Form-factors in the Baxter–Bazhanov–Stroganov model I: norms and matrix elements
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Abstract
We continue our investigation of the $\mathbb{Z}_N$-Baxter–Bazhanov–Stroganov model using the method of separation of variables [1]. In this paper, we calculate the norms and matrix elements of a local $\mathbb{Z}_N$-spin operator between eigenvectors of the auxiliary problem. For the norm the multiple sums over the intermediate states are performed explicitly. In the case $N = 2$, we solve the Baxter equation and obtain form-factors of the spin operator of the periodic Ising model on a finite lattice.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Hk, 75.10.Jm, 05.50.+q, 02.30.Ik

1. Introduction

The Baxter–Bazhanov–Stroganov model (BBS model, also known as the $\tau^{(2)}$ model) [2–5] has attracted considerable interest, because via functional relations it is related to the solvable $\mathbb{Z}_N$-chiral Potts model (CPM). Solving these functional relations has been the main method to calculate the eigenvalues of the CPM [6]. However, also by itself, the BBS model is an interesting lattice spin model with cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_N$ spin-variables, which is closely related to the six-vertex model at roots of unity [4, 7, 8]. The BBS model in its vertex formulation can be solved using the functional Bethe ansatz or separation of variables (SoV) method [9]. For $N = 2$ it is equivalent to a generalized free-fermion Ising model [10].

Using the formulation of the BBS model in terms of cyclic $L$-operators [4, 7, 11] in [1], we developed a general method for the construction of its transfer matrix eigenvectors. Our approach is an adaptation of the SoV method of [9, 12] to $N$-state spin chain models. In order to find the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix we first obtain the eigenvectors of a certain
auxiliary integrable system. Commuting integrals of this auxiliary system are generated by the off-diagonal elements of the monodromy matrix of the BBS model. Then the eigenvectors of the auxiliary system serve as building blocks for the eigenvectors of the periodic BBS model. The multi-variable kernel which relates the respective eigenvectors can be presented as the product of single variable functions (SoV). Each of these functions satisfies the Baxter equation. In [1], we showed that the existence of non-trivial solutions of these equations is equivalent to the well-known functional relations [2, 4, 5] for the transfer matrix of the BBS model.

The goal of this paper is to use the explicit constructions of [1] for calculating matrix elements or form-factors of local operators of the BBS model. Since the eigenvectors are given in terms of multiple summations, the main concern of our calculations is to perform these sums explicitly in order to get factorized expressions for the form-factors and norms. For \( N = 2 \) from the results of our first paper we calculate spin operator matrix elements of the generalized Ising model.

We hope that the methods developed in [1] and in this paper will allow to find analytical formulae for matrix elements of the CPM.

We would like to mention the paper [13], where matrix elements of local operators for the Toda chain are calculated using the eigenvectors of [12].

This paper is organized as follows. In sections 2 and 3, we recall the results of [1] for the right eigenfunctions of \( B_n(\lambda) \), adding the analogous results for the respective left eigenfunctions, pointing out the differences. Then we calculate the overlap of the right and left eigenfunctions of \( B_n(\lambda) \), i.e. the norms. Here the main result will be that the sum over intermediate quantum numbers can be performed explicitly. In section 4 we pass to the periodic case and give the Baxter equations. In section 5, we concentrate on the case \( N = 2 \) and calculate the overlap of the eigenvectors of the periodic transfer matrix \( t_n(\lambda) = A_n(\lambda) + D_n(\lambda) \) for the homogeneous BBS model. At the end of section 5 we also write a result for the matrix elements of the single particle operators \( u_p \), which still has multiple summations over discrete internal variables. In section 6, using explicit solutions of the Baxter equation for the case of the homogeneous Ising model, we announce the factorized expression for the matrix elements of the one site spin operator where all internal summations have been performed. The details of this calculation will be given in a forthcoming paper [16]. These will prove a result conjectured by Bugrij and Lisovyy for the matrix elements of the spin operator in the Ising model on a finite lattice [14, 15]. Finally, section 7 summarizes our results and the appendix explains our summation technique.

2. \( L \)-operator formulation of the BBS model

We define the BBS model as a quantum chain model in the \( L \)-operator vertex formulation (the relation to the formulation as a face model can be found in [1, 2]). To each site \( k \) of the quantum chain we associate a cyclic \( L \)-operator [4, 7, 11] acting in a two-dimensional auxiliary space

\[
L_k(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 + \lambda \chi_k v_k, & \lambda u_k^{-1} (a_k - b_k v_k) \\
u_k (c_k - d_k v_k), & \lambda a_k c_k + v_k b_k d_k / \chi_k
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(1)

At each site \( k \) there are ultra-local Weyl elements \( u_k \) and \( v_k \) obeying the commutation rules and normalization:

\[
u_i u_k = u_k u_i, \quad v_j v_k = v_k v_j, \quad u_j v_k = \omega^{j-k} v_k u_j,
\omega = e^{2\pi i / N}, \quad u_k^N = v_k^N = 1.
\]
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parameters such that $rk$ follows. Let us fix some value of $rk$ to satisfy $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Instead of $|\gamma\rangle_k$ and $\langle \gamma |$ we will use the vectors $|\psi_\rho\rangle = \sum \gamma wP(\gamma - \rho)|\gamma\rangle_k$. The coordinates of the Fermat curve points $p^l_k = (x^l_k, y^l_k)$ and $p^R_k = (x^R_k, y^R_k)$ are defined as follows. Let us fix some value of $r_k$ to satisfy $r^l_k = a^l_k - b^l_k$. (We shall consider generic parameters such that $r_k \neq 0$.) Then

$\lambda$ is the spectral parameter. We have five parameters $x_k, a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k$ per site. At each site $k$ we define a $N$-dimensional linear space (quantum space) $V_k$ with the basis $|\gamma\rangle_k, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, the dual space $V_k^*$ with the basis $k \langle \gamma |, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ and the natural pairing $k \langle \gamma | \gamma\rangle_k = \delta_{\gamma,\gamma'}$. In $V_k$ the Weyl elements $u_k$ and $v_k$ act by the formulae

$$u_k |\gamma\rangle_k = \omega^\gamma |\gamma\rangle_k, \quad v_k |\gamma\rangle_k = |\gamma + 1\rangle_k.$$

In $V_k^*$ these Weyl elements act as follows:

$$k \langle \gamma | u_k \rangle_k = \langle \gamma | \omega^\gamma, \quad k \langle \gamma | v_k \rangle_k = \langle \gamma - 1 |.$$

The monodromy matrix for the quantum chain with $n$ sites is defined by

$$T_n(\lambda) = L_1(\lambda) L_2(\lambda) \cdots L_n(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_n(\lambda) & B_n(\lambda) \\ C_n(\lambda) & D_n(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$  (2)

The transfer matrix is defined to be the trace in the auxiliary space $t_n(\lambda) = tr T_n(\lambda) = A_n(\lambda) + D_n(\lambda)$. This quantum chain is integrable because the L-operators (1) are intertwined by the twisted 6-vertex $R$-matrix at roots of unity. It leads to $[t_n(\lambda), t_n(\mu)] = 0$ and so $t_n(\lambda)$ is the generating function for the commuting set of non-local and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians $H_0, \ldots, H_n$ of the model

$$t_n(\lambda) = H_0 + H_1 \lambda + \ldots + H_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + H_n \lambda^n.$$  

Following Sklyanin [9], we shall first solve the eigenvalue problem for this last set of commuting operators, which turns out to be possible by a recursive procedure. After this, the periodic problem will be related to this auxiliary result by the Baxter equations. After proper normalization by a Sklyanin’s measure, the kernel of the $Q$-operator factorizes into a product of single variable functions (SoV).

3. Eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$

3.1. New basis vectors, one-site states

In the root of unity case an important role is played by the cyclic function $w_p(\gamma)$ [17] which depends on the $\mathbb{Z}_N$-variable $\gamma$ and on a point $p = (x, y)$ on the Fermat curve $x^N + y^N = 1$. We define $w_p(\gamma)$ by the difference equation

$$\frac{w_p(\gamma)}{w_p(\gamma - 1)} = \frac{y}{1 - \omega^\gamma x}, \quad w_p(0) = 1, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_N. \quad (3)$$

The Fermat curve restriction guarantees the cyclic property: $w_p(\gamma + N) = w_p(\gamma)$. The function $w_p(\gamma)$ is a root of unity analogue of the $\eta$-function.

It is convenient to change the bases in the spaces $V_k$ and $V_k^*$. Instead of $|\gamma\rangle_k$ and $\langle \gamma |, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we will use the vectors $|\psi_\rho\rangle_k = \sum \gamma wP(\gamma - \rho)|\gamma\rangle_k$. The coordinates of the Fermat curve points $p^l_k = (x^l_k, y^l_k)$ and $p^R_k = (x^R_k, y^R_k)$ are defined as follows. Let us fix some value of $r_k$ to satisfy $r^l_k = a^l_k - b^l_k$. (We shall consider generic parameters such that $r_k \neq 0$.) Then

$$x_k = x_k^l = \frac{r_k}{a_k}, \quad y_k = \frac{b_k}{a_k}, \quad y_k^l = \frac{b_k}{\omega a_k},$$

$$y_k = y_k^R = \frac{b_k}{a_k},$$

$$y_k^l = \frac{b_k}{\omega a_k},$$

$$y_k^R = \frac{b_k}{a_k}.$$
Observe that $y^k = \omega y^{k+1}$, while $x^k = x^{k+1}$. The vectors (4) are eigenvectors of the upper off-diagonal matrix element $\lambda u_k^{-1}(a_k - b_k v_k)$ of the operator $L_k$:

$$\lambda u_k^{-1}(a_k - b_k v_k)|\psi_p\rangle_k = \lambda r k \omega^{-r_k} |\psi_p\rangle_k,$$

$$k \langle \psi_p | \lambda u_k^{-1}(a_k - b_k v_k) = \lambda r k \omega^{-r_k} \langle \psi_p |.$$  

(5)

(6)

The first equality has been proved in [1], the second relation follows very similarly. The action of the operator $v_k$ is

$$k \langle \psi_p | v_k = k (\psi_{p-1}), \quad v_k | \psi_p \rangle_k = | \psi_{p+1} \rangle_k.$$

3.2. Right and left eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$ for the general chain length $n$

The eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$ are labelled by the vector $\rho_n = (\rho_{n,0}, \ldots, \rho_{n,n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_N)^n$. Let us further define

$$\tilde{\rho}_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho_{n,k}; \quad \hat{\rho}_n = (\rho_{n,1}, \ldots, \rho_{n,n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_N)^{n-1}$$

and $\rho_n^{\pm k}$ denotes the vector $\rho_n$ in which $\rho_{n,k}$ is replaced by $\rho_{n,k} \pm 1$, i.e.

$$\rho_n^{\pm k} = (\rho_{n,0}, \ldots, \rho_{n,k} \pm 1, \ldots, \rho_{n,n-1}), \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1.$$

The following formulae, which were derived in [1], give an iterative procedure to obtain the eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$ from eigenvectors of $B_{n-1}(\lambda)$ and single site vectors defined by (4).

The vector

$$| \Psi_{\rho_n} \rangle = \sum_{\rho_{n-1} \in (\mathbb{Z}_N)^{n-1}} Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n) | \Psi_{\rho_{n-1}} \rangle \otimes | \Psi_{\rho_n} \rangle_n,$$

(7)

where

$$Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n) = w_{\rho_{n,0}}(\rho_{n,0} - \rho_{n-1,0} - 1)w_{\rho_n}(\rho_n - 1)$$

$$\prod_{l=1}^{n-2} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} w_{\rho_{n,l}^{\pm k}}(\rho_{n,l} - \rho_{n,l-1}^{\pm k}) w_{\rho_{n,1}^{\pm k}}(\rho_{n,1} - \rho_{n,0}^{\pm k}) \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} w_{\rho_{n-1,l}^{\pm k}}(\rho_{n-1,l} - \rho_{n-1,l-1}^{\pm k})$$

$$\prod_{l=1}^{n-2} w_{\rho_{n-1,l}^{\pm k}}(\rho_{n-1,l} - \rho_{n-1,l-1}^{\pm k})$$

(8)

is right eigenvector of $B_n(\lambda)$,

$$B_n(\lambda)| \Psi_{\rho_n} \rangle = \lambda r_{n,0} \omega^{-r_{n,0}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda + r_{n,k} \omega^{-r_{n,k}})| \Psi_{\rho_n} \rangle.$$

(9)

if $| \Psi_{\rho_{n-1}} \rangle$ is right eigenvector of $B_{n-1}(\lambda)$. The Fermat curve points $\tilde{p}_{n,l}$, $p_{n,l}$, $\tilde{p}_{n,l}$, $p_{n,l}$ entering (8) and (9) are related to the parameters of the model $a_i$, $b_i$, $c_i$, $d_i$ by equations (54)–(61) of [1], e.g. $\tilde{p}_{n,l} = (\tilde{x}_{n,l}, \tilde{y}_{n,l})$, $\tilde{x}_{n,l} = d_n/c_n r_{n,l}$, $x_{n,k}^{n,1,l} = r_{n,k}/r_{n-1,l}$. For the more involved determination of the $r_{n,l}$, see section 2.3 of [1].

Analogously, the vector

$$| \Psi_{\rho_n} \rangle = \sum_{\rho_{n-1} \in (\mathbb{Z}_N)^{n-1}} Q^L(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n) | \Psi_{\rho_{n-1}} \rangle \otimes | \Psi_{\rho_n} \rangle_n,$$

(10)
where
\[
Q^L(n-1, ρ_n|ρ_n) = \omega^{-(λ_{n-1}−λ_n)(λ_{n-1})} \rho_{n-1,λ-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} w_{ρ_{n-1,j}}^*(ρ_{n-1,j} - ρ_{n-1,j} - 1) \\
\times \frac{w_{ρ_n,0}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} w_{ρ_{n-1,j}}^*(ρ_{n-1,j} - ρ_{n-1,j} - 1)} \frac{w_{ρ_n,0}(ρ_{n-1,0})}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (ρ_{n-1,0} - ρ_{n-1,0})} \frac{w_{ρ_n,0}(ρ_{n-1,0})}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (ρ_{n-1,0} - ρ_{n-1,0})}
\]

is left eigenvector of \( B_n(λ) \),
\[
|ψ_ρ|_{B_n(λ)} = \lambda r_{n,0} \omega^{-ρ_{n,0}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (λ + r_{n,k} \omega^{-ρ_{n,k}}) |ψ_ρ|,
\]
if \( |ψ_ρ| \) is left eigenvector of \( B_{n-1}(λ) \). The definition of \( Q^L(n-1, ρ_n|ρ_n) \) uses the same Fermat curve points \( ρ_{n,0}, ρ_{n,1}, ρ_{n,2}, ρ_{n,3} \) as were used for the right eigenvectors. The proof of (11) by induction is lengthy, but analogous to the proof of (8) given in [1].

At \( λ \) equal to one of the \( n-1 \) zeros \( λ_{n,k} \) of the eigenvalue polynomial of \( B_n(λ) \)
\[
λ_{n,k} = -r_{n,k} \omega^{-ρ_{n,k}}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n-1,
\]
the operators \( A_n(λ_{n,k}) \) and \( D_n(λ_{n,k}) \) act as shift operators for the 4th component of the vector \( ρ_n \), \( D_n(λ_{n,k}) \) shifting in addition also the zeroth component:
\[
A_n(λ_{n,k}) |ψ_ρ⟩ = ϕ_k(ρ_k)|ψ_ρ⟩, \quad ⟨ψ_ρ|A_n(λ_{n,k}) = ω^{-1}ϕ_k(ρ_k)|ψ_ρ⟩,
\]
\[
D_n(λ_{n,k}) |ψ_ρ⟩ = ϕ_k(ρ_k)|ψ_ρ⟩, \quad ⟨ψ_ρ|D_n(λ_{n,k}) = ωϕ_k(ρ_k)|ψ_ρ⟩.
\]

where
\[
ϕ_k(ρ_k) = -\frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n}\omega^{-δ_{n,k}} F_n(λ_{n,k}/ω) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} F_{n,k,j}^{n-1}
\]
\[
ϕ_k(ρ_k) = -\frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n}\omega^{-δ_{n,k}+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} F_{n,k,j}^{n-1}
\]
and
\[
F_n(λ) = (b_m + ωσ_m/c_m)(λ/c_m + d_m/σ_m).
\]
The operator \( V_n \) defines the term of highest degree in \( λ \) in \( A_n(λ) \) and the free term in \( D_n(λ) \), shifts the zeroth index of vector \( ρ_n \):
\[
V_n |ψ_ρ⟩ = |ψ_ρ⟩, \quad |ψ_ρ⟩_V = |ψ_ρ⟩.
\]

Using interpolation polynomials and formulae (13), (14) and (17), one can construct how \( A_n(λ) \) and \( D_n(λ) \) act on the left eigenvectors of \( B_n(λ) \), analogously to what was done in equations (66)–(68) of [1] for the right eigenvectors.

3.3. The norms of the eigenvectors of \( B_n(λ) \)

The pairing \( k ⟨ρ|γ⟩_k = δ_{ρ,γ} \) implies (we use \( y_k = ω^{-1} y_k \)):
\[
k ⟨ψ_ρ|ψ_ρ⟩_k = δ_{ρ,γ} N \omega \left( \frac{x_k}{y_k} \right)^{N-1}.
\]
Theorem 1. The norms $\langle \psi_{\rho_n} | \psi_{\rho_n} \rangle$ are independent of the phase $\rho_n,0$ and their dependence on $\rho_n = (\rho_{n,1}, \ldots, \rho_{n,n-1})$ is given explicitly as

$$\langle \psi_{\rho_n} | \psi_{\rho_n} \rangle = \prod_{l<m} (\lambda_{n,l} - \lambda_{n,m})$$

where the normalizing factor $C_n$ is independent of $\rho_n$ and it is defined recursively by

$$C_n = C_{n-1} N_n N^{n-2} \prod_{k<l} (r_{n,k} - r_{n,l}) \prod_{k,l} (r_{n,k} - r_{n,l-1}) \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} r_{n-l}^{n-2}.$$

$C_1$ and $C_2$ are given by

$$C_1 = \frac{N}{\omega} \left( \frac{x_1}{y_1} \right)^{N-1}, \quad C_2 = \frac{N^3}{\omega} \left( \frac{x_2}{y_2 \bar{y}_2} \right)^{N-1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} \frac{y_{n-l}}{y_{n-l+1}} \right).$$

Proof. We shall give the proof by establishing an explicit recursion $C_{n-1} \rightarrow C_n$. For $n = 2$, there is only a single zero $r_{2,1} = \omega^{-\rho_{n,1}}$ and the denominator in (20) is unity. Similarly, for $n = 1$ this denominator is unity too as we see from (18).

From (7) and (10) we get

$$\langle \psi_{\rho_n} | \psi_{\rho_n} \rangle = \sum_{\rho_{n-1} \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^{n-1}} Q^L(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n) Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n) | \psi_{\rho_{n-1}} \rangle | \psi_{\rho_n} \rangle.$$

Inserting here the explicit expressions (11) for $Q^L$, and (8) for $Q^R$, using (18), and performing the summations over $\rho_{n-1,0}$ and $\rho_n$ by (19) we get

$$\langle \psi_{\rho_n} | \psi_{\rho_n} \rangle = \sum_{\rho_{n-1} \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^{n-1}} \omega^{\rho_{n-1,1} + \rho_{n-1,2} - \rho_{n,1} - 1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} (r_{n-l-1} \omega^{-\rho_{n-1,l}})^2$$

$$\times \prod_{j \neq l} (r_{n-1,j} \omega^{-\rho_{n-1,j}} - r_{n-1,j} \omega^{-\rho_{n-1,j}}) \langle \psi_{\rho_{n-1}} | \psi_{\rho_n} \rangle.$$

Proof. From (4) we get directly

$$k \langle \psi_{\rho'} | \psi_{\rho} \rangle_k = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^2} w_{\rho_k}(\gamma) \omega^{\rho'}$$

since $w_{\rho_k}(\gamma) = w_{\rho_k}(\gamma) \omega^{\rho'}$. States for $\rho' \neq \rho$ belong to different eigenvalues in (5) and (6), so they are ‘orthogonal’ (have vanishing pairing). We then use (3) and

$$\frac{y_0}{1 - \omega^{\rho_n}} = \sum_{\gamma = 0}^{N - 1} \omega^{\rho_n} x_\gamma, \quad \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \frac{1}{1 - \omega^{\rho_n}} = \frac{N}{y_0}, \quad \text{and for}$$

$$1 \leq \alpha \leq N :$$

$$\frac{y_\alpha}{1 - \omega^{\rho_n}} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \omega^{\rho_n} x_\gamma = \frac{N^2 - \alpha}{y_0}.$$
where we combined several phase-independent factors into the quantity (22). The main issue now is to perform the summations over the phases $\rho^n_{n-1}$ explicitly. In order to avoid too many indices, let us define

$$ a^{(n)} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = (r_{n-1,1} \omega^{-\rho_{n-1}}, \ldots, r_{n-1,n} \omega^{-\rho_{n-1,n-1}}); $$

$$ b^{(n)} = (b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1}) = (-\lambda_{n,1}, \ldots, -\lambda_{n,n-1}) = (r_{n,1} \omega^{-\rho_{n,1}}, \ldots, r_{n,n-1} \omega^{-\rho_{n,n-1}}). $$

(25)

Then using the induction assumption that the formula for the norms is given by (20), the relation (24) reduces to

$$ \frac{C_n}{\prod_{l<m}(b_m - b_l)} = N_n \sum_{\rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} r_{n-1,l} \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} (a_j - a_l) \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} (b_j - b_l) \frac{C_{n-1}}{\prod_{l=1}^{n-2} (a_m - a_l)} $$

$$ = C_{n-1} \sum_{\rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \tilde{r}_{n-1}^{\rho} S_n(a^{(n)}, b^{(n)}), $$

(26)

where we define $\tilde{r}_{n-1}^{\rho} = r_{n-1,1} \ldots r_{n-1,n-2}$ and

$$ S_n(a^{(n)}, b^{(n)}) = \sum_{\rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} (a_i - a_j) \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} (b_i - b_j). $$

(27)

In the appendix, we prove the following identity:

$$ S_n(a^{(n)}, b^{(n)}) = N^{n-2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i^{N-1} \prod_{k<l} b_k^N - b_l^N \prod_{k<l} (a_k^N - a_l^N) \prod_{k<l} (b_k^N - b_l^N). $$

(28)

The $(\mathbb{Z}_N)^{n-2}$-summation in (27) runs over the discrete phases of the variables $a^{(n)}$. From the $\mathbb{Z}_N$-symmetry the result of this summation (28) can depend only on the $N$th powers of these variables. Substituting (28) into (26), and using the notation (25), we get the recursion (21).

### 3.4. Action of $u_n$ on $B_n(\lambda)$-eigenvectors

Now we shall calculate an explicit expression for the action of $u_n$ on the $B_n(\lambda)$-eigenvectors $|\psi_{\rho_0}\rangle$. From the relation

$$ u_n^{-1} (a_n - b_n \beta_n) |\psi_{\rho_0}\rangle = r_n \omega^{-\rho_0} |\psi_{\rho_0}\rangle, $$

taking into account $a_n/r_n = 1/x_n$, $b_n/r_n = \gamma_n/x_n$, we get the formula for the action of $u_n$ on one-site eigenvectors:

$$ u_n |\psi_{\rho_0}\rangle = \omega^\rho x_n \left( \frac{1}{x_n} |\psi_{\rho_0}\rangle - \frac{\gamma_n}{x_n} |\psi_{\rho_0+1}\rangle \right). $$

(29)

We use this formula to obtain the action of $u_n$ on general eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$, which, in particular, will show that most matrix elements of $u_n$ between eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$ vanish.

**Theorem 2.** The action of $u_n$ on eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$ is given by

$$ u_n |\psi_{\rho_0}\rangle = \omega^\rho x_n \left( \frac{1}{x_n} |\psi_{\rho_0}\rangle - \frac{\gamma_n}{x_n} |\psi_{\rho_0+1}\rangle \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega^{\rho_k - \rho_0} \frac{\gamma_n}{\omega^{\rho_k} x_n} \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega^{\rho_k - \rho_0} \left( \frac{\gamma_n}{\omega^{\rho_k+1} x_n} - \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} \right) $$

$$ \times \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} \frac{\gamma_n}{\omega^{\rho_l+1} x_n} \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} |\psi_{\rho_0}\rangle. $$

(30)
Proof. To prove (30) we use (7), (30) and rewrite (30) as a relation for $Q^R(\rho_n, \rho_n | \rho_n)$. The terms of the resulting relation can be separated into two groups, giving two relations which can be proved independently:

$$\omega\rho_n = \omega\rho_n \bar{\gamma}_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\omega\rho_n - \epsilon_k}{r_{n,k} \omega - \rho_n} \tilde{y}_n \bar{r}_n \prod_{l \neq k} (-r_{n,k} \omega - \rho_n + r_{n,l} \omega - \rho_n) \frac{Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n^{*k})}{Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n)}$$

(31)

and

$$\omega\rho_n - 1 \frac{Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n - 1 | \rho_n)}{Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n)} = \omega\rho_n \bar{\gamma}_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\omega\rho_n - \epsilon_k}{r_{n,k} \omega - \rho_n} \tilde{y}_n \bar{r}_n \prod_{l \neq k} (-r_{n,k} \omega - \rho_n + r_{n,l} \omega - \rho_n) \frac{Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n^{*k})}{Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n)}$$

(32)

In order to verify both (31) and (32) we start evaluating the ratios of the $Q^R$ using the explicit formula (8), e.g.,

$$Q^R(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n | \rho_n^{*k}) = \omega\rho_n - \rho_n - 1 \frac{1 - \omega\rho_n - \rho_n}{\bar{\gamma}_n \tilde{y}_n \bar{r}_n \prod_{l \neq k} (-r_{n,k} \omega - \rho_n + r_{n,l} \omega - \rho_n)}$$

(33)

since in (33) the shift $\rho_n^{*k}$ affects only three terms in (8), and we use (3) and $s_{n,i,f} = r_{n,f}/r_{n-1,i}$. For (31), inserting (33), and after some cancellations, collecting the $k$-dependent terms, the sum over $k$ can be performed using the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}(\xi_k - \xi)}{\xi_k \prod_{j \neq k}^{n-2}(\xi_k - \xi)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}(\xi_k - \xi)}{\prod_{j \neq k}^{n-2}(\xi_k - \xi)} = 1.$$

(34)

For verifying (32) we need to calculate the other shifts of $Q^R$ too. This time, with the same $\xi_k$ and $\xi_l$ as before, we perform the sum over $k$ using the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}(\xi_k - \xi)}{\xi_k \prod_{j \neq k}^{n-2}(\xi_k - \xi)} = 1.$$

□

4. Periodic model: Baxter equation

To treat the periodic model it is convenient to make a Fourier transform over $\rho_{n,0}$ of the eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$. This yields a basis of eigenvectors of the operator $V_n$ of (17):

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{p_1} | V_n | \tilde{\Psi}_{p_2} \rangle = \omega^p \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{p_1} | \tilde{\Psi}_{p_2} \rangle,$$

(35)

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{p_1} | V_n | \tilde{\Psi}_{p_2} \rangle = \omega^p \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{p_1} | \tilde{\Psi}_{p_2} \rangle.$$

$V_n$ is the total $Z_N$-spin rotation operator and $\rho \in Z_N$ is the corresponding total charge.

Let $\langle \Phi_{p,k} |$ and $| \Phi_{p,k} \rangle$ be left and right eigenvectors of $t_0(\lambda)$ with eigenvalue

$$t_0(\lambda | \rho, E) = E_0 + E_1 \lambda + \cdots + E_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + E_n \lambda^n,$$

(36)

where $E = \{ E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1} \}$ and the values of $E_0$ and $E_n$ are

$$E_0 = 1 + \omega^p \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{p_m d_m}{x_m}, \quad E_n = \prod_{m=1}^{n} a_m c_m + \omega^p \prod_{m=1}^{n} x_m.$$

(37)
Following the general procedure of the SoV method, we express these eigenvectors as
\[
\langle \Phi_{\rho, E} \rangle = \sum_{\rho_n} Q^L(\rho_n, E) |\tilde{\psi}_{\rho_n, \rho_n}\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{\rho, E} \rangle = \sum_{\rho_n} Q^R(\rho_n, E) |\tilde{\psi}_{\rho_n, \rho_n}\rangle, \tag{38}
\]
where
\[
Q^L(\rho_n, \rho, E) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} Q^L_k(\rho_n, k) \prod_{s \neq t, s} \omega_{\rho_n, s} (\rho_{n, s} - \rho_{n, t} - 1), \tag{39}
\]
\[
Q^R(\rho_n, \rho, E) = \frac{\prod_{s \neq t, s} \omega_{\rho_n, s} (\rho_{n, s} - \rho_{n, t})}{\prod_{s \neq t, s} \omega_{\rho_n, s} (\rho_{n, s} - \rho_{n, t} - 1)} \tag{40}
\]
The products of $\omega_{\rho_n, s}$-cyclic functions $\omega_{\rho_n, s} (\rho_{n, s} - \rho_{n, t})$ in (39) and (40) are Sklyanin’s measure which makes the rest of the kernels $Q^L(\rho_n, \rho, E)$ and $Q^R(\rho_n, \rho, E)$ factorizable into products of single variable functions (SoV). The Baxter equations for these functions of separated variables are
\[
t_n(\lambda, \rho, E) Q^L_n(\rho_n, k) = \omega^{n-1} \Delta^+_k(\lambda, \rho_n) Q^L_k(\rho_n + 1) + \omega^{1-n} \Delta^-_k(\lambda, \rho_n) Q^L_k(\rho_n - 1), \tag{41}
\]
\[
t_n(\lambda, \rho, E) Q^R_n(\rho_n, k) = \Delta^+_k(\lambda, \rho_n) Q^R_k(\rho_n + 1) + \Delta^-_k(\lambda, \rho_n) Q^R_k(\rho_n - 1), \tag{42}
\]
where $\lambda_n = -r_n \omega \rho_{n, s}, k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ are the zeros of the eigenvalue polynomial of $B_n(\lambda)$, see (12), and
\[
\Delta^+_k(\lambda) = (\omega^\rho/\chi_k)(\lambda/\omega)^{n-1} F_m(\lambda/\omega), \quad \Delta^-_k(\lambda) = \chi_k(\lambda/\omega)^{n-1} F_m(\lambda/\omega), \tag{43}
\]
\[
\chi_k = \frac{r_n \rho_{n, k}^{n-1}}{r_n \rho_{n, k}} \left( \prod_{s \neq k} \gamma_{n, s} \gamma_{n, k}^{n} \right)^{-1} \prod_{s \neq k} \gamma_{n, s}^{-1} \gamma_{n, k}^{n-1} \gamma_{n-1, s}^{-1}.
\]

5. Periodic BBS model for $N = 2$

5.1. Eigenvalues of the transfer matrix for the generalized homogeneous Ising model

In this section, we consider in more detail the case of the $N = 2$ periodic homogeneous BBS model, where $\omega = -1$. By homogeneous we mean that the parameters $a, b, c, d$ and $\kappa$ each are taken to be independent of the site index. As it was shown in [10] this model is a particular case (‘free fermion point’) of the generalized Ising model.

In the $N = 2$ case, we have $\sigma_1^x = \sigma_2^x = \sigma_3^x$, where $\sigma_1^x$ and $\sigma_2^x$ are Pauli matrices acting on the spin at the $k$th site. The operator $V_n = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^x \cdots \sigma_n^x$ is the spin-flip operator. It commutes with the transfer matrix $t_n(\lambda)$, and we have simply $V_n^2 = 1$. Therefore, the eigenvectors of $t_n(\lambda)$ are divided into two sectors according to the eigenvalue $(-1)^\rho$, $\rho \in [0, 1]$ of $V_n$. If the parameters $a, b, c, d$ and $\kappa$ are generic, no degeneracies of the eigenvalues occur. The transfer matrix of the standard Ising model on the finite lattice can be obtained from the

5 The kernels in (38)–(40) are not the kernels (8) and (11) used in the recursive definitions of the left and right eigenvectors of $B_n(\lambda)$, observe the different types of arguments.
\( N = 2 \) BBS integrals of motion \( H_k, k = 0, \ldots, n \), when the parameters of the homogeneous \( N = 2 \) BBS model satisfy the relations

\[
a = c, \quad d = b, \quad \kappa = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = b/a
\]

(44) (see section 6.1). In this case, degenerations of the spectra occur and in order to distinguish the corresponding eigenvectors one has to use an additional operator which commutes with the transfer matrix. In case of the periodic model, such an operator is the operator of translation by one site:

\[
T |\gamma_1 \rangle_1 \otimes |\gamma_2 \rangle_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\gamma_n \rangle_n = |\gamma_1 \rangle_1 \otimes |\gamma_1 \rangle_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\gamma_{n-1} \rangle_n.
\]

Our construction of the eigenvectors (38) is obviously non-invariant with respect to translations and we were not able to show that the vectors (38) are also eigenvectors of \( T \). A translational invariant description of the eigenvectors for the generalized Ising model has been given in [18]. The description of the spectra of the transfer matrix given in this paper coincides with the description of the eigenvalues obtained in our formalism presented in [1]. This allows us to identify the eigenvectors in both descriptions. In particular, the eigenvectors are labelled by the description of the eigenvalues obtained in our formalism presented in [1]. This allows us to identify the eigenvectors in both descriptions. In particular, the eigenvectors are labelled by quasi-momenta of the excitations \( q = \pm \frac{2\pi}{n} m \), where \( m \) is integer or half-integer depending the eigenvalue \( (-1)^\rho \) of \( V_n \). So the whole space of states decomposes into two sectors according to the value of \( \rho \):

- **NS-sector**: \( \rho = 0 \), the eigenstates of \( t_\rho \) have an even number of excitations with quasi-momenta \( q \in NS \equiv \{ \frac{2\pi}{n} \mathbb{Z}_n + 1/2 \} \}. The dimension of this sector is \( 2^n \).\(^1\)
- **R-sector**: \( \rho = 1 \), the eigenstates of \( t_\rho \) have an odd number of excitations with quasi-momenta \( q \in R \equiv \{ \frac{2\pi}{n} \mathbb{Z}_n \}. The dimension of this sector is also \( 2^n \).\(^1\).

In [1] the eigenvalues \( t(\lambda) \) (we suppress the chain-length index \( n \)) were derived from a functional equation which could be written in the form (do not confuse these \( A(q) \) etc with the \( A_s(\lambda) \) etc in (2):

\[
t(\lambda)t(-\lambda) = (-1)^\rho \prod_q (A(q)\lambda^2 - C(q) + 2i\lambda B(q)), \tag{45}
\]

where

\[
A(q) = a^2 c^2 - 2\kappa ac \cos q + \kappa^2, \quad B(q) = (ad - bc) \sin q, \quad C(q) = 1 - 2(bd/\kappa) \cos q + b^2 d^2/\kappa^2. \tag{46}
\]

Solving (45), the \( 2^n \) eigenvalues corresponding to the NS- and R-sectors are given by (47) [1, 10, 18], where we have to choose all possible sets of \( \pm \) signs (which we write \( (-1)^\varsigma \) with \( \sigma_q \in \{0, 1\} \)):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NS} : t(\lambda) &= (a^n c^n + \kappa^n) \prod_{q \in \text{NS}} (\lambda + (-1)^\varsigma s_q), \quad \prod_{q \in \text{NS}} (-1)^\varsigma = +1, \\
\text{R} : t(\lambda) &= (a^n c^n - \kappa^n) \prod_{q \in \text{R}} (\lambda + (-1)^\varsigma s_q), \quad \prod_{q \in \text{R}} (-1)^\varsigma = -1, \tag{47}
\end{align*}
\]

the restriction on the signs follows from (35). We shall also write \( \lambda_q = (-1)^\varsigma s_q \), and the amplitudes \( s_q \) are given by

\[
s_q = (\sqrt{D(q)} - iB(q))/A(q), \quad D(q) = A(q)C(q) - B(q)^2. \tag{48}
\]

The convention which fixes the sign of \( \sqrt{D(q)} \) is given in (120) and (121) of [1]. States which have eigenvalues where in (47) there are some minus signs \( (-1)^\varsigma = -1 \) are said to have
excitations with quasi-momenta \( q \). The restriction on the signs means that for the NS (R) sector the number of excitations, i.e., the number of minus signs, must be even (odd).

As an example, the 2-site eigenstates and eigenvalues for the 3-site chain \( n = 3 \) are

- NS-sector (\( \rho = 0 \)):
  
  \[ t(\lambda) = \left( a^3 \epsilon^3 + x^3 \right) (\lambda + s_{\pi/3})(\lambda + s_{-\pi/3})(\lambda + s_{\pi}), \]

  \[ \left| \pm \pi/3, \pi \right\rangle_{\text{NS}} \rightarrow t(\lambda) = \left( a^3 \epsilon^3 + x^3 \right) (\lambda \mp s_{\pi/3})(\lambda \pm s_{-\pi/3})(\lambda - s_{\pi}). \]

  \[ \left| \pi/3, -\pi/3 \right\rangle_{\text{NS}} \rightarrow t(\lambda) = \left( a^3 \epsilon^3 + x^3 \right) (\lambda - s_{\pi/3})(\lambda - s_{-\pi/3})(\lambda + s_{\pi}). \]

- R-sector (\( \rho = 1 \)):

  \[ |0\rangle_{\text{R}} \rightarrow t(\lambda) = \left( a^3 \epsilon^3 - x^3 \right) (\lambda + s_{2\pi/3})(\lambda + s_{-2\pi/3})(\lambda - s_0), \]

  \[ |\pm 2\pi/3\rangle_{\text{R}} \rightarrow t(\lambda) = \left( a^3 \epsilon^3 - x^3 \right) (\lambda \mp s_{2\pi/3})(\lambda \pm s_{-2\pi/3})(\lambda + s_0), \]

  \[ |0, 2\pi/3, -2\pi/3\rangle_{\text{R}} \rightarrow t(\lambda) = \left( a^3 \epsilon^3 - x^3 \right) (\lambda - s_{2\pi/3})(\lambda - s_{-2\pi/3})(\lambda - s_0). \]

From [18], it follows that the action of operator of translation \( T \) on the eigenstates gives eigenvalues of the form \( e^{iP} \), where \( P \) is the sum of the quasi-momenta of all excitations of the given state. In case of the Ising model when \( ad = bc \) and \( B(q) \equiv 0 \) we have \( s_q = s_{-q} \); two states which have excitations with quasi-momenta \( q \) and \(-q\) have the same eigenvalues but can be distinguished by the eigenvalue of \( T \).

The eigenvalues of \( t(\lambda) \) provide the existence of non-trivial solutions of the systems (41) and (42) of the homogeneous Baxter equations. These solutions give explicit formulae (38) for the eigenvectors, which form a basis in the space of states of the periodic BBS model for \( N = 2 \).

5.2. Norms and orthogonality of the eigenvectors of the periodic \( N = 2 \) BBS model

Let us fix an eigenvalue polynomial \( t(\lambda) \) of \( A_{\rho}(\lambda) + D_{\rho}(\lambda) \) corresponding to a right eigenvector from the sector \( \rho \). In order to find this eigenvector explicitly we have to solve the associated \( n - 1 \) systems (\( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \)) of the Baxter equations

\[
t(-r_n,k)Q^R_k(0) = \left( \Delta^R_k(-r_n,k) + \Delta^R_k(r_n,k) \right) Q^R_k(1),
\]

\[
t(r_n,k)Q^R_k(1) = \left( \Delta^R_k(r_n,k) + \Delta^R_k(-r_n,k) \right) Q^R_k(0).
\]

Since \( t(\lambda) \) is eigenvalue polynomial, it satisfies the functional relation. In [1], it was shown that the functional relation (45) ensures the existence of non-trivial solutions to (49) with respect to the unknown variables \( Q^R_k(0) \) and \( Q^R_k(1) \) for every \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \). In the \( N = 2 \) case, this means that for every \( k \) we have one (in the case of degenerate eigenvalues, possibly zero) independent linear equation. In the case of generic parameters, both hand sides of each equation are nonzero. So we may fix \( Q^R_k(0) = 1^5 \) and obtain two equivalent expressions for \( Q^R_k(1) \):

\[
Q^R_k(1) = \frac{t(-r_n,k)}{\Delta^R_k(-r_n,k) + \Delta^R_k(r_n,k)} = \frac{\Delta^R_k(r_n,k) + \Delta^R_k(-r_n,k)}{t(r_n,k)}.
\]

Similarly, for the left eigenvector we have

\[
t(-r_n,k)Q^L_k(0) = (-1)^{n-1} \left( \Delta^L_k(r_n,k) + \Delta^L_k(-r_n,k) \right) Q^L_k(1),
\]

\[
t(r_n,k)Q^L_k(1) = (-1)^{n-1} \left( \Delta^L_k(-r_n,k) + \Delta^L_k(r_n,k) \right) Q^L_k(0).
\]

\(^6\) When the parameters satisfy the Ising model restrictions, it is not always possible to choose such normalization.
Fixing $Q_k^L(0) = 1$ we obtain
\[ Q_k^L(1) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}t(-r_{n,k})}{\Delta_k^L(r_{n,k}) + \Delta_k^R(-r_{n,k})} = \frac{\Delta_k^L(-r_{n,k}) + \Delta_k^R(r_{n,k})}{(-1)^{n-1}t(r_{n,k})}. \]
Since for generic parameter values we shall have $t(r_{n,k}) \neq 0$, these explicit formulae give immediately
\[ Q_k^L(\rho_{n,k})Q_k^R(\rho_{n,k}) = (-1)^{n_\lambda(n-1)}t((-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,k})/t(r_{n,k}). \]

Eigenvectors in the periodic case are defined by the formula (38). In the case $N = 2$, we have a simple inversion relation for the cyclic function $\wp(\rho)$: $\wp(\rho)\wp(\rho - 1) = y/(1 + x)$. This allows us to use instead of (39) the following kernel:
\[ Q^L(\rho_n|\rho, E) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}Q_k^L(\rho_{n,k})}{\prod_{l=1}^{\rho}\wp_{\rho_l}(\rho_{n,s} - \rho_{n,t})}. \]

Up to a coefficient, (51) with (38) gives the same eigenvectors of $A_\lambda(\lambda) + D_\lambda(\lambda)$ as (39). In what follows we shall use only (51). The pairing of $\langle\Phi|\Phi\rangle$, which are left and right eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue $t(\lambda)$, gives (we will use the normalization by $\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle$ to get rid of unimportant factors appearing in the formula for the norms)
\[ \frac{\langle\Phi|\Phi\rangle}{\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle} = \sum_{\rho^l} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1}Q_k^L(\rho_{n,k})Q_k^R(\rho_{n,k}) \frac{\langle\psi_{\rho^l,\rho^r}|\psi_{\rho^l,\rho^r}\rangle}{\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle}, \]

where for the norm we have used (20) which leads to
\[ \frac{\langle\psi_{\rho^l,\rho^r}|\psi_{\rho^l,\rho^r}\rangle}{\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle} = \prod_{l=1}^{\rho^l}w_{\rho^l}(\rho_{n,m}(-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l} + (-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l}) \prod_{l=1}^{\rho^r}w_{\rho^r}(\rho_{n,m} + r_{n,l}), \]

where $\rho = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ has $n - 1$ components. In the $N = 2$ case we have
\[ w_\rho(1) = y = \frac{1 - x}{y}, \quad (w_\rho(1))^2 = \frac{1 + x}{1 - x}. \]

Therefore for the Fermat point $p_{n,m} = (p_{n,m}^l, p_{n,m}^r)$ with coordinate $x_{n,m} = r_{n,m}/r_{n,l}$, we have
\[ \left(\wp_{\rho^l}^m(\rho_{n,m} - \rho_{n,m})\right)^{-2} = (-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l} + (-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l}. \]

and so
\[ \frac{1}{\left(\wp_{\rho^l}^m(\rho_{n,m} - \rho_{n,m})\right)^2} = \frac{(r_{n,l} + r_{n,l})^2}{((-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l} + (-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l})^2}. \]

Combining all these formulae we get for the left–right overlap of the transfer matrix eigenvectors of the periodic BBS model at $N = 2$:
\[ \frac{\langle\Phi|\Phi\rangle}{\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle} = \prod_{l=1}^{\rho^l} w_{\rho^l}(r_{n,m} + r_{n,l}) \sum_{\rho^r} \prod_{l=1}^{\rho^r}((-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,m} + (-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l}) \left((-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l} + (-1)^{n_\lambda}r_{n,l}\right)^2. \]

Using the same techniques as we used for calculating the norm in the auxiliary problem, we are now able to perform the summations in (53) explicitly: we write the polynomial $t(\lambda)$, equations (36), (47), as
\[ t(\lambda) = \Lambda \prod_{k=1}^{n}(\lambda + \mu_k), \]
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5.3. Matrix elements between eigenvectors of the periodic are defined as the roots of the polynomials (36) and (54). Then the sum in (53) is

\[ \Lambda^{n-1} \sum_{\rho_k} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} (-\mu_l) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (r_{n,j}^2 - r_{n,l}^2) \sum_{\rho_k} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} (r_{n,j}^2 - r_{n,l}^2) \sum_{\rho_k} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} (r_{n,j}^2 - r_{n,l}^2) \]

In the second line the sum takes just the form \((A,4)\) which we had for \(S_{n+1}\) with \(N = 2\) and \(a^{n+1} = (r_{n,1}, \ldots, r_{n,n-1}, -r_{n,1}, \ldots, -r_{n,n-1})\) and \(b^{n+1} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)\). In the last two lines we have used the result \((A,5)\) for \(S_{n+1}\) derived in the appendix. So we obtain the norm of the general periodic state vector

\[ \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle = 2^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (r_{n,k}^2 - r_{n,l}^2) \prod_{l=1}^{n} (\mu_l + \mu_j). \] (56)

If the spectrum of the model is not degenerate, the overlap of the eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues is zero. In case of degeneration, as it happens in the case of the parameterization \(a = c, b = d\) and \(x = 1\), corresponding to the Ising model, one should be careful in using (56). In section 6 this case will be discussed in detail.

Let us note that the formula (56) is also valid for the inhomogenous model, where the \(\mu_k\) are defined as the roots of the polynomials (36) and (54).

5.3. Matrix elements between eigenvectors of the periodic \(N = 2\) BBS model

In theorem 2, we found the action of \(u_n\) on an eigenvector \(|\Psi_{\rho}\rangle\) of \(B_n(\lambda)\): the result is a linear combination of the original vector plus a sum of vectors which each have one component of \(\rho_j\) raised. In order to get the matrix elements of \(u_n\) in the periodic model, we first obtain the matrix elements between Fourier transformed eigenvectors of \(B_n(\lambda)\) defined in (35)

\[ \langle \Psi_{\rho} | \rho \rangle = |\Psi_{\rho} | + (-1)^{n} |\Psi_{\rho} |, \quad \langle \Psi_{\rho} | \rho \rangle = |\Psi_{\rho} | + (-1)^{n} |\Psi_{\rho} |. \]

Using (30), the following \(n\)-site matrix elements of \(u_n\) are nonzero (the following equations, including (58), are written to be valid for the inhomogenous case too):

\[ \langle \Psi_{0 \rho} | u_n | \Psi_{0 \rho} \rangle = \frac{\tilde{x}_n}{x_n} (-1)^{\rho_k} + \frac{\tilde{y}_n}{y_n} = \frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n} (-1)^{\rho_k} + \frac{\tilde{x}_n \tilde{y}_n}{x_n y_n} \]

\[ \langle \Psi_{0 \rho} | u_n | \Psi_{1 \rho} \rangle = \frac{\tilde{x}_n}{x_n} (-1)^{\rho_k} - \frac{\tilde{y}_n}{y_n} = \frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n} (-1)^{\rho_k} - \frac{\tilde{x}_n \tilde{y}_n}{x_n y_n} \]

\[ \langle \Psi_{1 \rho} | u_n | \Psi_{0 \rho} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{0 \rho} | u_n | \Psi_{1 \rho} \rangle \]

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{\rho_k} \tilde{a}_n \tilde{y}_n}{x_n y_n} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^{\rho_k} b_n}{x_n} \right) \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{y}_n}{y_n} \frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n} \frac{\tilde{x}_n \tilde{y}_n}{x_n y_n} \]

(57)
where \( \hat{\rho}_n' = \rho_{n,1} + \rho_{n,2} + \cdots + \rho_{n,n-1} \). Note, since \( u_n \) anti-commutes with \( V_\mu \), all matrix elements of \( u_n \) between the states from the same sector \( \rho \) are zero.

Then by (38) we pass to periodic eigenstates transforming by the solutions \( Q \) of the Baxter equations. Let \( \langle \Phi_0 | \) be a left eigenvector of the transfer matrix \( t_n(\lambda) \) with \( \rho = 0 \) and \( | \Phi_1 \rangle \) be a right eigenvector with \( \rho = 1 \). Let \( Q_{k}^{L(0)}(\rho_{n,k}) \) and \( Q_{k}^{R(1)}(\rho_{n,k}) \) be the solutions of Baxter equation corresponding to these two eigenvectors. Then for the matrix element after some simplification we have

\[
\frac{\langle \Phi_0 | u_n | \Phi_1 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{0,0} | \Psi_{0,0} \rangle} = \sum_{\rho_{n}} (-1)^{\rho_{n}} \prod_{l<m} (\rho_{n,l} \rho_{n,m})^{-1} r_{n,l} + r_{n,m} \\
\times \left( \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} Q_{l}^{L(0)}(\rho_{n,l}) Q_{l}^{R(1)}(\rho_{n,l}) \left( \frac{a_{n}}{r_{n}^{L}(-1)^{\rho_{n}}} - \frac{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n-1} b_{n}}{r_{n,0}} \right) \right) \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k}^{L(0)}(\rho_{n,k} + 1) Q_{k}^{R(1)}(\rho_{n,k}) \prod_{l \neq k} Q_{l}^{L(0)}(\rho_{n,l}) Q_{l}^{R(1)}(\rho_{n,l}) \\
\times r_{n,k}^{-1} x_{k} (-1)^{-\rho_{n,k} \rho_{n,l}} a_{n} b_{n} c_{n} \left( 1 + (-1)^{\rho_{n,k} \rho_{n,l}} d_{n} \right) \frac{x_{0} \rho_{n,k}}{r_{n,0}} \\
\times \prod_{l \neq k} (r_{n,l} (-1)^{\rho_{n,k} \rho_{n,l}} - r_{n,k} (-1)^{-\rho_{n,k}}) \right).
\]  

The product on the right-hand side of the first line comes from the change of normalization from (57) to (58) by the factor \( \langle \Psi_{0,0} | \Psi_{0,0} \rangle / \langle \Phi_{0,0} | \Phi_{0,0} \rangle \), which can simply be read off from (20) with \( C_n \) cancelling.

We have not yet been able to perform the summation over \( \rho_{n}' \) in (58) for general parameters of the BBS model. However, for the homogeneous Ising case (44), we can show that (58) can be put into a fully factorized form, although in this case special complications appear from the coincidence of the zeros of the transfer matrix with the polynomial zeros of \( B_n(\lambda) \). In the following section, we give the result of this summation, which proves a formula for the matrix elements of the spin operator (form-factors) on a finite lattice conjectured by Bugrij and Lisovyy in [14, 15]. Details of the proof which is a new result for the Ising model and the comparison with the notation of [14, 15] are relegated to the sequel paper [16].

### 6. The homogeneous Ising model

#### 6.1. Relation to the standard Ising model

In this section, we restrict the parameters of the homogeneous \( N = 2 \) BBS model to be \( a = c, b = d \) and \( x = 1 \). So the cyclic \( L \)-operator (1) reduces to

\[
L_{k}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \lambda v_{k} & \lambda u_{k}(a - b v_{k}) \\ u_{k}(a - b v_{k}) & \lambda a^{2} + v_{k} b^{2} \end{pmatrix}.
\]  

Let us make the special choice of the spectral parameter \( \lambda = b/a \) as in (44). Then the \( L \)-operator degenerates and we get

\[
L_{k}(b/a) = (1 + v_{k} b/a) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b u_{k} \\ a u_{k} & a b \end{pmatrix} = (1 + v_{k} b/a) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a u_{k} \end{pmatrix} (1, b u_{k}).
\]  

At this point the transfer matrix is

\[
t_{n}(b/a) = \text{tr} L_{1}(b/a) L_{2}(b/a) \cdots L_{n}(b/a) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + v_{k} \cdot b/a) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + u_{k} u_{k+1} \cdot a b).
\]
Recall that due to the periodic boundary conditions $\mathbf{u}_{n+k} = \mathbf{u}_k$. Using
\[ \exp(K_x \mathbf{u}_{n+1}) = \cosh K_x (1 + \mathbf{u}_k \tanh K_x), \quad \exp(K_x^* \mathbf{v}_k) = \cosh K_x^* (1 + \mathbf{v}_k \tanh K_x^*), \]
and writing $\mathbf{u}_k = \sigma^+_k$ and $\mathbf{v}_k = \sigma^-_k$, it is easy to identify $t_n(b/a)$ with the standard Ising transfer matrix
\[
\mathbf{t}_{\text{Ising}} = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} K_x^* \sigma^+_k \right) \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} K_x \sigma^-_{k+1} \right),
\]
\[
e^{-2K_x} = \tanh K_x^* = \frac{b}{a}, \quad \tanh K_x = ab,
\]
where $K_{x,y}$ and $K_{x,y}^*$ are coupling constants of the Ising and dual Ising models on the square lattice along the $X$ and $Y$ axes, respectively.

The eigenvectors are obtained by the method of separation of variables, they do not depend on $\lambda$. In what follows we shall not fix $\lambda = b/a$ and so we consider a family of models depending on $\lambda$, $a$, and $b$. This family includes the Ising model at $\lambda = b/a$ and the eigenvectors obtained by SoV are eigenvectors of the Ising transfer matrix too. Let us note that this $L$-operator formulation can be extended [10] to a larger family of Ising-like models, giving a possibility to use SoV for finding explicit formulae for the eigenvectors of the corresponding transfer matrices.

In (45) and (47) from [1], we already quoted the functional equation and the eigenvalues of the general homogeneous $N = 2$ BBS model. Introducing
\[
G(\lambda^2) = 1 + b^2 d^2 / x^3 - \lambda^2 (x^3 + a^2 e^3), \quad F(\lambda) = (b - a x \lambda) (\lambda c + d / x),
\]
the equation $A(q) S^2_q - C(q) + 2i e q B(q) = 0$ can be written as
\[
G(s^2_q) = e^{i q} F(s_q) + e^{-i q} F(-s_q).
\]
The solution of (63) is given by (48) where $q = \pm \frac{\pi}{n} m$, with $m$ even for the R-sector ($\rho = 1$) and $m$ odd for the NS-sector ($\rho = 0$).

The equations for the amplitudes $r_{n,k}$ of the roots of the eigenvalue polynomial of the operator $B_n(\lambda)$ of equation (9) were derived in equation (A7) of [1]:
\[
G(r^2_{n,k})^2 = 4 \cos^2(q_{n,k}) F(r_{n,k}) F(-r_{n,k}), \quad q_{n,k} = \pi k / n, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1.
\]

In the case of the Ising model parameterization (44) we have $F(\lambda) = b^2 - a^2 \lambda^2$, and (63) reduce to $G(s^2_q) = 2 \cos(q) F(s_q)$ and $G(r^2_{n,k})^2 = 4 \cos^2(q_{n,k}) F(r_{n,k})$, respectively. Then the solutions of these equations are
\[
s_q = \sqrt{\frac{b^4 - 2b^2 \cos q + 1}{a^2 - 2a^2 \cos q + 1}}, \quad r_{n,k} = \sqrt{\frac{b^4 - 2b^2 \cos q_{n,k} + 1}{a^4 - 2a^2 \cos q_{n,k} + 1}},
\]
where the momentum $q$ in both NS- and R-sectors takes the values $[0, \pi, \pm q_{n,k}]$ with $0 < q_{n,k} < \pi$. So we have
\[
r_{n,k} = s_{q_{n,k}} = s_{-q_{n,k}}, \quad s_0 = \frac{b^2 - 1}{a^2 - 1}, \quad s_\pi = \frac{b^2 + 1}{a^2 + 1}.
\]

The possible coincidence in the Ising case of the two quite different parameters: the zeros $r_{n,k}$ of the polynomial $B_n(\lambda)$ and the zeros $s_q$ of the transfer matrix will create some peculiarities when in the following we set out to solve the Baxter equations.

\footnote{In [1] we used $\phi_{n,1}/2$ instead of $q_{n,k}$.}
6.2. Solution of the Baxter equations

We have seen that in the Ising case (44) the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of \( t_\nu(\lambda) \) decompose into two sectors \( \rho = 0, 1 \). With (44) in addition we have \( F(\lambda) = F(-\lambda) \) and the Baxter equations (41) and (42) for left and right kernels become identical. Omitting the superscripts \( L \) and \( R \) on \( Q_k \) and writing \( \lambda_{n,k} = \mp (\lambda_{n,k})^2 \), \( \rho_{n,k} = 0, 1 \) we obtain

\[
\lambda_{n,k}Q_k(\rho_{n,k}) = \left( (\lambda_{n,k})^2 \frac{F_{n-1}(\lambda_{n,k})}{(\lambda_{n,k})^2 q_{n,k}} + (\lambda_{n,k})^{q_{n,k}} F_{n-1}(\lambda_{n,k}) \right) Q_k(\rho_{n,k} + 1).
\]  

(67)

In order to solve these Baxter equations for a given sector \( \rho \), we need \( t(\pm r_{n,k}) \). Now from (47) we have

\[
t(\lambda) = \left( a_{2n} + (-1)^n \right) \prod q(\lambda + \lambda_q), \quad \lambda_q = (-1)^n q_s,
\]

and we see that \( t(\pm r_{n,k}) \) vanishes if \( \lambda_q = \mp r_{n,k} \). When \( \rho \) and \( k \) have the same parity \( (-)^\rho = (-)^k \) the quasi-momenta \( q \) which describe the spectrum \( \lambda_q \) of the transfer matrix do not coincide with any \( q_{n,k} \) and the value of the transfer matrix \( t(\pm r_{n,k}) \) does not vanish. If \( \rho \) and \( k \) have different parities: \( (-)^\rho = (-)^{k+1} \) this can happen. Below, when we solve the Baxter equations we shall treat these cases separately.

Moreover, as we can see from (66), for the Ising parameterization the amplitudes \( s_{q_{n,k}} = s_{-q_{n,k}} \) coincide. This leads to a degeneracy of eigenvalues of \( t_\nu(\lambda) \): an eigenstate with \( q = q_{n,k} \) excited and \( q = -q_{n,k} \) not excited has the same eigenvalues as the eigenstate with \( -q_{n,k} \) excited and \( q_{n,k} \) not excited: both have the same factor \( (\lambda^2 - s_{q_{n,k}}^2) \) in the eigenvalue polynomial. The degeneracy of eigenvalues of the transfer matrix can lead potentially to the following problem: the functional relation guarantees, of course, the existence of a non-trivial solution of the Baxter equations for any particular eigenvalue polynomial, but we need in our case two independent solutions. Fortunately, due to the coincidence \( s_{q_{n,k}} = s_{-q_{n,k}} = r_{n,k} \) both sides of the Baxter equations for the unknowns \( Q_k(0) \) and \( Q_k(1) \) become zero and we can build a two-dimensional solutions space.

The compatibility condition following from (67) is

\[
t(-r_{n,k})t(r_{n,k}) = (-1)^{n-1} \left( (\lambda_{n,k})^{q_{n,k}} F_{n-1}(r_{n,k}) F_{n-1}(r_{n,k}) \right).
\]

where \( t(r_{n,k}) \) is an eigenvalue from the sector \( \rho \). If \( k \) is such that \( (-1)^k = (-1)^{n+1} \), then the quasi-momentum \( q = q_{n,k} \) belongs to the sector \( \rho \) and for \( r_{n,k} = q_{n,k} \) we have \( t(-r_{n,k})t(r_{n,k}) = 0 \). This implies a relation not depending on a particular \( t(\lambda) \) and its \( \rho \):

\[
\chi_{n,k}^2 = (-1)^{n+1} F_{n-1}(r_{n,k}).
\]

(69)

In order to find the eigenvector corresponding to \( t(\lambda) \) from the sector \( \rho \) we have to find all the \( Q_k(\rho_{n,k}) \) for all \( k \) solving the Baxter equations. We need to distinguish the following four cases with respect to the value \( k \):

(i) \( (-1)^\nu = (-1)^k \): in this case both \( t(r_{n,k}) \neq 0 \) and \( t(-r_{n,k}) \neq 0 \). So we may fix \( Q_k(0) = 1 \), and using (69) we obtain

\[
Q_k(1) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} F_{n-1}(r_{n,k})}{2 \chi_{n,k}^2 (r_{n,k})}. \]

The other three cases correspond to \( (-1)^\nu = (-1)^{k-1} \) so that the big brackets on the right-hand sides of the Baxter equations are zero due to (69).

(ii) \( t(r_{n,k}) \neq 0, t(-r_{n,k}) = 0 \): the eigenvalue polynomial \( t(\lambda) \) contains the factor \( (\lambda + r_{n,k})^2 \) (both momenta \( q = \pm q_{n,k} \) are not excited, i.e. both not in the spectrum) and we have

\[
Q_k(0) = 1, \quad Q_k(1) = 0.
\]
(iii) $t(r_{n,k}) = 0$, $t(-r_{n,k}) \neq 0$: $t(\lambda)$ contains the factor $(\lambda - r_{n,k})^2$ (both momenta $q = \pm q_{n,k}$ are excited) and we have

$$Q_k(0) = 0, \quad Q_k(1) = 1.$$ 

(iv) Both $t(r_{n,k}) = 0$ and $t(-r_{n,k}) = 0$: this case happens when only one of the two quasi-momenta $q = \pm q_{n,k}$ is excited, so that $t(\lambda)$ contains a factor $(\lambda^2 - r_{n,k}^2)$. As we explained above, this eigenvalue is degenerate. In this case, both hand sides of both Baxter equations are zero. In principle, we can choose any two independent solutions for the Baxter equations, but, in general, they will not give us eigenvectors of operator of translation $T$. Since our construction of the eigenvectors (38) is obviously non-invariant with respect to translations it is unclear how using the direct action of $T$ one can choose solutions of the Baxter equations which give such eigenvectors. We take another way, in order to obtain eigenvectors of the transfer matrix which are eigenvectors also of the translation operator, we shall first lift the degeneracy by starting with parameters such that $ad - bc = \eta$ (keeping $x = 1$) with $\eta$ small but finite. At the end we shall take the limit $\eta \to 0$. Observe that for $\eta \neq 0$ (49) and (50) are different. From (49) we get

$$Q_k^B(0) = 1, \quad Q_k^B(1) = \frac{t(-r_{n,k})}{(-1)^n/\chi_k(r_{n,k})^n - 1} F^{n-1}(r_{n,k}) + (-1)^n/\chi_k(r_{n,k})^{n-1} F(-r_{n,k}).$$

When $\eta \to 0$, both numerator and denominator of $Q_k^B(1)$ tend to 0. Let us find their leading (in fact, linear) terms in $\eta \to 0$. For the denominator we have for $\eta \to 0$

$$\frac{(-1)^n/\chi_k(r_{n,k})^{n-1} F(-r_{n,k})}{\chi_k(r_{n,k})^{n-1}} + (-1)^n/\chi_k(r_{n,k})^{n-1} F(-r_{n,k}) \sim -1)^n r_{n,k} \chi_k(r_{n,k})^{n-1},$$

where we used the relation (valid for arbitrary $\eta$)

$$F^{n-2}(r_{n,k}) F^{n-2}(-r_{n,k}) = \chi_k^4 r_{n,k}^{4(n-1)}.$$ 

Let us write the transfer-matrix eigenvalue polynomial (68) as

$$t(\lambda) = t_0(\lambda) (\lambda - s_{t_0})(\lambda + s_{t_0}),$$

where $t_0 = (-1)^n q_{n,k}$ is the value of the excited quasi-momentum, so that

$$t(-r_{n,k}) = t_0(-r_{n,k}) (r_{n,k} - s_{t_0})(r_{n,k} + s_{t_0}).$$

Using $A(q) (\lambda - s_{t_0})(\lambda + s_{t_0}) = A(q) \lambda^2 - C(q) - 2iB(q)\lambda$, $B(q) = \eta \sin q$ and $A(q) r_{n,k}^2 - C(q) = \eta \sin q$ (due to (46) and (48) at $\eta \to 0$) we get

$$t(-r_{n,k}) \sim -t_0(-r_{n,k}) 2iB(q) r_{n,k}/A(q) = -t_0(-r_{n,k}) 2i \sin(\tilde{q}_{n,k}) r_{n,k}\eta/A(\tilde{q}_{n,k})$$

at $\eta \to 0$, where $A(q) = \alpha^2 - 2\alpha^2 \cos q + 1$. Finally,

$$Q_k^B(0) = 1, \quad Q_k^B(1) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} q_{n,k} 2i \sin(\tilde{q}_{n,k}) t_0(-r_{n,k})}{n \chi_k^4 r_{n,k}^{4(n-1)} A(q_{n,k})}.$$ 

Using a similar limiting procedure for the Baxter equation (50) we get

$$Q_k^B(0) = 1, \quad Q_k^B(1) = -Q_k^B(1).$$

In this case, we cannot normalize $Q_0(0) = 1.$
Although the Ising Baxter equations were the same for $Q^L$ and $Q^R$, in this case, since we take the limit of the general non-Hermitian BBS case, $Q^L_1(1)$ and $Q^R_1(1)$ come out different.

6.3. Norms and factorized matrix elements for the homogeneous Ising model

In this subsection, we state a new factorized formula for the matrix element of the spin operator in the Ising model on a finite lattice. Let $|\Phi_0\rangle$ and $|\Phi_1\rangle$ be two eigenvectors of the periodic Ising model from the sectors $\rho = 0$ and $\rho = 1$, respectively. First, let us consider the case when these states do not contain fermionic excitations with momenta $q = q_{n,k}$ and $q = -q_{n,k}$ simultaneously, so that the eigenvalue polynomials do not contain a factor $(\lambda - r_{n,l})^2$. We introduce the subset $D \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\}$ of indices $k$ for which the eigenvalue polynomials of $|\Phi_0\rangle$ and $|\Phi_1\rangle$ contain the factor $(\lambda^2 - r_{n,l}^2)$, i.e. for which we have the case (iv) of subsection 6.2. Denote by $|D|$ the size of the set $D$.

Let $\delta = 1$ if $\sigma_0 = \sigma_\pi$ and $\delta = 0$ otherwise. We also use the short notations: $\lambda_0 = (-1)^{n_0} s_0$, $\lambda_\pi = (-1)^{n_\pi} s_\pi$ and $\hat{q}_k = (-1)^{n_{k,L}+k} q_{n,k}$. Now the matrix element is given by the factorized formula

$$
\langle \Phi_1| \mathbf{u}_n |\Phi_1\rangle \langle \Phi_0| \mathbf{u}_n |\Phi_0\rangle = \left(\lambda_0^2 - \lambda_\pi^2\right)^{(|D|-\delta)/2} (\lambda_0 + \lambda_\pi)^\delta
\times \prod_{k \in D} \frac{2r_{n,k}}{(r_{n,k} + \lambda_0)(r_{n,k} + \lambda_\pi)} \prod_{k < m \in D} \frac{r_{n,k} + r_{n,m}}{r_{n,k} - r_{n,m}} \prod_{k,m \in D} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\hat{q}_k - \hat{q}_m)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\hat{q}_k + \hat{q}_m)}. \tag{70}
$$

The proof of (70) is given in our sequel paper [16].

In order to compare (70) to the results obtained by Bugrij and Lisovyy in [14, 15], we have to calculate instead of (70) the ratio

$$
\frac{\langle \Phi_0| \mathbf{u}_n |\Phi_1\rangle \langle \Phi_1| \mathbf{u}_n |\Phi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0| \Phi_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_1| \Phi_1 \rangle}, \tag{71}
$$

which has the advantage to be independent of the particular normalization of $|\Phi_0\rangle$ and $|\Phi_1\rangle$. To do this we have to divide (70) by

$$
\langle \Phi_0| \Phi_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_1| \Phi_1 \rangle / \langle \Psi_0,0 | \Psi_0,0 \rangle^2. \tag{72}
$$

The expression for (72) was obtained in (56) for generic parameters. In the case of the Ising model the norms of the degenerated states cannot be directly obtained from (56), because the numerator and denominator contain zeros. When degenerated states appear, that is when $D$ is not empty, we have to be careful in using the formula (56) for the norms and use l’Hôpital’s rule. In order to implement l’Hôpital’s rule we proceed similarly to what we did in case (iv) of section 6.2 for finding the solutions of Baxter equations: we go off the Ising point taking $ad - bc = \eta$ to be small finite and keep terms linear in $\eta$. Let $\hat{q}_n = (-1)^{n_{L,R}+n} q_{n,l}$ for $l \in D$. Then the coefficient of $\lambda$ in

$$
A(\hat{q}_n) (\lambda - s_{q_n}) (\lambda + s_{-q_n}) = A(\hat{q}_n) \lambda^2 - C(\hat{q}_n) + 2iB(\hat{q}_n) \lambda \tag{73}
$$
gives $-s_{q_n} + s_{-q_n} = 2iB(\hat{q}_n)/A(\hat{q}_n)$. Due to $A(\hat{q}_n) r_{n,l}^2 - C(\hat{q}_n) \sim o(\eta)$ (when $\eta \to 0$) the formula (73) at $\lambda = r_{n,l}$ gives $(r_{n,l} - s_{q_n}) (\lambda + s_{-q_n}) \sim 2iB(\hat{q}_n) r_{n,l}/A(\hat{q}_n)$. Therefore

$$
\frac{-s_{q_n} + s_{-q_n}}{(r_{n,l} - s_{q_n})(r_{n,l} + s_{-q_n})} \to \frac{1}{r_{n,l}} \quad \text{for} \quad \eta \to 0
$$

corresponding factor in the formula (56) for norm. Note that this result is independent of which of the two quasi-momenta $q_{n,l}$ or $-q_{n,l}$ is excited. Now we have to take the product of one term (56) for $R$ with another for $NS$. Since the terms at $s_{q_n}$ appear only in $NS$ ($R$) for $n$ odd (even), we get two slightly different formulae for these cases:
For $n$ odd we have
\[
\frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_1 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{0,0} | \Psi_{0,0} \rangle^2} = 2^{(D)} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (2r_{n,k}) \frac{\prod_{k \text{- odd}} (\lambda_{\pi} \pm r_{n,k}) \prod_{k \text{- even}} (\lambda_{\pi} \pm r_{n,k})}{\prod_{k \text{- even}} (\lambda_{\pi} + r_{n,k}) \prod_{k \text{- odd}} (\lambda_{\pi} + r_{n,k})} \\
\times \frac{\prod_{k \leq n} (r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l}) (\pm r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l}) \prod_{k \leq n} (r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l}) (\pm r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l})}{\prod_{k \text{- odd}, l \text{- even}} ((\pm r_{n,k} + r_{n,l}) (r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l}))},
\]
(74)
and for $n$ even
\[
\frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_1 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{0,0} | \Psi_{0,0} \rangle^2} = 2^{(D)} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (2r_{n,k}) \frac{\prod_{k \text{- even}} (\lambda_{\pi} \pm r_{n,k}) (\lambda_{\pi} \pm r_{n,k})}{\prod_{k \text{- odd}} (\lambda_{\pi} + r_{n,k}) (\lambda_{\pi} + r_{n,k})} \\
\times \frac{\prod_{k \leq n} (r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l}) (\pm r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l}) \prod_{k \leq n} (r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l}) (\pm r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l})}{\prod_{k \text{- odd}, l \text{- even}} ((\pm r_{n,k} + r_{n,l}) (r_{n,k} \pm r_{n,l}))},
\]
(75)
where the sign at $\pm r_{n,m}$ is fixed ‘–’ if $m \in D$ and ‘+’ otherwise.

The final result (71) will be given in terms of $\lambda_{0}$, $\lambda_{\pi}$ and $r_{n,k}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n − 1$. Now if the eigenvalue polynomials contain the factors $(\lambda − r_{n,l})^2$ instead of $(\lambda + r_{n,l})^2$ for some $l$ we have to replace $r_{n,l} \rightarrow −r_{n,l}$ in the final formula for the matrix element (71) for all such $l$.

Let us finish this section by giving as an example the matrix element for the 3-site chain between $|\Phi_0\rangle = |\pi, \pi/3\rangle_{NS}$ and $|\Phi_1\rangle = |0\rangle_R$. Using (74) we have
\[
\frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_1 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{0,0} | \Psi_{0,0} \rangle^2} = -\frac{8r_{31}r_{32}(r_{31} + s_{\pi})(r_{32} − s_{\pi})}{(r_{32} − r_{31})(r_{31} − s_0)(r_{32} − s_\pi)}.
\]
Since in this case $D = \{1\}$, formula (70) gives
\[
\frac{\langle \Phi_0 | u_3 | \Phi_1 \rangle \langle \Phi_1 | u_3 | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{0,0} | \Psi_{0,0} \rangle^2} = -\frac{2r_{31}(s_0 + s_\pi)}{(r_{31} − s_0)(r_{31} − s_\pi)}.
\]
Finally,
\[
\frac{\langle \Phi_0 | u_3 | \Phi_1 \rangle \langle \Phi_1 | u_3 | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_1 | \Phi_1 \rangle} = \frac{(s_0 + s_\pi)(s_{2\pi/3}^2 − s_{\pi/3}^2)(s_{2\pi/3} − s_\pi)}{4s_{2\pi/3}(s_{2\pi/3}^2 − s_{\pi/3}^2)(s_{2\pi/3} − s_0)},
\]
where due to (65)
\[
s_{\pi/3}^2 = r_{31}^2 = \frac{b^2 − b^2 + 1}{a^2 − a^2 + 1}, \quad s_{2\pi/3}^2 = r_{32}^2 = \frac{b^2 + b^2 + 1}{a^2 + a^2 + 1}.
\]

7. Conclusions

In this paper, we continue our calculation of state vectors and matrix elements of the finite-size inhomogeneous $Z_N$–Baxter–Bazhanov–Stroganov lattice spin model, using the method of separation of variables. In a previous paper [1], we gave the right eigenvectors for the auxiliary system and the Baxter equations which determine the periodic boundary condition BBS eigenvectors. Here, we complete this work by calculating explicit formulae for the corresponding left eigenvectors and norms and matrix elements of a single operator. A main result is the expression of theorem 1 for the norm of the state vectors of the auxiliary system: the multiple summation over the intermediate states is performed, so that the norm is put into a factorized form. For $N = 2$ the Baxter equations are solved explicitly, and also the norm of the periodic model is put into a compact factorized form. Since it is an open task to perform the intermediate spin summations for matrix elements of the inhomogeneous periodic model,
in the last section we proceeded with the homogeneous Ising model parameters. There we manage to perform the summations and we present a new factorized formula for the matrix elements of spin operator, delegating the somewhat lengthy derivation and comparison with the corresponding formula in [14, 15] to our sequel paper [16].
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Appendix. The main summation formula

Consider a degree $n$ polynomial $f(t) = f_0 t^n + \cdots + f_0$ and its interpolation formula through $n + 1$ arbitrary not coinciding points $c_1, \ldots, c_{n+1}$:

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} f(c_k) \prod_{s \neq k}^{n+1} \frac{t-c_s}{c_k - c_s}, \quad f_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{f(c_k)}{\prod_{s \neq k}^{n+1} (c_k - c_s)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

We shall use (A.1) for $f_n = 0$, i.e. for polynomials $f(t)$ of degree less than $n$, obtaining a sum rule valid for an arbitrary choice of the parameters $[c_1, \ldots, c_{n+1}]$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{f(c_k)}{\prod_{s \neq k}^{n+1} (c_k - c_s)} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

Now take an arbitrary polynomial $f(t)$ of degree less than $n + N$ and choose $n + N$ points $(c_1, \ldots, c_{n+N}) = (b_1, \ldots, b_n, r, \omega r, \ldots, \omega^{N-1} r)$. Then the sum rule corresponding to (A.2) is

$$\sum_{\rho=0}^{N-1} \omega^{\rho r} f(\omega^{\rho r}) = N \sum_{k=1}^{n} (r^{n} - b_k^{N}) \prod_{s < k}^{n} (b_k - b_s).$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

since $\prod_{l=0}^{N-1} (\omega^{\rho r} - \omega^{r}) = N \omega^{\rho r}$. The goal of this appendix is to prove equation (28) of the main text, i.e. we shall show by induction that for the sets $a^{(n)} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}\} = \{r_1 \omega^{-\rho}, \ldots, r_{n-2} \omega^{-\rho-2}\}$ and $b^{(n)} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\}$ the $Z_N$-symmetrical sum over all phases of the parameters $a_j$ in

$$S_n (a^{(n)}, b^{(n)}) = \sum_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-2} \in (Z_N)^2} \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} \left( a_l \prod_{j=l+1}^{n-2} (a_l - a_j) \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

can be performed explicitly, so that we obtain (A.4) in the factorized form

$$S_n (a^{(n)}, b^{(n)}) = N^{n-2} \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} a_l \prod_{k < l}^{n-1} b_k - b_l^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \left( a_k^{N} - a_l^{N} \right) \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} \prod_{l < k}^{n-1} (b_k^{N} - a_l^{N}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (A.5)

This assertion is correct for $n = 3$, as can be seen by explicit summation, using $\sum_{\rho \in Z_N} b/(r \omega^{-\rho} - b) = Nb^N/(r^N - b^N)$:

$$S_3 (a_1; b_1, b_2) = \sum_{\rho_1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1 - b_1)(a_1 - b_2)} = \sum_{\rho_1} \frac{1}{b_1 - b_2} \left( \frac{b_2}{b_2 - r_1 \omega^{-\rho_1}} - \frac{b_1}{b_1 - r_1 \omega^{-\rho_1}} \right) = N a_1^{N} \left( b_1^{N} - b_2^{N} \right) \left( (b_1 - b_2) (b_1^{N} - a_1^{N}) (b_2^{N} - a_2^{N}) \right) \left( b_1^{N} - a_2^{N} \right) \left( b_2^{N} - a_1^{N} \right).$$
For general \( n \) it will be useful to use the induction assumption (A.4) also in the form

\[
S_n(a^{(n)}, b^{(n)}) = \tilde{S}_n(a^{(n)}) \prod_{k < \ell}^{n-1} b_k^N - b_\ell^N \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-2} (b_\ell^N - a_\ell^N) \]

with

\[
\tilde{S}_n(a^{(n)}) = N^{n-2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i^N \right) \prod_{i < j} (a_i^N - a_j^N). \tag{A.6}
\]

In proceeding with the induction proof of (A.5) we start separating terms involving the last summation (over \( \rho_{m-2} \)) in (A.4) and perform this sum using (A.3) with \( f(t) = \prod_{m=1}^{m=2} (a_m - t) \):

\[
S_n = \sum_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{m-2}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-3} a_i \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (a_i - b_m) \right) \sum_{\rho_{m-2}} \prod_{m=1}^{m=2} (a_m - a_{n-2}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} (a_m - b_i) = \sum_{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{m-2}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-3} a_i \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (a_i - b_m) \right) N a_{n-2}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{m=1}^{m=2} (a_m - b_k) \prod_{l \neq k}^{l=1} (b_l - b_j).
\]

The first summation in the last equation involves also the phases of \( a_m \) in the term \( \prod_{m=1}^{m=2} (a_m - b_l) \) of the last numerator. However, this term cancels just the term \( m = k \) in the denominator of the first bracket. So, comparing to (A.4) for \( n \to n - 1 \) we can write

\[
S_n(a^{(n)}, b^{(n)}) = N a_{n-2}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{S_{n-1}(a^{(n)}, b^{(n)})}{(a_{n-2}^N - b_k^N) \prod_{l \neq k}^{l=1} (b_l - b_j)}, \tag{A.7}
\]

where \( b_k^{(n)} \) is the \((n-2)\)-component vector resulting from \( b^{(n)} \) omitting the component \( b_k \). Similarly, \( a_{n-2}^{(n)} \) is the \((n-3)\)-component vector resulting from \( a^{(n)} \) by omitting the component \( a_{n-2} \). Now we can use the induction assumption (A.5) to insert here \( S_{n-1} \):

\[
S_n(a^{(n)}, b^{(n)}) = N a_{n-2}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(a_{n-2}^N - b_k^N) \prod_{l \neq k}^{l=1} (b_l - b_j)} \times N^{n-3} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m=2} a_i^N \right) \prod_{m=m}^{m=2} \prod_{m \neq j}^{m=2} \prod_{l \neq k}^{l=1} (b_l - b_j).
\]

It is more convenient to write (A.7) in terms of \( \tilde{S}_n(a^{(n)}) \), equation (A.6), since then the \( b \)-dependence is explicit:

\[
\tilde{S}_n(a^{(n)}) \prod_{k < \ell}^{n-1} b_k^N - b_\ell^N \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-2} (b_\ell^N - a_\ell^N) = N a_{n-2}^{N} \tilde{S}_{n-1}(a^{(n-2)}) \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{k < \ell}^{n-1} b_k^N - b_\ell^N \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-2} (b_\ell^N - a_\ell^N) \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-2} (b_{n-2}^N - b_k^N) \prod_{l \neq k}^{l=1} (b_l - b_j).
\]

Apart from a sign, the terms linear in the \( b_k \) cancel since

\[
\prod_{k < \ell}^{n-1} (b_k - b_\ell) = \prod_{k < \ell}^{n-1} \prod_{k < \ell}^{n-1} (b_k - b_\ell) = (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-2} (b_k - b_j).
\]
Using the same formula for the $b_N^N$-terms and simplifying the $b_N^N - a_N^N$-terms, we get

$$
\tilde{S}_n(a^{(n)}) = -N\alpha_{n-1}^N\tilde{S}_{n-1}(a^{(n-2)}) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (b_N^N - a_N^N) \prod_{l' \neq k}^{n-1} (b_N^N - a_{n-2}^N).
$$

Here the sum can be calculated using the identity

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (b_N^N - a_N^N) \prod_{l' \neq k}^{n-1} (b_N^N - a_{n-2}^N) = -\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (a_N^N - a_{n-2}^N),
$$

so that

$$
\tilde{S}_n(a^{(n)}) = N\alpha_{n-1}^N\tilde{S}_{n-1}(a^{(n-2)}) \prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (a_N^N - a_{n-2}^N)
$$

which confirms (A.6) and so also (A.5).
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