ANTI–VASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR THERAPY CAN IMPROVE DIABETIC RETINOPATHY SCORE WITHOUT CHANGE IN RETINAL PERFUSION
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Purpose: To compare the changes in retinal perfusion on ultra–wide-field fluorescein angiography with the changes in diabetic retinopathy lesions observed on ultra–wide-field fundus color photographs after 3 monthly anti–vascular endothelial growth factor injections.

Methods: Retrospective interventional cohort study analyzing the files of 14 patients with DR (18 eyes). UWF color photos and FA were analyzed at baseline (M0) and 1 month after the third anti-VEGF injection (M3). The main outcomes included the count of the number of red dots (microaneurysms, hemorrhages) and assessment of DR severity score (DRSS); the analysis of non-perfusion areas and disappearance or reappearance of arterioles or venules in the non-perfusion areas on FA.

Results: Eighteen eyes of 14 diabetic patients, with mean age of 63 ± 5 years, were included. The DRSS score improved by at least one stage in 11/18 (61%) eyes. The mean number of red dots significantly decreased at M3 (n = 80 ± 85) compared with M0 (n = 139 ± 130) (P < 0.0001). No reperfusion of arterioles or venules was observed in or around non-perfusion areas.

Conclusion: After anti–vascular endothelial growth factor injections, the improvement in the DRSS score based on color fundus photographs can occur without retinal reperfusion on ultra–wide-field fluorescein angiography.

RETINA 39:426–434, 2019

Anti–vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) therapy for diabetic macular edema improves the diabetic retinopathy severity scale (DRSS) score assessed on color fundus photographs1 and may reduce DR worsening. Two randomized trials comparing anti-VEGF injections and pan retinal photocoagulation in proliferative DR (PDR) have shown the noninferiority of anti-VEGF over pan retinal photocoagulation to prevent complications of PDR, at least for 1 year to 2 years.2 In some aspects, anti-VEGF seems to be even more effective than pan retinal photocoagulation.3 However, the role of anti-VEGF in retinal perfusion based on fluorescein angiography (FA) remains unclear, and different interpretations have been proposed in DR and retinal vein occlusion.2,4 The discrepancy in assessing the role of anti-VEGF in peripheral retinal perfusion may be due to the difficulty of correctly delineating nonperfusion (NP) areas even on ultra–wide-field (UWF) FA. Nevertheless, the strong correlation between the number of DR lesions and NP, which is well established before any treatment, may no longer be relevant after anti-VEGF therapy.2,4

The aim of this study was to assess this correlation and compare the changes in retinal lesions of DR, that is, microaneurysms and hemorrhages (red dots), observed on UWF fundus photographs with the changes in retinal perfusion seen on UWF FA in eyes with diabetic macular edema at baseline and after 3 monthly anti-VEGF injections.
Patients and Methods

In this retrospective study, the records of consecutive patients with diabetic macular edema, and all inclusion–exclusion criteria, over a 1-year period (January 2016–January 2017) were reviewed. Inclusion criteria were: patients with diabetes (Type 1 or 2) aged 18 years or older, with DR and decreased vision due to diabetic macular edema (with a central macular thickness >310 μm), associated with non-PDR (NPDR) or PDR, treated with 3 monthly anti-VEGF injections (0.5 mg of ranibizumab or 2 mg of aflibercept), and imaged with sufficient quality UWF color fundus photographs and FA before the first injection (M0) and 1 month after the third anti-VEGF injection (M3) as part of the usual procedure to initiate anti-VEGF therapy in diabetic patients. Exclusion criteria were: a history of pan retinal photocoagulation, pars plana vitrectomy, previous intravitreal anti-VEGF therapy, poor image quality due to media opacity, or the association with a nondiabetic retinal disease (including high myopia).

All data were assessed by a chart review, including sex, age, diabetes type and duration, serum glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) level, visual acuity, intraocular pressure, and previous ophthalmologic treatments.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the French Society of Ophthalmology (IRB 00008855 Société Française d’Ophtalmologie IRB#1) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained for all patients.

Retinal Image Acquisition

According to current practice, the studied eyes were dilated using tropicamide 1% and phenylephrine 2.5%. Central macular thickness in the central 1-mm diameter circle of the ETDRS thickness map was recorded using the Spectralis device (Heidelberg Eye Explorer, version 1.9.11.0; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany).

Ultra–wide-field images were obtained using the Optos California v2.14 imaging system (Optos, PLC, Dunfermline, Scotland) equipped with the Optos Vantage review Software v4.0.28. After intravenous administration of fluorescein, UWF FA images were captured in the early (45 seconds), middle (2 minutes), and late (5 minutes) phases of FA.

Image Grading

Images were exported in Tiff format. Fundus photographs and FA images were automatically aligned using i2kRetina software (DualAlign, Clifton Park, NY), and then controlled and cropped to keep only the part common to both images at M0 and M3. Care was taken to analyze the early phase of FA after complete retinal vein filling, that is, about 45 seconds after injection. After alignment, color fundus photographs and FA were divided into 16 identical boxes.

Quantitative Analysis

Color fundus photographs and FA obtained before (M0) and 1 month after three anti-VEGF injections (M3) were presented in a random order, with no indication on the analysis time point, to a retina specialist experienced in DR grading on UWF images, who analyzed the images. Red dots (microaneurysms and retinal hemorrhages), intra retinal microvascular abnormalities (IRMAs), and cotton-wool spots were counted before (M0) and 1 month after three anti-VEGF injections (M3) in each box. This count was performed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). The total number of lesions in the whole retina was used for comparison between M0 and M3.

Qualitative Analysis

To avoid area measurement bias as much as possible, the analysis of NP on FA did not attempt to quantify the areas of NP, but just to track the...
possible reperfusion of vessels in areas that were not perfused at baseline. Nonperfusion was defined as a fundus area devoid of retinal arterioles, venules, and/or capillaries, with a “pruned” appearance of adjacent vessels. The M0 and M3 FA images of the same patient, divided into 16 boxes, were independently analyzed side-by-side by 2 readers to assess differences in each corresponding box. The possible disappearance or the reappearance of arterioles or venules within or around NP areas between M0 and M3 was noted. Discrepancies between the two readers were adjudicated by common agreement.

The DRSS score was also assessed according to the simplified American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) DR grading scale, using a five-stage disease severity, based on the analysis of the seven ETDRS fields. An improvement in DR severity was defined as follows, adapted from Bressler et al: 1) for NPDR: improvement by one or more stages on the AAO DR scale vs. baseline, and 2) for PDR: regression from PDR to no PDR (complete regression of new vessels).

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 Software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Results are expressed as the mean ± SD. Continuous data were analyzed using the Wilcoxon test. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

Results

Eighteen eyes of 14 patients with Type 2 diabetes were included (9 men/5 women). The patient mean age was 63 ± 5 years. The mean HbA1c was 8.9 ± 2.5%, and the mean diabetes duration was 16 ± 8 years. Among the studied eyes, 2 (11%) had mild NPDR, 2 (11%) had moderate NPDR, 11 (61%) had severe NPDR, and 3 (17%) eye had PDR.

Thirteen eyes were treated with ranibizumab, and five eyes were treated with aflibercept.

The main characteristics of the studied eyes are shown in Table 1.

The mean best-corrected visual acuity improved from 0.53 ± 0.28 logMAR (20/63) at baseline to 0.26 ± 0.18 logMAR (20/32) at M3 (\( P = 0.0002 \)). The mean central macular thickness decreased from 506 ± 194 \( \mu m \) at baseline to 322 ± 114 \( \mu m \) at M3 (\( P = 0.0002 \)). Changes in the number of DR lesions are shown in Figure 1.

Analysis of Color Fundus Photographs

The DRSS score improved by at least one stage in 11/18 (61%) eyes. The mean number of red dots was significantly decreased at M3 (n = 80 ± 85) compared with M0 (n = 139 ± 130) (\( P < 0.0001 \)) (Figure 1A and Figure 2, A and B). The number of cotton-wool spots did not change significantly within 3 months (Figure 1D and Figure 2, C and D).

Analysis of UWF FA

At baseline, all eyes showed significant NP areas in the midperiphery and periphery. One month after 3 monthly anti-VEGF injections, the diameter of retinal vessels tended to narrow and fluorescein leakage decreased (Figure 3) due to the restoration of the blood–retinal barrier. The contrast between the barely fluorescent background of the choroid in NP areas and the diffuse hyperfluorescence of the surrounding retinal vascular bed was attenuated. No reperfusion of arterioles or venules was observed in or around NP areas by a side-by-side comparison of fluorescein angiograms, but in 15/18 (83%) studied eyes, a few vessel segments (mean: 6 ± 11 per eye) passing through these areas were occluded at M3 (Figure 4, C–F). New vessels regressed in the three eyes with PDR at baseline (Figure 4, A and B).

Discussion

In this work, we showed that 3 monthly injections of anti-VEGF in eyes with NPDR or PDR associated with macular edema significantly reduced the number of red dots such as hemorrhages, microaneurysms, as well as new vessels in the optic disk or elsewhere and macular edema. This evolution is in line with the results of previous randomized studies. However, despite this improvement in DR severity on color photographs, the retinal perfusion did not improve on FA

| Table 1. Patient Demographic Characteristics |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Patients/eyes                              | 14/18                                    |
| Age (years)                                | 63 (5)                                   |
| Female sex                                 | 5 (36)                                   |
| Type 2 diabetes                            | 13 (93)                                  |
| Diabetes duration (years)                  | 16 (8)                                   |
| Hemoglobin A1c                             | 8.9 (2.5)                                |
| Retinopathy severity                       |                                          |
| Mild NPDR                                  | 1 (5)                                    |
| Moderate NPDR                              | 2 (11)                                   |
| Severe NPDR                                | 12 (66)                                  |
| PDR                                        | 3 (16)                                   |

Data are number (%) or mean ± SD, unless otherwise indicated.
with no reperfusion of small vessels in capillary NP areas. In other words, DR severity graded on color photographs may improve without concomitant improvement in vessel perfusion. Then, the strong correlation between DR lesions on color photographs and the NP, which is well established before any treatment, is no longer relevant after anti-VEGF therapy. This may be of importance not only when conducting trials but also when monitoring and evaluating DR in eyes treated with intravitreal injections.

The regression of the signs of DR on color photographs observed after anti-VEGF therapy could be interpreted as an improvement in DR severity in the DRS score. Indeed, at M3, 11/18 (61%) eyes experienced DR improvement and new vessels, when they were present at baseline, regressed. Such an evolution has also been observed in several recent reports. Unlike these studies, we used UWF color photographs and FA instead of the seven fields of the ETDRS to assess our cases because there is accumulating evidence that UWF can detect more lesions than the seven ETDRS fields for comparison.

We also used FA in addition to color fundus photographs to assess the vascular anomalies of DR. Fluorescein angiography confirmed the presence of retinal NP areas in the periphery or midperiphery in all eyes at baseline and also showed the regression of the new vessels when they were present at baseline. However, even in eyes with improvement in DR severity on color photographs, the retinal perfusion did not improve on FA. We did not observe any reperfusion of small vessels in capillary NP areas, nor an apparent decrease in NP areas despite the regression of red dots (hemorrhages and microaneurysms). This discrepancy between the improvement in the DRS score on color photographs and the absence of perfusion improvement on FA is a new finding. Indeed, Da Silva and Aiello have shown in a cross-sectional study that hemorrhages and microaneurysms reflect the extent of the NP and ischemia on UWF FA outside the context of injections. They then assumed that “the improvement of the signs of DR on color photographs should have had their counterpart on FA after anti-VEGF treatment.” However, this was not the case in our series. Our results are in line with studies, although limited to the macular area, that have shown that the improvement in macular edema after injections does not correspond to capillary reperfusion observed on OCTA.

Anti-VEGF therapy has an antipermeability effect, and is vasoconstrictive and antiangiogenic. The antipermeability effect could explain the decrease in retinal edema and the resolution of retinal hemorrhages that resorbed progressively and did not recur under treatment. The antiangiogenic effect could explain the complete regression of new vessels reported in more than 20% of cases in various publications. The vasoconstrictive effect could explain the narrowing of retinal vessels observed in our cases, and perhaps the fact that some small vessels disappeared on FA after 3 months of treatment. We did not assess whether these occluded vessels could reopen after anti-VEGF discontinuation. However, although vasoconstriction and vessel permeability repair prevent the recurrence of new hemorrhages, in our study no reperfusion occurred in the areas where the red dots disappeared. The number of red dots, which is an indirect sign of ongoing capillary closure, is then no longer a relevant severity criterion of DR after anti-VEGF therapy. The discrepancy between the absence of perfusion improvement observed on FA under anti-VEGF therapy and the improvement in the DRS score deserves an explanation.

Nonperfusion areas are detected on FA based on variable criteria according to publications. Some authors have not precisely defined the criteria for NP or have used categorical grading. Others have defined NP as a significant hypofluorescence or a hypofluorescent area of at least 1-disk diameter. The SCORE study has referred to “portions of the fundus devoid of retinal arterioles and/or capillaries, with a ‘pruned’ appearance of adjacent arterioles and a darker appearance of the choroid.” The same approach has been taken in DR by others. However, although the latter definition includes an objective feature—the loss of vascular branching—it is also based on a more subjective assessment—the background hypofluorescence, although some authors have

Fig. 1. Diabetic retinopathy lesions count before (M0) and 1 month after 3 monthly intravitreal anti-VEGF injections (M3). Significant decrease in the red dot count (hemorrhages and microaneurysms) on UWF fundus photographs. ***P < 0.001.
Fig. 2. Nonproliferative DR before (M0) and 1 month after 3 monthly intravitreal anti-VEGF injections (M3). Decrease in red dots on UWF fundus photographs but no reperfusion on FA (UWF FA).

A. Pretreatment (M0) UWF color photograph showing multiple hemorrhages and microaneurysms, both considered as red dots. The yellow line limits the area of the common gradable portion of the image at M0 and 1 month after the third anti-VEGF injection (M3). The grid was used to count red dots in each of the 16 boxes.

B. Posttreatment (M3) UWF color photograph showing the significant decrease in red dots.

C. Detail of the nasal part of the fundus on UWF color photograph at M0 and (D), the same area at M3 showing that most red dots have vanished. E. The same area on FA at M0 and (F), at M3. Arrows represent some NP area that remained unchanged between M0 and M3. Ellipses show microaneurysms that were no longer visible at M3.
proposed to apply a minimum threshold to define black and white pixels. In our cases, it appeared that the “background hypofluorescence” component was not well adapted to the follow-up of DR under anti-VEGF therapy. Indeed, although the background of NP areas became grayer after anti-VEGF, we never saw the reperfusion of the occluded arterioles and venules in these areas. Of note, this evolution from dark to gray was concomitant with the vasoconstriction of retinal vessels and the resolution of fluorescein leakage, which could have modified the contrast between retinal vessels and the choroidal background, in particular on digital imaging that automatically enhances the gain. We thus considered that the inconstant brightening of the background after anti-VEGF did not correspond to capillary reperfusion in the absence of reperfusion of distal arterioles and venules in the corresponding area.

The decrease in the DRSS score on color fundus photographs under anti-VEGF therapy without improvement in capillary perfusion, which is the leading risk factor for neovascular proliferation, also has clinical implications for the follow-up of these eyes. The absence of reperfusion under anti-VEGF therapy implies that, at least in the short term, in case of treatment discontinuation, the risk of worsening from severe NPDR to PDR persists. Similarly, in the event that a case of PDR returns to the stage of NPDR, the risk of new vessel recurrence is still present. This is a serious issue, as it has been shown in a large series of patients that about 22% of those who received anti-VEGF for DR were lost to follow-up. A progression toward PDR has been observed in 2.4% to 9% of cases despite monthly anti-VEGF injections over 36 months, and in another study, 78% of patients remained at the PDR stage after regular aflibercept intravitreal injections over 1 year, suggesting that there is still a risk of neovascularization in some eyes, and reperfusion cannot be guaranteed after anti-VEGF injections. How to evaluate or monitor these eyes when discontinuation of anti-VEGF injections is planned remains to be determined.

Our study has some limitations. First, it has a retrospective design, and it includes a relatively small number of eyes, but all the cases underwent multimodal imaging with excellent image quality, especially for FA, and careful control of possible biases. Second, it is a short-term study assessing patients after three anti-VEGF injections without a control group (without injection), so that the long-term effect of anti-VEGF cannot be extrapolated. A slowdown of DR worsening with a long-term treatment could not be excluded. We could also assume that a prolonged anti-VEGF
Fig. 4. Proliferative DR before and 1 month after 3 monthly intra-vitreal anti-VEGF injections. Regression of preretinal new vessels but no reperfusion on UWF FA. A. Pretreatment (M0) UWF FA: Diffuse leakage, many areas of capillary NP, and preretinal new vessels in the superonasal quadrant. B. Posttreatment (M3) UWF FA shows the regression of leakage and new vessels but no change in retinal capillary perfusion. The white boxes in (A and B) are analyzed in (C and D). C and D: details corresponding to the nasal box showing the regression of preretinal new vessels (circle) and the occlusion of four segments of small retinal vessels (arrowheads) at between M0 and M3. (D). No capillary reperfusion is seen in these areas. Note that the tone of the choroidal background in the areas of retinal capillary non-perfusion did not change too much. E and F: details corresponding to the supero-temporal box showing the occlusion of one segment of small retinal vessel (arrowhead) at between M0 and M3. (F). Note the regression of capillary leakage and the absence of vessel reperfusion.
treatment could decrease the risk of neovascular proliferation despite NP persistence, but to date, studies seem to suggest the opposite in retinal vein occlusion\textsuperscript{2,3,9,27} and in DR.\textsuperscript{3,18,27} Third, we used UWF photographs and FA, which is not yet a validated method for DR severity grading. Indeed, when measuring the NP area, UWF may be subject to distortion errors. Here, we used a method that controlled this bias as much as possible by adequately aligning preintervention and postintervention images and studying robust data such as the perfusion of arterioles and venules, and not only the areas of background darkness. Moreover, the advantage of UWF FA is to display high-quality images in a single shot, not only of the posterior pole but also of the midretinal periphery, which allows precisely locating NP areas for comparisons.\textsuperscript{2,11,21} Finally, we used the AAO severity scale rather than the ETDRS severity scale, which could be less precise than the latter. However, this is compensated by the fact that UWF imaging improves the diagnosis and classification of DR.\textsuperscript{10} Nevertheless, we showed here that the DRSS score could improve without any improvement in retinal vessel perfusion while it is assumed to be one of its surrogates. These results should be taken into account in further studies aiming to demonstrate an improvement in peripheral retinal perfusion in DR.

In conclusion, in a series of 18 eyes with NPDR or PDR, examined with UWF color photographs and FA, 3 monthly injections of anti-VEGF improved the DRSS score and macular edema. However, no reperfusion occurred in the NP areas detected at baseline on FA. Thus, after anti-VEGF injections, there is a discrepancy between fundus color photographs and FA interpretation, and the improvement in the DRSS score should be interpreted with caution concerning the risk of neovascularization.
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