Hybrid optical–electrical detection of donor electron spins with bound excitons in silicon
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Electrical detection of spins is an essential tool for understanding the dynamics of spins, with applications ranging from optoelectronics1,2 and spintronics3, to quantum information processing4–8. For electron spins bound to donors in silicon, bulk electrically detected magnetic resonance has relied on coupling to spin readout partners such as paramagnetic defects5,5 or conduction electrons6,8, which fundamentally limits spin coherence times. Here we demonstrate electrical detection of donor electron spin resonance in an ensemble by transport through a silicon device, using optically driven donor-bound exciton transitions9,10. We measure electron spin Rabi oscillations, and obtain long electron spin coherence times, limited only by the donor concentration11. We also experimentally address critical issues such as non-resonant excitation, strain, and electric fields, laying the foundations for realizing a single-spin readout method with relaxed magnetic field and temperature requirements compared with spin-dependent tunnelling12,13, enabling donor-based technologies such as quantum sensing.

Shallow donor electron and nuclear spins in silicon have extraordinarily long coherence times9–11, making them attractive candidates for quantum information processing14, quantum memory15, as well as for quantum sensing applications16. In addition, neutral shallow donors can form bound exciton states (D0X) with relatively long lifetimes (~200–300 ns; ref. 17) and correspondingly narrow intrinsic linewidths, enabling optical transitions with both electron and nuclear spin selectivity18. D0X can be formed by a direct photon excitation (a-phonon transition) with energy $E(D0X) \sim 1.15\ eV$, just below the silicon indirect bandgap of $E_g = 1.17\ eV$. The photon excites an electron from the valence band at the neutral donor site, resulting in two indistinguishable electrons and one hole localized in the D0X ground state. The D0X state then relaxes via an Auger recombination process where the excess electron–hole pair recombines and its energy is transferred to the remaining electron, ejecting it from the donor site and leaving behind the positively charged donor ion.

The D0X spin-selective optical transitions are attractive for realizing hybrid optical–electrical ensemble spin detection in silicon as no decoherence-inducing paramagnetic centre close to the dopant is required for spin–charge conversion. In addition, they could enable high-fidelity single-dopant electron spin readout without the requirement of keeping the thermal energy much less than the Zeeman splitting—this is in contrast with spin-dependent tunnelling schemes15, where, for example, ~80% electron spin readout fidelity was achieved at $T \sim 300\ mK$ and $B \sim 1\ T$ (ref. 13). The maximum temperature for spin readout using D0X is limited by its dissociation energy of approximately 5 meV (ref. 19), such that this could be readily implemented at liquid helium temperatures—our experiments were carried out at 4.3 K (see Supplementary Section I for the temperature dependence of the bound exciton spectrum). Spin readout fidelity is instead determined by the optical transition linewidths (approximately 20 meV when lifetime limited) compared to the D0X spin splitting, which is always at least the hyperfine interaction strength ($A = 0.486\ \mu eV$ for phosphorus donors, $31P$). Hence, zero-magnetic-field measurements are possible in principle. These strongly relaxed experimental conditions open the possibility of practical implementation of quantum sensing applications with donor spins16, and enable access to the long donor spin relaxation times observed at low magnetic fields ($B \ll 1\ T$; ref. 11).

The D0X Auger recombination process has recently been used in conjunction with contact-less capacitive schemes in bulk silicon for the detection of nuclear spin coherence times in highly enriched $^{28}Si$ (refs 9,10). We apply this technique for electrical detection of D0X spectroscopy via direct transport measurements through devices built on epitaxially grown $^{28}Si$ doped with $^{31}P$ at $10^{15}\ cm^{-3}$ (Fig. 1). The $^{31}P$ epitaxial layer has a built-in biaxial strain due to its lattice mismatch with the undoped substrate of natural isotope abundance20. The presence of strain modifies the local bandgap surrounding the donors by lifting the degeneracy of the valence and conduction band edges, and consequently shifts the donor binding energies through valley repopulation21. The states undergo further Zeeman splitting in an applied magnetic field, leading to six pairs of dipole-allowed transitions ($\Delta m = 0, \pm 1$), which we observe by monitoring the current through the device as the laser wavelength is swept. Owing to the strain distribution in the epitaxial material and local strains induced by the electrical contacts, we do not resolve the hyperfine splitting of the $^{31}P$ donors in this silicon device. By mapping out the magnetic field dependence of the D0X spectrum we obtain a complete picture of the valence band light hole (LH), heavy hole (HH) and Zeeman splittings, where the measured zero-field splitting $\Delta E_{vb} = 19\ \mu eV$ is due to the $\epsilon_{1LH} = +2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ (tensile) biaxial strain-induced LH–HH splitting. Assuming an isotropic g-factor of $g_d = 1.9985$ for the $^{31}P$ donor electrons22, we find the D0X hole-state g-factors to be $g_{LH} = 0.86$ and $g_{HH} = 1.33$ in this magnetic field orientation ($B \parallel (100)$), in good agreement with earlier measurements (see Supplementary Section II). Our device geometry allows us to study the effect of the LH–HH splitting as a function of electric field (Fig. 1e), yielding a linear Stark shift parameter of $2\psi_s = 33 \pm 7\ \mu eV (V/\mu m)^{-1}$, or $\psi_s = 0.8\ \mu m$, similar to...
acceptor states in silicon\textsuperscript{15}. Carrier injection from the electrical contacts prohibits measurements at larger electric fields. To demonstrate electrical detection of electron spin resonance using D\textsuperscript{28}X, we set the magnetic field to $B \sim 0.35$ T and tune our laser on resonance with transitions 5–6, as shown in Fig. 1d. This optical excitation drives the spin-selective ionization of spin-up electrons, after some time ($\sim 100$ ms) leaving the donor electrons hyperpolarized into the spin-down state\textsuperscript{15}. The laser excitation is turned off to allow coherent control of the donor spins via applied microwave pulses, and then turned on again for readout. Figure 2a shows the measured current transients with, and without, a microwave $\pi$ pulse applied, illustrating how the difference in the

---

**Figure 1** | Electrically detected D\textsuperscript{28}X spectroscopy in a silicon device. a, Schematic of the $^{31}$P-doped $^{28}$Si epitaxial layer (with in-plane strain $\epsilon_{||}$) on an undoped natural Si substrate. b, Energy shifts of the conduction band valleys (X, Y and Z), donor ($E_2$), and light hole (LH) and heavy hole (HH) valence bands due to $\epsilon_{||}$ and magnetic field $B$. c, Allowed transitions for D\textsuperscript{28}X formation at $B = 0.35$ T (labelled according to convention; ref. 9) and the measured spectrum. d, $B$ dependence of the spectrum, with dashed lines showing fits based on the extracted $g$-factors and $\epsilon_{||}$. The green arrow indicates the optical transition used in subsequent measurements. e, Electric field dependence of D\textsuperscript{28}X spectrum at $B = 0$ T shows the Stark shifts of the LH and HH bands. Dashed lines are linear fits to the LH and HH peak positions.

**Figure 2** | Electrically detected spin resonance using D\textsuperscript{28}X. a, The initial laser pulse hyperpolarizes the electron spins into the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state, and is followed by a microwave pulse of duration $t_{\text{mw}}$, corresponding to some rotation $\theta$ (at $0$, purple trace, or $\theta = \pi$, red trace). The current transient during the ‘readout’ laser pulse is used to measure the electron spin population in the $|\uparrow\rangle$ state. b, Magnetic field sweep with a fixed $t_{\text{mw}} = 100$ ns microwave pulse, where the $^{31}$P ESR transition with $m_\ell = 1/2$ is detected. c-e, Coherent control and electrical detection of the donor state demonstrated by Rabi oscillations (the microwave power attenuations are as indicated and the traces are offset for clarity) (c), Hahn echo signal for $\tau = 20$ $\mu$s (d), and $T_2$ measurement (e). Dashed lines are fits to the experimental data.
integrated signals between the two is a measure of the donor spin z-projection. With a microwave pulse of fixed duration $t_{mw} = 100 \text{ ns}$, we first perform a magnetic field sweep to confirm that the laser-induced current transients arise from the $^{31}$P donors (Fig. 2b). The observed ESR linewidth is $\sim 200 \mu\text{T}$ (or about 0.6 MHz, owing to the microwave pulse bandwidth), which means that, even though the hyperfine splitting (117 MHz) is not optically resolved in our device, it can be readily resolved by ESR and this technique can be extended to perform electrical detection of electron–nuclear spin double resonance. Next, with the magnetic field fixed at the $m_s = -1/2$ resonance transition, we measure electron spin Rabi oscillations by varying the duration of the microwave pulse (Fig. 2c), demonstrating coherent manipulation and electrical detection of the donor spin states and yielding an ensemble dephasing time of $T_\phi^* = 2 \mu s$ caused by the strain distribution in the sample.

We measure the electron spin coherence time by implementing a two-pulse Hahn echo sequence with an additional ‘readout’ π/2 pulse to project the coherence into the population of the spin eigenstate. An example Hahn echo is shown in Fig. 2d and the echo decay is plotted in Fig. 2c. The fluctuations in signal amplitude after 1 ms are due to the presence of instrumental magnetic field noise so that only the period $2 \tau_s \leq 1 \mu s$ was fitted to extract the spin coherence time. The measured value of $T_\phi^* = 1.5 \text{ ms}$ is in good agreement with bulk spin resonance measurements for samples under similar dopant concentrations, isotopic purity, temperature and magnetic field. This reflects an inherent advantage of using spin-selective optical transitions for electrical readout, as the donor spin coherence is no longer inherently limited by nearby sources of decoherence, where, for instance, $T_\phi^* \sim 1 \mu s$ for spin-dependent recombination with interface paramagnetic defects.

To apply this approach in single-donor qubit and quantum sensing applications, two crucial factors impacting electron spin readout fidelity are the ability to detect the resonantly formed ionized donor state (for example, using a charge sensor for single donors), and avoid false readings arising from non-resonant ionization events. The former is unlikely to be limiting, as bound excitons can be resonantly generated at a much faster rate ($\sim 85 \text{ MHz}$ in our experimental set-up, see Supplementary Section III) than typical spin relaxation times ($T_1 \sim 100 \text{ s}$; ref. 1). Once ionized, the dopant neutralization time is of the order of 10 ms (depending strongly on the abundance of free carriers in the vicinity of the dopant), which is ample for charge state detection. On the other hand, non-resonant ionization of the donor state can be caused by off-resonance photons directly ionizing neutral donors, or creating free excitons which subsequently recombine at neutral donor sites (we note, however, the energy required for free exciton formation is approximately 5 meV higher than resonant D$^0$X transitions in bulk silicon). As no spin resonance signal can be observed with electrical detection when the D$^0$X laser is tuned off resonance, we examine the role of non-resonant irradiation in more detail below by observing its effect on bulk electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements.

We first show the effect of the D$^0$X laser (tuned to the 5–6 transition as before) on the ESR signal, as observed in an echo-detected field sweep (Fig. 3a). The echo signal amplitude is greatly enhanced owing to hyperpolarization of the electron spins (see Supplementary Section IV), and the dynamics of this process can be seen through the change in echo intensity at different times during the laser pulsing sequence, as shown in Fig. 3b. When the laser is detuned from resonance by 3 μeV, the echo intensity remains constant and identical to the thermal equilibrium measurement. This demonstrates that off-resonant ionization is negligible in dilutely doped substrates, as both direct ionization and free exciton–donor recombination would diminish the echo intensity. Nevertheless, if non-resonant ionization is found to affect readout fidelity to some degree, the donor nuclear spin could be used as an ancilla for performing repetitive measurements.

Below we address further considerations for extending these results to realize practical single-donor devices using neutral donor-bound excitons, in particular the effects of strain and electric fields in nanodevices. As observed in our silicon device, the D$^0$X transition energies are extremely sensitive to the presence of local strains. Figure 4a shows the calculated change in D$^0$X transition energies, $\Delta E[D^0X]$, for $^{31}$P donors at zero magnetic field for uniaxial stresses up to 200 MPa ($\sim 10^{-3}$ strain; see Supplementary Section V, which are not uncommon in silicon nanodevices). The calculated $\Delta E[D^0X]$ for a conventional donor device architecture due to thermal expansion coefficient mismatching of the silicon substrate, gate dielectric and aluminium gate electrodes is illustrated in
Fig. 4b. The uncertainty in dopant positioning in the device can cause a large detuning of the transition energies, which are orders of magnitude greater than the spin splitting. Although the transition energies can always be calibrated for individual single-donor devices, a large inhomogeneous strain distribution in multi-donor device architectures would make spin detection by D\textsuperscript{X} impractical. We note that this sensitivity to strain is more severe for D\textsuperscript{X} than for optical transitions of erbium ions in silicon\textsuperscript{27}, where only core shell electronic levels are involved.

The electric fields present in silicon nanodevices will also shift the D\textsuperscript{X} energy, as we have already shown above through the Stark splitting of the hole states. However, if the field is particularly strong, it is expected to further reduce the bound exciton lifetime in an analogous process to field ionization of donors, but taking into account the much lower binding D\textsuperscript{X} energy of 5 meV. Therefore, both strain and electric fields in realistic single-dopant devices must be carefully considered and controlled for the successful implementation of D\textsuperscript{X}-based spin readout.

A hybrid optical–electrical single-donor detection scheme using donor-bond excitons coupled to a quantum point contact has been previously proposed\textsuperscript{28}; however, the uncertainty in local strain and relatively large electric fields present in metal–oxide–semiconductor-based architectures will make measuring bound excitons difficult. Although D\textsuperscript{X} detection can conversely be used as an extremely sensitive probe to quantify strain and electric fields of silicon nanostructures and devices on the atomistic scale, reducing these perturbative field distributions will be crucial for implementing hybrid optical–electrical detection for large arrays of qubit devices on a single chip. Therefore, an optimally designed readout device should have both the strain and electric fields carefully controlled (and minimized) in the vicinity of the dopant. For example, epitaxially grown\textsuperscript{29} or nanowire single-electron transistors operating at liquid helium temperatures can be used as the charge detector, and in this hybrid spin detection scheme the need for dilution refrigerators can be completely alleviated, opening the door to the exploitation of ultra-long coherence donor spins for practical quantum technological applications.

Methods

Samples and preparation. The electrical detection of D\textsuperscript{X} spectroscopy and spin resonance was performed on a \textsuperscript{13}P-doped (10\textsuperscript{18}cm\textsuperscript{-3}) 25 µm thick Si (99.9%) layer, epitaxially grown on a nominally undoped natural silicon substrate. Electron beam lithography was used to pattern electrodes 20 µm wide and 700 µm in length, and with a 100 µm gap. A total of \textasciitilde10\textsuperscript{9} donors are probed in the electrical detection given the device geometry. 30 nm of aluminium was deposited on the Si (99.9%) layer, and a 2 nm bandpass filter was used into the cryostat window and resonator. A 2 nm bandpass filter centred at around 1,078 nm was used to suppress any spurious outputs from the fibre laser. For the electrical readout of spin resonance experiments, an above-bandgap laser at 1,047 nm was set to approximately 1 mW mm\textsuperscript{-2}, and synchronized with the D\textsuperscript{X} laser to facilitate donor neutralization and reduction of electrical current drift. For the experiments with direct ESR detection of bulk-doped silicon, the above-bandgap laser was set to approximately 50 mW mm\textsuperscript{-2}, and was used only at the beginning of each measurement cycle to reset the spin polarization to thermal equilibrium (and not used for the remainder of the measurement sequence). The laser spot sizes were approximately 2 mm.
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