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ABSTRACT
Four truncated porcine aminopeptidase N (pAPN, a cellular receptor for porcine coronaviruses) proteins were expressed in prokaryotic cells. The recognizing of a specific serum against pAPN to these proteins was investigated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and immunoblotting. The binding ability of the proteins to transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), a porcine coronavirus, was analyzed by ELISA. The inhibitory effect of these proteins to cell infection by TGEV was analyzed using plaque assays. Our data indicate that three truncated pAPNs positively reacted with the specific antisera and the major binding regions of pAPN were limited in regions 36aa–223aa, 349aa–591aa and 592–963aa. The proteins showed discrepant binding activity to either pAPN antibody or TGE virions. Moreover, the truncated proteins blocked the infection of cells by TGEV to different extent. The results suggest that the major antibody-binding domains of pAPN may associate with the receptor-binding determinants. The role of APN is discussed in the context of virus receptor usage.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
Aminopeptidase N (APN) is a kind of membrane-bound metalloproteinase (Delmas et al., 1994). It is a type II glycoprotein of about 150–160 kDa, in molecular weight and its large extracellular carboxy-terminal domain contains a pentapeptide catalytic sequence (His-Glu-X-X-His), characteristic of zinc metalloprotease (Hooper, 1994). APN is distributed on the surface of diverse cell lines, for example, it is expressed on the plasma membranes of granulocytes, lymphocytes, monocytes, fibroblasts and synaptic membrane in the central nervous system (Miguel et al., 2002). It is highly expressed on the surface of the brush border membrane of the enterocytes, where it participates in the final steps of digestion by cleaving peptides preferentially after N-terminal neutral amino acids. It has been suggested that APN is involved in modulating signals of bioactive peptides in the brain (Delmas et al., 1994).

APN acts as a cellular receptor for several coronaviruses. It is documented that human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E) and feline infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV) uses human APN (hAPN, also called CD13) and feline APN (fAPN) as their respective receptor. Transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) is a porcine coronavirus, which causes severe diarrhea and high mortality rate in seronegative pigs (Ren et al., 2008). The enveloped virus consists of a positive single-strand RNA approximately 28.5-kb in size. TGEV has four major structural proteins: the spike (S), the integral membrane (M) protein, the nucleocapsid (N) protein and a small envelope protein (sM) (Spaan et al., 1988; Laude et al., 1993; Penzes et al., 2001).

TGEV S protein plays important roles in initiating cell infection by interacting with porcine APN, pAPN (Gebauer et al., 1991; Enjuanes et al., 1992; Schwegmann-Wessels et al., 2003; Ren et al., 2008) and sialic acid residues (Krempl et al., 1997, 2000; Krempl and Herrler, 2001). The pAPN has been identified as a functional receptor for TGEV, although this virus can also use fAPN as another receptor (Delmas et al., 1992; Tresnan and Holmes, 1998). At present, the role of APN in coronavirus infection is not fully understood.

In the current study, four truncated pAPN proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli (E. coli). Their reactivity with anti-pAPN antibody was analyzed by ELISA and Western blot. We found that one of the truncated pAPN proteins had the lowest reactivity with an anti-pAPN antibody compared with other truncated pAPN proteins and whole mature pAPN. At the same time, it was not recognized by the antibody in Western blot. Interestingly, the tentative antibody-binding determinants showed a discrepant binding to TGE virions. Moreover, the dissimilar binding ability was also related with their inhibitory effect on cell infection by TGEV.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells, virus and other reagents

Swine testis (ST) cells were grown in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) containing 10% newborn bovine serum (NBS,
Table 1
Primer information used in the study.

| Primer pairs | PCR product in length (bp) |
|--------------|---------------------------|
| Sense 5′-GGGGGGATCCAGAGGAACAGAATGGCC-3′ | T/pAPN1 939 |
| Antisense 5′-CCCCCTCGATGTGGGCAAGCGGATGAGT-3′ | |
| Sense 5′-GGGGGGATCATCATAGAGGAGGGAAGCGTCAAC-3′ | T/pAPN2 375 |
| Antisense 5′-CCCCCTCGATGTGGGCAAGCGGATGAGT-3′ | |
| Sense 5′-GGGGGGATCATCATAGAGGAGGGAAGCGTCAAC-3′ | T/pAPN3 729 |
| Antisense 5′-CCCCCTCGATGTGGGCAAGCGGATGAGT-3′ | |
| Sense 5′-GGGGGGATCATCATAGAGGAGGGAAGCGTCAAC-3′ | T/pAPN4 1116 |
| Antisense 5′-CCCCCTCGATGTGGGCAAGCGGATGAGT-3′ | |
| Sense 5′-GGGGGGATCATCATAGAGGAGGGAAGCGTCAAC-3′ | pAPN 2784 |
| Antisense 5′-CCCCCTCGATGTGGGCAAGCGGATGAGT-3′ | |

2.2. Construction of recombinant plasmids

The recombinant plasmid, pcDNA-APN encoding full-length pAPN was provided by Dr. Georg Herrler (Institute for Virology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Hannover, Germany) and used as a template for subsequent PCR amplification. The sequence of pAPN gene has been deposited in the GenBank database of NCBI (KeyGen Biotech, Nanjing, China). Other reagents were molecular biology grade products.

2.3. Expression and purification of pAPN in E. coli

The above-mentioned recombinant plasmids were transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3)plySs (Novagen, Germany) and the transformed cells were cultured in Luria bertani (LB) medium containing Ampicillin (50 μg/ml) at 37 °C with shaking until the optical density (OD) of the culture at 600 nm reached 0.5. Isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) was then added into the culture to a final concentration of 1 mM to induce pAPN expression at 37 °C for 6 h. The empty vector-transformed culture was used as control.

The purification and renaturation of inclusion bodies were performed as previously described (Liu et al., 2009). Briefly, the bacteria were pelleted at 8000 × g, at 4 °C for 5 min. The pellets were re-suspended in buffer I (50 mM Tris and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) followed by digestion with lysozyme (100 μg/ml) and a final concentration of 1 mM to induce pAPN expression at 37 °C for 6 h. The empty vector-transformed culture was used as control.

To analyze the binding activity of virus and the pAPN proteins, TGEV Purdue 46-MAD was included in indirect ELISA. The procedure for the ELISA was performed as above, in addition to using purified TGEV particles (2 μg/well) as coating antigen followed by 100 μl of serum that had been preincubated with 2 μg/well of pAPN. The absorbance was read using an ELISA reader.
Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the truncated pAPN constructs and functional activities. Five recombinant plasmids bearing either the signal peptide sequence-deleted pAPN (pAPN) or four truncated pAPN (T/pAPNs) were constructed using conventional molecular cloning techniques. The name and insert length of the constructs are indicated. Their ability in binding to either an anti-pAPN antibody or TGE virions are indicated inferred from the results of Western blot, plaque assays or ELISA. “+” means positive reaction or effect; “−” means negative result. The infection inhibition rate shows the maximum inhibition ability of the pAPN proteins to cell infection by TGEV. It should be noted that the sizes of the lines are not proportional to the length of the amino acid chain. The details on the inhibition ability of the pAPN proteins to TGEV infection or binding to the antibody or virions are shown in other figures.

by the successive adding of the pAPN proteins (10 μg/well), anti-pAPN antibody as well as HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. For ELISA, a negative control rabbit serum was included. The rabbit was immunized with infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) S1 protein. The protein was expressed, purified and renatured in the same way as the pAPN proteins. The ELISA results were judged by comparing the value between the positive OD400 value of the sample (P)/negative OD400 value of control (N). The P/N value > 2 was regarded as positive.

2.7. Blockade of virus infection by the pAPNs

The blocking activity of the proteins to TGEV infection was evaluated. TGEV (1 × 10^6 pfu/ml) was incubated with the pAPN proteins diluted in serum-free medium at 37 °C for 1 h, and then the treated viruses at an multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 were infected ST cells seeded in 6-well plates at 37 °C. Virus infected cells, mock-infected cells, and viruses treated with IBV S1 protein expressed in the same expression system were included as controls. The wells were subjected to plaque assays as previously described with minor modifications (Li et al., 2009; Sui et al., 2010). Briefly, the inoculums were replaced with 1% methylcellulose in DMEM, after 1 h absorption of the viruses. Then, the cells were cultured for 48–72 h. After the overlay medium was removed, the cells were washed three times with PBS and fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Then, the cells were stained with 1% crystal violet (v/v) diluted in 5% ethanol for 20 min at room temperature. The clear plaque number was counted post-washing. All the experiments were performed in triplicate.

3. Results

3.1. Cloning of truncated pAPN genes

The recombinant plasmids encoding five domains in the pAPN were constructed by conventional DNA recombination technique. The correctness of the inserts was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The identified recombinant plasmids encoding the fragments (106–2889 nt), (106–1044 nt), (670–1044 nt), (1045–1773 nt), and (1774–2889 nt) in the full-length pAPN gene were designated as pAPN, truncated (T)/pAPN1, T/pAPN2, T/pAPN3 and T/pAPN4, respectively. The schematic drawing of the pAPN proteins was shown in Fig. 1.

3.2. Immunoblotting analysis of expressed pAPNs

The expression of the pAPN has been reported (Liu et al., 2009). Four truncated pAPN proteins were expressed in the form of inclusion bodies in E. coli. The expressed proteins were isolated in SDS-PAGE, purified by gel-purification and renatured. Subsequently, they were transferred onto the NC membrane and identified by specific antibody against pAPN. As shown in Fig. 2, in addition to T/pAPN2, other truncated pAPN proteins were detectable in the Western blot analysis.

3.3. Protein-based ELISA

To confirm the results from Western blot, indirect ELISA was performed using the recombinant proteins as detected antigens, followed by the addition of the primary antibody and HRP-labeled secondary antibody. The results showed that truncated T/pAPN1, 3 and 4 proteins had stronger reactivity with the specific antiserum than T/pAPN2; however, the pAPN had the highest reaction ability with the antibody (Fig. 3).

3.4. Binding of the truncated pAPN proteins to TGEV

Using indirect ELISA, the binding activity between the truncated pAPN proteins and TGEV was analyzed. The results showed that all the truncated proteins reacted with TGEV particles; however, the binding activity between T/pAPN2 was the lowest among the pAPN proteins tested in this study (Fig. 4).

3.5. Effect of pAPN proteins on TGEV infection in vitro

The inhibitory effect of the truncated pAPN proteins to cell infection by TGEV was further investigated. Virus plaque-reduction

Fig. 2. Immunoblotting analysis of the pAPN proteins. After the truncated pAPN proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, the membrane was incubated with the anti-pAPN antibody and followed by the incubation of HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. The blot result is shown.
The purpose of the current study allows the identification of the functional domains of pAPN. In an earlier study, we expressed the full-length pAPN without the signal peptide and the protein has been used to raise specific polyclonal antiserum (Liu et al., 2009). In this study, we expressed four truncated proteins covering different regions of the whole mature pAPN. The recognizing of the anti-pAPN antibody to the proteins indicated that three regions, 36aa–223aa, 349aa–591aa and 592–963aa were the major antibody-binding domains. This was firstly identified by Western blot and then confirmed by ELISA. The bacterial expression system is very optimal for protein expression due to its advantages consisting of low cost, convenience and high fermentation potential and numerous heterologous proteins have been expressed in this system (Kataoka et al., 2004; Hamann and Lange, 2006; Yin et al., 2007; Hino et al., 2008; Cai et al., 2009; Ren et al., 2010a,b; Li et al., 2010; Meng et al., 2010). In this study, the specificity of the polyclonal antibody raised against the bacterially expressed pAPN was clarified by including a control rabbit antibody generated by immunizing IBV S1 protein expressed in the same way as the pAPN proteins. It is known that pAPN serves as a cellular receptor for several group I coronaviruses including HCoV-229E, TGEV, FIPV and canine coronavirus (CCV) (Delmas et al., 1992; Yeager et al., 1992; Tresnan et al., 1996). Therefore, in addition to investigation on the binding between the truncated proteins with anti-pAPN antibody, the binding ability between TGE virions and the proteins was compared. Our results indicated that other three truncated pAPN proteins had stronger binding activity than T/pApN2, suggesting the binding domains of pAPN identified in this study are important functional sites for recognizing antibody and interacting with TGEV. Since the truncated pAPN proteins react with the pAPN antibody to different extent, the identified domains in the pAPN may represent different epitopes in the pAPN.

The TGEV S protein is responsible for initiating the cell infection by interacting with the cellular receptor, pAPN. In this study, we analyzed the neutralizing effect of the truncated pAPN on cell infection by TGEV. Our data showed that the different amino acid regions had discrepant inhibition abilities. Among the four truncated pAPNs, the region (T/pApN1), amino acids 36–348 showed the best inhibitory effect on TGEV infection, and this region also had the strongest binding activity with the anti-pAPN serum and viruses. Therefore, we suppose that this region should contain the major receptor sites for TGEV and neutralization determinants. Because this region encompasses the T/pApN2 that showed a poor binding activity, the determinant should be localized in the amino acids 36–223. It has been shown that, analogously to the human APN protein, a region within the amino-terminal part of the feline APN protein (encompassing amino acids 132–295) is essential for its receptor function of human CoV (Kolb et al., 1997). There are some overlaid regions between the identified determinants among pAPN, hAPN and fAPN. At the same time, the APN sequences from different species share a high homologous identity (70–80% amino acid identity) (Tusell et al., 2007). Therefore, the binding determinant domains of pAPN may be also important in the counterpart of hAPN and fAPN. As far as the ability of the pAPN proteins to neutralize TGEV infection in vitro is concerned, the current results, together with our previous finding indicated that both full-length pAPN and the amino-terminal part of pAPN were able to neutralize TGEV completely (Liu et al., 2009). However, the binding activity of the truncated pAPNs to TGEV or pAPN-antiserum was lower than matured pAPN protein in our ELISA analysis. This result gave rise to another possibility that the complementation of other domains in the pAPN may be required in virus neutralization and antibody binding. In addition, it has been proved that four major antigenic sites of TGEV S protein is in the half of its amino terminus (S1), it would be therefore interesting to analyze the interaction between TGEV S1 and the truncated pAPN proteins in the future.

Most APN proteins serve as a species-specific receptor for coronaviruses, for example, human APN only mediates the infection of human coronavirus 229E, and pAPN exclusively mediates TGEV infection (Hegyi and Kolb, 1998). This principle is not always true for several APN proteins in terms of their roles as species-specific receptors for coronaviruses. Transfection of FAPN into cells renders the infection of TGEV, it is therefore suggestive that FAPN is another receptor for TGEV (Tresnan et al., 1996; Kolbet al., 1997). It has been reported that aa 704–831 of FAPN was required for entry of TGEV, feline coronavirus (FCoV), and CCV, particularly, mutational analysis of APN indicated that the amino acid region 732–746 in FAPN is indispensable for TGEV entry (Tusell et al., 2007). In our study, the homologous region in pAPN was identified as one of the major
binding regions (T/pAPN4) in ELISA, and it blocked TGEV infection in vitro, confirming the importance of the functional domain. Previously, FAPN has been identified as a receptor for an avian coronavirus, namely, infectious bronchitis virus (IBV). The assertion was based on the observation that the hamster kidney fibroblasts became permissive to IBV strain Ark 99 after transfection with a FAPN cDNA (Miguel et al., 2002). Interestingly, both transient transfection and stable expression of FAPN on the same cell line (BHK cells) rescued FIPV and TGEV infection in non-permissive BHK cells; however, FAPN expression did not rescue infection by the prototype IBV strain Mass41 (Chu et al., 2007). In another report, TGEV was found to use a human/bovine APN chimera as a receptor, although it is unable to replicate in bovine cells (Benbacer et al., 1997). These paradoxical results complicate the delineation of APN as a cellular receptor for virus infection. Additionally, there are amino acid differences in the APN proteins from different species, therefore, more virus strains, cell lines as well as related receptor genes should be analyzed to completely elucidate the mechanism of coronavirus infection in the context of receptor usage.
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