Primary central nervous system diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the immunocompetent: Immunophenotypic subtypes and Epstein-Barr virus association
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Primary central nervous system diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (PCNSL DLBCL) in the immunocompetent is an uncommon tumor that has an activated B-cell immunophenotype resembling germinal center exit B cells. They also differ from primary central nervous diffuse large B-cell lymphomas in the immunocompromised as they show no association with the Epstein-Barr virus. Objective: To determine if immunophenotypic subtyping of PCNS DLBCL from Asian subcontinent are also different similar to its systemic counterpart is unclear, as there are only limited studies from Asia, and none from India. Material and Methods: The immunohistochemical profile of 24 South Indian patients with primary central nervous system diffuse large B-cell lymphoma was studied using germinal center markers – CD10 and Bcl-6, and activation markers – MUM1 and CD138, which are markers for late/post germinal centre B cells. In situ hybridization for EBV genome and LMP1 by immunohistochemistry was carried out in all cases to determine association with EBV. Results: Centroblastic morphology and uniform activated B-cell phenotype with positivity for MUM1 was seen in 91.6% of tumors. Co-expression of Bcl-6 and MUM1 was evident in 50%, which is more frequent than in systemic diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. All cases were negative for Epstein-Barr virus using EBER in situ hybridization and LMP1 immunohistochemistry. Conclusion: Primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the immunocompetent is a distinct clinicopathological entity with centroblastic morphology, a uniform activated B-cell immunophenotype that is not associated with the Epstein-Barr virus regardless of geographic origin.
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Introduction

Primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) of the central nervous system (CNS) is an uncommon neoplasm and accounts for 2-3% of all brain tumors and <1% of all non-Hodgkin lymphomas.[1] Primary CNS lymphomas (PCNSL) differ from systemic lymphomas in that they develop in an organ devoid of conventional lymphoid tissue.[2] They are now considered to be a separate entity in the WHO classification of 2008.[1] They have a poorer prognosis than systemic lymphomas of the same subtype, the overall survival in the majority of patients being poor despite novel therapies and improvement in survival for a subgroup of patients with long-term follow up.[3-7] This underlines the need for a better understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of primary DLBCL of the CNS.

Gene expression profiling of systemic DLBCL identified two subgroups of DLBCL, the germinal center B cell (GCB)-like with the profile of germinal centre B cells and the activated B cell-like (ABC-like) with the profile of activated peripheral B cells.[8] A third subtype (Type 3) was added subsequently and found to be heterogeneous.[9] Subtyping of systemic DLBCL
by immunohistochemistry has been used extensively by different groups as a more practical alternative to gene expression profiling. Several algorithms have been used to classify DLBCL into germinal center and non-germinal center subtypes with conflicting reports regarding their correlation with gene expression profiles and their utility in predicting survival in the R-CHOP chemotherapeutic era.\(^\text{[10-18]}\) The antibodies used for immunophenotyping of the cells include germinal center markers bcl6 and CD10, and post germinal center (activation) markers MUM1 and CD138 that are markers of late stage of B-cell differentiation. MUM1 is a marker for late germinal center/early post-germinal centre B cells and its expression represents activated (antigen stimulated) GCBs that have the capacity to differentiate toward CD138-expressing plasma cells.\(^\text{[19]}\) Other markers like GCET1, FOXP1, LMO2 and bcl2 have also been included in some algorithms for classification.\(^\text{[20,21]}\)

Gene expression profiling studies have indicated that PCNS DLBCL is molecularly heterogeneous,\(^\text{[22,23]}\) including GCB, ABC and non-ABC/GCB subgroups. In literature, most studies of immunophenotyping of primary central nervous system (CNS) DLBCL have shown a predominantly activated B cell phenotype with almost universal expression of the late germinal center/early post germinal center marker MUM1.\(^\text{[5,6,24-30]}\)

The ABC-like phenotype is associated with a worse prognosis in systemic DLBCL by gene expression profiling,\(^\text{[18,39]}\) and this has been ascribed as the reason for the poor prognosis in the majority of PCNS DLBCL.\(^\text{[5,6]}\)

The immunophenotyping of systemic DLBCL in Asian patients has shown conflicting results, with some studies showing a preponderance of the GCB phenotype and others of the non-GCB phenotype.\(^\text{[31,32]}\) Similarly whether immunophenotypic subtyping of PCNS DLBCL from Asian subcontinent are also different similar to its systemic counterpart is unclear, as there are only limited studies from Asia.\(^\text{[25,26,29,30]}\) and none from India.

The pathogenesis of PCNS DLBCL in the immunocompetent differs from that in the immunocompromised, only 5-10% of the former being associated with the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) in contrast to 100% in systemic DLBCL.\(^\text{[23-28]}\)

This study is aimed at determining the immunophenotypic subtypes of PCNS DLBCL in immunocompetent Indian patients and correlates these subtypes with EBV association.

**Materials and Methods**

Histologically diagnosed cases of PCNS DLBCL diagnosed between 1991 and 2004 were retrieved from the archives of the Department of Neuropathology at National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, a tertiary referral center for neurological and neurosurgical disorder in Bangalore, India. The study is approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

All relevant clinical and demographic details including HIV status were obtained from the case records. Details that may disclose the identity of the patients has been omitted and all cases have been anonymized. Those cases wherein paraffin blocks were available for extended immunophenotyping were included in the study \((n = 24)\). The diagnoses of B-cell lymphoma was established by immunostaining with a CD20 marker.

Immunohistochemistry was performed using a standard streptavidin biotin technique. All the cases were subtyped using a panel of antibodies: CD10, bcl6 (GCB markers), MUM1 and C138 (activation markers) \(\text{[Table 1]}\) with appropriate positive and negative controls.

The immunolabeling was scored based on the percentage positivity of cells in the entire section. Positive staining of more than 30% of cells of any intensity was considered positive. Slides were considered assessable only if appropriate internal controls were positive.

Subtyping of the lymphomas was carried out using the algorithm of Hans, et al.\(^\text{[10]}\) into GCB type (CD10 + or CD10-/BCL-6+/MUM-1) and non-GCB type. Case was assigned to the GCB type if CD10 alone or CD10 and bcl6 markers were positive. When bcl6 was positive and CD10 negative, reaction to MUM1 determined the subgroup. If MUM1 was positive the case was assigned to the non-GCB group. Subtyping was also performed according to the algorithm of Chang, et al.\(^\text{[11]}\) using activation marker CD138 in addition to CD10, bcl6 and MUM1 into GCB type-type A (only GCB markers positive), activated GCB type-type B (GCB and ABC marker positive) and activated non-GCB type-type C (only ABC markers positive).

**In situ** hybridization (ISH) to detect EBV genome was carried out in all cases using non-isotopic **in-situ** hybridization and a fluorescein-labeled oligonucleotide Epstein-Barr encoding region (EBER) probe (Novocastra). Paraffin sections were dewaxed and hydrated, digested with proteinase K washed in water and air dried. The sections were then hybridized with the fluorescein-labeled EBER probe in hybridization solution for 2 hours at 37°C.
Following three washes in TBS-Triton X and incubation for 10 min with blocking solution, the slides were incubated in an anti-FITC/alkaline phosphatase antibody for 30 minutes. Following washes in TBS and alkaline phosphatase substrate buffer, the slides were incubated with enzyme substrate to visualize the immunoreactions and localize the EBV genome. The sections were counterstained with Meyer’s hematoxylin. The sections were considered positive for EBV genome only when more than 10% of cells had nuclear staining.

Results

Clinical features
Twenty-four cases were included in the study [age range: 28-80 years; mean and median age of 53 years; male:female ratio was 1.4:1]. All the patients presented with symptoms and signs related to raised intracranial pressure, abnormal behavior, memory impairment and focal neurological deficits corresponding to the neuroanatomical location of the lesions. All cases were seronegative for HIV-1 and 2. The majority of tumors were supratentorial (23/24), the most common site being the frontal lobe (10/24) followed by the temporal (4/24) and parietal (2/24) lobes. Other sites included bifrontal lesions extending across corpus callosum (3), parietooccipital (1), paratrigonal (1) and multicentric periventricular lesions in remaining two cases. Midbrain involvement was noted in one case only. Imaging by cranial CT revealed multiple periventricular lesions that were hyperdense and enhancing homogenously on contrast with perilesional edema and mass effect. Following diagnosis, all the patients were referred to Oncology centers for standard chemotherapy. Hence, further clinical details of the patients were not available as they did not come for follow up due to demographic and economic reasons.

Histopathology
All cases were characterized as diffuse large B-cell lymphomas based on morphology and immunolabeling for B-cell marker, CD20. The tumor cells were present in diffuse sheets or infiltrating through the cerebral parenchyma, with a characteristic angiocentric pattern of arrangement. Twenty-two tumors were centroblastic [Figure 1a] and two tumors were immunoblastic demonstrating prominent nucleoli.

Immunohistochemistry
All cases were positive for the B-cell marker CD20 [Figure 1b]. A panel of four markers (CD10, bcl6, MUM1 and CD138) was used to classify the tumors into GCB and non-GCB (ABC) subtypes. Among these, 12/24 cases were positive for bcl6, 22 of 24 cases were positive for MUM1, one case was positive for CD138, while all cases were negative for CD10. Two cases were negative for all four markers and could not be further classified [Table 2].

When these tumors were classified according to the Hans classifier, 22/24 cases belonged to the non-GCB subtype, while none were of the germinal center subtype.

Based on Chang’s algorithm, 12 cases were type B [activated GCB subtype—positive for both bcl6 and MUM1] [Figure 2a-d], while 10 cases were type C [activated non-GCB subtype: Positive exclusively for MUM1] [Figure 2e-h], and none belonged to type A category (isolated GCB marker positive).

Immunohistochemistry for the latent membrane protein of EBV was negative in all 24 cases. In situ hybridization for EBV was also negative in all cases.

Discussion

Systemic DLBCL is a heterogeneous entity, both clinically and morphologically. Primary CNS DLBCL though morphologically similar to their systemic

Figure 1: (a) Microphotographs reveal sheets of neoplastic large lymphoid cells with a centroblastic morphology (b) Immunohistochemistry for CD20 reveals diffuse strong membrane positivity in the lymphoma cells A = H and E, ×400 B = CD20 immunoperoxidase ×400

Table 1: List of antibodies used

| Clone | Source | Antigen retrieval | Dilution |
|-------|--------|------------------|----------|
| CD10  | Mouse monoclonal (56C6) | Novocastra labs, UK | Citrate buffer, pH 6.0 | Ready to use |
| Bcl6  | Mouse monoclonal PG – (B6p) | Dako, Denmark | Citrate Buffer, pH 6.0 | 1:40 |
| MUM1  | Mouse monoclonal (Mum1 p) | Santa Cruz Biotechnology, California | Citrate pH 6.0 | 1:30 |
| CD138 | Mouse monoclonal (MI 15) | Dako, Denmark | Citrate buffer, pH 6.0 | 1:30 |
| CD20  | Mouse monoclonal (L26) | Dako, Denmark | Citrate buffer, pH 6.0 | 1:100 |
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Counterparts, has a poor prognosis with overall five year survival of approximately 30% with the best treatment protocols.\textsuperscript{[3-7]}

Recently, systemic diffuse large B-cell lymphomas (DLBCL) have been subcategorized into germinal center and nongerminl center types based on gene

| Case no. | Histological type | CD20 | CD10 | Bcl6 | CD138 | MUM1 | IHC subtype | EBER | LMP1 |
|----------|-------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------------|------|------|
| 1        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | +    | -     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 2        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | +    | -     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |
| 3        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | +    | -     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 4        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |
| 5        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 6        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 7        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | +    | -     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 8        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 9        | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | +    | -     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 10       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |
| 11       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | +    | -     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 12       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 13       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | +    | -     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |
| 14       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |
| 15       | Immunoblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |
| 16       | Immunoblastic     | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    | Non classifiable | -    | -    |
| 17       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 18       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 19       | Immunoblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |
| 20       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 21       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |
| 22       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | -     | +    | Non classifiable | -    | -    |
| 23       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type B | -    | -    |
| 24       | Centroblastic     | +    | -    | -    | +     | +    | Non GCB, Type C | -    | -    |

GCB/non GCB=Hans algorithm, Type A, B, C=Chang algorithm, CNS: Central nervous system, EBER: Epstein-Barr encoding region, GCB: Germinal center B cell, LMP: Latent membrane protein, IHC: Immunohistochemistry

Figure 2: Immunohistochemistry for CD10, Bcl-6, MUM1 and CD138 in primary CNS lymphoma. (a-d) Activated Germinal centre B/Type B pattern (Chang algorithm): CD10⁻, Bcl-6⁺, MUM1⁺, CD138⁻ (Bcl-6 and MUM1 show nuclear positivity in tumor cells). (e-h) Activated non-germinal centre/Type C pattern (Chang algorithm): CD10⁻, Bcl-6⁻, MUM1⁺, CD138⁻ (MUM1 shows nuclear positivity in tumor cells) A-H = Immunoperoxidase ×400
expression profiles and immunohistochemical expression of CD10, Bcl-6, and MUM1. In normal B-cell differentiation, expression of CD10 and Bcl-6 are well-known markers for GCs, while activation markers include MUM1 (multiple myeloma-1/interferon regulatory factor-4) and CD138. MUM1 is a marker for late germinal center/early post-GCBs and its expression represents activated (antigen stimulated)-GCBs that have the capacity to differentiate toward CD138-expressing plasma cells.

Hans, et al. distinguished two subgroups according to the expression of three immunophenotypic markers: CD10, BCL-6, and MUM1. The GCB subgroup included all CD10 + tumors and those with a CD10-/BCL-6+/MUM1- immunophenotype. Other patients were assigned to the second group, the non-GCB subgroup, which were defined by MUM1 positivity, regardless of their BCL-6 status (CD10-/BCL-6+/MUM1 + or CD10-/BCL-6-/MUM1 +). Tumors expressing none of the three markers were classified as non-GC types.

Chang's classification was based on two GCB markers, CD10 and BCL-6, and two activation markers, MUM1 and CD138. They defined a GCB pattern (pattern A) that was characterized by positivity for any of the GCB markers (CD10 and/or BCL-6) but no activation marker labeling, an activated GCB pattern (pattern B) expressing at least one GCB and one activation marker, and the activated non-GCB pattern (pattern C), expressing activation markers (MUM1 and/or CD138) but none of the GCB proteins. The overall survival of germinal center group is found to be better than the non-germinal center lymphomas.

Immunohistochemical subtyping of systemic DLBCL into GCB and non-GCB subtypes have shown proportions of tumors varying from 65%/35% to 51%/49% respectively in various published series. There may also be a true biological spectrum reflecting this variability, as seen in pediatric patients in whom there is a marked predominance of the GCB subtype, and also in refractory patients with relapses and in high risk patients. In contrast, PCNS DLBCL is a relatively homogeneous entity, with a predominantly centroblastic morphology and an ABC phenotype, with majority of cases showing expression of MUM1 [Table 3]. In our cases also we found a predominantly ABC phenotype positive for MUM-1 in 91.6%, and all except two cases revealed centroblastic morphology.

Co-expression of bcl6 and MUM1 is not seen in reactive B cells where these markers are reciprocally expressed, MUM1 being positive in late centrocytes and plasma cells. MUM1 plays a critical role in downregulating bcl6 expression in normal B-cell development. On the other hand, co-expression of MUM1 and bcl6 is also a common feature in PCNS DLBCL and was observed in 50% of our cases. Among these lymphomas, CD138, a marker of plasmacytic differentiation is usually negative, indicating an absence of plasmacytic (late post-germinal centre) differentiation. In our series, CD138 was positive in only 1/24 cases. These features suggest an origin of PCNS DLBCL from late germinal centre/early post germinal center exit B cells which have not acquired plasmacytic differentiation.

Asian patients with systemic DLBCL have been shown to have a predominance of GCB origin in some studies and ABC origin in other studies. This controversy does not seem to reflect in the pattern of primary CNS lymphomas that are uniformly MUM1 positive, indicative of a non-germinal center/ABC phenotype in the studies of Asian patients. In our study, all cases revealed a non-germinal center/ABC phenotype and were uniformly MUM1 positive. Only a small proportion of cases remained non-classifiable as theory were negative for all markers, which is similar to other studies in literature where 4-15% have remained unclassifiable.

The classification of systemic DLBCL based on gene expression profiling into GCB and APB or type 3 was believed to be of prognostic importance with the GCB group having a significantly better survival than the ABC group, and the type 3 group that had a poor outcome. However, the prognostic significance of this classification remains unsubstantiated as available data from various studies are conflicting. Two studies reported a significantly better survival for the GCB group whereas others found no difference in survival between the GCB and non-GCB groups.

Studies of prognostic significance of this classification in PCNSL are scarce. In reported studies of PCNSL-DLBCL, 66.7% to 93% of the cases belonged to the NGC group. However, all studies till date have uniformly failed to demonstrate a statistically significant difference in terms of overall and disease-free survival between these two subtypes in PCNSL DLBCL reflecting a different clinical behavior compared to its systemic counterpart. Several other variables as per the International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group may play a role such as age, performance status, CSF protein, LDH level, and involvement of deep brain structures. The high prevalence of non-GCB phenotype with MUM1 positivity could explain the uniformly poor prognosis of PCNSL compared to its systemic counterpart.
In earlier studies, BCL-6 expression was reported to be associated with favorable survival but had unfavorable survival in another small study of 14 patients. Although EBV is present almost uniformly in AIDS-associated PCNSL, the virus seems to have no role in the pathogenesis of PCNSL in the immunocompetent subjects. In the present study also, all tumors were negative for EBV by ISH for EBER and immunohistochemistry for LMP1 antigen. This suggests that other etiologic factors are operative in PCNS DLBCL in the immunocompetent individuals. Other than JCV, which has been implicated in some cases of PCNSL, the involvement of other viruses in the pathogenesis of PCNSL has been reasonably excluded.

In conclusion, PCNS DLBCL in the immunocompetent is a unique clinicopathological entity which usually has centroblastic morphology and a non-GCB/ABC immunophenotype with almost universal expression of MUM1 and frequent co-expression of MUM1 and bcl6. It does not appear to be associated with EBV, regardless of geographic origin.
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