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Abstract: Additive manufacturing (AM) of metals is stimulating the tool making industry. Moreover, besides the production of lost forms, AM processes are now being used to directly generate tools, molds or parts, leading to massive time savings. In the case of material development for AM, the challenge is to operate with carbon-containing iron-based materials distinguished by high strength and hardness, as well as high corrosion resistance and thermal conductivity. Often, those materials are susceptible to crack formation during processing. Using Electron Beam Powder Bed Fusion (PBF-EB), the challenge of crack formation can be overcome by using high process temperatures in the range 800–900 °C. In this paper, results on the processing of a cold-working tool steel (X65MoCrW3-2) and a hot-working steel (X37CrMoV5-1) will be presented. These include the processing window, processing strategies to minimize the density of cracks and properties with respect to microstructure and hardness.
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1. Introduction

The term tool steel refers to a group of iron-based alloys suitable for manufacturing shaping tools. These steels are characterized by their high hardness, yield strength and resistance against abrasion. To achieve these properties, special care needs to be taken during the manufacturing of tool steels to yield high purity and homogeneity. The subgroup of cold-working tool steels is intended for operating temperatures below 200 °C. Generally, high content of carbon and alloying elements increase hardenability. Furthermore, high carbide content increases abrasion resistance but lowers toughness. High-speed steels extend the application range to higher temperatures, thus enabling their use at higher cutting speed. This is achieved by adding chromium, tungsten, molybdenum, and vanadium. In contrast, hot-working steels exhibit hardness, strength, and toughness at elevated temperatures up to approximately 100 °C below their annealing temperature. This is achieved using a low carbon content but a substantial amount of alloying elements such as chromium and tungsten. Resistance to thermal shock and optimum thermal conductivity are additional properties desired in hot-working steels.

The additive manufacturing of tool steels by powder bed fusion (PBF) offers several benefits. First, geometrically complex parts can be fabricated in near-net shape. This enables the thermal optimization of tools by the adoption of conformal cooling channels. In addition, direct additive fabrication on demand leads to shorter production cycles and aids faster product development. Finally, with small melt pools and very high solidification rates, PBF technologies have the potential to suppress segregation tendencies and fabricate highly homogeneous material.

Two main PBF processes exist: Laser Beam Powder Bed Fusion (PBF-LB) and Electron Beam Powder Bed Fusion (PBF-EB). They share the principle of generation of thin powder layers and selective melting by a scanning beam. In PBF-LB the heat source is a laser, while in PBF-EB an electron beam is employed. The energy transfer is different, such that the
electron beam penetrates deeper into the particulate material. Therefore PBF-EB is more preferable for the melting of materials like pure copper or aluminum. Their high thermal conductivity and the reflection of the laser can cause process instabilities and require special lasers in PBF-LB [1]. Further challenges arise upon high residual stresses in the final parts due to fast cooling rates and thermal gradients for both technologies. For process stability, in PBF-EB a light sintering of the powder bed is required before the melting step [2]. The sintering is accompanied by an increased temperature in the powder bed of up to about 1000 °C, thus leading to reduced residual stresses in the resulting parts. Due to the lack of powder bed heating in PBF-LB, brittle materials like nickel-based, some aluminum, and refractory alloys or carbon-rich tool steels have a greater propensity for crack formation and part distortion compared to PBF-EB [3–6]. Therefore, substrate heating or special scanning strategies are used to avoid excess residual stress formation [3,7,8]. However, due to the generally finer powders in PBF-LB, the risk of sintering due to substrate heating must be avoided, whereas temperatures like those for PBF-EB are not recommended. Additionally, the PBF-EB process operates under vacuum, while PBF-LB operates under an inert atmosphere typically.

The best studied and most widely used tool steels for PBF are carbon-free maraging steels, such as 13Ni-300 [9,10]. A wide range of properties, both as-built and after heat treatment, have been reported. Influencing factors are seen in powder properties, scan strategies, processing parameters, and choice of suitable heat treatment. Optimum tensile strength reached 1300 MPa as-built and 2100 MPa heat-treated. However, ductility and toughness remained markedly below conventional material.

The processing of carbon-bearing tool steels by PBF has been investigated in several studies. However, due to the formation of internal stresses and cracks during cooling, these attempts proved challenging [11,12]. The majority of studies have focused on the widely used X40CrMoV5-1 (AISI H13) steel. In PBF-LB, preheating the base plate to about 300 °C was found to be crucial for the suppression of cracks. This is explained by lowered thermal gradients and reduced thermal stresses. 99.7% density has been reached for a volumetric laser energy density of 60 J/mm³ [13]. The as-built microstructure consists of dendrites with retained austenite in the interdendritic regions. The cell sizes depend on process parameters and range from 0.5 µm to 2 µm. Using atom probe tomography an enrichment of the alloying elements V, Cr, Mn, Mo, and C in the interdendritic regions is established. In the as-built state, hardness values from 570 HV up to 745 HV have been reported. The range of the measured tensile properties is accordingly wide. Using a preheating temperature of 400 °C and an ultimate tensile strength of 1965 MPa was established [14]. This is comparable to heat-treated conventional material, reflecting the fully martensitic state of this material. Nevertheless, the elongation at break is significantly lower, at 4.1%. The positive effect of post treatment, especially hot isostatic pressing, on PBF-LB-fabricated X40CrMoV5-1 has been shown [15]. The PBF-EB processing of X40CrMoV5-1 has been demonstrated as well [16]. Hardness values of 46 HRC to 50 HRC and an ultimate tensile strength of 1400 MPa have been established [17]. The mechanical properties, especially the elongation at fracture, are influenced by the defect structure [18]. A needle-like microstructure, likely consisting of bainite and martensite, is observed. A distinct influence of build height on as-built hardness is found.

Concerning X37CrMoV5-1 (AISI H11), several studies using PBF-LB have been published, but none using PBF-EB. The PBF-LB processing and the obtained microstructure is very similar to X40CrMoV5-1 [19]. Without the need for a quench-hardening step, the ultimate tensile strength after annealing reached 2148 MPa, and the elongation at break 8.8% [20].

From the group of cold-working tool steels, X65MoCrWV3-2 has been processed using PBF-LB [21]. A porosity below 0.5% was achieved, and the crack density was reduced by means of preheating. The microstructure shows of fine cellular-dendritic subgrains superimposed with martensite. Inhomogeneous hardness distribution indicates in situ tempering effects by melting of subsequent layers. As-built hardness was in the 620 HV0.1
to 740 HV0.1 range [22]. The highly alloyed cold-working tool steel Uddeholm Vanadis 4 Extra (C: 1.4, Cr: 4.7, Mo: 3.5, and V: 3.7 in wt-%) has been additively processed using PBF-EB [23,24]. Employing powder bed temperatures up to 1000 °C and area energies between 1.5 and 2.0 J/mm², dense specimens were obtained. The fine grains were elongated in build direction and carbides precipitated along the grain boundaries. As-built hardness was 55 HRC to 58 HRC.

Belonging to the group of high-speed steels, AISI M2 was examined for processing in PBF. For PBF-LB, the heating of the base plate to at least 200 °C was found to be crucial for the production of crack-free parts [3]. A maximum density of 99.8% was achieved. This was attributed to reduced thermal gradients. The as-built material contains significant amounts of austenite. Applying a remelting strategy, this was converted into martensite, resulting in up to 64 HRC hardness. The microstructure formed during rapid solidification of M2 in PBF-LB was studied in detail using transmission electron microscopy [25]. Three different zones consisting of ferrite, ferrite/austenite, and ferrite/austenite/martensite have been identified. The ferrite lattice constant was found enlarged by V, Cr, Mo, W, and Si. Recently, the columnar-to-equiaxed transition in PBF-LB of M2 was studied [26]. An experimental parameter study was used together with numerical model predictions to construct a process map with columnar and highly equiaxed regions.

This study aims at applying the advantages of PBF-EB, namely the high preheat temperature of the powder bed, the low risk of contamination from the atmosphere, and the comparatively high build rate, to a cold-working tool steel (X65MoCrWV3-2) and a hot-working steel (X37CrMoV5-1). To this end, PBF-EB process parameters were developed, resulting in respective parameter windows. Initially, the build quality was assessed by metallographic analysis of porosity and microstructure. The chemical composition of PBF-EB material was compared to the feedstock. The tensile properties of PBF-EB X37CrMoV5-1 were characterized. Hardness was measured for both materials in the as-built and heat-treated state.

2. Materials and Methods

Gas atomized feedstock was obtained in the particle fraction 50–150 µm from Dörrenberg Edelstahl GmbH (X65MoCrWV3-2) and in the particle fraction 45–125 µm from Deutsche Edelstahlerwerke Specialty Steel GmbH & Co KG (X37CrMoV5-1, Printdur 2343). The particle size distributions of the powder were characterized according to ISO 13320 in a laser scattering Horiba LA950 analyzer (Horiba Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). Flowability was measured for 50 g of powder by means of a calibrated funnel with 2.54 mm diameter (Hall flowmeter, DIN ISO 4490). Apparent density was established by fill density funnel method (DIN EN ISO 3923-1). Tap density was measured on a Scott volumeter according to DIN ISO 3953. The chemical composition of powder and solid specimen was measured using a Thermo Scientific 6300 DUO ICP-OES (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) after solving the sample in hydrochloric acid. For O and N TCH600/LECO and for C CS230/LECO were used.

PBF-EB was performed on an Arcam A2X (Arcam AB, Gothenburg, Sweden) machine using software version EBM Control 3.2. Before starting a build process, the chamber was evacuated to at least 1 × 10⁻⁴ mbar. Afterwards, helium pressure of 2 × 10⁻³ mbar was employed. The acceleration voltage of the electron gun was 60 kV. At the beginning of a build job, a 150 mm × 150 mm steel start plate was heated to 875 °C, as measured by thermocouple underneath. The choice of this temperature facilitates sintering of the powder around the start plate. The addition of each layer started by raking a powder film of 70 µm nominal thickness. The fresh powder was preheated by fast scanning with a defocused electron beam. For melting a cross snake scan strategy was chosen. Beam currents ranging from 3 mA to 25 mA were used, corresponding to 200 W to 1500 W beam power. Scan speeds employed were between 750 mm/s and 10,000 mm/s. Hatch distances between 0.05 mm and 0.2 mm were considered. After each layer, the principal scan direction was rotated 90°. Upon completion, the build was cooled down in the
chamber and excess powder was removed by nitrogen jet blasting. For recycling, the excess powder was screened using a 180 μm sieve.

Selected specimens were heat-treated. X65MoCrWV3-2 samples were austenitized at 1050 °C for 20 min before quenching in water [27]. For X37CrMoV5-1 hardening consisted of austenitizing at 1030 °C for 20 min followed by oil quenching [28]. To increase toughness, tempering was done twice at 500 °C, 550 °C, and 600 °C, respectively, for 2 h. Hardness was measured on an Innovatest Falcon 500 (HV10) (Innovatest GmbH, Selktant-Heilder, Germany) according to DIN EN ISO 6507-1. For tensile tests flat specimens with 2 mm thickness, 6 mm thickness, and 24 mm length of the reduced section according to DIN EN ISO 6892-1 were cut from blanks using electrical discharge machining. Tensile tests were performed on a Zwick 1476 machine (ZwickRoell GmbH & Co. KG, Ulm, Germany) at room temperature and a deformation rate of 0.45 mm/min. Seven specimen were tested for both in build direction (z) and perpendicular to it (x,y). For metallographic analysis, specimens were cut, mounted and polished. To reveal the microstructure, polished samples were etched with V2A etchant. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) were performed on a Zeiss EVO 50 XVP (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany). Thermal diffusivity was measured on 10 mm × 10 mm × 2.5 mm cuboids using a Netzsch LFA 447 Nanoflash (Netzsch-Gerätebau GmbH, Selb, Germany).

3. Results
3.1. X65MoCrWV3-2

A coarse fraction of the gas-atomized tool steel powder was used in these experiments. A chemically identical, but finer fraction was used for PBF-LB experiments in [21]. Some characteristics of the as-received powder are listed in Table 1. The measured $D_{90}$ exceeds the specification. Microscopic images revealed deviations from spherical shape, specifically elongated particles, agglomerates, and satellites. Multiple recycling steps during the experiments removed some of the larger particles.

Table 1. Properties of the X65MoCrWV3-2 powder.

| Dimension          | Value     |
|--------------------|-----------|
| $D_{10}$           | 63.6 μm   |
| $D_{50}$           | 93.5 μm   |
| $D_{90}$           | 166.8 μm  |
| Apparent density   | 4.12 ± 0.00 g/cm$^3$ |
| Tap density        | 4.72 ± 0.08 g/cm$^3$ |
| Hall flow (50 g)   | 18.5 ± 0.0 s |
| Carbon content     | 0.6 wt-%  |

The first aim of the parameter development was to fabricate test cubes with close to full density. To compare different parameter sets, the volumetric energy density $E_V$, defined as

$$E_V = \frac{P}{v h t},$$

has proved useful. It is the ratio between beam power $P$, scan speed $v$, hatch distance $h$ and layer thickness $t$. As in other materials, a minimum $E_V$ is necessary for high density. For X65MoCrWV3-2, the chosen layer thickness and preheat temperature this is approximately 25 J/mm$^3$. On the other hand, too high $E_V$ above 50 J/mm$^3$ and high beam power will lead to swelling of the top surface.

Despite many high-density samples featuring smooth top surfaces, metallographic cross-sections revealed fine cracks, predominantly oriented in build direction. The density of those intergranular cracks was highest for large hatch spacings when keeping $E_V$ constant [29]. Microscopic analysis revealed the segregation of refractory elements Molybdenum, Tungsten, and Vanadium to the grain boundaries as shown in Figure 1. There, the formation of brittle carbide precipitates likely initiates the cracks.
Figure 1. SEM image of PBF-EB-processed X65MoCrWV3-2. The arrow marks the build direction. The table on the right side represents the elemental composition determined at the respective spots using EDX.

For smaller hatch distances the temperature gradient is modified, and crack-free samples could be obtained. The process window in Figure 2 summarizes the results for 50 µm hatch distance. Within this, the formation of cracks was observed mainly for high scan speeds. Samples with more than 0.5% porosity are denoted as porous.

Figure 2. PBF-EB process window for X65MoCrWV3-2 for 70 µm layer thickness and 50 µm hatch distance. Full circles mark dense specimens, while open diamonds stand for porous materials and full squares for materials with cracks.

Figure 3 represents the microstructure of a crack-free and dense specimen fabricated with $E_V$ 49 J/mm$^3$. The grains are elongated in the build direction to some extent. Their orientation deviates from the build direction in an alternating manner, suggesting the pattern was caused by the regularly changing scan direction. Other samples examined microscopically featured close to equiaxed grains. The chemical composition of the specimens has been analyzed and no difference was found to feedstock composition. The pick-up of contaminants such as O and N is negligible due to the vacuum environment in the working chamber. The measured oxygen content of the as-received powder is 100 ppm. After 20 build jobs the oxygen reaches 200 ppm. The same concentration of 200 ppm oxygen is
detected in the final part. The carbon content of the as-received powder is 0.61 wt-% and 0.59 wt-% in the PBF-EB material.

![Optical Micrograph](image)

**Figure 3.** Optical micrograph of metallographic cross-section of PBF-EB processed X65MoCrWV3-2. Build direction is vertical. The main processing parameters were beam power 600 W, scan speed 3500 mm/s, and hatch distance 50 µm.

In the as-built state, a hardness of 475 HV1 was measured for the PBF-EB X65MoCrWV3-2. This value did not change upon tempering up to 500 °C, indicating a microstructure free of martensite. In contrast, the hardness after quench-hardening is 825 HV1. Tempering the hardened samples at 400 °C leads to a reduction to 650 HV1. A secondary hardening maximum is observed at 550 °C tempering temperature with 675 HV1 hardness.

### 3.2. X37CrMoV5-1

Some fundamental properties of the virgin powder are summarized in Table 2. The powder was found suitable for processing with the Arcam PBF-EB equipment in its standard configuration.

**Table 2.** Properties of the X37CrMoV5-1 powder.

| Dimension        | Value               |
|------------------|---------------------|
| \( D_{10} \)     | 65.6 µm             |
| \( D_{50} \)     | 89.4 µm             |
| \( D_{90} \)     | 141.1 µm            |
| Apparent density | 4.11 ± 0.00 g/cm³   |
| Tap density      | 4.76 ± 0.00 g/cm³   |
| Hall flow (50 g) | 17.1 ± 0.1 s        |

Building cubes with 15 mm edge length, the melt parameter development was performed for 70 µm layer thickness and 100 µm hatch distance. To this end, the beam power was varied between 300 W to 750 W, while scan speeds ranging from 750 mm/s to 7500 mm/s were employed, as shown in Figure 4. Lack of fusion porosity is observed in the specimens, with \( E_V \) up to 28 J/mm³. The porosity in these samples exceeds 0.5%. Noticeable swelling of the melt surface with more than 1 mm deviation from planarity appears at higher beam power and \( E_V \) of 57 J/mm³, marking another limit to the process window.
Figure 4. PBF-EB process window for X37CrMoV5-1 for 70 µm layer thickness and 100 µm hatch distance. Full circles mark dense specimen, while open diamonds stand for porous material and full triangles for strong swelling.

Metallographic analysis revealed the absence of microscopic cracks in all specimens analyzed. This includes further experiments with smaller hatch distances of 50 µm and 75 µm. In dense samples, the remaining porosity is of spherical shape with diameters below 10 µm and has a volume fraction below 0.1%. Figure 5 shows the microstructure of a typical dense specimen using build parameters chosen for the tensile specimen. The observed grain sizes exhibit a wide range. Compared to X65MoCrWV3-2 the grains are coarser. Again, a certain elongation of the grain shape is present as well as an alternating misorientation with respect to the build direction.

Figure 5. Optical micrograph of metallographic cross-section of PBF-EB processed X37CrMoV5-1. Build direction is vertical. The main processing parameters were beam power 480 W, scan speed 1530 mm/s and hatch distance 50 µm.

Table 3 presents a comparison of the main alloying element content of the powder feedstock and the PBF-EB material. Within the error of measurement, it can be stated that the additive process did not alter the alloy composition.
Table 3. Chemical composition of the X37CrMoV5-1 powder and PBF-EB specimen. All values in wt-%.

|        | C    | Cr   | Mn   | Mo   | V    |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Powder | 0.43 | 5.82 ± 0.03 | 0.72 ± 0.002 | 1.35 ± 0.01 | 0.46 ± 0.002 |
| PBF-EB material | 0.42 | 5.90 ± 0.02 | 0.66 ± 0.003 | 1.40 ± 0.01 | 0.46 ± 0.005 |

Tensile tests were performed on PBF-EB X37CrMoV5-1 specimen in as-built condition in build direction and perpendicular to it. Figure 6 shows the data set tested in build direction. Table 4 contains the numerical values. The difference between the two testing directions is very small. Young’s modulus is 185 GPa, yield strength approximately 950 MPa, ultimate tensile strength 1800 MPa and elongation at break 4.5%. The variation of the latter two is significantly larger in build direction.

![Stress–strain curves of tensile test of PBF-EB processed X37CrMoV5-1 tested in build direction (N = 7).](image)

Table 4. Tensile properties of the X37CrMoV5-1 PBF-EB specimen.

|        | E   | YTS | UTS | A   |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Z      | 184 ± 4 GPa | 952 ± 7 MPa | 1796 ± 61 MPa | 4.5 ± 1.0% |
| X,Y    | 185 ± 6 GPa | 937 ± 13 MPa | 1805 ± 22 MPa | 4.5 ± 0.5% |

The thermal conductivity of the PBF-EB X37CrMoV5-1 material in as-built condition as calculated from thermal diffusivities of 5.34 mm²/s and 5.45 m²/s respectively, is 19.3 W/m·K in build direction and 19.7 W/m·K perpendicular to it.

The hardness of the PBF-EB X37CrMoV5-1 after quench-hardening is 696 HV10. Upon tempering, this is reduced, as detailed in Table 5.

Table 5. Vickers hardness of the heat-treated X37CrMoV5-1 PBF-EB specimen.

|        | Quench-Hardened 500 °C | 550 °C | 600 °C |
|--------|------------------------|--------|--------|
| (HV 10)| 696 ± 12               | 689 ± 4 | 619 ± 3 | 470 ± 2 |
4. Discussion

4.1. X65MoCrWV3-2

The additive manufacturing of X65MoCrWV3-2 by PBF-EB was successfully demonstrated for the first time. The susceptibility of the material to crack formation necessitated careful choice of the process parameters. Dense and crack-free parts were obtained for small hatch distances of 50 µm, moderate scan speeds and $E_V$ of 40 J/mm$^3$ to 50 J/mm$^3$. The explanation is seen in the influence of hatch spacing and scan speed on grain size and elongation. The observed microstructure shown in Figure 3 is homogeneous. Compared to processing by PBF-LB at much lower powder bed temperatures of 200 °C to 300 °C [11,21] the PBF-EB-built material lacks the presence of martensite and features coarser grains. This is reflected in the hardness of the as-built material. In the PBF-EB samples the hardness is 475 HV1 compared to approximately 700 HV0.1 in PBF-LB processed material.

During the additive process the chemical composition of the steel did not change significantly. Upon quench-hardening and tempering, hardness values were obtained that are practically identical to conventional material. A maximum hardness of 675 HV1 is observed after tempering at 550 °C.

It is worth noting that the developed process is suitable for fabrication of complex shaped parts. Figure 7a shows a feasibility demonstrator with overhangs that was manufactured without any support structures. Using the optimum parameter set for high-density and crack-free material, a build rate of 12 cm$^3$/h is achieved.

![Figure 7. Demonstrator parts. (a) 130 mm long test piece manufactured by PBF-EB from X65MoCrWV3-2, demonstrating the ability to fabricate overhangs with angles up to 50° without support structures. (b) Demonstrator part with complex internal channels fabricated by PBF-EB from X37CrMoV5-1. The main diameter is 90 mm.](image)

4.2. X37CrMoV5-1

PBF-EB processing of X37CrMoV5-1 resulted in high-density material. No cracks were found. While the resulting microstructure depicted in Figure 5 is characterized by grains elongated in build direction, the measured tensile properties are close to isotropic. Comparing the results to PBF-LB processed X37CrMoV5-1, fundamental differences appear. The PBF-LB microstructure is predominantly bainitic [11]. Correspondingly, the as-built hardness is 642 HV1 high. Ultimate tensile strength up to 2000 MPa was measured in build direction, but only 1000 MPa and very brittle behavior perpendicular to that. Due to the much higher powder bed temperature, the PBF-EB material reaches only 1800 MPa UTS, but exhibits a ductility level of 4.5% in all directions. After hardening and annealing at 550 °C the PBF-LB material has a hardness of 585 HV1, while that of the PBF-EB material is 619 HV10. In comparison to PBF-EB processed X40CrMoV5-1 [17] a 400-MPa-higher UTS was achieved in this study.

Benchmarking the PBF-EB material against conventionally fabricated X37CrMoV5-1, the hardness of the heat-treated additively manufactured steel is significantly higher than the 52 HRC to 54 HRC stated for annealing temperature up to 550 °C [28]. In addition, the as-built ultimate tensile strength of the PBF-EB material is higher than the 1500 MPa of the
conventional material. The thermal conductivity of the as-built material is approximately 20% lower than the conventional steel [30].

In Figure 7b a demonstrator part built with the above-mentioned parameters is displayed. It features an internal channel structure with multiple bends, from which powder was removed successfully. The build rate for X37CrMoV5-1 is 30 cm³/h.

5. Conclusions

The results demonstrate the feasibility of the PBF-EB technology for additive manufacturing of the tool steels X65MoCrWV3-2 and X37CrMoV5-1. A fundamental finding is that after heat treatment, the PBF-EB tool steels can match their conventionally fabricated counterparts in important properties such as hardness. Further conclusions are

1. For X65MoCrWV3-2 a narrow process window for the fabrication of dense, crack-free parts exists. At 50 µm hatch distance this corresponds to a volumetric energy density of ~50 J/mm³.
2. Higher scan speeds and hatch distances result in crack formation.
3. In PBF-EB X37CrMoV5-1 no cracks were detected. Therefore, a wider range of scan speeds and hatch distances can be applied.
4. No significant increase in impurities was detected after the PBF-EB process. In addition, the alloy composition did not change.
5. The as-built microstructure is fine-grained and free of martensite.

In the future a more comprehensive characterization of the additively manufactured steels will be necessary to understand their properties fully.
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