Recommendations for treatment of critically ill patients with COVID-19

Version 3 S1 guideline

1. Preamble

This is the second update of the guidelines from 21 July 2020 (version 3). The first version was published in the journal Medizinische Klinik – Intensivmedizin und Notfallmedizin [1, 2]. Information on the recently published RECOVERY study on dexamethasone and on the official approval of remdesivir for COVID-19 as well as details regarding breathing system filters (Heat and Moisture Exchanger [HME] versus High-efficiency particulate air [HEPA]) and aspects of care for pediatric patients were added.

These recommendations aim to give guidance to physicians treating COVID-19 patients on intensive care units (ICU). We acknowledge that the pandemic is in a dynamic stage and that experience and scientific evidence will grow. Comprehensive information on the pathogen and the trajectory of the pandemic is available online through the Robert Koch Institute (RKI, www.rki.de). We strongly recommend a multidisciplinary approach in the management and treatment of COVID-19 patients. Aside from intensive care physicians and nurses, infectious disease and infection control specialists need to be part of the team.

2. Introduction

The first cases of the novel coronavirus (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, SARS-CoV-2) were noted in China in December 2019. Since then SARS-CoV-2 has been rapidly transmitted around the world resulting in a pandemic. The clinical picture of the infection is called coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 usually occurs via droplet infection during close contact. Therefore, a strict implementation of basic infection control measures, such as hand hygiene and the use of personal protection equipment (PPE) are essential.
3. Diagnostic approach

3.1 Specimens and testing

The detection of SARS-CoV-2 is carried out from a nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swab using real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). A patient with a negative test should be retested if there is a high clinical suspicion that they have contracted the virus. The swab might be negative while there is still infectious viral shedding in the lower airways at a later stage of the disease (pneumonia, ARDS). A PCR of endotracheal aspirates might be helpful in these cases.

At this point in time antibody testing primarily serves an epidemiological purpose. In our current understanding, detection of SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies in serum indicates an exposure to SARS-CoV-2 but does not yet enable certain determination of the level of infectiousness or immunity [1].

3.2 Clinical features

In Germany the median age of patients with COVID-19 is 49 years [2] and of those admitted to the ICU it is 63 years [3]. Women and men are generally affected at a similar rate, 52% versus 48%, respectively; however, men suffer twice as often from severe COVID-19 disease than women, and the mortality is higher [2]. Patients in need of in-hospital treatment usually have significant pre-existing medical conditions, most often regarding the cardiovascular system, e.g. hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic lung disease and obesity [4–6].

Frequently, COVID-19 presents as an airway infection with fever and dry cough as the key features. The only quasi pathognomonic symptom of COVID-19 is anosmia, which occurs in 10–20% of the patients. In 81% of the patients the disease takes a mild course, 14% of the patients become severely ill and approximately 5% of the patients become critically ill [7]. Severe dyspnea with an increased labor of breathing (respiratory rate >30/min) and hypoxemic respiratory failure typically lead to admission to the ICU. At this stage bilateral pulmonary infiltrates can often be seen on imaging [8].

Admission to the ICU should be considered in COVID-19 patients presenting with the following clinical features:
- hypoxemia \( \text{SpO}_2 <90\% \) on 2–4 L/min oxygen (without previous oxygen therapy) plus dyspnea
- respiratory rate >25–30/min
- systolic blood pressure \( \leq 100\ \text{mm Hg} \)
- elevated serum lactate

Severely affected patients may develop ARDS or, although not as often, bacterial superinfections and septic shock. Many critically ill patients on the ICU need to be treated with invasive ventilation [3, 9]. Further complications seen in COVID-19 patients are arrhythmia, myocardial dysfunction and pulmonary embolism as well as acute kidney failure and multigorgan dysfunction. On average, it takes approximately 10 days from showing first symptoms to ICU admission [10]. Median ICU length of stay is 9 days [3] and in ventilated patients 18 days [5].

3.3 Laboratory changes

In 80% of COVID-19 patients there is apparent lymphocytopenia and in one third of those patients this is accompanied by leukopenia. Most of the patients have elevated CRP but normal levels of procalcitonin; however, a bacterial superinfection might trigger a significant increase in procalcitonin [11]. Thrombocytopenia and an elevation of D-dimers and LDH are found in approximately 40% of the patients. Based on current knowledge, increased D-dimers, persistent lymphocytopenia and elevated LDH indicate a severe course of the disease and a limited prognosis [5]. A small proportion of patients also present with elevated troponin, the clinical implications of which are as yet unknown.

3.4 Imaging

Conventional chest radiographs show bilateral infiltrates in COVID-19 patients treated on the ICU. Even in early stages of the disease computed tomography (CT) can reliably detect typical bilateral subpleural ground-glass opacities and consolidations in the lungs, whereas pleural effusions and lymphadenopathies are rare [12, 13]; however, CT findings are not specific for COVID-19 and can be found in other forms of viral pneumonia as well.

Due to the potential risks for healthcare workers and patients, we advise to only perform CT imaging in ICU patients when absolutely necessary for clinical decision making, e.g. in suspected pulmonary embolism [14]. Bedside imaging, e.g. ultrasonography, should be preferred otherwise, especially to assess the course of the disease during ICU admission.

4. Infection control

Patients should preferably be treated in isolation rooms, ideally with a functional anteroom for donning and doffing PPE. As the epidemic/pandemic progresses, isolation of patients in cohorts is reasonable.

Strict spatial separation of patients positive for SARS-CoV-2 should be carried out on the ward level. If possible, patients should be allocated to three different areas with distinct separation in terms of space and personnel:
- COVID-19 area (all patients positive for SARS-CoV-2)
- area for suspected cases
- non-COVID-19 area (all patients negative for SARS-CoV-2 and asymptomatic)

Room ventilation systems and air conditioning with active venting should not be turned off. If necessary frequent aeration by window ventilation, which reduces aerosol transmission, can be undertaken. Aeration between two rooms should be avoided.

Patients with COVID-19 should only be seen and cared for by trained personnel who do not have contact to other non-COVID-19 patients. The number of people working or visiting at the bedside should be kept to a minimum and be tailored to the actual patient needs, this also includes implementation of restrictions to visits by family and friends.

Personnel working at the bedside must strictly adhere to basic infection control
measures, such as hand hygiene and consistently follow instructions on the use of PPE. According to the RKI, correct PPE consists of an impervious gown, gloves, tight fitting facemask (FFP2 or FFP3 in cases of strong exposure to aerosols due to certain procedures, e.g. intubation, bronchoscopy) as well as goggles. It is important to frequently train healthcare workers on structured donning and removing of PPE, especially on tight mask fitting and subsequent hand disinfection.

Comprehensive recommendations on infection control (rooms, protection of personnel, disinfection, cleaning, waste handling, patient transport and visitor regulations) can be found online on the website of the RKI [15]. Local guidelines and standard procedures for hospitals should be implemented by a multidisciplinary expert panel according to the local situation.

It seems reasonable to lift isolation requirements for ICU patients after COVID-19 based on the following scenarios:

1. Patient with endotracheal tube or tracheostomy
   - first symptoms >14 days ago
   - two sets of two negative SARS-CoV-2 tests (nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swab plus endotracheal aspirate, simultaneously carried out)

2. Patient extubated or on noninvasive ventilation
   - first symptoms >14 days ago
   - two sets of two negative SARS-CoV-2 tests (nasopharyngeal swab plus oropharyngeal swab, simultaneously carried out)

5. Management of acute hypoxemic respiratory failure

5.1 Oxygen therapy, high-flow oxygen therapy, non-invasive ventilation

First line options to support patients in respiratory failure and hypoxemia with oxygen are a simple nasal cannula, Venturi masks and high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC; Fig. 1; [16]). As gas exchange worsens progressively and oxygen demand increases, CPAP therapy, non-invasive ventilation (NIV) or invasive ventilation need to be considered. The overarching goal is to ascertain adequate oxygenation. It is recommended to aim for SpO2 ≥90% or PaO2 >55 mm Hg [17, 18].

High-flow nasal cannula is often used in hypoxemic respiratory failure and reduces the need for intubation without affecting mortality [19]. Using NIV in moderate and severe ARDS fails in up to 50% of the patients, which is associated with a mortality as high as 50% in severe ARDS [20, 21]. Not only the level of severity but also the extent of hypoxemic failure predicts NIV failure: a PaO2/FiO2 ≤150 mm Hg was shown to be a critical threshold for increased mortality [22]. Additionally, high tidal volumes (>9.5 ml/kg bodyweight, BW) during NIV within the first 4 h of treatment predict NIV failure [23]. Consequently, as those patients can deteriorate quickly, continuous monitoring and readiness for intubation is mandatory. Therefore, HFNC and NIV in acute respiratory failure should preferably be used in an ICU setting. In cases of progressive disease and clinical worsening despite all measures, intubation and invasive ventilation should be carried out in a timely manner and without delay if in the patient’s interest and will.

5.1.1 Aerosol formation

Depending on the applied flow and pressure both HFCN and NIV are associated with increased aerosol formation, which in turn potentially increases the risk for virus transmission in COVID-19 patients [24, 25]. As a principle, every breath leads to aerosol formation and the extent of it correlates with the depth of breathing [26]. Based on current knowledge, an increased amount of infectious aerosol particles is only detectable in patients on vented-NIV (versus non-vented NIV) and patients with a high load of secretions [27]. Studies on exhaled air and particle dispersion during HFNC and NIV were not able to show substantial exposure to exhaled air at more than 1 m distance to the face of the patient [28–30].

However, it is absolute necessary for everyone working with COVID-19 patients to use PPE correctly, especially ensuring a tight mask fit, while using HFNC and NIV [14]. The proper fit of the HFNC and the NIV mask on the patients’ end is of course important to reduce aerosol formation in the first place [28]. During HFNC therapy patients wear an additional face mask on top of the cannula [31]. Studies using computer simulation models showed that this technique can...
reduce particle dispersion during exhalation [32]. It is unclear though whether this has an impact on the performance of HFNC therapy. During NIV therapy air leakage needs to be kept at a minimum. Therefore, we recommend using non-vented oronasal face masks, full-face masks or helmets, especially in COVID-19 patients. Respirators used in those patients should preferably be operated with dual limb tubing to reduce contamination of the environment. When using single limb tubing a viral filter needs to be placed between the interface and the exhaust system’s whisper swivel or expiration valve [16]. This reduces aerosol dispersion, even in comparison with spontaneous breathing [27].

In conclusion, neither the use of HFNC or NIV in severe hypoxemia nor early intubation in less severely affected patients in order reduce exposure for personnel seem to be justified in patients with a SARS-CoV-2 infection. We recommend to only use HFNC or NIV in patients with COVID-19 related acute hypoxic respiratory failure on clear indications and with all necessary precautions. In patients with severe hypoxemia (PaO₂/FiO₂ ≤ 150 mmHg) and a respiratory rate > 30/min, intubation and invasive ventilation should be preferred as delayed intubation in NIV failure worsens outcome. It is important to avoid emergency intubation in order to keep the risk of aerosol exposure and transmission of the virus to a minimum.

5.2 Interventions

Due to the risk of aerosol formation, airway procedures (intubation, bronchoscopy, open suction, bag ventilation, tracheostomy) should only be performed with appropriate airborne precautions PPE (including gown, gloves, FFP2/FFP3 masks and goggles) and if absolutely necessary to protect personnel from exposure (Table 1). The PPE may be complemented by a protective visor [33, 34].

5.3 Intubation

Endotracheal intubation is considered a high-risk intervention in patients with suspected or confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection [35]. Preparation and execution, especially with respect to hygiene precautions, need to follow specific protocols that were sufficiently communicated and practiced in advance [36, 37]. Therefore, if possible, intubation should be performed electively and be well-planned; also, the number of people at the bedside should be kept to a minimum. The use of a transparent plastic sheet or a so-called intubation box to cover the patient during intubation has been debated with controversy but may be a reasonable option to reduce aerosol formation [38, 39].

If possible, intubation should be performed by the most experienced physician to minimize intubation attempts and time needed [40]. It is recommended to use video laryngoscopy for intubation to increase the distance between physician and patient during intubation given it is available and personnel are already trained in its use. We strongly encourage the use of a stylet for intubation, especially in video laryngoscopy it is imperative. Due to possible aerosol formation awake fibre-optic intubation should be avoided where possible. It may only be considered when options for other techniques are limited, e.g. a difficult airway. To minimize aerosol formation we suggest avoiding bag mask ventilation. Preoxygenation in the spontaneous breathing patient can be carried out with a tight-fitting face mask using a bimanual technique and application of a positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of ≤ 5 cmH₂O. Intubation should be performed as a rapid sequence induction. After administration of the neuromuscular blocking agent and subsequent apnea, we suggest turning off the gas flow just before removing the mask in order to reduce aerosol dispersion. Immediately after intubation and before connection to the respirator, an HME filter should be placed on the tube. In general, it is recommended to

---

**Fig. 1** Management and hierarchy of therapeutic options in acute respiratory failure associated with COVID-19. (adapted from [16]). NIV noninvasive ventilation, PEEP positive end-expiratory pressure, CPAP continuous positive airway pressure, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, BW body weight, PPE personal protection equipment, RKI Robert Koch Institute.
Table 1  Interventions to minimize aerosol formation and exposure (adapted from [36])

| Aerosol formation by | Risk management |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| Endotracheal intubation | Avoid emergency intubation  |
|                      | Performed by the most experienced physician  |
|                      | Rapid sequence induction  |
|                      | Avoid bag mask ventilation  |
|                      | Optimal preparation and briefing  |
|                      | Consider video laryngoscopy to increase distance  |
|                      | Stylet for intubation  |
|                      | Consider transparent plastic sheet to cover patient’s face  |
|                      | Consider intubation box  |
| Preoxygenation        | Assure tight fitting mask  |
|                      | Using mask with both hands to hold in place  |
|                      | FiO2 of 1.0  |
|                      | Maximum PEEP 5 cmH2O  |
|                      | Duration: 3 min of spontaneous breathing or 1 min of 8–12 deep breaths or 1 min CPAP/ASB of 5/15 cmH2O  |
| Fibre-optic intubation | Avoid where possible  |
|                      | Use local anesthetics  |
| Suction              | Use closed systems  |
| Noninvasive ventilation | Only when absolutely indicated  |
| High-flow nasal cannula | Only when absolutely indicated  |
|                      | Cover nose and mouth with mask  |
| Bronchoscopy         | Performed by the most experienced  |
|                      | Be aware of high level of aerosol formation in any technique  |
|                      | Consider postponing until patient is tested negative  |
| Disconnection of tube | Leave HME filter on tube  |
|                      | Clamp tube  |
|                      | Respirator on stand-by during procedure  |
| Extubation           | Avoid suction and inflation manoeuvres  |
|                      | Respirator on stand-by during procedure  |
|                      | Leave HME filter on tube  |
|                      | Consider transparent plastic sheet to cover patient’s face  |
|                      | Postprocedural tight-fitting mask for oxygenation  |
|                      | Use regular protective face mask when adequate spontaneous breathing  |

PEEP positive end-expiratory pressure, CPAP continuous positive airway pressure, ASB assisted spontaneous breathing, HME Heat and Moisture Exchanger

5.4 Extubation

Preferably, patients are extubated avoiding coughing or gagging and without any inflation manoeuvres. Closed endotracheal suction just before extubation can be considered. We recommend leaving the HME filter on the tube for extubation and discard it together afterwards. Ideally, patients show sufficient oxygenation with oxygen face mask on low-flow oxygen [40, 41].

5.5 Invasive ventilation and adjuvant treatment

Due to the lack of randomized studies regarding ventilation strategies in COVID-19, current recommendations refer to the latest guidelines for invasive ventilation in acute respiratory failure [17, 43].

Especially in the early phase of COVID-19, lung mechanics are different from typical ARDS and show specific features. Lung compliance for instance is less impaired in the early stages and early on hypoxemia seems to be due to a pronounced ventilation perfusion mismatch rather than lack of recruitment [44, 45]. This is further aggravated by vascular complications that significantly impair microcirculation [46].

For invasive ventilation in COVID-19 we recommend using closed inline suction catheters. In patients with ARDS it is generally recommended to use a tidal volume (TV) of ≤6 ml/kg ideal body weight and a plateau pressure of no more than 30 cmH2O. At this point in time there is no strict guidance on PEEP in COVID-19 due to lack of robust data especially for the early stages of the disease. The PEEP therefore needs to be adjusted according to clinical findings and individual patient situation; however, from a pathophysiological standpoint it may be reasonable to avoid high PEEP in COVID-19 patients. If the patient develops a classical ARDS pattern, PEEP can be adjusted according to the ARDS network tables [43]. In severe ARDS with a PaO2/FiO2 ≤150 mmHg, prone positioning should be administered consistently for 16 h. If severe hypoxemia persists, prone positioning needs to be repeated. In individual cases, inhaled NO, the administra-
tion of neuromuscular blocking agents and recruitment maneuvers may be considered as options for bridging to recovery. Where available, in patients with severe ARDS and refractory hypoxemia (PaO2/FiO2 <80 or 60 mm Hg) venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (vvECMO) may serve as a therapeutic option to ensure gas exchange. As it is a very complex and resource intensive treatment, all other measures should have been exhausted before considering ECMO and thorough evaluation of risks and benefits, including the presumed patient will, are warranted.

### 5.6 Tracheostomy

In the context of invasive ventilation tracheostomy may expedite weaning from the respirator and freeing up ICU capacities [47–49]. Furthermore, tracheostomy helps to minimize the use of sedative medication and facilitates weaning into spontaneous breathing, subsequently reducing the risk for critical-illness myopathy or polynuropathy in long-term ventilated patients [50]; however, patients with improved organ function, specifically lung function, should be assessed for extubation and weaned from the ventilator when meeting the necessary requirements [51]. Yet, the risk for extubating failure is high in COVID-19 virus pneumonia and management for reintubation is associated with a higher risk of aerosol formation [35]. Despite recommendations for some specific circumstances, e.g. trauma patients, the decision for or against tracheostomy has to be made on an individual basis [52, 53]. Regarding the time point, early tracheostomy in ventilated critically ill patients is not recommended by current guidelines [43]. It is important to keep in mind that viral load decreases over time in COVID-19; however, laryngeal damage and dysfunction, ventilator-associated atrophy of accessory muscles of respiration, and possible regaining of communication favors tracheostomy at an earlier time point [48]. For patients still experiencing multiorgan failure, however, tracheostomy may be considered at a later stage.

Patients need to be respiratory stable and able to sustain apneic episodes during the procedure before being considered for tracheostomy. Possible techniques are percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy, conventional surgical tracheostomy or a so-called modified hybrid tracheostomy. Percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy is a fast and uncomplicated bed-side approach in the ICU setting performed without surgical aids. The tight seal after placement of the cannula is another benefit of this technique. Reasons to follow a surgical approach on the other hand are the following: controlled surgical preparation of the trachea with reduced risk of contamination; safe airway even in the case of dislocation; for instance in prone positioning; possible avoidance of aerosol-forming bronchoscopy during the procedure and obesity, a common pre-existing condition in COVID-19 patients, as a relative contraindication for percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy.

As for intubation, the number of personnel at the bedside needs to be kept to a minimum during the procedure and the most experienced physician should perform the tracheostomy. Individual risk factors of the patient as well as local circumstances and expertise have to be considered when making decisions around tracheostomy and choosing a suitable technique.

### 6. Cardiac arrest and cardiopulmonary resuscitation

Studies from China showed respiratory failure as the major cause for cardiac arrest in COVID-19 patients, the initial rhythm often being asystole [54]. It is likely that aerosol formation and dispersion occurs during chest compression and airway management, which emphasises the need for proper use of PPE during cardiopulmonary resuscitation [55]. Defibrillation presumably does not cause aerosol formation. It is not recommended to check for audible breathing and lowering one’s own face towards the patient’s while determining cardiac arrest. If a defibrillator is readily available, it is suggested to check for shockable rhythms and then administer three sequential shocks when indicated. In the interim, additional personnel can put on their PPE; however, it is important to keep the number of personnel at the bedside to a minimum during resuscitation to reduce potential exposure [55]. Airway management should be carried out by the most experienced physician, where endotracheal intubation is preferred over other techniques. We recommend two-person bag mask ventilation, where one person manages the mask and the airway while the other one squeezes the bag to ventilate the chest in between chest compressions. It is suggested to perform chest compressions and ventilation in a 30:2 ratio when using supraglottic airway devices as well. Viral filters should be used in both manual and mechanical ventilation. In cases of prolonged cardiopulmonary resuscitation, a mechanical chest compression device may be considered [55]. Nonintubated patients should be turned over to a supine position if cardiac arrest occurs while the patient is in a prone position. In intubated patients, cardiopulmonary resuscitation may be feasible in the prone position. In this case chest compressions are to be applied in between the inferior borders of the shoulder blades [55]; however, the patient has to be turned if diastolic blood pressure remains below 25 mm Hg or other issues occur in the prone position. Defibrillation pads can be placed anterior posterior or biaxillary when patients remain in the prone position during resuscitation. Since COVID-19 patients have a high incidence of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, thrombolytic therapy should be considered where other causes of cardiac arrest are excluded [56].

### 7. Prevention of venous thromboembolism

Thromboembolic complications are common in COVID-19; they are usually of venous origin but can affect the arterial system as well [57, 58]. Therefore, all hospitalized patients should receive primary venous thromboembolism prophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), dose-adjusted to high-risk groups. In cases of heparin intolerance or confirmed heparin-in-
duced thrombocytopenia, fondaparinux serves as an alternative; however, observational studies suggest that, especially in critically ill patients, prophylactic doses of LMWH may be insufficient. Weighing up the individual risk of major bleeding and renal function, a more intensified anticoagulation regimen, e.g. with half-therapeutic intermediate doses of LMWH may be considered. We do not recommend routine use of therapeutic doses of anticoagulation without confirmed venous thromboembolism or outside of ECMO treatment; however, it can be reasonable in the individual case with a high level of suspicion, e.g. patients with high D-dimers plus/or acute worsening of gas exchange where timely imaging is not available. Because the development of pulmonary microthrombi is not available. Becausethedevelopment of pulmonary microthrombi is a specific feature of ARDS associaton worse of gasexchange where timely imaging is not available. Because the development of pulmonary microthrombi is a specific feature of ARDS associated with COVID-19 [46], therapeutic anticoagulation can be considered for mechanically ventilated ICU patients on an individual basis as well [59]. In that case potential risks (e.g. pulmonary hemorrhage) need to be thoroughly weighed against the benefits. If renal function is severely impaired (cGFR <30 ml/min), anticoagulation with unfractionated heparin (UFH) is preferred. When aiming for therapeutic anticoagulation, anti-Xa activity for UFH may be used as a marker for effectiveness in case aPTT (activated partial thromboplastin time) does not adequately respond. Development of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) with hyperfibrinolysis or consumptive coagulopathy is rare and occurs mostly in later stages of the disease. In order to reference COVID-19 related changes in hemostatic parameters appropriately and according to their specific pathophysiological basis, two new terms were recently introduced: COVID-19-associated coagulopathy (CAC) [60], and, pulmonary intravascular coagulopathy (PIC) [61]. It is reasonable to monitor relevant markers, e.g. platelets, prothrombin time/INR, fibrinogen, D-dimers and antithrombin, in patients with COVID-19 and complex coagulopathies.

8. Therapy

8.1 Antibiotic therapy and general treatment principles

As a principle, it is recommended to sample at least 2 blood cultures sets (aerobic and anaerobic at any one time) at the time of admission to ICU and whenever the patient’s condition worsens [62]. In patients suspected of having a bacterial superinfection an empirical broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy should be started as soon as possible. A prophylactic antibiotic treatment merely on the basis of a SARS-CoV-2 infection is not recommended.

Fluid management should be handled restrictively, especially in cases with no signs of shock or tissue malperfusion, as fluid overload further impairs oxygenation.

8.2 Specific pharmacologic therapy

As our understanding constantly grows and new evidence may rapidly surface, the following recommendations for pharmacological interventions in COVID-19 should be tentatively interpreted and frequently reassessed.

There are two approaches concerning medicinal therapy for severely affected hospitalized COVID-19 patients: antiviral treatment and modulation of the immune response. In the following, we summarize data on pharmacologic therapies published in at least one case series or cohort study. In Europe, official approval for treatment in COVID-19 has so far only been granted for remdesivir.

Antiviral therapies

Chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin. Potential benefits: in vitro proven efficacy, in vivo antiviral effectiveness is unclear. Impact on the clinical course has not been sufficiently investigated for hospitalized patients yet; there is only one nonpeer reviewed published study showing potential advantages of chloroquine in mild to moderate disease [63–66].

Potential risks: severe side effects of hydroxychloroquine are cardiac toxicity and retinal damage (high dose and prolonged treatment). Increased mortality for chloroquine diphosphate (2 × 600 mg/day) in critically ill patients. Side effects of azithromycin include drug interactions, prolonged QT syndrome, and arrhythmia.

Assessment: not recommended outside of clinical studies.

Interferon beta-1b. Potential benefits: in vitro antiviral activity against middle east respiratory syndrome(MERS)-CoV. A randomized phase 2 trial showed improved antiviral effectiveness in combination with lopinavir and ritonavir compared to lopinavir and ritonavir alone. So far, no evidence on improved clinical outcomes [67].

Potential risks: can trigger influenzalike symptoms and hematopoietic dysfunction.

Assessment: not recommended outside of clinical studies.

Lopinavir/ritonavir. Potential benefits: modest in vitro antiviral activity. Randomized trial with small cohort size found no significant clinical advantage [68].

Potential risks: may cause severe drug interactions due to inhibition of CYP-3A4. Contraindicated in severe liver failure. Potential side effects include nausea and diarrhea.

Assessment: not recommended outside of clinical studies.

Remdesivir. Potential benefits: in vitro good antiviral activity. No clinical advantage in mild to moderate COVID-19 cases but high-quality multinational, randomized, placebo-controlled trial showed clinical effectiveness for remdesivir in severe disease [69, 70].

Potential risks: hepatotoxicity. Possible side effects not yet fully understood and categorized.

Assessment: may be considered in severely affected hospitalized COVID-19 patients with pulmonary manifestations.

Modulation of the immune response

Steroids. Potential benefits: there has been controversy regarding steroids in ARDS. Especially in viral ARDS, e.g.
SARS and influenza, steroids showed negative effects. Recently, results of the RECOVERY trial from the UK were published in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, where hospitalized patients were treated with either dexamethasone (6 mg/day once daily for 10 days) or standard therapy [71]. The primary endpoint was 28-day mortality. In total, 2104 patients received dexamethasone treatment and 4321 standard therapy. Overall, 482 patients died during the study period: 22.9% of those treated with dexamethasone and 25.7% of those with standard therapy ($p<0.001$). The effect was greatest in mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients (29.3% versus 41.4% mortality), even patients just on oxygen therapy without invasive ventilation benefited (23.2% versus 26.2% mortality) significantly. For patients less severely affected without oxygen therapy of any kind, dexamethasone did not have an advantage but rather showed negative effects.

Potential risks: immunosuppression, increased risk of bacterial superinfection.

Assessment: it is recommended to use dexamethasone (6 mg/day once daily for 10 days) in ventilated patients with COVID-19.

**Tocilizumab.** Potential benefits: competitive binding to soluble and cell surface IL-6-receptors leads to inhibition of IL-6 signal transduction pathways and attenuation of the inflammatory response. Cohort studies showed reduced rates of fever, a decrease in CRP and increase of lymphocyte count. Clinical effectiveness has not yet been shown [72, 73].

Potential risks: immunosuppression, increased risk of bacterial superinfection.

Assessment: not recommended outside of clinical studies.

**Anakinra.** Potential benefits: competitive binding to IL-1 receptor inhibits its signal transduction pathways. Effectiveness has been proven in case series of secondary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and macrophage activation syndrome. A clinical benefit in COVID-19 has not yet been shown [74].

Potential risks: immunosuppression, increased risk of bacterial superinfection.
11. Management of ICU capacity

The German ARDS-Netzwerk and the division Respiratory Failure within the German Interdisciplinary Association of Critical Care and Emergency Medicine (Deutsche Interdisziplinäre Vereinigung für Intensiv- und Notfallmedizin, DIVI) have launched a website in cooperation with the RKI, the DIVI intensive care medicine registry. It provides an overview of ICU bed capacities in Germany. All hospitals with critical care treatment options are required to fill in their occupied and available low-care, high-care and ECMO capacities. On 8 April 2020, a new federal regulation on maintenance and protection of ICU capacities in Germany took effect, making it mandatory for hospitals to report to the registry on a daily basis. The registry can be accessed at www.intensivregister.de.
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antagonist infliximab (5 mg/kg i.v. over 2 h, weekly) or the interleukin-1 receptor antagonist anakinra (2–6 mg/kg BW/day subcutaneous) can be considered as rescue therapy if other options fail.

Symptoms of vasopelic shock are treated with fluids and vasopressors. Hyperinflammatory syndromes are usually manageable within the first days of presentation; only a few cases treated with ECMO have been reported. Although a causal association of PIMS with COVID-19 is unclear, all cases in Germany are registered by the German Society of Pediatric Infectious Diseases (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Pädiatrische Infektiologie; https://dgspi.de/pims-survey-anleitung/) since May 2020.

10. Ethical considerations

In general, treatment of the critically ill COVID-19 patient follows the universal ethical principles of autonomy, welfare, do not harm, equity and human dignity. Two requirements are mandatory to justify and pursue medical treatment: 1) beginning and continuing treatment is medically indicated and 2) the treatment is in concordance with the patient’s will. If the proposed treatment plan complies with both, it is obligatory to start or continue medical treatment. If one of the requirements is violated, it is not only permitted but rather demanded to change goals of care and limit treatment options [86].

Recommendations for the treatment of COVID-19 patients should also incorporate palliative care as one aspect of a comprehensive plan. In this respect, decision making against ICU treatment or a change in goals of care need to be respected and followed as well [87].

In the case of an uncontrollable upsurge in critically ill patients in Germany and a factual limitation of ICU resources despite all efforts to utilize maximum available ICU capacity, clinicians may use recently published recommendations for resource allocation in intensive care medicine in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic to guide decision making [88].
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