Self-corrected chip-based dual-comb spectrometer
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We present a dual-comb spectrometer based on two passively mode-locked waveguide lasers integrated in a single Er-doped ZBLAN chip. This original design yields two free-running frequency combs having a high level of mutual stability. We developed in parallel a self-correction algorithm that compensates residual relative fluctuations and yields mode-resolved spectra without the help of any reference laser or control system. Fluctuations are extracted directly from the interferograms using the concept of ambiguity function, which leads to a significant simplification of the instrument that will greatly ease its widespread adoption. Comparison with a correction algorithm relying on a single-frequency laser indicates discrepancies of only 50 attoseconds on optical timings. The capacities of this instrument are finally demonstrated with the acquisition of a high-resolution molecular spectrum covering 20 nm. This new chip-based multi-laser platform is ideal for the development of high-repetition-rate, compact and fieldable comb spectrometers in the near- and mid-infrared.

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of advanced spectrometers leads to new insights into science [1–3] and enables improvements in production environments through industrial process control [4–8]. Spectrometer development took a substantial step forward with the emergence of frequency combs; their broad and regularly spaced modal structure makes them excellent sources to achieve active spectroscopy with unrivalled frequency precision [9–10]. However, this precision can only be captured if the comb modes are spectrally resolved.

Dual-comb spectroscopy [11] is one of the few techniques able to resolve a complete set of dense comb modes. It maps the optical information to the more accessible radio-frequency (RF) domain using mutually coherent combs having slightly detuned repetition rates. Their coherence is typically ensured by phase locking both combs together or to external references [12–13], by using a post-correction algorithm based on auxiliary lasers [14–15], or by using an adaptive sampling scheme [16]. However, all these approaches rely on external signals and additional hardware, which adds a significant layer of complexity to the dual-comb instrument.

Some laser designs have recently been proposed to generate two slightly detuned combs from the same cavity in order to force a certain level of mutual coherence enabled by the rejection of common-mode noise. Most are based on non-reciprocal cavities that induce a repetition rate difference [17–19]. The generation of two combs with different central wavelengths was also reported [20–21], but this avenue requires an additional step to broaden the lasers and obtain enough spectral overlap. However, having two pulse trains sharing the same gain and mode-locking media, which are both highly nonlinear, is worrisome as it could introduce delay-dependant distortions in interferograms (IGMs). Indeed, a pair of pulses overlapped in a nonlinear medium could be significantly different from another pair interacting separately with the medium. In fact, the long-known colliding-pulse laser [22] exploits this effect to shorten the duration of its pulses.

Dual-comb generation using two cavities integrated on a single platform avoids this concern and has been demonstrated with few-mode semiconductor combs [23–24].

Even those common-mode designs have difficulty to yield combs with sufficient relative stability to allow coherent averaging of data [17–18]. Thus, additional hardware and signals are still needed to track and compensate residual drifts. An interesting idea was recently suggested to extract those drifts directly from the IGMs using predictive filtering [27]. Since it comes down to tracking the time-domain signal using a model made from the sum of all comb modes, the effectiveness of this approach still has to be demonstrated for cases with several thousand modes and where signal is only available momentarily in bursts near zero path difference (ZPD).

In this paper, we present a standalone and free-running dual-comb spectrometer based on two passively mode-locked waveguide lasers (WGLs) [28–31] integrated in a single glass chip. This mutually stable system allows to fully resolve the comb modes after using a new algorithm that corrects residual relative fluctuations estimated directly from the IGMs. Thus, no single-frequency lasers, external signals or control electronics are required to retrieve the mutual coherence, which tremendously simplifies the instrument. The design we use for this demonstration is also original and consists of two ultrafast-laser-inscribed waveguides [32–35] in a chip of Er-doped ZBLAN, forming two mechanically coupled, but optically independent, laser cavities. Lasers are mode-locked using
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two distant areas of the same saturable absorber mirror (SAM). This design avoids nonlinear coupling between combs while maximizing their mutual stability. We use the instrument to collect a 20-nm-wide absorption spectrum of the 2ν_{13} band of hydrogen cyanide (H^{13}C^{14}N). The high quality of the spectral data (acquired in 71 ms) is validated by fitting Voigt lines that return parameters in close agreement with published values.

II. INSTRUMENT DESIGN

WGLs are remarkably well adapted to support dual-comb spectrometers. Indeed, several waveguides are typically available on a chip, they offer a much lower cavity dispersion than fibre lasers, thanks to the short propagation through glass, which facilitates mode-locking, and their small size is compatible with the market’s demand for small-footprint instruments. Furthermore, the transparency of ZBLAN from visible to mid-infrared allows for a broad range of emission wavelengths to be supported [39–40]. Finally, rare-earth-doped glasses have proven to be excellent candidates for the generation of low-noise frequency combs of metrological quality. WGLs thus seem to be an obvious choice for the centrepiece of a dual-comb platform.

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the dual-comb spectrometer, whose design is inspired by the single-cavity mode-locked WGL presented in [28]. It revolves around a 13-mm-long ZBLAN glass chip containing several laser- inscription waveguides [22] with diameters ranging from 30 to 55 μm, which all support single-transverse-mode operation. The glass is doped with 0.5 mol% Er^{3+}, acting as the active ion, 2 mol% Yb^{3+}, which enhances pump absorption [41], and 5 mol% Ce^{3+}, which reduces excited-state absorption in Er^{3+} [41].

![FIG. 1. Schematic of the dual-comb spectrometer. Two parallel waveguides laser-inscribed in an Er-doped ZBLAN glass chip are used to generate a pair of frequency comb lasers, which are mode-locked with a common SAM. The fibre-coupled outputs are used to perform dual-comb spectroscopy. PC: polarization controller, D1 and D2: detectors.](image)

Two laser diodes (LDs) (Thorlabs BL976-PAG900), each capable of producing around 900 mW of single-transverse-mode power at 976 nm, are used to pump the chip. They go through separate isolators (ISOs) (Lightcomm HPMIT-976-0-622-C-1) and the end of the output fibres are stripped, brought in contact along their side, and sandwiched between two glass slides with glue. The fibres are therefore held in place with a distance of 125 μm between cores and with the end facets lying in the same plane, which is just sticking out of the sandwich.

The output plane is imaged onto the chip with a pair of lenses (L1 and L2) arranged in an afocal configuration to couple the pump beams into a pair of waveguides separated by 600 μm (centre-centre). The lenses are chosen so that the ratio of the focal lengths best matches the required magnification set by the distance between waveguides and that between fibres (4.8 in this case). A software-assisted optimization of distances between components is performed for the chosen lenses in order to maximize coupling. Two parallel waveguides having diameters of 45 and 50 μm are selected since we observe that they yield the best efficiencies as a result of a good balance between mode matching and pump confinement. The waveguides’ large area ensures a low in-glass intensity, which increases the threshold for undesirable nonlinear effects [28].

An input coupler (IC), which also acts as an output coupler (OC), is butted against the left side of the chip in order to let the pump in (T_{976} > 95%) and to partially reflect the signal (R_{1550} = 95%). On the other side, a pair of anti-reflective coated lenses (L3 and L4) arranged in an afocal configuration is used to image the waveguide modes onto a SAM (Batop SAM-1550-15-12ps) with a magnification of 0.16. This size reduction increases the influence on the SAM, and thus its saturation, which permits the passive mode-locking of the lasers. A polarization beam splitter (PBS) is placed between lenses L3 and L4 to allow a single linear polarization. Both cavities make use of the same components, which ensures maximum mutual stability.

The resulting mode-locked frequency combs exit their respective cavity at the OC and travel back towards the fibres to be collected. They are separated from the counter-propagating pumps with wavelength-division multiplexers (WDMs) (Lightcomm HYB-B-S-9815-0-001), which also include a stage of isolation for the signal wavelength. This conveniently gives two fibre-coupled frequency comb outputs that can be mixed in a 50/50 fibre coupler to perform dual-comb spectroscopy. Each cavity generates ~ 2 mW of comb power, of which around 10% is successfully coupled in the fibres. This is due to the alignment being optimized for the pump wavelength, thus the efficiency could be improved with an achromatic imaging system. Nevertheless, this level of power is more than sufficient for laboratory-based spectroscopy.

Figure 2a) shows the power spectrum of each comb, as measured with an optical spectrum analyzer (Anritsu MS9470A). Their 3 dB bandwidth (Δλ_{3dB}) spans approximately 9 nm around 1555 nm and they show excellent spectral overlap. A zoomed view reveals spectral modulation that is identified as parasitic reflections tak-
The repetition rate \( f_r \) of each comb is 822.4 MHz and their repetition rate difference \( (\Delta f_r) \) is 10.5 kHz. This yields a beat spectrum fully contained within a single comb alias. Its central frequency is adjustable by varying one of the pump diodes’ power. As for \( \Delta f_r \), it is mostly determined by the slight optical path differences through lenses and, potentially, through waveguides. Indeed, their diameters differ and this affects their effective refractive indices. Tuning \( \Delta f_r \) is possible by slightly adjusting the alignment of optical components. Figure 2(b) shows an averaged IGM obtained with a sequence of IGMs self-corrected using the algorithm presented in the next section. Small pulses on either side of the ZPD burst correspond to the parasitic reflections mentioned earlier.

The mutual stability of the dual-comb platform is evaluated using the beat note between two comb modes, one from each comb, measured through an intermediate continuous-wave (CW) laser. Figure 3 shows the beat note computed from a 71 ms measurement (grey), which corresponds to the digitizer’s memory depth at 1 GS/s, along with beat notes computed from three different sections of the 71 ms measurement. Their width is close to the transform limit, which indicates that the dual-comb platform is mutually stable on a \( 1/\Delta f_r \) timescale.

III. SELF-CORRECTION

Although nothing forces the combs to settle individually at specific frequencies, the platform presented here is designed to provide them with mutual stability. Therefore, the frequency difference between pairs of comb modes is more stable than their absolute frequencies. This is exactly what is required for mode-resolved dual-comb spectroscopy since the measured beat spectrum is a new RF comb with modes sitting at those differential frequencies. In order to reach a specified spectral resolution, the stability constraints on the RF comb need to be more severe than those on the optical combs by a factor equal to the compression ratio between the optical and RF domains \( (f_r/\Delta f_r) \).

We can define the RF comb with only two parameters: its spectral offset and its spectral spacing. Mathematically, the RF modes are found at frequencies \( f_n = f_c + n\Delta f_r \), where \( f_c \) is the frequency of the mode closest to the carrier frequency (the spectrum’s centre of mass) and \( n \) is the mode index. It is judicious to define the comb around \( f_c \) since this reduces the extent of \( n \), which acts as a lever on \( \Delta f_r \), and thus increases the tolerance on the knowledge of this parameter. Of course, \( f_n \) is a time-dependent quantity since residual fluctuations \( \delta f_c(t) \) and \( \Delta f_c(t) \) remain despite our instrument design. The modes’ frequencies can thus be described at all times with

\[
f_n(t) = [f_c + \delta f_c(t)] + n[\Delta f_r + \delta \Delta f_r(t)]
\]  

(1)
When measuring dual-comb IGMs generated with free-running combs, it is required that we estimate and compensate those fluctuations. This allows reaching the spectral resolution made available by the optical combs and it opens the door to coherent averaging (11) by yielding mode-resolved spectra. We show here that it is possible to extract the residual fluctuations directly from the IGMs by making use of the cross-ambiguity function (22), a tool initially developed for radar applications. This tool is closely related to the cross-correlation, but besides revealing the time delay \( \tau \) between two similar waveforms, it also reveals their frequency offset \( f_o \). More specifically, the cross-ambiguity function gives a measure of the similarity of two waveforms, \( A_1(t) \) and \( A_2(t) \), as a function of \( \tau \) and \( f_o \). It is given by

\[
 \chi_{1,2}(\tau, f_o) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_1(t) A_2(t + \tau) \exp(2\pi i f_o t) dt \tag{2}
\]

where \( \ast \) denotes complex conjugation. In the presence of chirp, an uncompensated frequency shift can affect the apparent delay between waveforms as retrieved by the more familiar cross-correlation method. Hence, it is important to retrieve those two parameters simultaneously from the point of maximum similarity on an ambiguity map, that is where \( |\chi_{1,2}(\tau, f_o)| \) is maximum.

For a given dual-comb IGM stream, we can compute \( \chi_{1,k}(\tau, f_c) \) between the first and \( k^{th} \) ZPD bursts, where \( f_c \) takes the form of a frequency offset \( \delta f_c \) relative to the first burst’s \( f_c \) in that specific context. The values \( \tau_k \) and \( \delta f_{c,k} \) at the maximum of each calculated ambiguity map reveal the instantaneous fluctuations sampled at each ZPD time. Indeed, time delays \( \tau_k \) translate into fluctuations \( \delta f_c(t) \), while \( \delta f_{c,k} \) are samples from \( \delta f_c(t) \). Figure 4 shows an ambiguity map generated from measured IGMs for the case \( k = 100 \). Only ZPD bursts and their slightly overlapping adjacent echoes are used for the calculation. The latter are responsible for the weak modulation on the ambiguity map.

Initially, the uncorrected spectrum is completely smeared, as shown by the green trace in Fig. 5 computed from a 71-ms-long IGM stream. This highlights the fact that, in the original spectrum, RF modes are wider than their nominal spacing. We first compensate spectral shifting on the RF comb using a correction based on the values \( \delta f_{c,k} \). They are used to estimate the continuous phase signal \( \delta \phi_{c}(t) = 2\pi \int \delta f_c(t) dt \) required to perform a phase correction (14). This corrects the fluctuations of the mode at \( f_c \) \((n = 0)\), but leaves spectral stretching around that point uncompensated, as depicted by the red higher-index modes in Fig. 5. Then, we use the values \( \tau_k \) to construct the continuous phase signal \( \delta \phi_r(t) = 2\pi \int \Delta f_r(t) dt \) associated with spectral stretching. This phase signal is used to resample the IGMs on a grid where the delay between pairs of optical pulses is linearly increasing (constant \( \Delta f_r \)). This yields the blue spectrum in Fig. 5 which shows transform-limited modes having a width determined by the von Hann window that was used to compute all aforementioned spectra \( (2/(71 \times 10^{-3}) = 28 \text{ Hz}) \). The improvement between the green and blue spectra indicates that this algorithm allows accounting for fluctuations greater than the RF mode spacing. The extracted \( \delta \phi_{c}(t) \) is shown in blue in Fig. 6a) and the extracted \( \delta \phi_r(t) \), normalized by \( 2\pi f_c \), to obtain time deviations from a linear delay grid, is shown in Fig. 6b). A detailed explanation of the algorithm is given in Appendix A.

To verify the exactitude of the extracted signal \( \delta \phi_{c}(t) \), we compare it with an independent measurement of this
The difference between δφ \text{c} (t) and δφ \text{c}∗ (t). c) Extracted phase excursions Δδφ \text{c} (t) associated with the fluctuations on the repetition rate difference. They are normalized by 2πf \text{r} to obtain time deviations from a linear delay grid.

quantity that we refer to as δφ \text{c}∗ (t). It was obtained from the beat note between two comb modes, one from each comb, measured through an intermediate CW laser. This corresponds to the approach that is routinely taken to post-correct dual-comb IGMs [14]. Since the pair of optical modes that is selected by the CW laser creates a beat note at a RF frequency f \text{cW} different from f \text{r}, the phase signal corresponding to this beat note is adjusted with the term 2πf \text{r} scaled by (f \text{r} − f \text{cW})/Δf \text{r}, the number of modes separating f \text{cW} from f \text{r}. This yields the measured signal δφ \text{c}∗ (t) shown in red in Fig. 6(a), which should give the same information as the extracted signal δφ \text{c} (t). The difference between δφ \text{c} (t) and δφ \text{c}∗ (t) is given in Fig. 6(b) and shows white residuals up to Δf \text{r}/2, the Nyquist frequency of the sampled fluctuations. The standard deviation of the residuals is 0.06 rad, which corresponds to around one hundredth of a cycle, or 50 attoseconds at 1550 nm.

It is important to note that the algorithm presented here can only compensate relative fluctuations that are slower than Δf \text{r}/2 since they are effectively sampled by each ZPD burst. Anything above this frequency is aliased during sampling and contaminates the correction signals estimated in the 0 to Δf \text{r}/2 band. Thus, a high Δf \text{r} and a low level of relative frequency noise, especially in the band above Δf \text{r}/2, are desirable to achieve the best results. However, Δf \text{r} must always be smaller than f \text{r}^2/(2Δν), where Δν is the optical combs’ overlap bandwidth, in order to correctly map the optical information to a single comb alias. See Appendix A for the algorithm’s tolerance to relative frequency noise faster than Δf \text{r}/2.

Moreover, we must emphasize that the self-correction algorithm simply permits retrieving the mutual coherence between comb modes from the IGMs themselves, which yields an equidistant, but arbitrary, frequency axis. Therefore, calibration against frequency standards or known spectral features is still required if an absolute frequency axis is needed.

IV. SPECTROSCOPY OF HCN

We use the spectrometer to measure the transmission spectrum of the 2ν\text{a} band of H\text{13}C\text{14}N by relying solely on the self-correction algorithm presented above. We mix the two frequency combs in a 50/50 coupler and send one output through a free-space gas cell (Wavelength References HCN-13-100). The 50-mm-long cell has a nominal pressure of 100 ± 10 Torr and is at room temperature (22 ± 1°C). The optical arrangement is such that light does three passes in the cell. The transmitted light is sent to an amplified detector (Thorlabs PDB460C-AC) while the second coupler’s output goes straight to an identical detector that provides a reference measurement (see Fig. 1). This reference measurement is especially important to calibrate the spectral modulation present on the generated combs. Both signals are simultaneously acquired with an oscilloscope (Rigol DS6104) operating at 1 GS/s.

Figure 7 shows a transmission spectrum acquired in 71 ms that covers up to 20 nm of spectral width. This allows to observe 24 absorption lines belonging to the P branch of H\text{13}C\text{14}N with a spectral point spacing of f \text{r} = 822.4 MHz. The absolute offset of the frequency axis is retrieved by using one of the spectral features’ known centre and its scale is determined by using the measured value for f \text{r}, as in [13]. We overlay the result of a fit composed of 24 Voigt lines for which the Gaussian width (Doppler broadening) is determined by calculation from [44] for a temperature of 22°C (FWHM ∼ 450 MHz). The Lorentzian width (pressure broadening), centre and depth of each line are left as free parameters. We use the same approach as the one described in [15] to fit the data and suppress the slowly varying background. The dominant structure left in the fit residuals is due to weak hot-band transitions. They represent the biggest source of systematic errors for the retrieved parameters since they often extend over lines of interest.

As a final proof that the correction algorithm presented in this paper yields quality spectroscopic data, we compare Lorentzian half widths obtained from the fit to values derived from broadening coefficients reported in [46]. Note that reference data is not available for all lines. We calculate reference widths from reported broadening coefficients (in MHz/Torr) using a cell pressure of 92.84 Torr, which lies within the manufacturer’s tolerance. This pressure value yields minimum deviations
FIG. 7. Transmission spectrum of $\text{H}^{13}\text{C}^{14}\text{N}$. Each blue point corresponds to the power of a single dual-comb mode and the point spacing is 822.4 MHz. The red curve is the result of a fit composed of 24 Voigt lines. Fit residuals show weak hot-band transitions that were not taken into account in the model.

TABLE I. Lorentzian half widths retrieved from the fit compared to reference widths calculated from \cite{46} assuming a pressure of 92.84 Torr. Uncertainties are the 2σ confidence intervals.

| Line | Measured width [MHz] | Expected width [MHz] | Deviation [MHz] |
|------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| P1   | 2089(184)            | 2042(186)            | 47 ± 370        |
| P4   | 3188(118)            | 3157(139)            | 31 ± 257        |
| P5   | 3548(121)            | 3528(93)             | 20 ± 214        |
| P9   | 4170(132)            | 4178(93)             | −8 ± 225        |
| P10  | 4076(133)            | 4131(93)             | −55 ± 226       |
| P11  | 3946(134)            | 3992(93)             | −46 ± 227       |
| P14  | 3324(134)            | 3296(139)            | 28 ± 273        |
| P16  | 2651(132)            | 2739(139)            | −88 ± 271       |
| P17  | 2368(133)            | 2414(139)            | −46 ± 272       |
| P20  | 1805(140)            | 1671(232)            | 134 ± 372       |
| P23  | 1035(160)            | 1068(186)            | −33 ± 346       |
| P24  | 881(201)             | 882(186)             | −1 ± 387        |

between measured and reference widths and is in good agreement with the value of 92.5 ± 0.8 Torr estimated from a different experiment using the same gas cell \cite{45}. The measured and reference widths along with their deviations are gathered in Table I. The measurement uncertainties correspond to the 2σ confidence intervals returned by the fit. The excellent agreement between the two value sets confirms the reliability of the spectrometer and of its correction algorithm. If the correction had left any significant fluctuations uncompensated, the spectrum would have appeared smeared, and the lines would have been broadened.

V. CONCLUSION

We have designed and demonstrated the use of a new kind of dual-comb spectrometer based on passively mode-locked on-chip WGLs. This platform improves the mutual stability of dual-comb systems by coupling the cavities mechanically and thermally. Combined with a new correction algorithm that allows to extract and compensate residual fluctuations, this free-running system can perform mode-resolved spectroscopy without using any external information. This self-correction approach to dual-comb interferometry can be used with any pair of combs having sufficient mutual coherence on a $1/\Delta f_r$ timescale.

This compact instrument and its self-correction approach represent two important steps towards the widespread adoption of dual-comb spectroscopy. The design could even be miniaturized down to a monolithic device with a SAM directly mounted on the end-face of the chip. Single- and dual-comb versions of this device could reach multi-GHz repetition rates and compete against microresonator-based frequency combs \cite{47–49}. Moreover, the broad transparency of the ZBLAN chip makes the platform easily adaptable to the mid-infrared, a key enabler for useful spectroscopy applications.
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APPENDIX A: DETAILED SELF-CORRECTION ALGORITHM

The algorithm aims to correct both degrees of freedom on the RF comb: its spectral spacing and its spectral offset. This is done by extracting the values \( r_k \) and \( \delta f_{c,k} \) for each \( k \)th ZPD burst using the cross-ambiguity function and by deriving the continuous phase signals \( \delta \phi_c(t) \) and \( \Delta \delta \phi_c(t) \) in order to perform a correction as the one described in [14]. We start by shifting the spectrum to DC with a phase ramp having the slope of the first IGM’s carrier frequency. This slope is evaluated with a linear fit described in [14]. We start by shifting the spectrum to DC with a phase ramp having the slope of the first IGM’s carrier frequency. This slope is evaluated with a linear fit to the phase ramp in the first ZPD burst. We then interpolate the values \( \delta f_{c,k} \), which are measured at ZPD times deduced from the values \( r_k \), in order to obtain \( \delta f_c(t) \) for all times. In other words, we simply interpolate the values pairs \( (r_k, \delta f_{c,k}) \) using a spline. We then integrate \( \delta f_c(t) \) to retrieve the associated phase signal \( \delta \phi_c(t) \) and use it to apply a first phase correction on the IGM stream. This operation corrects most of spectral shifting and starts to reveal the comb’s modal structure. Although they can be distinguished, the modes still occupy a significant fraction of the mode spacing. At this point, the spectrum’s centre of mass is aligned with DC because of the spectral shift that was initially applied. The mode closest to DC is the mode \( n = 0 \), which was initially at frequency \( f_c \). Since this first correction signal was obtained by integrating interpolated frequency data, it did not necessarily force the right set of phase values at ZPD times. Therefore, we can refine the phase correction further by extracting the residual phase excursions in the IGM stream. To do so, we cross-correlate the first ZPD burst with the
rest of the IGM stream, which is safe now that most $\delta f_c(t)$ is compensated, and extract each burst’s residual phase offset $\phi_k$. As long as the first correction was seeded with adequately sampled fluctuations, the $\phi_k$ values now have sufficiently small jumps ($\phi_k - \phi_{k-1} < \pi$) so that they can be unwrapped. However, excess noise can be found on the $\phi_k$ values in the case where the dual-comb system exhibits relative frequency noise faster than $\Delta f_r/\pi$, which cannot be accounted for during the first correction. Therefore, this algorithm can tolerate a certain amount of relative frequency noise in the band above $\Delta f_r/\alpha$, as long as it translates into noise on the $\phi_k$ values that satisfies $\phi_k - \phi_{k-1} < \pi$. The value pairs ($\tau_k, \phi_k$) are unwrapped and interpolated to create a second phase signal $\delta \phi_{c,2}(t)$, which we use for a second phase correction that fully corrects the mode $n=0$ to a transform-limited peak at DC. The sum $\delta \phi_{c,1}(t) + \delta \phi_{c,2}(t) = \delta \phi_{c}(t)$ represents the complete signal that would have been required to perform a one-off correction from the start. This step completes the correction of spectral shifting, but leaves spectral stretching uncompensated. Note that the red trace in Fig. 5 corresponds to the spectrum corrected incrementally with both $\delta \phi_{c,1}(t)$ and $\delta \phi_{c,2}(t)$ or, equivalently, directly with $\delta \phi_{c}(t)$. The blue curve in Fig. 6a) corresponds to the complete signal $\delta \phi_{c}(t)$.

Next, we define a phase vector that represents the evolution of the repetition rate difference. We set the phase to 0 at the first ZPD time and increment it by $2\pi$ at successive ZPD times. This is justified by the fact that the arrival of ZPD bursts is periodic and each burst indicates the start of a new IGM. We interpolate the value pairs ($\tau_k, 2\pi(k-1)$) for all times and remove the linear trend on the resulting signal, which yields the continuous phase fluctuations $\Delta \phi_{c}(t)$. This data can finally be used to construct a resampling grid for the IGM stream where the delay between pairs of optical pulses is linearly increasing (constant $\Delta f_r$). This resampling correction compensates spectral stretching around the mode $n=0$ at DC.