Dental anomalies in the deciduous dentition of non-syndromic oral clefts patients

Adriana Boeri Freire Tamburini 1
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0153-3875

Ygor Henrique Pereira Rodrigues 2
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1365-7610

Daniella Reis Barbosa Martelli 3
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7497-6052

Letizia Monteiro de Barros 4
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5181-6224

Rodrigo Soares de Andrade 5
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6114-0929

Abstract

Objectives: to investigate the prevalence of dental anomalies in complete deciduous dentition of children with NSCL/P.

Methods: this study included 75 children with NSCL/P and 286 healthy control. In both groups the children had deciduous dentition with ages varying from 4 to 6 years. Clinical examination, panoramic and periapical radiographies were performed and dental anomalies of number and shape were considered.

Results: there was a higher prevalence of dental anomalies in the case group, compared to the control group. In all, 42 dental anomalies were identified, 25.33% in the case group and 8.04% in control group (p<0.001). Therewas a higher frequency of dental anomalies in NSCL/P (47.36%), followed by non-syndromic cleft lip (31.57%) and non-syndromic cleft palate (21.05%). The occurrence of agenesis (p= 0.005) and twinning (p = 0.029) were higher in the case group.

Conclusions: the occurrence of agenesis and dental twinning was more frequent in the case group and may contribute to the definition of oral cleft subphenotype.
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Introduction

Oral clefts are the most common orofacial birth defect, occurring in 1 in 500-2,500 live births worldwide. In Brazil, the prevalence varies from 0.36 to 1.54 per 1,000 live births. About 70% of cases occur as a non-syndromic form [non-syndromic cleft lip and/or cleft palate (NSCL/P)], and the remaining 30% are associated with Mendelian disorders or chromosomal, teratogenic and sporadic conditions. Based on epidemiological features and embryologic timing, NSCL/P are traditionally divided in cleft lip (CL), cleft lip and palate (CL/P) and cleft palate (CP). The etiology of NSCL/P is attributed to an interplay of genetic and environmental factors, but the exact interactions are poorly known.

Studies proposed that dental anomalies could serve as clinical markers for defining cleft subphenotypes, suggesting a common genetic background between those conditions. In favor of this hypothesis is that tooth, lip and palate development occur almost concomitantly and are related anatomically.

When compared to the general population, dental anomalies, such as tooth agenesis, supernumerary tooth, microdontia, fused tooth, ectopic eruption, rotation of teeth, taurodontism and enamel hypoplasia, are considerably more prevalent in individuals with CP. Interestingly, few studies have investigated the frequency of dental anomalies in the primary dentition of patients with CL/P.

Thus, the aim of the current study was to investigate the prevalence of dental anomalies in the complete primary dentition in a group of Brazilian children with NSCL/P.

Methods

This cross-sectional, observational, case-control study included 75 children with NSCL/P (case group) and 286 healthy control group. All cases were recruited at the Centro de Reabilitação de Anomalias Craniofaciais (Rehabilitation Center for Craniofacial Anomalies) in Minas Gerais, Brazil and the control group was randomly obtained at the Pediatric Dentistry Clinic at the University. Patients were recruited during 2016 and until 2017, and in both groups, the children had completed primary dentition with ages varying from 4 to 6 years. All patients with NSCL/P were carefully evaluated by experts at the Rehabilitation Center.

In both study groups, the subjects were selected by convenience from all the patients that were assisted at the Center, between 2016 and 2017. The control group was composed of healthy individuals with no physical illness, psychiatric, birth defects or with a family history of orofacial clefts. All the subjects were from Minas Gerais, Brazil, where there is an admixed population of Europeans (mostly from Portugal and Italy) and Africans, with a small percentage of native Brazilian Indians. All the patients presented similar ethnicities and social culture and were assisted by the Brazilian Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS) (Public Health System).

The care by professionals is considered a form of clinical care and was associated with the Brazilian SUS. Assisted by professionals in the SUS is described as a basic healthcare strategy and is considered a means to provide health care to the Brazilian population.

The oral clefts were categorized into three incisive groups as a reference: Cleft Lip (CL): includes complete or incomplete clefts, either unilateral or bilateral; Cleft Lip and Palate (CL/P): includes unilateral or bilateral clefts; Cleft Palate (CP): includes all complete, or incomplete clefts.

For both groups, clinical exam and panoramic and periapical radiographs were performed. Only dental anomalies of a dental shape (fusion, twinning, taurodontism, dens invaginatus, dens evaginatus, dilaceration, microdontia, macrodontia, third root and conidial tooth) and number (agenesis and supernumerary teeth) considered in this study, and only those outside the cleft area were included. The classification of the dental anomalies was previously performed as described. In order to eliminate examiner differences, dental anomalies were classified by a single examiner (ABFT).

The information collected was stored in a database and analyzed using the statistical program SPSS® version 19.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows, Inc., USA). The comparisons were assessed by a cross-tabulation of the chi-square test, and statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). The odds ratios (OR) were estimated with a 95% confidence interval.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research at the University (document number: 1.612.095). A written informed consent was obtained from the parents or guardians and/or the participants.

Results

Of the 361 subjects included in this study, 180 were males and 181 were females. In the case group (n=75), 43 were males and 32 were females, while in the control group (n=286), 137 were males and 149 were females. Of the 75 patients with oral clefts, the majority (n=38; 50.66%) was affected by NSCL/P, followed by CP (n=20; 26.66%) and CL (n=17; 22.66%). There was a higher proportion of cases (9.33%) with family history of NSCL/P compared to the control (1.39%) \( (p=0.002) \).
Thirty-nine (10.80%) patients from both groups had dental anomalies, 16 patients (21.33%) belonged in the case group, and 23 (8.04%) in the control group. Table 1 presents the types of dental anomalies distributed in both groups. Altogether, 42 dental anomalies were identified, 25.33% (n=19) in the case group and 8.04% (n=23) in the control (p=0.001). The most common anomaly was the supernumerary teeth (n=14) in both study groups (p=0.160), followed by dental agenesis and fusion, both with 6 occurrences. Dental agenesis (p=0.005) and twinning (p=0.029) were more common in the case group comparing to the control group.

In regards to the distribution of dental anomalies and the type of oral cleft, it was observed that there was a higher prevalence among patients with NSCL/P (n=9; 47.36%), followed by CL (n=6; 31.75%) and CP (n=4; 21.05%). Of 4 cases of dental agenesis, 3 occurred in NSCL/P and 1 in CP, while, of the 3 cases of twinning, 2 occurred in NSCL/P and 1 CL.

Regarding to the 42 dental anomalies identified, 57.14% (n=24) occurred in the superior maxillary arch and 42.85% (n=18) in the mandibular arch. Most of the dental anomalies involved the lateral incisor (n=15; 35.71%) and the central incisor (n=14; 33.33%). As for the laterality of the clefts, 25 (59.52%) occurred on the right side and 17 (40.47%) on the left side (Table 2 and 3).

**Discussion**

In this case-control study, we investigated the prevalence of dental anomalies in the complete primary dentition of patients with NSCL/P. Our results showed that the prevalence was higher in the case group, compared to control group. Among the dental anomalies, supernumerary teeth were the most frequently observed in both study groups (14 cases). In the NSCL/P group, all the supernumerary teeth occurred in the maxilla (n=5), while in the control group the distribution was similar between the maxilla and mandible (n=9). However, this dental anomaly did not present any differences in the frequency between the groups.

In a retrospective analysis of 207 panoramic radiographies of Brazilian patients with NSCL/P aged 12 to 45 years old, found that the frequency of supernumerary teeth was higher in patients with complete bilateral NSCL/P. In another study evaluating dental anomalies of NSCL/P, did not find a significant increase of supernumerary teeth compared to the general population.

Recently, in a longitudinal study, Suzuki et al. found that the prevalence of tooth agenesis was 16.2% for primary dentition and 52.7% for permanent dentition in subjects with NSCL/P. In a previous study, it was verified that the occurrence of tooth agenesis was 22.3% in the permanent dentition of subjects with oral clefts. This number is in agreement with various studies that found percentages of tooth agenesis around 24% outside the cleft area, confirming tooth agenesis is almost five times more common in individuals with cleft than in the general population (4.83%). In another study, involving 60 children between 5 and 13 years with NSCL/P, the occurrence of tooth agenesis was 63.3%.

The distribution of tooth agenesis was significantly higher in the case group and between patients with NSCL/P. Most studies have shown that NSCL/P has the highest prevalence of tooth agenesis. In our study, in the case group, the teeth involved by agenesis were mainly the lateral incisors and second molar. In general, previous studies have reported that anomalies, such as tooth agenesis, in these teeth are the most common findings in children with CL/P.

Twinning is defined as incomplete development of two teeth from one enamel organ. Tooth twinning was another dental anomaly prevalent in the group with CL/P (p=0.029). Aizenbud et al. in one study including 657 cleft lip patients, where 19 were children aged from 9-13 years old were treated during the last 10 years, observed the highest occurrence of dental twinning compared to the general population. Our results are consistent with this study, showing the highest occurrence of twinning between the NSCL/P. Also was observed a higher occurrence of dental twinning between the lateral incisors, similar to those found in the permanent dentition. All the other dental anomalies, fusion (p=0.445), microdontia (p=0.147), talon cusps (p=0.590) and third root (p=0.147) did not present differences between the case and the control groups.

In our study, there was a higher proportion of cases (9.33%) with family history of NSCL/P compared to the control group (1.39%) (p=0.002). In a previous study performed by our group, a positive family history of NSCL/P was found in 35.13% of cases.

A study investigated 4,557 affected children born in the Czech Republic of Slovakia over a period of 29 years, registered a positive family history in 18% of the cases. In the sixth study comprised of 153 individuals with NSCL/P in Thailand, registered a positive family history in 17.7% of the cases.

The occurrence of dental anomalies showed no
### Table 1
Distribution of dental anomalies in the case (non-syndromic oral clefts) and the control groups.

| Dental anomaly | Case group (N=75) | Control group (N=286) | p   | OR   |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----|------|
|                | n     | %    | n     | %    |      |      |
| Agenesis       | 4     | 21.05 | 2     | 8.69 | 0.005 | 3.33 (1.82-6.09) |
| Fusion         | 2     | 10.52 | 4     | 17.39 | 0.445 | 1.62 (0.51-5.11) |
| Twinning       | 3     | 15.78 | 2     | 8.69 | 0.029 | 2.96 (1.40-6.24) |
| Microdontia    | 2     | 10.52 | 2     | 8.69 | 0.147 | 1.66 (0.51-5.11) |
| Supernumerary  | 5     | 26.31 | 9     | 39.13 | 0.160 | 1.77 (0.85-3.68) |
| Talon cusps    | 1     | 5.26  | 2     | 8.69 | 0.590 | 1.61 (0.32-8.05) |
| Third root     | 2     | 10.52 | 2     | 8.69 | 0.147 | 2.44 (0.89-6.65) |
| **Total**      | 19    | 100.0 | 23    | 100.0|      |      |

### Table 2
Distribution of dental anomalies in the maxillary arch of the case (non-syndromic oral clefts) and the control groups.

| Maxillary Arch | Oral clefts Group | Tooth | R | L | R | L | R | L | R | L | R | L |
|----------------|-------------------|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Agenesis       |                    |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Supernumerary  |                    |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Fusion         |                    |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Twinning       |                    |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Microdontia    |                    |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Talon cusps    |                    |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Third root     |                    |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Primary dentition** |                |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Central incisor| 0                 | 0     | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lateral incisor| 0                 | 2     | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canine         | 0                 | 0     | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st molar      | 0                 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2nd molar      | 0                 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| **Control Group** |                |       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Central incisor| 0                 | 0     | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lateral incisor| 0                 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canine         | 0                 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st molar      | 0                 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2nd molar      | 0                 | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Right (R) and Left (L).
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significant differences between the maxilla and the mandible. Although the occurrence of dental anomalies could be explained as a consequence of plastic surgery to repair the oral clefts, which can affect the development of maxillary bone resulting in less space in the superior arch for normal eruption of the teeth, it is interesting to mention that the higher incidence of dental anomaly occurred in the mandible.6,28 Oral clefts show evidence of directional laterality, with left-sided clefts occurring about twice as often as the right-sided clefts.29 Such asymmetry is common in other aspects of embryonic development, including medical and academic outcomes.30 Although with a limited population, our study showed an increase on the occurrence of NSCL/P on the right side.

In conclusion, our results suggest that there is a higher prevalence of dental abnormalities in the complete primary dentition of individuals with oral clefts, compared to the general population. The occurrence of tooth agenesis and twinning were significant in the group with NSCL/P and may contribute to define oral clefts subphenotypes. However, further studies are required to validate our findings. The limitation of this study was the population sample size used.
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Table 3

| Tooth          | Maxillary Arch | Oral clefts Group |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
|                | R L R L R L R L R L R L R L | Agenesis Supernumerary Fusion Twinning Microdontia Talon cusps Third root |
| Primary dentition |                |                    |
| Central incisor | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Lateral incisor | 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Canine         | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 1st molar      | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 2nd molar      | 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |

Control Group

| Central incisor | 1 0 1 2 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Lateral incisor | 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Canine          | 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 1st molar       | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 2nd molar       | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 |

Right (R) and Left (L).
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