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Abstract

Improvements that can be attained in seasonal climate predictions in various parts of Africa using the multimodel supersensemble scheme are presented in this study. The synthetic superensemble (SSE) used follows the approach originally developed at Florida State University (FSU). The technique takes more advantage of the skill in the climate forecast data sets from atmosphere-ocean general circulation models running at many centres worldwide including the WMO global producing centers (GPCs). The module used in this work drew data sets from the Four versions of FSU coupled model system, seven models from the DEMETER project which is the forerun to the current European Ensembles Forecast System, the NCAR Model, and the Predictive Ocean Atmosphere Model for Australia (POAMA), all making a set of 13 individual models. An archive consisting of monthly simulations of precipitation was available over all the 5 regions of Africa, namely Eastern, Central, Northern, Southern, and Western Africa. The results showed that the SSE forecast for precipitation carries a higher skill compared to each of the member models and the ensemble mean. Relative to the ensemble mean (EM), the SSE provides an improvement of 18% in simulation of season cycle of precipitation climatology. In Eastern Africa, during December-February season, a north-south gradient of precipitation prevails between Tropical East Africa and the sector of the region towards Southern Africa. This regional scale climate pattern is a direct influence of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITZC) across the African continent during this time of the year. The SSE emerges with superior skill scores such as lowest root mean square error above the EM and the member models, for example in the prediction of spatial location and precipitation magnitudes that characterize the see-saw precipitation pattern in Eastern Africa. In all parts of Africa, and especially Eastern Africa where seasonal precipitation variability is a frequent cause huge...
human suffering due to droughts and famine, the multimodel superensemble and its subsequent improvements will always provide a forecast that outweighs the best Atmosphere-Ocean Climate Model. This approach and results herein imply that climate services centres worldwide and Africa in particular can make more objective use of model forecast data sets provided by global producing centres (GPCs) for consensus climate outlooks.
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1. Introduction
The livelihoods and economies of most African countries depend on rain-fed agriculture. The rainfall is seasonal everywhere in the continent and for any given season, there are many periods when the seasonal precipitation amounts are too low to support agriculture and sometimes too heavy. Thus climate extremes in form of droughts and floods are very common in most parts of Africa and they have catastrophic impacts. Improved climate predictions for Africa is the only means of providing quantitative information that can be used for planning and management of socio-economic activities dependent on seasonal precipitation. Good examples are the climatic extremes experienced in East Africa from 1997 through 2000. The period September 1997 to March 1998 was a prolonged season of devastating rainfall floods in East Africa and those rainfall floods were also associated to the 1997/98 warm ENSO event [1] [2]. In Kenya, the 1997/98 floods caused rotting of food crops due to water logging and too much water vapour in the air, submerging of homes in urban and rural areas, huge destruction of roads and bridges and disease outbreaks due to contamination of fresh water supplies. The total economic loss due to the 1997/98 floods over Kenya has been estimated to the tune of US $670 million according to [3]. A severe drought and famine ravaged much of Kenya and Southern Ethiopia from mid 1998 through 2000 and it’s catastrophic impacts included starvation of communities, massive deaths of livestock, lack of water for domestic and industrial use including hydropower generation, and closure of industries. The impacts of these climatic extremes can be minimized with availability of high accuracy climate forecasts for Africa, examples of which are illustrated in this study.

Droughts and floods are basically extremes embedded within the interannual variability of climate over the various regions of Africa. The mechanisms that have been linked to climate extremes in East Africa include the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO), boundary forcing of certain sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies in the oceans, and fluctuations in the large-scale atmosphere-ocean circulation system. These mechanisms have been studied by many authors including [1] [2] [4] [5]. Thus, the predictability of the seasonal climate extremes
is not only an interesting scientific venture, but the quantified forecast information is the only means of providing societies with the quantitative information that can be factored into early warning, policy decision, and disaster preparedness in advance of the onset of the extremes. Precipitation in form of rainfall is by far the most important climate element over all the regions of Africa, and it will constitute the main subject of the present study. The objective of this study is to illustrate that it is possible to produce quantitatively skillful seasonal rainfall/climate forecasts over various regions of the Africa by an optimal combination of real-time forecasts made by the state-of-art global climate models (GCMs) that are running at several centers worldwide. In addition to addressing the forecasting needs, accurate predictions can also help in understanding the evolution of the climate mechanisms over the diverse regions of the continent.

One of the most authoritative illustrations of the performance of GCMs in the simulation of various variables of the climate may be found in [6] following the multimodel data sets of the Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project (AMIP) which has evolved to the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) Coupled Model Intercomparison Project which is currently at Phase 6 (CMIP6). AMIP results of the late 1990s and the current results from the Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs) of [7] reveal that there are still big model-to-model differences in simulation of precipitation and this is still the status in climate prediction and projection models “global producing centre models (GPCs)” and CMIP6 models.

Climate forecasts, even from the state-of-the-art AOGCMs inevitably suffer from model differences and model errors. Following the modeling experiences from AMIP results of early 2000s to CMIP5 and CMIP6 results of later 2019, the multimodel ensemble mean (EM) method evolved as one way of overcoming problems associated with model errors (that arise from truncation, discretization, sampling of boundary conditions, and also from unknown sources). Within the recent years, the use of multimodel forecasts and EM has been an important component in climate predictions done at many centers worldwide [8]. The skill of single and multi-model ensembles has been reported in many studies including [9] [10] [11]. Forecasts made from model ensemble systems and the EM still show large space and time variability in skill. Owing to modeling differences, some models have better skill than others, yet the EM weights all the member models equally. The multimodel superensemble scheme developed at the Florida State University (FSUSE) has emerged as an objective means of overcoming these practical difficulties. Some good studies which have used the FSUSE scheme in climate forecasts include [12] [13]. By using a criteria that reduces the root mean square error (RMSE) for each individual model forecast based on its past performance, FSUSE product emerges superior to the multi-models and the ensemble mean [14].

The multimodel superensemble forecast scheme used in the present study is a modified version of the conventional FSUSE approach. The variation is necessitated by attributes in the observational analysis field and member model fore-
casts that affect the skill of the consensus product. Poor analysis field and low skill in individual member models degrade the skill [14]. In the conventional approach, the optimal weights used to combine the models are derived from the past performance of each model and minimization of the root mean square error. This criterion however does not ensure that the spatial-temporal multimodel fields evolve consistently with the dominant spatial-temporal evolution of the observations [15] [16]. Furthermore, redundancy in both multimodel data sets and analysis fields may also mask some useful aspects of the superensemble forecast product. In this study, the conventional superensemble technique is modified by inclusion of these aspects to improve the data quality and enhance the stability of the climate forecasts in the various regions of Africa. This version is called synthetic superensemble, hereafter referred to as SSE. It has given major improvements in seasonal climate forecasts, not only on the improved skill scores, but also predictability of the spatial patterns of the climate evolution and some results on it’s performance may be found in [12] [15].

A set of 13 GCMs is used in this study to construct the SSE forecasts in five regions of Africa. The regions are delineated in accordance with the large scale forcing mechanisms that prevail during the course of the year. The regions are presented in Figure 1. In particular, the study attempts to determine if there is an improvement in the use of SSE relative to the EM and individual models in the simulation of annual cycle of precipitation, simulation of the spatial extend and magnitude of seasonal precipitation, and capability in forecasting the seasonal extremes, especially those associated with the evolutionary phases ENSO phenomenon. East Africa is used as a region of detailed study. It is a region where, like most tropical areas, interannual variability is strongly influenced by ENSO [17]. The climate models used are discussed in the next section. Section 3 provides an outline of the SSE scheme, the observational analysis fields used, and the measures of skill used. Section 4 is a discussion of results and Section 5 presents conclusions.

Figure 1. The five regions of Africa used in the study.
2. The Global Climate Models

The first set of climate models used in the study is the FSU ensemble system consisting of four versions the FSU atmospheric model following [18] that is coupled to the Hamburg Ocean Model following [19]. The four FSU versions are configurations of this atmospheric-ocean system with two versions of the cumulus parameterization scheme, the modified Kuo scheme following [20], and the Arakawa-Schubert type of scheme following [21]. The model can also use two versions of radiative transfer scheme, an “older” emissivity-absorptivity radiative transfer procedure following [22] and a “newer” version of radiative transfer scheme according to [23]. The FSU model with Kuo scheme with ‘older’ radiation is called “KOR”; the version with Kuo scheme combined with “newer” radiation scheme is called “KNR”; the version using Arakawa-Schubert scheme with “older” radiation procedure is “AOR”, and that using Arakawa-Schubert scheme combined with “newer” radiation is called “ANR”.

The fifth model is National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) community climate model (CCM3). CCM3 is spectral and the version used in our study is a triangular truncation at 63 waves, and 26 levels in the vertical (T63L26). A complete description of CCM3 is provided by [24].

The sixth model in the set is the Predictive Ocean Atmosphere Model of Australia (POAMA). POAMA is also a spectral model, and the version used in these results is a T42L17.

The other seven models in the study are the Development of a European Multimodel Ensemble System for seasonal to interannual prediction, called DEMETER multi-model ensemble system. DEMETER prediction system comprised coupled ocean-atmosphere models of the following institutions: the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF); UK Met Office (UKMO); Max-Planck Institut für Meteorologie, Germany (MPI); Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Italy (INGV); European Centre for Research and Advanced Training in Scientific Computation, France (CERFACS); Centre National de Recherche Météorologiques, France (CNRM); and Laboratoire d’Océanographie Dynamique et de Climatologie, France (LODYC). DEMETER models have been used in hindcast simulations of monthly global climate over the years 1989-2001, for which initial data assimilation were the ERA-40. The ERA-40 is a European Reanalysis Project that availed high-quality global analysis of atmosphere, land and ocean conditions for the years 1957-2002. The ERA-40 reanalysis are described in [25]. Details of the DEMETER models and climate simulations may be found in [26]. Table 1 provides an overview of the 13 models and the length of monthly simulations of precipitation for each model used in the study.

3. Data Sets and Methods

3.1. Data Sets

The observed precipitation data sets used are the Climate Prediction Center
Table 1. Summary of the 13 climate models and length of monthly averages of climate parameters available for each model used in the study.

| Name and source     | Model characteristics                                      | Model characteristics                                      | Length of month by month model forecast data |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| KOR, FSU            | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to HOPE ocean model      | T63L14, ECMWF with Physical Initialization                | 1989-2001                                   |
| KNR, FSU            | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to HOPE ocean model      | T63L14, ECMWF with Physical Initialization                | 1989-2001                                   |
| AOR, FSU            | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to HOPE ocean model      | T63L14, ECMWF with Physical Initialization                | 1989-2001                                   |
| ANR, FSU            | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to HOPE ocean model      | T63L14, ECMWF with Physical Initialization                | 1989-2001                                   |
| CCM3, NCAR          | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to NCOM Slab ocean model | T63L26, AVN                                               | 1989-2001                                   |
| POAMA, AUSTRALIA    | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to ACOM ocean model      | T47L17, BAM analysis                                      | 1989-2001                                   |
| CERFACS, FRANCE     | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to OPA 8.2 ocean model   | T63L31, ERA-40                                            | 1989-2001                                   |
| CNRM, FRANCE        | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to OPA 8.0 ocean model   | T63L31, ERA-40                                            | 1989-2001                                   |
| LODYC, FRANCE       | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to OPA 8.2 ocean model   | T95L40, ERA-40                                            | 1989-2001                                   |
| INGV, ITALY         | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to OPA 8.1 ocean model   | T42L19, Coupled AMIP-type                                 | 1989-2001                                   |
| MPI, GERMANY        | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to MPI-OMI ocean model   | T42L19, Coupled Run                                      | 1989-2001                                   |
| UKMO, UK            | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to GloSea OGCM ocean model | T63L31, ERA-40                                          | 1989-2001                                   |
| ECMWF, Europe       | Spectral atmosphere model coupled to HOPE-E ocean model    | T95L40, ERA-40                                            | 1989-2001                                   |

(CPC) precipitation called CMAP. The data is global, starting from 1979 to present and the part used in the study was for the 13 years 1989-2001. CMAP data is created by a technique that produces monthly values and patterns of global precipitation by merging rain gauge observations with precipitation estimates from several satellite-based algorithms that makes use of infrared and microwave channels. CMAP data set may contain an artificial downward trend for the period after 1996. In the study, the data is used for qualitative applications and results are verified against station records wherever applicable. A description of CMAP data is according to [27].

For all the 13 global climate models, an archive consisting of month by month hindcasts for a 13 year period 1989-2001 was available for the study. A summary of these model data sets is included in Table 1. From these model archives and observational data sets, annual cycles and interannual variability of precipitation
were extracted and studied using the multimodel superensemble scheme as outlined in the next section.

3.2. The Multimodel Superensemble Scheme

The Florida State University multimodel superensemble technique has been developed as a tool for making skillfully deterministic forecasts by a combination of global model forecasts that are made by many centers round the world. The scheme follows the studies of [8] [12] [28] briefly outlined as follows. Given a set of climate forecasts from a group of "N" multilevel global models, the conventional multimodel superensemble (S) is defined by the multiple linear regression equation:

\[ S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i (Y_i - Y_i^T) + Z \]  

where \( S \) is the multimodel superensemble, \( a_i \) is a statistical weight for the \( i^{th} \) model, \( Y_i \) is the \( i^{th} \) model forecast, \( Y_i^T \) is time average of the forecast by the \( i^{th} \) member model, and \( Z \) is the time average of the observation. For the determination of the statistical weights, the forecast time line is split into 2 parts, a training period and a validation period. The statistical weights are then determined by the minimization of the root-mean-square error (RMSE) function (E):

\[ E = \sum_{t=1}^{T_{\text{train}}} (S_t - Z_t)^2 \]  

where \( T_{\text{train}} \) denotes the length of the training period. \( S_t \) is the multimodel superensemble and \( Z_t \) the observation. This process is also referred to as the conventional multimodel superensemble scheme and the regression coefficients \( a_i \) are solved for using a Gauss-Jordan elimination algorithm. The weights are calculated at every grid point and at every vertical level over the whole training period of the superensemble. For a single level climate parameter such as precipitation, there are as many as \( 1.7 \times 10^6 \) weights.

For all the models, the total length of monthly data was 13 years and 12 months for each year. This length was too short to give stable results in forecasts of climate. Cross validation was used to increase the statistical stability the climate forecasts. A good discussions on the usefulness of cross validation may be found in [29] [30]. The cross validation procedure was done by exclusion of one year at a time, training the superensemble with the remaining data series and using the weights obtained to forecast the year excluded. All the climate results discussed in this study are cross validated.

3.3. The Multimodel Synthetic Superensemble Scheme

As discussed in Section 1, the change from conventional superensemble scheme to the synthetic superensemble (SSE) technique is necessitated by attributes in the multimodel predictor data sets and analysis field that degrade the skill of the forecasts as discussed in [14] [15] [28].
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The multimodel predictor data set for each model and the predict and analysis field are first pre-processed into empirical orthogonal functions (EOFs) that represent the most significant modes of internal variance in space and the corresponding principal components (PCs) that track the temporal evolution of the map patterns. Assuming that there are a total of “m” leading EOFs and PCs of each member model “i” and observations, all of which are determined over a training length (t), the predictand (Z) and the multimodel predictor set (Y) are expressed as linear combinations of EOFs and PCs by:

\[
Z(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{Z}_m(t)e_m(x)
\]

\[
Y_i(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{Y}_{i,m}(t)e_{i,m}(x)
\]

where \(\hat{Z}_m(t), \hat{Y}_{i,m}(t)\) and \(e_{i,m}(x)\) are the PC and EOF corresponding to the \(m^{th}\) mode for the observation and the \(i^{th}\) member model. \(M\) is the total number of EOFs used. The number of EOFs used in the analysis is such that the cumulative variance recovered by those EOFs is at least 95% because the objective of using EOFs in this case is to improve the quality of the data sets. The PCs required in Equations (3) and (4) are calculated over the length of training phase (t).

The next problem is the determination of spatial patterns of multimodel predictor field that evolves in a way that is most consistent with the EOFs of observed analysis. This consistent pattern is obtained by a regression of the predictand PCs calculated by Equation (3) onto the PCs of the models calculated by Equation (4). This is a linear regression problem on EOF space and it is given by the equation:

\[
\hat{Z}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{i,m}\hat{Y}_{i,m}(t) + r_{i,m}(t)
\]

where \(a_{i,m}\) are regression coefficients and \(r_{i,m}(t)\) is the residual error of the \(i^{th}\) model at the \(m^{th}\) EOF mode. The coefficients \(a_{i,m}\) are determined such that the residual error variance \(E(r^2)\) is minimum and once the coefficients are determined, PCs of the predictor multimodel set over the total time line (T) are given the equation:

\[
\hat{Y}^{\text{reg}}(T) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{i,m}\hat{Y}_{i,m}(T)
\]

The new PCs are computed for each model, and they are referred to as multimodel synthetic ensemble predictor set. The synthetic multimodel predictor field is given by:

\[
Y^{\text{syn}}_i(x,T) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{Y}^{\text{reg}}_m(T)e_{i,m}(x)
\]

The rest of the synthetic superensemble forecast scheme proceeds following the conventional multimodel superensemble technique as outlined in section 3.2 above.
3.4. The Statistical Measures of Skill

The measures of skill used in the study include the root mean square error (RMSE) and anomaly correlation. The root mean square error (RMSE) is always positive. It measures the total error and a minimum RMSE is a basic criterion used in the construction of superensemble forecast scheme. Anomaly correlation is also used to measure how well the forecast departs from the climatological mean in comparison to departures from the same climatological mean in the verification analysis. A detailed discussion of statistical measures of skill and their use in the validation of superensemble forecasts may be obtained from [31].

4. Results and Discussion

In the assessment of climate simulations and forecasts using a global climate model (GCM) it is important that the modeled and observed climate variables are compared and some measures of goodness used to quantify the model skill. Africa is climatologically diverse and different areas have unique regimes of annual cycle of seasonal precipitation and atmospheric circulation. Considering precipitation, various regions of the continent experience clearly defined wet and dry seasons. For example, areas of tropical Africa within the neighborhood of the equator have two wet seasons during the year, referred to as bimodal precipitation regime and areas further to the north and south experience a unimodal distribution [32]. A comprehensive discussion of the physical mechanisms associated with seasonal climate in the various regions of Africa may be found in [33] [34] among other studies. Thus a starting point in using a climate model to provide climate forecasts is first to ascertain that the model is capable of simulating realistically the annual and seasonal cycles of climate over the region of interest. Taking Eastern Africa (20°E - 50°E, 20°S - 10°N) as a region for detailed study analysis, the following discussion highlights these aspects using the 13 individual models, the multimodel ensemble mean (EM) and the superensemble (SSE) simulations.

4.1. Annual and Seasonal Cycle of Precipitation in East Africa

Figure 2 shows the climatology of precipitation for each month of the year in the East African region. The observations used are the CMAP data sets of [27] and the long term means for each month were calculated over the 13 year period 1989-2001. In January, the precipitation can be up to 4 mm/day and the amounts decrease during February, and subsequent months to a minimum of 1 mm/day in June. June to August is a dry season and significant amounts of rainfall starts to be received in September and increase to be as high as 3.5 mm/day in December.

Figures 3(a)-(p) presents the observed and model simulated spatial distribution of precipitation climatology during the December - February (DJF) season in Eastern Africa. Figure 3(a) shows the observed pattern and it can be noticed that during the DJF season, there exists a regional north-south gradient of...
**Figure 2.** Monthly climatology of precipitation (mm/day) in Eastern Africa from CMAP data sets of Xie and Arkin (1997).

**Figure 3.** Observed and simulated climatology of precipitation during December-February (DJF) season in Eastern Africa by the superensemble (SSE), ensemble mean (EM), and member models. Contour interval is 2 mm/day: (a) Observations, (b) SSE, (c) EM, (d)-(p) Member models 1 to 13. (a) OBScli; (b) SSEcli; (c) EMcli; (d) M01cli; (e) M02cli; (f) M03cli; (g) M04cli; (h) M05cli; (i) M06cli; (j) M07cli; (k) M08cli; (l) M09cli; (m) M10cli; (n) M11cli; (o) M12cli; (p) M13cli.
precipitation. The gradient consists of heavy precipitation southwards of the equator, and a general precipitation deficit northwards. It is found that southwards of the equator, the precipitation amounts are greater than 3 mm/day, and to the north, the season precipitation is substantially less.

An important question that may be considered is whether the model precipitation amounts are comparable to those in the observed annual cycle, and if the spatial distributions in the model and observations are physically consistent with the synoptic mechanisms that prevail during the season. The Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) is the main mechanism of seasonal precipitation in Eastern Africa, and during the DJF season, it is located within the southern sector of Eastern Africa. Furthermore, the Northeasterlies flowing into the Southern sector of Eastern Africa are a component of the Indian Ocean winter monsoon circulation [34], and the consequence is heavy rainfall over the southern sector. The observed north-south precipitation gradient appearing in Figure 3(a) is therefore physically consistent with the large-scale circulation mechanisms.

Figure 3(b) shows the DJF precipitation climatology simulated by the superensemble (SSE). Comparing Figure 3(b) with Figure 3(a), it is seen that the spatial distribution of precipitation and magnitudes in the SSE product represent all the salient features of the observed season precipitation. The SSE simulated precipitation exhibits the regional scale north-south gradient very well. The simulation of the DJF climatology by the multimodel ensemble mean (EM) is shown in Figure 3(c) and one of the most notable shortcomings of the EM is an underestimation of the gradient pattern and the northward coverage of precipitation is beyond area of observed precipitation. The performance of the individual models is shown in Figures 3(d)-(p). It is found that some of the models are a very poor representation of season climatology, for example models 8 and 10 shown in Figure 3(k) and Figure 3(m). Most of the other models capture to some extent an aspect of the season climatology, but there is a big difference from model to model in the simulation of precipitation magnitudes and spatial distribution. Nevertheless, it is useful to investigate how the entire annual cycle of precipitation climatology is represented by the SSE, EM, and the member models.

The performance of the member models, the EM, and the SSE in simulation of the complete annual cycle of precipitation in East Africa is shown in Figure 4 for the four seasons March-May (MAM), June-August (JJA), September-November (SON), and December-February (DJF). In Figure 4, the bars show the precipitation in mm/day and for each season, first bar is the observation, the next 13 bars are the models 1 to 13, 14th bar is the EM, and the last bar is the SSE. For any season, the difference between the observation and the models is an expression of model skill/performance. From these results, it is evident that there are two main wet seasons MAM and SON separated by a drier JJA season for Eastern Africa. The DJF season is slightly wetter than JJA. In general, the annual cycle is a bimodal distribution in Eastern Africa and the member models simulate this basic characteristic with a big difference in skill from model to model. For all the
It is found that the SSE precipitation is closest to the observation and it is only during MAM season that the EM is comparable to the observation. An effective way to compare the SSE simulation with the EM (or a member model) is computation of the difference between the SSE and EM (or a member model) and then dividing by the EM (or member model) and multiplying by 100 so as to express the skill as a percentage improvement [31]. Using this approach, it is may be deduced that the SSE provides an average improvement of 18% above the EM in the simulation of the season to season climatology of precipitation. Thus in addition to simulating a realistic annual cycle, the superensemble resolves the huge inter-model differences. This skill capability of the superensemble is also valid for Central, North, South, and West Africa domains and it indicates that the SSE scheme can be relied upon to make seasonal forecasts of precipitation.

4.2. Seasonal Forecasting of Precipitation

Figure 5 shows the root mean square error (RMSE) in forecasts of January-March (JFM) seasonal precipitation in East Africa for all the 13 years 1989-2001. The forecasts were made by each member model, the multimodel ensemble mean (EM), and by the multimodel synthetic superensemble technique (SSE). Comparing the RMSE of the members and EM, it is noted that the EM is a generally a superior forecast product relative to the individual models. For example in all the 13 years, it is only 4 cases where an individual model shows lower error than the EM. This outcome suggests that given a suit of models, the forecast made by a simple averaging of all the individual model forecasts is a product superior to the individual models, and it is these type of results that motivated the use of multimodel ensemble mean methodology that has been popularly used in many centers worldwide [8]. However, comparing the EM and SSE forecast as illustrated in Figure 5 by the last 2-bars for each year, it is seen
that in 12 of all the 13 years, the RMSE of the superensemble is smaller than that of the ensemble mean.

It is important to establish the confidence level at which the RMSE of the SSE is superior to that of the EM. A student’s t-test statistic is used. The test is constructed under the null hypothesis that no difference exists, and details of the t-test are given in Appendix. The percentage significance level at which the RMSE of the SSE forecast is superior to that of the EM product in each one of all the years are illustrated by the additional numbers appearing on the top of Figure 5. For the East African JFM season precipitation, the average RMSE in the forecasts made by the SSE and EM are 1 mm/day and 1.5 mm/day respectively. The total errors of the member models are much higher than these values and these larger errors indicate low skills in the members. On average, it is seen that in the forecasts of JFM season precipitation, the SSE performs 33% better than the EM with a confidence of 85%.

Figures 6(a)-(c) illustrate the total errors in seasonal forecasts of precipitation in three other regions of Africa. The seasons considered are March-May (MAM) in Central Africa shown in Figure 6(a), July-September (JAS) illustrated in Figure 6(b) for North Africa, and also JAS season in West Africa demonstrated in Figure 6(c). It is seen from these results that, in all the regions, the total errors in the member models are remarkably higher than those of the ensemble mean and the superensemble. The superensemble performs much better than the ensemble mean in all regions. For example, in the JAS 1989 precipitation over North Africa shown in Figure 6(b), the ensemble mean RMSE was 1.5 mm/day, while the superensemble RMSE was only 0.5 mm/day. This is equivalent to improving the forecast by the ensemble mean by 67%. It is also found that in all the three regions of Africa, the RMSE of the superensemble product is superior to that of the ensemble mean at a confidence level above 95%.

4.3. Precipitation Extremes Associated with ENSO in Eastern Africa

In Eastern Africa, one of the global mechanisms that is associated with extremes in the interannual variability of seasonal precipitation is the El-Niño/Southern
Oscillation (warm ENSO) phenomenon. The Tropical Eastern sector of East Africa receives heavy precipitation during the warm ENSO phase [2] [32], while the southern sector of the region extending into Southern Africa suffers drought conditions. The condition reverses during the cold ENSO phase to give drought conditions in the Tropical Eastern Africa and enhanced precipitation in Southeastern Africa [35] [36]. Studies using global climate models done over the region, including those of [1] [2] suggest that the ENSO teleconnection with East Africa precipitation during the seasons within September to February is physically consistent with the underlying boundary forcing and atmospheric dynamics.

Figure 7 shows a time-longitude section, also called hovmoller diagram of precipitation in East Africa from longitude 20°E to 50°E during the years 1989 to 2001 for seasons MAM, JJJA, SON, and DJF. Figure 7(a) shows the observed pattern. One of the most conspicuous precipitation events in Figure 7(a) is the 1997/98 flood event that started during the season September 1997 and continued into early months of 1998. It has been associated with the 1997/98 El-Niño phenomenon [2]. The suppressed precipitation leading to the droughts of 1996 and 1999 which were associated with La Niña event of these years are also evident in Figure 7(a). Figure 7(b) shows the corresponding SSE forecast. It found that the succession of wet and dry events in the SSE forecast and their
longitudinal positions coincide very well with observations. The precipitation magnitudes are also to the same order. The ensemble mean (EM) and best performing member model time-longitude sections are shown in Figure 7(c) and Figure 7(d). Comparing the EM to the observations, it is may be seen that the wettest events that occur in the westward side of East Africa are shifted eastwards, and the magnitudes seem too high. On the other hand, the best performing member model tends to underestimate the wet events, for example the magnitude of the 1997/98 flood in the best model is just a small precipitation signal to the extreme east of the region. This result can be seen by comparing the patterns along year 1998 in Figure 7(a) and Figure 7(d).

Figure 8 presents the interannual variability of East Africa precipitation during the September-November (SON) season as simulated by the superensemble, the ensemble mean, and a member model. It is found that the overall wet and dry precipitation events associated with ENSO events are captured with some appreciable skill. From Figure 8, good examples are the droughts of 1996 and 1999 which were associated with La Niña events and the El Niño associated floods of 1994 and 1997. The superensemble does not capture well the precipitation magnitude of the 1997 event during SON season, but the peak is evident in the result. An explanation for this outcome could be that in the 1989-2001 multimodel archives that were available for the study, this was the only intense precipitation extreme in East Africa. The cross validation approach used in the linear regression omits its weights out of the linear regression model that forecasts.
it and this lowers the statistical skill in its prediction. In a operational application, a multimodel data set of at least 30 years will give even better results because within a 30 year climatological period, there would be a number of ENSO and/or sea surface temperature (SST) associated precipitation extremes and therefore several superensemble weights. It is interesting to study how the various models, the EM, and SSE performed in the placement of the precipitation anomalies for these wet and dry extremes in the climate.

Figures 9(a)-(p) illustrate the space patterns of observed and simulated precipitation anomalies during September-November (SON) season of the year 1994 in Eastern Africa. During the SON season, the 1994 wet event rather than 1997 is used because in the interannual variability, the skill of the former is better as noticed from result in Figure 8. The base period used for calculation of the long term mean in all cases is the 13 years from 1989 to 2001. The contouring of the precipitation anomalies illustrated in all the panels in Figure 9 has been done to show areas of positive and negative precipitation anomalies on the same scale. Figure 9(a) shows the observed anomaly and it is found that the flood event was concentrated in the Tropical East Africa sector, centered around the equator and oriented in a manner that suggests an influence the Indian ocean. The area extending southwards into South Africa is dry. Nevertheless, it is important to remember that during SON period, the southern sector of Eastern Africa is normally dry. The forecasts of the 1994 flood event by the SSE, EM, and the member models are shown by the series of panels Figures 9(b)-(p). Comparing the SSE product with observation, it is noticed that the East Africa precipitation flood event is predicted with good skill in magnitude and spatial extend. As found from the comparison of Figures 9(c)-(p) with Figure 9(a), the EM and member models performed poorly in forecasting the flood event. The season SON during 1994 was a moderate warm ENSO event in the global climate system and it is therefore reasonable to associate the skill seen in this result with that warm ENSO event. For the season SON, a warm ENSO wet composite can
Figure 9. The spatial distribution of precipitation anomalies in East Africa during the moderate flood event of September-November (SON) during 1994. (a) Observations, (b) SSE, (c) EM, (d)-(p) Member models 1 to 13. (a) OBS_94; (b) SSE_94; (c) EM_94; (d) M01_94; (e) M02_94; (f) M03_94; (g) M04_94; (h) M05_94; (i) M06_94; (j) M07_94; (k) M08_94; (l) M09_94; (m) M10_94; (n) M11_94; (o) M12_94; (p) M13_94.

be defined as the average of the 1994 and 1997. The results are similar to those shown in Figure 9 and the SSE product emerges as a much forecast for the flood conditions in magnitudes and spatial distribution precipitations associated with warm ENSO events in Eastern Africa. From Figure 8, it is found that the 1996 drought that occurred during the SON season was predicted with good skill and it is useful to study the spatial distribution of the precipitation anomalies.

Figure 10 presents the observations and forecasts of the 1996 drought. In Figure 10, the season precipitation anomalies have been contoured to show areas of drought as those with negative precipitation anomalies and from panel (a), it is may be seen that the drought area of interest is the Tropical Eastern
Figure 10. The spatial distribution of precipitation anomalies in East Africa during the drought event of September-November (SON) during 1996. (a) Observations, (b) SSE, (c) EM, (d)-(p) member models 1 to 13. (a) OBS_96; (b) SSE_96; (c) EM_96; (d) M01_96; (e) M02_96; (f) M03_96; (g) M04_96; (h) M05_96; (i) M06_96; (j) M07_96; (k) M08_96; (l) M09_96; (m) M10_96; (n) M11_96; (o) M12_96; (p) M13_96.

sector of East Africa. The superensemble forecast for the drought is shown in panel (b) and it captures the precipitation deficit well in magnitude and spatial coverage. The EM and member model forecasts are shown in panels (c) to (p) and it is found that none of these simulations compares with observations as favorably as the SSE product.

The prediction of the regional scale precipitation gradient that exists within Eastern Africa during the December-February (DJF) season is a feature that models simulate with huge differences. During the warm ENSO phase, Equatorial East Africa tends to receive enhanced precipitation and Southern Africa suffers a drought. The pattern is nearly opposite during cold ENSO phase and it
is characterized by droughts in Equatorial Eastern Africa and flooding in Southern Africa. This regional scale climate feature can be recognized as a seesaw or dipole pattern. Additional discussions of the ENSO teleconnection with seasonal precipitation over the region may be found in [2] [32] [35] [36] among other studies and authors. It is useful to determine how well the superensemble predicts this regional feature. In Eastern Africa, seasonal forecasts of climate are usually done SSTs and ENSO derived statistical relationships with precipitation. The statistical models cannot always give forecasts that are physically consistent with the large-scale mechanisms such as the atmospheric circulation characteristics and its modulation by regional and local scale processes. In this study, it is sufficient to focus on the skill of the SSE relative to the EM in forecasting this see-saw pattern in precipitation between Tropical East Africa and Southern Parts the larger Eastern Africa. By obtaining the average of the warm ENSO and cold ENSO events within the model datasets used in this study, Figure 11 summarizes the predictability of the regional precipitation gradient in which panels (a) and (d) show observations for the gradient pattern, panels (b) and (e) illustrate predictability by the SSE scheme and panels (c) and (f) show the performance of the EM. For the two scenarios, it is found that the multimodel superensemble simulates the “see-saw” in precipitation quite consistently with observations and outperforms the ensemble mean in getting accurately the magnitudes and spatial distribution of the season precipitation.

Thus, the multimodel superensemble scheme can provide skill and robust results that are spatially consistent over very large areas, such as seen in these
results for the Eastern Africa ENSO precipitation dipole pattern. In global climate models and also climate forecasts in many parts of Africa, SST anomaly patterns have been the most reliable long-range predictors. Accurate predictions of SST anomalies including ocean specific modes of variability, for example the Indian Ocean dipole mode [37] and ENSO SST anomalies in the equatorial Pacific are crucial for improvement of seasonal climate predictability, especially in Eastern Africa. The superensemble has been shown to provide accurate predictions of SST anomalies in all the tropical oceans [38]. Therefore, superensemble modeling can be used in prediction of all aspects of the climate system, with an accuracy that address the spatial and temporal evolution of the climate extremes better than anyone climate model. The prediction skill seen in the above results for dipole pattern of precipitation the larger Eastern Africa is a confirmation that this technique of climate modeling provides a robust means of predicting accurately regional scale climate extremes. Regional scale information of this nature and quality is a necessary input in the socio-economic planning and mobilization of resources for early warning, long-term advisories and policies actions towards addressing of tribal/ethnic conflicts due to climatically driven resources in the Arid and Semi-Arid Lands (ASALs), for example fatal conflicts over grasslands and watering points between Semi-Nomadic communities living in Northern Kenya, Northeastern Uganda, and Southern Ethiopia during drought periods due to failure of seasonal rainfall. The construction of a multimodel superensemble forecasts can be the climate modeling solution to climate scientists working in Africa provided the worldwide centers with the facilities for continuous improvements of specific climate models avail the real-time model data sets to scientists within Africa.

5. Conclusions

A comprehensive data from a suit of 13 global climate models has been used to construct superensemble climate forecasts in various regions of Africa. The multimodel superensemble technique that has been developed in Florida State University is used. The approach removes the collective bias of the individual model forecasts by assigning weights to each of the member models based on their past performance and using those weights in a multiple linear regression to produce a consensus forecast for the variable of interest. In this study, the main objective is predictability of seasonal precipitation over various regions of Africa, and the potential improvements that can be achieved by using the superensemble relative the skill attributes of the individual models and multimodel ensemble mean were the only forecast schemes available.

In the study, Eastern Africa is taken as a region of detailed analysis. In Eastern Africa, the interannual variability of precipitation during some seasons is strongly associated with the global ocean SSTs and ENSO phenomenon, and often leads to heavy rainfall floods in Tropical Eastern Africa and drought conditions in South-eastern Africa during warm ENSO phases and the pattern reverses during the cold ENSO phase. This may be viewed as a see-saw of climate-stress across
the larger Eastern African and it has far reaching socio-economic implications on communities who are generally very poor and depend on rain-fed agriculture and agro-pastoral activities for food production. Improvements of prediction of seasonal climate anomalies that affect such huge areas is therefore a matter of priority in many parts of Africa.

The results have shown that even though the individual climate models and the ensemble mean simulate the basic annual and seasonal cycles of precipitation, the magnitudes and spatial distribution compare poorly with observations. In the simulation of the seasonal cycle of precipitation in the various regions of Africa, the superensemble product is found to be closest to the observed climatology, while the member models and ensemble mean simulations show big departures from observations. For the East Africa region, the superensemble may be said to provide an improvement of 18% above the multimodel ensemble mean during the seasons March-May (MAM), June-August (JJA), September-November (SON), and December-February (DJF). During the DJF season, a regional scale north-south gradient of precipitation prevails between Tropical East Africa and South-eastern Africa. This regional scale climate pattern is a direct influence of the intertropical convergence zone (ITZC) across the African continent during this time of the year. The superensemble emerges as best among the member models and ensemble mean in the simulation of the north-south gradient of precipitation in the region.

When applied on a seasonal basis in various regions of Africa, the superensemble gave precipitation forecasts that outperformed the member models and ensemble mean in skill scores. For example, in the July-September (JAS) precipitation in North Africa during 1989, the ensemble mean root mean square error (RMSE) was 1.5 mm/day, while the superensemble error was only 0.5 mm/day. In the region, the superensemble may be viewed as improving the performance of the ensemble mean by 67%. It is also found that in all the four regions of Africa, the RMSE of the superensemble product is lower, and different from the ensemble mean at more than 95% significance level. A lower RMSE indicates a superior performance.

The multimodel superensemble provides seasonal precipitation forecast over Eastern Africa that is consistent with all the salient features in the regional precipitation extremes associated with warm and cold ENSO phases in the region. However, the scheme did not pick the entire magnitude of the 1997 floods in East Africa, which were associated with the 1997/98 El Niño. This may have been due to the short length of multimodel archive data sets that were available to this particular study and the results would come out much better with use of model data sets of at least 30 year climatological period. However, the superensemble provides the best prediction of the spatial distribution of precipitation that characterizes the north-south dipole consisting of wetter than normal conditions in Tropical Eastern Africa and drought stricken Southern Africa during warm ENSO phases. This precipitation anomaly pattern reverses during the cold ENSO phase and it is therefore a regional scale climate feature. Even for the re-
versed ENSO scenario, the superensemble forecasts stands with skill characteristics superior to those of the individual models and ensemble mean. The multimodel superensemble approach is therefore an innovative scheme for the prediction of regional scale climate extremes over the diverse regions of Africa.

When real time forecasts are made with several climate models, it is difficult to know in advance which among these models can be relied upon to give the most skillful climate forecast for the season and region of interest. It will help a lot if climatological hindcasts of global climate models are generated and archived for longer periods. However, knowing that the superensemble has the highest skills always as illustrated in this study, it would be safer to rely on the superensemble in seasonal forecasts and for future projections of climate.

The multimodel superensemble should be useful for real time climate forecasts over continental Africa and the surrounding ocean basins and future improvements are certain from the advancement of physical climate modeling and more accurate observational analysis fields.

This approach and results herein imply that climate services centres worldwide and Africa in particular can make more objective use of model forecast data sets, which is increasingly being freely availed by global producing centres (GPCs) for better quality and more objective regional climate services, especially over the sub-regions of Africa and even better processing of current climate change data sets being availed by projects like CMIP6 models.
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Appendix

The root mean square error (RMSE) is given by:

$$\text{RMSE} = \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (f_n - o_n)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

where:
- $N$ = number of grid points
- $f_n$ = forecast value at grid point $n$
- $o_n$ = observed value at grid point $n$

**Student’s t-test**

The significance level at which the RMSE of the superensemble is superior to that of the ensemble mean is expressed as a percentage and indicated at the top of each panel for each year of forecast in Figure 5 and Figure 6. The student’s t-test used is:

$$t_r = \frac{\text{RMSE}_{em} - \text{RMSE}_{sse}}{S_{\pi}}$$

$$S_{\pi} = \frac{S_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where $S_d$ is the standard deviation of the RMSEs within an ensemble of $n$ members.

$$|t_r| > t_{(1-\alpha/2)} n-1$$