Matrix Metalloproteinase Activity in the Stromal Cell of Giant Cell Tumor of Bone
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Abstract

Giant cell tumor of bone (GCT) is a destructive and potentially metastatic bone tumour in which the characteristic giant cells have classically been considered the culprits in bone destruction. However, the neoplastic element of the tumour consists of propagative osteoblast-like stromal cells that may play a role in bone resorption. The objectives of this study were to determine the expression and activity of the gelatinases, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 and -9, in GCT stromal cells, and to determine if these cells have bone-resorbing capabilities. We performed immunohistochemistry on clinical specimens, and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and zymography on cell lysates and conditioned media from cultured clinical GCT specimens in order to evaluate the expression and activity of MMP-2 and -9 in GCT stromal cells. Our results support the fact that GCT stromal cells express MMP-2 and MMP-9 and are capable of gelatin degradation in vitro. These cells may therefore play a role in bone destruction in GCT.

INTRODUCTION

Giant cell tumor (GCT) of bone represents 3–8% of all bone tumors and is a benign-aggressive osteolytic tumor with metastatic potential [1–4]. GCT of bone commonly occurs in the second to fifth decades of life in the weight bearing regions of the knee, but can occur anywhere in the skeleton [1, 5]. Patients most commonly present with pain, and a few present with a pathologic fracture [1–4]. The current management of GCT of bone is surgical intervention, ranging from intralesional curettage to resection and reconstruction [1, 5–8]. Despite aggressive surgical management, the average recurrence rate is 15% (range 2.5–45%) [9]. Up to 10% of patients undergo malignant transformation at recurrence, and up to 5% have pulmonary metastases [10–13].
The histology of GCT of bone suggests three distinct cell types: the osteoclast-like multinucleated giant cells, the mononuclear cells of monocytic-macrophage origin, and the spindle-like mononuclear stromal cells of mesenchymal origin [14–21]. The giant cells have classically been considered the active cells in bone resorption [15, 20, 22–23]. However, recent evidence suggests that the neoplastic elements in GCT are the stromal cells of mesenchymal origin which express characteristics of osteoblastic precursors [14, 24]. The mechanism by which the multinucleated giant cells and osteoblastic-like stromal cells cause bone destruction is of considerable interest in the development of targeted therapeutic strategies for GCT.

Matrix Metalloproteinases (MMPs) are proteases involved in numerous cellular functions, such as bone matrix remodeling and angiogenesis, and have been implicated in numerous tumors, such as bone, breast and colon cancers [25–29]. MMP-2 and MMP-9 play a key role in basement membrane extracellular matrix degradation, which can lead to invasion and metastasis. In addition, MMP-2 and -9 are capable of degrading denatured type-I collagen (gelatin), leading to local bone destruction [25–26, 30–36]. MMP-2 and MMP-9 are therefore key factors in tumor pathophysiology, and their role in GCT of bone is intriguing.

We hypothesize that the osteoblast-like stromal cells of GCT express the gelatinases MMP-2 and -9, and that these cells are capable of degrading bone matrix in vitro. In order to test our hypothesis, we used archival clinical specimens of GCT and examined the specimens using immunohistochemistry for MMP-2 and MMP-9. In addition, we cultured specimens of GCT and used real-time PCR and zymography to confirm our findings in vitro.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient Population**

We obtained approval from our institution’s Biohazard and Ethics Review Boards for the collection of patient demographics and clinical specimens. The patients were enrolled into the study from the clinical practice of two Orthopaedic Oncology surgeons as they presented from January 2005 – December 2007. Each patient signed informed consent prior to enrolling in the study. A musculo-skeletal pathologist verified all tissue specimens for the pathologic diagnosis of GCT. The demographics and surgical management of the patients are summarized in Table I. Five patients were female, five were male, and the average age at presentation was 39.8 years.

**Immunohistochemistry for MMP-2 and -9**

Paraffin-embedded archival tissue specimens from ten patients were cut to 5 μm and mounted onto slides. Tissue slides were deparaffinized in several changes of xylene and rehydrated in sequential diluted ethanol before rinsing in tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Triton X-100 (TBS-T). Slides were blocked for endogenous peroxidase activity by incubation in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 minutes, washed in TBS-T and then treated with 5% normal goat serum for 30 minutes to block nonspecific IgG binding. Sample slides were incubated at room temperature for 1 hour in 1:100 dilution of primary antibodies MMP-2 (RDI, CA-4001) and MMP-9 (Calbiochem, 56-2A4) in a moist chamber. Slides were then
rinsed three times in TBS-T, and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature with a 1:500
dilution of secondary anti-mouse IgG (Sigma, Germany). Following another wash in TBS-T
and an incubation with streptavidin-biotin complex (ABC reagent, Vector Burlingame, CA),
substrate color was developed for 2 to 10 minutes at room temperature using a liquid 3,3-
diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate-chromogen solution kit (DAKO, USA). Slides were
counterstained in hematoxylin, dehydrated in graded ethyl alcohol (70%, 90%, 100%),
mounted in Permount, and examined under a light microscope. Immunohistochemistry was
performed in triplicate. The specimens were subjectively graded for immunohistochemistry
staining as none (0), faint (1), strong in less than 50% of cells (2), or strong in over 50% of
cells (3).

**Primary Cell Cultures**

Tumor tissue was collected intraoperatively from GCT patients and stored in Dulbecco’s
modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine,
100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. The specimens were cut in the media and
the resulting cell suspension with small pieces of tissue were passed via a 24-gauge needle
into 25 cm
2
 vented cell culture flasks and incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of
5% CO
2
 and 95% air. The culture media was changed every 2 to 3 days. At 80% confluence,
the cell cultures were divided by digesting with 0.1% trypsin-EDTA solution and splitting
the resulting suspension into new flasks. Primary GCT stromal cell cultures were established
using the techniques described by Ghert et al. [37]. In brief, the giant cell/monocyte fraction
is eliminated with repeated passages of the cells so that a pure stromal cell culture is
maintained. Passages 6–10 were used for the following experiments.

**Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (Real-Time PCR)**

Real-Time PCR analysis was performed using the primers for MMP-2 forward 5′
ACATCAAGGGGCAATTCA GGAG 3′ and reverse 5′ CGAGCGATGCCCATCAAATA 3′,
and MMP-9 forward 5′ TCTTCCCTGGAGACCTGAGA 3′ and reverse 5′
ATTTCGACTCTCCACGCATC 3′. RNA was extracted from cell cultures using the
Superscript™ Real-Time PCR System (Invitrogen, Mississauga, ON) according to the
manufacturer’s direction. Real-Time PCR reactions were performed on a MiniOpticon Real-
Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada)
using the iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Cat. # 170-8882, Bio-Rad Laboratories) according to
the manufacturer’s instructions. The house keeping gene (human GAPDH) was used as an
internal control, forward 5′ CATGAGAAGTAT GACAACAGCCT 3′ and reverse 5′
AGTCCTTCCACGATACCAAAGT 3′. Expression was determined relative to human fetal
osteoblasts (hFOB 1.19, CRL-11372, ATCC) as a system control. The reaction was run in a
real time thermal cycler (Bio-Rad) as in a traditional PCR: 40 cycles of 15 seconds of
denaturation at 94°C, 30 seconds of annealing at individual optimal temperature (58–60°C),
30 seconds of extension at 72°C, with a gradient change in temperature to determine the
melting curve of the final PCR products. A human osteosarcoma cell line (HOS) was used as
a positive control.
Conditioned Media Collection from Primary Cell Cultures

When the primary cell cultures reached 80% confluence, the media was changed to serum-free media and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\textsubscript{2} and 95% air. Conditioned media was subsequently collected and a 40-fold concentration was obtained using an Amicon Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filter Device (Millipore, Billerica, MA), which retains proteins larger than 10 kDa. The conditioned media was aliquoted to Eppendorf tubes and stored at −80°C for subsequent assays.

Zymography Gel Electrophoresis

Zymography was used to detect the protease activity of MMP-2 and MMP-9 from the conditioned media of primary cell cultures. Zymography is based on sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) with a copolymerized substrate to identify enzyme activity. A solution of 0.3% Gelatin from bovine skin, Type B (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used as the copolymerized substrate for gelatinase activity of MMP-2 and MMP-9. The negative control was serum free media, and positive controls were human osteosarcoma cell line (HOS, ATCC® #: CRL-1543™) and a human fibroblast cell line (CCD-27Sk, ATCC® #: CRL-1475™). For a standard zymogram, 20 μl of conditioned media sample was mixed with 10 μl of 6X substrate dye buffer per well. The gel was run at 30 volts through the stacking gel and at 100 volts through the separating gel for 1 hour. The stacking gel was discarded and the separating gel was placed into a glass container with 2.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) solution for 30 minutes to remove the SDS. The gel was incubated overnight at 37°C in a substrate buffer (50 mM TRIS, 5mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.04% NaN\textsubscript{3}, pH 8.0). Coomassie Brilliant Blue dye stained the SDS-PAGE and clear bands indicated gelatinase activity. The gels were stored in a wet or dry plastic wrap and digital imaging was used for analysis.

A protease blocking assay was conducted with 0.02 M ethyl enediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA, EX0534-1, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) solution, which was added to a glass dish containing the SDS-PAGE and substrate buffer for overnight incubation after gel electrophoresis. The following day, the gel was washed three times with Milli-Q H2O and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue as per the standard zymogram protocol.

A protease activation assay was conducted with 2.5 mM 4-aminophenyl mercuric acetate (APMA, A9563, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) at pH 7.0–7.5. Twenty μl of 2.5 mM APMA was added to 20 μl of conditioned media sample and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C prior to gel electrophoresis. The remaining steps were identical to the standard zymogram protocol.

RESULTS

Immunohistochemistry

Immunostaining for MMP-2 and MMP-9 in GCT tissue specimens was performed and representative slides are shown in Figs. (1A–B). A negative control with no primary antibody is shown in Fig. (1C). Positive staining for MMP-2 and -9 was positive in the cytoplasm of the multinucleated giant cells and diffusely positive in the spindle-like stromal cells of GCT. Results were consistent across all ten clinical specimens (Table 2).
Quantitative Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (Real-Time PCR)

To verify our immunohistochemical findings, we used Real-Time PCR to detect MMP-2 and MMP-9 mRNA expression in the GCT stromal cell cultures. Results showed that MMP-2 and MMP-9 mRNA expression was present in GCT stromal cell cultures, with variations in the relative production for respective samples. A representative Real-Time PCR graph is shown in Fig. (2). Results represent means and standard deviations from triplicate experiments. Although variations existed from specimen to specimen with respect to the relative expression of MMP-2 and -9, all specimens examined showed positive expression of these gelatinases.

Zymography Gel Electrophoresis

To identify the activity of the gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 in the conditioned media of the primary cell cultures, we used a gelatin impregnated SDS-PAGE (zymogram). After 5 passages, all osteoclast-like giant cells were eliminated and only spindle-like stromal cells were present (Fig. 3). A representative zymogram for specimens 1 and 6 are shown in Fig. (4A). We confirmed that conditioned media from GCT stromal cell cultures contained the activated gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9. The major form of gelatinase was the zymogen for MMP-2 (72 kDa), followed by the activated form of MMP-2 (62 kDa). To a much lesser extent, the zymogen (92 kDa) and activated (86 kDa) forms of MMP-9 were also noted. Similar to the results of real-time PCR, the relative amounts of MMP-2 and -9 activity varied from specimen to specimen, but was present in all specimens examined.

MMPs have a metal ion core, and when combined with a metal ion chelating agent (EDTA), it causes them to destabilize and deactivate. A representative blocking assay zymogram is presented in Fig. (4–B) for specimens 1 and 6. Blocking assay zymograms showed complete MMP-2 and MMP-9 deactivation by EDTA, for both the positive controls and the conditioned media of the primary GCT stromal cell cultures.

In vitro activation of gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 can be mediated by organomercurial compounds, such as APMA. The activation of MMP-9 was not evident in the conditioned media of the primary cell cultures (data not shown). However, tumor-expressed MMP-2 was activated in GCT stromal cell cultures (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

GCT of bone is a benign-aggressive osteolytic tumor with metastatic potential that affects young adults in their prime. Understanding how this tumor causes bone destruction and local invasion will allow us to develop better treatment options. The culprits in bone destruction in GCT have classically been considered the osteoclast-like multinucleated giant cells, given their high expression of cathepsin K and their formation of resorption pits in vitro [15, 20, 22]. However, recent evidence suggests that the spindle-like mononuclear stromal cells of osteoblastic origin are the neoplastic elements and may also play a significant role in bone destruction [37–38].

In this study we have shown that the stromal cells of GCT express MMP-2 and -9 in vivo, and that these cells have in vitro gelatinase activity. Our results are consistent with other
studies that demonstrated the stromal cells of GCT are stimulated by local cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) and interleukin-1 (IL-1) to express and produce several angiogenic and proteolytic factors, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), MMP-3, and MMP-9 [39–43]. Rao et al. showed that media from fresh GCT specimens activated MMP-9 in late-passaged stromal cells [31].

MMP-2 and MMP-9 may play a significant role in local tumor osteolysis and invasion in GCT. Bone matrix destruction via type-I collagen degradation (by osteoclasts) leaves behind denatured type-I collagen (gelatin), which the gelatinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 degrade and cause osteolysis [32, 36]. It has been shown that higher levels of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in axial bone tumors correlate with more aggressive tumors and higher potential for metastasis [27]. We identified a variable ratio of MMP-2 to MMP-9 mRNA expression amongst the different propagative primary cell cultures using quantitative Real-Time PCR analysis and zymography. The variation from specimen to specimen likely represents the heterogeneity characteristic of human clinical specimens. However, we sampled tumors from 10 patients and found that MMP-2 and -9 were expressed in all specimens, strongly supporting our hypothesis that these proteases play a key role in bone resorption in GCT.

The results presented herein further clarify the pathophysiology of GCT and the likely contributive role of the stromal cells in bone destruction. Further research is needed to identify the exact cellular and molecular mechanisms by which the neoplastic stromal cells of GCT function in bone destruction and overall aggressiveness. We are currently investigating the signaling pathways between the giant cells and the stromal cells of GCT with respect to MMP production and activation. We aim to identify the pathways involved in bone destruction in GCT in order to develop inhibitory mechanisms and novel therapeutic modalities.

References

1. Ghert MA, Rizzo M, Harrelson JM, et al. Giant-cell tumor of the appendicular skeleton. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2002:201–10.
2. Szendroi M. Giant-cell tumour of bone. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2004; 86:5–12. [PubMed: 14765857]
3. Szendroi M, Kiss J, Antal I. Surgical treatment and prognostic factors in giant-cell tumor of bone. Acta Chir Orthop Traumatol Cech. 2003; 70:142–50. [PubMed: 12882097]
4. Wulling M, Delling G, Kaiser E. The origin of the neoplastic stromal cell in giant cell tumor of bone. Hum Pathol. 2003; 34:983–93. [PubMed: 14608531]
5. Randall RL. Giant cell tumor of the sacrum. Neurosurg Focus. 2003; 15:E13.
6. Bini SA, Gill K, Johnston JO. Giant cell tumor of bone. Curettage and cement reconstruction. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1995:245–50.
7. Blackley HR, Wunder JS, Davis AM, et al. Treatment of giant-cell tumors of long bones with curettage and bone-grafting. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1999; 81:811–20. [PubMed: 10391546]
8. Capanna R, Fabbri N, Betteli G. Curettage of giant cell tumor of bone. The effect of surgical technique and adjuvants on local recurrence rate. Chir Organi Mov. 1990; 75:206. [PubMed: 2249534]
9. Turcotte RE, Wunder JS, Isler MH, et al. Giant cell tumor of long bone: a Canadian Sarcoma Group study. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2002:248–58.
10. Bertoni F, Present D, Sudanese A, et al. Giant-cell tumor of bone with pulmonary metastases. Six case reports and a review of the literature. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1988:275–85.
11. Cheng JC, Johnston JO. Giant cell tumor of bone. Prognosis and treatment of pulmonary metastases. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1997;205–14.
12. Faisham WI, Zulmi W, Saim AH, et al. Pulmonary metastases of giant cell tumour of the bone. Med J Malaysia. 2004; 59 (Suppl F):78–81.
13. Kay RM, Eckardt JJ, Seeger LL, et al. Pulmonary metastasis of benign giant cell tumor of bone. Six histologically confirmed cases, including one of spontaneous regression. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1994:219–30.
14. Huang L, Teng XY, Cheng YY, et al. Expression of preosteoblast markers and Cbfa-1 and Osterix gene transcripts in stromal tumour cells of giant cell tumour of bone. Bone. 2004; 34:393–401. [PubMed: 15003787]
15. Kanehisa J, Izumo T, Takeuchi M, et al. In vitro bone resorption by isolated multinucleated giant cells from giant cell tumour of bone: light and electron microscopic study. Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histopathol. 1991; 419:327–38. [PubMed: 1949615]
16. Komiya S, Sasaguri Y, Inoue A, et al. Characterization of cells cultured from human giant-cell tumors of bone. Phenotypic relationship to the monocyte-macrophage and osteoclast. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1990;304–9.
17. Liu TC, Ji ZM, Wang LT. Giant cell tumors of bone. An immunohistochemical study. Pathol Res Pract. 1989; 185:448–53. [PubMed: 2602217]
18. Wuelling M, Delling G, Kaiser E. Differential gene expression in stromal cells of human giant cell tumor of bone. Virchows Arch. 2004; 445:621–30. [PubMed: 15449052]
19. Wulling M, Engels C, Jesse N, et al. The nature of giant cell tumor of bone. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2001; 127:467–74. [PubMed: 11501745]
20. Zheng MH, Robbins P, Xu J, et al. The histogenesis of giant cell tumour of bone: a model of interaction between neoplastic cells and osteoclasts. Histol Histopathol. 2001; 16:297–307. [PubMed: 11193206]
21. Cowan RW, Mak IW, Colterjohn N, et al. Collagenase expression and activity in the stromal cells from giant cell tumour of bone. Bone. 2009; 44:865–71. [PubMed: 19442604]
22. Lindeman JH, Hanemaaiker R, Mulder A, et al. Cathepsin K is the principal protease in giant cell tumor of bone. Am J Pathol. 2004; 165:593–600. [PubMed: 15277232]
23. Mak IW, Cowan RW, Popovic S, et al. Upregulation of MMP-13 via Runx2 in the stromal cell of Giant Cell Tumor of bone. Bone. 2009; 45:377–86. [PubMed: 1942937]
24. Robinson D, Einhorn TA. Giant cell tumor of bone: a unique paradigm of stromal-hematopoietic cellular interactions. J Cell Biochem. 1994; 55:300–3. [PubMed: 7962160]
25. Birkedal-Hansen H, Moore WG, Bodden MK, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases: a review. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 1993; 4:197–250. [PubMed: 8435466]
26. Deryugina EI, Quigley JP. Matrix metalloproteinases and tumor metastasis. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2006; 25:9–34. [PubMed: 16680569]
27. Gokaslan ZL, Chintala SK, York JE, et al. Expression and role of matrix metalloproteinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 in human spinal column tumors. Clin Exp Metastasis. 1998; 16:721–8. [PubMed: 10211985]
28. Kumta SM, Huang L, Cheng YY, et al. Expression of VEGF and MMP-9 in giant cell tumor of bone and other osteolytic lesions. Life Sci. 2003; 73:1427–36. [PubMed: 12850503]
29. Lee SK, Lorenzo J. Cytokines regulating osteoclast formation and function. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2006; 18:411–8. [PubMed: 16763463]
30. Rao VH, Bridge JA, Neff JR, et al. Expression of 72 kDa and 92 kDa type IV collagenases from human giant-cell tumor of bone. Clin Exp Metastasis. 1995; 13:420–6. [PubMed: 7586800]
31. Rao VH, Singh RK, Bridge JA, et al. Regulation of MMP-9 (92 kDa type IV collagenase/ gelatinase B) expression in stromal cells of human giant cell tumor of bone. Clin Exp Metastasis. 1997; 15:400–9. [PubMed: 9219728]
32. Shingleton WD, Hodges DJ, Brick P, et al. Collagenase: a key enzyme in collagen turnover. Biochem Cell Biol. 1996; 74:759–75. [PubMed: 9164464]
33. Teti A, Farina AR, Villanova I, et al. Activation of MMP-2 by human GCT23 giant cell tumour cells induced by osteopontin, bone sialoprotein and GRGDSP peptides is RGD and cell shape change dependent. Int J Cancer. 1998; 77:82–93. [PubMed: 9639398]
34. Uchida M, Shima M, Shimoaka T, et al. Regulation of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) by bone resorptive factors in osteoblastic cells. J Cell Physiol. 2000; 185:207–14. [PubMed: 11025442]
35. Ueda Y, Imai K, Tsuchiya H, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase 9 (gelatinase B) is expressed in multinucleated giant cells of human giant cell tumor of bone and is associated with vascular invasion. Am J Pathol. 1996; 148:611–22. [PubMed: 8579123]
36. Vigué-Carrín S, Garnero P, Delmas PD. The role of collagen in bone strength. Osteoporos Int. 2006; 17:319–36. [PubMed: 16341622]
37. Ghert M, Simunovic N, Cowan RW, et al. Properties of the stromal cell in giant cell tumor of bone. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2007; 459:8–13. [PubMed: 17327805]
38. Komiyama S, Inoue A. Cementation in the treatment of giant cell tumor of bone. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 1993; 112:51–5. [PubMed: 8457410]
39. Nishimura M, Yuasa K, Mori K, et al. Cytological properties of stromal cells derived from giant cell tumor of bone (GCTSC) which can induce osteoclast formation of human blood monocytes without cell to cell contact. J Orthop Res. 2005; 23:979–87. [PubMed: 16024207]
40. Rao VH, Singh RK, Delimont DC, et al. Interleukin-1beta upregulates MMP-9 expression in stromal cells of human giant cell tumor of bone. J Interferon Cytokine Res. 1999; 19:1207–17. [PubMed: 10547161]
41. Sasaguri Y, Komiyama S, Sugama K, et al. Production of matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 3 (stromelysin) by stromal cells of giant cell tumor of bone. Am J Pathol. 1992; 141:611–21. [PubMed: 1519668]
42. Wen J, Xie D, Yao J. Promotive effect of TNF-alpha and M-CSF on osteolysis induced by giant cell tumor of bone. Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi. 1997; 26:273–6. [PubMed: 10374334]
43. Wen J, Xie D, Yao J, et al. Effect of cytokines on in vitro bone resorption by cells isolated from giant cell tumor of bone. Chin Med J (Engl). 1999; 112:443–7. [PubMed: 11593516]
Fig. (1). Representative immunohistochemistry slides of paraffin-embedded GCT of bone tissue specimens for MMP-2 and MMP-9

(A) MMP-2 antibody staining at x400 magnification. (B) MMP-9 antibody staining at x400 magnification. MMP-2 and MMP-9 staining was positive in the cytoplasm of the multinucleated giant cells (solid arrows), and diffusely positive in the spindle-like stromal cells (dotted arrows). (C) Representative paraffin-embedded immunohistochemistry negative control with the absence of a primary antibody (H+E, original magnification X400).
Fig. (2). Relative expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 mRNA from GCT stromal cell cultures based on Real-Time PCR
Total mRNAs isolated from primary cell culture specimens 4, 6, 7, 8, HOS, and hFOB 1.19 were reverse-transcribed using oligo-dT from the Superscript III First Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen). Real-Time PCR was then used to detect changes in MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression. The ΔΔCT method was used to calculate the Real-Time PCR fold change using GAPDH mRNA for normalization, and all changes in expression are relative to hFOB 1.19. Three independent Real-Time PCR runs were performed on each sample. A human osteosarcoma cell line (HOS) serves as a positive control.
Fig. (3). Representative microscopy of the primary cell cultures after several passages
After 5 passages only spindle-like stromal cells are present and the osteoclasts have been eliminated.
Fig. (4). A–B: Representative blocking zymogram for conditioned media from primary cell cultures
Three primary cell culture specimens are shown (1, 6 and 7). EDTA had a significant inhibiting effect on the gelatinase activity of MMP-2 and MMP-9 in the control (CD-27SK) and the GCT stromal cell cultures. [a=activated, z=zymogen].
Fig. (5). Representative activated zymogram for conditioned media from GCT stromal cell conditioned media
Activation of MMP-2 zymogen by APMA in the conditioned media from specimen 10.
[a=activated, z=zymogen].
### Table 1

Patient Demographics and Surgical Management

| Specimen | Age | Gender | Location         | Treatment                                      |
|----------|-----|--------|------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 1        | 25  | Female | Left Distal Femur| Intralesional Curettage Bone Grafting Plate Fixation |
| 2        | 59  | Male   | Right Distal Femur| Wide Resection Bone Grafting Arthroplasty Reconstruction |
| 3        | 41  | Male   | Right Distal Femur| Intralesional Curettage Bone Grafting Plate Fixation |
| 4        | 55  | Male   | Right Proximal Humerus| Wide Resection Bone Grafting Arthroplasty Reconstruction |
| 5        | 56  | Female | Right Proximal Tibia| Intralesional Curettage Bone Grafting Plate Fixation |
| 6        | 31  | Female | Left Distal Ulna  | Wide Resection                                  |
| 7        | 47  | Female | Left Distal Femur | Intralesional Curettage Bone Grafting Plate Fixation |
| 8        | 39  | Female | Right Third Rib   | Wide Resection                                  |
| 9        | 20  | Male   | Right Distal Femur| Intralesional Curettage Bone Grafting Plate Fixation |
| 10       | 25  | Male   | Right Proximal Humerus| Intralesional Curettage Bone Grafting Substitute |

*Open Bone J. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 January 26.*
Table 2

Immunohistochemistry Results for MMP-2 and -9

| Specimen | MMP-2 | | MMP-9 | |
|----------|-------|---|-------|---|
|          | Stromal cells | Giant cells | Stromal cells | Giant Cells |
| 1        | 3     | 3   | 1     | 3   |
| 2        | 3     | 3   | 1     | 3   |
| 3        | 2     | 3   | 1     | 3   |
| 4        | 2     | 3   | 1     | 3   |
| 5        | 3     | 2   | 1     | 3   |
| 6        | 1     | 2   | 1     | 3   |
| 7        | 2     | 3   | 1     | 3   |
| 8        | 2     | 3   | 1     | 3   |
| 9        | 2     | 3   | 1     | 3   |
| 10       | 1     | 3   | 2     | 3   |

Note: Subjective grading system: 0 = no expression, 1 = faint expression, 2 = strong expression in less than 50% of cells, 3 = strong expression \textgreater{}50% cells