Hydrophobic interaction chromatography of proteins: Studies of unfolding upon adsorption by isothermal titration calorimetry
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Heat of adsorption is an excellent measure for adsorption strength and, therefore, very useful to study the influence of salt and temperature in hydrophobic interaction chromatography. The adsorption of bovine serum albumin and β-lactoglobulin to Toyopearl Butyl-650 M was studied with isothermal titration calorimetry to follow the unfolding of proteins on hydrophobic surfaces. Isothermal titration calorimetry is established as an experimental method to track conformational changes of proteins on stationary phases. Experiments were carried out at two different salt concentrations and five different temperatures. Protein unfolding, as indicated by large changes of molar enthalpy of adsorption \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \), was observed to be dependent on temperature and salt concentration. \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) were significantly higher for bovine serum albumin and ranged from 578 (288 K) to 811 (308 K) kJ/mol for 1.2 mol/kg ammonium sulfate. \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) for β-lactoglobulin ranged from 129 kJ/mol (288 K) to 186 kJ/mol (308 K). For both proteins, \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) increased with increasing temperature. The influence of salt concentration on \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) was also more pronounced for bovine serum albumin than for β-lactoglobulin. The comparison of retention analysis evaluated by the van’t Hoff algorithm shows that beyond adsorption other processes occur simultaneously. Further interpretation such as unfolding upon adsorption needs other in situ techniques.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Hydrophobic stationary phases can induce conformational changes of proteins adsorbed to the surface [1]. The extent of these changes is governed by factors such as salt concentration and temperature. The occurrence of conformational changes can be a drawback, especially for the purification of proteins on a preparative scale [2–5]. This requires an in-depth characterization of chromatographic media under operating conditions [6]. Various methods such as pulse response experiments, attenuated total reflectance (ATR) FTIR spectroscopy [7], hydrogen–deuterium exchange as analyzed by MS [8,9], circular dichroism and Raman spectroscopy as well as isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) have been used to investigate conformational changes. Kinetics for this so called “protein spreading” has been determined for the adsorption of BSA and β-lactoglobulin to Toyopearl Butyl-650 M [10]. Very recently, nano differential scanning fluorimetry has been employed to characterize stability of proteins in the adsorbed state [11].

In the last decade, ITC has become an essential method to investigate structure and interaction mechanisms in the adsorbed state [12–16]. Chen and his group have established a method to measure thermodynamic quantities of proteins adsorbed to HIC sorbents with ITC [17–20]. They studied the adsorption of various proteins to Butyl- and Octyl-Sepharose
and to butyl- and phenyl-derivatized Toyopearl 650 M resins. Overviews about the large spectrum of ITC applications, which have been extensively used for studying protein–protein and protein–peptide interactions, are given in [21–23].

Recent investigations with ITC corroborated earlier studies conducted with pulse response experiments and ATR FTIR spectroscopy with respect to protein unfolding upon adsorption onto a hydrophobic surface [2,7]. There were discrepancies in the values of the specific enthalpy of adsorption ($\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$) determined from van’t Hoff analysis and from calorimetric measurements [24,25]. Discrepancies between $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ ($h$) and $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ ($\text{ITC}$) with respect to the adsorption of proteins to a hydrophobic surface were attributed to the fact that van’t Hoff plots are based on a reversible equilibrium process whereas ITC measures the sum of a variety of enthalpy changes including protein adsorption, dilution effects, and conformational changes [20].

We obtained the thermodynamic parameters using two different commercially available calorimeters at various temperatures. This approach was chosen because there is generally a lack of replicate data in the determination of adsorption as in many cases, data from single measurements are presented. Additionally, contrary to liquid/liquid systems, no standard test reaction for solid adsorption has been established.

A recent publication proposed that the discrepancies between calorimetrically determined $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ values and those derived from nonlinear van’t Hoff plots arise from conformational changes of the protein induced by binding to the hydrophobic surface [26]. In an extension of these observations, we have investigated the adsorption of two model proteins, BSA and $\beta$-lactoglobulin, to Toyopearl Butyl-650 M, a material where conformational changes cannot be detected by ATR FTIR spectroscopy due to the lack of translucency of its base matrix. Here, calorimetric investigations are employed to circumvent this drawback for the characterization of polymethacrylate-based media. Isothermal titration calorimetry measurements of the adsorption to Toyopearl Butyl-650 M are correlated with the results of unfolding data determined by pulse response experiments to extract information about possible conformational changes.

Both BSA and $\beta$-lactoglobulin are classical model proteins and both their adsorption behavior and their tendency to unfold in HIC is well investigated [7]. These proteins do not have the huge economic impact for the biotech industry that antibodies for example have. Yet for a fundamental research study like ours, it is more appropriate to use model proteins, for which a lot of data is available in the literature to be able to put the obtained results into context with previous findings.

While BSA is a relatively big serum protein having mainly alpha helical structure, with a molecular weight of 66 kDa which tends to form larger aggregates, $\beta$-lactoglobulin, the major whey protein in cow and sheep milk, has predominantly a $\beta$-sheet secondary structure and a molecular weight of only 18 kDa. It is present mainly in its dimeric form at neutral pH. In terms of the adiabatic compressibility and their behavior in HIC, BSA is referred to as a “soft” protein having a higher tendency to unfold upon adsorption in HIC than $\beta$-lactoglobulin, which has lower compressibility and is thus considered more “rigid” than BSA [27]. Based on this knowledge, it was of interest to investigate in more detail, if and how pronounced this behavior would manifest itself in terms of energies.

It was also an additional goal to monitor a total set of experiments to see if an influence of a chemically different base matrix (methacrylate- versus agarose-based polymer) could be detected.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Instrumentation

For batch adsorption experiments, the Stuart SB3 tube rotator from Barloworld Scientific (Staffordshire, UK) was used. Batch adsorption experiments were performed at a defined temperature in the 2023 Minicoldlab from LKB Bromma (Sweden). For ITC experiments, the Thermal Activity Monitor (TAM) III nanocalorimeter from Thermometric AB (Järfälla, Sweden), and the VP-ITC calorimeter from MicroCal (Northampton, USA) were used. Before the measurements, samples were degassed in the Thermovac from MicroCal (Northampton, USA).

2.2 | Stationary phases

Butyl Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (FF) was purchased from GE Healthcare (Uppsala, Sweden). Toyopearl Butyl-650 M was acquired from Tosoh Bioscience (Stuttgart, Germany). For blank experiments, underivatized stationary phases were used: Sepharose 4 Fast Flow was available from Pharmacia (Uppsala, Sweden). Toyopearl without ligand (Toyopearl HW-65) was purchased from Tosoh Bioscience (Stuttgart, Germany).

2.3 | Buffers and proteins

(NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ at different molalities (0.7 and 1.2 mol/kg) was added to a 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer. A pH of 7.3 was used. All buffers were filtered through 0.22 µm filters manufactured by Millipore (Bedford, USA). The reagents were acquired from Merck (Vienna, Austria) and were of analytical grade. BSA (A6003; essentially fatty acid free) and $\beta$-lactoglobulin (L3908) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Vienna, Austria). For calorimeter standard tests, BaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O was purchased from Merck (Vienna, Austria) and 18-crown-6 ether was acquired from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland).
2.4 | Batch adsorption experiments

Batch adsorption experiments were used to determine equilibrium adsorption isotherms. Protein solutions of different concentrations and specified amounts of stationary phase slurry with a starting resin concentration of 50% v/v were incubated together in 1.5 mL tubes under constant mixing for 3 h at 14 rpm. After 15 min settling time, the supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter. Residual protein concentration in the supernatant was measured at 280 nm with a Varian Cary50 UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Palo Alto, USA). The amount of bound protein was determined by mass balance.

2.5 | ITC measurements

The TAM III nanocalorimeter was equilibrated for 24–48 h at each measuring temperature to achieve a stable baseline. Before each experiment, the TAM III was calibrated with a dynamic calibration. Glass ampoules (1 mL) held each sample and a gold propeller turning at 120 rpm was used for stirring. Proteins were injected with a Hamilton syringe (250 µL) fitted with a stainless-steel cannula. The stationary phase was suspended in buffer (1:4), and 800 µL of slurry was placed into the sample and reference ampoules. Additional buffer (50 µL) was added to the reference ampoule. The injection syringe was filled with protein solution (approximately 6 mg/mL). Exact protein concentration was determined by UV spectroscopy at 280 nm. Stationary phase slurry and protein solutions were carefully degassed; the slurries were not allowed to stir to avoid grinding of the particles.

For the VP-ITC calorimeter, the working volume of the cells was 1.42 mL. The sample cell was filled with resin slurry (1:4) and the same volume of degassed HPLC grade water was added to the reference cell. Protein solution was drawn into a 250 µL syringe, and titrations were conducted by the addition of 10 µL aliquots to the suspended stationary phase in the sample cell under a stirring rate of 502 rpm (using Toyopearl Butyl-650 M and Toyopearl HW-65) and 307 rpm (for Butyl Sepharose 4 FF and Sepharose Fast Flow). The higher stirring rate for Toyopearl HW-65) and 307 rpm (for Butyl Sepharose 4 FF and Sepharose Fast Flow). The reference power was 5 µcal/s with a filter period of 2 s. Consecutive injections of 10 µL were used for both calorimeters. For a protein concentration of 6 mg/mL, one injection of 10 µL corresponded to an average amount of 1 nmol BSA and 3.3 nmol β-lactoglobulin.

Time spacing between the injections was adjusted to allow the signal to return to the baseline. Between experiments, the system was thoroughly cleaned with detergent (Decon90), ethanol, and HPLC-grade water. For evaluation of the performance of the calorimeters, the titration of BaCl2·2H2O to 18-crown-6 ether was carried out on both calorimeters according to Caldwell and Yan [28] and values for Δh_{ads} showed good agreement with values from the literature. Measurements on TAM III were evaluated with the TAM Assistant Software™. Measurements on the VP-ITC were evaluated with Origin®5.0/Origin®7.0. Data was evaluated by manual integration and fitting by PeakFit™. Performance of common fits such as the one binding site model was not possible, because the actual number of binding sites to the resin was not known.

3 | THEORY

3.1 | Adsorption isotherm

Adsorption isotherm experimental data used for determination of the equilibrium constant $K_{eq}$ is generally fitted using the Langmuir equation:

$$q = q_{max} \frac{K_a C}{1 + K_a C}$$

(1)

where $K_a$ expresses the protein binding affinity, $C$ denotes the protein concentration in the mobile phase under equilibrium conditions, and $q$ the protein concentration adsorbed per unit stationary phase. We extrapolate $q/C$ to infinite dilution for the calculation of $K_{eq}$:

$$K_{eq} = \lim_{C \to 0} \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial C} \right)$$

(2)

$K_{eq}$ has been used for the calculation of the Gibbs energy change associated with the adsorption of a protein to a stationary phase, $\Delta G_{ads}$, in numerous publications [29–32]:

$$\Delta G_{ads} = -RT \ln(K_{eq})$$

(3)

where $R$ is the universal gas constant and $T$ is the temperature.

3.2 | Isothermal titration calorimetry

An isothermal titration measurement can provide values for the binding enthalpy $\Delta H_{ads}$, the equilibrium binding constant $K_{eq}$, the stoichiometry $n$ of the reaction, and consequently the entropy change $\Delta S_{ads}$, and free energy change $\Delta G_{ads}$. If the calorimetric measurements are carried out within temperature range, the change in heat capacity at constant pressure, $\Delta c_p$, can also be determined [13].

The enthalpy change associated with the adsorption of a protein to a stationary phase, $\Delta H_{ads}$, can be determined directly using ITC or in an indirect way using van't Hoff analysis which considers the temperature dependency of the equilibrium constant. In ITC, the heat $Q$, resulting from protein adsorption to a stationary phase, is calculated by integrating
the power $P$ associated with the interaction of protein and adsorbent over time $t$:

$$Q_{\text{ads}} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} P \cdot dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$Q_{\text{ads}}$ is related to the specific enthalpy of adsorption, $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ by:

$$\Delta h_{\text{ads}} = \frac{Q_{\text{ads}}}{V \cdot q}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$V$ is the volume of stationary phase used.

### 3.3 Heat of dilution

In ITC, the heat of dilution must be accounted for. The most conventional method is to individually subtract $(\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{prot}}$, $(\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{gel}}$, and $(\Delta H_{\text{ads}})_{\text{ion}}$ from $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$, where $(\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{prot}}$ denotes the heat of dilution of the protein, $(\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{gel}}$ the heat of dilution of the stationary phase, and $(\Delta H_{\text{ads}})_{\text{ion}}$ the heat associated with ion adsorption. To determine these heats of dilution for experiments in this study, protein solutions were titrated to the corresponding base matrix lacking the butyl ligand. This way, the heat of dilution could be determined with a single experiment and gave a value that was not influenced by the surface ligand. In other words, we replaced the “classical” way of determining the heat of dilution:

$$\Delta H_{\text{ads}} = (\Delta H_{\text{ads}})_{\text{prot}} - (\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{gel}}$$

by applying:

$$\Delta H_{\text{ads}} = (\Delta H_{\text{ads}})_{\text{prot}} - (\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{total}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$(\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{total}}$ is the combined sum of $(\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{prot}}$, $(\Delta H_{\text{ads}})_{\text{ion}}$, and the heat of dilution of the base matrix, $(\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{base matrix}}$.

It is worth mentioning that the value obtained in this way not only describes the heat of dilution as explained above, but it would also include adsorption enthalpy contributions from any interactions potentially occurring between the sample molecule and the base material. To address this, the value obtained in these blank experiments is described as $\Delta H_{\text{blank}}$ rather than $(\Delta H_{\text{dil}})_{\text{total}}$ in Section 4. As long as the resulting value is relatively small compared to the overall $\Delta H_{\text{ads}}$ of the protein and the stationary phase, it can be concluded that these unspecific interactions are negligible. If, however, uncharacteristically large values are observed in these blank experiments, this would be a strong indication that unspecific interactions between the sample proteins and the stationary phase base material might superimpose the actual enthalpies intrinsic to hydrophobic interaction and consequently have a significant influence on the data.

Finally, for calculation of the entropy change associated with the adsorption of a protein to a stationary phase, $\Delta S_{\text{ads}}$, the fundamental property relation for the Gibbs energy is used:

$$\Delta G_{\text{ads}} = \Delta H_{\text{ads}} - T \Delta S_{\text{ads}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

### 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Equilibrium binding isotherms

For many adsorption systems, the Langmuir isotherm model, which assumes adsorption of solutes as a monolayer at equivalent sites without interaction, has been found to be an adequate description. We obtained a series of equilibrium binding isotherms, from which we could extract information on the equilibrium constant $K_{\text{eq}}$ and further apply that value to calculation of the Gibbs free energy, $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}$, for thermodynamic analysis. The amount of protein bound $q$ was estimated from the initial slope of the isotherm (Figure 1). The concentration of protein in the stationary phase, $q$, is plotted against the concentration of protein in the liquid phase, $C_{\text{in}}$. With stationary phase, solely the volume of the beads excluding the void fraction is meant. A careful determination of the initial slope is necessary to quantify the adsorbed protein. For this purpose, protein adsorption can also be fitted with a “physically less justified” model, as discussed in Blaschke et al. [33].

Using the Langmuir equation for describing adsorption in HIC is sometimes questioned, since it is one of the assumptions for this model that no conformational changes occur in the sample molecule.

Yet, Figure 1 illustrates that the only case, where protein adsorption in this study could not be accurately approximated by the Langmuir model was the adsorption of BSA in the intermediate range of protein concentration in the mobile phase. This indicates that additional processes such as unfolding, reorientation, and/or aggregation may simultaneously occur during the protein adsorption, shifting adsorption behavior from mono- to multilayer [34]. However, for the region investigated by calorimetry at very low mobile phase concentrations, application of the Langmuir fit can be justified. The adsorption behavior of $\beta$-lactoglobulin to Toyopearl Butyl-650 M at 1.2 mol/kg (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ concentration could be accurately described with the Langmuir model (Figure 1B, D, and F) over the complete range investigated.

While for BSA, both the shape of the isotherm as well as the observed equilibrium binding capacity remained almost unchanged with increasing temperatures (Figure 1A, C, and E), for $\beta$-lactoglobulin, the isotherm became visibly more rectangular and the observed values for the equilibrium binding capacity increased considerably at higher temperature.
This behavior might again be related to the adiabatic compressibility of the proteins. If to a certain extent, conformational changes are necessary to promote more efficient binding to the stationary phase in HIC, than it could be the case, that for a softer protein like BSA, these changes occur already at lower temperatures, while for the more rigid β-lactoglobulin, higher temperatures are necessary to induce these changes.

### 4.2 Calorimetric measurements

The term $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ is used for the specific net molar enthalpy of adsorption determined in the experiments and this term includes the actual heat of adsorption as well as additional contributions. To account for the addition of protein to an excess of stationary phase, we preferred presenting enthalpies as function of added protein instead of protein adsorbed, “$q$".
We used calorimetric measurements not only to determine the enthalpies of adsorption but to investigate the contributions of unfolding by comparing it with van’t Hoff plots. Experiments were conducted with the VP-ITC calorimeter due to shorter equilibration and spacing times. Due to the fact that ITC measurements of adsorption systems are predominantly carried out as single measurements, it was also of high interest to evaluate the reproducibility of this sensitive method.

Measurements were conducted in the linear range of the isotherm. Protein was titrated into an excess of suspended stationary phase, so that we could assume complete binding of protein. To prevent sedimentation of the beads, a relatively high stirring rate was chosen (see more information in the experimental section). As indicated in the theory section, values for $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ were determined only in the Henry’s law region of the isotherm (Supporting information Figure S3).

It is known that HIC processes can be driven by enthalpy, entropy, or both, dependent on the salt conditions, stationary phase, and loading [35]. For all investigated systems in this study, the adsorption enthalpies were endothermic which indicates an entropically driven process. As expected from calorimetric studies of other HIC systems, the heat flows and the resulting adsorption enthalpies increased substantially with increasing temperature [20,26,36].

More detailed information on the experiments as well as depictions of the heat flow in the ITC experiments can be found in the Supporting information.

### 4.2.1 Effect of base matrix

Dilution experiments were examined according to Eq. (7). Protein was titrated to non-derivatized base matrix. It resulted in small exothermal enthalpy values within the respective protein-stationary phase system. For the titration of BSA to the methacrylate-based Toyopearl matrix HW-65 at 298 K, average values for $\Delta h_{\text{blank}}$ were $-17.0$ kJ per mole of protein added at 1.2 mol/kg (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ and $-17.9$ kJ/mol at 0.7 mol/kg salt, respectively. For the interaction with $\beta$-lactoglobulin, $\Delta h_{\text{blank}}$ values were less exothermic as presented in Table 1, showing that the interaction is unspecific within the salt system but depending on the protein. This finding is also in accordance with To and Lenhoff’s observation that base matrices “can interact with the protein and affect selectivity and protein recovery” [4].

From these observations we can assume that interactions between protein and base matrix are not significantly influenced by the salt concentration. At the conditions examined in this study, $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ is only slightly affected by the dilution enthalpies and the interaction of the protein and the base material. However, for lower salt concentrations and other types of salts and proteins, the influence of the base matrix must be examined in each case.

### 4.2.2 Effect of temperature

We compared the influence of temperature and salt concentration on the enthalpy change of adsorption as a function of the amount of protein added to Toyopearl Butyl-650 M (Figure 2). Results are shown for 1.2 mol/kg, promoting complete unfolding, and 0.7 mol/kg, where an intermediate conformational state is assumed (see Supporting information Figure S2B). For BSA, with the exception of studies conducted at 308 K, for which no differences were observed, increasing concentration of salt resulted in higher values of $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ (Figure 2A and B) with these differences in $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ being more pronounced on lowering the temperature.

Generally speaking, the observed $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ values for both proteins changed considerably with the temperature. Given that the isotherm for BSA adsorption showed only very minor changes with increasing temperatures, it seems questionable whether these enthalpy differences can result solely from increased amounts of protein binding to the stationary phase, especially for BSA. It could be assumed that at higher temperatures, conformational changes induced by the adsorption of the protein onto the stationary phase should occur more easily and could here manifest themselves in increasingly positive values for $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$. It has been hypothesized before, that increased conformational changes could add to the $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ of an adsorption reaction due to the energy consumed by the unfolding reaction [26].

Figure 3 summarizes the temperature dependency for both proteins with respect to the average initial enthalpies and compares them to the values obtained by van’t Hoff analysis. While the $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ determined by van’t Hoff analysis stayed approximately constant or even decreased with temperature, the increase of the calorimetrically measured $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ as a function of temperature could be fitted with an exponential function for adsorption to both Toyopearl Butyl-650 M as well as Butyl Sepharose 4 FF. The exponential increase of $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ with temperature at 0.7 molal salt concentration may reflect the exponential increase of protein unfolding as reported by Ueberbacher et al. [7]. This strengthens the hypothesis that the calorimetrically measured values of $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ include contributions from conformational changes, which are not described by van’t Hoff analysis. It may be a result of these contributions that small positive or negative values of the actual adsorption enthalpy, such as reported by Chen and coworkers [17,20], shift to largely positive values. So far, only differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) data and differences between calorimetric and van’t Hoff data provide information about the energetic contribution of conformational changes. Denaturation heats determined by DSC can serve as reference for the magnitude of protein unfolding; however it has to be emphasized not to confuse thermal denaturation with denaturation induced under HIC conditions. Thermal denaturation heats range from 450–550 kJ/mol for globulin- and fatty-acid-free
BSA to approx. 1000 kJ/mol for BSA containing fatty acids in aqueous solution [37]. Thermodynamic tables of published heat denaturation data report values around 700 kJ/mol for BSA and from 100–300 kJ/mol for β-lactoglobulin – depending on the solvent conditions [38]. The difference of van’t Hoff and calorimetrically determined enthalpies gives values of 550 kJ/mol for 288 K up to approximately 900 kJ/mol for BSA at 308 K. For the adsorption of BSA and β-lactoglobulin to Toyopearl in this study, large differences between the adsorption enthalpies at 0.7 and 1.2 mol/kg could only be observed at a temperature of 293 K (Figure 2). At all other temperatures, the initial Δh_{ads} values were slightly higher for 0.7 molal salt. This behavior can again be explained by the observation that conformational changes of β-lactoglobulin are strongly temperature dependent and require higher activation energy [7]. A strong temperature dependence for the adsorption of β-lactoglobulin to another hydrophobic sorbent, Streamline Phenyl, in the presence of various Na₂SO₄ concentrations was reported by Bonomo et al. [29].

### 4.2.3 Effect of surface coverage

As previously observed for the adsorption of BSA and β-lactoglobulin to Butyl Sepharose 4 FF, values for Δh_{ads}

| Salt concentration (mol/kg) | Temperature (K) | BSA Δh_{ads} (kJ/mol) | BSA Δh_{ads} (kJ/mol protein added) | β-Lactoglobulin Δh_{ads} (kJ/mol) | β-Lactoglobulin Δh_{ads} (kJ/mol protein added) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1.2                         | 298             | 17.60 ± 3.73           | 13.16 ± 2.00                       | 578.83 ± 5.02 ± 0.55             | 128.15 ± 1.81 ± 3.19                          |
| 0.7                         | 298             | 19.38 ± 1.69           | 13.03 ± 1.23                       | 637.64 ± 15.00 ± 0.55            | 133.64 ± 0.99 ± 3.19                          |
|                             | 288             | 578.83 ± 5.02 ± 0.55   | 128.15 ± 1.81 ± 3.19               |                                  |                                               |
| 1.2                         | 293             | 13.03 ± 1.23           | 578.83 ± 5.02 ± 0.55               | 814.91 ± 23.12 ± 3.19            | 180.80 ± 1.85 ± 3.19                          |
|                             | 298             | 637.64 ± 15.00 ± 0.55  | 133.64 ± 0.99 ± 3.19               | 810.58 ± 14.08 ± 6.21            | 186.03 ± 6.21 ± 3.19                          |
| 0.7                         | 288             | 133.05 ± 2.00 ± 0.55   | 128.15 ± 1.81 ± 3.19               | 818.95 ± 8.66 ± 4.10            | 199.36 ± 4.10 ± 3.19                          |
|                             | 293             | 258.61 ± 23.12 ± 3.19  | 180.80 ± 1.85 ± 3.19               |                                  |                                               |
|                             | 298             | 453.94 ± 31.75 ± 3.19  | 154.71 ± 1.10 ± 3.19               |                                  |                                               |
|                             | 303             | 658.72 ± 13.16 ± 3.19  | 184.71 ± 1.69 ± 3.19               |                                  |                                               |
|                             | 308             | 818.95 ± 8.66 ± 4.10   | 199.36 ± 4.10 ± 3.19               |                                  |                                               |

**TABLE 1** Average initial molar enthalpies of adsorption of BSA and β-lactoglobulin to Toyopearl Butyl-650 M and enthalpies of dilution to Toyopearl base matrix.
Values of $\Delta h_{ads}$ for the adsorption of BSA and $\beta$-lactoglobulin to Toyopearl Butyl-650 M at an $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ concentration of 1.2 mol/kg (A and C) and at 0.7 mol/kg $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ (B and D) decreased with increasing surface coverage [26]. Dias-Cabral et al. reported the same trend in a flow-calorimetric study of the adsorption of BSA to PPG-Sepharose under overloading conditions [36] and attributed this outcome to lower dehydration heats resulting from the decreased amount of water molecules released from the stationary phase because of the increased number of surface sites occupied by proteins. In addition, lateral interactions between the adsorbed proteins manifest as exothermic heats and thus lead to a decrease of $\Delta h_{ads}$ [35].

Temperature dependence of the average initial adsorption enthalpies for the interaction of BSA and $\beta$-lactoglobulin. Experimental data was fitted by an exponential function without physical meaning to provide trendlines to guide the eye. Full and open symbols represent calorimetric enthalpies versus van't Hoff enthalpies of BSA and $\beta$-lactoglobulin, respectively. All studies were conducted using the VP-ITC calorimeter. (A) Toyopearl Butyl-650 M. (B) Butyl Sepharose 4 FF.
In Table 2, thermodynamic quantities are shown for both proteins at 1.2 mol/kg salt concentration. As expected, \( \Delta G_{\text{ads}} \) became more negative with increasing temperature for both proteins, showing that the HIC process became more favorable.

Our future investigations will focus on determining the threshold salt concentration and the temperature for conditions where conformational changes begin to occur. The extensive tailing observed in the studies of the heat flow of adsorption at a specific salt concentration (Supporting Information Figure S2B) suggests the point at which to undertake studies that will define an operating window for the development of process design.

### 4.3 Measurements with two calorimeters

It is beyond the scope of this study to provide a definite conclusion about which calorimetric system yields more reliable data. However, since literature about ITC in HIC is small and predominantly confined to single measurements, and in awareness of the great sensitivity of calorimeters (baseline SDs in the 20 nW range), we wanted to ensure the data with a second set. This is shown for the HIC systems BSA/\( \beta \)-lactoglobulin–Butyl Sepharose 4 FF. The standard instrument for investigating \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) in hydrophobic interaction chromatography has so far been the Thermal Activity Monitor, (TAM) III [17–20,40]. In the following study, our goal was to determine if intrinsic differences such as cell geometry, cell volume, and stirring mode between two calorimeters (TAM III and VP-ITC) have an impact on experimental results. Insights into the comparison of various commercially available calorimeters and the involved statistical treatment are given in the previous study [41,42]. For comparison, TAM III data for the measurements at 308 K is taken from [26]. The main differences with respect to the calorimetric treatment are listed in Table 3. Figure 4A presents ITC data measured by two calorimeters at different temperatures. The shift of data points along the x-axis is due to the fact that in both cases 1:4 slurries of the stationary phase were used. If the same amount of protein bound/mL gel per injection had been used, a 1:7 slurry would have been required for the VP-ITC because of the different cell volume of this calorimeter (see Table 3). By using the same ratio of stationary phase to buffer we assured that the protein was titrated to an excess of stationary phase. Consequently, the difference in cell volume led to a different number of injections to account for the same surface coverage at the initial slope of the isotherm. Five injections were performed for the TAM III measurements, while nine injections were used with the VP-ITC. For example, by considering the amount of added protein per mL gel, injection 4 at TAM III corresponds to injection 7 at the VP-ITC.

At the highest investigated temperature, 308 K, values for \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) were in relatively good agreement. For TAM III an average value of 304 ± 15 kJ/mol was determined whereas the \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) value for the VP-ITC was approx 11% higher (336 ± 5 kJ/mol, Figure 4A). A better agreement but also larger SDs were observed at 303 K (Figure 4C). For the adsorption of \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin to Butyl Sepharose 4 FF at 308 K, average values for \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) hardly differ from each other (Figure 4B) whereas, the SDs increase for both calorimeters at 303 K (Figure 4D). For temperatures at 298 K (Figure 4E and F) and lower (data not shown) the comparability decreases. (It is worth noting that values for \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) were generally higher for the VP-ITC measurements.) Generally, comparability of \( \Delta h_{\text{ads}} \) values determined with both calorimeters was better at elevated temperatures. We attribute this to the decrease of viscosity with rising temperatures which itself has an influence on the mixing of the adsorbent. Further, longer equilibrating times were required at temperatures of 293 K and below and SDs were higher in these ranges.

### 5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

It is well established that conformational changes are associated with the adsorption of proteins to stationary phases in...
FIGURE 4  Comparison of $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ values for the adsorption of BSA and $\beta$-lactoglobulin to Butyl Sepharose 4 FF at 1.2 mol/kg (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$. Adsorption of BSA (A) and $\beta$-lactoglobulin (B) at 308 K. Adsorption of BSA (C) and $\beta$-lactoglobulin (D) at 303 K. Adsorption of BSA (E) and $\beta$-lactoglobulin (F) at 298 K.

HIC. Yet, the extent to which these conformational changes take place seems to vary strongly between proteins. As a result, reliable information as to why and how these changes occur, is hard to come by. With this study, we managed to shed some light onto the influence of temperature on the thermodynamic aspects of adsorption of two model proteins in HIC. While in the case of BSA, protein binding itself seems to occur relatively unaffected, increased temperatures do

| TABLE 3 | Selected differences between the TAM III and the VP-ITC calorimeters |
|---------|--------------------------|
| TAM III | VP-ITC                   |
| Measuring principle | Heat conduction | Differential power compensation |
| Cell type | Glass ampoules, removable | Hastelloy, fixed in place |
| Cell geometry/Volume | Cylindrical/1 mL | Coin-shaped/1.4 mL |
| Stirring type | Propeller, disposable | Integrated in syringe |
seem to further promote conformational changes in this protein upon adsorption onto a HIC stationary phase surface, which manifest themselves in largely positive $\Delta h_{\text{ads}}$ values. For $\beta$-lactoglobulin on the other hand, the shape of the adsorption isotherm and the resulting equilibrium binding capacity changed considerably at higher temperatures.

While these results indicate that it might be possible to find conditions at which conformational changes during adsorption in HIC do not occur or at least occur to a much lower extent, they also point out once more, that this phenomenon and its various influencing factors such as temperature or salt concentration have to be assessed for each protein individually.

On the other hand, the behavior observed for $\beta$-lactoglobulin, also raises the question whether conformational changes really are an undesirable side-effect of adsorption in HIC or whether they might even be necessary to some degree to achieve efficient binding.

From a methodological point of view, this study further demonstrates the applicability of calorimetric methods to study the often reversible conformational changes upon adsorption to stationary phases with lower translucency, which cannot be monitored in situ by IR spectroscopy. It is clear that ITC is not a suitable method to directly determine conformational changes in a single experiment. However, enthalpies as functions of salt concentration and temperature can indirectly provide information about the extent of unfolding. In this sense, ITC can serve as an alternative method for “two-peak” pulse response experiments, with the advantages of lower time consumption (thermostating) and smaller sample amounts.

With respect to further research efforts in the field, we conclude that when high salt concentrations are required, using lower temperatures might be a way to reduce or altogether avoid conformational changes. Whether such an approach is feasible, will have to be assessed carefully for various different proteins.
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