Association between Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme Insertion/Deletion Polymorphism and Prognosis of Kidney Transplantation: A Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

Purpose

Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) is crucial in the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system. ACE insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism is a common genetic variation of this gene and is associated with several disease phenotypes. However, the results of published studies on the influence of this polymorphism on renal transplantation are inconsistent. Therefore, a meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and prognosis of kidney transplantation.

Methods

A meta-analysis was performed based on 21 case–control studies from 12 publications (1497 cases and 2029 controls) and 10 studies with quantitative values from 5 publications (814 patients). Pooled odds ratios (ORs) and weighted mean differences (WMDs) with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to estimate associations.

Results

ACE I/D polymorphism was found to be associated with acute rejection (AR) in genotypes DD+ID versus II (OR = 1.62, 95% CI = 1.14–2.29) and with serum creatinine concentration after renal transplantation in genotypes DD versus ID (WMD = 13.12, 95% CI = 8.09–18.16). Stratified analysis revealed that recipients transplanted within a year had higher serum creatinine concentrations in the DD versus ID model. No significant association was found between hypertension and ACE I/D polymorphism.

Conclusion

ACE I/D polymorphism is associated with AR and allograft function after kidney transplantation.
Introduction

Renal transplantation is considered the best therapeutic approach for patients with end-stage renal disease because it significantly improves the quality of life of patients [1]. Graft function is influenced by various immunologic and non-immunologic factors, such as primary disease, immunosuppressive regimen, metabolic and cardiovascular conditions, episodes of acute rejection (AR) or chronic rejection (CR), and donor and recipient ages [2, 3]. Genetic factors play important roles in allografts by affecting blood pressure regulation, vascular proliferation and inflammatory responses, such as thrombosis, fibrosis or chemotaxis [4–6]. Under the same environment, recipients with different genotypes in a specific gene usually have different outcomes after transplantation. Based on data from the large number of kidney transplantations performed worldwide and data on long-term survival after the operation, researchers have established several associations between specific genotypes and graft outcome.

The renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAS), which regulates homeostasis, vascular tone, blood pressure and salt balance, plays important roles in renal and cardiovascular physiologies [7–10]. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) is the key enzyme that influences RAS activity by converting angiotensin I into vasoactive and aldosterone-stimulating peptide angiotensin II [11]. Poor renal transplant function and low survival of renal allografts are associated with RAS over-activation [5, 12]. Thus, ACE is likely to be an important determinant of prognosis after kidney transplantation.

The gene encoding ACE is located in chromosome 17, and individual patients may exhibit presence (I allele, insertion) or absence (D allele, deletion) of a 287-base pair Alu repeat sequence in intron 16 of this gene [13]. Thus, patients can be of three genotypes with regard to ACE, namely, II, ID and DD. Homozygotes of the D allele express higher levels of ACE compared with the other genotypes [14].

Many studies have investigated the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and renal transplantation, but their results are inconsistent. Considering the decentralised nature of patient data and inconsistent conclusions amongst the reported articles, it has been extremely difficult to assess the validity of the proposed theories of associations. Furthermore, a thorough study of the prognostic aspect of kidney transplantation and ACE I/D polymorphism remains lacking to date. In this study, we performed a meta-analysis to investigate whether ACE allele genotype has any influence on the prognosis of kidney transplantation.

Materials and Methods

Literature Search

To obtain relevant literature, a systematic search was performed using the PubMed and Embase (1966 to March 2014) databases with the following keywords: ('ACE' or 'angiotensin converting enzyme'), ('polymorphism'), ('insertion/deletion', 'deletion/insertion', 'I/D' or 'D/I'), ('transplant' or 'transplantation'), ('allograft') and ('kidney' or 'renal'). Only articles written in English were included in the search. All eligible publications on the association between kidney transplantation and ACE I/D polymorphisms were searched. The references listed in the articles found were used as sources of supplementary information. Fig 1 shows the flow diagram depicting the overall strategy for inclusion of published literature in this study.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All eligible studies on the association between ACE I/D polymorphisms and kidney transplantation were considered for inclusion using the following criteria: (1) studies must be published in English; (2) studies must be a case–control or cohort study of renal transplant recipients;
Fig 1. Flow diagram. Flow chart of search and selection.
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and (3) studies should have provided data on the ACE genotypes II, ID and DD. In particular, detailed numbers of genotypes must have been provided to calculate the odds ratio (OR) or weighted mean difference (WMD) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) studies not evaluating the correlation between ACE I/D polymorphism and kidney transplantation; (2) animal or cellular studies; (3) reviews, comments, meta-analysis or abstracts; (4) studies that lack a control group; and (5) paediatric studies. For studies with overlapping case series, the largest sample sizes were considered.

Data Extraction
Information from the publications that met the above criteria was carefully extracted by two independent investigators (Zhengkai Huang and Bian Wu). A third investigator (Jun Tao) subsequently reviewed the results. Discussions were personally conducted to reach a consensus in case of a disagreement. The following items were extracted from each article: first author’s name, publication year, ethnicity, genotyping method, source of controls, numbers of case and control patients in each genotype, time points, prognostic indicator and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) of genotype distribution. Ethnicity was categorised according to research area. When the data were not directly provided, we calculated the number based on its percentage and total amount presented by the articles. Only information from one replicate randomly selected among repeated measurements was used. In addition, serum creatinine level and blood pressure were converted to identical units of measurement for ease of comparison.

Statistical Analysis
Pooled OR or WMD and the corresponding 95% CIs were calculated to estimate the strength of association between ACE I/D polymorphism and prognosis of kidney transplantation. A random- or fixed-effects model of rejection events, serum creatinine concentrations and hypertension was used in this meta-analysis. ORs were accurately measured for DD versus ID+II, DD+ID versus II, DD versus II, ID versus II and D allele versus I allele. Meanwhile, WMDs were determined for DD versus II, DD versus ID and ID versus II. In addition, subgroup stratification analyses were performed according to ethnicity or time points after transplantation to explore the association of ACE I/D polymorphism with transplantation outcomes.

Q and I² statistic tests were used to evaluate heterogeneity. I² > 50% indicates heterogeneity [15]. When I² > 50%, the random-effects model was used; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was utilised. The allele frequencies of the ACE I/D polymorphism from each included article were determined through allele counting. Furthermore, HWE was examined using Chi-square tests. To evaluate publication bias, Begg’s test and funnel plot were used [16, 17]. In addition, sensitivity analysis of the positive results was performed. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA 10.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results
Study Characteristics
Using the search strategies mentioned above, 83 articles were initially identified. Of these, 40 articles were selected for full-text review and 43 were excluded according to the inclusion/exclusion criteria. A total of 27 of the 40 articles were excluded after full-text review because of paediatric recipients or unavailable information. The total baseline characteristics of the included studies are shown in Tables 1 and 2. All included articles were published from 1997 to 2013. Three studies investigated CR [1, 18, 19], whereas eight studies focused on AR [1, 18–24]. Ten studies from five publications included serum creatinine concentration [1, 19–21, 25].
Ten studies from eight publications considered hypertension [18, 19, 21, 24, 26–29]. The genotype and allele distributions of the included studies about rejections and hypertension are presented in Table 1. Meanwhile, the genotypes and serum creatinine levels of the included studies are shown in Table 2. The results of HWE test for ACE I/D genotype distribution in the control population are also presented in Table 1. Only one of the included studies was not under HWE [23].

### Genotype and Rejection Episodes

In this meta-analysis, a significant association was detected between ACE I/D polymorphism and AR. The genotype model DD+ID versus II (dominant model) showed a significant difference in AR (OR = 1.62, 95% CI = 1.14–2.29, fixed-effects model). However, the other genotypes
did not show any association: DD versus II, OR = 2.11, 95% CI = 0.91–4.00; ID versus II, OR = 1.39, 95% CI = 0.96–2.02; DD versus ID+II (recessive model), OR = 1.52, 95% CI = 0.86–2.70; D allele versus I allele, OR = 1.50, 95% CI = 0.92–2.45 (Table 3, Fig 2). In addition, no significant association between ACE I/D polymorphisms and CR was found amongst the five genetic models. When these rejection episodes were compared, no difference was observed amongst the five genetic models (Table 3). Subgroup analysis revealed high rejection risk among Brazilian population with the D allele genotype, but not among Caucasians (Table 3).

### Genotype and Serum Creatinine

Ten studies measured serum creatinine in the three ACE I/D genotypes after renal transplantation. Regardless of the time points after transplantation, a significant difference in serum creatinine level was found in the DD versus ID model (WMD = 13.12, 95% CI = 8.09–18.16). However, this was not found in the DD versus II and ID versus II models (WMD = 9.18, 95% CI = −3.51–21.87 and WMD = −1.93, 95% CI = −11.75–7.89, respectively; Table 4, Fig 2). Stratified analysis between polymorphisms and time points after transplantation was performed on the above models. A significant difference in the same model was detected only in the group that had undergone transplantation for less than a year (DD versus ID), WMD = 14.81, 95% CI = 7.58–22.05. Furthermore, subgroup analyses revealed the II and DD genotypes to be risk factors among Caucasians and Brazilian population, respectively (Table 4).

### Genotype and Blood Pressure

The results obtained from the meta-analysis of ACE I/D polymorphism and blood pressure are summarised in Table 5. However, the overall and stratified analyses showed no statistical
difference among the five genetic models. The ethnicity and time points after renal transplantation were considered in the stratified analyses.

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis of the positive results was performed to determine the influence of each study on the pooled ORs or WMDs by sequentially removing one study. No significant change was found, indicating that the results were reliable (Fig 3).

Publication Bias

Publication bias was evaluated using Begg’s test and funnel plot. The results of the funnel plot analyses of groups AR and serum creatinine are shown in Fig 4 (group AR: DD+ID versus II, P = 0.521; group serum creatinine: DD versus ID, P = 0.073).

Discussion

Over the last two decades, many studies have investigated the relationship between ACE I/D gene polymorphism and kidney transplantation to determine if gene polymorphisms have any influence over patient survival after transplantation. However, the results of these studies have been inconclusive. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to examine the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and renal transplantation based on clinical data from published studies.

In this study, 21 case–control studies with 1497 cases and 2029 controls were included. An additional ten studies that contained quantitative data on 814 patients were also included. The results provided strong evidence that ACE I/D polymorphism is associated with patient prognosis after kidney transplantation. This association is mainly evidenced in AR episodes and serum creatinine level. However, no objective evidence demonstrated that hypertension after transplantation is associated with ACE I/D polymorphism.

The DD+ID genotypes were risk factors for the occurrence of AR in the overall study population. Compared with patients carrying the II genotype, patients carrying the D allele showed higher risk for AR occurrence despite the lack of statistically significant differences. This result suggests that the D allele is a risk gene for AR. Considering that AR is an independent risk factor for graft loss [30], we can infer that recipients who carry DD+ID genes can incur graft loss in a short time. This phenomenon may be explained by the D allele increasing levels of ACE

Table 3. Summary OR of ACE I/D genotype and rejection of all pooled studies.

| Variables       | n  | DD versus II | ID versus II | DD+ID vs. II (dominant) | DD vs. ID+II (recessive) | D allele vs. I allele |
|-----------------|----|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|                 |    | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI)             | OR (95% CI)              | OR (95% CI)          |
|                 |    |             |             | I² (%)                  | I² (%)                   | I² (%)               |
| Overall         | 11 | 2.04 (0.98–4.24) | 1.33 (0.97–1.83) | 1.59 (0.97–2.61) | 53.3 a | 1.49 (0.99–2.26) | 81.1 a |
| Type            |    |             |             |                         |                         |                      |
| AR              | 8  | 2.11 (0.91–4.00) | 1.39 (0.96–2.02) | 1.62 (1.14–2.29) | 31.6 | 1.50 (0.92–2.45) | 80.3 a |
| CR              | 3  | 1.85 (0.30–11.46) | 1.13 (0.41–3.08) | 1.46 (0.36–5.96) | 79.6 a | 1.46 (0.55–3.90) | 87.9 a |
| Ethnicity       |    |             |             |                         |                         |                      |
| Caucasian       | 8  | 1.08 (0.71–1.63) | 1.12 (0.77–1.62) | 1.12 (0.79–1.58) | 0.0 | 1.02 (0.77–1.35) | 0.0 |
| Brazilian*      | 2  | 8.27 (3.97–17.22) | 2.36 (1.13–4.97) | 4.49 (2.22–9.04) | 0.0 | 4.26 (2.82–6.45) | 0.0 |
| Others          | 1  | /            | /            | /                       | /                       | /                    |

* Random effects estimate
* term Brazilian represented Brazilian population.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127320.t003
A Study

| ID           | OR (95% CI) | Weight |
|--------------|-------------|--------|
| Beige, J. (1997) | 1.70 (0.63, 4.59) | 12.80  |
| Broekroelofs, J. (1998) | 1.27 (0.78, 2.08) | 53.84  |
| Viklicky, O. (2001) | 1.75 (0.29, 10.74) | 3.49   |
| Kabat–Koperska, J. (2005) | 0.70 (0.23, 2.09) | 13.62  |
| Zhang, G. (2007) | 2.22 (0.62, 7.93) | 7.19   |
| Amorim, C. E. (2013) | 4.38 (1.63, 11.82) | 9.05   |
| Overall (I² = 31.6%, p = 0.199) | 1.62 (1.14, 2.29) | 100.00 |

B Study

| ID           | WMD (95% CI) | Weight |
|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Broekroelofs, J. (1998) | 13.00 (−6.96, 32.96) | 6.37   |
| Viklicky, O. (2001) | −22.60 (−51.91, 6.71) | 2.95   |
| Kabat–Koperska, J. (2005) | 0.54 (−24.62, 31.69) | 3.20   |
| Kabat–Koperska, J. (2005) | 7.96 (−15.87, 31.78) | 4.47   |
| Kabat–Koperska, J. (2005) | 0.00 (−29.86, 29.86) | 2.85   |
| Argani, H (2007) | 10.61 (0.27, 20.95) | 23.73  |
| Amorim, C. E. (2013) | 17.68 (−19.78, 55.14) | 1.81   |
| Amorim, C. E. (2013) | 17.68 (3.38, 31.98) | 12.41  |
| Amorim, C. E. (2013) | 17.68 (7.35, 28.02) | 23.75  |
| Amorim, C. E. (2013) | 17.68 (5.96, 29.41) | 18.45  |
| Overall (I² = 0.8%, p = 0.430) | 13.12 (8.09, 18.16) | 100.00 |
with enhanced conversion of angiotensin I to II [20]. Angiotensin II, the major effector of RAS, can participate in immunologically induced inflammation via nuclear factor-kappaB and upregulate TNF-α as well as pro-inflammatory mediators such as IL-6 and MCP-1 [31, 32]. TNF-α plays an important role in allograft AR by inducing the expression of histocompatibility antigens and by affecting the polarization of T cells towards Th1 profile [33]. As a consequence, recipients carrying the D allele have a higher chance of AR. A similar trend was found for CR but the results were not statistically significant, which may be attributed to small sample size. Stratified analysis revealed that Brazilian population have significantly higher risk for rejection among the five genetic models compared with Caucasians. This result indicates that population has significant influence on rejection.

Recipients with the DD genotype had extremely higher serum creatinine concentrations than those with the ID genotype. Furthermore, stratified analysis showed statistically significant differences between DD and ID genotype patients who had undergone transplantation less than a year. The results revealed that short-time graft function had larger contribution to this variance than long-time graft function. Despite the lack of significant difference between the DD versus II and ID versus II models, the DD genotype had higher serum creatinine level than the II and ID genotypes after kidney transplantation. Furthermore, different results were obtained in ethnic subgroup analyses for the three models, probably suggesting that ethnicity is an important influencing factor for allograft function. Several studies have reported that recipients with the DD genotype possess deteriorated renal transplant function or high chronic allograft dysfunction [3, 19, 22]. Fedor et al. [14] suggested that patients carrying the DD genotype are at high risk for developing chronic allograft nephropathy. This result can be attributed to the higher serum ACE concentration or serum ACE activity in patients carrying the DD genotype than in those carrying the other genotypes [14]. The high levels of ACE stimulating angiotensin II conversion [20] can also induce the production of angiotensin II-induced transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β). TGF-β expression may lead to the development of glomerulosclerosis and renal fibrosis [34]. In addition, angiotensin II exerts immunoregulatory

Table 4. Summary WMD of the ACE I/D genotype and serum creatinine level.

| Variables | n   | DD versus II WMD (95% CI) | DD versus ID WMD (95% CI) | ID versus II WMD (95% CI) |
|-----------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Ethnicty  |     |                           |                           |                           |
| Caucasian | 5   | -18.41 (-34.03–-2.80)     | 7.8                       | -22.28 (-35.82–-8.74)    |
| Brazilian*| 4   | 25.11 (12.91–37.30)       | 60.7 a                    | 17.68 (10.98–24.39)      |
| Others    | 1   | /                         | /                         | /                         |

* Random effects estimate
* term Brazilian represented Brazilian population.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127320.t004
effects, including leukocyte adhesion and chemotaxis [35]. These phenomena likely account for the poor graft function observed among DD genotype recipients. This suggests that when high serum creatinine concentrations are observed, early identification of the DD genotype must be achieved to focus on allograft functions of patients.

Cardiovascular complications are major obstacles in increasing survival after renal transplantation [36]. Hypertension, a common cardiovascular complication, can cause allograft dysfunction, organ damage and even death [37]. The level of blood pressure under different genetic models was analysed by comparing the number of patients with hypertension among the study groups. However, no statistically significant difference was found. This result suggested that ACE I/D polymorphism may not have any influence on hypertension among transplant patients.

In a genome-wide association study (GWAS), O’Brien, RP. et al. [38] examined long-term graft survival and allograft function in kidney transplant recipients. They identified two variants showing borderline genome-wide significance. Akcay et al. [22] have reported that ACE I/D polymorphism is also associated with outcome of allograft function after kidney transplantation. Unlike O’Brien’s study, our study focused only on ACE I/D polymorphisms reported in the literature. Besides, in addition to measuring serum creatinine levels, we also examined two other parameters, namely rejection and hypertension. Several limitations of the current study should be noted in interpreting our results. First, original data on the ACE genotypes are lacking. Therefore, existing data were used to analyse the influence of gene polymorphisms. Second, this study was restricted to Caucasian, Asian and Brazilian populations, with Caucasians comprising the majority sub-population. Third, eligible information was extracted from tabular data rather than individual data of every recipient, which may have contributed to inflation of standard errors in the pooled analyses. Lastly, genotype distribution among the controls did not show complete agreement with HWE. However, the only disagreement could be attributed to Chinese subjects included in the study.

In conclusion, ACE I/D polymorphism is associated with AR and allograft function after kidney transplantation. Early identification of ACE I/D genotype can significantly improve the

### Table 5. Summary OR of the ACE I/D genotype and hypertension.

| Variables | n   | DD versus II (dominant) | ID versus II (dominant) | DD+ID vs. II (recessive) | DD vs. ID+II (recessive) | D allele vs. I allele |
|-----------|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|           |     | OR (95% CI)             | OR (95% CI)             | OR (95% CI)              | OR (95% CI)              | OR (95% CI)          |
|           |     | I^2 (%)                 | I^2 (%)                 | I^2 (%)                  | I^2 (%)                  | I^2 (%)              |
| Overall   | 10  | 0.87 (0.59–1.28)        | 1.15 (0.80–1.65)        | 1.03 (0.73–1.45)         | 0.82 (0.63–1.07)         | 0.91 (0.76–1.11)     |
| Ethnictiy |     |                         |                         |                          |                          |                      |
| Caucasian | 9   | 0.91 (0.60–1.38)        | 1.20 (0.82–1.77)        | 1.08 (0.75–1.55)         | 0.83 (0.63–1.10)         | 0.94 (0.76–1.15)     |
| Non-Caucasian | 1 | /                        | /                       | /                        | /                        | /                    |
| Time      |     |                         |                         |                          |                          |                      |
| >3year    | 3   | 0.67 (0.34–1.31)        | 0.92 (0.48–1.75)        | 0.80 (0.44–1.46)         | 0.72 (0.45–1.17)         | 0.81 (0.58–1.12)     |
| <3year    | 5   | 1.61 (0.83–3.12)        | 1.57 (0.88–2.80)        | 1.58 (0.91–2.75)         | 1.06 (0.72–1.56)         | 1.21 (0.89–1.65)     |
| Unknown   | 2   | 0.56 (0.27–1.16)        | 0.95 (0.47–1.91)        | 0.77 (0.40–1.49)         | 0.59 (0.35–1.01)         | 0.72 (0.50–1.04)     |

a Random effects estimate

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127320.t005
Fig 3. **Influential analysis.** (A) Influence of each study in the DD+ID versus II model on summary OR. No significant difference was found. (B) Influence of each study in the DD versus ID model on the summary WMD. No significant difference was found.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127320.g003
**Fig 4. Begg’s funnel plot.** (A) Begg’s funnel plot of association between ACE I/D polymorphism and acute rejection (AR) (DD+ID versus II). $P = 0.521$. (B) Begg’s funnel plot of association between ACE I/D polymorphism and serum creatinine concentration (DD versus ID). $P = 0.073$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127320.g004
outcome of patients. Well-designed and large-scale studies are necessary to further verify the present findings.
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