Monitoring Autophagy in Rice With GFP-ATG8 Marker Lines
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Autophagy is a conserved intracellular trafficking pathway for bulk degradation and recycling of cellular components in eukaryotes. The hallmark of autophagy is the formation of double-membraned vesicles termed autophagosomes, which selectively or non-selectively pack up various macromolecules and organelles and deliver these cargoes into the vacuole/lysosome. Like all other membrane trafficking pathways, the observation of autophagy is largely dependent on marker lines. ATG8/LC3 is the only autophagy-related (ATG) protein that, through a covalent bond to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), associates tightly with the isolation membrane/pre-autophagosomal structure (PAS), the growing phagophore, the mature autophagosome, and the autophagic bodies. Therefore, fluorescent protein (FP)-tagged ATG8 had been widely used for monitoring autophagosome formation and autophagic flux. In rice (Oryza sativa), FP-OsATG8 driven by Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter had been used for imaging autophagosome and autophagic bodies. Here, we constructed three vectors carrying GFP-OsATG8a, driven by 35S, ubiquitin, and the endogenous ATG8a promoter, individually. Then, we compared them for their suitability in monitoring autophagy, by observing GFP-ATG8a puncta formation in transiently transformed rice protoplasts, and by tracking the autophagic flux with GFP-ATG8 cleavage assay in rice stable transgenic lines. GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry were also performed with the three marker lines to show that they can be used reliably for proteomic studies. We found out that the ubiquitin promoter is the best for protoplast imaging. Transgenic rice seedlings of the three marker lines showed comparable performance in autophagic flux measurement using the GFP-ATG8 cleavage assay. Surprisingly, the levels of GFP-ATG8a transcripts and protein contents were similar in all marker lines, indicating post-transcriptional regulation of the transgene expression by a yet unknown mechanism. These marker lines can serve as useful tools for autophagy studies in rice.
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INTRODUCTION

Plants are constantly in need of nutrient reallocation during growth and development, yet they are continuously challenged by nutrient limitation and stresses. To combat starvation, biotic, and abiotic stresses while maintaining growth, plants have to efficiently remobilize and reallocate nutrients and clear up pathogens, damaged proteins, and even organelles. Among the degradation/remobilization pathways employed by plants, an intracellular trafficking pathway termed autophagy is particularly important, and the defects in autophagy strongly compromise biomass production and yield (Li et al., 2015; Wada et al., 2015; Have et al., 2017; Avin-Wittenberg et al., 2018; Signorelli et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2020; McLoughlin et al., 2020; Su et al., 2020).

Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved, bulk degradation pathway of eukaryotic cells that can eliminate and recycle damaged or obsolete proteins and organelles (Nakatogawa, 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). In this pathway, the cargoes, either recognized selectively or non-selectively (Floyd et al., 2012; Farre and Subramani, 2016), are firstly sequestered to a double-membraned vesicle termed isolation membrane (IM) or phagophore, at a specific site termed phagophore assembly site (PAS) usually close by the endoplasmic reticulum (ER); Hollenstein and Kraft, 2020; Wun et al., 2020). Then, the phagophore expands and eventually seals to form an autophagosome. The autophagosome fuses with the tonoplast, and the cargoes, together with the inner membrane (termed autophagic bodies), are released into the vacuole for degradation (Yim and Mizushima, 2020). Through transporters yet unidentified in plants, the amino acids and other macromolecules are transported back into the cytoplasm. Clearly, to monitor the entire process, a marker protein that labels the isolation membrane, autophagosome, and autophagic bodies is essential. ATG8 is the protein (Contento et al., 2005).

ATG8 of yeasts and plants, and LC3/GABARAP of animals, was firstly discovered in the budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), through the screen for autophagy (as APG8; Tsukada and Ohsumi, 1993), cytoplasm to vacuole targeting (Cvt; as CVT5; Harding et al., 1995), and pexophagy (as AUT7) mutants (Harding et al., 1996). ATG8 is an ubiquitin-like (Ubl) protein that scaffolds the expanding phagophore and autophagosome (Nakatogawa et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2008). After processing by the cysteine protease ATG4, a C-terminal glycine residue of ATG8 is exposed and gets conjugated to the lipid phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) by the ATG8 conjugation system composed of ATG7 as the E1 activating enzyme, ATG3 as the E2 conjugating enzyme, and ATG12-ATG5 complex as the E3 ligase (Mizushima et al., 1998). PE-conjugated ATG8 stays on the expanding phagophore and the mature autophagosomes. Then, ATG4 cleaves PE-conjugated ATG8 off the outer membrane of autophagosome before it fuses with the vacuole (Yu et al., 2012). Since it is attached to both sides of the phagophore, upon autophagosome closure, approximately one-third of ATG8 molecules are trapped on the inner membrane of autophagosome along with the cargoes (Nair et al., 2012), thus gets degraded in the vacuole. The fact that ATG8 cannot be fully recycled before autophagosome-vacuole fusion may explain why it is strongly transcriptionally upregulated by autophagy-inducing conditions (Yoshimoto et al., 2004; Nair et al., 2012).

ATG8 not only controls phagophore expansion but is a key element in cargo sequestration. Using their ATG8-interacting motif (AIM)/LC3-interacting region (LIR), ULK1/ATG1 and ATG13 bind ATG8 to regulate autophagosome formation (Wild et al., 2014). Autophagy receptors, adaptors, and cargoes bind ATG8 with AIM/LIR or the ubiquitin-interacting motif (UIM) to get packed into the expanding phagophore (Marshall et al., 2019; Johansen and Lamark, 2020). Possibly for this reason, ATG8 has become arguably the most frequently studied ATG protein. Screens that designed to identify new players in autophagy generally centered on ATG8 (Honig et al., 2012; Zeng et al., 2021). In the autophagy protein–protein interaction network, ATG8 is always a node (Behrends et al., 2010; Tu et al., 2021).

Apart from the shared characteristics with the yeast and animal ATG8s, the plant ATG8s have unique properties (Bu et al., 2020). Arabidopsis has nine ATG8s (ATG8a-i; Nakatogawa et al., 2020), maize has five, and rice has seven that can be mapped (Chung et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2011). The plant ATG8s are categorized into three sub-families and the expansion of the ATG8 family was thought to take place early in the green lineage (Kellner et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2021). Transcriptome data gave diverse expression patterns of ATG8s of Arabidopsis, indicative of their tissue specific roles (Thompson et al., 2005). Master regulator that governs autophagosome and lysosome biogenesis, such as transcription factor EB (TFEB; Settembre et al., 2011), has not been identified in plants, yet searches for plant-specific transcription regulators led to the discovery of TGACG (TGA) motif-binding protein 9 (TGA9) as a positive regulator of autophagy (Wang et al., 2020). ELOGONATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5), along with HISTONE DEACETYLASE 9 (HDA9), was discovered to repress the transcription of autophagy genes such as ATG8a and ATG5 during light-to-dark transition (Yang et al., 2020), thus providing an answer for an open question in plant autophagy.

The way ATG8 is used as a marker for autophagy is also unique in plants. Firstly, the fact that plants have many ATG8s with different molecular weights prevented us from using one anti-ATG8 antibody to examine the autophagic flux, for it is futile to separate different ATG8 isoforms from the PE-conjugated ATG8s. Secondly, the plant vacuole (pH 5.4–5.8) is not as acidic as the lysosome (pH 4.5); hence, the acid-sensitive GFP tag is not promptly degraded in the vacuole. For this reason, it is not possible to use a double tagged ATG8, such as mCherry-GFP-LC3, and take the fluorescent color change as an indicator for autophagic flux (Kazuka et al., 2016). So far, the relatively reliable way is to use FP-ATG8 transgenic lines and a FP antibody, and to treat the plants with or without V-ATPase inhibitors, such as Concannamycin A (ConA), to distinguish the free FP band (presumably residing in the lytic vacuole as a consequence of autophagy, more resistant to vacuolar hydrolases than ATG8 due to its structural feature) from the FP-ATG8 band (presumably outside the vacuole).

Abbreviations: ATG, Autophagy-related; ConA, Concanaamycin A; ER, Endoplasmic reticulum; GFP, Green fluorescent protein; LSCM, Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy; WT, Wild type.
This method is termed FP-ATG8 cleavage assay. Selection of a proper promoter for the GFP-ATG8 transgenic line is also important, for ectopic expression of ATG8 often promotes transition into flowering, improves nitrogen use efficiency, and increases yield (Chen et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2019), and thus could be unsuitable for stress and developmental studies.

For autophagy studies in rice, 35S:OsATG8a and 35S:mRFP-OsATG8a lines were firstly generated and imaged for autophagosome accumulation upon ConA treatments (Izumi et al., 2015). Recently, 35S:GFP-OsATG8a and 35S:GFP-OsATG8b lines have been generated, and both were reported to increase yield (Yu et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020). The lack of a comparison between different GFP-OsATG8 constructs prompted us to generate OsATG8 monitoring lines with 35S, ubiquitin, and endogenous promoters, and to compare their performance under autophagy-inducing conditions. We aimed to pin down specific constructs and lines that are suitable for documenting autophagy, via imaging or immunoblotting. We also wanted to evaluate the potential of GFP-OsATG8 in protein–protein interaction screen, which have been a powerful tool in the identification of new players in plant autophagy (Honig et al., 2012; Han et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2021).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids Construction

In this study, the pCAMBIA1302 vector was used for generating transgenic rice lines. The coding sequence of OsATG8a (Os07g0512200; 360 bp in length) was amplified from rice cDNA and inserted at the Eco91I site through homologous recombination as described (Luo et al., 2017). To generate ProUBQ10::GFP-OsATG8a and ProATG8a::GFP-OsATG8a plasmids, the 35S promoter was replaced with the ubiquitin promoter and the OsATG8a promoter, respectively, and inserted between HindIII and NcoI sites. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. The primers used for cloning, plasmid construction, and sequencing are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Generation of Transgenic Rice Lines and Plant Growth Conditions

Transgenic rice lines were generated similarly to a previous report on OsATG8s (Izumi et al., 2015). Briefly, sterilized rice seeds (japonica rice cultivar Jinjing 818) were used for callus induction. The vectors were transformed into mature seed-derived rice calli by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Transgenic rice plants (T1) were obtained through several rounds of differentiation inductions and selected on medium containing Hygromycin B. These T1 transgenic rice lines were selected by liquid medium containing 50 mg/L Hygromycin B for 3 days. After germinating in plates containing water for 5–6 days, the seedlings were grown in the modified Hoagland's solution (5 mM KNO3, 2 mM MgSO4·7H2O, 5 mM Ca(NO3)2·4H2O, 1 mM KH2PO4, 0.05 mM FeSO4·7H2O, 0.05 mM Na2EDTA, 46 mM H3BO3, 9 mM MnCl2·4H2O, 0.3 mM CuSO4·5H2O, and 0.8 mM ZnSO4·7H2O) at 28°C/24°C, 14 h light/10 h dark in a growth chamber. Transgenic rice was cultivated in paddy fields in growth seasons of 2019 to 2021 in Tianjin, Jiangsu, and Hainan provinces of China.

Transient Transformation of Tobacco Leaves

GFP-OsATG8a driven by three different promoters were transiently expressed in tobacco leaves as described (Luo et al., 2017). Soil grown, 4-week-old N. benthamiana leaves were used for Agrobacterium infiltration. After 2 days of inoculation, leaves were collected and cut into small squares for confocal microscopy.

Rice Protoplast Transformation

Sterilized rice seeds (japonica rice cultivar Jinjing 818) were germinated on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with a photoperiod of 14 h light and 10 h dark at 26°C for 5–6 days, then moved to the dark for another 5–6 days. The etiolated stem and sheath of rice seedlings were cut into pieces of approximately 0.5 mm with sharp razors. These pieces were immediately transferred into 20 ml enzyme solution (1.5% Cellulase RS, 0.75% Macerozyme R-10, 0.6 M mannnitol, 10 mM MES at pH 5.7, 10 mM CaCl2, and 0.1% BSA), vacuum infiltrated for 30 min, and further digested in the dark for 4–5 h with gentle shaking. After enzyme digestion, protoplasts were released by adding an equal volume of W5 solution (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl2, 5 mM KCl, and 2 mM MES at pH 5.7) and gentle shaking by hand for 2 min. Then, the protoplasts were filtered through a 40 μm-gauge nylon mesh with 3–5 washes using W5 solution and collected by spinning at 100 g for 3 min. After protoplasts were re-suspended and washed once with W5 solution, MMG solution (0.4 M mannitol, 15 mM MgCl2, and 4 mM MES at pH 5.7) was used to re-suspend the pellets at a concentration of 2 × 106 cells ml−1. For each transformation, 6–8 μg of freshly prepared plasmid DNA and 200 μl protoplasts (about 4 × 107 cells) were mixed with 220 μl freshly prepared PEG solution [40% (w/v) PEG 4000, 0.4 M mannitol, and 0.1 M CaCl2] and were incubated at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. After incubation, the protoplasts were mixed with 1 ml W5 solution and incubated at 28°C for 12–15 h in the dark before imaging.

Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy

Transiently transformed tobacco leaves (lower epidermis) and rice protoplasts were observed with a Ni-E A1 HD25 confocal microscope (Nikon, Japan). Prior to image collection, the background auto-fluorescence was eliminated using untransformed tobacco leaves or rice protoplasts. The GFP fluorescence signal was exited at 488 nm and emission was collected at 500–550 nm. The chlorophyll auto-fluorescence was exited with 561 nm laser and emission was collected at 600–700 nm. For NaCl treatments, protoplasts were incubated in W5 solution with 250 mM NaCl, or 50 μM E-64d, or both NaCl and E-64d, for 30 min at room temperature. For inhibitor treatments, transformed protoplasts were incubated in W5 solution containing 200 nM AZD8055, or 1 μM ConA, or both AZD8055 and ConA, for 3 h at room temperature.
**Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR**

Leaves from 14-day-old seedlings were used for RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) as described (Luo et al., 2017). Transcript levels of GFP and OsATG8a were normalized to OsEF1a (LOC_Os03g08020) with three biological replicates consisting of four technical repeats. Specific amplification was verified by a melt curve analysis following the completion of the PCR cycles. Each PCR product generated a single peak in melt curve analysis, indicating specific amplification. The $2^{-\Delta \Delta CT}$ method was used for relative quantification of qRT-PCR data. Primers used are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**Immunoblotting**

Two-week-old seedlings were used for autophagic flux measurement. Excised leaves were incubated in the Hoagland's solution with 0.01% Tween-20, and stirred and vacuumed to make sure the leaves were completely soaked in the solution. For NaCl treatments, excised leaves were incubated in the Hoagland's solution plus 150 mM NaCl, or 100 μM E-64d, or both NaCl and E-64d, for 1 h at room temperature. Alternatively, 250 mM NaCl was used. For inhibitor treatments, excised leaves were incubated in the Hoagland's solution containing 2 μM AZD8055, or 1 μM ConA, or both AZD8055 and ConA, for 6 h at room temperature. In addition, 6 h with 200 μM BTH plus 100 μM E-64d treatment and 4 h with 2 mM DTT plus 100 μM E-64d treatment were used to induce autophagy. Protein extraction and immunoblotting were done as described (Luo et al., 2017). Semi-quantification of the protein levels was performed with ImageJ and protein levels were normalized to Coomassie brilliant blue R-250-stained band of the Rubisco large subunit (RbcL). For immunoblotting, mouse anti-GFP (1:5,000 dilution, Utabody, China), rabbit anti-H3 (1:8,000 dilution, Abclonal, China), and the appropriate IgG-HRP conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000; ZSGB-Bio, China) were used. The signal was developed using High-sensitivity ECL chemiluminescence detection kit (Vazyme, China) and chemiluminescence was detected using a chemiluminescent Western Blot scanner (ChemiScope 6100T, Clinx, China). All experiments were repeated for three to five times, and one representative result was shown.

**GFP-Trap and Mass Spectrometry**

Transgenic rice seedlings were frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen, and protein extraction buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 20% Glycerol, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.2% NP-40, and 1% Protease Inhibitor Cocktail) was added at a proportion of 1:2 (m:v). Extracts were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. Then, the supernatants were collected and centrifuged again at 12,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatants (1 ml to 10 μl bead volume) were incubated with GFP-Trap A beads pre-equilibrated in wash buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 20% Glycerol, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1% NP-40) at 4°C for 2–3 h. The beads were washed 3–4 times with wash buffer and were re-suspended in 100 μl wash buffer. Samples were verified by Western blotting; the corresponding gels were cut and sent for mass spectrometry analysis at the Instrumental Analysis Center of Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

**RESULTS**

The Ubiquitin Promoter Is More Suitable for the Observation of Transiently Expressed GFP-OsATG8a

We cloned OsATG8s from an herbicide-resistant japonica rice cultivar Jinjing 818 and examined their transcript levels. Consistent with previous reports (Xia et al., 2011; Izumi et al., 2015), OsATG8a was the most highly expressed isoform. Hence, it was selected for vector construction (Figure 1A). OsATG8d was also selected (Supplementary Figure S2); however, we were unable to obtain transgenic lines carrying ProATG8d::GFP-OsATG8d, and it was eventually left out.

Expression of GFP-OsATG8a driven by 35S, ubiquitin, and OsATG8a (endogenous) promoters was firstly examined in transiently transformed N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells. Pro35S::GFP gave typical, strong nuclear, and cytoplasmic GFP signals. Pro35S::GFP-OsATG8a gave relatively weak cytoplasmic signals and punctate signals that likely represent autophagosomes. Strong cytoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum and punctate signals were observed from cells transformed with ProUBQ10::GFP-OsATG8a (Figure 1B). ProATG8a::GFP-OsATG8a had the lowest expression level, with puncta representing autophagosomes observed (Figure 1B).

We then examined the expression levels of the three constructs in transiently transformed rice protoplasts (Figure 1C). Again, ProUBQ10::GFP gave cytoplasmic signals, whereas the GFP-ATG8a constructs gave both cytoplasmic and punctate signals. Similar to our observation in tobacco leaves, ProUBQ10::GFP-ATG8a gave strong and clear signals, and signal from GFP-ATG8a driven by the endogenous promoter was very weak.

We had previously shown that the autophagic flux can be induced by salt stress within 30 min in Arabidopsis (Luo et al., 2017). Here, we also treated the transformed rice protoplasts with 250 mM NaCl in the presence of a lysosomal cysteine protease inhibitor E-64d to see if autophagic flux could be induced by salt treatment (Figure 2). GFP-OsATG8a driven by all three promoters responded to salt treatment, as NaCl plus E-64d significantly induced GFP-OsATG8a accumulation (Figures 2A–C).

Since inhibition of Target of Rapamycin (TOR) can reliably induce autophagy, we treated the protoplasts with 200 mM AZD8055, a potent TOR inhibitor (Chresta et al., 2010), in the presence of the V-ATPase inhibitor ConA (Huss et al., 2002), to further validate the constructs. GFP-OsATG8a driven by UBQ10 and ATG8a promoters both responded to TOR inhibition (Supplementary Figure S1).

Inferring from the expression intensities, the ubiquitin promoter is a good choice for the transient expression of OsATG8a.

---
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Stable Transgenic Lines Carrying GFP-OsATG8a Are Comparable for Immunoblotting

After validating and comparing the three constructs in transient expression systems, transgenic rice carrying these constructs were generated. T1 transgenic lines regenerated from the transformed calli were firstly validated with genomic PCR and immunoblotting (Supplementary Figures S2A,B). Then, the plants were grown in the paddy fields and measured for their heights and the number of tillers before harvesting. Consistent with a recent report (Fan et al., 2020), the transgenic lines were not very different among themselves in their heights (Supplementary Figure S3A). The numbers of tillers were not very different either (Supplementary Figure S3B). No significant change in phenotype was observed at the seedling stage in the T3 transgenic lines (Supplementary Figure S3C).

The T3 transgenic seedlings carrying Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a, ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a, and ProOsATG8a:GFP-OsATG8a were evaluated for their suitability in measuring autophagic flux. The autophagic flux was measured with the GFP-ATG8 cleavage assay, in which the flux is represented by the ratio of the amount of cleaved GFP (27 kD) to total GFP in the lane (40 kD plus 27 kD), i.e., GFP/(GFP + GFP-ATG8a). Firstly, GFP-OsATG8a (40kD) and free GFP (27kD) bands were readily detected in the transgenic lines (Figure 3). Since one or two bands were detected for GFP-OsATG8a on immunoblots, we validated the bands by running the samples along with recombinant GFP-OsATG8s purified from E.coli. Two bands of similar sizes were seen for the recombinant proteins GFP-OsATG8a and GFP-OsATG8d (Supplementary Figure S2C). Such observation indicated that both bands correspond to GFP-ATG8, and the amount of GFP-ATG8 should be measured as the sum of the two.
For autophagy induction, rice seedlings were treated with NaCl, AZD8055, BTH, and DTT (Figures 3A–E). Benzo-(1,2,3)-thiadiazole-7-carboxothioic acid (BTH), a salicylic acid agonist, has been used to induce autophagy (Yoshimoto et al., 2009; Zeng et al., 2021). DTT is known to induce ER stress-elicited autophagy (Liu et al., 2012). In general, GFP-OsATG8a driven by all three promoters responded mildly to the treatments and chemicals. A possible explanation is that the basal level autophagy is relatively high already in rice. Specifically, Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a responded relatively strongly to 200 μM BTH. ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a responded well to 250 mM NaCl and BTH, but not to 2 mM DTT. ProOsATG8a:GFP-OsATG8a responded nicely to moderate and severe salt stress, AZD8055, and DTT. A diagram illustrating the GFP cleavage assay and the chemicals used is presented (Figure 3F). E-64d is omitted from the diagram because its precise role in preserving GFP, or even GFP-ATG8, is currently unknown.

At this point, we noticed an interesting phenomenon. The T3 transgenic lines carrying 35S:GFP and Ubiquitin:GFP gave strong GFP bands (Figure 4A; Supplementary Figure S2B), validating the strength of the promoters. However, lines carrying GFP-OsATG8a driven by 35S, ubiquitin, or OsATG8a promoters had comparable protein levels of GFP-OsATG8a among themselves (Figure 4A, Supplementary Figure S2B). We then examined the transcript levels of the GFP-OsATG8a fusion gene and the endogenous OsATG8a with qRT-PCR (Figures 4B–E). To distinguish ectopic OsATG8a from the endogenous transcript, two pairs of primers were used for OsATG8a, with one targeting the coding sequence and the
other targeting the 3’-UTR (Figure 4B). Consistent with the protein levels (Figure 4A), when expressed alone, the GFP transcript can accumulate to high levels if driven by 35S or ubiquitin promoters (Figure 4C). In contrast, when expressed as GFP-OsATG8a, the transcript level of GFP, representing the fusion gene, was clearly repressed (Figure 4C), indicative of transcriptional regulation. OsATG8a transcripts, representing both endogenous and ectopic OsATG8a, accumulated in all GFP-ATG8s lines carrying the three constructs, however not very differently except for one line (Figure 4D), yet again suggesting a regulation at the transcription level. Judging from the levels of OsATG8a-UTR, the endogenous expression of OsATG8a was not very different in all lines including the wild type, suggesting that it is the transcription of the fusion gene that gets regulated (Figure 4E). Therefore, both transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of ATG8a have taken place in the transgenic lines carrying GFP-ATG8a, especially in Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a and ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a, resulting in comparable GFP-OsATG8a protein levels. The mechanism is currently unknown and awaits further study.

**Immunoprecipitation-Mass Spectrometry Analyses on the Three GFP-OsATG8a Transgenic Lines**

ATG8 is a star molecule in proteomic studies of autophagy. To see if the GFP-OsATG8a transgenic lines could be used for protein–protein interaction studies, GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation was performed using seedlings from three transgenic lines along with GFP control plants grown under control conditions (mock = Hoagland’s solution) or treated with...
Hoagland’s solution containing 150 mM NaCl for 1 h. The immuno-precipitated proteins were verified with SDS-PAGE and sent for mass spectrometry analyses.

From the eight conditions, 2,244 proteins from 8,120 peptides altogether were obtained. After subtracting the proteins identified in GFP control, 56, 97, and 86 proteins remained for Pro35S:GFP-OsATG8a, ProUBQ10:GFP-OsATG8a, and ProOsATG8a:GFP-OsATG8a under control conditions (Figure 5A). With salt treatment, 54, 106, and 97 proteins were identified as interacting partners for OsATG8a in the three lines, respectively (Figure 5B). The numbers of shared target proteins between different transgenic lines were also shown in the Venn diagrams (Figures 5A,B). To better describe the OsATG8a-interacting protein landscape, we mapped the proteins to the Arabidopsis proteome by batch BLAST and used the Arabidopsis protein with the lowest e-value to represent the rice protein. Then, we combined all target proteins identified from mock or NaCl treatment for Gene Ontology (GO) analysis using Cytoscape as described (Wu et al., 2022). Under controlled (mock) conditions, most enriched GO terms are trypothin metabolic processes, indolalkylamine metabolic processes, photosynthesis, and starch biosynthesis, indicative of growth-related processes like auxin biosynthesis and photosynthesis (Figure 5C). Such categorization is consistent with the knowledge that basal level autophagy constitutively recycles damaged and obsolete proteins. With salt stress, GO terms related to the amino acid metabolic processes, especially serine, aspartate, and cysteine metabolic processes overwhelmingly enriched (Figure 5D). Energy reserve and starch metabolic processes were also enriched (Figure 5D).

We also randomly generated a list of cytoplasmic proteins that have not been reported to interact with ATG8 (Supplementary Table S4) and searched their protein sequences to see whether they have LIR/AIM, suggesting that they may be ATG8-interacting partners.

Apart from the GO analysis, we manually examined the list of potential ATG8-interacting proteins and spotted some very interesting proteins. A manually annotated list is shown in Figure 5E. First of all, like all other ATG8/LC3 interactomes, essential membrane trafficking regulators were identified. These include SH3P2, the ATG8-, and PI3P-binding protein that regulates autophagosome formation; the COP11 coat proteins SEC13 and SEC24—COP1 vesicles have been revealed to participate in autophagosome formation; EXO70A1, the Exocyst component that had been reported to regulate root growth; Rab2, the Rab GTPase whose mammalian homolog have been reported to participate in autophagosome formation; the Guanosine nucleotide diphosphate dissociation inhibitor GDI1, which is general to Rab GTPases and ROP GTPases; TRS120, the TRAPPIII component that is required for cell plate formation; and CLASP, the microtubule-associated protein that regulates both cell division and cell expansion. Plasma membrane- and tonoplast-localized proteins, such as the P-ATPase AHA6, the sodium transporter HKT1, and the Vacuolar H⁺-Pyrophosphatase AVP1, were also identified. Furthermore, kinase and phosphatases that have been reported to regulate autophagy or stress response were seen in the list. These include the catalytic and regulatory subunits of the plant AMPK, SnRK1/KIN10, the key regulator in autophagy, response to carbon limitation, and circadian clock; Casein kinase 1, an ancient protein kinase that had been reported to regulate selective autophagy; PHOT2, a key blue light receptor and kinase that regulate response to high light; stress responsive kinases ABC1K10A and LEKRIV-IV; TOPP4, an evolutionarily conserved PP1 phosphatase that regulates plant growth and immune response; and ATB'GAMMA, a regulatory subunit of the PP2A phosphatase that is known to balance growth and defense. Other stress response proteins identified include UBPIB, a marker protein for stress granules that stores mRNA during stress conditions, as well as FIERY/HOS2, SAD2, ADH1, RPM1/RPS3, and PUP1 and PUP3, two purple acid phosphatases that function in response to low phosphate. We concluded that the potential OsATG8a-interacting proteins are worthy of further exploration, and the transgenic lines that we generated can be used by the community in future to identify new OsATG8a-interacting proteins under various developmental and stress conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we validated that transgenic lines carrying GFP-OsATG8a, either driven by the 35S, the ubiquitin, or the endogenous promoter, are suitable for monitoring autophagy in rice. An accidental yet interesting finding is that transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation occurred when GFP-OsATG8a is ectopically expressed in rice (Figure 4). Firstly, both the 35S and the ubiquitin promoter can strongly and effectively drive the expression of GFP. However, the expression levels of GFP-ATG8a, driven by the three promoters, are more or less comparable. We analyzed approximately a hundred lines carrying the three constructs with immunoblotting, yet a GFP-ATG8a line with the level of GFP-OsATG8a comparable to GFP driven by 35S or ubiquitin promoters was not found. For this reason, the promoter selection for the rice GFP-ATG8 marker line may not be as important as for the Arabidopsis GFP-ATG8 markers. It is likely that over-accumulation of the ATG8a protein could exert an adverse effect on reproduction and that a feed-back regulation likely exists for ATG8a to maintain a not-too-high level of the ATG8a protein. The detailed mechanism remains to be discovered. The transcription factors that may regulate the expression of OsATG8a remains unidentified; checking the rice homologs of the relevant Arabidopsis transcription factors may help to answer the question. A few transcription factors were identified from the IP-MS, and they are also worthy of further examination. Another possibility is through a miRNA that targets ATG8a. In animals, for instance, MIR204 and MIR33 have been reported to target LC3B to suppress autophagy; MIR143 and MIR133A-3p have been reported to target GABARAPL1 to induce autophagy, and MIR195 targets GABARAPL1 to repress autophagy (Akkoc and Gozuacik, 2020). Whether similar mechanism may exist in rice remains to be discovered.
FIGURE 5 | Identification of new ATG8-interacting proteins with immunoprecipitation-mass spectrometry analyses on GFP-OsATG8a marker lines. (A) Venn diagram showing the overlap in the number of proteins identified from three GFP-OsATG8a lines and the GFP control line, under normal growth condition (Mock). (B) Venn diagram showing the overlap in the number of proteins identified from three GFP-OsATG8a lines and the GFP control line, treated with 150 mM NaCl for 1 h (NaCl). (C) Gene Ontology (GO)-Biological Process (BP) enriched in all OsATG8a-interacting proteins (166 in total) identified from the three transgenic lines under normal growth condition (Mock). (D) GO-BP enriched in all 170 OsATG8a-interacting proteins identified after salt stress (NaCl). (E) Selected OsATG8a-interacting proteins, including regulators of autophagy and other trafficking routes, plasma membrane and tonoplast transporters, protein kinases and phosphatases, and other regulators of stress responses.
The transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation on ATG8a could also explain why the three GFP-Trap/Mass Spectrometry experiments generated similar lists of proteins (Figure 5). Repeated identification of the same proteins actually added more confidence to the IP-MS study. A number of proteins identified are worthy of further study and may help answer some existing questions in plant autophagy, such as which membranes or vesicles, apart from COPII vesicles (Zeng et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2022), may contribute to autophagosome formation. Early studies for plant autophagy had identified ATI1 and ATI2 as plant-specific ATG8-interacting proteins; both were uncovered through a Y2H screen (Honig et al., 2012). Both ATIs have recently been characterized as starvation-induced ER-phagy receptors for MSBP1 (Wu et al., 2021). We have recently reported a non-autophagy role for ScATG8 in promoting the degradation of vacuolar membrane proteins when the yeast cells approach the stationary phase (He et al., 2021). A similar process had previously been reported for the fission yeast (Liu et al., 2018), suggesting non-autophagy functions of ATG8 could be widespread. Interestingly, in this process, the vacuolar membrane protein that recruits ATG8 to the vicinity of tonoplast, Hfl1, was homologs to lazarus 1 (LAZ1) of Arabidopsis, which was initially identified as a suppressor of acd11-related cell death (Malinovsky et al., 2010). The transgenic lines generated in this study, with other screening methods, can be used to identify new OsATG8a-interacting proteins and even non-autophagy functions of plant ATG8s. We hope these rice autophagy marker lines will facilitate both the research and the applications of plant autophagy.
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