The Relationship Between Oseltamivir and Suicide in Pediatric Patients
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE Studies examining the association between use of oseltamivir and neuropsychiatric events (including suicide) among children have had mixed findings and have been limited by small sample size, reliance on older data, and potential confounding. We undertook an analysis that addresses these limitations.

METHODS Using a national administrative claims database and a case-crossover design that minimized confounding, we analyzed data from 5 contemporary influenza seasons (2009-2013) for individuals aged 1 to 18 years and ascertained oseltamivir exposure from pharmacy dispensing.

RESULTS We identified 21,407 suicide-related events during this study period, 251 of which were in oseltamivir-exposed children. In case-crossover analysis, we did not find any significant association with suicide either for oseltamivir exposure (odds ratio = 0.64; 95% CI, 0.39-1.00; \( P = .05 \)) or for influenza diagnosis alone (odds ratio = 0.63; 95% CI, 0.34-1.08; \( P = .10 \)).

CONCLUSION Our findings suggest that oseltamivir does not increase risk of suicide in the pediatric population.

INTRODUCTION

Oseltamivir is an antiviral agent used to treat influenza types A and B. Originally approved in 1999, it is routinely prescribed in pediatric practice. About 4 in 10 oseltamivir prescriptions dispensed in the United States between 2005 and 2011 were to children aged 16 years or younger.¹

Case reports from the early 2000s raised concerns regarding the potential for psychiatric adverse events in children being given oseltamivir. Reported events included abnormal behavior, psychosis, and suicide, resulting in a change to the package insert in 2006 to include the risk of these events.² Published evidence of this association is not consistent, however. Secondary analyses of randomized controlled trials among pediatric patients and some observational cohort studies have not found an association.³⁵ Case reports, observational studies, and analyses of the Food and Drug Administration’s Adverse Event Reporting System do suggest a potential risk, however.⁶⁻⁸

Prior US observational studies of a link between oseltamivir and suicide have examined older data (through the early 2000s) or a single influenza season, and have included suicide only as a secondary outcome.⁴⁵ Moreover, these studies’ designs were unable to account for important clinical and sociodemographic variables, suggesting that findings may have been influenced by unmeasured confounding.

The main objective of this study was to assess whether there is an association between oseltamivir and suicide attempt in pediatric patients using data from more recent influenza seasons and a novel study design to address confounding concerns. We also assessed influenza alone as a possible confounding risk factor for pediatric suicide.
METHODS
We used a case-crossover design, whereby individual cases serve as their own control, to minimize confounding.\textsuperscript{9} Five influenza seasons (2009-2013), defined as October 1 of one year through April 30 of the subsequent year, were examined.

The study was conducted using the Truven MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounters database, an administrative claims database consisting of 50 million beneficiaries across all 50 states.\textsuperscript{10} The University of Illinois Institutional Review Board determined that the study did not meet the definition of human subjects research, and it was therefore exempted.

Inclusion criteria were an age of 1 to 18 years at the time of the suicide-related event (index), 6 months of prior continuous enrollment, and an inpatient or outpatient suicide diagnosis during the influenza season. Children were allowed to contribute to multiple influenza seasons. A suicide-related event was defined as presence of a first suicide-related International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code of E, denoting external cause of injury, or a code of V62.84, Suicidal Ideation.\textsuperscript{4}

We ascertained oseltamivir exposure from outpatient pharmacy dispensing and exposure duration from the number of days supplied that was listed in the claim. For each patient, we assigned the 10-day period immediately before the index event as the case period, and we identified up to 4 earlier control periods of the same length (Figure 1). As in case-control studies that match a single case to many controls, we used multiple control periods to improve precision (eg, obtain tighter CIs) and our ability to detect a potential effect.\textsuperscript{11} Control periods were selected from the same influenza season as the case period, with the number of control periods determined by the time available between start of the season and the event date. Exposure status was determined in both case and control periods. Durations of the case and control periods were selected based on typical oseltamivir dosing and half-life. In sensitivity analyses, the exposure and control periods were reduced (5-day exposure and control periods, 10 days of washout), and expanded (15-day exposure and control periods, 15 days of washout). We conducted an additional sensitivity analysis restricting the eligible event period to January 1 through March 31 of each influenza season to examine the effect of case-event timing during the season.

An observed association between oseltamivir and suicide could potentially be confounded by underlying influenza infection. To evaluate such potential confounding by indication, we performed a secondary analysis using influenza diagnosis alone (without oseltamivir use) as the exposure. The duration of influenza exposure was set at 7 days.

We calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals for the effects of oseltamivir (vs no oseltamivir) and influenza (vs no influenza) using conditional logistic regression analysis. Because of the case-based design, it was not necessary to adjust for covariates in this analysis. Results were stratified by hypothesized effect modifiers, measured over the 6-month baseline period before the event. Data management and analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS
We identified 21,047 individuals aged 1 to 18 years who attempted suicide during the study period and qualified for the analysis, of those, 251 were exposed to oseltamivir at the time. The mean age of this exposed

---

**Figure 1. Study design schematic.**
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Note: For a given analysis, all control time periods are equal to case periods in length, and all washout periods are the same duration.
group was approximately 15 years, and 61% were female. Underlying mental health diagnoses were common (65%).

In the primary analysis, the odds ratio of oseltamivir exposure during the case period before the event was 0.64 (95% CI, 0.39-1.00; \( P = .05 \)) (Table 1).

In the secondary analysis, we identified 162 individuals aged 1 to 18 years exposed only to influenza. Their characteristics were similar to those of the oseltamivir-exposed group. The odds ratio for suicide attempt for influenza-only exposure, vs no influenza, during the case period was 0.63 (95% CI, 0.34-1.08; \( P = .10 \)).

Effect estimates from sensitivity analyses that examined alternative case and control time periods were similar in magnitude and direction to those of the primary analysis. Two findings were statistically significant: a 15-day window analysis of oseltamivir exposure (OR = 0.57, 95% CI, 0.36-0.86; \( P = .007 \)) and a 5-day window analysis of influenza-only exposure, vs no influenza, during the case period was 0.63 (95% CI, 0.34-1.08; \( P = .10 \)).

Effect estimates from sensitivity analyses that examined alternative case and control time periods were similar in magnitude and direction to those of the primary analysis. Two findings were statistically significant: a 15-day window analysis of oseltamivir exposure (OR = 0.57, 95% CI, 0.36-0.86; \( P = .007 \)) and a 5-day window analysis of influenza-only exposure, vs no influenza, during the case period was 0.63 (95% CI, 0.34-1.08; \( P = .10 \)).

Effect estimates from sensitivity analyses that examined alternative case and control time periods were similar in magnitude and direction to those of the primary analysis. Two findings were statistically significant: a 15-day window analysis of oseltamivir exposure (OR = 0.57, 95% CI, 0.36-0.86; \( P = .007 \)) and a 5-day window analysis of influenza-only exposure, vs no influenza, during the case period was 0.63 (95% CI, 0.34-1.08; \( P = .10 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

We did not find a significantly increased risk between suicide-related events and the use of oseltamivir. This result is consistent with those from previous studies that used different study designs, and contrasts with the warning in the package insert.\(^2,4,5\) The association for influenza-only exposure was of similar direction and magnitude as that for oseltamivir exposure, suggesting no confounding by indication of underlying influenza.

The findings of our study did not change in the sensitivity analyses. Although we found a statistically significant reduction in risk with some alternative case and control time periods, results should be interpreted with caution. For instance, the 15-day window analysis of oseltamivir, although biologically plausible, extends the drug’s effect duration to its extreme. This extension may lead to an exaggeration of the effect due to misclassification of the case period as “exposed.”

By leveraging the case-crossover design, we were able to account for within-person confounders (eg,
trauma, abuse, and baseline psychiatric status) and time-invariant confounders (eg, race), irrespective of their availability in the data. This design addresses drawbacks of previous studies examining neuropsychiatric effects of oseltamivir.

Our results are subject to a number of limitations, however. Suicide-related events may be underidentified, as claims data capture only events that result in a billed medical encounter. Patients with recorded events may be less likely to use health care in the days immediately preceding the event, potentially resulting in the observed null effect. Although case reports suggest a possible higher oseltamivir-related risk in the Asian population, we were unable to examine effect modification by race because the data set does not capture this characteristic. Our decision to define the influenza season according to the same dates across years, while unlikely to introduce bias into the study results, does not reflect the true variability in season duration and may have artificially restricted our sample size. Finally, although we made multiple comparisons, we did not make corrections for multiple testing. As the main study finding was the lack of significant effect size and CIs, however, when assessing potential harms.

Despite the above limitations, our results suggest that oseltamivir does not increase the risk of suicide in the pediatric population.
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