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Abstract: Separate reactions of [Th(β2-C3H5NSiMe2Bu)] with [Re(η5-C5H5)(H)] (2) or [Ru(η5-C5H5)(CO)] (3) produced, by alkane elimination, [Th(β3-C3H5)Re(η5-C5H5)] (ThRe, TrenDMBS = [N(CH2CH2N3SiMe2Bu)]3), and [Th(β3-C3H5)(CO)] (ThRu), which were isolated in crystalline yields of 71% and 62%, respectively. Complex ThRe is the first example of a molecular Th-Re bond to be structurally characterised, and ThRu is only the second example of a structurally authenticated Th-Ru bond. By comparison to isomorphous U-analogues, quantum chemical calculations, which are validated by IR and Raman spectroscopic data, suggest that the Th-Re and Th-Ru bonds reported here are more ionic than the corresponding U-Re and U-Ru bonds.
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1. Introduction

The field of metal–metal bonds is mature and vast with many applications [1–5]. Whilst homopolymetallic d transition metal systems dominate this still burgeoning field [1–3], heteropolymetallic derivatives have been gaining prominence [3–5]. Where actinide elements are concerned, in the absence of isolable homopolymetallic species under normal conditions [6], a growing number of heteropolymetallic derivatives have been isolated and characterised [7–11], including Th and U complexes exhibiting bonds to d transition metals such as Mo [12], Fe [13–19], Ru [13,14,19,20], Re [21–23], Co [24–26], Rh [27–29], Ni [30–35], Pd [31], Pt [31,36], Cu [37,38], and Ag [39], and p main group metals and metalloids such as Al [40,41], Ga [41,42], Si [43–46], Ge [47], Sn [48,49], Sb [50–52], and Bi [50–52].

For some time now we have had an interest in the study of f-block-metal bonds, but most of our efforts have focussed on the synthesis and characterisation of U-derivatives. Of particular pertinence to this paper are the U-Re and U-Ru complexes [U(Tren)Re(η5-C5H5)] (2) and [U(Tren)Ru(η5-C5H5)(CO)] (3), respectively. During our studies, we noted that there are no examples of structurally authenticated molecular Th-Re complexes to date, and that there is only one example of a structurally characterised Th-Ru complex, namely, [Th(η5-C5H5)(I)]Ru(η5-C5H5)(CO) (1) reported by Marks in 1985 [19]. Since URe and URu had proved to be accessible by salt and alkane elimination strategies [20,22], we sought to prepare and characterise the corresponding Th derivatives so that comparisons between Th and U could be made.

Here, we report the synthesis and characterisation of two new Th-complexes that exhibit bonds to Re and Ru and compare them to the previously reported U-congeners. We find that these Th-complexes exhibit more ionic Th-Re/-Ru bonds than the U-analogues; by validating quantum chemical calculations with spectroscopic vibrational data, we have identified the strength of these Th-Re/-Ru bonds indirectly using Ru-carbonyls as reporters, and we have probed the Th-Re and Th-Ru bonds directly using Raman spectroscopy.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis and Isolation of the Th-Re and Th-Ru Complexes ThRe and ThRu

Treatment of the colourless thorium-cyclometallate complex [Th[N(CH$_2$CH$_2$NSiMe$_2$Bu)$_2$(CH$_2$CH$_2$NSi(Me)Bu)$((\mu$-CH$_2$)$_2$]$ (1) [53] with two equivalents of the pale yellow rhenium complex [Re(η$_5$-C$_5$H$_5$)$_2$(H)$_2$]$ (2) [54] in toluene, Scheme 1, afforded a dark yellow solution. Work-up afforded yellow crystals of the Th-Re complex [Th(TrenDMBS)Re(η$_5$-C$_5$H$_5$)$_2$]$ (ThRe)$ in 71% isolated yield. Thus, as was found for analogous uranium chemistry, alkane elimination is an effective method for constructing thorium-metal bonds.

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of ThRe and ThRu from 1, 2, and 3, respectively.](image)

Encouraged by the successful synthesis of ThRe by alkane elimination, we also treated 1 with two equivalents of the yellow ruthenium complex [Ru(η$_5$-C$_5$H$_5$)(H)(CO)$_2$]$ (3) [55] in benzene, Scheme 1. Subsequent removal of the reaction mother liquor from the resulting pale yellow precipitate and recrystallisation afforded the Th-Ru complex [Th(TrenDMBS)Ru(η$_5$-C$_5$H$_5$)(CO)$_2$]$ (ThRu)$, isolated as light brown crystals of the benzene solvate in 62% yield.

2.2. Solid-State Structures of the Th-Re and Th-Ru Complexes ThRe and ThRu

The solid-state structures of ThRe and ThRu were determined by X-ray Diffraction, Figure 1. The structure of ThRe, Figure 1a, confirms the anticipated trigonal bipyramidal thorium ion and Th-Re bond, which is the first example of a molecular Th-Re bond. The Th-Re distance is found to be 3.1117(2) Å. By definition there are no other Th-Re bonds for comparison, but this distance is marginally (~0.05 Å) longer than the sum of the single covalent bond radii and Th and Re (3.06 Å) [56], and is ~0.06 Å longer than the U-Re distance of 3.0479(6) Å in URe$_2$ [22], which is in-line with the different single bond covalent radii of Th (1.75 Å) and U (1.70 Å). The N$_{amine}$-Th-Re angle is 156.37(9)$^\circ$, and whilst this is approximately trans in nature, the deviation perhaps reflects that the DMBS substituents allow the TrenDMBS unit to flex from C$_3$ symmetry towards a C$_5$ symmetry to accommodate the rhenocene fragment. All other distances and angles are unremarkable.

The structure of ThRu, Figure 1b, confirms the presence of a Th-Ru bond and thus the absence of any isocarbonyl linkages. The Th-Ru distance is found to be 3.1227(3) Å, which compares to a value of 3.00 Å for the sum of the single bond covalent radii of Th and Ru [56]. There is only one other example of a molecular Th-Ru bond, which is I reported in 1985, that exhibits a Th-Ru distance of 3.0277(6) Å [19]. Thus, the Th-Ru distance in ThRu can be considered to be long, likely reflecting charge polarisation into the Ru-CO back-bonding orbitals rendering the Ru a poorer donor site than the Re in rhenocene; support for this comes from the spectroscopic data (see below) and the fact that the U-Ru distance of 3.0739(2) Å in URu$_2$ is ~0.05 Å shorter, in-line with the respective metal single bond covalent radii [56]. The Ru-C$_{CO}$ and C-O distances in ThRu average 1.844(4) and 1.165(4) Å, respectively. The latter is slightly longer than the C-O distance in free CO (1.128 Å), and the Ru-C$_{CO}$ and C-O distances are similar to the analogous distances in URu$_2$ [20]. The N$_{amine}$-Th-Ru angle is 156.60(6)$^\circ$, which is rather similar to the N$_{amine}$-Th-Re angle in ThRe.
2.3. Spectroscopic and Analytical Characterisation of the Th-Re and Th-Ru Complexes ThRe and ThRu

The $^1$H and $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectra of ThRe and ThRu exhibit resonances in the ranges 0–4 and 0–5 ppm, consistent with the diamagnetic, closed-shell formulations of Th(IV) and the Re and Ru ions. The NMR spectra of ThRe and ThRu are largely as anticipated, though the CO C-resonances for ThRu and Cp C-resonances could not be located despite extensive attempts to locate them. The NMR and IR data for ThRe and ThRu do not provide any evidence for hydrides, and their isostructural natures compared to the corresponding U-complexes support the view that there are not any hydrides in ThRe and ThRu.

The IR spectrum of ThRu exhibits strong carbonyl absorptions at 1943 and 1869 cm\(^{-1}\), which can be compared to those of URu (1944, 1872 cm\(^{-1}\)) [20], I (1968, 1900 cm\(^{-1}\)), and [Th($\eta^5$-C\(_5\)H\(_5\))\(_3\)Ru($\eta^5$-C\(_5\)H\(_5\))(CO)\(_2\)] (1915, 1847 cm\(^{-1}\)) [13]. It can thus be noted that there is more Ru-CO back-bonding in ThRu compared to I [19] but less than [Th($\eta^5$-C\(_5\)H\(_5\))\(_3\)Ru($\eta^5$-C\(_5\)H\(_5\))(CO)\(_2\)] [13], which would be expected to render the Ru-centre in ThRu a weaker donor; in that scenario, a longer Th-Ru bond would be predicted in ThRu compared to I, which is the only other Th-Ru complex to be structurally characterised, and that is indeed the experimental observation. These data suggest that the [Th(Tren\(_{DMBS}\))\(^{+}\)] fragment is slightly more electron-deficient than [Th($\eta^5$-C\(_5\)H\(_5\))\(_3\)]\(^{+}\), since an An-fragment that polarises charge from the Ru-component most will deplete the extent of Ru-CO back-bonding the most resulting in a higher CO stretching frequency. Conversely, the exchange for a Cp ligand for iodide, even with two cyclopentadienyl ligands replaced by the more strongly donating pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand, renders [Th($\eta^5$-C\(_5\)Me\(_5\))\(_2\)]\(^{+}\) the most electron deficient fragment. The magnitude of the shift of the asymmetric CO stretching frequency to high frequency compared to [Ru($\eta^5$-C\(_5\)H\(_5\))(CO)\(_2\)]\(^-\) (1749 cm\(^{-1}\)) reveals a shift of 120 cm\(^{-1}\) [20], which is lower than the shift observed for group 4-group 8 bonds (140–150 cm\(^{-1}\)) [4] but higher than for analogous lanthanide-group 8 bonds (110 cm\(^{-1}\)) [4,8,10], suggesting that the Th-Ru linkage in ThRu is more covalent than lanthanide analogues but still largely dominated by ionic character.

We also recorded Raman spectra of ThRe and ThRu, and of most pertinence are inelastic scattering bands at 103/136 and 112 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. On the basis of analytical frequencies calculations on ThRu and ThRu (see below), these are assigned as corresponding to Th-Re and Th-Ru stretches, respectively. For comparison, the W-W stretch...
for \([W_2(CO)_{10}][K(18\text{-crown}-6)(THF)_2]\) was recently reported to be found at 97 cm\(^{-1}\) by Raman spectroscopy [57].

2.4. Quantum Chemical Computational Analysis of the Th-Re and Th-Ru Complexes \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\)

In order to probe the Th-Re/-Ru linkages in \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\), we performed scalar relativistic DFT calculations on the full structures of these two complexes. The optimised gas-phase geometries (see Supplementary Materials for final coordinates and energies) compare well to the experimental solid-state structures, for example, returning Th-Re and Th-Ru distances of 3.1293 and 3.1139 Å, which are in excellent agreement with the experimental values of 3.1117(2) and 3.1227(3) Å. We therefore conclude that the computed models of \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\) provide qualitative and representative models of the electronic structures of these complexes.

The computed MDC-q charges for the two Th, Re, and Ru ions in \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\) are 1.87, 1.41, 0.38, and 0.71, respectively. These values can be compared to the two U, Re, and Ru values of 1.88, 1.50, 0.38, and 0.67 [20,22], respectively, for the analogous U-complexes, showing negligible variations. The calculated Nalewajski–Mrozek Th-Re and Th-Ru bond orders for \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\) are 0.72 and 0.52. Interestingly, in contrast to the largely invariant computed charges, the calculated bond orders for \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\) are lower than for \(\text{URe}\) and \(\text{URu}\), which have computed bond orders of 1.13 and 0.76, respectively [20,22], suggesting more ionic metal–metal bonds for the thorium complexes compared to the uranium ones. For comparison, the Th-N\(_{\text{amide}}\) and Th-N\(_{\text{amine}}\) bond orders are calculated to average 0.95 and 0.28. The Ru-C\(_{\text{CO}}\) and C-O bond orders for \(\text{ThRu}\) average 1.24 and 2.32, respectively, reflecting the back-bonding of electron density from Ru to C with concomitant reduction of the CO bond order from 3 in free CO.

The computed MDC-q charges for the two Th, Re, and Ru ions in \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\) are 1.87, 1.41, 0.38, and 0.71, respectively. These values can be compared to the two U, Re, and Ru values of 1.88, 1.50, 0.38, and 0.67 [20,22], respectively, for the analogous U-complexes, showing negligible variations. The calculated Nalewajski–Mrozek Th-Re and Th-Ru bond orders for \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\) are 0.72 and 0.52. Interestingly, in contrast to the largely invariant computed charges, the calculated bond orders for \(\text{ThRe}\) and \(\text{ThRu}\) are lower than for \(\text{URe}\) and \(\text{URu}\), which have computed bond orders of 1.13 and 0.76, respectively [20,22], suggesting more ionic metal–metal bonds for the thorium complexes compared to the uranium ones. For comparison, the Th-N\(_{\text{amide}}\) and Th-N\(_{\text{amine}}\) bond orders are calculated to average 0.95 and 0.28. The Ru-C\(_{\text{CO}}\) and C-O bond orders for \(\text{ThRu}\) average 1.24 and 2.32, respectively, reflecting the back-bonding of electron density from Ru to C with concomitant reduction of the CO bond order from 3 in free CO.

The HOMO-1 and HOMO-2 for complex \(\text{ThRe}\) represent the principal possible Th-Re bonding interactions on symmetry grounds, Figure 2a,b. HOMO-2 constitutes the Th-Re \(\sigma\)-bond, being derived from the 2a\(_1\) orbital from bent metallocene molecular orbital considerations. HOMO-2 contains only 5.9% Th-character, compared to 10.8% U-character in the isostructural U-derivative [22]. HOMO-1 is the corresponding b\(_2\) orbital, but unlike the U-analogue which shows a \(\pi\)-bond, in \(\text{ThRe}\) this MO remains largely localised on the rhenocene fragment (<2% Th character, cf 9.9% U character in the U-analogue). Together, these observations nicely account for the reduced Th-Re bond order compared to the larger U-Re bond order in the isostructural U-congener [22]. The HOMO of \(\text{ThRe}\) is the 1a\(_1\) orbital, and it is essentially non-bonding with respect to the Th-Re bonding interaction; the energy ordering of the 1a\(_1\), b\(_2\), and 2a\(_1\) orbitals in \(\text{ThRe}\) can thus be related to the degree of stabilisation afforded to those orbitals by how strongly they donate to the Th ion in \(\text{ThRe}\).

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2.** (a) HOMO-1 (252, \(-4.054\) eV) of \(\text{ThRe}\). (b) HOMO-2 (251, \(-4.161\) eV) of \(\text{ThRe}\). (c) HOMO (234, \(-4.409\) eV) of \(\text{ThRu}\). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

The HOMO of \(\text{ThRu}\) represents the Th-Ru interaction, Figure 2c. As found analogously for \(\text{URu}\) [20], this MO is \([\text{Ru}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)(\text{CO})_2]\)-centred (60%), with ~29% 4d character,
and 9.6 and 5.8% 5p and 5s character, respectively, with the remaining 15.6% involving the CO π* orbital coefficients. The rest of this MO is delocalised over the Tren\textsubscript{DMBS} ligand or Th (0.7%), revealing a largely ionic Th-Ru interaction and one where the CO stretching frequency is confirmed as a reporter of the Th-Ru bonding interaction, as discussed above.

Lastly, an analytical frequencies calculation predicts CO stretching frequencies of 1924 and 1864 cm\textsuperscript{-1} for ThRu, which are in excellent agreement with the experimentally observed values of 1943 and 1869 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. This experimental confirmation of the accuracy of the DFT calculations then permits us to address the Th-Re and Th-Ru bond vibrations in ThRe and ThRu. The Th-Ru stretch for ThRu is computed to occur at 126 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, which is in good agreement with the corresponding experimental Raman value of 112 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The Th-Re stretch of ThRe appears to be split by coupling to other vibrational modes, principally characterised by bands computed at 107 and 138 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, which compares to experimentally observed bands at 103 and 136 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Materials and Methods

All manipulations were carried out using Schlenk techniques, or an MBraun UniLab glovebox, under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Solvents were dried by passage through activated alumina towers and degassed before use. Deuterated solvents were dried over Na\textsubscript{2}K\textsubscript{2}, distilled, and stored over Na\textsubscript{2}K\textsubscript{2}. Crystals were examined using either a Rigaku FR-X diffractometer, equipped with a HyPix 6000HE photon counting pixel array detector with mirror-monochromated Cu Ka (λ = 1.5418 Å) radiation or a Rigaku Xcalibur2 diffractometer, equipped with an Atlas CCD area detector and a sealed tube source with graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Intensities were integrated from a sphere of data recorded on narrow (1.0°) frames by ω rotation. Cell parameters were refined from the observed positions of all strong reflections in each data set. Gaussian grid face-indexed absorption corrections with a beam profile correction were applied. The structures were solved by dual methods, and all non-hydrogen atoms were refined by full-matrix least-squares on all unique F\textsuperscript{2} values with anisotropic displacement parameters with exceptions noted in the respective cif files. Except where noted, hydrogen atoms were refined with constrained geometries and riding thermal parameters. CrysAlisPro [58] was used for control and integration, SHELXT [59] was used for structure solution, and SHELXL [60] and Olex2 [61] were employed for structure refinement. ORTEP-3 [62] and POV-Ray [63] were employed for molecular graphics. FTIR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Alpha spectrometer with Platinum-ATR module. A Horiba XploRA Plus Raman microscope with a 638 nm laser (power: ≤ 150 mW) was used to obtain all Raman spectra. The power of the laser was adjusted for each sample using a filter to prevent sample decomposition. Elemental microanalyses were carried out by Mr Martin Jennings at the Micro Analytical Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, The University of Manchester. Complexes 1–3 were prepared as described previously [53–55].

3.2. Quantum Chemical Calculations

Geometry optimisations for ThRe and ThRu were performed using coordinates derived from their respective crystal structures as the starting points. No constraints were imposed on the structures during the geometry optimisations. The calculations were performed using the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) suite version 2017 with standard convergence criteria [64,65]. The DFT geometry optimisations employed Slater type orbital (STO) triple-ζ-plus polarisation all electron basis sets (from the Dirac and ZORA/TZP database of the ADF suite). Scalar relativistic approaches (spin-orbit neglected) were used within the ZORA Hamiltonian [66–68] for the inclusion of relativistic effects, and the local density approximation (LDA) with the correlation potential due to Vosko et al. was used in all of the calculations [69]. Generalised gradient approximation corrections were performed using the functionals of Becke and Perdew [70,71]. MOLEKEL [72] was used to prepare
the three-dimensional plots of the electron density. Frequencies were computed using the analytical frequencies routine in ADF.

3.3. Preparation of [Th(Tren\textsubscript{DMBS})ReC\textsubscript{2}] (ThRe)

Toluene (20 mL) was added to a precooled (−78 °C) mixture of 1 (0.359 g, 0.25 mmol) and 2 (0.154 g, 0.5 mmol). The resultant pale-yellow suspension was allowed to warm to RT and then stirred for 16 h, giving a dark yellow solution. Volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the resulting brown solid was extracted into toluene, concentrated to 5 mL, and cooled to −30 °C to afford ThRe as pale brown crystals. Yield: 0.260 g, 71%. Anal. Calc’d for C\textsubscript{33}H\textsubscript{67}N\textsubscript{4}ReSi\textsubscript{3}Th: C 39.48; H 6.53; N 5.42%. Found: C 39.48; H 6.67; N 5.40%. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}) δ: 4.42 (10H, s, Cp-H), 3.21 (6H, t, CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.45 (6H, t, CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.09 (27H, s, CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.38 (18H, s, CH\textsubscript{3}) ppm. \textsuperscript{13}C[\textsuperscript{1}H] NMR (C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}) δ: 67.93 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 46.65 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 28.90 (C-CH\textsubscript{3}), 21.96 (C-CH\textsubscript{3}), −1.78 (Si-CH\textsubscript{3}) ppm. FTIR /cm\textsuperscript{−1} (ATR): 2950 (w), 2850 (m), 1462 (m), 1248 (m), 1072 (m), 936 (m). Raman \nu/cm\textsuperscript{−1} (Neat, ≤15 mW): 3103 (m, br), 2952 (m), 2853 (s), 1869 (s), 1200 (s), 1102 (s), 567 (s), 518 (s), 303 (s), 112 (m), and 73 (s).

3.4. Preparation of [Th(Tren\textsubscript{DMBS})RuC\textsubscript{2}(CO)\textsubscript{2}] (ThRu)

Benzene (10 mL) was added to a mixture of 1 (0.210 g, 0.15 mmol) and 3 (0.067 g, 0.30 mmol) in a glovebox. The resultant light brown solution quickly gave a pale-yellow precipitate, which was separated from the solution, washed with pentane (2 × 5 mL), and allowed to dry. The solid was extracted into minimal THF, which afforded ThRu as pale brown crystals; these crystals were found to be the benzene solvate ThRu\textsubscript{C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6}}, but drying under vacuum results in their desolvation, as reflected by the other characterisation data. Yield: 0.152 g, 62 %. Anal. Calc’d for C\textsubscript{33}H\textsubscript{67}N\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{2}RuSi\textsubscript{3}Th: C 39.60; H 6.65; N 5.96%. Found: C 39.45; H 6.70; N 5.36%. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}) δ: 5.08 (5H, s, Cp-H), 3.20 (6H, t, \textit{J}_{HH} = 4.96 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.38 (6H, t, \textit{J}_{HH} = 4.96 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.04 (27H, s, C-CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.51 (18H, s, Si-CH\textsubscript{3}) ppm. \textsuperscript{13}C[\textsuperscript{1}H] NMR (C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}) δ: 86.52 (CH), 64.39 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 47.46 (CH\textsubscript{2}), 28.51 (C-CH\textsubscript{3}), 21.41 (C-CH\textsubscript{3}), −3.25 (Si-CH\textsubscript{3}) ppm. FTIR /cm\textsuperscript{−1} (ATR): 2924 (w), 2848 (w), 2957 (m), 2853 (s), 1462 (w), 1248 (m), 1072 (m), 936 (m). Raman \nu/cm\textsuperscript{−1} (Neat, ≤15 mW): 2957 (m), 2853 (s), 1943 (s), 1869 (s), 1200 (s), 1102 (s), 567 (s), 518 (s), 303 (s), 112 (m), and 73 (s).

4. Conclusions

To conclude, we have prepared two new triamidoamine complexes of Th that possess Th-Re and Th-Ru bonds using an alkane elimination strategy. The Th-Re bond in ThRe is the first structurally authenticated example of a molecular Th-Re bond, and the Th-Ru bond in ThRu is only the second example of a structurally characterised Th-Ru bond, with the other example reported 36 years ago. Structural, spectroscopic, and computational characterisation of these two complexes, along with comparisons to previously reported isostructural U-Re and U-Ru complexes, has permitted an analysis of these metal–metal bonds. In-line with the current understanding, these Th-metal bonds are like-for-like more ionic than the U-congeners. By being able to experimentally validate the calculations using spectroscopic vibrational data, as well as gauging the strength of these metal–metal bonds indirectly using the carbonyls as reporters, we have been able to probe with certainty the Th-Re and Th-Ru bonds directly using Raman spectroscopy.
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