Pyrolysis of biomass and refuse-derived fuel performance in laboratory scale batch reactor
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Abstract The results of pyrolysis of pine chips and refuse derived fuel fractions are presented. The experiments were carried out in a pilot pyrolysis reactor. The feedstock was analyzed by an elemental analyzer and the X-ray fluorescence spectrometer to determine the elemental composition. To find out optimum conditions for pyrolysis and mass loss as a function of temperature the thermogravimetric analysis was applied. Gases from the thermogravimetric analysis were directed to the infrared spectrometer using gas-flow cuvette to online analysis of gas composition. Chemical composition of the produced gas was measured using gas chromatography with a thermal conductivity detector and a flame ionization detector. The product analysis also took into account the mass balance of individual products.
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1 Introduction

Processing of biomass and wastes into liquid or gaseous fuel is a very interesting perspective for the agricultural industry, forestry and other industries using these raw materials. Studies on thermoconversion of biomass and refuse derived fuel (RDF) are very promising and develop very quickly, which may be an alternative in the fuel sector. For this reason, there are
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many studies on pyrolysis of biomass and refuse derived fuel (RDF) [1–4]. The composition of pyrolysis products depends on many factors including the process temperature, heating rate and the cooling rate of products. The most important factors for pyrolysis are heating rate and final temperature [5–7].

Fast pyrolysis presented in this paper means heating rate 80 °C/min and is directed on the liquid products receiving and reaction temperature within the range of 400–600 °C. Slow pyrolysis, defined also as conventional pyrolysis, because of low heating rate 3 °C/min results in the formation of char and gaseous products in the relatively low temperature (about 320–400 °C).

The aim of this study is to present the characteristics of conventional pyrolysis and due to the much higher heating rates fast pyrolysis of biomass and refuse derived fraction. The products distributions of conventional and fast pyrolysis were compared. Effect of temperature on gas composition was also studied. To determine thermal degradation characteristics and define optimum conditions for pyrolysis the ultimate, proximate and thermogravimetric analysis were performed.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Two kinds of materials: refuse derived fuel fraction and pine chips were examined in this work. The RDF fraction had been prepared by separation of glass or metals. A sample was dried before and grinded to a size of maximum 0.01 m. As a result, a unified material consisting mainly of paper, textiles, wood and plastic was obtained and subsequently kept at ambient conditions. Pine chips which had a size similar to that of RDF samples were selected. The proximate and ultimate analysis of the pine chips and RDF are given in Tab. 1. The RDF fraction consist of 5.3% moisture, 77.2% volatile matter, 5.1 fixed carbon and 12.4% ash. This composition however may be varied depending on the origin of the fraction [4,8]. In comparison with the pine chips, RDF sample contains a large amount of ash. According to ultimate analysis presented derived fuel fraction contains 0.25% sulfur and 0.17% chlorine.
### Table 1. Proximate and ultimate analysis of pine chips and RDF sample

| Parameter                  | RDF [MJ/kg] | Pine chips |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Higher Heating Value       | 23.8        | 19.21      |
| Proximate analysis [%]     |             |            |
| Moisture                   | 5.3         | 7.4        |
| Volatile matter            | 72.2        | 68.4       |
| Fixed carbon               | 10.1        | 21.9       |
| Ash                        | 12.4        | 2.3        |
| Ultimate analysis [%]      |             |            |
| C                          | 46.46       | 45         |
| H                          | 6.52        | 6.4        |
| O                          | 46.26       | 47.3       |
| N                          | 0.34        | 1.3        |
| S                          | 0.25        | 0.0        |
| Cl                         | 0.17        | 0.0        |

### 3 Apparatus and procedures

The pine chips and RDF pyrolysis were performed in a laboratory scale pyrolyser with the capacity of 3 dm³ and the maximum pressure of 3 MPa. The schematic diagram of the pyrolysis system is shown in Fig. 1 for conventional and fast pyrolysis. The reactor was heated by a 1000 W electric furnace. Two thermocouples were attached to the wall and bed of the reactor with the aim of controlling and monitoring the reactor temperature.

The produced pyrolytic gas was directed to the gas cooler, which was coupled with a pressuremeter and a safety valve. Next, it was passed to the cooling system represented by two washers (empty, or filled with charcoal or clay). Gas samples were collected into a tedlar bag to measured by means of chromatography. Liquid products obtained by the condensation of gases within the washers in room temperature residues were weighted after the process as well as the solid residues.

In the conventional pyrolysis 100 g of the RDF or pine chips sample was placed inside the tubular reactor. The sample was heated from the ambient temperature to 500 °C at a heating rate of 3 °C/min. The reaction time of about an hour was needed to complete the conversion of the RDF. During the process, the quantity and composition of the released gases were
Figure 1. Schematic diagram of conventional and fast pyrolysis system: 1 – batch pyrolyser, 2,3 – thermocouples, 4 – cooler, 5 – pressure meter, 6 – valve, 7,8 – washers, 9 – tedlar bag.

measured. The gas samples were collected at the same temperature ranges to allow their comparison. After that time, the reactor was left to cool down and afterwards the remaining char and oil were weighted.

In the case of fast pyrolysis reactor was preheated up to 500 °C and 50 g of the RDF or pine chip sample was thrown into the hot reactor. The reactor was kept at 500 °C, resulting in the heating rate of 100 °C/min Like for the conventional pyrolysis, the gas samples were collected to determine the average gas composition.

4 Thermogravimetric analysis

The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of RDF and pine chips samples has been used to determine their thermal degradation characteristics and define optimum conditions for pyrolysis. The analyses were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere at three representative heating rates: 5 °C/min, 10 °C/min and 15 °C/min for RDF (Fig.2) and pine chips (Fig.3). In the temperature range of 200–500 °C is clearly seen that for different weight the loss behavior was clearly seen for different samples. The studies of thermal decomposition show that 75% of RDF feedstock underwent decomposition and conversion to both liquid and gaseous products (Fig. 2) and almost 84% in case of pine chips sample (Fig. 3). The final temperature in both cases was equal to 500 °C. The presence of two peaks proves that the decomposition of two main fractions contained in RDF took place in different temperature ranges, which could result from the fact that RDF is a mixture of different materials.
During heating, the gases produced in the TGA flowed to the downstream infrared (IR) spectrometer and were monitored on-line via the Fourier transformation infrared (FTIR) analysis (Figs. 4 and 5). A transmission flow-through gas cuvette coupled to the thermobalance with the aid of a thermostatic transfer line was used for this purpose. It was observed
that for both the RDF and pine chips samples, the main organic functional groups identified by FTIR were similar. The main products turned out to be oxygenated compounds and carbon-hydrogen bonds indentified by means of
IR absorption at 1100 cm$^{-1}$ to 1000 cm$^{-1}$ and at 3000 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. In case of RDF the decomposition consisted of two stages whereas for pine chips it was only a one-step process. The main products of the second stage (at the temp. of 400–500 °C) were alkanes indentified by absorption at 3000 cm$^{-1}$. Since the heating rate does not have significant influence on gas composition the main products remained the same.

5 Results

5.1 The gas fraction

The analyses of the composition of the gas fractions obtained from the conventional and fast pyrolysis at various temperatures are presented for carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen (H$_2$) and methane (CH$_4$). In case of the conventional pyrolysis (Fig. 6), the volume fraction of CO is lower for RDF in the entire temperature range (max. 14.7% at 270 °C) than for pine chips (max. 46% at 370 °C). With the same trend of the curve, a similar volume fraction of CO$_2$ (Fig. 9) was obtained for both samples at 300 °C, while at 500 °C the CO$_2$ content in the pyrolytic gas was significantly higher for pine chips (30%) than for the RDF fraction.

![Figure 6. Variation of carbon monoxide in the conventional pyrolysis of RDF and pine chips.](image-url)
The volume fraction of hydrogen (Fig. 7) for both samples at around 250 °C looks similar, and does not exceed 2%. Beyond this temperature the increase of the hydrogen content with the increasing temperature can be observed. At 500 °C the volume fraction was equal to 10% for pine chips and to 11% for RDF. Similar characteristics were obtained for CH$_4$ (Fig. 8), where a significant increase of CH$_4$ content in the pyrolytic gas, up to 16% for pine chips and to 21% for RDF, was observed above the temperature of 500 °C. The average gas composition from conventional pyrolysis is presented in Tab. 2.

Table 2. The average gas composition from conventional pyrolysis of RDF and pine chips.

| Gas composition [%]         | RDF  | Pine chips |
|-----------------------------|------|------------|
| H$_2$                       | 4.19 | 3.86       |
| CO                          | 9.08 | 36.75      |
| CH$_4$                      | 6.56 | 9.18       |
| CO$_2$                      | 23.90| 32.46      |
| N$_2$                       | 30.44| 16.75      |
| other volatile hydrocarbons | 25.90| 8.31       |
The average gas composition for materials used in the fast pyrolysis is presented in Tab. 3. It is clearly seen that the CO content in the gas from pine chips pyrolysis is much higher than that from the RDF fraction, while
the content of other volatile hydrocarbons is lower for pine chips than for the RDF sample. This can be explained by the high amount of plastics in the composition of RDF fraction. Carbon dioxide content in gas from pine chips is also significantly higher than that from RDF. The content of H₂ in the produced gas is slightly higher for RDF than for pine chips. The CH₄ content in the resulting gas is similar for pine chips and RDF. In the case of RDF fraction high amount of other volatile hydrocarbons results in high lower heating value of gaseous products for conventional (28 MJ/Nm³) and fast pyrolysis (50 MJ/Nm³). For the pine chips pyrolysis lower heating value of gaseous products was similar for both processes (7–8 MJ/Nm³).

Table 3. Gas composition from pine chips and RDF fast pyrolysis.

| Gas composition [%] | RDF  | Pine chips |
|---------------------|------|------------|
| H₂                  | 6.1  | 2.29       |
| CO                  | 9.8  | 38.45      |
| CH₄                 | 8.1  | 6.75       |
| CO₂                 | 15.3 | 25.1       |
| N₂                  | 8.8  | 20.19      |
| other volatile hydrocarbons | 51.9 | 7.22       |

5.2 Pyrolysis yields

The product yields obtained from the fast and conventional pyrolysis (gas, oil and char) using a tubular batch pyrolyser are reported in Tab. 4. It is clearly seen that the fast pyrolysis, as compared to the conventional, is strongly oriented on obtaining liquid products. For the RDF and pine chips samples the same amounts of liquid products were obtained (48%), whereas for the RDF sample only 10% of gaseous products was obtained (against 31% for pine chips). The conventional pyrolysis is characterized by a similar yields of products for both materials.
Table 4. Product yields obtained from conventional and fast pyrolysis for RDF and pine chips samples.

|       | Conventional pyrolysis | Fast pyrolysis |
|-------|------------------------|----------------|
|       | RDF                    | Pine chips     |
| Liquid [%] | 34                    | 39             |
|        | 48                    | 48             |
| Gas [%]   | 26                    | 23             |
|         | 10                    | 31             |
| Solid [%] | 40                    | 38             |
|         | 42                    | 21             |

6 Summary

Thermogravimetric analysis of the RDF sample indicates the presence of two characteristic peaks, which can be explained by the fact that RDF is a mixture of pine chips and plastics. It also affects the ability of lead the pyrolysis process in two different temperature ranges for the industrial scale. The experimental research of the pyrolysis of pine chips and RDF confirm the strong influence of operating conditions of pyrolysis on the products. Particularly important is the temperature and heating rate. Measurements of the liquid phase in the products show an increase its content with increasing heating rate, which in the case of RDF means an increase of 34% for the conventional pyrolysis to 48% for fast pyrolysis. For the pine chips pyrolysis the highest amount of liquid products — 48%, received also for fast pyrolysis. High amount of gaseous products and high caloric value from RDF pyrolysis shows that pyrolysis can be useful for engineering applications.
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