Retroperitoneal Malignant Mesenchymoma: A Case of Mesenchymal Mixed Tumor with Osteosarcoma, Leiomyosarcoma, Liposarcoma and Fibrosarcoma

Malignant mesenchymoma is an interesting but very rare tumor in which malignant differentiation has occurred twice or more. We report a case of retroperitoneal malignant mesenchymoma consisting of osteosarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma and fibrosarcoma. Abdominal CT showed a large retroperitoneal mass with two separate and distinct parts, namely an area of prominent calcification and one of clearly enhancing solid components. The mass contained histologically distinct tumorous components with no histologic admixture at the interfaces. The densely calcified nodule corresponded to osteosarcoma, and the non-calcified clearly enhancing nodules to leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma and fibrosarcoma.

CASE REPORT

A 47-year-old man was admitted to hospital due to a palpable mass in the left flank. His laboratory data were normal, but plain radiography of the abdomen showed that in the left upper quadrant, a huge mass with exuberant calcifications was present (Fig. 1A). Abdominal CT revealed that the peritoneum contained a large heterogeneous soft tissue mass composed of several nodules with different components. The upper portion of the mass showed dense or stippled calcifications (Fig. 1B), whereas in the lower portion there were several non-calcified soft tissue nodules with strong peripheral enhancement and a central necrotic area (Fig. 1C). The HU of the low-density area was 42, and this was attributed to the presence of non-fatty tissue. The mass had invaded the renal capsule, pancreas, and adrenal gland (though not the left-side colon and spleen, which strongly adhered to it), and surgical resection was thus required, together with distal pancreatectomy, splenectomy, left nephrectomy and left hemicolec-tomy. The resected mass measured about 18 cm in its longest diameter, and was composed of several soft tissue nodules. In its upper portion, a hard bone-like structure was present. Microscopically, the nodule around the dense calcification was consistent with osteosarcoma (Fig. 1D), and the soft tissue nodules in the lower part corresponded to leiomyosarcoma (Fig. 1E), liposarcoma (Fig. 1F), and fibrosarcoma.
Fig. 1. A 47-year-old man with retroperitoneal malignant mesenchymoma.
A. Plain abdominal radiograph demonstrates a large mass with multiple, dense, large, stippled calcifications in the left-side abdomen.
B. Contrast-enhanced abdominal CT scan at the renal level depicts a large conglomerate mass with densely calcified nodules, shown at histopathologic correlation to be consistent with osteosarcoma, in the left-side retroperitoneum. The mass invades the left kidney (arrows).
C. Contrast-enhanced abdominal CT scan, caudal to that seen in (B), depicts lobulated non-calcified soft tissue nodules (arrows) with strong peripheral enhancement and, in some areas, central low attenuation. The nodules correspond to leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma, and fibrosarcoma.
D. Microscopically, the osteosarcomatous component consists of a central malignant osteoid within a background of malignant spindle cells (original magnification, ×100; hematoxylin-eosin staining).
E. Microscopically, the leiomyosarcomatous component contains typical blunt-ended nuclei with mitotic configuration (original magnification, ×100; hematoxylin-eosin staining).
F. Microscopically, the liposarcomatous component contains occasional scattered lipoblasts between fat cells (original magnification, ×100; hematoxylin-eosin staining).
Fourteen months after surgery, follow-up abdominal CT revealed a recurrent retroperitoneal mass in the left para-aortic area at the level of the inferior pole of the kidney, without evidence of calcification. The mass was surgically excised, and a resected specimen contained a mainly fibrosarcomatous component. During the subsequent 15-month period, the patient was in good health, with no evidence of recurrence.

DISCUSSION

Malignant mesenchymoma, first described by Stout in 1948, is a malignant soft tissue tumor consisting of two or more distinct mesenchymal components, either or any of which might in itself be viewed as a primary malignant neoplasm (1). Nowadays strict diagnostic criteria for malignant mesenchymoma require that each component is sufficiently differentiated histogenetically (6, 7). For this purpose, fibrosarcoma, hemangiopericytoma, malignant fibrous histiocytoma, myxosarcoma, and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor are not considered as separate malignant tumor components; those that normally fall into this category are liposarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, osteosarcoma, chondrosarcoma, and angiosarcoma (6).

The previously reported radiologic features of malignant mesenchymoma vary (2-4). Although none were common to all the cases they described, Suzuki et al. (5) suggested that the typical findings of malignant mesenchymoma were large tumor size, a sharp margin, heterogeneous make-up, and massive calcification. The tumor in our case was also a huge heterogeneous mass with massive intratumorous calcification, but a feature different from those previously noted was also present. Earlier reports described a large mass with various intermingled components, whereas in our case the components were discrete. The massive calcification we found corresponded to an osteosarcomatous component, while the non-calcified enhancing nodules were, respectively, consistent with leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma, and fibrosarcoma. A well-differentiated liposarcomatous component can have a fatty component (5), though in our case none was apparent at CT. The composition of a malignant mesenchymoma - whether or not it contains calcification or ossification, a fatty component, or necrotic soft tissue - seems to determine its radiological features.

A malignant mesenchymoma is generally considered to be highly malignant, usually with a poor prognosis (7), though the findings of this same study, based on clinicopathologic analysis and long-term follow-up, suggest a more favorable prognosis. In our case, the clinical course was rather indolent; although the mass recurred locally 14 months after initial surgery, there was no evidence of metastasis during the 29 months following initial presentation, and this seems to reaffirm a more favorable prognosis.

For the proper diagnosis of malignant mesenchymoma, the radiologist must be aware of its nature; if any of the sarcomatous components are overlooked, it might be diagnosed as single-type or predominant sarcoma with divergent differentiation.

In summary, depending on its composition, malignant mesenchymoma may demonstrate various radiologic features. If a large retroperitoneal soft tissue mass is present, together with several different sarcomatous features such as prominent calcification/ossification or a fatty component, the differential diagnosis should include malignant mesenchymoma.

References

1. Stout AP. Mesenchymoma, the mixed tumor of mesenchymal derivatives. Ann Surg 1948;127:278-290
2. Fujiyoshi Y, Nishimura H, Irie K, et al. A case of retroperitoneal malignant mesenchymoma. Pathol Int 1994;44:803-807
3. Satake I, Tari K, Nakagomi K, et al. Retroperitoneal malignant mesenchymoma: a case report. Int J Urol 1994;1:273-274
4. Mukherji SK, Rojiani AM, Younathan CM, et al. CT findings of retroperitoneal malignant mesenchymoma. Abdom Imaging 1994;19:82-83
5. Suzuki S, Furui S, Kokubo T, et al. Retroperitoneal malignant mesenchymoma: imaging findings in five cases. Abdom Imaging 1999;24:92-97
6. Brady MS, Perino G, Tallini G, et al. Malignant mesenchymoma. Cancer 1996;77:467-473
7. Newman PL, Fletcher CDM. Malignant mesenchymoma. clinicopathologic analysis of a series with evidence of low-grade behavior. Am J Surg Pathol 1991;15:607-614