NLO corrections to timelike, spacelike and double deeply virtual Compton scattering.
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We calculate the $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the timelike, spacelike and double deeply virtual Compton scattering amplitudes in the generalized Bj"{o}rken scaling region. Special attention is devoted to studies of the difference between the next to leading order timelike and spacelike coefficient functions, which plays for this process a role analogous to the large K factor which was much discussed in the analysis of inclusive Drell Yan reactions, large $p_T$ hadron or jet production in the framework of collinear QCD factorization. Also in the present studies the timelike nature of the hard scale gives rise to new absorptive part of the amplitude and to the presence of characteristic $\pi^2$ terms, which can potentially lead to sizeable corrections.
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I. INTRODUCTION.

Data on deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) are now available from various experimental settings \cite{1} and different strategies are proposed \cite{2} to extract from them the physical knowledge on nucleon structure encoded in generalized parton distributions (GPD) \cite{3,4}. These attempts are usually based on a leading order QCD analysis, although the importance of next order terms has often been emphasized, in particular with respect to the dangerous factorization scale choice dependence \cite{3}. Historically, one can note that the understanding of inclusive reactions (Drell Yan reactions, large $p_T$ hadron or jet production) in the framework of collinear QCD factorization has waited for an analysis including next-to-leading order (NLO) (or even next-to-next-to-leading order) corrections. Indeed, complete NLO calculations \cite{6-9} are available for the DVCS reaction and there is no indication that they are negligible in the analysis including next-to-leading order (or even next-to-next-to-leading order) corrections. Indeed, complete calculations of GPDs to be possible \cite{12}. This is likely to be even more the case for TCS. Indeed, TCS and DVCS amplitudes are sensitive to gluon GPDs which enter the amplitude only at NLO level.

\begin{equation}
\gamma^*(q_{in})N \rightarrow \gamma^*(q_{out})N',
\end{equation}

where the final photon is on shell, $q_{out}^2 = 0$. The converse case where $q_{in}^2 = 0$, often called timelike Compton scattering (TCS), has been theoretically discussed at medium \cite{10} and very large \cite{11} energy and first data are being analyzed \cite{12}. The double DVCS case has been discussed in Ref. \cite{13}.

It has been shown that the understanding of DVCS data needs higher order calculations for a reasonable extraction of GPDs to be possible \cite{14}. This is likely to be even more the case for TCS. Indeed, TCS and DVCS amplitudes are identical (up to a complex conjugation) at lowest order in $\alpha_S$ but differ at next to leading order, in particular because of the quite different analytic structure of these reactions. Indeed the production of a timelike photon enables the production of intermediate states in some channels which were kinematically forbidden in the DVCS case. This opens the way to new absorptive parts of the amplitude. Soon, experiments will be performed at JLab at 12 GeV which will enable to test the universality of GPDs extracted from DVCS and from TCS, provided NLO corrections are taken into account. Experiments at higher energies, e.g. in ultraperipheral collisions at RHIC and LHC, may even become sensitive to gluon GPDs which enter the amplitude only at NLO level.

Former experience with inclusive deep reactions teaches us that NLO corrections are likely to be more important in timelike reactions than in corresponding spacelike ones. The well-known example of the Drell Yan K-factor teaches us that NLO corrections are sizeable in timelike processes, because of $x_1$ factors coming from $log(-Q^2/\mu^2)$ terms which often exponentiate when soft gluon resummation is taken care of \cite{15,16}.

The results for TCS should be indicative of other exclusive reactions with a timelike scale, as $\pi N \rightarrow l^+l^- N'$ discussed in \cite{17} which may be accessed in the Compass experiment at CERN or at J-Parc, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$ discussed in \cite{18} to be compared to $\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$ analyzed in \cite{19}, or $\gamma^*N \rightarrow N'\pi \gamma$ \cite{20} to be compared to $NN' \rightarrow \gamma\pi$ analyzed in \cite{21}.

Our calculations are performed along the lines of Ref.\cite{6} (see also \cite{5}). Those earlier results where obtained in an unphysical region of parameters space and then by analytical continuation (due to simple analytical structure of hard DVCS amplitude) extrapolated to the physical region of DVCS. Not restricting the parameters enables us to get the full result for the general kinematics (including TCS, DVCS and DDVCS). In earlier analysis the factorization scale $\mu_F$ was kept equal to the hard scale $Q^2$. In our calculation they are independent, so one can check factorization scale dependence. We calculate only the symmetric part of the amplitude which is dominant for the phenomenological...
analysis, as the main features of scattering amplitudes of DDVCS, DVCS and TCS are already clearly seen. We simplify the kinematics by restricting ourselves to the forward ($t = t_{\text{min}}$) region. We leave the phenomenological analysis of our results to a future publication.

II. PRELIMINARIES.

As in [6] we describe the kinematics of general Compton scattering in a symmetric way i.e. in the Bjorken limit, and in the forward limit ($q_{\text{out}}^2 = 0, P_T' = 0$) momenta are assigned as follows: incoming photon $q_{\text{in}} = (q - \xi p)$, outgoing photon ($q_{\text{out}} = q + \xi p$), incoming proton $P = (1 + \xi) p$ and outgoing proton $P' = (1 - \xi) p$, where:

$$
\begin{align*}
p &= p^+(1, 0, 0, 1), \\
n &= \frac{1}{2p^+}(1, 0, 0, -1), \\
q &= -x_B p + \frac{Q^2}{2x_B} n, \\
\end{align*}
$$

so: $pn = 1$, $s = (p+q)^2 = \frac{1-x_B}{x_B} Q^2$ and $x_B = \frac{Q^2}{x_B+Q^2}$. We use the following vector decomposition $k^\sigma = k^+ p^\sigma + k^- n^\sigma + k_T^\sigma$. With above definitions we arrive at the following equations for incoming and outgoing photon virtualities:

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{\text{in}}^2 &= -Q^2 (1 + \frac{\xi}{x_B}), \\
q_{\text{out}}^2 &= -Q^2 (1 - \frac{\xi}{x_B}). \\
\end{align*}
$$

From this general kinematics we can get as a limit some physically interesting cases. It is easy to check that to get incoming photon momentum spacelike and outgoing photon timelike, one has to choose $Q^2 > 0$ for $x_B > 0$ and $Q^2 < 0$ for $x_B < 0$. Deeply virtual Compton scattering is restored for $x_B = \xi$ and $Q^2 > 0$, timelike Compton scattering for $x_B = -\xi$ and $Q^2 = -Q^2 < 0$, and double deeply virtual Compton scattering for $0 < x_B < \xi$ and $Q^2 > 0$ or $0 > x_B > -\xi$ and $Q^2 < 0$. This is illustrated by Fig. 1 which shows incoming and outgoing photon virtualities as a function of $x_B$.

We perform our calculation in MS scheme, with $D = 4 + \epsilon$ regularizing infrared divergences, as all ultraviolet divergences cancel out. In the following we shall study only the symmetric part of the full Compton scattering amplitude since it is phenomenologically the dominant part. Its factorized form reads:

$$
A^{\mu\nu} = g_T^{\mu\nu} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \left[ \sum_{q} \tilde{T}^q(x) \tilde{F}^q(x) + \tilde{T}^\eta(x) \tilde{F}^\eta(x) \right].
$$

Figure 1: Incoming and outgoing photon virtualities as a function of $x_B$. To get the physically interesting case in which incoming photon (solid line) is spacelike and outgoing photon (dashed line) is timelike, one has to choose $Q^2 > 0$ for $x_B > 0$ and $Q^2 < 0$ for $x_B < 0$. DVCS kinematics corresponds to $x_B = \xi$, and TCS to $x_B = -\xi$. 
Renormalized GPD’s are defined as in [6], by:

\[ F^q(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda x} \left< P' \bar{\psi}_q \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) \gamma^\mu \psi_q \left( -\frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) | P \right> n_\mu, \]

\[ F^g(x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2x} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda x} \left< P' F^\mu_\alpha \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) F^\nu_{\alpha\beta} \left( -\frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) | P \right> n_\mu n_\nu. \] (5)

The connection between the bare quantities \( \tilde{F}_q, \tilde{F}_g \) and the renormalized ones in \( \overline{\text{MS}} \) is given by:

\[ \tilde{F}^q(x) = F^q(x) - \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 \right) \ln \frac{\mu_R^2}{4\pi \mu_R^2} \right) K^{qq}(x, x') \otimes F^q(x'), \]

\[ \tilde{F}^g(x) = F^g(x) - \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 \right) \ln \frac{\mu_R^2}{4\pi \mu_R^2} \right) K^{gg}(x, x') \otimes F^g(x'), \] (6)

where evolution kernels \( K^{qq}, K^{gg}, K^{qg}, K^{gq} \) may be read from [1], \( \otimes \) stands for integration over common variable from -1 to 1. At the NLO of the process studied in this paper the parts with \( K^{gg} \) and \( K^{gq} \) do not contribute, since the gluon contribution is of the \( \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s) \).

In Eq. (4) unrenormalized coefficient functions contain infrared divergencies, and are given by:

\[ \tilde{T}^q = C_0^q + \left( \frac{Q^2 \epsilon^2}{4\pi \mu_R^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} C_{\text{coll}}^q + C_1^q \right), \]

\[ \tilde{T}^g = \left( \frac{Q^2 \epsilon^2}{4\pi \mu_R^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} C_{\text{coll}}^g + C_1^g \right). \] (7)

\( \tilde{T}^q \) is calculated using the following relation with \( q\gamma \rightarrow q\gamma \) hard scattering amplitude \( \mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} \), given by diagrams shown on Figs. 2, 3 and 4 without attachement of external spinors of the t-channel quarks

\[ \tilde{T}^q = 2 \frac{g_T^\mu}{D-2} \frac{\epsilon}{q} \left[ \mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} \bar{P} \right]. \] (8)

In [8] the factor \( \frac{g_T^\mu}{D-2} \) is a part of the projection operator in Lorentz indices on the symmetric part of the full Compton scattering amplitude in Eq. (4). Factor 2 is related to the definition of quark \( F^q \) given by formula (3).

\( \tilde{T}^g \) is calculated using the following relation with \( g\gamma \rightarrow g\gamma \) hard scattering amplitude \( \mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \), given by diagrams shown on Figs. 5 and 6 without attachement of external polarization vectors of the t-channel gluons

\[ \tilde{T}^g = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2x}{(x-x_B + i\epsilon)(x+x_B - i\epsilon)} \right) g_T^{\mu\nu} g_T^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{M}_\mu^{\nu\alpha\beta} \left( D-2 \right). \] (9)

Similarly to the quark case, factors \( \frac{g_T^{\mu\nu}}{D-2} \) and \( \frac{g_T^{\alpha\beta}}{D-2} \) are parts of the projector operators on symmetric two photon and two gluon states, respectively. The factor \( \frac{1}{2} \) is the combinatorial factor which appears due to the condition that on the gluonic target we reproduce usual contribution of six diagrams shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The factor \( \frac{2x}{(x-x_B + i\epsilon)(x+x_B - i\epsilon)} \) requires more explanations. It appears since in the axial gauge \( n \cdot A = 0 \) we have the relation:

\[ \left< P' A^\alpha_a \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) A^\beta_a \left( -\frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) | P \right> g_T^{\alpha\beta} \]

\[ = \frac{-2x}{(x-x_B + i\epsilon)(x+x_B - i\epsilon)} \left< P' F^\mu_\alpha \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) F^\nu_{\alpha\beta} \left( -\frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) | P \right> n_\mu n_\nu g_T^{\alpha\beta}. \] (10)

The structure of denominators in [10] is not fixed by the gauge condition \( n \cdot A = 0 \) alone. This arbitrariness is due to the presence of the residual gauge. It is fixed by additional boundary conditions involved in the factorization.
procedure of the whole scattering amplitude of the given process. Here we fix it in agreement with the structure of
denominators in the quark Born coefficient function for general double DVCS kinematics:
\[ C^q_0 (DDVCS) = e^2 q \left( \frac{1}{x - x_B + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{x + x_B - i\epsilon} \right). \] (11)
In particular, in the case of DVCS where \( x_B = \xi \) we obtain the standard expression \((x - \xi + i\epsilon)(x + \xi - i\epsilon)\) (see \[4\]).
In the case of the TCS where \( x_B = -\xi \) this product becomes \((x + \xi + i\epsilon)(x - \xi - i\epsilon)\). Detailed calculation of \( \tilde{T}^q \) and \( \tilde{T}^g \) will be presented in the section III.

If the following relations between Born coefficient function, infrared divergent terms and evolution kernels hold:
\[ C^q_{\text{coll}}(x') = C^q_0(x) \otimes K^{qq}(x, x'), \]
\[ C^g_{\text{coll}}(x') = C^q_0(x) \otimes K^{qg}(x, x'), \] (12)
one can rewrite the full amplitude in the fully factorized form:
\[ A^\mu\nu = g^{\mu\nu} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \sum_q T^q(x) F^q(x) + T^g(x) F^g(x), \] (13)
where renormalized coefficient functions are given by:
\[ T^q = C^q_0 + C^q_1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{|Q|^2}{\mu_F^2} \right) \cdot C^q_{\text{coll}}, \]
\[ T^g = C^g_1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{|Q|^2}{\mu_F^2} \right) \cdot C^g_{\text{coll}}. \] (14)
In the next section we will describe one-loop calculations necessary to obtain the above coefficient functions, in more
details, as they can be useful in the calculations of similar processes (for example \[17\]).

### III. INTEGRALS

#### A. Integrals with two propagators.

We start with a detailed description of the diagram shown on Fig. 2. Although this calculation is very simple, it
reveals some characteristic features of the full calculation, and some pattern of the analytical structure of the result.

![Figure 2: Self energy correction to \( q\gamma \rightarrow q\gamma \) scattering amplitude](image)

The symmetric part of the amplitude is given by:
\[ \text{Tr} [M^\mu\nu] = ie^2 g^2 C_F \frac{1}{[(q + xp)^2 + i\epsilon]^2} \int (dk) \frac{\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu(q + x p)\gamma^\nu(q + x p + k)\gamma_\mu(q + x p)\gamma_\nu p]}{[(k + q + xp)^2 + i\epsilon][k^2 + i\epsilon]}, \] (15)
where \((dk) \equiv \mu^{4-D} \frac{d^{D-4}k}{(2\pi)^D}\), and \( C_F = \frac{N^2 - 1}{2N^2} \). We have two types of integrals to perform:
\[ b_0 \equiv \int (dk) \frac{1}{[(k + q + xp)^2 + i\epsilon][k^2 + i\epsilon]}, \]
\[ b_\sigma \equiv \int (dk) \frac{k_\sigma}{[(k + q + xp)^2 + i\epsilon][k^2 + i\epsilon]} = -\frac{1}{2} (q + xp)_\sigma b_0, \] (16)
the following result for the sum of those two diagrams with the self-energy corrections:

\[
b_0 = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi\mu^2)^2} \Gamma \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \frac{\Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{\xi}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( 2 + \epsilon \right)} \left( Q^2 \frac{x_B - x}{x_B} - i\epsilon \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}. \tag{17}
\]

When we add the diagram with external photon lines crossed, which is given by the \( x_B \leftrightarrow -x_B \) substitution, we get the following result for the sum of those two diagrams with the self-energy corrections:

\[
\text{Tr} [\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}_\Sigma \rho] = -g_T^{\mu\nu} e^2 \alpha_s C_F \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{Q^2}{4\pi\mu^2} \right)^{\frac{\xi}{2}} \left\{ \frac{Q^2}{x_B \left( Q^2 \frac{x_B - x}{x_B} + i\epsilon \right)} \left( -\frac{4}{\epsilon} + 2 \right) \left( Q^2 \frac{x_B - x}{x_B} - i\epsilon \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} - \frac{Q^2}{x_B \left( -Q^2 \frac{x_B + x}{x_B} + i\epsilon \right)} \left( -\frac{4}{\epsilon} + 2 \right) \left( Q^2 \frac{x_B + x}{x_B} - i\epsilon \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \right\}, \tag{18}
\]

which in the DVCS and TCS limits results in:

\[
\text{Tr} [\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}_\Sigma \rho]_{DVCS} = -g_T^{\mu\nu} e^2 \alpha_s C_F \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{Q^2}{4\pi\mu^2} \right)^{\frac{\xi}{2}} 2 \left\{ \frac{1}{|x + \xi - i\epsilon|} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1 - \log \left( 1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\epsilon \right) \right] + \frac{1}{|x - \xi + i\epsilon|} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1 - \log \left( 1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\epsilon \right) \right] \right\},
\]

\[
\text{Tr} [\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}_\Sigma \rho]_{TCS} = -g_T^{\mu\nu} e^2 \alpha_s C_F \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{Q^2}{4\pi\mu^2} \right)^{\frac{\xi}{2}} 2 \left\{ \frac{1}{|x - \xi - i\epsilon|} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1 - \log \left( -1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\epsilon \right) \right] + \frac{1}{|x + \xi + i\epsilon|} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1 - \log \left( -1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\epsilon \right) \right] \right\}. \tag{19}
\]

We notice that in the TCS case we have \( \xi + i\epsilon \) contrarily to the \( \xi - i\epsilon \) present in the DVCS. There is also an overall minus sign under the logarithm, coming from the different sign of \( Q^2 \).

### B. Integrals with three propagators.

In this section we will describe in a detailed way the calculation of the diagram shown on Fig. 3 because all other diagrams with three, and some of the diagrams with four propagators in the loop, may be calculated in a similar way. The symmetric part of the amplitude with the right vertex correction is given by:

![Right vertex correction](image)

\[
\text{Tr} [\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}_{RV} \rho] = i e^2 g^2 C_F \frac{1}{(q + xp)^2 + i\epsilon} \int (dk) \frac{\text{Tr} [\gamma^\rho (k - \xi \rho) \gamma^\nu (k + q) \gamma_\mu (q + x \rho) \gamma_\mu \rho]}{|(k + q)^2 + i\epsilon||\left( k - \xi p \right)^2 + i\epsilon|| \left( k - xp \right)^2 + i\epsilon|}. \tag{20}
\]

We start with the integration over \( k^- \). There are three poles placed at:

\[
k^- = \frac{k^2 - i\epsilon}{2(y-x)},
\]
\[ k_2^- = \frac{k_1^2 - i\varepsilon}{2(y - \xi)}, \]
\[ k_3^- = \frac{k_1^2 + Q^2 \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\pi} \right) - i\varepsilon}{2(y - x_B)}. \]  
(21)

For various values of \( y \) we close the contours of integration in the upper or lower half plane, in such a way that we avoid catching the \( k_3^- \) pole. Irrespectively of the ordering of \( x, x_B \) and \( \xi \), we arrive at:

\[
g_{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} [M_{RV}^{\mu\nu} \rho] = i e^2 g^2 C_F \frac{1}{(q + xp)^2 + i\varepsilon} \left(-i \int_{x}^{x_B} \frac{dy}{2\pi} \int (dk_\perp) \text{Res}_{k_1^-} f - i \int_{\xi}^{x_B} \frac{dy}{2\pi} \int (dk_\perp) \text{Res}_{k_2^-} f \right),
\]
(22)

where \((dk_\perp) = \mu^{-D-2} k_{\perp}^{-D-2} \) and \( y \equiv k^+ \). Residua of the first and the second pole are given by:

\[
\text{Res}_{k_1^-} f = \frac{y - x}{2(x - x_B)(x - \xi)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 k_1^2}{[k_1^2 - Q^2 \frac{(x_B - y)(y - x)}{x_B(x - x_B)} - i\varepsilon]},
\]
\[
\text{Res}_{k_2^-} f = \frac{y - \xi}{2(\xi - x_B)(\xi - x)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 k_1^2}{[k_1^2 - Q^2 \frac{(x_B - y)(y - \xi)}{x_B(\xi - x_B)} - i\varepsilon]},
\]
(23)

where \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_i \) are defined by the value of the numerator at the correspondent pole:

\[
\alpha_i + \beta_i k_1^2 \equiv \left. \text{Tr} [\gamma^\mu (k - \xi \rho) \gamma^\nu (k + \rho) \gamma^\beta (q + x \rho) \gamma^\mu \rho] \right|_{k_1^-}.
\]
(24)

After we perform the integration over \( k^- \) we arrive to:

\[
g_{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} [M_{RV}^{\mu\nu} \rho] = e^2 g^2 C_F \frac{1}{(q + xp)^2 + i\varepsilon} \left( \int_{x}^{x_B} \frac{dy}{2\pi} \int (dk_\perp) \frac{y - x}{2(x - x_B)(x - \xi)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 k_1^2}{[k_1^2 - Q^2 \frac{(x_B - y)(y - x)}{x_B(x - x_B)} - i\varepsilon]} + \int_{\xi}^{x_B} \frac{dy}{2\pi} \int (dk_\perp) \frac{y - \xi}{2(\xi - x_B)(\xi - x)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 k_1^2}{[k_1^2 - Q^2 \frac{(x_B - y)(y - \xi)}{x_B(\xi - x_B)} - i\varepsilon]} \right).
\]
(25)

All integrals in \( k_\perp \) we encounter during the calculation have the following form:

\[
\int_{2\pi}^{D-2} k_\perp \frac{\alpha + \beta k_1^2}{[k_1^2 - M^2 - i\varepsilon]} = \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta M^2}{M^2} \right) a(M^2),
\]
\[
\int_{2\pi}^{D-2} k_\perp \frac{\alpha + \beta k_1^2}{[k_1^2 - M_1^2 - i\varepsilon]} \frac{[k_1^2 - M_2^2 - i\varepsilon]}{[k_1^2 - M_1^2 - i\varepsilon]} = \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta M_1^2}{M_1^2} \right) a(M_1^2) - \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta M_2^2}{M_2^2 - M_1^2} \right) a(M_2^2),
\]
\[
a(M^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta M^2}{M^2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{4 - D}{2} \right),
\]
(26)

so after \( k_\perp \) integration we get the following result:

\[
g_{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} [M_{RV}^{\mu\nu} \rho] = \frac{e^2 \alpha_S C_F}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{4\pi \mu^2} \right)^\frac{D}{2} \frac{1}{(q + xp)^2 + i\varepsilon} \Gamma \left( -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \frac{1}{x - \xi} \cdot
\]
\[
\left[ - \left( Q^2 \frac{x_B - x}{x_B} - i\varepsilon \right)^\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{x}^{x_B} dy \left( \frac{y - x}{x_B - x} \right)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \left( \frac{x_B - y}{x_B - x} \right)^\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{M_1^2} + \beta_1 \right) \right] +
\]
\[
+ \left( Q^2 \frac{x_B - \xi}{x_B} - i\varepsilon \right)^\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\xi}^{x_B} dy \left( \frac{y - \xi}{x_B - \xi} \right)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \left( \frac{x_B - y}{x_B - \xi} \right)^\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{M_2^2} + \beta_2 \right) \right].
\]
(27)
The last integration is performed making use of the beta function definition. The diagram with a left vertex correction is given by symmetry $\xi \rightarrow -\xi$, and the crossed diagrams by $x_B \rightarrow -x_B$. When we include all four vertex corrections:

$$\text{Tr} \left[ M^\mu_\nu \not{\!p} \right] = \left( \text{Tr} \left[ M^{\alpha\beta}_{RV} \not{\!p} \right] + (\xi \rightarrow -\xi) \right) + (x_B \rightarrow -x_B),$$

we get a result with the following structure:

$$\text{Tr} \left[ M^\mu_\nu \not{\!p} \right] = g_T^{\mu\nu} e^2 \alpha_s C_F \frac{1}{4\pi \mu^2} \left\{ (Q^2 x_B - x) \right\} \frac{1}{Q^2 z_{-x_B} + i\varepsilon} \left[ f_1(x_B, \xi, x, \varepsilon) + f_1(x_B, -\xi, x, \varepsilon) \right] + (x_B \leftrightarrow -x_B),$$

where $f_1$ and $f_2$ are some complicated functions of $x_B$, $\xi$, $x$, $\varepsilon$.

C. Integrals with four propagators.

All of the integrals with four propagators may be reduced to the three propagator case, although some of them require some care. We will start this section with the calculation of the symmetric part of the box diagram shown in the Fig. 4

$$\text{Tr} \left[ M^\mu_\nu \not{\!p} \right] = i g^2 e^2 C_F \int dk \frac{\text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\rho (k - \xi \not{\!p}) \gamma^\sigma (k + q) \gamma^\mu (k + \xi \not{\!p}) \gamma_\rho \not{\!p} \right]}{[(k - \xi p)^2 + i\varepsilon][(k + q)^2 + i\varepsilon][(k + \xi p)^2 + i\varepsilon][(k - xp)^2 + i\varepsilon]}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

In this case we have four denominators in the integrated function, but one can easily check that:

$$g_T^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\rho (k - \xi \not{\!p}) \gamma^\sigma (k + q) \gamma^\mu (k + \xi \not{\!p}) \gamma_\rho \not{\!p} \right] \equiv A \cdot k^2 + B \cdot 2k \cdot p,$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

so, using following relations:

$$k^2 = \frac{1}{2} (k + \xi p)^2 + (\xi \rightarrow -\xi),$$

$$2k \cdot p = \frac{1}{2\xi} (k + \xi p)^2 + (\xi \rightarrow -\xi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

one can easily decompose the four denominator integral into two integrals with three denominators:

$$g_T^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} \left[ M^\mu_\nu \not{\!p} \right] = i g^2 e^2 C_F \frac{A + B}{2} \int dk \frac{1}{[(k - xp)^2 + i\varepsilon][(k - \xi p)^2 + i\varepsilon][(k + q)^2 + i\varepsilon]} + (\xi \rightarrow -\xi).$$
which we calculate in the same way as the vertex corrections diagrams. Crossed diagram is given by the $x_B$ to $-x_B$ replacement.

Let us now turn to the gluon coefficient functions. The symmetric part of the first diagram describing $\gamma g \rightarrow \gamma g$ scattering, shown on the Fig. 5 is given by:

$$g_{\mu \nu} g_T^{\alpha \beta} M_{(1) \mu \nu \alpha \beta} = ie^2 g^2 T_F \int \frac{d\kappa}{(k - \xi p)^2 + i\varepsilon} \frac{Tr \left[ \gamma^{\alpha} (k - x \not{p}) \gamma^{\beta} (k - \xi \not{p}) \gamma^{\mu} (k + q) \gamma^{\nu} (k + \xi \not{p}) \right]}{[(k - x \not{p})^2 + i\varepsilon][(k - \xi p)^2 + i\varepsilon][(k + q)^2 + i\varepsilon][(k + \xi p)^2 + i\varepsilon]}. \quad (33)$$

with $T_F = \frac{1}{2}$. The structure of the numerator is similar to the one given by equation (31). So we can use the same decomposition as in the case of the quark box diagram. Diagrams (2), (3) and (4) from Fig. 5 are connected to diagram (1) by simple symmetries. To get diagram (2) one has to change $x_B \leftrightarrow -x_B$, diagram (3) $x \leftrightarrow -x$, and to get diagram (4) one has to do both changes.

Diagrams shown on Fig. 6 have different denominator structure, so we will describe the way of dealing with them more precisely. Momenta flowing in the diagram (5) may be chosen as:

$$A = k + q - (x - \xi p),$$
$$B = k + q,$$

Figure 6: Second group of diagrams describing $\gamma g \rightarrow \gamma g$ scattering.
\[ C = k + \xi p, \]
\[ D = k - xp, \]  
(34)
and \( A_q, B_q, C_q, D_q \) from diagram (6) are equal to \( A, B, C, D \) with \( q \leftrightarrow -q \). Both diagrams give the same result:
\[
g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} M_{(5)\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = ic^2 g^2 T \mathcal{F} \int (dk) \frac{g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu A_{\gamma}^\beta B_{\gamma}^\nu C_{\gamma}^\alpha D \right]}{[A^2 + i\varepsilon][B^2 + i\varepsilon][C^2 + i\varepsilon][D^2 + i\varepsilon]}. \]  
(35)
As previously we notice that the numerator may be written as \( A k^2 + B 2k \cdot p \). To reduce our integral to the three denominator case, we use other relations:
\[
k^2 = \frac{x}{x + \xi}(k + \xi p)^2 + \frac{\xi}{x + \xi}(k - xp)^2,
\[2k \cdot p = \frac{1}{x + \xi}(k + \xi p)^2 - \frac{1}{x + \xi}(k - xp)^2,
\]
so we end up with:
\[
I_{(5)} = \frac{1}{(x + \xi)} \int (dk) \frac{A x + B}{[A^2 + i\varepsilon][B^2 + i\varepsilon][C^2 + i\varepsilon]} + \frac{1}{(x + \xi)} \int (dk) \frac{A \xi - B}{[A^2 + i\varepsilon][B^2 + i\varepsilon][C^2 + i\varepsilon]} = I_1 + I_2. \]  
(36)
One could worry if the above decomposition is well defined for \( x = -\xi \), but it is easy to check, that the expression \[ \text{36} \] is regular in that limit.
As previously we start with integration over \( k^- \). We find four poles:
\[
(k - xp)^2 + i\varepsilon = 0 \Rightarrow k_1^- = \frac{k_1^2 - i\varepsilon}{2(y - a_1)},
\]
\[
(k + q)^2 + i\varepsilon = 0 \Rightarrow k_2^- = \frac{k_1^2 - i\varepsilon + Q^2 \left( \frac{a_2 - y}{2\pi} \right)}{2(y - a_2)},
\]
\[
(k + q - (x - \xi)p)^2 + i\varepsilon = 0 \Rightarrow k_3^- = \frac{k_1^2 - i\varepsilon + Q^2 \left( \frac{a_2 - y}{2\pi} \right)}{2(y - a_3)},
\]
\[
(k - \xi p)^2 + i\varepsilon = 0 \Rightarrow k_4^- = \frac{k_1^2 - i\varepsilon}{2(y - a_4)}, \]  
(37)
where \( a_1 = x, a_2 = x_M, a_3 = x_M + x - \xi \) and \( a_4 = -\xi \) are values of \( y \) for which poles imaginary parts change sign. Again appropriate choice of the integration contours allows us to write:
\[
I_1 = -i\mu^{D-4} \int_a^3 \frac{dy}{2\pi} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{d^D-k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \text{Res}_{k_1^-} f_1 - i\mu^{D-4} \int_a^3 \frac{dy}{2\pi} \int_{a_2}^{a_3} \frac{d^D-k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \text{Res}_{k_2^-} f_1,
\]
\[
I_2 = -i\mu^{D-4} \int_a^3 \frac{dy}{2\pi} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{d^D-k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \text{Res}_{k_2^-} f_2 - i\mu^{D-4} \int_a^3 \frac{dy}{2\pi} \int_{a_2}^{a_3} \frac{d^D-k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \text{Res}_{k_2^-} f_2. \]  
(38)
The only difference with equations \[ \text{23} \] is that we now have additional mass term in the denominator:
\[
\text{Res}_{k_1^-} f_1 = \frac{1}{x + \xi} \cdot \frac{y - a_1}{2(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 k_2^2}{[k_1^2 - M_{12}^2 - i\varepsilon][k_2^2 - M_{13}^2 - i\varepsilon]},
\]
\[
\text{Res}_{k_2^-} f_1 = \frac{1}{x + \xi} \cdot \frac{y - a_2}{2(a_2 - a_1)(a_2 - a_3)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 k_3^2}{[k_1^2 - M_{12}^2 - i\varepsilon][k_2^2 - M_{13}^2 - i\varepsilon]},
\]
\[
\text{Res}_{k_2^-} f_2 = \frac{1}{x + \xi} \cdot \frac{y - a_2}{2(a_2 - a_4)(a_2 - a_3)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_3 + \beta_3 k_4^2}{[k_1^2 - M_{23}^2 - i\varepsilon][k_2^2 - M_{13}^2 - i\varepsilon]},
\]
\[
\text{Res}_{k_4^-} f_2 = \frac{1}{x + \xi} \cdot \frac{y - a_4}{2(a_4 - a_2)(a_4 - a_3)} \cdot \frac{\alpha_4 + \beta_4 k_1^2}{[k_1^2 - M_{23}^2 - i\varepsilon][k_2^2 - M_{13}^2 - i\varepsilon]}, \]  
(39)
where \( \alpha_i, \beta_i \) and \( M_{ij} \) are now defined by:

\[
\alpha_{1,2} + \beta_{1,2} k^2_{\perp} = A x + B \bigg|_{k^2_{\perp}} ,
\]

\[
\alpha_{3,4} + \beta_{3,4} k^2_{\perp} = A \xi - B \bigg|_{k^2_{\perp}} ,
\]

\[
M_{ij} = Q^2 (y - a_i)(a_j - y) x_B (a_i - a_j) .
\]

Making use of Eq. (20) we arrive to:

\[
I_{(5)} = - \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \left( \frac{1}{4\pi \mu^2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \frac{1}{x + \xi} \left\{ \right.
\int_{a_1}^{a_3} dy \frac{y - a_1}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 M^2_{12}}{M^2_{12} - M^2_{13}} \left( -M^2_{12} - i\epsilon \right) \right]^\circ - \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 M^2_{12}}{M^2_{12} - M^2_{13}} \left( -M^2_{13} - i\epsilon \right) \right\} + \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 M^2_{12}}{M^2_{12} - M^2_{13}} \left( -M^2_{12} - i\epsilon \right) \right\} + \frac{\alpha_4 + \beta_4 M^2_{12}}{M^2_{12} - M^2_{13}} \left( -M^2_{12} - i\epsilon \right) \right\}.
\]

One can check that the relations:

\[
\frac{y - a_1}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)} \frac{1}{M^2_{12} - M^2_{13}} = \frac{x_B}{Q^2 (a_3 - a_2)(y - a_1)} ,
\]

\[
\frac{y - a_2}{(a_2 - a_1)(a_2 - a_3)} \frac{1}{M^2_{12}} = \frac{x_B}{Q^2 (a_2 - a_3)(y - a_1)} ,
\]

\[
\alpha_1 + \beta_1 M^2_{12} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 M^2_{12} ,
\]

\[
\alpha_4 + \beta_4 M^2_{12} = \alpha_3 + \beta_3 M^2_{12} ,
\]

allow to rearrange the integration limits, so we can express our integrals in the form allowing us to perform integration over \( y \) again using the beta function definition:

\[
I_{(5)} = - \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \left( \frac{1}{4\pi \mu^2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \frac{1}{x^2 - \xi^2} \frac{x_B}{Q^2} \left\{ \right.
\int_{a_1}^{a_2} dy \frac{1}{y - a_1} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 M^2_{12}}{-M^2_{12} - i\epsilon} \right]^\circ - \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 M^2_{12}}{-M^2_{13} - i\epsilon} \right\} + \frac{\alpha_4 + \beta_4 M^2_{12}}{-M^2_{12} - i\epsilon} \right\}.
\]

In the next section we will write explicitly the final results of all of the above calculations.

**IV. RESULTS**

We see that hard scattering amplitudes for general kinematics have the following structure:

\[
\frac{\epsilon^2 a_s C_F}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{(4\pi \mu^2)^2} \right) \left\{ \left( Q^2 \frac{x_B - x}{x_B} - i\epsilon \right)^\circ \cdot f(x, \xi, x_B, \epsilon, \xi) \right\}
\]
which in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit for $q\gamma \to q\gamma$ amplitude gives:

$$
\text{Tr} \left[ M^{\mu \nu} \phi \right] = g_T^\mu g_T^\nu \frac{e^2 \alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left( \frac{|Q|^2}{4\pi \mu^2} \right)^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{12}{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{16(x_B - \xi^2)}{(x_B + i\xi \frac{Q^2}{\epsilon})^2(x^2 - \xi^2)} + \frac{8(x - x_B)}{x^2 - \xi^2} \right] \log \left( \frac{\text{sgn}(Q^2)x_B - x}{x_B - i\epsilon} \right) \\
+ \frac{18}{x_B + i\xi \frac{Q^2}{\epsilon}} \left[ \frac{4(x_B - \xi^2)}{(x_B + i\xi \frac{Q^2}{\epsilon})^2(x^2 - \xi^2)} + \frac{2(x - x_B)}{x^2 - \xi^2} \right] \log^2 \left( \frac{\text{sgn}(Q^2)x_B - x}{x_B - i\epsilon} \right) \\
+ \frac{6(x_B - \xi^2)}{(x_B + i\xi \frac{Q^2}{\epsilon})^2(x^2 - \xi^2)} \log \left( \frac{\text{sgn}(Q^2)x_B - x}{x_B - i\epsilon} \right) \\
+ \frac{2(x_B - \xi^2)}{(x_B + i\xi \frac{Q^2}{\epsilon})^2(x^2 - \xi^2)} \log^2 \left( \frac{\text{sgn}(Q^2)x_B - x}{x_B - i\epsilon} \right) \right\} + \left( x_B \leftrightarrow -x_B \right),
\end{array} \right.
$$

and for $g^\gamma \to g\gamma$:

$$
g_T^\mu g_T^\nu \alpha^\beta \alpha_{\mu\nu\beta} = \frac{e^2 \alpha_s T_F}{4\pi} \left( \frac{|Q|^2}{4\pi \mu^2} \right)^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[ -32(x_B - x) + 32 \log \left( \frac{\text{sgn}(Q^2)x_B - x}{x_B - i\epsilon} \right) \right] \\
-32 \log \left( \frac{\text{sgn}(Q^2)x_B - x}{x_B - i\epsilon} \right) \\
-8 \log^2 \left( \frac{\text{sgn}(Q^2)x_B - x}{x_B - i\epsilon} \right) \right\} + \left( x_B \leftrightarrow -x_B \right).
\end{array} \right.
$$

From the above result one can easily read the coefficient functions defined by Eq. 1, necessary for a calculation of the DDVCS amplitude, by means of Eq. 14. However, most experimental data is, or will be available for either DVCS or TCS, so we will elaborate more on those cases.

Below we present the resulting coefficient functions for the limiting cases of DVCS ($Q^2 > 0$ and $x_B = \xi$) and TCS ($-Q^2 = Q^2 > 0$ and $x_B = -\xi$).
A. DVCS limit

We present the results explicitly showing $i\varepsilon$ terms that uniquely determine all imaginary parts. Quark coefficient functions read:

$$C^q_0 = e_q^2 \left( \frac{1}{x - \xi + i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{x + \xi - i\varepsilon} \right),$$

$$C^q_1 = \frac{e_q^2 \alpha_S C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{x - \xi + i\varepsilon} \left[ -9 + 3 \log(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - 6 \frac{\xi}{x + \xi} \log(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) + 6 \frac{\xi}{x + \xi} \log 2 
+ \log^2\left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) - \log^2 2 \right] 
+ \frac{1}{x + \xi - i\varepsilon} \left[ -9 + 3 \log(1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) + 6 \frac{\xi}{x - \xi} \log(1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - 6 \frac{\xi}{x - \xi} \log 2 
+ \log^2\left(1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) - \log^2 2 \right] \right\},$$

$$C^q_{\text{coll}} = \frac{e_q^2 \alpha_S C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{x - \xi + i\varepsilon} \left[ 6 + 4 \log(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - 4 \log 2 \right] 
+ \frac{1}{x + \xi - i\varepsilon} \left[ 6 + 4 \log(1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - 4 \log 2 \right] \right\}. \quad (48)$$

Gluon coefficient functions read:

$$C^g_{\text{coll}} = \frac{\left( \sum_q e_q^2 \right) \alpha_S T_F}{4\pi} \frac{8x}{(x + \xi - i\varepsilon)(x - \xi + i\varepsilon)} \left[ \frac{x - \xi}{x - \xi} \log\left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) + \frac{x + \xi}{x - \xi} \log\left(1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) - 2 \frac{x^2 + \xi^2}{x^2 - \xi^2} \log 2 \right],$$

$$C^g_1 = \frac{\left( \sum_q e_q^2 \right) \alpha_S T_F}{4\pi} \frac{2x}{(x + \xi - i\varepsilon)(x - \xi + i\varepsilon)} \left[ -2 \frac{x - 3\xi}{x + \xi} \log\left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) + \frac{x - \xi}{x + \xi} \log^2\left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) 
-2 \frac{x + 3\xi}{x - \xi} \log\left(1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) + \frac{x + \xi}{x - \xi} \log^2\left(1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) 
+ 4 \frac{x^2 + 3\xi^2}{x^2 - \xi^2} \log 2 - 2 \frac{x^2 + 3\xi^2}{x^2 - \xi^2} \log^2 2 \right]. \quad (49)$$

Although the result for $C^g_1$ contains dangerously looking denominators inside the square parenthesis, it is easy to check that the expression inside those parenthesis is regular in the limits $x \to \pm \xi$.

Above results are in agreement with earlier results \cite{20, 21} which were obtained in an unphysical region of parameter space, and then analytically continued to obtain DVCS case. We see that the simple prescription that all imaginary parts can be obtained by substracting a small imaginary part from $\xi$, i.e. $\xi \to \xi - i\varepsilon$, is confirmed by our calculations.

B. TCS limit

Quark coefficient functions read:

$$C^q_0 = e_q^2 \left( \frac{1}{x - \xi - i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{x + \xi + i\varepsilon} \right),$$

$$C^q_1 = \frac{e_q^2 \alpha_S C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{x - \xi - i\varepsilon} \left[ -9 + 3 \log(-1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - 6 \frac{\xi}{x + \xi} \log(-1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) + 6 \frac{\xi}{x + \xi} \log(-2 - i\varepsilon) 
+ \log^2(-1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - \log^2(-2 - i\varepsilon) \right] \right\}$$
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{x + \xi + i\varepsilon} \left[ -9 + 3 \log(-1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) + 6 \frac{\xi}{x - \xi} \log(-1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - 6 \frac{\xi}{x - \xi} \log(-2 - i\varepsilon) \\
&\quad + \log^2(-1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - \log^2(-2 - i\varepsilon) \right] \}, \\
C_{\text{coll}}^g &= \frac{e_0^2 \alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{x - \xi - i\varepsilon} \left[ 6 + 4 \log(-1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - 4 \log(-2 - i\varepsilon) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{x + \xi + i\varepsilon} \left[ 6 + 4 \log(-1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon) - 4 \log(-2 - i\varepsilon) \right] \right\}.
\end{align*}

Gluon coefficient functions read:

\begin{align*}
C_{\text{coll}}^g &= \left( \sum_q e_q^2 \right) \frac{\alpha_s T_F}{4\pi} \frac{8x}{(x + \xi + i\varepsilon)(x - \xi - i\varepsilon)} \cdot \\
&\left[ \frac{x - \xi}{x + \xi} \log \left(-1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) + \frac{x + \xi}{x - \xi} \log \left(-1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) - 2 \frac{x^2 + \xi^2}{x^2 - \xi^2} \log(2 - i\varepsilon) \right]. \\
C_{\text{coll}}^q &= \left( \sum_q e_q^2 \right) \frac{\alpha_s T_F}{4\pi} \frac{2x}{(x + \xi + i\varepsilon)(x - \xi - i\varepsilon)} \cdot \\
&\left[ -2 \frac{x - 3\xi}{x + \xi} \log \left(-1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) + \frac{x - \xi}{x + \xi} \log \left(-1 + \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) \\
- 2 \frac{x + 3\xi}{x - \xi} \log \left(-1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) + \frac{x + \xi}{x - \xi} \log \left(-1 - \frac{x}{\xi} - i\varepsilon\right) \\
+ 4 \frac{x^2 + 3\xi^2}{x^2 - \xi^2} \log(2 - i\varepsilon) - 2 \frac{x^2 + \xi^2}{x^2 - \xi^2} \log^2(2 - i\varepsilon) \right].
\end{align*}

As in the DVCS case terms inside the square parenthesis of \( C_{\text{coll}}^q \) are regular in the limits \( x \to \pm \xi \).

There are some important differences between the Eqs. (50, 51) describing the TCS case and Eqs. (48, 49) describing DVCS. First we notice that we have to add small imaginary part to \( \xi \), i.e. \( \xi \to \xi + i\varepsilon \), rather then subtract as in the DVCS case. The second difference is the minus sign under the logarithms, which produces additional terms. Particularly \( \log^2(2) \) present in the TCS result may produce correction much bigger then the \( \log^2(2) \) in the DVCS case. Another important difference between the DVCS and TCS amplitudes concerns their imaginary parts, which in the DVCS case is present only in the DGLAP region, while in the TCS case, it is present in both DGLAP and ERBL regions.

V. CONCLUSIONS

It is well known that at the Born level TCS and DVCS hard scattering amplitudes are related:

\[ C_{0(DVCS)}^q = C_{0(TCS)}^q. \]

The same relation holds for the collinear terms:

\[ C_{\text{coll}(DVCS)}^q = C_{\text{coll}(TCS)}^q, \]

as they are equal to the convolution of the same evolution kernel with Born level amplitudes. Indeed this equality is crucial for factorization to hold. But in the NLO this relation no longer holds. For the quark part, we have:

\begin{align*}
\frac{C_{\text{coll}(DVCS)}^q - C_{\text{coll}(TCS)}^q}{e_0^2 \alpha_s C_F} &= \frac{1}{x - \xi + i\varepsilon} \left[ \left( 3 - 2 \log 2 + 2 \log \left| 1 - \frac{x}{\xi}\right| \right) (i\pi) + \pi^2 \left( 1 + \theta(x - \xi) - \theta(-x + \xi) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{x + \xi - i\varepsilon} \left[ \left( 3 - 2 \log 2 + 2 \log \left| 1 + \frac{x}{\xi}\right| \right) (i\pi) + \pi^2 \left( 1 + \theta(-x - \xi) - \theta(x + \xi) \right) \right].
\end{align*}

To discuss this difference and present the magnitude of corrections we define the following ratio:
Figure 7: Real (solid line) and imaginary (dashed line) part of the ratio $R^q$ of the NLO quark coefficient function to the Born term in Timelike Compton Scattering (up) and Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (down) as a function of $x$ in the ERBL (left) and DGLAP (right) region for $\xi = 0.3$, for $\mu_F^2 = |Q^2|$.

$$R^q = \frac{C^q_1 + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{|Q^2|}{\mu_F^2} \right) \cdot C^q_{\text{coll}}}{C^q_0}$$

of the NLO quark correction to the coefficient function, to the Born level. On Fig. 7 we show for $\mu_F^2 = |Q^2|$ the real and imaginary parts of the ratio $R^q$ in timelike and spacelike Compton Scattering as a function of $x$ in the ERBL (left) and DGLAP (right) region for $\xi = 0.3$. We fix $\alpha_s = 0.25$ and restrict the plots to the positive $x$ region, as the coefficient functions are antisymmetric in that variable. We see that in the TCS case, the imaginary part of the amplitude is present in both the ERBL and DGLAP regions, contrarily to the DVCS case, where it exists only in the DGLAP region. The magnitude of these NLO coefficient functions is not negligible. We see that the importance of these NLO coefficient functions is magnified when we consider the difference of the coefficient functions $C^q_1(TCS) - C^q_1(DVCS)$. The conclusion is that extracting the universal GPDs from both TCS and DVCS reactions requires much care.

As is well known in inclusive reactions, one may choose a renormalization scheme (named DIS scheme [15]) defined by the fact that NLO corrections to some observables vanish. This of course does not preclude the importance of NNLO corrections. In the exclusive case, we thus may propose that NLO corrections vanish in the DVCS amplitude. This DVCS factorization scheme then transfers all NLO corrections calculated here to the TCS coefficient functions, which become very sizeable. We illustrate this fact by showing on Fig. 8 the ratio $R^q_{T-S}$ of the difference of NLO quark coefficient functions to the LO coefficient function

$$R^q_{T-S} = \frac{C^q_1(TCS) - C^q_1(DVCS)}{C^q_0}.$$  

A final word is needed with respect to the presence of the $\pi^2$ terms in the difference of the NLO coefficient functions. Quite a rich literature [13, 14] exists on the importance of such factors in inclusive coefficient functions and their relation to soft gluon exchange. One may verify that in the exclusive case that we study here, a soft gluon approximation gives some of the $\pi^2$ terms that one may read from Eq. (54). One can suppose that these corrections exponentiate when all order corrections are summed up. A particular feature is worth to be pointed out: these $\pi^2$ terms only exist in the DGLAP regions. We confess that we do not understand why this is the case.
Let us now briefly comment on the gluon coefficient functions. As in the case of quark corrections, the collinear parts are complex conjugated to each other:
\[ C_{\text{coll(DVCS)}}^g = C_{\text{coll(TCS)}}^g \ast. \] (57)

Moreover, the real parts of the gluon contribution are equal for DVCS and TCS in the ERBL region. The differences between TCS and DVCS emerges in the ERBL region through the imaginary part of the coefficient function which is non zero only for the TCS case and is of the order of the real part. In the DGLAP region, the difference reads:
\[ \frac{C_{1(TCS)}^g - C_{1(DVCS)}^g}{(\sum_x \alpha_s T_F)} \eta \frac{2 x - \xi}{x^2 - \xi^2} \left[ \begin{array}{c} x - \xi \sqrt{x} \\ x + \xi \sqrt{x} 
\end{array} \right] x > \xi = 2 \left[ 2 x - \xi \right] \left( -i \pi \right) \right), \] (58)

showing a sizeable difference of the contributions to both the real and imaginary parts of the amplitude. In Fig. 9 we illustrate the ratio of the NLO gluon correction to the hard scattering amplitude in TCS to the same quantity in the DVCS in the DGLAP region for \( \xi = 0.05 \) for \( \mu_F^2 = |Q^2| \).

The discussion of NLO corrections to a hard scattering amplitude necessarily brings the question of the factorization scale dependence. On the Fig. 10 we show the real and imaginary parts of the ratio \( R_{T-S}^q \) of NLO quark correction to hard scattering amplitudes to Born level coefficient function of the timelike Compton scattering as a function of \( x \) in the DGLAP region for \( \xi = 0.3 \).
the DGLAP region for $\xi = 0.05$. The figures are plotted for various values of $|Q^2|/\mu_F^2$, and present a strong factorization scale dependence.

On the Fig. 11 we show the ratios of the real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of NLO gluon coefficient function for $|Q^2| = \frac{\mu_F^2}{2}$ (solid line) and $|Q^2| = 2\mu_F^2$ (dashed line) to the same quantities with $|Q^2| = \mu_F^2$. Those quantities are calculated for the timelike Compton scattering and plotted as a function of $x$ in the DGLAP region for $\xi = 0.05$. Also in this case we notice a strong factorization scale dependence.

Much phenomenological studies need now to be performed, by convoluting the coefficient functions to realistic GPDs and calculating the relevant observables. We plan to progress on these points in the near future.

![Factorization scale dependence](image1)

**Figure 10:** Factorization scale dependence of the real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of ratio $R^q$ of NLO quark correction to hard scattering amplitudes to Born level coefficient function of the Timelike Compton Scattering as a function of $x$ in the DGLAP region for $\xi = 0.05$. The ratios are plotted for the values of $|Q^2|/\mu_F^2$ equal 0.5 (dashed), 1 (solid) and 2 (dash-dotted line).

![Ratios of the real parts](image2)

**Figure 11:** Ratios of the real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of NLO gluon coefficient function for $|Q^2| = 1/2\mu_F^2$ (solid line) and $|Q^2| = 2\mu_F^2$ (dashed line) to the same quantities with $|Q^2| = \mu_F^2$. Those quantities are calculated for the timelike Compton scattering and plotted as a function of $x$ in the DGLAP region for $\xi = 0.05$.
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