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Abstract

Background: Over the last 20 years, the transsphenoidal approach has been used to remove skull base tumors and also to reconstruct skull base in CSF leakage cases. With the development of endoscope technology and endoscopic surgery, resection of these skull base tumors, like meningiomas, craniohypophyseal tumors, and large pituitary adenomas has been achieved by using endoscopic endonasal skull base (EESB) approaches. Many surgeons have reported the usefulness.

Aim: Clinical assessment of endoscopic endonasal skull base surgeries for tumor resection or reconstructive purpose and also to evaluate development of skull base surgeries in southern region of Saudi Arabia.

Methodology: A case series study was conducted in Division of Rhinology, Department of ORL HNS at Aseer central Hospital (ACH), Southern of Saudi Arabia. The study included all patients, who diagnosed with skull base lesion and CSF leakage and undergone endoscopic endonasal skull base surgeries over a period of 6 years. All records for identified cases were reviewed to find out the demographic data and surgeries related data.

Results: The study included 20 cases whose ages ranged from 18 to 66 years old with mean age of 43.3 ± 16.6 years old. Exact of 85% of the bases had tumour and 10% had traumatic injuries. Intra-operative CSF was recorded among 70% of the cases and 55% recorded complications while recurrence was recorded among 25% of the cases.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the less invasive endoscopic endonasal approach of the skull base and the pituitary fossa is an innovative timesaving surgeries with minimal complications. Better training for clinical skills and new techniques was recommended for all concerned surgeons.
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Background

The Endoscopic Endonasal Approach (EEA) is a pioneering surgical technique used to remove brain tumors and lesions some as large as softballs all through the nose. This approach needs a multidisciplinary team of neurosurgeons, otolaryngologists, ophthalmic surgeons, and spine surgeons [1,2]. Using EEA, surgeons can achieve tumors and lesions of the skull base and top of the spine directly through the nose and sinuses. Surgeons using EEA approach need a specially designed endoscope, which provides light and a lens for viewing and reflecting internal anatomy. Highly crafted instruments are used alongside the endoscope for tumor dissection then removal [3,4].

This minimally invasive approach uses the nose and sinuses as natural pathways to reach tumors and lesions in critical areas at the base of the skull or top of the spine. The Endoscopic Endonasal Approach allows surgeons to treat many inaccessible tumors, even those once considered “inoperable,” without disturbing the face or skull [5,6]. Endoscopic endonasal surgery can reach nearly all regions of the skull base located anterior to the foramen mag-
num. Tumours are the lesions mainly targeted, but cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks of traumatic or other causes, besides some chronic infections and congenital malformations are also accessible to endoscopic surgery [7-10].

The natural expansion to reach other areas laboured a well-defined anatomy-based modular approaches that access the median ventral skull base (between the ICAs), extending from the crista galli to the odontoid which is known as the “sagittal plane” [11]. Postoperative complications included infectious, systemic, and delayed deficits. These complications were may be potential causes of permanent or transient neurological deficits or even death [12]. As a special point of interest, the incidence of postoperative CSF leaks that recorded and was associated with high patient’s morbidity [13]. The current case series study was developed to report the results of a series of patients undergoing pure endoscopic endonasal skull base surgeries for tumour resection or reconstructive purpose and to evaluate initiated skull base surgeries in southern region of KSA.

**Methodology**

A case series study was conducted in Division of Rhinology, Department of ORL HNS at Aseer central Hospital (ACH), Southern of Saudi Arabia that is the main tertiary hospital in Abha city, capital of Aseer region. The study included all patients, who diagnosed with skull base lesion and CSF leakage and undergone endoscopic endonasal skull base surgeries over a period of 6 years (from May 2014 to December 2019) and attended for follow up in the outpatient clinic. Patients with skull base lesion and those who had CSF rhinorrhea and undergone transcranial skull base surgeries were excluded. All records for identified cases were reviewed to find out the demographic data, causes of CSF leakage, clinical presentation, and clinical diagnosis, type of surgery, complications and post-surgical recurrence rate.

**Data Analysis**

After data were extracted, it was revised, coded and fed to statistical software IBM SPSS version 22 (SPSS, Inc. Chicago, IL). All statistical analysis was done using two-tailed test. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Descriptive analysis based on frequency and percent distribution was done for all extracted variables including demographic data, clinical data, causes of CSF leakage and complications. Univariate relations between patients’ bio-clinical data and clinical outcome including complication with recurrence were assessed using cross-tabulation method.

**Results**

The study included 20 cases whose ages ranged from 18 to 66 years old with mean age of 43.3 16.6 years old. Exact of 60% of the cases were males and 30% had Acromegaly (Table 1). As for clinical data (Table 2), 85% of the bases had tumour and 10% had traumatic injuries. The main complaint recorded among the study cases was Headache with blurred vision (50%) followed with headache with vertigo (15%) while headache only was recorded among 10% of the cases. Diagnosis that was dominant among the cases is primary adenoma (65%) followed with CSF leak (10%), pituitary micro adenoma (10%) and only 1 case was Meningioma and pituitary cyst. Considering radiological findings, CT and MRI matched histopathological findings among 80% of the cases and mismatching was recorded for 10% of the cases.

**Table 1:** Personal data of cases undergone pure endoscopic endonasal skull base surgeries in ACH, Saudi Arabia.

| Bio-Demographic Data | No | %   |
|----------------------|----|-----|
| **Age in years**     |    |     |
| <30 years            | 8  | 40.00% |
| >30 years            | 12 | 60.00% |
| **Gender**           |    |     |
| Male                 | 12 | 60.00% |
| Female               | 8  | 40.00% |
| **Examination**      |    |     |
| Medically free       | 12 | 60.00% |
| Acromegaly           | 6  | 30.00% |
| Cushing syndrome     | 1  | 5.00% |
| Head trauma          | 1  | 5.00% |

**Table 2:** Clinical data of cases undergone pure endoscopic endonasal skull base surgeries in ACH, Saudi Arabia.

| Clinical Data | No | %   |
|---------------|----|-----|
| **CSF causes**|    |     |
| Traumatic     | 2  | 10.00% |
| Spontaneous   | 1  | 5.00% |
| Tumour        | 17 | 85.00% |
| **Complain**  |    |     |
| Headache      | 2  | 10.00% |
| Blurred vision| 3  | 15.00% |
| Others        | 2  | 10.00% |
| Headache with blurred vision | 10 | 50.00% |
| Headache with vertigo   | 3  | 15.00% |
Figure 1 represents the clinical outcome for the different cases. Intra-operative CSF was recorded among 70% of the cases and 55% recorded complications while recurrence was recorded among 25% of the cases.

Table 3: Relation between clinical outcome and bio-clinical data of the cases.

| Factors          | Intra-operative CSF (%) | Complications (%) | Recurrence (%) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Age in years     |                         |                   |                |
| <30 years        | 75.00%                  | 50.00%            | 37.50%         |
| > 30 years       | 66.70%                  | 58.30%            | 16.70%         |
| Gender           |                         |                   |                |
| Male             | 58.30%                  | 41.70%            | 25.00%         |
| Female           | 87.50%                  | 75.00%            | 25.00%         |
| CSF causes       |                         |                   |                |
| Traumatic        | 100.00%                 | 100.00%           | 50.00%         |
| Spontaneous      | 100.00%                 | 100.00%           | 0.00%          |
| Tumour           | 64.70%                  | 47.10%            | 23.50%         |
cases had intra-operative CSF and complications while only 50% of traumatic had recurrence compared to none of the spontaneous cases. Recurrence was recorded for 23.5% of tumour cases.

Discussion

Transcranial skull base approaches were used to resect Mid-line skull base tumors, such as meningiomas, craniopharyngiomas, and large pituitary adenomas and this approach needed long time [12-16]. Afterwards, this approach was replaced by minimally invasive keyhole approaches through eyebrow incisions for resecting these tumors [17,18]. Besides, another approaches used like the microsurgical transphenoidal surgeries for skull base tumors [19,20]. After development of the endoscopic surgeries, endoscopic endonasal skull base approach was the preferred method for resecting skull base tumours and repairing skull base fractures [21,22].

Many researches told about drawbacks of the invasive or less invasive approaches including trans sphenoidal microsurgical approaches, which had upward trend for skull base surgeries. Surgeons reported that these approaches challenged with restricted viewing angles and limited light intensity with difficulty in achieving gross total tumor resection [23]. These problems were solved even partially by introducing endoscopic surgeries in these approaches. Better visualization of the surgical field with wider viewing angles are the main advantages of this approach [24-26]. Casiano et al. [27] described the first approach of purely endoscopic endonasal surgery for the resection of esthesioneuroblastomas [27]. Since this date, this approach has been widely recognized and repeated for resection of a variety of anterior skull base tumors [28].

Endoscopic endonasal approach appears to be much less painful and much less uncomfortable than comparable traditional approaches. Most patients do not need strong medication to control pain, and are discharged on mild pain relievers. The most common complaint is the post-operative nasal packing’s, which are not used all the time and are removed within one week. A recent consensus favours the use of endoscopic techniques in the management of benign tumors including pituitary adenomas, craniopharyngiomas, all besides inverted papilloma’s and nasopharyngeal fibroma. Recent studies have validated endonasal management of cholesterol granuloma of the petrous apex and petrous apicitis, congenital malformations (meningoencephaloceles), or CSF leaks, showing a comparable or superior efficacy to conventional open surgery [29,30].

The current study aimed to assess endoscopic endonasal skull base approach in its clinical outcome. The study revealed that the majority of cases undergone the approach were males above 30 years with Acromegaly. Tumour was the most recorded cause with CSF leak so the main complain was headache with blurred vision. The most common diagnosed tumour was pituitary gland adenoma. Complications for the approach was recorded for more than half of the cases with Intraoperative CSF among more than two thirds of the cases while recurrence was recorded for one out of each four cases. Recurrence rate was higher among young aged cases with traumatic lesions.

The new techniques including endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery needs continuous learning skills and collaborative work. Surgeons wishing to conduct this type of surgery should therefore acquire these new techniques in common plus improving their skills including their complementarily which always constitutes a major favour when performing complicated four-hand surgical procedures.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the minimally invasive endoscopic endonasal approach of the skull base and the pituitary fossa is an innovative time saving surgeries with minimal complications and better resection ability. The collaborative teamwork between the otorhinolaryngologists and the neurosurgeon is vital and valuable in effective resection of cranial base tumours with minimal morbidity complications. A continuous training of the surgeons to cope with new updates and extended methods of this approach is needed with continuous assessment and exploring new fields for its application especially in area with restricted accessibility by the traditional surgical methods.
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