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Emergence of a New Self-Replicator from a Dynamic Combinatorial Library Requires a Specific Pre-Existing Replicator

Yigit Altay, Meniz Tezcan, and Sijbren Otto

Centre for Systems Chemistry, Stratingh Institute, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT: Our knowledge regarding the early steps in the formation of evolvable life and what constitutes the minimal molecular basis of life remains far from complete. The recent emergence of systems chemistry reinvigorated the investigation of systems of self-replicating molecules to address these questions. Most of these studies focus on single replicators and the effects of replicators on the emergence of other replicators remains under-investigated. Here we show the cross-catalyzed emergence of a novel self-replicator from a dynamic combinatorial library made from a threonine containing peptide building block, which, by itself, only forms trimers and tetramers that do not replicate. Upon seeding of this library with different replicators of different macrocycle size (hexamers and octamers), we observed the emergence of hexamer replicator consisting of six units of the threonine peptide only when it is seeded with an octamer replicator containing eight units of a serine building block. These results reveal for the first time how a new replicator can emerge in a process that relies critically on the assistance by another replicator through cross-catalysis and that replicator composition is history dependent.

How life originated and how life may be synthesized de novo are among the grand challenges in contemporary science. Research in these areas has focused on the biomolecules essential to current life (proteins, RNA and DNA) or on the bottom-up construction of chemical systems that mimic the essential characteristics of life. Over the last decades, the fields of systems chemistry, and dynamic combinatorial chemistry in particular, have developed synthetic systems that capture some of the essential characteristics of life: compartmentalization, reaction networks (addressing the issue of metabolism) and systems featuring self- and cross-replicating molecules.

In this study, we will focus on self-replication. Until now, relatively few replicators have been reported. Following pioneering work by von Kiedrowski, completely synthetic replicators were developed by Rebek and Philp. Joyce, Lehman and Szostak developed systems of replicating RNAs whereas Chmielewski, Ghardi, Ashkenasy and us focused on peptide-based replicators. Though in the majority of these studies replicators were designed in full structural detail, we explored how replicators emerged from complex mixtures where the structure of the emerging replicator was not predetermined. For this purpose, we developed dynamic combinatorial libraries (DCLs) made from building-blocks featuring two thiol groups for reversible disulfide chemistry and a peptide that is predisposed to β-sheet formation by virtue of alternating hydrophobic and hydrophilic amino-acid residues. In solution, reaction between these building blocks and oxygen from the air yields a DCL that consists of a mixture of disulfide macromolecules of different ring sizes (Scheme 1). If one of the macrocycles can stabilize itself through self-assembly, the product distribution shifts toward this compound at the expense of the other compounds in the library. Assembly occurs through a nucleation−growth mechanism which allows exponential replication to be achieved: growing fibers break into fragments by mechanical agitation (i.e., stirring or shaking), which increases the number of ends from where the fibers grow.

In most of our previous studies, the emergence of replicators occurred spontaneously. In an intriguing recent study, we showed that assembly driven self-replication could also be triggered by a template that raises the concentration of the potential replicator above its critical concentration. However, until now, the role of existing replicators on the emergence of new ones has received little attention. Yet, cross-catalysis may be a powerful mechanism for the diversification and evolution of replicators and the development of replicator “ecosystems”.

We now report a system in which autonomous replicator emergence is not observed, but where replicator emergence requires cross-catalysis by another pre-existing replicator. Cross-catalyzed emergence was mediated only by a replicator with a specific ring size and peptide sequence. We also show that replication is strongly dependent on the sample history marking an important step in the direction of self-replicator evolution.

In the course of a systematic investigation of the effect of the structure of the peptide building blocks with the general architecture shown in Scheme 1 on the formation of replicators, we encountered unexpected behavior upon using building block 1. In agitated DCLs made from 2−5, individually, self-replicators emerged spontaneously in all cases. Yet in similar experiments starting from building block 1, we did not observe any replicators. Using our standard protocol, we rapidly oxidized a solution of 1 (3.8 mM in 50 mM borate buffer pH 8.1) to 80% (conversion of thios to disulphides) using sodium perborate solution (80 mM), followed by slower further oxidation mediated by oxygen present in the air.
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Solutions were stirred at 1200 rpm or left nonagitated. The kinetic profiles of these libraries, monitored by UPLC-MS, show the formation trimers (1₁) and tetramers (1₃), neither of which self-assembles or self-replicates (Figure 1a; see SI Figure S1 for the nonagitated sample). The absence of any replicator might be explained with the library reaching complete oxidation before any replicator had the chance to emerge (disulfide exchange requires a catalytic amount of thiol). In order to prevent freezing the library by complete oxidation, we repeated the experiment at a constant oxidation level of 80% and monitored the composition of the small DCL over the course of one month under an inert atmosphere. Also in this experiment, 1₁ and 1₃ dominated the library and no replicator emergence was observed (Figure 1b).

We then investigated whether the formation of replicators made from 1 could be induced by cross-seeding with preformed replicators made from building blocks 2, 3, 4 or 5. Thus, 10 mol % of 2₈, 3₈, 4₈, 5₈, prepared following published protocols, was added to stirred DCLs made from 1 and the compositions of the mixtures were monitored over time. These experiments failed to induce the formation of new

Figure 1. Kinetic profile of a dynamic combinatorial library made from building block 1 (3.8 mM in 50 mM borate buffer, pH 8.1) stirred at 1200 rpm and (a) kept under ambient conditions, (b) 80% oxidized and kept under an inert atmosphere; kinetic profile of a dynamic combinatorial library made from an 80% oxidized solution of building block 1 (3.8 mM in 50 mM borate buffer, pH 8.1) seeded with (c) 4₈ (cross-seeding), (d) 1₆ (self-seeding), stirred at 1200 rpm.

macrocycles (see SI Figure S2) with one notable exception: only cross-seeding with 4₈ induced the formation of a new macrocycle (1₁₆, Figure 1c). The sigmoidal growth of 1₁₆ is consistent with self-replication.

To confirm that 1₁₆ is a self-replicator, we added it as a seed to a DCL made from 1. Figure 1d shows that 1₁₆ grows rapidly upon seeding and accounts for 72% of the library material within 1 day (see SI Figure S5 for results of seeding with smaller amounts of seed). Note that the lag phase, that was observed in the library to which 4₈ was added, diminished when 1₁₆ was used as a seed instead of 4₈. The presence of this lag phase suggests that growth of 1₁₆ occurs on the ends of fibers of 4₈ but it is a relatively rare event and that fragmentation of these nuclei of 1₁₆ (induced by 4₈) into secondary nuclei is required before replication of 1₁₆ becomes efficient (see Scheme 1c). This interpretation was further supported by the fact that seeding a library made from 1 with 4₈ in the absence of mechanical agitation dramatically slowed down the rate of replication of 1₁₆ (Figure S3). Detailed analysis of the early stage of the growth of 1₁₆ seeded by 4₈ (Figure S4) did not reveal any mixed macrocycles containing both building blocks, lending further support for the proposed mechanism.

The structure of the assemblies formed by 1₁₆ was characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy and thioflavin T fluorescence assays (Figure 2). Negative staining TEM micrographs of a sample dominated by 1₁₆ revealed laterally associated fibers that were approximately 100 nm long (Figure 2c). In contrast, libraries made from 1 containing mostly cyclic trimers and tetramers did not show any ordered or disordered aggregates. The CD spectrum of samples dominated by 1₁₆ showed positive helicity at 196 nm and negative helicity at 218 nm, indicative of a β-sheet structure (Figure 2a). We observed only random coil secondary structure for all other libraries made from 1 that were dominated by trimers and tetramers. Thioflavin T fluorescence measurements also
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confirmed a β-sheet amyloid-fibril-like \textsuperscript{18} structure of 1\textsubscript{6}, evident from a more than 50-fold increase in emission intensity, whereas all libraries dominated by 1\textsubscript{3} and 1\textsubscript{4} showed a 3-fold increase at most (Figure 2b).

In order to investigate whether cross-catalysis between the 1\textsubscript{6} and 1\textsubscript{8} replicators is reciprocal, libraries were made from building block 4 and the effect of seeding by 1\textsubscript{6} was probed. Mechanical agitation was not applied, as agitation induces the autonomous formation of the 1\textsubscript{8} replicator. We observed the emergence of 1\textsubscript{8} and 1\textsubscript{6} alongside some mixed hexamers containing both building blocks 1 and 4 in the library seeded with 1\textsubscript{6}, whereas the nonseeded control only led to the formation of nonassembling 1\textsubscript{8} and 4\textsubscript{6} macrocycles (Figure 3a,b). Thus, cross-catalysis appears to be reciprocal, albeit not completely symmetrical: 1\textsubscript{8} induces the formation of 1\textsubscript{6}, but not 1\textsubscript{6}, whereas 1\textsubscript{6}, does not give rise to any replicators based on 1, within the time frame of our experiments. Conversely, 1\textsubscript{6} induces the formation of both 1\textsubscript{8} and 1\textsubscript{6}. These perhaps nonintuitive cross-catalytic effects prompted us to explore their origin. The fact that replicators based on the more hydrophobic building block 1 are hexamers, whereas those based on the more hydrophilic 4 are predominantly octamers fits with the general trend that we reported previously: \textsuperscript{13d} more hydrophobic building blocks allow for self-assembly and concomitant replication already at a smaller ring size. What remains puzzling is why the replication by 1\textsubscript{6} is only triggered by 1\textsubscript{6} and not by any other of our established replicators. We suspect that this could be due to specific interactions involving the OH-groups of the serine residue in 4. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that octamers of alanine containing building block 5, thus lacking these OH groups, do not induce the formation of any replicators based on 1.

Finally, we investigated to what extent the replicator distribution is dependent on sample history. We prepared a library made from building block 4 (3.8 mM in 50 mM borate buffer, pH 8.1) 80% oxidized (a) nonseeded (b) after addition of 10 mol percent of preformed 1\textsubscript{6}, as seed. (c) Kinetic profile of a library made by mixing peptide 1 and peptide 4 (3.42 mM in 1 and 0.38 mM in 4) in borate buffer (pH 8.1, 50 mM) to form a 3.8 mM library. The library was then oxidized to 80% with freshly prepared perborate solution (80 mM) and stirred at 1200 rpm under an inert atmosphere.

DCL with the same overall building block composition as the one shown in Figure 3b (3.42 mM in 1 and 0.38 mM in 4) but now mixed these building blocks at the start of the experiment. We monitored the sample over a period of 40 days but did not detect the emergence of replicators 1\textsubscript{6} or 1\textsubscript{8} (Figure 3c). This experiment shows that the history of the sample is a decisive factor in determining replicator presence or absence, just like the evolutionary history dictates the species composition in current life. Though history-dependence is a widespread phenomenon in materials science \textsuperscript{19} and protein folding,\textsuperscript{20} it had not yet been reported to dictate the nature of self-replicating molecules. Moreover, the history dependence observed here involves the interaction history between molecules in the mixture, and not merely the history in terms of physical properties, such as pH or temperature.

In conclusion, we have shown how the emergence of a new replicator based on threonine-containing building block 1 relies on the presence of a specific pre-existing replicator containing serine residues. Cross-catalysis between replicators (and therefore replicator mutation) in this system was found to be remarkably specific, as structurally closely related replicators failed to show the same effect. Our results also constitute an important first step in the development of abiotic systems of replicators in the direction of primitive life. While in previous work the presence of the building blocks of a replicator was typically sufficient for replicators to emerge (after some lag phase), in contemporary life, new species only derive from pre-existing ones (for as far as we know, no new life forms emerge solely from abiotic materials). Thus, in current life, the species present at any given time point reflect not only the available resources but also the evolutionary history of the various biological species. Our results represent a first step in the transition from a regime where replicator abundance is governed by building block availability to one where pre-existing replicators control the new replicator population. So, instead of replicator distributions being defined solely by the present conditions, the systems (evolutionary) history is now...
also becoming an essential controlling factor. Such history-dependent systems of self-replicators represent an important strategic direction in research on the origin of life and the development of de novo life.
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