Breaking the vicious cycle between breast cancer cells and tumor-associated macrophages
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We recently identified a vicious cycle between granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) arising from breast cancer cells that have undergone epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and the tumor-associated macrophage (TAM)-derived chemokine CCL18, a signaling loop that promotes tumor metastasis. Tumor-derived lactate skews GM-CSF-activated macrophages to an anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive M2 phenotype, suggesting that breaking this cycle in combination with glycolysis inhibitors may inhibit tumor development.

Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) are frequently observed at the invasive front of patient tumors, often in conjunction with cancer cells that have undergone epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). This correlation between TAMs and EMT-modified cancer cells suggests a close interaction between these two cell populations. We recently reported that EMT-altered breast cancer cells have a superior ability to activate macrophages to an M2 phenotype as compared to their malignant counterparts that have not undergone EMT. Mesenchymal-like breast carcinoma cells stimulate macrophages via the production of high levels of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF, also known as CSF-2). Reciprocally, chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 18 (CCL18) from TAMs induces EMT of cancer cells. Furthermore, GM-CSF from cancer cells and CCL18 from TAMs form a positive feedback loop to maintain the EMT and M2-macrophage activation status in co-culture systems and humanized mice. Neutralization of either GM-CSF or CCL18 breaks this vicious cycle and reduces breast cancer metastasis in vitro or in vivo. This study has also demonstrated a number of key points that we shall discuss further below.

EMT and Remissive Microenvironment Fostering are Linked by NFκB Signaling

By exploring the mechanism by which cancer cells that undergo EMT massively produce GM-CSF, we have identified nuclear factor κB (NFκB) as a crucial link between malignant cell mobility and their ability to foster a remissive microenvironment for cancer cells. The chemokine CCL18 induces NFκB activation via PI3K/P13K/Akt pathway that stabilizes and upregulates Snail (Snai1), a transcription factor underlying EMT. On the other hand, NFκB upregulates a panel of inflammatory cytokines, including GM-CSF that induces TAMs to adopt an immunosuppressive M2 phenotype (Fig. 1).

Humanized Mouse Models: Bridging Rodent Models to Human Tumor Microenvironment

Various positive feedback loops between cancer cells and macrophages have been identified in mouse models, including the macrophage colony stimulating factor (Csf-1, also known as M-CSF) and epidermal growth factor (EGF) paracrine loop. However, the chemokine profiles of immune cells are species specific, and many chemokines upregulated in human M2 macrophages are either absent or not upregulated in mice. CCL18, a key metastasis promoting and TAM-derived cytokine in humans, does not have a mouse counterpart. Therefore, we adopted a humanized mouse model by engrafting irradiated and severely immunodeficient mice with CD34+ haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) to bridge the gap between rodent models and patient disease by mimicking the human breast tumor microenvironment. We have reported that neutralizing antibodies that break the vicious cycle between GM-CSF and CCL18 abrogate lung and liver metastasis in these mice. These findings are consistent with previous reports that depletion of macrophages by M-CSF knockout in breast cancer susceptible polyoma middle T (PyMT) mice abolished lung metastasis, without altering carcinogenesis and primary tumor growth. Therefore, TAMs preferentially affect breast cancer metastatic spread. Furthermore, transplantation of human...
HSCs generates abundant human CD14+ monocytes/macrophages and CD19+ B cells in the peripheral blood of the humanized mice, whereas human CD3+ T cells and CD56+ natural killer (NK) cells, which are primarily responsible for the antitumor immune response, are barely detectable. Thus, humanized non-obese diabetic severe combined immunodeficiency disease (NOD/SCID) mice represent an excellent model to explore the interaction between human macrophages and cancer cells ongoing during tumor development in vivo, without the influence of anticancer immunity. On the other hand, models in the NOD/SCID/IL2R-g-/- background that support human T-cell reconstitution, may be suitable to study the interaction between human inflammatory cells and cancer cells, as well as the resultant antitumor immune responses.

Cancer Cells Autonomously Produce GM-CSF

Our study is consistent with previous reports that cancer cells can autonomously produce high amounts of GM-CSF, a potent cytokine that promotes the progression of various malignancies. For example, in a variety of experimental tumors, cancer cell derived GM-CSF rapidly induces the generation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and tumor immunosuppression in mice. Human disease relevancy has been validated in a clinical trial in which a subset of MDSCs were expanded in metastatic melanoma patients administered GM-CSF-based vaccines. Interestingly, GM-CSF has been reported to be among the most potent immune adjuvants for administering tumor vaccines. However, clinically, GM-CSF efficacy as a tumor vaccine adjuvant is controversial. Some studies have demonstrated that GM-CSF enhances antitumor immune responses, whereas others have shown no effect, or even an adverse outcome. Our data, and those of others, clearly demonstrate the potential for opposing effects of GM-CSF via activating macrophages in various malignancies.

The GM-CSF Signaling Context Decodes the TAM Response

It has been suggested that the existence of concomitant stimulatory factors contribute to the dichotomy of GM-CSF effects in inflammatory tissues. Indeed, we have observed that GM-CSF alone can induce the production of both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines. In the presence of lactate, which is abundant in the tumor microenvironment, GM-CSF-activated macrophages cannot produce pro-inflammatory cytokines, but rather, generate vast quantities of anti-inflammatory cytokines. It is widely accepted that cancer cells produce excessive lactate even under normoxic conditions, a phenomenon termed the Warburg Effect, or aerobic glycolysis. Such high levels of lactate facilitate GM-CSF stimulated TAMs to undergo M2 polarization, highlighting the link between cancer metabolism and tumor-related inflammation. Indeed, recent studies indicate lactate may contribute to cancer cell immune evasion. For example, lactate impairs differentiation of monocytes to dendritic cells and production of pro-inflammatory cytokine by cytotoxic T cells, but does not affect the function of regulatory T cells. Therefore, the selective effects of lactate on different immune cell subsets foster an immunosuppressive microenvironment for cancer progression and its underlying mechanism warrants further investigations.

An increasing number of drugs have been developed to tackle cancer metabolism by targeting key enzymes. Many glycolysis inhibitors re-sensitize cancer cells to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. In support, we have observed that blocking lactate production by pretreating MDA-MB-231 cells with oxamic acid, an inhibitor of lactate dehydrogenase, skewed the polarization of macrophages stimulated by tumor-derived GM-CSF to a pro-inflammatory phenotype. Collectively, our results link tumor metabolism with...
immunosuppression and suggest that the combination of glycolysis inhibitors with cancer immunotherapy, potentially including vaccines and immune checkpoint targeting agents, may potentiate clinical efficacy and block tumor development (Fig. 1).
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