Comparison of tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate and di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid toxicities in a rat 28-day oral exposure study
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Abstract
Tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate (TEHP, CAS no. 78-42-2) is a plasticizer and a flame retardant, while di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid (DEHPA, CAS no. 298-07-7) is an oil additive and extraction solvent. Publicly-available information on repeated exposure to these two related organophosphate compounds is fragmentary. Hence, adult male and female Fischer rats were exposed to TEHP (300, 1000 and 3000 mg/kg body weight [BW]/day) or DEHPA (20, 60 and 180 mg/kg BW/day) by gavage for 28 consecutive days, to assess and compare their toxicities. Although significantly impaired BW gains and evidence of TEHP enzymatic hydrolysis to DEHPA were observed only in males, exposures to the highest TEHP and DEHPA doses often resulted in similar alterations of hematology, serum clinical chemistry and liver enzymatic activities in both males and females. The squamous epithelial hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis observed in the non-glandular forestomach of rats exposed to the middle and high DEHPA doses were most likely caused by the slightly corrosive nature of this chemical. Although tubular degeneration and spermatid retention were observed only in the testes of males exposed to the highest TEHP dose, numerous periodic acid-Schiff stained crystalline inclusions were observed in testis interstitial cells at all TEHP dose levels. No-observed-adverse-effect levels for TEHP and DEHPA are proposed, but the lower serum pituitary hormone levels resulting from TEHP and DEHPA exposures and the perturbations of testicular histology observed in TEHP-treated males deserve further investigation. Improved characterization of the toxicity of flame retardants will contribute to better informed substitution choices for legacy flame retardants phased-out over health concerns.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate (TEHP) is a plasticizer and flame retardant mostly used in polyvinyl chloride and other polymers, while di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid (DEHPA) has found applications as an additive in industrial and automotive gear oils and in metal extraction processes (Stuer-Lauridsen et al., 2001). TEHP and DEHPA are categorized as high-production volume chemicals in the United States, with aggregate import and production ranging from 1 to 10 million pounds in 2015 (https://chemview.epa.gov/chemview). The European Chemicals Agency reports annual tonnage bands of 1000-10,000 tons for TEHP and 100-1000 tons for DEHPA (www.echa.europa.eu).

The acute toxicity of TEHP in rat is low (LD₅₀ > 37 g/kg body weight [BW]) (Smyth & Carpenter, 1948), but removing a 2-ethylhexyl group from the phosphate core increases the acute toxicity of the resulting DEHPA by about an order of magnitude (LD₅₀ = 4.9 g/kg BW) (Verschueren, 2001). Besides the occupational exposure of industrial workers to DEHPA, the general population can also be exposed to this chemical, which is present as an impurity in technical grade TEHP (Van Esch & World Health Organisation, 2000). Moreover, TEHP may also be enzymatically hydrolyzed to DEHPA in vivo, as suggested by the metabolism of other organophosphate compounds (Kluwe, Huff, Matthews, Irvin, & Haseman, 1985; Van Esch & World Health Organisation, 2000).

Although TEHP carcinogenicity was comprehensively studied in the eighties (National Toxicology Program, 1984), most of the publicly-available in vivo toxicity data used for human health risk assessment are much older (MacFarland & Punte, 1966; Smyth & Carpenter, 1948). Information on DEHPA toxicity is also limited. The few published studies describing in vivo rodent exposures to DEHPA were limited to 4 or 5 days, did not report consumption of DEHPA-spiked food and narrowly focused on hepatic functions (Lundgren & DePierre, 1987; Lundgren, Meijer, Birberg, Piolotti, & DePierre, 1988; Lundgren, Meijer, & DePierre, 1987).

Given the limited peer-reviewed literature on TEHP and DEHPA, human health risk assessments may benefit from a methodical investigation of their in vivo toxicities. Consequently, adult male and female Fischer rats were exposed to TEHP or DEHPA by daily gavage for 28 consecutive days. Rat health and weight gains were monitored and urinary excretion of TEHP and DEHPA was measured. At the end of the dosing period, hematology, serum clinical chemistry, liver enzymatic activities and histopathology were assessed, allowing for a direct comparison of the effects of these related compounds on a broad range of endpoints.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Materials

TEHP (cat no. 289922, lot no. MKBF6306V, 99.7% purity) and DEHPA (cat no. 237825, lot no. MKBK0176V, 99.7% purity) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Corn oil (Mazola brand, ACH foods) was obtained from a local grocery store. Unless otherwise stated, reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2 | Animal treatment

Experimental procedures followed the Canadian Council on Animal Care guidelines and were approved by Health Canada’s Institutional Animal Care Committee before the initiation of the study (HCO-ACC protocol no. 2014-020). The animal exposure phase was based on OECD Test Guideline 407 (OECD, 2008). Pair-housed Fischer rats (Charles River Laboratories) were acclimated to the facility (Health Guard® cages [Research Equipment Co.] with ad libitum access to food [Harlan Teklad 2014] and water in a room maintained at 22 ± 1°C and 50% ± 10% humidity on a 12-hour light cycle [06:00-18:00 hours]) for 2 weeks. Male (218 ± 13 g) and female (153 ± 5 g) rats (approximately 11 weeks old) were then randomly divided into seven treatment groups of 14 animals (seven males and seven females), housed singly and weighed daily thereafter. Based on a pilot dose range finding study, rats were administered TEHP (300, 1000 or 3000 mg/kg BW) or DEHPA (20, 60 or 180 mg/kg BW) in a constant volume of corn oil (5 mL/kg BW) by gavage for 28 consecutive days. Rats from the control group received the same weight-adjusted volume of corn oil. On the 21st day of dosing, rats spent the night (17:00-06:00 hours) in a metabolic cage to collect urine and feces.

Rats were fasted on the night following the 28th daily dosing and euthanized under isoflurane anesthesia the next morning. Blood withdrawn from the abdominal aorta was used for hematology and serum clinical chemistry analyses. Heart, liver, spleen, kidneys, adrenals, thymus and thyroid were weighed. In males, testes, epididymis, prostate and seminal glands were weighed, while ovaries and uterus were weighed in females. A 2 g piece of liver was excised, homogenized in 3 mL of 0.05 M Tris/0.15% KCl buffer, pH 7.4 and centrifuged at 9000 g for 20 minutes to obtain the S9 fraction. Sera and liver S9 fractions were stored at −80°C until use.

2.3 | Hematology and clinical chemistry

An AcT 5 Diff hematology analyzer (Beckman Coulter) was used for the determination of the following hematological parameters: white blood cells (WBC), red blood cells, hemoglobin, hematocrit, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), platelet, mean platelet volume (MPV), neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, eosinophils and basophils. An ABX Pentra 400 clinical analyzer (Horiba ABX Inc.) was used to measure total protein, albumin, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), bilirubin, calcium, inorganic phosphate, cholesterol, triglycerides, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) in serum. To test for vitamin B6 deficiency, ALT and AST
activities were reassessed in serum samples supplemented with 160 μmol pyridoxal 5’-phosphate/L (Jung, Mildner, Jacob, Scholz, & Precht, 1981). Liver S9 extracts were used to assess ethoxysorufin-O-deethylase (EROD) activity following the protocol of Lubet, Nims, Mayer, Cameron, and Schemtman (1985), and benzoxyresorufin-O-dealkylase (BROD) and pentoxyresorufin-O-dealkylase activities as described by Burke et al. (1985).

Serum acetylcholinesterase activity was determined using a standard spectrophotometric method (Ellman, Courtney, Andres, & Featherstone, 1961) with some modifications. Briefly, 30 μL of diluted serum was added in triplicate to the wells of a microtiter plate containing 250 μL of 0.1 m sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0 and 10 μL of 5,5’-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (0.32 mM final concentration). After a 10-minute pre-incubation at room temperature with agitation, 30 μL of acetylthioline iodide substrate (1.0 mM final concentration) was added. The plate was immediately read at 412 nm with readings every 2 minutes for 10 minutes (Spectramax M2; Molecular Devices). A standard curve of reduced glutathione was used to determine the change in absorbance per unit of -SH (Padilla, Lassiter, & Hunter, 1999). Testosterone and estradiol levels were assessed using ALPCO enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits (cat. no. 55-TESMS-E01 and 55-ESTRT-E01), gonadotropin-releasing hormone using a BIOMATIK enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (cat. no. EK39138), while prolactin, luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone were assessed using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (cat. no. EKC39138), while prolactin, luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone were assessed using ALPCO enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits (cat. no. 55-TESMS-E01 and 55-ESTRT-E01), gonadotropin-releasing hormone using a BIOMATIK enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (cat. no. EK39138), while prolactin, luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone.

2.4 Histopathology

All tissues (brain, pituitary gland, thymus, ovaries, cervix, vagina) except testes were fixed in Modified Davidson Solution (cat. no. 64133-50) from Electron Microscopy Sciences for 48 hours and then transferred to 10% neutral buffered formalin. Deparaffinized sections were stained using Modified Mayer's hematoxylin (Thermo Fisher Scientific; cat. no. 72804) and Instant Eosin (Thermo Fisher Scientific; cat. no. 72804). Testes were fixed in Modified Davidson Solution (cat. no. 64133-50) from Electron Microscopy Sciences for 48 hours and then transferred to 10% neutral buffered formalin. Deparaffinized 5-μm testis sections were stained using periodic acid (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. 395132) and Schiff's reagents (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. 3952016), followed by counterstaining with Instant Hematoxylin (Thermo Fisher Scientific; cat. no. 6765015). The tissues were assessed by pathologists using available guidelines from INHAND (International Harmonization of Nomenclature and Diagnostic Criteria for Lesions in Rats and Mice) using a subjective rating for each lesion based on a scaling system recommended by Mann et al. (2012). High-dose and vehicle control groups were evaluated first, followed by middle- and low-dose treatment groups if significant lesions were observed in the high-dose treatment groups.

Two brain coronal sections (one at optic chiasma level and the other at mid-cerebellum level, including medulla) were assessed as described by Kaufmann et al. (2012). One section from the caudodorsal surface of the pituitary gland, sections through the cortex and medulla of both adrenals and longitudinal sections from both thymus lobes were evaluated according to Frith, Ward, Chandra and Losco (2000). One mid-spleen section, one longitudinal section of the thymus and one section containing several mesenteric and axillary lymph nodes were assessed using guidelines from Haley et al. (2005). INHAND guidelines were used for the remaining tissues. The lesions were graded using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is absent; 1 is minimal (assigned for background lesion); 2 is mild; 3 is moderate; 4 is marked; and 5 is severe. Hepatocyte necrosis, fatty change, and peribiliary infiltration of mononuclear cells were graded in a section of the left lateral lobe of the liver (Thoolen et al., 2010). One longitudinal bladder section and one transverse and longitudinal section (at the level of papilla and pelvis) of the kidney (Frazier et al., 2012), one longitudinal heart section through ventricle and atria (Jokinen et al., 2011), one transverse section of the trachea at the level of the thyroid, one section of the left lung lobe (Renne et al., 2009), one transverse section of the esophagus at the level of the thyroid, two stomach sections (one from cardiac region through pyloric sphincter and the other across the limiting ridge with forestomach and the fundic part of the glandular stomach), one section from the splenic part of the pancreas and one transverse section of the duodenum (at pancreas level), jejunum and ileum (1 cm proximal to the colon), and large intestine (2 cm proximal to the anus) (Nolte et al., 2016) were assessed.

Ovaries (one section per ovary), transverse sections of the uterine horn at the middle region from both sides and longitudinal sections of the cervix and vagina were evaluated using guidelines drafted by Dixon et al. (2014). Ovaries, cervix and vagina were staged individually (Li & Davis, 2007) and then an overall assessment of the estrous cycle phase (proestrus, estrus, metestrus and diestrus) was derived for each animal from combined morphological characteristics in the ovaries, cervix and vagina. One testis transverse section and one longitudinal section close to rete testis were evaluated based on the guidelines drafted by INHAND with stage awareness (Creasy et al., 2012). Retained spermatids, apoptosis of seminiferous epithelium, vacuolization of tubules and degeneration/atrophy of tubular epithelium were assessed. Tubular degeneration was graded from 1 to 5, where 1 means up to 5%; 2 between 5% and 25%; 3 between 25% and 50%; 4 between 50% and 75%; and 5 was >75% of tubules affected. Presence of step 19 spermatids in luminal surface of stage IX, X and XI tubules or in the basal Sertoli cell cytoplasm in stage XII tubules was considered as spermatid retention. Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS)-positive crystals are defined as the presence of PAS-stained, crystalloid inclusions in the cytoplasm of interstitial cells. Epididymis sections
including initial segment, caput and cauda were assessed. Exfoliation of germ cells, sperm number in the lumen of tubules, infiltration of mononuclear cells between tubules and tubule dilation were graded. One section of prostate from ventral lobe, one section of coagulating glands from each side, and one section of seminal vesicles from each side were evaluated, also based on INHAND guidelines (Creasy et al., 2012).

### 2.5 Urine sample analyses

Creatinine and total protein concentrations in overnight urine samples were measured using an ABX Pentra 400 clinical analyzer. The protocol for the quantification of TEHP and DEHPA was derived from a previously developed analytical method (Kosarac, Kubwabo, & Foster, 2016). TEHP-d51 and DEHPA-d34 standards were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals. Acetonitrile, methanol and high-performance liquid chromatography-grade water were purchased from EMD Chemicals, Inc. Quality control normal human urine (pool of 10 individuals) was obtained from Lee Bio Solutions.

Briefly, urine samples were thawed and aliquots of 1 mL were diluted with 4% phosphoric acid (1:1). Samples were then mixed and spiked with 10 μL of 0.5 ng/μL Internal Standard mix (TEHP-d51 and DEHPA-d34). Solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridges (Oasis Wax, 60 mg) from Waters were conditioned by sequential addition of 2 mL acetonitrile, methanol and water. Samples were loaded on the cartridge at a flow rate of approximately 5 mL/min. Two milliliters of 2% formic acid were added to the sample tubes to rinse and collect any residuals of target analytes. The rinse solution was loaded on to the SPE cartridge, which was allowed to dry under vacuum for 1 minute. Target analytes were eluted with 4 mL of 4% ammonium hydroxide in methanol. Extracts were concentrated to near dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen and then reconstituted in 100 μL of 5% methanol in water before analysis by ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS).

Analysis of the extracts was carried out on a Waters Acquity UPLC system coupled to a Waters Xevo TQD MS/MS operated in electrospray-positive (TEHP) or -negative (DEHPA) mode. Chromatographic separation of target analytes was performed using a Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (1.7 μm × 2.1 mm × 50 mm) maintained at 40°C and attached to a Waters Van Guard BEH C18 pre-column (1.7 μm × 2.1 mm × 5 mm). The mobile phase consisted of (A) 10 mM ammonium acetate in water and (B) methanol. The gradient programming was as follows: initial gradient 5% (B) to 90% (B) in 2.5 minutes, to 95% (B) in 1.75 minutes, hold for 4.2 minutes and equilibrate to 5% (B) for 4.08 minutes. The injection volume was 1.5 μL and the flow rate set at 0.22 mL/min. Quantifiers and qualifiers of multiple reaction monitoring transitions of the target analytes and internal standards used as well as associated collision energies are presented in Table 1. Source temperature, desolvation temperature and desolvation gas flow were set at 150°C, 350°C and 650 L/h, respectively. The extractor voltage was set at 3 V. Capillary voltages were set at 1.64 and 2.23 kV for positive and negative modes, respectively.

The method detection limit (MDL) and the limit of quantitation (LOQ) were determined according to the EPA Regulation 40 CFR part 136 (appendix B) method (http://www.ecfr.gov). The relative percentage recoveries were based on the internal standards recoveries. To minimize the matrix effect, an extracted calibration curve was prepared in a surrogate blank matrix, which contained no detectable levels of any of the target analytes. The best-suited matrix was rat urine obtained from the control group of another study. The matrix-matched calibration curve was linear over a concentration range of 0.5-500 ng/mL with a coefficient of correlation ($r^2$) >0.998 for both TEHP (MDL 0.17 ng/mL; LOQ 0.58 ng/mL; recovery 77.3%) and DEHPA (MDL 0.19 ng/mL; LOQ 0.57 ng/mL; recovery 82.5%).

### 2.6 Statistical analyses

The normality and homoscedasticity of initial and final BWs, BW gains, organ weights, liver enzymatic activities, hematology and clinical chemistry datasets were assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk and Brown-Forsythe tests, respectively. Datasets that did not meet these assumptions were log-transformed and their normality and

| Compound | Transition | Collision energy (eV) | Cone voltage (V) | Ionization mode |
|----------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| TEHP     | 435.15 → 98.90* | 16 | 18 | ES+ |
|          | 435.15 → 113.00 | 10 | 18 | |
| TEHP d51 | 486.66 → 81.90* | 22 | 28 | ES+ |
|          | 486.66 → 102.07 | 20 | 28 | |
| DEHPA    | 321.01 → 78.88* | 32 | 58 | ES– |
|          | 321.01 → 208.89 | 18 | 58 | |
| DEHPA d34| 355.41 → 78.90* | 30 | 60 | ES– |
|          | 355.41 → 227.20 | 24 | 60 | |

DEHPA, di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid; TEHP, tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate.

*Quantifier transitions.
homoscedasticity reassessed using the same tests. Datasets that satisfied these assumptions were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s post-hoc test, while those that did not were analyzed by one-way ANOVA on ranks (Kruskal-Wallis) followed by Dunn’s post-hoc test. Rat growth curves were analyzed by two-way (treatment group and exposure day) repeated measure ANOVA. These analyses were performed in SigmaPlot 12.5 (Systat Software) and differences were considered statistically significant for $P < .05$. Urinary TEHP and DEHPA levels were compared using SAS Enterprise Guide 5.1 (SAS Institute). Q-Q plots revealed that the dataset was not normally distributed. Data were log-transformed and the normal distribution of the data was confirmed. A two-factor (sex and treatment group) ANOVA using generalized linear models with the LSMEANS statement, which uses adjusted means (for other terms in the model) rather than simple arithmetic means, was then performed. The Bartlett’s test for residuals revealed that the distribution of the error term was normal with a mean of zero, confirming the aptness of the model for the data. Differences from control group values and between males and females were assessed by the Tukey’s studentized range (HSD) post-hoc test and considered statistically significant for $P < .05$.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Rat bodyweight gains and relative organ weights

Rats exposed to TEHP or DEHPA by gavage for 28 consecutive days did not present any overt sign of distress or toxicity. Analysis of relative BW gains by two-way repeated measure ANOVA identified statistically significant effects for treatment group and exposure day, and a significant interaction between treatment group and exposure day, in both males and females. However, while male daily weight gains in the high-dose TEHP (3000 mg/kg BW/day) and DEHPA (180 mg/kg BW/day) treatment groups were significantly different from the control group, while male daily weight gains in the high-dose TEHP and DEHPA treatment groups were significantly higher than control group values, in both males and females. While urinary TEHP levels measured in males and females from the same treatment groups were not statistically different, sex was significantly affected DEHPA urinary excretion. Compared with males from the same treatment groups, females presented significantly higher urinary DEHPA concentrations, at all DEHPA dose levels. However, while urinary DEHPA concentrations measured in TEHP-treated females were undistinguishable from control background values, males from the high-dose TEHP treatment group presented significantly higher urinary DEHPA concentrations compared with males from the control group (and to females from the high-dose TEHP treatment group).

3.3 | Liver enzymatic activities

Significantly decreased hepatic EROD enzymatic activities were observed in males from all the TEHP treatment groups, in absence of...
|               | Initial weight (g) | Final weight (g) | Weight gain (%) | Liver (% BW) | Spleen (% BW) | Kidneys (% BW) | Adrenals (% BW) |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| **Male**      |                    |                  |                 |             |              |              |                |
| Control       | 217.7 ± 18.0       | 253.1 ± 20.9     | 16.3 ± 2.0      | 2.65 ± 0.08 | 0.207 ± 0.012| 0.531 ± 0.017| 0.0180 ± 0.0022|
| TEHP 300 mg/kg| 218.9 ± 13.9       | 253.6 ± 12.3     | 16.0 ± 2.5      | 2.93 ± 0.20*| 0.207 ± 0.009| 0.524 ± 0.021| 0.0182 ± 0.0016|
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg| 219.1 ± 13.6      | 249.1 ± 21.2     | 13.6 ± 3.9      | 2.98 ± 0.26*| 0.215 ± 0.009| 0.538 ± 0.026| 0.0191 ± 0.0012|
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg| 212.7 ± 10.3      | 230.3 ± 11.2     | 8.3 ± 1.4*      | 2.62 ± 0.22 | 0.235 ± 0.012*| 0.587 ± 0.044*| 0.0194 ± 0.0017|
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 217.7 ± 10.6       | 246.9 ± 11.7     | 13.5 ± 3.4      | 2.69 ± 0.12 | 0.214 ± 0.006| 0.539 ± 0.015| 0.0191 ± 0.0015|
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 220.6 ± 13.4       | 246.5 ± 12.9     | 11.8 ± 3.6*     | 2.76 ± 0.16 | 0.217 ± 0.015| 0.531 ± 0.020| 0.0194 ± 0.0013|
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg| 222.6 ± 17.0       | 238.1 ± 20.5     | 7.0 ± 3.2*      | 2.99 ± 0.05*| 0.211 ± 0.013| 0.573 ± 0.011*| 0.0238 ± 0.0016*|
| **Female**    |                    |                  |                 |             |              |              |                |
| Control       | 154.1 ± 1.9        | 160.9 ± 4.4      | 4.4 ± 3.8       | 2.71 ± 0.25 | 0.266 ± 0.049| 0.601 ± 0.028| 0.0387 ± 0.0062|
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 151.8 ± 6.9        | 164.2 ± 7.0      | 8.2 ± 2.8       | 3.15 ± 0.47 | 0.261 ± 0.014| 0.601 ± 0.023| 0.0392 ± 0.0031|
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg| 152.8 ± 6.5        | 160.1 ± 6.9      | 4.8 ± 3.4       | 3.04 ± 0.49 | 0.260 ± 0.018| 0.601 ± 0.030| 0.0405 ± 0.0043|
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg| 153.7 ± 4.4        | 161.9 ± 4.6      | 5.3 ± 2.5       | 2.90 ± 0.16 | 0.259 ± 0.013| 0.650 ± 0.020*| 0.0388 ± 0.0033|
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 153.1 ± 3.5        | 158.4 ± 4.9      | 3.5 ± 3.5       | 2.75 ± 0.29 | 0.258 ± 0.013| 0.605 ± 0.032| 0.0400 ± 0.0053|
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 152.5 ± 5.7        | 158.6 ± 8.7      | 4.0 ± 3.8       | 2.67 ± 0.17 | 0.246 ± 0.015| 0.580 ± 0.019| 0.0415 ± 0.0025|
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg| 153.6 ± 6.5        | 154.7 ± 5.0      | 0.8 ± 1.8       | 2.89 ± 0.14 | 0.250 ± 0.022| 0.594 ± 0.022| 0.0460 ± 0.0048|

BW, body weight; DEHPA, di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid; TEHP, tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate.

Weight gains are expressed as percentage gain over initial bodyweights, while organ weights are expressed as percentages of bodyweights at the terminal necropsy.

Mean ± standard deviation from seven animals per treatment group is provided.

*Statistically significant differences (P < .05) from control group values.
### TABLE 3  
Quantification of TEHP and DEHPA in overnight urine samples on the third week of exposure

|                | Creatinine (mg/mL) | Total protein (g/mg creatinine) | TEHP (ng/mg creatinine) | DEHPA (ng/mg creatinine) |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Male**       |                    |                                 |                         |                          |
| Control        | 1.55 ± 0.59        | 1.31 ± 0.23                     | 0.44 ± 0.14             | 1.16 ± 0.47              |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 1.67 ± 0.50        | 1.55 ± 0.41                     | 10.3 ± 13.8*            | 1.93 ± 1.09              |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg| 1.59 ± 0.32        | 1.34 ± 0.40                     | 9.76 ± 4.55*            | 2.10 ± 0.74              |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg| 1.21 ± 0.64        | 1.22 ± 0.22                     | 985 ± 710*              | 5.80 ± 2.04*             |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 1.14 ± 0.37        | 1.54 ± 0.27                     | 2.01 ± 2.10             | 1.67 ± 0.52              |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 1.19 ± 0.46        | 1.66 ± 0.46                     | 1.04 ± 0.90             | 2.97 ± 1.03*             |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg| 1.13 ± 0.42        | 1.42 ± 0.29                     | 3.56 ± 3.53             | 23.9 ± 19.0*             |
| **Female**     |                    |                                 |                         |                          |
| Control        | 0.84 ± 0.47†       | 0.290 ± 0.103†                  | 0.58 ± 0.19             | 1.67 ± 0.67              |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 0.64 ± 0.43†       | 0.307 ± 0.070†                  | 4.56 ± 2.84*            | 2.03 ± 0.82              |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg| 0.70 ± 0.26†       | 0.338 ± 0.151†                  | 8.62 ± 4.98*            | 1.52 ± 0.43              |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg| 1.18 ± 0.37        | 0.365 ± 0.117†                  | 349 ± 155*              | 2.16 ± 0.56†             |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 0.95 ± 0.65        | 0.278 ± 0.104†                  | 2.42 ± 2.33             | 8.26 ± 4.64±†            |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 0.79 ± 0.44        | 0.305 ± 0.111†                  | 1.01 ± 0.68             | 34.9 ± 21.8±†            |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg| 0.63 ± 0.21†       | 0.330 ± 0.133†                  | 4.40 ± 7.16             | 85.4 ± 86.7±†            |

DEHPA, di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid; TEHP, tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate.  
Mean ± standard deviation from seven animals per treatment group is provided.  
*Analyte levels significantly different (p < .05) from control group values.  
†Significantly different analyte levels in females, compared with males from the same treatment group (P < .05).

---

### TABLE 4  
Hepatic phase I xenobiotic metabolizing enzyme activities in rats exposed to TEHP or DEHPA

|             | EROD (nmol/min/mg protein) | BROD (nmol/min/mg protein) | PROD (nmol/min/mg protein) |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Male**    |                            |                           |                           |
| Control     | 88.0 ± 8.2                 | 95.1 ± 10.6               | 36.4 ± 5.3                |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 69.9 ± 13.4*             | 99.2 ± 23.2               | 35.6 ± 11.2               |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 60.6 ± 7.7*              | 90.9 ± 12.6               | 29.3 ± 3.7                |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg | 65.9 ± 16.8*            | 93.1 ± 16.2               | 30.8 ± 5.2                |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 77.7 ± 5.1              | 69.2 ± 11.4*              | 29.5 ± 0.9                |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 91.8 ± 10.8              | 86.5 ± 15.9               | 37.2 ± 4.8                |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 72.9 ± 8.3              | 87.6 ± 13.4               | 33.3 ± 6.1                |
| **Female**  |                            |                           |                           |
| Control     | 45.6 ± 5.7                 | 10.8 ± 15.1               | 9.8 ± 4.7                 |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 40.3 ± 8.0              | 14.2 ± 10.3               | 9.3 ± 3.2                 |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 40.1 ± 5.5              | 15.4 ± 16.6               | 9.7 ± 5.0                 |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg | 30.0 ± 6.1*             | 10.4 ± 6.5                | 8.3 ± 2.9                 |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 37.2 ± 11.9             | 9.1 ± 10.2                | 8.4 ± 4.5                 |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 41.7 ± 10.3             | 9.7 ± 11.6                | 9.1 ± 5.0                 |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 25.0 ± 5.1*            | 14.1 ± 5.0                | 8.6 ± 2.4                 |

EROD, ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase; BROD; benzyloxyresorufin-O-dealkylase; DEHPA, di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid; PROD; pentoxyresorufin-O-dealkylase; TEHP, tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate.  
Mean ± standard deviation from seven animals per treatment group is provided.  
*Statistically significant difference (P < .05) from control values.
|       | Tot. protein (g/L) | Albumin (g/L) | Creatinine (μmol/L) | BUN (mmol/L) | Tot. bilirubin (μmol/L) | Calcium (mmol/L) | Phosphorus (mmol/L) | Cholesterol (mmol/L) |
|-------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| **Male** |                   |               |                     |              |                        |                 |                     |                     |
| Control | 62.8 ± 1.9        | 32.3 ± 0.9    | 40.0 ± 3.0          | 5.88 ± 0.56  | 1.31 ± 0.34            | 2.55 ± 0.09     | 2.32 ± 0.23         | 1.65 ± 0.16         |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 65.3 ± 2.1        | 32.6 ± 0.9    | 39.7 ± 4.1          | 5.81 ± 0.64  | 1.00 ± 0.37            | 2.66 ± 0.09     | 2.50 ± 0.25         | 1.72 ± 0.14         |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 65.7 ± 2.7        | 33.0 ± 0.9    | 40.0 ± 2.8          | 6.02 ± 0.37  | 1.09 ± 0.26            | 2.66 ± 0.11     | 2.42 ± 0.11         | 1.72 ± 0.13         |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg | 66.2 ± 2.6        | 33.1 ± 1.1    | 35.3 ± 2.7*         | 7.44 ± 0.26* | 1.19 ± 0.27            | 2.64 ± 0.11     | 2.63 ± 0.12*        | 1.75 ± 0.21         |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 61.2 ± 2.3        | 31.4 ± 0.8    | 38.1 ± 1.9          | 5.53 ± 0.61  | 1.20 ± 0.32            | 2.57 ± 0.06     | 2.58 ± 0.14         | 1.63 ± 0.13         |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 61.1 ± 1.0        | 31.7 ± 0.5    | 38.4 ± 3.0          | 5.27 ± 0.61  | 1.14 ± 0.28            | 2.59 ± 0.05     | 2.66 ± 0.10*        | 1.53 ± 0.13         |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 60.3 ± 2.5        | 32.8 ± 1.3    | 36.6 ± 2.2          | 5.69 ± 0.44  | 0.96 ± 0.18            | 2.55 ± 0.10     | 2.80 ± 0.19*        | 1.46 ± 0.11         |
| **Female** |                   |               |                     |              |                        |                 |                     |                     |
| Control | 61.5 ± 1.4        | 31.5 ± 1.2    | 41.8 ± 2.4          | 6.42 ± 1.19  | 1.21 ± 0.19            | 2.51 ± 0.07     | 2.19 ± 0.18         | 2.10 ± 0.16         |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 63.8 ± 2.6*       | 32.4 ± 1.2    | 36.4 ± 3.0          | 5.88 ± 0.56  | 0.83 ± 0.34            | 2.65 ± 0.12     | 2.24 ± 0.27         | 2.10 ± 0.18         |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 66.1 ± 2.5*       | 32.5 ± 0.7    | 36.5 ± 2.9          | 6.59 ± 0.71  | 0.73 ± 0.50*           | 2.63 ± 0.11     | 2.19 ± 0.19         | 2.37 ± 0.16         |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg | 66.1 ± 3.6*       | 32.9 ± 1.5    | 32.1 ± 1.4*         | 7.77 ± 1.47  | 1.31 ± 0.26            | 2.66 ± 0.13     | 2.48 ± 0.28         | 2.27 ± 0.34         |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 63.8 ± 2.9        | 32.0 ± 1.0    | 35.1 ± 2.7          | 5.93 ± 0.77  | 1.13 ± 0.28            | 2.58 ± 0.09     | 2.39 ± 0.17         | 2.27 ± 0.26         |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 62.1 ± 3.0        | 31.9 ± 1.4    | 36.0 ± 2.1          | 5.89 ± 0.47  | 1.10 ± 0.23            | 2.57 ± 0.10     | 2.42 ± 0.25         | 2.08 ± 0.15         |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 60.3 ± 2.6        | 32.3 ± 1.8    | 33.3 ± 3.9*         | 5.50 ± 0.54  | 1.04 ± 0.24            | 2.56 ± 0.13     | 2.59 ± 0.24*        | 1.81 ± 0.28         |

**TABLE 5** Effects of exposure to TEHP or DEHPA on rat serum clinical chemistry
TABLE 5  Effects of exposure to TEHP or DEHPA on rat serum clinical chemistry

|                | Triglycerides (mmol/L) | ALP (U/L) | ALT (U/L) | AST (U/L) | GGT (U/L) | AchE (μmol/min/mL) |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| **Male**       |                        |           |           |           |           |                   |
| Control        | 1.451 ± 0.259          | 159 ± 18  | 68 ± 10.2 | 75.8 ± 6.4| 0.20 ± 0.25| 0.576 ± 0.044     |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 1.986 ± 0.393          | 157 ± 18  | 74.4 ± 9.6| 74.5 ± 5.5| 0.11 ± 0.04| 0.586 ± 0.031     |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg| 1.659 ± 0.415          | 159 ± 19  | 73.8 ± 4.6| 71.0 ± 3.1| 0.30 ± 0.41| 0.589 ± 0.043     |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg| 1.700 ± 0.550          | 143 ± 14  | 97.9 ± 12.7*| 87.3 ± 5.6*| 0.10 ± 0 | 0.576 ± 0.041     |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 1.397 ± 0.340          | 146 ± 12  | 66.3 ± 4.4| 73.5 ± 4.5| 0.25 ± 0.34| 0.564 ± 0.045     |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 1.236 ± 0.264          | 135 ± 13  | 60.2 ± 50 | 72.8 ± 4.0| 0.14 ± 0.11| 0.571 ± 0.046     |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg| 1.327 ± 0.358          | 164 ± 13  | 52.4 ± 6.4*| 69.4 ± 3.4| 0.10 ± 0 | 0.646 ± 0.044     |
| **Female**     |                        |           |           |           |           |                   |
| Control        | 0.467 ± 0.124          | 145 ± 33  | 66.5 ± 9.1| 83.7 ± 10 | 0.14 ± 0.15| 1.82 ± 0.18       |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 0.767 ± 0.197          | 110 ± 23  | 63.3 ± 6.4| 70.7 ± 3.2*| 0.10 ± 0 | 1.77 ± 0.18       |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg| 0.973 ± 0.490*         | 111 ± 19  | 63.2 ± 6.3| 70.8 ± 6.1*| 0.47 ± 0.60| 1.80 ± 0.33       |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg| 0.767 ± 0.118*         | 124 ± 19  | 85.7 ± 144*| 81.4 ± 7.7| 0.09 ± 0.04| 1.79 ± 0.18       |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 0.634 ± 0.166          | 132 ± 27  | 63.8 ± 10.7| 81.2 ± 10.1| 0.64 ± 0.67| 2.01 ± 0.24       |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 0.627 ± 0.155          | 124 ± 32  | 63.0 ± 11.5| 76.1 ± 8.4| 0.59 ± 1.19| 1.82 ± 0.27       |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg| 0.547 ± 0.084          | 137 ± 26  | 45.2 ± 7.4*| 74.5 ± 4.8| 0.16 ± 0.14| 1.51 ± 0.15       |

AchE, acetylcholinesterase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; DEHPA, di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid; GGT, gamma glutamyl transpeptidase; TEHP, tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate.

Mean ± standard deviation from seven animals per treatment group is provided.

*Statistically significant differences (P < .05) from control group values.
a clear dose-response relationship (Table 4). In females, significantly decreased EROD activities were only observed in the high-dose TEHP and DEHPA treatment groups. Significantly lower BROD activities were also noted in males from the low-dose DEHPA treatment group.

### 3.4 | Serum clinical chemistry

Among serum biomarkers of hepatotoxicity, ALP and GGT activities were not significantly affected in any of the treatment groups (Table 5). Serum AST activities were significantly higher in males exposed to the high TEHP dose, but lower in females exposed to the low and middle TEHP doses. Serum ALT activities were significantly increased in the high-dose TEHP treatment group, but significantly decreased in the high-dose DEHPA treatment group, in both males and females. Inhibition of serum ALT activity is a rather unusual observation that may have been caused by vitamin B6 deficiency (Jung et al., 1981; Ono, Ono, & Matsumata, 1995). To test this hypothesis, serum samples were supplemented with pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (the active form of vitamin B6), and ALT activities reassessed. Vitamin B6 supplementation did not reverse the lower ALT activities observed in DEHPA-treated males and females (Figure 2).

In males, serum creatinine, BUN and phosphorus levels were significantly affected in the high-dose TEHP treatment group, while phosphorus was significantly affected in the middle- and high-dose DEHPA treatment groups (Table 5). In females, higher phosphorus levels were also observed in the high-dose DEHPA treatment group along with lower creatinine levels in the high-dose TEHP and DEHPA treatment groups. Additionally, total serum protein levels were significantly higher following exposure to TEHP at all doses, triglycerides were more abundant in the middle- and high-dose DEHPA treatment groups, and lower bilirubin levels were observed in the middle-dose TEHP treatment group.

### 3.5 | Hematology

In males, significant decreases were noted for MCH at the middle TEHP and DEHPA doses, MCV at the high TEHP dose and the middle DEHPA dose and MPV at the high TEHP and DEHPA doses (Table 6). Neutrophil counts were significantly higher in the high-dose DEHPA treatment group. In females, MPV was significantly decreased in the low- and high-dose TEHP and high-dose DEHPA treatment groups. MCH was significantly increased following exposure to high-dose TEHP, while MCHC decreased in the high-dose DEHPA treatment group. WBC counts were significantly higher following exposure to high-dose TEHP, as neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte and eosinophil counts were all significantly higher. Neutrophils were also significantly more abundant in the middle- and high-dose DEHPA treatment groups.

### 3.6 | Histopathology

Summary inspection of tissues harvested from TEHP- and DEHPA-treated rats at the terminal necropsy did not reveal noticeable changes or gross lesions. Qualitative assessment of formalin-fixed tissue sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin did not uncover treatment-related changes in the brain, pituitary gland, trachea, esophagus, thyroid, thymus, heart, pancreas, spleen, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, colon, rectum, adrenal glands, kidney, bladder, mesenteric and axillary lymph nodes and skeletal muscle. In males, the prostate, seminal vesicle and coagulating gland presented unremarkable histological features, while in females the histological features of ovaries, uterus, cervix and vagina were not significantly affected.

Minimal background multifocal hepatocyte necrosis, peribiliary leukocyte infiltration and fatty changes of hepatocytes were observed in livers from all treatment groups, including control. Mild multifocal necrosis of centrilobular hepatocytes was observed in two of seven males exposed to the high TEHP dose, while only minimal necrosis was observed in males from the control group (Table 7). Mild to moderate multifocal necrosis of hepatocytes was observed in four of seven females from the high-dose TEHP and DEHPA treatment groups, compared with two of seven in the control group (Figure 3A and 3B, Table 7). Acute multifocal bronchitis, bronchiolitis and alveolitis with mononuclear cell infiltration containing numerous neutrophils were observed in the lungs of one of seven males and two of seven females from the high-dose TEHP treatment group (Figure 3C-3F).

Apoptosis and necrosis associated with acute non-suppurative inflammation containing numerous neutrophils and diffuse hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis of squamous epithelial cells were observed in the non-glandular forestomach of seven of seven males and seven of seven females exposed to the high DEHPA dose (Figure 3G and 3H, Table 7). The severity of these lesions observed in six of six males and six of seven females in the middle-dose DEHPA treatment group decreased, and they were
## Table 6: Effects of exposure to TEHP or DEHPA on rat hematology

|            | WBC (×10^3 cells/μL) | RBC (×10^6 cells/μL) | HGB (g/dL) | HCT (%)   | MCV (fL)   | MCH (pg)   | MCHC (g/dL) | PLT (×10^3 cells/μL) |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|
| **Male**   |                       |                       |            |           |            |            |             |                     |
| Control    | 3.61 ± 0.56           | 8.74 ± 0.28           | 150 ± 4    | 0.440 ± 0.014 | 50.3 ± 0.5 | 17.2 ± 0.2 | 342 ± 3     | 581 ± 26            |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 3.52 ± 0.76         | 8.91 ± 0.37           | 151 ± 6    | 0.443 ± 0.017 | 49.8 ± 0.7 | 17.0 ± 0.4 | 341 ± 3     | 630 ± 41            |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 3.84 ± 1.09          | 8.88 ± 0.38           | 149 ± 7    | 0.440 ± 0.018 | 49.6 ± 0.5 | 16.8 ± 0.4*| 339 ± 5     | 577 ± 157           |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg | 3.80 ± 0.72          | 9.00 ± 0.21           | 152 ± 4    | 0.444 ± 0.011 | 49.1 ± 0.6*| 16.8 ± 0.2 | 341 ± 1     | 631 ± 36            |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 3.33 ± 0.56          | 8.92 ± 0.36           | 150 ± 6    | 0.443 ± 0.018 | 49.9 ± 0.3 | 16.9 ± 0.2 | 339 ± 1     | 612 ± 26            |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 3.51 ± 0.46          | 9.07 ± 0.31           | 151 ± 5    | 0.448 ± 0.016 | 49.3 ± 0.9*| 16.7 ± 0.3*| 337 ± 2     | 606 ± 52            |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 3.84 ± 0.67          | 8.62 ± 0.43           | 147 ± 6    | 0.432 ± 0.019 | 50.3 ± 0.5 | 17.0 ± 0.2 | 339 ± 2     | 637 ± 28            |
| **Female** |                       |                       |            |           |            |            |             |                     |
| Control    | 1.87 ± 0.63           | 7.86 ± 0.43           | 140 ± 7    | 0.406 ± 0.023 | 51.6 ± 0.5 | 17.8 ± 0.1 | 346 ± 2     | 540 ± 177           |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 2.03 ± 0.28          | 7.81 ± 0.17           | 140 ± 3    | 0.407 ± 0.008 | 51.8 ± 0.4 | 17.9 ± 0.2 | 344 ± 2     | 671 ± 46            |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 2.36 ± 0.62          | 7.67 ± 0.52           | 138 ± 9    | 0.397 ± 0.027 | 51.9 ± 0.3 | 18.0 ± 0.2 | 346 ± 2     | 513 ± 289           |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg | 3.46 ± 0.74*         | 8.05 ± 0.14           | 146 ± 3    | 0.421 ± 0.007 | 52.1 ± 0.6 | 18.1 ± 0.3*| 346 ± 4     | 666 ± 126           |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 2.59 ± 0.56          | 8.19 ± 0.18           | 125 ± 51   | 0.426 ± 0.009 | 52.0 ± 0   | 17.9 ± 0.2 | 345 ± 2     | 645 ± 36            |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 2.79 ± 0.67          | 8.17 ± 0.26           | 146 ± 5    | 0.424 ± 0.014 | 52.0 ± 0   | 17.9 ± 0.2 | 345 ± 3     | 670 ± 23            |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 2.83 ± 0.84          | 8.16 ± 0.33           | 144 ± 6    | 0.422 ± 0.018 | 51.9 ± 0.3 | 17.7 ± 0.1 | 342 ± 1*    | 671 ± 35            |
TABLE 6  Effects of exposure to TEHP or DEHPA on rat hematology

|        | MPV (fL) | Neutrophils (×10^3 cells/μL) | Lymphocytes (×10^3 cells/μL) | Monocytes (×10^3 cells/μL) | Eosinophils (×10^3 cells/μL) | Basophils (×10^3 cells/μL) |
|--------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Male   |          |                              |                             |                             |                            |                             |
| Control| 6.13 ± 0.10 | 0.616 ± 0.142               | 2.68 ± 0.53                 | 0.2486 ± 0.1044             | 0.0771 ± 0.0420             | 0.0157 ± 0.0073             |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 6.05 ± 0.08 | 0.538 ± 0.086               | 2.75 ± 0.66                 | 0.1850 ± 0.0616             | 0.0400 ± 0.0129             | 0.0167 ± 0.0075             |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 6.01 ± 0.10 | 0.596 ± 0.127               | 2.97 ± 0.95                 | 0.2000 ± 0.0635             | 0.0729 ± 0.0183             | 0.0157 ± 0.0073             |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg | 5.91 ± 0.10* | 0.744 ± 0.125               | 2.67 ± 0.61                 | 0.2629 ± 0.0468             | 0.1014 ± 0.0402             | 0.0171 ± 0.0088             |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 6.13 ± 0.15 | 0.616 ± 0.103               | 2.41 ± 0.44                 | 0.2357 ± 0.0306             | 0.0657 ± 0.0333             | 0.0157 ± 0.0090             |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 6.10 ± 0.08 | 0.704 ± 0.113               | 2.45 ± 0.40                 | 0.2514 ± 0.0380             | 0.0971 ± 0.0498             | 0.0157 ± 0.0073             |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 5.87 ± 0.12* | 0.843 ± 0.152*              | 2.67 ± 0.49                 | 0.2257 ± 0.0430             | 0.0829 ± 0.0225             | 0.0186 ± 0.0064             |
| Female  |          |                              |                             |                             |                            |                             |
| Control | 6.10 ± 0.05 | 0.377 ± 0.136               | 1.38 ± 0.50                 | 0.0800 ± 0.0293             | 0.0271 ± 0.0088             | 0.0114 ± 0.0035             |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 5.81 ± 0.17* | 0.366 ± 0.078               | 1.55 ± 0.23                 | 0.0843 ± 0.0261             | 0.0271 ± 0.0116             | 0.0100 ± 0.0053             |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 6.01 ± 0.24 | 0.444 ± 0.147               | 1.73 ± 0.45                 | 0.0943 ± 0.0420             | 0.0600 ± 0.0545             | 0.0143 ± 0.0049             |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg | 5.93 ± 0.12* | 0.606 ± 0.108*              | 2.55 ± 0.61*                | 0.2014 ± 0.0479*            | 0.0843 ± 0.0563*            | 0.0200 ± 0.0093             |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 6.03 ± 0.05 | 0.473 ± 0.072               | 1.93 ± 0.55                 | 0.1414 ± 0.0387*            | 0.0300 ± 0.0160             | 0.0129 ± 0.0045             |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 6.11 ± 0.10 | 0.616 ± 0.156*              | 2.00 ± 0.49                 | 0.1243 ± 0.0424             | 0.0386 ± 0.0275             | 0.0129 ± 0.0070             |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 5.80 ± 0.14* | 0.609 ± 0.149*              | 2.03 ± 0.70                 | 0.1329 ± 0.0377             | 0.0529 ± 0.0198             | 0.0114 ± 0.0035             |

DEHPA, di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid; HCT, hematocrit; HGB, hemoglobin; MCH, mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MPV, mean platelet volume; PLT, platelets; RBC, red blood cells; TEHP, tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate; WBC, white blood cells.

Mean ± standard deviation from seven animals per treatment group is provided.

*Statistically significant differences (*P < .05) from control group values.
|                | Liver                          | Stomach (squamous epithelial cell) | Testis                        | Epididymis                           |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                | Multifocal centrolobular necrosis | Apoptosis | Hyperplasia (non-glandular forestomach) | Spematid retention | Tubular degeneration/atrophy | PAS-positive crystals | Luminal sperm reduction | Exfoliation | Inflammation |
| **Male**       |                               |                                   |                               |                       |                             |                    |                      |             |             |
| Control        | 1.0 (0/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 0 (0/7)               | 1.0 (0/7)                     | 0 (0/7)            | 0 (0/7)               | 0 (0/7)      | 0 (0/7)      |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 1.0 (0/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 1.1 (1/7)             | 1.1 (1/7)                     | (7/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0 (0/7)      | 0 (0/7)      |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg| 1.0 (0/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 0 (0/7)               | 1.1 (1/7)                     | (7/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0 (0/7)      | 0.1 (1/7)    |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg| 1.3 (2/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 0 (0/7)               | 2.1 (6/7)                     | (7/7)              | 3.1 (7/7)             | 0.9 (5/7)    | 0.7 (5/7)    |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 1.0 (0/6)                     | 0 (0/6)                           | 0 (0/6)                       | 0 (0/6)               | 1.6 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/6)               | 0 (0/6)      | 0.2 (1/6)    |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 1.0 (0/6)                     | 1.5 (6/6)                         | 1.5 (6/6)                     | 1.5 (6/6)             | 1.3 (1/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/6)               | 0 (0/6)      | 0 (0/6)      |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg| 1.1 (1/7)                     | 2.0 (7/7)                         | 2.0 (7/7)                     | 2.0 (7/7)             | 1.3 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0.1 (1/7)    | 0.1 (1/7)    |
| **Female**     |                               |                                   |                               |                       |                             |                    |                      |             |             |
| Control        | 1.3 (2/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 0 (0/7)               | 1.3 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0.1 (1/7)    | 0.1 (1/7)    |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 1.0 (0/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 0 (0/7)               | 1.3 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0.1 (1/7)    | 0.1 (1/7)    |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg| 1.0 (0/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 0 (0/7)               | 1.3 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0.1 (1/7)    | 0.1 (1/7)    |
| TEHP 3000 mg/kg| 1.7 (4/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 0 (0/7)               | 1.3 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0.1 (1/7)    | 0.1 (1/7)    |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 1.0 (0/7)                     | 0 (0/7)                           | 0 (0/7)                       | 0 (0/7)               | 1.3 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0.1 (1/7)    | 0.1 (1/7)    |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 1.0 (0/7)                     | 1.1 (6/7)                         | 1.1 (6/7)                     | 1.1 (6/7)             | 1.3 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0.1 (1/7)    | 0.1 (1/7)    |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg| 1.6 (4/7)                     | 2.0 (7/7)                         | 2.0 (7/7)                     | 2.0 (7/7)             | 1.3 (2/7)                     | (0/7)              | 0 (0/7)               | 0.1 (1/7)    | 0.1 (1/7)    |

DEHPA, di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid; PAS, periodic acid-Schiff; TEHP, tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate.

Lesions were graded as: 0 = absent, 1 = minimal (assigned for background lesion), 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked, or 5 = severe. PAS-positive crystals in testes were characterized as present/absent, while tubular degeneration/atrophy was characterized as 1 = <5%, 2 = 5%-25%, 3 = 25%-50%, 4 = 50%-75%, or 5 = >75% of the tubules affected. Averaged severities of the lesions are provided, along with the number of rats where the lesions were observed or present above background level (in parentheses).
Exposure to TEHP noticeably affected epididymis and testis histology. Seven of seven rats from the high-dose TEHP treatment group presented moderate to marked epididymal luminal sperm reduction, accompanied by minimal to mild inflammation and exfoliation in five of seven rats (Figure 3I and 3J, Table 7). No such alteration was observed in control rats and minimal exfoliation and/or inflammation were observed in at most one male in the other TEHP and DEHPA treatment groups. Compared with control rat testes (Figure 3K), spermatid retention was markedly increased in six of seven rats exposed to the high TEHP dose (Figure 3N, Table 7), while no such occurrence was observed in the other treatment groups. Tubular degeneration/atrophy above background level (grades 2-3) was observed in six of seven of the rats from the high TEHP treatment group (Figure 3M, Table 7) and in at most one or two rats in the other TEHP and DEHPA treatment groups. Numerous PAS-stained crystalline inclusions were observed in the interstitial cells of all rats (seven of seven) from the low-, middle- and high-dose TEHP treatment groups (Figure 3L, Table 7). The size and number of these crystalline inclusions, which were observed exclusively in the testes of TEHP-treated rats, did not appear to increase with the dose administered. These heterogeneously sized crystalloid structures frequently presented a rectangular shape with angular borders and were often found in clusters. To gain additional insights into the etiology of these testicular crystals, selected serum hormones were measured (Table 8).
Significantly decreased prolactin levels were observed at all DEHPA doses and at the middle and high TEHP doses. Luteinizing hormone levels were also significantly lower in rats exposed to the middle and high DEHPA and high TEHP doses. Finally, lower levels of follicle-stimulating hormone were observed in the high-dose DEHPA treatment group.

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Rat bodyweight gains and relative organ weights

Compared with control rats, the administration of TEHP by gavage for 28 consecutive days significantly affected growth curves and total BW gains only in males from the 3000-mg TEHP/kg BW/day treatment group (Figure 1 and Table 2). This observation is reminiscent of a 2-year rat carcinogenicity study where daily exposure to 2000 mg TEHP/kg BW/day impaired weight gains in males, but not in females (National Toxicology Program, 1984). Similarly, daily exposure to the high DEHPA dose significantly affected rat growth curves in males only (Figure 1), while total BW gains over the exposure period were significantly smaller than control values in males from the middle- and high-dose DEHPA treatment groups (Table 2). Incidentally, higher relative organ weights were predominantly observed in treatment groups where BW gains in males were significantly affected (Table 2).

4.2 | Urinary TEHP and DEHPA excretion

Quantification of TEHP and DEHPA in overnight urine samples yielded interesting insights on their metabolism and excretion. Tripling the TEHP dose administered from the middle-dose to the high-dose TEHP treatment group resulted in a 100-fold increase in mean urinary TEHP concentrations in males (and a 40-fold increase in females; see Table 3). This observation may hint at the saturation of sequestration, metabolism or transport mechanisms for TEHP. Compared with males from the same treatment groups, females exposed to the low, middle and high DEHPA doses presented significantly higher urinary DEHPA concentrations (Table 3). Assuming a constant DEHPA excretion rate throughout the day, a urine volume of 5.5 mL/100 g BW/day (Pass & Freeth, 1993) and a steady state by the third week of exposure, urinary DEHPA excretion in females from the high-dose DEHPA treatment group corresponded to only 0.0015% of the daily dose administered. Hence, the higher DEHPA urinary excretion rates observed in females were simply too low to affect meaningfully the body burdens and explain the different effects of DEHPA on male and female weight gains (Figure 1 and Table 2).

While TEHP-treated females presented urinary DEHPA concentrations similar to background control values, males from the high-dose TEHP treatment group presented urinary DEHPA concentrations significantly higher than those measured in control males (Table 3). Based on the 99.7% purity of the TEHP batch administered (see Section 2.1), rats from the high-dose TEHP treatment group (3000 mg/kg BW/day) may have been exposed to a maximum of 9 mg DEHPA/kg BW/day. However, males from this treatment group presented DEHPA urinary levels between those measured in males from the middle-dose (60 mg/kg BW/day) and high-dose (180 mg/kg BW/day) DEHPA treatment groups. This observation strongly suggests that the anticipated in vivo enzymatic hydrolysis of TEHP to DEHPA (Kluwe et al., 1985; Van Esch & World Health Organisation, 2000) occurs at a meaningful rate in males only. While it is tempting to speculate that the effects of TEHP in males may be mediated at least in part by metabolized DEHPA, it is worth noting that a few endpoints were also similarly affected by TEHP and DEHPA in females.

4.3 | Hematology and clinical chemistry

The effects of TEHP and DEHPA on hematology were relatively limited. Most notably, exposures to TEHP and DEHPA at high doses reduced MPV in both males and females, while the high-dose TEHP resulted in higher WBC counts in females, as neutrophil, lymphocyte

| TABLE 8 | Serum hormone levels in male rats exposed to TEHP or DEHPA |

|          | Estradiol (pg/mL) | Testosterone (ng/mL) | Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (pg/mL) | Prolactin (ng/mL) | Luteinizing hormone (ng/mL) | Follicle-stimulating hormone (ng/mL) |
|----------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Control  | 4.76 ± 1.98      | 589 ± 521            | 4.56 ± 1.60                            | 13.87 ± 3.47     | 2.63 ± 1.28                 | 4.99 ± 0.91                          |
| TEHP 300 mg/kg | 5.90 ± 2.66      | 248 ± 198            | 6.19 ± 10.18                           | 11.71 ± 3.17     | 2.51 ± 1.35                 | 4.28 ± 0.87                          |
| TEHP 1000 mg/kg | 4.86 ± 2.85      | 401 ± 189            | 3.91 ± 2.04                            | 6.04 ± 3.05*     | 2.76 ± 1.51                 | 4.11 ± 0.83                          |
| DEHPA 20 mg/kg | 5.42 ± 1.49      | 219 ± 123            | 2.85 ± 0.63                            | 6.46 ± 3.01*     | 0.57 ± 0.58*                | 3.98 ± 1.01                          |
| DEHPA 60 mg/kg | 6.92 ± 1.17      | 905 ± 1357           | 3.34 ± 2.24                            | 8.55 ± 4.09*     | 2.21 ± 1.42                 | 5.56 ± 1.38                          |
| DEHPA 180 mg/kg | 5.98 ± 3.80      | 1016 ± 893           | 2.45 ± 1.01                            | 4.10 ± 0.72*     | 0.53 ± 0.16*                | 3.66 ± 0.91                          |

DEHPA, di(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid; TEHP, tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate.
Mean ± standard deviation from seven animals per treatment group is provided.
Statistically significant differences (P < .05) from control group values.
monocyte and eosinophils counts were all higher (Table 6). Among serum chemistry parameters, creatinine, phosphorus and ALT stood out as they were similarly affected, either by TEHP and DEHPA exposures and/or in males and females from the same treatment group (Table 5). It is also worth noting that the activity of acetylcholinesterase, the molecular target of organophosphate pesticides, was not significantly affected following exposures to TEHP or DEHPA, supporting conclusions from an older report on TEHP toxicity (MacFarland & Punte, 1966).

4.4 Histopathology

Although the kidney was the only organ presenting increased relative weights in both males and females (Table 2), background lesions such as tubular basophilia, mineralization and chronic progressive nephropathy observed in the high-dose TEHP and DEHPA treatment groups were similar in intensity and frequency to those observed in the control group. Urine creatinine and total protein levels were not significantly affected (Table 3), while serum clinical chemistry failed to provide clear clues on the perturbation of kidney functions following exposures to TEHP and DEHPA (Table 5). The higher serum phosphorus levels measured in males and females are difficult to interpret in terms of kidney function, as the organophosphate compounds administered may have interfered with this measurement. While the higher BUN levels measured in males from the high-dose TEHP treatment group were compatible with impaired kidney functions, the lower creatinine levels measured in males and females from the same treatment group were not. The increased relative weights of adrenal glands (high-dose DEHPA treatment group) and spleens (high-dose TEHP treatment group) observed in males were also accompanied by unremarkable histological features.

The mild increases in squamous epithelial cell apoptosis and necrosis accompanied by diffuse mild hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis observed in the non-glandular forestomach of all males and females exposed to the high DEHPA dose (and the minimal-to-mild increases observed in rats from the middle-dose DEHPA treatment group) were not noticed in any of the control or TEHP-treated rats (Table 7). These observations may have been caused by the corrosive nature of DEHPA and would likely have resolved upon cessation of exposure. The multifocal alveolitis, bronchiolitis and bronchitis with mononuclear cell infiltration observed in the lungs of one male and two females exposed to the high TEHP dose were most likely the consequence of accidental aspiration of the dosing solution. At the highest dose administered, TEHP accounted for more than half of the volume of the dosing solution, which may have affected the viscosity of the solution and the susceptibility to accidental lung aspiration (Damsch et al., 2012).

TEHP is a weak PXR agonist in vitro (Kojima et al., 2013). In an avian hepatocyte model, TEHP exposure was shown to induce the expression of two genes under CAR/PXR transcriptional control, while DEHPA exposure had no effect on the expression of the limited gene panel assessed in this study (Porter, Crump, Egloff, Chiu, & Kennedy, 2014). However, DEHPA presented activity in several ToxCast assays, including PXR and various peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor subtypes (Guan, Su, Giesy, & Zhang, 2016) and rodent oral exposures to DEHPA for 4 or 5 days resulted in increased relative liver weights accompanied by the induction of hepatic enzymes (Lundgren et al., 1987; Lundgren et al., 1988; Lundgren & DePierre, 1987). In the present study, increased relative liver weights were observed only in males from the low and middle TEHP and high DEHPA doses (Table 2). Multifocal centrilobular necrosis that was slightly more pronounced than background levels was observed in a few males and females exposed to the high TEHP and DEHPA doses (Table 7). Significantly lower hepatic EROD activities were observed in males from all TEHP treatment groups, and in females from the high-dose TEHP and DEHPA treatment groups (Table 4).

In both males and females, the serum biomarker of hepatic injury ALT was increased following exposure to high-dose TEHP, but decreased following exposure to high-dose DEHPA (Table 5). The lower serum ALT activities observed in kidney failure patients undergoing dialysis have been attributed to deficiencies in vitamin B6, an organophosphate molecule and coenzyme for aminotransferases (Jung et al., 1981; Ono et al., 1995). However, supplementation of rat serum with pyridoxal 5′-phosphate did not significantly affect ALT activity, arguing against a role for vitamin B6 deficiency in the unusually low ALT activities observed in DEHPA-treated rats (Figure 2). Overall, the relative liver weights, histology, enzymatic activities and serum biomarkers at the highest TEHP and DEHPA doses administered suggest only a mild hepatotoxicity potential for these organophosphate compounds.

4.5 Testicular toxicity

Testicular tubule degeneration/atrophy and spermatid retention accompanied by epididymis luminal sperm reduction and tubular exfoliation and inflammation were observed in the high-dose TEHP treatment group (Figure 3I, 3J, 3K, 3M and 3N, Table 7). Although the TEHP-induced testicular toxicity was not reported in a previous 2-year carcinogenicity study (National Toxicology Program, 1984), other ethylhexyl-containing compounds such as bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and potential metabolites (2-ethylhexanol, 2-ethylhexan-2-ol and 2-ethylhexanoic acid) have been shown to affect steroidogenesis and spermatogenesis and to cause testicular toxicity in young rats (Park, Habeebu, & Klaassen, 2002; Parmar, Srivastava, & Seth, 1986; Piché et al., 2012).

Numerous PAS-stained crystalline inclusions were also observed in testis interstitial cells from all TEHP-treated males, at all dose levels (Figure 3L, Table 7). Contrary to human testes where Reinke crystals are frequently observed in Leydig cells (Kozina et al., 2011), the observation of such testicular crystals is a rare occurrence in rats. These crystalloid structures observed exclusively in TEHP-treated rats may...
be the result of chemical-lysosomal complex accumulation in interstitial macrophages. Alternatively, these abundant crystals may have formed in metabolically active Leydig cells, which outnumber macrophages in testis interstitium. However, such testicular crystals were not reported in a 2-year TEHP carcinogenicity study (National Toxicology Program, 1984). This discrepancy may be explained by the different steroid synthetic activities of Leydig cells in young and senescent rats, or by different tissue fixation and staining protocols, as observed for Reinke crystals in human testicular biopsies (Mesa et al., 2015).

Reinke crystal protein constituents were tentatively identified as either nestin (Lobo et al., 2004) or calretinin and 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (Mesa et al., 2015; Mesa et al., 2016). Unfortunately, our first attempts to identify the protein constituents of testicular crystals from TEHP-treated rats by immunochecmistry proved unsuccessful. However, it is worth noting that while PAS strongly stains testicular crystals from TEHP-treated rats, Reinke crystals are visible but not highlighted by this stain (Mesa et al., 2015).

Interestingly, testicular crystals can be observed in the Australian wild bush rat (Rattus fuscipes), a seasonal breeder that presents large seasonal fluctuations in serum testosterone, luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone levels (Irby, Kerr, Risbridger, & de Kretser, 1984; Kerr, Abbenhuys, & Irby, 1986). Given that TEHP can present anti-estrogenic activities in vitro (Zhang et al., 2014), the serum levels of a few hormones were measured in males. In the absence of noticeable perturbation of pituitary gland histology, significantly lower prolactin and luteinizing hormone concentrations were observed in TEHP-treated male rats (Table 8). However, even more pronounced decreases in prolactin, luteinizing hormone (and follicle-stimulating hormone) levels were observed in DEHPA-treated rats. Although these observations provide new insights into the potential effects of TEHP and DEHPA on endocrine functions, they argue against a causative link between the observed hormonal perturbations and the PAS-stained crystals observed in the testes of TEHP-treated rats. Further investigations will be required to confirm the reproducibility of testicular crystal observation in TEHP-treated rats, and to characterize better the effects of TEHP and DEHPA on endocrine functions.

5 | CONCLUSION

Although impaired weight gains and hydrolysis of TEHP to DEHPA were observed exclusively in male rats (Figure 1, Tables 2 and 3), similar alterations of liver enzymatic activities (Table 4), serum clinical chemistry (Table 5), hematology (Table 6) and histopathology (Table 7) were often observed in both males and females. Similarities between the effects of TEHP and DEHPA were also noted. Given that the effects of TEHP on rat weight gains, organ weights and histology, hematology and clinical chemistry were mostly limited to the 3000-mg TEHP/kg BW/day treatment group, the no-observed-adverse-effect level for TEHP was estimated at 1000 mg TEHP/kg BW/day. Although the effects of DEHPA on hematology and clinical chemistry were mostly limited to the 180-mg DEHPA/kg BW/day treatment group, epithelial cell apoptosis and necrosis accompanied by hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis were unambiguously observed in the non-glandular forestomach of both males and females at the 60- and 180-mg DEHPA/kg BW/day dose levels. Based on this salient but most likely transitory and reversible response to the mildly corrosive DEHPA, and on the impaired BW gains observed in males from the same treatment groups, the no-observed-adverse-effect level for DEHPA was estimated at 20 mg DEHPA/kg BW/day. However, the interstitial cell crystals observed in the testes of all TEHP-treated males, and the perturbations of pituitary hormones by both TEHP and DEHPA (Figure 3, Tables 7 and 8) deserve further investigation and may prove to be the most sensitive endpoints affected by these organophosphate compounds.
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