Genotyping of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates in healing process of bovine clinical mastitis
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**ARTICLE INFO**

**ABSTRACT**

In the present work, macrorestriction analysis was applied to characterize 44 *S. uberis* field strains isolated from lactating cows suffering from mastitis in three dairy herds in Hesse State, Germany. Analysis of the obtained data by Pulse-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) showed that most of the isolates originating from different herds and cows were not related to each other. However, identical macrorestriction patterns were noted in 12 of 13 mastitic quarters in healing process, in three quarters even over the whole sampling period indicating persistent infection. In the present work, PFGE could detect variable levels of similarity ranging from 76 to 100%. The macrorestriction analyses revealed the presence of 10 *S. uberis* PFGE pattern with more than four bands difference. PFGE profiles with minor differences (only one to three bands) were considered to be subtypes. The use of sensitive genotyping methods like macrorestriction analyses by PFGE enables the differentiation among new and persistent infections. Nevertheless minor changes in macrorestriction profiles could occur which are clearly distinguishable from totally unrelated strains.

1. Introduction

Mastitis is one of the most costly problems in animal industry. The disease can be induced by more than 150 different bacterial species and subspecies. One of the most frequent environmental pathogens inducing bovine mastitis in dairy herds with an increasing tendency worldwide is the *Streptococcus (S.) uberis* [1]. Control programs concerning contagious mastitis pathogens were successfully performed in well-managed dairy herds [2]. In contrast *S. uberis* held responsible for a large proportion of both clinical and subclinical mastitis in lactating and non-lactating dairy cows [3,4]. While it is considered mainly as an environmental pathogen isolated in large numbers from bedding material, faeces and water [5], a recent study indicated that a limited number of *S. uberis* clones are capable of contagious transmission among cows via milking machines [6,7].

Ineffective treatment of acute udder infections caused by *S. uberis* may lead to chronic infections that can persist throughout and even for subsequent lactations [8,9]. To improve infection control measures and to recognize persistent infections in dairy herds, the knowledge about the genetic diversity of *S. uberis* is an essential precondition. Field isolates of *S. uberis* were genotyped in previous studies using isolates from subclinical mastitis or environmental sources. For this purpose, various molecular tools were used such as Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) or Multilocus-Sequence Typing (MLST) [2,10–12]. In the present study, PFGE assay was applied in order to characterize *S. uberis* isolates from mild to moderate clinical mastitis at different time points post infection in German herds. The aim of the present work is to investigate genetic diversity among field *S. uberis* strains isolated from mastitic cows under treatment in order to differentiate between a persistent infection from re- or new infections. The study aimed also to explore the presence of dominant *S. uberis* clones in infected herds.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples

In the present work, quarter milk samples were collected aseptically from 147 clinical mastitis cases at five times pre and post treatment (day 0, 7, 14, 28 and 56 after infection) to evaluate the bacteriological cure rate. The samples were obtained from three moderate size dairy...
herds (between 75 and 300 dairy cows) in Hesse State, Germany. The mean distance between each farm was about 60 km. Dairy cows in both herds (A and B) were kept in cubicle yards while those in herd (C) were kept in a deep litter house.

2.2. Bacteriological and biochemical identification of the field isolates

Colonies suspected to be S. uberis were subcultured for further identification and characterization where one colony per sample was randomly selected for this purpose. From three cows (C177, C408 and B357) two colonies were subcultured at the same point of investigation to detect a coinfection with different strains of S. uberis. The isolates were collected according to the procedure recommended by the International Dairy Federation as described by Werner et al. [13,14]. In Brief, the collected isolates were re-cultured on Columbia esculin blood agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) to examine their culturing ability and haemolytic characteristics, followed by biochemical characterization to species level using the API 20 STREP® system (BioMérieux, Nürtingen, Germany). The results were interpreted according to the manufacturers' instructions. Additional S. uberis control strains were included in the study; the strains were obtained from the strain collection of the LHL, Germany.

2.3. Molecular identification and macrorestriction analysis of the isolates

In order to confirm the data obtained from the phenotypic identification, all cultured S. uberis isolates were genotypically characterized using tDNA-ILP-PCR as described by Zschöck et al. [15]. Confirmed isolates to be S. uberis field strains (n = 44, isolated from 13 lactating cows) were then subjected to fingerprinting based on macrorestriction analysis of the bacterial chromosomal DNA for genotyping of the field isolates according to Soedarmanto et al. [16].

The preparation of whole bacterial DNA was carried out in agarose gel plugs followed by the digestion of the bacterial genome with the restriction enzyme SmaI. This step was then followed by fragment separation by PFGE using the pulse time described by Baseggio et al. [17]. The interpretation of the restriction patterns was performed as described by Tenover et al. [18]. Briefly, bacterial isolates yielding the same PFGE pattern were considered identical. Isolates differing by one up to three bands were defined as closely related. Bacterial isolates containing more than four bands difference were considered unrelated. A dendrogram analysis of the restriction patterns was additionally performed using Bionumersics software 5.1 (Applied Maths, Belgium) with the DICE coefficient and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) with a band position tolerance of 5%.

3. Results

In the present work 147 mastitic quarter milk samples were involved. Bacteriological examination of the milk samples could identify S. uberis as the causative agent in 42% (n = 38) of the samples on day 0 (0) of the investigation. Repeated sampling during the course of the treatment showed that 25 (65.8%) of these cases cured and became bacteriologically negative. However, in the rest (13 out of the 38 infected quarters), S. uberis could be re-isolated from at least one additional sample post treatment. The 13 infected quarters belonged to 13 different cows (2 from herd A, 2 from herd B, and 9 from herd C). Follow up bacteriological examination of the 13 cows at the 7th day could detect S. uberis in 11 samples. The number of positive reactors decreased to 8 cows at the 14th day, and only 7 cows after 21 days. Meanwhile, the infection persisted in 5 cows till the 56th day of infection. The grown 44 S. uberis colonies (13 + 11 + 8 + 7 + 5 isolates) and the three colonies of the double investigations at one sampling-date were subjected to fingerprinting.

Monitoring of the persistence of S. uberis in dairy cattle under treatment showed that in one cow the S. uberis could be isolated in the days 0,7,14 and 56 but not in the days 28. In another two cows the pathogen could be detected in the 0 and 28 days but not in the 7, 14 or 56 day (Table 1).

Further PFGE typing could be successfully performed from 43 out of the 47 isolates as four isolates were not possible to evaluate. A total of 16 S. uberis PFGE pattern with more than four bands difference could be distinguished. Five of the PFGE patterns showed minor differences (only one up to three bands) and were classified as subtypes.

For the description of the degree of the relationship among the isolates, different terms were used. The cluster (PFGE-type with a 100% match in their pattern as well as PFGE subtypes with a minimum 95% match rate were grouped into a cluster amount). The PFGE-type (a special pattern of a field-isolate, if the restriction pattern is indistinguishable it might be the same PFGE-type. A field isolate with a maximum difference of 5% (95% accordance of bands of a pattern) to a pattern of another field isolate was assumed to be the same PFGE-type. This is usually the case when the PFGE-pattern differs max. in two to three bands. It might be caused by a single genetic event. A field isolate might be closely related to the outbreak strain). The obtained PFGE patterns showed minor differences (only one up to three bands) and were classified as subtypes.

For the description of the degree of the relationship among the isolates, different terms were used. The cluster (PFGE-type with a 100% match in their pattern as well as PFGE subtypes with a minimum 95% match rate were grouped into a cluster amount). The PFGE-type (a special pattern of a field-isolate, if the restriction pattern is indistinguishable it might be the same PFGE-type. A field isolate with a maximum difference of 5% (95% accordance of bands of a pattern) to a pattern of another field isolate was assumed to be the same PFGE-type. This is usually the case when the PFGE-pattern differs max. in two to three bands. It might be caused by a single genetic event. A field isolate might be closely related to the outbreak strain). The obtained PFGE patterns showed minor differences (only one up to three bands) and were classified as subtypes.

4. Discussion

In the present work, all involved field isolates (n = 47) were identified phenotypically as well as genotypically as S. uberis. For the typing of isolates at their strain level, PFGE was used due to its high discriminatory index in distinguishing field strains of S. uberis as recommended previously [1,19]. This was confirmed by the delivered
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Fig. 1. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns of 44 *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from clinical milk samples of three dairy farms (designated as A, B, and C), digested with the SmaI restriction enzyme. The dendrogram was constructed with the BioNumerics software 5.1 (Applied Maths NV, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) choosing the Dice coefficient setting with a band position tolerance of 5%. The horizontal scale on the left side (100 to 74) indicates the level of similarity in per cent among fingerprints. The 95% of similarities indicates the minimal levels for defining clusters and subtypes, respectively.
data in the present work where a weak relationship among the detected genotypic profiles could be noticed. The data showed that *S. uberis* isolated from different herds belonged to different genotypic groups with various sets of PFGE patterns. These results are in agreement with the results of previously published reports [8,12]. On the other hand strains with a high genetic similarity could be found in different herds (e.g.: PFGE-type BI and CVI: dice coefficient 94%, Table 2). This underlines that *S. uberis* is a causative agent for environmental mastitis predominantly.

Differences among genetic profiles of *S. uberis* field strains were not only seen among isolates originating from different herds. The present work, and in contrast to the data presented by Phuektes et al. [2], only seen among isolates originating from different herds. However, McDougall et al. [8] considered the possibility that strains of various macrorestriction types of *S. uberis* were present in one milk sample on day of infection, but only one of them could be identified depending on the laboratory technique used. Another explanation was provided by Pryor et al. [20] who experimentally induced intramammary mixed infection with multiple strains of *S. uberis* with the result that in 70% of the udder quarters, a single strain seemed to be responsible for the development of infection because it predominated in strain typing using repetitive extragenic palindromic (REP)-PCR. A coinfection with different strains of *S. uberis* in samples of three cows (B357, C177, C408) was not observed.

The macrorestriction profile of that isolate changed at least four times within the sampling period. However, these differences were relatively minor as these isolates were closely related to each other. These findings lead to the assumption that genetic changes had also occurred in persisting isolates and that these *S. uberis* types were rather udder-associated than from environmental sources.

5. Conclusions

In summary, the findings of the present study confirmed mainly the epidemiological knowledge of *S. uberis*. Using sensitive methods for investigating genetic relationships between different bacterial isolates like macrorestriction analysis, a persistent infection is clearly distinguishable from a new infection. Cow to cow infections with *S. uberis* could occur in dairy herds.
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