FGF-2–dependent signaling activated in aged human skeletal muscle promotes intramuscular adipogenesis
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Aged skeletal muscle is markedly affected by fatty muscle infiltration, and strategies to reduce the occurrence of intramuscular adipocytes are urgently needed. Here, we show that fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) not only stimulates muscle growth but also promotes intramuscular adipogenesis. Using multiple screening assays upstream and downstream of microRNA (miR)-29a signaling, we located the secreted protein and adipogenic inhibitor SPARC to an FGF-2 signaling pathway that is conserved between skeletal muscle cells from mice and humans and that is activated in skeletal muscle of aged mice and humans. FGF-2 induces the miR-29a/SPARC axis through transcriptional activation of FRA-1, which binds and activates an evolutionary conserved AP-1 site element proximal in the miR-29a promoter. Genetic deletions in muscle of aged mice and humans. FGF-2 induces the miR-29a/SPARC axis through transcriptional activation of FRA-1, which binds and activates an evolutionary conserved AP-1 site element proximal in the miR-29a promoter. Genetic deletions in muscle cells and adeno-associated virus–mediated overexpression of FGF-2 or SPARC in mouse skeletal muscle revealed that this axis regulates differentiation of fibro/adipogenic progenitors in vitro and intramuscular adipose tissue (IMAT) formation in vivo. Skeletal muscle from human donors aged >75 y versus <55 y showed activation of FGF-2–dependent signaling and increased IMAT. Thus, our data highlights a disparate role of FGF-2 in adult skeletal muscle and reveals a pathway to combat fat accumulation in aged human skeletal muscle.

Significance

A unique feature of muscle during aging, obesity, and type 2 diabetes is the appearance of adipose tissue between skeletal muscle fibers, the intramuscular adipose tissue (IMAT). IMAT is generally associated with insulin resistance, decreased muscle strength (14), and, in older adults, impaired mobility (15–17). A large body of work over the last years have addressed the origin of IMAT. Skeletal muscle contains preadipocyte progenitors termed fibro/adipogenic progenitors (FAPs) that normally do not form adipocytes but proliferate during muscle injury to support the commitment of myogenic progenitor cells (MPs) during muscle repair (18). However, under the conditions outlined above, rather than undergoing apoptosis, FAPs differentiate to adipocytes and give rise to IMAT (18–20). The molecular cues that trigger this pathogenesis are unknown and warrant further investigation.

Here, we expose the fickle role of FGF-2, which participates not only in the growth of myogenic progenitors and fibers but also supports the differentiation of FAPs. By following upstream and downstream signaling events of a master regulator of myogenesis, microRNA (miR)-29a (21), we identified an intricate FGF-2–signaling pathway in muscle cells, which enhances differentiation of FAPs in vitro and promotes IMAT formation in vivo during muscle regeneration via the suppression of the myokine SPARC. Additionally, the FGF-2/miR-29a/SPARC pathway is enhanced in aged skeletal muscle.
aged skeletal muscle of mice and humans. Our findings reveal an unexpected side of FGF-2 action that could provide new strategies to prevent IMAT formation in the aged skeletal muscle.

**Results**

**SPARC Is a Conserved Target of miR-29a in Skeletal Muscle.** We have previously shown that miR-29a is a master regulator of muscle cell differentiation in vitro (21) and muscle regeneration in vivo (22). Interestingly, the inhibition of miR-29a in the muscle cell line C2C12 affected adipogenic differentiation of 3T3 cells in a coculture system (Fig. 1A). To understand how the inhibition of miR-29a in muscle cells decreases adipogenic differentiation, we embarked on identifying secreted targets of miR-29a that participate in crosstalk between skeletal muscle and adipocytes. We used both transcriptomics and proteomics datasets in which miR-29a was down-regulated in human primary myoblasts and C2C12 myotubes, respectively. We have previously published an RNA deep sequencing dataset of human myotubes (21), which we now reanalyzed specifically for miR-29a targets. For the proteomics dataset, liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was performed on the supernatants of C2C12 myotubes. Successful silencing of miR-29a was confirmed using a right shift in the cumulative distribution fractions plots of the predicted miR-29a target genes compared to nontargets (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A and B) as well as by using enrichment plot analysis (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C and D) in both experimental conditions. The silencing of miR-29a during the differentiation of human myoblasts significantly up-regulated 3,827 genes and down-regulated 3,497 genes.

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2021031118)

**Fig. 1.** SPARC is regulated by miR-29a in skeletal muscle both in vitro and in vivo. (A) Coculture of C2C12 myotubes, transfected with antagomir negative control (NC) or antagomir-29a and 3T3-L1 cells. No coculture control shows 3T3-L1 cells cultured without C2C12 myotubes. Representative images show lipid droplet (Bodipy; green) and nuclei (Hoechst; blue) staining of 3T3-L1 cells on day 8 after induction of adipogenesis. Lipid area was normalized to nuclei (Scale bar, 5 mm, Bottom.) (B and C) Volcano plots (significance versus log2 FC) of significantly altered (B) genes (n = 3; FC > 2 [and P < 0.05] or (C) proteins (n = 4; FC > |1| and P < 0.05) after inhibition of miR-29a; n.s. = not significant. (D–F) Sparc expression in (D) C2C12 myotubes (n = 6), (E) mouse primary myotubes (n = 7), and (F) human primary myotubes (n = 7) after inhibition overexpression of miR-29a. qPCR values were normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and plotted relative to negative control antagomir or mimic. (G) Levels of secreted SPARC in conditioned medium from C2C12 myotubes after inhibition or overexpression of miR-29a as assessed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (n = 6). SPARC levels are plotted relative to negative control antagomir or mimic. (H and I) miR-29a and I and K) Sparc expression in ACTA1-Cre+/- miR-29afl/fl versus miR-29afl/fl (n = 5) or Pax7-Cre miR-29afl/fl versus miR-29afl/fl mice (n = 7). qPCR values were normalized to 18S RNA Sparc or snoRNA234 (miR-29a). Data in A and D–K are plotted as mean ± SEM. Significance was evaluated by (A) one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, (B and C) differential expression statistic (Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted P value), and (D–K) two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test. *P ≤ 0.05 **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001.
with a $P$ value $< 0.05$, while the silencing of miR-29a in myotubes significantly increased the abundance of 79 proteins and decreased 54 proteins ($P < 0.05$) in the supernatant. To enrich for secreted proteins with potential functions in crosstalk, LC-MS/MS data were filtered for direct targets of miR-29a-3p using TargetScan v7.2, while proteins providing structural support were excluded (10 proteins, annotated as Extracellular Matrix Component; Gene Ontology term 0044420). The secreted myokine (23) and miR-29a target (24) Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) was the most significantly up-regulated gene and protein in both the transcriptomic and proteomic datasets (Fig. 1B and C). SI Appendix, Table S1 provides a full list of the significantly changed proteins and their potential role in adipogenesis. We used three strategies to provide evidence that SPARC is a direct target of miR29a in skeletal muscle. First, in vitro, enhanced, or repressed SPARC levels in response to treatments with miR-29a antagonors or mimics was detected at the messenger RNA (mRNA) level and as secreted protein in C2C12 myotube cultures (Fig. 1D and G) as well as at the mRNA level and as secreted protein in primary mouse and primary human myotubes (Fig. 1E and F and SI
The miR-29a/SPARC Axis Regulates Skeletal Muscle Preadipocyte Differentiation In Vitro. To assess the functional role of SPARC downstream of miR-29a as a potential modulator of FAP cell fate, we generated four C2C12 cell lines with genomic deletions of the miR-29a recognition elements (MRE) in the Sparc 3′ UTR using CRISPR/Cas9 (SparcMRE, SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). The up-regulation of Sparc at the protein level, where its abundance in the supernatant of the mutant versus wild-type cells was elevated by approximately twofold, was mirrored by an almost twofold up-regulation at the mRNA level, in both myoblasts and myotubes (Fig. 2 A and B, and SI Appendix, Fig. S2B). Strikingly, the inhibition of miR-29a in the mutant cells did not cause a further increase in SPARC mRNA, proving definitely that SPARC regulation after miR-29a inhibition is caused directly by miR-29a binding. The proliferation capacity of C2C12 myoblasts after miR-29a inhibition was decreased (Fig. 2C), a hallmark of miR-29a action in muscle cells (22). This phenotype was also present in the C2C12 SparcMRE cells, indicating that Sparc does not participate in intrinsic signaling events in muscle cells downstream of miR-29a (Fig. 2C). To assess if SPARC influences the differentiation capacity of adipocyte progenitors, we cocultured SparcMRE or SparcMRE+ cells lines with 3T3 cells. As expected, cells isolated from murine skeletal muscle (C2C12) strongly inhibited the differentiation of both 3T3 preadipocytes as well as FAPs and reduced adipogenic and lipogenic gene expression in both cell types as compared to Sparcwt cells. The inhibition of FAPs differentiation could be a direct effect of SPARC, at least in part, as the incubation of FAPs with recombiant SPARC was also able to reduce the differentiation of FAPs (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 C−E). Importantly, SPARC elevation did not change the fibrogenic, osteogenic, or smooth muscle programs in FAPs (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 F−H). Reducing Sparc levels in C2C12 cells during the culture experiments using endoribonuclease-prepared small interfering RNA (esiRNA) increased adipocyte differentiation at baseline and in the presence of antagoni-29a (SI Appendix, Fig. S2I). Moreover, reducing Sparc levels prevented the inhibitory effect of antagoni-29a on adipocyte differentiation. Importantly, the effect of antagoni-29a on 3T3 cell differentiation and esiRNA against Sparc on FAPs differentiation is not limited to C2C12 cells but can also be observed in primary muscle cells from mice and humans (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 J−S). Together, these results indicate that the miR-29a/SPARC axis is a regulator of adipocyte differentiation that mediates the crosstalks between muscle cells and preadipocytes residing in adult skeletal muscle.

An FGF-2/MEK1/2/MAPK Signaling Axis Regulates Sparc via miR-29a. Since miR-29a regulates FAP cell fate, we decided to decipher the regulatory pathway upstream of this pivotal miRNA. Among several growth factors and hormonally relevant cues for skeletal muscle, only FGF-2 was able to induce miR-29a in C2C12 myotubes (Fig. 3A), in line with our previous published observations that miR-29a/b1 is the highest regulated miRNA cluster by FGF-2 in primary human myoblasts (22). A total of 24 h posttreatment, FGF-2 induced a dose-dependent up-regulation of miR-29a (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A and B) and a reciprocal down-regulation of SPARC transcript (Fig. 3B). Strikingly, FGF-2–mediated inhibition of Sparc was abolished in the C2C12 SparcMRE cell lines, implicating that FGF-2, miR-29a, and SPARC belong to the same signaling pathway (Fig. 3C). To identify signaling events downstream of FGF-2 that mediate miR-29a expression, we cloned 1,867 base pairs (bp) of the published miR-29a promoter (25) into a luciferase reporter vector. Promoter activation by FGF-2 was localized to a 590-bp fragment (Fig. 3D and SI Appendix, Fig. S3C), which was used in subsequent experiments with chemical inhibitors to numerous intracellular pathways downstream of FGF-2 (26). Overall, we silenced the FGF-2 receptor (PD173074), RAS-MAPK signaling (PD184352, targeting MEK1/2; NSC23766, targeting Rac GTPase), PI3K-AKT signaling (LY294002, targeting P13Kδ/β), PLC-γ signaling (U73122), and STAT signaling (Nifuroxizide) in the presence of FGF-2. Notably, the suppression of FGF-2–activated miR-29a promoter activity was mostly dependent on the inhibition of MAPK/ERK kinase 1/2 (MEK1/2) (Fig. 3E), which was mimicked by the overexpression of a dominant active form of MEK2 (Fig. 3F). While the PD inhibition significantly reduced the induced promoter activity, no inhibition was seen with the PD inhibitor, U73122 had no effect alone (SI Appendix, Fig. S3D). In primary myotubes, FGF-2 treatment increased the phosphorylation of p44/42 MAPK, the downstream target of MEK1/2 (Fig. 3G). Additionally, in both myoblasts and myotubes from both mice and humans, MEK1/2 inhibition also prevented the FGF-2–mediated induction of miR-29a (Fig. 3 H−I and SI Appendix, Fig. S3 E and F) and increased expression of the miR-29a target Sparc (Fig. 3 K−M and SI Appendix, Fig. S3 G and H). Interestingly, MEK1/2 inhibition also affected miR-29a levels and Sparc expression in the absence of FGF-2. However, the effect size was much smaller as compared to the regulation in the presence of FGF-2 and might indicate endogenous activation of the FGF-2 signaling pathway in muscle cells. Together, these results establish that MEK1/2 acts upon the miR-29a promoter and regulates Sparc to a pathway involving FGF-2 signaling via MEK1/2, p44/42 MAPK, and miR-29a. The Transcription Factor FRA-1 is Up-Regulated by FGF-2 to Induce miR-29a Expression. To identify all participants in the signal transduction cascade that determines the expression of miR-29a and Sparc, we pursued the identity of the transcription factor (TF) that mediates the effect of FGF-2/MEK1/2 on the miR-29a promoter. We further narrowed down the promoter region (Fig. 4A) and identified a 97-bp promoter fragment (~374 to ~278 bp upstream of the transcription start site) that preserved its dependence on MEK1/2 inhibition and MEK2 stimulation (Fig. 4 B and C). Since the fragment ~374 to ~312 did not show promoter activity, we focused on a 54-nucleotide (nt) promoter region located at ~331 to ~278 bp. Bioinformatic analysis revealed 55 potential cis-regulatory elements in this region with 12 TF-binding sites showing a relative match score >0.9. We performed site-directed mutagenesis to sequentially abolish TF binding on the 54-nt promoter region with the 97-bp fragment and identified a conserved Fos–Jun consensus site, which is bound by members of the activating protein-1 (AP-1) family of TFs and responsive to FGF-2 stimulation (Fig. 4D and E). The mutation of this site successfully prevented MEK2-stimulated increase of promoter activity compared to the wild-type promoter fragment (Fig. 4F). DNA-binding activity of the AP-1 family is modulated via phosphorylation and through its homo- or heterodimer composition, which is determined by differential expression of the AP-1 protein members Jun, Fos, activating TF (ATF), and musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma.
(MAF) (27). Among the Fox/Jun family members, Fos-related antigen 1 (Fosl1, encodes protein FRA-1) gene expression was strongly induced by FGF-2 and marked down-regulated by MEK1/2 inhibition (Fig. 4 G). Overexpression of the Fosl1 gene in C2C12 cells and primary mouse myoblasts activated the mir-29a promoter in the absence of FGF-2 (Fig. 4 H–J), and the knockdown of Fosl1 prevented the FGF-2–stimulated increase in mir-29a promoter activity (Fig. 4 K). Adeno-associated virus (AAV)-mediated overexpression of Fosl1 induced mir-29a expression (Fig. 4 L and M), and the knockdown of Fosl1 prevented the induction of mir-29a expression by FGF-2 (Fig. 4O). Finally, in cultured human myoblasts, FGF-2 treatment significantly increased phosphorylation of FRA-1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis successfully demonstrated that phosphorylated FRA-1 occupies the promoter of mir-29a in human myoblasts. This relationship is significantly increased by fivefold in the presence of FGF-2 (Fig. 4P). Together, our results define an FGF-2/FRA-1/mir-29a/SPARC axis that is conserved in skeletal muscle cells from mice and humans.

**Aged Mice Have Higher Levels of the TF p-FRA-1.** Next, we wanted to understand whether the FGF-2 signaling pathway that we identified in vitro is also active in skeletal muscle in vivo. Since FGF-2 abundance is increased in skeletal muscle during aging in mice (28, 29), we turned to 25-mo-old aged mice and compared them to two-mo-old mice (young control). Indeed, aged mice had a higher abundance of FGF-2, Fosl1, and mir-29a (Fig. 5 C–F). Importantly, Sparc expression and circulating SPARC levels were also lower in the aged mice (Fig. 5 D and E). Moreover, we successfully demonstrated that aged skeletal muscle is characterized by higher expression of Fgf2, Fosl1, and mir-29a (Fig. 5 A–C). Finally, we confirmed that cultured C2C12 myotubes treated with recombinant FGF-2 and inhibition of MEK1/2 signaling decreases Sparc via MEK1/2, p42/44 MAPK, and mir-29a. (Fig. 3. A) mir-29a expression in C2C12 myotubes after treatment with respective recombinant proteins or dexamethasone (n = 7). qPCR values were normalized to snoRNA234. (B and C) Sparc expression in (B) C2C12 myotubes (n = 4) or in (C) wild-type and C2C12 myoblasts with deleted mir-29a recognition elements (C2C12 SparcDelta) after treatment with recombinant FGF-2. qPCR values were normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA). (D) mir-29a promoter activity for full-length (−396/+195) promoter construct after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 (n = 6). (E) mir-29a promoter activity for truncated (−396/+195) promoter construct after treatment with inhibitors against FGFR1 (PD173074), MEK1/2 (PD184352), Rac GTPase (NSC23766), PI3K (LY294002), STAT1/3/5 (Nifuroxazide), PLC (U73122), and recombinant FGF-2. Stars indicate significant difference compared to dimethyl sulfoxide control treated with FGF-2 (Fig. 3A–C, and G). (J) Immunoblot depicting phosphorylation of p44/42 MAPK in C2C12 myotubes treated with recombinant FGF-2 (n = 6). Band densities were quantified and normalized to total p44/42 MAPK. (G) miR-29a promoter activity for full-length (−396/+195) and truncated (−396/+195) promoter constructs after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 or overexpression of MEK2 (MKK2) (n = 8). Experiments in D–F were performed in C2C12 myotubes and assessed by normalized luciferase assays. (G) Immunoblot depicting phosphorylation of p44/42 MAPK in C2C12 myotubes treated with recombinant FGF-2 and inhibition of MEK1/2 signaling (PD184352; n = 6). qPCR values were normalized to snoRNA234 (mir-29a) or 18S rRNA (Sparc). All data are plotted as mean ± SEM. Significance was evaluated by (A, C–F, and H–M) one-way ANOVA with (A and E) Dunnett’s or (C, D, F, and H–M) Tukey’s multiple comparisons test and (B and G) two-tailed paired Student’s t test. n.s. = not significant; *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001.
Fig. 4. The TF FRA-1 mediates miR-29a expression downstream of FGF-2. (A) miR-29a promoter activity for truncated promoter constructs as indicated and treatment with recombinant FGF-2 (n = 6). (B and C) miR-29a promoter activity for truncated promoter construct (−374/−278) after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 and (D) inhibition (n = 8) of MEK1/2 signaling (MEK2). (E) miR-29a promoter activity for truncated wild type (wt) or mutated (M1, M2, M3) promoter constructs after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 (n = 6). Respective mutageneses abolished binding of indicated transcription factors located either on a sense (+) or antisense (−) strand. "cis"-regulatory elements are given in relation to transcription start site. (F) Depicted are wt and mutated (M1, M2, M3) miR-29a promoter sequences used in D and F. Mutated nucleotides are shown in gray letters. Motif analysis revealed a conserved Fos-Jun-binding site removed in mutant 3 (M3). Stars indicate identical nucleotides among species. (G) miR-29a promoter activity for truncated wt (−374/−278) or (M3) promoter constructs after activation of MEK2 signaling (MKE2; n = 6). (H) Gene expression of indicated AP-1 transcription factors in C2C12 myotubes after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 and inhibition of MEK1/2 signaling (n = 6). (I) Fos1 expression in C2C12 myoblasts after overexpression of Fosl1 (n = 6). (J and K) miR-29a promoter activity for truncated promoter construct (−374/−278) after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 and/or overexpression of Fosl1, performed in (J) C2C12 myoblasts or (K) mouse primary myoblasts (n = 6). (L) miR-29a promoter activity for truncated promoter construct (−374/−278) after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 and/or knockdown of Fosl1 (n = 5). (M and N) L Fos1 and M miR-29a expression in mouse primary myotubes after AAV-mediated overexpression of Fosl1 (n = 6). (N) Fos1 expression in mouse primary myoblasts after knockdown of Fosl1 (n = 5). (O) miR-29a expression in mouse primary myotubes after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 and knockdown of Fosl1 (n = 3). (P) ChIP of p-FRA1 on miR-29a promoter performed in human primary myoblasts after treatment with recombinant FGF-2 (n = 4). miR-29a promoter enrichment was assessed relative to total input DNA by qPCR and is depicted in relation to negative control (IgG). Representative samples were visualized on an agarose gel (Left). Data in A–D, F, and K were performed in C2C12 myotubes and assessed by normalized luciferase assays. qPCR values in G, H, and L–O were normalized to snoRNA234 (miR-29a) or 18S rRNA. All data are plotted as mean ± SEM. Significance was evaluated by (A–D, F, I–K, O, and P) one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, (G) two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, and (H and L–O) two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test. n.s. = not significant; *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001.
levels of phosphorylated FRA-1 and that FRA-1 binding to the miR-29a promoter is significantly increased in aged versus young mice as revealed by ChIP analysis (Fig. 5 F and G). Importantly, FAPs isolated from aged mice retained a similar sensitivity to SPARC-induced inhibition of adipogenesis as compared to FAPs isolated from young mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S5), indicating that the decreased Sparc levels that we observed in skeletal muscle from aged mice could be relevant for the FAPs. We conclude that the FGF-2/FRA-1/miR-29a/SPARC axis is consistently regulated in vivo in aged skeletal muscle of mice and could affect aging-related IMAT.

**FGF-2 and SPARC Regulate IMAT Formation.** To demonstrate the function of the FGF-2/SPARC axis as a regulator of adipocyte differentiation in vivo, we employed the glycoler model of muscle regeneration in which fatty infiltration can be readily observed (20) as opposed to other mouse models such as denervation atrophy (30–33) or cancer cachexia (34–37). To this end, we injected Fgf2 or Sparc-overexpressing AAV 9 directly into the tibialis anterior muscle of mice as previously described (38–42). This approach is expected to infect both type 1 and type 2 fibers but not adult muscle stem cells (42). After 3 wk, muscle regeneration and fatty infiltration was induced using a single glycoler injection, and 2 wk later, muscles were analyzed for adipocyte infiltration (Fig. 6A). AAV-Fgf2 induced Fgf2 expression and increased muscle mass (Fig. 6 B and C). Importantly, IMAT formation was drastically reduced in paraffin sections from AAV-Fgf2 compared to AAV-GFP–injected muscle where IMAT area and total adipocyte number were significantly increased by 12-fold and ninefold, respectively (Fig. 6F). Accordingly, at the molecular level, fatty-acid-binding protein 4 (Fabp4) and peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor gamma (Pparg) were significantly up-regulated (Fig. 6 D and E). Strikingly, overexpression of Sparc using the same AAV approach (Fig. 6G) led to the opposite effect. IMAT formation and total adipocyte number were significantly decreased by more than 50% with the AAV-Sparc versus AAV-GFP virus (Fig. 6J). This decrease in adipocyte infiltration was accompanied by a significant decrease in the expression of adipocyte markers Fabp4 and Pparg (Fig. 6H and I). Interestingly, FAPs numbers changed in the same direction as IMAT after the introduction of both AAV-Fgf2 or AAV-Sparc (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 A–F), but these effects were more subtle and unlikely explain the pronounced effects that we observed for IMAT formation. We conclude that the FGF-2/SPARC axis regulates the differentiation of FAPs in vitro and IMAT formation in vivo (see Fig. 7). Importantly, AAV-Sparc also reduced IMAT in aged mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 G–J), providing further support for the role of FGF-2/SPARC in aging-related IMAT.

**FGF-2–Dependent Signaling Is Activated in Aged Human Skeletal Muscle.** Lastly, we asked whether the FGF-2–dependent signaling pathway that regulates SPARC is also activated in aged skeletal muscle from humans (patient characteristics in SI Appendix). Similarly to aged skeletal muscle from mice, in aged human muscle, the expression of miR-29a was significantly up-regulated, while its target gene SPARC was significantly down-regulated as compared to young human muscle (Fig. 8C and D). While the up-regulation of Fgf2 and Fosl1 did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 8A and B), FGF-2 expression in skeletal muscle positively correlated with age and Fosl1 levels (Fig. 8G and H). Compared to young controls, IMAT infiltration in aged human skeletal muscle was significantly increased by fourfold in paraffin sections (Fig. 8F), and the expression of the adipocyte marker FABP4 was significantly induced (Fig. 8E). Together, our results provide evidence that the FGF-2–dependent signaling pathway that causes the induction of miR-29a and the down-regulation of SPARC is conserved between mice and humans and associated with increased IMAT in human skeletal muscle.

![Fig. 5. Aged skeletal muscle contains increased p-FRA-1 levels that mediate down-regulation of Sparc by miR-29a](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2021013118)
Fig. 6. FGF-2 and SPARC are regulators of IMAT formation. (A) Experimental overview of intramuscular (i.m.) AAV9 injections. (B) Relative tissue weight and (C) Fgf2, (D) Fabp4, and (E) Pparg expression in AAV-Fgf2–infected tibialis anterior muscle 2 wk after glycerol injection (n = 7 versus 6). qPCR values were normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA. (F and J) IMAT formation in representative hematoxylin and eosin staining of transverse sections in (F) AAV-Fgf2–infected tibialis anterior muscle 2 wk after glycerol injection (n = 7 versus 6) or (J) AAV-Sparc (n = 4 versus 5) infected tibialis anterior muscle 2 wk after glycerol injection and analysis of relative IMAT area and adipocyte count. (Scale bar, 0.5 mm, Top; 100 μm, Bottom.) (G) Sparc, (H) Fabp4, and (I) Pparg expression in AAV-Sparc–infected tibialis anterior muscle 2 wk after glycerol injection (n = 6 versus 6 versus 5). qPCR values were normalized to 18S rRNA. All data are plotted as mean ± SEM. Significance was evaluated by (B–F and J) two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test and (G–I) one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001.

Discussion

FGF-2 is a critical growth factor for myogenic progenitor cells (MPs) in the adult muscle stem cell niche. Here, we report the link between FGF-2 and IMAT formation. Our results reveal two opposing roles of FGF-2 with beneficial or adverse effects in skeletal muscle, the stimulation of muscle growth on the one hand and the increase in IMAT on the other. Targeting the adipogenic axis of FGF-2 signaling could ameliorate function and prevent adverse outcomes associated with the aged skeletal muscle.

FGF-2 signaling in human skeletal muscle is poorly understood (43). Our data provide insights into the effects of aging on FGF-2. We show that FGF-2 expression in human skeletal muscle positively correlates with aging and that aging affects miR-29a and SPARC expression, two signaling molecules downstream of FGF-2. In muscle, FGF-2 is secreted by both MPs (44) and adult myofibers (28, 45) onto the extracellular matrix of skeletal muscle (46) and predominantly affects the proliferation of MPs. In fact, the inhibition of FGF-2 signaling induced differentiation of MPs and decreased muscle mass (47). In addition, FGF-2 might promote vessel formation (48) since FGF-2 gene delivery to excisional muscle wounds enhanced not only the formation of regenerating myotubes but also angiogenesis (49). Our results identify FAPs as a third population of cells that benefit from FGF-2 during muscle injury. The role of FGF-2 signaling during adipogenesis in classical fat depots has produced conflicting results. FGF-2 enhances adipogenesis in mesenchymal stem cells (50). Additionally, the activation of p44/42 MAPK signaling initiates differentiation in preadipocytes (51), although the phosphorylation of PPAR-γ by MAPK prevents the final maturation of adipocytes (52). FGF-2 inhibited adipogenesis of human bone marrow stromal cells (53), and the disruption of FGF-2 activated the adipogenic program in mesenchymal marrow stromal cells (54). The differential effects of FGF-2 for classical WAT might reflect a concentration-dependent biphasic effect (55).

We have identified SPARC as the link between FGF-2 and IMAT formation in skeletal muscle. We use multiple strategies to demonstrate that SPARC is a direct target of miR-29a and that this relationship is conserved across species. SPARC expression is enhanced when FGF-2/MEK1/2 signaling is inhibited in both murine and human primary muscle cells. Furthermore, genomic mutations in the SPARC 3’ UTR abolish FGF-2 as well as miR-29a–mediated repression, increase SPARC secretion, and inhibit FAPs differentiation in coculture systems. Our results are in line with the role of SPARC in the classical white adipose depot in which SPARC participates in the inhibition of white adipocyte differentiation (56–58). Sparc-null mice have larger subcutaneous and larger epididymal fat pads (56). SPARC is a matrix-associated glycoprotein that elicits changes in cell shape, inhibits cell-cycle progression, and influences the synthesis of extracellular matrix (56, 59). The mechanisms of SPARC involving adipogenesis include remodeling the extracellular matrix by enhancing the deposition of fibronectin and the expression of its receptor α5-integrin.
or inhibition of laminin expression and its α6-integrin receptor (57). Moreover, SPARC has been shown to promote the accumulation of β-catenin (57), which inhibits PPAR-γ activity (60). Interestingly, exercise stimulates SPARC expression in skeletal muscle from human and mice (23). Our data might therefore have clinical implications for strategies to prevent IMAT formation, for example, the design of exercise protocols that aim to maximize SPARC levels in muscle tissue. Mild exercise or higher intensity exercise has already been shown to increase SPARC expression in skeletal muscle in elderly individuals (61, 62). While the effects of SPARC on IMAT are striking, less is known about the effects of SPARC on muscle regeneration. After the overexpression of SPARC in skeletal muscle, we noted a small decrease in fiber number and shift to smaller fiber diameters (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 A–C), but the significance of these effects needs further investigation.

It is also important to note that not only mature myofibers but also MPs and other cell types could be an important source for SPARC in skeletal muscle. We interpret the lack of effect on Sparc mRNA in whole-muscle lysates in the Acta1-CreER model as a result of not reaching a biological threshold for the regulation of Sparc by miR-29a in myofibers. However, an alternative explanation could be that in the constitutive Pax7-Cre model, the deletion of miR-29a in MPs contributes to the increase in Sparc. Tissue panels confirm that miR-29a and Sparc are also expressed in MPs and FAPs (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 D and E) but to a lesser extent compared to whole-muscle tissue. In addition, we have previously reported that miR-29a represents 0.1% of all miRNAs in MPs but 0.75% of all miRNAs in tibialis anterior muscle (24, 63). These data would support the hypothesis of a miR-29a threshold for Sparc regulation in mature myofibers. In any case, regardless of the potential sources for Sparc, the up-regulation of Sparc in the Pax7-Cre model demonstrates that the myogenic lineage is an important contributor for Sparc expression in skeletal muscle.

Aging is associated with a decline in the number of MPs (64) and a loss of their ability to retain a quiescent state (28). Myofibers are the principal source of FGF-2 for the satellite cell niche. Rampant FGF-2 expression in murine myofibers implicates them as the main contributors to stem cell depletion during aging (28, 29). The reason for the increased FGF-2 in aged skeletal muscle is not known but might reflect a failed attempt to repair the aged muscle. Indeed, the activation of FGF/p38 MAPK has been demonstrated in aged satellite cells (65). Furthermore, FGF-2 target genes p21 and p16 are more epigenetically silenced in young versus old stem cells (29). FGF signaling is complex and depends on the availability of not only FGF-2 but also of receptors and components of the extracellular matrix such as syndecan-4, β1-integrin, and fibronectin (26, 65). Also, dynamic changes in the sulfation levels of heparin sulfate increased FGF-2 signaling in aged skeletal muscle (66). The identification of precise FGF-2 signaling events in aged skeletal muscle in our study should aid in the accurate estimation of FGF-2 signaling capacity not only during aging but also in other clinically relevant pathologies such as obesity or muscle atrophy in which FGF-2 signaling events have not been reported yet. A pronounced tissue heterogeneity might provide limitations for using a bulk analysis of FGF-2 signaling in muscle samples in vivo. While AAV-Fgf2 induced miR-29a and reduced Sparc levels as expected in primary myotubes in vitro (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 F–I), we did not observe the same correlations in our muscle samples at day 35 after AAV-Fgf2 injection (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 J–L). The massive fatty infiltration might require a better spatial resolution of gene expression analysis. Indeed, miR-29a and Sparc levels differ between muscle tissue and classical white adipose depots (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 M and N), while their expression in intramuscular adipocytes is still unknown.

Through classical promoter analysis experiments and bioinformatics, we identified the AP-1–binding site on the miR-29a promoter and the TF Fra-1 as effector. Fra-1 is the TF which responds to FGF-2 as well as MEK1/2 treatments. Manipulations of FGF-2/MEK1/2 resulted in regulations of FRA-1 and miR-29a. Our results provide an insight into the role of AP-1 in adult skeletal muscle. Previous studies focused on its role during muscle cell differentiation in which c-Jun and MyoD can coordinate muscle enhancer factors (67), and AP-1 sites might share putative common target genes with MEF2 (68). The expression of FRA-1 is reduced during C2C12 differentiation (68) in line with the decrease in miR-29a expression (22). A role for AP-1 in adult skeletal muscle is supported by findings that the expression of AP-1 members in human skeletal muscle increases after exercise, with the highest regulation observed for Fosl1 (69). Furthermore, in a mouse model for muscle atrophy, FRA-1 binding to the MMP-2 promoter increased fourfold (70). Our results indicate that FRA-1 could be an important component of the response of skeletal muscle during aging.

Undifferentiated proliferating FAPs are necessary for successful muscle regeneration (71). Accordingly, FAPs cannot simply be erased to prevent IMAT. Therefore, strategies are needed that can modulate the fate of FAPs and their propensity to differentiate to adipocytes in disease states. The extracellular matrix (ECM) seems to be an important mediator of the cell fate of FAPs. In a mouse model of limb girdle muscular dystrophy 2B, the progressive accumulation of annexin A2 in the myofiber ECM promoted FAP differentiation into adipocytes, while a lack of annexin A2 prevented FAP adipogenesis (72). Additionally, the type 2 innate immune system has also been shown to regulate FAPs. IIf4/I13 secretion from eosinophils can activate the proliferation of FAPs, and injections of I4 during glyceral-induced muscle regeneration reduced the formation of adipocytes (73). Targeting the fate of FAPs seems to be a promising strategy to
prevent IMAT formation. Secreted factors such as SPARC therefore represent important therapeutic targets.

To date, the regulation of IMAT formation is poorly understood. Linking growth factor signaling pathways to the pleiotropic effects of miRNAs to myokine secretion and FAP differentiation has the potential to provide novel diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. Early detection of an increased risk for IMAT based on the FGF-2/FRA-1/miR-29a/SPARC axis and its use to improve fatty muscle degeneration could help to prevent IMAT and its sequelae, loss of muscle mass, and function in the older population.

Materials and Methods

Details of human patients and the full procedures for animal experiments, cell preparation, fluorescence-activated cell sorting, cell culture, RNA extraction, complementary DNA synthesis, qRT-PCR, proliferation assay, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, plasmid construction, luciferase assay, CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing, AAV production, ChIP, protein extraction, Western blot, histology, LC-MS/MS, RNA sequencing, bioinformatics, and statistical analysis are provided in SI Appendix.

Data Availability. We declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its SI Appendix and have been deposited in Dryad (DOI: 10.5061/dryad.j6q573nf6) (74). The RNA sequencing data described in this report have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GSE154254) (75). The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange consortium via the Proteomics Identifications Database (PRIDE) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD020575 (76).
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