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Abstract

Our objective was to establish the rate of neurological involvement in STEC-HUS and describe the clinical presentation, management and outcome. A retrospective chart review of children aged \( \leq 16 \) years with STEC-HUS in Children's Health Ireland from 2005 to 2018 was conducted. Laboratory confirmation of STEC infection was required for inclusion. Neurological involvement was defined as encephalopathy, focal neurological deficit and/or seizure activity. Data on clinical presentation, management and outcome were collected. We identified 240 children with HUS; 202 had confirmed STEC infection. Neurological involvement occurred in 22 (10.9\%). The most common presentation was seizures (72.7\%). In the neurological group, 19 (86.4\%) were treated with plasma exchange and/or Eculizumab. Of the 21 surviving children with neurological involvement, 19 (90.5\%) achieved a complete neurological recovery. A higher proportion of children in the neurological group had renal sequelae (26.6\% vs. 11.5 \%, \( P=.031 \)). One patient died from multi-organ failure. Conclusion: We have identified the rate of neurological involvement in a large cohort of children with STEC-HUS as 10.9\%. Neurological involvement in STEC-HUS is associated with good long-term outcome (complete neurological recovery in 90.5\%) and a low case-fatality rate (4.5\%) in our cohort.

Introduction

Hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) is characterized by a triad of thrombocytopenia, microangiopathic hemolytic anemia and kidney failure. Shiga toxin-producing \( Escherichia coli \) (STEC)-HUS is typically preceded by a diarrheal illness (usually bloody). In Ireland, the most common \( E. coli \) serotype is O157:H7.[1] Shiga toxin induces an inflammatory cascade, triggering endothelial injury, and thrombotic microangiopathy; resulting in micro-thrombi formation in multiple organs.[2–4] Activation of the alternative complement pathway may also have a role.[5] Shiga toxin-producing \( E. coli \) HUS is the most common cause of acute kidney injury in children.[1, 6–9] Since 2004, STEC is a notifiable disease in Ireland and we consistently have the highest reported rate of STEC infection in Europe (19.4 per 100,000 in 2017).[10]

Neurological involvement is reported in approximately 30\% of all types of HUS.[2, 11–29] Seizures, irritability, lethargy, encephalopathy and coma are the most common central nervous system (CNS) manifestations. Neurological involvement in STEC-HUS is associated with a higher mortality rate (up to 30\%), long-term physical disability, neuropsychological and cognitive sequelae (Supplementary Table 1).[11–16, 18, 21–25, 27–35]

Shiga toxin-producing \( Escherichia coli \) HUS is managed with careful fluid and diuretic administration, red cell transfusion and in up to 50\% of cases temporary kidney replacement therapy [continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVH), peritoneal dialysis (PD) or hemodialysis (HD)].[36] There is no consensus on the treatment of CNS involvement in STEC-HUS. Mixed outcomes have been reported after treatment with plasma exchange (PE) and/or anti-C5 monoclonal antibodies e.g. Eculizumab.[13, 29, 34, 37–43]
We have reviewed all cases of STEC-HUS in children (≤ 16 years) referred to tertiary pediatric nephrology services in the Republic of Ireland over 13 years (n=202). We report the rate of neurological involvement in this group; describe the clinical presentation, neurological and renal outcomes, and present an overview of management.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

We undertook a retrospective chart review of children aged ≤16 years with STEC-HUS in Children’s Health Ireland, Dublin from, January 1st, 2005, to December 31st, 2018. Children’s Health Ireland is the sole provider of tertiary pediatric nephrology services in the Republic of Ireland. Patients were identified through the hospital discharge coding system and the nephrology patient database.

**STEC-HUS Case Definition**

Hemolytic uremic syndrome was defined as acute kidney injury, microangiopathic hemolytic anemia and thrombocytopenia. Laboratory confirmation of STEC was performed at the Health Service Executive Public Health Laboratory at Cherry Orchard Hospital, Dublin, which provides the National Reference service for STEC. Criteria for microbiological confirmation (as per national Health Surveillance and Protection Centre criteria) was: (1) isolation of an *E. coli* strain by culture that is known to produce Shiga toxin (stx) or harbours *stx*1 or *stx*2 gene(s), or (2) direct detection of *stx*1 or *stx*2 nucleic acid (without strain isolation) by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), or (3) detection of *E. coli* serogroup specific antibodies.[10]

**Neurological Manifestations**

Neurological involvement was defined as encephalopathy (altered or fluctuating level of consciousness), focal neurological deficit (abnormal neurological examination) and/or seizure activity. Patients were divided into those with neurological involvement (*neurological group*) and those without (*non-neurological group*). The total group refers to all children with STEC-HUS. Image interpretation was performed by two specialized pediatric radiologists.

*Exclusion Criteria*

Children with HUS who did not have confirmed STEC infection or had proven STEC infection but had a confirmed genetic diagnosis of atypical-HUS (aHUS) were excluded (*Supplementary Figure 1*).
Renal sequelae were defined as the presence of one of the following: (1) hypertension requiring antihypertensive medication; (2) proteinuria (> 0.15 g/L or urinary protein-to-creatinine ratio greater than 20 mg/mmol); (3) impaired kidney function with an estimated glomerular filtration rate < 90 mL/min/1.73 m² (Pediatric Schwartz formula).[44] Neurological sequelae were defined as recurrent seizures, focal neurological deficit or altered functional status at follow-up. The Pediatric Cerebral Performance Category (PCPC) was used as a qualitative assessment of overall neurological morbidity.[45]

**Ethical approval**

Ethical approval was granted by the local research and ethic committee.

**Statistical analysis**

Data was analyzed using the SPSS version 26.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corporation). Data was assessed for normality. Parametric data were analyzed by 2-tailed, non-paired \( t \)-tests. Mann-Whitney U-test and chi square test analyzed non-parametric data. Quantitative variables were expressed as median (IQR). Statistical significance was determined at \( P \)-value less than .05.

**Results**

*Population*

We identified 240 children with HUS. No evidence of STEC infection was detected in 36/240 (15%) patients. Two children had confirmed STEC infection but later developed recurrence of HUS in the absence of STEC infection – pathogenic complement gene mutations were subsequently identified. Two hundred and two children with confirmed STEC infection (total group) were included in the analysis (Supplementary Figure 1). Neurological involvement was identified in 22/202 children (10.9%) (Table 1).

*Microbiology*

A Shiga toxigenic strain of *E. coli* was isolated in 187/202 (92%) children on stool culture; 172 also had \( stx \) detected on PCR and 37 had antibodies on serology. Seven *E. coli* serotypes were identified; O157 (50%) and O26 (30%) were the most common. Sixteen patients (8%) had an ungroupable *E. coli* serotype (Table 2). In 4 patients, \( stx \) was detected without isolation of an *E. coli* strain. Both \( stx1 \) and \( stx2 \) were detected in 43 children, \( stx2 \) alone in 109 and \( stx1 \) alone in three. Unspecified \( stx \) was identified in 18 and no \( stx \) detected in 27.

*Clinical Presentation*

At presentation, 196/202 (97.0%) children had diarrhea, of whom 121/196 (61%) had bloody diarrhea, and 45/202 (22%) were febrile. There was a significantly higher proportion of patients in the neurological
group with oliguria or anuria [95.5% vs. 75.6% ($P=0.034$)]. The degree of leukocytosis, thrombocytopenia, anemia and hyponatremia at presentation were not significantly different between groups. Admission and peak creatinine were similar in both groups (Table 1). Admission rate to the PICU was higher [86.4% vs. 16.1% ($P<0.001$)] and median length of hospital stay was longer [21.0 days vs. 9.0 days ($P<0.001$)] in the neurological group.

**Neurological Presentation**

The neurological group comprised of 22 children (Table 3). The median time from admission to the onset of CNS symptoms (seizures, encephalopathy or focal neurological impairment) was one day (IQR: 0.0-2.3 days). Seizure was the most common presentation [16/22 (72.7%)]; four children presented with status epilepticus. Ten patients were clinically encephalopathic, and four had focal neurological deficits. Anti-epileptic medications were used during hospital admission in 16 patients; four remained on medication at discharge. All medications had been discontinued by six months post-discharge.

Electroencephalogram was performed in 10 children during the acute illness. All studies were abnormal, with a slow background consistent with encephalopathy in nine and absent cerebral activity in one.

Neuroimaging was available in 17 children (Table 3). Ten patients had no acute findings on neuroimaging despite clinical evidence of encephalopathy [3 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); 4 computer tomography (CT); 3 both MRI & CT]. There was no difference in the timing of imaging between those with or without acute changes. Table 3 summarizes neuroimaging findings. Figure 1 illustrates the typical restricted diffusion pattern on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI). Three patients had follow-up MRI studies which showed improvement or complete resolution.

**Management**

In the total group, 107/202 (53%) required dialysis. Significantly more patients in the neurological group needed dialysis than in the non-neurological group [86.4% vs. 48.9%, ($P<0.001$)]. The most common modality utilized was PD in 83/107 (77%); CVVH in 16 and 8 children had both.

In the total group, 24/202 (11.9%) had PE; 15/22 (68.2%) in the neurological group and 9/180 (5.0%) in the non-neurological group. The median number of PE sessions in the neurological group was 4.0 (IQR: 3.0-5.0). Patients who received PE without evidence of CNS involvement (n=9) did so due to atypical presentation before the confirmation of STEC. Eight children had Eculizumab, all in the neurological group (Supplementary Figure 1).

In the neurological group, 19/22 patients (86.4%) had either PE or Eculizumab (Table 3). Three patients received neither - one had a single seizure in a referring hospital but was not clinically encephalopathic on arrival at our center (Patient 4); one had a prolonged PICU admission and neurological deficits were only noted post-extubation (Patient 19); one had a seizure felt to be related to severe hypertension at the time (Patient 22). One patient received Eculizumab then PE (four days later) due to the re-emergence of neurological signs (abnormal neurological examination, increased tone and altered level of
consciousness) despite an initial improvement (Patient 16). Three received PE then Eculizumab - due to concerns regarding response to the initial treatment or evolving diagnostic uncertainty. Plasma exchange was commenced within 24 hours of the onset of neurological symptoms in 13 of 15 (86.6%) cases. Four patients were treated with Eculizumab alone. All patients who received Eculizumab initially did so within 24 hours.

One patient in the neurological group died from multi-organ failure. Two patients developed central line related deep venous thrombosis requiring anticoagulation. One of these patients also received treatment for an associated fungal infection. All patients who got Eculizumab, were given antibiotic prophylaxis and appropriate meningococcal vaccination.

**Outcomes**

**Neurological**

Of the 21 surviving patients with neurological involvement, 19/21 (90.5%) made a complete recovery. Two patients (9.5%) had mild impairment on PCPC at both discharge and most recent follow-up: both reported difficulties with complex motor tasks. One patient developed a brief generalized onset-motor seizure one-year post-discharge but was not commenced on anti-epileptic medication.

**Renal**

Complete follow-up data was available on 178/202 children (88.1%), 18 (8.9%) were referred to regional pediatric centers for follow-up and 5 (2.5%) were lost to follow-up. Renal recovery was achieved in 154/178 (86.5%) after a median follow-up of 2.4 years (IQR: 0.7-5.5 years). A greater proportion of patients in the neurological group had renal sequelae (26.6% vs. 11.5%; \( P=.031 \)) (Table 4). Two patients, one from each group, developed stage 5 chronic kidney disease and were transplanted.

**Discussion**

We have identified that the rate of neurological involvement in STEC-HUS is 10.9%. Neurological involvement is associated with predominantly good long-term outcome (90.4%) and a reduced case-fatality rate (4.5%) compared to older reports.

The reported rate of neurological involvement in children with HUS varies between 10.4% and 52% (Supplementary Table 1).[11–16, 18, 20–25, 27–35] We report a rate of 10.9% based on a strict definition of neurological involvement (seizures, encephalopathy or focal neurological deficit). We considered features such as irritability or lethargy to be non-specific. Four patients met the criteria for neurological involvement, but STEC infection was not confirmed, and they were excluded; no alternative etiology was identified. The possibility of failure to identify STEC infection in this small group exists - their inclusion would increase the rate of neurological involvement to 12.3%.
Seizure [16/22 (72.7%)] was the most common presentation of neurological involvement. Neurological involvement was noted early in the disease course, manifesting within 48 hours of admission to hospital in 72.7%. Close monitoring of CNS symptoms and careful clinical assessment is important to identify neurological involvement in children with HUS early in the course of their disease.

Demographic variables did not predict neurological involvement in our cohort and we did not identify a trend for a higher degree of leukocytosis or peak creatinine, as reported previously (Table 1).[14, 18, 46] The E. coli serogroups identified were comparable between the neurological and non-neurological groups. Children in the neurological group had a significantly greater need for dialysis [86.4% vs. 48.9%, (P<.001)], PICU admission [86.4% vs. 16.1%, (p<0.001)] and a longer length of hospital stay [21(13-34) vs. 9 (6-15), (p<0.001)], reflecting a more severe course of illness in this group.

Neuro-radiology in children with HUS is focused on the exclusion of hemorrhage and the identification of cerebral edema and vasculitis.[19] Children in the neurological group underwent CT and/or MRI depending on their individual clinical circumstances. Based on availability, and if patients are sufficiently stable to allow for a longer examination duration, MRI is the imaging modality of choice. We identified the typical DWI abnormalities of both deep white and grey matter in our patients (n=7).[13] All children who had DWI changes had a normal neurological outcome (Table 3). Therefore, observed DWI changes are reversible lesions in children with good neurological recovery and routine follow up neuroimaging is not required, unless abnormal neurological examination.

Evidence supporting the use of supplemental treatments, such as PE or Eculizumab, in STEC-HUS is lacking.[47–50] Extensive cases series and small cohort studies have been published but no randomized control trials have been reported (Supplementary Table 2).[13, 15, 29, 33, 34, 37–43, 51–53] Many specialists, whilst cautiously skeptical of the role of such treatments, tend to use supplemental therapies in severe cases of HUS, particularly in the context of CNS involvement.[47–50] In our cohort, we reserved additional treatments for children with severe disease, treating 20/202 children (9.9%) with PE, 4/202 (1.9%) with Eculizumab and 4/202 (1.9%) with both. Our initial approach is treatment with PE; reserving Eculizumab for use when prompt initiation of PE is not practicable or if overwhelming multi-system involvement. It is important to avoid the simultaneous use of PE and Eculizumab as monoclonal antibodies will be removed by PE. It is difficult, based on our positive experience, to forgo supplemental therapies until the outcomes of randomized controlled trials are available.

Neurological involvement in HUS has been reported to be associated with high mortality and significant long-term neurological morbidity (Supplementary Table 1).[11–16, 18, 20–25, 27–35] Reported outcomes vary depending on the period studied and the case definition employed. Studies based on cohorts of children with HUS and CNS involvement before 2010 had a median mortality rate of 16.9% (IQR: 7.0-44.6%)[11, 12, 19, 21–25, 27, 28, 30] and long-term neurological sequelae of 13.8% (IQR: 11.1–33.2%).[12, 19, 22, 24, 30, 31] More recent studies (cohorts after 2010), have better outcomes with lower mortality [13.9%, (IQR:12.5- 22%)][13, 14, 16, 18, 20, 34] and less long-term neurological sequelae [8.3%, IQR: 5.3-34.6%].[13, 15, 16, 18, 33–35] Substantial improvements in diagnosis and supportive care have
evolved in the intervening period. In our neurological group (n=22), one patient died, and two children had long-term neurological consequences – giving comparative rates of 4.5% case-fatality, 9.5% mild neurological sequelae and no severe neurological sequelae. The rate of kidney sequelae on follow-up is significantly better than that described in other cohorts – 13.5% vs 20-25%. [2, 54] It is likely that advances in supportive care are the primary driver for improved outcomes (renal and neurological) in our cohort compared to older published cohorts. We believe that more optimism should be afforded when counseling parents regarding long-term neurological and renal sequelae.

Making comparisons between cohorts of HUS patients treated using different protocols in different centers is not optimal. Differences in the case definition, case capture, inclusion of aHUS patients, length of follow-up and treatment modalities, along with demographic variables and the genetic background of the population make comparisons complex. Our cohort benefits from a high degree of case capture based on a well-defined geographical area served by a single tertiary center – near complete capture of HUS cases with more mild disease involvement will have an impact on measurement of overall disease severity.

One important limitation of our study is the ability to detect more subtle changes in neurocognitive function and behavior. The PCPC was developed to quantify overall functional morbidity in children after critical illness- application of more sensitive scales may allow for the detection of more subtle changes in neurocognitive outcomes and behavior.[46, 55, 56]

**Conclusion**

One in ten children with STEC-HUS will have neurological involvement and 90% will have a complete neurological recovery. The optimal management of neurological involvement in STEC-HUS needs further study. In the absence of good quality randomized control studies, it is important that cohort studies are reported.
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Tables

Table 1. Demographics, Clinical Presentation and Management of pediatric HUS patients
| Graphics | Total HUS Group (n=202) | HUS Neurological Group (n=22) | HUS Non-Neurological Group (n=180) | P value |
|----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Gender, Female: Male, n | 114: 88 | 13:9 | 101:79 | .79 |
| Age, years, median | 3.2 (1.6-6.3) | 2.6 (1.1-7.4) | 3.2 (1.6-6.2) | .44 |
| Category, n (%) | | | | |
| Year | | | | |
| 2 years | 15 (7.4) | 4 (18.2) | 11 (6.1) | |
| 5 years | 44 (21.8) | 4 (18.2) | 40 (22.2) | .228 |
| 10 years | 79 (39.1) | 7 (31.8) | 72 (40.0) | |
| n, (%) | | | | |
| Ring | 35 (17.3) | 4 (18.2) | 31 (17.2) | |
| Number | 86 (42.6) | 12 (54.5) | 74 (41.1) | .381 |
| Tumour | 64 (31.7) | 6 (27.3) | 58 (32.2) | |
| Neter | 17 (8.4) | 0 (0.0) | 17 (9.4) | |
| Labs | n (IQR) | 10.0 (6.0-16.0) | 21.0 (13.0-34.0) | 9.0 (6.0-15.0) | <0.001 |
| Time of admission, n (%) | 196 (97) | 61 (27.8) | 145 (79.4) | 16 (8.6) | <0.001 |
| Atting symptoms | | | | |
| ation period, days | n (IQR) | 5 (4-7) | 5.0 (3.5-7.0) | 5 (4.0-7.0) | .092 |
| Output, n (%) | | | | |
| Normal | 196 (97) | 61 (27.8) | 145 (79.4) | 16 (8.6) | <0.001 |
| uria/Oliguria | 157 (77.7) | 21 (95.5) | 136 (75.6) | 44 (24.4) | .034 |
| atory Findings | | | | |
| session) median (IQR) | | | | |
| cell count (x10^9/l) | 16.1 (12.0 - 20.0) | 87.0 (75.0 - 98.5) | 51.0 (31.0 - 78.0) | .82 |
| ophils (x10^9/l) | 7.4 (5.3 - 12.2) | 87.5 (76.8 - 98.5) | 23.3 (15.1 - 32.0) | .63 |
| globin (g/L) | 87.0 (75.0 - 98.5) | 87.0 (74.3 - 100.8) | 208 (120.0 - 305.0) | .06 |
| asts (x10^9/l) | 51.5 (29.8 - 63.5) | 50.0 (31.0 - 78.0) | 349.0 (142.0 - 576.0) | .34 |
| mmol/L | 23.4 (15.2 - 32.1) | 297.5 (148.5 -) | 134.0 (132.0 - 138.0) | |
| nine (μmol/L) | 211 (121.5 - 314.5) | 349.0 (142.0 - 576.0) | 134.0 (132.0 - 136.0) | |
| creatinine (μmol/L) | 349.5 (150.5-578.8) | 383 (271.5 - 737.5) | 134.0 (132.0 - 136.0) | |
| n (mmol/L) | 134.0 (132.0-136.0) | 135 (132.0-138.0) | 134.0 (132.0-136.0) | |
| hent | is, n (%) | 107 (53.0) | 19 (86.4) | 88 (48.9) | <.001 |
| 'H | 16 (7.9) | 6 (27.3) | 10 (5.6) | |
| 'H and PD | 83 (41.1) | 10 (45.5) | 73 (40.6) | .016 |
| ion, days, (IQR) | 9.0 (6.0-13.0) | 11.0 (7.3-19.0) | 9.0 (6.0-13.0) | .81 |
| (%) | | | | |
| ion, days, median (IQR) | 24 (11.9) | 15 (68.2) | 9 (5.0) | <.001 |
| umab, n (%) | 4 (2.5-5) | 4.0 (3.0 - 5.0) | 4.0 (1.0-5.0) | 0.503 |
| <.001 |

**Note:** CVVH, continuous veno-venous hemofiltration; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; ICU, Intensive care QR, interquartile range; LOS, Length of stay; n, number; PD, peritoneal dialysis; PE, plasma exchange.
Table 2. *E. coli* serogroups identified from pediatric HUS patients

| E. coli | Total HUS Group n=202 (%) | stx detected n (%) | Neurological Group n=21 | stx detected n (%) | Non-Neurological Group n=181 (%) | stx detected n (%) | P* value |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 07, n (%) | 101 (50)               | 86 (85)          | 7 (28.6)                | 5 (66)            | 94 (52)                        | 81 (86)          | 0.05     |
| 01, n (%) | 62 (30)                | 53 (85)          | 8 (38.0)                | 6 (75)            | 54 (29.8)                       | 47 (87)          | 0.34     |
| 011, n (%) | 16 (79)                | 12 (75)          | 4 (19)                  | 3 (75)            | 12 (6.6)                        | 9 (75)           | 0.05     |
| 05, n (%) | 13 (6.4)               | 12 (92)          | 2 (9.5)                 | 2 (100)           | 11 (6)                          | 10 (83)          | 0.20     |
| 03, n (%) | 4 (2.0)                | 4 (100)          | 0                       | 0                 | 4 (2.2)                         | 4 (100)          | 0.49     |
| only, n (%) | 4 (1.5)               | 4 (1.5)          | 0                       | 0                 | 4 (2.2)                         | 4 (2.2)          | 0.49     |
| 1, n (%) | 3 (1.5)                | 3 (100)          | 1 (4.8)                 | 1 (100)           | 2 (1.1)                         | 2 (100)          | 0.19     |
| 02, n (%) | 1 (0.5)                | 1 (100)          | 0                       | -                 | 1 (0.6)                         | 1 (100)          | 0.73     |
| 01, n (%) | 1 (0.5)                | 1 (100)          | 0                       | -                 | 1 (0.5)                         | 1 (100)          | 0.80     |

| **Total** | **176** (85) | **21** | **184** | **160** **(86)** | **-** |

Legend: HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; stx, shigaotoxin

*difference in serotypes between neurological and non-neurological group

**3 patients had both O26&O157
Table 3: Presentation, investigations and outcome of the neurological cohort (n=22)

| No. | CNS Symptoms | Acute Radiological Features of HUS | EEG | Treatment | AEDs | Neurological Outcome (PCPC Score) |
|-----|--------------|----------------------------------|-----|-----------|------|-----------------------------------|
|     |              | CT                               | MRI |           |      |                                   |
| 1   | Encephalopathic GTCS | - | RD and T2-hyperintensity in the centrum semio-vale & PVWM | - | PE | BZD | Normal (1) |
|     |                | MRI                             |     |           |      |                                   |
| 2   | Encephalopathic Hypotonic | - | - | Absence of cerebral activity | PE then Eculizumab | - | Deceased |
| 3   | Encephalopathic | - | - | - | Eculizumab | - | Normal (1) |
| 4   | GTCS | - | - | - | - | BZD | Normal (1) |
| 5   | Status Epilepticus | No | - | - | PE | BZD, PHY | Normal (1) |
| 6   | Seizure | No | No | Slow | PE | VPA | Normal (1) |
| 7   | Encephalopathic | No | No | Slow | Eculizumab | BZD, PHY, LEV | Normal (1) |
| 8   | GTCS | - | - | - | Eculizumab | PHY | Normal (1) |
| 9   | Encephalopathic GTCS | - | No | - | PE | BZD | Normal (1) |
| 10  | GTCS | No | No | Slow | Eculizumab | - | Normal (1) |
| 11  | Encephalopathic Status Epilepticus | - | No | - | PE | BZD, PHB, PHY | Normal (1) |
| 12  | GTCS | No | - | - | PE | BZD | Normal (1) |
| 13  | Encephalopathic | No | - | Slow | PE | - | Normal (1) |
| 14  | Status Epilepticus Focal Seizures | Low attenuation in bilateral BG & THAL | T2 hyperintensity & mixed increased/RD in BG & THAL | - | PE then Eculizumab | PHB, PHY, THI | Normal (1) |
| 15  | Encephalopathic Hemiparesis GTCS | Loss of GWM differentiation in R occipital lobe | T2 and FLAIR hyperintensity in the PVWM bilaterally (R>L) & R occipital lobe | Abnormal | PE | PHB, PHY | Normal (1) |
| 16  | Prolonged focal motor seizure Encephalopathic Abnormal tone | Low attenuation in bilateral BG & THAL | T2 hyperintensity & mixed increased/RD in BG & THAL | Slow | Eculizumab then PE | BZD | Mild Impairment (2) Difficulty with complex motor tasks |
| 17  | Encephalopathic | - | - | Slow | PE | LEV | Normal (1) |
| 18  | Status epilepticus | - | RD in WM & BG | Slow | PE then Eculizumab | BZD, PHB | Normal (1) |
|   |   | Dysarthria & weakness |   |   | Mild Impairment (2) Dysarthria, mild weakness |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------------------|
| 19 |   |   |   |   |   |
| 20 | Focal motor seizure |   | RD in centrum semi-ovale & PVWM | Slow PE BZD, LEV | Normal (1) Discharge: Nil |
| 21 | Left 4th CN palsy L upper limb weakness | No | Increased DWI & edema in cerebellum |   | Normal (1) |
| 22 | GTCS | No |   |   | BZD Discharge: Nil |

**Abbreviations:** AED, antiepileptic drugs; BG, basal ganglia; BZD, benzodiazepine; CN, cranial nerve; CNS, central nervous system; CT, computed tomography; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; EEG, electroencephalogram; GTCS, generalized tonic-clonic seizure; L, left; LEV, levetiracetam; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PCPC, Pediatric Cerebral Performance Category PE, plasma exchange; PHB, phenobarbitone; PHY, phenytoin; PVWM, periventricular white matter; R, right; RD, restricted diffusion

### Table 4: Renal outcome of the total group with STEC-HUS

|                         | Total Group n=202 (%) | Neurological Group n=22 (%) | Non-Neurological Group n=180 (%) | P value |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Long-term data available| 178 (88.1)            | 21 (95.5)                  | 157 (87.2)                       |         |
| Regional center follow-up| 18 (8.9)              | 0                          | 18 (10.0)                        | .1      |
| Lost to follow-up       | 5 (2.5)               | 0                          | 5 (2.8)                          |         |
| Deceased                | 1 (0.5)               | 1 (4.5)                    | 0                                 |         |

|                         | Total Group n=178 (%) | Neurological Group n=21 (%) | Non-Neurological Group n=157 (%) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Duration of follow-up, years median (IQR) | 2.4 (0.7-5.5) | 3.6 (2.3 - 4.6) | 2.2 (0.6 - 5.6) |
| Complete renal recovery | 154 (86.5) | 15 (71.4) | 139 (88.5) |
| Long-term renal sequelae | 24 (13.5) | 6 (26.6) | 18 (11.5) |
| Proteinuria             | 14 (7.9) | 5 (23.8) | 9 (5.7) |
| Hypertension            | 7 (3.9) | 1 (4.8) | 5 (3.2) |
| Mild impairment (eGFR 60-89/ml/ min/1.73m²) | 6 (3.4) | 3 (14.3) | 3 (1.9) |
| Mild/Moderate impairment (eGFR 45-59/ml/ min/1.73m²) | 4 (2.2) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (2.5) |
| CKD 5-Transplant        | 2 (1.1) | 1 (4.8) | 1 (0.6) |

**Abbreviations:** eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CKD, Chronic Kidney Disease; NS, not significant
Definitions:

**Complete renal recovery:** Absence of proteinuria or hypertension and a normal eGFR,

**Hypertension:** ≥ 95th percentile for age, height and sex and requiring an antihypertensive medication

**Proteinuria:** > 0.15 g/L or urinary protein-to-creatinine ratio greater than 20 mg/mmol

**Figures**

**Figure 1**

a & 1b (Patient 1): Axial DWI (a) and ADC map (b) shows reduced diffusivity in both centrum semi-ovale which extended inferiorly to the periventricular white matter adjacent to bilateral frontal horns. 1c – e (Patient 16): Axial DWI (c) and ADC map (d) show restricted diffusion in the thalami bilaterally with increased diffusion within the periphery of the lentiform nuclei. There is corresponding increased signal abnormality in grey matter structures on axial T2 images (e)
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