A name is the first identification attribute of a barbarian group. This does not apply only to a term the group is referred to in written sources and which distinguishes the group cognitively from other groups. When taking into consideration an anthropological premise that ascribes a significant role within the group identity (or the group as such) to the complex of myths and symbols\(^1\), the actual name represents an essential point of the self-identification. Together with the second essential feature (psychologically interconnected with the first one) — a historical (theogonic, cosmogonic) myth, it shall be considered a basic element of the identity construction.

\(^1\) Smith D. A. Myths and Memories of the Nation. Oxford, 1999. P. 13.
Once a new name is discovered on a map of the ancient and medieval knowledge, it might ipso facto represent ethnogenesis of the group and thus the very existence of that particular society. Its further occurrence and transformation in literature subsequently reflects motives of ancient and medieval ethnography and historiography. We believe that the name Σκλαβηνοί, Sclavini was originally a name of a single group and later on, thanks to various intellectual and ideological determinants, spread and referred to more groups — until it reached a semantical form we recognise even nowadays. Traditionally, a chronological milestone distinguishing the «pre-historic» Slavs from those «historic» captured by historians had emerged once Procopius and Jordanes started reflecting a name of one of the groups of the Lower Danube barbaricum — Σκλαβηνοί.

Naturally, an ethnographic brand «Veneti» holds the most significant position among the «older Slavic names» within the relevant literature. We know that Venedi / Venethi / Vinidi are to be found across a wide European geographical context (from Bretagne to Paphlagonia in Asia Minor), thus they are not to be interconnected with the Slavs.

---

2 As Franc Miklošić wrote as early as in 1874: «Ich möchte ferner auf den namen der sprache der Slovaken: slovenský jazyk hinweisen, was wieder als grund für die angeführte ansicht nur jene gelten zu lassen geineit sind, dass der name: slovène ursprünglich der name einzelnes slavischen stammes, nicht der ganzen slavischen volkes war» (Miklosich F. Altslovenische Formenlehre in Paradigmen mit Texten aus Glagolitischen Quellen. Wien, 1874. P. IV). Relevant criticism to be found for instance in: Otrębski J. Słowianie. Rozwiązanie odwiecznej zagadki ich nazw. Poznań, 1947. P. 25–27; Schramm G. Ein Damm bricht. Die römische Donaugrenze und die Invasionen des 5.–7. Jahrhunderts im Lichte von Namen und Wörter. München, 1997. P. 171–173.

3 Šafárik P. J. Slowanské starožitnosti. Oddjl děgepisný. Praha, 1837. P. 39; Niederle L. Slovanske starožitnosti. D. 2. Sv. 1: Původ a počátky Slovanů jižních. Praha, 1906, P. 469; Pritsak O. The Slavs and the Avars // Gli Slavi occidentali e meridionali nell’alto medioevo. International conference in Spoleto 15.4.–21.4.1982. Spoleto, 1983. P. 366.

4 In the same Greek form as in Procopius in an work of author commonly known as Caesarii sapientissimi viri: Dialogi Quatuor. Interrogatio CX // Sancti patris nostri Gregorii Theologi, vulgo Nazianzeni, archiepiscopi Constantinopolitani. Operae quae extant omnia (Patrologiae Grecae. T. 38). Turnholti, 1969. P. 985–986; Benedicty R. Prokopios’ Berichte über die slavische Vorzeit. Beiträge zur historiographischen Methode des Prokopios von Kaisarea // Jahrbuch der Österreichischen Byzantinischen Gessellschaft. 1965. Vol. 14. P. 61; Баришић Ф. Када и где су написали Псеудо-Цезаријеви Дијалози // ЗРВИ. 1952. Vol. 1. P. 45; Riedinger R. Pseudo-Kaisarios: Überlieferungsgeschichte und Verfasserfrage. München, 1969. P. 272–273, 302–303.

5 Venedi appear for the first time in Pliny the Elder, the Greek form Ουενεδαι is known from Ptolemy’s Geography from the 2nd century, the Latin version comes from Tacitus — Wenethi. Pliny’s Naturalis Historiae. Book 2. Ch. 170; Book 4. Ch. 13 and 96–97, and Geography. Book 3. Ch. 5. Part 1, 5, 7 and 8. — Claudius Ptolemy quoted from: Panzer B. Quellen zur slavischen Ethnogenese. Fakten, Mythen und Legenden. Heidelberg Publikationen zur Slavistik: A) Linguistische Reihe. Vol. 14. Frankfurt am Main, 2002. P. 15–19; P. Cornelius Tacitus. Germania / Ed. A. Lund. Heidelberg, 1988, the introductory study on P. 48; chapter 46 on P. 107. — Zbigniew Babik points to a reality of two existing names with th and d as a possibility of gradual alternation of a German linguistic term via a Latin medium: Babik Z. Wspólna językowa prasłowańska // Przeszłość społeczna. Próba konceptualizacji // Ed. by S. Tabaczyński, A. Marciniak, D. Cyngot, A. Zalewska. Poznań, 2012. P. 843. — For Jordanes’ motivation see, e. g.: Pritsak O. The Slavs and the Avars. P. 382–383.
automatically. We also have to take into consideration a mere historical fact that the Slavs never called themselves by this name. A synonymic equation (Veneti – Slavs) which had given the ancient roots to the Slavs was authored by Jordanes. However, under Jordanes’ influence, we cannot project «Slavicism» (Slavic identity, «Slavicity» or «Slavinity») of the Veneti onto a situation in the Early Antiquity. A similar method of a «geographically conditioned likening», emanation of a chronologically older unit, was  

6 Curta F. The Making of the Slavs. History and archaeology of the Lower Danube Region, c. 500–700. Cambridge, 2001. P. 41. — For an opposite stance, see: Gołąb Z. Veneti / Venedi. The oldest name of the Slavs // Journal of Indo-European Studies. 1975. Vol. 3. P. 321–326; Schramm G. Venedi, Antes, Sclaveni, Sclavi. Frühe Sammelbezeichnungen für slawische Stämme und ihre geschichtlicher Hintergrund // Jahrbücher für Geschichte Osteuropas. 1995. Vol. 43. Nr 2. P. 161; Kolendo J. Wenetowie a Słowianie // Z Otchłani Wieków: Pismo poświęcone pradziejom Polski. 1985. Vol. 51. No. 3–4. P. 128. 

7 Wenskus R. Stammesbildung und Verfassung. Die Werden der frühmittelalterlichen gentes. Köln, 1961. P. 233; Lysý M. Moravania, Mojmírovci a Franská ríša. Štúdie k etnogenéze, politickým inštitúciám a ústavnému zriadeniu na území Slovenska vo včasnom stredoveku. Bratislava, 2014. P. 45, note 107; Steinacher R. Wenden, Slawen, Vandalen. Eine frühmittelalterliche pseudologische Gleichsetzung und Ihre achworungen bis ins 18. Jahrhundert // Die Suche nach den Ursprüngen. Von der Bedeutung des frühen Mittelalters. Wien, 2004. P. 329–353. 

8 Jordanis. Getica. V.34–35; XXIII.119 // MGH: Auctores antiquissimi 5, 1 / Ed. Th. Mommsen. Berolini, 1882. P. 62–63, 88–89. — In addition, the treatise Romana does not mention the Veneti along the Antes and Sclaveni (here as Sclavini, not Sclaveni as in Getica) in the last catastrophic report: Jordanis. Romana, 388. P. 52; Jordanes. Göttse dějiny / Římské dějiny / Ed. S. Doležal. Praha, 2012. P. 35; Labuda G. Fragmenty dějíšow Słowiańszczynckych zachodniej. 1. Poznań, 1960. P. 37. — A connection Sclaveni—Venethi—Antes is to be understood as Jordanes’ geographical-genealogical tool. Jordanes’ interpolation on numerous Veneti in a wide area on the Eastern Wisła pays tribute to the ancient geography. We know next to nothing concerning the Veneti at the times of Jordanes or Procopius. Procopius mentions Venetia meaning the actual area around Venice when talking about stationed Gothic units: Procopius. De bello gothico, I.11 // Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae. Bonnæ, 1833. P. 2. Vol. 2. P. 59. — As Jordanes had known from his sources, a group called Venethi had been placed somewhere in Scythia by the ancient geography. A well-known, easily pronounceable name made the information transfer within remote barbarian groups easier. As a Goth, he might have known it was a Germanic-linguistic term used for all those «unfamiliar in the East»; this is an analogy to Walchi / Velchi for all those «unfamiliar in the West» of Germanic-linguistic groups: Schramm G. 1) Ein Damm bricht… P. 168; 2) Venedi, Antes, Sclaveni, Sclavi. P. 162; Pohl W. Die Germanen. München, 2000. P. 50. 

9 This is a similar moment as with a name Allemani, originally labelling only one of the Germanic-linguistic tribes, which has endured until nowadays and in French serves as a name for the present-day Germans, or in an extensive interpretation, for all the German-speaking people. However, as a gens, the Alamanni had never lived to experience the «Germanism» thus «Germanism» cannot be projected retrospectively onto them: Pohl W. Die Germanen. P. 10; Puschner U. Germanenideologie und völkische Weltanschauung // Zur Geschichte der Gleichung «germanisch-deutsch». Berlin; New York, 2004. P. 103–129. — A question of locating the Veneti (and of course, the issue of Veneti’s Slavism) was particularly important for the dynamics of the topic of Slavic ethnogenesis in the Polish milieu: Labuda G. Udział Wenetów w etnogenezie Słowian // Etnogeneza i topogeneza Słowian. Warszawa; Poznań, 1980. P. 29–41; Kolendo J. Wenetowie a Słowianie. P. 23; Nalepa J. O pierwotnych siedzibach Słowian w świetle nowszych badań archeologicznych, lingwistycznych i historycznych I // SA. 2007. Vol. 48. P. 17; Pritsak O.
applied by Theophylact Simocatta when he connected the Slavs with ancient Getae. Due to a later «non-tradition» or rather a «non-naming» the Slavs as Getae, this identification did not raise many emotions or interpretations. Another «naïve» etymology mentioned by Procopius, namely the etymology of the name Sporoi which should have been applied for both Antes and Sclaveni represents the weakest of all of these theories.

As far as this topic is concerned, firstly we would like to draw the attention to a terminological and epistemological discrepancy that has been accompanying the whole issue of the Slavic ethnogenesis and identity. The name of one of the Jordanes’ groups Sclaveni is in both translation and semantic meaning identical with the present term — the Slavs. Thus the thing is that although Jordanes mentions three and Procopius two barbarian groups (Antes, Sclaveni and Venethi), one of these groups covers terminologically remaining groups as well — therefore in literature, we can encounter «the Slavic Antes and Venethi». Thus the Sclaveni are the Slavs as well as the Antes and Venethi falls into the same category. The term Slavs serves for both «narrower» and «broader» semantic application. When talking about the events of the 6th century, we understand and use the term «Slav» as an equivalent to a (Latin) Sclaven.
Those pieces of information that enable the construction of the pillars of a barbarian group ethnic identity after many centuries are of an internal origin — especially from stories of origo gentis, or come from external sources — Roman, or post-Roman intellectuals. The Goths or Lombards do not concentrate their belongingness to the group around «own language» in their origo gentis. It is Tacitus, Isidor of Sevilla, Hrabanus Maurus or Regino of Prüm who emphasise the language as an identification symbol of gentes\textsuperscript{15}. The barbarian elite, the militarized Traditionskern with an opposite approach to education, certainly did not ascribe the language such a significant position\textsuperscript{16}. The role of a single «exclusively barbarian language» at a creation of the Slavic identity of the Sclaveni and Antes is mostly a projection of the modern linguists and historians.

A role of the language as far as a group identity is concerned becomes more prominent at the reconstruction of the ethnic name of the Slavs. A proposition about Slověnin, a Slavic ethnic group (gens, tribe, nation) representative whose auto-definition links him with other Slavs via the language and speech as early as in the 6\textsuperscript{th} century, or even a centuries earlier, has its unshakable place in the scholar discourse\textsuperscript{17}. Thanks to a parallel to a more-than-a-thousand-year existence of the Slavic language, the ethnonym has been perceived as a symbol of the ancient times which had proved and confirmed its durability\textsuperscript{18}.

Once the name issue is being approached, it is actually an attractive, «language-motivated» etymology which attempts to reconstruct an auto-ethnonym of the Slavs directly from the base and an individual word — *slovo\textsuperscript{19}. Such a name thus emerges as a result of, as Šimon Ondruš called it, «natural law of the opposition», where «mute Germans» symbolised the rivaling party. In literature, this reconstruction appears regularly, as the Slovak linguist put it: «Because for the Proto-Slavs, the Germanic people were babbling, inarticulate and that

\textsuperscript{15} Pohl W. Telling the difference. Sings of Ethnic Identity // Strategies of distinction. The Construction of ethnic identities, 300–800. Leiden; Boston; Köln, 1998. P. 22–27.

\textsuperscript{16} Procopius. De bello gothico I.2. P. 14. — Procopius mentions that Theodoric had banned Gothic children from attending the school.

\textsuperscript{17} Schachmatov A. A. Zu den ältesten slavisch-keltisch Beziehungen (Herrn Akademiker Th. Korsch gewidmet) // Archiv für slavische Philologie. 1911. Vol. 33. No. 1/2. P. 83. — Schachmatov rejects the Veneti having been the Slavs which is an important feature of his work. He considers them Celtic which is to be explained by a proximity of the Celtic and Slavic language. He also claims the name of the Veneti was transferred to the Slavs because they had originally been «neighbours» of the Germanic tribes — who in exchange, had used this name for all their neighbours in the east: Schramm G. Venedi, Antes, Sclaveni, Sclavi. P. 165; Homza M. Stredná Európa I. Na začiatku stredoveku. Bratislava, 2014. P. 32; Popowska-Taborska H. Z unikatnictwem słowiańskim // Z językových záznam. Kraków, 1990. P. 129; Pohl W. Ein Jahrtausend der Wanderungen, 500–1500 // Kontinuitäten und Brüche: Lebensformen — Alteingesessene — Zuwanderer von 500 bis 1500. Klagenfurt, 2010. P. 156. — Quite a contrary position in: Brückner A. Dzieje kultury polskiej. T. I. Od czasów przedhistorycznych do r. 1506. Kraków, 1930. P. 8, who states the Slavs are actually the mute one doe to Gothic slavan.

\textsuperscript{18} Otrębski J. Słowianie… P. 6.

\textsuperscript{19} Šafárik P. J. Dejiny slovanského jazyka a literatúry všetkých nálečí. Bratislava, 1953. P. 49, 59; Otrębski J. Słowianie… P. 36.

\textsuperscript{20} Ondruš Š. Pôvod etnonyma Slovák, Slovan, Slověn a etnonyma Čech // Studia Academica Slovaca. Prednášky XI. letného seminára slovenského jazyka a kultúry. 1975. Vol. 4. P. 421: «Preto využili na pomenovanie seba samých z indoeurópcí zdenené slová, resp. slovný základ **klow**: **kľow**-, po samizácii **slew**-: **slow**- v zmysle počujúci, rozumejúci, používajúci slová, oproti germánskemu brblaniu, nemote».
is why they perceived themselves being a counterpart to the Germanic people as they were speaking clearly, using the words»\textsuperscript{20}. Then Ondruš continues: «With a huge probability, we may assume that a primal collective name for the Slavs was Slovi, meaning those who listen, speak in an articulate manner and use words».

The second part of the ethnonym is (generally accepted) suffix *-ěninb/ *-janinb (plural *-jane) which represents some sort of the «affinity», «close relationship»\textsuperscript{21}. Slověn / Slovan (in a so-called non-productive form) would have been an appellative similar to bratěn / bratan which de facto confirms a thesis of the Slavs as those «linguistically» related\textsuperscript{22}. Among the Slavists, it was by no means less popular searching for a word base in a word sláva (glory) as an Adam Czarnocki’s quotation attests\textsuperscript{23}. In an attempt to come to a satisfactory etymology, attention has been focused on more «romantic» interpretations. There were some attempts to reconstruct the name as a derivation from the natural environment where the Slavs had been believed to have lived in literature. Slověne are those who should have lived in the area of mysterious Slova, a further unidentified location of Jan Peisker, or placed close to some island — Lithuanian salava of Leszek Moszyński\textsuperscript{24}. The base of names as Slavo, Slova, Slavje is supposed to indicate an Indo-European denominator *kleu- in a meaning of to flow\textsuperscript{25}. However, a suitable geographical object has not been satisfyingly identified yet\textsuperscript{26}.

\textsuperscript{20} Schramm G. Venedi, Antes, Selaveni, Sclavi. P. 183; Pritsak O. The Slavs and the Avars. P. 407; Popowska-Taborska H. Utrwalone w języku ślady etnicznej wspólnoty Słowian. P. 161; Sławski F. Słowianie // SSS. Vol. 5: S–Ś. Wroclaw; Warszawa; Kraków; Gdańsk, 1975. P. 274.

\textsuperscript{21} Moszyński L. Czy Słowianie to rzeczywiście nomen originis? // Z polskich studiów slawistycznych. Ser. 5. Językoznawstwo. Prace na VIII. międzynarodowy kongres sławistów w Zagrebiu 1978. Warszawa, 1978. P. 499, 507.

\textsuperscript{22} «In common law and customs — there was their bond and unity. That was the root of honour, I mean, from there they could be covered with a crown and name of Glory (sławy)» (Surowiecki W. Z. D. Chodakowskiego O Słowiańszczyźnie przed chrześcijaństwem i W. Surowieckiego zdanie o pismie témże z dodaniem krótkiej wiadomości o Chodakowskim i korrespondencji jego. Kraków, 1835. P. 9). Or in case of Slovak Ján Kollár, see: Podolan P. Sláwa Bohyně a původ jména Sloňů čili Slawjanův… Jána Kollára // Historia nova. 2013. Vol. 6. P. 82–93.

\textsuperscript{23} Peisker J. The expansion of the Slavs // The Cambridge Medieval History. Vol. 2. Cambridge, 1914. P. 421. — He also searches for a support of a proposition of the Slav (the one who speaks and understands) — Nemec/German (nemý/mute, thus the one who does not understand) in so-called Nestor’s account on a tribe of Jugrii which is «foreign» — therefore not able to speak in: Peisker J. Die älteren Beziehungen der Slawen zu Turkotataren und Germanen und ihre sozialgeschichtliche Bedeutung. Stuttgart, 1905. P. 98; Moszyński L. Czy Słowianie to rzeczywiście nomen originis? P. 499. For an overview of the locations relevant at the times of World War Two see: Otrębski J. Słowianie… P. 40–42; Rospond S. Struktura pierwotnych etnonimów słowiańskich // Rocznik sławistyczny. 1966. Vol. 26. No. 1. P. 29–31.

\textsuperscript{24} As *cleu in: Kučera M. Slovenské dejiny I. Od príchodu Slovanov do roku 1526. Prešov, 2008. P. 49; Sławski F. Słowianie // SSS. Vol. 5. P. 274. — A dictionary also states less appealing connotations as for instance English slow, Gothic slavan (probably coming from Aleksander Brückner) for being silent, for similar reference see: Moszyński L. Czy Słowianie to rzeczywiście nomen originis? P. 499.

\textsuperscript{25} See: Otrębski J. Słowianie… P. 40–42. — However, there is a thesis concerning the river Zala in the present-day Hungary. An interesting reflection, presented in this text as a historical argument is that «neighbouring» regions of the Zala region are Slavonia and Slovenia, thus the linguistic groups in its proximity have called themselves Slavs and later on, Slovaks and Slovenians: Bačić J. The Slav: Origin and the meaning of the ethnonym // Slovene Studies. 1987. Vol. 9. No. 1/2. P. 38.
attractive of these theories is deriving from one of the names for the Dnieper (though preserved as an epitheton ornans not earlier than in the 17th century!) Slavuta, *Slovaţa, or *Slaventā27. A base word *slov- is labelled by Jan Otrębski a stem of a reflexive pronoun (originally *slobo-, documented in Gothic silva, or as a similar base for Celtic *selv-), which would mean the Slavs were «their own people / their people themselves»28. Linguists or historians working with linguistic facts built the auto-ethnonym of the Slavs a priori on a linguistic base — on *slovo (a word), which logically confirmed that a personal substrate that had created the ethnonym had done so on the basis of the Slavic linguistic equipment29. We do not presume that a group that had already established its representative «brand» — a name; placed in its identity a rational and conscious realization that they were using their own special «Slavic language». Such a motive would be quite unique as far as the ancient and early medieval barbaricum is concerned30. Thus currently, we tend to reject a language as an identifying factor which helps creating the very base of the identity, represented by the auto-ethnonym of a group in case of the Slavs. From a merely technical point of view: such an «attribute» would not have delimited the Slavs — or Sclaveni even from their closest neighbours, the Antes — as Procopius writes they used the same barbarian language.

Therefore from August Schlözer onwards, it has been accepted that the Greek form Sklavenoi (Σκλαβηνοί) is derived from an originally Slavic form of Slověne (or Sloviene, alternatively Sloviane)31. The authors generally agree in this respect32. Wawrzyniec Surowiecki wrote that the Greeks (Greek-speaking) were inserting a consonant k between s and l in order to keep a diphthong Sl (thus a typical consonant cluster Skl) — otherwise they would not have

27 Schramm G. Venedi, Antes, Sclaveni, Scalavi. P. 184. — The reasoning goes as follows: centuries later, groups or tribes were called according to the rivers. Even before Schramm, it had been Kazimierz Moszyński writing about a connection with the river Dnieper. He pointed out that a couple of Dnieper’s Pripjat’s or Don’s tributaries wield the same base word *Slova, also *Slava, here explained as a «clear», «limpid» river (Moszyński K. Pierwotny zasięg języka prasłowiańskiego. Wrocław; Kraków, 1957. P. 142).

28 Havlík claims that Ptolemy had already incorrectly recorded Slavenoi / Sklavenoi under the term of Souobeni (Havlík L. Osídlení Balkánu Slovany a byzantská historiografie // Studia Balkanica Bohemoslovačka. Brno, 1970. P. 50). This faulty transcription would have had, according to Otrębski, a philological base (Otrębski J. Słowianie… P. 54–56, 59).

29 To be more precise, from a language which was labelled Slavic only centuries later. See: Otrębski J. Słowianie… P. 26; Schramm G. Venedi, Antes, Sclaveni, Scalavi. P. 165; Havlík L. Počátky slovanského vědomí // Slovakští v národním životě Čechů a Slováků. Praha, 1968. P. 19. The Slavic language appears for the first time at the liturgy in St. Peter’s temple after the arrival to Rome, recorded in the Life of Saint Constantine (Žitije Konstantina), chapter XVII (MMFH. V ol. II. 1967. P. 111). Slavic scripture is being admired by Kocel’ (chapter XV), the same applies for Pope Hadrian (chapter XVII) (MMFH. Vol. II. P. 105, 110).

30 Critically and curtly: Wenskus R. Stammesbildung und Verfassung. P. 97; Otrębski J. Słowianie… P. 38.

31 Schlözer A. L. Nestor Kiovensis 1056–1114: Russische Annalen in ihrer slavonischen Grund-Sprache. Göttingen, 1802. P. 75.

32 Among them for instance: Moszyński L. Czy Słowianie to rzeczywiście nomen originis? P. 502; Popowska-Taborska H. Utrwalone w języku ślady etnicznej wspólnoty Słowian. P. 161; Babik Z. Wspólnota językowa prasłowiańska. P. 842; Moszyński K. Pierwotny zasięg języka prasłowiańskiego. P. 141; Barford P. The Early Slavs. P. 29; Rospond S. Struktura pierwotnych etnonimów słowiańskich. P. 23.
been able to pronounce it\textsuperscript{33}. Concepts of originally non-Slavic stem of the ethonym of the Slavs appear in the scholar discourse rather marginally. Omeljan Pritsak, later followed by Lujo Marjetić suggested deriving the name of the Slavs from Turkic *saqla-, a verb which can be translated as «to guard» or «to supervise»\textsuperscript{34}. Pritsak derived a name of the Saqlabi, Sqlawi from (proto?) Turkic *saqla-gu, which he subsequently developed in a proto-Bulgarian forms of *saqla-w and then *sqlaw-\textsuperscript{35}.

A theory authored by Cracowian linguist Zbigniew Babik reconstructs an ethonym which could have reflected an identity of the barbarian retinue. Babik considers a singular form ended with *-janinЪ as well as a plural form ended with *-jane secondarily derived (from the original *Slověni a *SlověnЪ); on the other hand, he rejects the theory of deriving the ethonym from *slovo as unconvincing, or rather inconsistent which is a proposition difficult to argue with\textsuperscript{36}. In addition, Babik is quite right to emphasise that *slovo did not possess in the oldest preserved version of the Slavic language the same meaning as it has now (slovo, meaning a word; reč, meaning speech)\textsuperscript{37}, which undermines original logics behind a supposed construction of this auto-ethnonym. As the most probable option, Babik suggests the derivation of the name of the Slavs from a verb *sluti slovą, which means «to be famous», «to enjoy the glory»\textsuperscript{38}. Such a derivation should originally have labelled those who «enjoy the glory», that means a group of warriors — then it would have served as a very apt name. Subsequently, this name was

\textsuperscript{33} Surowiecki W. Śledzenie początku narodów słowiańskich. Wrocław; Warszawa; Kraków, 1964. P. 392; \textit{Otrębski J. Słowianie...} P. 10. — Both hereby quoted authors present an example of the river Wsła mentioned by Jordanes, in a form of Viscla, Otrębski also Visculus by Pliny.

\textsuperscript{34} Marjetić L. Etnogeneza Slavena. P. 91, 137; Pritsak O. The Slavs and the Avars. P. 406. — Pritsak and Marjetić add a characteristics of a special type of auxiliary military troops of nomadic tribes (referring to the oldest sources by Jordanes and Procopius — Proto-Bulgarians) to the ethnonym based on a non-Slavic origin.

\textsuperscript{35} The linguistic term shall find its confirmation in the language of the Bulgarians from the Volga region and Kipchaks: Pritsak O. The Slavs and the Avars. P. 406–411. — For a polemics with conclusions of the ethnonym etymology see: \textit{Pohl W. Die Awaren: Ein Steppenvolk in Mittel-Europa 567–822 n. Chr. München, 1988. P. 88, 366, note 3; Profantová N. Kultura s keramikou pražského typu a problém šíření slaviny do střední Evropy. K článku Florina Curty // Archeologické rozhledy. 2009. Vol. 61. P. 303.}

\textsuperscript{36} Actually, such a reconstruction (from a base word *slovo) does not appear in literature, only its natural confirmation as an attractive etymology occurs again and again, compare: Rospond S. Struktura pierwotnych etnonimów słowiańskich. P. 23; Babik Z. Współnota językowa prasłowiańska. P. 842. — An (surprisingly) opposite evolution (from Słowěnin to Sloven) is promoted by Mulik P. Používanie kľúčových termínov k počiatkom slovenských dejín // Etnogenéza Slovákov. Kto sme a aké je naše meno. Martin, 2011. P. 41 (based on: Stanislav J. Slovienin — Sloven — Slovák — Tót // Naš národ. 1944. No. 1. P. 25). Schramm points to the same suffix in case of a form «Roman» — gradually derived from rimЪ, and further on rimljeninЪ / rimljaninЪ, although these forms appear only centuries later (Schramm G. Ein Damm bricht... P. 145).

\textsuperscript{37} See: \textit{Otrębski J. Słowianie...} P. 37, who points out that the oldest form looked different — *solves-, which is confirmed by an Old Russian adjective form solves-njy (Babik Z. Współnota językowa prasłowiańska. P. 842). Similarly, it emphasises that it is not possible to reconstruct the name on the basis of a verb *sloviti, which cannot be traced back in this chronological layer either.

\textsuperscript{38} This option is mentioned (together with a reconstruction) by Králík L. Stručný etymologicke slovník slovenčiny. Bratislava, 2015. P. 540. Marginally also by \textit{Otrębski J. Słowianie...} P. 37; Babik Z. Współnota językowa prasłowiańska. P. 842.
applied to a greater society and further on, via denominations in Greek and Latin, became an umbrella term for a conglomerate of groups — differing from other groups by designed ethnographic categories.

Thus the name of originally a single group of the barbarians, which could be related to a language perceived by the Romans as a foreign — later on a means of communication applied in the Avar Khaganate as well as communities on its borders — spread slowly amongst those speaking that particular language. Later etymology and semantics of the name of the Slavs was conditioned by a fact that the Slavs, originally a barbarian gens on the borders of the Roman Empire, had become a name for all the users of any form of relevant languages and dialects and a widespread ethnographic brand in the East Central Europe. In Frankish or Byzantine sources, there are accounts of people who had been given names ending with a suffix -slav, there are geographical entities named as Sclavonia, Sklavinia which were understood as the «land of the Slavs». The name of the Slavs was permanently embedded in both consciousness and knowledge of medieval intellectuals to such an extent that the first concepts of the history of the Slavs emerged at that time. The most successful historical, etymological, and geographical studies of the Slavs were related to the name Slav — Simultaneously with some metamorphoses of the very language form into a shorter Sclavi, Sklavoi in: Krahwinkler H. Augewählte Slaven-Ethnonyme und ihre historische Deutung // Slowenija in sosednje dežele med antiko in karoliško dobo. Začetki slovenske etnogeneze / Ed. R. Bratož. Ljubljana, 2000. P. 403–404; Otřebški J. Slowianie... P. 11–13, 69–80; Schramm G. Venedi, Antes, Sclaveni, Sclavi. P. 188–194.

Dzino D. Becoming Slav, Becoming Croat. Identity transformations in post-Roman and early medieval Dalmatia. Leiden; Boston, 2010. P. 165, 216; Schramm G. Venedi, Antes, Sclaveni, Sclavi. P. 188; Curta F. The Making of the Slavs. P. 345; Barford P. The Early Slavs. P. 17. — See a contradicting stance: Babik Z. Współnota językowa prasłowiańska. P. 848–849.

The first known Slav with the suffix -slav is Višeslav, the first chronologically known Serb ruler mentioned in Constantine Porphyrogenitus’ treatise De administrando imperio in chapter XXXII: Constantine Porphyrogenitus. De administrando imperio / Greek text ed. by Gy. Moravcsik; engl. transl. by R. J. H. Jenkins. Washington, 1967. P. 155. See: Dzino D. Becoming Slav, becoming Croat... P. 187; Živković T. De conversione Croatorum et Serborum. A lost source. Belgrade, 2012. P. 55.

Theophylact Simocattae. Historiae, VIII. 5. P. 293; Theophanis. Chronographia. Vol. 1. Lipsiae: B. G. Teubner, 1873. P. 486; Curta F. 1) Sclaviniai and ethnic adjectives: A clarification // Byzantion Nea Hellás. 2011. Vol. 30. P. 81; 2) Theophylact Simocatta revisited. A response to Andreas Gkoutzioukostas // Byzantion Nea Hellás. 2016. Vol. 35. P. 195–209. — In a large extent see: Malinovská N. 1) Geographical concepts of Sclavinia in historical Sources from the Sixth to the Fourteenth Century with an Emphasis on the Moravian-Pannonian and South Slavic Traditions // Slovakia and Croatia. Historical parallels and connections (until 1780) / Ed. by M. Homza, J. Lukačka, N. Budak, V. Kucharská, S. Kuzmová, A. Mesiarkin. Bratislava; Zagreb, 2013. P. 60–65; 2) Povešť vremenných let a jej koncepcia Slovinskoj zemli // Štúdie k jubileu Pavla Jozefa Šafárika. Historia nova. Bratislava, 2011. Vol. 2. No. 1. P. 12–20; 3) Vývoj chápaní geografického termínu Sklavínia v historických prameňoch 6.–14. storočia // HZ. 2008. No. 1. P. 124–143.

See the so-called Bavarian Geograph: Descriptio civitatum ad septentrionalem plagam Danubii (t. zv. Bavorský geograf). Praha, 1956. For dating and more see: Łowmiański H. O pochodzeniu Geografa bawarskiego // Roczniki historyczne. 1955. Vol. 20. P. 10–15; Zakrzewski S. Opis grodów i terytoriów z północnej strony Dunaju czyli t. z. Geograf bawarski. Łwow, 1917. P. 9–11. Chronologically close is text of al-Masúdi: Al-Masúdi. Správa o Slovanoch, o ich sídlach, o ich vládcoch a kmeňoch // Arábské správy o Slovanoch (9.–12. storočie). Bratislava, 1999.
ideological as well as spiritual concept was authored by Constantine the Philosopher. He interconnected the etymology «conditioned by the language» with the symbolic of the Christianity in an introduction to a Slavic translation of the Gospel Book (Aprakos), called Proglas. The name of this society, derived from a (Slavic linguistic) word base *slovo and the naming of the Slavs as «People of the Word» is an intellectual-religious axis of the concept of the second half of the 9th century. The same applies to later texts, celebrating Byzantine mission in Moravia and Pannonia. The Slavists have kept trying to trace this etymology back to the 5th and 6th century. Thus codified (as well as sacred), the Slavic language represented a strong tool in an self-identification with a (much older) linguistic reality present on European area(s).

However, these are phenomena that only follow a historical fact of creation and existence of the name of the Slavs. The retinue that had planned and organized the raids to the right bank of the river Danube in the first decades of the 6th century did not «suffer» from similar intellectual delicacy. When accepting a widespread opinion of a Slavic linguistic base of the ethnonyms, we must realize that this fact was no hindrance for «other» members of Slavic Traditionskern, for sure the Antes, Gepides or Lombards. Quite the contrary, the name celebrating the victors was very apt for a heterogeneous group.
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**Резюме:** Название является основным конституирующим элементом и важнейшим идентификационным признаком варварской общности. Фиксация в VI в. в трудах Прокопия Кесарийского и Иордана названия Σκλαβηνοί в качестве обозначения одной из групп задунайского барбарicum является тем хронологическим рубежом, который отделяет славян доисторического времени от славян, зафиксированных историками. Долгое время в историографии считалось, что славяне как «народ» или «культурную общность» существовали задолго до VI в., скрываясь в источниках под другими именами. Из этих имен самым важным считалось название венетов / венедов. Однако славяне стали венетами, а венеты славянами лишь благодаря Иордану. Автор статьи склоняется к мнению, что название Σκλαβηνοί первоначально было обозначением лишь одной варварской группы, а затем в силу различных интеллектуальных и идеологических факторов стало переноситься на другие общности, пока не обрело то значение, в котором оно понимается сегодня. Лингвистами, а также историками, опирающимися на лингвистические факты, самоаназвование славян было априорно интерпретировано как основывающееся на языке — на понятии «слово», из чего следовало, что данная этническая общность возникла на основе языковой общности. Тезис о словенах как «ясно говорящих», то есть о существовании этнической группы, представители которой уже в VI в. или даже еще раньше самоопределялись через общий язык, до сих пор занимает прочные позиции в научной литературе. Однако, на взгляд автора статьи, в статье, согласно которой к появлению группового названия «славяне» привело рациональное осознание членами данной группы того, что они используют уникальный «славянский язык», не является убедительной. Выведение названия общности славян от (славянской языковой) основы *slovo и восприятие славян как «народа слова» возникли гораздо позже: языковое прочтение этнонима «славяне» является стержнем интеллектуально-религиозной концепции, которая
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оформилась во второй половине IX в. в связи с миссией свв. Кирилла и Мефодия в Моравии и Паннонии. По этой причине автор статьи считает первоначальной этимологией названия «славяне» ту этимологию, которую разработал польский лингвист Збигнев Бабик. На взгляд автора, этимология З. Бабика более адекватно отражает реальность варварского мира раннего Средневековья. 
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Summary: The name is the main constitutive element and the most important identification sign of a barbaric community. The fixation of the name Σκλαβηνοί in the 6th century by Procopius of Caesarea and Jordanes as a designation of one of the groups of the trans-Danubian Barbaricum is the chronological boundary that separates the Slavs of prehistoric times from the historic Slavs. For a long time it was believed in historiography that the Slavs as a «people» or «cultural community» existed long before the 6th century, thus hiding in sources under other names. Of these names, the most important was the name Venethi / Venedi. However, the Slavs became Venethi, as well as the Venethi became Slavs, only thanks to Jordanes. The author of the article tends to the opinion that the name Σκλαβηνοί was originally a designation of only one barbaric group, and then, due to various intellectual and ideological factors, it was transferred to other communities until it acquired the meaning in which it is understood today. Linguists, as well as historians, based on linguistic facts, a priori interpreted the self-name of the Slavs as based on the common language — on the word *slovo. It followed from this that this ethnic community arose on the basis of the language community. The thesis about the Slavs as «clearly speaking», that is, about the existence of an ethnic group, whose members determined themselves through a common language already in the 6th century or even earlier, still occupies a strong position in Slavic studies. However, according to the author of the article, the picture according to which the formation of the group name «Slavs» was a result of the members of this group rational awareness that they use the unique «Slavic language» is not convincing. The connection between the name of the Slavonic community and the (Slavonic language) basis *slovo as well as the perception of the Slavs as the «people of the word» emerged much later: the linguistic interpretation of the ethnonym Slavs is the core of the intellectual and religious concept that took shape in the second half of the 9th century, being connected with the mission of Saints Cyril and Methodius in Moravia and Pannonia. For this reason, the author of the article prefers the etymology of the name «Slavs» proposed by the Polish linguist Zbigniew Babik because, in the author’s opinion, it is Babik’s etymology, and not the traditional linguistic view that reflects the reality of the Barbaric world of the early Middle Ages in more adequate way.
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