Influence of Growing Media on Growth and Yield of Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* (L.)) under Protected Environment
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**ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute, Kumulur, Trichy to study the effect of different growing media (Experimental plot Soil, Soil + Sawdust (2:1) and Soil + Coirpith (2:1)) with three level of irrigation (120% ETc, 100% ETc and 75% ETc) with three replication on the yield and water use efficiency. Among the treatments Soil + Coirpith (2:1) 100% ETc (T₈) was found superior to all other treatment combination in producing higher yield of marigold flower. Maximum yield was recorded with treatment T₈ which was 27% higher than the treatment T₂. Similarly highest water usage efficiency was recorded in T₈ (948.65 kg.ha⁻¹mm⁻¹). Therefore the study concluded that, the soil + coirpith (2:1) at 100% ETc would be best growing media as well as optimal irrigation level for growing marigold crop under greenhouse without causing any stress in irrigation and negative effects in plant growth parameters.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sujitha047@gmail.com;*
1. INTRODUCTION

Today Marigold (Tagetes erecta (L.)) seems to have gained the cultural status of one of the world's most commercially valuable flowers. It accounts for over half of the nation's loose flower production in the Indian subcontinent, used to make garlands, beautification, religious offerings, social functions, and other purposes. Marigold has an advantage over loose flowers in vogue from the point of view of commercial marketing and revenue generation, followed by chrysanthemum, jasmine, tuberose, crossandra and barley. Bhattacharjee [1]. It is widely grown for its exquisite blooms, natural dye extraction and essential oils and it has therapeutic qualities. Also marigold is used by physicians to heal and cure basic diseases as part of herbal therapies. The juice obtained from the leaves is used to soothe wounds, carbuncles and earache [2]. Therefore, all the above applications today are not only grown as an ornamental cut flower and a landscaped greenery plant but are also commercially grown as an important source of carotenoid pigments. The predominant pigment in flowers is xanthophylll, which accounts for 80-90 per cent of lutein in total. For the poultry industry, marigold carotenoids are the main source of pigment as a feed additive for intensifying the yellow color of egg yolks, broiler skin [3]. The main demand for marigold today comes from the recent trend towards the use of natural dyes throughout the world. Today's advertising campaigns run by companies ranging from multinational companies to small units stress the fact that natural colors are used on their fabrics as these natural dyes offer several advantages over synthetic dyes from the health point of view, safety and eco-friendly in nature [4]. Presently, in India the commercial extraction of marigold carotenoids were carried out in Cochin (Kerala), Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh), Satyamangalam forest (Tamil Nadu) and Bangalore (Karnataka). It is being regularly exported to Mexico, Peru, USA, Japan, Spain, Romania, Netherlands, Turkey, Poland, Italy, Australia, Canada, Africa etc. In addition to that, cultivation of marigolds (Tagetes spp.) as a rotational crop or in mixed cropping systems for the control of root-knot. Ploeg [5], Marahatta [6]. The cultivation of marigold consistently resulted in a yield increase 50% and lowered root-galling of subsequently grown tomato [7]. Yield increases after cultivation of marigolds in root-knot nematode infested soil include 38% in capiscum pepper [8], 98% in beans [9] and 34% in tomato [10]. Therefore the research was carried out to evaluate the performance of growing media on growth and yield of Marigold under Protected Environment.

2. METHODOLOGY

The experiment was carried out in a naturally ventilated greenhouse field of Department of Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute, Kumulur, Trichy during 2017. The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three replications, consisting of nine treatments i.e., T1 - 125% of ETc for sandy loam soil, T2 - 100% of ETc for sandy loam soil, T3 -75% of ETc for sandy loam soil, T4 - 125% of ETc for soil + sawdust (2:1), T5 - 100% of ETc for soil + sawdust (2:1), T6 -75% of ETc for soil + sawdust (2:1), T7 -125% of ETc for soil + coirpith (2:1), T8 - 100% of ETc for soil + coirpith (2:1), T9 -75% of ETc for soil + coirpith (2:1). Where ET stands for evapotranspiration. The plot size of experiment was 4.50 m² where plants were arranged in row to row and plant to plant spacing of 60 and 45 cm respectively. For the study marigold hybrid maxima yellow F1 was selected and the seeds were sown in plastics plug tray in the mist chamber to get healthy and disease free seedlings of marigold. The observation were recorded on the traits viz, plant height, stem girth, number of branches, plant spread, total flower yield per plant, days to flower bud appear and days to flower bud opening were observed. Flower yield per hectare and water use efficiency were determined. Plant height, stem girth, number of branches and plant spread were measured on 30, 60 and 90 day after transplanting (DAT). Observations were recorded on 5 plants chosen at random in each replication.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plants under soil + coirpith at 100% ETc (T3) took lesser number of days (28.66 days) to appear flower bud whereas the longest day (34.33 days) was recorded in the treatment T3. soil at 75% ETc (Table 1). Lesser number of day (34.33 and
34 days) to flower bud open was observed in the treatments soil + coirpith at 125% ETc and 100% ETc (T7 & T8) respectively, whereas the longest day was recorded in soil at 75% ETc (T3) 41.66 days. Results were similar to Parvej et al. [11] that the microclimate variability inside the poly house favoured the growth and development of tomato plant by increased plant height, number of branches plant-1, rate of leaf area expansion and leaf area index over the plants grown in open field [12]. Flowering, fruit setting and fruit maturity in the poly house were advanced by about 3, 4 and 5 days, respectively as against the crop grown in open field condition.

Significantly in 30 days after transplanting highest plant height (40.33 cm), stem girth (2.06 cm), plant spread (24.7 cm), no. of branches per plant (20.33 nos.) was observed in soil + coirpith at 100% ETc (T8) and lowest plant height (28.60 cm), stem girth (1.13 cm), plant spread (16.33 cm), no. of branches per plant (12.33 nos.) were recorded in the treatment T3 (Soil at 75% ETc) tabulated in Table 2. Similarly same trends were observed in all other observation days (60 and 90 DAT).

Similar results were found by Hashem et al. [13] that use of white net as greenhouse cover optimized growth and yield of cucumber plant. Moreover, the treatment recorded significantly higher vegetative growth (plant height, number of leaves, total leaves area, and dry weights) and increased yields. The highest vegetative growth was obtained by 100% ETo, as compared to 80% and 120% ETo, respectively [14]. The interaction among water treatment and greenhouse was also significant under white net cover with 100% ETo.

Soil + Coirpith at 100% ETc (T8) produced the highest flower yield per plant of 1.21 kg whereas the lowest value recorded was 0.75 kg in the treatment T3 (Soil at 75% ETc) shown in Table 3. Results are similar to Anant et al. [15] that the effect of irrigation levels on growth and yield in tomato is maximum in terms of number of fruits, fruit weight, fruit yield (3.99 kg plant-1) and marketable fruit yield (63.83 t ha-1) when irrigation was scheduled at 100 per cent ETo.

Hence, the highest and lowest irrigation water usage efficiency was recorded in T8 (948.65 kg.ha-1mm-1) and T1 (231.98 kg.ha-1mm-1). These results were in agreement with those obtained by Abdabbo et al. [16]. Yield obtained with 100% applied irrigation level was higher than 120% and 80 % irrigation relative. This result is due to adequate moisture availability in the soil which might have increased various physiological processes, better plant nutrient uptake, higher rates of photosynthesis, which might reflect on more number of fruits and higher fruit weight. The level of 120% ETo had the lowest WUE during the two studied seasons followed by 100% ETo & 80% ETo.

Crop grown under treatment T8 uptake 55% reduced water when compared with T2 (Soil 100% ETc). Similar results were found by Lorenzo et al. [17] that greenhouse shading improved the quality of tomato and increased yield of cucumber, moreover it reduced crop transpiration and thus water uptake, and improved water use efficiency by 47% and 62% for the tomato and cucumber crops.

| Table 1. Effect of growing media on no. of days to flower bud appear and open in different treatments |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Treatment | No. of days to flower bud appearance, days | No. of days to flower bud open, days |
| T1 | 33.33 | 38.66 |
| T2 | 32.00 | 38.00 |
| T3 | 34.33 | 41.66 |
| T4 | 31.00 | 37.33 |
| T5 | 30.00 | 36.33 |
| T6 | 34.33 | 39.66 |
| T7 | 29.33 | 34.33 |
| T8 | 28.66 | 34.00 |
| T9 | 30.33 | 35.00 |
| SED | 0.38 | 0.16 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.65 | 0.69 |
Table 2. Effect of growing media on plant height (cm), stem girth (cm), plant spread (cm) and no. of branches per plant of marigold in the greenhouse

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | Stem girth (cm) | Plant spread (cm) | No. of branches per plant |
|------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
|            | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT | 60 DAT | 90 DAT |
| T₁         | 32.26  | 41.50  | 51.90  | 1.30  | 2.06  | 2.40  | 18.76  | 23.53  | 27.36  | 13.00  | 17.66  | 23.00  |
| T₂         | 34.83  | 42.90  | 51.96  | 1.43  | 2.16  | 2.50  | 20.90  | 24.90  | 28.26  | 14.33  | 19.00  | 23.66  |
| T₃         | 28.60  | 35.96  | 46.76  | 1.13  | 1.83  | 2.06  | 16.33  | 22.76  | 25.13  | 12.33  | 16.33  | 19.33  |
| T₄         | 34.86  | 44.80  | 54.36  | 1.63  | 2.33  | 2.63  | 21.10  | 25.20  | 29.53  | 14.66  | 20.66  | 26.00  |
| T₅         | 35.33  | 46.20  | 55.20  | 1.66  | 2.33  | 2.70  | 21.30  | 26.16  | 30.26  | 15.66  | 22.00  | 27.00  |
| T₆         | 30.76  | 38.53  | 47.83  | 1.23  | 1.93  | 2.23  | 18.60  | 24.00  | 28.23  | 13.33  | 17.33  | 21.00  |
| T₇         | 37.96  | 48.63  | 59.00  | 1.90  | 2.50  | 2.96  | 23.53  | 27.16  | 31.93  | 17.66  | 24.66  | 29.66  |
| T₈         | 40.33  | 50.73  | 61.76  | 2.06  | 2.80  | 3.20  | 24.70  | 28.83  | 33.60  | 20.33  | 26.00  | 31.33  |
| T₉         | 36.33  | 35.70  | 57.30  | 1.80  | 2.43  | 2.93  | 22.90  | 26.40  | 31.66  | 17.00  | 23.00  | 29.00  |
| SED        | 0.08   | 0.03   | 0.28   | 0.00  | 0.01  | 0.00  | 0.15   | 0.23   | 0.12   | 0.03   | 0.09   | 0.16   |
| CD (0.05)  | 0.34   | 0.14   | 1.21   | 0.01  | 0.05  | 0.00  | 0.67   | 1.01   | 0.55   | 0.15   | 0.421  | 0.73   |
Table 3. Flower yield, kg.ha\textsuperscript{-1} and water use efficiency, kg.ha\textsuperscript{-1}mm\textsuperscript{-1} in different treatments

| Treatments | Yield/plant, kg | Yield, kg.ha\textsuperscript{-1} | ETc, mm | WUE, kg.ha\textsuperscript{-1}mm\textsuperscript{-1} |
|------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| T\textsubscript{1} | 0.83           | 46111                            | 198.77  | 231.98                                       |
| T\textsubscript{2} | 0.88           | 48888                            | 159.01  | 307.45                                       |
| T\textsubscript{3} | 0.75           | 41666                            | 123.04  | 338.64                                       |
| T\textsubscript{4} | 0.94           | 52222                            | 112.58  | 463.86                                       |
| T\textsubscript{5} | 0.98           | 54444                            | 90.06   | 604.53                                       |
| T\textsubscript{6} | 0.82           | 45555                            | 67.55   | 674.39                                       |
| T\textsubscript{7} | 1.10           | 61111                            | 101.08  | 604.58                                       |
| T\textsubscript{8} | 1.21           | 67222                            | 70.86   | 948.65                                       |
| T\textsubscript{9} | 1.05           | 58333                            | 65.65   | 888.54                                       |

4. CONCLUSION

Based upon present results, it can be concluded that use of growing media significantly increased the growth, yield and yield contributing characters in marigold under the protected environment. Plants under soil + coirpith 100% ETc had higher yield and water use efficiency, these results might be due to adequate moisture availability in the soil which might have increased various physiological processes, better plant nutrient uptake and higher rates of photosynthesis, which might reflect on higher flower weight.
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