Abnormalities in Resting-State EEG Microstates are a Vulnerability Marker of Migraine
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Abstract

Background: Resting-state EEG microstates are thought to reflect brief activations of several interacting components of resting-state brain networks. Surprisingly, we still know little about the role of these microstates in migraine. In the present study, we attempted to address this issue by examining EEG microstates in patients with migraine without aura (MwoA) during the interictal period and comparing them with those of a group of healthy controls (HC).

Methods: Resting-state EEG was recorded in 61 MwoA patients (50 females) and 66 HC (50 females). Microstate parameters were compared between the two groups. We computed four widely identified canonical microstate classes A-D.

Results: Microstate classes B and D displayed higher time coverage and occurrence in the MwoA patient group than in the HC group, while microstate class C exhibited significantly lower time coverage and occurrence in the MwoA patient group. Meanwhile, the mean duration of microstate class C was significantly shorter in the MwoA patient group than in the HC group. Moreover, among the MwoA patient group, the duration of microstate class C correlated negatively with clinical measures of headache-related disability as assessed by the six-item Headache Impact Test (HIT-6). Finally, microstate syntax analysis showed significant differences in transition probabilities between the two groups, primarily involving microstate classes B, C and D.

Conclusions: By exploring EEG microstate characteristics at baseline we were able to explore the neurobiological mechanisms underlying altered cortical excitability and aberrant sensory, affective and cognitive processing, thus deepening our understanding of migraine pathophysiology.

Introduction

Migraine is characterized by recurrent headache attacks which exert a significant impact on the daily lives of sufferers (1, 2). Although our understanding of the exact pathogenetic mechanisms behind migraine remain incomplete, it is now widely accepted that migraine, at its core, represents a complex brain network disorder (3, 4). Over the last decade, we have witnessed remarkable progress in understanding the causes of migraine. Such progress can, to a large degree, be ascribed to an increased effort into examining the neural function of the migraine brain. Among others, measuring neural function with electrophysiological methods (i.e., Electroencephalogram, EEG) has been demonstrated to be an effective approach in describing migraine pathophysiology (5–8).

Within this domain, findings regarding migraine pathophysiology were primarily derived from task-oriented EEG studies that sought to determine electrophysiological activity associated with the performance of an explicit task (5). Such studies have provided compelling evidence showing that migraine is associated with a state of functional cortical disexcitability, usually reflected by abnormal cortical evoked responses, across sensory, affective and cognitive processes (9–11). Despite these encouraging results, this type of task-oriented EEG is unable to fully capture all aspects of migraine.
pathophysiology. Migraine-related neural abnormalities at rest represent a clear example of the limitations of such a method (12). For this reason, over the past decade we have witnessed a surge in interest in the use of resting-state EEG, in which migraineurs refrain explicit activity and usually keep their eyes closed, to evaluate neural abnormalities that cannot be identified using task-oriented EEG (13). The majority of previous resting-state EEG studies have focused on dynamic changes in spectral patterns and functional connectivity networks in migraineurs (14–20). This conventional resting-state EEG analysis relied primarily on the examination of power- or oscillation-related variation in different frequency bands that integrate brain activity over seconds. Although these studies have revealed some new insights into migraine-related neural abnormalities, such a traditional analysis is not capable of detecting spatial and temporal properties of resting-state brain networks that occur on shorter time scales (e.g., within fractions of seconds).

Compared to the traditional resting-state EEG analysis, by using multichannel EEG on a sub-second time scale, EEG microstate analysis offers the promise of capturing the spatiotemporal dynamics of several components of resting-state brain networks at the whole-brain level (21, 22). EEG microstates are often referred to as global patterns of spatial configurations of electric potentials that dynamically evolve over time in an organized manner (23). Two key properties of EEG microstates have consistently been identified across studies (24). First, although there are a large number of possible spatial configurations, they can typically be classified into four canonical classes, labeled A, B, C and D. These four classes typically explain 65–84% of total topographic variance (22). Second, a single configuration is a brief period (about 60–120 ms) in which its spatial configuration remains dominant and quasi-stable before rapidly transitioning to another configuration. These periods of quasi-stability of a single configuration are thus called “microstates”. These key properties may serve EEG microstates well in the detection of alterations in rapid, dynamic activity in large-scale resting state brain networks in neuropsychiatric disorders. Over the past decade, an increasing number of resting-state EEG studies have demonstrated the potential utility of a set of EEG microstate parameters (duration, occurrence, time coverage and syntax) in detecting neurophysiological changes underlying certain neuropsychiatric disorders (21, 22). To date, previous research has identified alterations in certain microstate parameters in neuropsychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia (25), major depressive disorder (26), panic disorder (27), autism spectrum disorder (28, 29), Alzheimer's disease (30) and Parkinson's disease (31). Overall, previous work clearly suggests an intriguing relationship between features of certain EEG microstates and the neurophysiological basis of these neuropsychiatric disorders. These findings can in turn provide us with novel insights into the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying such disorders.

Surprisingly, our current understanding of the characteristics of resting-state EEG microstates in migraineurs is still lacking. To this end, we evaluated EEG microstates in MwoA patients during the interictal period, the period between seizures, in comparison with a group of HC participants. Based on previous brain imaging studies reporting aberrant resting-state brain networks associated with migraine (32–34), we expected to observe changes in certain microstate parameters in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC group.
Methods And Materials

Participants

We based the participant recruitment procedure on our recent study (10). The patient group comprised of 61 MwoA patients (age =32.79 ± 0.88, 50 females), all of whom had received diagnoses by trained neurologists (Z.D. and S.Y.) as well as neuropsychologists (G.C. and J.L.). Each patient kept a headache diary and completed structured questionnaires on demographics, headache profile, medical history, and medication use. The headache profile examined migraine history (years), the frequency (amount per month) and duration (days per month) of headaches, the severity of migraines, and included the six-item Headache Impact Test (HIT)-6, Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAMA) and Hamilton Depression Scale (HAMD). The inclusion criteria for MwoA patients were: 1) fulfilling the diagnosed criteria for migraine according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (ICHD-3); 2) a history of at least two years of migraine and at least one migraine episode per month and 3) the period, during the resting state EEG recording, between the last migraine or headache and the next one (the interictal period). Moreover, individuals were to be excluded according to the following criteria: 1) neurological diseases (i.e., epilepsy, cerebral infarction, encephalitis, neuromuscular disorders); 2) mental retardation; 3) a current or past history of substance dependence; 4) receiving prophylactic anti-migraine therapy; 5) depressive and anxiety disorders (scores more than 7 points in HAMA and HAMD). We also employed an age- and sex-matched healthy control group consisting of 66 healthy volunteers (age = 31.44 ± 0.57, 50 females), none of whom reported any personal or family history of psychiatric or neurological disorders. This was confirmed by both a self-reported past history and a psychiatric examination of present mental state using the DSM-IV criteria of axis I. None of the female participants from either group took any oral contraceptives for at least 1 week prior to involvement in this study. All participants in the two groups were right-handed and signed informed consent forms. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Chinese PLA General Hospital. Demographic and clinical characteristics are described in Table 1.
Table 1
Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study sample.

|                          | MwoA patients (n = 61) | HC (n = 66) | Group comparison |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|
|                          | (M ± SD)               | (M ± SD)    | t(125) = 1.78, p = 0.08 |
| Age, years               | 32.79 ± 6.83           | 31.44 ± 4.63|                  |
| Gender (F/M)             | (50/11)                | (50/16)     | χ² = 0.41, p = 0.52 |
| Education, years         | 15.76 ± 3.16           | 15.87 ± 3.08|                  |
| BMI [kg/m²]              | 21.56 ± 3.02           | 21.81 ± 2.74|                  |
| MoCA                     | 28.18 ± 1.12           | 28.50 ± 0.90|                  |
| Duration of migraine, days per month | 4.38 ± 3.12 |                  |                  |
| History of migraine, years | 11.38 ± 6.47   |                  |                  |
| Migraine frequency, times per month | 3.15 ± 1.74 |                  |                  |
| Severity of headache (VAS scale) | 7.72 ± 1.55 |                  |                  |
| HIT-6                    | 65.85 ± 6.91           |             |                  |

VAS, visual analog scale, with 0 indicating no pain and 10 worst possible pain; BMI, body mass index; MoCA, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment; HIT-6, the six-item Headache Impact Test; M, mean; SD, standard deviation; HC, healthy controls; MwoA, migraine without aura.

EEG data acquisition and preprocessing

We employed an EEG data recording procedure similar to that described in our previous studies (10, 35, 36). Resting-state EEG data were recorded (SynAmps amplifier, NeuroScan) with a quick cap carrying 64 Ag/AgCl electrodes placed at standard locations covering the whole scalp (the extended international 10–20 system). The reference electrode was attached to the right mastoid (M2), and the ground electrode was placed on the forehead. The vertical electrooculogram (VEOG) was recorded with electrodes placed above and below the left eye. The horizontal electrooculogram (HEOG) was recorded using electrodes placed beside the two eyes. Impedance was kept below 5 kΩ. Electrophysiological data were continuously recorded with a bandwidth 0.05–100 Hz and sampled at a rate of 1000 Hz. All participants were asked to keep their eyes closed and to relax throughout the recording period (4 min).

Offline EEG data were down-sampled to 500 Hz and preprocessed using EEGLAB 2021.0 (37). Preprocessing analysis was consistent with the procedure reported in previous work (29, 38, 39). Specifically, the raw EEG data were filtered with a bandpass of 0.5 – 70 Hz and a notch (50 Hz) filter. Upon visual inspection, epochs with artefacts caused by movement or poor signal were detected and
removed manually. Independent component analysis (ICA) was then used to remove eye movements-, muscular- and bad channel-related artifacts. Subsequently, data were divided into 2s duration segments. Finally, data were re-referenced to common average reference.

**EEG microstate analysis**

Consistent with recent work (38), we performed microstate analysis using the Microstate Analysis plug-in (Version 1.1) for EEGLAB (37). This adhered to well-established standard procedures reported in previous studies (40, 41). Briefly, preprocessed EEG data were digitally filtered with a band pass of 2–20 Hz. Then, we computed the Global Field Power (GFP) for each participant, which represents the overall potential variance across all electrodes at each sample in time. Given that EEG scalp topographies around the peaks of the GFP remain stable, we only extracted and submitted topographies at the momentary peaks of GFP to subsequent analysis. Four classes of microstate topography have previously been found to optimally account for EEG data variance and have frequently been adopted in the existing research on neuropsychiatric disorders (22). We thus computed four microstate class topographies in the present study using a modified version of the K-mean clustering algorithm (42). Microstate class topographies for each group were calculated separately using a permutation algorithm that minimized common variance across participants (43). We labeled the four microstate classes as A, B, C or D according to their similarities to the microstate class topographies reported in previous work (21). For each participant, four microstate parameters were computed for each class: mean duration (ms) (the average time that a given microstate was continuously present), occurrence (the mean number of a given microstate per second), time coverage (%) (the percentage of total analysis time spent in a given microstate) and syntax (the transition from each of the four microstate classes to any other microstate classes).

**Statistical analysis**

We used a non-parametric chi-square test to assess group differences in gender ratio. Independent sample t-tests were employed to examine between-group differences in age, years of education and body mass index (BMI). To assess between-group differences in microstate parameters (mean duration, occurrence and time coverage), we ran three separate mixed analyses of variance (ANOVA), with group as a between-participants factor (MwoA patients versus HC) and microstate class (A versus B versus C versus D) as a within-participants factor. Regarding microstate syntax analysis, we followed a procedure described in previous work (26, 39, 44, 45), in which we computed the percentage of transitions from one microstate class to another. We achieved this by calculating the relative observed occurrence of transitions from one microstate class to all other classes. After normalization, we obtained the percentage for each possible transition for every participant in this study. Subsequently, while Bonferroni correcting for multiple comparisons, we performed a two-sample t-test on each pair of microstate class transitions to examine whether there were significant between-group differences in the transition probabilities. Finally, for microstates showing significant between-group differences, we performed Pearson’s correlations to assess the relationships between microstate parameters and clinical measures.
All data were analyzed using R (version 4.1.0). Statistical comparisons were made at p-values of $p < .05$, with the Greenhouse–Geisser correction when violations of sphericity occurred.

**Results**

**Microstate maps**

The topographies of 4 dominant microstate classes strongly resembled those reported in previous work (21, 22, 39, 44). These four microstate classes explained more than 77% of the global variance in each group (79.58% in the MwoA patient group and 77.45% in the HC group) (Figure 1). Therefore, we were able to categorize them as microstate classes A, B, C, and D.

**Mean duration (ms)**

A mixed ANOVA did not reveal a significant main effect of either group ($F(1, 125) = 1.72, p = 0.19$) or microstate class ($F(3, 375) = 1.33, p = 0.26$). However, we found a significant group × microstate class interaction ($F(3, 375) = 15.49, p < .001$). An analysis of simple effects revealed that the mean duration of microstate class C was shorter in the MwoA patient group than in the HC group ($p < .001$) (Table 2, Figure 2A).
Table 2
MwoA patients vs. healthy controls for all microstate parameters and for each microstate class.

| Microstate | MwoA patients (M ± SD) | HC (M ± SD) |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| **Mean duration (ms)** | | |
| Class A    | 65.13 ± 10.94           | 68.06 ± 8.50 |
| Class B    | 64.99 ± 8.23            | 64.74 ± 9.67 |
| Class C    | 61.44 ± 8.70            | 69.45 ± 11.52 |
| Class D    | 63.61 ± 10.03           | 67.25 ± 9.68 |
| **Occurrence (/s)** | | |
| Class A    | 3.86 ± 0.62             | 3.83 ± 0.70  |
| Class B    | 3.99 ± 0.67             | 3.72 ± 0.62  |
| Class C    | 3.70 ± 0.65             | 4.04 ± 0.51  |
| Class D    | 4.18 ± 0.62             | 3.76 ± 0.55  |
| **Time coverage (%)** | | |
| Class A    | 24.55 ± 5.18            | 25.51 ± 4.81 |
| Class B    | 25.41 ± 4.11            | 23.64 ± 4.52 |
| Class C    | 22.41 ± 4.62            | 27.30 ± 4.82 |
| Class D    | 27.62 ± 5.15            | 23.56 ± 4.45 |

M, mean; SD, standard deviation; HC, healthy controls; MwoA, migraine without aura

**Occurrence (Times/S)**

Using a mixed ANOVA, we found no significant main effects of either group (F(1, 125) = 1.65, p = 0.20) or microstate class (F(3, 375) = 1.64, p = 0.18). However, there was a significant interaction between group and microstate class (F(3, 375) = 12.58, p < .001). An analysis of simple effects revealed that microstate classes B (p < .05) and D (p < .001) were significantly more frequent in the MwoA patient group than in the HC group, while the microstate class C was significantly less frequent in the MwoA patient group than in the HC group (p < .01) (Table 2, Figure 2B).

**Time Coverage (%)**

A mixed ANOVA failed to reveal significant main effects for either group (F(1, 125) = 1.02, p = 0.32) or microstate class (F(3, 375) = 0.85, p = 0.46). However, we did find a significant interaction between group
and microstate class (F(3, 375) = 15.84, p < .001). An analysis of simple effects revealed that microstate classes B (p < .05) and D (p < .001) covered significantly more time in the MwoA patient group than in the HC group, while microstate class C covered significantly less time in the MwoA patient group than in the HC group (p < .001) (Table 2, Figure 2C).

**Microstate syntax**

The percentage of observed transitions from one microstate class to all other classes in the MwoA patient and HC groups is shown in Table 3. We performed t tests to examine observed transition probabilities between groups and found that, compared to the HC group, the MwoA patient group showed a bias toward making fewer transitions from one microstate to another. Specifically, we observed this bias between the following microstates: A to C (t(125) = 4.81, p < .001); from B to C (t(125) = 3.39, p < .05); from C to A (t(125) = 5.23, p < .001) and from C to B (t(125) = 3.54, p < .01) (Figure 3). In contrast, we found that the MwoA patient group showed a bias toward making more transitions from B to D (t(125) = -4.69, p < .001) and from D to B (t(125) = -5.64, p < .001) than the HC group (Figure 3).

**Table 3**
Percentage of transitions from one microstate class to all other classes in MwoA patients and healthy controls.

| Transition | MwoA patients (M ± SD) | HC (M ± SD) |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|
| A to B     | 7.92 ± 1.74            | 7.42 ± 1.79 |
| A to C     | 7.00 ± 1.82            | 8.58 ± 1.87 |
| A to D     | 8.67 ± 1.81            | 7.92 ± 2.04 |
| B to A     | 8.06 ± 1.76            | 7.33 ± 1.78 |
| B to C     | 7.38 ± 1.84            | 8.54 ± 1.97 |
| B to D     | 8.88 ± 2.14            | 7.29 ± 1.65 |
| C to A     | 6.99 ± 1.74            | 8.71 ± 1.93 |
| C to B     | 7.30 ± 1.84            | 8.51 ± 1.98 |
| C to D     | 8.24 ± 1.70            | 8.29 ± 1.78 |
| D to A     | 8.53 ± 1.89            | 7.88 ± 2.05 |
| D to B     | 9.14 ± 2.17            | 7.34 ± 1.36 |
| D to C     | 8.15 ± 1.79            | 8.36 ± 1.92 |

M, mean; SD, standard deviation; HC, healthy controls; MwoA, migraine without aura
Correlation between microstate parameters and clinical measures

Our correlation analysis only revealed a significantly negative correlation between the mean duration of microstate class C and HIT-6 scores in the MwoA patient group ($r = -0.27$, $p < .05$) (Figure 4).

Discussion

The present study demonstrate the presence of abnormalities in resting-state EEG microstates among MwoA patients. Overall, MwoA patients exhibited divergent temporal microstate profiles compared to those in the HC group. Moreover, the MwoA patient group, relative to the HC group, displayed multiple distinct microstate transition probabilities, which primarily involved microstate classes B, C and D. These findings Here, we will discuss how these findings may help to shed light on migraine pathophysiology.

Microstate class B and the visual network (VN)

We found a significant change in this microstate class B (time coverage and occurrence) in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC group. Microstate class B has been shown to be linked to activities in the VN encompassing the bilateral lateral extrastriate visual areas (46). A clear change in this microstate class may thus represent underlying structural abnormalities in this area in MwoA patients. This argument is supported by previous anatomical imaging studies reporting anatomical alterations in the VN (e.g., increased cortical thickness) in MwoA patients (47). Consistent with the notion that brain areas showing structural abnormalities in migraineurs also show functional alterations, structural alterations in the VN may provide an explanation for the functional changes that we observe in microstate class B.

Meanwhile, such a change in microstate class B may also indicate functional alterations of the VN in MwoA patients at baseline. That is, these results reflect activity occurring default functional states that are independent of any task performance. Occurrence and time coverage of a particular microstate class have usually been interpreted to reflect the tendency of its underlying cortical and subcortical sources to be activated as well as the corresponding relative time coverage of such underlying neural activities (22). It is thus reasonable to speculate that an increase in these parameters of microstate class B at rest in MwoA patients may indicate an enhanced likelihood of neural activation of the VN in response to visual events. This speculation is indeed supported by previous functional studies in migraineurs which have converged to reveal a functional impairment in the form of visual cortex hyperexcitability in migraineurs (48–50). In this sense, our observation of increased microstate class B activity at rest may provide a potential neurobiological mechanism to explain the visual disturbances and visual hyperactivity in the visual system of MwoA patients.

It should be noted that patients in the present study all suffered from migraines without aura. The effect observed in these patients adds to a growing literature showing that visual hyperactivity in the visual system can also be found in MwoA patients (51–53). In spite of some ongoing controversy (54) and their
differing clinical symptoms (55), our finding provides adds support to the viewpoint that similar pathogenic mechanisms may be shared among all migraine patients, both with visual aura (usually with coexisting visual disturbances) and without aura.

**Microstate class C and the salience network (SN)**

In contrast to microstate class B, we found a significant decrease in microstate class (mean duration, occurrence and time coverage) in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC group. This microstate class has been related to the salience network (SN) focusing mainly on the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) and anterior insula (AI) (46). Decreased microstate class C may be associated with the structural abnormalities in the SN that have been reported by previous anatomical imaging studies (56). These three microstate parameters have been interpreted to reflect three components: the average length of time a given microstate class remains stable; the tendency of its underlying cortical and subcortical sources to be activated; and the corresponding relative time coverage of such underlying neural activities (22). Thus, a decrease in these three parameters in microstate class C may suggest a functional impairment of the SN in MwoA patients at baseline. This would be consistent with previous resting-state fMRI studies showing reduced intrinsic connectivity within the SN in these patients (57).

Regarding the functional significance of the SN, several views have emerged to provide a possible explanation of its activity during resting state. A prevailing view points to the well-established role of the SN in interceptive awareness and sensory processing of salient events (58, 59). This view emphasizes its role in detecting and filtering salient stimuli and in coordinating other brain networks (e.g., central-executive network (CEN)) to guide behavior. In addition to this view, recent studies have begun to identify a specific role of this network in inhibitory control (60, 61). Despite some controversy, one line of evidence supports the notion that the SN integrates salient information that is subsequently used by the CEN, including the inferior frontal cortex (IFC), for recruiting inhibition (61, 62). This implies an indirect involvement of salience processing in inhibitory control. Therefore, we can speculate that dysfunctional SN may lead to an aberrant assignment of salience to sensory stimuli. This is then improperly, or incompletely, processed by the CEN and ultimately causes reduced involvement of the CEN in recruiting inhibition in MwoA patients. From this perspective, it is possible that the aberrant role of salience processing in inhibitory control in MwoA patients is related to a hypervigilance to salient events (e.g., ongoing pain and sensory stimuli), which are common triggers for migraine headaches. This argument is partly supported by aberrant syntax patterns in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC group observed in the present study. A reduction in transitions from microstate class C to microstate class B and A seems to implicate decreased connectivity from the SN to the primary sensory networks. Such a pattern may then lead to an increase in cortical excitability and sensory gain, as implied by our observation of increased microstate class B in association with more engagement of the VN in MwoA patients. This finding is in agreement with previous work showing decreased SN and CEN connectivity (57) and decreased SN and VN connectivity in migraineurs (63–65). More importantly, these findings further indicate that the SN may stand at a ‘crossroads’ in the network architecture of the migraine brain and consequently may represent a potential target for improving the adverse impact of headache on daily
functions in sufferers (63). Our observation of negative associations in the MwoA patient group between the mean duration of microstate class C and Hit-6 scores, which measure the adverse impact of headache on social functioning, role functioning, vitality, cognitive functioning and psychological distress, appears to support this argument.

**Microstate class D and the dorsal attention network (DAN)**

Finally, we observed a significant increase in time coverage and occurrence of the microstate class D in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC group. In accordance with previous work (46), this microstate class is related to activities in the DAN including the dorsal areas of the frontal and parietal cortex. Our finding thus implies a functional impairment in this network among MwoA patients. Increases in these two parameters for microstate class D at baseline seems to suggest a potential hyperexcitability of the DAN to incoming sensory stimuli. This is consistent with recent brain imaging studies showing an increase in neural responses to both attended and unattended stimuli in the key regions of the DAN (66, 67). Furthermore, the functional significance of the DAN has been argued to reflect reflexive aspects of attention, such as switching and reorientation of attention to relevant information (68). From this, we can speculate that migraineurs may exhibit an exaggerated pattern of reflexive orienting responses to incoming sensory stimuli. This argument is indeed supported by previous work showing heightened reflexive visual-spatial orienting to attended and nonattended events (69–71). In this sense, the change in microstate class D at baseline that we observed in MwoA patients would thus be associated with alterations in top-down and/or bottom-up attention during task performance. Such an interpretation receives support from our observation of aberrant syntax patterns in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC group. Here, we observed increased transitions from microstate class D to microstate class B, a finding that is also consistent with previous resting-state studies showing an increased functional connectivity between the DAN and VN (64, 72). Thus, it is possible that such atypical syntax patterns in the MwoA patient group at baseline may provide a potential neurobiological explanation for the enhanced attentional focus toward visual events described above.

**Potential limitations**

Despite the relatively large sample size used in the present study, we should take several potential limitations into account. First, only MwoA patients were involved in the present study. Thus, it remains unclear as to whether these findings can be generalized to other types of migraine groups, such as patients suffering from migraine with aura (MA) and chronic migraine patients. It would be important to address this issue in future studies mainly because different pathophysiological mechanisms have been found to play a role in these different types of headache syndromes (73, 74). Second, the present study is not capable of allowing us to identify whether deviant temporal microstate profiles found in MwoA patients represent the trait or state nature of microstate abnormalities. Taking this into account in future studies would further help to shed additional light on migraine pathophysiology.

**Conclusion**
In sum, in this study we showed divergent temporal microstate profiles and aberrant microstate syntax patterns in the MwoA group compared to the HC group. The divergent temporal microstate profiles in the MwoA patient group reflect an increase in baseline brain activities in the VN and the DAN, alongside a reduction in neural activities in the SN at baseline. Moreover, we were also able to observe a decrease in transitions from the SN to the VN as well as an increase in transitions from the DAN and the VN in MwoA patients. This is consistent with previous research demonstrating aberrant functional connectivity among diversely distributed resting-state brain networks in migraines. Taken together, these findings may provide a neurophysiological mechanism to explain the altered cortical excitability, enhanced attentional focus toward sensory events and enhanced sensory gain that is present in migraines.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Spatial configuration of the four microstate classes, separately for MwoA patients and healthy controls. Each row shows the four topographic configurations (A-D) for each group. MwoA, migraine without aura.
Figure 2

Microstate analysis of temporal parameter results. (A) Violin plots showing the mean duration of each microstate class in each of the two groups. The decreased duration of microstate class C was found in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC group; (B) Violin plots showing the occurrence of each microstate class in each of the two groups. The increased occurrence of microstate classes B and D, but decreased occurrence of microstate class C, were found in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC.
group; (C) Violin plots showing the time coverage of each microstate class in each of the two groups. The increased time coverage of microstate classes B and D, but decreased time coverage of microstate class C, were found in the MwoA patient group compared to the HC group. MwoA, migraine without aura; HC, healthy controls; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001.

Figure 3

Schematic view of microstate syntax analysis results. (A) Significant differences in transition probabilities for each pair of microstate class between MwoA patients and healthy controls were found. The MwoA patient group had a bias toward fewer transitions from A to C, B to C, C to A and C to B than the HC group. In contrast, the MwoA patient group had a bias toward more transitions from B to D and D to B than the HC group. MwoA, migraine without aura; HC, healthy controls.
Figure 4

Microstate class C association with clinical measures. Scatterplot of mean duration of microstate class C and the six-item Headache Impact Test (HIT-6) scores in MwoA patients. MwoA, migraine without aura.

$r = -0.27, p = 0.034$