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Abstract
Background: This study aims to review the methodologies used to identify the needs, the existing needs assessment instruments and the main topics of needs explored among caregivers of patients with mild cognitive impairment to dementia.

Methods: MEDLINE, PsycINFO, The Cochrane Library and Web of science were searched from January 1980 to January 2017. Research studies in English or French were eligible for inclusion if they fulfilled the following criteria: quantitative, qualitative and mixed method studies that used instrument, focus group or semi-structured interviews to assess the informal caregiver’s needs in terms of information, coping skills, support and service.

Results: Seventy studies (n = 39 quantitative studies, n = 25 qualitative studies and n = 6 mixed method studies) met the inclusion criteria and were included. Thirty-six quantitative instruments were identified but only one has been validated for the needs assessment of dementia caregivers: the Carer’s Needs Assessment for Dementia (CNA-D). The main areas of needs explored in these instruments were: information, psychosocial, social, psychoeducational and other needs.

Conclusions: No instrument has been developed and validated to assess the needs of informal caregivers of patients with cognitive impairment, whatever the stage and the etiology of the disease. As the perceived needs of caregivers may evolve with the progression of the disease and the dementia transition, their needs should be regularly assessed.
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Background
The informal caregiver of people with cognitive impairment is often a spouse or a child, providing supervision, support and assistance with daily living activities during all stages of the disease to maintain the care recipient at home [1]. Prevalence of Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) ranges from 3 to 19% in adults older than 65 years [2]. In addition, the number of people with dementia in the world is expected to rise from 35.6 million in 2010 to an estimated 115.4 million in 2050 [3]. This will be associated with an increasing number of informal caregivers whose role represents a major societal and economic issue [4, 5].

Cognitive impairment, progressive loss of autonomy and behavioural disorders associated with the evolution of Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Diseases (ADRD) may lead to increased caregiver burden [6, 7]. This
burden may have physical, psychological, emotional, social and financial impact on the informal caregiver [8]. Several studies have shown that caring for people with dementia was associated with depression, anxiety, greater risk of hypertension and heart disease, decreased immunity and higher mortality [9–13].

The increasing frailty of the caregiver has been shown to predict an early institutionalization of the patient over time [14]. Despite caregivers of people with dementia often providing intensive levels of assistance, their use of support services is low. A review showed that one third of caregivers did not use any service and one fourth caregivers used only one service [15]. In addition, previous studies have shown a large number of unmet needs were correlated with a higher burden and an increase in caregiver strain and depressive symptoms [16–23]. To ensure the service utilization by the caregivers and to minimize their burden, the supply (support, services) and the demand (caregivers’ needs) must be appropriate. Indeed, informal caregivers and professionals may differ in their perspectives to assess caregivers’ needs and in prioritising subsequent interventions and supports [24]. The assessment of the caregivers’ met and unmet needs represent a first step (i) to determine services or care plans for community-based programs and planning service delivery [25] (policy purposes); (ii) to refer caregivers to appropriate support and resources based on gaps-in needs identified and to ensure the service utilization (clinical purposes); and (iii) to design research programs for the caregivers (research purposes). This assessment can also be used when carrying out trials of interventions intended to improve caregiver outcomes including reducing unmet needs (Research purposes). Our research questions are: How to explore the caregivers’ needs of individuals with cognitive impairment and what methods are used?

A previous systematic review conducted in 2012, has provided an overview of the existing needs assessment instruments among people with cognitive impairment [26], but no such studies have been conducted considering the need assessment of their informal caregivers.

Our objective was to perform a systematic review of the methodologies used to identify the needs, the existing needs assessment instruments and the main topics of needs explored among caregivers of ADRD patients with mild cognitive impairment to dementia.

Methods
Search procedure/methods
Electronic databases and key articles were searched for studies published in English and French between January 1980 and January 2017. The searches were carried out in MEDLINE, PsycINFO, The Cochrane Library and Web of Science in order to identify quantitative and qualitative studies. We used the following search strategies for the research (detailed in Additional file 1): (caregiver* / caregiver*/ loved one*/ family*) AND (dementia/Alzheimer*/ frontotemporal/ lewy/ vascular dementia/ cognitive impairment/ memory) AND (need*/ expectation*) AND (quantitative*/ qualitative*/ questionnaire*/ item*/ scale*/ tool*/ instrument*/ interview*/ cross-sectional/ focus group*/ structured/ verbatim* / survey). A manual search was performed at the end from the references of the included studies, from google scholar and using the ‘Related articles’ option on PubMed. The reference database used to retrieve records and for the screening was Endnote. This systematic review follows the PRISMA statement guidelines [27].

Eligibility criteria
We included quantitative and qualitative studies that used questionnaires, instruments, focus group or semi-structured interviews to assess the needs (met and unmet needs) of informal caregivers. Informal caregivers were defined as unpaid, non-professionals who daily take care of individuals with mild cognitive impairment to dementia related to ADRD [1]. The included studies had to explore caregiver’s needs in terms of information, coping skills, support and services. We also included studies that used both quantitative and qualitative methods (mixed methods studies).

Study selection
Two authors (T.N and C.M) independently screened the titles and abstracts of the citations identified by the search to determine which papers met the eligibility criteria. The final eligibility evaluation was performed utilizing the full paper. In cases with disagreements, discussions were held between authors until a consensus reached.

Data collection
One review author (T.N) extracted the data using a data extraction form, and a second author (C.M) verified the data. The following data were extracted: year of publication, country in which the study was performed, first author, number and main characteristics of caregivers (proportion of females and spouses and the mean age), the care recipient health condition, the instrument aim, and the main characteristics of the needs assessment method.

Results
Selection of study
A total of 8265 studies were identified through database searching. A flow-chart of the selection process is illustrated in Fig. 1. We excluded 8080 studies including 2631 duplicates and 5450 articles after screening of the
title and abstract. The remaining 185 full text articles were screened independently and five additional studies were identified by the authors from the references of the included studies. A total of 70 studies were included.

Study populations
A total of 11,122 informal caregivers was captured in the included studies: \( n = 9390 \) in quantitative studies, \( n = 1294 \) in qualitative studies, and \( n = 438 \) in mixed method studies (Tables 1, 2 and 3). In these studies, the caregiver needs assessment was performed according to the patient diagnosis in 19 studies, the stage of the disease in 45 studies or both in six studies. Nineteen studies were conducted among caregivers of Alzheimer’s Disease patients, four in Fronto-temporal dementia, two in Lewy Body disease, one in vascular dementia and one in ADRD (diagnosis unspecified). The stage of the disease the most explored in the caregiver needs assessment was dementia: 38 studies with dementia caregivers and seven with early-onset dementia caregivers. Only four studies were conducted among MCI caregivers.

Methodologies to identify the needs of informal caregivers
The sample of selected studies was composed of 39 quantitative studies, 25 qualitative studies and six mixed method studies. Tables 1, 2 and 3 summarize the methodology characteristics of the studies (quantitative, qualitative and mixed research).

Quantitative studies
A majority of the needs assessment instruments were especially developed for the research (28/39 studies) (Table 1). Eleven studies have used validated instruments developed for the research or clinical use to assess the needs of elderly caregivers (6/11), dementia patient and caregivers (2/11), dementia caregivers (2/11) and nonspecific caregivers (1/11). Some of the validated instruments developed to assess the needs of patients with dementia, such as the Camberwell Assessment of Needs for the Elderly (CANE) [28], The Johns Hopkins Dementia Care Needs Assessment (JHDCNA) by Black et al. and the Care needs assessment pack for dementia (CarenapD) [29], include several questions concerning the caregivers’
| Setting (Year / country) | Authors | CG sample | Needs assessment methods of the quantitative studies | Specific cognitive impairment | validated instrument | Recipient |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| 1) In Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCI and AD | Ryan et al. | 80 informal CGs (25 MCI and 55 AD); 68.8% female; 75% spouse; mean age = 64.1 years | Self-administered | Support and service needs | List of 18 services: medical, social and community, mental health, and other. Three-point rating scale. | yes | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| MCI and dementia | Aminzadeh et al. | 141 informal CGs; 61.7% female; mean age = 60.1 years | Self-administered | CG’s needs, goals and outcomes | List of educational, support and care management needs (11 items). | yes | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 2011/USA [38] Johnston et al. | 15 CGS (8 dementia, 7 MCI) | By telephone | Patient’s and CG’s needs | 15 care recipient need domains (77 items) and 4 CGs need domains (12 items); safety, management of cognitive and noncognitive symptoms, medical comorbidities, daily activities, CG education and support needs. Three-point rating scale. | yes | Yes - The Johns Hopkins Dementia Care Needs Assessment. Psychometric properties not formally tested / concurrent validity with QOL measures [39]. |
| 2) In Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders (ADRD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002/USA [40] Wackerbarth et al. | 128 informal CGs; 74.6% female; 34.7% spouse; mean age = 78.5 years | Self-administered | Information and support needs | Needs assessment surveys based on the results of 28 previously conducted in-depth interviews with CGs. Three sections: 20 information needs, 19 support needs and information about the caregiving experience. Four-point rating scale. | yes | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| AD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987/ USA [41] Simonton | No participant | Self-administered | Information needs | 15 questions with a five-point rating scale. | yes | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 1990/USA [42] Fortinsky et al. | 115 informal CGs (58 active CGs, 57 former CGs); 79.1% female; 47.8% spouse; mean age = 58 years | Self-administered | Information and service needs | Types of information and services desired and how much information was provided to them at the time of diagnosis. | yes | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 1992/USA [43] Francis et al. | 39 informal CGs; 72% female | By telephone | Service needs | 2 parts: measures functional status on five dimensions (social resources, economic resources, mental health, | No (elderly) | Yes - The Older American Resources and Services Multidimensional |
| Year | Country | Study Authors | Sample Size | Sample Characteristics | Data Collection Method | Instrument Details | Methodological Characteristics | Assessment Type | Psychometric Properties |
|------|---------|---------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| 1996/USA | Bowd et al. | 68 informal CGs (living in isolated communities); 73% female; 56% spouse; mean age = 64 years | Self-administered | Support needs | ‘Assessment of Perceived Needs of CGs: 27 items (social and informal supports; the use of formal community services).’ | Functional Assessment Questionnaire (OMFAQ). Validity and reliability tested in elderly | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 1999/Italy | Dello Buono et al. | 60 informal CGs; 50.6% female; 50% spouse; mean age = 76.83 years | Face-to-face interviews | service needs | A list of the local services (frequency of use, reason for non-use) and a list of ten interventions (choosing one or more which might be helpful). | No (elderly) | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 2006/USA | Edelman et al. | 100 informal CGs living in rural communities; 61% female; 44% spouse; mean age = 61 years | Self-administered | information and service needs | A 22-item Checklist of Interest in Services and Information. Four areas: medical needs (6 items); care needs (5 items); coping needs (6 items); and service needs (3 items). | Yes | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 2007/Finland | Raivio et al. | 1214 informal CGs; 63% female; 100% spouse; mean age = 78.2 years | Service needs | 11 areas: support and services received, the CGs’ subjective needs and satisfaction with these services. | No (elderly) | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 2011/USA | Goudin et al. | 74 informal CGs; 62% female; 74% spouse | Self-administered | difficulties, coping strategies and satisfaction | 3 Questionnaires CADI-CAMI-CASI: the Carers Assessment of Difficulties index (30 items) - Managing Index (38 items) - Satisfaction Index (30 items). | No (CG) | Yes - CADI-CAMI-CASI Psychometric properties tested in CGs of depressed elderly people (Cronbach’s alpha 0.89 to 0.95, intra-class coefficient 0.92) |
| 2012/USA | Amieva et al. | 645 informal CGs; 61% spouse | Self-administered | CG’s needs | 28-item questionnaire. Four main needs: learning skills to improve daily life management of their relatives (7 items); information on the disease (7 items); improving CGs’ self-confidence (7 items); improving communication (7 items). | No (elderly) | Yes - Echelle d’attentes en matière de consultations (EAC) Psychometric properties tested in CGs of depressed elderly people (Cronbach’s alpha 0.89 to 0.95, intra-class coefficient 0.92) |
| 2011/USA | Chow et al. | 79 informal CGs; 57% female; median age = 58 years | Web-based survey | CG’s needs | 9 questions with multiple choice responses. Areas: diagnosis, symptoms, the troublesome aspects of caregiving, resources/ | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| Year    | Country       | Study Type | Sample Description | Data Collection Method | Study Goal | Instrument Developed for Study | Notes |
|---------|---------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| 2013    | Germany       | Self-administered | 94 informal CGs; 72% female; 80% spouse; mean age = 89.11 years | Support and service and intervention needs | List of 45 support services and interventions relating to the following domains: information, psychosocial support for relatives, care outside of home, support at home, financial support, safety issues, therapies for the patients, and raising awareness. CGs were asked to rate the helpfulness of the proposed services and interventions. | No, instrument developed for the study |
| 2010    | Australia     | Face-to-face interviews | 30 FTD dyads; 93% female94% spouse; mean age = 58.5 years | Patient’s and CGs needs | 24 areas and four categories: autonomy; physical needs; psychological, emotional and social needs; and CGs needs. | Yes - Camberwell Assessment of Need for the Elderly (CANE) Psychometric properties tested in PWD and CGs of PWD [55]. |
| 2011    | USA           | Web-based survey | 962 CGs; 87.9% female; 40.6% spouse; mean age = 55.9 years | Functional, behavioral, and affective disturbances burden | Areas: ADL, IADL, paid services used and requested services by CGs. | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 2015    | UK            | Web-based survey | 122 CGs; 89% female; 17.6% spouse | Information and support needs | Ten short questions focused on past support and information experiences, difficulties encountered that could benefit from information and support, and appropriate topics for inclusion in future resource development. | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 2001    | USA           | Face-to-face interviews | 92 filial CGs; 72.8% female; mean age = 53.45 years | Learning and resource needs | Checklist of learning and resource needs: prioritization of a list of 15 information requests and prioritization a list of ten resource requests. | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 2012    | Norway        | Self-administered | 45 informal CGs68.9% female | Burden and needs | A 20-item questionnaire (Care-EOD) assessing the | No, questionnaire developed for the study |

**Table 1** Methodological characteristics of the included quantitative studies (Continued)
Table 1 Methodological characteristics of the included quantitative studies (Continued)

| Year | Country | Study | Sample Characteristics | Study Design | Data Collection Method | Service and Support Needs | Survey/Questionnaire Developed for Study | CG/PGD Needs | Study Design and Procedures |
|------|---------|-------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| 2014/ USA [59] | Gibson et al. | 81 CG; 76.5% female; 69.2% spouse | Web-based survey | Service and support needs | Areas caregiving obligations, utilization of services, perceived importance of services, employment status, need for and access to financial services and benefits, and perceived understanding of the experiences of CGs by the public and by service providers. | Yes | No, survey developed for the study | CG |
| 1995/ UK [60] | Philp et al. | 114 informal CGs; 86% female; 22.8% spouse; mean age = 80.7 years | Face-to-face interviews | Service needs | List of locally available services: frequency of use, number of hours of support a week. | Yes | No, instrument developed for the study | CG |
| 1999/ UK [61] | Turner et al. | 30 CGs; 60% female; 37% spouse; 2/3 < 65 years | Face-to-face interviews | Training needs | Four areas (19 items): practical advice, information, coping with caring, coping with the person with dementia. | Yes | No, survey developed for the study | CG |
| 2004/USA [62] | Gaugler et al. | 694 informal CGs (344 community PWD; 144 institutional PWD; 216 deceased PWD); 70.9% female;37.8% spouse; mean age = 61.17 years | Self-administered | Unmet needs | 7 items, 34 questions: help with ADL tasks, help with IADL tasks, dementia symptoms, timing of care, formal support, information and confidante/family support. Yes/no questions. | Yes | No, questionnaire developed for the study | CG |
| 2005/ Austria [16] | Wancata et al. | 45 informal CGs73% female; 46% spouse; mean age = 60.9 years | Interview | CG's needs | 18 problem areas with several possible interventions (+ an optional area for additional problems). Five-point rating scale. | Yes | Yes - Carers' Needs Assessment for Dementia (CNA-D) Psychometric properties tested in CGs of PWD [16]. | CG |
| 2008/ UK [63] | Orrell et al. | 81 informal CGs | Face-to-face interviews | Patient’s and CG’s needs | 24 areas and four categories: autonomy; physical needs; psychological, emotional and social needs; and CG’s needs. | No (elderly) | Yes - Camberwell Assessment of Need for the Elderly (CAN) Psychometric properties tested in PWD and CGs of PWD [55]. | CG + patients + professionals |
| 2008 / 2009/ Netherlands [55, 18] | Van der Roest et al. (2 studies) | 322 informal CGs; 68.6% female; 54.3% spouse; mean age = 65.4 years | Face-to-face interviews | Patient’s and CG’s needs | 24 areas and four categories: autonomy; physical needs; psychological, emotional and social needs; and CG’s needs. | No (elderly) | Yes - Camberwell Assessment of Need for the Elderly (CANE) Psychometric properties tested in PWD and CGs of PWD [55]. | CG + patients |
| Year  | Country | Study Type | Sample Characteristics | Methodology | Study Purpose | Findings |
|-------|---------|------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|
| 2009/ UK [64] | Selwood et al. | 113 informal CGs; 67.9% female; 33.9% spouse; mean age = 61.2 | Self-administered | Strategies to reduce abusive behavior | List of 14 strategies which had either helped them already or that they thought would have the potential to help them avoid the abusive behaviors. Rating of the most helpful and the second most helpful strategies. |
| 2008/ USA [65] | Nichols et al. | 165 informal CGs; 78.4% female; 50.3% spouse; mean age = 64.7 years | Selection of a topic to discuss by CG | Behavior, stress and coping pressing concerns | 25 pamphlets addressing particular behaviors and 12 pamphlets on CG stress/coping and improving the CGs own well-being. |
| 2010/ Netherlands [66] | Peeters et al. | 984 informal CGs; 71.6% female; 50.8% spouse; mean age = 62.8 years | Self-administered | Professional support needs | 2 parts: 14 areas (30 items) on problems that are faced by persons with dementia and problems experienced by CGs; 56 items about the needs for additional professional support. |
| 2010/Italy [17] | Rosa et al. | 112 informal CGs; 69% female; mean age = 55 years. | Self-administered | CG's needs | “Questionnaire assessing the CG’s needs”. Four areas (22 items): need of medical relevance, educational needs, need of emotional and psychological support, need of services. |
| 2011 and 2016/ USA [24, 67] | Koenig et al. Steiner et al. | 33 informal CGs; 29 female; 11 spouse; mean age = 62.2 years | Face-to-face interviews | Information needs | List of 48 items: 25 items related to needing help for the care recipient +23 items related to needs of the CG. Participants were asked to choose their top ten needs. |
| 2012/ USA [68] | Li | 208 informal CGs; 57.7% female; mean age = 49.7 years | By telephone | Unmet service needs | 14-service item survey about self-care, coping, decision making, CG training and others. Yes/no questions. |
| 2013/USA [20] | Black et al. | 246 informal CGs; 74.8% female; 41.5% spouse; mean age = 66.1 years | Face-to-face interviews | Patient's and CG's needs | 15 care recipient need domains (77 items) and 4 CGs need domains (12 items): safety, management of cognitive and noncognitive symptoms, medical comorbidities, daily activities, CG education and support needs. Three-point rating scale. Yesthe Johns Hopkins Dementia Care Needs Assessment. Psychometric properties not formally tested / concurrent validity with QOL measures [39]. |
| 2013/ UK [69] | Miranda-Castillo et al. | Face-to-face interviews | Patient’s and CG’s needs | 24 areas and four categories: autonomy, physical needs; |
| | | | | No (elderly) | CG + patients + professionals |
| Year     | Location | Authors | Sample Characteristics | Method of Measurement | Needs | Scale Developed | Psychometric Properties Tested | Notes |
|----------|----------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| 2013     | Netherlands | Zwaanswijk et al. | 1494 informal CGs (caregiving <1 year =89, 1-4 years =744 and >4 years =661); 71.7% female; 58.2% spouse | Self-administered | Professional support needs | 35 problems and 59 needs for additional support (currently received and needs) | No, questionnaire developed for the study |
| 2015     | USA | Jennings et al. | 307 informal CGs; 64% female; 41% spouse | Self-administered | Care needs and self-efficacy | Nine-item scale with three domains: perception of the primary care provider, advice on dementia-related topics and self-efficacy for caring and for accessing help. Five-point rating scale. | No, scale developed for the study |
| 2015     | USA | Sadak et al. | Baseline: 130 CGs; 80% female; 63% spouse; mean age = 66 years. Re-test: 79 CGs | Self-administered | Knowledge and skills | 35 items (23 “knowledge” and 12 “skills” items). 5-level Likert type response scale. | Yes - Partnering for Better Health - Living with Chronic Illness: Dementia (PBH-LCI:D) Psychometric properties tested in CGs of PWD (Cronbach’s alpha 0.95) [30]. |

AD Alzheimer’s Disease, ADRD Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders, CG caregiver, EOD Early-Onset Dementia, FTD FrontoTemporal Dementia, MCI Mild Cognitive Impairment, PWD People With Dementia, QOL Quality Of Life
| Setting (Year / country) | Authors | CG sample | Needs assessment methods of the qualitative studies |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| **1) In Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders (ADRD)** | | | |
| AD | Beisecker et al. | 104 informal CGs; 70.2% female; 61.5% spouse; mean age = 63.6 years | Semi-structured interview by telephone. Topics: physician-patient-CG interactions, informational needs and advance directives. |
| | Loukissa et al. | 34 CGs; 74% female; 42% spouse; 68% african-american CGs | 5 focus groups. The open-ended interview began with: “If you were to write a book for persons in similar situations to yours, what would you want them to know?” |
| | Smith et al. | 45 informal CGs; 87% female; 51% spouse | Semi-structured intensive interview. Nine questions about needs, changes, experiences, skills and assistance. |
| | Farran et al. | 177 informal CGs | Semi-structured interviews and open-ended group discussion (during group session). Topics: care recipient issues and concerns expressed by CGs; specific skills needed by CGs to address key care recipient issues. |
| | Farran et al. | 177 informal CGs | Semi-structured interviews and open-ended group discussion (during group session). Topics: CG issues and concerns discussed by CGs; specific skills needed by CGs to address key CG issues and concerns. |
| **FTD** | Nichols et al. | 14 young CGs (ten female, aged 11-18) | 2 focus groups using a semi-structured interview guide. Topics: experiences and needs of young CG at various points in the patient’s diagnostic process and course of illness (to create a relevant support website). |
| **2) Early-onset Dementia (EOD)** | Bakker et al. | 1 informal CG; female; spouse; 46 years | A single case study design (qualitative interviews with the CG). Topics: experiences and needs during period prior to diagnosis, diagnosis, period after diagnosis, caring, transitions in care and future perspective. |
| | Millenaar et al. | 14 children CGs; eight female; mean age = 21.0 years | Semi-structured interviews. Topics: the children’s reactions to the diagnosis, the help they received after the diagnosis, and the resulting changes in their lives. Topics focused on the children’s needs. |
| | Boots et al. | 28 informal CGs; 75% female; 78% spouse; mean age = 63.6 years | 4 focus group interviews using context-mapping approach. Topics: needs and wishes to prevent overburdening, need for care and need for communication of care. |
| | Wawrziczny et al. | 40 spouses of persons with EOD and 38 spouses of persons with late-onset dementia; 23 and 20 female; mean age = 57.4 and 77.0 | Semi-structured interviews based on the French version of the Carers Outcome Agreement Tool. Four areas: the types of information and support provided, the changes that could improve the quality of life for the PWD and the spouse CG, the quality of the aid received, and the desire for future assistance. |
| **3) Dementia** | Brâne | 56 informal CGs (28 with patient in early phase and 28 with patient in long stay wards) | Interviews and two group meeting. Topics: situation, need of help, feelings about the care on the long stay ward. |
| | Lampley-Dallas et al. | 13 informal CGs (african-american CGs); 11 female; two spouse; mean age = 54 years | 2 focus group. 3 questions about needs, the health care system (help and interaction) and stress. |
| | Shaji et al. | 17 informal CGs; 76% female | Semi-structured interviews. Topics: demographic data, level of knowledge about AD, the practical and psychological problems of CGs and their attitudes towards caring. |
| | Innes et al. | 30 informal CGs (rural CGs); 22 female | 16 semi-structured interviews and three focus group. Topics: services used, perceived benefits and drawbacks of each service, alternative sources support, views on the impact of geographical location and service use and support. |
| | de Jong et al. | Nine informal CGs; five female; four spouse | Semi-structured interviews in their home or by telephone. Topics: needs and wishes of CGs using a skilled psychogeriatric... |
needs. Only one valid and reliable instrument was identified to specifically assess the dementia caregivers’ needs: the Carers’ Needs Assessment for Dementia (CNA-D) [16]. They used focus groups and in-depth interviews with both caregivers and experts, along with a literature search, to design this assessment tool. This semi-structured research interview included 18 problem areas. For each problem area, several possible interventions are proposed: individual psychoeducation, psychoeducational group, self-help group for family members, printed information material, and other intervention. A second instrument called Partnering for Better Health - Living with Chronic Illness: Dementia (PBH-LCI:D) was used to evaluate the acquired knowledge and skills allowing to indirectly assess the dementia caregiver’s needs [30]. This instrument with 35 items was not specifically developed to needs assessment. It explored the acquired knowledge and skills allowing to indirectly assess the dementia caregiver’s needs.

Qualitative studies
Several methodologies were used to identify the needs of informal caregivers: semi-structured interviews (10/25

Table 2 Methodological characteristics of the included qualitative studies (Continued)

| Year | Country | Study Design | Sample Size | Sample Characteristics | Data Collection Method | Data Collection Topics |
|------|---------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 2011/ Australia [86] | Shanley et al. | 15 CGs; eight female; ten spouse; mean age = 64 years | Semi-structured interviews. Topics: history of caregiving experience; ‘quality of life’ and ‘quality of care’; particular challenges encountered; sources of advice and support; the needs of CGs; and the positive and negative aspects of formal service provision. |
| 2012/ USA [87] | Sarnia et al. | Survey: 168 informal CGs; 84.5% female; 45.2% spouse; mean age = 66.6 yearsFocus group: 26 family CGs; 84.6% female; 60% spouse | A multi-stage qualitative descriptive study: open-ended survey and five focus group. Topics: ongoing training needs and preferences of previously trained CGs. |
| 2013/ Australia [88] | Low and White et al. | 31 CGs; 27 female; 21 spouse; mean age = 63 years | Face-to-face, telephone or group interviews. Topics: characteristics of an ideal dementia-specific community care service, the ideal outcomes or achievements of a dementia-specific community care service. |
| 2013/ Singapore [89] | Vaingankar et al. | 63 informal CGs; 60% female 20% spouse; mean age = 52.9 years | Ten focus group and 12 semi-structured interviews (funnel approach). Topics: experiences and discussion focused on each identified unmet needs or challenges. |
| 2014/ Germany [90] | Muders et al. | 85 CGs | Questionnaire with two open-ended questions. Topics: exploration and documentation of the CG’s needs and identification of the healthcare professionals to adequately support them. |
| 2015/ USA [91] | Meyer et al. | Ten vietnamese informal CGs; seven female; two spouse; mean age = 55 | Semi-structured interviews (n = 10 CGs). Topics: family structure and immigration, beliefs about dementia, experiences with caregiving, coping strategies, help-seeking and service utilization. Focus group (n = 5 CGs). Topics: helpful interventions or other treatments to reduce CG distress, sources of stress and coping/management strategies. |
| 2016/ Thailand [92] | Griffiths et al. | 30 CGs; 24 female; 12 spouse | Semi-structured interviews. Topics: problems and needs of CGs who help older people with dementia to do activities of daily living. |
| 2016/ USA [93] | Peterson et al. | 27 CGs; 19 female; eight spouse; mean age = 58.5 | Semi-structured interviews. Topics: caregiving characteristics, care recipient symptoms, information regarding diagnosis, care issue and strategies (trigger, previous sources, most helpful sources, barriers, expectations and preferences), preferred learning methods and setting, and the use of technology. |
| 2016/ USA [94] | Samson et al. | 32 African American CGs; 28 female | 4 focus group. Topics: examination of the concerns and experiences of the African American CGs (differences with other racial or ethnic groups) and identification of the information needs and preferences for information, education and support. |
| 2016/ USA [95] | Jennings et al. | 36 CGs; 26 female; 24 spouse; mean age = 63 | 4 Focus group with CGs. Topics: goals in dementia care relating to specific domains, including medical care, social functioning, safety, and end-of-life care. |
| Setting (Year / country) | Authors | CG sample | Needs assessment methods of the mixed method studies | Specific cognitive impairment | Validated instrument | Recipient |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Cognitive impairment (MCI, dementia and other) | 2010/ Netherlands [96] | Wolfs et al. 252 informal CGs; 62.7% female; 45.2% spouse; mean age = 61.9 years | By telephone care needs and satisfaction Semi-structured interviews by telephone including quantitative and qualitative data. Eight areas: informal caregiving and burden; inventory of the utilized care and treatment and satisfaction; inventory of the non-utilized reasons for non-utilizing care and treatment option; needs to improve care and treatment, the choice and the transparency of the care and treatment option. | yes | No, instrument developed for the study | CG |
| AD | 1998/ USA [97] | Kuhn et al. 20 informal CGs; 11 female 14 spouse; mean age = 60 years | Self-administered topic of interest 16-item survey (learning needs in relation to the disease). Three-point rating scale. + Semi-structured interviews. Seven areas, 20 open-ended questions: symptoms of AD and initial perception, CG reaction to diagnosis and beliefs about AD, needs, changes, coping, use of formal and informal resources, future planning and advice. | yes | No, instrument developed for the study | CG |
| | 2005/ USA [98] | Habermann et al. 20 informal CGs; 16 female; 12 spouse; mean age 60.9 years | Face-to-face interviews CG’s needs 16-item survey with three areas (Caregiver Assistance Measure): caregiving knowledge and skills, community resources, self-care. Three-point rating scale. + Open-ended questions: most difficult aspects of the care giving situation, type of assistance perceived as important. | yes | No, instrument developed for the study | CG |
| EOD | 2014/ Canada [99] | Ducharme et al. 32 informal CGs; 75% female78% spouse; mean age 54,28 years | Face-to-face interviews unmet support needs Questionnaire FCSA (4 areas, 38 items) + semi-structured interview. Topics: identification of other needs not covered by the tools and other types of useful help, improvement and quality expectation of help. | No(CG) | Yes - Family CGs Support Agreement (FCSA) tool | CG |
| Dementia | 2001/ Australia [100] | Leong et al. Survey: 94 CGs; over 2/3 were female; mean age = 65.5 yearsQualitative interviews: 10 CGs five female | Self-administered CG’s needs Questionnaire FCNS. Eight areas, 42 items: information, household, spiritual, Respite, personal, psychological, legal and financial, and physical care/ skills needs. + Semi- | yes | No, Family Carer Need Survey (FCNS). This instrument draws on the Home Carer Need Survey, with modifications to suit Australian respondents | CG |
| Year | Location  | Study | Sample Size | Data Collection Method | Data Analysis | Results |
|------|-----------|-------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
| 2010/ Australia | Stirling et al. [101] | 20 informal CGs, 18 female, 14 spouse | By telephone | Services needs + 3 semi-structured interviews. Topics: CG interaction with community service providers, CG experiences, CG socio-economic circumstances, felt needs for services. | | Yes - The Carer's checklist | CG |

AD Alzheimer's Disease, CG caregiver, EOD, Early-Onset Dementia, MCI Mild Cognitive Impairment
studies), focus group (6/25 studies), survey with open-ended questions (1/25 studies), case study (1/25) and mixed qualitative methods as semi-structured interviews/ focus group (6/25 studies) or open-ended survey/ focus group (1/25 studies) (Table 2). The main objectives of these qualitative studies were to explore the experiences of caregivers with caring and community services, their information, training and support needs, their satisfaction with services and the gap between their perceived needs and the proposed services.

**Mixed method studies**
Six studies including quantitative and qualitative methodologies were conducted among informal caregivers (Table 3). The majority of the studies consisted of quantitative survey associated with a semi-structured interview to explore the caregiver’s experience and needs or to complete and to comment the quantitative data. The quantitative instruments were either developed for the study, or derived from validated instrument for non-specific caregivers.

**Main topics of needs explored in the quantitative and mixed method studies**
From the 45 studies using quantitative methods (39 quantitative and six mixed methods research), 36 instruments were described (wholly or in part), four studies used the same validated instruments (CANE or JHDCNA) and four were not described. Table 4 summarizes the main items of needs explored among the different instruments. Twenty-seven items were related to five areas of needs: information (e.g. on the disease and the treatment) psychological (e.g. emotional support for caregivers and their relative), social (e.g. financial issue and community services), psychoeducational (e.g. coping skills and caregiver training) and other needs (e.g. medication management and environment safety). The most explored topics of needs (≥50%) in caregiver needs assessment instruments were: information on the disease (78%), support for the caregivers (64%), coping for caring (56%), community services related to patient care (50%), financial issue (50%) and safety/supervision (50%).

**Discussion**
This article provides the first overview of existing needs assessments methods in caregivers of individuals with ADRD developed for clinical or research use. Despite the large number of studies include in the analysis, only one instrument was validated to assess the needs of dementia caregivers: the Carers’ Needs Assessment for Dementia (CNA-D) [16]. No validated instrument was found for the caregivers of individuals with ADRD in the others stages of the disease progression. Moreover, no quantitative nor qualitative study has assessed the needs of caregivers of individuals with preclinical symptoms of ADRD. The caregivers’ needs identification was often performed in the dementia area in comparison with the MCI area (39 studies versus four studies). Similarly, few studies were conducted among frontotemporal dementia, vascular dementia and Lewy Body disease caregivers (6 studies) compared to Alzheimer’s disease caregivers (19 studies).

Many quantitative instruments were used in research area to develop programs and interventions tailored to the caregivers’ needs or in clinical area to identify their needs and to offer them appropriate support. Caregivers’ needs for services or care plans and referring to support were included in many developed instruments. The items of corresponding needs were as follows: psychological and emotional support (64%), information about financial and legal issues (50 and 39%), information about respite and day care (39%) and community services related to patient care (50%) and home support (42%). Despite the diversity of the items present in the identified instruments, they allow to explore a larger number of topics such as information, skills, support and service needs, compared with qualitative methods. However, qualitative research produces large amounts of textual data in the form of transcripts and observational field notes about a predetermined topic [31]. Qualitative research methods have used in the social sciences and deserve to be an essential component in health and health services research. They allow exploring individually or in groups the perceived needs of caregivers. Unlike quantitative methods, qualitative methods allow to explore in depth specific needs (e.g. needs in an ethnic population, needs of interactions with physician and care providers, changing needs before, during and after diagnosis) and experiences of caregivers (e.g. experiences with support and services). Understanding these challenges may lead to improve the health care provision for informal caregivers. Thus, qualitative and quantitative approaches are complementary: qualitative work may be conducted as a preliminary to quantitative research, used to supplement quantitative work or used to explore complex phenomena or areas not amenable to quantitative research [32].

The caregiver plays a crucial role across all stages of the progression and identifying their needs should be performed by the health professionals at each stage to prevent or reduce their burden. Many studies have assessed the effectiveness of interventions on caregiver burden and psychological disorders [33–36]. The meta-analysis of Pinquart et al. including 127 interventions showed a significant but small effects on burden, depression, subjective well-being, and knowledge and/or coping abilities of the caregiver [34]. There is a lack of systematic investigations of the
The efficacy of treatment combinations using a needs assessment in caregivers of individuals with subjective cognitive impairment, MCI or dementia. To our knowledge no study has shown that caregivers receive the interventions matching their needs assessed as outcome with a validated instrument.

This current review has some limitations. The main restrictions concerned the searching process. Only studies published in scientific journals were included in the systematic review. The needs assessments of caregivers of individuals with cognitive impairment published in the "grey literature" were not explored. The searching process was also limited to a number of databases which covered both the clinical and psychosocial aspects of the study. Another limitation in the analysis of the different needs assessment instruments since the topic of explored needs were not always fully described in the included studies.

Table 4 Main topics of needs explored in instruments of the included studies

| Items | No. of instruments who reported the item - n (%) | References of the instruments who reported each item |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| **Information needs** | | |
| On the disease (e.g., cognition, behavioural disorders, dementia) | 28 (78) | [16, 20, 22, 23, 30, 37, 38, 40–42, 45, 46, 48, 50, 52–55, 58, 62, 64, 66, 67, 69, 70, 97–99] |
| On the pharmacological treatment | 17 (47) | [16, 17, 22, 30, 40–42, 46, 50, 56, 64, 65, 67, 96–99] |
| On the non-pharmacological treatment | 3 (8) | [47, 53, 96] |
| On the available services | 10 (28) | [16, 23, 37, 40, 61, 64, 66, 70, 98, 99] |
| **Psychological needs** | | |
| Support for the caregiver (psychological and emotional support) | 23 (64) | [16, 17, 20, 22, 38, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46, 48, 52–56, 60, 64–67, 69, 70, 96, 98] |
| Support for the patient (psychological and emotional support) | 8 (22) | [19, 40, 57, 58, 60, 66, 70, 96] |
| Social interactions, company | 11 (31) | [16, 37, 40, 43, 45, 48, 58, 62, 65, 70, 99] |
| Time for themselves | 9 (25) | [16, 45, 48, 56, 65, 67, 68, 98, 99] |
| **Social needs** | | |
| Institutionalization | 9 (25) | [22, 46, 53, 58, 64, 66, 68, 70, 96] |
| Financial issue | 18 (50) | [16, 19, 22, 40–42, 46–48, 52, 53, 56, 62, 64, 67, 97, 98] |
| Legal issue | 14 (39) | [16, 30, 40–43, 46, 52, 53, 56, 62, 66, 70, 97] |
| Respite, Day care | 14 (39) | [19, 22, 41, 42, 45, 47, 52, 53, 56, 58, 60, 64, 65, 70] |
| Community services: | | |
| related to home support (meal, housework, transport) | 15 (42) | [16, 23, 43, 45, 47, 53, 56, 58, 60, 64, 66, 68, 70, 98] |
| related to patient care | 18 (50) | [19, 23, 37, 40, 41, 43, 45, 47, 53, 56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 69, 70, 98] |
| **Psycho educational needs** | | |
| Coping with behavioural disorders | 16 (44) | [16, 17, 23, 41–43, 46, 56, 61, 65–68, 70] |
| Coping with cognitive disorders | 13 (36) | [17, 23, 30, 46, 56, 61, 62, 64, 67, 70] |
| Coping with patient feelings | 8 (22) | [30, 40, 56, 62, 65–67, 70] |
| Coping for caring | 20 (56) | [16, 17, 22, 37, 40, 45, 46–48, 52, 53, 56, 62, 64, 67, 97, 99] |
| Communication with patient | 12 (33) | [16, 17, 24, 37, 40, 46, 50, 57, 61, 65, 67, 70, 97] |
| Stimulating/appropriate activities | 13 (36) | [41, 42, 46, 48, 50, 57, 65, 66, 68, 70, 96, 97, 99] |
| Caregiver training | 16 (44) | [16, 22, 30, 37, 42, 43, 50, 52, 53, 56, 58, 62, 65, 67, 98, 99] |
| **Other needs** | | |
| Environmental Safety (material, device), supervision | 18 (50) | [19, 22, 23, 41, 43, 47, 48, 53, 58, 60–62, 65–67, 70, 98, 99] |
| Incontinence | 6 (17) | [42, 48, 61, 65, 67, 68] |
| Caregiver general health | 8 (22) | [16, 20, 22, 24, 37, 38, 48, 56, 65, 67] |
| Medication management | 7 (19) | [30, 40, 56, 57, 62, 67, 98] |
| Sexuality/Intimacy | 5 (14) | [46, 56, 62, 65, 99] |
Conclusion
To reduce the caregiver burden and to facilitate the patient’s home care, policymakers, service planners, health professionals and researchers must understand the needs of this growing population. This systematic review highlights the necessity to develop a validated instrument to assess the met and unmet needs of informal caregivers of patients with a cognitive impairment across all stages of the disease progression and whatever the etiology. As the perceived needs of caregivers may evolve with the progression of the disease and the dementia transition, the needs should be regularly assessed and taken into account the needs for information, coping skills, support and service.
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