Elucidating the magnetic and superconducting phases in the alkali metal intercalated iron chalcogenides
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The complex interdigitated phases have greatly frustrated attempts to document the basic features of the superconductivity in the alkali metal intercalated iron chalcogenides. Here, using elastic neutron scattering, energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy, and resistivity measurements, we elucidate the relations of these phases in Rb, Fe, Se$_2$–S. We find (i) the iron content is crucial in stabilizing the stripe antiferromagnetic (AF) phase with rhombic iron vacancy order ($y \approx 1.5$), the block AF phase with $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order ($y \approx 1.6$), and the iron vacancy-free phase ($y \approx 2$); and (ii) the iron vacancy-free superconducting phase ($z = 0$) evolves into an iron vacancy-free metallic phase with sulfur substitution ($z > 1.5$) due to the progressive decrease of the electronic correlation strength. Both the stripe AF phase and the block AF phase are Mott insulators. The iron-rich compounds ($y > 1.6$) undergo a first order transition from an iron vacancy disordered phase at high temperatures into the $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy ordered phase and the iron vacancy-free phase below $T_c$. Our data demonstrate that there are miscibility gaps between these three phases. The existence of the miscibility gaps in the iron content is a key to understanding the relationship between these complicated phases.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of superconductivity in the iron chalcogenides has generated a great deal of interest. The simplest PbO-type bulk FeSe superconductors below 8 K and 36.7 K under ambient and 8.9 GPa pressure, respectively, whereas single-layer FeSe films on a SrTiO$_3$ substrate have been reported to have superconducting (SC) transition temperatures ($T_c$) as high as 109 K [1–3]. Intercalation of alkali metals ($A = Li, Na, K, Rb, and Cs$) or a molecular spacer layer could enhance the $T_c$ in bulk materials up to 46 K [4–7]. Most of the iron-based superconductors in bulk materials have been shown to be in the vicinity of an antiferromagnetically ordered parent compound. Furthermore, it has been found that the antiferromagnetic (AF) parent compounds could be progressively tuned to superconductors via carrier doping, isovalent doping, or pressure [8,9]. Consequently, the AF ground state is viewed as one universal characteristic of the parent compounds in the iron pnictides and cuprates superconductors.

In the alkali metal intercalated iron chalcogenide SC system, $A_xFe_ySe_2$, a block AF order with large moments of 3.3$\mu_B$/Fe, where $\mu_B$ is a Bohr magneton, and $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order as presented in Fig. 1 ($x \approx 0.8, y \approx 1.6$, referred to as the 245 phase) always seems to be mesoscopically interdigitated with the SC phase that has been suggested to be an iron vacancy-free phase in the studies to date [10–23]. The relationships between the two phases are still under debate. In addition, a stripe AF order that has the same magnetic structure as that in the iron pnictide parent materials albeit with additional rhombic iron vacancy order ($x \approx 1.0, y \approx 1.5$, referred to as the 234 phase) and a phase with one Fe vacancy in eight Fe atoms ($x \approx 0.5, y \approx 1.75$, referred to as the 278 phase) have also been proposed as possible parent compounds [24,25]. The complex mixture of phases is due to the difficulty in controlling the stoichiometry and separating the effect of many factors including the iron content, carrier doping, and isovalent substitution on the formation of the various phases and the $T_c$’s [26–29]. Thus, it is crucial to identify the true parameters that tune between these phases including those responsible for inducing superconductivity, and then to compare these parameters between the iron pnictides and cuprates to elucidate the general relationship between magnetism and superconductivity.

For these purposes, we have synthesized different compositions of $Rb_xFe_ySe_2–S_z$, single crystals to study separately the effects of varying the Fe content and the Se:S ratio on the magnetic and superconducting phases. First, we show that the 234 phase occurs at $y \approx 1.5$ and the 245 phase at $y \approx 1.6$ with a miscibility gap between them, regardless of the value of $S$ concentration $z$. By varying $y$ in the Fe content range $1.5 \leq y \leq 1.6$, both the 234 and 245 phases coexist, and only the relative volume fractions of the two phases change as opposed to the characteristic structure of each phase. This necessitates that there is a miscibility gap between the two phases existing at $y \approx 1.5$ and 1.6.

For higher Fe content $y > 1.6$, while the 245 phase remains, the 234 phase is absent and an Fe vacancy-free phase ($y \approx 2$, referred to as the x22 phase) emerges. The relationship between the 245 phase and the x22 phase is exemplified by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy, and resistivity measurements, we elucidate the relationships between the two phases existing at $y \approx 1.5$ and 1.6.

For higher Fe content $y > 1.6$, while the 245 phase remains, the 234 phase is absent and an Fe vacancy-free phase ($y \approx 2$, referred to as the x22 phase) emerges. The relationship between the 245 phase and the x22 phase is exemplified by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy, and resistivity measurements, we elucidate the relationships between the two phases existing at $y \approx 1.5$ and 1.6.

For higher Fe content $y > 1.6$, while the 245 phase remains, the 234 phase is absent and an Fe vacancy-free phase ($y \approx 2$, referred to as the x22 phase) emerges. The relationship between the 245 phase and the x22 phase is exemplified by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy, and resistivity measurements, we elucidate the relationships between the two phases existing at $y \approx 1.5$ and 1.6.

For higher Fe content $y > 1.6$, while the 245 phase remains, the 234 phase is absent and an Fe vacancy-free phase ($y \approx 2$, referred to as the x22 phase) emerges. The relationship between the 245 phase and the x22 phase is exemplified by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy, and resistivity measurements, we elucidate the relationships between the two phases existing at $y \approx 1.5$ and 1.6.
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The filled and open circles mark the wave vectors of reflection peaks associated with the 234 phase and (e) the 245 phase in the reciprocal space corresponding to the tetragonal unit cell that is used throughout the paper. The light-gray squares in (d) show the wave vectors of a new set of peaks observed in Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$.

In addition, we show that for high Fe content, the Se:S ratio tunes the vacancy-free x22 phase from a metallic phase to a superconducting phase. Hence we have arrived at a phase diagram where the Fe content is identified as the key parameter in tuning between and stabilizing the insulating and metallic phases, while the Se:S ratio is important for inducing superconductivity from the nonmagnetic metallic phase of Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the experimental techniques and samples that have been used in this paper. Section III presents all the experimental results, with Sec. III A the neutron diffraction data on nominal compositions of Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$, Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$SeS, and Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$ single crystals, and Sec. III B the resistivity measurements on a series of nominal compositions of Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{2-\gamma}$Se$_{2+\gamma}$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ samples. In Sec. IV, we present the phase diagrams resulting from our measurements and discuss the relationships of the various magnetic and superconducting phases found in Rb$_x$Fe$_y$Se$_z$. Section V briefly summarizes the major conclusions of this paper and presents a comparison with the iron pnictide superconductors.

II. EXPERIMENTS

We carried out the neutron scattering experiments on the HB-1A triple axis spectrometer at the High-Flux Isotope Reactor, Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Details about the single crystal growth and configurations of neutron scattering experiments have been described elsewhere [30]. The samples used for neutron scattering experiments are 0.5, 0.7, and 0.3 g for Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$, Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$SeS, and Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$, respectively. The resistivity measurements using the standard four-probe method with a physical property measurement system (PPMS) from Quantum Design, Inc. have been performed on single crystals with a typical size of 0.5 $\times$ 2 $\times$ 4 mm$^3$. The electron beam for the energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was fixed at 20.0 keV. The errors of the compositions determined by EDX can be up to 8%, which could be ascribed to the inhomogeneous distribution of two coexisting phases.

| TABLE I. The nominal and actual compositions and phases of samples we have measured in the Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$ system. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nominal composition | Actual composition | Phases | Ref. |
| Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$S$_2$ | Rb$_{0.66}$Fe$_{1.5}$S$_2$ & Rb$_{0.78}$Fe$_{1.5}$S$_2$ & 234 & [30] |
| Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$ | Rb$_{0.53}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$ & Rb$_{0.75}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$ & 234, 245 & [32] |
| Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$SeS | Rb$_{0.55}$Fe$_{1.5}$SeS & Rb$_{0.75}$Fe$_{1.5}$SeS & 234, 245 & This work |
| Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$ | Rb$_{0.75}$Fe$_{1.5}$S$_2$ & 245, x22 & [31] |
| Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ | Rb$_{0.75}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$ & 245, x22 & [31] |
| Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.6}$Se$_2$ | Rb$_{0.75}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$ & 245, x22 & [31] |

aRefined by neutron powder diffraction.

bRefined by neutron single crystal diffraction.

cDetermined by EDX.

dDetermined by inductively coupled plasma (ICP) atomic emission spectroscopy.

III. RESULTS

A. Neutron diffraction measurements

1. Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$

We first present neutron diffraction data in Fig. 2 for the insulating compound with nominal composition of Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$, in which both the 234 phase and the 245 phase coexist [24, 30]. We plot the peak intensities at wave vectors associated with the 234 phase as a function of temperature in Fig. 2(a) and color maps in Figs. 2(b)–2(g). The results demonstrate that the 234 phase exists in this compound with a Néel temperature of $T_N = 220$ K [Figs. 2(a)–2(e)]. The rhombic iron vacancy order of the 234 phase exists at all the temperatures we measured [30], as signals in Fig. 2(g). In addition, we find another set of peaks at $\theta = 5$ and 2, 0 $\leq z \leq 2$, in the $[H, H, L]$ plane, where $L$ = odd. The peak heights at $H = 3/8$, 5/8, and 7/8 in the $[H, H, 1]$ scattering plane follow the trend of $|S_\perp F(Q)|^2$, where $S_\perp$ is the component of the in-plane ordered moments perpendicular to the momentum transfer $Q$ and $F(Q)$ is the magnetic form factor.
factor of Fe\(^{2+}\), demonstrating that the peaks are magnetic. The temperature dependence of the peak intensity at \(Q = (3/8,3/8,1)\) shows a transition at \(T^{234}_N = 220\) K, suggesting that the new set of peaks has the same magnetic origin as the stripe AF order. One possible explanation is that the 234 phase exhibits a super iron vacancy order in addition to the rhombic iron vacancy order. The residual intensities at \(Q = (0.5,0.5,1)\) in Fig. 2(b) above 220 K and the transitionlike behavior in the temperatures above 400 K [13,31,33]. The finite \(\xi\) and the width of the two phases with \(a = b = 3.991\) Å and \(c = 14.017\) Å in the tetragonal notation optimized at 60 K. The “mcu” in units of intensity is a defined monitor count unit, which we defined as 1 mcu \(\approx 1\) s.

Figures 2(a), 2(h), and 2(i) demonstrate that the 245 phase with simultaneous magnetic and iron vacancy order-disorder transitions at \(T_{245,N} = 400\) K also coexists in this composition.

Kinks are clearly observed in the intensities of the peaks associated with the 234 phase at the transition temperature \(T_{245,N} = 400\) K of the 245 phase, as shown in Figs. 2(f)–2(i), demonstrating the mobility of the iron vacancies between the two phases. It is possible that the free iron ions released from the iron vacancy disordered 245 phase at temperatures above \(T_{245,N} = 400\) K occupy the vacant sites that form the super iron vacancy order. As a result, the peak intensities at \(Q = (0.5,0.5,3)\) decrease at temperatures above \(T_s = 400\) K, and conversely, the peak at \(Q = (0.5,0.5,2)\) that represents the rhombic iron vacancy order is enhanced, as seen in Figs. 2(a), 2(f), and 2(g). The weak Bragg peaks of the 245 phase presented in Fig. 2(a) indicate that the 245 phase has a small volume fraction, consistent with the low iron content revealed by EDX and the existence of a super iron vacancy order in addition to the rhombic iron vacancy order.

We calculate the spin correlation length \(\xi\) by the Fourier transform from reciprocal space to real space, resulting in \(\xi = 8\ln 2/q_{FWHM}\). The \(q_{FWHM}\) is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the peak in units of Å\(^{-1}\). The peak in Fig. 2(e) is fitted as a Gaussian function \(I = I_0 \exp[-(H - H_0)^2/(2\sigma^2)]\). The FWHM of the observed peak \(H_{\text{obs}} = 2\sqrt{2}\ln2\sigma = \sqrt{H_{\text{res}}^2 + H_{\text{int}}^2}\), where \(H_{\text{res}}\) is the instrumental resolution and \(H_{\text{int}}\) is the intrinsic broadening of the spin-spin correlation to the FWHM of the reflection peak [34]. For scans along the \([H,H]\) direction, the \(q_{FWHM} = 2\pi \sqrt{4a/H_{\text{int}}/a^2 + (K_{\text{int}}/b)^2 = 2\sqrt{2}\pi H_{\text{int}}/a}\). We have \(\xi_{234} = [2\sqrt{2}\ln2/(\pi\sigma)\sigma/H_{\text{int}} = 150 \pm 5\) Å. A similar calculation based on the scan along the \([H,H,2.1]\) direction at \(Q = (1.2,1.4,1)\) (not shown) yields a spin correlation length of the 245 phase \(\xi_{245} = 93 \pm 9\) Å. The finite spin correlation lengths suggest that the 234 phase and the 245 phase are separated on a mesoscopic scale in Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.5}\)Se\(_2\).

### 2. Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.5}\)Se\(_2\)

Neutron diffraction data of a single crystal with nominal composition Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.5}\)Se\(_2\) are presented in Fig. 3. The data...
FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of neutron diffraction peaks in insulating Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.8}$Se$_2$. (a) $\theta$-2$\theta$ scans of the magnetic peak at $Q = (0.5,0.5,3)$ and (b) the rhombic iron vacancy ordered peak at $Q = (0.5,0.5,2)$. The white dashed lines at $T = 210$ K in (a) and (b) mark the Néel temperature of the 234 phase. (c) $\theta$-2$\theta$ scans of the magnetic peak at $Q = (1.2,1.4,1)$ and (d) the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ iron vacancy ordered peak at $Q = (1.2,1.6,0)$ of the 245 phase. The gray area in (c) blocks a spurious peak of Al. (e) Scans through the magnetic peaks associated with the 245 phase in Figs.4(b), (f) the magnetic peak associated with the 245 phase at $Q = (1.2,1.4,1)$ at 60 and 470 K along the $[H,H+0.2,1]$ direction, respectively. The lattice constants are chosen as the average of the two phases with $a = b = 3.846$ Å and $c = 14.188$ Å in the tetragonal notation. (g) Order parameters of the magnetic (red squares) and rhombic iron vacancy order (brown triangles) of the 234 phase, and the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ iron vacancy order (light-green diamonds) and the block AF order (dark-green circles) of the 245 phase, respectively. The intensities in (g) are obtained by fitting the heights of the peaks in (a)–(d).

confirm the existence of the 234 phase with a Néel temperature of $T_N = 210$ K and the 245 phase with separated phase transition temperatures of $T_N = 450$ K and $T_N = 540$ K. The scans through the magnetic peaks of the 234 phase at $Q = (0.5,0.5,L = 1$ and 3) in Fig. 3(e) yield a spin correlation length of $\xi_{234} = 130 \pm 7$ Å for $L = 1$, and $\xi_{234} = 115 \pm 5$ Å for $L = 3$ at 60 K. An estimation based on the scan at $Q = (1.2,1.4,1)$ as shown in Fig. 3(f) reveals a spin correlation length $\xi_{245} = 166 \pm 8$ Å for the 245 phase at 60 K. The order parameters obtained from Figs. 3(a)–3(d) are presented in Fig. 3(g). These data suggest that the volume fractions of the two phases are comparable. In contrast to the measurements in Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$, we did not observe any reflection peaks indicative of the existence of a super iron vacancy order. The 234 and 245 phases are each found in Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$Se$_2$, Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$SeS, and the previously studied Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.5}$S$_2$ (Ref. [30]). This implies that the Se:S ratio does not affect the formation of the iron vacancy ordered phases.

3. Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$

To elucidate the role of the iron content, we carried out additional neutron diffraction measurements on the crystal with nominal composition of Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$. The diffraction data are presented in Fig. 4. Scans at $Q = (1,1,0)$ show two well-separated peaks below 554 K [Fig. 4(a)]. Measurements at the wave vectors associated with the 245 phase in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c) confirm the presence of the 245 phase with a Néel temperature of $T_N = 470$ K and a structural transition temperature of $T_s = 554$ K. Using the set of parameters $a = b = 3.782$ Å and $c = 14.029$ Å the magnetic peak of the 245 phase at $Q = (0.2,0.4,1)$ is well centered at $H = 0.2$ along the $[H,H+0.2,1]$ direction at 180 K, as shown in Fig. 4(d). Thus, we identify the left peak in Fig. 4(a) centered at $Q = (1,1,0)$ as associated with the 245 phase. The 245 phase as a tetragonal structure should not result in an extra peak at $Q = (1,1,0)$ [10]. From the metallic behavior exhibited in transport and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements on the same compound [35], we identify that the right peak at $Q = (1,1,0)$ belongs to a metallic phase with the shorter in-plane lattice constant of $a = b = 3.705$ Å at 250 K. Within the instrumental resolution, we could not distinguish the difference in the lattice constants along the $c$ axis.

The phase with shorter in-plane lattice constant is attributed to one with fewer iron vacancies. The significantly shortened lattice of the metallic phase suggests that it is likely an iron vacancy-free phase. The metallic phase is approximately 67% in volume as estimated from the intensities of the peaks at $Q = (1,1,0)$. The volume fraction ratio of the two phases and the averaged composition of Rb$_{0.75}$Fe$_{1.85}$S$_2$ also implies that the 245 phase stabilizes at $y \approx 1.6$ and the metallic phase is iron vacancy-free with $y \approx 2$. The two phases merge with...
increasing temperature into a single iron vacancy disordered phase at temperatures just above \( T_s = 554 \) K, as shown in Figs. 4(a), 4(b), and 4(e). The \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) Rb vacancy order that has been observed in superconducting Rb\(_{0.75}\)Fe\(_{1.63}\)Se\(_2\) (Ref. [31]) is not observed in Rb\(_{0.75}\)Fe\(_{1.85}\)Se\(_2\). We searched but did not find any evidence for the existence of the 234 phase in this compound.

### B. Resistivity measurements

#### 1. Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.5}\)Se\(_{2−z}\)S\(_z\), \( 0 \leq z \leq 2 \)

The results of measurements of the resistivity on samples with nominal compositions of Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.5}\)Se\(_{2−z}\)S\(_z\), \( z = 0, 1, 2 \), are presented in Fig. 5(a). All of the resistivity curves turn up at low temperatures, indicating insulating behaviors. In the inset, we show the results of fits of the resistivity data to the form \( \rho = \rho_0 \exp(E_a/k_B T) \), where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( E_a \) is the thermal activation gap [36], resulting in \( E_a = 89.5 \pm 0.5 \), \( 66.1 \pm 0.4 \), and \( 41.1 \pm 0.2 \) meV for \( z = 0, 1 \), and 2, respectively. Consistent with previous measurements, the thermal activation gaps [24,30,36] are much smaller than the band gaps that are measured directly by ARPES for both the 234 phase and the 245 phase [12,32], which could be due to the pressure effect on the internal interfaces or alternatively the existence of a small undetectable quasiparticle spectral weight near the Fermi energy. A phase diagram with the transition temperatures of the two phases obtained from neutron diffraction experiments is given in Fig. 5(b).

#### 2. Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{2}\)Se\(_{2−z}\)S\(_z\), \( 0 \leq z \leq 2 \)

In Fig. 5(c), we show the results of resistivity measurements on various nominal compositions of Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{2}\)Se\(_{2−z}\)S\(_z\) single crystals below 300 K. The \( T_s \)’s are gradually suppressed from 32 K (\( z = 0 \)) [37] to 20 K (\( z = 1 \)) to 9 K (\( z = 1.25 \)) and 0 K (\( z = 1.5 \)) by sulfur substitution. Humps in the resistivity (\( T_{\text{hump}} \)) appear between 75 and 150 K. The compounds are semiconducting for \( T > T_{\text{hump}} \), and become superconducting or metallic for \( T < T_{\text{hump}} \). The pure Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_2\)S\(_2\) crystal is clearly metallic from the resistivity curve in Fig. 5(c) and the band structure measurements by ARPES [35], in contrast to a previous report showing a semiconducting behavior [38]; the latter could be caused by iron content deviations. The metallic characteristic in Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_2\)S\(_2\) is consistent with the observation of the iron vacancy-free phase in neutron diffraction measurements, as shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(e). These observations result in a phase diagram as shown in Fig. 5(d), suggesting that the metallic phase in Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_2\)S\(_2\) is continuously connected to the SC phase in Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_2\)Se\(_2\) as S is progressively replaced by Se. The commonly observed 245 phase exists in all the nominal compositions of Rb\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_2\)Se\(_{2−z}\)S\(_z\) as a mesoscopically...
FIG. 5. (a) In-plane resistivity measurements of insulating Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.35}$S$_2$, with $z = 0$, 1, and 2. The inset shows resistivity in logarithmic scale plotted against 1000/$T$, along with fits of $\rho = \rho_0 \exp(E_a/k_BT)$. (b) A phase diagram of insulating Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.35}$S$_2$, as a function of sulfur doping. The solid lines mark the Néel temperatures of the 234 phase (blue), the 245 phase (red), and the $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order-disorder transition (black). (c) Resistivity measurements for Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.35}$S$_2$, with $z = 0$, 1, 1.25, 1.5, and 2. (d) A phase diagram of Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.35}$S$_2$, as a function of sulfur doping. The $T_s$'s and $T_{wump}$'s are extracted from (c). The color in (b) and (d) indicates the magnitude of resistivity.

separated phase. Note that the Fe content is in fact less than two, which means that all of the samples are in the two-phase coexistence region [11–23].

IV. DISCUSSION

A. The effect of iron content

For lower Fe content, $y < 1.6$, our results demonstrate that the rhombic iron vacancy ordered phase is energetically stable for compositions with iron content $y \leq 1.5$. The associated stripe AF order forms at temperatures below the Néel temperature of the 234 phase. The pure stripe phase is achieved in samples with a refined composition of Rb$_0.78$Fe$_{1.35}$S$_2$ (Ref. [32]). In the regime $1.5 < y < 1.6$, both the rhombic iron vacancy order and the $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order coexist mesoscopically, while only the ratio of the volume fraction of the two phases varies [30], suggesting the existence of a miscibility gap. The two phases are both characterized as Mott insulators with large gaps at the Fermi level and localized electrons, promoted by the iron vacancies, as well as a high spin configuration, $S = 2$ [12,39–41]. For higher Fe content, $y > 1.6$, exemplified by the first order structural transition in nominal composition of Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$ at $T_s = 554$ K, and the distinct Fe-Fe bond distances [Fig. 6(a)], as well as the iron contents in the 245 phase and the metallic phase imply the existence of another miscibility gap in $y$ between the two phases at temperatures below $T_s$. Adjusting the additional iron in the starting mixtures does not extend the miscibility gap [42]. The temperature dependencies of the phase transitions in Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$ are in good agreement with those of the SC phase in $A_1$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ [22,43].

The absence of superconductivity in Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$S$_2$ is due to the extended overall bandwidth compared to those in SC Rb$_{0.8}$Fe$_2$Se$_2$, presumably caused by the smaller sulfur ions, the decreased electronic correlation [35], and the shorter Fe-Fe bond lengths as plotted in Fig. 6(a). As revealed in the three-dimensional phase diagram in Fig. 6, there are two first order transitions between the stripe AF phase and the superconducting phase; isovalent substitution of selenium on the sulfur sites induces superconductivity from a nonmagnetic metallic phase. These are in clear contrast to the effects of isovalent phosphorus doping on the arsenic sites in BaFe$_2$As$_2$,....
where the superconductivity emerges continuously from an antiferromagnetic parent compound [8,9].

C. The interplay of the phases

Neutron [22,23] and synchrotron x-ray [19] diffraction, together with NMR [17] studies on superconducting $A$, $Fe$, $Se_2$ reveal that the SC phase is nearly iron vacancy-free ($y \approx 2$) with $x$ spanning between 0.3 and 0.58. Due to the existence of the $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy ordered phase, the macroscopically averaged iron contents of the two phases below $T_I$ and the iron vacancy randomly distributed phase above $T_I$ are always less than $y = 2$. The simultaneous appearance of the $x22$ phase and the $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order explains the reason that the 245 phase always accompanies the superconducting phase in $A$, $Fe$, $Se_2$, $S$.

V. CONCLUSION

Our studies on a comprehensive range of samples in the $Rb$, $Fe$, $Se_2$, $S$ compounds result in the phase diagrams shown in Fig. 6. By comparing series of samples where only one element changes, we have identified the iron content to be the crucial parameter in stabilizing the various iron vacancy orders and the associated magnetic orders. The ratio of selenium to sulfur, on the other hand, does not affect the formation of these phases, but is important for the emergence of superconductivity. Our studies have clearly shown that the stripe AF order, the block AF order, and the SC or metallic phase in the $Rb$, $Fe$, $Se_2$, $S$ system have distinct lattice constants and are well separated in iron content by the existence of miscibility gaps. The relationship between these phases is clearly different from that between the AF phase and the SC phase in iron pnictides, where the AF order and the superconductivity can microscopically coexist [9], as there does not appear to be a continuous path that connects these magnetic phases to the superconducting phase. The existence of the miscibility gaps is a key to understanding the relationship between the various phases and, therefore, truly necessary ingredients for superconductivity in these rich and interesting materials.
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