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Abstract

Steel plate shear walls (SPSWs) have been used as the primary or part of the primary lateral force-resisting system in design and retrofit of buildings. Development and use of low yield point (LYP) steel with considerably low yield stress and high elongation capacity nowadays provides the possibility to design SPSW systems with improved serviceability, structural performance, and enhanced energy absorption characteristics. This paper provides a review of recent studies performed by the first author on the seismic design and retrofit of SPSW systems using LYP steel material. The results and findings of these studies form the groundwork for future research in the design and detailing of cost-effective high-performing SPSW systems.
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Introduction

SPSWs are considered as efficient lateral force-resisting systems which have been in use since the 1970s and offer many advantages over other systems, e.g., rigid and braced frames as well as reinforced concrete shear walls, in terms of cost, performance, and ease of design. A proliferation of research work has been undertaken on the structural behavior and seismic performance of these systems and the results of these studies have been incorporated into design codes and specifications.

The application of SPSWs has been based on two different design philosophies and detailing strategies. Stiffened and/or stocky-web SPSWs with improved buckling stability and high seismic performance features, as mostly used in Japan, and unstiffened and slender-web SPSWs with relatively lower buckling and energy dissipation capacities, as widely used in the United States and Canada.

Presently the development and use of LYP steel with considerably low yield stress (80-120 MPa) and high elongation capacity provides the possibility to combine the merits of the two aforementioned design strategies resulting in high-performing SPSW systems which are cost-effective. Although some reported studies have demonstrated the advantages of LYP steel shear walls, various aspects of structural and seismic characteristics of these systems have not been fully investigated. In particular, the linkage between structural specifications and seismic performance and pathways to performance-based designs of these systems are largely undeveloped.

Considering the various advantages and capabilities of LYP steel shear walls, systematic and comprehensive investigations have been conducted by the first author in order to facilitate the seismic design and prevalent application of such promising lateral force-resisting and energy dissipating systems. A summary of the findings is presented herein with suggested directions to consider for future research.

Element-, Component-, and System-level Investigations

Element-level investigations were initially performed by Zirakian and Zhang on the buckling and yielding behavior of LYP steel plates as the primary lateral force-resisting and energy dissipating elements in SPSW systems [1]. Considering the early yielding of LYP steel plates, accurate determination of limiting plate thickness corresponding to simultaneous buckling and yielding can play an important role in efficient seismic design of LYP steel shear wall systems. In fact, early yielding of the LYP steel infill plate results in more energy absorption of the plate, which in turn decreases the amount of the energy absorbed by the frame members of the system. On this basis, detailed theoretical and numerical investigations were made to accurately determine limiting plate thickness criterion as an effective design parameter. It was shown that application of LYP steel material desirably decreases the limiting plate thickness; contrastingly, increasing the plate length-to-height ratio unfavorably results in larger plate thicknesses to initiate concurrent buckling and yielding of these systems (Figure 1).

For the component-level investigations, the stiffness, strength, and hysteretic performances of LYP steel shear wall panels (Figure 2) were investigated through detailed numerical simulations [2,3]. The effects of using LYP steel infill plates on the interaction between the frame and plate elements were also studied. It was shown that employment of LYP steel infill plate’s results in desirable structural behavior and plate-frame interactions. Based on such findings together with a detailed

Figure 1: Plate element.
Based on the lessons learned from the past and recent catastrophic seismic events alongside major advances made in the fields of structural and earthquake engineering, design methodologies are gradually moving from formerly prescriptive to more performance-based design philosophies. Development of fragility functions for probabilistic methodology may result in a more robust seismic performance assessment of the structural systems. Zhang and Zirakian performed a study on the seismic performance and vulnerability of structures employing SPSW systems and LYP steel infill material based on a probabilistic seismic demand analysis [5]. Appropriate seismic response and ground motion intensity parameters along with damage-and-repair states were selected, and structural and nonstructural drift and acceleration fragility functions (Figure 4) were developed using nonlinear time-history data. Through such efforts, the effectiveness of SPSW systems using LYP steel infill material to enhance the overall seismic performance while diminishing the vulnerability of buildings has been successfully demonstrated.

Concluding Remarks

In this paper, a summary of recent studies performed by the first author on the seismic design and retrofit of SPSW systems using LYP steel material was presented. Comprehensive and systematic studies were performed through element-level investigations on steel plates, component-level investigations on SPSW panels, and system-level investigations on multi-story steel frame-shear wall structures. The fragility methodology was also utilized by developing appropriate fragility functions for probabilistic seismic performance and vulnerability assessments of SPSW systems designed and retrofitted with both conventional as well as LYP steel infill plates. These recently-published studies indicate that LYP steel infill plates offer an excellent means toward the seismic design and retrofit of shear walls by rendering an overall high-performing, cost-effective system with excellent energy dissipation and serviceability characteristics. Further research is required to augment the existing state of knowledge and to eventually introduce effective design rules and recommendations into code particularly from a performance-based standpoint.
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