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Abstract. In this paper, we study the large $n$ asymptotics of the expected maximum of an $n$-step random walk/Lévy flight (characterized by a Lévy index $1 < \mu \leq 2$) on a line, in the presence of a constant drift $c$. For $0 < \mu \leq 1$, the expected maximum is infinite, even for finite values of $n$. For $1 < \mu \leq 2$, we obtain all the non-vanishing terms in the asymptotic expansion of the expected maximum for large $n$. For $c < 0$ and $\mu = 2$, the expected maximum approaches a non-trivial constant as $n$ gets large, while for $1 < \mu < 2$, it grows as a power law $\sim n^{2-\mu}$. For $c > 0$, the asymptotic expansion of the expected maximum is simply related to the one for $c < 0$ by adding to the latter the linear drift term $cn$, making the leading term grow linearly for large $n$, as expected. Finally, we derive a scaling form interpolating smoothly between the cases $c = 0$ and $c \neq 0$. These results are borne out by numerical simulations in excellent agreement with our analytical predictions.
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1. Introduction

Understanding the statistics of extremes of correlated random variables has lately led to intense activity [1–4]. Consider a set of $n$ random variables \( \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\} \) drawn from a joint distribution \( P_{\text{joint}}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \) and let \( M(n) = \max\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\} \) denote the maximum of this set. The generic extreme value problem consists in trying to find the statistics of \( M(n) \), given the joint distribution \( P_{\text{joint}}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \). In the case of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables, each variable is chosen from the same distribution \( p(x) \) and the joint distribution factorizes, \( P_{\text{joint}}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \). This property substantially simplifies the study of \( M(n) \) and its statistics is very well understood [5]. On the other hand, if the variables are correlated, the joint distribution does not factorize and a general theory for computing the statistics of \( M(n) \) is lacking, except for a few exactly solvable cases (for a brief review see [6]). One example is a discrete-time random walk (RW) on a line, where the position \( x_i \) of the walker after \( i \) steps evolves via

\[
x_i = x_{i-1} + \eta_i,
\]

starting from \( x_0 = 0 \). The jump increments \( \eta_i \)'s are i.i.d. random variables, each drawn from a symmetric and piecewise continuous probability distribution function (PDF) \( f(\eta) \). Note that even though the jump increments \( \eta_i \)'s are uncorrelated, the positions \( x_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \eta_k \) are strongly correlated. In the following, we assume that the Fourier transform \( \hat{f}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik\eta} f(\eta) \, d\eta \) has the small \( k \) behavior

\[
\hat{f}(k) = 1 - |ak|^\mu + O(|k|^\nu),
\]

where \( a > 0 \) is the characteristic length scale of the jumps, \( 0 < \mu \leq 2 \) is the Lévy index, and the subleading exponent \( \nu > \mu \). For \( \mu = 2 \), the variance of the jump distribution \( \sigma^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta^2 f(\eta) \, d\eta \) is finite and \( a = \sigma/\sqrt{2} \). In this case, the suitably scaled RW converges to a Brownian motion as \( n \to +\infty \). On the other hand, for \( 0 < \mu < 2 \), \( f(\eta) \) is a fat-tailed distribution, \( f(\eta) \propto |\eta|^{-1-\mu} (\eta \to \infty) \), and the RW (1) is a Lévy flight of index \( \mu \). Let \( M(n) = \max\{x_0 = 0, x_1, \cdots, x_n\} \) be the maximum of the walk up to \( n \) steps. One is then interested in the statistics of \( M(n) \) for a generic jump PDF \( f(\eta) \) whose Fourier transform has the small \( k \) behaviour as in equation (2).

Computing directly the PDF of \( M(n) \) for arbitrary \( f(\eta) \) turns out to be highly non-trivial. However, there exists a somewhat indirect result for the generating function of the Laplace transform of this PDF, known as the Pollaczek–Spitzer formula [7]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \mathbb{E}(e^{-\lambda M(n)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - s}} \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln(1 - s \hat{f}(k))}{\lambda^2 + k^2} \, dk \right).
\]

Although this formula is rather explicit, pulling out the asymptotics of the moments of \( M(n) \) is far from straightforward. Even for the expected maximum, i.e. the first moment, the asymptotics for large \( n \) are nontrivial [8]. It turns out that this expected maximum, for any finite \( n \), exists only for \( 1 < \mu \leq 2 \), while it is divergent for \( 0 < \mu \leq 1 \). Henceforth, we focus only on the case \( 1 < \mu \leq 2 \). The leading large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M(n)] \) depends only on the exponent \( \mu \) and is known to scale as \( \sim n^{1/\mu} \) [8]. However, the next subleading correction of \( \mathbb{E}[M(n)] \) depends on the exponent \( \nu > \mu \) defined in equation (2): in
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the limit of large \( n \), this correction term scales as \( n^{1-(\nu-1)/\mu} \) for \( \mu < \nu < \mu + 1 \), while for \( \nu > \mu + 1 \) it approaches a constant [9]. Indeed, in this latter case (\( \nu > \mu + 1 \)), one gets

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[M(n)]}{a} = \frac{\mu \Gamma(1 - 1/\mu)}{\pi} n^{1/\mu} + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-1/\mu}}\right) \quad (n \to +\infty),
\]

where \( a \) is the jump length scale in equation (2) and the subleading constant \( \gamma \) is given explicitly by [8]

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \ln \left( \frac{1 - \hat{f}(q/a)}{q^\mu} \right) \frac{dq}{q^2},
\]

where \( \hat{f}(k) \) is the Fourier transform of the jump PDF. Note that, using the small \( k \) behaviour of \( \hat{f}(k) \) in equation (2), it can be checked from equation (5) that the constant \( \gamma \) exists only for \( \nu > \mu + 1 \). In the particular case of a uniform jump distribution over the interval \([-1, 1]\), the value of this constant is relevant to the well known packing problem of random size rectangles in a two-dimensional strip [8, 10]. The result in (4) has also been recently used in the context of calculating the mean perimeter of the convex hull for random walks in two-dimensions [9].

In this paper, we generalize these results for the expected maximum to the case of a biased random walk with a non-zero drift \( c \). We will restrict ourselves to the class of jump distributions defined in equation (2) with \( \nu \geq 2 \) (and \( 1 < \mu \leq 2 \)). The evolution equation for the position of the walker in equation (1) is now modified to

\[
x_i = x_{i-1} + c + \eta_i,
\]

with \( x_0 = 0 \) and where the \( \eta_i \)’s are like in equation (1). Let \( M_c(n) = \max\{x_0 = 0, x_1, \cdots, x_n\} \) denote the maximum of the biased RW (6) after \( n \) steps. Like in the \( c = 0 \) case, the generating function of the Laplace transform of the \( M_c(n) \)’s PDF with \( c \neq 0 \) can be written as a generalized version—originally derived by Spitzer in [7]—of the Pollaczek–Spitzer formula (3). Unfortunately, the Spitzer’s version is a rather long and cumbersome formula (see equation (C.1) of appendix C) which seems even harder to handle than in the \( c = 0 \) case. In this paper, we put forward an alternative, equivalent, version of the Pollaczek–Spitzer formula via generalizing to \( c \neq 0 \) an important result due to Hopf [11] (and independently to Ivanov [12]) about half-space Green functions with \( c = 0 \). The asymptotic large \( n \) behavior of the expected maximum, \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \), in the presence of a non-zero drift \( c \) can then be extracted from this new version (see equation (55)). This turns out to be much more convenient to use than the Spitzer’s formula. We will see that the presence of a drift makes this asymptotic behavior highly non-trivial and interesting.

To appreciate what makes the large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) for discrete time random walks different, it may be instructive to put it into perspective by first recalling the corresponding large \( t \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(t)] \) for a biased continuous time Brownian motion evolving as

\[
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = c + \sqrt{2D} \eta(t)
\]

where \( c \) is the drift, \( D \) is the diffusion constant, and \( \eta(t) \) is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and delta correlator, \( \langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t') \). We recall that, by virtue of the
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central limit theorem, Brownian motion and discrete time random walks with \( \mu = 2 \) (i.e. jumps with a finite variance) are asymptotically equivalent at large time. For a Brownian motion without drift \( (c = 0) \) it is a well known classical result that [13] (for a simple derivation, see section 2)

\[
E[M(t)] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{Dt}.
\] (7)

For \( c \neq 0 \), the expected maximum \( E[M_c(t)] \) behaves quite differently. Indeed, for large \( t \), one finds (see section 2 for a simple derivation)

\[
E[M_{c \neq 0}(t)] \sim \theta(c) ct + \frac{D}{|c|} \quad (t \to \infty),
\] (8)

where \( \theta(c) \) is Heaviside step function \( (\theta(c) = 1 \text{ if } c > 0 \text{ and } \theta(c) = 0 \text{ if } c < 0) \). Note that for \( c < 0 \), the expected maximum approaches a positive constant, \( E[M_c(t)] \to D/|c| \), as \( t \to \infty \). This behavior can be qualitatively understood as follows: for \( c < 0 \), the particle drifts towards \(-\infty\) as \( t \) gets large, with occasional finite excursions to the positive side at early times only. The maximum is thus mainly set by these early time positive excursions without being significantly affected by the late time part of the trajectory, hence the expected maximum tends to a positive constant as \( t \) gets large.

It is also interesting to notice that equations (7) and (8) imply that the limit \( c \to 0 \) in the large \( t \) asymptotic behavior is actually singular, in the sense that the limits \( c \to 0 \) and \( t \to \infty \) do not commute. In fact, we show explicitly in section 2 that, for any \( c \) and \( t \), the expected maximum for the Brownian motion is a function of the scaling variable \( u = |c| \sqrt{t/D} \)

\[
E[M_c(t)] - \theta(c) ct = \sqrt{Dt} \mathcal{G}_2 \left( \frac{|c| \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{D}} \right),
\] (9)

with

\[
\mathcal{G}_2(u) = \frac{e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{\text{erf}(\frac{u}{2})}{u} - \frac{1}{2} u \text{erfc}(\frac{u}{2}),
\] (10)

where \( \text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt \) and \( \text{erfc}(x) = 1 - \text{erf}(x) \). The scaling function \( \mathcal{G}_2(u) \) behaves as \( \mathcal{G}_2(u) \sim 2/\sqrt{\pi} \) for \( u \to 0 \) (which corresponds to \( c \to 0 \) first, then \( t \to \infty \)) and \( \mathcal{G}_2(u) \sim 1/u \) as \( u \to \infty \) (which corresponds to \( t \to \infty \) first, then \( c \to 0 \)), thus interpolating smoothly between the behaviors given in equations (7) and (8), respectively.

Having recalled the large time behaviors of the expected maximum for a Brownian motion, we now summarize the main asymptotic results obtained in this paper for discrete time random walks with a drift \( c \), as defined in (6). Below, we will express our results in units of the characteristic length scale \( a \) (see equation (2)).

- For \( \mu = 2 \), we find that the large \( n \) behavior of the expected maximum is given by

\[
\frac{E[M_c(n)]}{a} \sim \frac{c}{a} \theta(c) n + \frac{|c|}{a} \kappa_c \quad (t \to \infty),
\] (11)
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with

\[ \kappa_c = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left. \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln[1 - \hat{f}(q)e^{-iq}] \frac{dq}{\lambda + iq} \right|_{\lambda=0}. \]  \tag{12}

In principle, equation (12) makes it possible to compute \( \kappa_c \) for any jump distribution \( f(\eta) \) with a finite \( \sigma \), although the task may be arduous. For instance, for a Gaussian jump distribution, \( f(\eta) = 1/(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi})e^{-\eta^2/(2\sigma^2)} \), we get \( \kappa_c \) as the series

\[ \kappa_c = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e^{-b^2m}}{2b\sqrt{\pi}m} - \frac{1}{2}\text{erfc}(b\sqrt{m}) \right], \]  \tag{13}

where \( b = |c|/\sigma\sqrt{2} = |c|/2a \) and \( a = \sigma/\sqrt{2} \) (see section 5.3 for details). The apparent similarity between the large \( n \) behavior (11) and the large \( t \) behavior (8) is in agreement with the expected convergence of the RW to a biased Brownian motion as \( n \) gets large. Nevertheless, the constant \( |c|\kappa_c/a \) in equation (11) does depend on the jump distribution explicitly, unlike its counterpart in equation (8). For \( c < 0 \), this discrepancy can be explained by the fact mentioned before (see below equation (8)) that the maximum is determined by early time positive excursions occurring in a finite time interval (with probability one) from \( t = 0 \). For such early time excursions, boundary effects—sensitive to the discrete or continuous nature of time—are expected to play a role, hence the discrepancy between the constant terms in equations (11) and (8). For \( c > 0 \), the same reasoning holds where the starting point of the RW is replaced with its arrival point and the trajectory is considered as running backward in time (see figure 1 below and the corresponding explanation in the text). As already observed in the Brownian case, the expression of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) for \( c = 0 \) in equation (4) (with \( \mu = 2 \)) and the one for \( c \neq 0 \) in equation (11) show that the limit \( c \to 0 \) in the large \( n \) asymptotic behavior is actually singular in the discrete time case too. As before (see equation (9)), we find that there is a scaling regime for \( n \to \infty, c \to 0 \) keeping the ratio \( u = |c|\sqrt{n}/a \) fixed which interpolates between these two behaviors in equations (4) (with \( \mu = 2 \)) and (11). In this scaling regime, one finds that \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) is described by the universal scaling form

\[ \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - c \theta(c)n}{\alpha} \sim \sqrt{n} \mathcal{G}_2 \left( \frac{|c|\sqrt{n}}{\alpha} \right), \]  \tag{14}

where \( \mathcal{G}_2(u) \) is given in equation (10) and is thus independent of the jump distribution \( f(\eta) \). Note that from equation (11) and the large \( |c|\sqrt{n}/a \) behavior of (14), \( \kappa_c \) must diverge like \( \kappa_c \sim \alpha^2/|c|^2 \) as \( c \to 0 \), for any jump distribution (with a finite \( \sigma \)). This is actually the case, as we will show at the end of section 5.3.

- For \( 1 < \mu < 2 \), the discrete time RW converges in the large \( n \) limit to a biased Lévy process and one expects a qualitatively different behavior, compared to the Brownian motion. For large \( n \), all the terms surviving the large \( n \) limit in
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the large $n$ expansion of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ can be computed explicitly (see equation (94) below). The two leading terms are given by

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]}{a} \sim \frac{c}{a} \theta(c) n + \frac{|c| C n^{2-\mu}}{a (2-\mu) n} \quad (n \to \infty),$$

where

$$C = \frac{\Gamma(\mu - 1)}{\pi} \sin \left( \frac{\pi \mu}{2} \right) \left( \frac{a}{|c|} \right)^\mu.$$

Surprisingly, it follows from equation (15) that for $1 < \mu < 2$ and $c < 0$, $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ still grows with $n$, unlike in the $\mu = 2$ case where it approaches a constant. This is somewhat unexpected since, in this case, one can write the process $x_n$ in equation (6) as $x_n = y_n + cn$ where $y_n$ converges to a symmetric Lévy flight for large $n$. This implies in particular that, typically, $y_n = O(n^{1/\mu})$ for $n \gg 1$, which is thus much smaller than the drift term $cn$. Hence, for $c < 0$, although the walker will typically drift to $-\infty$, she/he will always perform rare big jumps that will contribute to higher and higher values of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ as $n$ increases. A scaling form generalizing to $1 < \mu < 2$ the one in (14) for $\mu = 2$ can be obtained in the limit $c \to 0$ and $n \to \infty$ keeping the product $u = |c| n^{1/\mu}/a$ fixed. One finds

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - c \theta(c) n}{a} \sim n^{1/\mu} G_\mu \left( \frac{|c|}{a} n^{1-1/\mu} \right),$$

with

$$G_\mu(u) = \frac{\mu}{\mu - 1} u^{1-\mu} \int_0^u dy y^{2-\mu} \int_0^{+\infty} dz z f_{S,\mu}(z+y),$$

where $f_{S,\mu}(x)$ is the stable law of index $\mu$, i.e. $f_{S,\mu}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{du}{2\pi} e^{-|u|^{\mu}-ixu}$. For $\mu = 2$, it can be checked that the integral representation (18) coincides with

Figure 1. Illustration of the identity in law $M_c(n) - x_n \equiv M_{-c}(n)$ (see text and equation (19)).
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the expression of $G_2(u)$ given in equation (10). For generic $1 < \mu < 2$, there is no explicit expression for $G_\mu(u)$ but it can be plotted and one can also easily obtain its asymptotic behaviors. One finds $G_\mu(u) \sim \mu \Gamma(1 - 1/\mu)/\pi$ as $u \to 0$ and $G_\mu(u) \sim \Gamma(\mu - 1)/(\pi(2 - \mu)) \sin(\pi\mu/2) u^{1-\mu}$ for $u \to \infty$, thus ensuring a smooth matching with equation (4) for $u \to 0$ and with equation (15) for $u \to \infty$.

• Finally, we notice an interesting identity in law for the maximum of a random walk in the presence of a non-zero drift $c$. Consider a realization of the first $n$ steps of the walk from $x_0 = 0$ to some $x_n$ at step $n$. As before, let $M_c(n)$ denote the maximum of the trajectory for this realization (see figure 1). Then, the following identity in law holds

$$M_c(n) - x_n \equiv M_{-c}(n), \quad \text{(19)}$$

where “$\equiv$” means that the random variable on the left hand side has the same distribution as the random variable on the right hand side. This identity can be understood very simply with the help of the figure 1. Consider the trajectory as running backward in time, starting at $x_n$, and set the new origin of space at $x_n$. Then, clearly, $M_c(n) - x_n$ represents the maximum of this shifted trajectory running backward in time. However, this backward process also corresponds to a random walk starting at the new origin and subjected to an opposite drift $-c$. Thus the maximum of this backward process is also a realization of $M_{-c}(n)$, which establishes the identity in law in equation (19). As a consequence, taking expectation of both sides of equation (19) and using $E(x_n) = cn$, we get

$$E[M_c(n)] - cn = E[M_{-c}(n)]. \quad \text{(20)}$$

One can easily check that our results in equations (11) and (15) are fully consistent with the general identity in equation (20).

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In section 2, we discuss the statistics of the maximum $M_c(t)$ for the continuous time Brownian motion with a drift $c$. In section 3 we develop the formalism and the analytical tools needed to derive the asymptotic behaviors of the expected maximum for a discrete time random walk with a non-zero drift. Specifically, we first generalize a formula by Hopf [11] (and independently by Ivanov [12]) for the driftless case to a non-zero drift. Then, we use this generalized formula to provide an alternative derivation of the Spitzer’s formula [7] leading to a new, equivalent, version of the Spitzer’s result. This new version proves to be much more convenient to extract the asymptotic behaviors for large $n$. Sections 4 and 5 contain the derivation of the main asymptotic results for the expected maximum, using the formalism developed in the previous section. In section 4, we provide a re-derivation of the known results for the driftless case using this new formalism. We derive the detailed results for the case with a non-zero drift in section 5. In section 6, we verify our analytical predictions via numerical simulations. Finally, we conclude in section 7. Some details are relegated to the appendices.
2. Expected maximum of a 1D Brownian motion in the presence of a constant drift

In this section, we derive the exact expression (9) for the expected maximum of a 1D Brownian motion in the presence of a constant drift. We consider a biased Brownian motion on a line, \( x(t) \), starting from \( x = 0 \) at \( t = 0 \) and evolving as

\[
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = c + \sqrt{2D} \eta(t)
\]

(21)

where \( c \) is the drift, \( D \) is the diffusion constant, and \( \eta(t) \) is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and delta correlator, \( \langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t') \). Let \( M_c(t) \) denote the maximum of this process up to time \( t \) (see also figure 2)

\[
M_c(t) = \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \{ x(\tau) \}.
\]

(22)

First, we compute the cumulative distribution of \( M_c(t) \), denoted by \( \text{Prob}[M_c(t) \leq z] = Q_c(z, t) \), where the subscript \( c \) denotes the presence of the constant drift \( c \). Clearly, \( Q_c(z, t) \) is also the probability that the Brownian trajectory stays below \( z \geq 0 \) up to time \( t \). To compute this, it is convenient to define a new random variable, \( y(t) = z - x(t) \), so that \( y(t) \) represents a Brownian motion with a drift \(-c\) starting from \( y = z \) at \( t = 0 \). Hence, \( Q_c(z, t) \) is the probability that the process \( y(t) \) (with drift \(-c\)) stays positive (does not cross zero) up to time \( t \). It is then easy to write a backward Fokker–Planck evolution for \( Q_c(z, t) \) [14],

\[
\frac{\partial Q_c(z, t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 Q_c}{\partial z^2} - c \frac{\partial Q_c}{\partial z},
\]

(23)

valid for \( z \geq 0 \) with the boundary conditions

\[
Q_c(z = 0, t) = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad Q_c(z \to \infty, t) = 1
\]

(24)

and the initial condition

\[
https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aad364
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\[ Q_{c}(z, t = 0) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad z > 0. \] (25)

This linear equation can be solved exactly by first taking Laplace transform with respect to \( t \), solving the resulting ordinary differential equation and Laplace inverting back to \( t \). Another derivation, using a slightly different method, was given in \([15]\). Both methods yield the result, valid for any \( t \),

\[ Q_{c}(z, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{erfc} \left( \frac{z - ct}{\sqrt{4Dt}} \right) - e^{c z / D} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{z + ct}{\sqrt{4Dt}} \right) \right], \] (26)

where \( \text{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-u^2} \, du \) is the complementary error function. It is easy to see from equation (26) that for \( c > 0 \), the cumulative distribution \( Q_{c>0}(z, t) \) is always time-dependent, while for \( c < 0 \), it approaches a time-independent stationary distribution

\[ Q_{c<0}(z, t) \rightarrow_{t \to \infty} 1 - \exp \left[ -\frac{|c| z}{D} \right]. \] (27)

The PDF of \( M_{c}(t) \) is the derivative \( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} Q_{c}(z, t) \), hence the expected maximum is given by \( \mathbb{E}[M_{c}(t)] = \int_{0}^{+\infty} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} Q_{c}(z, t) \, dz \), which can be expressed, via integration by parts, as

\[ \mathbb{E}[M_{c}(t)] = \int_{0}^{+\infty} [1 - Q_{c}(z, t)] \, dz. \] (28)

From equation (28) and the result in equation (26), it is possible to compute the expected maximum \( \mathbb{E}[M_{c}(t)] \) exactly at any time \( t \). First, we write equation (23) as

\[ \frac{\partial Q_{c}(z, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial J_{c}(z, t)}{\partial z}, \] (29)

where

\[ J_{c}(z, t) = -D \frac{\partial Q_{c}}{\partial z} + c Q_{c}(z, t). \] (30)

Using the explicit solution in equation (26) on the right-hand side of (30), it is easily checked that

\[ J_{c}(z, t) = -\sqrt{\frac{D}{\pi t}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(ct - z)^2}{4Dt} \right] + \frac{c}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{ct - z}{\sqrt{4Dt}} \right). \] (31)

Then, we take the time derivative of equation (28) in which we use the relation in equation (29). This gives

\[ \frac{d\mathbb{E}[M_{c}(t)]}{dt} = \int_{0}^{+\infty} dz \left[ \frac{\partial J_{c}(z, t)}{\partial z} \right] = J_{c}(+\infty, t) - J_{c}(0, t). \] (32)

From equation (31) it follows that \( J_{c}(+\infty, t) = c \) and

\[ J_{c}(0, t) = \frac{c}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{c\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{4D}} \right) - \sqrt{\frac{D}{\pi t}} e^{-c^2 t / 4D}, \]

which, together with equation (32), yields
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\[
\frac{d\mathbb{E}[M_c(t)]}{dt} = c - \frac{c}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{c\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{AD}} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{D}{\pi t}} e^{-c^2t/AD} .
\]  

(33)

Now, by the identity \( \text{erfc}(x) + \text{erfc}(-x) = 2 \) (for all \( x \)) one has

\[
c - \frac{c}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{c\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{AD}} \right) = c\theta(c) - \frac{|c|}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{|c|\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{AD}} \right),
\]

where \( \theta(c) \) is the Heaviside step function \( \theta(c) = 1 \) for \( c > 0 \) and \( \theta(c) = 0 \) for \( c < 0 \), and equation (33) can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{d\mathbb{E}[M_c(t)]}{dt} = c\theta(c) - \frac{|c|}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{|c|\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{4D}} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{D}{\pi t}} e^{-c^2t/4D} .
\]  

(34)

Note that, for \( c = 0 \), one gets, by integrating over \( t \), the result just stated in equation (7). Unlike the expression in equation (33), only the first—pure drift—term on the right-hand side of equation (34) depends on the sign of \( c \). Finally, integrating (34) with respect to \( t \) and using \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(0)] = 0 \), we get an exact expression for \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(t)] \), valid for all \( c \) and all \( t \),

\[
\mathbb{E}[M_c(t)] = c\theta(c) t + \sqrt{D} \mathcal{G}_2 \left( \frac{|c|\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{D}} \right),
\]  

(35)

where the scaling function \( \mathcal{G}_2(u) \) is exactly given by

\[
\mathcal{G}_2(u) = \frac{4}{u\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{u/2} dv \left[ e^{-v^2} - \sqrt{\pi} v \text{erfc}(v) \right]
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-u^2/4} + \frac{1}{u} \text{erf} \left( \frac{u}{2} \right) - \frac{u}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{u}{2} \right),
\]  

(36)

with the asymptotics \( \mathcal{G}_2(u) \to 2/\sqrt{\pi} \) as \( u \to 0 \) and \( \mathcal{G}_2(u) \to 1/u \) as \( u \to \infty \). In particular, at late times \( t \to \infty \), it follows that

\[
\mathbb{E}[M_c\neq 0(t)] \sim \theta(c) \frac{ct}{|c|} \quad (t \to \infty),
\]  

(37)

as stated in equation (8). Thus, for a positive drift \( c > 0 \), the expected maximum increases linearly with \( t \) (with speed \( c \)), while for a negative drift \( c < 0 \), it approaches a constant \( D/|c| \) as \( t \to \infty \). The equations (35) and (36) coincide respectively with equations (9) and (10) in the introduction.

3. Expected maximum of 1D discrete time random walks with a constant drift: the analytical tools

In this section, we develop the analytical tools needed to derive the asymptotic behaviors of the expected maximum for a discrete time random walk with a non-zero drift as defined in equation (6). To begin with, we generalize the so-called Hopf–Ivanov formula
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[12] to the case of biased random walks. We follow the same line as in our derivation of the formula for unbiased walks in the appendix A of [16]. The results in sections 3.1 and 3.2, as well as the generalized Pollaczek–Spitzer formula (55), hold for $0 < \mu \leq 2$.

3.1. The Hopf–Ivanov formula in the presence of a drift

Consider the biased random walk defined in equation (6). Let $p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, n|x_1, 0)$ denote the Green’s function (propagator) for the walker starting at $x_1 \geq 0$, arriving at $x_2 \geq 0$ after $n$ steps, and always staying on the positive side in between (figure 3). Assume that the walker reaches the minimum $y \geq 0$ of the walk at time $n_1$ and split the walk into two successive parts: from $x_1$ at time 0 to $y$ at time $n_1$, then from $y$ at time $n_1$ to $x_2$ at time $n$. By taking $y$ as a new origin in both parts, reversing time direction in the first one, and taking into account all the possible values of $y$ and $n_1$, one has

$$p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, n|x_1, 0) = \sum_{n_1=1-n}^{n} \int_{0}^{\min(x_1,x_2)} p_{>0}^{(-c)}(x_1-y,n_1|0,0) p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2-y,n-n_1|0,0) \, dy,$$

$$= \sum_{n_1=1-n_2} \sum_{n_2=n} \left[ \int_{0}^{\min(x_1,x_2)} p_{>0}^{(-c)}(x_1-y,n_1|0,0) p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2-y,n_2|0,0) \, dy \right] \delta_{n_1+n_2,n}, \quad (38)$$

where $n_2 = n - n_1$ and $\sigma = 1$ (resp. 0) if $\min(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ (resp. $x_2$). Note that reversing time direction changes the sign of the drift (i.e. turns $c$ into $-c$). The sums on the right-hand side of (38) can actually be continued to $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$, (the difference corresponds to a term proportional to $\delta[y - \max(x_1, x_2)]$ in the integral over $y$ the contribution of which is zero), and (38) reads

$$p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, n|x_1, 0) = \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} \left[ \int_{0}^{\min(x_1,x_2)} p_{>0}^{(-c)}(x_1-y,n_1|0,0) p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2-y,n_2|0,0) \, dy \right] \delta_{n_1+n_2,n}. \quad (39)$$

Let

$$G_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, x_1, s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, n|x_1, 0)s^n. \quad (40)$$
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From (39) and (40) one gets
\[ G_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, x_1, s) = \int_0^{\min(x_1, x_2)} \left( \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} p_{>0}^{(-c)}(x_1 - n_1, 0, 0) s^{n_1} \right) \left( \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2 - n_2, 0, 0) s^{n_2} \right) dy \]
\[ = \int_0^{\min(x_1, x_2)} G_{>0}^{(-c)}(x_1 - y, 0, 0) s G_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2 - y, 0, 0) dy. \] (41)

Laplace transforming (41) with respect to both \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), and using (40), one gets
\[ \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, n|x_1, 0) s^n e^{-\lambda_1 x_1 - \lambda_2 x_2} dx_1 dx_2 = \frac{\phi^{(-c)}(\lambda_1, s) \phi^{(c)}(\lambda_2, s)}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}, \] (42)
where \( \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \) is the Laplace transform of \( G_{>0}^{(c)}(x, 0, s) \) with respect to \( x \). Its expression is derived in appendix A. One finds
\[ \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) = \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln[1 - s \hat{g}(k) e^{ikc}] \frac{dk}{\lambda + ik} \right). \] (43)

Equations (42) and (43) generalize the Hopf–Ivanov formula to random walks with a drift as defined in equation (6).

3.2. An alternative expression for \( \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \)

In addition to the integral representation (43) of \( \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \), there is an alternative formulation in which \( \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \) can be written as an exponential of an auxiliary generating function written as a power series of \( s \). This new, power series, representation is obtained by expanding the logarithm on the right-hand side of (43) as a power series of \( s \) and by using the Laplace transform
\[ \int_0^{+\infty} g(x - y) e^{-px} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\hat{g}(k) \exp(iky)}{p + ik} dk. \] (44)

One gets
\[ \ln \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln[1 - s \hat{g}(k) e^{ikc}] \frac{dk}{\lambda + ik} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^m}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\hat{f}(k) m^{\mu k} e^{imk}}{\lambda + ik} dk \]
\[ = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^m}{m} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\mu, m} \left( x - m^{-1/\mu} c \right) e^{-m^{1/\mu} \lambda x} dx, \] (45)
where we have made the change of variable \( x \to x m^{1/\mu} \) in the series, and
\[ \mathcal{F}_{\mu, m}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f} \left( \frac{q}{m^{1/\mu}} \right) m e^{-iqx} \frac{dq}{2\pi}, \] (46)
is the PDF of the sum \( m^{-1/d} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_i \). Note in particular that in the limit \( m \to \infty \), \( F_{\mu, m}(x) \) converges to a stable distribution of index \( \mu \), i.e.

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} F_{\mu, m}(x) = F_{\mu, \infty}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|q|\mu - iqx/a} dq,
\]

where we recall that \( a \) is such that \( \hat{f}(q) \approx 1 - |aq|^{\mu} \) for small \( q \). Writing then the equation (45) as

\[
\phi(c, \lambda, s) = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{\mu, m}(c, \lambda) s^m \right),
\]

with

\[
\alpha_{\mu, m}(c, \lambda) = \frac{1}{m} \int_0^{+\infty} F_{\mu, m}(x - m^{-1/d} c) e^{-m^{1/d} \lambda x} dx,
\]

one obtains an alternative expression for \( \phi(c, \lambda, s) \) equivalent to the one in equation (43) in which the integral over \( k \) is replaced with the generating function of the sequence \( \alpha_{\mu, m}(c, \lambda) \).

This alternative expression for \( \phi(c, \lambda, s) \) in equations (48) and (49) will prove useful later in section 4 for the derivation of the asymptotics of the expected maximum in the presence of a drift. As another useful application of this formalism, let us point out that, using the generalized Hopf–Ivanov formula (42) and the representation of \( \phi(c, \lambda, s) \) in equations (48) and (49), one can derive the generalized Sparre Andersen theorem for the survival probability of the walk in the presence of a drift [17]. We provide this derivation in appendix B.

### 3.3. Generalized Pollaczek–Spitzer formula and generating function of \( \mathbb{E}[M_{c}(n)] \)

In this section we derive the generating function of the expected maximum, \( \mathbb{E}[M_{c}(n)] \), from the generalization of the Pollaczek–Spitzer formula to random walks with a drift.

Let

\[
p_c(m, n) = \frac{d \text{Prob}(M_c(n) < m)}{dm},
\]

denote the PDF of \( M_c(n) \) and write

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\lambda M_c(n)} \right) = \int_0^{+\infty} p_c(m, n) e^{-\lambda m} dm,
\]

its Laplace transform. Substituting (50) in (51), doing integration by parts, and using \( \text{Prob}(M_c(n) < 0) = 0 \) gives

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\lambda M_c(n)} \right) = \lambda \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda m} \text{Prob}(M_c(n) < m) dm.
\]

Let \( p_{<m}(x, n|0, 0) \) denote the probability density that the walker arrives at \( x \) after \( n \) steps, starting from 0 at step 0 and always staying below the level \( m \) in between.
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If we integrate over the arrival point $x$ at step $n$ for $x \in (-\infty, m]$ this just gives the probability that the maximum $M_c(n)$ of the trajectory is less than $m$. Hence

$$
\text{Prob}(M_c(n) < m) = \int_{-\infty}^{m} p_{<m}^{(c)}(x, n|0,0) \, dx
$$

$$
= \int_{0}^{+\infty} p_{>0}^{(-c)}(x, n|m,0) \, dx,
$$

where, in the second line, we have performed the change of variable $x \rightarrow m - x$. This automatically changes the sign of the drift, as follows from equation (6). Using then (53) on the right-hand side of (52), one gets

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\lambda M_c(n)} \right) s^n = \lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{>0}^{(-c)}(x, n|m,0)s^n \, e^{-\lambda m} \, dx \, dm,
$$

which reads, according to the generalized Hopf–Ivanov formula (42) with $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = 0$,

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\lambda M_c(n)} \right) s^n = \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)\phi^{(-c)}(0, s).
$$

Equation (55) generalizes the Pollaczek–Spitzer formula to random walks with a drift (see equation (34) of [8] and references therein for the case without drift). It is shown in appendix C that our formula (55) is equivalent to the one given by Spitzer in equation (3.1) of [7].

The generating function for the expected maximum is then readily obtained by differentiation of (55) with respect to $\lambda$. One has

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]s^n = -\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left( e^{-\lambda M_c(n)} \right) \bigg|_{\lambda=0} s^n
$$

$$
= -\phi^{(-c)}(0, s) \frac{\partial \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)}{\partial \lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=0}
$$

$$
= -\phi^{(-c)}(0, s)\phi^{(c)}(0, s) \frac{\partial \ln \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)}{\partial \lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=0},
$$

and by using the expression (43) of $\phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)$ on the right-hand side of (56), one finally gets

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]s^n = \frac{\Psi^{(c)}(s)}{1 - s},
$$

where we have used $\phi^{(-c)}(0, s)\phi^{(c)}(0, s) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \phi^{(-c)}(\lambda, s)\phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) = (1 - s)^{-1}$ and

$$
\Psi^{(c)}(s) = 1 \frac{\partial}{2\pi \partial \lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln \left| 1 - s \hat{f}(k) e^{ikc} \right| \, dk \bigg|_{\lambda=0},
$$

where $\lambda$ goes to zero from $\text{Re}(\lambda) = +\infty$. An alternative expression for $\Psi^{(c)}(s)$, equivalent to the one in equation (58), can be obtained from the power series representation of $\phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)$ in equations (48) and (49). After some straightforward algebra, one finds
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\[ \Psi(c)(s) = -\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} s^m \left. \frac{\partial \alpha_{n,m}(c, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^m}{m^{1-1/\mu}} \int_0^{+\infty} x \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m} \left( x - m^{1-1/\mu}c \right) \, dx, \]  

where \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x) \) is given in equation (46).

In principle, the equations (57) and (58) (or (59)) solve the problem for any value of \( n \). In particular, identifying the powers of \( s \) on both sides of equation (57) in which \( \Psi(c)(s) \) is written as (59), one obtains an exact expression for \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \), valid for any \( n \geq 1 \),

\[ \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] = \sum_{m=1}^{n} m^{1/\mu-1} \int_0^{+\infty} x \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m} \left( x - m^{1-1/\mu}c \right) \, dx, \]  

which will be useful in the following for comparison with numerical data. Note that, taking advantage of all the \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m} \)'s being symmetric and normalized to unity, the relation in equation (60) can also be written as

\[ \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] = c \theta(c) n + \sum_{m=1}^{n} m^{1/\mu-1} \int_0^{+\infty} x \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m} \left( x + m^{1-1/\mu}|c| \right) \, dx. \]  

The first term on the right-hand side of (61) corresponds to the pure drift behavior which is thus made explicit by this formulation. The departure from the pure drift behavior given by the second term on the right-hand side of (61) does not depend on the sign of \( c \), in agreement with equation (20). Finally, by using the Fourier representation (46) of \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x) \) on the right-hand side of equation (61) and after some straightforward algebra, one obtains the equivalent alternative expression

\[ \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] = c \theta(c) n + \sum_{m=1}^{n} \int_{|c|}^{+\infty} dy \left( \frac{y}{m} - |c| \right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ f(q) \right]^m e^{-iyq} \frac{dq}{2\pi}. \]  

Besides these exact expressions, we will see below that the large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) can be conveniently obtained by analyzing the right-hand side of equation (57) near its dominant singularity by means of appropriate Tauberian theorems. However, extracting this asymptotic behavior is still nontrivial and this is the subject of the next two sections.

4. Large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M(n)] \) for symmetric discrete time random walks (\( c = 0 \))

In the absence of a drift (\( c = 0 \)), the large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M(n)] \) has already been extensively studied by Comtet and Majumdar in [8], starting from the same \( k \)-integral representation as in our equation (58). In this section, we show how their results can also be obtained from the alternative, power series, representation in equation (59). For simplicity, in the rest of this section we will restrict ourselves to the case \( \nu > \mu + 1 \) (see equation (2)), though our results can be generalized also to \( \mu < \nu < \mu + 1 \).

https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aad364

16
Asymptotics for the expected maximum of random walks and Lévy flights with a constant drift

By the central limit theorem for the sum \( m^{-1/\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_i \) one has \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x) \sim a^{-1} f_{S,\mu}(x/a) \) as \( m \to +\infty \), where \( f_{S,\mu}(x) \) is the stable law with Fourier transform \( \hat{f}_{S,\mu}(k) = \exp(-|k|^\mu) \), and it is useful to extract from \( \Psi^{(0)}(s) \) the contribution of the asymptotic stable distribution. This is done by adding and subtracting in (58) or (59) with \( c = 0 \) the expression of \( \Psi^{(0)}(s) \) in which \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x) \) is replaced with \( a^{-1} f_{S,\mu}(x/a) \). One obtains

\[
\Psi^{(0)}(s) = \Psi_1^{(0)}(s) + \Psi_2^{(0)}(s),
\]

with, using equation (58),

\[
\Psi_1^{(0)}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln \left( \frac{1 - s \hat{f}(k)}{1 - s e^{-|ak|^\mu}} \right) \frac{dk}{k^2},
\]

and

\[
\Psi_2^{(0)}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln\left(1 - s e^{-|ak|^\mu}\right) \frac{dk}{\lambda + ik} \bigg|_{\lambda=0},
\]

where \( \lambda \) goes to zero from \( \text{Re}(\lambda) = +\infty \), or, equivalently, using equation (59) instead of equation (58),

\[
\Psi_1^{(0)}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^m}{m^{1-\mu}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} x \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x) - \frac{1}{a} f_{S,\mu}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right] \, dx,
\]

and

\[
\Psi_2^{(0)}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^m}{m^{1-1/\mu}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{x}{a} f_{S,\mu}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \, dx.
\]

It is clear that \( \Psi_1^{(0)}(s) \) is entirely due to the departure of the jump distribution from the stable law with same \( \mu \) (i.e. \( \Psi_1^{(0)}(s) = 0 \) for \( f(x) = a^{-1} f_{S,\mu}(x/a) \)). From the equation (64) and the small \( k \) behavior in equation (2) with \( \nu > \mu + 1 \), it is also clear that \( \Psi_1^{(0)}(1) \) exists with

\[
\Psi_1^{(0)}(s) = \Psi_1^{(0)}(1) + O(1-s) \quad (s \to 1).
\]

From (63) and (67), one has

\[
\Psi^{(0)}(s) = \Psi_1^{(0)}(s) + a \text{Li}_{1-1/\mu}(s) \int_{0}^{+\infty} y f_{S,\mu}(y) \, dy
\]

\[
= \Psi_1^{(0)}(s) + a \frac{\Gamma(1-1/\mu)}{\pi} \text{Li}_{1-1/\mu}(s),
\]

where \( \text{Li}_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} m^{-\alpha} z^m \). The asymptotic behavior of (69) near the dominant singularity at \( s = 1 \) is determined by the one of \( \text{Li}_{1-1/\mu}(s) \), (according to (68), the contribution of \( \Psi_1^{(0)}(s) \) reduces to the constant \( \Psi_1^{(0)}(1) \)), and from

\[
\text{Li}_{1-1/\mu}(s) = \zeta(1-1/\mu) + \frac{\Gamma(1/\mu)}{(1-s)^{1/\mu}} + O((1-s)^{1-1/\mu}) \quad (s \to 1),
\]

where \( \zeta(z) \) is the Riemann zeta function, one finds
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\[
\Psi^{(0)}(s) = a\gamma + \frac{a\Gamma(1-1/\mu)\Gamma(1/\mu)}{\pi(1-s)^{1/\mu}} + O((1-s)^{1-1/\mu}) \quad (s \to 1),
\]

with

\[
\gamma = \frac{\Psi^{(0)}(1)}{a} + \frac{\Gamma(1-1/\mu)}{\pi} \zeta(1-1/\mu).
\]

It can be seen immediately from equation (72) that if the jump distribution \( f(\eta) \) is the stable distribution \( a^{-1} f_{S,\mu}(\eta/a) \) (i.e. if \( \hat{f}(k) = \exp(-|ak|^\mu) \)), then equation (66) (or equation (64)) yields \( \Psi^{(0)}(1) = 0 \) and \( \gamma \) is exactly given by

\[
\gamma = \frac{\Gamma(1-1/\mu)}{\pi} \zeta(1-1/\mu) = \frac{\zeta(1/\mu)}{(2\pi)^{1/\mu} \sin(\pi/2\mu)},
\]

where we have used the reflection formulas \( \zeta(1-1/\mu) = 2(2\pi)^{-1/\mu} \cos(\pi/2\mu)\Gamma(1/\mu)\zeta(1/\mu) \) and \( \Gamma(1-1/\mu)\Gamma(1/\mu) = \pi/\sin(\pi/\mu) \). Equation (73) coincides with the equation (14) in [8]. On the other hand, if \( f(\eta) \) is not the stable distribution one can use the integral representation (64) for \( \Psi^{(0)}(1) \) together with the identity

\[
\zeta(1-1/\mu) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-1/\mu)} \int_0^{+\infty} \ln \left( \frac{1-e^{-q^\mu}}{q^\mu} \right) \frac{dq}{q^2},
\]

to write (72) in the equivalent integral form

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \ln \left( \frac{1-\hat{f}(q/a)}{1-e^{-q^\mu}} \right) \frac{dq}{q^2} + \frac{\Gamma(1-1/\mu)}{\pi} \zeta(1-1/\mu)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \ln \left( \frac{1-\hat{f}(q/a)}{q^\mu} \right) \frac{dq}{q^2},
\]

which coincides with the equation (13) in [8]. Injecting (71) into (57) with \( c = 0 \), one gets

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[M(n)] s^n = \frac{a\gamma}{1-s} + \frac{a\Gamma(1-1/\mu)\Gamma(1/\mu)}{\pi(1-s)^{1+1/\mu}} + O \left( \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1/\mu}} \right) \quad (s \to 1),
\]

and by Darboux’s theorem [18] one finally finds

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[M(n)]}{a} = \frac{\mu\Gamma(1-1/\mu)}{\pi} n^{1/\mu} + \gamma + O \left( \frac{1}{n^{1-1/\mu}} \right) \quad (n \to +\infty),
\]

which is the large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M(n)] \) found by Comtet and Majumdar in the equation (49) of [8], as expected. It is important to notice that the leading term on the right-hand side of equation (76) gives the leading large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M(n)] \) correctly for all \( \nu > \mu \) (see equation (2)). It is the next subleading correction which needs \( \nu > \mu + 1 \) to be a constant. In the complementary domain \( \mu < \nu < \mu + 1 \), one finds that \( \gamma \) in equation (76) must be replaced with a term growing as \( n^{1-(\nu-1)/\mu} \) [9].

We conclude this section by noting that, for \( c = 0 \), the exact formula (60) reduces to
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\[ \mathbb{E}[M(n)] = \sum_{m=1}^{n} m^{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{+\infty} x \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x) \, dx, \]  \hspace{1cm} (77)

where \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m} \) is the PDF of \( m^{-1/\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_i = y_m \) where \( y_m \) is the position of the random walker in the absence of drift, i.e. evolving via \( y_i = y_{i-1} + \eta_i \) starting from \( y_0 = 0 \), after \( m \) steps. Therefore, one has \( \int_{0}^{+\infty} dx \, x \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x) = m^{-1/\mu} \langle |y_m| \rangle / 2 \) and finally equation (77) can be simply written as (see also [19])

\[ \mathbb{E}[M(n)] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \langle |y_m| \rangle / m, \]  \hspace{1cm} (78)

which is an exact formula, valid for any \( n \) and any symmetric and continuous jump distribution.

5. Large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) for biased discrete time random walks (\( c \neq 0 \))

Henceforth, we will restrict ourselves to the wide class of jump distributions defined by equation (2) with \( \nu \geq 2 \). We now turn to the large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) for a random walk with a drift (\( c \neq 0 \)). To this end, we need the behavior of the generating function in equation (57) near \( s = 1 \), hence the one of \( \Psi^{(c)}(s) \). We shall mainly use the power series representation (59) of \( \Psi^{(c)}(s) \). Since all the \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}s \) are symmetric and normalized to unity, one can rewrite (59) as

\[ \Psi^{(c)}(s) = \frac{c \theta^{(c)}(s)}{1-s} + \Psi^{-|c|}(s), \]  \hspace{1cm} (79)

with

\[ \Psi^{-|c|}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^m}{m^{1-1/\mu}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} x \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x + m^{1-1/\mu} |c|) \, dx \]

\[ = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} s^m \int_{|c|}^{+\infty} (x - |c|) m^{1-1/\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(m^{1-1/\mu} x) \, dx, \]  \hspace{1cm} (80)

where we have made the change of variable \( x \to m^{1-1/\mu}(x - |c|) \). Thus, the analytical properties of \( \Psi^{-|c|}(s) \) and its behavior near its dominant singularity depend on the large \( m \) behavior of \( m^{1-1/\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(m^{1-1/\mu} x) \). From the definition (46) of \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(x) \) in which one makes the change of variable \( q = k/m^{1-1/\mu} \), one gets

\[ m^{1-1/\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(m^{1-1/\mu} x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f \left( \frac{k}{m} \right)^m \frac{e^{-ikx}}{2\pi} \, dk, \]  \hspace{1cm} (81)

which is nothing but the PDF of the sample mean \( m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_i \). It follows that for \( x > |c| \), the large \( m \) behavior of \( m^{1-1/\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m}(m^{1-1/\mu} x) \) is given by a large deviation principle [20] which makes it possible to determine the leading term of the large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]_b \) as we will now see.
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5.1. $1 < \mu < 2$: leading behavior of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - c \theta(c)n$ in the large $n$ limit

First, we consider a Lévy flight of index $1 < \mu < 2$. In this case, the appropriate large deviation principle is the Nagaev’s theorem [21] according to which, away from $x = 0$,

$$n^{1-1/\mu} F_{\mu,n}(n^{1-1/\mu} x) \sim n^2 f_{\text{tail}}(nx) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu + 1)}{\pi \alpha n^{\mu - 1}} \sin \left( \frac{\pi \mu}{2} \right) \left( \frac{a}{|x|} \right)^{\mu + 1} \quad (n \to +\infty),$$

(82)

where $f_{\text{tail}}(\eta)$ denotes the tail of the jump distribution $f(\eta)$ for large values of $\eta$. (The most general formulation of this result can be found in [22]). Equation (82) means that for a heavy-tailed jump distribution, a large deviation $x$ is much more likely to be achieved by a single giant leap of size $nx$ rather than by $\sim n$ steps of size $\sim x$. Injecting the large $n$ behavior (82) into (80) yields the near $s = 1$ behavior

$$\Psi(-|c|)(s) \sim |c| C \frac{\Gamma(2 - \mu)}{(1 - s)^{2 - \mu}} \quad (s \to 1),$$

(83)

with

$$C = \frac{\Gamma(\mu - 1)}{\pi} \sin \left( \frac{\pi \mu}{2} \right) \left( \frac{a}{|c|} \right)^{\mu}.$$

(84)

The near $s = 1$ behavior of the generating function (57) is then obtained from (79) and (83). One finds

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] s^n \sim \frac{c \theta(c)s}{(1 - s)^2} + |c| C \frac{\Gamma(2 - \mu)}{(1 - s)^{3 - \mu}} \quad (s \to 1),$$

(85)

and by Darboux’s theorem [18],

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - c \theta(c)n}{|c|} \sim \frac{C n^{2 - \mu}}{2 - \mu} \quad (n \to +\infty).$$

(86)

Equation (86) gives the leading term of the large $n$ behavior of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ only. To get the subdominant terms that may survive the limit $n \to +\infty$, we need to determine the corrections to the Nagaev’s theorem (82), which is a highly non trivial task. For the whole class of jump distributions defined in equation (2) with $\nu > 2$, the analytical tools developed in section 3.3 make it possible to obtain all these surviving terms. This is the subject of the next subsection.

5.2. $1 < \mu < 2$: surviving subleading terms of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ in the large $n$ limit

The calculation goes in two steps. First, we consider the case of a stable jump distribution of index $\mu$, where the surviving terms of the large $n$ expansion of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ can be computed explicitly. Then, we show that the result can be extended to all the jump distributions in the class defined by equation (2), with the same $\mu$, to within a mere shift of the constant term.

5.2.1. Stable jump distribution with $1 < \mu < 2$. To begin with, assume that the jumps are drawn from the stable law of index $\mu$. In this case, one has $F_{\mu,n}(x) = a^{-1} f_{S,\mu}(x/a)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and equation (80) reads
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\[ \Psi_S^{(-|c|)}(s) = \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} s^l \int_{|c|}^{+\infty} (x - |c|) \frac{t^{1-1/\mu}}{a} f_{S,\mu} \left( \frac{t^{1-1/\mu} x}{a} \right) \, dx, \quad (87) \]

where the subscript \( S \) refers to the stable jump distribution and \( f_{S,\mu}(x) \) is the normalized stable law with Fourier transform \( \hat{f}_{S,\mu}(k) = \exp(-|k|^{\mu}) \). Since the integrals in (87) are over \( x \geq |c| > 0 \) we can replace \( f_{S,\mu}(x) \) with its power series representation away from \( x = 0 \),

\[ f_{S,\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \sin \left( \frac{m\pi\mu}{2} \right) \frac{\Gamma(m\mu + 1)}{m!} \frac{1}{x^{m\mu + 1}} \quad (x > 0), \quad (88) \]

the first term of which corresponds to the large deviation principle (82). The higher order terms give the correction to (82) explicitly as a series. The singular part of \( \Psi_S^{(-|c|)}(s) \) at \( s = 1 \) comes from the contribution of the first \( [1/(\mu - 1)] \) terms in (88), where \( [1/(\mu - 1)] \) denotes the integer part of \( 1/(\mu - 1) \). The contribution to (87) of the rest of the series in (88), with \( m > [1/(\mu - 1)] \), yields a regular function of \( s \) at \( s = 1 \) denoted in the following by \( \Psi_{R,S}^{(-|c|)}(s) \). After some straightforward algebra, one gets

\[ \Psi_S^{(-|c|)}(s) = \frac{|c|}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{[1/(\mu - 1)]} (-1)^{m+1} \sin \left( \frac{m\pi\mu}{2} \right) \frac{\Gamma(m\mu - 1)}{m!} \left( \frac{a}{|c|} \right)^{m\mu} \text{Li}_{m\mu}(s) + \Psi_{R,S}^{(-|c|)}(s), \quad (89) \]

whose behavior near \( s = 1 \) follows from the one of the polylogarithm functions \( \text{Li}_{m\mu}(s) \) on the right-hand side. There are two possibilities: either \( \mu \neq 1 + 1/p \) for any integer \( p \), or \( \mu = 1 + 1/p \) for some integer \( p \).

5.2.1. \( \mu \neq 1 + 1/p \) for any integer \( p \). Using equation (70) in which \( 1 - 1/\mu \) is replaced with \( m(\mu - 1) \), one finds

\[ \Psi_S^{(-|c|)}(s) = |c| \sum_{m=1}^{[1/(\mu - 1)]} C_m \frac{\Gamma(1 - m(\mu - 1))}{(1 - s)^{1-m(\mu - 1)}} + |c| \kappa_c + O((1 - s)^{\mu - 1}) \quad (s \to 1), \quad (90) \]

with

\[ C_m = (-1)^{m+1} \sin \left( \frac{m\pi\mu}{2} \right) \frac{\Gamma(m\mu - 1)}{\pi m!} \left( \frac{a}{|c|} \right)^{m\mu}, \quad (91) \]

and

\[ \kappa_c = \sum_{m=1}^{[1/(\mu - 1)]} C_m \zeta(m(\mu - 1)) + \frac{\Psi_{R,S}^{(-|c|)}(1)}{|c|}. \quad (92) \]

Note that the constant \( C_1 \) is precisely the same as \( C \) in equation (16).

From (57), (79), and (90) one gets

\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)] s^n = \frac{c\sigma(c)s}{(1-s)^2} + |c| \sum_{m=1}^{[1/(\mu - 1)]} C_m \frac{\Gamma(1 - m(\mu - 1))}{(1 - s)^{2-m(\mu - 1)}} + \frac{|c| \kappa_c}{1-s} + O \left( \frac{1}{(1-s)^{2-p}} \right) \quad (s \to 1), \quad (93) \]
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which translates into the large \( n \) behavior [18]

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)] - c\sigma(c)n}{|c|} = \sum_{m=1}^{[1/(\mu - 1)]} \frac{C_m n^{1-m(\mu-1)}}{1-m(\mu-1)} + \kappa_c + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\mu-1}}\right) \quad (n \to +\infty).
\]  

(94)

5.2.1.2. \( \mu = 1 + 1/p \) for some integer \( p \). On the right-hand side of (89) and for \( m \neq p \), we use the equation (70) in which \( 1 - 1/\mu \) is replaced with \( m(\mu-1) = m/p \). For \( m = p \) we simply use the identity \( \text{Li}_1(s) = \ln[1/(1-s)] \). One obtains

\[
\Psi_s\left(-c\right)(s) = |c| \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} C_m \frac{\Gamma(1-m/p)}{(1-s)^{1-m/p}} + |c| C_p \ln\left(\frac{1}{1-s}\right)
+ |c| \kappa'_c + O((1-s)^{1/p}) \quad (s \to 1),
\]

(95)

with \( C_m \) given by equation (91) and

\[
\kappa'_c = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} C_m \zeta(m/p) + \frac{\Psi_s\left(-c\right)(1)}{|c|}.
\]

(96)

Note that, according to (91), \( C_p = 0 \) if \( p \) is odd and there is no logarithmic correction in this case. The equations (57), (79), and (95) yield

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)] s^n = \frac{c\sigma(c)s}{(1-s)^2} + |c| \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} C_m \frac{\Gamma(1-m/p)}{(1-s)^{2-m/p}}
+ |c| C_p \ln\left(\frac{1}{1-s}\right) + |c| \kappa'_c + O\left(\frac{1}{(1-s)^{1-1/p}}\right) \quad (s \to 1).
\]

(97)

The contributions of the algebraic singularities on the right-hand side of (97) to the large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)] \) are given by the classical Darboux’s theorem [18]. To get the contribution of the remaining logarithmic singularity we use the result that a factor \((1-s)^{-1}\ln[1/(1-s)]\), \( (s \to 1) \), in a generating function translates into a factor \( \ln n + \gamma_E, \ (n \to +\infty) \), in its coefficients, where \( \gamma_E \) is the Euler constant [23] (chap. VI, figure VI.5). After some straightforward algebra, one obtains

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)] - c\sigma(c)n}{|c|} = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \frac{p C_m n^{1-m/p}}{p - m} + C_p \ln n + \kappa_c + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/p}}\right) \quad (n \to +\infty),
\]

(98)

with, according to equation (96),

\[
\kappa_c = \kappa'_c + C_p \gamma_E = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} C_m \zeta(m/p) + C_p \gamma_E + \frac{\Psi_s\left(-c\right)(1)}{|c|},
\]

(99)

and \( C_p = 0 \) if \( p \) is odd. Note that the leading term in the equations (94) and (98) coincides with the asymptotics (86), as it should be.

5.2.2. Generalization to the whole class of jump PDFs defined by equation (2) with \( 1 < \mu < 2 \). So far, the asymptotic expansions (94) and (98) hold for a stable jump distribution only. We now show that they are actually valid for the whole class of jump
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distributions defined in equation (2), with \(1 < \mu < 2\) and \(\nu \geq 2\), to within a shift of the constant term \(\kappa_c\).

Consider a RW (6) with a jump PDF whose Fourier transform behaves as in equation (2) with \(1 < \mu < 2\) and \(\nu \geq 2\). We want to compare the associated expected maximum \(\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]\) with its counterpart \(\mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)]\) for the stable jump distribution of same index \(\mu\) and same characteristic length \(\alpha\), in the large \(n\) limit. From the expression (57) of the generating function of \(\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]\) and the integral representation (58) of \(\Psi^{(c)}(s)\) (together with equation (79)), one has

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} (\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - \mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)]) s^n = \frac{\Psi^{(-|c|)}(s) - \Psi^{(-|c|)}_{S}(s)}{1 - s} \sim \frac{|c| \Delta_{k_c}}{1 - s} (s \to 1),
\]

where

\[
\Delta_{k_c} = \frac{1}{2\pi|c|} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln\left( \frac{1 - e^{-|c|q} \hat{f}(q)}{1 - e^{-|c|q - |a|q^{\nu}}} \right) \frac{dq}{q^2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi|c|} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \ln\left( \frac{1 - 2 \cos(cq) \hat{f}(q) + \hat{f}(q)^2}{1 - 2 \cos(cq) e^{-|a|q^{\nu}} + e^{-2|a|q^{\nu}}} \right) \frac{dq}{q^2}.
\]

Note that \(\Delta_{k_c}\) is independent of the sign of \(c\). In the small \(q\) limit, the integrand in the second line of equation (101) behaves like \(\propto |q|^{\nu+\mu-4}\), which is integrable at \(q = 0\) if \(\mu + \nu > 3\). Thus, the latter condition is clearly satisfied for \(1 < \mu < 2\) and \(\nu \geq 2\), that we are considering here. Moreover, since \(\hat{f}(q) \to 0\) in the large \(q\) limit, the integrand in the second line of equation (101) is also integrable at \(q \to +\infty\). Thus, \(\Delta_{k_c} \to +\infty\) for the class of jump distributions defined in equation (2) with \(1 < \mu < 2\) and \(\nu \geq 2\), and it follows immediately from Darboux’s theorem [18] and the simple pole behavior near \(s = 1\) in equation (100) that

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - \mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)] = |c| \Delta_{k_c}.
\]

Finally, it remains to replace \(\mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)]\) in equation (102) with the appropriate asymptotic expansion (94) or (98), and one finds that the large \(n\) asymptotic expansion of \(\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]\) is exactly the same as for the stable jump distribution of same \(\mu\) and \(\alpha\), up to the constant term which is shifted from \(k_c\) to \(k_c + \Delta_{k_c}\), where \(k_c\) and \(\Delta_{k_c}\) are respectively given in equations (92), (99), and (101).

We now turn to the last remaining case of biased, Brownian-like, random walks with index \(\mu = 2\).

5.3. \(\mu = 2\): large \(n\) behavior of \(\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]\)

In this case, the jumps have a finite variance and the appropriate large deviation principle to be used in equation (80) is the Cramér’s theorem [24] according to which there is a positive and convex continuous function \(I(x)\), called the rate function, with a single minimum at \(x = 0\) (with \(I(0) = 0\)) such that

\[
\sqrt{n} \mathcal{F}_{2,n} (\sqrt{n} x) \sim h(n) e^{-n I(x)} \quad (n \to +\infty),
\]
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where the prefactor $h(n)$ is subdominant with respect to the decaying exponential. Both $I(x)$ and $h(n)$ are sensitive to the jump distribution $f(y)$ and there is no generic expressions for these two functions. Injecting (103) into the generating function on the right-hand side of (80) with $\mu = 2$ and performing the integration over $x$ for large $n$, one finds that the coefficients behave asymptotically as

$$\sqrt{n} \int_{|c|}^{+\infty} (x - |c|) F_{2,n} (\sqrt{n} x) \, dx \sim \frac{1}{(I'|c|)^2} \frac{h(n)}{n^2} e^{-nI(|c|)} \quad (n \to +\infty).$$

(104)

Because of the exponential on the right-hand side of (104), the dominant singularity of $\Psi(-|c|)(s)$ is located at $s = \exp I(|c|) > 1$ from which it follows that $\Psi(-|c|)(s)$ is analytic at $s = 1$. Writing

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] s^n = \frac{c \theta(c)s}{(1 - s)^2} + \frac{\Psi(-|c|)(s)}{1 - s},$$

(105)

where we have used (79), it is clear that the large $n$ behavior of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ is given by the pole at $s = 1$ with residue $\Psi(-|c|)(1)$. The singularity of $\Psi(-|c|)(s)$ at $s = \exp I(|c|) > 1$ determines the subdominant, vanishing, correction to this behavior. Applying the Darboux’s theorem [18] for each of these two singularities, one obtains

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - c \theta(c)n}{|c|} = \kappa_c + O \left( \frac{h(n)}{n^2} e^{-nI(|c|)} \right) \quad (n \to +\infty),$$

(106)

with $\kappa_c = \Psi(-|c|)(1)/|c|$. According to the expressions (58) and (59) for $\Psi(c)(s)$, the integral and power series representations of $\kappa_c$ are respectively given by

$$\kappa_c = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left. \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln[1 - f(q/c)e^{-i\eta}]}{\lambda + iq} \, dq \right|_{\lambda=0},$$

(107)

where $\lambda$ goes to zero from $\text{Re}(\lambda) = +\infty$, and

$$\kappa_c = \frac{a}{|c|} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \int_0^{+\infty} x F_{2,m} \left( x + \sqrt{m} \frac{|c|}{a} \right) \, dx,$$

(108)

where we have made the change of variable $x \to ax$ and introduced the rescaled function $F_{2,m}(x) = a F_{2,m}(ax)$ in order to make the dependence on $a$ and $c$ more explicit. In principle, equations (107) and (108) make it possible to compute $\kappa_c$ for any jump distribution with a finite variance. For instance, for a Gaussian jump distribution one has $\mathcal{F}_{2,m}(x) = 1/(2\sqrt{\pi}) e^{-x^2/4}$ for any $m \geq 1$ and equation (108) gives $\kappa_c$ as the series

$$\kappa_c = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{e^{-b^2 m}}{2b \sqrt{\pi} m} - \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}(b \sqrt{m}) \right],$$

(109)

where $b = |c|/2a$, as announced in equation (13).

Although $\kappa_c$ in equations (107) or (108) does depend on the jump distribution explicitly, its small $c$ behavior does not, as we will now see. This is most easily shown by using the power series representation (108). In the limit $c \to 0$, the sum over $m$ on
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the right-hand side of (108) is a Riemann sum which can be replaced with an integral
over \( y = \sqrt{m} |c| / a \). One gets

\[
\kappa_c \sim \frac{2a^2}{|c|^2} \int_0^{+\infty} dy \int_0^{+\infty} dx \, x F_{2,\infty}^{\text{resc}}(x + y) \\
\sim \frac{2a^2}{|c|^2} \int_0^{+\infty} dy \int_0^{+\infty} dx \, x F_{2,\infty}^{\text{resc}}(x + y) \quad (c \to 0),
\]

in which \( F_{2,\infty}^{\text{resc}}(x + y) = f_{S,2}(x + y) = 1/(2\sqrt{\pi}) e^{-(x+y)^2/4} \) (see equation (47) with \( \mu = 2 \), and after some straightforward algebra, one finds

\[
\kappa_c \sim \frac{a^2}{\sqrt{\pi} |c|^2} \int_0^{+\infty} dy \int_0^{+\infty} dx \, x e^{-(x+y)^2/4} = \frac{a^2}{|c|^2} \quad (c \to 0),
\]

independent of the jump distribution (with \( \mu = 2 \)).

5.4. Crossover between the large \( n \) behaviors of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) for \( c = 0 \) and a small nonzero \( c \)

From the results in section 4 (for \( c = 0 \)) and section 5 (for \( c \neq 0 \)) it is clear that the two
limits \( n \to \infty \) and \( c \to 0 \) do not commute, hence the small \( c \) limit of the large \( n \) behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) is singular. This suggests the existence of a scaling regime describing
the crossover between the leading large \( n \) behaviors of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) for \( c = 0 \) and a small nonzero \( c \).

To determine the corresponding scaling form, we analyze the behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \)
in the limits \( c \to 0 \) and \( n \to \infty \), keeping the scaling variable \( u = |c| n^{1-1/\mu} / a \) fixed. The
starting point of our analysis is the exact formula given in equation (61), which we write as

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - c \theta(c) n}{a} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} m^{1/\mu - 1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x}{a} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,m} \left( x + m^{1-1/\mu} |c| \right) \, dx.
\]

In the large \( n \) limit, the sum over \( m \) is dominated by the large values of \( m \). Besides,
when \( c \to 0 \), the sum over \( m \) on the right-hand side of equation (112) is a Riemann sum which can be replaced with an integral over \( y = m^{1-1/\mu} |c| \). This yields

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - c \theta(c) n}{a} \sim \frac{\mu}{\mu - 1} |c|^{-\frac{1}{\mu - 1}} \int_0^{\infty} y^{\frac{2-\mu}{\mu - 1}} \int_0^{+\infty} dx \frac{x}{a} \mathcal{F}_{\mu,(y/|c|)}^{\mu} \left( x + y \right).
\]

In the limit \( c \to 0 \), we can replace \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,(y/|c|)}^{\mu} \left( x + y \right) \) by its limiting behavior
\( \mathcal{F}_{\mu,\infty}(x + y) = a^{-1} f_{S,\mu}(x + y) / a \) (see equation (47)) and by performing the changes of
variables \( x \to x/a \) and \( y \to y/a \) one finally arrives at

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - c \theta(c) n}{a} \sim n^{1/\mu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu} \left( \frac{|c|}{a} n^{1-1/\mu} \right),
\]

with
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\[ G_\mu(u) = \frac{\mu}{\mu - 1} u^{-\frac{1}{\nu - 1}} \int_0^u y^{\frac{2-\nu}{\nu - 1}} \int_0^{+\infty} dx \frac{x}{f_{S,\mu}(x+y)} \]  

(115)

which is indeed the scaling form given in equations (17) and (18) in the introduction. For \( \mu = 2 \), the stable law is the Gaussian distribution and one has \( f_{S,2}(x+y) = 1/(2\sqrt{\pi}) e^{-(x+y)^2/4} \). The right-hand side of (115) can then be computed explicitly, yielding

\[ G_2(u) = e^{-\frac{u^2}{\pi}} + \frac{\text{erf}(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}})}{u} - \frac{1}{2} u \text{erfc}(\frac{u}{2}) , \]

(116)

with \( G_2(u) \sim 2/\sqrt{\pi} \) for \( u \to 0 \) and \( G_2(u) \sim 1/u \) as \( u \to \infty \). For \( 1 < \mu < 2 \), there is no explicit expression of the scaling function but the small and large argument behaviors can always be obtained. In the small \( u \) limit, it follows from equation (115) that \( G_\mu(u) \) behaves as

\[ G_\mu(u) \sim \frac{\mu}{\mu - 1} u^{-\frac{1}{\nu - 1}} \int_0^u y^{\frac{2-\nu}{\nu - 1}} \int_0^{+\infty} x f_{S,\mu}(x) dx 
= \frac{\mu}{\pi} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \quad (u \to 0), \]

(117)

where we have used the identity \( \int_0^{+\infty} x f_{S,\mu}(x) dx = \Gamma(1 - 1/\mu)/\pi \). In the opposite large \( u \) limit, we make the change of variable \( y = uz \) on the right-hand side of equation (115) and by using the asymptotic behavior \( f_{S,\mu}(\eta) \sim \pi^{-1} \sin(\pi \mu/2) \Gamma(\mu + 1) \eta^{-\eta-1} \) \( (\eta \to +\infty) \), one gets

\[ G_\mu(u) \sim \frac{\mu \Gamma(\mu + 1)}{\pi (\mu - 1)} \sin\left(\frac{\pi \mu}{2}\right) \int_0^1 z^{\frac{2-\nu}{\nu - 1}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{x dx}{(x + uz)^{\mu+1}} 
= \frac{\Gamma(\mu - 1)}{\pi (2 - \mu)} \sin\left(\frac{\pi \mu}{2}\right) \frac{1}{u^{\nu-1}} \quad (u \to +\infty). \]

(118)

From these small and large argument behaviors of \( G_\mu(u) \) it can be checked that the scaling form (114) reduces to the leading term of (76) for \( c = 0 \), and to equation (86) for \( 1 < \mu < 2 \) and \( c \neq 0 \), or equation (106) (with \( \kappa_c \) given in equation (111)) for \( \mu = 2 \) and a small nonzero \( c \).

Finally, it is worth noticing that, for \( 1 < \mu < 2 \), the leading behavior on the right-hand side of equations (76) and (86), as well as the scaling form (114), actually hold for all \( \nu > \mu \) in equation (2). Unlike the subleading corrections which need either \( \nu > \mu + 1 \) in equation (76) or \( \nu \geq 2 \) in the results of section 5.2.

6. Numerical simulations

We have performed numerical simulations of random walks with a drift, as defined in equation (6) with different jump distributions \( f(\eta) \). The statistics of the maximum \( M_n \) was obtained by sampling \( 10^7 \) independent random walks of \( 10^5 \) steps. In all cases, we have compared the results of these numerical simulations (i) with a numerical
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evaluation of our exact finite \( n \) expression (60) and (ii) with our analytical asymptotic results, valid for large \( n \). All the results presented here are for \( c < 0 \), without loss of generality, according to the identity (20), \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - cn = \mathbb{E}[M_{-c}(n)] \), that we have checked numerically. We present the cases \( \mu = 2 \) and \( 1 < \mu < 2 \) separately.

6.1. \( \mu = 2 \)

In figure 4(a) we show \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) as obtained from numerical simulations of the RW with a Gaussian jump distribution \( f(\eta) = f_{S,2}(\eta) = e^{-\eta^2/4}/\sqrt{4\pi} \), corresponding to \( a = 1 \), and \( c = -1/2 \) (red squares). We have compared these numerical values with the exact ones given in equation (60) (black squares), where in this case \( F_{2,m}(x) = f_{S,2}(x) \), independent of \( m \). We can see that the numerical results are in perfect agreement with the expected, exact, values (60). In figure 4(a), we have also indicated our analytical prediction of the leading asymptotic behavior of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) for large \( n \) (blue dotted line).

In figure 4(b) we show the same quantities (with the same corresponding symbols) but for symmetric exponential jumps \( f(\eta) = (1/2)e^{-|\eta|} \). In this case \( F_{2,m}(x) \) is a non-trivial function of both \( x \) and \( m \), and the evaluation of our exact expression (60) was carried out by using the equivalent form (62). Again, the agreement between numerical results and analytical predictions is very good.

6.2. \( 1 < \mu < 2 \)

In figure 5, we show the results for a Lévy flight with \( c = -1/2 \) and a stable jump distribution of index \( \mu = 7/4 \) and scale parameter \( a = 1 \). As before, the red and black squares correspond respectively to the numerical estimate (by simulating the RW in equation (6)) and to the exact value, for finite \( n \), obtained from equation (60) (or the equivalent expression (62)). It can be seen in figure 5(a) that the agreement between red and black squares is quite good. The blue dotted line corresponds to the leading asymptotic behavior, \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \sim |c|C/(2 - \mu)n^{2-\mu} \), given in equation (86). The tendency of the squares to align parallel to the line, but slightly away from it, suggests that the subleading correction is a constant as expected from our result in equation (94) with \( \mu = 7/4 \). This is confirmed by the results in figure 5(b) suggesting more clearly that the difference \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - |c|C/(2 - \mu)n^{2-\mu} \) goes to a constant when \( n \) gets large.

In figure 6 we show the results for a Lévy flight with a stable jump distribution of index \( \mu = 3/2 \), all other parameters equal. In this case, our results in section 5.2.1.2 predict that the first subleading term is a logarithmic correction, \( |c|C_2 \ln n \), and the next one is a constant \( \kappa_c \). Figure 6(a) shows that the leading behavior \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \sim |c|C/(2 - \mu)n^{2-\mu} \) already describes the data quite well for \( n \) large enough. In figure 6(b) we have subtracted this leading term and the blue dotted line corresponds to the first, logarithmic, correction. The tendency of the squares to align parallel to this line, but slightly away from it (the difference corresponding to the constant term \( \kappa_c \)), is in good agreement with our prediction in equation (98) for \( \mu = 3/2 \). Note that the logarithmic correction is clearly seen on the exact results (black squares) which allow to reach higher values of \( n \), while the numerical data gets too noisy (red squares).

Figure 7 shows a numerical check of the generalization of our results beyond stable jump distributions. Here, it is a Lévy flight with a Pareto jump distribution.
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Figure 4. (a) Plot of $E[M_c(n)]$ for a RW with Gaussian jumps, corresponding to $\mu = 2$, with variance $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$, i.e. $a = 1$, and a negative drift $c = -1/2$, as a function of $n$ (in a log-scale). The red squares correspond to numerical simulations of the RW while the black squares are obtained by a numerical evaluation of the exact formula in equation (60). The blue dotted line corresponds to the exact asymptotic value $|c| \kappa_c = 1.29376\ldots$ given in equation (109). (b) Plot of $E[M_c(n)]$ for a RW with (symmetric) exponential jumps, corresponding to $\mu = 2$ and $a = 1$, and a negative drift $c = -1/2$, as a function of $n$ (in a log-scale). The red squares correspond to numerical simulations of the RW while the black squares are obtained by a numerical evaluation of the exact formula in equation (60). The blue dotted line corresponds to the exact asymptotic value $|c| \kappa_c = 1.23233\ldots$ given by a numerical evaluation of equation (108).

Figure 5. (a) Log–log plot of $E[M_c(n)]$ for a RW with a stable jump distribution with $\mu = 7/4$, $a = 1$ and a negative drift $c = -1/2$ as a function of $n$. The red squares correspond to numerical simulations of the RW while the black squares are obtained by a numerical evaluation of the exact formula in equation (60). The dotted line corresponds to the leading term for large $n$, $E[M_c(n)] \sim |c| C/(2 - \mu) n^{2-\mu}$ (see equation (86)). (b) Plot of $E[M_c(n)] - |c| C/(2 - \mu) n^{2-\mu}$ as a function of $n$ (in a log-scale), which suggests that the sub-leading term in the expansion of $E[M_c(n)]$ for large $n$ is a constant, in agreement with the formula in equation (94), since $1 - \mu = 3/4 \neq 1/p$ for all integer $p$ in this case.
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Figure 6. (a) Log–log plot of $E[M_c(n)]$ for a RW with a stable jump distribution with $\mu = 3/2$, $a = 1$ and a negative drift $c = -1/2$, as a function of $n$. The red squares correspond to numerical simulations of the RW while the black squares are obtained by a numerical evaluation of the exact formula in equation (60). The dotted line corresponds to the leading term for large $n$, $E[M_c(n)] \sim |c|C/(2-\mu)n^{2-\mu}$ (see equation (86)). (b) Plot of $E[M_c(n)] - |c|C/(2-\mu)n^{2-\mu}$ as a function of $n$ (in a log-scale), which suggests that the sub-leading term in the expansion of $E[M_c(n)]$ for large $n$ is $\propto |c|C_2 \ln n$ (as indicated by the dotted line), in agreement with the formula in equation (98), since $1-\mu = 1/2$ in this case.

Figure 7. (a) Plot of $E[M_c(n)] - |c|C/(2-\mu)n^{2-\mu}$ as a function of $n$ (on a log-scale) for a Pareto jump distribution with parameters $\mu = 3/2$, $a = 1$, and a negative drift $c = -1/2$. We recall that $|c|C/(2-\mu)n^{2-\mu}$ is the leading large $n$ behavior (86), while the first correction is expected to be $|c|C_2 \ln n$ (see equation (98)), which is indicated by the blue dotted line. The red squares correspond to numerical simulations of the RW (6) while the black squares represent the exact formula (62). (b) Plot of the difference $E[M_c(n)] - E[M_{c,S}(n)]$ evaluated numerically from equation (62) for Pareto and stable jump distributions, as a function of $n$ (in log-scale), corroborating the asymptotic behavior in equation (102).
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\[ f(\eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\nu}{2\mu}|\eta|^{-1-\mu}, & |\eta| \geq b \\ 0, & |\eta| < b. \end{cases} \]

(119)

All the parameters are the same as for the stable distribution considered in figure 6. Namely, \( \mu = 3/2, c = -1/2, \) and \( a = 1 \) (which corresponds to \( b = (2\pi)^{-1/3} \) in equation (119)). Figure 7(a) is the counterpart of figure 6(b). The comparison of these two figures clearly suggest the same leading behavior, \( |c|C/(2 - \mu) n^{2-\mu}, \) and logarithmic correction, \( |c|C_2 \ln n, \) but the next constant term is different. Indeed, the squares do have the same tendency as for the stable jump distribution to align parallel to the blue dotted line, but not at the same location (above the line in figure 7(a), below it in figure 6(b)). This corresponds to a different value of the constant term \( \kappa_c, \) in full agreement with our result in section 5.2.2 that the asymptotic expansions must be the same up to the constant term which is different. In figure 7(b), we show a plot of the difference \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - \mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)], \) evaluated numerically from the exact equation (62) for Pareto and stable jump distributions, as a function of \( n. \) Again, the results indicates that \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] - \mathbb{E}[M_{c,S}(n)] \) goes to a constant when \( n \) is large, in agreement with equation (102). Note that the numerical evaluation of the integral in equation (101) to be used on the right-hand side of equation (102) requires a careful numerical analysis.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the asymptotic large \( n \) behavior of the expected maximum \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) of an \( n \)-step random walk/Lévy flight in the presence of a non-zero drift \( c. \) First, we have generalized the Hopf–Ivanov formula to the case of discrete time RWs with a drift (equation (42)), from which we have derived the Pollaczek–Spitzer formula for the PDF of the maximum in a new, convenient, factorized form (see equation (55)). Then, performing a careful analysis of the generating function of the expected maximum deduced from this version of the Pollaczek–Spitzer formula (equation (57)), we have obtained a variety of interesting results that we now summarize.

In all cases, we have been able to determine the full asymptotic expansion of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) comprising all the terms surviving the large \( n \) limit, explicitly. For a nonzero drift \( c \neq 0 \) and \( \nu \geq 2 \) in equation (2), we have found that this asymptotic expansion does not depend on the jump distribution (in the considered class, with the same parameters \( \mu \) and \( a \) in equation (2), and same drift \( c \) except the smallest, constant, term which does depend on the jump PDF. The same result holds in the driftless case \( c = 0 \), but for a smaller class of jump PDF defined by \( \nu > \mu + 1 \) in equation (2). For a negative drift \( c < 0 \) and Lévy index \( \mu = 2 \), the expected maximum approaches a constant as \( n \) gets large, while for \( 1 < \mu < 2 \), it grows as a power law \( \sim n^{2-\mu}. \) It is interesting to mention that, in both cases, it can be shown from the Pollaczek–Spitzer formula (55), that the distribution of the maximum has a well defined limiting distribution \( p_{-|c|}(m) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p_{-|c|}(m, n) \) with \( p_{-|c|}(m) \sim m^{-\mu} \) (\( m \to +\infty \)) for \( 1 < \mu < 2 \) (not discussed in this paper). We have also found that the asymptotic expansion of \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] \) for a positive drift \( c > 0 \) is related to the one with a negative drift by the general identity \( \mathbb{E}[M_c(n)] = cn + \mathbb{E}[M_{-c}(n)], \) which we have explained very simply by symmetry arguments (see figure 1). Another
interesting finding is that the $c \to 0$ and $n \to \infty$ limits of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ do not commute. This led us to derive a scaling form interpolating smoothly between the leading behaviors of $\mathbb{E}[M_c(n)]$ in the two limiting cases “$c \to 0$ then $n \to \infty$” and “$n \to \infty$ then $c \to 0$” (equations (114) and (115)).

This paper was devoted to developing the formalism and analytical tools to extract the asymptotic behaviors of the expected maximum. Besides the generalized Hopf–Ivanov formula which provides a useful tool to study other observables associated to extremes, order, and record statistics of biased RWs, we expect that our results will have a number of interesting applications in other problems. These include, for instance, the mean perimeter of the convex hull of a 2D-random walk process [9] and the expected flux in the Schmoluchovski capture problem [25, 26]. These two problems have been investigated in the absence of a drift and we expect that our results will be useful to generalize these studies in the presence of a nonzero drift.
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Appendix A. Derivation of the expression of $\phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)$

From

$$p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, n|x_1, 0) = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x_2 - y - c) p_{>0}^{(c)}(y, n - 1|x_1, 0) \, dy, \quad (A.1)$$

and

$$p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, n|x_1, 0) = \int_0^{+\infty} p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, n - 1|y, 0) f(y - x_1 - c) \, dy, \quad (A.2)$$

equation one respectively gets

$$G_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, x_1, s) - s \int_0^{+\infty} f(x_2 - y - c) G_{>0}^{(c)}(y, x_1, s) \, dy = \delta(x_2 - x_1), \quad (A.3)$$

and

$$G_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, x_1, s) - s \int_0^{+\infty} G_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, y, s) f(y - x_1 - c) \, dy = \delta(x_2 - x_1), \quad (A.4)$$

where we have used $p_{>0}^{(c)}(x_2, 0|x_1, 0) = \delta(x_2 - x_1)$. Write $u^{(c)}(x, s) = G_{>0}^{(c)}(x, 0, s)$. For $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = x$ the equation (A.3) reads

$$u^{(c)}(x, s) - s \int_0^{+\infty} f(x - y - c) u^{(c)}(y, s) \, dy = \delta(x). \quad (A.5)$$

Here and in the following, $\delta(x)$ is to be understood as $\delta(x - 0^+)$. Deriving (A.5) with respect to $s$ and using (A.5) in the result, one has
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\[
\frac{\partial u^{(c)}(x, s)}{\partial s} - s \int_0^{+\infty} f(x - y - c) \frac{\partial u(y, s)}{\partial s} \, dy = \frac{u(x, s) - \delta(x)}{s}.
\]  
(A.6)

From (A.6) and (A.4) it follows

\[
s \frac{\partial u^{(c)}(x, s)}{\partial s} = \int_0^{+\infty} G_{>0}^{(c)}(x, y, s) [u^{(c)}(y, s) - \delta(y)] \, dy,
\]  
(A.7)

and, by Laplace transforming (A.7) with respect to \(x\),

\[
s \frac{\partial \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)}{\partial s} = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{G}_{>0}^{(c)}(\lambda, y, s) [u^{(c)}(y, s) - \delta(y)] \, dy
\]

\[
= \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{G}_{>0}^{(c)}(\lambda, y, s) u^{(c)}(y, s) \, dy - \mathcal{G}_{>0}^{(c)}(\lambda, 0, s).
\]  
(A.8)

Here, \(\lambda\) is the Laplace variable and

\[
\mathcal{G}_{>0}^{(c)}(\lambda, y, s) = \int_0^{+\infty} G_{>0}^{(c)}(x, y, s) e^{-\lambda x} \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{\min(x, y)} u^{(c)}(x - z, s) u^{(-c)}(y - z, s) e^{-\lambda x} \, dz \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_y^0 u^{(-c)}(y - z, s) \int_z^{+\infty} u^{(c)}(x - z, s) e^{-\lambda x} \, dx \, dz
\]

\[
= \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \int_y^0 u^{(-c)}(y - z, s) e^{-\lambda z} \, dz,
\]  
(A.9)

where we have used (A.11). Note that, by the singular behavior of \(u^{(c)}(x, s)\) near \(x = 0\) (see (A.5)), equation (A.9) for \(y = 0\) reduces to \(\mathcal{G}_{>0}^{(c)}(\lambda, 0, s) = \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)\), as it should be. Now, substituting for \(\mathcal{G}_{>0}^{(c)}(\lambda, y, s)\) on the right-hand side of (A.8) its expression from (A.9), one finds

\[
s \frac{\partial \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)}{\partial s} = \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} \int_y^0 u^{(-c)}(y - z, s) u^{(c)}(y, s) e^{-\lambda z} \, dz \, dy - 1 \right]
\]

\[
= \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \int_z^{+\infty} u^{(-c)}(y - z, s) u^{(c)}(y, s) \, dy \right) e^{-\lambda z} \, dz - 1 \right]
\]

\[
= \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \int_z^{+\infty} u^{(-c)}(t, s) u^{(c)}(t + z, s) \, dt \right) e^{-\lambda z} \, dz - 1 \right]
\]

\[
= \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} u^{(-c)}(t, s) u^{(c)}(t + z, s) \, dt \, dz - \delta(z) \right] e^{-\lambda z},
\]  
(A.10)

where \(t = y - z\). To proceed we take \(x_1 = X\) and \(x_2 = X + x, \ x \geq 0\), in equation (A.11). Then, we make the change of variable \(y = X - t, \) and let \(X \to +\infty\). Using \(\lim_{X \to +\infty} G_{>0}^{(c)}(X + x, X, s) = G_{>-\infty}^{(c)}(x, 0, s)\), one finds

\[
G_{>-\infty}^{(c)}(x, 0, s) = \int_0^{+\infty} u^{(-c)}(t, s) u^{(c)}(t + x, s) \, dt,
\]  
(A.11)

and equation (A.10) reduces to

https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aad364
32
Asymptotics for the expected maximum of random walks and Lévy flights with a constant drift

\[ s \frac{\partial \ln \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)}{\partial s} = \hat{\Xi}^{(c)}(\lambda, s), \quad (A.12) \]

where \( \hat{\Xi}^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \) is the Laplace transform of \( \Xi^{(c)}(x, s) = G^{(c)}_{>\infty}(x, 0, s) - \delta(x) \) with respect to \( x \). The equation for \( G^{(c)}_{>\infty}(x, 0, s) \) is similar to (A.5) with a full-space integration, which is readily solved by Fourier transform. One gets

\[ G^{(c)}_{>\infty}(x, 0, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp(-ikx)}{1 - s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}} \, dk; \quad (A.13) \]

hence

\[ \Xi^{(c)}(x, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}}{1 - s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}} e^{-ikx} \, dk, \quad (A.14) \]

and, by Fubini’s theorem,

\[
\hat{\Xi}^{(c)}(\lambda, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda x} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}}{1 - s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}} e^{-ikx} \, dk \right) \, dx \\
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}}{1 - s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}} \left( \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-(\lambda + ik)x} \, dx \right) \, dk \\
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda + ik} \left[ \frac{s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}}{1 - s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}} \right] \, dk. \quad (A.15)
\]

Substituting (A.15) for \( \hat{\Xi}^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \) on the right-hand side of (A.12) yields

\[ \frac{\partial \ln \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda + ik} \left[ \frac{s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}}{1 - s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc}} \right] \, dk. \quad (A.16) \]

Finally, integrating (A.16) with \( \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, 0) = 1 \) (which follows from \( u^{(c)}(x, 0) = \delta(x) \)), one obtains the equation (43):

\[ \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) = \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln \left| 1 - s\hat{f}(k)e^{ikc} \right| \frac{1}{\lambda + ik} \, dk \right). \]

Appendix B. Derivation of the Sparre Andersen formula for a random walk with a drift

In this appendix, using the generalized Hopf–Ivanov formula (42) and the representation of \( \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \) in equations (48) and (49), we derive the generalized Sparre Andersen theorem for the survival probability of the walk in the presence of a drift. We start by recalling the Sparre Andersen theorem. Let \( Q^{(c)}(n) \) denote the persistence, i.e. the probability that the random walk in equation (6), starting at \( x_0 = 0 \) stays above its initial value \( x_0 = 0 \) up to step \( n \). A beautiful combinatorial identity, first derived by Sparre Andersen in [17], reads
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\[ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} Q^{(c)}(n)s^n = \exp \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} s^n \frac{\text{Prob}(x_n \geq 0)}{n} \right] \]  

(B.1)

where \( x_n \) evolves by equation (6) with a non-zero \( c \). This identity is nontrivial as it relates a history dependent quantity \( Q^{(c)}(n) \) on the l.h.s. with a temporally local quantity \( \text{Prob}(x_n \geq 0) \). Writing \( x_n = y_n + cn \), we see that the sequence \( y_n \) corresponds to a random walk without a drift, evolving as

\[ y_n = y_{n-1} + \eta_n, \]  

(B.2)

and hence

\[ \text{Prob}(x_n \geq 0) = \text{Prob}(y_n \geq -cn) = \int_{-cn}^{+\infty} P_n(y) \, dy \]  

(B.3)

where \( P_n(y) \) is the PDF of the driftless \( y \)-process. This can be easily computed and is given by

\[ P_n(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \hat{f}(k) \right]^n e^{-iky} \, dk. \]  

(B.4)

Therefore, the Sparre Andersen theorem can be re-expressed as

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} Q^{(c)}(n)s^n = \exp \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} \int_{-cn}^{+\infty} P_n(y) \, dy \right], \]  

(B.5)

where \( P_n(y) \) is given in (B.4). Below we prove this identity (B.5), starting from the generalized Hopf–Ivanov formula in (42) and using the alternative expression of \( \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s) \) derived in equations (48) and (49).

We start with the generalized Hopf–Ivanov formula in (42) where we set \( \lambda_1 \to +\infty \) and \( \lambda_2 = 0 \). One finds

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} s^n \int_{0}^{+\infty} P_{\geq 0}^{(c)}(x, n|0, 0) \, dx = \phi^{(c)}(0, s). \]  

(B.6)

Note however that the integral \( \int_{0}^{+\infty} P_{\geq 0}^{(c)}(x, n|0, 0) \, dx \) is just the probability that the process stays positive up to step \( n \), and hence is identically equal to \( Q^{(c)}(n) \). Thus we get

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} s^n Q^{(c)}(n) = \phi^{(c)}(0, s). \]  

(B.7)

Now we evaluate \( \phi^{(c)}(0, s) \) using equations (48) and (49). This gives

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} s^n Q^{(c)}(n) = \phi^{(c)}(0, s) = \exp \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} \int_{0}^{+\infty} F_{\mu, n} \left( x - n^{1-1/\mu}c \right) \, dx \right]. \]  

(B.8)

Comparing equation (46) and equation (B.4) we see that

\[ F_{\mu, n}(x) = n^{1/\mu}P_n(n^{1/\mu}x). \]  

(B.9)

Substituting (B.9) on the right-hand side of (B.8), and performing a change of variable \( x = n^{-1/\mu}y \), we get
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\[ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} Q^{(c)}(n)s^n = \exp \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} \int_0^{+\infty} P_n(y - cn) \, dy \right] \]

\[ = \exp \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^n}{n} \int_{-cn}^{+\infty} P_n(y) \, dy \right], \]

which thus proves the Sparre Andersen identity in (B.5).

### Appendix C. Connection with Spitzer’s formula (theorem 3 in [7])

In this appendix, we show the connection between our formula (55) and the formula obtained by Spitzer, see theorem 3 given in equation (3.1) of [7], which reads, in our notation,

\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} E \left( e^{-\lambda M_c(n)} \right) s^n = \frac{1}{1 - s} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^s d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda}{k(k - i\lambda)} \frac{\hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau} - 1}{(1 - \tau)(1 - \tau \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau})} \, dk \right). \]  

(C.1)

In equation (C.1), the integral over \( k \) must be understood as

\[ \int_0^s d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda}{k(k - i\lambda)} \frac{\hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau} - 1}{(1 - \tau)(1 - \tau \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau})} \, dk \]

(C.2)

\[ = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_0^s d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda - \epsilon}{(k - i\epsilon)(k - i\lambda)} \frac{\hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau} - 1}{(1 - \tau)(1 - \tau \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau})} \, dk, \]

(C.3)

(see equations (3.4) and below of [7]). Performing the integral over \( \tau \) in equation (C.2) one gets

\[ \int_0^s d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda}{k(k - i\lambda)} \frac{\hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau} - 1}{(1 - \tau)(1 - \tau \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau})} \, dk \]

\[ = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda - \epsilon}{(k - i\epsilon)(k - i\lambda)} \left( \ln(1 - s) - \ln(1 - s \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau}) \right) \, dk \]

\[ = - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda - \epsilon}{(k - i\epsilon)(k - i\lambda)} \ln(1 - s \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\tau}) \, dk, \]

(C.4)

where the last line follows from

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{(k - i\epsilon)(k - i\lambda)} = 0 \]

(C.5)

for \( \epsilon, \lambda > 0 \). Using then

\[ \frac{\lambda - \epsilon}{(k - i\epsilon)(k - i\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\lambda + ik} - \frac{1}{\epsilon + ik} \]

(C.6)
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in equation (C.4), one finds that the formula obtained by Spitzer in (C.1) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}(e^{-\lambda M_\epsilon(n)}) s^n = \frac{1}{1-s} \text{exp}\left(-\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{\lambda + ik} \ln(1-s \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\epsilon})\right) \times \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \text{exp}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dk}{\epsilon + ik} \ln(1-s \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\epsilon})\right),$$

(C.7)

or, in a more compact form, using in (C.7) the expression of $\phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s)$ given in equation (43),

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}(e^{-\lambda M_\epsilon(n)}) s^n = \frac{1}{1-s} \phi^{(c)}(\lambda, s).$$

(C.8)

To show that this formula (C.8), derived from the Spitzer’s result in (C.1), coincides with our result given in equation (55), we need to establish the following identity

$$\phi^{(c)}(0, s)\phi^{(-c)}(0, s) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \phi^{(c)}(\epsilon, s)\phi^{(-c)}(\epsilon, s) = \frac{1}{1-s}.$$  

(C.9)

To this end, we write the product $\phi^{(c)}(\epsilon, s)\phi^{(-c)}(\epsilon, s)$ explicitly by using the expression given in (43), i.e.

$$\phi^{(c)}(\epsilon, s)\phi^{(-c)}(\epsilon, s) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln(1-s \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\epsilon})}{\epsilon + ik} dk - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln(1-s \hat{f}(k)e^{-ik\epsilon})}{\epsilon + ik} dk\right).$$

(C.10)

In the second integral we perform the change of variable $k \to -k$ to obtain

$$\phi^{(c)}(\epsilon, s)\phi^{(-c)}(\epsilon, s) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2 + k^2} \ln(1-s \hat{f}(k)e^{ik\epsilon})\right).$$

(C.11)

It remains to make the change of variable $k = \epsilon q$ on the right-hand side of (C.11) and let $\epsilon \to 0$ to get (using $\hat{f}(0) = 1$)

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \phi^{(c)}(\epsilon, s)\phi^{(-c)}(\epsilon, s) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq \frac{q}{q^2 + 1} \ln(1-s)\right) = \frac{1}{1-s}.$$  

(C.12)

which demonstrates the identity (C.9). Finally, using this identity (C.9) in equation (C.8) establishes that the Spitzer’s formula (C.1) coincides with our result in equation (55).
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