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Abstract

Many cancerous solid tumors metastasize to the bone and induce pain (cancer-induced bone pain [CIBP]). Cancer-induced bone pain is often severe because of enhanced inflammation, rapid bone degradation, and disease progression. Opioids are prescribed to manage this pain, but they may enhance bone loss and increase tumor proliferation, further compromising patient quality of life. Angiotensin-(1-7) (Ang-(1-7)) binds and activates the Mas receptor (MasR). Angiotensin-(1-7)/MasR activation modulates inflammatory signaling after acute tissue insult, yet no studies have investigated whether Ang-(1-7)/MasR play a role in CIBP. We hypothesized that Ang-(1-7) inhibits CIBP by targeting MasR in a murine model of breast CIBP. 66.1 breast cancer cells were implanted into the femur of BALB/cAnN-Hsd mice as a model of CIBP. Spontaneous and evoked pain behaviors were assessed before and after acute and chronic administration of Ang-(1-7). Tissues were collected from animals for ex vivo analyses of MasR expression, tumor burden, and bone integrity. Cancer inoculation increased spontaneous pain behaviors by day 7 that were significantly reduced after a single injection of Ang-(1-7) and after sustained administration. Preadministration of A-779 a selective MasR antagonist prevented this reduction, whereas pretreatment with the AT2 antagonist had no effect; an AT1 antagonist enhanced the antinociceptive activity of Ang-(1-7) in CIBP. Repeated Ang-(1-7) administration did not significantly change tumor burden or bone remodeling. Data here suggest that Ang-(1-7)/MasR activation significantly attenuates CIBP, while lacking many side effects seen with opioids. Thus, Ang-(1-7) may be an alternative therapeutic strategy for the nearly 90% of patients with advanced-stage cancer who experience excruciating pain.
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1. Introduction

Bone pain is experienced by 75% to 90% of patients with late-stage metastatic cancer. Metastatic cancer-induced bone pain (CIBP) is frequently reported, but poorly managed. The World Health Organization recommends mild-to-strong opioids for condition patients with CIBP experience. Such side effects impede anticancer therapy and diminish patient and family quality of life. Preclinical modeling of CIBP has revealed mechanisms driving this complex disease state and lead to the identification of potential therapeutic targets. Although the bone is innervated by both sympathetic and nociceptive nerve fibers, many tumors of the bone in humans lack detectable nerve fibers within the tumor and adjacent peripheral bone. Contributors to nociceptive signaling associated with CIBP include an acidic tumor environment and the secretion of growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines from the tumor and tumor-associated cells, as well as enhanced nerve sprouting in the local environment.

The renin–angiotensin system (RAS), well known for its roles in blood pressure regulation and fluid homeostasis, was recently implicated in metastatic bone disease including inflammation, angiogenesis, tumor cell proliferation, and migration. Angiotensin II (Ang II) is the major end product of the RAS and activates two G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs): angiotensin II receptor type 1 (AT1) and type 2 (AT2). Physiological effects such as vasoconstriction, inflammation, fibrosis, cellular growth/migration, and fluid retention are reported for AT1 and AT2. Ang II is cleaved by ACE2 to yield angiotensin-(1-7) (Ang-(1-7)), a biologically active heptapeptide. In contrast to Ang II, Ang-(1-7) binds to the GPCR, Mas receptor (MasR; $K_D = 0.53 \text{nM}$) with 60- to 100-fold greater selectivity over the AT1 and AT2 receptors. Activation of the MasR elicits effects opposite to those of the Ang II/AT1/AT2 axis including anti-inflammation. MasR is expressed throughout the central and peripheral nervous systems including the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) and spinal cord in a model of prostaglandin-induced
hypalgesia, Ang-(1-7) dose-dependently attenuated peripheral nociception independent from opioid receptors. Ang-(1-7) through MasR reportedly reduces proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-6, while increasing the expression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 resulting in decreases of PI3K, MAPKs, and JNK signaling in multiple pain models. Yet, no reports have investigated the efficacy of Ang-(1-7) in clinically relevant cancer pain models, measured bone integrity, or measured tumor burden after sustained administration. Given the efficacy of Ang-(1-7) in inflammatory pain states, we hypothesized that Ang-(1-7) decreases CIA after acute and chronic administration by targeting MasR in the DRG and femur extrudate.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. In vitro

2.1.1. Cell culture

A murine (female BALB/cAnNCrjSTas) mammary adenocarcinoma line, 66.1, was a kind gift from Dr. Amy M Fulton. Cells were cultured in Eagle’s minimum essential medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 IU penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (P/S). The 66.1 cells were plated in T-75 tissue culture flasks, allowed to grow exponentially in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2. The viability of cells cultured with treatments described below was measured using the XTT assay (ATCC, Manassas, VA).

2.2. In vivo

2.2.1. Animals

All procedures were approved by the University of Arizona Animal Care and Use Committee and conform to the Guidelines by the National Institutes of Health and the International Association for the Study of Pain. Female BALB/cAnNCrjSTas mice (Harlan, Indianapolis, IN) between 15 and 20 g were used in this study. Mice were housed in a climate control room on a 12-hour light-dark cycle and allowed food and water ad libitum. Animals were monitored on days 3, 7, 10, and 14 of the study for clinical signs of rapid weight loss and signs of distress.

2.2.2. Drug treatment

Animals received angiotensin-(1-7) (Tocris, Ellisville, MO), the MasR antagonist A-779 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA), the AT1 antagonist Losartan potassium (Tocris Bioscience, Minneapolis, MN), or the AT2 antagonist PD 123319 (Tocris Bioscience) dissolved in 0.9% saline. All intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections were made at a volume of 10 mL/kg. Systemic doses were as follows: Ang-(1-7) = 0 to 100 µg/kg, A-779 = 0.19 µg/kg, Losartan potassium = 0.4 mg/kg, PD 123319 = 0.4 mg/kg. In antagonist studies, A-779, Losartan, and PD 123319 was administered 30 minutes before Ang-(1-7).

2.2.3. Tail flick

A warm water (52°C) tail flick test was used to determine the effects of Ang-(1-7) on acute nociception. The distal third of the tails of naive mice were submerged into the water bath. The withdrawal latency, defined as the time for the tail to be withdrawn from the water bath, was recorded. A cutoff time of 10 seconds was enforced to prevent tissue damage. Baseline latencies were recorded before drug administration. Animals were dosed (i.p.) with Ang-(1-7) (0-100 µg/kg). Tail flick latencies were reassessed 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 minutes after treatment.

2.2.4. Rotarod

A rotarod performance test was used to determine the motor and/or sedative effects of Ang-(1-7) (Rotamex 4/8; Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH). Three days before testing, naive mice were subjected to 5 trials in which they were able to acclimate to the rotating rod (10 rpm). On the day of testing, animals were allowed one trial and then baselined. The amount of time the animal remained on the rod was recorded, with a cutoff time of 120 seconds to prevent exhaustion. Animals were dosed (i.p.) as previously described and reevaluated 15, 30, 60, and 120 minutes after administration.

2.2.5. Arthrotomy: intramedullary implantation of 66.1 cells

To induce CIA, an arthrotomy was performed, as previously described. Briefly, animals were anesthetized with 80 mg/kg ketamine to 12 mg/kg xylazine (in a 10 mL/kg volume). The surgical area was shaved and cleaned with 70% ethanol and betadine. The condyles of the right femur were exposed and a burr-hole (0.66 mm) was drilled to create a space for the 66.1 cell inoculation. A 5-µL volume of 66.1 cells (8000 cells per 1 µL) in minimum essential medium (or 5 µL minimum essential medium without cells in sham animals) was injected into the intramedullary space of the mouse femora. Proper placement of the injector was confirmed by radiograph (Faxitron X-ray imaging). Holes were sealed with bone cement and the patella reset. Muscle and skin were closed in separate layers with 5-0 vicryl suture and wound autoclips, respectively. Animals were given 8 mg/kg (10 mL/kg volume) gentamicin to prevent infection. Staples were removed 7 days after surgery.

2.2.6. Acute behavioral testing

Fourteen days after surgery, baseline behaviors of spontaneous flinching/guarding were recorded. Flinching was characterized by the lifting and rapid flexing of the hind paw ipsilateral to femoral inoculation when not associated with walking or other movement. Guarding was characterized by lifting the inoculated hind limb into a fully retracted position under the torso. Both flinching and guarding of the inoculated limb are accurate measurements of ongoing pain and is similar to what is observed clinically in patients with bone cancer who hold or move their cancer-bearing limb away from sensory stimuli. The total number of flinches and the time spent guarding 2-minute duration was recorded. Mice were then separated into treatment groups and dosed systemically with Ang-(1-7) (0-10 µg/kg, i.p.), A-779 (0.19 µg/kg, i.p.), Losartan potassium (0.4 mg/kg, i.p.), PD 123319 (0.4 mg/kg, i.p.), vehicle (0.9% saline, i.p.), or a combination of Ang-(1-7) and each antagonist. Antagonists were administered 30 minutes before Ang-(1-7). After administration, animals were tested at over a 3-hour time course until their pain behaviors returned to baseline.

2.2.7. Chronic behavioral testing

Seven days after surgery, baseline behaviors of spontaneous pain, as described above, were recorded. Mice were treated (i.p.) with Ang-(1-7) (0.058 µg/kg), A-779 (0.19 µg/kg), vehicle (0.9% saline), or a combination. The antagonist was administered 30 minutes before Ang-(1-7). Animals were dosed at the same time each day 7 to 14 days after surgery. On day 10, pain behaviors
were assessed 15 minutes after treatment, based on the time of peak effect determined by the acute studies. Fourteen days after surgery, behaviors were again recorded before and after treatment. Animals were killed after treatment and testing on post-surgery day 14, and the following tissues were collected for biochemical analyses: serum, femur extrudate, and lumbar DRG.

2.2.8. Mechanical hypersensitivity
The assessment of mechanical hypersensitivity was determined by measuring the withdrawal threshold of the paw ipsilateral to the site of nerve injury in response to probing with a series of calibrated von Frey filaments (0.04–4.0 g). Before femur inoculation, female mice were tested for preinjury baseline mechanical sensitivity. Filaments were applied perpendicularly to the plantar surface of the right hind paw of mice while in individual plexiglass chambers suspended on a wire-mesh. Animal’s mechanical threshold was reassessed 7 days after femur inoculation with either media containing 66.1 cells or media only for a new injury-induced baseline. To determine whether Ang-(1–7) attenuates CIBP, the animals’ mechanical threshold was reassessed on days 7, 10, and 14 (after femur inoculation) 30 minutes after Ang-(1–7) or vehicle administration. The withdrawal threshold was determined by sequentially increasing and decreasing the stimulus strength (“up–down” method) analyzed using a Dixon nonparametric test and expressed as the mean withdrawal threshold. Animals’ mechanical thresholds were then assessed.

2.2.9. Nesting
Nesting behaviors of naive, media, and cancer-inoculated mice were assessed using the protocol described by Negus et al. Animals were acclimated to individual cages, without an existing nest, for 30 minutes before drug administration. Cotton fiber Nestlets were cut into 6 equal pieces, and each piece was placed in the cage in 6 zones in the manner previously described after drug administration. Throughout the duration of the 100-minute time course, the number of cleared zones was recorded; upon completion, the height (mm) of each fluffed Nestlet was measured.

2.3. Ex vivo

2.3.1. Western blot analysis
Dorsal root ganglia and femur extrudates from mice used in behavioral studies were analyzed for expression of MasR. The DRG were homogenized in modified radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail and EDTA (Pierce, Rockford, IL) through sonication. Then, 10 µg of each sample was resolved on 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gels (TGX Criterion XT; BioRad, Hercules, CA) and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (BioRad). Ipsilateral and contralateral femurs were removed from each animal. For each femur, the proximal and distal ends were clipped and the intramedullary extrudate was flushed 6 times with 700 µL phosphate-buffered saline containing protease inhibitor cocktail and EDTA (Pierce). Femur marrow from 5 animals was pooled per sample, and 15 µg of sample was resolved and transferred in the same manner as of DRG. Protein transfer was verified by staining blots with Ponceau S (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), and polyvinylidene difluoride membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% (vol/vol) Tween-20 (TBST) for 1 hour at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibody: rabbit polyclonal anti-angiotsin-(1-7) Mas Receptor (Alomone Labs AAR-013; 1:200 dilution for DRG or 1:800 for femurs) or mouse monoclonal anti-actin AC40 (Cell Signaling 7076S; 1:4000 dilution) in 1% milk in TBST overnight at 4°C. The membranes were washed in TBST and incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling 7074 Anti-rabbit IgG HRP-Linked; 1:10,000 dilution; Cell Signaling 7076 Anti-mouse IgG HRP-Linked; 1:5000 dilution) for 1 hour at room temperature. Membranes were again washed and developed using enhanced chemiluminescence (Clarity ECL Substrate, BioRad), and bands were detected using GeneMate Blue-Ultra Autorad films (BioExpress, Kaysville, UT). Bands were quantitated and corrected for background using ImageJ densitometric software (Wayne Rasband, Research Services Branch, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD). All data were normalized to actin in each lane and reported as fold change over untreated control.

2.3.2. Data analysis and statistical procedures

2.3.2.1. Behavioral
Statistical significance between treatment groups for the dose–response curve in acute behavioral studies was determined by 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Tukey test for unpaired experimental data. Statistical significance between treatment groups for chronic behavioral studies was determined using 2-way ANOVA and the Tukey test for multiple comparisons. Data are reported as mean ± SEM for n = 8 to 12 mice/treatment group. Power analyses were performed on cumulated data by using G*Power3.1 software to estimate the optimal numbers required. We found that adequate statistical separation requires the group size of 8 to 12 per behavioral test to detect differences (80%) between the drugs and control groups at alpha < 0.05. The experimenter was blinded to drug vs vehicle treatments.

2.3.2.2. Ex vivo
Western blot data are reported as a mean ± SEM from 3 to 5 groups of n = 3 to 5 animals. Statistical significance between treatment groups for the carboxy-terminal collagen crosslinks (CTX), radiograph, and hematoxylin and eosin data was determined by 1-way ANOVA and the Tukey test for multiple comparisons. The CTX data are reported as mean ± SEM for n = 4 mice/treatment group. Radiograph data are reported as mean ± SEM for n = 5 to 11 mice/treatment group. Hematoxylin and eosin data are reported as mean ± SEM for n = 3 to 5 mice/treatment group.

2.3.2.3. General
Power analyses were conducted based on the pilot data and historical data using G*Power3.1 software to efficiently detect significant differences among groups. The effect size was estimated from the data obtained from our historical studies. An a priori computation for 1-way ANOVA was used to estimate size given alpha = 0.05 and power set to 1-beta = 0.95. P < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Statistical analyses were run and plots were generated in GraphPad Prism 5.0 (Graph Pad Inc, San Diego, CA).

3. Results

3.1. Ang-(1–7) administration in established cancer-induced bone pain attenuates spontaneous and evoked pain
We assessed the antinociceptive efficacy of Ang-(1–7) in a model of established CIBP in which 66.1 tumor cells were injected into
the right femurs of syngeneic BALB/cAnN-Hsd mice. Before surgery, mice did not display behavioral signs of pain; yet 14 days after cancer inoculation surgery, animals present with a significant amount of flinching and guarding compared with media-treated controls ($P < 0.0001$, $n = 8$) (Fig. 1A, C). A single systemic injection of Ang-(1-7) (0.036, 0.360, 1, and 10 μg/kg) or vehicle was administered, and pain behaviors were assessed. Animals given an acute i.p. administration of Ang-(1-7) showed a significant ($P < 0.01$, $n = 8$) reduction in spontaneous pain behaviors with an onset 15 minutes after injection of either 0.36 or 1 μg/kg, which persisted for nearly 2 hours (Fig. 1A, C). Dose–response curves were constructed from data collected at the time of peak effect, 15 minutes (Fig. 1B, D). At 15 minutes, the maximum effect of Ang-(1-7) in reducing guarding behavior was 52.75% ($P < 0.01$, $n = 8$) with a corresponding $A_{90}$ dose of 0.058 μg/kg (Fig. 1B). Flinching displayed less of a dose dependency and a more significant inhibition at the lower dose (0.036 μg/kg). Thus, a single injection of Ang-(1-7) is effective in reducing spontaneous pain behaviors.
spontaneous pain behavior by more than 50% in animals with established CIBP.

Furthermore, mechanical hypersensitivity was measured through the use of von Frey filaments. Before femur inoculation surgery, animals displayed the highest level of paw withdrawal threshold measurable by the filaments; however, this threshold was significantly reduced 14 days after femur inoculation (Fig. 1E). For acute testing, a single systemic injection of Ang-(1-7) or vehicle was administered, and pain behaviors were assessed at 30-minute intervals after injection (Fig. 1E). Animals given an acute i.p. administration of Ang-(1-7) showed a significant (*P < 0.05, n = 8) reduction in evoked pain behaviors with an onset 30 minutes after injection of either 0.360 and 1 μg/kg, which persisted for nearly 2 hours (Fig. 1E). Area under the curve was calculated at the time of peak effect (30 minutes after administration) (*P < 0.05, n = 8) (Fig. 1F). Together, these data suggest that one-time administration of Ang-(1-7) reduces both spontaneous and evoked pain responses in established CIBP.

3.2. Effects of MasR/AT₁/AT₂ antagonists on Ang-(1-7) antinociception in established cancer-induced bone pain

To investigate the receptor dependence of Ang-(1-7), A-779 (0.19 μg/kg), the selective MasR antagonist, or vehicle, was administered 30 minutes before Ang-(1-7) (0.058 μg/kg) 14 days after femur inoculation. Spontaneous pain behaviors of flinching and guarding were recorded 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 minutes after administration until animal’s pain behaviors returned to baseline, after 150 minutes. Inhibition of MasR with A-779 alone did not alter spontaneous or evoked pain thresholds; however, pretreatment with A-779 significantly inhibited Ang-(1-7) attenuation of guarding (Fig. 2A, P < 0.01) and flinching (Fig. 2B, P < 0.001). These data suggest that Ang-(1-7) elicits antinociception in established CIBP through actions at MasR.

Because Ang II is the precursor molecule to Ang-(1-7), we next investigated whether the effects of Ang-(1-7) in animals with established CIBP were mediated by the Ang II receptors. Pretreatment with selective antagonists to AT₁ and AT₂, Losartan potassium (Kₜ = 10 nM⁶) and PD 123319 (also known as EMA200) (IC₅₀ = 34 nM⁶), respectively, was performed before Ang-(1-7) administration. Animals were inoculated with 66.1 cells or media, as previously described, and pain behaviors were assessed 14 days after femur inoculation. Mice received either the AT₁ or AT₂ antagonist (0.4 mg/kg, i.p.) 30 minutes before Ang-(1-7) (0.058 μg/kg, i.p.), or vehicle (0.9% saline). In accordance with the above study, Ang-(1-7) administration alone reduced pain behaviors (P < 0.01, n = 7), whereas neither Losartan potassium nor PD 123319 significantly altered pain behaviors when administered on their own. Interestingly, administration of the AT₁ receptor antagonist, Losartan potassium, before Ang-(1-7) yielded a 77.527% maximal possible efficacy (MPE) in reducing guarding (P < 0.0001, n = 7) 30 minutes after administration (Fig. 2C) and an 80.56% MPE in reducing flinching (P < 0.0001, n = 7) 15 minutes after administration (Fig. 2D). However, use of the AT₂ antagonist, PD 123319, before Ang-(1-7) did not further increase, nor did it decrease guarding or flinching of animals with established CIBP (Fig. 2E, F) as compared with the animal group treated with solely Ang-(1-7). Together, these data suggest that the actions of Ang-(1-7) in reducing CIBP are mediated largely through MasR, with some action through the AT₁ receptor.

3.3. Antinociceptive effects of Ang-(1-7) through MasR are maintained after repeated administration

Because sustained administration of many analgesics can lead to the development of tolerance, we examined whether Ang-(1-7) retained antinociceptive activity against CIBP after repeated administration. Ang-(1-7) (0.058 μg/kg, i.p.) was administered daily, beginning 7 days after implantation of 66.1 cells into the femur. Mice were evaluated for CIBP spontaneous pain behaviors on day 7 before drug administration, and on days 10 and 14 after surgery 15 minutes after treatment. Cancer inoculation significantly increased the amount of time spent guarding and number of flinches after surgery (P < 0.0001, n = 12). Animals experienced significant (P < 0.0001, n = 12) reduction in guarding (Fig. 3A) and flinching (Fig. 3B) after Ang-(1-7) treatment on days 10 and 14 after surgery. Vehicle treatment had no significant effect.

Additionally, sustained administration of Ang-(1-7) (0.058 μg/kg, i.p.) had a similar effect on evoked pain response. Cancer inoculation significantly reduced paw withdrawal thresholds 7 days after surgery (P < 0.05, n = 12). Animals experienced significant (P < 0.05, n = 12) increase in the paw withdrawal threshold (Fig. 3E) after Ang-(1-7) treatment on day 14 after surgery but did not achieve significance on day 10. Vehicle treatment had no significant effect. Together, these data suggest that sustained administration of Ang-(1-7) reduces both spontaneous and evoked pain responses in established CIBP on day 14.

To determine whether MasR continued to underlie actions of Ang-(1-7) after repeated dosing, A-779 (0.19 μg/kg) was administered 30 minutes before Ang-(1-7) (0.058 μg/kg) daily 7 to 14 days after cancer inoculation (Fig. 3C, D). Administration of A-779 alone had neither a pronociceptive nor an antinociceptive effect on the mice, and similar to our observations after a single injection, the chronic pretreatment with A779 before Ang-(1-7) prevented attenuation of CIBP by the latter. Together, these data suggest that the reduction in pain behaviors associated with CIBP by repeated dosing of Ang-(1-7) is mediated through MasR.

3.4. Ang-(1-7) administration in established cancer-induced bone pain does not change nesting behaviors

Nesting, an innate behavior in mice, has been shown to be hindered by various states of pain.⁴⁰ Thus, we evaluated the effects of both the arthrotomy and administration of Ang-(1-7) on nesting behavior. Six equally sized pieces of Nestlet were placed into 6 zones of the animals’ individual cages. The number of zones that the animals cleared of the Nestlet pieces was recorded over the 100-minute time course (Fig. 4A). During the first hour of the study, the 66.1-inoculated animals, day 6 after surgery, cleared significantly fewer zones than did the naive animals (P < 0.05). After the second hour of the study, the media animals cleared fewer zones than did both the naive and 66.1-inoculated animals (P < 0.05). A second study was conducted in which 66.1-inoculated animals, day 15 after surgery, were treated with Ang-(1-7) (0.058 μg/kg, i.p.) or vehicle (0.9% saline) (Fig. 4B). The nesting behaviors of both treated cancerous groups did not differ significantly from the naive group. However, at both the 75- and 90-minute time points, the media animals cleared fewer zones than did the other groups (P < 0.05). These data demonstrate that although the nesting of animals with established CIBP alters the nesting behaviors of mice, Ang-(1-7) administration does not further alter these behaviors.
3.5. Ang-(1-7) administration results in antinociception but not motor impairment in naive mice

Previous studies have indicated that Ang-(1-7) has limited antinociceptive efficacy in noninjured animals after peripheral administration using a mechanical force test to the hind paw. We administered Ang-(1-7) systemically (0.360, 1, 10 μg/kg, i.p.) in naive mice and observed a small but significant increase in thermal tail flick latencies. Ang-(1-7) effects peaked between 15 and 30 minutes after administration with 1 μg/kg Ang-(1-7) (MPE = 27.8%, P < 0.001) and 10 μg/kg Ang-(1-7) (MPE = 20.2%, P < 0.01) that returned to baseline between 90 and 120 minutes.

To exclude the possibility that Ang-(1-7) administration reduced mobility to increase tail withdraw latency, rotarod testing was performed. Naive animals were trained to walk on a rotating...
rod for 2 minutes. After training, mice were injected with Ang-(1-7) by either spinal (0.3 pmol/5 μL) or systemic routes (0.058 and 10 μg/kg). No significant differences in rotarod latencies were observed between vehicle- and Ang-(1-7)-treated mice (results not shown; \( P = 0.99 \) i.t.; \( P = 0.18 \) i.p.). Together, these data suggest that systemic Ang-(1-7) is antinociceptive after a single administration without noticeable impact on motility.

3.6. MasR is expressed in the dorsal root ganglion and femur extrudate

Our findings that Ang-(1-7)/MasR alleviates CIBP suggest that MasR is expressed within pain pathways and within the bone tumor microenvironment. We collected the ipsilateral lumbar DRG and femur extrudate from naive, sham, cancer (66.1), and

Figure 3. Antinociceptive effects of Ang-(1-7) through MasR are maintained after repeated administration. Spontaneous pain behaviors guarding (A) and flinching (B) were recorded in a 2-minute period before surgery, after surgery (7 days after inoculation), and after drug administration on days 10 and 14. Daily administration of Ang-(1-7) (0.058 μg/kg, i.p.) significantly reduced spontaneous pain behaviors associated with cancer-induced bone pain (CIBP) \( P < 0.0001 \). Furthermore, tactile allodynia was recorded before surgery, after surgery (7 days after inoculation), and after drug administration on days 10 and 14. Cancer inoculation significantly reduced paw withdrawal thresholds 7 days after surgery \( P < 0.05 \), \( n = 12 \). To investigate receptor dependence, animals were dosed postsurgery days 7 to 14 with A-779 (0.19 μg/kg, i.p.) 30 minutes before administration of Ang-(1-7). Spontaneous pain behaviors guarding (C) and flinching (D) were recorded as previously described. Daily administration of A-779 reversed the effects of Ang-(1-7) in the CIBP model. Animals experienced significant \( P < 0.05 \), \( n = 12 \) increase in the paw withdrawal threshold (E) after Ang-(1-7) treatment on postsurgery day 14. Values represent mean ± SEM, \( n = 12 \) per group.
66.1 Ang-(1-7)-treated mice. In naive BALB/cAnNHsd mice, MasR is expressed in the DRG (Fig. 5A); MasR bands were observed at ~50 and ~40 kDa. Sham surgery (ie, media only) did not significantly alter MasR expression levels in the DRG. Introduction of the murine mammary adenocarcinoma line 66.1 into the femoral intramedullary space did not significantly alter MasR expression in ipsilateral DRG. MasR was also found to be expressed in the femur extrudates of the same mice (Fig. 5C), and cancer inoculation significantly increased the expression of MasR in the femur extrudate at both ~50 and ~40 kDa (P < 0.001 compared with the sham group), whereas sham surgery did not significantly alter the expression of MasR in the femur extrudate as compared with the naive animal group (Fig. 5D).

3.7. Repeated dosing of Ang-(1-7) does not alter tumor burden of mice with established cancer-induced bone pain or alter cell viability in vitro

To determine whether repeated Ang-(1-7) administration altered tumor burden in mice with established CIBP, we assayed a means of reducing the pain behaviors associated with the disease. After chronic administration studies, femurs were harvested from animals, decalcified, and embedded in paraffin blocks before sectioning (5 μm) and hematoxylin and eosin staining (Fig. 6A). The region of the bone containing cancer cells was quantified as a measure of total intramedullary content and represented as a percent of the entire cells within the bone. Repeated Ang-(1-7) administration did not significantly increase, nor did it decrease the percent tumor of the bone (P = 0.3, n = 3-5) as compared with the saline-treated group (Fig. 6B). Thus, repeated Ang-(1-7) administration did not significantly impact tumor burden within the femur.

To verify in vivo findings, we assessed Ang-(1-7) effects on 66.1 cell viability in vitro. 66.1 cells were treated with vehicle, or increasing concentrations of Ang-(1-7) (1, 10, 100, or 1000 ng) for 24 hours, and an XTT cell viability assay was performed. As compared with vehicle-treated cells (relative absorbance ± SD = 1.02 ± 0.09), each of the 4 Ang-(1-7) treatments did not significantly change cell viability (1 ng: 0.93 ± 0.11; 10 ng: 0.93 ± 0.07; 100 ng: 0.78 ± 0.11, 1000 ng: 0.93 ± 0.13). Together, these data indicate that Ang-(1-7) at the doses/concentrations tested neither promotes cell proliferation nor causes cell death both in vivo and in vitro.

3.8. Repeated dosing of Ang-(1-7) does not affect bone remodeling of mice with established cancer-induced bone pain

Radiographic images of all chronically treated animals were taken on days 0, 7, 10, and 14 after surgery to determine whether repeated Ang-(1-7) administration affected bone remodeling in mice with established CIBP (Fig. 7A). Day 14 images were scored by 3 blinded observers with the following scale (Fig. 7B): 0, healthy bone; 1, 1 to 3 lesions; 2, 4 to 6 lesions; 3, unicortical fracture; and 4, bicotical fractures. A healthy bone was defined as one without any visible lesions or fractures, and a lesion was defined as a dark hole-like spot below the epiphysial plate. Although no animals experienced bicolctal fractures, both saline and Ang-(1-7)-treated cancer-inoculated animals experienced unicortical fractures. Media animals (7 of 16) received scores of 0. As another marker of bone remodeling, levels of CTX in the serum were quantified (Fig. 7C). Although cancer-inoculation significantly increased (P < 0.05, n = 3-4) CTX levels in the bone compared with both media controls, repeated Ang-(1-7) administration did not significantly alter CTX levels compared with the 66.1 saline-treated group. Overall, daily Ang-(1-7) administration in mice with established CIBP did not significantly influence bone remodeling of the ipsilateral femur.

4. Discussion

The RAS, widely known for its roles in blood pressure and fluid homeostasis, was recently implicated in several facets of metastatic bone disease including inflammation, angiogenesis, tumor cell proliferation, and migration.45,61 The AT1 and AT2 receptors are reportedly on nociceptive cells of the DRG and are speculated as playing a role in pain3,46,78; yet, the expression of the AT2 receptors on the DRG of mice TRPV1-lineage neurons using RNA-Seq transcriptome analysis demonstrated minimal expression.13 RNA-Seq analysis of the Mas1 receptor demonstrated a low amount in the rat and mouse DRG with a high expression in the hind paw,13 supporting the response seen in
these bone cancer studies. More importantly, the AT2-selective and orally active receptor antagonist, EMA401, demonstrated clinical efficacy in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of patients with postherpetic neuralgia. A similar AT2 selective antagonist has demonstrated significant analgesic activity in a rat model of neuropathic pain and a preclinical model of prostate cancer bone pain by an indirect mechanism of inhibiting NGF-TrkA in the DRG of fibers that innervate the cancer-inoculated tibia. In part, the inhibition by EMA401 of endogenous angiotensin II acting at AT2 receptors resulting in the inhibition of pain suggests that endogenous angiotensin would further be metabolized to the Ang-(1-7) with increased access to the

Figure 5. MasR is expressed in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) and femur extrudate. (A) Ipsilateral DRG were harvested from naive, sham (media inoculated), or chronically treated 66.1-inoculated mice, homogenized, and probed for MasR expression through Western blotting. MasR is expressed in the DRG; MasR bands were observed at ~50 and ~40 kDa (B). Sham surgery (ie, media only) did not alter MasR expression levels in the DRG. 66.1 inoculation increased the expression of MasR in the ipsilateral DRG, although not statistically significant. β-actin serves as the loading control (pools of DRG from n = 3-5 animals). (C) Ipsilateral bone marrow extrudate was harvested from naive, sham (media-inoculated), or cancer-inoculated mice and probed for MasR expression through Western blotting. MasR is expressed in the femur extrudates of mice. MasR bands were observed at ~50 and ~40 kDa. (D) Sham surgery (ie, media only) did not alter MasR expression levels at ~40 or ~50 kDa in the femur extrudate. 66.1 inoculation significantly increased (P < 0.001) the expression of MasR in the ipsilateral femur extrudate. β-actin serves as the loading control (Pools of femur extrudate from n = 3-5 mice).

Figure 6. Repeated dosing of Ang-(1-7) does not alter tumor burden of mice with established cancer-induced bone pain. (A) Femurs were harvested from animals and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The area of the bone containing cancer cells was quantified and presented as a % tumor of the whole bone. (B) Repeated Ang-(1-7) administration did not significantly reduce the percent tumor of the bone (P = 0.3) compared with the saline-treated group. Values represent mean ± SEM, n = 5 to 11 per group.
Mas1 receptor possibly producing its analgesic effects through MasR activation vs AT2 based on our studies. Despite implication of the RAS in metastatic disease and pain, few studies have investigated the MasR/Ang-(1-7) peptide fragment in CIBP. There is evidence that the Mas receptor increases mRNA and protein expression in corresponding DRG after chronic constriction injury to the sciatic nerve and that Ang-(1-7) significantly inhibits neuropathic pain in chronic constriction injury.79 In a model of prostaglandin-induced hyperalgesia, Ang-(1-7) dose-dependently attenuated behavioral signs of pain. 7,9,41 Because of the inflammatory and neuropathic characteristics of bone cancer pain, we chose to investigate the utility of Ang-(1-7) in CIBP. Acute and chronic systemic administration of Ang-(1-7) significantly reduced the spontaneous pain behaviors associated with CIBP. Importantly, repeated administration attenuated CIBP without loss of efficacy after 7 days. However, we did not find any significant change in nesting behaviors with or without treatments, which suggests that nesting is not representative of possible anxiety or depression in mice with CIBP. The Mas receptor antagonist, A-779, significantly prevented the inhibition of guarding and flinching induced by Ang-(1-7) was significantly prevented by the Mas receptor antagonist, A-779. Furthermore, we found that the preadministration of an AT1 receptor antagonist, Losartan, further alleviates CIBP, yet by itself had no significant effect. Although endogenous Ang-(1-7) has 60- to 100-fold greater selectivity for MasR over the AT1 and AT2 receptors,11,58 our results suggest that systemic Ang-(1-7) may bind at both MasR and AT1, which implicates that strong binding at MasR may cause a significant antinociceptive effect whereas weak binding at AT1 may cause Ang-(1-7) to mimic Ang II, resulting in pain. AT1 receptor blockers have been shown to increase angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), which is responsible for the production of endogenous Ang-(1-7), in line with acting at Mas receptors to reduce proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-6 and increase of the anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-10.67–69 This juxtaposition of pain-relieving and pain-causing actions of Ang-(1-7) implicates the full potential of the Ang-(1-7)/MasR axis in reducing CIBP. Additionally, it has been shown that the Mas-protooncogene has known interactions with the AT1 receptors, but not the AT2 receptor, further supporting our results.72 Use of Losartan might be additive in effect to Ang-(1-7) in CIBP because AT1 antagonism inhibits Ang-(1-7) from acting similarly to Ang II at AT1, thereby allowing Ang-(1-7) to bind primarily to MasR to significantly alleviate pain. Our results demonstrate that the AT2 antagonist, PD 123319, did not attenuate the effects of Ang-(1-7) nor result in enhanced pain relief, which suggests that the AT2 receptor may not play a role in CIBP. Our results further suggest that MasR is fully activated by exogenous Ang-(1-7) and cannot be further activated by residual breakdown of Ang II.

Figure 7. Repeated dosing of Ang-(1-7) does not affect bone remodeling of mice with established cancer-induced bone pain. (A) Representative day 14 radiographs of chronically treated animals. (B) Day 14 images were scored by 3 blinded observers with the following scale: 0, healthy bone; 1, 1 to 3 lesions; 2, 4 to 6 lesions; 3, unicortical fracture; and 4, bicortical fractures. Both saline- and Ang-(1-7)-treated cancerous animals experienced unicortical fractures. (C) Levels of carboxy-terminal collagen crosslinks (CTX) in the serum were quantified as another measure of bone remodeling. Although cancer-inoculation significantly increased (P < 0.05) CTX levels in the bone compared with both media controls. Repeated Ang-(1-7) administration did not significantly alter CTX levels compared with the 66.1 saline-treated group.
If MasR is a valid therapeutic target for CIBP and the site of action for Ang-(1-7), one may expect changes in MasR expression within the nociceptive circuit and/or the bone–tumor microenvironment. Our data confirms that MasR is expressed in the DRG of naïve mice, in accordance with a previous report of inflammatory pain, and in the femur extrudate. However, our 2 bands for MasR emerged at ~50 and ~37 kDa; others show MasR at 37 kDa in the retina, 49 49 kDa in the skeletal muscle, 53 and 83 kDa in HEK293T cells. Such inconsistency in the molecular weight is currently unexplained in the literature. However, there are 3 different sites of glycosylation on MasR, which may help to explain the differences in the molecular weight. Although repeated Ang-(1-7) administration did not significantly alter the expression of MasR in the DRG or femur extrudate, its presence in the DRG and femur extrudate in our model supports the role of Ang-(1-7)/MasR as a potential therapeutic target for CIBP. Repeated Ang-(1-7) dosing does not significantly alter MasR expression in the DRG containing soma of fibers innervating the bone–tumor microenvironment, supporting our lack of analgesic tolerance over the treatment paradigm.

Our data indicate that Ang-(1-7) at the Mas receptor is inhibiting pain through the tumor–nociceptor microenvironment and not through the tumor–bone environment because Ang-(1-7) did not significantly change the tumor-induced degradation of the bone. Unlike studies using compounds that modulate bone wasting and the pain that may come from altered osteoclast activity, our chronic studies with Ang-(1-7) did not significantly decrease bone loss. The chronic treatment with Ang-(1-7) did not significantly alter tumor proliferation, which further suggests that the analgesic effect is directly toward inhibiting nociceptive activation and not due to changes in tumor burden.

The exact mechanisms by which Ang-(1-7)/MasR exerts its antinociceptive effect are unknown. In addition to modulating proinflammatory factors and regulating transcription of IL-16, an anti-inflammatory cytokine in the tumor–bone microenvironment, Ang-(1-7)/MasR activation of the MAPK pathway may decrease transcription of norepinephrine transporters, leading to an increase in norepinephrine in the synaptic cleft and/or stimulating endogenous norepinephrine release that activates peripheral adrenoceptors inducing antinociception. Moreover, Ang-(1-7) binding to MasR may inhibit phosphorylation of p38 MAPK. Phosphorylation of spinal p38 MAPK has been observed in chronic injury and therefore a decrease in phospho-p38 MAPK in chronic injury models such as CIBP may prove to yield antinociceptive effect. MasR activation by Ang-(1-7) can activate nitric oxide synthase, increasing intracellular NO and further increase intracellular levels of cyclic GMP (cGMP). cGMP production leads to the activation of ATP-dependent potassium channels, thereby hyperpolarizing the neuron, in addition to blocking voltage-gated calcium channels that will slow or block neurotransmitter release. Together, the actions of Ang-(1-7)/MasR on cGMP levels can suppress nociception through a decrease in neuronal firing of nociceptive fibers. The mechanisms that result in metastatic bone cancer pain have only recently been investigated and include significant increases in cytokines, extracellular glutamate, and nerve growth factor/TrkA-induced, as well as neuronal sprouting of sensory and sympathetic fibers. Yet, there are no studies that have investigated whether Ang1-7/MasR alters neuronal sprouting or spontaneous activity of sensory neurons. Finally, the Mas receptor can dimerize with the AT1 receptor, where it acts as an antagonist to the receptor. In doing so, such dimerization prevents Ang II from binding, resulting in the possible inhibition of its pronociceptive effects. In a similar fashion, we have shown that the AT1 receptor antagonist is useful in inhibiting Ang-(1-7) from binding in place of Ang II to optimize the antinociceptive effects of this peptide. Further research is warranted to elucidate the exact mechanism by which the Ang-(1-7)/MasR axis is acting to reduce CIBP.

Our results support further investigation of the Mas receptor as a target for cancer therapeutics. Recently, it has been discussed that the GPCR of the RAS play a significant role in breast cancer, and most specifically the Ang-(1-7)/MasR axis, as it has been shown to be protective against cancer. Stimulation of MasR through Ang-(1-7) treatment has been shown to have antiproliferative effects against tumor growth without major effects. Ang-(1-7) treatment in a metastatic prostate cancer model has been shown to lead to a reduction of osteoclastogenesis, suggesting that Ang-(1-7) prevents the formation of osteolytic lesions. Although our sustained studies using a syngeneic murine model of breast cancer did not result in a significant reduction in tumor proliferation or attenuation in tumor-induced bone loss, further investigation of doses, pharmacokinetics, and receptor selectivity is further needed to identify tumor survival in the bone–tumor microenvironment and the mechanism(s) of pain inhibition. Thus, the use of Ang-(1-7) in treating CIBP is highly favorable and a safe alternative or adjuvant therapeutic to the current clinical treatments. Together, our data support the use of Ang-(1-7), perhaps in conjunction with Losartan, as an alternative therapeutic strategy for CIBP.
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