Effect of Cognitive Reappraisal on Archery Performance of Elite Athletes: The Mediating Effects of Sport-Confidence and Attention
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Through empirical studies or laboratory tests, previous studies have shown that sport-confidence, attention, and emotion regulation are key factors in archery performance. The present study aims to further identify the effects and pathways of sport-confidence, attention, and cognitive reappraisal (a specific emotion regulation strategy) on real-world archery performance by constructing a hypothesized model to provide a basis for scientific training of athletes to improve sport performance. A survey design was utilized on a sample of 61 athletes (12 international-level athletes, 30 national-level athletes, and 19 first-class athletes) from the Chinese National Archery Team to test the model. The measurement and hypothesized models were tested using partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). The results indicate that the model fit well and explained 33.6% of the variance in archery performance. Sport-confidence (total effects = 0.574, p < 0.001) and attention (total effects = 0.344, p = 0.009) were important predictive indicators of archery performance, while the relationship between cognitive reappraisal and archery performance showed considerable complexity (direct effects = −0.268, p = 0.020; total effects = −0.007, p = 0.964). We conclude that the development of sport-confidence and attention of archery athletes should be strengthened, but athletes who use cognitive reappraisal in archery competition should be mindful of its potential appropriation of cognitive resources and should be directed to improve sport-confidence or develop a positive orientation to arouse excitement.
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INTRODUCTION

Archery performance is affected by athletes’ skills (mental, fitness, etc.), among which skills and mental abilities are key factors. The “two-point-one-line” aiming method of archery requires athletes to pursue the “stability” or “consistency” of movement skills (Stuart and Atha, 1990; Soylu et al., 2006; Sarro et al., 2021). The repeatability and consistency of skills are strongly correlated with mental ability. In addition, the current Olympic archery rules, such as the
single elimination with two out of three games in three arrows per set and a single arrow shoot-off when there is a tie, greatly increase the uncertainty of the competition, make the competition extremely uncertain, and have placed higher demands on the mental ability of the athletes (Wang and Liu, 2013).

Studies have shown that archers with extensive sports experience or competition experience perform better in terms of lack of worries, confidence, and concentration (Bebetsos, 2015), or attention networks (Lu et al., 2021), and higher archery shooting scores or smaller arrow dispersion are predicted by mental abilities, such as anxiety (Zhao et al., 2013; Taha et al., 2018), confidence (Zhao et al., 2013; Taha et al., 2018; Salleh et al., 2020), concentration (Taha et al., 2018), and emotion regulation (Zhao et al., 2013; Kim and Oh, 2015). By comparing 13 mental factors, Yang et al. (2008) found that the indicators of elite archery athlete selection include the distribution of attention, kinesthetic perception, and anxiety/confidence. Similarly, by comparing 10 mental factors, Kim et al. (2015) combined the Delphi survey and hierarchical analysis to verify that confidence, concentration, and emotion control are the three most important factors affecting archery performance.

However, most previous studies describe archery performance in the form of subjective evaluations or based on tests under laboratory conditions, lacking validation with real-world archery performance; moreover, the studies (Carson and Collins, 2016; Stanger et al., 2018) have implied that there might be interactions among confidence, attention, and emotion regulation strategy, suggesting that we could investigate the relationship between them in an integrated and linked perspective. Thus, this study aims to construct a theoretical model that investigates the relationship between sport-confidence, attention, emotion regulation, and sports performance.

Based on the above statements, this study will make research contributions in the following aspects: (1) verifying the effects of sport-confidence, attention, and cognitive reappraisal on actual archery performance; and (2) exploring the path of the interaction between the above psychological factors.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Sport-Confidence and Archery Performance

The multidimensional theory of anxiety proposes that cognitive anxiety shares a negative linear relationship with performance, and confidence shares a positive linear relationship with performance (Martens et al., 1990). Research on competitive state anxiety is based on the premise that cognitive anxiety has a negative linear relationship with performance and that confidence has a linear positive relationship with performance and is considered to be a protective factor against cognitive anxiety (Weinberg and Gould, 2014). When facing stress, athletes with higher confidence are better able to interpret anxiety as a positive emotion and promote sport performance (Martens et al., 1990; Woodman and Hardy, 2003; Hays et al., 2009). Similarly, confidence has a significant effect on sport performance in archery (Zhao et al., 2013; Bebetsos, 2015), even though it affects performance to the greatest extent on different factors among skills and mental and physical fitness (Yang et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2015). In addition, several studies have examined the effects of trait anxiety (Kaur and Shenoy, 2019) and state anxiety (Lim, 2016; Kaur and Shenoy, 2019) on archery performance, but it is not clear whether there is a difference in the effect of trait sport-confidence and state sport-confidence [concepts belonging to a sport-specific model of self-confidence (Vealey, 1986)] on archery performance. In summary, we propose the following hypothesis:

H1: Sport-confidence, including trait sport-confidence and state sport-confidence, positively predicts archery performance.

Attention and Archery Performance

Attention is described as the sustained focus of cognitive resources on information while filtering or ignoring extraneous information. It is a vital prerequisite of successful performance in sports (Abernethy et al., 2007; Moran, 2012). Athletes with a higher attention ability are better able to achieve desirable performance in competition (Moran, 1996; Love et al., 2018). Likewise, researchers have concurred that attention, especially concentration, is critical for archery athletes (Lee, 2009; Zhao et al., 2013; Bebetsos, 2015; Taha et al., 2018). Gonzalez et al. (2017) pointed out that longer quiet eye durations (QED) are required for archers to aim accurately at the bullseye, and they noted that attention control plays an important role in determining QED performance. A study focusing on athlete selection indicated that attention allocation is an important indicator for selecting elite archers (Yang et al., 2008). Lu et al. (2021) also found that national team archers performed better than provincial team archers in terms of attentional network efficiency. During the aiming process, archers need to rely on their own proprioception and environmental information (e.g., wind direction) to adjust their posture or aiming skills (Lu et al., 2021), which requires strong attention allocation and a certain breadth of attention to cope with and process this information. At the same time, athletes seem to invoke conflict control to shift attention from distracting factors (e.g., focusing on the performance of other athletes or caring about ranking) to valid information (e.g., paying attention to coordinated body movements). Thus, following the facts above, the different aspects of attention, that is, shifting, breadth, and allocation, may all influence the archery performance. In summary, hypothesis 2 is proposed:

H2: Shifting, breadth, stability, and allocation of attention positively predicts archery performance.

Emotion Regulation and Archery Performance

In sports (e.g., archery) that require movement of fine control (Eysenck and Calvo, 1992; Mellalieu et al., 2004, 2009) or a high level of concentration (Kubiak et al., 2019), anxiety could
provoke a decline in sport performance. Emotion regulation is often used by individuals as a means of reducing anxiety (Cisler et al., 2010). Admittedly, emotional control or emotion regulation is an essential mental skill for archery athletes (Yang et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2015). However, previous research has progressed mostly to emphasize the importance of emotion regulation, and there has been less exploration of specific means of emotion regulation applicable to archery athletes. Although there are a considerable number of ways to regulate emotions, one prominent and adaptive approach to control emotions is through cognitive reappraisal (Gross and John, 2003; Gross, 2010; Uphill et al., 2012). Studies have found that positive cognitive reappraisal is positively associated with motor performance in table tennis, whereas expression suppression is not (Kubiak et al., 2019), and it enhances archery athletes’ feelings of positive emotions and improves performance on experimental tasks (Kim and Oh, 2015). In addition, similar to cognitive reappraisal, arousal reappraisal prevents golfers from “choking” and to some extent ensures performance in putting tasks (Balk et al., 2013). In conclusion, we chose cognitive reappraisal as a specific strategy for emotion regulation and proposed the following hypothesis:

**H3:** Cognitive reappraisal positively predicts archery performance.

### Sport-Confidence and Attention

According to attentional control theory, anxiety impairs the goal-directed attention system and reduces individuals' performance on attention control by inhibiting attentional transfer processes (Eysenck et al., 2007; Eysenck and Derakshan, 2011). Among archery athletes, it has also been shown that concentration increases when competitive anxiety decreases (Indahwati and Ristanto, 2016). Multidimensional anxiety theory states that sport-confidence is an important mental quality for individuals to avoid cognitive anxiety (Martens et al., 1990). Athletes with high levels of confidence improve their attentional control and motor performance by investing more mental effort, even when they are anxious (Eysenck and Calvo, 1992). As evidence, a recent questionnaire-based study showed that high levels of attentional control are related to lower levels of competitive state anxiety and higher levels of self-confidence, and competitive state anxiety and self-confidence are significant predictors of attentional control (Tomé-Lourido et al., 2019). As a result, sport-confidence may ensure attention control by resisting anxiety and maintaining confidence. Studies on shooting athletes found that confidence had a relatively greater effect on concentration among the mental skills of target setting, representational and anxiety control (Mun, 2011), suggesting to us that confidence contributes to shifting, breadth, and allocation of attention. In summary, it is proposed the following hypotheses:

**H4:** Sport-confidence positively predicts attention in archers.

### Sport-Confidence and Cognitive Reappraisal

Research on the relationship between confidence and cognitive reappraisal in sports is relatively scarce. We only know that cognitive reappraisal can enhance subjects’ confidence in memory tasks (Richards and Gross, 2000). Studies on arousal reappraisal have shown that the reappraisal group displayed higher self-confidence than the control group when completing a pressurized dart-throwing task (Sammy et al., 2017). Along these lines, cognitive reappraisal affects emotion-related outcomes by modulating the intensity of emotional responses (Gross, 2010) and stress responses (Jamieson et al., 2013), such as reducing anxiety (Hofmann et al., 2009; Uphill et al., 2012; Efinger et al., 2019) and depression (Uphill et al., 2012) and shifting negative stress states (Jamieson et al., 2013). A study of a sport-specific model of self-confidence also states that these negative emotions are related to sport-confidence (Vealey, 1986). In addition, archery athletes’ sport-confidence is significantly and negatively correlated with competition anxiety and negative emotions (Zhao et al., 2013; Lim, 2016). Furthermore, according to the theory of self-efficacy, emotions are one of the sources of self-efficacy, and successful regulation of emotional experiences can enhance individuals’ perceptions of personal competence (Bandura, 1977a,b). As a result, cognitive reappraisal may promote sport-confidence by improving emotional experience and consequently affect sports performance. Therefore, we propose the following hypotheses:

**H5:** Cognitive reappraisal positively predicts archers’ sport-confidence.
**H5a:** Sport-confidence mediates the relationship between cognitive reappraisal and archery performance.
**H5b:** Sport-confidence and attention have a chain mediating effect of cognitive reappraisal and attention.

### Attention and Cognitive Reappraisal

Both attention and cognitive reappraisal are related to cognitive control (Ochsner and Gross, 2005; Buschman and Miller, 2010). Although cognitive reappraisal may be associated with lower cognitive costs (Gross and Thompson, 2007), recent research suggests that cognitive reappraisal depletes cognitive resources (Sheppes and Meiran, 2008; Keng et al., 2013). The use of cognitive reappraisal requires the invocation of cognitive processes, including working memory, task switching, and antagonistic dominance responses (Ortner et al., 2016; Gan et al., 2017), which may conflict with attentional control and attentional orientation. Under the condition of stress, cognitive reappraisal not only takes away self-control resources but also reduces the control of negative emotions (Sheppes and Meiran, 2007; McRae et al., 2012; Ortner et al., 2016).
When athletes deliberately seek to be “more in control” to gain control over emotions and movement skills, it often comes at the cost of depleting more cognitive resources, as evidenced by decreased performance on reaction time tasks and increased rates of missed and false manipulation tasks (Sun et al., 2013; Ortner et al., 2016). Thus, we propose the following hypotheses:

H6: Cognitive reappraisal negatively predicts attention in archers.
H6a: Cognitive reappraisal mediates the relationship between sport-confidence and attention.

Combining the hypotheses above, a theoretical model of archery athletes’ sport-confidence, attention, and cognitive reappraisal influencing sport performance was obtained, as shown in Figure 1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants
Sixty-one athletes from the Chinese National Archery Team participated in the study. Among them, 26 were males and 35 were females, 12 were international-level athletes, 30 were national-level athletes, and 19 were first-class athletes. These technical hierarchies were officially determined by the General Administration of Sport of China. International-level athletes are those who have won certain competition placement in international competitions, national-level athletes are those who have achieved corresponding competition results international, continental, or national competitions, and first-class athletes are those who have won certain competition results in national competitions (General Administration of Sport of China, 2019). Informed consent was obtained from all athletes and coaches before testing. The detailed demographic distribution is presented in Table 1.

Measurements

Archery Performance
To avoid the bias arising from the description of sports performance by a single indicator and take into account the description of athletes’ sports performance in both long-term and short-term dimensions, we constructed an archery performance indicator that includes competition performance score (the total ranking score in the qualification and the total set score in the matchplay) and technical hierarchy score.

According to Olympic archery competition rules, athletes are required to participate in the qualification phase and the matchplay phase successively. The performance in the qualification phase is usually reflected by the total ranking score (total score of shooting 72 arrows), which could reflect the stability of the athletes’ performance; the performance in the matchplay phase is reflected by the total set score (cumulative score of each match), which can reflect the confrontational ability of the athletes. In addition, referring to the scoring method of Arribas-Galarraga et al. (2017) for the competition places achieved by athletes in different levels of competition, we devised a method for converting technical level into technical level score, that is, the second-class level, the first-class level, the national level, and the international level were assigned 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Thus, the technical level score of the second-class athletes was 1 (1), that of the first-class athletes was 3 (1 + 2), that of the national-level athletes was 6 (1 + 2 + 3), and that of the international-level athletes was 10 (1 + 2 + 3 + 4).

Attention
The paper–pencil version of the Elite Athlete Attention Test (EAAT; Yin et al., 2006) was selected to measure the attentional
allocation, breadth, and shifting of athletes. The EAAT, based on theory related to trials of attention, consists of the Graphic Discrimination Test (subjects were asked to find 2 target shapes among 16 similar shapes and mark them, the total number of shapes being $15 \times 20 = 300$), the Pick 4 Circles Test (subjects were asked to mark the squares with four small circles among the squares with different numbers of small circles, the total number of squares being $26 \times 25 = 650$), and the Addition and Subtraction Test (subjects were asked to alternate between "addition" and "subtraction" and to write the results in the middle of the two numbers, the total number of results being $22 \times 12 = 264$), which measure attentional allocation, breadth, and shifting, respectively. The validity of the EAAT has been proven in national team athlete populations in tennis, diving, short track speed skating, basketball, free-skiiing aerials, and sailing, by comparing the attention ability with those of the self-assessment and the coaches' assessment (Yin et al., 2006).

### Sport-Confidence

The State Sport-Confidence Inventory (Chinese Version; SSCI-CV; Fung et al., 2001) was chosen to measure the state sport-confidence in this study. The SSCI-CV revised from the State Sport-Confidence Inventory (SSCI; Vealey, 1986), which has been a widely used measure of state sport-confidence and has shown good reliability and validity. It consists of 13 items (e.g., "compare your confidence in your ability to perform under pressure to the most confident athlete you know") and each scored on a 9-point Likert scale from 1 (low confidence) to 9 (high confidence). The internal consistency of the SSCI-CV was 0.94 in this study.

The Athlete Sport-Confidence Inventory (ASCI; Shen, 2004) was chosen to measure the trait sport-confidence in this study. The ASCI is an instrument for measuring trait sport-confidence based on self-efficacy theory (Shen, 2004), sport-specific model of self-confidence (Vealey, 1986), and the Chinese elite athlete self-confidence model (Anmin et al., 1999). Compared to the Trait Sport-Confidence Inventory (TSCI; Vealey, 1986), the ASCI incorporates competition orientation, which can be a valid predictor of trait sport-confidence (Martin and Gill, 1991; Shen, 2004) and would more comprehensively measure and reflect athletes’ trait sport-confidence. The ASCI consists of 16 items and each scored on a 6-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 6 (very much so). It is designed to measure the trait task sport-confidence (six items, e.g., “Even with a lot of pressure, I was able to play well as I should”) and trait coping sport-confidence (10 items, e.g., “Whether I win or lose, I believe I can reach the goal I have in mind”). The test–retest reliability and the coefficient of were 0.78 and 0.84 for task dimension and were 0.73 and 0.90 for coping. In this study, the internal consistency of the ASCI was 0.94.

### Cognitive Reappraisal

The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire-Chinese Revised Version (ERQ-CRV; Wang et al., 2007), a revised version of the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ; Gross and John, 2003), was selected to measure the use of cognitive reappraisal strategies. The ERQ has been a widely used measure of emotion regulation strategies and has shown good reliability and validity. The ERQ-CRV comprises two subscales and assesses the habitual use of two emotion regulation strategies: cognitive reappraisal and expressive suppression. This study applied the Cognitive Reappraisal Scale, which consists of six items (e.g., “When I’m faced with a stressful situation, I make myself think about it in a way that helps me stay calm”), and each scored on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The test–retest reliability and the coefficient of ERQ-CRV were 0.82 and 0.85 for cognitive reappraisal dimension. The internal consistency coefficient was 0.80 in this study.

### Procedure

All of the athletes took the psychological test as a group at the same time and participated in an archery competition 1 week after the test. The psychological tests were conducted 2 h after the end of training in a warm, well-ventilated, and quiet room. Before implementing the attention tests, the chief examiner explained each subtask of the EAAT one by one and confirmed that the athletes understood the content of the EAAT, and then counted down the time to start the attention tests on the athletes. All three subtasks of the EAAT were limited to 3 min (Yin et al., 2006). After completing the attention tests, the athletes filled out the ERQ-CRV, the SSCI-CV, and the ASCI. The archery competition was conducted in accordance with Olympic archery competition rules. During the competition, we collected the total ranking score in qualification phase and the total set score in the matchplay phase. In addition, the technical level data are collected from the “Athlete Technical Hierarchy Integrated Management System” before the competition.

### Statistical Analysis

In this cross-sectional study, structural equation modeling (SEM) was chosen to analyze the measurement and structural models. SEM was divided into two categories: covariance-based SEM (CB-SEM) and partial least squares-SEM (PLS-SEM; Chin, 1998). Compared to CB-SEM, PLS-SEM (1) is more suitable for addressing small sample data and does not require normally distributed data (Chin, 1998; Urbach and Ahlemann, 2010); (2) focused on predictions and theory building, rather than model verification, by maximizing the explained variance of
RESULTS

Measurement Model

Items for which the indicator loading is less than 0.6 should not be included in the subsequent analysis (Sarstedt et al., 2017). The preliminary PLS-SEM algorithms showed that the outer loading of the first and second items of the latent variable cognitive reappraisal ranged from 0.475 and 0.586. Based on the results of both PLS algorithms and empirical analyses (the two items are correlated in connotation with other items, and removing them does not affect the content validity), we deleted the two items above. Meanwhile, the relationship between cognitive reappraisal and attention (H6) was removed from the original hypothesized model due to a non-significant path coefficient \[ \beta = 0.200, 95\% CI \in (-0.069, 0.449) \]. The following section will develop the analysis with the revised model (Figure 2).

To measure reliability, indicator loadings higher than 0.7, composite reliability \((CR)\) ranging from 0.8 to 0.95, and Cronbach’s alpha \((CA)\) higher than 0.7 have been used as common criteria to assess indicator reliability and internal consistency reliability (Sarstedt et al., 2017). However, some researchers criticized \(CA\) as an indicator to assess the reliability of the measurement model in PLS-SEM, in that the calculation of \(CA\) is based on the assumption that “all items have tau-equivalence reliability,” which may underestimate the true reliability (Hair et al., 2012; Peterson and Kim, 2013). As shown in Table 2, indicator loadings (0.708–0.912) of each item, \(CR\) values (0.826–0.897) of each latent variable, and \(CA\) values (0.771–0.807) of the latent variables above cognitive reappraisal, sport-confidence, and attention comply with the requirements of the test standard. Although the \(CA\) value for the latent variable archery performance was 0.696, we considered the \(CA\) for archery performance to be acceptable due to the inherent large uncertainty in archery performance and the general tendency of \(CA\) to underestimate the reliability. In all, the measurement model was considered to have acceptable reliability in this study.

The validity tests of measurement models focus on convergent validity and discriminant validity tests (Hair et al., 2020). Convergent validity, which reflects the extent to which different measures of the same construct converge or strongly correlate with one another (Taherdoost, 2016), was assessed through
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the average variance extracted (AVE). All AVEs (Table 2) in this study exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.5 (Hair et al., 2020), indicating that the measurement variables can effectively explain the latent variables and that the measurement models were both good in convergent validity. The discriminant validity assessment ensures that a reflective construct has the strongest relationships with its own indicators (Hair et al., 2020). Traditionally, the Fornell–Larcker criterion (Fornell and Larcker, 1981) discriminated whether \[ \sqrt{AVE_i} > \max_{j \neq i} \sqrt{AVE_j} \] was used, but Henseler et al. (2015) pointed out that the PLS algorithm would overestimate indicator loadings and underestimate correlation coefficients among the constructs, and they suggested that a heterotrait–monotrait ratio of HTMT lower than 0.85 should be used as a criterion of discriminant validity assessment. Tables 3 and 4 present the results of these criteria. Both the Fornell–Larcker criterion and HTMT test were approved. Therefore, we demonstrated satisfactory validity of our measurement model.

**Structural Model**

Assessing the structural model, we checked for multicollinearity issues by examining the variance inflation factor (VIF) values first. No multicollinearity issues were found, as all VIF values, ranging from 1.272 to 2.370, were below the threshold value of three (Hair et al., 2020). As shown in Figure 2, the endogenous variables’ R² value (range from 0.142 to 0.336) and Q² value (range from 0.085 to 0.173) also indicated good predictive accuracy and predictive relevance, where R² equals 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19 for large, medium, and small predictive power, respectively, and Q² values greater than zero are meaningful, whereas values below zero indicate a lack of predictive relevance.

**Direct Path Analysis**

To assess the direct effect of the path, path coefficients’ significance (p) and effect size (f²) were used, in which f² values above 0.02 and up to 0.15 are considered small effects, values of 0.15 and up to 0.35 are considered medium effects, and values 0.35 and above are considered large effects (Cohen, 1988). The relationships between sport-confidence and archery performance (H1; \( \beta = 0.444, p < 0.001 \)), sport-confidence and attention (H4; \( \beta = 0.377, p < 0.001 \)), and cognitive reappraisal and sport-confidence (H5; \( \beta = 0.455, p < 0.001 \)) were found to have a medium effect size. Small effects were observed for the relationships between attention and archery performance (H2; \( \beta = 0.344, p < 0.001 \)) and between cognitive reappraisal and archery performance (H3; \( \beta = -0.268, p < 0.001 \); see Table 5). Overall, these results support H1, H2, H4, and H5. Beyond our anticipation, the results do not support H3, but a significant negative relationship between cognitive reappraisal and archery performance was found. In addition, no significant relationships were found between cognitive reappraisal and attention (H6), which had been clarified in the model revision process.

**Mediation Analysis**

The mediation analysis procedure recommended by Hair et al. (2017) was used, in which, if the coefficient of the path including the mediator variable is significant, then we need to determine the significance of the direct effect. Partial mediation is found when the direct effect is significant, whereas support for full mediation is demonstrated when the direct effect is not significant.

Among the results of the mediating effects test (Table 5), we found that attention acted as a partial mediator of the relationships between sport-confidence and archery performance (H4a, \( \beta = 0.130, p < 0.05 \)), sport-confidence acted as a suppressing mediator between cognitive reappraisal and archery performance (H5a, \( \beta = 0.202, p < 0.05 \)) due to the opposite sign of the coefficient of the indirect effect and the coefficient of the direct effect (\( \beta = -0.268, p < 0.05 \)). Moreover, a mediating effect of sport-confidence was found in the path between cognitive reappraisal and attention (H6a, \( \beta = 0.172, p < 0.05 \)). Certainly, sport-confidence and attention have no chain mediating effect between cognitive reappraisal and attention (H5b, \( \beta = 0.059, p > 0.05 \)). Overall, the results of the indirect relationships provided support for H4a, H5a, and H6a, and did not support H5b.
Total Effect Analysis

To compare the magnitude of different independent constructs affecting the dependent constructs, we collated the total effects of sport-confidence, attention, and cognitive reappraisal to influence archery performance by summing the direct and indirect effects. As shown in Table 6, the ranking of the total effects of the different constructs on archery performance was, in descending order, sport-confidence [0.574, 95% CI ∈ (0.342, 0.796)], attention [0.344, 95% CI ∈ (0.068, 0.581)], and cognitive reappraisal [−0.007, 95% CI ∈ (−0.354, 0.271)].

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of this study was to explore the pathways and effects of sport-confidence, attention, and cognitive reappraisal on real-world archery performance from a connected perspective. Before proving the hypothesized relationships, we verified that the measurement models had acceptable internal consistency reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity. In addition to demonstrating again that sport-confidence and attention are important predictive indicators of archery performance (Yang et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2015; Taha et al., 2018), we also found complexity when cognitive reappraisal affects archery performance. Furthermore, the fact that the model explained a total of 33.6% of the variance in archery performance is in line with our expectations and the conclusions of a previous study (Kim et al., 2015), considering that the factors influencing archery performance also include skill-based factors, physical fitness-based factors, and other mental factors.

Echoing the hypothesis of a positive linear relationship between sport-confidence and archery performance proposed by Martens et al. (1990) in multidimensional anxiety theory, this study demonstrated that sport-confidence has a positive effect on archery performance. Stable technical performance comes from stable mental dominance, which depends heavily on confidence (Yang et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2015). Consistent with the findings of Kim et al. (2015), we reconfirm that confidence has the greatest effect on archery performance compared to attention and emotion regulation and state the primacy of developing sport-confidence in archers. Moreover, the results support the assumption that both state and trait sport-confidence are equally important, which gives us reason to speculate that an athlete’s past success is an important prerequisite for optimal performance at high levels of competition (Rosenqvist and Skans, 2015) and suggests that the development of sport-confidence in archers can be carried out in terms of improving the degree of belief in using their abilities to accomplish their sporting tasks and the degree of belief in facing pressure and overcoming difficulties. Therefore, paying attention to improving the sport-confidence of athletes in diversified ways is a necessary part of daily training and pre- and post-competition training.

The present study found that archery performance was affected by multiple attentional abilities, including shifting, breadth, stability, and allocation of attention. Yang et al. (2008) focused on attentional stability and attentional assignment, and Lu et al. (2021) based on attention network theory, found that the ability to alert, orient, and conflict control was related to archery performance. These studies of different attentional elements all point to the importance of abilities in maintaining focus, identifying targets and distractors, and rapidly shifting the object of attention. In other words, to ensure consistent technical performance during the competition, archery athletes need to keep their focus stabilized on the target and bow sight, along with controlling their body movements, which demands attentional breadth and attentional allocation at the same time (Pei and Song-ping, 2007). In turn, there are a large number of distractions in the competition diverting the athlete’s attention, requiring the athletes to shift the direction of their attention and keep the focus on valid information or the task at hand.

In addition, attention plays a partially mediating role in the path of sport-confidence to archery performance. The findings support the hypothesis of processing efficacy theory (Eysenck and Calvo, 1992) and attentional control theory (Eysenck and Derakshan, 2011) regarding the relationship between anxiety and attention. The lack of confidence in athletes is often manifested by the inability to focus well on the process of the game but more on the opponent’s performance or the outcome of the game, which negatively affects their normal performance on a technical level (Yang et al., 2008).
inverse relationship between confidence and anxiety holds true, it may also suggest that anxiety has a more negative effect on performance when the task is more demanding on the central executive system (Eysenck and Derakshan, 2011). In short, we can infer that individuals with higher levels of sport-confidence are more likely to maintain and control their attention on valid information and operational tasks, thus ensuring performance.

Previous studies have indicated emotion regulation (Kim and Oh, 2015) or emotion control (Yang et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2015) as an important factor in archery performance. However, we found that the relationship between cognitive reappraisal and archery performance is considerably complex. The direct effect of cognitive reappraisal on archery performance was significantly less than zero, while the total effect was approximately equal to zero and non-significant. Differences in the measurement of emotion regulation and differences in contexts when using cognitive reappraisal strategies may have contributed to the different results of the studies.

We chose emotion regulation strategies as an entry point to measure athletes’ emotion regulation ability from the “method” perspective and investigated athletes’ cognitive reappraisal, which is a relatively efficient emotion regulation strategy. Most previous studies have investigated the importance of control emotions based on “outcome” orientation, e.g., “The importance of emotional control on archery performance is…” (Yang et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2015), or “I lose control of my emotions under stress,” “My emotions prevent me from performing at my best in competition” (Zhao et al., 2013). From this, we can realize that although emotion regulation is crucial for athletes to achieve good performance, the specific methods used in regulating emotions still need to be treated differently.

Context is an important factor to consider in determining the usefulness of a particular emotion regulation strategy (Gross and John, 2003; Kucharski et al., 2018). The presence of contextual differences between the two may also account for the differential effects of cognitive reappraisal. Some scholars (Sheppes and Meiran, 2007; Ortner et al., 2016) have pointed out that the effectiveness of using cognitive reappraisal to improve negative emotional experiences in emotionally stressed conditions is lower than that of using it in non-stressed conditions. In the present study, sport performance was collected under real-world competition, while other similar studies (Wagstaff, 2014; Kim and Oh, 2015; Lane et al., 2016) measured performance under laboratory settings. In competitive situations where individuals are constantly faced with new stimuli of emotional arousal, the use of cognitive reappraisal strategies not only fails to effectively regulate emotions but also eventually leads to enhanced emotional instability and adversely affects performance as the frequency of use increases and the effectiveness of use decreases (Sheppes and Meiran, 2007; Ortner et al., 2016). Athletes tended to use more complex strategies (e.g., cognitive reappraisal) following a disappointing game or personal performance (Kucharski et al., 2018). However, increased cognitive costs are associated with the use of cognitive reappraisal strategies in a higher intensity stimuli context (Sheppes and Meiran, 2008; Ortner et al., 2016), or the cognitive reappraisal and attention spent on the task would compete for limited cognitive resources. From this, it can be inferred that archery performance is negatively influenced by cognitive reappraisal due to deviations from conventional behavioral patterns or the occurrence of additional expenditure of cognitive resources by athletes. This is in line with the ego-depletion theory (Baumeister et al., 1998).

In addition, the suppressing effect of sport-confidence between cognitive reappraisal and archery performance suggests that if athletes direct their cognitive reappraisal toward boosting sport-confidence, the use of the strategy might facilitate archery performance. This is in line with the importance to archers of positive thinking (Kim et al., 2015). This might be interpreted as individuals reappraising their anxious arousal as excitement and consequently increasing their confidence and improving their performance (Stanger et al., 2018). Through self-statements, such as “I am excited” rather than “trying to relax or stay calm,” cognitive reappraisal promotes a more positive way of thinking and elicits a stronger feeling of excitement, which in turn indirectly affects sports performance (Brooks, 2014). In summary, athletes, at least archers, should understand that using cognitive reappraisal in the field tends to reduce competitive performance by taking up cognitive resources and distracting attention, but when it is applied in a way that enhances confidence (e.g., “the current situation is a challenge for me, and I believe I can handle it”), it may promote competitive performance.

**Limitations and Future Research**

The primary limitations found in this study concerned the cross-sectional nature of data collection. Cross-sectional designs limit the causality in the hypothesized relationships by examining only the relations among the variables; hence, we cannot assert that the antecedents are causally related to desired outcomes. In addition, given the difficulty of reaching 61 national archery

---

**TABLE 6 | Direct effects and indirect effects of independent constructs among Archery performance.**

| Constructs     | Sport-confidence | Attention | Archery Performance |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|
|                | Direct            | Direct    | Indirect            | Direct | Indirect | Total |
| 1. Sport-confidence | –                 | 0.377**   | –                   | 0.444*** | 0.130* | 0.574*** |
| 2. Attention    | –                 | –         | –                   | 0.344** | –       | 0.344** |
| 3. Cognitive Reappraisal | 0.455** | –         | 0.172*              | –0.268* | 0.261* | –0.007 |

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
athletes at the same time and place, we could not guarantee collecting data periodically or conducting a complete experimental study. As a result, future research could further test the model using a longitudinal design or quasi-experiment to make the findings more convincing.

The model constructed in this study involved three psychological predictive constructs and explained 33.6% of the variance in archery performance. However, cognitive reappraisal may not be a one-size-fits-all approach to regulate emotion in archery competition. We not only encourage additional investigations to further verify the psychometric properties of the measures used in this study, but also suggest the inclusion of other constructs of emotion regulation in the model, such as acceptance. Acceptance encourages individuals to become aware of and accept their feelings, rather than trying to actively change them, and it not only reduces negative perceptions of unpleasant emotional and physiological states and maintains or increases physiological arousal (Desbordes et al., 2015; Feldman et al., 2016), but more importantly, it occupies lower cognitive costs and is easier to operate than cognitive reappraisal (Troy et al., 2017).

Furthermore, psychometric measures alone cannot possibly capture a thorough and detailed explanation of the factors influencing performance in archery. Thus, the present results can be complemented by integrating skill constructs, fitness constructs and to shed light on the relative importance of archery performance factors and guide the training practice process. Besides, recent studies have also suggested that genetic polymorphisms are associated with athletes’ emotional control (Altamura et al., 2019) and sport performance (Ahmetov et al., 2016), and therefore, the inclusion of genetic polymorphism structure in the model could be considered and guide the athlete selection.

Finally, the differences in the experience levels and level of participation among the athletes could affect their psychological capability which may affect the result. This study only focused on an elite archery athlete population, and therefore, the applicability of the model is currently limited to this group. Future research could further explore the applicability of the model to archers with different levels of experience or participation, even to athletes in other sports that require movement of fine control and a high level of concentration, such as shooting and golf. Comparisons between athletes of different experience levels and between different sports will bring their own contribution to the field in practice and in the literature.

CONCLUSION

The model constructed in this study has good reliability and validity, with three psychological constructs (sport-confidence, attention, and cognitive reappraisal) explaining 33.6% of the variance in archery performance. The total effects of the three mental constructs on archery performance were, in descending order, sport-confidence, attention, and cognitive reappraisal. Sport-confidence and attention are the priority components of archery mental training, in which sport-confidence development should include the development of state sport-confidence and trait sport-confidence, and attention training should also aim at attentional allocation, breadth, and shifting. In terms of emotion regulation, archery athletes should be guided to use cognitive reappraisal strategies when facing differentiated contexts, that is, cognitive reappraisal could regulate emotions and enhance sport-confidence, but its use in competition may potentially negatively affect performance by taking up cognitive resources and should directly improve sport-confidence or a develop a positive orientation to arouse excitement.
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