metallic). However, the calculated $P^D$ is much larger than the measured spin polarization. Nevertheless,
as pointed out recently in Ref. 7, the spin polarization measured in transport experiments such as PCAR and NLSV experiments should be compared with the theoretical current spin polarization \( P^L \) rather than static spin polarization \( P^D \). Therefore, one of the principal purposes of this work is to understand the measured spin polarization in all the Co\(_2\)FeX compounds by performing a systematic \textit{ab initio} GGA study of both the current and static spin polarizations as well as the total magnetic moment in these compounds. Indeed, we find that the calculated \( P^L \) values for the Co\(_2\)FeX compounds agree with the available experimental results not only in sign but also in magnitude, while the calculated \( P^D \) values for Co\(_2\)FeX (X = Si, Ge and Sn) are wrong even in sign.

The anomalous Hall effect (AHE), discovered in 1881 by Hall\(^{19} \), is an archetypal spin-related transport phenomenon and hence has recently received renewed attention\(^{29} \). Indeed, many \textit{ab initio} studies on the AHE in elemental ferromagnets\(^{21-24} \) and intermetallic alloys\(^{25,26} \) have recently been reported. However, \textit{ab initio} investigations into the AHE in the Heusler compounds have been few\(^5,27,28 \). Interestingly, Co\(_2\)MnX (X = Al, Ga and In) were recently predicted\(^3 \) to have a large intrinsic anomalous Hall conductivity (AHC) in the order of \( \sim 1000 \) S/cm, and thus could find applications in magnetization sensors\(^{29} \). Therefore, another principal purpose of this work is to understand the AHE in all the Co\(_2\)Fe-based Heusler compounds and the results may help experimental search for the Heusler compounds with large AHE for applications. Furthermore, by comparison of the calculated AHC as well as the current spin polarization and total magnetic moment with the measured ones, one could have a comprehensive assessment of whether or not the Co\(_2\)FeX compounds are strongly correlated systems that would require the GGA+U approach.

In nonmagnetic materials where the numbers of the spin-up and spin-down electrons are equal, the opposite transverse currents caused by the applied electric field would result in a pure spin current, and this is known as the intrinsic spin Hall effect (SHE).\(^{30} \) The pure spin current is dissipationless\(^{30} \) and is thus important for the development of low energy-consumption nanoscale spintronic devices\(^{33} \). We note that high spin-polarization \( P^L \) of the charge current \( I_C \) from the electrode is essential for large giant magnetoresistance (GMR)\(^{32,33} \) and tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR)\(^{34,35} \). However, since the current-induced magnetization switching results from the transfer of spin angular momentum from the current carriers to the magnet\(^{36} \), large spin current \( I_S \) would be needed for the operation of the spin-torque switching-based nanodevices\(^{36,37} \), i.e., a large ratio of spin current to charge current \( |\eta| = |(2e/h)I_S/I_C| \) would be crucial. For ordinary charge currents, this ratio \( \eta \) varies from 0.0 (spin unpolarized current) to 1.0 (fully spin polarized current). Interestingly, \( \eta \) can be larger than 1.0 for the Hall currents and is \( \infty \) for pure spin current. Fascinatingly, spin-torque switching of ferromagnets driven by pure spin current from large SHE in tantalum has been recently reported\(^{31} \). Therefore, it might be advantageous to use the Hall current from ferromagnets for magnetoelectronic devices, rather than the longitudinal current. Another purpose of this work is therefore to investigate the nature and spin-polarization of the Hall current in the Co\(_2\)Fe-based Heusler compounds for possible spintronic applications.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we briefly describe the theories of the intrinsic anomalous and spin Hall conductivities as well as Hall and longitudinal current spin polarizations. We will also introduce the full-potential relativistic band theoretical method used and give the computational details. In Sec. III, the calculated magnetic moments, intrinsic Hall conductivities and current spin polarizations of all the studied Co\(_2\)FeX compounds will be reported in subsections III (A), (B) and (C), respectively. The theoretical results will be analyzed in terms of the underlying band structures and also compared with the available experimental ones. In subsection III (D), the results from the GGA+U calculations will be presented to examine the effect of including the semi-empirical on-site Coulomb interaction on the calculated physical properties of the Co\(_2\)FeX compounds. Finally, conclusions drawn from this work will be given in Sec. IV.

### II. THEORY AND COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

We first perform the self-consistent electronic structure calculations for the Co\(_2\)FeX compounds within the density functional theory with the GGA for the exchange-correlation potential\(^{17} \). Since all the intrinsic Hall effects are caused by the relativistic electron spin-orbit interaction, the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) is included in the present \textit{ab initio} calculations. We use the highly accurate full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FLAPW) method, as implemented in the WIEN2K code\(^{38} \). The wave function, charge density, and potential were expanded in terms of the spherical harmonics inside the muffin-tin spheres and the cutoff angular moment \( L_{\text{max}} \) used is 10, 6 and 6, respectively. The wave function outside the muffin-tin spheres is expanded in terms of the augmented plane waves (APWs) and a large number of APWs (about 70 APWs per atom, i.e., the maximum size of the crystal momentum \( K_{\text{max}} = 8/R_{\text{cut}} \)) were included in the present calculations. The improved tetrahedron method is used for the Brillouin-zone integration\(^{39} \). To obtain accurate ground state charge density as well as spin and orbital magnetic moments, a fine \( 27 \times 27 \times 27 \) grid with 1470 \( k \)-points in the irreducible Brillouin zone wedge (IBZW) is used. The self-consistent cycles were terminated when the integrated charge density variation became less than \( 10^{-5} \) e.

We consider the Co\(_2\)FeX Heusler compounds in the fully ordered cubic \( L2_1 \) structure. The available experimental lattice constants\(^{40} \) are used for all the consid-
ered Co$_2$FeX (X = Al, Ga, In, Si, Ge, Sn) Heusler alloys except Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$, Co$_2$FeIn and Co$_2$FeSn, as listed in Table I. Since the experimental lattice constant for Co$_2$FeIn is not available, we determine the lattice constant for Co$_2$FeIn theoretically, also by using the FLAPW method, as described in the preceding paragraph. We also study the L2$_1$ Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ alloy and model it by the virtual crystal approximation (VCA), i.e., the AI/Si site is occupied by a virtual atom with the atom number $Z = 0.5Z_{Al} + 0.5Z_{Si}$, where $Z_{Al}$ and $Z_{Si}$ are the Al and Si atomic numbers, respectively. The lattice constant of 5.689 Å, which is the average of the experimental lattice constants of Co$_2$FeAl (5.737 Å) and Co$_2$FeSi (5.640 Å), is used for Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ because the lattice constant of the Co$_2$FeAl$_{1-x}$Si$_x$ alloy was reported to depend linearly on the Al concentration $(x)^{45}$. Note that in fact we have determined the lattice constants theoretically also for the Co$_2$FeX (X = Al, Ga, Si, Ge) compounds. The theoretical lattice constants for these compounds differ from the experimental values by less than 1 %. As a result, the physical properties of these compounds calculated using the experimental and theoretical lattice constants differ only slightly. Therefore, for simplicity, we present only the physical properties of these compounds calculated using the experimental lattice constants in the next section. However, the theoretical lattice constant (6.013 Å) of Co$_2$FeSn is 2.4 % larger than the experimental one (5.87 Å)$^{46}$ perhaps because the prepared Co$_2$FeSn films contain only a low degree of L2$_1$ order. Therefore, the theoretical lattice constant is used for Co$_2$FeSn (Table I).

A. Anomalous and spin Hall conductivities

The intrinsic anomalous and spin Hall conductivities of a solid can be evaluated by using the Kubo formalism.$^{21,47,48}$ Here we first calculate the imaginary part of the off-diagonal elements of the optical conductivity. Then we obtain the real part of the off-diagonal elements of the optical conductivity $\sigma_{xy}^{(1)}(\omega = 0)$. If we now replace the charge current operator $-e\vec{v}$ with the spin current operator $(\hbar/4)\{\Sigma_z, \vec{v}\}$ and repeat the calculation,$^{37}$ we will obtain the intrinsic spin Hall conductivity (SHC) $\sigma_{xy}^S$. We note in passing that alternatively, one could also calculate $\sigma_{xy}^A$ ($\sigma_{xy}^S$) by an integration of the (spin) Berry curvature over the Brillouin zone.$^{21,49,50}$ Nevertheless, the two methods were found to be numerically equivalent.$^{21,49,50}$

A dense k-point mesh would be needed for obtaining accurate AHC and SHC.$^{21,48}$ Therefore, we use several fine k-point meshes with the finest k-point mesh being 58$\times$58$\times$58 which has 8125 k-points in the IBZW. We calculate the AHC and SHC as a function of the number $(N_k)$ of k-points in the first Brillouin zone. The calculated AHC ($\sigma_{xy}^A$) and SHC ($\sigma_{xy}^S$) versus the inverse of the $N_k$ are then plotted and fitted to a polynomial to get the converged theoretical $\sigma_{xy}^A$ and $\sigma_{xy}^S$ (i.e., the extrapolated value at $N_k = \infty$) (see Refs. 23 and 24). Furthermore, to ensure that the $\sigma_{xy}^{(1)}(\omega = 0)$ via the Kramers-Kronig transformation is accurate, the energy bands up to 5.5 Ry are included in the calculation of $\sigma_{xy}^{(2)}(\omega)$.

B. Current spin polarization

The spin polarization of a magnetic material is usually described in terms of the spin-decomposed densities of states (DOSSs) at the Fermi level $(E_F)$ as follows

$$P^D = \frac{N_\uparrow(E_F) - N_\downarrow(E_F)}{N_\uparrow(E_F) + N_\downarrow(E_F)},$$

(1)

where $N_\uparrow(E_F)$ and $N_\downarrow(E_F)$ are the spin-up and spin-down DOSSs at the $E_F$, respectively. This static spin polarization $P^D$ would then vary from -1 to 1.0 only. For the half-metallic materials, $P^D$ equals to either -1.0 or 1.0. As mentioned above, the spin polarization $P^D$ defined by Eq. (1) is not necessarily the spin polarization of the transport currents measured in experiments. Indeed, the spin-polarizations measured by using different experimental techniques could differ significantly.$^{21-54}$ From the viewpoint of spintronic applications, only the current spin polarization instead of the $P^D$, counts.

Therefore, in this work, we further calculate the spin polarization of both the longitudinal and Hall currents, as described below. Here, we calculate the longitudinal electric conductivities ($\sigma_\downarrow, \sigma_\uparrow$) for spin-up and spin-down electrons divided by the corresponding Drude relaxation times ($\tau_\downarrow$, $\tau_\uparrow$) (i.e., $\sigma_\downarrow/\tau_\downarrow, \sigma_\uparrow/\tau_\uparrow$) within the semi-classical Boltzmann transport theory, as implemented in Boltz-Trap code$^{55}$. In the present calculations, the relaxation time is assumed to be independent of energy, k-point and spin direction (i.e., $\tau_\uparrow = \tau_\downarrow = \tau$). Consequently, we can obtain the longitudinal current spin polarization $P^L$ from

$$P^L = \frac{\tau_\downarrow - \tau_\uparrow}{\tau_\downarrow + \tau_\uparrow} \approx \frac{\sigma_\downarrow - \sigma_\uparrow}{\sigma_\downarrow + \sigma_\uparrow}. \tag{2}$$

The underlying scalar-relativistic band structures are calculated by using a fine 36$\times$36$\times$36 mesh with 3349 k-points in the IBZW.

The spin polarization $P^H$ of the Hall current may be written as$^{5,24}$

$$P^H = \frac{\sigma_{xy}^H + \sigma_{yx}^H}{\sigma_{xy}^H - \sigma_{yx}^H}, \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma_{xy}^H$ and $\sigma_{yx}^H$ are the spin-up and spin-down Hall conductivities, respectively. The $\sigma_{xy}^H$ and $\sigma_{yx}^H$ can be obtained from the calculated AHC and SHC via the relations$^{50}$

$$\sigma_{xy}^A = \sigma_{xy}^H + \sigma_{xy}^H \tag{4}$$

$$-2K^S_{xy} = \sigma_{xy}^H - \sigma_{xy}^H. \tag{5}$$
Note that, the absolute value of $P^H$ can be greater than 1.0 because the spin-decomposed Hall currents can go either right (positive) or left (negative). In the non-magnetic materials, the charge Hall current is zero, and hence, $\sigma_{xy}^{H+} = -\sigma_{xy}^{H-}$ results in $P^H = \infty$. Clearly, in the case of Hall currents, the ratio of the spin current to charge current $\eta = [(2e/h)\sigma_{xy}^{S}/\sigma_{xy}^{A}] = |P^H|$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetic moments and band structure

Let us first examine the calculated magnetic properties and band structures near the Fermi level of the considered Co$_2$FeX Heusler alloys. Since the electronic structure and magnetism in the full Heusler compounds have been extensively studied (see, e.g., Refs. 2–4 and references therein), here we focus on only the salient features which may be related to the anomalous and spin Hall effects as well as current spin polarizations to be presented in the next subsections. The calculated total magnetic moment, total spin magnetic moment, local spin and orbital magnetic moments as well as spin-decomposed DOSs at $E_F$ of all the considered Co$_2$FeX Heusler alloys are listed in Table I, together with the available experimental total magnetic moments for comparison. The total and site decomposed DOSs of three selected Heusler compounds Co$_2$FeAl, Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ and Co$_2$FeSi are displayed in Fig. 1. The scalar relativistic band structures of Co$_2$FeAl and Co$_2$FeSi are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c), respectively.

Interestingly, the studied Heusler alloys could be separated into two groups according to the calculated DOS at $E_F$. In one group, including Co$_2$FeAl, Co$_2$FeGa, Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ and Co$_2$FeIn, the minority spin state dominates. In the other group, including Co$_2$FeSi, Co$_2$FeGe and Co$_2$FeSn, the minority spin state dominates (see Table I). Therefore, the calculated spin polarization ($P^D$) for the first group is positive while that of the second group is negative (see Table II). It is clear from Fig. 1(a) that there is a band gap near the $E_F$ for the minority spin channel in Co$_2$FeAl and adding one valence electron can be approximatively treated as raising the $E_F$ by $\sim 0.4$ eV. This $E_F$ shift is nearly equal to that from Co$_2$MnAl to Co$_2$MnSi in Ref. 5 where the calculated $P^D$ for both compounds, however, is positive. This is because the minority gap here is small, being $\sim 0.2$ eV, while that in Co$_2$MnAl is much larger, being $\sim 0.8$ eV.

Figure 1 and Table I show that from the view point of the calculated band structures, all the considered Heusler compounds are not half-metallic, although Co$_2$FeAl is nearly a half-metal because its $E_F$ just touches the bottom of the minority spin conduction band [Fig. 2(a)]. Previous GGA calculations$^{58}$ also predicted that of Co$_2$FeAl, Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ and Co$_2$FeSi are not half-metallic. The DOS spectra for Co$_2$FeAl, Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$, and Co$_2$FeSi are similar and differ only in the location of $E_F$ (see Fig. 1). The DOS spectra of Co$_2$FeGa (Co$_2$FeIn) and Co$_2$FeGe (Co$_2$FeSn) (not shown here) also look similar, except the location of $E_F$.

Table I indicates that among the considered Heusler compounds, only the total spin magnetic moment $m_{tot}$ of Co$_2$FeAl and Co$_2$FeGa almost satisfies the so-called generalized Slater-Pauling rule $m_{tot} = n_e - 24$ where $n_e$ is the number of valence electrons$^2$. This may be expected because none of these compounds is predicted to be half-metallic here and only Co$_2$FeAl is nearly half-metallic. Table I also suggests that the calculated $m_{tot}$ agrees well with the available measured one for all the considered compounds except Co$_2$FeSi. The discrepancy between the calculated and experimental $m_{tot}$ is $\sim 0.3 \mu_B$/f.u. for Co$_2$FeSi but is about 0.1 $\mu_B$/f.u. or less for all the other compounds (Table I).

B. Anomalous and spin Hall conductivities

The calculated anomalous Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy}^{A}$ and spin Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy}^{S}$ for all the studied compounds are listed in Table II. We notice that compared with the
TABLE I. Calculated total spin magnetic moment ($m_{tot}^{s}$) ($\mu_B$/f.u.), atomic spin ($m_{a}$) and orbital ($m_{o}$) magnetic moments ($\mu_B$/atom) as well as spin-decomposed density of states at the Fermi level [$N^{\uparrow}(E_F)$, $N^{\downarrow}(E_F)$] (states/eV/f.u.) of all the considered Co$_2$FeX Heusler compounds together with the lattice constants $a$ (Å) used. The available experimental magnetic moments$^{41-44}$ (Exp.) are also listed for comparison with the calculated total magnetic moments ($m_{tot}^{s}$) ($\mu_B$/f.u.). In Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$, listed in the bracket is the spin magnetic moment of Si. The orbital magnetic moments for the non-transition metal atoms ($m_{o}^{s}$) are less than 0.0001 $\mu_B$/atom and hence not listed here. The theoretical total magnetic moment ($m_{tot}^{s}$) is given by $m_{tot}^{s} = m_{tot}^{s} + 2m_{o}^{s} + m_{a}^{s}$, which should be compared with the experimental magnetic moment.

| Co$_2$FeX         | $a$       | $m_{tot}^{s}$ | $m_{tot}^{s}$ | $m_{a}^{s}$ | $m_{a}^{s}$ | $m_{o}^{s}$ | $m_{o}^{s}$ | $N^{\uparrow}(E_F)$ | $N^{\downarrow}(E_F)$ |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Co$_2$FeAl        | 5.757$^a$ | 5.123         | 4.993         | 1.229       | 2.788       | -0.064      | 0.041       | 0.048             | 0.862              |
|                   | Exp.      | 4.96          |               |             |             |             |             |                   |                   |
| Co$_2$FeGa        | 5.751$^a$ | 5.149         | 5.016         | 1.206       | 2.811       | -0.047      | 0.041       | 0.051             | 0.885              |
|                   | Exp.      | 5.13          |               |             |             |             |             |                   |                   |
| Co$_2$FeIn        | 5.990$^a$ | 5.308         | 5.143         | 1.250       | 2.885       | -0.046      | 0.052       | 0.061             | 0.859              |
|                   | Exp.      | 5.13          |               |             |             |             |             |                   |                   |
| Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ | 5.689 | 5.523         | 5.376         | 1.338       | 2.683       | -0.037      | 0.052       | 0.043             | 0.755              |
|                   | Exp.      | 5.688         | 5.541         | 1.388       | 2.848       | -0.002      | 0.040       | 0.067             | 0.714              |
| Co$_2$FeSi        | 5.640$^a$ | 5.688         | 5.541         | 1.388       | 2.848       | -0.002      | 0.040       | 0.067             | 2.476              |
|                   | Exp.      | 5.97          |               |             |             |             |             |                   |                   |
| Co$_2$FeGe        | 5.743$^a$ | 5.854         | 5.693         | 1.422       | 2.917       | 0.012       | 0.046       | 0.069             | 2.283              |
|                   | Exp.      | 5.90, 5.74    |               |             |             |             |             |                   |                   |
| Co$_2$FeSn        | 6.013$^a$ | 5.994         | 5.797         | 1.445       | 3.021       | -0.005      | 0.060       | 0.079             | 0.712              |

$^a$ Experimental lattice constants.$^{40}$

TABLE II. Calculated anomalous [$\sigma_{xy}^{A}$ (S/cm)] and spin [$\sigma_{xy}^{S}$ (hS/e cm)] Hall conductivities, spin-decomposed Hall conductivities ($\sigma_{xy}^{A\uparrow}$, $\sigma_{xy}^{A\downarrow}$) (S/cm), Hall ($P_{xy}^H$) and longitudinal ($P_{xx}^L$) current spin polarizations (%) as well as spin polarization of the electronic states at the Fermi level $P_{xy}^H$ (%) of all the considered Heusler compounds Co$_2$FeX. The available experimental spin polarization and scattering-independent part ($b$)$^{26}$ of the $\sigma_{xy}^{A}$ are also listed for comparison. Note that $b$ contains both the intrinsic contribution ($\sigma_{xy}^{A}$) calculated here and also the extrinsic side-jump contribution ($\sigma_{xy}^{A-sj}$).

| Co$_2$FeX                | $\sigma_{xy}^{A}$ | $\sigma_{xy}^{S}$ | $\sigma_{xy}^{A\uparrow}$ | $\sigma_{xy}^{A\downarrow}$ | $P_{xy}^H$ | $P_{xx}^L$ | $P_{xy}^H$ |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Co$_2$FeAl               | 39                 | 35                 | -16                       | 55                        | 100        | 87         |            |
|                          | Exp. 320$^a$       |                    |                           |                           |            |            |            |
| Co$_2$FeGa               | 181                | 56                 | 35                        | 147                       | 98         | 65         |            |
|                          | Exp. 57$^b$        |                    |                           |                           |            |            |            |
| Co$_2$FeIn               | 102                | 56                 | -5                        | 107                       | 92         | 20         |            |
|                          | Exp. -100$^b$      |                    |                           |                           |            |            |            |
| Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ | 124           | 74                 | -12                       | 136                       | 92         | 31         |            |
|                          | Exp. 163$^b$, 300$^a$ |                    |                           |                           |            |            |            |
| Co$_2$FeSi               | 189                | 24                 | 71                        | 119                       | 86         | -55        |            |
|                          | Exp. 45$^b$, 80$^c$ |                    |                           |                           |            |            |            |
| Co$_2$FeGe               | 119                | -29                | 89                        | 31                        | 49         | -49        |            |
|                          | Exp. 59$^b$        |                    |                           |                           |            |            |            |
| Co$_2$FeSn               | -78                | -24                | -15                       | -63                       | -62        | 93         | -55        |

$^a$ Experimental $b$ values from sputtered films with the B2 structure.$^{13}$

$^b$ Point-contact Andreev reflection experiments.$^{12}$

$^c$ Point-contact Andreev reflection experiments on Co$_2$FeGa$_{0.4}$Ge$_{1-x}$ in the L2$_1$/B2 mixed structure.$^{15}$

$^d$ Experimental $b$ value from Co$_2$FeSi single crystals with the L2$_1$ structure.$^{6}$

$^e$ Point-contact Andreev reflection experiments.$^{7,9,10,45}$

$^f$ Nonlocal spin-valve experiment.$^{13}$

$^g$ Experimental $b$ values from sputtered Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.4}$Si$_{0.6}$ films with the B2 structure.$^{57}$

$^h$ Experimental $b$ value from sputtered ultrathin Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ film with the B2 structure.$^{14}$

AHC of Fe metal$^{21}$ and also Co$_2$MnX (X = Al, Ga and In)$^5$, the $\sigma_{xy}^{A}$ of the present Heusler compounds is moderate in magnitude, being within 200 S/cm (Table II). In fact, the AHC of Co$_2$FeX (X = Al, Ga and In) (Table II) is about one order of magnitude smaller than the corresponding Co$_2$MnX (X = Al, Ga and In) (see Table II in Ref. 5). This can be explained in terms of the calculated band structure and also $\sigma_{xy}^{A}$ as a function of $E_F$ in Co$_2$FeAl (Figs. 2a and 2b). Figures 2a and 2c show that the spin-up bands near $E_F$ are the highly dispersive Co spd, Fe spd and Al sp hybridized bands while $E_F$ nearly falls within the spin-down band gap. Conse-
quently, the $\sigma_{xy}^A$ is rather small (being $\sim 35$ S/cm) (see Fig. 2b). However, when $E_F$ is lowered to below -0.8 eV, $\sigma_{xy}^A$ increases dramatically to the values of $\sim 1000$ S/cm (Fig. 2b). These large $\sigma_{xy}^A$ values come mainly from the spin-up Co $d$ dominant bands in this energy range (Figs. 2a and 2c). In Co$_2$MnAl, the corresponding spin-up Co $d$ dominant bands are higher in energy, and the $E_F$ is lower because Co$_2$MnAl has one fewer valence electron than Co$_2$FeAl. As a result, the $E_F$ sits on the Co $d$ dominant $\sigma_{xy}^A$ peak in Co$_2$MnAl and thus Co$_2$MnAl has a much larger $\sigma_{xy}^A$ (being $\sim 1300$ S/cm). This interesting finding suggests a way to chemical composition tuning of the AHC in Co$_2$Mn$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$X ($X =$ Al, Ga and In) alloys.

Interestingly, for the Co$_2$FeX ($X =$ Si, Ge and Sn) compounds, the AHC gets reduced when Si is replaced by Ge and changes sign when Ge is further substituted by Sn. Nevertheless, the calculated band structures for the Co$_2$FeX ($X =$ Si, Ge and Sn) compounds look very similar, especially in the vicinity of $E_F$. Thus, there is no obvious explanation for this interesting evolution. Table II indicates that the $\sigma_{xy}^A$ of Co$_2$FeSi is about five times larger than that of Co$_2$FeAl. This could be attributed to the band filling effect. Figure 2 shows that in Co$_2$FeSi, due to the additional one valence electron, the $E_F$ is raised to the bottom of the Co/Fe $d(e_g)$ dominant bands where $\sigma_{xy}^A$ is large (Fig. 2b), thus resulting in a much larger $\sigma_{xy}^A$.

Several AHE experiments on the Co$_2$FeX compounds and their alloys have been carried out. The derived AHC values ($b$) for Co$_2$FeAl, Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ and Co$_2$FeSi are listed in Table II. However, quantitative comparison of the present theoretical calculations with the experimental results is difficult, because all the samples used in the experiments except Co$_2$FeSi are in the B2 structure with antisite disorders (Table II). The deduced AHC values depend strongly on the substrates used and annealing temperatures which control the degree of the B2 antisite disorders and also the defect concentrations. Nevertheless, Table II shows that the calculated $\sigma_{xy}^A$ of Co$_2$FeSi is in good agreement with the experimental result from the single crystal sample, indicating the intrinsic AHC $\sigma_{xy}^A$ dominates in Co$_2$FeSi single crystals with the L2$_1$ structure. In contrast, the theoretical $\sigma_{xy}^A$ of Co$_2$FeAl is one order of magnitude smaller than the $b$ derived from the experiment. For Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$, the $b$ values from two different experiments are very different, suggesting the important influences of the B2 antisite disorder and also the substrate. We could attribute the pronounced discrepancies between the theoretical (intrinsic) ($\sigma_{xy}^A$) and experimental $b$ values of Co$_2$FeAl and Co$_2$FeSi to a significant contribution from the impurity side-jump scattering as well as the structural difference. However, recent $ab$ initio calculations for Co$_2$CrAl and Co$_2$MnAl indicated that the B2 antisite disorders tend to significantly reduce the intrinsic AHC $\sigma_{xy}^A$. Therefore, in the experiments on Co$_2$FeAl and Co$_2$FeSi, side-jump mechanism could dominate and thus result in a much larger $b$ than $\sigma_{xy}^A$.

Table II indicates that the calculated $\sigma_{xy}^S$ in Co$_2$FeAl and Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ is about half of the $\sigma_{xy}^A$ and their Hall current spin polarization ($P^H$) is nearly 100 %. In a half-metal, the charge current would flow only in one spin channel and no charge current in the other spin channel, thus resulting in $\sigma_{xy}^A$ being twice as large as $\sigma_{xy}^S$. Therefore, Co$_2$FeAl and Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ may be called anomalous Hall half-metals, even though their electronic states near $E_F$ are far from fully spin-polarized (see $P^D$ in Table II). Finally, we note that the ratio of spin current to charge current for the Hall current ($\eta = |P^H|$) in Co$_2$FeAl is large with $\eta > 150$.%

C. Current spin polarizations

The calculated spin polarizations of Hall ($P^H$) and longitudinal ($P^L$) currents as well as electronic states at $E_F$ ($P^D$) for all the Heusler compounds considered here are listed in Table II. Also listed in Table II are the spin-decomposed Hall conductivities ($\sigma_{xy}^{L\uparrow}$ and $\sigma_{xy}^{L\downarrow}$) obtained using Eqs. (4) and (5). Remarkably, Table II shows that the calculated $P^L$ is nearly 100 % in Co$_2$FeAl, Co$_2$FeGa and Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ even though their $P^D$ is significantly smaller than 100 %. This finding, therefore, indicates that these Heusler compounds are half-metallic from the viewpoint of charge transport, even though their electronic band structures are not. All the other compounds also have a high current spin polarization with $P^L > 85$ %. Therefore, all the Heusler compounds considered here may find valuable applications in spintronic devices. Interestingly, Table II also demonstrates that the $P^L$ and $P^D$ in Co$_2$FeSi, Co$_2$FeGe and Co$_2$FeSn could even have opposite signs. The calculated current spin polarization $P^L$ in Co$_2$FeSi and Co$_2$FeGe is positive, being in good agreement with recent spin Hall effect experiments. In contrast, the static spin polarization ($P^D$) differs from the experimental spin polarization even in sign (Table II). This clearly urges one to compare the measured spin polarization from transport experiments to the theoretical current spin polarization rather than the static spin polarization which has often been done in the past.

The interesting finding that the $P^L$ and $P^D$ in Co$_2$FeSi, Co$_2$FeGe and Co$_2$FeSn differ in sign, could be explained in terms of the calculated band structures. Figure 2(c) indicates that in Co$_2$FeSi, for the spin-up channel, the $E_F$ cuts through the highly dispersive Co/Fe $spd$ and Si $sp$ hybridized bands. On the other hand, for the spin-down channel, the $E_F$ is located at the bottom of the Co/Fe $d(e_g)$ dominated bands. Consequently, the spin-down DOS at $E_F$ is higher than the spin-up DOS (see Fig. 1c and Table I), giving rise to the negative value of $P^D$. From transport viewpoint, however, the spin-down Co/Fe $d(e_g)$ dominated bands which are narrow (Fig. 2c), would have large effective masses and small Fermi velocities, thereby contributing little to the charge current. On the other hand, the spin-up Co/Fe
measurements on Co$_2$Fe-based Heusler alloys have been carried out to determine their spin polarization which is a key factor for their spintronic applications. Majority of these experiments were focused on Co$_2$FeSi mainly because highly L2$_1$ ordered Co$_2$FeSi samples could be fabricated. However, the $P^L$ values derived from PCAR experiments on Co$_2$FeSi vary significantly from 45% to 60% (Table II), depending on the quality of the samples. This could be expected because the spin polarization determined by a PCAR experiment depends not only on the degree of the ordering and the defects in the sample but also on the quality of the contact and the substrate$^{59}$. Nevertheless, the theoretical $P^L$ value of 86% agrees rather well with the experimental value of 80% from the nonlocal spin-valve experiment$^{11}$ on highly L2$_1$-ordered specimens. However, the measured $P^L$ values for Co$_2$FeAl, Co$_2$FeGa and Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ are around 60%, which is far from the predicted $P^L$ value of $\sim$100% for these compounds (Table II). These significant discrepancies may reflect the fact that the samples used in the experiments$^{10,12,15}$ had a high degree of the B2 antisite disorders.

D. Effects of on-site Coulomb interaction

To examine the effect of on-site Coulomb interaction, we further perform the calculations in the GGA+U scheme$^{18}$. The on-site Coulomb repulsion $U$ (exchange interaction $J$) used are 2.82 (0.9) and 2.6 (0.8) eV for Co and Fe, respectively, which are widely used for Co$_2$-based Heusler compounds.$^{58}$ The results from these GGA+U calculations are compared with those of the GGA calculations in Table III. We notice that the total spin magnetic moments ($m^\text{tot}_s$) from the GGA and GGA+U calculations are almost identical in all the Heusler compounds except Co$_2$FeSi and Co$_2$FeGe. This may be expected since the GGA $m^\text{tot}_s$ is already nearly saturated in these compounds. Including the on-site Coulomb interaction increases the $m^\text{tot}_s$ in Co$_2$FeSi and Co$_2$FeGe to the saturation values. Note that the measured magnetic moments should be compared with the calculated total magnetic moments ($m^\text{tot}_s$) instead of total spin magnetic moments ($m^\text{tot}_s$) in Tables I and III. The $m^\text{tot}$ contains both the $m^\text{tot}_s$ and the total orbital magnetic moment which cannot be neglected in the Heusler compounds studied here because the orbital magnetic moments on the Fe and Co atoms are rather significant (Table I). Tables I and III together show that including the on-site Coulomb interaction actually increases the small discrepancies between the experiments and the GGA calculations found in all the Heusler compounds except Co$_2$FeSi where the difference of 0.3 $\mu_B$/f.u. is reduced slightly to 0.2 $\mu_B$ per formula unit.

Table III shows that the on-site Coulomb interaction has a pronounced effect on the spin polarization. First, the current spin polarization ($P^L$) for all the studied compounds is now 100% from the GGA+U calculations. Second, the static spin polarization ($P^D$) approaches to 100% for all the compounds except Co$_2$FeGa. Therefore, these Heusler compounds become half-metals in terms of both the band structure and current spin polarization. This may be expected because the main effect of the on-site Coulomb interaction is to raise the spin-down Fe and Co $d$-dominant conduction bands. Consequently, if sufficiently large $U$ values are used, the spin-down Fe and Co $d$-dominant conduction bands will move to above $E_F$. This will open a gap in the spin-down channel and thus give rise to zero spin-down DOS at $E_F$ (Table III). However, the spin-down GGA+U band gap is as large as 0.9 eV in Co$_2$FeSi, for example, being nearly 100 times larger than the measured one$^6$. Interestingly, including Coulomb $U$ changes the spin polarization $P^D$ in Co$_2$FeSi and Co$_2$FeGe from negative to positive (Table III). However, it should be emphasized that the mechanism of the spin polarization sign change here is very different from the sign difference between the $P^D$ and $P^L$ in the GGA calculations. Nevertheless, whether the

![FIG. 2. (color online) Scalar relativistic band structure [(a) and (c)], and anomalous Hall conductivity ($\sigma_{xy}$) [(b) and (d)] for Co$_2$FeAl, and Co$_2$FeSi, respectively. The Fermi energy is at zero.](image-url)
TABLE III. Total magnetic moment ($m^{\text{tot}}$), total spin magnetic moment ($m^{\text{tot}}_{\text{s}}$) ($\mu_B$/f.u.), spin-decomposed density of states at the Fermi level [$N^\sigma(E_F)$, $N^\uparrow(E_F)$] (states/eV/f.u.), spin polarization of the electronic states at the Fermi level $P^\sigma$ ($\%$, anomalous $[\sigma^A_{xy}$ (S/cm)]) and spin $[\sigma^S_{xy}$ (hS/cm)] Hall conductivities and Hall current spin polarization $P^H$ ($\%$) of the Co$_2$FeX Heusler compounds from both the GGA and GGA+U calculations. The on-site Coulomb (exchange) interaction $U$ ($J$) for Co and Fe used are 2.82 (0.9) eV and 2.6 (0.8) eV, respectively.

| Co$_2$FeX | $m^{\text{tot}}$ | $m^{\text{tot}}_{\text{s}}$ | $N^\sigma(E_F)$ | $N^\uparrow(E_F)$ | $P^\sigma$ | $P^L$ | $\sigma^A_{xy}$ | $\sigma^S_{xy}$ | $P^H$ |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------|--------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Co$_2$FeAl | GGA 5.123 | 4.993 | 0.082 | 0.059 | 87 | 100 | 39 | 35 | -180 |
| Co$_2$FeGa | GGA 5.149 | 5.016 | 0.088 | 0.053 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 69 | -140 |
| Co$_2$FeGa+U | 5.202 | 4.999 | 0.073 | 0.003 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 69 | -140 |
| Co$_2$FeGa | GGA+U 5.259 | 5.043 | 0.772 | 0.515 | 20 | 100 | 89 | 67 | -151 |
| Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$ | GGA 5.523 | 5.376 | 0.755 | 0.390 | 31 | 100 | 31 | 92 | -119 |
| Co$_2$FeAl$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$+U | 5.700 | 5.498 | 0.667 | 0.001 | 100 | 100 | 139 | 87 | -125 |
| Co$_2$FeSi | GGA 5.688 | 5.541 | 0.714 | 0.247 | -55 | 100 | 169 | 24 | -25 |
| Co$_2$FeSi+U | 6.196 | 5.998 | 0.587 | 0.068 | 100 | 98 | 73 | 54 | -148 |
| Co$_2$FeGe | GGA 5.854 | 5.693 | 0.785 | 2.288 | -49 | 89 | 119 | 24 | -29 |
| Co$_2$FeGe+U | 6.222 | 5.997 | 0.624 | 0.003 | 99 | 100 | 14 | 40 | -570 |

$P^D$ is positive or negative can be tested by spin-polarized angle-resolved photoemission experiments which, unfortunately, have not been reported on any Heusler compound studied here.

Table III also indicates that including the on-site Coulomb $U$ changes the calculated AHC and SHC substantially. In particular, the $\sigma^A_{xy}$ gets reduced significantly for all the studied compounds except Co$_2$FeAl (Table III). For example, the theoretical $\sigma^A_{xy}$ for Co$_2$FeGe is 119 S/cm from the GGA calculation but is reduced to 14 S/cm when the on-site Coulomb $U$ is included. This suggests that by comparing the calculated $\sigma^A_{xy}$ with the measured one, one could assess whether or not including on-site Coulomb $U$ is needed to properly describe the electronic properties of a Co$_2$Fe-based Heusler compound. The measured $\sigma^A_{xy}$ of Co$_2$FeSi is $\sim$160 S/cm, being in good agreement with the GGA result (Table II). However, it is two times larger than the result of the GGA+U calculation (about 70 S/cm). This indicates that Co$_2$Fe-based Heusler compounds are not strongly correlated systems and there may be no need to include the on-site Coulomb $U$ for these compounds.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have carried out a systematic ab initio study of the anomalous Hall effect and current spin polarization as well as the magnetic properties of the Co$_2$FeX (X = Al, Ga, In, Si, Ge, Sn) Heusler compounds in the cubic L2$_1$ structure by using the highly accurate all-electron FLAPW method. First, we find that the spin-polarization of the longitudinal current ($P^L$) in Co$_2$FeX (X = Al, Ga and Al$_{0.5}$Si$_{0.5}$) is $\sim$100 % even though the static spin polarization ($P^D$) is not. Furthermore, the other compounds also have a high current spin polarization with $P^L$ $>$ 85 %. This indicates that all the Co$_2$FeX compounds are promising for spintronic devices. Interestingly, $P^D$ is negative in Co$_2$FeX (X = Si, Ge and Sn), differing in sign from the $P^L$ as well as from that from the transport experiments. Second, the calculated AHCs are moderate, being within 200 S/cm, and agree well with the available experiments on highly L2$_1$ ordered Co$_2$FeSi specimen although they differ significantly from the reported experiments on other compounds where the B2 antisite disorders were present. Surprisingly, the AHC in Co$_2$FeSi decreases and then changes from the negative to positive when Si is replaced by Ge and finally by Sn. Third, the calculated total magnetic moments are in good agreement with the experiments in all the studied compounds except Co$_2$FeSi where a difference of 0.3 $\mu_B$/f.u. exists. We have also performed the GGA+U calculations in order to examine the effects of the on-site Coulomb repulsion. We find that including the $U$ changes the calculated total magnetic moment, spin polarization and AHC significantly. In most cases, unfortunately, this results in a worse agreement with the available experimental results. These interesting findings are analyzed in terms of the underlying band structures.
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