Association of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) with ectopic pregnancy and preterm labor in Taiwan: A nationwide population-based retrospective cohort study
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Abstract

Background
Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is an infectious disease that causes tubal occlusion and other pelvic and abdominal adhesions. The incidence of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) has increased due to the sexually active status of the young population. This leads to a more serious problem and a larger effect than previously observed. However, there have been few studies on this topic in Asian populations.

Aim
We aimed to evaluate the risk of preterm labor and/or ectopic pregnancy in Taiwanese women following PID.

Design
Using the Taiwan National Health Insurance Database, we designed a retrospective cohort study that included 12- to 55-year-old pregnant women between 2000 and 2010. We selected a 1:3 age-matched control group of non-PID women. The endpoint was any episode of preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy; otherwise, the patients were tracked until 31 December 2010.

Methods
The risk factors for preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy were explored. For cases included from the index date until the end of 2010, we analyzed the risk of incident preterm labor or...
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Ectopic pregnancy. With the use of a multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis, we calculated the hazard ratio (HR) with a 95% CI and compared it with that of the control group.

Results
This study examined 30,450 patients with PID and 91,350 controls. During the follow-up period, patients in the PID group were more likely to develop preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy than patients in the control group. The cumulative incidence rates for developing preterm labor were 1.84% (561/30,450 individuals) in patients with PID and 1.63% (1492/91,350 individuals) in patients without PID. On the other hand, the cumulative incidence rate for developing ectopic pregnancy in patients with PID was 0.05% (14/30,450 individuals) but was only 0.04% (33/91,350 individuals) in patients without PID. Compared with those without PID, the patients with PID had a 1.864 times ($P < 0.001$) higher risk of developing preterm labor and a 2.121 times ($P = 0.003$) higher risk of developing ectopic pregnancy.

Conclusion
Our study provided evidence of an increased risk of preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy in PID patients.

Background
Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is an infectious and inflammatory disease of the upper female genital tract, including the uterus, fallopian tubes, and related pelvic organs. PID is a polymicrobial infection typically observed in sexually active females. When microorganisms ascend from the lower genital tract into the upper genital tract, PID gradually develops. The clinical presentation of PID varies in severity, with most patients presenting with mild disease[1]. The diagnosis is sometimes difficult to establish; practical diagnostic methods include a careful history and physical examination (including pelvic examination), laboratory tests (including blood samples and, particularly, a cervical Gram stain or cervical culture result), and sometimes culdocentesis[2–4]. Many women experience a clinically silent spread of infection to the upper genital tract, which results in subclinical PID[5].

In a famous multicenter, randomized clinical trial designed for PID in North America, the PEACH trial, upper genital tract detection of gonorrhea, chlamydia, or endometritis was sufficient to confirm a diagnosis of PID[6]. The PEACH trial results showed that there were no differences in reproductive health outcomes between women with and without endometritis or with upper genital tract infection[7]. However, other studies revealed that a history of pelvic inflammatory disease prior to admission was associated with infertility, preterm labor, chronic pelvic pain and ectopic pregnancy[8–12].

Both obstetricians and gynecologists focus on women’s health, and the prevention of pregnancy complications is the main concern. Ectopic pregnancy is a complication of early pregnancy, and preterm labor is a complication that occurs in the second and third trimesters. Getting pregnant and delivering a healthy baby is an important issue for women, families and society. Preterm labor and ectopic pregnancy can have negative consequences on obstetric results and on a woman’s psychological health. Preterm labor and ectopic pregnancy are two
independent events, and they have different pathophysologies. However, both of these conditions share the same risk factor: infection or a previous infectious episode.

Tubal occlusion was found to be diagnosed in 12.8% of patients after one infection, in 35.5% of patients after two infections, and in 75% of patients after three or more infections [13]. Some studies have revealed that the tubal adhesion caused by PID may increase the possibility of ectopic pregnancy [14–19]. At the same time, studies with small sample sizes and single hospital studies have found that both upper and lower genital tract infections, such as PID [20,21] and bacterial vaginosis, are increasingly associated with adverse consequences in obstetrics, such as preterm membrane rupture, preterm labor and preterm birth [20–31]. PID and amnionitis may result in poor outcomes of subsequent pregnancies[32]. However, the effect of PID on ectopic pregnancy and preterm labor has not been studied in recent years or in Taiwanese or Asian populations.

Therefore, we conducted a population-based study utilizing data from a nationwide health insurance database, the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD), to examine the risk of developing ectopic pregnancy and preterm labor among patients with PID.

Materials and methods

Data sources

In this study, we used data from the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) to investigate the risk of ectopic pregnancy or preterm labor in patients with PID over a 10-year period. We reviewed records from 2000 to 2010 in the Health Insurance Database, which constitutes a valid representative sample of the total population in Taiwan. The NHIRD has been documenting the medical information of all insured patients since 1995. The national database includes a population of 23.74 million individuals, and it reached 99.6% coverage in 2009[33]. Each small databank for the study was from one million individuals randomly recruited from the NHIRD. The diagnostic and treatment codes in the NHIRD application forms were based on the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, and Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) during the study period. The use of data for our study was permitted by the National Health Research Institute. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tri-Service General Hospital (IRB No. 2-105-05-082).

Study design and sampled participants

This study utilized a retrospective matched-cohort design. Among the 986,713 individuals recorded from the outpatient and inpatient data from January 1, 2000, to December 31, 2010, 32,239 individuals were diagnosed with PID (ICD-9-CM codes 614.9) prior to the index date. Patients were excluded if they met one of the following criteria: were diagnosed with PID before the index date, had preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy before tracking, were aged <12 years or > 55 years, and were male.

Ultimately, the PID group consisted of 30,450 individuals. The control group had the same exclusion criteria as the case group, but individuals in the control group did not have PID during the study period. The controls were matched 3:1 by index date and age, and the control group included 91,350 individuals. The tracking of the case and control groups continued until December 31, 2010. Tracking ended with the occurrence of preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy. Individuals having had at least two diagnoses of PID (ICD-9-CM code 614.9) according to a gynecologist at a minimum of two visits per year were defined as diagnosed with PID (Fig 1).
Outcome measures

Our study participants were followed from the index date until the onset of preterm labor (ICD-9-CM 644.0–644.9) or ectopic pregnancy (ICD-9-CM 633.0–633.9), until the withdrawal from the National Health Insurance (NHI) program, or until the end of 2010.

Covariates

The covariates included the age group, geographical area of residence, urbanization level of residence (level 1 to 4), number of pregnancies and monthly income. The age groups were categorized as 12–19, 20–29, 30–39, 40–49, or 50–55 years old. The geographical areas of residence were categorized as northern, central, southern, or eastern Taiwan or outlet islands. The urbanization level of residence was defined according to the population and various indicators of the level of development. Level 1 was defined as a population >1,250,000 people and with a specific designation as political, economic, cultural or metropolitan development. Level 2 was defined as a population between 500,000 and 1,249,999 people that played an important role in the political system, economy, and culture. Urbanization levels 3 and 4 were defined as a population between 149,999 and 499,999 and <149,999, respectively. The monthly income was categorized into three groups in New Taiwan Dollars [NTD]: <18,000, 18,000 to 34,999, and >35,000. Baseline comorbidities included diabetes mellitus (ICD-9-CM code 250), hypertension (ICD-9-CM codes 401–405), hyperlipidemia (ICD-9-CM code 272), obesity (ICD-9-CM codes 278), heart disease (ICD-9-CM codes 410–429), and chronic kidney disease (CKD) (ICD-9-CM code 274.1, 403–404, 440.1, 442.1, 447.3, 572.4, 580–589, 642.1, 646.2).

Statistical analyses

All analyses were performed using SPSS 21 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square and t tests were used to evaluate the distributions of categorical and continuous variables, respectively.
Differences in the distribution of age, insurance premiums, comorbidities, season, location, urbanization level, level of care between the two groups and between subjects with and without ectopic pregnancy or preterm labor were compared using the chi-square test. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was used to determine the risk of preterm labor and ectopic pregnancy, and the results are presented as hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The preterm labor and/or ectopic pregnancy risk difference between the two groups was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method along with the log-rank test. The results were considered statistically significant if two-tailed p values were less than 0.05.

Results

This study examined 30,450 patients with PID and 91,350 controls. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the case and control groups at the end of follow-up. At the 10-year follow-up, patients with a previous PID history had a significantly higher risk of developing preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy than patients without PID. The cumulative incidence rates for developing preterm labor were 1.84% (561/30,450 individuals) in patients with PID and 1.63% (1492/91,350 individuals) in patients without PID. On the other hand, the cumulative incidence rate for developing ectopic pregnancy in patients with PID was 0.05% (14/30,450 individuals) but was only 0.04% (33/91,350 individuals) in patients without PID. The Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated that patients with PID had a significantly higher risk of developing preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy than patients without PID (log-rank test \( p < 0.001; p = 0.003 \)) (Fig 2).

Compared to the controls, patients with PID tended to have lower insurance premiums (97.73% V.S. 97.36%; \( p < 0.001 \)) and had lower rates of DM (3.12% V.S. 3.98%; \( p < 0.001 \)), HTN (3.02% V.S. 4.50%; \( p < 0.001 \)), hyperlipidemia (0.79% V.S. 1.03; \( p < 0.001 \)) and CKD (0.89% V.S. 1.60%; \( p < 0.001 \)). Regarding the season of hospital visits, patients with PID had more frequent visits in summer (26.25% V.S. 25.66%; \( p < 0.001 \)) and autumn (27.67% V.S. 26.38%; \( p < 0.001 \)) than controls. Patients with PID lived more often in less urbanized areas and in northern areas (45.19% V.S. 42.13%) and in eastern Taiwan (5.27% V.S. 4.56%) (\( p < 0.001 \)) than controls. Compared to the controls, more patients with PID were treated in the hospital center (34.60% V.S. 30.47%) and in regional hospitals (44.25% V.S. 32.65%) (\( p < 0.001 \)). Regarding the ages between the two groups, there was a significantly higher percentage of patients between the ages of 12 and 39 in the PID group than in the control group (61.19% V.S. 51.43%; \( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 1).

A Cox regression analysis of the factors associated with the risk of preterm labor and ectopic pregnancy was performed. After adjusting for season, urbanization level of residence, location, number of births and monthly income, the patients with PID had a 1.864 times (\( P < 0.001 \)) higher risk of developing preterm labor and a 2.121 times (\( P = 0.003 \)) higher risk of developing ectopic pregnancy than patients without PID (Table 2 and S1 Table).

Comparing the different age groups, those aged between 12 and 19 years had a significantly higher risk of developing preterm labor than those aged between 20 and 29 (0.607-fold, \( P < 0.001 \)), 30 and 39 (0.297-fold, \( P < 0.001 \)), and 40 and 49 (0.025-fold, \( P < 0.001 \)). Those aged between 12 and 19 years had a significantly higher risk of developing ectopic pregnancy than those aged between 30 and 39 years (0.192-fold, \( P = 0.029 \)) and between 40 and 49 (0.083-fold, \( P = 0.003 \)) years old. Those with hypertension (HTN) (\( P = 0.004 \)), heart disease (\( P < 0.001 \)), and chronic kidney disease (CKD) (\( P = 0.017 \)) were associated with a higher risk of developing preterm labor than those without these comorbidities. Additionally, those with heart disease (\( P < 0.001 \)) were associated with a higher risk of developing ectopic pregnancy than those without these comorbidities. A higher incidence of preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy development was observed among PID patients who visited the hospital center and regional hospitals than among those who visited local hospitals (Table 2).
The incidence and HR of preterm labor or ectopic pregnancy in populations with or without PID relative to those of the controls are listed in Table 3. Despite the other factors, patients with a history of PID had HRs for preterm labor ranging from 1.410 (P=0.001) to 2.772 (P<0.001), which were significantly different compared with...
the values of those without a history of PID. The same status was also noted in the population with ectopic pregnancies; despite the other factors, patients with a history of PID had HRs ranging from 1.753 (P < 0.001) to 4.654 (P < 0.001), which were significantly different compared with the values of those without a history of PID.

**Discussion**

Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is an inflammatory condition of the female upper genital tract and includes a combination of endometritis, salpingitis, tubo-ovarian abscess, and pelvic infection. The relationship between PID and preterm labor/ectopic pregnancy is well documented in the literature. However, the impact of PID on the risk of preterm labor has not been fully explored. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the association between PID and preterm labor/ectopic pregnancy using a Cox regression model.

**Table 2. Factors of preterm labor/ectopic pregnancy by using Cox regression.**

| Variables       | Events | Preterm labor | Ectopic pregnancy |
|-----------------|--------|---------------|-------------------|
|                 | Adjusted HR | 95% CI | 95% CI | P | Adjusted HR | 95% CI | 95% CI | P |
| PID             | Without | Reference | Reference | | | | | |
|                 | With    | 1.864 | 1.482 | 2.062 | <0.001 | 2.121 | 1.803 | 3.776 | 0.003 |
| Number of births| 1       | Reference | Reference | | | | | |
|                 | ≥2      | 0.993 | 0.482 | 1.795 | 0.513 | 1.024 | 0.589 | 2.131 | 0.330 |
| Age group (years)| 12–19  | Reference | Reference | | | | | |
|                 | 20–29   | 0.607 | 0.462 | 0.797 | <0.001 | 0.343 | 0.079 | 1.484 | 0.152 |
|                 | 30–39   | 0.297 | 0.226 | 0.390 | <0.001 | 0.192 | 0.044 | 0.842 | 0.029 |
|                 | 40–49   | 0.025 | 0.017 | 0.036 | <0.001 | 0.083 | 0.016 | 0.426 | 0.003 |
|                 | 50–55   | 0.000 | -   | -   | 0.705 | 0.000 | -   | -   | 0.933 |
| HTN             | 1.057 | 1.008 | 1.406 | 0.004 | 1.496 | 0.194 | 11.517 | 0.699 |
| Heart disease   | 1.229 | 1.130 | 1.405 | <0.001 | 1.792 | 1.108 | 5.831 | <0.001 |
| CKD             | 1.404 | 1.092 | 1.849 | 0.017 | 2.891 | 0.378 | 22.120 | 0.307 |
| Level of care   | Hospital center | 1.275 | 1.069 | 1.626 | 0.010 | 1.883 | 1.669 | 2.126 | <0.001 |
|                 | Regional hospital | 1.194 | 1.026 | 2.576 | 0.038 | 1.475 | 1.324 | 1.644 | <0.001 |
|                 | Local hospital | Reference | Reference | | | | | |

HR = hazard ratio, CI = confidence interval, adjusted HR: adjusted variables listed in the table
Adjusted variables: geographical area of residence, urbanization level of residence, monthly income, season, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and obesity

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219351.t002
Table 3. Factors of preterm labor/ectopic pregnancy stratified by the variables listed in the table by using Cox regression.

| Events | Preterm labor | Ectopic pregnancy |
|--------|---------------|------------------|
| PID    | With PID      | Without PID      | With PID vs. Without PID (Reference) | With PID | Without PID | With PID vs. Without PID (Reference) |
|        | Events Rate   | Events Rate      | Adjusted HR 95% CI                      | Events Rate | Events Rate | Adjusted HR 95% CI                      |
|        |               |                  | P                                          |            |            | P                                          |
| Total  | 561 1,208.18  | 1,492 532.46     | 1.864 1.482 2.062 <0.001                  | 14 30.15    | 33 11.78    | 2.121 1.803 3.776 0.003                  |
| Age group (years) |          |                  |                                            |            |            |                                            |
| 12–19  | 12 3,215.26   | 43 2,777.33      | 0.969 0.878 1.069 0.264                  | 0 0 2 129.18 | 0.000 - - | 0.842                                      |
| 20–29  | 295 2,801.93  | 725 1,527.55     | 1.535 1.391 1.694 <0.001                  | 8 75.98     | 12 25.28    | 2.649 1.403 5.007 <0.001                 |
| 30–39  | 240 1,543.12  | 683 788.92       | 1.637 1.484 1.806 <0.001                  | 5 32.15     | 14 16.17    | 1.753 0.928 3.312 <0.001                 |
| 40–49  | 14 111.54     | 41 66.20         | 1.410 1.278 1.556 <0.001                  | 1 7.97      | 5 8.07      | 0.870 0.461 1.644 0.597                  |
| 50–55  | 0 0 0 0       | - -              | -                                            | 0 0 0 0     | 0 - -      | -                                            |

Insurance premium (NT$)

| <18,000 | 543 1,200.98  | 1,458 534.11     | 1.882 1.705 2.076 <0.001                  | 13 28.75     | 30 10.99    | 2.307 1.221 4.359 <0.001                 |
| 18,000–34,999 | 15 1,478.78  | 27 479.19       | 2.583 2.341 2.849 <0.001                  | 1 98.59     | 2 35.50     | 2.449 1.296 4.627 <0.001                 |
| ≥35,000 | 3 1,453.28   | 7 438.85        | 2.772 2.512 3.058 <0.001                  | 0 0 1 62.69 | 0.000 - -  | - 0.994                                    |

DM

| Without | 557 1,280.27  | 1,480 576.41     | 1.859 1.685 2.051 <0.001                  | 14 32.18     | 33 12.85    | 2.207 1.169 4.171 <0.001                 |
| With    | 4 136.65     | 12 51.18         | 2.234 2.025 2.465 <0.001                  | 0 - -        | 0 - -      | -                                            |

HTN

| Without | 561 1,288.94  | 1,491 588.09     | 1.834 1.662 2.024 <0.001                  | 13 29.87     | 33 13.02    | 2.023 1.071 3.823 <0.001                 |
| With    | 0 0 0 0       | 1 3.75          | 0.000 - - 0.894                          | 1 34.37     | 0 0.00     | ∞ - 0.987                                   |

Hyperlipidemia

| 561 1,227.64  | 1,492 543.45   | 1.899 1.721 2.095 <0.001                  | 14 30.64     | 33 12.02    | 2.257 1.195 4.265 0.012                  |
| Obesity    | 561 1,209.77  | 1,492 533.14     | 1.899 1.721 2.095 <0.001                  | 14 30.19     | 33 11.79    | 2.275 1.195 4.265 0.012                  |

Heart disease

| 557 1,272.26  | 1,484 563.44   | 1.890 1.713 2.085 <0.001                  | 14 31.98     | 32 12.15    | 2.320 1.229 4.385 <0.001                 |

CKD

| 559 1,226.09  | 1,487 544.84   | 1.883 1.707 2.078 <0.001                  | 14 30.71     | 32 11.72    | 2.309 1.222 4.363 <0.001                 |

Urbanization level

| 1 (The highest) | 178 1,242.98  | 489 554.34       | 1.877 1.701 2.070 <0.001                  | 5 34.92     | 11 12.47    | 2.468 1.307 4.665 <0.001                 |
| 2          | 269 1,286.41  | 684 559.40       | 1.925 1.744 2.123 <0.001                  | 3 14.35     | 11 9.00     | 1.406 0.744 2.657 0.632                  |
| 3          | 51 1,079.30   | 112 420.19       | 2.080 1.948 2.372 <0.001                  | 1 21.16     | 4 15.01    | 1.243 0.658 2.384 0.481                  |
| 4 (The lowest) | 63 972.66    | 207 480.65       | 1.694 1.535 1.868 <0.001                  | 5 77.20     | 7 16.25    | 4.187 2.217 7.912 <0.001                 |

Level of care

| Hospital center | 179 1,313.51  | 502 580.05       | 1.895 1.718 2.091 <0.001                  | 3 22.01     | 5 5.78     | 3.359 1.779 6.348 <0.001                 |
| Regional hospital | 233 1,172.03  | 540 494.49       | 1.984 1.798 2.188 <0.001                  | 4 20.12     | 16 14.65   | 1.211 0.641 2.288 0.682                  |
| Local hospital  | 149 1,152.72  | 450 532.79       | 1.811 1.641 1.998 <0.001                  | 7 54.15     | 12 14.21   | 3.360 1.779 6.350 <0.001                 |

PYS = Person-years; Rate: per 10^3 PYS; Adjusted HR = Adjusted Hazard ratio; Adjusted for the variables listed in Table 3; CI = Confidence interval

PIDs = Person-years; Rate: per 10^3 PYS; Adjusted HR = Adjusted Hazard ratio; Adjusted for the variables listed in Table 3; CI = Confidence interval

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219351.t003

Progresses to liver capsule inflammation and leads to the development of adhesions and Fitz-

peritonitis[34,35]. Approximately forty-one patients with acute pelvic inflammatory disease were evaluated for the coexistence of bacterial vaginosis. Due to the inflammatory process, tubal adhesion and intra-abdominal adhesion are observed after PID[36–41]. PID sometimes progresses to liver capsule inflammation and leads to the development of adhesions and Fitz-
Hugh-Curtis syndrome [42–44]. For PID patients, long-term medical treatment, regular follow-up and good compliance are important. However, patients with PID can easily relapse, and it is difficult to achieve complete treatment; poor compliance is often noted in clinical practice [26,45]. The treatment of PID also places a substantial cost burden on the health care system. In developed countries, the annual incidence of PID in women 15 to 39 years of age is approximately 10 to 13 per 1,000 women, with a peak incidence of approximately 20 per 1,000 in women 20 to 24 years of age[46]. Moreover, complications of PID also place an extensive burden on the health care system. Medical costs of treatment have been estimated to be $166 million for chronic pelvic pain, $295 million for ectopic pregnancy, and $360 million for infertility associated with PID in the USA[47]. Preventative PID measures are thought to be more cost-effective [47].

By understanding the association of PID with preterm labor and ectopic pregnancy, assistance and intervention strategies for the clinical prevention of poor outcomes could be provided [19,20,30,48,49]. Some studies revealed that infection could be a precursor to preterm birth or to the premature rupture of membranes. Preexisting infection of the uterine cavity is a predisposing factor of premature membrane rupture, preterm delivery, and amnionitis [23,29,32]. However, there have been no large-scale studies on the relationship between previous PID and preterm labor in Taiwan or other Asian countries.

The role of salpingitis in the recurrence of ectopic pregnancy was studied in a historical cohort of 2,501 women who had undergone laparoscopic examination for acute salpingitis. The study concluded that salpingitis was a risk factor for first ectopic pregnancy[9]. However, there have been no large-scale studies of the relationship between previous PID and ectopic pregnancy in Taiwan or other Asian countries.

This nationwide, population-based study is a large-scale study that investigates the association of PID with ectopic pregnancy and preterm labor. We confirmed that PID is a significant risk factor for ectopic pregnancy and preterm labor. The PID population is at higher risk of ectopic pregnancy and preterm labor compared to the general population. Among PID patients, patients aged 12–19 years have a higher risk of developing ectopic pregnancy and preterm labor than other age groups. Some infectious diseases are pandemic diseases with obvious seasonal characteristics, and outbreaks occur periodically. The results showed that there was no significant difference between seasons. PID is not a pandemic disease.

In addition, patients with hypertension, heart disease, and CKD have an approximately 1.057 to 1.404 times higher risk of preterm labor. Therefore, hypertension, heart disease, and CKD are contributing factors to the development of preterm labor in patients with PID. People with poor health or hygiene problems may have more serious problems or consequences. Patients with hypertension, heart disease, and CKD have the potential for high-risk pregnancies with other complications. With the development of preeclampsia, eclampsia, general edema, or severe dyspnea complicated by heart disease and CKD, delivery is considered an important treatment, and preterm delivery may occur. From the analysis of related factors, we know that women of a young age are at the highest risk of PID. Sexual risk behavior is a critical problem in adolescents. Adolescents engaging in early and unsafe sexual activities represent a high-risk population for infection with human immunodeficiency virus, other sexually transmitted diseases, and unplanned pregnancy[50]. Adolescents who experience PID are highly likely to experience adverse reproductive health outcomes [51,52]. This outcome is an important issue in the prevention of PID[51–53]. The prevention of pelvic inflammatory disease, including comprehensive sex education, the promotion of condom use, and the provision of condoms, is a cornerstone in the prevention of sexually transmitted infection globally[54]. Our results showed that PID had a more prominent role in preterm labor and ectopic pregnancy than other factors; therefore, we consider PID to be a potential risk factor for preterm
labor or ectopic pregnancy. Further study of PID should focus on improving disease detection, implementing cheap and effective treatments and, specifically, understanding the pathophysiology.

The strengths of our study include its population-based design, the use of well-established cohort data with a large sample size and the extended follow-up period used to identify PID as a risk factor for developing preterm labor and ectopic pregnancy. Nevertheless, there are still some limitations of this study. First, although the coding of the NHIRD has been validated for some diseases, no reports are available regarding the coding severity of PID. The infectious pathogens and microbiology involved in PID were also unable to be retrieved via the databank. At the same time, the effect on the severity of preterm labor or the site of ectopic pregnancy could not be analyzed. Second, the NHIRD registry was not able to provide detailed information regarding the laboratory results, health-related lifestyle or past history of the patients, such as smoking status, body mass index, gynecological history and family history, some of which can increase the risk of preterm or ectopic pregnancy. Third, the incidence of PID may be underestimated because patients without a hospital visit or a diagnosis of subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease[5] could not be identified from the Taiwan NHI data set. Fourth, the study was based on outpatient and inpatient data, which may not represent the general population (S2 Table).

Conclusions

This study demonstrated that PID is a significant and independent risk factor for preterm labor and ectopic pregnancy. Compared with those without PID disease, patients with PID history had a 1.864 times (P<0.001) higher risk of developing preterm labor and a 2.121 times (P = 0.003) higher risk of developing ectopic pregnancy. The effect of disease progression on the development of preterm labor and/or ectopic pregnancy needs to be further elucidated in future studies. The results from this study indicate that clinical doctors need to perform a cautious assessment of PID patients with pregnancy problems.
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